Observation of $B^+ \to K_1(1270)\gamma$
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exclusive decays can be found in Refs. [3, 4]. The modes was reported by CLEO and Belle [1]. Theoretical pre-
time-dependent CP the SM [5]. The neutral mode $K^0(K_{1}^{*}(1270))$, a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintil-
CDC, an array of aerogel threshold Čerenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintil-
was γ. We find no significant signal for $B^+ \rightarrow K^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and set an upper limit $B(B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1400)^+\gamma) < 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ at the 90% confidence level. We also measure inclusive branching fractions for $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ in the mass range $1 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{K^+\pi^-\gamma} < 2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Nd

Radiative $B$ decays that occur through the flavor changing neutral current process $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ have been one of the most sensitive probes in the search for physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). The first observed exclusive radiative decay mode was $B \rightarrow K^+\gamma$ [1, 2]. The second mode was $B \rightarrow K^{*0}(1430)\gamma$, which was reported by CLEO and Belle [1]. Theoretical predictions for the branching fractions of the unobserved exclusive decays can be found in Refs. [1, 2]. The modes $B \rightarrow K_1(1270)\gamma$ and $B \rightarrow K_1(1400)\gamma$ ($K_1 \rightarrow K\pi\pi$) can be used to measure the photon helicity, which may differ from the SM prediction in some models beyond the SM [2]. The neutral mode $B^0 \rightarrow K_1(1270)^0\gamma$, $K_1(1270)^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^0\gamma$ would also be useful to measure time-dependent CP violation that may arise from new physics [2].

In this paper, we report the observation of $B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1270)^+\gamma$, which is the first radiative $B$ meson decay mode that involves an axial-vector resonance. We study radiative decays in the $K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $K_0^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ final states, where we search for resonant structure in the $K\pi^+\pi^-$ system [2]. We also report inclusive measurements of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and the results of a search for $B^+ \rightarrow K_1(1400)^+\gamma$. The analysis is based on a data sample of $140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (152 million $B\bar{B}$ pairs) taken at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance with the Belle detector at the KEKB $e^+e^-$ collider [2].

The Belle detector consists of a three-layer silicon vertex detector (SVD), a 50-layer central drift chamber (CDC), an array of aerogel threshold Čerenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF), and an electromagnetic calorimeter comprised of CsI(Tl) crystals (ECL) located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An instrumented iron flux-return (KLM) for $K^0_S$ and muon identification is located outside of the coil. The detector is described in detail elsewhere [3].

The photon candidate is the highest energy photon cluster measured with the barrel ECL (33° < $\theta_\gamma$ < 128° in the laboratory frame). In order to reduce the background from $\pi^0/\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays, we combine the photon candidate with all other photon clusters in the event with energy greater than 30 MeV (200 MeV) and reject the event if the invariant mass of any pair is within $\pm18 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ ($\pm32 \text{ MeV}/c^2$) of the nominal $\pi^0/\eta$ mass. These correspond to $\pm3\sigma$ windows, where $\sigma$ is the mass resolution. We refer to this requirement as the $\pi^0/\eta$ veto.

Charged tracks are required to have momentum in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame greater than 200 MeV/$c$ and to have an impact parameter relative to the interaction point of less than 5 cm along the positron beam axis and less than 0.5 cm in the transverse plane. The charged kaon candidate is identified using a likelihood ratio combining the information from the ACC, TOF, and CDC sub-detectors; the remaining charged particles in the event are used as pion candidates, unless the track has been identified as an electron, muon, or proton.

For neutral kaons, we use $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ candidates that have invariant masses within $\pm30 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ of the nominal $K^0_S$ mass and a c.m. momentum greater than 200 MeV/$c$. The two pions are required to have a common vertex that is displaced from the interaction point. The $K^0_S$ momen-
In order to study \( B \to K_1 \gamma \) (\( K_1 \to K\pi\pi \)), we first reconstruct \( B \to K^{\pi^+\pi^-\gamma} \) inclusively, without any requirement for the structure of the \( K^{\pi^+\pi^-} \) system. We select \( K^{\pi^+\pi^-} \) combinations in the mass range \( 1 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{K^{\pi^+\pi^-}} < 2 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). Given the \( K^{\pi^+\pi^-} \) system and the photon candidate, we identify \( B \) meson candidates using two independent kinematic variables: the beam-energy constrained mass \( M_{bc} \equiv \sqrt{(E^*_\text{beam}/c^2)^2 - (p^*_B/c)^2} \) and the energy difference \( \Delta E \equiv E^*_K - E^*_\gamma + E^*_\gamma - E^*_\text{beam} \), where \( E^*_\text{beam} \) is the beam energy and \( p^*_B \) is the momentum of the \( B \) candidate in the c.m. frame [11]. The \( B \) momentum is calculated as \( \vec{p}^*_B = \vec{p}^*_K + \frac{E^*_\gamma}{E^*_\gamma} \times (E^*_\text{beam} - E^*_K) \) in order to improve the \( M_{bc} \) resolution. We select \( B \) candidates within \(-0.1 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 0.08 \text{ GeV} \) and \( M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). If there exist multiple candidates, we choose the candidate with the highest confidence level for the \( K^{\pi^+\pi^-} \) vertex fit (\( \pi^+\pi^- \) vertex in the neutral case).

