Comparison of the effectiveness of ultrasound elastography with that of conventional ultrasound for differential diagnosis of thyroid lesions with suspicious ultrasound features
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Abstract. Ultrasound elastography (USE) has been used for quantitative imaging since the early 1990s. The aim of the present study was to compare the effectiveness of USE with that of conventional ultrasound in the differential diagnosis of benign vs. malignant thyroid nodules. USE was performed on 150 thyroid nodules in 123 patients. USE demonstrated a lower grade of elasticity (grade I/II) in 86% of benign nodules and a decreased strain ratio (2.30±1.01) compared with malignant nodules. USE of malignant nodules exhibited a higher grade of elasticity (grade III/IV) in 90% of nodules and an increased strain ratio (6.39±2.50). The results of the present study indicate that USE and strain ratio may be useful in the differential diagnosis of thyroid nodules.

Introduction

The worldwide incidence of thyroid malignancy has increased >2-fold since the 1970s (1). Improvements in the diagnostic capabilities for microcarcinomas, for which long-term outcomes are generally excellent, has contributed to this increase (2,3). Surgical excision is the gold-standard method for differentiating malignant from benign thyroid disease. Ultrasound (US)-guided fine needle aspiration (FNA) with cytology (FNAC) is not warranted in the evaluation of all thyroid nodules, >95% of which are benign (4-6).

US is non-invasive and does not expose patients to ionizing radiation, and high-resolution US has become the gold-standard modality for imaging thyroid nodules. In its conventional two-dimensional application, US is useful for guiding FNA, for distinguishing cystic from solid nodules and for elucidating other aspects of nodular morphology. Malignancy is more likely in nodules exhibiting hypoechochogenicity, punctate microlcalfications, elevated central color flow, rough edges, a high anteroposterior to transverse diameter or lack of a halo (7). However, as a number of these features lack accuracy in distinguishing benign lesions from malignancy, the usefulness of conventional US is limited (8-11).

Tissue elasticity is another important index for assessing the risk of malignancy. When a thyroid nodule is palpable, an elevated index of suspicion is justified when physical examination reveals that it is firm compared with the surrounding tissue. Only a minority of thyroid nodules are palpable, however, and assessment of firmness is subjective and influenced markedly by the location and size of the lesion (4). Although the Doppler mode adds a valuable dimension to conventional US, it provides no useful information with respect to nodule elasticity (4,12-14).

First utilized to evaluate thyroid nodules by Lyschik et al (15), US elastography (USE) has been used in other tissues since the early 1990s (16,17). By quantifying the degree of distortion resulting from a force applied to tissue, USE generates estimates of the stiffness/elasticity of a tissue and comparisons of the stiffness of different tissues (4,7). Consequently, the technique has been termed ‘electronic palpation’ (4). In comparison with soft nodules, firm nodules are less prone to deformation when compressive forces are applied (i.e. they are less elastic) and the lower elasticity is associated with the risk of malignancy (4,7,18-20). In addition to selecting high-risk nodules for further evaluation by FNAC, the elasticity information from USE may aid in the diagnosis of nodules that are deemed cytologically indeterminate (21).

The aim of the present study was therefore to compare effectiveness of USE with that of conventional US in the differential diagnosis of benign vs. malignant thyroid nodules.

Materials and methods

Patients. The present retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The First Affiliated Hospital of Medical College (Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China) who waived the requirement for informed consent due to the
retrospective nature of the study. A total of 123 consecutive patients [101 females and 22 males; age range, between 23 and 56 years (median, 40 years)] who underwent examination at The First Affiliated Hospital of Medical College for thyroid nodules from January 2012 to February 2013 were included. None of these patients had any environmental exposure or genetic predisposition to thyroid carcinoma.

The nodule diameters ranged between 0.5 and 1.6 cm. All patients underwent routine preoperative conventional US and elastography examinations. All nodules were confirmed by pathology. The length of time between US and surgery was ~2 weeks.

A number of the 150 nodules were surgically excised and a number of diagnoses were made using FNAC. Accepted criteria for FNAC biopsy results were used and the pathologists who made the histological diagnosis were blinded to the results of conventional US and USE. No prior imaging was reviewed before enrollment.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria. To be included in the present study, each nodule from each patient was required to meet the following requirements: A maximum diameter <2 cm, and no obvious abnormal echo within the surrounding thyroid tissue.

If the nodule volume was too large (maximum length >2 cm), the cystic component of a nodule was >50% of the total volume, or the nodule was located within the thyroid isthmus, the patient was excluded, because the above conditions would result in poor elastographic image quality.

