Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders and Risk Factors among Chinese Medical Staff of Obstetrics and Gynecology
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Abstract: Medical staff in the department of obstetrics and gynecology are a group of professionals reportedly at high risk of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSD), however, little is known about the current status of this problem in China. The aim of this study was to investigate prevalence and risk factors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among this population in China. A self-developed questionnaire was distributed to 1017 obstetrics and gynecology practitioners to collect information on musculoskeletal symptoms and relevant factors. Prevalence and severity of work-related musculoskeletal disorders in different parts of the body were calculated and the relationship between personal and ergonomic factors and work-related musculoskeletal disorders was analyzed using Chi-square test and unconditional logistic regression models. The results indicated a high prevalence of 85.5% among the subjects, with the shoulder (n = 575, 62.0%), neck (n = 560, 60.3%) and lower back (n = 504, 54.3%) being the three most affected regions. Individual, postural, work-environmental as well as psychosocial factors were recognized to be associated with WMSDs in different body parts. Therefore, attention must be given to the problem of musculoskeletal disorders among Chinese obstetrics and gynecology staff. It is recommended to develop good life habits, improve work environment, adjust work organization as well as train on proper postures in their daily operation.
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1. Introduction

Obstetrics and gynecology practitioners are a group of working population affected by work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs). Their daily duties include but are not limited to practicing office-based procedures, carrying out surgeries and offering nursing care [1]. In these processes, they have to meet the requirements of physical strength and professional knowledge [2], at the same time, they are exposed to fierce competition and tense physician-patient relationship [3]. Especially at the course of operations, they are required to transfer the heavy patients frequently and keep sustained periods of stooping, squatting, bending and constant trunk flexion (see Figure 1), which brings about pressure and posture load on neck, shoulder, and trunk etc. [4]. Consequently, the majority of them are exposed to poor ergonomic environment, which make them prone to musculoskeletal problems [1].
keep sustained periods of stooping, squatting, bending and constant trunk flexion (see Figure 1), which brings about pressure and posture load on neck, shoulder, and trunk etc. [4]. Consequently, the majority of them are exposed to poor ergonomic environment, which make them prone to musculoskeletal problems [1].

Figure 1. Obstetric or gynecological surgical procedures.

The U.S. Department of Labor defined work-related musculoskeletal disorders as injuries or disorders of the muscles, nerves, tendons, joints, cartilage, and spinal discs associated with exposure to risk factors in the workplace [5]. Data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics in 2015 showed that WMSDs were the most important parts of workers’ compensation, which accounted for at least one third of the labor time losses [6]. These diseases will not only affect the workers’ quality of life, but also impose a major economic burden to the society [7]. System review by Bruno et al. proposed that several biomechanical, psychosocial and individual factors contributed to the occurrence of WMSDs [8]. However, since the disorders are caused by a series of factors, it is difficult for researchers to fully elucidate the etiology.

Although previous studies have emphasized the serious problem of WMSDs and identified several work-related factors in obstetrics and gynecology [9], more information is needed. In particular, few study has focused on the prevalence of WMSDs and comprehensive ergonomic issues among Chinese obstetrics and gynecology staff. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate prevalence and severity of WMSDs, as well as the contribution of personal and ergonomic factors to the prevalence of WMSDs among this population in China, in order to provide them with valuable suggestions for intervention.

2. Methods

2.1. Instrumentation

A cross-sectional questionnaire survey was conducted. The questionnaire was designed by our research group and modified on the basis of the professional features of obstetrics and gynecology (see Supplementary Materials). The instrument has been done with reliability and validity test in the same population (total Cronbach’s alpha = 0.844, KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) value = 0.872). Information collected in the questionnaire contains: (1) personal factors; (2) musculoskeletal symptoms; and (3) work-related factors.

As for personal factors, information concerning gender, age, vocation, length of employment, Body Mass Index (BMI), education, marital status, monthly income, smoking behavior and drinking behavior etc. was collected.
The second domain (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.895, KMO value = 0.616) captured information on musculoskeletal symptoms experienced in the past 7 days or 12 months in seven body regions: neck, shoulder, upper back, elbow, hand or wrist, lower back and knee, which were the most commonly-studied and vulnerable parts [1,10]. Furthermore, symptoms in the past 12 months were assessed by asking each subject to self-report pain frequency (1~7 days in the past year, 8~30 days in the past year, more than 30 days in the past year, almost every day) and pain intensity (score 0–10, with score 0 for no pain and score 10 for unbearable pain) in each body part. Information on total absenteeism time and the situation of changing job was also collected. The design of this domain was in accordance with the Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ) [11]. A case in the study refers to anyone who suffered from positive symptoms such as discomfort, numbness, pain or limitation of movement, that occurred in the musculoskeletal system at any time during the past 12 months, which lasted for at least 24 h and can’t get relief after rest [11–13].

