Analysis of the First Temperate Broad Host Range Brucellaphage (BiPBO1) Isolated from B. inopinata
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Brucella species are important human and animal pathogens. Though, only little is known about mobile genetic elements of these highly pathogenic bacteria. To date, neither plasmids nor temperate phages have been described in brucellae. We analyzed genomic sequences of various reference and type strains and identified a number of putative prophages residing within the Brucella chromosomes. By induction, phage BiPBO1 was isolated from Brucella inopinata. BiPBO1 is a siphovirus that infects several Brucella species including Brucella abortus and Brucella melitensis. Integration of the phage genome occurs adjacent to a tRNA gene in chromosome 1 (chr 1). The bacterial (attB) and phage (attP) attachment sites comprise an identical sequence of 46 bp. This sequence exists in many Brucella and Ochrobactrum species. The BiPBO1 genome is composed of a 46,877 bp double-stranded DNA. Eighty-seven putative gene products were determined, of which 32 could be functionally assigned. Strongest similarities were found to a temperate phage residing in the chromosome of Ochrobactrum anthropi ATCC 49188 and to prophages identified in several families belonging to the order rhizobiales. The data suggest that horizontal gene transfer may occur between Brucella and Ochrobactrum and underpin the close relationship of these environmental and pathogenic bacteria.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacteriophages (phages) are viruses which exclusively infect bacteria. They have been found in nearly all known bacterial taxa and hence exist in most ecosystems (Wommack and Colwell, 2000; Prestel et al., 2008; Srinivasiah et al., 2008). Phages are either directly associated with their bacterial hosts or occurring in large numbers as free particles in the environment. The global phage population has been estimated at 10^{31} particles, ∼10 times more than their host cells (Hatfull, 2008). Thus, phages are the most abundant biological entities on earth. They play a major role in horizontal gene transfer by either transduction of bacterial genes, which can be provoked by both temperate and virulent phages, or by lysogenic conversion, a change in the properties of a bacterial cell as a result of its infection with a temperate phage (Fortier and Sekulovic, 2013; Brown-Jaque et al., 2015; Penadés et al., 2015). Phage-mediated gene transfer can modulate the virulence of pathogenic bacteria. Many bacterial toxins are encoded by temperate phages, e.g., botulism toxin, cholera toxin, diphtheria toxin, or shiga toxins (Brüssow et al., 2004; Casas and Maloy, 2011). In addition, phages may encode various enzymes important for pathogenicity such
as phospholipase, staphylokinase, superoxide dismutase, and effector proteins participating in adhesion, invasion, and serum resistance (Boyd and Brüssow, 2002). Prophages are common in bacterial chromosomes and can constitute as much as 10–20% of a bacterium’s genome (Casiens, 2003). Strains containing multiple sequence-related prophages can experience recombinations leading to prophages with new gene constellations (Canchaya et al., 2004). Moreover, prophages can cause large-scale rearrangements of the bacterial chromosome (Iguchi et al., 2006). Though, while temperate phages, some of which encoding virulence factors, have been identified in many pathogenic species, they have yet not been reported for some intracellular pathogens like Coxiella, Rickettsia, and Brucella.

Brucellae are facultative intracellular pathogens that belong to Alphaproteobacteria. The genus Brucella, established in 1920 by Meyer and Shaw, consists of 11 species, which can be divided into the classical Brucella species (B. melitensis, B. abortus, B. suis, B. canis, B. ovis, and B. neotomae), brucellae isolated from marine mammals (B. ceti and B. pinnipedialis) and the more recently discovered species B. microti, B. papionis, and B. inopinata (http://www.bacterio.net/brucella.html). B. inopinata, recovered from a breast implant infection, is currently represented by a single strain (Scholz et al., 2010). All Brucella species are genetically highly related with genome similarities of >90% at the nucleotide level. While most Brucella species are fastidious and slow growing with limited metabolic activity, B. microti and B. inopinata are fast growing bacteria, with a biochemical profile similar to that of Ochrobactrum (Al Dahouk et al., 2010), the closest genetic neighbor within the family Brucellaceae that shows strong 16S rRNA and recA similarities to Brucella (e.g., Ochrobactrum anthropi 98.7 and 85.5%, respectively, Scholz et al., 2008). Consequently, B. microti and B. inopinata are often misidentified as Ochrobactrum using commercially available biochemical test systems, like e.g., API20NE.

