Environmental exposures vary by timing, severity, and frequency and may have a number of deleterious effects throughout the life course. The period of in utero development, for example, is one of the most crucial stages of development during which adverse environmental exposures can both alter the growth and development of the fetus as well as lead to aberrant fetal programming, increasing disease risk. During fetal development and beyond, the plethora of exposures, including nutrients, drugs, stress, and trauma, influence health, development, and survival. Recent research in environmental epigenetics has investigated the roles of environmental exposures in influencing epigenetic modes of gene regulation during pregnancy and at various stages of life. Many relatively common environmental exposures, such as cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and drug use, may have consequences for the expression and function of non-coding RNA (ncRNA), important post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression. A number of ncRNA have been discovered, including microRNA (miRNA), Piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA). The best-characterized species of ncRNA are miRNA, the mature forms of which are ∼22 nucleotides in length and capable of post-transcriptionally regulating target mRNA utilizing mechanisms based largely on the degree of complementarity between miRNA and target mRNA. Because miRNA can still negatively regulate gene expression when imperfectly base-paired with a target mRNA, a single miRNA can have a large number of potential mRNA targets and can regulate many different biological processes critical for health and development. The following review analyzes the current literature detailing links between cigarette smoke exposure and aberrant expression and function of ncRNA, assesses how such alterations may have consequences throughout the life course, and proposes future directions for this intriguing field of research.
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risk for cancer later in life (Doherty et al., 2009), and a number of deleterious neurobehavioral outcomes in infancy and beyond (Olds et al., 1994; Huijbregts et al., 2007; Knopik, 2009; Kiechl-Kohlendorfer et al., 2010). When one combines these estimates of babies who experienced in utero exposure to cigarette smoke with the numbers of adults who smoke cigarettes, one realizes that the number of individuals exposed to cigarette smoke during at least one period of their lives is quite large and far greater than what might be expected at first consideration.

Previous work has found over 4,000 chemicals in a cigarette, including nicotine, benzo[a]pyrene, and carbon monoxide, and more than 40 of these chemicals have been established as known carcinogens (Thielen et al., 2008). Cigarette smoking has been linked to a number of diseases and disabling conditions, including heart disease and lung diseases (CDC, 2008). Furthermore, for every individual who dies from a disease associated with smoking, 20 more people battle at least one major illness attributable to smoking (CDC, 2003). By some estimates, up to five million deaths worldwide can be attributed to smoking (CDC, 2002, 2008). The USA, tobacco use has been the world’s leading preventable cause of death (CDC, 2002, 2003, 2008). By some estimates, up to five million deaths worldwide can be attributed to smoking and current trend data predicts that cigarette smoking and cigarette smoke exposure leads to an increased morbidity and mortality.

Recent research has broadened investigations of the effects of cigarette smoke exposure into determining the effects of not only primary (or “mainstream”) cigarette smoke but also environmental (also called “secondhand,” “passive,” or “sidestream”) cigarette smoke. Sidestream cigarette smoke comprises the major component of environmental cigarette smoke and is defined as smoke which goes into the air directly from a burning cigarette (as opposed to smoke which is directly inhaled (“mainstream”) smoke) from the burning cigarette; NIOSH, 1991). A variety of studies have suggested that components of sidestream or environmental cigarette smoke differ from those in mainstream smoke in both component type and concentration, with some investigators suggesting that these small ncRNAs capable of gene regulation may not only act as the intermediate step on the pathway to protein but may also have a degree of regulatory activity itself. Of the species of ncRNA, the three best-characterized forms are microRNA (miRNA), Piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), and long non-coding RNA (long ncRNA), with miRNA garnering especially great attention.

