Regression of viral pathogen indicators due to improvement of hygiene protocols on boots in a bovine farm
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ABSTRACT. Rotavirus A (RVA), bovine torovirus (BToV), bovine enterovirus (BEV) and bovine coronavirus (BCV) at a bovine farm in Ibaraki prefecture were monitored by one-step multiplex reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), with the aim of confirming the reduction of "viral pathogen indicators". A total of 960 bovine fecal samples were collected from calves less than 2 month-old within the period from October 2016 to October 2018 every 2 months at the bovine farm. In each sampling, 40 samples were taken from calves 3 week-old or less, and 40 samples from calves over 3 week-old, in principle. At the end of September 2017, the farm introduced improvement of hygiene protocols on boots by exchanging boots and appropriate usage of a footbath at the entrance of calf sheds. In the comparison of the virus detection by RT-PCR, prevalence of all 4 viruses was significantly reduced (P<0.01) in calves 3 week-old or less after the improvement. The mortality of calves less than 2 month-old was also significantly reduced after the improvement of hygiene protocols. These data suggest that the proper control of boots at calf sheds is important, perhaps even vital, for rearing hygiene measures at bovine farms so as to attain substantial decrease in the prevalence of pathogens.
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Neonatal calf diarrhea (NCD) is the most important cause of disease in calves less than one month old [4, 8]. Causative viral agents of calf deaths before weaning resulting prevalently from NCD, are rotavirus A (RVA) and bovine coronavirus (BCV) [4, 8]. To control these infectious diseases, enhancement of biosecurity at domestic farms is the key all over the world. Two measures of high importance for improvement of hygiene protocols on boots are exchanging boots at the entrance of each domestic animal shed and proper usage of a footbath containing disinfectants. Given that proper usage of disinfectants is a key for enhancing biosecurity, “The Disinfection Handbook for the Field of Livestock” was published in February 2019 through Japan Livestock Industry Association [17], while this move was preceded in April 2018 (for the first time in 67 years) [13] and in December 2018 [15] (following classical swine fever outbreaks in Japan [14]) by revised and reinforced instructions, as set by MAFF.

Quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) are common disinfectants at livestock farms, but their activities are normally reduced by organic material contamination and low temperature [7, 11]. Pathogen inactivation efficacy of QAC was synergistically enhanced with food additive-grade calcium hydroxide (FdCa(OH)2) [1, 2, 10]. However, even while using QAC and FdCa(OH)2 mixture, it took more than 3 min to inactivate pathogens on abiotic carriers (rubber, plastic or steel) [1, 2], and this suggests that changing boots at each shed seems to be better than dipping boots in a footbath, including in bovine farms. It was also suggested that boots should be kept for more than 3 min in QAC and FdCa(OH)2 mixture, because the mixture could inactivate non-enveloped viruses as well as enveloped viruses [1, 18].

In the present research, in order to monitor bovine farm biosecurity level, RVA, bovine torovirus (BToV), bovine enterovirus...
(BEV) and BCV were selected as “viral pathogen indicators”, because these viruses account for the etiology of diarrhea, which causes considerable economic loss for bovine farms [3, 8, 12, 16, 19], and were prevalent in bovine farms in Japan [20]. All of those four viruses were also detected in farm A in Ibaraki prefecture [20]. Our previous results revealed that RVA was the primary agent among the positive samples obtained at the age of 3 weeks or below, while BEV was the primary among those obtained at an age over 3 weeks [20]. So in the present study, fecal samplings were separated by the age of calves (3 weeks or below and over 3 weeks).

In the present study, these four viruses were used as viral pathogen indicators and their prevalence in farm A alongside with the mortality of calves therein were compared before and after the improvement of hygiene protocols on boots at the entrance of calf sheds, for 2 years.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Farm and hygiene protocols on boots**

The study was conducted from October 2016 to October 2018 at a dairy farm in which around 120 calves (Japanese black or crossbred of Japanese black and Holstein) were produced monthly. Calves were transferred into individual calf hatches in calf sheds right after their birth and reared until two months of age in the same hatch. Each calf shed had around 60–80 hatches that were partitioned by metal fencing with 20 cm square grid (Fig. 1).

