Clinical manifestations and outcomes of coronavirus disease-19 in heart transplant recipients: a multicentre case series with a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Summary
Available data on clinical presentation and mortality of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) in heart transplant (HT) recipients remain limited. We report a case series of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in 39 HT recipients from 3 French heart transplant centres (mean age 54.4 ± 14.8 years; 66.7% males). Hospital admission was required for 35 (89.7%) cases including 14/39 (35.9%) cases being admitted in intensive care unit. Immunosuppressive medications were reduced or discontinued in 74.4% of the patients. After a median follow-up of 54 (19–80) days, death and death or need for mechanical ventilation occurred in 25.6% and 33.3% of patients, respectively. Elevated C-reactive protein and lung involvement ≥50% on chest computed tomography (CT) at admission were associated with an increased risk of death or need for mechanical ventilation. Mortality rate from March to June in the entire 3-centre HT recipient cohort was 56% higher in 2020 compared to the time-matched 2019 cohort (2% vs. 1.28%, \( P = 0.15 \)). In a meta-analysis including 4 studies, pre-existing diabetes mellitus (OR 3.60, 95% CI 1.43–9.06, \( I^2 = 0\% \), \( P = 0.006 \)) and chronic kidney disease stage III or higher (OR 3.79, 95% CI 1.39–10.31, \( I^2 = 0\% \), \( P = 0.009 \)) were associated with increased mortality. These findings highlight the aggressive clinical course of COVID-19 in HT recipients.
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**Introduction**

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) disease (COVID-19) emerged in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, and has been spreading worldwide ever since into a global pandemic. As of the end of October 2020, nearly 50 million people were infected, worldwide, with approximately 1.2 million deaths [1]. COVID-19 has a wide clinical spectrum, ranging from asymptomatic infection to mild upper respiratory tract illness, acute respiratory distress syndrome and acute cardiac injury [2–18]. Although the risk of viral infection is markedly increased among solid organ transplant recipients, including heart transplant (HT) recipients, available data on clinical presentation and outcomes of COVID-19 in this high-risk population remain limited [6–12]. In addition to chronic immunosuppression-related risk, HT recipients commonly present with cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular risk factors, which have been associated with more frequent COVID-19 infection and with worse outcomes [13–15]. The purpose of our study was to describe the clinical characteristics, outcomes and treatment management of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 HT recipients from 3 heart transplant centres located in Ile-de-France area, the most populated region in France with 12.2 million inhabitants and ranking first in total number of COVID-19 cases. To evaluate the relative increase in mortality in HT recipients during COVID-19, we compared the mortality rate in the entire HT recipient cohorts of the three centres from March to June 2019 to the one from March to June 2020. Furthermore, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of published studies reporting COVID-19 outcomes in HT recipients to identify factors associated with death.

**Methods**

**Study population**

We performed a prospective case series study according to the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines (Table S1). All adult HT recipients (≥18 years old) from 3 heart transplant centres located in Ile-de-France (Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, Henri Mondor Hospital and Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital) with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 infection from 6 March to 29 June 2020 were included. Such patients have long been instructed to actively consult or report to their respective centre in case of acute dyspnoea or new onset of fever. Furthermore, with the beginning of the pandemic in France, a systematic review of COVID-19 infection was prospectively performed in each centre. Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection was performed either by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on nasopharyngeal swabs or through serology testing. Data collection included baseline characteristics, clinical presentation and radiologic findings in all patients as well as laboratory data in hospitalized patients. Follow-up was performed through clinical evaluation or by phone interview. Management of immunosuppressive therapy was left at the discretion of local transplant physicians, in accordance with local practice and the practical guide of the French Society of Transplantation published early April 2020 (Table S2).

**Outcomes**

The end point of interest was the occurrence of death or mechanical ventilation. Risk factors for these adverse outcomes were determined from baseline characteristics and initial presentation. Furthermore, the relative increase in mortality during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic was assessed in the entire cohort of HT recipients followed in the three centres by comparing the mortality rates from March to June 2020 and from March to June 2019. The mortality rates among the entire HT recipients cohort of the three centres during the time periods of interest were provided by the Agence de Biomedecine, a governmental agency that prospectively collects data on all transplant recipients in France along with their outcomes.

