**INTRODUCTION**

Fishes of the family Sparidae are widespread in the Mediterranean and constitute an important fishery resource along its coasts (Gordoa and Moli 1997). They can inhabit a wide range of marine habitats, from rocky to sand bottoms at depths from 0 to 500 m, although they are usually more common at less than 150 m deep (Abecasis et al. 2008). For the presently reported study, four sparid fishes which are very common in the Mediterranean were selected: bogue, *Boops boops* (Linnaeus, 1758); large-eye dentex, *Dentex macrophthalmus* (Bloch, 1791); common two-banded seabream, *Diplodus vulgaris* (Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1817); and axillary seabream, *Pagellus acarne* (Risso, 1827). Bogue inhabit shelf or coastal pelagic areas on various bottoms (sand, mud, rocks, and seaweeds); and large-eye dentex and common two-banded seabream both inhabit rocky or sandy bottoms; while the habitat of axillary seabream varies but they are most often found on sea grass beds and sand (Froese and Pauly 2014). These species are of commercial importance along the Mediterranean coasts and together they comprise almost 1% of Turkish fish capture production (Anonymous 2012).

Although many studies have focused on different aspects of their biology (Gordoa and Moli 1997, Abecasis et al. 2008, Pajuelo and Lorenzo 2000, Gonçalves et al. 2003, Kilongo et al. 2007, Fehri-Bedoui et al. 2009), information regarding growth, length at first maturity, and age is scarce and variable. This study provides some of the first data on the above-mentioned biological parameters of these four sparid species for the Turkish coasts of the Aegean Sea (Mediterranean). The aim of the present study is to expand our knowledge on the biology of these commercial sparid species from the Aegean Sea.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS.** Fish samples were collected between July 2004 and June 2007 by R/V *Egesüf* from Izmir Bay. Demersal trawl samplings were carried out by a traditional trawl net with a 5 m codend (600 mesh circumference at the codend) and made of knotted polyethylene material with 40 mm mesh size netting.

**RESULTS.** The length–weight relations determined in the course of this study were: $W = 0.005L^{3.25}$ (bogue), $W = 0.005L^{3.03}$ (large-eye dentex), $W = 0.007L^{3.31}$ (common two-banded seabream), and $W = 0.009L^{3.14}$ (axillary seabream). The respective $L¥$ and $k$ parameters of von Bertalanffy growth equation were: 29.58 and 0.266 for bogue; 24.3 and 0.399 for large-eye dentex; 28.0 and 0.253 for common two-banded seabream; and 22.7 and 0.315 for axillary seabream. Furthermore, lengths at first maturity were determined as: 12.96 (♀) and 9.35 (♂) cm for bogue; 10.83 (♀) and 11.77 (♂) cm for large-eye dentex; 12.87 (♀) and 13.37 (♂) cm for common two-banded seabream; and 14.45 (♀) and 13.91 (♂) cm for axillary seabream.

**CONCLUSION.** The presently determined parameters of age, growth, reproduction, and mortality of these four species will be useful for estimating the relevant parameters of population dynamics and will hopefully contribute to a better understanding of the long-term changes of the stock sizes.
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regarding the growth, length at reproduction and age are scarce and variable. The goal of the presently reported study was to determine parameters of growth, length at first maturity, and age of the earlier-mentioned four sparid species from Turkish coasts of the Aegean Sea (Mediterranean).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish samples were collected from İzmir Bay (Fig. 1) between July 2004 and June 2007 by R/V Egesüf, owned by the Ege University. The study area comprised three subareas. Subarea 1 is open for all commercial fishing activities. Subarea 2 is open only for small-scale fisheries (gillnets and longlines etc.) but closed for trawlers and purse seiners. Subarea 3—a military zone—is open only for scientific studies but prohibited for all fishing activities including recreational fishing. Demersal trawl samplings were carried out by a traditional trawl net with a 5 m codend (600 mesh circumference at the codend) and made of knotted polyethylene material with 40 mm mesh size netting with a codend liner of 8 m length made of knotless polyamide material with 22 mm mesh size netting in order to capture smaller individuals (Tosunoğlu et al. 1996). Hauling time was determined as the time between the end of steel rope release and the start of haul back. Each of the operations took 1 h and hauling speed was 4.0–4.4 km · h⁻¹ (2.2 to 2.4 knots).

Total length (TL) was measured in the natural body position to the nearest 1 mm. Total weight (W) and gonad weight (Wg) were determined to the nearest 0.01 g, and the sex was recorded. The mean length and weight values were given with standard errors. Pooled data were used to draw annual length frequency diagrams. Sagittal otolith pairs were removed for each length group, cleaned, and stored under dry conditions inside microplates (smaller ones) and Eppendorf tubes® (bigger ones) to determine the age of the specimens.

The sex and gonad maturity stages were determined by macroscopic observation of the gonads. Individuals having only male gonad were determined to be male, likewise only female gonad carrying individuals were determined to be female. Specimen having both male and female gonads regardless of the weight and volume of the gonads were treated as hermaphrodites. Maturity stages were determined according to Gunderson (1993) who distinguished 5 stages: stage I (immature), stage II (resting), stage III (developing), stage IV (ripe), and stage V (spent). The female to male (F : M) ratio was calculated and chi-square (χ²) test was applied for determining the significance of the male to female ratio.

The length–weight relation was calculated with the formula

\[ W = aL^b \]

where \( W \) refers to total body weight [g], \( L \) is total length [cm], and \( a \) and \( b \) are coefficients (Ricker 1973). The parameters \( a \) and \( b \) (intercept and slope, respectively) of the length–weight relation were estimated according to linear regression analysis of log-transformed data. The degree of association between variables was calculated by the determination coefficient (\( R^2 \)).