Brucellae can cause brucellosis, a widespread bacterial zoonotic disease (Zheludkov and Tsirelson, 2013) leading to reproductive failure and abortion in animals and a feverish multiorgan disease in humans (Godfroid et al., 2012). The species mainly associated with human infections are B. melitensis transmitted from sheep and goats, B. abortus from cattle and B. suis from pigs. However, not only livestock but also wildlife can contribute to the spread of this pathogen and may pose a risk (Zheludkov and Tsirelson, 2013; Hammerl et al., 2015). The adaption of brucellae to the intracellular lifestyle within a specific host is known to be associated with genome reduction (Teyssier et al., 2004). Genes not necessary for survival in the intra-host environment are lost. In contrast, the exchange and acquisition of genetic material is essential for free-living bacteria in water and soil which have to struggle against changing conditions. With the discovery of various new and atypical Brucella species, the evolution from a soil progenitor to a highly pathogenic intracellular bacterium is broadly discussed (Wattam et al., 2014). Lateral gene transfer is supposed to be responsible for the introduction of virulence factors into Brucella, but underlying mechanisms have not yet been identified. Numerous genes presumably important for survival and virulence have been detected in the chromosomes of B. abortus, B. melitensis, and B. suis; some of them are located on genomic islands (Delrue et al., 2004). By contrast, neither plasmids nor temperate phages have to date been isolated from Brucella, even though virulent Brucella phages devoid of virulence-associated genes are routinely employed for typing. The history of brucellaphages and their use as diagnostic tool for the identification of Brucella species began with the discovery of phage Tb (Tbilisi, Russia) in the 1960s (Corbel and Phillip, 1972; Corbel and Thomas, 1976; Thomas and Corbel, 1977). Some phages were isolated from Brucella cultures but lysogeny has not yet been demonstrated. On the basis of their host range, brucellaphages are classified in seven groups (Tb, Fi, Wb, Bk2, R/C, Iz, Np, Alton et al., 1975; Ackermann et al., 1981; Corbel, 1987). All brucellaphages described so far have a podoviral morphology and are closely related (Flores et al., 2012; Farlow et al., 2014). They are considered as a single taxonomic species comprising different host range variants (Corbel and Thomas, 1976; Ackermann et al., 1981). Analyses of whole genome sequences of Brucella strains imply that temperate phages might also occur in this genus since prophage DNA has been detected in some of the investigated strains. The genome of a putative prophage of Roseobacter has e.g., been found in B. canis SVA13 (Kaden et al., 2014a,b). However, there is yet no information available on the integrity of the phage. The hitherto identified prophage sequences in Brucella might be remnants of formerly intact phage genomes that were lost on the way of the bacteria from soil to mammalian hosts.

The goal of this study was to ascertain, whether intact prophages exist in Brucella. To accomplish this, the prophage content of numerous Brucella reference and type strains has been determined by in silico analyses. Induction experiments were carried out to release phage particles from the bacteria. By doing this, the temperate phage BiPBO1 was isolated from B. inopinata and characterized in terms of its phenotypic and genotypic properties.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains, Media, and Growth Conditions**

All strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. If not stated otherwise, Brucella strains were cultivated in Brucella-broth (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) under microaerobic conditions (10% CO₂) according to standard procedures. For the cultivation of B. ovis, the medium was supplemented with 10% horse serum (Alton et al., 1975). Solid and overlay agar contained 1.8% and 0.7% (w/v) bacto-agar No. 1 (Oxoid, Wesel, Germany), respectively.

**Prophage Induction Experiments**

To study phage release from brucellae, several stress conditions (mitomycin C treatment, high temperatures, and UV radiation) were applied. At a McFarland of 0.8–1.2, 12.5 μg/ml−1 mitomycin C, heat treatment at 60, 70, or 80°C (for 30 and 60 s), or UV radiation (for 30, 60, and 120 s) were applied and cultivation of the bacteria was continued for 16 h without shaking. Induction via UV treatment was performed by pouring
an aliquot of the Brucella culture into a sterile petri dish \((d = 90 \text{ mm})\). The dish was placed in a distance of 10 cm to the UV lamp (corresponding 45 J m\(^{-2}\)) followed by radiation for different time periods. All strains were investigated in triplicate under the respective induction conditions. Lytic activity caused by induced phages was determined as stated below.

**Isolation, Propagation, and Purification of BiPBO1**

Phage BiPBO1 was recovered by mitomycin C treatment of *B. inopinata* strain BO1 (Scholz et al., 2010). Bacteria-free phage lysates were obtained by centrifugation at 6000 g for 15 min and filtration of the supernatant through 0.45 μm and 0.22 μm sterile filters (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany). Lytic activity was detected by spotting 10 μl aliquots of a 1:10 dilution series of lysates onto lawns of Brucella indicator strains (Viazis et al., 2011). To determine phage titers, the softagar overlay method was applied (Sambrook and Russel, 2001). BiPBO1 isolation was achieved by three-fold repetition of single plaque assays using *B. abortus* S19 as host. High-titer lysates were obtained by infecting five 100 ml cultures of *B. abortus* S19 (McFarland 3.0–4.0) with BiPBO1 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1. The lysates were prepared as stated above. After filtration, 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), 10 μg ml\(^{-1}\) Dnasel, and RNase A (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) were added to the lysates which were incubated at 37°C for 2 h.

Phage particles were concentrated using Vivaspin® 20 columns as recommended by the manufacturer (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany). Concentrated phages were purified by discontinuous gradient centrifugation (at 141,000 × g for 18 h) using cesium chloride (CsCl, 1.3–1.7 g ml\(^{-1}\)) (Sambrook and Russel, 2001).

Phage bands were collected from centrifugation tubes using a syringe and desalted by 100K Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter columns (Merck Millipore, Schwalbach, Germany).