**Meta-analysis of observational studies**

To further improve the description of the mortality and morbidity associated with COVID-19 in HT recipients, we performed a systematic review through PubMed and Embase databases of observational studies reporting outcomes following COVID-19 in HT recipients. The
estimate of incidence of death was provided by pooling study-level data of all the studies as one population. We performed a meta-analysis including the studies comprising of at least 10 patients and providing clinical description of fatalities cases following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis) guidelines to determine potential risk factors for death in COVID-19 HT recipients (Table S3). A full electronic search was conducted in PUBMED and Embase, and the terms used for research were “COVID-19”, “SARS-CoV-2”, “Heart transplant recipient”, “solid organ recipient”, up to December 2020. Citations were screened at the title and the abstract level and retrieved if considered relevant. The main inclusion criterion was an observational study reporting baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes in HT recipients with confirmed COVID-19, without any restriction on follow-up. Single case reports and small case series (n < 10 patients) were excluded from the meta-analysis. End point of interest was death from any cause. Data were extracted using a standardized form by two investigators (C.G. and P.G.), and discrepancies were resolved by consensus. The following relevant data were collected: first author, year of publication, total sample size, baseline characteristics and reported a new onset of moderate alteration of left injury, with a troponin peak above the 99th percentile. Cardiac echocardiography was performed in 16 patients with whom cardiac troponin was measured, a re- lated plasma in the cohort.

Statistics
Categorical variables were described as number (%), and continuous variables were described with mean ± standard deviation or median (IQR), as appropriate. Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher’s exact test or chi-square test, and continuous variables were compared using Student’s t-test. Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. With the increased statistical power provided by the meta-analysis, we evaluated potential risk factors for mortality following COVID-19 in HT recipient. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval [CI] were determined using Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effect models according to DerSimonian and Laird. Heterogeneity among trials for each outcome was estimated with chi-square tests and quantified with I² statistics (with I² < 50%, 50–75% and >75% indicating low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively). Publication bias was estimated via visual inspection of the funnel plot of the effect estimates of variables tested in the meta-analysis from the four studies against study size and precision.

Analyses were conducted using GRAPHPAD PRISM v8.4.3 (GraphPad Software, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) and the Cochrane’s Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.4 (The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark).

Results
Clinical presentation, diagnosis and management
A total of 39 HT recipients were included, with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection with RT-PCR in 37/39 (94.9%) cases. COVID-19 was confirmed with serology in 2/39 (5.1%) cases, including one patient presenting with repeated negative RT-PCR tests despite clinical and radiological profile highly suggestive with COVID-19 and another patient, asymptomatic but exposed to the disease. Among COVID-19 cases confirmed with RT-PCR, several tests were performed on 19/37 (51.3%) patients, with a median of 2 (2–3.3) tests per patient and 15.5 [5–27] days between the first and last PCR test (Fig. S1). Patient demographics, primary diagnosis, comorbidities and therapies are detailed in Table 1. The most frequently encountered clinical presentation were respiratory tract symptoms together with fever and dyspnoea (Table 2). A chest CT imaging was performed in 33/39 (84.6%) patients, without any case of reported pulmonary embolism. A total of 35/39 (89.7%) patients were hospitalized, including 14/39 (35.9%) patients in intensive care unit, while 4/39 (10.3%) were managed as outpatients. Median duration of hospitalization was 14 (8.5–27.5) days. Oxygen supplementation was required in 18 patients. Reduction of patients’ baseline immunosuppressive therapy was performed in 29/39 (74.4%) cases. Conversely, corticosteroid therapy was maintained. A total of 21/39 (53.8%) patients were managed with antibiotics, while 6/39 (15.4%) and 1/39 (2.6%) received hydroxychloroquine and lopinavir–ritonavir, respectively. There was no use of remdesivir or convalescent plasma in the cohort.

Cardiac manifestations
There was no reported case of new onset of cardiac arrhythmia among hospitalized patients. Of the 32 patients with whom cardiac troponin was measured, a total of 23 presented with some degree of myocardial injury, with a troponin peak above the 99th percentile. Cardiac echocardiography was performed in 16 patients and reported a new onset of moderate alteration of left
ventricle ejection fraction (i.e. 40–45%) in three cases, all of whom were later treated with mechanical ventilation and two of whom eventually died.

Outcomes

The median duration of follow-up was 54 (19–80) days during which death and death or need for mechanical ventilation occurred in 10/39 (25.6%) and 13/39 (33.3%) patients, respectively. C-reactive protein at admission and lung involvement ≥50% on chest CT were associated with occurrence of death or need for mechanical ventilation (Table 3). There were 17/39 (43.6%) cases of acute kidney injury and 6/39 (15.4%) patients required new onset of renal replacement therapy.

