Antibiotics against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for COPD exacerbation in ICU: a 10-year retrospective study
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**Summary:** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a frequent source of hospitalization. Antibiotics are largely prescribed during COPD exacerbation. Our hypothesis is that large broad-spectrum antibiotics are more and more frequently prescribed. Our results confirm this trend and highlight that the increase in large broad-spectrum use in COPD exacerbation is largely unexplained.

**Background:** Acute COPD exacerbation (AECOPD) is frequently due to respiratory tract infection, and the benefit of antipseudomonal antibiotics (APA) is still debated. Health care–associated pneumonia (HCAP) was defined in 2005 and requires broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy. The main objectives are to describe the antibiotic use for AECOPD in intensive care unit and to identify factors associated with APA use and AECOPD prognosis.

**Methods:** We conducted a monocentric, retrospective study on all AECOPDs in the intensive care unit treated by antibiotics for respiratory tract infection. Treatment failure (TF) was defined by death, secondary need for mechanical ventilation, or secondary systemic steroid treatment. A multivariate analysis was used to assess factors associated with APA prescription and TF.

**Results:** From January 2000 to December 2011, 111 patients were included. Mean age was 69 years (±12), mean forced expiratory volume 38% of theoretic value (±13). Thirty-five (31%) patients were intubated, and 52 (47%) were treated with noninvasive ventilation. From 107 patients, 8 (7%) cases of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were documented. APAs were prescribed in 21% of patients before 2006 versus 57% after (*P*=0.001). TF prevalence was 31%. Risk factors for *P. aeruginosa* in COPD and HCAP diagnosis did not influence APA, whereas the post-2006 period was independently associated with APA prescription (odds ratio 6.2; 95% confidence interval 1.9–20.3; *P*=0.0013). APA did not improve TF (odds ratio 1.09; 95% confidence interval 0.37–3.2).

**Conclusion:** HCAP guidelines were followed by an increase in APA use in AECOPD, without an improvement in prognosis. HCAP prevalence cannot account for the increasing APA trend. Time effect reveals a drift in practices. The microbiological effect of such a drift must be evaluated.
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**Introduction**

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of mortality worldwide and is associated with an important morbidity related in large part to acute COPD exacerbations (AECOPD). Recently, AECOPD has been identified as a prognostic factor and is associated with a reduction in quality of life and lung function. The large majority of AECOPD may be triggered by respiratory tract acute viral or bacterial infections. Even if the role of bacterial pathogens remains controversial in the
physiopathology of exacerbations, the last GOLD guidelines suggested initiating antibiotics in case of AECOPD.\(^8\)

COPD patients are more likely to be colonized or infected by \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} (PA) that is isolated from 4\% to 15\% of COPD sputum samples\(^6,7,9,10\). A meta-analysis showed that PA could trigger AECOPD. The acquisition of a new strain of PA seems to be associated with AECOPD\(^11\). PA colonization risk factors have been described\(^12,13\). In this sense, a prediction model has been developed and included forced expiratory volume (FEV\(_1\)) <35\% of the predicted value, systemic steroid use, and prior antibiotic therapy (within the preceding 3 months). When the three criteria were absent, PA involvement in AECOPD could be excluded with a negative predictive value of 89\%.

Health care-associated pneumonia (HCAP) was defined in 2005\(^14\) and concerns patients at risk for sepsis caused by potential multidrug-resistant bacteria, especially PA. American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines\(^15\) suggested treating HCAP patients with large-spectrum antibiotics. A recent publication\(^16\) suggested that multi drug resistant (MDR) bacteria are common in AECOPD. Patients admitted in the intensive care unit (ICU) for an AECOPD are frequently considered as having a health care respiratory infection.

The main objectives of the present study are (1) to describe the antibiotics used in AECOPD patients admitted in the ICU and (2) to analyze factors associated with the use of antibiotics active against PA and their impact on AECOPD prognosis.

