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Abstract

Pulverised coal preparation system (Coal mills) is the heart of coal-fired power plants. The complex nature of a milling process, together with the complex interactions between coal quality and mill conditions, would lead to immense difficulties for obtaining an effective mathematical model of the milling process. In this paper, vertical spindle coal mills (bowl mill) that are widely used in coal-fired power plants, is considered for the model development and its pulverised fuel flow rate is computed using the model. For the steady state coal mill model development, plant measurements such as air-flow rate, differential pressure across mill etc., are considered as inputs/outputs. The mathematical model is derived from analysis of energy, heat and mass balances. An Evolutionary computation technique is adopted to identify the unknown model parameters using on-line plant data. Validation results indicate that this model is accurate enough to represent the whole process of steady state coal mill dynamics. This coal mill model is being implemented on-line in a 210 MW thermal power plant and the results obtained are compared with plant data. The model is found accurate and robust that will work better in power plants for system monitoring. Therefore, the model can be used for online monitoring, fault detection, and control to improve the efficiency of combustion.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In coal fired power plants, pulverised coal (PF) flow from coal mills is to be measured accurately for maximising combustion efficiency and improved dynamic response to load changes. However, realization of this is difficult due to the non-availability of accurate measurement of on-line pulverised coal flow. The function of coal mills in power generation station is to grind the large raw coal feed and to supply dry and finely pulverised coal to the furnace for faster combustion and energy release. In addition to the precise fuel supply, the mill-control system has to control primary air flow in a certain ratio to the PF flow, thereby maintaining the efficiency of unit energy release and absorption thereby reducing environment emissions. An area of power plant control that has received much less attention from modelling and control specialists is the coal mill. It is now accepted that the coal mills and their poor dynamic response are major factors in the slow load take-up rate and plant shut-down. A Coal mill model using Evolutionary computation technique reported in [1]. Expertise in mill modelling has been developed over many years which are mainly empirical. Although some progress has been made in the development of mill models, it is still very difficult to obtain exact analytical results because of the intrinsic complexity of coal pulverising process which comprises of two-phase flow and heat-transfer process. Using conventional methods, many results are reported in the form of transfer functions which are not derived from physical principles [2]. However with the advance in modern computer control systems, all measured signals can be stored in data bases covering long periods of time. These data can be used for modelling without additional field tests, but require suitable modelling techniques which are to be identified properly. A novel coal mill modelling technique for E-type coal mill and dynamic behaviour are developed using genetic algorithms [3].

Genetic Algorithms (GAs) have been successfully applied to problems in business, engineering, and science. GAs are stochastic, population-based search and optimization algorithms inspired by the process of natural selection and genetics [4]. A major characteristic of GA is that, it works with a population, unlike other classical approaches which operate on a single solution at a time. A fitness function is needed for differentiating between good and bad solutions. Unlike classical optimization techniques, the fitness function of GAs may be presented in mathematical terms, or as a complex computer simulation, or even in terms of subjective human evaluation. Fitness function generates a differential signal in accordance with which GAs guide the evolution of solutions to the problem [5]. Selection chooses the individuals with higher fitness as parents of the next generation. In other words, selection operator is intended to improve average quality of the population by giving superior individuals a better chance to get copied into the next generation [6] [7]. GA is tried in some of the thermal power plant modelling and estimation problems [8] - [10].

Coal mill model development is based on measurable variables from physical analysis and real time plant data. Since a coal mill is a multi-input-multi-output nonlinear system with a coefficient group to be determined, conventional identification methods tend to diverge due to the multivariable characteristics, strong coupling, time delays, as well as to the pollution of onsite data by noise. In this paper, GA is applied to develop the coal mill model and to estimate the pulverised coal flow using real time on-site plant data.

2. COAL MILL MODELLING

In thermal power plant, pulverization of coal is carried out by coal mill. Raw coal is moved from the storage to the mill by conveyor mechanism. The type of coal mill envisaged for our model is bowl mill which is shown in Fig.1. Raw coal is introduced near the centre of the grinding table through the coal feed pipe. The coal moves outward on the rotating table and it is ground under the roller.

