P³-Net: Part Mobility Parsing from Point Cloud Sequences via Learning Explicit Point Correspondence
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Abstract

Understanding an articulated 3D object with its movable parts is an essential skill for an intelligent agent. This paper presents a novel approach to parse 3D part mobility from point cloud sequences. The key innovation is learning explicit point correspondence from a raw unordered point cloud sequence. We propose a novel deep network called P³-Net to parallelize the trajectory feature extraction and the point correspondence establishment, performing joint optimization between them. Specifically, we design a Match-LSTM module to reaggregate point features among different frames by a point correspondence matrix, a.k.a. the matching matrix. To obtain this matrix, an attention module is proposed to calculate the point correspondence. Moreover, we implement a Gumbel-Sinkhorn module to reduce the many-to-one relationship for better point correspondence. We conduct comprehensive evaluations on public benchmarks, including the motion dataset and the PartNet dataset. Results demonstrate that our approach outperforms SOTA methods on various 3D parsing tasks of part mobility, including motion flow prediction, motion part segmentation, and motion attribute (i.e., axis & range) estimation. Moreover, we integrate our approach into a robot perception module to validate its robustness.

Introduction

Our environment packs with plenty of articulated objects, e.g., a cabinet or a fridge. Automatically parsing an articulated object into its 3D part mobility is indispensable for driving an agent to complete a manipulation task (Mo et al. 2021). Fig. 1 demonstrates a typical scenario of robot-object interaction. A human user says “please open the fridge and carry an apple to me”. Following this instruction, the household robot should find out where the door of the fridge is and how to open it. Therefore, the robot perception module requires various 3D part mobility parsing techniques, including motion flow prediction (Yi et al. 2018; Liu, Qi, and Guibas 2019), motion part segmentation (Yi et al. 2018; Yan et al. 2019), and motion attribute (i.e., axis & range) estimation (Li et al. 2020; Hu et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2019).

Several attempts have been made to parse part mobility from a single snapshot such as a point cloud (Wang et al. 2019; Yan et al. 2019; Mo et al. 2021) and a depth image (Li et al. 2020). However, these snapshot-based approaches are limited for a robot to accomplish interaction tasks, due to lacking the consecutive part motion information, e.g., the observation of a single snapshot confuses the robot whether a closed door should be opened from the right side or the left side. Thanks to the recent advances in real-time 3D acquisition techniques such as commercial RGB-D and LiDAR cameras, point cloud sequences are readily available for visual perception. Unlike a single static snapshot, point cloud sequences contain meaningful semantic, spatial, and temporal information, enabling a robot to perform dynamic perception of part mobility with fine granularity.

However, it is difficult to learn the spatial-temporal information from the unordered point cloud sequences. In general, the main challenges are threefold: (1) how to extract a proper trajectory feature among multiple frames for each 3D point; (2) how to establish the one-to-one, point-level, consecutive correspondences from the point cloud sequences; and (3) how to optimize above 3D point trajectories. The pioneer work (Shi, Cao, and Zhou 2021) obtains aligned point
This paper presents a novel approach to parse 3D part mobility, including motion flow prediction, motion part segmentation, and motion attribute (i.e., axis & range) estimation, from point cloud sequences. Learning explicit point correspondence is our key innovation, which can improve the trajectory feature extraction and has better interpretability. To this end, we design a novel deep network called P3-Net to jointly optimize trajectory feature extraction and point correspondence establishment, benefiting the processing of unordered point cloud sequences.

Specifically, we adopt a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997) network to process point cloud sequences because of its generalizability in processing sequential and time-series data (Sutskever, Vinyals, and Le 2014). However, it is problematic to utilize LSTM to model point trajectories directly since the unordered point cloud sequence is not organized in the form of point trajectories. LSTM-cell has a tuple of features, which requires the correspondence in the input data. To this end, we propose Match-LSTM (Fig. 3), which regresses point features according to a matching matrix. This way, we obtain a set of motion trajectory features.

