Multiomic approaches reveal novel lineage-specific effectors in the potato and tomato early blight pathogen Alternaria solani
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Abstract

The effectome of the necrotrophic fungal pathogen, Alternaria solani, was determined using multiomics. In total, 238 effector candidates were predicted from the A. solani genome, and apoplastic effectors constitute most of the total candidate effector proteins (AsCEPs). Comparative genomics revealed two main groups of AsCEPs: lineage-specific and conserved effectors. RNA-Seq analysis revealed that the most highly expressed genes encoding AsCEPs were enriched with lineage-specific forms. Two lineage-specific effector genes, AsCEP19 and AsCEP20, were found to form a ‘head-to-head’ gene pair located near an AT-rich region on the chromosome. To date, AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 have been found only in a few fungal species. Phylogenetic inference revealed that AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 were likely acquired by the common ancestor of A. solani and A. tomatophila via horizontal gene transfer, probably mediated by long terminal repeat retrotransposon. RT-qPCR analysis showed that AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 are tightly coexpressed in a host-specific manner and that they are upregulated at advanced stages of A. solani infection only in solanaceous hosts. Transient expression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in Nicotiana benthamiana plants showed that these effectors could promote Phytophthora infestans infection. AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 were required for the full virulence of A. solani on host potato, because deletion of this gene pair significantly reduced the size of necrotic lesions on potato leaves. Transient expression of AsCEP20 could elicit plant cell death depending on the presence of its signal peptide, indicating that AsCEP20 is a necrosis-inducing apoplastic effector with the mature form localized specifically in chloroplasts. Our work provides a better understanding of the function and evolution of necrotrophic fungal effectors, and helps explain the high aggressiveness of A. solani against solanaceous crops.
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Background

Alternaria solani and A. tomatophila (formerly named A. linariae) are sister species in phylogeny; both are necrotrophic pathogens that cause early blight in potato (Solanum tuberosum) and tomato (S. lycopersicum) plants (Woudenberg et al. 2014; Adhikari et al. 2017). In general, species within section Porri of the genus Alternaria have developed a certain level of host specificity, and are
detriment to their primary host plants (Ozkilinc et al. 2017). For example, *A. solani* and *A. tomatophila* are well adapted to solanaceous crops, such as potato and tomato. *A. solani* varies slightly from *A. tomatophila* in virulence in that *A. solani* is equally aggressive to both potato and tomato plants, but *A. tomatophila* is more aggressive to the latter (Gannibal et al. 2014). Knowledge of the genetic and molecular basis for differences in pathogenicity between *Alternaria* lineages, especially for the high aggressiveness of *A. solani* and *A. tomatophila* on solanaceous crops, is still limited. Previous research on pathogenicity of *Alternaria* species has mainly focused on phytopathogens, plant cell wall-degrading enzymes and melanin (Tsuge et al. 2013; Meena et al. 2017). However, it is still unclear what type of effector proteins are secreted by early blight fungus and how these effectors participate in pathogenicity. Until the present study, no effector has been reported from *A. solani* or *A. tomatophila*.

Pathogenic variation among lineages could be partially explained by the presence-and-absence variation (PAV) of genes. Lineage-specific genes usually play roles in determining virulence, dictating the host range, shaping host specificity, and enabling host jumping (Borah et al. 2018; Fouché et al. 2018; Sánchez-Vallet et al. 2018; de Vries et al. 2020). *Fusarium oxysporum* has diverged into two lineages that infect humans or plants by the acquisition of small chromosomes, which are rich in lineage-specific genes encoding effectors or other virulence factors (Ma et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2020). Acquisition of lineage-specific genes through horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is an important driving force in fungal evolution. It allows fungal pathogens to acquire new virulence factor genes from other species. The most well-known HGT event between phytopathogenic fungi is related to *ToxA*, which encodes a host-specific toxin (HST) that can interact with the product of the *Tsn1* gene in wheat (Adhikari et al. 2009). Acquisition of *ToxA* by *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* led to increased virulence and severe tan spot epidemics (Friesen et al. 2006). It has been confirmed that *ToxA* has been subjected to HGT between three different wheat pathogenic fungi, *Parastagonospora nodorum*, *P. tritici-repentis*, and *Bipolaris sorokiniana* (McDonald et al. 2019). HGT is particularly important for those pathogenic fungi that are considered to be strictly asexual, such as *Verticillium dahliae*, *F. oxysporum*, and *A. alternata* (Reinhardt et al. 2021). It has been reported that a lineage-specific fragment encoding virulence factors was horizontally transferred from *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*, the pathogen causing *Fusarium* wilt of cotton, to *V. dahliae* strain Vd991, and this HGT event makes strain Vd991 a hypervirulent race on cotton (Chen et al. 2018a, b). HGT of conditionally dispensable chromosomes has been revealed in *Alternaria* species, and therefore different pathogenic lineages can carry extra chromosomes which harbor gene clusters for the biosynthesis of HSTs (Tsuge et al. 2013; Rajarammohan et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019).

