Association of TNFSF4 (OX40L) polymorphisms with susceptibility to systemic sclerosis
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ABSTRACT

Objective It is increasingly being appreciated that multiple autoimmune diseases share common susceptibility genes. The tumour necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 4 gene (TNFSF4, OX40L), which encodes for the T cell costimulatory molecule OX40 ligand, has been identified as a susceptibility gene for the development of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Accordingly, the aim of the current study was to investigate the possible association of the TNFSF4 gene region with systemic sclerosis (SSc), an autoimmune disease that leads to the development of cutaneous and visceral fibrosis.

Methods A total of 9 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the TNFSF4 gene region, previously associated with susceptibility to SLE, were tested for association with SSc in a collection of 1059 patients with SSc and 698 controls.

Results Case-control comparisons revealed a significant association between susceptibility to SSc and the minor alleles at SNPs rs1234314 (OR 1.20, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.4, pFDR=0.019), rs2205960 (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.50, pFDR=0.019) and rs844648 (OR 1.16, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.30, pFDR=0.032). The minor allele at rs844644 was protective (OR 0.84, 95% CI 0.70 to 0.97, pFDR=0.038). Analysis of subsets of patients with SSc demonstrated significant associations of the TNFSF4 SNPs with limited and diffuse SSc as well as specific SNPs that were associated with SSc-associated autoantibodies. Finally, the analyses suggest a potential interaction between two TNFSF4 SNPs, rs2205960 and rs844648, with regards to SSc susceptibility.

Conclusions Polymorphisms in the TNFSF4 gene region are associated with susceptibility to SSc and its clinical and autoantibody subsets. TNFSF4 may be another gene that confers risk to multiple autoimmune diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, a number of reports have identified genes that are associated with the development of multiple autoimmune diseases suggesting that autoimmune diseases share genetic risk factors.1-3 For example, PTPN22 has been associated with the development of type I diabetes mellitus (TIDM), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE).4-6 STAT4 is a susceptibility gene for the development of SLE and RA.7 8 Together these studies implicate dysregulation of common immune pathways due to polymorphisms in several genes in the development of a variety of autoimmune diseases.

METHODS

Patients with SSc and controls

A total of 1059 Caucasian patients with SSc and 698 healthy Caucasian controls from the Scleroderma Family Registry and DNA Repository26 and the University of Texas Rheumatology Division,27 dating from 1986 to present, including the Genetics versus Environment in Scleroderma Outcomes Study (GENIOS).16 formed the current cohort. All patients with SSc fulfilled American College of Rheumatology (ACR) preliminary criteria for disease classification19 or had at least three of the five CREST (for ‘Calcinosi, Raynau’s phenomenon, Eosinophilic dysfunction, Sclerodactyly and
Telangiectasias) features. Patients were not excluded if they had symptoms of myositis or Sjogren’s syndrome. Patients in the registry were excluded if they met ACR criteria for SLE. In the division samples, one patient was subsequently diagnosed as having SLE. The patients were classified as limited or diffuse cutaneous SSc according to published criteria. All participants provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects of The University of Texas Health Science Centre at Houston.

Autoantibody analysis
Testing for antinuclear antibodies was performed using indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) and HEp-2 cells as antigen substrate (Antibodies Inc, Davis, California, USA). Anti-centromere antibodies (ACA) were determined by their distinctive IIF pattern on HEp-2 cells. Autoantibodies to topoiso merase I (ATA) were determined by passive immunodiffusion (Inova Diagnostics, San Diego, California, USA). Anti-RNA polymerase III antibodies (ARA) were determined by enzyme-linked immunosassay (MBL, Nagoya, Japan).