**Materials and methods**

The present study is a monocentric (Centre Hospitalier de Versailles, Site Mignot, Le Chesnay, France) retrospective study conducted from January 2000 to December 2010. The study design was approved by the ethics committee of the Société de Réanimation de Langue Française (CE SRLF 12-392), and the data file was declared to the Commission Nationale de l’Informatique et des Libertés (No déclaration CNIL: 1631499 v 0).

**Patients**

We reviewed the charts of all consecutive patients who met five inclusion criteria: (1) age older than 18 years, (2) admitted in the ICU, (3) acute exacerbation of COPD, (4) lower respiratory tract infection (bronchitis or pneumonia) at the time of admission in ICU, and (5) initial probabilistic antibiotherapy initiated at ICU admission.

Patients were excluded from the study if they had one or more of the following criteria: (1) cystic fibrosis, (2) immunocompromised status (defined by HIV status, aplasia, or anti-tumor necrosis factor treatment), and (3) an indication for antibiotics different than lower tract infection.

**Definitions**

Pneumonia was defined as symptoms and signs consistent with lower respiratory tract infection, new lung infiltrate by radiography or computed tomography.

HCAP was defined in the patients with clinical and radiological pneumonia who met any one of the following criteria: recent hospitalization for at least 48 hours during the preceding 90 days, admission from a long-term care facility, receipt of hemodialysis or wound care, and/or recent intravenous treatment (within the previous 30 days) with broad-spectrum antimicrobials. Pneumonia was considered as nosocomial if diagnosed after 2 days of hospitalization; ventilator-acquired pneumonia (VAP) was not considered in the present study. In the other cases, pneumonia was considered as a community-acquired pneumonia.

The following antibiotics are defined as the group of antibiotics that are active against PA (antipseudomonal antibiotics [APA]): piperacillin, piperacillin–tazobactam, ceftazidime, cefepime, imipenem or other carbapenems, ciprofloxacin, amikacin, tobramycin, and gentamycin. Amoxicillin, amoxicillin–clavulanate, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, levofloxacain, moxifloxacin, and spiramycin are antibiotics inactive against PA (AIPA) and defined the AIPA group. All these antibiotics defined the group of AIPA. Antibiotics were adapted if they were modified to reduce the initial spectrum and/or if an APA was changed for an AIPA.

**Endpoint**

Two periods were compared: period 1 (before HCAP and ATS 2005 guidelines) from January 2000 to December 2005 and period 2 (after HCAP and ATS 2005 guidelines) from January 2006 to December 2010.

Following the protocol used in the MAESTRAL study\(^17\), treatment failure (TF) was a composite endpoint showing the requirement for additional or alternate treatment for the AECOPD defined by: (1) in ICU death, (2) an increase in the mechanical ventilator support after day 2 (initiation of noninvasive ventilation [NIV] and necessity of intubation in a patient with NIV), or (3) initiation of oral steroid after day 2.
Data collection

Data were retrospectively extracted from the medical and nurses files. Demographic data included age, sex, and body mass index. Associated comorbidity factors were defined as diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure (using the ventricular ejection fraction measured by ultrasound, cut-off l<40%), and hypertension requiring treatment. COPD status and treatment were investigated using the following data: smoking status (cumulative smoking [packs per year] and active smoker status), lung function determined by FEV (absolute value in milliliters and ratio to the predicted value expressed in percentage), previous known airways PA colonization, and chronic oral steroid treatment (>5 mg/day).

Results from biological tests at ICU admission were also collected: hemoglobin (g/dL), leucocytes count, serum creatinine level, serum protein level, and arterial blood gas analysis. Severity of illness was evaluated on the first ICU day using the simplified acute physiology score (SAPS II).

AECOPD treatments were recorded: NIV or invasive mechanical ventilation and use of systemic corticosteroid. All antibiotics received at admission and during ICU stay were recorded and classified according to their activity against PA (APA or AIPA). All respiratory samples at ICU admission (sputum, tracheal aspirate, or distal protected sample) were recorded. If PA was documented, the antibiotic susceptibility profile was specifically requested from the microbiological laboratory. Nosocomial events and their antibiotic treatments were also recorded, as well as length of stay and in-ICU deaths.