The dominant background comes from hadronic continuum (\( e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}, q = u,d,s,c \)). To suppress this background, we use two variables: the \( B \) flight direction (\( \cos \theta^*_B \)) and a Fisher discriminant [11] built from a set of shape variables [12]. For signal, the \( B \) flight direction follows a \( 1 - \cos^2 \theta^*_B \) distribution while that of \( q\bar{q} \) is nearly uniform. The likelihood function \( L_{S(B)} \) is modeled as a 2nd (1st) order polynomial for the signal (continuum background) from MC samples. For the shape variables, we use 16 modified Fox-Wolfram moments [13] calculated for the following groups of particles: 1) particles that form the signal candidate, 2) the remaining charged particles, 3) the remaining neutral particles, and 4) a hypothetical particle for the missing momentum of the event. The Fisher discriminant is obtained from these moments and the scalar sum of the transverse momentum. The likelihood function \( L_{\text{Fisher}} \) is modeled as a bifurcated Gaussian function both for the signal and the continuum background from MC samples.

These likelihood functions are then combined to form \( R_S = L_{S(B)}^{\cos \theta_B} L_{\text{Fisher}}^{\cos \theta_B} (L_{S(B)}^{\cos \theta_B} L_{\text{Fisher}} + L_{B}^{\cos \theta_B} L_{\text{Fisher}}) \). We determine the \( R_S \) requirement by maximizing \( N_S/\sqrt{N_S + N_B} \), where \( N_S \) and \( N_B \) are the expected number of the signal and background events, respectively, in \( M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). For this purpose, we use \( B \to K_1(1270) \gamma \) and \( B \to K_1(1400) \gamma \) signal Monte Carlo (MC) simulated data, assuming all the \( B \to K_1 \gamma \) branching fractions are \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \). We find \( R_S > 0.9 \) is the optimal requirement. This requirement retains 47% of the signal events while rejecting 98% of the continuum background events.

The signal yields for \( B \to K^{\pi^+\pi^-} \) are extracted from a binned maximum likelihood fit to the \( M_{bc} \) distribution. In addition to the continuum background, we consider four \( B \) decay background sources: known \( B \) decays through the \( b \to c \) transition (referred to as the \( b \to c \) background), hadronic \( B \) decays through the \( b \to u, d \) or \( s \) transitions (charmless background), \( B \to K^{*\gamma} \) background, and radiative \( b \to d \) decay events to final states other than \( K^{*\gamma} \) and \( K^{\pi^+\pi^-} \) (other \( b \to s \gamma \) background). To suppress the \( B \to K^{*\gamma} \) background, we reject the event if \( \Delta E \) and \( M_{bc} \) calculated from either \( K^{\pi\gamma} \) combination satisfy \( -0.2 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 0.1 \text{ GeV} \) and \( M_{bc} > 5.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). The signal \( M_{bc} \) distributions are each modeled as a Gaussian function; its width is fixed using a data sample of \( B \to D(\to K\pi\pi)\pi \) decays, treating the primary pion as a high energy photon. The shapes of the background \( M_{bc} \) distributions are determined using large MC samples. We find that the sum of the continuum and \( b \to c \) backgrounds is described by an ARGUS function [12], a smooth functional form that has a kinematic threshold at half of the center of mass energy. Charmless decays, \( B \to K^{*\gamma} \) and other \( b \to s \gamma \) decays are modeled as a sum of an ARGUS function and a Gaussian function. The normalization of the continuum plus \( b \to c \) background is floated in the fit; the normalization of the other three components are fixed in the fit.

The fit result is shown in Fig. 1. For the \( B^+ \to K^{\pi^+\pi^-\gamma} \) mode, we obtain 318 \pm 22 events with a significance of 16\( \sigma \), where the significance is defined as \( \sqrt{-2\ln(L_0/L_{\text{max}})} \), and \( L_{\text{max}} \) and \( L_0 \) denote the maximum likelihoods of the fit with and without the signal component, respectively, and the significance includes systematic error. Similarly, we obtain 67 \pm 10 events with a significance of 8.3\( \sigma \) for the \( B^0 \to K^{0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma} \) mode.

