Relationship between prescribing of antibiotics and other medicines in primary care: a cross-sectional study

Abstract
Background
High levels of antibiotic prescribing are a major concern as it increases antimicrobial resistance and reduces antibiotic effectiveness. Antimicrobial resistance makes common infections harder to treat.\(^1\) The UK government has announced an ambition to reduce inappropriate antibiotic prescribing by 50% by 2020.\(^2\) Nearly three-quarters of antibiotics prescribed in England are in primary care.\(^3,4\) There have been multiple interventions and initiatives to reduce antibiotic prescribing in primary care, including guidelines, education, audit tools, public education campaigns, and local antimicrobial stewardship activities.\(^5,6\) Many of these initiatives have focused specifically on improving the choice of treatment or understanding of common infections, rather than on system-level interventions, such as the organisation of general practices. A recent intervention was based on behavioural insights and provided feedback to clinicians of their prescribing behaviours compared with their peers.\(^7\) Although there has been a reduction in antibiotic prescribing in general practice,\(^8\) significantly more work is required to meet the government’s antibiotic-reducing ambition. The objective of this study was to evaluate the characteristics of practices that prescribe a greater number of antibiotics, and examine their prescribing of other medicines.

Aim
To evaluate the relationship between antibiotic and general prescribing levels in primary care.

Design and setting
Cross-sectional study in 2014–2015 of 6517 general practices in England using NHS digital practice prescribing data (NHS-DPPD) for the main study, and of 597 general practices in the UK using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink for a replication study.

Method
Linear regression to assess determinants of antibiotic prescribing.

Results
NHS-DPPD practices prescribed an average of 576.1 antibiotics per 1000 patients per year (9529.9 at the 95th percentile and 809.7 at the 95th percentile). The levels of prescribing of antibiotics and other medicines were strongly correlated. Practices with high levels of prescribing of other medicines (a rate of 27159.8 at the 95th percentile) prescribed 80% more antibiotics than low-prescribing practices (rate of 8815.9 at the 5th percentile). After adjustment, NHS-DPPD practices with high prescribing of other medicines gave 60% more antibiotic prescriptions than low-prescribing practices (corresponding to higher prescribing of 276.3 antibiotics per 1000 patients per year). Prescribing of non-opioid painkillers and benzodiazepines were also strong indicators of the level of antibiotic prescribing. General prescribing levels were a much stronger driver for antibiotic prescribing than other risk factors, such as deprivation.

Conclusion
The propensity of GPs to prescribe medications generally is an important driver for antibiotic prescribing. Interventions that aim to optimise antibiotic prescribing will need to target general prescribing behaviours, in addition to specifically targeting antibiotics.
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INTRODUCTION
Antibiotic overprescribing is a major concern as it increases antimicrobial resistance and reduces antibiotic effectiveness. Antimicrobial resistance makes common infections harder to treat.\(^1\) The UK government has announced an ambition to reduce inappropriate antibiotic prescribing by 50% by 2020.\(^2\) Nearly three-quarters of antibiotics prescribed in England are in primary care.\(^3,4\) There have been multiple interventions and initiatives to reduce antibiotic prescribing in primary care, including guidelines, education, audit tools, public education campaigns, and local antimicrobial stewardship activities.\(^5,6\) Many of these initiatives have focused specifically on improving the choice of treatment or understanding of common infections, rather than on system-level interventions, such as the organisation of general practices. A recent intervention was based on behavioural insights and provided feedback to clinicians of their prescribing behaviours compared with their peers.\(^7\) Although there has been a reduction in antibiotic prescribing in general practice,\(^8\) significantly more work is required to meet the government’s antibiotic-reducing ambition. The objective of this study was to evaluate the characteristics of practices that prescribe a greater number of antibiotics, and examine their prescribing of other medicines.

