Salinity Tolerance in a Synthetic Allotetraploid Wheat (S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}AA) Is Similar to Its Higher Tolerant Parent Aegilops longissima (S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}) and Linked to Flavonoids Metabolism
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Allotetraploidization between A and S (closely related to B) genome species led to the speciation of allotetraploid wheat (genome BBAA). However, the immediate metabolic outcomes and adaptive changes caused by the allotetraploidization event are poorly understood. Here, we investigated how allotetraploidization affected salinity tolerance using a synthetic allotetraploid wheat line (genome S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}AA, labeled as 4x), its Aegilops longissima (genome S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}, labeled as S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}) and Triticum urartu (AA genome, labeled as AA) parents. We found that the degree of salinity tolerance of 4x was similar to its S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1} parent, and both were substantially more tolerant to salinity stress than AA. This suggests that the S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1} subgenome exerts a dominant effect for this trait in 4x.

Compared with S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1} and 4x, the salinity-stressed AA plants did not accumulate a higher concentration of Na\textsuperscript{+} in leaves, but showed severe membrane peroxidation and accumulated a higher concentration of ROS (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}·−) and a lesser concentration of flavonoids, indicating that ROS metabolism plays a key role in saline sensitivity. Exogenous flavonoid application to roots of AA plants significantly relieved salinity-caused injury. Our results suggest that the higher accumulation of flavonoids in S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1} may contribute to ROS scavenging and salinity tolerance, and these physiological properties were stably inherited by the nascent allotetraploid S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}AA.
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HIGHLIGHTS

- *Triticum urartu* (AA genome) shows a clear defect in the synthesis/accumulation of many flavonoids.
- Flavonoids play a vital role in salinity tolerance differentiation among wheat lines with different genome components.
- Synthetic allotetraploid wheat (genome S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}AA) acquires strong salinity tolerance by directly inheriting the flavonoid metabolism traits of its *Aegilops longissima* (S\textsuperscript{1}S\textsuperscript{1}) parent.
INTRODUCTION

Polyploidy, or whole-genome duplication (WGD), is a driving force in the evolution and diversification of all organisms, especially predominant in higher plants (Fox et al., 2020); this is evidenced by the fact that all angiosperm species have undergone one or more WGD events during their evolutionary histories (Jiao et al., 2011; Soltis et al., 2015; Van de Peer et al., 2017; Fox et al., 2020). Taxonomically, polyploids are classified into two major types, autopolyploidy, i.e., WGD of a single species and allopolyploidy that invokes WGD of a hybrid of two or more species. Many important crops, such as wheat, *Brassica napus*, coffee, and cotton, are allopolyploids, suggesting greater responsiveness of doubled hybrid genomes to strong artificial selections under domestication. One unique biological property of allopolyploidy is the combination of advantageous traits of parental species (Comai, 2005; Chen, 2007). However, the phenotypic outcome of merging two or more divergent genomes into one nucleus is not straightforwardly predictable due to, among other factors, the complex interaction and rewiring of gene regulatory networks of distinct species (Yoo et al., 2014).

Plants produce a huge array of metabolites, far more than other organisms do (Fang et al., 2019). Biomolecules synthesized in plants, such as phytohormones, carbohydrates, lipids, nucleic acids, amino acids, vitamins, and some secondary metabolites, play essential roles in the growth, development, and adaptation of plants. These different types of metabolites coordinate to construct complex metabolism networks, in which a change of any metabolite may influence the synthesis of many other metabolites (Buchanan et al., 2015). Natural selection can lead to the extension or deletion of metabolic steps of a pathway via mutation of enzymes, resulting in the loss of metabolites or the emergence of new metabolites (Firn and Jones, 2004).

