Structural Aspects of the Ortho Chloro- and Fluoro-Substituted Benzoic Acids: Implications on Chemical Properties
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Abstract: This article presents a detailed comprehensive investigation of the ortho fluoro- and chloro-substituted benzoic acids both, as isolated molecules and in the crystalline phase. Quantum chemical calculations performed within the density functional theory (DFT) formalism are used to investigate the potential energy landscapes of the molecules, taking into special consideration the effects of the interactions between the carboxylic group and the ortho halogen substituents, as well as the nature of these later on the structure and properties of the investigated systems. The structures of the relevant conformers of the molecules are discussed in comparative terms, and used to rationalize experimental data obtained for the compounds in the gas phase and isolated in low-temperature inert matrices. The UV-induced photofragmentation reactions of two of the compounds isolated in cryogenic inert matrices were studied as illustrative cases. The structures of the crystals reported previously in the literature are revisited and discussed also in a comparative basis. Particular emphasis is given to the analysis of the intermolecular interactions in the different crystals, using Hirshfeld surface analysis, the CE-B3LYP energy decomposition model and the HOMA index, and to their correlation with thermodynamic data.
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1. Introduction

Fluoro- and chloro-substituted benzoic acid derivatives have been shown to exhibit relevant practical applications, namely as precursors of agrochemical and pharmaceutical products, food additives and dyes [1]. The fluoro-substituted compounds are considered to be environmentally acceptable alternatives to chlorinated compounds [2], which makes them presently particularly relevant. They have been also used as artificial tracers to investigate flow dynamics in geothermal, hydrothermal and oil well applications to optimize oil recovery [3–5]. It was also shown that some bacterial strains use fluorobenzoates as the sole source of carbon and energy [6,7], and the metabolic and catabolic processes involving these compounds have been extensively studied [7–12]. Due to its complexing ability, the ortho-substituted fluorobenzoic acid (2-fluorobenzoic acid) has been for long used in the quantitative determination of iron in aqueous solution [13]. In its turn, the chloro-substituted benzoic acids are amongst the more versatile precursors or intermediates in the synthesis of pesticides [14]. Diclofenac (2-[2-(2,6-dichloroanilino)phenyl]acetic acid), which is
a widespread non-steroid anti-inflammatory drug, is synthesized from 2-chlorobenzoic acid and 2,6-dichloroaniline [15].

From a structural point of view, the ortho substituted derivatives are particularly interesting compounds, due to the expected intramolecular interactions between the carboxylic acid moiety and the substituents. This subject has been explored in recent studies on the ortho mono-substituted fluoro- and chloro-benzoic acid derivatives [16,17]. For both compounds, the asymmetric substitution at the ortho positions (in one side of the carboxylic substituent there is a hydrogen atom and in the other a halogen atom) results in dissimilar intramolecular interactions in the different conformers of these molecules, which in turn, determine their distinct chemical behavior [16,17]. The two molecules possess two conformers with the carboxylic group in the trans configuration (O=C-O-H dihedral ~180°), which have a considerably higher energy compared to the two related forms having the carboxylic group in the cis arrangement (O=C-O-H dihedral ~0°). The trans→cis conversion for the pairs of trans/cis related conformers was found to take place at very much different rates, as the stabilizing intramolecular interaction between the ortho halogen atom and the carboxylic group in one of the trans forms leads to a much higher trans→cis isomerization barrier compared to that existing in the other trans conformer, where such interaction is replaced by a destabilizing interaction between the carboxylic group and the ortho hydrogen atom. The experimental observation of these effects was achieved using matrix isolation infrared spectroscopy in cryogenic Ar, N₂ or Xe matrices. In situ irradiation either by UV [17] (for 2-chlorobenzoic acid) or IR [16] (for 2-fluorobenzoic acid) allowed conversion of the abundant low-energy cis conformers into the higher-energy trans forms, and the kinetics of the subsequent trans→cis decay by quantum mechanical tunneling were then estimated and found to correlate with the size of the trans→cis isomerization barriers [16,17].

The different intrinsic characteristics of the fluorine versus chlorine substituent atoms have also been shown to be major factors in determining the structures of the conformers of the corresponding isolated molecules of the ortho-halogen substituted benzoic acids [16–19]. Likewise, they play a key role in defining other properties of the compounds, as for example relative acidities, cell volumes in crystalline phase, dipole moments, spectroscopic data, and melting points [16–29].

Although, there is a significant amount of information on ortho chloro- and fluoro-substituted benzoic acids dispersed in the scientific literature (in particular for the two mono-substituted compounds), no systematic investigation has been reported hitherto that can be used as a comprehensive reference work on this family of compounds. This study aims to fill this gap. Here, a detailed comparative analysis of the potential energy landscapes of the isolated molecules of the ortho mono- and di-substituted fluoro- and chloro-benzoic acids, performed by using quantum chemical calculations within the density functional theory (DFT) formalism is presented, taking into special consideration the effects of the interactions between the carboxylic group and the ortho halogen substituents, as well as the nature of these later. The structures of the relevant conformers of the molecules are also discussed in comparative terms, and used to rationalize experimental data obtained for the isolated molecules of the compounds, in particular, the compounds in the gas phase and isolated in low-temperature inert matrices. The structures of the crystals reported previously in the literature [20–24,30] are revisited and discussed in a comparative basis. Particular emphasis is given to the analysis of the intermolecular interactions in the different crystals and to their correlation with thermodynamic data.

2. Experimental and Computational Methods

The compounds 2-Fluorobenzoic acid and 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acids were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Portugal (>98% purity) (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA), and additionally purified using the usual freeze-pump-thaw method to eliminate trace volatile impurities. The low temperature matrices were obtained by co-deposition of the matrix-gas (Ar or Xe; purities: N60, N48, respectively) and vapors of the compounds obtained by their sublimation, using a Knudsen cell connected to the cryostat, onto a CsI substrate assembled at the cold (10–20 K) tip of the cryostat (APD Cryogenics, Allentown, PA, USA, model DE-202A). The matrix/solute ratios were ~1000 molar ratio.
Both the nozzle and the Knudsen cell were kept at room temperature, which was controlled using a Scientific Instruments, Model 9650-1 temperature controller (accuracy: ±0.1 K).

The infrared spectra for the studied matrix-isolated compounds were obtained in a Thermo Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), with 0.5 cm⁻¹ spectral resolution. A DTGS (deuterated triglycine sulphate) detector, a Globar source, and a KBr beam splitter were used. Irradiations were performed using narrowband tunable UV light provided by a Spectra Physics Quanta-Ray optical parametric oscillator (MOPO-SL) (Spectra Physics, Santa Clara, CA, USA) pumped by a Nd:YAG Spectra Physics Quanta-Ray PRO-230-10 pulsed (10 Hz, 10 ns) laser (Spectra Physics, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The UV beam was introduced into the cryostat through a KBr window. Gas phase infrared spectra were taken from the Coblentz Society infrared spectra database [31].

The DFT/B3LYP [32–34] calculations for the isolated molecules were undertaken using Gaussian 09 [35], with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set [36–38]. Potential energy profiles were obtained by scanning the conformationally relevant torsional coordinates and optimizing all remaining structural parameters. The calculated vibrational wavenumbers were scaled by 0.978 (our standard scaling factor for this combination of method and basis set [39–41]), mainly to account for the effects of basis set limitations, neglected part of electron correlation and anharmonicity. In the spectra simulation, the bands were represented by convoluting each peak (calculated scaled wavenumber and infrared intensity) with a Lorentzian function with a full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of 2 cm⁻¹.

Lattice energies of the crystals (E_latt) of the studied compounds were computed using the CE-B3LYP model (with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set) [42] using CrystalExplorer17 [43], and related with sublimation enthalpies ΔH_sub(T),

\[
\Delta H_{\text{sub}}(T) = (E_{el} + E_{trans} + E_{rot} + E_{vib}) - (E_{el} + E_{vib}) + pV =
\]

\[
= (E_{el} - E_{el}) + (E_{vib} - E_{vib}) + 4RT = \Delta E_{el} + \Delta E_{vib} + 4RT = -E_{\text{lat}} + \Delta E_{vib} + 4RT
\]

where ideal gas behavior is assumed, and the superscripts g and s refer to the gas and solid crystalline states. Several approaches have been used to obtain “experimental” benchmark lattice energies by estimating the thermal effects, ΔE_vib + 4RT, at different levels of sophistication. The most common approximates these two terms by ~2RT, a result that assumes no difference between gas and crystal intramolecular vibrations, and the intermolecular vibrational energy is at the high-temperature limit of 6RT. These and other assumptions underlying this approximation are discussed in detail in several places [44–48]. In the CE-B3LYP calculations, molecules within a radius of 20 Å were considered.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Conformers and Barriers to Internal Rotation

A thorough conformational search on the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) potential energy surfaces of the studied molecules (the mono- and di-ortho fluoro- or/and chloro- substituted benzoic acids, plus the parent benzoic acid, for completeness) was undertaken. The identified conformers are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

All studied molecules have two conformationally relevant degrees of freedom, corresponding to the internal rotations around the exocyclic C-C and C-O bonds. For the last, two minimum energy structures exist, which maximize the $\pi$-electron delocalization within the carboxylic moiety: the intrinsically most stable cis configuration (O=C-O-H dihedral equal to ~0°), and the higher-energy trans arrangement (O=C-O-H dihedral equal to ~180°). The reasons for the usual higher stability of the cis carboxylic acid structure have been discussed in details elsewhere [49–51]. The major reason is the existence of more favorable bond-dipole/bond-dipole interactions in the cis structure, where the dipoles associated to the C=O and O-H bonds are nearly anti-parallely aligned, compared to those existing in the trans structure, where these bond-dipoles are approximately parallel. For each carboxylic acid stable configuration (cis or trans), the number and type of the minimum energy structures differing in the orientation of the carboxylic acid moiety relatively to the aromatic ring (i.e., differing from
each other by internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C bond) could be anticipated to be very much dependent on the substitution pattern in the aromatic ring. The obtained results plently confirmed these expectations, and stressed the structural relevance of the intramolecular interactions between the ortho substituents and the carboxylic group as well as of the nature of the substituents (H vs. F vs. Cl).

![Figure 1](image_url). B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated minimum energy structures of benzoic acid, 2-fluorobenzoic acid and 2-chlorobenzoic acid, with adopted atom numbering. The values of the O=O-H, C6-C-C=O and C2-C-C=O dihedral angles (in °) are given in parentheses by this order (the first one using bold style). Relative energies are given in square parentheses (in bold), in kJ·mol−1. Each depicted non-planar structure has a symmetry-related form. The cis benzoic acid conformer is also two-fold degenerate, while the trans conformer 4-fold degenerate.

In the case of the parent compound, benzoic acid (BA), two conformers exist (Figure 1). The lower-energy cis conformer is planar and has two equivalent-by-symmetry forms, corresponding to the experimentally observed species [52–56]. On the other hand, the trans conformer has a non-planar structure and has a predicted energy 28.56 kJ·mol−1 above that of the cis conformer. In the cis conformer, the ortho hydrogen atoms participate in stabilizing attractive interactions with the oxygen atoms of the carboxylic group (carbonyl, O=, and acid, OH), which favor the planarity of the molecule, while in the trans conformer the repulsion between the carboxylic hydrogen atom and the nearby located ring ortho hydrogen atom leads to the observed tilt of the carboxylic moiety out of the plane of the ring. Due to its non-planarity and the symmetric substitution in the ring, the trans BA conformer has four symmetry equivalent forms. The calculated O=C-O-H angle in this conformer is −171.4° (taking as reference the structure shown in Figure 1) and the two C-C-C=O dihedrals are −157.4° and 24.0°. To the best of our knowledge, trans BA has never been experimentally observed (we will return to this point later on in this article).
The calculated potential energy profiles for interconversion between the two conformers of BA (internal rotation around the C-O bond) and for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C bond in the cis and trans conformers are shown in Figure 3. The trans→cis barrier is predicted as 23.4 kJ·mol−1 (52.0 kJ·mol−1 in the reverse direction), with the transition state corresponding to a structure where the carboxylic hydrogen atom is nearly perpendicular to the molecular plane. At the geometry of the transition state, conjugation in the carboxylic fragment is minimal, justifying its high energy.

The profiles for internal rotation around the exocyclic C-C bond are considerably different for the two arrangements of the carboxylic group. For the cis arrangement, the energy barrier (28.2 kJ·mol−1) is more than twice larger than for the trans arrangement (13.4 kJ·mol−1). In the cis form, the high energy of the transition state for rotation around the C-C bond is a consequence of the break down of the abovementioned stabilizing interactions between the ring ortho hydrogen atoms and the oxygen atoms of the carboxylic acid substituent (that operate in the minimum energy structure), as well as the lack of conjugation between the carboxylic substituent and the aromatic ring. This latter effect can be noticed, for example, by looking to the exocyclic C-C bond lengths in the cis conformer and in the transition state, respectively 1.486 and 1.500 Å. In the equivalent transition state for the trans carboxylic group geometry, there is a favorable interaction between the π-system of the aromatic ring and the O-H carboxylic group (O-H⋯π), which considerably lowers the energy barrier for rotation about the C-C bond. This type of O-H⋯π stabilizing interactions (and similars) has been described for other, structurally related systems [57].
The four symmetry-equivalent trans forms can be grouped in two pairs, whose members are separated from each other by a small energy barrier (1.1 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)) at the planar transition state geometry (see Figure 3, top panel). Although low, this barrier is still above the zero-point level for the \(\tau\)C-O torsion in the trans conformer (calculated as 0.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)), so that the non-planar structures do indeed correspond to potentially experimentally observable minima.

**Figure 3.** B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C (for cis and trans arrangement of the carboxylic group) (top and middle panels) and about the C-O bond (bottom panel) for benzoic acid.
Both 2-fluorobenzoic acid (2FBA) and 2-chlorobenzoic acid (2CBA) have two low-energy cis confor-
mers and two higher-energy trans confor-
mers (see Figure 1). In the fluoro-substituted com-
 pound, three confor-
mers are planar, the two cis confor-
mers (cis-I and cis-II) and one trans confor-
mer (trans-II), all being unique structures. The additional trans confor-
mer (trans-I) is non planar, being two-fold degenerate by symmetry. The two cis confor-
mers have rather similar energies, with cis-II (where the fluoro-substituent stays the same side of the molecule as the acid oxygen atom and a C-H⋯O= stabilizing interaction exists), being the most stable form. The cis-I confor-
mer has a relative energy of 2.82 kJ·mol⁻¹. In this confor-
mer, the C-H⋯O= interaction present in cis-II is replaced by a weaker stabilizing C-H⋯OH interaction. The C-H⋯O= interaction is more efficient in stabilizing cis-II than the C-H⋯OH interaction in stabilizing cis-I due to the more favorable localization of the interacting carboxyl oxygen lone electron pair, which stays in the molecular plane, whereas in cis-I both lone electron pairs of the acid oxygen atom are out of the molecular plane. On the other hand, the interactions between the fluoro-substituent and the oxygen atoms, which are of repulsive nature, also favor a lower energy for cis-II compared to cis-I. This can be rationalized in similar terms as for the interactions involving the ortho hydrogen atom discussed above. In cis-I, the F⋯O repulsion is stronger than the F⋯OH repulsion in cis-II because the interacting lone electron pair of the carbonyl oxygen atom is in the same plane as the fluorine atom in the former confor-
mer, while the lone electron pairs of the acid oxygen atom in cis-II are both out of the plane in relation to the fluoro-substituent. The calculated atomic charges (Mulliken charges) for the two oxygen atoms, and for the ortho fluorine and hydrogen atoms also point to a stronger repulsive F⋯O interaction in cis-I and to a stronger attractive H⋯O interaction in cis-II. The charges (in units of e) of the interacting pairs of atoms are: +0.213(H)/−0.193(OH) and −0.133(F)/−0.293(O=) in cis-I, and +0.206(H)/−0.295(O=) and −0.139(F)/−0.196(OH) in the most stable cis-II confor-
mer.

