INTRODUCTION

A lack of cold tolerance is a major limiting factor for crop production, especially in northern areas. Plants exposed to low but non-freezing temperatures have developed strategies for adapting to stress and enhance cold tolerance via many complex physiological and biochemical changes. Such adaptations are primarily attributed to changes in the expression of functional and regulatory genes (Gomat et al., 2011). Numerous studies have demonstrated that cold acclimation is associated with structural and compositional modifications of compatible solutes in various subcellular compartments and changes in the transcriptome and metabolome (Knaupp et al., 2011; Zuther et al., 2012; Vaclavik et al., 2013). Cold acclimation in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) plays a crucial role in cold tolerance to harsh winters. To examine the cold acclimation mechanisms in freezing-tolerant alfalfa (ZD) and freezing-sensitive alfalfa (W5), holoproteins, and low-abundance proteins (after the removal of RuBisCO) from leaves were extracted to analyze differences at the protein level. A total of 84 spots were selected, and 67 spots were identified. Of these, the abundance of 49 spots and 24 spots in ZD and W5, respectively, were altered during adaptation to chilling stress. Proteomic results revealed that proteins involved in photosynthesis, protein metabolism, energy metabolism, stress and redox and other proteins were mobilized in adaptation to chilling stress. In ZD, a greater number of changes were observed in proteins, and autologus metabolism and biosynthesis were slowed in response to chilling stress, thereby reducing consumption, allowing for homeostasis. The capability for protein folding and protein biosynthesis in W5 was enhanced, which allows protection against chilling stress. The ability to perceive low temperatures was more sensitive in freezing-tolerant alfalfa compared to freezing-sensitive alfalfa. This proteomics study provides new insights into the cold acclimation mechanism in alfalfa.
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As leguminous forage, alfalfa contributes to biological nitrogen fixation and is widely planted throughout the world. In northern climates, fall dormant cultivars have a greater capability to resist harsh winters than non-dormant varieties (Timmons and Salmon, 1932; Sprague and Fuelleman, 1941; Smith et al., 1979). It has been established that freezing-tolerant and freezing-sensitive cultivars of alfalfa share similar cold regulated (COR) gene complements; differences exist in the rate and extent of the expression of these genes in response to cold. COR genes are induced by low temperature and their translation products can mechanistically protect plants against environmental stresses (Seki et al., 2004). Marked differences were found between propagated clones from genotypes by comparing their gene products, which confirmed that variations in molecular changes that occur at low temperature are under some level of genetic control (Cas-tonguay et al., 2006). Castonguay et al. (2006) hypothesized that some of COR genes fail to be expressed in a timely manner in response to environmental cues in freezing-sensitive alfalfa. The ability to perceive and transduce external signals into a series of molecular events leading to physiological responses differs widely among genotypes within a species (Kaur and Gupta, 2005). However, differences in the cold acclimation mechanisms between freezing-tolerant and freezing-sensitive alfalfa remain unknown. In our study, holoproteins and low-abundance proteins were extracted from alfalfa, and changes in protein categories and relative abundances during chilling were analyzed. Differences in the cold acclimation mechanisms in alfalfa would be first discussed at the protein level using proteomics in our study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PLANT MATERIAL AND CULTURE CONDITIONS

Two alfalfa cultivars with different freezing tolerances were studied: freezing-tolerant cultivar ZhangDong (ZD), which is fall dormant alfalfa (winter resistant cultivar), and freezing-sensitive cultivar WL525HQ (W5), which is non-dormant alfalfa (winter resistant cultivar). ZD and W5 seeds were germinated under controlled environmental conditions as follows: 280 μmol/m2/s; 16 h light/8 h dark; and a day/night temperature regime of 25/20°C. For the cold treatment, 50 days old seedlings were transferred to a cold chamber set to 4°C for 7 days under other conditions as described above.

PROTEIN PREPARATION

Leaves (1 g) from both cultivars were sampled at 0 (control), 12 h, and 7 days under cold treatment and were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Holoproteins extraction

For holoproteins extraction, frozen samples were pulverized in a pre-cooled mortar with liquid nitrogen. Samples were then suspended in three volumes of pre-cooled 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA)/acetone with 0.07% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol and kept at −20°C overnight. The homogenate was centrifuged at 40,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C. The supernatant was removed, and the precipitate was resuspended in three volumes of cold acetone containing 0.07% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol. The mixture was incubated at −20°C for at least 1 h and centrifuged at 40,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C. The aforementioned steps were repeated until the supernatant was colorless. The vacuum-dried pellet was dissolved in 2 ml of a lysis solution containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% (w/v) 3-[3-Cholamidopropyl] dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 40 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 1% (v/v) protease inhibitors (PMSF). The sample was shaken at 4°C for more than 1 h. The mixture was centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C to remove any solids. The protein concentration was quantified using a 2D-Quant kit, and the protein solution was stored at −80°C.

