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Abstract

Clinical nurses play an important role in the healthcare team. The practice question for this Doctor of Nursing Practice project explored the perceptions of RNs about their clinical leadership knowledge and competencies at a 160-bed rehabilitation hospital in a metropolitan city in the southeast United States. Thirty RNs completed the following three surveys: an 8-question clinical leadership knowledge assessment, a 17-question leadership competency assessment, and a 6-question emotional intelligence self-assessment. Fifty percent or more of clinical nurses believed that they were knowledgeable in identified components of clinical leadership. The leadership competency skills assessment revealed a wide range: from 3–6% of participants who indicated that they were not at all competent to 33–57% of participants who indicated that they felt very competent. Seventy-six percent of the participants felt positive about their emotional intelligence abilities. Recommendations to nursing leadership included workshops for clinical staff RNs on the various components of the Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses’ model of clinical leadership: clinical practice, environment practice, emotional intelligence, and leadership competencies.
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Introduction

Clinical nurses are an important component of the healthcare workforce and play a vital role in reducing costs and promoting quality patient outcomes (Grindel, 2016). Clinical nurse leaders can provide the necessary leadership at the point of care to enhance safe, quality care throughout the healthcare experience. Clinical leadership has been identified as impacting the clinical care environment, impacting safe, quality care, job satisfaction and nurse retention (Boamah, 2018; Mianda, 2018). Clinical nurse leaders are experts who help facilitate care that supports
not only the patients but the staff as well (Grindel, 2016; Rankin, 2015). The Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2011) report, The Future of Nursing: Leading Change, Advancing Health, emphasizes that strong nursing leadership is necessary to improve patient safety outcomes and that the nursing profession must enhance its leadership role in health care redesign. Clinical leadership can be defined as putting clinicians at the soul of running healthcare clinical services in order to deliver exceptional outcomes for patients and populations (Abraham, 2011).

We conducted this quality improvement DNP project at a 160-bed rehabilitation hospital in a metropolitan city in the southeast United States. The nursing leadership sought assistance in exploring clinical leadership behaviors of RNs at the bedside. For this project, clinical staff RNs were defined as RNs providing direct patient care, who might supervise other members of the healthcare team, such as licensed practical nurses or nursing assistants. Understanding how clinical nurses perceive their leadership knowledge and competencies can provide nurse leaders and executives with a starting point for a clinical leadership education program at the facility.

**Background**

According to recent literature, nurses are hesitant to assume leadership roles in their practice environment because they feel a lack of knowledge, too busy, or disempowered (Grindel, 2016). A 2017 systematic review exploring the literature on clinical leadership revealed that clinical leaders demonstrate clinical competence, effective communication, an ability to motivate others, and a focus on excellence in nursing practice (Stanley & Stanley, 2017).

Implementation of professional leadership programs for RNs at the bedside have demonstrated an increase in the leadership skills, communication skills, and interdisciplinary collaboration of clinical staff registered nurses (RNs) (Abraham, 2011; Abraham et al., 2013; Bender et al., 2016; Fardellone et al., 2014; Leigh et al., 2014). The target facility sought to enhance the leadership behaviors of RNs at the bedside.

**Theoretical Framework**

The Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses (AMSN) task force of clinical and leadership experts developed a model of the core knowledge for clinical leadership (Grindel, 2016). The model supports the importance of essential knowledge and skills for clinical leaders at the bedside and consists of four components: clinical practice, environment practice, emotional intelligence and leadership competencies. Measures of quality such as national patient safety goals, core measures, measures of variance and cost reduction are emphasized. An ability to communicate and collaborate effectively as well as self awareness are important qualities for the clinical nurse leader (Grindel).

**Purpose**

The purpose of this project was to assess the staff RNs’ perceptions of their competence in and knowledge about clinical leadership. The practice question was: What are the perceptions of staff RNs about their clinical leadership knowledge and competencies at this facility?

**Setting**

The setting for this DNP project was a 160-bed rehabilitation hospital in a metropolitan city in the southeast United States.
Participants

We invited the full-time and part-time staff clinical RNs at the facility to participate in this project at their weekly staff meeting. Thirty-five RNs attended the staff meeting. Thirty RNs remained in the meeting room after the staff meeting to complete the surveys.

Ethical Considerations

A letter of cooperation was obtained from the facility and submitted to the Walden University Institutional Review Board (IRB). Approval to complete the project was obtained from the Walden University IRB (Approval No. 10-23-19-0229312). Participants signed a consent to participate prior to completing the surveys.

