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Over the last two decades, climate change has become a major environmental and public health concern due to the increase of the mean temperature on the Earth and its consequences on extreme meteorologic-ical events such as floods and droughts. These events induce very low or very high river flows that may impair surface water quality, and therefore result in potential health impacts when used for drinking water production. The present study aims at assessing the impact of the regime on surface water quality with a particular emphasis on total organic carbon (TOC) and nitrate. Water quality data from three French rivers acquired over a 27 years period, from January 1983 to December 2009, show the influence of extreme flows. Variation in TOC and nitrate concentrations showed opposite patterns for the whole range of flow rate (from less than 10% up to more than 100% of the mean flow).

Regarding fluxes, TOC increased continuously with flow rate while nitrate was stable for very high discharges. The C/N ratio expressed from TOC and nitrate concentrations showed high values for extreme flows and particularly for very low flow rates, generally in summer, where nitrate is assimilated by biomass. Considering TOC and nitrate fluxes, it is confirmed that the worst situations were encountered for very high flow rates, namely for TOC exportation during surface runoff which was related to heavy rains or floods. These findings are of great importance with regard to the adaptation for drinking water treatment in facing extreme hydrologic conditions, of which the frequency is increasing with climate change.

1. Introduction

At a river basin scale, any water management actions should take into account water quantity and quality variations.

This is of primary importance within the frame of water safety plans implementation when surface water is used for drinking water supply after treatment. Regarding water quality variation, a lot of data is available worldwide primarily for the main physico-chemical parameters, nutrients and some micropollutants. While the majority of recent research has focused on the contamination of emerging substances like pharmaceuticals, an understanding of the relationship between basic parameters of water quality like organic carbon and nitrate is still relevant today (Taylor and Townsend, 2010). These authors showed from the exploitation of thousands of data points that there are negative nonlinear relationships between nitrate and organic carbon concentrations for a hydrologic continuum from soils, streams, lakes, and oceans. Concerning rivers in rural areas, whose water can be treated for supply systems, mostly of small size, the knowledge of water quality variation should be documented in the risk assessment step of water safety plans. Climate change impacts with a possible increase in extreme weather events (floods and droughts), may lead to the modification of hydrologic regimes of rivers with very low or very high flow rates during droughts or floods (at least for heavy rainfalls) and lead to deterioration of water quality. This is particularly true for heavy rain impacts on small watershed where runoff is a nonlinear, complex procedure applying the watershed’s geomorphologic properties, such as topology, vegetation, soil type, and climatic factors i.e., precipitation, temperature, etc. (Wang et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2009).

One of the first studies performed in North American rivers regarding climate change impacts (warming) and water quality, anticipated a decreased oxygen-carrying capacity, a decreased volume for dilution of chemical inputs and the invasion by temperature-sensitive exotic species (Murdoch et al., 2000).
Soil leaching following rainfall events in agricultural areas can lead to high levels of nitrate in surface waters used for potable water production and consequently in distributed waters (WHO, 2003). The main health risk associated with nitrate exposure is the methaemoglobinemia, the infants being the susceptible population (WHO, 2003). Consequently, in order to protect the population, a water quality guideline for nitrate concentration has been set at 50 mg/L in France (Arrêté du 11 janvier, 2007). Furthermore, high levels of dissolved organic matter, suspended solids and pathogens are often measured in streams following rainfall events (Delpla et al., 2009; Hunter, 2003; van Vliet and Zwolsman, 2008; Zwolsman and van Bokhoven, 2007; Worrall and Burt, 2007). Most of the pathogens associated with suspended solids are responsible for water-borne diseases such as gastroenteritis (Beaudeau et al., 2001, 2010). The water quality reference for TOC in France is set at 10 mg/L in raw waters both to protect human health and ensure treatment efficiency (Arrêté du 11 janvier, 2007).

The C/N (here defined as total organic carbon/nitrogen-nitrate, TOC/N-NO₃) ratio is a parameter commonly used to characterize soil organic matter and microbial activity which is less used for stream water (Meybeck, 1982; Taylor and Townsend, 2010). However, it could be used as an indicator to determine organic matter origin.

