INTRODUCTION

Fracture is one of the most commonly encountered modes of failure in structural systems across a broad spectrum of applications spanning the civil, mechanical, and aerospace engineering fields. The prevention of fracture-induced failure is a major concern of structural design and has historically motivated the development of theoretical and experimental methodologies to predict nucleation and propagation of structural damage. While the general topic of fracture mechanics is very complex in itself due to the coexistence of multiple physical processes occurring over multiple spatial scales, the specific topic of dynamic fracture is possibly even more challenging due to the occurrence of crack surface roughening, instabilities, and branching. Detailed discussions on the implications and modeling approaches for dynamic fracture can be found in many sources. During the last few decades, the analysis of dynamic fracture has certainly largely benefited and made significant progress thanks to the rapid development of numerical methods. From a high-level perspective, the approaches available for the analysis of damage can be divided into two categories, namely, discrete and continuum. This classification refers specifically to the modeling of the damage interface so that, while in both cases the solid is treated as a continuum, in the former class of approaches the displacement is modeled as a discontinuous field across the fracture surface. In the latter category, instead, the displacement is treated as a continuous field everywhere (even across the crack surface), but the local value of the elastic energy is reduced by accounting for the softening of the material properties associated with the fracture-induced degradation. In the following, we briefly review some of the most accredited dynamic fracture models in order to clearly define the context in which our variable-order approach is defined.

Discrete approaches to the modeling of a dynamic fracture include extended finite element methods (XFEM), discontinuous cell methods (DCM), cohesive interface element techniques, discontinuous Galerkin methods, and lattice-based models. From a general perspective, these approaches are based either on linear elastic fracture mechanics or on the cohesive zone model (CZM). Owing to its computational and multiscale analysis capabilities, the XFEM has quickly risen in popularity and it is currently one of the most widely used approaches. In XFEM, cracks are represented as discrete discontinuities that are embedded in the damaged elements by enriching the displacement field according to the method of the partition of unity. This approach implies that the front of the discontinuity (i.e., the crack) must be tracked explicitly. While several tracking algorithms have been proposed over time, the front tracking process is quite computationally intensive, particularly in three-dimensional problems involving complex crack topology. Another important limitation consists in the need for a branching criterion which is often ad-hoc and limited to two crack branches. Exceptions to this latter comment are the formulations based on either the DCM or the interface elements, which however must be inserted in the model a priori hence posing the problem of knowing the location and path of propagation of damage. The front tracking limitation was addressed by the use of lattice models where the continuum is replaced by a system of rigid particles that interact via a network of linear and nonlinear springs. More recently, Silling proposed an approach denominated peridynamics that models the solid medium as a nonlocal lattice of particles described via an integral formulation. During the last two decades, this approach has received much attention and it has been used in many diverse applications. In the context of dynamic fracture, peridynamics has shown to be able to address several of the above shortcomings and could accurately capture crack intersections and branching in complex structures and materials. An important caveat of the lattice models derives from the fact that the springs stiffness is often defined heuristically.

This study presents the formulation, the numerical solution, and the validation of a theoretical framework based on the concept of variable-order mechanics and capable of modeling dynamic fracture in brittle and quasi-brittle solids. More specifically, the reformulation of the elastodynamic problem via variable and fractional-order operators enables a unique and extremely powerful approach to model nucleation and propagation of cracks in solids under dynamic loading. The resulting dynamic fracture formulation is fully evolutionary, hence enabling the analysis of complex crack patterns without requiring any a priori assumption on the damage location and the growth path, and without using any algorithm to numerically track the evolving crack surface. The evolutionary nature of the variable-order formalism also prevents the need for additional partial differential equations to predict the evolution of the damage field, hence suggesting a conspicuous reduction in complexity and computational cost. Remarkably, the variable-order formulation is naturally capable of capturing extremely detailed features characteristic of dynamic crack propagation such as crack surface roughening as well as single and multiple branching. The accuracy and robustness of the proposed variable-order formulation are validated by comparing the results of direct numerical simulations with experimental data of typical benchmark problems available in the literature.
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and various elastic phenomena (e.g., the Poisson's effect) cannot be reproduced exactly.

