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Abstract

Background Maternal social support has a critical impact on breastfeeding outcomes. Fathers, in particular, are known to be especially influential. We aimed to explore paternal knowledge and attitude toward breastfeeding, and possible association with breastfeeding rates at discharge, in a cohort of fathers from an Italian tertiary referral center for neonatal care.

Methods In this cross-sectional study, we enrolled fathers of healthy term neonates born at our hospital from March to May 2019. At discharge, a self-administered structured questionnaire was proposed to fathers. Fathers were required to rate their degree of agreement to 12 items on a 5-point Likert scale. A total score was obtained from their answers. A higher score was indicative of a greater knowledge/positive attitude toward breastfeeding. Subjects’ basic characteristics and mode of feeding at discharge were collected.

Results Fathers showed a generally solid knowledge of maternal (87%) and neonatal (98%) benefits of breastfeeding, skin-to-skin (99.5%), rooming-in (79%), and responsive feeding (67.5%); conversely, only 51% knew about the recommended use of pacifiers. Fathers felt personally involved in their babies’ feeding in 79% of cases, regardless of the type of feeding. A positive association was found between total score and exclusive breastfeeding rates at discharge (p = 0.04, OR 1.07; 95% C.I 1.002-1.152).

Conclusions This study underlines the importance of including fathers in the promotion of breastfeeding, expanding the classic mother-baby dyad to a more modern mother-father-baby triad. This aspect may ultimately impact breastfeeding outcomes, although further studies are needed to confirm our results.

1. Background

Breastfeeding is the cornerstone of newborns’ nutrition. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) recommend it as the exclusive mode of feeding of the infant for its first six months of life [1], given its well-known short and long-term benefits for mother, child, and society [2–4]. The Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) has been stressing healthcare professionals’ role in the protection and promotion of breastfeeding since 1991 [5]. Nevertheless, outside of hospital facilities, social support plays an important role in determining breastfeeding outcomes [6]. Indeed, the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding [7], which the BFHI relies upon, advice to facilitate families at discharge with timely access to ongoing support and care (10th Step), thus ensuring continuity of care. Along this line, many Countries, including Italy, have implemented the Baby-Friendly Community Initiative (BFCI), which is considered as an expansion and integration of the BFHI [8–10]. The global standards for the BFCI require the implementation of 7 Steps [9]. Among these Steps, the third one advises to extend breastfeeding education to the whole family, and the sixth one encourages to provide a welcoming atmosphere for breastfeeding families. At the same time, the evolution the role of fathers has undergone over the centuries, from a patriarchal bread-winning ideal to the modern involved co-parent [11], has facilitated the transition to a more family-centered approach of care. A change of perspective has
therefore been advocated to promote and facilitate the involvement of fathers in their newborns' health, thus expanding the center of attention from the classic mother-baby dyad to the more complex mother-father-baby triad [12].

Fathers’ attitudes have a significant impact on mothers' breastfeeding decisions [13]. Fathers’ psychological and practical support influences initiation and duration of breastfeeding [14], at the same time acting as a confidence booster for mothers, who develop a higher self-efficacy if they feel supported by their partners [15]. Supportive actions can come in all shapes and sizes [16], but what drives them is the awareness of the importance of breastfeeding [17]. The more a father knows about breastfeeding benefits and management, the more likely it is to influence its initiation and continuation [13].

Moreover, according to a recent meta-analysis, targeting fathers in breastfeeding promotion in prenatal and postnatal settings improves exclusive breastfeeding rates at 4 and 6 months [18]. However, little is known about what fathers in Italy know and how they feel about breastfeeding and its determinants and facilitators.

The present study aimed to investigate paternal knowledge and attitude toward breastfeeding, and their association with breastfeeding rates at discharge, in a cohort of fathers from an Italian neonatal tertiary referral Center.

