Phenotype and genotype variability of interspecific rice lines related to bacterial leaf blight resistance (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*) character
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**Abstract.** Yuriyah S, Darnaedi D, Setia TM, Windarsh G, Utami DW. 2021. Phenotype and genotype variability of interspecific rice lines related to bacterial leaf blight resistance (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*) character. Biodiversitas 22: 4123-4130. Wild rice species are the source of the gene pool for rice genetic diversity. The cross-species crosses (interspecific crosses) play an important role in breeding, namely in terms of expanding the diversity of desirable characters, such as disease resistance and improvement in yield potential. Currently, the genes from wild rice species have been successfully introgressed into cultivated rice so that they can overcome the rice production constraints, including the introgression of genes for the bacterial leaf blight (BLB) resistance. The purpose of this study was to analyze the variability of phenotype and genotype performance of lines derived from wild rice species for the character of resistance to BLB disease. A total of 33 selected backcross lines derived from wild rice species of *O. rufipogon* and *O. glaberrima* as the donor parents and 2 control varieties (resistant and susceptible to BLB disease), were used in this study. The evaluation of phenotype and genotype of resistance characters to BLB disease was carried out during a vegetative phase of the plant. The resistance evaluation was carried out in a greenhouse, while the genotype performance was analyzed using 4 STS markers linked to Xa4, Xa7, and Xa13 genes. The result of the phenotype evaluation showed that there were variations among the resistance of the tested lines. Three lines derived from a Situ Bagendit/*Oryza rufipogon* cross were resistant to all BLB races used in the testing. Those three lines indicated to have the allele of the Xa7 resistance gene based on the genotype performance which grouped with the Code variety that had the Xa7 resistance gene.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a leading staple worldwide. The global food demands require the cultivation of high-quality and high-yield rice varieties that are resistant to multiple diseases. However, rice domestication resulted in the reduction of genetic diversity, and the 1990s saw a marked decline in yield growth rate (Badawi 2004). To increase the genetic diversity of rice, the breeders have focused on identifying the genes responsible for desirable traits in the relatives of cultivated rice varieties and transferring those genes to *O. sativa*. Wild rice is a relative of cultivated rice (*O. sativa*) with specific morphological characters and potential as a gene source for rice breeding (Atwell et al. 2014). Wild rice has various important characteristics that are not owned by cultivated rice, such as the resistance to pests and diseases and the tolerance to environmental stresses, such as drought and inundation. Therefore, wild rice is useful as a source of genes for character improvement in breeding programs (Suhartini 2016). Some wild rice species include: (i) *Oryza rufipogon* distributed in Australia and Asia, and (ii) *Oryza glaberrima* from West Africa which has a strong stem character, productive tillers, a large number of grains per panicle, and plants can be ratooned. *Oryza glaberrima* originates from the Niger River delta, the main point of *O. glaberrima* diversity is a swamp basin in the upper Niger River and the second point is in the southwest near the Guinean Coast (Dogara and Junar 2014; Champion et al. 2021). Indonesia is one of the important regions that have a diversity of wild rice relatives, it is necessary to prioritize conservation to have a stock of quality and sustainable wild rice seeds (Rahman et al. 2019).

Several kinds of research showed that wild rice species potentially as genetic resources for increased BLB resistance on rice, such as *O. latifolia* (Angeles-Shim et al. 2014), *O. longistaminata*, *O. rufipogon* and *O. minuta* (Vikal and Bhatia 2017). *Oryza rufipogon* was also reported that found have the broad-spectrum resistance to Philippine and Indian BLB races (Ram et al. 2011). Twenty-eight accessions from *O. glaberrima* species and 2 accessions from *O. rufipogon* species resistant to *Xoo* pathotypes *PbXo*-8, and 8 accessions from *O. rufipogon* species resistant to *Xoo* pathotypes *PbXo*-10 (Neelam et al.
A total of 16 markers which are linked to the Xa5, Xa10, Xa13, and Xa21 genes had been successfully used to obtain potential, fast, easy and consistent varieties in marker-assisted selection (MAS) to be used in a breeding program of BLB resistant rice resulted from a crossing between the MR219 race from Malaysia with IRBB60 (Zuki et al. 2020).