The *Triticum–Aegilops* complex contains single or combinations of 8 genomes (A, C, D, U, T, M, N, and S) (Zohary and Feldman, 1962; Zhang et al., 2016). Of these, only A-, S- (closely related to B), and D-genome species were involved as subgenome donors to hexaploid common wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, genome BBAADD). Common wheat is formed through two allopolyploidization events (Uauy, 2017). Allopolyploidization between A and S genomes led to the speciation of wild allotetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum*, genome BBAA) 0.5–0.8 million years ago (Huang et al., 2002; Dvorak and Akhunov, 2005; Gornicki et al., 2014; Marcussen et al., 2014). Common wheat was formed following the combination of BBAA genome from domesticated allotetraploid wheat and DD genome from *Aegilops tauschii* via allohexaploidization 8,500–10,000 years ago (Dubcovsky and Dvorak, 2007; Uauy, 2017). Although many studies have been conducted on the evolution of common wheat, less attention has been paid to the study of tetraploid wheat and its putative diploid progenitors.

The A- and S-genome diploid species of the *Triticum–Aegilops* complex are diverged from a common ancestor about 6.5 million years ago (Huang et al., 2002; Dvorak and Akhunov, 2005; Gornicki et al., 2014; Marcussen et al., 2014; Li et al., 2021). These species inhabit distinct ecological niches and should have evolved species-specific secondary metabolism pathways. It is therefore of interest to investigate the metabolic outcomes when the divergent genomes are combined by allotetraploidization. Indeed, several prior studies have reported that allopolyploidization influences growth and adaptability via mediating the accumulation of key metabolites (Lou and Baldwin, 2003; Pearse et al., 2006; Banyai et al., 2010; Xing et al., 2011). For example, innovation of herbivore resistance in synthetic allopolyploid *Nicotiana X mierata* was linked to changed concentrations of secondary metabolites (Pearse et al., 2006).

Soil salinization is a severe global environmental factor limiting crop production. Wheat is relatively salinity-tolerant compared with other food crops (Munns and Tester, 2008). It was found that synthetic hexaploid wheats (genome BBAADD) show immediately enhanced salinity tolerance following allohexaploidization (Yang et al., 2014); however, how allotetraploidization influences salinity tolerance is unclear. In this study, we focused on salinity tolerance changes in a synthetic allotetraploid wheat line (genome SSSlAA) formed by crossing *Aegilops longissima* (genome SSl) and *Triticum urartu* (genome AA). We compared the physiological and metabolomic responses of this synthetic allotetraploid wheat line and its diploid parents under both normal and salinity stress conditions.

RESULTS

Physiological Response

We used 4x, AA, and SSl to denote the synthetic tetraploid wheat line (SSlAA), its diploid parents, *Triticum urartu* (AA), and *Aegilops longissima* (SSl), respectively. We compared the salinity tolerance levels of the three lines and found that the Ssl and 4x plants showed similar better growth and higher survival rate than AA plants under salinity stress (Figures 1A, B). Salinity stress increased electrolyte leakage rate in all three lines, but AA plants showed a much higher electrolyte leakage rate than did SSl and 4x plants under salinity stress. Salinity stress strongly reduced root dry weight (DW) in all three lines, but with a greater reduction in AA (87.3%) than in SSl (71.4%) and 4x (55.1%). However, salinity stress produced similar inhibiting effects on shoot growth of all three lines. In addition, salinity stress decreased the root DW/shoot DW ratio of AA plants but did not affect those of SSl and 4x (Figures 1C–F). Under salinity stress, net photosynthesis rate, transpiration rate, and stomatal conductance were much lower in AA than in 4x and SSl plants (Figures 1G–J). We measured chlorophyll fluorescence parameters as they reflect the status of photosynthetic electron transport (light reaction of photosynthesis). Values of all the three chlorophyll fluorescence parameters (ΦPS2, ETR, and qP) showed a greater reduction in AA than in SSl and 4x plants (Figures 1K–N). Salinity stress decreased Fv/Fm in AA but not in SSl and 4x plants (Figure 1M). Salinity stress reduced the concentration of chlorophyll A and carotenoid in AA but not in SSl and 4x plants (Figures 1O–Q). Together, all the measured physiological parameters consistently point to similar salinity tolerance levels of 4x and its SSSl parent, both of which are much higher than that of AA plants.
FIGURE 1 | Effects of salinity stress on growth and photosynthesis in a synthetic tetraploid wheat line and its diploid parents. The synthetic tetraploid wheat line (genome S\textsuperscript{SS}AA, labeled as 4x) was generated by crossing and chromosome-doubling of Triticum urartu (AA genome, labeled as AA) and Aegilops longissima (genome S\textsuperscript{SS}, labeled as S\textsuperscript{SS}). The seedlings were exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 7 (C–Q) and 30 (A,B) days. The values are the mean (± SD) of three biological replicates. Different letters above the bar showed significant differences among wheat lines and treatments according to t-test (P < 0.05). Fv/Fm, maximum quantum efficiency of photosystem II; Fv′/Fm′, effective quantum efficiency of PSII; PhiPS2, real quantum efficiency of PSII; qP, photochemical quenching; PN, net photosynthetic rate, gs, stomatal conductance, Ci, intercellular CO\textsubscript{2} concentration, E, transpiration rate; ETR, electron transport rate; Chl, chlorophyll; Car, carotenoid.

