Left-shifted Nav channels in injured bilayer: primary targets for neuroprotective Nav antagonists?
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Mechanical, ischemic, and inflammatory injuries to voltage-gated sodium channel (Nav)-rich membranes of axon initial segments and nodes of Ranvier render Nav channels dangerously leaky. By what means? The behavior of recombinant Nav1.6 (Wang et al., 2009) leads us to postulate that, in neuropathologic conditions, structural degradation of axolemmal bilayer fosters chronically left-shifted Nav channel operation, resulting in $E_{\text{Na}}$ rundown. This “sick excitable cell Nav-leak” would encompass left-shifted fast- and slow-mode based persistent $I_{\text{Na}}$ (i.e., $I_{\text{window}}$ and slow-inactivating $I_{\text{Na}}$). Bilayer-damage-induced electrophysiological dysfunctions of native-Nav channels, and effects on inhibitors on those channels, should, we suggest, be studied in myelinated axons, exploiting $I_{\text{Na}}(V,t)$ hysteresis data from sawtooth ramp clamp. We hypothesize that (like dihydropyridines for Ca channels), protective lipophilic Nav antagonists would partition more avidly into disorderly bilayers than into the well-packed bilayers characteristic of undamaged, healthy plasma membrane. Whereas inhibitors using aqueous routes would access all Navs equally, differential partitioning into “sick bilayer” would co-localize lipophilic antagonists with “sick-Nav channels,” allowing for more specific targeting of impaired cells. Molecular fine-tuning of Nav antagonists to favor more avid partitioning into damaged than into intact bilayers could reduce side effects. In potentially salvageable neurons of traumatic and/or ischemic penumbra, in inflammatory neuropathies, in muscular dystrophy, in myocytes of cardiac infarct borders, Nav-leak driven excitotoxicity overwhelms cellular repair mechanisms. Precision-tuning of a lipophilic Nav antagonist for greatest efficacy in mildly damaged membranes could render it suitable for the prolonged continuous administration needed to allow for the remodeling of the excitable membranes, and thus functional recovery.
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Nav CHANNEL GATING MODE TERMINOLOGY

As originally described by Hodgkin and Huxley (1952) voltage-gated sodium channels (Nav) have a predominant fast mode of gating. However, for any population of Nav channels, at any given time, a small fraction will be switching reversibly between fast-mode and slow mode (Figure 1). Terminology for Nav kinetics has evolved along with the structure/function studies propelling the voltage-gated channel field and it is sometimes unclear what is meant by “persistent sodium current.” Thus, before embarking on a discussion of how membrane injury alters Nav channel behavior and what this might signify for drug therapies, we show (Figure 1) how we use kinetic terms. The simplified schematic is co-labeled with conventional (current-based) and newer (structure/function-based) terms: Nav channel conformations can be either Active mode (= fast) or Relaxed mode (= slow; Villalba-Galea et al., 2008). For transitions between modes, we prefer “mode-switch” to “mode-shift” (see Lin et al., 2007), since “switch” better evokes a protein conformation change than does “shift,” which in any case is easily confused with the graphical relocation of Boltzmann curves along a voltage axis, a routine occurrence for both Active mode and Relaxed mode channels (this will be seen, e.g., in Figure 3C and Figures 4C,D). The mode-switch conformation changes, though not well understood in Nav channels (Webb et al., 2009), are not dependent on voltage (Villalba-Galea et al., 2008).

THE PROBLEM: Nav CHANNELS GET LEAKY IN SICK EXCITABLE CELLS

As summarized in Table 1, Nav channels are dangerously leaky in a multitude of “sick-cell excitable cell” conditions. Mechanical trauma and/or ischemia and/or inflammation, and/or various genetic diseases result in damaged excitable cell membranes. More specifically, at Nav-rich membranes, bilayer structure degradation renders the Nav channels leaky. A CNS trauma example is depicted in Figure 2A: stretch trauma has caused axolemmal blebbing at the node of Ranvier. The fully blebbled bilayer, though detached from the specialized nodal spectrin skeleton (Figure 2C), would still be well-endowed with Nav1.6 channels. Even in such egregiously bleb-damaged plasma membrane, Nav channels are capable of voltage-dependent gating (Milton and Caldwell, 1990). In fact they gate “too well” (Figure 2B) in that they activate at inappropriately hyperpolarized (left-shifted) voltages (Shcherbatko et al., 1999; Tabarean et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2009; Beyder et al., 2010). A Nav channel whose operation becomes left-shifted in this manner is, in effect, leaky.
FIGURE 1 | Two major gating modes. A Nav channel has four voltage sensor domains and two complex gating kinetics; this simplified scheme emphasizes voltage-dependent (V) activation (closed, open (Ca, Cl)) plus inactivation (I) to an open-like but occluded state in each of the two major modes (Active and Relaxed). The smaller letters (CA . . . CA and CR . . . CR ) serve as a reminder that a full kinetic scheme (e.g., Taddese and Bean, 2002; Lenkey et al., 2011) would have many more states. Structural changes underlying mode switching, a feature of all voltage-gated proteins, are not yet understood (Villalba-Galea et al., 2008). During prolonged depolarizations, inter-mode transitions (vertical arrows), which are voltage-independent (Villalba-Galea et al., 2008), would drive the Nav system toward Ia and Ca ("inactivated" and "resting" in the parlance of Lenkey et al., 2011), respectively. Macrosopic hCa(t) includes hCa∞ (fast, transient, or broadly speaking, Hodgkin–Huxley type) plus hCa,slow (also variously called “persistent,” “slowly inactivating,” “non-inactivating”), which flow through open Active and Relaxed mode Nav channels (Oa, Oi), respectively. Depolarization-induced repacking of voltage sensor domains (largely within the trans-bilayer electric field) yields net outward gating charge movement and facilitates C → O transitions. Activation curves, i.e., gNa(V) associated with Ca–O2 or with C1–O, yield the “Boltzmann” sigmoid curves that summarize voltage-dependent fast or slow-gating energetics. Hyperpolarizing and depolarizing Boltzmann shifts are called “left shifts” or “right-shifts” respectively. The process by which the Nav “inactivation particle” binds (Oa → Ia), though not inherently voltage dependent, is rate-limited by (hence coupled to) the voltage-dependent process of fast activation (Wang et al., 2009; Boucher et al., 2012). Thus, when activation (gNa,fast(V)) left shifts due to bilayer damage, steady-state inactivation left shifts by the same number of millivolts, as depicted in Figure 3. This gNa,fast(V) phenomenon we term coupled-left shift (CLS). Importantly, the “subthreshold persistent current” of pacemaker neurons (Taddese and Bean, 2002) and of first-nodes (Kole, 2011) is a gNa,slow(V) based phenomenon, as is CLS. So-called “persistent current inhibitors” (e.g., ruthenium, ranolazine) principally act by blocking non-conducting Relaxed mode states (large asterisk), but they also cause use-dependent hfast, perhaps through binding to Active mode channels (Lenkey et al., 2011; though inhibitor-bound C1 states (small asterisk) might contribute to hfast and thence to hslow.

