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Abstract

Background: DNA methylation has a potential role in controlling gene expression and may, therefore, contribute to salinity adaptation in plants. Caliph medic (Medicago truncatula) is a model legume of moderate salinity tolerance capacity; however, a base-resolution DNA methylome map is not yet available for this plant.

Results: In this report, a differential whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) was carried out using DNA samples extracted from root tissues exposed to either control or saline conditions. Around 50 million differentially methylated sites (DMSs) were recognized, 7% of which were significantly (p < 0.05, FDR < 0.05) altered in response to salinity. This analysis showed that 77.0% of the contexts of DMSs were mCHH, while only 9.1% and 13.9% were mCHG and mCG, respectively. The average change in methylation level was increased in all sequence contexts, ranging from 3.8 to 10.2% due to salinity stress. However, collectively, the level of the DNA methylation in the gene body slightly decreased in response to salinity treatment. The global increase in DNA methylation due to salinity was confirmed by mass spectrometry analysis. Gene expression analysis using qPCR did not reveal a constant relationship between the level of mCG methylation and the transcription abundance of some genes of potential importance in salinity tolerance, such as the potassium channel KAT3, the vacuolar H+-pyrophosphatase (V-PPase), and the AP2/ERF and bZIP transcription factors, implying the involvement of other epigenetic gene expression controllers.

Computational functional prediction of the annotated genes that embrace DMSs revealed the presence of enzymes with potential cellular functions in biological processes associated with salinity tolerance mechanisms.

Conclusions: The information obtained from this study illustrates the effect of salinity on DNA methylation and shows how plants can remodel the landscape of 5-methylcytosine nucleotide (5-mC) in the DNA across gene structures, in response to salinity. This remodeling varies between gene regions and between 5-mC sequence contexts. The mCG has a vague impact on the expression levels of a few selected potentially important genes in salt tolerant mechanisms.
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Background

Plants use several strategies to cope with high soil salinity that all require a significant modification in gene expression through different epigenetic processes [1–3]. One of these processes is DNA methylation, in which cytosines are covalently modified by adding a methyl group to their backbone, forming a 5-methylcytosine nucleotide (5-mC) [4, 5]. Besides being a key epigenetic mark in gene silencing and playing a protective role against invading viruses [6], DNA methylation is involved in regulating normal growth and developmental processes, such as cell differentiation [7], genomic imprinting [8], X-chromosome inactivation [9], repression of repetitive elements [10] and cell senescence [11]. Additionally, in cooperation with other players, DNA methylations plays a role in epigenetic transgenerational memory which may lead to environmental adaptation [12].

Unlike animals, for which DNA methylation mainly occurs in an mCG context, plant methylomes also encompass non-mCG methylation in mCHG and mCHH contexts.
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The relationship between DNA methylation and gene expression is more complicated than was initially predicted. The effect of DNA methylation on gene expression varies based on the tissue type and the methylated sequence context [14]. The mCG sites are maintained by DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE1 (MET1) and CHROMOMETHYLASE1 (CMT1), while the mCHG sites are maintained by CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) however, CHH sites are maintained by constant de novo methylation by DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE2 (DRM2) and other players in the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway [14, 15].

DNA methylation can be stably inherited throughout subsequent generations, but its effect can also be eliminated or neutralized through the hydroxylation of the methyl group, at least in animals [26], however, this mechanism could not yet be found in plants because of the absence of the Ten Eleven Translocation (TET) family of enzymes that are responsible for the oxidation of 5-mC to 5-hmC [27]. Moreover, plants can regulate the methylation level through demethylases of the DEMETER family such as those found in Arabidopsis [28]; and *M. truncatula* [29].

*Calph medic* (*M. truncatula*) is a model plant used in basic research to study different physiological processes in legumes [30] and can endure a moderate level of soil salinity [31]. The determination of specific methylomic markers linked to a salinity tolerance trait could help to create a saline-tolerant plant through conventional plant breeding programs, as well as through direct epigenetic molecular engineering tools [21]. Based on methylation-sensitive amplified polymorphism (MSAP) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) analyses [32], the mCG methylation levels in *M. truncatula* were substantially increased due to salinity stress [31]. However, the global methylation landscape, including the identity of the affected genes, the degree of methylation and the redistribution of each sequence context in the genome in response to salinity stress, were yet to be identified. The aim of this study was to construct a methylome map for *M. truncatula* and determine changes occur in the methylation status in response to salinity. Therefore, in this project, single-base resolution genome-wide mapping of cytosine methylation and an in silico functional analysis of the methylated genes of *M. truncatula* methylome were carried out using bisulfite combined with Illumina sequencing and computational technologies.

**Methods**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

The plants were grown in pots and treated with NaCl solution, as previously described [31]. Briefly, seeds of *Medicago truncatula* were surface-sterilized and planted in 5-L pots and kept in a greenhouse under natural light/dark conditions, and 30 and 25 °C during the day and night, respectively. When reached the pre-flowering mature growth stage (9 weeks post-sowing), the plants were irrigated for 1 week either with distilled water (control) or with 20 dS/m (204 mM) NaCl solution. DNA samples were separately extracted from a pool of roots of ten saline-treated and untreated (control) *M. truncatula* plants using the QIAGEN genomic DNA extraction kit, following the manufacturer’s protocol. Each pool of the DNA sample was considered as a single biological replicate which was used for WGBS following the previously implemented strategy in other plant species [20, 33, 34]. The quality and quantity of DNA were verified using the Qubit® method. The level of soil salinity was measured based on the saturated soil paste extract using an electrical conductivity (EC) meter.

