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This Society was founded 85 years ago with the goal of improving the health and well being of children. Over the years members of our society have indeed contributed in a great many ways to the improvement of life expectancy and of the general health of children.

For example, members of the American Pediatric Society showed that gastroenteritis causes death by inducing serious derangements of body fluids and electrolytes. The monumental work of James Gamble, Daniel Darrow, Alan Butler, Oscar Schloss, and their colleagues brought about an immediate decrease in the case fatality rate of infantile diarrhea. These great pediatricians applied the expanding knowledge of biochemistry to the solution of one of the most dangerous diseases of infancy. Their work represents one of the very best examples of the prompt application of newly discovered laboratory knowledge to the solution of a clinical problem.

No one who attended the meetings of our society in the 1930's will ever forget the great electrolyte debates. Both intense heat and brilliant light were generated by the debaters. The spectators were warmed, dazzled, and inspired.

It is interesting that the improvement in the treatment of gastroenteritis was accomplished without a clear understanding of the etiologic agents involved. This situation is, of course, not a rare one in medicine. By the end of the 1930's the possible role of Escherichia coli in gastroenteritis had been studied by only one or two workers. There was no knowledge that bacterial enterotoxins are responsible for the loss of water and electrolytes from the intestine.

The idea that viruses might cause gastroenteritis was not seriously considered until the end of the 1930's. In 1938 Reimann attempted to transmit gastroenteritis by fecal filtrates fed to volunteers. The experiments were inconclusive.

In Baltimore in 1941, Dr. Jacob Light and I undertook a systematic search for diarrhea-producing viruses. We studied a number of outbreaks of diarrhea among infants in hospital nurseries. We failed to isolate a virus, although we used a variety of animals, including ferrets, rats, and mice. We also employed tissues from embryonic mice and embryonated hen's and duck's eggs, but to no avail.

However, in March 1942 we did isolate a Schick-filterable agent from newborn infants ill with diarrhea. This agent regularly produced diarrhea in young calves, and we found it to be present in the stools of all affected calves. The infectious agent was passaged by nasal and oral inoculation 29 times in succession. Nine of these successive passages were carried out by Schick-filtered, bacteria-free stool suspensions. In July of 1942 by using the calf as the experimental animal, an identical agent was obtained from infants involved in a second outbreak of diarrhea in a Baltimore hospital nursery. A third and a fourth sample of the same agent were obtained in August and in December of 1942 from sick infants in a nursery in a Washington hospital.

The size of the filterable agents was between 40 and 80 nm in
diameter. It was heat resistant; exposure for 1 hr at 70°C was required for partial inactivation. This fact naturally gave us considerable pause, since other heat-resistant animal viruses had not yet been described. I shall refer to the filterable agent herewith as the "Baltimore virus."

Neutralizing antibody was not present in the blood of infants during the acute phase of infection with the Baltimore virus, but it was found after convalescence in all the infants tested. Similarly, the serum of calves contained no neutralizing antibody before they were inoculated, but such antibody always was present after recovery from illness.

The diarrhea-producing virus was not isolated from normal newborn infants or from normal calves. Also, we showed that a number of calves which had acquired diarrhea (scours) on a dairy farm did not develop antibody against the Baltimore virus. Furthermore, when the calves recovered from the attack of scours, they were shown to be fully susceptible to the Baltimore virus.

We were unable to grow the virus using eggs or mouse embryo tissues. We did not at any time attempt the passage of the virus in human volunteers.

We considered that Koch's postulates as modified for viruses by Thomas Rivers had been fulfilled. In 1943 we reported our work briefly in the *Bulletin of Hygiene* (9). So far as I know, this is the first report of the isolation of a virus which causes diarrhea in human beings.

Early in 1943 Dr. Light and I joined the United States Navy and did not return to Baltimore until 1946. However, before we left we froze and vacuum-dried a number of samples of Seitz-filtered virus.

In 1947 Dr. G. D. Cummings reported in the *Journal of Pediatrics* (2) that he had isolated from infants with diarrhea an agent which he believed to be identical with the Baltimore virus which we had described.

In 1949, at the urging of Dr. Peyton Rous, who was then the editor of the *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, Dr. Light and I published in that journal (10) a very detailed description of our work with the Baltimore filterable agent.

In 1947 Gordon, Ingraham, and Korns studied "epidemic gastroenteritis," a disease which occurs in large epidemics and affects adults as well as children. This illness causes mild diarrhea, some abdominal pain, vomiting, and sometimes low grade fever. Gordon and his colleagues reproduced the disease serially in human beings by feeding them filtrates of fecal material. Gordon was not able to produce the disease in animals, and he reported no cultivation of the agent in tissue culture (7).

I should mention that a number of enteroviruses have been proposed as etiologic agents of gastroenteritis. However, because these viruses are so frequently found in the intestinal tracts of normal people, it is very difficult on epidemiologic grounds to consider that the cause for enteroviruses has been proven.

