Transparent-cap-fitted colonoscopy shows higher performance with cecal intubation time in difficult cases
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Abstract

AIM: To investigate the efficacy of cap-fitted colonoscopy (CFC) with regard to cecal intubation time.

METHODS: Two hundred and ninety-five patients undergoing screening colonoscopy at Gospel Hospital, Kosin University College of Medicine were enrolled in this randomized controlled trial between January and December 2010. Colonoscopies were conducted by a single endoscopist. Patient characteristics including age, sex, body mass index, history of abdominal surgery, quality of preparation, and the presence of diverticulosis were recorded.

RESULTS: One hundred and fifty patients were allocated into a CFC group and 145 into a non-CFC (NCF) group. Cecal intubations were achieved in all patients. Cecal intubation time in the CFC group was significantly shorter than in the NCF group for specific conditions: age ≥ 60 years, prior abdominal surgery, and poor bowel preparation. The number of detected adenomas was higher in the CFC group than in the NCF group (P = 0.040).

CONCLUSION: CFC facilitated shortening of the cecal intubation time in difficult cases, and was more sensitive for detecting adenomas than was NCF.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is a major cause of cancer-related mortality and morbidity, and it is evident that this fatality rate has led to an increase in colonoscopy preventative treatment[1-3]. A multinational and multicenter survey performed in Asia has shown that the overall prevalence of advanced colorectal neoplasm in asymptomatic individuals is comparable with that in the West[4]. Removal of colonic adenomas by screening colonoscopy could reduce colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rate.

Colonoscopy, however, can be a complicated procedure and requires a skillful endoscopist[5-7]. The anatomical factors of difficult cecal intubation can usually be categorized into one of two problems: (1) an angulated and/or narrowed sigmoid colon; and (2) a redundant colon[8]. These anatomical difficulties are commonly observed in specific cases, such as female patients, older age, previous gynecologic surgery, and the presence of diver-
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bearing potential without adequate contraception. Patient bowel obstruction; pregnant or breast feeding; or child
tive inflammatory bowel disease, ileus and/or suspected farction, congestive heart failure, unstable angina, and cardiovascular diseases including recent myocardial infarction; evidence of acute or chronic renal failure; talization due to other diseases undergoing colonoscopy. Exclusion criteria were as follows: age < 18 years; hospitalization due to other diseases undergoing colonoscopy investigation; evidence of acute or chronic renal failure; cardiovascular diseases including recent myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, unstable angina, and cardiac arrhythmias; ascites; electrolyte imbalance; active inflammatory bowel disease, ileus and/or suspected bowel obstruction; pregnant or breast feeding; or child-bearing potential without adequate contraception. Patient medical history, demographic data, and body weight were recorded. For all patients, clinical hemodynamic, hematological, and biochemical measurements, including whole blood count, blood sugar, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, and serum electrolyte (sodium, potassium, chloride, phosphorus, ionized calcium and magnesium) levels were measured. After initial evaluations, patients who had no exclusion criteria were randomized to receive CFC or NCF by one physician who was blinded to the results of previous colonoscopies. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kosin University College of Medicine, Busan, South Korea.

CFC and NCF

After providing informed consent, patients in both groups were encouraged to adhere to a clear liquid diet from 06:00 h to midnight on the day before colonoscopy, and further oral intake was not allowed after midnight. All patients drank 4 L of polyethylene glycol electrolyte lavage solution, starting 7 h before colonoscopy at a rate of 250 mL every 15 min until all of the solution had been consumed, as recommended by the manufacturer (Olympus Optical Corp, Tokyo, Japan). Before colonoscopy, a physical examination and clinical hemodynamic measurements were repeated. The transparent plastic cap (D-14304; Olympus Optical Corp., Tokyo, Japan) used for CFC was 14 mm in outer diameter, 10 mm in length, and had a 1 mm wall thickness. This cap can be fitted and fixed to the tip of the colonoscope (CIF H260; Olympus Optical Corp.). To ensure consistency in the evaluations, all colonoscopies were performed by the same attending endoscopist using the standard technique of negotiating the colon with as little air insufflation as possible. The principal examination was carried out during withdrawal. Ileal intubation was attempted when it was relevant.

Variables

For evaluating the efficacy of CFC against NCF, the duration time of insertion up to the cecum was compared between the CFC and NCF groups. Additionally, the number of adenomas detected during colonoscopy was calculated. Factors presumed to influence cecal intubation time were sex, age, body mass index, and history of abdominal surgery; all of which were evaluated before colonoscopy. The quality of preparation was classified as follows: grade 0, percentage of visible mucosa > 90%, excellent visibility (small volume of clear liquid requiring minimal suctioning for adequate visualization), and no intestinal bubbles; grade 1, percentage of visible mucosa > 90%, good visibility (large volume of clear liquid or small amount of fecal residue, not preventing a reliable examination), and small number of intestinal bubbles; grade 2, percentage of visible mucosa > 90%, fair visibility (some semi-solid stool that could be suctioned or washed away, preventing a reliable examination), and moderate number of intestinal bubbles; and grade 3, percentage of visible mucosa < 90%, poor visibility (large amount of semi-solid stool that could not be suctioned or washed away, not allowing a complete examination to be done), and large number of intestinal bubbles. The number of adenomas was calculated during colonoscopy. Discomfort of each patient was recorded using a four-point scale (1: easy; 2: tolerable; 3: some pain; 4: severe pain).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, II., United States). For normally distributed continuous variables, Student’s t test was used to assess differences between the two groups. For categorical variables, Fisher’s exact test was used. Cox multivariate regression analysis was performed to produce statistically significant variables in the present study. Two-sided P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

