DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ALBENDAZOLE MICROCAPSULE FOR COLONIC DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Albendazole is a benzimidazole carbamate broad spectrum oral anthelmetic drug. It is poorly water soluble and it undergoes extensive metabolism in the intestine and liver. Objective of present study was to prepare and evaluate microcapsule of Albendazole for colonic delivery.

Methods: Six batches of Albendazole microcapsules were prepared using different concentration of polymers like Eudragit RS-100, chitosan, HPMC by solvent evaporation method. The microcapsules were then evaluated for micromeritic properties, percentage yield, incorporation efficiency, drug content. In vitro dissolution profile of each formulation was determined by employing USP XXIII rotating basket method.

Results: The drug content was found to be very high in all formulations, incorporation efficiency was found to be good in all formulations. Highest incorporation efficiency 96.48 % was found in batch of MC6. Maximum drug release was shown by microcapsules formulation of batch MC6 (90.18%).

Conclusion: Study concludes that Albendazole microcapsules may prove to be potential candidate for safe and effective sustained drug delivery.
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INTRODUCTION

Colon targeted drug delivery is used to deliver the drugs that are degraded by the digestive enzymes in the stomach such as proteins and peptides. The colon is attracting inter est as a site where poorly absorbed drug molecule may have an improved bioavailability. Colon is recognized as having a somewhat less hostile environment with less diversity and intensity of activity as compared to stomach and small intestine. Furthermore, the colon has a long retention time and appears highly responsive to agents that enhance the absorption of poorly absorbed drugs. Colon is also found to be a promising site when delay in absorption is desirable from therapeutic point of view for the treatment of disease that have peak symptoms in early morning and that exhibit circadian rhythm, such as rheumatoid arthritis, nocturnal asthma and angina pectoris. Colon targeted drug delivery of drugs reduces the systemic side effects. Microencapsulation is a process in which tiny particles or a coating to give small capsules with many useful properties surrounds droplets. Furthermore the administered dose of a drug is subdivided into small units that are spread over a large area of the gastrointestinal tract, which may enhance absorption by diminishing localized drug concentration.

Albendazole is a benzimidazole carbamate broad spectrum oral anthelmetic used to treat a variety of worm infections caused by nematodes and cestodes. It falls into the BCS class II category as has high permeability and low solubility. It is poorly soluble having aqueous solubility to be 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ at 25°C. Because of its low aqueous solubility, it is poorly and erratically absorbed following oral administration. Following oral administration, it was found to absorb 1–5%, and it undergoes extensive metabolism in the intestine and liver. It is further metabolized into albendazole sulfone which is pharmacologically inactive. In present study microcapsules of Albendazole were formulated for colonic drug delivery to avoid side effects associated with albendazole therapy and to improve pharmacological
Evaluation of albendazole microcapsules

Micromeritic properties

The microcapsules are characterized by their micromeritic properties such as bulk density, true density, porosity, Hausner’s ratio.11

Particle size

Determination of average particle size of the Albendazole microcapsules was carried out by the optical microscopy method. A minute quantity of microcapsules was spread on clean glass slide and average sizes of 100 microcapsules were determined in each batch.12

Percentage yield

The measured weight was divided by total amount of all non-volatile components which were used for the preparation of microcapsule.13

\[
\text{% yield} = \frac{\text{Actual weight of product}}{\text{Total weight of excipient and drug}} \times 100
\]

Incorporation efficiency:

In 100 ml volumetric flask 25 mg of crushed microcapsules were taken and dissolved with small quantity of ethanol of the volume is made up to mark with pH 6.8 and stirred for 12 hours. After stirring the solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper and from the filtrate appropriate dilutions were made and absorbance was measured at 261 nm by using UV-spectrophotometer.14

Drug content

In a 100 ml volumetric flask, 25 mg of crushed microcapsules were taken, and volume was made up to mark with pH 7.4. The flask was shaken for 12 hours using an orbital shaker incubator. Then the solution was filtered and from the filtrate appropriate dilutions were made and absorbance was measured at 261 nm.15

In vitro release studies:

In vitro dissolution profile of each formulation was determined by employing USP XXIII rotating basket method (900 ml of pH 6.8-phosphate buffer, 100 rpm, 37±0.5°C). Microcapsules equivalent to 150 mg of Albendazole was loaded into the basket of the dissolution apparatus. Five milliliters of the sample was withdrawn from the dissolution media at suitable time intervals and the same amount was replaced with fresh buffer. The absorbance of the filtrate was determined at wavelength of 261 nm by using UV spectrophotometer, against pH 6.8 as blank.16

| Batch Code | % Yield | % Incorporation efficiency (%) | Mean particle size (µm) | % Drug content | Angle of repose | Bulk density | Tapped density | Hausner’s Ratio |
|------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| MC1        | 90±0.08 | 88.58±0.21                    | 180±2.5                 | 96             | 18.1           | 0.60        | 0.62          | 1.031          |
| MC2        | 96±0.12 | 90.72±0.45                    | 210±3.2                 | 90             | 22.5           | 0.61        | 0.63          | 1.030          |
| MC3        | 94±0.32 | 88.46±0.42                    | 195±1.5                 | 93             | 20.4           | 0.62        | 0.64          | 1.024          |
| MC4        | 90±0.41 | 93.57±0.08                    | 230±3.6                 | 94             | 19.3           | 0.59        | 0.61          | 1.046          |
| MC5        | 93±0.37 | 90.24±0.11                    | 240±1.8                 | 95             | 21.5           | 0.57        | 0.59          | 1.040          |
| MC6        | 97±0.46 | 96.48±0.38                    | 245±3.5                 | 98             | 20.6           | 0.64        | 0.66          | 1.029          |

(Mean± S.D., n=4)

Effect as most of the worms reside in large intestinal part and.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Albendazole was obtained from Emzor Pharmaceutical Industries Limited, Lagos. Eudragit RS-100 was obtained from Evans Medical PLC, Lagos, Nigeria, HPMC and chitosan were obtained from Revive Technologies, Lagos. All other chemicals and reagents used in this study were of analytical grade.

