Modulation of Immunoregulatory Properties of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells by Toll-Like Receptors: Potential Applications on GVHD

Bruno Sangiorgi 1,2 and Rodrigo Alexandre Panepucci 1,2

1 Genetics Department, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
2 Center for Cell-Based Therapy (CTC), Hemotherapy Center of Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to Rodrigo Alexandre Panepucci; rapane@gmail.com

Received 11 May 2016; Accepted 22 August 2016

In the last decade, the immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) have attracted a lot of attention, due to their potential applicability in the treatment of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), a condition frequently associated with opportunistic infections. The present review addresses how Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPS) modulate the immunosuppressive phenotype of human MSCs by signaling through Toll-like receptors (TLRs). Overall, we observed that regardless of the source tissue, human MSCs express TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9. Stimulation of distinct TLRs on MSCs elicits distinct inflammatory signaling pathways, differentially influencing the expression of inflammatory factors and the ability of MSCs to suppress the proliferation of immune system cells. The capacity to enhance the immunosuppressive phenotype of MSCs through TLRs stimulation might be properly elucidated in order to improve the MSC-based immunotherapy against GVHD.

1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) are a group of adherent and fibroblastoid cells, capable of self-renewing and differentiating into osteocytes, adipocytes, and chondrocytes [1–5]. Although initially described in the bone marrow, these cells can be found virtually in all body tissues besides the perivascular niche, where they are believed to play diverse roles in tissue homeostasis [6]. In the last decade, it has been observed that, in addition to the multilineage differentiation potential, these cells also show a broad immunosuppressive potential, which extends to cells from the innate and adaptive immune system [7]. Such immunomodulatory properties raised questions about their roles in immune homeostasis and attracted attention to the potential use of MSCs in cell-based immunotherapies.

Supported by their immunosuppressive potential, several clinical studies have been conducted in order to evaluate the ability of MSCs to mitigate various disorders of the immune system. The potential of MSC-based immunotherapies has been studied in autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [8] and Crohn’s disease [9,10]; however, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) has been the most studied so far [11]. In spite of encouraging in vitro studies, the effectiveness of MSC-based therapies in the treatment of GVHD has been rather variable over the clinical studies [12], revealing the need for studies regarding the factors that might account for the heterogeneous responses observed.

The variability and unsuccessfulness of MSC-based immunotherapies might be related to physical and immunological barriers. Overall, as observed by studies conducted on murine models, the trapping of infused MSCs on the lung microvasculature, possibly due to the cell size and adhesion to the vascular endothelium, might restrain the distribution of MSCs throughout the vascular system [13,14]. However, there are observations about the immunosuppressive effect of MSCs on the alveolar microenvironment, from the paracrine signaling mechanism that might be capable of inducing the
polarization of macrophages into an immunosuppressive (or M2) phenotype [15, 16]. Nevertheless, there is a possibility that the costimulatory peptides, expressed by in vitro expanded MSCs, might account for the depletion of the great majority of infused cells observed by studies conducted in murine models [17, 18]. On this subject, Lu and coworkers observed that the fragments of lysed MSCs might exert immunosuppressive properties, once the phagocytosed cell fragments are capable of inducing the acquisition of M2 phenotype by macrophages [19].

Nowadays, it is well accepted that inflammatory factors play an important role in the modulation of MSCs properties; thus, the actual inflammatory/immune state of the diseased patient may potentially greatly impact the outcome of MSC-based therapies [7, 20, 21]. A much less appreciated aspect in this context is the impact that pathogenic infections, commonly associated with the patient’s condition [22, 23], may have in the outcome of MSC-based therapies. During infections, Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs) are recognized by Toll-like receptors (TLRs), present in diverse cells of the innate immune system, modulating their responses [24–26]. The objective of this review is to provide a broad overview of how MSCs derived from human tissues may be modulated by the interaction of PAMPs and TLRs, as well as possible therapeutic implications and future directions.

