The Dust and Molecular Gas in the Brightest Cluster Galaxy in MACS 1931.8-2635

We present new Atacama Large Millimeter Array observations of the molecular gas and far-infrared continuum around the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) in the cool-core cluster MACS 1931.8-2635. Our observations reveal \((1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{11} M_\odot\) of molecular gas, on par with the largest known reservoirs of cold gas in a cluster core. We detect CO(1–0), CO(3–2), and CO(4–3) emission from both diffuse and compact molecular gas components that extend from the BCG center out to \(\sim 30 \text{ kpc}\) to the northwest, tracing the UV knots and H\alpha filaments observed by the *Hubble Space Telescope*. Due to the lack of morphological symmetry, we hypothesize that the \(\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) velocity of the CO in the tail is not due to concurrent uplift by active galactic nucleus (AGN) jets; rather, we may be observing the aftermath of a recent AGN outburst. The CO spectral line energy distribution suggests that molecular gas excitation is influenced by processes related to both star formation and recent AGN feedback. Continuum emission in Bands 6 and 7 arises from dust and is spatially coincident with young stars and nebular emission observed in the UV and optical. We constrain the temperature of several dust clumps to be \(\lesssim 10^4 \text{ K}\), which is too cold to be directly interacting with the surrounding \(\sim 4.8 \text{ keV}\) intracluster medium (ICM). The cold dust population extends beyond the observed CO emission and must either be protected from interacting with the ICM or be surrounded by local volumes of ICM that are several keV colder than observed by *Chandra*.
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1. Introduction

Brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) in cool-core clusters of galaxies have been observed harboring reservoirs of cold molecular gas with masses up to several \(10^{10} M_\odot\) (Edge 2001; Edge & Frayer 2003; Salomé & Combes 2003; O’Dea et al. 2008). These gas reservoirs have been reliably detected using CO collisional lines as a tracer of the predominantly H2 gas, and exhibit a wide range of morphologies and dynamical characteristics. In some cool-core BCGs (such as A1835), this gas appears to be clearly associated with active galactic nucleus (AGN) jets and star formation, while in others (such as NGC 1275), the gas is distributed in narrow filaments that are not currently forming stars (Salomé et al. 2008; McNamara et al. 2014; Lim et al. 2017).

The BCGs that possess these gas reservoirs often exhibit highly extended star formation and radio jets from AGNs that excavate large (>10 kpc-wide) cavities in the surrounding intracluster medium (ICM) (Rafferty et al. 2006; McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Fabian 2012). These features are thought to arise from AGN feedback-regulated cooling in the ICM, whereby some ICM condensation occurs but energy injected by the AGN into the ICM offsets radiative cooling and prevents the formation of the 100 s–1000 s M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) cooling flows that were originally predicted to occur in these systems (e.g., Fabian 1994; McNamara et al. 2000; Peterson et al. 2003; Peterson & Fabian 2006; McNamara & Nulsen 2012). Extensive modeling of mechanical-mode AGN feedback in cool-core clusters reveals that, while energy deposited in the ICM by AGN jets balances radiative cooling in a global, time-averaged sense, locally the jets can stimulate gas condensation by pushing low-entropy volumes of ICM into regions of substantially higher ambient entropy (Li & Bryan 2014; Gaspari et al. 2015, 2018; Prasad et al. 2015; Meece et al. 2017; Yang & Reynolds 2016; Li et al. 2017; Voit et al. 2017; Voit 2018). The resulting atomic and molecular gas condense then precipitates onto the galactic nucleus, fueling starbursts and further AGN activity (Gaspari et al. 2013, 2017; Li & Bryan 2014; Li et al. 2015; Voit et al. 2017). UV, optical, and near-IR observations of cool-core BCGs reveal star formation rates (SFRs) ranging from \(\sim 1\) to several \(\times 100 M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\), while mid-IR observations of the rovibrational states of H2 and sub-mm observations of CO confirm the presence of molecular gas reservoirs as large as \(\sim 10^{10} M_\odot\) (McNamara & O’Connell 1989; O’Dea et al. 2008; Donahue et al. 2011, 2015; Tremblay et al. 2015; Loubser et al. 2016; McDonald et al. 2018).

Recent observations of BCGs with the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) have found evidence of CO molecular gas tracing AGN jet outflows, providing observational support for the notion that molecular gas reservoirs in these systems are fueled by \(>10^7 \text{ K}\) gas that condenses as it is being uplifted by the jets (McNamara et al. 2014; Russell et al. 2014, 2017a, 2017b). CO has also been observed in absorption along the line of sight to the AGNs of BCGs, providing direct...
evidence for the accretion of cold gas onto the AGN (Tremblay et al. 2016, 2018; Rose et al. 2019).

While the molecular gas reservoirs in many of the BCGs observed by ALMA appear to be consistent with forming out of the residual condensation in systems where AGN feedback largely balances cooling, a handful of systems show evidence of more extreme cooling and star formation. In particular, the BCG in the Phoenix cluster ($z \approx 0.597$) is host to a massive starburst event ([SFR] $\approx 450-2700 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$), on par with the predicted ICM radiative cooling rate (McDonald et al. 2014; Mittal et al. 2017). This starburst may be short-lived compared to star formation in most other cool-core BCGs. The depletion timescales of the molecular gas in the majority of cool-core BCGs are on the order of 1 Gyr, suggesting these systems are capable of sustaining continuous modest star formation (O’Dea et al. 2008). However, in the Phoenix cluster the gas depletion timescale is $\lesssim 30$ Myr (McDonald et al. 2014). “Extreme” cool-core BCGs appear to be more common at higher redshifts ($z \gtrsim 0.6$), when BCGs tended to form stars at higher rates and, like the Phoenix BCG, tend to host an X-ray-loud AGN (Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2013; McDonald et al. 2016; Cooke et al. 2018).

We can improve our understanding of the formation and evolution of gas and dust in and around cool-core BCGs by observing constituents of the potentially cooling gas (e.g., atomic gas, molecular gas, dust, and ICM plasma) in systems with a variety of feedback intensities and across various stages of cluster evolution. Such observations will also reveal how the surrounding gas and dust interact with the AGN and how that interaction affects the balance between radiative cooling and feedback in cool-core clusters.

Extensive multiwavelength observations for a modest-sized sample of cool-core BCGs have been acquired for the Cluster Lensing And Supernova survey with Hubble (CLASH) program (Postman et al. 2012). We have used these data to identify the cluster MACS 1931.8-2635 as an interesting candidate in which to study molecular gas and dust during an episode of elevated feedback and star formation in a cool core. We obtained ALMA observations of this cluster to further investigate the process of dust and molecular gas formation in BCGs and characterize the spatial distribution, energetics, and kinematics of the dust and molecular gas, and their relationship to the ICM, during episodes of intense starburst activity and AGN feedback.

The BCG in the CLASH cluster in MACS 1931.8-2635 (hereafter MACS 1931) has an SFR of $\sim 250 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$ and an X-ray-loud AGN, suggesting it may be an example of “extreme” BCG condensation like the Phoenix (Santos et al. 2016; Fogarty et al. 2017). However, at a redshift of $z = 0.3525$, MACS 1931 is more nearly than typical quasar-mode BCGs with large SFRs. If MACS 1931 is part of the observed trend in BCG feedback evolution, it may be transitioning from the X-ray-loud, quasar-mode cooling and feedback characteristic of higher-redshift cool-core clusters to the X-ray-quiet feedback mode typical of lower-redshift cool cores. By studying MACS 1931, we hope to gain a better handle on how cooling and feedback in clusters progress over time.

