Improved passive catheter tracking with positive contrast for CMR-guided cardiac catheterization using partial saturation (pSAT)
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Abstract

Background: Cardiac catheterization is a common procedure in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD). Although cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) represents a promising alternative approach to fluoroscopy guidance, simultaneous high contrast visualization of catheter, soft tissue and the blood pool remains challenging. In this study, a novel passive tracking technique is proposed for enhanced positive contrast visualization of gadolinium-filled balloon catheters using partial saturation (pSAT) magnetization preparation.

Methods: The proposed pSAT sequence uses a single shot acquisition with balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) readout preceded by a partial saturation pre-pulse. This technique was initially evaluated in five healthy subjects. The pSAT sequence was compared to conventional bSSFP images acquired with (SAT) and without (Non-SAT) saturation pre-pulse. Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the catheter balloon, blood and myocardium and the corresponding contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) are reported. Subjective assessment of image suitability for CMR-guidance and ideal pSAT angle was performed by three cardiologists. The feasibility of the pSAT sequence is demonstrated in two adult patients undergoing CMR-guided cardiac catheterization.

Results: The proposed pSAT approach provided better catheter balloon/blood contrast and catheter balloon/myocardium contrast than conventional Non-SAT sequences. It also resulted in better blood and myocardium SNR than SAT sequences. When averaged over all volunteers, images acquired with a pSAT angle of 20° to 40° enabled simultaneous visualization of the catheter balloon and the cardiovascular anatomy (blood and myocardium) and were found suitable for CMR-guidance in >93% of cases. The pSAT sequence was successfully used in two patients undergoing CMR-guided diagnostic cardiac catheterization.

Conclusions: The proposed pSAT sequence offers real-time, simultaneous, enhanced contrast visualization of the catheter balloon, soft tissues and blood. This technique provides improved passive tracking capabilities during CMR-guided catheterization in patients.
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Background

The incidence of congenital heart disease (CHD) in Europe has recently been estimated at 8.2 per 1000 live births [1]. Cardiac catheterization is a common diagnostic and interventional procedure in patients with CHD [2, 3] which has classically been performed under fluoroscopic guidance.

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR)-guidance of cardiac catheterization procedures is a promising alternative to fluoroscopy as it avoids ionising radiation and provides improved real-time anatomical visualization of the cardiovascular structures [4–6]. This approach has been evaluated in a large number of pre-clinical studies [7–20]. However, clinical cardiac catheterization using CMR has been established in only a few centres [4, 21–27], mainly for diagnostic purposes. Very few interventional procedures have been carried out under CMR guidance [26, 28, 29]. Clinical translation has been hindered by a lack of suitable CMR-compatible catheters and guide-wires and also by the limited capabilities of current visualization techniques.

Current approaches for real-time catheter visualization during CMR-guided catheterization include active and passive tracking approaches [30]. Active tracking has been used in CMR-guided electrophysiology studies [31–34]. However, there are no active catheters or guide-wires in clinical use for congenital/structural heart disease with only pre-clinical experience in transcatheter aortic valve implantation and mitral valve interventions [16, 35].

Non-metallic catheters and guide-wires have been used for passive tracking in CMR-guided cardiac catheterization [24–26]. Balloon wedge catheters can be filled with gadolinium for positive contrast or CO2 for negative contrast (signal void) [26]. In order to improve the visualization of gadolinium-filled balloon catheters in real-time CMR, the interactive application of saturation pre-pulses during the intervention has been proposed [24]. Application of the saturation pulse maximizes the positive contrast between the gadolinium-filled balloon and the anatomy, for which visualization is reduced. Conversely, images acquired without saturation pre-pulses enable excellent anatomical visualization (blood and myocardium) but provide poor catheter visualization due to reduced contrast. Black blood preparation using flow sensitive gradients has been proposed to preserve soft tissue signal and simultaneously visualize the catheter balloon [36]. However, this technique also suppresses the blood signal and may result in suboptimal visualization of thinned walled anatomical structures such as the atriums and great vessels.

In this study, we developed and evaluated a novel positive contrast-based passive tracking sequence using partial saturation (pSAT) magnetization preparation, to provide simultaneous enhanced contrast visualization of both cardiovascular anatomy and gadolinium-filled balloon catheters. This technique was initially evaluated in healthy subjects and subsequently applied to CMR-guided catheterization in two patients with CHD.

