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ABSTRACT

NSI is a major occupational health and safety issue faced by health-care professionals globally. National institute for occupational safety and health define NSIs as “injuries caused by needles such as hypodermic blood collection needles, IV styles and needles used to connect part of IV delivery system”. HCW are exposed to NSI from unsafe practices such as recapping of needles, manipulating used needles, such as bending, breaking or cutting hypodermic needles or passing of needles from one workers to another. The hazard of injury is further compounded by the high prevalence of HIV, HBV, and HCV among hospitalized patients that result in infections with hepatitis B and C and HIV. Therefore this study was conducted on 152 health care workers working in a tertiary care hospital of Maharishi Markendeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Kumarhatti, Solan (H.P) with the objective to determine the prevalence of NSIs among the health care workers, to determine the association between NSI and selected variables such as age, marital status, years in service, educational qualification and to assess the measures undertaken by the respondents after the NSI and also to determine the incidence of self-reported cases of needle stick and sharp instruments (NSI).
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Introduction

NSI is a major occupational health and safety issue faced by health-care professionals globally. National instate for occupational safety and health define NSIs as “injuries caused by needles such as hypodermic blood collection needles, IV styles and needles used to connect part of IV delivery system” (4).HCW are exposed to NSI from unsafe practices such as recapping of needles, manipulating used needles, such as bending, breaking or cutting hypodermic needles or passing of needles from one workers to another. It is revealed that the most common clinical activity to cause NSI was blood withdrawal, followed by suturing and vaccinations (5) Health care professionals are most negligent as far as their own health is concerned. Health care workers incur 2 million needle stick injuries (NSIs) per year as Needle stick injuries present the single greatest occupational hazard to medical personnel.(6) While as many as twenty blood borne pathogens can be transmitted through accidental needle sticks, the potentially life threatening are Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV).(7) The World Health Organization estimates the global burden of
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disease from occupational exposure to be 40% of the hepatitis B and C infections and 2.5% of the HIV infections among HCWs as attributable to exposures at work.(8) Factors that increase risks of transmission of HIV include a deep wound, visible blood on the device, a hollow-bore blood-filled needle, use of a device to access an artery or vein and high-viral-load status of the patient.(9,10). The risk of transmission through NSIs for HBV is 1-40%, HCV is 1.8% and HIV is 0.3%. (11) Nurses have the highest rate of NSI among health-care workers. (12) Despite their seriousness as a medical event, NSIs have been neglected, most go unreported. Therefore, this study was conducted with the objectives (a) to determine the prevalence of NSIs among the nurses, (b) to determine the association between NSI and selected variables such as age, marital status, years in service, educational qualification and (c) to assess the measures undertaken by the respondents after the NSIs. (d) to determine the incidence of self-reported cases of needle stick and sharp instruments (NSI), to know time gap between reporting and injuries, immediately what preventing action taken, the outcome of post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) for infectious injuries contaminated with HIV, HBV or HCV and to estimate under reporting of NSI in health care providers (HCW). Because needle stick injuries are often under reported, health care institutions should not interpret low reporting rate as low injury rate.

Materials and Methods

This study is a cross-sectional study conducted in a tertiary care hospital of maharishi markendeshwar medical college and hospital. Kumarhatti, solan (H.P). All the health care workers (comprising nurses, paramedic, attendants, lab technicians and housekeepers) working in the hospital were eligible to participate in the study. Those who refused to participate and those who could not be contacted for three consecutive visits were excluded from the study. As the hospital is having many sub faculty units for different specialties, therefore in our study 152 employees were assessed from all the departments of MM Medical College.

Further the employees were given pre tested and predesign self-administered questioner, that consisted questions on particulars of the respondent and questions about the needle stick event and the completely filled questionnaires were collected on the same day. After collection of data, the data was compiled and analyzed in the phased manner.

Results and Discussion

A total of 160 questioners were distributed to the consenting health care workers in MMC&H Solan. However, 152 questioners were completely filled and returned giving a response rate of. Health care workers included nurses, paramedic, attendants, lab technicians and housekeepers.

