Pharmacological and Non-pharmacological Therapies of Cognitive Impairment in Multiple Sclerosis
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Abstract: Background: Cognitive impairment is one of the most important clinical features of neurodegenerative disorders including multiple sclerosis (MS). Conducted research shows that up to 65 percent of MS patients have cognitive deficits such as episodic memory, sustained attention, reduced verbal fluency; however, the cognitive MS domain is information processing speed. It is the first syndrome of cognitive dysfunction and the most widely affected in MS. Occasionally these impairments occur even before the appearance of physical symptoms.

Methods: Therefore, this review focused on the current status of our knowledge about possible methods of treatment cognitive impairment in MS patients including novel strategies. Research and online content was performed using Medline and EMBASE databases.

Results: The most recent research suggests that cognitive impairment is correlated with brain lesion volume and brain atrophy. The examination of the cognitive impairment is usually based on particular neuropsychological batteries. However, it can be not enough to make a precise diagnosis. This creates a demand to find markers that might be useful for identifying patients with risk of cognitive impairment at an early stage of the disease. Currently the most promising methods consist of neuroimaging indicators, such as diffusion tensor imaging, the magnetization transfer ratio, and N-acetyl aspartate levels. Diagnosis problems are strictly connected with treatment procedures. There are two main cognitive therapies: pharmacological (disease modifying drugs (DMD), symptomatic treatments) and non-pharmacological interventions that are focused on psychological and physical rehabilitation. Some trials have shown a positive association between physical activity and the cognitive function.

Conclusion: This article is an overview of the current state of knowledge related to cognition impairment treatment in MS. Additionally, novel strategies for cognitive impairments such as cryo-stimulation and other complementary methods are presented.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, cognitive impairment, pharmacology, non-pharmacological therapies, disease-modifying drugs, neurodegeneration.
approximately 1-2.5 million people all over the world are affected by MS. Women develop MS almost 2.5-times more often than men [6]. Conducted research shows that up to 65 percent of MS patients have cognitive deficits such as episodic memory, sustained attention, reduced verbal fluency; however, the cognitive MS domain determines information processing speed. MS is a complex autoimmune disorder, which manifests itself in the form of destruction of myelin, gradual degeneration of oligodendrocytes and axons, gliosis and some degree of remyelination. Functional impairment in MS patients is connected with many symptoms such as walking problems, poor balance and muscle weakness, which result in axonal degeneration, conduction block and constant micro inflammation processes. The exact etiology of MS is not entirely known [7, 8] but a combination of genetic, infectious, environmental and/or autoimmune factors contribute to the onset of the disease. There are four basic disease patterns in MS: relapsing remitting, primary progressive, secondary progressive and progressive relapsing. Disability in MS progresses gradually and in its advance form, the disease manifests itself with reduced mobility, abnormal gait mechanics, poor balance and muscle weakness, as well as cognitive and autonomic dysfunction [9]. Decreased functional capacity is generally associated with a more severe clinical course. Cognitive deficits are observed in all types and stages of the disease but mainly in the primary and secondary progressive stages [10]. Cognitive decline is usually moderate in MS. The main factors affecting cognitive decline in MS patients are shown in Fig. (1). In older people, primarily women, the symptoms can be more severe. However, the significant dementia is very rare [11]. Disability and cognitive dysfunction do not develop parallel in MS. Development of cognitive impairment in MS is unpredictable (Fig. 2). Moreover, both disability level and disease duration are not the predictors of the degree of cognitive dysfunction [12]. It was suggested that cognitive impairment is different in particular individuals according to the history of MS as well as lesion volume and location in the brain.