NON-CODING RNA

Since the earliest discoveries of RNA as a product of the transcription of DNA, many have hypothesized that RNA may not only act as the intermediate step on the pathway to protein but may also have a degree of regulatory activity itself. Of the species of ncRNA, the three best-characterized forms are microRNA (miRNA), Piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), and long non-coding RNA (long ncRNA), with miRNA garnering especially great attention.

microRNA

In the early 1990s, two small regulatory RNAs, known as lin-4 and let-7 were shown to control the timing of larval development in C. elegans (Lee et al., 1993; Reinhart et al., 2000). These small ncRNAs, initially called “lin-4 and let-7 RNAs,” have since been determined to be members of a class of endogenous RNAs found in a number of species, including worms, flies, and mammals and since have been renamed “microRNAs (miRNAs)” (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2001; Lee and Ambros, 2001). Additional studies suggested that these small ncRNAs capable of gene regulation are found in plants, mammals, green algae, and viruses (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008). Depending on the species and particular form of ncRNA, names of these small ncRNA vary. miRNA are a distinct class of ncRNA and differ from other species of ncRNA in that they are formed as well as their particular mechanism of action. miRNA are formed from precursor transcripts which fold back on themselves, forming hairpin structures.

As reviewed previously (Maccani and Marsit, 2009), miRNA are initially transcribed by RNA Polymerase II as part of longer transcripts termed primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) which include 5’ caps and 3’ poly(A) tails (Lee et al., 2002; Smallheiser, 2003; Cai et al., 2004). A hairpin is then formed from the pri-miRNA portion of the pri-miRNA (Lee et al., 2002). The double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)-RNA-specific ribonuclease Drosha then digests the pri-miRNA and releases the hairpin which, post-Drosha processing, is referred to as a precursor-miRNA (pre-miRNA; Lee et al., 2003) and is ~70–75 nucleotides in length (Lee et al., 2003; Yi et al., 2003). pre-miRNA is subsequently exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm by a complex containing Exportin-5 (Exp-5; Yi et al., 2003; Lund et al., 2004) and in the cytoplasm, is cleaved by Dicer (Lee et al., 2003; Yi et al., 2003) into a dsRNA with short 3’ overhangs at the ends (Lund et al., 2004). After cleavage by Dicer, the
Dicer-processed dsRNA must separate into two strands, and the single-stranded mature miRNA has to associate with the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). The RISC traffics the mature miRNA to its mRNA target where it effectively silences it (Hutvagner and Zamore, 2002). Additionally, work has revealed that determination of the active strand in the post-Dicer-processed dsRNA is directly related to the stability of dsRNA ends (Khvorova et al., 2003; Schwarz et al., 2003); more specifically, the strand with a less-stable base pairing of the two to four nucleotides at the 5′ end of the duplex tends to associate with the RISC and becomes the active miRNA which can be trafficked to its mRNA target to effectively post-transcriptionally silence that target (Schwarz et al., 2003). miRNA biosynthesis, as well as the post-transcriptional silencing of target mRNA, is summarized in Figure 1.

Work has suggested that miRNA regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally by base pairing to a target mRNA. The specific mechanism of miRNA-mediated gene regulation depends on a variety of factors, the most important of which appears to be the degree of complementarity between the miRNA sequence and the target mRNA sequence (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001). As described above, the mature miRNA’s active strand associates with the Argonaute protein of the RISC and the RISC traffics the miRNA to its target mRNA (Hutvagner and Zamore, 2002; Mourelatos et al., 2002) where it post-transcriptionally regulates gene expression. Generally, a miRNA with perfect complementarity to the sequence of its target mRNA will lead to degradation of the mRNA transcript by a mechanism of Argonaute-catalyzed mRNA cleavage (Hutvagner and Zamore, 2002; Song et al., 2004; Yekta et al., 2004). A miRNA with imperfect sequence complementarity to its target mRNA will result in repression of translation of the target mRNA by blocking or altering the function of translational machinery (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001) through mechanisms including the inhibition of translation initiation and poly(A) shortening (Filipowicz et al., 2008). Other observations have even suggested that miRNA can utilize a combination of both translational repression and mRNA degradation as the mechanism for their post-transcriptional gene regulation (Lim et al., 2005). Since partial or imperfect complementarity of a miRNA to a target mRNA can lead to translational repression which can effectively silence a gene, a single miRNA has the capability of regulating a large number of genes (Du and Zamore, 2007). By their mechanisms of negative regulation, miRNA have been shown to exhibit tissue-specific expression and function and play a role in regulating a wide range of biological processes, including differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis, and stress responses (Crane-Godreau et al., 2009).

