SiASR4, the Target Gene of SiARDP from Setaria italica, Improves Abiotic Stress Adaption in Plants
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Drought and other types of abiotic stresses negatively affect plant growth and crop yields. The abscisic acid-, stress-, and ripening-induced (ASR) proteins play important roles in the protection of plants against abiotic stress. However, the regulatory pathway of the gene encoding this protein remains to be elucidated. In this study, the foxtail millet (Setaria italica) ASR gene, SiASR4, was cloned and characterized. SiASR4 localized to the cell nucleus, cytoplasm and cytomembrane, and the protein contained 102 amino acids, including an ABA/WDS (abscisic acid/water-deficit stress) domain, with a molecular mass of 11.5 kDa. The abundance of SiASR4 transcripts increased after treatment with ABA, NaCl, and PEG in foxtail millet seedlings. It has been reported that the S. italica ABA-responsive DRE-binding protein (SiARDP) binds to a DNA sequence with a CCGAC core and that there are five dehydration-responsive element (DRE) motifs within the SiASR4 promoter. Our analyses demonstrated that the SiARDP protein could bind to the SiASR4 promoter in vitro and in vivo. The expression of SiASR4 increased in SiARDP-overexpressing plants. SiASR4-transgenic Arabidopsis and SiASR4-overexpressing foxtail millet exhibited enhanced tolerance to drought and salt stress. Furthermore, the transcription of stress-responsive and reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenger-associated genes was activated in SiASR4 transgenic plants. Together, these findings show that SiASR4 functions in the adaption to drought and salt stress and is regulated by SiARDP via an ABA-dependent pathway.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants are challenged by many severe environmental conditions, among which drought and salinity are two significant abiotic stresses that reduce crop productivity. However, plants can cope with abiotic stress via intricate mechanisms, including morphological, physiological, and molecular responses (Farooq et al., 2009). A large number of proteins have been reported to be involved in abiotic stress in plants, such as molecular chaperones (Wang et al., 2004), osmoregulatory proteins (Tamura et al., 2003), ion channel proteins (Ward et al., 1995), and transcription factors (Baldoni et al., 2015). Therefore, the identification and functional analysis of stress-associated proteins is helpful for plant breeders to cultivate stress-tolerant plants (Fujita et al., 2005).

Abscisic acid-, stress-, and ripening-induced (ASR) proteins, which are types of plant-specific proteins, were first identified in cultivated tomato. They are induced by water deficit and fruit
ripening (Iusem et al., 1993). Since then, many ASR homologous proteins have been cloned from a variety of plants (Cakir et al., 2003; Kalifa et al., 2004; Virlouvet et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). ASR gene families are widely distributed in monocots, dicots, herbs, and xylphyta, but no ASR orthologs have been identified in Arabidopsis (Wong et al., 2006). ASRs are heat-stable and highly hydrophilic proteins with low molecular weights (Iusem et al., 1993). All known ASR proteins have been shown to possess a zinc-binding domain at the N-terminal end and a putative nuclear targeting signal at the C-terminal end (Cakir et al., 2003). ASRs display different subcellular localizations. Some of these proteins localize to the nucleus (Padmanabhan et al., 1997), while some are detected in both the cytoplasm and nucleus (Kalifa et al., 2004), and some are dispersed throughout the cell (Wang L. et al., 2016), likely reflecting their different functions.

There are a large number of ASRs reported in response to ABA and abiotic stress. The tomato ASR gene, SiASR1, is induced during high-salinity, osmotic and ABA treatments (Konrad and Bar-Zvi, 2008). A wheat ASR gene, TaASR1, increases drought and osmotic stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco by activating the antioxidant system and the expression levels of stress-responsive genes (Hu et al., 2013). Overexpression of banana ASR (MaASR) in Arabidopsis and rice ASRs (OsASR1 and OsASR3) in rice has been shown to enhance drought and salt tolerance, respectively, in transgenic lines (Joo et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). The loblolly pine ASR gene Ip3 is induced by water deficit mediated by ABA (Padmanabhan et al., 1997). A Salicornia brachiata ASR gene, SbASR1, enhances drought and high-salinity tolerance in transgenic groundnut (Tiwari et al., 2015). In foxtail millet, six ASR genes were identified. The expression levels of these genes are increased in response to various stress treatments. Overexpression of SiASR1 in tobacco enhances drought and oxidative tolerance by regulating oxidative-related genes (Feng et al., 2016). Because ASRs localize to the cytoplasm or nucleus, they may act as molecular chaperones or transcription factors. In tomato, the unstructured form of SiASR1 in the cytosol can stabilize a number of proteins to prevent protein denaturation caused by repeated freeze-thaw cycles. This finding suggested that SiASR1 exhibits a chaperone-like activity in the cytosol (Konrad and Bar-Zvi, 2008). In wheat, TaASR1 functioned as a positive factor in the regulation of stress-responsive and reactive oxygen species (ROS)-related gene expression in response to drought and osmotic stress (Hu et al., 2013). SbASR1 can reduce the accumulation of H₂O₂ and O₂⁻ radicals and induce the transcription of ROS scavenger-associated genes (Tiwari et al., 2015). The rice ASR gene OsASR5 binds to cis elements in the promoters of aluminum-resistance genes and regulates the expression of these genes (Arenhart et al., 2016). The transcription levels of some ABA/stress-responsive genes decrease in transgenic plants carrying the lily ASR gene LLA23, suggesting that LLA23 acts as a transcription factor mediating stress-responsive ABA signaling (Yang et al., 2005). In addition, transcriptome and proteome analyses showed that ASRs play a prominent role in the plant response to ABA and resistance to abiotic stress (Virlouvet et al., 2011; Ricardi et al., 2014). When ChIP-seq was used to analyze the target genes of tomato ASR1, some drought-stress-related and aquaporin genes were identified (Ricardi et al., 2014). Transcriptomic analysis of maize leaves revealed that ZmASR1, ZmASR2, ZmASR4, and ZmASR7-1 are upregulated under water deficit stress and that ZmASR1, ZmASR3, and ZmASR4 are upregulated under ABA treatment (Virlouvet et al., 2011).