Statistical analysis

Proportions were calculated for categorical data, whereas median and interquartile ranges were calculated for continuous data. Statistical significance for categorical data was assessed using a $\chi^2$ test or a Fisher’s test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney $U$-test.

Univariate and multivariable linear regression analyses were used to identify factors associated with APA. The following patient characteristics were investigated: period of admission, sex, age, body mass index, comorbidities, FEV, oral steroid at admission, PA in respiratory sample, health care-associated infection, SAPS II (Simplified Acute Physiology Score II), and ventilation at ICU admission. Then, univariate and multivariate analyses were used to identify factors associated with poor outcomes. Covariates included in models were age, FEV, oral steroids at admission, body mass index, APA, PA in respiratory sample, bacterial colonization, health care-associated infection, IGS, and ventilation at ICU admission. Both multivariable models included all the above-mentioned covariates. No covariate selection was performed because it was deemed desirable to include as many factors associated with APA prescription or poor outcomes as possible. Covariates were considered statistically associated with APA prescription or poor outcomes if the associated $P$-value was <0.05. A multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the factors associated with the prescription of APA and with poor outcome. Odds ratio and their 95% confidence intervals were calculated. All factors of interest and potential confounding factors were included in the multivariate models. Continuous variables were assessed for linearity, and nonlinear covariates were categorized using the most relevant cut point. The added value of each covariate was evaluated using a likelihood ratio test. Statistical significance was set a priori at $\alpha=0.05$ (two-tailed). All statistical analyses were performed using “R” statistical software (version 2.14.1; http://www.R-project.org/).

Results

Population characteristics

Two hundred twenty-three consecutive patients were admitted in our ICU for AECOPD during the study period. One hundred and twelve patients did not meet the inclusion criteria and were not included in the present analysis.

The 111 remaining patients constituted the population of interest (Figure 1). There were 76 men (68%) and 35 women; the median age was 72 years. Table 1 shows the main demographic and functional characteristics of the study population on the day of ICU admission. Spirometry was available for 75% of patients, and the mean FEV was $38\%\pm13\%$. Fifty patients did not present any PA risk factors, 30 patients received chronic corticosteroids before ICU admission, and only 34 patients (13.5%) had two or more risk factors for PA colonization. Eighty-seven patients received mechanical ventilation at ICU admission: 35 (31%) were intubated, whereas 52 (47%) received NIV. Among these 52 patients under NIV, 10 were intubated. Causes of AECOPD were as follows: 22 acute bronchitis (20%), 66 community-acquired pneumonia (59%), 9 HCAP (8%), and 9 nosocomial pneumonia (13%). The median duration of ICU stay was 7 days (interquartile 25–75 [4–18]).

Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics of patients who were hospitalized during the said period. No difference was found. Figure 2 presents AECOPD causes among the period.
A large majority of patients (n=107, 97%) had a respiratory sample. Among, the 53 positive samples, only eight PA were isolated in eight patients. Six antibiotic sensitivity patterns were documented: all presented a natural antibiotic susceptibility strain. Table 3 presents the main characteristics of the eight patients with a PA-positive respiratory sample.

Antibiotics use in AECOPD

Forty-eight patients (43%) were treated with an APA during the study period. Table 2 presents the pattern of prescription of APA among the period. Figure 2 shows the APA use rising up from 22% before 2006 to 60.7% after 2006 (P<0.001). Figure 3 presents the proportion of APA use among the two periods for each diagnosis of lower respiratory tract infection done at the time of ICU admission. The increase in APA use concerns all kinds of diagnoses. APA treatments were modified in 24 patients (50%).

Factors associated with APA prescription

The multivariable regression model subsequently revealed that initial invasive mechanical ventilation (P=0.003) and period 2 (from January 2006 to December 2012) (P=0.0013) were independent predictors of APA prescription (Table 4). The estimated effects of such variables on APA prescription were adjusted on all potential confounding covariates included in the model.

