Role of cancer antigen-125 in diagnosing malignant adnexal masses: a prospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Adnexal masses present a diagnostic and therapeutic dilemma across age-groups. This study aimed to evaluate the performance of cancer antigen-125 (CA-125) in distinguishing between benign and malignant adnexal masses.

Methods: This was a prospective, observational, single tertiary-care center study, done in North India from January, 2011 till December, 2012. Serum CA-125 levels was obtained preoperatively in consecutive patients presenting with ultrasonography confirmed adnexal masses. The cut-off value between benign and malignant was taken as 35 IU/ml. Histopathological diagnosis was obtained in all patients.

Results: A total of 126 patients presented with adnexal masses, of which 100 were enrolled (mean age: 37.5±14.4 years, range: 18-80 years). Most of the masses were benign 81% (malignant=19%). Dermoid cyst (25.9%) and endometriomas (21%) were the most common benign masses. Serous (21%) and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma (15.8%) were the most common malignant masses, more often seen in elderly, married, parous and post-menopausal patients. Mean CA-125 levels were significantly higher in malignant masses (257.30 [105.68-408.92] versus 19.26 [16.53-22.00], p<0.001).

Overall sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of CA-125 for diagnosing malignant adnexal mass was 94.7%, 87.65%, 64.28%, 98.6%, and 88.91% respectively. The same was 100%, 85.1%, 54.5%, 100%, 93.3%, 95.2% in premenopausal and 85.7%, 100%, 100%, 93.3%, 95.2% in postmenopausal women respectively.

Conclusion: Benign masses form the bulk of the adnexal masses in all age groups. CA-125 levels has high sensitivity and negative predictive value in premenopausal patients while as high specificity and positive predictive value in postmenopausal patients.
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the MUC-16 gene. An elevated serum CA-125 level of at least 35 units/ml is often considered to indicate the presence of malignancy although other cut-off values have been used. In addition to ovarian malignancies elevated levels may also be associated with the malignancies of lung, bowel, breast and pancreas. Non-malignant pathological conditions affecting the peritoneal surface such as endometriosis, fibroids and other inflammatory conditions may also have elevated CA-125 levels. However, levels are rarely more than 100-200 IU/ml in patients with these benign conditions. Even in patients with histo-pathologically confirmed ovarian malignancies, low levels can be seen in women who have early stage invasive disease and borderline ovarian tumors. In view of these limitations and the existing lacunae in the literature, we aimed to evaluate the performance of CA-125 for diagnosis of malignancy in adnexal masses in a single tertiary care center prospective observational study.

**METHODS**

This prospective observational study was conducted between January 2011 and December 2012 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Government Lalla Ded Hospital associated with Government Medical College Srinagar which is a tertiary care referral center for maternity and gynecology services. Consecutive patients presenting with adnexal masses on pelvic examination or conventional ultrasound as well as cases referred to our center for evaluation of adnexal masses were enrolled. A detailed history and a thorough general and systemic examination was carried out in all patients. All the baseline investigations including hemogram, blood grouping, kidney and liver function test, chest radiograph, Electrocardiogram (ECG) were obtained in each case. The presence of adnexal masses was confirmed by ultrasonography (USG). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or Computed tomography (CT) was done prior to surgery wherever indicated. Patients with unilocular anechoic small cyst (less than 5 cm) which resolved on follow up ultrasonad examination, tubal gestations and masses that were found to arise from uterus were excluded.

Patients with symptomatic or suspicious adnexal masses were subjected to laparotomy or laparoscopy depending upon size, complexity and other morphological characteristics of the adnexal mass. Histo-pathological diagnosis was obtained in all patients.

Serum CA-125 levels were measured in blood sample obtained from the patients preoperatively. Levels were determined by immunoassays with a monoclonal antibody. The cut-off value between benign and malignant was taken as 35 IU/ml, with value of more or equal to 35 IU/ml indicating malignancy. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of CA-125 levels for the presence of a malignant adnexal mass were calculated.

Statistical data was described as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and percentage. The inter group variance was measured at 95% confidence interval. Comparison of metric data was done by Student’s t-test and Fisher’s exact test whereas non-metric data was analyzed by Chi-square test and Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS Version 17), java stat and microsoft excel software were used for data analysis.

