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**Abstract**

**Background:** For most pathogens, iron (Fe) homeostasis is crucial for maintenance within the host and the ability to cause disease. The primary transcriptional regulator that controls intracellular Fe levels is the Fur (ferric uptake regulator) protein, which exerts its action on transcription by binding to a promoter-proximal sequence termed the Fur box. Fur-regulated transcriptional responses are often fine-tuned at the post-transcriptional level through the action of small regulatory RNAs (sRNAs). Consequently, identifying sRNAs contributing to the control of Fe homeostasis is important for understanding the Fur-controlled bacterial Fe-response network.

**Results:** In this study, we sequenced size-selected directional libraries representing sRNA samples from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* strain FA 1090, and examined the Fe- and temporal regulation of these sRNAs. RNA-seq data for all time points identified a pool of at least 340 potential sRNAs. Differential analysis demonstrated that expression appeared to be regulated by Fe availability for at least fifteen of these sRNAs. Fourteen sRNAs were induced in high Fe conditions, consisting of both cis and trans sRNAs, some of which are predicted to control expression of a known virulence factor, and one SAM riboswitch. An additional putative cis-acting sRNA was repressed by Fe availability. In the pathogenic *Neisseria* species, one sRNA that contributes to Fe-regulated post-transcriptional control is the Fur-repressible sRNA NrrF. The expression of five Fe-induced sRNAs appeared to be at least partially controlled by NrrF, while the remainder was expressed independently of NrrF. The expression of the 14 Fe-induced sRNAs also exhibited temporal control, as their expression levels increased dramatically as the bacteria entered stationary phase.

**Conclusions:** Here we report the temporal expression of Fe-regulated sRNAs in *N. gonorrhoeae* FA 1090 with several appearing to be controlled by the Fe-repressible sRNA NrrF. Temporal regulation of these sRNAs suggests a regulatory role in controlling functions necessary for survival, and may be important for phenotypes often associated with altered growth rates, such as biofilm formation or intracellular survival. Future functional studies will be needed to understand how these regulatory sRNAs contribute to gonococcal biology and pathogenesis.
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Fur also has the capacity to induce gene transcription in response to Fe levels through both direct and indirect mechanisms (for a review see [6]). Indirect control of gene transcription is mediated through the action of secondary regulators including sRNAs [7]. In other bacteria, sRNAs control gene expression by post-transcriptional mechanisms during adaptation to environmental cues, including those provided by the host environment [8]. Dependent on their targets, sRNAs can be classified into two broad categories. *Cis*-acting sRNAs, varying in size from 100–7000 nucleotides (nt) are typically either riboswitches encoded in the 5′ UTR of the regulatory target or are co-transcribed antisense to the target gene [9]. Antisense sRNAs overlap all or part of the gene, or are located encoded on the 5′ or 3′ end of the protein-coding gene that they regulate. *Trans*-acting, typically 50–500 nt in length [10] most commonly target ribosomal binding sites near promoters, but may also exert regulatory control by binding to regulatory proteins and modifying their activity. Where *cis*-acting sRNAs generally target their cognate protein-coding RNA, *trans*-acting sRNAs bind targets on diverse mRNAs through imperfect base pair matches. In many gram negative organisms, these *trans*-acting sRNAs often require the RNA chaperone Hfq [11]. sRNAs often contain a Rho-independent terminator (RIT), an inverted repeat hairpin structure on the 3′ end that halts transcription of the sRNA.

Deep sequencing of Next Generation directional libraries and computational models to predict sRNAs have each dramatically increased sRNA discovery in many organisms [12]. In *N. gonorrhoeae*, whole transcriptome RNA-seq analysis of strain MS11 identified 253 potentially non-coding sRNAs of which 59 mapped to intergenic regions [13]. In another study, 232 potential sRNAs were identified from four different growth conditions (Fe-replete and –deplete growth conditions through stationary phase (1–5 h) (Additional file 1: Table S1). 150 bp single reads were aligned to the FA 1090 genome (NCBI Accession AE004969.1) and analyzed using RockHopper, a software designed for small RNA and transcriptome analysis of bacterial RNA-seq data [17] (Additional file 1: Table S1). Predicted sRNAs in the range >40 to <500 nt were examined for the presence of a promoter and RIT by extracting 150 bp 5′ and 3′ of the reads, using BPROM and ARNold, respectively [18–20].

