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Abstract

The Sturm–Liouville equation is among the significant differential equations having many applications, and a lot of researchers have studied it. Up to now, different versions of this equation have been reviewed, but one of its most attractive versions is its strong singular version. In this work, we investigate the existence of solutions for the strong singular version of the fractional Sturm–Liouville differential equation with multi-points integral boundary conditions. Also, the continuity depending on coefficients of the initial condition of the equation is examined. An example is proposed to demonstrate our main result.
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1 Preliminaries

Although there are many different works in the field of fractional calculus via many applications (see, for example, [1–12]), some researchers like to focus on some famous differential equations. One of the well-known differential equations is the Sturm–Liouville, and so far many researchers have studied the equation. Up to now, distinct fractional differential equations and especially different versions of the Sturm–Liouville equation have been reviewed (see, for example, [13–28]). On the other hand, some phenomena could be described by singular differential equations. For this reason, some researchers have tried to study different singular equations.

In 2015, the fractional problem \( {}^cD^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), D^\beta x(t)) \) with boundary value conditions \( x(0) + x'(0) = y(x), \int_0^1 x(t) \, dt = m \) and \( x''(0) = x'''(0) = \cdots = x^{(m-1)}(0) = 0 \) was investigated, where \( 0 < t < 1, \ m \) is a real number, \( \alpha \geq 2, \ n \in (n - 1, n), \ 0 < \beta < 1, {}^cD^\alpha \) and \( D^\beta \) are the Caputo fractional derivatives, \( y \in C([0, 1]) \times \mathbb{R} \) and \( f : (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous with \( f(t, x, y) \) may be singular at \( t = 0 \) [29]. In 2019, the fractional Sturm–Liouville differential equation \( {}^cD^\alpha (\rho(t)D^\beta y(t)) + \theta(t)y(t) = h(t)k(y(t)) \) with boundary conditions \( y'(0) = 0 \) and \( \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \xi_k y(a_k) = y \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_k y(b_k) \) was considered, where \( \alpha \in (0, 1], \ \rho(t) \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R}), \ \theta(t) \) and \( h(t) \) are absolute continuous functions on \( J = [0, T], \ T < \infty \) with \( \rho(t) \neq 0 \) for all \( t \in J\); \( k(y(t)) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is defined and differentiable on the interval \( J, 0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_{m} < c, \ d \leq b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_{n} \leq T \) and \( \xi_k, \eta_k \) and \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \) [14]. The hybrid version of this problem has...
been studied recently in [13]. From the background of the research, it became clear to us that there are different methods for solving weakly singular equations, but generally these methods are not able to solve the strongly singular case (see [30,31]). Thus, it is very important to study the strong singular fractional differential equations with new techniques [32]. Therefore, considering the existing gap, we intend to introduce a new method for solving strongly singular equations in this research, which has not been presented so far. Regarding the main idea of the works, we examine the existence of solutions for the strong singular pointwisely defined fractional Sturm–Liouville differential equation

\[ D^\alpha (p(t)D^\beta \upsilon(t)) + q(t)\upsilon(t) = h(t)f(\upsilon(t)) \]  

(1)

with boundary conditions \( \upsilon^{(i)}(0) = D^{(m-i)}\upsilon(0) = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq n - 1, \ 0 \leq i \leq k - 1, \) and \( \alpha \geq 1, \ \beta \in (k - 1, k], \ \mu, a, \lambda, p_i \in [0, 1], \ a, \lambda, p_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mu, a, \lambda, p_i \in [0, 1], \) and \( \mu, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are singular at some points \([0, 1], \) \( p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is \( n - 1 \) times differentiable and can be zero at some points in \([0, 1], \) \( D^\beta \) is the Caputo derivative of fractional order \( \beta, \) and \( T^{\beta\alpha} \) is the Riemann–Liouville integral of fractional order \( p_i. \)

By carefully checking the used techniques in related works, we find that equation (1) is singular at \( t_0 \in [0, 1] \) whenever \( p(t_0) = 0 \) or \( q \) or \( h \) is singular at the point \( t_0. \) Problem (1) is strongly singular at the point \( t_0 \) whenever at least one of the functions \( \frac{1}{p(t_0)} \) or \( q(t) \) or \( h(t) \) is singular at the point \( t_0, \) but is not integrable on the interval \([0, 1]. \) In this article, we use \( \| \cdot \|_1 \) for the norm of \( L^1[0, 1] \) and \( \| \cdot \| \) for the sup norm of \( X = C[0, 1]. \)

The Riemann–Liouville integral of fractional order \( \upsilon \) with the lower limit \( s \geq 0 \) for a function \( g : (s, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is defined by \( I^\upsilon_s g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_s^t (t - \zeta)^{\upsilon-1} g(\zeta) d\zeta \) provided that the right-hand side is pointwisely defined on \((s, \infty). \) We denote \( I^\upsilon g(t) \) for \( I^\upsilon_0 g(t) \) [33]. Also, the Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha > 0 \) of the function \( g \) is defined by \( ^{c}D^\alpha g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-m)} \int_0^t \frac{g^{(m)}(\xi)}{(t-\xi)^{\alpha-m}} d\xi, \) where \( m = [q] + 1 [33]. \) We need the following two statements to prove our main results.

**Lemma 1.1** ([34]) Let \( m - 1 < \sigma \leq m \) and \( \upsilon \in C(0, 1). \) Then \( I^\upsilon I^\sigma^\upsilon = \upsilon(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} e_i t^i \) for some real constants \( e_0, \ldots, e_{m-1}. \)

**Lemma 1.2** ([35]) Let \( C \) be a closed and convex subset of a Banach space \( X, \) \( \Omega \) be a relatively open subset of \( C \) with \( 0 \in \Omega, \) and \( \mathcal{F} : \Omega \rightarrow C \) be a continuous and compact mapping. Then either

i) the mapping \( \mathcal{F} \) has a fixed point in \( \bar{\Omega}, \) or

ii) there exist \( y \in \partial \Omega \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) with \( y = \lambda \mathcal{F} y. \)

