Genome-wide association study reveals quantitative trait loci for waterlogging-triggered adventitious roots and aerenchyma formation in common wheat
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Waterlogging severely affects wheat growth and development. Limited availability of oxygen in the root zone negatively affects the metabolism of plants. The formation of adventitious roots (ARs) and root cortical aerenchyma (RCA) are the most important adaptive trait contributing to plants’ ability to survive in waterlogged soil conditions. This study used a genome-wide association study (GWAS) approach with 90K single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in a panel of 329 wheat genotypes, to reveal quantitative trait loci (QTL) conferring ARs and RCA. The wheat genotypes exposed to waterlogging were evaluated for ARs and RCA in both field and glasshouse over two consecutive years. Six and five significant marker-trait associations (MTAs) were identified for ARs and RCA formation under waterlogging, respectively. The most significant MTA for AR and RCA was found on chromosome 4B. Two wheat cultivars with contrasting waterlogging tolerance (tolerant: H-242, sensitive: H-195) were chosen to compare the development and regulation of aerenchyma in waterlogged conditions using staining methods. Results showed that under waterlogging conditions, H2O2 signal generated before aerenchyma formation in both sensitive and tolerant varieties with the tolerant variety accumulating more H2O2 and in a quicker manner compared to the sensitive one. Several genotypes which performed consistently well under different conditions can be used in breeding programs to develop waterlogging-tolerant wheat varieties.
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Introduction

Waterlogging is a major constraint to the production of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) worldwide such as in the Yangtze River valley of China, the USA, Australia, Europe and Japan. Waterlogging occurs due to intermittent rainfall, irrigation practices, and/or poor soil drainage (Liu and Bassham, 2012; Manik et al., 2019). Waterlogging is deleterious to the growth and yield of wheat crops (Li et al., 2001; Setter and Waters, 2003). In Australia, about one million ha of wheat cropping area is affected by waterlogging, which causes 20%-50% of yield losses (Manik et al., 2019). The principal cause of damage to plants under waterlogging/saturated soil is due to inadequate oxygen supply since gas diffusion in water is tremendously slower than that in the air (Loreti et al., 2016).

Plants have developed several adaptive metabolic strategies to cope with oxygen deprivation under hypoxia/anoxic (Bailey-Serres et al., 2012; Voesenek and Bailey-Serres, 2013; Abbas et al., 2015). These include anatomical changes such as the formation of a barrier against radial oxygen loss, the development of adventitious roots (ARs) and root cortical aerenchyma (RCA) (Armstrong, 1971; Malik et al., 2003; Vidoz et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2019). The importance of AR in alleviating waterlogging stress has been observed in rice (*Oryza Sativa*; Lorbiecke and Sauter, 1999), *Rumex* spp. (Visser et al., 1996), tamarack (*Larix laricina*; Calvo-Polanco et al., 2012), *Eucalyptus* spp. (Argus et al., 2015), and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). Adventitious roots enhance gas exchange, and water/nutrient uptake, thus contributing to plants’ survival under waterlogging (Steffens and Rasmussen, 2016). Root cortical aerenchyma, a special plant tissue containing enlarged gas space, facilitates oxygen delivery from above ground to root zones thus maintaining a sustainable root growth rate under hypoxia (Armstrong, 1979; Evans, 2003). Positive correlations between the proportion/area of aerenchyma and waterlogging tolerance have been witnessed in multiple crops, such as oats (*Avena sativa* L.), triticale, wheat, cotton (*Anemone vitifolia Buch*), and barley (Pan et al., 2019; Thomson, 1992; Huang et al., 1994; Setter and Waters, 2003; Zhang et al., 2015). There are two types of aerenchyma according to the developmental process: lysigeny and schizogenous (Evans, 2003; Haque et al., 2010). Lysigenous aerenchyma is generally referred to as the aerenchyma developed in non-wetland species, such as wheat (Trought and Drew, 1980), barley (Benjamin and Greenway, 1979), and maize (Drew et al., 1979), when exposed to low oxygen environments. Schizogenous aerenchyma is generally the constitutive aerenchyma, formed by the separation of cells and growth patterns without cell death taking place. Schizogenous aerenchyma occurs in many species under non-waterlogged conditions, though in some species it can be increased in extent in waterlogged conditions (Evans, 2003).

