Incidence and Prevalence of Antiepileptic Medication Use in Community-Dwelling Persons with and without Alzheimer’s Disease
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Abstract.

**Background:** Although antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) have a potential for adverse drug reactions in older populations, little is known about their use in relation to Alzheimer’s disease (AD) diagnosis.

**Objectives:** In this study, we investigated the incidence and prevalence of AED use in relation to AD diagnosis.

**Methods:** The MEDALZ–study includes all Finnish persons who received clinically verified AD diagnoses (n = 70,718) during 2005–2011 and a matched comparison cohort without AD (n = 70,718). AD diagnoses were identified from the Special Reimbursement Register. We used the Prescription Register to identify dispensed AEDs. Incident AED users were identified with a one-year washout period 9-10 years before AD diagnosis, and incidence rates per 100 person-years were calculated for each six-month period from nine years before to five years after AD diagnosis. Prevalence was assessed as proportion using AEDs during each six-month time period for incident use.

**Results:** Persons with AD were more likely to use AEDs during the study period (4.3%) than persons without AD (3.2%). The incidence and prevalence of AED use was higher among persons with AD and increased around the time of AD diagnosis. Epilepsy diagnoses did not explain these differences. Persons with AD were more likely to use older AEDs.

**Conclusion:** Our study highlights the need to balance effective symptom control with the possible risks of treatment.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Use of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) is relatively common [1–4]. Still, only one previous study has assessed the use of AEDs among persons with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [5]. In that study, persons with AD were more likely to use older AEDs than those without AD.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies concerning the AED utilization patterns with the focus on the incidence and prevalence in relation to AD diagnosis. AED use in persons with AD or other dementive disorders is related to higher risk
of adverse drug effects and drug-drug interactions especially in the case of older antiepileptics [6, 7].

We performed a nationwide study in a cohort of all community-dwelling residents who received a clinically verified AD diagnosis in Finland in 2005–2011. Our aims were to estimate the incidence and prevalence of AED use among persons with AD in comparison to persons without AD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

This study is based on a nationwide register-based MEDALZ (Medication use and Alzheimer’s disease) cohort study. MEDALZ includes all community-dwelling persons residing in Finland who received diagnoses of AD during 2005–2011 (N = 70,718) [8]. The age range of the study cohort was 35–105 years (mean age 80.1 ± 7.1 years) and 65.2% of the study population were women. Clinically verified AD diagnoses have been identified from the Special Reimbursement Register maintained by the Social Insurance Institution of Finland (SII). This register contains records of persons who are entitled for higher medication reimbursement due to chronic diseases. All citizens and long-term residents of Finland are covered under the Finnish National Health Insurance (NHI) scheme and are thus eligible for reimbursement of medical expenses under the Health Insurance Act.

To be entitled for a special reimbursement due to a chronic disease, a patient must meet predefined criteria and a diagnosis statement must be submitted to the SII for approval. The duration of the approval process is eliminated as the original date of application for Special Reimbursement is recorded as the date of diagnosis in that register. For AD, the SII requires that the medical statement verifies that the patient has: 1) symptoms consistent with AD; 2) experienced a decrease in social capacity over a period of at least 3 months; 3) received a computed tomography(CT)/magnetic resonance imaging scan (MRI); 4) had possible alternative diagnoses excluded; and 5) received confirmation of the diagnosis by a registered geriatrician or neurologist. The SII reviews all medical statements and gives special reimbursement if the criteria are fulfilled. The diagnosis of AD is based on the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association [9] and DSM-IV criteria for Alzheimer’s disease.
PRE2DUP (from prescription drug purchases to drug use periods) method, by taking into account purchased amount in Defined Daily Doses (DDDs) and with the respect to hospitalizations, stockpiling, and dose changes [10, 11]. The drugs have been classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) ATC classification system. Antiepileptics comprised all drugs with the ATC code N03A. AEDs were categorized to older and newer according to previous classifications [12]. Older AEDs included primidone (ATC code N03AA03), carbamazepine (N03AF01), oxcarbazepine (N03AF02), clonazepam (N03AE01), phenytoin (N03AB02), and valproic acid (N03AG01), and newer AEDs included gabapentin (N03AX12), lamotrigine (N03AX09), levetiracetam (N03AX14), tiagabine (N03AG06), topiramate (N03AX11), vigabatrin (N03AG04), and pregabalin (N03AX16). Use of only one AED was considered as a monotherapy and concomitant use of more than one AED, regardless of the category, as polytherapy.

