Role of STAT3 in transformation and drug resistance in CML
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INTRODUCTION

The signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) was identified as a DNA-binding protein capable of transducing signals from cytokine-stimulated cell surface receptors to the nucleus, where it was capable of selectively binding to a palindromic Interferon (IFN)-γ-activated sequence element and induce transcription of acute-phase genes (Akira et al., 1994; Lutticken et al., 1994; Zhong et al., 1994). Coincidentally, at the time of STAT3 discovery, investigators in the chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) field were trying to identify the major downstream substrate of bcr–abl causative for CML transformation. In fact, when researchers were just beginning to understand the biological properties and functions of STAT3, more than 30 years of research work had already been conducted on CML. For example, it was already established that; (a) CML could be diagnosed by the presence of Philadelphia chromosome (Nowell and Hungerford, 1964), (b) the Philadelphia chromosome was created by the reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22 and contained a gene called BCR–ABL which encoded for a fusion protein called bcr–abl (Lugo et al., 1990; Gishizky et al., 1993), (c) tyrosine kinase activity of the bcr–abl proteins is required for the transformation into CML (Carlesso et al., 1994), (d) herbimycin A, an inhibitor of tyrosine kinase, showed inhibition of growth in cells expressing bcr–abl (Okabe et al., 1992, 1994a,b). This final observation would ultimately lead to the discovery and use of the present-day clinical bcr–abl kinase inhibitors, Imatinib (IM), Nilotinib (NI), and Dasatinib (DA; Buchdunger et al., 1996; Druker et al., 1996; Lombardo et al., 2004; Weisberg et al., 2005; Kantarjian et al., 2006, 2009, 2010, 2011; Hochhaus et al., 2009).

Signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 is a member of a family of seven proteins, namely, STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5a, STAT5b, and STAT6, all of whom relay signals from the plasma membrane to the nucleus, where they regulate gene expression (for review see, Darnell, 1997; Levy and Darnell, 2002). STAT3 gene is located on chromosome 17q21 and was first independently cloned by Zhong et al. (1994). The STAT3 gene codes for a 92-kDa protein spanning 770 amino acids long with structural similarity to all other STAT member of proteins. Briefly, the STAT3 proteins structurally comprises of an N-terminal coiled–coiled domain involved in protein–protein interactions, a DNA-binding domain, a linker, a Src homology-2 (SH-2) domain, and a C-terminal transactivation domain (for review see, Groner et al., 2008; Aggarwal et al., 2009). In order to delineate the distinctive functions of each STAT family member, gene targeting approaches proved very fruitful, except for STAT3. Unlike all other STAT family members, targeted disruption of STAT3 gene, lead to early embryonic lethality (Takeda et al., 1997). Because of this, normal physiological functions of STAT3...
had to be defined by tissue specific deletions of STAT3 (Akira, 2000).

Signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 plays a pivotal role in the hematopoietic system. The role of STAT3 in the hematopoietic development has been studied by the use of floxed-STAT3 mice that were crossed with transgenic mice expressing Cre protein in specific hematopoietic cell type. For example, (a) Takeda et al. (1998) showed that T-cell specific deletion of STAT3 resulted in normal development of T-cells, however these cells showed impaired IL-6 dependent suppression of apoptosis and the resulting T-cell proliferation. Furthermore, these T-cells show a defective IL-2-induced IL-2α expression leading to a partial defect in IL-2 dependent T-cell proliferation (Akaishi et al., 1998). (b) Mice showing STAT3 deficiency in macrophages and neutrophils developed chronic enterocolitis (Takeda et al., 1999). STAT3 depleted mice macrophages and neutrophils showed complete inhibition of IL-10 mediated anti-inflammatory response leading to excessive Th1 response in vivo. Additional phenotypic analysis showed that these mice were susceptible to endotoxin shock and demonstrated excessive secretions of cytokines like TNFα, IL-1, and IFNγ (aiding the Th1 type immune response; Takeda et al., 1999). (c) Studies performed on a subset of T helper cell, called Th17, showed that this cells require the activity of STAT3 for its development and in its ability to mount a Th17-dependent autoimmune (Harris et al., 2007). (d) In addition to T-cells, STAT3 also regulates the early steps of B cell development, since deletion of STAT3 in the B cell compartment leads to increase in pre-pro-B cells along with a decrease in the pre-B and pro-B cell compartment (Chou et al., 2006). STAT3 is also very critical in the T-cell-dependent terminal differentiation of B cells (Fornek et al., 2006). (e) In the dendritic cell compartment deletion of STAT3 abrogates the effects of Flt3L on dendritic cell development and results in absence of dendritic cell progenitors and thus results in a decrease in the dendritic cell compartment (Laouar et al., 2003). (f) Finally, various studies have shown that STAT3 may aid hematopoietic stem cell renewal in the very early stages of stem cell regeneration, however in adult homeostatic conditions STAT3 activity did not alter self-renewal or differentiation (Oh and Eaves, 2002; Takizawa et al., 2003; Kato et al., 2005; Chung et al., 2006). Even though, the above mentioned studies identify STAT3 as an essential player in the hematopoietic compartment, the phenotype arising from the complete deletion of STAT3 in the all of the components of the hematopoietic compartment remains to be seen.

