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1. Introduction

The notion of the ring with derivation plays a significant role in the integration of analysis, algebraic geometry and algebra. By a derivation of a ring \( R \), we mean any function \( d:R \rightarrow R \) which satisfies the following conditions: (i) \( d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b) \) and (ii) \( d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) \), for all \( a, b \in R \). The study of derivations of prime ring was initiated by Posner (1957). Posner considered the composition of derivations and showed that the composition of two nonzero derivations of a prime ring \( R \) cannot be a derivation provided that characteristic of \( R \) is different from 2. Bresar (1990) and Ashraf and Nadeem (2001) proved commutativity of prime and semiprime rings with derivations satisfying certain polynomial constraints. Chebotar (1995) and Bell and Argac (2001) obtained the necessary condition when the composition of derivations could be a derivation. Furthermore, Bell and Argac (2001)
and Wang (1994) have investigated the invariance of certain ideals under derivations. Lee and Lee (1986), proved that if \(d\) is a derivation on a prime ring \(R\) with center \(Z\) such that \(d^n(x) \in Z\) for all \(x\), where \(n\) is a fixed integer, then either \(d^n(x) \in Z\) for all \(x\) in \(R\) or \(R\) is a commutative integral domain.

Boolean semiring has been used in the mathematical literature with at least two different meanings. The first one was given by Subrahmanyam (1962). The second one was introduced by Galbiati and Veonesi (1980), which has also been investigated by Guzman (1992). In this paper we use the definition of Boolean semiring in the sense of Subrahmanyam.

In this paper our objective is to study the composition of derivations on Boolean semiring (Subrahmanyam, 1962). Also we investigate the conditions that the composition of two derivations is a derivation. Moreover, we investigate the \(n\)th derivation satisfying Leibniz rule.

A derivation \(d\) of a ring \(R\) is said to be locally nilpotent if for any \(x \in R\), there exists a positive integer \(n\) such that \(d^n(x) = 0\). Locally nilpotent derivations play an important role in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, and several problems may be formulated using locally nilpotent derivations (see Essen, 1995; Ferrero, 1992). Finally, we show that locally nilpotent derivations on a Boolean semiring possessing some condition must be zero.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the definition of Boolean semirings and some basic properties of Boolean semirings.

**Definition 2.1** (Subrahmanyam, 1962) A Boolean semiring is a triple \((B, +, \cdot)\) satisfying the following axioms:

1. \((B, +)\) is an abelian group,
2. \((B, \cdot)\) is a semigroup,
3. \(a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c\) for all \(a, b, c \in B\),
4. \(a \cdot a = a\) for all \(a \in B\),
5. \(a \cdot b \cdot c = b \cdot a \cdot c\) for all \(a, b, c \in B\).

We denote a Boolean semiring \((B, +, \cdot)\) by \(B\) without mentioning the operations, and denote \(a \cdot b\) by \(ab\).

**Example 2.2** Let \(B = \{0, a, b, c\}\). Define addition (+) and multiplication (\(\cdot\)) as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
+ & 0 & a & b & c \\
0 & 0 & a & b & c \\
a & a & b & c & 0 \\
b & b & c & 0 & a \\
c & c & 0 & a & b \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot & 0 & a & b & c \\
0 & 0 & a & b & c \\
a & a & b & c & 0 \\
b & 0 & a & b & c \\
c & 0 & a & b & c \\
\end{array}
\]
Then \((\mathcal{B}, +, \cdot)\) is a Boolean semiring.

**Example 2.3** \((\mathbb{Z}_2, +, \cdot)\) is a Boolean semiring where \(+\) and \(\cdot\) are the addition, and multiplication modulo 2, respectively.

The following lemma summarises some basic properties of Boolean semiring. The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.

**Lemma 2.4** For any \(a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}\), we have

- (i) \(-(-a) = a\),
- (ii) \(a0 = 0\),
- (iii) \(a(-b) = -(ab)\),
- (iv) \(a(b - c) = ab - ac\),
- (v) \(- (a + b) = -a - b\).