Transposable elements (TEs) are often involved in HGT events, and TE insertions activate repeat-induced point (RIP) mutations in fungal genome to silence the inserted TEs, thereby accumulating G/C to A/T mutations and gradually forming AT-rich regions (Frantz-eskakis et al. 2020). The pre-existing AT-rich regions could serve as preferred integration sites for other TEs, followed by various types of mutations, including point mutations, insertions, duplications, recombination, and deletions, while subjected to host or environmental selection pressure, and eventually become lineage-specific regions as shelters for lineage-specific genes (Torres et al. 2020). Typical genomic features of such lineage-specific regions have been reported, such as frequent association with AT-rich repetitive sequences, presence of TE remnants, low gene density, and faster evolution, which are useful characteristics for identifying lineage-specific genes in fungal genomes (Fouché et al. 2018).

Three genome assemblies of *A. solani* (strains BMP0185, HWC168, and NL03003) and one genome assembly of *A. tomatophila* (strain BMP2032) have been published so far (Dang et al. 2015; Wolters et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018). The de novo sequence assemblies of *A. solani* BMP0185 and *A. tomatophila* BMP2032 are still highly fragmented (Dang et al. 2015), because the repetitive genomic regions are difficult to assemble using only short reads. The completeness of the genome sequence of *A. solani* HWC168 is better, because it was assembled using Illumina paired-end and mate-pair reads (Zhang et al. 2018). The genome sequence of *A. solani* NL03003 was assembled using long reads generated from PacBio SMRT sequencing, and NL03003 is the only completely assembled *A. solani* genome reported to date (Wolters et al. 2018). A contiguous genome assembly is essential, when the aim is to identify novel effectors or other virulence factors, which are often associated with lineage-specific genomic regions. Nevertheless, analysis of these complete or draft genomes provide insights into the biology of early blight pathogens, and these data are valuable genomic resources for comparative genomic studies.

Here, effector candidates were predicted from the *A. solani* genome, and genome comparisons were performed to assess the evolutionary conservation of the effector genes in *Alternaria* species. RNA-Seq data were used to identify the effector genes that were highly expressed in planta. A series of assays, including phylogenetic inferences, gene expression analysis, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transient expression, and gene knockout
were performed to reveal the roles of lineage-specific effectors in the virulence of this early blight pathogen.

**Results**

**Effector candidates in *A. solani***

The genome of *A. solani* HWC168 was predicted to possess 11,951 protein-coding genes (Additional file 1: Table S1), of which 238 (1.99%) were considered to be candidate effector proteins (CEPs) (Additional file 1: Table S2). Of the *A. solani* CEPs (AsCEPs), 157 (65.97%) and 28 (11.76%) were classified as apoplastic and cytoplasmic effectors, respectively, and 53 (22.27%) could be dual localized effectors (Fig. 1a and Additional file 1: Table S2). Obviously the apoplastic effectors constitute the major group of the total effector (p-value 8.38e$^{-7}$, χ$^2$ test). Based on the RNA-Seq data of *A. solani* (Additional file 1: Table S3), 209/238 (87.8%) AsCEP genes were defined as effector genes expressed in planta but with different expression levels (Additional file 1: Table S2): 10 AsCEP genes were expressed at high levels in potato leaves and ranked within the top 200 among all protein-coding genes, while 111 and 88 AsCEP genes had moderate and low expression levels, respectively (Fig. 1b). Conserved long range synteny was observed between the genomes of strains HWC168 and NL03003 (Additional file 2: Figure S1); furthermore, all AsCEP genes predicted in strain HWC168 have homologs in strain NL03003 and exhibit high collinearity (Fig. 1c), indicating a high level of conservation in gene content and genome structure.

**Conservation of AsCEP genes within the genus *Alternaria***

Among the 29 *Alternaria* species whose genomes have been sequenced (Additional file 1: Table S4), our PAV analysis of the genes encoding AsCEPs displayed ‘two-peaks’ (Fig. 2a). The first peak represents a cluster of 30 (12.6%) AsCEP genes that were only identified in *A. solani* or were also found in a sister species, suggesting that their functions might be associated with pathogenic traits within a very narrow lineage. The second peak consists of 101 (42.4%) genes encoding AsCEPs that were commonly found in at least 27 (90%) *Alternaria* species and represent a cluster of conserved effectors in the genus. Interestingly, the most highly expressed AsCEP genes were enriched with lineage-specific effectors (p-value 3.68e$^{-3}$, Fisher’s exact test), suggesting that *A. solani* has evolved a unique effector arsenal that appears to rely heavily on lineage-specific effectors (Fig. 2a and Additional file 1: Table S2).
lineage-specific AsCEP genes that are highly expressed, AsCEP17 encodes a hypothetical protein that did not return any hit in UniProtKB. AsCEP1, AsCEP13, AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 encode hypothetical proteins which have homologs in Cochliobolus heterostrophus and Corynespora cassiicola, respectively. Of the conserved and highly expressed AsCEP genes, AsCEP138 encodes a virulence factor, hydrophobin, while other genes encode hypothetical proteins with unknown function. A. alternata, A. arborescens, A. gaisen, A. tomatophila, and A. tenuissima share at least 190 (79.8%) effector genes with A. solani, while A. gansuensis has the fewest effector genes (118) in common with A. solani (Fig. 2b).

