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Introduction

In this paper we deal with the following problem of Miyaoka [5, Problem 5.4]: “Let $C$ be an irreducible smooth projective curve over a noetherian integral domain $R$ of characteristic 0. Assume that a locally free sheaf $E$ on $C$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-semistable on the generic fiber $C_\ast$. Let $S$ be the set of primes of char. $> 0$ on $\text{Spec } R$ such that $E$ is strongly semistable. Is $S$ a dense subset of $\text{Spec } R$?”

Here $E$ is called strongly semistable if every Frobenius pull-back of $E$ stays semistable. Since semistability is an open property and since semistable bundles on the projective line and on an elliptic curve are strongly semistable, this problem has a positive answer for genus $g = 0, 1$.

Shepherd-Barron ‘rephrases’ the question asking whether “is it true that the set ... of primes $p$ modulo which $E$ is not strongly semistable (...) is finite, or at least of density 0?” [7]. He considers also higher dimensional varieties $V$, and one of his main results is that for dim $V \geq 2$ and $\text{rk}(E) = 2$ the set $\Sigma$ of prime numbers with non strongly semistable reduction is finite under the condition that either the Picard number of $V$ is one, or that the canonical bundle $K_V$ is numerically trivial, or that the variety $V$ is algebraically simply connected [7, Corollary 6].

Coming back to curves of genus $\geq 2$, say over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, nothing seems to be known about the following questions on the set $S$ of prime numbers with strongly semistable reduction: Does $S$ contain almost all prime numbers (as in the results of Shepherd-Barron)? Is $S$ always an infinite set? Is it even possible that $S$ is empty? Can we say anything about the density of $S$ in the sense of analytic number theory?
In this paper we give examples of vector bundles of rank two, which are semistable in characteristic zero, but not strongly semistable for infinitely many prime numbers. We also provide examples where the density of primes with non strongly semistable reduction is very high, and in fact arbitrarily high under the hypothesis that there exists infinitely many Sophie Germain prime numbers.

The example is just the syzygy bundle $\text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ on the plane projective curve given by $Z^d = X^d + Y^d$ for $d \geq 5$. These bundles are semistable in characteristic zero. The point is that in positive characteristic $p$ fulfilling certain congruence conditions modulo $d$, some Frobenius pull-backs of these bundles have global sections which contradict the strong semistability. It is also possible that in these examples the reduction is not strongly semistable for all prime numbers, but this we do not know.

This type of examples is motivated by the theory of tight closure. It was already used in [2] to show that there is no Bogomolov type restriction theorem for strong semistability in positive characteristic. We will come back to the impact of these examples on tight closure and on Hilbert-Kunz theory somewhere else. I thank Neil Dummigan (University of Sheffield) for a useful remark concerning Sophie Germain primes.

1. Main results

In the following we will consider syzygy bundles of rank two on a smooth projective curve $C = \text{Proj} A$ over a field $K$, where $A$ is a two-dimensional normal standard-graded $K$-domain. Such a bundle is given by three homogeneous, $A_+$-primary elements $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in A$ of degree $d_1, d_2, d_3$ by the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Syz}(f_1, f_2, f_3)(m) \to \mathcal{O}(m-d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m-d_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(m-d_3) \xrightarrow{f_1f_2f_3} \mathcal{O}(m) \to 0.$$

A global section of $\text{Syz}(f_1, f_2, f_3)(m)$ is a triple $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ of homogeneous elements such that $\deg(s_i) + d_i = m$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $s_1f_1 + s_2f_2 + s_3f_3 = 0$. We call $m$ the total degree of the syzygy $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$. The degree of such a syzygy bundle is by the short exact sequence $\deg(\text{Syz}(f_1, f_2, f_3)(m)) = (2m - d_1 - d_2 - d_3)\deg(C)$. If $m$ is such that this degree is negative and such that there exists global non-trivial sections, then this bundle is not semistable.

