Different transporter systems regulate extracellular GABA from vesicular and non-vesicular sources
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INTRODUCTION

Tonic activation of extrasynaptic GABA type A receptors (GABA$_A$) by ambient GABA is a major form of GABAergic signaling in the central nervous system (Semyanov et al., 2004; Farrant and Nusser, 2005; Glykys and Mody, 2007a; Walker and Semyanov, 2008). It can be recorded in voltage-clamped neurons as the part of holding current ($I_{\text{hold}}$) that is sensitive to GABA$_A$ receptor antagonists (termed tonic GABA$_A$ current). The expression of tonic GABA$_A$ currents is cell-type specific, and this specificity determines the network effect of this form of signaling (Semyanov et al., 2004). For example, in the hippocampal CA1 region, tonic GABA$_A$ currents are significantly larger in interneurons than in pyramidal cells (Semyanov et al., 2003; Scimemi et al., 2005), and this difference may be due to a more efficient GABA uptake around pyramidal cells. Tonic currents also exert different effects on interneuron and pyramidal cell excitability. In the adult brain, GABA hyperpolarizes hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Glickfeld et al., 2009), whereas it depolarizes interneurons (Michelson and Wong, 1991; Banke and McBain, 2006; Vida et al., 2006; Song et al., 2011). Consequently, tonic GABA$_A$ conductances have inhibitory effects on pyramidal neurons, whereas low tonic GABA$_A$ conductances have excitatory effects on interneurons (Song et al., 2011). When the ambient GABA concentrations increase, tonic GABA$_A$ conductances become larger and the shunting overpowers the excitatory effect of depolarization, rendering the overall effect of the tonic GABA$_A$ conductance inhibitory. This phenomenon is particularly interesting given the diverse roles of interneurons in the hippocampus, such as rhythmic activity, synchronization of pyramidal cells firing, feedforward, and feedback inhibition (see for review, Kullmann, 2011). Thus mechanisms changing ambient GABA concentrations around interneurons, such as GABA release and uptake, can have profound functional effects. Ambient GABA originates from a number of synaptic and non-synaptic sources in the brain. The magnitude of tonic GABA$_A$ currents in rat CA1 pyramidal neurons correlates with the frequency of spontaneous inhibitory post-synaptic currents (sIPSCs) when rat GAT1 (rGAT1) is blocked, suggesting a synaptic contribution to ambient GABA around these cells (Glykys and Mody, 2007b). Astrocytic GABA release has been demonstrated in the olfactory bulb (Kozlov et al., 2006) and cerebellum (Lee et al., 2010). Dendritic exocytotic GABA release has been reported in the neocortex (Zilberter et al., 1999). Extracellular GABA is cleared by GABA transporters, classified as mouse GABA transporter 1 (mGAT1), mGAT2, mGAT3, and mGAT4 in the mouse [homologs in rat: mGAT1 ~ rGAT1, mGAT2 ~ betaine/GABA transporter 1 (BTG1), mGAT3 ~ rGAT2, mGAT4 ~ rGAT3]. In rats, rGAT1 and rGAT2/3 blockers synergistically modulate tonic GABA$_A$ conductances (Keros and Hablitz, 2005; Jin et al., 2011). The relative impact of different transporters on ambient GABA originating from different sources, however, has been unknown. Here we show in mouse hippocampal CA1 stratum (str.) radiatum interneurons that mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 selectively regulate tonic GABA$_A$ currents mediated by GABA originating from vesicular and non-vesicular sources, respectively.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

ETHICAL APPROVAL

All procedures involving animals were approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use committee at RIKEN.

SLICE PREPARATION

Hippocampal slices (350 μm) were obtained from 3- to 4-week-old C57BL/6J mice. After dissection, the hippocampi were sliced using a vibration microtome (Microm HM650V, Germany). Transverse hippocampal slices were prepared in ice-cold slicing solution containing (in mM): 87 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 7 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 26.2 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 25 glucose, and 50 sucrose, and saturated with 95% CO2/5% O2. After preparation, the slices were maintained at room temperature in a submerged chamber with storage solution containing (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgSO4, 1 CaCl2, 26.2 NaHCO3, 1 NaH2PO4, and 11 glucose, and saturated with 95% CO2/5% O2.

WHOLE-CELL PATCH CLAMP RECORDING

After 1 h incubation, slices were transferred to the recording chamber and superfused at 32–34°C with external solution (same as above, but containing 2.5 mM CaCl2). AMPA/kainate, NMDA, and GABA A receptors were blocked with 25 μM NBQX, 50 μM APV, and 5 μM CGP52432 (Tocris Cookson, Bristol, UK), respectively.