Low-abundance proteins extraction

Low-abundance proteins were extracted with Mg/NP-40 buffer and fractionated by PEG 4000 (PEG4000), as described by Kim et al. (2001) with slight modifications. Frozen leaves were ground in a pre-cooled mortar with liquid nitrogen. Five milliliters Mg/NP-40 buffer containing 0.5 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 2% (v/v) NP-40, 20 mM MgCl2, 2% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 1% (w/v) polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) was added, and the sample was ground on ice for 15 min. After centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C, a 50% (w/v) PEG4000 stock solution was added to the supernatant to a final PEG concentration of 17.5% (w/v). The mixture was incubated on ice for 30 min and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Three volumes of pre-cooled 10% (w/v) TCA/acetone was added to the supernatant and kept at −20°C overnight. The remaining steps were performed according to the holoproteins extraction method described above.

The quantified holoprotein and low-abundance protein samples were loaded into an SDS-PAGE gel [12.5% (w/v)] and run for ~2.5 h. The gel was used to determine the quality of proteins and remove RuBisCO.

TWO-DIMENSIONAL GEL ELECTROPHORESIS

Quantified protein solutions were diluted in rehydration buffer containing 8 M urea, 2% (w/v) CHAPS, 0.3% (w/v) DTT, and 1% (v/v) IPG buffer. IEF strips (24 cm, linear pH 4–7) were rehydrated in 450 μl of rehydration protein solution at 20°C for 12 h in an Ettan IPGphor 3 electrophoresis system (GE Healthcare). IEF was run using the following parameters: 200 V for 2 h, 500 V for 2 h, 1000 V for 2 h, 8000 V for 3 h, and 8000 V for 65000 VH. After IEF, the strips were equilibrated twice in equilibration buffer [6 M urea, 1.5 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 30% (v/v) glycerol, and 2% (w/v) SDS] with 1% (w/v) DTT for 15 min and then with 4% (w/v) iodoacetamide for 15 min. For two-dimensional electrophoresis, the strips were placed on a 12.5% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gel and run at 1 w per gel for 1 h, followed by 13 w per gel for 4.5–5 h. An Ettan DALTSix system (GE Healthcare) was used for two-dimensional electrophoresis. Subsequently, the gels were fixed in 40% (v/v) alcohol and 10% (v/v) acetic acid for 30 min, swollen in 10% (v/v) acetic acid for 20 min, and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue G-250. The gels were rinsed with 10% (v/v) acetic acid until the protein spots were distinct from the background. The gels were then stored in deionized water.
IMAGE ACQUISITION, DATA ANALYSIS, AND PROTEIN IDENTIFICATION

Gels were scanned using an ImageScanner III (GE Healthcare), and images were analyzed using ImageMaster 2D Platinum v7.0 software (GE Healthcare). Gels of three independent biological replicates per treatment were analyzed. Spots were automatically detected and matched, and mismatched and unmatched spots were artificially modified through manual editing. The spot intensities were normalized according to total intensity of valid spots to reduce the differences in the protein loading and gel staining. Tukey’s test ($P < 0.05$) was applied to test the abundance change of the spots. Only spots with volume ratios over 1.5-fold ($P < 0.05$) were selected for MS identification.

Each marked protein was cut from the gel and cleaved with trypsin. Peptide identification was performed using a 5800 MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX) according to the protocol described by Kosová et al. (2013). The obtained peak list was used to search the databases NCBI Medicago (3967), downloaded on June 12, 2014, NCBI Viridiplantae (973373), downloaded on September 13, 2013, and Uniprot (540732), downloaded on September 3, 2013, using MASCOT V2.2 software. Database searches were conducted using the following parameters: peptide mass tolerance ±100 ppm; fragment mass tolerance ±0.4 Da; a maximum of one missed cleavage; cysteine carbamidomethylation allowed as a fixed modification; and oxidation of methionine allowed as a dynamical modification. Only significant hits, as defined by the MASCOT probability analysis ($P < 0.05$) with a protein score CI % greater than 95 and a protein score above 50, were accepted. A functional classification of proteins was performed based on the Gene Ontology database1 and the Uniprot database2.

STATISTIC ANALYSIS

The data shown in image analyses (holoproteins and low-abundance) and the differential abundance of proteins in the three samples under evaluation (control, 12 h and 7 days) were both compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test ($P < 0.05$). Cluster analysis was used to show identified proteins visually changed in the relative abundance using the software PermutMatrix v1.9.3 (Caraux and Pinloche, 2005). The average values of three biological replicates were used to compare the protein changes among different treatments.

RESULTS

IMAGE ANALYSES OF HOLOPROTEINS AND LOW-ABUNDANCE PROTEINS OF alfalfa

In our study, both holoproteins and low-abundance proteins of alfalfa were extracted to analyze protein changes during chilling stress. The SDS-PAGE result (Figure 1) revealed that 17.5% PEG could remove most Rubisco large subunits; new electrophoretic bands containing low-abundance proteins were clearly detected. Image analysis revealed approximately 498 ZD holoprotein spots and 516 W5 holoprotein spots (Figure 2A). Approximately 423 spots were detected in the ZD low-abundance proteome, of which 308 spots were unique to low-abundance versus holoproteins; the ratio of low-abundance protein spots to holoprotein spots was ~61.85%. In the W5 proteome, this ratio was 64.13%; approximately 320 of 499 spots were unique to low-abundance versus holoproteins (Figure 2B). PEG fractionation was useful for the resolution of low-abundance proteins. The detection of new low-abundance proteins aided our analysis of protein variations and adaptive mechanisms during chilling.

