Thermally induced magnetization switching in Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001): selectable magnetic configurations by temperature and field control
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Spintronic devices currently rely on magnetization control by external magnetic fields or spin-polarized currents. Developing temperature-driven magnetization control has potential for achieving enhanced device functionalities. Recently, there has been much interest in thermally induced magnetisation switching (TIMS), where the temperature control of intrinsic material properties drives a deterministic switching without applying external fields. TIMS, mainly investigated in rare-earth–transition-metal ferrimagnets, has also been observed in epitaxial Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001), where it stems from a completely different physical mechanism. In Fe/MnAs temperature actually modifies the surface dipolar fields associated with the MnAs magnetic microstructure. This in turn determines the effective magnetic field acting on the Fe overlayer. In this way one can reverse the Fe magnetization direction by performing thermal cycles at ambient temperatures. Here we use element selective magnetization measurements to demonstrate that various magnetic configurations of the Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001) system are stabilized predictably by acting on the thermal cycle parameters and on the presence of a bias field. We show in particular that the maximum temperature reached during the cycle affects the final magnetic configuration. Our findings show that applications are possible for fast magnetization switching, where local temperature changes are induced by laser excitations.

Controlling the local magnetization direction in a thin film without applying external magnetic fields is of considerable interest for designing new sensors and storage devices. Electric fields1,2, spin-polarized currents3,4 and temperature control5,6 have been invoked as alternative ways of acting on the magnetization. Thermally induced magnetization switching (TIMS) has been investigated mainly in rare-earth transition-metal oxides3,5, where magnetization reversal is achieved by using ultra-fast laser pulses, in an all-optical switching process6–9. We have put forward an alternative approach to the manipulation of the magnetic properties of an overlayer, based on a magnetically active template10, i.e. using substrates whose intrinsic magnetic properties feature regular spatial modulations generating local surface dipolar fields that can be controlled by tuning external parameters, in particular temperature. Thin epitaxial MnAs layers grown on GaAs(001) belong to this class of materials11.

Bulk MnAs features, at 40°C, a first order phase transition between the ferromagnetic α-phase and the paramagnetic β-phase12,13, providing interesting magneto-caloric properties14–16. When MnAs is grown epitaxially on GaAs(001), the two phases coexist over an extended range of ambient temperatures (~12–40°C), forming a regular set of alternating α and β stripes19–20. The MnAs layer thickness determines the period of the stripes and the height of the steps separating them21–22, while the relative width of α and β components within a period vary continuously with temperature21,23,24. MnAs/GaAs(001) is a metal/semiconductor system that has potential in spintronic applications25–30. In the past, we have studied the temperature dependence of its morphological and magnetic properties24,31, and we used it as a template for growing an Fe ferromagnetic overlayer1,13,22,33. We showed that by finely tuning the temperature at ambient it is possible to reverse the Fe magnetization in Fe/MnAs/
GaAs(001) without applying fields\textsuperscript{11}, a process with potential for applications in TIMS\textsuperscript{10}. What we actually do by changing the temperature is control the surface dipolar fields associated with the MnAs template magnetic microstructure, and, ultimately, vary the effective magnetic field acting on the Fe overlayer\textsuperscript{11,34}.

In this work we analyze the role of both the temperature range spanned by the thermal cycle and of the presence of a bias magnetic field on the final magnetic configuration in Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001). In particular, we show that the maximum temperature reached in the thermal cycle can profoundly affect the final magnetic state, a result that may hamper applications based on using laser pulses as the heat source\textsuperscript{11,35–37}, since in that case the local maximum temperature is difficult to predict and control. We provide also a possible solution to this problem, since we demonstrate that by varying the strength of the bias field one can select the final stable magnetic configuration, regardless of the extent of the thermal cycle. Both results are relevant to all-optical switching and heat-assisted magnetization control.

