Effect of Li\(^+\) Doping on Photoelectric Properties of Double Perovskite Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\): First Principles Calculation and Experimental Investigation
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Abstract: Double perovskite Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) and its doping products (with SnI\(_2\), SnF\(_2\) or organic lithium salts added) have been utilized as p-type hole transport materials for perovskite and dye-sensitized solar cells in many pieces of research, where the mechanism for producing p-type Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) is rarely reported. In this paper, the mechanism of forming p-type Li\(^+\) doped Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) was revealed by first-principles simulation. The simulation results show that Li\(^+\) entered the Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) lattice by interstitial doping to form strong interaction between Li\(^+\) and I\(^-\), resulting in the splitting of the \(\alpha\) spin-orbital of I–p at the top of the valence band, with the intermediate energy levels created and the absorption edge redshifted. The experimental results confirmed that Li\(^+\) doping neither changed the crystal phase of Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\), nor introduced impurities. The Hall effect test results of Li\(^+\) doped Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) thin film samples showed that Li\(^+\) doping transformed Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) into a p-type semiconductor, and substantially promoted its carrier mobility (356.6 cm\(^2\)/Vs), making it an ideal hole transport material.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite solar cells have attracted much attention due to their low preparation cost and high photovoltaic conversion efficiency [1–4], and many methods are still used to improve their photovoltaic performance [5–9]. The maximum conversion efficiency of organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite solar cells is approaching 26% [10]. However, the disadvantages of organic-inorganic perovskite materials like instability in the atmospheric environment and containing the heavy metal Pb, have severely affected the practicability and commercialization of such photovoltaic devices [11–13]. Therefore, the research has gradually turned to lead-free inorganic perovskite materials, especially CsSnI\(_3\) and Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) [14–17]. However, these two materials have inherent drawbacks. Our previous research found that CsSnI\(_3\) was prone to degrade in the atmospheric environment, although it had a suitable band gap and a high optical absorption coefficient [18]. In spite of its relative stability in the atmospheric environment, Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\), which was restricted by the energy level density of the conduction band, had limited optical absorption ability, leading to the low efficiency of photovoltaic devices fabricated based on the Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) optical absorption layer [19].

The research on Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) was more focused on using it as a hole transport layer for perovskite and dye-sensitized solar cells. For example, G. Zhang et al. enhanced the hole ex-
traction capability of high-efficiency carbon-based CsPbI₂Br perovskite solar cells by using Cs₂SnI₆ nanocrystals, with the device performance improved from 13.16% to 14.67% [20]. T. T. D. Lien et al. obtained Cs₂SnI₆ by natural degradation of CsSnI₃ in the atmospheric environment and added SnF₂ in the preparation process to improve its carrier mobility. The mobility of Cs₂SnI₆ film treated with 10% SnF₂ can reach 468.1 cm²/Vs [21]. B. Lee et al. added lithium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide (Li–TFSI) and 4-tertbutylpyridine (TBP) in undoped n-type Cs₂SnI₆, which has been successfully applied as hole transport materials to dye-sensitized solar cells [16].

According to the references and our previous research, intrinsic Cs₂SnI₆ is an n-type semiconductor [16,22,23]. If, however, Cs₂SnI₆ is obtained by the degradation of CsSnI₃ or by adding Sn²⁺ ions in the preparation process, it will become a p-type semiconductor [21,24–26]. This is because half of the Sn²⁺ in the degradation process will be oxidized to Sn⁴⁺ and yield isolated [SnI₆]²⁻ octahedrons, while the other half will be doped into Cs₂SnI₆ [27,28]. However, in the process of forming p-type Cs₂SnI₆, Sn²⁺ are easily oxidized by oxygen in the air to generate SnO₂.

In this paper, Cs₂SnI₆ was doped with Li⁺ so that p-type Cs₂SnI₆ was directly obtained. Combined with the first principles simulation and specific experiments, the effect of Li⁺ doping on the basic characteristics of Cs₂SnI₆, such as energy level structure, electron distribution, optical absorption, and carrier mobility, were systematically studied. The mechanism of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ forming p-type semiconductor was revealed, and it was confirmed that Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ is an ideal hole transport material.

2. Materials and Methods

In this research, the first-principles calculation of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ was executed through the Castep module of Materials Studio software. The simulation was performed using the plane wave ultrasoft pseudopotential method based on density functional theory, and the interaction between electrons and ions was described by ultrasoft pseudopotential. The properties of the object of calculation were calculated based on the optimization of the corresponding model structure (including the relaxation of all atomic positions); the exchange-correlation energy between electrons was described by PBE (Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhol) under the generalized gradient (GGA) combined with LDA+U generalized functions; the band structure, density of states distribution and optical properties were described with HSE06 function; the doping ions concentration was Li⁺:Cs⁺ = 1:4 (supercell 2 × 2 × 2).