Outcome

The multivariable regression model subsequently revealed that initial invasive mechanical ventilation (P=0.0076) was the only independent predictor of TF (Table 5). The estimated effects of such variables on poor outcomes were adjusted on all potential confounding covariates included in the model.

Nosocomial infections, MDR colonization, and secondary large broad-spectrum antibiotics use

Twenty-two nosocomial events were recorded: 18 VAP in 47 patients with invasive mechanical ventilation, four urinary tract infections, and two catheter-related infections. Patients receiving APA did not present more nosocomial infections, especially VAP due to MDR bacteria. MDR colonization
Table 1 Population characteristics at the time of admission to the intensive care unit

| Population characteristics | All patients from January 2000 to December 2010 (n=111) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Male, n (%) | 76 (68%) |
| Age, median (range), years | 72 (59–78) |
| Tobacco use, n (%) | 97 (87%) |
| Body mass index, median (range) | 24.3 (20.4–27.1) |
| Comorbidities | |
| Congestive heart failure, n (%) | 44 (41%) |
| Arterial hypertension, n (%) | 44 (41%) |
| Oral steroids before admission, n (%) | 30 (27%) |
| FEV, % of predicted value, median (range) | 36 (27.5–47) |
| Antibiotics use within 90 previous days, n (%) | 51 (46%) |
| Hospitalization <1 year, n (%) | 44 (40%) |
| Mean delay (months), mean ± SD | 3±2 |
| SAPS II, median (range) | 41 (27–50) |
| Cardiac rate (pulsation/min), mean ± SD | 105±42 |
| Arterial blood pressure (mmHg), mean ± SD | |
| Systolic | 141±22 |
| Diastolic | 73±15 |
| Breath rate (respiration/min), mean ± SD | 29±11 |
| pH, mean ± SD | 7.2±0.06 |
| pO2 (mmHg), mean ± SD | 81±35 |
| pCO2 (mmHg), mean ± SD | 68±24 |
| Leukocyte count (g/L), mean ± SD | 13.9±6.5 |
| Noninvasive ventilation, n (%) | 52 (47%) |
| Invasive ventilation, n (%) | 35 (31%) |
| Respiratory tract infection diagnosis, n (%) | |
| Community-acquired (bronchitis/CAP) | 88 (79.3%) |
| Health care associated pneumonia | 9 (8.1%) |
| Bronchitis | 14 (12.6%) |
| Antipseudomonal antibiotics | 48 (43%) |
| PA documented in a respiratory sample | 8 (7.2%) |
| Prognosis | |
| Secondary need in mechanical ventilation | 12 (10.8%) |
| Secondary need in steroid therapy | 15 (13.5%) |
| Death | 17 (15.3%) |

Abbreviations: CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; FEV, forced expiratory volume; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; SAPS II, simplified acute physiology score.

and secondary carbapenem use were similar between groups with or without APA prescription.

Discussion

This study aims to evaluate antibiotics in AECOPD in the ICU. The present study shows a significant rise in APA prescription, since the issue of ATS guidelines about HCAP. Two independent factors influenced prescriptions: the use of invasive mechanical ventilation and the time period following 2006. APA did not improve the prognosis of hospitalized COPD patients.

First, our study outlined that mechanical ventilation influenced APA prescription. Considering the low prevalence of acute respiratory distress syndrome and septic shock in the study population, the use of mechanical ventilation responded mainly to deep alveolar hypoventilation. It has been shown that the prognosis of ventilated patients is determined by organ failure score on admission and comorbidities. Thus, it seems that there is confusion between respiratory severity and the severity due to sepsis in patients with AECOPD secondary to lower respiratory tract infection. The GOLD guidelines, advising that antibiotics be given to COPD patients requiring mechanical ventilation only after microbiological sampling, might contribute to this deviation. However, these recommendations do not suggest APA and emphasize that antibiotic choice must be guided by each patient’s multidrug-resistant bacteria risk factors. Hence, there is no argument for mechanical ventilation to factor in the choice of an APA. Respiratory severity on admission and PA risk factors should be differentiated.

