The puzzling origin of massive compact galaxies in MaNGA
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ABSTRACT

We characterized the kinematics, morphology, and stellar population (SP) properties of a sample of massive compact quiescent galaxies (MCGs, $10 \leq \log M_\star / M_\odot \leq 11$ and $r_e \sim 1–3$ kpc) in the MaNGA Survey, with the goal of constraining their formation, assembly history and assessing their relation with non-compact quiescent galaxies. We compared their properties with those of a control sample of median-sized quiescent galaxies ($r_e \sim 4–8$ kpc) with similar effective velocity dispersions. MCGs have elevated rotational support, as evidenced by a strong anti-correlation between the Gauss-Hermite moment $h_3$ and $V/\sigma$. In contrast, 30% of control sample galaxies (CSGs) are slow rotators, and fast-rotating CSGs generally show a weak $h_3$–$V/\sigma$ anti-correlation. MCGs and CSGs have similar ages, but MCGs are more metal-rich and $\alpha$-enhanced. Both MCGs and CSGs have shallow negative metallicity gradients and flat $[\alpha/Fe]$ gradients. On average, MCGs and CSGs have flat age gradients, but CSGs have a significantly larger dispersion of gradient values. The kinematics and SP properties of MCGs suggest that they experienced highly-dissipative gas-rich events, such as mergers, followed by an intense, short, and centrally concentrated burst of star formation, between 4 to 10 Gyr ago ($z \sim 0.4–2$), and had a quiet accretion history since then. This sequence of events might be analogous to, although less extreme than, the compaction events which formed compact quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 2$. The small sizes of MCGs, and the high efficiency and short duration of their last star formation episode suggest that they are descendants of compact post-starburst galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Large Extragalactic Surveys have shown that the local galaxy population is strongly bimodal in color (Strateva et al. 2001; Baldry et al. 2004, 2006). In the color-magnitude diagram, local galaxies are mainly divided into two groups, the blue cloud, populated by galaxies where the star formation rate and the stellar mass are tightly correlated (Brinchmann et al. 2004; Noeske et al. 2007), and the red sequence, populated by quiescent galaxies whose star formation has been quenched. Compared to star-forming galaxies of the same mass, quiescent galaxies have larger bulge-to-total mass ratios (Bamford et al. 2009; Bluck et al. 2014), higher mass surface densities within 1 kpc (Cheung et al. 2012), smaller sizes (Shen et al. 2003) and more concentrated light profiles (Kauffmann et al. 2003; Baldry et al. 2006; Driver et al. 2006).

Observations of the high-redshift universe ($z \geq 1$) revealed that the color bimodality of the galaxy population already existed at early epochs: the red sequence is in place by $z \sim 3$ and quiescent galaxies become dominant in the high mass end of the galaxy mass function by $z \sim 2$ (Muzzin et al. 2013; Ilbert et al. 2013). At these epochs, quiescent galaxies were already smaller and denser than their star-forming counterparts (Szomoru et al. 2012; Newman et al. 2012; Bell et al. 2012; van der Wel et al. 2014; Whitaker et al. 2017). High redshift quiescent galaxies, however, are structurally unlike the local quiescent galaxy population. They are extremely compact (Daddi et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006; Buitrago et al. 2008; van Dokkum et al. 2008; Damjanov et al. 2009), having half-light radii smaller that their local counterparts by a factor of $\sim 2.5–3$ (McLure et al. 2013; van de Sande et al. 2013; van der Wel et al. 2014).
addition, these objects have significant rotational support (Belli et al. 2017; Bezanson et al. 2018; Newman et al. 2018), and the majority have prominent stellar disks (Bundy et al. 2010; Bruce et al. 2012; Chang et al. 2013; Huertas-Company et al. 2016; Davari et al. 2017; Hill et al. 2019). This implies that the quiescent galaxy population experienced a significant structural evolution in the last 10 billions years.

The size evolution of quiescent galaxies, in particular, has been the subject of many studies. Theoretical studies and cosmological simulations suggest that the growth of massive quiescent galaxies is driven by repeated minor mergers which build an envelope around a compact quiescent galaxy (Naab et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2010a; Oser et al. 2010; Hilz et al. 2012, 2013; Furlong et al. 2015; Wellons et al. 2016). The increase of the fraction of stellar mass stored in the haloes of massive early-type galaxies with decreasing redshift (van Dokkum et al. 2010; Buitrago et al. 2017; Hill et al. 2017), the identification of multiple stellar components in the light profiles of massive elliptical galaxies (Huang et al. 2013a,b; Oh et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2018), the flattening of age and metallicity gradients at large radii (Oyarzún et al. 2019; Zibetti et al. 2020) and the presence of metal-poor and α-enhanced stars in their outskirts (Greene et al. 2013) have been interpreted as evidence in favor of the accretion of small satellites being the driving process behind the size evolution.

As star-forming galaxies also evolve in size, although moderately (van der Wel et al. 2014), it is possible that the size evolution of the quiescent population might be, at least partially, due to a progenitor bias effect: the mean size of quiescent galaxies increases as larger star-forming galaxies become quiescent at later epochs (van Dokkum & Franx 2001; Carollo et al. 2013). If progenitor bias is an important factor in the size evolution of the quiescent galaxy population, then, at fixed stellar mass, larger quiescent galaxies should be younger. A dependence of age on size has indeed been observed for galaxies with log $M_*/M_\odot < 11.0$, but at higher masses size and age are not related (McDermid et al. 2015; Fagioli et al. 2016). Thus, at the high mass end, dry mergers appear to be the main driver of evolution (Faissst et al. 2017), while at lower masses the structural evolution of quiescent galaxies is more complex, with both progenitor bias and dry mergers playing role (Damjanov et al. 2019).

A similar conclusion can be reached from the evolution of the number density of compact quiescent galaxies. It has been found that their number density is approximately constant since $z \sim 1.5$ (Carollo et al. 2013; Damjanov et al. 2015; Charbonnier et al. 2017), as expected if the size evolution is driven by progenitor bias. However, the number density of the most extreme compact galaxies (those with $r_e < 1.5 \times (M_*/10^{11} M_\odot)^{0.75}$ and log $M_*/M_\odot > 10.5$) decreases by a factor of $\sim 20$ in this redshift interval (Charbonnier et al. 2017) and compact galaxies continue to form at intermediate and low redshifts (Ferré-Mateu et al. 2012; Damjanov et al. 2013), so the size growth of individual objects must also play a significant role.

Despite significant progress in the characterization of the size evolution of quiescent galaxies, some aspects of the structural evolution of these objects still are poorly constrained. For example, while the most massive (log $M_*/M_\odot \geq 11.0$) compact quiescent galaxies are thought to be the progenitors of slow-rotating giant ellipticals (Bezanson et al. 2009; Patel et al. 2013; Newman et al. 2018), the $z \sim 0$ descendants of compact quiescent galaxies with masses in the range log $M_*/M_\odot \sim 10.5$–10.8 (Domínguez Sánchez et al. 2016) are yet to be identified. It has been suggested that, besides becoming the cores of lower mass ellipticals, lower mass compact galaxies might become compact bulges in early-type spirals and S0s (Graham et al. 2015; de la Rosa et al. 2016; Gao & Fan 2020), as rejuvenation events regrow a large disk (Mancini et al. 2019).

However, as of this moment, only tentative evidence links these populations. While old, compact and massive bulges in the center of local galaxies have been identified, it is not known if the stellar population properties and structure of these bulges are consistent with an origin as a high redshift compact galaxy. This is because little is known about the stellar population properties of high redshift compact quiescent galaxies, as the faintness of the stellar continuum and their small angular size make spatially resolved observation of these objects extremely challenging.