**PIWI-INTERACTING RNA**

Another class of ncRNA that has recently been discovered is the class of piRNA. Compared to the miRNA and Argonaute protein complex, piRNAs associate with PIWI proteins to form RNA–protein complexes capable of gene regulation (Thomson and Lin, 2009). Several thousand piRNA, ~24–32 nucleotides in length, have been discovered in zebrafish, Drosophila, and mammals. The majority of piRNA seem to be generated from a relatively small number of long single-stranded RNA precursors which are frequently encoded in repetitive intergenic sequences. PIWI proteins, and seemingly the piRNA which associate with them, are important for ensuring a number of key processes during germline development, including germline determination, spermiogenesis, and the silencing of transposons (Thomson and Lin, 2009). In mammals, piRNA have been detected in testes and ovaries but data suggest they may only be required for proper development in males (Aravin et al., 2006; Siomi et al., 2011).

In contrast with the relatively advanced understanding of the biogenesis of miRNA, the biogenesis of piRNA is not well understood. Recent work has suggested that in the case of pachytene piRNA, piRNA whose expression and function are important during the pachytene phase of meiosis, piRNA precursors are transcribed in a type of primary processing pathway (Brennecke et al., 2007; Seto et al., 2007; Aravin et al., 2008). Other work has suggested a type of “ping-pong” mechanism of biogenesis in which primary piRNA identify their mRNA targets and result in PIWI protein recruitment. This leads to the cleavage of the primary transcript at a location 10 nucleotides from the 5′ end of the primary piRNA, ultimately producing the secondary piRNA (Brennecke et al., 2007). Current data suggest that one or both of...
these proposed mechanisms explains the biogenesis of piRNA in a species-specific fashion with some degree of conservation across species (Faehnle and Joshua-Tor, 2007; Das et al., 2008; Klattenhoff and Theurkauf, 2008; Unhavaithaya et al., 2009).

In terms of biological importance, piRNA have been implicated in the silencing of transposons, and many piRNA are antisense to sequences of transposons (Malone and Hannon, 2009). In mammals, piRNA have been suggested to be most essential during embryonic development (Aravin et al., 2008), and in many species, data reveal that piRNA are required for spermatogenesis (Grimson et al., 2008). A more detailed characterization of both the biogenesis and mechanisms of action of piRNA will be valuable in further revealing how these small, but important, ncRNA function to regulate key periods of development.

**LONG ncRNA**

Long non-coding RNAs are longer than 200 nucleotides, and this characterization distinguishes long ncRNA from other forms of ncRNA, such as miRNA or piRNA. As has been described above, many forms of small ncRNA, such as miRNA or piRNA, show relatively strong conservation across species (Bentwich et al., 2005); long ncRNA, however, have been shown to lack such relatively strong conservation, leading to conclusions by early investigations that such long ncRNA were non-functional (Brosius, 2005; Struhl, 2007), theories which have been largely dismissed following subsequent analyses. Even two of the most well-characterized long ncRNA, Air and Xist, exhibit relatively poor conservation (Nesterova et al., 2001) but their roles are crucial to an organism's survival. As of late 2011, well over 100 long ncRNA have been characterized and annotated on IncRNAdb, a database which provides annotations of eukaryotic long ncRNA (Amaral et al., 2011).

