Transcription of Angiogenin and Ribonuclease 4 Is Regulated by RNA Polymerase III Elements and a CCCTC Binding Factor (CTCF)-dependent Intragenic Chromatin Loop*
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Background: Angiogenin and ribonuclease 4 share genetic regions with promoter activities, and both have growth and survival activity.

Results: RNA polymerase III elements and CTCF-dependent intragenic chromatin loop regulate the transcription.

Conclusion: Multiple layers of transcription regulation of this gene locus encode two functionally similar but distinctive proteins.

Significance: Elucidating how angiogenin and ribonuclease 4 are differentially transcribed help understand their biological activities.

Angiogenin (ANG) and ribonuclease 4 (RNASE4), two members of the secreted and vertebrate-specific ribonuclease superfamily, play important roles in cancers and neurodegenerative diseases. The ANG and RNASE4 genes share genetic regions with promoter activities, but the structure and regulation of these putative promoters are unknown. We have characterized the promoter regions, defined the transcription start site, and identified a mechanism of transcription regulation that involves both RNA polymerase III (Pol III) elements and CCCTC binding factor (CTCF) sites. We found that two Pol III elements within the promoter region influence ANG and RNASE4 expression in a position- and orientation-dependent manner. We also provide evidence for the presence of an intragenic chromatin loop between the two CTCF binding sites located in two introns flanking the ANG coding exon. We found that formation of this intragenic loop preferentially enhances ANG transcription. These results suggest a multilayer transcriptional regulation of ANG and RNASE4 gene locus. These data also add more direct evidence to the notion that Pol III elements are able to directly influence Pol II gene transcription. Furthermore, our data indicate that a CTCF-dependent chromatin loop is able to differentially regulate transcription of genes that share the same promoters.

ANG,3 the fifth member of the secreted and vertebrate-specific ribonuclease superfamily (1), is known to regulate angiogenesis and neurogenesis during development (2). It also plays important roles in a number of pathological conditions including cancers and neurodegenerative diseases by modulating cell growth and survival properties (3). ANG expression is up-regulated in various types of human cancers (4) where it has been shown to promote cancer progression (5) by stimulating both tumor angiogenesis (6) and cancer cell growth (7). Thus, ANG inhibitors are perceived to have the benefit of combining anti-angiogenesis therapy and chemotherapy as they will inhibit both cancer cell proliferation and angiogenesis (8).

In contrast to being up-regulated in cancers, ANG is down-regulated in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (9), Parkinson disease (10), and Alzheimer disease (11). More importantly, loss-of-function mutations have been found in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Parkinson disease (12–14), suggesting that ANG plays a role in neuron survival, and its deficiency is a risk factor of neurodegenerative diseases (3). ANG has recently been shown to mediate the production of tRNA-derived stress-induced RNA (tiRNA) (15–18), which suppress global protein translation (17). However, internal ribosome entry sequence (IRES)-mediated translation with weak eIF4G binding (19), a mechanism often used by anti-apoptosis and pro-survival genes, is not inhibited by tiRNA (17). Therefore, tiRNA reprograms protein translation in response to stress, thereby promoting cell survival (20). ANG-mediated tiRNA production is an important stress-response mechanism used by cells when they are subjected to adverse environments (21).

RNASE4, the fourth member of the superfamily, was originally co-isolated with ANG from tumor-conditioned medium (22). It has recently been shown that RNASE4 also possesses angiogenic, neurogenic, and neuroprotective activity (23). Moreover, a single nucleotide polymorphism has been shown...
to be associated in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients (23), and supplementary therapy with recombinant RNASE4 protein is beneficial to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis model mice (23) as is ANG (24).

These early results underscore the importance of understanding the regulatory mechanisms of ANG and RNASE4 transcription so that their expression and/or activity can be potentially manipulated for therapeutic applications in both cancers and neurodegenerative diseases. Mouse Ang1 and Rnase4 genes have been reported to contain two non-coding exons followed by two distinct exons encoding Ang1 and Rnase4, respectively (25). The two non-coding exons are preceded by two promoters that control liver-specific and tissue-specific expression. As a consequence of this unique gene structure, RNASE4 and ANG are often co-expressed (26). Human ANG and RNASE4 locus has a similar arrangement to the mouse counterpart (23). Transcripts of both ANG and RNASE4, having the same 5'-UTR that contains either exon I or exon II, but not both, have been identified (23, 27). These data suggest that human ANG and RNASE4 share the same genetic regions with promoter activities and are co-regulated (23, 25). In an attempt to understand the molecular mechanism by which ANG and RNASE4 transcription is regulated, we used bioinformatics analyses of the data sets released from the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) project to discover functional elements in the ANG and RNASE4 locus. These in silico-discovered elements were then verified and defined experimentally by means of luciferase reporter, RNAi knockdown, and chromatin conformation capture (3-C) assays. This combined bioinformatics and experimental approach revealed a unique mechanism of transcriptional regulation at the ANG and RNASE4 locus. Our data indicate that the transcriptional activity of the ANG and RNASE4 promoter is influenced by RNA polymerase III (Pol III) elements and could be differentially regulated by an intragenic CCCTC binding factor (CTCF)-dependent chromatin loop.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Data Sets and in Silico Analyses**—Human genome sequence (human species genomic assembly version, GRCh37/hg19) was downloaded from UCSC Genome Bioinformatics. The chromatin states were characterized by ChromHMM software v1.06 and annotated on UCSC human genome track. Transcription start site (TSS) data were collected from different available resources by extracting full-length cDNA sequences or deep CAGE tag data (DBTSS, FANTOM3, FANTOM4) and analyzed by Genomatix software suite (TFs) were extracted from ENCODE data sets using Genomatix software suite. Function annotations of the putative TF were carried out with Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) software.

