The Temperature Dependence of Phytoplankton Stoichiometry: Investigating the Roles of Species Sorting and Local Adaptation
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The elemental composition of phytoplankton (C:N:P stoichiometry) is a critical factor regulating nutrient cycling, primary production and energy transfer through planktonic food webs. Our understanding of the multiple direct and indirect mechanisms through which temperature controls phytoplankton stoichiometry is however incomplete, increasing uncertainty in the impacts of global warming on the biogeochemical functioning of aquatic ecosystems. Here, we use a decade-long warming experiment in outdoor freshwater ponds to investigate how temperature-driven turnover in species composition and shifts in stoichiometric traits within species through local thermal adaptation contribute to the effects of warming on seston stoichiometry. We found that experimental warming increased seston C:P and N:P ratios, while the C:N ratio was unaffected by warming. Temperature was also the dominant driver of seasonal variation in seston stoichiometry, correlating positively with both C:P and N:P ratios. The taxonomic composition of the phytoplankton community differed substantially between the warmed and ambient treatments indicating that warming resulted in differential sorting of species from the regional pool. Furthermore, taxonomic composition also changed markedly over the year within each of the warmed and ambient treatments, highlighting substantial temporal turnover in species. To investigate whether local adaptation also played an important role in shaping the effects of warming on seston stoichiometry, we isolated multiple strains of the cosmopolitan alga, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* from across the warmed and ambient mesocosms. We found that warmed isolates had higher C:P and N:P ratios, shifts that were comparable in direction and magnitude to the effects of warming on seston stoichiometry. Our results suggest that both species sorting and local adaptation are likely to play important roles in shaping the effects of warming on bulk phytoplankton stoichiometry and indicate that major shifts in aquatic biogeochemistry should be expected in a warmer world.
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INTRODUCTION

The stoichiometry of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorous (P) in phytoplankton biomass set important constraints on the biogeochemistry of aquatic ecosystems, shaping patterns of nutrient limitation (Elser et al., 2009; Bonachela et al., 2013; Alexander et al., 2015), recycling (Sterner and Elser, 2002), material transfer, and C sequestration in planktonic ecosystems (Galbraith and Martiny, 2015). Until recently it was assumed that the ratios of these elements were maintained in relatively fixed proportions (i.e., the Redfield ratio, C:N:P = 106:16:1) and exhibit tight coupling between organic and inorganic pools (Geider and La Roche, 2002). It is now widely recognized that the C:N:P stoichiometry of phytoplankton is highly variable across multiple spatial, temporal and organizational scales (Geider and La Roche, 2002). Such variation has been linked to directional changes in abiotic factors (e.g., light, CO₂ and nutrients), with temperature often cited as a key determinant of phytoplankton stoichiometry (Woods et al., 2003; Hessen, 2005; Martiny et al., 2013; Toseland et al., 2013; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). However, despite much recent progress, we still lack a detailed understanding of the multiple direct and indirect mechanisms through which temperature controls phytoplankton stoichiometry across scales of time, space and biological organization, limiting our ability to forecast impacts of global warming on macronutrient cycles.

The elemental stoichiometry of a phytoplankton cell is the result of resource allocation to different subcellular constituents that vary in their C, N, and P content and together determine the cell’s macromolecular composition (Shuter, 1979; Daines et al., 2014). For example, polysaccharides, lipids and carbohydrates are major sinks for C allocation; proteins represent the major fraction of the cell’s investment of N, while ribosomal RNA and phospholipids account for a large part of P allocation (Geider and La Roche, 2002). Temperature is a key driver phytoplankton metabolism (Raven and Geider, 1988; Thomas et al., 2012; Sal et al., 2015; Padfield et al., 2016) and recent work suggests that changes in temperature alter the cell’s optimal allocation to C, N and P pools via phenotypic plasticity (i.e., acclimation; Toseland et al., 2013; Daines et al., 2014). The “temperature-dependent physiology” hypothesis predicts that organisms growing at higher temperatures should have higher N:P ratios because they require fewer P-rich ribosomes, relative to N-rich proteins, to sustain growth and maintenance (Woods et al., 2003; Toseland et al., 2013; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). In phytoplankton, such a shift could occur if the rates of photosynthesis by N-rich photosynthetic proteins exhibit weaker temperature dependence than protein synthesis by P-rich ribosomes (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). A recent meta-analysis of temperature manipulation experiments on 9 species of marine and freshwater phytoplankton demonstrated a positive association between temperature and C:P and N:P ratios, but not C:N, suggesting that, in support of the “temperature-dependent physiology” hypothesis, changes in cellular stoichiometry were attributable to declines in P content as cells acclimate to warmer temperatures (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). Furthermore, direct measurements of cellular allocation to RNA in chlorophytes and diatoms have also revealed rapid declines as populations acclimate to warmer growth temperatures (Toseland et al., 2013; Hessen et al., 2017). Evidence in support of the “temperature-dependent physiology” hypothesis is not unequivocal however, with acclimation experiments on the marine cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus revealing that increases in C:P and N:P at higher temperatures were driven by elevated C and N contents, rather than declines in P, in warm acclimated cells (Martiny et al., 2016a). Nevertheless, the current weight of evidence indicates that physiological plasticity in response to rapid changes in temperature can cause substantial shifts in algal stoichiometry within species that are at least as large as those observed across species, irrespective of the underlying molecular and biochemical mechanisms.