One of the most well-characterized long ncRNAs is Xist (Wutz, 2007), and Xist has been shown to play a key role in X-chromosome inactivation in female placental mammals (Wutz, 2007). During the period of embryonic stem cell differentiation, Xist is expressed from the future inactive X chromosome and coats what then becomes the inactive X chromosome. Following expression of Xist, irreversible chromatin modifications including loss of histone (H3K9) acetylation and H3K4 methylation and establishment of repressive H4 hypoacetylation and H3K27 trimethylation which, along with other modifications, lead to the silencing of X-linked genes (Morey et al., 2004; Wutz, 2007). Collectively, the long ncRNA “Xist” and other ncRNAs expressed from Xist loci effectively allow only one X chromosome to be active in female placental mammals.

Research focused on understanding the roles of long ncRNA in health and disease is ongoing. Work to determine associations of dysregulated expression of long ncRNA with various tumors, as well as tumor differentiation, continues and will elucidate these important relationships (Pibouin et al., 2002; Reis et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2007). Specific roles of long ncRNA in driving or preventing tumorigenesis, however, have been largely undetermined. Future work focusing on enhanced understanding of how these long ncRNA are involved in regulating key cell processes throughout the life course, as well as how these long ncRNA are responsive to environmental exposures, such as cigarette smoke, will be key to better elucidating the function of long ncRNA.

**CIGARETTE SMOKE-ASSOCIATED EFFECTS ON ncRNA**

The influence of cigarette smoking and the effects of components of cigarette smoke on miRNA expression and function have been the most heavily studied aspects of research motivated to characterize the effects of cigarette smoke exposure on ncRNA. As of manuscript preparation, no studies have been published assessing associations of cigarette smoking or direct effects of components of cigarette smoke on piRNA, and relatively few studies have investigated the influence of smoke exposure on dysregulating long ncRNA expression. Since exposure to mainstream and sidestream cigarette smoke remains relatively common and potentially hazardous, investigations into effects on miRNA, piRNA, and long ncRNA may be crucial to elucidating currently poorly understood mechanisms. Such understanding may further explain how components of cigarette smoke may be mediating their effects through piRNA, long ncRNA, and other less-characterized species of ncRNA.

**MATERNAL CIGARETTE SMOKING DURING PREGNANCY AND ABERRANT EXPRESSION OF PLACENTAL miRNA**

During fetal development, the placenta is of utmost importance to ensuring the proper growth and development of the fetus; it provides the fetus with nutrients, aids in the elimination of waste products, and protects the fetus from a variety of environmental toxicants (Grande-Godreau et al., 2009; Maccani et al., 2010). Recently, Maccani et al. (2010) used a candidate miRNA approach to investigate how maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy might be associated with differential expression of miRNA in the placenta. Maccani and colleagues’ data suggested that maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy is associated with the downregulation of miR-16, miR-21, and miR-146a. In an attempt to further explore which components of cigarette smoke might modulate specific miRNA, Maccani and colleagues exposed three human placental cell lines from different stages of placental development to nicotine and benzo[a]pyrene, two components of cigarette smoke, and assessed candidate miRNA expression. The three human placental cell lines used were first trimester villous 3A cells, first trimester extravillous HTR8 cells, and the third trimester extravillous TCL-1 cells; the three cell lines represent different periods and aspects of placental development and were selected to further investigate how different components of cigarette smoke might dysregulate miRNA expression in different placental cell types (Maccani et al., 2010). Data suggested that miR-146a was downregulated in TCL-1 cells treated with nicotine and benzo[a]pyrene, suggesting that this particular miRNA may be particularly sensitive to agents of cellular stress and to these two components of cigarette smoke (Maccani et al., 2010; Maccani and Marsit, 2011).

As has been reviewed elsewhere (Maccani and Marsit, 2011), Maccani and colleagues’ observations were limited by a relatively small set of samples (n = 25) and a lack of data regarding the duration of cigarette smoking during pregnancy or frequency of use, as well as more extensive environmental exposure information. Despite these limitations, Maccani and colleagues’ findings comprise an important first step in investigating associations between maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy and miRNA expression in the placenta. Future work is needed to...
While a number of studies have focused on the influences of cigarette smoke exposure on long ncRNA in a larger cohort of samples with more extensive exposure information (Maccani et al., 2010; Maccani and Marsit, 2011).

