A very extended molecular web around NGC 1275
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Abstract. We present the first detection of CO emission lines in the Hα filaments at distances as far as 50 kpc from the centre of the galaxy NGC 1275. This gas is probably dense (≥10^4 cm^{-3}). However, it is not possible to accurately determine the density and the kinetic temperature of this relatively warm gas (T_{kin}~20-500 K) with the current data only. The amount of molecular gas in the filaments is large — 10^8 M_⊙ (assuming a Galactic N(H_2)/I_CO ratio). This is 10% of the total mass of molecular gas detected in this cD galaxy. This gas has large-scale velocities comparable to those seen in Hα. The origin of the filaments is still unclear, but their formation is very likely linked to the AGN positive feedback (Revaz et al., 2008) that regulates the cooling of the surrounding X-ray-emitting gas as suggested by numerical simulations. We also present high-resolution spectra of the galaxy core. The spatial characteristics of the double-peaked profile suggest that the molecular web of filaments and streamers penetrates down to radii of less than 2 kpc from the central AGN and eventually feed the galaxy nucleus. The mass of gas inside the very central region is ~10^8 M_⊙, and is similar to the mass of molecular gas found in the filaments.
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1. Introduction

The cD galaxy NGC 1275 lies at the centre of the Perseus cluster, the brightest galaxy cluster in the sky in X-rays. The galaxy is at a redshift of 0.01756, (ter, the brightest galaxy cluster in the sky in X-rays. The galaxy
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2. Observations

We used the IRAM 30 m telescope on Pico Veleta, near Granada, Spain. Observations were made in December 2007. Receivers were tuned to the CO(1–0) and CO(2–1) lines, redshifted to the adopted systemic velocity of NGC 1275 (113.280 and 226.559 GHz). We used two 512 × 1 MHz filters at 3 mm and two 250 × 4 MHz filters for the 1.3 mm receivers, thereby covering 1300 km/s at both wavelengths. We used wobbler switching, and checked the pointing on 3C84, the radio source at the centre of NGC 1275. We observed in excellent weather with $T_{\text{sys}}$ typically 250 K at 1.3 mm. To study the large extent of molecular gas around NGC 1275, we observed 18 regions inside the Hα filaments (Fig. 1). The beams of the 30 m telescope, at 3 mm and 1.3 mm, are 22″ and 11″ respectively (corresponding to 7.7 and 3.8 kpc at NGC 1275). The line intensities are given in main-beam brightness temperatures, $T_{\text{mb}}$. The ratio of $T_{\text{mb}}$ to $T_{\alpha}$ at the 30 m is $T_{\text{mb}}/T_{\alpha} = F_{\text{eff}}/B_{\text{eff}} = 1.27$ at 3 mm and 1.75 at 1.3 mm (see [http://www.iram.es]).

The data were calibrated with the MIRA software and reduced with the CLASS package. We dropped spiky channels and bad scans, and subtracted linear baselines from each spectrum before averaging. Table 1 summarises the results.

3. Results, by region

3.1. The gas masses

For comparison with other work, the gas mass was estimated from a standard Milky Way conversion factor $M_{\text{gas}}/L_{\text{CO}} = 4.6 M_o (K\text{ km/s}\text{ pc}^2)^{-1}$ (Solomon et al. 1997) for both transitions. Note however that the IC metallicity is known to be less than solar $Z/S_o$ in the Perseus cluster core (Schmidt et al., 2002). This low metallicity would lead to a larger $N$($H_2$)/CO conversion factor than the standard one used here (Leroy et al, 2009) and thus underestimated gas masses. The CO luminosity is defined by $L_{\text{CO}} = T_b \Delta V \Omega_b D_A^2$ with $T_b \Omega_b$ the source brightness temperature times the source solid angle, $\Delta V$ the line width and $D_A$ the angular distance of the source. This gives $L_{\text{CO}} = 23.5 \Omega_{\text{obs}} I_{\text{CO}} D_A^2 (1+z)$, with $z$ the source redshift, $\Omega_{\text{obs}}$, the solid angle of the source convolved with the telescope beam and $I_{\text{CO}} = \int T_{\text{mb}} \Delta V$ the CO intensity ($T_{\text{mb}}$ being the main beam temperature). This is for $L_{\text{CO}}$ in K km/s pc$^2$, $\Omega_{\text{obs}}$ in arcsec$^2$, $D_A$ in Mpc, and $I_{\text{CO}}$ in K km/s. With this conversion factor, we find molecular gas masses of $\sim 3-14 \times 10^7 M_o$ in each pointed region of the filaments. We used the same conversion factor for both transitions since line ratios were close to one. We find more mass in the (larger) 3 mm beam than in the 1 mm beam. So the CO emission may be extended. Note however that this is just a hint because of the large uncertainties on the line width and main beam temperature measurements for both transitions.

