The effect of duplex Surface mechanical attrition and nitriding treatment on corrosion resistance of stainless steel 316L
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Surface mechanical attrition treatment (SMAT) fabricates a nanostructured layer on the surface of 316L that possesses excellent mechanical properties. In this paper a duplex process combining SMAT with nitriding was performed, then the microstructure, hardness and corrosion properties of the treated materials were investigated by using X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), hardness tests, potentiodynamic polarization measurements, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). The results showed that this duplex surface treatment can effectively increase the hardness and corrosion resistance of the treated surface both at room temperature and in the simulated steam generator (SG) condition at high temperature and high pressure.

The selection of Ni-based alloys and austenitic stainless steels for core internals of steam generator in nuclear power plants (NPPs) is driven by the need for good corrosion resistance and mechanical characteristics. Compared with Ni-based alloy, 316L is a cheaper material for SG system, but the low surface hardness and poor corrosion resistance at high temperature have restricted its applications in SG. Most material failures occur on the surface and therefore, controlling the surface properties can effectively improve the various materials performance. Grain size refinement of the metallic surface is a promising way to improve the mechanical properties of austenitic stainless steels without changing the chemical composition. SMAT is one of the novel methods which can induce grain refinement into the nanometer scale in the surface layer of bulk samples, which has been successfully applied in a variety of materials, including pure metals, alloys, and intermetallics. However, nanocrystalline materials are associated with a high-volume fraction of the grain boundary and a large number of structural defects, leading to a significant increase in stored energy which may increase reactivity and accelerate corrosion by forming a large number of micro-electrochemical cells between the grain boundaries and the matrix according to the classic corrosion theory. A previous study also shows that the SMAT process leads to significant residual stress at the surface and degradation of the corrosion resistance of 316L at room temperature. A practical approach for solving such a difficulty is to introduce the duplex process combining SMAT with nitriding. It is well known that nitriding is an effective process for improving the surface hardness and anti-wear properties of stainless steel. As nanocrystalline steel induced by means of SMAT possesses high chemical activity due to the enhanced atomic diffusion, the duplex SMAT and nitriding treatment lead to the increase in the thickness of nitrided layer and surface hardness for pure Fe and other alloys.

In this paper, the duplex surface treatments were performed on 316L austenite stainless steel. Then the effects on the microstructure, hardness and corrosion behavior both at room temperature and at high temperature were investigated. The passive film formed on the surface of treated materials in simulated SG chemistries under high temperature and high pressure were also studied.

Results and Discussion

The nanocrystalline microstructure of the surface of 316L induced by SMAT was determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure 1 shows the TEM images of the top surface layer on the specimen after the SMAT. The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern exhibits well-defined rings, which
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illustrate the formation of fine grains with random orientation on the treated surface. This microstructure is characterized by uniformly distributed nanometer-scale grains with mean grain size around 20 nm.

The XRD patterns of nitrided 316L with and without pre-SMAT treatment at 400 °C are shown in Fig. 2(a). CrN precipitates generally form at high nitriding temperatures (above 450 °C) when Cr start to migrate, CrN, which will deteriorate corrosion resistance, was not detected in both samples. The nitrided layer on the sample after duplex treatment was mainly composed of \( \gamma \)-Fe (labeled with \( \gamma \)), as well as small traces of S-Phase (labeled with \( \gamma_N \)). On the contrary, the XRD pattern of the sample after the duplex SMAT and nitriding treatment at the same temperature was mainly composed of \( \gamma_N \). One notable point about the XRD pattern of nitrided samples with pre-SMAT was the negative shift of the \( \gamma_N \) peaks to the lower degree. This phase usually forms at low nitriding temperatures, because the solubility limit of nitrogen in austenitic structure was exceeded with increasing of temperature. The explanation for the difference between the \( \gamma_N \) peaks positions and intensities for the samples without and with SMAT may be given as the following. Firstly, diffusion of nitrogen atoms was accelerated by the grain boundaries and dislocations formed by SMAT process, which produced plentiful fast diffusion paths for the nitrogen atoms transporting into the substrate. Moreover, these diffused nitrogen atoms concentrated at the surface layer, because the diffusion rate of nitrogen into the matrix was much lower relative to the top nanocrystalline surface layer. Therefore, more \( \gamma_N \) formed in the sample with SMAT. The super saturation of nitrogen in the S phase expanded the f.c.c. lattice of the austenite and subsequently the corresponding XRD peaks shifted to lower angles. Figure 2(b) depicts the variation of micro-hardness along the depth from the surface for nitrided samples with and without SMAT as the pretreatment.
which was attributed to a great number of grain boundaries and high defect densities that played a decisive role in the growth of nitrided layer for samples with SMAT.

