Reframing the barrier: Nurse-led, integrated palliative care program in the context of HIV in the United States
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Abstract
Symptom burden of patients infected with HIV remains prevalent, despite providers’ attempts to address palliative needs. Lack of access to pain management experts is described as a barrier to effective palliative care. We integrated an advance certified hospice palliative care nurse practitioner into an outpatient, inner-city clinic serving the comprehensive needs of ambulatory patients infected with HIV. Patients’ symptom burden was assessed with the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) and M.D. Anderson’s Brief Pain Inventory and Symptom Inventory Scale (MDASI). Fourteen patients completed BPI and MDASI surveys during the initial and second visits. Paired sample t-tests and exact sign tests reported significant decreases in select item scores. The severity of pain, numbness and tingling, and sadness improved from first to second visit. Patients also reported less symptom interference on general activity, overall mood, and enjoyment of life. Bivariate correlations showed a significant relationship between select item scores and length of time between first and second visits (e.g., severity of pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, and lack of appetite as reported on the MDASI), suggesting length of time after initial visit contributed to the difference in certain item scores. Our study serves as a building block for future studies to examine our model and test the efficacy through more stringent methods. We believe our model addresses barriers to effective palliative care and, ultimately, reduces prevalent symptom burden in patients infected with HIV in the United States.

Introduction
The development of highly active antiretroviral therapy in the 1990’s altered the course of HIV from an acute illness with high mortality to a chronic illness with a near-normal life expectancy [1]. As a result, more patients now suffer from chronic pain and other debilitating symptoms. Palliative care improves quality of life for these patients. The palliative approach focuses on symptom control to reduce the burden posed by pain and other symptoms. Despite provider engagement, prior literature suggests high symptom burden remains prevalent [2].

A significant barrier to effective palliative care is the lack of access to pain management experts [3]. We examined this barrier reframed as an integrated program led by trained providers. Few examples exist in the literature describing integrated palliative care clinics in the context of HIV [4,5]. One such study [6] found palliative care delivered by trained clinicians in this setting significantly improved physical, mental, and overall health. Another study [7] evaluated a similar program led by trained nurses. Results of this study [7] indicated pain was not significantly improved. Until recently, prior studies [6,7] concerning HIV-infected patients examined integrated palliative care in developing countries due to the high disease burden in these areas. Perry [8] published the first study describing high symptom burden among HIV-infected patients located in the U.S., but did not address symptom improvement.

Given the gap in literature and the need for improved symptom burden, we explored the results of our palliative care program embedded in an outpatient HIV clinic. Our main focus was on improving symptom burden. Prior literature [9] suggested effective symptom control strengthens treatment compliance and increases life expectancy for patients infected with HIV.

We approached the results of this collaboration through inductive reasoning and did not generate specific hypotheses. However, we expected to improve symptom burden on some level.

Methods
Setting
Collaboration between the Positive Health Clinic (PHC) and the Division of Palliative Medicine was the result of combining a growing need within the PHC to improve symptom-directed care with the need and capabilities of the Division of Palliative Medicine to broaden the scope of services to outpatient, palliative medicine.

The PHC is an inner-city, HIV primary care clinic which receives funding under the federal Ryan White Care Act to provide outpatient, ambulatory care, mental health services, and medical case management to almost 800 HIV-positive persons living in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania area. The clinic is staffed by two infectious disease physicians, an internist, a family medicine physician, a pharmacist, two HIV nurse practitioners, and an HIV physician assistant. Additional support staff includes nurses and medical assistants, social workers, a mental health therapist, a psychiatrist and a patient advocate. The PHC focuses on engaging patients in active, continuing medical care.
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through a multidisciplinary approach, while providing individualized-leve

care visits, thus, increasing the viability of improved symptom burden.