Foxtail millet (Setaria italica), an important grain crop in China and India, can grow in arid or marginal soils (Barton et al., 2009). Because of its prominent drought tolerance, small diploid genome size (~510 Mb), self-pollination and short life cycle, it is an ideal model system for studying C₄ crops and biofuel grasses (Zhang et al., 2012; Wang Y. et al., 2016). A reference genome sequence of foxtail millet was recently generated (Bennetzen et al., 2012). Because of the remarkable drought tolerance and water use efficiency of foxtail millet, the identification of stress-relevant genes in this species is important. In the present study, an ASR gene, SiASR4, was cloned from foxtail millet cDNA. It was induced by ABA treatment as well as drought and high-salinity treatments. Overexpression of SiASR4 resulted in enhanced tolerance to abiotic stress in transgenic Arabidopsis and foxtail millet. However, there are no differences of the SiASR4-RNAi foxtail millet compared with WT plants under stress treatments. SiARDP is induced by abiotic stress and ABA treatment. It plays a critical role in response to abiotic stress (Li et al., 2014). SiASR4 binds to DRE cis-elements in the SiASR4 promoter region both in vivo and in vitro. Moreover, SiASR4 transcription is increased in SiARDP-overexpressing plants. These results showed that SiASR4 plays an important role in response to salt and drought stress, and may be regulated by SiARDP via an ABA-dependent signaling pathway. These findings reveal the potential of the application of SiASR4 to engineer other crops with improved resistance to drought and salt stress.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

Foxtail millet (S. italica; Jigu 11) seeds were germinated on moist filter paper for 24 h at 28°C and then planted in a soil mixture (nutrient soil: Vermiculite, 1:1, v/v) in a growth chamber (16-h light/8-h dark, 26–28°C, 60% relative humidity). After 2 weeks, the soil mixture on the seedling roots were washed away, and the seedlings were transferred to 1/4 Hoagland solution for 3 days. They were then subjected to treatment with 100 mM NaCl, 20% PEG6000 or 10 μM ABA and were harvested at the indicated times. Seedlings cultured in 1/4 Hoagland were used as controls. For tissue expression analyses, the leaves, stems and roots of 2-week-old seedlings and mature seeds were harvested. All samples were stored at −80°C after being frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) were surface-sterilized and plated on MS medium containing 2% sucrose and 0.8% agar and incubated for 72 h at 4°C before being transferred to 22°C and a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod for germination. After 5 days, the seedlings were planted in a soil mixture (nutrient soil: Vermiculite, 1:1, v/v) and grown in the same conditions. Nicotiana benthamiana seeds were planted in a potting soil mixture (nutrient soil: Vermiculite, 1:1, v/v) and grown in a
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growth chamber under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod for germination at 22–23°C.

**RNA Extraction and RNA Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from foxtail millet and *Arabidopsis* using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). After digestion with DNasel (Takara, Japan), 3–5 μg of total RNA was prepared, and cDNA was synthesized via reverse transcription using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, USA). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using 2 × Taq PCR StarMix with Loading Dye (GenStar, China). The PCR conditions were 95°C for 5 min, followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, with a final step at 72°C for 10 min. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using 2 × Ultra SYBR Mixture (CW BIO, China) on a qTower 2.2 Real-Time PCR System (AnalytikJena, Germany). The PCR conditions were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 1 min. Relative gene expression levels were calculated using the 2^−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

**GUS Staining**

For GUS staining, fresh plant samples were immersed in GUS staining buffer containing a mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide (X-Gluc) solution in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) with 0.5 M EDTA, 5 mM FeK3(CN)6, 5 mM FeK2(CN)6, and 0.1% Triton X-100. Following vacuum infiltration, the samples were stained at 37°C overnight, and the GUS staining solution was then replaced with 70% ethanol for decolorization. Finally, the samples were photographed under a dissecting microscope (Olympus SEX16, Japan).

**Subcellular Localization of the SiASR4 Protein**

To generate a GFP-fusion protein, the coding sequence of SiASR4 without the stop codon was amplified and inserted into the *Bam*HI and *Sma*I sites of the pROK219-GFP plasmid and the *Xba*I/*Kpn*I sites of the pSuper1300-GFP plasmid. The pROK219-GFP and pROK219-SiASR4-GFP plasmids were used for transformation. Foxtail millet seeds were germinated on moist filter paper for 24 h at 28°C and then grown in pots filled with nutrient soil and vermiculite mixed 1:1 (v/v) under 12 h light/12 h dark conditions at 28°C for 3 days, after which they were moved to dark conditions for 4–6 days. Stem and leaf tissues were cut into 0.5–1-mm-wide strips. Protoplasts were prepared and transformed according to the procedure described by Zhang et al. (2011). To prepare protoplasts, the tissues were transferred into 0.6 M mannitol and incubated for 10 min to plasmolyse, and then enzyme solution was used to digest tissues in the dark for 4–5 h. The protoplasts were collected through 74 μm nylon meshes. For transformation, 200 μL protoplasts (1 × 10^6 cells mL^−1) were mixed with 20 μg plasmid DNA and 220 μL 40% PEG, and the samples were cultured for 16–20 h. Fluorescence was observed using a confocal laser microscope (Leica HQ).