XRD analysis of the nitrided 316L with SMAT showed no martensitic phase or other impurities in the SMAT sample. Residual stress was measured on the specimen before and after SMAT using XRD. It can be seen from Fig. 3 that the residual stress after SMAT for 60 mins reached nearly to 600 MPa compressive stresses.

Potentiodynamic polarization curves of reference 316L, nitrided 316L with and without pre-SMAT treatment at room temperature are shown in Fig. 4 and the results were summarized in Table 1.

![Figure 3. Residual stress depth profiles of 316L before and after SMAT process.](image)

|                | 316L          | 316L + SMAT   | 316L + SMAT + Nitriding |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| $I_{corr}$ (μA/cm²) | 3.98 ± 0.31   | 6.56 ± 0.75   | 2.9 ± 0.23              |
| $E_{corr}$ (vs SCE mV) | −300 ± 17    | −450 ± 28    | −250 ± 15               |
| $E_p$ (vs SCE mV)  | 280 ± 20      | 138 ± 11     | 350 ± 22                |

Table 1. Potentiodynamic polarization results at room temperature.

![Figure 4. Potentiodynamic polarization curves of 316L at room temperature.](image)

The results suggest that the SMAT process leads to the diminution of corrosion resistance of 316L at room temperature, as the nanocrystalline materials, owing to a high surface energy and defects density, may accelerate corrosion process. However, the corrosion resistance of the 316L samples with the duplex SMAT and nitriding treatment appeared to be improved with respect to the untreated sample and sample treated with SMAT alone at room temperature. The reason comes from two aspects. First, annealing occurs during the nitriding process, resulting in releasing of the strain energy in the surface layer and partly recovering of corrosion resistance. Second, it is well known that the localized corrosion resistance of stainless steels can be effectively improved by adding N to their composition. Furthermore, nitrogen can increase local pH during corrosion process by reaction with H⁺ in the solution to form the NH₄⁺ (N + 4 H⁺ + 3e⁻ $\rightarrow$ NH₄⁺) and leads to facilitate the repassivation.

Potentiodynamic polarization tests were also carried out on 316L in simulated SG crevice chemistries at 300 °C to investigate the corrosion behavior of reference sample and sample with duplex SMAT and nitriding.
treatment at high temperature. The polarization curve is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that both of the samples showed typical characters with small active region followed by a well-defined passive region and transpassive region. The passive region of untreated 316L was approximately from $-650 \text{ mV (SCE)}$ to $-350 \text{ mV (SCE)}$, while the passive region of treated sample expanded approximately by 100% from $-600 \text{ mV (SCE)}$ to $0.0 \text{ mV (SCE)}$. The pitting potential shift from $-350 \text{ mV (SCE)}$ for untreated 316L to $0 \text{ mV (SCE)}$ for treated one. Hence, the duplex SMAT and nitriding treatment caused significant increase of pitting corrosion potential and passive region. It could be concluded that the duplex surface treatment improved the passive film stability and corrosion resistance.

The corrosion behavior of metallic materials can be strongly affected by the chemical composition and electronic states of the surface layer\textsuperscript{20}. The mechanism of passivation on 316L will be different at high temperature comparing with that at room temperature, since the oxidation rate increases dramatically with increasing temperature.

In order to characterize the microstructure of the passive films formed on the surface of reference samples and sample with duplex process, experiments of XPS and SIMS were carried out and the results were presented in Figs 6 and 7 respectively.

