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Abstract

Study Design: Literature review.

Objective: The aim of this literature review is to examine the effects of psychological disorders on postoperative complications, surgical outcomes, and long-term narcotic use. We also hope to detail the value of preoperative identification and treatment of these pathologies.

Methods: A series of systematic reviews of the relevant literature examining the effects of psychological disorders and spine surgery was conducted using PubMed and Cochrane databases.

Results: Combined, the database queries yielded 2275 articles for consideration. After applying screening criteria, 96 articles were selected for inclusion. Patients with underlying psychological disease have higher rates of delirium, readmission, longer hospital stays, and higher rates of nonroutine discharge following spine surgery. They also have higher rates of chronic postoperative narcotic use and may experience worse surgical outcomes. Because of these defined issues, researchers have developed multiple screening tools to help identify patients with psychological disorders preoperatively for potential treatment. Treatment of these disorders prior to surgery may significantly improve surgical outcomes.

Conclusion: Patients with psychological disorders represent a unique population with respect to their higher rates of spinal pain complaints, postoperative complications, and worsened functional outcomes. However, proper identification and treatment of these conditions prior to surgery may significantly improve many outcome measures in this population. Future investigations in this field should attempt to develop and validate current strategies to identify and treat individuals with psychological disorders before surgery to further improve outcomes.

Keywords
psychological disorder, anxiety, depression, low back pain

Introduction

Up to 59% of patients presenting to outpatient spine clinics demonstrate symptoms of an active psychological disorder.1,2 While researchers have not yet explained the mechanism of this correlation, most spine surgeons recognize the unique challenges of this patient population. Though the term psychological disorder encompasses a multitude of separate pathologies, to date the majority of spine-related publications only evaluate depression or anxiety. While this focused research provides a magnitude of knowledge on these 2 disease processes, important gaps in knowledge exist regarding the effects of relatively common psychological diseases, such as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, on outcomes in spine surgery. Current evidence suggests that patients with psychologic disorders have higher rates of both acute and chronic spine complaints,3 worse outcomes with treatment,4-6 and more frequent use of narcotic medications.7 Additionally, those that undergo surgical intervention have higher complication rates than the general population.8-11 In response, physicians have developed and validated objective screening tools to help identify patients with psychological disorders who are at risk of experiencing...
suboptimal surgical outcomes. Fortunately, early identification and treatment of these disorders may substantially improve the objective and subjective outcomes of operative intervention. The purpose of this comprehensive review of the existing literature is to describe the correlation between psychological disorders and treatment outcomes. Additionally, we summarize the current understanding of preoperative screening and the value of concomitant perioperative treatment of these disorders.

**Link Between Psychologic Conditions and Spine Pain**

Patients with psychological disorders report higher rates of acute pain and are more likely to develop chronic pain than the general population. Anxiety and depression represent the 2 pathologies most frequently and strongly associated with back or neck pain. In a review of 85,088 patients collected through a multinational survey, Dennytenaere and associates reported a near 2-fold increase in pooled odds ratio (OR) for anxiety (2.2 [95% confidence interval (CI) = 2.1-2.4]) and mood disorders (2.3 [95% CI = 2.1-2.5]) in individuals with chronic neck and back pain. These associations remained consistent both in developed and developing nations. Similarly, in a retrospective review of 118,533 individuals through the Canadian Community Health Survey, Currie and Wang reported that 19.8% of individuals with chronic low back pain suffered from major depression compared with only 5.9% of the pain-free population. Individuals with underlying psychological disorders also have a higher risk of developing chronic spine-related pain than the unaffected population. In a prospective study of 9,909 adults without preexisting low back pain, Currie and Wang reported that individuals with depression were nearly 3 times as likely to develop chronic low back pain over a 2-year period (OR = 2.9, 95% CI = 1.2-7). Together, these large well-designed studies establish a link between the coexistence and development of spine-related pain in individuals with anxiety or depression.

The mechanism underlying the correlation between increased rates and psychological disease is most likely multifactorial. Intuitively, chronic pain may predispose to higher rates of psychological disorders as a result of its effects on quality of life and activity levels. A Dutch epidemiological study of 5303 individuals provides support for pain as a risk for the development of both mood and anxiety disorders. Within this study, de Heer et al report a near 2-fold increase in the odds ratio of individuals with moderate to very severe pain developing mood disorders (OR = 2.10, 95% CI = 1.33-3.29) or anxiety disorders (OR = 2.12, 95% CI = 1.30-3.54) over the normal population. Additionally, individuals reporting moderate to severe interference due to pain were also almost twice as likely to develop a mood disorder or anxiety within 3 years. Evidence of pain’s contribution to mood disorders may also be reflected in the 37% to 48% spontaneous improvement in mood disorders reported following successful surgery.