Antioxidant Compounds
H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and superoxide anion radical (O\textsubscript{2}·−) are two major reactive oxygen species (ROS). In all three lines, salinity stress enhanced H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}·− concentrations in leaves but unaffected their accumulation in roots. In leaves, salinity stress-induced elevation in both H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}·− concentrations were much greater in AA than in S\textsuperscript{SS} and 4x plants (Figure 2). Under salinity stress, AA plants also showed much higher concentrations of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}·− in leaves. The accumulation of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}·− in roots was not affected by salinity stress in all three lines. Malondialdehyde (MDA) reflects the production of peroxidation of ROS to the membrane. AA showed much higher MDA concentration in
leaves than S1S1 and 4x under salinity stress (Figure 2), indicating that the damage of ROS to leaf membrane was much severe in AA than in S1S1 and 4x plants. In summary, under salinity stress, compared with S1S1 and S1S1AA, leaves of AA accumulated a higher concentration of ROS that may have caused a greater damage to the membrane system.

**Ion Contents**

We examined intracellular Na+ distribution in root cells with CoroNa Green AM under normal (Supplementary Figure 1) and salinity stress conditions (Figures 3A,B), and measured Na+ and K+ concentrations in leaves and roots of all the three lines (Figures 3C-F). Under salinity stress, AA did not display higher Na+ concentration and lower K concentration than S1S1 and 4x (Figures 3C-F). Actually, Na+ concentration was much higher in S1S1 than in 4x and AA leaves under salinity stress (Figure 3C). In roots, AA also did not show a higher Na+ concentration than S1S1 and 4x (Figure 3E). Also, confocal laser scanning microscopy with CoroNa Green AM revealed that AA did not show higher intracellular Na+ concentration than S1S1 and 4x in meristem cells and...
maturation zones of roots under salinity stress (Figures 3A,B and Supplementary Figure 2).

Metabolome Profiling
Collectively, we detected 895 metabolites in leaves of the three lines, which included 72 alkaloids, 68 free fatty acids, 208 flavonoids, 87 amino acids and derivatives, 84 organic acids, 52 nucleotides and derivatives, 49 saccharides or alcohols, 14 vitamins, 119 phenolic acids, 35 lignans or coumarins, 7 terpenoids and 100 others (Supplementary Tables 1, 2 and Supplementary Figure 3A). These metabolites covered key metabolites of almost all metabolism pathways (Figure 4). Of the 895 metabolites, 14 (10 flavonoids, 2 lignans or coumarins, 1 alkaloid, and 1 organic acid) were absent in all 12 AA samples, 9 metabolites were absent in all 12 S\textsuperscript{Sl} samples, but no metabolite was missing in all 4x samples (Supplementary Figure 3B). Of those 10 flavonoids absent in AA, 6 were glucoside and 1 was arabinoside (Supplementary Table 3). Our metabolome data indicated that 4x combined the metabolites of AA and S\textsuperscript{Sl} but did not
produce any novel metabolite (Supplementary Figure 3B), as further detailed below.