α-Latrotoxin from the black widow spider venom stimulates neurotransmitter release by acting on presynaptic receptors (Ushkaryov et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2009). α-Latrotoxin mutant (LTX N4C) in which four amino acids were inserted between the main domains (Ichtchenko et al., 1998) was used to stimulate spontaneous vesicular exocytosis (Volynski et al., 2003). Stock aliquots of LTX N4C (17–42 nM) were stored at −28°C in non-freon Nihon freezer GS-1356HC (Japan). LTX N4C was focally applied to the recording chamber at a concentration of 0.1 nM near the recording pipette after stopping the superfusion (Capogna et al., 2003). Perfusion was resumed when of 0.1 nM near the recording pipette after stopping the superfusion (Capogna et al., 2003).

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed at −70 mV. Tonic GABA A currents (ΔI hold) were calculated as the difference between the baseline I hold and the I hold in the presence of the drug affecting GABA A currents. To estimate I hold in control and drug conditions, we plotted all-points histograms over 20 s of recordings in each case (Glykys and Mody, 2007b). These histograms have a peak which corresponds to mean I hold while fluctuations in the holding current affect the width and skewness of the histograms. This method was specifically developed to overcome bias due to the presence of IPSCs on the I hold measurements. The time-averaged currents mediated by sIPSCs (I spont) were calculated as the mean charge transfer of sIPSCs (area under the IPSCs) multiplied by their frequency (Semyanov et al., 2003).

STATISTICS

Statistical analysis was performed using Excel (Microsoft, USA) and Origin8 (OriginLab, USA). Data were presented as mean ± S.E.M; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 paired, unpaired, or one-sample Student’s t-test as stated in the text. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Two-Way ANOVA was used to assess the effects and interaction of GABA transporter blockers and bafilomycin A1.

RESULTS

TONIC GABA A CONDUCTANCE IS REGULATED BY BOTH mGAT1 AND mGAT3/4 TRANSPORTERS

sIPSCs and I hold were recorded in voltage-clamped CA1 str.radiatum interneurons in mouse hippocampal slices in the presence of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)/kainate, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), and GABA type B (GABA B) receptor antagonists to isolate GABA A receptor currents. The transient inward currents were mediated by GABA A receptors (sIPSCs) and blocked by application of the GABA A receptor antagonist picrotoxin (100 μM; Figure 2A). Picrotoxin also produced a shift in I hold, which represents the tonic GABA A current. Then we tested how different transporter subtypes regulate this baseline tonic GABA A current. The mGAT1 blocker NO711 (10 μM) and the mGAT3/4 blocker SNAP5114 (100 μM) both significantly increased I hold (Table 1, Figure 1), suggesting that elimination of either transporter subtype leads to increases in ambient GABA. NO711 and SNAP5114 together increased I hold more than the sum of the effects of individual

| Table 1 | Effect of mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 blockers on I hold in mouse interneurons. |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
|         | ΔI hold (NO711) | ΔI hold (SNAP5114) | P (NO711 vs.SNAP5114) |
| Control | 19.35 ± 5.09   | 12.12 ± 2.28       | 0.100                 |
| (n = 6)  |                    |                    | (n = 7)               |
| Bafilomycin A1 | 7.56 ± 1.74      | 17.03 ± 4.02       | 0.028*                |
| (n = 6)  |                    |                    | (n = 6)               |
| P(controls baf A1) | 0.026*          | 0.149              |                      |

Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M; P-values for unpaired t-test, *P < 0.05.
blocks suggesting a synergetic effect of the two drugs on extra-
cellular GABA elevation.