PROTEOMIC DIFFERENCES IN alfalfa IN RESPONSE TO LOW TEMPERATURE

To detect differences in cold acclimation between freezing-tolerant alfalfa and freezing-sensitive alfalfa, the variety and relative abundance of proteins were analyzed in two alfalfa cultivars (ZD and W5) in three different growth conditions (control, 4°C for 12 h, and 4°C for 7 days). Holoproteins and low-abundance proteins were extracted from leaves. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) revealed that a total of 84 spots were differentially expressed at ratios over 1.5-fold in relation to cold acclimation. Of these, 67 spots were successfully identified by MALDI_TOF/TOF (Table 1).

---

1www.geneontology.org
2www.uniprot.org
FIGURE 2 | Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of *Medicago sativa* leaves (control of W5). (A,B) 2-DE gels showing identified spots of holoproteins and low-abundant proteins, respectively.
Table 1 | The list of 67 indentified spots by MALDI-TOF/TOF analysis.

| Spots no | Protein name | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI | Pep count | Protein score | Protein score CI % | Intensity matched |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| **Photosynthesis** | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | RuBisCO small subunit | gi| 16224234 | *Medicago sativa* | 12078/6.15 | 8 | 60 | 99.612 | 3.963 |
| 5 | RuBisCO large subunit | gi| 131991 | *M. sativa* | 53048.6/6.09 | 8 | 88 | 100 | 7.728 |
| 6 | RuBisCO small subunit | gi| 3914601 | *M. sativa* | 20466.4/8.96 | 5 | 292 | 100 | 23.873 |
| 8 | RuBisCO small subunit | gi| 16224234 | *M. sativa* | 12078/6.15 | 5 | 56 | 99.048 | 2.943 |
| 9 | RuBisCO large subunit | gi| 1223773 | *M. sativa* | 50684.6/6.22 | 9 | 234 | 100 | 25.61 |
| 17 | RuBisCO activase, partial | gi| 23320705 | *M. sativa* | 30170.2/5.63 | 9 | 172 | 100 | 12.782 |
| 18 | RuBisCO activase, partial | gi| 23320705 | *M. sativa* | 30170.2/5.63 | 10 | 371 | 100 | 30.501 |
| 22 | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase B, chloroplastic | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | RuBisCO activase, partial | gi| 23320705 | *M. sativa* | 30170.2/5.63 | 10 | 54 | 98.308 | 6.412 |
| 25 | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase 1, chloroplast precursor, putative | gi| 223536483 | *Ricinus communis* | 52191.7/5.35 | 16 | 275 | 100 | 22.017 |
| 33 | RuBisCO large subunit-binding protein subunit beta, chloroplastic | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small subunit, chloroplastic | gi| 3914601 | *M. sativa* | 20466.4/8.86 | 8 | 248 | 100 | 35.996 |
| 36 | Ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase large subunit | gi| 1223773 | *M. sativa* | 50684.6/6.22 | 3 | 76 | 99.989 | 14.783 |
| 39 | Ribulose-1,5-biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit, partial | gi| 313664305 | *M. sativa* | 22508.4/5.76 | 3 | 125 | 100 | 35.47 |
| 41 | Ribulose-1,5-biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit, partial | gi| 404243904 | *M. sativa* | 21709.9/5.78 | 4 | 173 | 100 | 42.741 |
| 42 | Chlorophyll A/B binding protein, putative | gi| 223526931 | *R. communis* | 26644.5/5.96 | 3 | 93 | 100 | 11.113 |
| 43 | Predicted: chlorophyll a/b binding protein 6, chloroplastic-like isoform X2 | gi| 502177055 | *Cicer arietinum* | 26490.6/6.96 | 6 | 270 | 100 | 17.055 |
| 64 | Ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase large subunit | gi| 1223773 | *M. sativa* | 50684.6/6.22 | 24 | 72 | 94.268 | 5.409 |

(Continued)
| Spots no | Protein name                                                                 | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI  | Pep count | Protein score | Protein score CI % | Intensity matched |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| L6      | Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase small subunit                          | gi| 16224234                  | M. sativa     | 12078/6.15 | 9          | 505             | 100               | 44.248          |
| L8      | Cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit chloroplastic                      | UCRIA_PEA                    | P. sativum    | 24683.4/8.63| 3          | 183             | 100               | 6.296           |
| L23     | RuBisCO activase, partial                                                      | gi| 23320706                  | M. sativa     | 30170.2/5.63| 17         | 391             | 100               | 23.23           |
| L43     | Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit, partial         | gi| 404243904                 | M. sativa     | 21709.9/5.78| 3          | 172             | 100               | 12.011          |
| L64     | Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, chloroplastic                             | gi| 131384                     | P. sativum    | 35099.9/6.25| 10         | 359             | 100               | 25.226          |
| L65     | Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, chloroplastic                             | gi| 131384                     | C. arietinum  | 35099.9/6.25| 10         | 358             | 100               | 20.313          |
| L67     | Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, chloroplastic-like                        | gi| 502153108                  | C. arietinum  | 35106.8/6.24| 8           | 281             | 100               | 18.204          |
| L68     | Predicted: oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, chloroplastic-like             | gi| 502153108                  | C. arietinum  | 35106.8/6.24| 8           | 378             | 100               | 29.231          |