Interactions between rice and Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) have become important models for understanding the fundamental aspects of plant disease resistance and bacterial pathogenesis, as well as other aspects of plant and microbial biology, with implications for innate animal immunity too (Niño-Liu et al. 2006). Xa4 gene mediates a race-specific and durable resistance to Xoo at all stages of rice growth in many countries (Webb et al. 2010). Xa4 gene was first incorporated into commercial rice varieties in the early 1970s and has been one of the most widely exploited resistance genes in breeding programs in at least 54 Asian, African, South American, and Mesoeamerican countries (Khush and Virk 2005).

Xa7 gene is well known for conferring durable resistance against bacterial leaf blight, which has been verified by a 10-year cropping period (Webb et al. 2010). Furthermore, increased effectiveness has been reported for Xa7-mediated resistance under high temperature and drought stresses (Dossa et al. 2020). These features lend great importance to the functional study of this gene. Xa7 gene was originally identified from rice variety of DV85 (Sidhu et al. 1978) and introduced into the near-isogenic line of IRBB7 through multiple rounds of backcrossing of DV85 with IR24 as a recurrent parent (Ogawa et al. 1991). The recessive resistance gene of Xa13 occurs as a series of natural alleles of the susceptible gene of Os-8N3, whose expression is induced by strains of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae carrying the gene of PthXo1, which encodes the transcription activator-like (TAL) effector of PthXo1 (Yuan et al. 2009).

The use of wild rice in rice breeding is often hampered by the introgression of target genes from wild rice to cultivated rice, especially for wild rice species which have different genome types from cultivated rice. However, through the molecular biology technologies, such as the use of molecular markers, this obstacle can be overcome, so that the gene transfer from wild rice to cultivated rice can be carried out. The availability of linkage maps of molecular markers with gene loci for target characters can assist in tracking introgressions and desirable characters and avoiding unwanted introgressions (Neelamraju and Swamy 2014). The objectives of this study were to analyze the variability of phenotype and genotype performance of wild rice species for the character of resistance to BLB disease and clustered them to found the resistance pattern to the three major BLB races used for screening.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic materials

The genetic materials used in this study amounted to 33 lines of advanced backcross and selling on third (BC₃F₃) crossing between O. rufipogon (acc. IRGC105491) and O. glaberrima (acc. IRGC100156) with several superior varieties, such as Cihering, Inpari 10, Inpari 13 and Situ Bagendit. These populations are developed to support the BB Biogen breeding program by national funding. For the resistance performance comparison, several control varieties were used in this study: Code and TN-1 as resistant and susceptible control varieties, respectively; Cihering, Inpari 10, and Situ Bagendit as parents control plants; monogenic lines (IRBB4, IRBB5, IRBB7, IRBB13, IRBB21); and the two varieties of Code and Angke as the control varieties which contained the resistance genes incorporated. The total genetic materials of this research were showed in Table 1.

Meanwhile, the BLB isolates used for resistance evaluation were pure isolates cultures of races III, IV, and VIII, as the BLB’s dominant races in BLB endemic locations (Yuriyah et al. 2013). The purebred cultures used were the collection of the Center for Research and Development on Biotechnology and Agricultural Genetic Resources (ICABIOGRAD) (Table 2).

Phenotype variability analysis

BLB resistance evaluation

The BLB resistance was evaluated at the ICABIOGRAD’s greenhouse condition. The seeds of rice lines screen were oven-dried for ±12 hours at 50°C, the seeds were germinated on wet tissue in a petri dish. Seven days later, the seedlings were planted at a spacing of 4 cm × 2 cm in a plastic tub measuring 31 cm in width, length 39 cm, and height 12.5 cm, containing a mixture medium of silt and manure (10:1). Each tub was planted with 20 lines in rows and six seeds were planted for each accession. The resistant, susceptible, and monogenic control varieties were grown in each tub. For each BLB race, there were three replications. Bacterial inoculum was cultured on 20 mL of Wakimoto's agar medium or WF-P medium in a petri dish for 48 hours at 28-30°C. Bacterial cultures were added with 10 mL of sterile distilled water, stirred using a sterile skewer until homogeneous, and the concentration was measured using a spectrophotometer, then diluted to a concentration of 10⁶ cfu. The plants that were 30 days after planting (DAP) were inoculated by cutting the tips of the leaves along 0.5-1.0 cm using a sterile scissor that had been dipped in the inoculum. The plants were incubated in a greenhouse and kept for the humidity by spraying water with a sprinkler starting from one day after inoculation (Yuriyah et al. 2013).

