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A B S T R A C T
An acute respiratory disease caused by a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that surfaced in China in late 2019, continues to spread rapidly across the globe causing serious concerns. The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is declared as a public health emergency worldwide by the World Health Organization (WHO). Increasing evidences have demonstrated human-to-human transmission that primarily affects the upper respiratory tract followed by lower respiratory tract damage leading to severe pneumonia. Based on the current status, the elderly population and people with prior co-morbidities are highly susceptible to serious health effects including cytokine up-regulation and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Currently, COVID-19 research is still in the preliminary stage necessitating rigorous studies. There is no specific drug or vaccine targeting SARS-CoV-2 currently and only symptomatic treatment is being administered, but several antivirals are under active investigation. In this review, we have summarized the epidemiology, entry mechanism, immune response, and therapeutic implications, possible drug targets, their ongoing clinical trials, and put forward vital questions to offer new directions to the COVID-19 research.
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1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) provisionally named 2019-ncov is the causative agent of the recent global pandemic COVID-19 with increasing fatality rate. It is depicted as a public health emergency of global concern by the World Health Organization (WHO). This contagion initially emerged in Wuhan city, Hubei Province, China on December 8, 2019, which caused pneumonia-like symptoms in a cluster of patients. Coronaviruses (CoVs) are members of the genus Coronavirus, a group of pleomorphic RNA viruses that contain crown-shaped peplomers. SARS-CoV-2 possesses an 80% phylogenetic identity with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and 50% similarity with the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) which caused global outbreaks in 2002–2003 and 2011 respectively. SARS-CoV-2 is now considered as a rapidly spreading pandemic virus that was initially transmitted from animals-to-humans and later transmitted through human-to-human [1–3].

At present there are no effective treatment options such as antiviral drugs, vaccines, and monoclonal antibodies available against SARS-CoV-2. With the emergent fatalities evidenced across the globe, SARS-CoV-2 has become a major hotspot for global researchers [4]. Recently, Zhou et al., reported that the whole-genome of SARS-CoV-2 is 96% identical to bat coronavirus RaTG13, which indicates that it is the most probable origin of SARS-CoV-2. Additionally the same group also confirmed that SARS-CoV-2 enters into human cells using angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) which is also the entry receptor of SARS-CoV [5]. Few reports had suggested the existence of pangolins as a possible intermediate host that warrants investigation [6]. In a clinical study with 452 COVID-19 patients, 286 were diagnosed as severely infected patients and were significantly older with a median age of 61 when compared to the remaining 166 non-severe patients with a median age of 53. This data suggested that SARS-CoV-2 is highly persistent and dangerous to elderly patients who possess weak immune system [7].

On January 20, 2020 the WHO released a situation report-1 for COVID-19 pandemic indicating 282 laboratory-confirmed cases and 6 deaths globally which has drastically increased to 21,294,845 laboratory-confirmed cases and 761,779 deaths as on August 16, 2020 [8,9]. WHO officially declared COVID-19 infection as a pandemic on March 11, 2020 [10]. Also, WHO risk assessment classified COVID-19 as a “very-high risk” global pandemic. The current treatment being administered for COVID-19 patients is only based on their symptoms and other specific therapeutic options are yet to be thoroughly investigated. High infection rates highlight the need for therapeutic strategies to develop novel therapeutics, vaccines, and other anti-viral drugs for this global pandemic threat. This review focuses on information about the SARS-CoV-2 mediated pandemic COVID-19 from the latest literature published and intends to provide comprehensive information on the topic to the readers.

2. Global statistical data and current scenario of COVID-19 pandemic

On December 8, 2019 a group of patients with pneumonia-like symptoms of unfamiliar etiology were reported from Wuhan, Hubei Province, China. Reviewing the history of these admitted persons, a notable commonality was observed. Most of the patients either worked or lived in and around the local Huanan seafood wholesale wet market. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) occurred in these patients at their early stage of pneumonia and some patients had additional complications like severe acute respiratory failure and intense acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Later, on January 7, 2020, the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention detected the novel coronavirus from the throat swab sample of a hospitalized person [11]. On January 9, 2020, WHO confirmed the isolated strain from the admitted patient is a novel coronavirus and it was subsequently named as 2019-ncov. Also, the first mortality of COVID-19 was reported on the very same day (January 9th). Apart from China, the Ministry of Public Health, Thailand witnessed and reported its first laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 case on January 13, 2020. On the same day, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan and National IHR Focal Point (NFP), Republic of Korea reported their first COVID-19 case. Remarkably, both the identified cases in Thailand and Japan were imported cases from Wuhan, China [8,12].

Later, SARS-CoV-2 cases were also reported in Macau Special Administrative Region, United States of America, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Taipei Municipality wherein all these reported cases had a travel history to Wuhan [13]. Based on the data reported until January 26, 2020, WHO released its 6th Situation report on COVID-19 pandemic, in which 29 confirmed cases were reported worldwide in ten different countries apart from China. Out of these 29 positive cases, 26 cases had a travel history to Wuhan city of China, wherein the other 3 had no travel history to Wuhan. However, further investigation of these three particular patients revealed that one patient in Australia had direct contact with a COVID-19 positive person from Wuhan. Likewise, another person in Vietnam also had direct contact with his father, a COVID-19 positive person who recently had a travel history to Wuhan. This transfer of SARS-CoV-2 to the persons without a direct travel history to Wuhan from their close contacts and family persons who were in the vicinity of Wuhan city clearly proved that SARS-CoV-2 can be transmitted from one infected person to another healthy non-infected person leading to human-to-human transmission [14]. A timeline highlighting the key events of the COVID-19 pandemic is given in Fig. 1. Within a duration of 210 days (30 weeks), this highly-contagious virus had caused over 761,779 deaths throughout the world and the fatalities continue to increase on a daily basis. Thus, strategic preparedness and effective public health measures are considered as the greatest need-of-the-hour to contain this global pandemic. Fig. 2A and B are graphical representations respectively of...
confirmed as well as emergent COVID-19 cases and fatalities across the globe till August 16, 2020.