Work-related factors involved information on postural, psychosocial and work-environmental factors. Postural factors (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.873, KMO value = 0.910) were constituted of five items on trunk, four items on neck, nine item on arm/wrist as well as three items on leg, which can be referred to Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) [14]. Psychological factors (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.677, KMO value = 0.600) mainly focused on personal feelings, work organization and job control, which were partly drew from the full recommended version of the Karasek Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ) [15]. Finally, items on work environment (i.e., operating space, lumbar support, adjustable workbench, temperature and humidity) were collected.

2.2. Sampling and Data Collection

Medical staff who had worked in the department of obstetrics and gynecology for at least 1 year were recruited in our study. Those who had musculoskeletal injuries caused by sources other than workplace were excluded. There were 29 hospitals contacted that agreed to take part in the study. All of these hospitals were selected from hospitals of level II or above in different urban areas of Shenzhen. Thus, these 29 hospitals represented a convenience sample from the hospitals in Shenzhen, China. Between July 2015 and August 2015, the questionnaire with a cover letter explaining the purposes and procedure of the study was delivered to obstetrics and gynecology staff in these hospitals. Those who agreed to participate provided their signatures as informed consents. The questionnaire was completed under the guidance of trained investigators and went through strict quality control. Subjects who did not return filled questionnaire were contacted and encouraged to respond to the survey. Approval for all study procedures was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Henan Institute of Occupational Health (Approval codes: 2013003).

2.3. Data Analysis

Data was analyzed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows. Descriptive statistics were performed to reveal the response distribution for each item, especially the prevalence and severity of musculoskeletal symptoms in each anatomical site. Logistic regression analysis was carried out to evaluate the influence of individual and ergonomic factors on the occurrence of musculoskeletal symptoms in the past 12 months. Adjusted odd ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were obtained as measurement of association. For the initial selection of potential risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders, Chi square test was used with a significance level of \( p < 0.2 \). Subsequently, all independent variables that showed significant association were included in the multivariate logistic regression model. Age and gender were always included in each model regardless of its significance. The enter method was used for variable selection. These analyses were performed separately for different anatomical regions. The factor with OR > 1 was considered as a contributor toward WMSDs, whereas the factor with OR < 1 was considered as a protective factor. Finally, the potential for collinearity among risk factors was considered. The significance level of logistic regression was set to 0.05.
3. Results

3.1. Demographics Characteristics and Distribution of Ergonomic Factors

Of the 1017 questionnaires sent to subjects who were eligible to participate, there were 928 questionnaires returned and valid, yielding a response rate of 91.2%. Among the valid questionnaires, there were gynecologists (n = 288, 31.0%), obstetricians (n = 330, 35.6%), midwives (n = 310, 33.4%). The sex imbalance of respondents (68 male (7.3%) and 860 female (92.7%)) was comparable to that of the similar population in other studies [16]. A majority of the subjects were within the age group of 20–40 years (n = 675, 72.8%) and had a length of employment for more than 5 years (n = 672, 72.4%). Many of the subjects reported to work overtime (n = 852, 91.8%) and didn’t have regular (n = 724, 78.0%) or enough rest time (n = 679, 73.2%). About 78.4% (n = 728) of the participants often had to keep the same posture for long duration. Additional demographic characteristics and ergonomic factors were presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics characteristics and distribution of ergonomic factors among subjects (n = 928).