Candidate sRNAs identified as potential coding sequences (GeneMark.HMM) [21] were subsequently omitted from the data set leaving a pool of 159 intergenic and 181 antisense sRNAs present in at least one time point during the growth curve (Additional file 2: Table S2). Expression of Feresponsive genes and sRNAs such as NrrF in the gonococcus are directly regulated by Fur binding to FB consensus sequences near promoters [22], therefore; a computational approach was taken to predict FBs that may be associated with Fe-regulated sRNAs. Initially, using MEME analysis of a training set of FA 1090 sequences experimentally validated to bind Fur by FuRTA (Fur titration assay) and/or EMSA (Electrophoretic mobility shift assay) [4], an 18 bp direct repeat sequence was generated representing the Fur DNA-binding consensus sequence for FA 1090 (Additional file 3: Figure S1). HMM (hidden markov model) and PWM (position weight matrix) models were then built and each model was used to scan the entire FA 1090 genome. The predicted FB sequences extracted from both models were merged and imported into Artemis for visualization. The Fe-starvation status of cells used in these experiments was verified by assessing NrrF expression, which was highly repressed at all time points by real time PCR (Fig 1a). NrrF transcript size and transcriptional start site (TSS) are in agreement with previous studies (Fig 1b, c, and d) [23].

**Results and discussion**

**RNA-seq analysis of *N. gonorrhoeae* FA 1090 Fe regulated “sRNome”**

Size-selected directional libraries were sequenced from libraries prepared from the gonococcus grown in a defined medium under Fe-replete and –deplete growth conditions through stationary phase (1–5 h) (Additional file 1: Table S1). 150 bp single reads were aligned to the FA 1090 genome (NCBI Accession AE004969.1) and analyzed using RockHopper, a software designed for small RNA and transcriptome analysis of bacterial RNA-seq data [17] (Additional file 1: Table S1). Predicted sRNAs in the range >40 to <500 nt were examined for the presence of a promoter and RIT by extracting 150 bp 5′ and 3′ of the reads, using BPROM and ARNold, respectively [18–20].

Candidate sRNAs identified as potential coding sequences (GeneMark.HMM) [21] were subsequently omitted from the data set leaving a pool of 159 intergenic and 181 antisense sRNAs present in at least one time point during the growth curve (Additional file 2: Table S2). Expression of Feresponsive genes and sRNAs such as NrrF in the gonococcus are directly regulated by Fur binding to FB consensus sequences near promoters [22], therefore; a computational approach was taken to predict FBs that may be associated with Fe-regulated sRNAs. Initially, using MEME analysis of a training set of FA 1090 sequences experimentally validated to bind Fur by FuRTA (Fur titration assay) and/or EMSA (Electrophoretic mobility shift assay) [4], an 18 bp direct repeat sequence was generated representing the Fur DNA-binding consensus sequence for FA 1090 (Additional file 3: Figure S1). HMM (hidden markov model) and PWM (position weight matrix) models were then built and each model was used to scan the entire FA 1090 genome. The predicted FB sequences extracted from both models were merged and imported into Artemis for visualization. The Fe-starvation status of cells used in these experiments was verified by assessing NrrF expression, which was highly repressed at all time points by real time PCR (Fig 1a). NrrF transcript size and transcriptional start site (TSS) are in agreement with previous studies (Fig 1b, c, and d) [23].

**Rfam database search of known regulatory small RNAs**

Comparison of our RNA-seq data with the Rfam 12.0 database [24] revealed known regulatory RNAs including RNase P, tmRNA and a thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP) riboswitch, two tandem glycine riboswitches and one SAM riboswitch (Additional file 2: Table S2). Of the known regulatory RNAs, the SAM riboswitch was Fe-regulated (Fig 2b...
Riboswitches are a class of sRNAs that are often cis-acting regulatory loci originating in the 5′ UTR of the transcript they modulate by sensing metabolites and controlling genes involved in transport and synthesis of amino acids, metal ions, nucleotides and cofactors [25]. In FA 1090, the SAM riboswitch is located in the 5′ UTR of methionine adenosyltransferase gene (NGO_0106) and cis to a hypothetical protein NGO_00570 (Fig 2a) suggesting that this riboswitch also acts to regulate the expression of NGO_00570. The SAM riboswitch was not regulated by NrrF; as real time PCR transcriptional analysis showed no effect in the nrrf mutant (data not shown). The TSS and transcript length of 155 bp were determined by primer extension and Northern blot analysis (Additional file 4: Figure S2).