In the second category, the continuum approaches, we find the crack band method\(^{21}\), nonlocal integral damage models\(^{22}\), nonlocal stress-based damage models\(^{23}\), and the more recent class of phase-field models\(^{24-30}\). Phase-field models are undoubtedly the methods that have seen the highest popularity given their overall accuracy and ease of implementation. In phase-field models, sharp cracks are regularized by a diffused damage field while a variational approach is adopted to obtain evolutionary equations for both the displacement and the damage fields\(^{25}\). The formulation also includes a small and positive length scale parameter so that, in the limit for the parameter approaching zero, the phase-field representation of the crack converges to the original problem of a sharp crack\(^{31}\). The use of a phase-field regularization prevents the need for an explicit tracking of the crack surface discontinuity. It follows that the numerical implementation of the phase-field model is relatively straightforward when compared to the previously mentioned discrete approaches. An important disadvantage of these models lies in their high computational cost, which follows from the need to solve a coupled system of partial differential equations for both the damage (phase) and the displacement fields\(^{30}\). This limitation becomes even more significant when the phase-field approach is applied for fracture analysis in three-dimensional media. Additionally, phase-field models are subject to an artificial widening effect in the damaged area at the point of occurrence of instability\(^{30,32}\), which is in contrast to the microbranching and crack surface roughening seen in experiments\(^{33}\). A detailed review of phase-field models can be found in\(^{34}\).

In very recent years, variable-order fractional calculus (VO-FC) has emerged as a powerful mathematical tool to model a variety of discontinuities and nonlinear phenomena. VO fractional operators are a natural extension of constant-order fractional operators that allow the differentiation and integration of functions to any real or complex-valued order\(^{35}\). Contrary to constant-order (either integer- or fractional-order) operators, the order of VO operators can be defined as a function of internal or external system variables such as, for example, space, time, temperature, system energy, state of stress, or even a combination of the different variables\(^{36}\). This unique capability of VO operators enables the formulation of mathematical models wherein the order of the underlying governing equations can be updated using either the system’s instantaneous state or its history. Consequently, the corresponding model can evolve seamlessly to describe widely dissimilar dynamics without the need to modify the structure of the governing equations describing the response of the system. This highlights the evolutionary nature of the VO-FC formalism which indeed can play a critical role in the simulation of nonlinear dynamical systems\(^ {36-39}\). These unique capabilities of VO-FC have led to a surge in the application of VO-FC to practical real-world problems. Specific examples of these applications include modeling of the response of oscillators subject to spatially-varying order of damping\(^ {37}\), modeling of anomalous diffusion in complex structures\(^ {38}\), nonlinear dynamics with contacts and hysteresis\(^ {39}\), and complex control systems\(^ {40}\). A comprehensive review of the applications of VO-FC can be found in ref\(^ {41}\).

In this study, we present a theoretical and computational framework based on VO fractional operators and capable of effectively capturing the many features of dynamic fracture in brittle and quasi-brittle solids. We will show how the many unique capabilities of this framework build directly on the several remarkable properties afforded by these fascinating mathematical operators. The development of this framework involved the introduction of VO differential operators into the classical elastodynamic equations, to allow them to evolve in a nonlinear fashion while accounting for the growth (or propagation) of nonlinearities and discontinuities typical of dynamic fracture. This reformulation of classical elastodynamics using VO-FC builds upon the mathematical structure presented in\(^ {43}\) which focused on the modeling of the propagation of dislocations through lattices of particles using physics-informed order variations. More specifically, the VO model introduced in\(^ {44}\) leveraged an order variation law based on the relative displacements of particles within the lattice in order to capture the formation and annihilation of pairwise bonds. The general strategy followed that outlined in\(^ {38,42}\) for physics-driven VO laws for discrete systems. The approach resulted in the formulation of evolutionary VO fractional differential equations capable of capturing the transition towards a nonlinear dynamic regime (associated with the motion of dislocations) without having to explicitly track the location of the dislocation. In this study, we extend this general approach to continuous systems by formulating a VO elastodynamic framework uniquely suited for the analysis of dynamic fracture and capable of detecting the formation and propagation of damage by means of strain-driven order variation laws. The introduction of VO operators in the continuum elastodynamic formulation allows the governing equations to evolve (from linear to nonlinear) and adapt (by capturing discontinuities) based on both the local response and the underlying damage mechanism while eliminating the need for explicitly tracking the damage front. We will show that the resulting formulation is capable of capturing key features associated with the dynamic fracture mechanism such as roughening of the crack surface, crack instability, and crack branching without the need of any a priori assumptions or ad hoc criteria. Further, contrary to phase-field models, no additional partial differential equations are needed to predict the evolution of the damage field. Indeed, in the VO framework, the damage field evolves naturally guided by the variation of the order of the fractional operators that depend on the historical as well as the instantaneous response of the system. Further, the VO operators enable a direct equivalence of the proposed approach with the CZM and allow accurate approximation of general softening laws (by expressing the damage function via rational functions). The VO dynamic fracture model is validated by applying it to the direct numerical simulation of three benchmark experiments available in the literature: (1) the Kalthoff–Winkler experiment that involves the impact shear loading of a doubly notched specimen\(^ {45}\), (2) the dynamic crack branching experiment\(^ {33}\), and (3) the John–Shah experiment that involves the impact loading of a pre-notched concrete slab\(^ {46}\).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of the numerical experiments