| Variables                  | Categories                        | N (%)   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                     | male                              | 68 (7.3)
|                            | female                            | 860 (92.7) |
| Age (year)                 | 20–30                             | 294 (31.7) |
|                            | 31–40                             | 381 (41.1) |
|                            | 41–50                             | 179 (19.3) |
|                            | 51–                               | 74 (8.0)  |
| Vocation                   | gynecologist                      | 288 (31.0) |
|                            | obstetrician                      | 330 (35.6) |
|                            | midwife                           | 310 (33.4) |
| Length of employment (years)| 1–5                               | 256 (27.6) |
|                            | 6–10                              | 211 (22.7) |
|                            | 11–15                             | 155 (16.7) |
|                            | 16–                               | 306 (33.0) |
| BMI                        | ~18.5                             | 100 (10.8) |
|                            | 18.5–24                           | 670 (72.2) |
|                            | 24–28                             | 143 (15.4) |
|                            | 28–                               | 15 (1.6)  |
| Education                  | senior high school and below      | 21 (2.3)  |
|                            | junior college                    | 136 (14.7) |
|                            | bachelor degree                   | 585 (63.0) |
|                            | master degree or above            | 186 (20.0) |
| Marital status             | unmarried                         | 186 (20.0) |
|                            | married but separated             | 51 (5.5)  |
|                            | married and living with spouse    | 691 (74.5) |
| Monthly income (RMB)       | ≤3000                             | 48 (5.2)  |
|                            | 3001–5000                         | 127 (13.7) |
|                            | 5001–8000                         | 342 (36.9) |
|                            | >8000                             | 411 (44.3) |
| Smoking behavior           | non-smoker                        | 883 (95.1) |
|                            | past smoker                       | 8 (0.9)   |
|                            | current smoker                    | 37 (4.0)  |
| Drinking behavior          | no                                | 782 (84.3) |
|                            | yes                               | 146 (15.7) |
| Weekly working hours       | Mean (SD)                         | 49.5 (13.2) |
| Shift work *               | No                                | 106 (11.4) |
|                            | Yes                               | 822 (88.6) |
| Rest time                  | No                                | 516 (55.6) |
|                            | Yes, not regular                  | 353 (38.0) |
|                            | Yes, regular                      | 59 (6.4)  |
| Work overtime              | No                                | 76 (8.2)  |
|                            | Yes                               | 852 (91.8) |
### Table 1. Cont.

| Variables                                | Categories            | N (%)       |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Physical tiredness after work            | not at all            | 23 (2.5)    |
|                                          | a little bit tired    | 374 (40.3)  |
|                                          | tired                 | 408 (44.0)  |
|                                          | can hardly bear       | 123 (13.3)  |
| Mental tiredness after work              | not at all            | 35 (3.8)    |
|                                          | a little bit tired    | 368 (39.7)  |
|                                          | tired                 | 396 (42.7)  |
|                                          | can hardly bear       | 129 (13.9)  |
| Perceived health status                  | good                  | 113 (12.2)  |
|                                          | fine                  | 673 (72.5)  |
|                                          | bad                   | 115 (12.4)  |
|                                          | very bad              | 27 (2.9)    |
| Maximum carrying weight (kg)             | 0–30                  | 499 (53.8)  |
|                                          | 30–60                 | 258 (27.8)  |
|                                          | 60–90                 | 126 (13.6)  |
|                                          | 90–                   | 45 (4.8)    |
| Enough operating space                   | No                    | 255 (27.5)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 673 (72.5)  |
| Lumbar support                           | No                    | 376 (40.5)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 552 (59.5)  |
| Adjustable workbench                     | No                    | 620 (66.8)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 308 (33.2)  |
| Freely change posture                    | No                    | 490 (52.8)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 438 (47.2)  |
| Keeping the same posture for long time   | No                    | 200 (21.6)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 728 (78.4)  |
| Uncomfortable posture                    | No                    | 396 (42.7)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 532 (57.3)  |
| Coldness                                 | No                    | 689 (74.2)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 239 (25.8)  |
| Feeling humid at work                    | No                    | 778 (83.8)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 150 (16.2)  |
| Enough rest time                         | No                    | 679 (73.2)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 249 (26.8)  |
| Rest regularly                           | No                    | 724 (78.0)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 204 (22.0)  |
| Control over work progress               | No                    | 684 (73.7)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 244 (26.3)  |
| Job stress                               | No                    | 226 (24.4)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 702 (75.6)  |
| Keep up with work pace                   | No                    | 454 (48.9)  |
|                                          | Yes                   | 474 (51.1)  |

* “Shift work” refers to the workers taking turns on duty in different working hours according to schedule, which means that the employees sometimes need to work on public holidays or night shifts.

### 3.2. WMSDs Characteristics

In total, there were 665 (71.7%) subjects who reported experiencing work-related musculoskeletal pain or discomfort in the past 7 days and 793 (85.5%) subjects reported WMSDs symptoms that occurred in at least one musculoskeletal region during the past 12 months. The most common symptoms appeared in the shoulder \((n = 575, 62.0\%)\), neck \((n = 560, 60.3\%)\) and lower back \((n = 504, 54.3\%)\). WMSDs severity was graded from no pain (score 0) to unbearable pain (score 10), with lower back, neck and shoulder being the most painful parts, whose mean scores were $5.3 \pm 2.1$, $5.3 \pm 2.3$ and $5.2 \pm 2.2$, respectively. Detailed data on the variables, such as cumulative duration of symptoms, absenteeism time and job change for the seven regions, were presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Prevalence and severity of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) among Chinese obstetrics and gynecology staff.

