Virus-induced gene silencing unravels multiple transcription factors involved in floral growth and development in Phalaenopsis orchids
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Abstract

Orchidaceae, one of the largest angiosperm families, has significant commercial value. Isolation of genes involved in orchid floral development and morphogenesis, scent production, and colouration will advance knowledge of orchid flower formation and facilitate breeding new varieties to increase the commercial value. With high-throughput virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS), this study identified five transcription factors involved in various aspects of flower morphogenesis in the orchid Phalaenopsis equestris. These genes are PeMADS1, PeMADS7, PeHB, PebHLH, and PeZIP. Silencing PeMADS1 and PebHLH resulted in reduced flower size together with a pelaloid column containing petal-like epidermal cells and alterations of epidermal cell arrangement in lip lateral lobes, respectively. Silencing PeMADS7, PeHB, and PeZIP alone resulted in abortion of the first three fully developed flower buds of an inflorescence, which indicates the roles of the genes in late flower development. Furthermore, double silencing PeMADS1 and PeMADS6, C- and B-class MADS-box genes, respectively, produced a combinatorial phenotype with two genes cloned in separate vectors. Both PeMADS1 and PeMADS6 are required to ensure the normal development of the lip and column as well as the cuticle formation on the floral epidermal cell surface. Thus, VIGS allows for unravelling the interaction between two classes of MADS transcription factors for dictating orchid floral morphogenesis.
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Introduction

The Orchidaceae, well known for fascinating flowers, is one of the largest and most diverse families of flowering plants. The family contains more than 25000 species that occupy wide ranges of ecological habitats and exhibit highly specialized morphological, structural, and physiological characteristics (Dressler, 2005). The orchid flowers of the species usually have two lateral petals with a third larger petal modified into a lip to attract and in some cases trap potential pollinators. The column contains the male stamens attached to the female pistil in the centre. Because of their unique flowers, orchid reproductive biology is of special interest in terms of flower colour, flower morphological features, size and number of flowers, and floral fragrance (Hsiao et al., 2011).

The orchid industry has become an international business, comprising approximately 8% of the world’s floriculture...
trade (Chugh et al., 2009). In particular, Phalaenopsis and Oncidium are the cash crops. The identification and analysis of orchid gene functions could help improve orchids in terms of flower shape, size, scent, and colouration. Such investigation helps understand orchid biology and enhances the breeding of new varieties to increase the commercial value. These traits need to be studied to have a better understanding of the genetic factors and how morphological traits are determined in the orchid flower. However, the challenges are the lack of information on the genetic background of various cultivars, large genome size, long life cycle, and the inefficient transformation system of orchids. The study of functional genomics of orchid genes is difficult and inefficient.

Viruses-induced gene silencing (VIGS) is a reverse genetics approach used for functional analysis of genes of plants, especially those with long life cycles and few genetic resources such as orchids. The technique has many advantages over other techniques used for functional analysis, such as cost-effectiveness with the use of expressed sequence tags (ESTs), good for orchid research because whole-genome sequences are not yet available. The analyses of floral bud ESTs in the OrchidBase (http://orchidbase.itps.ncku.edu.tw/est/home2012.aspx) have been initiated for Phalaenopsis aphrodite subsp. formosana, Phalaenopsis bellina, and Phalaenopsis equestris, which are usually used as parents for breeding (Hsiao et al., 2006; Tsai et al., 2006, 2013; Fu et al., 2011). A Cymbidium mosaic virus (CymMV)-based VIGS vector has been established (Lu et al., 2007) and was used to determine the effects of PeUGT3 on anthocyanin biosynthesis (Chen et al., 2011), PeMADS5 and PeMADS6 on floral morphogenesis (Hsieh et al., 2013), and PhaTF15 on disease resistance in Phalaenopsis (Lu et al., 2012).

Several functional studies of floral transcription factors (TFs) have revealed their critical roles in a number of developmental processes in orchid plants. The MADS-box gene family encodes highly conserved TFs. The floral MADS-box genes of Arabidopsis have been divided into several major classes (A, B, C, D, and E) by phylogenetic analysis (Becker and Theissen, 2003); the function of ABCDE genes is partially conserved between Arabidopsis and orchid (Xu et al., 2006; Tsai et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2010). From the ABCE model, in Phalaenopsis, PeMADS1 is a C-class AGAMOUS-like MADS-box gene involved in the development of reproductive organs (Song et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2012), and PeMADS6 is a B-class GLOBOSA/PISTILLATA-like MADS-box gene ubiquitously expressed in the sepal, petal, lip, and column (Tsai et al., 2005). Silencing PeMADS6 confers leaf-like characteristics with greenish and discoloured areas in sepal, petals, and lip (Lu et al., 2007; Hsieh et al., 2013). PeMADS7 is a D-class gene that plays important roles in column and ovule development in Phalaenopsis (Chen et al., 2012). Except for MADS-box genes, little is known about the functions of floral TFs in orchids as compared with those involved in floral development in Arabidopsis.

To understand individual TFs that affect orchid floral morphogenesis and development, this study used high-throughput VIGS to silence the expression of 126 floral ESTs that encode TFs and examined their functions during floral morphogenesis in Phalaenopsis. The high-throughput VIGS process involves cloning libraries of short gene fragments, such as ESTs, directly into a VIGS vector, inoculating plants, and then identifying phenotypes of interest (Bernacki et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2012). Moreover, this work studied the cooperative action of different classes of MADS-box TFs by co-silencing with separate VIGS vectors. This process helped to unravel the functions of TFs involved in orchid floral morphogenesis.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant materials

The Phalaenopsis plants purchased from Oxen Biotechnology (Taiwan, Taiwan) were Doritaenopsis I-Hsin Sunrise Cinderella ‘OX1357’, Dtps. OX Red Shoe ‘OX1407’, and Phalaenopsis Sogo Yukidian ‘V3’. All plants were kept in a greenhouse with a controlled temperature of 27/22 °C (day/night). Plants were determined to be virus-free by reverse-transcription (RT)-PCR with two primer pairs, CymMV-CPF/CymMV-CPR and ORSV-CPF/ORSV-CPR (Supplementary Table S1, available at JXB online), for detecting the two prevalent orchid viruses, CymMV and ORSV, respectively, before VIGS experiments.