Experimental evidence indicates that STAT3 plays a very crucial role in hematopoiesis, in mediating immune response and in regulation of differentiation, proliferation, angiogenesis, metastasis, and apoptosis through its modulation of STAT3 target genes (Seita et al., 2008; Hankey, 2009). Inhibition of STAT3 activity by either STAT3 knock-out or through inhibition of STAT3 function, blocked v-Src-induced transformation, indicating the important role of STAT3 in malignant transformation (Bromberg et al., 1998; Turkson et al., 1998). The oncogenic potential of STAT3 was demonstrated by induction of malignant transformation and tumor formation in mice by over-expressing a constitutive form of STAT3 (STAT3C; Bromberg et al., 1999). Constitutively active STAT3 induces the expression of anti-apoptotic genes including Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, Mcl-1, and also up-regulates the expression of inhibitors of apoptotic machinery like survivin and c-IAP2 (Epling-Burnette et al., 2001; Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Cuevas et al., 2006; Gritsko et al., 2006). STAT3 activation can promote cell cycle progression by inducing expression of cyclin D1 mRNA and hence protein levels (Sinibaldi et al., 2000; Cuevas et al., 2006). The cumulative effect of persistent STAT3 activity in cells is the promotion of cell growth and survival, leading to transformation, and tumorigenesis. Thus, it is not surprising that STAT3 is a critical player for development, progression, and maintenance of many human tumors (for a list of STAT3-mediated tumors see, Johnston and Grandis, 2011). In the following sections we briefly review the activation, regulation, and targeting of STAT3 in CML.

#### STAT3 ACTIVATION PATHWAY

In the canonical STAT3 activation pathway, STAT3 lies latent in the cytoplasm in a resting cell (see Figure 1). STAT3 can be activated by a variety of diverse agents ranging from cytokines, growth factors, oncogenes, non-receptor tyrosine kinases to even peptides like angiotensin II (Marrero et al., 1995; Herrington et al., 2000, Reddy et al., 2000; Ihle, 2001). The first step in the activation of STAT3 involves a tyrosine phosphorylation activation event-mediated creation of a docking site for recruitment of the

![Figure 1](image_url)
dormant cytoplasmic STAT3 (Zhang et al., 2000). This docking is mediated through the SH-2 domain of STAT3. Once docked the latent STAT3 is targeted for phosphorylation, either by the intrinsic kinase activity of the activated receptor tyrosine kinase or through the aid of the tyrosine kinase receptor-associated Janus kinase (JAK; Heinrich et al., 1998, 2003; Quesnelle et al., 2007). Irrespective of the activating kinase protein, STAT3 gets phosphorylated at the Tyr705 residue in the C-terminal domain and this phosphorylation leads to its activation (Heinrich et al., 1998, 2003; Quesnelle et al., 2007). The activated phosphorylated STAT3 disengages from its activating kinases and undergoes homodimerization by reciprocal interaction between the SH-2 domain of one monomer and the phosphorylated Tyr705 residue of its dimerizing partner (see Figure 1). STAT3 dimers translocate to the nucleus and bind specific DNA sequence and regulate the transcription of the responsive gene (Shuai et al., 1993, 1994). In addition, STAT3 can also be phosphorylated on the Ser727 residue, located in the transactivation domain, which allows for the maximal activation of transcription of genes (Zhang et al., 1995; Wen et al., 1995). It should be noted that in a normal cell, STAT3 activation sequence is very rapid and transient and is very tightly regulated as will be discussed in the following sections.