An alternative to the study of high-$z$ compact quiescent galaxies emerged with the discovery of a population of local analogues, the so called relic galaxies: ultra-compact and massive ($r_e \sim 1$–2 kpc, log $M_*/M_\odot \sim 11.0$) quiescent galaxies which quenched at $z \sim 2$ and have had a quiet accretion history since then, thus retaining their structure and stellar population properties since formation (Trujillo et al. 2014). So far, the study of compact quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 0$ have been focused on the search for these elusive relic galaxies, and as a result, only old massive ultra-compact galaxies have been studied in detail (Ferré-Mateu et al. 2017; Yildirim et al. 2017; Scognamiglio et al. 2020; Spinelli et al. 2021), yet the population of massive ultra-compact galaxies is composed of a mix of old and younger objects (Buitrago et al. 2018). How these younger galaxies formed is not clear, but a connection to compact post-starburst galaxies has been suggested (Zahid et al. 2016).

Our goal is to build up on the aforementioned works by extending the study of $z \sim 0$ compact galaxies to lower masses ($10 \leq \log M_*/M_\odot \leq 11$) and slightly larger sizes ($r_e \sim 3$ kpc). By a combined analysis of the kinematics, stellar populations, structural properties and environment, we aim to constrain the formation and accretion history of compact galaxies, assessing if they can be considered analogues of intermediate and high-redshift quiescent galaxies and probing their relation to $z \sim 0$ non-compact quiescent galaxies. We also intend to investigate how relic galaxies are linked to the general compact population: are they just the high-mass and small-size tail of the compact population, or are they another class of objects, despite the similarities?

This paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we describe the sample selection and methodology and in Section 4 we present our results. In Section 5 we discuss the formation and assembly history of compact galaxies and their relation to local and higher redshift quiescent galaxies. In Section 6 we summarize our results and present our conclusions. In this paper we adopt a standard simplified ΛCDM cosmology with $Ω_M = 0.3$, $Ω_\Lambda = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2 DATA AND SAMPLE SELECTION

2.1 The MaNGA survey

In this work we make use of data from the Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory (MaNGA), an integral-field spectroscopic survey which observed $\sim 10,000$ galaxies from 2014 to 2020 (Smee et al. 2013; Bundy et al. 2015; Drory et al. 2015). The MaNGA sample was designed to have a flat distribution in log $M_*$ and a roughly uniform radial spatial coverage. The MaNGA sample is divided into three samples: the primary sample, the secondary sample and the color-enhanced sample. Galaxies in the primary sample are selected so that 80% of the galaxies can be covered by the integral field unit (IFU) to a major axis radius of 1.5 effective radii, while galaxies in secondary sample are selected so that
80% can be covered to a major axis radius of 2.5 effective radii. The Color-Enhanced sample was designed to add galaxies in under-represented regions of the NUV–i versus $M_i$ color–magnitude plane (Bundy et al. 2015; Wake et al. 2017). We note that the effective radii adopted by MaNGA were extracted from the NASA-Sloan Atlas, and they were measured based on elliptical Petrosian photometry. These do not necessarily agree with the effective radii we adopt in this work (which were extracted from the Simard et al. 2011 catalogue). The data analysed in this work was released as part of data release 15 from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), which includes datacubes for a total of 4621 galaxies (Aguado et al. 2019). The datacubes have a spaxel size of 0.5'/spaxel, and the wavelength coverage of the observations is 3600–10300 Å at $R \sim 2700$.

2.2 Sample Selection

2.2.1 Compact galaxies

We selected massive compact quiescent galaxies (MCGs) based on their effective radii ($r_e$) at fixed effective stellar velocity dispersion ($\sigma_e$), the velocity dispersion measured within an aperture of radii ($r_e$). We considered a galaxy as quiescent if its specific star formation rate (sSFR) is below $10^{-11} \text{Gyr}^{-1}$. Both sSFR and stellar masses were extracted from the GALEX-SDSS-WISE Legacy Catalog (Salim et al. 2018). We adopted as $r_e$ the semi-major axis of the half-light ellipse obtained from Sérsic+Exponential fits to the 2D surface brightness profiles of SDSS-DR7 r-band images performed by Simard et al. (2011). To obtain $\sigma_e$, we converted the stellar velocity dispersions ($\sigma_{ap}$) provided by the SDSS collaboration (Abazajian et al. 2009), which are measured through an aperture with a fixed diameter of 3′′, using $\sigma_{ap} = \sigma_e[r_{ap}/r_e]^{0.066}$ (Capaccioli et al. 2006). The interval $150 < \sigma_e < 350 \text{km s}^{-1}$ was divided into eight bins of $25 \text{km s}^{-1}$ width and for each bin we computed the $r_e$ corresponding to the 10th percentile ($r_{10th}$) of the quiescent galaxy size distribution. Compact galaxies were defined as those satisfying $r_e < r_{10th}$ for a given $\sigma_e$ bin. There are 15024 galaxies in SDSS DR7 satisfying these criteria, of which 70 have integral field spectroscopy data publicly available as part of MaNGA-DR15.

2.2.2 Control sample

Considering that we aim to explore differences in the stellar population properties of MCGs and median-sized quiescent galaxies, we chose to build a control sample of galaxies with velocity dispersions comparable to those of MCGs, as several studies showed that velocity dispersion is a better predictor of the past star formation history (SFH) than either stellar or dynamical mass (Thomas et al. 2005; Graves et al. 2009a,b; van der Wel et al. 2009; Shankar & Bernardi 2009; McDermid et al. 2015). The control sample galaxies (CSGs) were selected from a sample of quiescent galaxies with $r_e$ within the 40th and 60th percentiles of the $r_e$ distribution.

We defined the control sample by applying the Propensity Score Matching (PSM) technique (Rosenbaum & Rubin 1983; see also de Souza et al. 2016 for more details on the PSM method). We have used the MatchIt package (Ho et al. 2011), written in R\(^1\) (R Core Team 2015). This technique allows us to select from the sample of average sized quiescent galaxies in MaNGA a control sample of 70 galaxies in which the distribution of the effective velocity dispersion is as close as possible to that of the MCGs.

\(^1\) https://cran.r-project.org/

Figure 1. Distribution of the compact (red squares) and control (green crosses) samples on the $\sigma_e$ vs. $r_e$ plane. Quiescent SDSS galaxies are indicated as orange symbols (1 out of each 5 SDSS galaxies are drawn).

We have adopted the Mahalanobis distance approach (Mahalanobis 1936) and the nearest-neighbour method to perform the matching. We note that the PSM technique is not necessary when only one variable is used; other simpler matching methods would work as well as PSM. However, PSM allows us to test how the results change when taking other galaxy properties into account for the matching procedure and test the robustness of our results using other control samples.

In Fig. 2 we show the effective radius as a function of the velocity dispersion, comparing the effective radii measured by fitting a single Sérsic profile (extracted from the Simard et al. 2011 catalogue) with effective radii obtained from a disk ($n = 1$) and bulge ($n = 9$) decomposition. The differences between the effective radii obtained with these two methods are smaller in MCGs than in CSGs. Two objects are outliers, having effective radii larger than 10 kpc. This is due to bad fits, as their effective radii extracted from the MaNGA PyMorph photometric catalog are consistent with the trends followed by their respective samples for both single Sérsic and disk+bulge fits.

In Fig. 3 we show the mass distributions of MCGs and CSGs. We note that the significant difference between the mass distribution of the two samples is expected, as stellar mass is correlated with both size and central velocity dispersion (Shen et al. 2003; Bernardi et al. 2011). The implications of the difference in mass distribution of both samples are discussed in Section 4.5.

In Fig. 1 we show the final compact and control samples in the $r_e$ vs. $\sigma_e$ plane. In Fig. 3 we show their stellar mass distribution and in Figs. 4 and 5 we show a SDSS color image and spectra of four compact and control sample galaxies respectively.

