Search for X-ray emission from bona-fide and candidate brown dwarfs
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Abstract. Following the recent classification of the X-ray detected object V410 x-ray 3 with a young brown dwarf candidate (Briceño et al. 1998) and the identification of an X-ray source in Chamaeleon as young bona-fide brown dwarf (Neuhäuser & Comerón 1998), we investigate all ROSAT All-Sky Survey and archived ROSAT PSPC and HRI pointed observations with bona-fide or candidate brown dwarfs in the field of view with exposure times ranging from 0.13 to 221 ks, including dedicated 64 ks and 42 ks deep ROSAT HRI pointed observations on the low-mass star BRI 0021−0214 and the brown dwarf Calar 3, respectively. Out of 26 bona-fide brown dwarfs, one is newly detected in X-rays, namely ρ Oph GY 202. Also, four out of 57 brown dwarf candidates studied here are detected in X-rays, namely the young Taurus brown dwarf candidates MHO-4, MHO-5, V410 Anon 13, and V410 x-ray 3. The M9.5-type star BRI 0021−0214 is not detected. In the appendix, we also present catalogued, but as yet unnoticed B- and R-band data for some of the objects studied here.
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1. Introduction

Objects which are unable to sustain stable nuclear fusion of hydrogen, but which can burn deuterium until they are ∼ 10⁷ yrs old, are called brown dwarfs; see Kulkarni (1997) for a recent review. They continue to contract until electron degeneracy halts further contraction. Depending on metallicity and model assumptions made in for calculating theoretical evolutionary tracks, the limiting mass between normal stars and brown dwarfs is ∼ 0.075 to 0.08 M⊙ (Burrows et al. 1995, 1997, D’Antona & Mazzitelli 1994, 1997, Allard et al. 1997, Baraffe et al. 1998). Objects with masses below ∼ 0.01 M⊙ cannot even burn deuterium and are called planets. One can also distinguish brown dwarfs from planets by the formation mechanism, namely objects formed in circumstellar accretion disks would be called planets, while those which formed by fragmentation of a protostellar cloud would be called brown dwarfs; however, we prefer to link the distinction with the physics going on inside the objects rather than with its formation, so that we regard all objects between ∼ 0.01 and 0.08 M⊙ as brown dwarfs, regardless of how they formed. One can identify an object as a brown dwarf either using the Lithium test (an object with primordial Lithium abundance either is very young or a brown dwarf or both, Rebolo et al. 1992) or by finding an object below the stellar limit in an H-R or color-magnitude diagram (for this, one needs to know the distance, e.g., due to a bright stellar companion or confirmed membership of a cluster).

Because brown dwarfs have no stable nuclear energy source and derive most of their luminosity from gravitational contraction, they cool down and become less luminous as they age (Burrows et al. 1995, Brandner et al. 1997, Malkov et al. 1998). Hence, several search programs focused on zero-age main-sequence clusters and pre-main sequence associations. Eg., several brown dwarfs were discovered in the ∼ 10⁸ yr old Pleiades cluster (see Tables 1 and 2 for references). Briceño et al. (1998) could identify four brown dwarf candidates in the Taurus star forming region, one of which is V410 x-ray 3, a faint X-ray source.
Table 1: Brown dwarfs (except Cha I).

| Designation | $\log L_{bol}/L_\odot$ | area dist. [pc] ref. |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Roque 4     | $-3.35$                | Pliëades 125 2      |
| MHOdb3      | $-3.03$                | Pliëades 125 3      |
| Roque 5     | $-3.45$                | Pliëades 125 1      |
| Roque 13    | $-3.00$                | Pliëades 125 1      |
| Roque 11    | $-3.15$                | Pliëades 125 1      |
| Teide 1     | $-3.18$                | Pliëades 125 4.5    |
| Roque 17    | $-2.83$                | Pliëades 125 1      |
| Roque 16    | $-2.89$                | Pliëades 125 1.26   |
| PPI 15      | $-2.80$                | Pliëades 125 7      |
| Roque 12    | $-3.14$                | Pliëades 125 3      |
| Roque 25    | $-3.90$                | Pliëades 125 3      |
| Calar 3     | $-3.11$                | Pliëades 125 4.6    |
| Teide 2     | $-2.90$                | Pliëades 125 8      |
| CFHT-PL-18  | $-3.07$                | Pliëades 125 9.10   |
| CFHT-PL-12  | $-2.82$                | Pliëades 125 6.9    |
| CFHT-PL-15  | $-3.16$                | Pliëades 125 6.9    |
| LP 944-20   | $-3.84$                | field 5 11.12       |
| DenisJ1228−1547 | $-4.30$ | field 13 13.14     |
| Ked 1       | field $\geq 12$        | 15                  |
| CRBR 14     | $-1.52$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.17|
| GY 10       | $-1.38$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.17|
| GY 11 (*)   | $-2.63$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.17|
| GY 64       | $-2.01$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.17|
| GY 141      | $-2.36$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.18|
| GY 202      | $-1.84$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.17|
| GY 310      | $-1.20$                | $\rho$ Oph 160 16.17|

Remark: (*) $L_{bol}$ is uncertain, because this object seems to be variable in the infrared (see Comerón et al. 1993, 1998a, Wilking et al. 1999).

References: (1) Zapatero-Osorio et al. 1997b, (2) Stauffer et al. 1998a, (3) Martín et al. 1998b, (4) Rebolo et al. 1995, (5) Rebolo et al. 1996, (6) Zapatero-Osorio et al. 1997a, (7) Basri et al. 1996, (8) Martín et al. 1998a, (9) Bouvier et al. 1998, (10) Martín et al. 1998c, (11) Kirkpatrick et al. 1997, (12) Tinney 1998, (13) Delfosse et al. 1997, (14) Martín et al. 1997, (15) Ruiz et al. 1997, (16) Comerón et al. 1993, (17) Wilking et al. 1999, (18) Luhman et al. 1997

detected by Strom & Strom (1994) and studied in detail also by Luhman et al. (1998). Several bona-fide and candidate brown dwarfs were found in $\rho$ Oph (Rieke & Rieke 1990, Comerón et al. 1993, 1998a, Luhman et al. 1997, Wilking et al. 1999) and the Cha I dark cloud (Comerón et al. 1999, henceforth CRN99; Neuhäuser & Comerón 1998, NC98).

Here, we investigate on a statistically meaningful sample whether or not brown dwarfs emit X-rays. This sample consists of objects with largely differing properties, such as age, luminosity, temperature, and cluster membership. Thus, our study has the potential of exploring how X-ray properties are affected by all those factors.

In Sect. 2, we present the motivation for our study by elaborating on why brown dwarfs might be X-ray sources. In Sect. 3, we list all bona-fide and candidate brown dwarfs published so far (Tables 1 and 2), explain our X-ray data reduction procedures, list the ROSAT pointed observa-
tions with bona-fide or candidate brown dwarfs in the field of view (Table 3), and present the X-ray data for brown dwarfs and candidates (Tables 4 to 6) including the X-ray light curves for the two detected brown dwarf candidates with sufficient counts for a meaningful timing analysis. After comparing our results with those obtained by NC98 and CRN99 in Cha I (Sect. 4), we conclude with a brief discussion in Sect. 5.

