Enzyme mediated synthesis of hybrid polyedric gold nanoparticles

Célia Arib1, Jolanda Spadavecchia1,2* & Marc Lamy de la Chapelle2

Enzyme mediated synthesis of hybrid polyedric gold nanoparticles

Large protein complexes carry out some of the most complex activities in biology1,2. Such structures are often assembled spontaneously through the process of self-assembly and have characteristic chemical or biological assets in the cellular mechanisms3. Gold-based nanomaterials have attracted much attention in many areas of chemistry, physics and biosciences because of their size- and shape-dependent optic, electric, and catalytic properties. Here we report for the first time a one step synthesis in which Manganese Superoxide Dismutase protein plays a key role in the reduction of gold salts via the use of a Good’s buffer (HEPES) to produce gold nanoparticles, compared to other proteins as catalase (CAT) and bovine serum albumin (BSA). We prove that this effect is directly related with the biological activities of the proteins that have an effect on the gold reduction mechanisms. Such synthesis route also induces the integration of proteins directly in the AuNPs that are intrinsically safe by design using a one-step production method. This is an important finding that will have uses in various applications, particularly in the green synthesis of novel nanomaterials.

Synthesis of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs)1–3 involves the chemical reduction of chloroauric acid (HAuCl4) typically using sodium borohydride (NaBH4) and/or sodium citrate as the reducing agents4–6. Currently, seeded growth chemical protocols are regularly applied to efficiently synthesize uniform AuNPs larger than 40 nm7–10. The applications of AuNPs in medicine needed some safe by design NPs and the possibility to enhance their furtivity for a better spreading in the body and biocompatibility to avoid therapeutic side effect. Thus the environmental friendly approach to synthesize AuNPs by using natural macromolecules has been attracted growing interest in the last few decades11–14. Several natural compounds as chitosan15,16, cellulose17, spider-silk fiber18 were reported as reducing agent of the Au3+ for the “green” synthesis and stabilization of well-dispersed AuNPs. Among the biomacromolecules, proteins that have been already used in nanoparticle synthesis11,19–21 with various shapes as they are the ideal candidate for the synthesis of highly biocompatible AuNPs.

Recently, Spadavecchia et al. proposed a new method for the synthesis of AuNPs to be used as nano-therapeutics agent based on drugs-gold-complex22–25. In this method called “Method IN”, the drug interacts actively with gold salt (HAuCl4) by chelation bond to forms drug-Au complex in presence of biocompatible polymer molecules (PEG diacide) used as surfactant, and NaBH4 as reducing agent. As a result hybrid AuNPs are produced. Other authors applied with success this new strategy using biomolecules (proteins11,26, antibodies27, peptides28 or aptamers29). The biomolecules were then chelated with gold salt (HAuCl4) by electrostatic bonding, thought a complexation reaction22.

Results and discussion

Herein we applied this specific method to synthesize gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) but in the presence of HEPES, known as Good’s buffer, as reducing agent and of different proteins: Manganese Superoxide Dismutase (MnSOD), Catalase (CAT) and Bovine Serum Albumine (BSA). The MnSOD and CAT are both enzymes known as oxydo-reductase but with different catalytic activities. The MnSOD induces the dismutation of superoxide anions in oxygen and hydrogen peroxide whereas the CAT decomposes the hydrogen peroxide in oxygen and water. Even if they have opposite effects on the hydrogen peroxide (production or decomposition, respectively), both have strong catalytic effect on oxygen anions and radicals. We assume that having such catalytic activities, both proteins could have reduction potential and could influence the synthesis of AuNPs by the formation of free radicals or co-reaction with the HEPES. The enzymes activities could occur via complexation and electrostatic
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interaction between hydroxyl and amino groups with chloride auric ions or with the HEPES. This strategy was also applied with BSA as control experiment since the BSA has no enzymatic activities.

As detailed below, the formation of gold NPs from \( \text{AuCl}_4^- \) mediated by enzyme includes three mains steps (Fig. 1):

1. The complexation of enzymes (MnSOD, CAT) with \( \text{AuCl}_4^- \) to generate gold clusters.
2. The staking of enzymes onto gold clusters and initial reduction of enzyme-metal (Au III) complex from HEPES solution to form Au II;
3. The final reduction of Au II ions to form gold particles stabilized by enzymes.

In the first step, each enzyme was added in HAuCl₄ aqueous solution in presence of HEPES. Thus, through their metallic cation (Mn²⁺ for MnSOD and Fe³⁺ for CAT), the positively charged enzyme could have strong electrostatic interaction with the negatively charged \( \text{AuCl}_4^- \) ions and form a hybrid complex enzyme-AuCl₄. In the second step, the addition of enzyme around the hybrid complex stabilizes him through electrostatic interaction between carbonyl and amino groups.

