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The study investigated the association between community proximity to mine dumps, and current wheeze, rhinoconjunctivitis, and asthma among adolescents. This study was conducted during May–November 2012 around five mine dumps in South Africa. Communities in close proximity to mine dumps had an increased likelihood of current wheeze OR 1.38 (95% CI: 1.10–1.71), rhinoconjunctivitis OR 1.54 (95% CI: 1.29–1.82), and a protective association with asthma OR 0.29 (95% CI: 0.23–0.35). Factors associated with health outcomes included other indoor and outdoor pollution sources. Wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis appear to be a public health problem in these communities. The findings of this study serve as a base for further detailed epidemiological studies for communities in close proximity to the mine dumps e.g. a planned birth cohort study.
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Introduction

A recent review (Anandan et al. 2010) indicated that current wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis prevalence is increasing globally, including developing countries like South Africa (Zar et al. 2007). Various lifestyle risk factors have been associated with existing symptoms of asthma (i.e. wheeze) and rhinoconjunctivitis, such as diet (Corbo et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2009) lack of physical activity (Eijkemans et al. 2012), and active smoking (Polosa & Thomson 2012). Demographic factors such as sex (Kynyk et al. 2011), age (Kabir et al. 2005), and ethnicity (Hjern et al. 2000; Drake et al. 2008) have also been associated with existing symptoms of these health outcomes.

Evidence is also increasing that environmental factors, such as various air pollution sources, significantly increase the risk of existing symptoms of asthma (i.e. wheeze) and rhinoconjunctivitis (World Health Organization 2013). Environmental factors are beyond the control of the individual and therefore of great importance. Numerous studies in both developed and developing countries thus far investigated the following air pollution sources: environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure at home or school (Tsai et al. 2010; Hedman et al. 2011), traffic (Gasana et al. 2012), and polluting fuel use for residential cooking/heating (De Bilderling et al. 2005; Koistinen et al. 2008; Brashier et al. 2012). Whether air pollution, lifestyle, and demographic factors actually are
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involved in the development of asthma and rhinoconjunctivitis is still being investigated (The Global Asthma Report Asthma 2011).

Mine dumps facilities are major generators of windblown dust and are one of the main sources of air pollution with potential adverse health implications for nearby residents (Ojelede et al. 2012). One of the biggest challenges facing mine industry is inadequate waste disposal. Mine dumps consist of crashed sand-like waste material which is generated by extraction and grinding methods of ground ore during mining (Saunders et al. 2009; Moreno et al. 2010). The material contains a complex mixture of metals, dust particles, or particulate matter that is released and transported to the surrounding communities by air, soil, or water contamination (Moreno et al. 2010). Exposed communities are of lower socioeconomic status, often elderly people and children (Ojelede et al. 2012). These communities comprise historically marginalized ethnic groups living in government-funded houses, informal settlements, and retirement homes (Wright et al. 2014). Epidemiological studies have shown that living near mine dumps is a major risk for exposure to particulate matter and metals such as cadmium, manganese, lead, and arsenic (Hu et al. 2007; Meza-Figueroa et al. 2009; Moreno et al. 2010). Children are particularly vulnerable because their respiratory system is still developing (Sacks et al. 2011). Higher prevalence of respiratory diseases was observed and correlated with toxic metals in the blood in people living near mining sites in Campos de Jales, Portugal as compared to a control group living 45 km in Vilar de Macada (Mayan et al. 2006).

Studies that have reported on the prevalence of asthma symptoms in South Africa applied the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) protocol and a wide variability in the prevalence of asthma, wheeze, and rhinoconjunctivitis in residential areas situated near industries was observed (Wichmann et al. 2007; Zar et al. 2007; Shirinde et al. 2014). ISAAC is the largest worldwide collaborative respiratory research project that studies asthma and its symptoms among children (The Global Asthma Report Asthma 2011).

No studies have investigated whether exposure to dust from mine dumps or living in close proximity to mine dumps pose an increased risk for existing symptoms of asthma (i.e. wheeze) and rhinoconjunctivitis, whether this exposure is involved in the development of these health outcomes or there is effect modification between various air pollution sources, including mine dust.

This study is part of the bigger project initiated by Mine Health Safety Council of South Africa (MHSC) around communities located near mine dumps in Gauteng and North West provinces. This study is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study that investigated the prevalence, association between potential risk factors and wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis among adolescents staying in communities situated near mine dumps in South Africa. The aim of the study was to investigate the prevalence, association between community proximity to mine dumps, and current wheeze, rhinoconjunctivitis, and asthma among adolescents. Effect modification between community proximity to mine dumps and other air pollution sources was also investigated i.e. type of fuel use for residential cooking/heating, frequency of truck traffic near homes, ETS exposure at home and school.

