Nickel-(Bi,Ag) sulphide mineralization from NYF Vepice pegmatite, Milevsko pluton, southern Bohemia (Czech Republic) – a reflection of the parental granite chemistry
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Unusual high- to low-T sulphide mineralization with Ni-(Bi, Ag) phases was examined at the NYF intragranitic pegmatite No. III from Vepice near Kovářov enclosed in the Milevsko Pluton, Moldanubian Zone, Southern Bohemia. Zoned pegmatite dike, up to 30 cm thick, has transitional contact with host durbarcite and its internal structure includes transitional unit, border granitic unit, graphic unit, blocky unit (Kfs+Qz), quartz core and small miarolitic pocket. Sulphide mineralization locally associated with calcite and fluorite is represented by common pyrite with minor galena, accessory chalcopyrite, sphalerite, marcasite, native Bi and Ni-(Bi, Ag) minerals – argentopentlandite, parkerite and pentlandite. It is developed in small miarolitic pockets and on pegmatite fractures cutting all pegmatite units. Irregular anhedral grains and aggregates of argentopentlandite, <200 μm in size, associated with chalcopyrite, have empirical formula Ag$_{36}$Ni$_{10}$Cu$_{65}$Co$_{2}$S$_{85}$-86. Zoned irregular aggregates of parkerite, 20–30 μm in size, are typically associated with pentlandite, or overgrow galena and yield empirical formula (Ni$_{102}$Cu$_{6}$Fe$_{4}$Co$_{3}$S$_{102}$)$_{22.06}$-22.34(Bi$_{17}$S$_{82}$As$_{2}$)$_{21.92}$S$_{2}$-85. Pentlandite is present in two morphological forms: rare lenticular to isometric anhedral grains, ≤20 μm in length, in subparallel arrangement rimming aggregates of other sulphides. Empirical formula is (Ni$_{1}$Fe$_{41}$Cu$_{0.32}$Co$_{0.2}$S$_{102}$)$_{22.06}$-22.34. Evaluation of relative chronology of the sulphide minerals is complicated mainly due to complex textural relations. Pyrite + marcasite are likely pseudomorphs after pyrrhotite as the earliest phase whereas pentlandite and native Bi are among the latest. Early sulphides (pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite, argentopentlandite) crystallized at T < ~550–400 °C, whereas pyrite, marcasite, galena, parkerite, and native Bi at T <240 °C. Cooling of the system below T ~200 °C appeared soon after transformation of pyrrhotite to pyrite+marcasite aggregates. Sulphides manifest that concentrations of Ni in residual pegmatite melt and exsolved fluids were high enough to facilitate saturation of Ni-sulphides. Very high Ni/Co ratio in sulphides reflects a much lower concentration of cobalt in durbarcite. The examined Ni-(Bi, Ag) sulphides manifest that high concentrations of highly compatible Ni in parental granite may be reflected in accessory minerals from its pegmatite.
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1. Introduction

Sulphides/arsenides and sulphosalts are common to rare accessory minerals in granitic pegmatites. They contain a broad spectrum of metal elements and relevant minerals including (i) common Fe-dominant phases (e.g., pyrite, arsenopyrite, löllingite, pyrrhotite, marcasite), (ii) molybdnite, (iii) Bi-rich phases (e.g., bismuthinite, cuprobonismitite, cosalite, gustavite, gladite, pekoite, joséite), and (iv) base metal – Cu, Pb, Zn, Cd, Sb, Ag-bearing phases (e.g., chalcocyprite, galena, sphalerite, greenockite, hawleyite, tetrahedrite-group, bournonite, pyrargyrite, miargyrite). Except for Fe, sulphides are commonly the only carriers of these elements in granitic pegmatites with some exceptions such as native metals (bismuth, arsenic) or oxides (gahnite, stibiobismutite, bismite, bismutotantalite, pyrochlore-group minerals). However, trace to minor concentrations of these elements may be hidden in some Fe, Mn, Mg-rich minerals such as biotite and tourmaline (e.g., Soares et al. 2008; Nováš et al. 2011; Johan et al. 2012; Čopjaková et al. 2013, 2015). In sulphides and sulphosalts some elements are present which are carried in granitic pegmatites mostly in oxides such as Sn (e.g., stannite, kesterite, černýite, herzenbergite; Kissin et al. 1978; Smids 1993; Losos et al. 1998; Černý et al. 2001; Škoda and Čopjaková 2005) and W (tungstenite; Breiter et al. 2010). Rather exceptionally, pegmatite-hosted sulphides may contain some specific elements such as Te (Fuksova et al. 2011; Márquez-Zavala et al. 2012) but sulphides with a substantial amount of Ni, a highly
compatible element typical for ultrabasic rocks, have not been known from granitic pegmatites.