Statistical analyses

To derive incidence trajectories, incident AED use was identified by applying a one-year washout period 9-10 years before the index date. Persons using AEDs during the washout period, or being hospitalized or institutionalized for more than 182 days, or more than 90 days at the end of the washout period were excluded from the analyses (Supplementary Figure 1). After washout period, initiations of AED use diagnosis were followed-up in time from 9 years before and until 5 years after the index date. Persons were followed up until AED initiation (the event of interest possible only once for each person), death, continuous hospitalization/institutionalization more than 90 days (as drug use is not recorded in the Prescription register during hospital care), diagnoses of AD for comparison persons, or end of the data linkage (December 31, 2015), whichever occurred first. Incidence rates with 95% confidence intervals of AED use per 100 person-years were calculated for every six-month interval from nine years before to five years after AD diagnosis, separately for persons with and without AD.

Prevalence was defined for groups stratified according to AD diagnoses as the number of persons using AEDs within every six-month intervals from nine years before to five years after AD diagnosis divided by the size of the group within each six-month period.
Table 1
Characteristics of the study sample with and without Alzheimer’s disease (AD) in relation to antiepileptic (AEDs) use at the time of AD diagnoses or corresponding matching date

| Variables                        | AD (n = 70,718) | Non AD (n = 70,718) | p     | AD (n = 67,660) | Non AD (n = 68,463) | p     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
|                                  | non-users       | AED users           |       | non-users       | AED users           |       |
| Age (y), mean ± SD               | 80.1 ± 7.0      | 78.3 ± 8.0          | <0.001| 80.0 ± 7.1      | 80.5 ± 6.8          | 0.99  |
| Women, n (%)                     | 44,286 (65.4)   | 1,830 (59.8)        | <0.001| 44,613 (65.2)   | 1,500 (66.5)        | 0.18  |
| Concomitant use of other medications n (%) | | | | | | |
| Antidepressants                  | 13,001 (19.2)   | 994 (32.5)          | <0.001| 5,356 (7.8)     | 541 (24.0)          | <0.001|
| Benzodiazepines                  | 14,506 (21.4)   | 1,046 (34.2)        | <0.001| 14,171 (20.7)   | 801 (35.5)          | <0.001|
| Antipsychotics                   | 6,013 (8.9)     | 477 (15.6)          | <0.001| 1,898 (2.8)     | 182 (8.1)           | <0.001|
| Opioids                          | 2,450 (3.6)     | 384 (12.6)          | <0.001| 2,927 (4.3)     | 406 (18.0)          | <0.001|
| NSAIDsa                          | 5,491 (8.1)     | 373 (12.2)          | <0.001| 6,829 (10.1)    | 351 (15.6)          | <0.001|
| Comorbidity diagnosis, n (%)     |                 |                     |       |                 |                     |       |
| Epilepsy                         | 390 (0.6)       | 1,066 (34.9)        | <0.001| 342 (0.5)       | 601 (26.6)          | <0.001|
| Depression                       | 2,121 (3.1)     | 248 (8.1)           | <0.001| 1,784 (2.6)     | 155 (6.9)           | <0.001|
| Schizophrenia                    | 971 (1.4)       | 108 (3.5)           | <0.001| 863 (1.3)       | 70 (3.1)            | <0.001|
| Bipolar disorders                | 2,215 (3.3)     | 280 (9.2)           | <0.001| 1,845 (2.7)     | 179 (7.9)           | <0.001|
| Diabetes                         | 12,227 (18.1)   | 635 (20.8)          | <0.001| 10,351 (15.1)   | 437 (19.4)          | <0.001|
| Cardiovascular disease           | 19,451 (28.8)   | 1,005 (32.9)        | <0.001| 18,927 (27.6)   | 741 (32.9)          | <0.001|
| Stroke                           | 6,562 (9.7)     | 643 (21.0)          | <0.001| 5,562 (8.1)     | 436 (19.3)          | <0.001|
| Asthma/COPDb                     | 6,875 (10.2)    | 409 (13.4)          | <0.001| 6,800 (9.9)     | 317 (14.1)          | <0.001|

ANOVA or Chi Square test were used to produce the p-values. *NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Additional analyses on the incidence of epilepsy diagnoses were conducted to demonstrate the impact of new epilepsy cases on the incidence of AED use. The similar design was used as for incidence of AED use, by excluding persons with epilepsy more than 9 years before AD diagnoses, and following up new diagnoses from nine years before until five years after the AD diagnoses.