Among the 1248 HT recipients followed in the three participating centres on March 2019, 16 (1.28%) died by June 2019 whereas 26 of 1299 (2%) died from March to June 2020, although this 56% relative increase in mortality in 2020 was not statistically significant (P = 0.15). The 3-month survival was 99% in 2019 compared to 98.1% in 2020 (P = 0.15; Fig. 1).

Meta-analysis

We screened 41 published studies [9,11,12,16–53] (Fig. S2 and Table S2) evaluating outcomes HT recipients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. When including the present case series, the overall reported proportion of death was 88/398 (22.1%; 95% confidence interval [CI] 18.3–26.4%). Overall, four studies, counting the present case series, were included in the meta-analysis, comprising of 120 patients [18,47,52]. Factors associated with death were pre-existing diabetes mellitus

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

| Variable                                      | Value                              |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Age, years                                    | 54.4 ± 14.8                        |
| Male sex                                      | 26/39 (66.7%)                      |
| Underlying cardiomyopathy prior to transplant |                                    |
| Ischaemic cardiomyopathy: 11/39 (28.2%)        |
| Dilated cardiomyopathy: 18/39 (46.2%)          |
| Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: 4/39 (10.2%)      |
| Valvular cardiomyopathy: 3/39 (7.7%)           |
| Other: 3/39 (7.7%)                            |
| Blood type                                    |                                    |
| O: 15/39 (38.5%)                              |
| A: 15/39 (38.5%)                              |
| B: 8/39 (20.5%)                               |
| AB: 1/39 (2.5%)                               |
| Time from transplantation, years              | 4.9 (1.8–7.7)                      |
| Prior acute rejection                         | 25/39 (64.1%)                      |
| ISHLT cardiac allograft                       | 9/39 (23.1%)                       |
| Serology status                               |                                    |
| CMV-positive                                  | 26/38 (68.4%)                      |
| EBV-positive                                  | 35/37 (94.6%)                      |
| Baseline echocardiographic evaluation         |                                    |
| LV ejection fraction, %                       | 64.0 (60.0–68.0)                   |
| LV end-diastolic diameter, mm                 | 48.0 (44–51.0)                     |
| Kidney function                               |                                    |
| Creatinine, µmol/l                            | 126 (98.0–163.5)                   |
| Chronic kidney disease stage III or higher    | 21/39 (53.8%)                      |
| On dialysis                                   | 6/39 (15.4%)                       |
| Prior cardiac arrhythmia                      | 4/39 (10.3%)                       |
| Inflammatory disease                          | 5/39 (12.8%)                       |
| Prior stroke or transient ischaemic attack    | 6/39 (15.4%)                       |
| Peripheral vascular disease                   | 2/39 (5.1%)                        |
| Active smoking                                | 2/39 (5.1%)                        |
| Diabetes mellitus                             | 16/39 (40.0%)                      |
| Dyslipidaemia                                 | 12/39 (30.8%)                      |
| Hypertension                                  | 28/39 (71.8%)                      |
| Family history of cardiovascular disease      | 4/39 (10.3%)                       |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                        | 27.0 ± 5.5                         |
| Baseline medication                           |                                    |
| Single antiplatelet therapy                   | 30/39 (76.9%)                      |
| Dual antiplatelet therapy                     | 5/39 (12.8%)                       |
| Statin                                        | 30/39 (76.9%)                      |
| Beta-blocker                                  | 26/39 (66.7%)                      |
| ACE-I or ARBs                                 | 16/39 (41.0%)                      |
| MRA                                           | 1/39 (2.6%)                        |
| Oral anticoagulant                            | 3/39 (7.7%)                        |
| Insulin                                       | 10/39 (25.6%)                      |
| Oral antidiabetic agent                       | 3/39 (7.7%)                        |
| Prednisone                                    | 37/39 (94.9%)                      |

ACE-I, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers; CMV, cytomegalovirus; EBV, Epstein Barr virus; LV, left ventricular; ISHLT: International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation; MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist.

