Genome-wide analysis of terpene synthase gene family to explore candidate genes related to disease resistance in *Prunus persica*
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In plants, a family of terpene synthases (TPSs) is responsible for the biosynthesis of terpenes and contributes to species-specific diversity of volatile organic compounds, which play essential roles in fitness of plants. However, little is known about the TPS gene family in peach and/or nectarine (*Prunus persica* L.). In this study, we identified 40 *PpTPS* genes in peach genome v2.0. Although these *PpTPSs* could be clustered into five classes, they distribute in several gene clusters of three chromosomes, share conserved exon-intron organizations, and code similar protein motifs. Thirty-five *PpTPSs*, especially *PpTPS2, PpTPS23, PpTPS17, PpTPS18*, and *PpTPS19*, altered their transcript levels after inoculation with *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, a cause of peach gummosis, compared to the mock treatments, which might further affect the contents of 133 terpenoids at 48 hours and/or 84 hours post inoculations in the current-year shoots of ‘Huyou018’, a highly susceptible nectarine cultivar. Moreover, about fifteen *PpTPSs*, such as *PpTPS1, PpTPS2, PpTPS3*, and *PpTPS5*, showed distinct expression patterns during fruit development and ripening in two peach cultivars, yellow-fleshed ‘Jinchun’ and white-fleshed ‘Hikawa Hakuho’. Among them, the transcription level of chloroplast-localized *PpTPS3* was obviously related to the content of linalool in fruit pulps. In addition, elevated concentrations (0.1 g/L to 1.0 g/L) of linalool showed antifungal activities in PDA medium. These results improve our understanding of peach *PpTPS* genes and their potential roles in defense responses against pathogens.
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Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are essential for the interaction of plants with pollinators, seed-spreaders, herbivores, and microorganisms (Dudareva et al., 2013; Bouwmeester et al., 2019). Among the four classes of plant VOCs (terpenoids, phenylpropanoids/benzenoids, fatty acid derivatives, and amino acid derivatives), terpenoids constitute the largest and most diverse class of secondary metabolites with many volatile constituents (Dudareva et al., 2006; Dudareva et al., 2013). Terpenoids are derived from two common C5-isoprene building units, isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and its allylic isomer, dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP) (McGarvey and Croteau, 1995). Both IPP and DMAPP are substrates for short-chain prenyltransferases, which produce prenyl diphosphate precursors, farnesyl diphosphate, geranyl diphosphate, and geranylgeranyl diphosphate (Vranova et al., 2013). A family of terpene synthases/cyclases (TPSs) is responsible for the synthesis of volatile terpenoids from prenyl diphosphate precursors in cytosol and/or plastids (Chen et al., 2011). Although two compartmentally separated pathways, mevalonic acid (MVA) and meyerlythritol phosphate (MEP), are responsible for the formation of IPP and DMAPP in plants, cross-flows between MVA and MEP pathways have been identified (Vranova et al., 2013). Therefore, the divergent function and subcellular localization of TPSs determine the diversity of volatile terpenoids. In general, through specific activities of TPSs, the MVA pathway generates volatile sesquiterpenes (C15) in cytosol and peroxisome, whereas the MEP pathway generates volatile hemiterpenes (C5), monoterpenes (C10), and diterpenes (C20) in plastids (McGarvey and Croteau, 1995; Sapir-Mir et al., 2008; Vranova et al., 2013).

TPS genes have been widely found in bacteria, fungi, and plants, but their proteins show high structural similarity and share a common evolutionary origin. Notably, the size of the TPS gene family shows divergence in different lineages (Chen et al., 2011). For example, only a single functional TPS gene, coding a copalyl synthase/kaurene synthase (CPS/KS), was found in the bryophyte Physcomitrella patens, but over 100 TPS gene models were found in Vitis vinifera (Hayashi et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2010). Usually, a typical/complete TPS protein consists of two independent domains at the N-terminal and C-terminal. The N-terminal domain of the protein has features of the active site of a CPS, whereas the KS activity is located in the C-terminal of the protein (Hayashi et al., 2006). In most cases, functional TPS genes lose activity in either the CPS- or KS-type domains in both gymnosperms and angiosperms, that is, these TPSs only act as monofunctional TPSs, whereas the unique TPS in P. patens is a bifunctional enzyme (Keeling et al., 2010). This gene family is divided into seven subfamilies (TPS-a through TPS-g) based on sequence relatedness, functional assessment, and gene architecture (Aubourg et al., 2002; Alquezar et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). TPSs from related plant species tend to cluster more than enzymes with similar functions, thus challenging the substrate/product predictions based on sequence similarities (Bohllmann et al., 1998; Aubourg et al., 2002). These results suggest that the expansion of TPS gene family can be species- and/or lineage-specific. As a result, the majority of TPS genes are generated through tandem duplications rather than whole-genome duplications or segmental duplications (Yu et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). Moreover, the roles of some TPS proteins can be variable and associated with the availability of substrates and the microenvironment of distinct compartments (Aharoni et al., 2004). For example, two sesquiterpene synthases, TPS21 and TPS11, account for the biosynthesis of nearly all 20 sesquiterpenes found in the floral volatile blend in Arabidopsis (Tholl et al., 2005).