Phytoplankton stoichiometry exhibits substantial variation among the major lineages, presumably reflecting their divergent evolutionary histories (Quigg et al., 2003; Litchman et al., 2007; Litchman and Klausmeier, 2008; Finkel et al., 2009). Red lineage algae, which include the diatoms and coccolithophores and dominate the eukaryotic contribution to contemporary global marine primary production, have relatively low N:P ratios (Quigg et al., 2003). In contrast, green lineage algae, which include the chlorophytes and prasinophytes that dominated ocean productivity in the Proterozoic and Paleozoic, often have relatively high N:P ratios (Quigg et al., 2003). Thus, owing to the substantial differences in stoichiometric traits that exist among phytoplankton taxa, environmental filtering of species along thermal gradients has the potential to drive variation in the bulk stoichiometry of seston when species-level selection is systematic with respect to temperature and elemental stoichiometry (Martiny et al., 2016b).

Rapid evolutionary responses to directional environmental change could also play an important role in shaping the effects of warming on phytoplankton stoichiometry and biogeochemical macronutrient cycling. Indeed, recent work experimentally evolving both marine and freshwater phytoplankton under future temperature and CO₂ scenarios have shown that adaptation can be rapid (<1 year or a few hundred phytoplankton generations) and often involves changes in stoichiometric traits (e.g., C:N; Schluter et al., 2014; Schaum et al., 2016). However, experiments explicitly investigating rapid evolutionary shifts in phytoplankton C:N:P stoichiometry in response to warming are currently lacking. We therefore have limited understanding of the direction, magnitude and tempo over which stoichiometric traits might evolve as phytoplankton adapt to warming and consequently, the contribution of rapid evolution to changes in biogeochemical cycles.

Here, we use a decade-long warming experiment in outdoor freshwater ponds to investigate the interplay between species sorting and rapid evolution in shaping the effects of temperature on phytoplankton stoichiometry. We first present a detailed analysis of both seasonal changes in phytoplankton stoichiometry within ponds as well as the long-term differences between treatments attributable to experimental warming. We then assess the role of local adaptation by quantifying changes in C:N:P stoichiometry in strains of the cosmopolitan alga, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, isolated from both our ambient and
warmed ponds. We have previously shown that *C. reinhardtii* strains isolated from the warmed and ambient treatments are locally adapted to the different thermal regimes imposed by experimental warming and exhibit fitness trade-offs when reciprocally transplanted in the warmed and ambient treatments (Schaum et al., 2017). Based on work in both marine and freshwater systems over latitudinal and temporal (seasonal) thermal gradients, we expect the C:P and N:P ratios of the seston in our experiment to increase with warming and exhibit positive seasonal temperature dependence (Hessen, 2005; Martiny et al., 2013; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). Given the highly dynamic nature of phytoplankton communities, we hypothesize that seasonal variation and treatment effects on bulk stoichiometry will emerge from temperature driven turnover in the taxonomic composition of the algal assemblages. However, we also predict that if temperature driven adjustments in sub-cellular allocation to C, N and P pools that increase C:P and N:P in warmer environments (as expected under the “temperature-dependent physiology” hypothesis) also increase fitness, then they will be reinforced through evolutionary adaptation. Consequently, we hypothesize that isolates of the cosmopolitan alga, *C. reinhardtii*, that have adapted to the thermal regimes in the warmed mesocosms will have higher C:P and N:P ratios than their counterparts from the ambient treatments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mesocosm Experimental Design**

The mesocosm facility was established in 2005 and consists of 20 artificial ponds of ~1 m³ volume, 50 cm depth, sited in southern England (Freshwater Biological Association Rivers Laboratory, East Stoke, 2°10’W, 50°13’N), designed to be broadly representative of mid-latitude shallow lakes (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2010). Warming of 4–5°C above ambient began in half of the ponds in 2006 by maintaining a constant differential between thermocouples in a pair of warmed and ambient ponds. The ponds contain well-established benthic and pelagic communities including assemblages of macrophytes, phytoplankton, algal biofilms, and invertebrates; for a detailed description of the community composition see previous publications from this facility (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2010, 2015a; Dossena et al., 2012). Sediments are comprised of 8–10 cm of fine sands with a developed organic layer of 1–3 cm (Table 2).

**Phytoplankton Sampling**

The plankton community in each of the mesocosms was sampled every 2 months between July 2011 and May 2012 (6 sampling occasions in total). The phytoplankton composition data presented here are reanalyzed from those in Yvon-Durocher et al. (2015a). The entire water column from the sediment surface to the water surface was sampled using a 0.8 m-length tube sampler (Volume: 2 L), which was positioned at random in each mesocosm on each date. Each sample was passed through a 100 μm aperture sieve to remove the zooplankton. A 100 mL sub-sample of <100 μL fraction was preserved in 1% Lugol’s iodine for microscope analysis of the phytoplankton community composition, while the remaining material was filtered through a pre-ashed, Whatman GF/F filter (0.7 μm nominal pore size) in duplicate and then immediately frozen at −20°C prior to analysis of particulate nutrients.

**Seston Stoichiometry**

Filters (GF/F) were dried for 48 h at 60°C. The dry weight of particulate matter on the filter was calculated and used to standardize by the sample mass in further analyses. One of the two filters was acidified (1 M HCl) to remove carbonates and used for the analysis of particulate organic carbon and nitrogen using a Sercon 20-22 IRMS. The other was used for determining particulate organic phosphorous on a segmented flow auto-analyser (Skalar, San++, Breda, Netherlands) following complete oxidation with potassium persulfate.

