A large fraction of trisomy 12, 17p−, and 11q− CLL cases carry unidentified microdeletions of miR-15a/16-1
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Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is the most common adult leukemia and is characterized by chromosomal aberrations including 13q, 11q, and 17p deletions and a trisomy of chromosome 12 (T12). 13q deletions are often associated with 11q and 17p deletions in aggressive cases. Conversely, T12 CLLs show a variable prognosis, and association with 13q deletions is uncommon. The miR-15a/16-1 cluster is the functional target of 13q deletions, leading to BCL2 overexpression. Chromosomal aberrations in CLL are associated with prognosis, and their identification is carried out by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Since standard FISH only detects large deletions, we investigated the presence of undetected microdeletions targeting miR-15a/16-1 in CLL cases. We found that ~34% of CLL samples show an unreported loss of the miR-15a/16-1 locus regardless of their cytogenetic profile. Interestingly, 15 out of 39 (~39%) of all CLLs with T12 carry microdeletions of miR-15a/16-1, indicating that, in patients with T12, miR-15a/16-1 are mostly inactivated by microdeletions. In addition, ~40% of all CLL cases bearing T12, 17p−, and 11q− show unidentified microdeletions of miR-15a/16-1, suggesting that miR-15a/16-1 loss cooperates with such chromosomal alterations in CLL. These data may have clinical relevance for the successful stratification of patients for treatment.
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C hronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is the most common adult leukemia, arising from B lymphocytes (1). CLL can occur as an indolent or aggressive form (2), and is characterized by specific chromosomal abnormalities: 13q14.3 deletions (13q−, ~55%), 11q22.3 deletions (11q−, ~15%), 17p13.1 deletions (17p−, ~5%), and a trisomy of chromosome 12 (T12, ~15%) (3). In 2002, the minimal deleted region on chromosome 13 was described (4), including the microRNA (miRNA) cluster genes encoding for miR-15a and miR-16-1. Such a discovery indicated a role for miRNAs in the development of CLL (4). miRNAs are 22- to 25-nucleotide noncoding RNAs that bind the 3′ untranslated region of target messenger RNAs in a sequence-specific fashion, stalling their translation (5). In 2002 to 2005, we showed that miR-15a/16-1 is the functional target of 13q deletions in CLL and that miR-15a/16-1 are negative regulators of the antiapoptotic gene B cell leukemia 2 (BCL2), an inhibitor of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (6, 7). More recently, it was shown that miR-15a/16-1 also targets ROR1, an embryonic oncoembryogenic antigen expressed on the surface of the CLL cell, but not in normal postpartum tissues, that enhances CLL viability, migration, and proliferation by activating the Wnt5 pathway (8, 9). Thus, in CLL, the deletion of chromosome 13 and the consequent loss of miR-15a/16-1 lead to an increased expression of BCL2 (7), which in turn supports the expansion of cancer cells in the bloodstream (6, 7, 10). The 13q deletion is considered a driver event in CLL onset and, as a sole abnormality, is associated with indolent disease (3). Conversely, CLL patients with deletions of chromosomes 11 or 17 typically show an aggressive disease, with high risk of relapse, drug resistance development, and a median overall survival of 79 and 32 mo, respectively (3). Finally, T12 CLLs show typical morphological/immunophenotypic features and the most variable prognosis (11), with an overall survival spanning between 9.5 and 15.6 y (3, 12). It was previously shown that NOTCH1 mutations are prevalent in T12 CLL and are associated with unfavorable prognosis (13, 14). However, NOTCH1 mutation frequency alone does not account for the extraordinary clinical heterogeneity observed among patients carrying CLL cells with a trisomy of chromosome 12 (15). Interestingly, while coexistence of 13q deletions with 11q or 17p deletions in patients showing an aggressive disease is frequent (3, 16), coexistence with 13q deletions in T12 patients is thought to be rare (17). Indeed, T12 is also considered a driver event in CLL pathogenesis. Nevertheless, 13q deletions can be identified in ~25% of T12 cases, and are associated with shorter survival (15). Given the role of miR-15a/16-1 loss in CLL onset/progression, we evaluated the presence of microdeletions involving the loss of miR-15a/16-1, which may be undetected with standard fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), and assessed their prevalence in all cytogenetic cohorts.