Many other different agronomic and physiological traits have been used as indicators for plant waterlogging tolerance. These include leaf chlorosis (Li et al., 2008), ion toxicity (Pang et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2018), survival rate, germination rate index, leaf chlorophyll content, plant height index, dry matter weight (Yu and Chen, 2013), ROS formation (Gill et al., 2019), membrane potential maintenance (Gill et al., 2017), K⁺ retention in barley roots (Zeng et al., 2014). Using these traits, many QTL for waterlogging tolerance have been reported in barley (Li et al., 2008; Zhou, 2011; Broughton et al., 2015; Manik et al., 2022b), wheat (Yu et al., 2014; Ballesteros et al., 2015), maize (Qiu et al., 2007; Campbell et al., 2015) and other crops. Among the major QTL for wheat waterlogging tolerance, the one on chromosome 7A explained 23.92% of the phenotypic variance of germination rate index (Yu et al., 2014), and another QTL on chromosome 1BL explained 14 - 32% of phenotypic variation in chlorophyll and root/shoot dry matter under waterlogged conditions (Ballesteros et al., 2015). From a cross between a winter spelta (*T. spelta* L) and a winter wheat, more than 10 QTL associated with seedling survival and seedling growth index under waterlogging have been identified on different chromosomes with spelta providing most QTL for waterlogging tolerance (Burgos et al., 2001).

Fast formation of aerenchyma in adventitious roots is critical for root survival and waterlogging tolerance in cereal crops under waterlogged conditions (Zhang et al., 2016). However, there are limited reports on QTL for RCA and ARs, or the genetic control of waterlogging tolerance in wheat when compared to the significant amount of literature on other crops, such as rice (Toojinda et al., 2003), barley (Zhu et al., 2008; Manik et al., 2022b), soybean (Cornelious et al., 2005) and maize (Qiu et al., 2007). GWAS could be conducted using a diverse range of varieties without the development of a population (Buckler and Thornsberry, 2002). By using a large number of varieties, it facilitates the exploration of more genetic variations, including those with small effects and allelic diversity (Zhu et al., 2008; Juliana et al., 2018). Moreover, GWAS provides higher resolution mapping for identifying candidate genes (Nelson et al., 2018). The aim of this study was to link two-year phenotyping data from both the field and glasshouse with genotyping data using GWAS, therefore identifying potential QTL and searching for candidate genes associated with adventitious roots, cortical aerenchyma and root survival in wheat under waterlogging conditions.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and genotyping

A set of 335 wheat genotypes collected worldwide were used to evaluate their waterlogging tolerance. All these genotypes were obtained from China and Australian Grains GenBank.
Genotyping of these accessions has been described in Kang et al. (2020) and Choudhury et al. (2019). Briefly, all accessions were genotyped using the Illumina iSelect 90,000 SNP bead chip assay described in Wei et al, 2019. A total of 38,379 SNPs were identified to be polymorphic in the population. For quality control, markers with a less than 90% call rate across samples, a minor allele frequency less than 0.05, or that were redundant were removed. Finally, 4,560 markers were selected for marker trait association analysis.

Growth conditions, waterlogging treatment and assessment for aerenchyma formation and root survival