We present our investigation of the molecular gas and dust in the BCG of MACS 1931 using ALMA observations in Bands 3, 6, and 7 obtained during cycles 4 and 5. We observe the (1−0), (3−2), and (4−3) transitions of CO, along with dust continuum emission at rest-frame frequencies of 336 GHz and 468.5 GHz. These observations enable us to obtain intensity maps of CO and dust and to examine the dynamical state and velocity structure of molecular gas in the BCG. By modeling the dust emission as a graybody, we are also able to place rudimentary constraints on the dust temperature.

In Section 2, we summarize our ALMA data set and describe the sources of all archival data used in this paper. In Section 3, we discuss implications for the formation of multiphase gas (i.e., gas consisting of intermingled atomic, molecular, and hot plasma components) and dust in MACS 1931, and the possible relationships between dust, molecular gas, and features observed in the optical, X-ray, and radio at 1.5 GHz. We summarize our findings in Section 6. We adopt a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology throughout, with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, $H_0 = 70 \, km \, s^{-1} \, Mpc^{-1}$, and $h = 0.7$. With these cosmological parameters, 1" subtends 4.962 kpc at the spectroscopic redshift of the BCG in MACS 1931, $z = 0.3525$.

### 2. Data

#### 2.1. ALMA Observations

Our ALMA Band 3 observations were obtained in cycle 4 (Project ID 2016.1.00784.S). Our ALMA Bands 6 and 7 observations, including Atacama Compact Array (ACA) observations, were obtained in cycle 5 (Project ID 2017.1.01205.S). Basic information about these observations are summarized in Table 1.

| Band | Array | Observation Start Date | Integration Time (sec) | Spectral Resolution (MHz) | Spatial Resolution (arcsec) | Max. Angular Scale (arcsec) |
|------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 3    | 12 m  | 2017 Mar 13 11:19:43   | 3084.48                | 7.8                       | 3.6                         | 19                          |
| 3    | 12 m  | 2017 Jul 23 06:53:26   | 10281.6                | 7.8                       | 0.54                        | 7.0                         |
| 6    | 7 m   | 2017 Oct 20 00:03:27   | 1723.68                | 31.25                     | 5.2                         | 20                          |
| 6    | 12 m  | 2018 Apr 8 09:50:15    | 725.76                 | 31.25                     | 0.74                        | 4.0                         |
| 7    | 7 m   | 2017 Oct 10 00:16:31   | 6804                    | 31.25                     | 0.81                        | 15                          |
| 7    | 12 m  | 2018 May 15 07:21:43   | 2721.6                 | 31.25                     | 0.81                        | 15                          |

The Band 3 observations combined two ALMA 12 m array configurations, which yielded a 15–3700 m baseline range and a 13,366 s total integration time. The minimum possible synthesized beam and the recoverable angular scale were 0"54 and 18"8, respectively. We configured our Band 3 spectral windows (SPWs) to center on sky frequencies of 85.3 GHz, 87.0 GHz, 97.0 GHz, and 99.0 GHz. The spectral
channel width was 3.9 MHz (i.e., spectral resolution was 7.8 MHz), which corresponds to \( \sim 11.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) velocity width.

We carried out one set of ALMA 12 m array observations at Band 6, with a baseline range of 15–500 m. It was complemented with a set of ACA observations with an identical spectral setup. In these observations, the Band 6 SPWs were configured to be centered on sky frequencies of 239.5 GHz, 241.5 GHz, 255.5 GHz, and 257.5 GHz. The spectral channel width was 15.625 MHz \((\sim 18.1 \text{ km s}^{-1})\). The on-source times for the 12 m array and the ACA observations were 726 s and 1724 s, respectively. Combining these observations yielded a 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)74 minimum possible synthesized beam and a 20\( ^{\prime\prime} \)4 largest recoverable angular scale.

Similarly, we obtained one set of ALMA 12 m array observations at Band 7, with a baseline range of 15–314 m, paired with the complementary ACA observations. We configured the Band 7 SPWs to center on sky frequencies of 339.5 GHz, 341.4 GHz, 351.5 GHz, and 353.5 GHz. The spectral channel width was 15.625 MHz \((\sim 13.2 \text{ km s}^{-1})\). The on-source times for the 12 m array and ACA observations were 2722 s and 6804 s, respectively. Combining these observations yielded a 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)81 minimum possible synthesized beam and a 14\( ^{\prime\prime} \)6 largest recoverable angular scale.

2.2. Hubble Space Telescope Data

We use the 16 bands of Hubble Space Telescope (HST) photometry for the MACS J1931.8-2635 BCG that were obtained between rest wavelengths of 1745 Å and 1.14 μm as part of the CLASH program. These observations are detailed in Postman et al. (2012) and the reduced data set is described in Fogarty et al. (2015, 2017).

3. Methods

3.1. ALMA Data Cube Reductions

Cycle 4 observations were reduced using the CASA software package version 4.7.2 (pipeline r39732); cycle 5 observations were reduced using CASA version 5.1.1–5 (pipeline version r40896) (McMullin et al. 2007). After regenerating the pipeline-calibrated measurement sets, we used the task concat to concatenate the calibrated measurement sets at each band. We used the task plotms to visually inspect the spectra of our target source, and then used the task uvcontsub to generate the continuum data from the line-free spectral channels and to produce continuum-subtracted CO line data.

We independently created images with the Band 3, 6, and 7 line-free continuum data using the multi-frequency synthesis mode of the clean task. The visibilities were naturally weighted, and the image pixel size was 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)075. We produced clean masks using clean in interactive mode to identify regions with significant source emission.

Flux in the Band 3 continuum is primarily due to the AGN, Flux in the Band 6 and 7 continuum images is due to thermal emission from extended dust and synchrotron and hot dust emission from the AGN. The AGN in our ALMA data is point-like and is most prominent in Band 3. To yield the dust continuum images, we subtracted the point source in each band using the image arithmetic task fitcomponents to fit a Gaussian model to the point source with a uniform background level. The full widths at half maximum along the major and minor axes and the position angle of the Gaussian were fixed based on the synthesized beam of each image. We fit the peak coordinates of the point source, along with the source flux and background. The coordinates of the point source were the same in both bands. The point source flux at Band 6 was 4.19 ± 0.42 mJy, roughly equal to the 4.28 ± 0.43 mJy measured in Band 7, ruling out the possibility that the emission is primarily due to graybody emission by hot dust in the AGN torus or unresolved circumnucleus region.

We ran clean in “velocity” mode on each continuum-subtracted line data set, using natural weighting, a 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)075 pixel size, and a velocity channel width of 25 km s\(^{-1} \). The achieved synthesized beams for Band 3, Band 6, and Band 7 were 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)82 \(\times\) 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)53, 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)87 \(\times\) 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)72, and 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)94 \(\times\) 0\( ^{\prime\prime} \)78. In cases where we needed to produce data cubes with identical angular resolution from different data sets, we selected a common synthesized beam that encompassed the natural restoring beam for each band and smoothed using imsmooth with targetres = True. To study the extended, faint molecular gas structures around MACS 1931 BCG, we also produced \( \nu \)-tapered CO image cubes to yield better brightness temperature sensitivities.

All images or image cubes used in our scientific analysis were primary beam corrected.

3.2. Astrometric Alignment Accuracy

Our analyses of the HST and ALMA data require that the images be aligned to a common astrometric reference frame. The astrometric reference frames for both HST and ALMA are calibrated to the International Celestial Reference System. To assess any systematic offsets in the sky positions of features in our field, we cross-matched our HST astrometry to entries from the Gaia DR2 catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) and found a mean offset of 95 mas with an rms of 26 mas. The maximum astrometric error in our ALMA data is estimated to be approximately 5% of the synthesized beamwidth, which corresponds to 28 mas, 37 mas, and 41 mas, respectively, for bands 3, 6, and 7. For our study of the BCG in MACS 1931, any cross-comparisons of aligned features in our HST and ALMA data are performed on angular scales larger than 200 mas. This ensures that any systematic astrometric alignment errors between the HST and ALMA data sets will not be a significant concern.

