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ABSTRACT

Aims and Objectives: Breast carcinoma is the most common malignant tumor worldwide in women while it is the second most common cancer in India. Triple assessment, including clinical assessment, radiological imaging and pathological diagnosis is the most widely accepted protocol followed for diagnosis of breast lump. Fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is the most important part of triple assessment. The purpose of our study was to establish the role of FNAC in the diagnosis of breast lump, to study the various types of breast lumps, and to observe any correlation of fine needle cytology with postoperative histopathology.

Materials and methods: The study group included 128 consecutive female patients presenting with palpable breast lump during a one year period. FNAC of patients was performed with non-aspiration technique. Smears prepared and stained with Giemsa stain and examined for cytological diagnosis. Routine histopathological examination was performed on available surgical biopsy specimens to correlate cytological and histopathological diagnosis.

Results: A total of 128 cases were included out of which 126 cases had adequate sample and 2 cases were categorised as unsatisfactory. Out of 126 adequate samples 102 were benign, 21 were malignant and 3 cases were of atypical ductal hyperplasia. Fibroadenoma accounted for maximum number of cases, and infiltrating duct carcinoma was most common malignant lesion in our study. Histopathological correlation was obtained in 95 cases out of 126 cases and among these 80% were benign and remaining 20% were malignant. Out of 76 benign lesions on cytology, 75 were confirmed as benign but one case was reported as malignant on histopathological examination. All the cytologically diagnosed malignant cases were confirmed as malignant on subsequent histopathological examinations.

Conclusion: The most common benign tumor in the present study was fibroadenoma and the most common malignant tumor was infiltrating duct carcinoma. Our study showed 0% false positivity and the false negative rate was 5.26%. 27% our study showed a sensitivity of 94.74% a very high specificity of 100%.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast carcinoma is the most common malignant tumor worldwide in women¹ while it is the second most common cancer in India.² There are approximately, 75,000 new cases of breast cancer detected in India yearly.³ Breast neoplasm constitutes the most important lesions of breast and includes neoplasm arising from ductal, glandular structures and mesenchymal connective tissues.⁴

With growing awareness in the general population, especially about breast related problems, a lady with a breast lump is one of the common complaints in patients attending OPD. To rule out malignancy, every woman presenting with a breast mass should be evaluated to exclude or establish a diagnosis of cancer.⁵ For diagnosing breast lesions, presently radiological imaging in combination with needle biopsy has many advantages such as, it offers preoperative diagnosis, relieves patient’s anxiety, saves time, and definitive treatment can be planned in advance etc.⁶

“Triple assessment”, including clinical assessment, radiological imaging and pathological diagnosis is the most widely accepted protocol followed for diagnosis of breast lump. FNAC is the most important part of triple assessment. One of the major goals of FNA from breast is to differentiate benign from malignant lesions.⁷

The purpose of our study was to establish the role of FNAC in the diagnosis of breast lump. In our study an attempt has been made to study the various types and presentations of breast lumps, and to observe any correlation of Fine Needle Cytology with post-operative Histopathology, various pathological types and their relative percentages.
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RESULTS

In the present study a total of 128 cases were included out of which 126 cases had adequate sample and 2 cases were categorised as unsatisfactory. The histopathological correlation could be obtained in 95 cases. Out of 126 adequate samples 102 (80.95%) were benign, 21 (16.66%) were malignant and 3 (2.38%) case were of atypical ductal hyperplasia (Table 1).

Patients age range was 13–80 years with an average age of 46.5 years. Most common age group affected by benign lesion was 11-20 years followed by 21-30, 31-40 and 41-50 years. Malignant lesion were common in 41-50 (33.36%) and 31-40 (28.53%) years. (Table 2). Right breast was involved in majority of cases. Upper outer quadrant was commonly affected but the benign lesions were common in upper inner quadrant (33.66%) followed by upper outer quadrant (29.7%) and malignant lesions were common in upper outer quadrant (Figs 1 and 2). Fibroadenoma (56.86%) was the commonest benign lesion encountered in the present study (Fig. 3) while duct carcinoma (90.47%) was the most common malignant lesion (Fig. 4).

