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A B S T R A C T

Skeletal muscle injuries have bothered doctors and caused great burdens to the public medical insurance system for a long time. Once injured, skeletal muscles usually go through the processes of inflammation, repairing and remodeling. If repairing and remodeling stages are out of balance, scars will be formed to replace injured skeletal muscles. At present, clinicians usually use conventional methods to restore the injured skeletal muscles, such as flap transplantation. However, flap transplantation sometimes needs to sacrifice healthy autologous tissues and will bring extra harm to patients. In recent years, stem cells-based tissue engineering provides us new treatment ideas for skeletal muscle injuries. Stem cells are cells with multiple differentiation potential and have ability to differentiate into adult cells under special condition. Skeletal muscle tissues also have stem cells, called satellite cells, but they are in small amount and new muscle fibers that derived from them may not be enough to replace injured fibers. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) could promote musculoskeletal tissue regeneration and activate the myogenic differentiation of satellite cells. Biomaterial is another important factor to promote tissue regeneration and greatly enhance physiological activities of stem cells in vivo. The combined use of stem cells and biomaterials will gradually become a mainstream to restore injured skeletal muscles in the future. This review article mainly focuses on the review of research about the application of BM-MSCs and several major biomaterials in skeletal muscle regeneration over the past decades.
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1. Introduction

Skeletal muscles are important tissues and account for 35–45% of our body weights [1]. The contraction and relaxation of skeletal muscles can be adjusted by self-consciousness, which is different from smooth muscles and myocardium. According to the characteristics of contraction and relaxation, skeletal muscle fibers can be divided into three types: (1) type I fibers contract slow and have anti-fatigue effect; (2) type 2A fibers contract fast and have intermediate anti-fatigue effect; (3) type 2B fibers contract fast and have the worst anti-fatigue effect [2]. Satellite cells are special type of cells in these tissues and reside beneath the basal lamina [3–5]. Normally satellite cells are in resting states and express various specific markers, such as homeobox genes Pax3, Pax7 and myogenic regulatory factor (MYF) gene MyoD etc. [6,7]. Satellite cells are able to self-renew and replace injured muscle fibers through asymmetric differentiation [8–10].

The incidence of skeletal muscle injury is about 10–55% and it is one of the main types of chronic soft tissue injuries [11]. Various degrees of muscle injuries can be found in patients who suffer from impacts, traffic accidents, stabbings, or overtraining [12–15]. It should be noted that severe types of skeletal muscle injuries, namely volumetric muscle loss (VML), usually occurs in military personnel during war [16,17]. Once injured, skeletal muscle tissues will go through three stages, including inflammation, repairing, and remodeling [2,11,18]. The first stage is the necrosis and degradation of skeletal muscles with inflammatory reaction, which is the main stage of tissue destruction [15]. Inflammatory responses are cascade reactions mediated by neutrophils and macrophages and regulated by various cytokines. Without external interference, this stage usually reaches its peak at 3 days [19]. In the second stage, fibroblasts migrate into injured sites to form scars and local angiogenesis can also be found. In the last stage, new skeletal muscle fibers are gradually matured and connect with each other through connective tissues [13]. The recovery pattern of injured skeletal muscle (regeneration process) is different from that of fractured bone (restoration process). It is worth to mention that skeletal muscle tissues will be totally replaced by scars when the first and second stage are out of balance [20].

It is difficult for skeletal muscle fibers to rebuild themselves when they are seriously injured [21]. Traditionally, the first aid for skeletal muscle injury follows the RICE principle (rest, ice, compression and elevation) and its purpose is to reduce the bleeding of tissues and relieve the pain of patients. The effectiveness of RICE principle has not been proved by clinical trials, but it indeed promotes the recovery of injured tissues according to practical experiences [22]. What's more, immobilization is the general consensus for clinicians to treat skeletal muscle injuries, due to the fact that only in this way can connective tissues fully unite muscle fibers to make it strong enough for bearing strength [23]. Some opposite studies showed that the immobilization should be restricted in a short time so that skeletal muscles have enough time to exercise [23].