Before October 2017, the farm staffs wore the same boots on their work at different calf shed. Boots were disinfected for five seconds in a foot bath containing a 1,000-fold diluted combined chlorine disinfectant at the entrance of each calf shed, while the dilution was higher than 100-fold, which is indicated in the manufacturer’s instructions. At the end of September 2017, the protocols on boots hygiene were revised (Fig. 2). The farm staffs were required to change from their boots to the boots exclusively used in the shed at the entrance of each calf shed. Afterwards, the shed-exclusive boots were obligatorily washed and disinfected in a footbath containing the QAC (Rontect®, Scientific Feed Laboratory Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), diluted 1:500 with tap water and added FdCa(OH)2 powder (Fine Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at the final concentration of 0.17% at the exit of each calf shed [1, 2, 10].

**Sampling**

A total of 960 fecal samples were collected from calves at 1 to 8 weeks of age from October 2016 to October 2018, at intervals of two months. At each sampling, around 40 samples were collected from calves at three weeks of age or younger, as well as from calves older than three weeks of age (Table 1). A total of 560 and 400 samples collected from October 2016 to October 2017 and from December 2017 to October 2018 were considered as samples collected before and after the improvement of hygiene protocols and practice on boots, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Fecal samples were kept cool during the shipment from the farm to the laboratory and stored at −20°C.

**RNA extraction and one-step multiplex RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using ISOGEN-LS (Nippon Gene Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) from supernatants of 10% fecal homogenates and tested for RVA, BToV and BCV genes by one-step multiplex RT-PCR using PrimeScript One Step RT-PCR Kit ver.2 (Takara Bio Inc., Kusatsu, Japan), as described previously [20]. The PCR products were visualized on 1.5% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. The estimated sizes of amplified products were 928 base pears (bp) for RVA, 651 bp for BoTV, 483 bp for BEV and 407 bp for BCV [20].
Mortality of calves less than two months old

Total numbers of dead calves less than two months of age were recorded every month during the study period. Mortality was calculated by dividing the total number of dead calves less than two months old in the designated month by the total number of calves less than one month old at the end of the previous month and the total number of births in the designated month.

Statistical analysis

Welch’s t-test was performed using Excel 2013 to compare the virus detection rates before and after improvement of hygiene protocols on boots, which pertain to the age-related and seasonal dynamics, respectively. A significant difference was considered at the level of $P<0.05$ or $P<0.01$. In the present study, four seasons were defined as spring from March to May, summer from June to August, autumn from September to November, and winter from December to February. The mortality of calves less than 2 month-old from November 2016 to October 2018 was also compared in reference to before and after improvement.

RESULTS

Comparison of virus detection rates before and after improvement of hygiene protocols on boots, in reference to calves at different age groups

In Table 1, age related dynamics of the targeted viruses was summarized. In calves at three weeks of age or younger, before the improvement of hygiene protocols, RVA prevalence of 39.1% was the highest in the 4 targeted viruses, followed by BEV (28.9%), BCV (23.4%) and BToV (8.2%). After the improvement, RVA was still bit high (22.7%), however, all 4 viruses detection rates were reduced significantly ($P<0.01$). On the contrary, in calves over three weeks of age, before the improvement, BEV detection over three weeks of age was also reduced, though the reduction was not significant. BCV prevalence increased but not significantly.
**Table 2.** Seasonal comparison of virus detection rates (%) in calves, before and after the improvement of hygiene protocols on boots

| Period     | Spring Before (n=80) | Spring After (n=80) | Summer Before (n=160) | Summer After (n=160) | Autumn Before (n=160) | Autumn After (n=160) | Winter Before (n=160) | Winter After (n=160) | Total Before (n=560) | Total After (n=400) |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| RVA        | 17.5                 | 35.0*               | 26.9                  | 0.0**                | 35.6                  | 5.0**                | 17.5                  | 21.3                 | 25.4                | 16.5                |
| BToV       | 26.3                 | 0.0**               | 7.5                   | 2.5                  | 4.4                   | 0.0**                | 9.4                   | 5.0                  | 9.8                 | 2.5                 |
| BEV        | 47.5                 | 10.0**              | 35.0                  | 21.3*                | 40.0                  | 11.3**               | 55.0                  | 10.6**               | 43.9                | 12.8                |
| BCV        | 33.8                 | 30.0                | 15.0                  | 12.5                 | 20.6                  | 7.5**                | 48.1                  | 30.0**               | 28.8                | 22.0                |

RVA: Rotavirus A, BToV: bovine torovirus, BEV: bovine enterovirus, BCV: bovine coronavirus. *The significance of difference compared with the period before the improvement of hygiene protocols (P<0.05), **The significance of difference compared with the period preceding the improvement (P<0.01).