By virtue of molecular coupling between the fast-mode activation and inactivation (availability) processes in a Nav channel (Banderali et al., 2010), these two processes left shift in synchrony: if the activation Boltzmann [“m3h(V)” in Hodgkin–Huxley parlance] left shifts by, say, 7 (or 11 or 20 . . .) mV, then availability [“h∞(V)”] left shifts by 7 (or 11 or 20 . . .) mV as well. This behavior of fast-mode Nav channels, shown for recombinant Nav1.6 channels in Figures 3A,B we term “coupled-left shift” (CLS). Crucially, as shown in Figure 3C, Nav-CLS yields a left-shifted steady-state window conductance [“m3h(V)”]. Here, m3h(V) is shown before (black) and after a 20-mV CLS (gray). Note that the Nav-CLS version of m3h(V) now peaks at what would normally be a subthreshold voltage range (i.e., below the normal Vrest). Even if only a fraction (say 10%) of the nodal membrane suffered damage, that fraction, by virtue of its left-shifted m3h(V), should generate a “subthreshold persistent current” that would depolarize the adjacent (intact) Nav-rich membrane, causing the axon to fire ectopically. Because it facilitates rhythmic firing, a subthreshold persistent Nav-CLS based subthreshold persistent INa triggers pathological ectopic activity. We have modeled the consequences of Nav-CLS for axonal ion homeostasis and excitability (Boucher et al., 2012).

In a nutshell, Nav-CLS causes [Na+] gradients to run down, it overtaxes the Na/K-ATPase and, depending on CLS perservativeness and severity, produces subthreshold voltage oscillations, bursting, and diverse other manifestations of hyper- and hypo-excitability.

After finding that reversible bilayer stretch elicits reversible Nav-CLS in recombinant Nav1.5 (Morris and Juranka, 2007), we turned to recombinant Nav1.6 (Wang et al., 2009). There, irreversible bilayer damage due to “membrane stretch” (cell-attached patch clamp, with stretch- and bleb-inducing pipette aspiration) yields irreversible CLS (Figures 2B,C) whether or not Nav1.6 channels are co-expressed with β-subunits. Once the irreversible damage has “saturated” (typically producing >20 mV of irreversible CLS), further stretch of the bilayer elicits reversible Nav-CLS because now, pipette aspiration is indeed causing reversible thinning/disordering (i.e., stretching) of the bilayer. For Nav1.6, as for Nav1.5 (Morris and Juranka, 2007; Banderali et al., 2010) for comfortably non-lytic stretch stimuli, reversible Nav-CLS (Figure 5 in Wang et al., 2009) is small (typically <5 mV). When studied in fast-mode, Nav1.4 and Nav1.2 channels (co-expressed with β-subunits) behave the same way as fast-mode Nav1.6 (Catherine E. Morris and P. F. Juranka, unpublished observations). It is now known, however, that expressed in HEK cells Nav1.5 channels exhibit large substantially irreversible Nav-CLS (Beyder et al., 2010). An interesting possible explanation for the isoform differences in oocytes is an idea that would be tested by immuno-biochemistry, namely that in oocytes, Nav1.5 traffics to bilayer subdomains with a high degree of disorderliness whereas Nav1.2, Nav1.4, and Nav1.6 traffic to highly ordered cholesterol-rich domains. It would, in fact, be worth exploiting this stark difference between Nav1.5 and the other Nav isoforms as a way to correlate channel-lipid interactions and kinetic behavior.

What appears to be irreversible Nav-CLS arising from metabolic (not mechanical) injury has been reported for the Nav channels of hippocampal neuronal somata subjected to prolonged epileptic discharge: the somatic Nav channels (presumably Nav1.2) show a measurably left-shifted Iwindow associated with left-shifted (fast-mode) activation and availability (Sun et al., 2006). Presumably these overworked neurons are ATP-depleted and their plasma
### Table 1 | Sick excitable cells and their leaky, hyperactive, lethal Nav channels.

| Excitable cell pathology | ***A–E Nav isoforms involved, notes on the bleb-like bilayer damage | Additional notes... (\(I_{\text{Na}}\) changes, protective inhibitors, clinical issues, etc.) | Reference |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Traumatic brain and spinal cord injury | A, mechanically blebbed Nav1.6-rich nR and AIS | Ultrasound of stretch-traumatized, rapidly fixed optic nerve shows blebs at nR, blebbed axolemma lacks dense-staining spectrin-cortex, high \(I_{\text{Na}}\) (Kuwabara and Misawa, 2008). | Maxwell (1996), Iwata et al. (2004), Ates et al. (2007). |
| Stroke/cerebral ischemia | B, C, D, OGD-induced degradation is faster in AIS than nR | OGD causes classic excitotoxic cascades leading to spectrin breakdown; ATP depletion promotes bilayer destructuring. TTX protects against OGD-induced disintegration of AIS. Low [dibucaine] selectively protects against OGD-induced spreading depression. | Schafer et al. (2009), Douglas et al. (2011), Chen et al. (2011). |
| Muscular dystrophy | D, E, Chronic Nav1.4 leak; left-shifted Nav1.4 availability | TTX fully protective against cell death in mdx mouse fibers. Sarcolemma lipidomics: abnormal lipid composition in mdx. | Hirn et al. (2008), Benabdellah et al. (2009), Armstrong et al. (2001). |
| Multiple sclerosis | D, Disorganized Nav1.6-rich nR; focal axon swelling | Nav antagonists promising, but severe side effects during clinical trials. | Waxman (2008), Nikic et al. (2011). |
| Prolonged epileptic seizures | B, C, D, Metabolic overload induced changes CA1 hippocampal neurons | Nav1.6 and Nav1.7 in disrupted nodal membrane | Sun et al. (2006), Mantegazza et al. (2010). |
| Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis | B, C, D, ATP depletion characterizes ALS cortical motor neurons | "Persistent \(I_{\text{Na}}\) inhibitors": riluzole, ranolazine, and F15845 counter reperfusion arrhythmias (assumption: an \(I_{\text{Na}}\)-slow based Nav-leak). | Vucic and Kiemann (2010), Browne et al. (2006), Pieri et al. (2009). |
| Cardiac ischemia and reperfusion | B, C, D, Nav1.5, possibly Nav1.6 ventricular and atrial | "Persistent \(I_{\text{Na}}\)" becomes altered across the tunnel region; injury and inflammation... ectopic impulse generation. | Wei et al. (2010), Antzelevitch et al. (2011), Létienne et al. (2009). |
| Critical illness myopathy | D, E, Nav1.4, HEK cells, DRG neuron sodium channels | Endotoxin causes strong left shift of Nav availability, shuts down excitability in DRGs – muscle sepsis would engender bilayer damage. | Novak et al. (2009), Haezler et al. (2008). |
| Carpal tunnel | A, B, C, D, Presumably, Nav1.6 at nR | "Persistent \(I_{\text{Na}}\)" becomes altered across the tunnel region; injury and inflammation... ectopic impulse generation. | Kuwabara et al. (2006). |
| Peripheral neuropathic pain | A, B, C, D, Ectopic excitation of axonal Nav channels | In acutely damaged peripheral neurons, trauma may be exacerbated by OGD and inflammation, plus impaired membrane turnover. | Zuliani et al. (2010), Boucher et al. (2012), Kuwabara and Misawa (2008). |
| Diabetic neuropathy | B, C, D, Nav16 | Neuropathic pain, periphery, an inflammatory neuropathy – indirect evidence of increased node of Ranvier "persistent \(I_{\text{Na}}\)". | Misawa et al. (2009). |
| Bladder, colon inflammation | D, Nav1.9 | Nav1.9 implicated in inflammation-related acute visceral hyperalgesia. | Ritter et al. (2009), Martinez and Melgar (2008). |

