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**ABSTRACT**

The molecular cloud Sgr B2 is a natural Compton mirror in the Central Molecular Zone. It is believed that the observed fading of the Sgr B2 X-ray emission in continuum and the Fe Kα 6.4 keV line indicates past X-ray flare activity of the supermassive black hole Sgr A*. Sgr B2 was investigated by the *INTEGRAL* observatory in the hard X-ray in 2003–2009, showing clear decay of its hard X-ray emission. In this work, we present a long-term time evolution of the Sgr B2 hard X-ray continuum after 2009, associated with the hard X-ray source IGR J17475–2822 as observed by *INTEGRAL*. The 30–80 keV sky maps, obtained in 2009–2019, demonstrate a significant excess spatially consistent with IGR J17475–2822. The observed 2003–2019 light curve of IGR J17475–2822 is characterized by a linear decrease by a factor of ∼2 until 2011, after which it reaches a constant level of ∼1 mCrab. The source spectrum above 17 keV is consistent with a power-law model with $\Gamma = 1.4$ and a high-energy cut-off at ∼43 keV. The Sgr B2 residual emission after ∼2011 shows a good correspondence with models of X-ray emission due to the irradiation of the molecular gas by hard X-rays and low-energy cosmic ray ions. We discuss the possible origin of the residual Sgr B2 emission after 2011 within these models, including theoretically predicted multiply scattered emission.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Sgr A* is a supermassive black hole (SMBH) with a mass of $\sim 4.15 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, distanced from us at 8.178 kpc (Gravity Collaboration et al. 2019). At the moment, Sgr A* is in a quiescent state with an X-ray luminosity of $L_{2-10\text{keV}} \sim 2 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 2–10 keV energy band, which is 10 orders of magnitude fainter than its Eddington luminosity predicted by the standard thin disc accretion on to a black hole (BH) (Baganoff et al. 2003). Such emission is also significantly lower than typical luminosities of active galactic nuclei (AGN) with comparable masses. It is natural to investigate whether Sgr A* was active with bright X-ray flares in the past.

Sunyaev, Markewitch & Pavlinsky (1993) proposed the mechanism of reflection of strong X-rays flares from a low-ionized medium. This mechanism predicts hard X-ray emission with a continuum strongly absorbed at low energies and a strong fluorescent Fe Kα emission line at 6.4 keV with an equivalent width (EW) of about 1 keV from an X-ray reflection nebula (Sunyaev et al. 1993; Sunyaev & Churazov 1998). Such reflection is observed from the molecular clouds of the Central Molecular Zone (CMZ, see Morris & Serabyn 1996) located in the Galactic Centre (GC) region. The CMZ consists of $\sim 10$ per cent of all molecular matter in the Galaxy and has a radius of about 200 pc. Its X-ray continuum and Fe Kα emission line at 6.4 keV suggest the Compton mirror mechanism. A possible source of the strong hard X-ray emission which can produce the observed emission of the CMZ is past flaring activity of Sgr A*. A large number of molecular clouds located in the GC region provide a unique possibility to investigate the past activity of Sgr A* (Sunyaev & Churazov 1998). The variability of the molecular-cloud X-ray emission traces the propagation of the X-ray flare front from the regions closest to SMBH Sgr A* to large distances from it (Ponti et al. 2010).

An alternative hypothesis for GC molecular-cloud X-ray emission is excitation of neutral matter by collisions with low-energy cosmic rays (LECRs, see e.g. Dogiel et al. 2009, 2013, 2014). LECRs can reproduce X-ray continuum emission and the Fe Kα 6.4 keV emission line via bremsstrahlung and fluorescence mechanisms, respectively (see e.g. Tatischeff 2003; Tatischeff, Decourchelle & Maurin 2012). The observed fading of the molecular-cloud X-ray emission is in contradiction with the LECR hypothesis (see e.g. Dogiel et al. 2014). However, when the front of the X-ray flare finishes its propagation, constant emission caused by LECRs may become visible. For example, Chernyshov et al. (2018) suggested a scenario of a combination of reflected emission and emission excited by suprathermionic cosmic rays for a molecular cloud of the Arches cluster complex, whose emission is characterized by a fading non-thermal hard X-ray continuum and a fluorescent Fe Kα 6.4 keV line (Clavel et al. 2014; Krivonos et al. 2014, 2017a; Kuznetsova et al. 2019).