The planar trans 2FBA confor-
mmer (trans-II) is stabilized by a O-H⋯F intramolecular hydrogen bond, and has a relative energy of 7.69 kJ·mol⁻¹. This energy can be compared with that of the second trans 2FBA confor-
mmer (trans-I), where no such stabilizing interaction exists, which amounts to 29.36 kJ·mol⁻¹, very similar to the energy difference between the trans and cis confor-
mers of benzoic acid (28.56 kJ·mol⁻¹; see above). Like for the trans BA confor-
mmer, in the trans-I confor-
mmer of 2FBA the OH⋯H repulsive interaction dominates, being the main factor determining the high relative energy and the non-planaritry of the confor-
mmer (the C(F)-C=C=O and C(H)-C=C=O angles in trans-I are 44.3° and −132.5°, respectively, for the structure shown in Figure 1, which has a symmetry equivalent form where these angles are −44.3° and 132.5°).

The potential energy profiles for conversion between the pairs of related cis and trans 2FBA confor-
mers (cis-I and trans-I; cis-II and trans-II) and those for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C bond in keeping the confor-
mnation of the carboxylic acid fragment as cis or trans are represented in Figure 4. The trans-II→cis-II conversion takes place over a barrier of 40.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ (48.1 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the reverse direction), which is more than 3 times higher than that converting trans-I into cis-I (13.9 kJ·mol⁻¹; 50.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the reverse direction) due to the strong stabilization of trans-II originated by the O-H⋯F hydrogen bond.

The internal rotation about the C-C bond for the cis carboxylic acid confor-
mnation interconverts cis-I and cis-II and has an associated energy barrier of 13.1 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the cis-I→cis-II direction (15.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the reverse direction). Interestingly, this barrier is considerably lower than the equivalent one in benzoic acid (28.2 kJ·mol⁻¹). Since the intramolecular interactions at the transition states for the C-C internal rotation of both molecules can be expected to be very similar when the carboxylic acid group is in the cis confor-
mnation, the lower barrier observed in 2FBA compared to BA demonstrates that, globally, the cis confor-
mers of 2FBA are destabilized compared to the cis form of BA, i.e., F⋯O repulsions dominate over H⋯O attractions.
The stabilization of trans-II, due to the intramolecular H-bond makes the energy barrier for its conversion into the trans-I form (24.2 kJ·mol⁻¹) to be almost twice the equivalent barrier in trans BA (13.4 kJ mol⁻¹), while the barrier for the reverse reaction reflects the dominance of strong repulsive interactions in trans-I 2FBA, amounting only to 2.5 kJ·mol⁻¹. The conversion between the two symmetry-equivalent trans-I forms has an associated barrier of 8.5 kJ·mol⁻¹, at the planar transition state. Note, also that the trans-I → trans-II barrier is small, but still considerably above the zero-point energy level of the τC-C torsional vibration in trans-I, so that the non-planar trans-I conformer should in principle be observable experimentally. We will return to this point later on in this article.

In the case of 2-chlorobenzoic acid, all minimum energy structures are predicted by the calculations as being non-planar. Compared to 2FBA, the order of energy of the two cis conformers is predicted by the calculations to be the opposite, with cis-I being the most stable conformer. In spite of the small energy difference between the two cis conformers predicted by the calculations (0.24 kJ·mol⁻¹), their...
relative order of stability is predicted correctly. In fact, previously reported infrared spectroscopy experiments for the compound isolated in a low temperature argon matrix [17] have unequivocally demonstrated that the cis-I form is the lowest energy conformer of 2CBA. The different order of stability of the two cis conformers in 2FBA and 2CBA can be rationalized taking into account that the Cl⋯O repulsions are considerably stronger in 2CBA than in 2FBA and that, contrarily to what happens for this latter molecule (see above), in 2CBA, due to the large volume of the chlorine atom, the repulsive Cl⋯O interactions are not significantly affected by the spatial location of the interacting lone electron pair(s) of the oxygen atoms, i.e., the Cl⋯O interaction is as much effective when involving the “in-plane” lone electron pair of the carbonyl O= atom or the “out-of-plane” lone electron pairs of the acid oxygen atom. In fact, under these assumptions it can even be expected the repulsion to be slightly more important when involving the acid oxygen atom than the carbonyl one (in agreement with the predicted and experimentally observed order of energies of the two conformers of 2CBA), since it is well-known that an acid oxygen atom has a larger effective volume than a carbonyl oxygen [49–51].

It should also be noticed that the analysis of the potential energy profile for rotation around the exocyclic C-C bond for the cis conformation of the carboxylic group in 2CBA (see Figure 5, top-left panel) reveals that the two-equivalent-by-symmetry cis-I forms and the two symmetry-equivalent cis-II forms are separated by low energy barriers, at planar transition states. For cis-I, the energy barrier (0.9 kJ·mol⁻¹) is above the zero-point energy associated with the torsion around the C-C bond (0.2 kJ·mol⁻¹), showing that the non-planar structures correspond to experimentally observable species. On the contrary, the energy barrier separating the two cis-II minima (0.1 kJ·mol⁻¹) is below their zero-point torsional level (0.2 kJ·mol⁻¹), so that the experimentally relevant structure of conformer cis-II shall be the planar form. According to these conclusions, the gas phase population of the cis-I conformer could be expected to be approximately twice that of the cis-II conformer. Such result is confirmed by the experimental data reported in [17]. The ratio of the intensities of the infrared bands assigned to these two conformers (cis-I:cis-II) measured immediately after deposition of an argon matrix of 2CBA was indeed found to be ~2:1.

The main structural characteristics of the trans conformers of 2CBA generically follow those described above for the trans conformers of 2FBA. Although, two symmetry equivalent minima, corresponding to non-planar geometries, were predicted for the lower energy trans-I conformer, the energy barrier separating these minima is lower than 0.1 kJ·mol⁻¹ (see Figure 5, top-right panel) and stays below the τC-C zero point level of this conformer (slightly above 0.1 kJ·mol⁻¹), so that the planar structure is the experimentally relevant species. Then, like for 2FBA, the lower energy trans conformer in 2CBA is a planar unique structure. However, it is also stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond (O-H⋯Cl, in this case). The stabilization of trans-II by this interaction is, as it could be anticipated considering the relative strengths of typical O-H⋯Cl and O-H⋯F hydrogen bonds, not as large as in the case of the equivalent conformer of 2FBA: the relative energy of trans-II in 2FBA is 7.69 kJ·mol⁻¹; in 2CBA, trans-II has a relative energy of 9.7 kJ·mol⁻¹. The higher-energy trans-I conformer has a relative energy of 22.02 kJ·mol⁻¹ and is structurally similar to its counterpart in 2FBA (the angles between the planes of the ring and of the carboxylic group are 44.3°, in 2CBA, and 54.9°, in 2FBA). This conformer can be converted into conformer trans-II by rotation around the exocyclic C-C bond (Figure 5, top-right panel), the energy barrier for this process being only 0.6 kJ·mol⁻¹, but still above the τC-C zero-point level of trans-I (~0.3 kJ·mol⁻¹). The barrier for the reverse transformation (trans-II→trans-I) is 12.7 kJ·mol⁻¹, which is about half of the equivalent one in 2FBA (21.7 kJ·mol⁻¹) and is consistent with the relative strengths of the distinct intramolecular hydrogen bonds present in the trans-II conformers of the two molecules.

The potential energy profiles for interconversion between the cis and trans conformers (Figure 5, bottom panels) follow the trends, already mentioned above, when comparing the cis→trans potential energy profiles of 2FBA with the cis→trans one in benzoic acid. The cis-II→trans-II and cis-I→trans-I transformations all have similar energy barriers (52.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ in BA, 48.1 and 50.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ in 2FBA, 46.3 and 49.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ in 2CBA), but the barriers for the reverse reactions involving the hydrogen
bonded conformers (trans-II) in 2FBA and 2CBA show the stabilization effect of this intramolecular interaction, increasing along the series BA > 2CBA > 2FBA (23.4, 36.6, 40.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively).

It is interesting to examine the available experimental data on benzoic acid, 2FBA and 2CBA in relation to conformational isomerization at light of the above discussed potential energy landscapes. For the three compounds, only the lower energy cis conformers were observed in low temperature infrared matrix isolation experiments [16,17,54,55]. The cis conformer of BA was also observed in the gas phase both by electron diffraction experiments and microwave spectroscopy [52,53], and the gas phase infrared spectrum of this species has also been reported [56]. On the other hand, the trans conformer of BA has never been observed experimentally. In the case of 2FBA, the intramolecularly hydrogen bonded conformer of 2FBA (trans-II) was detected in gas phase (together with the two cis conformers) by microwave spectroscopy [18], and it has been produced in argon and N₂ cryomatrices as result of vibrational excitation of the cis conformers (using infrared narrowband in situ irradiation) [16]. The existence of the highest energy trans-I conformer of 2FBA was inferred indirectly in the matrix isolation conformational studies reported in Ref. [16], but it could not be directly observed due to its fast spontaneous conversion to the lowest-energy cis conformers. For 2CBA, in situ UV irradiation of the matrix-isolated cis conformers was shown to lead to their conversion into their trans counterparts, which were then found to decay by quantum mechanical tunneling back to the cis forms [17]. In Ref. [17], the intramolecularly hydrogen bonded trans-II conformer was directly observed, while the highest-energy

---

**Figure 5.** B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C (for cis and trans arrangement of the carboxylic group) (top left and top right panels) and about the C-O bond (connecting the two pairs of conformers, cis-II/trans-II and cis-I/trans-I; bottom left and bottom right panels) for 2-chlorobenzoic acid.
trans-I conformer was only possible to observe experimentally upon OH→OD isotopic substitution, in order to make its tunneling conversion into the cis form slow enough.

The experimental observation of the cis forms was expected for all molecules, considering their low energies (see Figure 1) and the fact that they correspond to deep minima in the potential energy surfaces. The intramolecularly hydrogen bonded trans-II conformer of 2FBA is also a well-defined minimum with a high energy barrier (40.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)) of conversion into cis-II (see Figure 4, bottom-left panel), and has an expected population in the gas phase at room temperature of ca. 2% [16], justifying its experimental observation in the microwave experiment [18]. Trans-II was not detected in the deposited cryogenic matrices [16] most probably because its expected population in the matrix is below the sensitivity of the technique (the infrared spectra is complex, showing the vibrational signatures of the two cis conformers and the spectra of trans-II conformer is not much different from those of the cis forms, which complicates its experimental detection by this technique). However, once trans-II is produced in situ by vibrational excitation (upon infrared irradiation) of the matrix-isolated conformer cis-II, the high energy barrier for the trans-II→cis-II conversion precludes this transformation to take place (the over the barrier thermal process is not possible at all, and the experiments showed that quantum mechanical tunneling is also inefficient in this case). It can then be concluded that the trans-II conformer of 2FBA is a stable species. In its turn, the higher-energy trans-I conformer of 2FBA is unstable, decaying fastly into cis-I by tunneling (through a low barrier of only 13.9 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\); see Figure 4, bottom right panel) or into trans-II both via thermal over-the-barrier and tunneling (through a barrier of only 2.5 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)).

The occurrence of these decay processes justify the experimental results described in [16], and are in agreement with the fact that this conformer was not observed in the microwave study (in any case, considering the relative energy of trans-I, even in the absence of the spontaneous tunneling decay reactions its population in the room temperature gas phase could be expected to be negligible).

In the case of 2CBA, the highest energy trans-I conformer could only be detected [17] upon deuteration of the carboxylic group, which reduces its tunneling decay rate substantially allowing its experimental detection under cryogenic conditions. The barrier for the trans-I→cis-I conversion is, for 2CBA, of 27.5 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\), which is still low enough to allow fast tunneling. On the other hand, the 2CBA intramolecularly hydrogen bonded conformer trans-II could be experimentally detected after its in situ photoproduction in a cryogenic matrix [17], but found to decay slowly by quantum mechanical tunneling into cis-II (half-life of ~10–30 min, at 9–45 K in a Xe matrix). The trans-II→cis-II energy barrier in 2CBA is 36.6 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\), i.e., intermediate between that of trans-II in 2FBA, which is a stable species (barrier: 40.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)) and the barriers for all other trans→cis isomerizations in BA, 2FBA and 2CBA (between 27.5 and 13.9 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)) that lead to fast tunneling and unstable trans conformers.

Taking into account the discussion above for 2FBA and 2CBA, the reasons why the trans conformer of benzoic acid was not experimentally detected both in the gas phase experiments [52,53,56] and in the matrix isolation studies [54,55] also became clear: the barrier for its conversion into the cis form is only 23.4 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\), intermediate between those for conversion of trans-I into cis-I in 2FCB and 2CBA, and lying within the range of values, which enables fast tunneling; the trans conformer of BA is then also unstable, even at cryogenic temperatures.

The conformational spaces of the three investigated di-substituted compounds, 2,6-difluoro-, 2,6-dichloro-, and 2-chloro-6-fluoro- benzoic acids (abbreviated here as 26DFBA, 26DCBA and 2C6FBA, respectively; see also Figure 2) are relatively more simple than those of the mono-substituted compounds. In practical terms, all these compounds have only one cis and one trans conformer, though in the case of 2C6FBA three non-equivalent minima exist on the potential energy surface (one cis and two trans). No structural experimental data has been reported for the isolated molecules of these compounds.

The two conformers of 26DCBA have the carboxylic moiety exactly perpendicular to the plane of the ring, in order to minimize the repulsion between the chlorine ortho substituents and the oxygen atoms of the carboxylic group. Each form is two-fold degenerate, the trans conformer being higher in
energy than the *cis* by 17.05 kJ·mol⁻¹. On the contrary, for 26DFBA the conformers are 4-fold degenerate and the planes of the carboxylic group and the aromatic ring make angles of 47.1 and 26.6°, respectively for the *cis* and *trans* conformer. The *trans* conformer is 14.87 kJ·mol⁻¹ higher in energy than the *cis* form. No intramolecularly hydrogen bonded conformers exist for these two molecules, as the required geometries would also imply strong repulsions between the ring halogen atoms and the oxygen atoms of the carboxylic moiety.

The potential energy profiles for internal rotations about the C-O and exocyclic C-C bonds in these two molecules are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

![Potential energy profiles](image)

**Figure 6.** B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C (for *cis* and *trans* arrangement of the carboxylic group) (top and middle panels) and about the C-O bond (connecting the *cis* and *trans* conformers; bottom panel) for 2,6-difluorobenzoic acid.
Figure 7. B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C (for cis and trans arrangement of the carboxylic group) (top and middle panels) and about the C-O bond (connecting the cis and trans conformers; bottom panel) for 2,6-dichlorobenzoic acid.

The trans→cis energy barrier in 26DCBA is predicted as being 31.7 kJ·mol⁻¹, which is intermediate between those associated with the trans-I→cis-I and trans-II→cis-II transformations in 2CBA (27.5 and 36.6 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively; see above). This result suggests that trans 26DCBA is unstable, decaying spontaneously to the cis form via tunelling if produced in some way. The barrier for the reverse cis→trans transformation (48.7 kJ·mol⁻¹) is identical to those predicted for BA, 2FBA and 2CBA. The barriers of internal rotation about the C-C bond in 26DCBA are similar for both cis and trans carboxylic acid group conformations (26.6 and 24.9 kJ·mol⁻¹, for cis and trans respectively), stressing the dominance of the Cl⋯O repulsive interactions at the planar transition states. These barriers are also similar to the overall energy barrier for internal rotation about the C-C bond in trans 2DCBA.
(25.5 kJ·mol⁻¹; see Figure 5, top-right panel), which is also dominated by the repulsive Cl···O (and OH···H) interactions, but more than 3-times higher that in cis 2DCBA (7.8 kJ·mol⁻¹; Figure 5, top-left panel), where the Cl···O repulsive interaction at the transition state is partially compensated by a stabilizing C-H···O= interaction.

Due to the asymmetrical position of the minimum energy conformations along the C-C internal rotation in both cis and trans 26DFBA relatively to the two fluoro-ortho substituents, the trans→cis interconversion in this molecule can take place through two different transition states, depending on the direction of the rotation of the moving carboxylic hydrogen atom along the transition (see Figure 6, bottom panel). The two barriers are, nevertheless, not much different, amounting to 30.6 and 36.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the trans→cis direction and to 45.5 and 51.8 kJ·mol⁻¹ in the reverse direction. The latter barriers are similar to cis→trans barriers found for the remaining studied molecules (including 2C6FBA; see below), allowing us to conclude that a barrier in the 45−52 kJ·mol⁻¹ range is characteristics for the carboxylic group cis→trans transformation in this type of molecules. In the reverse (trans→cis) direction, the barriers found for 26DFBA are similar to those predicted for both 26DCBA and 2CBA (see above), being of intermediate size within the set of values for these barriers in the whole set of studied molecules, but they are significantly different from those observed for the trans-I→cis-I and trans-II→cis-II transformations in 2FBA (13.9 and 40.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively). As for the trans conformer of 26DCBA, the trans 26DFBA conformer shall be unstable and convert to the cis form spontaneously by tunneling.

The potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C bond in 26DFBA, for cis and trans arrangements of the carboxylic group (Figure 6, top and middle panels), reveal the 4 symmetry-equivalent minima in both cases, which can be grouped in two pairs, separated by a transition state where the carboxylic group and the aromatic ring are nearly perpendicular. Within each group, the two forms are connected by a planar transition state. For the cis arrangement of the carboxylic group, the first transition state is the lowest energy one (1.8 kJ·mol⁻¹), while the planar transition state separating the members of each pair of symmetry-equivalent forms has an energy of 4.8 kJ·mol⁻¹. This result highlights the dominance of the F···O repulsions at the planar cis transition state. On the other hand, for the trans arrangement of the carboxylic group the planar transition state has a lower energy (1.5 kJ·mol⁻¹) than the perpendicular one (4.8 kJ·mol⁻¹), a result that indicates that the O-H···F interaction established with one of the F ortho atoms partially compensates the effect of the repulsive interaction between the carbonyl oxygen atom and the second ortho fluoro-substituent.

Compared with the C-C barriers in 26DCBA, the barriers in 26DFBA are much lower, mostly because of the much smaller size of the fluorine atom compared with the chlorine atom, which reduce the strength of the repulsive halogen-oxygen interactions in the fluorinated compound.

The asymmetrically substituted 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acid has one cis conformer, which, as for the remaining molecules studied, is the lowest energy conformer, and is lower in energy than the trans conformer by 17.07 kJ·mol⁻¹. As already mentioned, the cis→trans barrier (47.5 kJ·mol⁻¹; see Figure 8) is similar to those in the other compounds, while the barrier for the reverse transformation amounts to 30.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, similar to those found for 26DFBA, 26DCBA and 2CBA, and thus, as for these molecules, the trans conformer of 2C6FBA can also be expected to decay spontaneously by tunneling to the cis conformer. 2C6FBA has been investigated in a Xe matrix before [58] and, in consonance with these results, only the cis conformer was observed in the matrix. In the present study, we attempted to produce the trans conformer by using both UV and IR irradiation of the cis 2C6FBA conformer isolated in a Xe matrix (see Section 3.4), but we were unable to detect this form (as expected).
expected taking into account the conclusions from the discussion made above for the mono-substituted compounds. In the case of the \textit{trans} arrangement of the carboxylic group, rotation about the C-C bond reveals two non-equivalent 2-fold degenerated minima (named as \textit{trans} and \textit{trans'} in Figure 2 and also in Figure 8, top panel). However, the barrier separating \textit{trans'} from \textit{trans} (0.1 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)) stays below the zero-point energy for the \(\tau\) C-C torsional vibration of \textit{trans'} (0.2 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\)) so that this structure is better described as a vibrationally excited state of \textit{trans}, resulting that 2C6FBA has a single 2-fold degenerate by symmetry \textit{trans} conformer with experimental significance. The barriers separating the two symmetry equivalent \textit{trans} forms of 2C6FBA amount to 13.7 and 5.2 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\), for the planar transitions states with the OH carboxylic group pointing to the chlorine and fluorine atoms, respectively. This result can be directly correlated with the relative strengths of the stabilizing O-H… F and O-H… Cl stabilizing interactions.
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**Figure 8.** B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C (for \textit{cis} and \textit{trans} arrangement of the carboxylic group) (top and middle panels) and about the C-O bond (connecting the \textit{cis} and \textit{trans} conformers; bottom panel) for 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acid.

The \textit{cis} and \textit{trans} potential energy profiles for internal rotation about the exocyclic C-C bond in 2C6FBA are similar (see Figure 8, top and middle panels), with shallow wells at the position of the conformers. In the case of the \textit{cis} conformer, the two symmetry-equivalent forms are separated by barriers of 16.0 and 11.5 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\), for the planar transitions states with the carbonyl oxygen atom positioned close to the fluorine and chlorine atoms respectively (and the acid oxygen atom positioned close to the second halogen atom). This result indicates that the sum of the energies associated with the F… O= and Cl… OH repulsions is larger than the sum of the Cl… O= and F… OH interactions, as expected taking into account the conclusions from the discussion made above for the mono-substituted compounds. In the case of the \textit{trans} arrangement of the carboxylic group, rotation about the C-C bond reveals two non-equivalent 2-fold degenerated minima (named as \textit{trans} and \textit{trans'} in Figure 2...
and also in Figure 8, top panel). However, the barrier separating \textit{trans} from \textit{trans} (0.1 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) stays below the zero-point energy for the τ-C-C torsional vibration of \textit{trans}’ (0.2 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) so that this structure is better described as a vibrationally excited state of \textit{trans}, resulting that 2C6FBA has a single 2-fold degenerate by symmetry \textit{trans} conformer with experimental significance. The barriers separating the two symmetry equivalent \textit{trans} forms of 2C6FBA amount to 13.7 and 5.2 kJ·mol$^{-1}$, for the planar transitions states with the OH carboxylic group pointing to the chlorine and fluorine atoms, respectively. This result can be directly correlated with the relative strengths of the stabilizing O-H···F and O-H···Cl stabilizing interactions.

3.2. Other Molecular Properties: Internal Strain, Acidity, and Dipole Moment

As detailed in the previous section, the nature of the ortho substituents (H, F, Cl), and the relative amount of internal strain they introduce in the studied molecules, play an important role in determining their conformational preferences. Internal strain is also of fundamental importance in determining structural features like bond lengths, and in particular, within the carboxylic moiety, and, together with the relative inductive and resonance abilities of the substituents, also in defining the acidity and the dipole moment of the studied compounds.

The relative internal strain in the experimentally relevant \textit{cis} conformers of the investigated molecules can be promptly estimated by considering the relative lengths of the exocyclic C-C bond connecting their carboxylic acid group to the aromatic ring. As seen in Figure 9, the C-C bond length increases in the order BA < 2FBA < 2CBA < 26DFBA < 2C6FCA < 26DCBA, as it could be anticipated (in the plot shown in Figure 9, the average of the C-C bond lengths in the two \textit{cis} conformers for both 2FBA and 2CBA is used). As pointed in the previous section, from the practical point of view the \textit{cis} conformers of benzoic acid and of the two mono-substituted compounds are planar, while those of the three di-substituted compounds are toughly non-planar. This fact influences decisively the properties of the carboxylic acid group, making the two sets of molecules intrinsically different regarding its characteristics.

![Figure 9. B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated bond lengths of the exocyclic C-C bond in the studied molecules.](image)

Indeed, the resonance stabilization within the carboxylic group (represented in Scheme 1 by the structure B) is weak in benzoic acid and in the two mono-substituted compounds, due to the cross...
conjugation with the aromatic ring (C, in Scheme 1), which competes with the first effect. On the other hand, because of the non-planarity due to the stronger steric effects in the di-substituted derivatives, the cross conjugation is diminished in these compounds, so that the resonance stabilization within the carboxylic group becomes considerably more important. This has relevant structural implications, and it also plays a major role in determining the relative acidity of the two groups of molecules (for this latter property, one has also to count with the strain induced by the ortho substituents in the ionized carboxylate group of the conjugated bases of the acids, but for these species the effect can even be expected to be more relevant, due to the larger effective volume of the carboxylate moiety when compared with the non-ionized carboxylic acid group [49–51].

Scheme 1. Mesomorphic structures representing the resonance stabilization within the carboxylic group (B) and the competing cross conjugation with the aromatic ring (C). Structure A corresponds to the molecule canonical form.

Figure 10 shows plots of the calculated C-O, C=O and O-H bond lengths, as well as of vibrational frequencies associated of these bonds in the studied molecules [the localized \( \nu(O-H) \) and \( \nu(C=O) \) stretching and the \( \tau(C-O) \) torsion modes; \( \nu(C-O) \) is extensively coupled with the \( \delta(C-O-H) \) in-plane bending], as a function of their acidity, as expressed by the corresponding pKa values in water at room temperature (25 °C) [25–29,59]. To fully understand the plots, one shall recall that both F and Cl have negative (electron withdrawing) inductive effect (−I), which is more important for fluorine than for chlorine (in view of the corresponding relative electronegativities), and positive (electron donating) mesomorphic effect (+M), which is also stronger in fluorine compared to chlorine (due to the better orbital interactions of the 2p C or O orbitals with the closest in energy 2p orbitals of the fluorine atom, compared to the chlorine 3p orbitals). Noteworthy, when both +M and −I effects are operating simultaneously, both fluorine and chlorine are globally electron attractors (i.e., the −I effect dominates), but the much stronger +M effect of fluorine compared to chlorine reduces its total electron attractor power substantially compared to the later, so that fluorine becomes a total weaker electron attractor than chlorine. One consequence of this is that 2-fluorobenzoic acid has a lower acidity compared to 2-chlorobenzoic acid (the experimental pKa values for 2FBA and 2CBA at 25 °C in water are respectively 3.27 and 2.94 [25,27]). Of course, both compounds are considerably more acidic than the unsubstituted benzoic acid (pKa = 4.20 [59]).

The pKa values for the difluoro- and dichloro- ortho substituted benzoic acids are 2.34 and 1.69, respectively [26,28], with 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acid having an intermediate pKa (2.04 [29]). In these compounds, besides the inductive and mesomeric effects of the substituents, the internal strain leading to non-planarity between the carboxylic group and the aromatic ring has also to be taken into account. The last, as shown in Figure 9, follows the order 26DFBA < 2C6FBA < 2C6CBA (which is also the order of the degree of non-planarity of the cis conformers of the three molecules, whose angles made by the planes of the carboxylic group and the aromatic ring are 47.1°, 67.6°, and 90.0°, respectively, see Figure 2).
Figure 10. Correlation between the O-H, C-O and C=O bond lengths and of the ν(O-H), τ(C-O), and ν(C=O) vibrational frequencies of the experimentally relevant cis conformers and pK_a values (water; 25 °C) for the studied molecules. From top-left to bottom-right panels (descending by columns), the slopes, intercepts of the fitted lines and the R² parameters of the adjustments are: (−4.318 × 10⁻⁴, 0.970, 0.999897; −8.755 × 10⁻⁴, 0.972, 0.974777), (2.750 × 10⁻³, 1.347, 0.99982; 4.550 × 10⁻³, 1.339, 0.99409), (1.560 × 10⁻³, 1.202, 0.99875; 3.290 × 10⁻³, 1.195, 0.99014), (6.162, 3746.3, 0.99771; 12.052, 3721.9, 0.96834), (−7.968, 608.4, 0.60329; −13.522, 632.6, 0.99916), (−2.217, 1794.8, 0.99791; −13.544, 1842.1, 0.97844), (2.063, 3569.6, 0.90715; 3.504, 3555.4, 0.96512), (1.436, 1770.7, 0.66553; −1.331, 579.8, 0.66553; −2.217, 1794.8, 0.99791), (−1.331, 579.8, 0.66553; −3.277, 588.2, 0.98402), (−1.436, 1770.7, 0.66553; −6.422, 1792.3, 0.96951). For both 2-fluorobenzoic acid and 2-chlorobenzoic acid, calculated bond lengths and vibrational frequencies are the means of the values obtained for the two cis conformers.

It is clear from the data plotted in Figure 10 that for the two groups of molecules (BA, 2FBA and 2CBA, in one side, and 26DFBA, 2C6FBA and 26DCBA in the other) the correlations between the O-H, C-O and C=O bond lengths within the carboxylic group as well as between the characteristic vibrational frequencies associated to these moieties (ν(O-H), τ(C-O), and ν(C=O)) and the pK_a values follow different linear trends. As expected, the trend lines for all the correlations have a larger slope for the di-substituted molecules, where the number of halogen substituents is higher and the internal strain is large enough to make the molecules non-planar, thereby, increasing the importance of the stabilizing resonance effect within the carboxylic acid group (which directly correlates with the lability of the acid hydrogen). Interestingly, with a single exception the slopes of the trend lines for the di-substituted compounds in the plots shown in Figure 10 are approximately twice those for the mono-substituted compounds.

An increase in the acidity of the compounds correlates with a longer O-H, as well as with a shorter C-O bond (as expressed by the resonance structure B in Scheme 1). Alongside, the ν(O-H) stretching
frequency decreases (since the bond becomes weaker), while the frequency of the $\tau$(C-O) torsion increases (because the C-O bond increases its double bond character, implying a higher force constant for the torsional vibration). The results show also that the C=O bond length decreases with the acidity and, concomitantly, $\nu$(C=O) increases. Since the electronic charge in the carbonyl $\pi$-bond shall reduce (see Scheme 1), these results indicate that the carbonyl $\sigma$-bond has to become stronger. In fact, this is in consonance with previous investigations on the behavior of the $\pi$ and $\sigma$ electronic systems in the carbonyl moiety [50,60], which have shown that the changes in the strengths of the two components of the carbonyl double bond in general take place in opposite directions, i.e., a weakening of the $\pi$-bond leads to strengthen the $\sigma$-bond, and vice-versa. It shall also be noticed that the correlations between $pK_a$ and the vibrational frequencies do also verify if instead of the calculated frequencies one considers the experimentally measured ones in the gas phase (Figure 10, right-side panels), although the complex band profiles and band overlappings, observed in the experimental spectra introduce, in some cases, some uncertainty in the experimental frequencies.

Contrary to what happens in the case of acidity, the relative polarity of the different studied molecules, as measured by their total dipole moments, is mostly determined by the intrinsic polarity of the substituents, despite the dipole moment orientation reflects also their degree of planarity. Figure 11 shows the absolute value and the direction of the dipole moments for the experimentally relevant cis conformers of the various studied compounds. For benzoic acid and the mono-substituted compounds, the dipole moment is located in the molecular plane. In BA and in the cis-I conformers of 2FBA and 2CBA, it has approximately the same orientation, pointing from the C-H meta bond opposed to the carbonyl bond towards between this bond and the nearly located C-X (X = H, F, Cl) ortho bond, and increases along the series BA > 2CBA < 2FBA (2.12, 3.30, 3.50 D), while in the cis-II conformers of 2FBA and 2CBA the dipole moment points from the para C-H bond to the middle of the O=O angle of the carboxylic acid group, being also larger in the fluoro-substituted compound than in the chloro-substituted one (2.16 vs. 1.99 D). On the other hand, in the di-substituted compounds the dipole moment stays in the plane of the carboxylic acid and makes an angle of approximately 25° with the plane of the aromatic ring. In both 2F6CBA and 26DCBA the dipole moment points from below the para C-H bond up towards the carbonyl oxygen atom, while in the difluoro-substituted molecule it points from below the middle of the ring C-C bond connecting the para carbon atom to the meta one opposing to the carbonyl atom up towards this latter atom (see Figure 11). In the di-substituted molecules the order of increasing dipole moment is 26DCBA < 2C6FBA < 26DFBA, the magnitude of the dipole moments (2.63, 2.70, 2.94 D, respectively) being in between those of the cis-I and of the cis-II conformers of the mono-substituted molecules. If we consider the average values of the dipole moments of the two cis conformers in these latter molecules (2.83 and 2.64 D, respectively for 2FBA and 2CBA), the absolute values of the calculated dipole moments in the whole series of investigated substituted benzoic acids stay in the rather narrow range of values 2.63–2.94 D.

Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge no gas phase experimental data on dipole moments for the studied molecules have been reported. For benzoic acid, values in the range 1.7–2.1 D have been reported in dioxane and CCl$_4$ solution [61], and for 2FBA a value of 2.46 D has been reported [62], but without specification of the experimental conditions. These values seem to indicate that the dipole moments obtained in our calculations are probably within 10% accuracy, but a definitive conclusion on this matter must await for experimental confirmation.
3.3. Gas Phase IR Spectra

All the molecules studied are asymmetric tops, with 39 fundamental vibrations, all active in infrared. The experimentally relevant cis conformers of benzoic acid, 2FBA and 26DBA are C₄ symmetry. The symmetry plane for the first two molecules corresponds to the molecular plane and the vibrations spawn the irreducible representations 27A'+12A'''', while in 26DCBA the plane of symmetry is perpendicular to the aromatic ring and contains the carboxylic group, the vibrations spawning the irreducible representations 23A'+16A'''. The cis conformers of 26DFBA and 2C6FBA, as well as the most stable conformer of 2CBA (cis-I), are C₁ symmetry. On the other hand, as discussed in Section 3.1, from the practical point of view the cis-II conformer of 2CBA is planar, thus belonging to the symmetry point group C₂ and, as in 2FBA, its vibrations spawn the irreducible representations 27A'+12A''''.