Surgical method is still the first choices for severe muscle injuries or long-term chronic pain (>4–6 months). In recent years, some cases about using free flap transplantation (golden standard) from forearms and elbows to repair injured skeletal muscles were reported [13,24]. However, this method needs to sacrifice autologous healthy tissues and is not suitable for large-scale tissue defects. In addition, drug therapy may also be an option in the clinic. Non-steroidal drugs (NSAIDs) are able to inhibit inflammatory responses with no inhibition to tissue regeneration in the early injury stage [25]. But some studies do not recommend the long-term of using NSAIDs due to their negative effects on bone growth [26]. Other treatments for skeletal muscle injuries include hyperbaric oxygen therapy and Chinese medicine therapy etc., but the efficacy of them has not been fully proved [27].

In recent decades, the studies on stem cells provide us with new strategies about the treatment for skeletal muscle injuries. Scientists have isolated kinds of stem cells from various organs in human body, including BM-MSCs from bone marrow and satellite cells from skeletal muscles etc. [27,28]. As stem cells in muscles, satellite cells are able to differentiate into muscle cells in theory. But recent studies have shown that satellite cells have a limited effect on skeletal muscle regeneration in vitro due to their high heterogeneity, small quantity and loss of myogenic differentiation potential [29–31]. Moreover, tissue inflammatory reactions and excessive collagen fibers proliferation can significantly reduce the myogenic differentiation potential of these cells [32–34]. Although some scholars have believed that satellite cells were able to replace the injured skeletal muscle fibers and keep cell pools in stable states [35], whether satellite cells have enough ability to promote skeletal muscle regeneration is not clear. Scientists have pointed out that with the ability of myogenic, neurogenic and angiogenic differentiation, BM-MSCs may contribute to tissue repairing.

With the complexity of microenvironment and characteristics of stem cells, the existence of stem cells alone is not enough to fully promote tissue regeneration. Therefore, scientists use biomaterials to fill tissue defects and protect stem cells from the immune system. The application of biomaterials has ability to overcome these problems and provide potential therapeutic patterns for tissue regeneration (Fig. 1). In this review article, we retrospect the combined application of BM-MSCs and biomaterials in the field of skeletal muscle regeneration over the past decades.

2. BM-MSCs for skeletal muscle regeneration

BM-MSCs were first discovered and isolated 40 years ago. They were derived from bone marrow and mainly exist in marrow cavities, with sometimes being found around blood vessels or other tissues [36,37]. BM-MSCs express CD73, CD90 and CD105, rather than CD11b, CD14, CD34, CD45, CD19, CD79a and HLA-DR surface molecules [38,39]. BM-MSCs can be easily obtained from host in a large number.
quantity and cultured in a short time in vitro. It is worth to mention that BM-MSCs have low expression of HLA class I or II antigens, which make them invisible to immune systems in allogeneic transplantation processes [40]. Several previous studies have shown that BM-MSCs have great potential for multi-directional differentiation, including neurogenic differentiation [41,42], myogenic differentiation [43] and angiogenic differentiation [44], and they are able to stimulate the endogenous regeneration of hosts [45–47]. Furthermore, unlike other kind of stem cells, such as iPSCs and NSCs, BM-MSCs have almost no tumorigenicity after transplantation [48,49]. The multi-directional differentiation potential of BM-MSCs in skeletal muscle regeneration has been summarized in Table 1.

2.1. Regulatory mechanism of BM-MSCs in skeletal muscle regeneration

BM-MSCs are able to regulate skeletal muscle regeneration through a complex network, which mainly contains paracrine effect and immunomodulation. Paracrine effect is always considered as an important theoretical basis for stem cells to be used in tissue engineering. BM-MSCs are able to release growth factors, trophic factors and extracellular matrix (ECM) into the microenvironment through paracrine effect [50–52]. Konala et al. have thought that BM-MSCs can fully nourish the damaged skeletal muscle fibers and promote tissue regeneration in vivo [53,54]. In their studies, they found that exosomes derived from BM-MSCs contained some kinds of cytokines, such as fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), platelet derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF). Those factors are the related cytokines of muscle regeneration. The bioactive factors secreted by BM-MSCs can stimulate the formation of vascular networks, remodel ECM and inhibit tissue fibrosis at injured sites [31]. Another important factor that the paracrine effect of BM-MSCs may activate the endogenous repairing mechanism of satellite cells and regulate tissue regeneration processes was identified [55]. Paracrine effect not only promotes the myogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs, but also activates other cells in skeletal muscles.

