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Abstract

In this paper, we suggest a new coefficient conjugate gradient method for nonlinear unconstrained optimization by using two parameters one of them is parameter of (FR) and the other one is parameter of (CD), we give a descent condition of the suggested method.
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1. Introduction

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem:

\[ \text{Min } f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n \]  

where \( f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is a real-valued, continuously differentiable function.

A nonlinear conjugate gradient method generates a sequence \( \{x_k\}, k \geq 1 \), starting from an initial guess \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), using the recurrence

\[ x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \]  

Or

\[ v_k = \alpha_k d_k \]

Where, \( v_k = x_{k+1} - x_k \)

The positive step size \( \alpha_k \) is obtained by some line search, and \( d_k \) is a search direction. Normally the search direction at the first iteration is the steepest descent direction, namely \( d_1 = -g_1 \) and the other search directions can be defined as:

\[ d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k \]  

Where \( g_k = \nabla f(x_k) \) and \( \beta_k \) is a scalar. There are many formulas for \( \beta_k \), for example, Hestenes-Stiefel (HS) [5], Fletcher-Reeves (FR) [6], Polak-Ribiere-Polyak (PRP) [2], Dai and Yuan (DY) [10], (CD) [7, Dlovan H. O. [3], Shareef, S.G, Khabab, H.A. and Ismael, S.S [9] and Shareef, S.G, Dlovan H. O.[8], these formulas are as follows:

\[ \beta_{HS} = \frac{\nabla f(x_{k+1})^T(g_{k+1} - g_k)}{\nabla f(x_k)^T(g_{k+1} - g_k)} \]  

\[ \beta_{FR} = \frac{||g_{k+1}||^2}{||g_k||^2} \]  

\[ \beta_{PRP} = \frac{\nabla f(x_{k+1})^T(g_{k+1} - g_k)}{||g_k||^2} \]  
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\[
\beta_k^{DV} = \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T(g_{k+1} - g_k)}
\]

(1.7)

\[
\beta_k^{CD} = \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T g_k}
\]

(1.8)

\[
\beta_k^{DH} = \mu \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T g_k} (1 + (k \frac{d_k^T g_k}{\|g_k\|}) \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T g_k})
\]

(1.9)

\[
\beta_k^{SHS} = \mu \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T g_k} (1 - \frac{\gamma_k\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{d_k^T g_k})
\]

(1.10)

\[
\beta_k^{SD} = (1 - \theta_k) \frac{d_k^T g_k}{d_k^T g_k} + \theta_k \frac{d_k^T g_k}{d_k^T g_k} (1 - 2 \frac{d_k^T g_k}{d_k^T g_k})
\]

(1.11)

\[y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k\] symbol \(\|\|\) denotes the Euclidean norm of vectors. The most well studied properties of conjugate gradient methods are its global convergence properties. The convergence of conjugate gradient methods under different line searches has been studied by many authors, such as Gilbert and Nocedal [4], and Hestenes and Stiefel [5].

This paper is organized as follow: in Section 2, we will suggest a new conjugate gradient method. In Section 3, we prove the descent condition of new method. In Section 4, some numerical experiments of the new conjugate gradient method. In section 5, we will give the conclusion.

2. New conjugate gradient algorithm

In this section, we will derive the our suggestion
Consider the complex number

\[z = x + iy\]

(2.1)

Suppose that

\[z = \beta^{FR} + i\beta^{CD}\]

(2.2)

Then,

\[\beta_k^{New} = |z| = \sqrt{(\beta^{FR})^2 + (\beta^{CD})^2}\]

Implies that

\[\beta_k^{New} = \sqrt{\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}}\]

(2.3)

And since \(d_k = -g_k\), so

\[\beta_k^{New} = \sqrt{\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}}\]

Implies that

\[\beta_k^{New} = \sqrt{2\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}}\]

(2.4)

Or

\[\beta_k^{New} = \sqrt{\frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}}\]

and let

\[\beta_k^{New} = \delta \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}\] Where \(\delta = \sqrt{\gamma} \cdot \gamma > 0\)

2.1 Algorithm of a new conjugate gradient method \(\beta_k^{New}\)

Step (1): Select \(x_k\) and \(\varepsilon = 10^{-5}\).

Step (2): Set \(d_1 = -g_1\) , \(g_k = \nabla f(x_k)\). Set \(k = 1\).

Step (3): Compute the step length \(\alpha_k > 0\) satisfying the Wolfe line search

\[f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) \leq c_1 \alpha_k g_k^T d_k\]

\[\|g_{k+1}^T d_k\| \leq c_2 \|g_k^T d_k\|\]

where, \(0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1\).

Step (4): Compute

\[x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k\]

\[g_{k+1} = \nabla f(x_{k+1}), \text{if} \|g_{k+1}\| \leq \varepsilon, \text{then stop.}\]

Step (5): Compute \(\beta_k^{New}\) by (2.4)
Step (6): Compute $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta^\text{NEW}_k d_k$

Step (7): If $|g^T_{k+1}g_k| > 0.2\|g_{k+1}\|^2$ then go to step 2.
Else
\[ k = k + 1 \text{ and go to step 3.} \]

**Theorem 2.1:** Assume that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is generated by (1.2), then the search direction in (1.3) with new conjugate gradient method (2.4) satisfy the descent condition, i.e. $d^T_{k+1}g_{k+1} \leq 0$ with exact and inexact line search.

