Epithelial-Myoepithelial Carcinoma of the Palate: Report of a Case and Review of the Literatures

Nazanin Mahdavi 1, Maedeh Ghorbanpour 2

1. Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2. Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, Faculty of Dentistry, Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT

Epithelial-myoeoepithelial carcinoma (EMC) is considered as a rare malignant salivary gland neoplasm with good prognosis, low recurrence rate and rare metastasis. Here we present a case of epithelial-myoeoepithelial carcinoma in a 42-year-old female with a swelling of 3-year duration in her palate. Histopathologic evaluation of the lesion demonstrated a well-circumscribed, biphasic salivary gland tumor composed of double-layered ductal/glandular structures, composed of small luminal eosinophilic cells and abluminal larger clear myoepithelial cells, and luminal cells were positive for pan-cytokeratin, while the abluminal cells exhibited strong immunoreactivity for p63. Ki-67 proliferative index was 1% in abluminal cells. In this article, histopathologic and immunohistochemical features of EMC and its mimics are discussed and the previously reported cases of EMC in the literature are summarized.
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Introduction

Epithelial-myoeoepithelial carcinoma (EMC) is considered as a rare low-intermediate grade malignant salivary gland neoplasm. This entity accounts for 1% of all salivary gland tumors (1). Most cases occur in the parotid gland, however, other sites including the minor salivary glands (specially the palate), maxillary sinus, trachea, larynx, and hypopharynx are also involved (1). This tumor has a slight female predilection and the mean age of patients at diagnosis is 60 years (2).

EMC is a low-grade malignancy (3) with good prognosis, low recurrence rate and rare metastasis (4).

This paper presents an Epithelial-myoeoepithelial carcinoma of the palate which the diagnosis was confirmed by immunohistochemistry.

Case Report

A 42-year-old female referred to the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery with chief complaint of swelling in her palate. The swelling was present from 3 years ago with no change in size and color of the mucosa.

The patient was non-smoker, non-drinker, and she had no history of any systemic disease or any drug history.

On clinical examination, a sessile nodule measuring 2x2 cm was noted on left side of hard palate, area of first and second molar tooth. The overlying mucosa was intact and normal in color. The lesion was soft in consistency, and in palpation it was nontender. She stated no history of pain, paresthesia, or dysphagia. As well, there was no evidence of any lymphadenopathy on palpation. Incisional biopsy was performed for the lesion. Grossly, the lesion revealed a spherical elastic tissue with nodular surface projections.

Microscopic examination of the specimen demonstrated a well-circumscribed neoplasm with salivary gland origin. The tumor had invaded the capsule by forming large sheets as well as small islands. The neoplasm was composed of islands, nests, and sheets of clear and eosinophilic cells that made scattered small duct-like structures lined by two layers of cells: the inner layer was composed of small cuboidal cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm, and the outer layer demonstrated large cells with clear cytoplasm. Tumor cells also revealed areas with oncocytic metaplasia in some parts and abundant deposition of hyalinized material in other areas (Figures 1A, B).

A differential diagnosis including pleomorphic adenoma (PA), clear cell type of mucoepidermoid...
carcinoma (MEC) and epithelial myoepithelial carcinoma was suggested according to the histological findings. For the definitive diagnosis immunohistochemical (IHC) studies for ki-67, pan-cytokeratin and p63 was performed. The abluminal cells revealed strong immunoreactivity for p63 (Figure 2A), and luminal cells were positive for pan-cytokeratin (Figure 2B). In addition, Ki-67 proliferative activity was positive in 1% of abluminal cells.

Therefore, a diagnosis of epithelial-myoeptielial carcinoma was confirmed based on the biphasic nature of the tumor.

Table 1. Previously reported cases of epithelial-myoeptielial carcinoma arising in the palate

| Immunohistochemistry | Positivity in Myoepithelial cells | Positivity in Ductal cells | Histopathologic Features | Clinical Presentation | Sex | Age (years) | year | Reference |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----|-------------|------|-----------|
| **S-100**            |                                  |                           | Proliferation of double-layered duct-like structures with 2 distinctive cell types. The inner layer of eosinophilic epithelial cells, and outer layer of clear cells | Swelling since 20 years ago | M   | 72          | 1996 | Kusama et al (7) |
| **α-SMA**            |                                  |                           |                         |                      |     |             |      |           |
| **GFAP**             | **CK**                           |                           | Multiple tubular or solid nests separated by a basement membrane and consisted of variable proportions of 2 cell types, cuboid epithelial and clear myoepithelial cells | -                    | F   | 72          | 2000 | Li et al (8)   |

Fig. 1. A. A well-circumscribed tumor composed of a mixture of eosinophilic and clear epithelial cells (H & E, 40X)

Fig. 1. B. Duct-like structures lined by one layer of epithelial cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm surrounded by a layer of clear myoepithelial cells within a hyalinized stroma (H & E, 400X).

