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Topological insulators (TIs) are a new class of quantum materials that exhibit spin momentum locking (SML) of massless Dirac fermions in the surface states. Usually optical methods, such as angle and spin-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, have been employed to observe the helical spin polarization in the surface states of three-dimensional (3D) TIs up to room temperatures. Recently, spin polarized surface currents in 3D TIs were detected by electrical methods using ferromagnetic (FM) contacts in a lateral spin-valve measurement geometry. However, probing the spin texture with such electrical approaches is so far limited to temperatures below 125 K, which restricts its application potential. Here we demonstrate the room temperature electrical detection of the spin polarization on the surface of Bi2Se3 due to SML by employing spin sensitive FM tunnel contacts. The current-induced spin polarization on the Bi2Se3 surface is probed at room temperature by measuring a spin-valve signal while switching the magnetization direction of the FM detector. The spin signal increases linearly with current bias, reverses sign with current direction, exhibits a weak temperature dependence and decreases with higher TI thickness, as predicted theoretically. Our results demonstrate the electrical detection of the spin polarization on the surface of 3D TIs, which could lead to innovative spin-based quantum information technology at ambient temperatures.

The strong spin-orbit (SO) coupling in three-dimensional (3D) TIs leads to an insulating bulk and conducting surface states protected by time reversal symmetry1–3. Electrons populating these topological surface states (TSS) have their spin and momentum locked at a right angle3,4. These TSS have only one spin state in contrast to the two spin states per momentum state in conventional materials. The TSS are extremely robust against most perturbations from defects or impurities and can enable the propagation of dissipationless spin currents2,3. Semiconducting Bi2Se3 is a 3D TI with a single Dirac cone at the Fermi level1,5, which makes it an ideal prototype to study topological effects. Additionally, its weak electron-phonon coupling allows the persistence of topological surface states up to room temperature. Such spin helicity of the Bi2Se3 surface has been experimentally measured by spin-resolved photoemission spectroscopy up to 300 K6–8. These optical techniques have the advantage to probe only the surface effects without bulk contributions.

However, the electrical detection of spin polarizations in 3D TIs remained challenging. Undesired doping and low TI bulk band gaps usually create a parallel unpolarized conduction channel. Therefore, the electrical quantum spin Hall method, used for detection of spin edge states in two-dimensional (2D) TIs9,10, cannot be utilized for the 3D case. So far, dynamical methods were employed to couple the TSS to FM contacts creating a spin transfer torque11 or for spin injection12,13. Only recently, potentiometric measurements have been used to detect spin-polarized surface currents in 3D TIs probed by a FM contact14–16, which act as an efficient detector even in presence of unpolarized bulk charge currents17. However, the direct electrical detection of a current-induced spin polarization in 2D and 3D TIs has been so far restricted to temperatures below 125 K9,10,14–16, which limits further progress in this research field and its application potentials. The room temperature electrical detection of such highly correlated spin systems is not only interesting for fundamental research but also for applications in dissipationless quantum spintronic devices3,4.

Here we demonstrate the room temperature electrical detection of spin polarized currents on the surface of the Bi2Se3. An applied electric field creates a finite momentum k∥ of the charge carriers yielding a perpendicularly locked spin transport S on the surface, as depicted in Fig. 1a. We probe this current-induced spin polarization by using sensitive FM tunnel contacts (Fig. 1b) resulting in a spin-valve effect between the magnetizations of the FM and the spin-polarized current on the Bi2Se3 surface. We used Bi2Se3 flakes mechanically exfoliated from single crystals onto a SiO2/Si substrate (Fig. 1c). The multi-terminal devices (Fig. 1d) with FM tunnel contacts of Co/TiO2 or standard contacts of Ti/Au were prepared by electron beam lithography (see Methods).