Methods

Study area and demographics

A cross-sectional epidemiological design was applied. Communities in urban areas living 1–2 km (exposed) and 5 km (unexposed) from pre-selected five mine dumps in
Gauteng and North West Provinces of South Africa were included in the study during May to November 2012. Table 1 lists the selected communities and Figure 1 shows the map of the study area. The socioeconomic and demographic profile of exposed and unexposed communities was similar.

The study focused on 13–14-year-old pupils who attended schools located in the 11 selected communities. The study participants were selected during school hours at their respective schools.

Exposed communities had 23 schools (primary and secondary) in total as identified by the investigators. Seven junior primary schools were excluded and 16 schools were contacted and invited to participate. Junior primary schools comprise pupils under the age of 12 with classes starting from grade zero to four and primary schools pupils from 5 to 15-year olds with classes begin from grade zero to seven. Four of the 16 schools declined and 12 schools participated in the study.

Fourteen schools, both primary and secondary in the unexposed area, were randomly selected to match the number of schools in exposed communities. Four schools declined and 10 schools participated in the questionnaire survey.

Twenty-two schools were included in the study, of which 13 were secondary and nine were primary schools. In each school, all eligible pupils who were 13–14 years old and who resided in one of the selected 11 communities were requested to participate. Each exposure group consisted of 3000 pupils that were invited to participate.

**Exclusion criteria**

Schools and pupils that did not grant permission prior to the start of the fieldwork, or showed lack of cooperation and pupils residing in communities other than the 11 selected communities (i.e. home and school communities not necessarily the same) were excluded from the study. Figure 2 shows a flowchart of the procedure followed to recruit study participants and the participation rate.

The institutional review boards of the participating schools approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents and from all participants.

**Health outcomes**

The study participants completed a self-administered questionnaire based on the ISAAC Phase I protocol (Asher et al. 1995). Data were collected using the English version of

| Mine dump facility name          | Province located | Exposed communities* | Unexposed communities* |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Durban Roodepoort Deep (DRD)     | Gauteng          | Braamfischerville    | Dobsonville            |
| Crown Gold Recoveries (CGR)      | Gauteng          | Diepkloof, Riverlea, and Noordgesig | Orlando East |
| East Rand Proprietary Mines (ERPM) | Gauteng          | Reiger Park          | Windmill Park          |
| Ergo Anglo Gold Ashanti (AGA)    | Gauteng          | Geluksdal            | Windmill Park          |
|                                  | North West       | Stiffontein          | Jouberton              |

*a1–2 km from mine dumps.

*b5 km or more from mine dumps.
ISAAC written questionnaire composed of modules such as asthma and rhinitis, each comprising up to eight easily understood questions. The medium of instruction in all the schools that participated in the study was English.

According to the ISAAC Phase 1 protocol, current wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis symptoms were classified on the basis of positive answers to the following questions:

Current wheeze: “Have you had wheeze or whistling in the chest at any time in the past 12 months?”

Asthma: “Have you ever had asthma?”

Rhinoconjunctivitis symptoms: “Have you ever had a problem with sneezing, or a runny, or blocked nose when you did not have a cold or flu?,” “In the past 12 months have you ever had a problem with sneezing, or a runny, or blocked nose when you did not have a cold or flu?,” and “In the past 12 months has this nose problem been accompanied by itchy-watery eyes?”

Main exposure factor

The exposure status of a community to mine dust was the main exposure factor. The exposure status was based on the distance between a community and a mine dump (Table 1).
Potential confounding variables included other air pollution sources: active smoking by participants (yes/no), ETS exposure at home (yes/no), ETS exposure at school (yes/no), type of residential cooking/heating fuel (electricity, gas, paraffin, wood/coal), mode of transportation to school (walking, taxi/bus, car, combination), and the frequency of trucks passing near the residence (never, seldom, frequently through the day, almost all day). Additional confounders considered were: level of mother’s education (primary school, secondary school, tertiary education), sex (female/male), vigorous physical activities (never/occasionally, 1 to 2 times/week, ≥3 times/week), hours spent watching television per day (<1, 1–3, 3–5, ≥5 h), frequency of dietary intake of 15 food items (rice, milk, pasta, meat, seafood, fruits, vegetables, pulses, cereal, butter, margarine, nuts, potatoes, eggs, fast food) (never/occasionally, once/twice per week, three or more times per week).
times a week), type of house (brick, mud, corrugated iron, or combination), having running water inside the house (yes/no), and average travel time from home to hospital (15 min walk/5 min drive, 1 h walk/15 min drive, ≥1 h walk/≥30 min drive).

