Progression of aortic stenosis after an acute myocardial infarction
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ABSTRACT

Background Myocardial infarction (MI) has been shown to induce fibrotic remodelling of the mitral and tricuspid valves. It is unknown whether MI also induces pathological remodelling of the aortic valve and alters aortic stenosis (AS) progression. We thus compared AS progression after an acute MI and in patients with/without history of MI, and assessed post-MI pathological changes within the aortic valve leaflets in a sheep model.

Methods Serial echocardiograms in human patients with AS were retrospectively analysed and compared between 3 groups: (1) acute MI at baseline (n=68), (2) prior history of MI (n=45) and (3) controls without MI (n=101). Annualised progression rates of AS severity were compared between these 3 groups. In addition, aortic valves were harvested from 15 sheep: (1) induced inferior MI (n=10) and (2) controls without MI (n=5), for biological and histological analyses.

Results In humans, the acute MI, previous MI and control groups had comparable baseline AS severity. Indexed aortic valve area (AVA) declined faster in the acute MI group compared with controls (−0.07±0.06 vs −0.04±0.04 cm²/m²/year; p=0.004). After adjustment, acute MI status was significantly associated with faster AVA progression (mean difference: −0.013 (95% CI −0.023 to −0.003) cm²/m²/year, p=0.008). In the post-MI experimental animal model, aortic valve thickness and qualitative/quantitative expression of collagen were significantly increased compared with controls.

Conclusions The results of this study suggest that AS progression is accelerated following acute MI, which could be caused by increased collagen production and thickening of the aortic valve after the ischaemic event.

INTRODUCTION

Aortic stenosis (AS) affects 2%–5% of the population >75 years old.1 2 Factors influencing AS progression remain poorly understood. Age, bicuspid valve, chronic kidney disease and advanced stenosis severity have been associated with faster progression.3 There is a strong epidemiological association linking AS and coronary artery disease (CAD). Large populational studies have shown that aortic sclerosis and calcification are correlated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events, while CAD has been associated with an increased prevalence of AS.4–8 AS pathophysiology shares similarities with atherosclerosis, with alteration of endothelial function, lipid metabolism and inflammation.9 Yet, there are mechanistic differences between these entities, with enhanced calcification processes and greater fibrotic remodelling in AS.3 10 Also, treatments known to affect atherosclerosis (eg, statins) are ineffective in AS.11 Recent studies suggest that an ischaemic event can impact valvular biology. It has been demonstrated that myocardial infarction (MI) induces pathological remodelling of the mitral valve in experimental models.12 MI was related to increased mitral valve thickness and mitral regurgitation in a clinical observational study.13 Similar changes were also noted in tricuspid valve tissue.14 However, the role of MI on aortic valve remodelling and AS progression has never been studied.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
⇒ The association between coronary artery disease and aortic stenosis has been inconsistent, while myocardial infarction has been shown to induce pathological changes within the mitral and tricuspid valve leaflets.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
⇒ This study demonstrated an accelerated progression of aortic stenosis in the period following an acute myocardial infarction, which could be possibly related to adverse pathological changes observed in the aortic valve leaflets of experimental animal models.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE AND/OR POLICY
⇒ These findings suggest that patients with aortic stenosis who suffer from a myocardial infarction may exhibit faster valvular disease progression and may require closer follow-up.
⇒ Moreover, the association between myocardial infarction and aortic leaflet changes in our experimental model could lead to the identification of new pathophysiological pathways implied in the progression of aortic stenosis.
We hypothesised that MI promotes pathological aortic valve remodelling and accelerates AS progression. Our goal was to retrospectively assess the impact of an acute MI on AS progression in patients followed with serial echocardiograms. In a related large animal experimental study, aortic valve thickness and collagen expression/quantification were assessed post-MI and compared with controls.