| Variables | Neck | Shoulder | Upper Back | Lower Back | Elbow | Hand/Wrist | Knee | Any Body Part |
|-----------|------|----------|------------|------------|-------|------------|------|---------------|
| Occurrence in the past 7 days | 485 (52.3) | 474 (51.1) | 267 (28.8) | 430 (46.3) | 144 (15.5) | 293 (31.6) | 218 (23.5) | 665 (71.7) |
| Occurrence in the past 12 months | 560 (60.3) | 575 (62.0) | 330 (35.6) | 504 (54.3) | 187 (20.2) | 374 (40.3) | 261 (28.1) | 793 (85.5) |
| Pain intensity | Mean score (SD) | 5.3 (2.3) | 5.2 (2.2) | 4.7 (2.1) | 5.3 (2.1) | 4.0 (2.4) | 4.7 (2.2) | 4.5 (2.2) |
| Cumulative duration of symptoms | 1–7 days | 177 (19.1) | 186 (20.0) | 120 (12.9) | 159 (17.1) | 76 (8.2) | 132 (14.2) | 75 (8.1) |
| | 8–30 days | 117 (12.6) | 107 (11.5) | 62 (6.7) | 107 (11.5) | 38 (4.1) | 70 (7.5) | 63 (6.8) |
| | >30 days | 179 (19.3) | 164 (17.7) | 86 (9.3) | 140 (15.1) | 39 (4.2) | 97 (10.5) | 72 (7.8) |
| Almost every day | 70 (7.5) | 77 (8.3) | 38 (4.1) | 69 (7.4) | 15 (1.6) | 41 (4.4) | 30 (3.2) |
| No symptoms | 368 (39.7) | 353 (38.0) | 598 (64.4) | 424 (45.7) | 741 (79.8) | 554 (59.7) | 667 (71.9) |
| Absenteeism time | No absence | 493 (53.1) | 487 (52.5) | 279 (30.1) | 420 (45.3) | 158 (17.0) | 307 (33.1) | 212 (22.8) |
| | 1–7 days | 36 (3.9) | 34 (3.7) | 22 (2.4) | 37 (4.0) | 10 (1.1) | 25 (2.7) | 21 (2.3) |
| | 8–30 days | 11 (1.2) | 3 (0.3) | 3 (0.3) | 12 (1.3) | 5 (0.5) | 2 (0.2) | 5 (0.5) |
| | >30 days | 5 (0.5) | 12 (1.3) | 6 (0.6) | 8 (0.9) | 4 (0.4) | 8 (0.9) | 6 (0.6) |
| No symptoms | 368 (39.7) | 353 (38.0) | 598 (64.4) | 424 (45.7) | 741 (79.8) | 554 (59.7) | 667 (71.9) |
| Causing job change | Yes | 44 (4.7) | 36 (3.9) | 20 (2.2) | 41 (4.4) | 14 (1.5) | 30 (3.2) | 16 (1.7) |
| | No | 502 (54.1) | 498 (53.7) | 289 (31.1) | 439 (47.3) | 165 (17.8) | 315 (33.9) | 228 (24.6) |
| | No symptoms | 368 (39.7) | 353 (38.0) | 598 (64.4) | 424 (45.7) | 741 (79.8) | 554 (59.7) | 667 (71.9) |

* Some percentages do not total 100 because of missing data.
3.3. Risk Factors Analysis

Results of risk factors with statistical significance in multivariate logistic regression models were presented in Table 3.

Several postural factors were found to be associated with musculoskeletal symptoms. “Uncomfortable posture” increased the prevalence of neck symptoms (OR = 1.497, 95% CI = 1.079, 2.077) and elbow symptoms (OR = 1.552, 95% CI = 1.040, 2.316) while “freely change posture” decreased lower back symptoms (OR = 0.729, 95% CI = 0.538, 0.989). Besides, “keeping the same posture for long time” (OR = 1.715, 95% CI = 1.182, 2.489) was related to increased prevalence of lower back pain. Elbow symptoms in particular were influenced by “arm placed on edges of angular objects” (OR = 1.542, 95% CI = 1.055, 2.256) and “tool size suitable for hand” (OR = 0.599, 95% CI = 0.391, 0.917) while hand/wrist symptoms were affected by “wrist flexion and extension frequently” (OR = 1.763, 95% CI = 1.102, 2.820) and “keeping shrugging for long period” (OR = 1.410, 95% CI = 1.041, 1.909).

