Chronic Pain and Its Determinants: A Population-based Study in Southern Iran
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Background:
Prevalence of chronic pain and its association with demographic characteristics have been reported by different studies from different geographical regions in the world. However, data from many Middle East countries including Iran (especially southern Iran) are scarce. The aim of the present study was to demonstrate the prevalence of chronic pain and its association with demographic, psychological and socioeconomic factors in an Iranian population.

Methods:
In this population-based survey, the target population was comprised of subjects aged 20 to 85 years residing in Jahrom, southern Iran during 2009−2011. All eligible subjects were invited to participate in the study. Before a detailed questionnaire was given, face to face interviews were done for each individual.

Results:
There were 719 men and 874 women with an average age of 40.5 years at the onset of the study. Among the study population, 38.9% (620/1,593) complained of chronic pain, of whom 40.8% (253/620) were men and 59.2% (367/620) were women. Foot and joint pain were observed in 31.9%. Hip and spine pain, migraine and tension headaches, heart pain, and abdomen pain were observed in 21.5%, 15.5%, 9.5%, and 8.0% of chronic pain cases, respectively. There was a significant association among the covariables age, sex, overweight, educational level, income, and type of employment with chronic pain as the dependent variable (P < 0.0001).

Conclusions:
Our findings show the prevalence of chronic pain and its association with demographic, psychological and socioeconomic factors. Individuals with low incomes and less education became accustomed to pain due to a lack of knowledge. (Korean J Pain 2012; 25: 245-253)
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic pain is a prolonged pain which typically lasts for at least three months [1,2]. This type of pain is common all over the world and different studies have demonstrated that approximately 3.9% to 48% of the general population suffer from various types of chronic pain [3-11]. Chronic pain may be caused or exasperated by range of environmental and individual factors [12]. A number of studies propose a connection between chronic pain and demographic factors, such as age, sex, and educational level [13-18]. Most chronic pain, such as back pain, joint pain and accelerated headaches, are very common [19]. Chronic pain often affects an individual’s outlook on life [20]. In such patients, quality of life and their immediate family and friends are significantly affected [21]. In some cases, this pain is associated with unsuccessful treatment and a variety of complications such as sleep disorders, loss of appetite, chronic fatigue, drug-dependency, stress and fear from the cause of the pain, hopelessness, and depression [14,19,22,23].

1. Chronic pain and southern Iran

Southern Iran is a vast region that is located in the southern part of Zagros and in the northern part of the Persian Gulf. This area includes different provinces with the Fars province being the biggest one. Jahrom is a city which is located in the southern part of Fars (Fig. 1). Its population was 211,331 in the 2010 census.

There are major clinical centers in Jahrom that are the most tertiary referral centers from among all parts of southern Fars, Iran. The patients from all parts of southern Fars are referred to these centers to assess the progression of disease and getting the appropriate medication.

There is an agreement among academics concerning the importance of epidemiological investigations on chronic pain, particularly those that examine the social and economic contexts of patients’ lives. Nevertheless, there are few publications on chronic pain in the international literature in Iran. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that demonstrated the prevalence of chronic pain and its association with demographic, psychological and socioeconomic factors in an Iranian population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The target population among the Iranian general population was based on a descriptive cross-sectional survey consisting of women and men aged 20 to 85 years residing in Jahrom, Iran between the spring of 2009 and the fall of 2011. The sample size was calculated using 95% confidence intervals, with 2% precision and a prevalence of 20%. A sample size of about 1,300 individuals was suitable for the present study. Because of the difficulty in household examination that people might be rejected our invitation to participate in the study by the purpose of interference in their private life, the sample size (1,300 individuals) was increased by 30% to compensate for any refusals, and finally 1,690 participants were invited to participate in the present study. The study employed a two-stage stratified cluster technique. At the first stage,
the city (Jahrom) was divided into seven regions. Separate strata were formed based on the population covered by each outpatient clinic. Then, independent clusters were drawn from each stratum. At the second stage, families lists were prepared for each cluster and families were chosen from those lists. Generally, one person from each family was randomly selected. The eligible participants had to fulfill the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age between 20 and 85 years; (2) chronic pain in the previous six months; and (3) provide written informed consent before enrollment.