**Promoter Constructs**—A 2-kb DNA fragment of human chromosome 14 from position 21,150,940 to 21,152,939 was amplified by PCR from LNCaP genomic DNA and cloned into the BglII and HindIII site of pGL3-B. The primer sequences were: forward, 5'-GAAGATCTGGAAGCCGAGATTGG-GAGGG-3', and reverse, 5'-CCCAAGCTTAGGAGCTGGGA- GTGTGAACCTACC-3'. This fragment corresponds to positions 1396 to +604 in relevance of the TSS (position 1). Serial deletion constructs were prepared by PCR using the full-length construct as the template. All constructs were sequence confirmed.

**Cell Culture, Transfection, and Reporter Assays**—Prostate cancer cell lines (LNCaP, PC-3, and DU145) were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium + 10% FBS. U87MG glioblastoma and human embryonic kidney 293T cells were maintained in DMEM + 10% FBS. Transfections were carried out in the presence of Lipofectamine® 2000 in 70% confluent cells. pRL-TK plasmid expressing Renilla luciferase (0.016 μg) was co-transfected as an internal control for transfection efficiency with various target constructs expressing firefly luciferase (0.8 μg). Luciferase activities were measured by the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay 24 h after transfection. The firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the Renilla luciferase in each sample. The promoter activity of each construct was normalized to that of the control plasmid pGL3-B.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**—Cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37 °C and quenched with 0.125 mM glycine. Cell pellets were collected and resuspended in ChIP lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.1, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA). After sonication to generate DNA fragments of 300–1000 bp, the lysates were cleared by centrifugation and diluted 10-fold with ChIP dilution buffer (16.7 mM Tris, pH 8.1, 0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM NaCl). After preclearing with salmon sperm DNA/protein G-agarose at 4 °C for 1 h, the samples were incubated with 5 μg of CTCF IgG or control non-immune IgG overnight at 4 °C. The immunocomplexes were collected with protein-G-agarose, eluted, and de-cross-linked at 65 °C. After incubation with RNase A and proteinase K, DNA was extracted and examined by quantitative PCR with the primers for site A (forward, 5'-CAACGATTGGGACCTCTCGTCA-3'; reverse, 5'-TGCTGTGTCGAGAATATTGGC-3') and site B (forward, 5'-TCAAGACTGGAGGTTGGACATCAC-3'; reverse, 5'-TCAAGACTGGAGGTTGGACATCAC-3').

**RNAi**—Lentiviral particles vectors (GIPZ) encoding ANG and RNASE4 shRNA were purchased from Open Biosystems. The sequences of the two ANG shRNA used in this study are: E4, 5'-ATGTGGACATAAAGTTTAATA-3'; E7, 5'-CACGTTGTGTATTCTGATGAATCTGGAAGTTGG-3'. That for RNASE4 are: D10, 5'-CCCTAGTAAGCTCAAAGTACTA-3'; M2, 5'-CAACCCCAATATCAATGCA-3'. The shRNA for CTCF (5'-GGATGTGGTCAACTA-3' and 5'-GTTGTGCAATTGAACATTAGA-3') was gifts from Dr. Joaquin M. Espinosa of University of Colorado (28). Lentiviral particles were packaged in 293T cells with the generation II packaging plasmids (psPAX2 and pMD2.G). Cells were infected with lentiviral particles for 24 h in the presence of Polybrene (8 μg/ml, Millipore). The medium was replaced with complete growth medium and incubated for 24 h and then selected for 4 days with 1 μg/ml puromycin.

**RT-PCR**—Total cellular RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent and reverse-transcribed (1 μg) to cDNA with random and oligo(dT)18 primers by M-MLV reverse transcriptase. cDNAs were amplified and quantified in DNA Engine Opticon 2. The primers set are as follows. ANG forward, 5'-GGTGGAAGGAGGTTGATCC-3'; reverse, 5'-CATAGTGCCTGGTGACAG-3'.
Transcription Regulation of ANG and RNASE4 Locus

AAG-3'; RNASE4 forward, 5'-AGAAGCGGGTGAGAAACAA-3'; reverse, 5'-AGTACGATCGACTGGAATCC-3'; CTCF forward, 5'-CTGCAATCGACATCGAA-3'; reverse, 5'-CTGGCAATCGACATCGAA-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-TGAACGGGAAAGTACCTGG-3'; reverse, 5'-TCAACCACACCCTGTGCTGTA-3'.

Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C)—A total of 1 × 10^7 cells were trypsinized and resuspended in 10 ml of medium and cross-linked with 2% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cross-linking was quenched by 0.125 M glycine. After washing with cold PBS, cells were lysed in 3C lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DTT, and 1 mM ATP). Triton X-100 was then added to a final concentration of 1% and incubated for 1 hr at 37 °C with gentle shaking. The chromatin was inactivated at 65 °C for 20 min. The digested chromatin was diluted to 6.125 ml by 1.15 A

RESULTS

Chromatin State Segmentation of ANG and RNASE4 Gene Locus—The human ANG and RNASE4 genes are located on chromosome 14q11.2 and have a unique arrangement in which they share the same promoter regions and 5'-UTR followed by two distinct exons encoding the two proteins (23, 25). Promoter sharing is one of the four known co-regulatory mechanisms that ensure multiple genes with similar activities or involved in the same pathways are co-regulated. Among the 24 known gene pairs that share the same promoters in the human genome (29), ANG and RNASE4 have the highest co-efficiency (r = 0.77) of co-expression (29), indicating that they have a similar biological activity. Indeed, we have found that besides the ribonuclease activities, ANG and RNASE4 both have angiogenic, neurogenic, and neuroprotective activities (23). To understand the regulatory mechanisms of ANG and RNASE4 expression, we employed ChromHMM, a chromatin-state discovery and characterization software (ChromHMM), to reveal the chromatin state of the human ANG and RNASE4 gene locus (chr14: 21,150,000 - 21,170,000, genome assembly version GRCh37/hg19 (30)). We identified three regulatory regions at this locus from data released by the ENCODE project: an active promoter region (red) from position 21,150,000 to 21,153,000 and two insulators (blue) from 21,159,364 to 12,159,383 and from 21,166,070 to 21,166,089 (Fig. 1A). It is notable that the two insulators are located in the two introns flanking the ANG coding exon.

Bioinformatics Analysis of the TSS—We next used the Genomatix software suite to predict TSS of ANG and RNASE4 genes from cap-analysis of gene expression (CAGE) databases. A total of 113 CAGE tags were identified across the entire gene locus, clustered in two regions (Fig. 1B). Seven tags were located at position 21,156,940 before exon II, which occurs only in liver cells, indicating a liver-specific promoter (Promoter-L) at this region. In a 700-bp region from 21,152,300 to 21,153,000 that covers exon I and the flanking regions, we identified a total of 106 tags at 44 different positions from all tissue types including liver, intestine, cecum, colon, lung, kidney, frontal lobe, heart, adipose, and embryo. We, therefore, named this region promoter-U (Pr-U) indicating a universal promoter. Among the 106 CAGE tags, 21 occur at position 21,152,776. We have also identified a CpG island from position 21,152,484 to 21,152,740. These data suggest that ANG and RNASE4 belong to a gene class with a "broad" TSS (31) that can initiate transcription in a broader region.

Putative TFs on Pr-U of ANG and RNASE4 Gene Locus—in silico analyses using the Genomatix software suite revealed a total of 26 TFs that could potentially bind to Pr-U of the ANG and RNASE4 gene (Table 1). Significantly, eight of them belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily. A more detailed analysis on TFs was carried out with data available from the ENCODE project. Cscan analyses (32) identified 63 putative TFs. Among these, 6 belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily (Table 1). Preferential enrichment of the nuclear receptor class of TFs on ANG and RNASE4 promoter is consistent with known functions of ANG in hormone-regulated prostate (3, 33–35) and breast (36, 37) cancer.

To reveal other potential biological activities of ANG and RNASE4, we carried out pathway annotations by DAVID bioinformatics tools. Table 2 lists the top 10 pathways identified from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (38) and BioCarta databases. TFs that are enriched in the ANG and RNASE4 promoter are related in pathways in cancer, particularly in prostate, pancreatic, thyroid, bladder, and non-small cell lung cancers, and in chronic myeloid leukemia (Table 2). In addition, cell cycle and Huntington disease pathways are also significantly correlated. These findings are consistent with the roles of ANG and RNASE4 in cancers and neurodegenerative diseases (3).
We next made a series of deletion mutants of Pr-U and measured their reporter activities in DU145 cells (Fig. 2B). For deletion constructs from the 5′/H11032 end, after an initial decrease of activity (FU-1), deletions gradually increased activity (FU-1 to FU-6). The maximum activity was observed in FU-6. However, further deletion resulted in activity loss as shown in constructs FU-7 to FU-9. Among the series of deletion constructs proceeding from the 3′/H11032 end, no significant promoter activity was observed from FD-1 to FD-6. However, FD-7 that retains only 200 bp of the 5′/H11032 sequence had the highest promoter activity among all the constructs including the full-length construct. The first 100 bp of the 5′/H11032 sequence (FD-8) also has a significant promoter activity. Very similar results were obtained in PC-3 cells (Fig. 2).

**RNA Pol III-occupied Elements Affect Pol II Promoter Activity**—These data indicate that two promoters exist in Pr-U. The first (Pr-1) is located at 1–200 and the second (Pr-2) is located at 1,750–2,000. It is also obvious that inhibitory elements exist between 201 and 1200. We confirmed that internal sections between 201 and 1432 have no promoter activities (Fig. 2C). To identify the nature of these inhibitory elements, we re-evaluated this region by bioinformatics analyses of the ENCODE project data and found that two tRNA genes (tRNA^{Tyr} and tRNA^{Pro}), located at 21,151,432–21,151,520 and 21,152,175–21,152,246, respectively, were fully loaded with Pol III transcription machinery including Pol III, TFIIIB, and TFIIIC (Fig. 4). They are, therefore, referred to as Pol III elements.