Two glasshouse tank trials and one outdoor tank trial were undertaken at the University of Tasmania, Australia in 2021. For the experiment in the glasshouse, plants were grown in 60 cm x 120 cm x 60 cm bins, filled with soil from the field site prone to waterlogging. The outside tank experiment was performed in big cement tanks (120 cm x 240 cm x 70 cm), also filled with soil from the site prone to waterlogging. For all the glasshouse and tank experiments, waterlogging treatment was imposed at the 2-3 leaf stage. For the waterlogging treatment, the water was maintained at the soil surface level. The experiment was repeated three times in all the trials. Root samples were harvested when the roots of the most sensitive genotypes died (two weeks after waterlogging in glasshouse tank experiments and eight weeks after waterlogging in the outdoor tank experiment). Root survival was scored from 1 to 10 after terminating waterlogging treatment (Figure 1) with the most tolerant varieties being scored 1 (well-developed ARs and no dead roots) and the most sensitive/intolerant plants being scored 10 (all roots died) based on the methods by (Manik et al., 2022b). Aerenchyma formation was detected based on the method by Zhang et al. (2016). Root cortical aerenchyma formation in adventitious roots was checked 10 days after waterlogging. Approximately 2 cm long root segments were taken from the mature zone which was about 6 cm from the root apex. Cross sections were cut manually using razor blades and photographed under a bright field light microscope (Olympus BXBX53, Japan). The proportion of aerenchyma was visually scored based on digital images and the criteria are as followed: 0 = no aerenchyma, 4 = well-formed aerenchyma (Zhang et al., 2016).

Genome-wide association analysis

For population correction, PCA (principal component analysis) was calculated using SNPRelate package as described in the previous publication (Kang et al., 2020), the optimum number of PCs (Principal components) was fixed in association models. GWAS was conducted using the rMVP package of R as described earlier (Yin et al., 2021; Manik et al., 2022b). In the association study, the notional p-value threshold to indicate a significant MTA was set to \(-\log_{10}(p) \geq 3.5\).

In our study, GLM, MLM, and FarmCPU models were used for analysing the data on adventitious root development and root cortical aerenchyma formation scored from the 329 accessions and 18,132 SNPs. The best model was selected...
based on quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots (Figure S2). The outcome variable in each model was the average of AR or RCA values from different trials.

The FarmCPU model was found to be the most suitable one which had a small number of extreme p-values. The FarmCPU method iteratively performs marker tests with pseudo-quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs) as covariates in a fixed-effect model and keeps optimizing pseudo-QTNs in a random-effect model, and this process continues until no new pseudo QTNs are added (Liu et al., 2016). The joint capabilities of removing confounding effects between testing markers and kinship, preventing model overfitting, and controlling false positives have made the FarmCPU method one of the most efficient methods in modern GWAS (Knoch et al., 2020). Within our implementation of the rMVP model we used the following settings: default imputation, no principal components were added, “max Loop” was set to 10 (this exceeded what was required for our data), and the internal “FaST-LMM” method was used. Significant markers were visualized with a Manhattan plot and important p-value distributions from all the GLM, MLM and FarmCPU results (expected vs. observed p-values on a -log10 scale) were shown with Q-Q plots.

**Estimation of allelic effects on waterlogging tolerance**

The allele at the locus of the detected SNPs responsible for increasing waterlogging tolerant (lower visual scoring (healthier roots or greater proportion of RCA)) is referred to as a “positive allele (T or TT)” while the allele associated with increasing waterlogging sensitivity was classified as a “negative allele (S or SS)”. The effects of SNPs were determined based on average matching scores of positive alleles and those of negative alleles.

**Candidate gene associated with waterlogging tolerance**

SNPs at a level of -Log10(p) ≥ 5.5 were considered highly significant and were used to identify candidate genes. We used the physical chromosome positions and sequence of significant SNPs within the LD interval to identify the annotation of the high-confidence (HC) candidate genes. Based on the physical position of these SNPs (through blasting wheat genome on gramene.org), wheat gene IDs located either up or down 1 Mb of the physical position were searched for functional annotation. Gene functional annotation was obtained from the public database of wheat genome from 1 Kb (https://db.cngb.org/onekpb/). Candidate genes with a possible connection to waterlogging tolerance were taken into consideration with high confidence.

**Statistical analysis and heritability**

Phenotypic traits were summarised with descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation).