Only individuals captured from the subarea 1 were used for age estimations. Data for age estimations obtained from entirely or partially prohibited areas (subarea 2 and 3) were excluded because the age data was also used to calculate the fishing mortality (\( F \)), total mortality (\( Z \)), and the exploitation ratios (\( E \)). Age estimations were carried out on sagittal otoliths and made by two experienced independent readers who never had prior access to any information of the individual (size, sex, or date of capture etc.) while they were counting growth increments. The data set which was agreed to by the independent readers was used for the estimations. If the readings did not coincide, the otolith was rejected and not considered in subsequent analyses. The otoliths of 182 bogue, 265 large-eye dentex, 206 common two-banded seabream, and 235 axillary seabream were used for age determination. Cross sections of some otoliths—which were hard to observe because of calcium accumulation on the surface of the otolith—were made and determination was performed on these sections using a stereoscopic zoom microscope with otoliths viewed under reflected light against a black background. Opaque and transparent rings were counted: 1 opaque zone together with 1 transparent zone was considered to be the annual growth indicator.
Standard non-linear optimization methods (Sparre and Venema 1998) were used for estimating the growth and von Bertalanffy growth function was applied to size-at-age data. The function

\[ L_t = L_\infty [1 - e^{-k(t - t_0)}] \]

was fitted to the data, where \( L_t \) is the fish length [cm] at time \( t \) [year], \( L_\infty \) is the asymptotic length [cm], \( k \) is the growth coefficient [year\(^{-1}\)], and \( t_0 \) [year] is the hypothetical time at which the length is equal to zero. In addition, accuracy of the growth parameters was examined using Munro’s growth performance index

\[ \phi' = \log(k) + 2\log(L_\infty) \]

and the \( t \)-test (Pauly and Munro 1984).

The spawning period was determined according to monthly variation of the gonadosomatic index (GSI) [%] using the equation

\[ GSI = 100W_g \cdot (W - W_f)^{-1} \]

where \( W_g \) is the gonad weight [g] and \( W \) is the total weight [g] of the fish (Ricker 1975). Length at first maturity (\( L_m \)) was defined as the length at which 50% of the population investigated was near spawning (King 1996). The length at 50% maturity was determined with the L50 computer program LogLog function (İlkyaz et al. 1998). The equations

\[ r(l) = \exp(-\exp(-(a + bl))) \]

and

\[ L_m = (-\ln(-\ln(0.5)) - a) \cdot b^{-1} \]

were applied, where \( r(l) \) is the proportion of matures in each length class [%], \( l \) is the fish length [cm], \( a \) is the intercept, and \( b \) is the slope.

Mortality estimation was calculated by the equation:

\[ Z = F + M \]

where \( Z \) is the total mortality rate, \( F \) is the rate of fishing mortality which is caused by the all fishing activity, and \( M \) is the rate of natural mortality which includes deaths caused by all other factors (King 1996). The instantaneous rate of total mortality (\( Z = -\ln(S) \)) was estimated by fitting the survivors ratio with the formula

\[ S = N_{t+1} \cdot N_t^{-1} \]

where \( S \) is the survivor ratio, \( N \) is the number of fish belonging to the age group \( t \) and \( t + 1 \) (Ricker 1975). The equation

\[ M = \beta \times k \]

was used to estimate the natural mortality rate, where \( \beta \) varied from 1.3 to 2.1, and \( k \) is the growth coefficient (Jensen 1996). \( \beta \) was estimated from the equation

\[ \beta = (3 - 3\phi) \cdot \omega^{-1} \]

where \( \phi \) is the mean critical length to asymptotic length ratio. Cubillos (2003) calculated \( \omega \) parameters for a number of fish families, however he did not include the family Sparidae. He also calculate the mean values for the families covered by his study, obtaining the value of 0.620 for all species. The fishing mortality rate (\( F \)) was calculated from the formula:

\[ F = Z - M \]

and the exploitation ratio (\( E \)) from:

\[ E = F \cdot Z^{-1} \]

RESULTS

A total of 421 bogue individuals were sampled during the study, including 82 females, 293 males, and 46 immature fish that were excluded from sex ratio determination. Thus female to male ratio (\( F : M \)) of the sample was calculated as 1 : 3.57 and the ratio was statistically significant (\( \chi^2, P < 0.05 \)). The lengths of bogue ranged from 11.0 cm (sampled in January) to 23.8 cm TL (sampled in November) (Fig. 2). The mean length and weight of the samples were 15.5 ± 0.1 cm and 37.9 ± 0.8 g, respectively. The length–weight relation was \( W = 0.005L^{3.25} \) \((R^2 = 0.968)\) for all individuals (Fig. 3), and the result of \( t \)-test showed that the species’ growth type was positive allometry \((P < 0.05; \text{Table 1})\). High gonadosomatic index (GSI) values were detected from the beginning of December to April, whilst individuals ready for spawning were observed mostly between January and March (Fig. 4). It was also found that the age of bogue ranged from 1 to 5 years. The growth, length, and weight at infinity were calculated as \( L_{\infty} = 29.58 \) cm and \( W_{\infty} = 302.94 \) g, respectively. (Table 1, Fig. 5) and the growth performance index was determined as \( \phi' = 2.37 \). Gonad formation occurred at 12.8 cm in females. However, length at first maturity and age were found as \( L_m = 12.96 \) cm and 1 year \((a = -33.362, b = 2.574, R^2 = 0.778)\) for females (Fig. 6). Even though the first gonad formation was found at 11.2 cm, length at first maturity for males couldn’t be calculated due to insufficient data. Total mortality ratio of the samples was calculated as \( Z = 1.173 \) year\(^{-1}\), while natural and fishing mortality ratio were \( M = 0.148 \) year\(^{-1}\) and \( F = 1.025 \) year\(^{-1}\) respectively. Finally, exploitation ratio (\( E \)) of the stock was found as 0.874.