To demonstrate the accuracy and the robustness of the developed VO fracture mechanics framework, we apply it to perform numerical simulations of three classical benchmark experiments available in the literature. The first two examples refer to the Kalthoff–Winkler experiment\(^ {45}\) and the classical crack-branching experiment\(^ {33}\); both pertain to the dynamic fracture of brittle solids. The last example considers the propagation of a Mode-I fracture of a concrete slab under an impact load that was analyzed experimentally in\(^ {46}\). All three examples, the numerical results were obtained using a plane strain elastic model. The computational domain was discretized using uniform quadrilateral elements and the dynamic solution was computed using an explicit Newmark solver. Further, a lumped mass matrix was used in the dynamic solver to suppress high-frequency elastic oscillations (noises) and to ensure the conservation of energy. The time-step (Δt) used in the dynamic solver, was determined using the Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy condition. For a more conservative scheme, we used Δt = 0.9Δt = 0.9h/c, where h denotes the size of an element within the mesh and c denotes the speed of
compressional waves in the medium under consideration. Further
details on the numerical implementation are provided in
Supplementary Note 4. Further, videos of the growing crack front
in the three benchmark cases are provided as multimedia
supplementary information.

**Kalthoff–Winkler experiment**

The classical Kalthoff–Winkler experiment\(^{45}\) consists of an unrest-
rained doubly notched specimen subject to an impact load, as
illustrated in Fig. 1a. Following the original experimental setup\(^{45}\),
the specimen was made of maraging steel with the following
material properties: \(E = 190\) GPa, \(\sigma_u = 844\) MPa, \(G_r = 22.2\) N mm\(^{-2}\),
\(\nu = 0.3\), and \(\rho = 8000\) kg m\(^{-3}\). The characteristic material length

corresponding to the aforementioned material properties is
obtained as \(l_c = 0.012\) m. It was observed experimentally that, for
lower strain rates (\(\nu_0 = 16.5\) ms\(^{-1}\)), brittle failure occurs and the

cracks nucleate from the edges of both notches at an angle of
about 70\(^\circ\) with respect to the horizontal axis (which coincides with
the line of symmetry). In the following, we numerically analyze this
benchmark problem using the VO dynamic fracture model.

Given the symmetry of both the specimen and the test

conditions in the original experiment, we modeled only the
upper-half of the specimen in order to reduce the computational
cost. The vertical component of the displacement

of the displacement field was set as zero (\(u_y = 0\)) at the line of symmetry indicated in Fig. 1a to impose
the symmetric boundary conditions. To model the impact load, a
velocity boundary condition was applied at the nodes correspond-
ing to the impact zone and the impulse was kept constant
throughout the dynamic simulation. Further, the linear softening

law (see Eq. (14)) was used to model the degradation in the elastic
energy upon damage development. The damage pattern gener-
ated using the VO model is presented in Fig. 1b, c for two different
mesh configurations. The element size is taken as \(h = 0.5\) mm in
Fig. 1b, and \(h = 0.25\) mm in Fig. 1c. As evident from Fig. 1b, c,
although a sharper crack is obtained in the case of the finer
mesh, the overall crack propagation features are insensitive to the
specific mesh configuration. For both mesh configurations, the

crack develops in a direction that forms approximately 70\(^\circ\) with

the horizontal axis. The average angle from the initial crack tip to
the point where the crack intersects the top boundary is obtained
as 72\(^\circ\) which matches well with the experimental result in\(^{45}\).

Further, the crack intersects the top boundary of the specimen at
a time instant of 75 \(\mu\)s for both the mesh configurations; this time

corresponds to an average crack propagation velocity of \(\tau = 1064\)
ms\(^{-1}\). Note that \(\tau < 0.6c_R\), where \(c_R (= 2745\) ms\(^{-1}\)) denotes the
Rayleigh wave speed in the medium, as observed commonly in
experiments\(^{33}\). For completeness, we highlight that unlike the
results presented in\(^{54}\) and obtained using different FEM and DCM
models, we did not observe any spurious cracks developing from
the bottom right corner and traveling towards the tip of the notch.