Psychosocial factors involving personal feeling, work organization and job control were also recognized as risk factors in our study. Medical workers who perceived bad health status had increased odds of reporting shoulder pain (OR = 3.696, 95% CI = 1.834, 7.448), upper back pain (OR = 2.386, 95% CI = 1.247, 4.565) and hand/wrist pain (OR = 3.089, 95% CI = 1.632, 5.847) compared with those who reported good health. “Physical tiredness after work” increased the occurrence of lower back pain and hand/wrist pain and “mental tiredness after work” increased reported prevalence of knee symptoms. Employees who were able to “keep up with work pace” reported less neck symptoms (OR = 0.495, 95% CI = 0.361, 0.679), shoulder symptoms (OR = 0.650, 95% CI = 0.472, 0.895), lower back symptoms (OR = 0.610, 95% CI = 0.448, 0.832) and knee symptoms (OR = 0.476, 95% CI = 0.331, 0.684) than those who were not. In addition, neck symptoms in particular were related to “job stress” (OR = 1.494, 95% CI = 1.027, 2.172) and lower back symptoms in particular were affected by “enough rest time” (OR = 0.587, 95% CI = 0.396, 0.868).

Two work-environmental factors were recognized to be associated with musculoskeletal disorders, which were “feeling cold at work” (OR = 1.604, 95% CI = 1.065, 2.415) that correlated with neck symptoms and “adjustable workbench” (OR = 0.690, 95% CI = 0.497, 0.958) that related to upper back symptoms.

In spite of work-related factors, there were some personal factors found with statistical significance. We observed an increase with years of employment for the yearly prevalence of shoulder symptoms, mostly attributable to employees who had worked in the department of obstetrics and gynecology for 6–10 years (OR = 2.566, 95% CI = 1.492, 4.413). “Drinking behavior” showed correlation with elbow symptoms (OR = 1.706, 95% CI = 1.052, 2.765) and knee symptoms (OR = 2.303, 95% CI = 1.453, 3.649). Moreover, “monthly income” was found to be associated with neck symptoms and lower back symptoms while “marital status” was associated with back symptoms.

Table 3. Risk factors analysis for WMSDs in different anatomical regions.