**Bcr–abl INDUCED ACTIVATION OF STAT3 IN CML**

Both bcr–abl and STAT3 protein share the ability to induce transformation in cells when they are over-expressed or activated. Thus it was speculated that one of the likely downstream signaling events that leads to CML transformation is the activation of the STAT3 pathway by oncogenic bcr–abl protein. To test this hypothesis, Ilaria and Van Etten (1996) transfected BaF/3 cells with p210 and p190 forms of BCR–ABL cDNA. BaF/3 cells are IL-3 dependent and non-leukemogenic, however these cells are transformed into IL-3 independence and are leukemogenic when expressing BCR–ABL cDNA (Daley and Baltimore, 1988; Ilaria and Van Etten, 1995). Thus, any differences in STAT activity between the parental and bcr–abl transformed BaF/3 cells could be directly attributed to the action of bcr–abl. Ilaria and Van Etten (1996) demonstrated that STAT1, STAT3, and STAT5 are constitutively tyrosine phosphorylated in bcr–abl transformed BaF/3 cells, with the intensity of the phosphorylation being STAT5 >> STAT3 ~ STAT1. Moreover, the intensity of phosphorylation matched the DNA-binding activity of STAT5, STAT3, and STAT1 in the BCR–ABL transformed BaF/3 cells. Surprisingly, STAT6 was only phosphorylated in the p190 BCR–ABL cDNA expressing BaF/3 cells and STAT2 and STAT4 were not phosphorylated in any of the bcr–abl transformed BaF/3 cells (Ilaria and Van Etten, 1996). Furthermore, the activation of STATs by bcr–abl was found to be JAK-independent thereby indicating a mechanism of direct activation of STATs by bcr–abl. On the other hand, Carlesso et al. (1996) in their study, only detected constitutive STAT5 and STAT1 activity in bcr–abl positive CML cell lines, but like the previous study they also confirmed the observation that STAT activation in bcr–abl positive cells was not consistent with JAK kinase activity, suggesting the possibility of direct STAT activation by bcr–abl.

The role of STAT5 as the direct downstream target of bcr–abl was further confirmed by (de Groot et al., 1999) and Sillaber et al. (2000) by over-expressing a dominant negative isoform of STAT5. In both of these studies, the over-expression of the negative isoform of STAT5 led to inhibition of STAT5 phosphorylation and subsequent inhibition in cell growth in CML cell lines (Sillaber et al., 2000; de Groot et al., 1999). Further a direct interaction between bcr–abl and STAT5 was demonstrated by Nieborowska-Skorska et al. (1999) by showing that the SH-2 and SH-3 domain of bcr–abl protein is required for STAT5 activation, since mutations in these domains completely abolished STAT5 activation by bcr–abl. More recently, Hanschel et al. (2012) confirmed the finding that STAT5 was directly phosphorylated by bcr–abl and this activation was required for the maintenance of bcr–abl driven CML disease.

On the other hand, Coppo et al. (2006) have shown that in bcr–abl expressing cell lines (UT7-210, MO7E-p210, and K562) and in primary CD34+ CML progenitor cells, bcr–abl greatly phosphorylated STAT3 Ser727 residue and, to a lesser extent STAT3 Tyr705 residue. The tyrosine kinase activity of bcr–abl and the Tyr177 residue of the bcr–abl oncoprotein was required for this activation, since the Δ1172 tyrosine kinase mutant and the Y177F mutant bcr–abl constructs expressed in UT7 cell lines led to not only a reduction in phosphorylation of the STAT3 Ser727 residue but also to the reduction in the total STAT3 protein level. Also, they reported JAK1 and JAK2 were constitutively phosphorylated in UT7/9 and K562 cells and this was required for specifically phosphorylating the STAT3 Tyr705 residue but not the STAT5 Tyrosine residue (Coppo et al., 2006). Furthermore, by independently utilizing a MEK inhibitor and a MEK1 construct with a dominant negative activity, these same investigators were able to show that phosphorylation of the STAT3 Ser727 residue, but not STAT3 Tyr705 residue, required the activity of MEK in CML cells and in CD34+ CML progenitor cells from two patients (Coppo et al., 2006). Finally, in the same study inhibition of bcr–abl activity by the use of IM led to a dose-dependent down-regulation of STAT3 protein and mRNA, suggesting that bcr–abl transcriptionally regulates STAT3 gene.

In summary, there are many conflicting reports published concerning the status of STAT3 activity in CML cells. Our own observations in CML cells (K562 and KU812), show that these cells have very high phosphorylation of the STAT3 Ser694 residue and barely detectable levels of phosphorylated STAT3 Tyr705 residue as seen by western blotting (Bewry et al., 2008). However, when cultured with bone marrow (BM) stromal cells, these cells showed increased STAT3 phosphorylation. In addition, the constitutive STAT5 phosphorylation, but not the STAT3 phosphorylation, was inhibited when these co-cultured CML cells were treated with bcr–abl kinase inhibitors (Bewry et al., 2008; Nair et al., 2011).

**STAT3 ACTIVITY REQUIREMENT FOR bcr–abl INDUCED TRANSFORMATION**

In the studies enumerated above, only a correlative link is provided for STAT3 activation by bcr–abl to cellular transformation. In order to provide more direct evidence, Spiekermann et al. (2002) used a different molecular methodology to address whether STAT3 and STAT5 are required for the transformation of hematopoietic cells. In their study, they utilized constitutively active STAT5 (STAT5A1*6) and STAT3 (STAT3C) mutants to stably transfect BaF/3 cells. Not surprisingly, both mutants induced long-term
STAT3 activation by bcr–abl in embryonic stem cells