METHOD
The authors used NHS digital practice prescribing data (NHS-DPPD) for the main study, and the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) for the replication study. The rationale for using both datasets was to independently replicate the findings and evaluate the robustness of results, adjusting for different risk factors. The importance of replication of research has been advocated in literature.\(^9\) The reason for using NHS-DPPD in the main study is that it contains practice-level data from almost all practices in England. CPRD was used in the replication because it contains anonymised patient-level clinical data providing different risk factors from NHS-DPPD.\(^9\) NHS-DPPD contains the summary counts of prescriptions in a practice issued by GPs and other healthcare staff [such as nurses], practice name and postcode, and
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How this fits in

Current interventions that focus specifically on improving the treatment or understanding of common infections have had limited effectiveness in reducing antibiotic prescribing in primary care. The propensity of GPs to prescribe medications is an important driver for antibiotic prescribing. Interventions that aim to optimise antibiotic prescribing will need to consider the general prescribing behaviour in primary care.

number of registered patients (list size). The authors’ analyses were based on the NHS-DPPD data from 2015.16 Medication groups were analysed based on the British National Formulary (BNF). NHS-DPPD was linked using the practice postcode or practice ID to the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD),11 patient survey data of experiences of their practice,12 Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) data for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma,9 and GP workforce data.13 The QOF is a national incentive programme that provides financial rewards to GP practices in England for the quality of care.14 CPRD contains anonymised patient-level electronic health records (EHRs) of a broadly representative sample of general practices in the UK, and includes >10 million patients.9 The data recorded in the CPRD include patient demographic information, prescriptions supplied (including BNF category), diagnoses, test results, and specialist referrals. The postcodes of CPRD practices were not available to researchers (for reasons of data confidentiality). CPRD data for 2014 were used, as this was the most recent calendar year available to the authors.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of NHS-DPPD and CPRD practices

| Baseline characteristics of practices | NHS-DPPD (n = 6517) | CPRD (n = 587) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| List per full-time equivalent GP, mean (SD) | 2306.2 (12114.0) | n/a |
| Percentage of patients in London | 5.7 | 12.8 |
| Percentage of patients in North of England | 49.7 | 54.3 |
| Percentage of patients in South of England | 44.6 | 32.9 |
| Practice list size, mean (SD) | 7558.0 (4194.8) | 7732.2 (3516.3) |
| Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 score, mean (SD) | 25.9 (17.4) | n/a |
| Adult skills sub-domain deprivation score, mean (SD) | 0.3 (0.1) | n/a |
| Health deprivation and disability score, mean (SD) | 0.2 (0.9) | n/a |
| Percentage of female patients, mean (SD) | 50.0 (2.2) | 58.1 (2.1) |
| Rate of prescribing of other medicines, mean (SD) | 17 631.3 (5797.8) | 16 587.3 (5923.6) |
| Rate of prescribing of antibiotics, mean (SD) | 576.1 (148.1) | 578.9 (177.4) |
| Rate of prescribing of non-opioid painkillers, mean (SD) | 1211.4 (544.4) | 1365.7 (611.3) |
| Rate of prescribing of benzodiazepines, mean (SD) | 274.8 (162.4) | 325.1 (247.1) |

n/a means the dataset does not have the variable. Rate per 1000 patients per year. CPRD = Clinical Practice Research Datalink. DPPD = digital practice prescribing data. n/a = not applicable. SD = standard deviation.

Potential confounders between the rates of antibiotic prescribing and risk factors in the NHS-DPPD analyses were deprivation scores in the following domains: employment; health; crime; income deprivation affecting older people, children, and young people’s education; adult skills; wider barriers; indoor environments; outdoor environments; practice characteristics [such as patient’s age, or QOF achievements]; and patient survey results of satisfaction with their practice. Postcodes were used to define the regional location of the practices.18 Potential confounders in the CPRD analyses included distribution of Charlson comorbidity index,17 smoking and body mass index, rates of patients consulting their practice for upper respiratory tract infections, lower respiratory tract infection, or urinary tract
infection, lung or skin infection, ethnicity, and region of practice.

