Abstract: Epothilones are a new class of microtubule depolymerization inhibitors, which inhibit the growth of a broad range of human cancer cell lines in vitro with low nM or sub-nM IC50s. Unlike many other cytotoxic anticancer agents epothilones are also active in vitro against multidrug-resistant cell lines and epothilone B exhibits potent in vivo antitumor activity in multidrug-resistant tumor models. We have prepared various types of synthetic and semi-synthetic analogs of epothilones and we have studied the effect of the corresponding structural modifications on in vitro tubulin polymerization and antiproliferative activity. Epoxide ring opening, replacement of the epoxide moiety by amide groups or a 1,2-disubstituted imidazole ring, or the incorporation of phenylene moieties in the C(9)-C(12) region all lead to a substantial loss in in vitro activity. In contrast, expansion of the 3-membered oxirane ring to a 5-membered 1,3-dioxolane system, either cis- or trans-fused to the 16-membered macrocycle, is reasonably well tolerated. Substitution of a 2-methyl benzothiazole moiety for the natural (2-(2-methyl-thiazol-4-yl)-1-methyl-)ethenyl side-chain results in analogs with more potent antiproliferative activity than natural epothilones.
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1. Background and Introduction

The effective treatment of cancer is one of the major problems in modern medicine and the search for novel anticancer drugs represents one of the most important, but also one of the most challenging endeavors in contemporary drug discovery. An important milestone in the history of modern cancer chemotherapy is marked by the introduction into clinical practice of Taxol® (paclitaxel) in 1992, a compound which has been hailed to represent 'the perhaps most important addition to the chemotherapeutic armamentarium against cancer over the past several decades' [1]. Unlike most other traditional cytotoxic anticancer drugs, which interfere with some aspect of DNA replication or nucleotide metabolism [2], paclitaxel owes its growth inhibitory activity to the ability to interact with cellular microtubules and to prevent proper passage of cells through mitosis and ultimately cell division [1][3].

Microtubules are hollow filaments of ca. 240 Å diameter, which are composed of the 55 kD proteins α- and β-tubulin as the constituent subunits. Apart from many other critical functions, microtubules are of particular importance for the formation of the mitotic spindle (an array of microtubules with the shape of an American football), which provides the structural framework for the physical segregation of sister chromatids in the process of cell division. A critical requirement for the proper assembly of the mitotic spindle and the subsequent movement of the sister chromatids to the spindle poles is the ability of microtubules to lengthen and shorten in response to specific cellular signals. This phenomenon is commonly referred to as 'dynamic instability' and it is now believed that microtubule-interacting drugs exert their effect by inhibition of microtubule dynamics [4]. However, notwithstanding this appealing unifying model, microtubule-interacting drugs can be divided into two distinct categories, namely those which destabilize microtubules and inhibit tubulin polymerization in vitro, such as the vinca alkaloids, and those which lead to increased microtubule stability, such as paclitaxel and docetaxel (Taxotere®) [5]. After its mechanism of action had been established in 1980 [6], paclitaxel (including its analogs or derivatives) was the only compound known to act as a microtubule stabilizer for more than ten years. It was only in 1995 that a second group of natural products, epothilones A and B (Fig. 1) was recognized by a group at Merck Research laboratories to share paclitaxel's ability to stabilize preformed microtubules under depolymerizing conditions and to promote the polymerization of tubulin heterodimers in vitro [7][8]. Microtubule binding was shown to be competitive with paclitaxel, which suggests that epothilones and paclitaxel...
bind to the same, or at least largely overlapping, site(s) on β-tubulin [7].

Until that time the discovery of epothilones by the groups of Reichenbach and Höflé several years earlier [12] had gone almost unnoticed, but the elucidation of their paclitaxel-like mode of action followed by the disclosure of their absolute stereochemistry in mid-1996 [13] has triggered widespread interest in these molecules throughout the scientific community and has made them the subject of drug discovery programs in several major pharmaceutical companies. In addition to the mechanistic similarities between epothilones and paclitaxel, these activities were further fuelled by the fact that epothilones, in contrast to paclitaxel, were found to be only poor substrates for the P-gp efflux pump (a 170 kD transmembrane protein) and therefore were also active in vitro against P-gp overexpressing, paclitaxel-resistant human cancer cell lines [7][14]. This suggests that epothilone-derived anticancer drugs might eventually be useful for the treatment of drug-resistant tumors.