3.2. The 30-kpc-long north filament, at very large radius:

This filament extends over a radial distance from 20 to 50 kpc from the galaxy’s centre. We detected CO(2–1) at all positions observed, but no CO(1–0) apart from r4 (Table 1; regions r1 to r4). The fact that CO(2–1) is easier to detect means that the CO(1–0) is strongly affected by beam dilution, and therefore the emission is fragmented and clumpy on a scale of a few kpc (in region r4, where both lines are detected, the CO(2–1)/CO(1–0) main beam temperature ratio is ~2). The CO(2–1) line centre changes from $-184$ km/s (at r1), to $-65$ km/s (at r2), then jumps to +100 km/s (r3), and +50 km/s (r4). These line velocities show the same trend as in Hα (Hatch et al. 2006). Figure 4 (middle panel) shows the observed position-velocity diagram along this filament. There is a positive gradient from $-200$ km/s to +100 km/s as the radius decreases from 50 to 35 kpc, after which the velocity falls back to 40 km/s at a radius of 25 kpc. The good agreement with the Hα suggests that the CO traces most of the mass in the filaments, possibly surrounded by ionised gas radiating in Hα, at the interface with the hot intra-cluster medium. The shape of the gradient and the velocity reversal at the end could be due to the projection of the curved filament. Judging from the other loops that are oriented more face-on, it is obvious that many of the filaments have a significant curvature. The linewidth of regions r1 to r3 is 200 km/s while region r4 shows a narrower linewidth of 100 km/s, more typical of the sub-structures in the eastern filament (see Salomé et al. 2008b). With the standard Milky Way conversion factor described above, we find a molecular gas mass of $\sim 3-14 \times 10^7 M_o$ in the northern filament.

3.3. The bright southeast filaments:

Regions r10, r11 and r15 (the ‘blue-loop’) cover the southeast Hα filaments. Regions r10 and r11 are only detected in CO(2–1), while region r15 is also detected in CO(1–0). This filament has negative velocities only. There is a velocity change from $-130$ km/s to $-250$ km/s between regions r11 and r10 (compatible with the velocities of a falling filament). Region r11 has a broad CO(2–1) linewidth (370 km/s), while in region r10, the CO(2–1) profile is narrow (120 km/s). Slightly farther east is the region r15 at a velocity of $-130$ km/s. These southeast Hα filaments are wider than the Northern ones, and the CO signal is probably less affected by beam dilution.

3.4. The north-east tangential filament:

This filament is not radially extended like most of the other filaments around NGC 1275, but is instead perpendicular to a line through the centre of the galaxy. Region r19 is detected in CO(1–0) and region r20 in both CO(1–0) and CO(2–1). The line velocities are all negative, with no clear gradient. The line widths are narrower (70, 100 and 180 km/s) than those of the other detections.
Fig. 1. CO spectra superposed on the Hα filament structure around NGC 1275 (Conselice et al., 2001). The double circles show the 1.3 and 3 mm beamwidths at the regions where CO was detected. CO(1–0) and CO(2–1) spectra are shown when detected. The channel width is 42 km/s and the y-axis is main beam brightness temperature, in mK. The velocity scale is from −560 to 560 km/s in each insert. Small circles (1.3 mm beamwidth) show regions where no CO was detected. See Table 1 for more details.
Table 1. CO detections and tentative detections, or 3σ upper limits. See Salomé et al. (2008a) for complementary data.