In the gas phase, infrared spectra are complicated by rotational contributions to the vibrational bands, which, together with the symmetry of the vibration, determine the band contours. For asymmetric top molecules of the size of those studied here, a detailed analysis of the band contours is a cumbersome task unless the molecule is approximately a symmetric top (either prolate, or oblate). In this case, band contour analysis may help to perform the assignment of bands that are not extensively overlapped or complicated by other effects (e.g., Fermi resonances and isotopic contributions, the latter being particularly relevant for the chloro-substituted molecules, since chlorine has two isotopes of large natural abundance).

The extent to which an asymmetric top molecule resembles a symmetric top is usually quantified by means of the Ray’s parameter κ, which can be estimated from the rotational constants, A, B, C (which directly relate with the moments of inertia, I_A, I_B, I_C):

$$\kappa = \frac{2B - A - C}{A - C}$$

κ = −1 for a prolate top (A > B = C), and κ = 1 for an oblate top (A = B > C).

Table 1 shows the calculated rotational constants and the value of the κ parameter for the relevant conformers of the studied molecules. As it can be seen, only benzoic acid approaches the condition of being an approximate symmetric top (prolate; with κ = −0.80), with all the other molecules having...
|κ| < 0.50 with the exception of 2C6FBA, where κ = 0.73 (i.e., 2C6FBA has still a relatively significant oblate character). Considering these results, in the following discussion of the gas phase infrared spectra of the compounds, band contour analysis is only attempted for benzoic acid. In order to do this, it is relevant to known that the orientation of the principal inertial axes, which are depicted in Figure 12 for all the studied molecules.

Table 1. Calculated rotational constants (GHz) and Ray’s parameter for the experimentally relevant conformers of the studied molecules.

| Molecule           | A      | B      | C      | κ     |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| BA                 | 3.8809001 | 1.2246207 | 0.9308807 | −0.80 |
| 2FBA (cis-II)      | 2.2877050 | 1.2087660 | 0.7908917 | −0.44 |
| 2FBA (cis-I)       | 2.2641140 | 1.2114724 | 0.7891939 | −0.43 |
| 26DFBA             | 1.5243775 | 1.1493339 | 0.6953489 | 0.10  |
| 2CBA (cis-II)      | 1.4673930 | 1.1808936 | 0.6676423 | 0.28  |
| 2CBA (cis-I)       | 1.4469943 | 1.1976874 | 0.6621492 | 0.36  |
| 2C6FBA             | 1.1257278 | 1.0541388 | 0.5898361 | 0.73  |
| 26DCBA             | 1.0938018 | 0.7895163 | 0.4960684 | −0.02 |

For benzoic acid and the mono- and difluoro- substituted compounds, the A and B axes are nearly in the plane of the aromatic ring, the A axis being almost coincident with the para C-H bond and bisecting the O=C-O angle. In the case of benzoic acid, this means that all in-plane vibrations (A' symmetry) shall show A, B or mixed AB band contours, while all out-of-the-plane vibrations (A'' symmetry) shall exhibit C contour profiles. The orientation of the principal inertial axes in the remaining molecules can be seen in Figure 12, the striking case being 26DCBA, where the A axis (of lower momentum of inertia) stays in the plane of the aromatic ring, but aligned perpendicularly to the plane of symmetry of the molecule and passing through the two ortho chlorine atoms. In this regard, this molecule substantially differs from all the others being studied, which have the A axis located approximately in the plane of the aromatic ring and roughly oriented (or almost precisely oriented, as in benzoic acid) in a direction defined by the para C-H bond and the exocyclic C-C bond connecting the carboxylic acid substituent to the aromatic ring.

The gas phase infrared spectra for all the six studied compounds are shown in Figure 13, together with the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated spectra. For simplicity, only the calculated spectra of the most stable conformers of 2FBA (cis-II) and 2CBA (cis-I) are shown in the figure, since the spectra of the second populated conformers of these molecules in the gas phase (cis-I for 2FBA and cis-II for 2CBA) are very much similar to those of the corresponding dominant conformers [16,17].

The agreement between the calculated and experimental data is excellent, allowing the assignment of the spectra to be carried out straightforwardly. In Tables 2–7, which show the proposed assignments, previously reported data obtained for jet-cooled gaseous benzoic acid [56] and for the monomer of this compound as well as of 2FBA, 2CBA and 2C6FBA isolated in low-temperature noble gas (Ar or Xe) matrices [16,17,54,55,58] are also presented. As described below, the present assignments agree with the general ones previously proposed for those molecules, but expand them providing assignment of additional bands and more evidence justifying the undertaken assignments (in particular for the unsubstituted compound). No vibrational studies have been reported on both 26DFBA and 26DCBA monomers hitherto.
Figure 12. Projection of the experimentally relevant cis conformers of the studied molecules in the planes defined by the principal inertial axes, which are also represented in the figure, with lengths proportional to their associated rotational constants, A, B, C.
Figure 13. Gas phase infrared spectra of the studied compounds (black dashed traces) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated infrared spectra for their experimentally relevant conformers (red traces), in the 4000–450 cm$^{-1}$ region. Calculated frequencies are scaled by 0.978. For simplicity, only the calculated spectra for the most stable conformers of 2FBA (cis-II) and 2CBA (cis-I) are shown in the figure (see also text).
Table 2. Experimental (Exp.) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated (Calc.) infrared spectra of monomeric benzoic acid, and band assignments.

| Exp. Gas Phase | Exp. [56] | Exp. [54,55] | Calc. B3LYP | Approximate Description | Symmetry | Contour Type |
|----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|
|                | Exp.      | Ar Matrix, 12 K | v          | IR                      |          |             |
| v              | v         | v            | IR         |                         |          |             |
| 3578           | n.i.      | 3567         | 3689       | 99.4                    | γ(O-H)   | A'          |
| 3124           | n.i.      | 3098         | 3138       | 2.5                     | γ(CH) 0000+ | A'          | AB          |
| 3104           | n.i.      | 3079         | 3132       | 4.6                     | γ(CH) +0000 | A'          | AB          |
| 3083           | n.i.      | 3068         | 3118       | 12.7                    | γ(CH) 0++0+ | A'          | A           |
| 3060           | n.i.      | 3041         | 3109       | 10.7                    | γ(CH) 0+0-0 | A'          | B           |
| 3031           | n.i.      | 3012         | 3097       | 0.4                     | γ(CH) 0++++0 | A'          | A           |
| 1765           | 1752      | 1755/1752/1751/1743 | 1746     | 395.8                   | γ(C=O)   | A'          | AB          |
| 1599           | 1609      | 1606         | 1606       | 19.2                    | γ(CC) ++++++ | A'          | A           |
| 1585           | 1591      | 1590         | 1586       | 5.3                     | γ(CC) +0-0-0 | A'          | AB          |
| 1481           | n.obs.    | 1496/1493    | 1489       | 1.8                     | γ(CC) 0-0-0, δ(CH) ++-0-0 | A'          | B           |
| 1451           | 1455      | 1456         | 1448       | 15.7                    | γ(CC) 0+000, δ(CH) 0+++0 | A'          | AB          |
| 1388/1354 d    | 1387      | 1383/1380 d  | 1333       | 117.2                   | γ(C-O), δ(COH) | A'          | AB          |
| 1328           | 1347      | 1347         | 1321       | 8.1                     | γ(CC) ++++++, δ(CH) | A'          | AB          |
| 1284/1269 e    | 1278/1255 f | 1278/1275/1271/1255/1251/1248 g | 1310 | 2.1                     | γ(CC) 0+0-0 | A'          | A           |
| 1184           | 1187      | 1185         | 1184       | 86.7                    | δ(COH), δ(CH) 0+0-0 | A'          | AB          |
| 1177           | 1173      | 1169         | 1163       | 153.8                   | δ(COH), δ(CH) ++0+0 | A'          | AB          |
| n.obs.         | 1099      | 1110/1100    | 1158       | 0.6                     | δ(CH) 0++0+0 | A'          | AB          |
| 1095           | 1084      | 1086         | 1090       | 44.6                    | γ(C-O), γ(CC) 00000+ | A'          | AB          |
| 1078           | 1063      | 1066/1063    | 1065       | 114.6                   | γ(C-O), γ(CC) 0000, δ(CH) +0000 | A'          | AB          |
| 1026           | 1026      | 1027         | 1023       | 20.7                    | δ(ring) 1 | A'          | AB          |
| n.obs.         | n.obs.    | n.obs.       | 995        | 0.4                     | δ(ring) 2 | A'          | A           |
| n.obs.         | n.obs.    | n.obs.       | 987        | 0.1                     | γ(CH) ++++++ | A''         | C           |
| n.obs.         | n.obs.    | n.obs.       | 976        | 0.1                     | γ(CH) +00-0 | A''         | C           |
| 947            | n.obs.    | 937          | 939        | 1.7                     | γ(CH) +0-0+ | A''         | C           |
| n.obs.         | n.obs.    | n.obs.       | 845        | 0.1                     | γ(CH) +0++0 | A''         | C           |
| n.obs.         | n.obs.    | n.obs.       | 806        | 0.1                     | γ(C=O)   | A''         | C           |
| 770            | 767       | 767/759      | 758        | 8.1                     | γ(C-C)   | A'          | A           |
| 714            | 710       | 713/711      | 711        | 136.8                   | γ(CH) ++++++, γ(C=O) | A''         | C           |
| 688            | 688       | 687          | 684        | 5.8                     | τ(ring) 1 | A'          | C           |
| Exp. Gas Phase | Exp. [56] Cooled-jet Ar Matrix, 12 K | Calc. B3LYP | Approximate Description $^b$ | Symmetry | Contour Type $^c$
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------|
| 631            | 631                                 | 628         | ν ν ν ν                       | δ(OCO)   | $A'$           | AB         |
| n.obs.         | 587                                 | 568         | ν ν ν ν                       | δ(ring)$_3$ | $A'$           | AB         |
| 574            | 571                                 | 565         | ν ν ν ν                       | τ(C-O)   | $A'$           | C         |
| 504            | n.i.                                | 491         | ν ν ν ν                       | γ(C-[CCC]) | $A'$           | B         |
| n.i.           | n.i.                                | 421         | ν ν ν ν                       | τ(ring)$_2$ | $A''$          | C         |
| n.i.           | n.i.                                | 404         | ν ν ν ν                       | τ(ring)$_3$ | $A''$          | C         |
| n.i.           | n.i.                                | 375         | ν ν ν ν                       | δ(ring)$_4$ | $A'$           | AB         |
| n.i.           | n.i.                                | 212         | ν ν ν ν                       | γ(COOH)  | $A'$           | AB         |
| n.i.           | n.i.                                | 155         | ν ν ν ν                       | w(COOH)  | $A''$          | C         |
| n.i.           | n.i.                                | 64          | ν ν ν ν                       | τ(C-C)   | $A''$          | C         |

$^a$ Wavenumbers (ν) in cm$^{-1}$, calculated infrared intensities ($I_{IR}$) in km·mol$^{-1}$; n.obs., not observed; n.i., not investigated. $^b$ Approximate description was obtained using the vibrations animation module of Chemcraft [63]; v, stretching; δ, bending; w, wagging; γ, rocking; τ, torsion; the symbols +, − and 0 placed in front of the ν(CC), ν(CH), δ(CH) and γ(CH) ring coordinates indicate the movement (distending, compressing, staying, respectively) of the related bonds, starting from that located ortho to the C=O bond of the carboxylic group and proceeding anti-clockwise through the ring. $^c$ Band contours in gas phase spectra predicted according to the calculated transition dipole moment vector orientation. $^d$ Fermi interaction with $2 \times τ$(ring)$_1$. $^e$ Fermi interaction with $2 \times δ$(OCO).
Table 3. Experimental (Exp.) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated (Calc.; cis-II conformer) infrared spectra of monomeric 2-fluorobenzoic acid, and band assignments a.

| Exp. Gas Phase | Exp. (This Work; Also [16]) Ar Matrix, 10 K | Cal. B3LYP | Approximate Description b | Symmetry |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------|
|               | Exp.                                      | Cal. IR     |                           |           |
| 3576          | 3538/3555                                 | 3676        | ν(O–H)                    | Aτ       |
| 3100          | 3069                                      | 3137        | ν(CH) ++  ++              | A’       |
| n.obs         | n.obs                                     | 3132        | ν(CH) 0+0−                | A’       |
| 3087          | 3026                                      | 3121        | ν(CH)  ++++               | A’       |
| 3060          | n.obs                                     | 3107        | ν(CH) 0+++                | A’       |
| 1765{1778}c   | 1748/1747/1745/1744                       | 1736        | ν(C=O)                    | A’       |
| 1611          | 1619/1618/1617                            | 1613        | ν(CC)  +++++              | A’       |
| 1582          | 1593/1591/1590/1588                       | 1581        | ν(CC) 0+0−                | A’       |
| 1490          | 1506/1504/1493/1489/1487                  | 1482        | ν(CC) 00+0−, δ(CH) ++++−  | A’       |
| 1458          | 1464/1463/1461                            | 1452        | ν(CC) 00+0−, δ(CH) 0++0+  | A’       |
| 1340          | 1395/1390/1388/1361/1360                  | 1348        | ν(C=O), δ(COH)            | A’       |
| n.obs         | 1346/1344/1343                            | 1312        | ν(CC)  +++++              | A’       |
| 1278          | 1284/1283/1282/1280                      | 1259        | δ(COH), δ(CH) 0++        | A’       |
| 1238{1245}c   | 1240/1239/1235                            | 1217        | ν(CF)                      | A’       |
| 1184{1162}c   | 1193/1192/1191/1188                      | 1182        | ν(C=O), δ(COH)            | A’       |
| 1155{1149}d   | 1158/1155                                 | 1152        | δ(CH)  ++++              | A’       |
| 1111{1105}c   | 1116/1115/1113/1110/1106/1103             | 1105        | ν(C=O), δ(CH) 0+++        | A’       |
| n.obs         | 1093/1091                                | 1086        | ν(C=O), ν(CC) 00+0−       | A’       |
| 1030{1059}c   | 1032/1031                                | 1031        | δ(ring) 1                 | A’       |
| 973           | n.obs                                    | 974         | γ(CH)  +−0                | A’       |
| 952           | 960                                      | 958         | γ(CH) 0−+                 | A’       |
| 865           | 869                                      | 865         | γ(CH) 0+0−                | A’       |
| 835{845}c     | 841                                      | 832         | δ(ring) 2                 | A’       |
| n.obs         | 788                                      | 0.002       | γ(C=O)                    | A’       |
| 755           | 762/761/760/759/758                       | 756         | γ(CH)  +++++              | A’       |
| 744           | 741/740/739/738/734/733                    | 730         | ν(C=C), δ(ring) 3         | A’       |
| 696           | 698/697/696                              | 689         | τ(ring) 1                 | A’       |
| 625           | 626                                      | 623         | δ(OCC)                    | A’       |
| 575           | 587/586/585                              | 576         | τ(C–O)                    | A’       |
| 552           | 538                                      | 548         | δ(ring) 3                 | A’       |
| 525           | 528                                      | 531         | δ(ring) 3, δ(CF)          | A’       |
| n.obs         | 517                                      | 516         | τ(ring) 2, γ(CF)          | A’       |
| n.i.          | 429 (?)                                  | 425         | γ(C–[CCC]), τ(ring) 3     | A’       |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 369         | δ(ring) 4                 | A’       |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 344         | δ(CF)                      | A’       |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 244         | γ(CF)                      | A’       |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 208         | γ(COOH)                    | A’       |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 127         | w(COOH)                    | A’       |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 28          | τ(C–C)                    | A’       |

a Wavenumbers (ν) in cm⁻¹, calculated infrared intensities (I IR) in km mol⁻¹; n.obs., not observed; n.i., not investigated; ?, uncertain. b Approximate description was obtained using the vibrations animation module of Chemcraft [63]; ν, stretching; δ, bending; w, wagging; γ, rocking; τ, torsion; the symbols +, −, and 0 placed in front of the ν(C=O), ν(CH), δ(CH) and γ(CH) ring coordinates indicate the movement (distending, compressing, staying, respectively) of the related bonds, starting from that located ortho to the C=O bond of the carboxylic group and proceeding anti-clockwise through the ring. c Band due to conformer cis-I. d Fermi interaction with 2 × τ(C=O). e Fermi interaction with 2 × τ(ring).
Table 4. Experimental (Exp.) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated (Calc.; cis-I conformer) infrared spectra of monomeric 2-chlorobenzoic acid, and band assignments.