**TONIC GABA<sub>A</sub> CONDUCTANCE IS MEDIATED BY GABA RELEASED FROM BOTH VESICULAR AND NON-VESICULAR SOURCES**

It was unclear whether GABA elevations during blockade of each transporter system originated from the same or different sources. Indeed, the different locations of rGAT1 (which is predominantly located on neurons) and rGAT3 (which is located on astrocytes) indicate that these transporters may specifically regulate GABA originating from different sources (Ribak et al., 1996; Heja et al., 2009, 2012; Shigetomi et al., 2012). First, we identified the proportion of tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> conductance in interneurons that is mediated by non-vesicular GABA release. We compared the tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> current in control slices and in slices pretreated with a selective inhibitor of vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases, bafilomycin A1, which prevents GABA loading into synaptic vesicles and thus vesicular GABA release. The slices pretreated with bafilomycin A1 were completely devoid of sIPSCs, confirming total blockade of vesicular release (*Figures 2A,B*). In these slices, application of picrotoxin revealed significant tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> currents in CA1 interneurons (7.9 ± 2.8 pA, n = 6, P = 0.028 one-sample *t*-test), which constituted 40% of the tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> current revealed by picrotoxin in control slices not treated with bafilomycin A1 (19.6 ± 3.2 pA, n = 14, P = 0.015 one-sample *t*-test; *Figure 2C*; P = 0.0031 for the difference between control and bafilomycin A1-treated slices, unpaired *t*-test). Because picrotoxin also blocks homomeric glycine receptors, we confirmed that tonic current in bafilomycin A1-treated slices is GABAergic using 25 μM bicuculline (9.17 ± 2.40 pA, n = 6, P = 0.007 one-sample *t*-test; *Figure 3A*). Because bafilomycin A1 also affects exocytosis and therefore can potentially affect the neuronal receptor density (Johnson et al., 1993; Presley et al., 1997), we tested whether the responses to exogenous GABA were affected by bafilomycin A1 treatment. The tonic current produced by exogenous application of 10 μM GABA did not differ significantly between control and treated slices (*Figure 3B*).

**mGAT3/4 REGULATES GABA ORIGINATING FROM NON-VESICULAR SOURCES**

We then tested the effect of mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 blockers on the tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> current in the presence of bafilomycin A1. The mGAT1 blocker NO711 led to a smaller increase in the tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> current in bafilomycin A1-treated slices (*Figure 4A*). However, the increase was significant (P = 0.007, paired *t*-test), suggesting that mGAT1 limits the contribution of both synaptic spillover and also non-vesicular release to ambient GABA concentrations. In contrast, the tonic GABA<sub>A</sub>...
current increase caused by mGAT3/4 blocker SNAP5114 was not significantly different in the absence or presence of vesicular release (Table 1, Figure 4B), suggesting that, in slices at baseline conditions, mGAT3/4 regulates GABA originating largely from non-vesicular sources. We then asked whether the effect of bafilomycin A1 depended on which type of GABA transporters was blocked. Using a Two-Way ANOVA we found that the interaction between bafilomycin A1 and uptake inhibitor is significant ($F_{(1, 21)} = 434, p = 0.025$), indicating that bafilomycin A1 has a significantly greater effect in the presence of a mGAT1 blocker than mGAT3/4 blocker and suggesting also that mGAT1 mainly controls the uptake of GABA released by exocytosis.

**mGAT1 REGULATES GABA ORIGINATING FROM VESICULAR SOURCES**

It remains possible, however, that when vesicular release is increased, mGAT3/4 can contribute to the uptake of GABA escaping from the synaptic cleft together with mGAT1. To test this possibility, synaptic GABA release was enhanced with the mutant $\alpha$-latrotoxin (LTX$^\text{N4C}$) which, unlike the wild-type toxin, does not form pores in the membrane and increases neurotransmitter release by stimulating LTX receptors (most likely latrophilin 1) without neuronal depolarization (Ichtchenko et al., 1998; Capogna et al., 2003; Volynski et al., 2003; Deak et al., 2009). LTX$^\text{N4C}$ at a concentration of 0.1 nM increased the frequency of sIPSCs to 144.5 $\pm$ 10.5% of baseline ($n = 7, P = 0.004$ paired $t$-test; Figures 5A,B). As expected for LTX$^\text{N4C}$, which activates latrophilin after a lag-period (Volynski et al., 2004), the observed increase started 5 min after drug application. No significant change in the sIPSC amplitude was detected (107.6 $\pm$ 7.6% of baseline, $n = 7, P = 0.178$ paired $t$-test; Figure 5C), indicating that LTX$^\text{N4C}$ did not affect the membrane permeability of the post-synaptic cell. We next tested how changes in vesicular GABA release affect the tonic GABA$_A$ current. LTX$^\text{N4C}$ increased $I_{\text{hold}}$ in the recorded cells to 117.9 $\pm$ 5.1% of baseline.

**FIGURE 3** Characteristics of the tonic GABA$_A$ current in bafilomycin A1-treated slices. (A) 100 $\mu$M picrotoxin (PTX, $n = 6$) and 25 $\mu$M bicuculline (BMI, $n = 5$) revealed similar tonic GABA$_A$ current in CA1 interneurons of bafilomycin A1-treated slices. (B) Sensitivity of $h_{\text{hold}}$ to exogenous GABA (10 $\mu$M) in control ($n = 6$) and bafilomycin A1-treated slices ($n = 7$). Black circles—$h_{\text{hold}}$ in control slices, white circles—$h_{\text{hold}}$ in bafilomycin A1-treated slices normalized to corresponding baseline values (dashed line). Gray line—GABA application. N.S. $P > 0.05$, unpaired $t$-test.