The preparation process of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ thin films by ultrasonic spraying is shown in Figure 1. CsI and LiI in different proportions were dissolved in DMF to form clear mixed solutions, in which the stoichiometric ratios of Li⁺ to Cs⁺ were 1:20, 1:10, 3:20, 1:5, and 1:4, respectively, and the concentration of CsI was 0.2 mol/L. 0.1 mol/L SnI₄ was added to the mixed solutions and stirred until SnI₄ was completely dissolved to generate red-brown mixed solutions. Ultrasonic spraying parameters were set as follows: the working distance was 10 cm, the flow rate was 20 μL/s, nozzle moving speed was 5 mm/s, working pressure was 0.2 MPa, and substrate temperature was 130 °C; the prepared mixed solutions were sprayed on the cleaned common glass slides according to the parameters mentioned above 4 times, and then the substrates were baked at 130 °C for 5 minutes after spraying. After that, substrates were immersed in 0.1 g/mL SnI₄ anhydrous ethanol solution for 1 minute, then rinsed with anhydrous ethanol and dried. Hence the samples were obtained.

Using X-ray diffraction (XRD) (D8 ADVANCE, Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, German), the phase properties of samples were characterized and analyzed. The field–emission scanning electron microscopy (GeminiSEM 500, Zeiss, Aalen, German) was employed to observe the morphological property of these samples. In addition, Raman testing and analysis was performed under a 532 nm laser for excitation with the laser Raman spectroscope (LabRAM HR Evolution, HORIBA, Fukuoka, Japan). The UV–NIR spectrometer (JASCO V–570 UV/vis/NIR, JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) was used to collect the absorption spectra, and a Hall system (HL 5500, Accent Optical, York, UK) to test the Hall data of these samples.
Figure 1. Schematic illustration for the preparation of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ thin films.

3. Results and Discussion

In this paper, the energy difference of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ configurations after structural optimization was systematically simulated and calculated for the position of Li⁺ in the Cs₂SnI₆ lattice and the stability of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ lattice. As shown in Figure 2, substitutional doping and interstitial doping might occur in the process of Li⁺ doping Cs₂SnI₆, where three different doping models could be constructed, namely Li⁺ replacing Cs⁺ or two types of Li⁺ interstitial doping. According to the three types of doping of Li⁺ in the Cs₂SnI₆ lattice, the doping energy difference of each model was calculated in this paper. The calculation formula is as follows:

\[
\Delta E = E_{Cs\text{-Li}SnI_{12}} - 4E_{CsI} - 2E_{SnI_4} - \mu_{Li} \tag{1}
\]

Li⁺ interstitial doping:

\[
\Delta E = 2E_{Cs_2SnI_6@Li} - 4E_{CsI} - 2E_{SnI_4} - \mu_{Li} \tag{2}
\]

The single-point energies of reactants and products are calculated by the first principles, where, \(E_{Cs_3LiSnI_{12}}\) and \(E_{Cs_2SnI_6@Li}\) are the single-point energies of the substitutional doping product Cs₃LiSnI₁₂ and interstitial doping product Cs₂SnI₆@Li, respectively. \(E_{CsI}\) and \(E_{SnI_4}\) are the single-point energies of reactants CsI and SnI₄, respectively, and \(\mu_{Li}\) is the chemical potential of Li. The energy difference of each doping type is calculated according to the above formula. The calculation results are shown in Table 1.
When the Li\(^+\) is on interstitial site 1 during the experiment.

After determining the type of Li\(^+\) doping, the effect of Li\(^+\) doping on the electron distribution of Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) was observed through an electron density difference distribution diagram. As shown in Figure 3a, electron cloud overlap occurs obviously between Sn\(^4+\) and I\(^-\) in the undoped Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\), with Sn–I bonds forming [SnI\(_6\)]\(^2-\) octahedrons, among which no electron cloud overlap arises due to the absence of other ions. When a Li\(^+\) is on interstitial site 1, as shown in Figure 3b, there exists an obvious electron enrichment between the Li\(^+\) and I\(^-\), forming a strong interaction. When the Li\(^+\) is on interstitial site 2, as shown in Figure 3c, there are a few shared electrons between Li\(^+\) and I\(^-\), and the interaction is relatively weak. The main reason for that is the distance between Li\(^+\) and I\(^-\) on interstitial site 2 are significantly longer than those on interstitial site 1, which weakens the interaction between the Li\(^+\) and I\(^-\). This is also the main reason why the energy difference of interstitial site 1 is slightly lower than that of interstitial site 2, and it is easier to yield doping products when the Li\(^+\) is on interstitial site 1 during the experiment.