Chronic myeloid leukemia is a stem cell disease as demonstrated by the presence of minimal residual disease in the form of a consistently detectable population of quiescent bcr–abl positive CD34+ progenitor cells that possess the ability for self-renewal and the capability to differentiate (Holyoake et al., 1999). Murine embryonic stem cells proved to be a very suitable system to study the effects of bcr–abl expression in an in vitro self-renewal and differentiation clonal model. For example, the direct effect of bcr–abl expression on murine embryonic stem cell differentiation was demonstrated in an in vitro embryonic stem cell differentiation system that used stromal cell co-culture to aid the differentiation of the stem cells into distinct hematopoietic lineages (Era and Witte, 2000). These stem cells when then transduced with a tetracycline regulated expression of bcr–abl vector employing a promoter that ensures 100% expression of bcr–abl in all the differentiated cells (Gossen and Bujard, 1992; Nakano et al., 1994). The results of their study indicated a bcr–abl dependent increase in the number of multipotent and myeloid lineage committed progenitor cells and at the same time a suppression of the development of committed erythroid progenitors (Era and Witte, 2000). This study established that bcr–abl was sufficient to establish the chronic phase of CML without the need for any additional genetic changes.

Even though the above murine embryonic stem cell model described the ability of bcr–abl to differentiate and propagate into CML chronic phase like disease, the molecular mechanism of the bcr–abl dependent self-renewal of murine embryonic stem cell was unknown. Previous studies showed that self-renewal of murine embryonic stem cell required the activation of STAT3 by leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) acting through the gp130–JAK activation pathway (Niwa et al., 1998; Ernst et al., 1999). To investigate if bcr–abl can substitute for the action of LIF by maintenance of a self-renewing capacity in cells despite LIF withdrawal, Coppo et al. (2003) utilized 129/Sv-derived CCE embryonic stem cell. They demonstrated that bcr–abl expressing embryonic stem cells retained their “stemness” in the absence of LIF, confirming the absence of differentiation. The persistence of the embryonic stem cell morphology was directly attributed to specific STAT3 but not STAT5 activation and was independently verified by EMSA and over-expression of a dominant negative STAT3 mutant in bcr–abl expressing embryonic stem cells (Coppo et al., 2003). Next, to address whether bcr–abl directly phosphorylate STAT3 or did it require a messenger as an intermediary signaling protein, Nakamura et al. (2005) stably transfected a wild type murine embryonic stem cell line and a MEKK1+/− embryonic stem cell line with p210-bcr–abl called WTp210 and MEKK1+/−p210, respectively. They reported that, on LIF withdrawal, MEKK1+/−p210 showed less STAT3 activity than WTp210 and formed large flattened colonies having weak alkaline phosphatase activity, indicating a phenotype of differentiated embryonic stem cells (Nakamura et al., 2005). These results indicate that MEKK1 plays a role in bcr–abl induced activation of STAT3 (see Figure 2). Finally, in order to explain how bcr–abl driven activation of STAT3 can cue stem cells to differentiate and also self-renew, Coppo et al. (2009) proposed that bcr–abl induced these opposite phenotypes based on STAT3 activity in murine embryonic stem cells (Coppo et al., 2009). They reported in their study that embryonic stem cells expressing bcr–abl maintained an undifferentiated phenotype through activation of a STAT3-mediated self-renewal program. However, decreased STAT3 levels led to a rapid commitment of the bcr–abl expressing cells to differentiate, through the activation of the MAPK–ERK pathway (see Figure 2; Coppo et al., 2009). At present, the reason...
for decreased STAT3 activity within the bcr–abl expressing murine embryonic cells is not well understood and remains to be investigated. Taken together, all these studies confirm the role of STAT3 as a self-renewal factor operating downstream of bcr–abl in murine embryonic stem cells.

All the studies enumerated above where carried out in murine embryonic stem cell model, wherein LIF–gp130–STAT3 activity is very much essential for maintenance of self-renewal of the stem cells. However, it should be noted that in non-human primate embryonic stem cells like in cynomolgus monkey embryonic stem cells, the maintenance of the undifferentiated embryonic state is maintained through a LIF/gp130/STAT3-independent pathway (Sumi et al., 2004). Similarly, Daheron et al. (2004) reported that in human embryonic stem cells, human LIF can induce STAT3 activation and nuclear translocation. However, the LIF–gp130–STAT3 pathway is unable to maintain pluripotency in human embryonic stem cells. Also, undifferentiated human embryonic stem cells did not show activated STAT3, indicating that in these embryonic stem cells STAT3-independent mechanism govern the self-renewal machinery (Daheron et al., 2004). In light of these reports, transformation of human embryonic cells with bcr–abl and the resultant dependence on STAT3 for self-renewal and differentiation in these transformed embryonic cells remain to be tested.

