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Objectives. To assess the efficacy and safety of Liu Jun Zi Tang (LJZT) and Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang (XSLJZT) for treating functional dyspepsia. Methods. Literature searches were carried out on Medline database, Cochrane Library, CNKI database, Chinese Biomedical Literature database, Wanfang database, and VIP database up to July 2012. Hand search for further references was conducted. Study selection, data extraction, quality assessment, and data analyses were performed according to the Cochrane standards. Results. Fifteen publications in total were suitable for inclusion. There was evidence that LJZT compared with prokinetic drugs increased symptom improvement (odds ratio 1.96, 95% CI 1.15 to 3.36). There was also evidence that XSLJZT compared with prokinetic drugs increased symptom improvement (odds ratio 2.63, 95% CI 1.72 to 4.03). No adverse events were reported in LJZT or XSLJZT group in any of these randomized controlled trials. Conclusion. LJZT and XSLJZT might be more effective compared with prokinetic drugs in the treatment of functional dyspepsia, and no side effects are identified in the included trials. However, due to poor methodological quality in the majority of included studies, the potential benefit from LJZT and XSLJZT need to be confirmed in rigorously designed, multicentre, and large-scale trials.

1. Introduction

Functional dyspepsia, also known as nonulcer dyspepsia, is defined as persistent or recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort centred in the upper abdomen in the absence of an identifiable organic disease for at least a month [1–3]. The pathophysiological mechanisms that explain functional dyspepsia remain unclear; there is still no curative treatment available for patients with functional dyspepsia to date [4, 5]. A Cochrane review reported that prokinetics showed a significant relative risk reduction compared with placebo [6]. However, in spite of some beneficial effects, prokinetics have somewhat fallen into disgrace due to central nervous or cardiac adverse effects [7]. Bismuth salts, antacids, and sucralfate were of limited or even no benefit [8]. It seems, therefore, that development of new agents may be helpful in the therapy of patients with functional dyspepsia.

In traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), functional dyspepsia is considered nearly equivalent to the TCM term “stiffness and fullness” [9], which is divided into different syndromes according to various clinical symptoms and signs. Spleen-deficiency syndrome is one of the basic syndromes. Liu Jun Zi Tang (LJZT), originated from the “Selected Stories of Traditional Chinese Medicine” in the Ming Dynasty, is a famous Chinese herbal formula that has been used for hundreds of years for the treatment of functional dyspepsia...
associated with the syndrome of “spleen deficiency” in China, Japan (named as “Rikkunshito” in Japanese), and other Asian areas. LJZT has been demonstrated to reduce chronic dyspepsia and produce significantly better gastric emptying than placebo in patients with functional dyspepsia [10]. The composition of LJZT includes the following eight Chinese herbs: ginseng, hoelen, atractylodes macrocephala koidz, liquorice root, pinelliae tuber, pericarpium citri, common ginger, and Jujube. In the Qing Dynasty, the classic book “TCM Prescriptions by Ancient and Modern Well-Known Physicians” originally described Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang (XSLJZT) which adds ammonium villosum and costusroot with the function of promoting Qi circulation on the composition of LJZT [11]. Currently, It is noteworthy that in clinical practice, Qi-stagnation syndrome and spleen-deficiency syndrome often come together, which plays a key role in the treatment of functional dyspepsia. Chinese Society of Digestive Diseases, a branch of Chinese Association of Integrative Medicine, suggested that spleen-deficiency and qi-stagnation syndrome can be treated by XSLJZT [12].