The pSuper1300-GFP and pSuper1300-SiASR4-GFP plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium* strain EHA105. The *Agrobacterium* lines were then resuspended in transformation buffer and infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. These plants were grown at 22–23°C for 3 days, and fluorescence in the leaves was imaged using a confocal laser microscope (Leica HQ).

**Transcriptional Activation Assay in Yeast Cells**

Transcriptional activation of SiASR4 was performed in the yeast strain YRG-2. The full-length SiASR4 sequence was inserted into the EcoRI and *Sma*I sites of the pBD-GAL4 plasmid (Stratagene, USA), driven by the yeast alcohol dehydrogenase1 (ADH1) promoter. The pBD-GAL4 and pGAL4 plasmids were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. The plasmids were transformed into yeast cells independently. These transformed yeast cells were grown on SD/Trp- or SD/Trp-/His- medium for 3 days at 30°C.

**Generation of SiASR4 Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants**

For SiASR4 overexpression in *Arabidopsis*, the full-length SiASR4 sequence without the stop codon fused to a flag tag was amplified via RT-PCR and cloned into the modified binary vector pCAMBIA2300 at the *Kpn*I and *Sac*I sites, controlled by the CaMV 35S promoter. For the SiASR4 promoter assay, the putative SiASR4 promoter was amplified and inserted into the pCAMBIA1391 vector at the *Hind*III and *Eco*RI sites to drive the GUS gene. The plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101. Infection of *Arabidopsis* Col-0 was performed using the floral dip method (Zhang et al., 2006). Developing floral tissues were dipped into *Agrobacterium* solution containing 5% sucrose and Silwett-77 (50 μL L^−1). After inoculation, the plants were placed under a plastic dome for 12–24 h and then grown under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod at 22°C. Seeds were obtained following self-pollination. The *SiASR4* and *proSiASR4* transgenic *Arabidopsis* seedlings were selected on MS medium containing kanamycin (50 μg/mL) or hygromycin (15 μg/mL) respectively and then confirmed by PCR. The expression of *SiASR4* in transgenic *Arabidopsis* was determined by semi-qRT-PCR using *SiASR4* (qRT)-F/R primer pairs (Table S1). Three independent homozygous T3 lines with kanamycin resistance and high expression levels of *SiASR4* were chosen for further studies.

**Transformation and Regeneration of SiASR4 Transgenic Foxtail Millet**

To construct the *SiASR4*-overexpressing vector, the coding region fused to a flag tag at the C-terminus was amplified and inserted into the *Bam*HI and *Sac*I sites of the modified binary vector pCOU under the control of the ubiquitin promoter. To generate *SiASR4*-RNAi constructs, the *SiASR4* sequence with *Kpn*I and *Sac*I sites was amplified and inserted between the GUS gene and the NOS terminator, and the *SiASR4* promoter was amplified and inserted into the *CaMV 35S* promoter. For the *SiASR4* promoter assay, the putative *SiASR4* promoter was amplified and inserted into the pCAMBIA1391 vector at the *Hind*III and *Eco*RI sites to drive the GUS gene. The plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium* strain EHA105. The *Agrobacterium* lines were then resuspended in transformation buffer and infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. These plants were grown at 22–23°C for 3 days, and fluorescence in the leaves was imaged using a confocal laser microscope (Leica HQ).
was performed according to a previously described method (Wang et al., 2011). 0.5–1-cm-long immature inflorescences of the greenhouse-grown Jigu 11 foxtail millet were used as explants. They were cultured on callus induction medium for 30 days to induce embryogenic calli. For transformation, the embryogenic calli were soaked in *Agrobacterium* infection medium (OD$_{600}$ ≈ 0.4–0.6) and inoculated for 20 min. Co-cultivation was performed in the dark at 22°C for 3 days on co-cultivation medium. After co-cultivation, the explants were incubated in the dark at 26°C on resting medium (150 mg/L timentin) for recovery. After 7 days, calli were cultured on selection medium (5 mg/L hygromycin and 150 mg/L timentin) for 2 weeks and then subcultured on selection medium (10 mg/L hygromycin and 150 mg/L timentin) for further 2 weeks, after which they were transferred to regeneration medium (150 mg/L timentin) under a 16-h light/8-h dark condition at 26°C and subcultured every 2 weeks. The regenerated plants were then rooted on rooting medium and further grown in the greenhouse. The *SiASR4*-overexpressing and *SiASR4*-RNAi foxtail millet were determined by PCR using a primer pairs of *SiASR4*-OE-F/R or *SiASR4*-RNAI-F/R, respectively (Table S1). The expression of *SiASR4* in transgenic foxtail millet was examined by qRT-PCR using *SiASR4* (qRTH)-F/R primer pairs (Table S1). Two independent lines of T2 generation were chosen for further studies.