### 2. Graft-versus-Host Disease

Graft-versus-host disease is a potentially fatal disease that occurs in patients undergoing transplantation of hematopoietic stem cells, triggered by immunological incompatibilities between T cells derived from donor and host’s antigen-presenting cells, resulting in an exacerbated inflammatory response and damage to various organs and tissues, especially the skin, liver, and gastrointestinal (GI) tract [22]. The GI damage poses a threat to the integrity of intestine’s innate defense epithelial barrier, comprised by the mucosal layer, innate antimicrobial peptides and innate lymphoid cells that act together in the retention and tolerance of commensal bacteria that inhabit the intestinal lumen [27]. The damage of the intestinal barrier promotes translocation of intestinal bacteria fragments, as observed by the presence of circulating lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in experimental studies of bone marrow transplantation conducted on murine models [28].

The amplification of the inflammatory response due to translocating PAMPs appears to be crucial to the increase in the severity of GVHD, considering that studies in murine models [29, 30] and also clinical studies [31–33] have shown a reduction in the incidence and severity of the disease, when study subjects were submitted to intestinal decontamination prior to the transplant.

Because of the pathophysiology of GVHD and the treatment used to combat the disease, transplanted patients are characteristically immunosuppressed, with reduced quantities of distinct sets of innate immune system cells, what in turn makes the patients highly susceptible to infections [34]. Patients can be affected both by viral infections stemming from the reactivation of latent viruses, such as cytomegalovirus and herpes simplex virus, or through new infections following transplantation, such as the influenza virus [35]. Although control measures may successfully mitigate the reactivation of opportunistic viruses, diseases caused by fungi, especially *Aspergillus*, are the major cause of infectious mortality and morbidity after transplantation [36, 37]. In addition, immunocompromised patients have a prolonged risk of infection by bacteria, like *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *C. difficile colitis*, *Mycobacterium sp.* and antibiotic-resistant bacteria [35].

### 3. Toll-Like Receptors

Cells of the innate immune system distinguish the body’s own molecules (self) from those belonging to the pathogen (non-self) through specific receptors, such as Toll-like receptors (TLR), a group of 10 functional proteins in humans [38]. Toll-like receptors are type I transmembrane proteins with an N-terminal domain-containing leucine-rich repeats, which are responsible for recognition of ligands, as well as a C-terminal region containing a Toll/interleukin-1 (TIR) domain. In cells of the innate immune system, TLRs are present as homodimers (formed by the same receptor) or heterodimers (consisting of different receptors) that recognize PAMPs derived from bacteria, fungi, and viruses [39]. Importantly, the location of the Toll-like receptors is associated with the nature of the ligand recognized (Table 1), once the protein and lipid receptors (such as TLR2 and TLR4) are distributed in the surface plasma membrane, while nucleic acid receptors (such as TLR3 and TLR9) are located in intracellular compartments.

| PAMP                      | TLR       | Transcription factors | Target genes                                      |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Triacyl lipopeptide       | TLR1/2*   | NF-κB                 | Proinflammatory cytokines                         |
| Diacyl lipopeptide        | TLR2/6*   | NF-κB                 | Proinflammatory cytokines                         |
| dsRNA                     | TLR3*     | IRF                   | Type I INF                                        |
| LPS                       | TLR4*     | NF-κB and IRF         | Proinflammatory cytokines and type I INF          |
| Flagellin                 | TLR5*     | NF-κB                 | Proinflammatory cytokines                         |
| ssRNA                     | TLR7/8*   | NF-κB and IRF (pDCs)  | Proinflammatory cytokines and type I INF (pDCs)   |
| CpG-DNA                   | TLR9*     | NF-κB and IRF (pDCs)  | Proinflammatory cytokines and type I INF (pDCs)   |

Notes: PAMPs derived from viruses, bacteria, and fungi are recognized by Toll-like receptors, in homodimers or heterodimers, resulting in the activation of transcription factors with subsequent transcription of target genes. *Located in the plasma membrane. †Located in intracellular compartments.
such as endoplasmic reticulum, endosomes and lysosomes [40].