How about the application of LJZT and XSLJZT in the treatment of functional dyspepsia and if there is difference in efficacy between the two formulas? With a view to answering these questions, the meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials evaluates the effects and safety of LJZT and XSLJZT in the treatment of functional dyspepsia as compared to the prokinetic agents.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. For meta-analysis, the following databases were searched: Medline database (1989 to July 2012), Cochrane Library (1993 to July 2012), CNKI database (1979 to July 2012), Chinese Biomedical Literature database (1990 to July 2012), Wanfang database (1982 to July 2012), and VIP database (1989 to July 2012), using MeSH headings: “functional dyspepsia,” “nonulcer dyspepsia,” “Liu Jun Zi Tang,” “Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi,” “Rikkunshito,” “Rikkunshi-to,” and “Kosharikkunshito.” Papers published in English, Chinese, and Japanese were evaluated. Only studies available in the full paper were reviewed. A recursive manual search of cited references in published studies was performed to identify other relevant studies.

Studies were considered to be eligible for inclusion if they met all of the following criteria: (i) patients included in the study were diagnosed with functional dyspepsia; (ii) the study was performed as a randomized controlled trial (RCT); (iii) LJZT or XSLJZT was compared with prokinetic agents; (iv) criteria for successful treatment were clearly clarified, and treatment success was not measured in terms of the scores of illness severity or the intensity of individual symptoms; (v) treatment lasted for 2 weeks or more.

For the meta-analysis, the terms of “patients without symptoms,” “patients with significant improvement of symptoms,” “patients with excellent or good results,” and equivalent expressions were considered to be “successful treatment.”

2.2. Data Abstraction. Two researchers abstracted the data from the studies independently to avoid bias in the data abstraction process. All data were checked for internal consistency, and disagreements were resolved by discussion between the two investigators. Details abstracted from the studies included the name of the first author, location of the report, year of publication, age of subjects in the LJZT or XSLJZT arm and the control arm, male-female ratio in each arm, number of participants in each arm, and duration of treatment.

2.3. Data Analysis. Meta-analysis was carried out using Review Manager software (version 5.0.18 2009), provided by the Cochrane Collaboration. Dichotomous data were presented as odds ratios (ORs) and continuous outcomes as mean difference (MD), both with 95% confidence interval (CI). The chi-squared test for heterogeneity was performed, and heterogeneity was presented as significant when $I^2$ is over 50% or $P < 0.1$. Random effect model was used for the meta-analysis if there was significant heterogeneity, and fixed effect model was used when the heterogeneity was not significant.

3. Results

3.1. Description of Included Trials. A total of 51 publications were identified by both computer search and manual search of cited references. 45 articles were retrieved in full text, while 6 references were published in duplicate. After further reading, we excluded 5 case reports, 10 no-randomized controlled trials, and 9 studies having the treatment of LJZT or XSLJZT combined with prokinetic drugs. Finally, a total of 15 studies were included in the meta-analysis. Figure 1 is a flow chart of the study selection process.

All 15 publications included were of a randomization procedure, single center. 14 studies were published in Chinese and the other one in English. Numbers of participants of the individual studies varied from 27 to 160 with a total of 1149 participants included in this paper. The participants were at different ages, ranging from 18 to 70, 606 of whom were females and 543 were males (Table 1).

Eight of the studies used domperidone (also called Motilium) in the control group [13–20]. In four studies, cisapride was used as the control [21–24]. In remaining studies, Mosapride was the control [25–27]. Only one study lasted 2 weeks, and the remaining 14 studies had a duration of 4 weeks. The interventions were given orally. Four classes were used to evaluate treatment effects including cure, significant effective, effective, and ineffective according to the scores reducing rate.

