Cavum trigeminale cephalocele associated with intracranial hypertension in an 18-month-old child: illustrative case
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BACKGROUND Cavum trigeminale cephaloceles (CTCs) are characterized by a cystic appearance and extension of the posterolateral aspect of Meckel's cave into the superomedial portion of the petrous apex. A possible mechanism is a transient or sustained increase of intracranial pressure transmitted to Meckel's cave, leading to its secondary herniation, in the presence of predisposing local factors. The majority of CTCs are incidental findings. Among symptomatic cases, headache, trigeminal neuralgia, cerebrospinal fluid leak, vertigo, ataxia, facial numbness, hearing loss, diplopia, and other visual disturbances have been described.

OBSERVATIONS The authors describe a case of an 18-month-old male patient referred to their hospital with drowsiness, vomiting, left cranial nerve VI palsy, and papilledema. Neuroradiological investigation revealed the presence of a CTC with a swollen aspect of the left pontine hemisection and indirect signs of intracranial hypertension. The patient was managed with implantation of a ventriculoperitoneal shunt.

LESSONS CTCs are rare lesions that are difficult to recognize on neuroimaging, in which they can be mistaken for tumoral or inflammatory lesions. The most frequent asymptomatic forms should be considered as "leave-me-alone" lesions. In cases of intracranial hypertension, according to a supposed theory on the origin of CTCs, the authors recommend treating only the intracranial hypertension instead of performing more invasive surgical procedures, especially in pediatric patients.
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Cavum trigeminale cephaloceles (CTCs) are rare lesions that are characterized radiologically by their cystic appearance and extension of the posterolateral aspect of Meckel's cave into the superomedial portion of the petrous apex. Although termed "cephaloceles," these lesions usually do not contain brain tissue as is true for other cephaloceles of the skull base. A differential diagnosis should be done and should include cholesteatoma, trapped fluid, petrous apicitis, mucocele, cholesterol granuloma, and Meckel's cave schwannoma. Although usually incidental, associations with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak, headache, trigeminal neuralgia, cranial nerve deficits, vertigo, and tinnitus have been reported.

The exact etiology of CTCs remains unclear to date. The most widely accepted theory is that their origin is from increasing intracranial pressure (ICP) causing herniation of the meninges and CSF from the posterolateral region of the Meckel's cave into the petrous apex. CTCs can be acquired or congenital and either monolateral or bilateral. They are occasionally described together with the presence of an empty sella. Herein we describe a case of an 18-month-old male patient referred to our clinic with left cranial nerve VI palsy, papilledema, and increased ICP who underwent ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VPS) placement. To date, to our knowledge, this is the youngest case diagnosed with a CTC.

ABBREVIATIONS CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; CT = computed tomography; CTC = cavum trigeminale cephalocele; ICP = intracranial pressure; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; VPS = ventriculoperitoneal shunt.
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An 18-month-old male presented with a 1-day history of irritability, failure to thrive, drowsiness, vomiting, and left cranial nerve VI palsy. Three months before admission, he experienced otitis media, which had been treated with antibiotics. Clinical examination revealed left cranial nerve VI palsy, bilateral papilledema, and opacification of the left tympanic membrane. Computed tomography (CT) revealed a swollen aspect of the left pontine hemisection and secondary restriction of the homolateral pre- and peripontine cistern. Bone erosion of the left petrous apex caused by gliomalacic phenomena and good recanalization and visibility of both transverse sinuses (Fig. 4A).

The patient underwent lumbar puncture, which revealed an opening pressure of 45 mm Hg, with transitory improvement of symptoms. A left tympanic stent was also placed by an ear, nose, and throat surgeon to drain the left middle ear. A new brain MRI scan (Fig. 2B) documented a mild reduction of optic sheaths. However, the patient’s symptoms recurred, and he underwent a second lumbar puncture, which also showed intracranial hypertension (70 mm Hg). Finally, a VPS was placed because of persisting cranial nerve VI palsy and drowsiness (left frontal access, small programmable valve). Postoperatively, the patient improved dramatically with rapid resolution of the cranial nerve palsy VI and slow regression of the papilledema.

Postoperative brain MRI documented the progressive reexpansion of the preponine cistern and the restoration of CSF content in Meckel’s cave. The patient was then discharged. Two weeks later, symptoms of intracranial hypertension recurred. A CT scan showed disconnection of the ventricular catheter from the valve. The patient underwent surgical revision of the shunt, with resolution of symptoms.

One-month follow-up postoperative MRI revealed very mild enlargement of the Meckel’s cave cephalocele with slight reduction of trigeminal and correspondent root entry zone attraction at the left petrous apex caused by gliomalacic phenomena and good recanali- zation and visibility of both transverse sinuses (Fig. 4B).

**Discussion**

**Observations**

The petrous apex has a close relationship with cranial nerves, the auditory apparatus, the carotid artery, the cavernous sinus, and the other skull base venous plexuses. Several lesions can be found at this precise anatomical location: cholesteatoma, cholesterol granuloma, primary and secondary tumors such as rhadomomyosarcoma, Ewing sarcoma, metastatic neuroblastoma, and other infectious or inflammatory lesions. A differential diagnosis based on imaging findings is essential because the anatomical location is difficult to approach even for a biopsy.

