**Chemical Physics**

How volatile components catalyze vapor nucleation
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Gas phase nucleation is a ubiquitous phenomenon in planetary atmospheres and technical processes, yet our understanding of it is far from complete. In particular, the enhancement of nucleation by the addition of a more volatile, weakly interacting gaseous species to a nucleating vapor has escaped molecular-level experimental investigation. Here, we use a specially designed experiment to directly measure the chemical composition and the concentration of nucleating clusters in various binary CO<sub>2</sub>-containing vapors. Our analysis suggests that CO<sub>2</sub> essentially catalyzes nucleation of the low vapor pressure component through the formation of transient, heteromolecular clusters and thus provides alternative pathways for nucleation to proceed more efficiently. This work opens up new avenues for the quantitative assessment of nucleation mechanisms involving transient species in multicomponent vapors.

**Introduction**

Gas-phase nucleation is the very first step of a vapor’s transition to the condensed phase, taking a critical role in the formation of cloud condensation nuclei (1, 2), various industrial processes (3, 4), and stardust formation (5). It has been observed that the introduction of a second, high vapor pressure gas can markedly increase the nucleation rate of another vapor (6, 7). For instance, sulfuric acid is widely recognized as a major precursor in atmospheric new particle formation (NPF), but its unary nucleation rate is too low to explain the observed NPF rates (8). Realistic estimates of NPF rates must account for the enhancing effect of water, organic compounds, and ammonia/amines on sulfuric acid nucleation (1, 9–13).

Unravelling the mechanism of the enhancing effect requires the experimental determination of the molecular composition of the clusters initially formed in the nucleation process (nucleating clusters) and the quantitative tracing of their concentration. In general, this is a challenging task (14) because the nucleating clusters are delicate entities—even in mass spectrometric studies, they often escape detection as they are easily altered or even destructed upon ionization and during transport (15, 16). Recent developments in mass spectrometry made it possible to identify at least the relatively strongly bound constituents in the nucleating clusters (17, 18), such as sulfuric acid, amines, or highly oxidized molecules. While this has provided remarkable details about the initial steps of atmospheric NPF (1, 9, 19), the measurements remained blind to the species that exhibit weaker interactions (weakly interacting species) in the nucleating clusters. Because they easily evaporate, weakly bound constituents of nucleating clusters have so far eluded detection. Water is a notable example. Despite its tendency to form hydrogen bonds, it is mostly missing from the mass spectra recorded during NPF events, even though humidity is known to influence nucleation rates (1, 20, 21).

To understand the role of weakly interacting species in nucleation, experimental approaches are required that initiate nucleation under well-controlled conditions, preserve the integrity of the nucleating clusters, and quantify their number concentrations with high accuracy, to enable a data-based kinetic analysis. A new nucleation instrument recently developed in our group is designed to meet these requirements (22–24): The postnozzle flow of a Laval expansion creates a nucleation region with uniform temperature and pressure (22, 25, 26), while coupling to mass spectrometry by ultrasoft, single-photon ionization in vacuo minimizes cluster fragmentation upon detection to the lowest level nowadays achievable (27, 28). This instrument is capable of quantifying the number concentrations of weakly bound nucleating clusters and providing time-dependent, molecular-level information on their size and chemical composition. This instrument has already revealed unprecedented molecular-level details on unary nucleation dynamics (22–24, 29–31), which predestines it for extended investigations of more complex binary/multicomponent nucleation events.

The present study reports molecular-level observations of the nucleation enhancement by weakly interacting species in binary vapors. With the important role of CO<sub>2</sub> nucleation in the Martian atmosphere (32–34) and the potential use of CO<sub>2</sub> for carbon capture and storage (35), we have selected various model systems containing CO<sub>2</sub> as the nucleation-enhancing species in binary vapors. On the basis of a detailed kinetic analysis, we quantitatively discuss the participation of CO<sub>2</sub> in the cluster formation process, demonstrating that CO<sub>2</sub> essentially functions as a catalyst to enhance nucleation. By covering a broad temperature range, we show that the ability of CO<sub>2</sub> to enhance nucleation decreases with increasing temperature. The general implications of our current work are discussed in relation to previous nucleation studies.