REGULATION OF THE STAT3 ACTIVATION PATHWAY

Since STAT3 plays a very important role in a myriad of cellular functions, the activation of STAT3 is tightly regulated in normal cells (see Figure 1). This regulatory control is exerted at multiple levels of the STAT3 activation pathway and begins with the negative regulation of the activating receptor. Either after ligand binding or after receptor phosphorylation, the activated receptor gets tagged for endocytosis, thus preventing any further activation of receptor and thereby attenuating the signaling to STAT3 (Bild et al., 2002). The next level of regulation comes from protein-tyrosine phosphatase (PTP), which can dephosphorylate the receptor tyrosine kinases, JAKs or STAT3 directly thus ensuring the termination of STAT3 signaling (see Figure 1). PTPs implicated in regulating STAT3 signaling include SHP-1, SHP-2, PTP1B, and PTPCs (for review see, Xu and Qu, 2008). A unique PTP is the nuclear PTP TC45 which dephosphorylates STAT3 dimers that are present within the nucleus and thereby terminates STAT3-mediated transcription (Herrmann et al., 2007).

The family of suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS1–SOCS7) can antagonize STAT3 signaling by; (a) by binding and inhibiting JAKs, (b) by competing with STAT3 for docking sites on the activated tyrosine kinase receptor, and (c) by binding to JAKs and kinase receptors and targeting them for ubiquitin proteosomal degradation (for review see, Croker et al., 2008). Interestingly, SOCS is a part of the negative feedback loop, wherein activation of STAT3 increases the expression of SOCS, which then terminates the STAT3 activation by one of the before mentioned mechanisms (Yoshimura et al., 2003). Finally, the last level of regulation is exerted by the constitutively expressed protein inhibitor of activated STATs (PIAS; for review see, Shuai, 2006). PIAS, specifically PIAS3, can bind the dimeric activated STAT3 and block the DNA-binding ability of STAT3 (Chung et al., 1997). In addition to preventing binding of activated STAT3 to the promoter region of its regulatory genes, PIAS can utilize its SUMO ligase activity to recruit co-repressors at the STAT3 transcriptional complex and thus modulate STAT3-mediated transcription (Yamashina et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2007).

EXPRESSION OF THE REGULATORS OF THE STAT3 ACTIVITY IN CML

Inactivation or reduced expression of SOCSs, PIASs, or PTP proteins may lead to sustained activation of STAT3. Deregulation of the JAK–STAT3 pathway due to variations in the expression of the SOCSs and PTPs have been reported in CML cells. Not surprisingly, in most of the cases decrease in the proteins involved in the negative feedback mechanism, results in sustained activation of JAK–STAT3 pathway leading to increased progression of CML or to development of resistance toward anti-leukemic drugs. For example, SHP-1, the non-receptor type PTP, expressed primarily in hematopoietic cells has been shown to be silenced in various highly aggressive lymphoma and leukemia cell lines (Oka et al., 2001). Oka et al. (2002) demonstrated that this gene silencing is due a aberrant promoter methylation of the SHP-1 gene in leukemias and lymphomas. Specifically, Oka et al. (2002) showed that 73% of the CML patients tested showed very strong CpG island methylation of the SHP-1 gene and remaining 27% showed very weak methylation of the same gene. Similarly, Amin et al. (2007) in their study reported that SHP-1 levels were markedly decreased in advanced stage CML patients compared to patients in chronic phase and this correlated with IM resistance seen in advanced stage CML patients. However, in contrast to the study published by Oka et al. this paper did not find any DNA methylation in the promoter region nor did they find any mutations in the SHP-1 protein rather they attributed the decreased expression of SHP-1 to an as-yet undefined post-transcriptional modification (Amin et al., 2007). Finally, SHP-1 and SHP-2 have antagonistic biological functions in cells, in that, SHP-1 is a negative transducer while SHP-2 is a positive transducer of growth factor signaling pathway (Qu et al., 1999; You et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2006; Chong and Maiese, 2007). Indeed, in CML cells, SHP-1 physically associates with bcr–abl and blocks bcr–abl dependent transformation and in addition mediates PP2A-induced bcr–abl proteosome degradation (Bruecher-Encke et al., 2001; Neviani et al., 2005). Esposito et al. (2011) showed that in CML cell lines there is a physical interaction between SHP-1 protein with SHP-2 such that SHP-1 modulates the activation signals that SHP-2 receives from both bcr–abl and membrane receptor tyrosine kinase. Moreover, decreased SHP-1 expression is a determinant of IM sensitivity in CML patients, with patients failing IM treatment showing significantly lower levels of SHP-1 protein. Interestingly, knocking down SHP-2 levels in IM-resistant KCL22-R CML cells reduced STAT3 activation and cell survival in IM treated cells (Esposito et al., 2011).