The effect of these Pol III elements on Pol II transcription was examined by reporter assays (Fig. 5A). Insertion of the tRNA^{Tyr} element downstream of Pr-1 in forward orientation decreased the promoter activity from 50.1 ± 7.9 in P-1 to 9.19 ± 1.99 in P-2 (p < 0.0001). However, insertion in reverse orientation at the same position had no effect. The activity of P-3 is 42.3 ± 6.8, not significantly different from that of P-1 (p = 0.26). Similarly, the tRNA^{Tyr} element also suppresses the activity of Pr-2. Construct P-5 has an activity of 5.6 ± 0.1, representing a 6-fold decrease from that of P-4 (34.1 ± 5.6, p = 0.002). Again, insertion in reverse orientation had no effect on Pr-2. P-6 has an activity of 32.3 ± 4.6, which is the same as that of P-4 (p = 0.67), tRNA^{Pro} element, the 2nd Pol III element found in this region, also suppresses transcription as shown in P-7. Insertion of this element together with Pr-2, downstream of Pr-1, decreased the activity from 50.1 ± 7.9 in P-1 to 31.6 ± 3.0 in P-7 (p = 0.002). The activity of P-7 was the same as that of P-4, indicating that Pr-1 was completely suppressed by tRNA^{Pro}.

Insertion of tRNA^{Tyr} element upstream of Pr-1 in forward orientation enhanced the promoter activity from 50.1 ± 7.9 in P-1 to 74.1 ± 12.0 in P-8 (p = 0.02) (Fig. 5B). P-9, which has tRNA^{Tyr} element inserted in reverse orientation upstream of Pr-1, had the same activity as that of P-1 (p = 0.83), indicating that the enhancer activity of tRNA^{Tyr} element is orientation-dependent. However, when we placed the tRNA^{Tyr} element, in both forward and reverse orientations, upstream of Pr-2 that already had the tRNA^{Pro} element, no further enhancement of
### Table 1

| Symbol | Gene name |
|--------|-----------|
| AR     | Androgen receptor |
| ATF4   | Activating transcription factor 4 (tax-responsive enhancer element B67) |
| BHLHE40| Basic helix-loop-helix family, member e40 |
| CREB1  | cAMP-responsive element-binding protein 1 |
| EGR1   | Early growth response 1 |
| ESR1   | Estrogen receptor 1 |
| FOXO1  | Forkhead box O1 |
| HIF1α  | Hypoxia-inducible factor 1, α-subunit (basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor) |
| HNF1α  | Hepatocyte nuclear factor 1α |
| JUN    | jun oncogene |
| LHX1   | Lim homeobox 1 |
| LYL1   | Lymphoblastic leukemia-derived sequence 1 |
| MYC    | v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) |
| NANOG  | Nanog homeobox |
| NKFB1  | Nuclear factor of κ light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1 |
| NR3C2  | Nuclear receptor subfamily 3, group C, member 2 (mineralocorticoid receptor) |
| PBX1   | Pre-B-cell leukemia homeobox 1 |
| PGR    | Progesterone receptor |
| POU5F1 | POU class 5 homeobox 1 |
| PPARα  | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α |
| PPARγ  | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ |
| STAT3  | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response factor) |
| TCF3   | Transcription factor 3 (E2A immunoglobulin enhancer binding factors E12/E47) |
| TP53   | Tumor protein p53 |
| VDR    | Vitamin D (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3) receptor |
| XBP1   | X-box binding protein 1 |
| Cscan  | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta |
| TFAP2A | Transcription factor AP-2α (activating enhancer binding protein 2α) |
| TFAP2C | Transcription factor AP-2γ (activating enhancer binding protein 2γ) |
| SMARCC2 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily c, member 2 |
| BATF   | Basic leucine zipper transcription factor, ATF-like |
| BCL3   | B-cell CLL/lymphoma 3 |
| BCLAF1 | BCL2-associated transcription factor 1 |
| BDP1   | Subunit of RNA polymerase III transcription initiation factor IIIB |
| BRCA1  | Breast cancer 1, early onset |
| BRF1   | BRF1 homolog, subunit of RNA polymerase III transcription initiation factor IIIB |
| FOS    | FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog |
| CEBPβ  | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta |
| CHD2   | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 2 |
| E2F1   | E2F transcription factor 1 |
| E2F2   | E2F transcription factor 2, p107/p130 binding |
| E2F6   | E2F transcription factor 6 |
| EBF1   | Early B-cell factor 1 |
| ELF1   | E74-like factor 1 (ets domain transcription factor) |
| ETS1   | v-ets erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 1 |
| FOSL2  | FOS-like antigen 2 |
| FOXA1  | Forkhead box A1 |
| FOXA2  | Forkhead box A2 |
| GATA2  | GATA-binding protein 2 |
| GATA3  | GATA-binding protein 3 |
| NAR3C1 | Nuclear receptor subfamily 3, group C, member 1 (glucocorticoid receptor) |
| GTF2F1 | General transcription factor IIIF, polypeptide 1, 74 kDa |
| HDAC2  | Histone deacetylase 2 |
| HEY1   | Hairless/enhancer-of-split related with YRPW motif 1 |
| HNF4A  | Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α |
| HNF4G  | Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4γ |
| HSFI   | Heat shock transcription factor 1 |
| IRF4   | Interferon regulatory factor 4 |
| JUND   | jun D proto-oncogene |
| TRIM28 | Tripartite motif containing 28 |
| MAFF   | v-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F |
| MAFK   | v-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog K |
| NKFB1  | Nuclear factor of κ light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1 |
| EP300  | E1A-binding protein p300 |
| PAX5   | Paired box 5 |
| PBX3   | Pre B cell leukemia homeobox 3 |
| PPARGC1A| Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ, coactivator 1α |
| RAD21  | RAD21 homolog |
| RBL1   | Retinoblastoma 1 |
| RXF5   | Regulatory factor X, 5 (influences HLA class II expression) |
| POLR3A | Polymerase (RNA) III (DNA directed) polypeptide A, 155 kDa |
| RXRA   | Retinoid X receptor α |
| SIN3A  | SIN3 transcription regulator homolog A (yeast) |
| SMC3   | Structural maintenance of chromosomes 3 |
| SP1    | Sp1 transcription factor |
| SREBF2 | Sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 2 |
| SRE    | Serum response factor (c-fos serum response element-binding transcription factor) |
Transcription Regulation of ANG and RNASE4 Locus

