Chitosan-based nanocomposites for medical applications
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Abstract
Chitosan as a biobased polymer is gaining increasing attention due to its extraordinary physico-chemical characteristics and properties. While a primary use of chitosan has been in horticultural and agricultural applications for plant defense and to increase crop yield, recent research reports display various new utilizations in the field of advanced biomedical devices, targeted drug delivery, and as bioimaging sensors. Chitosan possesses multiple characteristics such as antimicrobial properties, stimuli-responsiveness, tunable mechanical strength, biocompatibility, biodegradability, and water-solubility. Further, chitosan can be processed into nanoparticles, nano-vehicles, nanocapsules, scaffolds, fiber meshes, and 3D printed scaffolds for a variety of applications. In recent times, nanoparticles incorporated in chitosan matrices have been identified to show superior biological activity, as cells tend to proliferate/differentiate faster when they interact with nanocomposites rather than bulk or micron size substrates/scaffolds. The present article intents to cover chitosan-based nanocomposites used for regenerative medicine, wound dressings, drug delivery, and biosensing applications.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Nature-derived materials provide plenty of features to outcompete man-made synthetic materials in various applications in industries ranging from cosmetics to aerospace. Concerning biomedical applications, natural materials are often superior to synthetic materials due to their multiple characteristics and properties. Among various natural polymers, for biomedical applications water-soluble polymers with salient biological characteristics are preferred over polymers dissolving only in organic/inorganic solvents. Chitosan is a polysaccharide and a chemical derivative of chitin. Henni Braconnot, a French professor, was the first one who extracted chitin from mushrooms in 1811. Later chitin was isolated from many invertebrate animals such as mollusca, crabs, prawns shrimps, crayfishes, and lobsters by various scientists. Among all, shells of crabs and shrimps are the most abundant sources for chitin. Chitins are polymers based on a disaccharide of 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-glucose linked by a β (1 → 4) bond. In the 19th century, chitosan was unveiled upon deacetylation of chitin with abundant acetyl glucosamine units. As a result, chitosan is a heteropolymer showing both glucosamine as well as acetyl glucosamine groups since the deacetylation is incomplete. The presence of NH2 groups enables tailoring of the characteristics of this polymer since they allow copolymerization using acrylic, styrene, urethane, and vinyl ester-based monomers to acquire supplementary properties. The chemical structure of chitin and chitosan is shown in Figure 1. Chitosan...
polymers are easily dissolvable at acidic conditions, unlike chitin. The % content of glucosamine and acetyl glucosamine moieties dictates the properties of chitosan including physico-chemical and biological characteristics. Chitosan is biodegradable, analgesic, antibacterial, antifungal, and hemostatic. Furthermore, the degree of deacetylation (DD) influences the mucoadhesive characteristics of chitosan. The number of products available manifests the dominance of chitosan since 1995 compared to other biopolymers including poly(L-lactic acid), collagen, gelatin, or silk protein. Among various biopolymers, chitosan is preferred for various biomedical products due to its abundant biological properties like antimicrobial activity, hemostasis, macrophage immune responses, capability to stimulate pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, lipids, various growth factors and chemokines, polyelectrolyte complex formation, mucoadhesive strength, and higher friability of tablets. Such multifunctional features have not yet been reported for other biopolymers apart from chitosan. Therefore, chitosan made an entry to various medical applications including tissue regeneration, drug delivery, biosensors, and wound dressings.

Various chemical routes have been used to modify chitosan such as nitration, alkylation, sulphonation, phosphorylation, xanthation, schiff's base formation, acylation, hydroxylation, and graft copolymerization. Figure 1 displays some of the important steps involved in the chemical modification of chitosan.

Recently, the properties of nanoparticles have made them interesting for fabricating nanocomposites especially for biomedical applications such as targeted delivery (drug and gene), bioimaging, and regeneration of tissue like skins and bone. Cells cultured on chitosan-based nanocomposites proliferate fast, and such scaffolds promote cell differentiation without any growth factors, which is very useful for tissue regeneration. For tissue
engineering, developing a substrate or biomaterial imitating the cellular environment is one important key factor.\(^\text{24}\) A perfect biomaterial provides mechanical support as well as simulates the cells to differentiate into specific cell types. Nanocomposite substrates offer additional properties such as antimicrobial or antifungal ones to avoid tissue damage from infection/inflammation, which is one of the key factors hindering tissue regeneration upon implantation of new tissue.\(^\text{25}\) One advantage of chitosan is that its surface adsorbs proteins rapidly.\(^\text{26}\) Several articles reviewed the biomedical applications of chitosan-based nanocomposites in suspensions like nanogels and micelles.\(^\text{27–33}\) This article reports on chitosan-based nanocomposite scaffolds with a focus on tissue engineering.

### 2 CHITOSAN-BASED NANOCOMPOSITE SCAFFOLDS FOR TISSUE ENGINEERING

Many features such as cytotoxicity, mechanical properties as well as healing efficiencies have to be considered while fabricating a scaffold/substrate for tissue regeneration. Various existing methodologies have been adapted for processing of chitosan-based nanocomposites into films, fiber-meshes,\(^\text{35}\) hydrogels,\(^\text{36–40}\) and 3D printed constructs\(^\text{41}\) to mimic the 3D environment of tissues. Processing into a freestanding thin film is the easiest choice and affordable route to evaluate the physico-mechanical properties and biocompatibility of the designed nanocomposites.\(^\text{42–44}\)

Chitosan nanocomposite thin films can be fabricated using solution casting, melt mixing, and in-situ nanoparticle synthesis approaches. Solution casting is usually carried out using water, cell culture medium, and sometimes organic solvents. Additionally, ultrasonication is often used to disperse the nanofillers effectively.\(^\text{45}\) Twin-screw extruders, injection molding, and blow molding have been used for melt mixing approaches in order to fabricate chitosan nanocomposites.\(^\text{46}\) In an in-situ method, chitosan has been used as a surfactant for the synthesis of nanoparticles. These approaches do not need a large quantity of materials, and any kind of shape and size can be manufactured.\(^\text{47}\) The surface characteristics of freestanding films can be fine-tuned by different post-treatment techniques. Owing to its outstanding mechanical and biological properties, chitosan nanocomposite films have already been used in various biomedical applications including wound dressing patches,\(^\text{48–51}\) drug delivery,\(^\text{52,53}\) implant coatings,\(^\text{54–56}\) and as scaffolds.\(^\text{57,58}\) Various nanoparticles such as nanoclay,\(^\text{59}\) graphene,\(^\text{60}\) silver,\(^\text{61}\) cellulose whisker,\(^\text{62}\) and titania\(^\text{63}\) have been used for the preparation of chitosan nanocomposites films for such applications.

Beyond films, chitosan-based hydrogels are fascinating biomaterials, as they possess a high amount of water, which makes them well compatible with most of the native tissues.\(^\text{7,38,40,54}\) Gels comprise up to 10% solid-phase in a total volume of the gel (the rest is water or liquid phase). This 10% solid phase warrant the consistency of the gel. Most importantly, hydrogels are mostly very soft in nature and often self-recoverable in shape, which will be useful during, for example, implantation. Besides that, mechanical responses of hydrogels are likely to imitate that of native soft tissues, which will make it easier to assure the functional characteristics of the tissue to be repaired.\(^\text{16,36,37,39}\) This is one major reason why hydrogels are used as scaffolds in biomedical applications. Nanoparticle incorporation into a chitosan matrix enhances mechanical strength, shape recovery, and stimuli-response of chitosan-based hydrogels.

Sponges are a scaffold morphology with plenty of micron sized open pores. The open pores can intake a large number of fluids beneficial for cell growth as well as proliferation.\(^\text{64,65}\) Chitosan-based nanosponges can be fabricated by many techniques such as freeze-drying (lyophilisation) and by introducing foaming agents during melt mixing of chitosan and nanoparticles.\(^\text{65,66}\) Among them, a simple and efficient process is lyophilisation, which starts with freezing a solution of chitosan with or without additives followed by evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure.\(^\text{57,68}\)

At present, there are numerous techniques available to fabricate a chitosan-based membrane or scaffold for tissue engineering such as particle salt leaching,\(^\text{69,70}\) electrospinning,\(^\text{71,72}\) stereolithography,\(^\text{73,74}\) gas foaming,\(^\text{75,76}\) freeze-drying,\(^\text{77,78,79}\) and 3D bioprinting.\(^\text{77–80}\) Electrospinning is a simple, straightforward, and cost-effective technique for producing nanofibers. In a typical electrospinning set-up, high voltage is applied from a polymeric solution to draw fibers toward a grounded collector.\(^\text{72}\) The spinning characteristics and efficiencies can be improved by incorporating nanoparticles into chitosan solutions as this can change the solution properties like conductivity and viscosity, which inherently improves the spinnability of the polymer dope.\(^\text{29,71}\) 3D bioprinting has exciting prospects since it shows many advanced features like the capability of printing tissue-analog structures, or constructing 3D scaffolds with more than two types of cells within a suitable matrix, which can bring extraordinary functional characteristics and provide faster tissue regeneration.\(^\text{77,80}\) The main concept of producing 3D tissue constructs using bioprinting is based on additive manufacturing approaches to construct a complex structure, which mimics the parent tissue via a layer-by-layer method.\(^\text{79}\) Depending on the type of bioprinter, the choice of materials can be varied. The design
protocol for printable materials plays a key role in printing characteristics. The water-soluble nature of chitosan biopolymer made it easy to assemble hydrogels at certain pH values and temperatures, which showed properties useful for 3D bioprinting. So far, very few researchers have explored chitosan-based nanocomposite bioinks for 3D printing but the number of reports is raising. In the subsequent sections, various aspects of chitosan nanocomposites for tissue engineering applications are discussed in detail.