The widespread interest in the chemistry and biology of epothilones is reflected in the fact that they have been the target of numerous total syntheses [15]. In addition, a host of synthetic [15][16] and semi-synthetic [17] analogs of epothilones have been reported in the literature, mostly originating from the laboratories of Danishefsky [16] and Nicolaou [15]. This has led to a rather comprehensive understanding of the structure-activity relationship (SAR) of this class of natural products in a remarkably short period of time. Major drug companies which, based on the patent literature, have initiated epothilone-related drug discovery programs include Schering AG, Bristol-Myers-Squibb (BMS), and Novartis. In addition, a significant drug discovery effort in the epothilone area is underway at the Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center in New York [16].

In this report we want to review some selected aspects of our epothilone-based drug discovery program at Novartis. This will include the biochemical and biological characteristics of natural epothilones as well as the synthesis and in vitro SAR of specific synthetic and semi-synthetic analogs. To put our own work into context, we shall also briefly review the most relevant general features of the epothilone SAR, as they have emerged over the last three years from the work of different laboratories. However, it is clearly beyond the scope of this article to provide a comprehensive overview on every aspect of the biology and SAR of epothilones that has been studied in the literature to date [15][16].

2. Epothilones A and B – In vitro and in vivo Biological Activity

As indicated above, epothilones, like paclitaxel, are microtubule-stabilizing agents, i.e. they can prevent the Ca2+ or cold-induced depolymerization of preformed microtubule polymers in vitro [14]. The microtubule-stabilizing properties of these compounds are also manifest in their ability to promote the polymerization of tubulin heterodimers into microtubule polymers in the absence of guanosine triphosphate (GTP), which is usually required in the polymerization reaction [5]. Induction of tubulin polymerization, rather than microtubule stabilization itself, is commonly used as a biochemical readout to assess the interaction of microtubule stabilizers with tubulin in a quantitative fashion. This may either involve the determination of absolute EC50-values (i.e. the concentration of compound required to produce half-maximal polymerization of tubulin under a specific set of experimental conditions); alternatively, the degree of tubulin polymerization may be determined at a single, fixed compound concentration and compared to the effect achieved by a specific reference compound (e.g. GTP, paclitaxel, or epothilone B at defined concentrations). Although the percentage values produced by the latter method do not represent an exact quantitative measure for the ability of a compound to interact with tubulin, they are still useful for an orientating initial categorization in the course of SAR studies with an extended set of analog structures (vide infra).

As illustrated by the tubulin polymerization data summarized in Table 1, pac-
Table 3. IC50-values [nM] for growth inhibition of drug-resistant human cancer cell lines by epothilones in comparison to paclitaxel. Values in parentheses indicate relative resistance, i.e. IC50 (drug-resistant line)/IC50 (parental line)*a).

| Cell Line     | Epothilone A | Epothilone B | Paclitaxel |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| KB-31 (epidermoid) | 2.10         | 0.19         | 2.31       |
| KB-8511b      | 1.90 (0.9)   | 0.19 (1.0)   | 533 (230)  |
| 1A9 (ovarian) | 2.00         | 0.06         | 2.00       |
| 1A9PTX22c     | 3.00 (1.5)   | 0.10 (1.7)   | 43 (22)    |
| MCF-7 (breast)| 1.49         | 0.18         | 1.80       |
| MCF-7/ADRd    | 27.5 (18)    | 2.92 (16)    | 9105 (5060)|

*a) For experimental details cf. legend of Table 2. b)P-gp overexpression/MDR [20a].
c) Ala364→Thr mutation in β-tubulin [20b]. d)Multiple resistance mechanisms/MDR.