**Energy metabolism**

| Spots no | Protein name                                                                 | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI  | Pep count | Protein score | Protein score CI % | Intensity matched |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 48      | Predicted: transketolase, chloroplastic-like                                 | gi| 502121526                  | C. arietinum  | 80412.5/6  | 8          | 184             | 100               | 16.349          |
| 49      | Predicted: transketolase, chloroplastic-like                                 | gi| 502121526                  | C. arietinum  | 80412.5/6  | 17         | 274             | 100               | 21.246          |
| 50      | Predicted: transketolase, chloroplastic-like                                 | gi| 502121526                  | C. arietinum  | 79905.7/6.51| 6          | 275             | 100               | 20.772          |
| 51      | Predicted: transketolase, chloroplastic-like                                 | gi| 502121526                  | C. arietinum  | 80412.5/6  | 17         | 257             | 100               | 22.668          |
| 53      | Predicted: V-type proton ATPase catalytic subunit A-like                      | gi| 502149512                  | C. arietinum  | 68929.9/5.25| 17         | 753             | 100               | 40.526          |
| L3      | Predicted: triosephosphate isomerase, chloroplastic-like                     | gi| 502111535                  | C. arietinum  | 33799.4/6.36| 13         | 528             | 100               | 29.197          |
| L4      | Triosephosphate isomerase                                                     | gi| 351721638                  | Glycine max   | 27441.3/5.87| 4           | 158             | 100               | 6.294           |
| L20     | Malate dehydrogenase precursor                                               | gi| 2827080                   | M. sativa     | 36003.1/8.8 | 9           | 152             | 100               | 4.615           |
| L24     | Predicted: phosphoribulokinase, chloroplastic-like                           | gi| 502162280                  | C. arietinum  | 45815.3/6.41| 17         | 331             | 100               | 27.518          |

(Continued)
| Spots no | Protein name | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI Pep count | Protein score CI % Intensity matched |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| L25      | Predicted: adenosine kinase 2-like | gi| 502121977 | C. arietinum | 37997.9/5.29 5 87 99.819 3.813 |
| L27      | Predicted: sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase, chloroplastic-like | gi| 502137914 | C. arietinum | 42165.5/ 6.35 14 81 99.997 3.225 |
| L41      | Predicted: aconitate hydratase 2, mitochondrial-like | gi| 502083283 | C. arietinum | 108010.7/7759 26 508 100 31.555 |
| L42      | Predicted: aconitate hydratase 2, mitochondrial-like | gi| 502183208 | C. arietinum | 108255.2/789 25 550 100 36.642 |

**Stress and redox**

| Spots no | Protein name | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI Pep count | Protein score CI % Intensity matched |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 27       | Monodehydroascorbate reductase | gi| 369726464 | M. sativa | 47243.5/6.3 16 260 100 13.456 |
| L11      | Glutathione peroxidase, partial | gi| 401716808 | M. sativa | 24886/9.24 9 304 100 13.66 |
| L12      | Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase A3 | MSRA3_ARATH | A. thaliana | 22815.8/5.34 5 283 100 40.34 |
| L13      | Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase A3 | MSRA3_ARATH | A. thaliana | 22825.8/5.64 7 289 100 40.56 |
| L14      | Thiorodoxin-like protein CDSP32, chloroplastic | CDSP_ARATH | A. thaliana | 33948.5/8.65 7 139 100 20.946 |
| L15      | Thiorodoxin-like protein CDSP32, chloroplastic | CDSP_ARATH | A. thaliana | 33948.5/8.65 8 158 100 26.501 |
| L21      | Predicted: probable aldo-keto reductase 2-like | gi| 502102350 | C. arietinum | 38423.4/5.817 7 200 100 5.647 |
| L32      | Monodehydroascorbate reductase | gi| 369726464 | M. sativa | 47243.5/6.3 19 344 100 18.945 |
| L48      | Predicted: 2-Cys peroxiredoxin BAS1-like, chloroplastic-like isoform X1 | gi| 502112098 | C. arietinum | 30698.1/6.12 10 282 100 29.729 |
| L49      | Predicted: 2-Cys peroxiredoxin BAS1-like, chloroplastic-like isoform X2 | gi| 502112102 | C. arietinum | 29144.1/6.12 10 281 100 45.543 |
| L66      | Predicted: 2-Cys peroxiredoxin BAS1-like, chloroplastic-like isoform X2 | gi| 502112102 | C. arietinum | 29144.1/6.12 7 277 100 36.298 |

**Protein folding and disassembling**

| Spots no | Protein name | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI Pep count | Protein score CI % Intensity matched |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 45       | Predicted: chaperone protein ClpC, chloroplastic-like isoform X1 | gi| 502139520 | C. arietinum | 102754.1/6.37 29 331 100 35.226 |
| 46       | Predicted: chaperone protein ClpC, chloroplastic-like isoform X2 | gi| 502133388 | C. arietinum | 102968.1/6.35 28 541 100 37.689 |

