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Extensive research has established how miRNAs regulate target mRNAs by translation repression and/or endonucleolytic degradation in metazoans. However, information related to the effect of target mRNA on biogenesis and stability of corresponding miRNAs in animals is limited. Here we report regulated biogenesis of cognate miRNAs by their target mRNAs. Enhanced pre-miRNA processing by AGO-associated DICER1 contributes to this increased miRNP formation. The processed miRNAs are loaded onto AGO2 to form functionally competent miRISCs both in vivo and also in a cell-free in vitro system. Thus, we identify an additional layer of posttranscriptional regulation that helps the cell to maintain requisite levels of mature forms of respective miRNAs by modulating their processing in a target-dependent manner, a process happening for miR-122 during stress reversal in human hepatic cells.
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small ~21-nucleotide-long non-coding RNAs that act as the key posttranscriptional regulators of gene expression in metazoans. In mammals, miRNAs are predicted to control the activity of >60% of all protein-coding genes and participate in the regulation of almost every cellular process investigated to date. Efficient miRNA functioning requires its assembly into miRNPs where the miRNA guide strand serves as the specificity determinant for target RNA recognition and the effector proteins, primarily comprising Argonaute, mediate translation repression and/or target RNA degradation. Animal miRNAs usually hybridize with imperfect complementarities to 3’-untranslated region (UTR) of target messenger RNAs. The 5’-seed region of the small RNA is crucial for target recognition and 3’-half contributes to the stability of the association. The human genome codes for four different Argonaute proteins (hAGO1–4). Of these, AGO2 is the most abundantly expressed Argonaute protein. AGO2 is primarily responsible for endonucleolytic cleavage of messages with perfect complementarity to small RNA6.

miRNAs are endogenously transcribed from specific genes by RNA polymerase II as capped and poly-adenylated primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs) that are processed within the nucleus by the Microprocessor complex (Drosha/DGCR8 in humans) to generate 60- to 70-nt-long stem-loop precursor molecules (pre-miRNAs)7–9. The pre-miRNAs are exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm via the Exportin 5 complex10–12, where the RNase III endonuclease DICER1 processes these precursors to generate transient double-stranded miRNA/miRNA* duplexes with 2 nt 3’-overhangs13,14. The exact mechanism of miRNA Induced Silencing Complex (miRISC) assembly has always remained elusive. A human miRNA loading complex (miRLC) has been described, which displays both precursor processing and RISC cleavage activity when exposed sequentially to a miRNA precursor and to a fully complementary target RNA15,16. Thus, the miRLC couples the process of miRNA biogenesis with target RNA cleavage. The miRLC comprises of DICER1, TRBP2 and miRNA-free AGO protein as its components. Mammalian DICER1 enzymes are large 217 kDa proteins containing ATPase/RNA helicase, DUF283, PAZ domains, two catalytic RNase III domains and a carboxy-terminal dsRBD17,18. The RNaseIII domain of DICER1 interacts with PIWI domain of AGO proteins, which is crucial for miRNA loading of AGO19, TRBP2, a dsRBD protein partner of DICER1, has been shown to be required for optimal silencing of target gene20. Furthermore, it has also been shown that once the AGO2 is loaded with the miRNA, the miRISC dissociates from the miRLC and the loaded miRISC can now catalyse multiple rounds of repression and target RNA cleavage16.

More than half of the protein-coding genes in humans contain at least one conserved miRNA binding site apart from several other non-conserved sites. Therefore, it is apparent that biogenesis, function and turnover of these small RNAs need to be effectively regulated. miRNA gene transcription, microprocessor-mediated pri-miRNA processing, exportin-mediated export from the nucleus to cytoplasm and cytoplasmic pre-miRNA processing are all reported to be under stringent regulation. The target mRNA could itself act as a regulator of the miRNA. In Caenorhabditis elegans, it has been observed that exoribonuclease XRN-2-mediated degradation of miRNAs is prevented in the presence of target mRNAs. On the contrary, it has been reported in Drosophila that extensive complementarities between a target RNA and an Argonaute1-bound miRNA trigger miRNA tailing and 3’–5’ trimming22.

We observe target-mRNA-dependent biogenesis of mature miR-122 from pre-miR-122 in human hepatoma cells during recovery from amino acids starvation-related stress. This eventually leads to the finding that the presence of abundant amounts of mRNA bearing target sites for a particular miRNA induces increased biogenesis of the mature miRNA from the precursor. These miRNAs are loaded onto AGO2 to form functionally active miRISCs. The increased production of miRNA is proportional to the concentration of target mRNA. Using in vitro RISC-loading assay systems, we identify that increased processivity of AGO2-associated DICER1 in the presence of target miRNA contributes to higher biogenesis of mature miRNA from the pre-miRNA.

Results
Amino acid stress reversal induces miR-122 biogenesis. In the human hepatoma cell line HuH7, expression of cationic amino acid transporter-1 (CAT-1) is regulated by miR-122 (refs 23,24). The 3’-UTR of human CAT-1 mRNA harbours four miR-122-binding sites. In HuH7 cells, low CAT-1 protein level is maintained by high miR-122 activity. However, on amino acid starvation CAT-1 mRNA is upregulated23. Besides a transcriptional upsurge, repressed CAT-1 mRNA are released from RNA processing bodies or P-bodies and recruited to polysomes leading to a translational hike24. How the excess CAT-1 mRNAs get back to a repressed state when the starved cells are re-exposed to amino acids has not been studied.