**Results**

**Nucleation in the postnozzle flow of a Laval expansion**

Figure 1 illustrates the experimental setup (22, 25). Nucleating vapors along with a carrier gas (argon, nitrogen, or mixtures) are supplied to the stagnation volume of a Laval nozzle [red shaded area (36–38), at temperature $T_0$ and pressure $p_0$]. As the gas mixture expands through the nozzle, the temperature drops quickly, leading to supersaturation of the nucleating vapors. The unique features of our experiment are the initiation and observation of the nucleation in the uniform postnozzle flow [blue shaded area; this flow uniformity has previously been exploited in studies of chemical kinetics (37–43)], and the online retrieval of molecular information about the composition of the molecular clusters. The postnozzle flow acts
as a flow reactor without walls, allowing us to study nucleation under uniquely well-controlled conditions (constant velocity $V_F$, flow temperature $T_F$, flow pressure $p_F$, and saturation ratio $S$; see Materials and Methods for details of flow temperature adjustment), a crucial prerequisite for nucleation experiments because nucleation is exquisitely sensitive to changes in conditions. The mass of the molecular clusters is probed with time-of-flight mass spectrometry directly in vacuo after soft ionization with a vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) laser at a photon energy of 13.8 eV (27, 28, 44, 45). In this way, the detection largely keeps the clusters intact (23, 24), so that their mass provides direct molecular-level information about their chemical composition and their size (number of molecules).

Different parts of the postnozzle flow can be probed by changing the nozzle exit-to-skimmer distance, $L_{NS}$ (Fig. 1). $L_{NS}$ can be modified in steps as small as 1 mm over a maximum length of ~10 cm, corresponding to steps of ~2 μs in the nucleation time $t$ up to a maximum of ~200 μs. The onset of nucleation occurs at short $L_{NS}$, i.e., short $t$, where the first molecular clusters appear in the mass spectra (“Nucleation,” Fig. 1). With increasing $L_{NS}$ and thus $t$, the nucleated clusters continue to grow by vapor condensation (“Growth,” Fig. 1).

Nucleation enhancement by a second vapor component

We start the discussion with an exemplary binary nucleation experiment carried out for a toluene-$CO_2$ mixture at $T_F = 55$ K. While the binary vapor undergoes nucleation and cluster growth (Fig. 2), neither toluene (toluene-only, red trace) nor $CO_2$ can nucleate on their own at the same vapor concentration and conditions. Figure 2A exemplifies this for the mass spectra recorded at the longest nucleation time at $L_{NS} = 100$ mm ($t \sim 200 \mu s$). Even at this point, when the binary mixture (yellow trace) has already nucleated and started to grow, no cluster signal shows up in the corresponding mass spectrum for pure toluene (toluene-only, red trace). Evidently, the presence of $CO_2$, although more volatile than toluene, greatly enhances toluene nucleation.

To understand the mechanism, we examine the composition of the very first clusters formed in the nucleation process of the binary mixture, which sets in at $L_{NS} \sim 30$ mm ($t \sim 60 \mu s$). Figure 2B shows a zoomed-in segment of the mass spectrum recorded at $L_{NS} = 40$ mm, i.e., right after the onset of nucleation. We only find cluster signals with mass-to-charge ratios ($m/z$) that are multiples of the singly ionized toluene molecules ($m/z = 92$). This means that the only detected nucleating clusters at $T_F = 55$ K are homo-molecular toluene clusters [(Tol)$_j$]. Given, however, the observed strong enhancement of nucleation by the presence of $CO_2$, mixed $CO_2$-containing clusters [(Tol)$_j$(CO$_2$)$_{x>0}$] must be involved in the nucleation process. Such mixed clusters can indeed be detected at lower temperatures of 31 K (see the “Effect of temperature” section below). So why are they not observed at 55 K? One reason could be that they are losing $CO_2$ (evaporation) on their way to the ionization point, implying a lifetime of <500 μs (the traveling time of the clusters from the skimmer to the ionization point). This could indicate that equilibration through collisions with the carrier gas molecules is too slow at the low flow pressure of our experiments, so that a certain amount of excess energy (i.e., exceeding the thermal energy) remains in the mixed clusters after their formation. The fact that whole series of [(Tol)$_j$(CO$_2$)$_{x>0}$] are detected at lower flow temperatures (see the “Effect of temperature” section below) indicates that such incomplete thermalization is not the only factor. Rather, these observations provide a hint that the mixed clusters play the role of transient species in the nucleation mechanism itself. This provides the basis for the kinetic scheme we propose in the “Kinetic modeling” section.

