Online Heart Rate Prediction using Acceleration from a Wrist Worn Wearable
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ABSTRACT
In this paper we study the prediction of heart rate from acceleration using a wrist worn wearable. Although existing photoplethysmography (PPG) heart rate sensors provide reliable measurements, they use considerably more energy than accelerometers and have a major impact on battery life of wearable devices. By using energy-efficient accelerometers to predict heart rate, significant energy savings can be made. Further, we are interested in understanding patient recovery after a heart rate intervention, where we expect a variation in heart rate over time. Therefore, we propose an online approach to tackle the concept drift as time passes. We evaluate the methods on approximately 4 weeks of free living data from three patients over a number of months. We show that our approach can achieve good predictive performance (e.g., 2.89 Mean Absolute Error) while using the PPG heart rate sensor infrequently (e.g., 20.25% of the samples).
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1 INTRODUCTION
The importance of healthcare technology continues to grow rapidly in both consumer and clinical applications [3]. Consumers are increasingly desiring the ability to monitor and understand their health and wellbeing through the use of technology. Smart and health watches are both popular devices used to do so. Clinicians are increasingly using these devices to understand patient behaviour over longer periods of time, rather than single measurements in a clinical setting.

Health watches typically provide measurements of health in the form of activity detection, localisation, respiration or heart rate. The use of wrist worn devices for activity detection, such as via step counting, is widespread due to the low cost and power usage of accelerometers. While accelerometers have low energy usage, common heart rate measuring sensors consume significantly more power. One of the most typical approaches is photoplethysmography (PPG), which measures heart rate from the blood volume pulse seen in microvascular tissue [1] via a reflected light. Consumer PPG sensors typically consume up to 5000 times the power than the accelerometer [2] used in wearables, which is an impediment to the long battery life desired in wearable technology. As accelerometers are widespread and exist in any device which would likely also contain a heart rate sensor, we are interested in considering the feasibility of acceleration as a means of predicting heart rate. We note the inherent difficult in this; namely there are many known factors which influence heart rate but will not be captured by acceleration. Further we expect the same acceleration patterns relationship with heart rate will change over time, e.g., due aging or change in level of fitness. Thus, we believe that an approach which does not adapt to these changes will not be able to predict heart rate well from acceleration.

2 SCENARIO
The EurValve project is building a Decision Support System (DSS) for aortic and mitral valve diseases. One strand of this involves the pervasive collection of environmental data for behavioral analysis of patients in their home [7, 8], once before and two times after a heart valve intervention. As part of this 42 patients have been recruited and are currently undergoing the phased deployment of a custom Smart Home in a Box (SHiB) [8] in their home. The SHiB contains four gateways which receive accelerometer data from the wrist worn wearable. These gateways are then used for indoor
localization [6] but may also be used for local data processing and machine learning. This wearable contains only an accelerometer with no heart rate sensor, but has a battery life of approximately three weeks. Recruited patients also wear a Phillips Health watch [5] which is capable of measuring heart rate using PPG, but with a battery life of up to 4 days. For the duration of their involvement in the study patients will be wearing both devices and thus we will collect up to 6 weeks of free living data per patient. This presents a unique first opportunity to investigate the potential of using acceleration to predict heart rate in real-world free living conditions over significant periods of time at different recovery stages.

2.1 Desiderata
We will first produce a baseline approach where we train a regression model on features extracted from acceleration, aligned with the heart rate and then attempt to predict future heart rates from the acceleration alone. We believe this this approach will not capture the relationship between the acceleration and heart rate, due to the aforementioned challenges. To improve upon this, we will then propose an active learning approach that can run on the SHiB gateways, which have bidirectional communication with the wearable. This approach will predict heart rate from the streaming accelerometer data in an online fashion and be able to request the measurement of true heart rate via PPG when required.

3 EXPERIMENT
The experimental evaluation will involve 3 patients who wore the device shortly after their heart valve intervention for approximately 2 weeks, and then a further 2 weeks around 2 months later. We will refer to the separate occasions as phases. The acceleration features were extracted over a one second window and are as follows: the min, max, std, median, mean, 25th and 75th percentile, interquartile, skewness, kurtosis and number of zero crossings of each of the three axes. The spectral energy and spectral entropy of each axis was also extracted. As the heart rate is recorded at one minute intervals by the Phillips Health watch, the accelerometer features are then averaged over a one minute interval to time-align with the heart rate.

3.1 Offline Baseline
The baseline approach will assume the collection of all accelerometer data and heart rate data over two separate approx. 14 day monitoring phases. The objective will be, when given one minute of acceleration data, to predict the corresponding heart rate for that time point. In the first experiment 60% of a the data for one patient in one phase will be used to build a model, and the remaining 40% used for testing. We treat the data as i.i.d in this case.

Fig. 1 shows the results of this experiment. Fig. 1a and 1c demonstrate reasonable performance is achieved with an Mean Absolute Error (MAE) between 4 and 6 and Mean Squared Error (MSE) between 23 and 51 depending on the patient and phase that was evaluated. Fig. 1b shows the results of a ‘dummy’ predictor which simply outputs the mean heart rate at each minute. This can be up to 2x worse than the acceleration based model.

If we wish to build a model that can predict longer into the future, this current evaluation is not enough as it was conducted within a continuous approx. 14 days period. Thus we present another experiment where a model is built on one phase (approx. 14 continuous days of data) and the evaluated on another phase (which is a further 14 continuous days over 2 months later). In this scenario, seen in Fig. 2, the performance significantly decreases to between 6 and 8 MAE, and 65 to 90 MSE. Thus, with this approach, any model for predicting heart rate from acceleration seems to become less accurate with time.