(Continued)
| Spots no | Protein name | Accession no. in NCBI/Uniprot | Plant species | PW (Da)/PI | Pep count | Protein score | Protein score CI % | Intensity matched |
|----------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 47       | Chaperone protein ClpC Precursor, chloroplastic | gi| 461753 | P. sativum | 102818.1/6.55 | 29 | 316 | 100 | 29.553 |
| L5       | GTPase obg | OBG_PSYCK | Psychrobacter cryohalolentis | 44308.6/4.86 | 15 | 71 | 95.705 | 4.081 |
| L44      | peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase CYP20-3 | gi| 334196198 | A. thaliana | 28403.2/8.97 | 3 | 88 | 99.831 | 3.552 |
| L46      | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A-2 | gi| 20138664 | M. sativa | 17501.7/5.41 | 7 | 135 | 100 | 8.896 |
| **Biosynthesis** | | | | | | | | |
| 26       | Glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase | gi| 345451030 | M. sativa | 50260.5/6.36 | 15 | 466 | 100 | 39.627 |
| L19      | Predicted: cinnamoyl-CoA reductase 1-like | gi| 502129455 | C. arietinum | 35160/5.49 | 10 | 358 | 100 | 22.308 |
| L29      | Glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase | gi| 345451030 | M. sativa | 50260.5/6.36 | 17 | 552 | 100 | 55.13 |
| L30      | Glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase | gi| 345451030 | M. sativa | 50260.5/6.36 | 11 | 191 | 100 | 10.027 |
| L31      | Predicted: 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase, chloroplastic-like | gi| 502155506 | C. arietinum | 52651.6/6.24 | 11 | 125 | 100 | 9.375 |
| **Amino acid metabolism** | | | | | | | | |
| 28       | S-adenosyl-L-methionine synthetase | gi| 139478060 | M. falcata | 43588/5.77 | 9 | 100 | 100 | 8.508 |
| L33      | S-adenosyl-L-methionine synthetase | gi| 139478060 | M. falcata | 43588/5.77 | 12 | 301 | 100 | 34.57 |
| L55      | 5-methyltetrahydropteroylglutamate-homocysteinemethyltransferase | METE_ARATH | A. thaliana | 84645.6/6.09 | 11 | 460 | 100 | 20.829 |
| L56      | 5-methyltetrahydropteroylglutamate-homocysteinemethyltransferase-like | gi| 525345100 | C. arietinum | 84599.7/6.01 | 20 | 713 | 100 | 26.846 |
| **Others** | | | | | | | | |
| 11       | Predicted: uncharacterized protein LOC101499502 | gi| 502140419 | C. arietinum | 36689.6/6.3 | 11 | 222 | 100 | 24.257 |
| 12       | Unnamed protein product | gi| 297746499 | Vitis vinifera | 24323.4/7.63 | 5 | 68 | 95.924 | 4.256 |
| L28      | Unnamed protein product | gi| 257737972 | M. sativa | 38746.3/5.76 | 8 | 322 | 100 | 9.297 |
Clustering analysis (Figure 3) was used to visually describe changes of 67 spots in relative abundance during chilling using PermutMatrix software v1.9.3. Compared with W5, more spots were identified in ZD as responding to low temperature: the relative abundance of 49 spots changed in ZD, whereas 24 spots changed in W5. Of these, 15 spots changed in both cultivars in response to low temperature. Compared with controls, chilling (12 h) caused a significant up-accumulation of 13 spots in ZD and six spots in W5, and 34 spots were down-accumulated in ZD (spots 17 and 28 unchanged) and 14 spots in W5 (spots 17, 28, L55 and L56 unchanged). Compared with chilling for 12 h, chilling for 7 days caused an up-accumulation of seven spots in ZD (spots 4, 18, 26, 33, L25, L28, and L46) and five spots (spots 4, 18, 26, L46 and L68), 13 spots (spots 17, 28, L3~L4, L12~L15, L19, L29, L33, and L55~L56) in ZD and eight spots (spots 48~51, L43 and L48~L49) in W5 were down-regulated. In additional, nine unchanged spots were found in W5 with a greater relative abundance than in ZD. Chilling affected the relative abundance and variety of proteins. The number of changed proteins were more at 4°C for 12 h than for 7 days.