Although terpenoid-derived VOCs are pivotal to the survival and fitness of plants, the importance and potential roles of TPSs in the biosynthesis of VOCs and highly complicated interactions/conversations (ecological correlations) between plants and other living beings, including humans, animals, plants, and microbes have attracted attention (Dudareva et al., 2013; Song et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2019). Conversely, TPSs predominantly affect the overall flower and fruit aroma, which determines the multiplication capacity and economic value of plants. For example, Cstps1, a sesquiterpene synthase encoding-gene, is involved in the production of valencene, the key aroma compound in Citrus fruits (Sharon-Asa et al., 2003). The evolution of TPS20-related terpene synthases influences chemical diversity in the glandular trichomes of the wild tomato relative Solanum habrochaites (Gonzales-Vigil et al., 2012). The sequence variation of Fragaria ananassa Nerolidol Synthase1 (FaNES1) gene in cultivated strawberry might be the genetic basis of fruit flavor selections, which contributes to the higher levels of linalool and nerolidol than wild F. vesca (Aharoni et al., 2004). Meanwhile, TPSs provide basal products for the biosynthesis of toxic metabolites against undesirable invaders. For example, rice OsTPS24 encodes a jasmonate-responsive monoterpene synthase that produces an antibacterial γ-terpinene against Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo), but it does not show significant antifungal activity against the blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae (Yoshitomi et al., 2016). However, rice terpene synthase gene OsTPS19 functions as an (S)-limonene synthase in plants and its overexpression leads to enhanced resistance to Magnaporthe oryzae (Chen et al., 2018). In addition, OsTPS46, a rice terpene synthase, confers natural resistance to bird cherry-oat aphid (Sun et al., 2017). In soybean, GmAFS encodes an (E, E)-α-farnesene synthase and has defensive roles in both below-ground and above-ground organs of soybean against nematodes and insects, respectively (Lin et al., 2017).

Peach (Prunus persica L.), which has flavorful aroma, is a popular and economically important fruit crop worldwide. However, because of the absence of efficient genetic resistance,
most peach cultivars suffer from the destructive gummosis disease in high temperature and humidity areas, such as Japan, south of China and USA. Fungal peach gummosis is mainly caused by Botryosphaeriaceae pathogens (Lasiodiplodia theobromae, Botryosphaeria dothidea, Diplodia seriata, Neofusicoccum parvum) (Wang et al., 2011; Ye et al., 2020). Although there were several studies elucidated the roles of TPSs in flavour formation of peach fruit, little is known about their function in defense responses (Liu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2022). In this study, the genome-wide identification and preliminary bioinformatics analyses of PpTPS genes were performed. An ‘omics’ approach combining non-targeted metabolomics based on ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy (UHPLC-MS), gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) and transcriptome was performed, the aim is to investigate the potential roles of PpTPS genes and terpenes in defense responses of peach plants to pathogens.  

Materials and methods

Identification of PpTPS gene family in the genome of Prunus persica

Genome sequences of Prunus persica were downloaded from Genome Database for Rosaceae (https://www.rosaceae.org/species/prunus_persica/genome_v2.0.a1). The hidden Markov model profile of the metal binding domain (PF01397), C-terminal metal binding domain (PF03936), and N-terminal domain (PF19086) was downloaded from the Pfam database (http://pfam.xfam.org). Redundant sequences were deleted using Blastp, and only the longest sequences among alternative splicing variants were retained.

Phylogenetic tree construction and bioinformatics analyses

The amino acid sequences of TPSs with defined functions in rice (Oryza sativa), apple (Malus domestica), grapevine (Vitis vinifera), strawberry (Fragaria ananassa and F. vesca), kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis and A. arguta), pineapple (Ananas comosus), poplar (Populus trichocarpa), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), sweet orange (Citrus sinensis), and Arabidopsis were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) according to the accession number of each gene (Aharoni et al., 2004; Tholl et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2010; Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2013; Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2015; Yoshitomi et al., 2016; Alquezar et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2018). These sequences were used to generate a phylogenetic tree based on Multiple Sequence Comparison by Log-Expectation (MUSCLE) alignment, then phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses were conducted using MEGA version 11 (Tamura et al., 2021). Neighbor-joining analysis with pairwise deletion was performed using the Jones-Taylor-Thornton model. Bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 replicates to assess the level of statistical support for each tree node. The putative protein domains of PpTPSs were identified using the MEME Suite (http://meme-suite.org/meme), while the gene structures of PpTPSs were analyzed using the Gene Structure Display Server (http://gsds.gao-lab.org). The upstream 1.5 kb region of the translation start site of the PpTPS genes was used for putative promoter cis-acting element analysis in PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html). The motifs putatively involved in different types were summarized. For synteny analysis, synteny blocks between peach and Citrus sinensis and Arabidopsis thaliana genomes were obtained from the Plant Genome Duplication Database (http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/index/downloads).

