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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The norm of pesticides use is very crucial in protecting the agriculturalists’ venture in seeds, fertilizer and labour as they provide a sure protection from damage by pests. The use of pesticides is thus, unavoidable and the associated environmental contamination owing to these toxicants and their deposits will remain a concern.

Aim: The research aimed at isolating Carbofuran and Paraquat degrading bacterial species and assessing their growth capacities at different concentrations of these pesticides.

Study Design: Microcosms were set-up in test tubes in replicates (320 test tubes) and sacrificial sampling technique was adopted during growth test.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out at the Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, Federal University of Petroleum Resources, Effurun, Delta State/Three months.

Methodology: Standard method was used to isolate Carbofuran and Paraquat degrading bacteria. Isolates were screened for their abilities to use Carbofuran and Paraquat as the only carbon source. Bacterial isolates were identified and subjected to the growth test at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0% of Carbofuran (w/v) and Paraquat (v/v), respectively. Growth abilities were scored by turbidity and colour variations.

Results: The growth abilities at different pesticide’s concentrations were significantly different at $P=.05$.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ataikiru.tegai@fupre.edu.ng;
Conclusion: This research revealed that the bacterial isolates were able to grow at various concentrations using these chemicals but best at 0.5% with higher growth rates in microcosms containing Paraquat. If these test microorganisms can grow in the presence of these toxicants, there is the likelihood that they maybe be able to reduce both Carbofuran and Paraquat hazards of contaminated areas. Thus, these bacteria can be used for the clean-up of these pesticides pollution in farms to ameliorate the problems of pesticide pollution in environment.

Keywords: Gram positive; gram negative; concentrations; carbofuran; paraquat; growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sustainable agricultural practices refer to good stewardship of environment, social and economic reliable agricultural practices that guarantee the security and safety of the future of agriculture. From an environmental point of view, this includes planting schemes that do not deplete soil fertility, wise management of water and soil, minimizing pollution and biodiversity promotion. A sustainable planting scheme has to be reliable and high yielding if the expanding world population will be fed adequately without bringing more virgin land into cultivation [1,2,3].

About 60,000 to 95,000 chemicals are used commercially. Information from third world network affirms the discharge of toxic compounds of about 450,000,000kg into the atmosphere and hydrosphere, worldwide. These toxic compounds are of major concern due to the ecological issues they cause which in turn results in natural imbalances in the ecosystem. These have drawn the attention of environmental scientists across the world to developing various strategies of surmounting these concerns. Although, these scientists speak on the extinction of natural resources at global platforms, less attention is given to their words and several of these toxic chemicals are still in use not minding the toxic effects they leave in the environment [4].

Globally, pesticides represent a class of chemicals which prevent or control pests, diseases, weeds and other plant pathogens in an effort to increase plant productivity and maintain high product quality. They include insecticides, herbicides, fungicides and other varieties of pesticides [5,6].

The toxic effect of Carbofuran (an insecticide of N-methyl carbamates family also applied as acaricide and nematicide) is due to its activity as a cholinesterase inhibitor and is considered a neurotoxic pesticide. Carbofuran, even at extremely low doses is a prevailing endocrine disruptor and foundation to transitory modifications in the amounts/concentrations of lots of hormones in animals and humans. These changes may subsequently lead to serious reproductive problems after repeated exposures [7,8]. The World Health Organization (WHO), has reported that over half a million people are diseased in each year by the pesticide with five thousand casualties [9]. Carbofuran extensive use in fields has resulted in contamination of soil and ground water system thus, polluting the environment.

Paraquat (a herbicide and a bipyridinium compound) is labelled a chemical flame gun due to its action on carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) fixation by inhibiting the variable chlorophyll fluorescene in decreasing oxygen evolution. Its activity is irreversible. It poses a number of health problems to humans that use and handle them. Water polluted with Paraquat is a risk factor for liver, lung, kidney and heart illnesses [10,11]. It has been proven that pesticides significantly add to the danger of Parkinson's disease [12,13,14]. Due to severe impacts on man and the ecosystem, these persistent toxins have been prohibited [15].

