NopD of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150 Possesses SUMO Protease Activity
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Effectors secreted by the type III protein secretion system (T3SS) of rhizobia are host-specific determinants of the nodule symbiosis. Here, we have characterized NopD, a putative type III effector of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150. NopD was found to possess a functional N-terminal secretion signal sequence that could replace that of the NopL effector secreted by *Sinorhizobium* sp. NGR234. Recombinant NopD and the C-terminal domain of NopD alone can process small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) proteins and cleave SUMO-conjugated proteins. Activity was abolished in a NopD variant with a cysteine-to-alanine substitution in the catalytic core (NopD-C972A). NopD recognizes specific plant SUMO proteins (AtSUMO1 and AtSUMO2 of *Arabidopsis thaliana*; GmSUMO of *Glycine max*; PvSUMO of *Phaseolus vulgaris*). Subcellular localization analysis with *A. thaliana* protoplasts showed that NopD accumulates in nuclear bodies. NopD, but not NopD-C972A, induces cell death when expressed in *Nicotiana tabacum*. Likewise, inoculation tests with constructed mutant strains of XS1150 indicated that nodulation of *Tephrosia vogelii* is negatively affected by the protease activity of NopD. In conclusion, our findings show that NopD is a symbiosis-related protein that can process specific SUMO proteins and desumoylate SUMO-conjugated proteins.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Various bacteria possess protein secretion systems, through which effectors are translocated into host cells. Type III (T3) effector proteins secreted via a needle-like type III secretion system (T3SS) are important virulence factors of pathogenic bacteria such as the plant pathogens *Pseudomonas syringae* and *Xanthomonas campestris* (Büttner, 2016; Deng et al., 2017). Functional T3SS have also been identified in various rhizobia, bacteria that establish a symbiotic relationship with legumes (Staehelin and Krishnan, 2015; Nelson and Sadowsky, 2015; López-Baena et al., 2016). Rhizobia, differentiated into bacteroids, reduce atmospheric nitrogen to ammonia in root nodules of host plants. Fixed nitrogen is delivered to the host plant in exchange of carbon assimilates and nutrients. Consequently, growth of legume crops does not depend on application of nitrogen...
fertilizer. Rhizobial infection and nodule initiation are controlled by various signals, including host flavonoids and rhizobial lipo-chitooligosaccharides, the so-called Nod factors (Perret et al., 2000; Oldroyd, 2013; Ferguson et al., 2019). Mutant analysis showed that several rhizobial T3 effectors of various strains also play a crucial role in establishment and maintenance of the symbiosis (Staehelin and Krishnan, 2015; Nelson and Sadowsky, 2015; López-Baena et al., 2016). However, besides secretion and translocation into host cells, only a few rhizobial T3 effectors have been biochemically characterized in detail. Examples of well-studied rhizobial effectors are the nodulation outer proteins NopE1/NopE2 (Wenzel et al., 2010; Schirmeister et al., 2011), NopL (Bartsev et al., 2003; Bartsev et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2016), NopM (Rodrigues et al., 2007; Kambara et al., 2009; Xin et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2018), NopP (Ausmees et al., 2004; Skorpil et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2018; Sugawara et al., 2018), NopT (Dai et al., 2008; Down et al., 2009; Kambara et al., 2009; Fotiadis et al., 2012), and ErnA (Teulet et al., 2019).

Pattern recognition receptors of plants recognize structurally conserved microbial elicitors (PAMPs) to activate defense gene expression. In most cases, PAMP recognition results in PTI (Boller and Felix, 2009; Macho and Zipfel, 2014; Cao et al., 2017). T3 effectors translocated into plant cells often suppress PTI and some of them target pattern recognition receptors and downstream signaling components such as mitogen activated protein (MAP) kinases (Feng and Zhou, 2012). The T3 effector NopL of Sinorhizobium sp. (=Ensifer fredii) NGR234, for example, becomes multiply phosphorylated by MAP kinases and thereby inhibits MAP kinase signaling (Zhang et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2016). On the other hand, plants can recognize the presence or action of a specific T3 effector (avirulence protein) by a given intracellular disease resistance protein (nucleotide-binding/leucine-rich repeat receptor). This triggers a rapid and strong defense reaction that often culminates in programmed cell death, the so-called hypersensitive response. In this way, growth of invading pathogens is rapidly arrested and the T3 effector functions as an avirulence protein (ETI) (Cui et al., 2015). A strong hypersensitive response was also observed when the rhizobial effector protease NopT was expressed in the non-host plant tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) (Dai et al., 2008; Fotiadis et al., 2012). Likewise, NopT and other rhizobial effectors (Staehelin and Krishnan, 2015) have a negative impact on nodule formation in certain host plants. In soybean (Glycine max), special forms of the disease resistance protein Rj2 are involved in blockage of nodule formation by specific Bradyrhizobium and Sinorhizobium strains in a T3SS-dependent manner (Yang et al., 2010; Sugawara et al., 2018). ETI-like defense responses were observed in a specific soybean cultivar (Rj4/Rj4 genotype) inoculated with B. elkanii USDA61 (Yasuda et al., 2016). Positional cloning revealed that the Rj4 gene encodes a thiamatin-like protein (Tang et al., 2016). Nodulation tests with rhizobia mutated with the Tn5 transposon indicated that Rj4-mediated nodulation blockage can be overcome by deletion of a putative T3 effector gene (BEL2_5 in USDA61, Faruque et al., 2015; MA20_12780 in B. japonicum Is-34, Tsurumaru et al., 2015).