**Stress Treatment of Transgenic Arabidopsis**

For the germination-stage salt stress analysis, Col-0 and *SiASR4* transgenic *Arabidopsis* seeds were sown on MS medium containing 0, 75, or 100 mM NaCl for 10 days in a growth chamber under 16 h-light/8 h-dark conditions at 22°C. Subsequently, they were photographed, and the fresh weights of Col-0 and transgenic *Arabidopsis* were measured. For the early growth assay under salt stress, Col-0 and transgenic *Arabidopsis* were grown vertically on MS medium for 5 days in a growth chamber under 16 h-light/8 h-dark conditions at 22°C and then transferred to MS medium containing 0, 150, or 200 mM NaCl for 7 days. For dehydration treatment, Col-0 and transgenic *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown on MS medium were transferred to soil for an additional 2 weeks. Irrigation was stopped for 18 days and then resumed for 5 days. Survival rates were calculated, and the chlorophyll content was measured.

**Stress Treatment of Transgenic Foxtail Millet**

For the germination-stage analysis, seeds of WT, *SiASR4*-overexpressing and *SiASR4*-RNAi transgenic foxtail millet were surface-sterilized and germinated on filter paper containing H$_2$O, 100 mM NaCl, 5 μM ABA, and 15% PEG in a growth chamber under 16 h-light/8 h-dark conditions at 28°C. The number of germinated seeds was subsequently counted at 2, 4, 6, and 8 days, and shoot and root lengths were measured on the 6th day. The germination stress index was determined using the following formula: Germination stress index = (germination index under stress treatment/germination index under control treatment) × 100; germination index = [(d2+0.75d4+0.5d6+0.25d8)/total seed number] × 100 (where d2, d4, d6, and d8 represent the number of germinated seeds at 2, 4, 6, and 8 days, respectively).

For the drought stress treatment in soil, 3-week-old WT, *SiASR4*-overexpressing and *SiASR4*-RNAi transgenic foxtail millet seedlings were deprived of water for 13 days, and then watering was resumed for 5 days. For the salt stress treatment in soil, 3-week-old WT, *SiASR4*-overexpressing and *SiASR4*-RNAi transgenic foxtail millet seedlings were irrigated with water supplemented with 400 mM NaCl every 7 days for 20 days. Survival rates were calculated, and the chlorophyll content was measured.

**Analysis of Chlorophyll Contents**

The fresh weights of WT and transgenic plant seedlings were determined, and the seedlings were placed in 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes. Total chlorophyll was extracted with 80% acetone through incubation in the dark for 12 h at room temperature. The samples were then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min, and the absorbance was measured at 663 and 645 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek, USA). The chlorophyll content was calculated according to Li et al. (2015): Chlorophyll a = 12.7A663 – 2.69A645; chlorophyll b = 22.9A645 – 4.68A663; total chlorophyll = chlorophyll a + chlorophyll b.

**SiASR4-Regulated Gene Expression Analysis**

Total RNA from Col-0 *Arabidopsis* and *SiASR4* transgenic *Arabidopsis* was used to analyze the expression levels of *AtSOD1* (AT1G08830), *AtCAT3* (AT1G20620), *AtSOS1* (AT2G01980), *AtLTP3* (AT5G59320), and *Rd29B* (AT5G52300) via qRT-PCR. Total RNA from Jigu 11 foxtail millet and *SiASR4*-overexpressing foxtail millet was used to analyze the expression levels of *SiSOD* (Seita.9G403600), *SiCAT* (Seita.4G286700), *SiSOS1* (Seita.3G409000), and *SiLTP* (Seita.8G013500) through
qRT-PCR. The primers employed for these assays are shown in Table S1.

**Histochemical Staining for H$_2$O$_2$**

Three-week-old Col-0 and transgenic *Arabidopsis* grown in pots were not watered and were subjected to salt treatment with 400 mM NaCl for 6 days, respectively, after which the fourth and fifth rosette leaves were harvested. H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation was detected with diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB). The leaf samples were immersed in DAB solution (1 mg ml$^{-1}$ in water, pH 3.8) and incubated for 4–5 h in the dark with gentle shaking. Following staining, the DAB solution was replaced with bleaching solution (ethanol: Acetic acid: Glycerol = 3:1:1), and the samples were boiled in water at 95°C for 15 min.

**Yeast One-Hybrid Assay**

The bait sequence and mutant bait sequence were synthesized and cloned into the yeast vector pAbAi at the HindIII and XhoI sites (Table S1). According to the protocol of the Yeastmaker™ Yeast Transformation SystemII (Clontech, USA), the bait vectors were linearized through BstBI digestion and transformed into the yeast strain Y1HGold. Positive clones were screened on SD/Ura- medium containing different concentrations of Aureobasidin A (AbA). The SiARDP gene was inserted into the NdeI and XhoI sites of the pGADT7-AD vector according to Li et al. (2014). The resulting plasmid was then transformed into the bait and mutant bait strains and grown on SD/Leu- medium containing 800 ng/ml AbA at 30°C for 3 days.

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)**

SiARDP was cloned into the pGEX-TEV vector which contained a GST tag, by Li et al. (2014). This fusion protein was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 cells and purified using Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE, USA). Oligonucleotides and their reverse complementary oligonucleotides were synthesized. These sequences are shown in Figure 10. The probe sequence was labeled with 5′ biotin. Double-stranded probe, competitor probes and mutant probes were obtained through annealing. The reaction mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and then analyzed via polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed using the LightShift® Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Thermo, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Isolation and Sequence Analysis of Full-Length SiASR4 cDNA**

A full-length ASR gene (Seita.5G463800) was isolated from foxtail millet and designated SiASR4. Sequence analysis revealed that the full-length SiASR4 cDNA has a 309-bp opening reading frame (ORF), encoding 102 amino acids, with a predicted molecular mass of 11.5 kDa and an isoelectric point of 10.57. BLASTX analysis showed that SiASR4 has two highly conserved regions: A small N-terminal consensus of 12 amino acids containing a stretch of four His residues, which is typical of zinc-binding regions, and a longer C-terminal region of 80 amino acids with an ABA/WDS domain and a putative signal for nuclear targeting (Figure 1A). Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the closest homolog to SiASR4 in grass species is the green grass ASR protein, followed by rice and switch grass ASR clones. Here, we chose one of the closest homologs to SiASR4 from each grass species as a representative. As shown in Figure 1B, the results suggested that the SiASR4 sequence obtained in this study is a member of the ASR family of foxtail millet.