2. Methods

2.1 Design and setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted from March 2019 to May 2019 in the postnatal Unit of our hospital, a tertiary referral center for neonatal care, which operates in compliance with the BFHI principles. The institutional Ethics Committee approved the present study. Both mothers and fathers provided written informed consent for both the questionnaire and access to neonatal and maternal medical charts.

2.2 Sample

We enrolled a convenience sample of fathers of healthy term neonates born at our hospital after an uneventful single pregnancy from March 2019 to May 2019. We excluded: i) fathers without a good oral and written comprehension of the Italian language; ii) fathers of neonates hospitalized in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) and/or affected by any condition that could interfere with breastfeeding; iii) fathers of neonates small for gestational age (<10° percentile), iii) fathers of twins; iv) fathers of neonates whose mothers had contraindications to breastfeeding and/or had chosen not to breastfeed.

Fathers of twins and neonates small for gestational age were excluded to obtain a homogeneous sample, considering the breastfeeding difficulties and lower breastfeeding rates reported in these newborns [19, 20].
Partners of mothers with contraindications to breastfeeding were excluded based on the assumption that their attitude toward breastfeeding might be somewhat biased by the psychological impact of breastfeeding's impossibility.

2.3 Data collection and procedures

At discharge, a healthcare professional proposed to fathers a self-administered structured questionnaire. The questionnaire took approximately 10 minutes to be filled out and was collected by the same healthcare professional 20 minutes after being handed out.

Obstetric charts and infants’ computerized medical charts (Neocare, i&t Informatica e Tecnologia Srl, Italy) were used to collect the basic characteristics of mothers and fathers (i.e., age, ethnicity, maternal and paternal education, marital status, parity), the current mode of feeding and previous feeding experiences at discharge (none, exclusive breastfeeding, mixed feeding, bottle feeding).

Maternal and paternal education was expressed in terms of years of education: ≤13 (primary school, secondary school, and/or high school diploma) and >13 years (university degree).

The mode of feeding was defined according to the WHO definitions [1].

All other data were obtained from the questionnaire.

2.4 Instrument

The structured self-administered questionnaire used for this study was newly created by a multidisciplinary team consisting of a neonatologist, an obstetrician, and an International Board Certified Lactation Consultant (IBCLC) and a nurse based on the original tool used by Brown et al. [21]. The questionnaire was structured to follow the WHO/UNICEF Ten Steps for Successful Breastfeeding [7]. The newly created questionnaire (Supplementary Table 1) was subsequently administered to a sample of 50 fathers (40 Italians and 10 foreigners) to ascertain items’ comprehension; these 50 fathers were not considered part of the present study population, nor were included in the statistical analysis. The questionnaire showed acceptable internal consistency (Cronbach’s alfa = 0.7).

The questionnaire encompassed 12 items divided into 9 sections (Supplementary Table 1). Fathers were required to rate their degree of agreement to each item on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from “Strongly Disagree” (= 1 point) to “Strongly Agree” (= 5 points), except for item 8 which ranged from “Strongly Agree” (= 1 point) to “Strongly Disagree” (= 5 points). A total score (min. 12, max. 60 points) for each father was obtained by adding the points assigned to the various items. The first seven sections addressed 8 out of the 10 Steps for Successful Breastfeeding [7], as explained below: Section 1: antenatal care (3rd Step); Section 2: perinatal care (4th Step); Section 3: breastfeeding support (5th Step); Section 4: rooming-in (7th Step); Section 5: responsive feeding (8th Step); Section 6: use of pacifier (9th Step); Section 7: staff competency and information received at discharge (2nd and 10th steps). Sections 8
and 9 investigated fathers’ opinions about breastfeeding impact on everyday life and about mothers’ possibility to breastfeed in public.

In order not to compromise the authenticity of the responses, fathers were asked to fill out the questionnaire independently, without sharing their answers with their partner.