SNP selection and genotyping
Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the TNFSF4 gene region were selected based on TNFSF4 variants identified in two independent candidate gene studies in SLE. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood with the PureGene genomic DNA isolation kit (Genta Systems, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). The following TNFSF4 SNPs were genotyped: rs1234314, rs1234315, rs2205960, rs844644, rs12039904, rs2795288, rs844648, rs844665 and rs10912530, using an ABI TaqMan SNP genotyping assay from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, California, USA). ABI 7900HT real-time thermocycler was used to perform the plate reading. Automated allele calling was performed by allelic discrimination plots using SDS V.2.3 software (Applied Biosystems). Multiple positive (Centre d’Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (CEPH) samples) and negative controls were used in each genotyping assay and allele calls were verified with HapMap data for validation.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SAS V.9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA) software. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) testing was performed using the exact test for HWE. Allelic and genotypic associations were calculated using the standard Pearson χ² test or Fisher exact test. Statistical significance was considered at p<0.05 after correcting for multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate method (pFDR). Logistic regression analysis was performed to confirm these associations. Measures of pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) were determined using Haploview (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA). SAS was used for overall (‘omnibus test’) haplotype frequency tests as well as for haplotype-specific tests. The ‘omnibus test’ was evaluated to detect differences in overall haplotype frequency profiles between SSc and controls. Classification and regression tree (CART) analysis was performed to explore interactions between SNPs (CART V.6.0; Salford Systems, San Diego, California, USA) using gender and SNPs of TNFSF4 as nominal categorical variables to predict the outcome of SSc. Logistic regression analysis was performed to verify the SNP interaction observed in CART analysis.

Results
Linkage disequilibrium in the TNFSF4 gene region in healthy controls
A candidate gene association study was conducted using 1059 Caucasian patients with SSc and 698 healthy controls. Clinical and serological data for the patients with SSc are presented in table 1. Nine TNFSF4 SNPs previously associated with susceptibility to SLE were genotyped in patients with SSc and controls. All SNPs studied in the TNFSF4 gene region were in HWE in Caucasian controls (see supplementary material). Pairwise LD was calculated by D’ and r² for TNFSF4 SNPs typed in Caucasian controls (figure 1).

Table 1: Clinical and serological characteristics of patients with systemic sclerosis

| Characteristic                              | Value |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|
| Controls:                                  |       |
| Gender                                     |       |
| Female                                     | 334 (50.8) |
| Male                                       | 323 (49.2) |
| Systemic sclerosis:                        |       |
| Gender                                     |       |
| Female                                     | 935 (88.3) |
| Male                                       | 124 (11.7) |
| Skin involvement                           |       |
| Limited systemic sclerosis                 | 611 (60.9) |
| Diffuse systemic sclerosis                 | 393 (38.1) |
| SSc-associated autoantibodies              |       |
| Anti-centromere                            | 300 (28.3) |
| Anti-topoisomerase I                       | 174 (16.4) |
| Anti-RNA polymerase III                    | 193 (18.2) |
| Neither anti-centromere, anti-topoisomerase I, or anti-RNA polymerase III | 392 (37.1) |

Values are number (%).
*Missing gender information on 41 controls.

Figure 1: Linkage disequilibrium (LD) and haplotype block structure of the tumour necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 4 gene (TNFSF4) within healthy controls. Blocks connecting pairs of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are shaded according to the strength of the linkage disequilibrium between the SNPs, from 0.0 (white) to 1.0 (black), as measured by the disequilibrium coefficient D’. As an additional measure of strength of LD, r² values are given as numerical values within each box.
The TNFSF4 SNPs rs1234314–rs1234315, rs2205960–rs12039904, rs2205960–rs10912580, rs12039904–rs10912580 and rs2795288–rs844648 showed LD in the Caucasian population (r²>20.8). Given the tight LD of these SNPs, rs1234315, rs12039904, rs10912580 and rs2795288 were not used in subsequent analyses and the case-control comparisons were performed on the remaining five SNPs tagging the TNFSF4 region.

