Optoelectronic Properties of Ternary I–III–VI$_2$ Semiconductor Nanocrystals: Bright Prospects with Elusive Origins
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ABSTRACT: Colloidal nanocrystals of ternary I–III–VI$_2$ semiconductors are emerging as promising alternatives to Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide nanocrystals because of their inherently lower toxicity, while still offering widely tunable photoluminescence. These properties make them promising materials for a variety of applications. However, the realization of their full potential has been hindered by both their underdeveloped synthesis and the poor understanding of their optoelectronic properties, whose origins are still under intense debate. In this Perspective, we provide novel insights on the latter aspect by critically discussing the accumulated body of knowledge on I–III–VI$_2$ nanocrystals. From our analysis, we conclude that the luminescence in these nanomaterials most likely originates from the radiative recombination of a delocalized conduction band electron with a hole localized at the group-I cation, which results in broad bandwidths, large Stokes shifts, and long exciton lifetimes. Finally, we highlight the remaining open questions and propose experiments to address them.

Colloidal semiconductor nanocrystals (NCs) exhibit size- and shape-dependent optoelectronic properties, combined with easy solution processability and surface functionalization. This makes them promising materials for many applications, such as solution-processed solar cells, 2,3 luminescent solar concentrators, 4 low-threshold lasers, 5 light-emitting and optoelectronic devices, 6,7 photodetectors, 8 and biomedical imaging. 9 Many of these applications have already been realized using Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide based NCs (e.g., CdSe quantum dots in displays 9 or PbS colloidal quantum dot solar cells with certified power conversion efficiency of 12.01%), 10 because control over these NCs has reached a very mature level, owing to several decades of extensive research. However, the widespread deployment of these NCs is severely limited by the intrinsic toxicity of Cd and Pb. This has motivated a worldwide research effort on alternative materials based on non- (or less) toxic elements.

Ternary I–III–VI$_2$ (I = Cu$^+$, Ag$^+$; III = Al$^{3+}$, Ga$^{3+}$, In$^{3+}$; VI = S$^{2-}$, Se$^{2-}$, Te$^{2-}$) NCs are emerging as promising alternatives to Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide-based NCs because of their inherently lower toxicity. They offer wide photoluminescence tunability, spanning a spectral window that extends from the visible to the second near-infrared (NIR) biological window (470–1200 nm), depending on the composition and size of the nanocrystals. 10–12 Moreover, they also offer characteristics that are unmatched by Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide NCs, such as large global Stokes shifts and plasmonic properties. 12–14 We use the term “global Stokes shift” here, because the more conventional term “Stokes shift” describes the energy difference between absorption and emission energy of the same electronic transition. As we will discuss below, the electronic states dominating the absorption and emission spectra are (most likely) not the same for I–III–VI$_2$ NCs. The promising emission properties of I–III–VI$_2$ NCs may allow them to challenge the performance of the established class of Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide-based NCs in a wide range of applications, such as quantum dot-sensitized solar cells, light-emitting devices, and biomedical applications (Figure 1A–C). 10,13–15 They also show promise as photocatalysts, for example for H$_2$ evolution 16 or CO$_2$ conversion 17 (Figure 1A). Moreover, their large global Stokes shifts and tunable absorption and emission spectra make them ideally suited as luminesphores for luminescent solar concentrators, as recently demonstrated by several groups (Figure 1D). 19–23

However, the realization of the full potential of colloidal I–III–VI$_2$ NCs has been hindered both by their underdeveloped synthesis, which has yet to reach the same level of mastery achieved for the prototypical Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide NCs, and by the poor understanding of their optoelectronic properties, whose origins are still under intense debate. In this Perspective, we provide novel insights on the latter aspect by reviewing and critically discussing the accumulated body of knowledge on the optoelectronic properties of I–III–VI$_2$ NCs, with particular emphasis on recent experimental and theoretical developments. We focus on CuInS$_2$ NCs as a representative example, because CuInS$_2$ is the most thoroughly investigated I–III–VI$_2$ semiconductor, both at the bulk level and on the nanoscale. For the sake of conciseness, the synthesis of these nanomaterials is not addressed, but instead we refer the reader to recent reviews on the subject 9–12 and, when necessary, to recent works clarifying aspects that are
Colloidal NCs of I−III−VI2 semiconductors differ in many fundamental ways from those of II−VI and IV−VI semiconductors. Some of these differences are already present at the bulk level, while others become evident only at the nanoscale. The analysis of these differences provides insights that are instrumental in understanding the origins of the optical properties of I−III−VI2 NCs. Therefore, we start this Perspective by comparing bulk I−III−VI2 semiconductors with their II−VI counterparts and subsequently address the impact of the nanoscale on both CuInS2, as the most investigated member of the I−III−VI2 family, and CdSe, as a prototypical representative of the II−VI family and the best-understood colloidal semiconductor NC to date. We then present and critically examine the different models proposed to explain the optical properties of CuInS2 NCs. From our analysis, we conclude that the luminescence in these nanomaterials most likely originates from the radiative recombination of a delocalized conduction band electron with a hole localized at a Cu+ ion, and thus, we dedicate the final part of this Perspective to discuss recent experiments attempting to shed light on the nature of this process. Finally, we highlight the remaining open questions and propose experiments to answer them.
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The realization of the full potential of colloidal I−III−VI2 NCs has been hindered both by their underdeveloped synthesis, which has yet to reach the same level of mastery achieved for the prototypical Cd- and Pb-chalcogenide NCs, and by the poor understanding of their optoelectronic properties, whose origins are still under intense debate. In this Perspective, we provide novel insights on the latter aspect.
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Figure 1. (A) Schematic illustration of solar light-driven photovoltaics and photocatalysis using semiconductor nanocrystals (the valence and conduction bands are indicated as VB and CB, respectively). Adapted from ref 14. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. (B) Device schematic of a multilayered CuInS2/ZnS NC light-emitting diode. The emitting layer of CuInS2/ZnS NCs is sandwiched between an organic hole transport layer and an inorganic electron transport layer of ZnO NCs. Reproduced from ref 26. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society. (C) Intravital whole-body fluorescence imaging (dorsal view) of brain tumor-bearing mice injected with a buffer solution (PBS), water-soluble PEGylated CuInSe2/ZnS NCs (NC-PEG), or a NC-PEG-P conjugated to a tumor-specific vascular homing peptide. Adapted from ref 27. (D) Schematic diagram of a neutral-density luminescent solar concentrator composed of a polymer matrix incorporating CuInSe2/ZnS NCs. The cartoon on the right shows a simplified picture of the band-edge electronic states in CuIn(S,Se)2/ZnS NCs that are responsible for light emission and absorption. The band-edge absorption transition is shown by the red arrow, while the black arrow indicates the strongly Stokes-shifted emission transition. Adapted from ref 19.
Interestingly, wurtzite I–III–VI₂ compounds such as InCu₂O₀ defects (e.g., vacancies such as VCu) have a rich defect chemistry, which allows their growth as anion/cation ratio. In addition, interactions between different defect pairs further lowers their formation energy, thus making periodic defect structures energetically favorable. The lower formation energy of defects in I–III–VI₂ compounds also impacts on the solid-state diffusion rates in these materials, which are much higher than in II–VI semiconductors. For example, the Cu⁺ self-diffusion rate in bulk CuInS₂ single crystals at 100 °C is $3 \times 10^{-13}$ cm² s⁻¹ (as determined from the line width of the $^{63}$Cu NMR line), while that of Cd²⁺ in CdSe at the same temperature is only $\sim 2 \times 10^{-19}$ cm² s⁻¹ (extrapolated from the diffusion coefficients determined in the 550–880 °C range).