The above discussion refers to the initial nucleation step. This is followed later on by the growth of the clusters that were formed in the nucleation step. At the later stages of this growth process, $CO_2$ starts to condense on the preformed clusters as evidenced by the
mass spectra observed at longer times $t$. An example is shown in Fig. 2C for a zoomed-in segment of the mass spectrum at $L_{NS} = 100$ mm ($t \approx 200 \mu s$). The $m/z$ difference of 44 between neighboring cluster signals indicates that CO$_2$ condensation is becoming a major driving force of cluster growth at this stage.

**Kinetic modeling**

To gain further insight into the role of CO$_2$ in the nucleation process, we have performed experiments at the same constant $T_F = 55$ K and $p_F = 40$ Pa as in Fig. 2, but for varying toluene and CO$_2$ concentrations (see conditions in table S1). The number concentrations $N_j$ of clusters (Tol)$_{j}$, (CO$_2$)$_x$ (referred to as “$j$-mers”) are determined as a function of $L_{NS}$ (see Materials and Methods). Conversion of $L_{NS}$ to nucleation times $t$ yields the temporal evolution of $N_j$ (Fig. 3A shows examples for $j = 2$ to 6). For $j = 2$ to 6, the mass spectra show predominantly homo-molecular clusters ($x = 0$), similar to Fig. 2A, while hetero-molecular clusters ($x > 0$) are not directly observable under these conditions ($T_F = 55$ K).

From the $N_j$, we derive experimental rate constants $k_{1,j,hs}$ for the association of toluene monomer with the $j$-mers (section S2). The ratio to a corresponding gas-kinetic hard sphere collision rate constant, $k_{1,j,hs}$ (section S2), defines the enhancement factor $\eta_{exp,j}$ (29)

$$\eta_{exp,j} = \frac{k_{1,j,hs}}{k_{1,j,hs}}$$

It's value indicates how much faster the association of a toluene monomer with a $j$-mer proceeds in the actual experiment ($k_{1,j}$) compared with hard-sphere collisions [$k_{1,j,hs}$, calculated within standard gas kinetic theory (46) assuming bulk properties (47)] between toluene monomer and homo-molecular toluene clusters (section S2). By definition, $k_{1,j,hs}$ is independent of the CO$_2$ content, so that variations of $\eta_{exp,j}$ with the CO$_2$ concentration show how CO$_2$ affects the toluene monomer-$j$-mer association. Figure 3B shows the resulting $\eta_{exp,j}$ as a function of the CO$_2$ concentration for $j = 2$ to 6. To observe unary toluene nucleation (no CO$_2$) under the chosen conditions, we increased the toluene concentration 3.5 times compared with Fig. 2 (where no unary toluene nucleation was observed). $\eta_{exp,j} = 2 - 6$ varies between ~2 and 5 for unary toluene nucleation, in qualitative agreement with our previous unary nucleation studies on water, CO$_2$, and propane (29, 31). This range of enhancement factor is likely the result of intermolecular interactions between the colliding toluene monomer and the homo-molecular toluene clusters (48), which are not accounted for by $k_{1,j,hs}$. With increasing CO$_2$ concentration, $\eta_{exp,j}$ systematically increases for all $j$ compared with unary nucleation, by up to a factor of ~4 for the highest CO$_2$ concentration of $8.3 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ (Fig. 3B). The dependence of $\eta_{exp,j}$ on the CO$_2$ concentration indicates the direct involvement of CO$_2$ in the formation of nucleating toluene clusters, suggesting that hetero-molecular (Tol)$_j$(CO$_2$)$_{x=0}$ clusters are essential, albeit transient species in the nucleation mechanism. Under the conditions in Fig. 2, where unary toluene nucleation does not occur, transient hetero-molecular clusters must even dominate the nucleation process.

Our previous study revealed dimerization as the rate-limiting step for unary toluene nucleation at 55 K (23). On the basis of this result and the above observations for binary nucleation, we propose the following models (R1 to R3)

$$\text{Tol} + \text{Tol} \overset{\alpha}{\rightarrow} (\text{Tol})_2 \quad \text{(R1)}$$

$$\text{CO}_2 + \text{Tol} \overset{\beta}{\rightarrow} (\text{CO}_2)(\text{Tol}) \quad \text{(R2)}$$

$$\text{(Tol)}(\text{CO}_2) + (\text{CO}_2)x \overset{\gamma}{\rightarrow} (\text{Tol})_2(\text{CO}_2)_{x_2} + (x - y + 1) \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(R3)}$$

where $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ are the rate constants of the respective reactions. R1 accounts for unary toluene nucleation and is ineffective at all our conditions, except for the experiment where we use a high toluene concentration and no CO$_2$ (unary case in Fig. 3; CT0 in table S1). R2 and R3 account for CO$_2$-toluene monomer interaction, postulating the formation of a transient cluster (Tol)(CO$_2$) (R2) that precedes toluene dimerization (R3). The consecutive reactions R2 and R3 represent a CO$_2$-catalyzed toluene dimerization process. Catalytic clustering processes of weakly interacting species were previously hypothesized in free jet expansion experiments, e.g., to rationalize the increased HF dimer population observed in the presence of N$_2$O (49).

