Impact of dairy consumption on essential hypertension: a clinical study
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Abstract

Background: Several studies have presented evidence suggesting that dairy consumption has beneficial effects on blood pressure (BP) in healthy subjects; however, only a few studies have examined this possibility in patients with established essential hypertension using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. The objective of this study was to investigate how consuming dairy products impacts mean daytime systolic and diastolic BP in men and women with mild to moderate essential hypertension.

Methods: Eighty-nine men and women with systolic BP ≥ 135 mm Hg and ≤ 160 mm Hg and diastolic BP ≤ 110 mm Hg were enrolled in this single-blind, randomized, cross-over, controlled study. Participants had to incorporate three daily servings of dairy products or control products equivalent in macronutrients and sodium during four-week treatment phases. Twenty-four hour ambulatory BP and endothelial function were assessed at screening and at the end of each dietary phase.

Results: The consumption of three daily servings of dairy products led to a significant reduction in mean daytime ambulatory systolic BP (−2 mm Hg; P = 0.05) in men compared with readings after the control phase. In women, dairy consumption had no effect on ambulatory systolic BP. Moreover, endothelial function was significantly improved by dairy consumption in the whole cohort.

Conclusion: These data indicate that the consumption of three daily servings of dairy products have beneficial effects on daytime systolic ambulatory BP compared to a heart-healthy, dairy-free, diet in men with mild to moderate essential hypertension.
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group appears to be beneficial for BP control. Recent meta-analyses [13,14], including nearly 45,000 and 57,000 subjects, and systematic reviews of the literature on dairy products, BP and hypertension [15,16] showed that consuming low-fat dairy products and milk consumption is inversely associated with the risk of hypertension. The combination of the micronutrient composition (calcium, magnesium and potassium) and the bioactive peptides (lactotripeptides) of dairy products could act synergistically, which would thus explain this protective effect [15,16]. Because these aforementioned studies evaluated the association between dairy products and the risk of hypertension, the causal link between dairy consumption and BP reduction remains unclear. In fact, randomized clinical trials that have attempted to assess this relationship either were not designed to identify nutrients that lower BP or used small sample sizes [8,17-19]. For example, in the original DASH study, the diet rich in fruits, vegetables and low-fat dairy products led to a greater reduction in BP than the control diet without dairy products [8]. However, in addition to including dairy products, there were also other important differences in nutritional composition that could have played a significant role in BP control. Therefore, the impact of dairy products per se was not individually characterized in the DASH study.

Finally, very few studies have assessed BP using ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM). APBM is a proven and reliable method to assess BP because it reflects the actual BP more accurately than casual or in-office BP measurements and allows white coat syndrome, hidden hypertension or nocturnal hypertension to be detected that otherwise could not be detected with standard measurements [20,21].

The objective of this study was to investigate how the consumption of three daily servings of dairy products impacts the mean daytime systolic and diastolic BP in men and women with mild to moderate essential hypertension. We hypothesized that consuming three servings of dairy products per day would significantly reduce the mean daytime systolic and diastolic BP as measured by 24-h ABPM. We have also examined how the consumption of three daily servings of dairy products impacts endothelial function. We hypothesized that dairy consumption would significantly improve the endothelial function as measured by digital pulse amplitude tonometry.

**Results and discussion**

Of the 163 persons screened, 89 met the eligibility criteria and were randomized. During the study, 9 subjects dropped out of the protocol by their own and 4 were excluded for medical reasons (3 participants had sustained SBP > 160 mm Hg and 1 participant had a major surgery and general anesthesia). A total 76 participants (33 females and 43 males) completed the entire protocol (Figure 1). Demographic and anthropometric characteristics at the baseline (week 0) are presented in Table 1. The mean age and mean BMI of the participants were 53.3 ± 12.2 y and 28.2 ± 3.7 kg/m², respectively. No significant differences in weight were noted at the end of each dietary intervention (Δ = 0.2 kg; P = 0.26). However, between the first and the last week of the CONTROL diet, a small but significant weight loss occurred (−0.3 ± 1.1 kg; P = 0.03). No such difference was measured between the first and the last week of the DAIRY diet (0.0 ± 1.0 kg; P = 0.70).