**Effects of Allotetraploidization on Accumulation of Metabolites**

We compared the relative concentrations of each metabolite in 4x and its two parents. According to effects of allotetraploidization on metabolite accumulation, we assigned all the 895 metabolites detected in 4x into 5 categories: (i) additive accumulation, (ii) *T. urartu*-parental dominant accumulation (AA-dominant), (iii) *A. longissima* parental dominant accumulation (Sl-Sl-dominant), (iv) transgressive-up accumulation (T-up), and (v) transgressive-down accumulation (T-down) (Figure 5A and Supplementary Tables 4–7). If the concentration of a metabolite in 4x was similar to that of AA but was significantly different from that of Sl-Sl, we assigned this metabolite as AA-dominant; the same definition applied for Sl-Sl-dominant. If the concentration of a metabolite in
4x was higher than those of both parents (4x/AA > 2 VIP > 1 and 4x/S\textsubscript{SL} > 2 VIP > 1), the metabolite was assigned as T-up. Conversely, if the concentration of a metabolite in 4x was lower than those of both parents (4x/AA < 0.5 VIP > 1 and 4x/S\textsubscript{SL} < 0.5 VIP > 1), the metabolite was assigned as T-down.

About 62.6% of all detected metabolites showed additive accumulation in control leaves, 64.8% in stressed leaves, 54.9% in control roots, and 60.8% in stressed roots of 4x. About 13.6–16.4% of all detected metabolites showed AA-dominant, 12.6–20.6% showed S\textsubscript{SL}-dominant, and 6.9–8.0% showed transgressive accumulation (Figures 5B, C). Most key metabolites of primary metabolism pathways showed additive accumulation in both roots and leaves of 4x (Figure 4). We investigated the salinity stress-induced changing patterns of metabolite accumulation in 4x. Notably, in 4x leaves, 25 flavonoids showed changes from non-S\textsubscript{SL}-dominant in the control to S\textsubscript{SL}-dominant following salinity stress (Supplementary Figure 4). In particular, we focused on transgressive accumulation of metabolites in

**FIGURE 5** Summary of non-additive and additive accumulation of metabolite in the synthetic tetraploid wheat line under control and salinity stress condition. (A) Heat map showing non-additive and additive assignation of each detected metabolite; (B) number of metabolites of additive accumulation and each non-additive accumulation type; (C) the pie diagrams showing metabolite proportion among different non-additive accumulation types. The seedlings were exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 7 days. CL, control leaf; SL, stress leaf; CR, control root; SR, stress root.
4x (Figure 5). In control leaves, the number of metabolites showing T-up was greater than that showing T-down (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 4). In contrast, in control roots of 4x, the number of metabolites showing T-up was less than that showing T-down. In control leaves of 4x, T-up was observed in 59 metabolites (19 phenolic acids, 12 flavonoids, 9 alkaloids, 11 carbohydrates, and 8 others), and very few metabolites showed T-down (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 4). In control roots of 4x, T-down was observed in 51 metabolites (4 phenolic acids, 9 flavonoids, 5 alkaloids, 25 lipids, 2 carbohydrates, 2 nucleotide derivatives, and 4 others) (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 6). Together, the metabolic data indicated that, under control conditions, many carbohydrates showed T-up accumulation in 4x leaves, and many lipids showed T-down accumulation in 4x roots. This suggests that allotetraploidization strongly enhanced the accumulation of carbohydrates in leaves and reduced the accumulation of lipids in roots under normal conditions (Figure 6 and Supplementary Tables 4, 6).

Salinity Stress Exacerbates Interspecific Differences in the Accumulation of Metabolites