2. Motivation

Any X-ray detection or low upper limit of a bona-fide brown dwarf would improve our currently incomplete understanding of brown dwarfs, and in particular it would help answering the question whether brown dwarfs support some mechanism capable of heating coronae. We recall that T Tauri stars and also optically invisible infrared Class I objects (protostars) display X-ray activity, despite the lack of a stable nuclear energy source (see Neuhausner 1997 for a review). Gravitationally contracting low-mass pre-main sequence objects, at least those with spectral type M, are fully convective, and in some sense similar to young brown dwarfs which - by definition - never reach the main sequence, so that we may suspect young brown dwarfs to display X-ray emission via a similar mechanism.

While it is not clear whether brown dwarfs can drive and sustain a dynamo capable of heating coronae similar to low-mass stars, it is encouraging that some very old late-type stars are also detected in X-rays: vB 8 with spectral type M7 and a mass of \(0.88 M_\odot\) is clearly detected as an X-ray source (Fleming et al. 1993). Fleming et al. (in preparation) detected an X-ray flare of the M8-type star vB 10, which is not detected before and after the flare.

The low-mass object V410 x-ray 3 with spectral type M6-7, a mass of only \(0.15 M_\odot\), and an age of just \(\sim 1\) Myr (Luhman et al. 1998) is clearly detected in X-rays (Strom & Strom 1994). The optical and infrared data by Luhman et al. (1998) have recently been re-evaluated by Briceño et al. (1998), who classify this object as brown dwarf candidate with a mass of \(0.03\) to \(0.08 M_\odot\) and an age of \(\leq 0.9\) to \(1.5\) Myr, depending on which evolutionary tracks and isochrones are used. The classification of this X-ray source as brown dwarf candidate shows that an object near the stellar burning limit can emit X-rays.

Recently, CRN99 have performed an \(H\alpha\) objective prism survey as well as optical and infrared photometry of the Cha I dark cloud, a site of on-going low- and intermediate-mass star formation. They found three low-mass objects with infrared excess and six additional low-mass late-type objects with \(H\alpha\) emission, all of which are near, and some possibly below the hydrogen burning limit. NC98 did follow-up spectroscopy with high S/N of the lowest-mass object, \(Cha\ H\alpha\ 1\), and estimated its spectral type to be M7.5-M8. Using IJHK photometry (CRN99) and a distance of 160 pc (ie., the mean of the HIPPARCOS distances of Cha I T Tauri stars, Wichmann et al. 1999), its location in the H-R diagram is below the hydrogen burning limit; a first comparison with evolutionary tracks and isochrones preliminary yielded an age of \(\sim 1\) Myr and a mass of \(\sim 0.04 M_\odot\) (NC98), recently revised by CRN99 to an age of 0.4 ± 0.1 Myrs and a mass of 0.03 ± 0.01 \(M_\odot\).

NC98 found that this object is clearly detected in a 36 ks ROSAT pointed observation of the Cha I dark cloud. Two Cha I brown dwarf candidates are marginally detected, one is not resolved from a nearby star, and the other candidates, including all three with infrared excess, are undetected. Thus, there is evidence that young brown dwarfs show X-ray emission, and that it may be rewarding to engage in a wider survey.

In addition, two of the brown dwarf candidates in \(\rho\) Oph recently presented by Wilking et al. (1999), namely GY 5 and GY 37, are listed as tentative counterparts to X-ray sources by Casanova et al. (1995), as already mentioned by Wilking et al. (1999).

There are two more motivations for studying whether brown dwarfs can emit X-rays, namely: First, Pravdo et al. (1996) argue that the low X-ray luminosity of the 51 Peg system that the companion to 51 Peg is a planet. If 51 Peg B would be a close stellar companion, they argue, then one would expect stronger X-ray emission. However, they also come to the conclusion that the upper mass limit of 51 Peg B is \(\sim 10\ M_{jup}\), so that – depending on the distinction between giant planets and brown dwarfs – 51 Peg B may either be a brown dwarf or a planet. Because it is not at all clear whether brown dwarfs usually emit X-rays, the conclusion drawn by Pravdo et al. (1996) may not be correct. If brown dwarfs can emit X-rays, the nature of 51 Peg B would remain unclear. Secondly, De Paolis et al. (1998) argued that Massive Astrophysical Compact Halo Objects (MACHOs) are dark clusters of brown dwarfs, which emit X-rays and can therefore be responsible for some part of the observed diffuse X-ray background.

3. The ROSAT data

In this study, we include only isolated brown dwarfs, i.e. brown dwarfs which are not companions to normal stars. Hence, we include PPl 15, a double-lined spectroscopic binary consisting of two brown dwarfs (Basri & Martín 1998b), and also the visual binary CFHT-Pl 18, where both companions are brown dwarfs (Martín et al. 1998c).
Brown dwarf companions to normal stars are all close to their primary stars (a few arc seconds or less); and because all these primaries have late spectral types, they are all X-ray bright stars, so that possible X-ray emission from their brown dwarf companions cannot be resolved with the ROSAT Positional Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC) or the High Resolution Imager (HRI), although the latter offers a spatial resolution of ~ 4 arc seconds. For details on ROSAT and its instruments, the PSPC and the HRI, we refer to Trümper (1982), Pfeffermann et al. (1988), and David et al. (1996), respectively. Even the recently detected brown dwarf companion 16 arc seconds south-west of G 196-3 (Rebolo et al. 1998), which has been observed with the PSPC in the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS), is not resolvable as possible faint X-ray source from its primary, an X-ray bright star listed in the ROSAT Bright Source Catalog (Voges et al. 1996).

In Tables 1 and 2, we list 26 previously published brown dwarfs and 57 brown dwarf candidates, respectively, sorted by right ascension in each group, with designation, bolometric luminosity, distance, and references. The Cha I objects are excluded here, because they were studied in CRN99 and NC98. In the last line of Table 2, we also list BRI 0021–0214, which is not a brown dwarf, but an M9.5 star included in our study, because it rotates particularly fast (Basri & Marcy 1995), which might induce strong X-ray emission due to a rotation driven dynamo effect. We have recently obtained a new, 64 ks ROSAT HRI observation centered on BRI 0021–0214. Bolometric luminosities $L_{bol}$ as given in Table 2 for objects in CrA as well as for CRBR 28 and 33 in ρ Oph are calculated as in Comerón et al. (1998a), scaled to 130 pc (160 pc) distance for CrA (ρ Oph) and include a correction for foreground extinction. The $L_{bol}$ values for the Hawkins et al. objects (the last two field brown dwarf candidate entries in Table 2; their third object, D12, is omitted here, because a spectrum taken by Martín & Basri, in preparation, shows that it is not a brown dwarf) are calculated from their J magnitudes in the near-IR, assuming a bolometric correction of $B.C. = -2$ at J (see Lawson et al. 1996 and references therein), based on the the extremely late spectral types indicated by their infrared colors. At J, the correction is not affected by any important absorption features, even for temperatures well below 2000 K, as observed in GI 229 B, which ensures a smooth behavior of B.C. as a function of temperature (see Allard et al. 1997, Allard & Hauschildt 1995). Even for a spectral type later than M9, it is not likely to be off by more than 0.1 mag. $L_{bol}$ for Pleiades objects with $MHO$ designation as well as for Roque 5, 12, and 25 are estimated as in Zapatero-Osorio et al. (1997b).