Therefore, we implement an attention-based method to obtain the matching matrix (Fig. 4). Given two consecutive frames, we devise a shared PointNet++ (Qi et al. 2017b) to extract the point geometric features. We utilize two kinds of attention mechanisms to obtain the matching matrix based on pairs of geometric features. The first is the intra-frame attention which searches for a region containing similar points for each point in one frame, encoding non-local semantic information. The second is inter-frame attention for finding point correspondences between two consecutive frames. These attention modules can accommodate continuously adjustable point-to-point relationships from two neighboring regions in the feature level. Finally, we apply a Gumbel-Sinkhorn algorithm (Mena et al. 2018) to transform the raw matching matrix into an approximate doubly stochastic matrix, with which we build the point correspondence.

Finally, we conduct extensive experiments with comparisons to many state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods (Yi et al. 2018; Liu, Qi, and Guibas 2019; Cao et al. 2020; Liu, Yan, and Bohg 2019; Shi, Cao, and Zhou 2021) on multiple tasks over several public benchmarks including the mobile dataset (Wang et al. 2019) and the PartNet dataset (Mo et al. 2019). Results show that our approach outperforms the existing methods on part mobility parsing, including motion flow prediction, motion part segmentation, and motion attribute estimation.

Our work makes the following contributions:

- We present a novel approach to parsing 3D part mobility from point cloud sequences. The parsing results include motion flow prediction, motion part segmentation, and motion attribute (i.e., axis & range) estimation, which are essential for robot perception.
- We design a novel deep network (P3-Net) with three efficient modules (i.e., Match-LSTM, Attention, and Gumbel-Sinkhorn), which can jointly optimize the trajectory feature extraction and the point correspondence establishment from point cloud sequences.
- Comprehensive evaluations of our method are conducted on public benchmarks. Results show that our method outperforms existing works on various tasks. Moreover, we integrate our approach into a robot perception module to validate its robustness.

**Related Work**

**Deep Learning on the Point Cloud.** Deep learning on point cloud processing (Guo et al. 2021) has received significant achievements in several tasks, including classification, segmentation, detection, etc. Moreover, researchers tend to study new tasks that are associated with part mobility for robot from a single point cloud (Li et al. 2020; Mo et al. 2021). Compared to deep learning on the 2D image (regular domains), the classic convolution (Krizhevsky, Sutskever, and Hinton 2012) is difficult to be applied to a 3D point cloud because of the challenge of processing unordered sets. The pioneering work, PointNet (Qi et al. 2017a), considers each point independently and aggregates point features into the global feature using a max pooling operation to address the problem of order-invariance. Subsequent works explored different neighborhood aggregation mechanisms to obtain hierarchical local point set features, such as ball-query strategy (Qi et al. 2017b), CNN-like point convolution (Li et al. 2018), voxel-based technique (Maturana...
and Scherer 2015), graph-based approach (Simonovsky and Komodakis 2017), etc.

**Deep Learning on Point Cloud Sequence.** Most recently, researchers are not confined to study on a single point cloud. The newly emerged applications, including motion flow prediction, motion segmentation, and motion attribute estimation, contribute to autonomous driving and personal assistant robots. In the flow prediction, Yi et al. (Yi et al. 2018) infer part motion flow and part segmentation by comparing two different motion states from an articulated object. Liu et al. (Liu, Qi, and Guibas 2019) propose FlowNet3D that estimates scene flow from a pair of consecutive point clouds in an end-to-end fashion and evaluates it on the real LiDAR scans from the KITTI scene flow dataset (Menze and Geiger 2015). In the case of segmentation, Liu et al. (Liu, Yan, and Bohg 2019) propose MeteorNet, which is the first work on deep learning for dynamic point cloud sequences. They merge all frames into a single point cloud and then process the point cloud using two different ball-query strategies: direct grouping and chained-flow grouping. Yan et al. (Yan et al. 2019) introduces RPM-Net to infer movable parts of rect grouping and chained-flow grouping. Yan et al. (Yan et al. 2020) propose an attention-based network called ASAP-Net used for semantic segmentation in dynamic point clouds. In the case of motion attribute estimation, Shi et al. (Shi, Cao, and Zhou 2021) propose a self-supervised deep learning method on regular trajectories that segments the motion part and estimates the motion axis and motion range.