**Remark** In Boolean semiring, \(0a = a\), \((-a)b = -(ab)\), and \((-a)(-b) = ab\) are not true in general, for instance Example 2.2, note that \(0a = a\), \((-a)a \neq -(aa)\) and \((-a)(-a) \neq aa\).

### 3. Main results

In what follows, let \(\mathcal{B}\) denote a Boolean semiring unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1** Let \(\mathcal{B}\) be a Boolean semiring. A mapping \(d: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\) is called a derivation on \(\mathcal{B}\) if

- (i) \(d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y)\), and
- (ii) \(d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y\),

for all \(x, y \in \mathcal{B}\).

Note that \(d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)\) holds for all \(x, y \in \mathcal{B}\) because \((\mathcal{B}, +)\) is an abelian group.

**Lemma 3.2** Let \(d\) be a derivation on \(\mathcal{B}\). Then \(\mathcal{B}\) satisfies the following partial distributive laws:

\((xd(y))z = xd(y)z + d(xy)z\)

for all \(x, y, z \in \mathcal{B}\).

**Proof.** Let \(x, y, z \in \mathcal{B}\). Then

\[
d(xyz) = xd(yz) + d(x)(yz) \\
= xyd(z) + d(y)z + d(x)(yz) \\
= xyd(z) + x(d(y)z) + d(x)(yz),
\]

and

\[
d((xy)z) = (xy)d(z) + d(xy)z \\
= (xy)d(z) + (xd(y) + d(xy))z.
\]

Comparing the two expressions above, we have \((xd(y) + d(xy)z = (xd(y))z + (d(xy)z). This completes the proof.\]

**Note that** \((d(xy))z = xd(y)z + d(xy)z\).

In the following theorem, we obtain that the necessary condition for the composition of deviation on a Boolean semiring could be a derivation.
THEOREM 3.3 Let $d_1$ and $d_2$ be derivations on $B$. Then the composition of $d_1$ and $d_2$, $d_1 \circ d_2$, is a derivation on $B$ if and only if $d_1(x) d_2(y) + d_2(x) d_1(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in B$.

Proof. Suppose that $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a derivation on $B$. Let $x, y \in B$. Then

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(xy) = x(d_1 \circ d_2)(y) + (d_1 \circ d_2)(xy),$$

and

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(xy) = d_1(xd_2(y)) + d_2(xy) = x(d_1 \circ d_2)(y) + d_1(x)d_2(y) + d_2(x)d_1(y) + (d_1 \circ d_2)(xy).$$

By comparing the two expressions yields $d_1(x) d_2(y) + d_2(x) d_1(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in B$.

Conversely, assume that $d_1(x) d_2(y) + d_2(x) d_1(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in B$. Then

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(xy) = x(d_1 \circ d_2)(y) + (d_1 \circ d_2)(xy) = x(d_1 \circ d_2)(y) + (d_1 \circ d_2)(xy)$$

for all $x, y \in B$. Clearly, $d_1 \circ d_2$ is an additive mapping on $B$. Thus $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a derivation on $B$. \hfill \Box

By Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.4 Let $d_1$ and $d_2$ be derivations on $B$. If $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a derivation on $B$, so is $d_2 \circ d_1$.

Definition 3.5 Let $d$ be a derivation on $B$. For any positive integer $n$, the $n$th derivation of $d$ is denoted by $d^n$ and is obtained when $d$ is composed with itself $n$ times, and by $d^n(x)$ we mean the identity function defined by $d^n(x) = x$.

Next, we show that the $n$th derivation satisfying Leibniz rule.

LEMMA 3.6 Let $d$ be a derivation on $B$. For any positive integer $n$,

$$d^n(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} d^i(x)d^{n-1-i}(y),$$

for all $x, y \in B$.