#### Construction of pCymMV-Gateway plasmids

The putative TFs of 152 ESTs were identified from 5593 orchid floral ESTs in the Arabidopsis thaliana TF database at the Arabidopsis Gene Regulatory Information Server (AGRIS) (http://arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu). Each EST was then cloned into pBluescript SK+ plasmid. Clones underwent PCR amplification with the primers for AttB1 (5′-GGGGACCAAGTTTGTACACAAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTC-3′) and AttB2 (5′-GGGACCACCTTTGCTACAGAAGAAGCTGCTCGTTC-3′) and confirmed by PCR with the primer pairs for AttB1 (5′-GGGGACCAAGTTTGTACACAAAAAAGCAGGCTGCTC-3′) and AttB2 (5′-GGGACCACCTTTGCTACAGAAGAAGCTGCTCGTTC-3′). The length of EST sequences ranged from 0.5 to 3.3 kb (Supplementary Fig. S1). The amplified PCR products were purified with use of polyethylene glycol/MgCl2 to remove primers. Purified PCR products were recombined into the pCymMV-Gateway vector by use of BP recombinase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). As a result, only 126 ESTs were successfully cloned into the pCymMV-Gateway vector. After recombination into the pCymMV-Gateway vector, each construct was confirmed by PCR with the primer pairs for AttB1 and CymMV-5351 (5′-CTTCTGTACCTACATACCAT-3′).

#### Agro-infiltration of plants

Agrobacterium tumefaciens containing the pCymMV-Gateway-EST was cultured overnight at 28 °C. After centrifugation, bacterial cell pellets were resuspended by adding 300 μl Murishige and Skoog medium containing 100 μM acetosyringone to OD600 = 1 and allowed to stand at room temperature for 0.5h without shaking before agro-infiltration. Then plants were infected following the standard protocol established previously (Hsieh et al., 2013). The suspension was injected into the leaf right above the inflorescence emerging site by use of a 1-ml syringe with a needle. Plants with inflorescences containing eight nodes with one visible floral bud were inoculated (Supplementary Fig. S2D).

This work silenced 126 TF ESTs and one control, PeMADS6 (GenBank AY678299.1). For quantification of agro-infiltration to determine efficacy, the positive control plants were infiltrated with a pCymMV-Gateway vector inserted with a fragment of PeMADS6 cDNA, which showed morphological changes with greenish and discoloured areas in the sepal, petal and lip (Hsieh et al., 2013).
Mock-treated plants were injected with an empty pCymMV-Gateway vector as a negative control to confirm that floral morphogenesis was not due to the viral infection. Each experiment involved five plants, and the silencing experiments for TFs with distinctive phenotypes on silencing were repeated at least twice in different cultivars. The TFs included PeMADS1 (GenBank: AF234617.1), PeMADS7 (GenBank: JN983500.1), PebHLLH (OrchidBase 2.0 ld|EFCTPF-001B04-02B1), PebZIP (OrchidBase 2.0 ld|Unique59946_Pe_jb), and PeHB (OrchidBase 2.0 ld|AECP-22H12 AECP-22H12) (Fu et al., 2011; Tsai et al., 2013). The total number of inoculated plants and replicates from each treatment group are in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR
RNA was extracted from dissected floral organs and floral buds (8–12 mm) by use of the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with RNase-free DNase (Invitrogen) to remove residual DNA. RNA was used as a template for cDNA synthesis with reverse transcriptase and the SuperScript II kit (Invitrogen). For quantitative PCR, the cDNA template was mixed with 2X SYBR Green PCR master mix in an ABI Prism 7000 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). The primers used for quantification are given in Supplementary Table S1. Each pair of primers for the TFs PeMADS1, PeMADS6, and PebHLLH was developed from specific regions of their nucleotide sequences for real-time RT-PCR. For gene quantification, the first blooming flower was collected from three silenced plants that showed VIGS phenotypes. For the real-time RT-PCR reaction, each sample was analysed in triplicate. Reactions involved incubation at 50 °C for 2 min, then 95 °C for 10 min, and thermal cycling for 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. After amplification, melting curve analysis was used to verify amplicon specificity and primer dimer formation. Every amplicon in this study yielded similar single peak melting curves, so only the specific products were amplified. Relative quantification followed the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems). For controlling the integrity of RNA and normalizing target RNA copy numbers in mock-treated and gene-silenced flowers, the housekeeping gene PeActin (PACT, AY134752) was amplified by real-time RT-PCR to generate a standard curve of Actin mRNA levels (Chen et al., 2005).

RT-PCR
RNA samples were treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega) to remove remnant DNA. Synthesizing the first-strand cDNA involved use of the Superscript II kit (Invitrogen). Gene-specific primer pairs were designed for PeMADS1, PeMADS6, PeMADS7, PebHLLH, PebZIP, and PeHB. The PeActin gene of Phalaenopsis was used as an internal control (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR protocol was initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, then 30 cycles of amplification at 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 3 min. The amplified products were separated on 1% agarose gel, visualized and photographed.