**Histological staining**

Aerenchyma formation follows programmed cell death (PCD) induced by histological metabolic changes, typically, the production of H₂O₂ under waterlogging (Cheng et al., 2016). To confirm this, the adventitious roots of wheat seedlings were sampled 1, 3, 5, and 7 d after waterlogging to examine aerenchyma development. Aerenchyma formation of wheat roots after 1 d, 3 d, 5 d, and 7 d waterlogging treatment was scored according to Zhang et al. (2016). Root cross sections at 40 mm above the root tip were sampled to study the characteristics of cells that develop aerenchyma using light micrographs. We used three pairs of wheat varieties showing different waterlogging tolerance (tolerant, AUS19402, H-242, KZYL-SARK, and sensitive, H-195, YU-1, H-197) for cell acidification staining and diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining. Among these pairs, H-242 and H-195 showed the greatest differences thus being selected for further studies.

DAB staining was performed as described previously (Xu et al., 2019). Briefly, cross sections of wheat roots sampled after 1 d, 2 d, 3 d and 7 d waterlogging treatment were incubated in 1 mg/ml DAB solution (pH = 5.5) for 1 h and then immersed in 75% ethanol. The localization of H₂O₂ signal (indicated by the pink or brown color) was monitored under a light microscope (Leica M205C, Germany).

To study cell acidification, the root cross sections sampled after 1, 2, 3 and 7 d waterlogging treatment were stained with 1% neutral red in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) for 5 s. Then the sections were placed in the petri dish with clean water and washed for 3 times. Excess water was removed and photos were taken under a light microscope (Xu et al., 2013).

**Results**

**Waterlogging tolerance of wheat accessions**

The frequency distributions of root survival scores and aerenchyma formation of the 329 accessions are presented in Figure 2. Most of the genotypes formed aerenchyma with only 25 having the aerenchyma score of < 1 (Figure 2A). For root survival, most of the germplasm showed medium tolerance to waterlogging with less than 10% showing damage scores of < 3 and > 8, respectively (Figure 2B). The broad-sense heritability (h²) for root survival was 0.37. Among those tolerant lines,
Afghanistan 7, H-023, H-054, H-056, H-074, H-114, H-115, H-119, H-197, and Yu-03 showed consistently better root survival in both glasshouse trials and the tank trial while the roots of B-T-35, B-T-38, Bukovinka, CAZ53, H-083, H-249, H-251, H-252, IG43428, and Surhak 5688 died in all trials. Surprisingly, aerenchyma formation under waterlogging stress showed no significant correlation with root survival ($R^2 = 0.008$, Figure S1). Some genotypes such as H-074 had no aerenchyma (aerenchyma score = 0.67) but showed good root survival, while some genotypes such as IG43428 and Surhak 5688 had aerenchyma scores of >3 but no roots survived. Detailed genotypic, phenotypic data and genetic map for the 329 common wheats are provided in Supplementary Tables S1–S3.

**Genome wide association study in wheat under waterlogging conditions**

We use the same population as Kang et al. (2020), and the effect of population structure in our study was not significant. Three different GWAS models including generalised linear models (GLM), mixed linear models with random effects (MLM) and FarmCPU models were initially used and compared. The Q-Q plots from the three models were shown in Figure S2. FarmCPU was shown to be the best fitted model and thus we focused on the SNPs identified from the Farm CPU modelling.

Using the Farm CPU model, five significant MTAs were identified based on average scores of roots cortical aerenchyma (Figure 3A). The threshold for selecting the significant QTL is $-\log_{10}(p)$ is equal or larger than 3.8. These MTAs were located on chromosomes 2D, 4A, 4B, 7A, and 7D (Table 1; Figures 3A, Figure 4). SNPIWB11078, SNPIWA8342 and SNPIWB7934 were the most significant MTAs. Overall, genotypes with the tolerance alleles (marked with T) showed a significantly higher proportion of aerenchyma than those with sensitive alleles (marked with S). These alleles had additive effects and all genotypes with three tolerant alleles had a greatest proportion of RCA (Figure 3B).

