Prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of psychological health problems in Chinese adolescents during the outbreak of COVID-19
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Abstract
Psychological health problems, especially emotional disorders, are common among adolescents. The epidemiology of emotional disorders is greatly influenced by stressful events. This study sought to assess the prevalence rate and socio-demographic correlates of depressive and anxiety symptoms among Chinese adolescents affected by the outbreak of COVID-19. We conducted a cross-sectional study among Chinese students aged 12–18 years during the COVID-19 epidemic period. An online survey was used to conduct rapid assessment. A total of 8079 participants were involved in the study. An online survey was used to collect demographic data, assess students’ awareness of COVID-19, and assess depressive and anxiety symptoms with the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) questionnaire, respectively. The prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, and a combination of depressive and anxiety symptoms was 43.7%, 37.4%, and 31.3%, respectively, among Chinese high school students during the COVID-19 outbreak. Multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that female gender was the higher risk factor for depressive and anxiety symptoms. In terms of grades, senior high school was a risk factor for depressive and anxiety symptoms; the higher the grade, the greater the prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms. Our findings show there is a high prevalence of psychological health problems among adolescents, which are negatively associated with the level of awareness of COVID-19. These findings suggest that the government needs to pay more attention to psychological health among adolescents while combating COVID-19.
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Introduction
The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) first broke out in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, on 31 December 2019, and it was later declared an international public health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO) [1]. The novel coronavirus disease has spread to 201 countries/territories outside of China and infected 634,835 patients globally [2] (81,470 in China [3]) as of March 29, 2020. It is worrying that so many people and countries have been affected so quickly. The outbreak of COVID-19 has caused mental health problems among the public and health care workers in China [4, 5]. For instance, it has caused public panic and mental health stress [4]. The increasing number of confirmed cases and the increasing number of outbreak-affected provinces and countries have led to the public’s fears that they may be infected. In particular, adolescents are a vulnerable group that is presenting with more and more complex issues [6].
Mental health is considered to be the most essential condition for a good quality of life. Adolescents with good mental health can bring their happiness and self-confidence into adulthood, thus providing the ability to cope with adversity [7]. Mental health disorders account for 16% of the global burden of disease and injury among people aged 10–19 years [8]. It is estimated that 10–20% of children and adolescents throughout the world are troubled by mental health problems [9]. Globally, depression is the fourth leading cause of disease and disability among adolescents aged 15–19 years, and the 15th for those aged 10–14 years. Meanwhile, anxiety is the ninth leading cause of disease and disability for adolescents aged 15–19 years and sixth for those aged 10–14 years [10]. Studies have reported high detection rates of mental problems in Chinese children and adolescents, ranging from 10.7 to 27.6% [11–15]. Various emotional or behavioral problems affect at least 30 Million Chinese children and adolescents under 17 years of age [16]. Further studies showed that the incidence of behavioral and emotional problems was 17.6% among Chinese school children and adolescents aged 6–16 [17]. The mental health problems of adolescents include conduct disorders, emotional disorders, self-harm, eating disorders, and hyperkinetic disorders [10, 17–19]. Additionally, there is more and more evidence that the prevalence of adolescent mental disorders is increasing [20]. For example, the 12-month prevalence of major depressive episodes in adolescents increased from 8.7% in 2005 to 11.3% in 2014 in the United States [21].

Stressful events are potent adverse environmental factors that can predispose individuals to psychiatric disorders, in particular depression [22–24]. In addition, studies have shown that during an epidemic outbreak, the public experiences negative emotional responses, such as anxiety and depression symptoms [25, 26]. Current studies have shown that COVID-19 causes moderate-to-severe symptoms of anxiety and depression in about one-third of adults for Chinese people [27]. The National Health Commission has released guidelines to promote psychological crisis intervention for patients, people under medical observation, medical workers, and civilians during the COVID-19 outbreak [28]. However, it is not clear what is the occurrence and distribution of depressive and anxiety symptoms in adolescents. Therefore, it is necessary to quickly assess depressive and anxiety symptoms related to emergencies for civilians, especially adolescents [29].

The objective of the current study was to assess the prevalence of two specific mental symptoms, anxiety and depression, and their socio-demographic correlates among adolescents in the Chinese population during the COVID-19 outbreak.