Properties of compact and control galaxies, as well as the parameters derived in this study, will be provided in machine-readable form as supplementary data available online. See Table B1 in appendix B for an example of the data format.
3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Stellar population properties

The galaxy ages and metallicities were derived through stellar population synthesis (SPS) analysis using the STARLIGHT code (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005) with Vazdekis et al. (2015, V15) models. We used V15 models computed with the Kroupa (2001) IMF, and stellar evolution tracks from BaSTI (Bag of Stellar Tracks and Isochrones, Pietrinferni et al. 2004, 2006). We ran STARLIGHT considering 15 bins of ages, ranging from 30 Myr up to 13.5 Gyr, and 6 metallicity bins between [M/H] = −1.3 and +0.4. Before running the code, the observed spectra were corrected for foreground extinction and de-redshifted, and the SSP models degraded to match the wavelength-dependent mean resolution of the MaNGA data cubes. The fit was performed in the wavelength region from 3900 to 5800 Å to avoid IMF-sensitive features\footnote{We find that the MCG IMF-sensitive spectral features tend to be stronger than those of the CSGs, suggesting differences in the IMF (see Martin-Najarro et al. 2015 for a study of the IMF in a nearby massive compact galaxy). Since we do not take variations in the IMF into account, we avoid spectral reactions containing IMF-sensitive features in our SPS analysis. We will investigate the IMF of MCGs in a forthcoming paper.} and normalized at 4020 Å. We adopted the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law, assuming $R_V = 3.1$.

To estimate the $\alpha$/Fe ratios of the MCGs and CSGs, we adopted the approach described in La Barbera et al. (2013) and Vazdekis et al. (2015), which is based on the spectral indices $M_{\text{gb}}$ and Fe3\footnote{$Fe_3 = (Fe_{4383} + Fe_{5270} + Fe_{5335})/3$ (Kuntschner 2000).}. We measured the line strengths with an adapted Python version of the code PACF (Riffel & Borges Vale 2011; Riffel et al. 2019), and applied corrections for the broadening due to the internal velocity dispersion of the galaxy following the prescriptions of de la Rosa et al. (2007).

The procedure to determine the proxy of $\alpha$/Fe is illustrated in Fig. 6, where we show the galaxy luminosity-weighted ages (derived using STARLIGHT with V15 models) as a function of $M_{\text{gb}}$ and Fe3, as well as the predictions from the V15 models with different metallicities. For each galaxy, we estimate two independent metallicities, $Z_{\text{Mgb}}$ and $Z_{\text{Fe3}}$, by fixing the galaxy age and interpolating the model grid. As discussed by La Barbera et al. (2013), estimating $Z_{\text{Mgb}}$ of an $\alpha$-enhanced population may require extrapolation of the models to higher metallicities. This is illustrated in the upper panels of Fig. 6, where we show our linear extrapolation of the model $M_{\text{gb}}$ to metallicity $[Z/H] = +0.6$. The proxy of $\alpha$/Fe is then defined as the difference between these two metallicities, $[Z_{\text{Mgb}}/Z_{\text{Fe3}}] = Z_{\text{Mgb}} - Z_{\text{Fe3}}$, and we use the following relation to obtain $\alpha$/Fe (Trevisan et al. 2017):

$$[\alpha/Fe] = -0.07 + 0.51 \times [Z_{\text{Mgb}}/Z_{\text{Fe3}}] \quad (1)$$

We derived the ages, metallicities and $\alpha$/Fe in the inner and outer parts of each galaxy by integrating the spectra within 0.5 $r_e$ from the galaxy centre, and from 0.5 $r_e$ to 1.0 $r_e$. We use the differences between the inner and outer parts as a proxy for the stellar population gradients, i.e., $\Delta SP = SP_{\text{outer}} - SP_{\text{inner}}$, where $SP$ is the log(age), metallicity or $\alpha$/Fe.

3.2 Stellar kinematics

To derive the stellar kinematics, we employed the penalized pixel fitting code (pPXF; Cappellari & Emsellem 2004). pPXF fits the observed stellar continuum with a convolution of a set of template spectra with a Gauss-Hermite series up to order $n=6$. In this work we fit up to $n=4$. The first and second moments correspond to the mean velocity and the velocity dispersion, the third moment $\mu_3$ is a measure of the skewness of the line of sight velocity distribution (LOSVD) and the fourth moment $h_4$ is a measure of the kurtosis of the distribution. The spectral interval 3850–7000 Å was fitted with signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in the rest-frame wavelength interval 5600–5700 Å above 5 were fitted with pPXF. Example of best fit models are shown in Fig. 7.
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Figure 4. SDSS images and spectra of 4 MCGs: MaNGA ID = 1-456768 ($r_e = 1.6$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 169$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-174435 ($r_e = 3.0$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 223$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-229012 ($r_e = 3.1$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 264$ km s$^{-1}$) and 1-25819 ($r_e = 3.0$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 337$ km s$^{-1}$). All images are 50 x 50 kpc and the MaNGA field of view is shown in magenta.

Figure 5. SDSS images and spectra of 4 CSGs: 1-137844 ($r_e = 5.3$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 164$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-52720 ($r_e = 7.1$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 228$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-412880 ($r_e = 7.7$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 263$ km s$^{-1}$) and 1-121375 ($r_e = 7.6$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 314$ km s$^{-1}$). All images are 50 x 50 kpc and the MaNGA field of view is shown in magenta.

3.3 Angular Momentum

We quantify the angular momentum using the dimensionless parameter $\lambda_R$, which is a proxy for the baryon projected specific angular momentum. $\lambda_R$ is defined as (Emsellem et al. 2007):

$$\lambda_R = \frac{(R|V|)}{(R\sqrt{V^2 + \sigma^2})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $V$ is the stellar velocity, $\sigma$ is the stellar velocity dispersion and $R$ is the distance to the center. Throughout this work we use $\lambda_e$, which is measured inside the half-light ellipse.

We classify galaxies as fast rotators or slow rotators based on $\lambda_e$ and ellipticity ($\varepsilon$). Slow rotators are defined as those systems that satisfy the relation (Cappellari 2016):

$$\lambda_e < 0.08 + \varepsilon/4 \text{ with } \varepsilon < 0.4$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The ellipticities adopted in this work were extracted from the MaNGA PyMorph photometric catalog (Fischer et al. 2019), which provides photometric parameters from Sérsic + Exponential fits to the 2D surface brightness profiles of the MaNGA DR2 galaxy sample obtained with the fitting algorithm PyMorph (Vikram et al. 2010). We note that the results presented in this paper are not particularly sensitive to our choice of ellipticity, as neither $\lambda_e$ nor the number of slow rotators vary significantly if we adopt ellipticities measured from the outer isophotes in the MaNGA datacubes.
Figure 6. The luminosity-weighted ages as a function of the spectral indices Mg\textsubscript{b} (top panels) and Fe3 (bottom panels). The left and right panels show the ages and indices measured in the spectra integrated from 0 to 0.5 \( r_e \) and from 0.5 to 1 \( r_e \), respectively. The Vazdekis et al. (2015) model grids are indicated by the solid black lines in both panels. In the upper panels, the dashed part of the grids corresponds to our linear extrapolation of the model Mg\textsubscript{b} to [Z/H] = 0.6.

3.4 V/\sigma and \( h_3 \)

Deviations of the line-of-sight velocity distribution from a Gaussian shape are measured fitting the velocity profile \( P(V) \) with a Gaussian plus third and fourth-order Gauss-Hermite polynomials (Gerhard 1993; van der Marel & Franx 1993). The third and fourth-order amplitudes \( h_3 \) and \( h_4 \) are related to the skewness and the kurtosis of the velocity profile respectively. For \( h_3 = 0 \) and \( h_4 = 0 \), the velocity profile is a Gaussian.

In fast rotators, it has been observed that usually \( h_3 \) and the stellar velocity \( V \) have opposite signs, and \( h_3 \) is anti-correlated to the ratio \( V/\sigma \) (Krajnović et al. 2011). To quantify the strength of the anti-correlation between \( h_3 \) and \( V/\sigma \), we computed the Kendall correlation coefficient \( \tau \) for each object. To exclude measurements of \( h_3 \) that are likely spurious, we computed \( \tau \) considering only spaxels with S/N > 10. We also computed \( \tau \) with S/N > 20 and the results were nearly unchanged. Taking the spectral resolution of the data into account, we also exclude spaxels where the velocity dispersion is lower than 80 km/s.