CTCs are very rare lesions thought to arise from a posteroslateral dural or arachnoid protrusion into the petrous apex. The etiopathetic mechanism at the origin is not clear at all. A possible mechanism is a transient or sustained increase of ICP transmitted to Meckel’s cave, leading to its secondary herniation. Anyway, CTC is difficult to explain by altered mechanisms of CSF pressure and dynamics alone without assuming the presence of local factors at the petrous apex. A thin bony plate covered by a thin dural layer could be the site of dehiscence following intracranial hypertension, also because of the spongy bone configuration, sometimes pneumatized. Schlosser and Bolger explained skull base erosions as an attempt to alleviate increased ICP in highly pneumatized skull bases. Moreover, our findings of elevated pressure at lumbar puncture are equally consistent. Stark et al. believe there is CSF passage into the cisternal mesenchyme during gestational life, resulting in arachnoid membrane splitting, leading to the formation of a CSF-containing cyst.

The majority of CTCs are incidental findings usually considered as “leave-me-alone” lesions. Among symptomatic cases, headache, trigeminal neuralgia, CSF leak, vertigo, ataxia, facial numbness, hearing loss, diplopia, and other visual disturbances have been described.

Our patient was referred to us with irritability, failure to thrive, drowsiness, vomiting, and left cranial nerve VI palsy. To our knowledge, he represents the youngest patient diagnosed with CTC to date. Previously, only one case of recurrent meningitis had been reported in a 2-year-old patient.
A combination of CT and MRI scans could be effective to differentiate CTCs from other petrous apex lesions. A CT scan allows detection of invasive erosions of petrous bone structures. In our case, bony remodeling at the left petrous apex was clearly evident.

On brain MRI, CTCs display a CSF-like signal in all sequences with hypointensity on T1-weighted images, hyperintensity on T2-weighted images, and no restriction for diffusion-weighted imaging scans. Contrast enhancement is not typical, even if peripheral enhancement has been described. Our patient showed a unilateral CTC; the pathogenesis of his CTC seemed to be explained by petrous apex sectorial hypoplasia.

The coexistence of a CTC and an empty sella has been addressed. Previous publications reported on how impaired CSF dynamics and increased ICP could lead to herniation of meninges and CSF into the sella turcica and the petrous apex. In our patient, venous disease may have played a major role in inducing intracranial hypertension, as suggested by the absence of signal in the venous sinuses on MRI. However, we think that venous obstruction may be, at least in part, secondary to distortion of the venous anatomy secondary to intracranial hypertension: Venous obstruction further increases venous pressure, CSF pressure, and ICP in a vicious cycle. Shunting, decreasing ICP, reverses this vicious cycle, allowing reopening of the sinuses.

Management of these lesions is not standardized. No treatment is recommended for incidental and totally asymptomatic findings. When surgical intervention is needed, what is recommended to be done? Open microsurgical fenestration or marsupialization have been described. The main surgical approach adopted for this purpose (i.e., infracochlear, retrosigmoid, retrolabyrinthine, middle fossa) is even more invasive and not at all free from complications.

Lessons

According to the supposed mechanism of the origin of CTCs (in the presence, or not, of congenital or acquired petrous apex bone alterations), we recommend treating only the increased ICP. In our case, the decision was relatively easy. The patient's clinical history (previous middle ear infection), symptoms (headache, irritability), clinical findings (cranial nerve VI palsy, papilledema), radiological findings (dilated optic nerve sheaths, empty sella, steno-occlusion of both transverse sinuses), and ancillary tests (high CSF pressure at lumbar puncture with manometry) were all in favor of a diagnosis of associated idiopathic intracranial hypertension (pseudotumor cerebri), which has already been described in association with cephaloceles of the petrous apex. A decision was made to address uniquely the predominant clinical condition (idiopathic intracranial hypertension) with a standard treatment (navigated frontal VPS), disregarding any hypothesis of surgical treatment of the cephalocele of the petrous apex.

An important radiological sign that we observed on MRI following the first lumbar puncture was, even if mild in size, the reduction of optic nerve sheath ectasia. After the patient underwent VPS placement, we observed successful improvement of his clinical and neurological condition together with initial recovery of the cranial nerve VI palsy. At his last follow-up, the patient was still doing well and had totally recovered from his cranial nerve deficit. Brain MRI, showing
disappearance of the empty sella and bilateral recanalization of the transverse sinuses, confirmed the resolution of increased ICP.

In conclusion, CTCs are rare lesions that are difficult to recognize on neuroimaging. The most frequent asymptomatic forms should be considered as “leave-me-alone” lesions. According to the supposed theory of the origin of this clinical condition, we recommend treating only the eventually associated increased ICP instead of performing more invasive surgical procedures, especially in pediatric patients.
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