4. Results

4.1. CO Line Emission

Our ALMA campaign obtained spatially resolved line emission from the \( J = 1 \) to 0 transition of CO\((1 \rightarrow 0)\) as well as CO\((3 \rightarrow 2)\) and partial coverage of CO\((4 \rightarrow 3)\). The spatially integrated line spectra and the velocity-integrated intensity maps are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. We measured spectra in regions where the total intensity is detected at the 2\( \sigma \) level or greater. We also re-ran imaging for CO\((1 \rightarrow 0)\) and CO\((3 \rightarrow 2)\) using a 1\( ^{\prime\prime} \)5 \(\nu\)-taper, which down-weighted noisier, higher spatial frequency baselines. Using the original and the \( \nu \)-tapered images enabled us to resolve the compact and luminous knots of CO emission in the core of the BCG and the extended and faint emission features along the H\( \alpha \) tail extending to the northwest. Total fluxes in the \( \nu \)-tapered images are consistent with the un-tapered images.
Spatially integrated flux from the emission lines were fit to Lorentzian profiles, shown as the solid blue lines in Figure 1. All three lines have two velocity components that are redshifted at $\sim$0 km s$^{-1}$ and $\sim$300 km s$^{-1}$ relative to the optical emission lines in the MACS 1931 BCG.\footnote{The heliocentric redshift of the MACS 1931 BCG used as the optical systemic reference is $z = 0.35248 \pm 0.00004$ and is derived by us from 10 optical emission lines found in a VLT/MUSE spectrum extracted in a 370 arcsecond radius aperture centered on the BCG. The wavelength-calibrated 1D spectrum of the BCG was provided to us by P. Rosati and M. Verdugo.} As shown below, the more redshifted component is due in part to a fraction of the molecular gas flowing either inward or outward from the galaxy center, and in part to motions of gas clumps in the center of the galaxy. Based on the best model fit, the CO(1–0) line has an integrated flux of $3.45 \pm 0.47$ Jy km s$^{-1}$, the CO(3–2) line has a flux of $29.0 \pm 2.8$ Jy km s$^{-1}$, and the CO(4–3) line has a flux of $33.7 \pm 3.5$ Jy km s$^{-1}$. Best-fit parameters for the lines are summarized in Table 2.

Compact knots in the naturally weighted CO(1–0) intensity image trace UV knots present in HST WFC3 F225W-F390W photometry (see Figure 2). These knots are also visible in the naturally weighted CO(3–2) image but are less apparent given the shorter baselines and consequently lower spatial resolution of the image. The faint, extended component of the CO(1–0) and CO(3–2) emission traces the distribution of H$\alpha$–N$\Pi$ emission inferred by the broadband difference image from Fogarty et al. (2015). This faint component forms both a diffuse nebula around the dense knots and a “tail” extending $\sim$30 kpc to the northwest of the optical brightness peak of the BCG (hereafter referred to as the BCG core). To within the angular resolution of our CO imaging, the HST and ALMA data demonstrate a spatial correlation between the atomic and molecular phases of gas in the BCG, and a correlation between dense knots of molecular gas and sites of star formation, suggesting star formation in the BCG is fueled by multiphase material.

The velocity structure of the molecular gas is complex. We observe a systematic offset of $\sim$300 km s$^{-1}$ between the gas in the tail and the gas in the BCG core. This offset is clearly seen in the velocity map of CO(3–2) (see Figure 3) and is consistent with the relative velocities between the BCG core and tail obtained by fitting both CO(1–0) and CO(3–2) in the uv-tapered data cubes. In the MACS 1931 BCG the molecular gas shows no clear morphological symmetry around the core or connection to X-ray cavities that would suggest jet-driven molecular gas outflows like those seen in A1835 and A1664 (McNamara et al. 2014; Russell et al. 2014). Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2012) detect probable cavities in the core of MACS 1931; these detected features are found to the east and west of the BCG, as opposed to the approximately north–south orientation of the extended molecular gas.

Molecular gas in the core is concentrated in bright knots (which we have divided up into regions A–E in Figure 4) with CO(1–0) velocities ranging from $-98 \pm 11$ to $258 \pm 18$ km s$^{-1}$ (see Table 3). One of these knots has two velocity peaks, at $-49 \pm 14$ and $258 \pm 18$ km s$^{-1}$, so it is either rotating or consists of two knots projected on top of each other along the line of sight. Spectra for these knots are shown in Figure 4, along with the best-fit Lorentzian profiles. The CO(3–2) and CO(1–0) velocities are consistent on the positions of the knots (see Figure 3).

The velocity dispersion maps of CO(1–0) and CO(3–2), estimated from analysis of the second moments of the emission lines, are shown in Figure 3. Velocity dispersion distributions for the two lines are similar, and range between $\sim$25 and $\sim$400 km s$^{-1}$. The range of velocity dispersions we observe is similar to observations of CO in other BCGs (Russell et al. 2014, 2016; Vantyghem et al. 2016, 2018). Figure 5 shows the distribution of the observed CO(3–2) velocity dispersions alongside predictions for simulated BCGs with conditions similar to MACS 1931 when the simulated data are smoothed to spatial resolutions approaching that of our ALMA data. The physical beam sizes of our CO images are $4.1 \times 2.6$ kpc for the CO(1–0) image and $4.3 \times 3.6$ kpc for the CO (3–2) image. As the beam size used to smooth the simulated data increases and approaches the beam size of the actual observed data, the typical velocity dispersion measured in the simulated data set comes into agreement with our observations.

Individual giant molecular clouds in the Milky Way have typical sizes of 5 to 200 pc (Murray 2011). Therefore, the angular resolution of our ALMA observations is insufficient to probe the internal velocity dispersion of individual molecular clouds. Instead, the velocity dispersions we measure are likely dominated by random bulk motion of different clouds that are spatially close to one another on scales smaller than our beam size. In the nearby Virgo cluster, the ALMA-measured velocity dispersion of the molecular cloud in M87 is $27 \pm 3$ km s$^{-1}$ (Simionescu et al. 2018). This is likely still under-resolved as
the velocity dispersion of resolved molecular clouds in the Milky Way and nearby star-forming galaxies is typically less than 10 km s\(^{-1}\) (e.g., Stark 1984; Brouillet et al. 1998).

Figures 3 and 5 nonetheless show evidence for structure in the velocity dispersion of CO. Dispersions are lowest near the center of the core (i.e., near the position of knot “D” in Figure 4), and increase toward both the southern and northern peripheries of the molecular gas nebula. Inspection of the 1D spectra at several locations with high-velocity dispersions shows evidence of multiple velocity peaks, suggesting that the increased dispersion is almost certainly due to multiple velocity components along the line of sight, possibly as a result of the molecular clouds having a lower filling factor near the outskirts of the CO emission.
We estimate the total molecular gas mass of MACS 1931 to be \((1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\) based on the CO\((1−0)\) intensity in Jy km s\(^{-1}\), \(I_{\text{CO}}\). We calculated the gas mass using the relation

\[
M_{\text{mol}} = 1.05 \times 10^4 \frac{X_{\text{CO}}}{2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{K km s}^{-1}} \frac{D_L^2}{1 + z} I_{\text{CO}}
\]

(1)

where \(D_L\) is the luminosity distance in Mpc and \(X_{\text{CO}}\) is the CO-to-H\(_2\) conversion factor in units of \(\text{cm}^{-2} \text{K km s}^{-1}\) (Bolatto et al. 2013). We adopted the value \(X_{\text{CO}} = 0.4 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{K km s}^{-1}\) for starbursts and ultra-luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) used in Russell et al. (2017a) to estimate the molecular gas mass in the Phoenix cluster, in order to be consistent when comparing molecular gas masses of BCGs (by adopting the Galactic value of \(X_{\text{CO}}\), as was done in McNamara et al. 2014), our estimate of the molecular gas mass rises to \((9.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\). The gas reservoir we observe in MACS 1931 is approximately equal to the reservoir in the Phoenix cluster \((2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\), Russell et al. 2017a), which along with a handful of other recent observations (A1835: McNamara et al. 2014; RXJ0821+0752: Vantyghem et al. 2017, 2019; RXC J1504-0248: Vantyghem et al. 2018), make it among the largest molecular gas masses observed in a cool-core BCG.