Results

FISH and miR-15a/16-1 Copy-Number Variation Approaches. To investigate the prevalence of microdeletions involving miR-15a/16-1, we performed a miR-15a/16-1 copy-number variation (CNV) analysis of all CLL samples accrued (Fig. 1). Since T12 association with the 13q deletion is uncommon (17), we initially
investigated whether microdeletion of miR-15a/16-1 may be prevalent in T12 CLL. To compare miR-15a/16-1 CNV with standard FISH data, we defined “allelic loss” (AL) as the percentage of lost miR-15a/16-1 alleles detected by miR-15a/16-1 CNV (microdeletions of miR-15a/16-1), and “chromosome loss” (ChrL) as the percentage of lost chromosome 13 detected by standard FISH (large deletions identified by FISH). Standard FISH data obtained from clinical settings showed that in our set of 90 samples (SI Appendix, Table S1), 44 (49%) carry a deletion of chromosome 13. Interestingly, a miR-15a/16-1 CNV assay showed that in the same set of 90 samples, 77 (85.5%) carry a microdeletion of miR-15a/16-1 (Fig. 1). This result indicates that in 33 samples (~37%), microdeletions involving miR-15a/16-1 were not previously identified by FISH. To provide a parameter suitable for comparison for these two sets of data, we calculated ChrL and AL as described in Materials and Methods. We determined the percentage of chromosome 13 loss based on the standard FISH analysis data. The percentage of cells showing a monoallelic deletion of chromosome 13 was divided by 2 and added to the percentage of cells showing a biallelic deletion of chromosome 13, to obtain the percentage of chromosome 13 loss. As such, ChrL accounts for monoallelic and biallelic loss in one value and thus allows us to establish a parameter suitable for such comparison. For our set of samples, ChrL was between 4.5 and 95.5% and AL was between 4.5 and 98.5%. To compare ChrL and AL data for each case, we established a threshold of 12%, as described in Materials and Methods. Indeed, even though both FISH and CNV are able to detect a 4.5% ChrL or AL, respectively, their sensitivity is associated with different variables according to the nature of the assays. For cases where FISH analysis did not detect a deletion of chromosome 13, such a threshold excludes samples with a low AL identified by miR-15a/16-1 CNV that may represent a background signal. For cases where FISH analysis detected a deletion of chromosome 13, this threshold provides a parameter to identify patients where the discrepancy between ChrL and AL is significant. Such a discrepancy indicates the presence of a subpopulation of CLL cells with a microdeletion of miR-15a/16-1 not detected by FISH.

Cases without 13q Deletions Identified by FISH. Using the threshold described above, we found 16 cases showing an unexpected miR-15a/16-1 AL (informative cases) out of 46 CLL samples (34%) in which 13q deletions were not detected by FISH. These samples include 4 out of 7 from cohort 11q+, 3 out of 8 from cohort 17p-, 8 out of 23 from cohort T12, and 1 out of 9 from cohort Normal Karyotype (according to miR-15a/16-1 CNV) (Fig. 1, Table 1, and SI Appendix, Table S1). These samples may carry unreported microdeletions in one or both alleles.

Cases with 13q Deletions Identified by FISH. Similar to the cases without 13q deletions, we considered the difference between AL and ChrL (determined by FISH) significant if higher than 12%. All samples harboring 13q deletions alone or in combination with other abnormalities (n = 44 total) showed consistent loss of miR-15a/16-1 as expected (determined by miR-15a/16-1 CNV). Among these, we found 15 informative cases (34.9%) with a higher AL than expected when compared with the ChrL (2 out of 12 in cohort 13q-, 4 out of 8 in cohort 13q+11q-, 2 out of 7 in cohort 13q+17p-, 3 with 17q- and 7 out of 16 in cohort 13q+T12). These samples may carry a miR-15a/16-1 microdeletion on the remaining homologous chromosome 13 in cells bearing a 13q monoaallelic deletion, or in a subpopulation of CLL cells that do not harbor such chromosomal abnormality. Thus, we found unreported microdeletions affecting miR-15a/16-1 in a total of 31 out of 90 samples (34.4%) (Fig. 1, Table 1, and SI Appendix, Table S1). These data suggest that microdeletions involving miR-15a/16-1 are at least as frequent in T12 CLL as in other CLL cohorts.

