ABSTRACT

Background: The incidence of acetabular fractures has increased following road traffic accidents. The aim of the study is to evaluate functional and radiological outcome in surgically managed posterior wall and column fractures of acetabulum.

Methods: This is a prospective study done at Nizam’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad between May 2018 and May 2020. The sample size is 20 patients between the age group 18-60 years who presented to the hospital with closed posterior wall and/or column fractures of acetabulum with or without posterior dislocation of hip joint. Functional outcome is assessed by using the modified Merle D’ Aubigne Postel clinical grading system, radiological outcome by Matta et al and perioperative complication are assessed by retrospectively analyzing medical records and radiographics examination.

Results: Functional outcome according to Merle D’ Aubigne and Postel score 16 patients (75%) showed good, 3 patients (20%) showed fair, 1 patient (5%) showed poor outcome. Radiological outcome according to Matta criteria, 16 patients (75%) showed excellent quality of joint reduction, 4 patients (25%) showed good quality of reduction of joint. There was significant correlation between anatomic reduction of the joint surface and functional outcome of the patient in our study (p value <0.05).

Conclusions: Accurate joint reduction is of utmost importance in reduction of posterior wall or column fractures of acetabulum as posterior wall is the weight bearing zone. Functional outcome depends on fracture type, associated injuries, selection of patient, time between injury and surgery and postoperative rehabilitation.
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INTRODUCTION

Acetabular fractures are among the most complex injuries treated by orthopaedic surgeons. Acetabular fractures are usually associated with injury to adjacent soft tissues and neurovascular structures. Robert Judet and Emile Letournel helped us understand surgical approaches, technique of fracture reduction, postoperative complications. Studies by Letournel and Judet and by Matta have shown that to attain the best results, hip joint congruity and stability must be accompanied by an anatomic (defined as less than 2 mm of residual displacement) reduction of the displaced articular surface. Therefore, accurate reduction of the intra-articular fracture fragments is critical for a successful outcome, as is maintenance of this reduction by internal fixation.

Aims and objectives

Aims and objectives were: to study the functional and radiological outcome in surgically managed displaced posterior wall and column fractures of acetabulum; to study the post-operative complications; and to achieve normal range of motion of hip joint.
METHODS

It was a prospective study done at Nizam’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad between May 2018 and May 2020. The sample size was 20 patients between the age group 18-60 years who presented to the hospital with closed posterior wall and/or column fractures of acetabulum with or without posterior dislocation of hip joint. Patients with open fractures of acetabulum and patients with anterior wall or column or transverse type or T-shaped fractures and patients surgically unfit were excluded from the study.

Method of assessment

Patients with acetabular fractures were evaluated with radiographs (AP view, Iliac oblique and obturator oblique views), computed tomography (CT) and 3D reconstruction CT scans preoperatively. Anthropometric data, co-morbidities, type of fracture, neuro-vascular status, associated injuries, surgical approach, fixation method used, complications, hospital stay and outcome were recorded. Modified Merle d’ Aubigne scoring system was used to measure the functional outcome and Matta’s criteria used for radiologically evaluating joint congruency.

Surgical procedure

We included patients admitted to our hospital with posterior wall and column fractures of acetabulum between the ages 18 to 60 years. All the patients were evaluated by advanced trauma life support (ATLS) protocols to rule out other injuries. Radiographs of the pelvis in antero-posterior view and Judet views were done. All the patients underwent 3D CT preoperatively. Hemodynamic parameters were corrected and cross-consultations obtained for patients with comorbidities. In all patients Kocher-Langenbeck approach was followed. Under regional or general anesthesia, patient in lateral position surgical site was thoroughly scrubbed, painted and draped. Skin incision given at 5 cm from posterior iliac crest proximally with apex at the greater trochanter and extending the incision distally along the shaft of the femur for about 15 cm. The gluteus maximus is incised along the muscle fibers. Sciatic nerve is identified on posterior surface of quadratus femoris and is visualized for any contusions. The obturator internus and piriformis tendon are released from greater trochanter. Gluteus minimus is elevated off from the posterior wall and any devitalized muscle is debrided. The fracture edges are debrided, reduced and fixed with recon plates. The wound is irrigated with saline and tendons of gluteus maximus, piriformis and obturator internus are repaired. Wound is closed in layers over suction drain. Aseptic dressings done. Post operatively, blood transfusion was given as necessary and antibiotic and adequate analgesic cover was placed. Low molecular weight heparin was given for 10 days postoperatively. Active toe movements and quadriceps training were initiated from day one postop. Drain removal was done on post-operative day-2 and radiograph were obtained. Partial weight bearing was started from 6 weeks post op. and complete weight bearing started 12 weeks postop. Patient was followed up monthly for first 6 months and then at 1 year.