Sgr B2 is the densest ($10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ in its 5 pc core) and most massive ($\sim 10^5 M_\odot$) molecular cloud in the CMZ. Thanks to the ASCA observatory, the Sgr B2 emission in the fluorescent Fe Kα line was detected and its high equivalent width (EW) was measured (Koyama et al. 1996). The Sgr B2 X-ray emission at energies above 20 keV was for the first time associated with the hard X-ray source IGR J17475–2822 (hereafter IGR1747), detected with the *INTEGRAL* observatory by Revnivtsev et al. (2004b), who concluded...
that Sgr B2 could be irradiated by a hard X-ray flare from Sgr A* with a luminosity of $1.5 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 2–200 keV band and characterized by a spectral power-law photon index of $\Gamma \approx 1.8$. Assuming the Sgr B2 projected distance from Sgr A* to be 100 pc, Revnivtsev et al. (2004b) concluded that the Sgr A* flare occurred 300–400 yr ago. Using integral observations in 2003–2009, Terrier et al. (2010) obtained the light curve of Sgr B2, showing a descending trend in its hard X-ray emission. The new parallax measurement of Sgr B2 obtained by Reid et al. (2009) suggested that Sgr B2 is 130 pc nearer than Sgr A*. Ponti et al. (2010), considering the new Sgr B2 position, reported that the Sgr A* flare terminated 100 yr ago. Using Monte Carlo simulations, Walls et al. (2016) considered two cases: uniform and Gaussian Sgr B2 density profiles. The former gave an estimate of the Sgr B2 position as being 50 pc closer to the Earth than Sgr A*, corresponding to an older flare than the estimation by Ponti et al. (2010), while the latter suggested a Sgr B2 position at a projected distance of 100 pc, supporting the 300–400 yr-old flare. NuSTAR observations of Sgr B2 at energies up to 40 keV in 2013 allowed for the detection of prominent X-ray features and two compact cores in the central 90 arcsec region, which are surrounded by diffuse emission (Zhang et al. 2015). It is inconclusive whether the Fe K$\alpha$ emission has reached a constant background level or is continuing to decrease. Moreover, the decreasing scenario is best explained with reflection, while a constant scenario is best described by cosmic rays.

Since 2013, there have been no new investigations of the Sgr B2 emission at energies higher than 20 keV. However, the INTEGRAL observatory has continued its work, and a large amount of new data has been collected up to 2020. It is natural to expect that the Sgr B2 X-ray emission is either continuing to fade towards a non-detection level, has reached a constant level, or is rising due to another flare from Sgr A*. Indeed, a number of works suggest several Sgr A* flares in the past that are currently propagating in the CMZ (Clavel et al. 2013; Chernyshov et al. 2018; Chuard et al. 2018; Terrier et al. 2018).

In this work, we present the long-term evolution of the Sgr B2 hard X-ray emission obtained from the whole data set of the INTEGRAL observations publicly available to date. The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 contains a brief overview of the INTEGRAL data processing and observations used. Section 3 contains the IBIS/ISGRI images of the Sgr B2 region. The time evolution of the Sgr B2 hard X-ray emission is presented in Section 4. Section 5 describes the Sgr B2 spectral analysis. The discussion and summary are presented in Sections 6 and 7, respectively.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS
All publicly available INTEGRAL data from 2002 December to 2020 January were selected for this work. We used the coded-aperture telescope IBIS (Ubertini et al. 2003), which operates in the soft gamma-ray energy band 20 keV–10 MeV onboard the INTEGRAL observatory (Winkler et al. 2003). For our purposes, we utilized data from the low-energy detector layer ISGRI (Lebrun et al. 2003) of the IBIS telescope. Its spatial resolution of 12 arcmin (full width at half-maximum, FWHM) provides the possibility of detecting Sgr B2 individually, which corresponds to a spatial scale of $\sim$30 pc at a distance of 8.5 kpc.

We used energy calibration as implemented in the INTEGRAL Science Data Centre Off-line Scientific Analysis (OSA) software version 10 for all data, but from the beginning of the 1626 revolution, OSA11 was applied to the remaining data (see details in https://www.isdc.unige.ch/integral/). The INTEGRAL data were reprocessed with a proprietary analysis package developed at IKI (details available in Krivonos et al. 2010, 2012; Churazov et al. 2014). It was optimized for the IBIS image deconvolution using the systematic noise suppression algorithm described in Krivonos et al. (2010), which has been proved to be effective in a number of works (see e.g. Krivonos et al. 2012, 2017b), that is crucial for Sgr B2 image analysis in a crowded field of the GC region. For our analysis, we used individual sky images for each INTEGRAL observation with a typical exposure time of 2 ks (science window or ScW). To compensate for the ongoing detector degradation, all ScW sky images were renormalized using the observed count rate of the Crab Nebula, measured in the nearest observation, which provides smooth calibration of the ancillary response function over the whole observation time. The typical time between the Sgr B2 and Crab observations is about 30 d. As a result, final sky mosaics are constructed from the IBIS ScW sky images in mCrab units. Note that the described procedure automatically corrects for the intrinsic variability of the Crab Nebula flux (for details see Section 2.1). For spectral analysis in this work, the diagonal energy redistribution matrix is designed to reproduce the Crab spectrum, which can be represented as $10.0 \times E^{-2.1}$ keV photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ (see e.g. Churazov et al. 2007; Madsen et al. 2017). Note that the astrophysical (cosmic ray X-ray and Galactic ridge backgrounds) and instrumental backgrounds of the IBIS telescope are already subtracted as a result of the coded-mask image reconstruction algorithm, so the sky images have zero expectation value and unit variance.