Statistical analyses

Three data technicians entered the collected questionnaire data into a database set up in Epi Info version 3.5.3. Data were analyzed in Stata version 12. Prevalence of the health outcome and the proportion of air pollution sources under investigation and confounding variables were calculated by dividing the number of participants who responded affirmatively to a particular question by the number of questionnaires completed. Observations marked as “do not know”, “not stated”, or “other responses” were set as missing, but were included in the descriptive analyses. Therefore, each question had a slightly different sample size. All explanatory variables had some missing observations. A chi square test was applied to determine the relationship between community type (exposed/unexposed) and confounding variables. Crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95 % confidence intervals (CI) were calculated with univariate and multiple logistic regression analysis (LRA) to estimate the likelihood of having current wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis. Missing values were automatically excluded in each LRA model; therefore, each LRA model will have a different sample size. Air pollution source and confounding variables with \( p < 0.20 \) obtained in the univariate LRA were included in the multiple LRA. A \( p \)-value <0.05 in the multiple LRA was considered statistically significant (Gortmaker et al. 1994). The data were further stratified according to community type, exposed and unexposed to determine if the observed associations for the overall study population applied to the two community types separately. Effect modification between community type (exposed/unexposed) and the other air pollution source variables was investigated by including multiplicative terms in the multiple LRA models.

Results

From an original 4300, data from 3641 participants were included in the final statistical analysis (a participation rate of 71.7 %). The majority 2334 (77.8 %) of the study participants lived and attended school in the seven selected exposed communities, whereas 1307 (44.0 %) were from the four selected unexposed communities (Table 2). The use of paraffin or open fires for residential cooking/heating was more common in the exposed communities. The majority of participants from both the exposed and unexposed communities reported electricity as the main source of residential fuel for cooking/heating, engaged in vigorous physical activities more than once per week and spent more than 5 h watching television per week. Nearly a half of the participants in both the exposed and unexposed communities reported that their residences were located near roads where trucks were always passing. ETS exposure at home and at school was significantly more common among study participants from exposed communities.

The overall prevalence of current wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis was 675 (18.5 %), 596 (17.5 %), and 1060 (29.1 %), respectively (Table 3). The prevalence of current wheeze 429 (21.1 %) was significantly higher in the exposed than unexposed communities 183 (14.0 %). This trend was also observed for rhinoconjunctivitis. In contrast, asthma 238 (10.8 %) was significantly less prevalent in the exposed than unexposed communities 358 (29.6 %).
Supplementary tables 1–3 summarize the results from the univariate LRA of all 11 study communities and by community type (exposed/unexposed).

Table 4 summarizes the results from the multiple LRA of all 11 study communities. Living in exposed communities significantly increased the likelihood of having current wheeze (38%) and rhinoconjunctivitis (54%). In contrast, those living in the exposed
communities had a significant protective association with asthma (OR = 0.29; 95 % CI: 0.23–0.35). Males were less likely than females to have asthma (OR = 0.73; 95 % CI: 0.59–0.90) or rhinoconjunctivitis (OR = 0.60; 95 % CI: 0.51–0.71). Using polluting fuels, such as open fires for residential cooking/heating had a significant detrimental association with current wheeze (OR = 1.98; 95 % CI: 1.73–2.33) and a dose–response was observed for this factor. None of the other two health outcomes were significantly associated with the fuel use variable. Those participants who lived near roads where trucks were always passing were 53 and 32 % more likely to have wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis, respectively. Truck traffic was not significantly associated with asthma. ETS exposure at home had a significant detrimental association with current wheeze (OR = 1.33; 95 % CI: 1.08–1.63) and rhinoconjunctivitis (OR = 1.23; 95 % CI: 1.04–1.46), but not with asthma. ETS exposure at school significantly increased the likelihood of asthma (63 %), but not for current wheeze or rhinoconjunctivitis. Engaging in vigorous physical activities once or more per week had a significant detrimental association with all three health outcomes. More than 5 h spent indoors watching television had a significant protective association with asthma (OR = 0.73; 95 % CI: 0.53–0.99), but a significant detrimental association with rhinoconjunctivitis (OR = 1.52; 95 % CI: 1.18–1.75). Effect modification between community type (exposed/unexposed) and the other air pollution source variables was investigated. No significant effect modification was observed (results not shown).