Novel lineage-specific AsCEP genes

Of the lineage-specific AsCEP genes showing high levels of expression, AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 lie adjacent to each other, constituting a bidirectional (head-to-head, H2H) gene pair which is located within a small GC-equilibrated region (15 kb) on chromosome 3 (CP022026.1.3) of strain NL03003 (Fig. 3). The small GC-equilibrated region is flanked by two AT-rich regions, which are located between positions 199,848 and 242,843 (43 kb) and positions 257,850 and 284,655 (26.8 kb) on this chromosome, respectively (Fig. 3). The distance between the 3’ end of AsCEP19 and nearby AT-rich region is only 1,012 bp (Additional file 1: Table S5). Two similar (94% identity) gypsy-family long terminal repeat (LTR) elements were found in the
flanking AT-rich regions, which are located from base pairs 217,219 to 223,363 and from 272,436 to 279,499, respectively. Within the *Alternaria* genus, *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* only present in *A. solani* and sister species *A. tomatophila*, which also cause early blight of potato and tomato.

**Homologs of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20**

Both *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* are small secreted proteins that are rich in cysteine (9/99 and 8/102, respectively) and are most likely to be homologs of the putative small secreted proteins, which have been reported in the *C. cassiicola* strain Philippines (CCP), a fungal pathogen causing leaf fall disease on rubber trees (Lopez et al. 2018). The CCP genome contains two genes (*BS50DRAFT_638061* and *BS50DRAFT_64582*) homologous to *AsCEP19* and one gene (*BS50DRAFT_627105*) homologous to *AsCEP20* (Fig. 4a). In contrast to *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* that form an H2H gene pair, the CCP homologs are located on different scaffolds. Genes homologous to *AsCEP19* have only been found in CCP so far, but genes homologous to *AsCEP20* have also been discovered in some *Colletotrichum* species (Fig. 4a). *AsCEP19* has no known domain, but *AsCEP20* contains a fungal calcium-binding domain PF12192 (HMMER search e-value 1.5e−9), suggesting that *AsCEP20* is a distant homolog of CBP1, a well-known virulence factor identified in the human fungal pathogen *Histoplasma capsulatum* (Sebghati et al. 2000). *AsCEP20* also contains the six conserved cysteine residues, a characteristic of CBP1 (Batanghari et al. 1998). In addition, *AsCEP20* and its homologs found in other phytopathogens contain two more cysteine residues (Additional file 2: Figure S2).

**Putative origins of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20**

The gene trees (Fig. 4a) and species tree (Fig. 4b) indicates that *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* do not have a vertical descent origin. Within the *Alternaria* genus, *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* are only present in *A. solani* and sister species *A. tomatophila*, and their homologs have been found in very few fungal species outside this genus (Fig. 4a). It is still unclear whether *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* arose through HGT or have de novo origins as ‘orphan’ genes. Although the CCP genome harbors homologs of *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20*, these homologs were weakly expressed at non-infection (spore suspension) or infection (in planta) stages. The total RNA-Seq read counts of the *AsCEP19* homologs, *BS50DRAFT_638061* and *BS50DRAFT_64582*, were all less than 30 in all the RNA-Seq samples, and no significant upregulation was detected in planta (Fig. 4a). Only six read pairs were mapped to the *AsCEP20* homolog *BS50DRAFT_627105* in all samples, suggesting its insignificant role in CCP. Low expressions of the CCP homologs were similar, as reported (Lopez et al. 2018). However, as a remote homolog of *AsCEP20*, the CBP1 gene is actively expressed (Log2 fold change > 9, adjusted p-value < 0.001) in the yeast phase (parasitic form) of *H. capsulatum*; this result is consistent with previous work (Gilmore et al. 2015).

**Expression profiles of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in solanaceous and non-solanaceous hosts**

Expression levels of *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* were significantly upregulated in the leaves of host plants upon *A. solani* infection (Fig. 5) when compared with those in *A. solani* grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates.
Interestingly, this H2H gene pair showed positive correlation in expression under all conditions, with the 95% confidence interval of Pearson’s correlation coefficient of 0.82–0.98 (p-value $1.06 \times 10^{-6}$). *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* were significantly upregulated at 24, 48, and 72 h post-inoculation (hpi) in potato leaves (Fig. 5a), furthermore, high expression levels were also observed in the other two solanaceous hosts, tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (Fig. 5b), and chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) (Fig. 5c), where *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* were consistently expressed at 48, 72, and 96 hpi, indicating that both of these effector genes play important roles in the interactions between *A. solani* and solanaceous hosts. In contrast, *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* showed low expression levels in the non-solanaceous host, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Fig. 5d), where the average Ct values of the two genes were greater than 34.59 and 35.34, respectively. The different expression patterns of *AsCEP19* and *AsCEP20* in solanaceous and non-solanaceous hosts suggest that the expression of this gene pair is controlled strictly in a host-specific manner.