Post-translational ubiquitination of proteins followed by degradation via the ubiquitin proteasome system regulates protein levels in eukaryotic cells. To suppress PTI, T3 effectors can interfere with the ubiquitin proteasome system. For example, T3 effectors of pathogenic bacteria can mimic the activity of ubiquitin ligases and therefore label PTI-related host proteins for proteasome-dependent degradation (Dudler, 2013; Banfield, 2015). Likewise, the E3 ubiquitin ligase NopM, a T3 effector of Sinorhizobium sp. NGR234, can dampen PAMP-induced generation of reactive oxygen species in Nicotiana benthamiana cells (Xin et al., 2012). Besides the ubiquitin system, effectors delivered to host cells may interfere with sumoylation, i.e. conjugation of a protein to a small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) protein. Sumoylation in eukaryotic cells regulates various processes such as transcriptional regulation, intracellular localization, signal transduction, stress responses, cell cycle progression and protein stability. Sumoylation depends on a SUMO activating enzyme (E1), a SUMO conjugating enzyme (E2), and SUMO ligases (E3) that facilitate sumoylation. In addition, specific SUMO proteases such as Ulps are required for processing of SUMO to its major form (C-terminal di-glycine motif). SUMO-conjugated proteins can be deconjugated by SUMO proteases (desumoylases) and released SUMO can be recycled (Gareau and Lima, 2010).

Remarkably, bacterial effectors may possess SUMO protease activity. The T3 effector XopD of the plant pathogen X. campestris is a prototype of such a protease. XopD is a modular protein with a C-terminal SUMO protease domain that can process various plant SUMO isoforms (Hotson et al., 2003; Chosed et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2011). Moreover, XopD possesses deubiquitinating activity that depends on an unstructured ubiquitin-binding region, indicating a multi-functional enzyme (Pruneda et al., 2016). Proteolytic activity of XopD requires a catalytic triad (HDC residues) in the C-terminal SUMO protease (C48 cysteine peptidase) domain. In addition, DNA binding activity has been reported for XopD (Kim et al., 2008). Known plant target proteins of XopD proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana are transcription factors such as HFR1 (positive regulator of photomorphogenesis) (Tan et al., 2015) as well as DELLA proteins (negative regulators of gibberellin signaling) (Tan et al., 2014). In tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), XopD desumoylates the ethylene responsive transcription factor SIERF4 (Kim et al., 2013). Fluorescence-tagged XopD proteins expressed in plant cells are localized in nuclei and often accumulate in nuclear bodies that are referred to as nuclear foci in previous studies (Hotson et al., 2003). Nuclear bodies are distinct punctate structures in nuclei such as Cajal bodies and nuclear speckles (Morimoto and Boerkoel, 2013).

Several T3 effectors (or effector candidates) of rhizobia show certain sequence similarities with the C-terminal protease domain of XopD. The nodulation outer protein NopD (SFHH103_04358; CEO91485.1) of Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 was identified by mass spectrometry by comparing extracellular protein profiles from a T3SS-knockout mutant (Xiang et al. Characterization of the SUMO Protease NopD).
two recently identified bradyrhizobial proteins inducing Rj4-mediated nodulation blockage (BEL2_5, Faruque et al., 2015; MA20_12780, Tsurumaru et al., 2015) can be considered as NopD family proteins. On the molecular level, however, NopD proteins have not been studied yet.