In an attempt to decipher the effect of stress reversal, HuH7 cells were starved for 4 h, then replenished with amino acid-supplemented medium for additional 2 h, and changes in miRNA and CAT-1 mRNA levels were scored (Fig. 1a). Within 4 h of amino acid starvation, there was a threefold surge in CAT-1 mRNA (Fig. 1b). However, on re-feeding the cells with amino acids, within 2 h CAT-1 mRNA was restored to a lower level accompanied by an increase in mature miR-122 level (Fig. 1b,c,e). Quantification of absolute copy numbers of miR-122 and CAT-1 mRNA per cell further confirmed the reciprocal regulation of these two molecules during starvation and re-feeding (Fig. 1d). The rise in miR-122 level is expected, as it would help the cells to restore CAT-1 levels back to normal. We verified that the decrease in CAT-1 mRNA was not due to transcriptional downregulation (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Interestingly, the effect was specific for miR-122 alone, as levels of other miRNAs such as let-7a, miR-16, miR-21, miR-24 and miR-125b were unaffected, arguing against the possibility of a global increase in miRNA levels on stress relief (Fig. 1c,e). The elevated miR-122 was functionally active, as it was AGO2 associated. Consistent with higher miR-122 level, CAT-1 mRNA also showed higher association with AGO2 in re-fed cells (Supplementary Fig. 1b).

Transcriptional upregulation of miR-122 did not contribute to the increased mature miR-122 level, as inhibition of RNA Polymerase II by α-Amanitin could not prevent this increase (Supplementary Fig. 1c). The increase in miR-122 was accompanied by a sharp decrease in pre-miR-122, suggesting increased processing of pre-miR-122 to generate mature miR-122 on stress reversal (Fig. 1f). As DICER1 processes pre-miRNA to the mature form, we suspected a role of DICER1 in the miR-122 surge, but did not detect any increase in DICER1 protein level on stress reversal (Supplementary Fig. 2a). An increase in DICER1 activity could potentially contribute to the observed increase in mature miR-122. However, processing of other miRNAs was unchanged, negating the possibility of global increase in DICER1 activity (Fig. 1e). However, the increase in mature miR-122 on re-feeding the starved cells was reduced on small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated knockdown of DICER1, suggesting a requirement of DICER1 in this process (Fig. 1g).

We hypothesized that CAT-1 along with all other stress-induced elevated miR-122 target mRNAs trigger miR-122
biogenesis on re-feeding of starved Huh7 cells. Therefore, if stress-induced upregulation of these miRNAs is prevented, the increase in mature miR-122 levels on stress relief should not occur. To downregulate CAT-1 along with other miR-122 target genes we introduced excess pre-miR-122 before starvation. Under this condition, on relief of starvation, the target-driven miR-122 biogenesis was not observed compared with the control where a nonspecific pre-miRNA was pre-expressed, confirming the role of elevated miR-122 targets in promoting faster miR-122 maturation (Supplementary Fig. 2c).

mRNAs increase the levels and activity of cognate miRNAs. To validate whether target mRNA can trigger biogenesis of cognate mature miRNA from their precursors, pre-miR-122 and reporter target mRNAs were co-expressed in HEK293 cells that do not express the liver-specific miR-122. This minimized any ambiguity arising from transcriptional regulation of miR-122 expression in the cell and provided a relatively clean system to study the effect of target mRNA on miRNA levels. An increase in mature miR-122 upon re-feeding was observed in DICER1 knockdown Huh7 cells. miR-16 and miR-21 did not show any significant change. siDICER1-mediated knockdown of DICER1 is confirmed by western blotting. Paired two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used for all comparisons. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001. Error bars represent s.d. (n≥3).

Figure 1 | Reversal of amino acid-starvation-induced stress increases miR-122 biogenesis in Huh7 cells. (a,b) Outline of the experimental set-up used (a). Relative level of CAT-1 mRNA in Huh7 cells either fed or starved for 4 h and re-fed with media containing amino acids for another 2 h. CAT-1 mRNA levels were quantified by quantitative reverse transcriptase-PCR with levels in Fed cells taken as 1 (b). (c) Effect of starvation and re-feeding on mature miR-122 levels in Huh7 cells. Total RNA was extracted and 8 μg RNA was used for northern blotting of mature miR-122, let-7a and miR-16. U6 snRNA was used as loading control. (d) Copy number/number of molecules of mature miR-122 and CAT1 mRNA per Huh7 cell calculated in fed, starved and re-fed Huh7 cells. Estimations were done by real-time-based methods. (e) Increase in mature miR-122 but not that of other non-relevant miRNAs, miR-16, miR-21, miR-24 and miR-125b on relief of starvation. Real-time PCR-based quantification of mature miRNA levels in Huh7 cells starved for amino acids (4 h) and subsequently re-fed (2 h). (f) Increase in mature miR-122 is accompanied by a concomitant decrease in pre-miR-122 on re-feeding the starved cells for 2 h. Cellular small RNA population was isolated by mirVANA kit to minimize possible contamination of pri-miR-122 and real-time-based assays were carried out to quantify pre-miR-122. Pre-miR-122 detected by northern blotting with 15 μg total RNA. Synthetic pre-miR-122 was used as a size marker to determine the position of the pre-miR-122 in the northern blotting. (g) Increase of mature miR-122 on re-feeding of starved cells is reduced in DICER1 knockdown Huh7 cells. miR-16 and miR-21 did not show any significant change. siDICER1-mediated knockdown of DICER1 is confirmed by western blotting. Paired two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used for all comparisons. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001. Error bars represent s.d. (n≥3).
(Supplementary Fig. 3a). The level of another miRNA, let-7a, also increased specifically on expression of its target mRNA bearing three-bulged let-7a sites (RL-3 x bulge-let-7a) (Supplementary Fig. 3b). It has been shown that the 5′-half of siRNA is essential for target RNA recognition, whereas the 3′-half contributes to the stability of the association. It was observed that the 5′-seed sequence is crucial for target recognition as a seed sequence mutant RL-3 x bulge-miR-122 (W5′) is not repressible by miR-122 (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Interestingly, the 3′-half also binds target mRNA (Supplementary Fig. 3c) and the 3′-sequence mutant RL-3 x bulge-miR-122 (W3′) was less efficiently repressed than the wild-type mRNA (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Altering the 5′-seed-binding sequences on the target mRNA significantly down regulate the target-driven miRNA increase. Tampering with the 3′-half sequence also affected target-driven biogenesis, indicating that both halves contribute to the process (Fig. 2d).