Methods

All imaging was performed on a Philips XMR system (Achieva, Philips, Best, Netherlands), which consists of a 1.5 T CMR-scanner and a BV Pulsera cardiac X-Ray unit. A 5-channel cardiac phased array receiver coil was used for all imaging experiments. All experiments were conducted using a balloon wedge catheter (Arrow Intl., Inc., Reading, Pennsylvania, USA) filled with a dilution of 1% of gadolinium (Dotarem®, Guerbet LLC, Bloominglow, Indiana, USA) mixed with a physiological 0.9% sodium chloride solution. The study was approved by the National Research Ethics Service, with written informed consent obtained from all participants.

Passive tracking sequence using a partial saturation pre-pulse

A novel passive tracking sequence is proposed using real-time single-shot acquisition with bSSFP readout (TR/TE = 2.65 ms/1.3 ms, flip angle = 60°, FOV = 350 × 300 mm², voxel size = 2.2 × 2.5 mm², slice thickness = 10 mm, bandwidth = 1250 Hz/pixel, SENSE factor = 2, partial k-space acquisition in the phase encoding direction = 65%, number of phase encoding lines = 40, acquisition time = 106 ms, linear ordering, extra “dead time” of ~64 ms after each readout due to SAR constraints (2 W/kg) required for paediatric use). Each image is acquired immediately after a saturation pre-pulse with a reduced saturation angle to achieve partial saturation. The time between the pSAT pulse and the readout of the k-space center line (referred to as saturation delay time) was 41 ms. A non-selective saturation pulse provided and optimized by the manufacturer for regional saturation technique (REST) was used with the following parameters: bandwidth = 3966 Hz and duration = 2.1 ms with modulation of pulse power to reach the desired pSAT angle. Slight modifications of the pulse (duration = 2.3 ms and bandwidth = 3555 Hz) were employed for a pSAT angle of 90° to satisfy B1/SAR limits. This sequence has a temporal resolution of ~5 Hz. The sequence takes approximately 7 images (1.5 s) to reach steady-state resulting in a progressive improvement in visibility thereafter. Unless stated otherwise, all experiments in this study used the sequence parameters described in this section. The use of the pSAT sequence with a pSAT angle of 0° (i.e. saturation pulse turned off) and 90° are hereafter referred to as Non-SAT and SAT sequences, respectively.

In-vivo evaluation in healthy subjects

The pSAT sequence was evaluated in five healthy subjects (33 ± 3 year-old, 4 male). A passively tracked CMR
In-vivo CMR-guided catheterization in patients

Two patients (39 and 15 year old females) in whom XMR cardiac catheterization was clinically indicated for pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) assessment prior to consideration of further management were included. These patients had uncorrected atrioventricular septal defect and hypoplastic left heart syndrome post-Fontan completion, respectively. Both procedures were performed under general anaesthesia. A 6Fr sheath and a 20G arterial Laedercath (Vygon, Swindon, UK) were placed in the femoral vein and artery respectively for each patient. A 6Fr balloon wedge catheter (Arrow Intl., Inc., Reading, Pennsylvania, USA) was used throughout the CMR-guided procedure [25]. In the first patient, the pSAT sequence was run several times with different pSAT angles (10° to 60° in steps of 10°). CMR-guided catheterization was performed using the pSAT sequence with a pSAT angle of 30° and 40° for the first and second patient, respectively.

After the procedure, qualitative analysis of the same metrics used for the healthy volunteer study was performed using the preliminary datasets acquired with different pSAT angles in the first patient.

Results

In-vivo evaluation in healthy subjects

Figure 1 shows real-time images acquired with the pSAT sequence in one healthy volunteer. Increased of pSAT angle was associated with higher balloon/blood contrast but reduced ability to visualize cardiovascular structures.

| Score | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| 1     | Poor. Unable to differentiate cardiovascular structures |
| 2     | Low. Cardiovascular structures can be slightly intuited. |
| 3     | Intermediate. Cardiovascular structures can be delineated. |
| 4     | Good. Good definition of cardiovascular structures. |
| 5     | Excellent. Optimal cardiovascular structures delineation. |

| Score | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| 1     | Poor. Impossible to differentiate balloon and blood pool. |
| 2     | Low. Differentiation of blood and balloon difficult to perform. |
| 3     | Intermediate. Adequate signal disparity. |
| 4     | Good. Differentiation of blood and balloon easily performed. |
| 5     | Excellent. Excellent contrast between blood and balloon |

Table 1 Image quality scoring system for visualization of cardiovascular structures

Table 2 Image quality scoring system for contrast between blood pool and balloon of the wedge catheter
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Low pSAT angles (<20°) resulted in poor balloon/blood contrast, while larger pSAT angles (>40°) led to substantial reduction of blood and myocardium signal. Intermediate pSAT angles of 20°-40° enabled simultaneous visualization of balloon, blood, and myocardium.