As the effector molecule S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) reaches a threshold concentration, binding to the riboswitch inhibits the downstream message through formation of a terminator. In the absence of SAM binding, an anti-terminator is formed and transcription of the downstream gene proceeds [26]. Presumably, the gonococcal SAM riboswitch functions in a similar manner by sensing SAM levels and disrupting methionine adenosyltransferase transcription as the ligand binds to the riboswitch. The SAM riboswitch in FA 1090 is induced in the presence of Fe with temporal transcriptional increases into stationary phase (Fig 2b). The methionine adenosyltransferase transcript is also directly regulated by Fur as evidenced by a predicted FB sequence in the promoter region (Additional file 4: Figure S2). This is consistent with the finding of a Fur ChIP-seq peak in the 5′ UTR of NGO_00570 in FA 1090 grown in Fe replete conditions (manuscript in preparation). Transcriptional profiles as determined by real time PCR confirm repression of the methionine adenosyltransferase transcript beginning at late log through stationary phase (data not shown). In this scenario, Fur binding to the FB sequence when Fe is present may exert a stronger regulatory effect on methionine adenosyltransferase transcription. If this is the case, studies in Listeria monocytogenes may suggest additional roles for the SAM riboswitch in the gonococcus. Loh et al. [27] demonstrated that terminated SAM riboswitch transcripts were able to function as a trans-acting sRNA regulating expression of a distal target through binding to the 5′ UTR of master virulence regulator prfA. Whether the gonococcal SAM riboswitch may function as a trans-acting sRNA potentially linking nutritional availability to the Fe regulon will require additional studies.

sRNAs regulated temporally and by Fe-availability
We designated sRNAs with equivalent Fe regulation in WT and nrrf mutant strain LJ001, as Nrf (Neisseria sRNA
Fe-regulated); see Table 1 for genetic designation and genomic location. Eight sRNAs were induced beginning in late log to stationary phase with one sRNA (NrfD) repressed in Fe-deplete conditions (Table 2). Four Fe-induced sRNAs previously have been reported in RNA-seq studies for N. gonorrhoeae strains MS11 and/or F62 [13, 14]. NrfA, NrfB, and NrfI (NgncR_002, NgnrC_021, and NgncR_239 respectively) were highly expressed in MS11 in the presence of Fe [13]. RNA-seq reads aligning to NrfA and NrfE were present in F62 strain however; these transcripts were not Fe regulated in the experimental conditions used in that study [14]. Expression of sRNAs; NrfC, NrfD, NrfE, NrfG and NrfH have not been reported in the gonococcus. Several of these novel Fe-regulated sRNAs merit further discussion. Interestingly of the 15 sRNAs reported here NrfD was the only Fe repressed sRNA and did not exhibit temporal regulation with a modest 2-fold change in expression through all time points tested as determined by real time PCR (Table 2). The NrfD transcript is antisense to a 192 bp region of the protease domain in the iga gene (NGO_0275), (Additional file 4: Figure S2). IgA protease, a known virulence factor in pathogenic Neisseria is derived from a modular autotransporter protein directing the secretion of this serine protease to mucosal surfaces [28]. A genome-wide in silico analysis of FB locations in FA 1090 of the Fe-regulated sRNAs predicted a FB in the promoter region of NrfD. (Additional file 4: Figure S2). Although the regulatory role of intragenic FBs in controlling transcription
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**Fig. 2** Fe Regulation of FA 1090 SAM Riboswitch. The SAM riboswitch is located in the 5’ UTR of the methionine adenosyltransferase gene NGO_0106 and anti-sense to hypothetical protein NGO_00570. FB indicates the presence of a Fur Box consensus sequence. a Real time PCR RNA samples results from cells harvested at 1,2,3,4 and 5 h showing induction of SAM riboswitch transcription in the presence of Fe beginning at late log and increasing into stationary phase. Each bar represents the mean fold change comparing Fe replete to Fe deplete growth conditions from three separate growth curves b Asterisks indicate a significant increase in fold change values (P < 0.05)

Table 1 Genomic location of Fe regulated sRNAs

| FA 1090 | 5’ ORF | sRNA Orientation | 3’ ORF | Fe Regulation |
|---------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------------|
| NrfA    | →NGO_0007 | → cis NGO_0010<sup>a</sup> | ←NGO_0011 | Induced     |
| NrfB    | ←NGO_0184 | → cis NGO_0185<sup>a</sup> | ←NGO_0186 | Induced     |
| NrfC    | ←NGO_0198 | ← trans | ←NGO_0199 | Induced     |
| NrfD    | →NGO_0274 | → cis NGO_0275 | →NGO_0276 | Repressed   |
| NrfE    | ←NGO_0646 | → cis NGO_03400<sup>a</sup> | ←NGO_0647 | Induced     |
| NrfF    | →NGO_0773 | ← cis NGO_0775 | →NGO_0777 | Induced     |
| NrfG    | ←NGO_1347 | → trans | ←NGO_1349 | Induced     |
| NrfH    | →NGO_1494 | → trans | ←NGO_07895 | Induced     |
| NrfI    | ←NGO_2025 | → cis NGO_2026<sup>a</sup> | ←NGO_2027 | Induced     |