Protein functional analysis has been carried out according to Gene Ontology database and the Uniprot database. Based on their functional features, the 67 differentially expressed proteins were classified into five categories, as follows: photosynthesis, protein metabolism, energy metabolism, stress and redox, and other functions (Figure 4 and Table 1). Among these protein spots, 11 changed in a similar manner in both ZD and W5 under cold stress. Compared with control, the relative abundance of uncharacterized protein LOC1014999502 (spot 11), unnamed protein product (spot 12), and RuBisCO activase (spots 22, 23, and 25) declined at 12 h and that of RuBisCO activase (spots 17 and 28) declined at 7 days, the relative abundance of RuBisCO small subunit (spot 4), RuBisCO activase (spot 18) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A-2 (eIF-5A, spot L46) continuously increased at 12 h and 7 days, and glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase (spot 26) was down-regulated at 12 h and up-regulated at 7 days. The expression patterns of RuBisCO large subunit-binding protein subunit beta (spot 33), 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteinemethyltransferase (spots L55 and L56), and oxygen-evolving enhancer protein (spot L68) were altered in different ways in ZD and W5 (Figure 3). During chilling, the relative abundance of the remaining 32 spots changed in ZD and remained constant in W5. Of these, RuBisCO large subunit (spots 5 and 9), RuBisCO small subunit (spots 6 and 8) and oxygen-evolving enhancer protein (spots L64~L66) were up-regulated at 12 h, and triosephosphate isomerase (spots L3 and L4), peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase (spots L12 and L13), thioredoxin-like protein (spots L14 and L15), cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (spot L19) and S-adenosyl-L-methionine synthetase (spot L33) were continuously down-regulated at 12 h and 7 days. Monodehydroascorbate reductase (spots 27 and L32), GTPase obg (spot L5), RuBisCO small subunit (spot L6), cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit (spot L8), glutathione peroxidase (spot L11), malate dehydrogenase precursor (spot L20), aldo-keto reductase (spot L21), RuBisCO activase (spot L23), phosphoribulokinase (spot L24), sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase (spot L27), glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase (spot L30), 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase (spot L31) and aconitate hydratase 2 (spots L41 and L42) maintained the same lower relative abundance at 12 h and 7 days compared with the control. The relative abundance of adenosine kinase 2 (spot L25) and unnamed protein product (spot L28) decreased at 12 h and increased at 7 days. Two spots were expressed exclusively in ZD: the relative
abundance of glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase (spot L29) decreased and that of oxygen-evolving enhancer protein (spot L67) increased. In W5, only nine proteins spots were differentially expressed and remained unchanged in ZD. RuBisCO large subunit (spot L43) and peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase (PPlase, spot L44) were up-regulated, transketolase (spots 48~51) and 2-Cys peroxiredoxin (spots L48 and 49) were down-regulated at 12 h and 7 days, and RuBisCO large subunit (spot 64) was only down-regulated at 12 h. In additionally, RuBisCO small and large subunit (spots 34, 36, 39, and 41), chlorophyll A/B binding protein (spots 42 and 43) and chaperone protein ClpC (spots 45~47) were only highly expressed in W5 under chilling stress.

Cold acclimation in plant is a complex progress and involved in various proteins. In our study, photosynthesis related proteins were the largest category of differentially expressed in both ZD and W5. A series of changes between RuBisCO activase (spots 17, 18, 22, 23, 25, and L23) and RuBisCO large and small subunits (spots 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 64, L6, and L43) were found in ZD and W5 in response to low temperature. Compared to freezing-sensitive alfalfa, a greater number of proteins were changed in freezing-tolerant alfalfa under chilling stress. In ZD, protein metabolism, energy metabolism and stress and redox related proteins were influenced, such as S-adenosyl-l-methionine synthetase (spot L33), GTPase obg (spot L5), glutathione peroxidase (spot L11), malate dehydrogenase precursor (spot L20), and so on. Autologous metabolism and biosynthesis were slowed to respond low temperature in ZD. Increased PPlase and eIF-5A is consistent with an increased capability for protein folding and protein biosynthesis, suggesting increased protection against chilling stress in W5.

**DISCUSSION**

**THE REMOVAL OF “OVERABUNDANT” PROTEINS**

RuBisCO exists in most green plants and comprises 30~60% of the total protein. RuBisCO is an important photosynthesis enzyme that fixes CO2 in the Calvin cycle (Ellis, 1979; Voet and Voet, 1995; Whitney and Andrews, 2001; Parry et al., 2003; Giavalisco et al., 2005). As an “overabundant” protein, RuBisCO influences the detection of some low-abundance proteins. PEG, at a proper concentration, effectively removes most large subunits of RuBisCO and improves the dynamic resolution of low-abundance proteins in different plant species. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, 16% PEG was applied to extract low-abundance proteins using a fractionation method; 80% more spots were revealed compared to a holoprotein sample extracted using a TCA/acetone method (Xi et al., 2006). Lee et al. (2007) suggested that 15% PEG could be used for the effective extraction of low-abundance protein to analyze protein changes in rice leaves under cold stress. In our study, 17.5% PEG significantly reduced the masking effect of RuBisCO, and new proteins were detected in both ZD and W5. Thirty-five out of 67 spots were low-abundance proteins in response to chilling stress (Table 1). These data could help us to better understand cold adaptation mechanisms in alfalfa.

**PROTEINS CHANGES IN PROTEOME**

**Differentially expressed photosynthetic proteins**

Proteomic analysis revealed that different cold acclimation mechanisms exist in ZD and W5. In some cases, the same protein exhibited differential accumulation patterns in alfalfa cultivars with different tolerance to cold stress. In our study, the largest category of proteins that responded to chilling in both ZD and W5 is photosynthesis-related proteins. It has been reported the interaction between RuBisCO activase and RuBisCO large and small subunits (Portis, 1990; Spreitzer and Salvucci, 2002). When *Rdr6B1BI* transgenic strawberries are exposed to low temperature, RuBisCO activase reactivates RuBisCO to fix any remaining CO2 and protect the dissipation of energy from the photo respiratory oxygenase reaction (Haupt-Herting et al., 2001; Gua et al., 2013). In alfalfa, RuBisCO activase (spot 18) increased in both ZD and W5; the expression of this protein was higher in ZD than that in W5 at 4°C for 7 days. A greater number of up-regulation of spots corresponding to the large and small subunits of RuBisCO (spots 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9) were found in ZD at 12 h, compared to W5 (spots 4 and L43). The abundance of the RuBisCO large subunit-binding protein subunit B (spot 33) decreased for the correct assembly of the RuBisCO holoenzyme in W5; a similar result was observed in pea (Barracough and Ellis, 1980; Grimaud et al., 2013). Chilling stress also affected the relative abundance of RuBisCO activase (spots 17, 22, 23, 25, and L23). The relative abundance of the RuBisCO small subunit (spot L6) decreased in ZD, and RuBisCO large subunit (spot 64) decreased in W5. The disassembly of RuBisCO may reduce the photosynthetic rate under cold stress (Yan et al., 2006; An et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). The series of changes between RuBisCO activase and RuBisCO large and small subunits could make the active site more accessible for carbamylation, thereby enhancing CO2 fixation to resist cold stress (Portis et al., 2008). The relative abundance of the cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit (spot L8) declined in ZD as in *Thellungiella* rosette and in a cold-tolerant Champagne cultivar of pea, which affected electron transport from PSI to PSII during cold acclimation (Gao et al., 2009; Grimaud et al., 2013); whereas its expression remained unchanged in W5. Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1 (spots L64, L65, L67, and L68) increased in ZD, which stabilizes
the tetranuclear manganese (Mn) center that is the location of photoinhibition in PSII (Savikas et al., 2006). In addition to L68, abundance of the other three oxygen-tetranuclear Mn center proteins (L64, L65, and L67) was unchanged in W5. As in a freeze-tolerant genotype of Festuca pratensis, oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1 (L68) in W5 was degraded at 12 h and accumulated at 7 days. Kosmala et al. (2009) postulated that this change may contribute to additional metabolic disturbances rather than lower susceptibility to photoinhibition.