Are these enhanced miRNAs functionally active? In vitro RISC cleavage assay with equivalent amounts of affinity-purified FH-AGO2 demonstrated increased miRISC activity in the presence of RL-3 x bulge-miR-122 (Fig. 2e). Further quantification of miR-122 association with affinity-purified AGOs revealed an elevated association of mature miR-122 with all the three Argonautes tested, in a target-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 3e). Furthermore, the in vivo repressive activity of miR-122 was enhanced in the presence of its target GFP-3 x bulge-miR-122 compared with green fluorescent protein (GFP) control. The effect of target mRNA was specific, as let-7a target mRNA...
increased let-7a repressive activity without affecting miR-122 activity in co-expressing cells (Supplementary Fig. 3f).

**Target miRNA concentration-dependent increase in miRNA level.** Expression of RL-1 × bulge-miR-122 induced similar or slightly higher mature miR-122 levels in comparison with RL-3 × bulge-miR-122, despite having a single miR-122-binding site. This was due to higher abundance of RL-1 × bulge-miR-122 mRNA compared with RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 (Fig. 2c). However, the amount of mature miR-122 formed per unit of mRNA was higher for the mRNA with three miRNA-binding sites than with only a single binding site (Fig. 3a). Therefore, the concentration of free miRNA binding sites either placed in cis (RL-3 × bulge-miR-122) or in trans (RL-1 × bulge-miR-122) regulate target-dependent miRNA biogenesis. To test the effect of target mRNA concentration on the observed miRNA increase, we introduced increasing amounts of synthetic target mRNAs and observed a concentration on the observed miRNA increase, we introduced

**Figure 3 | Effect of target mRNA concentration on substrate-dependent miRNA increase in human cells.** (a) Amount of mature miR-122 formed per unit of target mRNA in HEK293 cells transfected with pmIR-122 and respective reporter plasmids. Values were calculated by normalizing the amount of mature miR-122 against the amount of respective target mRNA level and plotted. (b) Effect of increasing concentration of target mRNA on mature miRNA levels. HEK293 cells expressing pre-miR-122 were transfected with increasing amounts of in vitro-transcribed mRNA (RL-con or RL-3 × bulge-miR-122) and mature miR-122 and pre-miR-122 levels were quantified 6 h post transfection. In the left panel, changes in relative level of mature miR-122 has been plotted for experiments done with RL-con or RL-3 × bulge-miR-122. Relative change of mature and pre-miR-122 in the presence of different amounts of RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 was plotted (right panel). Values obtained with 100 ng of transcript to transfect 2 × 10⁵ cells were considered as 1. (c) IRE-RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 mRNA with Ferritin IRE element in 5'-UTR is schematically depicted. (d) Cells transfected with pre-miR-122 and IRE-RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 were split 24 h post transfection and iron chelator DFMO (100 μM) or Fe²⁺ source Hemin (50 μM) was added after an additional 6 h. Cells were harvested after 16 h post Hemin or DFMO addition for analysis. Polysomal enrichment of IRE-RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 was estimated by normalizing polysomal mRNA content by total mRNA level. (e) Target mRNA and mature miR-122 level were measured in cells treated with either Hemin or DFMO. Paired two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used for all comparisons. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001. In a-e, error bars represent s.d. (n≥3).
impaired translatability of the mRNA increased the target-driven miRNA production, owing to their higher abundance.

**mRNA increases biogenesis of mature miRNA from pre-miRNA.** Mechanistically, the target-driven increase in mature miRNA level can stem from either enhanced stability of the existing mRNA or increased biogenesis of the mature form from the precursor. To test this, a doxycycline-inducible system was developed to express pre-miR-122 in HEK293 cells already expressing target mRNA. This would minimize the existence of any preformed miRNP-122 and should serve as a useful tool to monitor and measure de novo biogenesis of mature miRNA in the presence of its target. There was a significant increase in the de novo-synthesized mature miR-122 with time when RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 was present. This was accompanied by a concomitant decrease in pre-miR-122 levels, indicating a higher rate of mature miR-122 biogenesis from pre-miR-122 in presence of RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 (Fig. 4a). The decay kinetics of mature miR-122 was similar in cells expressing RL-con and RL-3 × bulge-miR-122, suggesting that the presence of target mRNA does not have a stabilizing effect on miR-122 (Fig. 4b). To further check the possible stabilizing effect of target mRNA, we incubated preformed miRNP-122 with target mRNAs for up to 1 h in vitro.

The mature miR-122 content of miRISC was independent of the presence of target mRNA, arguing against the stabilizing action of target mRNA (Fig. 4c).