**TNFSF4 polymorphisms and association with SSc**

Case-control comparisons for genotypes using the χ² test demonstrated significant association for multiple SNPs in the TNFSF4 gene region (table 2). These associations remained significant even after controlling for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate method. Compared to controls, patients with SSc had a higher frequency of the minor allele at rs1234314 (OR 1.2, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.4), rs2205960 (OR 1.2, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.5) and rs844648 (OR 1.2, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.3). The minor allele frequency at rs844648 was less common in patients with SSc compared to controls (OR 0.8, 95% CI 0.7 to 0.97). These data were confirmed using logistic regression analyses after controlling for gender (figure 2). No significant difference was observed between patients with SSc and controls for the rs844665 variant.

**Table 2** Distribution of the tumour necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 4 gene (TNFSF4) SNP genotype in North American Caucasian patients with SSc and normal controls*

| SNP (minor allele) | Position (bp) (N=698) | Controls (N=1059) pU pFDR OR (95% CI) | SSc (N=1059) pU pFDR OR (95% CI) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| rs1234314 (G)     | 171440415             | 41.3                                     | 45.8                              | 0.01 0.01 1.20 (1.04 to 1.4) |
| rs2205960 (T)     | 171458098             | 21.2                                     | 25.0                              | 0.01 0.01 0.84 (0.7 to 0.97)  |
| rs844644 (A)      | 171476118             | 49.1                                     | 44.7                              | 0.01 0.01 1.16 (1.01 to 1.3)  |
| rs844666 (A)      | 171490486             | 41.4                                     | 45.2                              | 0.03 0.03 1.19 (0.9 to 1.5)   |
| rs844665 (T)      | 171515580             | 7.3                                      | 8.3                               | 0.31 0.31 1.19 (0.9 to 1.5)   |

*Controls are used as reference for all comparisons. bp, base pairs; MAF, minor allele frequency; pFDR, corrected p values using Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate method; pU, uncorrected χ² p values; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

**Figure 2** Estimated risk of the tumour necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 4 gene (TNFSF4) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in systemic sclerosis (SSc) and subsets of SSc compared to controls by logistic regression controlling for gender.

Analysis of the 5-SNP marker set (rs1234314, rs2205960, rs844644, rs844648, rs844665) in the TNFSF4 revealed 5 out of 28 specific 5-marker haplotypes that were associated with SSc (table 3). Four risk haplotypes were identified: C-G-A-A-C (p<10⁻⁴), G-G-C-G-C (p=0.0006), G-G-C-G-T (p<10⁻⁴) and G-T-C-G-C (p<10⁻⁴). Consistent with the individual SNP 3×2 χ² analysis, the C-G-A-A-C was found to be protective for the development of SSc (p<10⁻⁴). These data support the genetic associations of SNPs in TNFSF4 with SSc susceptibility observed in the case-control comparisons in table 2.

**TNFSF4 polymorphisms and association with subsets of SSc**

SSc is a clinically heterogeneous disease that is divided into two main clinical subsets based on the extent of cutaneous involvement: limited (lSSc) and diffuse (dSSc). Furthermore, the presence of SSc-associated autoantibodies such as ACA, ATA and ARA is associated with distinct clinical subsets characterised by extent of cutaneous involvement and pattern of organ involvement. Several studies have recently shown that certain genetic polymorphisms may have stronger associations with particular phenotypic subsets. Therefore, we compared the frequency of TNFSF4 SNPs within the clinical and autoantibody subsets of patients with SSc (table 4).

The minor alleles at TNFSF4 SNPs rs1234314 and rs2205960 were more common in lSSc compared to controls. No differences were observed with rs844644 and rs844648 between lSSc and controls. Compared to controls, the patients with dSSc had an increased frequency of the minor alleles at TNFSF4 SNPs rs1234314, rs2205960 and rs844648 and decreased frequency of the minor allele at rs844644. Comparison of patients with and without pulmonary fibrosis or pulmonary hypertension did not reveal any differences in the frequency of the SNPs in the TNFSF4 gene region.