Compared to W5, more proteins were mobilized in ZD during adaptation to low-temperature stress. The relative abundance of photosynthesis-related proteins in ZD was altered in a complex manner to relieve the influence of chilling stress on photosynthesis. However, the abundance of these proteins remained relatively stable in W5. The RuBisCO small subunit (spot 34) and large subunit (spots 36, 39, and 41) were only highly expressed in W5. The greater relative abundance of chlorophyll A/B binding protein (spots 42 and 43), a component of the light-harvesting subunit (spots 36, 39, and 41) were only highly expressed in W5. The RuBisCO small subunit (spot 34) and large subunit (spots 36, 39, and 41) were only highly expressed in W5. The relative abundance of these proteins remained relatively stable in W5. The RuBisCO small subunit (spot 34) and large subunit (spots 36, 39, and 41) were only highly expressed in W5.

**Differentially expressed metabolism proteins**

The category of protein metabolism involved proteins related folding and disassembling, biosynthesis and amino acid metabolism. Chilling induced eIF-5A (spot L 46) accumulation in both ZD and W5 as in wheat and Thellungiella rosette (Gao et al., 2009; Vitamivi et al., 2012; Kosová et al., 2013). EIF-5A is mainly involved in RNA metabolism, protein translation and the regulation of the cell cycle (Schatz et al., 1998; Thompson, et al., 2004; Jao and Chen, 2006; Feng et al., 2007). Kosová et al. (2013) indicated that these changes were associated with protein expression and protein regulation and reflect more profound changes at the regulatory level in cold-treated plants. Cold affected the S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthetic pathway in both ZD and W5. In this pathway, methionine production is catalyzed by methionine synthase with assistance of the coenzyme 5-methyltetrahydrofolate/trimethylamine-homocysteine methyltransferase (spots L55 and L56). S-adenosyl-l-methionine synthetase (spots 28 and L33) then transfers adenosine to catalyze SAM. Proteomic studies have demonstrated that SAM plays an important role in cold stress resistance (Cui et al., 2005; Kosová et al., 2013). The observed reduction in the relative abundance of spots L55 and L56 began at 12 h in ZD and at 7 days in W5. These findings suggest that cold stress affects the activity of 5-methyltetrahydrofolate/trimethylamine-homocysteine methyltransferase, as reported in rice seedlings (Hashimoto and Komatsu, 2007). S-adenosyl-l-methionine synthetase was consumed to produce SAM, preventing cold injury in alfalfa, although the reduction in the coenzyme led to an insufficient supply of substrate. Chilling had a clear influence on amino acid metabolism in alfalfa, leading to a reduction in amino acid synthesis for energy conservation during low temperature adaptation.

GTase obg (spot L5) is a conserved GTase binding protein mainly involved in cell proliferation, cell development, signal transduction, and protein translation (Bourne et al., 1990; Kaziro et al., 1991). Through an analysis of obg mutants in Arabidopsis and rice, Bang et al. (2012) found that plastid rRNA processing is defective, indicating that obg functions primarily in plastid ribosome biogenesis during chloroplast development. In ZD, it was speculated that the decreased relative abundance of GTase obg during chilling might impede the synthesis of a series of related proteins. Biosynthesis-related enzymes were also significantly influenced by cold stress. Glutamate 1-semialdehyde aminotransferase (spots 26, L29, and L30) catalyzes the conversion of glutamate-1-semialdehyde to aminolevulinic acid, which is a key step in the assembly of chlorophyll, coenzyme B12, heme, and other tetrapyrrolic proteins (Jordan and Sheinin, 1972; Gough et al., 2001). Cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR, spot L19) catalyzes the first step in monolignol biosynthesis and plays a key role in synthesis of lignin (Zhou et al., 2010). The protein 1-deoxy-d-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase (spot L31) is a key rate-limiting enzyme and the regulatory site of terpenoid synthesis (Takahashi et al., 1998). The relative abundance of these spots decreased in ZD, and with the exception of spot 26, the expression of these spots remained unchanged in W5. Chilling stress was clearly more severe in ZD than in W5, as three biosynthetic pathways were disrupted in ZD.