Fig. 2. Time-dependent accumulation of epothilone B in HeLa cells. HeLa cells were seeded into 10 cm dishes (1 x 10⁶ cells/dish) and allowed to proliferate to approximately 70% confluence. Epothilone B was prediluted in DMSO and added to medium at a final concentration of 10 nM (vehicle concentration 0.1%). Following incubation for the indicated time periods, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and harvested. Cell pellets were extracted with acetone/nitile and the cell-associated amount of drug was quantified by ¹H-HPLC-MS. Cellular drug concentrations were calculated based on an estimated single cell volume of 2.8 pl. Data are presented as mean ± SEM of 3 independent experiments. Medium values for time points beyond 120 min were below the limit of quantification (1.7 nM).

At the cellular level, interference with microtubule functionality during mitotic spindle formation causes cell cycle arrest at mitosis following exposure of cells to nM concentrations of epothilones. This is eventually followed by cell demise through programmed cell death (apoptosis). Accordingly, epothilones inhibit the growth of a broad range of human cancer cell lines in vitro with nM or even sub-nM IC50s. This is illustrated in Table 2 for a selected set of four human cancer cell lines, each representing one of the major types of solid human tumors.

Epothilone B is a more potent inhibitor of human cancer cell growth than paclitaxel, which is in line with its more pronounced effects on microtubule stability in vitro. The antiproliferative activity of epothilone A is comparable to that of paclitaxel. We and others [7] have demonstrated that EC50s for epothilone-induced mitotic arrest correlate closely with IC50s for cytotoxicity (cell death), but the molecular mechanisms connecting these events have not been elucidated.

One of the most significant differences between epothilones and paclitaxel, but also other standard cytotoxic anticancer agents, consists in the fact that the former can also inhibit the growth of multidrug-resistant cancer cells [7][14]. This is illustrated in Table 3 for a series of drug-sensitive/drug-resistant cell line pairs (with the resistant line being derived from the sensitive one through selection with a standard cytotoxic agent), which are characterized by different types of resistance mechanisms.

As pointed out in the introductory section, the activity of epothilones against multidrug-resistant cells in vitro holds the promise that epothilone-derived anticancer agents might eventually be useful in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tumors, which represents one of the most serious problems in cancer chemotherapy.

Regarding the correlation between tubulin polymerization effects and antiproliferative activity, there appears to be a discrepancy between the fact that the induction of tubulin polymerization by epothilones in vitro is only achievable with μM EC50s, whereas cellular activity is observed at nM concentration levels. We have been able to resolve this apparent discrepancy by demonstrating that epothilones (like paclitaxel [21]) accumulate intracellularly several hundred-fold over external medium concentrations, such that nM medium concentrations in cell culture experiments effectively translate into μM concentration levels inside cells (Fig. 2). Intracellular epothilone concentrations thus become comparable to the concentrations required to affect tubulin polymerization and microtubule stability in vitro.

Based on the above in vitro data we have conducted extensive studies to characterize the in vivo antitumor activity of epothilone B. As a general conclusion,
epothilone B has proven to be a potent inhibitor of tumor growth in a broad range of nude mouse human xenograft models (i.e. nude mice bearing solid human tumors), albeit with a relatively narrow therapeutic window. As an example the data summarized in Fig. 3 illustrate the effect of the compound on the growth of P-gp overexpressing Taxol-resistant human epidermoid carcinoma KB-8511.

While Taxol® is completely ineffective in this model, i.e. treatment with epothilone B, either given as a single dose of 4 mg/kg or on a weekly schedule of 4 mg/kg (3 administrations), results in profound inhibition of tumor growth and tumor regressions. For the weekly regimen 5 out of 8 mice were found to be tumor-free even 60 days after the end of the treatment period.

Based on its potent in vivo antitumor activity in Taxol®-resistant models, Phase I clinical trials with epothilone B have been initiated by Novartis in 1999. However, it should be kept in mind that epothilone B treatment in experimental animal models at efficacious doses is also associated with significant body loss. (The maximum body weight loss observed in the above KB-8511 experiment was 12% for the weekly dosing regimen (d 34) and 7% after single dose administration (d 14)). The availability of analogs with an improved therapeutic index would thus be highly desirable and this premise forms the basis for our analog and derivatization program, part of which will be discussed in the following section.