<sup>a</sup>RIT present
is not fully understood, we have previously shown using EMSAs that Fur binds to two discrete intragenic FB sequences in *thpA*, the gonococcal transferrin receptor protein [4]. Additionally, in a Fur ChIP-seq study, (manuscript in preparation) the intracellular FB sequence predicted near the promoter of NrfD bound Fur as detected by the presence of a peak overlapping that region in FA 1090, suggesting direct regulation of NrfD by Fur. Pathogenic Neisseria produce and secrete IgA protease in order to cleave IgA molecules, consequently interfering with the host’s innate mucosal immunity [29, 30]. In addition, IgA protease increases the degradation rate of host LAMP1 (lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1) a major membrane protein in lysosomal vacuoles, thereby promoting enhanced intracellular survival [31]. Although the mechanism whereby NrfD regulates the gonococcal IgA protease is not known; we speculate this potential cis-acting sRNA could play an important role in modulation of the host’s immune response at the mucosal surface and survival within host cells in response to Fe levels.

The NrfF transcript spans a 192 bp region antisense to the 3’ end of NGO_0775, harboring the proteolytic domain of Lon protease (Additional file 4: Figure S2) with transcription steadily increasing in the presence of Fe beginning at late log through stationary phase (Table 2). Bacteria adapt to environmental stresses by changing the overall protein profile through transcriptional control, but also through protein degradation by proteases. One important serine protease is the Lon protease, accounting for the majority of energy-dependent proteolytic activity in cells by targeting misfolded or damaged proteins for degradation during stress conditions as well as responding to DNA damage [32]. Lon protease and other ATP-dependent proteases have been shown to be involved in stationary phase adaptation through several regulatory pathways [33–35]. Given that Lon protease can exert a global effect, transcriptional regulation would be important to keep protein degradation in check. In fact, overexpression of Lon protease in *E. coli* leads to lethality [36]. Typically, cis-acting sRNAs modulate expression of their cognate RNA through binding and promotion of message degradation. Thus, a likely mechanism for NrfF action would be to regulate Lon protease expression post-transcriptionally in a similar manner. However, further studies are needed to clarify the role of NrfF in the Fe regulation and potential regulation of this important protease.

NrfF is oriented between membrane protein NGO_1347 and NGO_1349, a hypothetical protein (Table 1.) Of interest is a 106 bp Correia Repeat Enclosed Element (CREE) overlapping a region of the promoter of this Fe-induced sRNA (Additional file 4: Figure S2). CREE are 69–89 bp repeats flanked by terminal repeats and a conserved core structure found only in *Neisseria* spp [37]. Insertion of CREE has been reported to inactivate genes [38]. Alternatively, CREE insertion adjacent to the 5’ end of a gene can create a promoter at either or both ends due to the presence of inverted repeats in the element [37]. A recent study investigated whether CREE are found near predicted sRNAs in gonococcal strain NCCP11945 [39]. RNA-seq data indicated the majority of CREE present in NCCP11945 were near or overlapping sRNA transcripts, implying CREE may be influencing sRNA expression [39]. Of the 123 CREE reported in FA 1090 [40], 36 or roughly 30% were located at or near the 5’ end or overlapping the length of the sRNA (Additional file 5: Table S3).

### Table 2 Temporal expression of Fe regulated small RNAs

|          | 1 h | 2 h | 3 h | 4 h | 5 h |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| NrfA     | NC  | NC  | 3.5 | 13.2| 17.8|
| NrfB     | NC  | NC  | 2.5 | 4.9 | 6.1 |
| NrfC     | NC  | NC  | 25.1| 283 | 500.0|
| NrfD<sup>−</sup> | <2.6 | <2.5 | <2.6 | <2.2 | <2.2 |
| NrfE     | NC  | NC  | 11.35| 32.4 | 98.9 |
| NrfF     | NC  | NC  | 12.35| 24.4 | 44.5 |
| NrfG     | NC  | NC  | 5.3 | 8.6 | 11.3 |
| NrfH     | NC  | NC  | 7.5 | 13.6 | 15.5 |
| NrfI     | NC  | NC  | 3.1 | 7.6  | 12.3 |

