Architecture of cell–cell junctions in situ reveals a mechanism for bacterial biofilm inhibition
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Many bacteria, including the major human pathogen \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, are naturally found in multicellular, antibiotic-tolerant biofilm communities, in which cells are embedded in an extracellular matrix of polymeric molecules. Cell–cell interactions within \textit{P. aeruginosa} biofilms are mediated by CdrA, a large, membrane-associated adhesin present in the extracellular matrix of biofilms, regulated by the cytoplasmic concentration of cyclic diguanylate. Here, using electron cryotomography of focused ion beam–milled specimens, we report the architecture of CdrA molecules in the extracellular matrix of \textit{P. aeruginosa} biofilms at intact cell–cell junctions. Combining our in situ observations at cell–cell junctions with biochemistry, native mass spectrometry, and cellular imaging, we demonstrate that CdrA forms an extended structure that projects from the outer membrane to tether cells together via polysaccharide binding partners. We go on to show the functional importance of CdrA using custom single-domain antibody (nanobody) binders. These results reveal a functional mechanism for cell–cell interactions within biofilms, highlighting the promise of using inhibitors targeting biofilm cell–cell junctions to prevent or treat problematic, chronic bacterial infections.

Nevertheless, elegant optical microscopy studies on \textit{Vibrio cholerae} biofilms have provided clues to the internal organization of the EPS matrix, revealing that the proteins required for mature biofilm formation (RbmA, Bap1, and RbmC) fail to accumulate at the cell surface in the absence of an exopolysaccharide (called VPS) and that loss of RbmA function dramatically alters biofilm architecture (14, 15). In vitro studies of \textit{V. cholerae} proteins have revealed an exopolysaccharide-dependent (RbmA) adhesin oligomerization pathway (16), and other studies suggest that direct interactions between the RbmA adhesin and glycans on partner cells lead to cell–cell adhesion (17). In \textit{Escherichia coli}, an auto-aggregating adhesin known as Antigen 43 (Ag43) has been proposed to mediate cell–cell interactions in biofilms by a “Velcro-like” mechanism in which two Ag43 molecules from apposing cells dimerize to bind cells to each other (18). In both of these comparatively well-characterized bacterial species, direct visualization of the EPS matrix has thus far not been performed at the macromolecular scale, and the spatial arrangement of molecules at cell–cell junctions remains unclear.

\textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} is a human pathogen of critical concern, posing a significant challenge in hospital settings due to its ability to form antibiotic-tolerant biofilms (19–21). Cell–cell interactions in prokaryotic cells including bacteria and archaea are frequently found in nature as part of surface-attached, multicellular communities called biofilms (1–3). Biofilms constitute the majority of bacterial biomass on Earth (1), representing a fundamental mode of bacterial existence. While bacterial biofilms may prove beneficial to eukaryotes as host-associated microbiomes (4, 5), the formation of pathogenic bacterial biofilms is associated with the establishment of serious chronic antibiotic-tolerant infections (6).

Recently, important advances have been made in understanding early events in biofilm formation (7); however, the molecular mechanisms underlying how mature biofilms are formed and stabilized are still poorly understood. One of the hallmarks of mature biofilms is the presence of an extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) matrix that binds bacterial cells together into a sessile community, promoting antibiotic tolerance and providing protection from other predatory organisms (8–11). The EPS matrix of biofilms is a complex mixture of molecules, consisting of proteins, polysaccharides, and extracellular DNA (12). Comprehending the spatial arrangement of molecules in the EPS matrix of biofilms has been problematic (13) due to the inherent difficulty associated with high-resolution microscopic imaging inside the tissue-like environment of a biofilm. As a result, mechanisms of cellular tethering and the architecture of cell–cell junctions within biofilms are incompletely understood at the fundamental molecular level.
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Significance

\textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} bacteria form antibiotic-tolerant biofilms that pose significant challenges in clinical settings. Overcoming these challenges requires fundamental insights into how biofilms are formed, combined with innovative strategies to disrupt biofilms. Electron cryotomography in situ data presented here reveal the arrangement of the key \textit{P. aeruginosa} adhesin CdrA at biofilm cell–cell junctions. Guided by our imaging data, we raised and characterized a CdrA-specific nanobody capable of disrupting these cell–cell junctions, thereby increasing the efficacy of antibiotic-mediated bacterial killing in biofilms. Together these data provide a pathway for developing effective alternative antibacterial infection treatment strategies.
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the EPS matrix of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms are facilitated by the expression of a ~220 kDa adhesin ([Fig. 1A](#)) known as CdrA in a cyclic diguanulate (c-di-GMP)-dependent manner ([22]). Under high cytoplasmic c-di-GMP concentrations, CdrA expression is increased, and the mature CdrA protein is tethered to the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* cells through its membrane protein partner CdrB ([22, 23]). In these conditions, CdrA promotes cellular aggregation and biofilm formation by directly binding to polysaccharides in the EPS matrix of biofilms, such as the Psl or Pel polysaccharides ([22, 24]). When cytoplasmic c-di-GMP concentrations are lower, CdrA is cleaved and released into the extracellular milieu by the action of a periplasmic protease, promoting biofilm disaggregation ([22]).

In this study, we have performed electron cryotomography (cryo-ET) of focused ion beam (FIB)—milled tissue-like multicellular specimens to image intact cell–cell junctions of *P. aeruginosa* bacteria, revealing the arrangement of CdrA in the extracellular matrix of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms. We have supplemented our in situ imaging with electron cryomicroscopy (cryo-EM) and native mass spectrometry (MS) experiments on biochemically purified CdrA protein, which together show that CdrA forms an extended structure at the outer membrane, forming cell–cell junctions via polysaccharide binding partners. Finally, single-domain antibodies (nanobodies) raised against purified CdrA protein provided a valuable probe to test and verify all our hypotheses experimentally on wild-type *P. aeruginosa* cells expressing CdrAB, disassembled from cell clumps by vigorous agitation alone. Floccules formed by a Δpsl strain that lacked the Psl polysaccharide were smaller than in the corresponding wild-type PAO1 strain and could be disrupted by vigorous agitation alone. Floccules formed by wild-type PAO1 were extensively stained by a mannose-sensitive lectin, unlike the corresponding Δpsl strain ([Fig. 1B](#)), and could only be fully disrupted by agitation when the medium was supplemented with an excess of D-mannose sugar ([Fig. 1C](#) and **SI Appendix, Fig. S1B**), demonstrating that cell–cell clumping mediated by CdrAB is polysaccharide-dependent in wild-type PAO1. *P. aeruginosa* cells expressing CdrAB, disassembled from cell clumps by vigorous agitation alone.

**Results**

**CdrA Forms an Extended Structure on the Outer Membrane of *P. aeruginosa* Bacteria.** To study the molecular mechanism by which CdrA tethers cells to the EPS matrix within biofilms of *P. aeruginosa*, we employed an inducible expression system in which both CdrA and CdrB are expressed. A mutation in the carboxy-terminal part of CdrA (in the sequence TAAG, described in ref. 23) prevents the cleavage and release of CdrA into the extracellular environment, locking the protein in a biofilm-promoting state. Upon expression of the biofilm-promoting CdrA adhesin and its membrane anchor CdrB, *P. aeruginosa* cells formed biofilm-like floccules in solution (**SI Appendix, Fig. S1A**). Floccules formed by a Δpsl strain that lacked the Psl polysaccharide were smaller than in the corresponding wild-type PAO1 strain and could be disrupted by vigorous agitation alone. Floccules formed by wild-type PAO1 were extensively stained by a mannose-sensitive lectin, unlike the corresponding Δpsl strain ([Fig. 1B](#)), and could only be fully disrupted by agitation when the medium was supplemented with an excess of D-mannose sugar ([Fig. 1C](#) and **SI Appendix, Fig. S1B**), demonstrating that cell–cell clumping mediated by CdrAB is polysaccharide-dependent in wild-type PAO1. *P. aeruginosa* cells expressing CdrAB, disassembled from cell clumps by vigorous agitation alone. Floccules formed by a Δpsl strain that lacked the Psl polysaccharide were smaller than in the corresponding wild-type PAO1 strain and could be disrupted by vigorous agitation alone. Floccules formed by wild-type PAO1 were extensively stained by a mannose-sensitive lectin, unlike the corresponding Δpsl strain ([Fig. 1B](#)), and could only be fully disrupted by agitation when the medium was supplemented with an excess of D-mannose sugar ([Fig. 1C](#) and **SI Appendix, Fig. S1B**), demonstrating that cell–cell clumping mediated by CdrAB is polysaccharide-dependent in wild-type PAO1.