**Table 3.** Mortality (%) of calves less than 2 months-old before and after improvement of hygiene protocols

| Period  | Month | Average |
|---------|-------|---------|
|         | Nov   | Dec.    | Jan.    | Feb.    | Mar.    | Apr.    | May.    | Jun.    | Jul.    | Aug.    | Sep.    | Oct.    |         |
| Before  | 3.75  | 1.32    | 1.00    | 0.98    | 1.37    | 2.78    | 1.55    | 1.21    | 1.75    | 0.58    | 0.83    | 1.03    | 1.51    |
| After   | 0.36  | 0.74    | 0.37    | 0.00    | 0.32    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.44    | 0.00    | 0.33    | 0.68    | 0.33    | 0.30**  |

**The significance of difference of the average mortality compared with the period preceding the improvement (P<0.01).**

**Seasonal comparison of virus detection rates in calves between before and after improvement of hygiene protocols on boots**

In Table 2, seasonal dynamics of the targeted viruses was summarized. In spring, before improvement, BEV prevalence was highest (47.5%), followed by BCV (33.8%), BToV (26.3%), RVA (17.5%). After improvement, the prevalence of BEV and BToV decreased significantly, but RVA prevalence increased significantly. In summer, before improvement, BEV was the most prevalent (35.0%), followed by RVA, BToV and BToV, but after the improvement, RVA and BEV decreased significantly. In autumn, before improvement, BEV was the most common (40.0%), followed by RVA (35.6%), BCV and BToV, but after the improvement, the prevalence of all viruses was significantly reduced (P<0.01). In winter, before the improvement, BEV had the highest prevalence (55.0%), followed by BToV (48.1%), RVA (17.5%) and BToV. After improvement, the prevalence of BEV and BCV decreased significantly (P<0.01).

**Overall decrease of mortality in calves younger than two months old after improvement of hygiene protocols on boots**

Mortality of calves less than 2 months-old before and after improvement period, namely from November 2016 to October 2017 and from November 2017 to October 2018, respectively, was compared. As shown in Table 3, mortality at each month was reduced and the average mortality was significantly reduced from 1.51% to 0.30% after the improvement (P<0.01). Before and after improvement, mortality percent difference in spring was 1.79%; followed by autumn—1.41%; summer—0.79% and winter—0.73%.

**DISCUSSION**

RVA, BToV, BEV and BCV account for viral etiology of diarrhea, which causes considerable economic loss for bovine farms [3, 12, 16, 19]. Especially, RVA and BCV are the primary causes for NCD and calves sometimes experienced mixed infection by these viruses [4, 8]. Mixed infections usually make the disease worse than a single infection. BCV and BEV were detected continuously for several weeks in the same calves, however, RVA was detected only for several days [5, 6, 20].

As shown in Table 1, detection rates of the four viruses were significantly reduced in the younger calves and detection rates of BToV and BEV were also significantly reduced in the older calves after improvement of hygiene protocols. These data suggest that the reduction of presence of these four viral pathogen indicators in younger calves contributed the decrease in mortality of calves (Table 3).

In bovine farms, exchange of boots is not mandatory for the Standards of Rearing Hygiene Management in Japan. Most farms use foot baths at the entrance of sheds, however, the pathogen inactivation efficacy of the foot baths is suspicious.

In the present study, farm A introduced the improvement of hygiene protocols on boots by exchanging boots and appropriate usage of a footbath at the entrance of calf sheds as shown in the section of Materials and Methods (Fig. 2). There might be some seasonal differences of the prevalence of viruses, however, continuous monitoring over four seasons for two years, demonstrated that after the improvement of hygiene protocols, the prevalence of all viruses was significantly reduced in calves aged 3 week-old or less (Table 2). This fact means that the improvement of hygiene protocols of boots could reduce the virus contamination of calf sheds. Of course, some detection of viruses still continued, yet, the detection rates of the viruses were significantly decreased. These lowered detection rates may probably also correlate with the significantly reduced mortality in calves less than 2 months of age after improvement of hygiene protocols, as shown in Table 3. The staffs of farm A also recognized that comparison of the
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