**Methyl-maxiSeq™ library construction**

WGBS techniques were carried out as previously described [34]. The DNA libraries were constructed, sequenced and bioinformatically analyzed at the Zymo Research (ZR) Laboratories, California, USA, which was the service provider. Methyl-MaxiSeq™ libraries were prepared from 500 ng of genomic DNA digested with 2 units of ZR’s dsDNA Shearase™ Plus (Cat. number E2018–50). The fragments produced were end-blunted and 3’-terminal-A extended, then purified using the ZR DNA Clean & Concentrator™-5 kit (Cat. number D4003). The A-tailed fragments were ligated to pre-annealed adapters containing 5’-methyl-cytosine, rather than cytosine, and the adapter-ligated fragments were filled in. Bisulfite treatment of the fragments was performed using the EZ DNA Methylation-Lightning Kit (ZR, Cat. number D5030). PCR was carried out with Illumina TruSeq indices and the size and concentration of the fragments were confirmed on the Agilent 2200 TapeStation, then pair-end sequenced with 50 read length of each end using Illumina HiSeq 1500 platform. Each sequence pair was separately aligned and then the alignment files were merged for each read. This alignment method was chosen because for Methyl-maxiSeq™ samples, single-end alignment gives slightly better results than paired-end.
Methyl-maxiSeq™ sequence alignments and data analysis

The sequence reads from bisulfite-treated EpiQuest libraries were identified using standard Illumina base-calling software and then analyzed using a ZR proprietary analysis pipeline, written in Python and using Bismark v 0.14.3 [35] as the alignment software for analysis. Index files were constructed by a bismark_genome_preparation command using the entire M. truncatula as a reference genome (medTrv.4.0v2) and available at (http://jcvi.org/medicago) website. After removal of the duplicate reads, unique best alignments were retained and the methylation ratios from the alignment file across the gene and the promoter regions were calculated. The Bismark v0.14.3 with bowtie 1 (bt1) software was used to align and calculate the algorithm for the methylation ratios from the alignment file across the gene and the promoter regions. In this analysis, the methylation ratio was defined as the measured number of 5-mCs divided by the total number of cytosines covered at the particular site. In general, sites were categorized as hypermethylated if a site within the genome of the salinity-treated plant was significantly \( p < 0.05 \) more methylated than the control, and were categorized as hypomethylated if a site within the genome of the salinity-treated plant was significantly less methylated than the control.

The promoter and gene regions were defined as those respectively plus and minus 3 Kb from the transcription start site (TSS). This region included the transcription end sites (TES). The gene database and gene feature boundaries were obtained from the Gramene resources [36] available at (http://www.gramene.org/). Non-directionality and other default parameters were applied while running Bismark. The methylation level of each sampled cytosine was estimated as the number of reads reporting a C, divided by the total number of reads reporting a C or T. The level of DNA methylation across the genes was profiled. The methylation ratios were plotted against gene structural features, including the promoter, gene body and flanking regions, which include TSS, TES, and 3 Kb up- and down-stream regions. Fisher’s exact test was performed for each cytosine with a minimum coverage of five aligned sequence reads based on \( p < 0.05 \). Only significant \( p < 0.05 \), \( FDR < 0.05 \) differentially methylated sites (DMSs) were considered for subsequent analysis. Hypo/hypermethylation status was assigned to each DMS based on the difference in the methylation ratio between the two samples. If the methylation ratio of a DMS of the control sample was higher than the methylation ratio of the same DMS of the NaCl-treated sample then the DMS was considered as a hypermethylated site in response to salinity treatment. However, if the methylation ratio of a DMS of the control sample was lower than the methylation ratio of the same DMS of the NaCl-treated sample then the DMS was considered as a hypomethylated region.

The change in methylation level of each DMS for each sequence contexts was calculated separately based on the following formula: The methylation ratio of the control sample (C) was subtracted from the methylation ratio of the NaCl-treated sample (T) and the resulted number was divided by the methylation ratio of the control sample (C), \( \frac{(T–C)}{C} \). Subsequently, the average change in methylation levels of all DMSs were collectively calculated for each sequence contexts.

Gene ontologies and annotations

Strongly methylated genes were used in this analysis. The top 2000 sites with the highest methylation changes including strongly hyper- or hypomethylated at the mCG, mCHG and mCHH sites were functionally annotated based on the similarity of the protein-coding mRNA sequence to other proteins available in the GenBank databases. The protein sequences were classified based on biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions using the Blast2GO PRO software package [37]. Differential functional enrichment analysis between the hyper- and hypomethylation for a particular site (mCG, mCHG or mCHH) were identified using Fisher’s exact test and based on \( p \leq 0.001 \). Mapping of the coding protein sequences within the metabolic pathways was carried out using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [38] tools, implemented within the Blast2GO PRO software.