---

**Fig. 1.** CdrAB expression results in the appearance of ~70-nm-long, matchstick-shaped protrusions on the surface of *P. aeruginosa* cells. (A) Schematic representation of the CdrA sequence highlighting previously determined and predicted functional regions including a TPS (two-partner secretion) domain, putative N-terminal cleavage site, and the known carboxyl-terminal cleavage site. (B) PAO1 (Left) and PAO1 Δpsl (Right) induced to express CdrAB and stained with fluorescent (Alexa 488) lectin to label mannose-containing polysaccharides. (C) Floccules formed by CdrAB expression before (Left) and after agitation in the presence of mannose (Right). (D) A slice through an electron cryotomogram of a *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 cell expressing CdrA. (E) Three-dimensional segmentation of the boxed area in D (red dashed line). The outer membrane of the cell (purple) and matchstick-shaped cell surface molecules (green) are shown. (F) Cropped and magnified view of the boxed region from the tomographic slice shown in D (solid yellow line) with matchstick-shaped protrusions indicated (red arrowheads). (G) Length quantification of cell-surface matchstick-shaped protrusions; lengths are measured in electron cryotomograms (71 ± 2 nm [SD, n = 108] from 12 tomograms). Refer also to **SI Appendix, Fig. S1** and Movie S1.
vortexing in the presence of excess D-mannose, showed protrusions emanating from the *P. aeruginosa* cell surface (Fig. 1D–F and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 C–E and Movie S1). These ∼3-nm-wide protrusions projected roughly orthogonally to the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* and had a broad tip, resulting in a matchstick-like appearance. The length of these matchstick-shaped protrusions was $71 \pm 2$ nm (SD, $n = 108$), as measured in three-dimensional cryo-ET data (Fig. 1G).

To establish the identity of the matchstick-shaped protrusions, molecules in the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* cells from the inducible CdrAB expression strain were stripped and biochemically purified (Materials and Methods and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The major component from the purification revealed a protein running at ∼150 kDa as a single band on a gel (Fig. 2A, Inset and SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). Proteomic peptide fingerprinting analysis of the purified sample confirmed its identity as CdrA (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B). Next, cryo-EM of the MS-verified, purified CdrA specimen revealed matchstick-shaped filamentous particles on the grid (Fig. 2A and Movie S2). A visual inspection of these particles suggested that they closely resembled the matchstick-shaped protrusions observed in whole-cell cryo-ET (Fig. 1D–F). To probe this further, cryo-ET data of the purified sample was collected and quantified, showing that the matchstick-shaped protrusions were $71 \pm 1$ nm (SD, $n = 75$) long (Fig. 2B), again indicating that they corresponded to the same cryo-EM density observed on the *P. aeruginosa* cell surface (Fig. 1D–G). To assess whether the carboxy-terminal TRRG mutation alters the architecture of CdrA, we purified particles secreted by cells expressing wild-type CdrA (Fig. 2C) and found that native CdrA, in the same manner as the mutant, formed elongated matchstick-shaped particles, while no protein was detected in the uninduced control (Fig. 2C).

To determine the oligomerization state of CdrA in solution, the purified CdrA (TRRG mutant) protein was analyzed using native MS (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C), which showed that CdrA exists as monomers in solution. Thus, the matchstick-shaped densities observed in our cryo-ET data (Fig. 1D–F) correspond to a single copy of CdrA protein projecting from the outer membrane into the extracellular environment. The measured masses of CdrA (163,286 $\pm 3$ Da and 164,047 $\pm 1$ Da) determined by native MS agree with previous work showing that the protein undergoes proteolytic processing into a mature functional form (22, 23).