As reducing agent, the HEPES generates nitrogen-centered cationic free radicals in the presence of Au(III), leading to the formation of gold nanoparticles as previously demonstrated. HEPES alone is enough to form AuNPs in few minutes at room temperature, and no other reducing agents were needed. However, thanks to its catalytic activity and to its complexation with Au, the enzyme would have influence of the synthesis by modifying the kinetics of reduction and controlling the growth process of the final nanoparticles (third step).

The synthesis of AuNPs mediated by enzyme and its kinetics was monitored by UV–Vis absorption spectroscopy and compared to the ones performed with HEPES alone and with HEPES and BSA. We made a first measurement 5 min after the addition of the protein-HEPES or HEPES solution in the tetrachloroauric acid solution \( (t_0) \), consecutively measurements were made every 15 min (each \( t_n \) corresponds to a measurement made 15.n minutes after \( t_0 \) ) to be able to follow the reaction over time.

All UV–visible spectra (Fig. 2) exhibit plasmon bands indicating that in all the cases, some AuNP are effectively formed.

For the HEPES alone, the UV–visible spectrum (Fig. 2a) at \( t_0 \) shows an intense and broad plasmon band at 552 nm which corresponds to the formation of AuNPs of different shapes and sizes. After 15 min \( (t_1) \), a refinement of the plasmon band is observed, corresponding to the formation of AuNPs with thinner distribution in shapes and sizes. From \( t_1 \) only a slight increase of the intensity of the plasmon band is observed with time, indicating a low increase of the AuNPs concentration.

The formation of the AuNPs is nearly complete after less than one hour. Even if slight differences are seen able, similar observations can be done for MnSOD: broad plasmon band initially at 558 nm that is blue shifted at 544 nm and that becomes thinner (Fig. 2b). To determine the plasmon band characteristics (position, width and intensity), we fitted all the UV–visible spectra with two Lorentzian bands: one for the plasmon band and one for the inter-band transitions of gold (SI).
Let us first focus on the evolution of the intensity of the plasmon during time as it is relevant of the evolution of the concentration and of the number of produced AuNPs. As a consequence, it gives insight on the kinetic of the reaction and on the reduction power of the solution compounds (HEPES, enzymes).

Figure 2 put in evidence the faster formation process of MnSOD@AuNPs. At $t_0$ we already observe an homogeneous and consistent formation of AuNPs with a sharp plasmon band. One can notice that this plasmon bans is more intense than for HEPES@AuNPs (Fig. S2a) meaning that the synthesis is faster with higher production rate. Indeed, at $t_0$ we observe for the MnSOD an absorbance at 1.6 that becomes higher than 2 for longer time indicating a high concentration of nanoparticles whereas it is of 1.2 for HEPES at $t_0$ and saturates at 1.7 (Fig. 2e). We then observe a higher efficiency in the AuNPs synthesis using the MnSOD that indicates a higher reduction
of the Au salt thanks to its specific catalytic properties. This demonstrates that the chemical activity of the protein could mediate the NP synthesis and enhance the kinetics of the reaction.

Moreover, one can notice that the shapes of the AuNPs are different with the use of MnSOD. Indeed, as can be observed on the TEM image (Fig. 3), the NP with MnSOD have round shape whereas the HEPES alone produces a greater variety of shape and size with nanostars and icosahedral or pyramidal NP. Thus, the use of MnSOD seems to have also an influence on the shape of the NP and to selectively control the growth of the NP.

This influence on the NP shape is confirm by the study of the time evolution of the plasmon position and width (Figure S3). In both cases, the plasmon is blue shifted and the width decreases with time. However, this evolution is more continuous for MnSOD than for HEPES with an abrupt modification of the plasmon position and width. This mean that the MnSOD induces progressive and slight changes of the NP morphology during time. The narrowing of the plasmon band is could also explain the slight decrease of the intensity of the band with time (Fig. 2e).

The different morphology of the produced NPs can be related with the reduction process. Thus, the reduction rate depends on the facet structure and, favours the gold deposit toward the end sides of crystallographic facets. When MnSOD was mixed in the HAuCl₄ solution, the positively charged MnSOD exhibits strong electrostatic interaction with negatively charged AuCl₄⁻ ions forming a MnSOD-AuCl₄⁻ complex that plays a crucial role in the NP growth process. Reduction of bound AuCl₄⁻ ions can proceed via oxidation of SOD segments by the Mn metal centre. Analysis of the crystal structure of MnSOD reveals that Serine 106 is located at the surface of the homotetrameric MnSOD and renders the Ser106 solvent accessible in the final fold. The fact that Ser106 is very accessible in the final homotetrameric conformation of MnSOD encouraged the further analysis of the possibility of phosphorylation at this residue.