In this work, we have characterized NopD of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150. NopD can process specific plant SUMO proteins and desumoylate SUMO-conjugated proteins. Moreover, we provide evidence that NopD expressed in planta is targeted to nuclei where it accumulates in nuclear bodies. NopD activity induces ETI-like plant responses, namely cell death in tobacco and reduced nodule formation on roots of the legume *Tephrosia vogelii*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains, Plasmids and Primers**

Information on strains and plasmids used in this study is provided in Supplementary Table S1. Plasmids were constructed according to standard methods with restriction enzymes and PCR-based methods. Primers are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

**Identification of a T3SS Gene Cluster and a nopD Gene in *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150**

*Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150 was isolated from a nodule of a peanut plant (*Arachis hypogaea* cv. Liaoning Silihong) at a suburban field close to Guangzhou, China (23.38920N, 113.39900E). Strain XS1150 is resistant to 10 µg/mL chloramphenicol and efficiently grows in various media (Supplementary Text 1). Genomic DNA of strain XS1150 was shotgun-sequenced by the company Ai Jian Genomics (Guangzhou, China) using the Illumina GAIIx system (Illumina). Genes on scaffolds were predicted by the Prodigal v2.60 software. Database comparisons were performed using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) at the NCBI homepage. The draft genome sequence of strain XS1150 has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank (whole genome shotgun sequencing project NFUH0000000.1; Bioproject PRJNA385724). Using putative rhizobial T3SS genes and predicted T3 effectors (Staelin and Krishnan, 2015) as query sequences, a T3SS gene cluster (in scaffold 201) and a nopD gene (in scaffold 90) were identified in XS1150. The coding sequence of nopD was PCR-cloned and confirmed by Sanger sequencing (accession number MF100854). Amino acid sequence alignment of the C-terminal part of NopD with related rhizobial proteins and the *Xanthomonas* effector XopD was performed with DNASTar. Lasergene.v7. A corresponding phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA5 software using the neighbor-joining method and default setting. Bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 replications (Tamura et al., 2011).

**Functional Analysis of the NopD Secretion Signal Sequence**

To analyze functionality of the N-terminal secretion signal of NopD, the N-terminal secretion signal sequence (residues 1–50) of the effector NopL produced by *Sinorhizobium* sp. NGR234 was replaced by the corresponding N-terminal sequence of NopD. A DNA fragment consisting of the nopL promoter from NGR234, the nopD sequence (encoding amino acid residues 1–50) fused to nopL (encoding amino acid residues 51–338) was cloned into the RK2-derived cloning vector pFAJ1703 (Dombrecht et al., 2001). The plasmid, named pFAJ-NopD:NopL, was then mobilized into NGRΩnopL (NGR234 derivative with an Ω interposon in the nopL gene; Marie et al., 2003) and NGRΩrhcN (Ω interposon in the *rhcN* gene and thus lacking a functional T3SS; Viprey et al., 1998). Bacterial cultures (180 rpm, 27°C) were treated with 1 µM apigenin and harvested 4 h later. Proteins from culture supernatants were precipitated with 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid and used for SDS-PAGE, Ponceau staining and Western blot analysis with a previously prepared antibody against NopL (Zhang et al., 2011). Details are described in Supplementary Text 1.

**Recombinant Proteins Expressed in *Escherichia coli***

*Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells carrying a given plasmid were used for expression of recombinant proteins. Purification of proteins was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol for affinity chromatography of native proteins (for 6 × His-tagged proteins: Ni-NTA magnetic agarose beads from Qiagen, Germantown, MA, United States; for GST fusion proteins: glutathione agarose beads from Novagen, Madison, WI, United States). Purified proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE, Western blot analysis or enzyme tests.

**SDS-PAGE and Western Blot Analysis**

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on 12% polyacrylamide gels and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. For Western blot analysis, proteins were separated onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were incubated with commercially available antibodies against protein tags, with an antibody recognizing NopL of *Sinorhizobium* sp. NGR234 (Zhang et al., 2011) or against an antibody recognizing a C-terminal part of NopD of strain *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150. For preparation of the anti-NopD antibody, recombinant NopD (residues 640–1017) with an N-terminal 6 × His tag was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and the purified protein was used for immunization of a rabbit. After incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated second antibodies, Western blots were developed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (Boster, Wuhan, China) or by electrochemiluminescence detection reagents (Amersham GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) according to the supplier's protocols.
Peptidase and Isopeptidase Activity Assays

For the in vitro peptidase assay, purified substrates (GST fused to various SUMO-Gly-Gly-3HA) were incubated with purified 6 × His-tagged test proteins in elution buffer used for purification of 6 × His-tagged proteins (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.9, containing 500 mM imidazole and 0.5 M NaCl) for 30 min at 30 °C. Enzyme assays were performed with: (i) full-length NopD; (ii) NopD-C, the C-terminal domain of NopD (residues 640–1017 with an N-terminal methionine); and (iii) NopD-C972A, a NopD variant with a cysteine-to-alanine substitution in the catalytic core. Reaction mixtures were then analyzed on Western blots with an anti-GST antibody. Removal of the C-terminal 3HA tag resulted in a clear band shift.