**Target mRNA enhances the activity of AGO2-associated DICER1.** To verify whether target mRNA induces higher miRNA biogenesis by faster pre-miRNA processing, we performed an in vitro RISC-loading assay with immunoisolated AGO2, synthetic pre-miR-122 and in vitro transcribed m7G-capped and poly(A)-tailed target mRNAs. The presence of target mRNA enhanced the pre-miRNA processing activity, leading to higher accumulation of mature miR-122 with AGO2 (Fig. 5a). The increased accumulation of mature/5p strand within AGO2 in the presence of miR-122 targets was accompanied by a corresponding decrease in AGO2-bound passenger/3p strand, indicative of successful RISC activation (Fig. 5b). Higher biogenesis of let-7a in the presence of its target RL-3 × bulge-let-7a was also observed, confirming the generality of target-driven miRNA biogenesis (Fig. 5c). In the in vitro pre-miRNA-processing assays with target mRNAs W5 and W3, we observed lower target-driven miR-122 biogenesis for W3 and W5 target RNA (Supplementary Fig. 5a). During mammalian miRNA assembly by the miRCL, DICER1 processes a pre-miRNA and loads the mature form into a

---

**Figure 4 | Target mRNA drives increased biogenesis of mature miRNA from pre-miRNA.** (a) De novo synthesis of mature miR-122 in the presence of target mRNA is accompanied by a simultaneous drop in pre-miR-122 level. Experimental format is illustrated in the left panel. Tet-ON HEK293 cells were induced for specific time points with doxycycline to synthesize pre-miR-122 from a plasmid with Tet-response element. Cells were harvested after 14 and 24 h, and mature and pre-miR-122 levels quantified. To measure the relative changes at 24 h, values at 14 h are taken as the unit. (b) Target mRNA-induced increase of miRNA levels does not occur due to enhanced stability of a preformed miRNP in the presence of target mRNA. Cells were transfected with 1 μM synthetic pre-miR-122. After 48 h, cells were again transfected with RL-con or RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 plasmids. This was followed by RNA isolation after 24, 48 and 72 h, and mature miR-122 levels quantified to plot the decay rate of mature miR-122. Relative changes in levels of target RNAs over time have been plotted. (c) FH-AGO2 was immunoprecipitated from FH-AGO2-stable HEK293 cells transfected with pre-miR-122 plasmid and FH-AGO2 beads corresponding to ~2 × 10⁶ cells were incubated with 500 ng in vitro-transcribed RL-con or RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 mRNA in a 20 μl reaction for increasing time. The supernatant was removed and on-bead RISC cleavage assay was subsequently performed to quantify the amount of miR-122 retained with AGO2 post interaction with target mRNA. Paired two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used for all comparisons. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001. Values plotted are means from at least three biological replicates for a and two for b and c. Error bars represent s.d.
miRNA-free Argonaute16. Therefore, it is reasonable that increased activity of AGO-associated DICER1 within the miRLC contributes to the observed increase in miRNA maturation. The role of AGO2-associated DICER1 was confirmed by the observations that knockdown of DICER1 by siRNA (Supplementary Fig. 6) or DICER1 cleavage by soluble Leishmanial antigen (SLA)32, containing the metaloprotease gp63 that specifically cleave DICER1, resulted in significant reduction of target-driven miRNA biogenesis (Fig. 5d).

To validate the contribution of AGO2-associated DICER1 in target-dependent processing of pre-miRNAs, we reconstituted the human RISC loading machinery in vitro using recombinant AGO2 (rAGO2) with catalytic amounts of recombinant DICER1 (rDICER1). rDICER1 could process the pre-miR-122 in vitro but rAGO2 alone failed to process pre-miR-122, eliminating the possibility of enhanced miRNA maturation by increased AGO2 slicer activity33 (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c). In assays using rDICER1 and rAGO2, target-dependent biogenesis of miR-122 was observed. However, heat denaturation of rAGO2 impaired the target-driven increase, confirming the importance of AGO2 association of DICER1 in the observed increase in miR-122 biogenesis (Fig. 6a).

It is difficult to envisage how a miRNA-binding site on the mRNA triggers enhanced activity of DICER1 associated with AGO2. DICER1 acts as a multiple-turnover enzyme in the miRCL, wherein it catalyses one cycle of miRNA loading on to associated AGO2, then switches to another free AGO2 molecule to catalyse another cycle of loading16. As catalytic activity of DICER1 is directly coupled to AGO2 loading of miRNA, increased DICER1 activity in the presence of target mRNA implies increased AGO2 loading. We hypothesize that immediately after a successful miRNA loading, DICER1 remains associated with AGO2. The complex associates with a miRNA and scans for a target site2. Once the target site is found and a stable hybrid is formed, DICER1 dissociates from the miRCL and jumps to a fresh Argonaute for another round of miRNA loading. This step is accelerated when a target mRNA is abundant, thereby contributing to increased processivity of DICER1, which leads to loading of a greater number of Argonaute molecules per unit of time. In support of this model, it was observed that with increasing amounts of rAGO2 and a constant amount of rDICER1, there was a concentration-dependent increase in mature let-7a formation in the presence of RL-3 x bulge-let-7a but not RL-3-con in an in vitro assay (Fig. 6b). Furthermore, it was observed that blocking the mRNA scanning process of loaded AGO2 by introducing a five BoxB hairpin RNA secondary structural element in the 3′-UTR reduces the rate for target site finding and affected target-driven miRNA biogenesis (Fig. 6c and Supplementary Fig. 5d).