Various methods were used to assess the compliance of participants to dietary intervention. First, an auto-reported checklist the participants completed daily allowed us to calculate the percentage of consumed food for each dietary treatment. The average compliance rates during the DAIRY and CONTROL treatment were 98.9 ± 1.8% and 98.7 ± 2.2%, respectively. Furthermore, the mean dairy

**Table 1 Baseline (week 0) characteristics of the participants who completed the study**

|                      | n = 76 |
|----------------------|--------|
| Women (n)            | 33     |
| Age (y)              | 53.3 ± 12.2 |
| Weight (kg)          | 80.6 ± 13.3 |
| Height (m)           | 1.69 ± 0.11 |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 28.2 ± 3.7 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 95.8 ± 11.1 |
| Office systolic BP (mm Hg) | 126 ± 11 |
| Office diastolic BP (mm Hg) | 81 ± 8   |
| ABPM (Screening)     |        |
| Daytime systolic BP  | 144 ± 8 |
| Daytime diastolic BP | 87 ± 8  |
| 24-h systolic BP     | 140 ± 8 |
| 24-h diastolic BP    | 84 ± 7  |

Mean ± standard deviation.
Table 2 Ambulatory blood pressures (mm Hg) and RHI at the end of each dietary phase

|                | Dairy           | Control         | Δ     | P₁   | P₂   |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|------|------|
| Daytime systolic BP | 142 ± 9         | 143 ± 9         | −1 (−2 to 1) | 0.38 | 0.45 |
| Daytime diastolic BP | 86 ± 9          | 86 ± 8          | 0 (−1 to 1) | 0.59 | 0.42 |
| 24-h systolic BP   | 139 ± 9         | 139 ± 9         | 0 (−1 to 1) | 0.68 | 0.81 |
| 24-h diastolic BP  | 83 ± 8          | 83 ± 8          | 0 (−1 to 1) | 0.59 | 0.42 |
| RHI              | 2.58 ± 0.52     | 2.50 ± 0.54     | 0.09 (−0.05 to 0.22) | 0.21 | 0.04 |

Dairy and Control BP: Mean ± standard deviation.
Δ: Difference in mm Hg between Dairy and Control values (95% CI).
RHI: reactive hyperemia index.
P₁: Unadjusted paired t-test.
P₂: Adjusted for energy intake.
related, at least in part, to important differences in the diet compositions between the two intervention treatments.

The first difference regarding diet composition is related to the daily consumption of sodium, which was significantly higher during the DAIRY phase than during the CONTROL phase (+238 mg; \(P = 0.008\)), despite the fact that the DAIRY and CONTROL products had the same sodium content. A high consumption of sodium has been shown to have a deleterious impact on BP control [19,22]. Based on the DASH sodium study [19], we assessed that this difference in sodium intake had an impact of 0.6 mm Hg on systolic BP and of 0.3 mm Hg on diastolic BP. Therefore, it is likely that the higher intake of sodium might have attenuated the beneficial effect of dairy consumption on BP.

Another important consideration in the interpretation of these results is related to the daily consumption of fruits and vegetables. In fact, consumption of fruits and vegetables