Using the SSc-associated autoantibodies to subset patients with SSc, a significant association was observed with rs1234214 and ACA-positive (OR 1.3, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.6) and ATA-positive patients with SSc (OR 1.3, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.7) compared to controls. The minor allele at rs2205960 was more frequent in ATA-positive SSc compared to controls (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.9). Interestingly, only rs844648 was noted to be associated with ARA-positive SSc compared to controls (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.8). No significant differences were noted in the SSc-associated autoantibody subsets of SSc compared to controls at rs844644.

Additional analyses were performed using logistic regression with gender added to the model (figure 2). The strongest associations were observed with rs2205960, where the minor allele was observed with increased frequency in lSSc and dSSc as well as ATA-positive SSc compared to controls.

**Table 3** Haplotype analysis of the 5-SNP marker set in the tumour necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 4 gene (TNFSF4) in Caucasian patients with SSc and controls

| Haplotype* | Controls (N=694) SSc (N=1050) χ² pU Effect direction |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| ONNIBUS    | NA                                                    | 164.3 <0.0001 | Neutral |
| C-G-A-A-C   | 0.6%                                                  | 4.9% 52.6     | Risk    |
| C-G-A-G-C   | 43.4%                                                 | 35.1% 25.3    | Protective |
| G-G-C-G-C   | 0.4%                                                  | 1.7% 12.1     | 0.006 Risk |
| G-G-C-G-T   | 0.0%                                                  | 1.4% 20.6     | <0.0001 Risk |
| G-T-C-G-C   | 0.6%                                                  | 3.9% 37.6     | <0.0001 Risk |
| Others†    | 55.0%                                                 | 53.0%         | Neutral |

*The order of the SNPs is rs1234314–rs2205960–rs844644–rs844648–rs844665.
†Includes 23 other haplotypes.
NA, not applicable; pU, uncorrected χ² p values; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.
To identify the SNP(s) in TNFSF4 that play a more prominent role in SSc susceptibility, CART analysis was performed. Accordingly, the five TNFSF4 polymorphisms and gender were entered as variables in the CART model. CART analysis interactively segregates participants into two subgroups using the most powerful variable classifier for SSc.

**Table 4** Distribution of the tumour necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 4 gene (TNFSF4) SNP alleles in North American Caucasian patients with SSc and normal controls*