| Exp. | Exp. [17] | Calculated B3LYP | Approximate Description<sup>b</sup> | Symmetry |
|------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Gas Phase | Ar Matrix, 9 K | | | |
| ν | ν | ν | I<sup>IR</sup> | | |
| 3576 | 3574/3565 | 3689 | 103.6 | ν(O–H) | A |
| n.obs. | n.i. | 3147 | 1.7 | ν(CH) <00> | A |
| 3144 | n.i. | 3134 | 2.3 | ν(CH) <00> | A |
| 3082 | n.i. | 3121 | 8.5 | ν(CH) <++> | A |
| 3036 (?) | n.i. | 3106 | 2.4 | ν(CH) 0+0 | A |
| 1767 | 1766 | 1760 | 353.6 | ν(C=O) | A |
| 1595 | 1597 | 1595 | 33.3 | ν(CC) <++++> | A |
| 1571 | n.obs. | 1569 | 14.4 | ν(CC) <00> | A |
| 1477 | 1481 | 1467 | 31.0 | ν(CC) 0+0, δ(CH) <++> | A |
| 1438 | 1438 | 1431 | 25.4 | ν(CC) 0+00, δ(CH) <++> | A |
| 1334/1371<sup>c</sup> | 1341 | 1325 | 85.0 | ν(C=O), δ(COH) | A |
| n.obs. | n.obs. | 1292 | 0.2 | ν(CC) <+++> | A |
| 1274 | n.obs. | 1261 | 8.8 | δ(CH) <00> | A |
| 1185 | 1179/1176 | 1171 | 156.9 | δ(COH), ν(C=O), δ(CH) <00> | A |
| ~1167 | 1162 | 1162 | 39.8 | δ(CH) <++> | A |
| 1134 | 1143 | 1134 | 48.5 | δ(CH) <++> | A |
| 1103 | 1103/1101/1098 | 1083 | 85.6 | ν(CCl), ν(C=O) | A |
| n.obs. | n.obs. | 1041 | 14.4 | δ(ring)<sub>1</sub> | A |
| 1045 | 1048 | 1029 | 130.8 | δ(ring)<sub>2</sub> | A |
| n.obs. | n.obs. | 984 | 0.2 | γ(CH) <++> | A |
| 952 | n.obs. | 958 | 2.1 | γ(CH) <++> | A |
| 864 | n.obs. | 867 | 0.6 | γ(CH) <++> | A |
| 800 | n.obs. | 792 | 2.2 | γ(C=O) | A |
| 782/775<sup>d</sup> | n.obs. | 775 | 13.2 | ν(C–C), δ(ring)<sub>3</sub>, δ(OCO) | A |
| 746 | 749/747 | 743 | 89.6 | γ(CH) <+++> | A |
| 707 | 686 | 690 | 21.8 | τ(ring)<sub>1</sub> | A |
| 690 | n.obs. | 677 | 30.4 | ν(CCl), δ(OCO), δ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A |
| 630 | 631 | 627 | 43.7 | δ(OCO) | A |
| 576 | n.obs. | 575 | 78.3 | τ(C=O) | A |
| n.i. | n.obs. | 526 | 12.2 | γ(C{[CCC]}) | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 483 | 2.3 | τ(ring)<sub>2</sub> | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 440 | 3.9 | δ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 416 | 6.9 | τ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 350 | 1.7 | δ(ring)<sub>4</sub> | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 293 | 0.6 | δ(CCl) | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 205 | 1.6 | γ(CCl) | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 192 | 0.7 | γ(COOH) | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 114 | 0.2 | w(COOH) | A |
| n.i. | n.i. | 28 | 0.8 | τ(C=C) | A |

<sup>a</sup> Wavenumbers (ν) in cm<sup>−1</sup>, calculated infrared intensities (I<sup>IR</sup>) in km mol<sup>−1</sup>; n.obs., not observed; n.i., not investigated; ±, uncertain. <sup>b</sup> Approximate description was obtained using the vibrations animation module of Chemcraft [63]; ν, stretching; δ, bending; w, wagging; γ, rocking; τ, torsion; the symbols +, − and 0 placed in front of the ν(CC), ν(CH), δ(CH) and γ(CH) ring coordinates indicate the movement (distending, compressing, staying, respectively) of the related bonds, starting from that located ortho to the C=O bond of the carboxylic group and proceeding anti-clockwise through the ring. <sup>c</sup> Band due to conformer cis-II. <sup>d</sup> Fermi interaction with τ(C-O) + γ(CCl).
Table 5. Experimental (Exp.) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated (Calc.) infrared spectra of monomeric 2,6-difluorobenzoic acid, and band assignments.

| Exp. Gas Phase | Calculated B3LYP | Approximate Description $^b$ | Symmetry |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| $\nu$          | $\nu$           | $I_{IR}$                    |          |
| 3570           | 3670            | 93.6                        | $\nu$(O–H) | A |
| n.obs.         | 3143            | 0.1                         | $\nu$(CH) +++ | A |
| n.obs.         | 3138            | 0.7                         | $\nu$(CH) +0– | A |
| 3082           | 3118            | 3.5                         | $\nu$(CH) +++ | A |
| 1779           | 1768            | 421.1                       | $\nu$(C=O) | A |
| 1621           | 1623            | 121.0                       | $\nu$(CC) +++++ | A |
| 1597/1563 $^c$ | 1585            | 80.2                        | $\nu$(CC) +0–+0– | A |
| 1472           | 1466            | 80.1                        | $\nu$(CC) 0+0–+0–, $\delta$(CH) 0+ | A |
| 1472           | 1461            | 68.7                        | $\nu$(CC) 0+0–+0–, $\delta$(CH) ++0 | A |
| 1363/1320 $^d$ | 1331            | 115.2                       | $\nu$(C=O), $\delta$(COH) | A |
| n.obs.         | 1304            | 0.3                         | $\nu$(CC) +++++ | A |
| 1295           | 1266            | 27.4                        | $\nu$(CF$_2$) sym | A |
| 1244/1238 $^e$ | 1230            | 65.5                        | $\delta$(CH) +++ | A |
| 1182           | 1179            | 163.4                       | $\delta$(COH), $\nu$(C=O) | A |
| 1150           | 1153            | 0.9                         | $\delta$(CH) +++ | A |
| 1094           | 1090            | 109.6                       | $\nu$(C=O), $\delta$(CH) +0– | A |
| 1054           | 1050            | 24.9                        | $\delta$(ring)$_1$ | A |
| 1020           | 1002            | 146.0                       | $\nu$(CF$_2$) asym | A |
| 964            | 956             | 0.5                         | $\gamma$(CH) ++ | A |
| n.obs.         | 874             | 0.1                         | $\gamma$(CH) +0– | A |
| 798            | 793             | 51.2                         | $\gamma$(CH) ++++, $\gamma$(C=O) | A |
| 786            | 784             | 10.3                         | $\nu$(C=C), $\delta$(ring)$_2$ | A |
| 763            | 763             | 19.6                        | $\gamma$(C=O), $\gamma$(CH) +++ | A |
| 697            | 700             | 44.55                       | $\tau$(ring)$_1$ | A |
| 683            | 684             | 21.7                        | $\delta$(OCO) | A |
| 611 (?)        | 594             | 7.0                         | $\tau$(ring)$_3$, $\gamma$(CF$_2$) asym | A |
| 581            | 588             | 86.9                         | $\tau$(C=O) | A |
| n.obs.         | 573             | 5.2                         | $\tau$(ring)$_2$ | A |
| 566            | 554             | 41.0                         | $\delta$(OCO), $\delta$(ring)$_3$ | A |
| n.i.           | 509             | 2.4                         | $\delta$(CF$_2$) asym | A |
| n.i.           | 473             | 3.0                         | $\tau$(ring)$_3$ | A |
| n.i.           | 400             | 2.1                         | $\delta$(ring)$_1$ | A |
| n.i.           | 385             | 1.9                         | $\gamma$(C-[CCC]) | A |
| n.i.           | 283             | 0.8                         | $\delta$(CF$_2$) sym | A |
| n.i.           | 236             | 0.02                        | $\gamma$(CF$_2$) asym | A |
| n.i.           | 218             | 0.9                         | $\gamma$(CF$_2$) sym | A |
| n.i.           | 165             | 1.4                         | $\omega$(COOH) | A |
| n.i.           | 94              | 2.3                         | $\gamma$(COOH) | A |
| n.i.           | 44              | 1.9                         | $\tau$(C=C) | A |

$^a$ Wavenumbers ($\nu$) in cm$^{-1}$, calculated infrared intensities ($I_{IR}$) in km·mol$^{-1}$; n.obs., not observed; n.i., not investigated. $^b$ Approximate description was obtained using the vibrations animation module of Chemcraft [63]; $\nu$, stretching; $\delta$, bending; $\omega$, wagging; $\gamma$, rocking; $\tau$, torsion; the symbols +, − and 0 placed in front of the $\nu$(CC), $\nu$(CH), $\delta$(CH) and $\gamma$(CH) ring coordinates indicate the movement (distending, compressing, staying, respectively) of the related bonds, starting from that located ortho to the C=O bond of the carboxylic group and proceeding anti-clockwise through the ring. $^c$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\gamma$(C=O) + $\delta$(ring)$_3$, $\gamma$(CF$_2$) asym. $^d$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\delta$(OCO). $^e$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\tau$(ring)$_3$, $\gamma$(CF$_2$) sym. $^f$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\nu$(CH) ++++, $\gamma$(C=O). $^g$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\delta$(OCO). $^h$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\gamma$(COOH). $^i$ Fermi interaction with 2 × $\tau$(ring)$_3$, $\gamma$(CF$_2$) asym.
The table below presents experimental (Exp.) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated (Calc.) infrared spectra of monomeric 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acid, along with band assignments.

| Exp. Gas Phase | Exp. (This Work; Also [58]) Xe Matrix, 20 K | Calc. B3LYP | Approximate Description | Symmetry |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------|
| ν             | ν                                        | I<sub>IR</sub> |                         |         |
| 3566          | 3542/3522/3519/3512/3507                  | 3662        | 87.5                    | γ(O-H)  | A |
| n.obs.        | n.obs.                                   | 3141        | 0.5                     | ν(CH) + + + | A |
| n.obs.        | n.obs.                                   | 3137        | 0.4                     | ν(CH) + + | A |
| 3083          | 2976                                    | 3116        | 3.6                     | ν(CH) + + | A |
| 1779          | 1770                                    | 1776        | 356.3                   | ν(C=O)  | A |
| 1602          | 1611                                    | 1607        | 67.0                    | ν(CC) ++++++ | A |
| 1580          | 1581/1579                                | 1575        | 72.6                    | ν(CC) +0+0+ | A |
| 1455          | 1456                                    | 1450        | 35.2                    | ν(CC) +0+0+, δ(CH) ++- | A |
| 1455          | 1457/1454                               | 1446        | 88.1                    | ν(CC) +0+0-, δ(CH) ++0 | A |
| 1362/1331 c   | 1376/1371/1364/1356/1332/1327             | 1324        | 96.3                    | ν(C-O), δ(COH) | A |
| 1300          | 1301/1291                               | 1291        | 1.5                     | ν(CC) ++++++ | A |
| 1258          | 1258/1255                               | 1239        | 64.7                    | ν(CF), δ(CH) +++ | A |
| 1195          | 1203/1198/1194                          | 1192        | 70.9                    | δ(COH), δ(CH) +00 | A |
| 1175          | 1178/1173/1172/1169                     | 1167        | 117.9                   | ν(C=O), δ(COH), δ(CH) +++ | A |
| 1151          | 1149                                    | 1142        | 26.9                    | ν(CCl), δ(CH) +0+0 | A |
| 1093          | 1101/1098/1096/1094                     | 1093        | 90.3                    | ν(C-O), δ(ring)<sub>1</sub>, δ(CH) +0+ | A |
| 1052          | 1055/1054/1052                          | 1049        | 27.7                    | δ(ring)<sub>2</sub> | A |
| 964           | n.obs.                                   | 956         | 0.9                     | γ(CH) +++ | A |
| 909           | 912/910/908/907                          | 887         | 103.8                   | ν(CCl), ν(CF) | A |
| n.obs.        | n.obs.                                   | 880         | 0.4                     | γ(CH) +0+0 | A |
| 786           | 792/791/788/785                         | 778         | 46.1                    | γ(CH) +++, γ(C=O) | A |
| 779           | 777                                      | 768         | 11.2                    | γ(C=C), δ(OCO) | A |
| 779           | 767                                      | 760         | 26.0                    | γ(C=O), γ(CH) +++ | A |
| 737           | 735                                      | 715         | 32.5                    | τ(ring)<sub>1</sub> | A |
| 662           | 661/659                                  | 653         | 34.0                    | δ(COC) | A |
| 582           | 583/580/575/572                         | 591         | 82.9                    | τ(C-O) | A |
| n.obs.        | n.obs.                                   | 565         | 0.6                     | τ(ring)<sub>2</sub> | A |
| n.i.          | 569/567                                  | 554         | 5.2                     | τ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A |
| n.i.          | 545/543/542                              | 538         | 23.7                    | δ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A |
| n.i.          | 469                                      | 463         | 4.8                     | δ(ring)<sub>4</sub>, τ(C-O) | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 418         | 4.4                     | δ(CFCl) asym | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 388         | 0.3                     | γ(C[CCC]) | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 343         | 1.2                     | δ(ring)<sub>4</sub> | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 230         | 0.5                     | δ(CFCl) sym | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 225         | 0.3                     | γ(CF) | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 174         | 2.0                     | γ(CCl) | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 141         | 0.4                     | γ(COOH) | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 85          | 1.6                     | γ(COOH) | A |
| n.i.          | n.i.                                     | 24          | 2.3                     | τ(C=C) | A |

Note: Wavenumbers (ν) in cm⁻¹, calculated infrared intensities (I<sub>IR</sub>) in km·mol⁻¹; n.obs., not observed; n.i., not investigated; ?, uncertain. Approximate description was obtained using the vibrations animation module of Chemcraft [63]. ν, stretching; δ, bending; w, wagging; γ, rocking; τ, torsion; the symbols +, + and 0 placed in front of the ν(CC), ν(CH), δ(CH) and γ(CH) ring coordinates indicate the movement (distending, compressing, staying, respectively) of the related bonds, starting from that located ortho to the C=O bond of the carboxylic group and proceeding anti-clockwise through the ring. Fermi interaction with 2 × δ(OCO).
Table 7. Experimental (Exp.) and B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated (Calc.) infrared spectra of monomeric 2,6-dichlorobenzoic acid, and band assignments.

