INTRODUCTION

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the commonest endocrinopathy resulting in anovulatory infertility in young women. Recent years have seen a significant rise in women presenting with PCOS and a concomitant spurt in scientific interest to understand the syndrome.

There are many clinical manifestations of the syndrome, and infertility due to chronic anovulation is one of the commonest.\cite{1-3} Clomiphene citrate (CC) is a long-standing, standard drug for ovulation induction and is still considered as first-line option in PCOS women.\cite{4,5} However, clomiphene has certain well-defined disadvantages. Treatment with CC is associated with discrepancy in ovulation and pregnancy rates (60-85%; 10-20%). Miscarriage rate is higher than general population,\cite{6,7} and 20-25% PCOS women are resistant to clomiphene.\cite{8,9} Anti-estrogenic effect of CC leads to prolonged depletion of estrogens receptors, adversely affecting endometrial growth and development as well as quantity and quality of cervical mucus.

Letrozole is an orally-active aromatase inhibitor, with good potential for ovulation induction. It has been in use for few years now, and numbers of researchers have studied this molecule as an option for ovulation induction.\cite{8,10} Letrozole acts by reducing estrogen production by blocking androgens to estrogens conversion. Additionally, it has no adverse effect on endometrium and cervical mucus. In India, letrozole was approved for ovulation induction from 2006.

Clomiphene citrate or letrozole as first-line ovulation induction drug in infertile PCOS women: A prospective randomized trial
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to 2011 by the Drug controller general of India (DCGI). Letrozole has been shown to have good ovulation rate in CC-resistant PCOS women.[11] Indian PCOS women have high prevalence of insulin resistance[12] and thus are likely to have high CC resistance. Letrozole could prove to be a good alternative for ovulation induction in such women.

This prospective randomized trial was carried out to compare the effects of 5 mg of letrozole with 100 mg CC as first-line ovulation induction drug in treatment-naïve infertile PCOS women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at a private hospital with a large gynecological practice. The prospective randomized trial was conducted between July 2010 and July 2011. Study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

103 infertile PCOS women were recruited from outpatient department. A single consultant (author) was responsible for diagnosis and recruitment of the patients. PCOS was diagnosed according to Rotterdam criteria. All women were treatment-naïve i.e. had not undergone any significant treatment for infertility/ovulation induction earlier. Patients with hyper prolactinemia, thyroid disorder, male factor, suspected tubal factor, endometriosis, unexplained infertility were not included in the study. Patients were randomized by lottery to receive either 100 mg of CC or 5 mg of letrozole daily for 5 days starting Day 2 of menstrual cycle. Follicular monitoring was done by transvaginal sonography starting day 8 of menstrual cycle till a follicle attained 17-18 mm diameter. A single injection of HCG 10,000 IU was given if at least one follicle attained 17-18 mm. Cervical mucus was scored on day of HCG according to a cervical mucus scoring criteria [Table 1]. Timed intercourse or IUI was advised as per the patient requirement after 24-36 hrs of HCG. A final scan after 48 hrs was done for all patients to confirm rupture of follicle. If not ruptured, a repeat scan was done after 72 hrs to diagnose luteinized unruptured follicle. Ovulation was confirmed by sonographic finding and day 21 progesterone.

Primary outcome measures were ovulation rate, endometrial thickness, mono Vs. multifollicular rate, and days to ovulation. Secondary outcome measures were pregnancy and miscarriage rate. Chi-square and student’s 't' test was carried out for statistical analysis.

Results were expressed as mean and standard deviation of the mean. A P value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

The study comprised of 103 patients; 51 patients in the CC group and 52 patients in the letrozole group. Age, duration of infertility, BMI, presenting signs and symptoms were similar in both groups [Table 2].

The rate of multiple follicular development was statistically significantly, greater in the CC group (CC 45.16%, Let 20.51%, P = 0.027). The ovulation rate was 60.78% in CC group and 73.08% in Let group (P = 0.39). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in endometrial thickness on the day of HCG (CC 7.61 ± 1.96 mm, Let 7.65 ± 2.10 mm P = 0.91). Similarly, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in serum E2 on day of HCG, cervical mucus score, days to ovulation, and serum P4 on D21 [Table 3].