**Table 1.** Energy difference calculation results of Li\(^+\) ions doped Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\).

| Doping Position       | Energy Difference |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Substitution site     | 0.0416 eV/atom    |
| Interstitial site 1   | −0.01898 eV/atom  |
| Interstitial site 2   | −0.01813 eV/atom  |

According to the above calculation results, it can be seen that the crystal structure is unstable after Li\(^+\) are doped into Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) lattice by replacing Cs\(^+\), hence corresponding compounds fail to be generated in practice. The interstitial doping formed by Li\(^+\) entering the Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) lattice has negative energy differences, which indicates that the interstitial doping crystal structures are stable and the target products can be formed in practice. Therefore, Li\(^-\) can only enter the Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) lattice in the form of interstitial doping, which is mainly because the radius of the Li\(^+\) ion is small and it is easy to enter the interstitial voids.

In view of this, further analysis was conducted on the energy band and density of states of the configuration of interstitial site 1. As shown in Figure 4a, for the undoped Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\), the band gap is 1.26 eV, and the calculated value is basically consistent with the experimental one. According to the density of states distribution curve, it can be seen that the energy level of the conduction band bottom of Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) is created by the hybridization of I–p orbital and Sn–s orbital, while that of its valence band top is determined by I–p orbital. In accordance with the band structure diagram of Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\), it can be observed that there is only one energy band at the bottom of its conduction band, and thus the effective density of states is low. According to the Pauli Exclusion Principle, once an electron fills the bottom of the conduction band, it will prevent other electrons from further filling, which is prone to photon absorption saturation. Then, the energy difference between the minimum and sub-minimum conduction band of Cs\(_2\)SnI\(_6\) is as high as 3.34 eV, making it difficult
to effectively absorb photoelectrons. This is also the main reason for the low conversion efficiency of photovoltaic devices based on the Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ optical absorption layer.

Figure 3. Electronic difference distribution of undoped and Li$^+$ interstitial doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, (a) undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, (b) Li$^+$ interstitial site 1 and (c) Li$^+$ interstitial site 2.

In view of this, further analysis was conducted on the energy band and density of states of the configuration of interstitial site 1. As shown in Figure 4a, for the undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, the band gap is 1.26 eV, and the calculated value is basically consistent with the experimental one. According to the density of states distribution curve, it can be seen that the energy level of the conduction band bottom of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ is created by the hybridization of I–p orbital and Sn–s orbital, while that of its valence band top is determined by I–p orbital. In accordance with the band structure diagram of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, it can be observed that there is only one energy band at the bottom of its conduction band, and thus the effective density of states is low. According to the Pauli Exclusion Principle, once an electron fills the bottom of the conduction band, it will prevent other electrons from further filling, which is prone to photon absorption saturation. Then, the energy difference between the minimum and sub-minimum conduction band of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ is as high as 3.34 eV, making it difficult to effectively absorb photoelectrons. This is also the main reason for the low conversion efficiency of photovoltaic devices based on the Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ optical absorption layer.

Figure 4. Band structure (red and blue lines are $\alpha$ and $\beta$ spin polarization, respectively) and state density distribution of undoped and Li$^+$ interstitial doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, (a) undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, and (b) Li$^+$ interstitial doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$.

Figure 4b illustrates the band structure and density of states distribution of Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$. It is shown that the intermediate energy levels are yielded in the band gap of Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ as a result of the $\alpha$-spin electrons splitting off from the I–p orbital near the top of the valence band. This is because the introduction of Li$^+$ enables a strong correlation between Li$^+$ and I$^-$, leading to the splitting of the I–p spin-orbital. In addition, the formation of strong interaction between Li$^+$ and I$^-$ significantly changes the energy band near the bottom of the conduction band, and the introduction of intermediate energy levels effectively improves the electronic density of states of the conduction band, which is conducive to the absorption and transmission of photons, and which can effectively broaden the optical absorption range and enhance the optical absorption ability.

Figure 5 shows the absorption coefficients of undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ and Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$. It can be seen from the illustration that the absorption edges of undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ and Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ are 1.48 eV and 0.7 eV, respectively, indicating a noticeable red shift. Moreover, the absorption coefficient of Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ considerably increased, which has also proved that the intermediate energy levels improve its optical absorption properties.
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Figure 5 shows the absorption coefficients of undoped Cs2SnI6 and Li+ doped Cs2SnI6. It can be seen from the illustration that the absorption edges of undoped Cs2SnI6 and Li+ doped Cs2SnI6 are 1.48 eV and 0.7 eV, respectively, indicating a noticeable red shift. Moreover, the absorption coefficient of Li+ doped Cs2SnI6 considerably increased, which has also proved that the intermediate energy levels improve its optical absorption properties.