Quantification of linalool in fruit pulp of cv. ‘Jinchun’ and ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ by GC-MS

Two early-maturity cultivars with different fruit flesh colors, ‘Jinchun’ (yellow-fleshed) and ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ (white-fleshed), were selected to investigate the accumulation of linalool and transcription change of PpPTS genes during developmental stages. Three trees for each cultivar were grown under consistent and standard agronomic practices for fertilization, irrigation, fruit thinning, pruning, and pest and disease control in the Zhuanghang experimental field of Shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences (SAAS), Shanghai, China (121.45°E, 30.92°N). Fruits were collected at five sampling times (day 35 (S1), 50 (S2), 65 (S3), 80 (S4), 90 (S5), 95(S6)) after full blossom. For each sampling stage, 20 fruits were selected and taken to the laboratory immediately. The mesocarp of each fruit were cut into small pieces and frozen instantly in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for linalool quantification and RNA sequencing. Linalool was quantified using a modified method based on the description of Liu and Wei et al. (Liu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2021).

Pathogen inoculation assays and measurement of terpenoids in shoots via UHPLC-MS

Three pathogens isolations, designated as Botryosphaeria dothidea SHTLJ001 (OP340986), Botrytis cinerea SHTLK001 (OP340985), and Monilinia fruticola SHTTHF001 (OP340987) were collected from the peach experimental trial fields of Shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences, which were
purified through multiple subculture of hyphae and identified by sequencing of their ITSs with common primers ITS1 and ITS4. The current-year shoots of the susceptible cultivar ‘Huyou018’ were inoculated with B. dothidea SHTLJ001 using the method described in Gao et al. (Gao et al., 2016). In brief, the surface-sterilized peach shoots were cut into 15 cm-long segments and then wounded with a sterilized needle. A single mycelial plug (5 mm in diameter) of B. dothidea SHTLJ001 was placed onto the wound point. Shoot segments inoculated with sterile PDA medium without B. dothidea SHTLJ001 were treated as controls. The inoculated and control shoots were placed in square plastic boxes laying multiple layers of wet filter papers. The boxes were covered with clear plastic wrap to keep 90% relative humidity and then placed in a light incubator at 28/25°C, with a photoperiod of 12/12 h light (5000 lux)/dark.

To measure the relative contents of terpenoids in peach shoots post B. dothidea inoculations, the tissue measuring 0.5 cm in diameter was cut from the lesion area and frozen at -80°C at 0 h, 48 h, and 84 h after inoculation. The extraction and relative quantification of terpenoids was performed according to the method described in Sawada et al. (Sawada et al., 2009). Briefly, 50 mg of freeze-dried and ground sample was transferred to a 2 mL tube, and 700 μL of extract solution (methanol/water=3:1, precooled at -40°C, containing internal standard) was added. After being vortexed for 30 s, the samples were homogenized at 35 Hz for 4 min and sonicated for 5 min in an ice-water bath. Homogenization and sonication were performed three times. After being extracted overnight at 4°C on a shaker, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was carefully filtered through a 0.22 μm microporous membrane, and then the resulting supernatants were centrifuged 20 times with methanol/water mixture (v:v=3:1, containing an internal standard) was added. After being vortexed for 30 s, the samples were homogenized at 35 Hz for 4 min and sonicated for 5 min in an ice-water bath. Homogenization and sonication were performed three times.

RNA-seq of shoot tissue after inoculation with pathogens and fruit pulp at different developmental and ripening stages

Total RNA extraction and first-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis for both shoots and fruit pulp were carried out using the method described in Li et al. (2015). The construction of RNA sequencing libraries and the quantification of gene expression level were generated according to previous study (Pertea et al., 2015). The FPKM of each gene was calculated based on the length of the gene and the read count mapped to that gene. The change of gene transcription level in each stage was compared with the first sampling stage.

Subcellular location of PpTPSs in the leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana

The CDS of PpTPS1, PpTPS3, and PpTPS4 were cloned from the cDNA library of ‘Huyou018’ with gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1). Then, the confirmed CDS sequences were subcloned into pCambia1302-GFP vector. The fusion proteins were transiently expressed in the fully expanded young leaves of six-week-old Nicotiana benthamiana via Agrobacterium-midiated infiltration (Wydro et al., 2006). Images were obtained with a LEICA laser scanning confocal microscope at 3 days post infiltrations.