The Bi2Se3 flakes were characterized via electrical and magneto-transport measurements using Ti/Au contacts. The Bi2Se3 channel resistivity shows a metallic behaviour with a reduction in sheet resistance R∥ = 36 Ω by a factor of two at low temperature (Fig. 2a).
This stems from a large metallic charge carrier concentration of $5 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ as extracted from Hall measurements (Fig. 2b). We observe a high channel mobility of 2000 cm$^2$(Vs)$^{-1}$ at room temperature, which almost doubles at 2 K (Fig. 2c). These results imply a degenerate semiconducting behaviour with parallel surface and bulk conduction channels$^{18}$. Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that the SML can still be probed despite a large bulk contribution$^{14}$. Furthermore, the high mobility in our exfoliated flakes is promising for the detection of SML$^{18}$. These excellent properties are also reflected in the magneto-transport measurements, where we observed weak anti-localization (WAL) up to 33 K (Fig. 2d and supplementary Fig. S1)$^{19}$. Topological insulators exhibit a very strong SO coupling and belong to the so-called symplectic class, where prefactor $\alpha$ should be $\frac{1}{2}$ for one topological surface and 1 for a bottom and top surface$^{20}$. As shown in Fig. 2e, $\alpha$ is found to be about 1.25 below 4 K and about 0.5 at higher temperatures. This transition coincides with a phase coherence length $l_\varphi \approx 400 \text{ nm}$ at low temperatures and a $l_\varphi \propto T^{0.54}$ dependence for higher temperatures (Fig. 2f). Both observations indicate that our samples are 2D systems with surface transport channels and large SO coupling$^{20,21}$. Such a large signature for WAL has been predicted to allow the direct detection of surface states by transport measurements at room temperature$^7$.

We focused on the detection of SML in the surface states of these Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flakes by FM tunnel contacts of Co/TiO$_2$. The thin TiO$_2$ layer at the interface introduces a contact resistance of $R_C \approx 3 \text{k}\Omega \mu\text{m}^2$ preventing leakage current into the FM and protecting the SML by separating the Co and the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ surface states. Such contacts are known to be very sensitive to spin polarized currents up to room temperature, despite a background charge current$^{22,23}$. Figure 3a shows the measurement principle of our multi-terminal devices. The application of an electric bias between two contacts (I and III) results in a charge carrier flow with their spins locked perpendiculars to their momentum. This results in an spin
Figure 2. Electrical and magneto-transport measurements in Bi$_2$Se$_3$. (a) Temperature dependence of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ channel resistance. (b) The charge carrier concentration $n \approx 5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ is almost constant in the studied temperature range. (c) Extracted Hall mobility increases strongly with decreasing temperature. (d) Magnetococonductance measurements of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ with applied perpendicular magnetic field showing weak anti-localization up to 33 K. (e) Temperature dependence of channel number $\alpha$ and (f) phase coherence length $l_\phi$.

polarized current on the surfaces of Bi$_2$Se$_3$. Another FM electrode (II) is used to probe the spin potentials in Bi$_2$Se$_3$ with respect to a reference contact (IV) outside the applied electric potential. If the spins on the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and the FM magnetization are parallel, a larger potential drop can be detected than for the anti-parallel configuration (Fig. 3b). The magnetization of the FM detector can be switched by an in-plane magnetic field, whereas the spin orientation in Bi$_2$Se$_3$ can be flipped by inverting the current direction (Fig. 3c). Figure 3d shows the magnetic field dependence of the detected voltage signal at room temperature with a Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flake thickness of 35 nm (Dev1). At a fixed applied bias current of $I = +50 \mu$A we observe a change in voltage signal with the change in magnetization direction of the FM detector electrode. By sweeping the in-plane magnetic field we obtain a hysteretic spin signal which is an analogue of a spin-valve measurement. The switching field corresponds to the coercive field of our Co electrode as verified by anisotropic magnetoresistance measurements (see supplementary Fig. S3). The observed spin-valve signal is due to the projection of the spin polarized surface current, controlled by the current direction, on the magnetization of the FM detector, controlled by the external in-plane magnetic field. Reversing the current direction ($-50 \mu$A) locks the spins in the opposite direction resulting in an inverted hysteretic behaviour of the measured signal (Fig. 3e). A linear background was subtracted from the data due to the contribution from the charge electrochemical potential. A similar spin-valve behaviour has been measured at different bias currents at room temperature. We observe a point symmetry around zero bias and linear dependence of the spin signal $\Delta V$ (Fig. 3d), since the spin polarization is expected to be current independent and thus the spin density scales linearly with the current density$^{21}$.