3. Synthesis and SAR of Epothilone Analogs

3.1. General Aspects

Driven by the intriguing biological profile of epothilones, substantial efforts have been made over the last three years to establish a comprehensive understanding of the SAR for this new class of microtubule inhibitors [15-17]. Some of the most relevant SAR features that have emerged from this work, originating mostly from the laboratories of Nicolaou and Danishefsky, are summarized in the following (Fig. 4):

i) The presence of a C(12)-C(13) epoxide moiety is not absolutely required for efficient microtubule stabilization and potent antiproliferative activity, which decreases only about 10-fold upon reduction of the epoxide moiety to a cis olefin (Fig. 4A, R = H, CH₃) [22]. Likewise, the BMS group has recently demonstrated that the replacement of the oxirane ring by a cyclopropane, thirane, or various N-substituted aziridine moieties is well tolerated [23].

ii) Modification of the 26-methyl group in epothilone B through incorporation of relatively small and apolar substituents (Fig. 4B, X = CH₂F, CH₃Cl, C₃H₇, n-C₃H₇, CH=CH₂, Y = CH₂, Z = S) produces analogs which are only slightly less potent than epothilone B itself [22]. Polar substituents (e.g. X = CH₂OH) may lead to a significant decrease in activity [24].

iii) Ring contraction or expansion via the removal of existing or the incorporation of additional CH₂-groups in the C(9)-C(11) region (Fig. 4B, n = 0, 2, 3, X = H, Y = CH₃, Z = S) both cause a substantial loss in biological potency [25].

iv) Removal of the C(8) methyl group [26a], simultaneous inversion of stereochemistry at C(6) and C(7) as well as inversion of stereochemistry at C(3) are all detrimental for biological activity [22a].
v) The replacement of the thiazole ring either by an oxazole (Z = O) [22a] or various pyridine moieties [27] is well tolerated. Likewise, the presence of the allylic methyl group at C(16) is not required for potent biological activity (Y = H) [28]. Substitution of a simple phenyl moiety for the 2-methyl thiazole ring in epitholine B causes a decrease in antiproliferative activity of ca. one order of magnitude [28].

The lactam analog 1 of epitholine B has recently been reported by the BMS group to possess antiproliferative activity which is comparable to that of epitholine B [Fig. 5] [23b].

In a collaboration with Prof. Cinchera at the University of Magdeburg we have independently prepared the lactam analog 2 of deoxyepothilone A [29], which we found to be ca. 20-fold less potent than deoxyepothilone A on the KB-31 cell line. (Fig. 5). Likewise, the epitholine B lactam 1 in our cellular system proved to be ca. 10-fold less active than epitholine B itself (Fig. 5). Danishefsky has recently reported the total synthesis of the lactam analog 3 of deoxyepothilone A (Fig. 5) [30], which he found to be '4.8-fold less active than deoxyepothilone B'. Compound 1 is currently undergoing clinical trials sponsored by BMS [23b].

3.2. Modifications at C(12) and/or C(13)

One of the initial directions in our analog program involved the introduction of structural changes in the epoxide region (C(12) and C(13)), either through direct chemical modification of the natural products or through total synthesis of specific analogs which could not be accessed from the natural products as starting materials. This strategy was driven by synthetic as well as structural considerations. On one hand, the epoxide moiety

was perceived to represent the most reactive functionality in the molecules, offering the opportunity to prepare a variety of different derivatives through simple nucleophilic ring opening reactions; on the other hand, previous work had demonstrated that the epoxide oxygen was not absolutely essential for potent antiproliferative activity, thus raising the question whether other structural elements (apart from a cis double bond; vide supra) might be tolerated at the C(12)/C(13) site.