Antifungal activity of linalool in PDA medium

To test the antifungal activities of linalool, the growth speed assays of three fungi species were performed on PDA solid medium containing different concentrations of linalool. The pure linalool (ANPEL Laboratory Technologies, Shanghai) were dissolved in the 1 mL ethanol (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, Shanghai) to prepare a mixture liquid. Then, different volumes of mixture liquid were added into 200 mL sterilized PDA medium (prior to solidification). The equal amount of fresh hyphal mass were inoculated at the center of new PDA plates with a needle and the diameter of colony were measured at 3 days post inoculations.

Statistical analysis

The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with three replications, and collected data were statistically analyzed using multivariate analysis methods in SAS computer software (SAS Version 9.2, Institute). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the overall statistical significance of the data at the significance level of P <0.05, and the data were represented as average ± STDEV (n = 3). The heatmaps were visualized using TBtools software (Chen et al., 2020).

Results

Identification and classification of PpTPS genes in Prunus persica

Based on the genome sequences of peach (Lovell v2.0.a1), a total of 45 candidate TPS genes were preliminarily identified, and their deduced proteins contain at least one of the three core Pfam domains (PF01397, PF03936, and PF19086) that are
essential to the catalytic activities of TPS. Five of them (Prupe.4G193900, Prupe.4G194000, Prupe.4G194700, Prupe.4G238800, and Prupe.4G265600) were too short (the length of amino acid sequences is shorter than 250) to code a single functional domain in length; therefore, they were discarded. There were three PrpTPS genes (Prupe.4G030400, Prupe.4G029900, and Prupe.4G030300) have been named as PrpTPS1, PrpTPS2 and PrpTPS3 in previous studies (Liu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2021). According to the chromosome localization of the genes, the remaining 37 peach TPS genes were named as *Prunus persica* TPS*en*ase Synthases 4 to 40 (PrpTPS4–PrpTPS40) (Table 1). These PrpTPS genes are only distributed in three chromosomes of peach (chromosome 3, 4, and 8). Among them, chromosome 4 contains 34 PrpTPSs, which intensively occur in several gene clusters, whereas chromosome 8 only has 1 PrpTPS (PrpTPS40) (Table 1). The phylogenetic analysis grouped these 40 PrpTPSs into 5 clades corresponding to the TPS classes a (22), b (8), c (1), c′ (7), and g (2) (Figure 1A). The synteny analysis of TPS genes among *P. persica*, *A. thaliana*, and *C. sinensis* suggested that only few PrpTPS genes showed synteny relations and the Ka/Ks ratio of these synteny genes are far less than 1.0 (Figure 1B, Supplementary Table 2), which means that most of these PrpTPS genes were generated after lineage separation and those synteny genes have undergone purifying selections. Detailed information concerning each putative PrpTPS gene is presented in Table 1.

**Gene structure and conserved protein domains of PrpTPSs**

To better understand the evolutionary relationships and structural characteristics of PrpTPSs, the exon-intron organizations of these genes and the motifs of the deduced proteins were predicted using online tools. The PrpTPSs that belong to class a, b, and g share similar gene structure with a smaller number of exons (4 to 8) and shorter coding DNA sequences (CDSs) (260 to 629 bp), whereas the members classified into class c and class c′/g show a similar gene structure with a greater number of exons (6 to 14) and longer CDSs (275 to 837 bp) (Table 1, Figures 2A, B). The gene structure of PrpTPSs directly determines the number and organization of protein domains/motifs, when the parameter is set as five conserved motifs to be predicted using the motif-based sequence analysis tools in the MEME Suite (http://meme-suite.org/meme/) (Supplementary Figure 1). Thirty PrpTPSs contain the conserved DDxxD motif (Motif 2 in Figure 2C), four PrpTPSs have mutant DDxxD motif, and six PrpTPSs have thoroughly lost this motif (Table 1, Figure 2C). Even among 30 PrpTPSs with DDxxD motif, 5 PrpTPSs have lost the NSE/DTE motif, which means that only 25 PrpTPSs might have kaurene synthase activities, because both the DDxxD and NSE/DTE motifs are essential to the metal dependent ionization of the prenyl diphosphate substrate. In addition, the unique class c TPS, PrpTPS40, has a DxDD motif that is important for copalyl synthase activities, which suggests that it might play a role in the protonation-initiated cyclization of geranylgeranyl diphosphate to CPP (Table 1).