| Regions     | Factors          | Categories          | Significance | ORs       | 95% CI     |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| Neck        | Monthly income   | ≤3000               | 0.018 *      | 1.000     | -          |
|             |                  | 3001–5000           | 0.132        | 1.798     | 0.839 to 3.852 |
|             |                  | 5001–8000           | 0.686        | 0.865     | 0.429 to 1.745 |
|             |                  | >8000               | 0.514        | 1.269     | 0.621 to 2.592 |
|             | Uncomfortable    | binary *             | 0.016 *      | 1.497     | 1.079 to 2.077 |
|             | posture          |                     |              |           |            |
|             | Coldness         | binary              | 0.024 *      | 1.604     | 1.065 to 2.415 |
|             | Job stress       | binary              | 0.036 *      | 1.494     | 1.027 to 2.172 |
|             | Keep up with work| binary              | 0.000 **     | 0.495     | 0.361 to 0.679 |
|             | pace             |                     |              |           |            |
| Shoulder    | Length of        | 1–5                 | 0.009 **     | 1.000     | -          |
|             | employment       | 6–10                | 0.001 **     | 2.566     | 1.492 to 4.413 |
|             |                  | 11–15               | 0.019 *      | 2.197     | 1.138 to 4.241 |
|             | Perceived health | good                | 0.004 **     | 1.000     | -          |
|             | status           | fine                | 0.018 *      | 1.726     | 1.096 to 2.717 |
|             |                  | bad                 | 0.000 **     | 3.696     | 1.834 to 7.448 |
|             |                  | very bad            | 0.281        | 1.781     | 0.624 to 5.088 |
|             | Keep up with work| binary              | 0.008 **     | 0.650     | 0.472 to 0.895 |
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| Regions | Factors                          | Categories                  | Significance | ORs      | 95% CI       |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
|         |                                  |                             |              |          |              |
| Upper back | Marital status                  | unmarried                   | 0.034 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | married but separated       | 0.241        | 0.738    | 0.444 to 1.226 |
|         |                                  | married and living with spouse | 0.010 **   | 0.334    | 0.144 to 0.772 |
|         | Perceived health status          | good                        | 0.022 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | fine                        | 0.068        | 1.622    | 0.966 to 2.726 |
|         |                                  | bad                         | 0.009 **     | 2.386    | 1.247 to 4.565 |
|         |                                  | very bad                    | 0.012 *      | 3.585    | 1.326 to 9.697 |
|         | Adjustable workbench             | binary                      | 0.026 *      | 0.690    | 0.497 to 0.958 |
|         | Marital status                  | unmarried                   | 0.025 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | married but separated       | 0.076        | 0.912    | 0.566 to 1.470 |
|         |                                  | married and living with spouse | 0.016 *     | 0.579    | 0.172 to 0.835 |
| Lower back | Marital status                  | unmarried                   | 0.025 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | married but separated       | 0.672        | 1.178    | 0.552 to 2.516 |
|         |                                  | married and living with spouse | 0.079        | 1.104    | 0.539 to 2.260 |
|         | Monthly income (RMB)             | ≤3000                       | 0.003 **     | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | 3001~5000                   | 0.672        | 1.178    | 0.352 to 2.516 |
|         |                                  | 5001~8000                   | 0.797        | 1.104    | 0.539 to 2.260 |
|         |                                  | >8000                       | 0.045 *      | 2.124    | 1.017 to 4.435 |
|         | Physical tiredness after work    | not at all                  | 0.021 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | a little bit tired          | 0.108        | 2.571    | 0.812 to 8.142 |
|         |                                  | tired                       | 0.048 *      | 3.356    | 1.013 to 11.117 |
|         |                                  | can hardly bear             | 0.005 **     | 0.610    | 0.448 to 0.832 |
|         | Freely change posture            | binary                      | 0.042 *      | 0.729    | 0.538 to 0.989 |
|         | Keeping the same posture for long time | binary            | 0.005 **     | 1.715    | 1.118 to 2.489 |
| Knee | Keeping rest time                | binary                      | 0.008 **     | 0.587    | 0.396 to 0.868 |
|         | Keep up with work pace           | binary                      | 0.002 **     | 0.610    | 0.448 to 0.832 |
|         | Drinking behavior                | binary                      | 0.030 *      | 1.706    | 1.052 to 2.765 |
|         | Arm placed on edges of angular objects | binary    | 0.026 *      | 1.542    | 1.055 to 2.256 |
|         | Tool size suitable for hand      | binary                      | 0.018 *      | 0.599    | 0.391 to 0.917 |
|         | Uncomfortable posture            | binary                      | 0.032 *      | 1.552    | 1.040 to 2.316 |
|         | Wrist flexion and extension frequently | binary       | 0.018 *      | 1.763    | 1.102 to 2.820 |
|         | Keeping shrugging for long period | binary          | 0.026 *      | 1.410    | 1.041 to 1.909 |
|         | Physical tiredness after work    | not at all                  | 0.014 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | a little bit tired          | 0.357        | 1.956    | 0.470 to 8.146 |
|         |                                  | tTired                      | 0.088        | 3.541    | 0.829 to 15.124 |
|         |                                  | can hardly bear             | 0.045 *      | 4.869    | 1.038 to 22.850 |
|         | Perceived health status          | good                        | 0.004 **     | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | fine                        | 0.065        | 1.587    | 0.972 to 2.590 |
|         |                                  | bad                         | 0.001 **     | 3.089    | 1.632 to 5.847 |
|         |                                  | very bad                    | 0.641        | 1.259    | 0.477 to 3.321 |
|         | Drinking behavior                | binary                      | 0.000 **     | 2.303    | 1.453 to 3.649 |
|         | Leg posture                      | sitting posture             | 0.028 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | keep both legs upright      | 0.007 **     | 2.237    | 1.240 to 4.036 |
|         |                                  | keep one leg upright with body weight on it | 0.000 ** | 4.023    | 1.839 to 8.802 |
|         |                                  | squat with both legs        | 0.306        | 1.538    | 0.675 to 3.503 |
|         |                                  | squat with one leg          | 0.106        | 2.466    | 0.825 to 7.366 |
|         |                                  | kneeling position           | 0.067        | 3.444    | 0.917 to 12.934 |
|         |                                  | keep walking at work        | 0.014 *      | 2.109    | 1.164 to 3.819 |
|         | Mental tiredness after work      | not at all                  | 0.043 *      | 1.000    |              |
|         |                                  | a little bit tired          | 0.935        | 0.956    | 0.325 to 2.808 |
|         |                                  | tired                       | 0.758        | 1.192    | 0.390 to 3.641 |
|         |                                  | can hardly bear             | 0.125        | 2.619    | 0.765 to 8.964 |
|         | Keep up with work pace           | binary                      | 0.000 **     | 0.476    | 0.331 to 0.684 |

