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Abstract

In the current study, in-situ formed Al/6wt.% TiB2 and Al/6wt.% TiB2/4wt.% Cu Metal Matrix were investigated. Composites were made using the stir casting method, and both composites were compared. The composite is synthesized by combining two precursor salts, Potassium Hexa Fluro Titanate (KBF4) and potassium tetrafluoroborate (K2TiF6), with stoichiometric compositions corresponding to 6 percent by weight of TiB2 particles, with A356 aluminium melt at 820° C, speed 300 rpm, and holding time 30 minutes. Following that, 4wt.% Cu powder was added to the composite melt, which was then poured into the permanent mould. Mechanical properties tests such as tensile strength, hardness, and fracture toughness were carried out in accordance with ASTM guidelines. The mechanical properties of the in-situ formed Al/6wt.% TiB2/4wt.% Cu composite outperform those of the Al/6wt.% TiB2 composite and base metal. Optical micrograph and XRD analysis both confirm the presence of TiB2 and Cu particles.

1. Introduction

Particulate reinforced metal matrix composites, in general, have appealing mechanical and tribological properties. In particular, aluminium matrix composite has a low melting point, low density, high thermal stability, and high specific strength. AMCs have been reinforced with reinforcement particulates such as TiB2, B4C, TiC, SiC, and Al2O3. Typically, aluminium alloys are reinforced with ceramic particles, which provide good wear resistance, increased strength, and elevated temperature properties [1]. There are two methods for fabricating aluminum-based composite materials. Ex-situ formed MMCs are one type; while In-situ formed MMCs is another. Ex-situ formed MMCs have some limitations, including non-uniformity, clustering, poor bonding strength, a higher potential for porosity, and, most importantly, low thermal stability. These limitations are overcome by In-situ techniques because the exothermic reaction that occurs between precursor salts and molten aluminium causes severe agitation within the melt, resulting in uniformly distributed reinforcement particles in the aluminium matrix, good interfacial bonding, and elimination of the inherent defects associated with the Ex-situ process.

Currently, researchers are focusing on in-situ formed MMCs. According to Xie and Xue (2013) and Chidambaram et al. (2019), adding 5% TiB2 particles to MMC improves mechanical properties. According to C. Rajaravi et al. (2017) and P. Senthilkumar et al. (2018), the mechanical properties of an in-situ formed Al/6wt. percent TiB2 composite have higher tensile strength and hardness than the base metal. There is a scarcity of literature on Al/TiB2/Cu MMC and its mechanical properties. As a result, stir casting methods were used to create a novel in-situ formed Al/TiB2/Cu composite. Mechanical properties such as tensile strength, hardness, and fracture toughness were evaluated according to ASTM standards and compared to Al/TiB2 MMC and base metal. Metallographic analyses were performed using optical microscopy and X-ray diffraction (XRD).

2. Experimental Work
Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the base metal in this work, which is 99.9 percent pure Aluminium A356. As initiative materials, 98.5 percent pure Potassium Hexa Fluro Titanate (KBF4), Potassium Tetra Fluro Borate (K2TiF6), and 98.5 percent pure copper are used. Using a shaper machine, an aluminium ingot was chipped into small pieces of chip. All materials are carefully weighted according to the rule of mixtures; specifically the precursor salts KBF4 and K2TiF6 are weighted according to stoichiometric composition corresponding to 6wt. percent TiB2 particles and 4wt. percent Cu is weighted. The aluminium was melted using a graphite crucible. An electrical resistance furnace operating at room temperature was used. The precursor salts were preheated at 250°C for 30 minutes and mixed together before being manually blended into the liquid aluminium that had been kept at 820°C for 30 minutes. Following that, for about 10 minutes, the preheated Cu powder was added to the composite melt. This temperature was held for about 15 minutes to keep the TiB2 particles in situ. To avoid atmospheric contamination, Argon gas was supplied through fine copper pipe. The dross was skimmed from the melt and poured into a permanent mould for solidification.