| Table 3 Dietary composition during each phase of treatment |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
|                                | Dairy       | Control     | Δ            | \(P\)     |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Dairy products (servings)      | 3.4 (2.9-3.9) | 0.1 (0.0-0.1) | 3.3          | <0.0001   |
| Fruits and vegetables (servings) | 7.0 (6.2-7.8) | 10.0 (9.1-11.0) | -3.0        | <0.0001   |
| Meat and substitutes (servings) | 2.5 (2.2-2.7) | 2.8 (2.6-3.1) | -0.3        | 0.001     |
| Cereals (servings)             | 4.4 (4.0-4.9) | 4.1 (3.7-4.5) | 0.3         | 0.11      |
| Energy (kcal)                  | 2239 (2089-2401) | 1985 (1827-2157) | 254         | 0.0005    |
| Alcohol (%)                    | 0.6 (0.4-1.1) | 0.8 (0.5-1.2) | -0.2        | 0.35      |
| Lipids (%)                     | 32.1 (31.1-33.3) | 32.5 (31.5-33.6) | -0.4       | 0.54      |
| SFA (%)                        | 10.7 (10.3-11.1) | 8.1 (7.8-8.4) | 2.6         | <0.0001   |
| MUFA (%)                       | 12.9 (12.4-13.5) | 14.2 (13.6-14.8) | -1.3       | <0.0001   |
| PUFA (%)                       | 5.8 (5.5-6.2) | 7.3 (7.0-7.6) | -1.4        | <0.0001   |
| TFA (%)                        | 1.1 (1.0-1.2) | 1.1 (1.1-1.2) | 0.0         | 0.61      |
| Dietary cholesterol (mg)       | 254 (232-278) | 204 (182-228) | 50          | <0.0001   |
| Protein (%)                    | 17.6 (17.0-18.1) | 14.4 (13.8-15.0) | 3.2       | <0.0001   |
| Carbohydrates (%)              | 50.4 (49.2-51.6) | 54.2 (52.6-55.7) | -3.8      | <0.0001   |
| Fiber (g)                      | 24.9 (22.8-27.2) | 26.1 (23.9-28.5) | -1.2     | 0.21      |
| Sodium (mg)                    | 2729 (2533-2941) | 2491 (2307-2691) | 238       | 0.008     |
| Calcium (mg)                   | 1492 (1376-1617) | 533 (481-591) | 959        | <0.0001   |
| Magnesium (mg)                 | 445 (415-477) | 410 (379-444) | 35         | <0.0001   |
| Potassium (mg)                 | 4219 (3956-4500) | 3830 (3548-4134) | 389       | 0.01      |
| Vitamin D (\(\mu\)g)          | 9.7 (8.9-10.6) | 4.9 (4.2-5.9) | 4.7        | 0.006     |

73 participants completed the FFQ after both treatment. Geometric mean (95% CI). \(\Delta\): Difference between Dairy and Control values.

Figure 2 Individual variation in mean daytime systolic BP between the DAIRY diet and the CONTROL diet. Each bar represents the change (mm Hg) in blood pressure for one study subject; these data are arranged in rank to show the range of variation.

| Table 4 Ambulatory BP (mm Hg) and RHI at the end of each dietary phase for men (n = 43) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
|                                        | Dairy           | Control      | \(\Delta\)  | \(P\)     |
| Daytime systolic BP                     | 142 ± 10        | 144 ± 10     | -2 (−3 to 0)| 0.05      |
| Daytime diastolic BP                    | 87 ± 9          | 88 ± 8       | -1 (−2 to 1)| 0.37      |
| 24 h systolic BP                        | 138 ± 9         | 139 ± 9      | -1 (−3 to 0)| 0.12      |
| 24 h diastolic BP                       | 84 ± 8          | 84 ± 8       | 0 (−1 to 0)| 0.61      |
| RHI                                      | 2.41 ± 0.43     | 2.30 ± 0.42  | 0.11 (+0.06 to 0.28) | 0.63 |

Mean ± standard deviation. \(\Delta\): Difference in mm Hg between Dairy and Control values (95% CI).
vegetables was lower during the DAIRY phase than during the CONTROL phase (−3.0 servings/day; \(P < 0.0001\)). A diet rich in fruits and vegetables has been shown to exert beneficial effects on BP control. In the DASH study, the diet rich in fruits and vegetables, which contained 8.5 servings of fruits, juice and vegetables and no dairy products was associated with significant reductions in both systolic and diastolic BP compared with the control diet that was poor in fruits, juice and vegetables (3.6 servings/day) [8]. Based on these data, we assessed that the difference in the fruits and vegetables intake observed in the current study might have an impact up to 1.7 mm Hg on SBP and 0.7 mm Hg on DBP. It is important to emphasize that the 3.0 serving difference in daily consumption of fruits and vegetables observed in the current study was mainly constituted of juices and that the effect on BP might be lower than expected based on the DASH study. Nonetheless, it is likely that the higher consumption of fruits and vegetables, caused by the provided control foods may have had favorable effects on BP during the CONTROL phase.