### 4.2. Dust Continuum Emission

Images of the dust continuum emission in our Band 6 and 7 observations are shown in Figure 6. These images are shown after performing the AGN point source subtraction described in Section 3.1. Extended dust emission is clearly visible in both bands in the core and tail regions described in Section 4.1. The Band 6 continuum is centered on 336.0 GHz (892 \(\mu\)m) in the rest frame and the Band 7 on 468.5 GHz (640 \(\mu\)m), so the emission we observe in these bands is either due to very cold dust or is the Rayleigh–Jeans tail of the graybody emission of hotter dust. The dust emission in MACS 1931 is most prominent in the BCG core and in the northern tip of the tail, corresponding to the edge of the extended H\(_2\) and UV emission seen in the CLASH UV–optical images shown in Figure 2.

We checked to ensure that the emission features we observe are not due to free–free emission or a faint, extended synchrotron source. We examined the Band 3 continuum image after convolving it with the Band 6 synthesized beam, since both free–free and synchrotron emission will appear brighter in Band 3. The Band 3 fluxes have detection significances of \(\lesssim 0.5\sigma\) in the apertures labeled Regions 1, 2, and 3 in Figure 6. The 3\(\sigma\) limit in Band 3 is 0.023 mJy/beam, which is about a factor of 3 fainter than the limits in either Bands 6 or 7, implying that any contamination by extended free–free or synchrotron emission in our dust images is below the rms noise in Bands 6 and 7.

We also estimated the total free–free and synchrotron emission in Band 6 due to star formation using the relationship derived in Schmitt et al. (2006). An SFR of 250 \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) yields a total flux in Band 6 of 0.08 mJy. If this flux is evenly distributed throughout the region where Band 6 emission is detected at \(\gtrsim 3\sigma\), we predict a Band 6 flux due to star formation of 0.01 mJy/beam, which is well below the rms noise of 0.020 mJy/beam. The predicted Band 3 flux, meanwhile, is 0.014 mJy/beam, which is consistent with being below the detected limit in our Band 3 image. Since the dust emission is higher in Band 7 than Band 6, while the free–free and synchrotron component is lower, we did not perform the same check for Band 7.

Since we have multiple bands of ALMA far-IR photometry, we are able to constrain the dust temperature using a simple model for the flux. Given the long rest wavelengths of our Bands 6 and 7 photometry, we can only reliably derive the temperature of very cold \(T_d \lesssim 30\) K dust from the ALMA data alone, since emission from hotter dust in these bands is proportional to both the dust luminosity and \(T_d\). However, we can still place constraints on the temperature of the cold dust in the tail, especially in regions with relatively high flux in Band 6.

Casey (2012) describes a mid-IR power-law + graybody model that represents the non-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon continuum emission due to dust in a ULIRG-like environment with the expression

\[
S(\lambda) = N(\frac{c}{\lambda^2})(1 - e^{-\lambda_0/\lambda^\beta}) + N_{pl}\lambda^\alpha e^{-\lambda/\lambda_c^\beta},
\]

(2)

where \(S(\lambda)\) is the flux density in Jy, \(\lambda_c\) is the turnover wavelength separating the regimes where the mid-IR power-law and the graybody dominate the emission, \(\lambda_0 \equiv 200\) \(\mu\)m, \(N\) is the overall normalization, \(T_d\) is the dust temperature, \(\alpha\) is the mid-IR power-law index, and \(\beta\) is the graybody emissivity index. Both \(\lambda_c\) and the power-law normalization, \(N_{pl}\), are functions of the other parameters in the model (Casey 2012). Given the long wavelengths of our two ALMA bands, we choose to neglect the mid-IR power-law component of the model in Equation (2) and model the dust emission as a simple graybody.

### Table 2

**Integrated Emission Line Properties**

| Emission Line | Component 1 (C1) Flux\(^a\) (Jy km s\(^{-1}\)) | C1 Velocity (km s\(^{-1}\)) | C1 FWHM (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Component 2 (C2) Flux\(^a\) (Jy km s\(^{-1}\)) | C2 Velocity (km s\(^{-1}\)) | C2 FWHM (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| CO(1−0)       | 3.02 ± 0.42                     | −3.7 ± 5.6                 | 212 ± 18             | 0.43 ± 0.2                      | 301 ± 14                   | 108 ± 44             |
| CO(3−2)       | 26.4 ± 2.7                      | −0.7 ± 1.5                 | 231.4 ± 4.8          | 2.58 ± 0.51                     | 315.1 ± 5.8                | 123 ± 19             |
| CO(4−3)\(^b\) | 32.2 ± 3.4                      | 2.7 ± 1.7                  | 207.9 ± 5.2          | 1.49 ± 0.78                     | 310                       | 82 ± 37              |

**Notes.**

\(^a\) Uncertainties in integrated fluxes include a 10% absolute calibration accuracy term.

\(^b\) Parameters for component C2 for the CO(4−3) line are based on the best fit to the partially covered spectral line component with a model mean velocity fixed at 310 km s\(^{-1}\).
Since the dust components we will be able to constrain with this method are cold, we need to account for the impact of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) on our observations, which can potentially bias our estimated temperature constraints by several K. We note, however, that this correction does not qualitatively change the results of our analysis. We modify the first term in Equation (2) to be
\[
S(\lambda) = N \left[ \frac{(c/\lambda)^3(1 - e^{-(\lambda_0/\lambda)^3})}{e^{hc/kT_{\text{CMB}}} - 1} \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{(c/\lambda)^3e^{-(\lambda_0/\lambda)^3}}{e^{hc/kT_{\text{CMB}}} - 1} \right].
\] (3)

where $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.73(1 + z) = 3.69$ K is the temperature of the CMB at $z = 0.3525$. This equation requires one further modification since the interferometer configuration we use does not have baselines short enough to detect the spatial scales that contain the CMB power. Therefore, while we observe the dust absorption of the CMB, we do not observe the CMB itself, and need to subtract an additional term of $(c/\lambda)^3(e^{hc/kT_{\text{CMB}}} - 1)^{-1}$ from Equation (3) (see, e.g., Zhang et al. 2016). The final expression for the Band 7 to Band 6 continuum flux ratio is therefore
\[
\frac{S(\lambda_7)}{S(\lambda_6)} = \frac{\lambda_6^3}{\lambda_7^3} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-(\lambda_0/\lambda_7)^3}}{1 - e^{-(\lambda_0/\lambda_6)^3}} \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{1}{e^{hc/kT_{\text{CMB}}}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{e^{hc/kT_{\text{CMB}}}} - 1 \right). 
\] (4)

where $\lambda_7$ is the rest wavelength of the Band 7 continuum (640 $\mu$m) and $\lambda_6$ the rest wavelength of the Band 6 continuum (892 $\mu$m).

The contour plot in Figure 7 shows the predicted values of the flux ratio as a function of $T_d$ and $\beta$. The parameter $\beta$ depends on the impact of the physical properties of the dust on its emissivity and for local sources has a value between 0.8 and 2.4 (Dupac et al. 2003). Casey (2012) measured $\beta = 1.6 \pm 0.38$ for a sample of ULIRGs, which we take to be the most plausible range for $\beta$ in the MACS 1931 BCG.

