Particulate Matter (PM) is an important indicator of the degree of air pollution. The PM type and the ratio of coarse and fine PM particles determine the ability to affect human health and atmospheric processes. Using the observation data across the country from 2015 to 2018, this study investigates the distribution and proportion of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ at different temporal and spatial scales in mainland China; clarifies the PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios interrelation; and classifies the dust, mixed, and anthropogenic type aerosol. It shows that the annual average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ decreased by 10.55 and 8.78 μg m$^{-3}$ in 4 years. PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios show obvious while different seasonal variations. PM$_{2.5}$ is high in winter and low in summer, while PM$_{10}$ is high in winter and spring, and low in summer and autumn. Differently, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are the highest in winter, and the lowest in spring. PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios show strong independence on PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, implying that it can provide extra information about the aerosol pollution such as aerosol type. A classification method about air pollution types is then further proposed based on probability distribution function (PDF) morphology of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios. The results show that dust type mainly lies in the west of Hu-Line, mixed type pollution distributes near Hu-Line, and the anthropogenic type dominates over North China Plain and cities in southern China. The results provide insights into China’s future clean air policy making and environmental research.
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HIGHLIGHTS

1) PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are decreasing year by year and the seasonal variation is obvious from 2015 to 2018.
2) PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are independent on PM concentration and provides extra aerosol type information.
3) An urban pollution classification method based on PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios is proposed in this study.
4) The Hu-line is found as the good dividing line between dust and anthropogenic type aerosol pollution.

INTRODUCTION

Due to its severe impact on human health and significant atmospheric visibility reduction, Particulate Matter (PM) has attracted increasing attention worldwide (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Gu
The source and composition of PM in the atmosphere are complex, which may be generated directly by the emission sources, or generated by the secondary conversion (Zhao et al., 2018a; Tan et al., 2019). Associated with the different meteorological conditions, the size and composition of PM in the atmosphere vary widely, and could cause various health hazards and weather and climate effects over different locations (Huang et al., 2014; Lelieveld et al., 2015; Liao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017).

The PM is mainly classified based on the aerodynamic diameter. For example, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ refer to particles with aerodynamic diameters less than or equal to 2.5 and 10 µm, respectively. PM$_{2.5}$ is also called fine particles, while PM$_{10}$ is composed of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{2.5-10}$. Particles between 2.5 and 10 µm are mainly from natural sources, such as desert, while they may also be caused by human activities, such as road dust and industrial emissions (Gu et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2018). In contrast, PM$_{2.5}$ mainly comes from the combustion of fossil fuels, such as industrial coal combustion, motor vehicle exhaust, and so on (Zhang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). From the perspective of physical characteristics, coarse particles can be removed from the atmosphere quickly through dry and wet deposition, while fine particles have a longer life in the atmosphere. Correspondingly, the fine particles are more difficult to control. Also, fine particles are more harmful to humans due to their fine sizes (Kong et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Talbi et al., 2018; Hvidtfeldt et al., 2019). Understanding the proportion of fine particles in the atmosphere is important for air pollution control and related researches.

Because coarse particles (PM$_{2.5-10}$) and fine particles (PM$_{2.5}$) have different physical and chemical characteristics, the proportion of PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$ could provide important extra information about the aerosol pollution, which is worth to characterize. Actually, previous studies have found that the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios can provide a series of important information such as the cause of pollution, the air pollution process, and the impact on life and health (Chu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017). Generally, a lower PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio indicates coarse particles dominant, which is more attributed to natural sources (Wang et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2018). In contrast, higher PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios indicate that the air pollution is more from anthropogenic sources (Chu et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018a; Zhao et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). Previous studies found that the average PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios observed were 0.62 in Wuhan, and 0.54 and 0.44 in Beijing in winter and spring, respectively (Kong et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017). In Europe with a higher level of urbanization, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are between 0.39 and 0.74, with the lowest values occurring in Southern Europe and the highest values occurring in Eastern Europe (Eeftens et al., 2012). A regional study of mixed agricultural and industrial development in the state of São Paulo, Brazil showed that the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios were in the range of 0.33–0.47 (Franzin et al., 2020). In Saudi Arabia in the Middle East, which is obviously affected by the desert and arid climate, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are 0.25–0.52, and the average value is only 0.3 (Khodeir et al., 2012). Therefore, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are affected by multiple factors such as the underlying surface, human activities, and meteorological conditions, resulting in large spatio-temporal variations (An et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020).