Identification of differentially methylated regions (DMRs)

Pairwise comparison of the methylation profiles for the control and treated samples was carried out for different annotated gene regions. The DMRs were calculated by subtracting the methylation ratio of a region within the genome of the control sample from the methylation ratio of the same region within the genome of the salinity treated sample. If the calculated value was positive, then the DMR was considered as hypermethylated. However, if the calculated value was negative, then the DMR was considered as hypomethylated. In this analysis, a 50-bp sliding window was used to identify the DMRs between the control and the salinity-treated samples. The comparison was done for the three methylated sequence contexts (mCG, mCHG or mCHH). Significantly methylated regions \( p < 0.001 \), \( FDR \leq 0.05 \) were selected for further analysis. The circos software [39] was used to construct the chromosomes Circos plots.
Global DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation analysis using mass spectrometry

An aliquot of 400 ng of each genomic DNA sample extracted from the root tissues of the saline-treated and control plants was digested to single nucleotides using DNA Degradase Plus (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). A selected reaction monitoring (SRM)-based mass spectrometry assay was used to quantify 5-hydroxymethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (5HmdC) and 5-methyl-2′-deoxycytidine (5mC). The assay was designed to measure concentrations of 5HmdC and 5mC as a percentage of 2′-deoxyguanosine (dG). The calibrated ranges for the components were 0–7.5% for 5HmdC and 0–75% for 5mC using a fixed 40 pmol amount of dG as an internal standard, as previously described [40]. Both calibration curves had an r² value greater than 0.9997. Replicates for the unknown samples were run in triplicate and followed by a blank to eliminate carryover into the next unknown run. Samples were gradient eluted from a 2.1 × 100 mm Hypersil GOLD aQ C18 reversed-phase column with a guard cartridge, using an Accela 600 quaternary pump at 250 µl/min and a column temperature of 40 °C. The analysis was conducted on a Thermo Scientific TSQ Vantage Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer; previously determined transitions at 141.93 m/z for 5HmdC, 126.10 m/z for 5mC and 152.3 m/z for dG were monitored, with dwell times of 0.375 s each and scan widths of 0.002 m/z. The collisional gas pressure was set at 1.2 mTorr. The raw data were processed and evaluated within the Xcalibur Qual Browser using ICIS peak detection. Baseline windows of 30 units were used for 5mC and 5HmdC, while windows of 40 units were used for dG. The peak detection was set for the highest peak option and the minimum signal-to-noise ratio for all analytes was 3.0.

cDNA synthesis and quantitative real time PCR (qPCR)

The expression levels of selected genes of potential importance in salinity tolerance mechanisms were investigated using the qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from the three biological replicates of root tissues of NaCl-treated and control plants using the QIAGEN Plant RNA Extraction Kit. Each biological replicate was composed of a pool of 10 plants. Genomic and organelle DNAs were eliminated from the total RNA using the on-column DNA digestion method and the QIAGEN RNase-free DNase according to manufacturer’s instructions. In addition to the Nanodrop spectrophotometry, the quality and the quantity of the RNA were checked using 1% TAE agarose gel electrophoresis. The 5 µL RNA was converted to cDNA using the SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System kit (Invitrogen, USA) in a 20 µL reaction, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Specific primers were designed using the Primer Express 3.0.1 software (Applied Biosystem, USA), using default parameters: optimum primer length 20 bases, minimum length nine bases and maximum length 40 bases; maximum melting temperature 60 °C and minimum 58 °C; maximum amplicon length 150 bp and minimum 50 bp; maximum GC content 80% and minimum 30%. The qPCR reaction was carried out using the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem, USA) in a total volume of 10 µL per reaction containing 5 µL Fast SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystem, USA), 0.1 µL of each primer (100 pmol/µL) (Additional file 1: Table S1), 2 µL diluted cDNA and 2.8 µL nuclease-free water. The reaction conditions used for the qPCR reaction were as follows: 95 °C for 20 s followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 30 s. The qPCR was carried out using the MIQE guidelines [41]. The 2−ΔΔCT [42] method was used to calculate the gene expression, while actin11 (GenBank accession number TC85697) and O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase (also called secret agent) (GenBank accession number TC77416) genes were used as the reference genes for normalization of gene expression as previously used [43, 44]. To determine the statistical significance of the gene expression ratios, the qPCR data was analyzed using the online statistical analysis tool BootstRatio [45].

Results

WGBS and the next-generation sequencing platform

Global bisulfite conversion was employed together with the next-generation sequencing method to detect the single-based resolution and relative amount of 5-mCs changes across the genome of M. truncatula when grown under control and saline conditions. The analysis resulted in a total of 637,685,284 read pairs and 17,426,891 unique mCGs obtained from sequencing DNA samples extracted from root tissues of the control and salinity-treated plants. The reads sequenced from the control and salinity-treated plants were mapped onto the genome with an efficiency of 26% and 21% for the DNA extracted from the control and salinity-treated plants, respectively (Table 1).