Large-eye dentex were represented by 716 individuals, including 163 females, 84 males, and 469 juveniles. The female to male ratio of the samples was calculated as 1 : 0.52 and the chi-square analysis showed that this ratio was statistically significant \((P < 0.05)\). The lengths of fish ranged from 3.9 cm (January) to 21.3 cm TL (October) (Fig. 2). The average length and weight of the specimens were 9.6 ± 0.1 cm and 18.8 ± 0.7 g, respectively. For all individuals, the length–weight relation was \( W = 0.005L^{3.83} \) \((R^2 = 0.968)\) (Fig. 3), with negative allometric growth observed for females and males but positive allometry for all individuals \((t \text{-test}, P < 0.05; \text{Table 1})\). Gonadosomatic index values were found to be high in July and August (Fig. 4). Moreover, individuals ready for spawning reached the maximum number in July. It was also found that age of the stock ranged from 1 to 5 years. The growth, length and weight at infinity were calculated as \( L_{\infty} = 24.32 \) cm and \( W_{\infty} = 231.00 \) g respectively. (Table 1, Fig. 5). The growth performance index was calculated as \( \phi' = 2.37 \). It was determined that formation of gonads occurred at 7.4 cm in males and 6.7 cm for females. However, the size of first reproduction length and age were found as \( L_m = 10.83 \) cm and 1 year \((a = -31.015, b = 2.864, R^2 = 0.901)\) for females, \( L_m = 11.77 \) cm and 1 year \((a = -25.266, b = 2.147, R^2 = 0.838)\) were determined for males (Fig. 6). Total mortality ratio of the stock was calculated as \( Z = 1.598 \cdot \) year\(^{-1}\), while...
natural and fishing mortality ratio were \( M = 0.734 \cdot y^{-1} \) and \( F = 0.863 \cdot y^{-1} \), respectively. Finally, exploitation ratio \((E)\) of the stock was 0.540.

A total of 709 common two-banded seabream individuals were studied, including 137 females, 105 males, one hermaphrodite, and 466 immature individuals that were excluded from sex ratio determination. Female to male ratio of the stock was calculated to be \(1 : 0.77\) \((\chi^2, P < 0.05)\). The lengths of fish varied between 7.5 cm (July) and 19.0 cm TL (August) (Fig. 2). The mean length and weight of the specimens were \(12.4 \pm 0.1\) cm and \(31.5 \pm 0.6\) g, respectively. The length–weight relation was \(W = 0.007L^{3.31}\) \((R^2 = 0.970)\) for all individuals (Fig. 3), with positive allometric growth observed for females, males and all individuals \((t\text{-test}, P < 0.05; \text{Table 1})\).

Gonadosomatic index values were highest in December and January when the maximum number of individuals ready for spawning were observed (Fig. 4). It was also determined that age of the stock varied between 1 and 3 years. Length and weight at infinity were calculated as \(L_\infty = 27.96\) cm and \(W_\infty = 425.32\) g, respectively. \((\text{Table 1, Fig. 5})\) and the growth performance index was determined as \(\phi' = 2.30\).

The values of 7.5 and 7.7 cm were determined to be lengths of gonad formation for males and females, respectively. Moreover, length at first reproduction and age were found as \(L_m = 12.87\) cm and one year \((a = -18.385, b = 1.429, R^2 = 0.836)\) for females, \(L_m = 13.37\) cm and one year \((a = -19.257, b = 1.440, R^2 = 0.790)\) were determined for males (Fig. 6). On the other hand, mortality and exploitation ratio of two-banded seabream couldn’t be
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**Fig. 2.** Length-frequency diagram for *Boops boops, Dentex macrophthalmus, Diplodus vulgaris,* and *Pagellus acarne* from east-central Aegean Sea, Turkey
calculated due to insufficient samples obtained in the legal fishing area.

A total of 842 axillary seabream individuals were examined during the study, 281 mature females, 80 mature males, and 481 immature individuals. Female to male ratio was $1 : 0.28$ ($\chi^2$, $P < 0.05$). The lengths of fish ranged from 8.5 cm (June) to 20.2 cm TL (October) (Fig. 2). The mean length and weight of the specimens was $13.5 \pm 0.0$ cm and $31.4 \pm 0.3$ g, respectively. The length–weight relation was $W = 0.009L^{3.14}$ ($R^2 = 0.972$) for all individuals (Fig. 3), with isometric growth observed for females and males ($t$-test, $P > 0.05$) but positive allometry was detected for

Fig. 3. Length–weight diagram for *Boops boops*, *Dentex macrophthalmus*, *Diplodus vulgaris*, and *Pagellus acarne* from east-central Aegean Sea, Turkey.
the whole stock (t-test, \( P < 0.05; \) Table 1). High gonadosomatic index values were detected between June and September, while individuals ready for spawning were observed primarily in this period as well (Fig. 4). It was also found that age of the stock ranged from 1 to 6 years. The growth, length and weight at infinity were calculated as \( L_\infty = 22.66 \text{ cm} \) and \( W_\infty = 152.97 \text{ g} \), respectively. (Table 1, Fig. 5) The growth performance index was calculated as \( \phi' = 2.21 \). It was found that formation of gonads occurred at 12.2 cm in males and 12.5 cm for females. However, size at first reproduction and age were found as \( L_m = 14.45 \text{ cm} \) and 2 years \((a = -45.281, b = 3.133, R^2 = 0.916)\) for females, \( L_m = 13.91 \text{ cm} \) and 2 years \((a = -55.103, b = 3.962, R^2 = 0.918)\) were determined for males (Fig. 6). Total mortality ratio of the stock was calculated as \( Z = 2.395 \cdot y^{-1} \), while natural and fishing mortality ratio were \( M = 0.579 \cdot y^{-1} \) and \( F = 1.816 \cdot y^{-1} \), respectively. Finally, exploitation ratio (\( E \)) of the stock was found as 0.758.