In China, air pollution has become one of the studies focus during recent years (Yang et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2018b; Sweerts et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). On one hand, PM pollution is severe in China, attracting a lot of attentions from the public. On the other hand, China has begun to reduce emissions significantly since 2013 (Zhang et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). Regardless of the application of remote sensing products, ground observation data, or model simulations, PM, especially PM$_{2.5}$, is often the most important air pollutant that is frequently studied. However, the related researches on the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are still insufficient until today, especially for their spatial distribution characteristics and temporal variations at national scale. A small number of existing researches have mainly focused on the short-term observation results at typical cities such as Beijing and Wuhan (Kong et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017), or have used very limited ground-based remote sensing observations to represent regional features (Wang et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015). In fact, China’s population and industry are highly unevenly distributed, and the temporal and spatial differences in air pollution are large. Therefore, it is highly demanded to investigate the spatial and temporal variations of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios at national scale. In addition, classifying the changes of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios can more effectively characterize the underlying atmospheric processes at regional scale (Chu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Talbi et al., 2018).

In order to fully understand the changes of air pollution in China and then make reliable policies to control air pollution, this study aims to quantify the PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios by using the ground observation data from 367 cities across the country between 2015 and 2018, to reveal their differences in spatial and temporal changes, and to propose a method to classify the air pollution types over various regions based on PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios.

DATA AND METHODS

Study Area

China has a vast territory, with a north-south and east-west span of more than 5,000 km, and pollution has significant changes with time and space. In this study, we carry out the variation analysis of air pollutants at two spatial scales. One is the analysis of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in 367 cities across mainland China (Figure 1). The other is the analysis of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios by dividing all stations into 31 provincial-level administrative units for their spatial difference comparison and regional feature.

Data

The research period of this study is from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2018. The PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ observation at national
stations in each city is operated by the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of the People’s Republic of China, and the data are released on the website (http://www.mee.gov.cn/) of the China Ministry of Ecology and Environment in real-time.

At this stage, Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) can be obtained from both ground-based and satellite based remote sensing. As shown in Figure 1, ground-based remote sensing of AOD used in this study was obtained from Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET, https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/). This data was obtained through a multi-band solar photometer (CE-318). AERONET has accumulated a large amount of AOD data worldwide, with long time series and high accuracy, and is widely used as a standard for satellite inversion accuracy verification. In addition, this study takes use of atmospheric aerosol optical, physical, chemical, and radiation characteristics data obtained from long-term observations of the Solar-Sky Radiometer Observation Network (SONET, http://www.sonet.ac.cn) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). It should be noted that the AOD data is not separately analyzed and calculated in this study, but is mainly used for comparison of results in the discussion.

PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio can provide information about the aerosol particle size distribution, based on which we would propose a method to classify aerosol types by setting up some threshold values. As shown later in Aerosol Type Classification Method Using PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ Ratios section, one variable we mainly take use for aerosol type classification is the PDF distribution of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios (Markonis et al., 2019), and the specific formula is as follows,

$$P(a \leq x \leq b) = \int_{a}^{b} f_{X}(x)dx$$

where $P(a \leq x \leq b)$ is the probability of occurrence for PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios with values between $a$ and $b$, and $f_{X}(x)$ is frequency of occurrence of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios at a specific value of $x$ between $a$ and $b$.

Aerosol Type Classification Method Using PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ Ratios

In this study, we proposed the aerosol type classification method based on the spatiotemporal analysis of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios. As indicated earlier, the classification takes use of the PDF of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios, along with the skewness and kurtosis of related variables. Skewness is a measure of the direction and degree of skew of statistical data distribution, and is a numerical feature of the degree of asymmetry of statistical data distribution. The skewness includes normal distribution (Skewness $= 0$), right skewed distribution (Skewness $> 0$), and left skewed distribution (Skewness $< 0$). Kurtosis is a characteristic number that represents the peak value of PDF at the average value. Generally speaking, Kurtosis $> 0$ represents a peak distribution, and Kurtosis $< 0$ indicates a flat distribution (Fu and Pawlowski, 2016; Cain et al., 2017; Mei et al., 2017).

The specific classification methods are shown in Figure 2. First, we list the PDF of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios at all stations, which are shown in Supplementary Figures S1–S5. Second, we analyze the PDF distribution type to examine whether it is unimodal or