Therefore, total 152 HWCs were analyzed according to their age, duration of their job, marital status and their knowledge in concern with NSI.

Table 1: Of the 152HCWs, maximum were (131) aged between 20-30 years. Most of them were female (132), unmarried with the maximum, higher qualification of GNM (101) and the working experience of almost one year.

Table 2 shows the knowledge and awareness of HCWs towards the disease caused by needle stick injuries. 98% of them were aware of the disease HBV, HCV, HIV which can occur due to NSI, but only 60% could define the HIV properly but 139 of them knew what AIDS is. Of the 152 only 70% were vaccinated against Hep Band 14 of them did
not know their vaccinated status whereas only 15 had been tested for antiHbs antibody and 90 of them did not have their anti Hbs checkup while 47 of them were unaware of their antiHbs status.

Table 3 shows the characteristics of the respondents towards NSI. Of the 152 maximum () were gloved, in spite of that 51 of them had NSI but reported incident were less (). Injuries were maximum due to Im/Iv injections (55) followed by recapping (50), and 109 were the open bore needles. Circumstances reported by the respondents for the NSI were uncooperative behavior of the patients (60) and rush (55).

Table 4 shows that maximum respondents did not know about the tests and the vaccinations to be taken after NSI as 83 of them had not given the information regarding PEP correctly.

In our study, Of the 152 health care workers, 86% were aged between 20-30 years and majority of them were females (86.6%) and unmarried (75%) which is similar to the study done by A R ISARA, RAKESH SHAH and sanjay dixit (1,6,13) where maximum percentage were aged between 19-40 and mostly were females (56%).

This finding of more females may be attributed to the fact that higher proportions of HCW are mostly nurses which is a female dominating profession.

Working duration of maximum number of HCW in our study was found to be one year as 41%of them had an experience of less than or exact one year with maximum (66%) highest qualification Of GNM. which correlates with study done by Laishram et al., in which the working duration is of 1-5 years (50%) and highest qualification of GNM. (2)

Table.1 Demographic characteristic of health care workers (n=152)

| Demographic characteristic | Number (percentage) |
|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Age(years)                 |                     |
| 20-30                      | 131 86%             |
| 30-40                      | 10 6.6%             |
| 40-50                      | 06 4%               |
| >50                        | 05 3.3%             |
| Sex                        |                     |
| Male                       | 20 13%              |
| Female                     | 132 86.6%           |
| Duration as health care worker (in years) |             |
| 0-1                        | 63 41%              |
| 1-2                        | 33 21%              |
| 2-3                        | 20 13%              |
| 3-4                        | 18 11.8%            |
| 4-5                        | 08 5.3%             |
| 5-10                       | 06 4%               |
| >10                        | 04 2.6%             |
| Educational Qualification  |                     |
| GNM                        | 101 66%             |
| BSc. Nursing               | 21 13.8%            |
| Technician                 | 27 17.7%            |
| MSc. Nursing               | 03 1.9%             |
| Status                     |                     |
| Married                    | 37 24.3%            |
| Unmarried                  | 115 75.6%           |
Table 2: Knowledge, attitude of health care workers regarding needle stick injuries