**Taxonomic Characterization of the Phytoplankton Community**

Phytoplankton <100 μm were counted using a LEICA DMI18B inverted microscope at 400x magnification, following the Utermöhl method. The microscope was connected to an interactive image analysis system (LEICA EC3 camera and LAS software) to allow for a higher magnification. For each sample, at least 400 individuals (single cell, colony or filament) were counted, measured and identified. Counts were converted to volumetric estimates of abundance (organisms mL⁻¹) based on the volume of sample analyzed, which varied between 1 and 25 mL depending on the density of organisms. In total, 171 taxa were identified, 85% of which were identified to species level; the remaining 15% were identified to genus or class, or were undetermined.

**Measuring Gross Primary Production**

Rates of gross primary production (GPP) were measured over a 24 h diel cycle for each replicate mesocosm on the sampling months described above using the free water dissolved oxygen (DO) change technique (Staehr et al., 2010). Measurements of DO and temperature were taken every 15 min for 24 h at mid-depth (0.25 m) in the water column of each pond with YSI 600 XL multi-parameter sondes, equipped with 6562 rapid pulse™ dissolved oxygen sensors. Light intensity was measured using a Licor spherical quantum sensor (LI-193, Licor USA) positioned at mid-depth (0.5 m) in the water column of a single ambient mesocosm in the center of the pond array. These measurements allow for quantification of seasonal variation in light intensity but do not allow us to quantify potential differences among treatments in light penetration. Light intensity was measured every minute and logged as 15-min averages using a Licor LI-1500 data logger. Prior to deployment, the multi-parameter Sondes were calibrated in water-saturated air with a correction for barometric pressure. Calibration accuracy was verified by monitoring the DO concentration of water-saturated air with a correction for barometric pressure. Calibration accuracy was verified by monitoring the DO concentration of water-saturated air with a correction for barometric pressure. Calibration accuracy was verified by monitoring the DO concentration of water-saturated air with a correction for barometric pressure. Calibration accuracy was verified by monitoring the DO concentration of water-saturated air with a correction for barometric pressure.
Isolation and Characterization of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii

We isolated Chlamydomonas, a known cosmopolitan and highly abundant genus in the heated and ambient mesocosms (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015a), by first passing water through a 45 μm and then a 20 μm filter, followed by serial dilution and streaking the isolate on to agar-filled pipette tips toward a light source (enabling identification and isolation of motile autotrophs). Organisms putatively identified as Chlamydomonas were then grown on agar plates infused with Bold’s Basal Medium (BBM). Colonies were then picked under a microscope, transferred back into liquid culture (autoclaved, filtered water taken from rainwater holding tanks at the mesocosm experiment supplemented with BBM at 1/3 the standard concentration) and kept at 18°C (the average daytime temperature across treatments at the time of sampling) for 2 weeks in semi-continuous batch-culture. This yielded concentrations of NO$_3^-$ at 1,000 μmol L$^{-1}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ at 330 μmol L$^{-1}$. The rationale here was to grow the isolates under nutrient replete conditions (concentrations of N and P that were much larger than observed in the mesocosms) so (i) rates of growth and biomass yields were sufficient to quantify physiological traits, and (ii) the observed cellular stoichiometry would not depend on the availability of nutrients in the medium. Taxonomy of Chlamydomonas was confirmed by microscopy and using PCR followed by Sanger sequencing within the 18S sequence using a set of primers with forward sequence GAAG TCGTAAACAGGTITTCC and reverse sequence TGGTTCTTTTCC. Positive controls were run using p23 primers amplifying in the RuBisCO region, with forward GGACAGAAA GACGCTTATGAA and reverse TYAGCTGTATATCCCTAGAG. This yielded 18 out of the 20 isolates with at least 99% BLAST match for C. reinhardtii, 8 from heated and 10 from ambient mesocosms. These were used throughout the experiments and the other 2 samples were discarded.

To determine intracellular C, N, and P content, Chlamydomonas cultures were grown to exponential phase and the cells counted. A total of 200 mL were spun down at 2,500 r.p.m, the supernatant decanted and the samples frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen until further analysis. Samples for CN content were freeze-dried, weighed out into tin capsules, and analyzed for C and N content using a Sercon 20-22 IRMS. Cell P content was determined using a colorimetric reaction on a Seal Analytics AA3 flow analyser. Pellets were washed in 0.17 M Na$_2$SO$_4$, transferred to scintillation vials and re-suspended in 4 ml 0.017 M MnSO$_4$. The samples were transferred to an autoclave (1h, 121°C), shaken vigorously and centrifuged at 2,500 r.p.m for 30 min, the pellet discarded, and the supernatant brought to 10 ml with MilliQ purified water. The samples were immediately analyzed on the AA3 using the colorimetric molybdate/antimony method after (Murphy and Riley, 1958).

Dissolved Inorganic Nutrients

Water samples for measuring dissolved inorganic nutrient concentrations were collected from mid-depth in each mesocosm at 9 a.m. on each sampling occasion. Samples were filtered (Whatmann GF/F) and stored frozen at −20°C for subsequent determination of NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$, and soluble reactive phosphorous (SRP) using a segmented flow auto-analyser (Skalar, San++, Breda, Netherlands) and the methods of Kirkwood (1996).