As shown in Figure 6, dense thin films with uniform surfaces can be obtained by ultrasonic spraying. According to Figure 6a, cubic phase crystals can be obviously observed on the surface of undoped Cs2SnI6 thin films, with a grain size of about 500 nm, which is consistent with the theoretical crystalline state of Cs2SnI6 under normal conditions, namely the face-centered cubic lattice of m 3m point group. When the doping concentration of Li+ rise, it can still be clearly observed that the morphology of Cs2SnI6 remains the cubic phase crystal. However, when the doping concentration reaches more than 20% (Li+:Cs+ = 1:5), the morphology of the crystals is distorted. Although their cubic structures can still be observed, they are hollow on the inside. XRD test results are required to further determine the specific crystallization.

The XRD spectrums of undoped Cs2SnI6 and Li+ doped Cs2SnI6 are illustrated in Figure 7. The diffraction peak positions of all samples are identical to the theoretical ones of Cs2SnI6 standard PDF card # 73–0330 in ICSD (Inorganic Crystal Structure Database). It suggests that Li+ doping does not change the crystalline morphology of the face-centered cubic structure of Cs2SnI6, but there are differences in the relative strength of the diffraction peaks, which may be caused by the morphology change in Figure 6. In addition, there is no impurity diffraction peak but the standard diffraction peaks of Cs2SnI6 in Figure 7. It indicates that Li+ are doped into the lattice of Cs2SnI6 without changing its inherent crystalline phase, and Li+ do not form other lithium compounds. In order to further prove that Li+ do not form the corresponding impurities, all samples were tested by Raman spectroscopy.
Figure 6. SEM images of undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ and Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ with different concentrations, (a) undoped, (b) Li$^+$:Cs$^+$ = 1:20, (c) Li$^+$:Cs$^+$ = 1:10, (d) Li$^+$:Cs$^+$ = 3:20, (e) Li$^+$:Cs$^+$ = 1:5 and (f) Li$^+$:Cs$^+$ = 1:4.

To further verify that Li$^+$ do not form compounds but enter the Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ lattice, as shown in Figure 8, the Raman spectrums of undoped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ and samples of Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ were further studied and analyzed. After spectral fitting, four Raman peaks can be clearly observed. Among them, there are three obvious peaks, located at 76, 90, and 123 cm$^{-1}$, belonging to $\delta$ ($F_{2g}$), $\nu$ ($E_g$), and $\nu$ ($A_{1g}$) vibration peaks of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$, respectively. The tiny peak at 244 cm$^{-1}$ is also the characteristic vibration peak of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ as reported in the reference [29]. In addition, there is no other impurity peak, which also confirms the XRD test results that Li$^+$ enter the Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ lattice, and no impurities are produced. Furthermore, as Li$^+$ doping concentration increases gradually, the main peak at 123 cm$^{-1}$ shifts, which can be attributed to the change of crystalline morphology brought about by lattice distortion caused by Li$^+$ doping.
To further verify that Li⁺ do not form compounds but enter the Cs₂SnI₆ lattice, as shown in Figure 8, the Raman spectrums of undoped Cs₂SnI₆ and samples of Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ were further studied and analyzed. After spectral fitting, four Raman peaks can be clearly observed. Among them, there are three obvious peaks, located at 76, 90, and 123 cm⁻¹, belonging to δ(F₂g), ν(E₉g), and ν(A¹g) vibration peaks of Cs₂SnI₆, respectively. The tiny peak at 244 cm⁻¹ is also the characteristic vibration peak of Cs₂SnI₆ as reported in the reference [29]. In addition, there is no other impurity peak, which also confirms the XRD test results that Li⁺ enter the Cs₂SnI₆ lattice, and no impurities are produced. Furthermore, as Li⁺ doping concentration increases gradually, the main peak at 123 cm⁻¹ shifts, which can be attributed to the change of crystalline morphology brought about by lattice distortion caused by Li⁺ doping.

Figure 7. XRD spectrums of undoped Cs₂SnI₆ and Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ with different concentrations.

Figure 8. Raman spectrums of undoped Cs₂SnI₆ and Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆ with different concentrations.