In leaves, salinity stress elevated the concentration of 82 metabolites in AA, 184 metabolites in S1S1, and 150 metabolites in 4x (Figure 7A and Supplementary Table 8). In roots, salinity stress enhanced the accumulation of 124 metabolites in AA, 211 metabolites in S1S1, and 163 metabolites in 4x (Figure 7B and Supplementary Table 9). In leaves, salinity stress elevated the accumulation of 28 flavonoids in S1S1, 33 flavonoids in 4x, and only 6 flavonoids in AA (Figures 7A,C). Similarly, in roots,
Salinity stress elevated the accumulation of 37 flavonoids in $S_1S_1$, 28 flavonoids in 4x, and only 5 flavonoids in AA (Figures 7B,D). To further explore the roles of flavonoid metabolism in salinity tolerance differences between AA and other wheat lines, we tabulated metabolites showing lower concentrations in AA than in 4x and $S_1S_1$ (4x/AA > 2 and $S_1S_1$/AA > 2) (Figure 8). We found 87 such metabolites (40 flavonoids) in control leaves, 122 (51 flavonoids) in stressed leaves, 43 (12 flavonoids) in control roots, and 79 (21 flavonoids) in stressed roots. We also tabulated the metabolites that displayed extremely low concentrations in AA compared with those in 4x and $S_1S_1$ (4x/AA > 10 and $S_1S_1$/AA > 10) and found 41 such metabolites (29 flavonoids, 6 alkaloids, and 6 others) in control leaves and 48 such metabolites (27 flavonoids, 10 alkaloids, 4 phenolic acids, and 7 others) in stressed leaves (Figure 8). Relative concentrations of some flavonoids with dramatic changes are shown in Figure 9. For these flavonoids, enhancement by salinity stress was much greater in leaves of 4x and $S_1S_1$ than in leaves of AA (Figure 9). Naringenin concentration was enhanced in 4x leaves but not in AA and $S_1S_1$ leaves (Figure 9). We also conducted a two-way ANOVA analysis (treatment vs. species) for each metabolite in which smaller $P$-value and greater $F$ value indicated larger variation caused by species, stress, or their interaction (Supplementary Table 10). We listed the top 50 metabolites by ranking $P$ values and $F$ values of ANOVA analysis among species in leaves and found that 36 of the 50 top metabolites were flavonoids (Supplementary Table 10). In addition, principal component analysis (PCA) showed that the variation among the three wheat lines in flavonoid accumulation in roots was much smaller than that in leaves (Supplementary Figure 5). Collectively, our metabolomic data suggest that salinity stress exacerbated the intrinsic interspecific differences in flavonoid metabolism among the three wheat lines, the differences were much greater in leaves than in roots, and that the AA plants accumulated much lower levels of flavonoids than did $S_1S_1$ and 4x plants with the latter two lines being similar.

**Effects of Exogenous Flavonoid on Salinity Tolerance**

We added the flavonoid (naringenin) to NaCl treatment solution. When stress and flavonoid treatments were applied for 10 days, we measured the MDA content, electrolyte extravasation rate, $H_2O_2$, and $O_2^-$ in leaves (Figure 10). Results showed that exogenous application of flavonoid significantly reduced the values of MDA, electrolyte extravasation rate, $H_2O_2$, and $O_2^-$ in salinity-stressed AA leaves, but not in leaves of 4x and $S_1S_1$ plants. These data revealed that exogenous flavonoid application to roots significantly relieved the injury of salinity stress on AA plants, but this alleviative effect was not observed in 4x and SS plants.

**DISCUSSION**

$S_1S_1$ Subgenome Has Dominant Effect on Salinity Tolerance in Synthetic Allotetraploid Wheat ($S_1S_1$AA)

Plants in the whole biosphere collectively produce more than one million metabolites (Moghe et al., 2017). However, a single plant species may only produce 5,000–10,000 metabolites in...
FIGURE 8 | Comparison among three wheat lines in metabolite level for different types of metabolites. The metabolite was counted if its level in AA plants was lower than that in either of 4x and S′S′ plants. (A) The metabolite level in AA plants was lower twofolds than that in either of 4x and S′S′ plants (4x/AA > 2 VIP > 1 and S′S′/AA > 2 VIP > 1), (B) the metabolite level in AA plants was lower fivefolds than that in either of 4x and S′S′ plants (4x/AA > 5 VIP > 1 and S′S′/AA > 5 VIP > 1), and (C) the metabolite level in AA plants was lower 10-folds than that in either of 4x and S′S′ plants (4x/AA > 10 VIP > 1 and S′S′/AA > 10 VIP > 1). The seedlings were exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 7 days.