For some years after 1949 little progress on virus gastroenteritis was made. However, since 1971 our knowledge in this field has expanded in a remarkable way. This has come about by the happy combination of the appearance of gifted experimenters and technologic advances. I shall list a few of these advances made since Light and I found the Baltimore virus in 1942. These include: (1) development of the electron microscope (the microscope became commercially available in the United States in 1941; 15-20 years were required to develop it into a dependable everyday instrument for the virologist); (2) development of such electron microscopy methods as gluteraldehyde fixation and osmium tetroxide staining (1963); (3) invention of the technique of immune electron microscopy by Almeida in 1967; (4) application of the fluorescent antibody method of Coons to the detection of viral particles in the 1950's.

By using some of these methods Dolin, Kapikian, Chanock, and their co-workers (4, 5, 8) isolated a virus which probably is the cause of the "epidemic gastroenteritis" described by Gordon, Ingraham, and Korns. The virus is very small (27 nm in diameter), and it has been classified as a parvovirus. It has been shown by immune electron microscopy that the virus is present in the feces of patients. It has been grown in organ cultures of human fetal intestine; and the disease has been produced in a volunteer with cultured virus. The agent has been named the Norwalk virus, as it was first isolated from a patient in Norwalk, Ohio.

In 1973 in Melbourne, Australia, Bishop, Holmes, and their collaborators biopsied duodenal mucosa of nine infants ill with acute gastroenteritis (1). Electron microscopy of the gluteraldehyde-fixed biopsied tissue showed the presence of reovirus-like particles in six of the infants. The report of the Australian workers was published in *The Lancet* on December 8, 1973.

A few weeks later Flewett, Bryden, and Davies reported from England that by immune electron microscopy similar virus particles could be demonstrated in extracts of feces of infants with gastroenteritis (6). The newly discovered virus resembles reoviruses and orbiviruses, but it appears to be different from both of these virus classes. A new name, "duovirus," has been proposed for this agent. The "duovirus" is 60-67 nm in diameter. It has double-stranded, segmented RNA so that it clearly belongs in the family Reoviridae. Seroconversion has been demonstrated in children. On at least one occasion oral transmission of infection has been accomplished with an adult volunteer. Data as yet unpublished indicates that in the laboratory calves may be infected with "duovirus."

Wyatt, Kapikian, and their co-workers have grown the "duoviruses" in cultures of human fetal intestine. They have demonstrated by immunofluorescence techniques that virus particles are present in these cultures (12). With culture-grown virus and indirect immunofluorescence techniques they have shown that specific antiviral antibodies appear in the serum of convalescent children.

The infantile diarrhea "duovirus" is similar to a virus which causes one type of neonatal diarrhea in calves. This agent is known as the virus of Nebraska calf scours. It was isolated by Mebus in 1968 (11). The "duovirus" virus which causes infantile diarrhea and the calf diarrhea virus have similar antigens, but the viruses are not identical.

It is now quite clear from the work which has been carried out in Australia, England, and the United States that the "duovirus" is the most common cause of sporadic gastroenteritis of infants and young children (3). The virus has been found in the feces of children in Great Britain, Canada, India, Scandinavia, New Guinea, the United States, and Guatemala.

The paper of Bishop and Holmes describing the finding of "duovirus" particles in the duodenum was published on December 8, 1973. Dr. Holmes wrote me a few days later that he had just come across the 1949 paper in the *Journal of Experimental Medicine* in which Light and I had described the Baltimore diarrhea agent. Dr. Holmes asked that I send him samples of the Baltimore virus, but I was unable at that time to find any. However, just about 2 weeks ago a second search did result in the finding of a vacuum-dried, 1942 sample of the Baltimore virus. We had frozen and dried the virus sample in March 1942. This was only a few months after the first commercial electron microscopes were made in this country and we had no access to such an instrument. I took this specimen to Dr. Chanock's laboratory at National Institutes of Health the day after we found it.

### Table 1. Diarrhea viruses

| Orbi or duoviruses (reovirus-like) | Acute gastroenteritis of infants and young children: Baltimore virus of 1942? |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Corona viruses                    | Epizootic diarrhea of infant mice (EDIM) |
| Transmissible gastroenteritis of swine | Nebraska calf scours           |
| Calf scours, type II              | Corona viruses                 |
| Parvoviruses                      | Norwalk virus                  |

### Table 2. Parvoviral diseases

| Disease                          | Agent                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Acute gastroenteritis            | Norwalk virus                                                        |
| Epidemic gastroenteritis         | Baltimore virus                                                      |
| Acute gastroenteritis of children | "duovirus"                                                            |
| Adult diarrhea                   | "duovirus"                                                            |
| Neonatal diarrhea                | "duovirus"                                                            |
| Neonatal diarrhea                | "duovirus"                                                            |
Ten days ago I had the pleasure of looking at our 33-year-old specimen with Dr. Chanock's electron microscope. A brief survey showed no bacteria, or fungi, or mycoplasma. Dr. Chanock's group plan to look for virus particles by immune electron microscopy. They will also inoculate calves with the specimen.