METHODS

We performed a retrospective cohort analysis of patients with AS at the Quebec Heart and Lung Institute (2005–2020). Patients were identified by keyword search through medical records. Inclusion required a diagnosis of AS (aortic peak jet velocity (Vpeak) ≥2 m/s, mean aortic gradient (MG) ≥20 mm Hg or aortic valve area (AVA) ≤1.5 cm²), with or without history of MI (defined by ST changes, elevated troponins, wall motion abnormality in ≥2 contiguous segments on echocardiogram and coronary obstruction on angiography). At least two echocardiographic examinations performed 1–2 years apart were required. Patients were divided into three groups according to the presence and timing of MI: (1) acute MI (baseline examination ≤1 week from the index event); (2) previous MI (MI ≥2.5 years before the baseline echocardiogram) and (3) control patients without MI (online supplemental figure I). In the acute MI group, we collected data from subsequent echocardiograms (≥6 months after the first follow-up study post-MI) to compare progression of AS early versus late after MI, as well as available echocardiograms performed ≥6 months prior to the MI to compare pre-MI progression rate of AS. Maximal troponin level during MI was collected through review of medical records. Patients without obstructive CAD as the cause of their MI or with a history of rheumatic fever, valve surgery, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or chest radiation were excluded. Patients and public were not involved in the accomplishment of this project.

Echocardiography

Echocardiograms were reviewed by a cardiologist blinded to the patients’ group. The left ventricular outflow tract velocity-time integral (VTI) and aortic valve gradients were measured separately, in a blinded fashion, to avoid group recognition by assessment of the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). AS measurements included MG, Vpeak, VTI ratio, left ventricular ejection time (LVET) and AVA calculation by the continuity equation, according to guidelines.15 Annual progression rate of AS parameters was calculated by the difference between follow-up and baseline measurements, divided by the time elapsed between studies. Stroke volume was calculated using left ventricular outflow track diameter and VTI, indexed to body surface area (SVi). Low flow was determined as an indexed stroke volume <35 mL/m². Transvalvular flow rate was calculated by division of the stroke volume with the LVET. LVEF was computed using the Simpson method.16

Ancillary animal study

As part of a parallel study, MI was induced in 10 adult Dorsett hybrid sheep (50% female, >35 kg) under general anaesthesia, by left thoracotomy, and ligation of the second and third marginals. An additional five animals of the same age and weight were used as controls. The animals were sacrificed 10 days later, and their aortic valves were harvested. All harvested valves were included in the analysis. Collagen type 1 α1 (COL1A1) and its expression were quantified in aortic leaflets using western blot analysis (quantitative comparison with extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2)) and quantitative realtime PCR. Transversal sections of the aortic valves were obtained, and Masson trichrome staining was performed for overall morphology. Leaflet thickness was averaged after the measurement of the 10 thickest areas of the leaflets. Detailed descriptions of the experimental methods are presented in the online supplemental methods.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data were assessed for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and presented as mean±SD or median (IQR) accordingly. Differences between the three groups of patients were tested with the global Fisher’s test (analysis of variance), with Tukey method for multiple comparisons. Categorical data are presented as proportions and were compared with the Pearson’s χ² or Fisher’s exact tests when appropriate. Different troponin assays were compared using the ratio of the maximal value divided by its upper normal limit (ULN). Data regarding bicuspid valve morphology were missing for two individuals and was replaced with mean imputation. Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were performed comparing the acute MI, previous MI and control groups, to evaluate the association between MI status and the annual progression rates of AVA indexed to body surface area (AVAi), AVA, VTI ratio, MG and Vpeak. Models were adjusted for known AS risk factors (age, sex, smoking history, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and chronic kidney disease) and potential confounders for disease progression (bicuspid valve, annual change in SV, baseline AS severity, medication). Regression coefficients are presented as mean differences (MD) with their 95% CIs. A paired analysis was conducted to compare progression of AS severity parameters in the acute MI group at early versus late follow-up times post-MI. The association was tested with a Wilcoxon signed rank test given the low number of pairs. Intra-observer and inter-observer variabilities were evaluated on AVA measurement using a mixed two-way intraclass correlation coefficient, with the respective results of 0.93 and 0.87. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analyses were performed using the JMP software V.14.
RESULTS

The acute MI, previous MI and control groups included respectively 68, 45 and 101 patients, with 40%, 13% and 46% of women (p<0.01, table 1). The acute MI and previous MI groups were similar in most of their baseline characteristics, except for a higher prevalence of angiotensin II receptor blocker in the latter (p=0.005). When compared to patients with acute MI, controls had a lower prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, diabetes and chronic kidney disease, all p<0.05). Thirty-four per cent of them had a history of CAD (coronary stenosis or ischaemia without MI), and fewer patients were on antihypertensive medication and statins (p<0.05). Prevalence of bicuspid aortic valve was similar between groups (p=0.68).