Primary metabolites and some key secondary metabolites are found in almost all plants as they play essential roles in growth, development, and environmental adaptation (Sulpice and McKeown, 2015; Moghe et al., 2017). However, a majority of secondary metabolites are only found in limited plant species or species groups (Moghe et al., 2017). It is therefore of considerable interest to understand the outcomes of metabolites following interspecific hybridization, alone or coupled, with whole-genome duplication (WGD), i.e., allopolyploidization. Here, we addressed this question using a stable synthetic allotetraploid (S′S′/AA) and its two parental species, *Ae. longissima* (S′S′) and *T. urartu* (AA) (Zhang et al., 2013). Collectively, we detected 895 metabolites in these three lines under both normal and salinity stress conditions, totaling 36 samples. We found that each parental species lacked some metabolites, as expected, while the allotetraploid expressed all metabolites of both parents but did not produce any novel metabolite. This indicates that qualitatively, the synthetic allotetraploid manifested perfect metabolome additivity of its parents.

Quantitatively, however, only ca. 54.9–64.8% of metabolites showed strict (statistical) additive accumulation in the synthetic allotetraploid wheat (S′S′/AA), while the rest showed either one parental dominance or transgressive accumulation. This is consistent with our earlier results demonstrating that there were extensive transgressive gene expressions in this allotetraploid (Zhang et al., 2016). Although most of the metabolites showed additive accumulation and 6.9–8.0% metabolites showed transgressive accumulation, the S′S′/AA plants did not display transgressive salinity tolerance or mid-parent salinity tolerance.
level. Instead, the salinity tolerance of $S^1S^1AA$ is highly similar to that of its $S^1S^1$ parent, suggesting that the $S^1S^1$ subgenome has a dominant role in this trait. Metabolome profiling of all three lines and the ANOVA analysis highlighted flavonoid metabolism as the most prominent interspecific differences. Ten flavonoids were absent in all 12 AA species samples but highly accumulated in $S^1S^1$ and $S^1S^1AA$ plants. Also, $S^1S^1$ and $S^1S^1AA$ plants showed similarly higher levels of many flavonoids under both control and stress conditions than AA plants.

**Synthetic Allotetraploid Wheat ($S^1S^1AA$) Acquired Strong Salinity Tolerance by Immediately Inheriting the Flavonoid Metabolism Traits of Its A. longissima Parent**

Salinity stress exerts negative effects on plants via ion injury, osmotic stress, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage (Parida and Das, 2005; Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Wu et al., 2018; Yang and Guo, 2018; Flowers et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020). Previous studies have shown that lowering Na$^+$ accumulation and ROS scavenging are major salinity tolerance mechanisms in wheat (Wei et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Wang and Xia, 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021). Elevated ROS levels will cause rapid plant death (Liebthal and Dietz, 2017), and enhancement of the capacity to detoxify ROS can significantly improve salinity tolerance of wheat plants (Liu et al., 2014; Wang and Xia, 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021). In light of this, as AA was more saline-sensitive than $S^1S^1$ and $S^1S^1AA$, it was expected that Na$^+$ concentration should be much higher in AA than in $S^1S^1$ and $S^1S^1AA$ under salinity stress. However, our results showed that all three lines showed similar Na$^+$ levels in both leaves and roots under salinity stress, indicating that salinity tolerance difference between AA and the other two lines was not attributable to differential Na$^+$ accumulation. It is, therefore, more likely that the salinity tolerance differences between AA and the other two lines are attributable to differences in ROS metabolism.
FIGURE 10 | Alleviative effects of exogenous naringenin application on salinity stress-induced injury. The naringenin of 30 µg/mL was added into half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution with 100 mM NaCl or without NaCl. The seedlings were exposed to NaCl treatment for 10 days. The values are the mean (± SD) of three biological replicates. Star indicates significant differences between treatment with naringenin and treatment without naringenin and NS indicates no significant difference, according to t-test (P < 0.05).