**Determination of the Host Range**

The host range of BiPBO1 and of two virulent reference phages F1 and F25 as a control (Table S2) was determined by spot activity assays (Viazis et al., 2011). F1 and F25 are routinely used in our institute for *Brucella* typing (Al Dahouk et al., 2012). Sequence analysis of F1 revealed that it is a close relative of phage Tbilisi (Hammerl et al., 2014). Two hundred microliters of each *Brucella* strain were mixed with 5 ml of pre-warmed *Brucella*-broth soft agar (0.7%) and poured onto a *Brucella* agar plate. Ten microliters aliquots of 1:10 serial dilutions of BiPBO1 lysates were spotted onto the overlay agar. Agar plates were visually inspected after incubation for 24 and 48 h at 37°C. Phage activity was analyzed on 26 *Brucella* reference and type strains as well as on 119 *Ochrobactrum*, seven *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9, six *Mesorhizobium*, five *Sinorhizobium*, and five *Pseudomonas* strains.

**Lysogenisaton of *B. abortus* S19**

The ability of BiPBO1 to lysogenize *B. abortus* S19 was investigated by isolation of bacteria from lysis zones. The respective area of the soft agar was removed and bacteria were eluted in PBS buffer. One hundred microliters aliquots of 1:10 dilution series of the bacterial suspensions were plated on *Brucella* agar and incubated for 48 h at 37°C. Altogether 50 colonies were investigated in terms of immunity against superinfection with BiPBO1. This was performed by spot assays as described above. The non-treated *B. abortus* S19 strain was used as control to demonstrate lytic activity. Colonies identified as phage resistant were further screened for phage release by induction with mitomycin C. Positive colonies were selected for further analyses. The stability of lysogeny was investigated by cultivation of the lysogens over 50–100 generations.

**Phage Curing**

Curing was examined by treating the lysogenic strains *B. inopinata* BO1 and *B. abortus* S19lysBiPBO1 with acridine orange (final concentration 0.1, 0.05, and 0.01 mM). At a McFarland of 0.8–1.2, acridine orange was applied and cultivation was continued for 16 h without shaking. Thereafter, 1:10 dilution series of the treated cultures were plated on *Brucella* agar and cultivated as stated above (Hammerl et al., 2008). Colonies from selected dilutions were analyzed for BiPBO1 phage activity (see above) and by Multiplex-PCR using primers deduced from the genes for the integrase (ORF37), major capsid protein (ORF06), and replication protein (ORF79, Table S5).

**Phenotypic Profiling via Micronaut™**

Differences in the phenotypic profile (metabolization of various substrates) between *B. abortus* S19 (phage-free) and *B. abortus* S19lysBiPBO1 were investigated as previously described (Al Dahouk et al., 2010). Final data are based on results of three independent experiments.

**PCR Analyses**

PCR reactions were performed in an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep Gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) according to standard protocols. Single reactions were carried out in a final volume of 25 μl by use of DreamTaq DNA polymerase amplification components (Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany). The mastermix of a reaction comprised 9.0 μl RNase-free water, 2.5 μl 10 × DreamTaq buffer, 2.5 μl dNTP solution (2 mM), 6.5 μl of DreamTaq Enzyme, 2.5 μl of each primer, and 2.0 μl of template DNA (~10 ng/μl). Primers were designed by means of Accelrys Gene v2.5 (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) using default parameters. For the detection of prophage DNA by Multiplex-PCR, reactions started with activation and template denaturation at 94°C for 120 s followed by 35 cycles (denaturation at 94°C for 15 s, annealing at 58°C for 15 s, and elongation for 60 s at 72°C). In addition, a final elongation step at 72°C for 1 min was performed before the PCR reactions were stored at 4°C until further processing. For the determination of the chromosomal integration site, the annealing temperature and the elongation time were modified to 55°C and 120 s, respectively.

**Determination of the Integration Site**

To determine the chromosomal integration site of the phage, gDNA of *B. inopinata* BO1 and *B. abortus* S19lysBiPBO1 was isolated using the DNA mini preparation kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The DNAs were digested with several restriction enzymes and subjected to gel electrophoresis. The DNA fragments were purified and sequenced using the DNA sequencing kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The nucleotide sequences were analyzed for homology to *B. inopinata* BO1 and *B. abortus* S19lysBiPBO1.
endonucleases (Bsp143I, DpnI, DraI, Eco32I, HindIII) according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Fermentas, St. Leon Roth, Germany). Restriction fragments of each digest were treated with T4 ligase (Fermentas) and used as template in a PCR reaction applying outward facing primers deduced from the coding region of the BiPBO1 integrase gene (Table S5). PCR products were purified (QIAquick PCR purification kit, Qiagen) and sequenced. The obtained nucleotide sequences of the amplicons were compared with whole genome sequences of the respective strains. To study the BiPBO1 integration site in other Brucella strains, a Multiplex-PCR was developed. Primers deduced from the Brucella chromosome left and right of the BiPBO1 integration site provided information on the presence of foreign DNA at this position. Combinations of these primers with primers deduced from the BiPBO1 genome were used to detect BiPBO1-related prophage DNA. All primers are listed in Supplementary Material Table S5.

SDS-PAGE and Mass Spectrometrical Analysis of Structural Proteins
The composition of structural proteins of CsCl-purified phage particles was investigated by Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE according to standard procedures. Protein bands were excised and prepared for tryptic in gel-digests as previously described (Hammerl et al., 2011). The prepared samples were investigated by MALDI-TOF-TOF MS/MS analysis. Mass spectra were interpreted using the Mascot software and NCBI database.

Transmission Electron Microscopy
CsCl-purified phages were applied to pioloform-carbon-coated, 400-mesh copper grids (Plano GmbH, Germany), for 7 min, fixed with 2.5% aqueous glutaraldehyde solution for 1 min, stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate solution for 1 min and examined by transmission electron microscopy using a JEM-1010 (JOEL, Japan) at 80 kV accelerated voltage.