Tables 5 and 6 show results from multiple LRA stratified by community type (exposed/unexposed). In exposed communities, gas frequently used for residential cooking/heating was associated with asthma (OR = 2.20; 95 % CI: 1.02–4.70). Engaging in vigorous physical activities was consistently associated with current wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis in exposed compared to unexposed communities. More than 5 h spent indoors watching television had a significant protective association with asthma (OR = 0.49; 95 % CI: 0.27–0.89), but a significant association with current

| Community                  | Exposeda | Unexposedb | Total  |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|--------|
| **Current wheeze**         |          |            |        |
| Yes                        | 492 (21.1)| 183 (14.0) | 675 (18.5) |
| No                         | 1842 (78.9)| 1124 (86.0)| 2966 (81.5) |
| Missing                    | –        | –          | –      |
| Total                      | 2334 (64.1)| 1307 (35.9)| 3641 (100) |
| **Asthma**                 |          |            |        |
| Yes                        | 238 (10.8)| 358 (29.6) | 596 (17.5) |
| No                         | 1962 (89.2)| 851 (70.4) | 2813 (82.5) |
| Missing                    | 134      | 98         | 232    |
| Total                      | 2200 (64.5)| 1209 (35.5)| 3409 (100) |
| **Rhinoconjunctivitis**    |          |            |        |
| Yes                        | 768 (32.9)| 292 (22.3) | 1060 (29.1) |
| No                         | 1566 (67.1)| 1015 (77.7)| 2581 (70.9) |
| Missing                    | –        | –          | –      |
| Total                      | 2334 (64.1)| 1307 (35.9)| 3641 (100) |

Note: Figures in parentheses are percentages.

aExposed: communities located 1–2 km from mine dumps.
bUnexposed: communities located 5 km or more from mine dumps.
Table 4. Prevalence, adjusted odds ratios of wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis in all 11 study communities.

| Characteristics                  | Current wheeze |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
|                                  | n (%) | Adjusted OR<sup>a</sup> | p-value |
| **Community**                    | n (%) | (95% CI)             |         |
| Unexposed*                       | 183 (14.0) | 1 | 358 (29.6) | 1 |
| Exposed                          | 492 (21.1) | 1.38 (1.10–1.71) | 0.004 |
| **Sex**                          | 339 (9.7) | 1 | 314 (9.4) | 1 |
| Female*                          | 321 (9.1) | – | 265 (7.9) | 0.73 (0.59–0.90) | 0.004 |
| Male                             | 36 (0.9) | – | 25 (0.7) | – |
| **Residential heating/cooking fuel type** | 574 (17.1) | 1 | 447 (14.0) | 1 |
| Electricity*                     | 12 (0.4) | 0.48 (0.23–1.02) | 0.057 |
| Gas                              | 31 (0.9) | 1.25 (0.78–1.99) | 0.351 |
| Paraffin                         | 29 (0.9) | 1.98 (1.73–2.33) | 0.004 |
| Open fire                        | – | – | – | – |
| **Frequency of trucks passing near residence on weekdays** | 90 (2.6) | 1 | 89 (2.6) | 1 |
| Never*                           | 144 (4.1) | 0.96 (0.68–1.35) | 0.813 |
| Seldom                           | 110 (3.1) | 1.25 (0.77–1.99) | 0.448 |
| Frequently                       | 320 (9.1) | 1.53 (1.28–1.97) | 0.003 |
| Always                           | – | – | – | – |
| **ETS exposure at home in the past 30 days** | 228 (7.2) | 1 | 234 (7.7) | 1 |
| No*                              | 365 (11.5) | 1.33 (1.08–1.63) | 0.006 |
| Yes                              | – | – | – | – |
| **ETS exposure at school**       | 259 (8.7) | 1 | 199 (7.0) | 1 |
| No*                              | 300 (10.1) | 1.63 (1.26–2.11) | 0.030 |
| Yes                              | – | – | – | – |
| **Vigorous physical activities per week** | 150 (4.3) | 1 | 146 (4.4) | 1 |
| Never*                           | 290 (8.2) | 1.37 (1.10–1.71) | 0.014 |
| Once or twice per week           | 233 (6.4) | 1.61 (1.29–2.20) | <0.001 |
| Three or more times per week     | – | – | – | – |

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age and gender

(Continued)
Table 4. (Continued).