Linear regression measured the association between rates of antibiotics prescribing (dependent variable) and risk factors (independent variable), such as rate of prescribing of other medicines. The interquartile range (IQR) and 5th and 95th percentiles were measured in the distribution of each risk factor. The authors quantified the change in the rate of antibiotic prescribing by multiplying risk factors’ coefficients (beta) from linear models to corresponding risk factors’ IQR (or range of 5th percentile to 95th percentile). This common approach is similar to standardised coefficients, as both of them are a way to present models’ coefficients [betas] of all risk factors in the same scale, so the coefficients of all risk factors can be fairly compared with each other. The list size of practices was used as a weight. Standard techniques were used to reduce the number of potential confounders in the adjusted models (including exclusion of variables of Pearson correlation coefficients of >0.6, those that influenced the variance inflation factor ≥10 reflecting severe collinearity between variables, and backward regression using Akaike information criterion). Residual, normal Q–Q, standardised residual, and Cook’s distance plots were used to check the statistical assumptions of the regression models. Poisson models were also fitted to check whether their results were consistent with the linear regression models. Pearson coefficients between rates of antibiotic prescribing and those of other medicines, painkillers, and benzodiazepines were calculated.

An explorative analysis evaluated the association between the rate of antibiotic prescribing and that of other specific classes of medicines. These classes included all BNF categories for medicines (excluding non-opioid analgesics and benzodiazepines) prescribed to at least 1% of the study population. The false discovery rate adjusted P-values were estimated in order to minimise the effects of multiple testing and the finding of false-positive statistical associations.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the practice characteristics of the NHS-DPPD and CPRD practices. There were 28 million antibiotic prescriptions and 840 million other medicines recorded in NHS-DPPD. Figure 1 shows the rates of antibiotic and other prescribing in NHS-DPPD and CPRD practices (each circle representing a practice). The adjusted regression model of prescription of other medicines and other determinants explained 56% of the variation of antibiotic prescription in NHS-DPPD (62.9% in CPRD). When removing the prescribing rate of other medicines from the model, this variation reduced to 40.7%. Analysis of variance (Anova) model comparison showed that the model with the prescribing rate of other medicines was a statistically better model than the model without. Practices with higher levels of prescribing of other medicines also issued considerably more antibiotics. Pearson coefficients between prescribing of antibiotics and other medicines was 0.65 in NHS-DPPD (0.70 in CPRD), between
### Table 2. Associations of prescribing of antibiotics with that of other medicines, non-opioid painkillers, and benzodiazepines

| Risk factor               | Mean (SD)      | 5th | 25th | 75th | 95th  | Change per IQR, crude (95% CI) | Change per IQR, adjusted (95% CI) | Rate of antibiotics | Rate of antibiotics | % Increase in antibiotic for 5th–95th percentile | Crude | Adjusted |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----|------|------|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| **Antibiotics**           |                |     |      |      |      |                               |                               |                     |                     |                                               |       |          |
| NHS-DPPD                 | 576.1 (148.1)  | 329.9 | 486.9 | 666.8 | 888.7 | 179.9 (138.3 to 145.3)         | 508.7 (265.4 to 287.2)        | 646.4 (150.2 to 321.0) | 527.3 (126.6 to 449.1) | 90.7 (81.9 to 104.4) |       |          |
| CPRD                     | 578.9 (177.4)  | 268.0 | 488.1 | 688.5 | 852.4 | 300.4 (148.4 to 177.3)         | 684.4 (378.3 to 454.5)        | 684.4 (189.5 to 349.1) | 404.8 (278.0 to 469.1) | 189.7 (172.1 to 206.0) |       |          |
| **Other medicines**      |                |     |      |      |      |                               |                               |                     |                     |                                               |       |          |
| NHS-DPPD                 | 17.631.3 (570.7.8) | 8815.9 | 13.580.0 | 21.411.6 | 27.159.8 | 7823.6 (113.2 to 122.5)         | 117.8 (300.1 to 381.0)        | 416.4 (378.3 to 454.5) | 404.8 (378.3 to 454.5) | 100 (100.0 to 600.0) | 80    | 60       |
| CPRD                     | 16.587.3 (5923.6) | 6909.8 | 12.732.6 | 20.399.0 | 25.966.7 | 7666.4 (312.0 to 206.0)         | 312.0 (375.3 to 449.0)        | 413.1 (377.0 to 449.1) | 412.1 (375.3 to 449.0) | 100 (100.0 to 600.0) |       |          |
| **Non-opioid painkillers**|                |     |      |      |      |                               |                               |                     |                     |                                               |       |          |
| NHS-DPPD                 | 121.4 (544.4)  | 462.9 | 809.6 | 1531.3 | 2215.6 | 721.7 (128.5 to 132.2)          | 119.7 (303.1 to 321.0)        | 413.1 (377.0 to 449.1) | 412.1 (375.3 to 449.0) | 100 (100.0 to 600.0) | 70    | 60       |
| CPRD                     | 1365.7 (591.3) | 463.4 | 895.0 | 1757.8 | 2344.3 | 862.8 (189.5 to 206.0)          | 189.0 (377.0 to 449.1)        | 413.1 (377.0 to 449.1) | 412.1 (375.3 to 449.0) | 100 (100.0 to 600.0) |       |          |
| **Benzodiazepines**      |                |     |      |      |      |                               |                               |                     |                     |                                               |       |          |
| NHS-DPPD                 | 274.8 (162.4)  | 79.7 | 167.8 | 344.3 | 567.3 | 176.5 (90.7 to 94.5)           | 487.6 (58.9 to 66.0)          | 693.7 (240.4 to 260.9) | 250.6 (240.4 to 260.9) | 487.6 (81.1 to 104.4) | 172.4 (162.6 to 182.2) | 50    | 30       |
| CPRD                     | 325.1 (247.1)  | 81.2 | 180.0 | 381.0 | 774.9 | 201.0 (98.7 to 104.4)           | 693.7 (81.1 to 104.4)         | 92.7 (300.1 to 381.0) | 340.7 (279.8 to 360.1) | 320.0 (279.8 to 360.1) |       |          |

