Dynamics of Competitive Evolution on a Smooth Landscape
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We study competitive DNA sequence evolution directed by in vitro protein binding. The steady-state dynamics of this process is well described by a shape-preserving pulse which decelerates and eventually reaches equilibrium. We explain this dynamical behavior within a continuum mean-field framework. Analytical results obtained on the motion of the pulse agree with simulations. Furthermore, finite population correction to the mean-field results are found to be insignificant.
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Competitive evolution such as breeding has been practiced for ages. With recent advances in molecular biology, this method is widely used to develop novel proteins and DNA sequences for a variety of applications [1]. The basic idea of competitive molecular evolution is straightforward: in each generation, a number of molecules with certain desired characteristics are selected from the population; they are then diversified (via point mutation and/or recombination) and amplified back to the original population size. The “speed” of evolution as well as the final equilibrium distribution depend on a variety of factors such as the mutation rate, selection strength, molecule length, and population size. A systematic quantitative understanding of these dependencies is lacking thus far. Such understanding is not only of theoretical interest, but also helpful in improving the efficiency of the breeding processes. In this study, we develop a theoretical model for the simplest type of competitive evolution involving only point mutations on a smooth landscape. We achieve an understanding of this model with concepts and techniques developed in the study of front propagation [2].

To make the discussion concrete, we focus on the in vitro evolution of DNA sequences due to competitive binding to proteins. An example of such a system is the recent experiment of Dubertret et. al. [3], where DNA sequences are selected competitively according to their relative affinities for the lac-repressor protein. In this experiment, selection is accomplished by coating a beaker with lac-repressor molecules followed by subsequent washing, so that only the strongly-bound sequences remain. Mutation and amplification are then accomplished by multiple stages of polymerase chain reaction [4]. While the experiment of Ref. [3] easily accomplished the goal of finding the best binding sequence starting from a pool of random sequences in a few generations, the shortness of the binding sequence [20 base pairs (bp)] makes it difficult to explore the interesting dynamics of the competitive evolution process. In our study we consider the evolution process of Ref. [3] applied to much longer sequences so that that the steady state dynamics can be examined. An example of such a system might be the histone-octamer, which is known to bind DNA sequences of 147 bp [5].

We consider a pool of $N$ DNA sequences of length $L$. Each sequence $S = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_L)$ of nucleotides $b_i$ is subject to independent single-nucleotide mutations at a rate $\nu_0 \ll 1$ per nucleotide per generation. Selection is accomplished through protein-DNA binding. Let the binding energy of a sequence $S$ to the protein be $E_S$ and let the fraction of such sequences in the pool be $n_S$. Assuming thermodynamic equilibrium for the binding process, the selection function is simply the binding probability, given by the Fermi function $P(E_S, \mu) = 1/[1 + \exp(E_S - \mu)]$, where $mu$ is the chemical potential and all energies are expressed in units of $k_B T$. Here $\mu$ serves as a (soft) selection threshold, and is determined by the fraction $\phi$ of DNA sequences that remain bound to the proteins after selection, i.e., $\sum_S P(E_S, \mu) n_S = \phi$. It can be controlled by either the number of available proteins or, as in the experiment [3], by the washing strength. The fraction $\phi$ can be varied from $\phi \lesssim 1$ (weak competition) to $\phi \gtrsim 1$ (strong competition). We define the evolution process iteratively whereby in each round, $N$ daughter sequences are chosen from the existing pool according to $P(E_S, \mu)$, and then point mutation are introduced with rate $\nu_0$ to generate the new sequence pool.

Finally we need to specify the binding energy $E_S$. We assume that each nucleotide taking part in the binding contributes independently, and adopt a “two-state” model [6] which assigns an energy penalty $\epsilon$ (of the order of a few $k_B T$’s) for each nucleotide which does not match the one the protein prefers. This form of binding energy has been shown to work reasonably well for specific systems [6] and has been argued to hold for a wide class of regulatory proteins [6, 10]. Given this energy model, a DNA sequence with $r$ mismatches has a binding probability $P(r, r_0) = 1/[1 + e^{(r-r_0)/\epsilon}]$, where $r_0 \equiv \mu/\epsilon$ is the selection threshold in the “mismatch space” $r$ [11].