We selected the 30–80 keV energy band for the analysis of the Sgr B2 long-term light curve since this band is characterized by an almost constant efficiency during more than a decade of INTEGRAL observations; i.e. it is not strongly affected by the ongoing detector degradation. Note that errors for all estimated parameters are given at the 90 per cent confidence interval.

2.1 Systematic noise
Before a detailed analysis of the IBIS data, we checked them for the presence of systematic noise in the flux determination. We used the Crab Nebula flux measured in each ScW in the following energy bands: 30–80 keV (for light curves, see the next paragraph) and 17–26, 26–38, 38–57, 57–86, 86–129 keV for the Sgr B2 spectra (see Section 5). The relative systematic scatter of the measured fluxes was determined by dividing the standard deviation of the Crab flux by its average value during a given spacecraft revolution ($\sim$3 d). In all energy bands, systematic noise was found at a level of $\sim$5–8 per cent over the considered time interval. The obtained level of systematic noise is comparable to the variability of the Crab Nebula flux observed at the 10 per cent level (see e.g. Oh et al. 2018). However, we found that in the 17–26 keV energy band, relative systematic noise was significantly increased from 7 per cent to 20–30 per cent after the $\sim$1500 revolution. The obtained systematic errors were taken into account in the following analysis.

Similar to Terrier et al. (2010), we use the Ophiuchus cluster, located $\sim$9$^\circ$ from Sgr B2, as a reference persistent X-ray source to verify our light-curve extraction procedure. Fig. 1 shows the 30–80 keV multiyear light curve of the Ophiuchus cluster approximated
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with a constant function at $F_{Oph} = 1.37 \pm 0.11 \text{mCrab}$\(^2\). Despite the relative weakness of the Ophiuchus cluster in the 30–80 keV band, we obtained good fitting statistics characterized by a reduced chi-squared $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.4$ for 16 degrees of freedom (d.o.f.). We conclude that our IBIS/ISGRI light-curve extraction procedure in the 30–80 keV band is not significantly affected by systematic noise related to the multiyear ISGRI detector degradation.

### 3 IBIS/ISGRI SKY IMAGING OF THE SGR B2 REGION

We first constructed the time-averaged 30–80 keV IBIS/ISGRI map of the GC region using all available data from 2003 to 2020 (see Fig. 2). The INTEGRAL source IGR1747, spatially coincident with the position of Sgr B2 (Revnivtsev et al. 2004a; B´elanger et al. 2006), was significantly detected in the 30–80 keV band with a flux of $1.25 \pm 0.04 \text{mCrab}$ at RA = $17^h47^m29.28$ and Dec. = $-28^\circ21'$57'60" (equinox J2000). The IGR1747 centroid position is shifted by $\sim2/1$ from the centre of the peak of the Sgr B2 column density of the molecular gas localized between the Sgr B2(N) and Sgr B2(M) cores at RA = $17^h47^m20.35$ and Dec. = $-28^\circ22'$43'50" (Protheroe et al. 2008). Note that the INTEGRAL/IBIS point-source localization accuracy depends on the detection significance (Krivonos et al. 2007). Thus, the obtained offset is within the corresponding uncertainty of $\sim3$ arcmin ($2\sigma$) for a source detection significance of 10–20$\sigma$, which confirms the association of IGR1747 with the Sgr B2 molecular cloud.

The IBIS one-year averaged maps of the GC region shown in Fig. 3 demonstrate a clear decrease in the Sgr B2 flux from 2003 to 2009, with a corresponding drop in significance from $13\sigma$ to $4\sigma$, which is consistent with the findings of Terrier et al. (2010). On sky maps after 2009, Sgr B2 appears as a weak source detected at significance of $\sim2–5\sigma$. Note that apparent morphology changes cannot be considered real because the INTEGRAL localization accuracy of a weak source is $4.2$ arcmin at the $2\sigma$ confidence interval (Krivonos et al. 2007). Also from Fig. 3, it is seen that some GC sources have strong flux variations with a time-scale of less than 1 yr. Contours denote a surface brightness above 2 mCrab in order to better represent the nearby X-ray sources with flux higher than that of the Crab Nebula.