FIGURE 1 | The spatial map about the study area and observation stations, along with the sites used for evaluation of our study results, which are SONET sites and AERONET sites.
bimodal distribution. If the PDF is a bimodal distribution, the aerosol particle type at this site is preliminarily judged as a mixed type (type II). These cities include Shaoxing, Urumqi, Karamay, Xishuangbanna, Changji and Wujiqiu. Third, we further examine the range of peaks at all stations showing a single-peak distribution. The aerosol particles with PDF peak value <0.4 are classified as dust type (type I); with PDF peak value ranging from 0.4 to 0.6 are classified as the mixed type (type II); and those with PDF peak value >0.6 are classified as the anthropogenic type (type III). Fourth, according to the Kurtosis of the PDF distribution at each site, we determine whether the aerosols belong to the typical category under this broad category. If kurtosis ≥0, it means that the site’s PDF distribution is concentrated, which is a typical category of the type; if kurtosis <0, it means that the site’s PDF distribution is flat, which is an atypical category of the type. For example, when kurtosis ≥0, the dust type aerosol is classified as the typical dust type (type Ia), otherwise it is the atypical dust type (type Ib). Fifth, considering that the atypical mixed type is relatively complex, we further judged the type Ib according to Skewness. When Skewness <0, it indicates that the site belongs to the mixed type that is more polluted by anthropogenic; and when Skewness >0, it belongs to the mixed type that is more polluted by dust. It should be noted that although the selection of 0.4 and 0.6 as the classification criteria is subjective to a certain degree, it is consistent with the classification results of urban pollution types in previous studies (Wang et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2018). In addition, every 0.1 change in the threshold will cause approximately 3.8–7.1% of urban aerosol types to change.

Cities classified according to this method can be summarized into three major categories of dust type (I), mixed type (II), and anthropogenic type (III), and seven small categories of typical dust type (Ia) and atypical dust type (Ib), typical mixed type (Ia), mixed-anthropogenic type (IIa) and mixed-dust type (IIb), typical anthropogenic type (IIIa) and atypical anthropogenic type (IIIb) (Figures 2, 3). Figure 3 shows the PDF distributions over seven typical cities for each small category. These cities are located in the regions of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (Xining), North China (Shijiazhuang, Hohhot), Northwest China (Hetian), East China (Jiaxing, Huzhou) and Central China (Yiyang). Thus, the cities have their typical region representative. Figure 3 also shows the differences in coarse and fine aerosol particles among these regions. Based on this classification method, we can quantitatively separate various types of air pollution, which will be described in detail in Air Pollution Classification section.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variation Characteristics of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> Ratios

From 2015 to 2018, the annual average mass concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> at all sites in China showed a decreasing trend (Figure 4). The average annual mass concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the 4 years are 48.63, 45.00, 42.91, and 38.08 μg m<sup>-3</sup>, and that for PM<sub>10</sub> are 85.13, 80.77, 78.76 and 74.37 μg m<sup>-3</sup> respectively. According to the China ambient air quality standards GB3095-2012 (http://www.mee.gov.cn/), the annual average concentration limits of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> for good air quality are 35 and 70 μg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. As a result, the annual average concentration of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exceeded the standard by 38.9 and 8.8% in 2015 and 2018, respectively; and the annual average concentration of PM<sub>10</sub> exceeded the standard by 21.6 and 6.2% in 2015 and 2018, respectively. Same as the findings from previous studies (Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020), PM<sub>2.5</sub> has been the focus of air pollution control since 2013, so the rate of its decline has been faster than other air pollutants. Although PM<sub>10</sub> declined more slowly, it is closer to the limit of air quality standards than PM<sub>2.5</sub>. While there is a decreasing trend, PM in the winter of 2016/2017 has not decreased significantly, as shown in Figure 4. This is because two large-scale severe haze events occurred in northern China at that time in winter, which even reached the highest level of air quality warning degree in China (An et al., 2019). The annual average change of PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> ratios was similar as the change of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations, and decreased from 0.57 in 2015
to 0.54 in 2018, which suggests that the proportion of fine PM in China is also slowly decreasing.

**Figure 4** also shows the monthly changes of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios during the study period. The monthly average PM$_{2.5}$ has a clear seasonal variation in each year, high in winter and low in summer. This seasonal distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ is associated with the combined effects of anthropogenic emissions and meteorology (Chu et al., 2015; An et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). The relatively large amount of pollutant emissions in winter determine the high level of air pollution, and the meteorological conditions with more stable atmospheric status and less wet deposition are unfavorable for diffusion and removal of air pollutants in winter (Wang et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017). PM$_{10}$ is higher in
winter and spring, especially in 2016 and 2018. The highest monthly average PM$_{10}$ values appeared in March and April, with the largest standard deviation in spring. This suggests that the PM$_{10}$ is more from natural sources (such as sandstorm), and the emission reduction treatment may only be effective for coarse particles emitted from anthropogenic sources (Khoideir et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2019).