As illustrated in Scheme 1, acid-catalyzed hydrolytic epoxide opening in epitholine A leads to an inseparable mixture of trans diols 4a/b, which were then further elaborated into the corresponding acetonides 5a and 5b [31]. It should be noted that acid-catalyzed epoxide ring opening in epitholines requires very carefully controlled reaction conditions; otherwise various types of rearrangement reactions take precedence over simple epoxide hydrolysis (cf. also [17c]).

The epitholine A-derived C(12)/C(13) cis diols were obtained via deoxyepothilone A, which was prepared through reduction of epitholine A with 3-methyl-2-selenoxo-benzothiazole [32] (Scheme 1). Standard cis-dihydroxylation with OsO4/NMO gave cis diols 6a and 6b, which were again converted into the respective acetonides 7a and 7b [31]. Reaction of epitholine A with nucleophile sources other than water (HCl, MgBr2/CH2O, NaI/TMS-I, LiN3, Mg (OMe)2) leads to preferential, but not completely selective ring opening at C(12). Very similar chemistry has been independently reported by the group of Hofle [17c].

None of the various diols 4a/b, 6a/b or amino alcohol 9 showed any appreciable biological activity, with IC50s for growth inhibition of KB-31 or KB-8511 cells being above 1 μM in all cases. In contrast, amino alcohol 8 is at least 10-fold more potent (IC50s on KB-31 and KB-8511 cells of 61 nM and 64 nM, respectively), which may indicate that the loss in activity for 4a/b, 6a/b and 9 cannot be simply ascribed to increased conformational flexibility [33]. However, the interpretation of changes in cellular activity is not straightforward, as they may be caused by a combination of changes in target affinity, cellular penetration, and metabolic stability. We have not determined EC50-values for induction of tubulin polymerization for any of the above compounds, but none of them (including 8) showed measurable induction of tubulin polymerization at 2 μM compound concentration (vs. 69% for epitholine A) [34].

As illustrated in Table 4, a dramatic increase in antiproliferative activity is observed upon conversion of 4a and 6a into the corresponding acetonides 5a and 7a, which are 50- to 100-fold more potent than their respective diastereoisomers 5b and 7b [31] and only 10 to 15-fold less potent than epitholine A itself. This indicates that the size of the ring fused to the C(12)/C(13) single bond can be significantly increased without a dramatic loss in biological potency. Moreover, the data for 5b also illustrate that, given the proper absolute stereochemistry at C(12) and C(13), activity is retained even upon moving from a cis- to a trans-fused system. This is in agreement with previous results obtained for trans-epothilones A [22,35].

A different approach to C(12)/C(13) modifications in epitholines is highlighted by the synthetic analog structures 10-12 shown in Fig. 6 which incorporate an N-alkyl amide group (10, 11) or a 1,2-disubstituted imidazole moiety (12) in place of the C(12)/C(13) epoxide [36].

Assuming a preference of the N-alkyl amide bond for a cis conformation (as a consequence of conformational constraints imposed by the macrocycle), these latter analogs were conceived as potential mimetics of deoxyepothilone B (cf. Fig. 5) [37]. Unfortunately, all of these compounds are at least 1000-fold less potent antiproliferative agents than deoxyepothilone B (Fig. 5), indicating that the simple stabilization of a cis-conformation about the C(12)-C(13) bond does not suffice to produce potent biological activity [37]. Both steric as well as polarity arguments might be raised to rationalize the lack in activity of compounds 10-12, but these arguments are difficult to reconcile with the data obtained for analogs 5a and 7a (which are also characterized by a substantial increase in steric bulk in the C(12)/C(13)

Fig. 5. Lactam-based analogs of epitholines. Values in parentheses refer to growth-inhibitory activity against the human epidermoid cancer cell line KB-31.
region) and recent results from the Danishefsky laboratory, demonstrating that an analog incorporating a lipophilic 1,2-phenylene moiety in place of the more polar amide groups or the imidazole ring in analogs 10–12, likewise is several hundred-fold less active than deoxyepothilone B [26b].