transcription activity was observed (P-10 and P-11 versus P-4). Fig. 2B has already shown that the tRNA Pro element enhances Pr-2 activity (FU-6 versus FU-7). Thus, it is clear that when these Pol III elements are located upstream of Pol II promoters in forward orientation, they enhance Pol II transcription. The existence of multiple Pol III elements does not amplify the enhancement activity. Identical results were obtained in three other cell lines including PC-3 human prostate cancer (Fig. 6B), 293T human embryonic kidney (Fig. 6B), and U87MG human glioblastoma (Fig. 6C) cells. Taken together, these results indicate that tRNA Tyr and tRNA Pro elements located upstream of the ANG and RNASE4 promoter can affect Pol II gene transcription in a position- and orientation-dependent manner.

To know whether the observation that Pol III elements interfere with Pol II transcription is applicable to other promoters, we examined the effect of tRNA Tyr element on SV40 promoter activity. Fig. 5C shows that insertion of tRNA Tyr element in forward orientation downstream of the SV40 promoter decreases the activity to 37 ± 10% (P-13) of that of control (P-12) (p < 0.002). Insertion in reverse orientation (P-14) had no significant effect (89 ± 2%, p = 0.24). Insertion upstream of SV40 promoter in forward orientation enhanced activity by 2.6-fold (P-15, 259 ± 20%, p < 0.0001) but had no significant effect if inserted in reverse orientation (P-16, 122 ± 18%, p = 0.14). These results confirmed the position- and orientation-dependent manner of Pol III elements in either enhancing or inhibiting Pol II activity. To our knowledge this is the first experimental report that Pol III elements regulate transcription of Pol II genes.

**Formation of a CTCF-dependent Chromatin Loop between the Two Introns Flanking the ANG Coding Exon**—Another distinct feature of the ANG and RNASE4 gene locus is that there are two insulators located in the introns flanking the ANG coding exon (Fig. 1A). We have identified two CTCF binding sites within the two insulators by CTCF ChIP-seq data from the ENCODE project in five cell lines (Fig. 7A). CTCF is a ubiquitously expressed and highly conserved 11-zinc finger protein and has been implicated in diverse cellular processes (39). CTCF has been shown to both positively and negatively regulate gene expression (40, 41). It not only interacts with the initiation and elongation complex but also affects transcript splicing (42, 43), thereby affecting overall transcription levels (44). Moreover, CTCF has been recognized as a master organizer of genomic spatial structure by mediating long-range chromosomal interactions through looping (40). The sequences of the two CTCF binding sites on ANG and RNASE4 locus were both

TABLE 1 — continued

| Symbol  | Gene name                                      | Value              |
|---------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| STAT1   | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1, 91 kDa |                    |
| TAF1    | TAF1 RNA polymerase II, TATA box binding protein (TBP)-associated factor, 250 kDa |                    |
| TAL1    | T-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia 1          |                    |
| TBP     | TATA box binding protein                      |                    |
| TCF4    | Transcription factor 4                       |                    |
| GTF3C2  | General transcription factor IIIC, polypeptide 2, beta 110kDa |                    |
| USF1    | Upstream transcription factor 1               |                    |
| USF2    | Upstream transcription factor 2, c-fos interacting |                    |
| YY1     | YY1 transcription factor                      |                    |
| ZBTB33  | Zinc finger and BTB domain containing 33     |                    |
| ZEB1    | Zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1         |                    |
| ZNF143  | Zinc finger protein 143                      |                    |
| ZNF263  | Zinc finger protein 263                      |                    |