Pregnancy occurred in 4 out of 51 (7.84%) in CC group and in 11 out of 52 (21.56%) in the Let group, the difference was highly statistically significant (P = 0.015) [Table 4].

There were no twin pregnancies in either category. There was 1 second trimester pregnancy loss in CC group. Two patients were lost to follow-up in the letrozole group.

DISCUSSION

Clomiphene citrate (CC) has been used for ovulation

| Table 1: Cervical mucus scoring criteria |
|----------------------------------------|
| Cervical mucus score                     |
| Amount   | Scanty = 1 | Medium = 2 | Abundant = 3 |
| Quality  | Poor/(thick/ | Good (Clear, |
|          | turbid) = 1 | Watery) = 3 | |
| Spinbarkeit | <2 cm = 1 | 2-5 cm = 2 | >5 cm = 3 |

| Table 2: Patient characteristics of both CC and Let groups |
|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Patient Characteristics N = 103                            |
| CC group N = 51                                           |
| Let group N = 52                                          |
| t            | P value          |
| Age (Years)   | 26.27 ± 2.47     | 26.26 ± 2.41 | 0.97 |
| BMI (Kg/m^2)  | 25.95 ± 3.31     | 25.91 ± 3.57 | 0.067 | 0.94 |
| Duration of Infertility (Years)                           | 3.14 ± 2.16     | 3.08 ± 1.92 | 0.150 | 0.88 |
| Timed Intercourse | 70.59%       | 63.46%       | 0.44 |
| IUI            | 29.41%          | 36.54%       | 0.44 |
induction since 1960s. It is still considered first-line drug for anovulatory PCOS women. However, clomiphene resistance (15-20%), endometrial thinning, and poor cervical mucus (15-50% of cases) makes it ineffective in many situations.[13-17] Letrozole, which is an aromatase inhibitor, has been explored as a good alternative by many researchers, but the evidence about its efficacy as compared to clomiphene is conflicting.

Letrozole creates an estrogen-deficient environment by blocking conversion of androgens to estrogens. This releases the pituitary from negative feedback of estrogens and releases FSH. Also, an added positive effect is increased follicular sensitivity to FSH through amplification of FSH receptor gene expression.[18-21] Thus, ovulation induction by letrozole should be better than by CC in terms of follicular growth and endometrial development.

Letrozole has also been shown to be effective in ovulation induction in CC-resistant PCOS women.[11] Hyper-insulinemia, which is closely associated with PCOS, is thought to be one of the causative factors for CC resistance. The prevalence of insulin resistance in PCOS is close to 50%.[22] This could be one more reason for letrozole to be a better first-line drug compared to clomiphene citrate.

In our study, ovulation rate was 60.78% with CC and 73.08% with letrozole, which was not statistically significant (P = 0.398). Others reported similarly, Badawy et al.[23] (CC 70.9%, Let 67.5%), Bayer et al.[24] (CC 74.7%, Let 65.7%), and M. Zeinalzadeh et al.[25] (CC 72%, Let 86%). In majority of the studies, no statistically significant difference is found between CC and letrozole in ovulation rate. Multi-follicular development was statistically significantly higher in outstudy (CC 45.16%, Let 20.51%, t = 0.027). This is expected and corroborated by number of studies.[23-25] Letrozole resulted in mono-folliculogenesis in 79.49% of cases, which is optimal for ovulation induction in PCOS women. However, where multiple follicular development is needed, letrozole may be inadequate.