**Bulk Electronic Structure.** Another critical difference between ternary I–III–VI₂ and binary II–VI compounds is the nature of their electronic structure. In II–VI semiconductors, the valence band is formed mainly by the p orbitals of the group VI element, while the conduction band is formed by the s orbitals of the group-II element. Therefore, the valence band to conduction band transition is essentially an interatomic transition, which can be seen as akin to a “ligand to metal charge-transfer” transition. In contrast, the upper valence band of chalcopyrite I–III–VI₂ compounds is formed by p–d hybridization of the d orbitals of the group-I element and the p orbitals of the group-VI element, whereas the lower conduction band is formed by the s orbitals of the group-III element, with a small contribution of the s orbitals of the group-I element and a significant contribution of p orbitals of the group-VI element (the antibonding combinations from the p–d hybridization). In the specific case of CuInS₂, the Cu(3d) orbitals contribute 45–60% to the valence band maximum. Furthermore, the Cu–S bond is covalent but highly polar (i.e., excess electron density on the S site), while the In–S interaction appears nonbonding. Interestingly, the transition from the valence band maximum to the conduction band minimum couples states that have a considerable amplitude on the same anion sublattice site (and to a lesser extent also on the same Cu site). The transition has thus a partial intra-atomic character and is akin to a highest occupied molecular orbital–lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (HOMO–LUMO) transition in which the HOMO is a Cu(3d)–S(3p) bonding orbital and the LUMO is an In(5s)–S(3p) nonbonding orbital (i.e., to a certain extent a “metal to metal charge-transfer” transition between the group-I and group-III metals), with some Cu d–s character. The d–s contribution increases for higher-energy transitions because the Cu(3d) character reaches 90% at about 2 eV below the valence band maximum, while the contribution of Cu(4s) to the conduction band increases with increasing energy. The strong one-center character of the valence band maximum to conduction band minimum transition explains the anomalously low temperature dependence of the band gap of ternary I–III–VI₂ chalcopyrites, despite their normal thermal expansion coefficient.

**Bulk Optical Spectra.** Despite the fundamental differences between bulk I–III–VI₂ and II–VI semiconductors discussed above, their emission spectra are similar in nature, in the sense that they are both dominated by defect-assisted recombination,
which is characterized by weak and sharp near band-edge lines accompanied by stronger and broader features at lower energies (Figure 3). This is typical for bulk intrinsinc semiconductors and results from a combination of small exciton binding energies (at most a few tens of millielectron-volts), due to the effective dielectric screening of the electron–hole Coulomb interaction, and high carrier mobilities, which leads to exciton dissociation followed by trapping of the photogenerated carriers at native defects or adventitious impurities.41

The highest-energy emission line in the spectrum of bulk wurtzite CdSe is observed at 1.823 eV (Figure 3). This line is shifted from the bulk band gap energy (1.838 eV at 4.2 K) by the exciton binding energy (15 meV)44 and has been assigned to radiative recombination of the free exciton (or Wannier exciton, i.e., an electron–hole pair bound by the Coulomb interaction).42 The free-exciton emission line is followed by a number of poorly resolved and weak lines around 1.81 eV (ascribed to excitons bound to defects or impurities)42 and a series of five equally spaced lines, the first of which at 1.73 eV with full width at half-maximum (fwhm) of 20 meV (Figure 3).

The energy separation between these lines corresponds well to the LO-phonon frequency of CdSe (viz., 25 meV), and therefore, they were ascribed to a LO-phonon replica progression (zero-phonon line at 1.73 eV) originating from a donor–acceptor pair recombination. The donor and acceptor involved in this transition were not identified in the original work,42 but most likely they are related to Cd vacancies (acceptors) and Cd interstitials (donors), because Frenkel pairs (V_{Cd}–Cd_i) are the most common native defects in II–VI materials, as discussed above.37,39

The emission of bulk CuInS_2 single crystals was studied in detail in the 1980s by several groups, most notably by Bloem and co-workers (Figure 3)34,43 and has also been recently revisited by Mudryi et al. using higher-quality single crystals, which allowed investigation up to room temperature, while corroborating the essential findings reported in the earlier works.45 The free-exciton emission line is observed at 1.535 eV at 4.2 K, with a fwhm of 1.6 meV.34,43,45 The exciton binding energy depends slightly on the composition: 18.5 meV for In-rich and 19.7 meV for stoichiometric CuInS_2.45 The line width of the free-exciton emission is strongly temperature-dependent, being essentially constant up to 40 K (2 meV), and then increasing to 7 meV at 78 K, 26 meV at 160 K, and 60 meV at room temperature.54,43,45 Moreover, the emission intensity is strongly reduced upon increasing the temperature (3 orders of magnitude from 4.2 to 120 K), but its spectral position hardly changes with temperature,54,43,45 because of the very weak temperature dependence of the band gap of CuInS_2, as discussed above.50 Besides the free-exciton emission line, the near-band-edge emission region (1.50–1.54 eV, inset in Figure 3) also shows another 4 sharp lines at slightly lower energies, which were ascribed to bound-exciton emission.43 The broader line at 1.520 eV (fwhm 2–12 meV, depending on the

Figure 3. Emission spectra of bulk CdSe (blue),42 CuInS_2 (red),34,43 and Cu-rich CuInS_2 (brown)34,43 single crystals at 4.2 K. The inset shows a zoom-in of the near-edge emission peaks of CuInS_2. For clarity, the near-edge emission region and all features above 1.45 eV are omitted in the spectrum of Cu-rich CuInS_2 (see text for details). The spectra were adapted from refs 34, 42, and 43.

Figure 4. Optical properties of colloidal CdSe and CuInS_2 nanocrystals. (A) Absorption and emission spectra of 4.4 nm diameter CdSe NCs. Data from ref 46. (B) Photoluminescence decay curves of 4.3 nm CdSe (blue) and CdSe/CdS/ZnS core/shell/shell NCs (green). (C) Transient absorption spectra of 4.4 nm CdSe (blue) and 5.2 nm CdSe/CdS core/shell NCs (green). Data from ref 47. (D) Absorption and emission spectra of 2.5 nm CuInS_2 NCs. Data from ref 48. (E) Photoluminescence decay curves of 2.5 nm CuInS_2 NCs (red) and CuInS_2/CdS core/shell (1 monolayer) NCs (brown). Data from ref 48. (F) Transient absorption spectra of 2.5 nm CuInS_2 NCs (red) and CuInS_2/CdS core/shell (1 monolayer) NCs showing negative bleach features and positive photoinduced absorption. Data from ref 48.
composition of the crystal) was ascribed to donor-to-acceptor pair recombination. The stronger and broader (fwhm \sim 23 meV) bands at lower energy (1.45 eV for CuInS\textsubscript{2} and 1.40 eV for Cu-rich CuInN\textsubscript{2}) were ascribed to donor–acceptor pair recombination, with the strongest band being assigned to recombination from the same donor level to different acceptor levels, and the weaker band originates from deeper donor levels to the same acceptor level.\textsuperscript{54}