3.5 Morphology

For a morphological classification of the galaxies in our sample, we use the MaNGA Morphology Deep Learning catalog (Domínguez Sánchez et al. 2018). The classifications in this catalog are obtained with Deep Learning algorithms that use Convolutional Neural Networks trained with colour images from the Galaxy Zoo 2 (Willett et al. 2013) and the visual classification catalogue of Nair & Abraham (2010) to provide T-types. For a better separation between pure ellipticals from S0s, the probability of a galaxy being an S0 (\( P_{S0} \)) is also provided. We classify galaxies into three groups: S0s (T-type \( \leq 0 \) and \( P_{S0} > 50\% \)), ellipticals (T-type \( \leq 0 \) and \( P_{S0} \leq 50\% \)) and spirals (T-type \( > 0 \)). Bulge-to-total light ratios (B/T) were extracted from the MaNGA PyMorph photometric catalog (Fischer et al. 2019).
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**3.6 Environment**

To investigate the environment of the MCGs, we used an updated version of the catalogue of groups and clusters by Yang et al. (2007). The catalogue contains 473 482 groups drawn from a sample of 601 751 galaxies, mostly from the SDSS-DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009), and provides the halo masses $M_{200,m}$ (i.e., the mass within a sphere that is $\Delta = 200$ times denser than the mean density of the Universe), which are based on abundance matching with the group luminosities. We converted the $M_{200,m}$ masses given in the Yang et al. to $M_{\text{halo}} = M_{100}$ (i.e., the mass within a sphere that is $\Delta = 100$ times denser than the critical density of the Universe) by assuming the Navarro et al. (1996) profile and the concentration-mass relation given by Dutton & Macciò (2014). The conversion from quantities relative to the mean density to those relative to the critical density is described in appendix A in Trevisan, Mamon & Khosroshahi (2017).

In order to quantify the influence of the local environment, we use the tidal strength parameter $Q_{\text{group}}$, extracted from the Galaxy Environment for MaNGA Value Added Catalog (Argudo-Fernández et al. in prep.). $Q_{\text{group}}$ is an estimation of the total gravitational interaction strength produced on a given galaxy by the members of its group with respect to its internal binding forces. The tidal strength on a primary galaxy $P$ created by its neighbours in a group of $n$ galaxies is (Argudo-Fernández et al. 2015):

$$Q_{\text{group}} = \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{M_i}{M_P} \left( \frac{D_P}{d_i} \right)^3 \right),$$

where $M_P$ and $M_i$ are the stellar masses of the primary galaxy and of the $i$th neighbour respectively; $D_P$ is the diameter of the primary galaxy and $d_i$ is the projected distance of the $i$th neighbour to the primary galaxy. $D_P$ is defined as $D_P = 2\alpha R_{90}$, where $R_{90}$ is the radius containing 90% of the Petrosian flux and $\alpha$ is a correction factor. This correction factor is applied in order to recover the projected major axis of a galaxy at the 25 mag/arcsec$^2$ isophotal level (Argudo-Fernández et al. 2013). We note that $D_P$ does not depend on model fits. A galaxy is considered isolated if $Q_{\text{group}} \leq -2$, i.e., the tidal strength is at most 1% of the internal binding force (Athanassoula 1984; Verley et al. 2007).

**4 RESULTS**

**4.1 Stellar Kinematics**

In Fig. 8 and 9, we show the stellar velocity, velocity dispersion and $h_3$ maps of four MCGs and CSGs that are representative of typical objects in the samples. Maps for all MCGs are shown in appendix C.

MCGs display velocity maps dominated by regular motions. The velocity dispersion reaches a peak in the center and steeply drops to $100-150\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}$ at $\sim 1\,\text{r}_e$. The $h_3$ and velocity are generally anti-correlated. These are characteristics of bulge + disk systems. CSGs, in contrast, present a variety of velocity maps. Some objects display ordered rotation, others are dominated by non-rotational motions. Compared to MCGs, the velocity dispersion profiles of CSGs are less steep and CSGs usually do not show a clear correlation between $h_3$ and velocity.

**4.1.1 Fast and slow rotator fractions**

With the advent of integral field spectroscopic surveys, it became apparent that, based on their kinematical maps, early-type galaxies were divided into two classes: fast rotators, with kinematical maps consistent with disks observed at various inclinations; and slow rotators, with kinematical maps inconsistent with simple disks (Cappellari et al. 2011). Based on the modelling of the stellar dynamics, it was found in previous studies that slow rotators are triaxial systems, while fast rotators are axisymmetric and rotationally flattened (Cappellari et al. 2007; Cappellari 2016). Following Emsellem et al. (2007, 2011), we divide the objects in our sample into fast and slow rotators based on the $\lambda_e$ parameter, a proxy for the baryon projected specific angular momentum.

In Fig. 10, we plot $\lambda_e$ versus $e$. Slow rotators are located inside the shaded grey area (Cappellari 2016). MCGs are overwhelmingly fast rotators: 66 (94.3%) are classified as fast rotators, while 50 (71.4%) CSGs are fast rotators.

**4.1.2 High Order Kinematical Moments**

Cosmological hydrodynamical zoom-in simulations have shown that the formation history of early-type galaxies cannot be constrained from the $\lambda_e$ parameter alone, as fast and slow rotators have multiple formation channels (Naab et al. 2014). These simulations showed, however, that when $\lambda_e$ is analysed in combination with high order stellar kinematical moments, different merger scenarios can be distinguished.

In fast rotators, usually $h_3$ and the ratio $V/\sigma$ are anti-correlated, which has been interpreted as a signature of stars orbiting in a disc structure (van der Marel & Franx 1993; Bender et al. 1994; Krajnović et al. 2008, 2011; van de Sande et al. 2017). Simulations showed that this anti-correlation arises due to an increased relative fraction of prograde $z$-tube orbits, which creates a steep leading wing in the LOSVD (Hoffman et al. 2009; Rötgers et al. 2014) and is associated with late in situ star formation due to gas-rich mergers.
Figure 8. Stellar velocity (km s$^{-1}$), velocity dispersion (km s$^{-1}$) and Gauss-Hermite moment $h_3$ maps of four representative McGNs (same galaxies displayed in Fig. 4). From top to bottom: MaNGA ID = 1-456768 ($r_e = 1.6$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 169$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-174435 ($r_e = 3.0$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 223$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-229012 ($r_e = 3.1$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 264$ km s$^{-1}$) and 1-25819 ($r_e = 3.0$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 337$ km s$^{-1}$). The black circle shows the angular resolution of the observations.
Figure 9. Stellar velocity (km s$^{-1}$), velocity dispersion (km s$^{-1}$) and Gauss-Hermite moment $h_3$ maps of four representative CSGs (same galaxies displayed in Fig. 5). From top to bottom: MaNGA ID = 1-137844 ($r_e = 5.3$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 164$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-52720 ($r_e = 7.1$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 228$ km s$^{-1}$), 1-412880 ($r_e = 7.7$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 263$ km s$^{-1}$) and 1-121375 ($r_e = 7.6$ kpc, $\sigma_e = 314$ km s$^{-1}$). The black circle shows the angular resolution of the observations.
or gas accretion (Naab et al. 2014). In contrast, fast-rotators which do
not have anti-correlated $h_3$ and $V/\sigma_e$ were formed in gas-poor major
mergers which lead to a spin-up of the remnant (Naab et al. 2014).
Additionally, fast rotators with stellar disks but weak or no $h_3-V/\sigma_e$
anti-correlation were identified in observations. Recent interactions
and the presence of bars have been suggested as explanations for
this (van de Sande et al. 2017).

Considering that theoretical works and simulations point to
box orbits being dominant in the center of galaxies while $z$-tubes
dominate in outer regions, one might wonder if it is expected that a
strong $h_3-V/\sigma_e$ anti-correlation is present in the inner radii probed
by our observations (typically $\sim 1r_e$). Hydrodynamical simulations
performed by R"ottgers et al. (2014) show that, in remnants of gas-rich
mergers, $z$-tube orbits already account for $\sim 30$ -- $40\%$ of the orbits
at $\sim 0.3r_e$, becoming dominant at $\sim 0.5r_e$ (see their Fig. 7). Thus,
the spatial coverage of the MaNGA observations is not a limiting
factor, and detecting a $h_3-V/\sigma_e$ anti-correlation in the MaNGA data
is possible.

