Study of nitrate contaminants removal from groundwater on copper modified BDD electrode
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Abstract. The electrochemical nitrate reduction by using boron-doped diamond (BDD) and copper modified boron-doped diamond (Cu-BDD) electrodes was investigated at various potentials. Nitrate reduction efficiency and the products distribution was strongly dependent on the applied potential for both electrodes. The highest nitrate reduction efficiency of 77% was obtained at −2.0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) by using Cu-BDD. Compared with BDD electrode, nitrate reduction on Cu-BDD electrode occurred at more positive potential. Copper oxides formed on BDD surface efficiently promoted enhanced conductivity of electrode to promote electrons transfer during nitrate reduction process. Meanwhile, the catalytic ability of copper was also conductive to the nitrate transformation. Therefore, the developed Cu-BDD would be a promising approach for efficient nitrate removal from groundwater.

1 Introduction

Nitrate concentration has increased in groundwater and soil because of the heavy use of nitrogen based fertilisers and the discharge of agricultural effluent, causing the serious nitrate pollution [1]. The daily human intake of nitrate is predominantly caused by food and drinking water, and the exposure of nitrate would result in “blue baby syndrome” in infants [2], liver damage [3] and cancer [4]. Therefore the maximum permissible level of nitrate is set at by 50 mg/L (ca. 0.8 mM) European drinking water directive. Based on this urgent situation, considerable researches have been made towards nitrate removal, such as biological denitrification, adsorption, chemical catalysis and electrochemistry.

As one of clean technology, electroreduction was widely used to abate nitrate from the polluted groundwater since it offers high efficiency, convenience and low cost operation. However, the complex nitrate electroreduction process depends strongly on the experimental parameters such as the pH, electrolyte and the electrode material, which results in a mass of nitrite, ammonia and some other undesirable by-products. In this sense, a mass of materials have been explored to promote nitrate transformation towards nitrogen gas. Born doped diamond (BDD), which has been known as its super stability, ruggedness, low background current and wide potential window, has been widely studied for electrochemical nitrate reduction. BDD was proved to have a high selectivity of nitrogen gas formation. Kuang et al found that BDD electrode had the higher nitrogen gas selectivity of 45.2% than Cu of 8% for nitrate reduction under the same electrolytic conditions, which might result from the inhibition of ammonia generation by the wide potential of BDD [5]. However, the high overpotential was still needed to reduce nitrate, and the semi-conductive BDD was to the disadvantage of electrons transfer, which retarded electrochemical nitrate reduction.

An alternative method was proved to be the construction of modified BDD using catalytic materials, which has shown the electro-catalytic characterization for the electro-reduction of nitrate. In particular, copper was widely used to modify BDD due to its low-cost and easy to get. Researchers found that copper modified BDD (Cu-BDD) had the high sensitivity and low limits for detecting nitrate [6]. Cu-BDD also had the higher kinetics for the nitrate reduction process owing to the excellent catalytic capacity of copper [7]. Some researchers also found that Cu-BDD with the higher conductivity had the lower potential for nitrate reduction into ammonia. Nevertheless, nitrate reduction efficiency and their products distribution are still unknown. On the other hand, nitrate reduction was proved to strongly depend on applied potential, which has not been explored using Cu-BDD. In our study, we examined Cu-BDD for the electrochemical reduction of nitrate and the selectivity of nitrogen gas under different potentials.
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2 Experimental

2.1 Reagents and materials

Regents for solution preparation were purchased from Wako pure chemical industries and used without further purification. Detection reagents for nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium were purchased from Merck KGaA. Prepared using Ultrapure water used for aqueous solutions was obtained from a Simply-Lab water system (DIRECT-Q 3 UV, Millipore) with the resistivity of 18 MΩ cm.

2.2 Preparation of the working electrodes

BDD electrode was grown on the Si(111) wafer by microwave plasma-assisted chemical vapor deposition (MPCVD) system (AX6500, CORNES Technologies Corp.), and the preparation process was same as our previous report [8]. Copper particles were electrodeposited on the BDD surface by chronoamperometry method at the potential of -0.6 V, using 15 ml of 1mM CuSO4/0.1 M H2SO4. The modification process lasted for 300 s to explore the electrode performance of electrochemical nitrate reduction. Cu-BDD was recovered by immersing electrode in aqua regia for 10 minutes and ultrasonically cleaning in ultra-pure water for 5 min.