CIGARETTE SMOKING AND ABERRANT EXPRESSION OF LUNG miRNA

Izzotti et al. (2010) investigated how cigarette smoke condensate (CSC) alters miRNA expression and function in normal human respiratory epithelial cells and lung cancer cells. For their experiments, Xi and colleagues prepared CSC from Kentucky Research 3R4F research blend cigarettes (Liu et al., 2010) and resuspended the condensate at a concentration of 1 mg tar/mL in RPMI. They found that exposure of cells to this CSC increased the expression of miR-31 in both normal respiratory epithelial cells and in lung cancer cells (Xi et al., 2010). Further results suggested that overexpression of miR-31 led to increased proliferation and tumorigenicity in lung cancer cells, whereas knockdown of miR-31 expression significantly inhibited growth of lung cancer cells (Xi et al., 2010). These findings suggest that miR-31 is both responsive to cigarette smoke exposure in normal respiratory epithelia and lung cancer cells and may play a role as an oncomir, or a miRNA whose expression and function may promote the development of cancer, in the pathway leading to carcinogenesis in the lung (Xi et al., 2010).

CIGARETTE SMOKING AND DYSREGULATED miRNA EXPRESSION IN HUMAN AIRWAY EPITHELIUM

Schembri et al. (2009) investigated whole-genome miRNA expression in bronchial airway epithelium from current or never smokers (n = 20). They found that 28 miRNA were differentially expressed and a majority of these differentially expressed miRNA were downregulated in the airway epithelium of smokers. Furthermore, they investigated one candidate miRNA shown to be dysregulated due to smoke exposure, miR-218, by exposing primary bronchial epithelial cells to CSC, finding that such exposure downregulates miR-218 expression levels (Schembri et al., 2009). While Schembri and colleagues’ sample set was limited in size, their work comprised an important first step in determining how miRNA may be a mechanism by which smoking dysregulates bronchial airway gene expression, potentially leading to downstream smoking-associated disease risk.

ENVIRONMENTAL CIGARETTE SMOKE AND ABERRANT EXPRESSION OF miRNA

While a number of studies have focused on the influences of primary cigarette smoking on miRNA expression and function, work is also being done to characterize the effects of ECS (also called “passive,” “secondhand,” or “sidestream” cigarette smoke) on miRNA expression. Three studies characterizing the effects of ECS on miRNA expression in the lung and liver were conducted by Izzotti et al. (2009, 2010a,b).

Izzotti et al. (2009) investigated the effects of ECS exposure on miRNA expression in the lungs of rats exposed to ECS for 28 days. They found that the most greatly downregulated miRNA belonged to miRNA families previously shown to regulate a number of key biological processes, including stress response, proliferation, angiogenesis, apoptosis, and others. Furthermore, they found that ECS exposure resulted in the upregulation of 2.9% of genes and 9.7% of proteins in the same tissue, suggesting that the ECS exposure-induced downregulation of miRNA may, in part, be leading to the increased protein levels of genes whose mRNA would have otherwise been targeted for post-transcriptional regulation.

In their two 2010 studies, Izzotti and colleagues exposed either neonatal mice (Izzotti et al., 2010b) or rats (Izzotti et al., 2010a) to ECS but also to chemopreventive agents and measured miRNA expression in the lung and the liver following exposure. Their data suggested that ECS dysregulated miRNA expression in lung and had a variety of mixed effects in liver. Phenethyl isothiocyanate and budesonide exposure was observed to protect the lung from ECS-induced dysregulated miRNA expression but had adverse effects in the liver (Izzotti et al., 2010b). Exposure to chemopreventive agents N-acetylcysteine, oltipraz, indole-3-carbinol, 5-6-benzoflavone, and phenethyl isothiocyanate (as single exposures or in combinations) were shown to attenuate alterations in lung attributed to ECS (Izzotti et al., 2010a). Collectively, their findings further underscore the utility of miRNA profiles in various tissues as potential key tools for analyzing both protective and adverse effects of chemopreventive agents in mitigating the effects of exposure to ECS. Future work utilizing bioinformatically informed target prediction approaches may prove essential to better characterizing potential pathways dysregulated by aberrant miRNA expression and respective target miRNA expression resulting from ECS. Future investigations into the molecular mechanisms by which ECS elicits downstream effects will be important for both understanding the effects of this potentially hazardous exposure as well as designing novel therapeutics to treat and improve outcome following exposure.