This study will mainly focus on the effects of flows on total organic carbon (TOC) and nitrate concentrations, including C/N ratio, in regards to health risk assessment and SSWS adaptation. It is based on the interpretation of water quality data and flow rate measurements acquired from three small rivers in Brittany, France over a 27 years period. The three rivers considered have a rather stretched basin of low slope and a pluvial oceanic regime. Samples were collected from monitoring stations for small-scale water services (SSWSs) with a treatment plant drawing water directly from the river. Relationships between parameters are studied for the three sites.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Description of the experimental field

The characteristics of the three catchments (catchments A, B and C) are described in Table 1. The site A is located downstream on a small river, approximately at a third of the way down its length (87 km). The river is used as a resource for drinking water production by a SSWS in Brittany, France. The land use is mainly agricultural (86% of area) with a large number of animal farms (cattle, pig, and poultry) and some agro-food industries including four slaughterhouses and four dairies.

| Site | Site A | Site B | Site C |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
| River length (km) | 87 | 101 | 41 |
| Upstream catchment area (km²) | 468 | 710 | 147 |
| Total catchment area (km²) | 815 | 1220 | 170 |
| Altitude (m) | 35 | 10 | 96 |
| Farms | 1300 | 2760 | 310 |
| Cattle (number km⁻²) | 100.4 | 116.0 | 199.1 |
| Pigs (number km⁻²) | 384.4 | 183.7 | |
| Poultry (number km⁻²) | 10124.1 | 2902.1 | 1996.6 |
| Natural/semi-natural area (km²) | 10% | 5% | 1% |
| Industries (km⁻²) | 16 food industries | | |
| Geology | Schist bedrock | Schist and granitic bedrock | Granitic bedrock |
| Mean soil particles composition | Sil: 67%, clay: 17%, sand: 16% | Sil: 56%, clay: 18%, sand: 26% | Sil: 69%, clay: 14%, sand: 17% |
| Hydrology (m³ s⁻¹) | 3.1 | 7.1 | 1.9 |
| Mean flow (m³ s⁻¹) | Q < 0.4 | Q < 0.9 | Q < 0.3 |
| Low Flow (m³ s⁻¹) | 0.4 < Q < 1.9 | 0.9 < Q < 4.7 | 0.3 < Q < 1.5 |
| Intermediate Flow (m³ s⁻¹) | 1.9 < Q < 4.3 | 4.7 < Q < 9.6 | 1.5 < Q < 2.6 |
| High Flow (m³ s⁻¹) | 4.3 < Q < 12.9 | 9.6 < Q < 28.8 | 2.6 < Q < 7.8 |
| Very High Flow (m³ s⁻¹) | Q > 12.9 | Q > 28.8 | Q > 7.8 |

* Corine Land Cover database (Corine Land Cover, 2010), administrated by the French ministry of environment.
* French database of soil analysis (BDAT, 2011) administrated by the French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA).
* French hydrological database (Banque hydro, 2010) administrated by the French ministry of environment.
With a discharge ranging from 0.3 m³ s⁻¹ or less to 7.8 m³ s⁻¹ or more, a mean flow of 1.9 m³ s⁻¹ was calculated for 37 years of data. Unlike the other two sites, this site is characterized as a granitic bedrock. Soil composition is similar to the first site (silt: 69%, clay: 14%, sand: 17%). This site is under substantial agricultural pressures with 310 farms, intensive livestock farming and an exceedance of the regulatory threshold of 170 kg N ha⁻¹ (Council Directive, 1991) in the two counties covering the watershed. Like the first site, the drinking water treatment processes include conventional coagulation-settling, sand filtration, and chlorination.

River hydrology for the three sites is presented in Table 1. The data was collected for a period of 43 years, for sites A and B, and 37 years for site C. The dry discharge corresponds to the annual mean flow \( \left( Q_{\text{m},n} \right) \) that has 4/5 probability of being exceeded each year. In the same way, the wet discharge corresponds to the annual mean flow that has 1/5 probability of being exceeded each year. These values were used to sort out the daily flow rate \( (Q) \) into five different classes: (i) very low flow, VLF (under 20% of dry discharge), (ii) low flow, LF (between VLF and dry discharge), (iii) intermediate flow, IF (between LF and wet discharge), (iv) high flow, HF (between IF and three times wet discharge) and (v) very high flow, VHF (greater than three times wet discharge).