We further attempted to verify the importance of increased DICER1 processivity on miRNA processing and loading in an in vitro system. According to the proposed model, after scanning along the 3′-UTR, binding of miRISC with the target site should trigger dissociation of AGO2-associated DICER1. Hence, the amount of DICER1 associated with target site (via-AGO2) should be lower compared with its association with the other half of the 3′-UTR. To test this we immunoprecipitated DICER1 and AGO2 after completion of the in vitro processing reaction and analysed
the 3′-UTR regions that they were directly or indirectly associated with. DICER1 showed relatively less association with the region proximal to target site compared with AGO2, which showed association both with regions distal as well as proximal to mRNA target site regions (Fig. 6d). The processivity of AGO2-dissociated DICER1 during the target-driven miRNA biogenesis was measured by adding a foreign pre-miRNA molecule in the system and scoring its biogenesis. We introduced pre-miR-122 as the precursor of non-relevant miRNA in the in vitro assay where RL-con or RL-3 × bulge-let-7a was incubated with let-7a miRISC. It was observed that the amount of mature miR-122 formed was higher in the presence of the let-7a target mRNA compared with that of RL-con (Fig. 6e).

**Discussion**

We have documented that target mRNA triggers increased miRNA production and activity in HEK293 cells. As it is

---

**Figure 6 | Increased processivity of AGO2-associated DICER1 in the presence of target mRNA.** (a) In vitro pre-miRNA processing assay with rAGO2 and rDICER1 reconfirmed target mRNA-driven increase in AGO2-associated DICER1 activity. In vitro pre-miRNA processing assay with rDICER1 and rAGO2 (native or heat-denatured) to quantify miR-122 biogenesis in the presence of target mRNA. Heat denaturation of rAGO2 was carried out at 95 °C for 5 min followed by rapid chilling. (b) In vitro pre-miRNA processing assay of pre-let-7a with rDICER1 and increasing concentrations of rAGO2 (10, 25 and 50 ng) in the presence of RL-con or RL-3 × bulge-let-7a (25 ng ml⁻¹). Mature let-7a levels were measured and plotted. (c) In vitro pre-miRNA processing assay with rAGO2 and rDICER1 along the 3′-UTR of target mRNAs. FH-AGO2 immunoprecipitated from HEK293 cells transiently expressing NHA-DICER1 was subjected to qPCR with primers. (d) Schematic representation of RL-3 × bulge-let-7a_5BoxB mRNA used in the in vitro assays. In vitro pre-miRNA processing assay of pre-let-7a with rDICER1 and 50 ng rAGO2 in the presence of RL-3 × bulge-let-7a or RL-3 × bulge-let-7a_5BoxB mRNA (both at 25 ng ml⁻¹). Mature let-7a levels after the reaction were measured and plotted. (e) In vitro assay to measure the association of AGO2 and DICER1 along the 3′-UTR of target mRNAs. FH-AGO2 immunoprecipitated from HEK293 cells transiently expressing NHA-DICER1 was subjected to in vitro pre-miRNA processing assay with pre-miR-122 and RL-3 × bulge-miR-122 as described earlier, followed by immunoprecipitation of AGO2 and DICER1 with antibodies specific to endogenous proteins. Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) was done with indicated primers.

---

---
difficult to identify the exact reason for the observed increase in miRNA activity in cellular context, we wanted to recapitulate the observation in vitro. Evidences from in vitro studies suggested that increase in DICER1-mediated pre-miRNA processing is responsible for the target-driven increase in miRNA biogenesis. Notably, the target miRNA-induced miRNAs are loaded into AGO proteins to form active miRNPs.

Gregory et al. have shown a 'physical and functional coupling' between pre-miRNA processing by DICER1 and loading of AGO2 to assemble a functional RISC. They observed that target mRNA cleavage is 10 times more efficient when pre-miRNA, a DICER1 substrate, is used compared with a miRNA duplex. As DICER1 catalytic activity is directly coupled to RISC loading, the target mRNA-induced increase in DICER1 activity that we observe here leads to increased AGO loading. The role of DICER1 as a multiple turnover enzyme during RISC loading is established. Our work proposes that the mRISC does not dissociate immediately after AGO2 has been loaded. Instead, it scans the mRNA and once a target site is found and stable miRNP binding occurs, DICER1 dissociates and catalyses another cycle of miRNA loading. As DICER1-mediated AGO loading is permissive, the processivity of the enzyme is enhanced when target mRNA is abundant, contributing to higher number of successful loading cycles per unit time (Fig. 7).

The exact mechanism of miRNA passenger strand removal during AGO2 loading has not been resolved. Apart from the slicer-dependent mechanism of miRNA* strand cleavage, a slicer-independent mechanism has been recognized that plays a more prominent role in loading of miRNAs in mammalian AGO2. Our model supports the second mechanism whereby both the sense and anti-sense strand may remain associated with AGO2 post loading; in case of increased local abundance of target sites, the sense strand hybridizes to target sites and therefore the removal of passenger strand is more efficient (Fig. 5b). In fact, it has been proposed in a recent report that in siRNA-mediated RNA interference, product release from AGO2 is accelerated in the presence of excess target RNA; this can be accounted for by target RNA-dependent enhancement of RISC activity.

Figure 7 | A model of target-driven miRNA biogenesis. Schematic model of target miRNA-driven miRNA biogenesis. Immediately after pre-miRNA processing and AGO2 loading, DICER1 remains associated with AGO2. Newly formed mRISC/DICER1 complex scans the 3'-UTR of a mRNA in search of cognate miRNA-binding site. On target site finding and miRNA-mRNA hybrid formation, DICER1 dissociates from AGO2 and binds free AGO2 to catalyse another round of pre-miRNA processing and miRNP formation. The presence of the target sites increases the 'processivity' of DICER1, leading to enhanced miRNA biogenesis from precursor per unit time.