Six significant MTAs were identified based on mean root survival scores, and these MTAs were located on chromosomes 2A (two), 2B, 4B, 5A and 5B (Figures 4, 5A). The genotypes with tolerance alleles (marked with T) showed significantly better root survival than those with sensitive alleles (marked with S) and the combination of all three different tolerance alleles also improved root survival (Figure 5B). Among all the MTAs, only one MTA for root survival on 4B was located very close to one MTA for aerenchyma formation, confirming the non-significant correlation between aerenchyma formation and root survival.

**Histological staining during aerenchyma formation**

The root cross-section taken at 4 cm from the root tips showed that the root cells remained intact thus no aerenchyma was formed even after five days of waterlogging treatment in sensitive variety H-195 (Figure 6). In tolerant variety H-242, aerenchyma formation started on the third day after waterlogging (Figure 6), and ~70% and >80% of the root cross-section area consisted of aerenchyma on the fifth and seventh day after waterlogging, respectively. This indicated an earlier formation of aerenchyma and larger proportion of aerenchyma in root cross-section of H-242 relative to H-195 (Figure 6). Evidence regarding the programmed root cell death was witnessed from the neutral red staining which similarly reflects the cell death scenario (Figure 7). Root cross-section of H-242 showed a higher accumulation of neutral staining, suggesting that a higher proportion of root cells were dying during waterlogging, consistent with the mechanism of
aerenchyma formation against waterlogging in wheat (Figure 7). To further confirm whether reactive oxygen species (ROS) was involved in aerenchyma formation (PCD induced), histological staining was applied to monitor H$_2$O$_2$ production in the root cross-sections on selected representative waterlogging days. H$_2$O$_2$ was abundantly detected in the central cortical cells (the pre-aerenchyma cells) and was also seen in the vasculature with tolerant variety H-242 showing quicker response and accumulating more H$_2$O$_2$ than sensitive variety H-195 (Figure 8). All these observations indicated that under waterlogging, the waterlogging tolerant variety employs the PCD process to produce aerenchyma induced by H$_2$O$_2$.

**Discussion**

**QTL for root traits associated with wheat waterlogging tolerance**

Very few studies have investigated root physiological or morphological traits to identify QTL associated with waterlogging tolerance in wheat due to the difficulty of phenotyping. Instead, leaf physiological traits have been more often used for assessing wheat waterlogging tolerance. For example, by assessing leaf chlorosis at the maturity stage of wheat crops utilizing the SC (SHWLI × Chuanmai 32) wheat
population, 10 QTL were identified responsible for waterlogging tolerance (Yu and Chen, 2013). Furthermore, Yu and Chen (2013) assessed waterlogging tolerance using the wheat ITMI population W7984/Opata85 at the early growth stage, and found 36 QTL associated with aboveground traits (including survival rate, germination rate index, leaf chlorophyll content, plant height index, dry matter weight) on 18 chromosomes. Burgos et al. (2001) found that QTL associated with seedling survival/seedling growth index under waterlogging are on chromosomes 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4B, 5A, 6A and 7S which explained 35.5% of the phenotypic variance (Burgos et al., 2001). Using recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from USG3209 × Jaypee, 48 QTL clustering into 10 genomic regions were consistently detected both in greenhouse and field trials, among which three QTL were identified on chromosome 1BL, explaining 22-32% phenotypic variance, and a major QTL (QSpad3.ua-1D.5) for chlorophyll content on chromosome 1D explained 24% phenotypic variance under environment-controlled greenhouse conditions (Ballesteros et al., 2015). However, none of those authors have investigated root aerenchyma formation in response to waterlogging.

Our findings offer a strong explanation that the variations in leaf survival performance is highly due to the difference in root aerenchyma development under waterlogging. MTAs for root survival performance were identified on chromosomes 2B and 5A in our study, which were consistent with results of Burgos et al. (2001). This highlights the possible linkage between root aerenchyma development and leaf growth under waterlogging. Besides, a major QTL on chromosome 7A associated with germination rate index was found under waterlogging conditions, explaining 23.92% of phenotypic variance in wheat (Yu et al., 2014). This QTL is located at a close position to the