The observation was conducted on the 14th day after inoculation by measuring the length of the third and fourth leaves of the flag leaf and the length of the attack symptom (cm). The disease intensity (DI) was calculated using the formula of DI = LS/LOL × 100%, where LS = length of symptom and TL = total leaf length (Yuriyah et al. 2016). The determination of the level of resistance was carried out according to criteria modified from the Standard Evaluation System for Rice (IRRI 2013), with DI = disease intensity, R = resistant (DI≤25%), S = susceptible (DI≥25).
The leaf samples were taken from each tested plant aged 30 days before being inoculated in a greenhouse using the tested races. The DNA isolation procedure was carried out using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction buffer (Rani and Sharma 2016). The ingredients for the extraction buffer were CTAB 2% (w/v), Tris-HCl 100 mM (pH 8.0), NaCl 1.4 M, and EDTA 20 mM (pH 8.0). A total of 0.5 grams of leaf pieces was crushed using an extraction buffer, then the sample was extracted twice using chloroform: isooamyl alcohol (24:1). A dried DNA pellet was dissolved with TE buffer (Tris 10 mM [pH 8.0], EDTA 1 mM). The stock DNA solution obtained was tested qualitatively and quantitatively to determine its quality and concentration. The quantitative testing was carried out using a NanoDrop™ 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA), while the qualitatively testing was carried out using an electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. The results of electrophoresis were observed under UV light on a UV TransIlluminator (UVP, UK).

**Genotype variability analysis**

**DNA isolation**

The leaf samples were taken from each tested plant aged 30 days before being inoculated in a greenhouse using the tested races. The DNA isolation procedure was carried out using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction buffer (Rani and Sharma 2016). The ingredients for the extraction buffer were CTAB 2% (w/v), Tris-HCl 100 mM (pH 8.0), NaCl 1.4 M, and EDTA 20 mM (pH 8.0). A total of 0.5 grams of leaf pieces was crushed using an extraction buffer, then the sample was extracted twice using chloroform: isooamyl alcohol (24:1). A dried DNA pellet was dissolved with TE buffer (Tris 10 mM [pH 8.0], EDTA 1 mM). The stock DNA solution obtained was tested qualitatively and quantitatively to determine its quality and concentration. The quantitative testing was carried out using a NanoDrop™ 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA), while the qualitatively testing was carried out using an electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. The results of electrophoresis were observed under UV light on a UV TransIlluminator (UVP, UK).

**PCR analysis using STS markers-based genes for marker-assisted selection**

The molecular markers used to identify the genes for resistance to BLB disease in tested lines were the STS (Sequence-Tagged Sites) markers. These markers are flanking markers for detecting the genes for resistance to BLB disease, which are linked to Xa4, Xa7 and Xa13 genes (Table 3) and to be known to cosegregate with the genes for resistance to BLB disease (Winandari et al. 2014; Nan-Jiang et al. 2020).

The DNA sequence of 4 pairs of STS primers used in the study was shown in Table 3. The genomic DNA was diluted at a concentration of 10 ng/μL which was used as a template for the PCR reaction. The PCR mixture was prepared with a composition of 2 μL DNA (10 ng/μL), 2 μL ddH2O, 1 μL STS marker at 72°C, 36 cycles; 5 min at 72°C. Electrophoresis of PCR products was carried out on 2% agarose gel with 24 wells. In each well, a mixture of 1 μL loading dye and GelRed® Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium, USA): ddH2O (1:2), and 2 μL PCR product was added. Electrophoresis was carried out with an electric current of 90 Volts for 1 hour. The DNA bands were visualized using a ChemiDoc EQ™ UV transilluminator (Bio-Red Gel Doc EZ Imager) and scored by size.