Scanning electron microscopy
For traditional scanning electron microscopy (SEM), samples were fixed in formalin/acetic acid/alcohol. After being dehydrated in an alcohol/acetone series, samples were critical-point dried, sputter-coated with platinum, and observed under a scanning electron microscope (S-4200, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. Photographs were taken with use of a Verichrome pan film (Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA).

For cryo-SEM, samples were dissected and loaded on stubs, frozen with liquid nitrogen flush, then transferred to a sample preparation chamber at −160 °C. After 5 min, when the temperature rose to −130 °C, the samples were fractured. They were etched for 10 min at −85 °C. After being coated at −130 °C, samples were transferred to the SEM chamber and observed at −160 °C with cryo-SEM (Quanta 200 SEM/Quorum Cryo System PP2000TR, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) at 20 kV (Ku et al., 2008).

Characterization of epidermal cell types
The types of superimposed micro-structuring on cell surfaces can be classified into wax film, epicuticular wax crystals, and cuticular folds (Prüm et al., 2012). The shape of the epidermis can be divided into seven types depending on the outline of the epidermis cells and their ratio of width to height. The aspect ratio (β = width/height) of cell types and their terminology is as follows: convex (β > 3/1), hemispherical (β ≧ 2/1), cupola (β ≧ 3/2), conical (β > 3/2), papilla (β < 3/2 and > 1/2), hair papilla (β < 1/3 and > 1/6), and hair (β < 1/7) (Koch et al., 2008).

Measurement of floral organ morphological changes in PeMADS1-, PeMADS6-, and double-silenced flowers
To quantify the phenotypic changes of PeMADS1-, PeMADS6-, and double-silenced plants in various floral organs of the sequence of flowers in an inflorescence, this work tested five plants of two different cultivars (Dips. I-Hsin Sunrise Cinderella ‘OX 1357’ and Dips. OX Red Shoe ‘OX1407’). The proportion of greenish and discoloured areas in floral organs was calculated by use of ImageJ (http://imagej.en.softonic.com/).

The morphological changes of sepalis were graded by estimating the proportion of greenish area on the abaxial surface (Supplementary Fig. S3A1), and of petals by estimating the proportion of greenish and discoloured areas on the adaxial surface (Supplementary Fig. S3B1). Lip morphological changes were characterized as three different types and were graded by calculating: (1) the proportion of greenish areas on the abaxial surface of the midlobe and lateral lobes (Supplementary Fig. S3C1); (2) the proportion of flowers that did not develop lateral lobes but contained cirruss (Supplementary Fig. S3C2); and (3) the proportion of flowers that did not develop cirruss and lateral lobes (Supplementary Fig. S3C3). Column morphological changes were characterized as three types and were graded by calculating: (1) the percentage change in length of the column (Supplementary Fig. S3D1); (2) the proportion of flowers showing an abnormal stigmatic cavity (Supplementary Fig. S3D2); and (3) the proportion of flowers showing an abnormal stigmatic cavity and an extra petal-like organ (Supplementary Fig. S3D3).

Results
High-throughput silencing of 126 Phalaenopsis ESTs
To identify genes involved in orchid flower morphogenesis, 5593 ESTs had been previously obtained from P. equestris flower buds: 152 were predicted as putative TF-related genes (Tsai et al., 2006). This work investigated by high-throughput VIGS whether these TFs play important roles in flower morphogenesis.

Nucleotide sequences from 500 to 3300 bp for the 152 floral TF ESTs were cloned into the CymMV-Gateway vector. The cloning efficiency for inserts 1500 bp was 100% and decreased with inserts >1500 bp; the cloning efficiency was 0 for inserts >3000 bp (Supplementary Fig. S1). Of the 152 TF ESTs studied, 126 were classified into 9 TF families and accounted for 56% of the TF ESTs. The silencing of PeMADS1, PeMADS6, PebHLLH, PeHB, and PebZIP affected flower morphological features and thus flower development (Figs. 1–3 and Supplementary Fig. S4).
Silencing PeMADS7, PeHB, and PebZIP resulted in floral abortion

Silencing PeMADS7, PeHB, or PebZIP caused abortion of the first three floral buds from the bottom of the raceme inflorescence within 2 weeks after agro-infiltration. These aborted flower buds had fully formed sepals, petals, lips, and columns, and their morphological features were unaffected (data not shown). When their expression was restored as the effect of VIGS gradually decreased, the 4th and subsequent floral buds could bloom normally (Supplementary Table S2). The expression of these three genes was detected in the various stages
VIGS of mixed infiltration in *Phalaenopsis* flower

Therefore, knockdown of *PeMADS7*, *PeHB*, or *PebZIP* expression allowed the flower to develop normally initially but affected further flower development and growth.

This work performed a BLAST search of the nucleotide sequences of *PeMADS7*, *PeHB*, and *PebZIP* in OrchidBase 2.0 and found no sequences with high similarity to *PeMADS7* or *PebZIP*. Four nucleotide sequences showed high similarity to *PeHB*, with three having more than 21 nt that were 100% homologous to each other (Supplementary Fig. S5). Therefore, the VIGS effects could have resulted from the silencing of *PeHB* and three other *HB* family genes rather than gene-specific effects.