Proof. For $n = 1$, we have

$$d^1(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y,$$

for all $x, y \in B$. Let $n > 1$ and assume that the theorem holds for $n - 1$. That is,

$$d^{n-1}(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} d^i(x)d^{n-1-i-1}(y),$$

for all $x, y \in B$. Then
\[ d^n(xy) = d(d^{n-1}(xy)) = d \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} d^i(x) d^{n-1-i}(y) \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \left( d^i(x) d^{n-1-i}(y) + d^{i+1}(x) d^{n-1-i-1}(y) \right) \]
\[ = xd^n(y) + d(x) d^{n-1}(y) + \left( \binom{n-1}{1} \right) \left( d(x) d^{n-1}(y) + d^2(x) d^{n-2}(y) \right) \]
\[ + \ldots + \left( \binom{n-1}{1} \right) \left( d^i(x) d^{n-i-1}(y) + d^{i+1}(x) d^{n-i-2}(y) \right) \]
\[ + \ldots + d^{n-2}(x) d(y) + d^n(x) y \]
\[ = xd^n(y) + \ldots + \left( \binom{n-1}{1} + \binom{n-1}{i-1} \right) d(x) d^{n-1}(y) + \ldots + d^n(x) y \]

The proof is completed. \(\square\)

The characteristic of a Boolean semiring \(B\) is the smallest positive integer \(n\) such that

\[ \underbrace{a + a + \ldots + a}_{n \text{ times}} = 0 \quad \text{for each } a \in B. \]

**Corollary 3.7** Let \(B\) be a Boolean semiring of characteristic prime \(p \geq 2\) and let \(d\) be a derivation on \(B\). Then \(d^p\) is a derivation on \(B\).

**Proof.** Let \(x, y \in B\). By Theorem 3.6, we obtain

\[ d^p(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} \left( d^i(x) d^{p-i}(y) \right). \]

Since the characteristic of \(B\) is equal to \(p\) and \(p\) divides \(\binom{p}{i}\) for all \(1 \leq k \leq p - 1\), we have

\[ d^p(xy) = \binom{p}{0} xd^p(y) + \binom{p}{p} d^p(x) y. \]

Clearly, \(d^p\) is an additive mapping on \(B\). Hence \(d^p\) is a derivation on \(B\). \(\square\)

**Definition 3.8** A derivation \(d\) on a Boolean semiring \(B\) is said to be locally nilpotent if for any \(b \in B\), there exists a positive integer \(n\) such that \(d^n(b) = 0\).

**Definition 3.9** A Boolean semiring \(B\) is called zero-symmetric if \(0a = 0\) for all \(a \in B\).

**Lemma 3.10** Let \(B\) be a zero-symmetric Boolean semiring, \(x \in B\), and \(d\) a derivation on \(B\). If \(d^2(x) = 0\), then \(d(x) = 0\).
Proof. Assume that $d^2(x) = 0$. Then

$$0 = d^2(x) = d^3(xx) = xd^2(x) + 2d(x)d(x) + d^2(x)x = 2d(x),$$

and by Lemma 3.2.,

$$d(x) = d(xx)d(x) = (xd(x) + d(x)x)d(x) = (xd(x)d(x) + (d(x)x)d(x) = x(d(x) + d(x)) = 0.$$ 

Therefore, $d(x) = 0$. □

**Lemma 3.11** Let $B$ be a zero-symmetric Boolean semiring, and let $x \in B$. If $d$ is a derivation on $B$ satisfying $d^m(x) = 0$ for some positive integer $m \geq 2$, then $d^{m-1}(x) = 0$.

**Proof.** Assume that $d^n(x) = 0$ for some positive integer $n \geq 2$. Then

$$0 = d^n(x) = d(d(d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-2}(x))) = d^{n-2}(x)d^n(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^n(x)d^{n-1}(x)$$

Hence $d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) = 0$. We then have

$$0 = d^{n-2}(x)d(d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-2}(x)) + d(d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-2}(x))d^{n-1}(x)$$

$$= d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-2}(x)$$

$$+ d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x)$$

$$= d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-1}(x)$$

$$+ d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x) + d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x).$$

Hence $d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-2}(x) + d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x) = 0$. It follows that

$$d^{n-1}(x) = d(d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-2}(x)) = d^{n-1}(x)d^{n-2}(x) + d^{n-2}(x)d^{n-1}(x) = 0$$

Therefore, $d^{n-1}(x) = 0$. □

**Theorem 3.12** Let $B$ be a zero-symmetric Boolean semiring. If $d$ is a locally nilpotent derivation on $B$, then $d(B) = 0$.

The proof is immediately obtained by Lemma 3.11.
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