3. Structural and biological configuration of SARS-CoV-2

CoVs falls under the family of Coronaviridae, and the order of Nidovirales [15]. CoVs are named after their crown-shaped spikes present on their surfaces and these CoVs are classified into four main genera namely, alpha-coronavirus (α-CoV), beta-coronavirus (β-CoV), gamma-coronavirus (γ-CoV), and delta-coronavirus (δ-CoV). In the past two decades, three highly contagious and pathogenic novel CoVs emerged and caused global outbreaks. All these three CoVs namely, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and the newly found SARS-CoV-2 remarkably come under the same genera of β-CoV [16,17].

To date, seven human-affecting CoVs (HCoV) have been identified that can be segregated into two major kinds namely, high-pathogenic (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2) and low-pathogenic (HCoV 229E, NL63, OC43, and HKU1), based on their pathogenicity. CoVs are non-segmented, enveloped, positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses which consist of spike protein (S-protein), envelope protein (E-protein), membrane glycoprotein (M-protein) and nucleocapsid protein (N-protein) in which the viral RNA is assembled. The viral RNA of CoVs with 32 kilo-bases length is the largest viral genome known [18,19]. This single stranded-RNA genome of SARS-CoV-2 comprises 29,891 nucleotides which encode for 9860 amino acids [20]. The structure of SARS-CoV-2 is illustrated in Fig. 3 and the schematic representation of the taxonomy of Coronaviridae (according to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses) is depicted in Fig. 4.

4. Similarity of SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV

A number of viruses from the family Coronaviridae have been identified in the past two decades and SARS-CoV-2 is the third such identified CoV after SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. The ongoing SARS-CoV-2 outbreak was also initially transmitted from animal species just like the two previous global outbreaks caused by SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. Evidences point out that all the three CoVs originated from bats and other different species of rodents [21,22]. Also, all these viruses, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 caused severe respiratory diseases in humans [23,24]. Recent phylogenetic analysis and full-genome sequencing showed that SARS-CoV-2 is a monophyletic group from β-CoV [25]. Kumar et al., conducted a phylogenetic analysis and reported that SARS-CoV-2 is closely associated with bat SARS-like coronaviruses. The group also conducted complete sequence alignment between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, which showed 87.2% similarity, 76.2% identity, and 2% gaps in between their S-protein sequences. However, the team also reported that the S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 is longer when compared to bat SARS-like coronaviruses and SARS-CoV [26]. Whole-genome sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 revealed 96.2% similarity with bat-SARS-like coronaviruses (SARSr-CoV, RaTG13), 79%, and 50% similarity with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV respectively.

Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 uses the ACE2 as entry-receptor just like SARS-CoV. Out of six crucial amino acid residues of receptor binding domain (RBD) five were different between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Interestingly, all the six crucial amino acid residues of SARS-CoV-2 were identical to pangolin SARS-CoV from Guangdong (GD Pangolin-CoV) and thus SARS-CoV-2 RBD region could be possibly expressed as a result of recombination in the pangolin viral strain. 3D structural analysis between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV indicated that SARS-CoV-2 has a greater binding affinity towards ACE2 when compared to SARS-CoV [27].

The protein sequence analysis reported by Zhou et al., identified that the N-protein and E-protein of SARS-CoV-2 possessed more evolutionarily conserved areas with that of SARS-CoV with a sequence identity of 89.6% and 96% respectively. However, in comparison with the S-protein of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 shares only 31.9% of sequence identity [28]. Host conditions like gender, age, and overall health strongly dictated the severity of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV in the previous outbreaks, especially in individuals above 50 years [29]. A similar trend is also followed in the current SARS-CoV-2 outbreak wherein severe illness and high mortality rate is commonly found in elderly patients (>60 years). Initial evidences have also suggested that males may be more vulnerable to COVID-19 than females due to differences in the prevalence of smoking and their immunological responses. Males in China have a greater tendency to smoke (54%) when compared to females (26%). ACE2 gene expression was also found to be higher in smokers when compared to non-smokers. Few studies suggested that smoking history may play an additional role in susceptibility of smokers towards COVID-19 infection [21,22].

**Fig. 1.** Timeline with key events of COVID-19 pandemic.
5. Mode of transmission, symptoms, and entry mechanism of SARS-CoV-2

5.1. Mode of transmission

At the earlier stages of the outbreak, it was considered that SARS-CoV-2 is inefficient in the case of human-to-human transmission comparing to the previous outbreaks of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [29]. However, the current perception on SARS-CoV-2 has witnessed a complete turn-around as the infection has been found to be highly contagious and rapidly transmissible. Several individuals were tested COVID-19 positive with just 15—50 s of exposure in public places like vegetable markets and hospitals. These patients had no history of exposure to outbreak areas and contact with symptomatic patients. Such incidents of individuals contracting SARS-CoV-2 infection in few seconds revealed the serious concern on the high infectivity and transmissibility rate unlike its predecessors SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [30,31].