**Abbreviations:** AIS, axon initial segment; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; Nav1.X refers to the implicated sodium channel isoform(s); nR, node of Ranvier; OGD, oxygen glucose deprivation; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TTX, tetrodotoxin.  
**Kn**own or likely cause(s) for degradation of healthy bilayer structure.  
A, direct mechanical blebbing (shear, stretch, or osmotic forces cause bilayer to detach from membrane skeleton; Milton and Caldwell, 1990; Maxwell, 1996; Sheetz et al., 2006).  
B, degeneration secondary to ATP depletion (inactive Na\(^+\) and other ATPases yield Ca\(^{2+}\)-excitotoxicity, with hyperactivated Ca\(^{2+}\)-proteases causing the spectrin–actin membrane skeleton to disintegrate; Armstrong et al., 2001; McGinn et al., 2009; Khanal et al., 2011).  
C, bilayer leaflets become more symmetric secondary to ATP depletion (asymmetry requires P4-ATPase flipase activity; López-Marqués et al., 2011).  
D, reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage (lipases, bilayer lipids, and their arrangements (and possibly membrane skeleton; Nikic et al., 2011).  
E, genetic or toxin-induced membrane skeleton impairments allow bilayer structure to relax toward a high entropy equilibrium. Structure similar to an abiotic bilayer. Duchenne's muscular dystrophy and the fungal toxin, cytochalasin, are examples (Allen and Whitehead, 2011).
membranes are beset by the usual chemical insults of ischemia. Skeletal muscles subject to inflammatory conditions appear to have a comparable type of Nav-leak (Haeseler et al., 2008; Nikic et al., 2011). A recurring theme here is that it will be necessary to revisit native-Nav channels linked to disease states in Table 1 to establish whether CLS correlates broadly with increasing Nav-leak.

**FIGURE 2** | (A) From a stretch-traumatized optic nerve, the nodal and paranodal regions of a node of Ranvier (cartooned by tracing an electron micrograph, Figure 2A of Maxwell, 1996) showing intact (arrowheads) and severely blebbed yet unruptured (double-headed arrows) nodal (hence Nav-rich) axolemma. (B) $I_{	ext{Na}}(t)$ from recombinant $\alpha_{\text{Nav}1.6}/\beta_{\text{ina}}$ cell-attached oocyte patch, before (intact) then again after bouts of pipette aspiration (experimental conditions as in Wang et al., 2009). The changing $I_{\text{Na}}(t)$ at $-50 \text{ mV}$ is consistent with increasingly strong coupled-left shift, the mechanism outlined in Figure 3. (C) In sick excitable cells, Nav channels that become "leaky," we assume, experience nano-structural environmental changes; here we depict a Nav-rich membrane going from intact (top) to blebbed (bottom). If $I_{\text{Na}}$ changes such as seen in B are due to increasing bilayer entropy, then graded structural changes of each channel's nano-environments (left) would be expected. If, instead, as suggested by some (Scherbatskio et al., 1999; but see Morris et al., 2006), pipette aspiration induced-blebbing alters Nav kinetics by disrupting discrete channel/cytoskeleton interactions, then each Nav channel will see an all-or-none structural change, such as depicted at right.

**FIGURE 3** | Coupled-left shift (Nav-CLS). (A) Traumatic membrane stretch causes irreversible hyperpolarizing shifts of activation and steady-state fast inactivation (availability) for recombinant Nav1.6 (aspirated cell-attached oocyte patches; likewise in B). (B) CLS as seen from $I_{\text{Na}}$ traces: i, $I_{\text{Na}}(t)$ during a step to $-15 \text{ mV}$ before and after stretch-induced membrane damage – the change is irreversible. ii Nav channels in this patch (same as i) underwent a membrane-injury-induced CLS found to be of magnitude $20 \text{ mV}$, as evidenced by the fact that amplitude-normalized pre/post $I_{\text{Na}}(t)$ traces measured at $V_m$ steps $20 \text{ mV}$ apart, as listed, have indistinguishable time courses (expanded section: pre $5 \text{ mV}$, post $-15 \text{ mV}$ traces). CLS like this is observed whether left shifts are small or large (see Figure 3 of Wang et al., 2009). This justifies co-left-shifting of HH Boltzmanns, $m_3^\infty(V)$ and $h_\infty(V) (= availability)$, along the voltage axis (Figure 1 legend) to model axonal Nav channels in trauma-injured membrane (Boucher et al., 2012). (C) Activation [$m_3^3(V)$] and inactivation [$h_\infty(V)$] variables at $t = \infty$ for regular HH-NaV channels and after each function is left-shifted $20 \text{ mV}$ (mimicking intact versus "strongly traumatized"). The product (lower panel) of overlapping activation and availability Boltzmann’s, $m_3^3h_\infty(V)$ = steady-state open probability or non-dimensional $g_{\text{Nav,CLS}}$. Arrows: a $20 \text{ mV}$ left-shift ($m_3^3h_\infty$) increases $g_{\text{Nav,CLS}}$ near typical $V_m$, while decreasing it nearer $0 \text{ mV}$. 
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Figure 4 | Hyperpolarizing ramps. (A) Recombinant Nav1.6, oocyte patch,
I\textsubscript{\textit{Na}} elicited by hyperpolarizing ramps from +100 to −100 mV, −2 mV/ms,
before and after membrane damage. (Bi) Similar data set before stretch then
after increasingly strong −20 s bouts of pipette aspiration (conditions as in
(A), further explanation in text). (Bii) Damaged-membrane traces, y-axis adjusted, as described in text. (C) Simulated I\textsubscript{\textit{Na}}(V,t) for “intact” and 20 mV
left-shifted non-inactivating HH-type Nav channels (ramps: −2 mV/ms). The I\textsubscript{\textit{Na}}
midpoint shifts ∼−18 mV, as expected for the 20-mV shift imposed on m\textsuperscript{3}(V)
(equal-sized I\textsubscript{\textit{Na}}(V) and m\textsuperscript{3} shifts would correspond to infinitely steep
tage-dependence in m\textsubscript{3}(V); see Boucher, 2011). (D) Simulated
I\textsubscript{\textit{Na}}(V,t) = I\textsubscript{\text{fast}}(V) + I\textsubscript{\text{slow}}(V) for hyperpolarizing ramps from +150 to −150 mV at
−2 mV/ms, g\textsubscript{\text{f}}/g\textsubscript{\text{t}} = 0.0075; for control (black) and −20 mV shifted (gray).
Total I\textsubscript{\textit{Na}}(V,t) (solid lines) mimic experimental traces, given such a g\textsubscript{\text{f}}/g\textsubscript{\text{t}} mix; they would be comprised of the two components, as labeled.