Studies on the functions of TLRs performed on antigen-presenting cells (APC) have shown that the stimulation of these receptors has the effect of inducing the expression of lymphocyte costimulatory proteins, as well as the secretion of inflammatory cytokines that are crucial for the recruitment and activation of lymphocytes in order to combat infection by pathogens (Table 1) [25]. Toll-like receptors can activate the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) pathway through two distinct signaling cascades: the myeloid differentiation primary response 88- (MyD88-) dependent and Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-beta (TRIF-) dependent (also known as "MyD88-independent") pathways. With the exception of TLR3, the stimulation of all Toll-like receptors leads to the activation of MyD88, responsible for binding to TLR, as well as a death domain (DD) responsible for its connection to IL-1 receptor-associated kinases (IRAKs) [41]. IRAKs activation leads to the recruitment and activation of tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor-6 (TRAF-6), which assists in the activation of the IkB kinase (IKK) complex and subsequent degradation of inhibitor of kappa B (IκB), resulting in the release and nuclear translocation of NF-κB transcription factor, leading to the expression of proinflammatory cytokines [42]. In plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), signaling through the MyD88-dependent pathway (downstream TLR7 or TLR9) activates NF-κB and IFN-regulatory factor-7 (IRF-7) with the consequent expression of type I IFN genes, mediated by an association between IRF-7 and TRAF-6 [43]. The activation of TRIF-dependent pathway occurs after the stimulation of TLR3 or TLR4, when the latter is in endosomal compartments of phagosomes resulting in the activation of NF-κB, as well as IFN-regulatory factor-3 (IRF-3) and IRF-7 [44, 45]. Table 1 shows the different PAMPs and the cognate TLRs associated with their recognition (and their localization in the cell), as well as the main downstream effects.

Although TLRs stimulation, in general, induces the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, TLR9 stimulation may induce an anti-inflammatory phenotype on dendritic cells. As suggested by Puccetti and collaborators, the mechanism underlying the acquisition of an anti-inflammatory phenotype of TLR9-stimulated DCs might result from the expression of type I interferons, which bind to interferon receptors in an autocrine fashion, leading to the activation of the anti-inflammatory noncanonical NF-κB pathway and consequently IDO expression, which induces differentiation of regulatory T cells [46]. Overall, depending on the nature of the receptor, ligand, and cell type, distinct inflammatory pathways may be activated, leading to different effects on a given cell of the innate immune system.

4. The Modulatory Activity of Inflammatory Factors on the Immunoregulation Mediated by Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

So far, several in vitro studies have observed the ability of MSCs from bone marrow (BM), adipose tissue (AT), and umbilical cord (UC) to suppress or modulate the immunological properties of various cells of the innate and adaptive immune system [47]. During this event of immunosuppression, MSCs act through paracrine signaling and cell-cell contacts. Interestingly, studies to date have observed that the presence of an inflammatory environment has the ability to drastically alter the immunosuppressive potential of MSC, through changes in the expression of both adhesion molecules and soluble factors [48, 49]. However, studies conducted on human and murine MSCs observed a similar immune suppressive capacity on cocultures with direct contact or separated by a membrane permeable to soluble factors (transwell), what indicates a major role of paracrine signaling mechanism over cell contact on immune suppression [50, 51], particularly, by secreted soluble factors such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), prostaglandin E2 (PGE-2), interleukin-10 (IL-10), and IDO [20, 52–54].

The inflammatory environment is capable of modulating the expression of pro- and anti-inflammatory factors produced by MSCs from different sources. In a study conducted by Prasanna and coworkers, it was noticed that stimulation by IFN-γ was able to increaseIDO gene expression and to enhance the immunosuppressive activity of MSCs derived from both bone marrow and Wharton's jelly. On the other hand, only the latter showed an increase in transcript and protein levels of HGF after TNF-α stimulation, indicating that the responsiveness of MSCs to inflammatory factors may vary depending on its source [48]. The modulation of the immunosuppressive capacity was also observed by DelaRosa and coworkers, as IFN-γ treatment, but not TNF-α, resulted in an increase in the immunosuppressive capacity mediated by soluble factors, on MSCs derived from adipose tissue [55]. In contrast, English and coworkers found no changes in the immunosuppressive function of murine BM-MSCs after stimulation with IFN-γ or TNF-α, although both cytokines regulated, at different levels, the expression of anti-inflammatory factors [56].