Cigarette smoke exposure-associated dysregulation of long ncRNA

Investigations into cigarette smoke exposure-associated dysregulation of miRNA have been relatively extensive compared to the few studies analyzing such alterations of long ncRNA. Silva et al. (2010) used whole-genome tiling arrays to investigate the upregulation of non-coding transcripts greater than 300 nucleotides in length in normal human bronchial epithelial cells (NHBE) exposed to the tobacco carcinogen nicotine-derived nitrosamine ketone (NNK), a component of tobacco smoke which can result in DNA damage. They found 12 long stress-induced non-coding transcripts (LSINCTs) which were upregulated in NHBE cells exposed to NNK. Follow-up analysis showed that several of these transcripts exhibit increased expression in many lung cancer and breast cancer cell lines. Analysis is ongoing to better characterize the functional aspects of these LSINCTs dysregulated by NNK. Other investigations have included using RNA-Seq technology to explore effects of smoking and lung cancer on the transcriptome of the airway (Beane et al., 2011) and characterizing the dysregulated monoallelic expression of the H19 gene in cigarette smokers’ airway epithelium (Kaplan et al., 2003). These investigations all provide important first steps in characterizing the potential impacts of cigarette smoke exposure on long ncRNA; more extensive research will need to be conducted in the future to more comprehensively describe the effects of cigarette smoke exposure on long ncRNA in a variety of tissues.
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Taken collectively, the body of literature describing our understanding of the effects of cigarette smoke on ncRNA expression and function is very much in its infancy. Studies to date have been relatively small in scope, have considered a relatively limited number of target tissues (i.e., placenta, lung, liver, and airway epithelial cells), and examined various types of cigarette smoke exposure (e.g., primary, passive/secondhand, and prenatal). While the variety in exposure types is informative and provides preliminary results, the relatively small sample sizes and limited number of studies overall make it clear that there is much more to be done in order to more definitively elucidate the effects of cigarette smoke (of any type) on ncRNA expression and the later downstream effects on behavioral health and other medical conditions.

The possible influence of epigenetic modification, including ncRNA expression, due to exposure to cigarette smoke of any kind (i.e., passive or secondhand, primary, or during pregnancy) on behavioral health and other medical conditions is manifold. As outlined above, the three best-characterized forms of ncRNA are microRNA (miRNA), piRNA, and long ncRNA, with miRNA garnering particularly great attention. In fact, at the time of the preparation of this review, there were virtually no published data on exposure-associated alterations to piRNA and relatively few studies on cigarette smoke-associated alterations to long ncRNA. Thus, unanswered questions include, but are not limited to, (i) the effects of cigarette smoke on piRNA and long ncRNA in multiple tissues, in animals and in humans, (ii) the effects of cigarette smoke on miRNA in the brain which might alter critical neurobehavioral circuitry in the developing brain or the adult brain, (iii) the influence of smoke exposure on ncRNA in asthma, lung cancer or other medical outcomes, and (iv) intergenerational transmission of smoking-related ncRNA changes (i.e., grandmaternal smoking influences which may affect germline cells and those epigenetic changes which may escape reprogramming during development). Use of animal models in mutually informative translational research (especially in inbred lines where genetic background is held constant) may further triangulate our ability as a field to investigate these issues (Knopik et al., Under Review).