**The expression profiles of PrpTPSs in the shoot of ‘Huyou018’ inoculated by *B. dothidea***

To explore the potential roles of PrpTPSs in the defense response of peach against fungus that induces peach gummosis, we analyzed the transcript levels (FPKM values) of 40 PrpTPSs in the shoot of ‘Huyou018’ after inoculation with *B. dothidea* via RNA sequencing (Supplementary Table 4). The majority of PrpTPSs showed down-regulation at the transcription level, and some PrpTPSs showing elevated transcription levels in mock treatment (potato dextrose agar, PDA, medium inoculations) were down-regulated at the transcription level after inoculation with pathogens (Figure 3A). Several PrpTPSs including PrpTPS2, PrpTPS17, PrpTPS18, PrpTPS19, and PrpTPS23 were up-regulated at the transcription level post inoculation, but they showed differences (Figure 3A). For example, PrpTPS2 and PrpTPS23 were gradually up-regulated at the transcription level, whereas PrpTPS17, PrpTPS18, and PrpTPS19 were up-regulated at 48 h post inoculation (hpi), and then they reduced their expression until 84 hpi (Figures 3B, C).

To further verify the relationship between the transcriptions of PrpTPSs with the accumulation of terpenoid metabolites in the shoot post inoculation with *B. dothidea*, we measured the content of multiple metabolites in the same batches of samples used for RNA sequencing. A total of 133 terpenoid metabolites were detected, including 18 monoterpens, 23 diterpenes, 26 sesquiterpenes, 51 triterpenes, 14 iridoids, and one capsanthin (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 5). Based on the peak areas of each metabolite obtained from UHPLC-MS assays, we found that the relative contents of many detected terpenes (22% of monoterpens, 52% of diterpenes, 19% of sesquiterpenes, 33% of triterpenes, and 21% of iridoids) were elevated in response to the inoculation with *B. dothidea*, especially at 84 hpi. The relative contents of some terpenoids also changed after mock treatments.

**Transcript accumulation patterns of PrpTPSs at different fruit developmental stages**

To explore the potential function of PrpTPSs in the development of peach fruits, we analyzed the transcript levels (FPKM values) of 40 PrpTPSs during fruit development based on RNA sequencing data (Supplementary Table 6, Figure 5A). Approximately half of the PrpTPSs showed down-regulated
transcript levels at different sampling times compared to the first sampling time (S1); thus, these *PpTPS* genes could reduce their expression during peach fruit development (Figure 5B). Fifteen *PpTPS* showed consistent transcript levels during the entire process of peach fruit development, suggesting that they are not directly associated with fruit development (Figure 5B). However, five *PpTPS* exhibited elevated transcript levels at the middle or late stages of peach fruit development either in yellow-fleshed cultivar 'Jinchun' or in white-fleshed cultivar 'Hikawa Hakuho'; thus, these *PpTPS* can contribute to the biosynthesis of aroma-

| Gene name | Accession no. | Gene size | Location | Deduced polypeptide | Subcellular localization |
|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| PrpTPS1*  | Prp.4G030400  | 2557      |          | TPS-g               | DDIDF DDLMGTAED         | Chloroplast             |
| PrpTPS2*  | Prp.4G029900  | 2709      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY DLNGTSAAE        | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS3*  | Prp.4G030300  | 2602      |          | TPS-g               | DDIFD DDFGSADK         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS4   | Prp.3G222000  | 4107      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY DLNGTSAAE        | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS5   | Prp.3G223000  | 3364      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY DLNGTSAAE        | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS6   | Prp.3G243000  | 5315      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDFFD NDIVSYQE         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS7   | Prp.3G244000  | 4456      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS8   | Prp.3G245000  | 5251      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS9   | Prp.4G125200  | 1799      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY NDIVSYQE         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS10  | Prp.4G125300  | 8555      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY NDIVSYQE         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS11  | Prp.4G125500  | 2601      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY NDIVSYQE         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS12  | Prp.4G126400  | 1186      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY NDIVSYQE         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS13  | Prp.4G126600  | 2505      |          | TPS-b               | DDVDY NDIVSYQE         | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS14  | Prp.4G128500  | 7267      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDFFD NDIVQFKRE        | Plasma membrane         |
| PrpTPS15  | Prp.4G128600  | 6515      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS16  | Prp.4G128700  | 2691      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS17  | Prp.4G190700  | 3264      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS18  | Prp.4G190800  | 1639      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS19  | Prp.4G191000  | 3789      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS20  | Prp.4G194100  | 3349      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS21  | Prp.4G194200  | 2988      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS22  | Prp.4G194300  | 2774      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS23  | Prp.4G194400  | 1957      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS24  | Prp.4G194500  | 2484      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS25  | Prp.4G194600  | 3426      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS26  | Prp.4G194700  | 2843      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS27  | Prp.4G198000  | 2268      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS28  | Prp.4G198200  | 2763      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS29  | Prp.4G198500  | 2766      |          | TPS-e/f             | NDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS30  | Prp.4G198600  | 1917      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS31  | Prp.4G198700  | 2072      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS32  | Prp.4G199000  | 2255      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS33  | Prp.4G199200  | 4148      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS34  | Prp.4G199300  | 1946      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS35  | Prp.4G199500  | 2497      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS36  | Prp.4G200000  | 3696      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS37  | Prp.4G200100  | 4574      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS38  | Prp.4G238400  | 9289      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS39  | Prp.4G238900  | 3886      |          | TPS-e/f             | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |
| PrpTPS40  | Prp.8G239900  | 6305      |          | TPS-c               | DDIVSYQE               | Cytoplasm               |