Since SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV used intermediate hosts like civets and camels respectively, SARS-CoV-2 is assumed to have a

Fig. 2. Graphical representation of: (A) Global COVID-19 confirmed and emergent cases, (B) Fatalities reported till August 16, 2020 (Source: WHO Situation Reports).
possible intermediate host for transmission. Apart from RaTG13, Pangolin-CoV extracted from dead Malayan pangolins had a nucleotide identity of 91.02% with SARS-CoV-2. This data on pangolins sufficiently proves that there is a high probability of an intermediate host existence [6]. Recently, Guangxi Customs confiscated a large consignment of pangolins smuggled into southern China in an anti-smuggling operation. Examining the frozen samples of these pangolins resulted in the identification of six complete genome sequences in the SARS-CoV-2 lineage [32]. It is noteworthy to mention that both bats and pangolins were sold live or dead either legally or illegally in the wet markets of Wuhan which could be the prime reason for the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic. These wet-markets, which sell meats of dead and live animals, provide a conducive environment for both bacterial and viral transmission from various body fluids like blood, urine, and feces of the slaughtered animals. Moreover, poor hygiene practices followed by these wet-markets may be a key contributor to the COVID-19 pandemic [33,34].

Further, the transmission of this infection is majorly dependent on various routes of human-to-human transmission that includes
direct contact with the aerial droplets released during conversation, coughing, and sneezing by infected persons. This mode of respiratory droplet transmission is considered to be the main route of viral transmission in COVID-19 infection [35]. Recent researches have suggested that the virus may also be capable of airborne transmission through aerosols (≤5 μm) of the infected persons. Even though it is impossible to confirm that transmission of SARS-CoV-2 through aerosols never occur, still there is no experimental evidence to substantiate the long-range aerosol-based transmission in COVID-19 infection [36].

5.2. Symptoms and respiratory compliance in SARS-CoV-2 infection

SARS-CoV-2 infections range from being asymptomatic to severely symptomatic. The most common symptoms that were diagnosed in the COVID-19 positive patients are fever, headache, cough, myalgia, sputum production, diarrhea, dyspnea, and pneumonia. Additional complications like ARDS, acute heart injury, and several secondary infections were found in the individuals with advanced stage of infection in hospital intensive care units [35,37]. Some patients despite being asymptomatic or with minimum symptoms have reduced pulse oximetry readings. This condition has been referred to as “Silent” or “apathetic hypoxia” [38–40]. These patients show relatively a normal lung compliance with lack of dyspnea but with low blood oxygen saturation levels indicating severe respiratory failure, and hence considered ‘Silent’. Such COVID-19 pneumonia patients with silent hypoxia cannot be categorized under typical ARDS definition because, patients with typical ARDS show reduced lung compliance and severe hypoxemia. Moreover the ARDS onset limit of 1 week as per Berlin criteria [41] does not apply to COVID-19 related ARDS patients as the onset time for these patients is reported to be 8–12 days [25,37,42]. Several studies have reported the clinical features of COVID-19 patients but still there exist several lacunae in our understanding. Two different phenotypes of COVID-19 pneumonia patients were proposed by Gattinoni et al., where Type L individuals exhibit normal lung compliance and low elastance (atypical ARDS) and Type H individuals show decreased respiratory compliance and high elastance fulfilling typical ARDS criteria [43].

The characteristics of COVID-19 related ARDS and typical ARDS needs to be understood fully for early diagnosis and precise treatment. As per Berlin definition, the severity of ARDS is divided into three stages based on oxygenation index (Partial pressure of arterial oxygen/Fractional inspired oxygen (PaO2/FiO2)) on positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) ≥5 cm H2O: mild (200 mm Hg < PaO2/FiO2 < 300 mm Hg), moderate (100 mm Hg < PaO2/FiO2 ≤200 mm Hg), and severe (PaO2/FiO2 ≤100 mm Hg) [41]. Experts from the national health commission of China developed a standard treatment protocol for COVID-19. Based on their experience-derived classification of the oxygenation index, COVID-19-related ARDS was divided into three categories namely, (PaO2/FiO2) on PEEP≥5 cm H2O: mild (200 mm Hg ≤ PaO2/FiO2 < 300 mm Hg), moderate (200 mm Hg ≤ PaO2/FiO2 < 300 mm Hg), and severe (200 mm Hg ≤ PaO2/FiO2 < 200 mm Hg) [41]. Experts from the national health commission of China developed a standard treatment protocol for COVID-19. Based on their experience-derived classification of the oxygenation index, COVID-19-related ARDS was divided into three categories namely, (PaO2/FiO2) on PEEP≥5 cm H2O: mild (200 mm Hg ≤ PaO2/FiO2 < 300 mm Hg), moderate (200 mm Hg ≤ PaO2/FiO2 < 300 mm Hg), and severe (200 mm Hg ≤ PaO2/FiO2 < 200 mm Hg) [41].

This “silent hypoxia” may be considered as a clinical indication to determine the status of the patients who are at increased risk of sudden decompensation leading to death. Emergency medical services (EMS) and hospital reports have suggested that COVID-19 outbreaks are associated with silent hypoxemia in the absence of dyspnea with low oxygen saturation (SpO2) levels [45]. High incidence of silent hypoxemia should be addressed with timely detection and effective clinical management strategies. Further understanding and more reliable data is awaited to evaluate the role and impact of “silent hypoxia” in the early identification and precise treatment of COVID-19 related ARDS patients.

5.3. Entry mechanism

Entry of CoVs is a crucial multi-step process that includes numerous distinct subunits of S-protein that mediates the viral attachment with host-receptor, receptor engagement, protease activity and viral and host membrane fusion [46]. Zhou et al., using HeLa cells confirmed that SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV share the same cell receptor namely ACE2 for their entry. Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 does not use any other conventional coronavirus entry receptors like aminopeptidase-N and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 [5]. The RBD of CoV comprises the S1 subunit of the densely glycosylated S-protein (a trimeric class I fusion protein) that facilitates viral attachment to the host receptor while the viral membrane-fusion with the host is mediated by subunit S2 of S-protein [47]. The receptor binding with S1 subunit triggers substantial structural reorganization of S-protein and also destabilizes the metastable pre-fusion conformation. This receptor engagement further leads to the shedding of S2 subunit and enables a stable post-fusion conformation of S2 subunit [48]. In case of both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, the cellular protease responsible for the S-protein priming is transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2). Similar to SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 also uses cathepsin B and L for S-protein priming [49].