### Methods

#### Design and subjects

We conducted this cross-sectional study using an online survey to assess mental health problems from March 8 to March 15, 2020. Junior and senior high school students in China aged 12–18 years were invited to participate in the online survey through the Wenjuanxing platform (https://www.wjx.cn/app/survey.aspx). In total, 8140 participants took part in the survey. After removing the data of participants with incomplete questionnaires, 8079 participants from 21 provinces and autonomous regions were included in the analysis. These regions can represent the overall conditions of China. There is no significant difference in the infection rate of COVID-19 in other regions except Hubei. We divided the participants into from Hubei region and from other regions. The province of Hubei has a population of 59,270,000 and includes the city of Wuhan; Hubei province incurred the highest rate of infections and deaths in China.

Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Beijing HuiLongGuan Hospital. All the participants provided online informed consent to participate in the study.

#### Assessment tools and procedure

A data collection sheet was designed to collect basic socio-demographic information and students’ awareness of COVID-19 (COVID-19 knowledge, prevention and control measures, projections of COVID-19 trend), and two specific mental symptoms, depressive and anxiety symptoms, were assessed through the online survey. The questions about the awareness of COVID-19 asked participants to select responses from a self-made questionnaire. For the first questions, respondents were asked about their familiarity with information about prevention and control of COVID-19, with responses ranging from 1 (“very unfamiliar”) to 10 (“very familiar”). In the second question, respondents were asked if they had taken all the optional prevention and control measures against COVID-19 to avoid infection, and the response range was from 1 (“very consistent”) to 10 (“very inconsistent”). In the third question, respondents were asked about their attitudes towards the projections of COVID-19 trend, ranging from 1 (“very pessimistic”) to 10 (“very optimistic”).

Depressive symptoms were assessed by the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [30–33], which consists of nine items. The PHQ-9 is a simple, highly effective self-assessment tool for depression. Participants are asked...
to report the presence of nine problems, including depression and interest decline, in the last 2 weeks on a 4-point scale ranging from “nearly every day” (3 points) to “not at all” (0 points) [31, 33]. The scores for symptom severity were 5–9 for mild, 10–14 for moderate, and 15–19 moderately severe, 20–27 for severe. The PHQ-9 has a good internal consistency, with a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient between 0.80 and 0.90 [30–33]. Reliability and validity in the general population, as well as in patients with mental disorders, have been demonstrated [34, 35]. PHQ-9 has been widely used to assess depressive symptoms in adolescents [36, 37].

Anxiety symptoms were assessed by the Chinese version of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) [38, 39], which measures seven symptoms. Participants are asked how often they were bothered by each symptom during the last 2 weeks. The response options are “not at all,” “several days,” “more than half the days,” and “nearly every day,” scored as 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The scores for symptom severity were 5–9 for mild, 10–14 for moderate, and 15–21 for severe [38, 39]. Good retesting reliability and validity for GAD-7 have been confirmed in Chinese people [40]. The Cronbach’s alpha is between 0.90 and 0.92 [38, 41]. The scale has been used in many studies to assess anxiety symptoms in adolescents [36, 37, 42].

Statistical analysis

The dataset was analyzed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). For demographic data, Chi-squared tests were used to analyze categorical variables. The scores for COVID-19 knowledge, prevention and control measures, and projections of the COVID-19 trend fit the normal distribution, so we used an independent-samples t test to compare the difference between the groups with and without depressive symptoms. The same method was used to compare the difference between the groups with and without anxiety symptoms. Logistic regression was used to analyze the predictors of depression and anxiety symptoms. With versus without depressive symptoms and with versus without anxiety symptoms represent dichotomous dependent variables. The level of significance was set at 0.05 (two-sided).

Results

A total of 8140 junior and senior high school students (12–18 years old, median = 16) were invited to participate in the online survey; 8079 fulfilled the study inclusion criteria and completed the assessments, giving a response rate of 99.3%. Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics and their associations with depressive and anxiety symptoms. Our results showed differences in depressive and anxiety symptoms among students from different regions. Univariate analysis found that the proportion of depressive symptoms among students in cities was lower than that in rural areas (37.7% versus 47.5%), as was the proportion of anxiety symptoms (32.5% versus 40.4%). The proportion of male students with depressive and anxiety symptoms was lower than that of female students (41.7 versus 45.5%; 36.2% versus 38.4%). Depressive and anxiety symptoms differed between grades. With increasing grade (from junior grade one to three and from senior grade one to three), the proportion of students with depressive and anxiety symptoms increased.