There are several cognitive domains, specific and characteristic for MS. The most common are: learning and memory, speed of information processing, attention and executive functioning. Whereas, language ability (repetition, comprehension, fluency) is atypical for MS [13]. MS is the most common cause of disability in young adults. Although, the pathogenesis of MS is not entirely known, it is described as inflammatory demyelinating disease and CNS axonal damage correlates with a degree of inflammatory processes [14-16]. Differential changes in the conduction of nervous impulse by demyelinated motor and sensory tracts within the CNS can alter functional and mental status. Individual functional capacity, disease progression and symptom management influence MS and its impact on patient’s disability and quality of life concomitant with pharmacological agents. The patient’s specific features and disease related factors are different in distinct stages of MS. Therefore, the treatment, which is effective in one group of MS patients, might be deleterious in another. In order to implement an MS therapy, tailored for a particular patient, or create future, there is a need to define specific clinical parameters to differentiate pathological patterns during the patient’s lifespan [17]. Magnetic resonance imagining (MRI) allows detect microstructural changes in tissue compartments. MRI basic methods include diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and magnetization transfer imaging (MTI). Frazezka et al. [2005] reported significant decrease in magnetization transfer ratio (MTR) in normal white matter (NAWM) and cortex in elderly people. The age-related effect was the strongest in frontal and parieto-occipital cortical regions of the brain [18]. Draganski et al. [2011] observed an age-dependent MTR decrease in the frontal cortex and almost a linear dose-effect relationship between results in all cognitive domains and the quartile distribution of MTR in the brain cortex. Lower distribution of MTR in deep gray matter was related to executive dysfunction [19]. Moreover, it is concomitant with the hypothesis that the regional pattern of MTI-detected microstructural CNS tissue changes determines the pattern of cognitive dysfunctions. Executive dysfunction is in relation to frontosubcortical circuits lesions [20]. Demyelination is an age-related process and it is observed very often in elderly people as a consequence of cerebral small vessel disease [21, 22]. Benedict et al. [2004] [23] reported a relation between neocortical volume and variety of neuropsychological tests (verbal and visuospatial, memory, processing speed, and working memory). Moreover, they observed a correlation between cognitive impairment and increased volume of the third ventricle [23, 24], probably related to thalami atrophy [25]. Summers et al. [2008] made an observation that the reduction in cerebral volume in one year of progression results in cognitive impairment, which remains for 5 years [26]. Additionally, there is a strict correlation between overall cortical thickness and cognitive impairment [27, 28]. Sanfilippo et al. [2005] [29] studied the different role of WM and GM in cognition process. Their research suggested that there is an association between WM loss and dysfunction of processing speed and working memory, whereas GM mainly contributes to verbal memory. Moreover, there are studies suggesting that the patterns of GM atrophy are similar in MS patients both with fatigue and cognitive impairments [28] in contrast to weak correlation between these symptoms and WM lesion. These pathological changes in brain can contribute not only to cognitive difficulties but also to fatigue problems and depression.

1.1. Cognitive Fatigue

Approximately 65-70% of individuals with MS demonstrate fatigue symptoms and about 40% claim fatigue to be a crucial feature, which is a more severe cause of their disability than age, loss of muscle strength, spasticity, balance or bowel-bladder problems [6, 30]. MS fatigue occurs in various forms, but very often it is felt as general tiredness or lassitude even without any physical effort [31]. Although, systemic fatigue is different; it highly negatively affects everyday activities. Moreover, there is also cognitive fatigue that appears as reduced attention, memory and/or information processing distributions. The processes, which contribute to a decrease in muscle strength, include lower motor unit firing rates, reduced motor unit recruitment as well as extended conduction time in neurons. Furthermore, peripheral changes also occur in muscle weakness such as atrophy, decrease in oxidative capacity and increased production of energy in anaerobic metabolism [32].
1.2. Depression

Depression and anxiety are one of the most common mental problems in MS patients. Approximately, 50% of MS patients experience depression symptoms. Moreover, the risk of suicide in MS is much higher (even 7.5-times) than in the general population. Depression may develop both in the onset and in progressive stage of MS [33]. MS is a multifactorial, complex disorder, so it can affect not only the CNS but also the neuroendocrine system responsible for emotion and contribute to emotional liability [34]. Moreover, standard pharmacological treatment, especially steroid therapy, might also be involved in mental changes including depression, being an adverse effect of some drugs. Currently, depression as well as fatigue is treated with pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies. One of the most often studied therapies is aerobic training [35]. However, there are also other methods such as: cryostimulation, yoga, especially in mild depression.

2. STANDARD MS TREATMENT

The therapeutic options of treatment relapsing forms of MS have significantly expanded over the past two decades. With the introduction of monoclonal antibody treatments, multiple highly effective options are available to help reduce the risk of disability in MS patients. The following disease-modifying drugs (DMD), approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), allow reducing disease activity and progression in patients with relapsing-remitting stage of disease, as well as progressive stages in those patients who still experience relapses. DMD is a standard treatment in MS. A relapse is defined as an occurrence of one or several symptoms or exacerbation of the clinical status in MS patient lasting over 24 hours. This new status is observed not earlier than within one month following a previous exacerbation [36]. The drug description according to the most current version of National MS Society and its potential role in cognition functioning are shown in Table 1.