**Expression of the SiASR4 Gene in Different Tissues**

We examined the expression levels of SiASR4 in different foxtail millet tissues via qRT-PCR. SiASR4 was expressed in the leaves, stems, roots, and mature seeds, and the transcript levels were highest in mature seeds, followed by the roots, while the lowest level was detected in the leaves and stems (Figure 2A). We also isolated the putative SiASR4 promoter region and confirmed it by sequencing. To characterize the temporal and spatial expression patterns of SiASR4, the GUS gene was driven by the SiASR4 promoter and transformed into *Arabidopsis* (Figure 2B). GUS staining of transgenic *Arabidopsis* revealed GUS activity in the cotyledons, hypocotyls, stems, leaves, roots, flowers, and seeds, similar to foxtail millet, which indicated that SiASR4 is a constitutively expressed gene (Figure 2C).

**SiASR4 Transcription Is Induced by PEG, ABA, and NaCl**

To determine the response of SiASR4 to abiotic stress, PEG, NaCl, and ABA treatments were applied. Then, qRT-PCR was performed, and the results showed that the expression levels of SiASR4 increased in response to 20% PEG6000, 100 mM NaCl and 10 µM ABA treatment (Figure 3). In response to NaCl and ABA treatment, SiASR4 transcription increased after 1 h and peaked at 9 h (Figures 3A,C). In response to PEG treatment, SiASR4 expression was induced to peak levels at 12 h and was maintained at similarly high levels at 9 h (Figure 3B). The level of SiASR4 transcription decreased dramatically after 24 h of treatment. These results indicated that SiASR4 may be involved in salt and drought stress responses via an ABA-dependent signaling pathway.

**Subcellular Localization and Transcriptional Activation of SiASR4**

To investigate the subcellular localization of SiASR4, a transient expression assay was performed with the SiASR4-GFP fusion protein and GFP alone (as a control) in *N. benthamiana* leaves. The fluorescence of SiASR4-GFP was distributed throughout whole cells in *N. benthamiana* leaves (Figures 4A,B), as was that of GFP. To confirm this result, the GFP and SiASR4-GFP fusion genes driven by the CaMV 35S promoter were transiently expressed in foxtail millet protoplasts. The results obtained in protoplasts showed that SiASR4 localized to the cell nucleus, cytoplasm and cytomembrane (Figures 4C,D).
FIGURE 1 | Multiple amino acid sequence alignment and phylogenetic relationships of the SiASR4 protein and its homologs in grass. (A) Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of SiASR4 with ASRs from grass species. The zinc-binding region is underlined in area (A); the ABA/WDS domain is underlined in area (B); and the putative nuclear targeting signal is underlined in area (C). (B) Phylogenetic relationship of the SiASR4 protein and its homologs in grass. SiASR4 is highlighted by a dark pentagram.
To investigate the transcriptional activity of SiASR4, the yeast transcriptional activation system was used. Yeast cells were transformed with the pBD-GAL4-SiASR4 plasmid. All transformants grew well on SD/Trp-/His-medium (Figures S1A,B). These results indicated that SiASR4 lacked transcriptional activity in yeast cells.

**SiASR4 Improves Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Transgenic Arabidopsis**

To assess the function of SiASR4 in stress tolerance, transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing SiASR4 under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter were generated. SiASR4 transgenic lines were obtained using a floral dip method. Transgenic T1 seedlings were selected on MS medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml kanamycin and then confirmed by PCR. Finally, 18 SiASR4-overexpressing transgenic Arabidopsis plants were obtained and three independent homozygous SiASR4 T3 lines (L11, L12, and L13) with high expression levels were chosen for further functional analyses (Figure 5A).

Under normal conditions, there were no obvious differences in fresh weight between transgenic and wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis in either the seed germination or growth stage. However, the transgenic plants grew better than wild-type plants on MS medium supplemented with 75 or 100 mM NaCl (Figure 5B). They displayed larger cotyledons than WT plants, and the fresh weights of the transgenic lines were significantly greater than those of WT plants (Figures 5B,D). However, when grown on MS medium containing different concentrations of mannitol or ABA, the WT and transgenic lines did not exhibit an obvious difference (Figures S2A,B). These results indicated that SiASR4 can enhance salt stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis in both the seed germination and growth stages.

To evaluate the effect of abiotic stress during the seedling stage, 5-day-old Arabidopsis grown in normal medium were transferred to MS medium containing different concentrations of NaCl, mannitol and ABA for 7 days. There were no obvious differences between the transgenic and WT plants under normal conditions or in response to mannitol and ABA (Figures S3A,B). When grown on the MS medium containing 150 or 200 mM NaCl, the transgenic plants showed more robust growth than WT plants (Figure 5C), which was characterized by a significant increase in the number of lateral roots and chlorophyll content (Figures 5E,F). These results showed that WT plants were more sensitive than transgenic plants to salt stress during the seedling stage.