**FIGURE 4** Tonic GABA$_A$ current mediated by non-vesicular GABA release is regulated by mGAT3/4, but not by mGAT1. (A) Top: Changes in $h_{\text{hold}}$ produced by NO711 in control (upper trace) or bafilomycin A1-treated slices (lower trace). Dashed line—baseline level of $h_{\text{hold}}$, black line—application of NO711. Bottom: Summary graph showing changes in $h_{\text{hold}}$ produced by NO711 in control (ctrl, $n = 6$) and bafilomycin A1-treated (Baf. A1, $n = 6$) slices. (B) Top: Changes in $h_{\text{hold}}$ produced by SNAP5114 in control (upper trace) or bafilomycin A1-treated slices (lower trace). Dashed line—baseline level of $h_{\text{hold}}$, gray line—application of SNAP5114. Bottom: Summary graph showing changes in $h_{\text{hold}}$ produced by SNAP5114 in control (ctrl, $n = 7$) and bafilomycin A1-treated (Baf. A1, $n = 6$) slices. * $P < 0.05$; N.S. $P > 0.05$, unpaired $t$-test.

**FIGURE 5** LTX$^\text{N4C}$ enhances spontaneous synaptic GABA release. (A) Representative whole-cell recordings of sIPSC from an individual CA1 str. radiatum interneuron before (left) and 10 min after (right) focal application of LTX$^\text{N4C}$. (B) LTX$^\text{N4C}$ effect on the mean sIPSC frequency normalized to the baseline (dashed line, $n = 7$). Gray line—application of LTX$^\text{N4C}$. (C) LTX$^\text{N4C}$ effect on mean sIPSC amplitude normalized to the baseline (dashed line, $n = 7$). Gray line—application of LTX$^\text{N4C}$.
The time-course of this increase followed the time-course of the change in sIPSC frequency (Figure 6A, compare to Figure 5B). The LTXN4C effect on $I_{\text{hold}}$ was blocked by picrotoxin (99.58 ± 7.27% of $I_{\text{hold}}$ in picrotoxin, $n = 4$, $P = 0.29$ paired t-test) or by bicuculline (100.9 ± 3.6 % of $I_{\text{hold}}$ in bicuculline, $n = 4$, $P = 0.48$ paired t-test), indicating that the observed change in $I_{\text{hold}}$ was mediated by GABA$_A$ receptors (Figure 7). To estimate the proportion of the tonic GABA$_A$ current produced by enhanced vesicular GABA release, we calculated the ratio between the change in $I_{\text{hold}}$ and the change in $I_{\text{spont}}$ [$\Delta I_{\text{hold}}/\Delta I_{\text{spont}}$, where $I_{\text{spont}}$ is the time-averaged current mediated by sIPSC and their frequency (Semyanov et al., 2003; Song et al., 2011)]. In control conditions this ratio was 15.14 ± 4 ($n = 8$), suggesting that $I_{\text{hold}}$ changes by approximately 15 pA per 1 pA change in $I_{\text{spont}}$. When GABA uptake is blocked, an increase in $\Delta I_{\text{hold}}/\Delta I_{\text{spont}}$ ratio would be expected, indicating that more GABA can escape the synaptic cleft. Since IPSC shape (and thus $I_{\text{spont}}$) can change in the presence of GABA uptake blockers, we added the uptake blocker first and then measured the effect of LTXN4C. The $\Delta I_{\text{hold}}/\Delta I_{\text{spont}}$ ratio was increased approximately two-fold in the presence of NO711 (37.20 ± 11.45, $n = 7$, $P = 0.04$ for difference from control, unpaired t-test), but was not significantly affected in the presence of SNAP5114 (16.48 ± 6.67, $n = 5$, $P = 0.11$ for difference from control, unpaired t-test), suggesting that mGAT1, but not mGAT3/4, is responsible for limiting GABA spillover, even with increased levels of synaptic release (Figure 6B).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results demonstrate that mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 transporter types are associated with two different extrasynaptic signaling pathways. mGAT1, but not mGAT3/4, limits synaptic GABA spillover. In contrast, mGAT3/4 makes a larger contribution than mGAT1 to the regulation of GABA released from extrasynaptic sources (Zilberter et al., 1999; Kozlov et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2010).