### 2.2. Raw data

Water quality data were obtained from the French Ministry of Health through the national database SISE-EAUXX. This database gathers the results of water quality analysis performed on drinking water resources and drinking water supply networks as part of the mandatory sanitary control program. Since no data was available prior to 1983, the present study covers the period ranging from January 1983 to December 2009 (27 years) for the three sites. Parameters monitored were water temperature, pH, conductivity, turbidity, oxidability, TOC and nitrate. Considering that on one hand the monitoring frequency (over 27 years) varies with the size of SSWS, and on the other hand, data set presents some missing values, the number of sampling vary from 150 to 266, depending on the site to be considered. Notice that the sampling was not designed to take into account the river hydrology, but was large enough to include storm and base flow conditions. More precisely, at signed to take into account the river hydrology, but was large enough to include storm and base flow conditions. More precisely, at

#### 2.3. Data pre-treatment

Data conversions were needed for the coherence of the results of organic matter. Results were expressed only by permanganate oxidation with flow rate particularly in the context of heavy rain or for intensive livestock farming and an exceedance of the regulatory threshold. Like the first site, the drinking water treatment processes include conventional coagulation-settling, sand filtration, and chlorination.

### 3. Results and discussion

The first step was to compare the parameters through the calculation of correlation coefficients (Table 2). The first observation is that more than 3/4 of the correlation coefficients were statistically significant, considering the related p-values. All parameters show fair correlations with log of normalized values of river discharges, and lower correlations with normalized flow rate, except for turbidity and TOC which have a similar behavior and are linked together and to normalized flow rate. Conductivity and pH are linked to other parameters except turbidity for conductivity and TOC for pH. The highest correlations with flow rate are observed for conductivity and pH and are plotted in Fig. 1.

Thus TOC values are not well correlated with water temperature in this case, which is contrary to previous studies showing that TOC load of river is not only a rainfall driven but also a temperature driven biological process (Ouyang, 2003). Notice that correlation coefficients are either positive or negative depending on the parameters. Considering the importance of TOC and nitrate concentrations for the assessment of water quality and their variation with flowrate particularly in the context of heavy rain or droughts (Delpia et al., 2011a) it was decided to focus on the impact of river flow rate on water quality parameters. TOC and nitrate were also chosen for the high concentrations found locally (GIP Bretagne Environnement, 2011) and their potential health risks (Bull et al., 1995; Katsoyiannis and Samara, 2007).

### Table 2

Pearson correlation between parameters for the three sites (number of samples is in brackets).

|                         | Log(Q/Qnm) | Q/Qnm | Water temperature (°C) | Turbidity (NFU) | Conductivity at 20°C (μS cm⁻¹) | pH | TOC (mg L⁻¹) |
|-------------------------|------------|-------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----|--------------|
| Q/Qnm                   | 0.67 (n = 579) |       |                        |                 |                               |    |              |
| Water temperature (°C)  | -0.52 (n = 340) | -0.30 (n = 340) | -0.07 (n = 105) | -0.13 (n = 157) | 0.57 (n = 270) | 0.07 (n = 330) |              |
| Turbidity (NFU)         | 0.35 (n = 142) | 0.47 (n = 142) | -0.04 (n = 161) | 0.13 (n = 318) | 0.61 (n = 147) | 0.27 (n = 215) |              |
| Conductivity at 20°C (μS cm⁻¹) | -0.70 (n = 215) | -0.28 (n = 215) | 0.23 (n = 257) | 0.41 (n = 147) | 0.40 (n = 409) | -0.42 (n = 319) |              |
| pH                      | -0.65 (n = 354) | -0.30 (n = 354) | 0.09 (n = 157) | -0.48 (n = 265) | 0.37 (n = 409) |              |              |
| TOC (mg L⁻¹)            | -0.01 (n = 291) | 0.37 (n = 291) | 0.15 (n = 318) | 0.13 (n = 318) | 0.37 (n = 409) |              |              |
| N-Nitrate (mg L⁻¹)      | 0.50 (n = 512) | 0.09 (n = 512) | 0.30 (n = 390) | 0.09 (n = 157) | -0.48 (n = 265) | 0.37 (n = 409) |              |

In bold: statistically significant values \( (p < 0.05) \).
3.1. Variation of organic carbon and nitrate with river flow

Firstly, the hydrology follows a seasonal behavior with higher flows from December to February and lower flows from July to September consistent with previous studies conducted in temperate areas (Attrill and Power, 2000). The seasonal values of TOC and nitrate (N-NO₃), calculated over the 27-year period are reported in Fig. 2.