| Allelic comparison | N  | C   | G   | pU  | pFDR | OR  (95% CI) |
|--------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------------|
| rs1234314          |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Controls           | 1362| 58.7| 41.3| 0.01| 0.019| 1.20 (1.04 to 1.4) |
| Patients with systemic sclerosis | 2086| 54.2| 45.8| 0.009| 0.026| 1.24 (1.1 to 1.5) |
| Limited systemic sclerosis | 1202| 53.4| 46.6| 0.048| 0.048| 1.20 (1.1 to 1.4) |
| Diffuse systemic sclerosis | 774 | 54.3| 45.7| 0.17 | 0.23 | 1.17 (0.9 to 1.5) |
| Antibodies         |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Anti-centromere    | 586 | 51.7| 48.3| 0.005| 0.018| 1.33 (1.1 to 1.6) |
| Anti-topoisomerase I| 344 | 52.0| 48.0| 0.026| 0.052| 1.31 (1.02 to 1.7) |
| Anti-RNA polymerase III | 380 | 54.7| 45.3| 0.17 | 0.23 | 1.17 (0.9 to 1.5) |
| rs2205960          |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Controls           | 1378| 78.8| 21.2| 0.01| 0.019| 1.24 (1.1 to 1.5) |
| Patients with systemic sclerosis | 2058| 75.0| 25.0| 0.013| 0.026| 1.26 (1.1 to 1.5) |
| Limited systemic sclerosis | 1184| 74.7| 25.3| 0.037| 0.048| 1.25 (1.01 to 1.6) |
| Diffuse systemic sclerosis | 764 | 74.9| 25.1| 0.032| 0.056| 1.28 (1.01 to 1.6) |
| Antibodies         |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Anti-centromere    | 586 | 74.4| 25.6| 0.012| 0.048| 1.41 (1.1 to 1.9) |
| Anti-topoisomerase I| 342 | 72.5| 27.5| 0.057| 0.11 | 1.29 (0.98 to 1.7) |
| Anti-RNA polymerase III | 372 | 74.2| 25.8| 0.012| 0.048| 1.41 (1.1 to 1.9) |
| rs844644           |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Controls           | 1378| 49.1| 50.9| 0.01| 0.019| 0.84 (0.7 to 0.97) |
| Patients with systemic sclerosis | 2090| 44.8| 55.2| 0.045| 0.06 | 0.85 (0.7 to 1.0) |
| Limited systemic sclerosis | 1206| 45.1| 54.9| 0.019| 0.038| 0.81 (0.7 to 0.97) |
| Diffuse systemic sclerosis | 774 | 43.8| 56.2| 0.042| 0.056| 0.82 (0.7 to 1.0) |
| Antibodies         |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Anti-centromere    | 590 | 44.1| 55.9| 0.046| 0.055| 0.79 (0.6 to 1.0) |
| Anti-topoisomerase I| 346 | 43.1| 56.9| 0.026| 0.026| 0.88 (0.7 to 1.1) |
| Anti-RNA polymerase III | 380 | 45.8| 54.2| 0.012| 0.048| 1.41 (1.1 to 1.9) |
| rs844648           |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Controls           | 1384| 41.4| 58.6| 0.03| 0.032| 1.16 (1.01 to 1.3) |
| Patients with systemic sclerosis | 2094| 45.1| 54.9| 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.11 (0.95 to 1.3) |
| Limited systemic sclerosis | 1206| 44.0| 56.0| 0.022| 0.008| 1.32 (1.1 to 1.6) |
| Diffuse systemic sclerosis | 778 | 48.2| 51.8| 0.63 | 0.63 | 1.05 (0.9 to 1.3) |
| Antibodies         |    |     |     |     |      |             |
| Anti-centromere    | 592 | 42.6| 57.4| 0.055| 0.055| 1.26 (0.99 to 1.6) |
| Anti-topoisomerase I| 346 | 47.1| 52.9| 0.004| 0.014| 1.40 (1.1 to 1.8) |
| Anti-RNA polymerase III | 382 | 49.7| 50.3| 0.012| 0.048| 1.24 (1.1 to 1.5) |

*Controls are used as reference for all comparisons.

pFDR, corrected p values using Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate method; pU, raw $\chi^2$ p values; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

As the autoantibody subsets. The minor allele at rs844644 was protective in all groups except for the patients who were ARA positive. With regards to rs1234314, the associations remained in lSSc, ACA and ATA groups. However, the association of rs1234314 was no longer observed after controlling for gender in the logistic regression analyses. Lastly, with regards to rs844648, logistic regression confirmed the association with dSSc and ARA. Together these data suggest that different polymorphisms in the TNFSF4 gene region are associated with susceptibility to the distinct autoantibody and skin subsets in SSc.

**CART analysis for SNP interaction in the TNFSF4 gene region**

To identify the SNP(s) in TNFSF4 that play a more prominent role in SSc susceptibility, CART analysis was performed. Accordingly, the five TNFSF4 polymorphisms and gender were entered as variables in the CART model. CART analysis interactively segregates participants into two subgroups using the most powerful variable classifier for SSc.

Figure 3 depicts female gender as the first split. In women, the ‘TT/GT’ genotype of the TNFSF4 variant (rs2205960) increased risk for SSc as compared to the ‘GG’ wild-type...
genotype (OR = 1.51, 95% CI 1.2 to 1.9) consistent with a dominant model. The individuals with wild-type genotype ‘GG’ at rs2205960 were subsequently further split by the TNFSF4 variant (rs855648) into two subgroups: the ‘AA’ genotype of the TNFSF4 variant increased risk for SSc as compared to the ‘AG/GG’ genotypes (OR=2.55, 95% CI 1.3 to 5.3). Therefore, this analysis uncovered a potential interaction between the rs2205960 and rs855648 SNPs in the TNFSF4 gene region among women patients with SSc. This interaction between the two TNFSF4 variants, rs2205960 and rs855648, was further tested and confirmed by an interaction model in logistic regression analysis (p=0.0004).