| Site                  | Offsets      | CO line | \(T_{mb}\) mK | \(V\) km/s | \(\Delta V\) km/s | \(I_{CO}\) K km/s | \(M_{gas}\) \(10^6 M_\odot\) |
|----------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Northern Filament**|              |         |               |             |                  |                  |                  |
| r1                   | -21.0×134.9  | CO(2–1) | 2.5 ± 1.2     | -184.7 ± 28.2 | 198.9 ± 52.9     | 0.5 ± 0.1        | 0.3              |
| r1                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×0.6       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r2                   | -19.7×112.9  | CO(2–1) | 3.5 ± 1.2     | -65.3 ± 23.4 | 246.4 ± 43.4     | 0.9 ± 0.2        | 0.5              |
| r2                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1         | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r3                   | -18.6×90.9   | CO(2–1) | 2.6 ± 1.2     | 100.2 ± 24   | 187.6 ± 48       | 0.5 ± 0.1        | 0.3              |
| r3                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1         | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r4                   | -18.6×68.9   | CO(2–1) | 5.7 ± 1.5     | 51.3 ± 12.6  | 112.9 ± 23.3     | 0.7 ± 0.1        | 0.4              |
| r4                   |              | CO(1–0) | 2.7 ± 0.8     | 55.2 ± 19.4  | 209.3 ± 38.8     | 0.6 ± 0.1        | 1.4              |
| **South East Filament**|              |         |               |             |                  |                  |                  |
| r10                  | 28.8×-34.9   | CO(2–1) | 13.6 ± 2      | -255.8 ± 8  | 114.5 ± 23.2     | 1.7 ± 0.2        | 1                |
| r10                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×2         | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r11                  | 39.8×-54.2   | CO(2–1) | 6.9 ± 1.7     | -137.5 ± 19.4 | 373.1 ± 47.6     | 2.7 ± 0.3        | 1.6              |
| r11                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1×1       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r15                  | 55.2×-40.8   | CO(2–1) | 7.5 ± 2.4     | -250.6 ± 21.6 | 146.8 ± 67.2     | 1.2 ± 0.4        | 0.7              |
| r15                  |              | CO(1–0) | 6.6 ± 1.7     | -241.4 ± 15.5 | 123.5 ± 30.7     | 0.9 ± 0.2        | 2                |
| **North East Tangential Filament**|              |         |               |             |                  |                  |                  |
| r19                  | 35.9×15.5    | CO(2–1) | < 3×1.7       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r19                  |              | CO(1–0) | 8.9 ± 1.3     | -77.7 ± 5.4  | 68.8 ± 10.7      | 0.7 ± 0.1        | 1.5              |
| r20                  | 16.7×25.7    | CO(2–1) | 7.7 ± 1.7     | -79.8 ± 14.6 | 184.7 ± 28.6     | 1.5 ± 0.2        | 0.9              |
| r20                  |              | CO(1–0) | 6.4 ± 1.6     | -100.4 ± 12.2 | 109.4 ± 24.3     | 0.7 ± 0.2        | 1.7              |
| **Horseshoe Filament**|              |         |               |             |                  |                  |                  |
| r5                   | -28.1×27.3   | CO(2–1) | 9.1 ± 2.3     | 23.5 ± 13.6  | 101.5 ± 58.0     | 1.0 ± 0.3        | 0.6              |
| r5                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1.6       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r6                   | -45.6×41.4   | CO(2–1) | < 3×2.7       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r6                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×2         | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r8                   | -75.3×26.5   | CO(2–1) | 3.9 ± 1.5     | -17.1 ± 18.9 | 122.1 ± 35.2     | 0.5 ± 0.1        | 0.3              |
| r8                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×0.9       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r9                   | -80.8×40.7   | CO(2–1) | < 3×1.6       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r9                   |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×0.9       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r16                  | -52.5×55.2   | CO(2–1) | < 3×1.5       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r16                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1.2       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| **Southern Filament**|              |         |               |             |                  |                  |                  |
| r12                  | 0.8×-60.1    | CO(2–1) | < 3×1.7       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r12                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1.6       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r13                  | -15.9×-30.9  | CO(2–1) | < 3×1.8       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r13                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1.3       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r21                  | -0.6×-21.1   | CO(2–1) | 4.7 ± 2.3     | 86.7 ± 37.2  | 222 ± 78.3       | 1.1 ± 0.4        | 0.6              |
| r21                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×3.2       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| **Western Filament**|              |         |               |             |                  |                  |                  |
| r17                  | -58.0×-10.1  | CO(2–1) | < 3×1.8       | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| r17                  |              | CO(1–0) | < 3×1         | -            | -                | -                | -                |
| **Center**           | 0×0          | CO(2–1) | 72 ± 6.9      | 4 ± 4        | 293 ± 10         | 22.5 ± 0.6       | 15.3             |