Statistical Analyses

Seasonal changes in biotic and abiotic variables often exhibit highly non-linear patterns of change, particularly in temperate regions. We therefore used generalized additive mixed effects models (GAMMs) to characterize the seasonal trends and overall treatment effects on temperature, light intensity, GPP, dissolved inorganic nutrients, particulate organic nutrients, and seston stoichiometry. GAMMs do not prescribe any particular functional form for the trend; rather its shape is estimated from the data using penalized regression. GAMMs further account for hierarchical data structures (Zuur et al., 2009). For example, our experimental design yielded replicate seasonal responses for each variable in each treatment. This hierarchical structure meant that measurements were non-independent—e.g., measurements from the same pond will be autocorrelated. We account for this by treating replicate pond as a random effect on the intercept of the model, which models deviations among ponds from the fixed effects as normally distributed with a mean of zero. The full models were specified as follows

\[ y_{pt} = \beta + \alpha_p + \text{Treat}_t + f_{\text{Treat}}(\text{DOY}_t) + \epsilon_{pt} \]

\[ \epsilon_{pt} = N(0, \sigma^2) \]

\[ \alpha_p = N(0, \sigma^2) \]

where $y_{pt}$ is the response variable in pond, $p$, and time, $t$, $\beta$ is the intercept, which characterizes the median value of the response variable, “Treat” captures differences in the intercept between treatments (e.g., “warmed” or “ambient”), $\alpha_p$ is a random effect that characterizes deviations among replicate ponds from the intercept, which we assume are normally distributed with a mean of zero and a variance, $\sigma^2$. The seasonal smooth function, $f_{\text{Treat}}(\text{DOY}_t)$, uses a cubic regression spline to model the seasonal trend in the response variable $y$, which is allowed to vary between warmed and ambient treatments. The model residuals, $\epsilon_{pt}$, are assumed to be drawn from a normal distribution with a mean of zero and a variance, $\sigma^2$. Model selection entailed fitting a range of models to the data, starting with the full model and then a series of reduced models with interaction terms (e.g., different seasonal smooth functions for each treatment) and main effects removed to test hypotheses about the potential differences in seasonal changes in the response variables among treatments. For multi-model selection we computed small sample-size corrected AIC scores (AICc) and then compared between models by calculating delta AICc values and AIC weights using the “MuMIn” package. When candidate models deviated from the most parsimonious model (that with the lowest AICc score) by less than two AICc units, parameters were averaged across those candidate models using the “model.avg” function in the “MuMIn” package. The relative importance of the fixed factors in the averaged model was determined using the sum of their relative weights. GAMMs were
fitted to the data using the “gamm4” package and were conducted in R (v.3.23).

To assess the relative importance of putative abiotic drivers in shaping seasonal variation in seston stoichiometry, we fitted each stoichiometric ratio to the seasonal changes in temperature, light intensity, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), and SRP in a multiple regression mixed effects model using the “lme” function in the “nlme” package for R.

\[
\ln(R_{ip}) = \beta_0 + \alpha_p + \beta_1 T_{ip} + \beta_2 \ln(I_{ip}) + \beta_3 \ln(DIN_{ip}) \\
+ \beta_4 \ln(SRP_{ip}) + \epsilon_{ip} \\
\alpha_p = N(0, \sigma^2) \\
\epsilon_{ip} = N(0, \sigma^2)
\]  

where \(\ln(R_{ip})\) is the natural logarithm of the \(i\)th observation of the stoichiometric ratio in pond \(p\), \(\beta_0\) is the intercept and \(\alpha_p\) is a random effect that characterizes deviations among replicate ponds from overall the intercept, which we assume are normally distributed with a mean of zero and a variance, \(\sigma^2\). The slope coefficients, \(\beta_1...\beta_n\) characterize the response of \(\ln(R_{ip})\) to the to the various predictor variables. The model residuals, \(\epsilon_{ip}\), are assumed to be drawn from a normal distribution with a mean of zero and a variance, \(\sigma^2\). We natural logarithm transformed the stoichiometric ratios, light intensity, DIN and SRP to linearize all relationships and ensure data were normally distributed prior to statistical analyses. We tested for multi-collinearity by calculating the variance inflation factors (VIF) for each predictor. In each case VIFs were <2.5 indicating that multi-collinearity was low. As for the GAMM analyses, model selection entailed fitting a range of models to the data, starting with the full model (Equation 2) and then a series of reduced models with predictors removed to test hypotheses about the dominant abiotic drivers of seasonal changes in the stoichiometric ratios. Model selection and model averaging was conducted in the same way as described above for the GAMM analyses.

Variation in the taxonomic composition of the phytoplankton communities between treatments and among sampling months was indexed as the “score” for each mesocosm along the first axis of a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination. NMDS ordination was conducted on each sampling month using the “metaMDS” function in the “vegan” package in R based on a Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix derived from log\(_{10}\) (X+1)-transformed total abundances of the taxa in each mesocosm. NMDS projected this matrix into a new coordinate space with a
small number of dimensions (in this case, 10) while preserving
the original Bray–Curtis dissimilarities among samples to the
extent possible. Orthogonal rotation was applied to the axes in
this new coordinate space so as to maximize the variance in
"scores" among samples along the first NMDS axis. Thus, samples
with more similar scores along the first NMDS axis are more
similar to each other with respect to the dominant gradient in
taxonomic composition. We used Permutational Multivariate
Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) to test whether Bray–
Curtis dissimilarities between treatments, months and their
interaction were significant.