The flux unit of 1 mCrab is equivalent to a flux of $1.07 \times 10^{-11} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ in the 30–80 keV energy band for a source with a spectrum similar to that of the Crab Nebula.

### 4 LONG-TERM LIGHT CURVE OF SGR B2

The obtained 2003–2020 IBIS light curve of Sgr B2 is shown in Fig. 1. The fitting procedure applied to the light curve with a simple constant function does not describe the data well enough, as reflected in the worst-fitting statistics $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$/d.o.f. $= 7.4/16$ (see Table 1), which is mainly caused by a clear decay of the Sgr B2 hard X-ray emission before 2009, as reported by Terrier et al. (2010). Therefore, the Sgr B2 flux is inconsistent with the constant function and demonstrates a few-year time variability. Note that a possible fast Sgr B2 variability, if present, is diluted within the one-year time bins, and on smaller time bins, the data quality does not allow us to draw any firm conclusions.

To describe the decreasing trend of the Sgr B2 emission with an additional background component, we applied a linear function of the form $A + T + B$, where $A$ and $B$ are coefficients in units of mCrab yr\(^{-1}\) and mCrab, correspondingly, and $T$ is the time in years since 2003. This linear model provides a better fitting of the Sgr B2 light curve, as shown in Fig. 4 and listed in Table 1. To compare our results with those obtained by Terrier et al. (2010), we chose the time parameter $T_{1/2}$, a time of flux decrease by a factor of two from the flux initial value. Our estimation of $T_{1/2} = 12 \pm 2$ yr obtained within the time interval of 2003–2020 is somewhat larger than the $T_{1/2} = 8.2 \pm 1.7$ yr determined by Terrier et al. (2010) in 2003–2009. The difference is probably caused by the longer fitting interval considered in the current work.

Then we suggested that there is a constant level in the Sgr B2 decreasing trend described by a linear piece-wise function in the following form:

$$F(T) = \begin{cases} A + T + B & \text{for } T \leq T_{\text{break}} \\ C & \text{for } T > T_{\text{break}} \end{cases},$$

where $T_{\text{break}}$ is the time when the Sgr B2 emission changes from a linear trend to a constant $C$ level. The approximation of the light curve is shown in Fig. 5, with the best-fitting model parameters listed in Table 1. The piece-wise function describes the Sgr B2 light curve at better fitting statistics compared to the constant and linear models.
Figure 3. IBIS one-year averaged maps of the central 4° in the 30–80 keV energy band shown in flux (mCrab units) from 2003 to 2019. The cyan circle with $R = 6$ arcmin reveals the position of the Sgr B2 source. The corresponding years and dead-time corrected exposures are indicated in the panels. Contours denote isophotes of the flux levels of 2, 3, 5, 10, and 20 mCrab.

Table 1. Best-fitting parameters for the fitting procedure of the Sgr B2 light curve with constant, linear, piece-wise, and exponential functions.

| Parameter | Constant | Linear | Piece-wise | Exponential |
|-----------|----------|--------|------------|-------------|
| $A$, mCrab$^{-1}$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.16 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.16 \pm 0.06$ |
| $B$, mCrab | $1.9 \pm 0.14$ | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ | $1.9 \pm 0.14$ | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ |
| $T_{\text{break}}, \text{yr}$ | $2011 \pm 3$ | $2011 \pm 3$ | $2011 \pm 3$ | $2011 \pm 3$ |
| $\tau_{1/2}, \text{yr}$ | $12 \pm 2$ | $6 \pm 2$ | $12 \pm 2$ | $6 \pm 2$ |
| $C$, mCrab | $0.83 \pm 0.1$ | $0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.6}$ | $0.83 \pm 0.1$ | $0.5^{+0.3}_{-0.6}$ |
| $F_0$, mCrab | $1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ | $7.5^{+2.1}_{-3.5}$ | $1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ | $7.5^{+2.1}_{-3.5}$ |
| $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$/d.o.f. | 1.09/14 | 1.04/14 | 1.09/14 | 1.04/14 |

The characteristic time $\tau_{1/2} = 6 \pm 2$ yr is in agreement with the Terrier et al. (2010) estimation within the uncertainties. Note that the constant level $C = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ mCrab measured after $T_{\text{break}} = 2011 \pm 3$ is not consistent with zero flux background level, as expected for the coded-mask sky reconstruction method (see e.g. Krivonos et al. 2010 and references therein).