3.2. Methodological Quality of Included Trials. The Jadad scale is a 5-point scale for assessing the quality of randomized trials in which three points or more indicate superior quality [28]. The scale contains two questions each for randomization procedure and blinding and one question evaluating reporting of withdrawals and dropouts. Of the 15 RCTs, two trials were of superior quality according to the Jadad score (≥3 points) [16, 17]. All studies described the randomization procedure, but none of them mentioned allocation concealment. One of the studies described
| Study ID          | Year | Participants     | Mean age (years) | Experimental | Interventions (prokinetic drugs) | Treatment duration | Symptom improvement | Jadad score |
|------------------|------|------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Chen [13]        | 2005 | 105 people       | 45.7             | XSLJZT (BID) | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 89.2% (58/65) | 1           |
|                  |      | (38 men and 67 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 72.5% (29/40)          |                    |                     |             |
| Xiao [21]        | 2005 | 77 people        | 43.0             | XSLJZT (BID) | Cisapride (5 mg, TID)           | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 74.4% (29/39) | 1           |
|                  |      | (33 men and 44 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 78.9% (30/38)          |                    |                     |             |
| Gui [14]         | 2003 | 80 people        | 46.1             | XSLJZT (BID) | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 87.5% (35/40) | 2           |
|                  |      | (35 men and 45 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 72.5% (29/40)          |                    |                     |             |
| Li [15]          | 2004 | 72 people        | 47.1             | XSLJZT (BID) | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 88.8% (32/36) | 2           |
|                  |      | (27 men and 45 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 72.2% (26/36)          |                    |                     |             |
| Liang [25]       | 2011 | 62 people        | 38.0             | XSLJZT (BID) | Mosapride (5 mg, TID)           | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 93.3% (28/30) | 1           |
|                  |      | (28 men and 34 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 78.1% (25/32)          |                    |                     |             |
| Zhou [26]        | 2010 | 60 people        | 35.9             | XSLJZT (BID) | Mosapride (5 mg, TID)           | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 90.0% (27/30) | 2           |
|                  |      | (29 men and 31 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 66.7% (20/30)          |                    |                     |             |
| Li [22]          | 2010 | 64 people        | 45.3             | XSLJZT (BID) | Cisapride (5 mg, TID)           | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 93.8% (30/32) | 1           |
|                  |      | (35 men and 29 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 78.1% (25/32)          |                    |                     |             |
| Fan [16]         | 2010 | 60 people        | 48.5             | XSLJZT (BID) | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 90.0% (27/30) | 3           |
|                  |      | (29 men and 31 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 70.0% (21/30)          |                    |                     |             |
| Lu and Hong [17] | 2012 | 68 people        | 42.5             | XSLJZT (BID) | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 94.1% (32/34) | 3           |
|                  |      | (40 men and 28 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 85.3% (29/34)          |                    |                     |             |
| Zhang and Shun [23] | 2002 | 64 people        | 44.3             | LJZT (BID)   | Cisapride (5 mg, TID)           | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 93.9% (31/33) | 2           |
|                  |      | (21 men and 43 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 93.6% (29/31)          |                    |                     |             |
| Tian and Wang [27] | 2005 | 160 people       | 34.4             | LJZT (BID)   | Mosapride (5 mg, TID)           | 2 weeks            | (1) TER: 85.0% (68/80) | 2           |
|                  |      | (73 men and 87 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 87.5% (70/80)          |                    |                     |             |
| Chen and Zhang [18] | 2008 | 80 people        | 32.3             | LJZT (BID)   | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 90.0% (36/40) | 1           |
|                  |      | (37 men and 43 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 75.0% (30/40)          |                    |                     |             |
| Wang [19]        | 2010 | 110 people       | 42.1             | LJZT (BID)   | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 91.7% (55/60) | 1           |
|                  |      | (56 men and 54 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 74.0% (37/50)          |                    |                     |             |
| Zhao and Che [24] | 2009 | 60 people        | 52.0             | LJZT (BID)   | Cisapride (5 mg, TID)           | 4 weeks            | (1) TER: 96.7% (29/30) | 1           |
|                  |      | (46 men and 14 women) |                 |              | (2) TER: 80.0% (24/30)          |                    |                     |             |
| Arai et al. [20] | 2012 | 27 people        | 57.8             | LJZT (TID)   | Domperidone (10 mg, TID)        | 4 weeks            | Not mentioned       | 2           |

LJZT: Liu Jun Zi Tang; XSLJZT: Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang; TER: total effective rate; QD: once a day; BID: twice a day; TID: three times a day.
blinding of participants [17]. Two studies reported the dropouts information and mentioned followup, but did not use intention to treat analysis [16, 20]. Among all trials, the characteristics of subjects in different treatment groups were similar at baseline (age, sex, race, and disease course).