**Nanobody-Targetable CdrA Molecules Mediate Cell–Cell Interactions between *P. aeruginosa* Bacteria.** We next wished to understand how CdrA mediates cell–cell interactions within the extracellular matrix of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms by direct visualization of cell–cell junctions using high-resolution cryo-ET. We used the inducible CdrAB expression strain to promote flocculation of *P. aeruginosa* cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) and deposited these floccules onto cryo-EM grids. While *P. aeruginosa* cells at the edges of the cell clump could be observed (Fig. 3A), the multicellular, tissue-like specimen was too thick for direct cryo-EM imaging. To visualize the internal arrangement of the cell–cell junctions, thin lamellae of these specimens were produced by cryo-FIB milling (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A and B), which supported high-resolution imaging using cryo-ET.

In electron cryotomograms of cryo-FIB–milled lamellae, we observed *P. aeruginosa* bacterial cells in close proximity with each other (Fig. 3 B and C). A visual inspection of the cell–cell junctions between the *P. aeruginosa* cells revealed matchstick-shaped protrusions corresponding to CdrA molecules (Fig. 4A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S3C). These CdrA molecules were projecting outward, away from the bacterial outer membrane and extending between *P. aeruginosa* cells into the intercellular space (Fig. 4C and Movie S3). The length of these CdrA molecules was $71 \pm 1$ nm (SD, $n = 20$), in line with the observations of CdrA on single *P. aeruginosa* cells and also of CdrA molecules after purification (Figs. 1, 2, and 4 D and E and Movie S4). While CdrA has been shown to self-interact in the absence of polysaccharides (25), in our data, direct CdrA:CdrA linkages were never observed, nor was a “Velcro-like” side-by-side configuration of CdrA molecules from apposing cells seen. The lack of direct CdrA stacking, in conjunction with the known interactions between CdrA and polysaccharides (22, 24), and the ability of the monosaccharide mannose to disrupt CdrA-mediated cell clumps (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Fig. S1B) are all consistent with a scenario in which CdrA molecules extend out of the bacterial cell surface to tether cells through interactions with polysaccharide binding partners known to be abundant in the EPS matrix.

To assess whether these CdrA-mediated cell–cell junctions might be specifically targeted, we made use of single-domain llama antibodies (or nanobodies), which have recently been shown to be a powerful tool for structural and cell biology (26). A panel of nanobodies was raised against purified CdrA protein, and based on the ability of the nanobodies to interfere with flocculation of *P. aeruginosa* bacteria in our inducible expression system (SI Appendix, Fig. S4), further discussed in SI Appendix, Fig. S5), one positive nanobody binder was selected for further experiments. This nanobody (termed NBCdrA-gold) was coupled with 5-nm gold nanoparticles (NBcore-gold) and incubated with *P. aeruginosa* cells expressing CdrA localized to the cell surface. NBcore-gold labeling of these cells...
revealed a shell of gold particles specifically around cells expressing CdrAB, \( \sim 70 \) nm from the cell surface (Fig. 5A). No specific NBCdrA-gold labeling was observed in control cells in which CdrA was absent from the outer membrane (Fig. 5B), confirming that the cell-surface 71-nm matchstick-shaped protrusions correspond to CdrA molecules.

As a further verification, we performed native MS of a sample containing both purified CdrA and NBCdrA and observed the formation of a 1:1 complex (Fig. 5C). To probe nanobody-binding, we performed a series of native MS experiments with a constant CdrA concentration titrated against increasing NBCdrA concentrations. These native experiments were used to estimate a binding affinity of NBCdrA with CdrA of \( 0.75 \pm 0.26 \mu M \) (SD) (Fig. 5D and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). These observations suggest that a specific region at the tip of CdrA molecules \( \sim 70 \) nm away from the outer membrane, strongly suggest that a region at the broad N-terminal tip of cell-surface CdrA is specifically targeted by NBCdrA.

