Single photon emission from droplet epitaxial quantum dots in the standard telecom window around a wavelength of 1.55 μm
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We study the luminescence dynamics of telecom wavelength InAs quantum dots grown on InP(111)A by droplet epitaxy. The use of the ternary alloy InAlGaAs as a barrier material leads to photon emission in the 1.55 μm telecom C-band. The luminescence decay is well described in terms of the theoretical interband transition strength without the impact of nonradiative recombination. The intensity autocorrelation function shows clear anti-bunching photon statistics. The results suggest that our quantum dots are useful for constructing a practical source of single photons and quantum entangled photon pairs. © 2020 The Japan Society of Applied Physics

A source of single photons and quantum entangled photon pairs is a key device in vast quantum technologies. Semiconductor quantum dots are expected to serve as photon sources that can be operated very efficiently and deterministically. Numerous efforts have already been made to develop a practical quantum dot photon source. However, photon emission in the standard telecom band, particularly around a wavelength of 1.55 μm, which is the maximum transmission window of silica optical fibers, is a material challenge. Careful growth optimization is required to achieve a 1.55 μm emission.1–10 Nevertheless, the well-known quantum dot growth based on the Stranski–Krastanow mode leads to an asymmetric dot shape, which is not favorable for entangled pair generation.

The problem is ideally solved by using droplet epitaxy, which offers considerable freedom regarding the choice of materials and substrates.11 The application of a C6v symmetric (111)A surface to the growth substrate results in the creation of almost perfectly symmetric quantum dots, which can work in both the visible wavelength region12,13 and the infrared telecom wavelength region.14,15 Recently, the emission wavelength has been extended beyond 1.5 μm for InAs dots embedded in InAlGaAs on InP(111)A.16 However, the previous samples were not sufficiently optimized: the dot density was too high for a single quantum dot to be isolated using standard micro optics. Moreover, the dot size distribution is relatively large so that careful dot selection is required to find a dot that emits at 1.55 μm.

Here, we extend the droplet epitaxy scheme to achieve a purely 1.55 μm photon emission. We introduce the high temperature crystallization protocol, which has recently been applied to the GaAs material system,17,18 to the InAs/InP material system in order to improve the dot morphology property. The use of a state-of-the-art superconducting photon detector, together with an efficient dot sample, allows us to investigate single photon emission dynamics in the standard telecom C-band.

The quantum dot sample is grown on Fe-doped semi-insulating InP(111)A using a solid source molecular beam epitaxy machine. After depositing a lattice-matched In0.52 Al0.12 Ga0.36 As barrier with a thickness of 200 nm at 490 °C, we grow 0.5 of a monolayer (ML) of InAs at 490 °C. We then supply 0.25 ML of indium at a growth rate of 0.16 ML s−1 at 400 °C, which leads to the formation of indium droplets on InAlGaAs(111)A. Next, an As4 flux (9 × 10−5 Torr) is supplied at 400 °C to crystallize InAs dots from indium droplets. After in vacuo annealing at 450 °C for 5 min, the dots are capped by In0.52 Al0.12 Ga0.36 As with a thickness of 100 nm. A notable point in this sequence is the crystallization temperature, which we set higher than that of the standard protocol. The small diffusion length of group-III adatoms suppresses the transformation from dots to layers even at 400 °C, leading to the formation of dots with a high crystalline quality.

We measure the stationary- and time-resolved responses of photoluminescence from single InAs dots. For the stationary study we use a semiconductor laser diode at a wavelength of 980 nm as a cw excitation source. For the time-resolved study we use a ps mode-locked titanium sapphire laser whose wavelength is tuned to 900 nm as a pulsed source. The laser light is focused on the sample using a microscope objective lens with a numerical aperture of 0.65 (Olympus LCPlan50xIR). The luminescence signal is collected by the same lens, passed through a dichroic beam splitter, and coupled to a single mode optical fiber that has a mode field diameter of 9 μm at a wavelength of 1.3 μm. The fiber output is fed into a 50 cm spectrometer that consists of a 600 line mm−1 grating.