**Dynamic crack branching**

In this benchmark problem, we model a pre-cracked specimen
loaded dynamically in tension as illustrated in Fig. 2a. This
problem has been widely adopted in the literature to study
dynamic crack branching, both experimentally\(^{33}\) and numeri-
cally\(^{1-6,30,32}\). The specific material parameters used in this
simulation were \(E = 32\) GPa, \(\sigma_u = 3.1\) MPa, \(G_r = 3\) J m\(^{-2}\), \(\nu = 0.2\),
such that crack branching occurs in the specimen. The simulations other surfaces of the specimen are left free. This load condition is instability, and Fig. 2c, d presents the crack pattern when the 2b depicts the crack pattern at an instant following the onset of traction of magnitude $\sigma_0 = 1$ MPa is applied instantaneously to the rectangular specimen on its top and bottom surfaces at the initial step and is held constant throughout the simulation. All other surfaces of the specimen are left free. This load condition is such that crack branching occurs in the specimen. The simulations obtained via the VO formulation are presented in Fig. 2b–d. Figure 2b depicts the crack pattern at an instant following the onset of instability, and Fig. 2c, d presents the crack pattern when the innermost and outermost branches reach the boundaries of the specimen, respectively.

The results obtained via the VO model lead to the following remarks. First, as discussed in ref. 33, upon the onset of instability several microcracks, develop from the principal propagating crack branch and interact with one another simultaneously. This process ultimately leads to a roughening of the crack surface. As evident from the inset in Fig. 2b, the VO formulation is able to capture in great detail the roughening of the crack surface due to the emerging microbranches. Similar to the experiments conducted in33, these micro branches vary in size and the larger ones develop into full-fledged branches. The remaining micro branches are arrested as a result of dynamic interaction with the growing ones. This set of results also highlights some of the advantages of the VO model over phase-field models which invariably capture an artificial widening effect in the damaged area at the point of occurrence of instability30,32. Also extremely remarkable, unlike classical dynamic fracture models that capture two branches5,6,30,32, the VO model predicts four branches nucleating from the point of instability. This result closely matches the experimental results in33, where it was demonstrated that the number of branches developed can vary between two and four. Further, we emphasize that unlike classical discrete approaches to dynamic fracture2,3,5,8 we did not impose any additional criteria within the VO model to facilitate the crack branching behavior; the branching and roughening occurs naturally as a result of the local response field. Similar to phase-field (variational) approaches, the VO dynamic fracture model leads to full crack identification without the support of additional branching conditions. Finally, as shown in ref. 33, the number of branches exceeds four when also considering unsuccessful (i.e., not fully developed) branches. This feature is also captured by the VO model wherein we see that a number of unsuccessful branches nucleate from the principal branches.

John–Shah experiment

Another benchmark problem to test the performance of the VO fracture mechanics framework involves the three-point bending of concrete beams subject to impact loading46. The geometry and boundary conditions for the specimen involved in this test are illustrated in Fig. 3a. In this classical benchmark problem, a pre-built notch (offset from the mid-span axis of symmetry) is used to study mixed-mode fracture in concrete beams. It was observed by John and Shah that the parameter $\gamma = h_l/2$ (see Fig. 3a), which controls the placement of the notch, plays a critical role in determining what failure mode and damage pattern would occur in the specimen after the impact. Indeed, there exists a critical value $\gamma_c$ such that, for $\gamma < \gamma_c$, the crack nucleates from the notch tip while, for $\gamma > \gamma_c$, the crack nucleates from the mid-span. In addition, there exists an intermediate value of $\gamma$ close to and less than $\gamma_c$ wherein both cracks develop. The experimentally determined value of $\gamma_c$ was $\gamma_c = 0.77$46.

We simulated this benchmark problem using the VO framework. The specific material parameters used in the simulation were $E = 34$ GPa, $\sigma_u = 1$ MPa, $G_I = 31.1$ J m$^{-2}$, $\nu = 0.2$ and $\rho = 2400$ kg m$^{-3}$. The degradation in the strain energy upon damage was modeled using Cornelissen’s softening law for concrete (see Eq. (15)). The impact velocity is given by the linear ramp17:

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{v_0}{t_0} t \leq t_0 \\ v_0 t > t_0 \end{cases}$$

(1)

where $v_0 = 0.06$ m s$^{-1}$ and $t_0 = 196$ $\mu$s. Using the above material properties, geometry, and loading conditions we simulated the dynamic three-point bending for three different values of $\gamma \in [0.72, 0.76, 0.79]$ corresponding to three different notch locations. In all three cases, the computational domain was uniformly discretized using elements of size $h = 0.635$ mm. Note that the characteristic material length corresponding to the material properties of the concrete specimen is obtained as $l_c = 2.1$ m. We merely observe that for quasi-brittle materials like concrete, the characteristic length-scale is generally too large when compared to the dimensions of laboratory specimens, and hence, the condition $l_c < l_t$ is virtually meaningless for quasi-brittle materials. The crack obtained for the three different cases is presented in Fig. 3b–d.