<sup>a</sup>qRT-PCR fold change. Values reported are means for three experiments ± SEM
<sup>b</sup>NC (no change) indicates a fold change of <2.0
<sup>c</sup>For fold change values <1, the reciprocal was taken and a minus sign added
location. For all determinations of sRNA transcriptional levels as determined by real time PCR, the complemented NrrF strain LJ002 expressed levels similar to WT (data not shown). Three sRNAs have been reported in gonococcal strain MS11 [13]. NrsA (NgncR_036) and NrsD (NgncR_198) were found to be highly expressed in Fe-replete growth conditions with transcript lengths of 239 and 191 bps respectively [13]. The transcript lengths estimated from Northern blot analysis in FA 1090 were similar, 243 bp for NrsA and 193 bp for NrsD (Additional file 6: Figure S3). NrsB (NgncR_072) was also highly expressed in MS11 [13] with the 5′ end of this 404 bp transcript located antisense to a 98 bp region of the 3′ end of NGO_0686 in FA 1090. NrsB is a 145 bp transcript downstream of NGO_0686 with primer extension results corresponding to the TSS suggested by RockHopper analysis (Additional file 6 Figure S3). Novel transcripts NrsC and NrsE were 174 bp and 178 bp respectively as estimated by Northern blot analysis (Additional file 6: Figure S3).

Table 3 Genomic location of NrrF regulated Fe dependent small RNAs

| 5′ ORF     | sRNA Orientation | 3′ ORF     | Fe Regulation |
|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|
| NrsA       | → NGO_0331      | ← trans    | ← NGO_0332   | Induced       |
| NrsB       | → NGO_0686      | → trans    | ← NGO_0687   | Induced       |
| NrsC       | ← NGO_1221      | ← trans    | ← NGO_1222   | Induced       |
| NrsD       | ← NGO_1651      | ← trans    | ← NGO_1652   | Induced       |
| NrsE       | ← NGO_1713      | → trans    | ← NGO_1714   | Induced       |

*RIT present
Relative concentration of Nrs sRNA transcriptional levels was assessed in the WT and mutant strain when Fe was restricted. In the nrrf mutant there was a significant increase in transcription compared to WT in NrsB, NrsC, NrsD, and NrsE (Fig 4b, c, d and e) beginning at early stationary phase. Increased expression of NrsA in absence of NrrF was seen as early as 2 h (Fig 4a). These data indicate that reduced expression of Nrs transcripts was relieved during Fe restriction in the nrrf mutant. IntaRNA analysis of RNA-RNA interactions [41] using NrrF as the query and Nrs sRNAs to determine potential targets, suggested regions of complementary sequence between NrrF and each Nrs sRNA that were energetically favorable (Additional file 7: Figure S4). Using RNAfold [42] to predict the secondary structure of these regulatory sRNAs suggested that the base-pairing of NrrF with each Nrs sRNA was within an accessible region (Additional file 7 Figure S4).

Regulation by NrrF may not fully explain the temporal regulation when Fe is present and NrrF transcription levels are low. Progressive increases in the transcription of Nrs sRNAs began at late log and continued into stationary phase as evidenced by increasing fold change values as determined by real time PCR (Fig 3a-e). Moreover, the NrrF null mutant strain LJ001 exhibited a significant reduction in fold change as was evident at 3, 4, and 5 h for NrsA, NrsB, and NrsD (Fig 3a, b and d) and beginning at 4 h and 5 h, respectively for NrsC and NrsE (Fig 3c and e). NrrF regulation of these Fe-induced sRNAs through potential binding targets, or indirectly by additional regulators dependent on the availability of Fe in the organisms remains to be determined.

**Fig. 4** Relative Expression of NrrF Regulated Fe dependent Nrs sRNAs. The relative concentration of Nrs sRNAs was assessed by real time PCR in WT strain FA 1090 and nrrf mutant strain LJ001 in Fe restricted growth conditions. Values reported are means from three separate growth curves ± SEM at 1,2,3,4 and 5 h. Relative concentration significantly increased in the mutant strain compared to WT for Nrs sRNAs, with increased levels at late log and as early as 2 h a-e. *Difference in relative concentration between FA 1090 and nrrf mutant were significant at \( P < 0.05 \)
Conservation of iron-regulated sRNA in Neisseriaceae

The Neisseriaceae include commensal organisms commonly found on mammals as normal flora, in addition to the human pathogens *N. gonorrhoeae* and *Neisseria meningitidis*. To determine if the Fe regulated sRNAs reported in this study were shared by members of this family, we used blastn to query 451 genomes comprising complete and draft genome sequences available at NCBI (Additional file 8: Table S4). Sequences with a cut-off value of 85% length and 85% sequence identity for each Fe-regulated sRNA query were retained, resulting in 345 *Neisseria* sp. genomes with homology to one or more of the sRNAs (Additional file 8: Table S4). A heat map was constructed to cluster the Fe-regulated sRNAs in the commensal and pathogenic Neisseria sp. (Fig 5). Red indicates the presence of the sRNA while black denotes the absence. A larger version of this heat map that can more clearly visualize strain names is provided in Additional file 9: Figure S5. The 345 *Neisseria* sp. genomes could be grouped into 4 clusters using hierarchical clustering (Fig 5; clusters 1, 2, 3a, 3b). Nrs sRNAs and the majority of the Nrf sRNAs were not found in cluster 1, which included *N. cinerea* *N. flavescens*, *N. macacea*, *N. mucosa*, and *N. sicca*. The *N. meningitidis* genomes in cluster 3b appear to have a heterogeneous cluster pattern for the NrrF regulated NrsA sRNA and several sRNAs in the Nrf sRNA group (Fig 5). *N. lactamica* and *N. polysaccharea* genomes present in cluster 3a were more similar to the sRNA content in *N. meningitidis* (Fig 5).