The turnover of miRNAs helps the cell to maintain a steady-state level of miRNPs. In certain cell types such as neurons, where dynamic changes in gene expression occur, 3'-tailing of miRNAs with non-templated nucleotides, 3'-5' trimming and rapid miRNA degradation have been reported. Trimming and tailing have also been reported in Drosophila. Interestingly, this degradation was shown to be induced by highly complementary target mRNAs. Several other articles have also reported miRNA degradation induced by highly complementary targets, a phenomenon referred to as target RNA-directed miRNA degradation (TDMD). Apparently, our observations seem to contradict these findings. However, it should be noted that where TDMD refers to turnover of preformed mature miRNAs by complementary target mRNAs, we report increased de novo biogenesis of miRNPs by target mRNAs. In the cellular context, one phenomenon can be masked by the other and due to unavailability of any inhibitors of TDMD it is difficult to factually distinguish between the two processes. Therefore, we resorted to an in vitro set-up with recombinant proteins and synthetic RNAs, to nullify any cellular event that might complicate our observation. Contrary to TDMD, Chatterjee et al., reported that complementary target mRNAs prevent degradation of mature miRNAs in C. elegans. Again, this process also depicts the fate of mature preformed miRNAs being regulated by target mRNAs.

We have provided evidence of target-driven miRNA biogenesis using Huh7 hepatoma cells in which, on stress reversal, increased levels of CAT-1 mRNA induced miR-122 production (Fig. 1). Starved Huh7 cells harbour a huge repertoire of CAT-1 mRNA. Hence, it is probable that CAT-1 can trigger increased miR-122 biogenesis in an attempt to restore cellular homeostasis on reversal of stress induced by amino-acid starvation. However, as CAT-1 is one of the numerous miR-122 target mRNAs that surge in starved cells and is responsible for miR-122 increase in re-fed cells, siRNA-mediated knockdown of CAT-1 could only partially reduce the miR-122 level in re-fed cells (Supplementary Fig. 2b). This acts as a feedback mechanism whereby a target miRNA can autoregulate its own levels by driving synthesis of its cognate miRNA. In fact, a miRNA autoregulatory circuit has been reported to operate in C. elegans, whereby mature let-7 miRNP binds and induces increased processing of let-7 primary transcripts. There can be a host of other such cellular situations where such a mechanism can regulate miRNA loading and consequently fine tune gene expression. During miRNA biogenesis, one strand of the precursor hairpin is selected as the guide strand, whereas the other strand (miRNA*) is degraded. This ‘strand bias’ theory has been formulated based on the relative thermodynamic stability of the two sister strands (5p and 3p) in the pre-miRNA; the strand with lesser stability is
preferentially selected as guide, whereas the other strand is degraded. Examination of the thermodynamic stability profiles of the pre-miRNA and mature miRNA revealed most of the miRNAs are highly asymmetric with 5p as the guide strand, with only a handful of miRNAs showing comparable stability of 5p and 3p strands. However, Ro et al. observed that selective or simultaneous accumulation of both strands occur in a tissue-specific manner. This implies that the two opposite strands can target completely different sets of target mRNAs. High-throughput miRNA sequencing analysis has revealed that the arm from which mature miRNA is generated can switch at different developmental stages or in different tissues. A study showed that miR-142-5p was sequenced more frequently in the arm from which mature miRNA is generated can switch at 10. Doxycycline (SIGMA) was added to the media, and the cells were cultured in DMEM medium containing 2 mM L-glutamine and 10% heat-inactivated FCS as described earlier. The plasmids used for the study have been listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Methods

Production. This in turn helps the cell respond to specific and target RNA increase and subsequent enhancement of miRNA final step of biogenesis serves as an immediate means to sense specific miRNPs depending on target availability. Rather than our findings reflect another layer of dynamicity in miRNAs gene. This can act as a plausible mechanism of coordinating repression of a different mRNA that has sites for this secondary miRNA can lead to biogenesis of a secondary miRNA that also binding sites for several miRNAs and one or more sites for a particular miRNA strand, thereby acting as a tool to configure gene expression by directing miRNA arm switching.

The 3'-UTR of most of the endogenous target mRNAs bear binding sites for several miRNAs and one or more sites for a single type of miRNA. Therefore, repression of a miRNA by a miRNA can lead to biogenesis of a secondary miRNA that also has a binding site on the same mRNA. This in turn will lead to repression of a different miRNA that has sites for this secondary miRNA. If both genes are members of the same cellular pathway, then repression of one gene can influence expression of another gene. This can act as a plausible mechanism of coordinating gene expression by miRNAs, further supporting the role of these small RNAs as ‘fine-tuners’ of gene expression. Importantly, our findings reflect another layer of dynamicity in miRNAs action, whereby cells can maintain only requisite levels of specific miRNPs depending on target availability. Rather than transcriptionally upregulating miRNA synthesis, modulating the final step of biogenesis serves as an immediate means to sense target RNA increase and subsequent enhancement of miRNA production. This in turn helps the cell respond to specific and urgent cellular needs.

Huh7 starvation and feeding. For starvation experiments, Huh7 cells grown in normal DMEM were incubated in Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS) supplemented with 5% BSA in a 1% h and then incubated in HBSS for 24 h followed by ‘re-feeding’ cells with HBSS supplemented with 10% normal FCS for an additional 2 h. HBSS supplemented with 10% normal FCS was used for ‘fed’ control.