On the basis of parameters used in the routine US diagnosis (22), all masses were suspicious for malignancy if they contained solid hypoechoes, unclear boundary, no peripheral halo, irregular shape, posterior echo attenuation, an aspect ratio ≥1, increased perfusion or diffuse internal sand-like microcalcifications. Therefore, those patients with such nodules were considered high-risk patients. Nodules suspected of malignancy were included in the present study. Nodules that were highly suspicious for malignant tumors were surgically resected. The subjects were also included in the study group due to results of indeterminate FNAC and referral for surgery. Of 180 nodules evaluated, 30 did not meet the inclusion criteria and were therefore excluded. The remaining 150 nodules from 123 patients were included in the present study for further analysis (Fig. 1).

The presence of a single US criterion for malignancy was sufficient for inclusion. Not all high-risk nodules exhibit all the features of malignancy, i.e. a number exhibited only one or two malignant features. Not all nodules suspicious for malignancy exhibited internal microcalcifications. Masses with solid hypoechoes, unclear boundary, irregular shape, posterior echo attenuation, length/width ratio ≥1 and diffuse microcalcifications were diagnosed as malignant lesions. Non-high-risk subjects did not undergo USE. Only high-risk subjects were assessed using USE.

In order to avoid subjectivity of scoring, each patient was diagnosed by two radiologists with >5 years of experience in US diagnosis. If the two radiologists differed in their diagnoses, they conferred to reach a final consensus. The radiologists were blinded to the clinical data, but knew the results of the traditional US.

**Instrumentation.** Elastographic data were obtained using an EUB-7500 platform and Hi Vision Preirus color Doppler ultrasonography instrument (both Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) equipped with real-time USE (RE) software. The probe frequency was between 6 and 13 MHz.

**US evaluation.** The lesions were first examined by grayscale US, and routine horizontal and vertical scans were performed. Tumor location, size, shape, boundary, internal echoes and cervical lymph nodes were evaluated. Subsequently, color and pulsed Doppler ultrasonography were performed to observe blood flow within the lesions, followed by elastography. The sampling frame [i.e. the region of interest (ROI)] was increased between 2- and 3-fold compared with the lesion. A handheld probe was placed at the site of the lesion and the pressure was maintained at between 3 and 4 according to the digital display. The dual-amplitude real-time display function was used to display the two-dimensional and elastography maps simultaneously to enable comparison between the hardness of each lesion and its surrounding normal thyroid tissues. When stable elasticity images were obtained, ROIs were selected in both the lesional area and surrounding normal thyroid tissues to calculate the strain ratio between the two.

**Hardness grade of lesions on elastography images.** According to the color display of the lesions (i.e. relative hardness), the lesion elasticity was divided into four grades (23): Grade I, lesions and surrounding tissues had uniform green color; grade II, lesions were mainly green, and the periphery and a small amount of inside areas were blue; grade III, lesions were mainly blue in color, exhibiting disordered interlacing blue and green color; and grade IV, lesions were completely blue.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are represented as the median with an inter-quartile range (IQR, the range between the 25th and 75th percentiles) for continuous variables due to non-normal data distributions, and as frequencies with percentages for categorical variables. The comparisons between benign and...
malignant groups were performed by Wilcoxon’s rank sum test for continuous data and by χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical data. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to examine the diagnostic performance characteristics of USE (i.e. grade and strain ratio) vs. traditional US to distinguish benign and malignant thyroid nodules. To compare the distinguishing ability among three parameters, the point estimate with 95% confidence interval (CI) of the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was provided as an index to compare global test performance; pairwise comparison of AUC among three parameters was also performed. Youden’s index was used to determine the optimal cut-off point to differentiate benign from malignant thyroid nodules, which were determined by maximizing the sum of sensitivity and specificity (24). The corresponding sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy were provided at the optimal threshold values. The statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and two-tailed P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Demographic and pathological characteristics of patients and thyroid nodules. The characteristics of patients and thyroid nodules are summarized in Table I. Of 123 patients (a total of 150 thyroid nodules), 50 patients were diagnosed with malignant nodules (papillary carcinoma, n=48; follicular carcinoma, n=1; medullary carcinoma, n=1) and 100 patients were diagnosed with benign nodules (nodular goiter, n=70; adenoma, n=28; Hürthle cell thyroid tumors, n=2). A total of 50 patients were identified to exhibit ≥1 malignant thyroid nodule (6 patients exhibited malignant and benign thyroid nodules) and 73 patients were identified to exhibit all benign thyroid nodules. The patients in the malignant group were significantly younger compared with those in the benign group [median (IQR), 36 (31-40) years vs. 44 (39-48) years; P<0.001]. The malignant thyroid nodules were significantly smaller compared with the benign nodules [median (IQR), 8.0 (6.0-9.0) mm vs. 9.0 (8.0-11.0) mm; P<0.001].