* for binary variables, "yes" was marked as "1", "no" was marked as "0", OR is the prevalence odds ratio of "yes" group to "no" group. * *p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

In the collinearity diagnostics, the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values of all variables were below 10, with tolerance values around 1 (shown in Table 4), indicating that there was no obvious collinearity problem among these potential risk factors.
Table 4. Collinearity diagnostics among all variables.

| Variables                                      | Tolerance | VIF * |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Gender                                         | 0.671     | 1.491 |
| Age                                            | 0.260     | 3.846 |
| Vocation                                       | 0.513     | 1.949 |
| Length of employment                           | 0.279     | 3.588 |
| BMI                                            | 0.850     | 1.176 |
| Education                                      | 0.690     | 1.448 |
| Marital status                                 | 0.617     | 1.622 |
| Monthly income                                 | 0.670     | 1.492 |
| Smoking behavior                               | 0.662     | 1.510 |
| Drinking behavior                              | 0.789     | 1.267 |
| Trunk posture                                  | 0.715     | 1.399 |
| Keep bending for long time                     | 0.723     | 1.382 |
| Turn round frequently                          | 0.712     | 1.404 |
| Keep trunk twisted for long time               | 0.615     | 1.625 |
| Bend and turn at the same time frequently      | 0.571     | 1.750 |
| Neck posture                                   | 0.747     | 1.338 |
| Head remained low for long time                | 0.817     | 1.224 |
| Keep your neck twisted for long time           | 0.623     | 1.604 |
| Turn your head frequently                      | 0.678     | 1.475 |
| Wrist flexion and extension frequently         | 0.802     | 1.246 |
| Twist your arm frequently                      | 0.648     | 1.543 |
| Have support device in your forearm            | 0.799     | 1.251 |
| Keep your wrist twisted for long time          | 0.760     | 1.316 |
| Arm placed on edges of angular objects         | 0.802     | 1.246 |
| Keep shrugging for long period                 | 0.835     | 1.198 |
| Height of the arm                              | 0.880     | 1.136 |
| Tool size suitable for hand                    | 0.857     | 1.167 |
| Operating with both hands                      | 0.885     | 1.129 |
| Leg posture                                    | 0.836     | 1.196 |
| Keep standing for long time                    | 0.683     | 1.464 |
| Keep your legs bent or twisted for long time   | 0.774     | 1.292 |
| Weekly working hours                           | 0.753     | 1.328 |
| Shift work                                     | 0.860     | 1.163 |
| Rest time                                      | 0.861     | 1.161 |
| Work overtime                                  | 0.841     | 1.189 |
| Physical tiredness after work                  | 0.369     | 2.707 |
| Mental tiredness after work                    | 0.406     | 2.460 |
| Perceived health status                        | 0.777     | 1.287 |
| Maximum carrying weight/kg                     | 0.879     | 1.138 |
| Enough operating space                         | 0.811     | 1.233 |
| Lumbar support                                 | 0.843     | 1.186 |
| Adjustable workbench                           | 0.855     | 1.170 |
| Freely change posture                          | 0.838     | 1.193 |
| Keeping the same posture for long time         | 0.790     | 1.266 |
| Uncomfortable posture                          | 0.693     | 1.442 |
| Coldness                                       | 0.704     | 1.421 |
| Feeling humid at work                          | 0.689     | 1.451 |
| Enough rest time                               | 0.592     | 1.688 |
| Rest regularly                                 | 0.654     | 1.528 |
| Control over work progress                     | 0.727     | 1.375 |
| Job stress                                     | 0.724     | 1.382 |
| Keep up with work pace                         | 0.773     | 1.294 |

* VIF is Variance Inflation Factor.

3.4. Qualitative Feedback from the Participants

Our participants also provided important suggestions which deserve serious attention. Their comments mainly include: Firstly, it is necessary to raise benefits as well as reduce work intensity. Secondly, more attention should be paid to psychology, especially to creating harmonious
relationship between doctors and patients. In addition, they complained about the unreasonable work organization, especially too many night shifts and training tasks, which made the already heavy work more unbearable. Finally, work environment was in need of improvement, since there were not enough operating tables and lounges.

4. Discussion

Medical staff are vulnerable to WMSDs [1]. Our findings revealed that WMSDs prevalence among obstetrics and gynecology staff was 85.5%, which was similar to the reported rate of 86.7% in research by Kim-Fine et al. [1], but rather high compared with many other vocations [17,18]. Therefore, WMSDs among obstetrics and gynecology practitioners should be a matter of concern. In our study, the most affected regions were shoulder, neck and lower back. It was slightly different from the ranking reported by Kierklo et al. [19], which were neck, lower back and hand among dentists. This may be attributed to the different occupational characteristics between these two departments, since in obstetric and gynecological surgeries, power requirement is more concentrated in the trunk rather than in the hand [20].

