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Abstract

Emotion prediction is a critical task in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP). There has been a significant amount of work done in emotion prediction for resource-rich languages. There has been work done on code-mixed social media corpus but not on emotion prediction of Kannada-English code-mixed Twitter data. In this paper, we analyze the problem of emotion prediction on corpus obtained from code-mixed Kannada-English extracted from Twitter annotated with their respective ‘Emotion’ for each tweet. We experimented with machine learning prediction models using features like Character N-Grams, Word N-Grams, Repetitive characters, and others on SVM and LSTM on our corpus, which resulted in an accuracy of 30% and 32%, respectively.

1 Introduction

Identification and analysis of emotions in user-generated data in social media like Twitter, Facebook, Reddit, etc., is essential in understanding the daily trends and human behavior. Emotion prediction aims at identifying and analyzing such emotions like ‘Happy,’ ‘Sad,’ ‘Angry,’ ‘Fear,’ ‘Surprise,’ and ‘Disgust’ types present in the text. Original works were focussed more on monolingual text (Alm et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2010) due to the large-scale availability of monolingual texts.

India has twenty-three significant languages with over seven hundred and twenty dialects. The majority of people are multilingual, and they tend to mix words from different languages in speech and written text. This method of interchanging languages is commonly addressed by terms ‘Code-switching’ and ‘Code-mixing’ as described by Lipski (1978). Code-mixing refers to the use of words from different languages in the same sentence. Code-switching refers to the use of words or phrases from different languages within the same speech context.

We can understand the difference between code-switching and code-mixing from the positions of altered elements. Code-mixing refers to the intrasentential modification of codes, whereas code-switching refers to the intersentential modification of codes. We observe code-switching and code-mixing frequently on social media platforms. Since the available resources are limited for Kannada-English code-mixed text, we primarily focus on the creation of corpus and annotating the code-mixed tweets with their respective emotions, in this paper.

Here are some examples from a corpus of code-mixed Kannada-English generated from Twitter data and its translation in English.

T1: “Nam placement officer helidda ee thara helidre ond company lu kelsa sigalla anta...I had 2 offers before I left college, ondu IT innodu core...”
Translation: “Our placement officer said,’if you talk like this, you wont get a single job.’ I had 2 offers before i left college. One was IT, the other was core.”

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. License details: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
T2: “Eshwarappa avarey neevu petrol bunk ge hogilla ansuthe. me nimmannu karkondu hogthini”
Translation: “Eshwarappa, it looks like you did not go to the petrol bunk. I will take you there.”

2 Background and Related Work

There has been a plethora of research done on Emotion prediction in resource-rich languages. The same is not true for the Kannada-English code-mixed corpus.

Shambhavi (2012) has done the work on the Kannada POS tagger with probabilistic classifiers. Ketan Kumar (2018) presented work on the Kannada POS tagger using machine learning models, and Amarrappa (2013) worked on NER and classification in the Kannada language. The following are some works in code-mixed Indian languages. Antony (2010) worked on a kernel-based POS tagger for Kannada. Lakshmi (2017) presented an automatic identification system for code-mixed Kannada-English Social media text, and Shalini (2018) worked on sentiment analysis for Code-Mixed Kannada-English Social Media Text. Rohini (2016) worked on domain-based Sentiment Analysis in the regional language which is Kannada. Kumar (2015) has worked on the analysis of users’ sentiments from Kannada Web Documents. When it comes to Emotion Prediction, we believe the corpus we created is the first Kannada-English code-mixed corpus with Emotion tags.

3 Corpus Creation and Annotation

The corpus created consists of Kannada-English code mixed tweets gathered from twitter using twintproject\(^1\)-an opensource twitter intelligence tool. We have collected tweets from the past 5-8 years based on various topics such as movies, sports, celebrities, politics, trending hashtags, social events, not limited to a particular domain. We can find the topics list in the appendices section of this paper. We have done extensive pre-processing of tweets and retrieved them in JSON format. This JSON formatted data includes metadata like URLs, usernames, retweets, tweet IDs, likes, full names, and others.