Experimentally, we use x-ray reflectivity to probe the Fe and MnAs magnetic properties selectively. We achieve this by tuning the energy of the circularly polarized x-rays to the 2p-3d resonance of either Mn or Fe. This provides magnetization sensitivity and element selectivity\textsuperscript{38}, in addition to the large probing depth typical of a photon-in–photon-out x-ray experiment.

**Results**

**Hysteresis loops and TIMS.** First, we set out to show that our samples behave in the same way as systems previously studied\textsuperscript{11,32,33} in terms of temperature dependent hysteresis loops and magnetization switching. As yet, these kinds of result are not so common, and we consider it important to show them for the specific samples under consideration. This provides also an opportunity to discuss the Fe magnetization switching mechanism in more detail.

By tuning the energy of the circularly polarized x-rays to the Mn-2p (\(~640\ eV\)) or to the Fe-2p (\(~707\ eV\)) resonances, the reflected intensity is sensitive to the average magnetic moment carried by Mn atoms or by Fe atoms, respectively\textsuperscript{33}. As shown in Fig. 1, this element selectivity is essential for disentangling MnAs and Fe contributions and for an independent analysis of their magnetization behaviour. Although we are not interested here in determining absolute values of the Fe and MnAs magnetizations\textsuperscript{38}, but only their dependence on the external magnetic field and on the temperature, it is worth noticing that the proportionality constant between measured magnetic signals and the actual magnetization depends on the experimental conditions and it is not the same for Fe and Mn.

Fig. 1 shows hysteresis loops measured element selectively in sample A whose structure is Fe\textsubscript{3nm}/MnAs\textsubscript{200nm}/GaAs(001). At \(T = 7^\circ\) C (Fig. 1a, MnAs in its \(\alpha\)-phase), both Fe and Mn feature fairly square loops, with a remanent parallel (P) alignment between Fe and Mn.
The coercive fields for Fe and Mn are very different (58 and 27 Oe, respectively), indicating that there is a weak interface coupling, if any at all. Figs. 1b (T = 20°C) and 1c (T = 25°C) show that, when α/β stripes form, the shape of the Fe hysteresis loop is strongly modified: much higher fields are required to reach saturation and the remanent magnetization is now antiparallel (AP) with respect to MnAs.

A schematic interpretation of these element selective hysteresis curves is sketched in Fig. 2. Over the phase-coexistence temperature range, finite-size ferromagnetic α-MnAs stripes form with their easy magnetization direction along the short side of the stripe. The effective magnetic field $H_{\text{eff}}$ felt by Fe on top of α-MnAs stripes is the sum of the bias external field $H_{\text{ext}}$ and of a dipolar term $H_D$ (proportional to the MnAs magnetization) generated by the underlying ferromagnetic stripe. Analytical estimates of $H_D$ (Fig. 2a) yield values that largely exceed the coercive field of Fe. The change in the MnAs magnetization sign at the coercive field of MnAs inverts the sign of $H_D$, and $H_D$ now remains opposite to the $H_{\text{ext}}$ pulse. As the temperature increases, the dipolar field $H_D$ decreases rapidly (Fig. 4e, f).

Surface dipolar fields as sketched in Fig. 2 can be tuned by acting on the MnAs α/β micromagnetic structure via temperature control. Fig. 3 shows the result of a 0–18°C thermal cycle performed in zero field, following a bias pulse ($H_{\text{ext}} = +300$ Oe) that sets the initial P alignment of the Fe and MnAs magnetizations. The Mn signal is barely affected during the thermal cycle, with only a slight decrease at high temperature due both to α-MnAs magnetization reduction and to the formation of paramagnetic β-MnAs, and it regains its initial value at the end of the cycle. The Fe magnetization, on the contrary, varies rapidly above 12°C, inverts its sign at ∼15°C, and then keeps it until the end of the cycle. The remanent AP alignment observed in the phase coexistence region (Figs. 1b, c) persists upon cooling, showing that, at low-temperature, the system is bi-stable with two (P or AP) possible magnetic configurations. The mechanism driving the Fe magnetization reversal is the same as depicted in Fig. 2. Below ∼12°C, Fe rests on a flat and homogeneously α-phase MnAs template, keeping the remanent P configuration imposed by the $H_{\text{ext}}$ pulse. As the temperature increases, the dipolar field $H_D$ generated by the finite size α-stripes exceeds the Fe coercive field, switching its magnetization direction. Due to the weak coupling between Fe and MnAs (see Fig. 1a), the two layers maintain the AP configuration when the system is cooled down again.