13q Microdeletions in T12 Cases without Loss of 13q by FISH. Since association of 13q deletions with T12 is less common than with other chromosomal abnormalities, microdeletions targeting miR-15a/16-1 may have a significant role in the pathogenesis of T12 CLL. To evaluate the incidence of miR-15a/16-1 AL in T12 CLL cases, we clustered the 23 samples from the T12 cohort into two groups, 10 indolent and 13 aggressive, according to the prognostic markers provided (Dataset S1). Eight out of 23 samples showed a significant AL of miR-15a/16-1 (34.8%). In addition, we observed that 2 of these 8 T12 samples, showing the highest AL, were found among the 13 aggressive cases: sample 2227, AL of ~35%, and sample 2230, AL of ~20%. The remaining 6 samples with an unexpected significant AL were found among the 10 indolent cases, showing an AL between 12.2 and 17.5% (Table 1 and SI Appendix, Table S1). These results suggest that identification of miR-15a/16-1 AL may be a valuable tool for risk stratification of T12 patients.

miR-15a/16-1 Microdeletions in CLL with Other Karyotypes. We investigated whether the findings described above may be applicable to other cohorts of CLLs. We found that while in 13q− and NK cohorts (21 patients) only 3 samples (~14%) showed unreported miR-15a/16-1 AL in cohorts with T12, 11q−, and 17p− (69 patients), 28 samples showed unexpected miR-15a/16-1 AL (~40%). Based on these results, we designed
a custom FISH probe to specifically identify microdeletions of the miR-15a/16-1 locus (Fig. 2A), and performed a FISH experiment on eight selected samples (2211, 2219, and 2227 from cohort T12; 2233 from cohort NK; and 2196, 2199, 2201, and 2208 from cohort 13q T12). The results of our experimental FISH support the miR-15a/16-1 CNV data (Table 2). Indeed, samples 2211, 2219, and 2227, belonging to cohort T12, showed a loss of miR-15a/16-1 in trisomic cells (Fig. 2 B-D). Sample 2233, belonging to cohort NK, showed biallelic loss of miR-15a/16-1 (Fig. 2E). Interestingly, belonging to cohort 13q T12, and expected to carry a monoallelic deletion of chromosome 13, samples 2199 and 2201 showed biallelic deletion of miR-15a/16-1 both in trisomic cells and in nontrisomic cells (Fig. 2 F and G).

In conclusion, our results showed that 56 out of 90 CLL samples (~62%) had lost miR-15a/16-1 (Table 1 and SI Appendix, Table S1). While standard FISH analysis indicated that ~49% of samples in our cohorts carry a gross deletion of chromosome 13, we found that ~34% of cases with no chromosome 13 deletion carry a microdeletion of miR-15a/16-1. In addition, we found that 28 out of 69 samples carrying a trisomy of chromosome 12, a deletion of 17p, or a deletion of 11q (40%) show unreported loss of miR-15a/16-1.

### Discussion

To investigate the prevalence of microdeletions affecting the miR-15a/16-1 locus on chromosome 13q14 in CLL patients with different karyotypes, we accrued eight cohorts of samples clustered according to their cytogenetic profiles. We carried out a miR-15a/16-1 CNV assay to detect specifically the loss of the miR-15a/16-1 locus, regardless of larger deletions of the chromosome region in which it is included. In addition, we carried out a customized FISH analysis with a miR-15a/16-1–specific probe, to confirm our data on selected samples. For most of the patients carrying a deletion of the 13q14 region, we found that the CNV data indicated a loss of miR-15a/16-1, consistent with the loss of chromosome 13q indicated by the clinical cytogenetic profile provided. However, we found 15 cases where the miR-15a/16-1 CNV data indicated that the number of lost alleles encoding miR-15a/16-1 was higher than expected, suggesting that subclones harboring a microdeletion of miR-15a/16-1 may have been missed by FISH analysis. Importantly, we found 16 cases where miR-15a/16-1 was lost in the absence of a detectable 13q deletion by FISH. For instance, CLL 2233, reported as a normal karyotype, carried a biallelic deletion of miR-15a/16-1 according to our CNV and custom FISH data. Patients who have lost the miR-15a/16-1 locus may be sensitive to venetoclax due to BCL2 overexpression regardless of the 13q deletion detected by FISH. Thus, our results suggest that the FISH detection of 13q deletions in the clinical setting may not be sufficient to efficiently stratify patients for treatment, as undetected microdeletions targeting miR-15a/16-1 may be present. In addition, loss of the miR-15a/16-1 locus seems to be prevalent in T12 patients. This is an interesting finding, as T12 patients show the most variable clinical heterogeneity and are specifically susceptible to Richter’s transformation, a serious condition characterized by