**RESULTS**

A total of 126 patients presented with adnexal masses during the study period. Six patients did not consent for inclusion into the study. Out of the remaining 12 patients were lost to follow up before completing the study protocol. Other 8 patients could not be enrolled either because they did not complete the diagnostic protocol or there histo-pathological diagnosis was not confirmed till the final compilation of this data. A total of 100 patients was therefore enrolled for the final analysis. Mean age of patients was 37.5 years (range 18-80 years).
### Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of all patients presenting with adnexal masses (n=100).

| Characteristics                          | n   | Percentage |
|------------------------------------------|-----|------------|
| **Age (years)**                          |     |            |
| <25                                      | 16  | 16.0       |
| 25 to 49                                 | 61  | 61.0       |
| ≥ 50                                     | 23  | 23.0       |
| Mean ± SD                                | 37.5 ± 14.4 (18-80) | |
| **Marital status**                       |     |            |
| Unmarried                                | 24  | 24.0       |
| Married                                  | 76  | 76.0       |
| **Parity**                               |     |            |
| Nulliparous                              | 33  | 33.0       |
| Parous                                   | 67  | 67.0       |
| **Menstrual status**                     |     |            |
| Pre-menopausal                           | 79  | 79.0       |
| Post-menopausal                          | 21  | 21.0       |
| **Significant positive family history**  | 5   | 5.0        |

### Table 2: Chief Complaints of all patients presenting with adnexal masses (n=100).

| Characteristics                              | n   | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------------|-----|------------|
| **Pain**                                     | 69  | 69.0       |
| **Menstrual irregularity**                   | 42  | 42.0       |
| **Lump**                                     | 23  | 23.0       |
| **Infertility**                              | 5   | 5.0        |
| **Postmenopausal bleeding**                  | 4   | 4.0        |
| **Asymptomatic**                            | 6   | 6.0        |

### Table 3: Histopathological Diagnosis of all adnexal masses (n=100).

| Histopathological diagnosis                  | Malignant (n=19) | Benign (n=81) | Total |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------|
|                                              | n    | %   | n   | %   | n    | %   |
| **Serous cystadenocarcinoma**                | 4    | 21.05 | - | - | 4 | 4.0 |
| **Granulosa cell tumour**                    | 2    | 10.5 | - | - | 2 | 2.0 |
| **Metastatic deposits**                      | 2    | 10.5 | - | - | 2 | 2.0 |
| **Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma**              | 3    | 15.8 | - | - | 3 | 3.0 |
| **Dysgerminoma**                             | 2    | 10.5 | - | - | 2 | 2.0 |
| **Papillary cystadenocarcinoma**             | 1    | 5.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Papillary serous cystadenocarcinoma**      | 1    | 5.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Signet cell carcinoma**                    | 1    | 5.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Squamous cell carcinoma of ovary**         | 1    | 5.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Adenocarcinoma**                           | 1    | 5.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Clear cell carcinoma**                     | 1    | 5.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Dermoid cyst**                             | -    | -   | 21 | 25.9 | 21 | 21.0 |
| **Endometrioma**                             | -    | -   | 17 | 21.0 | 17 | 17.0 |
| **Serous cystadenoma**                       | -    | -   | 15 | 18.5 | 15 | 15.0 |
| **Mucinous cystadenoma**                     | -    | -   | 8 | 9.9 | 8 | 8.0 |
| **Haemorrhagic cyst**                        | -    | -   | 5 | 6.2 | 5 | 5.0 |
| **Tubo-ovarian abscess**                     | -    | -   | 3 | 3.7 | 3 | 3.0 |
| **Corpus luteal cyst**                       | -    | -   | 3 | 3.7 | 3 | 3.0 |
| **Papillary serous cystadenofibroma**        | -    | -   | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.0 |
| **Hydrosalpinx**                             | -    | -   | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.0 |
| **Follicular cyst**                          | -    | -   | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.0 |
| **Cystadenofibroma**                         | -    | -   | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Fibroma ovary**                            | -    | -   | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Sebaceous yst**                            | -    | -   | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.0 |
| **Total**                                    | 19   | 19.0 | 81 | 81.0 | 100 | 100.0 |
Table 4: Histopathology with demographic variables of patients with adnexal masses (n=100).

| Characteristics     | Malignant |         | Benign |         |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|
| Age (years)         |           |         |        |         |
| <25                 | 1         | 6.3     | 15     | 93.8    |
| 25 to 49            | 11        | 18.0    | 50     | 82.0    |
| ≥50                 | 7         | 30.4    | 16     | 69.6    |
| Marital Status      |           |         |        |         |
| Unmarried           | 3         | 12.5    | 21     | 87.5    |
| Married             | 16        | 21.1    | 60     | 78.9    |
| Parity              |           |         |        |         |
| Nulliparous         | 4         | 12.1    | 29     | 87.9    |
| Parous              | 15        | 22.4    | 52     | 77.6    |
| Menstrual Status    |           |         |        |         |
| Pre-menopausal      | 13        | 16.5    | 66     | 83.5    |
| Post-menopausal     | 6         | 28.6    | 15     | 71.4    |
| Family History      |           |         |        |         |
| Present             | 2         | 40.0    | 3      | 60.0    |
| Absent              | 17        | 17.9    | 78     | 82.1    |

Table 5: Mean CA-125 levels in Benign and Malignant Adnexal Masses (n=100).

| CA-125 IU/ml         |          | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | p value |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Malignant            | 19       | 257.30                          | 105.68  | 408.92  | <0.001  |
| Benign               | 81       | 19.26                           | 16.53   | 22.00   |         |

