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Diurnal changes in differential sensitivity and temporal resolution in morning-type and evening-type individuals with normal hearing
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Abstract The present study attempts to determine diurnal changes by testing the differential sensitivity and temporal resolution in morning-type, evening-type, and intermediate-type individuals with normal hearing. Thirty participants with normal hearing were divided into morning-type, evening-type, and intermediate-type using "Morningness—Eveningness Questionnaire". The tests of differential sensitivity and temporal resolution were administered to all the participants in the morning and evening, respectively. The differences in the test results between different timing across all the three groups were analyzed. The results of the study showed that there was a significant diurnal effect on psychoacoustic tests as morning-type individuals performed better when tested in morning and evening-type performed better when they were tested in evening. However, there was no diurnal effect found in intermediate group. The lack of inhibitory control and poor cognitive load in off peak time could have lead to poor scores. Thus, the variable of diurnal effects should be considered in further studies on psychoacoustic tests, especially in young adults. Furthermore, studies could be performed to explore diurnal effects on objective audiological tests in a larger group of population in the future.
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Introduction

Diurnal type is defined by chrono-psychologists as the time of the day when an individual is most active. An individual who is 'morning type' (M-type) is more active earlier in the day, while an 'evening type' (E-type) prefers later time of the day. There are indications that M-types can be differed from E-types based on the values they record on certain psychological and physiological variables during morning and evening hours, respectively. One of the self-assessed questionnaires used to determine whether the individual is a morning or evening type is "Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire" developed by Horne and Ostberg. This questionnaire helps to classify individuals into "definite morning", "moderate morning", "intermediate", "moderate evening", and "definite evening", based on the scores obtained. Previous studies have suggested that individuals who are M-type perform tasks better in the morning than in the evening, whereas it is vice versa for E-types individuals. In addition, with respect to self-rated alertness, individuals who are M-type are reported to attain higher values than E-types in the morning, while E-types attain higher values in the evening. Previous studies have reported that subjective alertness shows a significant difference between diurnal types, with M-type individuals peaking in the late morning and E-type individuals in the late afternoon. However, there are few studies that attempted to study the diurnal variations in behavioral and electrophysiological tests in the field of audiology.

There are several studies reported previously that have attempted to assess diurnal changes in N1-P2 and P300 responses in individuals who are M-type and E-type. Huang et al reported that P300 amplitude was higher and latency shorter in the morning for M-type individuals and in the evening for E-type individuals. Huang et al also reported that cognitive function of the individuals varies according to the diurnal changes. Recently, Veneman et al also attempted to determine the effect of measurement time of the day on speech recognition in noises. They reported that younger adults performed significantly better on the speech-in-noise tasks and claimed that significantly less mental demand is required when they were tested at their peak (evening) than off-peak (morning) time of the day. Even though few reports of diurnal effects on behavioral and electrophysiological tests of audiology is published, there is rarely any study performed to determine the diurnal effects on psychoacoustic measures such as differential sensitivity and temporal resolution. In addition, intermediate group has not been considered as a control group for interpreting the results in previous work.

The psychoacoustic tests, such as differential limen for intensity (DLI), differential limen for frequency (DLF), duration discrimination (DD) tasks, and gap detection test (GDT) are used extensively in audiological research. But, the diurnal effects on these psychoacoustic measures haven’t been studied in detail, which would provide a valuable insight whether results vary from M-type to E-type individuals on these tests. This is essential for appropriate interpretation of test results and control the variable, if necessary. Thus, our study attempts to determine diurnal effects on the tests of differential sensitivity and temporal resolution in morning-type, evening-type, and intermediate-type individuals with normal hearing.