The primary air is generated by mixing hot and cold air, and it is introduced through an opening near the base of the coal mill. The primary air flows upward at the grinding table...
periphery and the air is mixed with the pulverised coal. Some of the larger particles fall back on the table and they are ground again. Finer particles of the pulverised coal pass into a classifier. In the classifier, the oversized coal particles fall back to the grinding table and the finer particles are carried through the outlet of the coal mill to the burner of the boiler.

Coal mill model development involves a mathematical model with sixteen unknown mill specific parameters and estimation of these model parameters using Genetic Algorithm. The coal mill model is derived from physical mass and heat balance. In order to obtain the simple model for control purpose, it is assumed that the pulverizing mechanism in the mill is simplified, i.e., classification operation is not included. Coal is grouped into two categories only; that are Pulverised coal and Raw coal. The conceptual mass and heat balance models of the coal mill are shown in Fig.2 and Fig.3 respectively. In Fig.2, the raw coal flow \( W_r \) is introduced into the mill and pulverised coal \( W_pf \) comes out. The coal mass is converted into pulverised mass through grinding. According to the assumption, at a particular instant there will be certain amounts of raw coal \( M_r \) and pulverised coal \( M_pf \) in the mill. The raw coal is fed into the mill by raw coal feeders for pulverizing at a mass flow rate of \( W_r \). By grinding the raw coal \( M_r \) in the mill, the pulverised coal \( M_pf \) is produced and carried out by the warm air flow at the mill outlet with a pulverised coal mass flow rate of \( W_pf \). From the mass balance point of view, the total mass of the pulverised coal output from the mill at the flow rate \( W_pf \) should be equal to the total mass of the raw coal flowing into the mill at the flow rate \( W_r \) eventually.

In Fig.3, the changes in mill outlet temperature are considered as the result of heat balance. The temperature increases with the heat contributed by hot primary air entering the mill and the heat generated by grinding. It decreases by the heat energy lost due to coal and moisture entering the mill and to the hot primary air, pulverised fuel leaving the mill. From the above given Mass and Heat balance, the model is derived. So the mill outlet temperature can be written as,

\[
T_{out} = Q_{coal} + Q_{air} + Q_g + Q_e - Q_{pf} + k_7T_{out}
\]

where,

- \( Q_{coal} \) - Heat of coal inlet flow
- \( Q_{air} \) - Heat of primary air inlet
- \( Q_g \) - Heat generated due to grinding
- \( Q_e \) - Heat emitted from mill body to environment
- \( Q_{pf} \) - Heat of pulverised coal outlet from mill

The variables used for the Coal mill model is as follows: Input variables are \( W_r, T_{in} \), \( \Delta P_{pf} \) and \( W_{air} \); Intermediate variables are \( M_r, M_{pf}, \Delta P_{mpd} \) and \( W_{pf} \); Output variables are \( T_{out}, \Delta P_{mill} \) and \( P \).

2.1 MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The Model consists of four Differential Equations and three algebraic equations.

2.1.1 Differential Equations:

\[
\frac{dM_r}{dt} = W_r - k_5M_r
\]

\[
\frac{dM_{pf}}{dt} = k_15M_c - k_16\Delta P_{pa}M_{pf}
\]

\[
\frac{d\Delta P_{mpd}}{dt} = k_1M_{pf} + k_4M_c - k_3\Delta P_{mpd}
\]

\[
\frac{dT_{out}}{dt} = (k_1T_{in} + k_2)W_{air} - k_3W_c - (k_4T_{out} + k_5)\left(W_{air} + W_c\right) + k_3M_{pf} + k_4M_c + k_5
\]

2.1.2 Algebraic Equations:

\[
P = k_6M_{pf} + k_7M_c + k_8
\]

\[
\Delta P_{mill} = k_6\Delta P_{pa} + \Delta P_{mpd}
\]

\[
W_{pf} = k_16\Delta P_{pa}M_{pf}
\]