**Magnetic configuration vs. $T_{\text{max}}$**: Having confirmed that TIMS occurs in our Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001) samples, with the Fe magnetization changing sign in a $(T_{\text{min}}-T_{\text{max}})$ thermal cycle, we move now to the central topic of this investigation, i.e. the analysis of the role played by $T_{\text{max}}$ on the final magnetic configuration. Fig. 4 compares, for sample B, the evolution of the Fe magnetic signal in thermal cycles with different $T_{\text{max}}$ between 11.8° C (just below the onset of the α → β transition) and 64.8°C (well above the complete transition to the β-phase). The lowest $T_{\text{max}}$ of 11.8°C (Fig. 4a) does not promote any phase transformation, therefore it leaves the Fe signal unaffected and both the Fe and the MnAs recover their initial P magnetic state at the end of the cycle. A slight increase of $T_{\text{max}}$ up to 16.8°C (Fig. 4b) produces already a complete reversal at the end of the cycle, analogous to that reported in Fig. 3 for sample A. The dashed vertical line in Fig. 4 illustrates the existence of a threshold temperature value for TIMS in Fe, corresponding to the onset of the α/β phase coexistence. The thermal cycles in Fig. 4c–e show that a complete reversal of the Fe magnetization with final AP alignment is obtained for $T_{\text{max}}$ values up to approximately 28°C, i.e. well into the temperature range of the α → β transformation. The process seems to work efficiently as long as α-MnAs retains the magnetization alignment imposed by the initial bias field pulse, prior to thermal cycling. It is known, however, that when the α-MnAs stripes shrink as the temperature increases, their internal magnetic structure is dominated by complex domain patterns, including out-of-plane components. In Fig. 4, the dotted line at 29°C identifies the temperature value that corresponds to equal width α and β stripes, as determined by x-ray diffraction: one remarks that above this value the overall Fe reversal efficiency decreases rapidly (Fig. 4e, f).

Once $T_{\text{max}}$ exceeds 40°C (Fig. 4g), complete transformation to the paramagnetic β-phase has taken place. As the phase-coexistence temperature range is crossed during the cool-down branch of the cycle, magnetization alignment in the α-MnAs stripes is no longer homogeneous and breaks up into domains with opposite orienta-

---

**Figure 2** | Schematic description of the Fe hysteresis loop in presence of α/β MnAs stripes. (a): finite size α-stripes magnetized along their short side generate a surface dipolar field $H_D$, proportional to the MnAs magnetization, that we estimate analytically. (b): $H_D$ adds to the external bias field $H_{\text{ext}}$ to determine the effective field $H_{\text{eff}}$ acting on the Fe overlayer. The hysteresis loop that Fe would display in absence of dipolar fields (—–) shifts according to the value of $H_D$ (○), whose sign changes with the MnAs magnetization (△), i.e., at the MnAs coercive field. Notice that the Fe and MnAs hysteresis loops in (b) are for an illustrative purpose only and their shapes do not compare to any experimental condition specifically.
tions. Across the phase-coexistence temperature region, surface dipolar fields still drive the Fe magnetization to align AP to the underlying $\alpha$-MnAs locally, but the disordered distribution of the $\alpha$-MnAs domains leads, at the end of the cycle, to a much reduced net Fe magnetization, whose exact value is difficult to predict.

**Thermal cycles with a bias field.** The strong dependence of the final magnetic configuration on $T_{\text{max}}$ represents a major drawback for the Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001) system as far as TIMS applications are concerned. This is particularly true if the goal is to induce fast and local switching by using short and focused laser pulses. They induce large, spatially inhomogeneous and time-dependent temperature variations\(^{36,37}\), making it very difficult to predict $T_{\text{max}}$. In the following, we deal with the effect that an external bias field $H_{\text{ext}}$ has on attaining predictable and well-ordered magnetization states at the end of a high-temperature cycle.

