Expression of interferon-alpha/beta receptor protein in liver of patients with hepatitis C virus-related chronic liver disease
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AIM: To study the expression of interferon-alpha/beta (IFN-α/β) receptor protein in liver of patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related chronic liver disease and its clinical significance.

METHODS: A total of 181 patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease included 56 with HCV-related liver cirrhosis (LC) and 125 with chronic hepatitis C (CHC). CHC patients were treated with five megaunits of interferon-α1b six times weekly for the first 2 weeks and then every other day for 22 wk. The patients were divided into interferon (IFN) treatment-responsive and non-responsive groups, but 36 patients lost follow-up shortly after receiving the treatment. The expression of IFN-α/β receptor (IFN-α/βR) protein in liver of all patients was determined with immunofluorescence.

RESULTS: In liver of patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease, the expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver cell membrane was stronger than that in cytoplasm and more obvious in the surroundings of portal vein than in the surroundings of central vein. Moreover, it was poorly distributed in hepatic lobules. The weak positive, positive and strong positive expression of IFN-α/βR were 40% (50/125), 28% (35/125), 32% (40/125), respectively in CHC group, and 91.1% (51/56), 5.35% (3/56), and 3.56% (2/56), respectively in LC group. The positive and strong positive rates were higher in CHC group than in LC group (P<0.01). In IFN treatment responsive group, 27.8% (10/36) showed weak positive expression; 72.2% (26/36) showed positive or strong positive expression. In the non-responsive group, 71.7% (38/53) showed weak positive expression; 28.3% (15/53) showed positive or strong positive expression. The expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver was more obvious in IFN treatment responsive group than in non-responsive group.

CONCLUSION: Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver of patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease is likely involved in the response to IFN treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Interferon (IFN) is effective in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. It results in viral eradication and normalization of liver function in about 35-40% of the patients[1][4]. The anti-virus mechanism of IFN is to transmit the signal to nuclei and to activate 2′-5′ adenylic acid synthase and protein kinase after IFN molecule combines with IFN receptor, which then blocks the translations of virus protein and RNA. IFN receptor (IFN-R) is the initial protein for the chain reaction of IFN[10]. Human interferon receptors are divided into type I IFN-R which can combine with IFN-α/β, and type II IFN-R which has specific sensitivity to IFN-γ. Furthermore, it has been proved that type II IFN-R is also sensitive to IFN-α/β to some extent[9], meanwhile IFN-β and subtypes of IFN-α have high sensitivity to IFN-R[7].

In this study, we determined the expression of IFN-R protein in liver of patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease and its clinical significance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 181 patients were enrolled in this study, including 125 patients with chronic hepatitis C and 56 patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis. All the patients were seropositive for HCV-RNA and underwent liver biopsy. None of the patient was infected with other hepatitis viruses. All the patients with chronic hepatitis C received IFN treatment. However, only 89 patients were evaluated for treatment response since 36 patients lost follow-up shortly after receiving the treatment. According to the response to IFN treatment, we studied the expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver of 89 patients with chronic hepatitis C at least six months after IFN treatment.
IFN treatment

IFN treatment was standardized as follows. Five megaunits of IFN-α1b was administrated to 89 patients with chronic hepatitis C who were seropositive for HCV-RNA and received IFN treatment were divided into responder group (56) and non-responder group (53). Responders were defined as patients who were seronegative for HCV-RNA with their serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) decreased to the normal range for at least 6 mo after IFN treatment. The other patients were non-responders.

Appraisal of IFN treatment

According to the response to IFN, 89 patients with chronic hepatitis C who were seropositive for HCV-RNA and received IFN treatment were divided into responder group (56) and non-responder group (53). Responders were defined as patients who were seronegative for HCV-RNA with their serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) decreased to the normal range for at least 6 mo after IFN treatment. The other patients were non-responders.