**Impact of the Nanoscale on the Optoelectronic Properties of CdSe and CuInS\textsubscript{2}.** As discussed above, there are a number of structural differences between bulk I–III–VI\textsubscript{2} and II–VI semiconductors. Nevertheless, these differences are not clearly manifested in their emission spectra, which are dominated by the same acceptor level.\textsuperscript{34}

The lowest-energy exciton transition of both 2.5 nm chalcopyrite (\textit{e} = 4.9 nm) (Figure 4A–C).\textsuperscript{30} The energy levels give rise to well-defined absorption features (especially near the band-edge) and narrow ensemble emission bands (fwhm 80–150 meV at room temperature for ensembles of CdSe NCs in the 6–2.6 nm diameter range with \(\leq 10\)–10% size dispersion),\textsuperscript{53} which shift to higher energies with decreasing NC size following a 1/\(d\) dependence.\textsuperscript{50} The emission transition (1S\textsubscript{e} \rightarrow 1S\textsubscript{h}) is characterized by small global Stokes shifts (21 \pm 4 meV in the 2.6–8 nm diameter range for ensembles with \(\leq 10\%) size dispersion)\textsuperscript{51} and room-temperature radiative decay times of 18–40 ns, depending on the NC size (8 to 2.6 nm).\textsuperscript{50} Transient absorption spectra of CdSe and CdSe/CdS NCs show a clear negative signal due to the bleach of the lowest-energy exciton transition (1S\textsubscript{e} \rightarrow 1S\textsubscript{h}) and a small positive signal at somewhat lower energies reflecting biexciton or negative-trion absorption (Figure 4C).\textsuperscript{47}

The optical properties of CuInS\textsubscript{2} (and other I–III–VI\textsubscript{1} semiconductors) are also strongly affected by size reduction to the nanoscale but are strikingly different from those of CdSe NCs, as clearly demonstrated by Figure 4. The absorption spectra of CuInN\textsubscript{2} NCs are essentially featureless and do not show a distinct first excitonic peak (Figure 4D).\textsuperscript{9,10,52} Furthermore, a low-energy tail extending up to several hundreds of millielectronvolts is often observed.\textsuperscript{9,10,52} The lack of clear features in the absorption spectra can be ascribed to broad bandwidths, as indeed recently demonstrated for the lowest-energy exciton transition of both 2.5 nm chalcopyrite CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs (fwhm = \sim 400 meV)\textsuperscript{48} and wurtzite CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs (fwhm = 320–180 meV in the 2.7–7.2 nm diameter range).\textsuperscript{53} Broad bandwidths are also observed for the emission of CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs (fwhm = \sim 200–400 meV),\textsuperscript{10,48} which is further characterized by large global Stokes shifts (\sim 300–500 meV) and multieponential decays with long radiative lifetimes (\sim 200–400 ns).\textsuperscript{10,48,54–57} (Figure 4D,E). Interestingly, the emission bandwidths and the global Stokes shifts have been reported to be independent of the size, shape, and crystal structure of the CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs.\textsuperscript{53,58} In contrast, the band-edge absorption and the emission peak shift to higher energies with decreasing NC size, following a 1/\(d\) dependence for both chalcopyrite (\(a_0 = 4.1\) nm) and wurtzite CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs.\textsuperscript{53,58} The size-dependence is weaker for wurtzite CuInS\textsubscript{2} because of the larger electron and hole effective masses of this material (\(m_e = 0.173m_0\) and \(m_h = 2.181m_0\) in bulk while those for chalcopyrite CuInS\textsubscript{2} are \(m_e = 0.16m_0\) and \(m_h = 1.3m_0\) in bulk).\textsuperscript{53,59} Another important characteristic of CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs is the observation of two features in their transient absorption spectra: a negative band-edge bleach attributed to the lowest-energy exciton transition (1S\textsubscript{h} \rightarrow 1S\textsubscript{e}) and a positive photo-induced absorption (Figure 4F).\textsuperscript{48} These features and their significance will be discussed in more detail later in this Perspective.

**Impact of Shelling on the Optical Properties of CdSe and CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs.** An effective strategy to enhance the photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYs) and photostability of colloidal semiconductor NCs is to overcoat them with a shell of a wide band gap semiconductor, thereby confining both the electron and hole in the core material.\textsuperscript{1} In this way, surface trap states are passivated, which eliminates nonradiative recombination centers, resulting in longer exciton lifetimes and high PLQYs. The heteroepitaxial overgrowth of a shell of a different semiconductor can also be used to tune the carrier localization regime in the hetero-NC from Type-I (electron and hole in the same material) to Type-II (spatially separated charge carriers) through an intermediate regime (Type-I \(\textsuperscript{1/2}\)) , one carrier is delocalized over the whole hetero-NC volume while the other is localized in one of the segments), thereby allowing the exciton radiative lifetimes, exciton–phonon coupling strength, and spectral characteristics (i.e., peak position, bandwidth, and Stokes shift) of colloidal hetero-NCs to be tailored.\textsuperscript{1} This has been extensively investigated for hetero-NCs based on Cd-chalcogenides and other II–VI semiconductors and for Pb-chalcogenides, however it is still underdeveloped for I–III–VI\textsubscript{2} NCs.\textsuperscript{51} Shelling of colloidal NCs is an intricate multivariable chemical process, whose outcome results from a competition between a number of reactions (Figure 5).

In the case of CdSe-based core/shell hetero-NCs, additive heteroepitaxial shell overgrowth with limited interfacial diffusion dominates,\textsuperscript{61,62} while PbX/CdX (X = S, Se, Te) core/shell hetero-NCs result from superseded shell ingrowth by topotactic Pb\textsuperscript{2+} for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} cation exchange, without any intermixing and alloying because of the different coordination numbers of the cations involved (6 for Pb\textsuperscript{2+} in the rock-salt structure and 4 for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in the zinc-blende structure).\textsuperscript{63} The shelling chemistry of CuInS\textsubscript{2} (and likely also other I–III–VI\textsubscript{1}) NCs is however far more complex, resulting from an interplay between several dynamic processes taking place in solution, at the surface of and within the seed NC (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{60} As a result, ZnS shelling of CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs typically leads to spectral blue-shifts (ranging from 60 to 340 meV) in both the absorption and the PL spectra\textsuperscript{50,51,54,56,64} due to a combination of etching, cation exchange and Zn interdiffusion, which contribute to different extents depending on the reaction conditions and surface chemistry of the CuInS\textsubscript{2} seed NCs.\textsuperscript{60} It has only recently been that CuInS\textsubscript{2}/ZnS core/shell hetero-NCs displaying absorption spectra with small redshifts (as expected for a type-I band alignment)\textsuperscript{3} have been obtained by using...
CulnS$_2$ seed NCs with residual acetate at the surface, which was observed to facilitate heteroepitaxial ZnS shell overgrowth. CdS shelling of CulnS$_2$ NCs is less affected by interdiffusion, likely because of a combination of larger lattice mismatch (viz., 5.2%) and larger ionic radius (Cd$^{2+}$ = 78 pm, Cu$^{+}$ = 60 pm, In$^{3+}$ = 62 pm, in tetrahedral coordination), in comparison to ZnS (lattice mismatch: 2%, ionic radius Zn$^{2+}$ = 60 pm). Shelling by either ZnS or CdS increases the PLQYs of CulnS$_2$ NCs from a few percent up to 50–85%. CdS is more effective than ZnS, leading to PLQYs as high as 86% with a single monolayer, which is about 3 times higher than that obtained for 1 monolayer ZnS. Nevertheless, the nontoxic nature of ZnS makes it the preferred shell material in the majority of studies. The impact of ZnS or CdS shelling on the PLQYs of CdSe NCs is similar to that on CulnS$_2$ NCs, although the more mature stage of the CdSe NC chemistry has led in recent years to near-unity PLQYs, not yet within reach for CulnS$_2$/ZnS core/shell hetero-NCs. Interestingly, shelling of CdSe (and other II–VI such as CdTe and CdS) NCs not only increases their PLQYs and exciton radiative lifetimes but also eliminates broad PL bands that are often observed at sub-band gap energies in bare NCs, which are ascribed to radiative recombination of carriers localized at defects (the so-called “trap PL”). In contrast, shelling of CulnS$_2$ NCs impacts only on the PLQYs and exciton radiative lifetimes, without any significant effect on the PL bandwidths and global Stokes shifts, and only a minor spectral shift that follows the one observed in the absorption spectra. This implies that surface defects in CulnS$_2$ (and other I–III–VI$_2$) NCs are involved only in nonradiative decay pathways and do not have any relevant role in the radiative recombination.