**DISCUSSION**

Axillary seabream was represented with the maximum and bogue with the minimum number of individuals during the study. The low number of bogue samples is attributed to the type of sampling gear as bottom trawls do not generally target this species. It was found that female to male ratios of the three species are in favour of females, while males of bogue dominated their stock. Uneven sex ratios may be explained as a consequence of hermaphroditism which is very common in the fishes of the family Sparidae. Moreover, the length–weight relations of all species, in general, indicated positive allometric growth.

![Fig. 4. Monthly average values of gonadosomatic index (GSI) of *Boops boops* (A♀, B♂), *Diplodus vulgaris* (C♀,D♂), *Dentex macrophthalmus* (E♀, F♂), and *Pagellus acarne* (G♀, H♂) from east-central Aegean Sea, Turkey; upper line = maximum; mid line = average; bottom line = minimum value of the GSI; box: standard error of the GSI](image-url)
Table 1

Sampling size, length–weight relation, growth, first maturity and mortality parameters for *Boops boops*, *Dentex macrophthalmus*, *Diplodus vulgaris*, and *Pagellus acarne* from east-central Aegean Sea, Turkey

| Sample size statistics | Length–weight relation | Growth | FM | Mortality |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------|----|-----------|
| Sp | S | n | Total length [cm] | Range | Mean ± SE | a | b | ±CL | GT | L∞ | k | t₀ | φ’ | L | A | Z | M | F | E |
| Bb | ♀ | 82 | 12.8–21.3 | 17.1 ± 0.2 | 0.004 | 3.358 | 0.160 | +A | 12.96 | 1 |
| | ♂ | 293 | 11.2–23.8 | 14.9 ± 0.1 | 0.006 | 3.138 | 0.072 | +A | 11.77 | 1 |
| | ♀ + ♂ | 475 | 11.0–23.8 | 15.5 ± 0.1 | 0.005 | 3.251 | 0.057 | +A | 29.58 | 0.266 | −1.142 | 2.37 | 1.173 | 0.148 | 1.025 | 0.874 |
| Dm | ♀ | 163 | 6.7–21.3 | 12.3 ± 0.2 | 0.019 | 2.930 | 0.056 | −A | 10.83 | 1 |
| | ♂ | 84 | 7.4–20.3 | 13.2 ± 0.2 | 0.024 | 2.825 | 0.073 | −A | 11.77 | 1 |
| | ♀ + ♂ | 716 | 3.9–21.3 | 9.6 ± 0.1 | 0.015 | 3.026 | 0.015 | +A | 24.32 | 0.399 | −0.460 | 2.37 | 1.598 | 0.734 | 0.863 | 0.540 |
| Dv | ♀ | 137 | 7.7–18.7 | 13.4 ± 0.2 | 0.003 | 3.586 | 0.086 | +A | 12.87 | 1 |
| | ♂ | 105 | 7.5–17.5 | 13.3 ± 0.2 | 0.005 | 3.458 | 0.099 | +A | 13.37 | 1 |
| | ♀ + ♂ | 716 | 7.5–19.0 | 12.4 ± 0.1 | 0.007 | 3.309 | 0.043 | +A | 27.96 | 0.253 | −1.175 | 2.30 | |
| Pa | ♀ | 261 | 12.5–20.2 | 14.4 ± 0.1 | 0.011 | 3.055 | 0.123 | I | 14.45 | 2 |
| | ♂ | 80 | 12.2–16.6 | 14.3 ± 0.1 | 0.008 | 3.155 | 0.240 | I | 13.91 | 2 |
| | ♀ + ♂ | 842 | 8.5–20.2 | 13.5 ± 0.0 | 0.009 | 3.138 | 0.036 | +A | 22.66 | 0.315 | −1.202 | 2.21 | 2.395 | 0.579 | 1.816 | 0.758 |

**Notes:** FM = first maturity, S = sex (female, male and total), n = number of specimens, Mean ± SE = arithmetic mean ± standard error of the mean; a = intercept, b = slope, CL = confidence limits for standard error of slope, GT = growth type: I = isometric growth (b = 3), A = positive or negative allometric growth (b ≠ 3); L∞ = asymptotic length [cm], k = growth coefficient [year⁻¹], t₀ = hypothetical time at which the length is equal to zero [year], φ’ = Munro’s phi prime growth performance index value; L = total length [cm], A = age [year]; Z = total mortality [year⁻¹], M = natural mortality [year⁻¹], F = fishing mortality [year⁻¹], E = exploitation ratio; Sp = species: Bb = *Boops boops*, Dm = *Dentex macrophthalmus*, Dv = *Diplodus vulgaris*, Pa = *Pagellus acarne*. 
However, male and female individuals of large-eye dentex displayed negative allometry but isometric growth was observed in the males and females of axillary seabream. Results on bogue given by Karakulak et al. (2006) and Özaydın et al. (2007) for north and middle Aegean Sea, respectively, have the highest similarity with our work. On the other hand, some differences have been observed with the length–weight relation parameters of the previous studies including the remaining three species which are believed to come from regional, temporal, and methodological discrepancies (Table 2).