3.3. Liu Jun Zi Tang versus Prokinetic Drugs

3.3.1. Effect Rate. Five randomized controlled trials evaluated LJZT compared to prokinetic drugs. All the studies were published in Chinese. Odds ratio was used to assess the change of dyspeptic symptoms in patients with functional dyspepsia. Table 2 shows the OR and summary OR for each trial. The summary OR was 1.96 (95% CI: 1.15–3.36), indicating that functional dyspepsia patients with LJZT treatment had almost 2-fold higher probability of symptom relief compared with those with prokinetic drugs.

3.3.2. Acylated Ghrelin. One trial which was performed in Japan provided data of plasma acylated ghrelin [20]. The baseline of acylated ghrelin had no significant difference between two groups. LJZT treatment had a tendency to increase acylated ghrelin level as compared with prokinetic drugs group at the end of the study (15.6 ± 16.0 versus 6.6 ± 6.1 fmol/mL), but this effect failed to reach statistical significance (WMD, 9; 95% CI, −0.27 to 18.27; \( P = 0.06 \)) (Table 3).

3.4. Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang versus Prokinetic Drugs

3.4.1. Effect Rate. A total of nine randomized controlled trials tested XSLJZT compared to prokinetic drugs. The studies were all performed in China. The duration of treatment was 4 weeks. Eight trials reported effects in favor of XSLJZT compared with prokinetic agents at the end of treatment [13–17, 22, 25, 26], while one trial reported negative result [21]. A meta-analysis of the nine trials (\( n = 648 \)) showed a significant increase of symptom improvement over prokinetic drugs (odds ratios 2.63 points, 95% CI 1.72–4.03). The chi-squared test for heterogeneity signified homogeneity among the nine studies (\( P = 0.57; I^2 = 0\% \)) (Table 4). However, the number of studies (\( n = 9 \)) was improper to produce a meaningful funnel plot.

3.5. Safety. Of the 15 RCTs, twelve trials did not mention the occurrence of adverse events. Fan [16] and Arai et al. [20] reported no side effect in LJZT or XSLJZT group and prokinetic drugs group during treatment. Tian and Wang [27] reported that 16 out of 80 patients had experienced a variety of symptoms including abdominal pain, diarrhea, rumitus, and loose stools in the prokinetic drugs group. These symptoms could be tolerated by patients. No adverse events were reported in LJZT or XSLJZT group in any of these randomized controlled trials.

4. Discussion

Based on the meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials, it can be documented that both Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang and Liu Jun Zi Tang are more effective than prokinetic drugs for patients suffering from functional dyspepsia. Interestingly, we found that the number of RCTs about XSLJZT in the treatment of functional dyspepsia was more than LJZT, and XSLJZT seemed to show a more significant increase of symptom improvement over prokinetic drugs as compared to LJZT (odds ratios 2.63 versus odds ratios 1.96).

Experimental data has demonstrated that LJZT may be thought to improve functional dyspepsia by reversing the existing impaired adaptive relaxation, leading to an improvement in delayed gastric emptying and stimulation of ghrelin secretion [29–31]. XSLJZT can significantly improve electrogastrogram, promote gastrointestinal motility and gastric emptying, decrease gastric sensitivity, and regulate gastrointestinal hormone [32–35]. The effectiveness of LJZT and XSLJZT in the treatment of functional dyspepsia might be due to different functions of the single plant extracts.
Pharmacological studies have shown that ginseng radix and pinelliae tuber can accelerate gastrointestinal motility and cause increases in intestinal propulsion [36, 37]. Hoelen could be applicable for the treatment of functional dyspepsia by regulating gastric movement through its facilitatory effect on efferent discharge of the gastric vagus nerve [38]. Atractyloides macrocephala koidz can improve the delayed gastric emptying and promote gastrointestinal motility [39]. Isoliquiritigenin plays a dual role in regulating gastrointestinal motility, both spasmogenic and spasmolytic [40]. Costusroot has been demonstrated to shorten the time of gastric emptying and increase plasma motilin concentration [41]. All of these studies’ results suggest that LJZT and XSLJZT can produce a potential effect of multitarget therapy, which seems appropriate in the treatment of functional dyspepsia caused by multiple factors.