In our study histopathological correlation was obtained in 95 cases out of 126 cases and among these 80% were benign

| Table 1: Distribution of total cases on cytological examination |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Category** | **Number of cases** | **Percentage (%)** |
| Benign | 102 | 80.95 |
| Malignant | 21 | 16.66 |
| Atypical ductal hyperplasia | 3 | 2.38 |
| Total | 126 | 100 |

| Table 2: Distribution of the cases studied according to age |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Age group (years)** | **Total cases (%)** | **Benign (%)** | **Atypical ductal hyperplasia (%)** | **Malignant (%)** |
| ≤20 | 40 (31.7) | 39 (38.23) | 0 | 1 (4.76) |
| 21-30 | 37 (29.3) | 36 (35.29) | 0 | 1 (4.76) |
| 31-40 | 25 (19.8) | 19 (18.62) | 0 | 6 (28.53) |
| 41-50 | 16 (12.6) | 7 (6.86) | 2 (66.66) | 7 (33.3) |
| 51-60 | 2 (1.58) | 0 | 1 (33.33) | 1 (4.76) |
| 61-70 | 4 (3.17) | 1 (.98) | 0 | 3 (14.2) |
| 71-80 | 2 (1.58) | 0 | 0 | 2 (9.5) |
| Total | 126 | 102 (80.95) | 3 (2.38) | 21 (16.66) |

Fig. 1: Distribution of cases according to side of breast involvement

Fig. 2: Distribution of cases according to side (Quadrant) of breast involvement
UOQ = Upper outer quadrant; LOQ = Lower outer quadrant
UIQ = Upper inner quadrant; LIQ = Lower inner quadrant

Fig. 3: Percentage distribution of different type of benign breast lump on cytology

Fig. 4: Percentage distribution of different type of malignant breast lump on cytology
and remaining 20% were malignant. Out of 76 benign lesions on cytology, 75 were confirmed as benign but one case was reported as malignant on histopathological examination. All 18 cytologically malignant lesions were confirmed to be malignant on histopathological examination. One case reported as papillary neoplasm, atypical ductal hyperplasia on cytology was reported as fibrocystic disease with usual ductal hyperplasia on histopathology (Table 3).

Cyto-histopathological correlation of 56 cases diagnosed as fibroadenoma on cytology showed that 53 cases of these were confirmed as fibroadenoma on histopathology whereas one each as fibrocystic disease, fibroadenosis and tubular adenoma respectively. Under category of benign breast disease comprising of 17 cases (16.83%), histopathological correlation was obtained in 9 of these cases with 3 cases confirmed to be of fibrocystic disease, 3 of fibroadenosis, 2 of fibroadenoma and 1 of fibroadenomatosis. We reported a lesion as benign phyllodes on cytological examination in a 20 year old female, same was reported as juvenile fibroadenoma on histopathological examination. A very interesting case of hydatid disease of breast was reported in a 50 year old female on cytology during our study period and was confirmed on histopathological examination (Fig. 5).

The cyto-histopathological correlation with malignant lesions revealed that among 16 cytologically reported duct carcinoma cases, 13 were confirmed as infiltrating duct carcinoma while one each was reported as infiltrating lobular carcinoma, glycogen rich clear cell carcinoma and as Infiltrating duct carcinoma mixed with lobular carcinoma on histopathology. Single case of malignant phyllodes on cytology was reported as mesenchymal sarcoma on histopathological examination. One case of Non-Hodgkin lymphoma on cytology was classified as diffuse Large B-cell lymphoma, Burkitt type on histopathological examination. The statistical analysis of our study showed sensitivity of FNAC to be 94.74%, specificity to be 100%, and positive and negative predictive value to be 100% and 98.68% respectively. The overall diagnostic activity was derived as 98.93%.

**Discussion**

In our study, maximum number of cases (30.95%) were found in the ≤20 year age group, closely followed by 21 to 30 year age group (30.15%) which is similar to study done by Sadik et al. Benign lesions were found in all age group with majority of them between 10 to 40 years. In contrast majority of malignant lesions were found between 31 to 50 years. This distribution is similar to several other studies done by other authors.  

Our study showed involvement of right breast slightly higher than left breast. Other studies found almost equal involvement of right and left breast in different types of cytological lesions. According to WHO classification of breast tumors, invasive breast carcinoma has a slightly higher incidence in left breast with left to right ratio of 1.07 to 1. However this observation holds no surgical importance either in the form of patient selection or mode of treatment. The upper outer quadrant was the most common site of involvement in our study which was in concordance with other studies.

Several authors reported fibroadenoma as the most common diagnosis ranging from 46 to 72.8% in their studies. The cytopathological spectrum of various benign breast lesions encountered in our study also revealed that fibroadenoma accounted for maximum cases that is 58 cases (57.4%). However majority of fibroadenoma cases in our study were in 10 to 20 year age group which is similar to the study of Sadik et al. but different from others, like the study of Rahman et al. who found majority of cases in 21 to 30 year age group.

One case reported as benign breast disease on cytology was reported to be of infiltrating duct carcinoma with areas of necrosis in histopathology of lumpectomy specimen. This single case represents the only false negative of this present study. This false negative case showed cytological smears of mild to moderate cellularity, benign tight clusters with mild atypia and few single bare nuclei. Clinically it was large lump and it is possible that small area of malignancy was present in a large fibrocystic area.