Reproductive seasons of investigated fish varied. It was found that spawning of large-eye dentex and axillary seabream takes place in summer, while bogue spawns in winter and early spring. Monteiro et al. (2006) stated the reproduction season of bogue in Portugal (Algarve) extends from late winter to spring between February and May, which is in accordance with our results. Comparing the reproduction season of this study with those of Pajuelo and Lorenzo (2000), reproduction season of axillary seabream substantially differs in Canary Islands as they reported the reproductive season extended from October to March, with a peak in spawning activity in December–January. The differences could be attributable to geographic discrepancy. In addition, reproductive activity of common two-banded seabream was observed only in winter. The reproduction period of the common two-banded seabream determined in the presently reported study are similar to those reported by Gonçalves et al. (2003) which was given in autumn and winter in Portugal (Algarve). Comparing the reproduction periods of these species in this study with those of Bauchot and Hureau (1986), only large-eye dentex presents different results for the Mediterranean. Those authors reported the reproduction period of the species from March to May for Mediterranean. Potts et al. (2010) stated that females of large-eye dentex with ripe gonads were present throughout most of the year, but the greatest proportion of ripe female fish was found in December and January in Angola. Although the reproduction period varies according to the habitat of the species, no information was found on the reproduction season of large-eye dentex for the Aegean Sea and our findings will be the pioneering results.

Age information is of crucial importance as it creates the basis for growth and mortality estimations (Campana 2001), making it essential for fisheries management (Casselman 1987, Cailliet et al. 2001). Difficulty in reading and interpretation of bogue otoliths and scales was reported by Abecasis et al. (2008). The authors also added that this is in contrast to those of common two-banded and axillary seabream as they show high consistency in otolith observations. It was determined that age of axillary seabream varied from 1 to 6 years, while bogue and large-eye dentex ranged in between 1 and 5 years. Moreover the maximum age for common two-banded seabream was estimated to be 3 years. Age estimations of three sparids; bogue (11 years), common two-banded seabream (14 years), and axillary seabream (18 years) given by Abecasis et al. (2008) are considerably higher than our findings. These differences are attributable to size range and the sampling gear, as the authors used longline, gillnet, and beach seine that enable them to capture greater individuals than the trawling does. Coelho et al. (2005) stated the age range of axillary seabream from 1 to 8 years while Velasco et al. (2011)
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**Fig. 5.** The von Bertalanffy growth curve for *Boops boops*, *Dentex macrophthalmus*, *Diplodus vulgaris*, and *Pagellus acarne* from east-central Aegean Sea, Turkey.
Table 2

Length–weight relation and the growth parameters of *Boops boops*, *Dentex macrophthalmus*, *Diplodus vulgaris*, and *Pagellus acarne*
based on published records and presently reported study

| SP | Sex | L | Length | LWR | Growth | Year(s) | Area | Reference |
|----|-----|---|--------|-----|--------|---------|------|-----------|
| Σ  | TL  | — | —      | —   | —      | —       | —    | Spain (Castellon) Zúñiga 1967 |
| Σ  | TL  | — | —      | 0.016 | 2.950  | —       | —    | Cape Verde Magnússon and Magnússon 1987 |
| Σ  | FL  | — | —      | 0.015 | 3.000  | 32.0    | 0.29 | Morocco (Western Sahara) Mennes 1985 |
| Σ  | SL  | — | —      | —    | —      | 32.8    | 0.11 | Tunisia Anato and Ktari 1986 |
| ♀  | TL  | — | —      | —    | —      | 0.006   | 3.088| —         |
| ♂  | —   | — | —      | —    | —      | —       | —    | Middle Adriatic Alegria-Hernandez 1989 |
| Σ  | TL  | — | —      | —    | —      | 0.009   | 3.000| —         |
| Σ  | TL  | — | —      | —    | —      | 0.006   | 3.037| —         |
| Σ  | FL  | — | —      | —    | —      | 0.015   | 3.093| —         |
| Σ  | TL  | — | —      | —    | —      | 0.016   | 2.812| —         |
| Σ  | TL  | 7–24 | —     | —    | —      | 28.1    | 0.18 | —         |