According to the binding energy (BE), Cr 2p3/2 peaks could be decomposed into three components: one located at a BE of 574.4 \pm 0.2 eV, and two other ones located at BEs of 576.6 \pm 0.2 eV and 577.4 \pm 0.3 eV. Comparing with the NIST database\textsuperscript{28}, La Surface database\textsuperscript{29} and other researches\textsuperscript{30–32}, the signal at the highest BE was assigned to Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in Cr(OH)\textsubscript{3}, the signal at 576.6 \pm 0.2 eV to Cr\textsuperscript{3+} in Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} or spinel oxides containing Cr (NiCr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}, NiCrFeO\textsubscript{4}, and so on), the signal at 577.4 \pm 0.2 eV to Cr\textsuperscript{0}. As shown in Fig. 6, the peak intensity of Cr(OH)\textsubscript{3} reduced gradually and the fraction of Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} increased with SMAT treatment. For example, The content of Cr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in the passive film increased from 42.4\% \pm 2.1\% (untreated sample) to 64.9\% \pm 5.4\% (treated samples), shown in Table 2 and Fig. 6. The distribution of oxide and hydroxide in the passive film, formed on 316L without and with the duplex treatment, was identified by SIMS. The spectra in Fig. 7 showed that the oxide film consisted of Cr\textsuperscript{3+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, OH\textsuperscript{-} and O\textsuperscript{2-} ions. And it can be observed that the Cr/(Cr\textsuperscript{3+}, Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) value is much higher for treated sample comparing with the reference sample. Depth profile analyses suggested that the oxide

Figure 5. Potentiodynamic polarization curves of 316L in simulated SG condition.

Figure 6. XPS spectra of Cr 2p3/2 at 300 °C simulated SG crevice chemistries on 316L without treatment (a) and with treatment (b).
film likely consisted of Cr-rich layer with the duplex treatment, as during SMAT process, high-density dislocations and grain boundaries in treated layer enhanced the outward diffusion of Cr to form a protective Cr-rich layer near the surface.

Both XPS and SIMS results indicate that the duplex process leads to a significant increase in the amount of Cr oxide in the passive film. This \( \text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3 \) has been reported to be the stable crystalline form of chromium oxide\(^{33}\), which can passivate the surface in the steady state at high temperature. This result agreed with other reports\(^{34,35}\). As the Cr-rich layer was stable, the corrosion resistance has been improved, as shown in the electrochemical measurement.

This work investigated the effect of the duplex SMAT and nitriding treatment on mechanical properties and corrosion performance of stainless steel 316L both at room temperature and at 300 °C in the simulated steam generator (SG) condition. The experimental results showed that the duplex process fabricates a nanostructured layer on the surface and resulted in an increase of the microhardness to 1050 HV. The corrosion resistance after the duplex SMAT and nitriding treatment appeared to be improved at room temperature. And in the simulated SG condition at 300 °C, a higher pitting potential and a wider passive region has been observed because of the increase of Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the passive layer on the surface of treated 316L. The better corrosion resistance at both conditions contributes not only to the releasing of surface energy during the nitriding process but also to large amount of defects induced by SMAT, which providing high density of nucleation sites and fast diffusion paths for N and Cr thus leading to the rapid formation of a protective layer.