Sulphides/arsenides and sulphosalts occur in various types and subtypes of granitic pegmatites from primitive to highly fractionated, both LCT (Lithium–Cesium–Tantalum) and NYF (Niobium–Yttrium–Fluorine) families; however, they were only sporadically studied in detail (e.g., Oen and Kieft 1976; Černý and Harris 1978; Losos et al. 1998; Černý et al. 2001; Ciobanu and Cook 2002; Márquez-Zavalia et al. 2012; Pieczka and Gołębiowska 2012). Also, our knowledge about their position in pegmatite evolution is rather poor; usually, they are hydrothermal and crystallized at low T < −200 °C (Černý and Harris 1978; Márquez-Zavalia et al. 2012) but high-T assemblages which crystallized at T ~500–400 °C (e.g., Oen and Kieft 1976; Losos et al. 1998; Černý et al. 2001) also were described. Absence of systematic studies does not enable any generalization about occurrences of sulphides in granitic pegmatites in respect to affiliation to pegmatite families and degree of fractionation, although rich sulphide assemblages are mostly known from highly fractionated complex Li-pegmatites (e.g., Černý and Harris 1978; Černý et al. 2001; Márquez-Zavalia et al. 2012).

We studied unusual Ni-(Bi, Ag) sulphide mineralization occurring in intraplainful NYF pegmatite at Vepice near Kovářov derived from the Milevsko Pluton. The parental granite is ultrapotassic magnesium-rich I-type melanogranite related to the Variscan orogeny (Žák et al. 2005). We discuss the chemical composition of sulphides, their textural relations, conditions of origin as well as the origin of Ni and other metals in the pegmatite.

2. Geological setting and internal structure of NYF pegmatites from durbachite plutons

The pegmatite with sulphide mineralization is enclosed in ultrapotassic rocks of the Milevsko Pluton. Ultrapotassic, magnesium-rich, biotite-amphibole to biotite-pyroxene quartz syenitic to melagranitic rocks, durbachites, form several large, mostly flat intrusions in the Moldanubian Zone (Žák et al. 2005; Leichmann et al. 2017). These syn-exhumation tabular bodies are interpreted as a product of mixing between enriched mantle magma and a crustal melt (e.g., Holub 1997; Janoušek and Holub 2007), and belong to shoshonitic association of the ultrapotassic plutonic rocks (MgO > 3 wt. %, K₂O/Na₂O > 2). They were classified by Finger et al. (1997) as high-K, I-type granitoids characterized by metaluminous signature and high content of K₂O (5.2–6.8 wt. %), MgO (3.3–10.4 wt. %), P₂O₅ (0.47–0.98 wt. %), Rb (330–410 ppm), Ba (1100–2470 ppm), U (6.7–26.2 ppm), Th (28.2–47.7 ppm), Cr (270–650 ppm), Ni (59–235), Co (14–28), W (7–8), Sc (12–27), Cs (20–40 ppm), and high ratio K/Rb = 133–171 (Holub 1997; Janoušek et al. 2020). The intrusions followed shortly after exhumation of the high-grade Gföhl unit into mid-crustal levels at ~343–335 Ma (e.g., Janoušek et al. 2019 and references therein).

Intragranitic pegmatites of NYF family occur in some Variscan durbachite plutons – mainly in the Třebíč Pluton (e.g., Škoda et al. 2006; Škoda and Novák 2007; Novák and Filip 2010; Novák et al. 2011; Zachař et al. 2013; Zachař et al. 2020), in the Milevsko Pluton (Škoda et al. 2004; Novák 2005) and the Mehelník Pluton near Písek (Novák et al. 2017). The pegmatites in the Třebíč Pluton were divided based on their mineral assemblages, degree of geochemical fractionation and internal structure onto (i) geochemically primitive allanite-type pegmatites, and (ii) more evolved euxenite-type pegmatites (Novák et al. 1999, 2012; Škoda et al. 2006; Škoda and Novák 2007; Zachař and Novák 2013). They relate to the REL–REE subclass, rare-element class of the NYF family (Černý and Ercit 2005); however, they are F-poor and do not entirely fit the definition of NYF family (Simmons and Webber 2008). Martin and De Vito (2005) considered the pegmatites of Třebíč Pluton to be NYF-related and a specific type of pegmatite derived from ultrapotassic orogenic pluton belonging to a shoshonitic association (see Žák et al. 2005). Most NYF intragranitic pegmatites in durbachite plutons contain along with feldspars and quartz minor to rare phlogopite, accessory black tourmaline (Ca, Ti-rich Al-poor schorl-dravite; Novák et al. 2011; Čopjaková et al. 2013), and primary muscovite is absent. Common to rare accessory minerals include titanite, allanite-(Ce), Y, REE, Nb, Ta, Ti-oxide minerals, ilmenite, niobian rutile, zircon, pyrite, arsenopyrite, galena and several primary Be-minerals – beryl, phenakite, helvite–danalite and milarite (Novák and Čech 1996; Škoda et al. 2006; Škoda and Novák 2007; Zachař et al. 2020); Zachař et al. 2020).

