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Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are a major cause of maternal mortality and morbidity worldwide, including developed countries [1]. The first-line drug to treat hypertension in pregnant women is methyldopa, which is an α2-adrenergic agent that has shown maternal and fetal safety and efficacy. However, methyldopa is not effective in all cases, and sometimes it is important to consider other pharmacological agents [1,2].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) are effective first-line antihypertensive drugs, with additional benefit in diabetic subjects [3]. Also, a positive effect to prevent dementia has been reported, especially in patients with diabetes or hypertension [4]. ACEIs are contraindicated during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy due to well-recognized congenital malformations, such as the renin–angiotensin–system (RAS) blockade syndrome [2,3]. Most part of guidelines and studies contraindicate ACEI use during the first trimester of pregnancy [5,6]. Nevertheless, actual risks are not fully cleared in literature, especially because hypertension represents an isolated risk factor for malformations, and in some of those studies the sample presented comorbidities such as diabetes, which were important confounding factor in the analysis of fetal outcomes risk [3,7-9].

Studies about the use of ACEIs during the early pregnancy have been presenting conflicting results [10,11]. Therefore, this work intends to discuss about the state-of-the-art of this subject.

Discussion

In 2006, an important cohort study was performed with 29,057 infants, in which 209 had ACEIs exposure alone during the first trimester [7]. The study found those with only first-trimester exposure to ACEI presented an increased risk for teratogenicity (Relative Risk - RR, 2.71; 95% Confidence Interval - CI, 1.72 to 4.27) when compared to infants who had no exposure to antihypertensive agents. Fetus exposure to other class for antihypertensive drugs, however, did not show increased risk of malformations (RR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.25 to 1.75). This work was the main responsible for influencing further research evaluating the risks of the ACEIs in first-trimester pregnancy.

Nevertheless, a few important limitations and biases limits the validity of those results [11]. For example, women with undiagnosed diabetes or diet-controlled were included in the same sample with no adjustments for pre-pregnancy body mass, which is a considerable confounding bias, due to its known fetal malformations increased risk [8,9]. The most common fetal malformations and complications due to ACEIs use are described in the Table 1.

Table 1: Common fetal malformations and complications related to ACEIs use in early pregnancy [1,2,5,12,13].

| Malformations       | Complications       |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Craniofacial defects| Renal failure       |
| Skull and lung hypoplasia| Anuria             |
| Cardiovascular      | Oligohydramnios     |

According to a systematic review conducted by Bullo et al. [12], including 37 articles, 52% of newborns exposed to ACEIs had no complications (P=0.0001). Despite of that, the study did not present the prevalence of complications in case of ACEIs intake during the first trimester only. Thus, the prevalence of newborns diagnosed with fetal RAS-blockade syndrome was significantly lower in those exposed at the beginning of pregnancy only (P=0.05).
Comparing the use of ACEIs during the first trimester, a cohort study performed with 1,333,624 pregnancies, from those 4,107 was exposed to ACEIs, showed a prevalence of malformations in 5.9% of cases compared with 3.3% in the unexposed group [3]. However, the CI of the risk ratios has crossed the null hypothesis [1], which implies that in some situations the outcome presented no differences between the studied groups as detailed in Table 2.

Table 2: Studies published in the last five years analyzing fetal outcomes after the use of ACEIs during the first trimester of pregnancy in comparison to control groups: unexposed versus antihypertensive drugs or exposed to methyldopa.

| Author, Year | Country | Sample Size | Unexposed versus ACEI | Methyldopa versus ACEI |
|--------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
|              |         |             | Malformations        | Prevalence | RR/OR/HR | 95% CI | Malformations | Prevalence | RR/OR/HR | 95% CI |
| Bateman et al. [3] | USA     | 4,107       | No increased risk    | 3.3%† versus 5.9%*  | RR 0.89 | 0.75–1.06 | -             | -         | -         | -      |
| Ahmed et al. [13]  | Australia | 67          | -                     | -         | -         | - | No increased risk | OR 0.5*  | 0.2–1.1* | 0.2–1.3* |
| Hoeltzenbein et al. [10] | Germany | 329         | Increased risk        | 3.4%† versus 5.5%* | OR 2.38 | 1.03–5.49 | No increased risk | 3.7%†  | 0.53–1.31 |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CM, cardiac malformation; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; NM, neurological malformation; RR, relative risk; USA, United States of America. a preterm birth; b low body weight; *ACEIs; †control.

A different cohort study analyzed the use of ACEIs compared to methyldopa [13]. As a result, no increased risk for preterm birth was found due to the use of ACEIs or in the low body weight group. Also, no increased risk was found in a German cohort (4.7% ACEIs vs 3.7% methyldopa) [10].

It is important to consider that hypertension might be an independent risk factor to the development of fetal malformations [13,14] as demonstrated in a cohort study conducted by Bateman et al. [15]. According to Bateman, patients under antihypertensive drug treatment had a higher risk of any congenital anomalies (OR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.6-1.9), as well as those with untreated chronic hypertension (OR, 1.5; 95% CI, 1.4-1.6), when compared to a control group.

Actually, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists does not recommend the prescription of ACEIs in women at reproductive age, except when there are compelling reasons (i.e. presence of proteinuric renal disease) [13,16]. Considering the studies published in the last few years, the majority of cases of ACEIs use was not associated with increased risk of fetal malformations [3,13], except for one study that found increased risk of major birth defects when compared to patients unexposed to antihypertensive drugs (5.5% ACEIs vs 3.4% unexposed) [10].

Despite that, in all three studies included in the Table 2, the CI for risk crossed the null hypothesis. In those scenarios, the outcome is the same in both groups so the ratio results in one, which implies that there is no difference between the two arms of the studies.

Considering the data available in literature, we believe that at this point there is no evidence enough to support the use of ACEIs during the first trimester of pregnancy. Neither the association with fetal anomalies is fully established and understood nor the real benefits of ACEIs prescription, except in strict scenarios. Also, it seems reasonable and safer to suspend ACEIs prescription at early pregnancy, considering the risk of inadequate prenatal follow-up, and the risk of ACEI continuation during the second or third trimester of pregnancy.
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