**DISCUSSION**

The current report is the first demonstration of an association of polymorphisms in the TNFSF4 gene region with susceptibility to SSc in North American Caucasians. These associations were similar when comparing ISSc and dSSc with controls. We also identified particular SNPs in the TNFSF4 gene region that are associated with the SSc-associated autoantibody subsets of SSc. Lastly, the exploratory method of analysis, CART, demonstrated a potential interaction between the TNFSF4 SNPs rs2205960 and rs855648 among Caucasian women patients with SSc that was confirmed by logistic regression analysis.

TNFSF4 encodes for the protein OX40 ligand (OX40L). OX40L is expressed on dendritic cells, macrophages, B cells, T cells, natural killer (NK) cells as well as non-immune cells such as endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells. It is the ligand for the OX40 which is expressed on CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, where it provides a costimulatory signal resulting in T cell proliferation, survival and cytokine production. Several studies have suggested that OX40–OX40L interaction may preferentially promote T helper (Th)2 cytokines and may be a negative regulatory signal for interleukin (IL)17 production. More recently it has been suggested that OX40–OX40L interactions inhibit the formation of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. In addition to T cell effects, OX40–OX40L interactions also have been reported to be critical in differentiation of B cells and antibody production. Lastly, it has been reported that plasmacytoid dendritic cells may regulate T helper responses through a balance of OX40L expression and type I interferon expression such that OX40L alone induces a Th2 response and OX40L with type I interferon may have a Th1 inducing effect. Together these studies suggest an important role for OX40–OX40L interactions in critical immunoregulatory checkpoints that are likely involved in the development of autoimmunity. Accordingly, OX40L transgenic mice on the C57/BL6 background develop organ specific inflammation and targeted strategies to block OX40–OX40L interactions have prevented the development of diabetes in the non-obese diabetic mouse and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, a model for multiple sclerosis. It is likely that these pathways and OX40L-dependent immune alterations are important in the development of SSc. Indeed serum levels of OX40 have been reported to be elevated in patients with SSc, suggesting that the OX40–OX40L pathway may be involved in SSc. However, specifically how the OX40L polymorphisms lead to the development of SSc is unknown.

The current report adds TNFSF4 to the list of genes that are associated with SSc susceptibility which includes major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II, PTPN22, AIF4, IRFS, STAT4 and FAS. Furthermore, given the association of TNFSF4 with SLE as well as SSc, it is likely that TNFSF4 is a gene that confers risk to multiple autoimmune diseases. Why individual patients will develop a specific autoimmune disease such as SLE, RA, or SSc remains unknown. Functional studies of the polymorphisms in these genes as well as studies of gene–gene and gene–environment interactions are necessary to better understand how the genetic associations lead to specific autoimmune diseases.

Our study, despite its large size, is limited to the North American Caucasian population. Current efforts are underway to confirm these findings in other populations. However, we believe that the prior association of TNFSF4 with SLE and the emerging concepts of shared autoimmune genes provide some validation of our novel findings in SSc. Furthermore, the odds ratios that we observed in the current study are small, but statistically significant. It is well established that SSc and other autoimmune diseases are complex polygenic diseases and it will be important to investigate for interactions among these candidate genes in the future. Another important limitation to consider when interpreting the findings of the current study is that our data demonstrate an association of a region 5′ of the TNFSF4 gene region with SSc susceptibility. These SNPs have been reported to be within the promoter region of TNFSF4 but their specific regulatory role is not known. Higher resolution genotyping or DNA sequencing of this region gene will help to identify the SNPs involved in SSc pathogenesis and also determine the variation in genetic structure of this gene in various populations.

To summarise, the current report identifies TNFSF4 as a susceptibility gene for the development of SSc. These data further our understanding of the complex immunopathogenesis of SSc and provide additional support for the emerging concept of shared genes in multiple autoimmune diseases.
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