Incidentally, the very able virologist who is working with the Baltimore virus is 1 year younger than the virus specimen.

I believe that it is very likely that the Baltimore will prove to be similar to the Australian "duovirus." The size of the Baltimore virus and its association with diarrhea of infants indicate that it belongs to this group rather than to the paroviruses.

I have listed in Table 1 the viruses which cause gastroenteritis in human beings, together with some of the animal diarrhea viruses.

ADDENDUM

A few weeks after the above paper was presented, I was informed by Dr. Richard Wyatt and Dr. Albert Kapikian (members of Dr. Chanock's department at National Institutes of Health) that they had examined under the electron microscope one of the specimens of infected calf stool which I had given them. This Seitz-filtered specimen was dated March 13, 1943. They had made a 10% suspension of the specimen; to 0.8 ml of this suspension they had added 0.2 ml pooled human \( \gamma \)-globulin (diluted 1:5) and allowed the mixture to incubate overnight. The mixture was centrifuged for 90 min at 17,000 rpm, and the pellet resuspended and stained with 3% phosphotungstic acid.

Dr. Wyatt has written to me as follows:

"Two of the pictures show what I described to you as suspicious particles, and the third demonstrates two clearly-defined particles, one "empty" and one "full." Magnification was about 40,000 with a print magnification of 5 \( \times \).

We will try to find more particles from other vials you brought us, and in collaboration with Dr. C. A. Mebus of the University of Nebraska, we will inoculate a colostrum-deprived, Caesarean-derived calf with one of these preparations. Until we can generate more of these particles and hopefully have some paired sera from an experimental animal, it will of course be difficult to identify this particle. It could be an indigenous calf diarrhea virus, a real reovirus, or, as we hope, a 1943 strain of the human reovirus-like agent associated with infantile gastroenteritis.

Figure 1 is a copy of the photograph made by Dr. Wyatt and Dr. Kapikian. It shows two clearly defined negatively stained virus particles, one full and one empty. As Dr. Wyatt wrote, these may be virions of the 1943 Baltimore virus, or they may be particles of calf diarrhea virus, or a true reovirus. Further studies may settle the matter. Our 1943 notebooks do show that specimens of the same stool as that studied by Dr. Wyatt and Dr. Kapikian did contain a virus which was neutralized by convalescent phase serum but not by acute phase serum of newborn infants with diarrhea. Nevertheless, we cannot be sure that Dr. Wyatt's picture shows that particular virus.

I shall conclude by expressing my thanks to Dr. Wyatt and Dr. Kapikian for the great enthusiasm and skill with which they undertook the study of ancient events.
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The Howland Award is intended to testify to the qualities of its recipient and to the significance of his contributions, and as such should be received by him as public evidence of the approbation of his colleagues. But it also has another purpose. In singling out one person, it focuses on one career as worthy of admiration and emulation; and it is, therefore, an important device of nonbiologic inheritance. That is, it is a means of reminding pediatricians of how much their own knowledge and attainments are based on those of the recipients of this award.

Today we honor Harry H. Gordon, native of New York; graduate in Medicine at Cornell; intern in Pathology at Montefiore Hospital; intern and resident in Pediatrics at Yale; member of the medical faculties of Cornell, University of Colorado, Johns Hopkins, and Einstein; Pediatrician-in-Chief of the Colorado General Hospital and the Sinai Hospital of Baltimore; recipient of the Borden Award; consultant to the National Institutes of Health, the National Research Council, and the National Association for Retarded Children; and past president of both pediatric research societies. That is the bare outline of his career, but it gives little information about him. Figure 1 is a recent photograph of Harry Gordon taken during his incarnation as Director of the Rose F. Kennedy Center for Research in Mental Retardation and Human Development at Einstein. Here is much more information. The picture. Behind him, like a good citizen of old Rome, he has arranged his lares et penates, his tutelary gods, from whom, he would say, he has drawn inspiration, guidance, and encouragement. Most of them that I knew would protest that the exchange was bilateral, but notwithstanding, they are interesting in that they reflect accurately the attributes of Harry's character and the interests of his career. There are Oscar Schloss and Grover Powers, his teacher at Cornell and his chief at Yale, both of whom were exemplary clinicians to whom the heart of pediatrics lay in intimate and daily touch with patients, students, and house staff. There are John Benjamin, psychiatrist, and Alfred Washburn, proponent of the longitudinal study of human development, two, among other friends in Denver, who helped to engender and to incubate those ideas about human development which culminated in the center at Einstein. There is Ethel Dunham, whose broad experience of premature infants is summarized in her book which was written at Harry Gordon's urging. An enemy of bureaucratic sclerosis, Dr. Dunham, while at the Children's Bureau, appointed Harry Gordon a member of the Bureau staff so that his university salary could be devoted to his research on premature babies at Cornell. And there is Edwards Park, whose formidable scholarship and adamantine discipline were softened by a gentle and disarming humor. Roman emperors were sometimes deified and took their places on the walls of Roman houses. This one appears here not doubt because his support gave impetus to, and facilities for, the study of developing children.
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