Other than skeletal muscle fibers, BM-MSCs can also differentiate into neural cells promoted by their neurotrophic factors secretion [56]. In previous studies, some scholars have proved that BM-MSCs are able to promote neural regeneration when they are combined with biological tubules that contain various cytokines (brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and glial derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) etc.) [57–59]. In addition to the cytokines in the microenvironment, BM-MSCs can secrete BDNF, insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to promote their myogenic differentiation processes [60]. VEGF can also stimulate the proliferation of endothelial cells (ECs) and enhance the formation of vascular networks. Murphy et al. have demonstrated that IGF-1, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and VEGF secreted by BM-MSCs can recruit endothelial progenitor cells to injured sites and strengthen the revascularization of tissues [61]. VEGF can also stimulate the proliferation of C2C12 cells which have strong myogenic differentiation abilities [62]. As mentioned above, under the regulation of BM-MSCs, the three major structures (muscle fibers, nerves and blood vessels) can be renewed in the damaged skeletal muscles.

The immunomodulating properties of BM-MSCs is another important regulatory mechanism in tissue regeneration processes [45]. It is well known that inflammation is the first stage after skeletal muscle injuries, so inhibiting or shortening this stage is crucial to tissue restoration. Studies have demonstrated that interleukin-4 (IL-4) and IL-10 secreted by BM-MSCs were able to activate the transformation of M1 macrophages to M2 macrophages [61]. M2 macrophages can eliminate inflammation, clear apoptotic cells, and promote the myogenic differentiation of C2C12 cells [63–65]. In addition, crosstalk between BM-MSCs and immune system is mediated by immunosuppressive factors, such as IL-10, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), galactose lectin-1/3, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), NO and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) derived from BM-MSCs [45].

Some signaling pathways may participate in the skeletal muscle regeneration. The cytokines secreted by BM-MSCs, like hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF), could up-regulate PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and mediate the paracrine activation of AKT signaling pathway [66]. Liu et al. have proved that BM-MSCs could up-regulate AKT signaling pathway and then regulate satellite cells’ behaviours to enhance skeletal muscle regeneration [57]. Furthermore, BM-MSCs also activate Notch-1 signaling pathway through VEGF secreted by themselves to regulate satellite cells proliferation and differentiation [62]. Pereira et al. have pointed out that if some metabolic substrates existed, like amino acids, several signaling pathways which guided cell physiological activities would be activated [50].
the reason that BM-MSCs would apoptosis in a short time after regulate injured tissue restoration by activating satellite cells for directly participate in skeletal muscle regeneration processes, but direction for BM-MSCs with muscle vivo skeletal muscle cells and express speci methyltransferase. It is able to induce BM-MSCs to differentiate into muscle cells and expressed corresponding markers.

2.2. Myogenic differentiation

5-azacytidine is a potent growth inhibitor, which can cause extensive demethylation of 5-methylcytosine and affect DNA methyltransferase. It is able to induce BM-MSCs to differentiate into skeletal muscle cells and express specific markers in vitro [68,69]. What’s more, Jung et al. have proved that injured myocardial fibers, which were considered as being lack of self-renewal capabilities, could be restored by BM-MSCs in animal disease models, although the differentiation rates were very low [70,71]. Some scholars have believed that endogenous BM-MSCs rarely participated in muscle regeneration processes because they thought only a few BM-MSCs would migrate from bone marrow cavities when skeletal muscle damaged [72]. However, Orlic put forward a viewpoint in 2001 and in his opinion, contacting with target cells or damaged organs directly is the most important factor to promote the myogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs [73]. He has believed that different microenvironment led to different differentiation rates in vitro and vivo. With the development of technology, how to mimic the microenvironment in the body has become research hotspots to scientists. For example, Egusa et al. made the same arrangement direction for BM-MSCs with muscle fibers on scaffolds and it can significantly improve the myogenic differentiation efficiency of BM-MSCs [74].