3. THE INFLUENCE OF MS TREATMENT ON COGNITION

There are data that DMDs can improve results by reducing the number of lesion cases, functional status or relapse rate. Moreover, the outcomes of MRI such as lesion load and brain volume appear to correlate with the level of cognitive impairment. Current studies suggest that the DMDs also af-
fect cognition. The clinical study, COGIMUS (cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis) has revealed cognitive decline in the RRMS group of patients treated with Interferon beta-1a, administered subcutaneously in two different doses 22 and 44 µg (SC) 3x/week for 3 years. It was reported that IFN-beta 1a might have a positive impact on cognition; especially in the group of MS patients who received the 44 µg (the cognitive decline was reduced by 32%) [37, 38]. Moreover, 265 patients participated in two-year follow-up examination. Results confirm an earlier observation but it should be noted that the women achieve better results in protection against cognitive impairment. Barak and Achrion reported that INFbeta-1b might improve cognitive function-
Currently, there are several longitudinal studies, which confirmed the positive impact of natalizumab therapy on cognition, depression and fatigue. For example, Mattoli et al. (2015) reported that after 3 years of administering Natalizumab both the number of pathological tests and single test performances in attention (PASAT), executive functions (WCST) and memory functions (Short tale and Rey figure recall) have significantly improved [42]. Moreover, the best outcomes were reported after the first year of therapy, especially in MS patients with lower level of disability. Natalizumab shows a neuroprotective role as it reduces the inflammatory processes in the brain, such as atrophy, being a key factor as well as improves cognitive functioning. MS immunomodulatory treatment mostly involves restraining of brain damage in the early phase of the disease [43, 44]. Another common problem among MS patients is depression. Fatigue and depression are very difficult to differentiate since certain symptoms are similar in both the diseases. It is known that IFN beta-1b therapy can exacerbate depression, especially within about 6 months following its onset. About 41% of MS patients demonstrated exacerbation of depression symptoms or occurrence of new ones [45]. Effects of symptomatic therapies such as modafinil and donepezil are inconsistent. Most studies whose authors obtained positive findings, demonstrate significant methodological problems, which makes it difficult to propose proper treatment recommendations. There are no published reports on fingolimod that appeared to be effective in improving cognition in controlled trials. Application of DMD in other forms of MS and clinically isolated syndrome has not yielded positive results. There are hardly any data regarding a relationship between behavioral therapy, symptomatic treatment or DMD and reduction of cognitive decline or improvement of impaired cognition. Treatment and prevention of cognitive impairment need to remain key research foci, as it can contribute to identification of new interventions and improvement of clinical trial methodology [46].

4. PHARMACOLOGICAL COGNITIVE ENHANCER

Currently, there are many therapies (drugs, supplements, nutraceuticals, and functional foods) or methods such as brain stimulation, yoga, aerobic trainings, cryostimulation called Cognitive Enhancers (CE). There are pharmacological (PCE) and Non-Pharmacological Cognitive Enhancers (NPCE). PCEs include herbal medicines, ginkgo biloba, and Nootropil, curcuma etc.) and pharmaceutical drugs (acetylcholinesterase inhibitors or memantine). Cognitive enhancing drugs, such as cholinesterase inhibitors and methylphenidate, are used to improve cognitive functioning in neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer disease or other CNS issues manifesting themselves with deficit of attention and hyperactivity. However, these drugs including modafinil, are used by healthy people for enhancement purposes [47]. Pharmacological therapies of comorbidities including depression or fatigue can also have positive effect, but now there is no consistent evidence in this field of research. MS is a complex disease with variety of symptoms. Therefore, the use of cognitive enhancer could provide some benefits in contrasting to side effects of other drugs such as baclofen, benzodiazepines etc.

4.1. Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors (AChEI)

Recent studies on AChEI included studies on donepezil because this drug appears to be well tolerated by MS patients. However, current clinical research is very limited and insufficient. Hence, it is not advisable to recommend AChEI as a pharmaceutical drug in therapy of cognitive impairment in MS. The largest randomized controlled trial of donepezil included 69 MS patients. The authors of the study reported a significant improvement in verbal learning and memory compared with a placebo group during neuropsychological testing [48]. However, there are still many questions about the application of AChEIs in MS, including side effects after long-term use [49]. Another multicenter, double-blind with placebo study, has been published by Krupp et al. (2011). This clinical study included one hundred and twenty MS patients and no significant treatment effect was found [50].