To further assess the function of SiASR4 during salt and drought tolerance, the corresponding treatments were applied to 3-week-old transgenic and WT plants grown under normal conditions. After irrigation with water supplemented with 400 mM NaCl for 14 days, more WT than transgenic plants were bleached (Figure 6A). Approximately 38% of the WT plants survived, while the survival rates of the transgenic lines were greater than 80% (Figure 6B). The chlorophyll content was increased in the transgenic lines compared with WT plants during salt stress treatment (Figure 6C). For the drought stress treatment, the plants were not irrigated for 18 days. When the plants were rewatered and grown for 5 days, almost all of the WT seedlings died (Figure 6D), while approximately 90% of transgenic plants survived (Figure 6E). The chlorophyll content was decreased by at least 50% in WT plants compared with the transgenic lines (Figure 6F). These results indicated that SiASR4 could enhance drought and salt tolerance in soil conditions. Considering the obtained results together, we concluded that SiASR4 functions in the stress response of Arabidopsis.

**Overexpression of SiASR4 Increases the Abiotic Stress Tolerance of Foxtail Millet**

To further investigate the role of SiASR4, we obtained SiASR4-overexpressing and RNAi transgenic foxtail millet lines confirmed by PCR. Based on the qRT-PCR results, two overexpressing lines (OE13 and OE24) and two RNAi transgenic lines (RNAi53 and RNAi62) were chosen for further analysis (Figures 7A,B).

First, we examined abiotic stress tolerance in the transgenic lines during the germination stage. Transgenic and WT foxtail millet seeds were germinated under different treatments.
FIGURE 3 | Expression pattern of \textit{SiASR4} in response to different stress treatments. Two-week-old foxtail millet seedlings were treated independently with (A) 100 mM NaCl, (B) 20\% (m/v) PEG6000 and (C) 10 \textmu M ABA for the indicated times. Transcription levels were analyzed via qRT-PCR. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (n = 3).

There were no apparent differences in shoot or root length between the transgenic and WT lines exposed to water for 6 days. When germinated in 5 \textmu M ABA, 100 mM NaCl, or 15\% PEG for 6 days, the growth of the \textit{SiASR4}-overexpressing lines was significantly better than that of WT plants, and the shoot and root lengths of \textit{SiASR4}-overexpressing lines were dramatically longer compared with WT. However, there was no obvious suppression of the \textit{SiASR4}-RNAi lines compared with WT, except with respect to shoot length after treatment with 100 mM NaCl (Figures 7C–E). We further analyzed the germination stress index after 8 days. The germination stress index was higher in the \textit{SiASR4}-overexpressing lines compared with WT, but no differences were observed between \textit{SiASR4}-RNAi and WT (Figure 7F). These results implied that overexpression of \textit{SiASR4} in foxtail millet enhanced resistance to drought and salt, and these plants were insensitive to ABA during the germination stage.

To analyze the performance of transgenic lines in response to drought and salt treatment in soil, 3-week-old \textit{SiASR4}-overexpressing, \textit{SiASR4}-RNAi and WT seedlings were subjected to the corresponding treatments. For drought stress treatment, seedlings were grown under water stress conditions for 13 days and then rewatered and grown under normal conditions for 5
SiASR4 Regulates ROS Scavenger-Associated and Stress-Responsive Gene Expression

Abiotic stresses, such as drought and high-salinity, can induce the production of ROS (Foreman et al., 2003). It has been reported that TaASR1 and SbASR1 induce the transcription of ROS scavenger-associated genes and reduce the accumulation of ROS (Hu et al., 2013; Tiwari et al., 2015). To analyze the role of SiASR4 in ROS scavenging in transgenic Arabidopsis, 3-week-old Col-0 and transgenic Arabidopsis grown in pots were not watered and were treated with salt for 6 days, respectively. The fourth and fifth rosette leaves were then harvested and stained with DAB to detect H_2O_2 production. The results showed that the levels of DAB staining in H_2O_2 in rosette leaves were similar among the Col-0 and transgenic lines under normal conditions. After drought and salt treatment, SiASR4 transgenic Arabidopsis accumulated less H_2O_2 than Col-0 Arabidopsis (Figure 9A), indicating that overexpression of SiASR4 reduces ROS accumulation in transgenic Arabidopsis.

To analyze the expression of ROS scavenger-associated genes in transgenic Arabidopsis, the AtCAT3 and AtSOD1 genes, which are involved in ROS detoxification, were selected. Without stress treatment, the expression of AtCAT3 and AtSOD1 was increased significantly in transgenic compared with WT Arabidopsis (Figure 9B). We further analyzed the expression levels of SiCAT and SiSOD in SiASR4-overexpressing foxtail millet. The results showed that the ROS scavenger-associated genes were upregulated in SiASR4-overexpressing foxtail millet (Figure 9C).
Furthermore, we analyzed expression of stress-responsive genes, the SiSOS1 and SiLTP genes of foxtail millet, and the AtSOS1, AtLTP3, and Rd29B genes of Arabidopsis by qRT-PCR. The results showed that the expression of these genes was increased markedly in SiASR4-overexpressing foxtail millet and Arabidopsis under normal conditions (Figures 9D,E). These results indicated that overexpression of SiASR4 in foxtail millet and Arabidopsis enhances the expression of ROS scavenger-associated and stress-responsive genes.