\(T_{mb}\) = main-beam temperature at line peak; \(V\) = velocity relative to systemic; \(\Delta V\) = linewidth (FWHM); \(I_{CO}\) = integrated line intensity; 
\(M_{gas}(\text{H+He})\) is for \(M/I_{CO} = 4.6 M_\odot (\text{K km s}^{-1} \text{pc}^{-2})^{-1}\) (Solomon et al., 1997). Note that the integration time is not the same for all regions, so the rms (computed for a spectral resolution of 42 km/s) varies from one pointing to another.
in that same filament is close to 100 km s\(^{-1}\). In the current data from the 30 m telescope, most of the CO(1–0) and (2–1) lines have widths of order 200 km s\(^{-1}\) in beams of 4 to 8 kpc. In the northern filament, the velocity dispersion is even larger. These large linewidths are difficult to explain by motions within a single filament. It is more likely that in our beam, we observe an ensemble of very thin sub-filaments (as observed in the optical with the HST by Fabian et al. 2008) that consist of clumps of molecular clouds. Furthermore, these individual sub-filaments are very likely to have different projection angles, which would also increase the observed linewidths, when observed with a larger beam. Nevertheless, region r11 has a particularly broad line width (373 km/s). More observations with a larger bandwidth coverage, as provided now by EMIR (Eight Mixer receiver\(^1\) on the IRAM 30m-telescope, would be useful to constrain with more confidence the effect of baseline fluctuation to which the results are very sensitive in the low signal/noise regime.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Large velocity dispersions

Observations by Salomé et al. (2008a) showed CO linewidths of 10 to 30 km s\(^{-1}\) in the eastern filament when observed with a 3\(\arcsec\) beam. In our 22\(\arcsec\) beam, however, the CO(1–0) linewidth

---

\(^1\) http://www.iram.es/IRAMES/mainWiki/EmirforAstronomers
Fig. 2. Results of escape probability models from the RADEX program (van der Tak et al., 2006) for four different kinetic temperatures, a range of H$_2$ densities and a range of CO molecular column density per km/s. The grey scale mapping and the black contour lines show the expected CO(2–1) emission $T_R$(CO$_{21}$) [K] (see wedge to the right of each panel). Dashed blue lines show the CO(2–1)/CO(1–0) line temperature ratios 0.6, 0.9 and 1 as predicted by the RADEX program. Dash-dot red lines show the predicted CO(3–2)/CO(2–1) line temperature ratios 0.6, 0.9 and 1. The measured CO(2–1)/CO(1–0) line temperature ratio in r15 and r20 are close to one. So the possible solutions lie along the dashed-blue line of value one. We see that it is thus difficult to constrain the range of possible kinetic temperatures and H$_2$ densities. Nevertheless, even with a high $T_{\text{kin}}$ of 500 K, the density must be $\geq 10^{2.5}$. Note also that if we consider a standard Milky Way $M_{\text{H}_2}/L_{\text{CO}}$ conversion factor, then we expect N$_{\text{CO}}$/AV$>1.10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ km/s$^{-1}$ if $f_{2D}$ $\sim 10^{-3}$ i.e $T_R$ $\sim 10$ K, which gives slightly higher densities ($\geq 10^{2.9}$). Finally, Bridges & Irwin (1998) reported a CO(3–2)/CO(2–1) line ratio close to one in the central $\sim 8$ pc region. If this is also true for the filaments, then the possible solutions would lie at the intersection of the dashed blue line (values $\sim 0.9$) with the dash-dot red line (values $\sim 0.9$), which means even higher densities. The high-J CO lines are thus important diagnostics to determine the gas properties.

4.3. Line ratios

Among the 12 regions detected here, only three have been detected in CO(1–0) and CO(2–1). All the others are detected in CO(2–1) but not in CO(1–0). One region is detected in CO(1–0) and not in CO(2–1). As already mentioned, this could be explained by effects of beam dilution that makes the CO(1–0) emission from a clumpy molecular gas more difficult to detect than the CO(2–1) emission in general. Nevertheless, when closer to the centre, the filaments are widespread and overlap both the 3mm and 1mm beams. However, the emission seen in each wavelength may not come from the same part of the filaments. Evidence for that is the different line shapes seen in region r4, indicating CO(1–0) and CO(2–1) emission from dynamically and spatially different regions. This also explains the clear CO(1–0) detection alone in region r19: the region that dominates the emission lies in the CO(1–0) beam but not in the CO(2–1) one. In conclusion, the CO(2–1) to (1–0) line ratios are very uncertain, as are the parameters derived from these values.