4.2. Memantine

Similar findings were observed with regards to recommending the use of memantine in the treatment of cognitive impairment in MS patients. Only two studies have been published: 60 MS patients participated in one of the studies [51], but due to exacerbation of neurological symptoms the study was prematurely discontinued. The other research on a group of 126 MS patients showed negative outcomes of memantine therapy [52].

5. NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL COGNITIVE TREATMENT

Currently, there are many additional therapies (supplements, nutraceuticals, and functional foods) or methods such as brain stimulation, yoga, aerobic trainings named Cognitive Enhancers (CE). There are pharmacological (PCE) and Non-Pharmacological Cognitive Enhancers (NPCE). PCE include herbal medicines (asparagus, ginkgo biloba, and Nootropil, curcuma etc.) and pharmaceutical drugs whose treatment recommendation criteria are insufficient [53]. Therefore, NPCE are recommended due to their high safety profile and low-cost methods, especially physical exercise, sleep, meditation, computer training, brain stimulation, yoga or music [54].

5.1. Sleep

Sleep is key factor for effective brain functioning. Lack of adequate sleep is the main reason for a great number of cognitive dysfunctions such as attention and memory, language and reasoning working memory, language processing, creativity and decision-making. Many studies confirmed that short time naps during a day have positive effect on memory performance and concentration, integration and reprocessing of fresh memories into the existing reservoir of long-term memories.

Poor quality sleep can appear long before any cognitive symptoms in the early or preclinical phase of MS. Sleep disturbances are associated with more severe cognitive decline and higher risk of mild cognitive impairment. Cognition declines tend to be more active in SPMS and PPMS patients and correlates with chronic brain tissue damage, including
5.2. Aerobic Training

MS patients demonstrate a lower level of physical activity than healthy people. Therefore, aerobic training (AT), can be very important factor preventing decondition, osteoporosis, cardiovascular problems, obesity and other MS specific symptoms [55]. Obtained data, suggest that exercises, mainly aerobic ones, can improve cognitive functioning. Cognition-enhancing effects of AT were studied in both in vivo and animal experiments. Positive effect of AT on physiological processes such as glucoregulation and cardiovascular health is well known [56]. Several clinical studies revealed some benefits for executive control including planning, selective attention, multitasking work, and inhibition, working memory, particularly in women. Brain imaging studies show that AT might be associated with reduced age-related atrophy and more effective perfusion in brain regions responsible for executive control and memory. Last, clinical studies showed that AT may increase hippocampal volume due to an increased level of brain-derived neurotrophic factor which is one of the most important molecules affecting neurogenesis and stimulating dendritic network [57].

5.3. Neuropsychological Rehabilitation

The aim of neuropsychological rehabilitation is reducing cognitive impairment as well as increase patients awareness of cognition deficits in everyday activities. Neuroplasticity due to remyelination processes may reduce the cognitive decline. Cognitive rehabilitation is typically a combination of various methods, tailored to the patient’s individual needs. Therefore the effectiveness of the multifactorial intervention is difficult to evaluate. High-quality studies on a relationship between rehabilitation and improvement of cognition in MS patients have revealed hardly any evidence. A recent meta-analysis of randomized and quasi-randomized trials showed that cognitive training can increase memory span and working memory [35]. It further indicated that cognitive training, combined with other neuropsychological methods, can improve attention, immediate verbal memory, and delayed memory. Last randomized controlled trial, conducted by Hanssen et al. (2016), investigated positive effects of multimodal cognitive rehabilitation in a group of 120 MS patients with cognitive complaints in psychological aspects of HRQoL [58]. In the recent Cochran review the impact of psychological therapies on cognition improvement in MS patients was presented. However, it was found that published literature show only low-level of evidence [59]. Cognitive rehabilitation is an additional clinical practice used in MS patients with positive subjective assessment. Current status of knowledge is not sufficient to establish specific recommendation to neuropsychological rehabilitation in MS patients. Therefore, future research should be conducted including novel therapies.