Variables are provided as mean ± standard deviation or median (IQR).

vessels.
COVID-19 in heart transplant recipients

Table 2. Patient presentation, management and outcomes.

| Clinical symptoms prior to admission | Fever (21/39 (53.8%)) | Dyspnoea (18/39 (46.2%)) | Respiratory tract symptoms (cough, expectoration, nasal congestion) (21/39 (53.8%)) | Myalgia (5/39 (12.8%)) | Anosmia (2/39 (5.1%)) | Ageusia (5/39 (12.8%)) | Headache (2/39 (5.1%)) | Gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhoea, vomiting) (13/39 (33.3%)) | Chest CT performed (33/39 (84.6%)) | Delay between symptoms onset and CT, day (6.0 (3.0–13.0)) | Lung bilateral involvement (25/33 (75.8%)) | Lung overall involvement ≤10% (14/33 (42.4%)) | Between 10% and 50% (8/33 (24.2%)) | ≥50% (11/33 (33.3%)) | Admission for COVID-19 (34/39 (87.2%)) | Admission in intensive care unit (14/39 (35.9%)) | Delay between symptom onset and hospital admission, days (5.0 (2.0–10.0)) | Covid-related modification of immunosuppressive therapy (29/39 (74.4%)) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|

| Biological characteristics | Creatinine, µmol/l (n = 38) | On admission 150.5 (107.3–307.8) | Peak 221.5 (108.8–438.8) | NT-proBNP, ng/l (n = 26) | On admission 955.0 (320.0–4079) | Peak 2257.0 (638.0–7620.0) | White blood cells count, 10⁹/l (n = 36) | On admission 5.8 (4.2–8.4) | Peak 8.9 (5.7–13.3) | Lymphocyte count, 10⁹/l (n = 36) | On admission 0.65 (0.40–0.91) | Nadir 0.48 (0.28–0.72) | Haemoglobin, g/dl | On admission (n = 37) 11.6 ± 2.0 | Nadir (n = 24) 9.4 ± 2.6 | C-Reactive protein, mg/l (n = 36) | On admission 43.8 (20.2–87.3) | Peak 100.2 (34.8–217.8) | Procalcitonin, µg/l (n = 27) | On admission 0.48 (0.08–0.86) | Peak 0.69 (0.12–1.50) |

Fibrinogen, g/l
- On admission (n = 25) 4.9 (3.7–6.4)
- Peak (n = 27) 6.1 (5.2–7.9)

D-Dimer, ng/ml (n = 38)
- On admission (n = 13) 1357.0 (1001.0–2550.0)
- Peak (n = 21) 2000.0 (980.5–5301.0)

Troponin peak
- High sensitivity, ng/l (n = 21/27) 44.2 (17.5–75.0)
- Nonhigh sensitivity, µg/l (n = 11/13) 0.03 (0.01–0.09)

In-hospital outcomes
- Need for noninvasive ventilation 7/39 (17.9%)
- Duration of noninvasive ventilation, day 4.0 ± 2.7
- Need for mechanical ventilation 8/39 (20.5%)
- Duration of mechanical ventilation, days 17.6 ± 15.2
- Acute kidney injury 22/39 (56.4%)
- Dialysis in patient not previously on dialysis programme 6/35 (17.1%)
- Death 10/39 (25.6%)
- Death or mechanical ventilation 13/39 (33.3%)

COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B type natriuretic peptide; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

Variables are provided as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile 25–75%).

(OR 3.60, 95% CI 1.43–9.06, $I^2 = 0\%$, $P = 0.006$) and chronic kidney disease stage III or higher (OR 3.79 95% CI 1.39–10.31, $I^2 = 0\%$, $P = 0.009$; Fig. 2). Male gender, pre-existing hypertension, severe cardiac allograft vasculopathy (ISHLT CAV 3), use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers and single or dual immunosuppressive therapy (vs. triple or quadruple regimens) were not significantly associated with mortality. There was no evidence of publication bias (Fig. S3).

Discussion

The main finding of our study is that the observed rates of death and death or need for mechanical ventilation in laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 HT recipients were 25.6% and 33.3%, respectively. This finding is consistent with previous reports in heart and kidney transplant population. Thus, the clinical course of COVID-19 is more aggressive in HT recipients than in the general population while the death rate among solid organ transplant recipients is higher with COVID-19 than...
with other RNA respiratory viral infections such as influenza [54, 55]. However, as detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection was not performed throughout the entire cohort, the death rate in our study may have overestimated the case fatality rate of COVID-19. For this reason, we assessed the excess mortality because of COVID-19 in the entire cohort of HT recipients followed in our three centres. By comparing the number of deaths from March to June 2020, and from March to June 2019, we observed an overall 56% excess mortality during the early outbreak of COVID, which corresponded to the number of COVID-19-attributed deaths, suggesting that the excess mortality was driven by COVID-19-related deaths and not by an increase in deaths from other causes.