Altogether, previous studies showed that IFN-γ stimulation may enhance the immunosuppressive properties of MSCs, what corroborates the hypothesis that mesenchymal stromal cells need to be licensed in order to reach their maximum immunosuppressive potential [7]. Importantly, inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN-γ and TNF-α, activate signaling pathways common to those downstream of Toll-like receptors, upon recognition of PAMPs [57]. Thus, the study of PAMP-TLR signaling is of critical importance to gain knowledge on the factors that might regulate the immunosuppressive properties exerted by mesenchymal stromal cells.

5. The Expression of Toll-Like Receptors on Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

To date, several in vitro studies have been conducted in order to assess the presence and function of Toll-like receptors, especially TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9, in human MSCs obtained from different sources such as bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord. The expression of Toll-like receptors in MSC, from different sources, has been evaluated
in several studies at the transcript or protein level (Table 2). So far, bone marrow was the most common MSCs source in the studies evaluating the presence of TLR, while fewer studies used cells derived from adipose tissue and umbilical cord. In general, transcripts of Toll-like receptors: TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9 were reported to be expressed in MSCs from all sources [58–62]. However, there are reports claiming the absence of TLR4 transcripts on UC-MSC [61] and TLR9 on BM-MSCs [63, 64]. Interestingly, all studies observed the expression of transcripts for TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9, evaluated at gene or protein expression levels on mesenchymal stromal cells from different sources. Gene expression was evaluated by techniques of quantitative PCR or reverse-transcription PCR, while protein expression was evaluated by western blot or flow cytometry. AT (adipose tissue), BM (bone Marrow), and UC (umbilical cord). P: presence; A: absence.

Table 2: Gene and protein expression of Toll-like receptors MSCs from different sources.

| Source | TLR2 | TLR3 | TLR4 | TLR9 | TLR2 | TLR3 | TLR4 | TLR9 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| AT     | P [58, 60, 61, 65] | P [50, 58, 60, 61, 65] | P [50, 58, 60, 61, 65] | P [58, 60, 61, 65] | P [60, 65] | P [60, 61] | P [60, 61, 65] | P [60] |
| BM     | P [61–64, 68] | P [50, 61–64, 68, 69] | P [61–64, 68, 69] | P [61, 62, 68] | P [62, 63, 66] | P [61–64, 66, 70] | P [61–64, 66, 70] | P [62, 66] |
| UC     | P [50, 59, 61, 71, 72] | P [50, 59, 61, 71, 72] | P [50, 59, 61, 71, 72] | P [50, 59, 61, 71] | A [61] | A [59] | A [59] | A [59] |

Notes: presence or absence of Toll-like receptors: TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9, evaluated at gene or protein expression levels on mesenchymal stromal cells.

6. The Modulatory Effect Exerted by Toll-Like Receptors on the Expression of Inflammatory Factors by Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

To date, studies conducted on human MSCs observed that mesenchymal stromal cells, derived from various sources, have a functional signaling cascade of Toll-like receptors. Similar to what is observed on innate immune system cells, the study conducted by Chen and coworkers in UC-MSCs described that the stimulation of Toll-like receptors TLR2 and TLR4, but not TLR3 and TLR9, leads to the activation of the NF-κB pathway [59]. Moreover, studies performed with BM-MSCs found the presence of NF-κB in the nuclear extracts after the stimulation with LPS and polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (POLY-IC) [64] and a synthetic dsRNA, as well as the phosphorylation of IKKα/β [62]. IkB-α degradation was observed by Lombardo and coworkers, on AT-MSC, after the stimulation of TLR2, TLR3, or TLR4 [65].