It is in general not easy to control the global syzygies; in the following lemma however we take advantage of the existence of a noetherian normalization of a very special type. Let $\delta(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ denote the minimal total degree of a non-trivial syzygy for $f_1, \ldots, f_n$.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let $P(X, Y)$ denote a homogeneous polynomial in $K[X, Y]$ of degree $d$ and consider the projective curve $C$ given by $Z^d = P(X, Y)$, so that $C = \text{Proj} K[X, Y, Z]/(Z^d - P(X, Y))$. Suppose that $C$ is smooth. Let
\[ a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and consider the syzygy bundle } \text{Syz}(X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, Z^{a_3})(m) \text{ on } C. \]
Write \( a_3 = dk + t \) where \( 0 \leq t < d \). Then
\[
\delta(X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, Z^{a_3}) = \min\{\delta(X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, P(X, Y)^k) + t, \delta(X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, P(X, Y)^{k+1})\}.
\]

Proof. A global section of \( \text{Syz}(X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, Z^{a_3})(m) \) is the same as homogeneous polynomials \( F, G, H \in K[X, Y, Z]/(Z^d - P(X, Y)) \) such that \( FX^{a_1} + GY^{a_2} + HZ^{a_3} = 0 \) and \( \deg(F) + a_1 = \deg(G) + a_2 = \deg(H) + a_3 = m \). We may write \( F = F_0 + F_1Z + F_2Z^2 + \ldots + F_{d-1}Z^{d-1} \) with \( F_i \in K[X, Y] \) and similarly for \( G \) and \( H \). We have \( Z^{a_3} = Z^{dk+t} = (Z^d)^kZ^t = P(X, Y)^kZ^t \). The polynomials \( (F, G, H) \) (fulfilling the degree condition) are a syzygy if and only if for \( i = 0, \ldots, d-1 \) we have
\[
F_iZ^iX^{a_1} + G_iZ^iY^{a_2} + H_jZ^iZ^{a_3} = 0, \text{ where } j = i - t \mod d.
\]
Let now \( (F, G, H) \) denote a non-trivial syzygy of minimal degree for \( X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, Z^{a_3} \) and let \( i \) denote the minimal number such that \( F_i \neq 0 \) or \( G_i \neq 0 \). Since the degree is minimal we may assume by dividing through \( Z^{\min(i,j)} \) that either \( i = 0 \) or \( j = 0 \), which means \( i = t \).

In the first case we can read the zero-component of the syzygy directly as a non-trivial syzygy for \( X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, P(X, Y)^{k+1} \). In the second case the \( i = t \)-th component of the syzygy is
\[
F_iZ^iX^{a_1} + G_iZ^iY^{a_2} + H_0Z^{a_3} = 0.
\]
Replacing \( Z^{a_3} \) through \( P(X, Y)^kZ^t \) and dividing through \( Z^t \) we get a non-trivial syzygy for \( X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, P(X, Y)^k \) of the same degree \( -t \).

Suppose that we have a non-trivial syzygy \( \tilde{F}X^{a_1} + \tilde{G}Y^{a_2} + \tilde{H}P(X, Y)^{k+1} = 0 \) in \( K[X, Y] \). Then \( F = F_0 = \tilde{F}, G = G_0 = \tilde{G} \) and \( H = H_{d-1}Z^{d-t} = \tilde{H}Z^{d-t} \) gives a syzygy for \( X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, Z^{a_3} \) of the same degree.

Suppose that we have a non-trivial syzygy \( \tilde{F}X^{a_1} + \tilde{G}Y^{a_2} + \tilde{H}P(X, Y)^k = 0 \) in \( K[X, Y] \). Multiplying with \( Z^t \) we see that \( F = F_iZ^t = \tilde{F}Z^t, G = G_iZ^t = \tilde{G}Z^t \) and \( H = \tilde{H} \) gives a syzygy for \( X^{a_1}, Y^{a_2}, Z^{a_3} \) of the same degree \( +t \). \( \Box \)

Proposition 1.2. Let \( d \) and \( b \) denote natural numbers, write \( b = dk + t \) with \( 0 \leq t < d \). Suppose that \( k \) is even and that \( t > 2d/3 \). Then the syzygy bundle \( \text{Syz}(X^b, Y^b, Z^b) \) is not semistable on the Fermat curve given by \( Z^d = X^d + Y^d \).

