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ABSTRACT: The photoredox-mediated coupling of benzylic ethers with Schiff bases has been accomplished. Direct benzylic C−H activation by a combination of a thiol catalyst with an iridium photocatalyst and subsequent radical−radical coupling with secondary aldimines affords a variety of β-amino ether products in good to excellent yields. Mechanistic studies suggest that a reductive quenching pathway of the photocatalyst is operable.

The direct and controlled C−H functionalization of sp3-hybridized carbons has become a challenging goal in modern synthetic organic chemistry. In this context, our laboratory has recently introduced a protocol that allows the activation and subsequent arylation of benzylic C−H bonds via the cooperative action of a photoredox catalyst and a thiol organocatalyst (eq 1). This transformation relies on the coupling of two catalytically generated radicals: an arene radical anion formed by reduction of an arylamine, and a benzyl ether radical generated by thiol-mediated hydrogen atom abstraction from benzylic ethers. Moreover, we postulate that the thiol organocatalyst undergoes oxidative proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) in the presence of photoexcited catalysts to generate the requisite thyl radical that can selectively cleave the C−H bond of benzylic ethers. This photoredox−organocatalysis C−H functionalization mechanism exploits several established physical properties (e.g., bond dissociation energies (BDEs), hydrogen atom transfer exchange constants, and oxidation potentials) that are predictable across a wide range of reaction classes. Herein we translate this general C−H functionalization concept to the direct coupling of benzyl ethers with secondary aldimines to afford β-amino ethers in one step (eq 2). This new radical−radical coupling protocol employs readily available substrates, a visible light source, and the combination of a thiol catalyst and photocatalyst.

The β-amino ether functionality is a widespread motif in synthetic organic chemistry. However, the synthesis of these structural units is often challenging and typically requires multiple steps, organometallic reagents, and immoderate reaction conditions. With this in mind, we questioned whether photoredox catalysis would allow the concurrent generation of α-benzyl ether radical 1 (via oxidation) and α-amino radical anion 2 (via reduction) prior to a critical heterocoupling of these two highenergy species (eq 2). Importantly, this new multi-catalysis reaction mechanism would be organocatalytic, redox-neutral, as well as atom-economical and should provide an unprecedented and non-classical C−C disconnection that will find utility in the fields of medicinal chemistry and organic molecule construction.

Design Plan. The proposed catalytic cycle of our new light-driven redox transformation is depicted in Scheme 1. It has long been established that the photoredox catalyst 3 will be photoexcited to the *IrIII
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Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism of the Heterocoupling Reaction

Table 1. Initial Studies and Reaction Optimization

| entry | photocatalyst   | additive          | light source | solvent   | yield (%) |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | Ir(ppy)₃      | K₂HPO₄ (10 mol%)  | 26 W CFL    | DMA       | 0%        |
| 2     | Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂   | K₂HPO₄ (10 mol%)  | 26 W CFL    | DMA       | 0%        |
| 3     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | K₂HPO₄ (10 mol%) | 26 W CFL    | DMA       | 42%       |
| 4     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | K₂HPO₄ (10 mol%) | blue LEDs   | DMA       | 75%       |
| 5     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | K₂HPO₄ (10 mol%) | blue LEDs   | DMA       | 55%       |
| 6     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (0.2 mol%) | blue LEDs   | DMA       | 22%       |
| 7     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (0.2 mol%) | blue LEDs   | DMA       | 83%       |
| 8     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (0.2 mol%) | blue LEDs   | DMA       | 83%       |
| 9     | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (10 mol%)  | blue LEDs   | MeCN      | 73%       |
| 10    | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (10 mol%)  | blue LEDs   | DMSO      | 70%       |
| 11    | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (10 mol%)  | LiOAc (0.2 mol%) | DMA       | 0%        |
| 12    | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (10 mol%)  | LiOAc (0.2 mol%) | DMA       | 0%        |
| 13²   | Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy) | LiOAc (10 mol%)  | LiOAc (0.2 mol%) | DMA       | 0%        |
| 14    | none           | LiOAc (10 mol%)  | DMA          | 0%        |

²Yield determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1-bromo-3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)benzene as the internal standard. ⁶Reaction performed in the absence of methyl thioglycolate.

state 4 by visible light irradiation with a household light bulb or blue LEDs. Excited species 4 can function as an oxidant \((E_{1/2}^{I_{II}/II} = +0.66 \text{ V vs SCE in MeCN})¹¹\) and can be quenched by the thiol catalyst methyl thioglycolate (6) to produce \(\text{Ir}^{II}(\text{ppy})₃(\text{dtbbpy})\) \((5)\), as well as thyl radical 7. Although the oxidation potential of typical thiols \((E_{1/2} = +0.85 \text{ V vs SCE for cysteine})¹²\) should render this electron transfer unfavorable, we expect that the addition of a weakly basic additive (K₂HPO₄ or LiOAc) would facilitate the formation of thyl radical 7 via a concerted PCET event. In order to close the photoredox cycle, Ir²⁺ species 5 \((E_{1/2}^{I_{II}/II} = −1.51 \text{ V vs SCE})¹¹\) should be able to reduce the N-aryl imine 8 \((E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} = −1.98 \text{ V vs SCE for R = Ar = Ph})¹³\) under the reaction conditions via a single-electron transfer (SET) event to afford the α-amino radical anion 9. With respect to BDEs, thyl radical 7 should readily abstract a hydrogen atom from benzyl ether substrate 10 \((α-C−H \text{ BDE} = 85.8 \text{ kcal/mol for benzyl methyl ether})¹⁴\) and to complete the organocatalytic cycle.¹ We assume the resulting benzylic radical 11 will rapidly combine with α-amino radical anion 9 to afford the desired β-amino ether product. This critical C–C bond-forming step should be facilitated by the persistent radical effect, wherein species 9 is a long-lived open-shell species that does not readily homodimerize, thereby enabling a highly selective—radical heterocoupling event.¹⁶ Although a radical–radical coupling is proposed we are aware that an addition of benzylic radical 11 into imine 8 followed by reduction of the N-centered radical is also feasible. However, we consider this pathway as unlikely since imine dimer and imine reduction byproducts have been observed in certain cases.