### The Anti-CdrA Nanobody Inhibits Biofilm Formation and Disrupts Preformed, Mature Biofilms.

Given the mechanistic scenario suggested by our cellular (Fig. 1), in vitro (Fig. 2), and in situ imaging (Figs. 3 and 4) in which CdrA extends into and likely tethers cells to the EPS matrix, we next set out to determine whether this function of CdrA could be blocked by the targeted use of nanobodies to inhibit \( P. aeruginosa \) biofilm formation. First, we assessed whether the anti-CdrA nanobody (NBCdRA), the nanobody shown to bind to the broad tip of CdrA molecules (Fig. 5A and B), could disrupt CdrA-mediated cell–cell adhesion and flocculation of \( P. aeruginosa \) in our inducible CdrAB expression system. In line with our expectation, the addition of NBCdRA disrupted preformed cell clumps, causing the cells to return to a planktonic state, forming a cloudy culture (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). This effect could be quantified by measuring the mass of cell clumps, which confirmed that cellular aggregation decreased with increasing NBCdRA concentration. In contrast, another CdrA nanobody found in our screen (NBCdRA-2) had no effect on CdrAB-mediated flocculation (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A), potentially due to its lower binding affinity for CdrA (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B–D). These observations suggest that a specific region at the tip of CdrA molecules \( \sim 70 \) nm away from the cell (as indicated by NBCdRA-gold labeling) is critical for CdrA-mediated cell–cell tethering, and blocking this region with NBCdRA leads to disruption of cell–cell junctions.

To explore the effect of the nanobodies on wild-type \( P. aeruginosa \) bacteria, a custom microfluidics flow system was used to cultivate \( P. aeruginosa \) biofilms. Combining the flow system with continuous fluorescence microscopy imaging, we found that the formation of \( P. aeruginosa \) PA14 biofilms was significantly delayed upon the addition of NBCdRA (Fig. 6), showing that NBCdRA can also interfere with the function of native CdrA molecules on wild-type cells. These biofilm inhibitory effects were not observed with NBCdRA-2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5E), confirming that NBCdRA-2 does not interfere with the adhesive function of CdrA in the EPS matrix and demonstrating...
again that targeted binding of NbCdrA to the tip of functional CdrA is sufficient to inhibit biofilm formation in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* bacteria.

NB_CdrA access to cell-surface CdrA is likely to be greater in immature, developing biofilms in which cell–cell junctions have not yet formed and in which diffusion deep into a multicellular

---

**Fig. 4.** CdrA extends into the EPS matrix to mediate cell–cell interactions. (A and B) Cryo-ET slice (A) and corresponding three-dimensional segmentation (B) of a cell–cell junction within a *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 biofilm-like floccule. The multicellular specimen was processed by cryo-FIB-milling into thin lamellae suitable for high-resolution cryo-ET. Cell outer membranes (blue and purple) and CdrA (green) are highlighted in B. (C) Enlarged view of the boxed region in A. Comparison of CdrA at cell–cell junctions within biofilm-like floccules to (D) CdrA on the surface of single cells and (E) biochemically purified CdrA in solution. CdrA is highlighted with red arrowheads. Refer also to *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3 and Movies S3 and S4.

---

**Fig. 5.** Nanobody binding to CdrA on cells and in vitro. (A and B) Cryo-EM micrographs of (A) cells with induced CdrAB expression or (B) control cells, labeled with a NbCdrA-gold conjugate (red arrowheads). Cropped and magnified views of the boxed areas in each panel are shown. (C) Native mass spectra of CdrA alone (lower spectrum) or CdrA bound to the CdrA-specific nanobody NbCdrA (upper spectrum) shows binding in a 1:1 ratio. (D) A series of native MS experiments with increasing NB_CdrA concentrations were performed, yielding a binding curve. Each data point shows the relative fractional intensity of NB_CdrA binding peaks over total peak intensity (labeled as fractional abundance) versus NB_CdrA concentration. SD (error bars) was calculated from the average of five observed charge states in three independent experiments. Refer also to *SI Appendix*, Fig. S4.
specimen is not needed. We next investigated whether preformed, mature *P. aeruginosa* biofilms could also be disrupted by NBCdrA. Using the same flow setup, we found that bacterial cells in preformed PA14 biofilms were efficiently killed when an otherwise sublethal colistin antibiotic treatment was supplemented with NBCdrA (Fig. 7A and B and Movie S5). The efficacy of bacterial killing was substantially increased when NBCdrA was added earlier, during biofilm development, before the addition of colistin (Fig. 7A and B), in line with our data demonstrating the inhibitory effect of NBCdrA on developing biofilms (Fig. 6). PAO1 biofilms were similarly susceptible to colistin-mediated bacterial killing when pre-treated with NBCdrA, although a higher concentration of nanobody was required to achieve a similar effect (Fig. 7C and SI Appendix, Fig. S6). These results using wild-type *P. aeruginosa* biofilms demonstrate the key role of the CdrA protein in mediating cell–cell interactions and highlight the importance of these interactions for effective biofilm formation, which directly promote tolerance of those biofilms to antibiotic treatment.