HT recipients with COVID-19 had similar initial clinical manifestations to the general population with fever, dyspnoea and respiratory symptoms even if these symptoms were less common [4,17,18,54].

Another finding of our case series and meta-analysis is that the initial disease severity and comorbidities are predictors of COVID-19 outcomes. C-reactive protein and lung involvement extent at hospital admission were identified to be associated with the occurrence of death or need for mechanical ventilation, while meta-analysis showed that diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease stage III or higher were altogether increasing the risk of death. The link between pre-existing comorbidities and more severe form of COVID-19 has been consistently reported, although the specific pathophysiological mechanisms behind this association remain to be better defined. Diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease, in particular, have been reported in numerous studies and meta-analyses as increasing.

### Table 3. Markers of risk of death or need for mechanical ventilation.

| Variables                                | Death or mechanical ventilation (n = 13) | No death nor mechanical ventilation (n = 27) | P-value |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|
| Age, years                               | 55.8 ± 11.2                            | 53.2 ± 16.7                               | 0.97    |
| Male sex                                 | 11 (84.6%)                             | 15 (55.6%)                               | 0.15    |
| Blood type O (vs. other blood types)     | 4 (30.8%)                              | 10 (37.0%)                               | 0.73    |
| Blood type A (vs. other blood types)     | 7 (53.8%)                              | 9 (33.3%)                                | 0.21    |
| Pretransplant ischaemic cardiomyopathy   | 5 (38.5%)                              | 6 (22.2%)                                | 0.28    |
| Time post-transplantation, years         | 4.3 (1.4–8.8)                          | 5.0 (1.9–9.0)                            | 0.65    |
| History of acute rejection               | 8 (61.5%)                              | 17 (63.0%)                               | 0.99    |
| History of ISHLT cardiac allograft vasculopathy 2 or 3 | 2 (15.4%) | 7 (25.9%) | 0.69 |
| Cytomegalovirus positive status          | 10/12 (83.3%)                          | 16/26 (61.5%)                            | 0.28    |
| Epstein Barr Virus positive status       | 12/12 (100.0%)                         | 23/25 (92%)                              | 0.99    |
| Chronic kidney disease stage III or higher | 9 (69.2%) | 12 (44.4%)   | 0.31    |
| Diabetes mellitus                        | 7 (53.8%)                              | 9 (33.3%)                                | 0.25    |
| Dyslipidaemia                            | 5 (38.5%)                              | 7 (25.9%)                                | 0.46    |
| Hypertension                             | 9 (69.2%)                              | 19 (70.3%)                               | 0.99    |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                   | 26.3 ± 4.3                             | 27.3 ± 6.2                               | 0.60    |
| Baseline medication                      |                                       |                                          |         |
| ACE-I or ARB                             | 7 (53.8%)                              | 9 (33.3%)                                | 0.25    |
| Prednisone dosage >5 mg per day          | 6 (46.2%)                              | 12 (44.4%)                               | 0.99    |
| Dual immunosuppressive therapy           | 1 (7.7%)                               | 4 (14.8%)                                | 0.65    |
| CT lung involvement ≥50%                 | 8/12 (66.7%)                           | 3/21 (14.3%)                             | 0.006   |
| Laboratory variables at admission        |                                       |                                          |         |
| Creatinine, µmol/l                       | 298.0 (149.0–522.0)                    | 137.0 (97.5–236.5)                       | 0.07    |
| C-reactive protein, mg/l                 | 75.5 (44.3–182.5)                      | 31.9 (3.0–62.6)                          | 0.01    |
| NT-proBNP, ng/l                          | 895.0 (429.8–3555.0)                   | 1084.0 (433.5–5847.0)                    | 0.89    |
| White blood cell count, 10⁹/l            | 6.8 (4.8–11.3)                         | 5.7 (4.0–7.0)                            | 0.16    |
| Lymphocyte count, 10⁹/l                  | 0.53 (0.30–0.84)                       | 0.72 (0.43–1.02)                         | 0.23    |
| Procalcitonin, µg/l                      | 0.80 (0.33–1.00)                       | 0.11 (0.04–0.72)                         | 0.054   |
| Fibrinogen, g/l                          | 5.1 (3.4–7.8)                          | 4.9 (3.8–6.1)                            | 0.82    |
| D-dimer, ng/ml                           | 1524.0 (1268.0–5308.0)                 | 1156 (340.0–2151.0)                      | 0.22    |

