Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome in an untreated hypertensive patient after spinal surgery under general anesthesia
-A case report-
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Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) is an unfamiliar term to anesthesiologists, and this is characterized by neurologic symptoms that include mental change, headache, seizure and visual disturbance and also abnormal neuroimaging finding. A 71-year-old female patient was operated on for posterior decompression and total laminectomy under general anesthesia for the spinal stenosis. After the operation, she developed generalized tonic-clonic seizure and a stuporous mentality in the recovery room. The magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed swelling and increased signal intensity at the deep gray nuclei, cerebral cortex and cerebellum. After one week, she returned to an alert mentality and then she was diagnosed with PRES. She was discharged without any neurologic deficit on postoperative day 20. This report describes our experience with PRES after spinal surgery was performed under general anesthesia on a suspected untreated hypertensive patient. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2011; 60: 369-372)
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women with preeclampsia or eclampsia [3-5]. However, there has been no report about PRES in non-obstetric patients after general anesthesia. So, we report here on a case of PRES in a suspected untreated hypertensive patient after spinal surgery with the patient under general anesthesia.

Case Report

A 71-year-old female patient (height: 143 cm and weight: 48 kg) was scheduled for posterior decompression and posterolateral fusion of L4-5-S1 under general anesthesia for spinal stenosis.

She never has been diagnosed with any disease, including hypertension. On the preoperative evaluation, the ECG showed right bundle branch block; cardiomegaly and pulmonary vascular congestion were noted on the chest plain radiography. The initial blood pressure (BP) was 140/80 mmHg. The cardiologist recommended evaluation for hypertension after surgery.

The BP after entering operating room was 175/87 mmHg and the heart rate (HR) was 68 beats/min (bpm). Anesthesia was induced with 100 mg of propofol, 50 mg of rocuronium and 40 g of remifentanil. After intubation, the vital signs were 131/73 mmHg and 93 bpm. A 20-G catheter was placed on the left radial artery and a 16-G intravenous catheter was inserted.

The surgical team moved the patient in the prone position for the surgical position, and after that, the BP was elevated to 175/87 mmHg and the HR was 68 bpm. During the surgery, the vital signs were maintained within the normal ranges. On completion of surgery, glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg and pyridostigmine 10 mg were administrated to reverse the residual muscle relaxation. The patient breathed spontaneously and opened her eyes to verbal commands. The endotracheal tube was removed after confirming that the patient was awake and the muscle function had been fully restored. The operation time was 3 h 15 min, and the duration of anesthesia was 3 h 50 min.

In the recovery room, the initial BP was 128/73 mmHg, the HR was 91 bpm, the respiratory rate was 15 breaths/min and the pulse oximetry was 100%. After 30 minutes, she responded to her name, but she was drowsy and answered incoherent words to verbal questions. After several minutes, she vomited two times and then generalized tonic-clonic seizure occurred. Thiopental 150 mg was given to control the seizure and oxygen was also given. Five minutes later, she opened her eyes to verbal commands. Her BP was elevated, but the systolic BP remained at 140 to 165 mmHg, and the diastolic BP was 60 to 90 mmHg. The patient was evaluated with computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The T2 weighted MRI showed swelling and an increased signal intensity at the deep gray nuclei, cerebral cortex and cerebellum (Fig. 1A). Slightly increased gyral enhancement was noted on the initial CT scan (Fig. 2A). She was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) for close monitoring and conservative management. In the ICU, 900 mg/day of prophylactic sodium valproate was given intravenously to prevent the recurrence of seizure until postoperative day 13. Her blood pressure is slightly above the normal range with a systolic BP of 130 to 160 mmHg and a diastolic BP of 70 to 90 mmHg. Nicardipine were given by intermittent infusion to maintain the normal range of BP.

On postoperative day 4, the patient’s trachea was intubated due to a decreased mental status and possible aspiration pneumonia. On postoperative day 7, MRI was repeated and prominent subcortical lesions were mainly distributed in the posterior part of the brain (Fig. 1B). At this time, PRES was diagnosed according to the neuroimaging findings and the medical condition of her high blood pressure. On the 8th day, she opened her eye to speech and obeyed command. Her mentality recovered to an alert status.

Fig. 1. Initial Magnetic resonance imaging (A) shows swelling and increased signal intensity at deep gray nuclei, cerebral cortex, and cerebellum, suggesting generalized injury on T2 weighted image. After 7 days, magnetic resonance imaging (B) shows decreased swelling of thalami, cerebellum and multifocal areas of cortex and subcortex in fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) image.
Extubation was done two weeks later. She was transferred to a general ward and oral antihypertensive medication with amlodipine 5 mg was started. Brain CT was done and it showed no remarkable findings (Fig. 2B). She was discharged with no residual neurologic symptoms on postoperative day 20.

Discussion

PRES was first described in 1996 as a combination of neurological abnormalities and imaging findings that develop in patients with acute medical illness or in those who are being treated with immunosuppressive drugs [1]. The syndrome was initially recognized in association with severe hypertension, autoimmune disease, malignancy, immunosuppressive therapy or pregnancy [4,5]. High blood pressure was regarded as the major trigger factor in these patients.

Clinically, the most common symptom is generalized seizure associated with brain edema. According to Roth and Ferbert’s report [6], generalized seizure occurred in 84% of the patients with PRES. Other frequent symptoms were visual changes (60%), altered mental function (56%), headache (52%) and nausea and vomiting (28%) [6]. There were 22 cases with elevated blood pressure, and the mean systolic blood pressure for all the patients was 170 ± 37 mmHg. The symptoms resolved after about 7.5 days on average [6].

The neuroimaging abnormalities seen on CT and MRI typically show focal regions of symmetric white matter edema that most commonly affect the parietal and occipital lobes, and the posterior aspect of the frontal lobes might also be affected [7]. The posterior circulation supplied by the vertebo-basilar system has poor sympathetic innervation and so it is believed to be frequently involved [8].

The mechanism of PRES may be multifactorial and this remains controversial. Two opposing hypotheses are commonly cited: 1) The current more popular theory suggests that severe hypertension exceeds the limits of autoregulation, leading to breakthrough brain edema and 2) the earlier original theory suggests that hypertension leads to cerebral autoregulatory vasoconstriction, ischemia and subsequent brain edema [9]. The hypertension/hyperperfusion theory is primarily based on the blood pressure exceeding the autoregulation limits of the brain. However, the problems with this theory is that PRES is commonly seen without hypertension or with only a minor increase of blood pressure, as noted in the larger studies [10-12]. Further investigations will be needed to more clearly understand the pathogenesis of PRES.

Reducing the blood pressure and seizure control are very important to treat and prevent aggravated cerebral edema. Magnesium sulfate has been the first line treatment for the patients who have eclampsia with PRES. Antihypertensive drugs, including hydralazine and labetalol, and antiseizure medication with close monitoring are recommended for the other patients with PRES [13].

In our case, the acute elevation of blood pressure such as occurred after position change was regarded as the major trigger factor for PRES. Owing to the brain edema and swelling, our patients showed generalized seizure and a stuporous mentality in the recovery room. We left much to be desired concerning the untreated preoperative hypertension and the delayed diagnosis.

As seen in this case, we should consider the possibility of PRES in patients with delayed emergence from general anesthesia, and especially for the patients with hypertension and/or eclampsia, or those who are receiving immunosuppressive therapy. Having a clinical suspicion is most important for the early recognition and diagnosis of PRES. So, we recommend early brain imaging evaluation for the suspected patients and strict blood pressure control because the latter is an important...
factor to prevent further brain damage.
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