Baseline echocardiographic measurements are presented in table 1 (follow-up measurements can be found in the online supplemental table I). Mean duration time up to the early follow-up was 1.35±0.58, 1.61±0.56 and 1.61±0.61 years for the acute MI, previous MI and control groups, respectively (shorter duration for the acute MI group, p=0.01). Baseline AVAi, AVA, VTI ratio and MG were comparable between groups. V peak, LVEF and SVi were lower in the acute MI group at baseline (p<0.05), with a mean LVEF of 50.0%±10.6%.

Comparison of progression rates between groups

The acute MI group showed faster annual reduction in AVAi when compared with the control group (−0.068±0.063 vs −0.042±0.039 cm²/m²/year, p=0.004,
Faster AS progression in the acute MI group was also supported by the annual changes in AVAi and VTI ratio (table 2). There was a numerical but not statistically significant increase in the progression rates of the MG and $V_{\text{peak}}$ in the acute MI group. AS progression rates were similar between the previous MI and control groups.

LVEF improved or remained stable for most patients in the acute MI group, while it did not significantly change in the other groups. In the acute MI group, AVAi progression rates were similar ($p>0.15$) for patients who increased (n=26) vs patients who decreased their $SV_{i}$ at follow-up (n=42), whereas MG and $V_{\text{peak}}$ progressed faster in patients who increased their $SV_{i}$ (MG: 4.82±0.74 vs 1.4±0.94 mm Hg/year, $p=0.006$; $V_{\text{peak}}$: 0.35±0.37 vs 0.13±0.43 m/s/year, $p=0.03$). Patients who had low flow at follow-up did not show a faster decline in AVAi compared with patients with normal flow ($p=0.34$). Patients with higher ratio of maximal troponin to ULN (>median) versus lower ratio ($\leq$median) did not exhibit different rates of AS progression for all parameters ($p>0.21$).

Univariate linear regression analysis showed a significant association between the acute MI status and faster deterioration in AVAi, AVA, VTI ratio and $V_{\text{peak}}$ (table 3). After comprehensive adjustment, acute MI status and baseline AVA were the only two factors associated with a faster reduction in AVAi, AVA and VTI ratio (table 3 and online supplemental table II). There was no statistically significant association between the previous MI status and progression of AS severity parameters. The aforementioned associations remained consistent using different multivariate models (online supplemental table II). Sex did not have a statistically significant impact on AS progression.

**Pre-MI, early and late post-MI progression rates in the acute MI group**

Fourteen out of the 68 patients in the acute MI group had an available imaging study prior to MI. Mean AVAi progression rate was then $-0.048\pm0.078 \text{cm}^2/\text{m}^2/\text{year}$. Twenty-eight patients in the acute MI group had a second follow-up echocardiogram available (late post-MI evaluation). Other patients in this group either underwent aortic valve replacement, died or did not have a follow-up echocardiography at our centre during the required time frame (online supplemental table III). Mean follow-up duration from early follow-up to late follow-up examination was 2.65±1.36 years (3.97±1.52 years from baseline). Comparison of early and late post-MI annual AS progression rates is shown in table 4, figure 2 and online supplemental figure II. All AS severity parameters showed slower progression rates at late follow-up post-MI when compared with early follow-up time ($p<0.05$).

**Experimental animal study**

The relative expression of COL1A1 RNA was significantly increased in the aortic valves harvested 10 days post-MI (n=10) vs controls (n=5), (1.70±0.40 vs 1.00±0.38 fold change, $p=0.042$, figure 3). Western blot analysis confirmed increased collagen content in post-MI models versus controls (2.78±0.59 vs 1.77±0.57 background)

---

**Table 2** Annual progression rate of aortic stenosis severity parameters

| Parameters | Acute MI n=68 | Previous MI n=45 | Control n=101 | P value |
|------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------|
| AVAi (cm²/m²/year) | $-0.068\pm0.063^*$ | $-0.048\pm0.053$ | $-0.042\pm0.039^*$ | 0.004 |
| AVA (cm²/year) | $-0.125\pm0.116^*$ | $-0.087\pm0.093$ | $-0.078\pm0.074^*$ | 0.005 |
| VTI ratio (/year) | $-0.032\pm0.028^*$ | $-0.022\pm0.024$ | $-0.022\pm0.021^*$ | 0.015 |
| MG (mm Hg/year) | $+3.5\pm5.0$ | $+2.7\pm4.4$ | $+2.7\pm4.4$ | 0.44 |
| $V_{\text{peak}}$ (m/s/year) | $+0.27\pm0.40$ | $+0.20\pm0.30$ | $+0.16\pm0.28$ | 0.11 |
| LVEF (%) | $+2.5\pm1.6$ | $+0.1\pm5.3$ | $-0.4\pm5.8$ | 0.07 |
| SVi (mL/m²/year) | $+2.62\pm2.77^\dagger$ | $-0.87\pm3.31^\dagger$ | $-0.01\pm3.92^*$ | 0.0005 |
| LVET (s/year) | $+0.022\pm0.040^\dagger$ | $-0.003\pm0.020$ | $+0.006\pm0.026^\dagger$ | <0.001 |