Carbofuran and Paraquat have been implicated in ground and surface water contamination in addition to persistence in the surroundings for long term [16,17,18]. There are reports that soil microbes are able to degrade both pesticides [19,20]. The breakdown of pesticides by organisms depends on some physical and chemical environmental factors which include but are not limited to; temperature, moisture and soil pH. Breakdown is also dependent on constituents of the pesticides (which may include; its hydrophilicity, degree of solubilization), biochemical reactions, microbial population and diversity. The breakdown of pesticides by these physical and chemical environmental factors is a resultant effect of physico-chemical alterations or changes of the pesticides by processes which include; photolysis, hydrolysis, oxidation and reduction.
Also, there may be the challenge of bioavailability of these pesticides due to partitioning which results in attachment or adherence of the pesticide compounds to soil and soil colloids still in its original chemical form or structure [22]. Nevertheless, the main way of detoxifying pesticides is through biological means powered by enzymes (enzymatic reactions/changes) present in plants and microorganisms [23,24,25,14,26]. Their growth capabilities in these pesticides contaminated systems will foster the breakdown and removal of these toxicants from such environments.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection
Soil samples were collected from three different locations within the Federal University of Petroleum Resources, Effurun, Delta State. A minimum of ten samples were collected from 0-15cm beneath the surface of soil under aseptic conditions in sterile containers and taken to the laboratory in icepacks for further studies. Carbofuran (3%) and Paraquat (200g/l) were purchased from the market for the study.

2.2 Culture and Isolation of Bacteria
Serial dilution agar plating method was adopted for the isolation of microorganisms according to Chikere et al. [27]. Serial dilution was done using one (1) gram of soil sample suspended in 9 ml of sterile physiological saline. Aliquots (0.1ml) of the dilutions were plated out using appropriate media for the isolation of microorganisms.

2.3 Screening for Pesticide Degrading Potentials in Solid and Liquid Media
The method of Hamada et al. [28] was used for screening. The ability of the bacterial isolates to utilize Carbofuran and Paraquat was screened by culturing them at 37°C for 72 hours on minimal agar containing 150 parts per million (ppm) of the pesticides as the sole source of carbon and nitrogen. Bacteria capable of utilizing pesticides were selected and their degradative abilities were further analyzed in minimal liquid media supplemented with 150 ppm pesticides as the sole source of carbon and nitrogen. These cultures were shaken at 37°C and 220 rpm. Bacterial growth was monitored at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer.

2.4 Bacterial Growth at Different Pesticides Concentration
Isolates with biodegradative abilities were exposed to various concentrations of the pesticides according to the protocol of Nisha et al. [29]. The pesticides were the sole carbon source during this study. Pesticides were added to test tubes containing minimal media at 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 % (w/v) for Carbofuran and (v/v) for Paraquat. Test tubes were inoculated with test organisms and incubated at 28±2°C in a rotary shaker at 120rpm. Their growth abilities were assessed by optical density (OD) at 600nm for eight days.

2.5 Statistical Analysis
Two way-ANOVA was used to test the effects of the different agro pesticides use with time (days) on the bacterial growth abilities.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Bacterial Identification
Bacterial isolates identified from Carbofuran and Paraquat are represented on Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

The soil is a reservoir and a ready source of microorganisms. Several researchers [30,31,32,33,34] have isolated bacterial species including Bacillus, Paenibacillus, Chryseobacterium, Acinetobacter, Enterobacter and others from farmyard soils.

3.2 Isolates with Degradative Abilities
The bacterial isolates were screened for the ability to grow in each of the pesticides under study using a spectrophotometer. The abilities of the bacterial isolates during the screening are shown in Table 3. Four isolates were chosen for each pesticide in the study and was carried out at four different concentrations (0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0%). The bacterial growth were scored by turbidity and colour changes in the set-up.

3.3 Bacterial Growth at Different Pesticides Concentration
The ability of the selected bacterial isolates’ ability to grow in different concentrations of Paraquat and Carbofuran are as shown in Fig.1 to Fig. 4 and Fig.5 to Fig.8, respectively. The isolates were able to grow using these chemicals at different concentrations but best at 0.5% as
presented in Fig. 1 (Paraquat) and Fig. 5 (Carbofuran).