Impact of the Nanoscale on the Chemistry of CulnS$_2$ and Other I–III–VI$_2$ Materials. The complexity of the shelling chemistry of CulnS$_2$ NCs demonstrates that their surface chemistry is drastically different from that of the II–VI and IV–VI analogues. This is not only due to a very dynamic inorganic surface but also the result of strong and disparate interactions with ligands, because the NC facets expose Cu$^+$ (a soft Lewis acid with absolute hardness $\eta$ = 6.28 eV), In$^{3+}$ (a hard Lewis acid with $\eta$ = 13 eV), and S$^{2-}$ (a Lewis base), in different ratios depending on their crystallographic consequences. Consequently, different ligands will bind with different strengths to different facets or surface sites. This leads to a variety of outcomes in the synthesis of colloidal CulnS$_2$ NCs or in postsynthetic reactions using them as seed NCs (viz., shelling, ligand exchange, and cation exchange), depending on the exact reaction conditions and composition of the reaction. Moreover, the tolerance to off-stoichiometry of nanoscale CulInS$_2$ and other I–III–VI–I’s is even greater than that of their bulk counterparts, and as a result, CulnX$_x$ (X = S, Se) NCs can be synthesized with Cu/In ratios ranging from 0.3 to 2.9. Size reduction to the nanoscale gradually increases the surface/volume ratio of the NCs, which has a number of important consequences: larger contribution of surface–ligand interactions, size- and site-dependent positional reconstruction, lower activation energy for the formation of native point defects, and faster and site-dependent solid-state diffusion rates. The complex and highly dynamic character of the chemistry of CulnS$_2$ NCs can thus be rationalized by considering that nanoscale effects will synergistically amplify the atypical properties exhibited by bulk I–III–VI$_2$ materials (viz., tolerance to off-stoichiometry, low activation energy for the formation of native defects, and high solid-state diffusion rates).

The complex and highly dynamic character of the chemistry of CulnS$_2$ NCs can be rationalized by considering that nanoscale effects will synergistically amplify the atypical properties exhibited by bulk I–III–VI$_2$ materials (viz., tolerance to off-stoichiometry, low activation energy for the formation of native defects, and high solid-state diffusion rates).
global Stokes shift is large and size-independent ($\Delta_{ST} = 455 \pm 27$ meV).\textsuperscript{53}

Furthermore, recent single-NC measurements have shown that the PL fwhm of single CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs is only slightly narrower than that of the ensemble (viz., 190–270 meV vs 300–400 meV, respectively).\textsuperscript{75} This observation unambiguously demonstrates that the broad spectral bandwidths (and likely also the large Stokes shifts) of CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs cannot be ascribed to ensemble inhomogeneities of any kind (i.e., size, shape, or composition) and must thus have their origin in the intrinsic characteristics of individual NCs. The full significance of single-NC spectroscopic data will be discussed in more detail later in this Perspective, after the possible radiative recombination mechanisms have been examined. To date, several models have been proposed to explain the intriguing characteristics of the radiative recombination in CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs. These models are schematically summarized in Figure 6 and will be critically discussed in more detail below, taking into account the most recent experimental and theoretical developments.

We will first address the two extreme models: donor-acceptor pair recombination (Figure 6A), in which both carriers are localized on defects, and the exciton fine-structure model (Figure 6D), which is based on the intrinsic electronic structure of CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs and precludes the involvement of localized carriers. Subsequently, we will consider the two models based on a “free-to-bound” recombination, in which only one of the carriers is localized: either the electron (Figure 6B) or the hole (Figure 6C). It should be noted that, although we will focus primarily on CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs, the following discussion is also valid for other I\textsubscript{II}–VI\textsubscript{2} NCs, because very similar properties have been reported for CuInSe\textsubscript{2} and AgInS\textsubscript{2} NCs.\textsuperscript{5,10,12,76,77}

**Donor–Acceptor Pair Recombination Model.** The emission of I–III–VI\textsubscript{2} NCs has long been interpreted by analogy with the bulk counterparts and thus ascribed to radiative recombination involving donor and acceptor intragap states (Figure 6A).\textsuperscript{36,57}

This model can explain some of the characteristics of the PL of I–III–VI\textsubscript{2} NCs: the stronger electron–phonon coupling of the localized carriers and the distribution of donor–acceptor pair energies would lead to broad bandwidths, while the energy relaxation following carrier localization in the donor and acceptor states would account for the large Stokes shifts (Figure 6E).	extsuperscript{7,77} The reduced overlap between the electron and hole wave functions in combination with a distribution of donor–acceptor pair distances would result in long and multiexponential PL decays (Figure 6I).\textsuperscript{77} Nevertheless, the donor–acceptor pair mechanism cannot adequately explain the size dependence of the PL energies, which follow the same trend as the lowest-energy absorption transition,\textsuperscript{9,77,83} because the smaller spread in donor–acceptor pair energy separations is expected to shift the PL bands by no more than several tens of millielectronvolts.\textsuperscript{84} More importantly, the transient absorption results reported in refs 48, 66, and 80 imply that the lowest absorption transition is blocked after excitation, which clearly invalidates the donor–acceptor pair recombination model, because in this mechanism both charge carriers localize on a subnanosecond time scale, and therefore, the band-edge transition should become fully available again, resulting in very weak or absent bleach signals (Figure 6M), in striking contradiction to the experimental observations.

**Exciton Fine-Structure Model.** A radically different mechanism for the origin of the PL in CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs has been recently proposed by Efros and co-workers (Figure 6D).\textsuperscript{88} They calculated the fine-structure of delocalized levels at the conduction and valence band edges using a multiband effective mass model. It was found that the lowest-energy hole level in spherical CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs with the tetragonal chalcopyrite crystal structure has p-type symmetry, so that the transition to the lowest-energy electron level, which has s-type symmetry, is forbidden. The first hole level with s-symmetry, with an optimally allowed transition to the lowest electron level, is 100–300 meV higher in energy.