In Table 3, we list all the ROSAT PSPC (first group) and HRI (second group) pointed observations analyzed here (sorted by right ascension in each group); we assign a running number (to be used in Tables 4 to 6) and list also the official pointing ID, the instrument used, the Principle Investigator (PI), and the nominal exposure time.

We reduced all these pointings with the Extended Scientific Analysis Software (EXSAS, Zimmermann et al. 1994) version 98APR running under ESO-MIDAS version 97NOV. Whenever an object was found to be located in the field-of-view (FOV) of more than one PSPC or HRI pointing, we merged the data sets (separately for the two instruments) to gain sensitivity. We performed standard local and map source detection in five different bands: soft (0.1 to 0.4 keV), hard 1 (0.5 to 0.9 keV), hard 2 (0.9 to 2.0 keV), hard 3 (2.0 to 5.0 keV), and broad (0.1 to 2.0 keV). After merging the source lists, each source was again tested in the above mentioned five bands by a maximum likelihood source detection algorithm. E.g., a maximum likelihood of existence $ML = 14.3$ (or 5.9) corresponds to 5 (or 3) Gaussian σ detections. We have also reduced the RASS observations in a similar manner for all objects. The brown dwarf candidates V410 Anon 13 and V410 x-ray 3 are located near the bright X-ray source V410 Tau, in the center of PSPC pointing no. 13, but near the edge of pointing no. 12, 14, 15, and 16, so that the FWHM of the source V410 Tau would be too large in the merged data set; hence, for these two objects, we use only pointing no. 13.

For all sources detected with $ML \geq 7$ (ie. $\geq 3.5 \sigma$), we have checked whether any of the brown dwarfs or candidates is located within one arc minute for RASS data, 30 arc seconds for PSPC data, or ten arc seconds for HRI data, according to their respective positional precision. One out of 26 bona-fide brown dwarfs is detected in X-rays, and four out of 57 brown dwarf candidates are detected. Hence, for all the undetected objects, we have calculated X-ray upper limits at the source positions (see Neuhäuser et al. 1995).

In Tables 4 and 6, we list the X-ray upper limits for bona-fide brown dwarfs and candidates, respectively, with object designations, running numbers (from Table 3) of the pointings in which the objects were observed (and/or RASS), effective exposure times, upper limits to the background subtracted broad band counts, upper limit X-ray luminosities, and the upper limit X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios. In Table 4, we also list the spectral types, Hα equivalent widths (positive if in emission), and projected rotational velocities for the bona-fide brown dwarfs, as these parameters might be related to X-ray activity.

In Table 5, we list the X-ray data of the detected objects, with object designations, spectral types, ROSAT pointing numbers, X-ray position (J2000.0), offsets between X-ray and optical position, effective exposure times, X-ray hardness ratios, background subtracted broad band counts, X-ray luminosities, and X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios. Hardness ratios are X-ray colors defined as follows: If $Z_{s,m,h}$ are the count rates in the bands soft (0.1 keV).

We have recently obtained a new, 64 ks ROSAT HRI observation centered on BRI 0021–0214. Bolometric luminosities $L_{bol}$ as given in Table 2 for objects in CrA as well as for CRBR 28 and 33 in ρ Oph are calculated as in Comerón et al. (1998a), scaled to 130 pc (160 pc) distance for CrA (ρ Oph) and include a correction for foreground extinction. The $L_{bol}$ values for the Hawkins et al. objects (the last two field brown dwarf candidate entries in Table 2; their third object, D12, is omitted here, because a spectrum taken by Martín & Basri, in preparation, shows that it is not a brown dwarf) are calculated from their J magnitudes in the near-IR, assuming a bolometric correction of $B.C. = -2$ at J (see Lawson et al. 1996 and references therein), based on the the extremely late spectral types indicated by their infrared colors. At J, the correction is not affected by any important absorption features, even for temperatures well below 2000 K, as observed in GI 229 B, which ensures a smooth behavior of B.C. as a function of temperature (see Allard et al. 1997, Allard & Hauschildt 1995). Even for a spectral type later than M9, it is not likely to be off by more than 0.1 mag. $L_{bol}$ for Pleiades objects with $MHO$ designation as well as for Roque 5, 12, and 25 are estimated as in Zapatero-Osorio et al. (1997b).

In Table 3, we list all the ROSAT PSPC (first group) and HRI (second group) pointed observations analyzed here (sorted by right ascension in each group); we assign a running number (to be used in Tables 4 to 6) and list also the official pointing ID, the instrument used, the Principle Investigator (PI), and the nominal exposure time.

We reduced all these pointings with the Extended Scientific Analysis Software (EXSAS, Zimmermann et al. 1994) version 98APR running under ESO-MIDAS version 97NOV. Whenever an object was found to be located in the field-of-view (FOV) of more than one PSPC or HRI pointing, we merged the data sets (separately for the two instruments) to gain sensitivity. We performed standard local and map source detection in five different bands: soft (0.1 to 0.4 keV), hard 1 (0.5 to 0.9 keV), hard 2 (0.9 to 2.0 keV), hard 3 (2.0 to 5.0 keV), and broad (0.1 to 2.0 keV). After merging the source lists, each source was again tested in the above mentioned five bands by a maximum likelihood source detection algorithm. E.g., a maximum likelihood of existence $ML = 14.3$ (or 5.9) corresponds to 5 (or 3) Gaussian σ detections. We have also reduced the RASS observations in a similar manner for all objects. The brown dwarf candidates V410 Anon 13 and V410 x-ray 3 are located near the bright X-ray source V410 Tau, in the center of PSPC pointing no. 13, but near the edge of pointing no. 12, 14, 15, and 16, so that the FWHM of the source V410 Tau would be too large in the merged data set; hence, for these two objects, we use only pointing no. 13.

For all sources detected with $ML \geq 7$ (ie. $\geq 3.5 \sigma$), we have checked whether any of the brown dwarfs or candidates is located within one arc minute for RASS data, 30 arc seconds for PSPC data, or ten arc seconds for HRI data, according to their respective positional precision. One out of 26 bona-fide brown dwarfs is detected in X-rays, and four out of 57 brown dwarf candidates are detected. Hence, for all the undetected objects, we have calculated X-ray upper limits at the source positions (see Neuhäuser et al. 1995).

In Tables 4 and 6, we list the X-ray upper limits for bona-fide brown dwarfs and candidates, respectively, with object designations, running numbers (from Table 3) of the pointings in which the objects were observed (and/or RASS), effective exposure times, upper limits to the background subtracted broad band counts, upper limit X-ray luminosities, and the upper limit X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios. In Table 4, we also list the spectral types, Hα equivalent widths (positive if in emission), and projected rotational velocities for the bona-fide brown dwarfs, as these parameters might be related to X-ray activity.