**BOTH FAST AND SLOW MODE Nav CURRENTS CONTRIBUTE TO Nav-LEAK**
In injured membrane, as will be discussed further in Figure 4 (see
also Figure 2Ci in Wang et al., 2009), Nav channels gating in
slow mode exhibit left-shifted activation. However, whether slow
inactivation is kinetically coupled to slow activation is unknown
(in parallel to the coupling between fast activation and fast inac-
inactivation marked in between OA and IA in Figure 1). Typically,
in a population of Nav channels, ∼1% would be in slow mode.
Though slow mode current (I\textsubscript{\textit{Na-slow}}) is a kinetically distinct
dentity (see Figure 1) from any fast-mode based persistent cur-
rents (the coupled-“m\textsuperscript{3}h” or subthreshold v\textsubscript{window} of Tadese and
Bean, 2002), it too is called persistent current. In computational
models, I\textsubscript{\textit{Na-slow}} is typically simplified to a small stand-alone sub-
population of Nav channels with no inactivation process (e.g.,
Coggan et al., 2010). In reality, slow and fast modes interconnect
(Figure 1) and the simplest assumption is that any injured mem-
brane Nav channel (Figure 3) would show CLS when in fast mode
and left-shifted activation when in slow mode. Thus, when we refer
to “Nav-CLS”-injury, an implicit assumption is that the affected
Nav channels “leak” in both fast and slow modes.

**INCREASED ENTROPY AND Nav-CLS**
Formally, plasma membrane blebs induced by pipette aspiration
(Sheetz et al., 2006) or other shear forces in the mechanically
traumatized CNS (Maxwell, 1996) are defined as regions of high
fluidity bilayer dissociated from the cortical cytoskeleton. Clearly,
the provenance of such injury-induced blebs differs radically from

that of transient cell-mediated blebs used for ameboid-crawling
(Charras et al., 2009); there, the blebbing-membrane loses its F-
actin (due to precision cortical acto-myosin contractions) while
retaining those elements of the spectrin skeleton needed for re-
adhesion to the cortex. In these motility-blebs, the bilayer, we
expect, would retain much of its healthy, far-from-equilibrium
structure. By contrast, in excitable cell injury (Maxwell, 1996), as
in pipette aspiration induced-blebbing (Morris et al., 2006; Sheetz
et al., 2006), bleb formation, being an externally initiated unregu-
lated process, is governed largely by raw physics. Bilayer structure
in a blebbing-membrane region of a sick excitable cell will, thus,
approach equilibrium, exhibiting increased disorder both verti-
cally (between leaflets) and horizontally (within plane). By virtue
of non-specific electrostatic binding of polar lipid headgroups to
filamentous cortical proteins (Sheetz et al., 2006) plus the actions
of lipid-ATPases (Shevchenko and Simons, 2010), certain phos-
pholipids like phosphatidylserine are normally disproportionately

high in the inner leaflet. As blebs develop, however, this vertical
asymmetry dissipates: artificial bilayers and dead bilayers are sym-
metrical (Musters et al., 1993; Schlegel and Williamson, 2001; van
Genderen et al., 2008). Simultaneously, lateral phase separation
patterns found among the bilayer lipids will diminish in com-
plexity (Maxwell, 1996; Kaiser et al., 2009; Lingwood and Simons,
2010; Shevchenko and Simons, 2010; Levental et al., 2011): within-
plane organization will become more random, more disordered.
In summary, in the plasma membrane of sick excitable cells, bilayer
structure will slowly relax toward the minimum energy, maximum
entropy situation of a self-organized bilayer. It is still a bilayer, but
one with a radically lower information content than the healthy far-from-equilibrium bilayer that various particular Nav channel isoforms have come (via evolution) to expect. Additionally, once it has areas denuded of cortical cytoskeleton, plasma membrane bilayer should be more prone to inappropriate insertion of intracellular membrane vesicles and to excessive uptake of free fatty acids (see Figure 1 of Milton and Caldwell, 1996; Morris and Homann, 2001). The expectation is that damaged Nav-rich bilayer will be disorderly and that its lipid-species composition too will render it abnormally fluidized.

Thus, while certain mutant variants of Nav channels are dangerously “leaky” due to structurally defective proteins (Catterall et al., 2008), Nav-CLS posits that genetically normal Nav channels in sick excitable cells become dangerously leaky when they find themselves embedded in structurally defective bilayers.

**POSITIVE FEEDBACK: FROM SMALL NAV-RICH BLEB TO LARGE NAV-RICH BLEB**

Consider, for a Nav-rich region of an excitable cell membrane (an axon initial segment, say, or the post-synaptic membrane of a skeletal muscle end-plate), an initially minor Nav-CLS leak due to a small bleb. Or, an entire Nav-rich membrane could be mildly damaged and have a similarly small Nav-CLS leak due, say, to inflammation-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS). A mild mechanically or chemically induced Nav-CLS leak should grow via a vicious cycle: the external event (trauma, infection, ischemia, etc.) degrades plasma membrane structural integrity. As detailed elsewhere (Morris, 2011a,b,c), abnormally fluidized, more easily thinned bilayers, like depolarization, lower the energy barrier between a voltage sensor’s “down” and “up” conformations, and so easily thinned bilayers, like depolarization, lower the energy barrier.

Critical to both excitotoxic cascade occurs (Wolf et al., 2001; Iwata et al., 2004). In cerebral ischemia (Schafer et al., 2009) and in cardiomyocytes (Weiss et al., 2010) during post-ischemia/reperfusion, the initial insults that bring on Nav-leak are chemical. Dystrophic muscle cells are genetically bleb-prone and have left-shifted Nav availability linked to cell-lethal Nav-leaks (Hirn et al., 2008; Allen and Whitehead, 2011).

In the hours and days following traumatic or ischemic injury, mildly damaged excitable cells in “penumbra” zones abutting the primary insult zones succumb to deepening, self-induced (and, evidently, tetrodotoxin-sensitive) secondary injury. Thus, for various model systems there is a tetrodotoxin-sensitive axon loss in white matter, cell death in gray matter, loss of dystrophic skeletal muscle fibers, and, at cardiac infarct border-zones, loss of cardiomyocytes. Table 1 signifies, we think, that sick excitable cells that suffer an initially minor Nav-CLS can expect excitotoxic demise if Nav-CLS based Na⁺-leak is not stopped either by membrane remodeling that rids the cells of the damaged Nav-rich bilayer, or by therapeutic drugs that staunch the leak.

**Protein Partners**

For Nav1.6 channels expressed in oocytes, injury-induced CLS phenomena described here occur with or without co-expressed β subunits (Wang et al., 2009). For that reason, and since virtually nothing is known about in situ modulatory interactions of β subunits (or ankyrin-G, or other of Nav channels’ many putative protein partners, Dib-Hajj and Waxman, 2010), we do not explicitly deal with them here. On the other hand, our insistence on how crucial it is to study sick-cell Nav-leak and its attendant Nav-pharmacology in native and not just recombinant systems, acknowledges that native lipid structures in conjunction with diverse protein partners in the immediate vicinity of native-Na⁺ channels, are likely to determine the specifics of sick-cell Nav-leak in different types of excitable cells.