Previous studies have also shown that weight loss is associated with beneficial effects on BP control. A meta-analysis reported that a 1-kg reduction in body weight is associated with a reduction of 1.1 mm Hg in systolic BP and 0.9 mm Hg in diastolic BP [23]. The relationship between weight loss and BP reduction appears to be linear [24]. In the present study, the significant weight loss might have lowered both SBP and DBP of 0.3 mm Hg during the CONTROL treatment.

Taken together, the combined effects of the lower sodium intake (−0.5/−0.3 mm Hg), the consumption of more fruits and vegetables (−1.7/−0.7 mm Hg) and the significant weight loss (−0.3/−0.3 mm Hg) during the CONTROL phase may have decreased BP by 2.5/1.3 mm Hg and therefore attenuated the impact of dairy consumption by reducing the difference in BP between each treatment phase.

Our results, showing that dairy consumption improves BP control in men, support the concept that constitutional factors could play a role in the heterogeneity of BP response to dairy intake. Several studies have suggested that sex steroid hormones have direct vascular effects that may contribute to the gender differences in BP regulation [25,26]. Interestingly, these results are in contrast with those from the DASH study in which the DASH diet compared with the Fruits and Vegetables diet significantly reduced BP in women, but not in men [8]. Our results also suggested that dairy consumption could have a detrimental effect on DBP in women. Further studies are clearly needed to assess the contribution of gender-related factors to the BP response to dairy intake.

The short duration of the intervention periods and the partly controlled diet are the two limitations of this study. In addition, as the study population included subjects with mild to moderate hypertension, our results cannot be extrapolated to individuals with severe hypertension that may have a better BP response to dairy products [8]. Furthermore, it is important to note that the use of ABPM versus the standard sphygmomanometer measurement taken in a doctor’s office has most likely enhanced the validity of the BP measurements in the present study [27]. Several lines of evidence indicate that ABPM data, particularly the mean daytime systolic BP, correlate more closely than conventional in-office measurements with target organ injury [28] and CVD risk [29].

**Conclusions**

The results of the current study suggest that dairy consumption has beneficial effect on endothelial function of mild to moderate hypertensive subjects. Also, our results indicate that the daily consumption of three servings of dairy products have beneficial impacts on daytime systolic BP, compared to a heart-healthy diet that excludes dairy, in mild to moderate hypertensive men. In addition, our results suggest that gender could play a role in the heterogeneity of BP response to dairy intake. Finally, the lack of a response to dairy intake in the whole cohort may be related to the lower sodium, significant weight loss and increased consumption of fruit and vegetables in the control diet. Therefore, controlled studies in which all foods are provided to participants to minimize interindividual variations in dietary

**Table 5 Ambulatory BP (mm Hg) and RHI at the end of each dietary phase for women (n = 33)**

|                           | Dairy        | Control     | \(\Delta\) | \(P\) |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| Daytime systolic BP       | 142 ± 9      | 142 ± 8     | 0 (−1 to 3) | 0.45  |
| Daytime diastolic BP      | 85 ± 9       | 84 ± 8      | 1 (0 to 2)  | 0.05  |
| 24 h systolic BP          | 139 ± 8      | 138 ± 7     | 1 (−1 to 3) | 0.30  |
| 24 h diastolic BP         | 82 ± 8       | 81 ± 8      | 1 (0 to 2)  | 0.13  |
| RHI                       | 2.83 ± 0.52  | 2.78 ± 0.55 | 0.05 (−0.18 to 0.29) | 0.63  |

Mean ± standard deviation.