2.1 Chitosan nanocomposites for bone engineering

At present, a large number of patients requires organ transplantation including bone, heart, liver, kidney, and so forth. Tendons, ligaments, skin, heart valves, blood vessels, and bones are the most commonly transplanted organs in the world. Taking bone as one example, transplanting bone in patients above 50 years old is a significant clinical challenge faced by orthopedics. Even though bone has a certain healing ability, large defects caused by age, traffic accidents, and bone tumor resection prevent good bone regeneration. Therefore, novel approaches for repairing bone defects have to be developed. Bone is composed in its majority of pentacalcium phosphates, collagen, several other proteins, as well as cells such as osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. The major function of bone is to provide a skeleton with load-bearing capacity and to protect the internal organs. Bone experiences a constant cycle of resorption and remodeling. Although, our body can constantly repair bone tissue defects, larger defects need medical treatment. Traditionally, autografts, allografts, and xenograft are used in clinical practice to restore/repair damaged tissues. Among these, autografts are considered the gold standard, for which cells from the injured person are harvested to repair or restore the damaged tissue. However, autografts have some limitations such as low availability, discomfort, scar formation, and donor site morbidity. In allografts, cells from other persons than the patient but of the same species and in xenografts, cells from a different species such as pigs are used to repair or restore tissues. These grafting methods are risky because of possible tissue rejections, mismatching, and disease transmission. The basis for choosing a fitting biomaterial for bone tissue engineering is a thorough understanding of bone anatomy and the healing process. Bone healing is a complex process, and the recovery and success rate vary from person to person. Recent developments in bone tissue engineering use three-dimensional (3D) processing technologies, which provide mechanical, cellular, and molecular environments to repair, retain, or recover injured bone tissue.

In the context of choosing fitting biomaterials, chitosan nanocomposites with nanofillers like hydroxyapatite, bioactive glass, zeolite, copper nanoparticles, and carbon filler are extensively used for bone tissue engineering applications and they are applied as thin films, fiber-meshes, scaffolds, and hydrogels. Chitosan nanocomposites of choice for bone engineering applications are summarized in Table 1.

Cao et al. prepared 2-N,6-O-sulfated chitosan/calcium phosphate nanocomposite hydrogels loaded with bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2) for the regeneration of critical-size bone defect in rabbits showing enhanced vascularisation. An in vitro alkaline phosphatase (ALP) assay confirmed the activity of released BMP-2 from the developed hybrid gel after 3 and 7 days of delivery. The robust angiogenesis of the prepared hybrid gel was confirmed by both in vitro as well as in vivo tests in the critical-sized defect (18 mm radius) of the rabbit. Blood vessel formation was thoroughly investigated using synchrotron radiation-based micro-computed tomography imaging, three dimensional micro-computed tomographic imaging, histological analysis, immunohistochemistry, and biomechanical measurements. Figure 2 shows micro-computed tomography images used for quantitative analysis of new bone formation followed by the amount of blood vessel formation for various samples. μCT results revealed that hybrid gels displayed the best performance concerning vascularisation as well as volume of NB formation. The results implied that BMP 2 alone is not able to augment the blood vessel formation in the defect. The BMP-2/ SNP/G hybrid facilitated formation of more blood vessels as well as larger volumes of NBs by faster biomineralization. The improved angiogenesis was confirmed by studying the relative gene expression of endothelial markers such as endothelial cell differentiation (CD31) and endothelial cell–derived von Willebrand Factor (vWF) assayed using real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) at day 7. Histopathology using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining (Figure 3) revealed the formation of blood cells (BC) and macrophages (yellow line) at 2 weeks after implantation. At four-week post-implantation, the development of chondrocytes (CC) was noticed. After 8 weeks, a larger volume of NB was observed in case of hybrid gels. More BC, macrophages, OC, and NB were found in that experimental group compared to the other samples.

There are many reports on mechanical properties of chitosan-ceramic nanocomposites and their comparison to various human bone tissues. Xiao et al. studied the mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite
### Table 1: Chitosan nanocomposite substrates for bone engineering

| Nanocomposites additives                                      | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials                                                                 | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Chitosan Films**                                           |                                                        |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                |
| Erbium doped fluorescent hydroxyapatite nanoparticles        | Solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 100,000–300,000 g/mol and 85% DDA | • Antimicrobial activity and hydrophilicity<br>• Biocompatibility, robust cell proliferation, tuned biodegradability, and biomineralization<br>• Fluorescent nanoparticles helped for cell localisation | Human lung fibroblasts (WI-38), cell viability was above 80% after 24 h of culture.          |
| Tobermorite type nanoclay                                    | Solvent casting/low-molecular-weight                   | • Bioactivity and biodegradation<br>• Cell compatibility                                                             | MG63 human osteosarcoma cells, cell viability enhanced up to 30%.                              |
| Nano bioglass embedded in chitosan–polycaprolactone bilayered films | Solution casting | • Mechanical properties, bioactivity, and biodegradation<br>• Cell viability was higher for monolayer films | MG-63 osteoblast-like cells, cell viability was 98% for monolayer films                        |
| Bioactive glass nanoparticles                                 | Solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 190,000–310,000 g/mol, 75%–85% DDA, and a viscosity of 200–800 cps | • Cell metabolic activity, proliferation, calcium deposition, DNA content, and biomineralization<br>• Mechanical properties significantly deteriorated | Human periodontal ligament cells and Human bone marrow stromal cells                           |
| Zirconium oxide nanoparticles                                 | Solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 230,000 g/mol, and 86% DDA | • Adequate tensile strengths, excellent microbial protection against gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial strains<br>• Excellent biocompatibility and >5% hemolysis                                                       | Human osteoblastic MG-63 cells, cell viability up to 55%                                      |
| Copper nanoparticles                                          | Solution casting/low-molecular-weight chitosan, which has 85% DDA | • Remarkable anticancer effect due to a higher amount of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS and enhanced caspase activity) | Human osteosarcoma cell line MG-63, 85% cells were dead upon incorporation of copper nanoparticles |
| Hydroxyapatite and silica                                     | Solution casting/85% DDA                                | • good apatite layer formation, cell viability, as well as rate of cell proliferation                             | Rat osteoblast-like UMR-106 cells                                                              |
| Zirconia (ZrO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles reinforced chitosan and poly (vinyl alcohol), PVA blends | Solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 230,000 g/mol with 86% DDA | • Excellent mechanical properties such as tensile strength, % elongation, modulus, % cell viabilities and rate of cell proliferation | Human osteoblastic MG-63 cells, cell viability was increased up to 15%                          |
| Hydroxyapatite                                               | Solution casting/chitosan had above 95% DDA             | • Excellent osteodifferentiation characteristics like alkaline phosphatase (ALP) content increased by 377%, collagen I increased by 479, and osteopontin increased by 597% | Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), cell viability increased by 52%                                 |
| Nano-hydroxyapatite and silver                               | Solution blending/low-molecular-weight and 75%–85% DDA  | • Enhanced mechanical properties, antimicrobial activities, rate of biodegradation, cell penetration, adhesion and spreading efficiency. | Rat osteoprogenitor cells and human osteosarcoma cells                                          |