**Measures**

Patients’ symptom burden was assessed with the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) [10] and M.D. Anderson’s Brief Pain Inventory and Symptom Inventory Scale (MDASI) [11]. The short version of the BPI assesses four pain severity items and seven pain interference items (in the last 24 hours) rated on 0-10 scales, in addition to a question about percentage of pain relief by analgesics. The MDASI assesses the severity of 13 symptoms at their worst in the last 24 hours on a 0-10 numerical rating scale, with 0 being “not present” and 10 being “as bad as you can imagine.” The MDASI also measures to what degree symptoms have interfered with six daily activities: general activity, mood, work, relations with others, walking, and enjoyment of life. Interference is rated on a 0-10 scale, with 0 being “did not interfere” and 10 being “interfered completely.” The smallest difference considered clinically important for the MDASI questions ranges from 0.98-1.21 [11]. Both scales are validated and reliable for application with patients infected with HIV and other chronic illnesses [12].

**Data collection**

All patients were asked to complete the BPI and MDASI surveys at the first and second visits with the ACHPN. Median length of time between visits was 10 weeks (IQR = 7-19). Patients were given the surveys, by PHC staff, to complete while in the waiting room prior to each visit. For the purposes of our study, initial and second visit scores were analysed as repeated measures with statistical significance indicator set as a p-value less than .05.

**Analysis**

A composite score was calculated for the five most highly-rated symptoms as reported on the MDASI (i.e., score was calculated per MDASI instructions; p.23-24) [11], allowing us to determine the impact of our integrated palliative care program on the most severe symptoms described by our patients. Paired-sample t-test analyses were used to compare symptom burden scores between first and second visits, calculating differences in means for the 5 symptom composite score and each item on the BPI and MDASI scales. In instances where the distribution of differences for item responses was found to be non-normal using Shapiro-Wilk Test of Normality (i.e., violates paired-sample t-test assumption of an approximately normal distribution among differences) and asymmetrical using descriptive statistics (e.g., skewness and kurtosis), the exact sign test was applied, calculating differences in medians and assessing consistent changes between first and second visit item scores. As this is an exploratory/feasibility study with first and second measurement scores collected at unstandardized time-intervals, two-tailed, Pearson correlations were conducted to test the influence of time between BPI and MDASI completion on outcome measurement scores and subsequent symptom burden. All analyses were conducted using IBM’s Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 for Windows’ operating system, released in 2011.

**Results**

Seventy-nine percent (n=11) of patients were male, 64.3% (n=9) were white, and mean age was 51.1 years (SD = 7.3). Patients suffered from a variety of severe medical conditions with the most common (i.e., present in at least 50.0% of patients) reasons for referral being musculoskeletal and neuropathic pain (Table 1).
5 most highly-rated symptoms composite score

Composite scores for symptom severity as reported on the MDASI were calculated for our sample's five most highly-rated items during initial visit: pain (MDASI 1; M=9.08, SD=1.62), fatigue (MDASI 2), numbness and tingling (MDASI 13), disturbed sleep (MDASI 9), and sadness (MDASI 11); Table 2 and Table 3. The integrated palliative care program showed a marginally significant influence on the MDASI symptom severity composite score, such that scores decreased from first visit (M=7.18, SD=2.08) to second visit (M=6.00, SD=1.91; p=0.050).

### Item scores

Select aspects of symptom severity significantly decreased as reported during the second visit, compared to the initial report at the first visit with the ACHPN (Table 2-4). Pain treatments and/or medication provided an increase in percentage of symptom relief (BPI 8). Symptoms significantly relieved included pain severity, numbness and tingling, as well as sadness (i.e., 3 of the 5 most highly-rated symptoms). Patients reported experiencing significantly less pain at the time of second visit survey completion (BPI 6) compared to first visit. Pain at its worst, 24 hours prior to survey completion (BPI 3), was reported to diminish as well, such that 9 patients reported a decrease in scores; 5 patients reported no change while 0 patients reported an increase in pain at its worst. Aside from the severity of pain, patients also reported a significant reduction in severity of numbness and tingling (MDASI 13), and sadness (MDASI 11). Results suggest our palliative medicine program provided significant relief from 3 of the 5 most highly-rated symptoms, reducing the symptom burden on patients infected with HIV and providing opportunities to engage in daily life.