From the bias dependence and different control experiments at room temperature we can rule out any artefacts in the spin signals. The observed change in resistance implies that the signal originates from the SML in the TSS and not from Rashba SO coupling, which would exhibit the opposite sign$^{22}$. Any contribution of spin injections from other FM electrodes should be suppressed by the SML in the surface and the large SO coupling in the bulk states or would result in multiple switchings (supplementary Fig. S4). The linear bias dependence also rules out any heating related effects by the applied bias current, which should also be independent of the
Figure 3. Electrical detection of SML in Bi$_2$Se$_3$ at room temperature. (a) Schematics for spin-valve measurements between spins ($S$) on the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ surface due to SML and magnetization ($M$) of the detector FM contact. A positive charge current is applied between contact I and III to create $S_\uparrow$ and a voltage signal is detected with a FM contact (II) against a reference contact (IV). (b) The FM contact (II) measures the difference in spin chemical potential of the channel ($\Delta \mu = \mu_\uparrow - \mu_\downarrow = e\Delta V$) for parallel ($M_\parallel$) and anti-parallel ($M_\perp$) alignment of the FM. (c) Reversing the current direction yields a change in spin orientation $S_\downarrow$. (d) Spin-valve measurement of Dev1 with a hysteretic switching at 300 K for a bias current of $+50 \mu$A and (e) $-50 \mu$A. The arrows show the field sweep directions up (blue) and down (red). The FM magnetization $M$ is defined by the in-plane magnetic field and the spin $S$ defined by the current direction. (f) Bias current dependence of the spin signal amplitude $\Delta V = V_\uparrow - V_\downarrow$ measured at 300 K with a linear fit (red line).

sign\textsuperscript{26}. Furthermore, any localized Hall effects produced by fringe fields on the FM edges would be independent of the changes in magnetization and current direction\textsuperscript{14}. Finally, we also rule out any similar contribution from Lorentz magnetoresistance of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ channel and anisotropic ferromagnetic effect of the FM by using control devices with 5 nm non-magnetic Ti layer at the interface together with Co electrodes (Supplementary information S2). These control experiments unambiguously prove that the observed signals are due to the spin polarization in TI, which is detected by the FM tunnel contacts.

From the SML characteristics obtained in our devices, we can analytically evaluate the results to extract the spin polarization on the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ surface\textsuperscript{24}. The spin-valve signal $\Delta V$, induced by a current $I_S$, is directly related to the surface spin polarization $P_S$:

$$\Delta V = I_S R_B P_S P_{FM},$$

where $P_{FM}$ is the polarization of the FM detector and $R_B$ is the ballistic resistance\textsuperscript{24}. The ballistic conductance $\frac{G_0}{2 e^2}$ can be calculated by the conductance per channel $\frac{G}{G_0}$ times the number of channels $\frac{k_F W}{\pi}$, where $W$ is the width of our flake. The Fermi wave number $k_F$ can be derived from the charge carrier concentration, which we extracted from the Hall measurements\textsuperscript{27}: $k_F = \sqrt{3}\pi^2 n$. Furthermore, we assume a maximum FM polarization $P_{FM} \approx 20\%$ for our Co/TiO$_2$ contacts\textsuperscript{28} and a surface channel thickness of 2 nm\textsuperscript{29}. Under these assumptions, we get a lower limit for the surface polarization $P_S \approx 26\%$. This is comparable to previous reports\textsuperscript{14} and lies well within theoretical expectations, which predicted a limitation of the spin polarization up to 50\% due to strong SO entanglement\textsuperscript{25}.

The measured spin valve signal is found to be very robust with temperature. Figure 4a shows the spin valve signals for Dev1 (40 nm Bi$_2$Se$_3$) measured at different temperatures with a bias current of 100 \mu A. The signals exhibit a clear switching and a signal amplitude of about $0.1 \mu$V. "Dev2" with 70 nm Bi$_2$Se$_3$ shows a similar spin valve signal with an amplitude of about 4 \mu V persistent up to room temperature, measured at a bias current of 1 mA (Fig. 4b). The amplitude of both signals is almost independent of the temperature.
(Fig. 4c) and can be fitted with the theoretical model, $\Delta V \propto 1 - \alpha (T/T_C)^2$, where $T_C$ is the Curie temperature of Co$^{30,31}$. This implies that the signal amplitude depends only on the magnetization and thus spin polarization of the TiO$_2$/Co tunnel contact, whereas no significant contribution stems from the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ surface polarization. Such a behaviour has been expected, since the surface states are thermally stable up to room temperature as shown by optical measurement techniques$^{3,7}$. Assuming the bulk contribution increases proportionally with the number of quintuple layers $N$ and taking the different flake geometries of both devices into account, we observe a scaling of the spin-resistance-area product $R_S A = \Delta V / T \propto 1/N$ for both of our devices. This is also reflected in the calculation of the spin polarization ranging from 17-26% (Fig. 4d). Such small deviations can also be due to differences in tunnel spin polarization of the FM tunnel contacts prepared in different runs$^{28}$. These results underline the reproducibility and imply that the signal originates from a spin polarization on the TI surface.