**Methods**

This study sought to address 5 discrete questions through systematic review of the existing literature: (1) Are patients with comorbid psychological disease at an increased risk of developing postoperative complications following spine surgery? (2) Do patients with comorbid psychological disease experience different outcomes following elective spine surgery? (3) Are patients with comorbid psychological disease at higher risk of developing chronic narcotic use after elective spine surgery? (4) What are the current trends in screening for psychological conditions in outpatient spine surgical practices? (5) Does preoperative treatment of comorbid psychological disease influence postoperative outcome? To accomplish this, 5 systematic reviews of the PubMed database and the Cochrane Library were performed targeting each study question independently in September 2019. Specific search strings are contained in Figures 1 to 5. Articles were excluded if they involved animal subjects, nonelective procedures, or focused on a pediatric population. Articles were initially screened by title and abstract. Those that suggested relevance to the specific question underwent full-text review to reach an inclusion decision. A single fellowship-trained spine surgeon (KJ) conducted all article reviews. References were reviewed from included studies to identify articles that may have not been captured in the initial database queries.

**Results**

Figures 1 to 5 demonstrate the results for each systematic review. Cumulatively, the 5 queries identified 2275 articles. Screening by title and abstract for relevance yielded 101 articles for review. Ninety articles were deemed relevant to one of the questions posed after full-text review. Evaluation of references in each relevant study yielded 6 additional studies that were deemed germane to the posed question after full-text review. Fifteen additional articles, discovered in queries designed to answer other questions, were deemed relevant to another question posed in this review and were included.

**The Effects of Psychological Disorders on Postoperative Complications and Nonroutine Discharge**

Psychological disorders have been linked with higher rates of postoperative delirium, postoperative readmission, length of stay, and nonroutine hospital discharge. In a retrospective review of 923 patients who underwent elective spine surgery, Elsamadicy et al reported an almost 2-fold increase in the rate of postoperative delirium in patients with depression compared with the unaffected population (10.59% vs 5.84%, \( P = .01 \)). Within this study the authors noted the link between depression and elevated rates of postoperative delirium in multiple other fields of surgery. They further hypothesized that depressed individuals have higher cortisol levels that increase the risk of postoperative delirium. Multiple studies have also reported a link between depression and postoperative...
readmission.\textsuperscript{8,26,27} In their retrospective review of 14,939 patients who underwent instrumented spine surgery, Akins and colleagues found that preoperative depression was a significant risk for 30-day readmission (OR $= 1.48$, 95\% CI $= 1.14$-1.93).\textsuperscript{8} Schairer et al noted a similar link between depression and readmission following both surgery for adult spinal deformity\textsuperscript{26} and oncologic spine procedures.\textsuperscript{27} These factors likely contribute to the reported increased rates of nonroutine hospital discharge observed in individuals with psychological disorders.\textsuperscript{9} In a review of 5,382,343 patients undergoing decompression and spinal fusion contained within the National Hospital Discharge Survey database, Menendez et al noted higher rates of nonroutine discharge in patients with anxiety, depression, schizophrenia, and dementia (Table 1).\textsuperscript{9} These observed higher rates of delirium, nonroutine discharge, and readmission may increase the cost of care for individuals with psychological disorders.\textsuperscript{28,29} In a cross-sectional study of 305 patients who had surgical treatment of a herniated lumbar or cervical disc, Konnopka et al found a significant association between psychiatric comorbidity and direct costs in lumbar disc herniation ($\text{€7042}$ vs $\text{€5534}$, $P < .01$).\textsuperscript{28} While the Konnopka study is the only to correlate psychological disease and increased hospital costs in the spine literature, Rasoulli et al reported a $\text{€3420}$ increase in the cost of care for patients undergoing total joint arthroplasty with anxiety or depression.\textsuperscript{29} Future population studies to both evaluate and quantify this increase in cost after spine surgery may add to the current understanding of the challenges psychological diseases present in surgical treatment of spinal pathology.

**Effects of Psychological Disorders on Postoperative Outcome Measures**

The published literature demonstrates inconsistencies on the effect preoperative psychological disorders have on postoperative outcomes. While the vast majority of studies demonstrate a negative correlation between the presence of these conditions and objective and subjective outcomes,\textsuperscript{6,17,18,30-60} large trials conflict these results.\textsuperscript{61-68} Evaluating this discordant data may promote understanding of both current knowledge gaps and potential areas of future investigation.