The higher expression of ACE2 receptors in some patients might make them more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection [50]. Since S-protein and ACE2 receptors are key mediators for the viral entry, pharmacological disruption of S-protein binding to ACE2 could be an effective treatment against SARS-CoV-2.

6. Immune response against SARS-CoV-2

Delineating the immune response against SARS-CoV-2 will aid researchers who intend to find and develop vaccines and therapeutics to handle the pandemic outbreak. However, the paucity of informative studies on the immune response against SARS-CoV-2 makes this a challenging task. Patients (82.1%) infected with SARS-CoV-2 are noted to have lymphopenia, a state of reduced level of blood lymphocytes which suggests suppression of cellular immune responses [19,35].

Even though human immune responses against SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV were elaborately investigated, studies pertaining to SARS-CoV-2 are yet to be thoroughly explored. Alessandro Sette et al., conducted a comparative analysis between B cell epitope sequences of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Out of ten dominant regions identified, six had 90% identity, two with 80–85% and the other two regions with 69–78% identity to SARS-CoV-2. Further, T cell epitopes of SARS-CoV were mapped to SARS-CoV-2 and the same group found 12 out of 17 epitopes have a high sequence identity of ≥90%. These observations of highly conserved B and T cell epitopes of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 paves a pathway for developing vaccines against these conserved regions which could also offer a dual beneficial effect to generate cross-protective immunity against β-CoV [15]. The intricate balance between host immunity and CoV load dictates the extent of viral pathogenesis. A retrospective study to identify and correlate the role of immune cells and refractory hypoxemia in COVID-19 was conducted on three patients (mean age 74.3 ± 8.1 years) with SARS-CoV-2 infection who were admitted to Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University [51]. The patients turned to be non-responders to therapy and were reported dead at different days of hospitalization (12, 13, and 24 respectively). The research findings revealed the correlation of hypoxemia severity with decreased expressions of immune cells (CD4+ T cells, CD3+ T cells, CD19+ B cells, CD8+ T cells, and CD16 + 56+ NK cells). Such an immuno-depleted state in patients may be one of the factors for the
severity of CoV infection. Also the patients had a compromised gut microbiota with severe microbial translocation resulting in secondary infections. This finding suggests that the infection mediated immuno-compromised state may lead to adverse clinical morbidity and high risk of fatal pneumonia.

Recently, a study was conducted to explore the epidemiology of the disease as well as the clinical investigation of 99 patients affected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to Jinyintan Hospital of Wuhan [11]. This comprehensive study suggested that older adult males associated with chronic comorbidities due to their weaker immune response are more susceptible to COVID-19 infection. The study also reported that obesity and chronic co-morbidities are associated with an elevated mortality rate. The study suggests that a reduced number of lymphocytes can be a potent biomarker for the detection of severe SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Irrani Thevarajan et al., detected elevated levels of follicular helper T cells (TFH cells), activated CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells, antibody-secreting cells (ASCs) and Immunoglobulin M (IgM) and Immunoglobulin G (IgG) against SARS-CoV-2 in the blood sample extracted from a 47-year-old infected woman before her symptomatic recovery. The patient was noted to have a peak level of ASCs of about 6.91% on day 8 after infection. Similarly, this study reported significant increases in CD8+ T cells levels in the woman was also increased on day 8 and day 9 post-infection. High-level expression of ASCs and cTFH cells in the bloodstream of the symptomatic patients were observed when compared to healthy individuals. This study presents contradicting results to those reported earlier from three fatal cases with reduced immune cells from Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University. The difference in expression levels found in both studies could probably be the reason for the different outcomes of the infected individuals. While the immune-depleted individuals could not survive the infection, the 47-year-old woman with a strong immune response was found to be protected, suggesting the role of immunity status in patients to fight the pandemic.

Besides, Irrani Thevarajan et al., also examined the co-expression of CD38 and HLA-DR which is an important phenotype for the CD8+ T cell activation in response to the viral infection in the same patient. They observed rapidly elevated co-expression of CD38 and HLA-DR between day 7 and day 9 of infection that decreased at day 20 of infection. The observed frequency of CD38+ HLA-DR+ CD8+ T cells was comparatively higher in the affected individual than healthy individuals [52]. All three infective coronaviruses, namely, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 induce a high level of an abnormal non-effective immune response in hosts, which is normally associated with undesirable severe lung pathology.

In most severe cases of COVID-19, the virus induces a plethora of cytokines which is identified by activated T-helper-1 (Th1) cell responses with elevated levels of Interleukin-1β, 2, 6, 7, 8 and 10 (IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, and IL-10), granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (GSF), tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interferon-γ, induced protein (IP-10), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and macrophage inflammatory-protein 1α (MIP-1α). Such increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines may lead to pulmonary inflammation and harmful tissue damage in the heart, liver and kidney, causing multiorgan failure [37,53,54]. Abundance of cytokines is reported to be closely associated with the development and progression of ARDS in patients infected with SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 infection. The dysregulated cytokine response in severe COVID-19 cases with ARDS is also correlated with a significant increase in mortality rate especially in elderly patients [55–58]. In addition, COVID-19 infection induced elevated secretion of Th2 (IL-4 and IL-10) and Th17 cytokines. In this line, few studies reported that IL-17 blockade in COVID-19 patients with elevated IL-17 plasma levels can be a potential therapeutic option in reducing the disease severity [37,53]. Several other strategies to reduce the inflammatory responses are under trial, like neutralizing antibodies against proinflammatory cytokines and their receptors, and small molecule inhibitors for blocking cytokine mediated immunopathology. Such approaches become crucial to minimize the mortality rate in COVID-19 patients [54].