In summary, we have demonstrated the room temperature electrical detection of SML on the surface of thin exfoliated Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flakes by ferromagnetic tunnel contacts. A hysteretic spin valve signal could be observed reproducibly up to room temperature, with a lower limit of the surface spin polarization of 26%. It is expected that further control over the spin polarization can be achieved by accessing the dominant surface transport regime of the 3D TIs, for example by increasing the surface-to-volume ratio, compensation doping, or electric gating$^{17}$. Positioning the Fermi level in the bandgap and controlling the surface carrier density will allow the electrical tuning of the spin polarization of the surface states$^{17}$. Our results will pave the way for using TIs as spin polarized sources for spintronic devices at ambient temperatures. The possibility of coupling TIs to other materials for spin injection$^{32,33}$ opens up novel avenues in spintronic device design for energy efficient spin-logic applications in the future.

**METHODS**

**Fabrication** The Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flakes were exfoliated from a bulk single crystal (from Cradley Crystals), using the conventional cleavage technique, onto a clean SiO$_2$ (285 nm)/highly doped n-type Si substrate. The flakes were identified using a combination of optical and atomic-force microscopy (main Fig. 1c). We used multilayer Bi$_2$Se$_3$ with a thickness in the range of 15–100 nm and widths of 1–5 µm. Electrodes were patterned by electron beam lithography followed by contact deposition in an ultra-high vacuum electron beam evaporator. Electrodes with widths 0.3–1 µm and channel length of 0.2–1 µm are used. As contact mater-
ial we used Ti/Au for the devices for Hall and WAL measurements, and TiO$_2$/Co for the detection of spin-momentum locking. The $\approx 1.5 \text{ nm}$ TiO$_2$ tunnel barrier for the latter was deposited by sputtering technique, using a DC Argon/Oxygen plasma with a Ti target.

**Measurement** The devices were measured with a Keithley 2400 Sourcemeter using direct current (DC). “Dev1” was measured in a liquid nitrogen cryostat between 78 K and 300 K. “Dev2” was measured in a liquid $^4$He cryostat with a temperature range of 1.5 – 300 K.
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Supplementary information

Room Temperature Electrical Detection of Spin Polarized Currents in Topological Insulators

S1. WEAK ANTI-LOCALIZATION MEASUREMENT IN BI$_2$SE$_3$

Weak anti-localization is an interaction of an electron wave function in a material with a strong spin-orbit (SO) coupling. Without a SO interaction, a time-reversal pair of electron waves, scattered by impurities, interferes destructively (electron localization). This quantum interference occurs at the scale of the coherence length and yields a correction reducing the conductance around zero magnetic field. In comparison, a strong SO interaction enhances the conductance, which is known as the weak anti-localization (WAL) effect (Fig. S1). In the case of a 2D system, increasing an out-of-plane magnetic field $B_{\perp}$ breaks the time-reversal symmetry, which reduces gradually the conductance correction. This reduction can be explained by the Hikami-Larkin-Nagaoka (HLN) model. In this model, the conductance correction $\Delta \sigma(B)$ is given by

$$\Delta \sigma(B_{\perp}) = \alpha \frac{e^2}{\pi h} \left[ \ln \frac{h}{4 e l_p B_{\perp}} - \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{h}{4 e l_p B_{\perp}} \right) \right],$$

where $\psi$ represents the digamma function, $l_p$ is the phase coherence length, and the prefactor $\alpha$ describes the quantum system. The decreasing peak size with increasing temperature is characteristic for WAL and we could observe a signal to a, for TIs unusually high, temperature of 33 K. Three-dimensional TIs with 2D surface states usually exhibit a very strong SO coupling and belong to the so-called symplectic class, where $\alpha$ should be $\frac{1}{2}$ for one topological surface and 1 for a bottom and top surface. Figure S1 shows the WAL measurement at 9 K and the fitting with the HLN model, identical to the one in the main text.

![Figure S1. Weak-antilocalization measurement and fitting. Magnetoresistance of Bi$_2$Se$_3$ channel measured at 10 $\mu$A showing WAL applying an perpendicular magnetic field, fitted with Eq. (1) (red line).](image)

S2. CONTROL EXPERIMENTS WITH NON-MAGNETIC CONTACTS

To demonstrate that the hysteretic switching, presented in the main paper, stems from a spin-valve effect between the current-induced spin polarization in the surface of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and the magnetization of the ferromagnetic (FM) contact, we prepared devices with non-magnetic Ti/Au electrodes (Fig. S2a) and with a non-magnetic Ti interlayer between Bi$_2$Se$_3$ and Co (Fig. S2a). This non-magnetic interlayer is known to suppress the spin polarization of the FM detector contact, while still keeping its magnetic properties. The voltages detected by those nonmagnetic detector contacts exhibit no such field dependent switching, which rules out any other
mechanisms, such as Lorentz magnetoresistance of the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ channel and anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR) of the Co contacts (Fig. S2b)$^{23,31}$.