Table 2 shows the proportion of students with different levels of depressive and anxiety symptoms. Mild and moderate depressive and anxiety symptoms were most common. The rate of mild depression was 26.4%, while that of moderate depression was 10.1%; meanwhile, the rate of mild

| Variables | n   | %   | Depressive symptoms | Anxiety symptoms |
|-----------|-----|-----|---------------------|-----------------|
|           |     |     |                     |                 |
| Gender    |     |     | n      | %   | P  | n      | %   | P  |
| Male      | 3753| 46.5| 1566   | 41.7|     | 1358   | 36.2|     |
| Female    | 4326| 53.5| 1967   | 45.5|     | 1662   | 38.4|     |
| Region    |     |     | <0.001 |     |     | <0.001 |     |     |
| City      | 3103| 38.4| 1169   | 37.7|     | 1009   | 32.5|     |
| Rural area| 4976| 61.6| 2364   | 47.5|     | 2011   | 40.4|     |
| Grade     |     |     | <0.001 |     |     | <0.001 |     |     |
| Junior grade one | 625 | 7.8 | 200   | 32.0|     | 177    | 28.3|     |
| Junior grade two | 2248| 27.9| 820   | 36.5|     | 756    | 33.6|     |
| Junior grade three | 882 | 10.9| 404   | 45.8|     | 347    | 39.3|     |
| Senior grade one | 2220| 27.6| 1,000 | 45.0|     | 801    | 36.1|     |
| Senior grade two | 1486| 18.4| 739   | 49.7|     | 610    | 41.0|     |
| Senior grade three | 596 | 7.4 | 357   | 59.9|     | 317    | 53.2|     |
anxiety was 27.0%, and that of moderate anxiety was 7.4%. The proportion of all of the participating students with mild-to-severe depressive symptoms was 43.7%, and the proportion of all of the students with mild-to-severe anxiety symptoms was 37.4%. The prevalence of comorbid depressive and anxiety symptoms was 31.3% among all the participants. As shown in Figs. 1a and 2a, the prevalence of depressive symptoms ranged from 39.6% to 64.0% from March 8

### Table 2 The rate of different severities of depressive and anxiety symptoms (N = 8,079)

| Variables                | Depressive symptoms | Anxiety symptoms | Comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                          | n   | %   | n   | %   | n   | %   |
| None                     | 4546| 56.3| 5059| 62.6| 5552| 68.7|
| Mild                     | 2131| 26.4| 2184| 27.0| –   | –   |
| Moderate                 | 816 | 10.1| 596 | 7.4 | –   | –   |
| Moderately severe        | 367 | 4.5 | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Severe                   | 219 | 2.7 | 240 | 3.0 | –   | –   |
| Mild to severe           | 3533| 43.7| 3020| 37.4| 2527| 31.3|
to March 15, 2020. Little interest or pleasure in doing things (53.9%), feeling tired or having little energy (48.4%), poor appetite or overeating (45.6%) are common signs.

As shown in Figs. 1b and 2b, anxiety symptoms ranged from 34.1 to 50.0% from March 8 to March 15, 2020. Feeling nervous, anxious or on edge (53.6%), worrying too much about different things (47.3%), becoming easily annoyed or irritable (47.0%) are the most common symptoms.

Table 3 presents the relationship between COVID-19 cognition and depressive and anxiety symptoms. The scores for COVID-19 knowledge, prevention and control measures, and projections of the COVID-19 trend were higher among students without depressive and anxiety symptoms than in the students with depressive and anxiety symptoms.

Table 4 presents the results of multivariable logistic regression analysis. In the multivariable model, female gender was the higher risk factor for depressive and anxiety symptoms (ORDE = 1.15, 95% CI 1.05–1.26; ORAN = 1.10, 95% CI 1.001–1.21). With regard to provinces, we found that Hubei province was a risk factor for depressive and anxiety symptoms.

Table 3: The relationship between COVID-19 cognition and depressive and anxiety symptoms (N=8079)

| Variables                          | With depressive symptoms M (SD) | Without depressive symptoms M (SD) | P     | With anxiety symptoms M (SD) | Without anxiety symptoms M (SD) | P     |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| COVID-19 Knowledge                | 6.35 (2.21)                     | 7.20 (2.24)                       | <0.001| 6.41 (2.24)                   | 7.08 (2.25)                     | <0.001|
| Prevention and control measures   | 7.67 (2.30)                     | 8.59 (2.02)                       | <0.001| 7.70 (2.29)                   | 8.48 (2.08)                     | <0.001|
| Projections of COVID-19 trend     | 6.98 (2.61)                     | 8.08 (2.39)                       | <0.001| 6.78 (2.67)                   | 8.09 (2.34)                     | <0.001|
symptoms (OR\textsubscript{DE} = 1.58, 95% CI 1.34–1.87; OR\textsubscript{AN} = 1.64, 95% CI 1.39–1.93). In terms of grades, senior high school was a risk factor for depressive and anxiety symptoms; the higher the grade, the greater the risk of depressive and anxiety symptoms. However, for junior high school, we only found junior grade three to be a risk factor for depressive and anxiety symptoms (OR\textsubscript{DE} = 1.40, 95% CI 1.11–1.75; OR\textsubscript{AN} = 1.32, 95% CI 1.04–1.67). We also found that awareness of COVID-19 (COVID-19 knowledge, prevention and control measures, and projections of COVID-19 trend) were protective factors against depressive and anxiety symptoms.

