Coexistent Xanthogranulomatous Cholecystitis and Carcinoma Gall Bladder: A Diagnostic Dilemma: One Year Study at a Tertiary Care Centre
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis is an uncommon variant of chronic cholecystitis that can present with marked gall bladder thickening or as a mass lesion mimicking a malignant neoplasm. Rarely it may be associated with carcinoma gall bladder, hence a thorough sampling is needed to exclude carcinoma gall bladder.

Objective: To analyse the coexistence of xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis and carcinoma gall bladder.

Methods: A one-year study was carried out in the department of pathology, from 1st November 2018 to 31st October 2019; in which the carcinoma gall bladder specimens were analysed grossly and microscopically and an association of carcinoma gall bladder with xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis was analysed.

Results: A total of 30 cases of carcinoma gall bladder were reported of which 3 cases showed coexisting xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis.

Conclusion: The coexistence of xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis and carcinoma of the gallbladder may present a diagnostic dilemma. Due to the overlapping clinical, radiological and gross findings. Although rare the possibility of a coexisting tumour needs to be excluded, for which immunohistochemical markers may be done.
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INTRODUCTION

Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis (XGC) is an unusual focal or diffuse destructive inflammatory process of the gall bladder, representing between 0.7 and 13.2% of all gallbladder diseases. Christensen and Ishak were among the first to describe this entity as a pseudotumor of the gallbladder (fibroxanthogranulomatous cholecystitis) with an unusual, destructive type of inflammation, desmoplasia, pericholecystic infiltration and hepatic involvement. It is used to describe the lesion which results when lipids from the bile in the lumen of the gall bladder enter the wall of the organ and induce a granulomatous inflammation. The malignant potential of XGC is controversial and the relationship between XGC and gall bladder carcinoma (GBC) is unclear. Simultaneous XGC and GBC have been reported in some series with incidences ranging from 2% to 7.5% respectively. While others has reported the incidence between 0.2% to 35.4% of cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A one-year study was carried out in our department from 1st November 2018 to 31st October 2019, in which the carcinoma gall bladder specimens were analysed grossly and microscopically and an association of carcinoma gall bladder with xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis was seen. A total of 30 cases of carcinoma gall bladder were seen of which 3 cases showed coexisting xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis.

Case 1: A 55-year-old female presented with pain upper abdomen radiating to the back along with jaundice. USG was suggestive of a gall bladder mass. CECT was done which
showed an asymmetric enhancing mural thickening involving the body of the gall bladder. LFT depicted hyperbilirubinemia with serum bilirubin of 5.5 mg/dl. Intraoperatively a solid mass was seen in the body and fundus of the gall bladder not infiltrating the adjacent liver.

On gross examination, the resected gall bladder measured 9x2.5 cm with external surface haemorrhagic and roughened. On C/S grey-white to yellow growth identified measuring 3x2.5 cm. The growth was 1.5 cms from the surgical cut end (Figure 1).

Microscopically a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma was seen infiltrating into the perimuscular connective tissue (pT2). The background showed aggregates of foamy macrophages with occasional foreign body giant cells, few spindle shaped elongated macrophages, plasma cells and lymphocytes, suggestive of xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis (Figure 2).

**Case 2:** A 50-year-old male presented with pain upper abdomen. USG showed a mass in the body of the gall bladder. CECT showed an eccentric circumferential enhancing thickening in the mid-body of the gall bladder. Intraoperatively a thickened firm polypoidal mass was seen involving the fundus and body of the gall bladder. Serum bilirubin was 2.8 mg/dl.

Gross examination of the resected specimen showed a polypoidal growth measuring 2x2 cm in the body of the gall bladder. C/S through the growth was grey-white infiltrating into the muscularis. The growth was 4 cm from the gall bladder and 5 cm from the liver resection margin. Serial sections through the attached liver tissue were unremarkable.