*P<0.05 for comparison acute MI versus control groups.
†P<0.05 for comparison acute versus previous MI groups.

AVA, aortic valve area; AVAi, indexed aortic valve area; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVET, left ventricular ejection time; MG, mean aortic gradient; MI, myocardial infarction; SVi, indexed stroke volume; $V_{\text{peak}}$, aortic peak jet velocity; VTI, velocity-time integral.
Valvular heart disease

Corrected signal density, p=0.038). Histological analysis revealed increased aortic leaflet thickness in post-MI valves versus controls (1.27±0.48 vs 0.72±0.25 mm, p=0.02).

**DISCUSSION**

These results suggest faster decline of AVAi, AVA and VTI ratio following an acute MI compared with patients with a remote history of MI or without MI. This association remained significant after adjustment for baseline factors associated with AS prevalence and progression. The early versus late progression analysis in the acute MI group suggests that this accelerated progression might be transient, with a return to progression rates similar to controls few years after MI. The large animal experiments indicate increased collagen production in the days following the MI, suggesting active valvular remodeling following the MI.

There was a numerically faster progression of MG and Vpeak early after MI, however without statistical significance. Most AS quantification parameters are dependent on flow, which can evolve following MI (systolic dysfunction and/or associated therapy). The MG and Vpeak are directly related to valvular flow, while VTI ratio and AVA (continuity equation) are less impacted by correcting for prevalvular flow. The faster decline of AVAi in the acute MI group was observed despite a significant increase of the mean SVi at follow-up. This decline was similar in patients who increased or decreased their SVi in time, and in those who had low flow at follow-up.

The difference observed in AVAi progression rates between groups was quantitatively small but expected and consistent with previous reported data. Moreover, the present study is the first to describe the impact of an acute ischaemic event on AS progression. We included a second comparative group of patients with a remote history of MI as an attempt to balance for different risk factor profiles between acute MI and control patients. Similarities between the previous patients with MI and the controls suggest that acute MI could be by itself a trigger for AS progression. The subgroup of patients with serial observations early and late after MI supports this idea: annual changes of AS parameters were about twice as fast in the period closer to the MI than the period occurring later. This difference in time could not be explained by changes in left systolic function or SVi. Considering that AS usually evolves faster as it becomes more severe, the observed deceleration in AS progression late after MI underlines the significance of the acceleration happening shortly after the ischaemic event. Pre-MI

| Parameters | Early MI | Late MI | P value | Early MI | Late MI | P value |
|------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| AVAi (cm²/m²/year) | +0.05 (0.00 to 0.11) | 0.036 | | +0.03 (−0.03 to 0.09) | 0.35 |
| AVA (cm²/year) | +0.44 (−0.28 to 1.15) | 0.23 | | − | − |
| VTI ratio (/year) | −0.005 (−0.009 to −0.002) | 0.006 | | −0.006 (−0.010 to −0.001) | 0.0092 |
| MG (mm Hg/year) | +0.024 (−0.38 to −0.009) | 0.002 | | −0.023 (−0.041 to −0.006) | 0.0086 |
| Vpeak (m/s/year) | −0.013 (−0.021 to −0.005) | 0.001 | | −0.013 (−0.023 to −0.003) | 0.0076 |

*Adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, bicuspid aortic valve, smoking history, statin, baseline echocardiographic value of the analysed measurement and annual change in indexed stroke volume. AVAi, indexed aortic valve area; AVA, aortic valve area; MG, mean aortic gradient; MI, myocardial infarction; Vpeak, aortic peak jet velocity; VTI, velocity-time integral.