In present study, third most common diagnosis was of non-specific inflammatory lesion consisting of 8 cases (7.8%) and fibrocystic disease comprising 7 cases (6.8%) respectively. Histopathological confirmation was obtained in only 2 of these inflammatory cases as the rest did not undergo biopsy. In our study we found patients with fibrocystic disease distributed almost equally in 2nd, 3rd and 4th decade, various similar studies also reported it to be most common in 3rd and 4th decade.

According to Hanif et al., the overall incidence of granulomatous mastitis is less than 0.1% of all breast lesions in developed countries and 3-4% in developing countries. Some infectious etiology, foreign material like breast prosthesis or systemic disease may involve breast resulting in granulomatous mastitis. Our study had 5 cases (4.9%) of granulomatous mastitis but histopathological correlation was obtained in only 1 of them which proved to be a case of fibrocystic disease in association with granulomatous mastitis.

We reported a lesion as benign phyllodes on cytological examination in a 20 year old female; same was reported as juvenile fibroadenoma on histopathological examination. This was probably due to the greater cellular stroma and presence of mitoses in juvenile fibroadenoma.

A case of hydatid disease of breast reported in a 50 year old female presenting as a breast abscess was also included in our study and it was confirmed on histopathological examination. Therefore any acute inflammatory mass particularly any cystic lesion should be thoroughly examined keeping in mind the differential diagnosis of hydatid disease particularly in India, especially if associated with a watery colour aspirate (Fig. 1).

Malignant breast lesions were found in all age groups with youngest being 20 and oldest 80 year old. Malignancy was most common in 31 to 50 year age group comprising of 12 cases (57.1%), and infiltrating duct carcinoma was most common malignant lesion in our study. This finding is in accordance with study of Rahman et al. who also concluded that majority of malignant lesions were found in middle age group of 31 to 50 years. Similar results from other studies in India like Muđegowda et al. and Khemka et al. were reported. However reports from western world depict 5th and 6th decade as predominant age group for breast cancer.

All the cytologically diagnosed malignant cases were confirmed as malignant on subsequent histopathological examinations, but there were discrepancies in sub-categorization of malignant lesions. One such case of duct carcinoma on cytology proved to be infiltrating lobular carcinoma on histopathological examination and other as mixed infiltrating duct-lobular carcinoma. Similarly another such case was reported as glycogen rich clear cell carcinoma on histopathological examination. Malignant phyllodes were reported as mesenchymal sarcoma on histopathology. There was also one case of non-Hodgkin lymphoma reported as diffuse large B-cell lymphoma possibly Burkitt type.
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Table 3: Cyto-histological correlation

| Cytopathology | Histopathology | Fibroadenoma | Fibrocystic disease | Benign breast disease | Nonspecific inflammatory disease | Tubular adenoma | Benign epithelial hyperplasia | Granulomatous mastitis | Benign phylloides tumour | Hydatid disease | BBD with epithelial hyperplasia | Atypical hyperplasia | Malignant lesion |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Cytology      | No. of cases   | 56           | 53                  | 4                     | 10                             | 1              | 1                           | 1                   | 1                      | 1              | 1                             | 1                | 17              |

Malignant lesion 18
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Review of several studies by various authors have reported a high sensitivity rate ranging from 88.3 to 99%.\textsuperscript{3,9,10,20,25-27} Our study also showed result in accordance with these studies with a sensitivity of 94.74%. Similarly many authors reported a very high specificity between 94 to 100%.\textsuperscript{3,9,10,20,25,27-31} Result of our study also showed a very high specificity of 100%. In our study we calculated a positive and negative predictive value of 100% and 98.68% respectively.

Overall diagnostic accuracy of any test implies an overall proportion of correct diagnosis made by that procedure. In our study the diagnostic accuracy of aspiration cytology is calculated as 98.93%. Several other studies also obtained similar results. Khemka et al.\textsuperscript{9} reported it as 100%, Muddegowda et al.\textsuperscript{26} as 97%, Bhukari et al.\textsuperscript{26} as 98% and Panjivani et al.\textsuperscript{24} as 98.9%.

In developing countries several factors like financial constraints, scarce resources put the patients at a disadvantage because of the high cost of sophisticated diagnostic methods, and in such countries, FNAC can be used as a routine diagnostic method because of its low cost.\textsuperscript{33}

CONCLUSION

Fine needle aspiration cytology is an easy, simple, patient friendly and inexpensive procedure for primary categorization of palpable breast lumps into benign and malignant categories, but as per our observations may not be good enough for sub-categorisation of same. However, some false negative results may be invariably present, may be due to aspiration failure. Benign breast lumps far outnumber the malignant ones. Fibroadenoma and infiltrating duct carcinoma comprise majority of benign and malignant lesions respectively. Above all there is very high degree of correlation between FNAC and histopathological findings.

Thus without any hesitation we conclude FNAC to be a very important preliminary diagnostic tool in palpable breast lumps.
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