Table continues on next page.
| SP | Sex | L | Range | Mean ± SE | a | b | L∞ | k | l∞ | φ' | Year(s) | Area | Reference |
|----|-----|---|-------|-----------|---|---|-----|---|----|----|---------|------|----------|
| Boops boops | ♂ | FL | 6.1–26 | — | — | 23.5 | 0.21 | −1.98 | 2.06 | 2000–2002 | Tunisia (South) | Soykan et al. 2006 |
| | ♂ | FL | 6.1–26 | — | — | 28.7 | 0.20 | −1.41 | 2.22 | 2000–2002 | Tunisia (North) | Khemiri et al. 2005 |
| | ♂ | FL | 6.1–26 | — | — | 24.3 | 0.23 | −1.65 | 2.13 | 2000–2002 | Tunisia (Bay) | Karam et al. 2006 |
| | ♂ | FL | 6.1–26 | — | — | 26.7 | 0.22 | −1.43 | 2.20 | 2000–2002 | Tunisia (East) | |
| | ♂ | TL | 7.4–30.5 | — | — | 28.1 | 0.22 | −1.42 | 2.24 | 1994–1995 | Portugal (Algarve) | | Montiero et al. 2006 |
| | ♂ | TL | 7.5–21.4 | 13.6 ± 1.8 | 0.008 | 3.049 | — | — | — | 1999–2000 | Turkey (N-E Mediterranean) | | Cicek et al. 2006 |
| | ♂ | TL | 10.2–32.1 | — | — | 3.258 | — | — | — | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Northern Aegean) | | Karakulak et al. 2006 |
| | ♂ | TL | 11.3–16.7 | 14.3 | 0.006 | 3.347 | — | — | — | 2005 | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | | Özaydın et al. 2007 |
| | ♀ | TL | 6.1–26 | — | — | 24.3 | 0.23 | −1.65 | 2.13 | 2000–2002 | Tunisia (Bay) | | Karam et al. 2006 |
| | ♀ | TL | 6.1–26 | — | — | 26.7 | 0.22 | −1.43 | 2.20 | 2000–2002 | Tunisia (East) | |
| | ♀ | TL | 11.0–23.8 | 15.5 ± 0.1 | 0.005 | 3.251 | 29.58 | 0.266 | −1.142 | 2.37 | 2004–2007 | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | | Presently reported study |
| Dentex macrophthalmus | ♂ | FL | 3.9–21.3 | 9.6 ± 0.1 | 0.015 | 3.026 | 24.32 | 0.399 | −0.460 | 2.37 | — | Morocco (Western Sahara) | | Mennes 1985 |
| | ♀ | FL | 6.7–21.3 | 12.3 ± 0.2 | 0.019 | 2.930 | — | — | — | 2008–2009 | Angola | | Potts et al. 2010 |
| | ♀ | TL | 7.4–20.3 | 13.2 ± 0.2 | 0.024 | 2.825 | — | — | — | 2004–2007 | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | | Presently reported study |
| Diplosus vulgaris | ♂ | FL | 6.5–14.7 | 9.7 ± 4.6 | 0.098 | 2.710 | — | — | — | 1992–1993 | Greece (Evvoikos) | | Petrakis and Stergiou 1995 |
| | ♀ | FL | 6.5–14.7 | 9.7 ± 4.6 | 0.098 | 2.710 | — | — | — | 1992–1993 | Greece (Evvoikos) | | Petrakis and Stergiou 1995 |
| | ♂ | TL | 6.5–14.7 | 9.7 ± 4.6 | 0.098 | 2.710 | — | — | — | 1992–1993 | Greece (Evvoikos) | | Petrakis and Stergiou 1995 |
| | ♀ | TL | 6.5–14.7 | 9.7 ± 4.6 | 0.098 | 2.710 | — | — | — | 1992–1993 | Greece (Evvoikos) | | Petrakis and Stergiou 1995 |
### Table 2 cont.

| SP | Sex | Length | LWR | Growth | Year(s) | Area | Reference |
|----|-----|--------|-----|--------|---------|------|-----------|
|    |     | Range  | Mean ± SE | a | b | $L_w$ | k | $t_w$ | $\varphi'$ |
| Diploplus vulgaris | ♂ | TL | 11.6–29.6 | 0.013 | 3.055 | — | — | — | — | 1997–1998 | Greece (Cyclades) | Moutopoulos and Stergiou 2002 |
|    | ♀ | SL | 5.9–23.3 | 0.014 | 3.028 | — | — | — | — | 1995 | Spain (East) | Valle et al. 2003 |
| Pagellus acarne | ♂ | TL | 13.8–37.9 | 23.5 ± 3.0 | 0.013 | 3.055 | — | — | — | — | 1995–2000 | Portugal (Algarve) | Gonçalves et al. 2003 |
|    | ♀ | TL | 14.5–36.9 | 24.1 ± 3.2 | 0.013 | 3.028 | — | — | — | — | 1995–2000 | Portugal (Sicily Strait) | Beltrano et al. 2003 |
|    | ♂ | TL | 12.8–36.5 | 33.5 | 0.17 | — | — | — | — | 1995–2000 | Italy (Sicily Strait) | Beltrano et al. 2003 |
|    | ♀ | TL | 10.2–19.1 | 13.4 ± 0.2 | 0.008 | 3.214 | — | — | — | — | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Northern Aegean) | Presently reported study |
|    | ♂ | TL | 9.5–23.1 | 11.0 | 0.034 | 3.281 | — | — | — | — | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Northern Aegean) | Presently reported study |
|    | ♀ | TL | 9.0–25.0 | 0.086 | 3.431 | — | — | — | — | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Northern Aegean) | Presently reported study |
|    | ♂ | TL | 5.5–23.1 | 11.0 | 0.034 | 3.281 | — | — | — | — | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Northern Aegean) | Presently reported study |
|    | ♀ | TL | 7.5–17.5 | 13.3 ± 0.2 | 0.005 | 3.458 | — | — | — | — | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | Presently reported study |
|    | ♂ | TL | 7.5–19.0 | 12.4 ± 0.1 | 0.007 | 3.309 | 27.96 | 0.253 | — | 1.175 | 2004–2005 | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | Presently reported study |
|    | ♀ | TL | — | — | — | 36.0 | 0.23 | 0.97 | 2.47 | — | — | Mauritania | Phání and Kompowski 1972 |
|    | ♂ | TL | — | — | — | 36.0 | 0.23 | 0.97 | 2.47 | — | — | Mauritania | Phání and Kompowski 1972 |
|    | ♀ | FL | — | 0.012 | 3.087 | — | — | — | — | — | — | Mauritania | Phání and Kompowski 1972 |
|    | ♂ | FL | — | 0.012 | 3.087 | — | — | — | — | — | — | Mauritania | Phání and Kompowski 1972 |
| Pagellus acarne | ♂ | FL | — | 0.020 | 3.000 | 31.0 | 0.21 | — | 2.30 | — | — | Morocco (Western Sahara) | Mennes 1985 |
|    | ♂ | TL | — | 0.009 | 3.131 | — | — | — | — | — | — | France (Biscay B.) | Dorel 1986 |
|    | ♂ | FL | — | 0.011 | 3.170 | — | — | — | — | — | — | Cape Verde | Magnússon and Magnússon 1987 |
|    | ♂ | FL | — | 0.014 | 3.090 | — | — | — | — | — | — | Cape Verde | Magnússon and Magnússon 1987 |
|    | ♂ | TL | — | 0.009 | 3.076 | 28.0 | 0.37 | — | 2.46 | — | — | Italy (Messina Strait) | Campillo 1992 |
|    | ♂ | TL | — | 0.006 | 3.499 | — | — | — | — | — | — | Italy (Messina Strait) | Campillo 1992 |