(Continues)
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| *Euryale ferox* modified hydroxyapatite nanoparticles<sup>105</sup> | Solution casting/85% DDA | • Enhanced biomineralization, antibacterial activity, cell viability, differentiate into osteogenic lineage and biodegradation. | Human osteoblasts like MG-63 cells, cell viability was above 95% |
| Chemically exfoliated GO sheets<sup>106</sup> | Solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 90,000–150,000 g/mol and 80% DDA | • Enhanced tensile strength and elastic modulus, antibacterial activity (>77%), high cell viability. • Above 5 wt% GO reinforcement decelerated the cell proliferation | Human mesenchymal stem cells, cells viability was up to 90% |
| Naturally derived hydroxyapatite (*Thunnus obesus* bone) reinforced MWCNT-grafted-chitosan<sup>107</sup> | Solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 500,000 g/mol with 70%–90% DDA | • Decreased % water uptake, retention ability and bio degradation for the nanocomposites. • Thermal stability and % cell viability were significantly increased | Human osteosarcoma cell line (MG-63 cells), cell viability increased by 2-fold |
| Carboxylic functionalised MWCNT embedded in chitosan/hydroxyapatite blend<sup>108</sup> | In situ approach followed by solution casting/M<sub>w</sub> of 1,000,000 g/mol with 95% DDA | • Superior mechanical strength observed for the nanocomposites blend. • Cell adhesion found to be almost similar and cell proliferation was slightly higher | MC3T3-E1 cells, cell proliferation increased by 2%-fold |
| Silicon dioxide and zirconia nano particles<sup>109</sup> | Freeze-drying method/low-molecular-weight with 75%–85% DDA | • Improved % deswelling and biodegradation rate, protein adsorption, and rapid biomineralization capabilities | Osteoprogenitor cells. Nanocomposites scaffold showed non-toxicity at lower concentrations of silicon dioxide and zirconia nano particles encapsulation. |
| Hydroxyapatite<sup>110</sup> | Solution method/M<sub>w</sub> of 310,000 g/mol with 90% DDA | • In vitro cell uptake and proliferation was superior • In vivo study confirmed the new bone formation, trabecular thickness and bone remodeling | Preosteoblasts (MC3T3-E1) |
| Nano silver and hydroxyapatite particles<sup>104</sup> | Freeze-drying method/low-molecular-weight, which has 75%–85% DDA | • Improved antibacterial activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains. • No toxicology effect and high rate of biodegradation | Rat osteoprogenitor cells and human osteosarcoma cell line |
| In situ developed nano hydroxyapatite<sup>111</sup> | Co-precipitation technique followed by freeze drying method/93.5% DDA | • Enhanced biocompatibility | Clonal preosteoblastic cell line MC 3 T3-E1 cells. Cell viability increased up to 6-fold after 7 days of culture. |
| Nanocrystalline calcium phosphate<sup>112</sup> | Solution approach/92.3% DDA | • Improved mechanical properties, protein adsorption (fibronection), and cell adhesion, proliferation. | Human Embryonic Palatal Mesenchymal cells (HEPM), cell viability was above 65%. |
| Genipin crosslinked chitosan nano β-tricalcium phosphate<sup>113</sup> | Freeze-gel approach/85%DDA | • Adequate compressive strength, improved metabolic activity, higher wettability, great extent of mineralization | Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs), Cell viability increased up to 2-fold after 5 days of culture. |

**TABLE 1** (Continued)
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dual nanofillers of bioactive glass (BG) and CNT<sup>114</sup> | Salt-leaching approach/medium-molecular-weight with viscosity of 200-800 cP | • Enhanced compression strength, biodegradability, wettability, mineral deposition and cell compatibility | MG63 osteoblast cell line |
| Dual nanoparticles of hydroxyapatite and β-tricalcium phosphate (TCP) derived from waste mussel shells<sup>115</sup> | Freeze-drying method/chitosan derived from waste arrow squid pen (*Nototodarus sloanii*) had 75% DDA | • Enriched biodegradability of 90% after 28 days, cell viability, proliferation, and biomineralization | Human osteoblast-like cells (Saos-2) and mouse fibroelastic-like cells (L929). Cell viability increased by 2-fold for L929 and 5-fold for Saos-2 |
| Bioglass<sup>116</sup> | Needle punching process | • Exhibited higher porosity of about 86% without affecting its mechanical stability. | Human bone marrow stromal cells (hBMSCs), cell viability increased by 4-fold after 7 days of culture. |
| Nano-hydroxyapatite<sup>117</sup> | Freeze-drying method/80% DDA | • In vivo and 3D-μCT results showed ectopic osteogenesis, De novo bone, biodegradation and collagen formation were found to be greater | Rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell |
| Gadolinium phosphate nanoparticles<sup>118</sup> | Freeze-drying method | • The releasing spare amount of gadolinium ions (Gd<sup>3+</sup>) helped to enhance cell viability or proliferation differentiation of stem cells toward osteogenic lineages such as improved ALP activity, Runx-2, osteocalcin secretion and Col-I expressions | Primary rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (RMSCs), cell viability increased by 3-fold |
| SrFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> based magnetic nanoparticles decorated-mesoporous bioglass<sup>119</sup> | Freeze-drying method | • Nanocomposites showed prominent expression levels of osteogenic lineages including osteocalcin, COL1, Runx2 and ALP activity, which enhance the bone regeneration | Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hBMSCs) |
| Nano-hydroxyapatite<sup>120</sup> | Freeze-drying method/91.2% DDA | • Excellent regeneration ability of critical-sized calvarial bone defect (in vivo) | Only in vivo assessment |
| 2-N, 6-O-sulfated chitosan/bone morphogenetic protein-2<sup>121</sup> | Hydrogels prepared by complex coacervation method and photopolymerisation. The chemically modified 2-N, 6-O-sulfated chitosan was obtained by the metal-complex tris (2,2'-bipyridyl) dichlororuthenium (II) hexahydrate ([RuII(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>) and sodium persulphate | • Nanocomposite hydrogels improved bioactivity, induced ectopic bone formation, larger amount of new bone formation and induced reunion of the bone marrow cavity | Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) and Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs). Cell proliferation was enhanced up to 180% after 7 days of culture. |

(Continues)
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Injectable hydrogels made of chitosan/β-glycerophosphate (CS/GP) reinforced with hydroxyapatite nanoparticles | Solution blending method | • Nanocomposite hydrogels enhanced % cell viability, rate of cell proliferation and osteoblastic differentiation of MSCs | Mesenchymal stem cells. Cell viability increased up to 5-fold after 7 days of culture. |
| Injectable hydrogels made of nano-hydroxyapatite (n-HA) reinforced chitosan/ hyaluronic acid (HyA) blends | Solution approach/glycol modified chitosan had a degree of polymerization of above 400 | • Decreased % porosity and swelling ratio | MC-3 T3-E1 cells, cell viability enhanced up to 90% |

Chitosan blend scaffolds

| Fabrication method | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Foaming method | • Composite scaffolds displayed porosity of 82%, and excellent hydrophilicity, biodegradability. | Osteoblast cells. Cell viability increased up to 1½-fold. |
| Lyophilization technique | • Nanocomposite scaffold showed controlled swelling and degradation rate and excellent bioactivity. | Osteoblast-like cells (MG-63), fibroblast cells (L929), and human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). All cell lines showed improved cell attachment on nanocomposites scaffolds. |
| Freeze-drying method/two types of chitosan used average Mₜ of 250,000 g/mol with 80% DDA and carboxymethyl cellulose - Na in degree of substitution around 0.7 with Mₜ of 420,000,000 g/mol | • Nano composite scaffolds displayed 77.8% porosity, enhanced bioactivity, biomineralization and adequate compressive strength of 3.54 MPa | - |
| Thermally induced phase separation method | • Enhanced compression modulus from 15.4 to 25.5 MPa, strength from 1.42 to 1.63 MPa | Rabbit marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) |
| Freeze drying approach/low-molecular-weight and 75%–85% of DDA with 20–300 cPs viscosity | • Nano silica facilitated the adsorption of proteins and swelling ability of nanocomposite scaffold | Osteoprogenitor cells. Cell viability increased up to ½-fold. |
| Freeze-drying approach/Mₜ of 100,000 to 50,000 g/mol with ~85% DDA | • Reduced biodegradability as well as swelling ratio. | MG-63 cells |

Nano silica reinforced chitosan and alginate blend

Nano-bioactive glass reinforced blends of chitosan-gelatin
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| Nanocomposites additives                                                                 | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available)                                                                 | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials                                                                 | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nanoscale hydroxyapatite embedded in chitosan/cellulose blends                          | Gradual electrostatic assembly/ Average Mₘ of 200,000–250,000 g/mol with 95.41% DDA                                    | • No change was observed for % cell viability between control chitosan/cellulose blend membrane                   | Primary osteoblast cells. Cell viability enhanced up to 12-fold after 11 days of culture for the nanocomposites scaffolds. |
|                                                                                         |                                                                                                                        | • Significant improvements of cell uptake and osteocalcin expression were noticed                                |                                                                                                   |
|                                                                                         |                                                                                                                        | • Nanocomposites endorsed infiltration of bone tissues (in vivo) that led to better osteointegration, remodeling and rebuilding of bone defects |                                                                                                   |
| Nano hydroxyapatite reinforced blends of chitosan/poly(lactide-co-glycolide)           | Ultrasonic degassing/chitosan and poly(lactide-co-glycolide) weight ratio was 20:80                                   | • Nanocomposite scaffolds showed amended mechanical stability, cell viability, adhesion, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation capability | Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (hUCMSCs). Cell viability increased up to 4-fold after 11 days of culture. |
| Blends of gelatin and carboxymethyl chitosan reinforced with nano-hydroxyapatite     | Freeze-drying method/chemically modified chitosan had a medium-average Mₘ 200-800 cP viscosity with 75–85% DDA     | • Exhibited higher porosity, higher water retention capacity, as well as sustained enzymatic degradation rate, enhanced compressive strength | Human Wharton’s jelly mesenchymal stem cells (hwjMSCs)                                             |
| Doxycycline hyclate drug encapsulated hydroxyapatite nanoparticles embedded in blends of chitosan and hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose | Freeze-drying approach/average Mₘ of 188,000 g/mol with 80% DDA                                                      | • No burst release, sustained as well as enhanced cumulative drug release (95%) were found for the nanocomposite sponges | Mouse pre-osteoblasts, MC3T3-E1, cell viability enhanced by 25%                                  |
| Injectable hydrogels made of blends of chitosan/collagen reinforced with hydroxyapatite nanoparticles | Solution approach/average Mₘ of 250,000 g/mol with 95.6% DDA                                                          | • Slightly improved mechanical properties, and marginal improvement in biodegradation, cell viability, proliferation, drug release, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) for the nanocomposite sponges. | Allogeneic bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells                                             |
| Injectable gel from blends of carboxymethyl–chitosan and gelatin reinforced with hydroxyapatite nanoparticles | Solution approach, enzymatic crosslinking/carboxymethyl moieties modified chitosan has 70%–85% DDA             | • Incorporation hydroxyapatite nanoparticles enhanced % cell viability, new bone formation and collagen deposition  | Primary murine osteoblast cells, cell viability enhanced up to 90%                                |