| Questions                                                                 | Numbers (percentage) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| **Hepatitis B vaccination**                                              |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 70                   |
| No                                                                        | 68                   |
| Unknown Status                                                           | 14                   |
| **Anti HBs antibody checkup(70)**                                       |                      |
| Checked                                                                  | 15                   |
| Not checked                                                              | 55                   |
| **What is HIV**                                                          |                      |
| Correct by                                                               | 60                   |
| **What is AIDS**                                                        |                      |
| Correct by                                                               | 139                  |
| **How does HIV spread from one another**                                 |                      |
| Sexual contact                                                          | 133                  |
| Needlestick injury                                                      | 19                   |
| Blood transfusion                                                       |                      |
| Mother to child                                                         |                      |
| **DOES SEXUAL CONTACT WITH MANY PARTNERS INCREASE THE RISK OF GETTING HIV** |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 135                  |
| No                                                                        | 17                   |
| **CAN A WOMAN WHO HAS HIV INFECTION PASS THE VIRUS TO BABY**             |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 115                  |
| No                                                                        | 37                   |
| **CAN HIV SPREAD THROUGH MOSQUITO BITE**                                 |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 32                   |
| No                                                                        | 120                  |
| **CAN HIV SPREAD THROUGH CASUAL HAND SHAKE, SHARING CLOTHES, FOOD AND KISSING** |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 22                   |
| No                                                                        | 130                  |
| **WHO ARE AT RISK OF GETTING HIV**                                      |                      |
| HEALTH CARE WORKER                                                      | 88                   |
| DRUG ADDICTS                                                             | 64                   |
| SEXUAL WORKERS                                                          |                      |
| BABIES OF INFECTED MOTHERS                                               |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 52                   |
| No                                                                        | 100                  |
| **IS THERE TREATMENT OF AIDS**                                          |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 47                   |
| No                                                                        | 105                  |
| **IS THERE A VACCINATION FOR AIDS**                                     |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 150                  |
| No                                                                        | 02                   |
| **IF A MALE IS DETECTED HIV POSITIVE SHOULD HIS FEMALE PARTNER BE TESTED** |                      |
| Yes                                                                       | 150                  |
| No                                                                        | 102                  |
| **WHICH DISEASES ARE TRANSMITTED BY NEEDLE STICK INJURY**                |                      |
| HEPATITIS B                                                              | 98                   |
| HEPATITIS C                                                              | 54                   |
| HIV                                                                       |                      |
| CORRECT                                                                   | 98                   |
| WRONG                                                                    | 54                   |
### Table 3: Characteristics of NSI

| Questions                                      | Numbers (percentage) |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| DID YOU EVER HAD NSI                          |                      |
| YES                                           | 51 (33.5%)           |
| NO                                            | 101 (66%)            |
| HAVE YOU REPORTED THE INCIDENT OF NSI         |                      |
| YES                                           | 40 (26.3%)           |
| NO                                            | 112 (73.6%)          |
| WHICH ACTIVITY LEAD TO NSI                    |                      |
| GIVING I/V INJECTION/ GIVING I/M INJECTION    | 55 (36.1%)           |
| BLOOD WITHDRAWL                               | 10 (6.6%)            |
| SUTURING/STITCHING                           | 27 (17.7%)           |
| RECAPPPING                                    | 50 (32.8%)           |
| OTHERS                                        | 10 (6.6%)            |
| WERE YOU WEARING GLOVES                       |                      |
| YES                                           | 119 (78.2%)          |
| NO                                            | 33 (21.7%)           |
| CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN YOU GOT NSI                |                      |
| DURING RUSH                                   | 55 (36.1%)           |
| UNCOPERATIVE PATIENT                          | 60 (39.4%)           |
| LACK OF ASSISTANCE                           | 27 (17.7%)           |
| FATIGUE                                       | 10 (6.6%)            |
| SHOULD NEEDLE BE RACPPED AFTER USED           |                      |
| YES                                           | 112 (73.6%)          |
| NO                                            | 40 (26.3%)           |
| HOW SHOULD YOU DISPOSE THE NEEDLE AND SYRINGE|                      |
| CUT THE NEEDLE AND DISPOSE IN BIN            |                      |
| CORRECT                                       | 129 (84.8%)          |
| WRONG                                         | 23 (15.1%)           |
| WHICH TYPE OF NEEDLE WAS USED                 |                      |
| Open Bore                                     | 109 (71%)            |
| Closed Bore                                   | 43 (28.2%)           |