### Table 1. The genetic materials that used in the testing of lines derived from the crosses of superior varieties with wild rice species

| Line number | Lines | Parents or control varieties | Population or lines |
|-------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1           | 1-F-C21 | Inpari 10/Oryza glaberrima | BC(F3)              |
| 2           | 2a-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 3           | 2b-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 4           | 7-F-C1  | Ciheraing/Oryza rufipogon | BC(F3)              |
| 5           | 59-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 6           | 69-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 7           | 103a-F-C21 |                 |                     |
| 8           | 103b-F-C21 |                |                     |
| 9           | 12-F-C21 | Inpari 13/Oryza rufipogon | BC(F3)              |
| 10          | 25-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 11          | 71-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 12          | 86-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 13          | 22-F-C21 | Situ Bagendit/Oryza rufipogon | BC(F3) |
| 14          | 32-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 15          | 37-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 16          | 44-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 17          | 48-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 18          | 51-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 19          | 54-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 20          | 58-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 21          | 81-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 22          | 89-F-C21 |                     |                     |
| 23          | Ciheraing | Recurrent parent control variety | Elite/popular upland variety |
| 24          | Inpari 13 | Recurrent parent control variety |                     |
| 25          | Inpari 10 | Recurrent parent control variety |                     |
| 26          | Situ Bagendit | Recurrent parent control variety |                     |
| 27          | Angke    | Control varieties contained Xa4+Xa5 genes | Elite/popular upland variety |
| 28          | Code     | Control varieties contained Xa4+Xa7 genes | Elite/popular lowland variety |
| 29          | TN-1     | BLB susceptible control variety | Introduction variety from China |
| 30          | Kencana Bali | BLB susceptible control variety | Local variety |
| 31          | IRBB4    | Monogenic line contained Xa4 gene as control variety | Introduction varieties from IRRI* |
| 32          | IRBB5    | Monogenic line contained Xa5 gene as control variety | Introduction varieties from IRRI* |
| 33          | IRBB7    | Monogenic line contained Xa7 gene as control variety |                     |
| 34          | IRBB13   | Monogenic line contained Xa13 gene as control variety |                     |
| 35          | IRBB21   | Monogenic line contained Xa21 gene as control variety |                     |

Note: *IRRI: International Rice Research Institute
Table 2. The list of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae races used in this study

| Races | ID Number | Year of Collection | Origin of Isolate | Location     |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| III   | IXO 18-AgN-3 | 2018              | Jatisari, Cikampek | West Java    |
| IV    | IXO 76-002   | 1980              | local Cianjur     | West Java    |
| VIII  | IXO 79-008   | 1980              | Siyam Halus       | Banjarmasin, Kalimantan |

Table 3. The primers used to amplify the molecular marker linked to the resistance gene to BLB disease

| Primers | Gene marker | Marker type | Chr. | Sequences | Temp. of Annealing (°C) | Band size (bp) |
|---------|-------------|-------------|------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Xa4LD5  | Xa4         | STS         | 11   | CACGTCATGCTCTTTGCTTA CAGAGGACACACGCAACAA | 55            | 183            |
| Xa4LD6  | Xa4         | STS         | 11   | AGGCCGCCCTTTCTATTACA CCGAGAGGAATCTGACTGG | 55            | 253            |
| Xa7LD34 | Xa7         | STS         | 6    | GTGTTTGTCTAGTGATGATG | 55            | 741            |
| Xa13LD59| Xa13        | STS         | 8    | CAGGGCGTAGAGATCAGCCACA ATGCGACAAGTCACTCC | 55            | 672            |
| Xa13LD60| Xa13        | STS         | 8    | AATCAAGTTGGCAGCTGGCC | 55            | 733            |

Note: Chr.: chromosome

Data analysis

The electrophoresis results on 2% agarose gel (Figure 2) were scored as binary data. Each primer used by comparing the size of the DNA bands in the entire samples was considered as one allele. The DNA bands that had the same migration rate were considered to have the same locus. The visible band was given a score of 1, the invisible band was given a score of 0, while the sample that did not produce an amplicon was given a score of 9 and was considered as missing data. The scoring results were in the form of binary data.