ISHLT, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation.
mortality in the general population infected with COVID-19 [56,57]. Both comorbidities may be associated with dysfunction of the immune system and enhanced inflammation, which could partly explain the increased severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients [58,59]. Notably, hypertension which is the most common underlying comorbidity in HT recipients was not associated with death in the meta-analysis. Although the reason for this specific result is not clear, it may be related to the remarkably high proportion of HT recipients with hypertension. Similarly, there was no relationship between renin-angiotensin system (RAS) blocker use and death. A potential harmful effect of these agents has been speculated in the setting of COVID-19 since it has been established that SARS-CoV-2 infects human cells through the binding of its Spike (S) protein to ACE2, acting as co-receptor for cellular viral entry, while animal studies have suggested a potential up regulation of ACE2 expression by RAS blockers [60,61]. No significant association of RAS blockers on COVID-19-related mortality was present in our study focusing on HT recipients, which is consistent with some other reports in the general population [62]. Randomized trials such as the ACE inhibitors or ARBs Discontinuation in Context of SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic (ACORES-2, NCT04329195) are ongoing to further evaluate this issue.

No evidence-based recommendations are available on the management of the immunosuppressive treatment among HT recipients affected with COVID-19. Immunosuppressive regimen was downgraded in 72.5% of patients included in our case series. Antimetabolites increasing COVID-19-induced lymphopenia potentially induce SARS-CoV-2 replication. While mTOR inhibitors have an antiviral effect on CMV, there are no data supporting the efficacy and safety of mTOR inhibitors as antiviral therapy for COVID-19. Experimental models have shown that calcineurin inhibitors block SARS-CoV replication in vitro but clinical data supporting safety and efficacy of an immunosuppressive treatment based on cyclosporine in kidney transplant recipients remain weak [63]. Corticosteroids use has the potential to modulate the inflammatory response but has been associated with an increase in viral shedding. In the RECOVERY trial, the use of dexamethasone resulted in lower mortality among patients receiving respiratory support [64]. Further investigations are warranted to address the issue of immunosuppressive treatment’s management in the specific setting of COVID-19.

The limitations of this study are those associated with small observational studies. The association between baseline presentation and adverse outcomes was only assessed in univariate analysis as the limited sample size of our case series and number of events prevented the possibility to carry out a multivariable analysis. Moreover, we mostly included symptomatic patients which may have led to an overestimation of the case fatality of the disease. The prevalence of asymptomatic SARS-
Figure 2 Demographics and comorbidities according to occurrence of death in heart transplant recipients with COVID-19. ACE-I, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; CAV, cardiac allograft vasculopathy; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; DM, diabetes mellitus; ISHLT, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel. For the impact of CKD and number of background immunosuppressive therapy, data presented for Bottio et al. [52], are from the study by Iacovoni et al. [17], which were eventually included in the study of Bottio et al.

| Study or Subgroup | Diabetes mellitus | No diabetes mellitus | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events            | Total                | Events| Total  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 5                 | 9                    | 37    | 23.5%  | 5.19 [0.93, 26.11] |
| French multicenter registry | 5 | 16 | 5 | 24.8% | 1.73 [0.41, 7.33] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9.8% | 2.67 [0.12, 57.62] |
| Singhvi et al.    | 5 | 12 | 0 | 6.6% | 15.40 [0.73, 322.04] |
| Total (95% CI)    | 38                | 82                   | 100.0% | 3.60 [1.43, 9.06] |

Test for overall effect Z = 2.72 (P = 0.006)

| Study or Subgroup | Stade III CKD | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|--------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events       | Total |        | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 6             | 13    | 39.2%  | 1.92 [0.35, 10.40] |
| French multicenter registry | 7 | 21 | 3 | 49.9% | 2.50 [0.54, 11.62] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 2 | 6 | 2 | 26.0% | 1.25 [0.12, 13.24] |
| Singhvi et al.    | 5             | 14    | 9.2%   | 1.80 [0.47, 20.56] |
| Total (95% CI)    | 54            | 46    | 100.0% | 3.79 [1.39, 10.31] |