The host immune system acts as a double-edged sword by exerting a beneficial role in controlling CoV infections and a detrimental role leading to immunopathogenesis. β-CoV has genes that encode for several structural proteins like glycosylated S-protein and non-structural proteins like RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), papain-like protease (PLpro) and coronavirus main protease (3CLpro) which are essential for the viral life cycle. Deubiquitination activity of PLpro on interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF-3) and NF-κB results in immune evasion in CoV infected persons [16,59,60]. Viral RNA once released inside the cell acts as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which are recognized by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), TLR7/8, TLR9 present in endosomes [61,62].

Other cytotoxic receptors like melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), nucleotide-oligomer transducer, cyscAMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) and retinoic-acid inducible gene 1 (RIG-1) aid in detection of viral RNA and DNA present in the cytoplasm [63,64]. Further, these multiple signalling pathways employ adaptor molecules like TIR-domain-containing adaptor protein with IFN-β (TRIF) and mitochondrial antiviral-signalling protein (MAVS) to induce downstream cascade molecules that involve MyD88. MyD88 is found to activate NF-κB and IRF3 leading to the release of type I interferons (IFN-α/β) and other pro-inflammatory cytokines [65,66]. The immune response against CoV is pictorially represented in Fig. 5. It is possible that therapeutic and prophylactic strategies to harness the host immune component could serve as a potential arsenal against COVID-19.

7. Existing clinical therapies and promising targets to treat COVID-19

7.1. Existing clinical therapies

There are no specific anti-viral therapeutic agents or effective vaccines for the treatment and prevention of COVID-19 respectively. In this scenario, the current management of the COVID-19 pandemic is employing supportive treatment by managing only the symptoms. These supportive cases includes oxygenation, ventilation, and fluid management. Since there are no supportive evidences to prove the stand-alone efficacy of existing antiviral drugs for SARS-CoV-2, a combinatorial therapy of low-dose systemic corticosteroids, anti-virals and respiratory supportive treatment are being followed [67,68]. COVID-19 positive individuals must be kept in beds with close monitoring of their vital signs and oxygen saturation level. In addition, protective ventilation strategies are highly recommended to treat COVID-19 patients associated with ARDS. Current antivirals like lopinavir/ritonavir and remdesivir have also been suggested for treating COVID-19 positive cases [69].

Moreover, it has been reported that critically ill COVID-19 patients are often associated with systemic disorders on multiple organs like kidney, heart, and coagulation system during the early stages of disease progression. Therefore, for effective management of such patients it becomes crucial to evaluate multi-organ functionality at regular intervals along with respiratory supportive treatments [70]. Also, studies reported late manifestations of acute organ injury, such as acute kidney injury (AKI), that is found to be one of the main risk factors in COVID-19 infection [71]. Even though systemic corticosteroids are administered presently, experimental
evidences for corticosteroids for their beneficial or harmful role in infected patients warrants further investigation [37]. Recently, Wang et al., has reported that convalescent plasma therapy can also be explored after detailed clinical studies [72]. Hence, there is an urgent need for the development of effective therapies, anti-viral drugs, and vaccines to suppress the increasing mortality rate and infection rate of the existing COVID-19 pandemic.

7.2. Potential targets for implementing efficacious therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2

Based on previously established literature and considerable results on SARS-CoV research, new possibilities, and several potential blocking strategies can be investigated for the blockade of SARS-CoV-2 [2].

The following potential target options for developing effective therapeutics have emerged based on previous and current experience. These include blocking of S-protein, blocking of ACE2 receptor, inhibition of type 2 serine proteases TMPRSS2, and inhibition of specific target enzymes of the virus that plays a crucial role in viral survival.

7.2.1. Blocking of S-protein

S-protein can be considered as a promising candidate for the development of therapeutics and CoV vaccine composition. It is remarkably stable in its immunogenicity and binding towards the ACE2 receptor, which makes them the crucial mediator to initiate viral entry into the host cell. A recent article has reported a real time mutation tracking study in SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus especially a mutation at the spike region called “D614G” caused by a single base change from G to A at position 23,403 of the Wuhan reference strain. Spike mutation D614G, is reported as the dominant pandemic form globally and may be associated with increased spreading and might impact transmission by its high serological reactivity, making it an urgent concern. Fourteen different mutation sites in the viral S-protein have been identified and their implications are being explored through structural modelling. The study findings suggest that the coronavirus is still evolving and such mutations could make individuals more susceptible to reinfections.

Thus, S-protein is one of the major targets for designing various antiviral therapies for CoVs. These antiviral therapies against S-protein include neutralizing antibodies against S-proteins, RBD-ACE2 blockers, S cleavage inhibitors, and S-protein inhibition. Further, blockade of S-protein can be established by human monoclonal antibodies. Such neutralizing antibodies earlier have shown a significant reduction of severity in the lung pathology of non-human primates who were infected by MERS-CoV infection [73–75].