The systematic uncertainty related to the fitting procedure is estimated in the following way. We vary the width and the mean of the signal Gaussian by the error of the \( B \to D\pi \) calibration sample. We vary the ARGUS parameter of the continuum plus \( b \to c \) background by the errors from fits to the MC sample and to a data sideband region defined as \( 0.1 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 0.5 \text{ GeV} \), then we take the quadratic sum of those errors. The \( B \to K^{*\gamma} \) component is varied by the branching fraction uncertainty. The normalization of the other \( b \to s \gamma \)
background component is varied within its respective uncertainty, estimated from the uncertainties in the total \( b \to s\gamma \) branching fraction \(13\) and the fraction of \( K\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) in the \( s\gamma \) final state \(14\). For the charmless background we vary the normalization by \( \pm 100\% \). We also assign the uncertainty due to a possible fit bias as the error of the fit to the signal MC sample. The total fitting errors are 5.3\% (12\%) for the \( B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) (\( B^0 \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \)) mode.

In order to decompose intermediate resonances that may be involved in the \( K^+\pi^+\pi^- \) final state, we perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the \( M_{bc} \) and \( M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \) distributions of the \( B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) candidates. There are many possible resonances that can contribute: \( K_1(1270) \), \( K_1(1400) \), \( K_2^*(1340) \), \( K^*(1410) \), \( K^*(1680) \), and so on. We consider the first three resonances, and include an additional non-resonant \( B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) component. The \( B \to K_2^*(1430) \) component, which is already measured, is fixed in the fit. We model the \( M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \) distribution of the \( K_1(1270) \) resonance as a sum of three decay chains, \( K_1(1270)^+ \to K^+\rho^0 \), \( \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \); \( K_1(1270)^+ \to K^0\pi^+\pi^- \); \( K^0 \to K^+\pi^- \); and \( K_1(1270)^+ \to K_2^*(1430)^0\pi^+ \), \( K_2^*(1430)^0 \to K^+\pi^- \). The \( M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \) distribution for each decay chain is described by convolving the two relativistic Breit-Wigner functions of the resonances in the decay chain. The \( M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \) distribution of the \( K_1(1400) \) resonance is modeled with a single decay chain, \( K_1(1400)^+ \to K^0\pi^+\pi^- \), \( K^0 \to K^+\pi^- \). The \( M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \) distributions of other \( b \to s\gamma \), non-resonant \( B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) and continuum plus \( b \to c \) backgrounds are modeled using the function \( (p_0 + p_1 x) \exp[p_2 + p_3 x + p_4 x^2] \), where \( x = M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \), and \( p_i \) \( (i = 0 \ldots 4) \) are empirical parameters that are determined from MC samples.

In order to enhance the \( K_1(1270) \) component, we select events with \( \pi^+\pi^- \) mass in the \( \rho^0 \) mass region, 0.6 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 < M_{\pi\pi} < 0.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) (left) and \( M_{K^{++}\pi^-} \) distribution for \( M_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) (right) of the \( K_1(1270)^+\gamma \) enriched sample with 0.6 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 < M_{bc} < 0.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). Curves show the projections of the fit results for the continuum plus \( b \to c \) background component (dot-dashed), total background without and with the \( K_2^*(1430)^+\gamma \) component (dotted and dashed, respectively), \( K_1(1270)^+\gamma \) (thin solid line) and \( K_1(1400)^+\gamma \) (hatched) components, and the sum of all components (thick solid line).

\[ B^{+} \to K^{+}\pi^+\pi^-\gamma = (4.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5} \] (1)

where the first (second) error is statistical (systematic) assuming that the production of \( B^+ \) and \( B^0 \) in \( Y(4S) \) decays is equal. We also measure the inclusive branching fractions of \( B \to K\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) given in Table 4. We find that \( B(B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma) \) is consistent with the previous measurement with a significantly improved error \(13\); the neutral one is measured with a similar branching fraction.

Similarly, we perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the \( B^0 \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) candidates to decompose
TABLE I: Yields from fits, detection efficiencies, branching fractions with statistical and systematic errors, and significances. The $K_1(1270)^0$ and $K_1(1400)^0$. Due to the limited statistics we do not obtain a significant result and set only upper limits.

To conclude, we observe a new radiative decay mode, \( B^+ \to K_1(1270)^+\gamma \), with a branching fraction of \((4.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.9 \text{ (syst.)}) \times 10^{-5}\), which is larger than theory predictions \((0.5 \sim 2.0) \times 10^{-5}\) \([4, 5]\). The rates for \( B \to K_1(1270)^\gamma \) and \( B \to K_1(1400)^\gamma \) are sensitive to the magnitude and sign of the \( K_1(1270) \to K_1(1400) \) mixing angle. The large rate for \( B^+ \to K_1(1270)^+\gamma \) compared to \( B^+ \to K_1(1400)^+\gamma \) may be explained by a positive mixing angle \([4]\). This measurement of \( B \to K_1(1270)^\gamma \) shows that in the future it will be possible to determine the photon helicity using \( B \to K_1^\gamma \), \( K_1 \to K\pi \) and that time-dependent CP violation using \( B^0 \to K_1(1270)^0\gamma \), \( K_1(1270)^0 \to K_S^0\rho^0 \) decays can also be studied. We also measure similar branching fractions for the inclusive decays \( B^+ \to K^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \) and \( B^0 \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma \). The latter is measured for the first time.
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