### Table 1. FISH and miR-15a/16-1 CNV analysis

| Sample ID | Cohort | Class   | % CLL cell with a 13q monoallelic deletion (by FISH) | % CLL cell with a 13q biallelic deletion (by FISH) | % 13q ChrL (FISH) | % miR-15a/16-1 AL (CNV) |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| 2152      | 13q−   | Indolent| 66                                                | 14.5                                            | 47.5              | 85.8                    |
| 2157      | 13q−   | Indolent| 97.1                                              | 0                                               | 48.55             | 98.3                    |
| 2168      | 11q−   | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 13.1                    |
| 2169      | 11q−   | Aggressive | 10                                               | 0                                               | 5                 | 19.8                    |
| 2174      | 11q−   | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 15.2                    |
| 2176      | 17p−   | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 13.2                    |
| 2179      | 17p−   | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 12.6                    |
| 2183      | 17p−   | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 21.3                    |
| 2191      | 17p−   | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 27.8                    |
| 2210      | T12    | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 0                 | 15                      |
| 2211      | T12    | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 17.5              | 17.5                    |
| 2213      | T12    | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 16.3              | 16.3                    |
| 2214      | T12    | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 16.5              | 16.5                    |
| 2217      | T12    | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 12.2              | 12.2                    |
| 2219      | T12    | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 17.4              | 17.4                    |
| 2227      | T12    | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 35.6              | 35.6                    |
| 2230      | T12    | Aggressive | 0                                               | 0                                               | 20                | 20                      |
| 2163      | 13q    | 11q−   | 66.5                                              | 0                                               | 33.25             | 62.3                    |
| 2164      | 13q    | 11q−   | 8                                                | 63.5                                            | 67.5              | 90.8                    |
| 2166      | 13q    | 11q−   | 44                                                | 0                                               | 22                | 34                      |
| 2172      | 13q    | 11q−   | 56                                                | 0                                               | 28                | 81.9                    |
| 2178      | 13q    | 17p−   | 66.5                                              | 0                                               | 33.25             | 44.9                    |
| 2188      | 13q    | 17p−   | 36.5                                              | 0                                               | 18.25             | 46                      |
| 2196      | 13q    | T12    | 13                                                | 0                                               | 6.5               | 26.6                    |
| 2198      | 13q    | T12    | 51                                                | 0                                               | 25.5              | 40.6                    |
| 2199      | 13q    | T12    | 78.5                                              | 0                                               | 39.25             | 89.6                    |
| 2201      | 13q    | T12    | 50                                                | 0                                               | 25                | 52.5                    |
| 2205      | 13q    | T12    | 10.5                                              | 0                                               | 5.25              | 19.3                    |
| 2207      | 13q    | T12    | 8.5                                               | 0                                               | 4.25              | 19.3                    |
| 2208      | 13q    | T12    | 12                                                | 0                                               | 6                 | 23.8                    |
| 2233      | NK     | Indolent | 0                                               | 0                                               | 70.7              | 70.7                    |

Thirty-one informative cases. Calculation of % 13q ChrL (FISH) and % miR-15a/16-1 AL (CNV) is described in the first paragraph of Results.
the development of a diffuse large B cell lymphoma often resistant to treatment (18, 19). Unexpectedly, when clustering the T12 samples in indolent and aggressive cases, we found that only 2 out of 13 aggressive samples showed a loss of miR-15a/16-1, while 6 out of 10 indolent samples showed a loss of miR-15a/16-1. However, the percentage of AL between aggressive and indolent cases is quite different: ~35 and ~20% in the aggressive cases, versus 12.2 to 17.5% in the indolent cases. The presence of subclones carrying a deletion of miR-15a/16-1 in the T12 cohorts is also important in the evaluation for treatment. Indeed, if not taken into account when evaluating treatment strategy, such subclones in patients with a T12 mild or aggressive disease could have a selective advantage, leading to disease progression or relapse. Higher percentages of AL in aggressive cases also suggest that loss of miR-15a/16-1 in T12 CLL can be used as a diagnostic tool to identify patients who may benefit from earlier treatment. Custom FISH analysis confirms the miR-15a/16-1 CNV observations on the selected samples analyzed. Thus, our observations indicate that microdeletion of the miR-15a/miR-16-1 locus is more common than expected in all CLL types, regardless of cytogenetic profile, and that the presence of undetected subclones can lead to relapse if not taken into account when the treatment plan is designed. Therefore, it is important to identify loss of the miR-15a/16-1 locus, as this may have a significant impact on the design of personalized and efficient therapeutic plans.