(Continues)
reinforced polycaprolactone–chitosan nanocomposites, and their tensile modulus was enhanced significantly compared to pristine polycaprolactone–chitosan samples prepared using a melt mixing approach. The mechanical properties such as tensile modulus and compression modulus of chitosan–gelatine based nanocomposite scaffolds were improved by 2-fold upon reinforcement with dual filler nanohydroxyapatite- nanoclay (montmorillonite). Sun et al. investigated the mechanical properties like tensile modulus and indentation hardness of chitosan/nanodiamond nanocomposites. The tensile modulus and indentation hardness of chitosan nanocomposites was enhanced by 343% and 127%, respectively upon 5 wt% nanodiamond filler loading. Comparative studies of the mechanical properties of porous chitosan nanocomposites scaffolds with various nanoparticles such as hydroxyapatite, nano-zirconia, nano-calcium zirconate was carried out by Gaihr et al. Among all, nano-calcium zirconate reinforced chitosan nanocomposites scaffold registered best mechanical strength like 5-fold improvement in compression modulus and 2.5 fold improvement in young's modulus. Caridade et al. investigated the dynamic mechanical properties of bioactive glass nanoparticles reinforced chitosan nanocomposite membranes after simulated body fluid immersion. Biomineralization and dynamic mechanical strengths like storage modulus (E') and Tan δ were enhanced marginally for the chitosan nanocomposite membranes upon incorporation of bioactive glass nanoparticles.

Jiang et al. fabricated spiral-cylindrical shaped nanocomposite scaffolds based on nano-hydroxyapatite incorporated into blends of chitosan and cellulose for bone regeneration applications. An in vitro MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay suggested that there was no change concerning cell proliferation between the control sample and nanocomposites after 5 days of culturing. However, there was an increased cellular uptake and osteocalcin expression upon incorporation of 60-wt% of nano-hydroxyapatite filler into the blends. In vivo experiments with New Zealand white rabbits showed prominent improved osseointegration, complete infiltration of bone tissues, and NB formation in presence of the nanocomposite scaffolds compared to the control group. These features may facilitate the remodeling and rebuilding of bone defects rapidly. Figure 4 shows the key findings presented by X-ray photographs, 3D micro-CT results, and H&E stained histological tissue sections of

### TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chitosan coatings         |                                                       |                                                    |                                                  |
| Hydroxyapatite on titanium surfaces$^{136}$ | Dip-coating approach | • Nanocomposites coating improved the hydrophilicity, % hemolysis, protein adsorption, biomineralization (apatite formation) and cell viability of titanium surfaces | MG 63 osteoblast cell lines |
| Hydroxyapatite nanohybrid on porous carbon fiber$^{137}$ | Dip-coating approach | • Nanohybrid coatings encouraged biomineralization, cell adhesion, spreading, and proliferation of porous carbon fiber felts. | Human bone marrow stromal cells, cell viability enhanced up to 4-fold |
| Silver and hydroxyapatite dual nanoparticles on TiO$_2$ substrate$^{138}$ | Anodization | • Nanocomposite coating showed prominent antimicrobial microbial efficacy against Gram-positive as well as Gram-negative bacterial strains | Mouse calvarial cells (MC3T3-E1), cell viability enhanced by 1-fold |
| Halloysite nanotube on titanium substrate$^{139}$ | Electrophoretic deposition/ Medium-average M$_{av}$ of 80,000 g/mol with 85% DDA | • Nanocomposites coatings enhanced the corrosion resistance, biomineralization, and hydrophilicity of titanium substrate | - |
the control groups as well as the nanocomposite scaffolds. These combined results confirmed that the fabricated substrate is a suitable candidate for bone tissue-regeneration.

2.2 | Chitosan nanocomposites as wound dressings

In general, discontinuity in the skin occurring because of external laceration (accident) is called a wound. The wound is classified in two major categories depending on healing rates. An acute wound heals faster, and a chronic wound takes more time to heal due to bacterial burden and larger discontinuity as two out of several factors. Wound healing has four stages namely hemostasis, inflammation, cell proliferation, and remodeling. Wound regeneration is a dynamic process comprising the secured action of inflammation, vascular as well as connective tissue production. Many biomaterials have been tested as wound dressings for acute and chronic wounds. The rate of healing varies depending upon the characteristics of the used biomaterials. Biomaterials should protect the wound from subordinate infections, absorb the wound fluids, support the tissue mechanically, avoid wound dehydration, and support cell differentiation. Chitosan-based nanocomposite substrates have received quite some attention due to various salient features such as excellent microbial rejection, O₂ permeability, photothermal effects, stimuli-responsiveness, and easy handling. It is able to process chitosan nanocomposites into thin-films, hydrogels, and fiber-meshes. The properties and characteristics of chitosan nanocomposites are better when the amount of nanoparticles is increased. Various nanoparticles including silver, iron, copper, zinc hydroxyapatite have been used as fillers for wound dressing applications. The various morphologies of chitosan nanocomposites and their key properties in context of wound dressing applications are summarized in Table 2.

Nirmal et al.159 fabricated injectable nanostructured chitosan hydrogels with incorporated tigecycline and platelet-rich plasma for the treatment of infected wounds. Flow behavior as well as macroscopic observation of gel formation at various time points is shown in Figure 5. The rheological characteristics of the hybrid nanogels (tg-ChNPs-ChPRP) such as shear thinning improved the injectability. In an in vitro wound closure study using L929 cell migration best results were obtained in the presence of tg-ChNPs-ChPRP gels concerning antibacterial behavior, cell compatibility, hemocompatibility, drug release, and blood clotting characteristics. In vitro scratch wound closure studies are displayed in Figure 6 for a better understanding of the wound regeneration characteristics of the developed chitosan nanocomposites.

2.3 | Chitosan nanocomposites for drug delivery

Chitosan nanocomposites are often used in drug delivery applications to treat various diseases including cancer or osteoarthritis.161 Drug embedded nanocomposites exhibit multifunctional properties such as excellent pharmacokinetics by delivering drugs at the desired site or tumor.
Stimuli-responsiveness helps to fine-tune drug release rates and avoids burst drug release. Drugs can be embedded efficiently in chitosan-based materials. Chemical modifications of chitosan are one option to vary the amorphous nature of the materials with impact on drug loading efficiency and release characteristics. Literature shows that there are many nanoparticles including reduced graphene oxide, nanoclays, gold nanoparticles, mesoporous zeolites, layered double hydroxide, hydroxyapatite, iron nanoparticles, mesoporous zeolites, layered double hydroxide, hydroxyapatite, iron nanoparticles, and SiO₂ nanoparticles used for the fabrication of various types of chitosan-based drug delivery carrier. 2D layered nanosheets like MXenes (Ti₃C₂, Nb₂C) nanoclay, graphene or carbon nanotubes (CNT) display better release characteristics due to the generation of tortuous pathway for clays yielding sustained release characteristics. Furthermore, various researchers have also attempted to implant mesoporous silica and other nanoparticles including hydroxyapatite in chitosan matrices for fine tuning of drug loading efficiencies and release characteristics. Their results suggest that pore size of the nanoparticles helped to encapsulate higher amounts of drugs (up to 90%) as well as to regulate the drug release at the desired site and time. Further, many nanoparticles like Ti₃C₂, Nb₂C, and carbon dots, CNT, graphene oxide and GdPO₄, and so forth have been used as photothermal agents for treating various tumors in NIR-I & NIR-II biowindows. They showed excellent photothermal conversion efficiencies and rapid ablation of tumors. The photothermal-transformation efficiency

**FIGURE 3** Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of various 2-N,6-O-sulfated chitosan-based nanocomposite sponges placed in rabbits analyzed 2, 4, and 6 weeks after implantation compared to that of others including a gelatin sponge. The nanocomposite sponges show large amount of new bone, chondrocytes, macrophages and improved vasculogenesis. The following abbreviations are used: Mat: implanted material; NB: new bone; BC: blood cell; G: granulation tissue; CC: chondrocytes; LB: lamellar bone; yellow arrow: macrophages; black arrow: vasculogenesis, O: osteon (Reproduced with permission from Cao et al.)
of chitosan nanocomposite substrates helped to trigger drug release (%) at the target tissue. A summary of drug delivery applications based on chitosan nanocomposites are compiled and summarized in Table 3.