![Fig. 2. Silencing of *PebHLH* and *PeMADS1* affects cell size and number in the floral organ. (A–F) SEM of the sepal and petal adaxial surface cells at the flower-blooming stage in mock-treated (A, B), *PebHLH*-silenced (C, D), and *PeMADS1*-silenced flowers (E, F). (G–J) Cell size and cell number in the distal adaxial surface of sepals (G, I) and petals (H, J) in mock-treated (M), *PebHLH*-silenced (1), and *PeMADS1*-silenced flowers (2); data are mean ± SD (n = 6); the same letters above the bars indicate that there is no statistical difference by Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05). Bar, 30 μm. Materials were *Dtps. I-Hsin Sunrise Cinderella ‘OX 1357’*.](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/64/12/3869/578741)
Fig. 3. VIGS phenotypes of PeMADS1-silenced flowers. (A) Front view of mock-treated (left) and PeMADS1-silenced (right) flowers; solid black arrow indicates a longer and wider column. (B) Front and back view of columns in mock-treated (left) and PeMADS1-silenced flowers (right); solid white arrows indicate the anther cap (ac), rostellum (r), and stigmatic cavity (sc); white arrowheads indicate that the opposite site of stigmatic cavity in the column became longer and curved; black arrowheads indicate that the rostellum became longer. (C) Diameter of flowers in mock-treated (M) and PeMADS1-silenced plants (S); a total of six flowers (the 7th flower at blooming stage) from six plants were examined for each treatment; data are mean ± SD (n = 6); significance was accepted (one-tailed t-test) if $P < 0.01$ (*). (D) Relative mRNA level of PeMADS1 in M and S flowers; mRNA was extracted from the 7th floral bud on 35 d post inoculation; data are mean ± SD (n = 6); significance was accepted (one-tailed t-test) if $P < 0.01$ (*). (E) The 5th to 8th blooming flowers in a PeMADS1-silenced inflorescence and (F) columns of the 5th to 8th flowers; solid black arrows indicate that the column became longer and wider. Bars, 1 cm.
VIGS of PeMADS6 affected regulation of floral size and cell arrangement

Flower size was significantly reduced in PeMADS6-silenced plants (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Fig. S4A). In addition, the lateral lobes of the lip bowed outward and their angle to the column was larger than in mock-treated plants (Fig. 1A and B). Adaxial epidermal cells of lip lateral lobes in PeMADS6-silenced plants lost their organized arrangement (Fig. 1F and H), but they retained their convex shape (Fig. 1E and G) and accumulated epicuticular wax crystals in the anticline field of cells (Fig. 1F and H). The diameter was smaller, by 17.9%, for PeMADS6-silenced than mock-treated flowers (Fig. 1A and C). The relative mRNA level of PeMADS6 in the floral organs was significantly reduced, by 62.3 ± 4.7% (Fig. 1D).

To analyse whether the reduced floral organ size in the PeMADS6-silenced flowers was due to a defect in cell expansion, this work examined cell size and cell number per 0.1 μm² surface area of the adaxial epidermis of sepal and petals by SEM. The epidermal cell shape in PeMADS6-silenced flowers remained papilla shaped, as for mock-treated flowers (Fig. 2A–D). In addition, the adaxial epidermal cell size and cell number per 0.1 μm² surface area was similar for PeMADS6-silenced and mock-treated sepal and petals (Fig. 2G–J). Thus, silencing PeMADS6 did not affect cell morphological features, cell size, or cell number per unit area. The reduced flower size could be due to premature termination of proliferation or reduction of final cell number in sepal and petals.

The phylogeny of PeMADS1 was determined with all existing 158 (26 subfamilies) and 173 basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) (27 subfamilies) gene sequences from Arabidopsis and rice, respectively (Pires and Dolan, 2010). PeMADS6 was in the same clade as AtbHLHI49 set apart from the 27 subfamilies, and thus could be classified as an ‘orphan’ (Supplementary Fig. S6). PeMADS6 was expressed in roots, leaves, and all developmental stages of floral organs in Phalaenopsis (Supplementary Fig. S2C and F).

VIGS of PeMADS1 resulted in a homeotic conversion in column and reduced cell size

PeMADS1 expression was detected in the floral meristem and all developmental stages of floral buds (Supplementary Fig. S2C). In PeMADS1-silenced flowers, the 6th to 8th flowers in a raceme inflorescence had an enlarged and wide column, with the most severe phenotypic changes on the 7th flower (Fig. 3A, B and E, F). The diameters of flowers were reduced by 11.8% in PeMADS1-silenced plants (Fig. 3A and C), and the mRNA level of PeMADS1 was significantly decreased by 72.4% in silenced plants (Fig. 3D).

On SEM, adaxial epidermal cells in the sepals and petals in PeMADS1-silenced flowers were 17% and 30% smaller, respectively, than those of mock-treated flowers (Fig. 2G and I). The reduced cell size was accompanied by increased cell number in the same surface area in PeMADS1-silenced flowers (Fig. 2H and J). Therefore, small floral organ size in PeMADS1-silenced plants was primarily caused by reduced cell expansion.

The epidermal cell shape of sepals and petals was the same in PeMADS1-silenced and mock-treated flowers (Fig. 2E and F). However, the rostellum of the column was longer in PeMADS1-silenced than mock-treated flowers. The anther cap, which covers the end of the column, was located on the same side as the stigmatic cavity in PeMADS1-silenced flowers (Fig. 3B).

In addition, the epidermal cells of PeMADS1-silenced columns (Fig. 4N) were changed and similar to that of the adaxial surface of mock-treated petals (Fig. 4C). Epidermal cells of mock-treated columns showed cuticular folds in the central field (Fig. 4G). In contrast, PeMADS1-silenced columns showed a protuberance on the top of cells and no cuticular folds in the central field (Fig. 4N). Thus, the column of PeMADS1-silenced flowers exhibited a partial homeotic transformation to petal-like.