The luminescence signal is spectrally analyzed using a cooled InGaAs photodiode array (Andor iDus 491) and temporally resolved using a superconducting single photon detector (Single Quantum Eos) with a fast-response time-to-digital converter (PicoQuant PH300). The polarization state of the input light is adjusted to maximize the detection efficiency. Note that we attach a high-index hemispherical lens (n = 2) to the sample surface to increase the light collection efficiency.19 Thanks to the efficient setup together with the bright sample we achieve count rates up to 50 kHz. The sample is cooled using a closed cycle cryostat. All the experiments are performed at 8 K unless otherwise noted.

The inset in Fig. 1(b) shows an atomic force microscope image of the quantum dot surface. It reveals the formation of nearly circular dots without significant elongation. The dot isotropy arises due to the use of the C6v symmetric [111] surface as a growth substrate. The quantum dots have a disk-like shape with a diameter of 48 ± 8 nm and a height of
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1.9 ± 0.3 nm. These shape parameters are similar to those of droplet epitaxy GaAs dots on AlGaAs(111)A. The dot density is ∼6 × 10^8 cm^−2 thus making it easy to isolate a single dot without any post-growth processing such as the fabrication of small mesas or apertures.

Figure 1(a) shows the photoluminescence spectra of the quantum dot ensemble. They were measured using standard long focus optics. For low excitation, the spectrum has a Gaussian-like single peak centered at a wavelength of 1550 nm. Its full width at half maximum is ∼100 nm, which is more than two times smaller than that of our previous sample targeting a 1.55 μm emission. Hence, the majority of the dots in the present sample can emit in the telecom C-band. For high excitation, the spectrum shows another broad band that originates from the excited states, as well as an additional peak at 1350 nm due to carrier recombination in the barrier layer.

Figure 1(b) shows a typical luminescence spectrum for a single isolated dot. The observed split lines are attributed to neutral excitons (X, 1572.4 nm), positively charged excitons (X^+, 1573.8 nm), and neutral biexcitons (XX, 1578.6 nm). The spectral assignment was based on the large number of statistics of the multixciton binding energies in InAs/InAlAs droplet dots. Note that the present sample frequently shows X^+, but rarely shows a negatively charged line. This implies that our sample is slightly p-doped possibly due to the residual presence of carbon impurities. (The hypothesis also accounts for the observation of relatively weak XX signals even for saturation conditions in Fig. 1(b): with optical injections, charge neutral impurities tend to be ionized, then holes are more injected into dots, resulting in the lower population of neutral XX).

Figure 2 shows the luminescence decay signal of the X line shown in Fig. 1(b) after short pulsed excitation. The decay signal of a GaAs quantum dot embedded in Al0.3 Ga0.7 As (111)A, which we studied previously, is also shown for comparison. Both decay curves are well approximated by straight lines in the semilogarithmic plot, which implies that they follow single exponential functions. The decay time constant of the InAs dot is estimated to be 1.56 ns, which is significantly longer than that of the GaAs dot (0.56 ns).

Fig. 1. (Color online) (a) The luminescence spectra of a large ensemble of InAs quantum dots in In0.52 Al0.12 Ga0.36 As /InP(111)A at 12 K at different excitation powers. (b) The luminescence spectra of a single isolated InAs dot with a cw excitation of 40 nW. We focus on this dot in our time-resolved study. The inset shows an atomic force microscope image of the dot surface.

Fig. 2. (Color online) The luminescence decay of the neutral exciton line of an InAs quantum dot emitting at a wavelength of 1572 nm with an excitation power of 7 nW (red circles). The black square line is the luminescence decay of the neutral exciton line of a GaAs quantum dot embedded in Al0.3 Ga0.7 As(111)A, with an emission wavelength of 674 nm. The inset shows the decay rate dependence on the emission frequency for the measured GaAs and InAs dots, and the theoretical prediction of Eq. (1) for n = 3.5 and 2p^2/m_0 = 20 eV.

The large difference in the luminescence decay times arises due to the frequency dispersion of the photonic density of states, as discussed below.