**Point Correspondence.** Compared to the single point cloud, processing raw point cloud sequences has a challenge that two consecutive frames do not have explicit point correspondences. Before deep learning became prevalent, traditional iterative methods usually establish point correspondences and then compute these correspondences’ optimal transformation. These methods employ either handcrafted (Rusu, Blodow, and Beetz 2009; Tombari, Salti, and di Stefano 2010) or learned (Khoury, Zhou, and Koltun 2017; Deng, Birdal, and Ilic 2018; Yew and Lee 2018) 3D local feature descriptors to estimate candidate correspondences in combination with a RANSAC-like estimator (Fischler and Bolles 1981). Recent works improve traditional registration methods with deep learning (Elbaz, Avraham, and Fischer 2017; Aoki et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2019; Choy, Dong, and Kolton 2020; Bai et al. 2020; Poiesi and Boscaini 2021; Ao et al. 2021) in an end-to-end fashion. Moreover, Attention mechanism (Vaswani et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Wang and Solomon 2019) has been proven to efficiently model dependencies from sequences and images without regard to their element distance and order, which this characteristic makes it can be applied to point correspondence estimation potentially.

**Overview of Our Approach**
We propose a novel deep network called P³-Net to parse 3D part mobility, including motion flow prediction, motion part segmentation, and motion attribute estimation, by learning explicit point correspondence. The inputs to our network are point cloud sequences \(\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_T\}\) captured from an articulated object, where \(T\) denotes the number of frames. The network aims to first segment \(l\) motion parts \(\{S^i| i = 1, 2, ..., l\}\). Then, for each motion part, the network estimates the motion attributes, including the motion axis and the motion range. We denote the motion axis as the start point \(\mu\) and axis orientation \(\omega\). The motion ranges have rotation angle \(\theta\) and shift distance \(\phi\) for two different motion joints: revolute joint and prismatic joint. Moreover, the network utilizes actual motion flow to establish point correspondence. To obtain accurate point correspondence, the network also predicts the motion flow.

**P³-Net Architecture**
In this section, we introduce our P³-Net architecture with three efficient modules for 3D part mobility parsing from point cloud sequences, which parallelizes the trajectory feature extraction and the point correspondence establishment with jointly optimizing them (Fig. 2). Specifically, The Match-LSTM module is designed to process the unordered point cloud sequence, which the sequence is converted to motion trajectories by a match matrix. We utilize attention mechanisms module to calculate the matrix using point correspondence. Moreover, the Gumbel-Sinkhorn module without trainable parameter convert the matrix to a approximate doubly stochastic matrix when using the matrix in the Match-LSTM. Finally, we describe how to apply our architecture on three end tasks.

**Trajectory Feature Extraction**
The wide applications of LSTM in natural language processing and video understanding have demonstrated its strong ability on processing regular sequential data. The LSTM-cell is responsible for keeping track of dependencies between elements in a sequence. Compared to the vanilla RNN only maintaining a hidden state \((h_t)\), the LSTM-cell also contains a cell state \((c_t)\).

**Match-LSTM Module** The original LSTM cannot be directly applied to unordered point cloud sequences due to lacking the relationship between the input \((x_t)\) and a pair of features \((h_{t-1} \text{ and } c_{t-1})\). To this end, we propose Match-LSTM to extract trajectory feature. Formally, our Match-LSTM accepts a point cloud sequence containing \(T\) frames \(\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_T\}\) as input \((T=8\text{ by default})\), where each frame is a point cloud consisting of \(n\) points \(\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_n\}\) \((n=1024\text{ by default})\). At each step \(i\), our model firstly estimates a point correspondence matrix, *a.k.a.* the matching matrix \(M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) for two consecutive frames \(P_i\) and \(P_{i+1}\) by the attention module. Then, Match-LSTM employs \(M_i\) to transform the states, \(h_i\) and \(c_i\), for future steps.