In fact, parts of scholars have believed that BM-MSCs did not directly participate in skeletal muscle regeneration processes, but regulate injured tissue restoration by activating satellite cells for the reason that BM-MSCs would apoptosis in a short time after transplanted into injured sites [31]. Cytokine types and inflammatory reactions in microenvironment determine the bioactivities of satellite cells in vivo [75]. At present, it is well known that BM-MSCs in vivo are able to secrete FGF, HGF and IGF-1 and all of which regulate the myogenic differentiation of satellite cells [76,77]. Immunosuppressive factors (IL-6, IL-8, etc.) derived from BM-MSCs can promote the formation of new muscle fibers and maintain microenvironment stability through inhibiting inflammation [64,78]. Small quantity of satellite cells in tissues may not be enough to completely restore the damaged muscle fibers, so it can be assumed that skeletal muscle regeneration processes may be involved in the myogenic differentiation of both BM-MSCs and satellite cells. Unfortunately, there are not enough evidence to prove this assumption and more research is needed in the future.

2.3. Neurogenic differentiation

There are two main regulatory mechanisms for BM-MSCs to promote neural differentiation. The first one is that they directly differentiate into neural cells to replace damaged nerve fibers [41] and the second one is to promote neurogenic differentiation processes through paracrine effect [79]. According to the study of Fu et al., about 8% BM-MSCs had ability to differentiate into neurosphere-like structures in conditioned medium in vitro [80], while Hermann have thought 60% BM-MSCs could be induced to differentiate into astrocytes, neurons and Schwann cells under the suitable conditions [81,82]. By changing physical and chemical environment, the biological characteristics of BM-MSCs will be improved and the microenvironment can be more and more compatible to BM-MSCs differentiation. For example, Li et al. have showed the ability of BM-MSCs to differentiate into neurons would be significantly enhanced if they were in 50 Hz electromagnetic field [83]. Moreover, if there are some biological factors in the microenvironment, the neurogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs will be promoted. In previous studies, after adding EGF, bFGF and VEGF into medium, BM-MSCs were able to differentiate into neural cells in a large quantity [84,85]. It should be emphasized that BM-MSCs have ability to secrete various neurotrophic factors by paracrine effect when they are transplanted into injured sites. Among the known neurotrophic factors, BDNF is crucial to the development of nervous system [86].
BDNF can activate Ras–MAPK signaling pathway and cAMP-response element binding protein (CREB) by combining with tyrosine kinases (TrkB) to promote neurogenic differentiation of stem cells and increase synaptic plasticity [87–89]. With the secretion of BDNF, BM-MSCs not only protect the remaining nerve tissues, but also stimulate their own neurogenic differentiation processes. Besides BDNF, VEGF derived from BM-MSCs can also up-regulate the expression of mTOR through PI3K/AKT or JAK/STAT3 signaling pathways, and mTOR is a kind of positive regulatory protein in neural regeneration [90,91]. The inflammation suppression from BM-MSCs is also conducive to the nerve ending reconstruction and accelerate reinnervation processes in new muscles.

2.4. Angiogenic differentiation

ECs are important components in vessel walls and the natural anticoagulant drugs for tissue bleeding. Under normal circumstances, ECs supplement the cell pool of blood vessels through angiogenic differentiation [92] and some evidence showed that the implantation of ECs in vivo can promote the formation of capillary networks [93]. Vascularization in new muscle tissues in a short time is the key point of successful tissue engineering, or scars will easily replace the functional muscle fibers. In 2000, Osbwald et al. firstly induced BM-MSCs to differentiate into ECs with VEGF at a concentration of 50 ng/ml, while the differentiation efficiency was extremely low [44]. Since that time, scientists have always believed that BM-MSCs were likely to be hopeful sources for ECs to reconstruct capillary networks in damaged tissues [94].

Interestingly, if BM-MSCs are cultured in the ordinary medium, almost no capillaries can be found, which may lead to ischemic diseases for new tissues. In order to raise angiogenic differentiation efficiency, Wang et al. added VEGF, bFGF, IGF-1 and EGF into culture medium and they found that the differentiation efficiency was significantly increased to 60% [93]. In other studies, Lu et al. added sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) and VEGF into medium to explore new induction methods for angiogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs. They found that the endothelial related gene expressions of BM-MSCs were significantly increased in the conditioned medium and capillary networks were found in new tissues [94]. The possible mechanism is that S1P may up-regulate the secretion of HGF, stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) and IGF-1 secreted from BM-MSCs, and these cytokines are considered as the trophic factors for angiogenesis [92]. Furthermore, some biomaterials have other special functions, such as sustained-releasing drugs [98,99].