The notion of a specific timeframe (before and after 2006) also determined antibiotic prescription: the probability of a patient receiving APA was increased sixfold after 2006. A difference in recruitment between the two time periods was suggested to explain this result. However, comparison shows that patients’ characteristics were identical, especially as far as PA risk factors are concerned.

Furthermore, our initial hypothesis stated that HCAP diagnosis might have influenced APA prescription. Although no statistical proof exists, APA prescription risk increases fourfold if preceded by HCAP diagnosis. However, the mere 13% of HCAP diagnosed from 2006 onward cannot account for APA prescription in 53% of patients during that same time period. This inconsistency supports the hypothesis of an irrational drift in practices.

Our results show that although a majority of patients were sampled, initial APA treatment was not adapted in >50% of cases as noted in a previous study. The over-consumption of large-spectrum antibiotics in ICU patients is not without consequences. Indeed, Aarts et al noted a higher mortality rate in patients treated >4 days by empirical antibiotics without documented infection. More recently, a study showed a higher mortality rate in ICU patients with HCAP or nosocomial pneumonias treated according to ATS recommendations. A recent study in surgical ICU has shown that a conservative initiation of antimicrobial treatment in critically ill patients did not worsen patient prognosis.

APA was not associated with a better prognosis. This might be due to low PA prevalence on admission (7%),
Figure 2 Antipseudomonal antibiotics (APA) prescription frequency prior to and after January 2006.
Table 4 Predictive factors of PA antibiotherapy use

| Patient characteristics | N (%) | APA (%) | Univariate OR (95% CI) | Univariate P-value* | Multivariate OR (95% CI) | Multivariate P-value‡ |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Sex                     |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| Male                    | 76 (69)| 42      | 0.86 (NA)              | 0.72                | NI                       |
| Female                  | 35 (31)| 46      | REF                    | 0.91                | NI                       |
| Age (years)             |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| <65                     | 40 (36)| 43      | REF                    | 0.91                | NI                       |
| >65                     | 71 (64)| 44      | 1.05 (0.48–2.29)       | 0.45                | 2.28 (0.27–19.24)        |
| BMI (kg/m²)             |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| <18.5                   | 12 (15)| 33      | REF                    | 0.439               | REF                      |
| ≥18.5                   | 66 (85)| 46      | 1.67 (0.46–6.08)       | 2.28 (0.27–19.24)   | 0.45                     |
| Comorbidities (congestive heart failure or chronic renal failure) | | | | | | |
| Yes                     | 49 (44)| 43      | 0.97 (0.46–2.07)       | 0.94                | NI                       |
| No                      | 62 (56)| 44      | REF                    |                     |                          |                       |
| FEV (%)                 |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| <40                     | 53 (64)| 43      | REF                    | 0.77                | REF                      |
| >40                     | 30 (36)| 47      | 1.14 (0.46–2.81)       | 1.07 (0.35–3.32)    | 0.91                     |
| Oral steroids at admission | | | | | | |
| Yes                     | 30 (27)| 53      | 1.71 (0.74–3.99)       | 0.21                | 1.61 (0.50–5.19)         | 0.42                 |
| No                      | 80 (73)| 40      | REF                    | REF                 | REF                      |
| PA in respiratory sample |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| Yes                     | 13 (12)| 69      | 3.49 (1.00–12.15)      | 0.040               | 2.76 (0.50–15.53)        | 0.24                 |
| No                      | 97 (88)| 39      | REF                    | REF                 |                          |                       |
| Respiratory tract infection | | | | | | |
| Community acquired      | 88 (79)| 35      | REF                    | 0.0030              | REF                      |
| Health care associated  | 9 (8) | 67      | 3.68 (0.86–15.73)      | 4.16 (0.36–48.70)   | 0.088                    |
| Nosocomial              | 14 (13)| 79      | 6.74 (1.75–25.99)      | 4.20 (0.90–19.54)   |                         |
| SAPS II                 |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| <50                     | 79 (71)| 44      | REF                    | 0.040               | 2.76 (0.50–15.53)        | 0.24                 |
| >50                     | 32 (29)| 1       | 0.86 (0.37–1.98)       | 0.64 (0.18–2.25)    |                          | 0.49                 |
| Type of ventilation administered at the time of admission to the intensive care unit | | | | | | |
| Spontaneous breathing   | 27 (24)| 33      | REF                    | 0.17                | REF                      |
| NIV                     | 48 (43)| 40      | 1.31 (0.49–3.52)       | 1.05 (0.24–4.62)    | 0.03                     |
| IV                      | 36 (32)| 56      | 2.5 (0.89–7.04)        | 4.86 (1.01–23.38)   |                         |
| Time period             |       |         |                        |                     |                          |                       |
| Before 2006             | 48 (43)| 21      | REF                    | REF                 |                          |
| After 2006              | 63 (57)| 60      | 5.78 (2.44–13.65)      | <0.001              | 6.21 (1.89–20.35)        | 0.0013                |