Program Design

The survey packet consisted of the 8-question AMSN Clinical Leadership Knowledge Assessment Form, the 17-question AMSN Leadership Competency Skills Survey and a 6-question Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire. The first two surveys were developed by a task force of clinical and leadership experts from the AMSN (Grindel, 2016). Through a series of discussions and rank ordering of topics, the core knowledge and competencies for clinical leadership were identified; the surveys were then developed (Grindel). Permission to use the surveys was obtained from AMSN. We developed the emotional intelligence survey from the discussion of norms that create an awareness of emotions from the Druskat and Wolff publication (2001) on building the emotional intelligence of groups. The survey packets were prepared in sealed envelopes and included the invitation and consent to participate and surveys. No identifying information other than a survey number was placed on the surveys. Participants placed the surveys in a secured drop box in the room. The survey box was picked up by the DNP student as soon as all participants were out of the room.

Data Analysis and Results

Descriptive statistics using SPSS were used to analyze the survey results. Results of these surveys revealed a wide variety of perceptions of competence and knowledge. Table 1 displays the statements and results from the 8-question clinical leadership knowledge form. For the category not at all knowledgeable, 30% of the respondents indicated that they were not at all knowledgeable for cost drivers for nursing services. The majority of responses in this category for the other selections ranged from 3% to 16%.
Table 1: Clinical Leadership Knowledge, N = 30

| Statement                                         | 1 = Not At All Knowledgeable | 2 = Somewhat Knowledgeable | 3 = Knowledgeable | 4 = Very Knowledgeable |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Quality Initiative                             | 4 (13%)                      | 6 (20%)                    | 12 (40%)          | 8 (27%)                |
| 2. National Patient Safety Goals                  |                              |                            |                   |                        |
| 3. Core Measurement and HCAHPS                    |                              |                            |                   |                        |
| 4. Reduction in Practice Variations               |                              |                            |                   |                        |
| 5. Critical Evaluation of the Literature          |                              |                            |                   |                        |
| 6. Hospital Systems                               |                              |                            |                   |                        |
| 7. Cost drivers for Nursing Services              |                              |                            |                   |                        |
| 8. Health Practice Environments                   |                              |                            |                   |                        |

From “Clinical Leadership: A Call to Action” in C. G. Grindel, 2019, Medsurg Nursing: Official Journal of the Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses, 25(1), 9–16. Used with permission of the Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses (AMSN).

The statements identified for the emotional intelligence survey were taken from the discussion of individual norms in Druskat and Wolff (2001). Responses from the 6-question emotional intelligence assessment survey revealed that at least 76% of the participants responded yes to all the questions with 100% of the respondents indicating that they felt they were able to read other individuals’ feelings and behaviors. Table 2 depicts the questions on the emotional intelligence assessment and survey results.

Table 2: Emotional Intelligence Assessment N = 30

| Question                                                                 | Yes     | No  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----|
| 1. Are you able to read other individuals’ feelings and behaviors?     | 30 (100%)| 0 (0%) |
| 2. Are you able to look beyond the behavior to recognize hidden        | 27 (90%)| 3 (10%)|
| motivations and agendas?                                               |         |     |
| 3. When you experience strong emotions (fear, anger), do you later    | 23 (76%)| 7 (24%)|
| reflect on the situation that led to the emotion?                      |         |     |
| 4. When you experience strong emotions (fear, anger), do you behave    | 25 (83%)| 5 (17%)|
| and speak appropriately?                                               |         |     |
| 5. When you experience strong emotions (fear, anger), do you stay on   | 29 (97%)| 1 (3%) |
| task?                                                                  |         |     |
| 6. When you experience strong emotions (fear, anger), are you able to  | 24 (80%)| 6 (20%)|
| separate the cause of the emotion (another person) from that emotion? |         |     |

Adapted from “Building the Emotional Intelligence of Groups” by V. Druskat & S. Wolff, 2001, Harvard Business Review, 79(3), 81–90.
Responses to the 17-question assessment on leadership competency skills revealed a wide range of self-assessment. In 6 of the 17 items on emotional intelligence assessment, 3–6% of participants responded that they were not at all competent. For somewhat competent, 3–27% of the participants responded competent. For competent, 23–50% of participants responded feeling competent. For the final selection, very competent, 33–57% of participants responded feeling very competent. Table 3 displays the components of the skills survey and participant responses. Responses were scored as yes or no and percentage of responses calculated.