Because we were interested in assessing the relative
importance of species sorting in shaping the seasonal variation
and effects of experimental warming on seston stoichiometry,
we calculated two forms of beta-diversity. First, for each
sampling month we estimated spatial beta-diversity as pond-
pond differences in taxonomic composition, partitioning
metrics, we calculated two forms of beta-diversity. First, for each
seasonal variation and treatment effects. Second, we estimated
temporal beta-diversity for each

We quantify beta-diversity (e.g., spatial or temporal differences
in taxonomic composition based on presence-absence data)
using the Sørensen’s Index ($\beta_{\text{Sør}}$), which can be partitioned into
components attributable to species turnover (e.g., spatial or
temporal replacement of species, $\beta_{\text{turn}}$) and nestedness (e.g.,
where different sites or time points have species compositions
that are nested subsets, $\beta_{\text{nes}}$).

$$\beta_{\text{Sør}} = \beta_{\text{turn}} + \beta_{\text{nes}} \equiv \frac{b}{b + a} + \left(1 - \frac{c}{2a + b + c}\right) \left(\frac{a}{b + a}\right)$$ (3)

where $a$ is the number of species shared between two sites
(or distinct time points), $b$ is the number species unique
to the poorest site and $c$ is the number of species unique
to the richest site. We then estimated the fraction of total
beta-diversity attributable to turnover (e.g., spatial or temporal
replacement of species) as $\beta_{\text{Sør}} = \beta_{\text{turn}}/\beta_{\text{Sør}}$. Using these
metrics, we calculated two forms of beta-diversity. First, for each
sampling month we estimated spatial beta-diversity as pond-
to-pond differences in taxonomic composition, partitioning
out variation among ambient, heated and ambient vs. heated
ponds. Second, we estimated temporal beta-diversity for each
replicate pond by assessing variation in taxonomic composition
among sampling months. For both spatial and temporal
beta-diversity we calculated $\beta_{\text{Sør}} = \beta_{\text{turn}}/\beta_{\text{Sør}}$ to assess the relative
importance of taxonomic turnover between the warmed
and ambient treatments as well as over seasonal variation within
mesocosms.

**RESULTS**

**Seasonal Variation and Treatment Effects**

**on Abiotic Variables**

The abiotic variables measured in the mesocosms showed
characteristic seasonality, with temperature and surface water
light intensity reaching maxima in July and minima in January
(Figures 1A,B). The heated mesocosms were, on average, 4.1°C
(±0.7°C) warmer than their ambient counterparts over the
entire year (Figure 1A). The seasonality of DIN and SRP
reflected drawdown in the spring and early summer, followed
by regeneration through autumn and winter (Figures 1C,D).

| TABLE 1 | Multi-model selection on generalized additive mixed effects models
fitted to the seasonal data. |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Model** | **Df** | **logLik** | **AICc** | **ΔAICc** | **Weight** |
| **TEMPERATURE** | | | | | |
| T1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -156.54 | 326.54 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| T0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 6.00 | -160.86 | 340.29 | 13.76 | 0.00 |
| T3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -165.54 | 342.10 | 15.56 | 0.00 |
| T2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -169.84 | 355.65 | 29.11 | 0.00 |
| **DISSOLVED INORGANIC NITROGEN** | | | | | |
| DIN3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -54.06 | 119.13 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| DIN1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -53.95 | 121.34 | 2.21 | 0.25 |
| DIN2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -55.75 | 127.46 | 8.33 | 0.01 |
| DIN0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -55.59 | 129.74 | 10.61 | 0.00 |
| **SRP** | | | | | |
| SRP1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -51.69 | 116.82 | 0.00 | 0.73 |
| SRP3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -54.17 | 119.35 | 2.52 | 0.21 |
| SRP0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -51.89 | 122.36 | 5.53 | 0.05 |
| SRP2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -54.47 | 124.90 | 8.08 | 0.01 |
| **GROSS PRIMARY PRODUCTION** | | | | | |
| GPP1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -54.11 | 121.66 | 0.00 | 0.77 |
| GPP3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -56.64 | 124.31 | 2.64 | 0.21 |
| GPP0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -55.29 | 129.14 | 7.48 | 0.02 |
| GPP2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -57.46 | 130.88 | 9.21 | 0.01 |
| **PARTICULATE CARBON** | | | | | |
| PC3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -56.31 | 123.63 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| PC1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -57.27 | 128.00 | 4.26 | 0.10 |
| PC2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -58.46 | 132.89 | 9.26 | 0.01 |
| PC0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -59.36 | 137.28 | 13.65 | 0.00 |
| **PARTICULATE NITROGEN** | | | | | |
| PN3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -68.19 | 147.40 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| PN1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -68.90 | 151.24 | 3.84 | 0.12 |
| PN2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -68.28 | 152.53 | 5.13 | 0.06 |
| PN0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -68.99 | 156.55 | 9.15 | 0.01 |
| **PARTICULATE phosphorus** | | | | | |
| PP3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -51.52 | 114.06 | 0.00 | 0.54 |
| PP1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -50.81 | 115.06 | 1.01 | 0.33 |
| PP2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -50.82 | 117.61 | 3.56 | 0.09 |
| PP0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -50.32 | 119.20 | 5.15 | 0.04 |
| **C:N RATIO** | | | | | |
| CN3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -61.44 | 133.91 | 0.00 | 0.74 |
| CN1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -61.32 | 136.09 | 2.18 | 0.25 |
| CN2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -63.25 | 142.46 | 8.55 | 0.01 |
| CN0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -63.12 | 144.82 | 10.91 | 0.00 |
| **C:P RATIO** | | | | | |
| CP1 = treat + s(DOY) | 6.00 | -70.76 | 154.96 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| CP3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -72.51 | 156.03 | 1.08 | 0.37 |
| CP0 = treat + s(DOY, by = treat) | 8.00 | -73.53 | 165.63 | 10.68 | 0.00 |
| CP2 = s(DOY, by = treat) | 7.00 | -75.33 | 166.63 | 11.67 | 0.00 |
| **N:P RATIO** | | | | | |
| NP3 = s(DOY) | 5.00 | -67.60 | 146.22 | 0.00 | 0.54 |