To obtain trajectory features, it is unreasonable to rearrange \(P_{i+1}\) into the same point order as \(P_i\), to construct a normalized point cloud for the next step, since there is no guarantee on the one-to-one mapping between points of \(P_i\) and \(P_{i+1}\) due to the uneven point density. Therefore, we implement the rearrangement in the feature level. Denoting \(F_{i\rightarrow i}\) as trajectory features from the first frame to the \(i\)-th frame, each of them contains a hidden state and a cell.
state obtained by the Match-LSTM. When processing \( P_{t+1} \), Match-LSTM first reaggregates \( F_{1 \rightarrow i+1} \) instead of \( F_{1 \rightarrow i+1} \) by a matching matrix, and then obtains \( F_{1 \rightarrow i+1} \) followed by a naive LSTM-cell (Fig. 3). Therefore, the direction of the matching matrix is \( \mathbb{P}_t \leftarrow \mathbb{P}_{t+1} \), which indicates that feature, \( F_{1 \rightarrow i+1} \), is based on the order of \( \mathbb{P}_{t+1} \). The first advantage is that it avoids fewer and fewer available points since all points in \( \mathbb{P}_{t+1} \) are used. The second advantage is that we only need consider the point correlation between two consecutive frames rather than from the first frame to the current frame. Finally, the end task results are based on the last frame, \( \mathbb{P}_T \). Moreover, we apply the soft correspondence, which searches the top \( k \) similar points to improve the robustness (\( k = 8 \) by default). The forward pass of the Match-LSTM at step \( i + 1 \) can be formulated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_{i+1}, c_{i+1} &= \text{LSTM}(x_{i+1}, h_i \cdot M_i, c_i).
\end{align*}
\]

**Point Correspondence Establishment**

To convert the raw point cloud sequence into motion trajectories, we calculate the matching matrix (\( M \)). Specifically, we first calculate point similarities by computing points’ geometrical distances and then use an attention-based method to obtain point correspondence, which is called the attention module. Moreover, we implement a Gumbel-Sinkhorn module to reduce many-to-one relationships for better point correspondence.

**Attention Module** To measure the point similarity between \( P_t \) (denoted as \( P \) for simplicity) and \( P_{t+1} \) (\( Q \)), we employ a standard attention mechanism to effectively weigh the relevance of two points by exploiting their local geometrical features. The attention function (Vaswani et al. 2017) can be described as a mapping between a query feature vector \( Q \) and a set of key-value feature vector pairs \((K, V)\) as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{Att}(Q, (K, V)) &= \text{SoftMax}\left(\frac{K^T \cdot Q}{\sqrt{d_Q}}\right)V,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( d_Q \) is the dimension of \( Q \) for scaling the numbers.

\[\text{As for obtaining geometrical features of } P \text{ and } Q (i.e., } F_P, F_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 128} \text{), we employ a shared PointNet++ to achieve it. To integrate more information inside each frame, we adopt a self-attention mechanism to establish an intra-frame relationship. A self-attention module computes the response at \( p_i \) by attending it to \( P \setminus p_i \) and takes their weighted summation. Then, we can obtain non-local point semantic features } F_{P, \text{self}} \text{ and } F_{Q, \text{self}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 128} \text{ by:}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    F_{P, \text{self}} &= F_P + \text{Att}(F_P W_Q, (F_P W_K, F_P W_V)), \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( W_Q, W_K, \) and \( W_V \) are trainable parameters.

To obtain the final geometric point feature, we further feed \( F_{P, \text{self}} \) and \( F_{Q, \text{self}} \) into a shared Multi-Layer Perception (MLP) network. Applying the cross-frame attention module, we can obtain the point correspondence \( M \) (Fig. 4) by:

\[
\begin{align*}
    M &= \eta(F_{P, \text{self}}) \times \eta(F_{Q, \text{self}}), \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \eta: \mathbb{R}^{n \times 128} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times 128} \) is a differentiable function, and \( \times \) is the Cartesian product which enumerates similarities for each pair \((p_i, q_j)\).