Differential methylation status of the mCG, mCHG and mCHH sites

Genome-wide analysis of the 5-mCs was screened and scored based on the methylation ratios. The sequence analysis revealed the presence of 49,630,860 methylated sites, where 14.9% of these were significantly (p < 0.05, FDR < 0.05) altered in response to salinity stress. The analysis also showed that three-quarters of the identified context sequences were mCHH, while the rest were either mCHG or mCHH (Fig. 1a). The statistical analysis showed that the majority (77.0%) of the significantly (p < 0.05, FDR < 0.05) methylated sites were mCHH, while only 9.1% of sites were mCG and 13.9% were mCHG (Fig. 1b). Regardless of the methylated sequence context, the DMSs showed
an increase in methylation levels due to salinity treatment. This increase ranged between 3.8 to 10.2% in the methylation level of the significantly \((p < 0.05, \text{FDR} < 0.05)\) DMSs which were covered with at least five reads, due to salinity (Table 2).

To obtain information about the identity of the strongly methylated/demethylated genes to a significant level \((p < 0.05, \text{FDR} < 0.05)\) due to salinity treatment, the uppermost hyper- and hypomethylated DMSs (top 100 or 2000) within the genome of the control and the NaCl-treated plants of each specific context (mCG, mCHG, mCHH) were selected for further analysis.

**DNA methylation profile of functional genes, promoters and chromosomes**

An overview of the DNA methylation levels using box plots showed that the methylation ratio at the mCG was the highest among the other methylated sequence contexts (Fig. 2). A comparative look at that level revealed that the mCG methylation ratio was higher at the coding regions than the promoters (Fig. 2a). However, the methylation levels of the mCHG at the coding regions were lower than those found in the promoters (Fig. 2b). A similar situation was also found when mCHH was profiled (Fig. 2c). It was observed that the methylation ratios at the mCG of the promoters and the coding regions were slightly reduced in response to salinity (Fig. 2a). This minor reduction in response to salinity was also observed in the mCHG and the mCHH of the promoter region (Fig. 2b and c).

The level of the 5-mCs within the putative functional genes and their promoters was collectively assessed in the genome extracted from NaCl-treated and control root tissues. The DNA methylation profile showed that the NaCl treatment slightly decreased the mCG and mCHG methylation levels at the promoters and transcript regions, yet this decline was more obvious at the mCHH sites (Fig. 3). The results also showed that the DNA methylation level of the mCG was the highest among the sequence contexts, followed by mCHG and then mCHH. The methylation profile of the promoter regions (upstream from the TSS) revealed that the DNA methylation for the mCG sequence context was relatively high, and this level was consistent with the flanked region of the transcript, yet this level decreased in the center of the transcript before incrementally increasing to the topmost level in the region downstream from the TES region (Fig. 3a). In comparison with the transcript region, the promoter regions at the mCHG and mCHH sites were relatively hypomethylated, yet the level of DNA methylation dramatically increased at the TSS region and subsequently declined in the rest of the transcript and toward the downstream regions of the TES (Fig. 3b and c).

In order to determine the distribution of the 5-mCs across the *M. truncatula* genome and the effect of the salinity treatment on the level of DNA methylation, mCG, mCHG and mCHH sequence contexts of the eight chromosomes were estimated based on the ratio of reads reported as 5-mCs. The results showed that mCG

---

**Table 1** Bisulfite sequencing results in read pairs (RP) from the DNA extracted from the roots of *M. truncatula*, grown under control or saline conditions

|                      | Total RP | Unique mCGs | Unique mCHGs | Unique mCHHs | Mapping efficiency |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Control              | 318,711,344 | 8,937,395  | 10,917,437  | 73,555,016  | 26%               |
| NaCl-Treated         | 318,973,940 | 8,489,496  | 10,320,643  | 69,069,219  | 21%               |
| Total                | 637,685,284 | 17,426,891 | 21,238,080  | 142,624,235 |                   |

**Fig. 1** The percentage of the total methylated sequence of each context (a) and the percentage of the significantly \((p < 0.05)\) methylated sequence of each context obtained in this study (b).
acquired the highest level of methylation, while mCHH showed the lowest methylation level among the sequence contexts as indicated by the heights of the peaks in the scatterplot. The whole chromosome plot of the mCG and mCHG sites also revealed that the level of DNA methylation reached the maximum level around the center of each chromosome however, mCHH showed an almost constant level of methylation across the chromosomes. In addition, potentially telomere region of chromosome six and seven showed relatively higher levels of mCG and mCHG methylation than the other chromosomes (Fig. 4). In this analysis, pairwise comparison of the methylation profiles did not show clear differences in the methylation levels between salin-treated and control samples at the chromosomal level.

Circos plot demonstration of the hypo and hypermethylated mCG, mCHG and mCHH regions within the exons, introns and promoters of the eight chromosomes showed that the mCG methylation was distributed at the highest densities and peaks heights among the other sequence contexts followed by mCHG (Fig. 5). The circos plot showed also that the number of the strongly methylated DMRs localized within promoters was lower than those regions found in the exons and the intron regions (Fig. 5). Indeed, the sequence analysis of the DMRs showed that the number of strongly methylated mCG regions was higher than the regions embraced other sequence contexts (Table 3). The analysis also showed that while the effect of salinity on the number of DMRs varies based on the sequence contexts within the gene, the number of strongly DMRs within the promoter was slightly changed in response to salinity regardless to the sequence contexts (Table 3).