As expected, after the above correlation study, nitrate concentration and the flow rate of the rivers, followed the same yearly pattern, with the lowest values occurring during summer (from July to September) and highest values during winter (from January to March). However, these seasonal trends should be viewed with caution as these are linked to high pressure from agriculture, with the application of manure or slurries to the fields each year during mid-winter and the start of summer from the year 2000. This may have contributed to the elevated nitrate and TOC concentrations in the river water.

3.2. Distribution of organic carbon and nitrate according to river flow

The relationship between TOC and nitrate concentration with flow rate (log(Q/Qm)) is shown in Fig. 3, which also considers the French limits of quality for drinking water resources, 10 mg L⁻¹
for TOC and 11.3 mg L\(^{-1}\) N-NO\(_3\) (i.e. 50 mg NO\(_3\) L\(^{-1}\)). Two evolution schemes are observed for TOC and nitrate concentrations. TOC concentration tends to decrease when log(Q/Qm) increases from −2 to 0 (corresponding to Q ranging from 1% to 100% of Qm) and increase for log(Q/Qm) greater than 0 (corresponding to Q higher than 100% of Qm). Contrary to TOC, nitrate concentration rises from 0 to more than 11.3 mg L\(^{-1}\) for log(Q/Qm) ranging from −2 to 0 and decrease for higher values. Even if the majority of the points stay below quality limit for nitrate, the highest values (18.9 mg L\(^{-1}\)) are encountered for mean flow rates. Concerning instances of TOC concentrations exceeding 10 mg L\(^{-1}\), some samples correspond to low flows, but for the highest values of TOC the samples correspond to flows upper than the mean flow rate. Overall, the relationship between nitrate and flow rate (R = 0.53) was stronger than TOC and flow rate (R = 0.12, see Table 2).

The wide variation in TOC and nitrate concentrations in relation to river flow make it difficult to quickly identify the hydrological conditions that would cause a increase in the number of times that the water quality guidelines would be exceeded. TOC and nitrate results were sorted into five main flow rate classes, previously described in Table 1. This shows the conditions for a higher vulnerability of surface water quality with respect to health risk assessment (Fig. 4). The box plots TOC graph highlights that there is a greater risk of exceeding the French limit of quality for drinking water resources when the flow rate is high (floods). For nitrate, the risk of exceeding the limit seems to be lower. Overall, the highest probability for nitrate exceedances occurs at flow rates greater than the mean value with the risk decreasing for higher flows.

3.3. Variation of TOC and nitrate fluxes with flow rate

The evolution of fluxes is quite different between TOC and nitrate. TOC flux (FTOC) increases regularly, while nitrate flux (FN-NO\(_3\)) increases strongly when 1/log(Q/Qm) tends towards 0. More precisely, this is observed for −1 < 1/log(Q/Qm) < 1 corresponding to Q < 0.1Qm or Q > 10Qm. However, there is a difference between very low flows corresponding to droughts for which C/N values rise up to 50, and very high flows corresponding to floods with C/N values lower than 10. Between these values of extreme flow rates, i.e. for values around the mean flow rate, the C/N ratio is very low and close to one.

4. Discussion

4.1. C/N variation

Among the above results, the variation of C/N (TOC/N-NO\(_3\)) with river flows (Fig. 6) must be highlighted. For the majority of low flows, C/N is quite low, around 1–1.3, in accordance with Kim et al. (2007). For extreme flows, the C/N ratio increases to reach very high values (around 50), with a difference between the maxima of C/N corresponding to low and high flows, the latter having lower maxima (less than 10). Similarly, Wysocki et al. (2006) showed that C/N ratios are higher during low flows than during high flows. The data analysis shows that C/N increase is observed for flows lower or greater than 10% or 100% respectively of the mean flow. Considering these results and the ones of Delpla et al. (2011a), the following explanations can be proposed: (i) For low flows, occurring mostly in summer, nitrate is assimilated by the biomass (phytoplankton and macrophytes) (van Vliet and Zwolsman, 2008) and moreover supply from soil leaching is reduced during the dry season (Delpla et al., 2011a; van Vliet and Zwolsman, 2008). The biomass growth leads to an increase in TOC concentration observed for low flows. (ii) For high flows, generally in winter, C/N is controlled by surface runoff and dilution. The importance of organic carbon as soluble, colloidal, and particulate forms exportation by surface runoff during rainy events, is depending on physical-chemical parameters of soil (nature, slope, moisture, etc.), rain (intensity, duration) (Delpla et al., 2011b; Jacinthe et al., 2004) and land use (Tejada and Gonzalez, 2008). For nitrate concentration, the value remains always not negligible despite dilution because an higher supply by soil leaching in winter (at least for the area studied) (Fig. 2). Finally, these C/N values are close to that of organic soils reflecting a high supply of exogenous organic constituents (Meybeck, 1982).