Table continues on next page.
## Table 2 cont.

| SP  | Sex | L   | Length | LWR | Growth | Year(s) | Area              | Reference                   |
|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|--------|---------|-------------------|------------------------------|
|     |     |     | Range  | Mean ± SE | a     | b       | $L_\infty$ | $k$     | $t_0$ | $\phi'$ |       |                     |
|     |     |     |        |          |       |         |          |         |       |          |       |                     |
| Σ   | TL  | —   | —      | —      | 0.005 | 3.300   | —        | —       | —     | —        | —     | Spain (Balerik Is.) | Merella et al. 1997 |
| Σ   | TL  | 15.9–36.5 | 24.4 ± 2.3 | 0.016 | 2.970   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | 1992–1994 Portugal (South) | Gonçalves et al. 1997 |
| Σ   | TL  | 16.0–34.5 | 24.0 ± 0.9 | 0.015 | 2.994   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | 1992–1994 Portugal (Southwest) |
| Σ   | FL  | —   | —      | 32.1  | 0.17   | —        | —       | —     | —     | 2.23     | —     | —                        | Stergiou et al. 1997 |
| Σ   | FL  | —   | —      | —     | 0.016 | 2.970   | —        | —       | —     | —        | —     | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | Tosunoglu et al. 1997 |
| Σ   | TL  | 15.3–62.6 | —      | 0.015 | 2.933   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | 1997–1998 Kyklades | Moutopoulos and Stergiou 2002 |
| ♀   | TL  | 16.0–31.0 | —      | 0.006 | 3.281   | 33.9     | 0.21    | 0.099 | —     | 2.38     | 1994–1995 | Canary Islands | Pajuelo and Lorenzo 2000 |
| ♂   | TL  | 14.0–24.0 | —      | 0.007 | 3.242   | 30.0     | 0.27    | —     | —     | 2.39     | —     | 1994–1995 | Morocco (Southwest Mediterranean) | Zoubi 2001 |
| ♀   | TL  | 16.9–35.2 | —      | 0.019 | 2.909   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | 1994–1995 | Portugal (West) | Mendes et al. 2004 |
| ♂   | TL  | 16.7–36.5 | 25.8 ± 3.0 | 0.012 | 3.048   | 32.3     | 0.18    | 2.56  | —     | —        | 1995–1996 | Portugal (South) | Coelho et al. 2005 |
| ♀   | TL  | 15.9–30.0 | 13.9 ± 2.9 | 0.008 | 3.146   | 22.8     | 0.29    | 1.47  | —     | —        | 1995–1996 | Turkey (Northeast Mediterranean) | Cicek et al. 2006 |
| ♂   | TL  | 3.6–15.3 | 7.9 ± 1.4 | 0.007 | 3.353   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | 2005   | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | Özaydın et al. 2007 |
| ♀   | TL  | 9.4–17.5 | 12.6    | 0.007 | 3.353   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | —                        | —                     |
| ♂   | TL  | —   | —      | 31.8  | 0.2    | −2.86    | 2.3     | —     | —     | —        | —     | 1992–2001 | Portugal | Abecasis et al. 2008 |
| ♀   | TL  | 11.3–30.9 | 22.9 ± 3.2 | 0.005 | 3.321   | 31.7     | 0.21    | 1.76  | 2.32   | —        | 2003–2004 | Gulf of Cadiz | Velasco et al. 2011 [OK?] |
| ♂   | TL  | 10.7–29.4 | 21.8 ± 2.0 | 0.009 | 3.113   | 32.1     | 0.17    | 2.69  | 2.24   | —        | 2003–2004 | Alboran Sea | Velasco et al. 2011 [OK?] |
| ♀   | TL  | 12.5–20.2 | 14.4 ± 0.1 | 0.011 | 3.055   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | —                        | —                     |
| ♂   | TL  | 12.2–16.6 | 14.3 ± 0.1 | 0.008 | 3.155   | —        | —       | —     | —     | —        | —     | 2004–2007 | Turkey (Middle Aegean) | Presently reported study |
| Σ   |     | 8.5–20.2 | 13.5 ± 0.0 | 0.009 | 3.138   | 22.66    | 0.315   | 1.202 | 2.21   | —        | —     | —                        | —                     |

♂, ♀, and â = male, female, and total, $L$ = length type: TL = total length, FL = fork length, SL = standard length; SE = standard error of the mean length; LWR = Length–weight relation, $a$ = intercept, $b$ = slope (of the length–weight relation); $L_\infty$, $k$, $t_0$, and $\phi'$ = asymptotic length [cm], growth coefficient [y$^{-1}$], hypothetical time at which the length is equal to zero (y) and Munro’s phi prime index value.
reported individuals between 1 and 7 years. Nevertheless, our results for bogue are similar with those of El-Haweet et al. (2005) as they reported six age groups (1–6 years) while using commercial trawlers. Gordoa and Moli (1997) stated the maximum age of common two-banded seabream as 6 years which substantially differs from our study. The probable reason in this case could be the sampling method because they used spearfishing to capture the largest individuals. More important than the sampling gear, it is considered to be the size range sampled and the sample from this study presents sizes smaller than the ones found in the West Mediterranean and especially with the ones found in the Atlantic. However, phi-prime index values of all species are within the minimum and maximum values of the previous studies with no statistical significant difference.