The above evolution model is easily implemented on a computer. We fix three of the model parameters at $N = 5 \times 10^5$, $L = 170$ and $\nu_0 = 0.01$ from here on, and vary only the selection strength through the choice of the selection stringency $\phi$. A typical simulation result for strong selection ($\phi = 0.1$) is shown as the space-time plot...
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The first two terms on the right-hand side of [11] result from the (conservative)
mutational processes, with
\[
D(r) = \frac{\nu}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{A - 2}{A - 1} \frac{r}{L} \right), \quad v(r) = \nu \left( 1 - \frac{A - 1}{A - 1} \frac{r}{L} \right)
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In fact, the smallest possible speed \( c_{\text{min}} \equiv \nu_0 - \nu \) is selected by the dynamics given a reasonably compact initial
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FIG. 1: The space-time trajectory of the mismatch distribution \( n(r, t) \) according to the competitive evolution model with \( \phi = 0.1 \). The inset shows the distribution \( n(r, t) \) at generations \( t = 10, 40, 70, 100 \), after the initial transient period and before the distribution reaches equilibrium at \( r \approx 0 \). These
distributions overlap upon shift by their respective threshold
\( r_0(t) \), indicating the shape-invariance of the pulse.
reaches the asymptotic decay of $n^*$. From then on, the distribution stops broadening and moves with the speed $c_{\text{min}}$. Standard arguments show that this is equivalent to the condition that the front be marginally stable in the frame of reference moving with $c_{\text{min}}$. Note that as $c_0 \propto \sqrt{D} \propto \sqrt{\nu}$, $c_{\text{min}} < 0$ when the mutation rate $\nu$ is sufficiently large, indicating the worsening of the overall affinity of the sequences due to accumulation of deleterious mutations despite the presence of selection. These results apply also to the more general Fermi function, as it is only the asymptotic behavior of the growth term [i.e., $U(r < r_0)$] that governs velocity selection.

In evolutionary dynamics, the population size $N$ often plays a very important role. To see how $N$ enters, we note that the mean-field equation (4) has an inconsistency in that at the very front of the moving pulse, arbitrarily small $n$ gets the benefit of exponential amplification. But in reality, the number of individuals is discrete so that $n$ should always be greater than $1/N$. To deal with this problem, a cutoff procedure was proposed within the mean-field framework. Here we employ this procedure to estimate the effect of a finite population on the evolutionary velocity. Specifically, we modify the selection/amplification term $u(y)$ in Eq. (4) to $u(y) \Theta(n - N^{-1})$ (for $y < 0$). A direct extension of the approach in Ref. leads to $\delta \gamma c_0 \sim n^{-2}/\ln^2 N$ for the fractional change in $c_0$, which has the same scaling form as that for the Fisher equation. To test this result, we ran simulations (with a modified mutational scheme to achieve a constant drift) to measure the propagating speed of the pulse for different population sizes $N$. Our finding of $\delta \gamma c_0 \approx 0.06$ between $N = 5 \times 10^3$ and $5 \times 10^6$ is in line with the expectation and indicates that under typical experimental conditions, the fluctuation effect due to finite population is insignificant.

We next examine the more realistic situation of finite sequence length $L$. The important new effect is due to the $r$-dependence in the drift velocity $v(r)$ [see Eq. (3)], which, as the population approaches towards $r = 0$, increasingly hinders its advance. This can already be appreciated if we assume a quasi steady-state dynamics and replace $\nu$ in the formula for $c_{\text{min}}$ with $v(r) = \nu - \gamma r$ [where $\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{2} \nu_0$ according to (3)]:

$$r_0(t) = \frac{\gamma \tau(t) + \tau_0(t)}{\gamma^*}$$

where $r_0^e$ is the equilibrium threshold, so that a static distribution can be achieved in the moving frame. The population mean $\tau$ follows exactly the same motion (in fact, $\tau(t) \approx r_0(t)$ except when selection is very weak), i.e., a single exponential with time constant $\gamma$ (which depends only on the point mutation rate $\nu_0$). This is a generic result independent of the details of the fitness function, as long as a pulse solution exists for Eq. (4). The decay constant $\gamma$ obtained from simulation of the discrete model is in quantitative agreement with the expectation $(\frac{1}{2} \nu_0)$ for weak selection ($1 > \phi \gtrsim 0.25$); an example is shown in Fig. 2(b). In fact, the same qualitative result (i.e., the existence of a shape-preserving pulse) holds for strong selection as shown already in Fig. 4 where $\phi = 0.1$.