**Nav Inhibitors**

Tetrodotoxin, being a pore blocker, inhibits both fast (Active) and slow (Relaxed) mode Nav channels. Its exclusive selectivity for Nav channels has made it a powerful tool in cell/tissue models of disease, as just described. Like many Nav inhibitors, tetrodotoxin is powerfully protective in cellular models of injury to Nav-rich excitable membranes (Table 1) but it is a universal Nav-pore blocker and as such, lethal upon systemic administration. Nav inhibitors with more appropriate clinical traits include heterocyclic molecules like ranolazine and riluzole (Antzelevitch et al., 2011; Cadotte and Fehlings, 2011). These lipophilic compounds preferentially bind and stabilize Nav channels in non-conducting slow-gating states (Song et al., 1997; Antzelevitch et al., 2011). Often called “persistent current” blockers, these drug molecules are especially effective at stabilizing slow mode Nav in non-conducting states and at higher concentrations they inhibit fast-mode channels (Jo and Bean, 2011; Lenkey et al., 2011). Because of severe side effects (Waxman, 2008), however, none of the available Nav antagonists is routinely used to counter the devastating, slow-developing consequences of traumatic brain injury described at the neurological level as diffuse axonal injury (Wolf et al., 2001; Iwata et al., 2004) though for spinal injury, riluzole trial are underway (Cadotte and Fehlings, 2011).

**LIPOPHILICITY AND Nav INHIBITOR EFFICACY**

Although it is recognized that clinically effective Nav inhibitors are lipophilic (or strongly lipophilic amphiphiles), what explains the importance of lipophilicity is unclear (Jo and Bean, 2011; Lenkey et al., 2011; Nesterenko et al., 2011). We formulate, below, a two-part hypothesis in which, for sick excitable cells, the known requirement for lipophilicity in effective Nav antagonists (Lenkey et al., 2011) correlates with the elevated bilayer-fluidity origin of Nav-CLS. Before doing so, we direct the reader to Box 1 which itemizes some physiological, pharmacological, physico-chemical, and computational findings that bear on the idea.

To probe riluzole inhibition, Narahashi and colleagues clamped $I_{Na}$ in DRG neurons (Song et al., 1997). Noting the drug’s enhanced effectiveness in damaged neurons, they invoked high-affinity binding to the slow-inactivated Nav channels of...
**Box 1 | A brief history: bilayer partitioning and intra-bilayer orientation of lipophilic/amphiphilic molecules that bind voltage-gated channels** and other membrane proteins.

* **Herbette et al. (1989)** partitioning of dihydropyridines (DHPs) into lipid bilayer could precede binding to voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. Sarcolemma/buffer partition coefficients: 5,000–150,000 range.

**Mason et al. (1992)** voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channel antagonists and cholesterol. X-ray diffraction and equilibrium binding techniques: \(\uparrow\) membrane cholesterol \(\rightarrow\) marked decrease in DHP partition coefficients (likewise verapamil, diltiazem).

**Mason (1993)** Ca\(^{2+}\) channel DHP type antagonists – interactions with bilayers. Lipid composition (e.g., cholesterol content, acyl chain saturation) effects on membrane partitioning of antagonists should affect bioavailability under normal versus pathological conditions with altered membrane lipids. Specifics of bilayer composition may help concentrate and orient drug molecules relative to a hydrophobic binding site at channel/bilayer interface. For desirable pharmacokinetics, \(\uparrow\) d efficacy, \(\downarrow\) d side effects, drug design should anticipate contributions from membrane lipid compartment.

**Lee and MacKinnon (2004)** amphiphilic voltage sensor toxins of arachnid venoms reach their target by partitioning into the lipid bilayer. Accumulation of toxin where voltage sensors reside and exploiting the free energy of partitioning of appropriately oriented amphiphilic toxins \(\rightarrow\) high-affinity inhibition.

* Zhang et al. (2007) tetracaine/vesicle interactions: partitioning into solid-gel membrane depends mostly on steric accommodation between lipids, whereas in liquid-crystalline membrane (larger inter-lipid distances, lower steric hindrance), hydrophobic and ionic interactions between tetracaine and lipid molecules predominate. Bilayer partition coefficients \(\downarrow\) d by cholesterol.

* Baenziger et al. (2008) bilayer lipid composition alters tetracaine action at nicotinic AChRs.

* Eckford and Sharom (2008) cholesterol-modulation of P-glycoprotein-mediated drug transport appears to operate via effects on drug partitioning into the bilayer and by changes in the protein's local lipid environment.

* Chisari et al. (2009) GABA-R both specific (e.g., enantiomer-dependent) and non-specific (e.g., bilayer partitioning) properties contribute to potency and longevity of steroid action.

* Lombardi et al. (2009) \(\beta_2\) agonist, indacaterol fluidizes membranes less than salmeterol and yields faster-onset, longer-duration therapeutic effects, perhaps because of synergy between indacaterol's better partitioning into raft micro domains and its faster membrane permeation.

**Schmidt and MacKinnon, 2008; Morris, 2011a,b** directly echoes Schmidt and MacKinnon, 2008) the mechanical state of bilayer lipids in the plasma membrane is crucial to the efficacy of amphiphilic peptide toxins that have evolved to bind to and right-shift voltage sensors.

**Milescu et al. (2009)** conversion of sphingomyelin to ceramide shows that binding efficacy of an amphiphilic voltage sensor toxin to the membrane-embedded voltage sensors of \(\kappa\) channels depends on the bilayer's lipid composition.

* Ali et al. (2010) loading of drugs (diazepam, ibuprofen, midazolam, propofol) into liposome bilayers is sensitive to cholesterol content: \(\uparrow\) d cholesterol = \(\downarrow\) d drug incorporation.

* Tejwani et al. (2011) all-atoms molecular dynamics simulations: a bilayer’s hydrocarbon chain interior is the region most selective to the chemical structures of drug-type solutes. Multiple discrete polar groups (same solute) contribute additively to bilayer partitioning. Significant orientational preferences for the drugs are evident in specific bilayer regions.

* Jastrzebska et al. (2011) bilayer composition/organization regulates classic G protein-coupled receptor, rhodopsin.

**Lenkey et al. (2011)** lipophilicity is a key factor in Nav antagonist potency, allowing inhibitor molecules to bind at two distinct sites, one most accessible at hyperpolarized potentials (“resting site”), the other at depolarized potentials (“inactivated site”). In addition, steric/orientation features involving aromatic rings, hydrogen acceptors, and sheer molecular bulk are important determinants of potency at the inactivated site.

**Muddana et al. (2012)** recently showed differential partitioning of non-lipid amphiphiles (vitamin-E, benzyl alcohol, Triton-X 100) into bilayers depending on mechanical properties of different lipid subdomains.