Initially, 150 patients volunteered for each group. However, five patients in the conventional endoscopy group withdrew their consent after finishing all examinations; therefore, 150 patients for cap-assisted colonoscopy and 145 patients for conventional colonoscopy were enrolled. There were no significant differences in the backgrounds between the group with CFC and that with NCF (Table 1).

Cecal intubation was achieved in all cases regardless of method. The average time for insertion from anus to cecum was shorter in the CFC group than in the NCF group, but the difference was not statistically significant (262 ± 154 s vs 281 ± 138 s, P = 0.057). CFC showed greater adenoma detection than did NCF (2.0 ± 2.5 vs 1.2 ± 1.6; P = 0.040), especially for sessile adenomas (1.8 ± 1.9 vs 1.0 ± 0.9; P = 0.039). There was no significant difference between the two groups regarding patient discomfort scores during colonoscopy (2.3 ± 1.0 vs 2.3 ± 0.8, P = 0.741). These results are shown in Table 2.

Multivariate analyses revealed that cecal intubation time was significantly longer in patients aged > 60 years, with a history of abdominal surgery, and two or three points in quality of bowel preparation as described in Table 3.

Multivariate analyses revealed that CFC required a significantly shorter cecal intubation time than did NCF in specific patients, including older patients (244 ± 123 s vs 330 ± 213 s; P = 0.009), those with history of abdominal surgery (240 ± 106 s vs 351 ± 219 s; P = 0.012), and those with bowel preparation score 2 or 3 (224 ± 96 s vs 302 ± 176 s; P = 0.006), as shown in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

Colonoscopy is a common endoscopic procedure. It is widely used for the investigation of lower gastrointestinal tract disorders and screening for colorectal adenomas[23]. However, failure to reach the cecum occurs in up to 10% of cases[10,24]. A transparent cap was initially designed for mucosectomy and was later used during colonoscopy to enhance colonic polyp detection[23]. CFC is an effective rescue method for patients who fail to achieve cecal intubation[25]. This benefit is more apparent for inexperienced colonoscopists[26]. Moreover, it has been shown that such a device can shorten cecal intubation time among experienced colonoscopists[27]. However, the present study...
time in difficult cases, such as in older patients, and those with a history of abdominal surgery, and poor bowel preparation. In our study, a single experienced endoscopist performed all procedures; this might be the reason why there was no difference between the two groups in cecal intubation time.

A possible explanation for this difference is less air insufflation during CFC than with NCF. A recent study revealed that the limited use of low-air insufflation in the rectum and sigmoid colon shortened the cecal intubation time and decreased post-procedural abdominal bloating [28]. Low-air insufflation causes less bowel inflation and produces less angulations of the bowel, thus enhancing cecal intubation. The use of CFC requires extremely low air insufflations. Experts in CFC can advance a cap-fitted colonoscope by pushing and pulling using meticulous lever manipulation without air insufflation, especially in the rectum and sigmoid colon. Extremely low air insufflation can be achieved in CFC because the cap prevents the mucosa from touching the lens directly and enables continuous lumen observation (Figure 1) [21]. Another important CFC characteristic is that the lateral side can be observed through the transparent wall of the cap (Figure 2) [21]. In the hepatic and splenic flexures, observing the lateral side through the transparent wall of the cap can help endoscopists determine the next step of the colonoscopy. Another advantage of CFC in cecal intubation was that more adenomas were observed in the CFC group.

Figure 1 Advantage of cap-fitted colonoscopy for preventing red-out. A: Although the precise direction could not be judged, colonoscopy showed a slight fold (white arrows) without red-out; B: A subtle movement showed a dark area at the 11 o’clock position (white arrow); C: Following the dark area at the 11 o’clock position enabled the colonoscopist to find the direction of insertion (black arrows).

Figure 2 Advantage of cap-fitted colonoscopy for observing lateral side. A: The colonoscopist easily noticed the route of insertion because the transparent cap showed the small dark lumen at the 1 o’clock position through its lateral wall (black arrows); B: Following the route shown through the lateral wall of the transparent cap, a wide lumen was found easily.

showed that there was no significant difference between CFC and NCF in cecal intubation time, although the average cecal intubation time of CFC was shorter than that for NCF.