Various studies have been reported showing that variations in expression, due to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the STAT3 regulatory protein genes can have an impact on disease prognosis. For example, Guillem et al. (2012) showed that SNPs in SOCS1 (rs243327) and PTPN22 (rs2476601) genes correlated with the risk of primary resistance to IM were adverse prognostic factors for failure-free survival in CML patients. Polymorphism in the STAT3 gene has already been shown to co-relate
with higher proclivity in patients for asthma, inflammation, and autoimmune diseases, so it is attractive to speculate that SNPs affecting the expression levels of STAT3 protein may also predict the treatment outcome when utilizing immune therapy like IFN-α in CML (Litonjua et al., 2005; Ferguson et al., 2010; Jabalameli, 2011). For example, IFN-α therapy is very effective in inducing hematological remission and a sustained cytogenetic response in CML (Talpaz et al., 1986, 1991). However, the effect of the therapy is very limited since only 8–30% of the treated patients achieve complete cytogenetic remission (Allan et al., 1995; Bonifazi et al., 2001). The molecular basis for the resistance or the heterogeneous response among patients is poorly understood. However, it was hypothesized that SNPs encoded within the STAT3 gene regulating its expression could be responsible for the observed heterogeneity. Indeed, Kreil et al. (2010) demonstrated that a polymorphism associated with STAT3 expression in CML could explain the difference in treatment response of CML patients to IFN. Specifically, the levels of STAT3 mRNA, but not STAT5a or STAT5b, correlated with an rs6503691 genotype and response of CML patients to INF-α (Kreil et al., 2010). In light of this study, it is interesting to speculate if determination of individual status of STAT3 SNPs would help lead to a personalized treatment regimen for clinical decisions for initiating IFN-α treatment in CML patients.

**TARGETING STAT3 FOR INHIBITION IN CML**

In the preceding sections, we have enumerated how the dysfunctions of STAT3 regulatory pathway leads to a drug resistant phenotype in CML. Hence it is attractive to speculate that inhibition of STAT3 activity would lead to drug sensitization and cell death in CML cells. Evidence for direct inhibition of STAT3 leading to cell death in CML cells was provided by a study published by Ma et al. (2010), wherein they showed that CML K562 cell line transduced with STAT3 siRNA lead to inhibition of growth and proliferation, cell cycle blockade, and induction of apoptosis. These observations were further strengthened in the study conducted by Mencalha et al. (2010), who utilized a STAT3 specific inhibitor, LLL-3. LLL-3 reduced cell viability and induced cell death through the activation of Caspases-3/7 pathway in K562 cells. Additionally, when used in combination with IM, LLL-3 showed an additive effect on cell death thereby suggesting a potential therapeutic value of combining these two drug regimens for the treatment of CML (Mencalha et al., 2010).

Various studies have also looked at treatment regimens that indirectly decreased STAT3 activity and have reported its effect on CML cell survival. Wetzler et al. (2006) studied the effect of arsenic trioxide on JAKs and bcr–abl, both of which has been shown to activate STAT3. In their study, arsenic trioxide not only reduced STAT3 activation by JAKs but also reduced the phosphorylation of bcr–abl kinase. Not surprisingly, in vitro study has shown additive to synergistic cytotoxic activity of IM (depending on CML cell line and drug concentrations) when used in conjunction with arsenic trioxide in CML cells (Wetzler et al., 2006). Expression of Src family kinase in CML cells has been shown to induce resistance to IM in a kinase-dependent manner and inhibition of Src activity restored IM sensitivity (Pene-Dumitrescu and Smithgall, 2010). However, in solid tumors, the requirement for simultaneous inhibition of Src and STAT3 activity to induce cell death has been observed. In these tumors, cancer cell survival after Src kinase inhibition has been demonstrated to be due to reactivation of STAT3 as a compensatory pathway in surviving cells. Abrogation of STAT3 activity, by use of JAK inhibitors, along with Src inhibition was necessary to result in synergistic cytotoxicity (Johnson et al., 2007). Interestingly, jia et al. (2009) have corroborated this finding in resistant K562 CML cells (IM-resistant (K562/G) and Adriamycin-resistant-P gp-expressing K562 CML cells (K562/A)), in which Src family kinases and p-STAT3 activity was increased and consequently the Bax/Bcl-2 ratio was decreased, when compared to the parental K562 cells. Use of ZD6474, an orally available, small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor resulted in an in vitro and in vivo growth arrest of the parental and the resistant cell lines. The mechanism of action of ZD6474 was reported to be simultaneous inhibition of Src kinase and STAT3 activity and the resultant increase in Bax/Bcl-2 ratio (Jia et al., 2009). Zhu et al. (2011) in their study utilized Icaritin, a hydrolytic product of Icariin which is a constituent of Chinese herbal medicine Epimedium, to show potent anti-leukemic activity on CML in vitro and in vivo. Icaritin was shown to inhibit proliferation of CML cell line K562 and primary CD34+ CML cells and induce apoptosis through a bcr–abl independent manner. Instead the cause of death was shown to correlate with down-regulation of phospho-STAT3, -JAK2, -ERK, -AKT, and -p38 in a dose and time-dependent manner (Zhu et al., 2011).