Fig. 2. A. Positive nuclear immunohistochemical expression of p63 in the abluminal cells (IHC, 400X).

Fig. 2. B. Immunohistochemical expression of CK7 reveals cytoplasmic expression in the luminal cells (IHC, 400X).


| Immunohistochemistry | Histopathologic Features | Clinical Presentation | Sex | Age (years) | year | Reference |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------|------|-----------|
| **S-100**            | Round to oval cells with moderate amount of pale pink to clear cytoplasm and round to oval eccentrically placed mildly pleomorphic vesicular nuclei with small prominent nucleoli, occasional mitotic figure, some nodules showed central necrosis | Nodular swelling with surface ulceration on hard palate, no history of pain, no evidence of cervical lymphadenopathy | F | 36 | 2008 | Pai et al (9) |
| **P63**              | CK AE-1/AE-3 EMA CK5/6 | 2 cell types, an inner layer of cuboidal eosinophilic duct-like cells & an outer layer of polygonal myoepithelial cells with hyalized stroma | M | 83 | 2009 | Angiero et al (10) |
| **S100**             | CK AE-1/AE-3 CK7 EMA CK5/6 | Double-layered duct-like structures with an inner layer of small cuboidal to oval epithelial cells with a central hyperchromatic nucleus and outer layer of polygonal with more abundant, clear, vacuolated and well-defined cytoplasm myoepithelial cells | F | 58 | 2009 | Angiero et al (10) |
| **α-SMA**            | CK7 CK14 | A growing mass with regular surface & erythematous from 1 year ago on soft palate, difficulty in breath | F | 76 | 2010 | Muniz Alves et al (11) |
| **Calponin**         | CK7 | A mass on soft palate, speech problems, dysphagia from 2 months ago | F | 66 | 2015 | Dimitrijevic et al (6) |
| **p63**              | Pan-CK | A sessile nodule on left side of hard palate with no history of pain, paresis, or dysphagia | F | 42 | 2019 | The present case |

M: male, F: female, Ca-Ex-PA: carcinoma-ex-pleomorphic adenoma, CK: cytokeratins, α-SMA: α-smooth muscle actin, EMA: epithelial membrane antigen, GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic

Table 2. Differential immunohistochemistry in epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma and the mimics
Discussion

Epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma, a rare malignant disease of salivary gland was first described by Donath et al. in 1972 (5). This tumor mostly develops in parotid gland. Throughout the minor salivary glands, the palate is the most common site involved by the tumor (1). The mean age of patients at diagnosis is 60 years, with a slight female predilection (2). The clinical presentation of this tumor in the parotid gland is usually an asymptomatic, slow-growth mass which may be present from several years ago, however in minor salivary glands it may exist as an ulcerated mass (6).

Histologically, the tumor consists of varying proportion of two cell types around the duct-like structures. The inner epithelial layer cells were cuboidal to columnar with eosinophilic cytoplasm and the outer layer were myoepithelial cells with clear cytoplasm (1). Table 1 shows the clinicopathologic features and expression of different IHC biomarkers of previously reported cases of EMC in the English-language literature (2,6-12). The biphasic pattern aforementioned can be seen in some other salivary gland tumors such as benign pleomorphic adenoma, and adenoid cystic carcinoma (AdCC), but these foci are not distributed throughout the tumor (13).

The architectural pattern and relative proportion of the two cell types varies from case to case and within the same lesion (9). As well, the morphologic features of the cells in EMC overlaps with other more common benign or malignant salivary gland tumors. Therefore, this tumor may pose a diagnostic dilemma (14).