**TABLE 2**

Pathway annotation by DAVID

| Pathways                           | Genes                                                                 | p Value     |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| KEGG database                      |                                                                      |             |
| Pathways in cancer                 | E2F1, AR, RXRA, PPARG, TP53, FOXO1, NFKB1, RB1, STAT1, STAT3, FOXO1, NFKB1, RB1 | 2.24E-09    |
| Prostate cancer                    | FOS, HDAC2, EP300, HIF1A, ETS1, JUN, MYC                              | 4.65E-07    |
| Cell cycle                         | E2F1, RAD21, EP300, HDAC2, E2F4, TP53, RB1, MYC, SMC3                 | 6.24E-06    |
| Huntington disease                 | SIN3A, EP300, HDAC2, SP1, CREB1, PPARG, TP53, TBP, PPARC1A            | 8.87E-05    |
| Pancreatic cancer                  |                                                                      |             |
| Chronic myeloid leukemia           |                                                                      |             |
| Small cell lung cancer             |                                                                      |             |
| Maturity onset diabetes of young   |                                                                      |             |
| Thyroid cancer                     |                                                                      |             |
| Adipocytokine signaling pathway    |                                                                      |             |
| Bladder cancer                     |                                                                      |             |
| MAPK signaling                     |                                                                      |             |
| Non-small cell lung cancer         |                                                                      |             |
| BioCarta database                  |                                                                      |             |
| METS effect on macrophage differentiation |                                                        |             |
| Mechanism of gene regulation by peroxisome proliferators via PPAR |                                                        |             |
| Role of PPAR-y coactivators in obesity and thermogenesis |                                                        |             |
| Oxidative stress-induced gene expression via Nrf2 |                                                        |             |
| PDGF signaling pathway             |                                                                      |             |
| EGF signaling pathway              |                                                                      |             |
| Human cytochemotaxis and map kinase pathways |                                                        |             |
| Hypoxia-inducible factor in the cardiovascular system |                                                        |             |

**Value**

0.0001 0.14 0.16 0.24 0.24 0.29 0.32 0.39 0.40 0.46 0.49 0.52 0.59 0.61 0.63 0.64 0.67 0.68 0.70 0.71 0.72 0.73 0.74 0.75 0.76 0.77 0.78 0.79 0.80 0.81 0.82 0.83 0.84 0.85 0.86 0.87 0.88 0.89 0.90 0.91 0.92 0.93 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.97 0.98 0.99 1.00
85% identical to the consensus CTCF binding sequence (45) (Fig. 7B).

ChIP was carried out to examine the binding of CTCF to the two sites in control and CTCF knockdown cells. Lentivirus-mediated shRNA constructs efficiently knocked down both mRNA and protein of CTCF (Fig. 8A). ChIP combined with normal PCR (Fig. 8B) and quantitative PCR (Fig. 8C) show that binding of CTCF to both sites diminished in CTCF knockdown cells, demonstrating that CTCF is indeed bound at the two consensus sites located at the two introns flanking the ANG coding exon.

CTCF is known to mediate the formation of chromatin loops facilitating transcription regulation (40). We, therefore, examined such a possibility in the ANG and RNASE4 loci by 3C analysis (46). Fig. 9A is a schematic view of dynamic spatial organization of this region. The arrows show the primers used for PCR amplification in this 3C experiment. Vertical lines mark the restriction enzyme SstI sites. If such a loop forms, after cross-linking, SstI digestion, and T4 ligation, one would expect a PCR product to be produced by primer sets 2F/4R and 5F/1R. However, primer sets 2F/1R and 5F/4R will generate amplicons regardless of cross-linking as far as the products were ligated (self ligation). Fig. 9B shows that the 3C experiments generated the results exactly as we expected, indicating an intragenic loop is indeed formed between the two CTCF binding sites. The PCR products from primer sets 2F/4R and 5F/1R were recovered, and the sequencing results confirmed the loop formation.

To confirm that formation of this chromatin loop is CTCF-dependent, we carried out a ChIP-3C experiment (Fig. 9C) in which chromatin was precipitated by a non-immune or a CTCF-specific IgG. Immunoprecipitated chromatin was then subjected to 3C analysis. A specific band was observed from CTCF-specific immunoprecipitated chromatin but not from control IgG immunoprecipitates. We have also performed ChIP-3C experiments in CTCF knockdown cells (Fig. 9C). As expected, the PCR products generated from primer sets 2F/4R and 5F/1R were undetectable in CTCF knockdown cells. Taken
together, these results demonstrate that CTCF mediates the formation of an intragenic chromatin loop between the two introns flanking the coding exon of ANG gene.