Damage-depolarized cells. In such situations, the Nav channels of interest are not only in damaged cells, we suggest, but damaged bilayer. This should affect the working concentration of lipophilic Nav antagonists (like riluzole) if they partition differently into damaged versus intact membrane. Further, riluzole efficacy might be higher in damaged than intact bilayer if its ability to orient appropriately with respect to intra-bilayer binding sites on the DRG Nav channels (see Lenkey et al., 2011) is better in less structured bilayers.

What little we could find in the literature relative to these issues of the partitioning and orientation of lipophilic drugs in different classes of bilayer structure is summarized in Box 1. Of particular note, highly ordered, cholesterol-rich membrane subdomains accumulate lipophilic drugs more poorly than cholesterol-poor fluidized membranes. Strikingly, the drugs in question are clinically important voltage-gated calcium channel antagonists, the dihydropyridines. The collection of findings sketched in Box 1 suggest that at one peril would one assume bilayer order/disorder to be irrelevant for the interactions of lipophilic drugs with dynamic membrane proteins (see also Benabdellah et al., 2009; Rajendran et al., 2010; Morris, 2011b). To our knowledge, however, these issues have not been investigated experimentally for Nav inhibitors.

Lenkey et al. (2011) showed that simple lipophilicity (measured from oil/water partition coefficients) correlates strongly with Nav inhibitor efficacy, and, beyond that, that molecular orientation and steric constraints are particularly important for inhibition of \(I_{\text{Nav slow}}\) (Lenkey et al., 2011). The possibility that, for sick excitable cells, clinically useful Nav inhibitors could selectively target the malfunctioning Nav channels by (1) accumulating preferentially in disordered bilayers, and (2) exhibiting orientation/steric properties appropriate for within-bilayer binding to their targets (see Schmidt and MacKinnon, 2008; Morris, 2011a,b) directly echoes Mason’s (1993) ideas regarding the dihydropyridines (see Box 1). It contrasts strikingly, however, with a recent model for the differential atrium/ventricle efficacy of ranolazine for (healthy) cardiac myocyte Nav channels. There, it is explicitly assumed that drug access to binding sites (Active mode and Relaxed mode conformations) is via hydrophilic pathways (Nesterenko et al., 2011).
HYPOTHESIS: IN SICK EXCITABLE CELLS, BILAYER ENTROPY ENGENDERS NAV-CLS BUT SIMULTANEOUSLY ENHANCES THE EFFICACY OF LIPOPHILIC NAV ANTAGONISTS

Against the above background material, we now restate some of the ideas formally in a two-part hypothesis. The hypothesis is grounded in our work on recombinant axon isoform Nav channels in traumatized membranes (Wang et al., 2009), on Schmidt and MacKinnon’s (2008) observations on Kv channel activation and drug interactions in intact versus mechanically disturbed plasma membranes, and on the Krekpi et al. (2009) finding that isolated voltage sensors in “S4-up-states” locally thin/disorder the bilayer (explained in Morris, 2011a,b,c). In conjunction with the meta-analysis of Lenkey et al. (2011) for Nav-antagonist physical chemistry and efficacy, which postulates bilayer-embedded binding sites for fast and slow mode Nav channel conformations, these findings lead us to hypothesize:

H1: Mechanical and/or chemical insult causes the far-from-equilibrium order of a healthy bilayer to decay toward equilibrium, i.e., toward the more disordered dynamically self-organized arrangement of abiotic bilayers. In injured Nav-rich excitable cells including neurons, the Nav channels that leak are irreversibly “left-shifted” due to irreversibly increased disorder, with the shift proportionate to the extent of disorder in the Nav-embedding bilayer;

H2: Because complex lipophilic Nav inhibitors in aqueous solution partition into and may also orient more appropriately in damaged (disordered) bilayers than in well-packed bilayers of healthy Nav-rich membranes, lipophilic Nav inhibitors achieve their best global efficacy precisely at the loci of the leaky Nav channels.

Our title combines items H1, H2 as a question–hypothesis, and the question is not rhetorical. The hypothesis moreover, needs to be tested on native-Na channels in their native-bilayer settings. The kinetics of native-Na channels expressed and maintained at high-density (Kole et al., 2008) remain poorly understood (Fu et al., 2011); add trauma (or other sick excitable cell conditions) and this is even more true. We re-iterate: there is an unmet need to study the electrophysiological and pharmacological behavior of native-Na/bilayer/membrane-skeleton assemblages before, during, and after imposing assorted types of membrane damage (see “A–E” column, Table 1 and Box 1).

Nav-RICH NATIVE MEMBRANES NEED TO BE INVESTIGATED

Myelinated axon INa is carried by Nav1.6 channels (see Chatelier et al., 2010). Nodal INa has fast and slow components (Stys et al., 1993), but the discrete responses of these components to trauma or ischemia or other bilayer-damaging pathological states have not, to our knowledge, been studied electrophysiologically. Assuming fast and slow axonal INa arises from one Nav population (Figure 1), damaged axolemma should have co-existing left-shifted INa-fast (hence left-shifted IW window) and left-shifted INa-slow. Carefully devised (though currently little-used) techniques exist, fortunately, to study drug actions on INa at voltage clamped nodes of Ranvier. An understanding of the pharmacological idiosyncrasies of nodal Nav1.6 channels in both modes is needed. Voltage clamp ramps (see Box 2) would be the most feasible way to simultaneously monitor fast and slow INa in intact versus damaged nodal membrane. Based on recombinant Nav1.6 ramp data and on simulations, the next three sections suggest what to watch for from nodal Nav1.6 current.

RAMP CLAMP, NAV1.6 CURRENT, AND MEMBRANE DAMAGE TO DATE

In our recordings of recombinant Nav1.6 current in oocyte patches, INa-slow was hard to study since usually it was unmeasurable against background noise (see also Chatelier et al., 2010). Nevertheless, Figures 4A,B shows ramp-elicited INa traces from cell-attached oocyte patches. To minimize INa-fast in favor of slowing current, hyperpolarizing ramps were used. The Nav-bearing membrane patches were injured via pipette aspiration-induced blebbing. Figure 3A is from Wang et al. (2009) while the Figure 4B data are from the same group of experiments, included here to help illustrate Nav1.6 ramp INa(VIT) during progressively deepening membrane damage. The ramp-elicited INa(VIT) progressively left shifts with stronger damage, its maximal amplitude increases and, in the gmax region, its slope conductance steepens. Offsets

Box 2 | Vaseline-gap voltage clamp of a node of Ranvier.