Under salinity stress conditions, plants generally use antioxidant enzymes and non-enzymatic antioxidants, such as flavonoids, glutathione, and ascorbate, to scavenge excessive ROS. Severe stress frequently inactivates antioxidant enzymes, while flavonoids are extremely highly accumulated to play dominant roles in ROS scavenging (Agati et al., 2012). It was
reported that enhanced flavonoid accumulation can improve the salinity tolerance of soybean plants (Bian et al., 2020). In this study, we found ROSs (H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2^-$) concentrations were much higher in AA than in S$^S$I and S$^S$SAA plants under salinity stress, while the three lines showed similar activities of antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, POD, and CAT. Compared with S$^S$I and S$^S$SAA, AA plants showed clear defects in the synthesis/accumulation of many flavonoids. Likewise, salinity stress enhanced the significant accumulation of many flavonoids in both roots and leaves in S$^S$I and S$^S$SAA plants, while the accumulation of very few flavonoids was increased in AA plants. Exogenous flavonoid application reduced the ROS concentration in AA leaves but not in 4x and S$^S$I leaves under the salinity stress condition. We propose that, under salinity stress, a lower accumulation of flavonoids may have led to a higher accumulation of ROS in AA plants, which provided a physiological explanation for its weaker salinity tolerance. Accordingly, we observed the alleviative effect of exogenous flavonoid application to salinity stress injury in AA plants but not in 4x and S$^S$I plants. Combining this result with metabolome data, we conclude that flavonoids play a vital role in salinity tolerance differentiation between AA and other two wheat lines and that S$^S$SAA plants acquired strong salinity tolerance by immediately inheriting the flavonoid metabolism traits of its A. longissima parent.

Further studies are needed to elucidate the molecular basis underlying the metabolic characteristics of A. longissima (S$^S$I) which showed strong salinity tolerance and that is dominantly inherited to the synthetic allotetraploid wheat S$^S$SAA. If the salinity tolerance is controlled by a few genes of large effects, this species may have great potential to improve the salinity tolerance of hexaploid common wheat via genetic introgression or transgenic approaches.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

We used a synthetic tetraploid wheat line (accession AT2, genome S$^S$I, labeled as 4x) generated by crossing and chromosome-doubling of Triticum urartu (AA genome, labeled as AA) and Aegilops longissima (genome S$^S$I, labeled as S$^S$I). The initial seeds of AT2 were provided by Dr. Moshe Feldman from Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel, and the plants were then self-pollinated for six generations in our hands. We used euploid 4x plants as experimental materials. Seeds of 4x, AA, and S$^S$S plants were sown in plastic pots containing washed sand. All the pots were watered with a half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution for 30 days. The 30-day-old seedlings (tilling stage) were treated with half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution containing 150 mM NaCl for 7 and 30 days, and control pots were watered with half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution for 7 and 30 days. All plants were grown in a greenhouse with a growth condition of 22–26°C day and 16–19°C night under 16-h light. When the seedlings were exposed to salinity stress for 7 days, metabolomic analysis and physiological measurements were conducted. Five plants for each wheat line were pooled as a biological replicate, with three biological replicates for all experiments. The plant samples were freeze-dried for metabolomic analysis and physiological measurements.

**Physiological Measurements**

Photosynthetic parameters of fully expanded mature leaves were determined using a portable open flow gas exchange system LI-6800 (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, United States). The photosynthetically active radiation was 1,200 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Chlorophyll contents in the leaves were determined according to the method of Ni et al. (2009). The activity of peroxidase (POD, EC 1.11.1.7) was measured according to the method of Muñoz-Muñoz et al. (2009). POD was extracted from fresh samples using cold extraction buffer (0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.8). The reaction solution contains 5 µM 2-methoxyphenol and 0.01% H$_2$O$_2$ in 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.0). POD activity of the samples was measured by the addition of 40 µL crude enzyme extract to 3 mL reaction solution. An increase in absorbance at 470 nm was used to quantify the activity of POD, and one unit of POD was defined as an increase in OD value of 0.01 per minute. The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) was determined by the SOD assay kit (Comin, Suzhou, China) using the NBT method (Tang, 1999), and one unit was defined as OD decrease per 30 min at 560 nm. The activity of catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) was measured using the method of Aebi (1984). CAT was extracted from fresh samples with 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). CAT activity was measured through the addition of 100 µL crude enzyme extract to 3 mL reaction mix (0.05% H$_2$O$_2$ in 0.15 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0). A decrease in the OD value at 240 nm was used to quantify catalase activity, and one unit of CAT was defined OD value decrease of 0.01 per minute. Total protein content was measured using the Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 staining method (Bradford, 1976). Activities of SOD, POD, and catalase were expressed as unit protein mg$^{-1}$. Malondialdehyde (MDA) is an end product of lipid peroxidation. MDA concentrations of fresh samples were determined using the thiobarbituric acid reaction according to the method described in Tang (1999). The production rate of superoxide anion radical (O$_2^-$) in fresh samples was measured using the method described in Tang (1999). Concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ in fresh samples were measured with an H$_2$O$_2$ assay kit (Sangon D799773-0050, Shanghai, China). The freeze-dried samples also were digested with 65% HNO$_3$ at 120°C, and their Na$^+$ and K$^+$ concentrations were measured by an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (TAS-900uper, PERSEE, China).

**Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy Measurements**

Germinated seeds for three wheat lines were grown in Petri dishes containing half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution for 2 days, and then all seedlings were transformed to buckets containing 2 L of half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution.
After 10 days of hydroponic culture, the seedlings were exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 7 days, and control seedlings were grown with a half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution. CoroNa Green acetoxy methyl (AM) ester (product ID 2140298, Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) was used to indicate the Na\(^{+}\) distribution in wheat root cells according to the method of Wang et al. (2016). CoroNa Green AM was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide as a stock solution. The roots were incubated in a dye-containing buffer solution (20 µM Ca\(^{2+}\)·MES, pH 6.1) for 1 h in the dark. The dyed seedlings were washed with distilled water to remove CoroNa Green AM. The fluorescence intensity in the meristem and the maturation zone of the roots were measured using a confocal laser scanning microscopy with 488 nm excitation and 505–525 nm emission (Zeiss LSM880, Germany). Five individuals for each wheat line and each treatment were measured as five biological replicates. Microscopy image for each sample was analyzed with Zeiss ZEN 2.6 software (blue edition, Göttingen, Germany) to calculate fluorescence intensity for each root zone.

**Metabolic Profiling**

We used a widely targeted metabolomics method to quantify metabolites using the workflow of Chen et al. (2013, 2020). Briefly, the freeze-dried leaves or roots were pulverized using a tissue lyser machine (MM 400, Retsch, Germany) at 30 Hz for 1.5 min, and then the metabolites of each pulverized sample were isolated using 1 mL of 70% methanol. Following centrifugation (12,000 rpm for 10 min), the extracted solutions were filtrated before analysis. To construct the MS2 spectral tag (MS2T) library, we loaded a mix of all the 36 extracts into a UPLC-MSMS system (QTRAP, ABSCIEX, United States) according to the workflow of Chen et al., 2013. Retention time, m/z, and fragmentation pattern of the detected metabolites were exposed to database MWDB-4.0 (MetWare Biological Science and Technology Ltd., Wuhan, China) to identify metabolites (Chen et al., 2013, 2020). Subsequently, the relative concentrations of 895 identified metabolites for each sample were quantified using the scheduled multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) method according to Chen et al., 2013. The variable importance in the projection (VIP) value of each variable in the orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was used to discover differentially accumulated metabolite (DAM). We defined DAM between wheat lines or treatments as VIP > 1 and |Log2(fold change)| > 1.

**Exogenous Naringenin Treatment**

Exogenous naringenin treatment was conducted according to the method of Novák et al. (2002). The germinated seeds were grown in a hydroponic condition supported by a half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution. Two-week-old seedlings were exposed to each treatment. Naringenin was dissolved in methanol as a stock solution (100 mg/mL) (Novák et al., 2002). The naringenin stock solution was added to half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution with 100 mM NaCl or without NaCl to a final concentration of 30 µg/mL. All the treatment solutions contained standard methanol of 0.03%. Each treatment solution was changed daily. When the treatments were applied for 10 days, we measured the MDA content, electrolyte extravasation rate, H\(_2\)O\(_2\), and O\(_2\)^{−}\(^{−}\) in mature leaves using the above method.
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