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Abstract

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract, and are characterized by a broad spectrum of clinical, histological and molecular features at presentation. Although focal and scattered calcifications are not uncommon within the primary tumor mass, heavy calcification within a GIST is rarely described in the literature and the clinical-biological meaning of this feature remains unclear. Cases with such an atypical presentation are challenging and may be associated with diagnostic pitfalls. Herein, we report a gastric GIST with the unusual presentation of prominent calcifications that was identified incidentally on imaging during a post-trauma diagnostic work-up. The patient underwent laparoscopic surgery with a radical resection of the mass, which was subsequently characterized by histological analysis as spindle-shaped tumor cells, positive for CD117/c-KIT, CD34 and DOG1, and with calcified areas. Given the intermediate risk of recurrence, no adjuvant therapy was recommended and...
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common type of mesenchymal neoplasm of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), where they account for roughly 0.1% to 3.0% of all tumors[1]. GISTs were only recently recognized as distinct clinicopathologic entities. Postulated to arise from the interstitial cells of Cajal throughout the entire GIT, the reported GISTs have mainly involved the stomach (60%–70%), with involvement of the small intestine (20%–30%), colon and rectum (5%) and esophagus (< 1%) in fewer cases[2]. When studied by imaging, a GIST typically appears as a large, well-defined soft tissue mass with heterogeneous enhancement, reflecting the frequent occurrence of hemorrhagic necrosis and cystic degeneration within.

Although the majority of GISTs present as localized masses amenable to radical surgical resection, at least 50% of them recur locally or spread diffusely throughout the peritoneum and/or the liver, and the malignant potential of GIST varies greatly. Hence, determining the risk of disease recurrence, as assessed by available risk-stratification tools, is helpful in identifying patients most likely to benefit from an adjuvant treatment[6-8]. Size, mitotic activity and primary tumor size are the most reliable predictive factors for risk of relapse after complete surgery.

The discovery that virtually all GISTs stain positively for c-KIT and/or DOG1 has facilitated their differential diagnosis from other mesenchymal tumors (i.e., leiomyoma, leiomyosarcoma)[3,4]. Due to their slow-growing behavior, GISTs remain asymptomatic for a long period, having in some cases reached a huge size by the time of diagnosis. The most frequently reported symptoms are vague, depending on tumor size and location, and include nausea, vomiting, abdominal discomfort and early satiety; however, an acute abdomen caused by tumor rupture into the peritoneal cavity or gastrointestinal obstruction may represent the first dramatic presentation of a GIST[5].

Case Report

An otherwise healthy 60-year-old woman was admitted to the emergency department with complaint of right-sided chest pain following a car accident in September 2014. The patient was hemodynamically stable and, with the exception of tenderness on the right hemithorax, had unremarkable findings upon physical examination. A total body contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan was promptly performed and revealed a 7th and 10th right rib fracture, without signs of visceral injury.

Surprisingly, a large mass, measuring 6.5 cm, arising from the external wall of the gastric antrum and projecting into the abdominal cavity, was incidentally identified on imaging (Figure 1). The mass appeared hyperdense, well-circumscribed, heterogeneously enhanced and with prominent calcifications within; there was neither evidence nor loco-regional nor of distant spread. Hence, laparoscopic surgery was ordered to perform a radical resection of the mass. Gross pathology examination of the resected specimen showed it to be exophytic, lobulated, yellowish-gray and extensively calcified, with necrotic-cystic zones measuring 9.3 cm × 5.5 cm (Figure 2).

Microscopic pathology examination revealed a population of spindle-shaped tumor cells (Figure 3A and B) and calcified areas (Figure 3C and D), with positive

**Key words:** Gastrointestinal stromal tumor; Calcification; Stomach; Atypical presentation; Computed tomography

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.
staining for CD117/c-KIT, CD34 and DOG1 (Figure 3E). In addition, Ki67-MIB1 immunostaining indicated a low proliferative rate (count rate < 1%) (Figure 3E). Molecular testing identified the c-KIT gene as wild-type but the PDGFRα gene as mutated (an exon 18 point mutation). The mass was diagnosed accordingly as GIST of gastric origin.

Recovery from the surgical resection was uneventful and prompt. Based on the estimated intermediate risk of recurrence, made according to the modified National Institutes of Health Criteria \( ^{[15]} \) (gastric site, size of 5-10 cm, mitosis count of < 5 per 50 high power fields, no tumor rupture), only regular follow-up was recommended. To date, the patient has been followed-up for 22 mo and shown no evidence of relapse.