(Continued)
Median SRP levels over the year were lower in the warmed treatments (Figure 1D; Table 1).

**Seasonal Variation in Primary Production, Particulate Nutrients and Seston Stoichiometry**

Patterns in GPP and phytoplankton nutrient content reflected variation in the abiotic variables. GPP peaked in July in both the warmed and ambient treatments when temperatures and light levels were maximal (Figure 2A; Table 1). Rates of GPP were also elevated in the warmed treatments across all sampling occasions (Figure 2A; Table 1). Seston carbon content peaked in July, in alignment with the maximal rates of GPP, but exhibited no difference between the warmed and ambient treatments (Figure 2B; Table 1). The nitrogen and phosphorous content of
the phytoplankton peaked in May and March respectively, in
line with the peaks in DIN and SRP drawdown (Figures 2C,D; 
Table 1). Seston phosphorous content was lower on average in 
the warmed treatments (Figure 2D; Table 1).

C:N, C:P and N:P ratios all exhibited seasonal variation 
(Figures 3; Table 1). C:N ratios were highest in winter and 
decayed through spring and summer (Figure 3A; Table 1). C:P 
and N:P ratios exhibited the opposite seasonal trends, peaking 
in the spring and summer and declining through autumn and 
winter (Figures 3B,C; Table 1). In line with our predictions, both 
C:P and N:P ratios were elevated in the warmed treatments 
(Figures 3B,C; Table 1) while the C:N ratio was consistent 
between treatments (Figure 3A; Table 1).

**Abiotic Drivers of Seston Stoichiometry**

To investigate the factors shaping the seasonal variation in 
seston stoichiometry we fitted the data for each elemental ratio 
to the measured abiotic drivers (temperature, light, DIN, and 
SRP) using multiple regression in a mixed effects modeling 
framework. The best fitting model for the C:N ratio included 
temperature, light, and SRP as predictors (Table 2). C:N ratios 
were negatively related to light intensity and positively correlated 
with temperature and SRP (Figure 4A). Temperature, light, DIN, 
and SRP were all retained as predictors of the C:P ratio in the 
best fitting model (Table 2), though support for the inclusion of 
SRP and DIN were weak (i.e., they had low summed weights 
after model averaging, see Table 2). The C:P ratio was positively 
correlated with temperature, and negatively related to light 
(Figure 4B). For the N:P ratio, temperature, light and DIN were 
all retained in the most parsimonious model (Table 2). The 
N:P ratio was positively correlated with temperature and light, 
and negatively related to DIN (Figure 4C). Consistent with our 
hypotheses, temperature was an important predictor of all the 
stoichiometric ratios and was the most important predictor for 
the C:P and N:P ratio (i.e., it had the highest summed weight after 
model averaging; see Table 2).

**Seasonal Variation and Treatment Effects**

**on Phytoplankton Community Composition**

The effects of seasonal variation in temperature and the other 
abiotic variables, as well as the effect of experimental warming on 
the bulk stoichiometry of the phytoplankton, will be mediated by 
a combination of factors, including (i) physiological acclimation 
of cellular stoichiometry within species; (ii) evolutionary 
change in response to the long-term warming treatment; (iii) 
environmentally driven species sorting; both in response to 
seasonal changes in temperature within ponds as well as 
the long-term temperature differential maintained between 
the warmed and ambient treatments. To assess the potential 
importance of species sorting and temperature-dependent 
variation in phytoplankton community composition on seston 
stoichiometry we quantified the taxonomic composition and 
relative abundance of the phytoplankton communities in the 
mesocosms on 6 sampling occasions over an annual cycle. 
We found significant variation in phytoplankton community 
composition both among treatments [Figure 5A; PERMANOVA, 
\( F_{(1, 89)} = 9.2; P < 0.001 \)] as well as across sampling 
occasions [Figure 5A; PERMANOVA, \( F_{(5, 89)} = 2.3; P < 0.001 \)],

---

**FIGURE 3 |** Seasonal variation in phytoplankton stoichiometry. Seasonal changes and treatment effects on (A) the C:N ratio, (B) C:P ratio, and (C) N:P ratio. Fitted lines are from the fixed effects of the best fitting mixed effects models. Where red and black fitted lines are present warmed and ambient treatments differed in either the median value and/or the seasonality of the response variable. Where the fitted line is blue a single function provided the best fit to the data from both treatments. Dashed lines indicate Redfield ratios.
Effects of Thermal Adaptation on Phytoplankton Stoichiometry