Figure 9 shows the normalized absorption spectrums of undoped Cs₂SnI₆ and Li⁺ doped Cs₂SnI₆. As a direct band gap semiconductor, the band gap (E₉g) of Cs₂SnI₆ can be estimated by the classical Tauc relation [21]:

\[(\alpha h \nu)^2 = A (h \nu - E₉g)\]

where \(h\nu\), \(\alpha\) and \(A\) are photon energy, absorption coefficient, and constant, respectively. The band gap value of the samples can be obtained by extrapolating the straight parts of \((\alpha h \nu)^2\) and \(h \nu\) curve to the point \(\alpha = 0\). According to the inset in Figure 8, it can be directly observed that the band gap value of undoped Cs₂SnI₆ is about 1.3 eV, which is almost consistent with that of Cs₂SnI₆ reported in the references. It can be found with careful observation that as Li⁺ doping concentration rises, a red shift occurs on the absorption edge and the absorption spectrum range slightly widens, which dovetails with the theoretical calculation results.
Figure 9 shows the normalized absorption spectrums of undoped $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ and $\text{Li}^+$ doped $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$. As a direct band gap semiconductor, the band gap ($E_g$) of $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ can be estimated by the classical Tauc relation [21]:

$$(\alpha h\nu)^2 = A (h\nu - E_g)$$ (3)

where $\nu$, $\alpha$, and $A$ are photon energy, absorption coefficient, and constant, respectively. The band gap value of the samples can be obtained by extrapolating the straight parts of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ and $h\nu$ curve to the point $\alpha = 0$. According to the inset in Figure 8, it can be directly observed that the band gap value of undoped $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ is about 1.3 eV, which is almost consistent with that of $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ reported in the references. It can be found with careful observation that as $\text{Li}^+$ doping concentration rises, a red shift occurs on the absorption edge and the absorption spectrum range slightly widens, which dovetails with the theoretical calculation results.

In previous research, we found that undoped $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ featured an n-type semiconductor with an electron mobility of 2.78 cm$^2$/Vs [23]. In order to further explore the effect of $\text{Li}^+$ doping on the electrical properties of $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$, the Hall effect test was conducted on the samples of $\text{Li}^+$ doped $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$. The test results are shown in Table 2. First, all the $\text{Li}^+$ doped $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ samples show p-type semiconductors, and the main reasons are as follows. The simulation results confirmed that $\text{Li}^+$ enters the $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ lattice in the form of interstitial doping, which forms a strong correlation between $\text{Li}^+$ and $\text{I}^-$, resulting in the electrons as the majority carriers bound by $\text{Li}^+$. Excessive $\text{Li}^+$ provides a large number of holes, making $\text{Cs}_2\text{SnI}_6$ transform from n-type to p-type semiconductors. Second, as the $\text{Li}^+$ doping concentration is elevated, the Hall mobility of the samples is also substantially enhanced, mainly due to abundant $\text{Li}^+$, which improves the carrier transport performance of the samples.
Table 2. Hall effect data of Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ with different concentrations.

| Li$^+$:Cs$^+$ | Conductivity Type | Carrier Concentration (1/cm$^3$) | Hall Mobility (cm$^2$/Vs) |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1:20          | p                 | 9.392 × 10$^{13}$              | 89.51                     |
| 1:10          | p                 | 1.996 × 10$^{14}$              | 91.89                     |
| 3:20          | p                 | 2.071 × 10$^{14}$              | 104.5                     |
| 1:5           | p                 | 2.5 × 10$^{14}$                | 110.45                    |
| 1:4           | p                 | 3.18 × 10$^{14}$               | 356.6                     |

4. Conclusions

This paper provided a systematic analysis of the effect of Li$^+$ doping on the structure, electrical and optical properties of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ by first-principles calculation, and Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ samples with different Li$^+$ doping concentrations were successfully prepared by ultrasonic spraying. What is more, the simulation results showed that Li$^+$ enter the Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ lattice by interstitial doping, and a strong interaction between Li$^+$ and I$^-$ was generated accordingly, making the I–p spin-orbital near the top of the valence band split, hence leading to the creation of intermediate energy levels and a red shift on the absorption edge. In addition, the experimental results suggested that doping did not change the crystal phase of the samples, nor produced impurities, and the band gap decreased with the increase of doping concentration, which conformed to the rules of calculation results. The formation of strong interaction between Li$^+$ and I$^-$ allowed the transformation of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ into a p-type semiconductor from an n-type one, and the Hall mobility of the samples was enhanced enormously as Li$^+$ doping concentration rose. In summary, this paper uses the simulation calculation and experimental results to analyze the reason why Li$^+$ doping changes the conductive type of Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ and confirms that Li$^+$ doped Cs$_2$SnI$_6$ can be used as an ideal hole transport material in photovoltaic devices.
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