As expected for the activation of inflammatory pathways, the stimulation of Toll-like receptors TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9, in general, is followed by increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines (Table 3), mainly interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interleukin-8 (IL-8), regardless of the source of MSC [59, 60, 62, 64, 65, 70]. Studies conducted on AT-MSCs have also found an increased expression of IL-1β [60, 61] and TNF-α [60] following TLRs stimulation, which was found to be unaltered by Lombardo and coworkers [65]. Interestingly, while the study conducted by Raicevic and coworkers has found a similar level of increase in the protein expression of IL-6 and IL-8 after the stimulation of LPS or POLY-IC [61], Lombardo and coworkers have found a greater increase in the levels of those cytokines after the stimulation with POLY-IC, in comparison to PGN and LPS, which also led to a more rapid degradation of IkB-α, a repressor of NF-κB [65].

Studies conducted on BM-MSC, in general, have found that the stimulation with LPS or POLY-IC led to an increase in the expression of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-8 [61–63, 68, 70]. However, despite contrasting findings, independent studies have observed a more pronounced increase of IL-6 and IL-8 expression after the stimulation with LPS in comparison to POLY-IC [68, 70, 77]. The effects of TLR3 or TLR4 stimulation regarding alterations on TNF-α expression levels are less clear, as independent studies have observed a maintenance [68, 75] or even reduction [62, 78] of its expression level. Likewise, there is a current need for further studies on TLR9 stimulation on BM-MSC, once our findings indicate that TLR9 stimulation maintained the expression of proinflammatory factors (with exception of TNF-α that was reduced) [66], which were found to increase by Tomchuck and coworkers [62].

Similar to what was found on different sources of mesenchymal stromal cells, in general, studies conducted on UC-MSCs have observed an increase in the expression levels of
Table 3: Effects of Toll-like receptors on MSCs from different sources.

| Source | PAMP      | Proinflammatory factors | Anti-inflammatory factors | Suppressive potential |
|--------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|        |           | IL-1β                   | IL-6                      | IL-8                  | TNF-α                 | IDO*   | PGE2   | TGF-β  |                      |
| AT     | PGN       | ↑[60]                   | ↑[65]                     | ↑[60]                 | =[65]                 | =[65]  |       |        | =[65]                 |
|        | POLY-IC   | ↑[58, 60, 61]           | ↑[58, 61, 65]             | ↑[58, 60]             | ↑[65]                 | =[65]  | ↑[73]  | =[58]  | ↑[73]                 |
|        | LPS       | ↑[60, 61]               | ↑[61, 65]                 | ↑[60]                 | =[65]                 | =[61]  | ↓[61]  | =[61]  | =[61, 65]             |
|        | CpG-ODN   | ↑[60]                   | ↑[65]                     | =[60]                 | =[60]                 |       |       |       |                      |
| BM     | PGN       | ↑[61–64, 68–70, 75, 76] | =[66, 75]                 | ↑[66, 75]             | ↑[76, 77]             | ↑[70, 77]| =[64]  | =[61]  | =[61, 64]             |
|        | POLY-IC   | ↑[61–64, 68–70, 75, 77] | ↑[64, 75]                 | ↑[67, 75]             | ↓[62]                 | =[64]  | ↑[61]  | =[61, 75]|
|        | LPS       | ↑[61–64, 68–70, 75, 77] | ↑[66, 68–70, 74, 75, 77] | ↑[66, 68, 75]        | ↑[67, 77]             | ↑[70, 77]| =[64, 66, 70]| =[61]  | =[61, 64, 77]|
|        | CpG-ODN   | ↑[62]                   | ↑[62]                     | ↑[62]                 | ↑[62]                 | ↑[66]  | ↑[66]  |        |                      |
| UC     | PGN       | =[66]                   | =[66]                     | =[62, 66]             | =[62]                 | =[66]  |       |        |                      |
|        | POLY-IC   | ↑[59, 71, 72]           | ↑[59, 71, 72]             | ↑[59, 71, 72]         | ↑[59, 71, 72]         | =[61]  | ↑[59, 72]| =[61]  |                      |
|        | LPS       | ↑[59, 71, 72, 72]       | ↑[59, 71, 72, 72]         | ↑[59, 71, 72, 72]     | ↑[59, 71, 72, 72]     | =[61]  | ↑[59, 72]| =[61]  |                      |
|        | CpG-ODN   | ↑[59]                   | ↑[59]                     | ↑[59]                 | ↑[59]                 | ↑[59]  | ↑[59]  |        |                      |