* Their subcellular localization had been analyzed in previous studies (Liu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2021).
* The subcellular localizations of *PpTPS* were predicted with the online tool WOLFPSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/).
related terpenoids (Figure 5B). Most PpTPSs showed similar expression profiles in these two peach cultivars, whereas several PpTPSs exhibited distinct expression characteristics. For example, the transcript levels of PpTPS2 and PpTPS18 were up-regulated in ‘Jinchun’ but reduced at the same sampling time points in ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ (Figure 5B). In contrast, the transcript levels of PpTPS5 and PpTPS40 were up-regulated in ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ but down-regulated in ‘Jinchun’. The transcript levels of PpTPS1 and PpTPS38 only showed up-regulation in ‘Jinchun’, but those of PpTPS15 and PpTPS20 only showed up-regulation in ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ (Figure 5B). These results suggest that the expression of PpTPSs is cultivar-specific.

To further verify the relationship between the transcriptions of PpTPSs and the accumulation of terpenoid metabolites in peach fruits, we measured the content of linalool, the predominant terpene accumulated in peach fruits, in the same batches of samples used for RNA sequencing. The linalool content gradually elevated during the early stages of fruit development both in ‘Jinchun’ and ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ (Figure 5C). Obviously, the fruit pulp of ‘Jinchun’ contains higher linalool content than that of ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ at all sampling times (Figure 5C). Correlation analysis demonstrated that the expression profiles of PpTPS3 exhibited positive linear correlations with the linalool content both in ‘Jinchun’ and ‘Hikawa Hakuho’ (Figure 5D). However, the expression profiles of PpTPS17 exhibited negative linear correlations with the linalool content in both cultivars (Figure 5D).

The subcellular locations of three PpTPSs in the leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana

For TPS proteins, the subcellular localization determine their substrates availabilities, therefore, it should be an essential character of each TPS individual. To preliminarily investigate the subcellular localization of PpTPSs, we selected three representative PpTPSs, including PpTPS1, PpTPS3, and PpTPS4, that showed elevated transcription levels post pathogen inoculations or during the fruit development process, fused their CDS with GFP gene, and then transiently expressed these fusion genes in the leaves of tobacco. As shown in Figure 6, PpTPS3-GFP showed specific chloroplast localizations in the leaves of tobacco, while PpTPS1-GFP and PpTPS4-GFP not only exhibited co-localization with chloroplasts, but also partially distributed in nucleus and cytoplasm.
Antifungal activities of linalool in PDA medium

To examine whether the metabolites of PpTPSs coding enzymes are involved in peach defense responses against fungi or not, we analyzed the antifungal activities of linalool in PDA medium. As shown in Figure 7A, though lower levels of linalool did not suppress the hyphal growth of fruit parasitic pathogens (B. cinerea and M. fruticola), but the elevated linalool contents (>0.1 g/L) could significantly limit the hyphal growth of all the three main peach pathogens in PDA medium (Figure 7B). This result suggested that linalool might have broad-spectrum antifungal activities.

Identification of cis-regulatory elements in the promoter of PpTPSs

To explain the likely mechanisms of the distinct expression patterns of different PpTPS members either in defense response or in fruit floral-note aroma formation, we analyzed the distributions of cis-acting regulatory elements in the promoter regions of 40 PpTPS genes. Over 15 cis-acting regulatory elements were identified, and they were widely distributed in these promoters. The top six identified elements are MYC (-CATTTG-), G-box (-CACGTT/C-), ABRE (-ACGTG-), CGTCA-box (-CGTCA/-TGACG-), ARE (-AAACCA-), and as-1 (-TGACG-) (Figures 8A, B). All of them were distributed in the promoters of at least 30 PpTPSs. In addition, multiple types of MYB transcription factor binding sites, including ARE, Myb (-TAACTG-), MYB-binding site (-CAACAG-), MYB-like sequence (-TAACCA-), and MBS (-CAACTG-) were identified (Figure 8B). The comparison of the promoter sequences of PpTPS1, PpTPS2, PpTPS3, PpTPS17, PpTPS18, and PpTPS19 showed that the presence or absence of ARE, TC-rich repeats (-GTTTTCTTAC-), and TCA-element (-TCAGAAGAGG-) might impact the expression profiles of PpTPSs in the shoot after inoculation with B. dothidea (Figure 8C).

Discussion

Plant VOCs can affect the disease resistance and economical value of agricultural plants, especially horticultural crops.