| Parameters | Early progression n=28 | Late progression n=28 | MD (95% CI) | P value |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| AVAi (cm²/m²/year) | −0.070±0.051 | −0.030±0.038 | +0.039 (0.019 to 0.059) | 0.0001 |
| AVA (cm²/year) | −0.130±0.098 | −0.058±0.074 | +0.072 (0.033 to 0.110) | 0.0002 |
| VTI ratio (/year) | −0.035±0.025 | −0.015±0.019 | +0.019 (0.009 to 0.030) | 0.0002 |
| MG (mm Hg/year) | +3.1±3.9 | +1.5±3.1 | −1.6 (−3.5 to 0.3) | 0.043 |
| Vpeak (m/s/year) | +0.28±0.38 | +0.09±0.25 | −0.19 (−0.36 to 0.02) | 0.038 |
| SVi (mL/m²/year) | +3.72±6.67 | −0.78±3.26 | −4.50 (−7.45 to −1.56) | 0.0004 |

AVA, aortic valve area; AVAi, indexed aortic valve area; MD, mean difference; MG, mean aortic gradient; MI, myocardial infarction; Vpeak, aortic peak jet velocity; VTI, velocity-time integral.
imaging data were available in only a small number of patients but revealed AS progression rates numerically comparable to the previous MI and control groups.

The idea of valvular changes induced by the MI is also supported by our experimental model, showing post-MI aortic valve changes, with increased thickness and increased collagen production. This phenomenon can contribute to the clinically detected progression in AS. This is consistent with previous data showing altered valvular biology post-MI in the mitral and tricuspid valves. These changes and those observed in the aortic valve likely share a common mechanistic explanation, whose origin is still under exploration. Neurohumoral activation including renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system has been identified as a potential element explaining the changes in valve biology after MI. The progression of AS is complex, with numerous distinct mechanisms involved in its initiation, progression, development of fibrosis and calcification. The animal study suggests MI-associated stimulation of fibrotic pathways in an initially normal valve. While calcification was not observed, longer follow-up duration would likely be necessary to explore this component. The clinical retrospective study shows accelerated progression in patients with abnormal valve at baseline—the distinction between fibrotic remodelling and/or accelerated calcification could not be explored with echocardiography, and future studies involving serial measurement of aortic valve calcium with CT could help to better characterise the mechanisms (fibrosis and/or calcification) involved in AS progression.

Limitations
This was a retrospective cohort study of echocardiograms performed in a clinical setting. Therefore, efforts to reach the maximal Doppler signal may have varied between individuals and in time. While risk factor profiles differed between study groups, a subset of the acute MI group was followed serially (pre-MI, early and late post-MI) and showed similar results to the entire cohort analysis. The early and late post-MI periods were arbitrarily determined and, thus, the exact timing and duration of accelerated AS progression post-MI could not be determined based on the current data. The variation of MG and V_{peak} did not reach statistical significance, possibly related to a lack in power. Similarly, we could not demonstrate a dose-response relationship between the severity of MI (as determined by troponin elevation) and AS progression rate, possibly related to a lack in power, presence of multiple troponin assays and different timing of measures after MI; those factors are inherently related to the retrospective design of our study. Also, our cohort included mostly patients with mild-to-moderate AS. It is known that for comparable changes in AVA, the MG and V_{peak} increase more when AVA is severely reduced than at milder stages of the disease. Prospective validation in a larger cohort of patients would provide support for those findings and better define their clinical impact. Our large animal experimental model did not have AS at baseline, and the observed changes at 10 days did not impact valvular
function. Longer animal studies are needed to confirm the development of AS and demonstrate the mechanisms of post-MI changes in the aortic valve.

CONCLUSION

This work suggests that patients with AS who suffer from an acute MI may be at increased risk of faster progression of aortic valve disease in the year(s) following the ischaemic event. Closer follow-up of these patients could be indicated. Prospective studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis and to evaluate if these patients reach indication for aortic valve replacement sooner. Moreover, a better understanding of the pathophysiological pathways involved in AS progression following MI may lead to identification of new potential pharmacotherapeutic targets.