7.2.2. Blocking of ACE2 receptor

Apart from targeting the inhibition of S-protein of the CoV, another efficient strategy could be blocking the ACE2 receptor so that the viral S-protein attachment and membrane fusion followed by viral entry can be prevented. This targeting of ACE2 can be achieved by administering small receptor binding domains (sRBD), a 193 amino acid-sized domain from SARS S-protein which effectively blocked the entry of SARS in vitro [76]. In vitro experiments were reported earlier involving anti-ACE2 antibodies for SARS-CoV infection, may serve as a promising strategy to block ACE2 receptors [77]. Additional in vitro experimental findings using Vero E6 cells, the human recombinant soluble ACE2 (hrsACE2) can be considered as a potential option to treat SARS-CoV-2 [78]. Phase 1 and phase 2 clinical testing has been done using hrsACE2 for the treatment of ARDS [79], however the clinical trial (NCT04287686) initiated to test the protective effect of hrsACE2 against SARS-CoV-2
infection has been withdrawn [80]. Extending the life-span of soluble circulating ACE2 receptor by converting it into an immunoadhesin form ACE2-Fc (ACE2 fused to immunoglobulin Fc domain) and making it to effectively bind to the S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 could be another promising strategy to tackle SARS-CoV-2 [2,81,82].

However, a mechanism of virus uptake that facilitates cell entry through the Fcγ receptor (FcγR) dependent manner, termed antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) may occur when virus binds with non-neutralizing antibodies or sub-neutralizing concentrations of antibodies. Whether ADE occurs in SARS-CoV-2 infection is still controversial but such possible consequences of this alternative infection pathway contributing to disease severity must be considered while developing antibody-based therapeutics [83]. These above four different blockade methods are pictorially represented in Fig. 6.

ACE2 blocking-therapeutic implications: Circulating components and tissue specific components of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) form an orchestrated network of both regulatory and counter-regulatory peptides. ACE2 is a vital counter-regulatory component of RAAS which degrades angiotensin II (Ang II) to angiotensin-(1–7) (Ang-(1–7)) which attenuates fibrosis, vasoconstriction and sodium retention. Pharmacological modulation of RAAS with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are beneficial in treatment of heart failure and hypertension management. The susceptibility of SARS-CoV infection in vitro is related to the ACE2 expression. But there is no direct connection between ACE2 expression and SARS-CoV-2 infection [84]. Blocking of ACE2 is proposed in many therapeutic strategies for COVID-19. But the protective effects of ACE2 in various tissues are widely known. ACE2/Ang-(1–7) axis generally exhibits protective effects including antiapoptotic, antiproliferative, antiarrhythmic and other processes aiding cardiovascular protection [85]. Infections with SARS coronavirus is one of the multiple predisposing factors responsible for ARDS [86]. In a mice model for acute lung injury (induced by sepsis/acid aspiration), ACE2 and the angiotensin II type 2 receptor (AT2) were found to exert protective effects [87].

After viral entry, ACE2 levels decreases leading to enhanced Ang II release favouring ARDS development. Reduced ACE2 expression in lung tissues was accompanied with pulmonary edema, elevated vascular permeability in mice after SARS-CoV infection [88]. From the same study, it was found that injection of only S-protein caused acute lung injury by decreasing expression of ACE2 in lungs which was improved by administering ACEIs/ARBs. ACEIs and ARBs have different effects on Ang II. Several animal models portray mixed results in regard to the role of ACEIs on activity and levels of ACE2 in tissues [89–92]. Animal models have also reported inconsistent findings on the effects of ARBs on ACE2 expression and activity [92–95].

Several studies have clearly emphasized that ACEIs/ARBs possess the ability to upregulate ACE2 expression levels apart from inhibiting ACE or blocking Ang II type 1 receptor [96–98]. For instance, Enalapril can restore ACE2 expression levels in the rats with heart failure [99]. Similarly, olmesartan and losartan can elevate the expression of ACE2 mRNA levels in rats post myocardial-infarction (MI) [97]. ACE2 pharmacological property is not inhibited by ACEIs. Lisinopril can increase ACE2 mRNA levels in hearts of rats post MI but the activity of ACE2 in the heart of normal Lewis rats is unaltered. But, Losartan increased both mRNA levels of ACE2 and also its protein activity [98]. Although, the current investigations are focused on ACE2 mRNA levels in response to ACEIs/ARBs, its effect on human lung tissues (both mRNA and protein levels) is yet to be unraveled. There are few studies in humans assessing the effects of RAAS inhibition on ACE2 expression. Administration of intravenous ACE inhibitors in patients with coronary artery disease had no influence in Ang-(1–7) production questioning the possible effects of ACEIs on ACE2-directed Ang II metabolism [100]. Captopril administration in hypertensive patients did not affect the levels of Ang-(1–7). But, prolonged monotherapy over a period of six months increased Ang-(1–7) levels [101].

There is no clinical data regarding the effect of ACEIs and ARBs on ACE2 expression or activity in human tissues. It is difficult to

---

**Fig. 6.** Potential targets for the blockade of SARS-CoV-2 entry.
conclude that ARB-induced changes in ACE2 levels mediate SARS-CoV entry even in animal models. One recent study reported that there is no increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection with the usage of ACE2/S-protein.

Recent studies have confirmed that TMPRSS2 is responsible for triggering and activating the infection and entry of CoVs. Moreover, TMPRSS2 plays a crucial role in the cleavage of the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein; thereby mediates viral entry. Thus, inhibition of this host cell protease could act as a potential anti-viral intervention against SARS-CoV-2. Further, experimental evidence has shown that camostat mesylate, a potential inhibitor of TMPRSS2 actively blocked SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung cells leading credence to this strategy [49,105,106].