Co-silencing B- and C-class MADS-box genes caused a petal-like lip and column

To investigate the additive effect of B- and C-class MADS-box gene expression on orchid floral morphogenesis, PeMADS1 and PeMADS6 fragments constructed in separate vectors were co-infiltrated. These two genes were expressed in various stages of visible floral buds (Supplementary Fig. S2C). Previously, silencing PeMADS6 alone caused leaf-like sepal and a petal-like lip (Hsieh et al., 2013). In this study, silencing PeMADS1 alone caused a petal-like column. For double silencing, Phalaenopsis plants were inoculated with a mixture of Agrobacterium cultures containing pCAMBIA-CymMV-PeMADS1 and -PeMADS6 at a 1:1 ratio. In the positive control, the greenish and discoloured phenotype appeared in the sepal, petals, and lip of the first eight blooming flowers of PeMADS6-silenced plants, with the first three not able to bloom normally (Supplementary Fig. S7E). In contrast, with double-silencing PeMADS1 and PeMADS6, a similar effect was detected only from the 1st to the 6th blooming flowers; the phenotypic changes were most severe in the first flower and weakened with each consecutive flower (Supplementary Fig. S7F). In the first blooming flower, the margins of sepal and petals were curvy and discoloured (Fig. 5E–H), and the lip was greenish and discoloured (Fig. 5G) as compared with mock-treated flowers (Fig. 5A–D).

The combined silencing phenotype of lip and columns was detected in the 7th blooming flowers in the same inflorescence approximately 58 d after agro-infiltration (Fig. 5I–L). The lip lacked cirrus and the lateral lobes fused with the mid-lobe into a petal-like lip (Fig. 5K). The column did not develop a circle-shaped stigmatic cavity, and in some cases, extra anther cap-like and rostellum-like structures appeared at the end of the column (Fig. 5L). Therefore, both PeMADS1 and PeMADS6 play important roles to ensure the normal development of lip and column. Similar phenomena were observed with the double silencing of PeMADS1 and PeMADS6 in three different cultivars, Dtps. I-Hsin Sunset Cinderella ‘OX1357’, Dtps. OX Red Shoe ‘OX1407’, and P. Sogo Yukidian ‘V3’ (Supplementary Fig. S7 and S8 and Supplementary Table S3).
Epidermal cellular changes with co-silencing B- and C-class MADS-box genes

In mock-treated flowers, the sepal adaxial epidermal cells had a polygonal and papilla shape with a protuberance on the top of cells (Figs. 4A and 6A), and the abaxial ones had an irregular and convex shape (Figs. 4B and 6B). The shapes of adaxial and abaxial epidermal cells of the petal were similar to those of the sepal (Figs. 4C, D and 6C, D). The lip adaxial and abaxial epidermal cells contained irregular cuticular folds in the central fields and parallel cuticular folds in the anticline fields (Figs. 4E, F and 6E, F). However, the lip adaxial epidermal cells had a polygonal and convex shape (Figs. 4F and 6F). The column epidermal cells had a polygonal and conical shape with irregular cuticular folds in the central fields and parallel cuticular folds in the anticline fields (Figs. 4G and 6G).

PeMADS1-silenced flowers showed significant phenotypic changes in the lip and column epidermal cells (Figs. 4L–N and 6L–N). In the adaxial epidermal cells of the lip, the parallel epicuticular folds were replaced with irregular ones in the anticline fields (Figs. 4L and 6L). The irregular epicuticular folds in the abaxial epidermal cells of the lip were replaced with parallel ones in the central fields (Figs. 4M and 6M). A protuberance appeared on the top of column epidermal cells (Figs. 4N and 6N).

PeMADS6-silenced flowers showed significant phenotypic changes in the sepal, petal and lip epidermal cells (Figs. 4O–U and 6O–U). The adaxial and abaxial epidermal cells of sepal in greenish areas (Supplementary Fig. S7E) were convex shaped, with epicuticular wax crystals (Figs. 4O, P and 6O, P). The adaxial epidermal cells of petals in greenish areas had papilla and hair papilla shapes (Figs. 4Q and 6Q) and the surface of epidermis was not flat (Supplementary Fig. S9K). The adaxial and abaxial epidermal cells of the lip in greenish areas lost the cuticular folds covering the cells (Figs. 4S, T and 6S, T). In addition, the adaxial cells had a conical shape (Fig. 4S and 6S) and an irregular arrangement in epidermis (Supplementary Fig. S9S).

The first blooming flowers of double-silenced plants showed significant phenotypic changes in the sepal (Supplementary Fig. S10Q, R and X, Y) and lip (Supplementary Fig. S10U, V and AB, AC). The adaxial and abaxial epidermal cells of sepal in greenish areas were all irregular and convex shaped, with cuticular wax crystals (Supplementary Fig. S10Q, R and X, Y), which was similar to those of leaves (Supplementary Fig. S10H and I). The adaxial epidermal cells of the lips with greenish areas were irregular and cupula shaped, with a protuberance on the top of cells (Supplementary Fig. S10U and AB).

Petal-like lip and column epidermal cells in the 7th blooming flowers of double-silenced plants showed significant phenotypic changes (Figs. 4Z–AB and 6Z–AB). The adaxial epidermal cells of the petal-like lip had an irregular and hemispherical shape with a protuberance on the top of cells and thin, dense cuticular folds (Figs. 4Z and 6Z), while the abaxial ones lost irregular cuticular folds in the central fields (Figs. 4AA and 6AA). The column epidermal cells had an elongated polygonal form (Fig. 4AB) and different shapes including convex, hemispherical, cupula, conical, and papilla (Fig. 6AB and S9AB).

Thus, PeMADS6-silenced plants showed a homeotic transformation in the epidermal cells in the greenish areas of the lip. The epidermal cells of PeMADS1-silenced lips showed slight changes in structure. Double-silenced plants went through a homeotic transformation from a lip to a petal-like lip in greenish areas. However, their epidermal cells showed only slight changes in shape and structure.