| Characteristics | Current wheeze n (%) | Adjusted OR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI) | p-value | Asthma n (%) | Adjusted OR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) | p-value | Rhinoconjunctivitis n (%) | Adjusted OR<sup>c</sup> (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Hours spent watching television per week |
| Less than 1 h* | 83 (2.3) | 1 | | 104 (3.1) | 1 | | 119 (3.4) | 1 | |
| 1 h but less than 3 h | 114 (3.2) | – | | 95 (2.8) | 0.76 (0.52–1.10) | 0.151 | 169 (4.8) | 1.26 (0.93–1.70) | 0.133 |
| 3 h but less than 5 h | 121 (3.4) | – | | 103 (3.0) | 0.59 (0.41–0.85) | 0.005 | 221 (6.2) | 1.31 (0.99–1.75) | 0.063 |
| 5 h or more | 355 (10.0) | – | | 291 (8.6) | 0.73 (0.53–0.99) | 0.046 | 545 (15.3) | 1.52 (1.18–1.75) | 0.001 |

*Reference category.

<sup>a</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table, except sex.

<sup>b</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table, except ETS exposure at home/ frequency of trucks passing near residences.

<sup>c</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table and having pets in and around the house, but not adjusted for residential heating/cooking fuel type and ETS at school.
wheeze (OR = 1.70; 95 % CI: 1.17–1.82) and rhinoconjunctivitis (OR = 1.69; 95 % CI: 1.18–1.75) in exposed communities, whereas a significant protective effect was observed for wheeze (OR = 0.41; 95 % CI: 0.22–0.77) in unexposed communities.

Discussion

The results of this study suggest that there is a high prevalence of current wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis in the seven exposed communities (i.e. 1–2 km from mine dumps). A recent report from different centers in African countries that participated in the ISAAC Phase III reported considerable variations in the prevalence of current wheeze (4.0–21.5 %) and rhinoconjunctivitis (7.2–27.3 %) (Ait-Khaled et al. 2007). The prevalence of current wheeze reported in this study support findings in the ISAAC Phase III for African countries. The prevalence of asthma in exposed communities was consistent with earlier studies conducted in South Africa, i.e. between 10 and 13 % (Burr et al. 1994; Ehrlich et al. 1995; Nriagu et al. 1999; Obihara et al. 2005). However, high prevalence of current wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis was observed as compared to other studies conducted in the industrialized cities of Cape Town (20.3 and 20.7 %) (Zar et al. 2007) and Polokwane (18.0 and 16.9 %) (Wichmann et al. 2007). The 12-month prevalence of wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis over lifetime prevalence was used as previous studies had shown that this definition is less susceptible to recall bias.

The probability of a community to mine dumps, residential heating/cooking fuel type, truck traffic frequency, and ETS exposure at home and school is all surrogate measures for indoor and outdoor exposure to criteria air pollutants and other pollutants. Criteria air pollutants include particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 10 μm (PM10), PM2.5, nitrogen dioxide (NO2), sulfur dioxide (SO2), ground-level ozone (O3), carbon dioxide (CO), and lead. Mine dump facilities are major sources of windblown dust and smaller PM, e.g. PM10. The mine dust and smaller PM are released and transported to the surrounding communities by air, soil, or water contamination (Moreno et al. 2010; Ojelede et al. 2012). Mine dumps contain a complex mixture of metals such as cadmium, manganese, gold, arsenic, selenium, lead. In this study, residing in an exposed community was significantly associated with an increased risk of current wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis among adolescents. A worldwide analysis of ISAAC data of 13–14-year olds showed that the urban background PM10 has little or no association with current wheeze and rhinoconjunctivitis (Anderson et al. 2010). These findings are in contrast to those of this study; this may be due to the difference in concentration and chemical composition of PM10 and the study setting. Residential developments in some of the selected communities are now at the foot of the mine dumps (Ojelede et al. 2012), and therefore, increasing human exposure from the eroded particulate matter of mine dumps (Annegarn 2006).

The results of this study showed increased risk of current wheeze due to the use of open fires for residential heating/cooking. Due to various socioeconomic reasons and high unemployment rate in South Africa, many households use paraffin and open fires as alternative sources of energy as they are more affordable than electricity (Schwebel & Swart 2009). A global analysis of ISAAC data of 13–14-year olds showed a positive association between current wheeze and use of open fires for cooking (Wong et al. 2013). In our study, a stronger association was observed than that of the ISAAC global analysis.