2.2 DISCRETISED MODEL

In order to calculate the on-line Pulverised Coal flow in real time, the model needs to be discretised with a suitable numerical...
technique, so the difference equation model is used. Using standard Euler’s method, we solve the differential Eq. (9) to Eq. (12).

\[ M_i(k+1) = \{W_i(k) - k_1 M_i(k)\}T + M_i(k) \] (9)

\[ M_p(k+1) = \{k_2 M_i(k) - k_1 A P_{out}(k)\}T + M_p(k) \] (10)

\[ \Delta P_{mpd}(k+1) = \{k_3 M_{pf}(k) + k_4 M_{c}(k) - k_1 \Delta P_{mpd}(k)\}T \]

\[ + \Delta P_{mpd}(k) \] (11)

\[ T_{out}(k+1) = \{k_5 T_{in}(k) + k_2 W_{air}(k) - k_1 W_{e}(k) \]

\[ - \{k_6 T_{out}(k) + k_7 W_{air}(k) + W_{e}(k)\} \]

\[ + k_4 k_6 M_{pf}(k) + k_7 M_{c}(k) + k_8 \} \]

\[ + k_1 T_{out}(k)T + T_{out}(k) \] (12)

\[ P(k+1) = k_0 M_{pf}(k+1) + k_1 M_i(k+1) + k_9 \] (13)

\[ \Delta P_{mill}(k+1) = k_0 \Delta P_{p}(k+1) + \Delta P_{mpd}(k+1) \] (14)

\[ W_p(k+1) = k_1 A P_{p}(k+1) M_p(k+1) \] (15)

where, ‘T’ is the sampling time.

3. PARAMETER ESTIMATION USING GENETIC ALGORITHM

3.1 GENETIC ALGORITHM

GA belongs to the class of probabilistic search procedure known as evolutionary algorithms that use computational models resembling natural evolutionary processes to develop computer-based problem solving technique. It provides a robust way of exploring virtually any solution space where an objective function is defined, in pursuit of its global optimum and it manipulates a population of solutions in parallel. Each trial solution in the population is coded as a single vector, termed as chromosome. A shared environment determines the objective value or raw performance of each individual in the population. These objective values are, in turn transformed into fitness values for the requirements associated with the subsequent statistical selection. The GA is typically composed of three fundamental operator’s viz., Selection, Crossover and Mutation. Selection is a process in which each chromosome is reproduced in proportion to its own fitness relative to the other chromosomes in the population. Following the selection process, other genetic operators crossover and mutation are performed to generate the offspring of the selected chromosomes.

3.2 OPTIMIZATION OF COAL MILL MODEL WITH GA

The coal mill mathematical model equations given in Eq. (9) to Eq. (15) contain 16 unknown coefficients which are to be determined. It should be noted that in this model, some model variables are non-measurable. For such an intrinsically complex dynamic milling process, the analytical solution cannot be obtained easily with noise-contained plant data. The conventional gradient-based optimization techniques, such as least-squares and steepest-descent methods apply to local optimization problems, not taking into account of the fact that there may be many local minima of the merit function in the space of all variables. They are not suitable for this underlying modelling issue, arisen from unobservable states involved in the model and a wide range of parameter search domain leads to inadequateness for identifying so many parameters in parallel. In order to identify the 16 unknown parameters \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{16} \) in the coal mill mathematical model in Eq. (9) to Eq. (15), the Genetic Algorithm (GA) is used since it has been proved that GA is a robust optimization method for the parameter identification problems.

The single-population real-value GA is chosen such that the fitness function compromises the errors between the normalized coal mill measured outputs and the normalized model simulated outputs. Applying the scheme of the parameters identification shown in Fig. 5, the 16 unknown parameters are identified. The performance of the GA is influenced strongly by the fitness functions used which is given in Eq. (16) to Eq. (19).