Table 3: Leadership Competency Skills N = 30

| Statements                                                                 | 1 = Not At All Competent | 2 = Somewhat Competent | 3 = Competent | 4 = Very Competent |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1. Using emotional intelligence                                           | 0 (0%)                  | 1 (4%)                | 10 (33%)      | 19 (63%)          |
| 2. Coaching/mentoring colleagues                                         | 1 (3%)                  | 2 (6%)                | 11 (37%)      | 16 (54%)          |
| 3. Educating patients, families, and colleagues                          | 0 (0%)                  | 1 (3%)                | 10 (34%)      | 19 (63%)          |
| 4. Resolving conflict                                                    | 0 (0%)                  | 3 (10%)               | 15 (50%)      | 12 (40%)          |
| 5. Defusing lateral violence/bullying in the workplace                   | 0 (0%)                  | 4 (13%)               | 12 (40%)      | 14 (47%)          |
| 6. Addressing a colleague who was not doing a procedure correctly        | 2 (6%)                  | 3 (10%)               | 9 (30%)       | 16 (54%)          |
| 7. Collaborating with nurses re: patient care issue                      | 0 (0%)                  | 1 (3%)                | 7 (23%)       | 22 (74%)          |
| 8. Calling together an interprofessional team to discuss a patient care issue | 1 (3%)                  | 3 (10%)               | 8 (27%)       | 18 (60%)          |
| 9. Calling together an interprofessional team to discuss a workflow issue | 1 (3%)                  | 3 (10%)               | 8 (27%)       | 18 (60%)          |
| 10. Leading teams/committees                                             | 2 (6%)                  | 3 (10%)               | 8 (27%)       | 17 (57%)          |
| 11. Leading teams/committee meetings                                     | 2 (6%)                  | 8 (27%)               | 10 (33%)      | 10 (33%)          |
| 12. Preparing for team/committee meetings                                | 0 (0%)                  | 5 (17%)               | 15 (50%)      | 10 (33%)          |
| 13. Initiating a change initiative                                       | 1 (3%)                  | 5 (17%)               | 7 (23%)       | 17 (57%)          |
| 14. Leading a change initiative                                          | 1 (3%)                  | 6 (20%)               | 11 (37%)      | 12 (40%)          |
| 15. Presenting clinical updates to colleagues                            | 2 (6%)                  | 3 (10%)               | 13 (44%)      | 12 (40%)          |
| 16. Presenting information at a team/committee meeting                   | 2 (6%)                  | 5 (17%)               | 11 (37%)      | 12 (40%)          |
| 17. Presenting at a professional conference                              | 5 (17%)                 | 6 (20%)               | 10 (33%)      | 9 (30%)           |

From “Clinical Leadership: A Call to Action” in C. G. Grindel, 2019, Medsurg Nursing: Official Journal of the Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses, 25(1), 9–16. Used with permission of the Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses (AMSN).
Recommendations

Results of these surveys revealed a wide variety of perceptions of competence and knowledge. Recommendations to nursing leadership included development of workshops for clinical staff RNs on the various components of the AMSN model of clinical leadership four components of clinical practice, environment practice, and emotional intelligence and leadership competencies. A second recommendation was to enhance the existing clinical ladder program to support clinical leader knowledge and skills through a clinical leadership development program including topics related to leadership in clinical practice, environment management, and emotional intelligence. A clinical ladder initiative could ensure that all levels of clinical nurses have an opportunity to improve their leadership knowledge and competence.

Strengths and Limitations

Thirty out of the 35 clinical RNs participated in this project. This allowed the optimal RN participation for the surveys. An existing clinical ladder program could be adapted to include clinical leadership education activities. The facility is currently applying for Magnet certification. Nursing leadership is highly supportive of staff nurse development and eager to follow through on clinical nurse leadership development. Limitations included a onetime assessment of clinical staff and no opportunity for obtaining any qualitative data through interviews, focus groups, or written comments. Some interesting qualifiers for this project would have been to connect level of education, certifications, and participation in the facility’s clinical ladder program.

Future Applications

This project informed the facility of the importance of building on clinical leadership knowledge and skills from the beginning of a nursing career. Assessing new point-of-care employees related to their perceived clinical leadership knowledge and skills would allow employees to create professional goals based on their assessment. An annual individual development plan focusing on clinical leadership would help to reinforce the importance of this role to the organization. As noted in the AMSN model, organizational commitment to clinical leadership is imperative for clinical leaders to perform. This project underscored the importance of leadership awareness as a first step in developing clinical nurse leaders.
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