Proof. We will look for syzygies for \( X^b, Y^b \) and \( (X^d + Y^d)^{k+1} \) of total degree \( d(k+1) + d[k/2] = d(k+1 + [k/2]) \), which yields syzygies for \( X^b, Y^b, Z^b \) of the same degree by Lemma 1.1. To find such syzygies we have to look for multiples \( H(X^d + Y^d)^{k+1} \in (X^b, Y^b), \) where \( \deg(H) = d[k/2] \). We consider for \( H \) only monomials in \( X^d \) and \( Y^d \), so these are the \( [k/2] + 1 \) monomials
\[
X^{d[k/2]}, X^{d([k/2]-1)}Y^d, X^{d([k/2]-2)}Y^{d2}, \ldots, Y^{d[k/2]}.
\]
The resulting monomials in the products, which do not belong to the ideal \( (X^b, Y^b) \), have the form \( X^{du}Y^{dv} \) with \( du + dv = d(k+1 + [k/2]) \) and \( u, v <
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Suppose now that $K$ has positive characteristic $p$ fulfilling the assumption. Then we look at $q = p^e$ so that $q = d\ell + s$ with $2s < d < 3s$, and Corollary 1.3 yields that $\text{Syz}(X^{2q}, Y^{2q}, Z^{2q})$ is not semistable. Since this bundle is the pull-back under the $e$-th Frobenius of $\text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$, as follows from the short exact sequence mentioned at the beginning of this section, we infer that $\text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ is not strongly semistable. For prime numbers $d \geq 5$ there are natural numbers $s$ such that $2s < d < 3s$ and such that $s$ is coprime to $d$. 

Due to the theorem of Dirichlet about primes in an arithmetic progression [6] Chapitre VI, §4, Théorème et Corollaire, there exists infinitely many prime numbers $p$ with remainder $= s \mod d$. 

\[ d(k + 1). \] So these are the monomials

\[ X^{d([k/2]+1)}Y^d, X^{d([k/2]+2)}Y^{d(k-1)}, \ldots, X^{dk}Y^{d([k/2]+1)}. \]

These are $k - ([k/2] + 1) + 1 = k - [k/2]$ monomials. Since $k$ is supposed to be even, we have $[k/2] + 1 > k - [k/2]$ and therefore we must have a non-trivial linear relation

\[ \sum_{i+j=[k/2]} \lambda_{ij} X^{di}Y^{dj}(X^d + Y^d)^{k+1} = 0, \]

modulo $(X^b, Y^b)$. The total degree of this non-trivial global syzygy is $d(k + 1 + [k/2])$ and the degree of the bundle is

\[ \text{deg} \left( \text{Syz}(X^b, Y^b, Z^b)(d(k + 1 + [k/2])) \right) = (2d(k + 1 + [k/2]) - 3b) \text{deg}(C) \]

Due to our assumptions we have

\[ 2d(k + 1 + [k/2]) = 3dk + 2d < 3dk + 3t = 3b, \]

hence the degree of the bundle is negative, but it has a non-trivial section, so it is not semistable. 

\[ \square \]
Remark 1.5. The condition in Corollary 1.4 that $d$ is a prime number is necessary, since for $d = 6$ and $d = 10$ there does not exist such a coprime reminder $s$ in the range $d/3 < s < d/2$. Are these the only exceptions?

Remark 1.6. For $p = 1 \mod d$ we have $q = 1 \mod d$ for all $q = p^e$ and so Corollary 1.4 does not apply. It is open whether for these prime numbers the bundle is strongly semistable or not.

There is however some reason to believe that also in this case the bundle is not strongly semistable. Suppose that we have $q = d\ell + 1$, hence $2q = d(2\ell) + 2$. We look for syzygies for $X^{2q}, Y^{2q}, (X^d + Y^d)^{2\ell}$ of total degree $d(3\ell)$. This yields by Lemma 1.1 global syzygies for $X^{2q}, Y^{2q}, Z^{2q}$ of degree $d(3\ell) + 2$, which contradicts the semistability, since $2(d(3\ell) + 2) - 3(d(2\ell) + 2) = -2$.

To find such syzygies we have to multiply $(X^d + Y^d)^{2\ell}$ by the $\ell + 1$ monomials $X^{d\ell}, X^{d(\ell-1)}Y^d, \ldots, Y^{d\ell}$. The products are polynomials in the $\ell + 1$ monomials (modulo $(X^{2q}, Y^{2q})$) $X^{d\ell}Y^{d(2\ell)}, X^{d(\ell+1)}Y^{d(2\ell-1)}, \ldots, X^{d2\ell}Y^{d\ell}$. The existence of such a non-trivial syzygy is equivalent to the property that the determinant of the corresponding $(\ell + 1) \times (\ell + 1)$-matrix is $0 \mod p$. Since all the prime powers $q = p^e$ yield infinitely many such situations, it seems likely that for some $e$ the determinant is zero.

2. The example on the Fermat quintic

In this section we take a closer look at the example $E = \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ on the Fermat quintic $Z^5 = X^5 + Y^5$ for various characteristics. Then $E_K$ is semistable in characteristic zero, but $E_K$ is not strongly semistable in characteristic $p = 2$ or $= 3 \mod 5$. In characteristic $p = 1$ or $p = 4 \mod 5$ this is not known.