7.2.3. Inhibition of type 2 serine proteases TMPRSS2

Recent studies have confirmed that TMPRSS2 is responsible for triggering and activating the infection and entry of CoVs. Moreover, TMPRSS2 plays a crucial role in the cleavage of the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein; thereby mediates viral entry. Thus, inhibition of this host cell protease could act as a potential anti-viral intervention against SARS-CoV-2. Further, experimental evidence has shown that camostat mesylate, a potential inhibitor of TMPRSS2 actively blocked SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung cells leading credence to this strategy [49,105,106].

7.2.4. Inhibition of specific target enzymes of virus that plays a crucial role in viral survival

Humanized nanobodies were reported to have the capacity of passing across the virus-infected cells. These trans-bodies are capable of binding or inhibiting various biological activities of viruses, which ultimately leads to the inhibition of viral replication. This approach has produced some effective progressive trans-bodies against the hepatitis C virus, dengue virus, Ebola virus, and influenza virus [74,107]. Thus, a similar approach to target the crucial components of CoVs could result in the development of an effective treatment against SARS-CoV-2 infection. The nanobodies could be raised against some of the major crucial viral proteins that are responsible for viral replication and infection of CoVs such as SARS-CoV-2.

8. Key questions on SARS-CoV-2

Aforementioned therapeutic targets and blockade mechanisms are being explored at different stages of infection but no successful outcome has yet been reported. Novel drugs, vaccines, and immunoglobulins are yet to be discovered for both prevention and treatment of COVID-19. There are still many unresolved questions on SARS-CoV-2 that warrant investigation. Some of the key questions that remain unresolved are:

- Why has the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged as a global threat, with high mortality rates and significant morbidity?
- What is the underlying mechanism of asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19, and how does it impact disease spread and public health strategies?
- How can we develop effective vaccines that not only prevent infection but also reduce severity and fatality?
- What role do antiviral drugs play in the treatment of COVID-19, and which are the most promising candidates for clinical trials?
Table 2
Ongoing clinical trials on the investigational drug candidates.

| Investigational Drug Candidate | NCT Number | Official Title | Phase |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| **Umifenovir (Arbidol)**        | NCT04350684 | Efficacy and Safety of Umifenovir as an Adjuvant Therapy Compared to the Control Therapeutic Regimen of Interferon Beta 1a, Lopinavir/Ritonavir and a Single Dose of Hydroxychloroquine in Moderate to Severe COVID-19: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Clinical Trial | 4     |
| Remdesivir                      | NCT04260594 | Randomized, Open, Multicenter Study on the Efficacy and Safety of Arbidol Hydrochloride Tablets in Treating Pneumonia in Patients Infected With Novel Coronavirus (2019-ncov) | 4     |
|                                | NCT04292899 | A Phase 3 Randomized Study to Evaluate the Safety and Antiviral Activity of Remdesivir (GS-5734™) in Participants With Severe COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04292730 | A Phase 3 Randomized Study to Evaluate the Safety and Antiviral Activity of Remdesivir (GS-5734™) in Participants With Severe COVID-19 Compared to Standard of Care Treatment | 3     |
|                                | NCT04252664 | A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Remdesivir in Hospitalized Adult Patients With Mild and Moderate COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04409262 | A Phase III, Randomized, Double-Blind, Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Remdesivir Plus Tocilizumab Compared With Remdesivir Plus Placebo in Hospitalized Patients With Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia | 3     |
|                                | NCT04257656 | A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Remdesivir in Hospitalized Adult Patients With Severe COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04401579 | A Multicenter, Adaptive, Randomized Blinded Controlled Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of Investigational Therapeutics for the Treatment of COVID-19 in Hospitalized Adults (ACTT-II) | 3     |
|                                | NCT04330690 | A Multi-center, Adaptive, Randomized, Open-label, Controlled Clinical Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of Investigational Therapeutics for the Treatment of COVID-19 in Hospitalized Patients (CATCO: Canadian Treatments for COVID-19), in Conjunction With the Public Health Emergency SOLIDARITY Trial (World Health Organization) | 2, 3  |
|                                | NCT04280705 | A Multicenter, Adaptive, Randomized Blinded Controlled Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of Investigational Therapeutics for the Treatment of COVID-19 in Hospitalized Adults | 3     |
|                                | NCT04321616 | The (Norwegian) NOR Solidarity Multicenter Trial on the Efficacy of Different Anti-viral Drugs in SARS-CoV-2 Infected Patients | 3     |
| Favipiravir                     | NCT04319484 | Multi-center, Adaptive, Randomized Trial of the Safety and Efficacy of Treatments of COVID-19 in Hospitalized Adults | 3     |
|                                | NCT04349410 | The Fleming [FMTVM/DM] Directed COVID-19 Treatment Protocol | 2, 3  |
|                                | NCT04336904 | A Multi-center, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Phase III Clinical Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Favipiravir in the Treatment of Patients With COVID-19-Moderate Type | 3     |
|                                | NCT04358549 | Open Label, Randomized, Controlled Phase 2 Proof-of-Concept Study of the Use of Favipiravir v. Standard of Care in Hospitalized Subjects With COVID-19 | 2     |
|                                | NCT04359615 | Efficacy and Safety of Favipiravir Compared to the Base Therapeutic Regimen in Moderate to Severe COVID-19: A Randomized, Controlled, Double-blind, Clinical Trial | 4     |
|                                | NCT04425460 | A Multi-center, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Phase III Clinical Study Evaluating the Efficacy and Safety of Favipiravir in the Treatment of Adult Patients With COVID-19-Moderate Type | 3     |
|                                | NCT04429241 | Efficacy and Safety of Favipiravir in Management of COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04420203 | Study on Safety and Efficacy of Favipiravir (Favipira) for COVID-19 Patient in Selected Hospitals of Bangladesh | 2     |
|                                | NCT04411433 | An Open-Label, Multicenter, Parallel-Group, Randomized, Phase III Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Hydroxychloroquine and Favipiravir in the Treatment of Mild to Moderate COVID-19 | 2     |
|                                | NCT04387760 | Treatment of Covid-19 With Favipiravir Versus Hydroxychloroquine: a Randomized Comparator Trial | 3     |
|                                | NCT04392973 | A Trial of Favipiravir and Hydroxychloroquine Combination in Adults Hospitalized With Moderate and Severe COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04303299 | A 6 Week Prospective, Open Label, Randomized, in Multicenter Study of, Oseltamivir Plus Hydroxychloroquine Versus Lopinavir/Ritonavir Plus Oseltamivir Versus Darunavir/Ritonavir Plus Oseltamivir Plus Hydroxychloroquine in Mild COVID-19 AND Lopinavir/Ritonavir Plus Oseltamivir Plus Favipiravir Versus Favipiravir Plus Darunavir/Ritonavir Plus Oseltamivir Plus Hydroxychloroquine Versus Favipiravir Plus Darunavir and Ritonavir Plus Hydroxychloroquine in Moderate to Critically III COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04341228 | Favipiravir Combined With Tocilizumab in the Treatment of Corona Virus Disease 2019-A Multicenter, Randomized and Controlled Clinical Trial Study | 3     |
|                                | NCT04376814 | The Regimen of Favipiravir Plus Hydroxychloroquine Can Accelerate Recovery of the COVID-19 Patients With Moderate Severity in Comparison to Lopinavir/Ritonavir Plus Hydroxychloroquine Regimen: an Open-label, Non-randomized Clinical Trial Study | 2     |
|                                | NCT04333589 | The Mechanism, Clinical Outcome and Therapeutic Intervention of Corona Virus Disease 2019 Patients Whose Nucleic Acids Changed From Negative to Positive | 3     |
|                                | NCT04373733 | A Randomized Controlled Trial of Early Intervention in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19: Favipiravir Verses Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin & Zinc verses Standard Care | 3     |
|                                | NCT04351295 | Clinical Study Evaluating the Efficacy of Favipiravir in COVID-19 Treatment | 2     |
|                                | NCT04346268 | A Phase 2 Randomized, Double Blinded, Placebo Controlled Study of Oral Favipiravir Compared to Current Standard of Care in Subjects With Mild or Asymptomatic COVID-19 | 2     |
|                                | NCT04356495 | Outpatient Treatment of Elderly People With Symptomatic SARS-COV-2 Infection (COVID-19): a Multi-arm, Multi-stage (MAMS) Randomized Trial to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Several Experimental Treatments to Decrease the Risk of Hospitalization or Death (COVERAGE Trial) | 3     |
|                                | NCT04345419 | The Results of COVID 19 Treatment: A Real-life Experience on Patients With COVID 19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04356677 | An Open-Label Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of VIRA20LE® (RIBAVIRIN FOR INHALATION SOLUTION, USP) in Hospitalized Adult Participants With Respiratory Distress Due to COVID-19 | 3     |
|                                | NCT04392427 | Effect of a Combination of Nitazoxanide, Ribavirin and Ivermectin Plus Zinc Supplement on the Clearance of COVID-19: a Pilot Sequential Clinical Trial | 3     |
|                                | NCT04276688 | An Open-label Randomized Controlled Trial on Lopinavir/Ritonavir, Ribavirin and Interferon Beta 1b Combination Versus Lopinavir/Ritonavir Alone, as Treatment for 2019 Novel Coronavirus Infection | 2     |
| Ribavirin                      | NCT04307693 | Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials of Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Hydroxychloroquine in Patients With Mild Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) | 2     |
|                                | NCT04330690 |  | Not Applicable |