Extraction of Phage DNA and Sequencing
Phage DNA was extracted from CsCl-purified particles by proteinaseK/SDS treatment followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation (Sambrook and Russel, 2001). Thereafter, the phage DNA was resuspended in 0.5 × TE-buffer (pH 8.0) for further analyses.

Whole-genome sequencing was performed with the Roche 454 genome sequencer FLX titanium system by LGC Genomics (Berlin, Germany). Library generation for 454 FLX sequencing was carried out according to the manufacturer's standard protocols (Roche/454 Life Sciences, Branford, Connecticut, USA). Phage DNA was sheared by nebulization into fragments between 500 and 1000 bp. Fragments were end-polished and the required adaptors for sequencing were ligated to the ends of the fragments. Sequencing was performed on 1/16 picotiterplate (PTP) on the GS FLX using Roche/454 Titanium chemistry. Sequence reads were assembled using the Roche/454 Newbler software at default settings (454 Life Sciences Corporation, Software release 2.3) resulting in one contig with an average sequence coverage of more than 90 per consensus base.

Bioinformatic Analysis
To identify putative prophage sequences in the available Brucella spp. genomes of GenBank (NCBI), the Phage Search Tool-PHAST was used (Zhou et al., 2011). Sequence analysis and alignments were carried out using Accelrys Gene v2.5 (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). ORF analyses were performed using the algorithms of MyRAST (Aziz et al., 2008; Meyer et al., 2008; Glass et al., 2010) and ORF Finder (NCBI) (gene product size >29 aa, Rombel et al., 2002). Similarity and identity values were determined at the NCBI homepage using the standard parameters of different BLAST algorithms (Johnson et al., 2008). Transcription terminators were identified using TransTerm (Brown et al., 1993; Ernolaeva et al., 2000) and Arnold (Naville et al., 2011). If not stated otherwise, standard parameter of the respective algorithms were used.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Number
The complete nucleotide sequence of the BiPBO1 genome was submitted to GenBank under the accession number KT724718.

RESULTS
Prophages are Common in Brucella
In this in silico study we wanted to gather information about the occurrence of prophage sequences within the genus Brucella. Using the PHAST computer program, various sequenced Brucella reference and type strains (Table 1) were analyzed. Except for the B. inopinata strain BO1, which has only partially be sequenced (WGS), all studied Brucella strains exhibited putative prophage DNA. Up to four individual prophage regions between 7.7 and 83.1 kb in size were identified within each genome (Table 1). Highly prevalent was a very similar 9.7–13.7 kb region that we found in many Brucella species. This DNA region revealed strong homologies to the virulent phage P12026 of the marine bacterium Marinomonas (Kang et al., 2012). Next frequently, a DNA region of 9.5–83.1 kb was detected in six Brucella species showing relationship to a prophage already identified in the B. suis strain 1330 (Paulsen et al., 2002). In contrast to these prophage DNAs existing in several Brucella species, only the three investigated B. melitensis strains contained a putative prophage related to the Trichoplusia ni ascovirus 2c that causes chronic disease in lepidopteran larvae (Wang et al., 2006). Prophage DNA similar to the virulent Roseobacter denitrificans phage RDJLPhi1 (Zhang and Jiao, 2009) was exclusively detected in B. ceti, while B. abortus 544 contains prophage sequences similar to the giant moumouivirus isolated from the protozoa Acanthamoeba polyphaga (Yoosuf et al., 2012). Finally, four prophage DNA regions related to phages or prophages of Marinomonas, Pseudomonas, Rhodobacter, and E. coli were found in the B. inopinata-like strain BO2.