To quantify the strength of the anti-correlation between $h_3$ and $V/\sigma_e$, we computed the Kendall correlation coefficient ($\tau$) for each
object. The $\tau$ distribution is shown in Fig. 11a. 69% of MCGs show
a strong anti-correlation between $V/\sigma_e$ and $h_3$ ($\tau < -0.4$), while
70% of CSGs either show no correlation or a weak anti-correlation
($-0.3 < \tau < 0.3$). Considering only fast rotators (Fig. 11b), 73% of
fast-rotating MCGs have $\tau < -0.4$, in contrast to only 20% of
fast-rotating CSGs.

Analyses of the spatial variation of the Gauss-Hermite moment
$h_4$ has also been employed to investigate evolutionary scenarios
of early-type galaxies. For example, high $h_4$ values coupled with
enhanced [α/Fe] and low [Fe/H] at large radii ($R \geq 1r_e$) have been
interpreted as higher radial anisotropy derived from accretion
of small satellites (Greene et al. 2019). The data analysed in this study
do not reach these large radii, thus an analysis of the $h_4$ maps is
beyond the scope of this paper.

### 4.2 Morphology

In Fig. 12 we show the distributions of T-Types, bulge-to-total light
ratios (B/T), and the probability of having S0 morphology ($p_{S0}$).
In terms of Hubble type, MCGs and CSGs are quite distinct. 34% of
MCGs are classified as ellipticals, 62% as S0s and 4% as spiral
galaxies. On the other hand, 72% of CSGs are elliptical galaxies,
14% are S0s and 14% are spiral galaxies. Despite differences in
Hubble type, the B/T distributions of the samples are similar.

### 4.3 Stellar Populations

In Fig. 13, we show the luminosity-weighted age distribution of
MCGs and CSGs, measured from spectra integrated inside an apen-
ture of radius $0.5 r_e$ and within $0.5 - 1.0 r_e$. The distributions
of ages of the stellar populations in the inner $0.5 r_e$ of MCGs and
CSGs are consistent, but within $0.5 - 1.0 r_e$ the age distribution
of CSGs extends to lower values compared to MCGs. The $\Delta \log$(age)
distributions of MCGs and CSGs are flat on average, while the dis-
tribution of CSG $\Delta \log$(age) have a significantly larger tail towards
negative values, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 13.

MCGs tend to be more metal-rich than CSGs, as shown in
Fig. 14. The differences between the metallicity of the stellar pop-
ulations in the inner $0.5 r_e$ and within $0.5 - 1.0 r_e$ shown in the
bottom panel of Fig. 14 indicate that MCGs and CSGs have slightly
negative metallicity gradients. There is no statistically-significant
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4.4 Environment

In Table 1, we present the environmental characteristics of MCGs and CSGs. We find that 86% of CSGs are centrals (60) while 66% (47) of MCGs are centrals. Central MCGs reside in lower mass halos than their non-compact counterparts. However, these results are a consequence of differences in the stellar mass distribution of the two samples, since it is expected that more massive galaxies reside in more massive halos. Besides, the halo masses from the Yang et al. (2007) catalogue are obtained through abundance matching based on galaxy luminosity, and more luminous galaxies will be assigned to the more massive halos. As we will discuss in Sect. 4.5, if we select a control sample with similar stellar mass distribution as that of MCGs, no difference between their environmental properties will emerge from the data.

In Fig. 16 we show the distribution of the tidal strength parameter ($Q_{\text{group}}$) which quantifies the degree of isolation of a galaxy. A galaxy is considered isolated if $Q_{\text{group}} \leq 2$. According to these criteria, 33% (23 objects) of MCGs are isolated, while 29% (20) of CSGs are isolated. The distributions of the compact and control samples are consistent with being drawn from the same parent distribution, pointing to no significant differences in the local environment of MCGs and CSGs.

4.5 Comparison to a mass-matched sample

As previously discussed in Section 2.2.2, the stellar mass distributions of MCGs and CSGs differ significantly (see Fig. 3). This is expected, since the relation between $M_*$ and $\sigma_e$ depends on the size of the galaxy, as illustrated in Fig. 17. In this figure, we show the galaxy sizes vs. velocity dispersion for a sample of quiescent MaNGA galaxies that were selected based on their sSFRs ($\text{sSFR} \leq 10^{-11} \, \text{Gyr}^{-1}$). In the bottom panel of Fig. 17, we show $\log M_*$ vs. $\sigma_e$ for galaxies that are above $+\sigma_{fit}$ and below $-\sigma_{fit}$ from the best fit to the $\log M_* - \log \sigma_e$ relation. It can be clearly seen that, at fixed $\sigma_e$, smaller galaxies have lower stellar masses.

To investigate if the differences that we find between the properties of MCGs and those of CSGs are due to some dependency
of the galaxies in haloes within different mass bins are divided in central and satellites. The last lines show the p-values of Fisher’s and Barnard’s tests comparing the fractions of compact and control sample galaxies that are centrals and satellites. Results with p-values < 0.05 are highlighted in boldface.

Table 1. Environment of compact and control sample galaxies. Halo masses and group membership information were extracted from an updated version of the catalogue of groups and clusters by Yang et al. (2007).

|                | $M_{\text{halo}} < 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ | $10^{13} \leq M_{\text{halo}} < 10^{14} M_{\odot}$ | $M_{\text{halo}} \geq 10^{14} M_{\odot}$ | Total |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------|
| Centrals      | 43 (97.7%)                           | 4 (33.3%)                                     | 0 (0%)                                  | 14 (100%) |
| Satellites    | 1 (2.3%)                             | 8 (66.7%)                                     | 14 (20.0%)                              | 70    |
| Centrals      | 44 (62.9%)                           | 12 (17.1%)                                    | 14 (20.0%)                              | 70    |
| Satellites    | 1 (0.0%)                             | 4 (11.8%)                                     | 6 (54.5%)                               |       |

**Notes:** The number of galaxies in haloes within different mass bins are divided in central and satellites. The last lines show the p-values of Fisher’s and Barnard’s tests comparing the fractions of compact and control sample galaxies that are centrals and satellites. Results with p-values < 0.05 are highlighted in boldface.

5 DISCUSSION

We compared the kinematics, morphology, stellar populations properties and environment of MCGs with those of a control sample of average-sized quiescent galaxies with similar $M_*$ ($M_*$-CSGs). As illustrated in Fig. 18, we fitted the log $r_e$ − log $M_*$ relation of a general sample of MaNGA quiescent galaxies, and selected the $M_*$-CSGs from a sub-sample of objects that lie within ±0.5 log $r_e$ from the best-fit relation.

The fraction of $M_*$-CSGs that are slow-rotators is 11.4% (8 out of 70 galaxies), which is lower than the fraction of slow-rotator CSGs (28.6%), and more compatible with the fraction that we find for MCGs (5.7%). We find that 17 $M_*$-CSGs are spirals (24.3%), 36 are S0s (51.4%) and 17 are classified as ellipticals (24.3%). The fraction of $M_*$-CSG spirals is 5.7 times larger than the fraction of spirals in the compact sample.

In Table 2, we summarize the results of the comparison between MCGs, CSGs and $M_*$-CSGs.

5.1 Efficiency and duration of the last episode of star formation in MCGs

As shown in Figs. 14 and 15, MCGs have higher metallicities and [α/Fe] than CSGs, indicating that the last episode of star formation in the inner (within 0.5 $r_e$) and outer (between 0.5 $r_e$ and 1.0 $r_e$) parts of MCGs was efficient and occurred in short timescales. The age gradients of MCGs are shallower, with their [α/Fe] gradients are flat, suggesting similar star-formation timescales throughout the MCG up to 1.0 $r_e$. The short timescales and high efficiency of star-formation in MCGs suggest they might have gone through a post-starburst phase, as we discuss in Sect. 5.3.