For the isopeptidase assay, a commonly used in vitro desumoylation assay was performed with sumoylated RanGAP of Homo sapiens (Matunis et al., 1996). To prepare the substrates, different SUMO proteins (processed forms with terminal Thr-Gly-Gly residues) were conjugated to the acceptor RanGAP with an N-terminal 6×His tag and a C-terminal Myc tag by using recombinant E1 and E2 proteins of A. thaliana. Purified 6 × His-tagged AtSAE1 (E1), AtSAE2 (E1) and AtUbc9 (E2) were prepared for this purpose. The sumoylation reaction was carried out in a total volume of 100 µl with 8 µg of RanGAP-Myc-6×His, 8 µg of GST-SUMO(TGG), 1 µg of AtSAE1-His6, 1 µg of AtSAE2-His6 and 2 µg of AtUbc9-His6 in 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.8) containing 100 mM NaCl, 15% glycerol, 5 mM ATP, and 10 mM MgCl2 at 22°C for 6 or 8 h. No sumoylated RanGAP was formed when the SUMO protease XopD of X. campestris (also expressed as 6×His tagged protein) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction products containing RanGAP conjugated to different SUMO proteins were then incubated (30°C; 30 min) with 0.1 µg of 6 × His-tagged enzymes (NopD, NopD-C and NopD-C972A). Removal of SUMO from sumoylated RanGAP-Myc-His6 forms was analyzed on Western blots with an anti-Myc antibody.

Expression of NopD and Variants in Plant Cells

Agrobacterium-mediated transient gene expression in tobacco (N. tabacum cv. Xanthi) was used for expression of NopD and enzymatically inactive NopD-C972A (cysteine-to-alanine substitution in the catalytic core). In subcellular localization studies, NopD fused to YFP were expressed in A. thaliana protoplasts. In a similar way, NopD variants fused to YFP were analyzed, namely (i) enzymatically inactive NopD-C972A, (ii) NopD-N, the N-terminal domain of NopD (residues 1–390), (iii) NopD-NΔ2-53, a NopD-N variant lacking residues 2–53, (iv) NopD-NΔ2-60, a NopD-N variant lacking residues 2–60; (v) NopD-TR, the tandem repeat domain of NopD (residues 391–720 with an N-terminal methionine), and (vi) NopD-C, the C-terminal protease domain of NopD (residues 640–1017 with an N-terminal methionine). ARF4 (auxin response factor 4 of A. thaliana) fused to GFP served as nuclear marker. Details on protein expression in tobacco and A. thaliana are shown in Supplementary Text 1.

Construction of XS1150 Mutants

The mutant XS1150ΩrhcsT (lacking a functional T3SS) was constructed by inserting an ΩSpe interposon into the T3SS apparatus gene rchs of strain Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150. Strain XS1150ΔnopD, a nopD-deficient mutant of XS1150, was constructed by replacing the nopD coding sequence with an ΩSpe interposon. Strain XS1150ΔnopD+nopD is a derivative of XS1150ΔnopD in which the nopD gene (including a 1-kb promoter region) was re-introduced. The mutant XS1150ΔnopD+C972A was constructed in a similar way to obtain a strain that produces an enzymatically inactive NopD variant (substitution of cysteine residue 927 by alanine).

Details on the mutant construction procedure are provided in Supplementary Text 1 and Supplementary Figure S1.

Nodulation Tests

Tephrosia vogelii was used to characterize the symbiotic phenotypes of the constructed mutants (XS1150 ΔnopD, XS1150 ΔnopD+C972A and XS1150 ΔrhcsT) as compared to the parent strain Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150. Information on performed nodulation tests can be found in Supplementary Text S1. Statistical analysis was performed by Kruskal–Wallis tests considering each plastic jar unit (1 plant) as a replicate.

Accession Numbers

Sequences used for DNA constructs of this study have the following accession numbers in sequence databases: Draft genome of Bradyrhizobium sp. (B. guangdongense) XS1150: NFUH00000000 (BioProject PRJNA385724); NopD of Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150: NP_200853. Information on performed nodulation tests can be found in Supplementary Text S1. Statistical analysis was performed by Kruskal–Wallis tests considering each plastic jar unit (1 plant) as a replicate.

RESULTS

Identification of nopD in the Genome of Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150

Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150 was isolated from a peanut (A. hypogaea) nodule at a suburban field close to Guangzhou, China. Re-inoculation tests resulted in efficient nodule formation that promoted growth of peanuts. Strain XS1150 induced also nodules on roots of T. vogelii (Supplementary Figure S2). Whole-genome shotgun sequencing revealed that XS1150 is a Bradyrhizobium strain (tentatively named Bradyrhizobium guangdongense). The sequences (670 contigs; totally 7624764 nucleotides) were submitted to the DDBJ/ENA/GenBank
database (accession number NFUH00000000). Sequence homology searches indicated that the XS1150 genome possesses a T3SS gene cluster that contains the transcriptional regulator gene ttsI and the putative effector genes nopL, nopE1, and nopP. Moreover, a sequence homologous to the effector gene nopAR of B. japonicum USDA122 (=bll1840 in strain USDA110; Tsukui et al., 2013) was found in the T3SS gene cluster of XS1150 (Supplementary Figure S3).