The proposed mechanism R1 to R3 is, to some extent, analogous to the chaperon or radical-complex mechanisms for radical recombination, where the direct recombination [analogous to (R1)] competes with a reaction of a radical monomer with a weakly bound preformed radical cluster (50–53). The latter is analogous to R3 for $x = 0$ and is typically invoked for radical recombinations of small molecules at low temperatures and higher densities. In our experiments, by contrast, the pressure is extremely low (total pressure, 40 Pa; involved active species, <1 Pa; table S1); with toluene, we already have a relatively large molecule (42 internal degrees of freedom), and the products are only weakly bound. It is thus an intriguing

![Figure 2](http://advances.sciencemag.org/)
result that such a mechanism turns out to be applicable to vapor nucleation. Our proposed mechanism differs in two aspects from the usual chaperon mechanism. We avoid the assumption of equilibrium for the formation of the chaperon cluster (R2), as equilibrium might be too slow at the very low pressure conditions of our experiments. For simplicity, we describe this step with a single forward rate constant $\beta$, noting that this is only an effective rate constant. In addition to the usual chaperon mechanism, we also account for the reaction of two chaperon clusters (R3 for $x > 0$). While this contribution to the overall kinetics at 55 K is minor, it is necessary to account for the detection of a broad range of small CO2-containing mixed clusters at lower temperatures (31 K in Fig. 4B), where at least a notable fraction of toluene is expected to be present in the form of Tol-CO2 (see the “Effect of temperature” section; Fig. 4B).

In line with the experimental observations in Figs. 2 and 3, R3 is generally far more efficient than R1 in creating toluene dimers because extra CO2 molecules can accommodate the excess collision energy, either by evaporation or by providing additional degrees of freedom. R3 is therefore assumed to be collision-limited. R1, R2, and R3 are incorporated into a kinetic model (section S3) that is simultaneously fitted to the time-dependent experimental cluster size distributions for all conditions recorded (CT0 to CT5 in table S1). We use $\beta$ as a free fitting parameter, while $\alpha$ is determined from the unary toluene experiment (CT0), and $\gamma$ is assumed to be equal to the collision rate constants of the reactants (section S3). Because R3 is not rate determining ($\gamma \gg \beta$), the model yields the following simple expression for the nucleation rate

$$J_{\text{model}} = \alpha N_{\text{Tol}}^2 + \beta N_{\text{Tol}} N_{\text{CO2}}$$

with $\alpha = 4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\beta = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ obtained from the fit to the experimental data (fig. S1). $N_{\text{Tol}}$ and $N_{\text{CO2}}$ are the concentrations of toluene and CO2 monomers, respectively. The first term arises from the bare dimerization, R1, while the second term accounts for the CO2-assisted dimerization, R2 and R3, which is first order in both the toluene and the CO2 concentration. The values of $J_{\text{model}}$ are listed in table S1. Alternatively, experimental nucleation rates, $J_{\text{exp}}$, can be retrieved directly from the experimental spectra by taking the first-order derivative of total cluster concentration $N_{\text{tot}}$ with respect to the nucleation time ($24, 54$)

$$J_{\text{exp}} = \frac{d N_{\text{tot}}}{dt}$$

Figure 3C shows $N_{\text{tot}}$ as a function of the nucleation time $t$. The dashed lines are linear fits whose slopes correspond to $J_{\text{exp}}$. The values of $J_{\text{exp}}$ are listed in table S1. Figure 3D compares $J_{\text{model}}$ and $J_{\text{exp}}$ on a linear scale illustrating the excellent agreement between the model fit and the experimentally determined rates. Because Eq. 2 describes the nucleation kinetics quantitatively, our simple model of CO2-catalyzed nucleation (R1 to R3) appears to provide a reasonable agreement with the experimental data.
representation of the underlying mechanism. In accordance with the simple two-parameter expression of Eq. 2, $J_{\text{exp}}$ increases with increasing CO$_2$ concentration at approximately constant toluene concentration. $J_{\text{exp}}$ likewise increases with increasing toluene concentration at constant CO$_2$ concentration. The proposed mechanism R1 to R3 seems to capture the essence of the nucleation process. More advanced direct simulations of the cluster formation processes (26, 55), which could provide deeper insight, would be very challenging for the toluene-CO$_2$ system.