Overall, the results of the three numerical experiments compare very well with the experimental results. In particular, as in the experimental results, the crack nucleates from the tip of the notch for $\gamma = 0.72 < \gamma_c$ and from the mid-span of the beam for $\gamma > 0.79 > \gamma_c$, and propagates towards the top surface of the beam. Further, similar to the experiment conducted in46, a transition state is observed for the case $\gamma = 0.76$ wherein cracks propagate from both the notch tip and mid-span towards the top surface. It follows that the estimate of the critical notch location $\gamma_c$ obtained...
via the VO dynamic fracture model lies in (0.76, 0.79), which is in good agreement with the experimental value of $\nu = 0.77$.

In summary, the results obtained for the three benchmark experiments, demonstrate that the evolutionary elastodynamic formulation, based on VO fractional operators, is capable of providing accurate estimates of dynamic fracture in brittle and quasi-brittle solids. From a mathematical perspective, the peculiar properties of the VO Riemann–Liouville (VO-RL) operator enable capturing the behavior of highly nonlinear systems with evolving discontinuities, such as those involved in the nucleation and propagation of cracks in solids. We demonstrated that an apparently unconventional mathematical property of the RL operator, that is the non-vanishing fractional-order derivative of a constant, can have very useful implications to model dynamic fracture. The ability of VO operators to update their order as a function of dependent and/or independent variables allows the development of a standalone elastodynamic equation that can evolve in real-time to capture the damage development. The VO operators also enabled an accurate approximation of the softening laws for different materials, without requiring any a priori assumption on their specific mathematical form. This aspect was used to draw a parallel between the proposed approach and the CZM as well as to establish the length-scale insensitivity of the model. Furthermore, in stark contrast with the classical integer-order approaches to dynamic fracture, the VO approach does not require a priori assumptions or additional conditions to detect characteristic aspects of dynamic fracture such as crack nucleation, surface roughening, instability, and branching. In other terms, the nonlinear and discontinuous dynamic behavior associated with fracture naturally emerges based on the historical response of the system. Further, given the many recent advances in the formulation of fractional order mechanics as a comprehensive approach to nonlocal elasticity, it can be envisioned that the present VO elastodynamic framework could be easily integrated into a fully fractional formulation hence leading to a powerful tool for dynamic fracture analysis of nonlocal media.

**METHODS**

**Evolutionary governing equations**

We briefly discuss the general strategy leading to the formulation of evolutionary governing equations based on VO Riemann–Liouville (VO-RL) derivatives of constants. Then, we apply these operators to formulate an evolutionary elastodynamic framework suitable for the modeling of dynamic fracture. Some background and discussion of the fundamental properties of the VO-RL operators used in this study are provided in Supplementary Note 1.

A particularly interesting property of fractional-order Riemann-Liouville operators stems out of their behavior when applied to the fixed-order derivative of a constant. It is found that this fractional-order derivative is not equal to zero unless the order converges to an integer. While this is an unexpected and maybe even unsettling property of such operators, at least in view of classical integer order calculus, we will show that this property is of extreme importance for modeling physical systems exhibiting highly nonlinear and discontinuous behavior. Mathematically, the RL derivative of a constant $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ to a constant fractional-order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ defined on the interval $(a, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is given as:

$$D^\alpha A_0 = \left[\frac{\Gamma(t - a)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \right] A_0$$

(2)

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. Note that, although apparently counter-intuitive, this is merely an intrinsic property of the RL operator. The use of this property was originally outlined and extended to variable-order in [40,41] where it was applied to the modeling of highly nonlinear mechanisms in dynamical systems. More specifically, the properties offered by the VO-RL derivative of a constant creates a unique opportunity to formulate governing equations in an evolutionary form. In the following, we briefly review these characteristics in order to lay the necessary foundation for the development of the VO elastodynamic formulation.

Consider a function $\alpha(t)$ constructed using a continuous real-valued function $\sigma(t)$ in the following fashion:

$$a(t) = \exp(-\kappa\alpha(t))$$

(3)

where the function $\kappa(t)$ is some function designed to capture the desired physical mechanism of interest and the one producing the order variation. Specific details on the selection of this function in the context of fracture mechanics will be provided when addressing the VO dynamic fracture formulation. We emphasize that, while the characteristic function $\kappa(t)$ introduced above was defined to be a function of time $t$, the functional dependence can be extended to include any other dependent or independent variables depending on the specific physical problem. Further, $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is a scaling factor that allows calibrating the order variation on the scale of the characteristic response of the physical system. A detailed discussion of the procedure to determine the value of $\kappa_0$ is outlined in Supplementary Note 2 along with an illustrative example. For a given $\kappa_0$, the limiting behavior of $\alpha(t)$ is:

$$a(t) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \kappa(t) < 0 \\ \infty & \kappa(t) > 0 \end{cases}$$