**SiARDP Binds to DRE Motifs in the Promoter of SiASR4**

Because SiASR4 responded to drought and salt stress and ABA treatment, we analyzed the SiASR4 promoter and identified five DRE motifs. SiARDP, which we had previously cloned from foxtail millet, is a transcription factor that can bind to DRE elements (Li et al., 2014). To assess whether SiARDP is involved in the regulation of SiASR4 in vivo and in vitro, yeast one-hybrid assays and EMSAs were performed. One bait sequence in the SiASR4 promoter containing the DRE element (GCCGAC) was inserted into a bait plasmid. Simultaneously, a mutated DRE element (AAAAAA) sequence was also prepared (Table S1) and transferred plasmids to yeast cells; 800 ng/mL ABA suppressed the basal expression. Additionally, SiARDP was inserted into the pGADT7-AD plasmid, and the resulting plasmid was then transformed into the bait strain or mutated strain. The bait strain yeast cells transformed with pGADT7-SiARDP could grow on medium lacking Leu and containing 800 ng/mL ABA, but the
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mutant strain yeast cells with pGADT7-SiARDP did not grow (Figure 10A).

We also conducted an EMSA experiment. The sequence containing the DRE element was used as a probe (P). Full-length SiARDP was expressed as a glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion protein in E. coli. The results showed that SiARDP could bind to the probe. The addition of different concentrations of unlabeled probes reduced the binding signal to various degrees, but the addition of mutant probes did not reduce the binding signal (Figures 10B,D). These results suggested that SiARDP specifically bound to the DRE core element in the SiASR4 promoter.

**FIGURE 7** | Assessment of NaCl, PEG and ABA stress on SiASR4-overexpressing and SiASR4-RNAi foxtail millet during the germination stage. (A) Diagram of the T-DNA region of the binary vectors pCOU-SiASR4-flag and pCOU-SiASR4-RNAi. (B) Expression levels of WT and transgenic foxtail millet determined via qRT-PCR. Foxtail millet actin (AF288226) served as a normalization control. (C) Treatment with various types of abiotic stresses and ABA in transgenic and WT foxtail millet during the germination stage. The seeds were germinated on filter paper containing H$_2$O, 100 mM NaCl, 5 µM ABA, or 15% PEG. The experiment comprised three replicates. (D,E) Analysis of shoot and root length in (C) on day six. (F) Analysis of the germination stress index of WT and transgenic foxtail millet. For (D–F), error bars represent ±SD, *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at P < 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 (Student’s t-test), respectively.
To further analyze whether SiASR4 is regulated by SiARDP in vivo, we examined the expression level of SiASR4 in SiARDP-overexpressing foxtail millet. Two independent homozygous SiARDP-overexpressing T3 lines were chosen for the analysis. qRT-PCR showed that the expression of SiASR4 was upregulated at least 6-fold in SiARDP transgenic foxtail millet (Figure 10C). Based on these results, SiASR4 was likely regulated by SiARDP in foxtail millet.
FIGURE 9 | SiASR4 regulates stress-responsive and ROS scavenger-associated gene expression levels in transgenic Arabidopsis and foxtail millet. (A) Overexpression of SiASR4 reduces ROS accumulation in Arabidopsis in response to drought and salt stress treatment. Three-week-old Arabidopsis were not watered and were treated with 400 mM NaCl for 6 days, respectively. The fourth and fifth rosette leaves were harvested and then stained with DAB to detect H$_2$O$_2$ production. (B) Expression of ROS scavenger-associated genes in Arabidopsis. (C) Expression of ROS scavenger-associated genes in foxtail millet. (D) Expression of stress-responsive genes in foxtail millet. (E) Expression of stress-responsive genes in Arabidopsis. UBQ5 (At3g62250) was used as a normalization control in Arabidopsis. Actin (AF288228) was used as a normalization control in foxtail millet. Error bars represent ±SD. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at $P < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001 (Student’s t-test), respectively.

DISCUSSION

Since the first discovery of tomato ASR1, more than 20 years have passed. An increasing number of ASR families have been characterized in various plants. The functions of these proteins are involved in the responses to ABA and abiotic stress as well as the process of fruit ripening (Kalifa et al., 2004). However, foxtail millet, which is an important crop in China, has been less well-studied than other crops, and the ASR regulatory pathway in this species has been unclear. In the present study, the ASR gene...
family in foxtail millet was analyzed using the Phytozome BLAST algorithm and five SiASR genes were identified (Figure 1B). However, Feng et al. (2016) reported that there are six SiASR genes in foxtail millet. This difference may be due to the different version of Phytozome. The newest version of Phytozome v11 was used in the present work. Further analysis showed that these five SiASR genes expressions were induced by ABA treatment as well as NaCl, PEG and low temperature (4°C) treatments. What’s more, SiASR4 transcription was highly induced in response to abiotic stresses (data not shown). As a result, the SiASR4 protein also contains a putative nuclear targeting signal and is distributed throughout whole cells (Figure 4). This result suggested that SiASR4 may play a dual role as a transcription factor and a protective protein. In SiASR4-overexpressing plants, the expression levels of stress-responsive and ROS scavenger-associated genes were upregulated under normal conditions. This finding suggested that SiASR4 may function as a transcription factor. Unfortunately, transcriptional activation of SiASR4 was not detected in yeast (Figure S1). In plants, the transcription of some transcription factors must be regulated by phosphorylation. Phosphorylation site prediction for SiASR4 showed that the SiASR4 protein exhibited three phosphorylation sites (data not shown). We speculate that in yeast cells, SiASR4 may not be activated by phosphorylation. However, whether SiASR4 acts as a transcription factor remains to be investigated.