Notes. Observations of the effects driven by the stimulation of different TLRs, on mesenchymal stromal cells from different sources, on the gene and protein expression of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8), and TNF-α, gene and protein expression of anti-inflammatory factors: IDO (IDO), TGF-β (TGF-β), and PGE2, and the capacity to suppress the proliferation of lymphocytes (suppressive potential). ↑: increase; =: no alteration; ↓: reduction. *Alterations of IDO expression levels or activity to induce tryptophan catabolism.

IL-6 and IL-8 following stimulation with LPS or POLY-IC [59, 71, 72]. Interestingly, Fuenzalida and coworkers observed that, in comparison to TLR3 stimulation, TLR4 stimulation led to higher increases in IL-6 and IL-8 expression levels [72]. This differential modulation in IL-6 expression levels upon stimulus with LPS or POLY-IC was similarly observed by Chen and coworkers and Zhao and coworkers, while IL-6 levels increased similarly, regardless of the stimulus used [59, 71]. Taken together, the findings of independent studies conducted on MSCs from different sources indicate that although the stimulation of TLR3 and TLR4 leads to the activation of the NF-κB pathway, the upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines is more pronounced on TLR4-stimulated MSC. In addition to inducing changes in the profile of proinflammatory factors secreted by MSCs, the stimulation of Toll-like receptors can also modulate the expression of anti-inflammatory factors, mainly IDO and TGF-β [61, 64–66, 77]. Up to date, few studies have been conducted on AT-MSCs that have observed that TLRs stimulation did not alter the expression of anti-inflammatory factors [58, 61, 65]. However, Lombardo and coworkers observed that the stimulation with POLY-IC, but not PGN or LPS, was followed by an increase in IDO activity in AT-MSCs, but only in the highest concentration evaluated (10 μg/mL) [65]. In line with these results, Mancheño-Corvo and coworkers observed that TLR3 stimulation on AT-MSCs also increased IDO activity, as well as PGE2 protein levels [73].

Similar to AT-MSCs, due to the contrasting findings so far, the effects of POLY-IC in the induction of an anti-inflammatory phenotype on BM-MSCs are still unclear. Overall, the studies conducted up to now indicate that the stimulation of TLR3 leads to an increase in the expression or activity of IDO [76, 77], as well as a reduction in the expression of TGF-β [61, 70, 75, 77]. Likewise, independent studies observed that TLR4 stimulation on BM-MSCs was followed by an increase in the levels or activity of IDO [76, 77] and maintenance [64, 70] or reduction [61, 77] of TGF-β levels. So far, the effects of TLR9 stimulation on
the expression of anti-inflammatory factors on BM-MSCs were not properly described; nevertheless, we observed that treatment with the CpG oligodeoxynucleotide (CpG-ODN) DSP30 led to slight increase in the gene expression of TGF-β. To date, there were few studies about the capacity of TLRs stimulation to modulate the expression of anti-inflammatory factors on UC-MSCs; however, the findings indicate that the stimulation of TLR2, TLR3, or TLR9 leads to an upregulation of IFN-β [59, 71, 72, 79].

Taken together, several independent studies noted an increased expression and/or activity of IFN-β after the stimulation of Toll-like receptors in cells derived from umbilical cord [59, 71], bone marrow [76, 77], and adipose tissue [65]. Strikingly, Opitz and coworkers observed that IFN-β expression following TLR3 or TLR4 engagement on BM-MSCs is dependent on an autocrine loop, initiated by the expression of IDO after the stimulation of Toll-like receptors in cells derived from umbilical cord [59, 71], bone marrow [76, 77], and adipose tissue [59].