The spontaneous emission rate for atomic transitions (Einstein’s A coefficient) is expressed as:

\[ \tau^{-1} = \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right) \frac{e^2 \omega |\vec{p}|^2}{3\pi \varepsilon_0 \hbar m_0^2 c^3}, \]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) (\( \mu_0 \) and \( \mu \)) are the vacuum and relative permittivities (permeabilities), respectively, \( n \) is the refractive index given by \( \sqrt{\epsilon_0 / \epsilon_0 \mu_0} \), \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of emitted light, and \( \vec{p} \) is the matrix element of the momentum operator \( \vec{p} = -i\hbar \nabla \). The above formula was deduced by including the interaction Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{int}} = (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A}) e/2m_0 \), where \( \vec{A} \) is the quantized vector...
potential, and the three-dimensional photonic density of states $\rho(\omega) = V \omega^2 / \pi^2 c^3$, where $V$ is the normalization volume, to the Fermi’s golden rule. Note that the matrix element $p$ serves as a band mixing source in the $k \cdot p$ perturbation theory, and it is more or less constant for most group-IV, III–V, and II–VI semiconductors, with the Kane energy $2p^2/m_0 \approx 20$ eV. Consequently, the material dependence in Eq. (1) appears only in the $\omega$-proportional factor if we assume a constant $n$ value. The solid line in the inset of Fig. 2 is the model dependence of the emission decay rate on the photon frequency, where we assume that $n = 3.5$ and $2p^2/m_0 = 20$ eV. The $\omega$-linear dependence agrees with the measured decay rates of telecom wavelength InAs dots and visible wavelength GaAs dots. Thus, the radiative process of our quantum dots is purely described by the atomic description in Eq. (1) free from the impact of nonradiative recombination.

Figure 3(a) shows the luminescence transients for different excitation powers. The signal observed at 7 nW, i.e. the lowest excitation condition, is identical to that shown by the semilogarithmic plot in Fig. 2. Hence, the decay curve follows a single exponent. When the excitation power is increased to 25 nW, the signal deviates from a monotonic decay, and reveals a significant rise after $t = 0$. The rise signature is more evident for 80 nW, where the intensity maximum is substantially delayed by more than 1 ns after excitation. The observed power-dependent evolution arises due to the multie exciton relaxation cascade. We analyze the single exciton spectral line, which is generated only when a single electron and hole pair remains in the dot, following the recombination of all the other pairs. The same luminescence behavior is reported in Refs. 23,24. Figure 3(b) shows the numerical simulation results, where we assume a Poissonian distribution for the initial number of excitons. For simplicity, we deal only with the cascade evolution from XX to X, and we assume that XX decays twice as fast as X, i.e. XX decays like noninteracting two excitons. The simple model reproduces the measured behavior qualitatively.

Figure 4 shows the intensity autocorrelation function $g^{(2)}(t)$ of the X luminescence line in Fig. 1(b). Here, we adopt the Hanbury Brown and Twiss setup to measure the coincidence of two photons as a function of delay time. The sample is illuminated by cw light. With low excitation at 7 nW, the signal shows a clear antibunching dip, which yields nearly no probability of emitting two photons at the same time. As the delay time is increased the signal recovers from $\sim 0$ to the equilibrium value, i.e. the accidental coincidence number, with a time constant given by the luminescence decay time analyzed in Fig. 2 ($\tau = 1.56$ ns). The dashed line shows a model function $1 - \exp(-|t|/\tau)$, which agrees with the observed signal. With increasing excitation power, the dip width is observed to decrease, and the signal quickly recovers to the equilibrium level. This is due to the acceleration of the X population recovery for strong excitations. Note that several researchers have reported the emergence of positive bunching correlations superimposed on the antibunching dip. However, we do not observe such a signature possibly due to the lower population of neutral XX in our sample. Nevertheless, the value of $g^{(2)}(0)$ is lower than the classical limit of 0.5 at least over the present excitation range, supporting the emission of single photons from this dot.
In conclusion, we used droplet epitaxy to fabricate InAs quantum dots that emit single photons at wavelengths around 1.55 \( \mu \)m. Careful growth optimization enabled us to reduce the dot size distribution, and so the majority of the dots could emit photons in the telecom C-band. The exciton lifetime was nearly the same as the theoretically ideal value free from the impact of nonradiative recombination. The use of a trigonally symmetric \( \text{InP}(111)A \) substrate led to the formation of nearly circular dots. Thus, our dots can be expected to serve as bright single photon and entangled photon pair sources that will be useful for practical quantum communication applications.
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