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Abstract

Work on cross document coreference resolution (CDCR) has primarily focused on news articles, with little to no work for social media. Yet social media may be particularly challenging since short messages provide little context, and informal names are pervasive. We introduce a new Twitter corpus that contains entity annotations for entity clusters that supports CDCR. Our corpus draws from Twitter data surrounding the 2013 Grammy music awards ceremony, providing a large set of annotated tweets focusing on a single event. To establish a baseline we evaluate two CDCR systems and consider the performance impact of each system component. Furthermore, we augment one system to include temporal information, which can be helpful when documents (such as tweets) arrive in a specific order. Finally, we include annotations linking the entities to a knowledge base to support entity linking. Our corpus is available: https://bitbucket.org/mdredze/tgx

1 Entity Disambiguation

Who is who and what is what? Answering such questions is usually the first step towards deeper semantic analysis of documents, e.g., extracting relations and roles between entities and events. Entity disambiguation identifies real world entities from textual references. Entity linking – or more generally Wikification (Ratinov et al., 2011) – disambiguates reference in the context of a knowledge base, such as Wikipedia (Cucerzan, 2007; McNamee and Dang, 2009; Dredze et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Han and Sun, 2011). Entity linking systems use the name mention and a context model to identify possible candidates and disambiguate similar entries. The context model includes a variety of information from the context, such as the surrounding text or facts extracted from the document. Though early work on the task goes back to Cucerzan (2007), the name entity linking was first introduced as part of TAC KBP 2009 (McNamee and Dang, 2009).

Without a knowledge base, cross-document coreference resolution (CDCR) clusters mentions to form entities (Bagga and Baldwin, 1998b). Since 2011, CDCR has been included as a task in TAC-KBP (Ji et al., 2011) and has attracted renewed interest (Baron and Freedman, 2008b; Rao et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012; Green et al., 2012; Andrews et al., 2014). Though traditionally a task restricted to small collections of formal documents (Bagga and Baldwin, 1998b; Baron and Freedman, 2008a), recent work has scaled up CDCR to large heterogeneous corpora, e.g. the Web (Wick et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2012).

While both tasks have traditionally considered formal texts, recent work has begun to consider informal genres, which pose a number of interesting challenges, such as increased spelling variation and (especially for Twitter) reduced context for disambiguation. Yet entity disambiguation, which links mentions across documents, is especially important for social media, where understanding an event often requires reading multiple short messages, as opposed to news articles, which have extensive background information. For example, there have now
been several papers to consider named entity recognition in social media, a key first step in an entity disambiguation pipeline (Finin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Ritter et al., 2011; Fromreide et al., 2014; Li et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Cherry and Guo, 2015; Peng and Dredze, 2015). Additionally, some have explored entity linking in Twitter (Liu et al., 2013; Meij et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2013), and have created datasets to support evaluation. However, to date no study has evaluated CDCR on social media data, and there is no annotated corpus to support such an effort.

In this paper we present a new dataset that supports CDCR in Twitter: the TGX corpus (Twitter Grammy X-doc), a collection of Tweets collected around the 2013 Grammy music awards ceremony. The corpus includes tweets containing references to people, and references are annotated both for entity linking and CDCR. To explore this task for social media data and consider the challenges, opportunities and the performance of state of the art CDCR methods, we evaluate two state-of-the-art CDCR systems. Additionally, we modify one of these systems to incorporate temporal information associated with the corpus. Our results include improved performance for this task, and an analysis of challenges associated with CDCR in social media.

2 Corpus Construction

A number of datasets have been developed to evaluate CDCR, and since the introduction of the TAC-KBP track in 2009, some now include links to a KB (e.g. Wikipedia). See Singh et al. (2012) for a detailed list of datasets. For Twitter, there have been several recent entity linking datasets, all of which number in the hundreds of tweets (Meij et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2013). None are annotated to support CDCR.