The association between air pollution derived from truck traffic, asthma, current wheeze, and rhinoconjunctivitis is not novel (Guo et al. 1999; Janssen et al. 2003;
Table 5. Prevalence, adjusted odds ratios of wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis in exposed communities.

| Characteristics                        | Current wheeze | Adjusted OR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI) | p-value | Asthma | Adjusted OR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) | p-value | Rhinoconjunctivitis | Adjusted OR<sup>c</sup> (95% CI) | p-value |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| **Sex**                                |                |                                  |         |        |                                  |         |                     |                                  |         |
| Female*                                | 242 (10.7)     | 1                                |         | 123 (5.7) | 1                                |         | 435 (19.2)          | 1                                |         |
| Male                                   | 237 (10.4)     |                                  | 0.008   | 107 (5.0) | 0.71 (0.50–0.99)               | 0.048   | 333 (14.1)          | 0.60 (0.51–0.73)               | <0.001  |
| **Residential heating/cooking fuel type** |                |                                  |         |        |                                  |         |                     |                                  |         |
| Electricity*                           | 413 (18.9)     | 1                                |         | 170 (8.2) | 1                                |         | 673 (30.7)          | 1                                |         |
| Gas                                    | 9 (0.4)        |                                  |         | 12 (0.6)  | 2.20 (1.02–4.70)               | 0.044   | 14 (0.6)            |                                  |         |
| Paraffin                               | 22 (1.0)       |                                  |         | 9 (0.4)   | 1.20 (0.53–2.72)               | 0.667   | 29 (1.3)            |                                  |         |
| Open fires                             | 29 (1.3)       |                                  |         | 4 (0.2)    | 0.49 (0.17–1.37)               | 0.172   | 33 (1.5)            |                                  |         |
| **Frequency of trucks passing near residence on weekdays** | | | | | | | | | |
| Never*                                 | 56 (2.5)       | 1                                |         | 34 (1.6) |                                 |         | 86 (3.8)            |                                  |         |
| Seldom                                 | 108 (4.7)      |                                  |         | 52 (2.4) | 1.09 (0.79–1.66)               | 0.580   | 137 (6.0)           | 1.09 (0.79–1.66)               | 0.580   |
| Frequently                             | 75 (3.3)       |                                  |         | 32 (1.5) | 1                                |         | 114 (5.0)           | 1.35 (0.96–1.71)               | 0.088   |
| Always                                 | 244 (10.7)     |                                  |         | 115 (5.3) |                                 |         | 423 (18.5)          | 1.56 (1.17–1.75)               | 0.002   |
| **ETS exposure at home in the past 30 days** | | | | | | | | | |
| No*                                    | 148 (7.3)      | 1                                |         | 86 (4.5) | 1                                |         | 272 (13.4)          | 1                                |         |
| Yes                                    | 270 (13.3)     | **1.44 (1.15–1.81)**   | **0.002**   | 126 (6.6) | 1                                |         | 388 (19.2)          |                                  |         |
| **ETS exposure at school**             |                |                                  |         |        |                                  |         |                     |                                  |         |
| No*                                    | 161 (8.4)      | 1                                |         | 69 (3.8) | 1                                |         | 272 (14.3)          | 1                                |         |
| Yes                                    | 242 (12.7)     |                                  |         | 122 (6.7) | **1.43 (1.01–2.02)** | **0.042** | 374 (19.6)          |                                  |         |
| **Vigorous physical activities per week** |                |                                  |         |        |                                  |         |                     |                                  |         |
| Never*                                 | 101 (4.4)      | 1                                |         | 46 (2.1) | 1                                |         | 204 (9.0)           | 1                                |         |
| Once or twice per week                 | 204 (9.0)      | **1.59 (1.20–2.10)**            | **0.001**   | 96 (4.4)  | 1.49 (0.95–2.33)               | 0.059   | 326 (14.3)          | 1.35 (1.05–1.61)               | 0.017   |
| Three or more times per week           | 178 (7.8)      | **2.25 (1.67–3.03)**            | <0.001   | 94 (4.4)  | 2.60 (1.65–2.67)               | <0.001  | 228 (10.0)          | 1.49 (1.17–1.91)               | 0.001   |
| **Hours spent watching television per week** | | | | | | | | | |
| Less than 1 h*                         | 50 (2.2)       | 1                                |         | 42 (1.9) | 1                                |         | 82 (3.6)            | 1                                |         |
| 1 h but less than 3 h                  | 81 (3.5)       | **1.22 (0.80–1.84)**            | 0.355   | 41 (1.9)  | 0.78 (0.44–1.40)               | 0.151   | 123 (5.3)           | 1.11 (0.79–1.56)               | 0.545   |
| 3 h but less than 5 h                  | 101 (4.4)      | **1.21 (0.81–1.81)**            | 0.355   | 44 (2.0)  | **0.49 (0.27–0.89)**          | **0.020** | 171 (7.4)           | 1.35 (0.98–1.87)               | 0.071   |
| 5 h or more                            | 259 (11.2)     | **1.70 (1.17–1.82)**            | **0.005**   | 110 (5.0) | 0.84 (0.51–1.38)               | 0.487   | 388 (16.8)          | 1.69 (1.18–1.75)               | 0.001   |