### 2.5 Statistical analyses

Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Non-parametric tests were used to assess differences in total scores between subgroups. The variables considered were basic characteristics of fathers (age, ethnicity, education), and breastfeeding experience (maternal parity and previous feeding experiences at discharge). The total score was obtained by adding up the points assigned to each item in the questionnaire (min 12, max 60 points): a higher score was indicative of greater knowledge and positive attitude toward breastfeeding.

Univariate binary logistic regression analysis was used to verify if the total score was a predictor of exclusive breastfeeding at discharge. ROC analysis (Figure 1) was then performed, and a Youden's total score cut-off value was determined to define the variable's performance in predicting exclusive breastfeeding at discharge.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 statistic software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

For reporting purposes, data from the questionnaire are shown categorized into three groups: agree (Likert scale 4 and 5), disagree (Likert scale 1 and 2), neutral (Likert scale 3).

### 3. Results

The total eligible population consisted of 210 fathers. Seven of them (3.3%) were not included in the study based on the exclusion criteria, and 3 (1.4%) refused to participate. The enrolled population included 200 fathers who completed the questionnaire. Basic characteristics of the mother-father couples enrolled are summarized in Table 2.

The mean paternal and maternal age were 37.2 ± 5 and 34.6 ± 5 years, respectively. The sample comprised mainly Italian parents with a high educational level (>13 years). More than half of the participants were married (60%).

Most mothers were primiparous (68%) and had a spontaneous delivery (56%). Only 36 mothers (18%) had previous experience of exclusive breastfeeding at discharge, while 139 (69.5%) had no experience at all. Exclusive breastfeeding rate at discharge was 76.5%.

The answers to the items assessed in the questionnaire are shown in Table 1. No missed data were reported. Most of the fathers were aware of breastfeeding benefits for infants (98%), mothers (87%), and
society (64.5%), and 135 (67.5%) stated they had received sufficient information on breastfeeding management during pregnancy. Almost all fathers believed in the importance of skin-to-skin contact after birth (99.5%) and rooming-in (79%). Most of them (79%) felt directly involved in breastfeeding their baby regardless of the type of feeding (exclusive vs. non-exclusive breastfeeding, p = 0.752) and considered breastfeeding on demand beneficial (67.5%). Only 51% of fathers were aware of the recommended restrictions on pacifier use in the first month of life. Information received during hospital stay was considered clear by 87% of fathers. One hundred and twenty-nine fathers (64.5%) thought that breastfeeding could lead to difficulties in everyday life, and 186 (93%) were supportive of breastfeeding in public.

No difference in total score median values was found between the subgroups analyzed, based on the following variables: paternal age, education, and ethnicity, maternal parity, previous feeding experience (Supplementary Table 2).

The total score was found to be predictive of exclusive breastfeeding at discharge (p = 0.04, OR 1.07; 95% C.I 1.002-1.152). When a total score of 50 was chosen as a cut-off value, it resulted in a sensitivity of 54.9% and a specificity of 61.7% in predicting exclusive breastfeeding at discharge. However, ROC analysis performed to assess the predictive power of the total score was not statistically significant (AUC 0.58, p = 0.083, 95% C.I 0.485-0.683).

4. Discussion

In the present study, fathers enrolled were reasonably well informed about breastfeeding. Almost all fathers were aware of the beneficial effects of breastfeeding on infants’ and mothers’ health, skin-to-skin contact, rooming-in practice, and responsive feeding. However, only half of them were aware of the recommendations on the use of pacifiers. Moreover, fathers showed an overall positive attitude toward breastfeeding, although pointing out that breastfeeding does complicate everyday life, and generally felt personally involved in their babies’ feeding, regardless of the type of feeding.