Compared to the diverse pattern seen in cluster 3, all Nrs and Nrf sRNAs were present in *N. gonorrhoeae* complete reference genomes with a majority present in the draft genomes (Fig 5; cluster 2). Two NrrF regulated Nrs sRNAs, NrsD, NrsE, and Fe-regulated NrfG appear to be gonococcal specific (Fig 5). NrfD (antisense to known virulence factor IgA protease), NrfH, and NrsA are restricted to the pathogenic Neisseria; with NrrF, antisense to the protease subunit of the Lon protease, conserved in commensal and pathogenic *Neisseria* sp. (Fig 5; clusters 1, 2, 3a, and 3b).

Conclusions

In this study, deep sequencing of directional sRNA libraries was utilized to assess sRNA expression relative to regulation by Fe and temporally across the growth curve; identifying 340 sRNAs. Of those candidate sRNAs; at least 15 including a SAM riboswitch were differentially expressed by the availability of Fe, with NrrF regulation of five. NrrF regulated Fe-dependent Nrs sRNAs NrsD and NrsE; and Fe-regulated NrfG were gonococcal specific (Fig 5).

Strikingly, Nrs sRNAs (Fig 6a) and Nrf sRNAs (Fig 6b) were induced in the presence of Fe beginning at late log and increasing well into stationary phase with the exception of Fe-repressed sRNA, NrfD (Table 1). In fact, for several of these Fe-induced induced sRNAs it appears that transcription levels continue to increase to the 5 h time point (Fig 6). Growth in stationary phase is tightly regulated as organisms must adapt quickly to survive in stressful conditions [43]. In addition to known regulatory mechanisms governing this process [44] in *E. coli*, sRNAs have been implicated as regulators in stationary
phase [45] as well as biofilm control [46]. Expression of sRNAs during stationary phase in other organisms has been reported [47–51]. For example, 140 sRNA were expressed as the organism entered early stationary phase in *Salmonella typhimurium* [49]. In *E. coli*, the majority of the 14 novel sRNAs identified were present in stationary phase with several reaching the highest expression going into late stationary phase [50]. Analysis of an extensive tiling array study in *N. meningitidis* using seven different growth conditions and stresses revealed that the majority of differentially expressed putative sRNAs were found in stationary phase [48]. How potential regulatory strategies for *N. gonorrhoeae* Fe-regulated sRNAs reported here may operate in the context of the Fe-regulon and physiology of the organism transitioning into stationary phase will be of great interest.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

FA 1090 was grown from frozen stock cultures on GCB agar (Becton Dickinson) supplemented with 2% IsoVitaleX (Becton Dickinson) under 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C. Fe-deplete (CDM-0) and Fe-replete medium (CDM-10), supplemented with 10 μM Fe(NO₃)₃ were prepared as previously reported [16, 52]. Briefly, organisms were inoculated to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.100 from overnight growth on
GCB agar plates. Cultures were grown to OD₆₀₀ = 0.200 to deplete intracellular Fe pools. Half of the culture was transferred into a separate flask and CDM-0 was then added to both flasks to equal the original volume; Fe(NO₃)₃ was added to one of the flasks to a final concentration of 10 μM (CDM-10) [4]. The cultures were then allowed to grow to stationary phase in a 37 °C shaking incubator (225 rpm), and an aliquot of cells from Fe deplete and Fe replete conditions were collected at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 h for RNA isolation.

RNA isolation
Total RNA was isolated using a hot phenol method previously described [16] and pooled from three separate biological replicates for each time and growth condition as described above. RNA samples were DNase treated [1U RQ1 RNase-Free DNase per 1 μg RNA (Promega)] followed by using the TriReagent protocol for clean-up (Molecular Research Center, Inc.). RNA quantitation was done using the NanoDrop ND-100 (Thermo Scientific) and RNA integrity assessed using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Purified RNA samples were stored at −80 °C until further use.