There is an analogy with the fine-structure splitting of the lowest-energy $1S_11S_1$ exciton of binary II–VI, IV–VI, and III–V NCs, which is due to crystal field asymmetry, anisotropy of the NC shape, and electron–hole exchange interaction.\textsuperscript{65–91} The lowest-energy exciton state is akin to a triplet excited state in organic molecules. It is a dark state; that is, radiative decay

---

**Figure 6.** Schematic representation of the different mechanisms proposed for the exciton radiative recombination in CuInS\textsubscript{2} NCs and the expected optical spectra and PL decay for each scenario (see text for details). (A) Donor–acceptor pair recombination. (B) Localized electron recombinates with delocalized valence band hole. (C) Delocalized conduction band electron recombinates with localized hole. (D) Exciton fine-structure: absorption transition occurs to a higher-energy hole state while emission takes place from a lower-energy hole state. (E–H) Absorption and PL spectra expected for the scenarios represented in panels A–D. All models would result in broad bands and large Stokes shift, except the fine-structure model that predicts narrow PL bands. (I–L) PL decay curves showing long radiative decay times due to limited electron–hole wave function overlap (scenario’s A–C) or the forbidden nature of the transition (scenario D). In scenarios A–C, also multiexponential radiative decay is expected because of a distribution of localized states. However, single-exponential radiative decay is expected in scenario D. (M–P) Transient absorption spectra expected for the different models. In the donor–acceptor pair recombination model both charge carriers localize on subnanosecond time scales, resulting in a very weak or absent negative bleach signal that decays fast. The other three models could result in both a negative bleach signal and a positive photo-induced absorption signal. The dynamics (here not schematically drawn) would however be different (see text for a detailed discussion). The bleach magnitude in panels N, O, and P is not necessarily the same but depends on the degeneracy of the electron and hole band edge levels.
to the NC ground state is forbidden. However, the energy separation between exciton fine-structure states is typically small (<20 meV), and therefore, exciton fine-structure effects in conventional II–VI, IV–VI, and III–V NCs become noticeable only at low temperatures (below 100 K), where they affect the temperature- and magnetic-field dependences of the exciton lifetimes. Nevertheless, the exciton fine-structure is of great fundamental interest and has thus been extensively investigated for a large number of NC compositions, both theoretically and experimentally, e.g., CdSe, 89–94 CdTe, 94,95 InAs, 94 PbSe, 94 ZnSe, 96 InP, 97,98 CdSe/CdS, 99 and CdTe/CdSe. 100

The situation is slightly different in the model of Efros and co-workers for spherical chalcopyrite CuInS2 NCs. 8 Here, the lowest-energy exciton is dark because of the p-type wave function symmetry of the lowest-energy hole level. More importantly, the energy gap to higher-energy bright exciton states is as large as 100–300 meV, depending on NC size. Efros and co-workers propose that the bright exciton states dominate the absorption spectrum of the NCs, while Stokes-shifted PL originates from the lower-lying dark exciton state. This model makes a number of predictions: (i) size-dependent absorption and PL transitions, (ii) a low-energy tail in the absorption spectra, (iii) broad and size-dependent 1S0 → 1S0 absorption line widths (energy separation between the highest- and the lowest-energy bright states varies from 100 to 30 eV in the 2–3.6 nm diameter range) (Figure 6H), (iv) long single-exponential radiative lifetimes increasing with decreasing NC size (Figure 6L), (v) a narrow PL peak, and (vi) a strongly size-dependent Stokes shift (viz., 300–100 meV in the 2–3.6 nm diameter range) (Figure 6H). Prediction i is in good agreement with the experimentally observed size-dependence of the band gap, 53 while prediction ii is consistent with a large number of experimental observations. 8,10 Prediction iii is in qualitative agreement with the recent observation of size-dependent 1S0 → 1S0 absorption line widths in wurtzite CuInS2 NCs (320–180 meV in the 2.7 to 6.1 nm size range) but significantly underestimates the line widths. 53 Further experimental support for predictions i–iii has been recently given by the observation of two-photon absorption transitions below the one-photon absorption band edge of spherical chalcopyrite CuInS2/ZnS core/shell NCs (core diameter ranging from 2.1 to 3.1 nm) and by the ability of the model to account for both the two-photon and one-photon transitions. 59 Prediction iv is consistent with the long lifetimes experimentally observed for CuInS2 NCs but not with the multieponential character of the PL decay curves. Moreover, there is no experimental evidence supporting the notion of longer radiative lifetimes for smaller NCs. On the contrary, time-resolved PL spectroscopy seems to suggest that larger NCs have longer radiative lifetimes, because the PL is observed to shift to lower energies with increasing delays after photoexcitation. 70,79 Furthermore, predictions v and vi are inconsistent with the experimental observations, because the PL bands of CuInS2 NCs are invariably very broad, even at the single NC level (see above for details), and the global Stokes shift has been recently shown by two different groups to be size- and shape-independent for both chalcopyrite CuInS2/ZnS core/shell NCs (ΔST = 320 ± 30 meV core diameter: 2.1–3.1 nm) 80 and wurtzite CuInS2 NCs (ΔST = 455 ± 27 meV in the 2.7 to 7.2 nm size range). 81 While the work of Efros and co-workers may thus give us important insights into the energy level structure of the delocalized band-edge levels in CuInS2 NCs, it does not seem to provide a good model for the PL mechanism, which may involve localized states that the model does not consider explicitly.

### Localized Electron–Valence Band Hole Recombination

The inadequacy of both models discussed above to account for the characteristics of the PL of CuInS2 (and other I–III–VII) NCs clearly demonstrates that the radiative recombination must involve one delocalized carrier and one localized carrier. We will first discuss the scenario in which the electron is the localized carrier and radiatively recombines with a valence band hole (Figure 6B). Such transitions have already been proposed to explain additional emission lines in the spectrum of bulk CuInS2 crystals that could not be assigned to donor–acceptor pair recombination or bound excitons. 51 In the case of CuInS2 NCs, most authors propose that the electron is localized at either Cu antisite defects or sulfur vacancies. 52,84,85 In particular, the localized electron–valence band hole recombination mechanism has been advocated as a way to explain the spectral differences observed between chalcopyrite and wurtzite CuInS2 NCs (viz., lower-energy PL, weaker size-dependence, broader bandwidths, and larger Stokes shifts in wurtzite NCs). 52,101 However, recent work has unambiguously shown that there is no significant difference between the PL bandwidths and Stokes shifts of wurtzite and chalcopyrite CuInS2 NCs, 83,68 and that the weaker size dependence of the band gap and PL at lower energies can be explained by the larger electron and hole effective masses (viz., me = 0.173m0, mh = 2.181m0) 59 and narrower band gap (1.3 eV) 59 of bulk wurtzite CuInS2 in comparison to chalcopyrite CuInS2 (viz., me = 0.153m0, mh = 0.958m0, Ef = 1.535 eV). 53 Moreover, as we will discuss below, a localized electron is incompatible with transient absorption spectroscopic data reported by several groups.