Exclusive breastfeeding rate at discharge (76.5%) was comparable to what previously reported in our population (75%) [22]; moreover, it resulted higher than the national (57.2%) and regional average (67.3%) [23, 24], and in line with the WHO/UNICEF Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding recommended rate of 75% [25]. The high exclusive breastfeeding rate at discharge strikes even more when compared with the high cesarean section rate of our study population (44%), a known barrier to breastfeeding initiation [26]. Our cesarean section rate is consistent with data reported by the Italian National Statistics Institute, although at the upper limit of the national average [27].

The association between a higher questionnaire total score (hence a greater paternal knowledge and positive attitude) and exclusive breastfeeding rates at discharge may indicate a potential positive influence of fathers on newborn’s feeding choices at discharge.
It has been described how fathers play an important role in the initiation and duration of breastfeeding. According to Bar-Yam and Darby [28], fathers may influence four different aspects: the breastfeeding decision, assistance at first feeding, duration of breastfeeding, and risk factors for artificial feeding. Two systematic reviews [18, 29] reported how an increased paternal breastfeeding knowledge can positively affect breastfeeding outcomes (initiation, exclusivity, and continuation). Two randomized controlled trials showed how educating fathers for the role of “breastfeeding coach” has positive effects on breastfeeding in terms of increased initiation rate, reduced worry about low milk supply, and reduced premature breastfeeding cessation [13, 30]. Moreover, in a recent review, Sihota et al. highlighted the need for comprehensive antenatal support and education tailored for fathers of breastfed infants [31]. Interestingly, some Authors have reported how fathers themselves want to know more about breastfeeding [13, 31]. The majority of fathers enrolled stated they had received sufficient information either before their baby’s birth or during the hospital stay or at discharge. The high percentage of answers in line with the BFHI principles demonstrates a generally solid knowledge of the subject.

A recent study by Chen et al. reported lower Quality of Life scores in fathers of breastfed infants than in fathers of bottle-fed infants, mainly due to the perceived more limited bonding opportunities with the baby [32]. Paternal postpartum depression is a worrying reality, connected with feelings of inadequacy and reduced self-efficacy often prompted by a sense of uselessness when compared to the mother’s nursing role [33]. Greater paternal involvement in breastfeeding may provide fathers with more occasions to bond with their newborn, thus proving beneficial for their mental health as well [33]. The fact that fathers in our study felt generally involved in their babies’ feeding, regardless of the type of feeding, should therefore be regarded as a positive, well-boding, result.

A high percentage of fathers interviewed declared to be in favor of breastfeeding in public, a possible sign of the changing times. Breastfeeding in public is still a controversial issue [34]: several studies have reported how it is often perceived by men as uncomfortable, embarrassing and even distasteful [21, 35–37], showing a correlation with socio-economic status [36, 37] and cultural background [31, 38]. A significant push toward the rethinking of breastfeeding in public has been given by the implementation of the Baby-Friendly Community Initiative (BFCl) [9]. In particular, the 6th Step of the BFCl [9] aims at the creation of breastfeeding-friendly environments, where nursing mothers can feel welcome. As Boyer pointed out in a recent paper, acceptance of breastfeeding in public is, first of all, a cultural issue, that the Government could help address by implementing programs that challenge current social norms [39].

Finally, an association was found between the total score obtained from the questionnaire and exclusive breastfeeding at discharge. The higher the score (hence paternal knowledge and positive attitude), the higher the probability of exclusive breastfeeding at discharge. The statistical power of our questionnaire in predicting exclusive breastfeeding at discharge was understandably limited, since it seems unrealistic to expect of any test to reliably predict such a complex outcome, bound for its very nature to be influenced by numerous factors. However, our results are in line with current international Literature [40] in highlighting how fathers more invested in breastfeeding and more informed about it may influence their newborns’ feeding choices.
Therefore, there appears to be an ever-growing need for father-focused interventions to teach fathers how to better help and support their partners, thus expanding the classic mother-baby dyad to include them as well, as part of the breastfeeding team [31, 41].