In case of agricultural area amended by animal waste or biosolids as fertilizers, organic carbon in runoff is mostly related to

![Fig. 4](image-url)

**Fig. 4.** Distribution of TOC and nitrate concentrations by flow rate classes for the three sites. Boundaries of boxes indicate interquartile range (25th and 75th percentiles) and median values (midline), whiskers indicate 5th and 95th percentiles and symbols indicate maximum values. n corresponds to the number of samples for each flowrate class.
manure or slurry, particularly after spreading (Haynes and Naidu, 1998; Schulten and Leinweber, 1991). Nitrate flux depends on different mechanisms and mainly the transfer from the aqueous form or groundwater if any and a dilution with rain.

Finally, studies undertaken on larger rivers indicate that lower nitrate concentrations are associated with lower river flows (Attrill and Power, 2000; van Vliet and Zwolsman, 2008). Thus the interpretation of nitrate sources based on discharge and nitrate concentration relationship is still uncertain because of the difficulty in interpreting nitrate sources.

4.2. Towards a generalization

After the theory of C/N variation with extreme flow rates is discussed, it is important to compare the results between the three sites studied (A, B and C). All the sites follow the same patterns for concentration, fluxes and C/N variations when the relationship between TOC and nitrate with flow rates were examined. However, because the dynamic of flows were less important at sites B and C as compared to site A, a decrease in nitrate concentration or fluxes was not observed. Flow rates of rivers located in granitic bedrocks catchments generally undergo less variability than those located on schist bedrock (Mérot, 2003) and could explain the lowest variability in flow rates for site C. Despite this, the relationship between TOC and nitrate fluxes and flow rates are linear for the three sites, with strong correlation coefficients (Table 3).

For nitrate flux, the slope values are very close for the three sites (respectively 8.92, 9.59 and 9.26 for A, B and C). These trends could be strengthened by considering land use practices, which at present include two amendment periods (during the end of winter and summer beginning) corresponding to nitrate supply. More generally, these similarities could be explained by the controlled agricultural practices all year long, which results in a dynamic production and release of nitrate nearly constant and limited (Oeurng et al., 2010).

### Table 3

| Site | Flux | a   | b   | R   | n  |
|------|------|-----|-----|-----|----|
| A    | TOC  | 9.01| -0.96| 0.90 | 164 |
|      | Nitrate | 8.92| -0.54| 0.91 | 233 |
| B    | TOC  | 6.44| 1.07 | 0.91 | 84  |
|      | Nitrate | 9.59| -0.18| 0.90 | 183 |
| C    | TOC  | 4.88| -0.65| 0.90 | 34  |
|      | Nitrate | 9.26| 0.21 | 0.95 | 92  |

*a* For site A, fluxes are calculated for flow rates < 500% of \( Q_m \).
For TOC flux, the slope values are different for the three sites, (respectively 9.01, 6.44 and 4.88 for A, B and C). These differences could be explained by several parameters which influence organic matter concentration, which include the geology and pedology. For example, clod disaggregation under raindrop impacts result in a formation of surface crusts (Le Bissonnais et al., 1998), reducing the soil infiltration rate and inducing erosion by increasing runoff (Le Bissonnais et al., 1995) and so increasing organic matter flux. In fact, the soils on the site A watershed could be particularly prone to crusting (Delpla et al., 2011b). Moreover, these observations might be consistent with the presence of two pools of organic matter: allochthonous large-size colloids formed by lixiviation from upper soil horizons (Kim et al., 2007) and autochthonous (aquatic) small molecular-size substances, probably linked to bacterial and phytoplankton exudates. The proportion of both possible pools is highly dependent on the season. Allochthonous input of organic carbon strongly increases during the spring flood, whereas the bacterial/plankton exudates of the second pool of organic matter are seasonally variable reflecting both light and temperature changes in photosynthesis/respiration.