We examined three regions outside the core that are relatively bright in Band 6, denoted by the solid ellipses in Figure 6 and labeled Regions 1–3. We smoothed the Band 6 and 7 images to a common beam, and measured the flux ratios in these regions. The Band 7 to Band 6 flux ratios for these regions are 1.25 ± 0.53, 3.29 ± 0.99, and 1.29 ± 0.33.
respectively. We also measure the flux ratio in the BCG core, obtaining a value of 2.74 ± 0.46, and the overall ratio in the tail, finding a value of 2.00 ± 0.40.

Shaded bands showing the 1σ intervals around the ratios for Regions 1–3 are shown in Figure 7. There is a very cold dust component in Regions 1 and 3; for 1.22 ≤ β ≤ 1.98, we estimate $T_d \lesssim 8.6$ K, and $\lesssim 7.3$ K at the 1σ confidence level, and $\lesssim 17.3$ K, and $\lesssim 10.2$ K at the 95% confidence level respectively. If β = 1, the temperatures for these regions are $\lesssim 26.4$ K and $\lesssim 12.5$ K at 95% confidence.

A lower limit of 8.4 K can be placed on the dust temperature of Region 2. If we assume β = 1.6, then we can constrain $T_d$ to $>11.2$ K. The measured value of the flux ratio of 3.29 in Region 2 implies a temperature of 30.5 K if β = 1.98, but $>70$ K once β < 1.8, so depending on the emissivity the dust in this region may either be relatively cold or warm.

In the core of the BCG, if we assume β = 1.6, we can place a constraint of $T_d > 11.0$ K given the 1σ error bars on the flux ratio. However, the tail seems to be substantially colder overall; we find that $5.0 < T_d < 21.9$ K for β = 1.6 ± 0.38.

We note that when we model and subtract the bright point sources in the Band 6 and 7 continuum images, the peak positions of the best-fit point source in the two bands differ by less than 0.25 pixels, so the low flux ratios we obtain are not likely to be the result of astrometric offsets between bands.
Notes.

* Region boundaries are shown by the rectangular apertures in Figure 4.

† The spectrum of region A shows two distinct components; the more redshifted component is reported in the parentheses.

5. Discussion

The MACS 1931 BCG is surrounded by a massive molecular gas reservoir containing \((1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\) of gas. If this gas all condensed in the last \(~100\) Myr, putting it on the same timeframe as the duration of the ongoing starburst in this system (Fogarty et al. 2017), then this would imply that gas has to be cooling out of the ICM at a mean rate of \(~190 M_\odot\) yr \(^{-1}\). Ehlert et al. (2011) attempted to estimate the cooling rate of the ICM in the core of MACS 1931 using Chandra ACIS observations and found a rate of \(165 \pm 45 M_\odot\) yr \(^{-1}\). Assuming the value measured by Ehlert et al. (2011) is a reasonable upper limit for the actual rate of cooling out of the X-ray phase, this measurement implies either that molecular gas in the core of MACS 1931 has been forming at about the rate predicted by X-ray observations, or MACS 1931 began forming gas before it began forming stars.

Previously, we showed that the BCG contains an extreme and relatively young starburst; in Fogarty et al. (2017) we found\(^{11}\) that for the MACS 1931 BCG, the SFR = 240\(^{+70}_{-70}\) \(M_\odot\) yr \(^{-1}\) and we constrained the duration of the starburst to be \(74\pm14\) Myr. The SFR of the MACS 1931 BCG coupled with our estimate of the molecular gas mass imply the molecular gas has a depletion time of \(~80\) Myr, suggesting it is about a factor of 10 shorter than the typical \(~Gyr\) depletion times inferred in O’Dea et al. (2008) for cool-core clusters, but about a factor of \(~2−3\) longer than the \(~30\) Myr timescale for depleting the gas in the Phoenix cluster (McDonnell et al. 2014). In star-forming galaxies (which are usually not BCGs), observations suggest that gas depletion time is shorter (star formation efficiency is higher) when the SFR is higher, especially in starburst galaxies (Kennicutt & Evans 2012). Voit & Donahue (2011) show that BCGs follow a similar trend. Our observations of a starburst BCG reveal a higher star formation efficiency than a normal star-forming BCG. This suggests that the physical processes governing star formation are likely similar in galaxies of all sizes.

In Fogarty et al. (2017) we discussed the possibility that MACS 1931 is transitioning from a rapidly star-forming stage, similar to the Phoenix cluster, to a lower but steadier star-forming stage, similar to the majority of cool-core clusters with BCG SFRs around \(1–10 M_\odot\) yr \(^{-1}\) and Gyr-scale gas depletion times. In addition to having an intermediate depletion time, there are several lines of reasoning to support this hypothesis. MACS 1931 is like the Phoenix cluster as it possesses a large molecular gas reservoir that probably formed under the influence of an atypical X-ray bright BCG AGN. However, in the case of the Phoenix cluster, molecular gas is observed in prominent ridges outlining the boundaries of radio bubbles, suggesting ongoing formation at these sites (Russell et al. 2017a). This morphological correspondence is also seen in clusters such as A1795 with more modest SFRs, suggesting this formation process occurs on various orders of magnitude (Russell et al. 2017b).

While Chandra observations reveal the presence of structures in the ICM consistent with cavities, these features are not as distinct as they appear in lower-redshift BCGs, where radio plasma from collimated jets expands to fill X-ray cavities with clearly defined boundaries (McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2012). Clear evidence of a cavity 20.6 kpc to the west is present, with weaker evidence for a corresponding cavity 29.5 kpc to the east. This orientation is consistent with observations of the 1.5 GHz continuum, which is elongated along an east–west axis (Ehlert et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2018). Although clear interfaces between the cavities and the surrounding ICM are not observed, the orientation of the AGN jet implied by the cavities is orthogonal to the orientation of the multiphase gas reservoir we observe. Unlike the molecular gas in the Phoenix cluster and others, the morphology and velocity structure of the molecular gas in MACS 1931 are not consistent with having recently formed from material along the jet axis or along cavity interfaces. The tail is within a few kpc of the possible northern edge of the western cavity, but does not correspond with the possible boundary of the AGN-excavated cavity (see Figure 11), although very faint \((\lesssim 2\sigma)\) emission in the \(uv\)-tapered CO(3–2) image (see Figure 2) suggests a small amount of gas may be present closer to the cavity. Our results suggest that the molecular gas structure we observe may have originally formed around the western cavity implied by Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2012), but much of it must have since migrated to its present position. Furthermore, if the features observed in the X-ray are not cavities but are instead a chance morphological alignment of ICM over- and under-densities, this reinforces our supposition that the molecular gas in MACS 1931 did not form recently at X-ray cavity interfaces. In this case, initial gas formation will need to have occurred sufficiently long ago for the jet-inflated X-ray cavities to have dissipated.

It is difficult to say definitively whether the molecular gas in the tail is inflowing or outflowing from the BCG core, although it is clearly exhibiting significant motion along the line of sight. However, given the morphological clues presented by our observations, we suspect that the tail is an infalling feature. As noted above, the tail does not lie along the axis connecting the jet-excavated cavities reported in Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2012), and does not have the bi-modal symmetry characteristic of jets. Given that a power source such as a wind would need to propel the material along a preferred direction through a relatively narrow solid angle in order to produce the observed tail feature, it is more plausible that the material is infalling. In simulations, complex arcs of gas fall back into the centers of BCGs after initially being propelled outwards by AGN jets and cavities. Our observations of MACS 1931 exhibit features that

---

**Table 3**

CO Knot Properties

| Region \(^a^\) | Integrated Flux (mJy km s \(^{-1}\)) | Velocity Width (km s \(^{-1}\)) | FWHM Velocity Width (km s \(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A          | 139 ± 50                      | −49 ± 14                      | 150 ± 45                        |
| A\(^b^\)   | (168 ± 59)                    | (258 ± 18)                    | (199 ± 58)                      |
| B          | 72 ± 24                       | 52 ± 14                       | 151 ± 39                        |
| C          | 167 ± 40                      | −17 ± 9                      | 145 ± 26                        |
| D          | 651 ± 83                      | 13 ± 4                       | 150 ± 10                        |
| E          | 273 ± 54                      | −98 ± 11                      | 232 ± 32                        |

\(^a^\) Region boundaries are shown by the rectangular apertures in Figure 4.