### 3.3. Modifications in the C(9)–C(12) Region

An alternative approach that we have pursued for modifications situated in the Northern hemisphere of epothilones is based on an epothilone pharmacophore model that was derived in our laboratory from a comparative analysis of the X-ray crystal structure of epothilone B [13] with those of paclitaxel and discodermolide [38][39]. According to this model, the bioactive conformation of epothilone B is very closely related to its X-ray crystal structure, with the three bonds between C(8)/C(9), C(9)/C(10), and C(10)/C(11) all adopting an anti-periplanar conformation. The model suggests that the incorporation of meta-substituted phenyl rings in the C(9) to C(12) segment, such as in compounds 13 or 14 (Fig.7A), should lead to a stabilization of the purported bioactive conformation in this potentially rather flexible region of natural epothilones. This is illustrated in Fig. 7B, which shows an overlay between a low energy conformation of 13 (in red) and the presumed bioactive conformation of epothilone B (in gray).

The key steps in the synthesis of analog 13 are outlined in Scheme 2 [40]. The critical junction between fragments C(7)–C(12) and C(13)–C(15) was achieved through alkyl Suzuki coupling between aryl bromide 17 and olefin 16 in excellent 83% yield [41]. Transformation of this coupling product to the aldehyde 19 and subsequent aldol reaction with the dianion of the O-protected β-hydroxy acid 20 (comprising the C(1)–C(6) fragment of epothilones) [42] yielded the desired anti-Felkin syn aldol product with 5:1 selectivity [43]. The synthesis was completed by partial deprotection of 21 with TBAF, followed by Yamaguchi macrolactonization [44], which proceeded in moderate yield, and removal of the TBS protecting groups with CF₃COOH/CH₂Cl₂.

Unfortunately, epothilone analogs 13 and 14 proved to be devoid of any appreciable biological activity (data not shown). This may either indicate that our pharmacophore model does not appropriately reflect the bioactive conformation of epothilones; alternatively, the addi-
3.4. Side-chain Modifications

Previous work on side-chain modified epothilone analogs has focused on modifications of the thiazole moiety at the 2- and 4-position [17a, b][22a][45], the replacement of the thiazole ring by other heterocyclic structures [22][27] or a simple phenyl group [22], and the importance of the allylic methyl group attached to C(16) (cf. [28]). As a part of these studies and in a collaborative effort with Prof. Nicolaou’s group at the Scripps Research Institute in La Jolla, CA, we have recently shown that the replacement of the 2-methyl thiazole moiety in epothilone B by various types of substituted pyridine rings can lead to analogs with enhanced antiproliferative activity [27].

However, in addition to analogs incorporating an olefinic double bond as a linker between the macrolactone ring and different types of heterocyclic structures, we have also studied a new type of modification, which involves rigidification of the entire side-chain through incorporation of the C(16)–C(18) olefinic double bond into a fused hetero-aromatic ring system. The prototypic example for this type of structures are the benzothiazole-derived analogs 22 and 23 shown in Fig. 8. Our first generation synthesis of 22 is summarized in Scheme 3 [47].

Key steps for the construction of this deoxyepothilone B analog include i) the Pd-catalyzed coupling between vinyl iodide 24 [46] and the zincate derived from alkyl iodide 25 [47], ii) the aldol reaction between aldehyde 27 and the dianion of O-protected β-hydroxy acid 20 [42], and iii) the Yamaguchi-type macrolactonization of seco-acid 29 [44] and subsequent removal of the O-protecting groups. Apart from the low yield in the macrolactonization step (which could be improved at a later stage, *vide infra*) this strategy was primarily hampered by the low stereoselectivity of the aldol reaction between aldehyde 27 and β-hydroxy acid 20 and the difficulty in separating the resulting mixture of isomers. As a consequence we have elaborated a second generation approach for the synthesis of 22/23 and related analogs, which in essence involves construction of the critical C(6)–C(7) linkage prior to the formation of the C(11)–C(12) bond, thus obviating the need for the separation of aldol isomers for each new analog prepared (Scheme 4) [46].
As illustrated in Scheme 4, an additional feature of this second generation approach consists in the use of a (borane-based) Suzuki-type coupling between 24 and olefin 30 to establish the C(11)–C(12) bond [46], which proved to be a more reliable reaction than the zincate-based coupling shown in Scheme 3. It should also be noticed that the yield in the macrolactonization step could be significantly improved when the reaction was performed at higher dilution (1 mM) and at 75 °C rather than at RT [44]. Epoxidation of 22 with dimethylidioxirane [48] proceeded with ca. 6:1 selectivity and provided epoxide 23 in 46% yield after chromatographic purification. The same strategy was employed for the synthesis of a series of analogs of 22/23 incorporating benzimidazole and quinoline type side-chains [49].