The influence of the pSAT angle and slice thickness on the pSAT sequence measured in healthy subjects is shown in Fig. 2. To describe the influence of the pSAT angle on the pSAT sequence, the data from Fig. 2 were first averaged over all slice thicknesses and are reported as average SNR/CNR variation ± standard deviation (over all subjects) between the Non-SAT sequence and the pSAT sequence using each of the following pSAT angles: 20°, 40°, 90°. Balloon SNR reduced by 1 ± 6%, 4 ± 5%, 23 ± 10%, respectively. Blood SNR reduced by 36 ± 2%, 72 ± 1%, 97 ± 1%, respectively. Myocardium SNR reduction was 12 ± 4%, 42 ± 7%, 90 ± 2%, respectively. Balloon/blood CNR increased by 89 ± 31%, 207 ± 77%, 212 ± 68%, respectively. Low balloon/myocardium CNR variation was observed (<15% in all cases).

![Fig. 1 Images acquired with the pSAT sequence in one healthy volunteer using different pSAT angles (Blue arrow = syringe, white arrow = balloon wedge catheter). Image contrast was adjusted for each image to a window with minimum of 0 and maximum equal to twice the average right ventricular blood signal. Intermediate pSAT angles of 20°-40° enabled simultaneous visualization of balloon, blood and myocardium.](image1)

![Fig. 2 Influence of slice thickness and pSAT angle on the proposed pSAT sequence measured in healthy subjects. Data are shown as average and standard deviation over all subjects. Low pSAT angles (<20°) resulted in reduced balloon/blood CNR, while high pSAT angles (>40°) led to reduced blood and myocardium SNR. In the pSAT range of interest (20° to 40°), larger slice thicknesses resulted in higher SNRs and CNRs.](image2)
Fig. 3 Influence of framerate and pSAT angle on the proposed pSAT sequence measured in healthy subjects. Data are shown as average and standard deviation over all subjects. Low pSAT angles (<20°) resulted in reduced balloon/blood CNR, while high pSAT angles (>40°) led to reduced blood and myocardium SNR. In this pSAT range of interest (20° to 40°), lower framerate resulted in higher balloon, blood, and myocardium SNR, but reduced balloon/blood CNR and balloon/myocardium CNR.

Fig. 4 Subjective assessment of the pSAT sequence in healthy subjects. Catheter balloon/blood contrast (a), cardiovascular structure visualization (b), image suitability score for CMR-guidance (c), and ideal pSAT angle (d) are shown. In (a) and (b), scores were first averaged over all experts and are shown in average ± standard deviation over all subjects. A pSAT angle range of 20°-40° resulted in balloon/blood contrast score > 2, cardiovascular structure visualization score > 2 and image suitability for CMR-guidance in >93% of cases. The average ideal pSAT angle was 33 ± 7°.
Blood/myocardium CNR reduced by 49 ± 9%, 88 ± 1%, 98 ± 1%, respectively, demonstrating that most of this contrast vanishes with pSAT angle >40°.

To describe the influence of slice thickness on the pSAT sequence, the data from Fig. 2 were first averaged over all subjects and are reported as average SNR/CNR variations ± standard deviation (over all pSAT angles) between the smaller slice thickness (5 mm) and each of the larger slice thicknesses: 7 mm, 10 mm, 15 mm, 20 mm. Balloon SNR increased by 30 ± 8%, 62 ± 9%, 84 ± 8%, 96 ± 10%, respectively. Blood SNR increased by 38 ± 10%, 86 ± 18%, 169 ± 30%, 242 ± 40%, respectively. Myocardium SNR increased by 34 ± 14%, 82 ± 13%, 160 ± 26%, 262 ± 36%, respectively. In average over all pSAT angles >20°, Balloon/blood CNR increased by 29 ± 8%, 58 ± 12%, 71 ± 13%, 74 ± 22%, respectively. Balloon/myocardium CNR increased by 30 ± 9%, 60 ± 9%, 75 ± 9%, 72 ± 17%, respectively. In average over all pSAT angles <50°, Blood/myocardium CNR increased by 38 ± 15%, 107 ± 22%, 195 ± 33%, 237 ± 43%, respectively.

The influence of the pSAT angle and framerate on the pSAT sequence measured in healthy subjects is shown in Fig. 3. To describe the influence of framerate on the pSAT sequence, the data from Fig. 3 were first averaged over all subjects and are reported as average absolute SNR/CNR variations ± standard deviation (over all pSAT angles) between a framerate of 5 Hz and each of the two following framerates: 3.3 Hz and 2.5 Hz. Balloon SNR increased by 12 ± 7 and 12 ± 8, respectively. Blood SNR increased by 11 ± 3 and 17 ± 5, respectively. Myocardium SNR increased by 12 ± 2 and 18 ± 3, respectively.