The negative metallicity gradients in MCGs can indicate either that star-formation efficiency was higher in the inner 0.5 $r_e$ of MCGs compared to within 0.5 $r_e$ − 1.0 $r_e$; or that the galaxy was already more metal-rich in the inner 0.5 $r_e$ prior to the last episode of star formation. The shallow slope can be a consequence of an efficient radial mixing of the material caused by interactions or strong stellar feedback (Ma et al. 2017). Alternatively, if the quenching of the star formation is fast, and occurs approximately at the same time inside 1.0 $r_e$ (as the age and [α/Fe] gradients suggest), there would be no time to build a steep metallicity gradient. Nonetheless, observations of high redshift galaxies suggest gas metallicity gradients were flatter in the past (see Maiolino & Mannucci 2019 for a review), which is consistent with the stellar metallicity gradients we measured for both MCGs and CSGs.

5.2 Constrains on the formation of compact galaxies

In hydrodynamical simulations, compact galaxies are formed when large amounts of gas are driven to the center of a galaxy due to major mergers, violent disk instabilities or counter-rotating streams, fueling a nuclear starburst (Wuyts et al. 2010; Ceverino et al. 2015; Zolotov et al. 2015). The star-formation is quenched soon after due to a combination of gas consumption and feedback (Tacchella et al. 2016). We note that violent disk instabilities and counter-rotating streams are phenomena restricted to the z ≳ 1 universe, as disks stabilize at lower redshifts (Cacciato et al. 2012).
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Hydrodynamical simulations of binary gas-rich mergers show that during the interaction, gas within some characteristic radius of the primary galaxy loses angular momentum and flows inward, fueling a nuclear starburst which grows the bulge. A disk is reformed after the merger from gas at large radii that was unable to lose angular momentum efficiently (Hopkins et al. 2009b). The bulge to total ratio of the remnant is a function of the gas mass fraction ($f_{\text{gas}}$) of the progenitors and their mass ratio (Hopkins et al. 2010b). The remnants of very gas-rich major mergers are disk dominated systems, as gas loses angular momentum less efficiently at high $f_{\text{gas}}$ (because there are less stars to transfer angular momentum to).

Simulations of gas-rich major mergers, however, predict that, although the mixing of stars formed prior to the merger flattens the metallicity gradient, centrally concentrated star-formation generates a strong negative gradient (Hopkins et al. 2009a). Strong AGN feedback is necessary to prevent the steepening of the metallicity gradient (Taylor & Kobayashi 2017). A link between compaction and AGN activity is supported by observations: compact star-forming galaxies at $z \sim 2$ are more likely to host an AGN than extended star forming galaxies of similar mass (Kocevski et al. 2017). Similar results are found at $z \sim 0$, where star-forming galaxies with compact cores have a higher probability of hosting WISE selected AGN (Woo & Ellison 2019). Nonetheless, evidence of AGN-driven winds suppressing star formation is still elusive (Schulze et al. 2019; Shangguan et al. 2020; Stacey et al. 2021), and observations of post-starburst galaxies show that, although AGN are more common in these objects than in star-forming galaxies, there is a delay between the peaks of starburst and AGN activity (Yesuf et al. 2014), so AGN feedback is unlikely to play a prominent role in the quenching of starbursts (Sell et al. 2014). The increased fraction of AGN in compact star-forming and post-starburst galaxies might just be indicating an increased amount of gas available to fuel the central black hole (Greene et al. 2020).

A recent study of the molecular gas properties in a massive compact star-forming galaxy at $z = 2.234$ by Spilker et al. (2019) presented evidence on how these objects quench. Molecular gas fractions and gas depletion times were found to be lower in the inner $1–2 \text{kpc}$ than at $3–4 \text{kpc}$, suggesting the star formation quenches inside-out. The depletion time at the center, however, is just $10–15 \text{Myr}$, only increasing by a factor of $\sim 2$ at large radii. In another recent study, Jafarianzadi et al. (2020) reported the detection of flat age and [Mg/Fe] gradients and a shallow negative [Fe/H] gradient in a compact quiescent galaxy at $z = 1.98$. Although the age distribution of MCGs is inconsistent with the bulk of them being the descendants of $z \sim 2$ compact star forming galaxies, the formation scenario proposed by Spilker et al. (2019) and the gradients reported by Jafarianzadi et al. (2020) are consistent with what we infer based on the fossil record imprinted in stellar populations of MCGs. This suggests that MCGs form in a similar manner to $z \sim 2$ compact quiescent galaxies, but under less extreme conditions. For example, while the progenitors of $z \sim 2$ compact quiescent galaxies experienced a strong ($\text{SFR} \gtrsim 1000 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$) and short ($\sim 50 \text{Myr}$) burst of star formation before quenching (Valentino et al. 2020), the [$\alpha$/Fe] distribution of MCGs points to longer star formation timescales and less intense bursts.

### 5.3 Did MCGs go through a post-starburst phase in the past?

MCGs have a broad age distribution, with luminosity-weighted ages ranging from $4 \text{Gyr}$ to $10 \text{Gyr}$ in the inner $1.0 \text{r}_e$ (a formation epoch of $z \sim 0.4–2.0$). While in the inner $0.5 \text{r}_e$ the ages of CSGs and MCGs are consistent, CSGs are younger at larger radii. Furthermore, MCGs are more metal rich and alpha enhanced in their centers than CSGs. We argue that these differences cannot be attributed to a difference in accretion histories. They are, instead, a result of the progenitors of compact galaxies and CSGs following distinct

---

**Table 2.** Summary of the properties of MCGs and control sample galaxies. (1) Galaxy property; (2) median value of the MCG property distribution; (3) median value of the CSG property distribution; (4) $p$-value of a KS test comparing the distributions of the MCG and CSG properties; (5) median value of the $M_\star$-CSG property distribution; (6) $p$-value of a KS test comparing the distributions of the MCG and $M_\star$-CSG properties.

| Property | MCGs | CSGs | $M_\star$-CSGs |
|----------|------|------|----------------|
| $\tau$  | $-0.46 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.17 \pm 0.03$ | $< 10^{-10}$ |
| $\tau$ ($\text{fast-rotators}$) | $-0.48 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.24 \pm 0.03$ | $7 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| B/T     | $0.69 \pm 0.06$ | $0.73 \pm 0.04$ | 0.22 |
| T-type  | $-2.32 \pm 0.04$ | $-2.20 \pm 0.06$ | 0.05 |
| $p_{50}$ ($\text{T-type} < 0$) | $0.63 \pm 0.05$ | $0.23 \pm 0.05$ | $5 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| age$_{\text{GW}}$ ($\text{Gyr}, 0 \leq R < 0.5r_e$) | $8.26 \pm 0.23$ | $7.57 \pm 0.26$ | 0.08 |
| age$_{\text{GW}}$ ($\text{Gyr}, 0.5 \leq R < 1r_e$) | $8.72 \pm 0.19$ | $7.37 \pm 0.31$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\Delta \log(\text{age}_{\text{GW}} / \text{Gyr})$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.02$ | $3 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $[Z/H]_{\text{GW}}$ ($0 \leq R < 0.5r_e$) | $0.13 \pm 0.02$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01$ | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $[Z/H]_{\text{GW}}$ ($0.5 \leq R < 1r_e$) | $0.02 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.02$ | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\Delta [Z/H]_{\text{GW}}$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.01$ | 0.88 |
| $\alpha_{\text{FS}}/\text{FS}_{\text{GW}}$ ($0 \leq R < 0.5r_e$) | $-0.19 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.01$ | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\alpha_{\text{FS}}/\text{FS}_{\text{GW}}$ ($0.5 \leq R < 1r_e$) | $0.17 \pm 0.01$ | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | $4 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\Delta \alpha_{\text{FS}}/\text{FS}_{\text{GW}}$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.01$ | 0.10 |

**Notes:** Results with $p$-values $< 0.05$ are highlighted in boldface. The errors of the median values were estimated by bootstrapping the samples 1000 times.

$\alpha$: 32 of the 70 $M_\star$-CSGs have more than 30% of the spaxels with velocity dispersion lower than 80 km/s. As these spaxels are excluded from the computation of $\tau$ and the remaining spaxels are usually located near the center, where the fraction of $z$-tube orbits is lower (see Fig. 7 of Röttgers et al. 2014), $\tau$ in $M_\star$-CSGs might be biased against small values.
quenching routes. We base our interpretation on a study of a large sample of quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 0.7$ by Wu et al. (2018), which suggests that there exists a fast and a slow path to quiescence. They argue that galaxies following the fast path experience significant structural change and evolve into compact post-starbursts, while galaxies following the slow path have their star formation gradually shut off and do not necessarily change their structure.