The safety profile of LJZT and XSLJZT appears satisfactory, both in view of the data derived from clinical and observational studies and considering the fact that the drugs have been prescribed for patients with functional dyspepsia for hundreds of years in China. The explanation of the encouraging safety might be that the multitarget effect of herbal preparations may produce relatively low concentration of active ingredients.

In this meta-analysis, there were several limitations. No multicenter, large sample, and cooperative studies were found, and most of the trials were of small sample size, yet no studies estimated the sample size. Among all 15 articles, 2 applied random number tables [16, 17]; the remaining 13 studies did not provide the method of randomization procedure. Due to inadequate reporting of the allocation sequence, we cannot draw a judgement of whether or not they had been conducted properly. And inadequate reporting of allocation concealment, blinding, dropouts account, and intention to treat analysis in all the studies may have created potential selection biases, performance biases, and detection biases. Although we conducted comprehensive searches and tried to avoid bias, since most of the trials were published in Chinese, there remained the possible existence of publication bias.

In conclusion, the results of the present paper preliminarily confirm that LJZT and XSLJZT are more effective compared with prokinetic drugs in the treatment of functional dyspepsia. However, the general quality of the reports was moderate to low, and most of the trials were published in Chinese. Therefore, the effectiveness of LJZT and XSLJZT needs further evidence of rigorously designed, multicentre, large-scale, and transnational cooperative RCTs to prove, which would contribute greatly to the treatment of functional dyspepsia worldwide.
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Table 4: Odds ratios (OR) and summary OR for Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang (XSLJZT) trials. OR and their 95% confidence intervals are presented with weighting in a fixed effect model. OR > 1.0 indicates that the symptomatic improvement of functional dyspepsia is higher in the XSLJZT group than that in prokinetic drugs group.

| Study or subgroup | XSLJZT Events | Prokinetic drugs Events | Weight M-H, fixed, 95% CI | Odds ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Chen 2005 [13]    | 58            | 65                      | 29                        | 3.14 (1.10, 8.96)             |
| Fan 2010 [16]     | 27            | 30                      | 21                        | 3.86 (0.93, 16.05)            |
| Gui 2003 [14]     | 35            | 40                      | 29                        | 2.66 (0.83, 8.52)             |
| Li 2004 [15]      | 32            | 36                      | 26                        | 3.08 (0.86, 10.95)            |
| Li 2010 [22]      | 30            | 32                      | 25                        | 5.80 (0.80, 22.06)            |
| Liang 2011 [25]   | 28            | 30                      | 25                        | 5.90 (0.74, 20.65)            |
| Lu and Hong 2012 [17] | 32       | 34                      | 29                        | 6.30 (0.83, 8.52)             |
| Xiao 2005 [21]    | 29            | 39                      | 30                        | 28.70 (0.27, 2.23)            |
| Zhou 2010 [26]    | 27            | 30                      | 20                        | 7.40 (1.09, 18.50)            |
| Total (95% CI)    | 336           | 312                     | 100.0%                    | 2.63 (1.72, 4.03)             |

Total events: 298

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 6.65, df = 8 (P = 0.57); I^2 = 0\%$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.44 (P < 0.00001)$

Acknowledgment

This project was supported by grants from the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (nos. 30500642 and 81173146) and NSFC-Guangdong joint fund (nos. U1132001).

References

[1] G. Karamanolis, P. Caenepeel, J. Arts, and I. Tack, “Association of the predominant symptom with clinical characteristics and pathophysiological mechanisms in functional dyspepsia,” *Gastroenterology*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 296–303, 2006.

[2] H. Kusunoki, K. Haruma, J. Hata et al., “Real-time ultrasonographic assessment of antroduodenal motility after ingestion of solid and liquid meals by patients with functional dyspepsia,” *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 1022–1027, 2000.