Isolation of the Temperate Phage BiPBO1
To elucidate whether the identified prophage regions represent active phages, one member of each species (B. abortus S19, B. melitensis 63/9, B. ovis 63/290, and the B. inopinata-like strain BO2) harboring various numbers of putative prophages...
| Brucella strains | Bio-var (bv) | Acc. No. (NCBI) | Related phages (Organism) | Size (kb) | Status (PHAST) | Score | CDS | GC content (%) |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|-----|---------------|
| **B. abortus**   |             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| 544             | bv 1        | JPHK01000000*  | Moumouivirus (Acanthamoeba) | 17.5      | Incomplete     | 10    | 14  | 58.0          |
|                 |             |                | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Questionable   | 90    | 18  | 61.2          |
| 86/8/59         | bv 2        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.6      | Intact         | 130   | 17  | 61.2          |
| Tulya           | bv 3        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Questionable   | 80    | 16  | 61.2          |
| 292             | bv 4        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Intact         | 130   | 19  | 61.2          |
| 870             | bv 5        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.6      | Intact         | 110   | 17  | 61.2          |
| 63/75           | bv 6        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Intact         | 110   | 17  | 61.2          |
| 36/9            | bv 7        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Questionable   | 90    | 17  | 61.2          |
|                 |             |                | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 31.7      | Intact         | 150   | 27  | 52.6          |
| **B. melitensis**|             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| 18M             | bv 1        | NC_003311.1†   | Tricho_2c                 | 17.4      | Incomplete     | 10    | 15  | 58.0          |
|                 |             |                | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 22.6      | Intact         | 150   | 33  | 51.5          |
| 63/9            | bv 2        | ACEM00000000.1*| Tricho_2c                 | 25.2      | Incomplete     | 20    | 14  | 56.9          |
|                 |             |                | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Questionable   | 90    | 16  | 61.2          |
|                 |             |                | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 22.1      | Intact         | 120   | 22  | 51.3          |
| Ether           | bv 3        | ACEM00000000*  | Tricho_2c                 | 22.6      | Incomplete     | 10    | 14  | 58.1          |
|                 |             |                | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Intact         | 110   | 20  | 61.2          |
| **B. suis**     |             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| 1330            | bv 1        | NC_004310.3†   | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 9.7       | Questionable   | 90    | 15  | 61.0          |
| Thomsen         | bv 2        | NC_004311.2†   | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 31.8      | Intact         | 150   | 28  | 52.7          |
| 686             | bv 3        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Intact         | 110   | 19  | 61.2          |
| 40              | bv 4        | ACJL00000000*  | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Intact         | 110   | 18  | 61.2          |
| 513             | bv 5        | ACBLO00000000* | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Intact         | 110   | 19  | 61.3          |
| **B. canis**    |             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| RM 6/66         |             | NC_010103.1†   | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 9.8       | Questionable   | 90    | 16  | 61.0          |
|                 |             |                | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 41.3      | Intact         | 150   | 32  | 54.2          |
| **B. neotomae** |             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| 5K33            |             | ACEH00000000*  | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.7      | Questionable   | 90    | 17  | 61.4          |
|                 |             |                | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 21.7      | Intact         | 100   | 23  | 51.4          |
| **B. ovis**     |             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| 63/290          |             | NC_009505.1†   | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 82.8      | Intact         | 150   | 71  | 56.4          |
|                 |             | NC_009504.1†   | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 10.6      | Intact         | 110   | 13  | 55.2          |
| **B. ceti**     |             |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| B1/94           |             | ACEK00000000*  | RDJL Phi 1 (Roseobacter)   | 70.3      | Intact         | 140   | 75  | 56.7          |
| **B. pinnipedialis** |      |                |                           |           |                |       |     |               |
| B2/94           |             | NC_015857.1†   | P12026 (Marinomonas)       | 13.6      | Questionable   | 90    | 16  | 61.2          |
|                 |             |                | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 31.1      | Intact         | 150   | 29  | 52.7          |
|                 |             | NC_015858.1†   | Prophage (B. suis 1330)    | 9.5       | Questionable   | 80    | 9   | 55.1          |

(Continued)
(Table 1) was selected for induction experiments. In addition, *B. inopinata* BO1 was investigated because it is to date the only strain belonging to this species, even though it has not been completely sequenced thus far.

Prophage induction was studied by treatment of the bacteria with mitomycin C, UV and heat. Using a broad range of indicator strains belonging to several species (Table S1), no lytic activity was observed with lysates prepared from brucellae, in which prophage DNA had been detected by PHAST analysis. However, against all odds, lysates of the *B. inopinata* strain BO1, in which no prophage DNA was detected by *in silico* analysis, revealed phage-induced lysis on some Brucella strains. The highest phage titers were obtained using mitomycin C (5 × 10⁵ pfu/ml) while UV treatment for 60–120 s and heat treatment at 50–90°C resulted in titers of 10⁵–10⁶ pfu/ml and ~5 × 10⁵ pfu/ml, respectively (Figure 1). Plaques produced by this phage named BiPBO1 had a similar turbidity like those produced by the virulent phages F1 and F25 but were smaller in size (1–2 mm, Figure 2).

**BiPBO1 is a Siphovirus with a Broad Host Range**

Figure 3 shows transmission electronic micrographs of phage BiPBO1. Unlike the virulent *Brucella* phages that have hitherto been described and that are all typical podoviruses (Ackermann et al., 1981), the temperate phage BiPBO1 is clearly a member of the family Siphoviridae. It possesses an isometric head and a long, non-contractile tail (203 × 8 nm). It is the first siphovirus isolated from *Brucella*.

The host range of BiPBO1 was determined by testing a large number of brucellae and also strains belonging to other genera. BiPBO1 lysed the *Brucella* species *B. abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis, B. microti,* and *B. pinnipedialis* and a novel *Brucella* species isolated from red foxes (Table 2), whereas strains of *Ochrobactrum (n = 119), Y. enterocolitica O:9 (n = 7), Mesorhizobium (n = 6), Sinorhizobium (n = 5),* and *Pseudomonas (n = 5)* were not infected (data not shown). Since the phage’s host specificity diverges from those of the virulent *Brucella* reference phages F1 and F25 (Table 2), BiPBO1 may be useful for typing. Similar to F1 and F25, BiPBO1 provoked growth inhibition on some indicator strains which was not associated with replication of the phage but rather caused by lysis from without (Abedon, 2011).

To study the stability of lysogeny, *B. abortus* S19 was lysogenized with phage BiPBO1. Thereafter, we tried to cure the lysogenized S19 derivative and also the BiPBO1 host strain *B. inopinata* BO1 using various concentrations of acridine orange and mitomycin C. Altogether, 350 and 75 colonies of *B. inopinata* BO1 and the lysogenized *B. abortus* S19 strain, respectively, isolated after treatment with the mutagens were tested for susceptibility to BiPBO1. None of the isolates was lysed by the phage. Moreover, the BiPBO1 prophage was detected in all isolates by Multiplex-PCR and lytic particles were released from them upon induction with mitomycin C (data not shown). These data demonstrate a strong lysogenic stability of the investigated strains.