Fig. 2. FISH analysis of selected samples. (A) Genomic location of a custom miR-15a/16-1 FISH probe. The miR-15a/16-1 probe position is indicated by the red arrowhead on BAC RP11-34F20 (in green). (B–G) Representative images of CLL nuclei of the indicated samples. Probes used were the custom probe for the miR-15a/16-1 locus (red) and custom probe for the miR-195/497 locus (azure). (B–D, F, and G) CEP12 spectrum commercial probe for chromosome 12 (green). (E) Custom probe for miR-15b/16-2 (green).
Probes were used as a custom probe for the miR-15a/16-1 locus (R) and a custom probe for the miR-195/497 locus (A). A CEP12 spectrum commercial probe for chromosome 12 (G) was used in all samples except 2223. A custom probe for miR-15b/16-2 (C) was used for sample 2233. For each sample, the last column reports the percentage of cells showing a specific allelic combination. For example, in sample 2196, 22% of CLL cells have two alleles for each probe target and 57% of CLL cells have three copies of chromosome 12 and two alleles for miR-15a/16-1 and miR-195/497.

**Table 2. Custom FISH results for selected samples**

| Sample ID | Cohort | 13q category | Custom FISH analysis (%) |
|-----------|--------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 2196      | 13q    | Monoallelic  | 2R2G2A (22)              |
|           |        |              | 2R3G2A (57)              |
|           |        |              | 1R3G2A (21)              |
| 2199      | 13q    | Monoallelic  | 2R2G2A (4)               |
|           |        |              | 0R2G2A (13)              |
|           |        |              | 0R3G2A (83)              |
| 2201      | 13q    | Monoallelic  | 0R2G2A (2)               |
|           |        |              | 1R2G2A (6)               |
|           |        |              | 2R2G2A (43)              |
|           |        |              | 0R3G2A (24)              |
|           |        |              | 1R3G2A (25)              |
| 2208      | 13q    | Monoallelic  | 2R2G2A (41)              |
|           |        |              | 1R2G2A (16)              |
|           |        |              | 2R3G2A (43)              |
| 2211      | T12    | Normal       | 2R2G2A (25)              |
|           |        |              | 1R3G2A (7)               |
|           |        |              | 2R3G2A (68)              |
| 2219      | T12    | Normal       | 2R2G2A (30)              |
|           |        |              | 1R3G2A (10)              |
|           |        |              | 2R3G2A (60)              |
| 2227      | T12    | Normal       | 2R2G2A (20)              |
|           |        |              | 2R3G2A (74)              |
|           |        |              | 1R3G2A (6)               |
| 2233      | NK     | Normal       | 2R2G2A (42)              |
|           |        |              | 1R2G2A (1)               |
|           |        |              | 0R2G2A (57)              |

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design.** Ninety samples from primary untreated CLL patients were collected. Each patient provided written informed consent in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the institutional review boards of the University of California San Diego and The Ohio State University. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from patients enrolled in the CLL Research Consortium who satisfied diagnostic and immunophenotypic criteria for CLL. The cytogenetic profile of each patient was provided to classify patients into cohorts: Standard FISH analysis was carried out with commercial probes and the percentage of cells with each chromosome abnormality was recorded. DNA was isolated from PBMCs to carry out CNV analysis. An additional experimental FISH analysis was carried out with a custom-made miR-15a/16-1-specific probe to confirm miR-15a/16-1 CNV data (Table 2).

**Patient Cohorts.** Patients were grouped into eight cohorts according to standard FISH data. Four cohorts showed a single chromosome abnormality; cohort 13q (n = 12), cohort 11q (n = 7), cohort 17p (n = 8), and cohort T12 (n = 23). Three cohorts showed a combination of the 13q deletion with another abnormality: cohort 13q11q (n = 8), cohort 13q17p (n = 7), and cohort T12 (n = 16). One cohort showed a normal karyotype: cohort NK (n = 9). A detailed list of patient samples is reported in Table 1 and SI Appendix, Table S1.