At 0.5% Paraquat, *Chryseobacterium indologenes* and *Acinetobacter baumanii* grew best with a steady rise in the former (Fig. 1). *Chryseobacterium indologenes* increased throughout the study (0.207 to 0.330). *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* increased from day 0 (0.207) - day 6 (0.332) and later decreased to 0.292 (day 8). *Enterobacter sakazaki* increased till day 2 (0.253) and decreased by day 4 (0.242), thereafter, it increased till the end of the study. *Acinetobacter baumanii* increased till day 4 (0.306), reduced at day 6 (0.303) and later increased to 0.316 (day 8). Two way ANOVA showed that the variation in the bacterial growth rate with respect to the different pesticides and days was significant at $P = .05$.

Fig. 2 shows the growth rate at 1.0% Paraquat, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* had the highest growth at day 2 (0.194) while *Acinetobacter baumanii* recorded the highest growth at day 6 (0.252) and 8 (0.252). All isolates increased in growth till day 8 except *Acinetobacter baumanii* that reduced at day 4 (0.189) but later increased at day 8 (0.252). There were significant differences in bacterial growth abilities with respect to the different pesticides and days at $P = .05$.

*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* had a steady rise in growth till day 4 (0.347), a decline at day 6 (0.344) then, an increased growth at day 8 (0.429). A gradual but steady rise in growth was observed for *Acinetobacter baumanii* (0.316 to 0.383); *Chryseobacterium indologenes* peaked at day 6 (0.371) and dropped at day 8 (0.358) in set-ups containing 1.5% Paraquat as presented in Fig. 3. A two way ANOVA showed that the variation in the bacterial growth rates with respect to the different pesticides and days was significant at $P = .05$.

At 2.0% Paraquat, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Enterobacter sakazaki* recorded the highest and least growth rate, respectively (Fig. 4). All isolates' growth decreased at day 2 and increased at day 4. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* increased in growth from 0.475 (day 6) to 0.504 (day 8). Similar trend was seen in *Acinetobacter baumanii* from 0.454 (day 6) to 0.483 (day 8). *Enterobacter sakazaki* increased till day 6 (0.463) but reduced at day 8 (0.453) while, the reverse trend was observed in *Chryseobacterium indologenes* where growth reduced to 0.459 (day 6) and later increased at day 8 (0.470). A two-way ANOVA showed that the variation in bacterial growth rates for different pesticides and days was significant at $P = .05$.

### Table 1. Bacterial isolates from Carbofuran during the study

| TEST          | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|
| Glucose       | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Glycine       | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| H$_2$S        | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| Urease        | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| VP            | +  | +  | -  | +  |
| Indole        | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| Gelatine      | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Citrate       | +  | +  | -  | +  |
| ONPG          | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Fructose      | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Inositol      | +  | N  | N  | +  |
| L-Arabinose   | +  | -  | +  | +  |
| Sorbitol      | +  | +  | N  | +  |
| D-Xylose      | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Maltose       | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| Esculin       | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| ADH           | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| LDC           | -  | -  | -  | -  |
| %ID           | 94.5 | 99.9 | 61.8 | 99.5 |
| Identified organisms | *Bacillus subtilis* | *Paenibacillus polymyxa* | *Bacillus circulans* | *Bacillus megaterium* |

Key: H$_2$S- hydrogen sulphide, VP- Vogue proskaeur, ONPG – O-nitrophenyl-β-galactopyranoside, ADH- arginine dehydrolase, LDC- lysine decarboxylase, N – Not applicable
Table 2. Bacterial isolates from Paraquat during the study

| TEST       | B5  | B6  | B7  | B8  |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Glucose    | +   | +   | +   |     |
| Glycine    | +   | N   | N   | N   |
| $\text H_2\text S$ | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| Urease     | -   | +   | -   | +   |
| VP         | +   | -   | -   | +   |
| Indole     | -   | +   | -   | -   |
| Gelatine   | +   | -   | +   | -   |
| Citrate    | +   | -   | +   | -   |
| ONPG       | -   | -   | +   | -   |
| Inositol   | +   | -   | -   | +   |
| L-Arabinose| +   | N   | N   | N   |
| Sorbitol   | +   | -   | -   | -   |
| Mannose    | N   | -   | -   | +   |
| Esculin    | +   | N   | N   | N   |
| ADH        | -   | -   | -   | +   |
| LDC        | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| %ID        | 89.5| 99.6| 99.9| 98.4|

Identified organisms:
- Bacillus amyloliquefaciens
- Chryseobacterium indologenes
- Acinetobacter baumannii
- Enterobacter sakazaki