In Table 5, we list the X-ray data of the detected objects, with object designations, spectral types, ROSAT pointing numbers, X-ray position (J2000.0), offsets between X-ray and optical position, effective exposure times, X-ray hardness ratios, background subtracted broad band counts, X-ray luminosities, and X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios. Hardness ratios are X-ray colors defined as follows: If $Z_{s,m,h}$ are the count rates in the bands soft (0.1 keV).
Table 4: X-ray upper limits for undetected bona-fide brown dwarfs (except Cha I).

| Object designation | Spec type | \(W_\lambda (H\alpha)\) [\AA] | \(v \cdot \sin i\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] | ref. | FOV no. | exp. [ks] | X-ray counts | \(\log L_X [\text{erg s}^{-1}]\) | \(\log L_X/L_{\text{bol}}\) |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|-----|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Roque 4           | M9       | \(\leq 5\)       | 1               | PSPC 3-6,8,11 | 76.2  | unresolved (a) |
| MHOBo3            | M8       | 5.9              | 2               | RASS | 0.38  | \(\leq 0.3\)  | \(\leq 28.18\) | \(-2.38\) |
| Roque 5           | M9       | \(\leq 8\)       | 3               | PSPC 2-6,8,9 | 68.6  | \(\leq 2.9\)  | \(\leq 26.90\) | \(-2.34\) |
| Roque 13          | M7.5     | 10.5             | 1               | PSPC 2-6,8,9,11 | 76.6  | \(\leq 17.5\) | \(\leq 27.64\) | \(-2.95\) |
| Roque 11          | M8       | 5.8              | 1               | PSPC 2-6,8-11 | 103.9 | \(\leq 2.9\)  | \(\leq 26.72\) | \(-3.72\) |
| Teide 1           | M8       | 6                | 4               | PSPC 2,6-9-11 | 98.6  | \(\leq 5.0\)  | \(\leq 26.98\) | \(-3.43\) |
| Roque 17          | M6.5     | 15               | 1               | RASS | 0.36  | \(\leq 0.3\)  | \(\leq 28.20\) | \(-2.56\) |
| Roque 16          | M7       | 5                | 2               | PSPC 2,3,5,6,8-11 | 94.1  | \(\leq 3.8\)  | \(\leq 26.89\) | \(-3.75\) |
| PPI 15            | M6.5     | 12               | 5               | PSPC 2,3,5-10 | 73.8  | \(\leq 3.0\)  | \(\leq 26.89\) | \(-3.90\) |
| Roque 12          | M7.5     | 19.7             | 3               | PSPC 2,5,6,8-11 | 68.3  | \(\leq 3.0\)  | \(\leq 26.92\) | \(-3.53\) |
| Roque 25          | L (c)    | \(\leq 5\)       | 3               | PSPC 2.7     | 0.80  | \(\leq 3.6\)  | \(\leq 28.93\) | \(-0.76\) |
| Calar 3           | M8       | 10               | 6               | HRI 40      | 42.7  | \(\leq 0.5\)  | \(\leq 26.82\) | \(-3.66\) |
| Teide 2           | M6-7     | 7                | 13              | PSPC 10     | 18.0  | unresolved (a) |
| CFHT-PL-18        | M8       | 7                | 7               | PSPC 7      | 0.37  | \(\leq 2.7\)  | \(\leq 29.14\) | \(-0.82\) |
| CFHT-PL-12        | M8       | 17               | 2               | PSPC 7      | 0.27  | \(\leq 7.1\)  | \(\leq 29.70\) | \(-1.07\) |
| CFHT-PL-15        | M7       | 7                | 2               | RASS (b)    | 0.37  | \(\leq 4.0\)  | \(\leq 29.31\) | \(-1.46\) |
| LP 944-20         | M9       | 1                | 8               | HRI 20-23  | 66.7  | \(\leq 84.4\) | \(\leq 25.58\) | \(-4.16\) |
| DenisJ1228-1547   | L (c)    | \(\leq 1\)       | 20              | RASS (b)    | 0.32  | \(\leq 3.1\)  | \(\leq 27.29\) | \(-2.00\) |
| Kelu 1            | L (c)    | yes              | 9,11            | RASS (b)    | 0.16  | \(\leq 2.8\)  | \(\leq 2.88\) | \(-3.88\) |
| CRBR 14           | M7.5     | 12               | PSPC 26         | 30.5  | \(\leq 6.6\)  | \(\leq 28.13\) | \(-3.88\) |
| GY 10             | M8.5     | 12               | PSPC 26 (e)     | 30.7  | \(\leq 7.5\)  | \(\leq 28.18\) | \(-3.97\) |
| GY 11 (f)         | M6.5     | 12               | PSPC 26         | 30.8  | \(\leq 12.6\)| \(\leq 28.54\) | \(-2.36\) |
| GY 64             | M8       | 12               | PSPC 26         | 31.4  | \(\leq 6.2\)  | \(\leq 28.09\) | \(-3.43\) |
| GY 141            | M8.5     | 60               | 12              | PSPC 26 (g) | 33.0  | \(\leq 8.7\)  | \(\leq 27.91\) | \(-3.26\) |
| GY 310            | M8.5     | 13               | PSPC 26 (h)     | 28.2  | \(\leq 49.5\)| \(\leq 29.04\) | \(-3.29\) |

References: (1) Zapatero-Osorio et al. 1997b, (2) Stauffer et al. 1998a, (3) Martín et al. 1998b, (3) Rebolo et al. 1995, (5) Martín et al. 1996, (6) Martín et al. 1998a, (7) Martín et al. 1998c, (8) Tinney 1998, (9) Martín et al. 1997, (10) Tinney et al. 1997 (11) Ruiz et al. 1997, (12) Wilking et al. (1999), (13) Luhman et al. 1997.

Remarks: (a) Located in the wing of a bright X-ray source, hence a very large upper limit. (b) RASS data are listed, if the object is not located in any pointed observation, which is significantly deeper than the RASS exposure. (c) New spectral type L for low-luminosity objects with spectral type later than M as suggested by Martín et al. (1997) and Kirkpatrick et al. (1998). (d) Distance as yet unknown. (e) Listed as possible, X-ray detected \(\rho\) Oph cloud member in Casanova et al. (1995), as also mentioned in Wilking et al. (1999), but located more than one arc minute away from the X-ray source, so that the identification is dubious; we cannot confirm the X-ray detection. (f) Luminosities are uncertain, because this object seems to be variable in the infrared (see Comerón et al. 1993, 1998a, Wilking et al. 1999). (g) Slightly lower upper limit reported earlier by Luhman et al. (1997), obtained from the 1 \(\sigma\) noise level in the hard band ROSAT map published by Casanova et al. (1995). (h) Upper limits are large, because GY 310 is located in the wing of the bright X-ray source ROXR1 50 (Casanova et al. 1995), also detected by the Einstein Observatory as source ROX 20 (Montmerle et al. 1983), identified with the classical T Tauri star GY 314.
to 0.4 keV), medium (0.5 to 0.9 keV), and hard (0.9 to 2.0 keV), respectively, then

\[ HR_1 = \frac{Z_h + Z_m - Z_s}{Z_h + Z_m + Z_s} \quad \text{and} \quad HR_2 = \frac{Z_h - Z_m}{Z_h + Z_m} \]

I.e., hardness ratios range from −1 to +1. If no counts are detected, e.g., in the soft band, then \( HR_1 = 1 \), but one can estimate a lower limit to \( HR_1 \) by using the upper limit to the soft band count rate \( Z_s \) in the formula above.