*Reference category.

<sup>a</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table, except sex.

<sup>b</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table, except adjusted for residential heating/cooking fuel type and ETS at school.

<sup>c</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table except ETS at school.
Table 6. Prevalence, adjusted odds ratios of wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis in unexposed communities.

| Characteristics                                      | Current wheeze % | Adjusted OR<sup>a</sup> (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) | p-value | Rhinoconjunctivitis % | Adjusted OR<sup>c</sup> (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| **Sex**                                              |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| Female*                                              | 97 (7.8)         | 1                                 |         | 191 (16.0)                        |         | 174 (14.0)            | 1                                 |         |
| Male                                                 | 86 (6.8)         |                                   |         | 158 (13.3)                        |         | 117 (9.4)             | 0.56 (0.42–0.76) <0.001            |         |
| **Residential heating/cooking fuel type**            |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| Electricity*                                         | 161 (13.8)       | 1                                 |         | 277 (24.6)                        |         | 260 (22.3)            | 1                                 |         |
| Gas                                                  | 3 (0.3)          | 0.67 (0.20–2.28)                  | 0.528   | 6 (0.5)                           |         | 7 (0.6)               |                       |         |
| Paraffin                                             | 9 (0.8)          | 1.53 (0.71–3.29)                  | 0.280   | 10 (0.9)                          |         | 18 (1.6)              |                       |         |
| Open fires                                           | 0 (0.0)          |                                   |         | 2 (0.2)                           |         | 3 (0.3)               |                       |         |
| **Frequency of trucks passing near residence on weekdays** |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| Never*                                               | 34 (2.7)         | 1                                 |         | 55 (4.6)                          |         | 35 (2.8)              | 1                                 |         |
| Seldom                                               | 36 (2.9)         |                                   |         | 43 (3.6)                          | 0.64 (0.37–1.08) | 0.095 | 79 (6.4)              |                       |         |
| Frequently                                           | 35 (2.8)         |                                   |         | 53 (4.4)                          | 1.70 (1.02–2.82) | 0.043 | 36 (2.9)              |                       |         |
| Always                                               | 76 (6.1)         |                                   |         | 202 (16.9)                        | 1.33 (0.88–2.00) | 0.171 | 141 (11.4)            |                       |         |
| **ETS exposure at home in the past 30 days**         |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| No*                                                  | 80 (6.9)         | 1                                 |         | 148 (13.3)                        |         | 120 (10.4)            | 1                                 |         |
| Yes                                                  | 95 (8.2)         |                                   |         | 168 (15.1)                        |         | 159 (13.8)            |                       |         |
| **ETS exposure at school**                           |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| No*                                                  | 98 (9.3)         | 1                                 |         | 130 (12.8)                        |         | 165 (15.6)            | 1                                 |         |
| Yes                                                  | 58 (5.5)         |                                   |         | 143 (14.1)                        | 1.87 (1.39–2.49) | <0.001 | 88 (8.3)              | 0.70 (0.52–0.94) <0.001 |         |
| **Vigorous physical activities per week**            |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| Never*                                               | 49 (3.9)         | 1                                 |         | 100 (8.4)                         |         | 64 (5.1)              | 1                                 |         |
| Once or twice per week                               | 86 (6.9)         |                                   |         | 149 (12.5)                        | 1.16 (0.82–1.65) | 0.392 | 157 (12.6)            | 1.77 (1.25–2.51) 0.001 |         |
| Three or more times per week                         | 48 (3.9)         |                                   |         | 106 (8.9)                         | 1.62 (1.11–2.39) | 0.013 | 71 (5.7)              | 1.47 (0.97–2.20) 0.065 |         |
| **Hours spent watching television per week**         |                  |                                   |         |                                   |         |                       |                                   |         |
| Less than 1 h*                                       | 33 (2.6)         | 1                                 |         | 62 (5.2)                          |         | 37 (3.0)              | 1                                 |         |
| 1 h but less than 3 h                                 | 33 (2.6)         | 1.07 (0.62–1.87)                  | 0.807   | 54 (4.5)                          | 0.84 (0.49–1.43) | 0.521 | 46 (3.7)              |                       |         |
| 3 h but less than 5 h                                 | 20 (1.6)         | 0.41 (0.22–0.77)                  | 0.005   | 59 (4.9)                          | 0.67 (0.40–1.11) | 0.119 | 50 (4.0)              |                       |         |
| 5 h or more                                          | 96 (7.7)         | 0.81 (0.52–1.28)                  | 0.280   | 181 (15.1)                        | 0.67 (0.44–1.01) | 0.058 | 157 (12.6)            |                       |         |