**Model Training of Point Correspondence** To conduct the model training, supervision signals are needed. And here we choose the actual motion flow as the learning supervision. Concretely, we translate point correspondence cues into a motion flow for a motion sequence of an articulated object, which can help us discover part motions. For each point in \( P \) plus the motion flow \( \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \), we can obtain the ground truth point correspondence \( M \) by searching its nearest point in \( Q \). Then we utilize the cross-entropy loss to optimize our model as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \mathcal{L}_{\text{match}} &= H(\hat{M}, M), \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( H \) is the cross-entropy function.

**Gumbel-Sinkhorn Module** Match-LSTM employs a forward motion flow as supervised information (direction based on row) and utilizes the backward direction of the matching matrix (direction based on column). Meanwhile, to reduce many-to-one relationships, the matching matrix should be close to a doubly stochastic matrix, which is a square matrix whose values are non-negative, with each row and column summing to one. Hence, the matching matrix generation can be regarded as a bipartite matching problem,
which in theory can be solved by the Hungarian algorithm (Kuhn 2010). However, it is challenging to apply
the Hungarian algorithm to deep learning since it is non-
differentiable. Therefore, we adopt a differentiable approxi-
mate solution, the Gumbel-Sinkhorn algorithm proposed by
Mena et al. (Mena et al. 2018), which is similar to an iter-
ative method of the row-based and column-based SoftMax.

To ensure M is a positive matrix, we employ a ReLU acti-
vation function (Agarap 2018) before the dot product oper-
ation. Based on the Gumbel-Sinkhorn (GS) module, we can
transform the continuous correspondence matrix M into a
discrete correspondence matrix \( \hat{M} \):

\[
\hat{M} = \lim_{\tau \to 0^+} \text{GS}(M/\tau),
\]

where \( \tau \) is a temperature parameter, and the lower tem-
perature can lead to an approximate sampling of a doubly
stochastic matrix.

**End Tasks**

Three end tasks including motion flow prediction, mo-
tion part segmentation, and motion attribute estimation
are considered. Formally, given a point cloud sequence
\( \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_T\} \), we first obtain the geometric feature se-
quence \( \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_T\} \) resort to a Pointnet++ network due
to its simplicity and effectiveness. Meanwhile, we obtain
\( T - 1 \) matching matrix \( \{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_{T-1}\} \) computed
by the attention module. Last, we feed these features and ma-
trices into the Match-LSTM module to obtain the motion
trajectory feature \( F_{I \rightarrow T} \). For end tasks, they accept the last
frame \( P_T \) and the trajectory feature \( F_{I \rightarrow T} \) as input, since
the order of trajectory feature is based on the order of
the last frame.

**Motion Flow Prediction.** Motion flow prediction only ac-
tepts paired point clouds \( (P, Q) \) as the input to ensure a
fair comparison with Yi et al. (Yi et al. 2018) and Liu et.
al. (Liu, Qi, and Guibas 2019). Moreover, we evaluate the
backward motion flow \( \text{flow}_{P \leftarrow Q} \), because the trajectory fea-
ture is based on the order of \( Q \). We utilize the \( L_2 \) loss func-
tion \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{flow}} \) to learn the deformation flow as:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{flow}} = \| \text{flow}^{P \leftarrow Q} - \text{flow}_{P \leftarrow Q} \|^2.
\]

**Motion Part Segmentation.** The input is the raw point
cloud sequence \( \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_T\} \), and our segmentation re-
sults is on the last frame \( P_T \). Then, we adopt a cross-entropy
loss function \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{seg}} \), which is designed as:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{seg}} = H(\xi(P_T, F_{I \rightarrow T}, l(P_T))),
\]

where \( \xi : \mathbb{R}^{n \times 259} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times c} \) is a PointNet++, and \( l(P_T) \) is
the ground truth segmentation label.