Twitter Philippe Pibarot @ppibarot

Contributors AP contributed to the design of the study, collected the data, carried out the analyses and drafted, reviewed and revised the manuscript. OM realised the animal experimental study, collected the data and participated in manuscript revision. VD participated in the experimental study and data collection. M-AC supervised the animal experiments and participated in critical review of the manuscript. CR assisted in data collection. MC assisted in data presentation. DR and SH participated in critical review of the manuscript. EA, RAL and PP participated as senior collaborators in critical review of the manuscript. JB conceptualised and designed the study, supervised human and animal data collection, critically revised the manuscript and is the guarantor. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding AP is recipient of a scholarship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and of the Fond de recherche du Québec–Santé grant for Specialty Medicine Residents with an Interest in Pursuing a Research Career (Canada). M-AC received a New National Investigator award from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada and an Early Career Investigator award from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (Canada). JB is funded by the Fonds de Recherche Québec–Santé (Canada). His work is funded by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (grant MOP-12332) and the Fondation de l’institut universitaire de cardiologie et de pneumologie de Quebec (Canada). This work is supported by National Institute of Health grants R01 HL147095 (EA), R01 HL136431 (EA) and R01 HL141917 (RAL, EA) (USA).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Laval University Animal Protection Committee according to the recommendations from the Canadian Council on Laboratory Animal Care. Patient informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs
Amélie Paquin http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2034-4196
Elena Aikawa http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7835-2135
Philippe Pibarot http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3607-279X

REFERENCES

1. Nikome VT, Gardin JM, Skelton TN, et al. Burden of valvular heart disease: a population-based study. Lancet 2006;368:1005–11.
2. Thaden JJ, Nikome VT, Enriquez-Sarano M. The global burden of aortic stenosis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2014;56:565–71.
3. Lindman BR, Clavel M-A, Mathieu P, et al. Calcific aortic stenosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16006.
4. Otto CM, Lind BK, Kitzman DW, et al. Association of aortic-valve sclerosis with cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in the elderly. N Engl J Med 1999;341:142–7.
5. Olsen MH, Wachtell K, Bella JN, et al. Aortic valve sclerosis relates to cardiovascular events in patients with hypertension (a LIFE substudy). Am J Cardiol 2005;95:132–6.
6. Owens DS, Katz R, Takasu J, et al. Incidence and progression of aortic valve calcium in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Am J Cardiol 2010;105:701–8.
7. Kälsch H, Lehmann N, Mahabadi AA, et al. Beyond Framingham risk factors and coronary calcification: does aortic valve calcification improve risk prediction? the Heinz Nixdorf recall study. Heart 2014;100:930–7.
8. Stewart BF, Silicovich D, Lind BK, et al. Clinical factors associated with calcific aortic valve disease, cardiovascular health study. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:630–40.
9. Parolari A, Loardi C, Mussoni L, et al. Nonrheumatic calcific aortic stenosis: an overview from basic science to pharmacological prevention. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2000;35:493–504.
10. Blaser MC, Bufollo F, Halu A. Conserved and divergent modulation of calcification in atherosclerosis and aortic valve disease by tissue extracellular vesicles. bioRxiv 2020.
11. Rossebo AB, Pedersen TR, Boman K, et al. Intensive lipid lowering with simvastatin and ezetimibe in aortic stenosis. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1343–56.
12. Dal-Bianco JP, Aikawa E, Bischoff J, et al. Myocardial Infarction Alters Adaptation of the Tethered Mitral Valve. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67:275–87.
13. Beaudoin J, Dal-Bianco JP, Aikawa E, et al. Mitral leaflet changes following myocardial infarction: clinical evidence for maladaptive valvular remodeling. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;10.
14. Marsit O, Clavel M-A, Côté-Laroche C, et al. Attenuated mitral leaflet enlargement contributes to functional mitral regurgitation after myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75:395–405.
15. Baumgartner H, Hung J, Bermejo J, et al. Recommendations on the echocardiographic assessment of aortic valve stenosis: a focused update from the European association of cardiovascular imaging and the American Society of echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2017;30:372–92.
16. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28:1–39.
17. Varmavikoudou A, Jin W, Danylenko O, et al. Impact of Pre-Intervention Transaortic flow rate versus stroke volume index on mortality across the hemodynamic spectrum of severe aortic stenosis: implications for a new hemodynamic classification of aortic stenosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;12:205–6.
18. Otto CM, Burwash IG, Leggett ME, et al. Prospective study of asymptomatic valvular aortic stenosis. Clinical, echocardiographic, and exercise predictors of outcome. Circulation 1997;95:2262–70.
19. Bartko PE, Dal-Bianco JP, Guerrero JL, et al. Effect of losartan on mitral valve changes after myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;70:1232–44.
20. Minners J, Allgeier M, Gohlke-Baerwolf C, et al. Inconsistencies of echocardiographic criteria for the grading of aortic valve stenosis. Eur Heart J 2008;29:1043–8.