6. NOVEL THERAPIES

6.1. Whole Body Cryostimulation (WBC)

Whole body cryostimulation (WBC) is a new therapy used mainly as biological regeneration primarily in sports medicine. Currently, WBC is a therapy aiming to reduce inflammation, pain in many disorders such as fibromyalgia, sports injury, osteoarthritides and others. Recent studies suggest that it can be promising additional therapy in MS patients with fatigue. However, there are only a few data about WBC therapy in MS. In our last study, we analyzed two groups of MS patients with fatigue syndrome: low-fatigue (score rate 38–42 in the Fatigue Severity Scale FSS) and high-fatigue (48–52 FSS). After 10 sessions of WBC exposition we observed improvement in the feeling of fatigue in both groups but significantly greater in high-fatigue MS patients [60]. A patient exposed to WBC in a special cryogenic chamber with liquid nitrogen as a coolant usually 10 times (1 exposure per day). There are two rooms: a vestibule, with a temperature of -60°C, and the main chamber, with temperatures about -110°C. One session in the cryogenic chamber lasts 2–3 min.

6.2. Brain Stimulation Techniques

Brain stimulation techniques were used in psychiatry or neurology. There are non-invasive methods such as Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) and Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) and invasive ones, including Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) and direct vagus nerve stimulation (dVNS) [51].

Most of these therapies are aimed to help in encoding memory and learning processes; others like DBS may directly alter and affect memory systems [62]. TMS and tDCS are the most often studied. Studies indicate that TMS and tDCS stimulation methods of the anterior temporal lobe help to enhance speed of recall [63, 64]. Moreover, tDCS can enhance performance of working memory tasks, learning and recall of words during stimulation of the left dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) during encoding [65]. TMS and tDCS are suggested as therapies that can enhance cognitive skills due to stimulation of brain plasticity processes and facilitating of learning motor tasks by activation motor areas needed to rehabilitation. Headaches, local pain, confusion and occurrence of seizure are the most common side effects after or during a performance of brain stimulation techniques.

Application of rTMS and its impact on cognition in depressed patients have brought conflicting outcomes [66]. However, a few studies report improvement in cognitive functions after the use of rTMS over the left DLPFC [67, 68]. Long-lasting DBS in MS patients improved tremor control and quality of life [69].

6.3. Computer Based Training Programs

Computer-based repetitive stimulation is a new therapy allows to train many cognitive tasks. Computer-based training programs have proved to be additional therapies that can improve memory, attention, executive function and processing speed. They can be administered both in the young and the elderly [70] to prevent dementia and age-related cognitive impairment [71]. Computer-based training has different effects in specific cognitive domains. Great effects were observed in processing speed and perceptual measures, while small or medium ranged effects were noted in memory domains [70]. However, Whitlock et al. (2012), indicated no
effects of game-based cognitive training on visuo-spatial navigational abilities and memory [72] with negative patients behavior such as aggression and reduction of empathy especially in violent computer games [73]. Computer-based cognitive training is mainly used in mild cognitive impairments as additional therapy mainly in verbal learning and memory [74, 75].

CONCLUSION

Cognitive dysfunction is a core feature of MS. Cognitive impairment is observed in all subtypes but most often in secondary progressive (SP) and primary progressive (PP). In MS patients mild to moderate cognitive decline is mainly observed. Dementia is very rare in MS (about 5%). The changes in MRI parameters of WM lesion volume and cortical atrophy are the best predictors of cognitive dysfunction. MS is a complex disease with many clinical and pathological factors which can contribute to cognition functioning including depression, fatigue as well as fluctuation of the inflammation or activity of immunological processes. MRI techniques presented in many studies facilitate understanding of these processes. Results of MRI studies suggest that both WM and GM injuries are involved in cognitive impairment. However, WM damage might change connectivity inside the neuronal networks and in this way, it may reduce processing speed, attention and working memory. On the other hand, GM injury may result in memory changes and altered behavior. Currently there is still lack of pharmacological cognitive enhancers with high level of effectiveness, but some promising outcomes in non-pharmacological approach were reported. Cognitive problems are sometimes invisible but difficult for MS patients. Rehabilitation is recommended for all stages of MS, but the best results were observed at the early stage. Patients with MS have individual and wide-ranging needs so rehabilitation is usually provided by multidisciplinary team. Pharmacological therapies for reducing disease activity in MS significantly expanded over the last year. However, no effective treatment has been established in the case of cognitive problems. Therefore, there is a need to find new strategies, which can be used especially at an early stage of MS to prevent cognition decline.
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