2.3 Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical nitrate reduction experiments were carried out in a two-compartment cell separated by a Nafion membrane, in which a typical three-electrode system was constructed using Cu-BDD (working electrode), Pt (counter electrode) and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl) (reference electrode) [9]. Catholyte (50 mL 1.0 g L⁻¹ Na2SO4 containing 50 mg-N L⁻¹ NaNO3) and anolyte (50 mL 1.0 g L⁻¹ Na2SO4) were circulated from storage bottles into chambers by a peristaltic pump (Masterflex, Cole Parmer) at flow rate of 100 mL min⁻¹. The performance of Cu-BDD for electrochemical nitrate reduction was explored using chronoamperometry at different potential using a potentiostat (Autolab PGSTAT204, Metrohm Autolab B.V.), during which the nitrate reduction efficiency and its products distribution were obtained after 2 h electrolysis.

2.4 Preparation of the working electrodes

The liquid products (nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium ions) were analyzed by a visible spectrophotometer (Photolab 6100VIS, WTW GmbH), based on the colorimetric method previously described [5]. Gas products (nitrogen and hydrogen gas) generated during electrolysis were measured using by gas chromatograph with a thermal conductivity detector (GC-2014, Shimadzu Corp.).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization

Electrodes were characterized by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (EDX) to examine the surface morphology and deposited copper content of the BDD electrodes, before and after electrodeposition. Before deposition, SEM images of BDD showed the well-defined, crystal facets (Fig. 1a). After electrodeposition, large amount of particles could be observed on BDD surface with the average diameter of 101 nm (Fig. 1b and 1c). After the recovery of Cu-BDD, BDD electrode was also examined by SEM. Results showed that the surface morphology of BDD kept the same look of unused one, proving the high stability of BDD as previous study reported [13].

From EDX results, the percentage of Cu and O content were 1.99% and 0.33% for electrode after deposition (Table 1), while these two elements were not detected on the initial BDD surface, illustrating that copper was successfully deposited on BDD.

| Table 1 Percentage of some elements for Cu-BDD |
|----------------|----------------|---------|-------|
| Element | C | B | O | Cu |
| Percentage (%) | 95.79 | 1.89 | 0.33 | 1.99 |

At the same time, Raman spectra were measured by Acton SP2500 (Princeton Instruments) with excitation at 532 nm from a green laser diode at room temperature before and after copper deposition, which was used to examine the chemical stability of BDD electrodes during electrodeposition and electrolysis. It could be found that Raman spectra for both unused and recovered BDD showed the peak of zone-center optical phonon of diamond at around 1300 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Two broad peaks were observed at around 500 and 1200 cm⁻¹ without variation, which were typically observed in highly boron-doped diamond [14]. The result showed that BDD electrode was stable during electrodeposition and electrochemical nitrate reduction without any variation of chemical component. The results clearly illustrated that BDD electrode was benefit to particle application.
3.2 Behavior of electrochemical nitrate reduction

Fig. 3 displays the linear sweep voltammograms (LSV) on BDD and Cu-BDD in 0.1 M Na2SO4 aqueous solution with/without 10 mM NaNO3 at 100 mV s⁻¹ were measured to compare the behavior of electrochemical nitrate reduction between BDD and Cu-BDD. Before each measurement, Ar gas was bubbled through the solution to remove dissolved oxygen, which could remove the dissolved oxygen to avoid oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and sequential hinderance of nitrate reduction.

In Fig. 3b, no reduction peak was observed in the potentials ranging from 0 to -2.0 V in 0.1 M Na2SO4 solution without NaNO3 using BDD, and the reduction peak at -1.0 V for Cu-BDD ascribed to the reduction of copper oxides on Cu-BDD. Besides, HER occurred at around -1.6 V for BDD electrode, while that for Cu electrode arose at more positive potential of -1.25 V. This result proved that Cu-BDD also promoted HER owing to its higher conductivity.

The clear reduction peaks could be seen at -1.45 V for BDD and -1.25 V for Cu-BDD (Fig. 3a). Cu-BDD had the more positive potential than BDD for reducing nitrate, which was benefit to proceeding of the nitrate reduction. The results demonstrated that Cu-BDD had the higher conductivity to promote electrochemical nitrate reduction. It might because the copper deposition decrease the potential window of BDD. Meanwhile, copper had the excellent catalytic ability also improved the electrochemical nitrate reduction [10]. On the other hand, the peak current of nitrate reduction for Cu-BDD (0.087 A) was higher than that for BDD (0.021 A), which might be due to the higher activity of nitrate reduction with more electrons transfer using Cu-BDD. In addition, a reduction peak existed using Cu-BDD at -0.8 V, which might attribute to the reduction of copper oxides, also proving that copper has been successfully deposited on BDD surface.