In a prospective review of 102 patients undergoing lumbar surgery, Trief et al found that both preoperative depression and anxiety predicted failure to return to work and failure to report improvement in both pain and functional abilities 1 year after surgery.\textsuperscript{4} Multiple investigations have linked the presence of psychological disorders to worse functional outcome scores.\textsuperscript{5,6} The association between preoperative psychological disorders and worse functional outcomes persists over long-term clinical follow-up. In a prospective observational study, Tuomainen et al reported a significant correlation between higher preoperative Beck’s Depression Index scores (worse depression) and
worse ODI (Oswestry Disability Index) and VAS (Visual Analogue Scale) scores 10 years after surgery. Importantly, the authors noted that while individuals with higher depressive scores demonstrated statistically significant improvement from preoperative ODI scores, observed improvements in VAS and walking distance were not significantly different at 10 years. These results sharply contrast with the significant improvements in all measures observed in the nondepressed cohort in this study. In a review of 67 adults undergoing lumbar discectomy, Chaichana et al noted that individuals with anxiety or depression were significantly less likely to achieve a minimum clinical important difference in disability and quality of life (QOL).

Though these studies suggest that patients with underlying psychological diseases experience worse postoperative outcomes, other evidence challenges this assessment and warrants close review of a perceived association. In a database review of a single surgeon’s elective spine practice, Maratos et al noted that patients with increased levels of preoperative anxiety or depression reported worse Short Form-36 physical functioning (SF36PF) and bodily pain scores (SF36BP) before and after surgery than patients who did not have these conditions. Similarly, in a review of 267 patients treated surgically for adult spinal deformity, Theologis et al noted that patients with baseline depression had higher levels of preoperative back pain, higher levels of obesity and medical comorbidity, and worse functional scores than unaffected patients. When controlling for these differences, depressed patients did not demonstrate significantly worse functional outcomes 2 years after surgery. These studies suggest that the frequently noted worse preoperative pain and functional status reported by patients with psychological conditions serve as an important confounding variable when evaluating postoperative outcomes in this population. Spine surgeons should use this information to engage patients demonstrating depressive symptoms in a candid preoperative conversation regarding the expected long-term benefits of elective surgery based on their individualized risks.

**Psychological Disorders and Long-Term Postoperative Narcotic Use**

Comorbid psychological conditions appear to increase a patient’s risk of chronic opioid use after spine surgery. In a retrospective review of 819 patients who underwent lumbar spine surgery, Wright et al noted that patients with preoperative depression were significantly more likely to develop...
postoperative opioid dependence (OR = 1.73, 95% CI = 1.13-2.66, \( P = .012 \)).78 In a retrospective review of 28,813 patients undergoing 1- or 2-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion, Harris noted a significant relationship between chronic postoperative opioid use and both anxiety (OR = 1.5, 95% CI = 1.4-1.6) and depression (OR = 1.7, 95% CI = 1.6-1.9).78 Though the mechanism behind this increased risk of chronic opioid use in patients with psychological conditions has not been discovered, this population’s higher rates of preoperative narcotic use7,79 and worse subjective postoperative pain scores45,54,64 may contribute.

The Value of Preoperative Screening for Psychological Disorder

In an attempt to identify which patients with psychological disorders are at risk of suboptimal postoperative outcomes, researchers have developed several screening tools. The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) has historically been the most common psychological screening tool administered to patients with chronic pain.80 Though evidence suggests this tool can aid in predicting postoperative outcome,81 its length and time requirements limit its usefulness as an office screening tool.82 As a result, many investigators have used the Distress and Risk Assessment Method (DRAM). The DRAM is a 2-page 45-question patient questionnaire that combines the Modified Somatic Perceptions Questionnaire (MPSQ) and the Zung Depression Index (ZDI).82 Combined scoring then allows stratification into 1 of 4 categories: Type N (normal), Type R (at risk), Type DD (distressed-depressive), and Type DS (distressed-somatic; Table 2). The DRAM has been validated to both correlate with the more extensive MMPI82-84 and predict worse clinical outcomes in patients with higher levels of distress.83,85 In a retrospective review of 103 patients, Abtahi noted significantly higher overall patient satisfaction scores (91.5 ± 10.4 vs 81.8 ± 19.9; \( P = .005 \)) and satisfaction with provider scores (94.2 ± 11.3 vs 83.0 ± 25.8; \( P = .042 \)) in patients from the combined normal (N) and at-risk (R) groups versus the combined grouping of distressed-depressive (DD) and distressed-somatic (DS) patients.85 In a prospective study of 267 individuals treated with surgery for adult spinal deformity, Theologis et al noted worse functional scores in patients within both the distressed-depressive (DD) and distressed-somatic (DS) categories.67 Interestingly, within this study the probability of improving by at least the minimum clinical important differences demonstrated a near linear negative correlation with the Modified Somatic Perceptions Questionnaire (MSPQ) portion of the DRAM.67 Together, these studies suggest that patients within the distressed-depressive and distressed-somatic groups are at higher risk of experiencing a
suboptimal treatment outcome and support use of DRAM as a screening tool for this purpose.