### TABLE 1 QTL and associated markers for different traits.

| Associated markers | Chromosome | Physical position (Mb) | Additive effect | Marker significance level | R²  | minor allele frequency (MAF) |
|--------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| Root survival under waterlogging stress |            |                        |                |                          |     |                             |
| IWA2092            | 2A         | 388.71                 | 0.69           | 1.0E-04                  | 0.011 | 0.25                        |
| IWB11193           | 2A         | 413.64                 | 0.55           | 8.9E-05                  | 0.026 | 0.16                        |
| IWA3325            | 4B         | 193.52                 | 0.73           | 4.1E-05                  | 0.036 | 0.29                        |
| IWB22137           | 5A         | 374.32                 | -0.64          | 1.0E-04                  | 0.013 | 0.22                        |
| IWB10994           | 5B         | 54.30                  | -0.61          | 7.2E-05                  | 0.098 | 0.40                        |
| Aerenchyma formation under waterlogging stress |            |                        |                |                          |     |                             |
| IWB11078           | 2D         | 117.32                 | -0.59          | 1.4E-05                  | 0.035 | 0.11                        |
| IWA38342           | 4A         | 185.89                 | 0.50           | 2.4E-05                  | 0.052 | 0.16                        |
| IWB41008           | 4A         | 185.89                 | -0.45          | 1.9E-05                  | 0.043 | 0.17                        |
| IWB7934            | 4B         | 168.86                 | -0.53          | 1.0E-05                  | 0.042 | 0.11                        |
| IWB33938           | 7A         | 325.39                 | -0.29          | 1.3E-04                  | 0.029 | 0.50                        |
| IWB16121           | 7D         | 427.90                 | -0.43          | 1.0E-04                  | 0.014 | 0.18                        |

![FIGURE 4: Genetic linkage map of identified MTAs. Root: markers associated with root survival under waterlogging condition; Aerenchyma: markers associated with aerenchyma formation under waterlogging condition.](image)
MTA for aerenchyma formation on 7A from our study (Figure 4). However, most of the QTL for root traits identified in our study were different from the previously reported QTL in wheat waterlogging utilizing leaf physiological traits (Tong et al., 2021). Therefore, our study case offers novelty in finding specific root survival related QTL in wheat responsible for waterlogging tolerance.

**Candidate genes**

Based on the physical positions of markers that were significantly linked with those identified QTL, we further investigated candidate genes by investigating the annotated genes within these zones (±1 cM from the marker position). Annotated genes functioning in ROS production, Ca²⁺ binding, and ethylene metabolism were highly regarded as potential candidate genes. Therefore, a total of 38 annotated wheat genes were identified from the proposed QTL in this study (Table S1). Of which, eight genes were highlighted as the potential candidate genes associated with ROS production according to annotated gene functions. ROS plays an important role in inducing PCD for aerenchyma development (Cheng et al., 2016). Within the QTL on chromosome 4B, gene *TraesCS4B02G176900* (encodes peroxidase) was co-located in the same zone, indicating that ROS metabolism is potentially involved in wheat waterlogging tolerance. *TraesCS2B02G229100* (encodes detoxification protein) correlates with the QTL on...
chromosome 2B and is potentially involved in the degradation of ROS under waterlogging (Li et al., 2018). Another gene TraesCS2B02G230100 (encodes Histone H4) within the same QTL could also be a potential candidate gene. Direct evidence has been supplied that histone maintains a role in deciding cell wall degradation and thus participating in aerenchyma formation in wheat seminal roots (Li et al., 2019) under waterlogging. TraesCS4A02G067800 encoding histone located on 4A is also a candidate gene (Table S1).