*Per 1000 patients per year. *Change in rate of antibiotic prescribing over IQR or 5th to 95th percentile of risk factor (other medicines, painkillers, or benzodiazepines). *% Increase in antibiotic for 5th–95% was calculated using Poisson model.

CPRD = Clinical Practice Research Datalink. DPPD = digital practice prescribing data. n/a = not applicable. IQR = interquartile range.
antibiotics and non-opioid analgesics 0.61 in NHS-DPPD (0.72 in CPRD), and between antibiotics and benzodiazepines 0.47 in NHS-DPPD (0.60 in CPRD).

Table 2 displays the associations between prescribing of antibiotics and other medicines, benzodiazepines, and non-opioid analgesics. NHS-DPPD practices prescribed, on average, 576.1 antibiotics per 1000 patients per year. There was marked variation in the rate of antibiotic prescribing across NHS-DPPD practices, ranging from a rate of 329.9 in the 5th percentile to 808.7 in the 95th percentile. There was also strong variability in the prescribing of other medicines across practices (rate of 8815.9 in 5th and 27159.8 in 95th percentiles). As shown in Table 2, the rate of antibiotic prescribing of high-prescribing practices was 80% and, after statistical adjustment, 60% higher than low-prescribing practices. Similar results were found in CPRD practices.

The prescribing of non-opioid analgesics (Figure 2) and benzodiazepines (Figure 3) was also strongly correlated with that of antibiotics. The adjusted regression model of prescription of painkillers and other determinants explained 53.2% (55.1% in CPRD) of the variation of antibiotic prescription in NHS-DPPD. NHS-DPPD practices with high levels of prescribing of non-opioid analgesics (95th percentile)
gave 70% and, after statistical adjustment, 60% more antibiotics compared with low-prescribing practices (5th percentile). The adjusted regression model of prescription of benzodiazepines and other determinants explained 49.6% (46.4% in CPRD) of the variation of antibiotic prescription in NHS-DPPD. Benzodiazepine prescribing was also strongly related to antibiotic prescribing with, respectively, 50% and, after statistical adjustment, 30% higher antibiotic prescribing between low- and high-prescribing NHS-DPPD practices.