Reproduction strategy of three of the sparids has been reported to be hermaphroditism while large-eye dentex is described as a gonochorist species (Bauchot and Hureau 1986). Potts et al. (2010) supported the gonochorism in large eye dentex as they did not observe any macroscopic evidence of hermaphroditism. Common two-banded seabream and axillary seabream were reported to be protandric species (Gonçalves and Erzini 2000, Velasco et al. 2011) while bogue is known as a diandric species (Monteiro et al. 2006).

The first reproduction age of the three species was determined to be 1 year but axillary seabream differs from the others as it was found to be 2 years. Our results are in harmony with those of Coelho et al. (2005) as they determined all immature axillary seabream specimens to be either age 0 or 1. Similarly to the age findings, the greatest first reproduction size belongs to axillary sea bream for both males (13.9 cm TL) and females (14.5 cm TL). Length at first maturity of bogue was found as $L_m = 13.0$ cm for females (Fig. 6). On the other hand, length at first maturity for males couldn’t be calculated due to insufficient data. The reason for this may be attributable to size range and size frequency of the males obtained. Monteiro et al. (2006) reported the minimum reproduction length of bogue as 15.2 cm total length and between 1–3 years for all individuals in Portugal. Furthermore Gordo (1995) stated the first reproduction length 13 and 14 cm for males and females respectively in the same area. Slight differences between our study and the mentioned studies above are considered to be grounded on regional discrepancies and size classes. Poor findings on the reproduction of large-eye dentex prevent making comprehensive discussions. In our study, first gonad formation occurred at 7.4 and 6.7 cm total length for females and males respectively. However, the length at which 50% of the individuals

![Fig. 6. First reproduction length according to sex for Boops boops, Dentex macrophthalmus, Diplodus vulgaris, and Pagellus acarne from east-central Aegean Sea, Turkey](image-url)
form gonads was found as 10.8 cm for females and 11.8 cm for males. Magnússon and Magnússon (1987) reported the first reproduction length as 19.3 cm total length in Cape Verde Islands. Potts et al. (2010) stated the length-at-50% maturity for all fish was 16.0 cm fork length (FL), with males maturing at a smaller size (15.1 cm FL) than females (16.6 cm FL). The differences between the results of our study and the studies listed above are attributable to regional discrepancies and sampling methods. Our findings on the first reproduction length and age of large-eye dentex present the first reliable results for the Aegean Sea. Fifty percent maturity length of common two-banded seabream was determined to be 12.9 cm for females and 13.4 cm for males while length at 50% maturity were reported to be 17.27 cm for males and 17.65 cm for females by Gonçalves et al. (2003) from Algarve (Portugal). Similarly, Mouine et al. (2012) stated the length at maturity to be 17.1 and 17.6 for females and males respectively from Tunisia. The probable reason for these differences between our study and the others is considered to be the size range as the mentioned studies include bigger size classes (13.8–37.9 and 12.3–32.0 cm) reported by Gonçalves et al. (2003) and Mouine et al. (2012), respectively. Nevertheless, axillary seabream, as a less studied species, primarily formed gonads at 12.5 (♀) and 12.2 (♂) cm total length, while lengths at first maturity were found to be 14.5 and 13.9 cm for females and males, respectively. Velasco et al. (2011) stated that lengths at first maturity to be 18.04 cm for males and 21.7 cm for females from the Gulf of Cadiz, and 17.7 cm and 20.1 cm for males and females from the Alboran Sea, respectively. Coelho et al. (2005) reported the first maturity length for females as 17.6 cm and for males as 18.1 cm TL from Southern Portugal longline fishery. Differences could be more attributable to sampling gear and the size range than any other aspect. The results of our study present comprehensive information on the growth parameters and reproduction of common two-banded and axillary seabreams for Aegean Sea. It is obvious that the above-men- tioned species in Aegean Sea (Mediterranean) mature at smaller sizes than the ones from the Atlantic. Stergiou et al. (1997) reported that the occurrence of short length (dwarfism) in benthic invertebrates inhabiting the eastern Mediterranean; Metin et al. (2011) also added that this situation may also be valid for fish species in the same region. Furthermore, it is known that the water temperature of Mediterranean is higher than the Atlantic. Metabolic activity rises with the increase in the water temperature which enables Mediterranean fish to perform reproductive activities at smaller sizes and younger than the ones found in Atlantic. In addition, fisheries may also affect this situation because fishing pressure is reducing the length at first reproduction therefore smaller indi- viduals may be recruited to reproduce.

Mortality ratios of bogue, large-eye dentex, and axillary seabream were estimated and while the fishing mor-

---

1 Magnússon J., Magnússon J.V.V. 1987. Survey of demersal fish resources in the waters off Cape Verde Islands. IV. Report: Summary of information on species. The Icelandic International Development Agency (ICEIDA) Marine Research Institute.
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