Since global methylation analysis of the genes and promoter region is unlikely to reveal a particular relationship between salinity treatment and DNA methylation changes, two groups of genes embraced highly DMSs (top 100 or 2000) were further investigated.

**Cluster analysis of the top 100 methylated sites**

Since highly methylated sites are potentially important in several cellular transcriptomic activities, the top 100 differentially methylated mCG, mCHG and mCHH sites identified from DNA extracted from the control and treated plants were clustered based on methylation profile similarity. The dendrograms of hierarchical clustering showed the two DNA samples had a different DNA methylation pattern at the mCG, mCHG and mCHH sites. While the majority of mCG and mCHG sites were significantly ($p < 0.05$, FDR < 0.05) hypermethylated in the genome extracted from plants grown under control conditions, the majority of the mCHH sites were hypermethylated in the genome extracted from plants exposed to salinity stress (Additional file 2: Figure S1).

**Distribution of 5-mCs among the different gene features in the top 2000 DMSs**

In this study, an increase in the methylation due to salinity was considered as a hypermethylation situation. The top 2000 DMSs of each sequence context (mCG, mCHG and mCHH) located within the different annotated gene features (promoter, exon and intron) were classified based on their methylation status. The results revealed that the mCHH context was the main methylated site within the promoters of the genes when plants were grown under normal conditions, while the mCG context was the main in the same region for those plants grown under salinity stress (Fig. 6). The methylation context mCG occupied the highest percentage among the contexts found in the exons of the DNA when plants were grown under normal conditions, while the methylated mCHG and mCHH contexts occupied the highest percentages among the contexts found in the exon regions in when exposed to salinity. Regardless of the salinity treatment, the intron regions were enriched with mCHG sites (Fig. 6).

It was observed that only 1% or less of the studied genes of different predicted functions were covered by 5-mCs throughout the entire gene structures (Fig. 7). However, for the majority of the annotated genes, the methylation level and distribution varied among the gene structures and methylation contexts. For example, 18.2% of the hypomethylated mCG sites were located within the promoter, 33.6% within the exon and 25.2% within the intron region. Meanwhile, the position of 25.9% of the hypermethylated mCG was found within

### Table 2: A summary of the methylome data obtained from genome-wide profiling. Total number of methylated sites in control and salinity-treated samples including those of insignificantly abundance changes (a), and significantly abundance changes (b) based on $p < 0.05$, FDR < 0.05

| Methylation context | Total number of 5-mCs in control sample | Hypermethylated 5-mCs in NaCl-treated sample | Total number of DMSs | Average change in methylation level (%) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|
| mCG                 | 5,751,299                              | 497,714                                     | 298,540              | 796,254                                | 3.8 |
| mCHG                | 6,590,349                              | 562,527                                     | 483,057              | 1,045,584                               | 8.9 |
| mCHH                | 37,289,212                             | 3,103,541                                   | 2,693,344            | 5,796,885                               | 10.2 |
| Total               | 49,630,860                             | 4,163,782                                   | 3,474,941            | 7,638,723                               | 10.2 |

Since highly methylated sites are potentially important in several cellular transcriptomic activities, the top 100 differentially methylated mCG, mCHG and mCHH sites

...
Fig. 2 Box plots display the overall methylation level of significantly ($p < 0.05$, FDR $\leq 0.05$) methylated sites measured as methylation ratios among promoter (left panel) and coding regions (right panel), under control (Con) and salinity stress conditions (Tr). mCGs are shown in a, mCHGs in b, and mCHHs in c. A line within the bars represents the median.

Fig. 3 The level of DNA methylation profiles across the gene features including the promoter, gene body and flanking regions, which include transcription start site (TSS), transcription end site (TES), and 3 Kb up- and down-stream regions. DNA methylation at the mCG, mCHG, and mCHH sites are shown in panels a, b, and c, respectively.
the promoter, 17.6% within the exon and 11.2% within the intron regions; 35.5% of the sites were hypermethylated in both the promoter and exon regions (Fig. 7a). On the other hand, 27.8% of the annotated genes were hypomethylated at the mCHG site located within the promoter, 19% within the exon and 26% within the intron region. However, 38.4% of the genes were hypermethylated in the exon region in response to salinity stress (Fig. 7b). Interestingly, the highest percentage of hypomethylated promoter regions was within the mCHH context, where it accounted for 38.3% of the studied genes (Fig. 7c). In general, at the promoter regions, a high percentage of genes were hypermethylated in the mCG context in response to salinity stress, while the mCHH and mCHG contexts were relatively hypomethylated under the same conditions (Fig. 7).

**Functional annotation of the top 2000 methylated sites**

To obtain a comprehensive account of the function of those genes that were significantly altered by DNA methylation when *M. truncatula* roots were exposed to salinity stress, the function of those genes that included any of the top 2000 DMSs was predicted based on the homology of their coding DNA sequence with those proteins available in public databases. The functional annotation results showed that most of the coding genes belonged to the functions of metabolism, oxidation-reduction and regulation of the transcription process (Additional file 3: Figure S2), and were involved in integral components of the membrane, nucleus, plasma and vacuolar membranes and endoplasmic reticulum (Additional file 4: Figure S3), mainly functioning in cellular protein, ion, ATP and nucleic acid-binding activities (Additional file 5: Figure S4).