ASR proteins play important roles in abiotic stress tolerance in most plants, as observed for OsASR1, ZmASR1, and TaASR1

---

**Figure 10**: SiARDP binds to DRE element in the SiASR4 promoter and regulates SiASR4 expression. (A) Yeast one-hybrid assays showing that SiARDP binds to the SiASR4 promoter. (B) The probe and competitor probe sequences used for EMSA. (C) SiARDP binding to the DRE element is shown in the presence of different concentrations of competitors. (D) Expression levels of SiASR4 in SiARDP transgenic foxtail millet. Error bars represent ±SD. ** and *** indicate statistical significance at *P* < 0.01 and 0.001 (Student’s *t*-test), respectively.
Overexpression of SiASR4 improved drought and high-salinity stress resistance in transgenic plants. Our results are consistent with a previous report, and the gene was found to show greater functionality in the late growth stage. The Arabidopsis genome lacks ASR homolog (Wong et al., 2006), whereas SiASR4 functions in Arabidopsis. This result suggested the presence of similar pathways in transgenic Arabidopsis. Furthermore, overexpression of SiASR4 enhanced drought and salt tolerance in foxtail millet (Figures 7, 8), which is consistent with the expression patterns of SiASR4 observed in response to NaCl and PEG treatment (Figure 3). However, the SiASR4-RNAi lines were not markedly more sensitive to drought and salt stress than WT plants. This finding may be explained by functional redundancy of the SiASR gene family members.

ABA mediates the adaptation of plants to abiotic stress, such as drought and high salinity (Busk and Pagès, 1998), and many ASR genes are induced by ABA treatment (Ricardi et al., 2014). In the present study, SiASR4 transgenic Arabidopsis did not respond to exogenous ABA treatment in either the germination or seedling stage (Figures S2B, S3B), whereas SiASR4-overexpressing foxtail millet was more insensitive to exogenous ABA than WT plants (Figure 7). These findings indicated that SiASR4 participates in an ABA-dependent pathway during the abiotic stress response in foxtail millet. In SiASR4 transgenic Arabidopsis, SiASR4 did not respond to ABA treatment and may therefore belong to other signaling pathways that play a role in abiotic stress.

In previous studies, LTP and Rd29B were found to be induced by drought and salt stress (Torres-Schumann et al., 1992; Trevino and O’Connell, 1998; Fu et al., 2014) and SOS1 to be induced by salt stress (Shi et al., 2000). Overexpression of SiASR4 induced the expression of stress-responsive genes in transgenic Arabidopsis and foxtail millet (Figures 9D,E). There was a positive relationship between stress-responsive genes and stress tolerance in SiASR4-overexpressing plants. These results indicated that SiASR4 enhanced stress tolerance by regulating these genes. Salinity and drought induce the generation of ROS (Singh et al., 2016). The wheat ASR gene TaASR1 mediates resistance to ROS-mediated injury during drought stress (Hu et al., 2013). Overexpression of SiASR4 reduced the accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ detected by DAB staining during drought and salt treatment. Overexpression of SiASR4 also induced the expression of ROS scavenger-associated genes in transgenic Arabidopsis and foxtail millet (Figures 9A–C). These data indicated that drought and salt stress tolerance in SiASR4-overexpressing lines may be correlated with the ability to reduce ROS accumulation. However, further investigation is needed to validate this hypothesis.

To gain further insight into the molecular mechanism underlying the function of SiASR4, the cis-element of the SiASR4 promoter was identified. The promoter region of SiASR4 was found to contain five DRE core elements and to be induced by different stress treatments. DREB transcription factors bind to DRE elements and are important in abiotic stress signal transduction pathways. SiARDP has been reported to be involved in ABA-dependent signaling pathway underlying salt and drought stress (Li et al., 2014). In the present study, SiASR4 transcription was shown to be upregulated by drought, salt, and exogenous ABA treatments, consistent with the expression pattern of SiARDP observed in response to abiotic stress treatment. Yeast one-hybrid assays and EMSAs showed that SiARDP binds to the DRE element (GCCGAC) of the SiARDP promoter. SiASR4 transcription was induced in SiARDP-overexpressing foxtail millet (Figure 10). These results indicated that SiASR4 may be regulated by SiARDP in foxtail millet during drought and salt stress via an ABA-dependent pathway.

In summary, the results of this study demonstrated that overexpression of SiASR4 enhanced salt and drought stress in Arabidopsis and foxtail millet. These processes may activate the antioxidant system and regulate the expression of stress-related genes. Furthermore, SiARDP can bind to the DRE core element of the SiASR4 promoter and activate the expression of SiASR4. Although the precise mechanism by which SiASR4 enhances abiotic stress must be confirmed in further investigations, our results demonstrated that SiASR4 plays an important role in plant salt and drought stress resistance.
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Figure S1 | Transcriptional activation activity assay for SiASR4. + indicates transformants carrying the pGA4 vector, as the positive control. – indicates transformants carrying the pBD-GAL4 vector, as the negative control.

Figure S2 | Assay for mannitol and ABA treatments in SiASR4 transgenic Arabidopsis during the germination stage. (A) Analysis of the germination of Col-0 and transgenic Arabidopsis during mannitol treatment. Seedlings were germinated and grown for 10 days on MS medium containing 0, 100, or 200 mM mannitol. (B) Col-0 and transgenic Arabidopsis were germinated under ABA treatment. The seedlings were germinated and grown for 10 days on MS medium containing 0, 0.5, or 1 μM ABA.
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