**DNA Isolation and CNV.** DNA was extracted with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen; 69504) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA concentration and quality were examined using the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer. A CNV assay was performed using a custom probe to detect miR-15a in 10 ng of genomic DNA. Quantitative real-time PCR TaqMan copy-number assays were performed using the miR-15a-specific FAM dye-labeled custom probe, designed on genomic coordinates chr13: 50,049,119 to 50,049,201 (Applied Biosystems). TaqMan CNV reactions were performed in triplicate and normalized using a VIC dye-labeled telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) control output (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 4403316). Commercial human genomic DNA was used as a biallelic reference (Promega; G147A). The analysis for the miR-15a/16-1 CNV assay was performed by setting a value of 2 for the biallelic controls, to indicate the presence of two alleles (Fig. 1). All data were then normalized against the biallelic control and converted to provide a percentage of ALT (Table 1 and SI Appendix, Table S1).

**Custom FISH Probe Design and FISH Analysis.** Standard FISH analysis for chromosome 13 deletions in a clinical setting was performed with a Vysis D13S319 (13q14.3) SpectrumOrange probe (Abbott; 0586-011; GTIN 0008499012776). Experimental FISH analysis was performed with custom probes. Three human bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs), RP11-34F20, RP11-22J7S, and RP11-61B20, which span the miR-15a/16-1 locus on chromosome 13, miR-15b/16-2 locus on chromosome 13, and miR-195/497 locus on chromosome 13, respectively, were purchased from the BACPAC Resources Center. Locations of RP11-34F20 (chr13: 50,006,542 to 50,161,428), used in Fig. 2B and spanning miR-15a/16-1, is shown in Fig. 2A. BAC DNA samples, purified with the NucleoBond Xtra BAC Kit (Takara Bio USA), were subjected to fluorescent labeling by the nick translation method in the presence of either SEEBRIGHT Red 598 dUTP (RP11-34F20), SEEBRIGHT Green 496 dUTP (RP11-22J7S) or SEEBRIGHT Aqua 431 dUTP (RP11-61B20), purchased from ENZO Life Sciences. The RP11-34F20 BAC of 154,887 bp spanning the miR-15a/16-1 locus on chromosome 13 was chopped up to obtain a final product of homogenous 200-bp pieces by nick translation. A nick translation reaction was prepared on ice in a thin-wall PCR tube containing 1.8 μg BAC DNA, 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4), 10 mM MgSO4, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 30 μM each daTTP, dCTP, and dGTP, 15 μM each dtTP and fluorophore-dUTP, 90 μU Escherichia coli DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs), 22.5 ng DNase I (Enzo Life Sciences), and 9 μL of 80-fold diluted in dilution buffer. The reaction was started by incubating at 15 °C for 3 h and quenched by adding 5 μL of 0.5 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Free dNTPs and fluorophore-dUTP were removed by applying Bio-Spin P-6 gel columns (Bio-Rad). In this condition, a probe with an average size of 200 bp could be produced. Cryopreserved samples were thawed and cultured in RPMI media supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine, lectin pokeweed mitogen, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (Life Technologies), and GpG ODN 685 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 72 to 96 h. Colcemid (Life Technologies) was added 30 min prior to harvesting. Samples were fixed in Carnoy’s fixative and slides were made following standard laboratory procedures. FISH was performed with a mixture of custom-made BAC probes and CEP 12 Spectrum Green (Abbott Molecular). The BAC probes were combined with human Cot-1 DNA (Life Technologies), ethanol-precipitated, and resuspended in Vysis Telomere Hybridization buffer. The reaction was started by incubating at 42 °C for 3 h and dried, and counterstained with DAPI. Signals were enumerated using a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss AxioScope 40) and images were captured with Applied Spectral Imaging software. One hundred cells were analyzed per patient.

**Statistical Analysis.** To compare the miR-15a/16-1 CNV results with the data offered by standard FISH, we determined the percentage of chromosome 13 loss based on the standard FISH analysis data. The percentage of cells showing a monoallelic deletion of chromosome 13 was divided by 2 and added to the percentage of cells showing a biallelic deletion of chromosome 13 to obtain the percentage of chromosome 13 lost. Based on our results for sample 2207, which has the lowest percentage of Chr1 identified, we established a threshold of 4.25% Chr1 as the lowest limit of detection for standard FISH (n). To compare standard FISH with miR-15a/16-1 CNV, we set a threshold of 2n (~8.5%) that identifies a significant difference between the two groups. However, five samples (2173, 2187, 2215, 2221, and 2228) show an ALT, identified via miR-15a/16-1 CNV assay, very close to this threshold. Thus, to minimize the detection of samples that may not be representative of a significant difference, we adjusted the threshold to 12%.

**Data Availability.** All study data are included in the article and/or supporting information.
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