When increasing only one risk factor from the 5th to the 95th percentile and holding all other risk factors constant, the rate of prescribing for other medicines was associated with the biggest change of antibiotic prescribing in NHS-DPPD (276.3, 95% CI = 265.4 to 287.2) compared with other risk factors, including indicators of socioeconomic status (Table 3).

Table 4 presents the result of the explorative analyses of BNF classes that had the most significant associations (those with the smallest $P$-values) with antibiotic prescribing. It was found that prescribing of proton pump inhibitors and that of antibiotics was significantly associated. Other significantly correlated medication classes included oral glucocorticoids and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.
DISCUSSION

Summary
The authors found an association between the prescribing at practice level of antibiotics and that of other medicines, non-opioid analgesics, and benzodiazepines. The rate of prescribing of other medicines was comparatively a more important risk factor for antibiotic prescribing than any other risk factors included in this study, such as deprivation. Explorative analyses also found that prescribing of proton pump inhibitors was also correlated with antibiotic prescribing.

In conclusion, the propensity of GPs to prescribe medications generally is an important driver for antibiotic prescribing. Interventions that aim to optimise antibiotic prescribing will need to consider general prescribing behaviours of GPs.

Strengths and limitations
This study has several limitations. One

Table 3. Analysis of relative importance of continuous risk factors for antibiotic prescribing

| Risk factors                                                                 | Change in rate of antibiotics (95% CI)* over 5th to 95th percentiles in risk factor† |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rate of prescribing of other medicines                                       | 276.3 (265.4 to 287.2)                                                             |
| Adult skills sub-domain score in practice area                              | 74.2 (57.8 to 90.5)                                                                |
| % Male patients aged 0–4 years in practice                                  | 48.5 (32.4 to 64.4)                                                                |
| % Female patients aged 80–85 years in practice                              | 41.2 (29.4 to 52.9)                                                                |
| Practice score in overall experience of making an appointment: not good     | 28.7 (14.0 to 43.4)                                                                |
| Health deprivation and disability score in practice area                    | 23.5 (5.3 to 41.8)                                                                 |
| % Male patients aged 5–9 years in practice                                  | 25.0 (9.0 to 40.9)                                                                 |
| % Patients in practice with arthritis or long-term joint problem            | 20.3 (9.1 to 31.5)                                                                 |
| % Female patients aged 45–49 years in practice                              | 21.5 (9.4 to 33.5)                                                                 |
| Crime score in practice area                                                | 16.0 (3.8 to 28.2)                                                                 |
| % Male patients aged 55–59 years in practice                                | 16.9 (2.5 to 31.2)                                                                 |
| Medical conditions — % none of these conditions                             | 13.9 (1.1 to 26.6)                                                                 |
| % Patients in practice with another long-term condition                     | 11.5 (2.5 to 20.6)                                                                 |
| QOF asthma achievement, %                                                   | -5.8 (0.9 to -1.7)                                                                 |
| Practice score in rating of GP involving patients in decisions about care: % | -12.6 (0.1 to -4.3)                                                                |
| Indoors sub-domain score in practice area                                   | -13.4 (2.2 to -4.5)                                                                |
| Outdoors sub-domain score in practice area                                  | -16.2 (2.8 to -3.9)                                                                |
| Practice score in last seen or spoke to a GP, over the past 3 months        | -17.3 (0.6 to -8.6)                                                                |
| Practice score in confidence and trust in GP: % no, not at all              | -22.2 (3.2 to -11.6)                                                               |
| % Male patients aged 40–44 years in practice                                | -25.1 (3.8 to -14.4)                                                               |
| Practice score of last time wanted to see GP or nurse: not seen GP at surgery | -23.3 (3.1 to -15.0)                                                               |
| Practice score of overall experience of GP surgery: not good                | -24.9 (0.1 to -8.7)                                                               |
| Income deprivation score in practice area affecting older people            | -42.5 (5.6 to -26.4)                                                              |
| Employment score in practice area                                           | -51.5 (71.3 to -31.8)                                                              |

| CPRD                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rate of prescribing of other medicines                                       | 404.8 (368.9 to 440.6)                                                            |
| Rate of consultations for upper respiratory tract infections                 | 43.8 (29.3 to 78.3)                                                               |
| % Patients in practice who are smokers                                       | -41.9 (0.3 to -4.5)                                                               |
| Duration of GP visit, mean                                                   | -60.4 (19.9 to -25.8)                                                             |
| % Patients in practice with higher Charlson comorbidity index                | -71.7 (108.3 to -35.2)                                                            |