\(\Delta\): Difference in mm Hg between Dairy and Control values (95% CI).
composition are required to assess the independent contribution of dairy intake on BP control in hypertensive subjects.

**Methods**

**Population**

Eighty-nine adults were recruited between August 2011 and December 2012 in Quebec City at the Institute of Nutrition and Functional Foods (INAF) of Laval University. To be part of the study, participants had to be aged between 18–70 years old, have mild to moderate essential hypertension (mean daytime systolic BP ≥ 135 mm Hg and ≤ 160 mm Hg and mean daytime diastolic BP ≤ 110 mm Hg, as identified with 24-h ABPM) and have maintained a stable body weight for at least six months prior to the study. Participants with body mass indices (BMI) > 35 kg/m², that were smokers (>1 cigarette/day), with a previous history of cardiovascular disease, with type 2 diabetes, with monogenic dyslipidemia, that were taking anti-inflammatory drugs, that had endocrine or gastrointestinal disease, that had an allergy to dairy, that were clinically using vitamin D or calcium supplements, that were vegetarians or that had any other conditions that may interfere with optimal participation in the study were ineligible.

Subjects taking anti-hypertensive drugs were eligible; however, they had to stop taking their medication at least three weeks before screening and for the duration of the study, under the approval of the study physician. Subjects taking lipid-lowering drugs were eligible under the same condition; however, they had to stop taking their medication at least four weeks before screening.

Pre-menopausal women were eligible, irrespective of the use of contraceptive agents, if their menstrual cycle had been regular for the last three months (25–35 days/cycle). Post-menopausal women were eligible; however, their hormone supplementation status had to remain constant for the duration of the study. Women who started hormone replacement therapy within six months prior to the study were ineligible. Finally, all participants signed an informed consent document before being enrolled. The project was approved by the Laval University Ethical Review Committee.

**Study design**

In this single-blind, randomized, cross-over, controlled study, participants who met the inclusion criteria first received nutritional advice to adapt their diet to a prudent dietary pattern (25–35% of calories from fat, <10% of calories from saturated fatty acids, <1% of calories from trans fatty acids, dietary cholesterol <200 mg/d and sodium <2300 mg/d). A trained registered dietitian gave recommendations that were based on a validated self-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), which assessed the participants’ diets from the preceding four weeks [30] and from three-day food diaries. A two-week run-in period followed to allow participants to familiarize themselves with the recommendations (Figure 3).

After the run-in period, participants were randomly assigned to a DAIRY-CONTROL or a CONTROL-DAIRY diet sequence using computer-generated numbers. Each dietary phase lasted four weeks separated by a four-week wash-out period. During the wash-out period, participants were told to continue with the dietary recommendations they received during the run-in period. The run-in period refers to the period between week −2 and week 0, and the baseline refers to week 0. Weeks 0 to 4 and 8 to 12 were the two treatment periods, and the time lapse between week 4 and week 8 was the wash-out period (Figure 3). During the DAIRY diet, participants had to incorporate the equivalent of 3.1 daily servings of dairy products into their normal day diet. During the CONTROL diet, they had to incorporate control products equivalent in energy, saturated fatty acids (SFA) and sodium content. Participants had to avoid consuming any dairy products during the CONTROL period. The food types, serving sizes and nutritional composition of each treatment are presented in Table 6. All dairy products or control foods were provided to participants on a weekly basis. Behavioral and psychological counselling was offered to subjects throughout the study to maximize the success of the nutritional changes and to ensure their compliance with treatment.

**Concealment**

Study participants and study coordinators were not blind because of the tangibility of the study food (see Table 6). However, investigators and laboratory staff were blinded until the final statistical analyses were conducted.