We divided the whole data set from 2003 to 2019 into two time intervals T1 and T2, separated by the year 2011 (orbit 1055), where the linear decay is replaced by a constant one according to the linear piece-wise fit (Section 4). Fig. 6 demonstrates the T1 and T2 significance maps in the 30–80 keV energy band. The Sgr B2 30–80 keV flux was measured at the level of 1.6 mCrab (23.5σ) and 0.8 mCrab (10.7σ), respectively, for the T1 and T2 data sets.

The Sgr B2 centroid positions at RA = 17h47m28.32, Dec. = $-28^\circ21'10.80$ and RA = 17h47m25.75, Dec. = $-28^\circ25'08.18$ measured during the T1 and T2 time intervals are shifted by 2.3 and 2.7 arcmin, respectively, from the position of the maximum column density of the cloud (Protheroe et al. 2008). The observed offsets are very consistent with the 3 arcmin localization uncertainty (2σ) for weak sources detected by INTEGRAL/IBIS (Krivonos et al. 2007).

Finally, we approximated the light curve of Sgr B2 by an exponential decay with a constant term $C$: $F_0 \times \exp^{-\lambda T} + C$, where $F_0$ is the initial flux in mCrab units and $\tau$ is the lifetime expressed in years. The best-fitting model describes the light curve at acceptable fitting statistics $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$/d.o.f. = 1.04/14, as listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 5. The lifetime was estimated at $\tau = 7.5^{+2.1}_{-3.5}$ yr, which is close to the value of $\tau \sim 11$ yr provided by Zhang et al. (2015) for the central 90 arcsec of Sgr B2.
the multiplicative model component $c_{\text{flux}}$ model is in agreement only with the T2 spectrum (see Table 2), and evidence for a high-energy cut-off at the power-law model. Note that residuals of the T1 spectrum show loss of sensitivity at low energies (Caballero et al. 2013). Note that of IBIS/ISGRI of 12 arcmin. We excluded the first energy bin from the region of spectral extraction corresponds to the angular resolution HEASOFT V 6.27 software. The results are listed in Table 2, where XSPEC package version 12.11.0 (Arnaud 1996), which is part of the energy bands in the position of Sgr B2, as shown in Fig. 6. Note that the region of spectral extraction corresponds to the angular resolution of IBIS/ISGRI of 12 arcmin. We excluded the first energy bin from the T2 spectrum due to the ongoing ISGRI detector degradation and loss of sensitivity at low energies (Caballero et al. 2013). Note that we also added a 5 per cent systematic error in the spectral fitting procedures.

First, we tried to fit the obtained Sgr B2 region spectra (see Fig. 7) with a power-law model. For spectral fitting, we used the XSPEC package version 12.11.0 (Arnaud 1996), which is part of the HEASOFT V6.27 software. The results are listed in Table 2, where $\Gamma$ is a photon index of the power-law model. For this and all following models, we calculated the 25–50 keV flux $F_{25-50}\text{keV}$ using the multiplicative model component $c_{\text{flux}}$ in XSPEC. The power-law model is in agreement only with the T2 spectrum (see Table 2), and its $\Gamma$ is significantly greater than $\Gamma = 2.07 \pm 0.05$ obtained for the hard X-ray part of the broad-band analysis in Terrier et al. (2010). The upper plot in Fig. 8 shows the Sgr B2 region spectra fitted with the power-law model. Note that residuals of the T1 spectrum show evidence for a high-energy cut-off at $\sim 40$ keV.

Then we fitted both spectra using a power-law model with a high-energy cut-off. The best-fitting model parameters are listed in Table 2.

The Sgr B2 region T1 spectrum is in good agreement with this model with a cut-off energy of $E_{\text{cut}} = 44_{-18}^{+51}$ keV (see bottom panel in Fig. 8). Due to the low quality of the T2 data, the photon index is not constrained by the fit, so we fixed it at the previously obtained value $\Gamma = 1.4$. We conclude that for the T1 spectrum, the cut-off power law provides a better approximation than the simple power law (see Table 2).

Assuming that the spectral shape has not changed from the T1 time interval to T2, we jointly fitted both spectra with the cut-off power-law model (see the ‘Joint’ column in Table 2). Here $C_{\text{cross}}$ is a normalization constant of the T2 spectrum with respect to T1. The measured $C_{\text{cross}}$ value of 0.51 $\pm$ 0.06 shows that the T1 flux has dropped by a factor of $\sim 2$. The best-fitting result has good statistics that indicate a possible similarity between the T1 and T2 spectral shapes.

| Parameters | T1 | T2 | Joint |
|------------|----|----|-------|
| $\Gamma$   |    |    |       |
| $F_{25-50}\text{keV}$ |    |    |       |
| $C_{\text{cross}}$ |    |    |       |
| $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$/d.o.f. | 1.51/5 | 0.55/5 | 1.08/11 |

Figure 7. The Sgr B2 region spectra obtained in the 17–129 keV (black circles) and 21–129 keV (red triangles) energy bands by IBIS during T1 (circles) and T2 (triangles).