The three regions with best signal-to-noise ratios (r4, r15, and r20) were detected in both CO(1-0) and (2-1). Averaged over these three regions, the I$_{\text{CO}}$ and $T_{\text{mb}}$ (2–1/1–0) ratios are both equal to 1.5±0.3. Because interferometer maps (Salome et al. 2008b; Lim et al. 2008) show the CO is extended in the direction of the filaments, the different beam areas at CO(1–0) and (2–1) mean that the apparent line ratios should be corrected downward by a factor of 2, so that the corrected I$_{\text{CO}}$ and $T_{\text{mb}}$ ratios are close to 0.8, that is, of the order of unity.

Simulations with the line escape probability program RADEX (van der Tak et al. 2007), indicate that these observed CO(2–1)/CO(1–0) line ratios of order unity can be obtained for gas kinetic temperatures ranging from 20 to 500 K, for CO gas densities $>10^{2.5}$–$3$ cm$^{-3}$ and N$_{\text{CO}}$/AV $>10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ km/s (right panel of Fig. 2). So this gives very poor constraints on the gas properties. With the JCMT, Bridges & Irwin (1998) observed CO(3–2) emission from the central 21-arcsec region of NGC 1275 and marginally detected the $^{13}$CO(2–1) inside a 22 ” beam. They made a two-component LVG model to reproduce the $^{13}$CO(3–2)/$^{13}$CO(2–1)=1.25±0.25 and $^{12}$CO(2–1)/$^{12}$CO(1–0)=0.74±0.11 line ratios. Their best model is for a cold component (10K) at a density of $10^{4}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a hot (170K) component at a density of 3.1 $10^{5}$cm$^{-3}$. Furthermore, new interferometer observations at CO(3-2) (Salome et al, in preparation) indicate that the CO(3-2)/CO(2-1) ratio in some central filaments is also of order unity. This indicates that the CO is certainly optically thick in the central region and that the low-J CO line brightness and excitation temperatures are all close to the gas kinetic temperature.

It is not possible to definitively rule out an optically thin gas in the outer filament without CO(3-2) observations there. However, given that the observed (beam diluted) CO(2-1) brightness temperatures are about 7 mK, the area filling factor $f_{2D}$ in the CO(2-1) beam must be $<10^{-3}$. As a check on this reasoning, for the three filament regions (r4, r15 and r20), the H$_2$ gas masses (column 8 of Table 1) estimated from the observed
Fig. 4. Northern filament: comparison of the model with the observations. Left: contours are the surface density of molecular gas, predicted from the model. CO(2–1) beamwidths and positions are shown by black circles. Gas masses in each beam, predicted from the model, are in units of $10^8 \ M_{\odot}$. Middle: position-velocity diagram. Pink and blue isophotes in the color map are the model predictions. Black crosses are CO(2–1) observations. Horizontal bars are the FWHM linewidths. Red diamonds are $H_\alpha$ data (Hatch et al. 2006). Right: velocity distribution of the gas extracted from each region of the model. The predicted FWHM linewidths of the distributions are in km/s.

CO line intensities with a standard Milky Way conversion factor, are about $10^8 \ M_{\odot}$, within the beam. The beam diameter at CO(2–1) corresponds to 4kpc, or $1.3 \times 10^{22} \ cm$, so the equivalent smoothed-out $H_2$ density within a 4-kpc diameter sphere containing $10^8 \ M_{\odot}$ of $H_2$ gas would be 0.03 cm$^{-3}$, far too low a gas density to collisionally excite the CO (the CO(1–0) and CO(2–1) critical densities being $3 \times 10^3 \ cm^{-3}$ and $10^4 \ cm^{-3}$ respectively, for a temperature of 100K).

Therefore, to reach the gas density of $>10^3 \ cm^{-3}$ needed to make the low-J CO lines optically thick, and to give line ratios close to unity, the volume filling factor $f_{3D}$ must be $<3 \times 10^{-5}$. The corresponding area filling factor within the beam must be $<10^{-3}$, and the linear filling factor $f_{1D}=\sqrt{f_{2D}^2+f_{3D}^2}$, perpendicular to the filaments (or along the line of sight), must be $<0.03$, relative to the 4-kpc beam size.

These upper limits on the filling factors, derived from the CO conversion factor and the gas density required for excitation, are thus perfectly consistent with the filling factor upper limits derived above, from the observed line temperatures and those predicted by the escape probability programs, for the observed low-J line ratios. The linear filling factor of $<0.03$ implies a CO filament width (or CO clump size) of $<120/ \sqrt{N} \ pc$, $N$ being the number of clouds inside the beam, which is also consistent with our size upper limit of $<450 \ pc$ estimated directly from our interferometric maps (Salome et al. 2008b).