The star forming progenitors of MCGs experiencing a centrally concentrated starburst followed by an abrupt quenching of the star formation can explain the differences in ages, metallicity and $\alpha/\text{Fe}$ compared to CSGs. Typically, intermediate redshift PSBs have either flat or shallow positive age gradients (Hunt et al. 2018; D’Eugenio et al. 2020; Setton et al. 2020), in agreement with the age and $\alpha/\text{Fe}$ gradients of MCGs. Moreover, observations of $z \sim 1 - 1.4$ compact PSBs indicate that these objects present higher stellar velocity dispersion than passive galaxies of the same mass (Maltby et al. 2019), as is the case of MCGs (see Fig. 18). Our findings are also in agreement with Zahid et al. (2016), which argued that MCGs and intermediate redshift post-starburst galaxies
are linked based on a comparison of their stellar ages and central velocity dispersions.

5.4 The accretion history of MCGs and CSGs

Constraints on the accretion history of MCGs can be gathered from their kinematic properties. Hydrodynamical simulations show that slow- and fast-rotators that experienced late gas-poor mergers show either a weak $h_3 - V/\sigma$ anti-correlation or no anti-correlation at all (Hoffman et al. 2009; Naab et al. 2014; Röttgers et al. 2014).
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**Figure 15.** Distribution of $\Delta [\alpha/Fe]$ inferred from the spectra integrated from 0 to 0.5 $r_e$ (upper panel) and from 0.5 to 1 $r_e$ (middle panel). The Distributions of the $[\alpha/Fe]$ gradients of the compact and control samples are shown in the lower panel. In all panels, the vertical dashed lines indicate the median values. The curves are obtained by smoothing the positions of the data points using a Gaussian kernel with the standard deviation equal to half of the standard deviation of the data points.

**Figure 16.** Distribution of the tidal strength parameter ($Q_{\text{group}}$) of the compact and control samples. $Q_{\text{group}}$ quantifies the local environment, as it is an estimation of the total gravitational interaction strength produced on a given galaxy by its neighbours with respect to the internal binding forces. The vertical dashed lines indicate the median values. The curves are obtained by smoothing the positions of the data points using a Gaussian kernel with the standard deviation equal to half of the standard deviation of the data points.

**Figure 17.** Relations between $r_e$, $\sigma_e$ and $M_*$: Top: Galaxy size as a function of velocity dispersion. The black solid line indicates the best linear fit to the log $r_e$ vs log $\sigma_e$ relation of the MaNGA quiescent galaxies. The blue, green and red symbols correspond to the galaxies that are above $\sigma_f + \sigma_{\text{fit}}$, within $\pm 0.5 \sigma_{\text{fit}}$ and below $\sigma_f - \sigma_{\text{fit}}$ from the best-fit relation, where $\sigma_{\text{fit}}$ is the standard deviation of the residuals from the fit. Bottom: Galaxy stellar masses vs. velocity dispersion. We indicate the galaxies that are above (blue symbols), within (green) and below (red) the $r_e - \sigma_e$ relation as shown in the upper panel.
In contrast, fast rotators which experienced late gas-rich mergers present a strong $h_3 - V/r$ anti-correlation. Thus, the strong $h_3 - V/r$ anti-correlation observed in MCGs suggests that these systems have had a quiet accretion history, experiencing only few, if any, dry minor mergers since the last star formation episode. On the other hand, most of the CSGs show a weak anti-correlation, pointing to an increased importance of dry mergers in the mass assembly of these objects. The relative importance of dry major and minor mergers cannot be constrained from kinematics, as simulations show that repeated dry minor mergers can also effectively reduce the rotational support of a galaxy producing an elliptical remnant, provided the accreted mass is of the order of 40% of the mass of the initial disk galaxy (Bournaud et al. 2007).

Dry major and minor mergers affect the stellar population gradients in a distinct manner. Dry major mergers lead to a flattening of gradients (Kobayashi 2004), but the amount of flattening depends on the differences between the gradients of the progenitors (Di Matteo et al. 2009). In contrast, dry minor mergers steepen pre-existing metallicity gradients (Hirschmann et al. 2015), although gradients become flat at large radii as accreted stars become dominant (Cook et al. 2016; Oyarzún et al. 2019; Zibetti et al. 2020). Our observations cover only the inner $1 r_e$, however, where simulations point to the in-situ population being dominant (see Fig. 3 of Cook et al. 2016), which explains why we do not observe significant differences in the metallicity gradients of MCGs and CSGs, despite their distinct accretion histories.

The differences in accretion histories of MCGs and CSG might be driven, at least in part, by their environments. MCGs are more likely to be satellites in massive halos, where the large velocity dispersion of the galaxies inhibit merging. Moreover, recent work suggests that galaxies in denser environments experience significant minor merging earlier (Cole et al. 2020); MCGs tend to be central galaxies of lower mass halos, where significant merging might not have yet occurred.

In summary, while the accreted stellar mass fraction and the stellar population properties of accreted stars cannot be constrained based on observations of the inner $\sim 1 r_e$, where in-situ stars dominate, simulations point to dry mergers significantly affecting the orbital distribution of these central stars, lowering the rotational support of the galaxy. Considering compact galaxies display elevated rotational support, these objects must have had a quiet accretion history since they quenched.

### 5.5 Relation with S0s

The fraction of S0 galaxies in the MCG sample is very high (62%) compared to that of the CSGs (16.7%), but it is more similar to the fraction of $M_\star$-CSGs that are S0s (51.4%). The bulge to total light ratios and velocity dispersion of MCG S0s tend to be larger than those of $M_\star$-CSG S0s; so, could MCGs be S0s with large bulges and high-$\sigma_e$?

The differences in the stellar population properties of the S0s in the MCG and $M_\star$-CSG samples indicate that they have had distinct assembly histories. MCG S0s are older, more metal-rich and $\alpha$-enhanced than $M_\star$-CSG S0s.

Recent studies of large samples of early-type galaxies based on integral field spectroscopic data reported flat or shallow negative age and mildly negative metallicity gradients. Typical light weighted metallicity gradients are of the order of $\Delta [Z/H]_{\text{LW}} \sim -0.1$ dex/$r_e$, while light weighted age gradients are slightly flatter, varying between $\Delta \log (\text{age})_{\text{LW}} \sim -0.03$ and $\sim -0.08$ dex/$r_e$ (González Delgado et al. 2015; Goddard et al. 2017; Zheng et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018; Lacerna et al. 2020). When analysed separately, S0s and slow- and fast-rotating ellipticals show significant differences in their stellar population gradients (Lacerna et al. 2020; Krajnović et al. 2020). In particular, massive S0s tend to have steeper age gradients and shallower metallicity gradients than fast-rotating ellipticals of the same luminosity and velocity dispersion (Domínguez Sánchez et al. 2020). In this regard, MCGs are unlike typical S0s, as their metallicity and age gradients resemble those of fast-rotating ellipticals.

In conclusion, MCGs appear to be an homogeneous class of objects in terms of their kinematics, morphology and stellar population properties, but their characteristics point to a population in between S0s and elliptical galaxies. Therefore, it is unlikely that MCGs simply represent the high-$\sigma_e$, high-B/T end of the S0 population.