Descriptive statistics were presented as means with standard deviations (SD). Chi-square tests were performed to assess differences in proportions and ANOVA was used for assessing differences in means. Analyses were performed with Stata (Version 14; StataCorp) and R 3.4 (R Core Team 2017).

RESULTS

General characteristics

Altogether 4.3% (n = 3,058) persons with AD and 3.2% (n = 2,255) without AD used AEDs at the time of AD diagnosis (Table 1). The mean age of AED users with AD was slightly lower than among AED users without AD. Among AED users 34.9% of users with AD and 26.6% without AD had epilepsy diagnosis.

AED users with and without AD were more likely to use antidepressants, antipsychotics, benzodiazepines and related drugs, opioids as well as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) compared to AED nonusers (Table 1). However, AED users with AD used more frequently antidepressants and antipsychotics, whereas use of opioids and NSAIDs was more prevalent among AED users without AD. Compared to non-users, AED users had more frequently chronic cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, mental and behavioral disorders such as depression and bipolar disorders (Table 1).

Incidence of AED use

The incidence of AED use gradually increased from approximately 0.5 initiations to 1.9 per 100 person-years among AD persons and from 0.4 to 1.4 per 100 person-years among persons without AD from the beginning of follow-up until the time of AD diagnosis (Fig. 1). Among persons with AD the AED initiation rate raised after AD diagnosis from approximately 1.9 initiations to 2.5 per 100 person-years, then lowered back to 1.9 initiations per 100 person-years to the end of the first year and stayed around the same level until the end of the follow-up. In persons without AD, the incidence of AED use continued the same trajectory of the gradual grow until 1.5 initiations per 100 person-years during the first year after AD diagnosis and stayed around this level to the end of the follow-up (Fig. 1).
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Prevalence of AED use

The prevalence of AEDs use increased steadily from 1.8% to 5.0% in the AD and from 1.3% to 3.9% in the non-AD cohort before the AD diagnosis (Fig. 2). After AD diagnosis, the prevalence followed the same trend and reached the maximum of 7.4% in the AD cohort and 5.1% in the persons without AD to the end of the follow-up. The difference between cohorts was most evident at the end of the follow-up.

Incidence of epilepsy

Among persons with AD the incidence of epilepsy diagnosis started to increase two years before AD diagnosis with the peak of 0.5 per 100 person-years 6 months after AD diagnosis (Fig. 3). Among persons without AD the incidence of epilepsy slightly increased during the follow-up with the maximum of approximately 0.15 per 100 person-years at the end of the follow-up.

Types of AEDs

Among AED users at the time of AD diagnosis the majority of AD and non-AD persons used new AEDs (46.5% in AD and 55.1% in non-AD population) (Table 2). Pregabalin was the most frequently used new AED (28.9% with AD and 35.3% without AD). Use of old AEDs was more frequent among persons with AD than without AD. The most frequently used old AEDs among persons with AD was valproic acid followed by carbamazepine and clonazepam, whereas in the non-AD cohort the most frequently used old AED was carbamazepine followed by clonazepam and valproic acid.

In the AD cohort, treatment with AED polytherapy was also more frequent 9.2% compared to 5.3% among persons without AD (Table 2).

Characteristics of users of old and new AEDs are shown in Table 3. Users of new AEDs were more likely to use antidepressants, benzodiazepines, opioids, and NSAIDs and have more frequently diabetes than users of old AEDs, regardless of whether they had AD. In contrast, users of old AEDs were more likely to use antipsychotics and have a history of epilepsy, stroke, or mental and behavioral disorders more frequently than users of new AEDs in both AD and non-AD cohorts. Users of new AEDs were more likely to have cardiovascular diseases or asthma/COPD in the AD cohort.
Fig. 3. The Incidence of epilepsy diagnosis in relation to Alzheimer’s disease (AD) diagnosis.