Transcript size selection
Total RNA was size selected for transcripts <500 bp following a protocol successfully used for sRNA sequencing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa [53]. Briefly, 50 μg DNAse-treated total RNA was separated by electrophoresis on a denaturing 10 M urea/10% polyacrylamide gel (Jule Biotechnologies Inc). The region of the polyacrylamide gel containing RNA of (50–500) nt was excised, followed by centrifugation in Gel Breaker tubes (IST Engineering). The RNA was eluted overnight in 400 μl 0.4 M NaCl and filtered through a 0.5 μm filter tube (IST Engineering). RNA was extracted from the filtrate by addition of Phenol:Chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, v/v) (Ambion). The aqueous phase was collected after centrifugation in a Phas e-Lock Gel (5 Prime), followed by addition of Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol and ethanol precipitation as described above. A portion of the rRNA depleted RNA samples were then treated with TAP (Epicentre) for 1 h @ 37 °C to convert 5′ phosphate-triphosphate to 5′-monophosphate, and then similarly purified and ethanol precipitated after the TAP reaction.

Ribosomal RNA removal and TAP treatment
5 μg of size-selected RNA was depleted of 5S, 16S and 23S rRNA using the Ribo-Zero Magnetic Kit Gram Negative (Epicentre), followed by extraction in Phenol:Chloroform:isoamyl alcohol and ethanol precipitation as described above. A portion of the rRNA depleted RNA samples were then treated with TAP (Epicentre) for 1 h @ 37 °C to convert 5′ phosphate-triphosphate to 5′-monophosphate, and then similarly purified and ethanol precipitated after the TAP reaction.

Library production and sequencing
Directional libraries were prepared at Iowa State University DNA Facility http://www.dna.iastate.edu/nextgensequencing.html using the Illumina TruSeq Small RNA kit. All 10 directional libraries were bar-coded and 5 libraries were run per one lane of the Illumina MiSeq v3, to obtain 150 bp single reads. An average of 1,455,012 reads was generated from each library; this yielded an overall 62% alignment of high quality reads to the FA 1090 genome and an average 24% of those reads aligning to unannotated regions.

Alignment and analysis of RNA-seq data
Reads were mapped to the Neisseria gonorrhoeae FA 1090 genome (NCBI GenBank Accession AE004969.1). Since the original FA 1090 annotation was over 10 years old, we re-annotated the FA 1090 genome sequence using the NCBI annotation pipeline on 6/22/2015. As an aid to the community, we developed an annotation conversion “look back” table to easily locate new locus tag numbers by querying old locus tag numbers using CD-Hit (Additional file 10: Table S5). RockHopper [17] was used for alignment and analysis of predicted sRNA.

Prediction of DNA regulatory signals
Potential sRNA reads between 40 and 500 bp were retained and nucleotide sequence was extracted 150 bp 5′ and 3′ to each putative sRNA. These regions were screened for the presence of putative promoter motif using Promoter Prediction by PBROM (Softberry) [19] and a rho independent terminator with ARNold (Erpin and RNAmotif programs). For prediction of FB motifs in FA 1090, we used a training set of 23 sequences previously shown to bind Fur in vitro by EMSA and/or in vivo in a FurTA assay to generate a consensus FB sequence in MEME v 4.9.1. The FB consensus sequence generated in (WebLogo) [54] was 18 bp representing a 7-1-7 inverted repeat (Additional file 3: Figure S1). In parallel, we built a hidden markov model (HMM) using hmmer v 1.8.5 and position weight matrix (PWM) model (MEME v 4.9.1). Both models were used to scan the FA 1090 genome. Leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) [55] was used to determine an empirical p-value cut-off of 0.008 for the HMM model and p-value cut-off of 0.00103 for the PWM model.

sRNA secondary structure and NrrF target predictions
RNAfold [42] was used to generate the secondary structure for NrrF and Nrs sRNAs on the ViennaRNA Web Server http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/. IntaRNA [41], a tool for prediction of RNA-RNA interactions was used to determine possible targets of NrrF in the Nrs RNAs. http://rna.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/IntaRNA/Input.jsp.
Rfam homology search to known regulatory ncRNAs
Homology to known regulatory ncRNAs was done by blasting the pool of candidate sRNAs to the Rfam 12.0 release database (http://rfam.xfam.org) [24] using the default scoring. Hits with an e-value less than 1 were reported.