[3] B. E. Lacy, N. J. Talley, G. R. Locke et al., “Review article: current treatment options and management of functional dyspepsia,” *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 2012.

[4] S. Aoki, K. Haruma, H. Kusunoki et al., “Evaluation of gastric emptying measured with the 13C-octanoic acid breath test in patients with functional dyspepsia: comparison with ultrasonography,” *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 662–666, 2002.

[5] N. J. Talley and S. F. Phillips, “Non-ulcer dyspepsia: potential causes and pathophysiology,” *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 108, no. 6, pp. 865–879, 1988.

[6] P. Moayyedi, S. Soo, J. Deeks, B. Delaney, M. Innes, and D. Forman, “Pharmacological interventions for non-ulcer dyspepsia,” *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 1, p. CD001960, 2003.

[7] D. K. Wysowski, A. Corken, H. Gallo-Torres, L. Talarico, and E. M. Rodriguez, “Postmarketing reports of QT prolongation and ventricular arrhythmia in association with cisapride and Food and Drug Administration regulatory actions,” *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 1698–1703, 2001.

[8] P. Moayyedi, S. Soo, J. Deeks et al., “Eradication of *Heliocobacter pylori* for non-ulcer dyspepsia,” *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 1, p. CD002096, 2003.

[9] W. D. Zhang, B. H. Wei, and Z. S. Chen, “Schedule for diagnosis and treatment of functional indigestion syndrome with integrative Chinese and Western medicine,” *Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 559–561, 2005.

[10] M. Tatsuta and H. Iishi, “Effect of treatment with Liu-Jun-Zi-Tang (TJ-43) on gastric emptying and gastrointestinal symptoms in dyspeptic patients,” *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 459–462, 1993.

[11] X. R. Li and G. H. Yu, “Pharmacological research and clinical application of xiang sha liu jun zi tang,” *Journal of Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 68–69, 2008.

[12] Chinese Society of Digestive Diseases and Chinese Association of Integrative Medicine, “Consensus on the diagnosis and treatment of functional dyspepsia by Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine,” *Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 1545–1549, 2011.

[13] Q. J. Chen, “Clinical observation on modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang treating 65 patients with functional dyspepsia,” *Henan Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 2, p. 61, 2005.

[14] W. D. Gui, “Clinical observation on modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang treating 40 patients with functional dyspepsia,” *Henan Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 15–16, 2003.

[15] J. J. Li, “Clinical observation on effect of modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang in treating 36 patients with functional dyspepsia,” *Journal of Handan Medical College*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 530–531, 2004.

[16] Q. P. Fan, “Clinical observation of functional dyspepsia with modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang,” *Chinese Journal of Experimental Traditional Medical Formulas*, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 146, 2010.
[17] Q. Y. Lu and W. Hong, “Clinical observation of functional dyspepsia with modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang,” China Journal of Chinese Medicine, vol. 27, no. 164, pp. 91–92, 2012.

[18] R. Q. Chen and Q. Y. Zhang, “Clinical observation on modified liu jun zi tang treating 40 patients with functional dyspepsia,” Shanxi Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 12–13, 2008.

[19] Z. Wang, “Clinical observation on effect of modified liu jun zi tang in treating 60 patients with functional dyspepsia,” Heilongjiang Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 5, pp. 23–23, 2010.

[20] M. Arai, T. Matsumura, N. Tsuchiya et al., “Rikkunshito improves the symptoms in patients with functional dyspepsia, accompanied by an increase in the level of plasma ghrelin,” Hepatogastroenterology, vol. 59, no. 113, pp. 62–66, 2012.

[21] L. L. Xiao, “Effect of treatment with modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang and Cisapride in patients with functional dyspepsia,” Journal of Hunan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 41–42, 2005.

[22] J. Li, “Clinical observation on xiang sha liu jun zi tang treating 30 patients with functional dyspepsia,” Guiding Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 47–48, 2010.