Using the C-terminal protease domain sequence of nopD from Sinorhizobium fredii HH103 (accession number CE091485.1) as query sequence, an additional putative effector gene of XS1150 was identified outside the T3SS gene cluster of XS1150. The NopD protein of strain XS1150 possesses a calculated molecular weight of 111.45 kDa. It consists of an N-terminal domain (residues 1–390), a tandem repeat (TR) domain with 7 repeats (residues 391–720; the first 6 repeats contain 49 residues, the last one contains 36 residues) and a C-terminal protease domain (residues 721–1017) (Figure 1A). The C-terminal protease domain of NopD shows sequence similarities to NopD of strain HH103 and to various other putative rhizobial effectors (such as BEL2_5 of USDA61, MA20_12780 of Is-34, mrl6316 of MAFF330399, bll8244 of USDA110, blr1693 of USDA110, blr1705 of USDA110). The C-terminal protease domains of these rhizobial proteins could be aligned to the Xanthomonas effector XopD, a SUMO protease of the C48 cysteine peptidase family (Supplementary Figure S4). The alignment allowed prediction of conserved residues (catalytic triad) required for SUMO protease activity. Based on the obtained alignment, a corresponding phylogenetic tree was constructed (Figure 1B).

**NopD Possesses a Functional Secretion Signal Sequence**

Bioinformatic analysis with EffectiveDB (Eichinger et al., 2016) predicted that NopD possesses an N-terminal secretion signal sequence required for T3SS-dependent secretion. To confirm this prediction, we prepared a plasmid (named pFAJ-NopD:3HA) to express a chimeric NopD-3HA protein in the mutants NGRΔnopL and NGRΔnopC of Sinorhizobium sp. NGR234. NGRΔnopL is a knockout mutant deficient in synthesis of the NopL effector and NGRΔnopC lacks a functional T3SS. Figure 1C shows a schematic view of the expressed construct. Western blot analysis with an anti-NopL antibody indicated presence of the NopD-NopL protein in the culture supernatant of NGRΔnopL carrying pFAJ-NopD:3HA. However, no corresponding Western blot signals were observed for protein preparations from the culture supernatant of NGRΔnopC carrying pFAJ-NopD:3HA (Figure 1C). These findings indicate that NopD possesses an N-terminal secretion signal sequence that is recognized by the T3SS of strain NGRΔnopL.

**NopD Is a SUMO Protease**

A truncated NopD protein (residues 640–1017) was expressed in E. coli in order to produce a polyclonal antibody against NopD. The protein with a 6 × His-tag was purified by nickel affinity purification and then used for immunization of a rabbit. Full-length His-tagged NopD, albeit to a lesser extent, could also be expressed in E. coli. After purification by nickel affinity chromatography, a band corresponding to the expected molecular weight was detected with the prepared antibody. In addition, faster migrating bands (presumably degraded NopD forms) were observed (Supplementary Figure S5).

As the C-terminal protease domain of NopD proteins is related to the Xanthomonas T3 effector XopD, we expected that NopD possesses SUMO protease activity. We expressed full-length NopD, NopD-C972A (substitution of the predicted catalytic core cysteine residue to alanine) and NopD-C (C-terminal protease domain) in E. coli as 6 × His-tagged proteins. SUMO proteins with a GST tag at the N-terminal and three HA tags at the C-terminus (directly following the C-terminal Gly-Gly residues) were also expressed in E. coli. Such GST-SUMO1-3HA fusion proteins were prepared for various SUMOs from A. thaliana (AtSUMO1, AtSUMO2, AtSUMO3 and AtSUMO5), soybean (GmSUMO), common bean (PvSUMO), human (HuSUMO1, HuSUMO2 and HuSUMO4) and yeast (S. cerevisiae; Smt3). The native proteins, purified by affinity chromatography, were then used for hydrolytic tests. As shown in Figure 2, full-length NopD and NopD-C, but not NopD-C972A, had the capacity to release the three HA tag from GST-AtSUMO1-3HA (A. thaliana), GST-AtSUMO2-3HA (A. thaliana), GST-GmSUMO-3HA (soybean) and GST-PvSUMO-3HA (common bean). However, the two other Arabidopsis SUMO isoforms (GST-AtSUMO3-3HA and GST-AtSUMO5-3HA) were not cleaved in this assay. Likewise, SUMO isoforms from human (GST-HuSUMO1-3HA, GST-HuSUMO2-3HA, GST-HuSUMO4-3HA) as well as GST-Smt3-3HA from yeast were no substrates for NopD or NopD-C. Hence, NopD and NopD-C could process the C-terminal end of specific plant SUMO proteins.