This technique (Conti et al., 1976; Benoit and Escande, 1991; Schwarz et al., 1995, 2006), though challenging, was the major electrophysiological approach used for Nav channel pharmacology several decades ago, before hNa-slow was recognized as a pharmacological target. It would be worth resurrecting the method to study native-Nav1.6 channels in damaged nodes of Ranvier. The specific aim would be to perform sawtooth ramp clamp of the nodal axolemma to concurrently detect hNa-fast and hNa-slow and their responses to membrane damage and to antagonists. Standard step voltage clamp would be used as well for purposes of technical, biophysical, and pharmacological benchmarking. In amphibians, nodes yield ~50 nA of hNa-fast and 1 nA traces show good signal-to-noise (Conti et al., 1976). Given a gNa-slow/gNa-fast of ~1%, peak ramp hNa(V) for hNa-slow should be ~0.5 nA. Mechanically traumatizing a node after recording control data is not feasible. Nodal bilayer damage could, alternatively, be imposed by ATP depletion and/or Ca2+-protease hyperactivation and/or generation of reactive oxygen species (Dong and Hare, 2005). Cylcodextrin extraction of membrane cholesterol could used to disrupt healthy bilayer structure. It is possible that accidental stretch, a recognized hazard while mounting axons for vaseline-gap clamp (see Methods section in Schwarz et al., 1999), could be exploited, or abrupt nerve gap (Maxwell, 1996) prior to axon dissection. High resolution video-recording at all stages would allow for blinded post hoc classification of trauma (unintentional or intentional) intensity. Whatever the methods used to damage the nodal bilayer, ancillary imaging, and/or chemical evidence (Rajendran et al., 2010; Shevchenko and Simons, 2010) that initially intact nodal membranes had incurred structural/compositional damage would needed. Changes to nodal hNa-fast and hNa-slow would be most usefully tracked via the hysteretic information from sawtooth clamp. To illustrate, in Figures 4 and 5, we summarize what little information is available for ramp-elicited total hNa(VIT) from recombinant Nav1.6 channels before and after mechanical membrane damage, then we simulate some ramp clamp outcomes for a 0.0075 mixture of HH-type Nav channels without and with inactivation.
and drift (though largely absent from Figure 4A) are the norm for $I_{Na}$ from ramp clamp, as in Figure 4Bi [in Figure 4Bii, current offsets in the three post-trauma traces were handled by repositioning traces on the $y$-axis to a common reversal potential [asterisk, $\sim -55$ mV, $E_{Na}$], making more evident the post-trauma left shifts and the steepening slope conductance]. Steeper "$g_{max}$" slopes signify increased numbers of maximally activated Nav channels and/or greater maximal $I_{open}$ values. Either way, these $I_{Na}(V,t)$ traces would be consistent with post-trauma "Nav-leak" through left-shifted Relaxed mode channels. In these experiments, strong patch-trauma left-shifted fast $I_{Na} \geq 20$ mV (Wang et al., 2009), but whether slow and fast $I_{Na}$ in a given patch shifted the same amount was not established.

**RUDIMENTARY SIMULATIONS FOR RAMP CLAMP – THE SIMPLEST MODEL**

To concurrently monitor co-existing fast and slow $I_{Na}$ in Nav-rich excitatory cells is not straightforward. Simulations can help with experimental design. For slow $I_{Na}$ we simply used $g_{ni}$, a non-inactivating version of fast $g_{Na}$ [i.e., the usual Hodgkin-Huxley $g_{Na}$ but with $h(V) = 1$], with $g_{ni}/g_{Na-fast} = 0.0075$. Eventually, more detailed modeling of $I_{Na}$ (with slow mode inactivation and mode-switch transitions, Figure 1) will be needed, but even our rudimentary simulation illustrates some important points.

In Figure 4C, with fast-mode $g_{Na}$ zeroed, $I_{Na-ni}(V,t)$ is depicted alone to illustrate the consequence of a left shift. As there is no inactivation or mode switching, the slope conductance in the $g_{max}$ region is fixed. Maximal $I_{Na-ni}(V,t)$ increases because shifted channels activate where $V_{m} - E_{Na}$ is greater. In Figure 4D the $g_{Na-fast}$ and $g_{ni}$ components are combined (black, control; gray, 20 mV left-shifted). Even though a hyperpolarizing ramp was used and even though the two-component $I_{Na}(V,t)$ elicited has the look of "just non-inactivating current," it is not. Encroaching on the $V_{m}$ range near typical $V_{rest}$ (arrowhead), the major component is, in fact, "window current" ($I_{window}$). Though $g_{ni}$ is modeled as having precisely the same activation characteristics as $g_{Na-fast}$, it yields insignificant $I_{ni}$ in the "subthreshold" $V_{m}$ range where $I_{window}$ flows, simply because of $g_{Na-fast}:g_{ni}$ ratio ($>100:1$). The dominance of an $I_{window}$-based subthreshold Nav-leak could be consequential, especially if putative therapeutic inhibitors for mild injury were chosen to target $g_{Na-slow}$.

It is instructive to consider this scenario in light of Nav inhibitors and trauma. If a mild CLS-type injury depolarized an axon by a few millivolts, the key Nav-leak for the axon would be the shifted $I_{window}$. Accelerated Na/K pumping would be required to sustain a "resting" $V_{m}$ below the zone $\sim 10$ mV more depolarized (Figure 4D) where $I_{ni}$-leak starts to take over. The pharmacological goal, therefore: target the damaged-membrane's Nav-CLS channels with lipophilic inhibitors that, at voltages near $V_{rest}$, stabilize $C_R$ or $C_A$ conformations (see Figure 1). Strongly lipophilic antagonists that readily cross the blood–brain-barrier (e.g., Weston et al., 2009) would be ideal. While these may be relatively poorer inhibitors of slow $I_{Na}$ (see Lenkey et al., 2011) staunching the Nav-CLS leak in mildly damaged cells before they depolarize is the “first responder” goal.

With this as the target, it becomes important (in pharmacologically relevant settings) to better understand what modulates the ratios of Active to Relaxed channels. This is a question of mode switching and it is unexplored territory. Inhibiting OA switching and it is unexplored territory. Inhibiting OA
injury, the possibility of mode switch modulation should be borne in mind.

**EXPLOITING THE HYSTERESIS OF SAWTOOTH RAMPS**

Figure 5A emphasizes how ramp rate and direction strongly influence the “shape” of $I_{Na}(V,t) = [I_{Na-fast} + I_{Na-slow}](V,t)$. For either direction and for all speeds, $I_{Na}(V,t)$ is identical above $\sim -25$ mV since there, only $g_{Na}$ is active [in its $P_{open}(V) \sim 1$ range]. For real Nav channels (which undergo slow inactivation), both ramp speed and direction will affect $I_{Na}(V,t)$ in this range, yielding information on Relaxed mode (slow) and mode-switch transitions. Relaxed and Active mode channels are from a single population dominated by Active mode, and sawtooth protocols allow for concurrent semi-quantitative monitoring of both. In membrane-damaged excitable cells, on-going left shift will be expected, making the system respond a “moving target.” Moreover, when following electrophysiologically the consequences of irreversible membrane damage, damage intensity, and its rate of change will not be tightly controlled. Within-preparation comparisons and time course data are therefore imperative (Tabarean et al., 1999; Morris et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2009).