**Discussion**

Our results establish that functional cell-surface CdrA forms an extended structure, projecting into the EPS matrix, to mediate cell–cell interactions. This arrangement is consistent with CdrA acting as a molecular tether, in which copies of CdrA anchored on the cell surface at their C termini may be glued at their N-terminal adhesive regions by secreted polysaccharides, known to be abundant in the EPS matrix of *P. aeruginosa* and previously shown to interact with CdrA (13, 24). Our results demonstrate that CdrA is a major adhesin mediating biofilm formation in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* and show that adhesins with similar roles, such as LecB (27), cannot effectively compensate for its disruption. The difference in the sensitivity of different *P. aeruginosa* strains (PA14 and PAO1) to NBCdrA treatment (Fig. 7) suggests variability in the contribution of CdrA or its accessibility during biofilm formation.

Nevertheless, while the exact contribution of different adhesins in *P. aeruginosa* biofilm development will require detailed experiments to delineate, the prominent role of CdrA-mediated cell–cell junctions is highlighted by our experiments. Our reconstituted cell flocculation system used a non–LapG-cleavable mutant of CdrA, which was important for in situ imaging using cryo-ET. Future innovative assays will be needed to replicate structural data. Our results are consistent with the scenario in which nanobody-binding precludes the interaction between CdrA and its accessibility during biofilm formation.

This paradigm of a bacterial adhesin interacting with the EPS matrix is functionally distinct from either the alternative “Velcro-like” mechanism of cell–cell adhesion proposed for *E. coli* Ag43 (18) or from the more nuanced case of *V. cholerae* in which multiple adhesins and exopolysaccharides appear to regulate cell–cell interactions (14, 16). In both *E. coli* and *V. cholerae*, the spatial organization of cell–cell junctions in biofilms has not yet been observed experimentally at high resolution, and further research will be needed to understand the arrangement of these junctions at the molecular level. In our in situ cryo-ET data, while CdrA proteins were observed, extracellular polysaccharides could not be resolved. It therefore remains to be described how polysaccharides are arranged in the EPS matrix in relation to bacterial cells and other matrix molecules. While it is possible that direct CdrA:CdrA interactions may additionally stabilize *P. aeruginosa* cell junctions in some strains (25), these were not observed in our data.

Recently, latest generation optical microscopy techniques have proven invaluable in providing novel insights into bacterial biofilm formation (14, 15, 28). In this study, we have leveraged the latest cryo-ET techniques to reveal the arrangement of cell–cell junctions that are of key importance in biofilm formation. This study highlights the utility of FIB milling and cryo-ET to deliver high-resolution insights into tissue-like multicellular specimens, which have traditionally been considered beyond the reach of structural cell biology. With increasing throughput and automation of these methods (29, 30), structure determination of molecules in cell–cell junctions may become practical and a possible extension of this work in the future.