[23] X. P. Zhang and S. J. Shun, “Effect of treatment with modified liu jun zi tang on plasma motilin in patients with functional dyspepsia,” Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 517–519, 2002.

[24] W. G. Zhao and H. X. Che, “Clinical observation on effect of liu jun zi tang in treating 100 patients with functional dyspepsia,” Shanxi Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1178–1179, 2009.

[25] W. Liang, “Clinical observation on modified xiang sha liu jun zi tang treating 30 patients with functional dyspepsia,” Journal of Shanxi College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 48–50, 2011.

[26] Y. P. Zhou, “Clinical observation of functional dyspepsia with xiang sha liu jun zi tang,” Journal of Hunan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 134–135, 2010.

[27] B. P. Tian and J. Wang, “Clinical observation of functional dyspepsia with liu jun zi tang versus Mosapride,” Chinese Archives of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 569–570, 2005.

[28] A. R. Jadad, R. A. Moore, D. Carroll et al., “Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary?” Controlled Clinical Trials, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 1996.

[29] T. Hayakawa, T. Arakawa, Y. Kase et al., “Liu-Jun-Zi-Tang, a kampo medicine, promotes adaptive relaxation in isolated guinea pig stomachs,” Drugs under Experimental and Clinical Research, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 211–218, 1999.

[30] T. Kido, Y. Nakai, Y. Kase et al., “Effects of Rikkunshi-to, a traditional Japanese medicine, on the delay of gastric emptying induced by NG-nitro-L-arginine,” Journal of Pharmacological Sciences, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 161–167, 2005.

[31] T. Matsumura, M. Arai, Y. Yonemitsu et al., “The traditional Japanese medicine Rikkunshito increases the plasma level of ghrelin in humans and mice,” Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 300–307, 2010.

[32] L. Y. Feng, “Effects of xiang sha liu jun zi tang on gastric and intestinal functions in animals,” Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 35–37, 1992.

[33] X. D. Peng and Y. X. Huang, “Effect of xiang sha liu jun pills on electrogastrogram and gastrin in patients with functional dyspepsia,” Shenzhen Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 20–24, 2001.

[34] X. Y. Deng, “Experiment research of xiang sha liu jun zi tang on gastric and intestinal functions,” Chinese Traditional Patent Medicine, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 30–31, 1991.

[35] Y. F. Zhang, T. Z. Zheng, W. Li et al., “Effects of Xiang Sha Liu Jun Zi Tang and its component on contractile activity of isolated gastric muscle strips in rats,” Journal of Lanzhou Medical College, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 1–3, 1999.

[36] H. S. Kim, S. P. Parajuli, C. H. Yeum et al., “Effects of ginseng total saponins on pacemaker currents of interstitial cells of Cajal from the small intestine of mice,” Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 2037–2042, 2007.

[37] D. Chen, C. F. Wu, L. Huang, and Z. Ning, “Effect of the aqueous extract of Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang on gastric emptying in mice,” American Journal of Chinese Medicine, vol. 30, no. 2–3, pp. 207–214, 2002.

[38] Y. Okai, M. Morita, A. Iizuka et al., “Effects of Hoelen on the efferent activity of the gastric vagus nerve in the rat,” Japanese Journal of Pharmacology, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 71–73, 1996.

[39] Y. Nakai, T. Kido, K. Hashimoto et al., “Effect of the rhizomes of Atractylodes lancea and its constituents on the delay of gastric emptying,” Journal of Ethnopharmacology, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 51–55, 2003.

[40] G. Chen, L. Zhu, Y. Liu, Q. Zhou, H. Chen, and J. Yang, “Isoquinigrigenin, a flavonoid from licorice, plays a dual role in regulating gastrointestinal motility in vitro and in vivo,” Phytotherapy Research, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 498–506, 2009.

[41] S. F. Chen, Y. Q. Li, and F. Y. He, “Effect of Saussurea lappa on gastric functions,” Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 406–408, 1994.