**Proof:**

The proof is done induction, the result clearly holds for $k = 0$

\[ d^T_0g_0 = -\|g_0\|^2 \leq 0 \]

Now, we prove the current search direction in descent direction at the (k+1)

From (1.3) and (2.5) we have,

\[ d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta^\text{NEW}_k d_k \] (2.5)

\[ d^T_{k+1}g_{k+1} = -\|g_{k+1}\|^2 + \delta \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2} d^T_k g_{k+1} \] (2.6)

If the step length $\alpha_k$ is chosen by an exact line search which requires $d^T_k g_{k+1} = 0$. Then the proof is complete. If the step length $\alpha_k$ is chosen by inexact line search which requires $d^T_k g_{k+1} \neq 0$.

Since the parameter of (FR) is satisfies the descent condition then the above equation is satisfy the descent condition.

3. Numerical Results

This section is devoted to test the implementation of new method. We compare the our method with Conjugate Gradient (FR and PR), the comparative tests involve Well-known nonlinear problems (standard test function) with different dimensions $4 \leq n \leq 5000$, all programs are written in FORTRAN90 language and for all cases the stopping condition is $\|g_{k+1}\| \leq 10^{-5}$ the results given in table (1) specifically quote the number of function NOF and the number of iteration NOI. More experimental results in table (1) confirm that the new CG is superior to standard CG method with respect to the NOI and NOF.

| Test function | $N$ | Algorithm of FR | Algorithm of PR | New algorithm |
|---------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Rosen         | 4   | 30              | 85              | 30           | 30          |
|               | 100 | 30              | 85              | 30           | 30          |
|               | 500 | 30              | 85              | 30           | 30          |
|               | 1000| 30              | 85              | 30           | 30          |
|               | 5000| 30              | 85              | 30           | 30          |
| Wood          | 4   | 26              | 60              | 29           | 67          | 26          | 60          |
|               | 100 | 27              | 62              | 30           | 69          | 26          | 60          |
|               | 500 | 27              | 62              | 30           | 69          | 26          | 60          |
|               | 1000| 27              | 62              | 30           | 69          | 26          | 60          |
|               | 5000| 27              | 62              | 30           | 69          | 26          | 60          |
| Wolf          | 4   | 11              | 23              | 11           | 24          | 11          | 23          |
|               | 100 | 45              | 91              | 49           | 99          | 45          | 90          |
|               | 500 | 46              | 93              | 52           | 105         | 45          | 90          |
|               | 1000| 52              | 105             | 70           | 141         | 52          | 104         |
|               | 5000| 144             | 293             | 165          | 348         | 141         | 293         |
| Central       | 4   | 18              | 123             | 22           | 159         | 12          | 49          |
|               | 100 | 24              | 194             | 22           | 159         | 13          | 61          |
|               | 500 | 28              | 251             | 23           | 171         | 22          | 176         |
|               | 1000| 28              | 251             | 23           | 171         | 23          | 188         |
|               | 5000| 28              | 251             | 30           | 270         | 23          | 188         |
| Cubic         | 4   | 13              | 38              | 15           | 45          | 13          | 38          |
|               | 100 | 14              | 40              | 16           | 47          | 13          | 38          |
|               | 500 | 15              | 44              | 16           | 47          | 13          | 38          |
|               | 1000| 15              | 44              | 16           | 47          | 13          | 38          |
|               | 5000| 15              | 44              | 16           | 47          | 13          | 38          |
| Powell (3)    | 4   | F               | F               | 40           | 109         | 14          | 33          |
|               | 100 | F               | F               | 42           | 123         | 22          | 49          |
|               | 500 | F               | F               | 43           | 125         | 25          | 55          |
|               | 1000| F               | F               | 43           | 125         | 26          | 57          |
|               | 5000| F               | F               | 43           | 125         | 26          | 57          |
| Powell (4)    | 4   | 40              | 100             | 40           | 120         | 39          | 106         |
|               | 100 | 42              | 123             | 43           | 135         | 39          | 106         |
|               | 500 | 43              | 125             | 46           | 150         | 39          | 106         |
|               | 1000| 43              | 125             | 46           | 150         | 39          | 106         |
|               | 5000| 43              | 125             | 50           | 180         | 39          | 106         |
| Total         | 1413| 4430            | 1281            | 3980         | 1040        | 2683        |
Table (2): Percentage of Improving of the New Method

|        | Algorithm of FR & PR % | New Algorithm with FR | New Algorithm with PR |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| NOI    | 100%                   | 73.60226%             | 81.18657%             |
| NOF    | 100%                   | 60.56433%             | 67.41206%             |

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we suggested a new conjugate gradient method for unconstrained optimization. Implemented and tested to some extent, while numerical tests were carried out, on low and high dimensionality problems, and comparisons were made amongst different test functions with inexact line search. Some of the numerical results have been reported. In future we can use the new conjugate gradient method with other standard conjugate method to obtain the three terms conjugate gradient method [7].
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