Combination drug therapy have also been studied with the purpose of circumventing the problem of bcr–abl kinase inhibitor resistance in CML. Dai et al. (2004), have shown that combination therapy of sub-toxic concentrations of a proteosome inhibitor, Bortezomib with a broad spectrum inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases, Flavopiridol resulted in a synergistic increase in mitochondrial dysfunction, and apoptosis in CML cells. In this study, cell death observed in both IM-sensitive cell lines and IM-resistant cell lines co-related with, among other events, marked reduction in bcr–abl, STAT3 and STAT5 activity. In another study, Dasmahapatra et al. (2006) used BaF3 cells expressing wild type bcr–abl that is sensitive to IM and BaF3 cells expressing three clinically relevant bcr–abl mutations, namely, E225K, M351T, and T351I, that are known to cause resistance toward IM. They reported that the use of adaphostin, an adamantly ester derivative of the bcr–abl kinase inhibitor tryphostin AG957, induced cell death in these cell lines via caspase-3 activation and PARP degradation. The cell death was also accompanied by an attenuation of STAT3 and STAT5 phosphorylation. More importantly, in combination with proteosome inhibitor, Bortezomib, adaphostin potently induced reactive oxygen species and cell death in the resistant cell lines. These results indicate that adaphostin plus Bortezomib circumvent IM-resistance due to point mutations and thus offer a treatment strategy to treat resistant CML (Dasmahapatra et al., 2006).

In addition to the direct or indirect inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation, the effects of STAT3 activity could also be nullified by inhibiting the expression/activity of its regulated gene. Not surprisingly the expression of a large number of genes with overlapping functions are regulated by both STAT3 and STAT5, and thus for the above mentioned strategy to work, choosing the right target is critical. One such candidate protein is Mcl-1, a well characterized member of the Bcl-2 family with a strong anti-apoptotic
activity that is up-regulated by both STAT3 and STAT5 (Kozopas et al., 1993, Fukuchi et al., 2001; Allen et al., 2011). More importantly, Mcl-1 was shown to be expressed in primary CML cells in a constitutive manner (Aichberger et al., 2005). As proof of principle, to demonstrate that Mcl-1 is a viable target for inhibition in CML, Aichberger et al. (2005), utilized a Mcl-1 antisense oligonucleotide and showed co-operative anti-leukemic effects when used in combination with IM.

Taken together the reported studies indicate that STAT3 is an important target in CML and inhibition of STAT3 activity may provide an important strategy to induce cell death in CML cells that are resistant to the currently available therapy of bcr–abl kinase inhibitors.

TARGETING STAT3 FOR INHIBITION IN CML WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE BONE MARROW MICROENVIRONMENT

It has become very clear that minimal residual disease (MRD) in CML is found predominantly in the BM, thus giving rise to the hypothesis that eradication of the disease would require not only shutting down the oncogenic signals arising from within the CML cells (the seed), but also from disrupting the interactions between the cells and the BM microenvironment (the soil; Paget, 1889). For example, our laboratory has demonstrated that when CML cells were cultured in conditioned media (CM) derived from human BM stromal cells, the CML cells were resistant to multiple bcr–abl kinase inhibitors including imatinib, nilotinib, and dasatinib mediated cell death (Bewry et al., 2008). This resistance was attributed to BM stromal-derived cytokine and growth factor milieu-mediated activation of STAT3 in the CML cells. The sustained and persistent activation of STAT3, was not ablated by the presence of bcr–abl kinase inhibitors and resulted in the increased expression of anti-apoptotic genes Mcl-1, Bcl-xl, and survivin in CML cells (Bewry et al., 2008). Indeed, our study found that knockdown of STAT3 by use of siRNA technology could sensitize CML cells, cultured in stromal cell derived CM, toward IM-mediated cell death.

In our follow-up study, we showed that activation of STAT3 is important not only for protection against bcr–abl inhibitors but also for the survival of CML cells within the BM microenvironment (Nair et al., 2011). Indeed, we established that in CML cells, the BM stromal-derived cytokine and growth factor milieu induced phosphorylation of STAT1, STAT3-S727, and STAT5 but not of STAT3-Y705, was abolished in the presence of NI, indicating that phosphorylated STAT1, STAT3-S727, and STAT5 were not important players in mediating BM milieu-induced NI resistance (Nair et al., 2011). Finally, reducing the expression of both JAK2 and TYK2 or utilizing a pan-JAK inhibitor blocked CM-mediated STAT3 activation and sensitized CML cells to NI-mediated cell death. The hypothesis that the BM microenvironment contributes to drug resistance by providing survival cues through the JAK–STAT3 pathway has also been explored by other laboratories. Traer et al. (2011) used CYT387, a JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor, and TG101209, a relatively specific JAK2 inhibitor and demonstrated that the inclusion of these inhibitors abolished the anti-apoptotic effect of BM stromal HS-5 CM on CML cell lines. Interestingly, in their study IM treatment alone increased STAT3 phosphorylation which was further enhanced in the presence of CM. They concluded from their observations that inhibition of bcr–abl induces a shift to an adaptive JAK2–STAT3 survival pathway that gets substantially reinforced within the BM microenvironment (Traer et al., 2011). Furthermore, in vivo studies utilizing a high-dose combination of TG101209 and NI while effective in eliminating CML cells also lead to toxicity in the non-leukemic hematopoietic cells, suggesting that such combination should be used with caution (Traer et al., 2011).