We acknowledge that the present study has some limitations. Firstly, data were collected from a single Italian center, thus our results and subsequent considerations may not apply to different settings. Specifically, the unique demographic of fathers participating in this study does not allow comparisons with other studies addressing the same topic in different populations. Secondly, breastfeeding rates were evaluated only at discharge. A long-term follow-up and a relationship with paternal breastfeeding education and attitude would probably add more interesting information. Moreover, we acknowledge that all items of the questionnaire used are worded in the direction that favors breastfeeding, and, as such, may have led the subjects, resulting in higher scores than an instrument including questions worded more neutrally. Finally, it would have been interesting to compare knowledge and attitude toward breastfeeding between fathers whose partners aimed to breastfeed and fathers whose partners did not. This topic could be addressed in future research. However, our study provides valuable insight into the personal breastfeeding experience of fathers of newborns born at our Center, since it addressed a relatively large number of fathers, whose answers were blinded to mothers, thus not influenced by their partners’ opinions.

5. Conclusions

Socio-cultural changes are progressively pushing toward greater involvement of fathers in what was once thought as a “women's job” only. Within the multifaceted network of social support (family, friends, healthcare professionals) that revolves around mothers, fathers are especially influential in improving breastfeeding outcomes [40]. Providing fathers with more breastfeeding information both pre- and post-natally and prompting a favorable attitude toward it could improve long term exclusive breastfeeding rates, although studies, maybe multicentric and with a longer follow-up period, are needed to confirm this hypothesis.
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### TABLE 1 Answers to the self-administered questionnaire.

| Questions                                                                 | Fathers (n = 200)                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                           | Agree | Disagree | Neutral |
|                                                                           | N (%) | N (%)     | N (%)   |
| **1 Antenatal care (3rd Step)**                                           |       |          |         |
| We received sufficient information on breastfeeding management            | 135 (67.5) | 65 (32.5) | -       |
| Breastfeeding offers health benefits for infants                           | 196 (98) | 2 (1)    | 2 (1)   |
| Breastfeeding offers health benefits for mothers                           | 174 (87) | 24 (12)  | 2 (1)   |
| Breastfeeding offers benefits for society                                  | 129 (64.5) | 59 (29.5) | 12 (6)  |
| **2 Perinatal care (4th Step)**                                            |       |          |         |
| Skin-to-skin contact after birth is a valuable opportunity                | 199 (99.5) | 1 (0.5)  | -       |
| **3 Breastfeeding support (5th Step)**                                     |       |          |         |
| I feel personally involved in feeding my baby                             | 158 (79) | 42 (21)  | -       |
| **4 Rooming-in (7th Step)**                                                |       |          |         |
| Rooming-in affects breastfeeding initiation                                | 158 (79) | 30 (15)  | 12 (6)  |
| **5 Responsive feeding (8th Step)**                                        |       |          |         |
| Breastfeeding on-demand is beneficial                                     | 135 (67.5) | 54 (27)  | 11 (5.5) |
| **6 Use of pacifier (9th Step)**                                           |       |          |         |
| Infants should not use pacifiers in the first month of life                | 102 (51) | 79 (39.5) | 19 (9.5) |
| **7 Staff competency and discharge (2nd and 10th Step)**                   |       |          |         |
| Information received during hospital stay and at discharge was clear       | 174 (87) | 18 (9)   | 8 (4)   |
| **8 Breastfeeding does not complicate everyday life**                      |       |          |         |
|                                                                             | 59 (29.5) | 129 (64.5) | 12 (6)  |
| **9 Mothers can breastfeed wherever they are**                            |       |          |         |
|                                                                             | 186 (93) | 14 (7)   | -       |
This table provides details of the answers to the various items of the questionnaire given by fathers enrolled in the study.