Our goal is the creation of a Twitter corpus to support CDCR, which will be an order of magnitude larger than corresponding Twitter corpora for entity linking. We created a corpus around the 2013 Grammy Music Awards ceremony. The popular ceremony lasted several hours generating many tweets. It included many famous people that are in Wikipedia, making it suitable for entity linking and aiding CDCR annotation. Additionally, Media personalities often have popular nicknames, creating an opportunity for name variation analysis.

Using the Twitter streaming API, we collected tweets during the event on Feb 10, 2013 between 8pm and 11:30pm Eastern time (01:00am and 04:30 GMT). We used Carmen geolocation (Dredze et al., 2013) to identify tweets that originated in the United States or Canada and removed tweets that were not identified as English according to the Twitter metadata. We then selected tweets containing “grammy” (case insensitive, and including “#grammy”), reducing 564,892 tweets to 50,429 tweets. Tweets were processed for POS and NER using Twitter NLP Tools (Ritter et al., 2011). Tweets that did not include a person mention were removed. Using an automated NER system may miss some tweets, especially those with high variation in person names, but it provided a fast and effective way to identify tweets to include in our data set. For simplicity, we randomly selected a single person reference per tweet. The final set contained 15,736 tweets.

We randomly selected 5,000 tweets for annotation, a reasonably sized subset for which we could ensure consistent annotation. Each tweet was examined by two annotators who grouped the mentions into clusters (CDCR) and identified the corresponding Wikipedia page for the entity if it existed (entity linking). As part of the annotation, annotators fixed incorrectly identified mention strings. Similar to Guo et al. (2013), ambiguous mentions were removed, but unlike their annotations, we kept all persons including those not in Wikipedia. Mentions that were comprised of usernames were excluded.

The final corpus contains 4,577 annotated tweets, 10,736 unlabeled tweets, and 273 entities, of which 248 appear in Wikipedia. The corpus is divided into five folds by entity (about 55 entities per fold), within document coreference is run before CDCR, and the cross-document task is to cluster within-document coreference chains. In our case, there were very few mentions to the same person within the same tweet, so we did not attempt to make within-document coreference decisions.

\(^1\)Andrews et al. (2014) include CDCR results on an early version of our dataset but did not provide any dataset details or analysis. Additionally, their results averaged over many folds, whereas we will include results on the official dev/test splits.
3 Models

We consider two recent models that represent state-of-the-art performance on CDCR. While TGX has entity linking annotations, we focus on CDCR since Twitter entity linking has been previously explored.

Green et al. (2012) (GREEN) developed a pipeline system for cross document entity disambiguation. First, entities with dissimilar mention strings are identified via “cannot-link” constraints. Then, subject to these constraints, entities are disambiguated based on context via a hierarchical clustering step. Neither of the two steps requires explicit supervision, but instead relies on the careful tuning of hyperparameters. In our experiments, we use a grid search to find the hyperparameters that yield the highest score on the development split, and then use those same hyperparameters for testing with no further tuning. We compare the performance of the full pipeline (FULL), as well as a variation which does no disambiguation (NO-CONTEXT).

Andrews et al. (2014) (PHYLO) developed a generative model for clustering entities across documents based on name and context similarity. Their work extended a phylogenetic name model (Andrews et al., 2012) that learns groups of name variations through string transducers by composing a phylogeny of name variation based on unlabeled data. As above, we present versions of the model with both context and name matching (FULL) as well as without context (NO-CONTEXT). Parameters are tuned on dev data as with GREEN.

A unique property of TGX is its temporal ordering, where documents are timestamped and time impacts entity priors. Figure 4 shows the number of mentions for the top 10 entities over time. The curves are highly peaked, suggesting that there is a small window in time in which the entity is popular, though there are occurrences over the whole event.