Table 6: Histopathological diagnosis in comparison with CA-125 when cut-off value of 35 ng/ml is used (n=100).

| CA 125 IU/ml         | Malignant (n = 19) | Benign (n = 81) | p value |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Malignant            | 18                 | 94.7            | 10      | 12.3    | <0.001  |
| Benign               | 1                  | 5.3             | 71      | 87.7    |         |

Table 7: Performance of CA-125 in pre and post-menopausal age groups (n=100).

| CA 125 (Inference)   | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV   | NPV   | Accuracy |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|----------|
| Pre-menopausal       | 100.0%      | 85.1%       | 54.5% | 100.0%| 87.5%    |
| Post-menopausal      | 85.7%       | 100.0%      | 100.0%| 93.3% | 95.2%    |
| Total                | 94.7%       | 87.65%      | 64.28%| 98.6% | 88.91%   |

Most of the patients were married (76%), premenopausal (79%) and parous (67%). A significant positive family history was reported by 5% of patients, although the exact diagnosis of other family members could not be ascertained in any one of them (Table 1). Pain was the most common complaint seen in 69% of the subjects followed by menstrual disturbances (42%), lump in the abdomen (23%), infertility (5%) and postmenopausal bleeding (4%) while as 6% were asymptomatic (Table 2).

Histopathologically 81 adnexal masses proved to be benign and 19 were diagnosed as malignant. Dermoid cyst (25.9%), endometrioma (21%) and serous cystadenoma (18.5%) were the most common benign masses while as serous (21%) and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma (15.8%) were the most common malignant masses (Table 3). The frequency of malignant masses was more in elderly, married, parous and post-menopausal groups (Table 4).

Mean CA-125 levels were significantly higher in malignant than in benign masses (257.30: 105.68-408.92 versus 19.26:16.53-22.00, p<0.001) (table 5). CA-125 level was more than 35 IU/mL in 18 out of 19 malignant cases (94.7%) with one false negative result. Only malignant case in which CA-125 was not elevated was mucinous cystadenocarcinoma. Among the benign cases, CA-125 was less than 35 IU/mL in 71 cases (87.65%) whereas it was falsely elevated (>35 IU/ml) in 10 cases (12.3%) and these were mostly endometriomas and tubo-ovarian abscesses (Table 6).

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of CA-125 for diagnosing malignant adnexal mass was 94.7%, 87.65%, 64.28%, 98.6%, and 88.91% respectively. When menopausal status was taken into consideration, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy was 100%, 85.1%, 54.5%, 100%, 87.3% in
premenopausal women and 85.7%, 100%, 100%, 93.3%, 95.2% in postmenopausal women respectively (Table 7).

**DISCUSSION**

More than 3/4th of patients diagnosed with adnexal masses at our center during the study period had a benign pathology. Higher frequency of malignant tumours was seen with increasing age of the patients. Parous and postmenopausal women also had higher frequency of malignant masses. This is consistent with the previous studies done in the Indian subcontinent as well as other parts of the world. Ovarian malignancies are thought to be related to ovulation and number of ovulatory cycles. Various theories like repeated epithelial trauma and repair related to ovulatory follicular rupture (the incessant ovulation theory) and persistent stimulation of ovaries by gonadotropins increasing epithelial proliferation (the gonadotropin theory) have been postulated about the mechanisms through which ovaries become more susceptible to cancer development.

This study showed a high sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value of CA-125 levels in diagnosing ovarian malignancies in postmenopausal women with adnexal masses. This is similar to the results shown in other studies and therefore warrants use of CA-125 routinely in such patients with aggressive search for malignant etiology in these patients. However, given higher incidence of malignant etiology and lower negative predictive value of CA-125 levels in post-menopausal women a thorough work up for malignant disease is mandatory even with normal CA-125 levels. A good sensitivity and negative predictive value of CA-125 in premenopausal women was seen in our study. This is also consistent with previous studies done so far. Normal CA-125 levels in premenopausal and younger women therefore almost rule out a malignant etiology.

Some studies have combined the use of serum CA-125 levels and doppler ultrasonography parameters (like mean pulsatility index and mean resistivity index) for evaluation of adnexal masses and ruling out malignant diseases. Individual investigations have also been compared with each other in many studies with most of the studies showing color doppler evaluation to be more specific in diagnosing malignancy as compared to the CA-125 levels. The combination of the two tests is also more specific than CA-125 levels. However the sensitivity of the combination of tests decreases significantly as compared to the use of CA-125 levels alone. The combination of tests may therefore be more relevant in young, premenopausal and nulliparous women where the prevalence of malignant diseases is less as compared to other groups.

**CONCLUSION**

Most of the adnexal masses across age groups have benign etiology. CA-125 levels have good sensitivity and specificity in differentiating between malignant and benign etiology in all age groups. However, CA-125 levels have higher positive and negative predictive value in post and premenopausal patients respectively which is due to the differences in the prevalence of malignant adnexal masses in the two age groups.
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