There is therefore a coherent picture in which to interpret the observed low-J CO line ratios. The key is the low filling factor, which explains the observed main-beam brightness temperatures, the high gas density needed for collisional excitation in the escape probability predictions, the fact that the low-J line ratios are of order unity, the fact that the low-J lines are optically thick, and the interferometer upper limits on the CO clump sizes.
4.4. Molecular filament formation

The origin of the huge molecular filaments is unknown. We discuss here two possibilities. Scenario (1): The molecular gas forms in situ, far from the galaxy’s centre, from uplifted warm gas that cooled down behind rising bubbles, and eventually falls back (Revaz et al., 2008). This scenario must explain how to make the H₂, which is difficult to form without dust (Ferland et al. 2009). Certainly, the bubble morphology of the filaments and X-ray cavities show that this gas must be mixed from the centre of the galaxy up to 100 kpc outward, and some dust from the galaxy must have enriched the whole region. The presence of dust could augment H₂ formation rates even in the presence of X-rays. But the sputtering due to hot ions in the X-ray emitting gas can destroys unshielded dust in $\approx 1$ Myr (Dwek & Arendt, 1992), so if there is dust in the filaments, it must have come from the galaxy’s inner regions, rather from the intracluster medium. Scenario (2): The molecular gas comes from the inner part of the galaxy, entrained and dragged out by rising bubbles. The problem in this scenario is to explain how very small and dense clouds can be uplifted inside warmer gas. This is difficult to achieve, even in a highly viscous outflow and there is no such example in any observed galaxy yet. More modelling is needed to define the limits of these different scenarios. Stronger limits on the amount of dust in the filaments would help constrain the first scenario, and modelling the momentum transfer to clumps in a viscous flow (with and without magnetic viscosity) would help constrain the second scenario.

4.5. Star Formation

There is no evidence of strong star formation in NGC 1275 filaments. Canning et al. (2010) have identified, with the Hubble Space Telescope, only two stellar regions in the south and south-east filaments (22 kpc from the nucleus). The predominantly young stellar population is concentrated in the Hα filaments. The authors derived a lower limit of $9 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ stars in blue clusters and a maximum lifetime of $0.75 \times 10^7$ yr, which gives a very large SFR of $\sim 20 M_\odot$/yr. We have compared the amount of molecular gas with the star formation activity in these filaments. The region of interest is indicated as r15 (‘Blue-loop’ filament) in the present paper and fills the CO(1–0) beam size. We have found a molecular gas mass of $\sim 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. So if the SFR is as large as $\sim 20 M_\odot$/yr then, the amount of gas is about 20% of the amount of recently formed stars. This means that most of the gas must have already been transformed into stars during the filament dynamical time (that roughly corresponds to the age of the stars). So if the star formation is still taking place in r15, the star formation efficiency inside this filament is very high. Indeed, even if the amount of molecular gas has been underestimated because of the sub-solar metallicities in the filaments Z=0.6Z⊙ (Schmidt et al., 2002), the filaments will still appear to be locally the place of an enhanced star formation activity with a star formation efficiency closer to ULIRGs than to normal spiral galaxies. The gas uplifted by the AGN bubble expansion in the ICM is pushed and compressed. In these overdense regions, the cooling is more efficient and molecular gas forms. This reservoir could then be converted very efficiently into stars in places where large scale dynamical effects can enhance the star formation (like in spiral arms of normal galaxies). The interface between the AGN cavities and the intracluster medium seems to be the place of such processes.

5. Conclusions

We have detected CO in a very extended filamentary network around NGC 1275. Up to about $10^9 M_\odot$ of molecular gas is detected inside thin and elongated filaments at distances between 7.8 kpc and 50 kpc from the galaxy centre. It is possible that the massive filaments seen in CO are an effect of the AGN feedback. The large scale motions in the Northern filament are difficult to explain with free-fall models. An accurate study of the dynamics of the filament should take into account the viscosity of the warmer gas (some part of it being uplifted). This will help lower the model predictions of the cold gas velocities. These single dish observations do not resolve the very thin filaments as observed with the HST (Fabian et al. 2008), so we certainly also mixed several filaments and averaged their individual velocities. The CO observed linewidths are large. This confirms that the emission very likely comes from substructures unresolved by the 30 m telescope, possibly molecular cloud complexes within the filaments. Finally we reported a velocity structure in the emitting gas within 2 kpc of the AGN that could be a rotation disk. Whether this is the fate of the molecular gas found in very distant regions (20-50 kpc) is still an open question.
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