In Fig. 3 we can see the actual heart rate plotted against the predicted heart rate for a single patient. It is clear from this that the predictions are not adequate and rarely deviate far from the mean, which is reflected in the MSE (94.8).

Upon investigation into the distribution of heart rate over these phases, it is apparent why there is such a decrease in performance. In Fig. 4 we can see the distribution of heart rate clearly changes over time. In addition, we expect that the concept drift between acceleration and heart rate due to non-acceleration based features, changes frequently within short periods of time. Given both of these observations we can model this as a form of real concept drift [4] due to the changes of the posterior distribution $P(y|x)$ by developing an approach which more specifically captures the temporal changes in the data between the heart rate ($y$) and acceleration ($x$).
which provide a measure of uncertainty of the prediction. This uncertainty is thresholded with a parameter $O$ which determines the amount of uncertainty tolerated (in terms of the ensemble’s variance). The algorithm maintains histories of size $N$ to capture the recency. The previous $N$ prediction variances are stored, with $O$ times the standard deviation of them setting the threshold for determining if the current prediction certainly is an outlier. This parameter $N$ also controls the initial amount of data to train the ensemble of learners $L$ on, as well as the size of the most recent labeled data to keep for retraining learners in the ensemble. When there is uncertainty w.r.t. the prediction, the true heart rate is measured and each model in the ensemble is updated with the most recent labeled $N$ samples. We also take this opportunity to check the actual accuracy of the ensemble, and if any learner has mis-predicted by greater than $T$, then they are retrained on the most recent labeled $N$ samples. It may be possible for the ensemble to be certain about predictions, but the underlying $P(y|X)$ to have changed without the ensemble being aware. For this reason, we include a $TTL$ parameter which represents the Time to Live for each learner. After each prediction, their time alive is incremented, and once a learner reaches the $TTL$, it is retrained with the most recent $N$ samples.

**Input:** $L$ learners, $N$ size of history, $O$ uncertainty threshold, $T$ error threshold, $TTL$ model time to live

Create $L$ learners in ensemble;
Train each learner on first $N$ instances;

while True do
  Acc = CollectAccDataFor60Seconds();
  HeartRate, Variance = PredictHeartRate(Acc);
  if IsOutlier(O, Variance) then
    TrueHeartRate = QueryTrueHeartRate();
    TeachLearners(Acc, TrueHeartRate);
    for $l \in L$ do
      if abs(HeartRate-TrueHeartRate) > $T$ then
        retrain $l$ on most recent $N$;
      end
    end
  end
  for $l \in L$ do
    if older than $TTL$ then
      retrain $l$ on most recent $N$;
    end
  end
  Output HeartRate;
end

**Algorithm 1:** PPAW (Predicting Pulse from Acceleration on Wearables)

### 3.4 Results

We apply the presented algorithm to each of the three patients’ free living data. We measure the performance of the algorithm using the MAE, which can be interpreted as the the average beats per minute error, the MSE and the percentage of samples for which
we were specifically interested in the changes of heart rate over time in patients recovering from heart valve interventions. Another motivation is to gain greater energy savings from wearable devices by utilizing the more energy efficient accelerometer to predict heart rate reducing the use of energy-expensive heart rate sensors. We first proposed a baseline method which learns an offline model to predict heart rate from acceleration. However we show that this method performs poorly when attempting to predict the heart rate months later on the same patients. We therefore proposed a method addressing this, as well adapting to non-acceleration based changes to heart rate, using an online active learning approach. We evaluated this method on three patients, each with approximately 4 weeks of free living data, collected over two phases months apart, and showed that we can achieve MAEs between 2.5 and 5 heart beats per minute while querying the true heart rate relatively little. In one example the MAE was 2.89 while the heart rate sensor was queried just 20.25% of the time.

3.5 Varying Uncertainty (O)

In Fig. 5 we can see the MAE w.r.t. three sensible choices for the uncertainty parameter O. While for higher values of O the performance is lower, in all cases the MAE is between 3 and 4. Fig. 6 shows the corresponding percentage of labels queried. For higher values of O the number of queries to the heart rate sensor decreased. With a O parameter of 1, the true heart rate was requested around 50% of the time, while with a value of O the true heart rate was requested around between 15% and 20% of the time for all patients. This is a significant decrease in using the heart rate sensor, with only small decrease in performance. In all cases, there is a significant improvement in performance with our online approach compared to the baseline approach.

We also plot all phases the true heart rate (blue) with the predicted heart rate (green) in Fig. 7. We can see the significant improvement in our approach over the baseline seen in Fig. 3. The significance is reflected visually in the predictions following the peaks and troughs of the heart rate, which is clearly better in our online approach. Similar results were found for the other patients with significant improvements in MAE and MSE in all cases.

4 CONCLUSION

In this paper we investigate the feasibility of predicting heart rate from acceleration values. A key motivation is to understand the relationship of heart rate to acceleration, and if acceleration can successfully predict heart rate over long periods of time. In this work we were specifically interested in the changes of heart rate over

![Figure 5: Effect of the O parameter (uncertainty) on MAE.](image)

![Figure 6: Effect of the O parameter (uncertainty) on the percentage of labels queried. There is a significant decrease in the percentage queried for higher values of O, yet only slight decrease in performance for the corresponding O in Fig. 5.](image)

![Figure 7: Overview of true heart rate vs predicted heart rate using our approach (O=3, N=5, TTL=10) on patient 549. We can see the predicted heart rate follows the trends exhibited in the true heart rate closely (MAE=2.89 and MSE=21.07) while only requesting measurement of the heart rate 20.25% of the time.](image)
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