Zhang et al. developed chitosan hydrogels with incorporated magnetic nanoparticles and β-glycerophosphate for the sustained and long-lasting delivery of bacillus calmette guérin for the treatment of bladder cancer. Figure 7 shows the preparation of the solution, its gel formation, drug encapsulation followed by how the sample behaves under a magnetic field. An intense and lasting CD4+ lymphocytic infiltration was triggered in case of Fe3O4-BCG-CS/GP gels (group 4 samples). Figure 8 shows histological images of the various groups after CD4 staining. Rats in group 1 had 631 ± 78 CD4+ T cells and in group 3 had 508 ± 43.3 CD4+ T cells, whereas rats in group 2 had 2578 ± 268.7 CD4+ T cells and in group 4 had 3913 ± 466.7 CD4+ T cells showing multifocal lymphocytic infiltration. The results revealed that Fe3O4-BCG-CS/GP gels (group 4) had higher levels of CD4+ T cells infiltrating the submucosa when compared to rats of the other groups. This result has been corroborated by the analysis of urinary cytokines as well and provides a first basis for antitumor treatment and induced high local immunity in the bladder.

Chandran and Sandhyarani fabricated electric field responsive nanocomposite thin-films made of chitosan/gold nanoparticles and encapsulated 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) by solution casting. The fabricated nanocomposites exhibited a higher drug release efficiency (63%) and sustained-release controlled by an external electric field (DC) in an electrolyte solution. The % human cervical cancer cell death was extremely high (above 90%) showing the efficiency of the anticancer effect.

Shah et al. fabricated chitosan/silver nanoparticle composite films with embedded moxifloxacin drugs by in-situ co-precipitation. The drug-encapsulated nanocomposite films exhibited excellent mechanical properties as well as antimicrobial efficacy against the various pathogens. They also exhibited a higher swelling ratio, as
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Silver nanoparticles embedded in blend of chitosan-silk fibroin, *Bombyx mori* | Solution casting/95% DDA and chitosan-silk fibroin ratio was 1:2 | • Enhanced moisture retention capability, tensile strength and antimicrobial characteristics  
• In vivo studies showed controlled release of silver nanoparticle detected in liver, spleen and kidney, accelerated wound healing, reformed dermis layer with enriched blood vessels, enhanced collagen fiber synthesis, reduced inflammatory responses | No in vitro evaluation, only in vivo assessment |
| In situ generated silver nanoparticles/Various types of chitosan | In situ approach/three types of chitosan with low $M_w$ of 369,000 g/mol, medium $M_w$ of 1,278,000 g/mol and high $M_w$ of 2,520,000 g/mol with varied DDA of 86%, 89%, 85%, respectively | • Chitosan served as a reducing and stabilizing agents of metal oxide precursors  
• Nanocomposites showed pronounced antimicrobial activity against Gram- (+) as well as Gram- (−) bacteria strains and almost zero cytotoxicity | Mammalian somatic (HaCaT) and Tumoral (A549) cells, cell viability was above 80% for both cells. |
| Moxifloxacin drug encapsulated in silver nanoparticle reinforced chitosan and sericin (*Bombyx mori*) blend films | Solution blending/low $M_w$ with 85% DDA | • Nanocomposite films promoted controlled release of drug with higher loading/releasing efficiency.  
• Drug encapsulated nanocomposite films showed excellent antimicrobial activity and full thickness wound healing efficiency by observing the formation of fibrosis, collagen fiber bundles reorganization, neovascularization, and thick epidermal layer (in vivo results) | No in vitro examination, only in vivo assessments |
| Layer-by-layer (LBL) fabricated scaffolds made of Chitosan and pectin/organic rectorite (OREC) deposited on cellulose acetate electrospun | Electrospinning techniques/chitosan derived from shrimp shells, which has average $M_w$ of 200,000 g/mol with 92% DDA | • Nanocomposite scaffolds showed great zone of microbial inhibition enhanced the cell compatibility at lower concentration of nanofiller loading | Human epidermal (EP) cells, cell viability was enhanced up to 2-fold. |
| Silver nanoparticles-encapsulated into blends of silk fibroin and carboxy methyl moieties modified chitosan | Freeze-drying method/carboxy methyl moieties modified chitosan | • Silver nanoparticle reinforced nanocomposite sponges showed improved water absorption (%), retention capability, and water vapor transmission rate along with antimicrobial characteristics. | - |
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Spherical nano silver particles incorporated in chitosan/hyaluronic acid (HA) blended sponges | Freeze-drying method/average $M_w$ of 100,000–150,000 g/mol with 85% DDA. Chitosan and HA blend ratio was 5:1 | • Silver nanoparticle reinforcement improved antibiotic resistant bacteria, antibacterial activity, zero toxicity and robust cell proliferation of the nanocomposite sponges | Human dermal fibroblasts, cell viability were about 75%. |
| Nano chondroitin sulfate encapsulated in blends of chitosan-hyaluronan (HYA) ternary sponges | Freeze-drying method/average $M_w$ of 100,000–150,000 g/mol with 85% DDA. Chitosan-HYA blend ratio is 2:1 | • Ternary nanocomposites showed enhanced % swelling and blood clotting ability along with excellent cell compatibility | Human dermal fibroblasts, cell viability were about 90%. |
| Sponge-like microporous chitosan reinforced with silver and zinc oxide nanorods | Lyophilization process/average $M_w$ of 179,000 g/mol with ≥95% DDA | • Nanocomposite sponges displayed 88% of porosity, higher swelling ratio, and enhanced blood-clotting capability too. • Significantly enhanced the antimicrobial activity (In vitro and in vivo), full-thickness wound healing, re-epithelialization and denser collagen deposition. | Cellosaurus cell line (L02), cell viability was 95%. |
| Bilayer sponges from chitosan embedded with silver nanoparticles and chitosan–Bletilla striata polysaccharide | Combination of oxidation and freeze-drying approach/ chitosan derived from crab shells having average $M_w$ of ~235,000 g/mol with 93.7% DDA | • Nanocomposite bilayer sponge showed higher water retention, adequate mechanical properties and robust cellular uptake as well as cell proliferation • In vivo results depicted that enhanced healing rate of cutaneous wound followed by better mature epidermization with less inflammatory cells | L929 murine fibroblast cell line, cell viability was above 90%. |
| Sponges-based on chitosan/hyaluronic acid blend enriched with andrographolide lipid nanoparticles | Freeze-drying method/average $M_w$ of 100,000–150,000 g/mol with 85% DDA | • In vivo results presented better wound healing characteristics, reduced scar formation and improved vascularisation • Nanocomposite sponges also exhibited anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect | Only in vivo assessment |
| Silver nanoparticles incorporated in chitosan-l-glutamic acid/hyaluronic acid blend sponges | Freeze-drying approach/average $M_w$ of 300,000 g/mol with ≥85% DDA | • Nanocomposite sponges possessed good mechanical requisite, optimum swelling ratio, and higher water retention %, significant inhibition for the bacterial growth | L929 cells, cell viability was above 90% |

(Continues)
well as prolonged drug release up to 36 h, improved biodegradability, and biocompatibility (in vivo) in comparison to control chitosan films. Likewise, other drugs such as diclofenac sodium, doxorubicin (DOX), and 5-amino salicylic acid have been encapsulated in chitosan thin-films for cancer therapies.

Pifithrin-α (PFTα) was encapsulated in MgAl based layered double hydroxide reinforced chitosan nanocomposites using freeze-drying. The nanocomposites showed a sustained drug release profile with 95% cumulative release but the initial burst release could not be prevented. However, mineral deposition, cell viability, proliferation, and

TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nanocomposites sponges from VEGF loaded fibrin nanoparticles encapsulated in chitosan/hyaluronic acid | Freezing and lyophilisation approach/average M<sub>W</sub> of 100,000–150,000 g/mol with 85% DDA | • Nanocomposite sponges showed controlled as well as higher amount (60%) of VEGF release. | Human dermal fibroblast (HDF) cells and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs). Cell viability enhanced to 98% after 48 h of culture. |
| Nanocomposites sponges from silver nanoparticles embedded in catechol-conjugated chitosan | Freeze-drying approach/ catechol-conjugated chitosan had an average M<sub>W</sub> of 30,000 g/mol with 80% DDA | • Nanocomposite sponges possessed significant antibacterial activity but diminished % cell viability | MC3 T3 cells, cell viability enhanced to above 90% after 72 h of culture at lower concentration of silver |
| Tigecycline nanoparticles incorporated in chitosan/ platelet-rich plasma (PRP) hydrogels | In situ technique/average M<sub>W</sub> of 100,000–150,000 g/mol with 85% DDA | • Nanocomposite hydrogels exhibited excellent in vitro, ex vivo and in vivo antibacterial activity, improved wound healing efficiency and sustained antibiotic release characteristics | L929 cell lines, cell viability increased up to 2-fold after 72 h of culture. |
| Blends of N,O-carboxymethyl chitosan and oxidized alginate hydrogels reinforced with nano-curcumin | Solution blending approach/ carboxymethyl moieties modified chitosan | • Addition of nano-curcumin improved sustained drug releases characteristics, enhanced the re-epithelialization of epidermis and collagen deposition (in vivo) of the nanocomposite hydrogels | No in vitro examination, only in vivo was performed |
Osteogenic differentiation were significantly enhanced in PFTα-embedded nanocomposite scaffolds. In-vitro human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hBMSCs) proliferation was enhanced up to 3-fold and robust NB tissue formation was confirmed from in-vivo results.