Moreover, we also show that biofilm formation in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* bacteria can be inhibited by targeting the filamentous CdrA adhesin with a nanobody binder that interacts with the tip of the functional protein in a 1:1 ratio. Although gold-labeled nanobody was concentrated ~70 nm away from the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* bacteria at the tip of CdrA adhesins, identifying the exact epitope of nanobody-binding to CdrA will require higher resolution structural data. Our results are consistent with the scenario in which nanobody-binding precludes the interaction between CdrA and polysaccharides in the EPS matrix (Fig. 8). This nanobody was further shown to promote the activity of an antibiotic in killing bacterial cells in a preformed wild-type *P. aeruginosa* biofilm. The strategy of neutralizing biofilm adhesion mechanisms may also be applicable to similar large cell-surface adhesins such as the filamentous hemagglutinin of *Bordetella pertussis* (31) and the repeat-in-toxin domain-containing adhesins such as SiiE of *Salmonella enterica* and LapA from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (32–34). Such an approach may also be useful in treating bacteria whose adhesins utilize alternative proposed cell–cell adhesion mechanisms, such as Ag43 of *E. coli* (18) or RbmA of *V. cholerae* (16).
While neutralizing therapies now represent an important treatment route for many diseases, they remain relatively underexploited in the field of antimicrobials (35, 36). In the context of the increasing challenges posed by the emergence of antimicrobial resistance (37, 38), our results highlight how specific adhesins on the surface of bacterial cells may serve as promising targets for biofilm inhibition or for the prevention of chronic infections in a medical setting.

Materials and Methods

Refer also to SI Appendix, Supplementary Text for further details.

Cultivation of Biofilm-Like Floccules. For CdrAB(TRRG) expression, an arabinose-inducible pMQ72 plasmid system was used, as described in ref. 23, and transformed into the PAO1 ΔpslBCD or PAO1 Δcdra strains, described in ref. 22. The strains PAO1 Δpsl pMQ72-cdraz(trrrg) and PAO1 Δcdra pMQ72-cdraz(trrrg) were tested alongside a PAO1 Δcdra pMQ72 empty vector control. Cultures were induced with arabinose to induce flocculation. To disaggregate cells, floccules were briefly vortexed with (for strains with wild-type Psl) or without (for the Psl deletion strain) the addition of 0.5% mannose (weight/volume). To stain for Psl-containing sugars, floccules were pelleted and resuspended in 80 μg/mL Alexa488-conjugated Narcissus pseudonarcissus lectin (Glycomatrix) for 30 min at 4 °C, washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and deposited on a glass slide ahead of imaging. Microscopy of floccules was performed using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 microscope.

CdrA Protein Purification. To purify CdrA, subcultures of PAO1 ΔpslBCD pMQ72-cdraz(trrrg) were plated on lysogeny broth agar-supplemented with gentamycin and arabinose to induce CdrAB expression and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The following day, cells were scraped from the plates into PBS, vortexed to shear CdrA from the outer membrane, and centrifuged to remove cells. The supernatant was precipitated with polyethylene glycol 6,000 and centrifuged to remove contaminating proteins. The resulting soluble CdrA sample was dialyzed and purified by anion exchange chromatography. Eluted fractions were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for further details.

NBcdra disruption of CdrA-mediated adhesion

**Fig. 7.** Nanobody-assisted bacterial killing in preexisting, mature biofilms. (A) Biofilms were grown in a microfluidics flow setup either in the presence (“NBcdra pretreatment”) or absence (“No-nanobody” or “NBcdra treatment”) of NBcdra. After 10 h, NBcdra was added to the “NBcdra treatment” condition, and all samples were treated with PI (propidium iodide) and a sublethal dose of colistin (1 μg/mL) and imaged for a further ~10 h. Fluorescence microscopy images with TFP (blue) for live cells or PI staining (red) for dead cells are shown. (B) Quantification of fluorescence in the experiments described in A, following the addition of colistin. The ratio of PI to TFP fluorescence was calculated over three replicate experiments (error bars denote SD). Statistical significance was assessed using the Student’s t test for all time points (****P < 0.0001, for times after 6 to 7 h versus the no-nanobody control). (C) Quantification of fluorescence in the experiments performed on PAO1 strain of P. aeruginosa, following the addition of colistin. The ratio of PI to green fluorescent (GFP) fluorescence was calculated over three replicate experiments (error bars denote SD). Statistical significance was assessed using the Student’s t test for all time points (****P < 0.0001) for times after 10 h versus the no-nanobody control). Refer to SI Appendix, Fig. S6 for images.

**Fig. 8.** CdrA-mediated cell–cell junctions. Schematic depiction of the mechanism of biofilm inhibition by targeting CdrA revealed in this study. Refer also to Movie S5.
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