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Abstract

Introduction: Rupture uterus is defined as a full thickness separation of uterus wall and overlying serosa. Various causes attributed are scarred uterus following LSCS, obstructed labor or traumatic. Rupture uterus is a life threatening Obstetrics emergency with high maternal and perinatal mortality.

Aim and Objectives aim of study was to critically analyzing all patients presented with rupture uterus and to assess its preventable risk factors, exact presentation and outcome of mother and baby. This was achieved by recording following parameters: To record various presentations of rupture uterus cases, to identify the conditions which could have led to rupture uterus and to study the consequences of rupture uterus for both the mother and the baby.

Methodology: It is a retrospective observational study done between May 2018 - May 2019 in TMMC & RC, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh. All patients presented with rupture uterus were taken. A detailed history to evaluate various risk factors, examination, its management and intraoperative findings were studied to establish the cause in each case.

Result: Total 17 cases reported with rupture uterus during analysis time period whereas total number of delivery cases were 3336. My retrospective study confirms important risk factors for uterine rupture which included prior C-section, multiparty, obstructed labour, inappropriate trial & injudicious use of oxytocics. The consequence of uterine rupture depend on duration of time that has elapsed from occurrence of rupture until definitive management from supportive & resuscitative measures should be undertaken to prevent consequences, the type of surgical intervention depend upon type, location and extent of uterine rupture. Out of total 17 women who experienced rupture uterus-1 (5.8%) died.

Conclusion: By noticing the strong association of non-utilisation of ANC with rupture uterus cases establishing 100 percent institutional delivery, improving the care and monitoring during labour at each level of health care system and coordination between health care facilities should be a priority for reducing future uterus rupture cases. Also safe prevention of the primary caesarean delivery should be practised to reduce the incidence of rupture uterus.

Background

Complete uterine rupture a rare complication of the peripartum. It is often related with a devastating consequence for mother and almost always for the infant. Uterine rupture is the consequence of obstructed labour, unusual delays in obstetric treatment and irrational uterotonic use. It is predicted that the occurrence of uterine rupture will increase due to increasing rates of caesarean section around the world. The estimated incidence of rupture uterus among
females who attempted vaginal delivery after cesarean section is approximately thirty five cases (32-37) / 10,000 deliveries, whereas it is much lower among women who attempt childbirth vaginally without prior caesarean delivery and is estimated at approximately 0.6 cases (0.5-0.7) per 10,000 deliveries.

Definitely, the utmost main factor when assessing the likelihood of antenatal rupture uterus is whether or not the uterus has a prior scar. An unscarred uterus rupture is less common and resulted typically from trauma or mismanaged labour. Often clinical presentation of ruptured uterus is dramatic which includes: symptoms like features of shock, discomfort, sudden loss of contractions with previous intense contractions, bleeding per vaginum and intrauterine fetal deaths which has low sensitivity.

Morbidities resulting from uterine rupture include extreme haemorrhage, pain, hysterectomy, post haemorrhagic anaemia, fistula formation like vesico-vaginal, increased risk for infection, death and more chances of rupture uterus in future conceptions.

Reported mortality rate due to rupture is between 1-13 percent and perinatal mortality between 74-92 percent.

Due to severe concerns of rupture uterus, prevention is of utmost importance. Hence our retrospective study will concentrate on finding the factors leading to rupture, clinical presentation and consequences of rupture uterus to the mother and also the baby.

Materials and Methods
The aim of my study was to critically analyzing all patients presented with rupture uterus and to assess its preventable risk factors, exact presentation and outcome of mother and baby. This was achieved by recording following parameters:

1) To record various presentations of rupture uterus cases.

2) To identify the conditions which could have led to rupture uterus.

3) To study the consequences of rupture uterus for both the mother and the baby.

A hospital based retrospective analytical study of antenatal rupture uterus cases admitted to Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology TMMC & RC, Moradabad UP during span of 1 year period from 1st May 2018- 30th April 2019 was evaluated.

Methodology
After availing the consent from institutional ethical committee we proceeded with our study. Sample included all antenatal rupture uterus cases presented during study period.

Multiple risk factors attributed to rupture uterus were divided into two categories: maternal characteristics and obstetric factors.