**Conduction Band Electron–Localized Hole Recombination.** We will now discuss the scenario in which the hole is the localized carrier and radiatively recombines with a conduction band electron (Figure 6C). In this mechanism, the quantized nature of the conduction band electron and its lower effective mass (me = 0.153m0 while mh = 0.958m0) 59 explains the size-dependence of the PL energies in terms of the quantum confinement, while the large Stokes shift originates from the energy difference between the top of the valence band and the localized hole state (Figure 6G). The other characteristics, i.e., broad PL bands, long exciton radiative lifetimes, and multieponential PL decays (Figure 6G,K), are rationalized by a stronger carrier–phonon coupling for the localized hole, reduced electron–hole wave function overlap due to the hole localization, and distribution in the hole-localization sites, respectively. Evidence for a recombination mechanism involving a delocalized carrier and a localized carrier has been provided by transient absorption measurements. 48,60,85,86 Following the excitation event by a pump laser, charge carriers relax to their respective band edges. We argued above that in the case of a donor–acceptor pair recombination, the charge carriers should rapidly localize, and therefore the bleach of the lowest-energy exciton transition would decay rapidly (subnanosecond scale), in contrast with the experimental observations. 48 In addition, the decay dynamics of the two signals observed (i.e., negative bleach and positive photoinduced absorption, Figure 4F) are different on a picosecond time scale, indicating that they originate from different charge carriers that initially follow different decay pathways. 48 In fact, the dependence of the bleaching signal on...
the pump power pointed out that the bleaching of the lowest-energy exciton transition was primarily due to a 2-fold degenerate state, which corresponds to the degeneracy of the bottom of the conduction band (i.e., the 1Sω state) and rules out that the valence band plays a role in the bleaching, because it has a much higher degeneracy (see above for details).30,56,86

This observation indicates that the electron is the delocalized carrier, while the hole becomes localized shortly after excitation (on a subpicosecond time scale), giving rise to the photoinduced absorption signal (Figures 4F and 6O).48

It is clear that the radiative recombination of a delocalized conduction band electron with a localized hole is the only mechanism that explains all the observed PL characteristics (viz., broad PL bands with size-dependent spectral positions but size-independent bandwidths, large and size-independent Stokes shift, long radiative lifetimes and multiexponential PL decays, long lifetime of bleach signal in transient absorption, decoupled bleach and photoinduced absorption kinetics, and bleach saturation). The question that then emerges is how and where the photogenerated valence band hole localizes.

From the discussion above it is clear that the radiative recombination of a delocalized conduction band electron with a localized hole is the only mechanism that explains all the observed PL characteristics (viz., broad PL bands with size-dependent spectral positions but size-independent bandwidths, large and size-independent Stokes shift, long radiative lifetimes and multiexponential PL decays, long lifetime of bleach signal in transient absorption, decoupled bleach and photoinduced absorption kinetics, and bleach saturation). The question that then emerges is how and where the photogenerated valence band hole localizes. There are many possible answers to this question, as schematically depicted in Figure 7. In the remainder of this Perspective, we will analyze the currently available data in order to establish the (most probable) nature of the hole-localization center.

Nature of the Hole Localization. Interesting insight into the nature of the hole-localizing state in CuInS2 NCs has come from two recent comparative studies. The first one, by the Klimov and Crooker groups, compared CuInS2 NCs to copper-doped Cu2+ :ZnSe NCs.102 In the second one, Gamelin and co-workers compared CuInS2 NCs to copper-doped NCs with compositions Cu+:CdSe and Cu+:InP.54 These different types of NCs show strikingly similar PL properties, including emission energy, bandwidth, Stokes shift, and their temperature- and magnetic-field dependence (Figure 8). The PL mechanism in the copper-doped NCs involves radiative decay of an electron from a conduction band level to a copper-localized level. Based on the similarity in PL properties, in both papers it was proposed that the PL mechanism of CuInS2 NCs must be similar.54,102 Effectively, the emission transition in CuInS2 NCs would be akin to a metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) transition,15 where an electron is transferred from the conduction band (CB, analogous to the LUMO of a “ligand” following photoexcitation) to the Cu-center (metal):  

\[ \text{Cu}^{2+} + e^- (\text{CB}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}^+ + h\nu \]  

where \( h\nu \) denotes an emitted photon. Gamelin and co-workers discussed the proposed electron–hole recombination pathway in more detail, suggesting that a photoexcited hole rapidly localizes on a Cu+ site, thereby changing its formal charge to 2+, followed by radiative recombination with the conduction band electron.15 Indeed, cyclic voltammetry reveals a redox feature within 1 eV (depending on size and
composition of the top of the valence band of CuInS$_2$ NCs, which can be ascribed to the Cu$^{1+}$/Cu$^{2+}$ couple.\textsuperscript{103} This is consistent with density functional theory (DFT) calculations showing that the HOMO in Cu$^+$:CdSe and Cu$^+$:InP NCs\textsuperscript{104} as well as in CuInS$_2$ NCs, is localized on a Cu-center (or, for particular atomic arrangements, delocalized over at most three nearby Cu-centers\textsuperscript{105}). We note that this is also consistent with the bulk electronic structure, because the top of the valence band in bulk CuInS$_2$ has been shown to have a large contribution of Cu(3d) orbitals (45–60\%, see above for details).\textsuperscript{28,29,35,40} The initial driving force for hole localization on a Cu site may thus simply come from the energy level structure of CuInS$_2$ NCs. Additional stabilization of the localized hole may come from strong electron–phonon coupling, which would induce a Jahn–Teller nuclear reorganization of the immediate surroundings of the photogenerated Cu$^{2+}$-center (a common type of distortion for transition metal ions with a d$^9$ configuration).\textsuperscript{104,105} The large reorganization energy would explain why radiative recombination of the localized hole with the conduction band electron (the “MLCT” transition) yields Stokes-shifted and strongly broadened PL bands.

Cu$^+$ or Cu$^{2+}$? Interestingly, the experimental data and interpretations of Rice et al.\textsuperscript{102} and Knowles et al.\textsuperscript{54} disagree on one important aspect. Both groups find evidence for a paramagnetic Cu$^{2+}$-center in the excited state of the NCs from the temperature- and magnetic-field dependence of the circularly polarized PL (Figure 8C),\textsuperscript{54,102} consistent with the proposed recombination mechanism (eq 1). However, on the basis of the observation of a temperature-dependent magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) signal, Rice et al. proposed that paramagnetic Cu$^+$ ions are already present in ground-state CuInS$_2$ NCs (Figure 7C).\textsuperscript{102} In contrast, MCD experiments by Knowles et al. provided no evidence for divalent copper sites in ground-state CuInS$_2$ NCs\textsuperscript{54} Whether or not Cu$^{2+}$ is present in the ground state of CuInS$_2$ NCs would potentially impact the emission mechanism. In the model proposed by Knowles et al.,\textsuperscript{54} the essential first step after photoexcitation is localization of the photoexcited hole on a Cu$^+$ ion, formally oxidizing it to Cu$^{2+}$

\[
\text{Cu}^+ + h^+(\text{VB}) \rightarrow \text{Cu}^{2+}
\]

after which recombination with the conduction-band electron takes place (eq 1). If, on the other hand, Cu$^{2+}$ is present in the ground state of CuInS$_2$ NCs,\textsuperscript{102} it has been suggested that rapid hole localization (eq 2) is not necessarily the first step of the emission mechanism. Instead, the photoexcited conduction-band electron may decay radiatively to a localized level on a Cu$^{2+}$-center (eq 1) that already existed prior to photoexcitation.\textsuperscript{103,106}