**Methods**

The alloys 316L samples (50 × 25 × 2 mm\(^3\) in size) were used for the experiments and chemical composition in weight percent is 0.08C, 0.045P, 0.03S, 16Cr, 12Ni, 2Mn, 1Si, 2.0Mo and the balance is Fe. Before SMAT, the specimen’s surfaces were polished with silicon carbide papers and then annealed in vacuum at 1273 K for 120 min for diminishing the effect of mechanical processing and obtaining homogene coarse grains. After degreased using acetone, the 316L samples were subjected to SMAT on both surfaces with stainless steel balls of \( \phi 3 \) mm in diameter at room temperature (25 °C) for 60 mins at a fixed frequency of 20 kHz. The details of the SMAT process were described in an earlier paper\(^{20}\). Then the treated samples were nitrided in a flowing NH\(_3\) (99.9995%) at a pressure of 1 atm for 6h. TEM was performed to examine the microstructure of the nanocrystalline layer utilizing JEOL JEM 2010 with 200 kV accelerating voltage. The phase content and residual stress of reference, nitrided samples without and with SMAT process were investigated by XRD with a Philips X’pert diffractometer using Cu K\(_\alpha\) in the range of 20–80°, the measurements being performed with a continuous scanning mode at a rate of 0.02°/s. While, the residual stress with removal of the surface layer by layer using electro-polishing was determined by the sin\(^2\)\(\psi\) method. Peaks measured at higher 2\(\theta\) were chosen to acquire accurate information on residual stress. The hardness distribution along the cross-section of the reference, nitrided samples without and with SMAT were measured using the Future Tech Vicker’s hardness tester under an applied load of 25g. Potentiodynamic polarization measurements at room temperature were carried out.
using CHI660 electrochemical work at room temperature, and a 3.5 wt% NaCl solution was used as the corrosive medium. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was used as a reference electrode, and a platinum plate was used as a counter electrode. The potential range was from −1000 mV vs. SCE to 1000 mV vs. SCE at a scan rate of 100 mV/min. While the electrochemical behavior of samples without and with duplex treatment at high temperature was studied in simulated SG crevice chemistries at 300 °C, an Ag/AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode, and this reference electrode was immersed in 0.65 M KCl solution, which was the same as the Cl− concentration in the testing solutions. The potential report has been transformed into the SCE scale, the potential range was from −1000 mV vs. SCE to 200 mV vs. SCE. The chemical composition of the oxide films of the samples after corrosion was investigated using XPS, an Axis-ULTRA (Kratos Analytical) spectrometer controlled by a SUN workstation which was equipped with a monochromatic X-ray source (Al Kα, hν = 1486.6 eV), at steps of 0.1 eV using 20 eV pass energy; the SIMS analysis was performed to investigate the concentration depth profile along the cross-section of the passive film on the surface of the samples without or with treatment, the sputtering source was Cs+, operated at 2 kV.