Neisseriaceae homology search and heat map
Complete and draft genomes of 451 members of the Neisseriaceae family including both commensal and pathogenic organisms used in this study are listed in Additional file 8: Table S4. Blastn (NCBI Nucleotide v 2.2.28) of the 451 genomes was performed using the default p = 0.05, cut-off of ≥85% of sRNA query length and ≥85% sequence identity to Nrs and Nrf Fe regulated sRNAs. The blastn results were tabulated in a table of all genomes present in the blastn report vs. the blastn results for each of the 14 sRNAs. Scores of 0 or 1 were assigned to the following states: 0 for genomes not found for that specific sRNA and 1 for a hit indicating the presence of that sRNA. In-house scripts in R version 3.2.3 were written to reduce the number of draft genome duplicate contigs for presentation in a table and heat map and to bundle all contigs of same strain together into a single genome name. Clustering analysis for this heat map was generated using the default hclust function in the gplots package of R.

qRTPCR
To estimate sRNA levels, quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed as previously described [16]. Briefly, cDNA was generated from DNase-treated total RNA using the High Capacity cDNA Archive Kit using random primers and including a negative control reaction lacking the reverse transcriptase enzyme (RT; Applied Biosystems). RT using gene specific primers (GSP) were set up as per the High Capacity cDNA Archive Kit with a few modifications. The reverse real time primers were used as the GSP for RT of antisense sRNAs. All GSP were at 0.2 pM final concentration with a 20 min annealing temperature at the respective primer Tm, replacing the 10 min room temperature annealing temperature as for RT using random primers. Amplification of all cDNA was performed on an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystems). The relative expression was calculated by the comparative threshold cycle (2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}) method, with fold changes calculated as a ratio of the qRT-PCR measurements of sRNA from the Fe-deplete/Fe-replete growth conditions. The relative concentration was reported in pg and quantified using the standard-curve method (user bulletin no. 2; Applied Biosystems). Real-time reactions were carried out in duplicate with porA as the endogenous reference. Primers and annealing temperatures are listed in Additional file 11: Table S6.

Fluorescent Primer Extension (FPE)
TSS was estimated using primer extensions employing fluorescent primers [56]. Reactions were performed by addition of FAM-labeled primer (0.01 μM final concentration) to 20–30 μg of DNase treated total RNA (20 μl total reaction volume), heated for 5 min @ 70 °C and placed on ice for 10 min. Samples were then incubated for 20 min at the specified primer annealing temperature and cooled to room temperature for 15 min. The reverse transcription reaction was performed as follows: annealed RNA/prime mixture, 400 U RT (SuperScript III Invitrogen), (1 mM dNTP and 0.01 M DTT final concentration) in a total reaction volume of 40 μl. The reaction was incubated for 2 h at 42 °C followed by sodium acetate/ethanol precipitation. Fragment analysis was done on the ABI 3730 DNA analyzer using GeneScan 600-LIZ ladder at the Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Cytometry Research http://research.ouhsc.edu/CoreFacilities.aspx. A standard curve was generated in Peak Scanner (Applied Biosystems) software to calculate the size and intensity of the FAM labeled cDNA products. Primers and annealing temperatures are listed in Additional file 11: Table S6.

Northern blot analysis
Northern blots were performed by addition of 20ug of DNase treated 5 h Fe replete RNA with an equal amount of 2× Gel loading buffer II (Life Technologies P/N: AM8547), denatured for 10 min at 95 °C, and loaded onto a denaturing 15% TBE-Urea polyacrylamide gel (Invitrogen). Gels were run for 3 h at 150 V and then transferred onto Hybond-N+ membrane (GE Healthcare) by wet blotting at 12 V for 2 h. RNA was then UV cross-linked twice to the membrane at 120 mJ/cm² (Fisher Scientific). Probes were generated by labeling the 3’ end of the 5’ FAM-labeled oligonucleotides used for primer extensions (Additional file 11: Table S6) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Roche cat. number 03353579510). Hybridization was performed overnight at 10 °C below the FAM-labeled oligonucleotide Tm in a ProBlot hybridization oven (Labnet International). Probe detection was performed using the DIG luminescent detection kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, membranes were blocked in blocking buffer for 30 min at 25 °C, then incubated in antibody solution (anti-DIG antibody diluted in blocking buffer; 1:10,000) for 30 min at 25 °C, then washed twice in 1× washing buffer (0.1 M maleic acid, 0.15 M NaCl at pH 7.5, 0.3% Tween 20 [v/v]) for 15 min at 25 °C. Membranes were then equilibrated in detection buffer (0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, pH9.5); incubated in 0.25 mM CDP-star at room temperature for 5 min and then exposed on the Kodak Gel Logic 1500.
Illumina RNA-seq data accession number
RNA_seq data are deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo under BioProject PRJNA356970.

Statistical analysis
Results were expressed as the standard error of the mean (SEM). Paired t test was used for all comparisons. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant.
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