Palliative medicine visits also improved interference of symptoms on select aspects of daily life (Tables 2-4), such that patients reported decreasing interference with general activity (MDASI 14), from first visit to second visit. As noted above concerning the reduction in severity of sadness, interference of symptoms on the patients’ mood (MDASI 15) also decreased. Ultimately, patients experienced a reduction of symptom interference on overall enjoyment of life (MDASI 19). While some aspects of symptom burden were improved from the first visit to the second visit, others lacked statistical significance.

Length of time between first and second visit (i.e., time between collection of first and second BPI and MDASI scores) did not significantly influence the increase or decrease in composite or item scores for the majority of BPI and MDASI items (Table 5 and 6).

| Characteristic          | n  | %  |
|-------------------------|----|----|
| Gender                  |    |    |
| Male                    | 11 | 78.6%|
| Female                  | 3  | 21.4%|
| Age                     |    |    |
| <40                     | 0  | 0.0% |
| 40-49                   | 6  | 42.9%|
| 50-59                   | 5  | 35.7%|
| 60-69                   | 3  | 21.4%|
| 69<                     | 0  | 0.0% |
| Ethnicity               |    |    |
| Caucasian/White         | 9  | 64.3%|
| African American        | 5  | 35.7%|
| Referral Reason         |    |    |
| Anxiety                 | 3  | 21.4%|
| Chronic respiratory condition | 4 | 28.57%|
| Depression              | 4  | 28.57%|
| Neuropathic pain        | 8  | 57.14%|

Note: Percent column denotes percent of total population or count for each characteristic, independently (e.g. gender, age, ethnicity, comorbidities and referral reason). Concerning comorbidities and referral reasons, “n” column total and “%” column total exceeds 100% as some patients are associated with multiple comorbidities and/or referrals.

Table 1. Characteristics of patients who completed pain assessments during first and second palliative medicine visit (N=14).

| MDASI item                                      | n  | First visit | Second visit | t  | df | p    |
|------------------------------------------------|----|-------------|--------------|----|----|------|
| 2. Severity of symptoms…your fatigue (tiredness) at its worst? | 12 | 8.25 1.71 | 7.67 1.83 | 0.83 | 11 | 0.42 |
| 3. …your nausea at its worst?                  | 13 | 3.31 3.30 | 3.23 3.03 | 0.07 | 12 | 0.94 |
| 4. …your disturbed sleep at its worst?         | 12 | 6.08 3.73 | 6.08 2.50 | 0.00 | 11 | 1.00 |
| 5. …your feelings of being distressed (upset) at its worst? | 13 | 5.08 3.82 | 4.69 3.50 | 0.47 | 12 | 0.65 |
| 6. …your shortness of breath at its worst?     | 11 | 4.36 3.07 | 3.64 3.61 | 0.90 | 10 | 0.39 |
| 7. …your problem with remembering things at its worst? | 13 | 3.46 2.44 | 3.46 2.15 | 0.00 | 12 | 1.00 |
| 8. …your problem with lack of appetite at its worst? | 13 | 3.69 3.33 | 4.23 3.14 | -0.54 | 12 | 0.60 |
| 9. …your feeling drowsy (sleepy) at its worst? | 13 | 5.23 3.14 | 5.85 3.26 | -0.64 | 12 | 0.53 |
| 10. …your having dry mouth at its worst?       | 13 | 4.92 3.95 | 4.46 3.89 | 0.62 | 12 | 0.55 |
| 11. …your feeling sad at its worst?            | 13 | 5.69 3.68 | 3.77 2.59 | 2.39 | 12 | 0.03 |
| 13. …numbness and tingling at its worst?       | 11 | 6.91 3.67 | 5.18 3.71 | 3.41 | 10 | 0.01 |
| 14. Interference of symptoms…general activity? | 12 | 6.77 3.49 | 5.15 2.51 | 2.39 | 12 | 0.03 |
| 15. …mood?                                     | 12 | 6.00 3.28 | 4.42 2.75 | 2.46 | 11 | 0.03 |
| 16. …work (including house work)?              | 12 | 7.33 2.23 | 6.17 2.41 | 1.77 | 11 | 0.11 |
| 17. …relations with other people?              | 13 | 4.77 3.47 | 2.77 2.62 | 2.13 | 12 | 0.06 |
| 18. …walking?                                 | 13 | 6.38 3.23 | 6.08 3.55 | 0.51 | 12 | 0.62 |
| 19. …enjoyment of life?                       | 12 | 7.42 2.50 | 4.50 2.68 | 3.30 | 11 | 0.01 |