**Transient expression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in N. benthamiana**

To better understand the roles of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in pathogenicity, the two effector genes were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves via agroinfiltration. Only the full length (FL) form of *AsCEP20* was able to trigger plant cell death in *N. benthamiana*, but not the signal peptide-deleted (ΔSP) form, indicating that the SP is essential for *AsCEP20* to induce plant cell death (Fig. 6a). Plant cell death induced by *AsCEP20FL* was also observed in tomato leaves (Fig. 6b). Neither the FL nor the ΔSP forms of these two effectors could suppress...
INF1-triggered plant cell death in *N. benthamiana* (Additional file 2: Figure S3). Transient expression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in *N. benthamiana* could promote *P. infestans* infection. No necrotic lesions were observed on the zone agroinfiltrated with the effector genes (AsCEP19 or AsCEP20) for 48 h. After inoculation with *P. infestans*, necrosis was observed at the inoculation site, and the necrotic zone enlarged gradually but not exceeded the agroinfiltration zone. The size of necrotic lesions on leaf tissue infiltrated with the AsCEP19FL or AsCEP20FL construct was significantly larger than that inoculated with the GFP control (Fig. 6c). Although AsCEP20FL induces plant cell death, which suggests that it can act as a necrotrophic effector in apoplastic space, we cannot exclude the possibility that AsCEP20 might have other functions in the cytoplasm. To investigate whether AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 have a potential targeting site within plant cells, AsCEP19-YFP and AsCEP20-YFP fusion proteins were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves via agroinfiltration. AsCEP19-YFP was observed in multiple subcellular compartments including the plasma membrane, nucleus, and cytoplasm, which resembled the free GFP control (Fig. 7). Interestingly, AsCEP20-YFP has a specific subcellular localization that was only found in the chloroplasts, suggesting that these organelles might be potential targets.

AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 contribute to the full virulence of *A. solani*

Gel electrophoresis of the PCR amplicons (Additional file 2: Figure S4) and their sequencing results (Additional file 1: Table S6) confirmed that the entire region spanning the H2H gene pair (AsCEP19 and AsCEP20) in *A. solani* HWC168 had been replaced by the hygromycin phosphotransferase gene (*HPH*). The deletion of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 (ΔAsCEP19+AsCEP20) from *A. solani* HWC168 led to reduced virulence on potato leaves (Fig. 8). The necrotic lesion (Fig. 8a) caused by the gene deletion mutant ΔAsCEP19+AsCEP20 (1.81 ± 1.16 mm) was much smaller than that of the
Fig. 6 Transient expression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in N. benthamiana and tomato leaves. 

**a** The full-length (FL) AsCEP20 (AsCEP20\textsuperscript{FL}) triggered obvious necrotic lesion at seven days post-infiltration. The GFP and INF1 plasmid constructs were infiltrated as negative and necrosis control, respectively. 

**b** AsCEP20\textsuperscript{FL} elicited plant cell death in tomato leaves. 

**c** AsCEP19\textsuperscript{FL} and AsCEP20\textsuperscript{FL} promoted P. infestans infection in N. benthamiana. AsCEP19\textsuperscript{FL}, AsCEP20\textsuperscript{FL}, and GFP (empty vector) were individually agroinfiltrated into leaves for 48 h, and then the infiltrated leaves were detached from plants and inoculated with 10 μL zoospore suspension (5 × 10\textsuperscript{4} zoospores/mL) of P. infestans. At 5 days post-inoculation (dpi), lesion size was measured, and the leaves were stained with DAB (Two-tailed Student’s t test, * P < 0.05, ± SD, n = 6)
wild-type (7.57 ± 1.74 mm), the $p$-value of a paired $t$-test ($t = 16.16$) was $2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ (Fig. 8b). Thus, this H2H gene pair contributes significantly to the full virulence of $A. solani$ on host potato plants.

**Discussion**

In this study, effector candidates were identified in $A. solani$ using a multiomics approach. Most of the AsCEPs were predicted to be apoplastic effectors (Fig. 1a), consistent with the lifestyle of $A. solani$ in that the necrotrophic fungal genome is enriched with apoplastic effectors (Lo Presti et al. 2015; Sperschneider and Dodds 2022). On the whole, most of the AsCEP genes appear to be conserved and their homologs were also found in many other closely related species (Fig. 2a, b), however, based on the actual expression levels of AsCEP genes in planta, it was evident that $A. solani$ largely relies on few lineage-specific effectors (Figs. 1b and 2a), but so far, their functions remain unclear. Of the lineage-specific AsCEP genes showing high expression levels, $AsCEP19$ and $AsCEP20$ appear to have been acquired by the common ancestor of $A. solani$ and $A. tomatophila$ via HGT based
on phylogenetic analysis and characteristics of gene loci, however, given the limited presence of \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} in the fungal kingdom, other possibilities, such as de novo gene birth, cannot be excluded (Figs. 3 and 4).

Both \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} have a high content of cysteine residues that might be involved in disulfide bond formation to enhance stability in a protease-rich apoplast environment (Wang et al. 2020). Furthermore, it is known that lineage-specific effector genes preferentially associate with AT-rich and gene-poor chromosomal regions (Testa et al. 2016), and \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} are no exceptions (Fig. 3).