## 2 Main results

We first provide our key lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** Let \( \alpha, \beta \geq 1, \ \alpha \in [n - 1, n), \ \beta \in [k - 1, k], \ \mu, a_i \in [0, 1], \ a, \lambda, p_i \geq 0, \) where \( a \neq \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \frac{\lambda_i^p_i}{p_i(p_i-1)}, \ q, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) may be singular at some points in \([0, 1], \) \( p : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is \( n - 1 \) times differentiable and can be zero at some points in \([0, 1], \) and \( f \in L^1. \) Then a map \( \upsilon \) is a solution for the equation

\[ D^\alpha (p(t)D^\beta \upsilon(t)) + q(t)\upsilon(t) = h(t)f(\upsilon(t)), \]
with boundary conditions $v^{(i)}(0) = D^{(i+1)}v(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and $\alpha v(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i I^i v(\mu_i)$ if and only if

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} \left( B_0(z, v(\zeta)) - A_0(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \left( B_0(z, v(\zeta)) - A_0(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \right) \frac{d}{d\zeta} d\zeta$$

$$- \frac{a}{\Delta(\beta)} \int_0^t (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} \left( B_0(z, v(\zeta)) - A_0(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \right) \frac{d}{d\zeta} d\zeta,$$

where $\Delta = a - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i a^{p_i}}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)}$ and $A_0(z, v(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\alpha - 1} q(t) v(t) d\zeta$.

Proof. By using the same strategy in [32], one can find Lemma 1.1 is valid on $L^1[0, 1]$. Let $v(t)$ be a solution for the fractional boundary value problem (FBVP). Via Lemma 1.1, there are some real constants $e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ such that

$$p(t) D^{\beta} v(t) = -I^\alpha (q(t) f(v(t))) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q(t) f(v(t))) + e_0 t + \cdots + e_{n-1} t^{n-1}.$$ 

Since $D^{\beta} v(0) = 0$, we get $e_0 = 0$. Also since $\frac{d}{dt} \left( I^\alpha (q(t) f(v(t))) \right) = I^{\alpha - 1} (q(t) f(v(t)))$, by derivation from the last equality, we have

$$\left. \left( p(t) D^{\beta} v(t) \right) \right|_{t=0} = -I^{\alpha - 1} (q(t) f(v(t))) \big|_{t=0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (q(t) f(v(t))) \big|_{t=0} + e_1.$$ 

Since $I^{\alpha} (q(t) f(v(t))) \big|_{t=0} = 0$, it results that $e_1 = (p'(t) D^{\beta} v(t) + p(t) D^{\beta + 1} v(t)) \big|_{t=0}$. Thus, $e_1 = 0$. By continuing this way, one can check that $e_2 = \cdots = e_{n-1} = 0$ and so

$$D^{\beta} v(t) = -\frac{1}{p(t)} I^{\alpha} (q(t) f(v(t))) + \frac{1}{p(t)} I^{\alpha} (q(t) f(v(t))).$$

If

$$A_0(z, v(\zeta)) = I^\alpha (q(t) f(v(t)))$$

and

$$B_0(z, v(\zeta)) = I^\alpha (q(t) f(v(t))),$$

then it is evoluted that

$$D^{\beta} v(t) = -\frac{A_0(z, v(\zeta))}{p(t)} + \frac{B_0(z, v(\zeta))}{p(t)}.$$

Once again for the above equality, by using Lemma 1.1, it is concluded that there are some real constants $d_0, \ldots, d_{k-1}$ such that

$$v(t) = -I^\beta \left( \frac{A_0(z, v(\zeta))}{p(t)} \right) + I^\beta \left( \frac{B_0(z, v(\zeta))}{p(t)} \right) + d_0 t + \cdots + d_{k-1} t^{k-1}.$$
Since \( v^{(i)}(0) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k - 1 \), we get \( d_1 = \cdots = d_{k-1} = 0 \) therefore it is concluded that

\[
v(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \frac{A_\nu(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} B_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + d_0.
\]

(2)

Hence,

\[
a \nu(\mu) = -\frac{a}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \xi)^{\beta-1} \frac{A_\nu(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + \frac{a}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \xi)^{\beta-1} B_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + d_0 a
\]

Also, by integration of order \( p_i \) from (2), for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n_0 \), we have

\[
I_{p_i} v(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_\nu(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \, ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} B_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + d_0 \frac{\xi}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)}.
\]

which implies that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \lambda_i I_{p_i} v(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_\nu(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} B_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + d_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i \xi}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)}.
\]

Thus it results in

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \lambda_i I_{p_i} v(a_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_\nu(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} B_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + d_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i \xi}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \xi)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \left( B_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) - A_\nu(\xi, v(\xi)) \right) \frac{\xi}{p(\xi)} \, d\xi + d_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i \xi}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)}.
\]
Since \( a \nu(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \lambda_i I_p^\nu(a_i) \), we obtain

\[
\frac{a}{
\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta + d_0 a
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta+p_i-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ d_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i a_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)}.
\]

Hence

\[
d_0 \left( a - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i a_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta+p_i-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta
\]

\[
- \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta,
\]

and so

\[
d_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta+p_i-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta
\]

\[
- \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta,
\]

where \( \Delta = (a - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i a_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)}) \). This indicates that

\[
v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta+p_i-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta
\]

\[
- \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_\nu(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta.
\]

One can obtain the other part by using some calculations. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Note that the generalized boundary conditions of the Sturm–Liouville problem lead us to attaining a different integral equation to consider. Also, as we have a strong singularity in the problem, we need to investigate the equation by a novel method.
Designate the space $X = C[0,1]$ with the supremum norm. Define the map $H : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$H_v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{t_h} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta_{p_i}-1} \frac{(B_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta - \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{t_h} (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{(B_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)))}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$. Note that, if $v_0 \in X$ is a solution for SBVP (1), then $v_0$ is a fixed point of the map $H$. Vice versa, $v_0 \in X$ is a solution for the problem when $v_0$ is a fixed point of the mapping. In the next result, we suppose that the maps $q, h : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ may be singular at some points in $[0,1]$ and the function $p : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ in equation (1) is $n-1$ times differentiable but can be zero at some points in $[0,1]$. In the next theorem, using inequalities for controlling singular points by some functions that are called control functions, and by the fixed point method, we will investigate the existence of a solution for the singular fractional differential problem (SFDP).