Table I. Demographic and pathological characteristics of patients and thyroid nodules.

| Characteristic                  | Benign   | Malignant | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Patient characteristics        |          |           |         |
| No. of patients                | 73       | 50        |         |
| Median age, years (IQR)        | 44 (39-48)| 36 (31-40)| <0.001 |
| Sex, n (%)                     |          |           |         |
| Female                         | 64 (87.7)| 37 (74.0) | 0.052   |
| Male                           | 9 (12.3) | 13 (26.0) |         |
| Thyroid nodule characteristics |          |           |         |
| No. of thyroid nodules         | 100      | 50        |         |
| Median size, mm (IQR)          | 9.0 (8.0-11.0)| 8.0 (6.0-9.0)| <0.001 |
| Pathology, n (%)               |          |           |         |
| Papillary carcinoma            | 0 (0.0)  | 48 (96.0) |         |
| Follicular carcinoma           | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (2.0)   |         |
| Medullary carcinoma            | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (2.0)   |         |
| Nodular goiter                 | 70 (70.0)| 0 (0.0)   |         |
| Adenoma                        | 28 (28.0)| 0 (0.0)   |         |
| Hürthle cell thyroid tumor     | 2 (2.0)  | 0 (0.0)   |         |

A total of 6 patients in the malignant group exhibited malignant and benign thyroid nodules. aP-value determined by Wilcoxon’s rank sum test. bP-value determined by χ² test. cP-value determined by Fisher’s exact test. IQR, interquartile range.

Table II. Distribution of elastography grades between benign and malignant thyroid nodules.

| Elastography grade | Benign, n (%) | Malignant, n (%) |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| I                  | 46/100 (46.0) | 1/50 (2.0)      |
| II                 | 40/100 (40.0) | 4/50 (8.0)      |
| III                | 10/100 (10.0) | 15/50 (30.0)    |
| IV                 | 4/100 (4.0)   | 30/50 (60.0)    |

A significant association between higher elastography grade and malignant nodules was identified (P<0.001 determined by χ² test).

Elastography grade and pathological results of thyroid nodules. The distribution of elastography grades (25) between benign and malignant thyroid nodules are presented in Table II. In total, 86 (86%) benign thyroid nodules were classified as grade I and II, and 45 (90%) malignant thyroid nodules were classified as grade III and IV. A significant association between higher elastography grade and malignant thyroid nodules was identified (P<0.001). Representative USE images are presented in Figs. 2 and 3.
Table III. Comparison of diagnostic performance in distinguishing benign from malignant thyroid nodules using elastography grade, strain ratio and traditional ultrasound.

| Diagnostic method          | Sensitivity, % (CI) | Specificity, % (CI) | PPV, % (CI) | NPV, % (CI) | Accuracy, % (CI) |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Elastography grade\(^a\)  | 90.0 (81.7-98.3)    | 86.0 (79.2-92.8)    | 76.3 (65.4-87.1) | 94.5 (89.8-99.2) | 87.3 (82.0-92.7) |
| Strain ratio\(^b\)         | 88.0 (79.0-97.0)    | 93.0 (88.0-98.0)    | 86.3 (76.8-95.7) | 93.9 (89.2-98.6) | 91.3 (86.8-95.8) |
| Traditional ultrasound\(^c\)| 82.0 (71.4-92.7)    | 69.0 (59.9-78.1)    | 56.9 (45.5-68.4) | 88.5 (81.4-95.6) | 73.3 (66.3-80.4) |

The criteria for detecting malignant thyroid nodules were as follows: \(^a\)Elastography grade of ≥III; \(^b\)strain ratio ≥3.68; and \(^c\)ultrasound imaging characteristics as follows: Irregular shape and unclear boundary, no peripheral halo, an aspect ratio ≥1, hypoechoicinity, internal sand-like calcification. PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; CI, asymptotic 95% confidence interval.

**Discussion**

US serves an important function in the detection, diagnosis, prognosis and follow-up of a thyroid mass. However, its value for the differentiation of benign from malignant masses is limited. Microcalcifications, aspect ratio ≥1 and ragged edge have been used as specific characteristics of malignant tumors (23,26). In recent years, the incidence of micropapillary thyroid carcinoma has increased (1,2). However, prognosis for this condition is usually favorable provided that an early diagnosis is made, usually via FNA, although it is an invasive technique (4-6). Completely non-invasive real-time USE is able to differentiate thyroid cancer from benignity by assessing tissue hardness and elasticity, by grading the elasticity of thyroid nodules, and by measuring the strain ratio (20,27-30).