Table 2
Antiepileptic drug use at the time of Alzheimer’s disease diagnosis or corresponding matching date for persons with Alzheimer’s disease

| Variables                        | AD (n = 3,058) | non AD (n = 2,255) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Old AEDs, monotherapy n (%)      | 1,356 (44.3)   | 892 (39.6)        |
| Primidone                        | 5 (0.2)        | 5 (0.2)           |
| Phenytoin                        | 136 (4.4)      | 114 (5.1)         |
| Clonazepam                       | 298 (9.7)      | 243 (10.8)        |
| Carbamazepine                    | 452 (14.8)     | 364 (16.1)        |
| Valproic acid                    | 465 (15.2)     | 166 (7.4)         |
| New AEDs, monotherapy n (%)      | 1,422 (46.5)   | 1,243 (55.1)      |
| Oxcarbazepine                    | 183 (5.9)      | 109 (4.8)         |
| Lamotrigine                      | 39 (1.3)       | 43 (1.9)          |
| Topiramite                       | 6 (0.2)        | 3 (0.1)           |
| Gabapentin                       | 289 (9.4)      | 267 (11.8)        |
| Levetiracetam                    | 26 (0.8)       | 26 (1.2)          |
| Pregabalin                       | 879 (28.9)     | 795 (35.3)        |
| Polytherapy n (%)                | 280 (9.2)      | 120 (5.3)         |

AD, Alzheimer’s Disease; AEDs, antiepileptic drugs; *considered combinations within one type (old/new) of AEDs or across different types (old + new) of AEDs.

DISCUSSION

Our main finding is that among persons with and without AD the incidence and prevalence of AED use had an upward trend starting already several years before AD diagnosis and the same trend continued until the end of follow-up. At the time of AD diagnosis, persons with AD had higher prevalence and incidence of AED use compared with persons without AD. More frequent AED use among persons with AD was also reported in a previous Finnish study with data from 2005 [5] and according to findings of our study, the difference between persons with and without AD has increased since then. Although the incidence of epilepsy diagnoses increased concomitantly with incident AED use, it did not entirely explain the increase in the AED use as the increase in AED use was more pronounced.

The incidence of epilepsy diagnoses in our study is in accordance with previous observations where persons with AD have a higher incidence of seizures compared to persons with AD [13] and that the onset of unprovoked seizures starts already before cognitive problems and the dementia diagnosis [14, 15]. It is important to acknowledge that only one third of unprovoked seizures in late-onset dementia, and less than every forth in early-onset dementia are epileptiform seizures [15]. Thus, the differential diagnostics is demanding and it is possible that some incident epilepsy diagnoses in persons with AD in our study might not be correct ones.
Table 3
Characteristics of the users of old and new AEDs in persons with and without Alzheimer’s disease (AD) at the time of AD diagnosis (or corresponding matching date for the non-AD cohort)

| Variables                                | AD (n = 2,778) | p       | Non AD (n = 2,135) | p       |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
|                                            | Old AED-users  | New AED-users | Old AED-users  | New AED-users |
|                                            | (n = 1,356)    | (n = 1,422)      | (n = 892)       | (n = 1,243)      |
| Age (years), mean ± SD                    | 77.05 ± 8.2    |          | 80.0 ± 7.1        |          | <0.001 | 79.76 ± 7.0     |          | 81.3 ± 6.7     |          | <0.001 |
| Women, n (%)                              | 770 (56.8)     |          | 901 (63.4)        |          | <0.001 | 536 (60.1)      |          | 897 (72.2)     |          | <0.001 |
| Concomitant use of other medications n (%)|                |          |                   |          | ANOVA or Chi Square test were used to produce the p-values. | |
| Antidepressants                            | 388 (28.6)     | 544 (38.3) | <0.001           | 179 (20.1) | 333 (26.8) | <0.001 |
| Benzodiazepines                            | 393 (29.0)     | 570 (40.1) | <0.001           | 261 (29.3) | 500 (40.2) | <0.001 |
| Antipsychotics                             | 257 (19.0)     | 172 (12.1) | <0.001           | 97 (10.9) | 78 (6.3)   | <0.001 |
| Opioids                                    | 61 (4.5)       | 298 (21.0) | <0.001           | 66 (7.4)  | 319 (25.7) | <0.001 |
| NSAIDsa                                    | 125 (9.2)      | 206 (14.5) | <0.001           | 115 (12.9) | 217 (17.5) | <0.001 |
| Comorbidity diagnosis, n (%)               |                |          |                   |          | ANOVA or Chi Square test were used to produce the p-values. | |
| Epilepsy                                   | 668 (49.3)     | 199 (14.0) | <0.001           | 388 (43.5) | 146 (11.8) | <0.001 |
| Depression                                 | 126 (9.3)      | 99 (7.0)  | 0.024            | 78 (8.7)  | 70 (5.6)   | 0.005 |
| Schizophrenia                              | 75 (5.5)       | 23 (1.6)  | <0.001           | 50 (5.6)  | 17 (1.4)   | <0.001 |
| Bipolar disorders                          | 155 (11.4)     | 102 (7.2) | <0.001           | 95 (10.7) | 76 (6.1)   | <0.001 |
| Diabetes                                   | 228 (16.8)     | 360 (25.3) | <0.001          | 141 (15.8) | 282 (22.7) | <0.001 |
| Cardiovascular disease                     | 427 (31.5)     | 501 (35.2) | 0.037            | 273 (30.6) | 425 (34.2) | 0.081 |
| Stroke                                     | 322 (23.8)     | 242 (17.0) | <0.001           | 203 (22.6) | 207 (16.7) | <0.001 |
| Asthma/COPDb                               | 156 (11.5)     | 220 (15.5) | 0.002            | 129 (14.5) | 174 (14.0) | 0.762 |