To differentiate between the abundance of ontology terms among the hyper- and hypomethylated genes when plants were grown under saline conditions, a differential enrichment analysis was carried out based on Fisher’s exact test, with $p \leq 0.001$. This analysis was carried out separately for each of the mCG, mCHG and mCHH methylation contexts. The results showed that hypomethylated mCG was enriched in all top-scored ontology categories except oxidoreductase activity (Fig. 8a); hypomethylated mCHG was enriched in the majority of the gene ontologies except DNA recombination and response to organonitrogen compound catabolic, hydrogen peroxide metabolic and reactive oxygen species metabolic processes (Fig. 8b). However, hypermethylated mCHH was enriched in the majority of the gene ontologies except protein catabolic, terpenoid biosynthetic and cellular protein catabolic processes, and the mitochondrial protein complex cellular component (Fig. 8c).
Functional annotation analysis of the significantly methylated sequences showed that these genes encoded 1511 enzymes, including 532, 540 and 439 enzymes, mCG, mCHG and mCHH, respectively (Additional file 6: Tables S2, Additional file 7: Tables S3, Additional file 8: Tables S4). Classification of the differentially methylated genes based on enzyme categories revealed these genes belonged to the hydrolase, isomerase, ligase, lyase, oxidoreductase and transferase enzyme classes (Additional file 9: Figure S5). Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis (both lacto and neo-lacto) and polyketide sugar unit biosynthesis were among the pathways specifically found in genes embracing mCG (Additional file 6: Table S2); lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, D-Alanine, D-Arginine and D-Ornithine metabolisms were among those pathways specifically found in genes embracing mCHG (Additional file 7: Table S3); and glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis (chondroitin sulfate/dermatan sulfate) and lipoic acid metabolism were among those pathways specifically found in genes embracing mCHH (Additional file 8: Table S4). The functional annotation of genes simultaneously harboring DMSs across their promoter, exon and intron regions demonstrated that these genes were coding for protein families of a function unrelated to salinity tolerance in plants. However, the functional annotation of the coding regions of the DMSs of all sequence contexts located in at least one of the gene regions demonstrated that these genes were coding for protein families of a function unrelated to salinity tolerance in plants. However, the functional annotation of the coding regions of the DMSs of all sequence contexts located in at least one of the gene regions revealed the presence of various deduced amino acid sequences, with a potential function in salinity tolerance, such as ion transporters and antiporters [2], abscisic acid (ABA) and proline regulators and producers [46] (Additional file 10: Table S5, Additional file 11: Table S6 and Additional file 12: Table S7).

DNA methylation at mCG sites on the promoter region did not consistently affect gene expression levels

In order to determine the relationship between mCG methylation at different regions (promoter, exon and intron) and the transcriptome abundance of some salinity-related genes, the expression level of a group of genes was investigated using qPCR. The differentially methylated mCG sites were chosen for this analysis because they have the highest level of methylation among the sequence context sites and, therefore, they may display a clear effect on gene expression. However, the qPCR results did not reveal an unblemished relationship between the level of DNA methylation and gene expression (Fig. 9). Apart from the methylation within the exons, which frequently shows an indeterminate effect on gene expression, promoters with strongly methylated
sites usually show a clear effect on gene expression. While the expression level increased in some hypomethylated sites within the promoters, the expression level also increased in other hypermethylated sites of other promoters. As an example, the expression level increased in the hypermethylated basic-region leucine zipper motif (bZIP) transcription factors-like gene (Fig. 9a), and also increased in the hypomethylated bZIP gene (Fig. 9b). However, it was also noted that the expression level of LEA and AP2/ERF genes was significantly (p < 0.05) reduced due to the increase in the mCG methylation in their promoter regions, an observation which was consistent with the typical gene expression inhibition feature of methylated promoters in eukaryotes. Therefore, it was difficult to generate a clear idea about the effect of the DNA methylation on gene expression using the available set of data of this study because the possible involvement of other epigenetic agents that can control gene expression under stress conditions.

Mass spectrometry analysis revealed an increase in global DNA methylation levels in roots exposed to salinity

A pool of DNA samples extracted from the root tissues of saline-treated and control plants was analyzed separately for the relative content of 5mC and 5HmC using mass spectrometry. While the 5HmC levels were undetectable in the DNA samples, analysis showed that DNA extracted from the control roots contained an average amount of 12.9 ± 0.05% (mean ± SD) of 5mC; however, the same analysis showed that the DNA extracted from the saline-treated roots contained an average amount of 15.4 ± 0.5% (mean ± SD) of 5mC. Therefore, there was a significant (p ≤ 0.05) increase of about 19.4% in 5mC in the genomic DNA extracted from roots of the plants exposed to salinity.