**Theorem 2.2** Assume that $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, $\alpha \in [n - 1, n)$, $\beta \in [k - 1, k)$, $n_0$ is a natural number, $\mu, a_1, \ldots, a_{n_0} \in [0,1]$, $\alpha, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n_0} \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_i \geq 0$ with $a \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i d_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \Lambda(|x - y|)$ and $|f(x)| \leq M(x) + N(x)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\Lambda, M, N : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are increasing functions with $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Lambda(x)}{x} = Q \in [0,\infty)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x} = M = m \in [0,\infty)$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} N(x) < \infty$. Suppose that $\tilde{h}_p[0,1] = \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha-1} |h(\xi)/\tilde{p}(1,\xi)| \, d\xi < \infty$ and $\tilde{h}_q[0,1] = \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha-1} |h(\xi)| \, d\xi < \infty$, where $\tilde{p}(t,\xi) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\tilde{p}(\xi)}$. If

$$\left( \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\alpha \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{h}_p[0,1] + \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\alpha \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] + \frac{|a| \tilde{m}_p[0,\mu] + |a| \tilde{q}_p[0,\mu]}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} < 1,$$

then the singular boundary value problem (SBVP) $D^\alpha(p(t)D^\beta v(t)) + q(t)v(t) = h(t)f(v(t))$ with $v^{(0)}(0) = D^{(\beta+j)}v(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and $av(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \lambda_i D^\beta v(a_i)$ has a solution, in which $\Delta = a - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i d_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)}$.

**Proof** First, we show that $H$ is continuous. Let $v, v^* \in X$ and $t \in [0,1]$. Then we have

$$|H_v(t) - H_{v^*}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \left| B_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - B_a(\zeta, v^*(\zeta)) + A_a(\zeta, v^*(\zeta)) - A_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \right| \, d\zeta \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{\left| B_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - B_a(\zeta, v^*(\zeta)) + A_a(\zeta, v^*(\zeta)) - A_a(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \right|}{p(\zeta)} \, d\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\alpha \Gamma(\beta + p_i)}.$$
\[
\times \int_0^a (a_i - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} \frac{B_a(\xi, V(\xi)) - B_a(\xi, V^*(\xi)) + A_a(\xi, V^*(\xi)) - A_a(\xi, V(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta)} \\
\times \int_0^\mu (\mu - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} \frac{B_a(\xi, V(\xi)) - B_a(\xi, V^*(\xi)) + A_a(\xi, V^*(\xi)) - A_a(\xi, V(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} \left[ \int_0^\xi (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} |h(\xi)| |f(\xi) - f^*(\xi)| d\xi \right] d\xi \\
+ \int_0^\xi \left( (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} |q(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi \right] d\xi \\
+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} \left[ \int_0^\xi (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} |h(\xi)| |f(\xi) - f^*(\xi)| d\xi \right] d\xi \\
+ \int_0^\xi \left( (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} |q(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi \right] d\xi \\
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |h(\xi)| |f(\xi) - f^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |q(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \\
\times \int_0^a \int_0^\xi \frac{(a_i - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |h(\xi)| |f(\xi) - f^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \\
\times \int_0^a \int_0^\xi \frac{(a_i - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |q(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu \int_0^\xi \frac{(\mu - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |h(\xi)| |f(\xi) - f^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu \int_0^\xi \frac{(\mu - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1} (\xi - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |q(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |h(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi) \beta^{p_i - 1}}{p(\xi)} |q(\xi)| |V(\xi) - V^*(\xi)| d\xi d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)}
Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\lim_{z \to 0^+} \frac{\Lambda(z)}{z} = Q \in [0, \infty)$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $z \in (0, \delta(\epsilon))$ implies $|\frac{\Lambda(z)}{z}| \leq Q + \epsilon$. Hence, $z \in (0, \delta(\epsilon))$ implies $\Lambda(z) \leq (Q + \epsilon)z$. Put $\delta_m(\epsilon) = \min(\epsilon, \delta(\epsilon))$. Then $\|v - v^*\| \leq \delta_m(\epsilon)$ implies $\Lambda(\|v - v^*\|) \leq (Q + \epsilon)\|v - v^*\|$. Also,

$$
\int_0^t \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi)^{\beta-1}(\xi - \eta)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\eta)} |q(\xi)| \, d\xi \, d\eta
$$

$$
= \int_0^t \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi)^{\beta-1}(\xi - \eta)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\eta)} |q(\xi)| \, d\xi \, d\eta
$$

$$
= \int_0^t |q(\xi)| \left( \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi)^{\beta-1}(\xi - \eta)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\eta)} \, d\eta \right) \, d\xi
$$

$$
\leq \int_0^t |q(\xi)| \left( \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi)^{\beta-1}(t - \eta)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\eta)} \, d\eta \right) \, d\xi.
$$

Since $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ and $\xi \in [\xi, t]$, we get $(t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \leq (t - \xi)^{\beta-1}$ and $(\xi - \eta)^{\alpha-1} \leq (t - \eta)^{\alpha-1}$, so

$$
\int_0^t \int_0^\xi \frac{(t - \xi)^{\beta-1}(\xi - \eta)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\eta)} |q(\xi)| \, d\xi \, d\eta
$$

$$
\leq \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |q(\xi)| \left( \int_0^\xi \frac{d\xi}{p(\eta)} \right) \, d\xi
$$

$$
\leq \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |q(\xi)| p(\xi) \, d\xi.
$$
where \( \hat{p}(t, \xi) = \int_{\xi}^{t} \frac{d\xi}{\hat{p}^{*}(\xi)} \). Obviously, \( \hat{p}(t, \xi) \) is increasing with respect to \( t \) and is decreasing with respect to \( \xi \). Therefore, we get

\[
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{(t - \zeta)^{\beta-1}(\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\zeta)} |h(\zeta)| \, d\zeta \, d\xi
\]

\[
\leq \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi,
\]

\[
\int_{0}^{a_{i}} \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{(|a_{i} - \zeta|^{\alpha+\beta-1}(\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\zeta)} |h(\zeta)| \, d\zeta \, d\xi
\]

\[
\leq \int_{0}^{a_{i}} (a_{i} - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi,
\]

and

\[
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{(\mu - \zeta)^{\beta-1}(\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{p(\zeta)} |h(\zeta)| \, d\zeta \, d\xi \leq \int_{0}^{t} (\mu - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi.
\]