3. Analytical techniques

Polished sections of the studied samples from Vepice were prepared for optical investigation and chemical analysis using standard diamond polishing techniques. Optical properties of opaque minerals in reflected light were observed with a Nikon Eclipse ME600 microscope.

Quantitative chemical composition of minerals was determined with a Cameca SX100 electron microanalyser (GÜDS, Bratislava) operated at the following conditions: WD analysis, 25 kV, 20 nA, electron beam diameter less than 1 μm. The following standards and lines were used:
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Ag (AgLα), Bi (BiLα), Cd (CdLα), chalcopyrite (SKα, CuKα, FeKα), Co (CoKα), FeAsS (AsKα), HgS (HgLα), NaCl (ClKα), Ni (NiKα), Bi2Se3 (SeLα), PbS (PbFα), Sb2S3 (SbKα), SnO2 (SnLα) and ZnS (ZnKα). The abundances of the above elements, if not included in tables of analytical data, were measured, but the values were below the detection limit (0.01–0.03 wt. % for individual elements). Measured data were corrected using PAP software (Pouchou and Pichoir 1985).

4. Granitic pegmatites from the Vepice locality

At Vepice near Kovářov, southern Bohemia, host durbachite is porphyric amphibole-biotite melagranite of the Milevsko Pluton representing the light variety of durbachitic series. Several pegmatite bodies were discovered and examined in the quarry located ~0.3 km S of Vepice. The pegmatite No. I forms a large nest, 2.5–3.5 m in size, with a central pocket, 1.5×1.3×0.8 m across, studied in detail by Škoda et al. (2004). The zoned body is built from melagranite inwards of amphibole-rich zone in melagranite, granitic unit (Plg+Kfs+Qz+Bi), graphic unit (Kfs+Qz+Bi), blocky unit (Kfs), granitic unit (Plg+Kfs+Qz+Bi), blocky unit (Kfs), albite unit (Ab+Qz), quartz core, and central miarolitic pocket (Qz+Ab+Kfs). It contains numerous accessory minerals: titanite, ilmenite, Nb, Ta, Ti-oxides (e.g., samarskite, pyrochlore, columbite, fersmite), zircon, scheelite, and uraninite. Sulphide mineralization with dominant pyrite and minor galena is developed in blocky K-feldspar and central pocket locally in well-developed crystals, up to 3 mm in diameter. Rare molybdenite occurs with Y, REE, Nb, Ta, Ti-oxide minerals. A small nest of the pegmatite No. II, ~0.7–0.5 m in size, occurs ~20 m N of the large pegmatite No. I. It is similar in its internal structure and common titanite and rare Y, REE, Nb, Ta, Ti-oxide minerals. The mineral assemblage is simpler except for sulphidic mineralization in masses, up to several dm³ in size. It is represented by dominant pyrite and minor to accessory galena, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, bismuthinite and native Bi. Sulphides are also developed on fractures cutting blocky unit, quartz core and in central pocket. The pegmatite No. III, where Ni-(Bi, Ag) mineralization has been examined in detail in this study, is located ~30 m to the West from the pegmatite No. I and differs both in shape and size. It is built of a system of elongated, sub-parallel dikes, ~5 to 30 cm thick and several m long. In more evolved portions, the dikes have a similar internal structure and textural-paragenetic units as the pegmatites Nos. I and II, including small quartz core and miarolitic pockets (Fig. 1). Along with quartz, feldspars and phlogopite, coarse-grained aggregates of calcite, up to 2 cm in size, euhedral titanite and rare tourmaline, were found in the blocky unit. Sulphide mineralization represented by common pyrite with minor galena and Ni-(Bi, Ag) minerals along with several accessory sulphides occurs mainly in small miarolitic pockets in quartz core and on pegmatite fractures cutting all pegmatite units.