Results. The proposed ether–imine coupling was first evaluated with a series of established photoredox catalysts (Table 1). Initially we found that irradiation of benzyl methyl ether, imine 12, methyl thioglycolate (6), and K₂HPO₄ with visible light in the presence of Ir(ppy)₃ or Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ resulted in no observable yield of the desired 1,2-amino ether product (entries 1 and 2). Fortunately, however, use of the heteroleptic catalyst Ir(ppy)₃(4,4’bpy)PF₆ \((3)\) provided the desired β-amino ether in 42% yield (entry 3). The efficiency of this heteroradical coupling was further improved by irradiating the photocatalyst 3 \((λ_{\text{max}} = 460 \text{ nm})\) with light adjusted to the catalyst’s absorption maximum via the implementation of blue LEDs (entry 4, 75% yield). Next, we determined that the base additive had a significant influence on the reaction outcome. More specifically, while the lack of a basic additive resulted in a moderate yield (entry 5, 55% yield), we found that the use of the soluble n-Bu₄NOAc base in trace quantities provided a significant improvement (entry 7, 0.2 mol%, 83% yield). Notably, the use of n-Bu₄NOAc at the 10 mol% level resulted in diminished yields (entry 6, 22% yield). These results are consistent with the requirement of a soluble base that enables a concerted PCET mechanism for the formation of thyl radical 7 (Scheme 1). We recognize that a stepwise pathway may also be operable, wherein deprotonation of the thiol catalyst could precede the oxidation of the resulting thiolate anion (mechanistic studies are ongoing to delineate between these two pathways). As an effective alternative to the hygroscopic n-Bu₄NOAc, we have established LiOAc (entry 8) as a more operationally convenient additive at 10 mol% loading (entry 9).¹⁵ In addition, we have found that DMA is the superior medium for this process while solvents such as MeCN or DMSO are also competent (entries 10 and 11, 73% and 70% yield). Finally, control experiments verified the requirement of light, an organocatalyst, and a photocatalyst, as no product was observed in the absence of these components (entries 12–14).

Reaction Scope. With the optimal coupling conditions in hand, we next sought to determine the scope of aldimines that can be employed in this new radical–radical heterocoupling protocol. As shown in Table 2, electronically “neutral” imines
(entry 1, 77% yield), as well as electron-rich arenes, increase the overall coupling efficiency (entries 2–4, 79–85% yield). We rationalized that electron-rich α-amino radicals are more nucleophilic and thereby more rapidly react with benzylic radical 1, resulting in generally higher yields. In addition, bicyclic arenes, such as naphthalene (entry 5, 85% yield) the heteroaromatic quinoline (entry 6, 58% yield) are well tolerated. With respect to the aniline-derived moiety of the imine, we found that strongly electron-donating and -withdrawing groups have a deleterious effect on overall reaction yield (entries 7 and 8, 61% and 56% yield); yet, high efficiency is achieved when halogen atoms are introduced at the para-positions (entries 9 and 10, both 84% yield). Also, aldimines that incorporate a CF3 group or pyridine-based heteroaromatics were found to be viable coupling partners for this redox process (entries 11–14, 58–75% yield).

Next we focused on expanding the range of benzylic ethers in this dual catalysis protocol (Table 3). To our delight we found that electron-rich ethers give the desired products in high yield (entry 1, 85% yield), along with para-, meta-, and ortho-substituted arenes (entries 1–4, 73–85% yield). With respect to the potential for broad application, it is important to recognize...
that a variety of protecting groups are well tolerated in this C–C bond forming process (entries 5–8, 70–82% yield). Moreover, cyclic ethers such as phthalane and isochromane are useful substrates, further demonstrating the versatility of this photoredox reaction (entries 9–11, 62–75% yield). Perhaps most notably, a wide range of heteroaromatic-containing ethers can be readily implemented using these reaction conditions (entries 12–14, 45–54% yield), an important consideration with respect to medicinal chemistry applications.

During the course of these studies, we rationalized that the rate of the transformation is likely dependent on the number of photons penetrating the reaction vessel and is therefore dependent on the illumination surface area. Thus, we investigated the progress of this transformation with respect to the size and nature of the reaction containers employed. It was shown that the typical reaction with N-phenyl imine 12 and benzyl methyl ether was slowest (22 h) in a standard reaction vial (as used in Tables 1–3). Indeed, when the same transformation was conducted in an NMR tube, the reaction proceeded with a significantly faster reaction rate with complete product formation determined at 14 h. However, the fastest protocol we have achieved thus far for this β- amino ether formation (6 h to completion) has been accomplished by maximizing the surface area via the use of PFA tubing as a reaction vessel. These results clearly demonstrate that (i) this transformation as currently performed is photon-limited with respect to the overall rate and (ii) it will likely be well suited to reaction scale-up via flow protocols.

Last, to provide further mechanistic insight into the pathway of this new photoredox coupling, we have conducted a series of Stern–Volmer quenching studies with butyl thioglycolate (41) and n-Bu$_4$N$\text{OAc}$.

Figure 1. Stern−Volmer quenching studies with butyl thioglycolate (41) and n-Bu$_4$N$\text{OAc}$.
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