The different roles of mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 in the regulation of extrasynaptic GABA sources can be explained by their
cellular localization. In rat hippocampus, rGAT1 is expressed predominantly in neurons, which express no or only low levels of rGAT3 (Ribak et al., 1996; Heja et al., 2009). In contrast, rGAT3 is strongly expressed in rat hippocampal astrocytes (Heja et al., 2012; Shigetomi et al., 2012). The cell-type specific localization of mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 is responsible for the different regulation of IPSCs by these transporter types. rGAT1 blocker, but not rGAT2/3 blocker, prolongs the decay time of evoked IPSCs in rat neocortex (Keros and Hablitz, 2005) and striatal output neurons (Kirmse et al., 2009). In contrast, in rat globus pallidus, both rGAT1 and rGAT2/3 blockers affect the kinetics of evoked IPSCs (Jin et al., 2011). The interpretation of the transporter blocker effects on synaptic signaling is complicated by possible changes in the presynaptic release probability or in the presynaptic excitability due to elevated ambient GABA concentrations.

Notably, expression patterns of the two transporter types can change independently during physiologic processes, such as memory formation (Tellez et al., 2012), and pathologic processes, such as epilepsy (Cope et al., 2009). In addition to transporter expression, the two GABA uptake systems can be individually regulated by endogenous modulators. For example, zinc, a potent inhibitor of rGAT4, can be released in the hippocampus together with glutamate (Cohen-Kfir et al., 2005). Indeed, zinc increases the extracellular GABA concentration in the hippocampus (Takeda et al., 2004). In addition, glutamate uptake by astrocytes increases the intracellular sodium concentration in these cells (Kirischuk et al., 2012). Increased sodium, in turn, decreases the efficiency of (or even reverses) sodium-dependent GABA uptake by astrocytic rGAT2/3 transporters in the rat (Heja et al., 2012). Such uptake modulation of ambient GABA released from non-vesicular sources would allow for high levels of glutamatergic synaptic excitation to increase tonic GABA_A conductance in the hippocampus without affecting synaptic GABAergic signaling.

Taken together with our findings, these considerations suggest the existence of two functionally distinct extrasynaptic GABA signaling systems that possess independently regulated uptake machinery. One system is directly operated by GABA escape from the GABAergic synapses and is associated with mGAT1. This system provides direct feedback regulation of GABAergic synaptic activity through regulating the tonic GABA_A conductance. The second system is operated by other neurotransmitters that can promote non-vesicular GABA release (e.g., by astrocytes), and is regulated by mGAT3/4. This system represents an indirect network feedback (via non-vesicular GABA release), which also results in changes in tonic GABA_A conductance. Notably, when one of the transporter types is blocked the second type compensates for its loss and takes up GABA originating from both sources. Therefore, when both transporters are blocked their effect on tonic GABA_A conductance is supra-additive. This opens up the possibility that in cases when GABA release from the vesicular or non-vesicular source overwhelms their “dedicated” transporter type, the other transporter type can assist in GABA clearance. However, we did not find that a moderate increase in vesicular GABA release can overwhelm mGAT1, but it could potentially happen with further increases in GABA release, or in situations when there is a downregulation of mGAT1.

Importantly, we performed the experiments with GABA_B receptors blocked. Activation of these receptors may also depend on the source of extracellular GABA. Indeed, different roles played by rGAT1 and rGAT3 in regulating GABA_B receptor activation have been shown in thalamus (Beenhakker and Huguenard, 2010). Thus two GABA sources and two types of GABA transporters can form a specific agonist “template” for extrasynaptic GABA_A and GABA_B receptor activation (Semyanov, 2008).

Another important question is the identity of the recorded interneurons. We identified these cells solely by their location in CA1 str. radiatum and non-pyramidal shape, and did not differentiate different interneuronal subtypes (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008). It is possible that distinct interneurons may express tonic GABA_A currents to differing extents as has been shown in neocortex (Vardya et al., 2008). Potentially, the contribution of vesicular and non-vesicular sources of GABA may also differ among different interneuron subtypes and so tonic GABA_A conductances could be regulated differently by the two transporter systems. This question is an important subject for future functional studies, especially those which aim to apply our finding to the identification of novel drug targets. Indeed, the functional difference between mGAT1 and mGAT3/4 prompts a refinement of therapeutic targets for drugs acting on the GABA uptake systems. For example, the anti-epileptic drug, tiagabine, a selective mGAT3/4 transporter blocker, increases GABA spillover, and can affect the time course of IPSCs (Walker and Kullmann, 2012). Because IPSCs are involved in synaptic computations, tiagabine can potentially have unwanted effects on synaptic network operation. A selective mGAT3/4 transporter blocker should increase extracellular GABA levels without significantly affecting synaptic communication and perhaps lack the side effects characteristic of tiagabine.
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