\(^b^\) The spectrum of region A shows two distinct components; the more redshifted component is reported in the parentheses.

---

\(^{11}\) In Fogarty et al. (2017), we report the MACS 1931 BCG SFR and burst duration values in log units, reflecting the approximately log-normal distributions of the best-fit probability density functions. The best-fit values quoted here correspond to the modes of these parameters assuming a log-normal distribution for each.
are similar to those seen in simulations (Li & Bryan 2014; Li et al. 2015). The balance of evidence for MACS 1931 leads us to believe that the AGN in the BCG of this cluster recently experienced an energetic outburst similar to that observed in the Phoenix cluster, possibly as a result of temporarily enhanced AGN accretion. If this outburst temporarily stimulated rapid condensation and star formation due to the uplift of low-density ICM plasma over the past $\sim 100$ Myr, the result may be what we observe: a BCG starburst of several $100 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ being fueled by a reservoir of molecular gas that was originally formed, or began forming, during prior uplift and is now cycling back down toward the BCG core.

5.1. CO Excitation Mechanisms

We are able to place constraints on the properties of the molecular gas by studying the ratios of CO($1-0$), ($3-2$), and ($4-3$). The molecular gas line ratios are defined as

$$R_{ij} = \frac{I_{CO(i-j)\, f^2}}{I_{CO(j-i)\, f^2}},$$

Line ratios $R_{ij}$ are equivalent to line brightness temperature ratios, and can be used to determine the level of excitation of CO (Solomon & Vanden Bout 2005; Dannerbauer et al. 2009). $R_{ij}$ = 1 implies the relative excitation of two lines is consistent with thermal excitation (e.g., Daddi et al. 2015). Based on the fluxes in Table 2, we find that for MACS 1931 as a whole, $R_{31} = 0.93 \pm 0.16$, and $R_{41} = 0.61 \pm 0.10$. $R_{31}$ in MACS 1931 is similar to the $R_{31} \sim 0.8$ values commonly observed in low-redshift BCGs (e.g., Edge 2001; Russell et al. 2016; Vantyghem et al. 2017).

The relative excitation levels of CO($3-2$) and CO($4-3$) in MACS 1931 places the excitation level of the molecular gas in this system similar to gas typically seen in low-redshift ULIRGs based on $R_{31}$, while $R_{41}$ is below the average for the local ULIRGs (Daddi et al. 2015). The CO spectral line energy distributions (SLEDs) for MACS 1931 and other astrophysical sources are shown in Figure 8. The MACS 1931 values are the red diamonds. The solid black line is the CO SLED for a star-forming galaxy predicted by Narayanan & Krumholz (2014), with CO excited by star formation with a surface density of $\Sigma_{SFR} = 1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$, adapted from Daddi et al. (2015). For reference, the UV-derived $\Sigma_{SFR}$ of the MACS 1931 BCG is $0.83 \pm 0.06 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$ (Fogarty et al. 2015). The submillimeter galaxy (SMG; blue points) and local ULIRG averages (gray points) are derived from the samples studied in Daddi et al. (2015) (see also Papadopoulos et al. 2012 and Bothwell et al. 2013), while the quasar-stellar object (QSO) average (purple triangles) is taken from Carilli & Walter (2013). For our estimate of the BCG CO($3-2$) average flux (green point) we used sources in the literature with reported ALMA CO($1-0$) and CO($3-2$) observations, and adopted the scatter in these observations for the uncertainty. These include RXJ 1504-0248 (Vantyghem et al. 2018), 2A 0335 + 096 (Vantyghem et al. 2016), PKS0745-191 (Russell et al. 2016), and A1664 (Russell et al. 2014).

We compare MACS 1931 to SMGs and ULIRGs since both have several characteristics in common with the MACS 1931 BCG. As with SMGs, the BCG is at the high end of the galactic stellar mass distribution and yet the observed large SFR contributes, at most, a few percent to their overall stellar mass budgets (Michalowski et al. 2010; González et al. 2011). SMGs are hypothesized to be the progenitors of elliptical galaxies and are often observed undergoing massive bursts of star formation as large as several $\times 1000 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (Pope et al. 2006). Meanwhile, the IR luminosity of MACS 1931 is $(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{12} L_\odot$, consistent with the definition of a ULIRG (Santos et al. 2016). While the majority of ULIRGs are fueled by galaxy interactions, the MACS 1931 BCG is typical of a ULIRG in terms of hosting a powerful dusty starburst and AGN (Lonsdale et al. 2006; Santos et al. 2016; Fogarty et al. 2017).

Figure 8 shows that there is mild tension between both the observed CO($3-2$) and CO($4-3$) transitions and the average behavior of the ULIRG sample. However, the MACS 1931 CO SLED is not consistent with the SMG sample. The SLED is also inconsistent with the Narayanan & Krumholz (2014) prediction for molecular gas excited by star formation with a similar $\Sigma_{SFR}$ to MACS 1931, although the CO($4-3$) transition for MACS 1931 lies between the ULIRG SLED and the Narayanan & Krumholz (2014) prediction.

The tension between the MACS 1931 BCG and the ULIRG sample by itself does not imply a significant discrepancy...
between the BCG and the ULIRG population, since the CO SLED we plot is an average with considerable variation. However, it is noteworthy that, while \( R_{31} \) implies that \( \text{CO}(3-2) \) is consistent with 1, implying extreme excitation conditions, \( R_{31} \) is substantially less than this value. Papadopoulos et al. (2012) and Rosenberg et al. (2015) note that the conditions required for the levels of molecular gas excitation that produce \( R_{31} \gtrsim 1 \) at the scales of individual molecular clouds exist near extremely UV-bright young stars and supernova shocks, but producing these levels of excitation for an entire ULIRG requires either a very strong X-ray-dominated region from a QSO or large-scale mechanical turbulence or cosmic ray heating.

While AGN emission plays a role in the MACS 1931 CO SLED and provides a compelling explanation for the observed \( \text{CO}(3-2) \) line strength, QSO heating does not explain the average \( \text{CO}(4-3) \) level we observe. On the other hand, recent mechanical-mode AGN feedback can potentially provide both cosmic ray and turbulent heating to excite the MACS 1931 CO SLED. Comparison of the CO SLEDs suggest that the molecular gas excitation budget in MACS 1931 may be driven by a combination of star formation and processes that, where more strongly present, can drive ULIRG CO excitation ratios to \( >1 \) (Papadopoulos et al. 2012).

A related possibility is that some of the gas in MACS 1931 may be undergoing processes similar to what is observed in NGC 1275. Young stars are found to be associated with \( \text{H}\alpha \) and molecular filaments in NGC 1275, the central galaxy of Perseus, but there is often an offset of \( 0.5 – 1 \) kpc between young stars and filaments (Canning et al. 2014; Li et al. 2018). There are also many filaments in Perseus that are not actively forming stars (Salomé et al. 2008; Lim et al. 2017). These filaments may experience pressure support from turbulence and magnetic fields, and heating via infallation and radiation from the surrounding ICM that suppresses the collapse of this gas into star-forming cores (Conselice et al. 2001; Fabian et al. 2008, 2011; Salomé et al. 2008). This process may help to drive \( \text{CO}(3-2) \) excitation but around the denser gas traced by \( \text{CO}(4-3) \) would potentially need to be weaker, and therefore provide an explanation for the CO SLED in MACS 1931.