Data collection

Age, sex, co-morbidities, mode of injury, type of fracture, whether fracture was associated with dislocation of hip, time delay in reduction of hip after dislocation, method of reduction of the joint, intra operative time, blood loss, number of blood transfusions required during preoperative period, arm time, complications after surgery where analyzed. Modified Merle d’ Aubigne score, Modified Harris hip score, Matta radiological scoring system were used to measure the outcome.

Statistical analysis

Values were calculated as mean±standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and percentages for categorical variables. Correlation between functional and radiological score is calculated using Wilcoxon rank sum test. Correlation between age and functional outcome calculated using unpaired t-test. A p value of less than 0.05 is considered statistically significance.

RESULTS

Our study analyzed functional and radiological outcome in surgically managed displaced posterior wall and column fractures of acetabulum who underwent surgery at Nizams Institute of Medical Sciences between May 2018 and May 2020.

95% of the study had males. Youngest patient is of 24 years and eldest patient is of 55 years Mean age is 33.8 years with SD of 10.62 years (Figure 4).

Mode of injury was road traffic accident (RTA) in 19 patients (Figure 5).

Sixteen (16) patients had no comorbidities while two (2) were hypertensive and two (2) were diabetic. Eleven (11) had sustained right sided injury, nine (9) left sided (Figure 6). Thirteen (13) patients had posterior wall fracture, six (6) posterior wall and column, one (1) with posterior column fracture (Figure 7).

Table 1: Complications.

| Parameters                  | Number |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Surgical site infection     | 1      |
| Heterotopic ossification    | 2      |
| DVT                         | 0      |
| Iatrogenic sciatic nerve injury | 0   |
| AVN                         | 1      |
Eight (8) patients presented with posterior dislocation. Twelve (12) patients had associated injuries.

Mean time from injury to surgery was 15 days. Shortest time was 2 (two) days and longest was 60 (sixty) days.

One (1) patient had surgical site infection, two (2) had heterotopic ossification, 1 (one) patient had avascular necrosis of femoral head. 2 (two) patients had foot drop at the time of presentation. Mean operative time was 100 min. Mean blood loss was 190 ml.

Sixteen (16) patients had excellent quality of reduction, 2 had good reduction and two (2) had poor reduction according to Matta scoring for quality of reduction.

According to Merle D’Aubigne functional score, one (1) excellent, sixteen (16) good, two (2) fair and two (2) had...
poor functional outcome scores (18-excellent, 15-17-good, 13-14-fair, <13-poor).

According to Modified Harris hip score, sixteen (16) had excellent, three (3) good, one (1) fair outcome scores (Figure 10).

**DISCUSSION**

Displaced posterior wall and column fractures of acetabulum constitute the majority of all types of acetabular fractures. As posterior wall constitutes the weight bearing part of the hip joint, any displaced fracture has tremendous effect on the outcome of hip joint stability.

Outcome depends on energy and level of injury, age of the patient, radiographic pattern of fracture and associated injuries, surgeons’ awareness of acetabular anatomy, accurate reduction of fracture fragments so as to obtain pain free hip joint postoperatively.

**Type of fracture**

With respect to the type of fracture, posterior wall constituted 65% followed by associated posterior wall and column fractures of 30%. Pure posterior column fracture constituted only 5%.