Table 2. Best-fitting parameters of different models after the fitting procedure of the Sgr B2 region spectra obtained in the T1 and T2 time intervals.

5 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we analyse spectral information for Sgr B2 in the wide energy range 17–129 keV obtained for the T1 and T2 time intervals (Section 4). We extracted spectra from the sky images in different energy bands in the position of Sgr B2, as shown in Fig. 6. Note that the region of spectral extraction corresponds to the angular resolution of IBIS/ISGRI of 12 arcmin. We excluded the first energy bin from the T2 spectrum due to the ongoing ISGRI detector degradation and loss of sensitivity at low energies (Caballero et al. 2013). Note that we also added a 5 per cent systematic error in the spectral fitting procedures.

Figure 6. IBIS images of the GC region in the 30–80 keV energy band in detection significance units for the T1 and T2 time intervals in the top and bottom panels, respectively. Contours and regions are the same as in Fig. 2.

The measured $C_{\text{cross}} = 0.13 \pm 0.06$ shows that the T1 flux has dropped by a factor of $\sim 2$. The best-fitting result has good statistics that indicate a possible similarity between the T1 and T2 spectral shapes.
Then we used the physically motivated CREFL16 xspec table model (see the description in Churazov et al. 2017), which describes the spectrum of a uniform gas cloud illuminated by an external source of a parallel X-ray emission beam. This model represents the reflected emission from the GC molecular clouds. The reflected emission depends on five parameters: the radial Thomson optical depth of the cloud \( \tau_\text{T} \), the slope of the primary power-law spectrum \( \Gamma \), the abundance of heavy elements relative to Feldman (1992) \( Z/Z_\odot \), the cosine of the viewing angle \( \mu = \cos \theta \), and the normalization. We fixed \( \tau_\text{T} = 0.4 \), \( Z/Z_\odot = 1.9 \) in accordance with Revnivtsev et al. (2004b), and considered the case in which Sgr B2 is in the same plane as Sgr A*, i.e. \( \mu = \cos 90^\circ = 0 \) (see Table 2). In this configuration, the CREFL16 model well describes the T1 spectrum with \( \Gamma = 2.30 \pm 0.13 \). The T2 spectrum does not allow us to estimate \( \Gamma \), so we fixed it at the T1 value assuming the same flare for both time intervals and obtained good fitting statistics (see Table 2).

Alternatively to the reflection mechanism, the Sgr B2 X-ray emission may be caused by interaction of molecular-cloud material with cosmic-ray particles. Tatischeff et al. (2012) studied the non-thermal emission of a neutral ambient medium caused by LECR with cosmic-ray particles (hereafter LECRe and LECRp, respectively) and developed corresponding spectral models. We tested only the LECRp model due to the unphysical parameters of the LECRe model determined for the Sgr B2 emission by Zhang et al. (2015). The LECRp model depends on five parameters: the power-law slope of an accelerated cosmic-ray (CR) spectrum \( s \), the minimum energy of the CRs \( E_{\text{min}} \), the metallicity of the ambient medium \( Z/Z_\odot \), the path-length of the CRs in the region \( \Lambda \), and the normalization of the model \( N_{\text{LECRp}} \). We fixed \( Z/Z_\odot = 2.5 \), \( \Lambda = 5 \times 10^{24} \) H atoms cm\(^{-2} \), and \( E_{\text{min}} = 10 \) MeV in accordance with Zhang et al. (2015), while \( N_{\text{LECRp}} \) and \( s \) were free parameters. Due to the time variability in the Sgr B2 light curve on the few-year scale obtained for the T1 time interval (see Section 4), which contradicts the CR scenario (see e.g. Tatischeff et al. 2012; Dogiel et al. 2014), we applied this model only at the T2 spectrum. The obtained results are in Table 2.

6 DISCUSSION

The decreasing behaviour of the Sgr B2 X-ray emission was observed with many X-ray telescopes (Inui et al. 2009; Terrier et al. 2010, 2018; Nobukawa et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2015). The most suitable hypothesis, explaining the time variability of the Sgr B2 hard X-ray emission, is the reflection of the SMBH Sgr A* X-ray flare. However, the question of how long the Sgr B2 emission will keep its decreasing trend and which mechanism will dominate the observed X-ray emission after the Sgr A* light front leaves the cloud remains open.