**Effect of temperature**

Figure 4 illustrates the influence of the temperature on binary nucleation in the temperature range $T_F = 31$ to 84 K. Except for $T_F = 84$ K, the toluene concentration was adjusted so that the onset of the unary toluene nucleation (0% CO$_2$) does just not yet occur at $L_{\text{NS}} = 100$ mm (bottom traces in Figs. 4, A to D). In the case of $T_F = 84$ K, where no enhancement by CO$_2$ is observed (see below), we adjusted the toluene concentration to obtain visible nucleation at $L_{\text{NS}} = 100$ mm (bottom trace in Fig. 4E; see table S2 for the vapor concentrations) to better visualize the trends. The influence of increasing amounts of CO$_2$ on the nucleation is shown in the middle and top traces in Fig. 4. It clearly shows that CO$_2$ enhances toluene nucleation compared with the unary case at all temperatures, except at the highest temperature of 84 K.

As discussed in the context of Figs. 2 and 3, the vast majority of clusters detected in the mass spectra at 55 K at the onset of nucleation are stable homo-molecular (Tol)$_j$ clusters. Initially formed (nucleating) hetero-molecular clusters (Tol)$_j$(CO$_2$)$_{x>0}$ dissipate part of their excess energy through the loss of CO$_2$ (R3). Those clusters that do not lose all CO$_2$ molecules directly after their formation (R3 for $y>0$) are stabilized by collisions with the carrier gas. At the relatively low gas density (low pressure limit), the collisional deactivation is apparently not efficient enough to prevent complete loss of CO$_2$ from the clusters on their way to the ionization region. The same holds for the nucleating clusters at the higher temperatures. This behavior changes at the lowest temperature of 31 K (Fig. 4, A and B). In this case, a substantial amount of hetero-molecular (Tol)$_j$(CO$_2$)$_{x>0}$ clusters is observed in the region of the onset of nucleation (Fig. 4B). Two phenomena contribute to this effect. First, at lower temperature, the reduced collision energy means that less excess energy needs to be dissipated, and collisional deactivation by the carrier gas might also become more effective as the lifetime of the corresponding collision complexes increases. Second, at lower temperature, a larger fraction of toluene will be present in the form of Tol-CO$_2$. With an estimated binding energy on the order of 10 kJ/mol (56), the equilibrium ratio of Tol-CO$_2$ to Tol would change from a small fraction (less than a percent) at 55 K to a large excess of Tol-CO$_2$ at 31 K (see section S4). Even if the equilibrium is not maintained at the very low flow pressure of our experiment, the probability of forming the chaperon cluster described by the effective forward rate constant $\beta$ (R2) is expected to increase substantially. As a result, the
Contrast to the behavior at the lower temperatures when CO$_2$ not only enhances nucleation but also is mainly responsible for early cluster formation, we investigated various binary vapors containing CO$_2$ and water, butane-CO$_2$, and propanol-CO$_2$. The mass spectra are recorded at $L_{NS}$ = 100 mm, a fixed CO$_2$ concentration of 3.16%, and a constant flow pressure of $p_f = 40$ Pa. The flow temperatures $T_f$ are constant for each row, indicated on the right-hand side of the figure. The mass differences between neighboring peaks are indicated in spectra recorded at 55 K. They correspond to the molecular masses of water, propanol, hexane, and butane, respectively. Detailed experimental conditions are specified in table S3. The temperatures shown for each row are the postnozzle flow temperature without CO$_2$ addition, rounded to the nearest integer.

![Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of the nucleation enhancement by CO$_2$ in several binary vapors (see also fig. S2).](image)