2.4 | Chitosan nanocomposites for miscellaneous tissue engineering applications

Apart from the summarized applications in bone engineering, wound dressing, and for drug delivery applications, chitosan nanocomposites have been also other medical applications. They can be used as packaging films for storing drugs, as coatings for metal electrodes, and medical kits, cardiac tissue engineering, and electroactive tissue engineering. Here, a selection is shown of miscellaneous tissue engineering applications of various chitosan nanocomposites which is summarized in Table 4. Various nanoparticles such as cetyltrimethylammonium bromide modified rectorite (REC) layered silicate, iron, silver, and manganese dioxides have been used to reinforce chitosan matrices for fabricating antimicrobial packaging films. The nanocomposite films showed excellent antimicrobial activity against gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, and fungi with fast killing rates and more than 90% efficiency against several pathogens.

Jing et al. fabricated an electroactive hydrogel made of chitosan/graphene oxide with self-healing capabilities as well as shape recovery for muscle tissue engineering applications. Polydopamine was tested as an oxidizing agent, which is a mussel-inspired agent for the
| Nanocomposites additives                        | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available)                                                                 | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials                                                                 | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chitosan films                                  |                                                                                                                         | • Nanocomposite films showed excellent drug loading efficiency of 93% for 5-FU and 95% for CU and no burst release was observed | Human colon cancer cell lines (HT-29) and mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (NIH 3 T3). Cell viability was above 90% for fibroblasts whereas 80% cells were dead for HT-29 |
| 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) and curcumin (CU) co-encapsulated chitosan/reduced graphene oxide (rGO)\(^{177}\) | Solution casting/low-molecular weight of 50,000–190,000 g/mol with 85% DDA                                               | • The dual drug encapsulated rGO nanocomposites enhanced anticancer activity of killed 80% of cancer cells as well compatible with fibroblasts |                                                                                                     |
| 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) encapsulated chitosan/silver nanoparticles/MWCNT\(^{178}\) | Solution blending/low-molecular- weight with 85% DDA                                                                  | • The drug loading efficiency was 96% for nanocomposites films and showed sustained release up to 72 h                  | MCF-7 cell line, % cell viability was above 85% at lower concentration loading of MWCNT             |
| 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) encapsulated alginate-chitosan/montmorillonite (MMT) type clay\(^{179}\) | Solution blending/medium-average-molecular weight with 85% DDA                                                         | • 5-FU drug encapsulated nanocomposite films with 30 wt% loading of MMT showed excellent performance in terms of loading and releasing efficiency |                                                                                                     |
|                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                         | • The burst release of drug was significantly higher for the nanocomposites films                                      |                                                                                                     |
| 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) encapsulated in electric field responsive nanocomposites made of chitosan/gold nanoparticles\(^{180}\) | Solution casting/average M\(_N\) of 270,000 g/mol with 85% DDA                                                          | • The drug release characteristics of nanocomposites were controlled by the external electric field (DC) in electrolyte solution | Human cervical cancer cell lines SiHa, cell death was up to 90%                                       |
|                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                         | • A higher drug release (63%) was obtained for the nanocomposites films at pH of 5.3 under external electric field.    |                                                                                                     |
|                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                         | • The % cell death was extremely high for the drug encapsulated nanocomposites films, which show the efficiency of anticancer effect |                                                                                                     |
| Diclofenac sodium embedded chitosan/MMT clay\(^{181}\)                                                | In situ solution casting/medium average M\(_N\) of 8,401,000 g/mol, viscosity of 200cPs with 80% DDA                  | • Drug encapsulated nanocomposite films showed many silent features such as controlled and prolonged drug release (up to 72 h and 54% release) with pH dependent manner | A549 cancer cells, cell death was up to 80%                                                          |
|                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                         | • It also exhibited excellent antimicrobial activity and 50% cells were died                                         |                                                                                                     |
| Moxifloxacin embedded in chitosan/silver nanoparticles\(^{182}\)                                    | In situ approach followed by solution casting/low molecular weight with 85% DDA                                         | • Drug encapsulated nanocomposite films exhibited excellent mechanical properties, antimicrobial efficacy against various pathogen | No in vitro examination, only in vivo assessments                                                    |
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Diclofenac encapsulated in chitosan/Na-beidellite clay¹⁸³ | Solution casting/98% DDA with a viscosity of 12 mPa s | • It also exhibited higher swelling ratio, as well as prolonged drug release up to 36 h, improved biodegradability and biocompatibility (in vivo) | |
| 5-Amino salicylic acid (5-ASA) loaded modified chitosan/MMT¹⁸⁴ | Solution casting as well as melt mixing approach/chloro acetyl modified chitosan having average M<sub>n</sub> of 400,000 g/mol with 70% DDA | • Drug embedded clay nanocomposites showed well intercalated morphology that enhanced the prolonged release of drug up to 8 h with cumulative drug release of 60% • Initial burst release of drug from nanocomposites films were controlled by upon increasing the addition of nanoclay as it induce more tortuous diffusion path | |
| Doxorubicin (DOX) encapsulated quaternized trimethyl ammonium chloride modified chitosan having average M<sub>n</sub> of 200,000 g/mol with 92% DDA | Solution casting/2,3-epoxy propyl trimethyl ammonium chloride modified chitosan films | • The % cell death was more than 50%, which revealed the anticancer efficiency of drug encapsulated nanocomposite films • Drug loading efficiency and sustained release characteristics were enhanced upon incorporation of clay in chitosan films as it promotes more intercalated structure (morphology) • Cumulative drug release from nanocomposites films was significantly higher (85%) at basic condition (pH) and no burst release was observed | Human tumor cell lines (HCT15), cell death was above 50% |
| Doxorubicin embedded in pH responsive chitosan/mesoporous zeolite¹⁸⁶ | Solution casting | • Nanocomposite films possessed drug loading efficiency of 95.8% with cumulative release of 88.6% under the tumor tissue pH of 5.5 • Drug release was only 49% at pH 7.4. and the cell compatibility was also pronounced for the drug encapsulated nanocomposites | MG63 and Human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stromal cells (hBMSCs), cell viability was above 85% for both cell lines |

(Continues)
| Nanocomposites additives                                      | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chitosan scaffolds                                            |                                                        |                                                        |                                                                                  |
| Pifithrin-α (GS) encapsulated chitosan/hydroxyapatite         | Freeze-drying method                                   | • GS-loaded hydroxyapatite microtube–CHS composites scaffolds showed excellent mechanical strength, high drug loading capability (97%), sustained drug release profile with reduced initial burst release | Rat bone marrow stromal cells (bMSCs), cell viability increased up to 2-fold |
|                                                             |                                                        | • High antibacterial activity, cell adhesion, and biomineralization in comparison to hydroxyapatite nanorod reinforced scaffolds due to varied physical and morphological features of fillers |                                                                                  |
| Pifithrin-α (PFTα) encapsulated in MgAl based layered double hydroxide | Freeze-drying method                                   | • Nanocomposites showed sustained drug release profile with 95% cumulative release but initial burst release was not able to control | Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hBMSCs), cell proliferation was enhanced up to 3-fold |
|                                                             |                                                        | • Mineral deposition, cell viability, proliferation and osteogenic differentiation lineages such as COL1, ALP content RUNX2, OPN and OCN were significantly enhanced in PFTα-embedded nanocomposite scaffolds |                                                                                  |
|                                                             |                                                        | • In vivo results showed the new bone tissue formation |                                                                                  |
| Magnetic hydrogels from iron nanoparticle                    | Facile in situ hybridization method                    | • Fabricated magnetic hydrogels tenuously changed the drug release from passive release to pulsatile release under a low frequency alternating magnetic field An addition of iron nanoparticle enhanced the biocompatibility and mechanical strength including elastic modulus compared to that of pristine chitosan hydrogels | MG-63 cells, cell viability was 87% |
| Magnetic as well as thermos-sensitive hydrogels reinforced with β-glycerophosphate and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles | Solution blending approach/average Mₘ of 50,000 g/mol with 95% DDA | • Prolonged intravesical Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG) residence time under magnetic field for the nanocomposite hydrogels • Improved antitumor effect as well as local immune activity was observed for the nanocomposite hydrogels upon incorporation of β-glycerophosphate and Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles | No in vitro examination, only in vivo was performed |
preparation of graphene oxide. The conductive graphene oxide significantly altered or improved cell viability as well as cell proliferation of human embryonic stem cell-derived fibroblasts and cardiomyocytes in the nanocomposites in comparison to pristine chitosan hydrogels. The impulsive beating rates of cardiomyocytes on the nanocomposites were two fold higher than the usual rate on tissue culture grade polystyrene (TCPS). Hence, conductive hydrogels can open new routes in modern muscle tissue engineering specifically in electrically active tissue like muscle, nervous or heart. They can help in regenerating the repaired tissues as well as integrate with the native tissue instantly.

Shao et al. prepared chitosan sponges with incorporated silver sulfadiazine nanomaterials using a freeze-drying approach. The surface morphology of variously prepared sponges is shown in Figure 9. The cross-sectional view clearly shows the open porous three-dimensional network architecture of the sponge as well as the uniform pore sizes. The nanocomposites showed increased swelling ratios, porosity, and antimicrobial characteristics along with improved cell viability of human embryonic kidney 293 cells. However, there was an abrupt change in the cell morphology upon increasing the number of nanosilver particles above 5%. It is speculated that this is due to toxic effects.