The increase in the incidence of AED use already before and at the time of AD diagnosis might be explained by occurrence of prodromal symptoms which were treated with AEDs. Our previous findings on the increase in psychotropic drug use support this hypothesis about prodromal symptoms affecting AED use around AD diagnosis [16, 17]. AEDs are commonly used for indications other than epilepsy, such as neuropathic pain, bipolar disorders, and migraine [3, 18–20]. In our study, the majority of AED users with and without AD used new AEDs, with pregabalin being the most frequent drug followed by gabapentin. Pregabalin and gabapentin are mainly used for neuropathic pain and occasionally for generalized anxiety disorder in older population [20–23]. Thus, it is likely that the majority of persons used AEDs due to other indications than epilepsy. This is also supported by the differences in characteristics of AED users and nonusers, as well as users of old and new AEDs. Our results are contrary to findings in general population, where the main indication for AEDs was epilepsy [19]. The difference can be explained by younger population and by the time the study was conducted, just after the new AEDs came to the market.

Among AED users, persons with AD used more frequently old AEDs than persons without AD. The biggest difference was in the use of valproic acid, which was more frequent among persons with AD. Valproic acid is recommended as first-line therapy for epilepsy in persons with cognitive disorder according to the current Finnish guidelines [24]. In addition, it is the most frequently used AED in older persons with epilepsy in Finland [25]. Valproic acid has been used for behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia [26], although it is not recommended due to lack of efficacy and possible adverse effects. Carbamazepine was the most commonly used drug among persons without AD, presumably for epilepsy [18, 19]. In the recent guidelines, carbamazepine, valproic acid, and phenytoin are recommended as a first line therapy for generalized and focal seizures in adults [27, 28]. The use of carbamazepine and valproic acid might also be explained by their use as mood-stabilizers in bipolar relapse prevention [29, 30]. The higher prevalence of bipolar diagnosis among AED users supports this hypothesis.

A strength of our study is that it covers all community dwelling persons with AD in Finland. Thus, the study cohort is generally representative, although the results may not be generalizable to persons living in institutional care. We utilized the data on purchased drugs instead of self- /or proxy-reported data, or data on merely prescribed drugs. However,
the Prescription register does not contain records of drugs used in hospitals or institutional care and it does not provide the information whether the purchased drugs were actually used. In addition, the indications of AEDs use were not available, and it is possible that some of the persons with epilepsy used AEDs for other indications. To describe possible indication for epilepsy, we reported the incidence of epilepsy in relation to AD diagnosis date. The AD and epilepsy diagnoses were validated in terms of steps required to verify the diagnosis with the SII. However, we were not able to describe the use of AEDs in relation to types and severity of epilepsy.

Our findings have clinical implications, because older persons and especially persons with AD may be susceptible to the adverse effects and events of older AEDs [6, 7] and, moreover, to cognitive and motor impairments [31–33]. Old AEDs such as carbamazepine have a narrow therapeutic window and multiple potential pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions [34, 35]. This is especially a matter of concern for persons with AD, who use higher number of drugs than persons without AD. It is important to acknowledge that AED treatment for epilepsy or other indications such as bipolar disorders or neuropathic pain is often necessary, and abrupt changes or discontinuations should be avoided [23, 36, 37].

In conclusion, among persons with and without AD the incidence and prevalence of AED use had an upward trend already several years before AD diagnosis and the same trend continued to the end of follow-up. As the majority of AED users did not have epilepsy, AEDs were mostly used for other indications. Persons with AD used old AEDs more frequently than those without AD, despite their possible adverse effects. Careful clinical consideration is needed before prescribing AEDs to an older person with or without AD.
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