5. Results

5.1. Paragenetic position, textural relations and chemical composition of common sulphides

Studied samples of sulphides were collected in 2007 at the pegmatite No. III as aggregates of sulphides, about 1 cm in diameter, with dominant pyrite and galena and common fluorite and calcite. Chalcopyrite forms irregular aggregates, up to 5 mm in size, intimately associated especially with argentopentlandite (Fig. 2a). Exceptionally, veinlets of Ag-rich chalcopyrite with ≤0.04 apfu Ag (Fig. 2b, Tab. 1) were found. Such contents
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are exceptional and about one order higher than the published data (Harris et al. 1984; Losos et al. 1998). Dominant chalcopyrite contains an only trace amount of Ag (Tab. 1) but elevated Bi (≤ 0.002 \( \text{apfu} \)). Chalcopyrite often contains small ternate sphalerite stars, up to 40 μm in size (Fig. 2c). A common polysynthetic twinning of chalcopyrite is observed in reflected light (Fig. 3). Subhedral grains of galena, up to 5 mm in size, contain elevated contents of Ag (≤ 0.01 \( \text{apfu} \)) and Bi (up to 0.02 \( \text{apfu} \)) (Tab. 1); low concentrations of Cl (≤ 0.01 \( \text{apfu} \)) are remarkable. Pyrite and marcasite form isolated fine-grained, porous aggregates up to 5 mm in diameter, rimmed by chalcopyrite, galena (Fig. 2d) and pentlandite. Pyrite is present usually in euhedral isometric and isotropic crystals, up to 50 μm in size, rimmed by elongated subparallel individuals of optically anisotropic marcasite. In BSE images, pyrite is somewhat lighter than marcasite because of its elevated contents of Ni (Tab. 1) reaching up to 0.05 \( \text{apfu} \) as well as minor Co (≤ 0.01 \( \text{apfu} \)). The porosity of pyrite-marcasite aggregates,
Table 1: Representative chemical analyses of common sulphide minerals (wt. %)

|   | bismuth | chalcopyrite | galena | pyrite | sphalerite |
|---|---------|-------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Ag| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Fe| 0.00    | 0.00        | 29.44  | 30.35  | 0.00       |
| Pb| 2.53    | 1.77        | 1.88   | 0.10   | 0.00       |
| Cd| 0.16    | 0.11        | 0.13   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| In| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Ni| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Co| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Mn| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Zn| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Cu| 0.00    | 0.00        | 33.31  | 34.62  | 0.00       |
| Sb| 0.10    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Bi| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| As| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| S| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| Cl| 0.00    | 0.00        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00       |
| total| 99.53 | 100.12     | 100.12 | 0.00   | 0.00       |

Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the basis \( \text{apfu} \) listed in the last row of the table.

5.2. Paragenetic position, textural relations and chemical composition of Ni-(Bi,Ag) sulphides

The examined Ni-(Bi, Ag) sulphides occur only in microscopic scale as irregular aggregates in assemblage with major and minor sulphides. Argentopentlandite forms irregular anhedral grains and aggregates, < 200 μm in size, overgrowing and enclosed by aggregates of chalcopyrite (Fig. 2a, 4a). Based on the refinement of the crystal structure (Hall and Stewart 1973), chemical analyses from numerous localities (Morales-Ruano and Hach-Alí 1996) and phase relations in the system Ag–Fe–Ni–S (Mandziuk and Scott 1977) the content about 1 \( \text{apfu} \) Ag is typical (Ag occupied a special octahedral position in the crystal structure). The ratio Fe/(Ni+Co) is varied in the range 1.34–2.57 and it contains only traces of Co. Chemical composition of argentopentlandite from Vepice (Tab. 2) fits very well these values: 0.94–0.99 \( \text{apfu} \) Ag, 4.69–5.08 \( \text{apfu} \) Fe and...
2.93–3.29 \textit{apfu} Ni, Fe/(Ni+Co) = 1.41–1.73 (Fig. 5) and Co ≤ 0.01 \textit{apfu}. Empirical formula (mean of 8 analyses) normalized on 17 \textit{apfu} is Ag$_{0.97}$(Fe$^{4.88}$Ni$^{3.10}$Cu$^{0.04}$Co$^{0.01}$)$_{28.03}$(S$_{7.96}$As$_{0.03}$)$_{2.79}$.
**Parkerite** is less common and its irregular aggregates, 20–30 μm in size, are typically associated with pentlandite; scarcely small aggregates, 10 μm in size, overgrow galena (Fig. 4b). Locally, aggregates show in BSE image significant zoning (Fig. 4c) due to BiSb\(^2\)\(_{1-}\) substitution. The ideal chemical formula for parkerite is reported as Ni\(_2\)(Bi, Pb)\(_2\)S\(_4\) (Anthony et al. 1990), due to the existence of limited substitution BiPb\(_{1-}\) in the parkerite–shandite series (Fleet 1973). Occurrences of parkerite with various Pb contents are described by Petruck et al. (1969), Ondřuch et al. (2003) and Fojt et al. (2008), and Spiridonov et al. (2007) found at samples from Norilsk besides Pb-rich parkerite also its metacrysts with negligible Pb contents. The chemical analyses of Pb-free parkerite were published by Petruck et al. (1969), Groves and Hall (1978) and Sejkora et al. (2009). Chemical composition of parkerite from Vepice and empirical formulae are given in Tab. 3. This mineral is Pb-free but at the Bi position of ideal formula (Fig. 6) Bi (1.65–1.85 \textit{apfu}) is substituted by Sb (0.02–0.27 \textit{apfu}) and locally also by As (up to 0.10 \textit{apfu}). A similar range of BiSb\(_{1-}\) substitution at parkerite is reported by Paar and Chen (1979), Go-ryachev et al. (2004), and Rumsey and Savage (2005). This is interesting because the experimental work of Brower et al. (1974) indicates that a hypothetical Sb end-member with a parkerite-type composition (i.e., Ni\(_{1-x}\)Sb\(_{x}\)S\(_4\)) does not exist and that the stable Ni–Sb–S bearing phase is ullmanite (NiSbS). The empirical formula of parkerite (mean of 6 analyses) is \((\text{Ni}_{1.02}\text{Fe}_{0.02}\text{Co}_{0.03}\text{Cu}_{0.03}0.03(\text{Bi}_{1.65}\text{Sb}_{0.27}\text{As}_{0.08})_{2.92}\text{S}_{2.02})\) based on 7 \textit{apfu}.
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**Tab. 2** Chemical composition of argentopentlandite (wt. %)