The green alga, *C. reinhardtii*, was one of the most abundant (top 10% of all species across the meta-community) and widely distributed taxa across the meta-community, with established populations in all warmed and ambient mesocosms. To investigate whether thermal adaptation resulted in changes in the bulk stoichiometry of phytoplankton we measured C:N:P ratios in strains of *C. reinhardtii* isolated from the warmed and ambient treatments. Differences in the stoichiometric ratios between the warmed and ambient isolates closely matched the treatment effects on bulk seston stoichiometry. The C:N ratios were not significantly different between warmed and ambient isolates [Figure 6A; ANOVA Type-III; $F_{(1,16)} = 2.52$, $P = 0.13$]. The C:P ratio was significantly elevated in the warmed isolates [Figure 6B; ANOVA Type-III; $F_{(1,16)} = 6.40$, $P = 0.02$], in line with the higher seston C:P ratios observed in the warmed treatments and the positive correlation between seasonal variation in temperature and bulk phytoplankton stoichiometry (Figures 3, 4). The N:P ratio was marginally, but not significantly, elevated in the warmed isolates [Figure 6C; ANOVA Type-III; $F_{(1,16)} = 2.49$, $P = 0.13$]. This weaker effect of warming on the N:P ratio was also consistent with the smaller effect size of warming on the seston N:P ratio (Figure 3) and the weaker seasonal temperature dependence of phytoplankton N:P (Figure 4), relative to the effects of warming and temperature on the C:P ratio.

**DISCUSSION**

Understanding how ecosystem-level properties, like the bulk stoichiometry of plankton, are shaped by selection on trait variation within and across species is key to improving predictions of global change on biogeochemical cycles (Hagstrom and Levin, 2017). Central to this issue is a grasp of the relative importance of rapid evolution (i.e., changes in the frequency of traits within species populations) and species sorting (i.e., changes in the frequency of traits attributable to different species) in shaping how ecosystem-level properties respond to environmental change (Lomas et al., 2014). We tackled this issue by assessing the extent to which the effects of long-term experimental warming and seasonal changes in temperature on the C:N:P stoichiometry of phytoplankton in pond mesocosms reflected temperature-dependent changes in the composition of the communities vs. evolutionary shifts in stoichiometric traits within component species. We found that warming resulted in substantial shifts in phytoplankton community composition, consistent with temperature-driven species sorting. Furthermore, isolates of *C. reinhardtii* from warmed mesocosms had higher C:P and N:P ratios than their ambient counterparts, with shifts that were comparable in direction and magnitude to the effects of warming on seston stoichiometry. These analyses suggest that both species sorting and rapid local adaptation could have contributed to the effects of warming on bulk phytoplankton stoichiometry.
We found higher average C:P and N:P ratios driven by lower particulate P content in the seston from the warmed mesocosms, as well as positive correlations between seasonal changes in temperature and C:P and N:P ratios in both the warmed and ambient treatments. These findings add to a growing body of evidence demonstrating positive covariance between temperature and C:P and N:P ratios in aquatic and terrestrial autotrophs (Reich and Oleksyn, 2004; Martiny et al., 2013; Toseland et al., 2013; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). However, a critical question concerning the mechanisms underlying these patterns is the extent to which they are driven by evolutionary flexibility in stoichiometric traits within species vs. temperature driven turnover in taxonomic composition along thermal gradients.

To investigate the role of species sorting we quantified the extent to which differences in phytoplankton communities between the warmed and ambient treatments as well as across sampling months, reflected turnover in species composition (e.g., replacement of species across space and/or time). We found that ~80% of the variation in phytoplankton composition among treatments and sampling months could be attributed to taxonomic replacements (e.g., turnover as opposed to nestedness). This result demonstrates that the composition of the phytoplankton communities were highly dynamic both in response to seasonal abiotic change and sustained increases in temperature between the treatments. Consequently, this result implies that species sorting and associated changes in community-wide traits could have played an important role in shaping the effects of temperature on bulk stoichiometry, consistent with recent work in marine ecosystems (Irwin et al., 2015; Edwards, 2016; Martiny et al., 2016b). Temperature-driven species sorting could affect community-level bulk stoichiometry in two ways. First, phytoplankton stoichiometry is known to exhibit substantial variation among the major taxonomic clades (Quigg et al., 2003; Finkel et al., 2016). For example, red lineage algae, which include the diatoms, have relatively low N:P ratios, while green lineage algae, which include the chlorophytes, tend have higher N:P ratios (Quigg et al., 2003). Thus, changes in phytoplankton community composition, where taxa with high average C:P and N:P ratios are favored in warmer environments and those with low values are abundant in cooler conditions could conceivably be an important factor shaping the effects of temperature on bulk phytoplankton stoichiometry. Second, it is also possible that C:N:P stoichiometry is phenotypically plastic with respect to temperature change in a consistent way across species (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b) and the observed turnover in community composition reflects selection for traits other than stoichiometry. In this case, the composition of the community changes with temperature and the stoichiometry of the constituent species also shift with temperature, not because different taxa have divergent stoichiometry, but because the reaction norm for temperature-driven stoichiometric plasticity is conserved across species. Unfortunately, our data do not allow us to differentiate between these two possibilities, as this would require detailed acclimation experiments to be conducted on a wide range of the species that comprise the phytoplankton communities in the experiment. It is important to note however, these two scenarios are not mutually exclusive and there is evidence for both conserved temperature driven stoichiometric plasticity across species (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b) as well as...
FIGURE 5 | Seasonal variation and treatment effects on phytoplankton community structure. (A) Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of phytoplankton community composition comparing treatment effects across sampling months (1 = Jan, 3 = Mar, 5 = May, 7 = Jul, 9 = Sep, 11 = Nov). (B) Seasonal variation in the fraction of total beta-diversity among ponds that is attributable to taxonomic turnover ($\beta_{\text{turn}}/\beta_{\text{sor}}$), here black boxes encompass variation in $\beta_{\text{turn}}/\beta_{\text{sor}}$ among ambient replicates, red show variation between warmed replicates and gray denotes variation in beta-diversity derived from comparisons among warmed vs. ambient replicates. (C) Treatment effects on $\beta_{\text{turn}}/\beta_{\text{sor}}$ derived from temporal comparisons of community composition among sampling months within each mesocosm. Tops and bottoms of boxes in box-whisker plots correspond to the 25th and 75th percentiles, horizontal white lines correspond to medians, whisker extents correspond to 1.5 × the interquartile range.
systematic taxonomic variation in average C:N:P ratios (Quigg et al., 2003; Finkel et al., 2016).