Similarly, Hiwase et al. (2010) have showed that when CML-CD34+ cells were treated with DA and then exposed to a mixture of cytokines [six growth factors cocktail or granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) or granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF)], the cells remained viable, suggesting that the bcr–abl dependence for survival could be overcome by exposure to cytokines. Like previous studies, re-establishment of the sensitivity to bcr–abl inhibitors in this progenitor cells required inhibition of JAK-family of kinases (Hiwase et al., 2010). However, amidst these reports suggesting that cytokine and growth factor exposure can lead to drug resistance in CML cells, Jorgensen et al. (2006) have shown that intermittent exposure to growth factor, G-CSF, together with IM leads to significant reduction in leukemic stem cells in vitro (Jorgensen et al., 2006). The hypothesis put forward to explain this reduction was that leukemic stem cells are quiescent and IM-resistant, however, G-CSF exposure pushes these cells into cell cycle and thus restores IM sensitivity. Indeed, this treatment regimen was further tested in 11 CML patients with favorable results with the authors recommending further evaluation of this therapy for newly diagnosed CML patients (Fang et al., 2011). At the same time small pilot studies looking at eradication of CML stem cells with the use of combination of C-CSF and IM have concluded that there is no therapeutic benefit of adding G-CSF to IM (Drummond et al., 2009; Foo et al., 2009). At present, more studies have to be performed to delineate the exact effects of G-CSF with bcr–abl inhibitors in CML patients.

In addition to exposure to the cytokine milieu within the BM, CML cells also physically interact with the stromal cells utilizing adhesion molecules like β1-integrins (Damiano et al., 2001). Such interaction give rise to cell-adhesion mediated drug resistance (CAM-DR) and has been demonstrated in multiple myeloma to occur through a β1-integrins/STAT3/IL-6 autocrine activation loop (Shain et al., 2009). Interestingly, inhibition of JAK–STAT3 pathway either by use of a pan-JAK inhibitor or a specific JAK2 inhibitor abolished the CAM-DR phenotype when primary CML progenitor cells are co-cultured with HS-5 BM stromal cells (Nair et al., 2011; Traer et al., 2011). Also, Guo-Bao et al. (2010) have demonstrated a CAM-DR phenotype in K562 when this cells were co-cultured with BM stromal cells derived from patients with CML. In their study, sensitization of these K562 co-cultured cells required treatment with arsenic trioxide, which was shown to down-regulate the expression of β1-integrins in K562 cells and thus cause a dose-dependent reduction in adhesion ability of K562 cells to the BM stromal cells. However, none of the studies above delineated the role of cytokine milieu versus the adhesion component as the critical contributing factor essential for development of drug resistant phenotype.

There is accumulating evidence showing that in human CML stem cells, enhanced bcr–abl kinase inhibition does not result
in decreased cell survival of these cells (Konig et al., 2008). Furthermore, within the context of the BM microenvironment, cytokine support along with adhesive interactions with the stromal cells permitted CML stem cells to grow and survive even in the absence of bcr–abl activity (see Figure 3; Corbin et al., 2011). In fact, Reynaud et al. (2011) in their study have shown that proinflammatory tumor microenvironment affects leukemic progenitor cells and contributes to CML pathogenesis (Reynaud et al., 2011). Specifically, they showed that IL-6 expression is induced by bcr–abl resulting in a paracrine feedback loop that sustained CML development. Indeed, their study demonstrated that strategies utilized to block IL-6 signaling significantly delayed the onset of CML development even when the bcr–abl activity was maintained in cells (Reynaud et al., 2011). Since IL-6 is a very potent activator of STAT3, it does remains to be seen if targeting STAT3 for inhibition within the context of the BM also delays the onset of CML.

CONCLUSION
In light of reported data on the role of STAT3 in CML, it still remains to be seen, (i) if STAT3 is required for CML stem cell regeneration and CML development; (ii) does STAT3 polymorphism have an effect on CML disease progression, development of drug resistance and in maintenance of minimal residual disease; (iii) is there a functional overlap between STAT3 and STAT5 in CML cells so that JAK inhibitors might be a better option to specific inhibition of STAT3 activity. And lastly, (iv) what is the role of STAT3 in maintaining the minimal residual disease during bcr–abl kinase inhibitor treatment. Taken together, it would be interesting to test STAT3 as a very important target for inhibition in CML that could complement the existing bcr–abl kinase inhibitors in combating and reversing drug resistant phenotype and bring us closer to a cure for CML.
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