Pre-processing:

Below are the steps followed by two annotators for the pre-processing of tweets. The two annotators have a linguistic background and are proficient in both Kannada and English languages.

- Tweets that contain linguistic units from both English and Kannada are considered.
- We removed tweets that contain words only in Kannada or only in English.
- Tweets that consist of a minimum of five or above words only are considered.
- We replaced URLs and links with the ‘URL’ word and removed multiple spaces as they do not contribute towards emotions in the tweet.
- We removed tweets that do not depict the code-mixing nature predominantly. We deleted tweets that contain only one or two linguistic units like affixes, suffixes, etc. from a different language.

3.1 Annotation and Inter Annotator Agreement

We annotated the Kannada-English code-mixed tweets using six emotions ‘Happy,’ ‘Angry,’ ‘Sad,’ ‘Fear,’ ‘Disgust,’ ‘Surprise,’ and a ‘Multiple Emotion’ tag if the tweet contains one or more emotions. Two people with linguistic background manually did the annotations of the data for Emotion Prediction, both proficient in Kannada and English. The quality of the annotation is validated using the Inter Annotator Agreement (IAA) between the sets of 6396 tweets using Cohen’s Kappa coefficient Hallgren (2012). The agreement is significantly high. Refer to Table 1.

A few examples of Kannada-English tweets depicting the emotions are as follows.

\(^1\)https://github.com/twintproject/twint
T3: “@VikramBK @acharya2 picture allirodanna emoticon alli tOrshiTyallappaa.. dhanyanaade!!”
Translation: “Whatever is in picture..you have depicted in emoticon..I’m blessed!!”

T4: “appa thande ninu adhe kelsa madapana..national issue adhre nanu donald trump kelabeka..State issues na state nalli mathadabekkappa..Ninage yake ashtu sittu..hucchu gichchu heidare madalu doctor beda sidda na hatira hogu yenne kodisthane..”
Translation: “Do the given work.. should I ask Donald Trump for a national issue?.. state issues must be spoken in state only.. why are you so hesitant.. If you are doing mad things, doctor is not needed, to go a sidda, he’ll give you some oil..”

T5: “Adre esto kade signaller sigodilla? Complaint madidre bcz of forest area antare!! Landline work agalla ... Kelsa madoke staff iralla !! En madodu”
Translation: “But at many places we don’t even get signal? if we complain they say forest area!! landline doesn’t work.. no staff to work!! ..what to do”

T6: “Sir marappa layout side nim beat police avre barola nice underpass thumba danger place agidhe adhu”
Translation: “Sir towards marappa layout side your beat police only will not come.. nice underpass has become a very dangerous place”

T7: “3-4 years tym tagondu kelsa madinu promotion madade Nim movie haalumadkotideera guy’s ..... seriously promotion madi sariyagi....Dabang nodi 3 months inda promotion madtidare....”
Translation: “even after taking 3-4 years time to complete your movie...you have spoilt it by not doing its promotion... seriously do the promotion...look at dabang 3 from three months they are promoting”

T8: “@Suharsh2512 oho, idyaavdo brilliant facility. Nanna phone alli sound barutte.. ondond sala baralla. Hyaage nodu...”
Translation: “Oho...this is some brilliant facility....in my phone there is sound ..once there is no sound....see how it is”

T9: “He doesn’t represent us.Ond site iskond bittu deshane marbidtira neevu, avamana kanro neevu namge, nachke agutte helkolloke ache. Avara makle hogi bekadre @mepratap vote haktare modi goskara, nim antavaru site dudde yenta neecha kelsa bekadru madtira.”
Translation: “He doesn’t represent us. For one site he has sold the entire country. You are an insult to us. I feel ashamed that you’re our representative, His children will give @mepratap their vote so that Modi can win. You people will do anything however low for money and land.”