Notes: *χ² test was used to compare proportions. †Mann–Whitney U-test and Kruskal–Wallis test were used (if we compare ordinal or continuous variables). ‡Likelihood-ratio test.

Abbreviations: APA, antipseudomonal antibiotics; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; FEV, forced expiratory volume; IV, invasive ventilation; NI, not included; NIV, noninvasive ventilation; OR, odds ratio; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; REF, reference; SAPS II, simplified acute physiology score.
Table 5 Predictive factors of the composite endpoint (death + ventilation + oral steroids)

| Patient characteristics | N (%) | Outcome (%) | Univariate OR (95% CI) | Univariate P-value | Multivariate OR (95% CI) | Multivariate P-value |
|-------------------------|-------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Age (years)             |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| <65                     | 40 (36)| 20          | REF                    | REF                | REF                    | REF                  |
| ≥65                     | 71 (64)| 37          | 2.31 (0.93–5.76)       | 0.068              | 1.89 (0.59–6.08)       | 0.29                 |
| FEV (%)                 |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| <30                     | 24 (29)| 38          | REF                    | REF                | REF                    | REF                  |
| 30–50                   | 48 (58)| 25          | 0.56 (0.19–1.59)       | 0.54               | 0.54 (0.13–2.12)       | 0.29                 |
| 50–70                   | 10 (12)| 20          | 0.42 (0.072–2.41)      | 0.02               | 0.22 (0.02–2.26)       | 0.25                 |
| >70                     | 1 (1) | 100         | NA                    | 0.255              | NA                    | 0.51                 |
| Oral steroids at admission |     |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| Yes                     | 30 (27)| 23          | 0.60 (0.23–1.57)       | 0.08               | 0.81 (0.25–2.62)       | 0.72                 |
| No                      | 80 (73)| 34          | REF                    | 0.29               | REF                    | 0.72                 |
| BMI (kg/m²)             |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| <18.5                   | 12 (15)| 42          | REF                    | REF                | REF                    | REF                  |
| ≥18.5                   | 66 (85)| 35          | 0.75 (0.21–2.63)       | 0.16               | 0.32 (0.06–1.65)       | 0.17                 |
| PA treatment            |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| Yes                     | 48 (43)| 35          | 1.48 (0.66–3.34)       | 0.16               | 1.09 (0.37–3.23)       | 0.25                 |
| No                      | 63 (57)| 27          | REF                    | 0.34               | REF                    | 0.88                 |
| PA in respiratory sample|       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| Yes                     | 13 (12)| 15          | 0.37 (0.077–1.77)      | 0.32               | 0.32 (0.05–2.27)       | 0.25                 |
| No                      | 97 (88)| 33          | REF                    | 0.88               | REF                    | 0.25                 |
| Colonization (nasal MRSA + enterobacteria + pulmonary MRSA) |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| Yes                     | 13 (12)| 46          | 2.14 (0.66–6.94)       | 0.08               | 0.75 (0.19–3.02)       | 0.69                 |
| No                      | 98 (88)| 29          | REF                    | 0.20               | REF                    | 0.69                 |
| Respiratory tract infection diagnosis |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| Community acquired      | 88 (79)| 26          | REF                    | REF                | REF                    | REF                  |
| Health care associated  | 9 (8) | 56          | 3.53 (0.87–14.30)      | 3.24               | 3.24 (0.60–17.65)      | 0.20                 |
| Nosocomial              | 14 (13)| 43          | 2.12 (0.66–6.76)       | 0.108              | 3.11 (0.62–15.63)      | 0.20                 |
| SAPS II                 |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| <50                     | 79 (71)| 25          | REF                    | REF                | REF                    | REF                  |
| ≥50                     | 32 (29)| 44          | 2.29 (0.97–5.44)       | 0.056              | 1.95 (0.62–6.15)       | 0.25                 |
| Type of ventilation administered at the time of admission to the intensive care unit |       |             |                        |                    |                        |                      |
| Spontaneous breathing   | 27 (24)| 11          | REF                    | REF                | REF                    | REF                  |
| NIV                     | 48 (43)| 27          | 2.97 (0.76–11.56)      | 0.003              | 3.43 (0.68–17.27)      | 0.0076               |
| IV                      | 36 (32)| 50          | 8.00 (2.04–31.37)      | 0.003              | 10.88 (1.97–60.09)     | 0.0076               |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; FEV, forced expiratory volume; ICU, intensive care unit; IV, invasive ventilation; NIV, noninvasive ventilation; OR, odds ratio; PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; REF, reference; SAPS II, simplified acute physiology score.