In both data sets, phenotype and genotype data, the association analysis was carried out using TASSEL software to determine a relationship between the two data. The analysis of phenotype diversity was shown as a dendrogram of resistance on tested lines that were resistant to races III, IV and VIII.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotype variability on BLB resistance character

The wild rice species have been recognized as the rich reservoir gene pool of novel genes underlying important agronomic traits, including tolerance to abiotic stress, as well as resistance to a wide range of pests and diseases (Brar and Khush 2017). Several wild rice species have introgressed to O. sativa for varietal improvement purposes (Angeles-Shim et al. 2014; Ramos et al. 2016).

One of the wild rice species, O. rufipogon was the potential gene pool as the genetic source for BLB resistance character (Singh et al. 2015). A total of 33 BC2F2 lines progenies from O. rufipogon have variation in their resistance to three BLB races used for screening in a greenhouse condition (Table 4). Three lines were resistant to three BLB races used, i.e. lines number 22, 44 and 54 with DI range between 6.69% to 22.35%. These three lines are progenies from a crossing between Situ Bagendit and O. rufipogon. Among those three lines, line 44 was the most resistant to races III, IV and VIII of BLB pathogen tested, with DI 8.85%, 7.91%, and 13.08%, respectively.

Among the tested lines, line 54 was the most resistant to race III, which had the lowest DI (6.74%, Figure 1). Meanwhile, lines 59 and 103a, as progenies from Cihang and O. rufipogon, were the most resistant to race IV, with a DI range between 3.54% to 3.58%. The control resistant varieties (Angke, Code, IRBB4, IRBB5, IRBB7, IRBB21) were resistant to all three races which their DI ranged between 3.27% to 24.10%. While, the donor parents, Cihang and Situ Bagendit varieties, were resistant to races III and IV. Inpari 13 and Inpari 10 were resistant to three BLB races used. The resistance performance of lines tested were showed in Figure 1.

The resistance response of three tested lines 22, 44 and 54 were resistant to three BLB races used and derived from a cross between the parents of Situ Bagendit and O. rufipogon. Meanwhile, the Situ Bagendit variety was resistant to races III and IV but susceptible to race VIII. These results indicate that in those three tested lines, there is introgression of resistance character to race VIII from the parent of O. rufipogon.

Line 59 showed the most resistance to race IV, indicated by the smallest DI of 3.54% compared to other lines tested. Line 59 is the progeny from a cross between Cihang and O. rufipogon. The resistance response of line 59 compared to its parent, Cihang variety, was not different, susceptible to race VIII. However, line 59 showed an increase in resistance to race IV, with DI of 3.54%, lower than its parent, Cihang, which reached 7.17%.

Currently, nine groups of BLB races in Indonesia have been identified (Utami et al. 2010). Among these races, race IV is a virulence group. Meanwhile, based on the distribution, BLB races III, IV and VIII have the most widespread distribution and are dominant in several endemic locations of BLB disease in Indonesia (Yuriiyah 2015).
Note:

Figure 1. The resistance response performance. A. Original number line 54, B. Control resistant variety of Angke, C. Line IRBB4 that resistant to three BLB races, and D. TN-1 susceptible to three BLB races

Table 4. The resistance response of lines derived from crosses of varieties with wild rice in vegetative phase