*Per 1000 patients per year. †Change in rate of antibiotic prescribing comparing practices in lower (5th) with higher (95th) of the risk factor. CPRD = Clinical Practice Research Datalink. DPPD = digital practice prescribing data. QOF = Quality and Outcomes Framework.
A limitation was that CPRD practices were also included in NHS-DPPD, but both analyses were done independently and included different risk factors. This was also an observation study without randomisation between different levels of prescribing, and some risk factors were not available, such as non-Western migrant status and sociocultural-determined expectations from patients. However, the authors did include strong risk factors of antibiotic prescribing as used in previous studies, such as practice location and deprivation score. The deprivation scores in NHS-DPPD analyses were based on the postcodes of practices rather than on the postcodes of patients, which may not fully represent patients’ deprivation. A further limitation was that the authors did not have information on the clinical reason for prescribing in the NHS-DPPD. Higher prescribing of, for example, antiplatelet drugs might be explained by a proactive prevention-oriented approach.

Comparison with existing literature

Wang et al evaluated predictors of antibiotic prescribing in UK general practice, and reported that characteristics of general practices associated with higher antibiotic prescribing include practice location, shorter duration of GP appointments, non-training practices, and percentage of GPs who are male, >45 years old, and qualified outside the UK. That study included only nine predictors, which explained 17.2% of the variation, whereas the current model explained 56% of the variation in antibiotic prescribing. A recent Danish study showed that insufficient or overuse of diagnostic tests, lower rates of phone consultations, and higher consultation rates in the practice were associated with higher rates of antibiotic prescribing. The current study confirms that practice characteristics are important predictors of the levels of antibiotic prescribing, and that these may be more important than other risk factors, such as socioeconomic status or patient characteristics.

Implications for research and practice

Current indicators of antibiotic prescribing in general practices do not take into account practice or population characteristics. The current measure in England is STAR PU, which only takes into account the age and sex of patients in the practice to determine the number of antibiotic prescriptions. In this study, regression analysis was used to compare practices, taking into account age, sex, and multiple other risk factors. The authors’ results indicate the need for further adjustment for patient population in these indicators to enable fair comparison between practices, and to target interventions appropriately.

The rates of antibiotic prescribing in UK general practice have fallen in recent years, but remain high. Several interventions
have been implemented or tested, but the effectiveness of most of these interventions has been modest, either through limited implementation or limited effect size. Most of the interventions have focused directly on antibiotic prescribing or the understanding of infections. Education of clinicians and/or patients has been found to improve antibiotic prescribing.24 One of the most widely used interventions has been public awareness campaigns of antibiotics and infections.25 Studies have shown that the public often misunderstand the differences between bacterial and viral infections.26 The evidence for long-term effectiveness of public campaigns is limited.27 A cluster trial of a behavioural intervention reported a short-term reduction in antibiotic prescribing in high-prescribing practices after receiving a letter from the Chief Medical Officer in December 2014.7 However, it is unclear whether these effects were sustained over time. A UK-based cluster trial of a remotely installed, computer-delivered decision support tool that could be accessed during the consultation found a small effect on the rate of antibiotic prescribing.28 The current study confirms the conclusion from another study that a whole-system approach is needed to understand the factors influencing antibiotic management to improve the appropriate use of antibiotics in primary care.29 One whole-systematic approach could involve pharmacists integrated with a practice. A recent programme in England involves pharmacists who review medication expenditure,30 hazardous prescribing to individual patients,31 and transfer of prescribing for patients referred from secondary care.32 This approach may be extended to also review the overall prescribing behaviour of practices compared with similar practices, in order to optimise the quality of prescribing in practices. Of course, the effectiveness and impact of these interventions will need to be evaluated.
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