Thus it evolved that

\[
|H_{v}(t) - H_{v}^{*}(t)| \leq \frac{\Lambda(\|v - v^{*}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\Gamma(\beta))} \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\Gamma(\beta))} \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Lambda(\|v - v^{*}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{|\lambda_{i}|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + p_{i})} \int_{0}^{a_{i}} (a_{i} - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{|\lambda_{i}|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + p_{i})} \int_{0}^{a_{i}} (a_{i} - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{|a|\Lambda(\|v - v^{*}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\Gamma(\beta))} \int_{0}^{t} (\mu - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{|a|\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\Gamma(\beta))} \int_{0}^{t} (\mu - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi.
\]

Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be given and \( \|v - v^{*}\| \leq \delta_{m}(\epsilon) \). Then we have

\[
|H_{v}(t) - H_{v}^{*}(t)| \leq \frac{(Q + \epsilon)\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\Gamma(\beta))} \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\Gamma(\beta))} \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{(Q + \epsilon)\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{|\lambda_{i}|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + p_{i})}
\]

\[
\times \int_{0}^{a_{i}} (a_{i} - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\|v - v^{*}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{|\lambda_{i}|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + p_{i})} \int_{0}^{a_{i}} (a_{i} - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(t, \xi) \, d\xi.
\]
Hence, we conclude that

\[
\|H_v(t) - H_{v^*}(t)\| \leq \frac{(Q + \varepsilon)\epsilon}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi
\]

for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), where

\[
\hat{h}_p[0, t] = \int_0^t (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi
\]

and

\[
\hat{q}_p[0, t] = \int_0^t (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi.
\]

By using the supremum norm on \([0, 1]\), it is deduced that

\[
\|H_v - H_{v^*}\| \leq \left( \frac{(Q + \varepsilon)\epsilon}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{(Q + \varepsilon)\epsilon}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{(Q + \varepsilon)\epsilon}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{(Q + \varepsilon)\epsilon}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi
\]

\[
+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha \nu - 2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) d\xi.
\]
Likewise, by the assumptions \( \lim_{\omega \to \infty} N(\omega) < \infty \), it results that \( \lim_{\omega \to \infty} \frac{N(\omega)}{\omega} = 0 \), so there exists \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \frac{N(\omega)}{\omega} < \epsilon \) for all \( \omega \in [\epsilon, \infty) \). Therefore, \( \omega \in [\epsilon, \infty) \) implies

\[
N(\omega) \leq \epsilon \omega. \tag{4}
\]

On the other side, we have

\[
\left( \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{m + 2\epsilon_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\lambda| + p_i} \right) \hat{h}_p[0, 1] \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\lambda| + p_i} \right) \hat{q}_p[0, 1] \\
+ \frac{|a|m\hat{r}_p[0, \mu] + |a|\hat{r}_q[0, \mu]}{|\lambda|} < 1.
\]

Choose \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
\left( \frac{m + 2\epsilon_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{m + 2\epsilon_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\lambda| + p_i} \right) \hat{h}_p[0, 1] \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\lambda| + p_i} \right) \hat{q}_p[0, 1] \\
+ \frac{|a|(m + 2\epsilon_0)\hat{r}_p[0, \mu] + |a|\hat{r}_q[0, \mu]}{|\lambda|} < 1.
\]

Put \( R_0 := \max\{R(\epsilon_0), R(\epsilon_0)\} \). By using (3) and (4), for \( \omega = R_0 \), we get \( M(R_0) \leq (m + \epsilon_0)R_0 \) and \( N(R_0) \leq \epsilon_0R_0 \). Define \( \Omega = \{u \in X : \|u\| < R_0\} \). Let \( u_0 \in \partial\Omega \) and \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \) be such that \( u_0 = \lambda H_{u_0} \). Then \( \|u_0\| = R_0 \). Then we have

\[
|u_0(t)| = \left| \lambda H_{u_0}(t) \right| \\
\leq \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^T (t - \xi)^{\beta - 1} \frac{|B_u(\xi, u_0(\xi)) - A_u(\xi, u_0(\xi))|}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\lambda| + p_i} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \xi)^{\beta - 1} \frac{|B_u(\xi, u_0(\xi)) - A_u(\xi, u_0(\xi))|}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
+ \frac{|a|}{|\lambda|} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \xi)^{\beta - 1} \frac{|B_u(\xi, u_0(\xi)) - A_u(\xi, u_0(\xi))|}{p(\xi)} d\xi \right].
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
  &+ \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\xi \\
  &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^\xi \frac{1}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  \times & \int_0^\xi \frac{a_i (\zeta - \xi)^{\beta - 1} (\zeta - \xi)^{\gamma - 1}}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  + & \int_0^\xi (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\zeta \\
  + & \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{1}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  + & \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\zeta \\
  + & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \\
  \times & \int_0^\xi \frac{a_i (\zeta - \xi)^{\beta - 1} (\zeta - \xi)^{\gamma - 1}}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  + & \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\zeta \\
  + & \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{1}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  + & \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\zeta \\
  + & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \\
  \times & \int_0^\xi \frac{a_i (\zeta - \xi)^{\beta - 1} (\zeta - \xi)^{\gamma - 1}}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  + & \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\zeta \\
  + & \frac{|a|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{1}{p(\xi)} \left( \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| h(\xi) \right| \left| f(u_0(\xi)) \right| d\xi \right) d\zeta \\
  + & \int_0^\zeta (\zeta - \xi)^{\alpha - 1} \left| q(\xi) \right| \left| u_0(\xi) \right| d\zeta
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{|a|}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu \int_0^\xi \frac{(\mu - s)^{\beta - 1}(\xi - \xi')^{\alpha - 1}}{p(\xi)} |q(\xi)| |u_0(\xi)| \, d\xi \, d\zeta \\
\leq \lambda \left[ M(||u_0||) + N(||u_0||) \right] \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta) \int_0^\xi \int_0^\zeta \frac{(t - \tau)^{\beta - 1}(\xi - \xi')^{\alpha - 1}}{p(\zeta)} |h(\xi)| \, d\tau \, d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{||u_0||}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\zeta \frac{(t - \tau)^{\beta - 1}(\xi - \xi')^{\alpha - 1}}{p(\zeta)} |q(\xi)| \, d\tau \, d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{M(||u_0||) + N(||u_0||)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\lambda_i| \frac{a_i}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + \rho_i)} \int_0^\xi \int_0^\zeta \frac{(a_i - \tau)^{\beta + \rho_i - 1}(\xi - \xi')^{\alpha - 1}}{p(\zeta)} |h(\xi)| \, d\tau \, d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{a_i(M(||u_0||) + N(||u_0||))}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu \int_0^\zeta \frac{(\mu - \tau)^{\beta - 1}(\xi - \xi')^{\alpha - 1}}{p(\xi)} |h(\xi)| \, d\tau \, d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{|a_i||u_0||}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu \int_0^\zeta \frac{(\mu - \tau)^{\beta - 1}(\xi - \xi')^{\alpha - 1}}{p(\xi)} |q(\xi)| \, d\tau \, d\zeta \right]
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Hence,