| Line number | Lines             | Race III | Race IV | Race VIII |
|-------------|-------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
|             | DI (%)            | Response | DI (%)  | Response  |
| 1           | 1-F-C21           | 12.70    | R       | 12.97     | R         |
| 2           | 2a-F-C21          | 20.51    | R       | 30.22     | S         |
| 3           | 2b-F-C21          | 9.74     | R       | 29.67     | S         |
| 4           | 7-F-C1            | 9.14     | R       | 7.07      | R         |
| 5           | 59-F-C21          | 9.65     | R       | 3.54      | R         |
| 6           | 69-F-C21          | 9.97     | R       | 13.49     | R         |
| 7           | 103a-F-C21        | 7.29     | R       | 3.58      | R         |
| 8           | 103b-F-C21        | 8.33     | R       | 6.85      | R         |
| 9           | 12-F-C21          | 9.01     | R       | 5.00      | R         |
| 10          | 25-F-C21          | 8.44     | R       | 6.13      | R         |
| 11          | 71-F-C21          | 10.30    | R       | 10.92     | R         |
| 12          | 86-F-C21          | 8.04     | R       | 9.72      | R         |
| 13          | 22-F-C21          | 15.99    | R       | 6.82      | R         |
| 14          | 32-F-C21          | 17.55    | R       | 6.75      | R         |
| 15          | 37-F-C21          | 20.24    | R       | 8.36      | R         |
| 16          | 44-F-C21          | 8.85     | R       | 7.91      | R         |
| 17          | 48-F-C21          | 8.78     | R       | 5.50      | R         |
| 18          | 51-F-C21          | 10.73    | R       | 5.35      | R         |
| 19          | 54-F-C21          | 6.74     | R       | 6.69      | R         |
| 20          | 58-F-C21          | 9.44     | R       | 7.40      | R         |
| 21          | 81-F-C21          | 13.62    | R       | 5.02      | R         |
| 22          | 89-F-C21          | 8.98     | R       | 8.43      | R         |
| 23          | Ciherang          | 12.73    | R       | 7.17      | R         |
| 24          | Inpari 13         | 8.03     | R       | 2.74      | R         |
| 25          | Inpari 10         | 7.55     | R       | 4.90      | R         |
| 26          | Situ Bagendit     | 10.12    | R       | 4.33      | R         |
| 27          | Angke (Xa4+Xa5)   | 10.04    | R       | 6.92      | R         |
| 28          | Code (Xa4+Xa7)    | 13.14    | R       | 7.11      | R         |
| 29          | TN-1              | 13.28    | R       | 52.10     | S         |
| 30          | Kencana Bali      | 18.30    | R       | 42.34     | S         |
| 31          | IRBB4             | 15.92    | R       | 6.14      | R         |
| 32          | IRBB5             | 6.98     | R       | 11.79     | R         |
| 33          | IRBB7             | 5.49     | R       | 11.60     | R         |
| 34          | IRBB13            | 8.97     | R       | 38.20     | S         |
| 35          | IRBB21            | 5.33     | R       | 20.89     | R         |

Note: DI: Disease intensity, R: resistant (DI<25%), S: susceptible (DI>25%), SD: standard deviation
Genotype variability based on STS markers for Xa genes

The designed STS markers analysis used in this study was carried out based on the sequences of the target genes of Xa4, Xa7, and Xa13 have been known for the genetic maps in the rice genome. Xa4 gene was mapped on chromosome 11, at a position of 27.6–29.64 Mb. Xa7 gene was mapped on chromosome 6, at a position of 27.37–28.10 Mb; while Xa13 gene was mapped on chromosome 8, at a position of 109.3–111.2 cm (Utami et al. 2010; www.gramene.org).

The one of genotype performance analysis of the lines using Xa4 gene based on STS markers, Xa4-LD5 marker, was showed in Figure 2. This result showed a polymorphism on bands size ranged between 200-500 base pairs (bp). The genotype performance of monogenic lines (IRBB4, IRBB5, IRBB7) and control varieties, Angke and Code were showed related to the gene contained (Xa4, Xa5 and Xa7). Meanwhile, the susceptible control varieties, Code, Angke, and the superior parent varieties (Situ Bagendit, Inpari 10, Inpari 13, Ciherang) were polymorphisms to resistance lines 54 and 44, which resistant to three BLB races used based on phenotyping. The other lines, i.e. 89, 81, 58, 37, and 32, which were resistant to the two races used showed a polymorphism also to the susceptible control varieties.