Double-silenced flowers showed more significant changes in the column and lip than single-silenced flowers

The severity of floral organ morphological changes was quantified for sequences of flowers from PeMADS1-, PeMADS6-, and double-silenced plants (Supplementary Fig. S3A–D). Greenish areas of the sepal, petal and lip appeared only in PeMADS6- and double-silenced plants but not PeMADS1-silenced plants (Fig. 7A–C). Approximately eight flowers of each inflorescence showed the VIGS phenotype in PeMADS6- and double-silenced plants, beginning with the bottom of the raceme (Fig. 7A–E and G–H). However, three PeMADS1-silenced flowers showed morphological changes in the column from the 6th to the 8th flowers (Fig. 7F). The most severe morphological change occurred in the 7th flower, followed by the 8th and then 6th flower (Fig. 7F). The VIGS phenotype lasted longer for PeMADS6- than PeMADS1-silenced plants (Fig. 7A–C and F), so the period of floral development affected by loss of function lasted longer for PeMADS6- than PeMADS1-silenced plants.

In PeMADS6-silenced plants, the greenish areas in the sepal were gradually reduced from 73.9% in the 1st flower to zero in the 9th flower (Fig. 7A), while the greenish and discoloured areas in the petal and lip were reduced from 32.3% and 25.8% to zero, respectively (Fig. 7B, C). In double-silenced plants, the greenish areas of the sepal were gradually reduced from 7.4% in the 1st flower to zero in the 9th flower (Fig. 7A), while the greenish and discoloured areas in the petal and lip were reduced from 10.7% and 13.1% to zero, respectively (Fig. 7B, C). Thus, VIGS phenotypic changes were less in flowers of double-silenced than PeMADS6-silenced plants.

In addition, in 30% of the double-silenced plants, the 7th flower did not develop lateral lobes (Fig. 7D), 20% did not develop cirrus and lateral lobes on the lip (Fig. 7E), 30% developed an abnormal stigmatic cavity (Fig. 7G), and 20% developed an abnormal stigmatic cavity as well as an extra petal-like organ on the column (Fig. 7H). Thus, both genes were silenced simultaneously within both the 7th and 8th blooming flowers.

The silencing effect of PeMADS6 was assessed by comparing the mRNA levels of odd-numbered mock-treated and PeMADS1-, PeMADS6-, and double-silenced flowers (Fig. 8A–D). The PeMADS6 mRNA levels were lower in PeMADS6- and double-silenced sepalas, petals, lips, and columns from the 1st to the 5th blooming flowers than in mock-treated and PeMADS1-silenced parts (Fig. 8A–D). Interestingly, the PeMADS6 mRNA levels were sharply reduced to 4.7% and 13.9% in the 7th blooming flower of
Fig. 4. Cyro-SEM micrographs of top views of epidermal cells of floral organs of the 7th blooming flowers of mock-treated (A–G), PeMADS1-silenced (H–N), PeMADS6-silenced (O–U), and double-silenced floral organs (V–AB). (A, H, O, V) Sepal adaxial epidermal cells had polygonal, polygonal, irregular, and polygonal forms. (B, I, P, W) Sepal abaxial epidermal cells all had irregular forms. (C, J, Q, X) Petal adaxial epidermal cells had polygonal, polygonal, irregular, and polygonal forms. (D, K, R, Y) Petal abaxial epidermal cells all had irregular forms. (E, L, S, Z) Lip adaxial epidermal cells had polygonal, polygonal, irregular, and irregular forms. (F, M, T, AA) Lip abaxial epidermal cells had elongated polygonal, elongated polygonal, irregular, and irregular forms. (G, N, U, AB) Column epidermal cells had polygonal, polygonal, polygonal, and elongated polygonal forms. Solid white arrows indicate a protuberance on the top of the cell; solid black arrow indicates epicuticular wax crystals; white arrowheads indicate irregular cuticular folds in the central field; black arrowheads indicate parallel cuticular folds in the central field; dashed white arrows indicate irregular cuticular folds in the anticline field; dashed black arrows indicate parallel cuticular folds in the central field. Bars, 25 μm. Materials were Dtps. OX Red Shoe ‘OX1407’.
double-silenced lip and column, respectively. As expected for very little phenotypic changes, the PeMADS6 mRNA levels increased again and reached just over 60% in the 9th blooming flower (Fig. 8C, D).

Real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that the levels of PeMADS1 expression in the sepal, petal, and lip were generally at least 2000–5000-fold lower than found in the column in mock treated flowers (data not shown). The PeMADS1 mRNA levels were significantly lower in PeMADS1-, PeMADS6-, and double-silenced columns from the 1st to the 5th blooming flowers in mock-treated columns (Fig. 9D). Interestingly, the PeMADS1 mRNA levels of PeMADS1-silenced and double-silenced flowers were further reduced to 17.7% and 6.2% in the 7th blooming flower (Fig. 9D). These results suggest that the PeMADS1 mRNA levels were mainly affected in the 7th blooming flowers of double-silenced plants, but the PeMADS6 mRNA levels were all affected from the 1st to the 7th blooming flowers.

Discussion

Among angiosperms, orchids are unique in their floral patterning, particularly in the lip and column structures. To uncover the role of specific TFs in floral development, this work used high-throughput VIGS with the CymMV-Gateway vector and shows the genetic interactions between B- and C-class MADS-box genes, namely PeMADS6 and PeMADS1, in determining proper patterning of floral organs by transiently downregulating both genes in a non-model plant, the orchid.

In high-throughput VIGS for floral genomics, PeMADS1, a C-class AGAMOUS-like MADS-box gene (Tsai et al., 2008), was identified as being involved in the normal development of the column and affecting floral size. Previous studies showed that loss of function of C-class MADS-box genes caused homeotic transformations of reproductive organs into perianth organs in petunia (Kapoor et al., 2002) and tomato (Pnueli et al., 1994) and reduced floral size in Antirrhinum (Bradley et al., 1993), Arabidopsis (Yanofsky et al., 1990), wheat (Aida et al., 2003), and Chinese narcissus (Deng et al., 2011). In Phalaenopsis, PeMADS1 may also regulate floral organ size primarily by affecting cell size.

