A Novel Technique of Measuring Distance Stereo-Acuity Using TNO Chart and Inverted Telescope

Abstract

**Purpose:** To evaluate the feasibility of the TNO chart for the assessment of distance stereo-acuity using an inverted telescope in normal subjects.

**Methods:** Stereovision of 30 emmetropic adult subjects was measured for near. The distance stereovision was measured using the TNO chart held at 40 cm and an inverted telescope (Galilean design, 26 mm, 2X, Gamma Inc. China) in front of the examinee’s eyes.

**Results:** The near stereopsis ranged from 60” (seconds of arc) - 240” (Median 120”). Distance stereopsis ranged from 4.8” - 38.4”. Distance stereovision could be measured in 14 (47%) subjects.

**Conclusion:** It is possible to measure the distance with an inverted telescope and a TNO chart. Further improvisation is required to design an optimal telescope system with larger field, gradable zoom and measurable inter pupillary distance.
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Introduction

High grade stereopsis is the function of bifoveal fusion. Presence of fine stereopsis [stereovision < 40” (seconds of arc) on TNO test] essentially confirms an efficient and ‘normal’ binocular input [1]. Measurements of Stereovision have been found useful in

i. Monitoring the control of ocular alignment in intermittent strabismus [1,2] viz. the intermittent exotropia [3-5], the intermittent esotropia [1] and accommodative esotropia [6].

ii. Evaluating the functional outcome of strabismus surgery [7].

iii. Comparing the effects of early intervention in infantile strabismus syndromes viz. congenital esotropia [8].

iv. Screening of amblyogenic factors in children viz. anisometropia, significant ametropia and strabismus [9].

In a strabismus clinic, measurement of distance stereopsis is particularly useful in the management of intermittent exotropia and esotropia of divergence paralysis type. This is mainly because reduction in the distance stereopsis is a sensitive sign of early deterioration of these strabismic disorders and generally makes a case for the surgical intervention [10]. Various tests have been used for the measurement of stereovision for the near [11]. Titmus/Wirt Fly test, Randot test and TNO test are among the more popular ones. For the distance stereopsis, the Mentor BVAT SG [12] and Frisby Davis distance stereo test (FD2) [13] are fairly accurate and versatile. However, the distance stereovision tests are not commonly available in the clinics and they are relatively expensive. In the present study, we have explored the option of using the TNO test that uses red-green random dot stereopairs (anaglyphics) with an inverted telescope to measure the global, crossed disparity induced, central stereopsis. To our knowledge this is the first paper in the literature to optically induce the distance using a telescope in an inverted fashion and combine its use with a near stereovision assessment chart for the measurement of distance stereovision.

Subjects and Methods

This prospective cohort study was carried out in the Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus department of a tertiary eye centre in the Western India. The emmetropic and orthophoric subjects in the age group of 20-35 years, visiting the Pediatric Ophthalmology or Strabismus clinic for their ward were included in the study. An optometry student performed a complete ophthalmic examination. Ocular motility evaluation was reconfirmed by a fellowship trained strabismologist. Once the subject was diagnosed as ‘normal’, an oral consent was obtained explaining the nature of the test and the need of the study. The eligible subjects were tested on the TNO test (TNO institute of Vision, Netherlands). Care was taken to hold the TNO chart at 40cm (measured with a tailor’s tape) in a brightly lit room by the same investigator in the same room conditions each time. The subjects were allowed enough time to recognize the 3 dimensional images in the test. Those who did not respond to the first three plates (implying the absence of gross stereopsis) were excluded from the study. The optometrist recorded the last correctly read plate by the subject.

At this stage a binocular telescope (Galilean design, 26 mm, 2X, Gamma Inc. China) was held in an inverted fashion in front of the red-green goggles by the subject. The inter pupillary distance (IPD) was adjusted by the subject, the test was run again and the
response was recorded in the same fashion. Care was taken to maintain the test distance at 40 cm and the subjects were given enough time and explanations to complete the test. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

Results

Of 30 subjects, 24 (80%) were females. The age ranged from 21-35 years (mean 29, standard deviation 4.4). The near stereopsis ranged from 60°-240° (Median 120°, 95%CI 82°-117°). The distance stereopsis ranged from 4.8° - >38.4° (Median 38.4°) in 14 (47%) subjects. Sixteen (53%) subjects reported inability to appreciate distance stereopsis.