7. The Modulatory Effect Exerted by Toll-Like Receptors on the Suppressive Capacity of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

In order to evaluate changes in the ability of MSCs to suppress the proliferation of lymphocytes, due to the stimulation with toll-like receptor, in general, immunosuppression assays were performed using mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR) [61, 63, 64, 76, 77]. Proliferation of the lymphocytes was measured by incorporation of 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrDU) during DNA synthesis [59, 61, 63], or the fluorescence loss of the intracellular dye carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) [65, 73, 77] during cell divisions. Importantly, results measured by BrDU incorporation and CFSE loss are comparable [55, 80].

Studies of Opitz and coworkers, as well as Waterman and coworkers, observed an increase in lymphocytes suppression mediated by BM-MSCs after the stimulation of TLR3 [76, 77]; however, the opposite was observed in the studies of Raicevic and coworkers and also Liotta and coworkers [63, 64]. In accordance with the increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines, observed in aforementioned studies, a greater number of studies found a reduction in the suppressive potential of BM-MSCs, after TLR4 stimulation [63, 64, 66, 77]. Despite the current need for further studies to elucidate the effects driven by TLR9 stimulation on the suppressive capacity of BM-MSCs, we have shown an increase on the suppressive capacity after the treatment with CpG-ODN in a MSCs/T-lymphocytes coculture setup [66].

To date, a very limited number of studies have been carried out to evaluate the suppressive potential of MSCs derived from umbilical cord and adipose tissue, following the stimulation with Toll-like receptors. In the study by Chen and coworkers, it was observed that the stimulation of TLR3 or TLR9 on UC-MSCs increased the suppression of lymphocyte proliferation, what would be related to the induction of IDO expression, which was unaltered after stimulation of TLR2 and TLR4 [59]. Likewise, Fuenzalida and coworkers observed an increase and maintenance on the suppressive potential of UC-MSCs following the stimulation of TLR3 and TLR4, respectively, which also might be related to the induction of IDO expression [72]. Interestingly, despite the increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines following the stimulation of Toll-like receptors, observed in several studies on AT-MSCs, studies conducted by Raicevic and Lombardo showed that the suppressive potential was unchanged after stimulation with various TLRs ligands [61, 65].

8. Concluding Remarks

Up to date, several clinical trials were performed using BM-MSCs to ameliorate GVHD, with variable results [12]. Besides physical and immunological barriers, the variability of MSC-based immunotherapies might be related to the modulatory activity driven by TLRs stimulation on the immune phenotype of MSCs. In this review, we addressed the capacity of TLRs stimulation to modulate the expression of pro- and anti-inflammatory factors, as well as the suppressive activity exerted by MSCs on activated immune system cells.

In order to provide a broad overview of the studies evaluating the roles of TLR signaling in MSCs, our review had to limit the specific discussion of potential factors that might account for the heterogeneity of the results presented by different studies conducted so far. For instance, differences in concentration, treatment time, and molecular structure of the TLRs agonists, which may impact substantially the results observed, were not addressed by us. Despite the specificity of lipopolysaccharide towards TLR4, contamination with lipopeptides may occur depending on the method of extraction of this bacterial component, leading to an unwanted stimulation of TLR2 [81]. Differences in the concentration and molecular weight of the TLR3 ligand POLY-IC may result in different levels of IRF-3 activation and type I interferon expression [82]. The CpG oligodeoxynucleotides (CpG-ODNs) synthetic constructs may be grouped into three classes (A, B, and C) according to differences in molecular structure and the effect on immune system cells, especially B lymphocytes and dendritic cells [83–87]; thus, different constructs used may also differentially impact the immunosuppressive properties of MSC.