(4)

Now, we can indicate the VO-RL derivative of the constant $A_0$ to the order $\alpha(t)$ on the interval $(a, t)$ as $D^\alpha A_0(t)$ or, in the interest of a more compact notation, as $D^\alpha A_0(t)$. Equations (2)–(4) lead to:

$$D^\alpha A_0(t) \equiv D^\alpha(t) A_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} \lim_{\alpha(t) \rightarrow 0} A_0(t - a)^{-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \right) = 0 \kappa(t) \leq 0 \\ \lim_{\alpha(t) \rightarrow 0} A_0(t - a)^{-\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \right) = A_0 \kappa(t) > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

(5)

It appears that, when the VO-RL operator is applied to a constant under the conditions in Eq. (3), a discontinuous (switch-like) behavior can be captured simply following a change in sign of the function $\kappa(t)$. It is exactly this switching behavior that can be exploited to simulate the occurrence of certain nonlinear and discontinuous dynamical properties of mechanical systems. More specifically, consider defining VO operators as part of a governing equation such that its variation can capture changes in the properties of the systems such as, for example, a change in stiffness (e.g., bilinear stiffness) or the occurrence of geometric discontinuities (e.g., dislocations in a lattice or crack in a continuum). In all these cases, the response of the system changes from initially linear to, potentially, highly nonlinear. The onset of either type of nonlinearities or discontinuities results in an implicit reformulation of the underlying system dynamics which can be captured in the order $\alpha(t)$ via the function $\kappa(t)$ embedded in it. In other terms, the governing equations describing a system can be implicitly reformulated via a change in the order $\alpha(t)$, following a change in the underlying physical mechanisms dominating the response of the system. This characteristic was illustrated to formulate evolutionary equations to model contact dynamics, hysteretic behavior, and motion of edge-dislocations in lattice structures [5]. In the present study, we extend this unique behavior of the VO-RL operator to simulate the initiation and propagation of cracks in solids. Such behavior is achieved by proper integration of the VO-RL operators in the elastodynamic formulation.

**VO elastodynamic formulation**

The strong form of the governing equation for a solid having a volume $\Omega$ (see Supplementary Fig. 4) is given in the well-known form:

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma + f = \rho \ddot{u}$$

(6)

where $\sigma$ denotes the stress field, $u$ denotes the displacement field, $f$ denotes the externally applied force, and $\rho$ denotes the density of the solid. The bold-face is used to indicate either vectors or tensors. The above equations of motion are subject to the following boundary (BC) and initial (IC) conditions:

$$\text{BC} : \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma(x, t) = \overline{\sigma}(x, t) & x \in \partial\Omega_D \\ \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{n} = \overline{\mathbf{t}}(x, t) & x \in \partial\Omega_N \end{array} \right.$$

(7)

$$\text{IC} : \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in \Omega \\ \dot{u}(x, 0) = v_0(x) & x \in \Omega \end{array} \right.$$
In this study, the damage variable is essential for a well-posed formulation and boundedness of the degradation function guarantees the convexity of the function. Recall that the property of VO-RL operators and degradation function must lead to a decrease in the elastic energy with an increase in damage size. In addition, the degradation function must be bounded in the interval \( \psi(d) \in [0, 1] \). The condition on the lower-bound of the degradation function (that is \( \psi(d) = 0 \)) guarantees the convexity of the potential energy of the system (= \( \frac{1}{2} \psi(d) : \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{e} \)), this latter aspect being essential for a well-posed formulation and finite element implementation. In this formulation, the damage variable \( d \) is defined such that \( d = 0 \) indicates the undamaged state, while \( d = 1 \) indicates a fully damaged state.

In the VO dynamic fracture formulation, we adopt a strain-based criterion to detect the onset of damage. More specifically, damage at a given point occurs when the maximum principal strain at the given point exceeds a critical strain derived from the elastic strength of the material. The VO-RL formalism presented previously allows us to define the characteristic function \( \kappa(x, t) \) which allows detecting the onset of damage following the strain-driven physical law. More specifically, we define a VO a \( (x, t) \) in the following manner:

\[
\sigma = \psi(d) \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{e} \tag{9}
\]

where \( \mathbf{C} \) is the classical fourth-order elasticity tensor and \( \mathbf{e} \) is the symmetric displacement-gradient strain tensor. \( \psi(d) \) is a degradation function of the damage variable \( d \in [0, 1] \) such that \( \psi(d) \leq 0 \); this latter condition originates from the thermodynamic consideration that the degradation function must lead to a decrease in the elastic energy with an increase in damage size. In addition, the degradation function must be bounded in the interval \( \psi(d) \in [0, 1] \). The condition on the lower-bound of the degradation function (that is \( \psi(d) = 0 \)) guarantees the convexity of the potential energy of the system (= \( \frac{1}{2} \psi(d) : \mathbf{C} : \mathbf{e} \)), this latter aspect being essential for a well-posed formulation and finite element implementation.