Spectroelectrochemical experiments by the groups of Brovelli and Klimov have provided support for the scenario where pre-existing Cu$^{2+}$ sites were involved in the photoluminescence mechanism in CuInS$_2$ NCs (Figure 9A,B).\textsuperscript{103,106} It was found that a reductive electrochemical potential, filling electron traps but generating hole states, has a beneficial effect on the photoluminescence quantum yield\textsuperscript{106} (at least for Cu-deficient CuInS$_2$ NCs\textsuperscript{103}). Brovelli and co-workers established that the efficiency-limiting factor is electron trapping,\textsuperscript{106} in agreement with the proposition previously made by Berends et al.\textsuperscript{48} Further, it was concluded by both groups that radiative recombination requires Cu$^{2+}$-centers, either pre-existing or accumulated as a result of photoconversion of Cu$^+$ by localization of the photogenerated valence band hole.\textsuperscript{103,106,107} This latter conclusion has been recently challenged by van der Stamm et al.\textsuperscript{108} based on spectroelectrochemical experiments. According to this work, radiative recombination will occur only on Cu$^{2+}$-centers that have been generated by localization of the photogenerated valence band hole in Cu$^+$-based defects, so that electrochemical reduction of Cu$^{2+}$ to Cu$^+$ results in photobrightening, while oxidation of Cu$^+$ to Cu$^{2+}$ leads to quenching.\textsuperscript{108} The authors proposed that pre-existing Cu$^{2+}$-centers are involved in a nonradiative Auger type quenching mechanism, rendering some NCs in the ensemble dark.\textsuperscript{108} However, we note that the presence of pre-existing Cu$^{2+}$-centers in ground-state CuInS$_2$ NCs is inconsistent with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) studies that found no evidence for Cu$^{2+}$ in CuInS$_2$ or (CuIn,Zn)$_2$S$_3$ NCs of various stoichiometries.\textsuperscript{104,106} Interestingly, a recent study of chalcopyrite CuInS$_2$ NCs (2–3 nm diameter) employing steady-state X-ray absorption spectroscopy, X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES), and extended X-ray absorption fine structure have excluded the presence of Cu$^{2+}$ in the ground state, while providing evidence for its formation after photoexcitation by carrying out XANES under laser excitation.\textsuperscript{109} This study also showed the presence of a significant fraction of undercoordinated Cu$^+$ (i.e., coordination number <4), which were attributed to surface
Cu’ sites, because their concentration increased with decreasing NC size.109

Transient absorption studies by Berends et al. (Figure 4F, see above for details) also found no evidence for Cu2+ in ground-state CuInS2, CuInS2/ZnS, and CuInS2/CdS NCs.48 Broadband photoinduced absorption in the near-infrared is absent in ground-state NCs but appears within 1 ps after photoexcitation. This signal was ascribed to excitation of a Cu2+-localized hole to valence band levels, Cu2+ → Cu2+ + h0 → Cu2+ + h(VB).48 We note that this assignment is consistent with the conclusions derived from the XANES measurements under laser excitation carried out by Ludwig et al. on similarly sized CuInS2 NCs.109 A weak photoinduced absorption feature was later observed in copper-doped Cu2+:CdSe NCs and interpreted similarly.47 These observations support the mechanism proposed by Knowles et al.44 in which Cu2+ is created only after localization of a photogenerated valence band hole (eq 2). In CuInS2 NCs the time scale of hole localization on Cu’ is subpicosecond, faster than the instrument response of Berends et al.48 This could explain why hole-localizing states introduced at reductive electrochemical potentials have been reported to be ineffective at reducing the quantum yield of (most types of) CuInS2 NCs.103,104 Hole localization in Cu2+:CdSe NCs is slower than in CuInS2 NCs, as evidenced by a rise of the metal-to-ligand charge-transfer luminescence (eq 1) on a time scale of 25 ps upon pulsed excitation.47 Whether or not Cu2+ is present in the ground state of CuInS2 NCs might depend on their stoichiometry and/or surface chemistry.103 Indeed, the oxidation state of Cu responds to an external potential or prolonged illumination103,104. While the prevailing idea in the studies of Pinchetti et al.106 and Fuhr et al.103 has been that Cu2+-centers can be beneficial for efficient emission from CuInS2 NCs, the recent work by van der Stam et al.108 casts doubt on the generality of this notion. It remains an open question whether these conflicting results can be reconciled by taking into account stoichiometry and/or surface chemistry deviations.

**Native Defects or Regular Cu’ Sites?** Not only is the formal charge of copper ions in the NC ground state still under debate, but also the nature of the Cu-centers at which radiative recombination takes place. The Cu-center involved may be a “regular” Cu’ ion with the intrinsic CuInS2 crystalline surroundings (Figure 7B),44 or a Cu-related defect (for example, a Cu1’ ion neighboring a Cu’ ion or a Cu’ ion in an antisite defect, Figure 7D).58,103,106,108 A few papers have recently provided more insight into the nature of the hole-localizing Cu-site by studying individual NCs of Cu2+:CdSe110 and CuInS2.77 They find single-NC line widths ranging from 190 to 270 meV fwhm (Figure 9C,D), only slightly narrower than the ensemble fwhm of ~300–400 meV, but significantly broader than the typical line widths of single II–VI or III–V NCs (20–150 meV).114 Strongly broadened single-NC emission spectra are easily rationalized if we consider that the emitting transition is akin to a metal-to-ligand charge-transfer transition.75 The formal charge state of a Cu ion changes from 2+ to 1+ in the transition (eq 1). This charge redistribution must introduce a strong reorganization of the crystal lattice surrounding the Cu ion.102,103 This strong electron–phonon coupling explains broad spectra at the single-emitter level112 and is also consistent with the observation that the ensemble PL line widths of CuInS2 NCs are only weakly temperature-dependent (fwhm decreases from 270 meV at 300 K to 235 meV at 2 K), indicating that it is dominated by homogeneous broadening.54,56 Alternatively, considering the similarities with single Cu1’-CdSe NCs (which are doped by multiple Cu’ ions occupying Cd2+ sites), the broad emission spectra of single CuInS2 NCs may also reflect a distribution of Cu2+ radiative recombination centers with slightly different surroundings.

Strikingly, the broad single-NC spectra reported by Whitham et al.75 for CuInS2/CdS core/shell NCs are inconsistent with relatively narrow spectra measured recently by Zang et al.67 on individual CuInS2/ZnS core/shell NCs, which show an ensemble emission fwhm of 352 meV but single-NC spectra as narrow as 128 meV on average. The narrowest spectra are no wider than 60 meV,67 comparable to values typically found for individual II–VI or III–V NCs and 18 out of 20 NCs show (significantly) narrower emission spectra than even the narrowest spectrum measured by Whitham et al.75 Zang et al. conclude that the ensemble emission spectrum is strongly inhomogeneously broadened.57 Indeed, they measure narrow single-NC spectra with a distribution of peak energies covering the ensemble emission band. However, because the size dispersion of their sample is as small as 10%, they discuss that size dispersion alone cannot explain the wide distribution of peak energies. They propose that additional inhomogeneous broadening originates from variations in the radial position of emitting Cu-related defects in the NCs. In their model the attractive electron–hole Coulomb energy depends on the location of the Cu-related defect at which the hole is localized, differing by approximately 300 meV between the NC center and the surface.57 This explanation for the energy distribution of the conduction band electron to localized hole transition (eq 1) is analogous to the one previously considered for the energy distribution of donor–acceptor pair recombination, in which the distance between the donor and acceptor determines the Coulomb interaction.77 The explanation for these discrepant results is currently unclear. It may be related to the fact that the structure of the CuInS2/ZnS core/shell NCs investigated by Zang et al.67 is more affected by interdiffusion than that of the CuInS2/CdS core/shell NCs analyzed by Whitham et al.75 (see above for details). Indeed, Zang et al. observed a pronounced spectral blue-shift (viz., 230 meV) upon ZnS shelling of the CuInS2 core/shell NCs.67 This is indicative of a significant degree of alloying, which could impact on the nature of the Cu radiative recombination centers. It is thus likely that the behavior observed for individual CuInS2/CdS core/shell NCs75 is more representative of the intrinsic characteristics of single CuInS2 NCs. Alternatively, phonon coupling in the CuInS2/CdS NCs of Whitham et al. might be enhanced by the (quasi-)type-II charge-carrier localization,113 compared to the type-I CuInS2/ZnS structure of Zang et al.