In PeMADS1/PeMADS6-silenced plants, this study present a schema of period of development that is most sensitive to loss of function with agro-infiltration in an inflorescence containing eight internodes and one visible floral bud (Supplementary Fig.
Fig. 6. Cyro-SEM micrograph of side views of epidermal cells of floral organs of the 7th blooming flowers of mock-treated (A–G), PeMADS1-silenced (H–N), PeMADS6-silenced (O–U), and double-silenced floral organs (V–AB). (A, H, O, V) Sepal adaxial epidermal cells had papilla, papilla, convex, and papilla shapes. (B, I, P, W) Sepal abaxial epidermal cells all had convex shape; St., stomata. (C, J, Q, X) Petal adaxial epidermal cells had papilla, papilla, papilla/hair papilla, and conical/papilla shapes. (D, K, R, Y) Petal abaxial epidermal cells all had convex shapes. (E, L, S, Z) Lip adaxial epidermal cells had convex, convex, conical, and hemispherical shapes. (F, M, T, AA) Lip abaxial epidermal cells had convex, convex, hemispherical, and convex shapes. (G, N, U, AB) Column epidermal cells had conical, conical, conical, and different shapes (including convex, hemispherical cupola, conical, and papilla). Solid white arrows indicate a protuberance on the top of the cell; solid black arrow indicates epicuticular wax crystals; white arrowheads indicate irregular cuticular folds in the central field; black arrowheads indicate parallel cuticular folds in the central field; dashed white arrows indicate irregular cuticular folds in the anticline field; dashed black arrows indicate parallel cuticular folds in the central field. Bars, 25 μm. Materials were Dtps. OX Red Shoe “OX1407”.

|       | Mock       | Pe1-silenced | Pe6-silenced | Double-silenced |
|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| **Seopal** |            |              |              |                 |
| Adxial | Papilla    | Papilla      | Convex       |                 |
| Abxial | Convex     | Convex       | Convex       |                 |
| **Petal** |            |              |              |                 |
| Adxial | Papilla    | Papilla      | Papilla/hair papilla | Conical/papilla |
| Abxial | Convex     | Convex       | Convex       |                 |
| **Lip**  |            |              |              |                 |
| Adxial | Convex     | Convex       | Conical      | Hemispherical   |
| Abxial | Convex     | Convex       | Conical      | Convex          |
| **Column** |          |              |              |                 |
| Adxial | Conical    | Conical      | Conical      |                |
In observing the anatomy of a Phalaenopsis inflorescence tip, the development of the inflorescence containing one visible floral bud can be divided into four major stages: stage 1, the formation of floral primordia; stage 2, the formation of early floral organ primordia with sepals, petals, lip, and column; stage 3, the formation of late floral organ primordia with pollinia and callus developing on the column and the lip, respectively; and stage 4, the development and enlargement of floral buds (Pan et al., 2011). The floral buds in an inflorescence could be sensitive to loss of function from stage 2 to 4 with PeMADS6 silencing and sensitive to loss of function from stage 2 to 3 with PeMADS1 silencing (Supplementary Fig. S11).

Fig. 7. Severity of floral organ morphological changes in ordered blooming flowers in PeMADS6- (dotted bars), PeMADS1- (slash bars), and double-silenced plants (black bars). (A) Sepal morphological changes graded by estimating the percentage of greenish area on the abaxial surface. (B) Petal morphological changes graded by estimating the percentage of greenish and discoloured areas on the adaxial surface. (C–E) Lip morphological changes graded by estimating the percentage of greenish and discoloured areas on the abaxial surface of the midlobe and lateral lobes (C, G&D, greenish and discoloured), calculating the percentage of flowers that did not develop lateral lobes (D, NL, no lateral lobes), and the percentage of flowers that did not develop cirrus as well as the lateral lobes (E, NL&NC, no lateral lobes and no cirrus). (F–H) Column morphological changes were graded by calculating the percentage change in the length of the column (F, EL, elongated length of column; the formula is (length of VIGS column – length of mock column)/length of mock column) x100, calculating the percentage of flowers that developed an abnormal stigmatic cavity (G, ASC), and the percentage of flowers that developed an abnormal stigmatic cavity as well as an extra petal-like organ (H, ASC&EPL). Significance was accepted (one-tailed t-test) if $P < 0.01 (**)$.
The flowers of double mutants of B- and C-class genes, such as pi ag and ap3 ag, consist entirely of sepal-like organs, and their flowers are indeterminate with flowers within flowers in Arabidopsis (Bowman et al., 1989). Similarly, in rice flowers, both B- and C-class gene mutants (spw1-1 osmads3-4 and spw1-1 osmads58) show carpelloid structures and glume-like organs but appear to have morphological features similar to that of the marginal region of the wild-type palea (Yun et al., 2013). The current work observed extra petal-like organs at the position of column and petal-like epidermal cells in the lip in PeMADS6 and PeMADS1 double-silenced flowers. In addition, the lip was transformed into a petal-like organ. Although the flowers showed partial homeotic conversions of floral organs into petals, they were unlike the bc mutants of Arabidopsis (ap3 ag and the pi ag) or rice (spw1-1 osmads3-4 and spw1-1 osmads58), that have indeterminate flowers composed only of sepal-like organs or carpelloid flowers, respectively (Bowman et al., 1991; Coen and Meyerowitz, 1991; Meyerowitz et al., 1991; Yun et al., 2013). PeMADS6-silenced plants show petal-like lip and leaf-like sepal epidermal cells (Hsieh et al., 2013). In PeMADS1-silenced plants, the column became longer and wider. However, PeMADS6 and PeMADS1 double-silenced flowers showed severe phenotypic changes in the column as compared with PeMADS1-silenced flowers because PeMADS6 and PeMADS1 are both expressed only in the column (Tsai et al., 2005; Song et al., 2006). In addition, phenotypic changes were more severe in double-silenced than PeMADS6-silenced lips, which further suggests that the interaction of B- and C-class genes may dictate the lip morphogenesis.