The shape of the pulse, i.e. the equilibrium distribution $n^e$ is governed by the same ODE as Eq. (4), except that the constant velocity $c$ is replaced by $-\gamma(y + r_0^e)$. The resulting equation again has a continuum of physically allowed solutions, each having a different shape and corresponding to a different equilibrium position $r_0^e$ (hence different $n^e$). Here we have an interesting generalization of velocity selection to the selection instead from a continuum of decelerating pulses. Again, starting from a compact initial distribution, the dynamics selects the solution whose front ($y < 0$) decays most rapidly, (in this case a Gaussian falloff), whereas the other solutions have a power-law front.

The $n^e$ extracted from the selected solution agrees well with its heuristic approximation of $(\nu - c_0)/\gamma$ when $\gamma \ll 1$; see Fig. 2(b). The theory is quantitatively accurate when the selection is not too strong (e.g., $\phi^{-1} < 2.5$). For very strong selection, the equilibrium threshold position $r_0^e$ approaches $r = 0$ and the boundary condition there needs to be taken into account. When $c_0$ and $\gamma$ are expressed in terms of original parameters,

![FIG. 2: (a) Evolution of the mean mismatch $\tau(t)$ for $\phi = 0.5$. The equilibrium distribution is used as the initial condition to mitigate transient effects. The solid line is a single-exponential fit using the theoretical value of $\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{2} \nu_0 = 0.133$. (b) Equilibrium positions as a function of selection pressure $\phi^{-1}$. The line is the theoretical estimate $\tau^e = (\nu - c_0)/\gamma$, using a generation time $\tau = 2.77$ (obtained by calibrating $c_0$ from theory with that from simulation).](image-url)
the smooth “Mt. Fuji” landscape \( L \gg 1 \), the population pulse could stall at \( \tau_{EQ} \gg 1 \). In order for the population to reach the optimal at \( r = 0 \), we need to increase the selection strength (i.e., lowering \( \theta \)) or decrease the mutation rate so that \( \phi^{-1} \gg 1 + \nu_0 r L / 2 \), to overcome the bigger entropic barrier associated with longer sequences.

As there have been extensive studies of evolution on various landscapes in the context of population genetics \([12, 13, 21]\), it is worth comparing the dynamical behavior of competitive evolution with that of more common evolutionary models. The traditional study of evolution focuses on fixed fitness landscapes, where every genotype (e.g., sequence \( S \)) has a predetermined absolute fitness value (i.e., the reproductive rate of the sequence \( \tilde{S} \)). Competitive evolution is different in that it is subject to a dynamic fitness landscape. That is, the fitness is measured relative to a dynamic selection threshold and progress towards the best binding sequence occurs via competition among the currently existing genotypes — being better is all-important, not being best. This aspect of competitive evolution leads to qualitatively different dynamical behavior. For comparison, we can consider the simplest and most widely studied fixed landscape, i.e., the smooth “Mt. Fuji” landscape \([12, 13, 21, 22]\), where each nucleotide contributes independently and additively to the fitness of the sequence, thus forming a landscape on which fitness rises steadily toward a single peak. For infinite sequence length, the mean-field theory fails in that it produces an unphysical, run-away solution due to the unlimited growth rate of \( n \) at the high fitness states \([15, 17, 22]\), and a finite population has a traveling speed that is essentially proportional to population size \([17]\). For finite sequence length, the finite population dynamics is orders-of-magnitude slower in reaching equilibrium than the (now non-divergent) mean-field prediction \([15]\). In contrast, finite population effects merely cause a small correction for the competitive evolutionary process.

To summarize, we investigated the dynamics of competitive evolution in the context of molecular evolution experiments. The major result concerns the existence and properties of a shape-invariant population pulse which propagates towards an eventual equilibrium configuration. Analytical results on the motion of the pulse obtained from the mean-field equation are in good agreement with simulations. Also, corrections due to finite population size are shown to be insignificant. An interesting aspect of our findings is the convergence of the evolution process to a solution far from optimal (i.e., \( \tau_{EQ} \gg 1 \)), if the selection strength is not sufficiently strong or mutation rate not sufficiently low. In general, competitive evolution is rather different from the usual picture of climbing a fixed fitness landscape. This approach may be applicable more generally, e.g. to natural evolution in cases where competition for scarce resources is the primary driving force, as an organism only needs to be more efficient than its competitors to win the battle for evolutionary survival.
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