The coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic provides a unique opportunity for reflection on integrity challenges facing sport. The imposed lockdown in response to this global crisis has resulted in most sporting events being postponed or cancelled including the 2020 Olympic Games. While this pandemic threatens to overwhelm an already fragile world sport, it provides an unprecedented opportunity for stakeholders in sport to
learn vital lessons from Covid-19, to delve into unresolved integrity issues and
develop creative and long-lasting solutions. This current opinion focuses on two
issues affecting the integrity of sport: doping and technological fairness.

Measures taken by governments to defeat Covid-19 such as policing of social
distancing rules through applications monitoring people’s behaviour, self-testing at
home, and collaborations amongst rival companies to speed up the development of a
vaccine and search for treatments, can all help inform sport. The goal to flatten the
curve of a pandemic to safeguard public health involves all athletes, regardless of
sport or rivalry and is a welcome departure from the disproportionate focus on health
protection of ‘individual’ athlete/team [1]. Many technologies that enable us to work
from home such as teaching students on-line, applications for medical advice,
prescriptions and referrals, and treating patients in hospitals/care homes via video
links are destined to alter the way we live and work after the pandemic and can be
used to enhance sport integrity.

Anti-doping testing in sport has all but ceased due to the lockdown raising suspicion
about doping opportunities. Creative thinking such as in-home self drug testing by the
U.S. Anti-Doping Agency involving athletes who consented to provide urine and
small dried blood samples at home is controversial [2]. Athletes are required to
complete their normal whereabouts while a doping control officer connects via
videoconference during a prescribed time period. Using testing kits sent to their
homes, athletes provide their urine samples in the bathroom whilst their laptop
remains outside the room, after giving the doping control officer a virtual tour.
Sample provision is timed and the athlete measures their urine temperature to
demonstrate it is freshly provided. Athletes also apply an auto-sampler to their arm and collect a blood sample, and are responsible for packaging and sending their samples to the anti-doping laboratory.

This evolution in anti-doping could further advance testing. The pledge by the International Olympic Committee (IOC) of $10 million for new anti-doping approaches with particular focus on ‘omics’ technologies, Dried Blood Spots, and an ambitious long-term storage and reanalysis programme [3], could provide some fresh thinking into athlete profiling and testing. It may be more effective to combine such innovations with the Covid-time distance technologies and other approaches such as artificial intelligence to determine the optimal time and athlete to test, and to ensure more frequent testing as well as the integrity of the sample collection with real time assessment. These developments will undoubtedly generate new challenges such as big data management, data protection, data security and other complex issues [4]. However, the cost-benefit opportunity to reduce the cost of sample collection, increase frequency of testing and convenience to athletes will help improve trust in the anti-doping system.

There is also the issue of ‘technological fairness’, as seen in the sport of athletics [5] over the past four years with the launch of carbon fibre plate (CFP) shoes. Since their introduction, all world records in the half- and full-marathon have been broken raising concerns that this technology leads to a distinct non-physiological advantage [5]. The improvement in performance by athletes running in CFP shoes is similar to some blood doping substances included on the Prohibited List of the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), such as erythropoietin, which can improve performance by approximately 5% [6]. World Athletics reacted by announcing new rules stating that
sole thickness of a shoe must not exceed 40 mm and be on sale for at least four months before use in competition [7]. These rules have resulted in a ‘footwear arms race’ to develop patented CFP inserts by shoe companies. Postponement of the Tokyo Olympics gives World Athletics the opportunity to commission an independent review focusing on ‘technological fairness’ to evaluate the impact of technology on physical performance and therefore on the integrity of sporting competition. Accordingly, recent developments in wearable technology could be used to provide a standard method to assess this impact both in training and competition [8]. How this matter is resolved will have wider implications for all sport. Pressures are already mounting about expiration of doping sanctions, secret training sessions and doping regimes free from testing.

This pause in the business of sport due to Covid-19 provides time and opportunity to consider new approaches to address ongoing integrity challenges. Positive action extends beyond the realm of sports integrity with far reaching benefits for the field of sport and exercise medicine. Let us take advantage of this opportunity.
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