| Exp. Gas Phase | Calculated B3LYP | Approximate Description | Symmetry |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| ν              | ν                | I<sub>IR</sub>           |          |
| 3564           | 3660             | 86.1                    | ν(O-H)   | A'       |
| 3130           | 3141             | 1.0                     | ν(CH)    | A'       |
| n.obs.         | 3137             | 0.1                     | ν(CH)    | A''      |
| 3073           | 3114             | 3.7                     | ν(CH)    | A'       |
| 1780           | 1779             | 330.5                   | ν(C=O)   | A'       |
| 1587           | 1587             | 33.6                    | ν(CC)    | A'       |
| 1565           | 1563             | 49.7                    | ν(CC) +0+0- | A''    |
| 1455 (?)       | 1436             | 5.2                     | ν(CC) +0-0-0, δ(CH) +0- | A' |
| 1434           | 1429             | 79.6                    | ν(CC) 0+00-0, δ(CH) ++   | A'' |
| 1349/1297<sup>c</sup> | 1322 | 70.9 | ν(C-O), δ(COH) | A' |
| 1266           | 1277             | 2.4                     | ν(CC) 0+0-0      | A'' |
| 1192           | 1193             | 25.0                    | δ(CH) +0+   | A'' |
| 1192           | 1189             | 130.9                   | δ(COH), δ(ring)<sub>1</sub> | A' |
| 1159           | 1153             | 7.3                     | δ(CH) 0+0    | A'' |
| 1127           | 1120             | 172.4                   | ν(C-O), δ(COH), ν(CCl<sub>2</sub>) sym, δ(CH) +0- | A' |
| 1090/1083<sup>d</sup> | 1073 | 9.1 | ν(CCl<sub>2</sub>) sym | A' |
| 1047           | 1043             | 24.0                    | δ(ring)<sub>2</sub> | A' |
| n.obs.         | 967              | 1.0                     | γ(CH) 0+      | A' |
| n.obs.         | 886              | 0.002                   | γ(CH) 0+0-0  | A'' |
| 804            | 785              | 37.6                    | ν(CCl<sub>2</sub>) asym, γ(C=O) | A'' |
| 786            | 768              | 26.5                    | ν(C-C), γ(CH) ++  | A' |
| 777            | 766              | 25.5                    | γ(CH) ++     | A' |
| 747/740<sup>e</sup> | 746 | 89.6 | γ(C=O), ν(CCl<sub>2</sub>) asym | A'' |
| 727            | 729              | 15.4                    | τ(ring)<sub>1</sub> | A' |
| 650            | 641              | 35.8                    | δ(OCC)  | A' |
| 582            | 597              | 71.7                    | τ(C-O)  | A'' |
| n.obs.         | 567              | 0.3                     | τ(ring)<sub>2</sub> | A |
| n.i.           | 518              | 0.3                     | τ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A'' |
| n.i.           | 454              | 18.2                    | ν(C-C), ν(CCl<sub>2</sub>) sym | A' |
| n.i.           | 432              | 1.6                     | δ(ring)<sub>3</sub> | A' |
| n.i.           | 382              | 0.3                     | γ(CCl<sub>2</sub>) sym | A' |
| n.i.           | 376              | 2.9                     | δ(CCl<sub>2</sub>) asym | A'' |
| n.i.           | 313              | 0.5                     | δ(ring)<sub>4</sub> | A' |
| n.i.           | 195              | 0.001                   | γ(CCl<sub>2</sub>) asym | A'' |
| n.i.           | 185              | 0.01                    | δ(CCl<sub>2</sub>) sym | A' |
| n.i.           | 164              | 2.9                     | γ(C-[CCC]) | A' |
| n.i.           | 135              | 0.2                     | w(COOH) | A'' |
| n.i.           | 74               | 0.8                     | γ(COOH) | A' |
| n.i.           | 38               | 2.1                     | τ(C-C)  | A'' |

<sup>a</sup> Wavenumbers (ν) in cm<sup>-1</sup>, calculated infrared intensities (I<sub>IR</sub>) in km mol<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K; n.obs., not observed; n.i., not investigated; ? uncertain. <sup>b</sup> Approximate description was obtained using the vibrations animation module of Chemcraft<sup>63</sup>; ν, stretching; δ, bending; w, wagging; γ, rocking; τ, torsion; the symbols +, − and 0 placed in front of the ν(CC), ν(CH), δ(CH) and γ(CH) ring coordinates indicate the movement (distending, compressing, staying, respectively) of the related bonds, starting from that located ortho to the C=O bond of the carboxylic group and proceeding anti-clockwise through the ring. <sup>c</sup> Fermi interaction with 2 × δ(C-O). <sup>d</sup> Fermi interaction with τ(ring)<sub>2</sub> + τ(ring)<sub>3</sub>. <sup>e</sup> Fermi interaction with 2 × δ(CCl<sub>2</sub>) asym.

According to the performed calculations, benzoic acid has 33 vibrations with frequencies lying in the studied spectral region (4000–450 cm<sup>-1</sup>), from which 26 are assigned in the present study. The 7 non-assigned vibrations have very low intensity (<sup>50</sup> 0.6 km mol<sup>-1</sup>; see Table 2) and have never been observed experimentally with exception of the δ(CH) 0+0-0 mode (for the adopted notation of the vibrational modes see footnote b of Table 2) that has been tentatively assigned to a band at 1099 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the IR spectrum of jet-cooled benzoic acid<sup>56</sup> (1110/1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the Ar matrix spectrum.
of the compound [54,55]). In the gas phase spectrum of BA investigated in the present study (where the band profiles are, as expected, considerably broader than those recorded for the jet-cooled or matrix-isolated compound), the band ascribable to this vibration is hidden by the more intense band due to an essentially delocalized vibration with a significant contribution of the ν(C-O) stretching coordinate (1095 cm⁻¹; predicted at 1090 cm⁻¹ with an intensity of 44.6 km·mol⁻¹). In the work on jet-cooled benzoic acid [56] only 19 modes of the molecule were assigned; moreover, the description of the modes was given in a very general way. In the matrix isolation investigations [54,55], the number of modes assigned within the 4000–450 cm⁻¹ region is equal to the one achieved in the present work (plus the above mentioned δ(CH) 0+→+0 mode), and the observed frequencies in the two studies are within the expected agreement. However, the assignments done in the matrix isolation studies were in large amount based on low-level AM1 semi-empirical calculated spectral data. Therefore, the present study strongly improves the description of the infrared spectrum of gaseous benzoic acid.

The spectrum of BA is dominated by 6 intense bands which are ascribable to vibrations whose major contributors are associated with the polar carboxylic group, ν(O-H) (3578 cm⁻¹), (C=O) (1765 cm⁻¹), ν(C-O) (1354, 1078 cm⁻¹) and δ(COH) (1354, 1184/1177 cm⁻¹), as it could be anticipated. The band at 714 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the γ(CH) all-in-phase out-of-the-plane bending mode (γ(CH) 0++++, according to the notation adopted in the present study), having also a smaller contribution from the γ(C=O) coordinate. The calculated frequencies and relative intensities for these modes agree very well with the experimentally observed values (see Figure 13 and Table 2). The remaining two vibrations belonging to the carboxylic acid group which are expected to absorb in the studied spectral region give also rise to bands of considerable intensity, at 631 (δ(OCO)) and 574 (τ(C-O)) cm⁻¹. The aromatic ring modes are also well-described by the calculations both, in terms of frequencies and intensities (see Figure 13 and Table 2), so that their assignment could also be made in a straightforward manner.

There are a few bands in the experimental spectra shown in Figure 13 that deserve here an additional comment. The first is observed at 1388 cm⁻¹, and is probably due to the 2×τ(γ(ring))₁ overtone enhanced by Fermi interaction with the fundamental mode assigned to the mixed {ν(C-O), δ(COH)} mode. The second is observed at 560 cm⁻¹ and can be tentatively attributed to the combination tone τ(γ(ring))₃ + w(COOH) (calculated value: 404 + 155 = 559 cm⁻¹). The last band is observed at 817 cm⁻¹, and shall be ascribed to an unknown impurity, since it is absent in the spectra of both the jet-cooled and matrix-isolated monomer of benzoic acid and it is also not present in the spectrum of gaseous benzoic acid available in the Wiley Spectral Database [64].

The band contour analysis proved to be particularly interesting in the 800–450 cm⁻¹ range, where bands predicted to exhibit different profiles (A, AB, B and C) are observed. As shown in Figure 14, the profiles of the experimental bands fit nicely the predicted contour types, giving additional support to the proposed assignments.

In the case of 2-fluorobenzoic acid, from the 32 vibrations predicted by the calculations to absorb in the investigated spectral region (4000–450 cm⁻¹), 27 were assigned to bands observed in the experimental spectra (see Table 3). Three of the 5 modes not assigned have very low predicted IR intensities (less than ~1 km·mol⁻¹), while the remaining two are expected to give rise to bands of small intensity at about the positions of the very intense bands of the {ν(C-O), δ(COH)} (1340 cm⁻¹) and {ν(C-O), δ(CH) 0+++} (1111/1105 cm⁻¹) modes, and are then buried underneath those bands.

Like for benzoic acid, the infrared spectrum of 2FBA is dominated by intense bands originated in the carboxylic acid substituent, e.g., ν(O-H) (3576 cm⁻¹), ν(C=O) (1765 cm⁻¹), ν(C-O) (1340, 1184, 1111/1105 cm⁻¹), δ(COH) (1340, 1184 cm⁻¹), and τ(C-O) (575 cm⁻¹). Also, as with BA, the ν(CH) all-in-phase out-of-the-plane bending mode (ν(CH) 0+++ +) in 2FBA gives rise to an intense band at 755 cm⁻¹. All these bands are well predicted by the calculations (see Figure 13 and Table 3).

The ν(CF) stretching mode is assigned to the band at 1238 cm⁻¹, in fairly good agreement with the predicted value (1217 cm⁻¹). As suggested in [16], the in-plane (δ(CF)) and out-of-the-plane (γ(CF)) bending modes give some contribution to the vibrations predicted at 531 cm⁻¹ (observed at 525 cm⁻¹) and 516 cm⁻¹ (with a very low predicted intensity and not observed experimentally),
respectively, which are, however, better described as skeletal ring deformation modes, due to major contributions from the ring coordinates. The $\delta$(CF) and $\gamma$(CF) modes have been reassigned to the calculated vibrations with frequencies at 344, and 244 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, rectifying the approximate description given previously for these modes as ring skeletal deformations [16].

Figure 14. 900–450 cm$^{-1}$ spectral region of the experimental (Exp.) gas phase infrared spectrum of benzoic acid, with indication of the band contour type (A, B, AB, C). The dashed figures are approximate deconvolutions of the experimental bands, drawn with increased resolution to highlight the characteristic bands profiles. The calculated (Calc.) spectrum is shown at the top of the figure, with indication of the band assignments. The drawings of the molecule show the transition dipole moments (in orange color) for the different vibrations (see Figure 12 for orientation of the principal axes of inertia of the molecule). * - band due to an unknown impurity; ** - band due to the combination tone $\tau$(ring)$_3$ + $\nu$(COOH) (calculated values: 404 + 155 = 559 cm$^{-1}$ (see Table 2).

For 2FBA, besides the lowest energy cis-II conformer, the higher energy cis-I conformer shall also contribute to the spectrum. As mentioned before, the infrared spectra of the two conformers are predicted to be very similar and in the gas phase spectrum the evidence of cis-I can only be clearly noticed in a few spectral regions, where this conformer gives rise to intense bands at positions sufficiently distinct from the corresponding bands of the dominant cis-II conformer. The bands of cis-I predicted to have a large intensity and obeying the condition of not being close in frequency to those of cis-II are calculated at 3691 ($\nu$(O-H)), 1760 ($\nu$(C=O)), 1226 ($\nu$(CF)), 1175 ($\nu$(C-O), $\delta$(COH)), 1048 ($\delta$(ring)$_1$), 841 ($\delta$(ring)$_2$) and 557 ($\tau$(C-O)) cm$^{-1}$. In the case of the $\nu$(O-H) and $\tau$(C-O) modes, no clear bands due to cis-I could be identified, though the bands assigned to these modes are considerably asymmetric, with a more extended wing in the side where the bands due to cis-I are expected (respectively for higher and lower frequencies). In all the other cases, the bands due to the cis-I conformer are clearly seen in the experimental spectrum, at frequencies matching well the predicted values (1778, 1245, 1162, 1059 and 845 cm$^{-1}$; see also Figure 13 and Table 3).
The presence of two conformers at equilibrium in the gas phase occurs also for 2-chlorobenzoic acid. Being nearly degenerate, the expected relative population of the two conformers is determined by their symmetry. As mentioned in Section 3.1, these considerations lead to an expected population of the slightly more stable cis-I conformer of 2CBA that is approximately twice that of the less stable cis-II conformer. However, compared to 2FBA, the spectra of the two cis conformers of 2CBA are considerably more similar, so that in this case the band overlapping is more extensive. In fact, only one band in the experimental gas phase IR spectrum of 2CBA could be clearly assigned to the cis-II conformer. This band is observed at 1371 cm$^{-1}$, and is ascribable to the (v(C-O), δ(COH)) mode, in fairly good agreement with the calculated frequency (1344 cm$^{-1}$).

As for BA and 2FBA, the most intense bands in the infrared spectrum of 2CBA are due to vibrations mostly localized in the carboxylic acid fragment, v(O-H) (3576 cm$^{-1}$), v(C=O) (1767 cm$^{-1}$), v(C-O) (1334, 1103, 1083 cm$^{-1}$), δ(COH) (1334, 1185 cm$^{-1}$) and τ(C-O) (576 cm$^{-1}$), plus the γ(CH) all-in-phase out-of-the-plane bending mode (γ(CH) ++++) (746 cm$^{-1}$). The stretching of the ortho C-Cl bond contributes significantly to two bands, being strongly mixed with the v(C-O) coordinate in the vibration giving rise to the intense band at 1103 cm$^{-1}$ (predicted at 1083 cm$^{-1}$), and with both the δ(OCO) and δ(ring)$_3$ coordinates in the vibration giving rise to the band observed at 690 cm$^{-1}$ (predicted at 677 cm$^{-1}$). The in-plane and out-of-the-plane bending CCl$_2$ vibrations are predicted to originate bands of low intensity outside the investigated spectral region (respectively at 293 and 205 cm$^{-1}$; see Table 4).

As a whole, 25 from the 27 fundamental vibrations of 2CBA predicted to absorb above 450 cm$^{-1}$ with an intensity larger than 2.5 km·mol$^{-1}$ have been assigned in the present investigation, the two non-assigned modes corresponding to low-intensity vibrations whose bands are hidden by intense bands due to other closely located in frequency modes, specifically (v(C-O), δ(COH)) and τ(C-O) (see Figure 13 and Table 4). In the matrix isolation study of 2CBA [17] only 14 modes were assigned. The general spectroscopic pattern described above for BA, 2FBA and 2CBA in relation to the dominance of the bands originated in the carboxylic group regarding intensities is also observed for the studied di-substituted compounds. For both 26DFBA and 26DCBA no previous vibrational data have been reported. In here, 24 from 25 fundamental vibrations with intensities over 1 km·mol$^{-1}$ predicted to occur in the studied spectral region (4000–550 cm$^{-1}$) were assigned in the spectrum of 26DFBA, while for 26DCBA all 23 vibrations matching these criteria were ascribed to bands in the experimental spectrum (Tables 5 and 7). The symmetric stretching vibration of the ortho fluorine substituents in 26DFBA is observed at 1295 cm$^{-1}$ as a mid intensity band (predicted at 1266 cm$^{-1}$), while the anti-symmetric mode gives rise to an intense band at 1020 cm$^{-1}$ (predicted at 1002 cm$^{-1}$). As for the mono-fluoro-substituted compound, the CF stretching frequencies in 26DFBA are underestimated by ca. 20 cm$^{-1}$ by the calculations upon scaling. Interestingly, the unscaled calculated frequencies almost exactly match the experimental ones in both molecules (1294, 1024 versus 1295, 1020 cm$^{-1}$ for 26DFBA, and 1244 vs. 1238 cm$^{-1}$ for 2FBA), and the same trends are also observed for 2C6FBA (1266 cm$^{-1}$, calculated unscaled versus 1258$^{-1}$, observed versus 1239 cm$^{-1}$, calculated scaled; see Table 6). The in-plane and out-of-the-plane CF$_2$ bending vibrations in 26DFBA as well as the CCl$_2$ bending modes in 26DCBA are predicted to absorb below 550 cm$^{-1}$, i.e., outside the studied spectral region. All bands associated to these vibrations are predicted to have very low intensity (< 3 km·mol$^{-1}$; see Tables 5 and 7).

The infrared spectrum of 2F6CBA isolated in a cryogenic Xe matrix has been reported before [58] and assigned with help of calculated data obtained at the B3LYP/6-311+++(d,p) level of theory. The interpretation of the gas phase spectrum of the compound closely follows that made in the matrix isolation study, though a few bands are reassigned, in particular the stretching of the exocyclic C-C bond, now assigned to the band at 779 cm$^{-1}$ (which has also contributions from the δ(OCO), γ(C=O) and γ(CH) +++ coordinates; see Table 6). This band was previously mis-assigned to the stretching vibration of the CF bond, which is now reassigned to the band at 1258 cm$^{-1}$ that appears at approximately the same frequency as the identical vibration in 2FBA (1238 cm$^{-1}$). The vCCl stretching (not assigned in the matrix isolation study) is ascribed to the experimentally observed band at 1151 cm$^{-1}$, in good agreement
with the calculated data (1149 cm\(^{-1}\)) and also not very much different from the frequency of the \(\nu\text{CCl}\) mode in 2CBA (1103 cm\(^{-1}\); calculated: 1083 cm\(^{-1}\); see Table 4). Both \(\nu\text{CF}\) and \(\nu\text{CCl}\) coordinates also contribute (in opposition of phase) to the intense band observed at 909 cm\(^{-1}\). Another way to describe the vibrations of the CF and CCl bonds is to state that the two stretchings combine anti-symmetrically to give rise to the band at 909 cm\(^{-1}\), while the putative symmetric combination is replaced by vibrations where each one of the coordinates combine with \(\delta\text{(CH)}\) ring bending modes (see Table 6). According to the calculations, the in-plane bending associated with the CF and CCl bonds also combine to give rise to symmetric (predicted at 230 cm\(^{-1}\)) and anti-symmetric vibrations (predicted at 418 cm\(^{-1}\)). Interestingly, the out-of-plane deformations are predicted to be independent from each other. For 2C6FBA, all 23 vibrations predicted to absorb in the studied spectral region with an intensity >1 km·mol\(^{-1}\) are here assigned to experimental bands in the gas phase spectrum of the compound.