Note: BPI items are paraphrased. n = sample size; M = mean; SD = standard deviation. Items numbered 1 and 12 are not included in the above table as items were analyzed with Nonparametric Sign Test. Items 2 through 11 are measured on 11-point Likert scale (0 = not present, 10 = as bad as you can imagine). Items 13 through 19 are measured on 11-point Likert scale (0 = did not interfere, 10 = completely interfered).
However, 4 MDASI severity item scores significantly correlated with time, such that severity of pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, and lack of appetite showed a negative relationship with length of time between first and second visit scores. Three of the four MDASI severity items were not shown to significantly decrease or increase during paired t-test analyses or exact sign tests. Severity of pain (MDASI 1) was significantly correlated with time and resulted in a statistically significant decrease between first and second visit, using the exact sign test analysis.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, our study is the first to evaluate an integrated palliative care model implemented in the United States. The results of our exploratory study indicate implementation of a palliative program, led by an ACHPN, can improve symptom burden for patients infected with HIV in the U.S.

**Symptom severity**

For our particular sample, patients rated pain, fatigue, numbness and tingling, disturbed sleep, and sadness as the most severe symptoms experienced in the 24-hours prior to completion of the first MDASI measurement scale. These results confirm the findings of previous literature examining symptom burden in patients infected with HIV.
Paired Diff

| MDASI item number | n  | M   | SD  | r   | p   |
|------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Symptom severity  |    |     |     |     |     |
| 1.               | 12 | 1.67| 2.19| -0.83| 0.001|
| 2.               | 12 | 0.58| 2.43| -0.72| 0.01 |
| 3.               | 13 | 0.88| 3.73| -0.46| 0.12 |
| 4.               | 12 | 0.00| 3.41| -0.00| 0.98 |
| 5.               | 13 | 0.38| 2.96| -0.35| 0.24 |
| 6.               | 11 | 0.73| 2.69| -0.61| 0.05 |
| 7.               | 13 | 0.00| 2.61| -0.34| 0.25 |
| 8.               | 13 | -0.54| 3.62| 0.60| 0.03 |
| 9.               | 13 | -0.62| 3.45| -0.40| 0.18 |
| 10.              | 13 | 0.46| 2.70| -0.23| 0.45 |
| 11.              | 13 | 1.92| 2.90| -0.48| 0.10 |
| 12.              | 13 | 0.46| 4.16| -0.38| 0.20 |
| 13.              | 11 | 1.73| 1.68| -0.25| 0.46 |

**Symptom interference**

| Symptom interference | n  | M   | SD  | r   | p   |
|----------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 14.                  | 13 | 1.62| 2.43| -0.34| 0.25 |
| 15.                  | 12 | 1.58| 2.23| -0.26| 0.41 |
| 16.                  | 12 | 1.17| 2.29| -0.41| 0.19 |
| 17.                  | 13 | 2.00| 3.39| -0.34| 0.25 |
| 18.                  | 13 | 0.31| 2.18| -0.26| 0.40 |
| 19.                  | 12 | 2.92| 3.06| -0.02| 0.95 |

Note: n = sample size; M = mean; SD = standard deviation; r = correlation coefficient; p = significance level.