Figure S2. **Control experiment with non-magnetic contacts.** (a) Multi-terminal measurement configuration using Ti/Au contacts on a Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flake. (b) Measurement with in-plane magnetic field sweep resulting in no hysteretic switching.

Figure S3. **Control experiment with non-magnetic interlayer.** (a) Multi-terminal measurement configuration using Ti/Co contacts on a Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flake. (b) Measurement with in-plane magnetic field sweep resulting in no hysteretic switching.

S3. **INFLUENCE OF THE MULTI-TERMINAL CONFIGURATION**

We can also rule out any contribution of spin transport in the Bi$_2$Se$_3$ channel due to spin injection from the FM electrodes in our measured spin valves. Using a multi-terminal geometry could result in a similar signal, if the biasing FM electrodes induce a spin accumulation in the flake, which can be detected by the FM voltage probe. A single switch, as observed in our case, could be the result of an incomplete spin-valve measurement, similar to the ones in graphene$^{36}$. Such an effect can be ruled out, since it would result in additional resistance changes when the injector contact magnetization is switching. The coercive field of the FM contacts we used should be in the range of 0 – 100 mT. Sweeping the in-plane parallel field even to 600 mT does only show the initially observed hysteretic switching (Fig. S4a). Furthermore, this switching field correlates with the coercive field of the detector contact extracted from anisotropic magnetoresistance measurements (Fig. S4b). These observations are also supported by the theoretical expectations of an extremely short spin lifetime in the bulk and surface states$^{2,3}$. The strong spin-orbit coupling leads to a fast relaxation of any spin accumulation limiting the diffusion length to less than the distance between our electrodes. Therefore, only the spin polarization induced by the spin-momentum coupling on the surface can be detected. The origin of the spin accumulation in the surface is supported by the thickness dependence (see “Spin-momentum locking in thick Bi$_2$Se$_3$ (Dev2)”). Furthermore, control experiments with non-magnetic contacts demonstrate the spin-related nature of the signal (see “Control experiment”).
**Figure S4. High magnetic field spin-valve and anisotropic magnetoresistance measurements.** (a) Spin signals measured up to ±600 mT at ±100 μA in Dev1 at 300 K (similar to Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 in the main text). Except for the hysteretic switching at about ±25 mT, no other switching events can be observed. Inset: Measurement configuration. (b) Anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR) measurement: applying a current $I$ in an identical FM contact and measuring its resistance change while sweeping a magnetic field parallel to the FM magnetization. The AMR signal verifies the coercive field at ±25 mT for this contact, confirming the switching field of the FM observed in the spin-valve measurement.

**S4. SPIN-MOMENTUM LOCKING IN THICK BI$_2$SE$_3$ (DEV2)**

As mentioned in the main text, the signal was reproduced in devices of different thicknesses. Dev1 had a thickness of about 35 nm and exhibited a clear hysteretic switching with an amplitude of about 7 μV at room temperature, using 100 μA bias current. Dev2 had a thickness of about 70 nm resulting in 4 μV signal amplitude using 1 mA bias current at room temperature (Fig. SS5a). Such a behaviour is expected, since the bulk contribution increases with increasing number of quintuple layers $N$ resulting in a lower signal at the same current density$^{14}$. Taking different contact areas $A$ into account, the scaling of the spin-resistance-area product should be $R_S A = \frac{\Delta V}{I} A \propto 1/N$. Regarding this, the signals measured in Dev2 scale accordingly and have a comparable temperature (see main Fig. 4) and bias dependence to the ones of Dev1 (Fig. S5b). The linear bias dependence of the spin signal and the sign change with bias current rule out signal due to thermal effects$^{26,37}$.

**Figure S5. Bias dependence of the SML signal of “Dev2”.** The Bi$_2$Se$_3$ flake thickness is about 70 nm. (a) Spin signal due to spin-momentum locking measured at ±1 mA. (b) The bias dependence of the spin signal amplitude measured at 10 K shows a linear behaviour.