As a whole, independent studies have observed the gene and protein expression of Toll-like receptors: TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9 in human mesenchymal stromal cells from different sources [58–62]. Additionally, it was observed that the stimulation of those receptors on MSCs might elicit the activation of signaling pathways also observed on innate immune system cells, as NF-κB [62, 65] and IRF [59] transcription factors. Altogether, those observations indicate that MSCs have a functional signaling cascade of Toll-like receptors, which is especially relevant considering the potential use of MSCs on immunotherapies against GVHD, a disease often affected by associated infections [22, 23].
The activation of NF-κB in MSCs, by peptidoglycan, LPS, dsRNA, or CpG-ODN, has worrying implications for cell-therapy, given that such ligands mimic PAMPs found in several pathogens that commonly affect GVHD patients. Depending on the pathogens and PAMPs milieu present in the patient that receives the MSCs infusion, the stimulation of TLRs on infused MSCs might hamper their immunosuppressive properties or even enhance the inflammatory process.

Besides the activation of the NF-κB pathway, the stimulation of TLR3, TLR4, and TLR9 was able to activate the transcription factor IRF in mesenchymal stromal cells, leading to IFN-β expression, which might have led to the activation of IDO synthesis by an autocrine signaling mechanism. The synthesis of IFN-β after the stimulation of Toll-like receptors has the potential to enhance the effectiveness of MSC-based immunotherapy, in view of the ability of this cytokine to reduce the capacity of dendritic cells to present antigens [88], a crucial event on the initiation of GVHD [89]. Stimulation with IFN-β inhibits the differentiation of Th17 cells, a group of cells with an active role in autoimmune diseases [90], besides inducing the differentiation of Treg cells [91]. Treatment with IFN-β has been effective in promoting the survival of patients with multiple sclerosis, an autoimmune neurodegenerative disease, and it has not been associated with life-threatening adverse effects during long-term treatment [92, 93]. Thus, specific TLRs ligands could be used for the ex vivo priming of MSC, aiming to increase their immunosuppressive properties.

This study addressed the alterations of the cytokines that were most commonly evaluated on mesenchymal stromal cells; therefore, there is a need to study the effects of TLR signaling on the expression of additional anti-inflammatory or proinflammatory factors. For instance, our group recently observed that the stimulation of TLR9 on BM-MSCs led to a significant increase on the expression of ectonucleotidases involved on the synthesis of adenosine, a potent immunosuppressant, as well as a slight increase in adenosine synthesis [66]. Amarnath and coworkers demonstrated, in a xenogeneic human-into-mouse GVHD model, that MSCs infusion led to an increased amount of exosomes competent to produce adenosine and, moreover, the immunosuppression mediated by MSCs was mitigated after the addition of adenosine receptor inhibitors [94].

As a whole, the results obtained by different in vitro studies suggest that the stimulation of Toll-like receptors on human mesenchymal stromal cells could have beneficial or detrimental effects in the immunotherapy against GVHD. Additional mechanisms related to the regulatory effect of TLRs stimulation on the survival of infused MSCs, an important barrier to the success of the MSC-based immunotherapies, might also prove relevant. On this subject, Giuliani and coworkers observed that TLR3 triggering, but not TLR4, protects BM-MSCs from lysis by NK cells, which may have been influenced by the dissociation of surface MICA on BM-MSCs [70]. Overall, a clear understanding of TLRs signaling in MSCs has the potential to improve the manipulation of these cells in order to direct a specific anti-inflammatory behavior.
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| AD: | Adipose tissue |
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| IL: | Interleukin |
| IRAK: | IL-1 receptor-associated kinase |
| IRF: | IFN-regulatory factor |
| LPS: | Lipopolysaccharide |
| MLR: | Mixed lymphocyte reaction |
| MSCs: | Mesenchymal stromal cells |
| MyD88: | Myeloid differentiation primary response 88 |
| NF-κB: | Nuclear factor kappa B |
| PGE-2: | Prostaglandin E2 |
| POLY-IC: | Polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid |
| TGF-β: | Transforming growth factor beta |
| TLR: | Toll-like receptor |
| TNF-α: | Tumor necrosis factor alpha |
| TRAF: | Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor |
| TRIF: | Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-beta |
| UC: | Umbilical cord |
| VCAM-1: | Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 |
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