### 5.6 Relation with red spirals

In the local universe, there exists a rare population of massive red spiral galaxies with old metal rich centers (Masters et al. 2010). Spatially resolved spectroscopic observations of the inner $1 r_e$ of these massive red spiral revealed stellar populations properties more similar to elliptical galaxies than to blue spirals: shallow negative age gradients, flat metallicity gradients and alpha enhanced centers (Robaina et al. 2012; Hao et al. 2019). These are broadly similar to what we observe in compact galaxies, and considering that red spirals harbour compact cores (Guo et al. 2020), one might question if MCGs and red spirals share a common origin. For example, considering a significant fraction of massive red spirals exhibit signatures of interactions (Guo et al. 2020), it is plausible that red spirals are rejuvenated compact galaxies which have since quenched again. Star forming galaxies with old quenched bulges have been observed at intermediate redshifts (Mancini et al. 2019), and there are blue spirals with compact cores in the local universe (Fang et al. 2013; Guo et al. 2020), supporting a rejuvenation scenario. Another possibility is that, similarly to compact galaxies, red spirals were formed in major mergers at intermediate and high redshift, although with significantly larger gas fractions (Hao et al. 2019) such that gas inflows...
are inhibited and consequently the remnants are disk dominated systems instead of compact galaxies. In a recent work, Peschken et al. (2020) identified the formation of disk dominated remnants of very gas rich mergers ($f_{\text{gas}} \sim 0.7$) in the Illustris simulation. They found that star formation continued in the remnants for a long period, fueled by gas scattered to the halo during the merger which is slowly accreted as it cools.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We characterized the 2D kinematics, morphology and stellar population properties of a sample of 70 massive compact quiescent galaxies with integral field spectroscopic data publicly available as part of the MaNGA survey. We compared the properties of the massive compact galaxies to a control sample, matched in effective velocity dispersion, of 70 massive quiescent galaxies with median sizes. We found that:

- MCGs are fast rotators (96%), while CSGs are composed of a mix of fast (71%) and slow rotators (29%).
- Compared to flat rotating CSGs, MCGs are more likely to have $V/\sigma$ and $h_\text{int}$ anti-correlated. This is evidence of increased rotational support.
- 62% of MCGs are S0s, 34% are ellipticals. In contrast, 72% of CSGs are ellipticals and 14% are S0s.
- MCGs and CSGs have similar ages inside 0.5 $r_e$, but MCGs are more metal-rich and $\alpha$-enhanced.
- Both MCGs and CSGs have shallow negative age gradients and flat [$\alpha$/Fe] gradients. MCGs and CSGs have flat age gradients, but the distribution of CSG A log(age) have a significantly larger tail towards negative values;
- The high MCG metallicities and [$\alpha$/Fe] suggest that these objects experienced a short and efficient burst of star formation at $z \sim 0.4$ – 2.0 followed by a post-starburst phase;
- The sizes of compact galaxies are well reproduced by hydrodynamical simulations of gas rich binary major mergers. These simulations predict steep negative metallicity gradients due to central concentrated star formation, in contrast to the approximately flat gradients we observe;
- The MCG kinematics, morphology and stellar population properties are very homogeneous and they appear to be a population in between S0s and elliptical galaxies.
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APPENDIX A: SPATIAL RESOLUTION OF MANGA DATA

The resolution of MaNGA observations is a concern when analysing the spatially-resolved properties of galaxies with small sizes like MCGs. Therefore, here we investigate if our results and conclusions are affected by this issue.

In Fig. A1, we show how the gradients of the stellar populations properties of compact and control sample galaxies vary with the \( \theta / \theta_{\text{seeing}} \) ratio, where \( \theta_{\text{seeing}} \) is the average seeing during the observations of our galaxies and \( \theta / 2 \) is half of their effective radius in arcseconds. Only a few CSGs have \( \theta / \theta_{\text{seeing}} < 2 \) (one CSG and 7 \( M \star - \) CSGs), but 40\% of the MCGs are not resolved within \( \theta / 2 \) (28 MCGs).

As shown in Table A1, Pearson and Kendall correlation tests indicate that the MCG age and metallicity gradients have a correlation with \( \theta / \theta_{\text{seeing}} \). To investigate if this dependency affects our results, we excluded the MCGs with \( \theta / \theta_{\text{seeing}} < 2 \) and analysed the gradients the resulting sub-sample of 42 resolved MCGs. To compare the gradients of the resolved MCG sub-sample with those of the CSGs, we selected 42 galaxies among CSGs that have similar velocity dispersions. The results of the comparisons are presented in Figs. A2, A3, and A4. It can be seen that, after removing the unresolved MCGs from the sample, we get similar results, with the exception of the \([\alpha/Fe]\) gradients which are now marginally different. This difference is due to a change in the distribution of \([\alpha/Fe]\) gradients of CSGs, which now show a tail extending to positive values, while previously the tails were symmetric. The distribution of \([\alpha/Fe]\) gradients of MCGs is unchanged.

APPENDIX B: KINEMATIC AND STELLAR POPULATION PARAMETERS

Stellar population properties and kinematic parameters of compact and control galaxies are provided in Table B1. A full version of the table is available online as supplementary data.

APPENDIX C: STELLAR VELOCITY, VELOCITY DISPERSION AND GAUSS-HERMITE MOMENT H3 MAPS

In Fig. C1 we show the stellar velocity (km s\(^{-1}\)), stellar velocity dispersion (km s\(^{-1}\)) and Gauss-Hermite moment \( h_3 \) maps for all 70 MCGs.
Table A1. Pearson and Kendall correlation coefficients, $\rho$ and $\tau$, of the relations between stellar-population gradients and the $\theta_e/\theta_{seeing}$ ratio. Results with $p$-values $< 0.05$ are highlighted in boldface.

| MCGs | CSGs | M_{*}−CSGs |
|------|------|------------|
| $\Delta \log(\text{age}_{0.5}/\text{Gyr})$ | $\rho = 0.12$ | $p$-value = 0.01 |
| $\tau = 0.20$ | $p$-value = 0.01 | $\rho = -0.05$ | $p$-value = 0.67 |
| $\Delta [Z/H]_{0.5}$ | $\rho = -0.41$ | $p$-value = $4 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\tau = -0.29$ | $p$-value = 0.83 | $\rho = 0.03$ | $p$-value = $2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\Delta [\alpha/Fe]$ | $\rho = -0.10$ | $p$-value = 0.40 |
| $\tau = -0.08$ | $p$-value = 0.30 |

Table B1. Properties of the compact and control samples. References: 1: Salim et al. (2018), 2: Simard et al. (2011).

| MaNGAID | Sample | $z$ | $\log M_{*}/M_\odot$ | $\sigma_e$ | $r_e$ | $\lambda$ | $\text{age}_{0.5-0.5}$ | $\text{age}_{0.5-1}$ | $[Z/H]_{0.5}$ | $[Z/H]_{0.5-1}$ | $[\alpha/Fe]_{0.5} | [\alpha/Fe]_{0.5-1}$ |
|---------|--------|-----|----------------------|---------|------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1-133987 | MCG | 0.01954 | 10.24 | 152 | 1.15 | 0.27 | -0.45 | 9.45 | 8.86 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| 1-135193 | MCG | 0.03089 | 10.68 | 191 | 1.48 | 0.12 | -0.14 | 6.89 | 7.24 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.20 |
| 1-145995 | MCG | 0.04088 | 10.20 | 150 | 1.29 | 0.26 | -0.46 | 10.56 | 10.50 | -0.10 | -0.12 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| 1-149193 | MCG | 0.02762 | 10.79 | 243 | 2.28 | 0.35 | -0.64 | 5.82 | 7.08 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.23 |
| 1-149878 | MCG | 0.02652 | 10.58 | 164 | 2.18 | 0.31 | -0.35 | 9.78 | 10.36 | -0.04 | -0.20 | 0.17 | 0.10 |

Notes: This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form as supplementary material.
Figure A3. Same as in Fig. 14, but for 42 compact galaxies that have $\theta_e/\theta_{\text{seeing}} > 2$. The control sample was redefined to match the velocity-dispersion distribution of the spatially-resolved compact galaxies.

Figure A4. Same as in Fig. 15, but for 42 compact galaxies that have $\theta_e/\theta_{\text{seeing}} > 2$. The control sample was redefined to match the velocity-dispersion distribution of the spatially-resolved compact galaxies.
Figure C1. Stellar velocity (km s$^{-1}$), velocity dispersion (km s$^{-1}$) and Gauss-Hermite moment $h_3$ maps of MCGs. The MaNGA ID of each object is shown on the top left corner of the leftmost panel. The black circle shows the angular resolution of the observations.
Figure C2. Fig. C1 continued.
Figure C3. Fig. C1 continued.
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