The final sample population of the survey consisted of 1,690 subjects aged 20 to 85 years. Of them, 34 dropped out of the study before interview; therefore, 1,656 (98.0%) participants were interviewed and 1,611 of them (95.3%) returned their questionnaires (Fig. 2). The overall response rate was 94.3% with 1,593 participants.

The present study complied with all of the principles of research ethics regulations adopted by the Iranian Ministry of Health. The legal guardians of the residents provided written informed consent, which was registered before participation in the study.

All of the subjects were investigated with a structured protocol that included a face to face–interview by a trained staff member and used a standardized questionnaire which had a good reliability and validity. We conducted a pilot study on over 200 individuals to assess the reliability and validity of the questionnaire. The reliability of the questionnaire was confirmed using the test–retest method ($r = 0.71$) which showed good reliability. Five physiologists and 5 public health experts evaluated the contents of the questionnaire, and as a result, the contents were determined as having good validity.

The first part of the questionnaire included demographic characteristics such as age, sex, weight, height, literacy level, employment type, and income. Chronic pain symptoms were first asked with a yes or no question “Have you felt any pain during the past 6 months?” If the answer was yes, “how often” was asked with the following choices given, “once a week, several times a week or constantly.” Additionally, they were asked to define “which of the nine following anatomical regions are affected by chronic pain: head and face, chest and shoulder, hand, heart, abdomen, hip and spine, genitalia, and foot and joints?” Individuals were asked about their medical histories which included any injuries or surgeries, or specifically any surgeries for cancer.

In addition to chronic pain, the questionnaire also included separate questions on the following problems with pain: hypo or hypertension, tachycardia, dehydration, tachypnea, and agitation. The impact of chronic pain on social and ethical behaviors (disruption in work, driving,
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Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

(See Table 1 for more information.)
Table 2. Distribution of Pain in Various Anatomical Regions

| Anatomical region         | Men (N = 253) | Women (N = 367) | Total (N = 620) |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                           | N            | % (95% CI)*     | N              | % (95% CI)     |
| Head and face             | 37           | 14.6 (10.2–19.0)| 59             | 16.1 (12.3–19.8)| 96       | 15.5 (12.3–17.9) |
| Neck                     | 7            | 2.8 (0.8–4.8)   | 12             | 3.2 (1.4–5)    | 19       | 3.1 (1.7–4.5)    |
| Hip and spine             | 61           | 24.1 (18.8–29.4)| 72             | 19.6 (15.5–23.6)| 133      | 21.5 (18.3–24.7) |
| Chest and shoulder†       | 6            | 2.4 (0.5–4.3)   | 18             | 5.0 (2.8–7.2)  | 24       | 3.8 (2.3–5.3)    |
| Hand†                    | 22           | 8.6 (5.1–12.0)  | 37             | 10.1 (7.0–13.2)| 59       | 9.5 (7.2–11.8)   |
| Heart                    | 23           | 9.1 (5.5–12.6)  | 26             | 7.1 (4.4–9.7)  | 49       | 8.0 (5.9–10.1)   |
| Abdomen                  | 5            | 2.0 (0.3–3.7)   | 10             | 2.7 (1.0–4.3)  | 15       | 2.4 (1.2–3.6)    |
| Genitalia                | 86           | 34.0 (28.1–39.8)| 112            | 30.5 (25.8–35.2)| 198      | 31.9 (28.2–35.6) |

*95% CI: 95% Confidence interval. †The observed proportion for men is significantly different to the observed proportion for women (P < 0.05).

RESULTS

The present study included 1,593 individuals of whom 719 (45.1%) were men and 876 (59.4%) were women. The average age of the participants was 40.45 years ranging from 20 to 85 years. The median age of the participants was 38 years. The proportion of participants who had a BMI < 25 was 64.7% (1,032/1,593). About 23.9% (382/1,593) never received a senior high school education. Among the participants 13.7% (219/1,593) were laborers. About 28.1% (448/1,593) had a monthly income of US $300 to $500. The detailed demographic characteristics of the study group are presented in Table 1.