**Current Use of Screening Tools in Spine Surgery**

Despite solid evidence of the role psychologic disorders have in treatment outcomes and the availability of current validated screening tools to help identify these patients, most spine surgeons do not formally screen their patients. In a survey of 110 practicing spine surgeons, 37% reported the routine use of a formal tool to screen for depression or anxiety. This number matches a study of British spine surgeons that reported 64% either never or rarely use a formal psychological assessment tool. Some spine surgeons may rely on their ability and intuition to identify patients with psychological disorders. However, this method has proven unreliable in comparison to the use of an objective screening tool. In a prospective study of 400 new patients comparing the subjective ratings of spine providers to the results of DRAM, Daubs et al reported a sensitivity of 28.7% (95% CI = 19.5% to 39.4%) and a positive predictive value of 47.2% (95% CI = 33.3% to 61.4%) for identifying individuals with high levels of distress. Interestingly, the authors reported significantly improved sensitivity for nonoperative providers over surgeons and trends toward improved sensitivity in less experienced surgeons. Similarly, in a prospective study of 125 patients comparing surgeon DRAM scoring to patients’ actual DRAM results, Grevitt et al reported a surgeon sensitivity level of 26% and a predictive value of 69% for a “distressed evaluation.” Perhaps best demonstrating the inaccuracies of subjective screening measures, Omid-Kashani et al reported a failure to diagnose severe psychological disorders in 21% of patients undergoing spine surgery. These studies highlight the difficulty of attempting to identify patients at risk of suboptimal outcomes using subjective measures or intuition. From an economic and patient care standpoint, providers who routinely refer patients with suspected psychological disorders should consider the use of an objective screening tool in lieu of subjective assessment.

**Does Presurgical Referral to Behavioral Health Influence Outcomes**

Treatment of psychological disorders before surgery may lead to improved outcomes. In a study of 27 patients undergoing anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with preoperative anxiety, Adogwa et al noted statistically significant improvement in postoperative neck pain at 1 year in patients who received treatment for their anxiety compared to those who had not.
a similar study of 140 patients undergoing anterior cervical discectomy and fusion, Elsamadicy et al identified 25 individuals with depression preoperatively and provided treatment.90 In this study, patients with treated depression demonstrated no significant difference in objective or subjective outcomes up to 24 months after surgery.90 These similar results suggest that treating depression prior to surgery may mitigate the difference in outcomes observed in the historic literature. Sinikallio et al also demonstrated this correlation between the improvement of depressive symptoms and improvement in surgical outcomes.18 In their retrospective review of 99 patients undergoing surgical treatment of lumbar stenosis, these investigators identified 19 patients with evidence of depression on Beck’s Depression Inventory.18 Following treatment 7 patients (37%) experienced resolution of their depressive symptoms. Three months after surgery patients with depression that resolved postoperatively experienced functional and pain-related improvements that resembled, “in all essential respects,” the improvement seen in the baseline normal mood group.18 Conversely, patients with continuous depression experienced worse postoperative symptom severity, worse ODI scores, less walking capacity, and more pain intensity.18 The improvements in patients who noted resolution of depressive symptoms remained durable at the 2- and 5-year follow-up periods.34,91 In a similar review of 58 patients who underwent surgery for lumbar stenosis, Urban-Baeza noted spontaneous improvement of depressive symptoms in 48% of effected patients (14/29).17 Patients who
remained depressed 1 year after surgery experienced higher rates of severe back pain, severe leg pain, and severe disability than individuals in the combined never depressed and resolved depression groups.\textsuperscript{17} Additionally, patients who remained depressed were less likely to agree with the statement, “Surgery helped a lot” (33% vs 76%).\textsuperscript{17} These findings suggest that treating underlying depression in the perioperative period may mitigate this population’s risk of developing the historically reported suboptimal objective and subjective outcomes after surgery.

**Conclusion**

Though most of the current spine literature evaluating the influence of psychological diseases on outcomes focuses only on depression and anxiety, a clear correlation between the development of spine complaints and these disorders exists. While the exact mechanism underlying this relationship has not been fully defined, this patient population experiences both higher rates of perioperative complications and nontraditional discharge and are more likely to continue narcotic use after surgery. Furthermore, patients with psychological disorders report lower rates of satisfaction and worse improvements in both objective and subjective outcomes following surgical care. Historically, physicians have struggled to identify individuals with psychological disorders using subjective clinical assessment alone. However, newer objective screening measures have shown promise in improving the accuracy of identifying patient populations at risk for suboptimal outcomes. Once identified, perioperative treatment of these underlying psychological conditions may substantially improve outcomes in this patient population. Future research in this field should focus on developing and validating improved methods of preoperative identification and treatment of patients with these disorders. Additionally, further investigation into the effects of psychological diseases, other than depression and anxiety, may prove beneficial.
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