Ethylene response factor (ethylene related signaling components) is a representative regulator for crop waterlogging tolerance. Through maintaining the constitutive expression of ERFVII (group VII ethylene response factor) in transgenic wheat plants, waterlogging tolerance is significantly enhanced without grain yield penalty (Wei et al., 2019). In the present study, TraesCS5B02G214400 (directly encoding ethylene responsive factor 5a) linked with the QTL on 5B was a most likely candidate gene. Besides, hormonal regulation under waterlogging is one of the...
mechanisms that plants utilize to fight against waterlogging (Nguyen et al., 2018). ABA biosynthesis genes, such as NCED1 and NCED2, are important in wheat waterlogging tolerance (Nguyen et al., 2018). Thus ABI3 (ABA insensitive 3, ABI interacting protein) encoded by TraesCS5B02G214500 (within the QTL on 5B) was a potential candidate gene. Alanine aminotransferase links glycolysis and TCA cycle (tricarboxylic acid cycle) under hypoxia induced by waterlogging (Rocha et al., 2010), thus, TraesCS5B02G214700 on 2D (encodes alanine aminotransferase) could contribute to RCA QTL on 2D (Table S1).

Mechanisms for aerenchyma formation

Histochemical staining results in our study aligned with the mechanism of ROS-induced PCD for aerenchyma formation. Consistent with their findings of Xu et al. (2013) showing that ROS accumulation starts in the mid-cortex cells along with the development of aerenchyma in wheat, our results indicated that early cortical cell death started from the mid-cortex (Figures 6, 7) along with the development of aerenchyma (Figure 6). The neutral red staining under waterlogging conditions further illustrated the dying cell (Figure 7). Furthermore, lysigenous aerenchyma formation in wheat was reported to be regulated through ROS signaling (Yamauchi et al., 2014), further indicating the strong links between ROS production and aerenchyma formation. In our study, ROS accumulation under waterlogging was evidenced by DAB staining (for H2O2 detection) (Figure 8). H2O2 was abundantly detected (reflected by the brown precipitate) in the central cortical cells (pre-aerenchymal cells) with further expansion to its surrounding cells, consistent with the previous report (Xu et al., 2013). Moreover, DAB staining also indicated the strong involvement of H2O2 induced PCD during aerenchyma formation (Xu et al., 2013). A similar mechanism has been confirmed by Steffens and Sauter (2005) and Steffens et al. (2011), showing that H2O2 is required for ethylene-induced epidermal death which is responsible for aerenchyma development in rice stems.

The relationship between aerenchyma formation and root survival

Our results showed significant variation in root survival in response to waterlogging among 329 wheat genotypes with diverse genetic background, however, there was lack of correlation between root survival and aerenchyma formation in the present study, indicating that multiple mechanisms underlying root survival are involved.

Many studies show that aerenchyma formation and root survival are highly related (Thomson et al., 1990; Haque et al., 2012), and the aerenchyma formation greatly contributes to the survival of root system (Thomson et al., 1990; Haque et al., 2012). For example, newly produced adventitious roots are adapted with more aerenchyma than seminal roots in waterlogged soil or O2-deficient solutions, along with consecutive root elongation under saturated conditions (Thomson et al., 1992; Malik et al., 2002). In our study, fast aerenchyma development was observed in tolerant variety H-242 under waterlogging, along with the highly induced H2O2 accumulation (Figure 6) and greater root cell death (Figure 7), suggesting that root aerenchyma formation is involved in wheat waterlogging tolerance. These observations
highly aligned with the classic defense mechanism that
waterlogging triggers reactive oxygen species production which
led to PCD for root aerenchyma formation under waterlogging
(Cheng et al., 2016). Other than aerenchyma formation, other
alternative waterlogging tolerance mechanisms were also
reported. For example, root architecture was found to
contribute to waterlogging tolerance (Haque et al., 2012). Root
architecture involves axile and surface adventitious roots
development induced by salicylic acid (SA). The reduction in
redox potential due to waterlogging caused accumulation of toxin
ions and secondary metabolites, which inhibit plant growth under
waterlogging, skeletons as another defense mechanism. It was
found that barley genotypes with better tolerance to Mn2+ or Fe2+
toxicity perform better in waterlogging soil (Pang et al., 2007;
Hossein and Rengel, 2010; Hossein et al., 2012). Similarly, some
barley genotypes with no RCA also show a degree of waterlogging
tolerance (Manik et al., 2022a), suggesting that the tolerance to
toxicity contributes to waterlogging resistance. In wheat, varieties
tolerant to ion toxicities usually grow better under waterlogging-
affected acid soil, disclosing the contribution of resistance to toxic
compounds under waterlogging (Hossein and Rengel, 2010;
Hossein et al., 2012). However, root aerenchyma development
under waterlogging still serves as the primary mechanism for
defending which involves ethylene biosynthesis (Koramutla et al.,
2022). Barley varieties having QTL associated with root cortical
eaerenchyma showed better waterlogging tolerance, reflected by the
higher aerenchyma area, more white (healthy and functional)
adventitious roots, higher shoot biomass (Manik et al., 2022a).
QTL responsible for root survival and root aerenchyma
development identified in our study (Figure 4) provide multiple
mechanisms that can be were utilized by crops while
under waterlogging.