Among the study population 38.9% (620/1,593) complained of chronic pain, of whom 40.8% (253/620) were men and 59.2% (367/620) were women. 18.1% (288/1,593) of the participants suffered from chronic pain several times a week. Foot and joint pain were the most common types of chronic pain (31.9%), followed by hip and spine pain (21.5%) and migraine and tension headaches (15.5%; Table 2). 36.1% (224/620) of the chronic pain patients had depression because of the pain. Among the patients, 34.2%, 29.2%, 31.2%, 32.3, 26.7%, and 35.1% suffered from hypotension, hypertension, tachycardia, dehydration, tachypnea, and agitation, respectively. Other associated problems such as nausea, vomiting and loss of appetite were found in 7.9%, 7.6%, and 6.7% of the population, respectively. There was a disruption in work, driving, school attendance, sexual behavior, and domestic chores due to pain in 71.2%, 42.4%, 34.5%, 33.8%, and 33.5% patients, respectively. Meanwhile, 17.9% of the patients had sleep disorders from the pain, and 12.6%, 7.1%, 5.3% and 3.2% demonstrated aggressive behavior, irritation, and stress subsequent to their pain, respectively.

Chronic pain was more prevalent in individuals 70 to 80 years of age (76.2%) and was less common in participants 20 to 30 years of age (Fig. 3). An increase in the education level was associated with a decrease in the pain ratio (Fig. 4).

Logistic regression analysis showed the significant
Table 3. Logistic Regression Analysis of Factors Associated with Chronic Pain

| Characteristics                      | % of chronic pain case† | OR       | 95% CI   | P       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Age (years)                          |                         |          |          |         |
| ≥70                                  | 20.3                    | 16.70    | 9.98–27.95| < .0001 |
| Body mass index (BMI)*               |                         |          |          |         |
| BMI < 25.0                           | 27.6                    | Reference|          |         |
| BMI ≥ 25.0                           | 72.4                    | 20.18    | 15.50–26.29| < .0001 |
| Literacy level                       |                         |          |          |         |
| Lower than master degree             | 91.3                    | 0.11     | 0.05–0.22| < .0001 |
| Masters degree or higher             | 8.7                     | Reference|          |         |
| Employment type                      |                         |          |          |         |
| Employed                             | 24.5                    | Reference|          |         |
| Unemployed                           | 75.5                    | 2.45     | 1.96–3.06| < .0001 |
| Income per month (US)                |                         |          |          |         |
| < $300                               | 49.2                    | 7.94     | 5.40–11.68| < .0001 |
| $300 to $500                         | 21.3                    | 2.05     | 1.38–3.05| 0.0003  |
| $500 to $900                         | 23.1                    | 2.60     | 1.75–3.86| < .0001 |
| > $900                               | 6.4                     | Reference|          |         |
| History of being Injured             |                         |          |          |         |
| Yes                                  | 21.1                    | 3.30     | 2.43–4.48| < .0001 |
| No                                   | 69.9                    | Reference|          |         |
| History of surgery                   |                         |          |          |         |
| Yes                                  | 10.3                    | 7.88     | 4.38–14.20| < .0001 |
| No                                   | 89.7                    | Reference|          |         |
| History of cancer undergoing surgery |                        |          |          |         |
| Yes                                  | 3.2                     | 32.44    | 4.33–242.29| < .0001 |
| No                                   | 96.8                    | Reference|          |         |

BMI: body mass index, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval. *BMI = Weight (kg) / (Height (m) x Height (m)). †Percent of chronic pain among patients (n = 620).

Fig. 4. Prevalence rates of chronic pain in women and men by education level.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first study on the preva-

factors that are associated with chronic pain including age, type of employment, education, BMI (overweight and obese), monthly income, and a medical history of injuries, surgeries, and cancer surgeries. A significant relationship was found between age and chronic pain (P < .0001, OR = 16.70; CI = 9.98–27.95). Results showed that there was a statistically significant relationship between chronic pain and education level (OR = 0.11, CI = 0.05–0.22; P < .0001). A significant association between employment type and the prevalence of chronic pain was confirmed (OR = 2.45, CI = 1.96–3.06; P < .0001). The results of the logistic regression analysis for all variables are presented in Table 3. Among the population, 21 patients underwent surgery for cancer and their pain lasted longer and was more severe.
ence and determinants of chronic pain in Iranians residing in southern Iran. The first advantage of this study is the large sampling of individuals that were selected from the general population rather than from a special clinical setting. The second advantage of this study is that it assessed the prevalence of chronic pain and its association with demographic, psychological and socioeconomic factors simultaneously. To the best of our knowledge, there are no distinctive population-based studies on individuals with chronic pain in southern Iran that assess the prevalence and determinants of chronic pain.