Previous observations of the Sgr B2 region by the X-ray observatories Nustar and XMM–Newton showed that the Fe K\( \alpha \) flux of the central 90 arcsec region in 2015 is consistent with the flux measured in 2012, but at the same time the 2013 flux is also consistent with the decreasing trend (Zhang et al. 2015). These authors concluded that if the Sgr B2 emission has reached its background level, LECRp may be the main contributor. However, if the Sgr B2 flux continues to decrease, the reflection scenario better describes the observed emission behaviour. Also, Terrier et al. (2018) showed that in 2012 extended X-ray emission from the Sgr B2 region was still detected with XMM–Newton.

A similar case was observed in a molecular cloud near the Arches stellar cluster in the GC. Both fluxes of the non-thermal continuum and the Fe K\( \alpha \) emission line demonstrate a decreasing trend with a similar time-scale (Clavel et al. 2014; Krivonos et al. 2017a), also showing evidence, however, for the constant emission level (Kuznetsova et al. 2019). Also, Chernyshov et al. (2018) considered two scenarios for the Arches cluster molecular-cloud emission: two X-ray flares ~100 and ~200 yr ago, which were reflected from two different clouds located on the line of sight, and a combination of reflected emission and emission caused by the bombardment of the molecular-cloud matter by cosmic rays. The first scenario strongly depends on the reflection geometry of two clouds and the iron abundances, while the second one is restricted by the photon index of the X-ray emission and needs the presence of a local cosmic-ray particle accelerator. Also, note that the variability of the X-ray emission at different time-scales of several years observed from other molecular clouds in the GC region (see e.g. Ponti et al. 2010, 2013; Clavel et al. 2013; Ryu et al. 2013; Churazov et al. 2017; Terrier et al. 2018) may be related to different light-crossing times and/or intrinsic flare durations.

The INTEGRAL observatory allows us to collect information about the Sgr B2 region during the 17 yr since 2003. Spatially consistent with Sgr B2, the hard X-ray source IGR1747 was detected during the considered time interval. The obtained 30–80 keV light curve of IGR1747 shows a linear decay, which changed to a constant level in 2011, separating the time interval 2003–2020 into the T1 and T2 epochs. This result is in agreement with the assumption that the Sgr B2 flux in 2013 remains at the 2012 level (Zhang et al. 2015). Before 2011, the decay is characterized with \( \tau_{\text{T1}} = 6 \pm 2 \) yr, consistent with the 2003–2009 INTEGRAL result \( \tau_{\text{I1}} = 8.2 \pm 1.7 \) yr.
(Terrier et al. 2010). Thus the \textit{INTEGRAL} light curve supports the reflection scenario for the time interval before \(\sim 2011\). Assuming a dominant single scattering scenario over the whole period 2003\textendash{}2020, characterized by a single linear trend, \(\tau\) is estimated to be \(12 \pm 2\) yr. This value is slightly larger than that obtained by Terrier et al. (2010). It is worth noting that the decrease in the Sgr B2 hard X-ray continuum observed by \textit{INTEGRAL} is in agreement with the overall drop of the 6.4 keV line flux measured by \textit{XMM–Newton} in the same sky region (Rogers et al. 2021). This indicates that the Fe K\(\alpha\) line flux and the hard X-ray continuum are linked to each other, confirming the reflection scenario.

The spectral analysis also points to the reflection origin because the 17\textendash{}129 keV spectrum agrees with the CREFL16 model. The spectral analysis also points to the reflection origin because the 17\textendash{}129 keV spectrum agrees with the CREFL16 model. The spectral analysis also points to the reflection origin because the 17\textendash{}129 keV spectrum agrees with the CREFL16 model. The spectral analysis also points to the reflection origin because the 17\textendash{}129 keV spectrum agrees with the CREFL16 model. The spectral analysis also points to the reflection origin because the 17\textendash{}129 keV spectrum agrees with the CREFL16 model.

To investigate the ionization rate, we first determined the CR power deposited into the Sgr B2 cloud. The previously obtained LECRp power is \(dW/dt \sim 2 \times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). However, the power deposited into the cloud is lower than the injected \(dW/dt\) for two reasons: (1) the non-penetration into the cloud of the CRs with \(E < E_{\text{min}}\); and (2) the escape from the cloud of the CRs with the highest energies (Tatischeff et al. 2012). Note that we considered only protons and did not do any corrections of the \(dW/dt\) related to the first reason because we are already taking into account only the CR particles with \(E > E_{\text{min}}\). For \(\Lambda = 5 \times 10^{24}\) H atoms cm\(^{-2}\), the protons with the energy \(E > 180\) MeV are not stopped in the cloud (Tatischeff et al. 2012). Integrating the CR power taking into account only the CRs with \(E > 10\) MeV nucleon\(^{-1}\) and \(E < 180\) MeV nucleon\(^{-1}\), we found that the power deposited by LECRs into the cloud is \(W_{\text{d}} \sim 1.9 \times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) (90 per cent of \(dW/dt\)). Using the total mass of the Sgr B2 molecular cloud \(M = 6 \times 10^6\) M\(_{\odot}\) (Lis & Goldsmith 1990), we estimated the CR ionization rate at \(\xi_{\text{H}} \sim 5.6 \times 10^{-14}\) H\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (see equation 11 in Tatischeff et al. 2012). Such a CR ionization rate is too high compared with the GC CR ionization rate \(\xi_{\text{H}} \sim (1\text{--}3) \times 10^{-15}\) H\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Goto et al. 2011) and slightly higher than the Zhang (2015) estimation \(\xi_{\text{H}} \sim (6\text{--}10) \times 10^{-15}\) H\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). Due to the high predicted CR ionization rate, we consider the LECRp scenario to be unfavourable.