The presence of LTRs in nearby AT-rich regions suggests that this phytoge HGT event was very likely mediated by an LTR retrotransposon. However, we still lack conclusive evidence of the donor organism of \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20}. At present, both homologs of \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} are only known from the necrotrophic fungus \textit{C. cassicola} strain Philippines (CCP), a highly virulent isolate from the rubber tree (Lopez et al. 2018). In contrast to \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} organized in an H2H arrangement near AT-rich regions, the CCP homologs are located in conserved core genomic regions but on different scaffolds. None of the CCP homologs were truly expressed in host plants (the rubber tree), so it is possible that these two effectors were previously required for pathogenicity but no longer needed for infection of rubber tree and have become silenced.

Avirulence (Avr) gene silencing has been shown to be an efficient mechanism for \textit{Phytophthora} pathogens to evade effector-triggered immunity (Dong and Ma 2021). \textit{AsCEP20} contains a fungal calcium-binding domain, PF12192, which was originally identified in CBP1, a critical virulence factor for \textit{H. capsulatum} known to cause histoplasmosis in human (Sebghati et al. 2000). \textit{AsCEP20} shares conserved cysteine residues involved in disulfide bond formation in CBP1 (Beck et al. 2008). Although the sequence similarity suggests that \textit{AsCEP20} is a distant homolog of CBP1, more studies need to be conducted to determine whether it still retains the function of CBP1: calcium binding and uptake.

Genes in H2H pairs are often coexpressed and functionally related (Chen et al. 2010). Overall, the H2H gene pair we identified here (\textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20}) was highly expressed in planta but not in vitro. A previous study showed that \textit{A. solani} conidia germination and germ tube elongation were observed at 12 hpi; penetration was first observed at 24 hpi and increased at 36 hpi (Dita et al. 2007). The expression level of the H2H gene pair was only slightly upregulated at 12 hpi but this was not significant (Fig. 5a). Thus, \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} do not belong to the first wave of secreted effectors, therefore their functions are probably insignificant in the initial colonization period when attached conidia germinate and grow on the potato leaf surface (0–12 hpi). However, the expression level of the H2H pair was upregulated markedly at 12–24 hpi, and remained high (Log2 fold change $>7$) at 48 and 72 hpi. This result indicates that these two effectors play important roles at advanced stages, including penetration and hyphae spread subepidermally in plant leaves.

Furthermore, the high expressions of \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} in other solanaceous hosts (Fig. 5b, c), chili pepper and tomato, were also confirmed, but not in the non-solanaceous host \textit{A. thaliana} (Fig. 5d). Taken together, this coexpressed H2H gene pair is strictly regulated and involved in the interaction of \textit{A. solani} and solanaceous hosts, and probably plays key roles to facilitate \textit{A. solani} infection at post-penetration stages.

Transient expression in \textit{N. benthamiana} showed that both \textit{AsCEP19} and \textit{AsCEP20} can promote the infection of \textit{P. infestans}, indicating their roles in virulence (Fig. 6c). Their function in fungal virulence was further determined by comparing the pathogenicity between the gene deletion mutant and wild-type strain (Fig. 8). Our results confirmed that the H2H gene pair was required for the full virulence of \textit{A. solani} towards host potato plants. Based on the phenotypes incurred by transient expression of \textit{AsCEP20} in \textit{N. benthamiana} and tomato, \textit{AsCEP20} is probably a necrotrophic effector that requires its SP to induce plant cell death (Fig. 6a, b). Similar findings have also been reported in \textit{Rhizoctonia solani} (Wei et al. 2020) and \textit{F. graminearum} (Yang et al. 2021). \textit{AsCEP20} was predicted to be an apoplastic effector, but surprisingly, \textit{AsCEP20} was specifically localized in chloroplasts (Fig. 7). The chloroplast is prime target of effector proteins since it is the major source of reactive oxygen species generation and a key component of early immune responses (de Torres Zabala et al. 2015). We cannot rule out the possibility that \textit{AsCEP20} might have a dual functionality. It is likely that \textit{AsCEP20} is secreted into the apoplastic space and subsequently re-enters the host cell cytoplasm, as similar traits have been reported in the \textit{Zymoseptoria tritici} effector Zt6 (Kettles et al. 2018).

Further studies are needed to uncover how \textit{AsCEP20} localizes to chloroplasts.

Effector genes acquired via HGT commonly play important roles in the evolution of fungal pathogens, by providing novel genetic materials involved in pathogenicity or associated with lineage specific niche adaptation (Fouché et al. 2018; Frantzakakis et al. 2020). In contrast to core effector genes that are relatively conserved across fungal species, the effector genes acquired via HGT by \textit{A. solani} might favor its host's adaptation to solanaceous hosts. HGT is a significant source of genetic variability, and is particularly important for those fungal species that...
lack sexual reproduction (Wang et al. 2019; Reinhardt et al. 2021), such as A. solani.

Conclusions
In this study, 238 effector genes were predicted from the A. solani genome. Comparative genomics and transcriptomics analysis revealed that A. solani has developed a sophisticated effector arsenal and relies on certain lineage-specific effectors to interact with solanaceous hosts. A pair of lineage-specific effector genes, AsCEP19 and AsCEP20, was identified and they seem to have arisen by HGT. Upregulation and coexpression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 during A. solani infection suggests their important roles in promoting the infection of solanaceous hosts and they might be functionally related. Deletion of this gene pair confirmed that AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 were required for the full virulence of A. solani towards host potato plants. Transient expression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 can facilitate the infection of P. infestans in N. benthamiana. AsCEP20\textsuperscript{FL} elicits plant cell death indicating that it is a necrotrrophic effector, and AsCEP20\textsuperscript{NSP} can specifically localize to chloroplasts.