All tested lines showed in Figure 2 were derived from the O. rufipogon donor parent in crossing. It showed that the O. rufipogon wild rice-derived lines have diverse allele diversity of the Xa4 gene. The genotype diversity for the Xa4 gene allele in those O. rufipogon-derived lines correlated with the resistance response to race IV. The O. rufipogon-derived lines were more resistant to race IV than O. glaberrima-derived lines (results not showed). Thus, the diversity of alleles produced by the STS marker of Xa4-LD5 can describe the character of resistance to BLB disease, especially to race IV.

Phylogenetic analysis

The phylogenetic cluster analysis was needed to identify the resistance pattern for the lines evaluated. Based on the phylogenetic cluster obtained, the estimation of the resistance pattern of lines tested could be compared to the control varieties which contained the identified resistance gene. The phylogenetic analysis was conducted for clustering all tested lines based on diverse alleles from genotyping analysis. In parallel, the result of phylogenetic cluster analysis was available to compare the BLB resistance performance of each line in each sub-cluster. The phylogenetic analysis was carried out based on variations in the size of the DNA bands obtained which were then analyzed based on the UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean) approach. Furthermore, it was analyzed using TASSEL software to determine a relationship between the two data (Bradbury et al. 2007).

The results of the analysis showed that 22 tested lines, 13 parents, and control varieties were grouped into four main groups (Figure 3). The first group includes lines 7, 59, 69, 103a and 103b which are derived from a cross between the Ciherang variety and O. rufipogon. The second group includes original line numbers 1, 2a and 2b, which derived from a cross between Inpari 10 and O. glaberrima, line 25 derived from a cross between Inpari 13 and wild rice of O. rufipogon, and 2 monogenic control varieties of IRBB5 and IRBB4. The third group includes lines 71 and 12 which derived from a cross between Inpari 13 and O. rufipogon, as well as the control varieties of IRBB21 and IRBB13, it is suspected that the original line numbers 71 and 12 contain the BLB resistance genes of Xa21 and Xa13 that were contained in the monogenic lines of IRBB21 and IRBB13. The fourth group includes lines 89, 48, 22, 32, 37, 44, 51, 54, 58 and 81 which derived from a crossing between the Situ Bagendit variety and wild rice of O. rufipogon. However, there was line 86 which derived from a crossing between Inpari 13 and O. rufipogon. This group also includes several control varieties, i.e. IRBB7, Angke and Code. It is suspected that the lines in the fourth cluster contain the Xa7 gene because there was a control line of IRBB7 and the Code variety, which both control varieties contain the Xa7 gene.
All lines analyzed were clustered based on the recurrent parent’s genetic background. Group I consist of the tested lines derived from *O. rufipogon* where Cihorat as the recurrent parent; group II consists of the tested lines derived from *O. glaberrima* with the recurrent parent was Inpari 10; group III consists of the tested lines derived from *O. rufipogon* with the recurrent parent was Inpari 13; group IV consists of the tested lines derived from *O. rufipogon* with the recurrent parent was Situ Bagendit. The estimation pattern of BLB resistance contributed by the resistance genes on the lines tested was determined based on the similarity of the group with control varieties that were identified to have genes for resistance to BLB disease. Groups I and II had a genetic closeness to the control variety of IRBB4, indicated to have the allele of the Xa4 gene. The lines in group III had a genetic closeness to the control variety of IRBB13, indicated to have the allele of the Xa13 gene. Meanwhile, the lines in group IV had a genetic closeness to the control variety of IRBB7, indicated to have the allele of the Xa7 gene.

Based on the results of the study, the response of resistance of the lines derived from crosses between the varieties with the wild rice in a vegetative phase showed 3 original lines number 22, 44 and 54 which derived from a cross between Situ Bagendit and *O. rufipogon* being resistant to races III, IV and VIII with DI range of 6.69-22.35%. These three lines indicated to have the allele of the Xa7 gene because based on the genotype performance, they were grouped with the Code variety that having the Xa7 gene.

The STS markers used were able to be used to distinguish between the genotype performance of the resistant and susceptible lines. The lines analyzed showed an increased resistance compared to the superior varieties as the recurrent parents so that the lines used in this study were potentially to support the varieties improvement program.
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