**Motion Attribute Estimation.** Taking the point cloud se-
quence \( \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_T\} \) as input, we estimate its motion axis
and motion range. Each point cloud sequence has one mov-
ing part. We denote the motion axis as the start point \( \mu \) and
axis orientation \( \omega \). The motion range is defined by the rota-
tion angle \( \theta \) and the shift distance \( \phi \). The part rigid transfor-
mation is noted as \( [R, t] \), where \( R \in SO(3) \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R}^3 \).

It is not suitable for using the \( L_2 \) loss function because
any point along the motion axis can be the start point. By
observing that the start point is not essential for the transla-
tion and not changed around an axis, we ignore the loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \)
in translation, which is designed as:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\mu} = \| R\bar{\mu} - \hat{\mu} \|^2.
\]

Finally, we use the cosine distance for motion direction
prediction and \( L_2 \) loss function for motion range estimation:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\omega, \theta, \phi} = \cos (\hat{\omega}, \omega) + ||\hat{\theta} - \theta||^2 + ||\hat{\phi} - \phi||^2.
\]

**Experiments and Results**

**Dataset**

**The Motion Dataset (Wang et al. 2019).** It is a 3D bench-
mark for part mobility analysis, which encompasses both

---

**Figure 5:** Visualization of our part mobility parsing results, including motion flow prediction (a-c), motion part segmentation
(d-g), and motion attribute estimation (h-k). For motion flow prediction, (a): the first frame; (b): the first frame with predicted
motion flow; (c): the predicted second frame and the ground-truth second frame. For motion part segmentation, (d): the first
frame; (e): the last frame; (f): our segmentation results; (g): ground-truth segmentation results. For motion attribute estimation,
(h): the first frame; (i): the last frame; (j): our predicted axis; (k): ground-truth axis.
The PartNet Dataset (Mo et al. 2019). It is a consistent dataset of 3D objects annotated with fine-grained, instance-level, and hierarchical 3D part information, and Xiang et al. (Xiang et al. 2020) enriched the dataset with motion attributes. It provides 26671 3D models in 24 categories.

Compared with the SOTA Methods

Motion Flow Prediction. We benchmark our method against two alternatives, Yi et al. (Yi et al. 2018) and FlowNet3D (Liu, Qi, and Guibas 2019). We use three metrics to test the flow prediction by all methods. We employ 3D end-point-error defined in (Yan and Xiang 2016), which is the average distance between the predicted flow and the ground truth flow. Moreover, we adopt flow estimation accuracy with two different thresholds defined in (Liu, Qi, and Guibas 2019), which describes point flows within a certain precision. We report the results of P$^3$-Net and two SOTA methods that take a pair of point clouds as input in Tab. 1. The results show that our method is superior to the SOTA methods. Moreover, our network requires less training time than theirs. Fig. 5 (left) shows that our predicted second frame and ground-truth second frame are overlapped.

Motion Part Segmentation. We compare our network with MeteorNet (Liu, Yan, and Bohg 2019) and ASAP-Net (Cao et al. 2020). We choose two metrics to analyze the performance of these methods. The mean per-part Intersection over Union (IoU) defined in (Yi et al. 2016), as well as overall segmentation accuracy (ACC), is the popular measure for 3D segmentation. We report the IoU and ACC in total shown in Tab. 2. The results demonstrate that our P$^3$-Net outperforms two SOTA methods. The reason is our method makes full use of motion-related temporal information from point cloud sequences by explicit learning point correspondences, which can transfer similar motion part features into the last frame. We show the visualization in Fig. 5 (middle).