To convert X-ray count rates to fluxes, one must divide the count rates by the appropriate energy conversion factor, depending on X-ray spectrum and instrument response. We assume that the X-ray emission of brown dwarfs is consistent with a one-temperature Raymond-Smith spectrum (Raymond & Smith 1977), a thermal spectrum from a hot, optically thin plasma of solar abundance. We use 1 keV, i.e., \( \sim 10^7 \, K \), as temperature of the X-ray emitting plasma, which is typical for late-type stars (Neuhäuser et al. 1995, CRN99) and the X-ray detected brown dwarfs in Cha I (NC98). Because foreground absorption is negligible for most of our objects, we use an energy conversion factor of \( 10^{11} \, \text{cts cm}^2 \, \text{erg}^{-1} \) for PSPC observations (note that the RASS has been obtained with the PSPC). For the bona-fide and candidate brown dwarfs in \( \rho \) Oph, however, absorption is not negligible, but typically a few mag (Comerón et al. 1998a, Wilking et al. 1999), so that we use an appropriately smaller energy conversion factor, namely \( 0.5 \cdot 10^{11} \, \text{cts cm}^2 \, \text{erg}^{-1} \); except for \( \text{GY} \, 141 \), where absorption is negligible, namely \( A_V \simeq 0 \) mag (Comerón et al. 1998a).

For the Taurus brown dwarf candidates, absorption is very low for all objects, but \( \text{V410 Anon} \) (Strom & Strom 1994, Briceño et al. 1998, Luhman et al. 1998). For hard Raymond-Smith spectra, as assumed here, the energy conversion factor for HRI observations is roughly three times smaller than for PSPC data.

The detected bona-fide brown dwarf \( \rho \) Oph \( \text{GY} \, 202 \), initially suggested as brown dwarf candidate by Comerón et al. (1993, 1998a), was recently confirmed to be a very young (\( \leq 1 \) Myr) bona-fide brown dwarf by Wilking et al. (1999). The four X-ray detected brown dwarf candidates are all located in \( \text{Li1495E} \), a young star forming cloud in Taurus. None of the other relatively young and, hence, bright objects, located in \( \rho \) Oph and \( \text{CrA} \), nor any of the Pleiades objects were detected, despite the long ROSAT PSPC pointed observations in these fields (up to \( \sim 100 \, \text{ks} \)). In the case of the \( \rho \) Oph and \( \text{CrA} \) objects, this could be because they have large extinction (except \( \text{GY} \, 141 \)), hence X-rays in the wavelength range available to ROSAT would be highly absorbed. On the other hand, the Pleiades brown dwarfs are two orders of magnitude older than the young Taurus and Cha I objects, so they could simply be too faint in X-rays. It is surprising that \( \rho \) Oph \( \text{GY} \, 202 \) is detected in spite of the strong extinction of \( A_V = 13 \) mag (Wilking et al. 1999). We detected only photons in the hard band (above \( \sim 1 \) keV), consistent with strong extinction.

Among the bona-fide brown dwarfs, the lowest upper limit in terms of \( L_X \) is found for \( \text{LP} \, 944-20 \), namely \( \log(L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \leq 25.57 \) (ie. \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \leq -4.17 \)) with HRI observations of 221 ks in total; in terms of \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \), the lowest upper limit is found for \( \rho \) Oph \( \text{GY} \, 20 \), namely \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \leq -4.50 \) with HRI observations of 148 ks in total. As far as the brown dwarf candidates are concerned, the lowest upper limit in terms of \( L_X \) is found for \( \text{LP} \, 37 \), namely \( \log(L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \leq 26.72 \) (ie. \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \leq -3.65 \)) with a 104 ks PSPC pointed observations; in terms of \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \), the lowest upper limit is found for \( \rho \) Oph \( \text{GY} \, 31 \), namely \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \leq -4.81 \) with HRI observations of 148 ks in total. For \( \text{BRI} \, 0021-0214 \), we found a lower limit of \( \log(L_X/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \leq 25.41 \) (ie. \( \log(L_X/L_{bol}) \leq -4.68 \)) in a 63 ks HRI observation.

There are now six X-ray detected brown dwarf candidates (four in Taurus, two in Cha I) and two detected bona-fide brown dwarfs. Out of those eight X-ray de-
detected objects, only two have a S/N ratio of larger than 5, namely Tau MHO-4 (82.3 ± 13.0 counts) and V410 x-ray 3 (60.6 ± 9.7 counts), so that a meaningful timing analysis is possible. Tau MHO-4 is detected in three different pointings at slightly different count rates, namely 3.2 ± 1.0 cts/ks in the PSPC pointing no. 17 (PI Pye, obtained in March 1991), 5.9 ± 1.5 cts/ks in no. 18 (PI Zinnecker, Sept. 1992), and 3.0 ± 0.7 cts/ks in no. 19 (PI Burrows, Feb. 1993), indicating no variability above a ∼ 1 σ level on a time-scale of months to years. There is no indication for variability for any of the other detected objects, nor in the undetected objects.

We display the X-ray light curves for Tau MHO-4 (PSPC pointing no. 19) and V410 x-ray 3 (PSPC pointing no. 13) in Fig. 1 and 2, respectively. No variability on a time-scale of hours can be detected in these light curves.

4. Comparison with Cha I

We list the X-ray data of the Cha I bona-fide brown dwarf (Cha Hα 1) and brown dwarf candidates from CRN99 and NC98 in Table 7, all of which are only ∼ 1 Myr old. The X-ray luminosities of the three detected objects is ∼ 10^{28} erg s^{-1}, the luminosity ratio log(L_X/L_{bol}) is in the range −3.4 to −4.3. The data found for the four detected brown dwarf candidates in Taurus are very similar, the average in log L_X being 28.5 and in log(L_X/L_{bol}) being −3.6, very similar also to Cha Hα 1 and ρ Oph GY 202. This seems to be the typical X-ray emission level for such young, late-type, low-mass objects. Note that CRN99 have searched for brown dwarfs only in the center of the Cha I dark cloud, but nowhere else, so that there should be no strong bias towards the X-ray brightest low-mass objects in our Cha I sample.

Out of the 26 bona-fide brown dwarfs studied in this paper, 15 objects have an upper limit to the X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio above the value found for Cha Hα 1, so that most of the non-detections found here may be due to too short X-ray exposures given the low optical/IR luminosities. The situation is similar for the brown dwarf candidates: Out of the 57 objects studied, 39 have an upper limit to L_X/L_{bol} above the value found for Cha Hα 1. See also Fig. 3 for a comparison of the upper limits found here with some X-ray detections.

Hence, although few of the ROSAT observations investigated here are deep enough to reach the L_X/L_{bol} values found for low-mass members of Cha I, we can conclude that the Pleiades brown dwarfs and candidates are X-ray fainter than the Cha I brown dwarfs. Even at the age of the Pleiades (∼ 10^8 yrs), brown dwarfs seem to be already too old, i.e., too faint, to be detected in ∼ 100 ks PSPC observations.