The above examples contain both Kannada and English texts. Example T3 expresses happy through the words ‘dhanyanaade’ which means ‘I’m blessed’ and T4 expresses angry through the phrase ‘Ninage yake ashtu sittu’ which translates to ‘why are you so hesitant?’ . Sad is expressed in T5 through Kannada phrase ‘En madodu’. Similarly, Fear can be seen in T6 with the statement ‘thumba danger placeagidhe adhu,’ which means ‘ice underpass has become a very dangerous place’ in English. In T7, we can see the emotion Disgust from the context of the given example and also through the phrase ‘Nim movie haalumadkotideera’ in Kannada and T8 depicts Surprise through ‘oho, idyaavdo brilliant facility’, the word Oho here expresses the emotion in the statement. Multiple emotions can be seen expressed in T9, like Disgust and Sad. Disgust is expressed through ‘avamana kanro neevunamge’ and Sad can be seen through the phrase ‘nim antavaru site dudde yenta neecha kelsa bekadru madtira’.

As very few resources are available for code-mixed Kannada-English text, our primary focus in this paper is creating the corpus and annotating associated emotions to the code-mixed tweets. We believe our efforts in creating the annotated corpus will provide extreme value to the researchers working in a similar field.
4 Corpus Statistics

We have collected more than 3,34,600 tweets from Twitter using TwintProject. We obtained 6396 Kannada-English code-mixed tweets after extensive cleaning of the corpus. We made sure that all the words in the corpus are in Roman script. Table 2 shows the distribution of Emotion tags in the code-mixed corpus. We used hashtags related to politics, sports, social events, recent trends and words which depict emotions in Kannada like ‘santhoshada’, ‘amodha’ for happy, ‘nirase’, ‘amodha’ for sad etc., in collecting the corpus.

We have made language identification for each word to have a better understanding of the corpus, using the tool \(^2\) from the research done by Bhat (2015). We have shown the distribution of words present in the corpus between Kannada and English languages in Table 3 and Table 4, which helps us for a better understanding of code-mixing nature.

5 System Architecture

This section explains the emotion prediction of the annotated corpus in the code-mixed Kannada-English tweets. We performed experiments using machine learning models to classify emotions into happy, angry, sad, fear, disgust, surprise and ‘multiple emotion’.

5.1 Feature Identification and Extraction

Here, to train our supervised machine learning models, we have used the following feature vectors.

1. **Character N-Grams**: This is one of the crucial features for classifying texts and is language independent. Character N-Grams helps us in capturing the semantic information as social media texts contain misspellings and informal words that are different from standard English and Kannada words. We used Character N-Grams of size 2 and 3 in order to capture the information in the string.

2. **Word N-Grams**: We use Word N-Grams as a feature in our model, which helps us to capture emotion in a text. These are also called contextual features.

3. **Negation Words**: Negative words always alter the perceived emotion. ‘Not happy’ depicts sadness, even though it contains the word happy. We take a list of English negation words from Christopher

\(^2\)https://github.com/irshadbhat/litcm
Pott’s sentiment tutorial\(^3\). We make a count of all such terms and use them as a feature. Zhu (2014) worked on the effect of negation words on sentiment.

4. **Punctuation**: Multiple question marks and multiple exclamation marks are used to depict feelings of angry and astonishment, respectively. We count the occurrence of such, in a sentence, and use them as a feature.

5. **Emoticons**: In social media, we use emoticons to express emotions like ‘:)’ for happiness and ‘:(’ to express sadness. We use a list of Western emoticons from Wikipedia\(^4\). We use count of emoticons for each emotion in each tweet as a feature.

6. **Capitalization**: People often use capital letters to denote anger in social media. We use all such words, count them, and use them as a feature in our experiments.

7. **Repetitive Characters**: Words like ‘yayyyy,’ ‘partyyy,’ ‘lolll,’ ‘happyyyy,’ etc. are used in social media to stress an emotion or feeling. If particular characters were repeated more than two times in a row, we make a count of all such words and use it as a feature.