**CTCF Influences the mRNA level of ANG and RNASE4**—We next examined the effect of CTCF level on the mRNA level of ANG and RNASE4. Two shRNA constructs knocked down CTCF mRNA levels by 71 and 76%, respectively (Fig. 10A, left). Immunoblot analyses indicated a nearly complete loss of CTCF protein in the knockdown cells (Fig. 10A, right). ANG mRNA levels in the shRNA1- and shRNA2-infected cells were 55 ± 9 and 35 ± 10%, respectively, that in control cells (Fig. 10B). Similarly, the mRNA levels of RNASE4 in the two CTCF knockdown cell lines were 62 ± 6 and 33 ± 4% that in control cells (Fig. 10B). ELISA analyses showed that secreted ANG protein levels were 1.40 ± 0.25 and 1.12 ± 0.23 pg/1000 cells/day, respectively, in the two knockdown cell lines, which is significantly lower than that in control cells (1.9 ± 0.13 pg/1000 cells/day) (Fig. 10C). The protein levels of RNASE4 in these cells are unknown as an ELISA method is currently unavailable. However, judging from the quantitative PCR results, it is clear that knock-
FIGURE 5. Effect of Pol III-occupied elements on Pol II gene transcription. A, inhibitory activity of the Pol III element when positioned in forward orientation downstream of Pol II promoter. The trNA\textsuperscript{ Tyr} and trNA\textsuperscript{Pro} elements identified within Pr-U were cloned to various positions in both forward and reverse orientations as indicated. The luciferase activity was measured in DU145 cells. B, enhancive activity of Pol III elements when positioned in forward orientation at upstream of Pol II promoter. The trNA\textsuperscript{ Tyr} element was cloned upstream of Pr-1 or Pr-2 as indicated, and reporter activity was measured as described above in A. C, effect of Pol III element on SV40 promoter activity. The trNA\textsuperscript{ Tyr} element was cloned to the pGL3-P constructs upstream or downstream of SV40 promoter in either forward or reverse orientation. The reporter activities of these constructs were measured by the dual luciferase system and normalized to pGL3-P. Data shown are the means ± S.D. of three independent experiments.

FIGURE 6. Effect of Pol III elements on reporter activities of Pr-1 and Pr-2. Pol III elements were inserted upstream or downstream of Pr-1 or Pr-2, and the reporter activities of each construct were examined by a dual luciferase reporter system in PC-3 (A), 293T (B), and U87MG (C) cells. Data shown are the means ± S.D. of three independent experiments.
down of CTCF decreased ANG and RNASE4 transcript levels. Importantly, reporter gene expression promoted by ANG and RNASE4 Pr-U was not affected by the cellular CTCF level (Fig. 10D), indicating that the effect of CTCF on ANG and RNASE4 expression is gene-specific and is not a consequence of changes in overall transcription capacity.

**FIGURE 7.** Identification of CTCF binding sites in ANG and RNASE4 gene locus. A, bioinformatics analyses of CTCF occupancy in five cell lines from the ChIP-seq data released by the ENCODE project. The top panel shows the enrichment of CTCF in the two introns flanking the ANG coding exon. The bottom panel is a schematic view of CTCF binding sites in this gene locus. B, consensus CTCF binding motif identified in the two CTCF binding sites.

**FIGURE 8.** Binding of CTCF at site A and site B in the two introns flanking ANG coding exon. A, CTCF was knocked down by lentivirus-mediated shRNA in DU-145 cells, and a stable line was selected. Left panel, qRT-PCR analysis of mRNA level of CTCF in control and knockdown cell. Right panels, Western blot analysis of CTCF protein level. B and C, ChIP-PCR analyses of CTCF binding to site A and site B. Cells were treated with formaldehyde and immunoprecipitated with control or CTCF-specific antibodies. The precipitated DNA fragments was examined by regular PCR (B) or quantitative PCR (C) with primers specific to site A and site B.
We have also examined the effect of CTCF overexpression on the mRNA levels of ANG and RNASE4. Two overexpression vectors were used: one with a V5-His tag at the C terminus and another with a FLAG-HA tag at the N terminus. The expression vectors were transfected in DU145 cells, and the transgene expression level was examined by immunoblot analyses with anti-His and anti-CTCF IgG (Fig. 11A). The mRNA levels of ANG and RNASE4 were examined by qRT-PCR (Fig. 11B). The results indicated that CTCF overexpression enhanced ANG expression by \( \frac{70}{110} \) but had no effect on RNASE4 expression. Again, luciferase reporter gene expression promoted by Pr-U was not affected (Fig. 11C), confirming that the overall transcription capacity of the cells were not altered.

One possible mechanism by which CTCF overexpression differentially regulates the level of ANG and RNASE4 mRNA could be through pausing of the Pol II elongation complex, which has been shown in both mammalian cells (47) and yeast (48). An intragenic chromatin loop may cause transcription pausing at the second CTCF site thereby increasing the possibility of transcription re-initiation and/or alternative splicing in favor of the inclusion of ANG coding exon in the transcript. If this hypothesis is true, there will be two transcripts: one contains only the ANG coding exon and the other contains both ANG and RNASE4 exons. In this case, shRNA specific to ANG will knock down both transcripts, but that specific to RNASE4 will only knock down the transcript-containing RNASE4 coding exon. Fig. 11D shows that this was exactly the case. ANG shRNAs knocked down both ANG and RNASE4, whereas RNASE4 shRNAs knock down only RNASE4.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that the tRNA\(^{Tyr}\) and tRNA\(^{Pro}\) genes located in the promoter region of the ANG and RNASE4 gene locus influence the promoter activity in a reporter assay. Several genome-wide studies have shown that Pol II binds near many known Pol III genes and influences the expression of Pol III genes (49–51). It has been reported that tRNA elements can act as insulators (52) and that Pol III complexes can have an extratranscriptional function such as acting as a potential global chromatin bookmark to regulate gene expression patterns. We found that two Pol III genes (tRNA\(^{Tyr}\) and tRNA\(^{Pro}\)) are located within the universal promoter of ANG and RNASE4 gene locus and that both tRNA genes are actually occupied by Pol III complex including Pol III, TFIIIB, and TFIIIC. We further demonstrated that these fully occupied Pol III elements regulate Pol II gene transcription in a position- and orientation-dependent manner. When the Pol III elements are located downstream of the Pol II promoter, they inhibit the promoter activity. However, when they are located upstream of the Pol II promoter, they enhance the promoter activity. Both the enhancive and inhibitory activities require the Pol III elements to be in forward orientation. These results provide direct experimental evidence that Pol III-occupied genes could either suppress or enhance Pol II gene expression.