| Elements     | Si  | Mg  | Mn  | Fe  | Cu  | Ni  | Ti  | Al  |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Cast Al alloy| 7   | 0.33| 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | Bal |

Table 1
Chemical composition of the A356 elements

Optical microscopy was used to examine the morphology of the synthesized composites, and XRD analysis was used to confirm the presence of reinforcement and intermetallic. Tensile testing was performed on a (UNITEK-94100) 100 KN Electro-Mechanical Controlled Universal Testing Machine. According to the ASTM E08-M16 specifications [6,] the specimen was loaded at a rate of 1.5 KN/min. The Instron 8801 dynamic testing machine was used to perform the fracture toughness test. Figure depicts 3-point bend specimens. The fracture toughness specimens were pre-cracked according to ASTME399 to provide a sharpened crack of sufficient size and straightness. The Brinell Hardness Testing Machine was used to perform the hardness test, and the specimens were prepared in accordance with the ASTM standard [7]. Tensile strength, fracture toughness, and hardness by averaging the results of two repetitions to calculate the characteristics of the properties, as shown in Table.2.

Table 2: Mechanical properties of synthesized composites and its base metal

| S.No | Materials      | Mechanical Properties | Fracture Toughness |
|------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|      |                | UTS (MPa) | Hardness (BHN) | Fracture Toughness (Mpa√m) |
|      |                | Avg | Avg | Avg |
| 1    | Base metal     | 95 | 70  | 9.6  |
| 2    | Al/TiB2 MMC    | 124 | 93  | 19.33 |
| 3    | Al/TiB2/Cu MMC | 158 | 114 | 23.19 |
3. Results And Discussion

3.1. Microstructural of an Al/TiB$_2$ and Al/TiB$_2$/Cu MMCs

Figure 3a depicts the optical microstructures of Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$ MMC. The TiB$_2$ reinforcing particles were clearly distributed uniformly in the aluminium matrix. Furthermore, some regional agglomeration of TiB$_2$ particles was observed at the grain boundaries, as shown in Figure 3a. Because the fine TiB$_2$ particles are pushed away from the interdendritic region by the solidification front during the solidification process. Furthermore, a large number of elongated dendritic grains appear in compounds. As shown in Figure 3b, Microstructure of Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$/4wt.% Cu MMC, TiB$_2$ particles are uniformly distributed in the matrix, and copper particles have a high brightness. The brightness of Cu particles is caused by their higher atomic number when compared to base aluminium [8].

Because of the ductile nature of copper, copper adds toughness to the composite [9]. Auradi et al. (2014) investigated Microstructure and mechanical characterization of AlTiB$_2$ in situ metal matrix compounds produced via master alloy path and discovered the presence of hexagonal TiB$_2$ particles with fairly uniform distribution in the a-Al matrix, as well as traces of Al3Ti particles. Ramesh et al. (2011) investigated the microstructural and mechanical properties of in-situ Al 6061/TiB$_2$ composites. The optical microphotographs of Al 6061 alloy and developed composites show uniformly distributed TiB$_2$ particles as well as traces of flake such as Al3Ti.

The XRD results of the base metal and its compounds are shown in Figure 4.a-c. Shown depicts the base metal results, which show the presence of aluminium and its alloying elements such as Si and mg. As shown in Fig. 4b, XRD results of Al/TiB$_2$ composite results, the presence of TiB$_2$ particles coupled with Al3Ti brittle phase [10] is confirmed. The presence of TiB$_2$ particles, Al3Ti brittle phase, and copper is confirmed by the XRD results of an Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$/4wt. % Cu composite, as shown in Fig. 4c. The presence of copper reduces the formation of clusters and Al3Ti brittle phases compared to the Al/6wt. percent TiB$_2$ XRD result. This phenomenon is crucial in achieving the superior properties of Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$/4wt. % Cu composite over Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$ composite. Because Al3Ti is a naturally brittle phase, the toughness of the composite increases as the amount of Al3Ti intermetallic phases and clusters decreases.