**Discussion**

DNA methylation is part of the stress reaction that takes place in plants in response to suboptimal environmental conditions. The effects of methylation change in the genome under stress conditions may provide insights into the mechanism of DNA methylation in stress response. The changes in DNA methylation levels observed in this study suggest that DNA methylation may play a role in the stress response of plants. The data also indicate that the methylation levels of different DNA sequences may vary under different environmental conditions, which may provide insights into the mechanism of DNA methylation in stress response. Therefore, further studies are needed to understand the relationship between DNA methylation and stress response in plants.
conditions [47]. We previously showed that salinity stress increases global DNA methylation at the mCG site in *M. truncatula*; however, little information was provided regarding the identity of the methylated genes in response to salinity stress [31]. Using several different methods to detect the methylation dynamics provides a comprehensive impression of these changes in *M. truncatula*, as each methodology generates a different type of knowledge and has its own technical limitations in determining the DNA methylation alterations that

![Fig. 7 Pie distribution of the DMSs among the gene features of the top 2000 altered genes by methylation for the mCG (a), mCHG (b) and mCHH (c) sequence contexts](image)
occur in the cell due to salinity stress. For example, MSAP can determine the majority of the DNA changes that take place in the double strand CCGG (mCG) site, while WGBS can finely map and quantify the methylation changes at an unbiased genome-wide DNA level in the mCHH, mCHG and mCG sites, and global DNA analysis using mass spectrometry quantifies the lump sum 5mC and 5HmC of a particular genome.

The WGBS results obtained from this report are consistent with the fact that the bisulfite conversion reduces...
the complexity of the genomic sequence and therefore reduces the ability of most computational programs to align sequences onto the reference genome. For instance, while native DNA sequencing for humans typically aligns at 90–95%, bisulfite-converted DNA typically has a mapping efficiency closer to 30–50%, depending on the template [48]. Additionally, the alignment is only as good as the reference genome and the quality of the mapped reads. The *M. truncatula* reference genome has not been revised and, thus, there may still be many gaps or undetermined regions in the genome, which negatively affects the mapping efficiency. mCG coverage is approximately what we would expect for this type of project, at an average of 15X and 18X per site for the control and NaCl-treated samples, respectively. Despite this difference in coverage, there are over 5.7 million mCG sites that were shared between the samples (Table 1).

In the WGBS analysis, DNA was separately extracted from a pool of ten treated and untreated plants. Each pool was considered as a single biological replicate which was used in this analysis. The strategy used in this report is valid and has previously been employed by other WGBS projects focused on plant species [20, 33]. Fortunately, the results obtained from WGBS and the global quantification of 5-mCs obtained using mass spectrometry of *M. truncatula* in response to salinity stress were consistent with those results previously obtained using other DNA methylation detection methods such as MSAP and ELISA, in which several biological and experimental replicates were used [31].

The 5-mC percentage varies based on genotype, tissue, developmental stage and environmental conditions. Unsurprisingly, the 5-mC levels of *M. truncatula* measured by mass spectrometry are within the known ranges of other plant species, which usually fluctuate between 5% and 30%.

![Fig. 9](image_url)

**Fig. 9** The expression level measured using qPCR of differentially hypermethylated (a) and hypomethylated (b) genes for the mCG context sequence located within the promoter (P), exon (E) or intron (I) due to salinity stress. Bars represent mean ± SE (n = 3). Significant (p < 0.05) differences are denoted by asterisk.
of total cytosines [49, 50]. For example, mass spectrometry analysis of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf samples grown under control environmental conditions revealed that 14.0% of total cytosines were methylated [51].

The mass spectrometry analysis did not reveal a detectable amount of 5HmdC in *M. truncatula*. Indeed, 5HmdC was not previously detected in remarkable amounts in terrestrial plants [27]; however, 5HmdC was detected in significant amounts in other eukaryotic systems. While mammalian cells contained significant amounts of 5HmdC coding for important epigenetic activities [52, 53], the trace amounts of 5HmdC that were previously identified in the Arabidopsis genome could be due to the random oxygen species’ reactivity with 5-mCs, rather than due to a programmed epigenetic regulation [54].

Regardless of plant conditions, the WGBS analysis revealed that the number of the mCHH is highest among the methylated sequence contexts found in the *M. truncatula* genome (Fig. 1, Table 2). Despite the fact that the methylation pattern is conserved among eukaryotes, new studies showed that this conservation is not strict for whole species and tissue types. For example, the relatively abundant proportion of the different methylated sequence contexts in *M. truncatula* was not consistent with the methylome analysis of Arabidopsis, rice or maize [55–57]. However, this relative abundance is consistent with the methylome analysis of *Betula platyphylla* [58].

Global analysis of the chromosomes and the gene body methylation levels of the 5-mC showed that the mCG and mCHG sequence contexts had a higher ratio of methylation than the mCHH, but these methylation levels slightly reduced only at the gene body level in all sequence contexts in response to salinity treatment (Fig. 3). Given the idea that a minor reduction in DNA methylation at promoter regions can increase the gene activity, this reduction in DNA methylation may represent a slight overall increase in gene expression, which might be required by plants to deal with salinity stress. While salinity treatment did not demonstrate an effect on DNA methylation at the chromosome level, the level of mCG and mCHH did demonstrate fluctuation along the chromosomes (Fig. 4), though this is quite normal for the chromosomes. For example, the presence of a high level of DNA methylation in the putative centromere and pericentromere regions of the chromosomes is consistent with other plant species, such as Arabidopsis [14, 59] and black cottonwood [60]. A large number of genes within these regions are likely to be hypermethylated and transcriptionally silent [61, 62].