\[
|u_0(t)| \leq \lambda \left[ \frac{(m + \epsilon_0)R_0 + \epsilon_0 R_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (1 - \xi)^{\alpha - \beta - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) \, d\xi \\
+ \frac{R_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha - \beta - 2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) \, d\xi \\
+ \frac{(m + \epsilon_0)R_0 + \epsilon_0 R_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\lambda_i| \frac{1}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + \rho_i)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha - \beta + \rho_i - 2} |h(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) \, d\xi \\
+ \frac{R_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\lambda_i| \frac{1}{|\Delta|\Gamma(\beta + \rho_i)} \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^{\alpha - \beta + \rho_i - 2} |q(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) \, d\xi \right]
\]
with boundary conditions 

\[ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \]

This implies that \( u_0 \notin \partial \Omega \). By the same way, via Lemma 1.2, \( H \) has a fixed point in \( \tilde{\Omega} \) which is a solution for FBVP (1).

\[ \Box \]

**Example 2.3** Consider the strong singular Sturm–Liouville equation

\[ (t^2 u'(t))' + \frac{\sqrt{t} u(t)}{5c(t)} = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{10} (u(t) + 1) \]  

(5)

with boundary conditions \( u(0) = 0 \) and \( u(0) = u(1) = 0 \). Put \( \alpha = \beta = 1, \quad n_0 = 2, \quad p_1 = p_2 = 0, \quad a = 0, \quad \mu \in [0, 1], \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_2 = 1, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1, \quad p(t) = t^2, \quad h(t) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{t}}, \quad q(t) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{t}}, \) where \( c(t) = 0 \) when \( t \in Q \cap [0, 1] \), and \( c(t) = 1 \) for \( t \in Q^c \cap [0, 1] \). If \( f(u) = u + 1 \), then we have

\[ \hat{p}(1, \xi) = \int_{\xi}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2} = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{q}_p[0, 1] = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{\xi} (1 - \xi)}{c(\xi)} \, d\xi = \frac{1}{15}. \]

and \( \hat{h}_p[0, 1] = \frac{1}{10} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\xi} (1 - \xi) \, d\xi = \frac{1}{30}. \) Note that \( \Delta = a - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i \rho_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} = -\frac{3}{2} + 1 = -\frac{3}{2}. \)

\[ |f(u) - f(v)| = |u - v| = \Lambda(|u - v|), \]

\[ \lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \frac{\Lambda(\omega)}{\omega} = \lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \frac{\omega}{\omega} = 1 \in [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad |f(u)| \leq |u| + 1 := M(u) + N(u), \]
where $M(u) = |u|, N(u) = 1, m = \lim_{\omega \to \infty} \frac{M(u)}{\omega} = 1,$ and $\lim_{\omega \to \infty} N(\omega) < \infty.$ Note that

$$
\left( \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{h}_\rho[0,1] \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{q}_\beta[0,1] \\
+ \frac{|a|m \tilde{h}_\rho[0,\mu] + |a|\tilde{h}_\rho[0,\mu]}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} = \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}\right) \times \frac{4}{30} + \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}\right) \times \frac{4}{15} < 1.
$$

Now, by using Theorem 2.2, the Sturm–Liouville problem (5) has a solution. Also for a better graphical understanding of the problem, the graph of $q(t)$ is shown in Fig. 1.

### 3 Continuous dependence

In this part, according to the topics raised in [14], we verify continuous dependence of the solution for the fractional Sturm–Liouville differential equation (1).

**Definition 3.1** We say that the solution of the fractional Sturm–Liouville differential equation

$$
\mathcal{D}^\alpha (p(t)\mathcal{D}^\beta v(t)) + q(t)v(t) = h(t)f(v(t))
$$

(6)

is continuously dependent on $\lambda_i$ whenever, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that, for any two solutions $v$ and $\tilde{v}$ of (6), that $v$ satisfies conditions (1) and $\tilde{v}$ satisfies the following initial conditions:

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{v}^{(i)}(0) = \mathcal{D}^{(\beta+j)}\tilde{v}(0) = 0 \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq k - 1), \\
\lambda \tilde{v}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \tilde{\lambda}_i \mathcal{I}^\alpha v(a_i),
\end{array}
\right.
$$

(7)

$\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| < \delta$ implies $\|v - \tilde{v}\| < \epsilon.$
In the next result, we again suppose that the maps \( q, h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) may be singular at some points in \([0, 1]\) and the function \( p : [0, 1] \to [0, \infty) \) is \( n - 1 \) times differentiable, but it can be zero at some points in \([0, 1]\).