Mitra et al. fabricated copper-loaded acrylated quaternized chitosan/silica antibacterial coatings on poly(vinyl fluoride) films to impart antimicrobial activity. The nanocomposite coatings showed exceptional antimicrobial efficacies with 99% pathogen killing, and at the same time dermal fibroblast viability was enhanced up to 95%. The conductivity of chitosan nanocomposites has been effectively used for cardiac tissue engineering by incorporating novel conducting nanoparticles like CNT, graphene oxide, and carbon dots.

Pok et al. fabricated nanocomposites by embedding single-walled CNT (SWCNT) in gelatin-chitosan blends. SWCNT showed some toxic effects upon increasing the dosage. At 175 ppm of SWCNT, the scaffolds showed only 47.7 ± 6.9% Neonatal Rat Ventricular Myocyte viability. This is a huge drawback concerning some applications. At 69 ppm or lower the scaffolds showed a better viability of 80%. Figure 10 shows the results of a live/dead cell assay on such scaffolds and differentiation capabilities indicated by the formation of sarcomeres (R-actinin = green) and gap junctions (connexin-43 = red). It inferred that SWCNT embedded gelatin/chitosan scaffolds performed as an electrical nanobridge between cardiomyocytes, which affected electrical coupling, synchronous beating, and cardiomyocyte function. Excitation conduction velocities of the nanocomposites were matching with that of the native myocardial tissue (22 ± 9 cm/s), which can regenerate cardiac defects including cardiac arrhythmias (in vivo).

| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Silica nanoparticles incorporated in thermo-sensitive hydrogels | Solution approach/viscosity average M_w of 50,000–190,000 g/mol with 75%–85% DDA | Increased humoral immunity and significantly induced CD4^+ T cell proliferation upon incorporation of silica nanoparticles into chitosan hydrogels | No in vitro examination, only in vivo was performed |
| Thermo-responsive hydrogels made of hydroxyapatite and betaglycerophosphate incorporated in thiolated chitosan-4-thiobutylamidine | Solution approach/chitosan was chemically modified by 2-iminothiolane hydrochloride having a viscosity of 50–800 mPa, with 80%–95% DDA | Rapid gelations, improved rheological behavior (shear thinning) as well biodegradability were observed for the nanocomposite hydrogels | Human bone-marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). Cell viability increased up to 6-fold after 5 days of culture. |
Biosensors are devices to convert physical, biological, and chemical signals of biological systems into an electrical one by identifying exact responses to target analytes. A blood glucose biosensor is a classic example of a typical biosensor that uses the enzyme Glucose oxidase (GOx). Electrochemical biosensors, as another example, specifically react with target moieties and generate an electrical signal related to specific analyte concentrations, pH, and temperature. Biosensors play a key role in tissue engineering, and chitosan nanocomposites are also well used in these types of applications. In general, metal nanoparticles exhibit higher conductivity and electronic properties than conducting polymer, but the flexibility of polymers makes them unique for various applications. The addition of conducting nanoparticles to chitosan materials enhances the electrical conductivity of the nanocomposites as well as stimuli-responsive characteristics, which could be used for sensing biological species. For specifically enhancing the sensitivity toward biological moieties, biosensor surfaces have been modified using enzymes like cholesterol esterase (ChEt) and cholesterol oxidase (ChOx) to detect cholesterol content in blood or human serums. It has been shown that chitosan nanocomposites-based biosensors are more efficient, show a higher sensitivity, and are more durable in comparison to pristine chitosan. There are many nanostructured inorganic materials such as cuprous oxide nanoparticles, Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle, Cerium oxide nanoparticle, and TiO₂ nanoparticles frequently used to enhance the electronic properties as well as the electrical conductivity of chitosan based materials in nanocomposites. Application examples are biosensors to detect cholesterol in human

**FIGURE 7** Solution to gel transformation of various chitosan nanocomposite formulations: (A) control chitosan (CS)-β-glycerophosphate (GP) solution, (B) control chitosan-β-glycerophosphate gel, (C) Fe₃O₄-bacillus calmetee guérin (BCG)/CS/GP solution, (D) Fe₃O₄-BCG-CS/GP gel, (E) Fe₃O₄-BCG-CS/GP gels sink to the bottom of a beaker filled with saline buffer (F) the gel is attracted to an external magnet outside the beaker (Reproduced with permission from Zhang et al.)

2.5 | Chitosan nanocomposites for biosensor applications

Biosensors are devices to convert physical, biological, and chemical signals of biological systems into an electrical one by identifying exact responses to target analytes. A blood glucose biosensor is a classic example of a typical biosensor that uses the enzyme Glucose oxidase (GOx). Electrochemical biosensors, as another example, specifically react with target moieties and generate an electrical signal related to specific analyte concentrations, pH, and temperature. Biosensors play a key role in tissue engineering, and chitosan nanocomposites are also well used in these types of applications. In general, metal nanoparticles exhibit higher conductivity and electronic properties than conducting polymer, but the flexibility of polymers makes them unique for various applications. The addition of conducting nanoparticles to chitosan materials enhances the electrical conductivity of the nanocomposites as well as stimuli-responsive characteristics, which could be used for sensing biological species. For specifically enhancing the sensitivity toward biological moieties, biosensor surfaces have been modified using enzymes like cholesterol esterase (ChEt) and cholesterol oxidase (ChOx) to detect cholesterol content in blood or human serums. It has been shown that chitosan nanocomposites-based biosensors are more efficient, show a higher sensitivity, and are more durable in comparison to pristine chitosan. There are many nanostructured inorganic materials such as cuprous oxide nanoparticles, Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle, Cerium oxide nanoparticle, and TiO₂ nanoparticles frequently used to enhance the electronic properties as well as the electrical conductivity of chitosan based materials in nanocomposites. Application examples are biosensors to detect cholesterol in human...
blood serum, glucose content in human serum and immunosensors for ochratoxin-A. Detailed features of various chitosan nanocomposites in biosensor applications are summarized in Table 5.

3 | CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Discussing their limitations is very important for improving material based explorations and new applications. Concerning chitosan nanocomposites, an increasing number of reports suggest that chitosan show great potential for biomedical applications. Studies portrayed in this review showcase that responses of tissues are remarkably different for chitosan nanocomposites in comparison to individual materials. Surface functionalization of nanoparticles and modifications of chitosan are important factors for directing cellular response, adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. Moieties such as small biomolecules, peptides, proteins, and polymers are used to modulate the surface properties for enhanced biological responses facilitating better cell-substrate interaction, and improving mechanical properties of the substrate. Chitosan nanocomposites with tailored properties show favorable outcomes, such as faster
## Table 4: Chitosan nanocomposite substrates for miscellaneous tissue engineering applications

| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) | Application |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Chitosan Films           |                                                      |                                                      |                                                                                                |             |
| Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide modified rectorite (REC) layered silicate reinforced N-(2-hydroxy) propyl-3-trimethyl ammonium chitosan chloride (HTCC)\(^{193}\) | Solution casting/chemically modified form of quaternized chitosan having weight average \(M_w\) of 210,000 g/mol | • The prepared clay nanocomposites showed well intercalated morphology and it exhibited excellent antimicrobial characteristics against Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria and Fungi as well  
• Also, nanocomposites displayed killing rate of more than 90% and cell walls has been destroyed rapidly for all the pathogen. | - | Antimicrobial packaging films |
| Manganese dioxide\(^{194}\) | Solution casting/85% DDA | • The fabricated nanocomposite films performed as super adsorbent and inhibited bacterial growth about 50% | - | Antimicrobial packaging films |
| Graphene oxide embedded in blends of chitosan and poly (vinyl alcohol) matrix\(^{195}\) | Solution casting/average low \(M_w\) of 144,000 g/mol with 90% DDA | • Graphene oxide contributed to enhance the microbial inhibition, mineral deposition and in vivo biodegradation without inflammatory response of the nanocomposite films | No in vitro evaluation, only in vivo assessment | Bone tissue engineering |
| Halloysite nanotube (HNT)\(^{196}\) | Solution casting/viscosity-average \(M_w\) of 600,000 g/mol with 95% DDA | • HNT inclusion enhanced the surface roughness of the films, biocompatibility, static as well as dynamic mechanical properties of the nanocomposite films  
• Storage modulus increased by 193% upon incorporation of 7.5% HNT into chitosan matrix. | NIH3 T3 mouse fibroblasts | Muscle regeneration |
| Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT)\(^{197}\) | Solution casting/chitosan derived from crab shells | • Enhanced mechanical properties, cell metabolic activity as well as rate of cell proliferation | Endothelial cells and vascular myofibroblasts. Cell viability increased up to 2-fold for both cells. | Cardiovascular tissue engineering |
| Copper-loaded acrylated quaternized chitosan/silica antibacterial coatings on poly(vinyl fluoride) (PVF)\(^{198}\) | Dip-coating approach/low average \(M_w\) having a viscosity of 0.02–0.3 kg/(m-s) with 75% DDA | • Copper-loaded nanocomposite coatings showed exceptional antimicrobial efficacies of about 99% bacteria were killed with high efficiency  
• Cell compatibility was also not affected by an inclusion of copper as well as silica | 3 T3 mouse fibroblasts and adult human dermal fibroblasts, cell viability was enhanced up to 95% after 24 h of culture | Antimicrobial coatings for implants |
| Chitosan scaffolds        |                                                      |                                                      |                                                                                                |             |
| Halloysite nanotubes scaffolds\(^{199}\) | Combined solution-mixing and freeze-drying techniques/chitosan had 95% DDA with a \(M_w\) of 600,000 g/mol | • Significant improvement in thermal stability, modulus and strength (compression more) was observed for the nanocomposite scaffolds  
• Biological characteristics such as cell uptake, adhesion and proliferation were enhanced significantly | Mouse fibroblasts NIHJ3-E1 cell line. Cell viability enhanced up to 2-fold after 4 days of culture. | Muscle tissue engineering |
| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Cell line and cytotoxicity in comparison to pristine chitosan materials evaluation (if available) | Application |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Carbon nanotube embedded in blends of gelatin-chitosan | Combined solution and sonochemistry approach | • Carbon nanotube embedded nanocomposite scaffold performed as an electrical nanobridges between cardiomyocytes, which enriched many features like electrical coupling, synchronous beating, and cardiomyocyte function  
• Excitation conduction velocities similar to that of the native myocardial tissue (22 ± 9 cm/s), which helped to regenerate cardiac defects including cardiac arrhythmias (in vivo) | Neonatal Rat Ventricular Myocyte (NRVM). Cell viability was above 80% | Cardiac tissue engineering |
| Sponges-based on *Cuscuta reflexa* coated silver nanoparticles embedded in blends of chitosan and *Aloe vera* extract | Freeze-drying approach/ chitosan derived from fungus of Cunninghamella elegans | • Nanocomposite sponges showed excellent antimicrobial activity against various pathogens  
• Slightly decreased % cell viability | Human dermal fibroblast cell | Muscle tissue regeneration |
| Iron oxide decorated graphene oxide | Solution approach/ average M<sub>W</sub> of 193,400 g/mol with 77.7% DDA | • Significant improvements of antimicrobial activities against various gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains  
• Enhanced mechanical properties like tensile strength as well as modulus without comprising their biocompatibility | Mouse L929 fibroblastic cell line. Cell viability enhanced to above 87% | Antimicrobial biofilm |
| Alginate-<i>O</i>-carboxymethyl chitosan/nano fibrin | Solution approach with ionic crosslinking/ chemical modified chitosan with alginate and carboxymethyl groups | • Nanocomposite hydrogels displayed improved mechanical properties  
• Cell adhesion, proliferation and differentiation into adipocytes was superior | Adipose derived stem cells (ADSCs). Cell viability increased up to 6-fold after 72 h of culture | Adipose tissue engineering |
| Stimuli responsive hydrogels from chitosan/gold nanoparticles | Solution approach/ medium molecular weight with 95% DDA | • Nanocomposite hydrogels possessed electrically conductive and showed active metabolism, migration and proliferation of MSCs  
• It also showed enhanced cardiomyogenic differentiation of MSCs | Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) | Cardiac tissue engineering |
| Chondroitin sulfate (CS) nanoparticles reinforced with chitosan grafted with poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-valerate) (CP) hydrogels | Solution approach/ medium range M<sub>W</sub> of 100,000–150,000 g/mol with 75%–85% DDA | • Nanocomposites hydrogels were mechanically stable, enhanced swelling characteristics and viscoelastic properties that closely mimic human nucleus pulposus  
• It also possessed excellent cell compatibility and supported chondrogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells | Adipose derived rat mesenchymal stem cells (ADMSCs). Cell viability increased up to 1-fold after 7 days of culture | Nucleus pulposus tissue engineering |
bone regeneration, targeted drug/cell delivery, better wound healing, enhanced angiogenesis, and osteogenesis. Nevertheless, comprehensive studies are necessary before clinical trials are initiated, since chitosan nanocomposites may elute over time and there is a need to investigate biodistribution in long-term animal trials. More specifically, nanoparticles released from the nanocomposites may be taken up by cells through endocytic pathways, since nanoparticulate graphene sheets have been shown to penetrate cells via clathrin-mediated endocytosis or via phagocytic uptake. One already well-known minor drawback of chitosan is its inferior solubility in neutral solutions. Additionally, the molecular weight and DD severely affect the use of chitosan. Recently, one study extensively investigated the biological characteristics of a library of chitosans with varying % DD, changes in the acetylation pattern and varying molecular weights.223 It was identified that chitosan with more than 30,000 g/mol blocks glucosamine induced macrophage cytokines by lysosomal rupturing. Moreover, the concentration or quantity of nanoparticles used as fillers beyond a certain wt% decreases cell viability significantly, which is not a good basis for tissue engineering applications. Specifically, nanoparticles such as CNTs, silver sulfadiazine, and bioactive glass have shown toxic effects. Other nanoparticles did not improve any properties. Cell viability after 7 days of culture in presence of chitosan nanocomposites comprising halloysite nanotubes and

FIGURE 9  Cross sectional morphology of chitosan nanocomposite sponges reinforced with different amounts of silver sulfadiazine nanoparticles (Reproduced with permission from Shao et al.207)
FIGURE 10  (A) Live/dead cell assay of neonatal rat ventricular myocytes (NRVM) cultured for 4 days on gelatin blended chitosan-based nanocomposite scaffolds with varying content (ppm) of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) (B) immunostaining of sarcomeres (green for α-actinin) and gap junctions (red for connexin-43) displaying interconnected and integrated myocytes shows cell spreading upon increasing the amount of SWCNTs after 7 days of NRVM cell culture. (Adapted from Pok et al.200)

TABLE 5  Chitosan nanocomposite films for biosensors applications

| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Application |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Bioenzymes such as cholesterol esterase (ChEt) and cholesterol oxidase (ChOx)-functionalised cuprous oxide nanoparticle221 | Solution casting/average low molecular weight with 85% DDA | • Functionalised nanoparticles reinforced nanocomposite films showed excellent biosensing characteristics to detect total cholesterol content in human blood serum with wide range (10–450 mg/dl), • High sensitivity of 0.895 μA/ (mg/dl/cm²), and ultra-fast response time (2 s). | Detect cholesterol in human blood serums |
| Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles embedded in chitosan/nafion blends218 | Solution casting | • Showed excellent biosensing characteristics to detect total glucose content in human blood serum with high sensitivity (11.54 μA/cm²/mM), and low detection limit (6 × 10⁻⁶ M). | Detect glucose content in human serums |

(Continues)
calcium phosphate resulted in the same cell viability (%) as in the control samples. However, despite various flaws of chitosan nanocomposite substrates, they hold a great potential for biomedical applications.
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### TABLE 5 (Continued)

| Nanocomposites additives | Fabrication method and chitosan details (if available) | Features in comparison to pristine chitosan materials | Application |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Nanoparticles of NiFe$_2$O$_4$, CuO, and FeO; film surface was modified using cholesterol oxidase | Solution casting/low molecular weight with 80%–85% DDA | • Showed excellent biosensing characteristics to detect total cholesterol content in human blood serum with a low detection limit (313 mg/L), best linearity (50–5000 mg/L)  
• It also has ultra-fast response time (10 s), high sensitivity (0.043 μA/[mg/L·cm²]), and a shelf-life of 3 months. | Detect cholesterol in human blood serum |
| NiFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles$_{215}$ | Solution dip casting on glassy carbon electrode (GCE) | • Showed excellent electrocatalytic response to the oxidation of glucose. It exhibited fast response of less than 4 s, high sensitivity of 45.6 μA/(mmol/L·cm²), low detection limit of 1.0–8.0 mmol/L and long-term stability of up to 30 days | Amperometric glucose biosensor |
| chitosan–polypyrrole$_{214}$ | Solution dip casting on glassy carbon electrode (GCE) | • Showed excellent glucose sensing ability. It exhibited ultra-fast amperometric response time of less than 5 s, and broad range of detection limit from 5.00 × 10⁻⁴ to 1.47 × 10⁻¹ M including low detection limit (1.55 × 10⁻⁷ M). | Glucose biosensor |
| Cerium oxide nanoparticles; film surface was modified using cholesterol oxidase$_{216}$ | Solution dip casting on indium-tinoxide (ITO) coated glass plate/average M$_w$ of 2,400,000 g/mol | • The nanocomposite thin films formed on ITO plate showed wide detection range of 10–400 mg/dl with a limit of 5 mg/dl  
• It also showed ultra-fast response time of less than 10 s, high sensitivity of 47 μA/mg/dl/cm² and regression coefficient of 0.994. | Detect cholesterol in human blood serum |
| TiO$_2$ nanoparticles; film surface was modified using Ochratoxin-A (*Aspergillus ochraceus*)$_{222}$ | Solution dip casting on indium-tinoxide (ITO) plate/average M$_w$ of 2,400,000 g/mol | • The nanocomposite thin films formed on ITO plate showed detection response of up to 10 ng/ml, detection sensitivity of 7.5 mM | Immunosensor for ochratoxin-A detection |
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