SUMO proteases not only process SUMO proteins but can also remove SUMO from SUMO conjugated acceptor proteins. To investigate whether NopD has such isopeptidase activity, we cloned Arabidopsis genes of the sumoylation cascade, namely AtSAE1, AtSAE2 and AtUbC9. These genes were subsequently expressed in E. coli as 6 × His-tagged proteins and purified. Similarly, we prepared the acceptor protein RanGAP of H. sapiens (with an N-terminal 6 × His tag and a C-terminal Myc tag) and AtSUMO1 in its processed form (TGG), fused to an N-terminal GST tag. The recombiant proteins were used to obtain sumoylated RanGAP. Formation of an AtSUMO1-RanGAP conjugate was not observed when the known SUMO protease XopD was added to the reaction (Figure 3A). Other SUMO-RanGAP conjugates were prepared in a similar way (Supplementary Figure S6). The proteins were then used for isopeptidase activity tests with NopD and variants. Reactions with NopD and NopD-C resulted in desumoylation of AtSUMO1-RanGAP, AtSUMO2-RanGAP, GmSUMO-RanGAP or PvSUMO-RanGAP. In contrast, NopD-C972A did not show enzyme activity (Figure 3B). All other conjugates (AtSUMO3-RanGAP, AtSUMO5-RanGAP, HuSUMO1-RanGAP, HuSUMO2-RanGAP, HuSUMO4-RanGAP and Smt3-RanGAP) remained intact when incubated with NopD or NopD-C (Figure 3C). Hence, isopeptidase
activities of NopD and NopD-C were similar to those obtained with GST-SUMO-3HA proteins.

**NopD but Not NopD-C<sub>972A</sub> Induces Cell Death in Tobacco**

To study effects of NopD in living plant cells, we transiently expressed NopD in tobacco cells. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carrying binary vectors containing the CaMV 35S promoter and a given nopD sequence were infiltrated into leaves of tobacco plants. Remarkably, a rapid cell death response was induced by expression of NopD. The strength of the hypersensitive response was comparable to that induced by the effector NopT of *Sinorhizobium* sp. NGR234 (Dai et al., 2008). However, NopD-C<sub>972A</sub> expression in tobacco did not cause cell death (Figure 4A). These data indicate that the observed hypersensitive response depended on the protease activity of NopD.

**Subcellular Localization of NopD and Variants in Plant Nuclei**

To investigate the subcellular localization of NopD in plant cells, NopD variants fused to YFP were expressed in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. The constructs were expressed from the CaMV 35S promoter. Analysis of transformed protoplasts by confocal microscopy revealed that fluorescence signals increased in the nuclear bodies, suggesting that NopD-YFP was first homogeneously localized in the nucleus and then re-localized to the nuclear bodies. The enzymatically inactive variant NopD-C<sub>972A</sub> fused to YFP showed a similar nuclear localization pattern (Figure 4C). In contrast, NopD-N (residues 1-390) fused to YFP did not accumulate in nuclear bodies but was localized in the nucleus (with strong fluorescence signals in the nucleolus), suggesting the presence of a cryptic nuclear localization signal in the N-terminal domain of NopD. Removal of N-terminal residues from NopD-N (T3SS secretion signal sequence) had no impact, i.e. localization of YFP-tagged NopD-N lacking residues 2-53 (NopD-N<sub>1-2-53</sub>) or 2-60 (NopD-N<sub>1-2-60</sub>) was not different from NopD-N. YFP-tagged NopD-TR (tandem repeat domain of NopD; residues 391-720) and NopD-C (C-terminal SUMO protease domain; residues 640-1017) were evenly distributed in the cell like YFP alone (Supplementary Figure S7).

**NopD Negatively Affects Nodulation of the Host Plant T. vogelii**

To explore symbiotic effects of NopD during symbiosis, a nopD deletion mutant of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150, named XS1150ΔnopD, was constructed (Supplementary Figure S1). Nodulation tests with various legumes revealed that *T. vogelii*
FIGURE 2 | Peptidase activity of 6 × His-tagged NopD and NopD-C. (A) Schematic view of the test system that is based on release of the C-terminal 3HA tag from a given GST-SUMO protein by NopD and NopD-C (C-terminal protease domain of NopD). Reaction mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 1 h. 6 × His-tagged NopD-C_{972}A (enzymatically inactive variant) and buffer (no enzyme) were used as negative controls. (B) Analysis of reaction mixtures on Western blots probed with an anti-GST antibody. Incubation of GST-AtSUMO1-3HA, GST-AtSUMO2-3HA, GST-GmSUMO1-3HA and GST-PvSUMO1-3HA with 6 × His-tagged NopD or NopD-C resulted in band shifts, indicating release of the C-terminal 3HA tag. Incubation with NopD-C_{972}A or buffer alone did not result in a band shift. (C) Results for reactions with GST-SUMO-3HA proteins that were not cleaved by NopD or variants (no obvious band shift).