Corollary 2.1. Consider the syzygy bundle $E = \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ on the Fermat quintic $C_K = \text{Proj} K[X, Y, Z]/(X^5 + Y^5 - Z^5)$, $K$ a field. Then $E_K$ is semistable in characteristic zero, but $E_K$ is not strongly semistable in characteristic $p = 2$ or $= 3 \mod 5$. For $p = 2 \mod 5$ the first Frobenius pull-back of $E_K$ is not semistable, and for $p = 3 \mod 5$ the third Frobenius pull-back of $E_K$ is not semistable.

Proof. For $p = 3 \mod 5$ we have $q = p^3 = 2 \mod 5$, and for $p = 2 \mod 5$ we take $q = p$. So in both cases we get a situation treated in Corollary 1.4 hence $\text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ is not strongly semistable. 

Remark 2.2. In the case $p = 3 \mod 5$, Corollary 2.1 shows that the third Frobenius pull-back of the syzygy bundle is not semistable anymore. We show now that already the first Frobenius pull-back is not semistable. Write $p = 5u + 3$ so that $2p = 5(2u + 1) + 1$ (and $k = 2u + 1, t = 1$ in the notation of Lemma 1.1). We consider the syzygies for $X^{5(2u+1)+1}, Y^{5(2u+1)+1}, (X^5 + Y^5)^{2u+1}$. 


We multiply the last term by the $u + 1$ monomials
\[ XY(X^{5u}Y^0), XY(X^{5(u-1)}Y^5), \ldots, XY(X^0Y^{5u}). \]

The resulting polynomials are modulo the first two terms expressible in the monomials $X^{5i+1}Y^{5(3u+1-i)+1}$, where $i \leq 2u$ and $u + 1 \leq i$, so these are only $u$ many. Hence there exists a syzygy of these polynomials of degree $5(3u+1)+2$ and therefore there exists a global non-trivial syzygy for $X^{2p}, Y^{2p}, Z^{2p}$ of degree $5(3u + 1) + 3$ by Lemma 1. This contradicts semistability, since $2(5(3u + 1) + 3) - 3(5(2u + 1) + 1) = -2$.

For example, for $p = 3$, we find for $X^6, Y^6, (X^5 + Y^5)$ the syzygy $(-Y, -X, XY)$ of total degree 7, which yields the syzygy $(-YZ, -XZ, XY)$ for $X^6, Y^6, Z^6$ of total degree 8 on the Fermat quintic.

**Example 2.3.** We consider the bundle Syz($X^2, Y^2, Z^2$) on the Fermat quintic for $q = p = 7$. Then $Z^{14} = Z^{10}Z^4 = (X^5 + Y^5)^2Z^4$ and we look for syzygies in $K[X,Y]$ for
\[ X^{14}, Y^{14}, (X^5 + Y^5)^3. \]

We multiply $(X^5 + Y^5)^3$ by the monomials $X^5$ and $Y^5$. The only monomial in the products which remains modulo $(X^{14}, Y^{14})$ is $X^{10}Y^{10}$. Therefore we must have a non-trivial syzygy of total degree 20, and indeed we have
\[ -(X^6 + 2XY^5)X^{14} + (2X^5Y + Y^6)Y^{14} + (X^5 - Y^5)(X^5 + Y^5)^3 = 0. \]

Going back to our original setting on the Fermat curve we get the syzygy
\[ -(X^6 + 2XY^5)X^{14} + (2X^5Y + Y^6)Y^{14} + (X^5 - Y^5)ZZ^{14} = 0. \]

This shows that Syz($X^{14}, Y^{14}, Z^{14}$)(20) has a non-trivial global section, but its degree is $(2 \cdot 20 - 3 \cdot 14) \text{deg}(C) = -2 \text{deg}(C)$ negative. So Syz($X^2, Y^2, Z^2$) is not strongly semistable for $p = 7$. It is easy to see that the syzygy $(-X^6 - 2XY^5, +2X^5Y + Y^6, (X^5 - Y^5)Z)$ does not have a common zero on the curve, hence we get the short exact sequence
\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Syz}(X^{14}, Y^{14}, Z^{14})(20) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow 0, \]
which is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration.