Factors influence the assessment of
waterlogging tolerance and the
development of aerenchyma

Factors affecting the assessment of waterlogging can be
csimply categorized into underground and aboveground. Leaf
traits aboveground for assessing waterlogging damage are
mainly utilized for QTL detection in wheat (Burgos et al.,
2001; Yu and Chen, 2013). However, given the hypoxia
environment (induced by waterlogging) in soil that initially
limited/affected root growth due to oxygen deficiency (or soil
toxicity), root damage may have occurred at early waterlogging
stress stage before leaf related traits become affected. Therefore,
only focusing on leaf related traits for waterlogging QTL
detections has limitations. In our research, we primarily
investigated root survival and root aerenchyma development
under wheat waterlogging stress, which identified the QTL for
root development under waterlogging (Figure 4).

Aerenchyma formation is determined by a combination of
variable aspects. The porosity of adventitious root increases due to
the formation of aerenchyma, which depends on genotype, plant
developmental stage, duration of O2 deficiency, and treatment
method (Thomson et al., 1990; Huang et al., 1997; Wiengweera
et al., 1997; Malik et al., 2001; Malik et al., 2002; Manik et al., 2019;
Tong et al., 2021). Genetic variation is the dominant factor that
determines aerenchyma development under waterlogging, which is
also the key basis for GWAS/QTL analysis. Previous research has
illustrated the importance of root aerenchyma for waterlogging
tolerance. For instance, waterlogging-tolerant genotypes always had
higher root porosities than sensitive genotypes if growing in an N2-
flushed nutrient solution for 20 days, demonstrating the importance
of genotypic variation under waterlogging (Boru et al., 2003).
Besides, plant growth stages differ in aerenchyma development.
Seminal roots of young seedlings form aerenchyma whereas older
semenal roots lose this capacity (Thomson et al., 1990; Xu et al.,
2013; Tong et al., 2021). Our research not only confirmed the
importance of aerenchyma formation in wheat waterlogging
tolerance, but also agrees with previous research cases that the
involvement of H2O2 induced PCD is critical for aerenchyma
development (Xu et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2016).

Conclusions

Waterlogging seriously affected wheat root growth. GWAS
analysis identified six and five MTAs/QTL in wheat associated
with the formation of adventitious roots and aerenchyma
formation, respectively, under waterlogging stress. Several
genotypes consistently produced a large number of adventitious
roots and formed a large proportion of aerenchyma in root cortical
cells could be used in breeding programs to develop waterlogging
tolerant wheat varieties. Through histochemical staining, our study
confirmed that H2O2 induced PCD led to aerenchyma formation in
wheat adventitious roots. Candidate genes for these QTL are most
likely ROS, ethylene or ABA related regulatory elements. Our
research also illustrated an alternative tolerance mechanism that
may involve soil toxicity tolerance under waterlogged soil. Similarly,
wheat lines which don’t have aerenchyma but indicated stable
tolerance to waterlogging can be used to pyramid different
waterlogging tolerance mechanisms. As healthy root development
under waterlogging conditions is the ultimate waterlogging
tolerance indicator, future research should concentrate more on
roots and mechanisms for root survival and development under the
hypoxia environment. The combination of QTL for aerenchyma
formation and soil toxicity tolerance can be more effectively used to
improve wheat waterlogging tolerance.
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