Kim et al in their study of reconstruction of posterior wall acetabular fractures, 21 of 33 (63.6%) patients had simple posterior wall fracture, 12 (36.4%) were complex fractures.

Lim et al described in their study of 23 cases of acetabular fractures showed 14 cases (60%) with posterior wall fractures.

**Effect of fracture type on functional and radiological outcome**

Individuals with fractures of the acetabulum involving the posterior wall have profound functional deficits compared with the normal population. Fractures of the posterior wall with associated fractures of the posterior column appear to have a particularly poor prognosis. Anatomical reduction alone is not sufficient to restore function to normal, but residual displacement above 2 mm along with marginal impaction is associated with the development of early radiological arthritis.

Matta reported fractures of the posterior wall in a series of 262 acetabular fractures. Despite anatomical reduction as determined on plain radiographs in all 22 cases, a good or excellent clinical result was obtained in only 68%.

In our study there was correlation between fracture type and functional and radiological outcome.

**Functional outcome measured by merle D’Aubinge score and modified Harris hip score**

Ebraheem et al found that reconstruction of comminuted posterior wall fractures using the buttress technique the results for clinical outcome according to modified Merle d’Aubigne and Postel scoring system were as follows:
excellent 11 (34%), very good 9 (28%), good 4 (12%), fair 3 (9%), and poor 5 (15%).

Kim et al discussed in their study, reconstruction of acetabular posterior wall fractures in their series. The Merle D’Aubigne and Postel scores at the final follow-up visit were as follows: excellent and very good in 15 patients (45.5%), good in 5 (15.2%), fair in 3 (9.1%), and poor in 10 (30.3%).

In our study, 16 patients (75%) showed good, 3 patients (20%) showed fair, 1 patient (5%) showed poor outcome according to Merle D’Aubigne and Postel scoring system.

**Radiological outcome according to Matta criteria**

Quality of reduction in acetabular fracture is an independent variable in terms of final outcome. Incongruous reduction reduces contact area between femoral head and acetabulum leading to increase in force per unit area to the articular cartilage and promotes post traumatic arthritis. Matta et al states that though capability of acetabulum allows limited change in distribution and perhaps reshape itself, every attempt should be made to achieve residual displacement of no more than 1 mm.

Kim et al in their series, according to the radiologic criteria of Matta, 10 patients (30.3%) had excellent results, 14 (42.4%) had good results, 4 (12.1%) had fair results and 5 (15.2%) were poor.

Moed et al informed open reduction and internal fixation of posterior wall fractures of the acetabulum, in this series. Radiographic results were excellent in 79 hips (84%), good in four (4%), fair in two (2%), and poor in nine (10%).

In our study, 16 patients (75%) showed excellent quality of joint reduction, 4 patients (25%) showed good quality of reduction of joint.

**Correlation between Matta radiological score and functional outcome measured with merle D’Aubinge score and modified Harris hip score**

There was significant correlation between anatomic reduction of the joint surface and functional outcome of the patient in our study (p value <0.05).

Patients with 0-1 mm of displacement (according to Mattas’s criteria for quality of reduction) had excellent outcome when measured with modified Harris hip score and good grade when measured with Merle D’Aubinge score.

According to a study by Mesbah et al, quality of reduction and clinical outcome strongly correlated with fracture type, post-traumatic arthritis.

Gupta et al reported 74%, Mayo reported 75%, and Briffa reported 72% excellent to good clinical outcomes.

Letournel demonstrated 80.69% good-very good-excellent results in 492 hips treated surgically within 3 weeks of injury as assessed by the method of D’Aubigne and Postel.

**CONCLUSION**

Acetabular fractures have complex patho-anatomy and accurate joint reduction is of utmost importance in obtaining painless hip joint postoperatively. Overall functional outcome of the patient depends on thorough understanding of fracture configuration, proper surgical approach and expertise of the surgeon, selection of the patient, time interval between injury and surgery, and associated injuries of the patient have bearing with the overall functional outcome of the patient.

Longer follow up is needed to assess the condition of the hip for posttraumatic osteoarthritis, AVN of femoral head.
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