Maternal characteristics include- age, parity, number of prenatal visits for the indexed delivery, any medical co-morbid condition like anaemia, eclampsia/preeclampsia, CVS or renal pathologies, chronic pulmonary disease, HIV/AIDS, GDM etc. These data were collected from patients, their attendants and from antenatal record cards.

The following obstetric factors were obtained like prior caesarean delivery, labour details-induced or spontaneous, inducing and augmentation agents, weather labour was managed by quacks or at home by untrained person, any congenital malformation of uterus.

Clinical presentations were assessed to detect women came in haemodynamic shock, moderate to severe anaemia (haemoglobin less than 8gm/dl), renal failure or multiorgan failure, obstructed labour.

Babies were grouped in two categories dead and live at time of presentation. Birth weight were also recorded.

Management of these cases were analysed on the parameters of need for blood or blood product transfusion, operational measures like repair or
hysterectomy and post-operative events and need for Intensive care unit and mortality (if any).

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics was performed by calculating mean and standard deviation for the continuous variables.

The software used was SPSS Version 25.0 software.

**Results and Analysis**

Total 17 cases reported with rupture uterus during analysis time period whereas total number of delivery cases were 3336. The mean age of females in our study was 30 +/- 3.02 (25–38 yr). Mean parity in our study was 3 with a maximum of 6 births.

**Table 1** illustrates various maternal demographic factors associated in rupture uterus cases.

| Maternal Demographic Factors | Rupture uterus (N=17) | Percentage |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| AGE (YEARS)                  |                        |            |
| 20-35                        | 15                     | 88.2       |
| >35                          | 2                      | 11.8       |
|                              |                        |            |
| PARITY                       |                        |            |
| PRIMI                        | 2                      | 11.8       |
| 2-4                          | 11                     | 64.7       |
| >-5                          | 4                      | 23.5       |

Result showed commonest presentation age group was between 20-35 years age. Although in higher age >35 years frequency of rupture was more but results is not statistically significant as less number of cases presented in this group. Also Grand multiparty patients has more frequency of rupture uterus but the results was not statistically significant.

**Table 2:** Relation of Not availing ANC and moderate to severe anemia on rupture uterus

| PRENATAL VISITS | Rupture uterus (N=17) | Percentage | Total deliveries (N=3336) | Percentage | p-value |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| NONE            | 5                      | 29.41      | 334                       | 10.01      | 0.030   |
| 1-4             | 10                     | 58.8       | 2535                      | 75.98      |         |
| >4              | 2                      | 11.8       | 466                       | 13.96      |         |
| ANEMIA (HB<8mg/dl) | 12                  | 70.58      | 667                       | 19.99      | <0.001* |

Significant increase (p=0.030) in rupture uterus were noted in cases who were left out of antenatal care. Moderate to severe degree of anemia also found significantly (p=<0.001%) in our study subjects. No other comorbidity found significant in our study.

**Table 3** Classifies various obstetrical factors that that can lead to rupture uterus.

| OBSTETRICAL FACTORS | Rupture uterus (N=17) | Percentage | Out of total deliveries No Rupture | Percentage | p-value |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|
| OXYTOCICS USED FOR INDUCTION | 4                  | 23.52      | 1896                              | 56.83      | <0.001* |
| PRIOR CESAREAN      | 10                    | 58.8       | 326                               | 9.77       | 0.001*  |
| OBSTRUCTED LABOUR   | 3                     | 17.64%     | 36                                | 1.07       | <0.001* |
| MALPRESENTATION     | 2                     | 11.76%     | -                                 | -          |         |

Results showed prior caesarean section, improper use of oxytocics and obstructed labour was significantly related to rupture uterus.
Table 4: Fetal outcome in antenatal rupture uterus cases

| BIRTH WEIGHT (gm) | NUMBER | PERCENTAGE |
|-------------------|--------|------------|
| <2000             | 2      | 11.7%      |
| >2000             | 15     | 88.3%      |

Significant number 11 cases (64.8%) had already presented with dead babies. Although 6 cases (35.2%) babies could be saved as they presented earlier where immediate surgery was performed. Most of the babies (88.3%) born in rupture uterus were more than 2kg in birth weight.
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Table 5: Operative outcome in antenatal rupture uterus cases

| OUTCOME                  | NO OF CASES | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| OBSTETRIC Hysterectomy   | 4           | 23.52          |
| Repair                   | 13          | 76.47          |

Repair of uterus was possible in most cases 76.47%, although obstetrics hysterectomy was required for 23.52% cases as they presented late to us with profound intraperitoneal contamination, rugged tear edge and necrotic tissue.