is a host plant that differently responds to XS1150 and XS1150_{nopD}. The mutant induced significantly more nodules and the nodule biomass per plant was also increased. These findings suggest that NopD functions as asymbiotic effector that negatively affects the symbiosis between strain XS1150 and T. vogelii. Compared to the parent strain XS1150, less nodules and a lower nodule biomass per plant were observed when T. vogelii plants were inoculated with the T3SS knockout mutant XS1150_{rhcST}. These findings suggest that uncharacterized effectors of XS1150 show symbiosis-promoting effects (Figure 5).

In this study, we have characterized a putative T3 effector of Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150. NopD and the C-terminal domain alone (NopD-C) show SUMO processing activity and SUMO deconjugation activity. The cysteine residue 972 of NopD was found to be essential for enzyme activity in these tests. We propose to use the protein name NopD for all rhizobial T3 effectors with an enzymatically active SUMO protease domain (C48 or Ulp1 peptidase family) even if other domains in these effectors are different or absent. The NopD-NopL fusion protein was secreted by the T3SS of strain NGR_{nopL} whereas no Western blot signal was observed for the T3SS-deficient mutant NGR_{rhcN}. These findings indicate that the N-terminal sequence of NopD is a functional secretion signal sequence as predicted by EffectiveDB (Eichinger et al., 2016). T3SS-dependent NopD secretion by XS1150 and translocation into legume cells remains to be experimentally demonstrated.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have characterized a putative T3 effector of Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150. NopD and the C-terminal domain alone (NopD-C) show SUMO processing activity and SUMO deconjugation activity. The cysteine residue 972 of NopD was found to be essential for enzyme activity in these tests. We propose to use the protein name NopD for all rhizobial T3 effectors with an enzymatically active SUMO protease domain (C48 or Ulp1 peptidase family) even if other domains in these effectors are different or absent.

The NopD-NopL fusion protein was secreted by the T3SS of strain NGR_{nopL} whereas no Western blot signal was observed for the T3SS-deficient mutant NGR_{rhcN}. These findings indicate that the N-terminal sequence of NopD is a functional secretion signal sequence as predicted by EffectiveDB (Eichinger et al., 2016). T3SS-dependent NopD secretion by XS1150 and translocation into legume cells remains to be experimentally demonstrated.
confirmed. Support for translocation into host cells is provided by our findings that NopD can target plant SUMO proteins and that nodulation of T. vogelii was negatively affected by the catalytic cysteine residue 972 in NopD. Remarkably, NopD and NopD-C (C-terminal protease domain) both showed a rigid substrate preference for specific plant SUMO proteins, i.e. they can process only specific GST-SUMO-3HA proteins (AtSUMO1, AtSUMO2, GmSUMO and PvSUMO). A similar preference for the same plant SUMO protein was observed when NopD was used in a SUMO deconjugation assay with sumoylated RanGAP.

All SUMO proteins processed by NopD contain a C-terminal recognition motif previously identified for XopD (A35-R29-M7L6-H5-Q7-T5-G7-G1; numbers following the amino acid residues indicate positions relative to the cleavage site; see Supplementary Figure S8; Chosed et al., 2007). Other SUMO proteins lacking this motif (particularly M7L6H5 residues) were not processed by NopD or XopD. Hence, NopD and XopD appear to possess a similar substrate preference for SUMOs and thus may target plant proteins that are sumoylated in a similar way. However, the N-terminal parts of NopD and XopD proteins are rather different (residues 1–720 of NopD show only 17% amino acid sequence identity with XopD of X. campestris pv. campestris strain 8004).

Although various rhizobial T3 effectors have been identified (Staehelin and Krishnan, 2015), subcellular localization analysis in plant cells has been only performed for a few effectors of Sinorhizobium sp. NGR234 (Dowen et al., 2009; Ge et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018) and Bradyrhizobium sp. ORS3257 (Teulet et al., 2019). Fluorescence-tagged NopD was found to be targeted to the plant nucleus (Figure 4) although the protein apparently lacks a classic nuclear localization signal. We suggest that NopD possesses a cryptic nuclear localization signal in its N-terminus as YFP-tagged NopD-N (residues 1–390) also showed nuclear localization.
Expression of NopD and NopD-C972A in plant cells. (A) NopD induces cell death in tobacco. Expression of NopD and NopD-C972A in different sections of a tobacco leaf was performed by infiltration of A. tumefaciens carrying appropriate plasmids (pCAMBIA1302 derivatives). NopT (a T3 effector of Sinorhizobium sp. NGR234) was used for comparison. The photograph was taken 48 h after bacterial infiltration. Cell death (necrotic tissue) was observed for NopD and NopT, whereas expression of NopD-C972A showed no visible effects. (B, C) Subcellular localization of YFP-tagged NopD and NopD-C972A in Arabidopsis protoplasts. The nuclear marker ARF4 fused to RFP was co-expressed. Cells were analyzed with a confocal microscope for red fluorescence (RFP) emission, yellow fluorescence (YFP) emission and under bright-field illumination (18 h after transformation). YFP fluorescence was evenly distributed throughout the nucleus (type I cells) or preferentially in nuclear bodies (type II cells).