Key: $\text H_2\text S$ - hydrogen sulphide, VP - Voges proskauer, ONPG - O-nitrophenyl-$\beta$-galactopyranoside, ADH - arginine dehydrolase, LDC - lysine decarboxylase, N - Not applicable

Fig. 1. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 0.5% Paraquat

Key: B.am - Bacillus amyloliquefaciens
     Chr - Chryseobacterium indologenes
     A.ba - Acinetobacter baumannii
     Ent - Enterobacter sakazaki
Fig. 2. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 1.0% Paraquat

Key: B.am - Bacillus amyloliquefaciens
Chr - Chryseobacterium indologenes
A.ba - Acinetobacter baumannii
Ent - Enterobacter sakazaki

Fig. 3. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 1.5% Paraquat

Key: B.am - Bacillus amyloliquefaciens
Chr - Chryseobacterium indologenes
A.ba - Acinetobacter baumannii
Ent - Enterobacter sakazaki
Fig. 4. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 2.0% Paraquat

Key: B.am - Bacillus amyloliquefaciens  
Chr - Chryseobacterium indologenes  
A.ba - Acinetobacter baumannii  
Ent - Enterobacter sakazaki

Table 3. Screening for degradative capacities

| S/N | Isolate                  | Growth |
|-----|--------------------------|--------|
| Carbofuran | Bacillus subtilis        | ++     |
| 1    | Paenibacillus polymyxa   | ++     |
| 2    | Bacillus circulans 2     | ++     |
| 3    | Bacillus megaterium      | ++     |
|     | Paenibacillus polymyxa   | ++     |
|     | Chryseobacterium indologenes | ++ |
| 7    | Acinetobacter baumannii  | ++     |
| 8    | Enterobacter sakazaki    | ++     |

Fig. 5 shows the isolates’ growth rate at 0.5% Carbofuran. All isolates had good growth rates but Bacillus subtilis had the highest rate. Bacillus circulans increased at day 2 (2.406) and decreased. Bacillus megaterium increased from day 0 (1.62) to day 6 (2.288) but decreased at the end of study (2.135). Bacillus subtilis increased till day 2 (2.457), decreased till day 6 (2.189) and later increased at day 8 (2.422). Paenibacillus polymyxa had the highest growth at day 6 (2.297). Two way ANOVA showed that the variation in the bacterial growth rates with respect to the different pesticides and days was significant at $P = .05$.

At 1.0% Carbofuran, there were variations in the different isolates’ growth rates but Bacillus circulans had the best growth rate as seen in Fig. 6. All isolates increased in growth from day 0 to day 2, reduced at day 4. Bacillus megaterium increased from day 6 (1.992) till the end of study. Bacillus circulans and Paenibacillus polymyxa increased at day 6 (2.297, 2.198) and decreased at day 8 (1.920, 1.874), respectively. Bacillus
subtilis had a steady growth during the study. Two-way ANOVA showed that there was statistical significance in growth abilities with respect to the different pesticides and days at \( P = .05 \) value.

Paenibacillus polymyxa and Bacillus subtilis recorded the highest and least growth rates at 1.5% Carbofuran as shown in Fig. 7. There were variations in the growth of Bacillus megaterium during the study. Paenibacillus polymyxa growth decreased from 2.926 (day 0) to 2.586 (day 4) but increased till end of study. Bacillus circulans reduced at day 2 (2.646), increased at day 4 (2.761) and later decreased till end of study. The growth of Bacillus subtilis decreased steadily throughout the experiment. At \( P = .05 \), there was a significant difference in bacterial growth abilities within days using the two-way ANOVA.

Bacillus megaterium recorded the highest growth rate followed by Bacillus subtilis while Bacillus circulans had the least rate at day 8 in microcosms containing 2.0% Carbofuran (Fig. 8). There were growth variations for Bacillus subtilis throughout the trial. Bacillus circulans growth reduced gradually all through the experiment. Furthermore, there was a continuous decrease in the growth of Paenibacillus polymyxa during the study. Two-way ANOVA showed that there was statistical significance among bacterial growth abilities with days at \( P = .05 \).