**Genome Analysis of the Phage**

For the isolation of BiPBO1 DNA, mass lysates originating from single plaques were concentrated and purified by CsCl-buoyant density centrifugation. Interestingly, we failed to precipitate high titres of phages by ultracentrifugation, even at high g values, since only tiny pellets were obtained that quickly cleared away. Therefore, particles were concentrated using Vivaspin® 20 membrane filter units. Sequencing of purified phage DNA resulted in a single contig of 46,877 bp. Bioinformatic analyses revealed 87 open reading frames (ORFs), 48 on one (plus-) strand and 39 genes on the other (minus-strand, Table S3). In addition, 19 possible transcription terminators were found, of which 11 are located on the plus-, and eight on the minus-strand (Figure S4). Thirty-two of the deduced gene products could be functionally assigned, while 24 of the remaining hypothetical products did not match with any other gene sequence deposited in the NCBI database. An alignment with available sequences of *B. inopinata* BO1 revealed that only partial sequences of the
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FIGURE 1 | Induction of BiPBO1 by mitomycin C, temperature, and UV. Highest phage titers were obtained by mitomycin C, UV treatment for 120 s and heat treatment at 80 °C. The diagram shows the mean value of three independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviations from the mean value.

FIGURE 2 | Lytic activity of the phages BO1BiPBO1, F1, and F25. The upper panels show spot assays (spot 1 to 8: 1:10 dilution series of the phage lysates) on B. abortus strain S19. The lower panels show single plaques magnified by a stereo microscope (five-fold magnification).

BiPBO1 genome are covered by contigs of its host strain. Highest similarities were found to a putative prophage in chromosome 1 of O. anthropi strain ATCC 49188 (Figure 4). At the nucleotide level, 26% of the BiPBO1 genome are more than 90% identical to this prophage. Lower identity values were determined to other prophage proteins of Brucella, Mesorhizobium, Ochrobactrum, and Sinorhizobium and to some phage proteins as well (Table S3). The obtained data enabled us to construct a BiPBO1 gene map (Figure 5). The map shows that, similar to many other temperate phages, genes probably encoding structural proteins mainly reside in the left half of the genome while genes for integration and host cell lysis are located in the middle and genes involved in replication and immunity at the right end.

The first two ORFs (ORF01 and 02) probably code for the small and large subunit of the terminase. Their products are similar to many terminases encoded by prophages and also to the terminases of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa phage D3 (Kropinski, 2000) and Enterobacteria phage SfV (Allison et al., 2002), which possess cohesive ends. Though, restriction analyses and direct sequencing of BiPBO1 DNA did not give any indication for cohesive ends (data not shown). Two of the next ORFs may encode the portal protein (ORF03) and the major capsid protein (ORF06) that are related to head proteins of HK97-like phages. Adjacent to these ORFs, four putative tail genes (ORF09, 10, 13, and 16) were identified. ORF16 whose product is similar to tape measure proteins, is the largest ORF on the genome. All predicted structural genes of the phage are located on the plus-strand.
Embedded in this part of the genome are also two genes located on the minus-strand probably encoding an addiction system composed of a toxin (20) and an antidote protein (ORF19). This module might be responsible for the observed stability of the BiPBO1 prophage. Downstream of the tail genes an ORF (ORF29) for a hypothetical protein was detected, which contains a fibronectin type 3 repeat (FN3). This domain is frequently found in tail proteins of phages and may aid in the attachment of the phage to the cell surface (Fraser et al., 2006). Interestingly, almost identical ORF29 homologs exist in many Brucella strains including strains that are susceptible to BiPBO1. The ~1.5 kb block within the Brucella genome also encompasses a sequence homologous to a part of BiPBO1 ORF30 encoding a pectine lyase. Such enzymes are commonly encoded by bacteria using plant materials of the digestive tract of their hosts as a carbon source (Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat et al., 2012). Since ORF29 and ORF 30 are located in the vicinity of attP and the phage integrase gene (see below), the question arises whether these sequences are involved in integration.

The middle of the phage genome harbors numerous small ORFs with unknown functions. Two ORFs in this DNA-region probably represent genes for a phage lysin (ORF33) and the integrase (ORF37). Whether the predicted ORF32 product (a membrane acyltransferase) acts as a holin, has still to be unraveled. As with many other BiPBO1 gene products, homologies were found to bacterial proteins, but not to proteins encoded by distinct phages. In the right part of the phage genome several ORFs were identified that may be important for replication. The products of ORF77 and ORF79 are similar to a DnaA initiator protein and DnaB helicase, respectively. A second helicase may be encoded by ORF52. This gene is surrounded by an endonuclease gene (ORF51) and a gene for an exonuclease (ORF53). Besides genes probably involved in replication, this part of the genome may also contain the genetic switch of the phage. The ORF68 product is similar to prophyrepressors. Close to the start codon of this ORF, the ORF69 start codon is situated on the other DNA strand. The arrangement of the ORFs 68 and 69 is reminiscent of cl and cro repressor genes but the ORF68 product did not show similarities to Cro repressors. Moreover, operator sites could not be predicted by in silico methods. Summing up, the analysis of the BiPBO1 genome revealed a number of ORFs which are probably essential for the propagation of the phage. On the other hand, most of the remaining genes could not be functionally assigned.