[2,8]. The severity of 3 out of 5 symptoms significantly improved after one visit with ACHPN, as reported approximately 10 weeks later. Similar results have been reported by previous studies conducted in developing countries [6,7]. Harding [6] reported a statistically significant improvement in pain severity after 10 weeks, as measured by the MOS-HIV. Physicians involved in the study were exposed to palliative care education prior to engaging with patients. Alternatively, Lowther [7] employed nurses as the primary palliative care provider, with contradictory results, such that pain severity was not significantly improved, also measured by the MOS-HIV. While the nurses in the Lowther [7] study participated in 2 weeks of full-time education and training, our nurse practitioner had extensive experience and certification in the field of palliative and hospice care. The robust skill set presented by the ACHPN could be a contributing factor in the differing results compared to Lowther [7] and the similar results compared to Harding [6]. Differences could also be attributed to cultural and quality improvement and feasibility study) and rate, such that only 27 (55%) of the 49 patients referred to the program completed the BPI and MDASI at the initial visit. Due to the nature of our study (i.e., quality improvement and feasibility study), we did not collect data indicating a patient’s reasoning for not completing the BPI or MDASI surveys. This outcome might reflect the relatively high rate of illiteracy, mental illness, and mistrust toward clinicians present in patients infected with HIV. Further, 38 (78%) patients completed
the instruments at the second assessment, possibly as a result of an established rapport with the nurse practitioner associated with their symptom improvement. Only 14 (28.57%) patients met the inclusion criteria (i.e., completed the instruments at both visits).

Conclusion

We present this data as a building block to future studies. With this collaboration, our goal was to fill the gap within the literature and more importantly, provide a palliative program able to successfully address patients’ comorbidities and symptom burden. Future studies will be needed to confirm our findings and determine the relative impact of the various components in a palliative care consult (e.g., medication management, the strength of the clinician-patient relationship, the time spend on education, etc.) on clinical outcomes. Additionally, it would be important to follow patients long-term to identify if their symptom improvement is maintained without undue side effects.

Although palliative care has traditionally been thought of as an end-of-life intervention, modern perspectives regard it as an adjuvant to general medical care. Palliative medicine programs embedded within outpatient, ambulatory HIV care clinics show potential to resolve the high symptom burden described by Merlin [2], Lofgren [15], and nearly every study in between.

Integrated palliative care programs are able to approach patients’ concerns with chronic pain and other debilitating symptoms using a multidisciplinary approach. From this perspective, the patients’ health is represented not as an isolated sector (e.g., chronic pain as a result of car accident) but as a product of diverse physical, psychological, social, and spiritual states (e.g., depression manifesting as a result of chronic pain interfering with aspects of daily life).

We believe our model of a palliative clinic, led specifically by an ACHPN, can further overcome barriers to effective palliative care for patients infected with HIV. Expertise and board certification in palliative medicine gives clinicians the skills and attitudes to address pain management needs and provide evidence-based treatment [16,17]. Currently, there are not enough physicians trained in palliative medicine to meet the needs of all patients with life-limiting illnesses, including HIV. The estimated gap between the supply and need for palliative medicine trained physicians may be as high as 18,000 [18] providers. While previous literature [19] found that providers can be effective when given proper education and access to resources (i.e., palliative medicine expert), physicians working in the U.S. are often unable to dedicate time to extensive training programs or workshops [20]. Nurse practitioners can fill the gap without sacrificing quality, as more time is available to devote to the patient [21]. In our study, patients were engaged in brief palliative care treatments through their PHC provider prior to referral to the ACHPN program. Despite receiving pain management care and medication during this period, patients reported high symptom burden at the initial visit with the ACHPN, confirming the claim that symptom burden remains prevalent in patients infected with HIV [2] despite provider engagement. Our results represent the select benefits of an integrated palliative care program over provider-led pain management. Programs designed specifically to address pain management through expert palliative and hospice care hold the potential to eliminate barriers to effective palliative care and improve the prevalent, high symptom burden experienced by patients infected with HIV.
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