3.3 Electrochemical nitrate reduction at different potentials

Electrochemical nitrate reduction by BDD and Cu-BDD electrodes was studied at different potentials. The dependence of nitrate reduction efficiency and by-products generation on the applied potential was obtained (Fig. 4). It could be found that Cu-BDD had the higher nitrate reduction efficiency than BDD at different potentials (Fig. 4a). For Cu-BDD, the nitrate reduction efficiency increased from 20% at -1.2 V to the highest efficiency of 77% at -2.0 V, and then almost keep constant with the potential becoming more negative. While, the maximum efficiency was only 45% for BDD at -2.0 V. In terms of the generation of by-products, more ammonia produced using Cu-BDD than that using BDD, and large amount of nitrite generated during electrolysis for both Cu-BDD and BDD (Fig. 4b).

The phenomenon could be explained that Cu-BDD had the higher conductivity to improve the electron transfer and thus enhance the nitrate reduction efficiency. Meanwhile, the excellent catalytic ability of copper also could promote the electrochemical nitrate reduction process. Meanwhile, researchers have proved that the conversion from nitrate into nitrite was easier to occur, because of its two-electron reduction [11]. Therefore, the
amount of nitrite was larger than that of ammonia. However, nitrite was unstable and easy to convert into some other nitrogenous substances [12], which resulted in the significant decrease in nitrite production. When the potential was more negative than -2.0 V, ammonia accumulation occurred using Cu-BDD. As our research found, Cu-BDD promoted the occurrence of HER, which resulted in the high concentration of the adsorbed hydrogen (Hads) on the surface of Cu-BDD. Meanwhile, ammonia was proved to be a hydrogenated product of the nitrate reduction, and consequently hydrogen (Hads) on Cu-BDD slightly increased ammonia accumulation. Many studies illustrated that adding NaCl could efficiently oxide ammonia into nitrogen gas or nitrate again, which is feasible for removing ammonia accumulation in our study.

Copper modified BDD increased the conductivity of electrode to promote electrons transfer, and the excellent catalytic ability of copper was also in favour of nitrate reduction as well. This study shows that Cu-BDD was more feasible for practical applications on the technology of electrochemical nitrate reduction owing to the higher conductivity.

**Acknowledgement**

The research was financed by the Science and Technology Innovation Foundation of Dalian, China (2018J12SN080) and Natural Science Foundation (National Innovation Joint Fund) of Liaoning, China. The Inorganic Materials Chemistry Laboratory, Keio University (KU). This research work was also supported by China Scholarship Council (CSC, No. 201706400005) during a visit of Peijing Kuang to KU, the Science and Technology Innovation Foundation of Dalian, China (2018J12SN080)

**References**

1. Y. Sun, M. Nemati, Bioresour. Technol., 114, 207 (2012)
2. M. Shrimali and K. P. Singh, Environ. Pollut., 112, 351 (2001)
3. S. K. Gupta, R. C. Gupta, A. B. Gupta, A. K. Seth, J. K. Bassin, A. Gupta, Environ. Health Persp, 108, 363 (2000).
4. D. Majumdar and N. Gupta, Indian J. Environ. Health, 42, 28 (2000).
5. P. Kuang, K. Natsui, Y. Einaga, Chemosphere 210, 524-530 (2018)
6. M. Christine, E. Michael, E. Craig, G. Richard, Anal. Sci. 21, 1430 (2005).
7. C. M. Welch, M. E. Hyde, C. E. Banks, R. G. Compton, Anal. Sci. 21, 1421 (2005).
8. T. Yano, D. A. Tryk, K. Hashimoto A. Fujishima, J. Electrochem. Soc., 145, 1870-1876 (1998).
9. Natsui et al., 2018 K. Natsui, H. Iwakawa, N. Ikemiya, K. Nakata, Y. Einaga, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57, 2639-2643 (2018).
10. K. Bouzek, M. Paidar, A. Sadiliková, H. Bergmann, J. Appl. Electrochem. 31, 1185–1193 (2001).
11. C. Lévy-Clément, N. A. Ndao, A. Katty, M. Bernard, A. Deneuville, C. Comninellis, A. Fujishima, Diamond Relat. Mater. 12, 606–612 (2003).
12. C. Reuben, E. Galun, H. Cohen, R. Tenne, R. Kalish, Y. Muraki, K. Hashimoto, A. Fujishima, J.M. Butler, C. Lévy-Clément, J. Electroanal. Chem. 396, 233-239 (1995).
13. K. Nakata, T. Ozaki, C. Terashima, A. Fujishima, Y. Einaga, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53, 871-874 (2014).
14. F. Pruvost, E. Bustarret, A. Diamond Relat. Mater. 9, 295-299 (2000).