5. Discussion and conclusion

The log L_X/L_{bol} values for the detected objects lie intermediate between the brightest and faintest of the nine X-ray detected infrared Class I objects, which are EC 95 in Serpens with log L_X/L_{bol} = −2.0 (Preibisch 1998) and TS 2.6 in CrA with log L_X/L_{bol} = −4.3 (Neuhäuser & Preibisch 1997). T Tauri stars, though, typically have much larger X-ray luminosities, see Neuhäuser et al. (1995). X-ray saturation level for T Tauri stars and X-ray active other stars is reached at log L_X/L_{bol} ≃ −3. For most of the objects observed with pointed observations, the upper limits are below this value. Also, for all the objects in Chamaeleon and Taurus, the log L_X/L_{bol} values (or upper limits) lie below −3. For those objects, we can exclude that X-ray emission is saturated. We cannot exclude, though, that their X-ray emission is below the level of the quiet Sun being log L_X/L_{bol} ≃ −6 (see Schmitt 1997). We find the same for BRI 0021−0214. The upper limit shows that its X-ray emission cannot be satu-
rated, even though the fast rotation, but it still lies above the quiet Sun level. However, in the special case of ρ Oph GY 31, we find an upper limit of log $L_X/L_{bol} \approx -5.81$, i.e. very close to the quiet Sun; this is more than an order of magnitude lower than for all other objects studied. The upper limits from both the PSPC and HRI observations are below $-5$. This is particularly surprising, because this object shows radio emission at 3.6 cm which may indicate non-thermal gyro-synchrotron emission due to magnetic field lines, in which hot, X-ray emitting plasma should be trapped. This radio emission, though, appears to be highly variable with 0.5 mJy in its high state and $\lesssim 0.1$ mJy in its low state (P. André, private communication; incorrectly quoted in Wilking et al. 1999 as radio emission at 6 cm). It may be possible that all ROSAT observations of GY 31 took place during low-activity phases.

Canonical stellar evolution theory predicts that stars below $\sim 0.3 M_\odot$ are fully convective (Drake et al. 1996). Hence, like low-mass late-type stars, brown dwarfs may emit X-rays; and in Cha I, one bona-fide brown dwarf and possibly two brown dwarf candidates are detected X-ray sources (NC98). However, convection alone is not enough to generate magnetic fields and coronal X-ray emission. Some kind of rotation-induced dynamo is needed. Differential rotation could drive dynamo activity ($α$-$Ω$ dynamo) but convection implies rigid rotation and thus a solar-type dynamo should be quenched in completely convective stars (Drake et al. 1996). However, in the absence of differential rotation, another type of dynamo, related to the Coriolis force and driven by turbulent convection, can take over and cause coronal X-ray activity ($α^2$ dynamo).

If X-ray emission in brown dwarfs is driven by a dynamo, then it may be that only the fastest rotating brown dwarfs can be detected given the sensitivity of current instrumentation. Projected rotational velocities $v \cdot \sin i$ are known for two bona-fide brown dwarfs (see Table 4). They rotate relatively fast, but are not observed deeply with ROSAT (nor are they detected).

While an old star with a very late spectral type, like eg. M9, may in fact display weak coronal ($H\alpha$ and X-ray) activity, eg. due to low rotation, both young low-mass stars and young brown dwarfs with spectral types of late M or L can be fully convective and may then show X-ray emission. As the brown dwarf ages, fusion of deuterium in its center will stop, so that the temperature in its core will decrease. Consequently, the temperature gradient between center and surface will decrease and, hence, the convection velocity will decrease. Therefore, old brown dwarfs may not be able to show X-ray emission, even if they rotate fast, while old main sequence stars may still be able to produce X-rays.

Perhaps, the very low X-ray detection fraction of brown dwarfs is due to variability in the sense that brown dwarfs are no or very faint X-ray emitters for most of the time, but are in an high state for a time that is short compared to the typical exposure. However, it is unlikely that we would, by chance, detect X-ray emission from several brown dwarfs (or candidates) in Cha I and Taurus, while all Pleiades and field brown dwarfs remain undetected. Also, the X-ray detected objects in Cha I and Taurus do not show significant variabiliy.

The X-ray detected brown dwarfs in Cha I may be close binaries with magnetic field configurations like in X-ray bright RS CVn-type binaries. However, it would be surprising that Cha Hα 1 (as a binary and being the X-ray brightest) is the faintest object in the optical among the six $H\alpha$ detected objects in Cha I (CRN99); if the other brown dwarf candidates in Cha I are close binaries, too, they should be brighter X-ray sources.

The X-ray emission may be linked to accretion or magnetic reconnection between the brown dwarf and a circumstellar disks, but no K-band near-IR excess is seen in the Cha I objects (CRN99). However, as far as $Cha H\alpha 1$ is concerned, excess emission is observed at 6.7 and 14.3 $\mu m$ by ISOCAM with a color index [14.3/6.7] = 0.15 as in normal Class II objects (Comerón et al. 1998b); the fact that no excess is detected in the K-band is probably due to a very low accretion rate. Also, in the cases of ρ Oph GY 202 as well as Tau MHO-4 and MHO-5, some near-IR excess does indicate the presence of circumstellar material (Wilking et al. 1999; Briceño, unpublished).

![Fig. 3.](image-url) Histogram of upper limits for log ($L_X/L_{bol}$) for brown dwarf candidates (upper panel) and bona-fide brown dwarfs (lower panel). Dotted lines indicate the values of detected objects, namely from left to right Cha $H\alpha 3$, 6, Tau MHO-5, V410 x-ray 3, V410 Anon 13, ρ Oph GY 202, Cha $H\alpha 1$, and Tau MHO-4 (with data from Tables 5 and 7). The X-ray saturation level is shown as full line. For objects with several upper limits available in Tables 4 or 6, we plot only the lowest limit $H\alpha$ emission, a proxy for chromospheric activity, might be related to X-ray emission. Two of the three X-ray de-
tected objects in Cha I show strong Hα emission with \( W_\lambda(H\alpha) \approx 60 \text{ Å} \) (CRN99), and also the four detected objects in Taurus show strong Hα emission (Luhman et al. 1998, Briceño et al. 1998). However, the brown dwarf \( \rho \) Oph GY 141 shows similarly strong Hα emission (Luhman et al. 1997), but no X-ray emission. Hα emission is weak in most of the other bona-fide brown dwarfs (see Table 4).

X-ray emission of brown dwarfs, if typically present even in brown dwarfs as old as the Pleiades or older, may be similar to late-type low-mass stars, with \( \log(L_X/L_{\text{bol}}) \approx -4 \). This cannot be rejected from our upper limits. However, it may be possible that only brown dwarfs younger than \( \sim 3 \) Myrs emit X-rays. Then, in contrast to normal stars, they get fainter and fainter in \( L_{\text{bol}} \) as they age (Burrows et al. 1995). Hence, their X-ray luminosity should also decrease. However, because low-mass objects like brown dwarfs probably have only weak or no winds, they have much longer time-scales for angular momentum loss compared to normal stars (Basri & Marcy 1995, Martín & Zapatero-Osorio 1997). Hence, if X-ray emission of brown dwarfs is due to a rotationally driven dynamo, as in other fully convective low-mass stars, then X-ray luminosities should decrease more slowly in brown dwarfs than in normal stars, so that the non-detection of Pleiades brown dwarfs would be surprising. We conclude that rotation is not the key parameter in X-ray emission of brown dwarfs. Indeed, strong Hα emission to be weaker in middle-aged and old brown dwarfs compared to young brown dwarfs. Therefore, strong Hα emission is observed only in young brown dwarfs, but neither in Pleiades nor field brown dwarfs. From the X-ray detection of a few \( \sim 1 \) Myr young brown dwarfs and candidates and the undetection of the \( \sim 100 \) Myrs old Pleiades brown dwarfs, we may conclude that all the nearby field brown dwarfs and candidates, all undetected in X-rays, are too old and, hence, too faint for being detected in the long pointed observations, even though some of them may still rotate very fast. E.g., BRI 0021–0214 does rotate fast, but is undetected in X-rays and Hα (Basri & Marcy 1995). Also, the old field brown dwarf DenisJ1228–1547 rotates fast, but shows weak or no Hα emission (Tinney et al. 1997) as well as faint or no X-ray emission (see table 4).