The test organisms used were isolated from Carbofuran and Paraquat enriched medium containing soil. Selected bacteria were identified as Bacillus subtilis, B. circulans, B. megaterium and Paenibacillus polymyxa. These organisms were used to test bacterial growth capabilities in Carbofuran as illustrated in Figs. 5 - 8 above. Chryseobacterium indologenes, Acinetobacter baumannii, Enterobacter sakazaki and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens were used for Paraquat (Figs. 1-4). According to Nisha et al. [29], bacterial species such as Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Flavobacterium, Arthrobacter and Sphingomonas which are capable of growing in the presence of Carbofuran have been isolated from soil samples. Obuotor et al. [32] reported the ability of Pseudomonas, Providentia, Proteus, Citrobacter, Klebsiella and Enterobacter species from fermented corn steep to grow and biodegrade Paraquat dichloride-contaminated soil.

![Fig. 5. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 0.5% Carbofuran](image)

**Key:**
- B.sub – Bacillus subtilis
- P.pol – Paenibacillus polymyxa
- B.cir – Bacillus circulans
- B.meg – Bacillus megaterium
Fig. 6. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 1.0% Carbofuran

Key:
- B.sub – Bacillus subtilis
- P.pol – Paenibacillus polymyxa
- B.cir – Bacillus circulans
- B.meg – Bacillus megaterium

Fig. 7. Growth of selected bacterial isolates at 1.5% Carbofuran

Key:
- B.sub – Bacillus subtilis
- P.pol – Paenibacillus polymyxa
- B.cir – Bacillus circulans
- B.meg – Bacillus megaterium
Higher growth rates were obtained in 0.5% of Carbofuran and Paraquat for all organisms with faster growth in set-ups containing Paraquat. The increase in turbidity and optical density (OD) were directly proportional to the growth of organisms. These organisms were able to grow in medium containing Carbofuran and Paraquat as a result of their ability to metabolize them as source of carbon and energy to grow. The variation in growth of organisms could be traceable to the number of carbon and nitrogen atoms in each pesticide. Carbofuran contains 12 carbon and 1 nitrogen atoms while Paraquat has 12 carbon and 2 nitrogen atoms. When comparing the growth ability of the test organisms, *Bacillus subtilis* had the highest activity in Carbofuran and *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Paraquat.

Omolo et al. [33] reported the growth of *Pseudomonas*, *Flavobacterium* and *Alcaligenes* species in the presence of Methomyl and Carbofuran in soils of horticultural farms in Rift Valley and Central Kenya. Ammar [34] stated that species of *Micrococcus*, *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* were able to grow in the presence of three pesticides (diuron, carbaryl and glyphosate) and were able to degrade them within 11 days which were contrary to slow degradation earlier reported from studies. If these test microorganisms can grow in the presence of these toxicants, there is the likelihood that they maybe able to reduce both Carbofuran and Paraquat hazards of contaminated areas. Thus, these bacteria can be used for the clean-up of these pesticides pollution in farms to ameliorate the problems of pesticide pollution in environment.

Furthermore, at higher concentrations of Carbofuran (1.5% and 2.0%) bacterial growth were impaired but later adjusted to the environment. This implies that Carbofuran was toxic at higher concentrations which could be lethal to the organisms thus, suppressing their growth and numbers. Again, there are reports from other researchers on the toxicities of pesticides at higher concentration to microorganisms. Therefore, this study advocates the need for strict adherence to the amounts and use of these chemicals.

4. CONCLUSION

Diverse mixtures (organic and inorganic) are extensively dispersed in the environs as an upshot of their prevalent routine as pesticides, solvents, fire retardants, pharmaceuticals, and lubricants. Environmental hazards and human health problems due to persistence and noxious nature have been recorded from the continuous...
application of these chemicals. Mechanized farming due to increased need for agricultural products to feed the teeming population has greatly accelerated the large scale manufacturing and usage of pesticides.

The application of pesticides overtime leads to periodic negative effect on such agricultural fields. It is absolutely pertinent to source for microorganisms capable of removing manmade and recalcitrant substances from the ecosystems that are polluted with these noxious compounds. Microbes have developed catabolic paths to breakdown foreign chemicals due to the presence of catabolic plasmids coding for enzymes responsible for the breakdown and removal of different natural and artificial compounds. This work particularizes the likelihood of the various bacterial agents in decontamination of agricultural soils as they are capable of growing at different pesticides’ concentrations making them candidates for application in bio-restoration trials in pesticide polluted environments.
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