(continued on next page)
question, “What are the sequential immune responses against COVID-19?” needs to be answered through systematic studies. As additional information on SARS-CoV2 is revealed, more questions may arise, the answers for which may lead to the development of superior therapeutics and preventive measures for this pandemic.

9. Concluding remarks

The current outbreak of COVID-19 has disseminated from China and swept around the globe at an alarming rate resulting in an exponential increase in infection rate and mortality. Currently, >25,000,000 laboratory confirmed cases and >850,000 deaths have been reported worldwide and the numbers continue to increase daily dramatically. Even though extensive quarantine and control methods are implemented by the federal governments, the spread of the infection still continues unabated. This highly contagious and highly pathogenic SARS-CoV-2 has posed a life threatening situation to the world population that has disrupted normal life and paralyzed the world economy in a matter of weeks. By far, the exact pathogenicity of COVID-19 is still unclear and needs rigorous studies. The current possible actions against COVID-19 are to cut-off transmission by social distancing, control the source of infection, and effective usage of existing anti-viral drugs. Amidst various transmission by social distancing, control the source of infection, studies. The current possible actions against COVID-19 are to cut-off transmission by social distancing, control the source of infection, and swept around the globe at an alarming rate resulting in an exponential increase in infection rate and mortality. Currently, >25,000,000 laboratory confirmed cases and >850,000 deaths have been reported worldwide and the numbers continue to increase daily dramatically. Even though extensive quarantine and control methods are implemented by the federal governments, the spread of the infection still continues unabated. This highly contagious and highly pathogenic SARS-CoV-2 has posed a life threatening situation to the world population that has disrupted normal life and paralyzed the world economy in a matter of weeks. By far, the exact pathogenicity of COVID-19 is still unclear and needs rigorous studies. The current possible actions against COVID-19 are to cut-off transmission by social distancing, control the source of infection, and effective usage of existing anti-viral drugs. Amidst various technical challenges, we should focus on continuing to develop specific drugs and vaccines to control this pandemic.
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