Dogiel et al. (2011) predicted that in the case of subrelativistic protons produced by accretion processes on to the SMBH Sgr A\(\ast\), two X-ray emission components from the molecular cloud should be observed: a time variable reflected emission and a quasi-stationary emission caused by these protons. The authors predicted the time variations of the 6.4 keV Fe K\(\alpha\) equivalent width when the Sgr A\(\ast\) flare front passes through the Sgr B2 cloud and the reflected flux completely drops. Future observations of Sgr B2 6.4 keV line emission will shed light on the nature of its emission.
In this region, *NuSTAR* observed only three bright sources in the 10–40 keV energy band: the Sgr B2 core (circle region with $R = 90$ arcsec), the extended feature G0.66–0.13, and the point source CXOUUGC J174652.9–282607 (see fig. 1 in Zhang et al. 2015). We also considered point X-ray sources detected by *NuSTAR* during the hard X-ray survey of the GC region (see table 5 in Hong et al. 2016) with maximum offset 11.4 from the T2 INTEGRAL Sgr B2 position (see Section 5). Fig. 9 demonstrates the *NuSTAR* source positions on the 30–80 keV *INTEGRAL* map. We recalculated the fluxes of each *NuSTAR* source in the IBIS energy band 25–50 keV and summed them to obtain the total flux $\sim 3.4 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ which turned out to be $\sim 2$ times lower than the flux $F_{25-50\text{keV}} = (7.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ measured by *INTEGRAL*. We conclude that the integrated flux from known X-ray sources does not account for more than half of the observed Sgr B2 emission after 2011.

7 SUMMARY

Thanks to the regular *INTEGRAL* observations of the GC region, we have a unique possibility to trace the long-term evolution of the Sgr B2 hard X-ray emission, broadly accepted as the X-ray reflected emission from the past flare activity of Sgr A*.

We constructed one-year averaged maps of the GC region observed by *INTEGRAL* in 2003–2020 that confirm fading of the hard X-ray emission. The sky maps after 2011 demonstrate a significant emission from the Sgr B2 position. We constructed the Sgr B2 light curve for the 2003–2019 time period and found that the light curve is better described with a piece-wise function than a linear one. The fitting procedure with the piece-wise function showed that in 2011–3 yr, there is a transition in the 30–80 keV Sgr B2 flux light curve from fading to constant. The existence of the break in the Sgr B2 time evolution may indicate a change in the emission generation mechanism. However, the possibility that the whole light curve is consistent with the linear decrease associated with the reflection scenario cannot be completely ruled out.

The spectral analysis showed that there is no difference in spectral shape before and after $\sim 2011$. Both spectra are in good agreement with a power-law model with a high-energy cut-off at $\sim 43$ keV. Also, both spectra support the reflection scenario of an X-ray flare with $\Gamma \sim 2.3$. The fading emission observed before 2011 is well explained by the reflection scenario, while the nature of the remaining emission is still unclear.

The low-energy CR proton model with a primary power-law slope $s = 2.7 \pm 0.5$ describes the 2011–2019 spectrum well, but the estimated CR ionization rate $\xi_H \sim 5.6 \times 10^{-14}$ H$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ is one order of magnitude higher than the GC value $\xi_H \sim (1–3) \times 10^{-15}$ H$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Goto et al. 2011). Therefore this scenario is unfavourable for the emission observed after 2011. Part of this emission could be a result of the composition of unresolved point sources. The known *NuSTAR* sources could explain only about 50 per cent of the observed INTEGRAL flux at 25–50 keV and do not dominate in the 2011–2019 Sgr B2 flux. Another significant part of the remaining Sgr B2 emission could be caused by multiple scatterings in the reflection scenario. Further observations are needed to precisely investigate the Sgr B2 region at low and high X-ray energies.
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