Methods
Computational prediction of effector genes in A. solani
First, gene prediction was performed on the genome of A. solani HWC168 (GCA_002837235.1) using BRAKER2 v2.1.6 (Hoff et al. 2015). The proteome of closely related species A. alternata SRC11K2f (GCA_001642055.1) was used as a reference genome. In addition, RNA-Seq data (PRJNA574559) from our previous study were also used to improve gene prediction (Additional file 1: Table S3). The RNA-Seq datasets were generated from potato leaves that were sprayed with spores of A. solani HWC168 and subsequently detached at 48 hpi. The transcriptome of A. solani HWC168 was assembled de novo from RNA-Seq data using Trinity v2.12.0 (Grabherr et al. 2011); then alternative splicing variations were determined using PASA v2.4.1 (Haas et al. 2003). Finally, a consensus gene structure of A. solani HWC168 was generated by EvidenceModeler v1.1.1 (Haas et al. 2008) using evidence from BRAKER2 gene prediction and de novo transcripts.

Genes encoding CEPs were identified from the protein-coding genes of A. solani HWC168. A bioinformatics pipeline was established, which was modified from the general pipeline for prediction of candidate secreted effector proteins described in a previous review (Dalio et al. 2018). The pipeline consists of four steps and is executed in the following order: i) prediction of putative secreted proteins using SignalP v6.0 (Teufel et al. 2022) and WoLF PSORT (Horton et al. 2007); ii) removal of membrane proteins with TMHMM v2.0 (Krogh et al. 2001); iii) removal of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchors using NetGPI (Gíslasson et al. 2021); and iv) identification of CEPs by EffectorP v3.0 (Sperschneider and Dodds 2022). These AsCEPs were functionally annotated by BLASTp against the UniProtKB database, and protein domains were identified by SMART searching (e-values < 1e\textsuperscript{-5}).

Synteny conservation between A. solani strains
Intraspecific variation in A. solani is still unknown, A. solani strains from different origins might possess dramatic structural variations in local genome architecture, especially in the regions where the effector genes are located. Therefore, long-range synteny between the genome assemblies of A. solani strains was analyzed using MCScanX (Wang et al. 2012), and local gene collinearity between two strains were further examined. Although three A. solani strains are available in NCBI and JGI MycoCosm, unfortunately the genome assembly of strain BMP0185 (JGI) consists of more than 2,000 contigs which was too fragmented to be included in the analysis. Therefore, synteny conservation between the genomes of strains NL03003 and HWC168 was evaluated.

Identification of PAV of AsCEP genes in Alternaria species
Genome sequences of Alternaria species available from GenBank and JGI MycoCosm (as of March 2022) were used to determine gene orthologs using OrthoFinder v2.3.8 (Emms and Kelly 2019). To prioritize the selection of genome assemblies, the completeness of genomes was estimated using BUSCO (Manni et al. 2021), and complete or near complete genome assemblies were chosen for ortholog clustering. Of the Alternaria genome assemblies lacking gene annotations in GenBank, these assemblies were annotated using BRAKER2 v2.1.6 (Hoff et al. 2015). The ortholog data matrix was converted into a binary matrix, then the presence of AsCEP genes in other Alternaria species was calculated. To determine whether lineage-specific effector genes sit within or close to AT-rich RIP mutation hotspots, AT-rich and GC-equilibrated regions were identified using OcculterCut v1.1 (Testa et al. 2016). Any TEs within the AT-rich regions were detected using RepeatModeler v2.0.1 (Flynn et al. 2020).

Presence of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 outside of Alternaria
To test whether AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 originated via HGT, the gene trees of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 were compared with the species tree of Alternaria. Potential homologs of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 were determined by BLASTp against UniProtKB and NCBI nr, and any BLASTp-hit with identity greater than 40% and coverage more than 70% over the query was retained.
The sequences of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 and their homologs were aligned using MAFFT v7.453 (Standley 2013). To construct a species tree, Alternaria species were compared with each other and 12 fungal species from the order Pleosporales were used as the outgroup. Protein-coding genes were clustered into orthogroups using OrthoFinder v2.3.8 (Emms and Kelly 2019). In total, 1,216 single-copy orthogroups from all the fungal genomes were aligned using MAFFT, and then the multiple alignments were trimmed and concatenated into a super-matrix. The best amino acid substitute models for the alignments of AsCEP19, AsCEP20, and the concatenated super-matrix were determined using ProtTest v3.4.1 (Darriba et al. 2011). Maximum-likelihood trees were constructed using RAxML v8.2.12 (Stamatakis et al. 2005).