### Table 4: Knowledge of Respondents towards Post Exposure Prophylaxis

| Questions                                      | Numbers (Percentage) |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| DO YOU KNOW ABOUT UNIVERSAL PRECAUTIONS GUIDELINE |                      |
| YES                                           | 100 (65.7%)          |
| NO                                            | 52 (34.2%)           |
| IMMEDIATE MEASURES UNDERTAKEN AFTER A NEEDLE STICK INJURY: WASH WITH WATER |                      |
| CORRECT                                       | 93 (61.1%)           |
| WRONG                                         | 59 (38.8%)           |
| DO YOU KNOW ABOUT POST EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS    |                      |
| YES                                           | 69 (45.3%)           |
| NO                                            | 83 (54.6%)           |
| WHICH BLOOD TEST SHOULD BE DONE AFTER A NEEDLE STICK INJURY HIV TESTING HBsAg TESTING HCV TESTING |                      |
| CORRECT                                       | 69 (45.3%)           |
| WRONG                                         | 83 (54.6%)           |
| WHICH VACCINATION SHOULD BE TAKEN UP AFTER NEEDLE STICK INJURY |                      |
| HEPATITIS B                                   | 26 (17.1%)           |
| TETANUS TOXOID                                | 46 (30.2%)           |
| BOTH A AND B                                  | 80 (52.6%)           |
| NONE                                          | 0 (0%)               |
Concerning about the vaccination 46% of HCW were sure for their hepatitis B vaccination while 44.7% and 9.2% were neither been vaccinated nor they know their status. Of the 70 subjects only 15(21.4%) had been tested for anti Hbs antibodies while 55(78.5%) did not check their vaccinated response. This correlates with the study of Rakeshshah et al., in which only 12(18%) were tested for their anti Hbs antibodies out of 67 subjects. (6)

Regarding the knowledge of HCW, 64.4% were aware of the different diseases caused by needle stick injury. Regardless, only 39.4% could answer what is HIV whereas 91.4% defined AIDS completely. This correlates with the study of sanjay dixit et al., (13) where the situation was same in case of HIV as 24% of them had answered the full form of it but there is a drastic variation when it comes to AIDS as only 08% knows its full form. This shows their lack of knowledge and awareness towards medical terminologies. As per the EPINET system, average hospital workers incur approximately 30 NSI per 100 beds per year (14). Therefore, in our study, only 33.5% had nsi but 26.3% had reported the incident which is almost similar to the study of Rakesh Shah et al., (6) In which 36% had NSI and only 08.3% had reported the same which is comparatively less as compared to ours whereas the other study by Jalina et al., (2) had mentioned the reporting rate of 43%. This somehow reflects their lack of responsibility towards themselves and unaware of the importance of peps.

Most of the needle stick injuries were caused while doing IM/IV PROCEDURES (36.1%) and recapping of needle (32.8%) in which 78.2% of HCWs were gloved and 109 used open bore needles. This is in concordance with the study done by Jalina et al., (2) in which 93% of NSI occurred by open bore and while giving IM/IV and not due to recapping but in contrast studies done by Isara et al., and Muralidhar et al., (1,15) had mentioned the cause of injury as recapping(38%)(66.3%). Now, Circumstances which led to NSI were due to rush 36.1 and uncooperative behavoir of the patients which again correlates with the study of Jalina et al., (2) where 44.1% incidents were due to rush, 25.6% uncooperative behavoir but 96.5% of them were without gloves. Comparatively study done by AR ISARA and RAHUL SHARMA et al., (1, 16) had given Aggression (26%) and fatigue (50%) as the reasons for needle stick injury.

In this study higher proportion of HCWs 65.7% were very well aware of the Universal precautions guidelines and the immediate measures to be taken after needle stick injury (61%) but talking about the post exposure prophalaxis (pep), 54.6% did not know about it that accounts for more than half of the population. Maximum respondents were unaware of the tests and vaccination post injury as 54.6% and 52.6% of them had not described it correctly. This is in concordance with the study undertaken by A R ISARA (1) where they have also mentioned the low (22%) uptake of PEP. This reflects the ignorance and negligence behaviour of HCWs. Another study by Jalina (2) had also reported the same that 94.3% of HCWs especially nurses did not took PEPs.

In conclusion, our study reflects the high occurrence of needle stick injuries among the health care workers due to their negligence towards the universal work precautions and unawareness about the post exposure prophylaxis. We also came out to the conclusion of the poor knowledge among HCWs concerning the medical terms. Therefore through this study, we would like to emphasize on the facts of creating or organizing more workshops / lectures for the HCWs which can cover-up all the important universal guidelines, medical terminologies and update on every aspect of health of the HCWs and the patients been treated under them.
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