Despite the fact that the mCHH numbers were the highest among the methylated sequence contexts, the level of methylation for this sequence context was lowest at the chromosomal and gene body levels (Figs. 4 and 5). The reason for the large mCHH numbers could be related to the high probability of occurrence in the genome of the *M. truncatula* due to the redundancy of the asymmetric CHH motif sequence. However, the methylation in that site is generally less stable, perhaps due to the differences in the methylation maintenance mechanisms for different sequence contexts [63, 64].

DNA methylation is often associated with gene expression alterations. However, these alterations are influenced by the location of the methylated region across the gene structures. While hypermethylation at the mCG sites in promoter regions are known as gene silencing marks in eukaryotes, the mCHH sequence contexts are associated with the enrichment of the 24-nt small RNA species (sRNAs) and gene expression [13, 65]. Unlike the exon region, which was enriched with methylated mCG due to salinity stress, the promoter region was enriched with mCHH in *M. truncatula*, based on the analysis of the top 2000 DMSs sites. The hypermethylation of mCHH may have an impact on the regulation of salinity-responsive genes; however, no expression information is available in this particular project to correlate mCHH with the transcription abundance. Indeed, previous studies revealed that methylated mCHH in the maize genome is associated with gene expression [20, 66]. In addition, a fluctuation in the methylation status was observed at the mCHH sites of the promoter sequence of the *ETHYLENE RESPONSIVE FACTOR 6, SUPPRESSION OF RVS 161 DELTA 4 and 3-KETOACYL-COA SYNTHASE 13* genes, which control cotton fiber growth [67]. On the other hand, gene expression analysis of methylated genes on mCG sites of the promoters and exons did not show a clear correlation between methylation status and transcriptome abundance (Fig. 9). This could be due to inappropriate sites of methylation within the promoter, which may not affect the affinity of the transcription factor to the binding site. Nevertheless, a recent study showed that methylation of only certain transcription factor binding sites may block transcription factor binding and, therefore, this aspect should not be considered as a general regulatory mechanism of gene expression, at least in human cells [68]. In addition, under stress conditions, it is likely that other epigenetic controllers may involve that may affect the expression level of certain genes [23, 47].

Salinity stress tolerance in plants is controlled by various mechanisms, which are each regulated by a set of genes with a specific function. High percentages of the gene annotation terms of the cDNA included DMSs of the three sequence contexts belonged to energy production, ion binding and membrane protein categories (Additional file 3: Figure S2, Additional file 4: Figure S3, and Additional file 5: Figure S4). This may imply the involvement of these genes in ion binding and transportation procedures, which usually characterize salinity tolerance mechanisms in plants. The enrichment analysis of the top
2000 methylated genes revealed that the majority of the gene ontology terms of mCG DMSs were overrepresented in hypomethylated genes, indicating that salinity stress inhibited mCG methylation in this group of genes, whereas the opposite situation was observed when mCHH DMSs were tested (Fig. 8). However, the gene ontology terms of the mCHG DMSs were enriched with various gene categories, whether the plants grew under control or saline conditions. Therefore, mCHG showed less gene-methylation specificity in response to salinity stress than the other sequence contexts.

Salinity stress notably increased the mCG methylation of other plant species, such as somatically hybridized wheat [69] and some rice genotypes [70]. However, their contribution to gene activity in salinity tolerance mechanisms is yet to be elucidated. Despite the fact that DNA methylation has some common pattern of distribution and function among the genomes of the plant species, its action may significantly vary among species, and even among the different cultivars of the same species, in response to an environmental stimulus [65].

Purine and thiamine metabolisms and flavonoid biosynthesis were among the pathways enriched with enzymes harboring the DMSs. Given that the expression level may have been influenced by the methylation status, this result is unsurprising since these primary metabolisms have been involved in the salinity tolerance mechanisms of other plant species [71–74]. Nucleotide metabolism in plants is notably affected by environmental stresses, including salinity. However, degraded nucleotide synthesis is recycled via alternative pathways, which requires additional enzymes [75]. Thiamine and flavonoids may act as antioxidant agents in plants grown under salinity conditions [73, 76]. Accordingly, it has been previously shown that the overexpression of thiamine synthesis genes or an application of thiamin on Arabidopsis enhanced salinity stress tolerance [72, 73]. In addition, a number of genes involved in the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway differentially accumulated in rice due to salinity stress [77, 78].

Conclusions
The results obtained from this report show that salinity promotes global DNA methylation and highlighted, for the first time in M. truncatula, the divergent effects of salinity on DNA methylation at base-resolution levels. While the number of the mCG sites was the least and the number of the mCHH sites was the most among the 5-mC identified in the M. truncatula genome, the level of the methylation was inversely correlated with the number of each 5-mC sequence context found within the genome. Additionally, the current study showed that the correlations between the expression levels of some potentially important genes in salinity tolerance mechanisms and the changes in the methylation ratio of mCG in response to salinity are imperceptible. Nevertheless, these observations call into question about the actual role of DNA methylation alterations in modulating gene expression under stress conditions however, precise identification of this role requires further intensive investigation, which may eventually aid in the understanding of the complexity of the salinity tolerance mechanisms of this plant.
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