With relatively fast ramps, obtaining larger within-preparation data sets is easier, but as our simulations illustrate, faster ramps increase the “contamination” of $I_{Na}(V,t)$ by $I_{Na-fast}$ (i.e., $I_{window}$) even though traces appear to be exclusively “$I_{Na-slow}$” (or, in the simulated form, $I_{Na}$). Standard practice in most labs is to use depolarizing ramps at $\sim 0.1-1.0$ mV/ms, but only at the slowest end of this range would $I_{window}$ contamination be minimal. $I_{Na-slow}(V,t)$ is essentially identical in either direction at $+0.1$ mV/ms, but fortunately, $\sim -2$ mV/ms is almost as good (Figure 5A). As in Figure 5Bi, a sawtooth ramp with a fairly speedy depolarizing limb (i.e., $\sim -2$ mV/ms) can locate the $I_{Na-fast}(V)$ window current. The hyperpolarizing counterpart will then reveal the only slightly “contaminated” slow current. Ramps need not, of course, be mirror images; the empirical choice is for whatever yields informative and resolvable hysteresis traces. As membrane damage deepened, quick sawtooths like this could monitor both components’ changes over time. Time course data over minutes could show whether, at minimal concentrations, lipophilic antagonists show evidence of accumulating in the bilayer, and if, say, they were acting more powerfully on slow or fast components. Depending on how rapidly injury-related membrane characteristics were changing, quick sawtooths could make it possible to capture multiple runs for better signal-to-noise. Intermittently obtained step protocol $I/V$ data would, of course, be a useful supplement to the hysteresis data.

In Figure 5Bii, below the two-component hysteresis trace, is the $I_{Na-fast}$ only component. To a first approximation, these hysteresis sets would mimic start and end points of a notional experiment in which a “persistent current blocker” was added to the system, fully inhibiting slow mode current while having no effect on fast mode current. During Nav-antagonist time course experiments, changes of this ilk, in combination with damage-induced left-shifting (Figure 3) would be expected. It seems unlikely that all this could be captured in a timely fashion via the usual Nav voltage clamp approach of steps-for-$I_{Na-fast}$, slow-ramps-for-$I_{Na-slow}$.

To re-iterate, continual monitoring of nodal $I_{Na}(V,t)$ hysteresis via empirically worked-out sawtooth protocols could give a picture of Active and Relaxed mode Nav protein behavior in injured axons. For each mode, it could show if/how injury affects susceptibility to Nav antagonists. If Nav agonists showed lowered efficacy in intact versus damaged membrane, this would raise a multitude of ancillary questions regarding the avidity of lipophilic drug partitioning into intact versus damaged membranes. Comparing inhibitor efficacy time courses in intact (cholesterol-packed) against cholesterol-extracted membranes (a recognized form of membrane damage) could, for example, be informative.

**OLD IDEA, NEW WRINKLE**

Differential partitioning of lipophilic drugs into different membrane subdomains (i.e., intact versus damaged) is a new idea for Nav channels, but a related concept is now general currency for G-proteins (Lombardi et al., 2009; Rajendran et al., 2010). Our proposal for Nav channels has a different twist, since we envisage disease states, not normal cell-mediated membrane modeling, as the factor underlying the therapeutically relevant structural heterogeneity in excitable Nav-rich membranes. Data have, interestingly, been available on membrane partitioning of the lipophilic antagonists of voltage-gated Ca channels for $\sim 20$ years. Mason and colleagues (Box 1, first three entries) suggested, moreover, that these drugs would tend to partition differently into healthy membranes versus ones whose composition was altered due to pathological conditions. At about the same time, however, molecular-level descriptions of protein structure and of hydrophobic drug binding sites became increasingly dominant in pharmacology. The idea that bilayer structure must influence the activities of membrane proteins (Phillips et al., 2009) was largely put aside (see discussion in Finol-Urdaneta et al., 2010).

A recent finding from Andersen’s group (Rusinova et al., 2011), that initially may seem tangential, is, on closer inspection, entirely germane to this issue: membrane-fluidizing insulin receptor sensitizers (insulin receptors are G-proteins) at clinically used levels cause “off-target” left shift of Nav channel gating. In effect, Nav left shift due to increased bilayer fluidity is such a robust phenomenon that it can be used as a bioassay for side effects! This, these authors point out, “underscores the importance of exploring bilayer effects of candidate drugs early in drug development.” Framed in similar terms, we stress here the need to establish experimentally whether bilayer damage in sick excitable cells constitutes a “membrane-fluidizing Nav-antagonist sensitizer” for Nav channels. If so, it may be possible to modify antagonists to operate optimally (thus more selectively) on Nav channels in damaged membranes: this would be a “silver bullet” scenario – a “bullet” targeting only the “the bad guys.” MacKinnon and colleagues (Schmidt and MacKinnon, 2008) among others (Lee and MacKinnon, 2004; Milescu et al., 2009, see Box 1) reported such an case for an amphiphilic voltage sensor toxin that targets Kv channels in damaged but not intact membrane (described in Morris, 2011a,b,c).

If lipophilic Nav antagonists can be fine-tuned for maximal efficacy in precisely the pathologically fluidized bilayers where they are most needed, the hoped-for result would be: hyper-accumulation of a drug that shows ultra-Na-affinity in “sick” high entropy bilayers (see Table 1). Given the diversity of Nav channel
isoforms and the multitude of bilayer-structural features that differentiate intact from damaged Nav-rich membranes, silver bullet antagonists might be possible.

High throughput recombinant channel techniques make superb preliminary drug screens and could be adapted for first round studies of damaged Nav-bearing membrane. But to design optimally neuroprotective Nav agents for sick axons, the “retro” approach of the node of Ranvier vaseline-gap voltage clamp (Box 2) would be better.

CONCLUDING OVERVIEW

In diverse sick excitable cells, Nav channel leak causes hyperexcitability and excitotoxicity-induced cell demise (Table 1). Pharmacological mitigation is poor and side effects too severe (Waxman, 2008). There is uncertainty about the nature and subcellular location of the offending Nav channels and how they are accessed by clinically promising lipophilic antagonists. We argue that for pathologies featuring membrane damage, Nav channel operation, and antagonist binding in damaged versus intact membranes will be pivotal.

Native-Nav channel kinetics can differ appreciably from kinetics for the same isoform expressed in HEK cells or oocytes (Tabarcean et al., 1999; Fu et al., 2011). The sick-Nav/sick-bilayer hypothesis presented here will need testing in native settings. The axolemma of a Xenopus sciatic nerve axon would, for instance, more closely resemble a human myelinated axon than does the plasma membrane of an undifferentiated human embryonic kidney cell. Too little is known about how, in cell-specific bilayer environments, fast and slow-gating native-Nav channels (plus whatever protein partners may accompany them) respond to sick-cell type membrane damage and then to lipophilic inhibitors. To illustrate how $I_{Na}$-hysteresis data (from sawtooth ramp clamp of nodes of Ranvier) could facilitate the concurrent study of fast and slow mode $I_{Na}$ during damage and antagonist application, we simulated $I_{Na}$ for various ramped voltage stimuli.

Our global hypothesis about sick excitable cells posits that the primary drug target for lipophilic antagonists is not “axon Nav channels” or “cardiac channels” or “skeletal muscle Nav channels” but sick-bilayer Nav channels. Ignoring the physico-chemical consequences of bilayer damage could result in sub-optimal protective antagonists for sick excitable cells. Information on native-Nav/native-bilayer/Nav-antagonist interactions could underpin the design of safer neuroprotective drugs, i.e., ones that could be administered at low enough systemic concentrations to generate few side effects, while selectively accumulating in the high entropy bilayer locale of leaky Nav channels.
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