As illustrated in Table 5 for a selected set of human cancer cell lines, epothilone analogs 22 and 23 are generally more potent inhibitors of human cancer cell proliferation than the respective parent compounds deoxyepothilone B and epothilone B. The activity increase is slightly more pronounced for 22, which is a 3 to 5-fold more potent antiproliferative agent than deoxyepothilone B across all cell lines investigated in our laboratory; in addition, the compound is clearly more potent than epothilone A. Similar observations have been made for related analogs incorporating quinoline or benzimidazole-based side-chains [49]. This is an intriguing finding, as only few other modifications have been described in the literature so far which lead to analogs with enhanced in vitro activity over natural epothilones [27]. As indicated by the tubulin polymerization data presented in Table 5, the observed increase in antiproliferative activity does not seem to be a consequence of more effective interactions with tubulin, but may rather be related to parameters such as cell penetration or intracellular accumulation, although we have not explicitly investigated these aspects. Given the fact that deoxyepothilone B has been suggested in the literature to be a more attractive antitumor agent than epothilone B (due to reduced toxicity) [50], the improved antiproliferative activity of 22 over deoxyepothilone B could make this compound a potentially interesting candidate for further development.
4. Conclusions

Epothilones are a new class of microtubule inhibitors with activity against multidrug-resistant cancer cells *in vitro* and (in the case of epothilone B) have also been demonstrated to possess potent *in vivo* antitumor activity in Taxol®-resistant tumor models. Among the naturally occurring epothilones, epothilone B is currently undergoing Phase I clinical trials. Apart from the promising *in vitro* and *in vivo* profile of naturally occurring epothilones (including deoxyepothilone B), the epothilone structural framework undoubtedly represents a highly attractive template for anticancer drug discovery. This is reflected in the large number of synthetic and semi-synthetic analogs of epothilones which have been described in the literature over the last three to five years. Although very few modifications have been demonstrated to result in truly enhanced antiproliferative activity, a number of analogs have been identified in different laboratories, whose *in vitro* activity is within one order of magnitude of that of the (respective) natural products (e.g. 5a, 7a). Among these, the epothilone B lactam analog 1 has advanced to Phase I clinical trials (BMS). It should be noticed, however, that all of the more potent analogs reported so far do not incorporate any gross structural deviations from the natural product leads. As illustrated by compounds 10-14 (and also other examples studied in our laboratories) more rigorous modifications of the macrocyclic framework lead to a dramatic loss in biological activity. In the course of our work on epothilone sidechain modifications we have now discovered a new class of analogs (exemplified by 22 and 23) with generally improved antiproliferative activity over epothilone B/deoxyepothilone B. These compounds could represent interesting candidates for anticancer drug development.
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The absolute stereochemistry of compounds 4a/b, 5a/b, 6a/b, and 7a/b has not been explicitly determined. The stereochemical assignments shown in Scheme 1 are simply inferred from the comparison of the biological data obtained for azeto-

Like azido alcohol 8 the corresponding halohydrins 8a, 8b, and 8c inhibit the growth of KB-31 and KB-8511 cells with IC50s in the nM range.