Fig. 5 shows that thermal cycles with $T_{\text{max}} 60^\circ\text{C}$ stabilize sample B in various low temperature magnetic configurations, as a function of the bias field. At $H_{\text{ext}} = 0$ (Fig. 5a), the result is similar to that reported for sample A in Fig. 4g, i.e. a final state with AP coupling but strongly reduced Fe and MnAs net magnetizations.

Applying $H_{\text{ext}} = +45$ Oe, i.e. a bias field larger than the $\alpha$-MnAs coercive field but smaller than that of Fe, the MnAs magnetization is fully recovered at the end of the cycle, while the Fe magnetization has changed sign (Fig. 5b). The role of this weak bias field is particularly significant during the cooling branch of the cycle, when, going below $40^\circ\text{C}$, it orders the magnetization of the emerging $\alpha$-MnAs phase. Then the surface dipolar field generated by the $\alpha$-MnAs stripes (Fig. 2) orient the magnetization of the Fe overlayer AP. Since the bias field is lower than the Fe coercive field, the AP alignment is kept also at low temperature, until the end of the cycle. For bias field values between 40 and 50 Oe, we obtain systematically the Fe magnetization switching.

When $H_{\text{ext}}$ is larger than the $\sim 75$ Oe Fe saturation field (see Fig. 1a), the Fe magnetization undergoes two sign changes during the cooling branch of the thermal cycle (Fig. 5c). Above $40^\circ\text{C}$, Fe sits on a homogeneous $\beta$-phase template and the bias field is large enough to saturate its magnetization. In the phase coexistence region, between $40^\circ\text{C}$ and $\sim 15^\circ\text{C}$, the surface dipolar fields generated by the $\alpha$-MnAs stripes largely overcome the bias field and impose an Fe/ MnAs AP alignment, as discussed above. When the temperature is lowered further, the template turns into a homogeneous $\alpha$-phase and the dipolar fields vanish, allowing the bias field to orient the Fe magnetization along its original direction, parallel to that of MnAs.

**Discussion**

Let us first place our results in the context of the ongoing research in this field. TIMS at ambient temperatures was reported recently in the case of SmFeO$_3$ single crystals\(^1\), a compound that shows a canted antiferromagnetic ordering with associated weak values of the magnetization. Switching was accomplished by exploiting the temperature and field dependence of a spin reorientation transition of the Fe sublattice. In the present work, we make use of a composite structure to control magnetization switching in a metallic Fe thin film, a material with a larger magnetization. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 5, the magnetic configuration of the system can be selected by adjusting the applied field over a range of moderate values, smaller than 100 Oe. Turning to thermally assisted magnetic recording, the main idea is that the coercive field can be lowered below a critical value (the external field) by increasing the temperature. Our approach is different, in the sense that we use temperature to control the effective field acting on the overlayer. Finally, it should be pointed out that a major asset of the Fe/MnAs epitaxial system is that it can easily be integrated into GaAs-based technology.

Our element selective magnetization measurements provide information on TIMS in Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001) on three different levels. First, they confirm that we have at our disposal a thin film epitaxial system featuring two local stable magnetic configurations (P and AP) and that we can switch from one to the other by a thermal cycle of a few degrees close to ambient temperature (Fig. 3). Second,
they show that the maximum temperature $T_{\text{max}}$ reached during the cycle strongly affects the final magnetic configuration (Fig. 4); this $T_{\text{max}}$ dependence is a potential inconvenience that can hamper the achievement of reproducible results by TIMS. Finally, we demonstrate that it is possible to bypass these difficulties relating to $T_{\text{max}}$ by applying a bias field during the thermal cycle; the choice of the bias field value determines the final Fe/MnAs configuration: ordered P (Fig. 5c), ordered AP (Fig. 5b) or disordered with local antiparallel alignment (Fig. 5a). The use of an element-selective magnetization probe such as resonant x-ray scattering plays an important part in this investigation, since it allows us to disentangle Fe and MnAs contributions to the complex magnetic behaviour of the Fe/MnAs system, as a function of temperature and field. Once the mechanisms underlying the magnetic response are understood, the more conventional magnetometry techniques can be applied with greater confidence. Low temperature ($\alpha$-MnAs phase) and high temperature ($\beta$-MnAs phase) hysteresis loops will probe respectively the magnetization of both Fe and MnAs layers together or of Fe separately, while at intermediate temperatures data must be interpreted according to the scheme depicted in Fig. 2.