As cell carriers, biomaterials can protect cells from immune systems in hosts and continuously release some biological factors to make microenvironment suitable for BM-MSCs survival. If BM-MSCs are directly injected into the injured sites, their programmed apoptosis will be activated within a few hours and only very few BM-MSCs can survive [100]. It is mainly because the colonization areas are usually in the states of inflammatory which is not conducive to cell survival. Although BM-MSCs can secrete anti-inflammatory factors through paracrine effect, once seeded in severe inflammatory environment, the majority of BM-MSCs will die in a short time [101,102]. Nowadays, some clinicians may use immunosuppressive drugs to reduce cell apoptosis in injured skeletal muscles [103], but it is well known that these drugs have serious adverse reactions or side effects on patients [104]. Based on this phenomenon, biomaterials can be used as covering-like substances to wrap BM-MSCs and in a stable microenvironment, BM-MSCs are able to secrete anti-inflammatory factors in a stable microenvironment [45].

The basic function of biomaterials is to improve the microenvironment and form local cell niches [105]. Therefore, different types of injuries need different biomaterials to participate in tissue restoration. The current common biomaterials in tissue engineering are hydrogels, preformed scaffolds and decellularized tissues etc. [105]. Here, we review these three kinds of biomaterials used in skeletal muscle regeneration and their advantages have been summarized in Table 2.

3.1. Hydrogels

Hydrogels are polymer materials using water as dispersing medium and can be cut into various shapes. They can absorb large amounts of water and have been widely used in many fields of regenerative medicine. Hydrogels can be harvested from nature or artificial synthesis. Hydrogels can continuously release drugs in designated areas, deliver cells into injured sites, and make stem cells avoid being attacked by immune cells [106,107]. Clinicians have made stem cells, bioactive factors, and hydrogels as a functional whole and injected it into specific area in order to test its efficiency of promoting tissue regeneration [108]. The mixtures are not only able to fill in the tissue defects, but also create excellent ecological niches for stem cells. The previous studies have proved that hydrogels were able to promote skeletal muscle regeneration, because the similar microenvironment was mimicked in vivo [109,110].

Borselli et al. injected alginate gels, which could continuously release myogenic or angiogenesis factors in vivo, into ischemic mice hind limbs. They found that myogenesis, angiogenesis and neurogenesis could be found in the hindlimbs of experimental animals [111]. The alginate gels with drug releasing function can enhance the outward migration of BM-MSCs, stimulate BM-MSCs to differentiate into new muscle fibers and strengthen the contractile force of skeletal muscles [112]. Also, hydrogels that are made from alginate can reduce fibrosis and most importantly, they will self-degrade in vivo as time goes on.

Gelatin-poly (ethylene glycol) -tyramine (GPT) hydrogels were synthesized in recent years and had many unexpected functions in regenerative medicine. With continuously releasing bFGF, GPT...
hydrogels combined with ASCs could significantly improve the function of injured skeletal muscles [113]. As mentioned above, bFGF plays an important role in promoting wound healing, tissue regeneration and nerve tissue growth. Therefore, although there are few studies on the combination of GPT and BM-MSCs, it can be inferred that BM-MSCs may promote tissue regeneration more effectively when combined with GPT hydrogels. Under certain circumstances, GPT hydrogels are able to enhance the bioactivities of endothelial cells and promote angiogenesis in injured sites [114]. Interestingly, GPT hydrogels can also be used as fillers to fill in tissue defects [114].

Platelet rich plasma (PRP), derived from autologous whole blood, contains lots of growth factors and proteins which are essential for stem cells survival, differentiation, and migration in vitro and vivo [115–117]. In other studies, Sassoli and his colleagues have found that PRP may be the potential nutrient matrix for clinicians [31]. PRP can be made into hydrogels to enhance the myogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs and the reasons for this phenomenon are based on the following two points: (1) PRP hydrogels can continuously release various biological factors contained in serum (2) the function of BM-MSCs may be maintained or stimulated by PRP hydrogels after transplanted into bodies [115,118–120]. Other types of hydrogels also have potential to accelerate skeletal muscle regeneration. For example, Prof. Rossi used hyaluronic acid based photopolymerization hydrogels as cell carriers to promote muscle regeneration and large new muscle fibers could be found in animal disease models [121]. He has also pointed out that these hydrogels are able to enrich niche diversities and stimulate the formation of nerve fibers and capillary networks in the injured muscles.