**Example 2.4.** We consider the example for $p = 11$, so the remainder mod 5 is 1 and we cannot expect a syzygy for $X^{22}, Y^{22}, Z^{22}$ contradicting the semistability. We have $Z^{22} = (X^5 + Y^5)^4Z^2$ and we look first for syzygies for $X^{22}, Y^{22}, (X^5 + Y^5)^4$. We have $(X^5 + Y^5)^4 = X^{20} + 4X^{15}Y^5 + 6X^{10}Y^{10} + 4X^5Y^{15} + Y^{20}$ and multiplication by $X^{10}, X^5Y^5, Y^{10}$ yields modulo $(X^{22}, Y^{22})$ the three polynomials
\[ 6X^{20}Y^{10} + 4X^{15}Y^{15} + X^{10}Y^{20}, \]
\[ 4X^{20}Y^{10} + 6X^{15}Y^{15} + 4X^{10}Y^{20}, \]
\[ X^{20}Y^{10} + 4X^{15}Y^{15} + 6X^{10}Y^{20}. \]

The determinant of the corresponding matrix is $50 = 6 \text{mod } 11$ and so these polynomials are linearly independent.
We look now at syzygies for \( X^{22}, Y^{22}, (X^5 + Y^5)^5 \). If we consider only powers of 5, we multiply only by \( X^5 \) and \( Y^5 \), which yields modulo \( (X^{22}, Y^{22}) \) the monomials \( 10X^{20}Y^{10} + 10X^{15}Y^{15} + 5X^{10}Y^{20} \) and \( 5X^{20}Y^{10} + 10X^{15}Y^{15} + 10X^{10}Y^{20} \), which are again linearly independent.

**Remark 2.5.** For Fermat curves of degree \( d < 5 \), the situation is as follows: for \( d = 1 \) the restriction of \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)(3) = \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, X^2 + 2XY + Y^2)(3) \) is \( \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \), hence (strongly) semistable (characteristic \( \neq 2 \)). For \( d = 2 \) the restriction of \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)(2) = \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, X^2 + Y^2)(2) \) has a non-trivial section, hence \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)(3) \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \), so this is not semistable in any characteristic. For \( d = 3 \) the Fermat equation \( Z^3 = X^3 + Y^3 \) yields at once a global section \( \mathcal{O} \to \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)(3) \) without a zero. This shows that the bundle is strongly semistable, but not stable, independent of the characteristic. For \( d = 4 \) we have shown in [1, Example 7.4] that for \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2 \) the restriction is strongly semistable.

3. Using Sophie Germain primes

Do there exist examples of vector bundles which are semistable in characteristic zero and where the density of prime numbers for which the bundle is not strongly semistable is arbitrarily high? The density of prime numbers might be the analytic (or Dirichlet) density or the natural density (see [6, Chapitre 5, §4.1 and §4.5]). Since we will only use the fact that the set of prime numbers \( p \) such that \( p = r \mod d \), \((r, d)\) coprime, has the density \( 1/\varphi(d) \), we will not say much about this point.

If we want to attack this question with the method of the first section, we need to know for which and for how many remainders \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_d^\times \) there exists a power

\[
r^e \in M = \{ s : d/3 < s < d/2 \}.
\]

For \( r = 1 \) or \( -1 \mod d \) this is not possible; on the other hand, it is always true for primitive elements if \( M \) is not empty. For a remainder \( r \) there exists some power inside \( M \) if and only if the (multiplicative) group generated by \( r \) intersects \( M \). Therefore we only have to count the number of generators of all the subgroups of \( \mathbb{Z}_d^\times \) which contain an element of \( M \), hence

\[
\# \{ r \in \mathbb{Z}_d^\times : \exists e \ r^e \in M \} = \sum_{H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_d^\times, \ H \cap M \neq \emptyset} \varphi(\text{ord}(H)),
\]

where \( \varphi \) denotes the Euler \( \varphi \)-function. Good candidates to obtain here a big cardinality are degrees \( d \) of type \( d = 2h + 1 \), where both \( d \) and \( h \) are prime. The numbers \( h \) with this property are called Sophie Germain primes. It is still not known whether there exist infinitely many such numbers.

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that \( h > 5 \) is a Sophie Germain prime, set \( d = 2h + 1 \). Then the primes for which \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2) \) is not strongly semistable on the curve given by \( Z^d = X^d + Y^d \) have density at least \((2h - 2)/2h = 1 - 1/h\).
Proof. We will show that for every remainder \( r \neq 1, -1 \mod d \) there exists a power such that \( r^e \in M = \{ s : d/3 < s < d/2 \} \). The residue class ring \( \mathbb{Z}_d \) has 2\( h \) units, therefore every element has order 1, 2, \( h \) or 2\( h \). We only have to show that \( M \) contains primitive remainders as well as non-primitive remainders. Since then there exist \( \varphi(2h) + \varphi(h) = 2h - 2 \) remainders such that some power of them belongs to \( M \).