In the present study, USE of 150 thyroid nodules demonstrated primarily benign nodular goiter (n=100) with nodules exhibiting a soft texture and significant deformation under compression. Additionally, these nodules exhibited a large number of follicles filled with colloid. Nodular texture was soft, and USE demonstrated a lower grade of elasticity (grade I/II) in 86% of benign nodules and a low strain ratio (2.30±1.01). Of the 100 nodules in the benign group, 86 nodules had an elasticity score <3. However, 10 benign nodules had a score of 3, and 4 benign nodules had a score of 4 and were misdiagnosed as false positives. A number of nodules exhibited calcification,
The malignant thyroid nodules exhibited significantly increased strain ratio compared with the benign nodules [median (interquartile range), 6.36 (4.62-8.42) vs. 2.12 (1.61-2.71); P<0.001].

Figure 4. Distribution of strain ratios between benign and malignant thyroid nodules. The malignant thyroid nodules exhibit significantly increased strain ratio compared with the benign nodules [median (interquartile range), 6.36 (4.62-8.42) vs. 2.12 (1.61-2.71); P<0.001].

Figure 5. Receiver operating characteristic curve analyses. The specificity and sensitivity of using elastography grade, strain ratio and traditional ultrasound to distinguish benign from malignant thyroid nodules were compared. The AUC was 0.918 (95% CI, 0.872-0.965), 0.921 (95% CI, 0.860-0.982) and 0.755 (95% CI, 0.685-0.826) for elastography grade, strain ratio and traditional ultrasound, respectively. The AUCs of elastography grade and strain ratio were significantly increased compared with those of traditional ultrasound (P<0.001). However, no significant difference in AUC was identified between elastography grade and strain ratio (P=0.902). AUC was also used to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy; the AUC of the strain ratio value threshold was 0.921, and the AUC of elasticity classification was 0.761, respectively. Additional trials have yielded similar results for USE evaluation of thyroid nodules in groups of patients who were later identified to have a high incidence of malignancy (34).

A previous study by Vidal-Casariego et al (35) failed to demonstrate accurate differentiation of malignant and benign nodules when USE was used on nodular goiter patients at low risk of thyroid malignancy. However, this study involved a total of 128 patients and examination of larger numbers of patients across multiple risk groups is required prior to drawing definitive conclusions regarding the utility of USE across risk groups. Additionally, the accuracy of USE has been questioned in the setting of diffuse thyroid disease, such as chronic Hashimoto thyroiditis (5,36). At the same time, efforts to standardize USE protocols and enhance USE imaging may lead to improved accuracy. These efforts include a proposed classification system using linear discriminant analysis to select possible malignant nodules detected by USE (37) and an innovation known as micropore imaging (38).

The present study has identified that measuring the strain ratio in USE may assist in the differentiation of benign from malignant nodules. ROC curves were plotted for 123 patients with benign and malignant nodules, and these provide a maximum Youden index of 0.81, and a corresponding optimal cut-off point of the elastic strain ratio of 3.68. Consequently, 3.68 was selected as the threshold for differentiating benign from malignant thyroid nodules. This value differs from strain ratio threshold values in the literature possibly due to different measurement techniques and operating practices. When two threshold points are identified from two separate studies, it is necessary to determine whether study participants come from populations with different sociodemographics or clinical profiles, whether the diagnostic criteria of disease (i.e. malignant vs. benign) were different or whether the measurements of strain ratio (e.g. the instrument used and parameter settings) were different. Therefore, owing to different instruments and operating practices, the threshold values may vary. AUC was also used to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy; the AUC of the strain ratio value was 0.921, and the AUC of elasticity classification was 0.761, demonstrating certain accuracy.
The present study exhibited several limitations including its retrospective nature. In addition, the number of patients with follicular carcinoma and medullary carcinoma was low. Therefore, imaging features of follicular and medullary carcinoma require further study. Furthermore, the number of patients with papillary carcinoma was high. Therefore, future studies should utilize more diverse patient groups. On the basis of our inclusion and exclusion criteria, the present study was performed on pre-selected high-risk patients as only nodules suspected of being malignant were included. This pre-selection led to an increased rate of malignancy compared with the normal rate (33%) in the present study population as the denominator for our 33% malignancy rate was a pre-selected population of thyroid nodules from high-risk patients. In contrast, the denominator for the 95% benign nodule rate is the general population (4-6). It is anticipated that the present study involving 123 patients will be expanded to include more patients, allowing for comparison of the technique across various risk populations. Further large sample studies are also required to investigate how to evaluate the USE images of nodules complicated by diffuse thyroid disease. Finally, owing to the study design, a comparative study on the intra- and inter-examiner variation and reliability was not performed, which may be included in the next phase of the investigation.

The results of the present study indicate that USE demonstrates a high sensitivity for thyroid nodule classification based on elasticity as it assessed the relative stiffness of thyroid nodules accurately. USE may aid the differentiation of benign from malignant thyroid nodules. However, the stiffness of benign and malignant nodules overlaps to a certain extent, particularly in the case of diffuse lesions, fibrosis or calcification surrounding the nodules. In practice, USE may be performed in combination with conventional US to facilitate diagnosis.
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