Case Report

Adult Type Granulosa Cell Tumor: A Very Rare Case of Sex-Cord Tumor of the Testis with Review of the Literature
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Granulosa cell tumor (GST) is a sex-cord/stromal neoplasm of the gonads, more commonly arising in the ovaries, while approximately 80 cases have been reported in the testes. Out of these, 30 cases were of the adult type, while the remainder 50 cases were of the juvenile type. The latter mostly concerned infants and followed a benign course. However, the adult type testicular GCTs may be potentially malignant as it also happens in female patients with such neoplasms. We present a case of an adult type GCT located at the left testis. The patient was subjected to total orchiectomy and received no further treatment. Histology showed typical GCT histomorphology with Call-Exner bodies in some places. The immunoprofile of the tumor was CD99 (+), calretinin (+), inhibin (+), alpha smooth muscle actin (+), vimentin (+), ER (−), PR (−), keratin AE1/AE3 (−), alpha fetoprotein (−), CD117 (−), and placental alkaline phosphatase (−). Two years after surgery, the patient is alive and well with no signs of recurrence.

1. Introduction

Granulosa cell tumor (GST) belongs to the sex-cord/stromal tumors of the gonads. Two forms of GST have been recognized, namely, the typical adult type, and its variation, and the juvenile type. GST arises far more commonly in the ovaries but on rare occasions may be encountered in the testes. Most of the latter cases concerned infants, which presented the juvenile type morphology [1–3], while the minority was adult type GSTs [4–10]. In this paper, we describe a case of typical adult type GST arising in the testis of an adult male.

2. Case Presentation

A 37-year-old white male attended our hospital for scrotal swelling. He did not present any signs and symptoms suggestive of a hormone-secreting tumor like gynecomastia, and his history was otherwise unremarkable. Palpation, ultrasound examination, and MRI revealed a tumor of the left testis (Figure 1), and so surgical excision was decided. His preoperative blood tests were within normal limits, and the abdominal and thoracic CT scans did not show any significant lymph node enlargement or distant metastases. The patient was operated upon, and a left total orchiectomy was performed. His postoperative course was uneventful. The patient was released from the hospital with no further treatment, and he is being followed at six-month intervals for two years now. No signs of recurrence or metastasis have been identified yet.

3. Pathological Findings

The surgical specimen weighted 106 gr and consisted of the testis measuring 6.6 × 6 × 4 cm, the epididymis 2.5 in length, and the spermatic cord measuring 9.2 × 2.5 × 2.5 cm. On cross-sections, the testis presented a whitish, solid tumor measuring 4.2 × 2 × 3.1 cm. Histology showed a sex-cord tumor of the testis (Figure 2). The tumor was formed of medium-size, spindle-shaped, or epitheliod cells with indistinct cell borders and clear cytoplasm. The growth pattern varied in different areas of the tumor, being diffuse, insular, trabecular, and in places with formation of microfolicular structures containing eosinophilic material, the characteristic Call-Exner bodies (Figure 3). Nuclei were oval with smooth
contours, and small nucleolus, frequently presenting longitudinal grooves. Mitoses were very rare. The groups of the tumor cells were separated by thin strands of fibrous stroma, showing hyalinization in some areas. No invasive growth, necrosis or vascular invasion was seen. Immunohistochemistry followed in order to prove the diagnosis of GST, using an automated immunoperoxidase method (Nexes, Ventana, USA). The immunoprofile of the tumor was CD99 positive (Figure 4), calretinin positive (Figure 5), inhibin positive, alpha smooth muscle actin positive, vimentin positive, estrogen receptor negative, progesterone receptor negative, keratin AE1/AE3 negative, alpha fetoprotein (AFP) negative, CD117 (KIT) negative, and placental alkaline phosphatase negative. The remaining testicular parenchyma presented focal atrophy and hyalinization of the spermatic tubules. The tunica albuginea, the epididymis, and the spermatic cord were free of tumor.