Discussion

The ability in nearly half the subjects to appreciate distance stereopsis suggests the feasibility of using an appropriately designed inverted telescopic system for the assessment of distance stereopsis in combination with a near stereo chart. The TNO test is a popular test used to assess the near stereocuity. In this test red-green anaglyphics are presented in a random dot stereopair. When viewed with the Red-Green filter (goggles) it induces the stereo effect that measures the global, central, crossed disparity (central stereocuity). Inversion of the chart (upside down) can test the stereocuity for the uncrossed disparity. The advantages of TNO test over Titmus/Wirt fly test are two folds. 1) There are no monocular clues and 2) Red-Green colour optimizes the stereo contrast for the measurement (akin to the measurement of the Cone function with Photopic conditions- the optimization of Cone system).

By definition, stereocuity is the smallest depth difference we can see, that is, a depth discrimination threshold. Thus stereocuity can be thought of as the “resolving capacity” of stereocuity, much as visual acuity in the resolution limit of the spatial vision. In other words it is the measure of the horizontal binocular disparity that results from the images in each eye being formed on the closely spaced non-corresponding retinal points within Panum’s fusional area. This disparity can be measured [16] using the formula n=2ax/d2. Where n is the angular stereo disparity in radians (to get the stereocuity in arc seconds multiply with 2,06,256), a is the interpupillary distance (IPD), d is the fixation distance and x is depth interval. The fixation distance in the inverted telescope distance can be calculated using the formula u = 1/v independently for each lens of the telescope (eye piece and the objective lens). The image of the first lens acting as the object for the second. Once the fixation distance is calculated, stereocuity can be derived by measuring the IPD. The disparity value can also be calculated for any distance by multiplying the original value on TNO test chart by a factor 40/d where d is the viewing distance in cm [17]. The near stereocuity measurements were similar to previously reported. Median distance stereo acuity in this study was 38.4° comparable to 29.6° at 6 meters reported by Adams et al. [17] and 37° reported by Zaroff et al. [18].

However, Zanoni and Rosenbaum [19], using different distance stereo tests, have noted differences in the distance stereoacuity values. Inability of 16 patients to appreciate the stereopsis necessitates the standardization of the telescopic system and control of accommodation and convergence during testing. The accommodation control would require adequate fogging in the telescopic system. While, the effect of convergence can be removed by presenting the stimulus for 100 ms [18]. Presenting the stimulus for such a short time is certainly possible with the Frisby Davis charts and American Optical charts. While TNO test takes longer time for local stereopsis (Point to point matching of the corresponding random dots in the periphery) to occur and then global stereopsis (stereo averaging of the random points with the central disparity) to appear; hence patients should be allowed to take longer response time (at least 1000ms per plate).

There are a few limitations of the TNO test. 1) It dissociates eyes, 2) it induces crowding phenomenon that reduces the visual acuity in the amblyopic eye more severely and thereby reducing the stereopsis, 3) TNO test induces colour rivalry between the two eyes and 4) the test produces chromatic aberration. These are also some of the reasons why the stereocuity norms on TNO test are different (lower) than that with Titmus/Wirt Fly test. Similarly each of the distance Stereocuity tests have their limitations and merits. We do believe that an inverted telescope system can be used with any other near stereocuity chart. However, the test would need to be standardized, normative values need to be calculated and the repeatability needs to be assessed. So long as one is consistently using the same stereocuity chart, the interpretation would remain the same.

The patients who did not appreciate stereocuity using the inverted telescope in this study had excellent near stereocuity. We believe the problem was their inability to adjust for the IPD and maintain the target within the visual field. Patients with larger IPD > 68mm had this problem. A wider field of telescope with adjustable IPD is likely to eliminate this problem. The effect of pictorial monocular clues was eliminated by the use of random dots and the use of telescopic system. However, the effect of convergence and accommodation though less likely to be clinically significant, may affect the measurements. In the present study we have essentially evaluated the central stereocuity for the crossed disparity which is usually better than the uncrossed disparity induced stereocuity [20]. Nevertheless, the uncrossed disparity induced stereocuity can be easily evaluated using the inverted telescope by inverting the TNO stereo chart.

Summary

Improvisation is required to fabricate a telescope with gradable zoom, adjustable IPD and aspheric lenses with larger field to be used with TNO chart in inverted fashion to measure distance stereo-acyuity.
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