Motion Attribute Estimation. Motion attribute estimation consists of motion axis prediction and motion range estimation. Unlike Shi et al. (Shi, Cao, and Zhou 2021), our method can directly accept the raw point cloud sequence as input and train in an end-to-end fashion. We utilize four metrics to evaluate the accuracy of the predicted motion axis and motion range. To evaluate the motion axis, we adopt minimum distance (MD) from the predicted start point to the ground truth axis and orientation error (OE) between the predicted axis and the ground truth axis, which are defined in (Wang et al. 2019). We also employ the $\theta_e$ and $\phi_e$ to measure the rotation range error and translation range error, which are defined in (Shi, Cao, and Zhou 2021). We report the results in Tab. 3, which demonstrates that our methods achieve better performance than theirs. Visualization results are shown in Fig. 5 (right).

Ablation Study

The Effect of Our Modules. In our approach, we adopt the attention module to establish point correspondences. Then, we feed the match matrix to the Match-LSTM module to extract features. The core of Match-LSTM module is the match matrix, otherwise it becomes a vanilla LSTM module without the match matrix. To validate the effect of individual modules, we compare four different variants incrementally. P$^3$-Net$^{\text{static}}$ inputs the last frame of each point cloud sequence. Conversely, P$^3$-Net$^{\text{w/o Sink}}$ inputs the point cloud sequence, which contains a vanilla LSTM to aggregates features. P$^3$-Net$^{\text{w/o Sink}}$ contains the Match-LSTM, which the match matrix is obtain by the attention module to establish point correspondences.
Figure 7: The impact of the temperature on the performance, where mean Interaction over Union (IoU) represents the segmentation accuracy.

Figure 8: The visualization of the real data. We show three frames of the point cloud sequence (a-c). We demonstrate the motion part segmentation and motion attribute estimation on the last frame (d).

The Effect of the Temperature $\tau$. Match-LSTM requires a matching matrix to reaggregate point features, which is better to make the matching matrix to be a sparse matrix because the sparse matrix can help the network to find better point correspondence. Thus, we adopt a Gumbel-Sinkhorn module for Match-LSTM. In the Gumbel-Sinkhorn module, the hyperparameter, $\tau$, controls the matching matrix’s sparse degree, and the lower temperature can improve the sparse degree. Nevertheless, the lower temperature usually leads to higher variance in gradients, which makes training unstable. Thus, we conduct an ablation study to find a better setting of $\tau$. We illustrate the result in Fig. 7 and find out that the network performance improves until it peaks at around 0.3.

Qualitative Experiment on Real Scan

It often appears real data with scanning artifacts because of the single view and noise. To process real data, we train our network with synthetic scan dataset by the simulation environment. We choose the $\tau$ that is not too low in the Gumbel-Sinkhorn module and adopt the soft correspondence to mitigate those effects. However, few large real scan datasets contain part-level motion. To verify the effectiveness, we scan the real data by ourselves, and Fig. 8 shows examples of part mobility prediction on real scan data. Despite these challenges, our network still outputs reasonable results and demonstrates its robustness.

Robot Arm Manipulation Application

We deploy a simulation environment based on Unity and ROS (Quigley et al. 2009) for robot arm manipulation. Fig. 9 shows an example of our system. We first demonstrate the actual motion of the drawer, and then the robot arm repeats the interaction by estimating the motion attribute. We adopt GG-CNN (Morrison, Leitner, and Corke 2018) to detect the contact point and use our network to estimate motion attributes, including motion axis and range. The experiment indicates that our method would be conducive to the household robot and personal assistant robot.

Conclusion

Part mobility parsing is essential for a robot to perceive the surroundings and interact with the real world. In this work, we present a novel approach to parse part mobility from point cloud sequences via learning explicit point correspondences. To this end, we design a new deep network architecture ($P^3$-Net) with three efficient modules (i.e., Match-LSTM, Attention, and Gumbel-Sinkhorn), which can jointly optimize the trajectory feature extraction and the point correspondence establishment. We conduct intensive experiments on public benchmarks to validate the parsing performance. Comparison results show that our approach outperforms other SOTA methods on various tasks. Moreover, we integrate our approach into a robot perception module to perform part mobility parsing. The parsing results can effectively support the robot planning and control modules to accomplish the manipulation tasks.
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