To investigate whether rapid evolutionary shifts in stoichiometric traits in response to warming played a role in the effects of warming on phytoplankton bulk stoichiometry we isolated the abundant and cosmopolitan alga C. reinhardtii, which was present across both warmed and ambient treatments. We have previously shown, through reciprocal transplants, that isolates of C. reinhardtii are locally adapted with respect to the warming treatment, with warmed isolates incuring a reduction in competitive fitness when transplanted to ambient temperatures and ambient isolates having reduced fitness when exposed to warming (Schaum et al., 2017). Our analyses here demonstrate that the warm-adapted isolates had C:P ratios that were 33% higher than their ambient counterparts. This within taxon response was remarkably close to the overall effect size on average bulk seston C:P with ratios that were 38% higher in the warmed treatments. The N:P ratio was marginally, but not significantly elevated in the warm-adapted isolates of C. reinhardtii. However, notwithstanding the absence of a significant treatment effect, the effect sizes between the isolates and the bulk community response to warming in the N:P ratio were also very similar, a 21 and 27% increase in response to warming in the isolates and the bulk seston respectively. These results demonstrate that selection on stoichiometric traits within and across species in response to warming are of a similar direction and magnitude, implying that that thermal adaptation also likely contributed the shifts in bulk seston stoichiometry in response to long-term experimental warming.

Our findings of elevated C:P and N:P ratios with increases in temperature, both at the species- and community-levels, are broadly consistent with the “temperature-dependent physiology” hypothesis, which predicts that fewer P-rich ribosomes are required at warmer temperatures owing to the increased efficiency of ribosomes at higher temperatures (Woods et al., 2003; Toseland et al., 2013; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2015b). Average C:P and N:P ratios both in the seston and in the isolates of C. reinhardtii were however very low (seston C:P = 16.6, seston N:P = 6.3; isolate C:P = 17.2, isolate N:P = 4.2), indicating that luxury uptake and storage of phosphorous may have contributed significantly to the cellular P content. Given the nanomolar concentrations of SRP in the mesocosms, luxury storage of P, which is known to be an adaptation to severe nutrient limitation, is a plausible explanation for the very low C:P and N:P ratios. Nevertheless, growth rates and cell sizes of the C. reinhardtii strains were comparable between the warmed and ambient isolates (see Figure 6) indicating that whilst luxury P storage might explain the overall low C:P and N:P ratios it is unlikely to be the underlying driver of the effects of warming.

The low C:P and N:P ratios in the seston appear at odds with the nanomolar concentrations of SRP in the mesocosms and raise the fundamental question of where the algae are sourcing the phosphorous required to sustain such high C:P and N:P ratios. It is notable, that many of the algae that are numerically dominant in both the warmed and the ambient mesocosms are capable of mixotrophic growth (e.g., C. reinhardtii, Cryptomonas spp., Gymnodinium spp.) and are known to supplement their nutritional requirements with resource uptake via osmotrophy or phagotrophy (Spero and Morée, 1981; Tranvik et al., 1989; Tittel et al., 2005). The fact that seston C:P and N:P ratios are only weakly correlated with seasonal changes in SRP suggests that uptake of P from organic sources (dissolved organic P or P...
associated with bacterial prey) could be an important component of the phosphorus biogeochemistry of these oligotrophic systems.

Overall our experiments demonstrate a striking coherence between stoichiometric responses to temperature change between the long-term warmed and ambient mesocosms, across seasonal variations in temperature, and between strains of a cosmopolitan alga isolated from the long-term experiment. The consistency in the effects of temperature in driving increases in C:P and N:P stoichiometry both within species as an adaptive response to the long-term warming as well as at the community level via species sorting, implies that temperature driven adjustments in sub-cellular allocation to C, N and P pools that increase C:P and N:P in warmer environments increase fitness and can be reinforced through ecological and evolutionary processes. Our findings demonstrate highly conserved responses of elemental stoichiometry to temperature across multiple spatial, temporal and organizational scales and highlight that profound changes in aquatic biogeochemistry should be expected in a warmer world.
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