**DISCUSSION**

Circumscribed patchy calcifications may occur within the primary mass of large GISTs, and the reported series have indicated a wide variability among these (10% to 50%) \( ^{[16]} \). Contrariwise, coarse and extensive calcifications represent an uncommon radiological finding, and only a few cases of these have been reported in the literature \( ^{[17-23]} \). Indeed, our search of the MEDLINE/PubMed database identified only 8 cases of extensively calcified GISTs (Table 1).

From a clinical standpoint, when facing a calcified intra-abdominal juxta-gastric mass, various differential diagnoses should be ruled out. For example, diffuse and punctate calcifications may accompany mucin-producing adenocarcinoma of the stomach \( ^{[24,25]} \). In the pancreas, solid pseudopapillary tumors and mucinous cystic neoplasms may develop peripheral curvilinear wall calcification, whereas serous cystadenomas may have central calcification within the central fibrous scar \( ^{[26]} \). Finally, an ingested foreign body in the stomach may mimic gastric calcification.

From a pathogenetic standpoint, several mechanisms have been implicated in calcific deposition. The most acknowledged process is dystrophic calcification, which typically involves degenerated tissues that are characterized by necrosis, inflammation and hemorrhage, as frequently occurs in GISTs. In such a relatively alkaline background, the binding of denatured proteins to both phosphate and calcium ions is ultimately responsible for the formation of calcium phosphate precipitates. On the other hand, the diffuse punctate calcification pattern that is typical of mucin-forming gastric adenocarcinomas seems to be linked instead to calcium salt precipitates with glycoprotein in an alkaline matrix \( ^{[27]} \). Metastatic calcifications occurring in the context of malignant hypercalcemia, as well as granulomatous tissue engulfed by the tumor, represent rarer causes of calcification. More recently, a unique case of osseous metaplasia with mature bone formation was described in a newly diagnosed gastric GIST \( ^{[28]} \).

Among the proposed theories, however, the production of multiple cell mediators-such as PDGF, the receptor of which is frequently mutated in GISTs, and the bone morphogenetic protein, which is produced by pluripotent stromal cells committed towards the osteoblastic lineage-are thought to play a crucial role in the regulation of ectopic bone formation \( ^{[28]} \). Intriguingly, several clinical reports have demonstrated the appearance of calcification in metastatic sites of GIST following treatment with imatinib \( ^{[29]} \). The underlying mechanism, which is still far from being fully elucidated, is likely to be different from that occurring in the primary mass, as is suggested by the absence of inflammation or necrosis in the specimen obtained from liver metastasis \( ^{[30]} \). It is also interesting that this morphologic change has been hypothesized as predictive of tumor response. Finally, examples of osseous differentiation have also been observed in cases of treated GIST, suggesting that under imatinib suppression, GIST cells may become able to mediate the cell cycle and to express a gene signature associated with other differentiated cell phenotypes, thereby providing a mechanism of acquired drug resistance \( ^{[31]} \).

Following early evidence that indicated solitary calcification could be an aggressive feature \( ^{[32]} \), researchers continue to investigate the potential relevance of calcification in predicting the malignant potential of GISTs. However, a study by Kim et al \( ^{[33]} \) found no CT features, other than size, to be correlated with biological behavior and prognosis of GIST. This finding was confirmed very recently by Chen et al.

**Figure 1** Axial contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan showing a well-defined heterogeneously enhanced gastric mass with coarse and diffuse calcifications.

**Figure 2** Macroscopic presentation of the resected mass, consisting of an exophytic and yellowish-gray tumor.
al[34]; specifically, that retrospective analysis of 110 patients who underwent endoscopic ultrasonography identified tumor size as an independent risk factor for malignancy ($P \leq 0.0001$) but not for calcification ($P = 0.667$).

Although no conclusions can be drawn regarding the predictive value of calcification in GIST because of the limited cases reported and the retrospective nature of the analyses, heavily calcified tumors appear to have a less aggressive course, as shown by their low mitotic rate (Table 1). With regard to our case, a relatively indolent behavior and the alleged long history of disease probably contributed to the development of calcification.

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, we have presented herein the largest (> 9 cm) heavily calcified GIST ever described in the literature. Our case is noteworthy because of the rarity of clinical presentation in general and the unique size at diagnosis for this patient in particular. Finally, our case and review of the literature indicates that a diagnosis of GIST should be considered when large calcified masses are identified in the GIT, and even more so when in the stomach.