Luciferase assay. Renilla luciferase (RL) and Firefly luciferase (FF) activities were measured using a Dual-Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega), following the manufacturer’s instructions, on a VICTOR II Plate Reader with integrated software (Perkin Elmer). The ratio of Firefly luciferase normalized Renilla luciferase expression levels for control is to reporter were used to calculate fold repression.

In the experiment using GFP target reporters, HEK293 cells were transfected in a 24-well format with 250 ng pmir-122, 100 ng firefly luciferase, 500 ng of GFP-con or GFP-3' bulge-miR-122 along with 10 ng of luciferase reporter RL-con or RL-3' bulge-miR-122, or RL-3' bulge-let-7a (additional control). After 24 h cells were split and at 48 h luciferase activity measured. Firefly normalized RL values were plotted. For GFP-3' bulge-let-7a reporter, exactly the same transfections were performed with an exception of the GFP-3' bulge-miR-122 reporter.

RNA isolation and northern blotting. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies). Small RNA was isolated using mirVANA miRNA isolation kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Northern blotting of total cellular RNA (5–10 μg) was performed as described by Pillai et al. In short, equal amount of total RNA was electrophoresed in 15% Urea–PAGE followed by electrophoretic transfer to nylon membrane. For copy number determination of specific miRNAs, control and experimental samples were supplemented with 10^8–10^10 molecules of synthetic miRNA (say 3p of a pre-miRNA); another mRNA in a certain mRNAs is tissue specific or developmental stage specific. This implies that the two opposite strands can be separated by any method, such as gel electrophoresis, hybridization, or Northern blotting.

Copy number determination. To determine copy number of miR-122, we generated a standard curve using PUBE-purified synthetic miR-122. Five nanograms of total RNA from HEK293 cells (does not contain miR-122) were spiked with 10^8–10^10 molecules of synthetic miR-122 and analysed by real-time PCR using Taqman miRNA assays (Life Technologies). No Ct was obtained in a control where synthetic miR-122 was not added. The Ct values obtained were plotted to get the standard curve. Ct-values obtained from fed, starved or re-fed samples using 5 and 25 ng of Huh7 RNA were converted to molecule copy number using standard curve. To do this, we measured the amount of miR-22 per Huh7 cell, we determined total amount of RNA obtained per Huh7 cell under fed, starved and re-fed conditions by again generating a standard curve of total RNA versus number of Huh7 cells. To do that, we counted cells using haemocytometer and isolated RNA by TRIzol reagent and quantified total RNA using NanoDrop. The values obtained were as follows: 34.69 ng (fed 4h), 21.55 pg (starved 4 h) and 23.19 pg (re-fed 2h). This value was fairly consistent with Chang et al. who obtained 25 pg of RNA per cell. The copy number obtained by our real-time-based method for non-starved Huh7 (fed) was in that order of 10^5 (~1.2 x 10^5). This was lower than that obtained by Siegrist et al. (3 x 10^5) using bead array technology.

Immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitation of proteins was done essentially as per published protocols. Cells were lysed in a lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 200 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 x EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche), 5 mM Vanadyl ribonucleoside complexes (Sigma), 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) at 4 °C for 15 min, followed by clearing the lysate at 3,000 g for 10 min. Protein G agarose beads (Invitrogen) were blocked with 5% BSA in an ice-cold buffer for 1 h and then incubated with the primary antibody for another 3–4 h before the lysate was added. A final dilution of 1:50 (antibody:lysate) was used for immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitation was performed with 5 and 25 ng of Huh7 RNA.
carried out for 16 h at 4 °C. Post washing with IP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1 × EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche); the beads were divided in two halves: one subjected to RNA isolation with TRIzol LS and another for western blotting.

**Immunoblotting.** Western blotting of proteins was essentially performed as described previously. For immunoblotting, the cell lysates or immunoprecipitated proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and probed with specific antibodies. Antibodies used as were follow: anti-Ago2 (Novus Biologicals; Cat# H0002761-M01; 1:1,000), anti-Dicer (Bethyl; Cat# A301-936A; 1:5,000), anti-HA (Roche; Cat# 11867431001; 1:1,000), J-Actin (Sigma; Cat# A8345; 1:1,000) and phospho-eIF2α (Cell Signaling Technology; Cat# 9217; 1:500). Imaging of all western blots was done in UVF Bioimager 600 system equipped with VisionWorks Life Science software (UVP) and quantification of bands done using the same software. Uncropped versions of all blottings along with molecular-weight marker positions are included in Supplementary Fig. 7.

**Polysome isolation.** Total polysome isolation was carried out as described previously. For total polysome isolation, around 6 × 10⁶ HEK293 cells were lysed in a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM vanadyl ribonucleoside complex, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate and 1 × EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) supplemented with Cycloheximide (100 ng/ml -1; Calbiochem). The lysate was cleared at 3,000g for 10 min and divided into another round of pre-clearing at 20,000 g for 15 min. The clarified lysate was loaded on a 30% sucrose cushion and ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for 1 h at 4 °C. The non-polysomal supernatant was collected from the top. The sucrose cushion was washed with a buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT), ultracentrifuged for additional 30 min and the polysomal pellet was resuspended in polysome buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM vanadyl ribonucleoside complex, 1 × EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail) for RNA isolation.