Materials and methods

Participants

Forty five normal hearing adults (23 males and 22 females) between the age of 18–25 years (mean age: 21.4, SD = 2.45) participated in the study. None of the participants had any otological history of ear infection, noise exposure, or drug ototoxicity. They had normal hearing sensitivity that they have less than 15 dB HL decrease in air conduction and bone conduction thresholds. They also had normal middle ear function according to the immittance evaluation. On the basis of "Morningness–Eveningness Questionnaire", the participants were sub-divided into three categories (moderately morning-type, intermediate type, and moderately evening-type) with 15 participants in each using purposive sampling. Participants who belong to definitely morning type and definitely evening type were few. Hence, these two groups were not included in our study. The age range of participants in moderately morning-type was 18–22 years old (Mean age = 20 years, SD = 2.59) with 8 males and 7 females. Similarly, the age range was 18–25 years old in the intermediate category (Mean age = 22.5 years, SD = 2.04) with 7 males and 8 female. In addition, for moderately evening-type category, the age range between 18 and 23 years old (Mean age = 21.8 years, SD = 3.06) with 8 males and 7 females.

Procedure

The air conduction (AC) and bone conduction (BC) thresholds were estimated using Modified Hughson and Westlake procedure. AC thresholds were obtained for pure tones from 250 Hz to 8 kHz and BC thresholds from 250 Hz to 4 kHz in octave frequencies. Speech identification scores were obtained by phonemically balanced words developed for adults in Kannada by Yathiraj and Vijayarajakshmi. Immittance evaluation using tympanometry and acoustic reflex threshold testing was done with 226-Hz probe tone and acoustic reflexes for 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz, and 4000 Hz (ipsilateral and contralateral) using a calibrated middle ear analyzer (GSI Tymstar V 2.0). All the participants had normal tympanogram with reflexes present in both ears. "Morningness–Eveningness Questionnaire" developed by Horne and Ostberg was administered on all the participants in the study. The questionnaire consisted of 19 questions pertaining to habitual bed and waking times, preferred times of physical and mental performance, together with subjective fatigue after rising and before going to bed. Based on this questionnaire, participants can be divided into five groups according to their scores: definitely morning type (70–86), moderately morning type (59–69), neither/intermediate type (42–58), moderately evening-type (31–41), and definitely evening type (16–30). Based on this classification, participants who were moderately morning-type, intermediate-type, and
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The differences in performance across using the test standard test settings provided in the "mlp" headphones. All the tests were carried out in a quiet room via a laptop computer connected to calibrated HDA-200 rally at an intensity of 80 dB SPL. Stimuli were presented the psychoacoustical tests, stimuli were presented binaurally at an intensity of 80 dB SPL. The noise had 0.5-ms cosine ramps at the beginning and end of the gap. The duration of gap is varied according to the listener performance. The noise has 0.5-ms cosine ramps at the beginning and end of the gap.

These tests were measured using "mlp" tool box which implements a maximum likelihood procedure in MATLAB. The maximum likelihood procedure uses a large number of candidate psychometric functions, and after each trial, calculates the probability (or likelihood) of obtained the listener’s response to all of the stimuli that have been presented given each psychometric function. The psychometric function that yield the highest probability is used to determine the stimulus to be presented on the next trial. Within about 12 trials, the maximum likelihood procedure usually converges on a reasonably stable estimate of the most likely psychometric function, which then can be used to estimate threshold. Stimuli were produced at 44,100 Hz sampling rate. A two-interval alternate forced choice method using a "maximum likelihood procedure" was used to track an 80% correct response criterion. In all of the psychoacoustical tests, stimuli were presented binaurally at an intensity of 80 dB SPL. Stimuli were presented via a laptop computer connected to calibrated HDA-200 earphones. All the tests were carried out in a quiet room using the test standard test settings provided in the "mlp" MATLAB toolbox. The differences in performance across three groups when participants were tested in morning and evening was compared using appropriate statistical analyses.

Statistical analyses

Shapiro Wilks Test of normality showed that the data was not normally distributed and hence non-parametric tests were done for analysis. Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare the scores obtained when tested in morning and evening for all three groups separately.

Ethical considerations

In the present study, all the testing procedures done were using non-invasive technique adhering to conditions of ethical approval committee of the institute and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. All the test procedures were explained to the participants before testing and informed consent has been taken from the participants for participating in the study.