Our work confirms that the control of the template surface dipolar fields is an interesting way of acting on the overlay magnetization, with a potential for temperature-driven magnetization switching without applying external fields. Our results on the Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001) epitaxial system, also show, however, that the maximum temperature reached in the thermal cycle affects the final magnetic configuration, thus hindering possible applications, in particular those based on the use of a laser pulse for controlling the temperature\textsuperscript{15–17}. This calls for two comments.

A general comment first is that this approach towards TIMS is not limited to the specific material illustrated here, as the basic idea is that it is possible to modulate a substrate-generated dipolar field by controlling the temperature\textsuperscript{10}. An alternative to the self-organisation of ferromagnetic and paramagnetic stripes in MnAs/GaAs(001) would be to create a well-defined spatial modulation of $T_{\text{C}}$ in a ferromagnetic substrate, either by ion implantation or by chemical doping. These systems can be designed so that they do not suffer from the $T_{\text{max}}$ dependence of TIMS encountered in MnAs.

The second comment, more specific to the Fe/MnAs system, is that coupling thermal cycles to the presence of a weak bias field stabilizes selected magnetic configurations in a predictable way, at a given temperature, regardless of $T_{\text{max}}$. In this case, we no longer achieve magnetization control by acting on the temperature only, since an external field is required. The process, though, retains potential for applications, especially in view of using laser pulses for achieving temperature control. Magnetization switching can be triggered by a short (~100 fs) laser pulse even though the temperature driven process is likely to take place over a timescale of several ns. In the case of a sample immersed in a weak homogeneous non-local bias field, the laser pulse will bring the temperature well above the phase transition in MnAs, modifying the surface dipolar field and altering the Fe magnetization only locally over the irradiated area. The final magnetic configuration will depend on the bias field strength and orientation, in a process similar to thermally assisted magnetization reversal\textsuperscript{14}, yet with the dipolar field generated by the substrate enhancing the effective magnetic field acting on the overlay.

**Methods**

The samples were prepared at the Institut des NanoSciences de Paris, following the same molecular beam epitaxy procedure detailed before\textsuperscript{5}. The GaAs(001) epit-ready wafer was first annealed at about 600 °C in an As flux to remove oxides, and a GaAs buffer layer was grown to obtain an atomically flat surface. Then the wafer was cooled to 450 °C under As flux in order to form an As-terminated c(4 × 4) surface. After reducing the sample temperature to 230 °C, the MnAs layer was grown according to

![Figure 5 | Thermal cycles with a bias field.](image-url)
Circular Polarization beamline of the ELETTRA storage ring (Trieste, Italy), a scattering angle of 16°, protection against contamination.

1. Bean, C. P. & Rodbell, D. S. Magnetic Disorder as a First-Order Phase Transition of a static fields up to 500 Oe (1.7 kOe pulsed) along the easy magnetization direction of MnAs. Phys. Rev. B 86, 035315 (2012).

2. Wang, L. et al. Epitaxial orientation and magnetic properties of MnAs thin films. Phys. Rev. B 79, 184405 (2013).

3. Slonczewski, J. C. Current-driven excitation of magnetic multilayers. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 159, L1 (1996).