The BiPBO1 Attachment Site is Widely Distributed in Brucella and Ochrobactrum

In this set of experiments the BiPBO1 integration locus within the Brucella chromosome was characterized. In addition, we studied physiological changes that might arise through lysogenization. To determine the bacterial (attB) and phage (attP) attachment sequences, chromosomal DNA was isolated from the B. inopinata strain BO1, digested with several restriction endonucleases and used as template for an outward PCR with primers deduced from the BiPBO1 integrase gene. Sequencing of ~700 bp and ~1.2 kb PCR amplicons obtained by use of the restriction endonucleases DpnI and Eco32I, respectively, revealed the same transition point from the BiPBO1 prophage to the BO1 chromosome. The phage DNA was inserted adjacent to a gene for a lysine tRNA. Exactly the same integration site was found in the lysogenized B. abortus strain S19 (Figure 6). With the help of primers derived from the integration locus of the Brucella strain and the BiPBO1 genome, both borders of the integrated prophage were analyzed by sequencing of PCR products (data not shown). We found almost identical 48 bp DNA sequences in the host and the phage DNA, which probably represent the attB and attP sites, respectively. The 46 bp core sequence exists in a large number of Brucella and Ochrobactrum strains and in Liberibacter crescens (Leonard et al., 2012). Additionally, other members of the order rhizobiales (i.e., Rhizobium sp. Neorhizobium sp., Agrobacterium tumefaciens, Nitrobacter sp., Bartonella sp., and Oligotropha sp.) contain this sequence, each with a single nucleotide exchange (data not shown). A Multiplex-PCR system was developed to determine, whether this site is a hot spot for phage integration.
TABLE 2 | Host range of BiPBO1.

| Brucella strains          | Biovar (bv) | F1 | F25 | BiPBO1 |
|---------------------------|-------------|----|-----|--------|
| B. abortus                |             | +  | +   | +      |
| S19                       |             | +  | +   | +      |
| S44                       | bv 1        | +  | +   | +      |
| 86/8/59                   | bv 2        | +  | +   | +      |
| Tulya                     | bv 3        | +  | +   | +      |
| 292                       | bv 4        | +  | +   | +      |
| B3196                     | bv 5        | +  | +   | +      |
| 870                       | bv 6        | +  | +   | +      |
| 63/75                     | bv 7        | +  | +   | +      |
| C68                       | bv 9        | +  | +   | +      |
| B. melitensis             |             | ±  | ±   | ±      |
| 16M                       | bv 1        | −  | −   | +      |
| 63/9                      | bv 2        | −  | −   | GI     |
| Ether                     | bv 3        | −  | −   | −      |
| B. suis                   |             | ±  | ±   | ±      |
| 1330                      | bv 1        | +  | +   | +      |
| Thomsen                   | bv 2        | GI | GI  | −      |
| 68/6                      | bv 3        | −  | −   | −      |
| 40                        | bv 4        | +  | +   | +      |
| 513                       | bv 5        | +  | GI  | +      |
| B. canis                  |             | −  | −   | −      |
| RM 6/66                   |             | −  | −   | −      |
| B. neotomae               |             | +  | +   | −      |
| 5K33                      |             | +  | +   | GI     |
| B. ovis                   |             | −  | −   | −      |
| 63/290                    |             | −  | −   | −      |
| B. ceti                   |             | −  | −   | −      |
| B1/94                     |             | GI | GI  | −      |
| B. pinnipedialis          |             | −  | −   | +      |
| B2/94                     |             | GI | −   | +      |
| B. microti                |             | +  | +   | +      |
| CCM 4915 T               |             | +  | +   | +      |
| B. inopinata              |             | −  | −   | −      |
| BO1                       |             | −  | GI  | −      |
| Brucella sp. (novel)      |             | +  | +   | +      |
| F60                       |             | +  | +   | +      |
| F965                      |             | +  | +   | +      |

+, lysis; −, no lysis; GI, growth inhibition (no propagation of the phage).

FIGURE 4 | Dot plot matrices of bacteriophage BiPBO1 with the Ochrobactrum anthropi ATCC 49188 chromosome 1. The axes of abscissas and ordinates indicate the coordinates of the respective genomes. Nucleotide alignments were performed with DS Gene (version 2.5) by using 65% as a standard parameter for DNA similarity and a hash value of 6.

DISCUSSION

Only little is known about mobile genetic elements of Brucella which is an important zoonotic pathogen. Indeed, while some genomic islands have been identified in this genus (Delrue et al., 2004) and while virulent phages are routinely used for typing (Ackermann et al., 1981; Corbel, 1987; Hammerl et al., 2014), there are no reports yet on plasmids and temperate phages that may be involved in horizontal gene transfer. Even though some phages have been isolated from Brucella cultures (Corbel and Thomas, 1976; Los and Wegryn, 2012), lysogeny has not yet been demonstrated thus far. Since bioinformatic analyses of the hitherto sequenced Brucella phages did not reveal any prophage repressor genes (Flores et al., 2012; Farlow et al., 2014; Hammerl et al., 2014), association of the bacteria with these phages may represent a kind of pseudolysogeny (Los and Wegryn, 2012).