Microscopy revealed a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma limited to the gall bladder wall however going beyond muscularis propria (pT2). The gall bladder resection margin showed high-grade dysplasia and the hepatic resection margin was free of tumour. Lymphovascular invasion was seen, however, there was no perineural invasion. Few sections from the growth revealed large round macrophages with pale granular cytoplasm, foreign body giant cells and chronic inflammatory cells and accumulation of lipid-laden macrophages in areas of inflammation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this study, we describe the clinicopathological features of coexisting xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis and adenocarcinoma of the gall bladder. Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis is an uncommon form of chronic cholecystitis characterized by a focal or diffuse destructive inflammatory process, with varying proportions of fibrous tissue, acute and chronic inflammatory cells and accumulation of lipid-laden macrophages in areas of inflammation.

The pathogenesis of this lesion is not well understood, although it is believed that a rupture of the Rokitansky-Aschoff sinuses with extravasation of bile in the interstitial tissues and consequent xanthogranulomatous inflammatory reaction is the initial causes. This theory is supported by the frequent finding of bile and mucus in the lesion, and by the occasional finding of a focus of XGC with a disrupted sinus. Gallbladder carcinoma might provide a route for bile to enter the stroma more readily than in chronic cholecystitis or cholelithiasis because of the greater tissue destruction associated with it, thus explaining the coexistence of these two conditions.

Xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis often mimics a gallbladder carcinoma, leading to a diagnostic dilemma. XGC distorts the outline of the gallbladder, forms adhesions with adjacent tissues, and participates in fistula formation. This may cause misdiagnosis as gallbladder carcinoma on preoperative evaluation and at laparotomy; in particular, XGC may look like a malignant neoplasm on ultrasonography.

Preoperatively and intraoperatively, it is difficult to diagnose this entity and the final diagnosis is usually based on histological examination of the resected specimen. The malignant potential of XGC is controversial and highly disputed. The association of XGC and GBC is a matter of discussion. According to some authors, it may simply be that XGC and adenocarcinoma are both complications of cholelithiasis and cholecystitis of a particular duration or degree, or that tissue disruption by a carcinoma facilitates the entry of bile into the stroma.

The association between XGC and gallbladder cancer has been shown in the literature in small case series and some single case reports. An Indian study reported that only 0.2% of patients with XGC have associated GBC. On the other hand, is an American study, among 40 cases of XGC, five
12.5% also had GBC. In the United Kingdom, a study of 31 patients with XGC revealed carcinoma in three (9.7%) patients. In a Mexican study, 10% of XGC patients also had GBC. In a Japanese study, 10% of XGC patients also had GBC. According to the authors of that study, the inflammatory reaction followed by an associated immunologic cellular response may produce the appearance of cellular changes that degenerate into carcinoma. In our study we encountered 3 cases of coexisting XGC and carcinoma gallbladder out of 30 cases of GBC in one year accounting for an incidence of 10%. This correlates most with the Japanese study.

The possible association of XGC and GBC carries a risk of diagnostic confusion. Pathologists might fail to notice the presence of GBC when it is associated with florid XGC. Furthermore, the existence of florid XGC may lead to errors in determining the exact stage of the malignant spread of GBC as macrophages can be confused with tumour cells.

CONCLUSION

The coexistence of XGC and carcinoma of the gallbladder may present a diagnostic dilemma. Due to the overlapping clinical, radiological and gross findings of xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis and carcinoma of the gall bladder, definitive diagnosis of XGC relies on extensive sampling and thorough microscopic examination of the surgical specimen. Although rare, the possibility of a coexisting tumour needs to be excluded, for which immune-histochemical markers like CD 68 for xanthomatous cells and CK 7 for neoplastic glands may also be used in addition to routine H&E staining.
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Figure 1: Gross photograph showing yellowish white growth involving the body and fundus of gall bladder.

Figure 2: Photomicrograph showing malignant glands in the muscularis with xanthomatous and inflammatory cells on the top left (H and E 10X).

Figure 3: Photomicrograph showing strong positive immunostaining for cytokeratin in malignant glands (10x).

Figure 4: Photomicrograph showing xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis in fundus of gall bladder (H and E 10X).

Figure 5: Photomicrograph showing adenocarcinoma in gall bladder neck in case 3 (H and E 10X).