4. Yang, T., Kimura, T. & Otani, Y. Giant spin-accumulation signal and pure spin-current-induced reversible magnetization switching. Nature Phys. 4, 851 (2008).

5. Yuan, S. J. et al. Spin switching and magnetization reversal in single-crystal NiFe. Phys. Rev. B 87, 184405 (2013).

6. Cao, S., Zhao, H., Kang, B., Zhang, J. & Ren, W. Temperature induced spin switching in SmFeO3 single crystal. Sci. Rep. 4, 5960 (2014).

7. Kimel, A. V., Kirilyuk, A., Tsvetkov, A., Pisarev, R. V. & Rasing, T. Laser-induced ultrafast spin reorientation in the antiferromagnet FeMnO. Nature 429, 800 (2004).

8. Stanciu, C. D. et al. Subpicosecond magnetization reversal across ferromagnetic compensation points. Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 217204 (2007).

9. Hassdenteufel, A. et al. Thermally assisted all-optical helicity dependent magnetic switching in amorphous FeTb alloy films. Adv. Mater. 25, 3122 (2013).

10. Marangolo, M. & Sacchi, M. Method for changing the direction of magnetization in a ferromagnetic layer. French patent n. 2947375, U.S. patent pending (2015).

11. Sacchi, M. et al. Thermal switching of the magnetization in an iron film on a magnetically active template MnAs/GaAs(001). Phys. Rev. B 81, 240801 (2010).

12. Bean, C. P. & Rodbell, D. S. Magnetic Disorder as a First-Order Phase Transformation. Phys. Rev. 126, 104 (1962).

13. Menyuk, N., Kaflas, J. A., Dwight, K. & Goodenough, J. B. Phys. Rev. 177, 942 (1969).

14. Pyllik, L. & Zieba, A. Magnetic phase diagram of MnAs. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 51, 199 (1985).

15. Wada, H. & Tanabe, Y. Giant magnetocaloric effect of MnAs−xSb. Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 7902 (2001).

16. Tegus, O., Brück, E., Buschow, K. H. J. & de Boer, F. R. Transition-metal-based magnetic refrigerants for room-temperature applications. Nature 415, 150 (2002).

17. Mosca, D. H., Vidal, F. & Etgens, V. H. Strain engineering of the magnetocaloric effect in MnAs eplayerls. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 125503 (2008).

18. Duquesne, J.-Y. et al. Ultrasonic triggering of giant magnetocaloric effect in MnAs thin films. Phys. Rev. B 86, 035207 (2012).

19. Tanaka, M. et al. Epitaxial orientation and magnetic properties of MnAs thin films grown on (001) GaAs: Template effects. Appl. Phys. Lett. 65, 1964 (1994).

20. Däweritz, G. Interplay of stress and magnetic properties in epitaxial MnAs films. Rep. Prog. Phys. 69, 2581 (2006).

21. Kaganer, V. M. et al. Strain-mediated phase coexistence in MnAs heteroepitaxial films on GaAs: An x-ray diffraction study. Phys. Rev. B 66, 045305 (2002).

22. Vitali, F. et al. Tuning the period of elastic MnAs/GaAs(001) α-β pattern by Fe deposition. Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 251914 (2010).

23. Breitwieser, R. et al. Phase transition and surface morphology of MnAs/ GaAs(001) studied with in situ variable-temperature scanning tunneling microscopy. Phys. Rev. B 80, 045403 (2009).

24. Magnéli-Paniago, R. et al. X-ray method to study temperature-dependent stripe domains in MnAs/GaAs(001). Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 053512 (2005).

25. Wellmann, P. J., Garcia, J. M., Feng, J.-L. & Petroff, P. M. Giant magnetoresistance in a low-temperature GaAs/MnAs nanoscale ferromagnet hybrid structure. Appl. Phys. Lett. 73, 3291 (1998).

26. Tanaka, M., Saito, K. & Nishinaga T. Epitaxial MnAs/GaAs/MnAs trilayer magnetic heterostructures. Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 64 (1999).