localization. NopD and NopD-C972A (Figure 4), but not other NopD variants (Supplementary Figure S7), accumulated in nuclear bodies. Hence, subnuclear localization depended on full-length NopD whereas protease activity was not required for accumulation of NopD in nuclear bodies. The Xanthomonas T3 effector XopD expressed in plant cells may also accumulate in nuclear bodies (Hotson et al., 2003). Co-expression of XopD proteins with a given target protein (SlERF4 or HFR1) resulted in co-localization of both proteins in nuclear bodies (Kim et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2015).

Like T3 effectors from pathogens, rhizobial effectors are expected to suppress plant defense reactions, thereby promoting rhizobial infection, nodule formation and survival of bacteroids in nodules (Staehelin and Krishnan, 2015; Nelson and Sadowsky, 2015; Cao et al., 2017). On the other hand, rhizobial effectors can have negative effects on symbiosis with certain legumes. The role of NopD in the interaction between strain XS1150 and T. vogelii suggests that the protein is an asymbiotic effector similar to ETI-inducing avirulence proteins in plant-pathogen interactions. Likewise, the hypersensitive reaction of tobacco cells elicited by NopD expression can be considered as an ETI response. The proteolytically inactive NopD-C972A variant did not elicit cell death, however. This finding suggests indirect effector recognition through desumoylation of a NopD substrate that perhaps functions as sensor for disease resistance protein-mediated ETI (Cui et al., 2015). Nodulation tests with T. vogelii showed that the symbiotic effector activity of NopD also depended on cysteine residue 972. This finding suggests that abnormal desumoylation events caused by proteolytically active NopD were not favorable for nodulation of this plant. The NopD-dependent nodulation phenotype of T. vogelii is reminiscent of the incompatible interaction between Rj4/Rj4 soybeans and B. elkanii USDA61 and B. japonicum Is-34. The C-terminal regions of the BEL2_5 (USDA61) and MA20_12780 (Is-34) proteins are related to NopD (Figure 1B) and thus are predicted to possess SUMO protease activity. Mutant strains lacking these proteins gained the ability to induce nodules on Rj4/Rj4 soybeans, suggesting that NopD family proteins possess asymbiotic effector activity on soybean genotypes expressing Rj4 (Faruque et al., 2015; Tsurumaru et al., 2015; Yasuda et al., 2016). Rj4 encodes a specific thaumatin-like protein that only differs in few amino acids from homologs (Tang et al., 2016).

Taken together, we have identified and characterized NopD of Bradyrhizobium sp. XS1150. NopD is a modular protein that consists of at least three different units: (i) an N-terminal domain which appears to be required for T3SS-dependent secretion and
Symbiotic phenotype of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150 and constructed mutants on the host plant *T. vogelii*. Data shown are the results of a representative nodulation test. Plants were inoculated with indicated strains and harvested 36 days later. Data indicate means ± SE (8 jars; n = 8). Different letters indicate significant differences (Kruskal–Wallis tests, *P* < 0.02). (A) Nodule number (number of pink nodules and white nodules per plant). (B) Nodule biomass (fresh weight of pink and white nodules per plant). Abbreviations: XS1150, *Bradyrhizobium* sp. XS1150 (wild-type); ΔnopD, XS1150ΔnopD (nopD knockout mutant); ΔnopD+nopD, XS1150ΔnopD+nopD (rescued nopD knockout mutant); ΔnopD+nopD-C972A, XS1150ΔnopD+nopD-C972A (nopD knockout mutant expressing NopD-C972A); ΩrhcST, XS1150ΔrhcST (mutant lacking a functional T3SS).

that also contains information for NopD targeting into plant nuclei; (ii) a middle tandem repeat domain, and (iii) a C-terminal protease domain which targets specific plant SUMO proteins. The protease activity of NopD was required for cell death induction in tobacco and negatively affected nodule formation of *T. vogelii*. Future work will be required to identify SUMO-conjugated targets of NopD in *T. vogelii* or other host legumes.
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