In order to study the CO line ratios in MACS 1931 in more detail, in Figure 9 we map \( R_{31} \) in all pixels where the value of \( R_{31}/\sigma_{R_{31}} \gtrsim 3 \), after accounting for both rms uncertainties in the \( \text{CO}(1-0) \) and \( \text{CO}(3-2) \) images and the uncertainty in the absolute flux calibration. To examine \( R_{31} \) in as much of the BCG as possible, we overlaid the ratio map obtained with beam-matched, naturally weighted images onto the ratio map obtained with beam-matched, \( 1.75 \) \( \mu \)m-tapered images. We superimposed the contours from the \( HST \) F336W UV image on the \( R_{31} \) map.

In the BCG core, there are several notable bright UV knots to the north of the AGN and a depression in UV output to the south, which we attribute to a dust lane. \( R_{31} \) loosely traces both sets of features, suggesting local enhancement of the CO lines ratios by star formation to the north and by the process involved in creating the dust lane to the south. \( R_{31} \) is lower in the tail than in the core, and roughly drops off with increasing distance from the AGN point source. However, the tail and regions to the south and east of the core show areas of enhancement in \( R_{31} \).

Spatial variation in the excitation of CO in MACS 1931 has some similarities to the variation observed by Lim et al. (2017) in NGC 1275, who found that \( R_{32} \) varied between \( \sim 1 \) near the center of the galaxy and \( \sim 0.5 \) further out. Furthermore, \( R_{31} \) values observed in NGC 1275 by Salomé et al. (2008) varied between 0.6 and 1.7 in the center, but were observed to be as high as 2.4 at offset positions. MACS 1931 similarly shows enhancements of \( R_{31} \) at positions several 10 s of kpc from the BCG center.

There are likely varying contributions to the molecular gas excitation in cool-core BCGs from star formation, from the AGN, and from collisional excitation due to AGN feedback moderated turbulence (e.g., Lim et al. 2017). This variation dominates the error bar in the average \( R_{31} \) value for the BCG subsample (green data point) shown in Figure 8. Molecular gas excitation is also a potentially useful way to compare BCGs in cool-core clusters like MACS 1931 to BCG progenitors in high-redshift protoclusters. Protoclusters can contain galaxies with even more massive molecular gas reservoirs than that found in MACS 1931, but the origins of the molecular gas and how it interacts with the cluster environment may be substantially different (Emonts et al. 2016; Dannerbauer et al. 2017). For
example, compared to MACS 1931, the molecular gas feature in the central radio galaxy of a $z = 2.2$ protocluster known as the Spiderweb Galaxy is significantly more excited, and contains 10 times as much molecular gas ($\sim 10^{11} \, M_\odot$) (Emonts et al. 2018). $R_{31}$ in the Spiderweb is $\sim 1$, suggesting more extreme molecular gas excitation conditions than in MACS 1931.

However, among the studied high-redshift radio galaxies (a population which is also thought to contain progenitors of low-redshift BCGs), a wide range of molecular gas masses ($\sim 10^{10} - 10^{11} M_\odot$) have been reported, along with a wide range of excitation conditions (Miley & De Breuck 2008). The significant differences in both the amount of gas and excitation of the gas suggest that the processes involved in producing star formation and molecular gas may differ in cool-core clusters with quasar-like AGNs and in protoclusters.
5.2. What Are the Origins of BCG Dust, and What Are Its Effects on the Molecular Gas?

Observations of dust continuum emission in Bands 6 and 7 reveal that BCG dust is concentrated in regions that also contain multiply ionized gas and star formation. The dust-to-molecular gas ratio in the MACS 1931 BCG is \( \sim 0.01-0.1 \), based on the total molecular gas mass reported here and the dust mass derived in Fogarty et al. (2017). A similar dust-to-gas ratio in the range \( \sim 0.04-0.1 \) is observed in the Phoenix cluster BCG (Russell et al. 2017a; Fogarty et al. 2017). However, the relative distributions of dust and gas in MACS 1931 do not appear to be uniform, since the relative far-IR emission in Bands 6 and 7 due to dust along the H\(\alpha \) tail is much greater than that in the core (excluding possible contributions to dust emission from the AGN point source that were subtracted out).

There is substantial variation in the relative far-IR flux due to dust compared to CO, UV, and H\(\alpha \) flux in the H\(\alpha \) tail extending northwest of the BCG. In Figure 10, we plot the normalized far-IR, CO(3–2), UV, and H\(\alpha + [N\text{II}] \) surface brightness profiles in a 3'' wide rectangular slice along the H\(\alpha \) tail. Dust emission is elevated in the \( \sim 10 \) kpc of the tail furthest from the core compared to the UV, H\(\alpha + [N\text{II}] \), and CO(3–2) surface brightness profiles. This result suggests that the dust concentration is enhanced in the outskirts of the tail.

Dust in the BCG either forms in situ, is launched out of the BCG core by jets, or is the result of a combination of processes. In situ cooling requires that dusty winds from AGB stars be preserved long enough to end up in the surrounding dusty nebular structure (Li et al. 2019). This source of dust may be supplemented by supernova production boosted by the starburst (Dunne et al. 2003). Alternatively, dust in the BCG can arise from denser concentrations of dusty material dredged out of the center of the BCG by AGN jets (Fabian et al. 1994). In this scenario, dusty material seeds the low-entropy gas uplifted by jets, which then induces condensation of the dust out of the resulting molecular clouds (Fabian et al. 1994; Voit & Donahue 2011; Voit et al. 2015). The observed distribution of the dust in MACS 1931 relative to the atomic and molecular gas and UV emission from young stars suggests some uplift-dominated process is taking place, with dust emission most prominent in the core and at the furthest extremity of the tail, which is presumably the most recently uplifted body of material. In all likelihood, these processes co-mingle, with seed grains supplied by both jets and the AGB population stimulating further condensation of dust out of the molecular gas.

Like the origin of dust, the persistence of dust in cool-core BCGs is a topic of debate, since sputtering induced by interactions with the hot ICM is expected to destroy dust on timescales of \( \lesssim 10^6 \) yr (Voit & Donahue 2011). However, the very cold, \( \sim 10 \) K, temperatures that we derive for some of the dust in MACS 1931 suggests that a fraction of the dust in the BCG must be protected from sputtering or is in pockets of the ICM that are substantially colder than the 4.77 \( \pm 0.64 \) keV temperature of the ICM plasma around the multiphase tail observed in Ehler et al. (2011).

Montier & Giard (2004) studied collisional heating of dust by the ICM, and concluded that, for a wide range of ICM densities and temperatures above \( \sim 1.7 \) keV, the equilibrium temperature for dust interacting with the ICM does not depend substantially on grain size and is a function ICM density, \( n_e \). Assuming that \( n_e > 0.1 \) cm\(^{-3} \) (corresponding to the radial profile bin between \( \sim 10 \) and \( \sim 30 \) kpc in Ehler et al. 2011), the relation Montier & Giard (2004) derive implies the dust equilibrium temperature must be at least 38 K. A similar analysis by Dwek et al. (1990) provides the same conclusion.

Meanwhile, Popescu et al. (2000) found that for small grain sizes the dust temperature distribution may peak at \( \sim 10 \) K, but they studied the core of the Virgo Cluster, which has an ICM temperature of \( \sim 1.1 \) keV. In MACS 1931, a plausible scenario may thus be that the \( \sim 10 \) K dust in the ICM is either interacting with local volumes of \( \lesssim 1 \) keV material and has a large enough proportion of small grains that this population determines the Band 6 and 7 fluxes, or this dust is somehow protected from interacting with the hot ICM.