Although there was no significant difference in cecal intubation time between the two groups, CFC showed a shorter time than did NCF in several specific situations: age ≥ 60 years, history of abdominal surgery, and poor bowel preparation. In previous studies, predictive factors for incomplete colonoscopy were female sex, older age, previous gynecologic surgery, and the presence of diverticulosis [9-12]. In addition, female sex and older age are well known factors responsible for longer cecal intubation times [13-17]. Consistent with these previous results, CFC in the current study displayed a shorter cecal intubation time in difficult cases, such as in older patients, and those with a history of abdominal surgery, and poor bowel preparation. In our study, a single experienced endoscopist performed all procedures; this might be the reason why there was no difference between the two groups in cecal intubation time.

A possible explanation for this difference is less air insufflation during CFC than with NCF. A recent study revealed that the limited use of low-air insufflation in the rectum and sigmoid colon shortened the cecal intubation time and decreased post-procedural abdominal bloating [28]. Low-air insufflation causes less bowel inflation and produces less angulations of the bowel, thus enhancing cecal intubation. The use of CFC requires extremely low air insufflations. Experts in CFC can advance a cap-fitted colonoscope by pushing and pulling using meticulous lever manipulation without air insufflation, especially in the rectum and sigmoid colon. Extremely low air insufflation can be achieved in CFC because the cap prevents the mucosa from touching the lens directly and enables continuous lumen observation (Figure 1) [21]. Another important CFC characteristic is that the lateral side can be observed through the transparent wall of the cap (Figure 2) [21]. In the hepatic and splenic flexures, observing the lateral side through the transparent wall of the cap can help endoscopists determine the next step of the colonoscopy. Another advantage of CFC in cecal intubation was that more adenomas were observed in the CFC group.
A: Compressing the tip of a fold straightened the entire fold and improved the view; B: Bending the tip of the endoscope allowed a front view of the lesion at the blind side of the fold.

during withdrawal compared to those in the NCF group. Cap usage greatly facilitates the identification of small adenomas. During insertion and withdrawal of the cap-fitted colonoscope, the lumen of the colon can always be seen clearly because the mucosa never directly touches the lens. The opposite, blind side of the folds can easily be observed and treated with fewer problems in CFC because they can be straightened to improve the view (Figure 3), and the lateral side can be observed through the transparent wall of the cap. Fecal matter may stick to the inside of the cap in cases of poor bowel preparation, thereby impairing the view. Using the water insufflation button or simple flushing through the biopsy channel can lead to ineffective cap clearing. The cap, however, was easily cleaned in CFC by pressing the whole circumference of the cap against the mucosal surface and then flushing the biopsy channel. Moreover, CFC enhanced cecal intubation compared to that of NCF in cases of poor bowel preparation (scores of 2 and 3).

In conclusion, CFC has advantages in overcoming the problems associated with angulated and/or narrowed sigmoid and redundant colon, thereby resulting in significantly higher performance in cecal intubation time in difficult cases such as old age, prior abdominal operation, and poor bowel preparation. Furthermore, CFC displayed a higher sensitivity in detecting colonic adenomas than did NCF.
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Background
Colorectal cancer is a major cause of cancer-related mortality and morbidity, and it is evident that this fatality rate has led to an increase in colonoscopy preventive treatment. Colonoscopy, however, can be a complicated procedure and requires a skilful endoscopist. The anatomical factors of difficult cecal intubation can usually be categorized into one of two problems: (1) an angulated and/or narrowed sigmoid colon; and (2) a redundant colon. These anatomical difficulties are commonly observed in specific cases, such as female patients, older age, previous gynecological surgery, and the presence of diverticulosis. Published studies have suggested the use of a narrower instrument shaft or one with both a narrower shaft and a shorter bending section for use in angulated or narrowed sigmoid colons, and a stiffened shaft with simultaneous application of abdominal pressure for overcoming the problems associated with redundant colons.

Research frontiers
Several studies have evaluated the efficacy of a transparent cap-fitted colonoscopy (CFC) compared to that of non-CFC (NCF) and found that there was no difference in cecal intubation time between CFC and NCF. The one established advantage of CFC is that it is more sensitive to polyp detection than is NCF.

Innovations and breakthroughs
The transparent plastic cap is made by Olympus Optical Corp., Tokyo, Japan. It is 17 mm in outer diameter, with a 2 mm wall thickness and 10 mm in length, and can be fitted and fixed to the tip of the colonoscope. This can cause less air insufflation during CFC than with NCF. Low-air insufflation causes less bowel inflation and produces less angulations of the bowel, thus enhancing cecal intubation. The present study aimed to evaluate whether CFC could result in shorter cecal intubation time compared with NCF. Additionally, the study compared the detection rate of colonic adenomas.

Applications
CFC has advantages in overcoming the problems associated with angulated and/or narrowed sigmoid and redundant colon, thereby resulting in significantly higher performance in cecal intubation time in difficult cases, such as elderly patients, and those with prior abdominal operation, and poor bowel preparation. Furthermore, CFC displayed a higher sensitivity in detecting colonic adenomas than did NCF.

Terminology
Transparent CFC: a colonoscopic procedure with a transparent cap at the front view of the colonoscope.

Peer review
The present study showed that CFC had shorter cecal intubation time in difficult cases. This is an interesting and good study.