FIGURE 2
Structural analysis of PpTPS genes. (A) A phylogenetic tree of forty full-length PpTPS proteins. (B) A schematic graph shows the exon-intron organizations of PpTPS genes. (C) The organization of five protein motifs in PpTPSs predicted by MEME online tools. The detailed sequences of protein domains in PpTPSs were presented in Supplementary Figure I.
Owing to the unique function of TPSs in the biosynthesis of terpenoids, which is the largest group of plant-released VOCs, studies similar to the present analysis in Arabidopsis, tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), carrot (Daucus carota), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), pineapple (Ananas comosus), apple (Malus domestica), grapevine (Vitis vinifera), tea (Camellia sinensis), holy basil (Ocimum sanctum), and Cannabis sativa have identified numerous TPS genes (Aubourg et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2010; Falara et al., 2011; Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2013; Alquezar et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017; Keilwagen et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018; Allen et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). However, except for the preliminary identification and evolution analysis of TPS genes in Rosaceae and few studies reporting the roles of three PpTPSs (PpTPS1, PpTPS2 and PpTPS3) in the biosynthesis of flavor-related linalool and (E, E)-α-farnesene, respectively, limited information is available about this important gene family in peach (Liu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). In this study, we investigated expression profiles of peach TPS genes in current-year shoots post B. dothidea inoculations and/or in fruit pulps during fruit development, and tried to explore the potential roles of PpTPSs and/or their metabolites in disease resistance.

TPS genes widely found in bacteria, fungi, and plants are likely derived from a common ancestor gene and then undergo species-specific expansion (Chen et al., 2011). Compared to other higher plants, peach has a smaller quantity of TPS genes (Martin et al., 2010; Nieuwenhuizen et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021) (Table 1, Figure 1A) because Prunoideae has not experienced recent whole-genome duplication events (Zhang et al., 2021). In addition, the TPS genes intensively distribute in several gene clusters of three chromosomes in peach genome (Table 1, Figure 1A), indicating that these chromosome regions harboring PpTPS genes have not undergone large-scale segment duplications or recombination in the form of crossing over between...
nonhomologous chromosomes. Alternatively, tandem duplications may be the cause of TPS gene family expansion (copy number variations) in peach. We showed that the gene structures of PpTPSs were highly similar but the loss of exons in some duplicated PpTPSs led to variations in key protein motifs or missing protein motifs (Figures 2A, B). Being similar to other angiosperm plants, though 25 deduced PpTPSs contain the intact KS activity center, only the unique class c member PpTPS40 still harbors a CPS activity center (Table 1), which means that the bifunctional diterpene synthase could be absent in peach.

The distinctive and rich flavor of peach fruit, which is a key trait of peach attracting consumers, is attributed to the mixture of volatiles, sugars, and acids. To date, more than 100 volatile chemicals have been identified in peach fruit, and linalool is the main odorant that affects fruit aroma and consumer preference (Eduardo et al., 2010). In this study, we observed that linalool is a dominant terpenoid detected in fruit pulps of two peach cultivars (‘Jinchun’ and ‘Hikawa Hakuho’) using GC-MS (Figure 5C). Among 40 PpTPSs, the transcript level (FPKM) of PpTPS3 showed positive linear correlations with the linalool content, suggesting that PpTPS3 can directly contribute to the terpenoid synthesis in peach.
biosynthesis of linalool (Figure 5D). In a recent study, this gene has been identified to encode a linalool synthase in peach fruit (Wei et al., 2021). PpTPS2 showed distinct expression profiles in 'Jinchun' and 'Hikawa Hakuho' during fruit development and has been identified to encode an UV-B-induced sesquiterpene synthase that promotes the accumulation of \((E,E)-\alpha\)-farnesene in peach fruits (Liu et al., 2017) (Figure 5D).

Fungi-induced perennial gummosis on trunks and branches, caused by multiple fungi classified as Botryosphaeraceae (Lasiodiplodia theobromae, Botryosphaeria dothidea, Diplodia seriata, Neofusicoccum parvum), is a major problem in peach orchards worldwide (Wang et al., 2011). Several studies reported that the overexpression of TPS genes could improve the resistance of plants against bacteria, fungi, and nematodes, owing to the ectopic accumulations of different terpenoids, including linalool, (S)-limonene, and gamma-terpinene (Shimada et al., 2014; Yoshitomi et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2017; Shimada et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2018). In this study, most of the 40 PpTPS genes showed changed transcript levels and over 100 terpene-related metabolites exhibited altered contents in the
shoots post *B. dothidea* inoculation, indicating the terpenoid-related transcription and metabolism were strongly induced during fungal gummosis (Figures 3 and 4). Although over 30 *PpTPS* genes were down-regulated at the transcription levels after inoculation with pathogens, several *PpTPS* genes (*PpTPS2*, *PpTPS17*, *PpTPS18*, *PpTPS19*, and *PpTPS23*) were gradually up-regulated and/or were predominantly up-regulated at the early stages (48 hpi) of pathogen infections, suggesting their potential importance in this bioprocess (Figure 3C). While most of the detected metabolites showed reduced relative contents at 48 hpi compared to 0 hpi, over half of these diterpenes and triterpenes displayed substantial accumulations at 84 hpi (Figure 4), suggesting that the metabolism of terpenoids were finely regulated in peach shoots during pathogen infections.