Table 6: Consequences of antenatal rupture uterus

|                            | No of Patients | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Shock                     | 11             | 64.70          |
| Severe Anemia             | 9              | 52.94          |
| Sepsis                    | 3              | 17.64          |
| ICU Stay                  |                |                |
| 1-2 days                  | 3              | 17.64          |
| >2 days                   | 14             | 82.35          |
| Blood Transfusion         |                |                |
| 1-2 units                 | 5              | 29.4           |
| >2 units                  | 12             | 70.58          |
| Mortality                 | 1              | 5.88           |
Significant number of patients (64.70%) presented in shock, all were anemic of which 52.94% were severely anemic, all cases needed ICU admission out of which 82.35% required ICU support for more than 48 hours, 17.64% had sepsis.

Out of total 17 women who experienced rupture uterus-1(5.8%) died. Nearly this death was due to rupture uterus or the consequences of rupture uterus (i.e. irreversible shock).

Discussion

Rupture uterus in generally occurs due to neglected labor, poor utilisation of resources resulting in obstructed labor, difficult or improper obstetric manipulations or frequently in high-risk patients such as- multiparity or women with previous cäsarean delivery, pregnancy with comorbidities.

The 25-35 year age group which was close to the research by Mahabuba et al10 (2012) was most frequently seen in our study of rupture uterus. 67.5 per cent of uterine rupture occurred in multipara (para 2 and above), while Malik HS11 (2006) found 42.7 per cent. Majority of patients had been unbooked and had less frequent antenatal check-ups.

Sweeten et al12 (2005) stated that weakening and stretching of uterine muscle fibers during labor may weaken the uterus, especially with aging and repeated childbearing, this also predisposes to malpresentation and unstable lie, a major risk factor for ruptured uterus.

Previous caesarean accounted for about 58.8 percent of cases. This is a higher in comparison with the Sahu L13 (2006) study in which a previous scar involved 50 per cent of ruptures.

Our study showed higher rate of intrauterine fetal loss (64.8%) following a rupture which was similar to Ofir et al i.e 62.3%.

In our study, uterine rupture was associated with oxytocin use by improper hand in a significant number of patients (23.5 percent), similar to the study of Al Sakka et al14 (2009) which was 24.8 percent.

Care delay is a major factor leading to maternal ill-health and death resulting from rupture uterus. Thaddeus and Maine 16 (1994) found that the majority of maternal deaths and maternal morbidity occur when women who are pregnant do not seek prompt emergency obstetric treatment.

In this sample, mortality was 5.88% comparable to their study which was 8.28%. Maternal mortality secondary to uterine rupture was found to be lower in this study than in the Angolan study (13.6 percent) in 2002 and in Adigrat (11.1 percent)17,18 in 2010 The possible explanation for this could be earlier hospitalization of mothers, early diagnosis of uterine rupture, adequate patient resuscitation, availability of blood transfusion, absence of delay between diagnosis and definitive management and involvement of a skilled surgeon has the effect of reducing maternal death following uterine rupture.

Limitation

The drawback of this research is that it reveals aspects of rupture uterus related to a particular geographic area in Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh as it is a single centre study, so it cannot be extrapolated to the entire Indian population. Since our hospital is also a tertiary centre it receives many referral cases from adjacent areas, so the rupture rate is likely to be higher.

Conclusion

By noticing the strong association of non-utilisation of ANC with rupture uterus cases
establishing 100 percent institutional delivery, improving the care and monitoring during labour at each level of health care system and coordination between health care facilities should be a priority for reducing future uterus rupture cases. In addition, efforts to improve approaches at level of fertility attitude such as addressing unmet family planning needs and improving access and participation in prenatal care may help to reduce women's risk of rupture uterus in such settings.

Early diagnosis and reporting of rupture uterus may decrease near miss cases and mortality. Also safe prevention of the primary caesarean delivery should be practised to reduce the incidence of rupture uterus.
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