**RISC cleavage assay.** RISC cleavage assay was essentially performed as described elsewhere with minor modifications. HEK293 cells stably expressing FH-AGO2 were transfected with pmir-122 and target mRNA expression plasmids. For miRISC isolation, cells were lysed, 48 h after transfection, in lysis buffer [10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 200 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1 × EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche), 5 mM vanadyl ribonucleoside complex (Sigma), 1% Triton X-100] at 4 °C for 15 min, followed by clearing the lysate at 3,000g for 10 min. The clarified supernatant was subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma) for 16 h at 4 °C. Beads were washed in IP buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1 × EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche)] for three times at 4 °C. The affinity-purified miRISC was eluted from the beads using 3 × FLAG Peptide (Sigma) as per the manufacturer’s instructions in a RISC purification buffer (30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 3% glycerol). Affinity-purified miRISC-122 were assayed for target RNA cleavage using a 36 nt RNA 5’-AAAUCUAAACAGUUCAAGUAUCACAGGAAUUAA-3’ end labelled with [γ-32P]ATP. Immediately after the reaction, RNA was isolated using TRIzol LS and subjected to gel detection.

**In vitro transcript.** In vitro transcript was carried out using mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Life Technologies) and poly A tailing of transcripts done with Poly (A) tailing kit (Life Technologies) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. For preparation of plasmid DNA template, RL-con, RL-1 × bulge-mir-122, RL-3 × bulge-mir-122, RL-3 × bulge-mir-122, RL-3 × bulge-mir-122 (W5), RL-3 × bulge-mir-122 (W3) and RL-CatA were digested for 4 h at 37 °C with Dral (New England Biolabs) and RL-3 × bulge-let-7a was digested with HpaI (New England Biolabs); the rest of the protocol was as per the manufacturer’s instructions. All transcripts were purified and size verified by 6% Urea-PAGE and ethidium bromide-based detection.

**RNase protection assay.** RNase Protection Assay was performed as described earlier. The synthetic miR-122 RNA probe was 5’-end labelled with γ-32P ATP using T4 Polynucleotide kinase (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Labelled miR-122 (1.5 pmol; 100 fmol radiolabelled and 1.4 pmol 5’-end labelled with cold ATP) was mixed with 500 fmol of target mRNA and precipitated with 0.1 vol sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 2.5 vol ice-cold ethanol for 1 h at −80 °C. The RNA recovered was dissolved in 30 µl Hybridization Buffer (40 mM PIPES pH 8.1, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.4 M NaCl, 80% deionized formamide), denatured at 85 °C for 5 min and then annealed at 35 °C overnight. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and then RNase digestion was carried out in 300 µl of RNase digestion mixture (300 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA pH 7.5, 30 µg RNase A (Fermentas)) at 30 °C 1 h. ProteinaseK treatment was done (10% SDS and 20 mg/ml -1 Proteinase K (Roche) at 37 °C for 30 min) followed by RNA extraction with acid phenol/chloroform pH 4.5 in the presence of 20 µg carrier transfer RNA (Sigma). The samples were run in an 18% Urea-PAGE followed by drying the gel and image was obtained using phosphorimager. For partial digestion, the reaction was incubated on ice instead of 30 °C.

**Nuclear run-on transcription.** Nuclear run-on transcription was performed as described by Roberts et al. For isolation of intact nucleus from HuH7 cells, ~4 × 10⁶ cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5% (vol/vol) NP-40]. Fractionation verified by western blotting with clear and cytosolic markers. The nuclei were resuspended in 30 µl Nuclear Storage Buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 40% (vol/vol) glycerol] and subjected to run-on transcription in transcription buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM CTP, 1 mM GTP, 1 mM TTP, 100 U RNase inhibitor) at 30 °C for 30 min. Total RNA recovered was dissolved in 30 µl RNase-Free Water and the RNA recovered was dissolved in 30 µl Hybridization Buffer (40 mM PIPES pH 8.1, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.4 M NaCl, 80% deionized formamide), denatured at 85 °C for 5 min and then annealed at 35 °C overnight. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and then RNase digestion was carried out in 300 µl of RNase digestion mixture (300 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA pH 7.5, 30 µg RNase A (Fermentas)) at 30 °C 1 h. ProteinaseK treatment was done (10% SDS and 20 mg/ml -1 Proteinase K (Roche) at 37 °C for 30 min) followed by RNA extraction with acid phenol/chloroform pH 4.5 in the presence of 20 µg carrier transfer RNA (Sigma). The samples were run in an 18% Urea-PAGE followed by drying the gel and image was obtained using phosphorimager. For partial digestion, the reaction was incubated on ice instead of 30 °C.

**SLA preparation.** SLA was prepared using published protocol. Briefly, for SLA preparation, around 10⁵ Leptomycin d extravesicles cells were washed thrice with 1 × PBS followed by five to six cycles of liquid nitrogen freeze thawing. The cells were then sonicated in PBS with 0.04% NP-40 for six times. Lysate was cleared at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C and the supernatant collected was SLA. Protein estimation of SLA was done using Bradford method at 595 nm.

The in vitro DICER1 cleavage assay was carried out as mentioned elsewhere. Lysate of HEK293 cells stably expressing FH-AGO2 were prepared as for immunoprecipitation. For each cleavage reaction, 30 µg cell lysate was incubated with 20 µg SLA in assay buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, 100 mM KCl, 1 × EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche)) and 1 mg ml-1 BSA for 30 min at 37 °C. This was followed by immunoprecipitation of the mixture with anti-FLAG M2 agarose beads. Post immunoprecipitation, the beads were subjected to in vitro DICER1 activity assay.

**Statistical analysis.** All graphs and statistical analyses were done in GraphPad Prism 5.00. (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Nonparametric paired t-test was used for analysis and P-values were determined. Error bars indicate mean ± s.d.

**Data availability.** The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files or on request.
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