To support the above speculations, we also examined the antifungal activities of linalool in PDA medium, and found that high concentrations (0.1 g/L to 1.0 g/L) of linalool could fully limit the hyphal growth of *B. dothidea*, *B. cinerea*, and *M. fruticola*, which cause devastating diseases in peach (Figures 7A, B). This result suggests that linalool and/or it-related terpenes could be effective chemical weapons of peach against necrotrophic pathogens. However, the transcription of *PpTPS3*, the most important contributor of linalool biosynthesis, was down-regulated during *B. dothidea* inoculations compared to PDA treatments in the shoot of ‘Huyou018’ (Figure 3C), indicating the defense-related metabolisms of peach could be hijacked by pathogens via transcriptional regulations.

TPSs are vital terminal enzymes catalyzing the formation of plant terpenoids by using various prenyl diphosphate precursors as substrates, but the transcription of TPS genes can be controlled by many transcription factors. For example, in flowers of *Arabidopsis*, AtMYC2 interacts with DELLA proteins to regulate sesquiterpene synthase gene expression (Hong et al., 2012). In flowers of monocotyledonous plant *Freesia hybrida*, two interacted transcription factors, FhMYB21L2 and FhMYC2, aggressively regulate the

**FIGURE 6**
Subcellular localizations of three *PpTPS*-GFP fusion proteins in the leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana*. The primers used for gene cloning were listed in Supplementary Table 1.
transcription of linalool synthesis gene FhTPS1 (Yang et al., 2020). In the leaves of sweet orange, CiMYB42 regulates the expression of CiOSC by binding to the -TTGTTG- sequence (type II MYB core) (Zhang et al., 2020). In peach fruit, transcription factor PpbHLH1 and PpERF61 activate PpTPS1 and PpTPS3 expression by directly binding to E-box and DRE/CRT cis-element in their promoters, respectively (Wei et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2022). These results suggest that bHLH, ERF and MYB transcription factors can be the major upstream regulators of TPS genes. In this study, we also observed that MYC2, G-box, ABRE, CGTCA-motif, and multiple types of MYB-binding sites are widely distributed in the promoters of almost all the PpTPSs (Figures 8A, B). ABRE is not directly associated with bHLH and/or MYB transcription factors, but ABA signaling is highly associated with these transcription factors, which indirectly affects the biosynthesis of terpenoids via ABRE (Abe et al., 2003). In addition, SA-related cis-acting regulatory elements, including as-1, ARE, TCA-element, and TC-rich repeats, might also affect the distinct expression profiles of PpTPSs. For example, the presence or absence of ARE, TC-rich repeats, and TCA-elements is associated with the pathogen-induced up-regulations after inoculation with B. dothidea (Figure 8C). Although the experimental evidences uncovering the relationships between phytohormone signalings and terpenes biosynthesis is lacking, we speculated that the cross-talks between different phytohormone signaling pathways could fine tune the terpenes biosynthesis via various transcription factors. Therefore, more efforts are required to elucidate the roles of divergent phytohormone and transcription factor in regulating terpene biosynthesis in the further studies.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we identified, classified, and named TPS family genes in P. persica and assessed the expression profiles of them in current-year shoots post B. dothidea inoculations and/or in fruit pulps during fruit development via RNA sequencing. Being highly similar with previous studies, PpTPS1, PpTPS2, and PpTPS3 exhibited dominant transcript levels and significantly elevated
their transcript levels during fruit development and ripening in two peach cultivars, suggesting their essential roles in the formation of terpene-derived peach flavors and fruit quality. Moreover, we also observed that over 20 PpTPSs showed reduced transcript levels in defense responses against B. dothidea, and 10 PpTPSs exhibited elevated transcript levels during the same process. Meanwhile, the results of UHPLC-MS and GC-MS analyses revealed that the contents of different terpenoids were altered in defense responses of peach. These results suggest the potential importance of PpTPSs in peach defense responses. Notably, the transcription levels of PpTPS3 exhibited positive linear correlations with the linalool contents in fruit pulps, suggesting its important roles in linalool biosynthesis. In addition, we also provide evidences to support the effective antifungal activities of linalool in PDA medium. Taken together, our results provide a foundation for further studies to explore the function of PpTPS genes in response to biotic stress stimuli; however, additional studies are required to elucidate the detailed function of each TPS protein in this economically important fruit crop.
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