|     | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ag  | 12.75 | 12.91 | 12.82 | 12.80 | 12.90  | 12.72 | 12.81 | 12.40 | 12.67 |
| Fe  | 33.14 | 31.53 | 32.22 | 32.25 | 33.17  | 33.57 | 33.85 | 34.11 | 34.39 |
| Pb  | 0.08  | 0.13  | 0.03  | 0.05  | 0.05   | 0.08  | 0.13  | 0.08  | 0.05  |
| Ni  | 22.12 | 23.30 | 23.25 | 23.02 | 21.86  | 21.69 | 21.27 | 21.75 | 20.81 |
| Co  | 0.05  | 0.08  | 0.03  | 0.05  | 0.03   | 0.05  | 0.05  | 0.04  | 0.09  |
| Cu  | 0.31  | 0.06  | 0.17  | 0.16  | 0.37   | 0.48  | 0.50  | 0.17  | 0.55  |
| Sb  | 0.06  | 0.15  | 0.20  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.14  |
| Bi  | 0.04  | 0.19  | 0.00  | 0.04  | 0.04   | 0.02  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| As  | 0.28  | 0.12  | 0.10  | 0.24  | 0.33   | 0.48  | 0.48  | 0.13  | 0.38  |
| S   | 31.02 | 30.78 | 31.03 | 31.17 | 31.19  | 31.00 | 30.77 | 31.62 | 30.58 |
| total | 99.84 | 99.26 | 99.78 | 99.94 | 100.09 | 99.86 | 100.29 | 99.66 | 100.00 |

Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the basis of 7 \textit{apfu}.

**Tab. 3** Chemical composition of parkerite (wt. %)

|     | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Fe  | 0.21  | 0.17  | 0.03  | 0.14  | 0.36  | 0.25  | 0.28  |
| Ni  | 28.21 | 28.68 | 28.13 | 28.58 | 27.65 | 28.50 | 27.70 |
| Co  | 0.03  | 0.03  | 0.00  | 0.03  | 0.04  | 0.03  | 0.05  |
| Cu  | 0.06  | 0.05  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.25  | 0.08  | 0.00  |
| Sb  | 2.98  | 3.54  | 3.25  | 3.35  | 2.49  | 3.07  | 0.38  |
| Bi  | 57.50 | 55.05 | 56.98 | 57.31 | 57.46 | 57.52 | 60.66 |
| As  | 0.51  | 1.11  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.77  | 1.19  |
| S   | 10.27 | 10.41 | 10.34 | 10.22 | 10.39 | 10.29 | 10.01 |
| total | 99.77 | 100.85 | 98.73 | 99.63 | 98.63 | 100.52 | 100.27 |

Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the basis of 7 \textit{apfu}.

**Tab. 4** Chemical composition of pentlandite (wt. %)

|     | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Ag  | 0.02  | 0.05  | 0.00  | 0.02  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Fe  | 29.76 | 28.38 | 28.76 | 28.93 | 31.23 | 31.48 |
| Pb  | 0.08  | 0.12  | 0.13  | 0.08  | 0.02  | 0.04  |
| Ni  | 34.52 | 37.22 | 35.95 | 35.45 | 31.96 | 32.03 |
| Co  | 2.04  | 1.91  | 2.48  | 2.17  | 1.81  | 1.85  |
| Cu  | 0.02  | 0.02  | 0.04  | 0.05  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| Sb  | 0.05  | 0.04  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.10  | 0.10  |
| As  | 0.19  | 0.11  | 0.00  | 0.30  | 0.04  | 0.51  |
| S   | 33.07 | 33.41 | 33.69 | 32.23 | 32.08 | 32.96 |
| total | 99.75 | 101.27 | 101.05 | 99.23 | 98.24 | 98.96 |

Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the basis of 7 \textit{apfu}.
Pentlandite is present in two morphological forms: lenticular to isometric anhedral grains, up to ~200 μm in size, are relatively rare (Fig. 4a) whereas numerous small tabular crystals, up to 20 μm in length, are in subparallel arrangement rimming aggregates of other sulphides (Fig. 2b, 4a, 4d). The chemical composition (Tab. 4) is characterized by predominance of Ni (4.27–4.86 apfu) over Fe (3.90–4.41 apfu) with only limited Co contents (0.23–0.30 apfu). In contrast to the argentopentlandite present in this association, pentlandite shows only irregular and very low Ag contents ≤0.003 apfu. With Fe/Ni ratios between 0.80–1.03 and metal/sulphur ratio 1.10–1.13 close to the theoretical value of 1.125, this is the usual chemical composition of pentlandite (Rajamani and Prewitt 1973). The empirical formula of pentlandite (mean of 5 analyses) is possible to express on the basis of 17 apfu as (Ni$_{4.57}$Fe$_{1.44}$Co$_{0.25}$)$_{8.96}$(S$_{8.01}$As$_{0.02}$)$_{28.03}$.

## 6. Discussion

### 6.1. Comparison of the Vepice pegmatites with NYF pegmatites from other Moldanubian durbachite plutons

Intragranitic NYF pegmatites typically occur in some Variscan durbachite plutons (amphibole-biotite melagranite to melasyenite) of the Moldanubian Zone; they are common and studied in detail at numerous localities within the Třebíč Pluton (e.g., Čech 1957; Staněk 1973; Škoda et al. 2006; Škoda and Novák 2007; Novák and Filip 2010; Novák et al. 2011; Čopjaková et al. 2013; Výravský et al. 2017; Zachař et al. 2020). They are common in the Kovářov vicinity at the Milevsko Pluton (Škoda et al. 2004; Novák 2005), and rare in the Mehlínek Pluton near Písek (Novák et al. 2017). The granitic pegmatites from Vepice near Kovářov are similar to NYF pegmatites from the Třebíč Pluton. They show comparable internal structure only the pegmatite No. I (and some other dikes in the Kovářov region) differs in the presence of large central pocket (m-sized) whereas pockets in pegmatites of the Třebíč Pluton are rare and small (centimeter-sized). The mineral assemblages with typical minor and accessory minerals – phlogopite, tourmaline, titanite, ilmenite and absence of primary muscovite are very similar as well. Also the chemical composition of tourmaline (Ca,Ti-rich Al-poor schorl-dravite) from the dike No. III is comparable with tourmalines from the Třebíč Pluton (Novák et al. 2011; Čopjaková et al. 2013). However, Y, REE-minerals (samarskite-, pyrochlore-, aeschynite- and euxenite-group) and allanite are less common and present mainly in the pegmatite No. I. Also rather common sulphides (pyrite, galena, and chalcopyrite) as well as microscopical Ni-(Bi, Ag) sulphides are distinct. In the Třebíč Pluton, only pyrite along with less common arsenopyrite are rather common sulphides whereas carbonates and fluorite have not been found (Škoda et al. 2006; Zachař et al. 2020). Disregarding some differences, the internal structure and mineral assemblages of the pegmatites in Vepice and other localities of the Milevsko Pluton are very similar to other NYF pegmatites from durbachite plutons (Třebíč, Mehlínek) and suggest euxenite-type (Černý and Ercit 2005) for the pegmatite No. I and pegmatite No. II, although Škoda et al. (2004) suggested more evolved gadolinite type, in the sense of Černý and Ercit (2005), for No. I pegmatite. Less evolved pegmatite No. III likely belongs to allanite-type. Occurrence of calcite and fluorite in some dikes is distinct from the pegmatites in Třebíč Pluton but presence of fluorite fits very well with the NYF signature of the pegmatites (Černý and Ercit 2005; Simmons and Weber 2008).

### 6.2. Paragenetic sequence of sulphide minerals

Evaluation of relative chronology of the present sulphide minerals is complicated due to these facts: (i) ore minerals often form individual grains or aggregates separated from neighbouring ones by non-ore phases (silicates, calcite, fluorite); (ii) isolated domains with contrasting mineral compositions typically contain an only restricted number of ore minerals; (iii) crosscutting phenomena are very rare in the studied material. In addition, simple chemistry of some phases occurring in various textural developments also precludes checking for the possible presence of multiple generations of certain phases (i.e., galena or pentlandite).