\[ e_1(k) = T_{out}^*(k) - \hat{T}_{out}(k) = \frac{T_{out}(k) - \hat{T}_{out}(k)}{T_{out}^{ML}} \] (16)

\[ e_2(k) = \Delta P_{mill}(k) - \Delta P_{mill}(k) = \frac{\Delta P_{mill}(k) - \Delta P_{mill}(k)}{\Delta P_{mill}^{ML}} \] (17)

\[ e_3(k) = P^*(k) - \hat{P}(k) = \frac{P(k) - \hat{P}(k)}{P^{ML}} \] (18)

where, \( \hat{T}_{out}(k), \hat{P}(k) \) and \( \Delta P_{mill}(k) \) are the measured outputs of the mill model at instant ‘k’; \( T_{out}(k), \hat{P}(k) \) and \( \Delta P_{mill}(k) \) are the simulated outputs of the mill model at instant ‘k’. \( T_{out}^{ML}, \Delta P_{mill}^{ML} \) and \( P^{ML} \) are the maximum limit value of these variables. The sequence of Parameter estimation by GA is shown in Fig. 6. The ultimate target of this optimization is to minimize the error between the model output and measured plant data.
The fitness function used for GA is given below:

\[
\text{Fitness} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N} \left[ W_1 e_1(k) + W_2 e_2(k) + W_3 e_3(k) \right] \quad (19)
\]

4. ON-LINE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOFT SENSOR FOR PULVERISED COAL FLOW MEASUREMENT

The configuration diagram for the on-line implementation of Pulverised coal flow soft sensor setup is given in Fig.7. For each mill, seven field signals are identified as the mill model input (4 nos.) and output variables (3 nos.) which are connected to a Remote Terminal Unit with proper field isolation and are then given to CDAC’s iCon controller (Industrial Controller). The Genetic Algorithm driven system identification to compute the unknown model parameters runs in the work station and the coal mill model runs in the iCon controller in order to provide real time measurement of pulverised coal flow. Each GA run of a mill requires 1500 data points of the seven signals logged at 1 second interval from the database logger. The scan time for each coal mill model loop is 1 second. Once the unknown parameters are estimated using GA, parameters are downloaded to iCon at a definite time interval to compute the Pulverised coal flow from the model. Every 4 hours, the unknown parameters of the model are identified on-line using the Evolutionary computational technique (Genetic Algorithm) and updated in the model to match the current dynamics of the coal mill process. Similar computations are done for all running mills of a 210 MW boiler and summed up to arrive at the total pulverised coal flow for the boiler.
CONCLUSION

In this paper, a Coal mill model is developed for steady state condition and is used to estimate/predict the internal dynamics of coal mill and to compute the pulverised coal flow measurement of the coal pulverising system. The Genetic Algorithm is used to identify the unknown model parameters of the coal mill. The system is implemented in a 210 MW thermal power plant for a bowl mill type pulverising system and the results are compared and validated with on-site plant data. From the results, it has been observed that the model outputs are matched exactly to the measured plant signals. The outcome of this work will be useful in assessment and prediction of mill performance and in seeking improvement in design, operating procedures and control strategies for pulverizing process of coal mill. It has opened up a way to implement advanced control algorithms in coal pulverizing process for better control and state diagnosis to improve the pulverising process. The Coal mill model is also a pre-requisite for implementing advanced control algorithms for master pressure control of a thermal power plant. There is enormous scope for applying estimation techniques like Kalman Filter to fine tune the model and novel intelligent hybrid Evolutionary techniques in place of GA to achieve further accuracy in the result.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

- $W_c$: Mass flow rate of Raw coal into mill (kg/s)
- $T_{in}$: Inlet temperature of coal mill (°C)
- $\Delta P_{pa}$: Primary air differential pressure (mbar)
- $W_{air}$: Primary air flow rate into coal mill (kg/s)
- $M_c$: Mass of Raw coal in mill (kg)
- $M_{pf}$: Mass of pulverised coal in mill (kg)
- $\Delta P_{mpd}$: Mill product differential pressure (mbar)
- $W_{pf}$: Mass flow rate of pulverised coal out of mill (kg/s)
- $T_{out}$: Outlet temperature of coal mill (°C)
- $\Delta P_{mill}$: Mill differential pressure (mbar)
- $P$: Mill Current (A)
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