It is clear, even from the relative paucity of research in this area, that ncRNA are biologically relevant and play an important role in the disease process. ncRNA alterations may also provide valuable information about therapeutic interventions. Yet, how do we align this information with the current state of science? One part of this answer lies in the research or clinical question of interest. If the question is whether cigarette smoke exposure, whether mainstream or sidestream, alters ncRNA expression and leads to the development of cancer cells, then the tissues of interest may indeed be relatively easily accessible. Animal models can be used to investigate ncRNA alterations in multiple tissues of interest, such as the lung, the esophagus, salivary glands, and bladder, but as with all model systems, consideration must be taken in study design regarding differential degrees of conservation of particular ncRNA across species. These preclinical models can be examined alongside human data, where biopsies of particular tissues may be available. However, if the outcome of interest is behavioral or psychiatric in nature (e.g., addiction, executive function, impulsive behavior, response to stress), the approach is less clear. Ideally, to consider the role of cigarette smoke exposure and ncRNA alterations on subsequent behavior, one would want to examine brain tissue. In humans, this is unavailable unless one considers post-mortem tissue; however, even then there is then the question of whether such investigations might be confounded due to potential ncRNA alterations associated with cause of death. There is considerable debate about the utility of blood as a biomarker for gene expression in brain and other tissues (Tsang et al., 2005; Tian et al., 2009; Shivapurkar and Gazdar, 2010; Kukreja et al., 2011). In the search for a biomarker with clinical utility, blood does have certain advantages. Blood is an accessible tissue that can be relatively easily obtained, and while not a perfect representation of what might be expressed in brain, it can provide useful information for screening purposes. In either scenario, whether the health outcome is more psychological or somatic in nature, an additional question lies in what aspect of cigarette smoke leads to epigenetic alterations: more specifically, is it nicotine or one of the 4000+ other xenobiotics (e.g., foreign substances) found in cigarette smoke – or one of the multitude of complex mixtures of these xenobiotics – which are most responsible for leading to epigenetic alterations? Carefully examining this piece of the equation will also be key to developing a better understanding of how components of cigarette smoke alter ncRNA expression and function, but also the utility of using such cigarette smoke-modulated ncRNA in diagnostic and therapeutic interventions.

In summary, increasing attention to the study of ncRNA and to “environmental epigenetics” (Reamon-Buettner et al., 2008) has inspired more researchers to embark on work to better understand how environmental exposures, such as cigarette smoke, affect ncRNA expression and function. Recommendations for future research include using both human cohorts and model systems to more comprehensively determine how the type, timing, frequency, duration, and degree of cigarette smoke exposure may alter miRNA, piRNA, and long ncRNA expression and function in a variety of tissues, thereby having the power to alter a number of health and developmental processes. The relative dearth of data demonstrating the effects of cigarette smoke on piRNA and relatively limited number of studies investigating the impact of cigarette smoke on long ncRNA underscore the need for future research to better describe such potentially hazardous effects of cigarette smoke on these two species of ncRNA and the processes they regulate. Hypothesis-generating approaches, such as microarray technology, when used in tandem with gold-standard validation approaches, such as Real-Time PCR, will be important for developing more agnostic study designs for discovering how ncRNA individually and collectively may be responsive to cigarette smoke exposure. Use of target prediction strategies combining in silico target prediction analysis with empirical target prediction confirmation (i.e., via Western blot) will enable researchers to better streamline their efforts to discover currently unknown targets of miRNA. Tools for predicting potential targets of piRNA and long ncRNA remain in early development and such bioinformatic tools will prove especially useful for further determining the functions of piRNA and long ncRNA, especially in the context of harmful environmental exposures. This
will not only enhance understanding of how these harmful exposures impact health but may suggest the utility of ncRNA as both therapeutic targets and biomarkers for determining treatment efficacy. Together, these advances will be crucial for determining how alterations to the expression and function of ncRNA may be important modes by which environmental exposures, such as cigarette smoke, influence health outcomes throughout the life course.
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