De Paolis et al. (1998) assumed that brown dwarfs display the same X-ray luminosity as very late-type stars like \( \beta \) B 8, i.e., \( \sim 10^{27} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). If MACHOs are clusters of brown dwarfs, then they could contribute for some part of the observed diffuse X-ray background. Here, we have obtained \( \sim 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) as typical X-ray emission level for young brown dwarfs. Although most of our upper limits do not reach below \( 10^{27} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), we do find significantly lower limits for BRI 0021–0214 and some bona-fide and candidate brown dwarfs. Hence, even if MACHOs are clusters of brown dwarfs, their contribution to the diffuse X-ray background may be lower than estimated by De Paolis et al. (1998).

With only a few detections (two bona-fide brown dwarfs and six candidates) and 81 upper limits, the X-ray luminosity function of brown dwarfs is not well constrained. However, there are still thousands of faint X-ray sources in deep ROSAT pointed observations, which remain to be identified.

A. Appendix: B- and R-band data

We have cross-correlated the optical/IR positions of all bona-fide and candidate brown dwarfs studied here with the United States Naval Observatory (USNO) Precision Measuring Machine (PMM) catalog, which lists astrometric positions and photographic magnitudes in the blue (emulsion O or J) and red (emulsion E or F), all \( \pm 0.5 \) mag (Monet 1996). Two of the objects listed above in Tables 1 and 2 are found in that catalog. The field brown dwarf candidate 296 A has \( B = 21.0 \) mag and \( R = 17.8 \) mag according to the USNO-PMM catalog; Thackrah et al. (1997) gave \( R_F - I_N = 2.54 \pm 0.20 \) mag with \( I_N = 14.57 \pm 0.15 \) mag. For the young Taurus brown dwarf candidate MHO-5, the USNO-PMM catalog lists \( B = 18.9 \) mag and \( R = 15.7 \) mag, whereas Briceño et al. (1998) gave \( R_C = 16.23 \) mag. Some of the Cha I low-mass objects are also listed in the USNO-PMM catalog (see note to table 4 in CRN99). It may well be possible to find more brown dwarf candidates by cross-correlating the USNO-PMM catalog with other useful data bases like ROSAT source lists.
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Table 3: ROSAT pointings analyzed here.

| no. | Pointing ID     | Instr. | PI     | exp. [s] |
|-----|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|
| 1   | 201077p         | PSPC   | Fleming| 9065     |
| 2   | 000105p         | PSPC   | MPE    | 650      |
| 3   | 200008p - 0/- 2 | PSPC   | Caillault| 12985   |
| 4   | 200008p - 2     | PSPC   | Caillault| 696     |
| 5   | 200008p - 3     | PSPC   | Caillault| 45      |
| 6   | 200008p - 4     | PSPC   | Caillault| 121     |
| 7   | 200008p - 5     | PSPC   | Caillault| 722     |
| 8   | 200068p - 0/- 1 | PSPC   | Rosner | 39920    |
| 9   | 200068p - 1     | PSPC   | Rosner | 1307     |
| 10  | 200556p         | PSPC   | Stauffer| 22456   |
| 11  | 200557p         | PSPC   | Stauffer| 27648   |
| 12  | 200949p         | PSPC   | Feigelson| 6098   |
| 13  | 200001p - 0/- 1 | PSPC   | Strom  | 30077    |
| 14  | 201598p - 201602p | PSPC   | Barwig | 28973    |
| 15  | 201312p         | PSPC   | Zinnecker| 2800    |
| 16  | 201025p         | PSPC   | Walter | 5448     |
| 17  | 900353p         | PSPC   | Burrows| 7718     |
| 18  | 201313p         | PSPC   | Zinnecker| 4027   |
| 19  | 200443p         | PSPC   | Pye    | 20074    |
| 20  | 600045p         | PSPC   | Jones  | 53511    |
| 21  | 600127p         | PSPC   | Petre  | 17766    |
| 22  | 800301p - 1     | PSPC   | Loewenstein| 8917   |
| 23  | 700921p - 1     | PSPC   | Turner | 10306    |
| 24  | 200250p         | PSPC   | Schmitt| 1897     |
| 25  | 200599p - 200625p | PSPC   | Schmitt| 30166    |
| 26  | 200045p - 0/- 1 | PSPC   | Montmerle| 32847   |
| 27  | 200493p - 0/- 1 | PSPC   | Walter | 7460     |
| 28  | 900002p         | PSPC   | Garanire| 7761    |
| 29  | 202214h - 1/- 2 | HRI    | Schmitt| 63897    |
| 30  | 400764h         | HRI    | Halpern| 17615    |
| 31  | 600256h         | HRI    | Kim    | 7339     |
| 32  | 600831h - 1     | HRI    | Fabbiano| 162066  |
| 33  | 600220h         | HRI    | Sarazin| 8538     |
| 34  | 600940h         | HRI    | Hanlan | 63877    |
| 35  | 202069h         | HRI    | Rosner | 30193    |
| 36  | 202070h         | HRI    | Rosner | 29283    |
| 37  | 201413h - 1     | HRI    | Harnden| 24202    |
| 38  | 202068h         | HRI    | Rosner | 28896    |
| 39  | 201414h - 1     | HRI    | Harnden| 34160    |
| 40  | 202515h         | HRI    | Neuhäuser| 42286   |
| 41  | 202156h         | HRI    | Neuhäuser| 7698    |
| 42  | 201090h         | HRI    | Damian| 5150     |
| 43  | 201835h         | HRI    | Montmerle| 51710   |
| 44  | 201618h - 1/- 2 | HRI    | Zinnecker| 5566   |
| 45  | 201834h - 1/- 2 | HRI    | Montmerle| 77869   |
| 46  | 201709h - 201714h | HRI    | Damian| 28031    |
| 47  | 201055h         | HRI    | Walter | 3650     |
| 48  | 201395h - 1     | HRI    | Walter | 19641    |
Table 6: Upper limits for brown dwarf candidates.