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that \( \alpha, \beta \geq 1, \alpha \in [n - 1, n), \beta \in [k - 1, k), n_0 \) is a natural number, \( \mu, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in [0, 1], a, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, p_i \geq 0 \) with \( a \not\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)}, f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a function such that \( |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \Lambda|\lambda^\beta - \hat{\lambda}^\beta| \) and \( |f(z)| \leq M(z) + N(z) \) for all \( x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( \Lambda, M, N : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) are nondecreasing functions with \( \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} \frac{\Delta(x)}{x} = Q' \) \( \in [0, \infty), \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{M(\omega)}{\omega} = m \in [0, \infty) \), and \( \lim_{m \to \infty} N(\omega < \infty). \) Suppose that

\[
\hat{h}_p[0, 1] = \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^\alpha |\hat{h}(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) \, d\xi < \infty
\]

and

\[
\hat{h}_q[0, 1] = \int_0^1 (1 - \xi)^\alpha |\hat{h}(\xi)| \hat{p}(1, \xi) \, d\xi < \infty,
\]

where \( \hat{p}(t, \xi) = \int_0^t \frac{d\xi}{\rho(\xi)}. \) If

\[
\left( \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + m_0\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta/\Gamma(\beta + p_i)|} \right) \hat{h}_p[0, 1] \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta/\Gamma(\beta + p_i)|} \right) \hat{q}_p[0, 1] \]

\[
+ \frac{|a| \Delta \hat{h}_p[0, \mu] + |e| \hat{h}_q[0, \mu]}{|\Delta/\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)|} < 1,
\]

then the solutions of the equation \( D^\alpha (p(t)D^\beta v(t)) + q(t)v(t) = h(t)f(v(t)) \) with the initial conditions \( v^{(i)}(0) = D^j(v(0)) = 0 \) \( \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \) and \( 0 \leq i < k - 1 \) and \( av(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \lambda_i \mathcal{L}^\beta \xi(a_i) \) are continuously dependent on the coefficients \( \lambda_i \), where \( \Delta = a - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \) and \( \mathcal{L} = \max\{Q', m\} \).

**Proof** Since \( \lim_{m \to 0^+} \frac{\Delta(\omega)}{\omega} := Q < Q' \), we get

\[
\left( \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + m_0\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta/\Gamma(\beta + p_i)|} \right) \hat{h}_p[0, 1] \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta/\Gamma(\beta + p_i)|} \right) \hat{q}_p[0, 1] \]

\[
+ \frac{|a| m \hat{h}_p[0, \mu] + |e| \hat{h}_q[0, \mu]}{|\Delta/\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)|}
\]
Using Theorem 2.2, it is obtained that the equation has a solution. Let \( v(t) \) and \( \tilde{v}(t) \) be two solutions for the problem with initial conditions (7). Then we have

\[
\tilde{v}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{B_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} - A_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^\mu (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \left( \frac{B_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} - A_n(s, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta
\]

where \( \tilde{\Delta} = a - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \neq 0 \). Thus, it results in

\[
|v(t) - \tilde{v}(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{B_n(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} - B_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-\zeta)^{\beta-1} \frac{A_n(\zeta, v(\zeta)) - A_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^\mu (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \left( \frac{B_n(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} - A_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^\mu (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \left( \frac{B_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} - A_n(s, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \right) d\zeta \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^\mu (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_n(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^\mu (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
+ \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} \frac{A_n(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
- \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} \frac{A_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
+ \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} \frac{B_n(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
- \frac{a}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\mu (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} \frac{B_n(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
\right|
\]
Similarly, it is obtained that for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Hence, it implies that

\[
|v(t) - \tilde{v}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{B_a(\xi, v(\xi)) - B_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \right| d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{A_a(\xi, v(\xi)) - A_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \right| d\xi \\
+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t \left( a_i - \xi \right)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_a(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\
- \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t \left( a_i - \xi \right)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\
+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t \left( a_i - \xi \right)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_a(\xi, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\
- \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^t \left( a_i - \xi \right)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{A_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\
+ \frac{|a|}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\alpha (\mu - s)^{\beta-1} \frac{A_a(s, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
- \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^\alpha (\mu - s)^{\beta-1} \frac{A_a(s, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
+ \frac{|a|}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\alpha (\mu - s)^{\beta-1} \frac{B_a(s, v(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi \\
- \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^\alpha (\mu - s)^{\beta-1} \frac{B_a(s, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} d\xi.
\]

(8)

On the other hand,

\[
\int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{B_a(\xi, v(\xi)) - B_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \right| d\xi \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} (\xi - \xi')^{\alpha-1} \left| h(\xi') \right| \left| f(v(\xi)) - f(\tilde{v}(\xi)) \right| d\xi' d\xi \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} (\xi - \xi')^{\alpha-1} \left| \Lambda \left( \left| v(\xi) - \tilde{v}(\xi) \right| \right) \right| d\xi' d\xi \\
\leq \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} (\xi - \xi')^{\alpha-1} \left| h(\xi') \right| d\xi' d\xi \\
\leq \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\alpha+\beta-2} \left| h(\xi) \right| \hat{p}(t, \xi) d\xi,
\]

and so

\[
\int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{B_a(\xi, v(\xi)) - B_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \right| d\xi \leq \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \hat{h}_p[0, 1].
\]

Similarly, it is obtained that

\[
\int_0^t (t - \xi)^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{A_a(\xi, v(\xi)) - A_a(\xi, \tilde{v}(\xi))}{p(\xi)} \right| d\xi \leq \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \hat{q}_p[0, 1].
\]
Also, we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{h}_p[0, 1]
\]

\[
+ \frac{|\Delta|}{\Gamma(\alpha) |\Delta|} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} (M(\|v\|) + N(\|\tilde{v}\|)) \tilde{h}_p[0, 1]
\]

\[
+ \frac{|\Delta - \tilde{\Delta}|}{\Gamma(\alpha) |\Delta|} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} (M(\|\tilde{v}\|) + N(\|\tilde{v}\|)) \tilde{h}_p[0, 1].
\]

Note that \(|\Delta - \tilde{\Delta}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)}\), and so