We first look for non-primitive remainders. For \( d > 75 \) there exists always an integer \( n \) between \( \sqrt{d}/\sqrt{3} < n < \sqrt{d}/\sqrt{2} \), since the length of the interval is then > 1. Thus \( n^2 \) is a square in \( M \) and hence non-primitive. It is also true that there exists a square in \( M \) for the smaller Sophie Germain prime numbers \( h = 5, 11, 23, 29 \).

Now to find primitive remainders note first that \( d = 3 \mod 4 \). Hence \(-1\) is not a square in \( \mathbb{Z}_d^\times \). There exist again squares between \( d/2 \) and \( 2d/3 \) (check directly for \( d \leq 59 \)). If \( b \) is such a square, then \(-b = d - b \) is a non-square inside \( M \), and so \( M \) contains squares as well as non-squares (for \( h = 3 \mod 4 \) one can also show by quadratic reciprocity law that \( h \in M \) is a non-square).

\( \square \)

**Remark 3.2.** If we would know that there exists infinitely many Sophie Germain primes, then we could conclude that the density of primes for which the bundle \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2) \) is not strongly semistable on a Fermat curve can be arbitrarily high. The biggest Sophie Germain prime which I have found in the literature (see \[4]\) is \( h = 2375063906985 \cdot 2^{19380} - 1 \). There should be known results in analytic number theory which imply that the density of primes with non strongly semistable behaviour is arbitrarily high.

**Example 3.3.** Let \( d = 11 = 2 \cdot 5 + 1 \). The set \( M \) consists only of 4 and 5. We have \( 2^2 = 4 \) and \( 4^2 = 5 \mod 11 \), hence both numbers are squares and not primitive. Hence the density of primes \( p \) such that \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2) \) is not strongly semistable on \( Z^{11} = X^{11} + Y^{11} \) for char \( K = p \) is only \( \geq 5/11 \).

**Example 3.4.** Let \( d = 167 = 2 \cdot 83 + 1 \). Here we have \( M = \{ 56, \ldots, 83 \} \). The numbers \( s = 64 \) and \( s = 81 \) are squares, hence non-primitive elements, and 83 is a non-square by Proposition 3.1. Hence the density of primes \( p \) such that \( \text{Syz}(X^2, Y^2, Z^2) \) is not strongly semistable on \( Z^{167} = X^{167} + Y^{167} \) over char \( K = p \) is \( \geq 165/167 \).

**Example 3.5.** We look now at \( h = 29 \), so \( d = 59 \). We have \( M = \{ 20, \ldots, 29 \} \). 2 is a primitive element in \( \mathbb{Z}_{59} \), hence computing \( 2^u, u \) odd, (or by quadratic reciprocity law) we see that the only primitive remainder in \( M \) are 23 and 24. So in this range we have 8 quadratic remainders but only 2 non-quadratic remainders.

We close with an example of a degree which does not come from a Sophie Germain prime.

**Example 3.6.** Let \( d = 31 \), which does not come from a Sophie Germain prime. The remainders \( s \) for which we know that \( \text{Syz}(X^{2q}, Y^{2q}, Z^{2q}) \) is not
semistable for \( q = s \mod d \), are \( s \in M = \{11, \ldots, 15\} \). Which remainders \( p = r \mod d \) have the property that some power \( q = p^e = r^e = s = 11, \ldots, 15 \)? The number 3 is a primitive element modulo 31, and we have \( 11 = 3^{23}, \ 12 = 3^{19}, \ 13 = 3^{11}, \ 14 = 3^{22}, \ 15 = 3^{21} \). So 11, 12 and 13 are primitive, 14 generates a subgroup with 15 elements and 15 generates a subgroup with 10 elements. The number of generators of these groups are 8, 8 and 4, so we have altogether 20 remainders for which some power fulfills the condition in Corollary [1.4]. So the density of primes \( p \) for which \( E_K \) is not strongly semistable in characteristic \( \text{char } K = p \) is at least \( \geq \frac{2}{3} \) (the remainders for which we do not know the answer are 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 16, 25, 27, 29, 30).
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