**COMMENTS**

**Case characteristics**

An otherwise healthy 60-year-old woman was admitted to the emergency department with complaint of right-sided chest pain following a car accident.

**Clinical diagnosis**

Upon admission, the patient was hemodynamically stable and only showed tenderness on the right hemithorax upon physical examination, the findings of which were otherwise unremarkable.

**Differential diagnosis**

Mucin-producing adenocarcinoma of the stomach; pancreatic solid pseudo-
Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), where they account for roughly 0.1% to 3.0% of all tumors. GISTs were only recently recognized as distinct clinicopathologic entities, postulated to arise from the interstitial cells of Cajal throughout the GIT, and even more so when in the stomach.

**Laboratory diagnosis**

All laboratory findings were within normal limits.

**Imaging diagnosis**

In addition to a 7th and 10th right rib fracture, computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a large mass, measuring 6.5 cm, arising from the external wall of gastric antrum and projecting into the abdominal cavity.

**Pathological diagnosis**

Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) of gastric origin.

**Treatment**

Complete laparoscopic surgical excision of the mass.

**Related reports**

Circumscribed patchy calcifications may occur within the primary mass of large GISTs, and the reported series have indicated a wide variability among these (10% to 50%). Contrariwise, coarse and extensive calcifications represent an uncommon radiological finding, and only a few cases of these have been reported in the literature.

**Term explanation**

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors are the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), where they account for roughly 0.1% to 3.0% of all tumors. GISTs were only recently recognized as distinct clinicopathologic entities, postulated to arise from the interstitial cells of Cajal throughout the entire GIT, the reported GISTs have mainly involved the stomach (60%-70%), with involvement of the small intestine (20%-30%), colon and rectum (5%) and esophagus (< 1%) in fewer cases.

**Experiences and lessons**

The authors’ case is noteworthy because of the rarity of clinical presentation of calcified GISTs and the unique large size of the tumor at diagnosis. A diagnosis of GIST should be considered when large calcified masses are identified in the GIT, and even more so when in the stomach.

**Peer-review**

This article offers an interesting overview of calcified GISTs, discussing the pathophysiology and clinical implication of calcifications in GISTs by reviewing the most up-to-date relevant literature. While the case reported is not the first that describes a massively calcified GIST, the incidental diagnosis and unique large size of the tumor at diagnosis. A diagnosis of GIST should be considered when large calcified masses are identified in the GIT, and even more so when in the stomach.
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**Table 1  Selected features of the reported cases of extensively calcified gastrointestinal stromal tumors**

| Ref.          | Year | Age, sex | Site     | Size (mm) | Mitotic index (HPF) | IHC pattern          | Calcification pattern | Clinical presentation | Risk¹ |
|---------------|------|----------|----------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Izawa et al²⁴  | 2012 | 61 yr, female | Stomach  | 32 × 23 × 23 | < 5/50 | KIT+, CD34+, SMA+, S-100- | Extensively calcified | Epigastric discomfort | Low   |
| Kim et al²⁷   | 2012 | 53 yr, male  | Stomach  | 80 × 75 × 50 | < 5/50 | KIT+, CD34+, SMA+, S-100- | Extensively calcified | Epigastric pain       | Intermediate |
| Yu et al²⁸    | 2011 | 81 yr, female | Stomach  | 60         | < 5/50 | KIT+, CD34+, SMA+, S-100- | Extensively calcified | Semicircular plate   | Intermediate |
| Ong et al³⁴   | 2006 | 94 yr, female | Rectum   | 25 × 15 × 15 | < 5/50 | KIT+, CD34+, SMA+, S-100- | Entirely              | Rectal bleeding       | Low   |
| Yoshida et al³¹ | 2005 | 77 yr, male  | Stomach  | 28 × 26 × 26 | < 5/50 | KIT+, CD34+, vimentin, S-100- | Patchy               | Chest discomfort, loss of consciousness | Low   |
| Testroote et al³¹ | 2007 | 53 yr, male  | Rectum   | 31 × 23 × 18 | < 5/50 | KIT+, CD34+, SMA focally positive | Entirely              | Abdominal pain       | Low   |
| Rana et al³⁶  | 2006 | 62 yr, female | Colon    | 39 × 56     | NA   | KIT+, CD34+ | Multiple shadowing | Abdominal pain       | NA    |

¹Risk is expressed according to the modified NIH classification system³¹. HPF: High-power field; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; N/A: Not applicable.
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