**Discussion**

In this large-scale, cross-sectional epidemiological study, the prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in middle and high school students of China was 43.7% and 37.4%, respectively. In addition, the prevalence of comorbid depressive and anxiety symptoms among the students was 31.3%. The prevalence of depressive symptoms was higher than the figures found in Sweden (8.8%) and Japan (14.9%) in the absence of epidemics [43]. In China, adolescents had a higher incidence of depressive symptoms during COVID-19 than adults [27]. The prevalence of depressive symptoms is significantly influenced by sociocultural and economic contexts [44, 45]; therefore, it needs to be assessed in different countries and regions. According to a pre-COVID-19 meta-analysis, the general prevalence of depressive symptoms among Chinese children and adolescents was 15.4% [46]. The reported prevalence of anxiety disorder varied widely in previous studies. The lowest rate reported was 2.6% in American 11-year-olds [47], and the highest was 41.2% in Japanese 7–9-year-olds [48]. One study found that the prevalence of anxiety disorders among Chinese children and adolescents was 6.06% [49]. Studies have shown that the incidence of anxiety symptoms among Chinese high school students ranges from 13.7 to 24.5% [50, 51]. Our current results were similar to those of the public perception of anxiety at the peak phase during H1N1 [52]. Clearly, the prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in adolescents was higher than in the general population in China in the early and peak periods of COVID-19. Thus, although the infection rate of COVID-19 in China is leveling off [53] (the infection rate of COVID-19 was 11.4 × 10\textsuperscript{−4} in Hubei province and 0.03 × 10\textsuperscript{−4}–0.2 × 10\textsuperscript{−4} in other provinces [54]) during our survey, the rate of depressive and anxiety symptoms among adolescents was still high. This is a warning that we should not ignore the psychological health problems of young people just because the epidemic has eased. In the follow-up work, we should also pay attention to the changes of depressive and anxiety symptoms in these children.

Both genetic factors and external environmental factors (stressful life events in particular) are considered to be involved in the onset of depression [22–24]. In the face of stressful events, everyone will develop anxiety and depressive symptoms. As a sensitive group, adolescents are particularly worthy of attention.

In pace with the wide spread of COVID-19 outside China, our findings provide important guidance for the development of psychological support strategies in China and other affected areas. At present, the epidemic has been well

| Variables                        | Depressive symptoms | Anxiety symptoms |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|                                  | OR  95% CI          | P                | OR  95% CI          | P                |
| Gender                           |                     |                  |                    |                  |
| Male                             | 1                   |                  | 1                   |                  |
| Female                           | 1.15 1.05–1.26      | 0.004            | 1.10 1.001–1.21     | 0.048            |
| Hubei Province                   |                     |                  |                    |                  |
| No                               | 1                   |                  | 1                   |                  |
| Yes                              | 1.58 1.34–1.87      | <0.001           | 1.64 1.39–1.93      | <0.001           |
| Grade                            |                     |                  |                    |                  |
| Junior grade one                 | 1.14 0.94–1.39      | 0.19             | 1.22 0.99–1.50      | 0.06             |
| Junior grade two                 | 1.40 1.11–1.75      | 0.04             | 1.32 1.04–1.67      | 0.02             |
| Junior grade three               | 1.88 1.56–2.29      | <0.001           | 1.57 1.28–1.92      | <0.001           |
| Senior grade one                 | 2.42 1.97–2.97      | <0.001           | 2.06 1.66–2.54      | <0.001           |
| Senior grade two                 | 3.25 2.54–4.15      | <0.001           | 3.05 2.37–3.91      | <0.001           |
| Senior grade three               |                     |                  |                    |                  |
| Awareness of COVID-19            |                     |                  |                    |                  |
| COVID-19 Knowledge               | 0.92 0.90–0.95      | <0.001           | 0.97 0.94–0.99      | 0.006            |
| Prevention and control measures  | 0.91 0.88–0.93      | <0.001           | 0.93 0.91–0.96      | <0.001           |
| Projections of COVID-19 trend    | 0.87 0.85–0.88      | <0.001           | 0.83 0.81–0.85      | <0.001           |
controlled in China, but it is still spreading outside China [2]. Thus, health care systems and the public must be well prepared for medical treatment and psychological issues [55]. Our findings have clinical and policy implications. First, health authorities need to identify high-risk groups according to social population information to carry out early psychological intervention. Our socio-demographic data show that female students have suffered from greater psychological impact, as well as higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms, during the COVID-19 outbreak. This finding is consistent with previous epidemiological studies that found that women were at a higher risk of depression [56]. A similar study among students found that female students were more likely to be anxious [57]. Furthermore, we found that the proportion of anxiety and depressive symptoms among students living in rural areas is significantly higher than that in urban areas, which is closely related to their poor economic situation. This is also consistent with previous studies, with some studies finding that the rate of emotional disorder is nearly twice as high among the poor as among the wealthy [44, 58]. Additionally, senior grade three students had higher levels of anxiety and depression than senior grade one students and the highest rate among all the students. As senior grade three students face the most important test of their lives (the college entrance examination), the COVID-19 outbreak disrupts their normal pace of learning, leading to increased pressure; it can be inferred that the academic pressure causes more pressure on students [59, 60]. Junior three students facing high school entrance examinations have the same problem. In China, students in junior three must have good grades to get into a good high school, they also had more anxiety and depressive symptoms.