RNA-Seq data analysis
To determine whether homologs of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 might play potential roles in fungal virulence, the RNA-Seq datasets were retrieved from NCBI SRA (Additional file 1: Table S3), and the expression levels of homologs were determined. Adaptor and quality trimming of raw RNA-seq datasets were performed using fastp v0.20.1 (Chen et al. 2018a, b). The trimmed reads of each sample were mapped to the corresponding fungal genomes (Additional file 1: Table S3) using HISAT2 v2.1.0 (Kim et al. 2015). Mapping results were converted to BAM format and then sorted using Samtools v1.12 (Li et al. 2009). Reads counts for each gene were calculated using the htseq-count tool in HTSeq v0.11.2 (Anders et al. 2015). Differential expression of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 homologs between samples at infectious and noninfectious phases was determined using the R package DESeq2 v1.28.1 (Love et al. 2014).

Fungal isolates, plants, and culture conditions
A. solani strain HWC168 was grown on PDA plates at 25 °C in the dark, and mycelia were harvested after 8 days. To induce sporulation, strain HWC168 was grown on tomato juice agar plates in the dark at 25 °C for 8 days, and aerial hyphae were scraped off with a scalpel. The plates were subsequently exposed to UV light for 10 min and then kept in the dark at 25 °C/20 °C (12 h/12 h) for 3 days. For obtaining conidial suspensions, conidia were harvested with sterile double distilled (dd)H₂O and centrifuged at 1,970 g for 10 min, then conidia were diluted to 10⁵ conidia/mL. P. infestans was grown on rye agar in the dark for 10 days, and mature sporangia were harvested with 2 mL of sterile ddH₂O. Sporangial suspensions were kept in the dark at 4 °C for 2 h, and then at 18 °C in the dark for 1 day to release zoospores. Potato plants (cv Favorita) were grown in a greenhouse at 24 °C with a 16/8 h light/dark cycle for 8 weeks. N. benthamiana plants were grown in a growth chamber at 25 °C and 50% relative humidity with 12/12 h light/dark cycles for 5 weeks. A. thaliana plants were grown on MS culture medium at 4 °C for 3 days, and then placed in a growth chamber at 22 °C with 16/8 h light–dark cycles. Tomato (cv MonkeysMaker) and chili pepper (cv Chaotianjiao) plants were grown in a greenhouse at 24 °C with 16/8 h light–dark cycles.

Gene expression analyses using reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)
Expression profiles of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in strain HWC168 were determined during different stages of infection. Leaves of potato, A. thaliana, tomato, and chili pepper were surface-sterilized with 70% ethanol for 30 s, rinsed three times with sterile ddH₂O, dried on filter paper, and transferred to wet filter papers placed on 1% water agar in Petri dishes. Leaves were inoculated with 20 μL of A. solani conidial suspension or sterile ddH₂O (mock inoculation). RNA was extracted from mycelia grown in PDA and also from detached potato leaves inoculated with conidia at 12, 24, 48, and 72 hpi using EasyPure Plant RNA Kit (TransGen Beijing, China), and from detached Arabidopsis thaliana, tomato, and pepper leaves inoculated with conidia at 48, 72, and 96 hpi, and then treated with DNase I (TransGen). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from mRNA using Transcript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen) according to the manufacturer’s suggestions. The gene encoding β-actin (ACTB) was used as an internal control. qPCR was performed on a C1000 thermal cycler equipped with a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, CA, USA). PCR was performed with MagicSYBR Mix (CoWin BioSciences, MA, USA) and specific primers (Additional file 1: Table S7). Relative gene expression in the samples was calculated by the ddCt method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). Two-tailed Student’s t tests were used for comparisons between means.

Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression
To determine the phenotypic alterations induced by AsCEP19 and AsCEP20, two forms of protein, FL and ΔSP, were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana plants by agroinfiltration. The SP cleavage sites in AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 were predicted using SignalP. The INF1 elicitor of P. infestans (Kamoun et al. 1998) was used to induce plant cell death. Nucleotide sequences of AsCEP19FL, AsCEP19ΔSP, AsCEP20FL, AsCEP20ΔSP, and INF1 were obtained by gene synthesis (Stargene, Wuhan, China), and all sequences were tagged with GFP at their C terminus. Then, nucleotide sequences fused with the GFP
coding sequence were cloned into the plant expression vector pCAMBIA1301. The recombinant vectors were sequenced to ensure correct insertion. *A. tumefaciens* strain EHA105 transformed with a recombinant vector was grown overnight at 28 °C in LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics. *A. tumefaciens* cells were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MES, 150 µM acetosyringone). Five-week-old *N. benthamiana* were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* using a syringe without a needle. To determine whether AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 might promote the infection of pathogens, AsCEP19 or AsCEP20 were expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves via agroinfiltration prior to pathogen inoculation. *Agrobacterium* carrying GFP and effector gene (AsCEP19 or AsCEP20) plasmid constructs were injected to the left and right side of the leaf, respectively. Leaves were detached at 48 h after agroinfiltration, and subsequently inoculated with 10 µL zoospore suspension (5 × 10⁴ zoospores/mL) of *P. infestans* and kept in Petri dishes for 5 days. The detached leaves of *N. benthamiana* were decolorized using 95% ethanol in a water bath at 100 °C for 15 min, then stained with DAB. The sizes of necrosis lesions on the leaves of *N. benthamiana* were measured and compared using paired Student’s t test (Fig. 6c).