Histopathological evaluation

Liver biopsy specimens were defined by Knodell’s pathologic classification. The degree of liver fibrosis was determined according to the criteria for staging fibrosis (F0 to F4), including F0 (no fibrosis), F1 (portal area fibrosis), F2 (bridging fibrosis), F3 (bridging fibrosis with lobule deformation), F4 (cirrhosis). Inflammation activities were scored as follows: 1-3 points (mild hepatitis), 4-8 points (moderate hepatitis), 9-12 points (severe hepatitis).

Determination of IFN-α/βR in liver

Making specimens with immunofluorescence technique IFN-α/βR in the liver was immunostained with an indirect immunofluorescence technique. The liver biopsy specimens were sampled during laparoscopy, fixed with fixatives, and frozen to make histological sections. The sections were incubated with non-marked antibody for 45 min at 37 °C, washed thrice in 0.15 mol/L pH7.6 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 3 min and once in 0.01 mol/L pH 7.6 PBS for 1 min, then incubated with anti-idiotype antibody (AId) for 30-45 min at 37 °C and washed with PBS. The sections were dehydrated at room temperature and mounted in glycerol. We cloned the gene coding for the outside-membrane of IFN-α/βR in Daudi’s cells and immunized white rabbits with protein produced by the gene-transfected E.coli to get the first antibody (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK). The AId (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK) was an anti-rabbit-IgG class antibody labeled with fluorochrome. Negative control was serum of rabbits.

Criteria of fluoroimmunoassay

Intensity of fluorescence was standardized as follows (Figure 1): weak positive (+), positive (+++) and strong positive (+++). If a minority of hepatocytes in the portal area were stained, it was defined as weak positive (+). The strong positive (+++) means almost all hepatocytes in the hepatic lobule were stained, and hepatocytes between the two grades were defined as positive (++).

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance of difference was determined by χ2-test.

RESULTS

Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver

IFN-α/βR protein was expressed in all the samples of HCV-related chronic liver disease, however the degree of expression varied. Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in cell membrane was stronger than that in cytoplasm (Figure 2A). The expression of protein in the surroundings of the portal vein was stronger than that in the surroundings of the central vein. The protein was poorly distributed in hepatic lobules (Figure 2B). Almost all the IFN-α/βR proteins expressing cells were hepatic parenchymal cells. However, IFN-α/βR proteins were also expressed in part of interlobular cholangioepithelia (Figure 2C).

Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in patients with chronic hepatitis C and HCV-related liver cirrhosis

Among the 125 patients with chronic hepatitis C, 40% (50/125) showed weak positive (+), 28% (35/125) showed positive (++) 32% (40/125) showed strong positive (+++) expression of IFN-α/βR protein. Among the 56 patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis, 91.1% (51/56) showed weak positive (+), 5.35% (3/56) showed positive (++) 3.56% (2/56) showed strong positive (+++) expression of IFN-α/βR protein. The total frequency of positive and strong positive expression in chronic hepatitis C patients was much higher than that in patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis (P<0.01 Table 1).

Table 1 Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver of patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease

| Group | n  | Fluorescence intensity in cases, n (%) |
|-------|----|---------------------------------------|
|       |    | +         | ++ | +++  |
| CHC   | 125| 50 (40)⁴ | 75 (60)⁴ |
| LC    | 56 | 51 (91.1) | 5 (8.9)  |

⁴P<0.01 vs LC.

Figure 1 Weak positive (A), positive (B), strong positive (C) and negative (D) expression of IFN-α/βR (×200).
Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver of patients with chronic hepatitis C after IFN treatment

Six months after IFN treatment, the responders and non-responders were 36 and 53 respectively, and 27.8% (10/36) of the responders showed weak positive (+), and 72.2% (26/36) showed positive (+) or strong positive (+++) expression of the IFNα/βR protein; 71.7% (38/53) of non-responders showed weak positive (+), and 28.3% (15/53) showed positive (+) or strong positive (+++) expression of the IFNα/βR protein. After the treatment, the total frequency of positive (+) and strong positive (+++) expression of the IFNα/βR protein in responder group was much higher (P<0.05) than that in non-responder group (Table 2).