The textural criteria suggest that the oldest objects in the studied ore assemblage probably are aggregates now-formed by pyrite and marcassite, which are rimmed by grains of most other sulphides (chalcopyrite, argentopentlandite, galena, pentlandite; Figs 2d, 4a). The nature of pyrite-marcassite aggregates suggests that they likely represent pseudomorphs after pyrrhotite (Murowchick 1992). Transformation of pyrrhotite into iron disulphides occurred after crystallization of chalcopyrite as is supported by the occurrence of a veinlet of both Fe-sulphides cutting chalcopyrite and prior formation of galena which overgrows grains of Fe-sulphides. Younger phases with respect to original pyrrhotite are sphalerite, chalcopyrite and argentopentlandite. These minerals occur in close spatial association or even in intimate intergrowths indicating contemporaneous crystallization. Galena followed them in the paragenetic sequence, as it often grows over their aggregates (Figs 2a, 2b). Rounded inclusions of native bismuth randomly enclosed in galena are contemporaneous. In contrast, another type of native bismuth, forming evident veinlets in galena, is younger. Origin of intimate intergrowths of fine-grained bismuth and galena occurring...
in sharply restricted small areas hosted by pure galena void of bismuth inclusions remains unresolved. Morphological and textural features suggest that these objects could represent breakdown products after an unstable mineral, but no relics of such a phase were observed. Parkerite is clearly younger than galena (Fig. 4b), but its relation to native bismuth is not clear because of the lack of direct contact. Among the youngest phases present there are fine-grained tabular pentlandite, whose “fluidal” aggregates run-around grains/aggregates of all earlier phases including parkerite and galena (Figs 2b, 4a, 4d).

6.3. Conditions of origin of sulphide mineralization

Sulphides and sulphosalts are among the less studied minerals in granitic pegmatites (London 2008) although sulphide mineralizations are highly variable in textures and chemical composition (e.g., Oen and Kieft 1976; Černý and Harris 1978; Kissin et al. 1978; Losos et al. 1998; Černý et al. 2001; Škoda and Čopjaková 2005; Kozlowski and Sachanbiński 2007; Márquez-Zavalía et al. 2012) and very likely in their origin as well. Some sulphides form euhedral to subhedral crystals mostly enclosed in quartz. They may be considered as primary magmatic by origin, such as bismuthinite from the NYF La Elsa pegmatite, Argentina (Škoda et al. 2020), molybdenite crystals from the pegmatite Lipovy Log, Ural Mts. (Mao et al. 2003) and assemblage pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, bornite and molybdenite from primitive anatectic pegmatites at Naganalai-Pudukottai area, Tamil Nadu, India (Raj and Kumar 2015). Mostly fine-grained aggregates of a single mineral or mixture of several sulphides, sulphosalts and/or native metals and their alloys (Cu, Pb, Zn, Cd, Bi, Ag, Sb, As, Te) are subsolidus in origin. Anhedral to subhedral grains, and aggregates of rather common native bismuth with the melting point about 260–270°C (e.g., Živković and Živković 1996; Valladares et al. 2018) is mostly among the earliest phases in these assemblages, and it suggests that sulphides crystallized at evidently lower T typically ~200–100°C (e.g., Černý and Harris 1978; Márquez-Zavalía et al. 2012). In contrast, crystallization of stannite group minerals, typical sulphides in granitic pegmatites (Kissin et al. 1978; Černý et al. 2001), proceeded at higher T ~400°C. Even higher T = 550–370°C is suggested by Losos et al. (1998) for the assemblage stannite–gustavite–lilianite–Ag-rich galena with Bi inclusions, whereas the assemblage siderite-hedleyite-native Bi-hessite crystallized at T < 270°C in pegmatites of the Ľulová pluton. High T, up to ~300°C, is also supposed for the early assemblage wittichenite–chalcopyrite–bornite from beryl–columbite–phosphate pegmatite at Manguade, Portugal (Oen and Kieft 1976), whereas late minerals in this assemblage such as emplectite, matildite, Ag-bearing Bi-rich tennantite and tetrahedrite were formed at T ~200°C by the reaction of exsolved wittichenite with native bismuth, arsenopyrite, and löllingite.

The examined Ni-(Bi, Ag) sulphide mineralization is negligible in volume and is associated with dominant pyrite and minor galena, chalcopyrite, rare sphalerite and bismuth. Argentopentlandite, pentlandite and parkerite occur only on a microscopic scale as irregular aggregates and inclusions in major and minor sulphides. The temperature of the crystallization of sulphides is constrained by the textural relations and mineral assemblage: polysynthetic twinning of chalcopyrite suggests the transformation of high-temperature cubic chalcopyrite to tetragonal one at T = 550°C (Kostov and Stefanova 1981; Čvileva et al. 1988); sphalerite stars in chalcopyrite indicate T ~500–400°C (Kostov and Stefanova 1981; Sugaki et al. 1987), argentopentlandite has the upper limit of T < 455°C (Mandziuk and Scott 1977), marcasite has the upper-temperature stability limit at T ~240°C (Murowchick 1992), and native bismuth as the late mineral suggests T < ~270°C (melting point 271°C, Živković and Živković 1996; T = 267 to 250°C at P = 1 to 5 kbar, Valladares et al. 2018). Early sulphides (pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite, argentopentlandite) crystallized at T < ~400–450°C, whereas pyrite, marcasite, galena, parkerite, and native Bi at T < 240°C. Because of low stability of marcasite, which is at high temperatures rapidly transformed to pyrite (Murowchick 1992), we can assume cooling of the system below T ~200°C soon after transformation of pyrrhotite to pyrite + marcasite aggregates.