Fig. 5 In-vivo analysis of the pSAT sequence in one patient undergoing CMR-guided catheterization. The catheter was positioned at the proximal inferior vena cava (arrow). a Images acquired using the pSAT sequence with different pSAT angles. Image contrast was adjusted for each image to a window with minimum of 0 and maximum equal to twice the average right ventricular blood signal. b-e Qualitative assessment of the pSAT sequence including catheter balloon/blood contrast (b), cardiovascular structure visualisation score (c), image suitability score for CMR-guidance (d), and ideal pSAT angle (e). A pSAT angle range of 10°-30° resulted in balloon/blood score > 2, cardiovascular structure visualization score > 2 and image suitability for CMR-guidance in 100% of cases. The average ideal pSAT angle was 27 ± 6°.
In average over all pSAT angles <60°, balloon/blood CNR reduced by 4 ± 3 and 10 ± 3, respectively, and balloon/myocardium CNR reduced by 3 ± 1 and 11 ± 3, respectively. All framerates provided similar blood/myocardium CNR (all variations < 1).

The subjective analysis of the healthy subject study is summarized in Fig. 4. Low pSAT angles (<20°) resulted in low balloon/blood contrast (scores <2). High pSAT angles (>40°) led to low quality visualization of cardiovascular structures (scores <2). A pSAT angle range of 20°-40° resulted in an average score for both visualization of cardiovascular structures and balloon/blood contrast >2 and was considered suitable for CMR-guidance in >93% of cases. Optimal pSAT angles were subjectively assessed to be 20–40°.

**In-vivo CMR-guided catheterization in patients**

Figure 5a and 6 show real-time images obtained with the proposed pSAT sequence in patients with CHD. Figure 5b-e shows qualitative results obtained in the first patient. A pSAT angle range of 10°-30° resulted in balloon/blood contrast score > 2, quality of cardiovascular structure visualization score > 2 and image suitability for MR-guidance in 100% of cases. The ideal pSAT angle ranged from 20 to 30°. In the first patient, the catheter was easily guided from the IVC to the right atrium, right ventricle, main pulmonary artery and pulmonary branches; in the second patient, the balloon was guided from the IVC into the pulmonary arteries without difficulty (see Fig. 6 and Additional file 1: Video S1). The balloon and the cardiovascular structures were well visualized throughout each procedure. The first procedure was performed solely under CMR guidance. Support with x-ray was needed for the second patient (post-Fontan completion) to access the intracardiac anatomy across the fenestration from the lateral tunnel of the Fontan pathway using a wire.

**Discussion**

In this study, we developed and evaluated a novel passive tracking sequence using a pSAT pre-pulse. This technique provides simultaneous visualization of the tip of the catheter and the patient’s anatomy with higher contrast than conventional Non-SAT sequences and better SNR than conventional SAT sequences. The clinical feasibility of CMR-guided catheterization using the proposed pSAT sequence was successfully demonstrated.

Good agreement was found between the healthy subject study and the patient study. The choice of the ideal pSAT angle consists in finding the best compromise between sufficient blood and myocardium SNR (which gradually deteriorates with increasing pSAT angles) and sufficient catheter balloon/blood CNR (which gradually improves with increasing pSAT angles of up to 50°). Overall, a pSAT angle of 20° to 40° was found to provide a good compromise between visualization of the cardiovascular anatomy (blood and myocardium) and catheter balloon/blood contrast for all employed slice thicknesses and framerates. In this pSAT angle range, larger slice thicknesses increased all measured SNRs and

---

**Fig. 6** Real-time images acquired in two patients during CMR-guided catheterization using the pSAT sequence with a pSAT angle of 30° (patient #1, a-c) and 40° (patient #2, d-f). Note balloon of the wedge catheter (see white arrow) at the inferior vena cava (a), main pulmonary artery (b) and left pulmonary wedge (c) in patient 1; and in the IVC (d) and LPA (e, f) in patient #2. Simultaneous visualization of catheter balloon and blood/heart structures was achieved with enhanced contrast and SNR using the proposed sequence.
The additional file provides a video illustrating the pSAT sequence's effectiveness in visually guiding catheterization in patients.

**Additional file 1: Video S1.** CMR-guided catheterization using the pSAT sequence in the second patient. The balloon of the wedge catheter is seen in the inferior vena cava, lateral tunnel and entering the left pulmonary artery. (MP4 8665 kb)

**Conclusion**
The proposed pSAT sequence offers real-time simultaneous contrast visualization of the catheter balloon, soft tissues and blood. This technique provides improved passive tracking capabilities during CMR-guided catheterization in patients.
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