Method of preparation

Albendazole microcapsules formulations were prepared by solvent evaporation method. Accurately weighted Eudragit RS-100, chitosan and HPMC were taken in different ratios as shown in Table 1. All polymers were dissolved in 30 ml of acetone to form a homogenous polymers solution. Core material, i.e. Albendazole was dispersed in it and mixed thoroughly. This organic phase was slowly poured at 15°C in to liquid paraffin (100 ml) containing 1% (w/w) of Span-80 with stirring at 1000 rpm to form a uniform emulsion. Thereafter, it was allowed to attain room temperature and stirring was continued until residual acetone evaporated and smooth-walled, rigid and discrete microcapsules were formed. The microcapsules were collected by decantation and the product was washed with petroleum ether (40–60°C), four times and dried at room temperature for 3 hrs. The microcapsules were then stored in a desiccators over fused calcium chloride.

| Table 1: Composition of Albendazole microcapsules |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Code | Drug (mg) | Eudragit RS-100 (mg) | Chitosan (mg) | HPMC (mg) | Liquid paraffin (ml) | Span 80 (ml) | Acetone (ml) |
| MC1 | 400 | 500 | - | - | 50 | 1 | 30 |
| MC2 | 400 | - | 300 | - | 50 | 1 | 30 |
| MC3 | 400 | - | - | 300 | 50 | 1 | 30 |
| MC4 | 400 | 200 | 100 | - | 50 | 1 | 30 |
| MC5 | 400 | - | 200 | 100 | 50 | 1 | 30 |
| MC6 | 400 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 50 | 1 | 30 |

Table 2: Characterization of Albendazole microcapsules

| Batch Code | % Yield | % Incorporation efficiency (%) | Mean particle size (µm) | % Drug content | Angle of repose | Bulk density | Tapped density | Hausner’s Ratio |
|------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| MC1        | 90±0.08 | 88.58±0.21                    | 180±2.5                 | 96             | 18.1           | 0.60        | 0.62          | 1.031          |
| MC2        | 96±0.12 | 90.72±0.45                    | 210±3.2                 | 90             | 22.5           | 0.61        | 0.63          | 1.030          |
| MC3        | 94±0.32 | 88.46±0.42                    | 195±1.5                 | 93             | 20.4           | 0.62        | 0.64          | 1.024          |
| MC4        | 90±0.41 | 93.57±0.08                    | 230±3.6                 | 94             | 19.3           | 0.59        | 0.61          | 1.046          |
| MC5        | 93±0.37 | 90.24±0.11                    | 240±1.8                 | 95             | 21.5           | 0.57        | 0.59          | 1.040          |
| MC6        | 97±0.46 | 96.48±0.38                    | 245±3.5                 | 98             | 20.6           | 0.64        | 0.66          | 1.029          |

(Mean± S.D., n=4)
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Six different microcapsules formulations were prepared by solvent evaporation using different polymers ratio. Pure acetone did not dissolve Eudragit; however acetone with 2% water fitted the criterion well.

![Figure 1: % Incorporation efficiency of Albendazole microcapsules](image1)

Liquid paraffin was used as the dispersion media or external phase. Petroleum ether was used to clean the microparticles since it removes liquid paraffin without affecting the integrity of the microparticles. Results are shown in Table 2. The drug content was found to be very high in all the cases probably due to polymer loss by adherence to the container as a result of viscous nature of slurry. The incorporation efficiency was found to be good in all formulations. Highest incorporation efficiency 96.48 % was found in batch of MC6. Entrapment efficiency increase with increase in the polymer concentration from the results it can be inferred that there is a proper distribution of Albendazole in the microcapsules and the deviation is within the acceptable limits. The arithmetic mean particle size of the formulations was determined by the optical microscope fitted with an ocular micrometer and stage micrometer. In general mean particle size of the microcapsules significantly increases with increase in polymer concentration due to high viscosity of medium at a higher polymer concentration resulting in enhanced interfacial tension and diminished shearing efficiency. The angle of repose of microcapsule ranges from 18-22.5° (Table 2). The values of angles of repose indicate excellent flow properties. In vitro release studies were carried out by employing USP XXIII rotating basket method.

![Figure 2: Comparative in-vitro release study of Albendazole microcapsules](image2)

The release profile obtained for all the six formulations in 10 hrs. The release of drug from polymer matrix takes place after complete swelling of the polymer and as the amount of polymer in the formulation increases the time required to swell also increase thereby decrease in the drug release. However, the release showed a biphasic release with an initial burst effect. Maximum drug release was shown by microcapsules formulation of batch MC6 (90.18%) and minimum release is shown by formulation of batch MC3 (63.7%).

CONCLUSION

Different microcapsules formulations were prepared by solvent evaporation method using different polymers like Eudragit RS-100, chitosan and HPMC. From the results it seems that formulation MC6 was found to be satisfactory in terms of excellent micromeritic properties, yield of microcapsule, (97±0.46 %), incorporation efficiency (96.48±0.38%) and highest in vitro drug release of 90.18 % in a sustained manner with constant fashion over extended period of time for 10 hrs. Hence the prepared Albendazole microcapsules may prove to be potential candidate for safe and effective sustained drug delivery. Further in-vivo investigation is required to establish efficacy of these formulations.
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