In this formulation, the damage variable \( d \) is defined such that \( d = 0 \) indicates the undamaged state, while \( d = 1 \) indicates a fully damaged state.

In the VO dynamic fracture formulation, we adopt a strain-based criterion to detect the onset of damage. More specifically, damage at a given point occurs when the maximum principal strain at the given point exceeds a critical strain derived from the elastic strength of the material. The VO-RL formalism presented previously allows us to define the characteristic function \( \kappa(x, t) \) which allows detecting the onset of damage following the strain-driven physical law. More specifically, we define a VO a \( (x, t) \) in the following manner:

\[
\bar{\psi}(x, t) = \max \{\psi \mid \forall i, 0 \leq i \leq \max \{\bar{\psi}(x, t)\}, \bar{\psi}(x, t)\} \] 

where \( \bar{\psi}(x, t) \) denotes the maximum principal strain at the point \( x \) and at a given time instant \( t \). Recall that a change in the sign of the argument \( \kappa(x, t) \) within the exponential of the VO results in a reformulation of the underlying governing equations. Exploiting the previously described property of VO-RL operators and defining a physics-driven variation of the order according to Eq. (10), the damage variable can be written as

\[
d(x, t) = -\frac{\kappa(x, t) - \epsilon_\omega}{\epsilon_\omega} \exp \left( -\frac{\kappa(x, t) - \epsilon_\omega}{\epsilon_\omega} \right) \tag{10}
\]

where \( \epsilon_\omega \) is the previously introduced scaling factor. \( \epsilon_\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is the material parameter defining the ultimate tensile strain limit governing the onset of damage. \( \kappa(x, t) \) is the maximum principal strain that occurs at a given point \( x \) until the instant \( t \). More specifically

\[
\bar{\psi}(x, t) = \max \{\psi \mid \forall i, 0 \leq i \leq \max \{\bar{\psi}(x, t)\}, \bar{\psi}(x, t)\} \] 

where \( \bar{\psi}(x, t) \) denotes the maximum principal strain at the point \( x \) and at a given time instant \( t \). Recall that a change in the sign of the argument \( \kappa(x, t) \) within the exponential of the VO results in a reformulation of the underlying governing equations. Exploiting the previously described property of VO-RL operators and defining a physics-driven variation of the order according to Eq. (10), the damage variable can be written as

\[
d(x, t) = \frac{\Delta\kappa(x, t)}{\Delta\epsilon_\omega} - \frac{\Delta\kappa(x, t)}{\Delta\epsilon_\omega} \exp \left( -\frac{\kappa(x, t) - \epsilon_\omega}{\epsilon_\omega} \right) \tag{12}
\]

where \( \Delta\epsilon_\omega \) indicates the maximum possible damage. Before discussing the specific role of the two terms in Eq. (12), we explain the different parameters introduced in the equation. \( \epsilon_\omega \) is defined as

\[
\epsilon_\omega = 2\epsilon_\omega \left( 1 - \frac{I_L}{I_L} \right) \tag{13}
\]

where \( I_L = 2EG0/\sigma_0^2 \) is the characteristic material length for an isotropic solid having Young's modulus \( E \), fracture energy \( G_0 \), and elastic strength \( \sigma_0^2 \). \( I_L \) determines a characteristic physical dimension of the area within which the crack is localized and, in numerical implementations, it is directly related to the size of the elements used for the spatial discretization of the domain. In other terms, \( I_L \) dictates the width of the crack path at a given point, that is the distance perpendicular to the crack path at the same point, within which the damage varies between its extreme values. Further, the parameter \( \epsilon_\omega \) governs the damage evolution rate that determines the level of damage via term II (see also, Supplementary Fig. 4). In order to guarantee the insensitivity of the results to the specific choice of the numerical mesh adopted, it is necessary that \( I_L < \eta_T \). The latter condition also follows from the fact that the size of the elements used to simulate the crack must be smaller than the characteristic material length for accurate resolution of the crack path. Additionally, the condition \( I_L < \eta_T \) ensures that the damage variable is bounded, i.e., \( d \in [0, 1] \), which is essential to guarantee the boundedness of the degradation function. Recall that the boundedness of the degradation function guarantees the convexity of the potential energy of the system.