27. Stephens, J. et al. Spin accumulation in forward-biased MnAs/GaAs Schottky diodes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 097602 (2004).

28. Garcia, V. et al. Spectroscopic measurement of spin-dependent resonant tunneling through a 3D disorder: the case of MnAs/GaAs/MnAs junctions. Phys. Rev. B 77, 246802 (2008).

29. Nowakowski, M. E., Fuchs, G. D., Mack, S., Samarth, N. & Afschalom, D. S. Spin control of drifting electrons using local nuclear polarization in ferromagnet-semiconductor heterostructures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 137206 (2010).

30. Jalangir, S., Dogan, F., Kuni, H., Manchon, A. & Bhattacharya, P. Spin diffusion in bulk GaN measured with MnAs spin injector. Phys. Rev. B 86, 035315 (2012).

31. Coelho, L. N. et al. Magnetic reconfiguration of MnAs/GaAs(001) observed by magnetic force microscopy and resonant soft x-ray scattering. J. Appl. Phys. 100, 083906 (2006).

32. Sasch, M. et al. Uniaxial anisotropy and temperature driven magnetization reversal of Fe deposited on a MnAs/GaAs(001) magnetic template. Phys. Rev. B 77, 165317 (2008).

33. Spezzani, C. et al. Soft x-ray magnetooptics: probing magnetism by resonant scattering experiments. IEEE Trans. Mag. 49, 4711 (2013).

34. Breitwieser, R. et al. Imaging the antiparallel magnetic alignment of adjacent Fe and MnAs thin films. Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 122508 (2008).

35. Sasch, M. et al. Time resolved pump-probe scattering in MnAs/GaAs(001): a first look into the dynamics of α–β stripe domains. Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 211905 (2012).

36. Dean, J. J., Rench, D. W., Samar, N. & van Driel, H. M. Domain dynamics in thin solid films following ultrashort pulse excitation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 035701 (2013).

37. Dean, J. J., Lange, C. & van Driel, H. M. Ultrafast surface strain dynamics in MnAs thin films observed with second harmonic generation. Phys. Rev. B 89, 024102 (2014).

38. Sasch, M. et al. Soft-x-ray resonant scattering from V/Fe (001) magnetic superlattices. Phys. Rev. B 80, 125508 (R) (1999).

39. Plake, T. et al. Temperature-dependent magnetic force microscopy investigation of epitaxial MnAs films on GaAs(001). Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 2308 (2003).

40. Nye, A. et al. Magnetic out-of-plane component in MnAs/GaAs(001). Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 2850 (2003).

41. Engel-Herbert, R. et al. Understanding the submicron domain structure of MnAs thin films on GaAs(001): Magnetic force microscopy measurements and simulations. Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 1312 (2004).

42. Engel-Herbert, R., Hejsedal, T. & Schaadt, D. M. Three-dimensional micromagnetic domain structure of MnAs films on GaAs(001): experimental imaging and simulations. Phys. Rev. B 75, 094430 (2007).

43. Thiele, J.-U., Maat, S. & Fullerton, E. E. Ferb/FePt exchange spring films for thermal-assisted magnetic recording media. Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 2859 (2003).

44. Sasch, M. et al. Ultra-high vacuum soft X-ray reflectometer. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 74, 2791 (2003).

Acknowledgments

We thank ELETTRA Sincrotrone Trieste for granting beamtime to our project and the Circular Polarization beamline staff for providing technical assistance during the experiment. We are grateful to Coryn F. Hague (LCMPR, Paris) for his comments and suggestions.

Author contributions

C.S., M.M. and N.S. conceived the experiment. M.E. and V.H.E. prepared and characterized the samples. G.D., R.D., H.P. and M.S. performed the measurements. F.V., M.M. and M.S. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the manuscript and to the interpretation of the data.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Spezzani, C. et al. Thermally induced magnetization switching in Fe/MnAs/GaAs(001): selectable magnetic configurations by temperature and field control. Sci. Rep. 5, 8120; DOI:10.1038/srep08120 (2015).

www.nature.com/scientificreports