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, v(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_0^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} \frac{B_\alpha(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta))}{p(\zeta)} d\zeta
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\Delta}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{h}_p[0, 1]
\]

\[
+ \frac{M(\|\tilde{v}\|) + N(\|\tilde{v}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha) |\Delta|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{h}_p[0, 1]
\]

\[
+ \frac{M(\|\tilde{v}\|) + N(\|\tilde{v}\|)}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \tilde{h}_p[0, 1].
\]
By using a similar method, we can show that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_{0}^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} A_\omega(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{p(\zeta)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_i}{\Delta \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \int_{0}^{a_i} (a_i - \zeta)^{\beta + p_i - 1} A_\omega(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{p(\zeta)} \leq \| \nu - \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{\| \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{\| \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i| |d^{p_i}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{\| \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1]
\]

and

\[
\int_{0}^{\mu} (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} A_\omega(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{p(\zeta)} - \int_{0}^{\mu} (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} A_\omega(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{p(\zeta)} \leq \frac{\| \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] + \frac{\| \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| |d^{p_i}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu],
\]

which implies

\[
\int_{0}^{\mu} (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} B_\omega(\zeta, v(\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{p(\zeta)} - \int_{0}^{\mu} (\mu - \zeta)^{\beta - 1} B_\omega(\zeta, \tilde{v}(\zeta)) \frac{d\zeta}{p(\zeta)} \leq \frac{\| \tilde{v} \|_{\Gamma(\alpha)}}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] + \frac{M(\| \tilde{v} \|) + N(\| \tilde{v} \|)}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| |d^{p_i}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu].
\]

Now, by using the above inequalities and (8), it is acquired

\[
|\tilde{v}(t) - \tilde{v}(t)| \leq \frac{\Delta(\| \tilde{v} - \tilde{v} \|)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{\| \tilde{v} - \tilde{v} \|}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{\Delta(\| \tilde{v} \|)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{M(\| \tilde{v} \|) + N(\| \tilde{v} \|)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| |d^{p_i}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{M(\| \tilde{v} \|) + N(\| \tilde{v} \|)}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| |d^{p_i}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1] + \frac{M(\| \tilde{v} \|) + N(\| \tilde{v} \|)}{|\Delta \Delta| \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\tilde{\lambda}_i| \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, 1]
\]
\[ \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] + \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{|a|\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \tilde{q}_p[0,0, \mu] + \frac{|a|\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,0, \mu] \]

\[ + \frac{|a|\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] + \frac{|a|\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] \]

\[ + \frac{|a|\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] + \frac{|a|\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] \]

for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). By the above inequality and taking the supremum norm, we have

\[ \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tilde{h}_p[0,1] + \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{M(\|v\|) + N(\|\tilde{v}\|)}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{M(\|\tilde{v}\|) + N(\|v\|)}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] + \frac{\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{|a|\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \tilde{q}_p[0,0, \mu] + \frac{|a|\|v - \tilde{v}\|}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,0, \mu] \]

Hence,

\[ \|v - \tilde{v}\| \left( 1 - \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\|v - \tilde{v}\|} \right) \tilde{h}_p[0,1] + \frac{\tilde{q}_p[0,1]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{\Lambda(\|v - \tilde{v}\|)}{\|v - \tilde{v}\|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \tilde{q}_p[0,1] \]

\[ + \frac{\tilde{q}_p[0,1]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) + \frac{|a|\tilde{q}_p[0, \mu]}{\Delta \Delta |\Gamma(\alpha)|} \tilde{q}_p[0, \mu] \]
If we put

$$C = Q \left[ \frac{\hat{h}_p[0,1]}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{\hat{p}_p[0,1]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{\Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) + \frac{|a| \hat{h}_p[0,\mu]}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \right]$$

then it is inferred that

$$C \leq \left( \frac{\Xi}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{\Xi}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \hat{h}_p[0,1]$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{|\lambda_i|}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\beta + p_i)} \right) \hat{q}_p[0,1]$$

$$+ \frac{|a| \Xi \hat{h}_p[0,\mu] + |a| \hat{h}_q[0,\mu]}{|\Delta| \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} < 1.$$
Thus, \( \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\lambda_i - \tilde{\lambda}_i| < \delta \), which implies that \( \|v - \tilde{v}\| < \epsilon \). This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

### 4 Conclusion

Different versions of the Sturm–Liouville have been studied by researchers during the last decades. In this work, we review a strong singular version of this important and well-known equation. The existence of a solution for a fractional order version of the Sturm–Liouville differential equation with generalized boundary conditions is investigated. Using inequalities and controlling functions lets us control singular points, especially strong singularity in fractional differential equations to be considered, so by the controlling functions and the fixed point theory, we control the strong singular points and prove the existence of a solution. The methods are novel, and a lot of differential equations could be examined in this way. In the following, by introducing the concept of continuous dependence for the generalized equation of Sturm–Liouville, we indicate that the solutions of the fractional strong singular version of Sturm–Liouville equation are dependent on the existent coefficients in the initial conditions, and any change can impact the solution of the equation. The existence of the strong singular points in this version of the Sturm–Liouville differential equation as well as the applied techniques are the most prominent novelty in this article. Likewise, these techniques can be used for investigating the singular version of other differential equations. An example is presented to demonstrate our main result.
Acknowledgements
The first author was supported by Islamic Azad University, Mehran Branch. The second author was supported by University of Peshawar. The third author was supported by K. N. Toosi University of Technology. The fourth author was supported by Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University. The authors express their gratitude to dear unknown referees for their helpful suggestions which improved the final version of this paper.