### Subcellular localizations of AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 in *N. benthamiana* leaf cells

Determining the subcellular localization of fungal effectors in host plant cells can reveal clues about the mechanism of virulence. The AsCEP19 and AsCEP20 gene sequences were tagged at the C terminus with the yellow fluorescent protein-coding gene (YFP), and were further cloned into pCAMBIA1301 for expression in *N. benthamiana*. The recombinant vectors were sequenced to ensure correct insertion and then were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101. *A. tumefaciens* cells were resuspended in infiltration buffer. In addition, *A. tumefaciens* harboring a pCAMBIA1301-YFP plasmid was infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves as control. The infiltrated leaves were visualized with a LEICA FCS SP8 fluorescence microscope (Leica, Germany) at 3 days post-infiltration.

### Gene deletion by homologous recombination

A gene deletion mutant of *A. solani* HWC168 was generated for the contiguous region spanning the gene loci AsCEP19, AsCEP20, and the region between them. To replace the target region with *HPH* gene, a 1009 bp downstream flanking sequence of the AsCEP19 gene (AsCEP19RR) and a 914 bp downstream flanking sequence of the AsCEP20 gene (AsCEP20RR) were amplified with primer pairs 1920-RR-F/R and AsCEP20-RR-F1/R1, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S6). *HPH* gene fragment was amplified from the vector pEASY-HPH with primers HPH-F3/R1 (Additional file 1: Table S6). All PCR reactions were performed using Super Pfx DNA polymerase (CW BIO, China). Three PCR amplicons were fused in the order ‘AsCEP19RR-HPH-AsCEP20RR’, and then cloned into a pUC19 vector using pEASY-Uni Seamless Cloning and Assembly Kit (TransGen, China). The fused fragment was subsequently amplified from the recombinant plasmid by PCR for transformation. Protoplasts of *A. solani* were prepared and transformed with the PCR product of the fused fragment using the polyethylene glycol (PEG4000)-mediated method (Goswami 2012). The transformants were cultured on hygromycin-resistant PDA for three generations, and the gene knockout mutants were identified by PCR using primer pairs 1920-LRHPH-F/R and 1920-RR-F-HPH/1920-RR-HPH-R (Additional file 1: Table S6), which targets the regions of AsCEP19RR fused with *HPH* and AsCEP20RR fused with *HPH*, respectively. To compare the virulence between the gene knockout mutant and wild-type strain in host potato, detached potato leaves (n = 17) were inoculated with conidial suspensions (10⁵ conidia/mL) of the tested strains. The necrotic lesions were measured at 5 dpi, and paired t-test was performed in R v4.1.3 to determine whether there was any significant difference in virulence between the mutant and wild-type strain. Similar results were obtained from two independent experiments.

### Abbreviations

AsCEPs: *Alternaria solani* candidate effector proteins; Avr: Avirulence; CCP: Corynespora cassiicola strain Philippines; FL: Full length; H2H: Head-to-head; HGT: Horizontal gene transfer; LTR: Long terminal repeat; RIP: Repeat-induced point; SP: Signal peptide; TEs: Transposable elements; YFP: Yellow fluorescent protein.
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# Pathogenicity and virulence factors in fungal plant pathogens

## Evolution and expression of effector genes

### Comparative genomics

- **Sequencing and Annotation**
  - **Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)**: Used for genome and transcriptome sequencing.
  - **De novo assembly**: Methods like Trinity or ABySS are used to assemble reads into contigs and scaffolds.
  - **Annotation tools**: AUGUSTUS, Transterm, GeneMark-ET.

- **Signal Peptide Prediction**
  - SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using machine learning.

- **Phylogenetic Analysis**
  - **RAxML-III**: A fast program for maximum likelihood inference of large phylogenetic trees.
  - **Phylogenetic trees** are used to infer evolutionary relationships and track the evolution of pathogenic traits.

- **Gene Expression Analysis**
  - **DESeq2**: A method for dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2.
  - **EdgeR**: An R package for differential expression analysis.

### Effectors and toxins

- **Effector proteins** are small secreted proteins that disrupt host cell function.
- **Virulence factors** include toxins and enzymes that help the pathogen evade the host immune system.

### Comparative Analyses

- **MCScanX**: A toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity.
- **BUSCO**: Used to assess the completeness of genome assemblies.

### Functional Genomics

- **Gene Ontology (GO) terms** are used to classify and integrate gene and gene product attributes into biological processes.
- **Pathway analysis** helps in understanding the biological function of gene sets.

### Pathogen-specific Genes

- **Pathogen-specific effectors** are identified through comparative genomics, functional validation, and in planta assays.
- **Pathogen adaptation** involves the evolution of new effector genes to evade host defenses.

### Impact on Host Plants

- **Host plant responses** to fungal pathogens include lesion development, systemic necrosis, and hypersensitive response.
- **Pathogenicity assessment** involves the evaluation of disease symptoms and severity.

### Future Directions

- **Molecular mechanisms** of pathogenesis need to be elucidated.
- **Phenotypic plasticity** and **environmental factors** influence pathogenicity.

---

**Note:** The natural text is a concise representation of the scientific content extracted from the original document, focusing on key findings and methodologies related to fungal plant pathogenesis. The information is structured to highlight the evolutionary and functional aspects of pathogenicity in fungi. The references cited are crucial for further exploration into the specific areas of research.