The reason for transcription-enhancing activity of Pol III elements located upstream of Pol II promoter could be a result of increased accessibility of Pol II components to the promoter. It is conceivable that juxtaposition of active Pol III transcription machinery with a Pol II promoter will create an open/active chromatin facilitating binding of Pol II components. However,
when a Pol III complex is formed downstream of a Pol II promoter, it may serve as a physical barrier to prevent Pol II machinery from passing through the chromatin, thereby decreasing the overall transcription efficiency.

Another major finding of this study is that a CTCF-dependent intragenic chromatin loop formed between two introns and that this loop differentially regulates the transcription of ANG and RNASE4. The ENCODE project has identified tens of thousands of CTCF binding sites in a large number of human cell types, confirming on a genomic scale that CTCF is associated with both gene activation and repression (39). CTCF has been shown to interact with the initiation and elongation complexes of Pol II and to affect splicing (43). We identified two CTCF binding sites in the two introns flanking the ANG coding exon. Formation of such an inter-intron chromatin loop changes the chromatin structure of the ANG and RNASE4 gene locus by looping out the ANG coding exon from a linear chromatin structure. We found that overexpression of CTCF enhanced the expression of only ANG but not RNASE4. These results revealed a new model of transcription regulation by CTCF. We speculated that overexpression of CTCF may result in formation of an excessive loop with a rigid chromatin that serves as a protein barrier causing transcription pausing at the second CTCF binding site. Paused transcription will alter the splicing of the transcript that preferentially favors the inclusion of the ANG coding exon because at this time the RNASE4 exon has not yet been transcribed. It has been reported that a single CTCF binding site overlapping exon 5 of the CD45 gene is associated with inclusion of exon 5 in CD45 transcripts by affecting alternative splicing (47). Another possible mechanism for differential expression of ANG and RNASE4 genes in CTCF overexpressing cells could be that transcription pausing at the end of the chromatin loop facilitates transcription re-initiation, which will result in exclusion of RNASE4 coding exon from some of the transcripts. The observation that ANG shRNAs knocked down expression of both ANG and RNASE4, whereas RNASE4 shRNAs knocked down only RNASE4 supported this mechanism. Enhancement of ANG expression in RNASE4 knockdown cells could be the result of a feedback effect that will selectively produce ANG mRNA based on the above proposed mode of action.

We have thus determined the transcription initiation site of the ANG and RNASE4 genes, characterized the promoter sequences, and identified putative TFs and annotated potential biological pathways where ANG and RNASE4 could play a role. We have characterized the promoter activities and identified two potential mechanisms that regulate ANG and RNASE4 expression. Although the Pol III elements control the general promoter activity that indiscriminately regulate ANG and RNASE4 expression, a CTCF-dependent intragenic chromatin

---

**FIGURE 10. Effect of CTCF knockdown on ANG and RNASE4 transcription.**

A, CTCF was knocked down by lentivirus-mediated shRNA in DU-145 cells, and stable knockdown cell lines were established after selection with 1 μg/ml puromycin for 7 days. Left panel, qRT-PCR analyses of CTCF mRNA level in control and shRNA transfected cell lines. CTCF mRNA level was normalized to that of β-actin. Right panels, Western blot analyses of CTCF protein level. B, qRT-PCR analyses of the mRNA levels of ANG and RNASE4 in the three stable cell lines. C, ELISA analyses of secreted ANG protein from the stable control and CTCF knockdown cell lines. D, luciferase reporter activity of the full-length Pr-U in the above three stable cell lines. Bar graphs in all panels represent the means ± S.D. of three independent experiments.
loop differentially regulates ANG and RNASE4 expression. These results indicate that even though ANG and RNASE4 share the same promoter regions, they are not entirely co-expressed, suggesting that they have similar but distinct biological functions (23). Indeed, both ANG and RNASE4 have been shown to have angiogenic, neurogenic, and neuroprotective activities and play an important role in cancers and in neurodegenerative diseases. But there are important differences in their ribonucleolytic activities and substrate specificities. For example, RNASE4 has at least 30,000-fold higher ribonucleolytic activity than does ANG (22). Significantly, the K40A variant of RNASE4 in which the catalytically essential residue Lys-40 has been replaced by Ala actually has enhanced angiogenic activity (23). Moreover, RNASE4 has very strict substrate specificity. It strongly prefers a uridine at the 3’-side of the cleavage site (22), whereas ANG recognizes both uridine and pyrimidine residues. Differential regulation of ANG and RNASE4 expression by the CTCF-dependent intragenic chromatin loop is thus in keeping with the subtle but distinct difference in the biological activities of the two proteins.
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