3.2. ECM

If only cells are removed from tissues, a new type of biomaterials will be obtained, called decellularized tissues. Compared with other biomaterials, the components of decellularized tissues were closest to the microenvironment of hosts. Decellularized tissues contain not only various proteins and cytokines existed in the microenvironment, but also many microstructures to support tissue regeneration, such as capillary networks. Autologous biomaterials can easily build barriers for cells to protect them from immune systems. It ensures the long-term stability of microenvironment and promote the cell proliferation and differentiation [122,123]. ECM belongs to decellularized tissues and are beneficial to the restoration of injured skeletal muscles. For example, three dimensional ECM (3D-ECM) that are harvested from skeletal muscles support the myogenic differentiation of stem cells and accelerate the regeneration processes [124].

| Subtypes of biomaterials | Authors | Advantages or function of biomaterials | Ref |
|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Hydrogels               | Hoffman et al | - drug delivery system | [106,107] |
|                         | Kim et al | - filling the morphological defects of tissues | [108–110] |
|                         | - forming ecological niches for cell survival | |
| alginate hydrogels      | Borselli et al | - continuously releasing myogenic and angiogenic factors | [111,112] |
| GPT hydrogels           | Hwang et al | - continuously releasing bFGF | [113,114] |
| PRP hydrogels           | Amabile et al | - promoting neovascularization and activating biological activity of ECs | [115–120] |
| -                      | - continuously releasing cytokines in serum | |
| ECM                     | Rossi et al | - promoting the formation of new blood vessels and nerves | [121] |
| synthetic scaffolds with porous structures | Kim et al | - being beneficial to cell colonization | [133–138] |
| collagen                | Liu et al | - low immunogenicity | [130–132] |
| -                      | - self-degradation | |
| -                     | - good biocompatibility | |
| -                      | - absorbing protein from the environment and stimulating myotube growth | |
| -                     | - improving the myogenic ability of cells and forming longer myotube | |
| -                     | - forming ecological niches | |
| direction aligned electrospun membranes | Choi et al | - promoting myotube growth | [139,140] |
| -                      | - excellent mechanical properties | |
| ECM of porcine small intestinal submucosa | Mase et al | - building a microenvironment similar to the body | [129] |
| Preformed scaffolds      | -     | - immune exemption | |
| collagen                | Kim et al | - promoting the formation of new muscle fibers | [129] |
| -                      | - being beneficial to cell colonization | |
| -                     | - promoting neovascularization and myoblast growth | |
| -                     | - self-degradation | |
| -                     | - porous structures and shape diversity | |
| Porous scaffolds         | Wolf et al | - promoting cell proliferation and differentiation | [122,123] |
| -                      | - guaranteeing the stability of microenvironment | |
| -                     | - immune exemption | |
| 3D-ECM of skeletal muscle | Panyam et al | - providing a platform for regenerative tissues | [99,124] |
| -                      | - building a microenvironment similar to the body | [128] |
| -                     | - immune exemption | |
| -                     | - low immunogenicity | |
| -                     | - self-degradation | |
| -                     | - good biocompatibility | |
| -                     | - porous structures and shape diversity | |
| -                     | - absorbing protein from the environment and stimulating myotube growth | |
| -                     | - improving the myogenic ability of cells and forming longer myotube | |
| -                     | - forming ecological niches | |

Y.-h. Wang, D.-r. Wang, Y.-c. Guo et al. Regenerative Therapy 15 (2020) 285–294
In the study of Merritt et al., they have found that after injecting BM-MSCs into the ECM that are derived from gastrocnemius muscles and transplanted them into hosts, the function of damaged muscles recovered better than the control group, as well as the expression level of myogenic markers [128]. There is a consensus in biomedical field that autologous tissues can be recognized by immunity, while exogenous or synthetic biomaterials may activate immune systems and cause harm to patients. Nevertheless, Mase et al. transplanted ECM derived from porcine small intestinal submucosa into patients whose quadriceps femoris were traumatic. After operation, new skeletal muscles were formed at injured sites and the motor function of thighs was significantly restored [129]. The reason may be that the vast majority of immune recognition molecules will be eliminated if tissue cells are removed, and the remaining tissues not cause obvious inflammation to hosts.