The most common tumor of salivary gland is pleomorphic adenoma (15). On microscopic examination, this tumor is composed of epithelial and myoepithelial cells, within variable stroma that may comprise of myxoid, fibrous, chondroid, mucinous, or even osseous tissue. The biphasic pattern of epithelial and myoepithelial cells in this tumor resembles EMC. In epithelial-myoepithelial carcinoma the cellular periphery of the myoepithelial portion is sharp, but in PA it gradually blends into the surrounding stroma named as "melting pattern". In addition, EMC lacks any mucinous or myxochondroid stroma (15).

AdCC is a malignant tumor composed of ductal epithelial and myoepithelial-like cells, resembling EMC in some areas. In AdCC three growth pattern (cribriform, tubular, and solid) can be observed. In addition, the neoplastic cells are basaloid and the myoepithelial cells are less frequently seen than EMC (2).

When the clear cells predominate with a solid growth pattern, both primary and metastatic tumors with clear cells like hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma (HCCC), clear cell variants of mucoepidermoid carcinoma, acinic cell carcinoma (ACC), and, metastatic renal cell carcinoma (MRCC) are encountered in the differential diagnosis (9).

Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma lacks a biphasic growth pattern and, unlike EMC, HCCC has prominent areas of monomorphic clear cells separated by hyalinized stroma (9). In the incisal biopsies, when sheets of a mixture of clear cells and eosinophilic epithelioid cells are the predominant feature, mucoepidermoid carcinoma is highly considered as the differential diagnosis (probably clear cell type). If EMC is misdiagnosed as MEC, then the pathologist will grade it according to MEC criteria. And Since in EMC the tumor cells are arranged in sheets and exhibit no or little cyst formation and perineural invasion is a common feature in EMC, despite being a low to intermediate grade tumor, EMC would be misdiagnosed as moderate to high grade MEC (16). As well, clear cell variant of MEC has scattered characteristic cells, such as cells with intracytoplasmic mucin, and epidermoid cells (9). In addition, identification of PAS- positive granules in ACC helps to differentiate it from EMC. MRCC lacks biphasic growth pattern and it has more nuclear pleomorphism and atypia (17). As well, the aforementioned tumors lack a myoepithelial cell component (9).

Typically, diagnosis of this tumor is based on conventional hematoxylin-eosin staining and confirmed by immunohistochemistry (4).
Immunohistochemistry supports the identification of the epithelial and myoepithelial phenotypes (18). On immunohistochemical staining, the epithelial cells reveal expression of epithelial markers, including cytokeratins, epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) (4,9), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) (9), and CAM 5.2 (13). By contrast, the myoepithelial cells are negative for epithelial markers and demonstrate immunexpression of myoepithelial cell markers such as p63, calponin, h-caldesmon, smooth muscle actin, muscle-specific actin, smooth muscle myosin, S100, and vimentin (18,19).

In the present study p63 was applied to highlight abluminal myoepithelial cells as it was suggested as the best myoepithelial marker in these tumor in a series of 61 cases (20). CK7 is considered as a marker of ductal luminal cells which is consistent with epithelial phenotype (21).

IHC findings confirm that the clear abluminal cells are myoepithelial cells and the luminal cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm are originated from duct cells. Some authors suggest that ductal cells are the original cells of the tumor. This is supported by the presence of multiple nodules of hyperplastic intercalated ducts in EMC of parotid (22). In the other hand, Cho et al. reported that the expression of Ki-67 in EMC is restricted to myoepithelial cells. According to this finding, they suggested a main role for myoepithelial cell in the development of EMC (23). Table 2 demonstrates the use of immunochemistry in differential diagnosis of epithelial-myoeipithelial carcinoma (2, 6, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19, 20, 24, 25).

EMC is a low grade malignancy which usually demonstrates low degree of cellular atypia and mitotic activity (9). Until now, there are no definite treatment protocols for these tumors, but surgery is the treatment of choice (9). Surgical excision of the tumor with clear margins provides superior outcomes in terms of recurrence rates and survival (25). In selected cases, the surgery has been followed by adjuvant radiotherapy to avoid local recurrence (18). The efficacy of chemotherapy in the treatment is still unclear (4).

**Conclusion**

EMC is a low grade malignant salivary gland tumor which demonstrates biphasic pattern in histopathologic view. This feature could be seen in different salivary gland tumors. Therefore, IHC staining for epithelial and myoepithelial cells can confirm the diagnosis and this will aid in determining the best treatment protocol.
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