In our case, we assume that during AuNPs synthesis with MnSOD, Au⁺ and Au⁺ ions form complexes with MnSOD as follows:

\[ \text{AuCl}_4^- + \text{Mn}^{3+} \text{SOD} \]

The value of the equilibrium constant is \(4.92 \times 10^{-6}\), indicating that Au⁺ ions have a strong tendency to be over-expressed in aqueous phase without appropriate ligands. The strong binding of AuCl₄⁻ to the positive Mn⁺⁺ SOD head group could stabilize the Au⁺ species in aqueous solution. This behaviour favours the emergence of soluble Au⁺ ions. Assuming that the MnSOD is located onto the Au facets [100] and [110], this effect is responsible for the change in the final NP shape as it favours the formation of globular or polyedric NPs.

Different behaviors can be observed for CAT and BSA. The CAT molecules in the growth solution induces an enhancement of the selective reduction and growth process along the [110] facets, yielding gold polyedric shape. Such effect proves the synergetic action of enzymes molecules in the reduction of gold salt. In the case of BSA, the globular structure confer a steric behaviour that provides to the formation of coordination bands between the Au ions and the chetone or amino groups of BSA with a good dispersion of the Au ions, and consequently growth of AuNPs like flowers. This behaviour is associated to \(\pi-\pi^*\) electronic transitions due to interactions between the enzyme core and AuCl₄⁻ ions.

These two proteins have also an influence on the synthesis kinetics. Indeed, the plasmon band is only observable from \(t_2\), meaning that the AuNPs are not formed directly but with a delay and after at least 20 min of incubation. The synthesis kinetics is also largely slower than for HEPES or MnSOD as the saturation is reached after one hour for BSA and more for CAT. Moreover, the plasmon band is very broad and red shifted compared to HEPES or MnSOD (580 nm for CAT and 640 nm for BSA, Fig. S2). This is due to a larger distribution in sizes and shapes as no aggregation of the NPs is observed. Indeed, for CAT, the TEM images of the AuNPs present various shapes (as nanospheres, nanorods, nanostars, nanocrescents) that tune the plasmon bands on a wide range whereas for BSA, the AuNPs are large nanoflowers with rough surface that enlarge the plasmon band (Fig. 3c,d).

Even if the AuNPs synthesis is not similar for all proteins, we observe that they all influence the final product and that they produce different types of NP with higher rates as we observe higher final plasmon band intensity compared to the HEPES alone.

Only the MnSOD enhance the NP synthesis as it is the one that show the fastest production.

In fact, the formation of AuNPs from Good buffer as HEPES was already demonstrated and reaction mechanism was proposed. As shown by Habib et al., the synthesis of NP is induced by the reduction of Au(III) until the Au(0) via HEPES radicals. More specifically, the reduction is activated through the generation of nitrogen-centered cationic free radicals and involving the piperazine ring in the case of HEPES.

It has also been reported that the presence of superoxide dismutase in the HEPES solution favors the formation of more stable HEPES radicals and thus promote the reduction of the Au and as a consequence the synthesis of NPs. Thus we assume that the addition of MnSOD in the gold salt and HEPES solution will accelerate the synthesis of the AuNPs as reported even if one cannot completely rule out that the Mn⁺⁺ ion could also have a reducing effect on the Au (III). The CAT has the opposite effect as demonstrated by Grady et al., and should reduce the HEPES radical formation. It was suggested that in the case of CAT, the latter requires the presence of hydrogen peroxide to induce the formation of free radicals, which does not correspond to our experimental conditions. It is for this reason, that even if we are in the presence of the enzyme in the solution, we do observe a slowing down of the synthesis. Therefore, the CAT has the same influence on the AuNPs synthesis than the BSA. The successful of the reaction is estimated by DLS and Zeta potential measurements and yield percentage (Table S1).
Figure 3. Nanoparticle morphology. TEM images and size distribution of gold nanoparticles produced with HEPES (a–a1), MnSOD (b–b1), CAT (c–c1) and BSA (d–d1). The scale bar corresponds to 50 nm on each image.
Conclusion
In this paper, we show that the AuNPs synthesis can be influenced by the presence of proteins in the gold salt and Good’s buffer solution. Moreover, the type of proteins can induce an acceleration or a slowing down of the synthesis. We assume that such effect is directly due to the chemical activities of the proteins and more especially to the formation of free radicals in the solution that are involved in the reduction of the Au cations. Thus by controlling the protein and its specific activity one can control and mediate the synthesis of the AuNP. On the basis of these findings, we will applied in the future our nanoenzymes as green catalysts and theranostic agents in the nanomedicine field.