Moreover, our findings suggest that the level of knowledge and the prevention and control measures for COVID-19 may have protective psychological effects in the early stages of the epidemic. It can be seen that strengthening the publicity of COVID-19 knowledge and precautionary measures adopted to prevent the spread of COVID-19 can reduce the anxiety and depression levels of the public. This is consistent with previous studies finding that wearing a mask and practicing hand hygiene reduces the level of anxiety and depression during the epidemic [27]. However, press/media coverage can also adversely affect anxiety and depressive symptoms, false information and false reports about COVID-19 can aggravate anxiety and depressive symptoms in the general public [61]. The latest and most accurate information, such as the number of people who have recovered, and the progress of medicines and vaccines, can reduce anxiety levels [27]. Therefore, the government and health authorities should provide accurate information on the epidemic situation, refute rumors in time, and reduce the impact of rumors on the public emotional state. Strengthening prevention and control measures can not only block the spread of disease but also provide a sense of security, thus bringing potential psychological benefits. Therefore, governments and health authorities should ensure that infrastructure is in place to produce and provide adequate quantities of masks, hand sanitizer, and other personal hygiene products during the COVID-19 epidemic. Positive and optimistic attitude towards the development of COVID-19 epidemic was also a protective factor against depressive and anxiety symptoms. The epidemiology of infection rates and deaths likely affects depressive and anxiety symptoms. During the H1N1 pandemic, public anxiety was at its worst at the height of the epidemic, and has declined as the epidemic has eased [25, 26].

Due to the outbreak of COVID-19, major cities in China have shut down schools at all levels indefinitely. Although education authorities have developed online portals and web-based applications to provide lectures or other teaching activities, the uncertainty and potential negative effects on academic development will have adverse effects on students’ psychological health. Besides, students are also required to report daily health conditions, limit their travel, thus entailing that they are isolated at home, which can lead to anxiety and depression. Because students are currently taking online classes at home, and are receptive to smartphone apps [62], health authorities can consider providing online or smartphone-based psychological interventions. Online platforms can also provide online psychological support for students who stay at home most of the time during the epidemic and can reduce the risk of virus transmission due to face-to-face contact. In addition, when conducting online teaching, teachers should also pay attention to the assessment of students’ anxiety and depressive symptoms, communicating with their parents in a timely manner so as to implement effective intervention.

This study has two limitations. One possible bias could have led to underestimating the prevalence of anxiety and depression. This sample was a non-probability sample, which is a sample of voluntary participants. For some areas with a severe epidemic situation, anxiety and depression rates may be higher. However, due to the influence of anxiety and depression, students may not be willing to participate in the questionnaire survey [63], and there may be a certain deviation in the response population. Furthermore, due to the online questionnaire being a self-report evaluation, the indicated levels of anxiety and depression may not always be consistent with the evaluation of mental health professionals.

In conclusion, our results show there is a high prevalence of psychological health problems among adolescents, which is negatively associated with the level of knowledge about and the prevention and control measures for COVID-19. These findings suggest that the government needs pay more attention to psychological health among adolescents while combating COVID-19. Fortunately, the Chinese government
has provided psychological health services through various channels, including hotlines, online consultation, and outpatient consultation [28], but more attention should be paid to depression and anxiety, especially among adolescents.
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