This study showed that the prevalence of chronic pain among the population in the present study was 38.9% and that it was significantly higher in women compared to men. In 2004, a study from Canada by Meana et al. [24] also confirmed a greater prevalence of pain among females. Various causes have been proposed to explain the increased prevalence of chronic pain among women. Some studies have proposed that female sex hormones are a primary cause that can affect the sense of pain [25]. Furthermore, some diseases, such as osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, migraine and fibromyalgia, are more common among women. The prevalence of chronic pain is the same in both genders after 70 years of age [3,25].

Our results showed that the prevalence of chronic pain increased with age. It was more common in persons 70 to 80 years of age and was less common in persons 20 to 30 years of age. Results of other previous studies reported that the prevalence of pain varied individually with increased age. Helme and Gibson [12] found that the prevalence of pain increased with older age. Some studies showed that people aged 60 to 65 have a greater tolerance for pain [24,26]. The other theory is that some visceral pains, such as abdominal and ischemic heart pain, are sensed less in older aged people [3].

In the present study, a significant association was found between chronic pain and income: chronic pain was more prevalent among low-income individuals. Those with adequate income benefitted from different types of social insurance. There are two types of health insurance in Iran. For routine insurance, the cost is supported by the employer while for supplementary insurance, the patient pays; thus, those with adequate income are able to afford supplementary insurance and benefit from its advantages, obtain better medical and medicine support, complete their treatment period and experience less pain. Those without adequate income have no access to tertiary treatment centers, and perhaps their conditions are not diagnosed properly and consequently suffer from chronic pain [27,28].

Our results showed a significant relationship between chronic pain and education level. Chronic pain was less prevalent among those with a Master’s degree or higher. Individuals with higher education levels, who generally have sufficient knowledge on disease and subsequent complications, devote more attention to their health and treat their disease in an appropriate timely manner and are healthier. In contrast, those with a low education level devote less attention to their hygiene and health, and do not have enough information on disease and consequently, suffer from chronic disease with a poor outcome. There is a direct association between education level and some diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, musculoskeletal diseases, neoplasm, and psychiatric, pulmonary and renal diseases [29].

The prevalence of chronic pain differs across various types of employment. Chronic pain was more common among unemployed (especially, shoppers) and less common among employees (especially, official employee as in a civil servant). The most prevalent industries in southern Iran are agriculture, gardening and looming, which are physically demanding and lead to musculoskeletal, joint and shoulder pain. Most of the official employees as in both civil servant and those pay taxes and benefits also work overtime, which results in some conditions such as shoulder and neck pain. On the other hand, a considerable number of shoppers had small shops with low income, and their lower economic status can lead to psychological stress and various diseases and subsequent pain. These results are consistent with those reported in previous studies [12,24,30].

Our study confirmed that the type of disease affects the prevalence and severity of chronic pain. For example, knee and back pain and headache had more negative effects on the daily functions of the patients. Patients who were overweight and obese were more disposed to chronic pain than the other patients. Patients who had undergone surgery still complained of pain, which was more severe in those who had undergone surgery for cancer, possibly due to the psychological effects of the cancer. Studies in other countries also reported a considerable prevalence for headache and chronic back, knee, pelvic and shoulder pain [15,18,22,31].

Consistent with previous studies, our results confirm
the negative impact of chronic pain on the social lives of patients leading to changes in lifestyle. Patients pay a lot for the treatment of chronic pain resulting in a considerable prevalence for depression and sleep disorder, and the same is true for the treatments among our study population [32].

Chronic pain is an important and common public health problem in Iran. Demographic, psychological and socioeconomic factors affect the prevalence of chronic pain. We recommend establishing consultant centers or outpatient pain clinics to train general physicians and other staff members in the prevention chronic pain and for the psychological support of chronic pain patients to reduce their pain.
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