In W5, the expression of PPlase (spot L44) increased under cold stress. This is similar to a finding in Populus cathayana males (Zhang et al., 2012), indicating that these proteins are involved in protein folding to overcome stress (Budiman et al., 2011). Additionally, high expression of the chaperone protein ClpC (spots 45, 46, and 47) was only observed in W5, which regulated protein metabolism and kept homeostasis. As a protein chaperone, ClpC is mainly involved in regulating the structure and function of many polypeptides and hydrolyzes irreversibly damaged proteins to prevent the accumulation of potentially cytotoxic polypeptides (Parsell and Lindquist, 1993).

**Differentially expressed energy metabolism proteins**

The third functional category of proteins that respond to low temperatures in alfalfa involve energy metabolism such as the Calvin cycle, Kreb’s cycle, and glycolysis pathways. Chilling had a large effect on carbon metabolism (phosphoribulokinase, spot L24, and sedoheptulose-1, 7-bisphosphatase, spot L27), ATP production (malate dehydrogenase precursor, spot L20, and aconitate hydratase 2, spots L41 and L42) and glycolysis (triosephosphate isomerase, spots L3 and L4) in ZD. Transketolase (spots 48~51), which is involved in the Calvin cycle were down-regulated in W5. Unlike cold-tolerant pea, transketolase was more highly expressed in W5 compared to ZD, whereas this protein was constitutively expressed in ZD under cold stress (Grimaud et al., 2013). In addition, a reduction in the abundance of adenosine kinase 2 (spot L25) was shown to disturb the regulation of energy metabolism and the balance of ATP, ADP, and AMP at the beginning of chilling in ZD (Veuhey and Stucki, 1987; Zeleznikar et al., 1995). The increase in adenosine kinase 2 expression allowed for recovered activity and the maintenance of the energy balance in the cell at 7 days. We deduced that a decrease in the abundance of several enzymes leads to slowed energy metabolism as energy is stored for maintaining body balance in alfalfa.
Differentially expressed stress and redox proteins

In plants, cellular redox homeostasis can be disturbed by cold stress. Such disturbances result in the production of ROS, which stimulate oxidative damage in the organism. Therefore, certain ROS-scavenging enzymes play an important role in the scavenging of ROS and maintaining iron balance. Glutathione peroxidase (spot L11; Liu et al., 2014), thioredoxin-like protein (spots L14 and L15; Zhang et al., 2012; Kosová et al., 2013), probable aldo-keto reductase 2 (spot L21; Gao et al., 2009; Koehler et al., 2012) and 2-Cys peroxiredoxin (spots L48, L49, and L66; Yan et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2009) were up-regulated under cold stress. Their functions are mainly involved in detoxication, protection from oxidative damage, preventing the membrane lipid from peroxidation and enhancing tolerance to ROS (Bartels, 2001; Kim et al., 2011). Monodehydroascorbate reductase (spot 27 and L32) is an important enzyme involved in the regeneration of ascorbic acid for scavenging hydroxyl peroxide (Arrigoni et al., 1981), and peptide methionine hydrogen reductase A3 (spots L12 and L13) may repair oxidatively damaged proteins in vivo (Brot et al., 1982a,b). To resist cold stress, ZD consumes abundant ROS-scavenging enzymes to maintain cellular redox homeostasis and protect the body. The relative abundance of 2-Cys peroxiredoxin (spot L66) increased; this protein catalyzes the reduction of various hydroperoxide to the corresponding alcohol or water and detoxifies alkyl hydroperoxides and peroxinitrite (Dieltz et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2011). The relative abundance of 2-Cys peroxiredoxin (spots L48 and L49) declined in W5, whereas other ROS-scavenging enzymes were not significantly affected by low temperature. It may be inferred that ZD is more sensitive to chilling stress than W5 in spite of its freeze-tolerant genotype. When chilling (4°C) occurs over a short period (such as 12 h), ZD consumes enzymes to resist stress. The enzyme expression profile then changed to maintain homeostasis, as indicated by a low relative abundance observed at 7 days. The observed response to chilling in ZD was more drastic and complex than in W5.

As a freezing-tolerant genotype, ZD has stronger cold tolerance than the freezing-sensitive cultivar W5. However, ZD is more sensitive to chilling than W5, and a greater number of changes were observed in proteins involved in photosynthesis, energy metabolism, stress and redox, biosynthesis metabolism and amino acid metabolism in ZD under chilling stress. On one hand, more enzymes were consumed to produce proteins for regulating metabolism and maintaining homeostasis in ZD. On the other hand, low temperature influenced enzymatic activity and altered the metabolic and synthesis pathways. In W5, the expression of many proteins remained unchanged. However, protein metabolism-related proteins were more active in W5 compared to ZD. In conclusion, ZD mobilizes a large number of proteins to adapt low temperature, and autoligot metabolism and biosynthesis are slowed to reduce consumption for homeostasis. W5 enhances its capability for protein folding and protein biosynthesis to overcome chilling stress. The perception of low temperature is more sensitive in freezing-tolerant alfalfa than in freezing-sensitive alfalfa. Proteomics provides new insight into cold acclimation mechanisms in alfalfa.
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