Activity decreases in the order 8c (IC50s of 6.5 nM and 5.8 nM on KB-31 and KB-8511, respectively) > 8b (IC50s of 11.2 nM and 5.2 nM) > 8a (IC50s of 96.7 nM and 85.5 nM). In all three cases IC50s were determined for 2:1 – 4:1 mixtures of the 12- and 13-halo isomers, respectively, as no isomer separation could be achieved for any of these regioisomeric mixtures. For 8b and 8c interpretation of the biological data may additionally be complicated by the fact that these compounds under the assay conditions could conceivably revert, at least partially, to epothilone A. In preliminary experiments in aqueous phosphate buffer, pH 7/dioxane 1/1 epothilone A was regenerated from 8c (4:1 mixture of regioisomers, vide supra) with a half-life of ca. 12 d. On the other hand, 8a has been reported to be completely resistant to epoxide formation even under strongly basic conditions [17c].

At first glance the lack of measurable induction of tubulin polymerization by azido alcohol 8 may be taken to indicate that the antiproliferative activity of this compound is not primarily caused by interference with microtubule functionality. However, it should be kept in mind that the cellular activity of 8 is ca. 30-fold lower than that of epothilone A. Assuming a strictly linear correlation between induction of tubulin polymerization and antiproliferative activity in vitro (which is a gross oversimplification), an EC50 for induction of tubulin polymerization of ca. 30 μM would be predicted for 8 (cf. Table 1 for EC50 of epothilone A). Based on data for other compounds (e.g. 5a) EC50s in this range do not translate into tubulin polymerization values significantly above 10% at 2 μM compound concentration (if at all). Taking into consideration that cellular activity will depend not only on target affinity in vitro, the lack of tubulin polymerization induction in vitro under our specific assay conditions thus does not imply that interaction with cellular microtubules is not at the origin of the antiproliferative activity of 8.

We have recently completed the total synthesis of both epoxide isomers of trans-epothilone A and we have established the absolute stereochemistry of the epoxide moiety by X-ray crystallography (G. Caravatti et al.). The (12S, 13S)-isomer is at least equipotent to epothilone A, whereas the (12R, 13R)-isomer is more than 500-fold less active.

The synthesis of these analogs will be published elsewhere (K.-H. Altmann et al.)

NMR studies with compound 10 in DMSO/
water have established that the desired cis conformer in fact represents the major species in the conformational equilibrium (cis/trans ratio ~ 4/1).

P. Foret, N. Van Campenhout, unpublished results.

For other epothilone pharmacophore models cf.: a) I. Ojima, S. Chakravarty, T. Inoue, S. Lin, L. He, S.B. Horwitz, S.D. Kuduk, S.J. Danishefsky, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1999, 96, 4256–4261; b) M. Wang, X. Xia, Y. Kim, D. Hwang, J.M. Jansen, M. Botta, D.C. Liotta, J.P. Snyder, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 43–46; c) P. Giannakakou, R. Gussio, E. Nogales, K.H. Downing, D. Zaharievitz, B. Bollbuck, G. Poy, D. Sackett, K.C. Nicolaou, T. Fojo, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2000, 97, 2904–2909.

The synthesis of 14 will be published elsewhere (N. End et al.).

Alcohol 15 was prepared as described by Schinzer et al.: D. Schinzer, A. Bauer, I. Schieber, Chem.-Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2492–2500. Aryl bromide 17 was obtained from commercially available 3-bromocinnamic acid through hydrogenation of the olefinic double bond, conversion of the acid to the N-acyl-(5S)-5-benzyl-oxazo|din-2-one via the acid chloride, stereoselective α-methylation, and finally reductive cleavage of the Evans auxiliary with LiAlH4 followed by protection with TBS-Cl. Details of the synthesis will be published elsewhere.

20 was prepared as suggested by de Brabander et al.: J. De Brabander, S. Rosset, G. Bernardinelli, Synlett 1997, 824–826. However, it should be noted that contrary to what is reported in this paper, the preparation of the desired (3S)-enantiomer 20 requires the use of the (2R)-bornane-10,2-sultam as chiral auxiliary.

This approach is analogous to that reported by Nicolaou for the synthesis of epothilones A and B: K.C. Nicolaou, S. Ninkovic, F. Sarabia, D. Yovourlouis, Y. He, H. Vallberg, M.R.V. Finlay, Z. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7960–7973.
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