This study opens the way to a better understanding of the interaction mechanism (chemical structure modifications, kinetic interaction…) and to the sensing optimization since the detection sensitivity is directly related to the affinity of the bioreceptor to the analyte.

Methods
Chemicals. Tetrachloroauric acid (HAuCl₄), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), dicarboxylic PolyEthylene Glycol (PEG)-600 (PEG), catalase (CAT), bovine serum albumin (BSA), phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) and 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid, N-(2-Hydroxyethyl) piperazine-N’-(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (HEPES), were all provided by Sigma Aldrich at maximum purity grade. Manganese Superoxide Dismutase (MnSOD), was purchased by Ab FRONTIER (LF-P0013, Super oxyde Dismutase 2, Humain, Euromedex).

Synthesis of HEPES-AuNPs. HEPES coated AuNPs (HEPES@AuNPs) were synthesized by a reduction process described in literature⁵⁰. Briefly, 50 µl of HEPES solution (20 mM; 1 mM) was added to 950 µl of tetrachloroauric acid solution (HAuCl₄; 2.4 × 10⁻⁴ M) under stirring at room temperature following a kinetic reaction. The HEPES-AuNPs, obtained were purified by centrifugation two times at 9000 rpm for 10 min and dried under nitrogen in order to eliminate the reagent in excess.

Preparation of protein solutions. Protein powders (MnSOD, CAT, BSA) were solubilized in HEPES (1 mM) and then diluted in order to obtain a solution of 20 mM as final concentration.

Synthesis of protein-AuNPs (MnSOD@AuNPs; CAT@AuNPs; BSA@AuNPs). Colloids AuNPs (MnSOD@AuNPs; CAT@AuNPs; BSA@AuNPs) were synthesized by a chelation process depicted in Scheme 1. Briefly, 50 µl of each protein (20 mM) in HEPES solution (100 µM) was added to 950 µl of tetrachloroauric acid solution (HAuCl₄; 2.4 × 10⁻⁴ M) under stirring at room temperature following a kinetic reaction for each protein. The hybrid protein-AuNPs, obtained were centrifuged two times at 9000 rpm for 10 min and dried under nitrogen. Percentage yield was calculated according to the formula given below:

\[
\% \text{ Yield } = \frac{\text{Weight of gold silver nanoparticles}}{\text{Weight of gold salt used}} \times 100
\]

The quantitative analysis to obtain the % yield was carried out by ICP AES elementary analysis (Thermo Fisher, ICP AES Facility, Institut de Physique du Globe and ENSCP Paris). All samples with variable concentration in Au in ppm (0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 ppm) were prepared in the ICP solution (2% HNO₃). The samples to be analyzed were diluted in this solvent (2% HNO₃) at different concentrations. In general, we diluted by 100 times (100 µL in 10 ml). The detection limit of the machine is ppb-0.1 ppm.

Purification of AuNPs. All AuNPs solution were purified by centrifugation and dialysis to remove excess of not-conjugated reagent. Centrifugation was carried out at 9000 rpm for 10 min for three times and then the supernatant was discarded. The residue was re-dispersed in an equivalent amount of PBS (pH = 7). During dialysis AuNPs solution was stirring at room T during 6 h. At the end of this time, the resulting colloidal solution was performed by dialysis with dialysis membrane tubing Spectrum/Por 3 (molecular weight cut-off 3500 Da, Serva Electrophoresis, Germany) with continuous stirring (150 rpm).

UV/Vis measurements. Absorption spectra were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Lambda UV/Vis 950 spectrophotometer in plastic cuvettes with an optical path of 10 mm. The wavelength range was 200–900 nm. All the measurements were performed in triplicate in order to validate the reproducibility of the synthetic and analytical procedures.

To determine the plasmon band characteristics (position, width and intensity), we fitted all the UV–visible spectra with two Lorentzian bands: one that corresponds to the plasmon band of the nanoparticles (located between 500 and 700 nm) and one that correspond to the inter-band transitions of gold (around 450 nm) to fit the increase of the intensity at wavelength lower than 500 nm. The plasmon resonance parameters provide then some information of the AuNPs formation: the position (P) on the size of the NP, the width (W) on the size distribution and the intensity on the AuNPs concentration.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). TEM images were acquired with a JEOL JEM 1011 microscope (JEOL, USA) at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV. 2 µl of the particle suspension was placed on a carbon coated copper grid (Smethurst High-Light Ltd) and dried at room temperature.
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