Our study also revealed that some personal factors as well as ergonomic factors were associated with prevalence of WMSDs even after mutual adjustment for each other, underscoring the multifactorial nature of WMSDs in this population.

Unfavorable postural factors were recognized to correlate with musculoskeletal symptoms in our study, which was inconsistent with Gangopadhyay, S. et al. [21]. According to some theories [22], when a person works in poor posture for long time, he will need to devote more strength to finishing the same intensity of task, which in turn increases the muscle loading and compressive stress on the vertebral disc and causes overwork injury over time. Besides, Armstrong et al. presented a conceptual model which may give us some hint on the pathogenesis of cumulative MSD [23]. Adverse posture may produce static load on the body. The static force exerting upon the musculoskeletal system may induce some physiological or biomechanical responses in the body, e.g., increased circulation, regional muscle fatigue, etc. Cumulative force requires continued or excessive responses, which might affect the reorganization or the regeneration procedure of the body tissue, causing structural tissue deformation. However, these speculations deserve further confirmation. Anyway, reducing posture load could be one of the most productive ways to alleviate WMSDs. Offering postural training as well as regular working posture evaluation and improvement were recommended in some studies [24].

Psychosocial factor is another crucial aspect of occupational hazards. The theory proposed by Carayon et al. that work organization and job stress may have comprehensive effects on the occurrence of WMSDs [25], was supported, in part, by the data from our study. According to some theoretical models describing the relationship between occupational factors and musculoskeletal problems like the dose-response model [26] or the biopsychosocial model [27], we assumed that psychosocial stressors at work may elicit some physiological responses, for example, increasing the individual’s muscle tension, and prolonged muscle tension can lead to the occurrence of musculoskeletal injury. Since one limitation of our study was that cross-sectional study may not be able to prove causality, we cannot assert that it was definitely poor mental health that caused WMSDs or it was WMSDs that affected mental health, or that maybe they contributed to each other. Therefore, more longitudinal studies to clarify this issue and verify our inference are required. However, in accordance with our study, adjusting work organization and paying attention to employees’ mental health may be advisable, especially developing a schedule which includes enough time for rest and an acceptable work pace, which are inconsistent with the subjective needs of medical staff as well [25].

Our study also demonstrated that “coldness” and “arm placed on edge of angular objects” increased the occurrence of WMSDs, while “adjustable workbench” and “tool size suitable for hands” decreased it. Based on these findings, we recommended that work environment should be improved and more and better equipment be offered to reduce WMSDs in the department of obstetrics and
gynecology, especially providing some supportive devices, for example, adjustable seat and workbench, ergonomically styled surgical instruments, forearm support, etc. [28,29].

In addition, “length of employment” influenced the prevalence of neck pain, which was in agreement with Wang et al. [30]; this may be explained by the assumption that experienced medical workers are usually assigned to deal with more complex patients and surgery, which will be faced with heavier workload and a few of them develop musculoskeletal microtrauma from daily duties, which accumulates over time [31]. Other personal factors identified include “marital status” and “drinking behavior”. Thus, good living habits are also very important.

The limitations of this study should be acknowledged when interpreting the results. Firstly, there is no unified case definition of WMSDs worldwide, which may affect the comparability of results among studies. Secondly, the data presented here came from a convenience sample of 29 hospitals located in Shenzhen. Convenience sampling may result in estimates non-representative of workers in obstetrics and gynecology in general. Alternatively, healthy worker effect may exist in our study, as those who suffered from severe pain in musculoskeletal system may have already gone away from their post, thus were not included in our subjects. Finally, there may exist measurement error in self-assessment questionnaires. The aforementioned limitations indicated that our results should be interpreted with caution and further research on the mechanism and progress of WMSDs was warranted.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, WMSDs and related factors among Chinese obstetrics and gynecology staff were surveyed in this study. Our results indicated a high prevalence rate of 85.5% and that the shoulder, neck and lower back were the three most affected regions among this population. WMSDs are associated with individual, postural, work-environmental as well as psychosocial factors in obstetrics and gynecology. The findings can be used to guide prevention efforts for obstetrics and gynecology practitioners. Postural training, work organization adjustment, work environment improvement and healthy lifestyle were recommended for the prevention of WMSDs among them.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/14/6/562/s1, health status questionnaire.
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