Table 2 Effect of IFN and expression of IFN-α/βR protein

| IFN treatment effect | Fluorescence intensity in cases, n (%) | + | ++, +++ |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------|
| Responders          | 36                                    | 10 (27.8)⁸ | 26 (72.2)⁸ |
| Non-responders      | 53                                    | 38 (71.7)  | 15 (28.3) |

⁸P<0.05 vs non-responders.

DISCUSSION

Ishimura⁹ has proved that IFN R protein exists in liver by ELISA and reported that the expression degree of IFN-αR protein in cytoplasm is different. By immunohistochemical method and competitive polymerase chain reaction assay, Fujiwara et al.⁹, found that determination of the expression of IFN-α/βR protein is more useful than that of its mRNA. In this study, we found that expression of IFN-α/βR protein in cell membrane was stronger than that in cytoplasm by immunofluorescence assay. IFN-α/βR protein is a membrane receptor just like other cytoplasm receptors. Moreover, this study also found that the expression of IFN-α/βR protein in the surroundings of the portal vein was stronger than that in the central vein, and the protein was poorly distributed in hepatic lobules. This may be attributed to the following aspects. The blood stream in surrounding of the portal vein is more affluent, providing a good nutritional condition for virus infection which then induces the expression of IFN-α/βR. The expression intensity of IFN-α/βR protein varies in different chronic hepatic diseases. It is weaker in patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis than in patients with chronic C hepatitis. The reason may be that the bloodstream in patients with cirrhosis is not well-distributed and the blood supply becomes deficient due to various kinds of fibrosclerosis in hepatic lobules, and liver function and liver cell membrane are damaged because of hepatic cellular inflammation. Because of these factors, liver could not provide a good condition for the expression of IFN-α/βR protein in patients with cirrhosis. Defective expression of IFN-α/βR protein can reduce the intake of IFN, resulting in increased reproduction of virus and activity of hepatitis.

This study demonstrated that expression of IFN-α/βR protein had a close correlation with hepatic fibrosis. The majority of responders with chronic hepatitis C had a very strong expression of IFN-α/βR protein prior to the treatment, which coincides with other researches¹⁰⁻¹². The reasons are as follows. The infection of hepatitis virus C can induce expression of protein effectively¹³, since some patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease become very sensitive to IFN treatment. Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver in responsive cases is much stronger than that in non-responsive cases. That is to say, non-responsive reaction to the treatment may be caused by the defective expression of protein in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Although expression of IFN-α/βR protein in the responder group is stronger than that in non-responder group, the expression also exists in some non-responsive cases. On the contrary, expression of IFN-α/βR protein in some responsive cases is as weak as that in non-responsive cases. These inconsistencies are attributed to the following aspects. IFN-α/βR protein cannot represent all IFN R proteins involved in the antivirus mechanism in chronic hepatitis C. The protein we studied is IFN-α/βR protein which could respond to IFN-α and IFN-β⁹. The protein plays a key role, in the anti-virus mechanism against HCV-related chronic disease. The combining activity of R proteins maybe changed in the responsive cases when IFN-α/βR protein is expressed. Among the responsive and non-responsive cases, some patients with severe chronic hepatitis C and cirrhosis were not sensitive to IFN treatment, and defective expression of IFN-α/βR protein in these patients was a major cause. It coincides with other studies¹⁴⁻¹⁵. In conclusion, expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver of patients with HCV-related chronic disease is likely involved in response to IFN treatment, the expression of IFN-α/βR protein may be useful in

Figure 2 Expression of IFN-α/βR protein in cell membrane and cytoplasm (A), hepatic lobules (B), and hepatic parenchymal cells and part of interlobular cholangioepithelia (C).
predicting IFN therapeutic effect, and defective expression of IFN-α/βR protein in liver may result in resistance to IFN treatment in patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease.
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