Results

Our results showed that performance on differential sensitivity and temporal resolution was poorer for moderately morning-type individuals when they were tested in evening versus morning. Similarly the scores were worse for moderately evening-type individuals when they were tested in morning compared to evening. However, the results obtained during morning and evening were similar for intermediate group. The mean and standard deviation of DLI and DLF obtained for all three groups in two diurnal conditions are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. The mean and standard deviation of DD and GDT obtained for all three groups in two diurnal conditions are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

Wilcoxon signed rank tests were performed if there was any statistical significant difference in scores between morning and evening across the three groups. The results of the study showed that scores for DLI, DLF, DD, and GDT were significantly better ($P < 0.05$) for moderately morning type individuals when they were tested morning. In addition, the scores were also significantly better ($P < 0.05$) for moderately evening-type individuals when they were tested evening.

![Fig. 1](image-url) Mean and SD of DLI scores during morning and evening for all the three groups.
moderately evening type individuals when they were tested evening. However, there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) no matter the participants of intermediate group were tested in morning or evening. Kruskal Wallis H test was administered to determine if there was a significant difference in scores among the three groups. The results of the Kruskal Wallis H test showed that the scores for DLI, DLF, DD, and GDT were significantly different ($P < 0.01$) across the groups. Besides, Mann–Whitney U test was administered to compare between the groups for all psychoacoustic tests. The results showed that the scores were significantly different ($P < 0.05$) between all the three groups considered in the study.

**Discussion**

Our results showed that there was a significant diurnal effect in psychoacoustic tests that were used in the study. The scores were better for morning-type individuals when they were tested in morning, while evening-type individuals scored better when they were tested in evening. In addition, it was also found the intermediate group showed no significant differences across diurnal testing conditions. In other words, differential sensitivity and temporal resolution were better in the morning than evening for morning-types, while it was better in the evening for evening-types. The results of the study are in consensus with previous studies that reported participants performed typically best at specific point in the day and it corresponds to individual’s circadian arousal level indifferent cognitive tasks (Kerkhof, 1985; Kerkhof et al, 1980; Natale & Cicogna, 1996; Schmidt, Collette, Cajochen, & Peigneux, 2007).6,7,19,20 As a result, morning-types were often feeling their best in the morning and tend to be engaged in activities such as going to work or exercising in the morning when possible. In contrast, evening-type adults typically were reported to feel sharpest in the later time and tend to engage in daily activities in the evening.

Previous studies have also shown that speech perception in noise scores also varies across the time of testing for morning-type and evening-type individuals.11 Inhibitory control or the ability to suppress irrelevant information from consciousness may play a major role in psychoacoustic test measures. Our results demonstrated strong effects from the preference of the time of day. Most of the previous studies on visual modality show time-of-day affect the inhibition control.21,22 The result our study shows that it can also be generalized to auditory system, which may be less susceptible to distractions and more efficient in suppressing information that is no longer relevant to the peak level of circadian arousal.22

The inhibition is thought to play a role in cognitive processes, such as selective attention, working memory, and speech comprehension.24 Thus, a change in inhibitory control (and therefore, the ability to ignore distracting stimuli) associated with the time of testing during the day may alters the psychoacoustic measures that used in the study. As inhibition has been shown to be less efficient at off-peak hours of day, differential sensitivity and temporal resolution may be poorer at off-peak times of day as well. In addition, no significant diurnal differences on the tests used in the study among intermediate group suggest they lack peak time of arousal. Thus, the present study suggests
that diurnal changes affect psychoacoustic test measures and should be controlled in future research studies especially for younger adults.

Limitations of the study

The study should be conducted on a larger sample size including individuals of definitely morning type and definitely evening type for better generalization of the results. The study was carried out on younger adults, thus, the diurnal effect on older adults should be verified. The diurnal effects on morning type and evening type individuals should also be studied in more objective audiological tests.