We modify PHYLO to include consider temporal information. The model is a generative account of the process by which authors choose particular name spellings, either by copying some existing spelling (possibly introducing variation) or coming up with new names from scratch. This process is modeled in two parts: (1) a name model which assigns probabilities to different spelling variations, and a (2) parent model which assigns probabilities to different parent-child relationships. The parent-child relations give ancestral lineages which form a phylogenetic tree; the connected components of this tree give a partition of names into entity clusters.

Andrews et al. proposed a log-linear model for the parent model to incorporate topic features in order to disambiguate between entities with similar names. By incorporating different features in this log-linear model we give the model more flexibility in explaining the choice of parent. To incorporate temporal information, we introduce features that look at the proximity of pairs of named-entities in time. There are several options for incorporating temporal features; we use a simple overlapping sliding window approach. We use a width of 10 minutes with 5 minute overlaps; every tweet is in two windows except for the first and last 5 minutes. The indicator of a shared bucket fires if a parent and child appear in the same bucket. Unsupervised training can learn

---

6Permitted by the Twitter terms of service: https://dev.twitter.com/overview/terms/agreement-and-policy

7Code available: https://bitbucket.org/noandrews/phyloinf
positive weights for these features by observing that mentions with similar names and contexts, which makes them likely to paired in the phylogeny, are also likely to appear in the same time buckets. We refer to this model as FULL+TIME.

Finally, we compare to an exact mention match baseline (EXACT), which clusters all mentions with identical string mentions.

### 4 Experiments

Following Green et al. (2012) and other CDCR papers, we report results in terms of $B^3$ (Bagga and Baldwin, 1998a) in Table 3. First, we note that the performance of EXACT is relatively high. This is attributable to popular artists that use a single alias as a stage name, such as Adele or Beyonce. The result is that these artists are not susceptible to name variation, except for common misspellings. Only 3.6% of the mentions are singletons, so they are unlikely to significantly help this method.

Next, both CDCR models in all configurations improve over the EXACT baseline. While all versions of PHYLO improve over GREEN on development data, the PHYLO models overfit and do worse on test. These results differ from Andrews et al. (2014), which may be due to our hyper-parameter selection method. Additionally, for both models, adding context improves over clustering based on names alone, but test data suffers for PHYLO. Judging by the resulting clusters, context primarily aided in identifying two references to the same entity that had a low name similarity score.

### Analysis

An analysis of the mistakes made by the CDCR systems point to several sources of error. While some entities had little name variation (e.g., Adele and Miguel) aside from spelling errors, others had significant diversity. Table 2 shows the 10 most referenced entities, including number of mentions and variations. People like Taylor Swift have numerous name variations, which include references to nicknames and full names. This name
variation accounted for many of the errors in our output. For instance, the system produced a large high-precision cluster consisting of “Taylor Swift” mentions, and another cluster consisting of the following three mentions: T-Swizzle, TSwift, T-Swift. Similarly, LLCoJ, Lcoolj, LLCOOLJ and LLCoolJ, were incorrectly placed in their own cluster separate from another high-precision cluster consisting of primarily “LL Cool J” mentions. These errors highlight challenges of dealing with informal communications.

Similarly, we found several errors due to superficial name similarity. For instance, the system placed Jessica Biel and Melissa in the same cluster. The system also produced a low-precision cluster LL and Allison Williams, where LL refers to “LL Cool J.”

While abbreviations are common sources of errors in newswire for organizations and countries, we saw this for people: Neil Patrick Harris vs. NPH. We also saw more typical variations due to forms of address, e.g., Taylor vs. Taylor Swift, and Mayer vs. John Mayer. We did not see many errors where two entities were confused with each other due to context. Instead, low recall clusters were of the type described above.

Finally, there are several properties of the data unique to social media that could help improve results. First, since our simple time features were helpful, but more sophisticated temporal models could further improve the results. Second, Twitter specific properties, such as hashtags and links, could be integrated into a modified generative model. Third, conversations could provide a larger context for resolution, or aid in identifying name variations for a mention. We plan to consider these directions.
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