3.3. Preformed scaffolds

Collagen is widely used in tissue engineering and suitable to support the colonization of various stem cells [130]. Collagen can be found easily in connective tissues and it has lots of advantages, such as low immunogenicity, self-degradability, and good biocompatibility. However, the cell adhesion of synthetic scaffolds is worse than that of natural materials. To solve this problem, scientists have covered biomaterial surface with layers of proteins to significantly improve the adhesion of stem cells, but some slight differences are still existed between synthetic and natural biomaterials [131,132]. How to improve scaffold properties to absorb more cells may become the focus in the future research.

Synthetic scaffolds with porous structures in skeletal muscle regeneration are mostly synthesized by polyester and these scaffolds can be cut into various shapes according to tissue shapes [133]. The porous structures are conducive to cell colonization and adhesion. Interestingly, most synthetic biomaterials can self-degrade in vivo and the space left after self-degradation will be occupied by new tissues [134,135]. For instance, after putting cells and PGA scaffolds into subcutaneous tissues, Kamelger et al. have found the occurrence of angiogenesis and multinucleated myotubes [136]. In addition to polyester materials, elastomer materials have also been proved to be beneficial to the growth of myoblasts [137,138]. Elastomer materials have excellent mechanical properties to greatly enhance, integrate and repair skeletal muscle defects.

With the development of science, electrospinning technology is used to fabricate scaffolds and polymer films with nanometer diameters. Electrospinning technology can synthesize scaffolds with mimicking the arrangement of skeletal muscle fibers in vivo, which has a positive effect on the myogenic differentiation of BM-MSCs. In the study of Choi et al., although the proliferation rate of BM-MSCs seeded on non-directional and directional aligned electrospun biomaterials was similar, the myotubes differentiated from BM-MSCs on directional aligned fibers were significantly longer [139]. Except that it is similar to the tissue structures in vivo, this fiber arrangements can also improve the mechanical properties of biomaterials. Such scaffolds still lack biological recognition and cannot absorb proteins from microenvironment, although protein coatings added on the biomaterial surface [140]. By accident, scholars have found that PLGA fibers synthesized by electrospinning technology are able to adsorb proteins from microenvironment and stimulate the formation of myotubes in recent years [141]. It may change people's view on the properties of these biomaterials.

At present, there are still some disadvantages for electrospinning technology, such as the limited thickness of membranes and low porosity, which are all negative factors for cell proliferation and differentiation. With the maturity of micro/nano technology, some groove structures can be added on scaffold surfaces to improve cell bioactivities [142]. Several research groups have imprinted submicron grooves on the surfaces of some biomaterials to discuss function of these technologies. They found that after optimizing biomaterial surfaces, the myogenic abilities of BM-MSCs are significantly improved and longer myotube structures can be found in regenerative skeletal muscles [143,144].

4. Conclusion

Once tissues are damaged, stem cells will be activated and differentiated into corresponding cells to supplement cell pools. The multi-directional differentiation potential of stem cells leads scholars all over the world to believe that stem cells can promote tissue engineering and provide new strategies for treating skeletal muscle injuries in the clinic. BM-MSCs, one of stem cells derived from bone marrow, have ability to promote the various tissue regeneration processes, including nerves, muscles, and blood vessels. BM-MSCs are capable of myogenic differentiation in vitro and skeletal muscle fibers derived from BM-MSCs have been observed in animal models, although some scholars believe that this process is based on the activation of satellite cells. It is undeniable that BM-MSCs are capable to promote the regeneration of damaged muscles, but how to raise survival and differentiation rate of BM-MSCs in vivo still needs further research.

Biomaterials are another important component in tissue engineering. On the one hand, biomaterials contain various bioactive factors and continuously provide necessary nutrients to stem cells for their proliferation and differentiation. On the other hand, biomaterials can also be used as biological barriers to protect cells from host immune attacks. With the development of synthesis technology, the biological, physical, and chemical properties of biomaterials have been continuously improved. However, we should also realize that biomaterials still have many disadvantages and need us make them more specific. For example, synthetic biomaterials are not as attractive to cells as natural ones and some biomaterials may cause inflammation in the host. The future research should focus on the bio-safety, bio-compatibility and effectiveness of biomaterials. The method based on BM-MSCs and biomaterials is expected to provide a prospective strategy for clinicians to repair injured skeletal muscles though that remains a long way off. Nevertheless, we believe that the combined use of stem cells and biomaterials has a prospective future in the field of regenerative medicine.
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