Funding
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
The authors declare that the study was realized in collaboration with equal responsibility. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1 Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Mehran Branch, Mehran, Ilam, Iran. 2 Department of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. 3 Department of Mathematics, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, P.O. Box 16315-1618, Tehran 15418, Iran. 4 Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran. 5 Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 30 July 2021 Accepted: 26 October 2021 Published online: 13 November 2021

References
1. Abbas, M.I., Ragusa, M.A.: On the hybrid fractional differential equations with fractional proportional derivatives of a function with respect to a certain function. Symmetry 13(2), 264 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13020264
2. Abbas, M.I., Ragusa, M.A.: Solvability of Langevin equations with two Hadamard fractional derivatives via Mittag-Leffler functions. Appl. Anal. (2021). https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2020.1839645
3. Al-Mdallal, Q.M.: An efficient method for solving fractional Sturm–Liouville problems. Chaos Solitons Fractals 40(1), 183–189 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2007.07.041
4. Bohranifar, A., Ragusa, M.A., Valizadeh, S.: High-order numerical method for two-dimensional Riesz space fractional advection-dispersion equation. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B 26(10), 5495–5508 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2020355
5. Mohammadi, H., Kumar, S., Rezapour, S., Etemad, S.: A theoretical study of the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional modeling for hearing loss due to Mumps virus with optimal control. Chaos Solitons Fractals 144, 110668 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.110668
6. Rezapour, S., Imran, A., Hussain, A., Martinez, F., Etemad, S., Kaabar, M.K.A.: Condensing functions and approximate endpoint criterion for the existence analysis of quantum integro-difference FBVPs. Symmetry 13(3), 469 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13030469
7. Matar, M.M., Abbas, M.I., Alzabut, J., Kaabar, M.K.A., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: Investigation of the p-Laplacian nonperiodic nonlinear boundary value problem via generalized Caputo fractional derivatives. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2021, 68 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-05322-9
8. Thabet, S.M., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: On a coupled Caputo conformable system of pantograph problems. Turk. J. Math. 45(1), 496–519 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2010-70
9. Baleanu, D., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions. Bound. Value Probl. 2020, 64 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-020-01361-0
10. Baleanu, D., Etemad, S., Rezapour, S.: On a fractional hybrid integro-differential equation with mixed hybrid integral boundary-value conditions by using three operators. Alex. Eng. J. 59(3), 3019–3027 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.04.053
11. Baleanu, D., Rezapour, S., Saberpour, Z.: On fractional integro-differential inclusions via the extended fractional Caputo–Fabrizio derivation. Bound. Value Probl. 2019, 79 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-019-1194-0
12. Aydogan, S.M., Baleanu, D., Mousalou, A., Rezapour, S.: On high order fractional integro-differential equations including the Caputo–Fabrizio derivative. Bound. Value Probl. 2018, 90 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-018-1008-9
13. Charandabi, Z.Z., Rezapour, S., Eftefagh, M.: On a fractional hybrid version of the Sturm–Liouville equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020, 301 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02765-z

14. El-Sayed, A.M.A., Gaafar, F.M.: Existence and uniqueness of solution for Sturm–Liouville fractional differential equation with multi-point boundary condition via Caputo derivative. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2019, 46 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-1976-9

15. Mamedov, K.R.: On an inverse scattering problem for a discontinuous Sturm–Liouville equation with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition. Bound. Value Probl. 2010, 171967 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/171967

16. Sun, Y.: Positive solutions of Sturm–Liouville boundary value problems for singular nonlinear second-order impulsive integro-differential equation in Banach spaces. Bound. Value Probl. 2012, 86 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-2770-2012-86

17. Zhang, L., Huang, X., Xing, C.: Solvability of Sturm–Liouville boundary value problems with impulses. Bound. Value Probl. 2013, 192 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-2770-2013-192

18. Fira, F., Altinisik, N.: Sturm–Liouville problem with moving discontinuity points. Bound. Value Probl. 2015, 237 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-015-0502-6

19. Ashrafyan, Y.: A new kind of uniqueness theorems for inverse Sturm–Liouville problems. Bound. Value Probl. 2017, 79 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-017-0813-x

20. Charandabi, Z.Z., Mohammadi, H., Rezapour, S., Masiha, H.P.: On partial fractional Sturm–Liouville equation and inclusion. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2021, 323 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03478-7

21. Mert, R., Abdeljawad, T., Peterson, A.: A Sturm–Liouville approach for continuous and discrete Mittag-Leffler kernel fractional operators. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 14(7), 2417–2434 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2020171

22. Abdeljawad, T., Mert, R., Peterson, A.: Sturm–Liouville equations in the frame of fractional operators with exponential kernels and their discrete versions. Quaest. Math. 42(9), 1271–1289 (2019). https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2018.1314540

23. Al-Mdallal, Q.M.: On fractional-Legendre spectral Galerkin method for fractional Sturm–Liouville problems. Chaos Solitons Fractals 116, 261–267 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2018.09.032

24. Haji, M.A., Al-Mdallal, Q.M., Allan, F.M.: An efficient algorithm for solving higher-order fractional Sturm–Liouville eigenvalue problems. J. Comput. Phys. 272, 550–558 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2014.04.048

25. Al-Mdallal, Q.M., Al-Refai, M., Syam, M., Al-Sirhini, M.K.: Theoretical and computational perspectives on the eigenvalues of fourth-order fractional Sturm–Liouville problem. Int. J. Comput. Math. 95(8), 1548–1564 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2017.1322690

26. Djuric, N., Buterin, S.: On non-uniqueness of recovering Sturm–Liouville operators with delay. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 102, 105900 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2021.105900

27. Syam, M., Al-Mdallal, Q.M., Al-Refai, M.: A numerical method for solving a class of fractional Sturm–Liouville eigenvalue problems. Commun. Numer. Anal. 2017(2), 217–232 (2017). https://doi.org/10.5899/2017/cna-00334

28. Al-Refai, M., Abdeljawad, T.: Fundamental results of conformable Sturm–Liouville eigenvalue problems Complexity 2017, Article ID 3720471 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3720471

29. Liu, Y., Wong, P.J.Y.: Global existence of solutions for a system of singular fractional differential equations with impulse effects. J. Appl. Math. Inform. 33(3–4), 327–342 (2015). https://doi.org/10.14317/jami.2015.327

30. Baleanu, D., Ghafamnezhad, K., Rezapour, S.: On a three steps crisis integro-differential equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2019, 153 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2088-2

31. Talaei, M., Shabibi, M., Gilani, A., Rezapour, S.: On the existence of solutions for a pointwise defined multi-singular integro-differential equation with integral boundary condition. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020, 41 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-2517-2

32. Baleanu, D., Ghafamnezhad, K., Rezapour, S., Shabibi, M.: On a strong-singular fractional differential equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020, 350 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-2813-8

33. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)

34. Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I.: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications. Gordon & Breach, Philadelphia (1993)

35. Zeidler, E.: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications. Springer, New York (1986)