8. **Emotion Words**: From the corpus, we analyzed each emotion tweet and obtained a list of Kannada and English words and used the count of occurrence of each word as a feature. For example words like ‘santhoshada’, ‘yaadha’, ‘santhushta’, ‘amodha’ and other words are present in the list for ‘HAPPY’. Similarly multiple words which depict the emotion for other tags are used.

9. **Intensifiers**: We use a list of intensifiers from Wikipedia\(^5\). We used this to emphasize emotion or sentiment. For example, in the following phrase, ‘nice underpass thumba danger place agidhe adhu’ means ‘nice underpass has become a very dangerous place’. Here, ‘very’ is used to emphasize the fear in the statement. English intensifiers were transliterated into Kannada. Kannada words which were used as intensifiers in the corpus was also added to the list.

### 6 Results and Discussions

We experimented with prediction models, SVM and LSTM model on our corpus.

#### 6.1 SVM

Support vector machines (SVM) are supervised learning models that analyze data used for classification and regression analysis. We performed several experiments using different parameters like RBF, linear kernels, gamma value, regularization parameter. We used SVM classifiers using RBF kernel as they perform efficiently with high dimensional feature vectors. We carried out 5-fold cross-validation. We have used scikit-learn for training our system classifier. With SVM, we had the best accuracy of 30% with RBF kernel and 100 iterations. Table 5 shows the results with the SVM classifier.

#### 6.2 LSTM

Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) is an RNN architecture that is well suited for classification and making predictions based on time series data. LSTM is widely used in many natural language processing applications like classification and language modeling. In our problem of emotion prediction, which is a classification task, the input words are processed by LSTM networks sequentially, and the last output of the LSTM represents the meaning of the sentence.

We performed several experiments using different parameters in LSTM like dropout, loss function, optimizer, activation function, and number of epochs. In the experiments with LSTM, the best F1-score we had is 0.3 and the best accuracy of 32% using ‘softmax’ as activation function, and ‘categorical_crossentropy’ as loss function with a dropout of 0.2 for five epochs. The training, validation and testing splits are taken as 70%, 10%, 20% of total data. Table 6 shows the results of the LSTM on the

---

\(^3\)http://sentiment.christopherpotts.net/lingstruc.html  
\(^4\)https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_emoticons  
\(^5\)https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intensifier
| Feature             | Accuracy |
|---------------------|----------|
| Char N-Grams        | 0.29     |
| Word N-Grams        | 0.28     |
| Negation words      | 0.26     |
| Punctuation         | 0.24     |
| Emoticons           | 0.23     |
| Capitalization      | 0.28     |
| Repetitive Characters| 0.25     |
| Emotion Words       | 0.22     |
| Intensifiers        | 0.25     |

Table 5: Results of SVM on our corpus

| Emotion | precision | recall | f1-score |
|---------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Happy   | 0.32      | 0.44   | 0.37     |
| Angry   | 0.33      | 0.49   | 0.39     |
| Sad     | 0.29      | 0.16   | 0.20     |
| Fear    | 0.01      | 0.01   | 0.01     |
| Disgust | 0.17      | 0.18   | 0.17     |
| Surprise| 0.05      | 0.02   | 0.03     |
| Multiple| 0.36      | 0.21   | 0.27     |

Table 6: LSTM Results on all emotions

corpus. The low results of precision, recall and f1-scores for the emotions ‘Fear’ and ‘Surprise’ are due to the less number of data samples 64 and 89 respectively.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

Our findings are as follows:

- Presented an annotated code-mixed Kannada-English corpus for Emotion Prediction. The corpus will be published online soon.

- We have experimented with the machine learning models SVM, LSTM, on our data, accuracy for which is 30%, 32%, respectively.

- We have proposed nine handcrafted features which helps us in the capturing of emotion in code-mixed Kannada-English text.

- We are introducing and addressing Emotion Prediction of Kannada-English code-mixed data as a research problem.

For future work, the corpus can be enriched by also giving the respective POS tags for the words. An increase in the size of the corpus helps in more applications of code-mixed Indian languages. We can adapt the problem for Emotion Prediction in code-mixed data containing more than two languages from multilingual societies.
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