### 3.4. Photochemistry under Matrix Isolation Conditions

Two of the compounds were selected as targets for photochemical experiments, 2FBA and 2C6FBA. In these experiments, monomers of the compounds were isolated in cryogenic Ar (2FBA) or Xe (2C6FBA) matrices and subjected to narrowband UV (\(\lambda \geq 235\) nm) irradiation. The spectra of the as-deposited matrices are identical to those reported before [16,58]. Upon irradiation, new bands appeared in the infrared spectra, which are due to the formed photoproducts, while concomitantly the as-deposited matrices are identical to those reported before [16,58].
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**Figure 15.** Top: 2400–600 cm\(^{-1}\) region of the difference IR spectrum: irradiated Ar matrix of 2-fluorobenzoic acid (2FBA) minus as-deposited matrix. Bottom: Simulated difference spectrum based on the B3LYP/6-311+G\((d,p)\) calculated IR spectra of 2FBA (\(cis\-II\) and \(cis\-I\) conformers; bands pointing down) and the observed photoproducts: CO\(_2\), CO, and fluorobenzene (FB) (bands pointing up). The calculated wavenumbers were scaled by 0.978, and the calculated intensities were multiplied by arbitrary factors in order to fit better the observed spectrum (in a ratio of ~2:1:3, 2FBA \(cis\-II\):2FBA \(cis\-II\):FB).
Figure 16. Top: Low frequency region of the difference IR spectrum: irradiated Xe matrix of 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acid (2C6FBA) minus as-deposited matrix. Bottom: Simulated difference spectrum based on the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated IR spectra of 2C6FBA (cis conformer; bands pointing down) and the observed photoproducts: CO$_2$, 2-chloro-6-fluorophenol (2C6FPh) and 3-fluoro-chlorobenzene (3FCB) (bands pointing up). The calculated wavenumbers were scaled by 0.978, and the calculated intensities of the reactant and of the different photoproducts were multiplied by arbitrary factors in order to fit better the observed spectrum (in a ratio of ~3:2:1, 2C6FBA:3FCB:2C6FPh).

Figure 17. High frequency region of the difference IR spectrum: irradiated Xe matrix of 2-chloro-6-fluorobenzoic acid (2C6FBA) minus as-deposited matrix. Bands that arise due to the photoproduced CO$_2$ (including its $^{13}$C isotopologue), CO, 2-chloro-6-fluorophenol (2C6FPh) and 3-fluoro-chlorobenzene (3FCB) are pointing up, while bands due to the reactant (cis conformer) are pointing down. The lower frequency region shown in this figure (<2000 cm$^{-1}$) was included to allow a faster comparison of the intensities of the bands with those presented in Figure 16.
For both compounds characteristic bands of $CO_2$ and CO were observed in the spectra of the photolysed matrices, indicating that decarboxylation and decarbonylation reactions take place upon UV irradiation, decarboxylation being the major process. In the case of 2C6FBA, the decarboxylation:decarbonylation reaction branch is estimated to be ~2:1, based on the relative intensities of the bands due to the two photoproducts. For this compound, both photoproducts accompanying CO$_2$ and CO, i.e., 3-fluoro-chlorobenzene and 2-chloro-6-fluorophenol (2C6FPh), respectively, were observed. The photoreactions in the case of 2FBA appear to be less effective, and the decarboxylation strongly dominates, precluding experimental detection of 2-fluorophenol, the product that is expected to be formed together with CO. It is also interesting to note that 2-chloro-6-fluorophenol, which has two conformers differing in orientation of the phenolic OH group, is produced in the lowest energy conformer I, where the OH group is intramolecularly H-bonded to the fluorine ortho substituent. Also, it is worth noticing that in the case of 2FBA the two conformers trapped in the cryogenic matrix (cis-I and cis-II) seem to react at nearly equal rates.

Both photodecarboxylation and photodecarbonylation are commonly observed reactions of carboxylic acids, and have been described for this type of compounds isolated in cryogenic matrices and subjected to UV irradiation [65,66]. General mechanistic insights on these processes escape the scope of the present article and can be found in [65–69]. Nevertheless, one shall point out that the recombination of radical species produced in the excited states after UV irradiation, which have been described as the primary photoproducts of UV-photolysis of carboxylic acids as a result of the cleavage of the C-O or C-C bond alpha to the carbonyl, is particularly easy in the solid matrix media, due to the cage-confined nature of the processes. Under these conditions, stable species like CO$_2$ and CO shall be promptly formed, as observed. In addition, quantum chemical calculations (performed at the CASSCF level of theory) on benzoic acid [69] have shown that the transition states for cleavage of those bonds have the carboxylic group and the aromatic ring perpendicular to each other. This may explain, at least partially, the observed larger efficiency of the photoreactions observed for 2C6FBA (whose molecules have these two groups almost perpendicular in the starting geometry) compared to 2FBA (where the two groups are in the same plane).

It shall also be noticed that a previous photochemical investigation of matrix-isolated 2CBA has been reported [17]. In that study, monomeric 2CBA isolated in a low-temperature (9 K) argon matrix was subjected to irradiation using a super-high-pressure mercury broad-band source assembled with a short-wavelength filter ($\lambda > 240$ nm). The authors were able to induce conversion of the initially deposited cis conformers into the high-energy trans conformers, which were then found to spontaneously convert back to the initial forms by quantum mechanical tunneling (as it has already been mentioned in this article; see Section 3.1). However, no information about simultaneous occurrence of any photolysis reaction has been provided.

3.5. Structural Characteristics of the Crystalline Materials

The crystal structures for all the compounds investigated here have been previously reported [20–24,30]. Table 8 summarizes the crystal data, while Figures 18–20 depict the crystal packing schemes observed for the different compounds. All compounds except 26DCBA crystallize in the monoclinic system, the crystals belonging to the $P2_1/c$ (or $P2_1/n$) space group $\text{No. 14}$ (BA, and all fluoro-containing compounds) or to the closely related $C2/c$ space group $\text{No. 15}$ (2CBA). The crystals of the dichloro-substituted compound are triclinic, space group $\text{P\textbar T}$, and also differs in the number of non-equivalent molecules in the unit cell ($Z'$), which is 2, instead of 1 as for all other compounds. The fundamental dissimilarity of the crystal of 26DCBA compared to those of the remaining compounds can be ascribed to the different packing constrains imposed by the favored nearly perpendicular arrangement of the carboxylic acid substituent and the aromatic ring in the 26DCBA molecules (see Section 3.1). The average value of the angle between the planes of the carboxylic acid substituent and the aromatic ring ($\phi$) in 26DCBA is ~$85^\circ$, slightly smaller than in the isolated molecule ($90.0^\circ$), but much larger than in the remaining studied compounds. For all compounds, the monomeric units
exist in a carboxylic acid cis conformation and are associated in centrosymmetric dimers connected by two O-H...O intermolecular hydrogen bonds. In the BA crystal, the molecules are planar, as for the isolated molecule. The crystals of the two mono-substituted compounds (2FBA and 2CBA) show molecules slightly non-planar: for 2CBA, the angle between the carboxylic acid substituent and the aromatic ring is ~14°, very much similar to that predicted for the most stable conformer of the molecule, cis-I (18.0°; see Figure 1); for 2FBA, this angle is ~10°, while the minimum energy cis structures of the isolated molecule are planar. Interestingly, 2FBA is the only compound among all those studied here where the conformer selected to form the crystal (cis-I) is not the most stable conformer found for the isolated molecule (cis-II, as discussed in Section 3.1). Both 26DFBA and 2C6FBA crystals are constituted by non-planar molecules where the ϕ angle is somewhat smaller than in the corresponding minimum energy structures of the isolated molecules (~34° vs. 47.1° in the case of 26DFBA, and ~46° vs. 67.6°, for 2C6FBA). In practical terms, the trends described above lead to geometries adopted by the different ortho halogeno-substituted benzoic acids molecules in crystalline phase that are more similar to each other than for the isolated molecules, this being particularly noticeable for the compounds crystallizing in the monoclinic system.

| Crystal system | BA | 2FBA | 26DFBA | 2CBA | 26DCBA | 2C6FBA |
|---------------|----|------|--------|------|--------|-------|
| Space group   | P2₁/c | P2₁/n | P2₁/c | C2/c | PT | P2₁/c |
| Z             | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Z'            | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| a/Å           | 5.4996(5) | 6.5523(13) | 3.6517(4) | 14.6382(16) | 7.2678(9) | 3.7655(2) |
| b/Å           | 5.1283(5) | 3.7524(8) | 14.1214(15) | 3.8142(6) | 9.8543(8) | 13.9660(7) |
| c/Å           | 21.950(2) | 25.021(5) | 12.2850(13) | 23.812(3) | 11.8290(11) | 13.2300(7) |
| α/°           | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 95.000(7) | 90 |
| β/°           | 97.37(1) | 92.82(3) | 95.651(3) | 101.146(11) | 104.262(10) | 98.034(3) |
| γ/°           | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 102.128(8) | 90 |
| Cell volume/Å³ | 614(1) | 614.5(2) | 630.42(12) | 1304.4(3) | 794.07(14) | 688.92(6) |
| Density       | 1.322 | 1.515 | 1.666 | 1.544 | 1.598 | 1.683 |
| Conformer     | cis | cis-I | cis | cis-I | cis | cis |
| ϕ/°           | 0.0 | 10.4(3) | 33.7(14) | 13.7(2) | 88.1/82.2 | 46.4(2) |
| Temperature/K | RT | 100(2) | 100.0(1) | 150(2) | 198(2) | 200(1) |

*Uncertainties are given in parentheses in units of the last digit. RT, room temperature. ϕ, represents the angle between the planes of the aromatic ring and the carboxylic acid substituent; for 26DCBA the two values presented correspond to the value of this angle in the two non-equivalent molecules in the crystal.*

It is interesting to note the similarity of the cell parameters for the pairs BA/2FBA and 26DFBA/2C6FBA (see Table 8), which follows the general pattern of greater structural similarity between these pairs of molecules already pointed out in the previous sections of this article. In this regard, the two chloro-substituted compounds, 2CBA and 26DCBA, have unique characteristics, though in the case of the mono-substituted compound this is mostly due to the different number of molecules in the unit cell, which is twice those of the remaining compounds (indeed, the cell parameters for 2CBA can also be made in close correspondence with those of the pair 26DFBA and 2C6FBA if one takes 1/2 of the value of the c axis and interchanges the a and b axes; see Table 8).
crystalline phase that are more similar to each other than for the isolated molecules, this being particularly noticeable for the compounds crystallizing in the monoclinic system.

Table 8. Summary of the data for the crystals of the studied compounds.

| Compound     | Crystal System | Space Group | a/Å     | b/Å     | c/Å     | α/°     | β/°     | γ/°     | V/Å³    | Density |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| BA           | Monoclinic     | P2₁/c       | 5.4996  | 5.1283  | 21.950  | 90      | 97.37(1)| 90      | 614(1)  | 1.322   |
| 2FBA         | Monoclinic     | P2₁/n       | 6.5523  | 3.7524  | 25.021  | 90      | 92.82(3)| 90      | 614.5(2)| 1.515   |
| 26DFBA       | Monoclinic     | P2₁/c       | 3.6517  | 14.1214 | 12.2850 | 90      | 95.651(3)| 90      | 630.42(12)| 1.666  |
| 2CBA         | Monoclinic     | C2/c        | 14.6382 | 3.8142  | 23.812  | 90      | 101.146(11)| 90      | 1304.4(3)| 1.544  |
| 26DCBA       | Triclinic      | P₁       | 7.2678  | 9.8543  | 11.8290 | 95.000(7)| 104.262(10)| 90      | 794.07(14)| 1.598  |
| 2C6FBA       | Monoclinic     | P2₁/c       | 3.7655  | 13.9660 | 13.2300 | 90      | 98.034(3)| 90      | 688.92(6)| 1.683  |

ϕ represents the angle between the planes of the aromatic ring and the carboxylic acid substituent; for 26DCBA the two values presented correspond to the value of this angle in the two non-equivalent molecules in the crystal.

Figure 18. Crystal packing for BA (left) and 2FBA (right) as viewed from the (a) (top), (b) (middle) and (c) (bottom) crystallographic axes. Data from Refs. [20,30], respectively.

Figure 19. Crystal packing for 26DFBA (left) and 2C6FBA (right) as viewed from the (a) (top), (b) (middle) and (c) (bottom) crystallographic axes. Data from Refs. [21], and [23], respectively.

Figure 20. Crystal packing for 2CBA (left) and 26DCBA (right) as viewed from the (a) (top), (b) (middle) and (c) (bottom) crystallographic axes. Data from Refs. [20,30], respectively.
The cell volumes for the crystals of the different compounds shown in Table 7 follow the expected order, taking into account the size of the respective molecules, i.e., BA < 2FBA < 26DFBA < $\frac{1}{2}$CBA < 2C6FBA < 26DCBA, though the cell volume of the crystal of the unsubstituted compound (BA) given in Table 8 is comparatively larger. However, this is in a large amount due to the higher temperature used in the X-ray structure determination measurements, since neutron diffraction studies performed at 130 K [30] render a cell volume for BA crystal of only 589 Å$^3$.

Intermolecular interactions in the studied crystals are here characterized in details using the Hirshfeld surface analysis approach [43,70,71] and the CE-B3LYP method [42,43].

Hirshfeld surfaces are obtained from electron distributions that are calculated as sums of spherical atomic electron densities [43,70,71]. The Hirshfeld surface of a molecule in a crystal defines the region where the electron distribution given by the sum of the electron densities of the spherical atoms of a given molecule (the promolecule) exceeds that from all other promolecules in the crystal. Structure-related properties can then be mapped on the Hirshfeld surface. The normalized contact distance ($d_{\text{norm}}$) is calculated from the distances of a given point of the surface to the nearest atom outside ($d_e$) and inside ($d_i$) of the surface (normalized by the corresponding van der Waals radii, $r_{i}^{\text{vdw}}$ and $r_{e}^{\text{vdw}}$, respectively), as defined by Equation (3), and allows the identification of the regions of the molecule where intermolecular interactions are more important [71,72]. Additionally, the combination of $d_e$ and $d_i$ in the form of a two-dimensional (2D)-fingerprint plot allows to condense information about the intermolecular contacts present in the crystal [71,73–75]. The 2D-fingerprint plots provide a visual summary of the frequency of each combination of $d_e$ and $d_i$ across the surface of a molecule, thus indicating not just which intermolecular interactions are present, but also the relative area of the

---

**Figure 20.** Crystal packing for 2CBA (left) and 26DCBA (right) as viewed from the (a) (top), (b) (middle) and (c) (bottom) crystallographic axes. Data from [21], and [23], respectively.
surface corresponding to each kind of interaction, which is a measure of the relative amount of each interaction in the crystal:

\[ d_{\text{norm}} = \frac{d_i - r_{i}^{\text{vdw}}}{r_{i}^{\text{vdw}}} + \frac{d_e - r_{e}^{\text{vdw}}}{r_{e}^{\text{vdw}}} \]  

(3)

The CE-B3LYP method has been described in details in refs. [42,43], and allows the partition of the interaction energies in a crystal in components which are of electrostatic, polarization, dispersion and exchange-repulsion nature. As described in Section 2 of this article, this method enables the computation of lattice energies \( (E_{\text{lat}}) \), which can be then related with sublimation enthalpies \( \Delta H_{\text{sub}}(T) \).