In this study, the first temperate Brucella phage has been characterized. Phage BiPBO1 isolated from B. inopinata is a siphovirus and therefore morphologically different from all known virulent Brucella phages, which belong to the family Podoviridae (Ackermann et al., 1981; Corbel, 1987; Rigby et al., 1989). Temperate phages might be more common in Brucella than previously expected. We detected prophage DNA in many Brucella strains in silico but failed to isolate further phages. Considering the lengths of most of the prophage sequences it is likely that they do not encode all proteins required for the assembly of intact particles. The Marinomonas phage P12026 e.g., possesses a genome of 31.7 kb (Kang et al., 2012) but
homologous sequences in Brucella have only a length of up to 13.7 kb (Table 1). It can of course not be ruled out that in some cases we did not use suitable indicator strains for the detection of lytic activity. This particularly pertains to a rather conserved prophage that has been detected in B. suis 1330 and many other brucellae. It is conceivable that the prophage repressor gene of this phage prevents cell lysis by closely related phages. On the other hand, it should be emphasized that the used computer program (Zhou et al., 2011) did not detect the BiPBO1 prophage in its natural host. The reason for this failure might be that only partial sequences of B. inopinata BO1 could be analyzed. However, some prophages might be more critical than others to be identified by in silico studies.

In spite of the fact that BiPBO1 was exclusively detected in the B. inopinata strain BO1, the phage exhibited a rather wide host range within the genus Brucella. Moreover, lysogenization of B. abortus S19 was simply achieved and the prophage revealed a high stability in this strain and also in its original host. As the BiPBO1 attachment site is present in many brucellae and in other members of the rhizobiales, lysogenization of other species should be possible. Hence, the question arises why this phage was not found in more strains. The answer to this question could be the different habitats of brucellae. B. inopinata was isolated from a human breast implant infection and represents the most distant Brucella species at the phenotypic and phylogenetic level while it is closely related to Ochrobactrum (Scholz et al., 2010; Jiménez de Bagüés et al., 2014). The animal or environmental reservoir of B. inopinata is not known but interestingly a closely related prophage exists in O. anthropi ATCC 49188 (Figure 4). We also analyzed this strain and found that it harbors six putative prophages. Upon induction with mitomycin C, phage particles exhibiting different morphologies (myoviral and siphoviral) were released from the cells (data not shown). Furthermore, the BiPBO1-related phage was isolated and characterized. Like BiPBO1, this phage is a siphovirus, which, however, lysed several Ochrobactrum species, but not Brucella. Thus, these closely related phages presumably possess different receptor binding proteins determining host specificity. Nevertheless, the data suggest that at least in the past, mobile genetic elements were exchanged between these species and that phages released from B. inopinata did yet obviously not encounter other suitable Brucella hosts. Atypical Brucella species like B. inopinata may
FIGURE 6 | Determination of BiPBO1 chromosomal integration. (A) Scheme of the genomic regions of B. abortus S19 and BiPBO1 involved in phage integration. attB and attP sequences of S19 and BiPBO1, respectively, are given. The red nucleotide within each sequence shows the single nucleotide deviation. (B) Structure of the BiPBO1 prophage in the lysogenized B. abortus S19 strain.

therefore represent a link between classical Brucella species and Ochrobactrum and other rhizobiales (Wattam et al., 2014). Phages released from these environmental bacteria might enter classical Brucella strains by an intermediate propagation in atypical brucellae. On the other hand, the 1.5 kb DNA region in many Brucella strains that revealed strong homologies to parts of the BiPBO1 ORFs 29 and 30 and that is located adjacent to the attachment site B might be a remnant of a former prophage, which was deleted in the course of genome reduction during the evolution from a soil bacterium to a pathogen.

The BiPBO1 genome has a size of 46.9 kb which lies within the common size range of temperate phages. Most BiPBO1 gene products did not disclose similarities to proteins of other phages. Homologies were rather found to hypothetical proteins encoded by predicted prophages residing in various genera, notably Ochrobactrum. Nevertheless, some presumably essential genes have been identified. According to the determined data the BiPBO1 genome is similarly organized like the genomes of many other temperate phages. Genes important for virion assembly, integration, replication and host cell lysis are more or less clustered. Though, due to the large number of genes with unknown functions, this impression might change when more information is available on the phage proteins with yet unknown function. Similarly, it can currently not be excluded that BiPBO1 contains genes that cause a lysogenic conversion of the host. Integration of the phage into the bacterial chromosome was not observed within the coding sequence of a gene and we did not detect any metabolic changes in the lysogenized B. abortus strain S19. However, other phenotypic properties of the bacteria, e.g., the ability to invade and replicate within cells might be affected. This has to be investigated by further comparison of lysogenic and non-lysogenic strains.
In conclusion, this study showed that temperate phases exist in Brucella. To what extent they are involved in horizontal gene transfer has still to be clarified. Nevertheless, the close relationship of B. inopinata phage BiPBO1 to an O. anthropi phage suggests that gene exchange may occur between these genera. Unfortunately, no information is currently available on temperate phases of Ochrobactrum. Our analysis of O. anthropi ATCC 49188 shows that they occur in this genus. Hence, it makes sense to study more phages of Brucella and Ochrobactrum to gain insight into the role that they play for these bacteria.
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