<sup>a</sup>Reference category.
<sup>b</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table, except sex, ETS exposure at home, ETS exposure at school, vigorous physical activity per week.
<sup>c</sup>Model adjusted for all the variables in this table, but not adjusted for, except ETS exposure at home.
Brunekreef et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 2010; McConnell et al. 2010). People living in close proximity to busy roads are most adversely affected (Gonzalez-Barcala et al. 2013). A strong association between truck traffic frequency and current wheeze was observed in this study.

A recent systematic review of 79 prospective studies found that pre- or postnatal ETS was associated with increased risk of wheezing and rhinoconjunctivitis (Burke et al. 2012), our study supports this association. A large number of adolescents reported ETS exposure at schools, which indicated that smoking habits are common in South African public schools. Hence, health promotion programs to reduce smoking at schools should be strengthened; programs that will not only improve knowledge of the dangers of smoking, but also change the attitude toward smoking.

Individual exposure to pollutants depends on the amount of concentration in the exposed environment, duration, and time pattern of exposure (Furtaw 2001). A significant association was observed between those who engaged in vigorous physical activities more than once per week, and current wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis. During exercise, a higher quantity of air inhaled is observed and consequently higher internal dose of air pollution. It is surprising that hours spent indoors watching television had a significant protective effect for asthma, but was a risk factor for rhinoconjunctivitis. Children with asthma are generally less active than their non-asthmatic counterparts (Williams et al. 2008) and may consequently spend more time indoors and be less exposed to outdoor air pollution.

A possible mechanism to explain the observed detrimental associations between wheeze and the community exposure status and the other indoor or outdoor air pollution sources is that the emitted criteria pollutants may cause air way mucosal damage, disabled mucociliary clearance, enhanced penetration and the access of inhaled allergens to the cells of the immune system, and airway sensitization; thus, resulting in airway inflammation (D’Amato et al. 2002; Yang & Omaye 2009).

This study has a few limitations. First, the findings are based on self-reported answers from a questionnaire. Self-reported answers may lead to misclassification of the disease and exposure status, which may result in spurious statistical significant associations. For example, children with asthma might have underestimated how often they engage in vigorous physical activities, while overestimation could have occurred without asthma. Second, no quantitative air pollution exposure assessment was conducted. Third, frequency of trucks passing near homes on weekdays maybe misclassified as on weekdays participants are at school. Fourth, the differential participation rate between exposed and unexposed communities is of concern and may well have introduced selection bias, which is likely to overestimate the prevalence estimates derived from our cross-sectional study and also bias the association in either direction. Fifth, no data on possible reasons for declining to take part were collected from parents who did not give consent. Sixth, data on the video questionnaire, which were believed to be more specific for asthma, were not included in the analysis, as the questionnaire could not be completed in some schools, due to logistical problems such as unavailability of electricity, challenges of moving audio–visual equipment from class to class, or lack of a suitable venue where the children could watch the video. Lastly, we did not collect data on how access to healthcare varies between exposed and unexposed communities.

The strength of our study is that an international validated ISAAC questionnaire was used to study the symptoms of wheeze, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis. The findings of this study serve as a base for further detailed epidemiological studies for communities in close proximity to the mine dumps e.g. a planned birth cohort study.
Conclusions
A high prevalence of wheeze (a symptom of asthma), and rhinoconjunctivitis among adolescents in communities located near mine dumps was observed. Detrimental associations between these health outcomes and community proximity to mine dumps, open fire cooking, frequency of truck traffic, ETS, and physical activeness were noted. In the era when disease such as asthma is rapidly rising to be leading burden globally and with developments at the base of mine dumps in some communities, the increasing population in these areas will potentially add to the burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa.
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