| Object designation | FOV no. | exp. [ks] | X-ray counts | log $L_X$ [erg s$^{-1}$] | log $L_X / L_{bol}$ |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| PC 0025+0447       | 1 (1)   | 8.7 ≤ 3.5 | ≤ 27.24       | ≤ −2.61                 |
| 296 A              | RASS    | 0.41 ≤ 2.8 | ≤ 28.22       | ≤ −2.49                 |
| J0205−1159         | RASS    | 0.36 ≤ 2.6 | ≤ 27.34       | ≤ −2.25                 |
| AP 270             | 30      | 16.2 ≤ 5.1 | ≤ 28.52       | ≤ −2.40                 |
| CFHT-PL-8          | 4,11    | 22.9 ≤ 16.9| ≤ 28.15       | ≤ −2.57                 |
| CFHT-PL-8          | 35      | 26.7 ≤ 2.7 | ≤ 27.76       | ≤ −2.96                 |
| CFHT-PL-17         | 4,5,11  | 19.3 ≤ 5.8 | ≤ 27.76       | ≤ −2.66                 |
| CFHT-PL-17         | 35      | 27.1 ≤ 19.2| ≤ 28.61       | ≤ −1.81                 |
| Roque 7            | 3-6,8,11| 54.6 ≤ 4.6 | ≤ 27.20       | ≤ −3.08                 |
| CFHT-PL-20         | 11      | 18.7 ≤ 5.8 | ≤ 27.77       | ≤ −2.58                 |
| CFHT-PL-20         | 36      | 26.1 ≤ 21.1| ≤ 28.66       | ≤ −1.60                 |
| CFHT-PL-16         | 4,11    | 19.2 ≤ 5.3 | ≤ 27.72       | ≤ −2.86                 |
| CFHT-PL-16         | 36      | 25.0 ≤ 18.9| ≤ 28.63       | ≤ −1.95                 |
| MHObd4             | 4,11    | 25.0 ≤ 10.7| ≤ 27.91       | ≤ −3.89                 |
| MHObd1             | 3-5,8,9,11| 83.3 ≤ 12.4| ≤ 27.45       | ≤ −3.24                 |
| MHObd1             | 37      | 23.0 ≤ 9.1 | ≤ 28.36       | ≤ −2.33                 |
| CFHT-PL-19         | 11      | 14.7 ≤ 23.9| ≤ 28.49       | ≤ −1.94                 |
| Roque 15           | 2-6,8,9,11| 97.0 ≤ 9.8 | ≤ 27.28       | ≤ −3.45                 |
| Roque 14           | 2-6,8,10,11| 103.4 ≤ 3.1| ≤ 26.76       | ≤ −3.83                 |
| NPL 37             | 37      | 23.0 ≤ 8.6 | ≤ 28.33       | ≤ −2.26                 |
| MHObd5             | 3-5,8,9,11| 83.3 ≤ 12.4| ≤ 27.45       | ≤ −3.24                 |
| NPL 38             | 2,3-5-10| 100.5 unresolved |
| NPL 38             | 37,38   | 57.9 ≤ 5.1 | ≤ 27.70       | ≤ −2.65                 |
| PIZ 1              | 2,3,8-11| 56.8 ≤ 7.0 | ≤ 27.37       | ≤ −2.83                 |
| NPL 36             | 2,3,5,6,8-10| 77.7 ≤ 3.0 | ≤ 26.87       | ≤ −3.58                 |
| CFHT-PL-5          | 2,3,8,10| 55.0 ≤ 2.8 | ≤ 26.99       | ≤ −3.89                 |
| NPL 40             | 2,3,6,8,9,11| 82.9 ≤ 8.3 | ≤ 27.28       | ≤ −2.81                 |
| MHObd6             | 2,3,8,10| 63.7 ≤ 6.0 | ≤ 27.25       | ≤ −3.49                 |
| CFHT-PL-1          | 2,10    | 13.7 ≤ 4.4 | ≤ 27.78       | ≤ −3.38                 |
| CFHT-PL-1          | 40      | 40.3 ≤ 10.5| ≤ 28.17       | ≤ −2.99                 |
| CFHT-PL-7          | 2,7,10  | 17.6 ≤ 11.2| ≤ 28.08       | ≤ −2.65                 |
| CFHT-PL-6          | 10      | 15.3 ≤ 19.1| ≤ 28.37       | ≤ −2.66                 |
| CFHT-PL-6          | 40      | 38.0 ≤ 4.1 | ≤ 27.73       | ≤ −3.30                 |
| CFHT-PL-23         | 7,10    | 12.4 ≤ 5.6 | ≤ 27.93       | ≤ −2.39                 |
| CFHT-PL-2          | 7,10    | 14.2 ≤ 2.7 | ≤ 27.56       | ≤ −3.45                 |
| HLLJ 21            | 7,10    | 0.72 ≤ 11.5| ≤ 29.48       | ≤ −1.50                 |
| CFHT-PL-4          | 7,10    | 0.44 ≤ 4.6 | ≤ 29.28       | ≤ −1.66                 |
| CFHT-PL-26         | 7       | 0.41 ≤ 2.3 | ≤ 29.03       | ≤ −0.93                 |
| CFHT-PL-25         | 7       | 0.13 ≤ 6.9 | ≤ 30.00       | ≤ −0.17                 |
| CFHT-PL-25         | RASS    | 0.38 ≤ 0.19| ≤ 27.98       | ≤ −1.19                 |
| CFHT-PL-22         | RASS    | 0.36 ≤ 0.14| ≤ 27.87       | ≤ −2.33                 |
| RP r               | 24,25   | 18.6 ≤ 2.8 | ≤ 27.28       | ≤ −2.21                 |
| GY 5               | 26      | 30.5 ≤ 8.6 | ≤ 28.24       | ≤ −4.15                 |
| CRBR 28            | 43-46   | 148.0 ≤ 7.0| ≤ 27.94       | ≤ −4.45                 |
| CRBR 28            | 43-46   | 148.0 ≤ 6.8| ≤ 27.93       | ≤ −4.46                 |
| CRBR 33            | 43-46   | 148.0 ≤ 4.6| ≤ 27.76       | ≤ −4.77                 |
| GY 31              | 26      | 30.6 ≤ 13.2| ≤ 28.43       | ≤ −5.01                 |
| GY 31              | 43-46   | 148.0 ≤ 3.4| ≤ 27.63       | ≤ −5.81                 |

Table 7: Cha I low-mass members.

| Designation | Specref | exp. X-ray counts | log $L_X$ [erg s$^{-1}$] | log $L_X / L_{bol}$ |
|-------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| CRN99       | type    | [ks]              |                           |                      |
| Ho 1        | M7.5-8  | 37.8              | 31.4 ≤ 8.2               | ≤ −3.76              |
| Ho 2        | M6      | not resolved, too close to another star | 1.2                  |
| Ho 3        | M6      | 37.6              | 11.9 ≤ 27.99             | ≤ −4.31              |
| Ho 4        | M6.5    | 34.8              | ≤ 22.9 ≤ 28.31           | ≤ −4.91              |
| Ho 5        | M6      | 33.9              | ≤ 2.0 ≤ 27.26            | ≤ −5.24              |
| Ho 6        | M6      | 31.8              | 8.2 ≤ 27.70              | ≤ −4.09              |
| IR 1        |         | 30.7              | ≥ 4.7 ≤ 27.68            | ≤ −4.37              |
| IR 2        |         | not resolved, too close to another star | 2                    |
| IR 3        |         | 34.0              | ≤ 5.3 ≤ 27.68            | (3) 2                |

Remarks: (1) NC98, (2) CRN99. (3) $L_{bol}$ as yet unknown. Ho 1 is a bona-fide brown dwarf (NC98), while the other objects are brown dwarf candidates (CRN99).