3.2. Mechanical Property of Al/TiB$_2$ and Al/TiB$_2$/Cu MMCs

The mechanical properties of Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$, Al/6wt.% TiB$_2$/4wt.% Cu MMC, and its base metal are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 5. TiB$_2$ particle has smaller grain formed both MMCs in permanent mould condition. Base metal properties such as UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness are 95 MPa, 70 BHN, and 9.6 Mpa $\sqrt{m}$, respectively, whereas the corresponding properties for Al/6wt. percent TiB$_2$ composite are 124 MPa, 93 BHN, and 19.33 Mpa. UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness of Al/6wt. % TiB$_2$ composite over base metal are improved by 30.52 percent, 32.85 percent, and 101.35 percent, respectively. Mechanical properties are generally determined by the nature and properties of matrix and
reinforcement materials. The compatibility of matrix and reinforcement also plays an important role in improving the UTS of composites. The reinforcement particles act as a barrier against dislocation movements under load. As a result, more loads are required for void nucleation and propagation, resulting in higher tensile strength in composites. The presence of TiB2 particles in the composites improved the mechanical properties of the composites. UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness of Al/6wt. percent TiB2/4wt. percent Cu composites are 158 MPa, 114 BHN, and 23.19 Mpa $\sqrt{m}$, respectively. The UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness improvements of Al/6wt. % TiB2 composite over base metal are 66.31 percent, 62.85 percent, and 141.56 percent, respectively.

This is due to the presence of TiB2 particles in the aluminium matrix, which results in an increase in mechanical properties. The presence of Cu has a significant impact on the final properties of the Al/6wt. % TiB2/4wt. % Cu composite. Because of the ductility and toughness of Cu, it has higher fracture toughness. The grain refinement and fineness of the reinforcement are also important factors in determining the hardness of composite materials. The addition of copper reduces the formation of clusters and Al3Ti brittle phases, so copper acts as a grain refiner for the Al/6wt. % TiB2/4wt. % Cu composite. Furthermore, during in-situ composite fabrication, an exothermic reaction occurs, resulting in fine and clear interfacial bond. Due to the manufacturing technology and the strength of the casting, the hardness of the composite material is significantly improved and the load transfer capacity of the matrix to the reinforcement is enhanced by the reaction free interface.

4. Conclusion

The mechanical properties of in-situ formed Al/TiB2 and Al/TiB2/Cu MMCs were compared, and the following significant findings were discovered.

- In-situ Al/TiB2 and Al/TiB2/Cu Metal Matrix Composites were successfully synthesized in the molten aluminium matrix via an exothermic reaction between (K2TiF6) and (KBF4) precursor salts. XRD analysis confirmed the formation of TiB2 particles, and optical micrograph confirmed the distribution of reinforcement particles.

- The mechanical properties of an Al/6wt% TiB2 composite, such as UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness, are 124 MPa, 93 BHN, and 19.33 Mpa $\sqrt{m}$. UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness of Al/6wt. % TiB2 composite over base metal are improved by 30.52 percent, 32.85 percent, and 101.35 percent, respectively.

- The mechanical properties of the Al/6wt. % TiB2/4wt. % Cu composite, such as UTS, hardness, and fracture toughness, are 158 MPa, 114 BHN, and 23.19 Mpa $\sqrt{m}$, respectively. The corresponding improvements of Al/6wt. % TiB2 composite over base metal are 66.31 percent, 62.85 percent, and 141.56 percent, respectively.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

a). Copper reinforcement, b) Titanium and Boron Salt, c) Aluminium chips d) Stir casting setup
Figure 2

a). Tensile specimens, b) Fracture toughness, c) Hardness specimen
Figure 3

Optical microstructures of a) base metal b) Al / TiB2 MMC and c) Al / TiB2 / Cu MMC
Figure 4

XRD results of a) base metal b) Al/TiB$_2$ MMC and c) Al/TiB$_2$/Cu MMC
Figure 5

Comparison of mechanical properties of Al/TiB$_2$MMC, Al/TiB$_2$/Cu and its base metal