In the former case, we may hypothesize that the cold dust itself is a potential coolant. While the role of dust in promoting ICM cooling is still a subject of debate, recent findings suggest dust is capable of enhancing ICM cooling by up to 50% (Vogelsberger et al. 2018). The multiphase tail to the northwest of the MACS 1931 BCG is located on a prominence in both ICM metallicity and X-ray flux, and the ICM surrounding the tail has the lowest entropy (13–16 keV cm\(^2\)) of anywhere in the...
cluster (Ehlert et al. 2011). The extremely low entropy in the ICM immediately surrounding the tail (see Figure 5(d) in Ehlert et al. 2011) suggests the potential for further condensation, although it may also imply a conductive or turbulent mixing layer. In the event that the very cold dust in the multiphase tail is tracing pockets of ICM that are several keV colder than this already low-entropy gas, it is possible that the dust itself is promoting condensation of the ICM onto the multiphase tail, and the growth of dust out of the molecular gas is sufficient to allow the extended dust to persist.

5.3. Correlation between the Dusty Multiphase Tail and Features in the Radio Mini-halo

As previously observed in Giacintucci et al. (2014) and Yu et al. (2018), there is a radio mini-halo in the core of MACS 1931 which may be associated with the feedback processes occurring in this system. Radio mini-halos are small, typically diffuse, structures around cool-core BCGs that extend across some or all of the cluster core (Gitti 2016). While radio mini-halos are thought to occur in most cool-core cluster cores (Giacintucci et al. 2017), the mini-halo in MACS 1931 is unusual for having two compact 1.5 GHz sources (Giacintucci et al. 2014): an 11.6 mJy source on the position of the BCG core and a 2.5 mJy source on the position of the tail as shown Figure 11. We note that the 1.5 GHz flux predicted by the Schmitt et al. (2006) relation for an SFR of 250 \(M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) is \(\sim\)1 mJy, so neither component can be easily explained solely by star formation in the BCG.

While the origins of radio mini-halos in cool-core clusters are not well known, observations and simulations suggest that these objects are due to turbulent re-acceleration of relativistic electrons in the ICM, which arise from the relativistic plasma injected into the system by AGN jets (Fujita et al. 2007; ZuHone et al. 2013; van Weeren et al. 2019). The presence of the smaller compact 1.5 GHz component is striking because of its correspondence with the multiphase tail. It is likely that this feature arises out of interactions between the relativistic electron population of the ICM and one or more components of the multiphase tail, although it is not clear what is driving the acceleration of the electron population. We point out this correlation because it is intriguing, and merits further investigation.

6. Conclusions

Our new ALMA Band 3, 6, and 7 observations of the molecular gas and dust in the MACS 1931 BCG reveal a large \((1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\) reservoir of molecular gas found principally in the BCG core and in an elongated tail stretching \(\sim\)30 kpc to the northwest. Continuum images in Bands 6 and 7 reveals large concentrations of dust tracing the ICM, and particularly cold (\(\sim\)10 K) dust in portions of the tail.

Furthermore, dust and molecular gas features in MACS 1931 broadly correlate with the positions of UV knots and H\(\alpha\) filaments seen in the HST images obtained by the CLASH program, demonstrating that the material in the core of this cluster is both multiphase and forming stars.

The principal findings of our analysis of our ALMA data are:

1. The CO flux in the MACS 1931 BCG is strongly detected in all three bands observed. The CO(1–0) flux is 3.45 ± 0.47 Jy km s\(^{-1}\), the CO(3–2) flux is 29.0 ± 2.8 Jy km s\(^{-1}\), and the CO(4–3) flux is 33.7 ± 3.5 Jy km s\(^{-1}\) (see Table 2).
2. Molecular gas in the BCG core is concentrated in knot-like structures that have velocities (relative to the BCG optical redshift) varying between \(-98 \pm 11\) km s\(^{-1}\) and \(258 \pm 18\) km s\(^{-1}\), which suggests these knots may be either chaotically infalling or orbiting. Molecular gas in the H\(\alpha\) tail is likely falling inward at \(\sim\)300 km s\(^{-1}\).
3. The typical velocity dispersion of CO(1–0) and CO(3–2) is \(\sim\)200 km s\(^{-1}\). After accounting for blurring induced by the synthesized beam, we find this result consistent with simulated cool-core BCGs. Our measured velocity dispersions are likely dominated by the random motions of clouds that cannot be separated by our beam size.
4. We measure CO line ratios in the central region of MACS 1931 of \(R_{31} = 0.93 \pm 0.16\) and \(R_{43} = 0.61 \pm 0.10\). \(R_{31}\) is \(\sim\)1 throughout much of the core and shows enhancements to \(\sim\)1 in the tail (see Figure 9).
5. Extended rest-frame 892 \(\mu\)m and 640 \(\mu\)m continuum emission in Bands 6 and 7 implies the presence of dust in the H\(\alpha\) tail. By examining the Band 7 to Band 6 flux ratios, we infer the presence of very cold, \(\sim\)10 K, dust in parts of the tail. We also find that dust emission is highest relative to CO emission at the end of the tail furthest from the BCG core.

Our analysis of the CO SLED reveals evidence for multiple gas excitation mechanisms in MACS 1931. Similar to QSOs and extreme ULIRGs, the CO(3–2) transition is highly excited (Papadopoulos et al. 2012; Rosenberg et al. 2015), while the CO(4–3) is closer to what we expect from the star formation occurring in this system. We therefore suspect that there must be processes not related to the starburst contributing to the excitation of molecular gas in MACS 1931, although these
processes may be less dominant than in some other ULIRGs. We study the spatial variation of $R_{31}$ and find that the molecular gas excitation levels also trace features seen in HST UV photometry, where star formation processes excite CO. However, $R_{31}$ is also elevated in parts of the tail and to the south and east of the core, suggesting other excitation processes at work as well. Molecular gas excitation in MACS 1931 may be driven by a combination of processes, including star formation, AGN radiation, and interaction between the molecular gas and ICM.

We discuss possible options for the origins and current behavior of the molecular gas and dust in this system. The molecular gas depletion time in MACS 1931 is $\sim$80 Myr, which is similar to the lifetime of its most recent starburst, suggesting that the period of enhanced feedback and cold gas condensation in this cluster has progressed in time at least to its midpoint. Furthermore, the infalling multiphase tail is not aligned with the jet axis implied by features consistent with condensation in this cluster has progressed in time at least to its midpoint. Meanwhile, the temperature constraints of $T_d \sim 10$ K we place on the dust in certain regions allows us to conclude that at least part of the population of dust in MACS 1931 is either shielded from sputtering or is in regions of cooler, and possibly condensing, ICM plasma. Based on the analysis of Montier & Giard (2004), we conclude this dust is too cold to be interacting with ICM plasma hotter than $\sim$1.7 keV, so if it is interacting with the ICM, it must be enveloped in volumes of gas that are at least 3 keV cooler than the mean ICM temperature around the tail (Ehlert et al. 2011).

Finally, we discuss the intriguing presence of a compact 1.5 GHz emission feature in the multiphase tail. This source cannot be explained by the levels of star formation present in MACS 1931, and its spatial coincidence with the tail implies possible interactions between the tail and electron population in the ICM that ought to be studied further.

Our ALMA observations provide critical insights into the nature of the molecular gas and dust in the center of a non-local, cool-core galaxy cluster. By studying CO(1–0), CO(3–2), and CO(4–3), we are able to place constraints on the energy sources driving excitation of the molecular gas, and are able to study the dynamics of molecular gas in a rare extreme BCG starburst. Our observations reveal the presence of cold dust in highly extended structures. Since these cold dust structures must either reside in volumes of ICM plasma that are substantially colder than the ambient ICM or imply shielding of the dust against sputtering, further study of cold dust in cool-core BCGs will be valuable for fully understanding cooling in clusters.
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