Finally, our findings must be considered as a first step in simple modelling for TOC and nitrate fluxes, which are complementary to powerful tools like deterministic models (Wriedt and Rode, 2006), which certainly must be checked at other sites with different environmental and socio-economic conditions.

4.3. Impact on small scale water services

Floods and droughts, which are likely to increase with climate change, may impact the quality of water resources used for drinking water production by direct effects of dilution or concentration of dissolved substances (Delpla et al., 2009). Therefore, as stated in a recent World Health Organisation (WHO) report (WHO, 2010), these extreme weather events could affect the efficiency of drinking water treatment processes and the stability of drinking water quality in distribution. These concerns are important for small-scale water services in rural areas, which are particularly sensitive because of difficulties to adapt treatment to such variations. Considering that a large part of the population living in rural areas do not have access to improved drinking-water sources, e.g. 16 million people in Europe (WHO and DFID, 2010), small-scale water supplies producing tap water from surface resources are facing several challenges including their regulatory environment, administration, management, operational or available techniques, as well as dwindling personnel and financial resources (WHO, 2010).

4.4. Hydrological limits for monitoring relevance

The previous results suppose homogeneous conditions in the section of rivers were samples were taken in order to be able to monitor a representative state of water quality. Among factors of variability, small rivers like the ones considered in the present studies are characterized by various hydromorphological characteristics (slope, banks, bed, etc.) and the presence of several tributaries on the related watersheds may induce a local distribution of pollutants as nitrates and organic carbon. For example, the influence of the bottom topography (Thomas and Linden, 1998; Cenedese and Linden, 2002) or the lateral mixing of pollutants when the tributary channel is shorter than the main channel (Biron et al., 2004) may lead to a sectional gradient of water quality particularly in the downstream vicinity of a confluence. Moreover, flow mixing rates downstream confluences is depending on bed roughness and nature (Parsons et al., 2007), and bed form geome-

tries and nature also explains sediment deposition/transportation with current (Minaei and Keshavarzi, 2010). Depending of the development of river, water quality may vary with the presence of a dam for example, reducing both sediments transportation and fauna distribution of derived zooplankton (Takao et al., 2008). River branching related to watershed topography, and its consequences on flow rate (Yousefi and Ghiasi, 2011) can also be considered local factor of water quality variation. Finally, floods frequency increase is a strong factor of water quality variation with pollutants and solids transportation and modification of flow routing (He et al., 2007).

5. Conclusion

To date, except a couple of studies (Delpla et al., 2009; van Vliet and Zwolsman, 2008), very few papers describe the potential effects of climate change and particularly the hydrologic regime of river on water quality. The present study provides original results on the variation of organic carbon - nitrate relationship with this hydrologic regime, covering a relatively long period ranging from January 1983 to December 2009 (27 years). This study shows the importance of the extreme hydrologic regime particularly for the very low (Q < 10% of Qm) flows on C/N (TOC/N-NO3) ratio. For the dry period, especially in summer, with low water levels, the C/N ratio increase could be explained mainly by the decrease in nitrate concentration. This decrease is mainly justified by the in-stream biomass consumption, its reduced supply due to the high mobility of this substance and plant mobilization during dry periods. However, a public health risk assessment is more sensible if the water quality is considered in term of flux rather than concentration. This study shows that, for very high flow (Q > 500% of Qm), the TOC flux increase and the nitrate flux decrease. Thus the TOC flux becomes a major concern for the SSWS without adapted waterworks. Such findings should be useful for the administrations in charge of sanitary control but also for those in charge of drinking water production. In fact, the present conclusions promote the adaptation of drinking water treatment based on the hydrological conditions. However, further research should be undertaken to validate and check our findings for other conditions, taking into account the local variability of water quality in river sections, and to integrate other water quality parameters like microbiological contamination or concentration of micropollutants and organic carbon under soluble, colloidal and particulate forms into an accurate statistical model for water quality prediction and health risk assessment.
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