similar to that of other studies. A positive PA respiratory sample does not systematically demonstrate PA respiratory tract infection. The wild strain of isolated PA, all sensitive to prescribed APA in the present study, could also have positively influenced the patient’s prognosis. Aloush et al. showed that multi resistant-PA was associated with an increase of hospital stay and of staff workload and with a tainted quality of life. A meta-analysis confirmed the impact of Gram-negative bacterial resistance on ICU outcome. A recent study showed that VAP caused by multidrug-resistant PA were associated with a prolonged ICU stay. Practitioners’ difficulty to differentiate active infection from bacterial colonization reveals the complexity of lower respiratory tract infection diagnosis in AECOPD. These obstacles to diagnosis might lead to an irrational overprotective approach and antibiotic overprescription. Written guidelines identifying high-risk patients for PA would help in introducing broad-spectrum antibiotics for ICU patients after respiratory sampling and in reducing antibiotics spectrum in the absence of PA.

This study presents some limits. As this is a retrospective design, missing data are inevitable. However, it consists, in this particular case, mainly of demographic factors. The results of 107 samples were analyzed, and we were always able to retrieve the data that define our “composite endpoint”. Therapeutic failure was defined by a composite endpoint rather than a raw mortality rate, which would not have been powerful enough. Indeed, global mortality reached 15% in our series. This endpoint also allowed us to take into account the failure of a first line of treatment, of which antibiotherapy is considered
a major element. Multiple covariates were included in multivariate models, and no covariate selection was performed because it was deemed desirable to include as many factors associated with outcomes (APA prescription and TF) as possible. The effect size of covariates statistically significantly associated with outcomes was stable through all sensitivity analysis (univariate models and multivariate models operating covariate selection). Finally, our conclusions are limited by the small number of PA-positive patients (n=8).

Conclusion
Our results show a significant increase in APA prescription in patients admitted in ICU for AECOPD starting from 2006, without better prognosis and that APA prescription was associated with the use of mechanical ventilation and the time period following 2006, probably revealing the confusion between ATS guidelines, PA risk factors in COPD patients, and the severity of respiratory distress. Considering the high prevalence of MDR bacteria in ICUs, these results are concerning and incite us to greater caution with the consumption of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Meanwhile, a larger multicentric study is needed to confirm our findings and to evaluate the impact on bacterial ecology and broad-spectrum antibiotic consumption during nosocomial infections.
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