The mean endometrial thickness was slightly higher in letrozole group, 7.65 ± 2.1 compared to CC 7.61 ± 1.96. Badawy et al.[23] in their study of 438 patients with 1063 cycles, one of the largest studies comparing CC and letrozole, reported statistically significantly higher endometrial thickness in CC group (9.2 ± 0.7) vs. letrozole (8.1 ± 0.2, P = 0.021). They attributed this effect to greater number of mature follicles and higher serum E2 levels. Mitwally and Casper[8] found letrozole associated with greater endometrial thickness. Cortinez et al.[26] found normal morphologic features of endometrium and full expression of pinopodes during implantation window when letrozole was used. Few studies have shown no significant difference between the two groups with regard to effect on endometrium.[27,28] In a recent study by Banerjee et al.[29] 147 Indian women with PCOS were compared between letrozole (2.5 mg) Vs. clomiphene (100 mg). Mean endometrial development was 8.72 ± 11.41 mm in letrozole and 8.78 ± 1.16 mm in CC group (P = 0.004).

Pregnancy rate per cycle was astonishingly high with letrozole in our study (21.56%) Vs. (7.84%) (P = 0.015) Badawy et al.,[23] with 438 women (1063 cycles), reported slightly better pregnancy rate in CC group (15.1%) letrozole and 17.9% in CC group). Bayer et al.,[24] with 74 women, Zeinalzadeh et al.[25] with 107 women, both reported slightly better pregnancy rates with letrozole; however, no statistically significant difference between the two groups.

In a meta-analysis by He and Jiang,[30] the clinical efficacy and safety of letrozole was compared with clomiphene for ovulation induction in PCOS women. This is one of the largest meta-analysis of the subject published. Six RCTs involving 841 patients were analyzed. There were no significant differences in pregnancy rate, abortion rate, and multiple pregnancy rate between the two groups. The evidence from ovulation rate was not enough to support either of the drugs.

The high pregnancy rate in our study could be because of high prevalence of insulin resistance and central visceral obesity in Indian PCOS women, which predisposes to clomiphene resistance. Ganesh et al.[31] published the largest series of 1387 Indian PCOS women with clomiphene resistance. They were randomized to receive letrozole, CC + FSH or only FSH. Letrozole group had an ovulation rate of 79.30% and pregnancy rate of 23.39%. PR in the letrozole only group was highest. A similar study by Begum

| Table 3: Outcome of ovarian stimulation |
|----------------------------------------|
| CC group | Let group | t  | P value |
| N = 51    | N = 52    |    |        |
| Mono follicular development (%) | 54.85 | 79.49 | 0.027 |
| Multifollicular development (%)  | 45.16 | 20.51 | 0.027 |
| Days to ovulation (days) | 14.2 ± 3.41 | 13.13 ± 2.99 | 0.24 |
| Endometrial thickness (mm) | 7.61 ± 1.96 | 7.65 ± 2.10 | 0.105 0.91 |
| E2 of Day of HCG (pg/ml) | 178.3 ± 94.15 | 162.09 ± 73.24 | 0.977 0.33 |
| P4 on D21 (ng/ml) | 10.58 ± 6.65 | 11.86 ± 6.51 | 0.991 0.32 |
| Cervical Mucus Score on DHCG | 5.43 ± 1.14 | 5.62 ± 1.27 | 0.602 0.54 |

| Table 4: Outcome of treatment |
|-----------------------------|
| CC group | Let group | P value |
| N = 51    | N = 52    |        |
| Ovulation rate (%) | 60.78 | 73.08 | 0.39 |
| Pregnancy rate (%) | 7.84 | 21.56 | 0.0125 OR = 1.6654 |
| Multiple pregnancy | None | None | (95% CI 0.6053-4.5826) |
et al.[31] from Bangladesh, on 64 PCOS women who had failed to ovulate with CC 100 mg, showed a high PR of 40.63% with letrozole 7.5 mg compared to 15% with CC 150 mg.

CONCLUSION

Our study showed statistically significantly higher monofollicular development and pregnancy rates when used as first-line ovulation induction drug in infertile PCOS women. This enhanced response to letrozole could be related to ethnic differences in PCOS women. More Indian studies are needed to find the correlation, if any, between hyper-insulinemia, central obesity, and CC resistance in PCOS women.
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