The epidermal cell surfaces of sterile whorl organs in Phalaenopsis flowers are distinctive (Bercu et al., 2011). The varieties of cell shape and superimposed micro-structuring are important factors that affect how flowers interact with the abiogenic environment (influencing light capture and reflectance, evaporation, temperature, and wetness) and the biotic environment (providing visual, tactile, and olfactory cues to pollinating animals) (Whitney et al., 2011). The changes in epidermal features alone can be considered a homeotic transformation in floral morphogenesis (Vandenbussche et al., 2004). In addition, epidermal cell shape is frequently used to determine floral organ identity in floral organs showing a homeotic transformation (Chang et al., 2010). In the PhGLO1 mutant plants of Petunia hybrida, flowers showed a conversion of the typical conical petal epidermal cells into sepal-like epidermal cells with the presence of stomata as well as the development of trichomes, which suggests a shift of the petal toward a
sepal identity in these regions (Vandenbussche et al., 2004). Double NbGLO1-NbGLO2-VIGS (B-class MADS gene-silenced) Nicotiana benthamiana flowers showed a strong conversion of petals into sepals with the presence of stomata, numerous trichomes as well as puzzle-shaped epidermal cells. The petal-to-sepal transformation was also evident in the abaxial and adaxial epidermal cells of the petal, which were morphologically similar to those of wild-type sepals (Geuten and Irish, 2010). In rice flowers, the shape and the arrangement of epidermal cells of the carpel-like organs of C-class gene mutants (osmads58-s1) were almost identical to those of the wild-type carpel wall. Furthermore, the surface morphological aspects of the carpel-like organs differed from those of wild-type palea and lemma (Yamaguchi et al., 2006). In both spw1-1 osmads3-4 and spw1-1 osmads58 (B- and C-class gene mutants) flowers, the epidermal cells of both abaxial and adaxial surfaces of glume-like organs showed a smooth morphological aspect and no trichomes, which was similar to cells of the marginal region of wild-type paleas.

In Phalaenopsis, the shape of PeMADS6-silenced epidermal cells from the greenish or discoloured areas of the lip was almost identical to that of mock-treated petals. In addition, sepal epidermal cells covered by a cuticle film were similar to those of mock-treated leaves. This finding could be due to B-class gene functions possibly acting in primordia to establish identity and also late in development to achieve the proper final morphological features of conical cells in the petal epidermis, which occurs in Antirrhinum (Zachgo et al. 1995). The conical epidermal cells may be a hallmark for petaloid organs, possibly aiding pollinator orientation on flowers (Kramer and Hodges, 2010; Whitney et al., 2011).

In cotton, an AGAMOUS (AG)-like gene, GbAGL2, specifies the identity of floral organs and potentially plays a key role in fibre development (Liu et al., 2009). In Phalaenopsis amabilis, the sepal and petal structures are similar, with papilllose cells in the adaxial epidermis and a few stomata in the abaxial surface. Lip epidermal cells appear elliptical in form, not papilllose shaped, and are covered by a striate cuticle (Bercu et al., 2011). In double-silenced Phalaenopsis, the conical and convex shapes of the lip cells of the 1st blooming flowers were similar to the epidermal cells of mock-treated petals and PeMADS6-silenced lips. In the 7th blooming flowers, the abaxial epidermal cells of the petal-like lip lacked cuticular folds in the central fields, and the adaxial ones had fewer and thinner cuticular folds in the anticline fields than in the mock-treated lip. PeMADS6 and PeMADS1 genes might specify the identity of floral organs and potentially play a key role in cuticle development.

This study identified PebHLH involved in the expression of floral development by high-throughput VIGS. The functions of most bHLH genes are conserved during angiosperm evolution (Li et al., 2006). SPATULA (SPT), a bHLH transcription factor, controls the development of the carpel margins in Arabidopsis (Heisler et al., 2001). Silencing bHLH transcription factors, such as ANTHOCYANIN, BIGPETAL, and SPT, can cause several changes depending on the plant. In Arabidopsis, silencing BIGPETAL increased petal size because of increased cell size and number (Szecsi et al., 2006; Varaud et al., 2011). Loss of function of SPT increased leaf size and total cell number, but cell size was not affected (Ichihashi et al., 2010). PebHLH is in the same clade as AtbHLH149 (AIF4) of Arabidopsis. AIF4 is a bHLH DNA-binding superfamily protein, which negatively regulates cell elongation (Wang et al., 2009; Ikeda et al., 2013). PebHLH-silenced plants showed curved floral organs, for a change in flower size. Therefore, PebHLH might affect total cell number for the whole floral organ and have a wide variety of functions in Phalaenopsis.

In conclusion, in a large-scale screening of gene functions related to floral morphogenesis in Phalaenopsis, this study found that knocking down the expression of individual TFs, including PebHLH and PeMADS1, affects floral morphological features. Floral growth during later developmental stages may be stopped by silencing PeMADS7, PeHB, and PeZIP. In addition, co-silencing B- and C-class MADS-box genes revealed the importance of studying lip morphogenesis in orchid flowers, which cannot be addressed using model plants such as rice and Arabidopsis.
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