Conclusions

The present study attempted to determine diurnal effects on tests of differential sensitivity and temporal resolution in morning-type, evening-type, and intermediate-type individuals with normal hearing. The results of the study showed that there was a significant diurnal effect on psychoacoustic tests with morning-type individuals performing better in the morning and evening-type performed better in the evening. There was no diurnal effect seen for individuals in intermediate group. The lack of inhibitory control, and poor cognitive performance during the off-peak hours could account for the poor scores. Thus, the variable of morning-type or evening-type individuals should be controlled in further studies on psychoacoustic tests in younger adults.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

1. Katzenberg D, Young T, Finn L, et al. A CLOCK polymorphism associated with human diurnal preference. Sleep. 1998;21:569–576.
2. Horne JA, Ostberg O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-eveningness in human circadian rhythms. Int J Chronobiol. 1976;4:97–110.
3. THOR DH. Diurnal variability in time estimation. Percept Mot Skills. 1962;15:451–454.
4. Pátkai P. Interindividual differences in diurnal variations in alertness, performance, and adrenaline excretion. Acta Physiol Scand. 1971;81:35–46.
5. Bohle P, Tilley AJ, Brown S. Psychometric evaluation of the early/late preferences scale. Ergonomics. 2001;44:887–900.
6. Kerkhof GA, Korving HJ, Willemsen-vd GHM, Rietveld WJ. Diurnal differences between morning-type and evening-type subjects in self-rated alertness, body temperature and the visual and auditory evoked potential. Neurosci Lett. 1980;16:11–15.
7. Natale V, Cicogna P. Circadian regulation of subjective alertness in morning and evening "types". Pers Individ Dif. 1996;20(4):491–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(95)00213-8.
8. Kerkhof GA. The 24-hour variation of mood differs between morning- and evening-type individuals. Percept Mot Skills. 1998;86:264–266.
9. Huang J, Katsuura T, Shimomura Y, Iwanaga K. Diurnal changes of human cognitive function in response to sound stimuli of different frequencies for evening-type subjects. J Human Environ Syst. 2005;8(1):7–12. https://doi.org/10.1618/jhes.8.7.
10. Huang J, Katsuura T, Shimomura Y, Iwanaga K. Diurnal changes of ERP response to sound stimuli of varying frequency in morning-type and evening-type subjects. J Physiol Anthropol. 2006;25:49–54.
11. Veneman CE, Gordon-Salant S, Matthews LJ, Dubno JR. Age and measurement time-of-day effects on speech recognition in noise. Ear Hear. 2013;34:288–299.
12. Kumar UA, Ameenudin S, Sangamanatha AV. Temporal and speech processing skills in normal hearing individuals exposed to occupational noise. Noise Health. 2012;14:100–105.
13. Kumar P, Sanju HK, Nikhil J. Temporal resolution and active auditory discrimination skill in vocal musicians. Int Arch Otolarngynol. 2016;20:310–314.
14. Carhart R, Jerger JF. Preferred method for clinical determination of pure-tone thresholds. J Speech Hear Disord. 1959;24:330–345.
15. Yathiraj A, Vilayalakshmi CS. Phonemically Balanced Word List in Kannada. Mysore: Developed in Department of Audiology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing; 2005.
16. Grassi M, Soranzo A. MLP: a MATLAB toolbox for rapid and reliable auditory threshold estimation. Behav Res Methods. 2009;41:20–28.
17. Green DM. Stimulus selection in adaptive psychophysical procedures. J Acoust Soc Am. 1990;87:2662–2674.
18. Green DM. A maximum-likelihood method for estimating thresholds in a yes-no task. J Acoust Soc Am. 1993;93:2096–2105.
19. Kerkhof GA. Inter-individual differences in the human circadian system: a review. Biol Psychol. 1985;20:83–112.
20. Schmidt C, Collette F, Cajothen C, Peigneux P. A time to think: circadian rhythms in human cognition. Cogn Neuropsychol. 2007;24:755–789.
21. Zacks RT, Radwansky G, Hasher L. Studies of directed forgetting in older adults. J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn. 1996;22:143–156.
22. Hasher L, Chung C, May CP, Foong N. Age, time of testing, and proactive interference. Can J Exp Psychol. 2002;56:200–207.
23. Rowe V, Valderrama S, Hasher L, Lenartowicz A. Attentional disregulation: a benefit for implicit memory. Psychol Aging. 2006;21:826–830.
24. May CP, Hasher L. Synchrony effects in inhibitory control over thought and action. J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform. 1998;24:363–379.
25. May CP. Synchrony effects in cognition: the costs and a benefit. Psychon Bull Rev. 1999;6:142–147.