An important aspect of the model of Zang et al. is the assumption that the emitting centers are “Cu-related defects”.57 Similar terms are used in several other papers to discuss the conduction band electron-to-localized hole recombination in CuInS2 NCs.58,103,106,108,114 A “Cu-related defect” may for example be an extrinsic defect, such as a Cu2+ on a Cu’ site (Cu1’), or an interstitial Cu2+ (Cu2+) neighboring a Cu vacancy (VCu), or a native defect such as a Cu1’-based Frenkel pair (VCu + Cu1’), or a Cu’ antisite (Cu2’), as they are known from bulk CuInS2. The notion that a Cu-related defect must be involved probably comes from the many defect-related emission lines known from bulk CuInS2.
For example, donor–acceptor pair recombination leads to relatively broad (fwhm: 23 meV at 4 K) emission bands at energies 100–150 meV below the band gap, while donor to valence band recombination yields narrower lines (fwhm: 2 to 12 meV) at 30 meV below the band gap, and bound exciton recombination yields lines as narrow as 0.5–1.5 meV with binding energies in the 20–30 meV range (see Figure 3).54 However, these values are significantly smaller than the PL line widths and Stokes shifts observed for CuInS2 NCs, even at 4 K or at the single-NC level. This suggests that additional relaxation and broadening mechanisms would be needed to account for the experimentally observed values. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that band-edge PL has never been reported for CuInS2 NCs, not even at 4.2 K,54 in striking contrast not only to II–VI and IV–VI NCs, but also to bulk CuInS2, where radiative recombination of the free-exciton has been observed up to room temperature, despite the dominance of donor–acceptor recombination (see above for details). This implies that the driving force for hole localization is larger in CuInS2 NCs than in the bulk, suggesting that the nature of the exciton radiative recombination in CuInS2 NCs differs not only from II–VI, IV–VI, and III–V NCs, but also from bulk CuInS2. From this viewpoint, CuInS2 (and other I–III–VI2) NCs are unique materials.

Results imply that the driving force for hole localization is larger in CuInS2 NCs than in the bulk, suggesting that the nature of the exciton radiative recombination in CuInS2 NCs differs not only from II–VI, IV–VI, and III–V NCs but also from bulk CuInS2. From this viewpoint, CuInS2 (and other I–III–VI2) NCs are unique materials.

Moreover, no experimental evidence is available that photogenerated valence band holes in CuInS2 NCs preferably localize on a “Cu-related defect” rather than on any other Cu-site. In fact, a large number of studies on CuInS2 NCs and CuInS2-based core/shell NCs have consistently found broad Stokes-shifted emission with lifetimes of >100 ns (see above for details) (refs 9–11, 48, 52–54, 56, 57, 60, 64, 66, 67, 75, 78–82, 84–87, 102, 103, and 106). Until now, NCs with a qualitatively different recombination pathway have not been detected, not even as a subset of NCs in a synthesis batch. Additionally, as discussed above, shelling of CuInS2 NCs, in particular with CdS, results in PLQYs as high as 85%, without significant changes in spectral positions, line widths, and magnitude of the Stokes shift. This implies that the Cu-related radiative recombination center is not only oblivious to the passivation of surface defects but also virtually ubiquitous. As discussed above, defect concentrations in bulk CuInS2 are high, and NCs may be even more defect-tolerant than the bulk material. However, the idea that a particular type of defect is required for the characteristic emission mechanism of CuInS2 NCs is difficult to defend. It would imply that all CuInS2 NCs studied so far contain this same type of defect. Given the variety of stoichiometries and surface chemistries studied and the small sizes of many CuInS2 NCs (a 3 nm diameter corresponds to just 42 unit cells!), this seems unlikely.

This implies that the Cu-related radiative recombination center is not only oblivious to the passivation of surface defects but also virtually ubiquitous. As discussed above, defect concentrations in bulk CuInS2 are high, and NCs may be even more defect-tolerant than the bulk material. However, the idea that a particular type of defect is required for the characteristic emission mechanism of CuInS2 NCs is difficult to defend. It would imply that all CuInS2 NCs studied...
the bulk valence band edge. Additional work, for example on larger CuInS$_2$ NCs (>10 nm) outside the strong-confinement regime, may shed more light on the differences between bulk and nanocrystalline CuInS$_2$.

Moreover, as shown by the DFT calculations carried out by Nelson and co-workers, native crystal defects are not required for hole localization in CuInS$_2$ NCs but they remain preferable sites, if present. Given that the formation of native defects in CuInS$_2$ NCs is a very favorable process, especially considering that nanoscale effects should lower their formation energy even further with respect to bulk, one can expect that native defects will be present in a large fraction of CuInS$_2$ NCs within an ensemble, if not omnipresent. We argue that it is thus plausible that hole self-localization on regular Cu$^+$ sites and localization on native defects (e.g., Cu$_{In}^{2+}$) coexist and lead to similar relaxation energies and comparable final configurations due to the very dynamic nature of CuInS$_2$ NCs and the small activation energies involved. This may be the reason behind the broad (and highly variable) single-NC emission observed for both CuInS$_2$/ZnS NCs and CuInS$_2$/CdS NCs.

Further research is needed to unambiguously establish the roles of both regular Cu$^+$-sites and native Cu$^+$-defects in the hole-localization process and the subsequent radiative recombination with the conduction band electron and to disentangle their contributions if needed. This will require the use of an array of sophisticated techniques, such as ESE-EPR, ENDOR, and ODMR spectroscopies.

Further research is needed to unambiguously establish the roles of both regular Cu$^+$-sites and native Cu$^+$-defects in the hole-localization process and the subsequent radiative recombination with the conduction band electron and to disentangle their contributions if needed. This will require the use of an array of sophisticated techniques, such as electron spin echo detected electron paramagnetic resonance (ESE-EPR), electron–nuclear double resonance (ENDOR), and optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) spectroscopies. ESE-EPR and ENDOR spectroscopies have been successfully used to reveal the presence of Jahn–Teller distorted Ag$^{2+}$ sites (also d$^0$) in AgCl after photoexcitation and to identify the nature of donors and acceptors in Li-doped ZnO quantum dots. Further experimental and theoretical work is also needed to allow the full understanding of the exciton transitions (absorption and emission) in both chalcopyrite and wurtzite CuInS$_2$ NCs. The strong exciton–phonon coupling in these materials is of particular interest as it seems to surpass that observed for bulk CuInS$_2$. As a final remark, it should be noted that, although we focused this Perspective mostly on CuInS$_2$ NCs because of the wealth of data available on this material, the discussion above also applies to the less investigated members of the I–III–VI$_2$ NCs, because very similar properties have been reported for CuInSe$_2$ and AgInS$_2$ NCs. It is therefore very likely that the radiative recombination mechanism is the same in all members of the I–III–VI$_2$ family.
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