The temperature of crystallization of sulphides, sulphosalts and native bismuth at Vepice varying from T ~450–400°C to T < ~240°C is much higher than complex assemblages including from Li-pegmatites Tanco, Manitoba, Canada (Černý and Harris 1978) and El Quemado pegmatite, Nevados de Palermo, Argentina (Márquez-Zavalía et al. 2012) where T ~200–100°C is suggested. However, the estimated high-T limit of the sulphide crystallization for Vepice is close to kesterite-stannite from quartz core at the spodumene subtype pegmatite Peerless, Black Hills, S. Dakota (Černý et al. 2001) and the assemblage wittichenite–chalcopyrite–bornite from the beryl–columbite–phosphate subtype pegmatite at Manguade, Portugal (Oen and Kieft 1976) and the assemblage stannite–gustavite–lilianite–Ag-rich galena with Bi inclusions at the pegmatites of the Ľulová Pluton (Losos et al. 1998).

6.4 Source of Ni and Ag and potential geological implications

Geological setting and chemical composition of parental granites, including concentrations of trace elements is
a crucial factor for the chemical composition of minerals in granitic pegmatites (e.g., London 2008; Černý et al. 2012). Novák et al. (2012) manifested that elevated trace concentrations of Cs (20–40 ppm) and Sc (12–27) in the parental granite (durbachite) are reflected in the composition of accessory minerals in the pegmatites of the Třebíč Pluton. Disregarding the fact that Cs is highly incompatible and Sc highly compatible element, Cs, Sc-enriched beryl + secondary bazzite (Novák and Filip 2010) and Sc-enriched columbite (Novák and Čech 1996; Novák and Černý 1998; Výravský et al. 2017) and its breakdown products including kristiansenite, shortite and ScNbO₄ phase (Výravský et al. 2017) were found in these pegmatites.

Durbachites display a rather unusual mineral and chemical compositions comparing ordinary parental granite plutons of granitic pegmatites (e.g., Linnen and Cuney 2005; Ercit 2005; Černý et al. 2005); consequently, we can expect some specific minerals or mineral compositions in the relevant granitic pegmatites. Durbachites are rich in Ni (59–235 ppm); however, geochemical fractionation separates compatible and incompatible elements; hence, highly compatible Ni can hardly be expected in minerals from fractionated granitic pegmatites. Moreover, FM-minerals such as phlogopite, actinolite and tourmaline (tourmaline – 356 to 1186 ppm Ni; Novák et al. 2011; Čopjaková et al. 2013) are common. They crystallized in outer textural-paragenetic units of these pegmatites and may have incorporated Ni into crystal structures and thus exhaust Ni from the melt. Surprisingly, Ni-rich sulphides from the No. III pegmatite in Vepice manifest that concentrations of Ni in residual pegmatite melt and exsolved fluids were rather high and facilitated its saturation in sulphides, although only in a microscopic amount. Nevertheless, tourmaline as an essential carrier of Ni in pegmatites is very rare in No. III pegmatite. A very high Ni/Co ratio in sulphides reflects a much lower concentration of Co in durbachite (14–28 ppm) relative to Ni.

Silver-bearing sulphides and sulphosalts are known only from Tanco, Manitoba (Černý and Harris 1978) and pegmatites from the Žulová Pluton (Losos et al. 1998). Concentrations of Ag in durbachites are mostly below 1 ppm (Janoušek et al. 2020), but Ag was measured in granites only very sporadically. Nevertheless, such a low concentration in parental granite may be sufficient for the origin of Ag-rich mineral in a product of geochemical fractionation – granitic pegmatite, although argentopentlandite is a very rare accessory mineral. The source of S, As and metals (Fe, Pb, Cu, Zn, Bi) is considered the residual pegmatite melt and its exsolved fluids. Contamination from fluids derived in host durbachite is possible, e.g., the substitution of pyrrhotite by pyrite (marcasite) requires an evident increase of redox potential (Murowchick 1992).

7. Conclusion

Chemical composition of granites, including concentrations of trace elements is a crucial factor for the chemical composition of minerals in related granitic pegmatites (e.g., London 2008; Černý et al. 2012). The examined Ni-(Bi, Ag) sulphides from intragranitic pegmatite No. III at Vepice, although present only in microscopic scale, manifest that high concentrations of highly compatible Ni in granite may be reflected in accessory minerals similarly as in the case of Cs and Sc in the Třebíč Pluton (Novák and Filip 2010). Consequently, accessory minerals in granitic pegmatites may serve as fingerprints of trace element composition of their potential parental granites; however, a much larger dataset from granites and related pegmatites is necessary to collect in order to confirm this idea.
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