Case Report

Early initiation of awake venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in critical COVID-19 pneumonia: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Overall, patients with Sars-cov-2 disease treated with mechanical ventilation, which is not the case in our study. This report presents our first successful experience of awake ECMO application in a critical patient with hypoxemic Respiratory Failure related to COVID-19 infection in Morocco.

Case management: We have reported a 52-year-old female patient who was diagnosed with COVID-19 infection and progressed to critical cases. She was a candidate for applying awake extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in the absence of invasive mechanical ventilation, under local anesthesia alone with good progress and ventilatory weaning.

Conclusion: This therapeutic attitude can be beneficial for certain critical and severe cases due to COVID-19 infection. Each ECMO program should develop goals, methods, protocols, and best practices while adapting appropriately to the personnel and equipment available.

1. Introduction

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is extracorporeal life support used as salvage therapies in patients with acute cardiac, pulmonary or cardiopulmonary failure who have failed conventional treatment such as respiratory support [1].

Invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) was used as the first therapeutic approach in patients with severe acute respiratory failure [2]. Its failure exposes patients to the risk of lung damage induced by mechanical ventilation, such as pneumonia acquired by mechanical ventilation (PAMV).

Venovenous ECMO has anecdotally been applied as an alternative to IMV in the context of a COVID-19 infection in an awake and spontaneously-breathing patient with ARDS and presented a satisfactory result [3,4]. It is our first experience of early initiation of awake venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in critical cases.

The purpose of this study was to assess the feasibility and highlight the benefits of using “awake ECMO” support in the absence of mechanical ventilation.

2. Case presentation

In this article, we report a COVID-19 patient with severe ARDS who was unresponsive to high-flow nasal oxygen and non-invasive ventilation (NIV), and who was successfully treated with “fully awake ECMO” prolonged, thus avoiding endotracheal intubation and IMV.

On March 2021, a 52-year-old female patient (body mass index 31 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) was diagnosed with COVID-19 10 days before transferring to our hospital for further treatment. Her past medical history indicated that...
she had systemic hypertension, treated with calcic inhibitor. She presented with fever, chills, cough, and dyspnea on her admission to our intensive care unit. Physical examination showed a deterioration of general conditions, fever at 39 °C, normal hemodynamic state with tachycardia 126 pulse/minute, pulmonary crackles, and her saturation (SpO2) was 47 % on room air. She was not responding to oxygen via a facemask and high-flow nasal oxygen with a SpO2 of 50 %. Oxygen inhalation immediately was given by noninvasive ventilation (NIV) as Initial therapy (minute ventilation 26 L/min, positive end-expiratory pressure [PEEP] 7 cm H2O, an inspiratory fraction of oxygen [FiO2] 100 %) then her SpO2 increased to 88 %. Blood gas values under NIV show PO2 41 mmHg, PCO2 45 mmHg, Lactate 1.9 mmol/L with FiO2 100 %.

The complete blood count showed: C-reactive protein and white blood cells high respectively (214 mg/L; 19440/mm3) with a positive procalcitonin at 1.44 ng/mL, high level of LDH, ferritin, D-dimer, and fibrinogen (1106 UL/L; 633.59 μg/L; 11.5 g/L; 8.1 g/L), Interleukin 6 was high also at 126 pg/ml, lymphopenia at 570/mm3, with normal hemostasis and kidney function.

The ECG 12-lead showed sinusal tachycardia with a heart rate of 86 bpm, normal axis without conduction or repolarization disturbances.

Chest CT indicated multifocal ground-glass opacities in both lungs presence triangular, peripheral and bilateral with crazy paving and foci of condensation with an estimated attack of more than 75 % related to viral pneumonia due to COVID-19 without associated pulmonary embolism (Fig. 1 A). Transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) was normal.

Antibiotics initiated the medical treatment, corticosteroids (Dexamethasone 6mg per day), and curative anticoagulation by Enoxaparine 100 UI/kg/12h.

On the 3rd day of his admission, she received a dose of Tacolizumab. Without improvement leading to initiating plasma exchange (PLEX) therapy on the 5th day of stay; for five sessions with the exchange of 3 L of plasma each session, a decrease in IL 6 was noted (48 pg/ml).

On day 15, after her admission to the ICU, the patient was anxious, and she presented a respiratory worsening with desaturation reaching 67 % under NIV with FiO2 100 %. Given the non-improvement, the VV ECMO was proposed to the patient and connected to VV ECMO. A 27F dual lumen cannula was inserted through the right internal jugular under NIV and local anesthesia.

During the first week of support ECMO, ECMO jugular blood flow from 3.4 to 4.8 L/min, purge gas flow rate of 2–4 L/min, with a membrane O2 fraction (FmO2) set at 100 %. The patient was at ease with normocardia and less tachypnea under a high flow nasal cannula (30–50 L/min), with a Chest x-ray shows the cannula in place (Fig. 2).

Chest CT on day 36 of support VV ECMO showed extensive bilateral parenchymatous condensations with fibrosis features associated with the presence of indirect signs of pulmonary embolism during routine bedside TTE (Fig. 1 B). Consequently, high-dose corticosteroids were initiated.

In particular, he had a single episode of nosocomial pneumonia on day 27 of support VV ECMO by multi-resistant Klebsiella sensitive to chloramphenicol.

Chest CT on day 52 of support VV ECMO does not show any improvement compared to the first CT scan done under ECMO, the presence of bilateral foci of condensation with Fibrosis noted (Fig. 3). She performed daily pulmonary and physical rehabilitation in bed with a physiotherapist. On day 22 of ECMO installation, she was able to get up with help. Throughout his stay in the intensive care unit, oral nutrition was maintained, as was social interaction face-to-face with loved ones. Indeed, she was informed daily of his health state. It is her 60 days of VV ECMO support in weaning.

This cases report follows scare guidelines [5].

3. Discussion

Veno-venous-extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VV-ECMO) has been used as a rescue treatment for patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) due to COVID-19 infection with survival rates similar to those reported for ECMO support of ARDS of other causes [6,7].

The need for prolonged mechanical ventilation, sedation, and immobility may put patients at risk for ventilator-assisted pneumonia.
Hence the interest of a “fully-aware” ECMO strategy in this patient [8]. Indeed, unlike the data reported for COVID-19 patients with an extended support ECMO [5], our patient had only one episode of nosocomial infection, as was noted in the paper of Schmidt and al [9].

As previously suggested and according to the literature review, wake up VV-ECMO for those with isolated non-intubated lung injury, breathing spontaneously with severe ARDS, seems more appropriate [9, 10], as observed in our study.

Awake ECMO versus IMV to keep a patient awake is experimental and requires further studies in patients with ARDS associated with COVID-19. This strategy could theoretically be offered to many COVID-19 patients treated with high flow oxygen or even NIV and who refuse mechanical ventilation [11].

In addition, this awake ECMO strategy could become a promising alternative to IMV treatments. To limit the risk of infection, loss of muscle mass, myopathy, and polyneuropathy, while maintaining the psycho-social side of the patient with his relatives also the medical and paramedical staff [13].

Our patient was satisfied with our medical care. However, careful monitoring of the risks associated with ECMO is required, and further studies in experienced ECMO centers are needed to assess the benefit of this strategy.

4. Conclusion

Our case reports the utility of awake VV ECMO in the treatment of critically ill COVID-19 patients without the use of invasive mechanical ventilation. However, clinical evidence was needed to verify whether awake ECMO could be widely used in treating these patients or even severe ARDS caused by other outbreaks.
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