### TABLE 2 Basic characteristics of study population.

| SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC FEATURES | Fathers (n = 200) | Mothers (n = 200) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Age, years (mean ± SD)    | 37.2 ± 5        | 34.6 ± 5         |
| Ethnicity, N (%)          |                 |                  |
| Italian                   | 191 (95.5)      | 175 (87.5)       |
| European                  | 3 (1.5)         | 15 (7.5)         |
| Other                     | 6 (3)           | 10 (5)           |
| Level of education, N (%) |                 |                  |
| ≤ 13 years                | 86 (43)         | 67 (33.5)        |
| >13 years                 | 114 (57)        | 133 (66.5)       |
| Marital status, N (%)     |                 |                  |
| Married                   | 120 (60)        |                  |
| Unmarried relationship    | 80 (40)         |                  |

### DELIVERY AND BREASTFEEDING EXPERIENCE

| Mothers (n = 200) |
|-------------------|
| Parity, N (%)     |
| Primiparous       | 136 (68)        |
| Multiparous       | 64 (32)         |
| Type of delivery, N (%) |
| Spontaneous       | 112 (56)        |
| Cesarean section  | 88 (44)         |
| Previous feeding experience at discharge, N (%) |
| None              | 139 (69.5)      |
| Exclusive breastfeeding | 36 (18)     |
| Mixed feeding     | 15 (7.5)        |
| Bottle feeding    | 10 (5)          |
| Feeding at discharge, N (%) |
| Exclusive breastfeeding | 153 (76.5)   |
| Mixed feeding     | 35 (17.5)       |
| Bottle feeding    | 12 (6)          |
This table presents the basic characteristics of the mother-father couples who participated in the study.

### Supplementary Tables

#### Supplementary TABLE 1

| Questions                                                                 | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| **1 Antenatal care**                                                      |                |       |         |          |                   |
| We received sufficient information on breastfeeding management           |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Breastfeeding offers health benefits for infants                          |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Breastfeeding offers health benefits for mothers                          |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Breastfeeding offers benefits for society                                 |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **2 Perinatal care**                                                      |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Skin-to-skin contact after birth is a valuable opportunity               |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **3 Breastfeeding support**                                               |                |       |         |          |                   |
| I feel personally involved in feeding my baby                            |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **4 Rooming-in**                                                          |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Rooming-in affects breastfeeding initiation                               |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **5 Responsive feeding**                                                   |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Breastfeeding on-demand is beneficial                                    |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **6 Use of pacifier**                                                     |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Infants should not use pacifiers in the first month of life               |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **7 Staff competency and discharge**                                      |                |       |         |          |                   |
| Information received during hospital stay and at discharge was clear     |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **8 Breastfeeding does not complicate everyday life**                     |                |       |         |          |                   |
| **9 Mothers can breastfeed wherever they are**                           |                |       |         |          |                   |
This table shows the questionnaire handed out to fathers enrolled in the study.

**SUPPLEMENTARY**

**TABLE 2.**

| Total Score | Median [IQR]          | p   |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----|
| **Nationality** |                        |     |
| Italian     | 50 [46-52]            | 0.293 |
| Foreign     | 51 [47-53,5]          |     |
| **Education** |                        |     |
| ≤ 13 years  | 50 [46-53]            | 0.388 |
| > 13 years  | 50 [46-52]            |     |
| **Age**     |                        |     |
| ≤ 36 years  | 50 [46,75-52,25]      | 0.903 |
| > 36 years  | 49 [46-53]            |     |
| **Parity**  |                        |     |
| Primiparous | 50 [46-52]            | 0.962 |
| Multiparous | 49.5 [46-53]          |     |
| **Previous feeding experience** | |     |
| None        | 50 [46-52]            | 0.267 |
| Exclusive BF| 50,5 [47-53]          |     |
| Mixed feeding | 49 [43,5-50]         |     |

*BF = breastfeeding*
This table shows comparison of median total score values between subgroups.
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**Figure 1**

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for total score values obtained from all participants. AUC 0.58, p = 0.083, 95% C.I 0.485-0.683.
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