Test for overall effect Z = 2.22 (P = 0.03), P = 0.00%

| Study or Subgroup | Male gender | Female gender | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events      | Events        | Events| Total  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 12          | 37            | 22    | 39.2%  | 1.92 [0.35, 10.40] |
| French multicenter registry | 7 | 21 | 3 | 49.9% | 2.50 [0.54, 11.62] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 2 | 6 | 2 | 26.0% | 1.25 [0.12, 13.24] |
| Singhvi et al.    | 5            | 14            | 9.2%   | 1.80 [0.47, 20.56] |
| Total (95% CI)    | 87           | 54            | 100.0% | 2.46 [0.89, 6.76] |

Test for overall effect Z = 2.61 (P = 0.009)

| Study or Subgroup | Ischemia | No ischemia | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events   | Events      | Events| Total  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 40        | 30          | 6      | 41.0%  | 0.67 [0.19, 2.48] |
| French multicenter registry | 9 | 26 | 1 | 16.0% | 6.83 [0.71, 57.00] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0.0% | 4.85 [0.20, 118.61] |
| Singhvi et al.    | 3          | 14           | 2      | 36.9%  | 0.02 [0.11, 6.34] |
| Total (95% CI)    | 86         | 5            | 100.0% | 0.78 [0.33, 1.85] |

Test for overall effect Z = 1.74 (P = 0.08)

| Study or Subgroup | ISHLT CAV III | No ISHLT CAV III | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events        | Events           | Events| Total  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 0            | 0                | 0     | Not estimable | |
| French multicenter registry | 9 | 28 | 8 | 31.0% | 0.02 [0.14, 4.90] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11.3% | 0.33 [0.11, 0.89] |
| Singhvi et al.    | 5            | 21              | 0     | Not estimable | |
| Total (95% CI)    | 13           | 35              | 100.0% | 0.91 [0.24, 3.42] |

Test for overall effect Z = 0.57 (P = 0.57)

| Study or Subgroup | Background ACE-I/ARBs | No background ACE-I/ARBs | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events                 | Events                   | Events| Total  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 0                      | 0                        | 0     | Not estimable | |
| French multicenter registry | 5 | 17 | 0 | 23.3% | 1.00 [0.36, 6.32] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not estimable | |
| Singhvi et al.    | 2                      | 11                       | 0     | Not estimable | |
| Total (95% CI)    | 7                       | 28                        | 100.0% | 1.09 [0.34, 3.48] |

Test for overall effect Z = 1.31 (P = 0.19)

| Study or Subgroup | 1/2 antirejection therapy | 3/4 antirejection therapy | Total | Weight | Odds Ratio |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                   | Events                    | Events                    | Events| Total  | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio |
| Bottio et al.     | 7                         | 18                        | 0     | 18.6%  | 11.09 [0.55, 221.99] |
| French multicenter registry | 5 | 17 | 0 | 23.3% | 1.00 [0.36, 6.32] |
| Garcia-Coso et al. | 1 | 5 | 6 | 35.1% | 0.72 [0.07, 7.34] |
| Singhvi et al.    | 0                         | 0                         | 0     | Not estimable | |
| Total (95% CI)    | 13                        | 23                        | 43.0% | 2.65 [0.62, 11.37] |

Test for overall effect Z = 1.14 (P = 0.13)
CoV-2 infection in HT recipients remains to be established. Management of immunosuppressive medications as well as administration of antiviral therapies was left at the discretion of transplant teams according to local practices, thus preventing the drawing of any conclusion. Only routine laboratory tests were performed in patients precluding any detailed evaluation of the inflammatory response to COVID-19 in HT recipients. Furthermore, the comparison of the overall mortality among HT recipients in our three centres between the period of interest and the previous year should be cautiously interpreted considering the small number of confirmed COVID-19 cases. Nonetheless, we believe that our findings could serve as incentive for larger studies with extended period of interest. Finally, a publication bias may have skewed our systematic review as well as meta-analysis results. Indeed, while studies reporting on mortality could have been more easily published, reported results were from small observational studies with short-term follow-up. In addition, only available data authors considered interesting were included in the analyses.

In conclusion, this multicentre case series shows that the clinical course of COVID-19 is aggressive in HT recipients even after reduction of immunosuppressive. Increased inflammation and lung involvement extent at admission in addition to pre-existing diabetes and chronic kidney disease are associated with risk of death.
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