The temperature trend shown in Fig. 5 is qualitatively consistent with previous binary nucleation studies for hexane-CO$_2$ and water-CO$_2$ in supersonic nozzles by Wyslouzil and co-workers (57, 58). Even though these studies did not provide molecular-level information on nucleating clusters, they show that the addition of CO$_2$ to nucleating water or hexane vapors does not affect the onset of water nucleation at a distance of $L_{NS} = 100$ mm (not shown). The fact that the mass spectra after introduction of CO$_2$ show pronounced cluster peaks (Fig. 5 and fig. S2) indicates that CO$_2$ indeed enhances nucleation of all these rather different compounds. As found for toluene-CO$_2$ binary nucleation, an increase in temperature diminishes the enhancement effect. The extent of the temperature effect varies in the different binary mixtures. Butane-CO$_2$ and hexane-CO$_2$ nucleation no longer proceeds at 64 and 73 K, respectively, while water-CO$_2$ and propanol-CO$_2$ nucleation still continues at these higher temperatures. The different intermolecular interactions between CO$_2$ and the various second compounds provide a plausible explanation for this observation. Butane-CO$_2$ and hexane-CO$_2$ mostly interact through weak van der Waals forces, so that the probability of forming the transient hetero-molecular nucleating clusters (and with it the enhancement effect of CO$_2$) decreases more rapidly with rising temperature than in the case of the more strongly bound water-CO$_2$ and propanol-CO$_2$ systems (both induction and van der Waals interactions). As the system with the strongest intermolecular interactions in the series, water-CO$_2$ nucleation is expected to be the least temperature sensitive. The mass spectra in Fig. 5 confirm this expectation. They also reveal that most of the smaller clusters are homo-molecular (i.e., without CO$_2$), which is consistent with the above observations for the binary toluene-CO$_2$ system (Fig. 2): At temperatures at and above ~55 K, the nucleating hetero-molecular clusters responsible for the nucleation enhancement (R2 and R3) lose their CO$_2$ on the time scale of the detection (see the previous section).

**CO$_2$: A more general nucleation enhancer**

Is the toluene-CO$_2$ system a special case or does CO$_2$ also act as a nucleation enhancer in other binary systems? To answer this question, we investigated various binary vapors containing CO$_2$ and water, propanol, hexane, and butane, respectively. Figure 5 and fig. S2 show the mass spectra sampled at $L_{NS}$ = 100 mm for three different temperatures (55, 64, and 73 K) at a fixed CO$_2$ percentage of 3.16% of the total flow. For clarity, the mass range $m/z < 1000$ is shown in Fig. 5, while the spectra for the larger cluster masses ($m/z \geq 1000$) are displayed in fig. S2. Before CO$_2$ was introduced into the flow, we adjusted the concentrations of the second compounds so that the onset for unary nucleation is just about to occur right at the chosen time scale of the detection (see the previous section).
DiscussioN

With molecular-level details, we have shown that transient, hetero-
molecular clusters provide the key to explain enhanced nucleation
rates in CO2-containing binary vapors. Through the intermediate
formation of those clusters, CO2 catalyzes the nucleation of the lower
vapor pressure components. This marks an important step in un-

extreme case, i.e., 10% CO2 at 84 K (top trace in Fig. 4E), we esti-
mate that replacing N2 by CO2 increases the temperature by ~1.5 K
as temperature decreases. This result has further implications for
the parametrization of nucleation rates for multicomponent vapors,
as it indicates that with changing temperature, the type and number
of species involved in the nucleation process also have to be adjusted
properly. The temperature acts as a sensitive control for the nucle-

ation mechanism, turning on and off different nucleation pathways
involving different species (1). This could be particularly important
in the modeling of atmospheric NPF events involving organics as
different organic compounds likely enter the nucleation and particle
growth processes at different temperatures (60), depending on
their interaction strength with the other vapor components.

Materials and Methods

Temperature control

To adjust the flow temperature in coarse and fine steps, we use two
methods based on gas dynamics. First, for a given carrier gas com-
position, the degree of gas expansion through the nozzle determines
the postnozzle flow temperature. We can therefore change the flow



\[ N_j = \frac{I_{\text{tol}}}{I_s} \cdot \frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_{\text{tol}}}, N_s \]  

\[ I_{\text{tol}} \text{ and } I_s \text{ are the ion signals of the (Tol)}_j (\text{CO}_2)_s \text{ clusters and the} \]

\[ \text{internal standard, respectively, in the mass spectrum; } \sigma_{\text{tol}} \text{ is the mol-} \]

\[ \text{ecular photoionization cross section of toluene. For the unary toluene} \]

\[ \text{nucleation experiments, methane is used as an internal standard. For} \]

\[ \text{the CO2-containing binary nucleation experiments, CO2 itself} \]

\[ \text{serves as the internal standard.} \]

The total cluster concentration \( N_{\text{tot}} \) is calculated by the summation
of all cluster concentrations from dimer to the largest cluster
observed, i.e.

\[ N_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} N_j \]

\[ \text{This definition is consistent with our hypothesis that the forma-} \]

\[ \text{tion of dimer is the rate-limiting step of the nucleation process in} \]

\[ \text{our experiments.} \]
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