It follows from Eqs. (10) and (12) that \( d(x, t) = 0 \) for \( \kappa(x, t) \leq \epsilon_\omega \) and \( d(x, t) \rightarrow 1 \) when \( \kappa(x, t) \geq \epsilon_\omega \). Thus, it appears that, when the maximum principal strain \( \kappa(x, t) \) exceeds the critical strain limit \( \epsilon_\omega \), the damage is

\[
\psi(d) = (1 - d) \left[ 1 + C_0 + 0.5C_0 + \frac{\psi_0}{C_0} \right] - d \left[ 1 + C_0 + 0.5C_0 + \frac{\psi_0}{C_0} \right] \tag{14}
\]

where \( C_0 = C_0 \epsilon_0/\psi_0 \). \( \psi_0 = 3 \) and \( \psi_2 = 6.93 \). Equation (14) is the VO approximation of the linear law for brittle materials, while Eq. (15) is the VO approximation of Cornelissen's law\(^{39}\) for concrete (a quasi-brittle material). Note that, in both cases, \( \psi(d) \) is a rational function of \( d \) and is bounded so that \( \psi(d) \in [0, 1] \) for \( d \in [0, 1] \). Also, \( \psi_0 = \epsilon_0/\eta_T = 2(1 - I_L/I_L) \) and thus, in the limit \( I_L \rightarrow 0 \) or \( I_L \ll I_L \) (that is when the crack is a sharp discontinuity), the softening laws are independent of \( I_L \). We have shown in Supplementary Note 3 that both the analytical softening laws are reproduced very well by the approximated VO laws in Eqs. (14) and (15) for \( I_L \ll I_L \). This result confirms that the proposed fractional-order approach is essentially equivalent to the CZM in a 1D setting. In Supplementary Note 3 we show that, for a well-posed model satisfying the thermodynamic constraint \( I_L \ll I_L \), the displacement jump (and consequently, both strain and stress) do not depend on \( I_L \). These characteristics indicate that the proposed fractional-order approach is insensitive to the length-scale parameter \( I_L \) subject to the thermodynamic constraint \( I_L \ll I_L \), at least in the 1D scenario. For more complex settings, the \( I_L \) insensitivity was established by comparing the temporal variations of quantities such as the elastic strain energy, the crack length, and the crack-tip velocity, for different values of \( I_L \) (see Supplementary Note 3).

The VO dynamic fracture formalism deserves some additional remarks. First, note that the constitutive relations defined in Eq. (9) result in an identical tensile and compressive fracture behavior which is not generally true when modeling the failure of brittle and quasi-brittle solids. Several researchers have captured the asymmetric tensile/compressive damage behavior by performing a spectral decomposition of the strain energy density and by degrading only the positive strain energy\(^{26,32}\). In this study, we incorporate this asymmetric behavior via the maximum principal strain-based damage criterion, which follows from the well known Rankine criterion\(^{36}\). In other
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terms, as described previously, the crack is allowed to nucleate only when the maximum principal strain exceeds the critical tensile strain ($\varepsilon_c$). This specific feature ensured via the VO defined in Eq. (10) allows modeling the irreversible behavior. Further, the definition of the parameter $\mathbf{z}$ in Eq. (11) based on its past history, along with the condition $d(\mathbf{z}; t) \geq 0$, ensure irreversibility of the system. More specifically, these conditions ensure that the length of the crack, denoted by $\ell_0$, is monotonically increasing, that is, $\Gamma(t_1) \subseteq \Gamma(t_2) \forall t_1 < t_2$. In addition, the use of the strain-history-based parameter $\mathbf{z}$ leads to simpler numerical implementations as it allows for an operator split algorithm within a given time-step, wherein the displacement field and the damage field are updated in a staggered manner. The same concept, albeit using a strain energy-based history variable, is often employed in phase-field models of dynamic fracture\(^{26}\). Following this staggering numerical implementation, the computation of the damage field is a purely algebraic operation and it does not require the minimization of an additional potential function which, in the case of phase-field models, corresponds to the crack surface density function. The most immediate consequence is that the VO approach reduces the computational cost of dynamic fracture when compared to phase-field models. Finally, we highlight that the VO elastodynamic formulation described above does not account for contact conditions, such as those that occur when the free surfaces of a crack come in contact when subjected to external forces. Note that this is not a limitation of the methodology but merely a decision of the authors to focus this work on aspects concerning crack initiation and propagation. Indeed, the contact problem is typically not addressed in classical treatments of dynamic fracture. However, the VO formulation can easily account for contact dynamics by simply adding dedicated terms in the VO derivative. The case of contact via VO operators was previously treated by the authors\(^{30,35}\), albeit only for discrete systems.
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