4. Discussion

GCTs include tumors composed of granulosa cells, theca cells, and fibroblasts in varying degrees and combinations. GCTs account for approximately 2% of all ovarian tumors and can be divided into the much more frequent adult type (95%) and the juvenile type (5%), based on histologic findings. The opposite seems to occur in males where the majority of the reported cases (50/80 cases) belong to the juvenile type of GST, while only 30 cases in the literature correspond to the adult type GST [1–10]. The juvenile type mainly concerns very young male individuals, 90% of them being less than one year of age [1–3]. This category of tumors presents with scrotal swelling, usually with no other accompanying manifestation. Juvenile GSTs in males are always benign, and simple orchietomy suffices for cure. They may be preoperatively suspected as such, since the only other testicular that occurs in this age group is the yolk sac tumor. The latter peaks after 6 months of age and shows elevated AFP in the serum, while GSTs do not have any characteristic biochemical marker to be diagnosed of. However, AFP elevation in the serum has been reported in a few cases with juvenile GST, since this protein may be normally increased in infancy [2]. In addition, karyotypic anomalies and ambiguous genitalia have been found in approximately 20% of juvenile GSTs [3].

Adult type GSTs also manifest with scrotal swelling, even though a minority of cases has presented gynecomastia [4–10]. The age range varies greatly between 16 and 77 years, as does the size of the tumors, which may be from less than 1 cm up to 13 cm in diameter. Diagnosis depends on histology, where the characteristic Call-Exner bodies along...
with the nuclear grooves of the tumor cells permit most of the
times a straightforward diagnosis. Other patterns encoun-
tered in the female gonads such as macrofollicular, diffuse,
insular, and trabecular may also be found in testicular GSTs,
alone or in any combination. The number of mitoses also
varies and is not considered to be of prognostic significance.
Immunohistochemistry may help diagnose ambiguous cases
in their differentiation from other tumor types and especially
germ cell tumors. GSTs are typically positive to calretinin,
CD99, and inhibin, while other markers such as smooth
muscle actin may also be expressed. Most importantly keratin
stains are negative, excluding embryonal carcinoma, and
placental alkaline phosphatase and KIT (CD117) are also neg-
ative, excluding seminoma. AFP, which is positive in yolk sac
tumor, is negative as well in GSTs. The present case was rather
ypical and easy to diagnose on histological grounds, even
without the immunostains. Still, immunohistochemistry was
also characteristic (CD99 positive, inhibin positive, calretinin
positive) and established the diagnosis.

Very little is known about the histogenesis and etiology of
GCTs, especially in the testes. Recently the FOXL2 gene has
been implicated in the pathogenesis of the adult type GST
of the ovaries. FOXL2 is a transcription factor, which plays
important role in the development of normal ovaries and is a
key factor in female sex determination [11]. A missense point
mutation (C134W) in the FOXL2 gene at 3q22.3 chromosome
is found in virtually all of the patients with adult type
ovarian GST [12]. Increased expression of genes linked to cell
proliferation, but decreased expression of those conferring
sensitivity to cell death has also been observed [13]. One study
has attributed prognostic significance to the mRNA levels
expressed by the tumor [14]. In addition, 2 out of 5 male adult
GSTs studied presented the same mutation of FOXL2 gene
[15]. All these molecular data seem quite promising not only
for the prognostic value they may offer, but also because they
may open new ways of more effective targeted therapy for
these tumors.

Ovarian adult GCTs are considered as potentially mali-
mant neoplasms. Approximately 20% of these tumors will
eventually recur or metastasize, even many years after the
initial diagnosis [16]. In a similar fashion, approximately
20% of testicular adult GSTs have been reported to present
malignant behavior [4, 8]. Hanson and Ambaye have sug-
gested that tumor size larger than 5 cm is a feature associated
with malignancy in the testes [9]. However, there are no
established discriminating criteria at present in order to
predict which tumors will follow an aggressive course. Sites
of metastases in male cases include retroperitoneal lymph
nodes (most common), liver, bones, and the lungs [4, 5,
8]. Initial management is total orchiectomy. Retroperitoneal
lymphadenectomy has been additionally performed in a few
cases where metastatic disease was suspected [5]. Metastatic
disease may be managed with chemotherapy (etoposide
alone or in combination with other agents) and adjuvant
radiotherapy [5, 8]. Still, there are no specific guidelines for
treatment due to the rarity of this tumor.

In conclusion, our report highlights one more case of
this very rare tumor of the testis, which is quite problematic
in terms of prognosis and management, and for this reason
seems to have attracted the interest of many researchers
recently. Long-term followup is recommended, since recur-
rence of the disease may appear late in the clinical course.
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