Table 9 summarizes the results of the Hirshfeld analysis performed on the crystals of the studied compounds regarding the relative importance of the different intermolecular interactions, expressed as atom \( \cdots \) atom interactions according to the \( d_{\text{norm}} \) signatures. The total and atom \( \cdots \) atom \( d_{\text{norm}} \) plots and 2D-fingerprint plots \( (d_e, d_i) \) are shown in Figures 21 and 22. It can be seen that, as expected, the O \( \cdots \) H interactions are of fundamental importance in all crystals, since they are mostly related with the intermolecular H-bond interactions joining individual molecules in the centrosymmetric dimers present in the crystals. The O \( \cdots \) H interactions percent contribution is in the short range of 23.1–24.9% in the series of compounds studied, testifying the similar nature of this type of interaction in all of them. It is interesting to point out that the strengths of the intermolecular O-H \( \cdots \) O interactions in the dimers present in the crystals, as measured by the O \( \cdots \) O distances (the shorter the distance, the stronger the H-bonding) correlate approximately in an inverse way with the pK\( _a \) of the compounds (grouping the mono-substituted and di-substituted compounds; Figure 23), once again 26DCBA being a notorious exception to this trend.

Table 9. Atom \( \cdots \) atom interactions (%) in the crystals of the studied compounds, according to the Hirshfeld method \( (d_{\text{norm}} \) signatures).

| Interaction | BA  | 2FBA | 26DFBA | 2CBA | 26DCBA | 2C6FBA |
|------------|-----|------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| O \( \cdots \) H | 24.9 | 24.7 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 26.8 | 23.1 |
| C \( \cdots \) H | 21.1 | 8.7 | 5.7 | 11.1 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
| H \( \cdots \) H | 43.0 | 26.4 | 12.1 | 21.7 | 12.6 | 13.0 |
| O \( \cdots \) O | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| O \( \cdots \) C | 6.4 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| C \( \cdots \) C | 3.6 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 9.4 | 7.2 | 10.7 |
| F \( \cdots \) O | 0.0 | 2.2 | | | | 1.9 |
| F \( \cdots \) H | 20.0 | 31.1 | | | | 11.1 |
| F \( \cdots \) F | 0.2 | 5.4 | | | | 1.0 |
| F \( \cdots \) C | 3.4 | 2.8 | | | | 0.7 |
| Cl \( \cdots \) O | 0.1 | 5.9 | | | | 0.8 |
| Cl \( \cdots \) H | 24.0 | 24.2 | | | | 15.8 |
| Cl \( \cdots \) Cl | 1.8 | 7.3 | | | | 1.1 |
| Cl \( \cdots \) C | 2.7 | 5.2 | | | | 3.4 |
| F \( \cdots \) Cl | | | | | | 6.3 |
Figure 21. Total and atom⋯atom $d_{\text{norm}}$ Hirshfeld plots and 2D-fingerprint plots ($d_e$, $d_i$) for BA, 2FBA and 26DFBA. Grey, colors means no interaction, blue-to-red colors mean increasing strength of interaction (in the $d_{\text{norm}}$ Hirshfeld plots) or increased number of interactions (in the 2D fingerprint plots).
values, respectively. As shown in Figure 21, the H … H interactions are mapped on the Hirshfeld surface
norm values, respectively. As shown in Figure 21, the H … H interactions are mapped on the Hirshfeld
surface (Figure 22, 25), and also much more important than the F … O interactions in both 2FBA and 16DFBA; see Table 9).

Besides the O … H interactions, in the BA crystal the other two most relevant types of interactions
are the H … H and C … H contacts, accounting for 43.0 and 21.1% of the Hirshfeld surface \( d_{\text{norm}} \) values, respectively. As shown in Figure 21, the H … H interactions are mapped on the Hirshfeld

Figure 22. Total and atom … atom \( d_{\text{norm}} \) Hirshfeld plots and 2D-fingerprint plots \( (d_e, d_i) \) for 2C6FBA, 2CBA and 26DCBA. Grey, colors means no interaction, blue-to-red colors mean increasing strength of interaction (in the \( d_{\text{norm}} \) Hirshfeld plots) or increased number of interactions (in the 2D fingerprint plots).

Figure 23. Intramolecular \( d(O \cdots O) \) distances in the dimers existing in the crystals of the studied compounds [20–24,30] vs. \( pK_a \) values (water; 25 °C).

Besides the O … H interactions, in the BA crystal the other two most relevant types of interactions are the H … H and C … H contacts, accounting for 43.0 and 21.1% of the Hirshfeld surface \( d_{\text{norm}} \) values, respectively. As shown in Figure 21, the H … H interactions are mapped on the Hirshfeld
surface around all ring hydrogen atoms and are related with the dispersion interactions involving these atoms, which occur both paralelly and perpendicularly to the aromatic ring plane, as seen in Figure 18. On the other hand, the C⋯H interactions are a measure of the C-H···π interactions involving the π system of the aromatic ring and the meta and para hydrogens of neighboring molecules, as shown in Figure 18. Note that in the crystal of BA the π-π stacking between the aromatic rings is limited, since the rings of neighbor dimers are dephased (see Figure 18), what justifies the small importance of the C⋯C interactions in the crystal (only 3.6% ; Table 9). This strongly contrasts with what happens in the case of 2FBA, where the π-π stacking between adjacent rings is perfect and, consequently, the C⋯C interactions increase of importance (10.8%) at cost of the C⋯H interactions (which account only for 8.7% of the Hirshfeld $d_{\text{norm}}$ surface area), and are mapped, as expected, above the aromatic ring carbon atoms (see Figures 18 and 21). In the crystal of 2FBA, besides the O⋯H and C⋯C interactions, the most importante interactions are the H⋯H and H⋯F ones, accounting respectively for 26.4 and 20.0% of the Hirshfeld $d_{\text{norm}}$ surface area and representing mostly H⋯H dispersive and C-H⋯F-C bond-polar interactions. Interestingly, the F⋯F interactions are practically inexistent (0.2%), in consonance with the fact that in the crystal the atoms close to the fluorine atoms are essentially hydrogen atoms.

A similar picture can be traced for the difluoro-substituted compound, whose percentual assignment of the Hirshfeld $d_{\text{norm}}$ surface area to the diferent atom⋯atom interactions is very much identical to that of 2FBA (see Table 9 and also Figures 18, 19 and 21). The major difference is the increase of percentage allocated to the F⋯H interactions and the reduction of that assigned to the H⋯H interactions, as expecting considering the replacement of one H atom by a F atom in going from 2FBA to 26DFBA.

The patterns of atom⋯atom interactions in the crystals of 2CBA and 26DCBA generally follow those discussed above for the two fluoro-substituted compounds, as it can be noticed by the percentual values for the different interactions shown in Table 9, and also by comparing the plots depicted in Figures 21 and 22 for these molecules. It shall, however, be emphasised that the specific geometry adopted by the molecules of 26DCBA, with the planes of the aromatic ring and of the carboxylic group nearly perpendicular to each other, leads to a reduction of the π-π staking between the aromatic rings (as measured by the C⋯C interaction, which has the lowest percent value among all these four molecules; see also Figure 20 for 26DCBA crystal packing), and also to an increase of the relevance of the Cl⋯O interactions (which are by far more important in 26DCBA than in 2CBA, and also much more important than the F⋯O interactions in both 2FBA and 16DFBA; see Table 9).

Finally, in the crystal of 2C6FBA, whose structural organization resembles that of 26DFBA (Figure 19), the intermolecular atom⋯atom interactions do also resemble those operating in the difluoro-substituted compound, with the relevant O⋯H, H⋯H and C⋯C interactions having identical percentual contributions to the Hirshfeld $d_{\text{norm}}$ surface area (Table 9 and Figures 21 and 22). Naturally, the percentage assigned to the F⋯H interactions in 26DFBA (31.1%) are split in 2C6FBA among the F⋯H (11.1%), Cl⋯H (15.8%) and F⋯Cl (6.3%) interactions. Additionally, the Hirshfeld $d_{\text{norm}}$ surface maps for 26DFBA clearly reveal that the F⋯H interactions occur predominantly with the para hydrogen atom, while the Cl⋯H interactions involve essentially the meta hydrogen atoms (Figure 22), in consonance with its characteristic crystal packing, shown in Figure 19. For 26DFBA, the F⋯H interactions extend to both para and meta hydrogen atoms (Figures 19 and 21).

The results obtained using the CE-B3LYP interaction energy decomposition model [42,43] are summarized in Table 10. A comparative analysis of the data for the 6 studied crystals was done by using the Ward’s clustering method [77] with squared Euclidian distances, and is presented in Figure 24. The clustering analysis clearly shows the similarity of the pairs of crystals BA/2FBA and 26DFBA/2C6FBA already pointed out above, with the latter pair being that whose components show the highest degree of similarity. The crystals of the chlorosubstituted compounds (2CBA and 26DCBA) are also grouped together in the clustering analysis, but their degree of similarity is considerably
smaller than those exhibited by the remaining pairs of crystals, as seen by the relative distances of the branching points of the dendogram for the different groups.

Table 10. CE-B3LYP interaction energies partition results for the crystals of the studied compounds.

| Molecule | E_ele | E_pol | E_dis | E_rep | E_tot |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| BA       | 106.3 | 118.0 | 105.4 | 110.8 | 118.0 |
| 2FBA     | 64.5  | 71.3  | 62.5  | 70.0  | 67.5  |
| 26DFBA   | 87.1  | 95.1  | 82.6  | 90.0  | 85.6  |
| 2CBA     | 69.7  | 77.3  | 66.2  | 73.8  | 71.8  |
| 26DCBA   | 65.2  | 72.5  | 61.7  | 69.2  | 67.7  |
| 2C6FBA   | 68.2  | 76.5  | 63.7  | 71.2  | 69.7  |
| 26FBA    | 61.2  | 68.5  | 58.7  | 66.2  | 64.7  |
| BA       | 84.4  | 92.3  | 81.3  | 88.8  | 87.8  |
| 2FBA     | 81.3  | 89.2  | 78.3  | 85.8  | 84.8  |
| 26DFBA   | 97.1  | 105.0 | 93.1  | 100.6 | 99.6  |
| 2CBA     | 93.7  | 101.6 | 90.7  | 98.2  | 97.2  |
| 26DCBA   | 87.1  | 95.1  | 82.6  | 90.0  | 85.6  |
| 2C6FBA   | 82.3  | 90.5  | 79.3  | 86.8  | 85.8  |
| 26FBA    | 75.8  | 83.7  | 70.8  | 78.3  | 77.3  |

The electrostatic and polarization components of the intermolecular interactions (E_ele; E_pol) are larger for all fluoro-containing compounds (including 2C6FBA), compared to the chloro-substituted ones (see Table 10), as it could be expected taking into account the relative electronegativities of the two halogen atoms. The maximum value of these terms are observed for 26DFBA, and are ca. 30%, and ~70% higher, respectively, than for 26DCBA. Interestingly, the results indicate that these terms are also large for the unsubstituted BA.

The dispersion component of the intermolecular interactions (E_dis) is, as expected, smaller in BA, compared with all halogenated benzoic acids (with the notorious exception of 26DCBA), and in these latter, also as it could be anticipated that it is larger in the di-substituted compounds than in the mono-substituted ones. The fact that the crystal of 26DCBA appears (again) as an outlier shall be ascribed to its significant structural differences compared to the remaining crystals, which were already pointed out above.

In its turn, the exchange-repulsion component (E_rep) of BA has an intermediate value, being larger than those found for 2CBA and 26DCBA and smaller than those calculated for all the fluoro-containing derivatives. Assuming a similar compactness of the crystals, these results can be correlated with the
relative hardness of the halogen atoms, fluorine being a harder atom than hydrogen and chlorine being considerably softer [78,79].

The CE-B3LYP calculated lattice energies of the crystals $E_{\text{lat}} = E_{\text{tot}}$ (see Table 10) appear in the following order: 26DFBA < 2C6FBA = 2FBA ≈ BA < 2CBA < 26DCBA. From the obtained values of $E_{\text{lat}}$, the sublimation enthalpies were estimated as described in Section 2 as being 109 (26DFBA), 102 (2C6FBA and 2FBA), 101 (BA), 94 (2CBA) and 82 (26DCBA) kJ·mol$^{-1}$. The estimated values are expected to have an absolute error within 8 kJ·mol$^{-1}$ [42], so that the obtained results compare fairly well with the available experimental data: 94.4 ± 0.8, 93.3 ± 1.2 and 79 ± 2 kJ·mol$^{-1}$, for 2FBA, BA and 2CBA, respectively [80–82] (no experimental data is available for the remaining compounds).

We have also looked to the influence of the crystal environment on the properties of the aromatic ring. Aromaticity can be estimated using the harmonic oscillator model of aromaticity (HOMA) index [83–85], which is defined as,

$$\text{HOMA} = 1 - \frac{257.7}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{6} (1.388 - r_i)^2$$

where $r_i$ are the C-C bond lengths.

Table 11 presents the values of the ring C-C bond lengths for the isolated molecule, as calculated in the present study, and those obtained experimentally for the crystals [20–24,30]. For both situations, the less aromatic rings are present in the mono-substituted compounds due to pronouncedly different nature of the ortho substituents, with 2CBA being the compound exhibiting the smaller HOMA index. Interestingly, the aromaticity index in the di-substituted compounds assumes higher values than in BA, both in the crystalline state and for the isolated molecules. It is also worth noticing that, with no exceptions, the intermolecular interactions present in the crystals lead to a reduction of the aromaticity degree of the aromatic moiety (from a reduction of ~0.15% in the HOMA index, in both 26DFBA and 26DCBA, to ~5% in 2CBA).

Table 11. Aromatic ring C-C bond lengths (Å) and HOMA index for the studied molecules (in the crystals and as isolated molecules).

| Crystal | BA | 2FBA | 2CBA | 26DFBA | 26DCBA | 2C6FBA | Mol. 1 | Mol. 2 |
|---------|----|------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| Mol. 1  |    |      |      |        |        |        | 1.384 | 1.401 |
| Mol. 2  |    |      |      |        |        |        | 1.377 | 1.391 |
| HOMA:   |    |      |      | 0.969  | 0.953  | 0.919  | 0.989 | 0.992 |
| Average |    |      |      | 0.966  | 0.990  |        |       |        |

| Isolated Molecule | BA | 2FBA | 2CBA | 26DFBA | 26DCBA | 2C6FBA |
|-------------------|----|------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| Mol. 1            |    |      |      |        |        |        | 1.400 | 1.402 |
| Mol. 2            |    |      |      |        |        |        | 1.398 | 1.397 |
| HOMA:             |    |      |      | 0.982  | 0.977  | 0.969  | 0.990 | 0.991 |

$^a$ C-C bond lengths are presented starting from that connecting the ring carbon atom where the carboxylic acid substituent is bound to the ortho carbon atom staying closest to the carbonyl oxygen atom and rotating through the ring. Experimental data for the crystals was taken from Refs. [20–24,30]. Calculated data are from this study and for both 2FBA and 2CBA correspond to the geometrical parameters of the cis-I conformer.
4. Conclusions

In this article, the structure and properties of the ortho mono- and di-substituted fluoro- and chloro- benzoic acids were investigated in a comparative basis. First, the potential energy landscape of the isolated molecules of the compounds were characterized using DFT calculations performed with the B3LYP functional and the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. Special attention was given to the influence of the interactions between the carboxylic group and the ortho halogen substituents as well as the nature of these later on the structures and properties of the studied series of compounds. The structures of the relevant conformers of the molecules were rationalized and used to interpret the experimental data obtained for the isolated molecules of the compounds, and in particular, their vibrational spectra in the gas phase and isolated in low-temperature inert matrices, and the photochemistry of selected illustrative compounds in the latter experimental conditions. The stability of the higher-energy trans carboxylic conformers regarding their spontaneous conversion by quantum mechanical tunneling to the most stable cis forms was evaluated. The conclusions derived from the analysis of the potential energy landscapes of the molecules regarding this matter were found to duly explain previously reported experimental data [16,17,58] and were used to make predictions regarding the stability of the trans conformers of the molecules not yet experimentally investigated (BA, 26DFBA and 26DCBA). The structures of the crystals reported previously in the literature [20–24,30] were revisited and discussed, also in a comparative basis. Intermolecular interactions in the different crystals and their effects on the properties of the compounds were evaluated through Hirshfeld surface analysis, the CE-B3LYP energy decomposition model and HOMA index. The structural characteristics of the crystals were correlated with thermodynamic data. On the whole, this article presents a detailed comprehensive investigation of the ortho fluoro- and chloro- substituted benzoic acids both as isolated molecules and in the crystalline phase.
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