**Ambulatory BP monitoring**

Twenty-four-hour ABPM was performed using a Spacelabs 90207 device (Spacelabs Inc., Redmond, WA) at the screening and at the end of each dietary phase. The daytime period was set from 6:00 AM to 10:00 PM. During the daytime, BP was measured every 20 minutes, and during the night, every 30 minutes. Participants had to
wear the device for all of the time (24 hours) and had to complete a physical activity diary in parallel to assess their daily energy expenditure [31]. To be considered valid, the percentage of valid readings of each ABPM session had to be no less than 70%.

Office BP measurement
On a weekly basis, study subjects had to visit the research center for BP measurement. Auscultatory readings of BP were performed using a properly calibrated, automatic BP monitor (BP Thru, Omron) and were supervised by a nurse or a research professional. Subjects were asked to avoid caffeine 30 minutes before the appointment and had to sit quietly for 10 minutes before beginning the measurements. A minimum of three sequential readings were taken with three minutes between readings.

Dietary assessment
Before the run-in period and at weeks 4 and 12, participants had to complete a three-day food diary and a validated web auto-administered FFQ [30], which assessed their food intake from the preceding four weeks and therefore, over the intervention periods.

Endothelial function assessment
Endothelial function was assessed via digital pulse amplitude tonometry using an Endo-PAT2000 device (Itamar Medical, Caesarea, Israel) at the end of each dietary phase. This device uses non-invasive technology to measure the reactive hyperemia following an ischemia in the forearm. Patients were placed in a horizontal position. Two finger-mounted probes were attached to the distal phalanx of their index finger, which measured the arterial pulsatile volume change. A BP cuff was placed on the non-dominant forearm, and the other forearm served as the control. After a five-minute baseline period, the cuff inflated at a 250 mm Hg pressure that created an ischemia in the study forearm. After five minutes, the cuff was deflated, and the pulse amplitude tonometry was recorded for the last five-minute period. The outcome measurement that assesses the endothelial function is the reactive hyperemia index (RHI), which is the ratio of the digital pulse volume during reactive hyperemia compared with the baseline digital pulse volume. This method has been validated, is user-independent and gives a similar result as the flow-mediated dilation method, which also assesses the endothelial function [32,33].

Compliance assessment
Compliance was evaluated using checklists that the participants completed daily. Information from the three-day food diaries, FFQ and 25-OH vitamin D serum concentration obtained or measured at the end of each dietary phase were used in addition to the checklists to assess their compliance with the dietary advice given before the run-in period and the treatment foods during the intervention periods.

Anthropometry
Anthropometric measurements (body weight, waist circumference and hip circumference) were collected at the screening and at weeks 0, 2, 4, 8, 10 and 12. Body weight had to remain constant for the duration of the study.

Statistical analyses
The primary study outcome is the difference in the mean daytime systolic BP between the DAIRY and the CONTROL diets. The change in the mean daytime diastolic BP is the secondary study outcome and the third outcome is the difference in the RHI between both dietary phases. Unadjusted paired t-test and PROC mixed procedures for repeated measurements with adjustment for significant covariables were performed to compare the difference between the mean daytime blood pressure and RHI in the whole cohort and for gender using JMP software (v10.0.0, Cary, NC) and SAS software (v9.3, Cary, NC). Assessment of any interaction and adjustments for significant covariables through multivariate modeling were performed using the same procedure. Differences with \( P \leq 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. Non-normally distributed data were log transformed prior to the analyses.

Sample size estimate
The study was designed to accurately test our main hypothesis. We performed our calculations based on data
presented in the original DASH study [8], in which 354 of the 459 subjects had their BP assessed with 24-h ABPM and in which 50-60% of the change in BP was attributable to dairy consumption per se [34]. We determined that in our study, having 80 subjects complete the two phases of the intervention would allow us to detect a clinically meaningful 5 mm Hg change in mean daytime systolic BP with a power of 90% and a clinically meaningful 3 mm Hg change in mean daytime diastolic BP with a power of 90%. To account for the anticipated 15% drop out, we attempted to recruit 92 subjects, with equal numbers of males and females.
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