References
1. Buscail, H., ELMessi, S., Riffard, F., Perrier, S. & Issartel, C. Effect of Pre-Oxidation at 800 °C on the Pitting Corrosion Resistance of the AISI 316L Stainless Steel. Oxid. Met. 75(1-2), 27–39 (2011).
2. Trethewey, K. R. Some observations on the current status in the understanding of stress-corrosion cracking of stainless steels. Mater. Design. 29, 501–507 (2008).
3. Was, G. S., Teyseyre, S. & Jiao, Z. Corrosion of Austenitic alloys in Supercritical Water. Corrosion. 62, 989–1005 (2006).
4. Novotny, R. et al. Stress corrosion cracking susceptibility of austenitic stainless steels in supercritical water conditions. J. Nucl. Mater. 409, 117–123 (2011).
5. Bailat, C. et al. The effects of irradiation and testing temperature on tensile behavior of stainless steels. J. Nucl. Mater. 283, 446–450 (2000).
6. Alexandreanu, G. & Was, G. S. Grain Boundary Deformation-Induced Intergranular Stress corrosion Cracking of Ni-16Cr-9Fe in 360 °C Water. Corrosion. 59, 705–720 (2003).
7. Lehockey, E. M., Brennenstuhl, A. M. & Thompson, I. On the relationship between grain boundary connectivity, coincident site lattice boundaries, and intergranular stress corrosion cracking. Corros. Sci. 46, 2383–2404 (2004).
8. Tong, W. P. et al. Nitriding iron at lower temperatures. Science. 299, 686–688 (2003).
9. Li, W. L., Tao, N. R. & Lu. Fabrication of a gradient nano-micro-structured surface layer on bulk copper by means of a surface mechanical grinding treatment. Scr. Mater. 59, 546–549 (2008).
10. Tao, N. R., Sui, M. L., Li, J. & Lu, K. Surface nanocrystallization of iron induced by ultrasonic shot peening. Nanosctruct. Mater. 11, 443–449 (1999).
11. Liu, G., Lu, J. & Lu, K. Surface nanocrystallization of 316L stainless steel induced by ultrasonic shot peening. Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 286, 91–95 (2000).
12. Liu, G. et al. Low carbon steel with nanostructured surface layer induced by high-energy shot peening. Scr. Mater. 44, 1791–1795 (2001).
13. Tao, N. R. et al. An investigation of surface nanocrystallization mechanism in Fe induced by surface mechanical attrition treatment. Acta. Mater. 50, 4603–4616 (2002).
14. Wu, X. et al. Microstructure and evolution of mechanically-induced ultrafine grain in surface layer of Al-alloy subjected to USSP. Acta. Mater. 50, 2075–2084 (2002).
15. Gleiter, H. et al. Nanostructured materials: basic concepts and microstructure. Acta. Mater. 48, 1–29 (2000).
16. Gleiter, H. Nanocrystallite materials. Prog. Mater. Sci. 33, 223–315 (1989).
17. Gleiter, H. Our thoughts are ours, their ends none of our own: are there ways to synthesize materials beyond the limitations of today? Acta. Mater. 56, 5875–5889 (2008).
18. Ralston, K. D. & Birbilis, N. Effect of grain size on corrosion: a review. Scr. Mater. 202, 3222–3227 (2010).
19. Liu, L., Li, Y. & Wang, F. Electrochemical corrosion behavior of nanocrystalline materials—a review. J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 26, 1–14 (2010).
20. Li, N. N. et al. Effects of surface nanocrystallization on the corrosion behaviors of 316L and alloy 690. Surf. Coat. Tech. 309, 227–231 (2017).
21. Zhang, H. W. et al. Z Metalldk Low-Temperature Plasma Nitriding of AISI 304 Stainless Steel with Nanostructured Surface Layer. 94, 1143–1147 (2003).
22. Tong, W. P. et al. The formation of epsilon-Fe-3-N-2 phase in a nanocrystalline Fe. Scripta. Materialia. 50(5), 647–650 (2004).
23. Gu, J. F. et al. Improved nitrogen transport in steels during surface nanocrystallized low-carbon gaseous nitridation. Mater. Lett. 55, 340–343 (2002).
24. Shen, L. et al. Plasma Nitriding of AISI, 304 Austenitic Stainless Steel with Pre-shot Peening. Surf. Coat. Tech. 204, 3222–3227 (2010).
25. Xi, Y. T., Liu, D. X. & Dong, H. Improvement of Corrosion and Wear Resistances of AISI, 420 Martensitic Stainless Steel Using Plasma Nitriding at Low Temperature. Surf. Coat. Tech. 202, 2577–2583 (2008).
26. Li, G. et al. Effect of DC Plasma Nitriding Temperature on Microstructure and Dry- Sliding Wear Properties of 316L Stainless Steel. Surf. Coat. Tech. 202, 2749–2754 (2008).
27. Li, C. X. et al. Corrosion Properties of Active Screen PlasmaNitried316 Austenitic Stainless Steel. Corro. Sci. 46, 1527–1547 (2004).
28. Naumkin, A. V., Anna, K. V., Stephen, W. G. & Cedric, I. P. NIST X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Database. http://srdata.nist.gov/ xps/ (2000).
29. Benoit, R. Database for XPS, AES, UPS and ESCA, http://www.lasurface.com/accueil/index.php (1998).
30. Zhang, Z. M. et al. Influence of dissolved oxygen on oxide films of Alloy 690TT with different surface status in simulated primary water. Corros. Sci. 53, 3623–3633 (2011).
31. Song, S. H. & Xiao, P. An impedance spectroscopy study of oxide films formed during high temperature oxidation of an austenitic stainless steel. J. Mater. Sci. 38, 499–506 (2003).
32. Machet, A. et al. XPS study of oxides formed on nickel-base alloys in high temperature and high-pressure water. Surf. Interface. Anal. 34, 197–200 (2002).
33. Marcus, P. & Grimal, J. M. The anodic dissolution and passivation of NiCrFe alloys studied by ESCA. Corros. Sci. 33, 805–814 (1992).
34. Ziemniak, S. E., Hanson, M. & Sander, P. C. Electropolishing effects on corrosion behavior of 304 stainless steel in high temperature, hydrogenated water. Corros. Sci. 50, 2465–2477 (2008).
35. Cheng, X. Q. et al. Investigation of oxide film formation on 316L stainless steel in high-temperature aqueous environments. Electrochimica. Acta. 56, 5860–5865 (2011).
Author Contributions
Li, N.N. and Wang, N. conceived the study, Li, N.N. carried on the experiment, Li, N.N. and Wang, N. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information
Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018