The seasonal variation of monthly average PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios is not completely consistent with that of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$. The highest PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio values occur in winter and the lowest values occur in April and May. The occurrence of the low PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio values may be directly related to the high values of PM$_{10}$ from dust in spring. The PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios have very gentle variation from April to October in each year, with values about 0.55 in 2015–2016 and about 0.5 in 2017–2018. This also suggests that the monthly average of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in China has slightly declined for recent years, but the weak monthly variation pattern from spring to autumn remains basically unchanged.

We visualize the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios at each site in the national map in four seasons (Figure 5) and find that the spatial distributions of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios among the four seasons are significantly different. Almost at all stations there are the highest PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in winter, and the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in the cities east of “Hu-Line” and the northern Xinjiang region in winter all reach above 0.6. As indicated earlier, this seasonal variation may be related to more emission in winter than in other seasons form the coal-fired heating and industrial activities in these areas, along with the more unfavorable meteorological conditions for diffusion (Huang et al., 2014; An et al., 2019). In the southern region, the humidity is relatively high in winter, and particle hygroscopic growth will further increase the proportion of fine particles (Xu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018a; Yang et al., 2019). Therefore, the current “Hu-Line” still has a certain indicating significance not only for the speed of China’s economic development, but also for the classification of urban air pollution types.

In spring, China inland areas warm up to accelerate air drying with sparse natural vegetation (Fan et al., 2018), and windy weather condition causes sand and dust to travel from northwest China to the coast (Chen et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2019), which results in high PM$_{10}$ and low PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in more cities in spring. The contrast is obvious at the Qinling Mountains—Huaihe River Line, in which the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio is higher in the south with values almost all above 0.55, and lower in the north with values almost all below 0.5 except for a few cities in the northeast. We also find that the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in cities in the southern region are higher than that in northern regions in all four seasons, which implies that although there is more serious air pollution in north China regions such as the North China Plain and Fenwei Plain, the proportion of fine particles is higher in southern China region.

In summary, the seasonal changes in the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ are mainly due to changes in anthropogenic emission and meteorological conditions in different seasons. Specifically, the higher ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ in winter was mainly caused by higher emissions from heating and more stagnant weather conditions (Wang et al., 2015). On the contrary, more precipitation in summer and more sandstorms in spring result in a relatively low ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ (Wang et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2020). Combined with previous studies (Zhang et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020), the year-to-year decrease in the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio found in this study should be mainly caused by rigid air quality control policies and emission reduction measures in recent years. As for the difference in the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ between regions, it is closely related to the effectiveness of governance, meteorology, and the background value of local pollution. For example, the North China has a relatively high PM$_{10}$ due to the impact of dust, while southern China is more susceptible to the impact of monsoon precipitation, resulting in relatively low PM$_{10}$.

### Spatial Variation Analysis of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ Ratios

We analyze the spatial distribution of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in 31 provinces along with the annual average of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in each province from 2015 to 2018, which is shown in Figure 6. Each column in Figure 6 represents the relative difference of annual average PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio at each province compared with the national average in every year from 2015 to 2018, which provides information about the spatial change of the fine particle proportion in 31 provinces. The high-value areas are mainly concentrated in the provinces in the North China Plain and surrounding provinces as well as Xinjiang Autonomous, which is consistent with the findings from previous studies (Huang et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2020).

Figure 6 shows that PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in Xinjiang, Tibet, Gansu, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, Qinghai, and Hainan are far lower than the national average. All of these provinces are inland provinces in northwestern China except Hainan, with no obvious inter-annual changes of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios during the study period. The PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in the three northeast provinces are close to the national average. The four-year averages of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in Heilongjiang and Liaoning are lower than the national averages by 1.4 and 0.6%, respectively. In Jilin province, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios show a decreasing trend during the study period compared to the national averages, with values from 4.2% higher in 2015 to 0.7% lower than the national average in 2018. Except for Yunnan and Hainan provinces in southern China, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in all other provinces have increasing trends during the study period compared to the national averages. Among them, Shanghai is the province with the highest PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in the country for each year during the study period, with an average value of 19.6% higher than the national average during the four years. The proportions of fine particles to total in Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou, Guangxi, Guangdong, Hunan, Fujian and Jiangsu show increasing trends to varying degrees relatively to the national average. The changes of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in the northern and central provinces where air pollution is severe are even more complicated. PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in Hebei, Shanxi, Shandong, and Shaanxi are lower than the national average, while Henan Province fluctuates between -1.8% below the national average and 2.2% above the national average. The PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in...
FIGURE 5 | The spatial distributions of averaged PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios at national sites in China for four seasons of spring, summer, autumn and winter during the study period from 2015 to 2018. The green line represents the Hu-line.

FIGURE 6 | The spatial distribution of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in 31 provinces along with the annual average of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in each province from 2015 to 2018.
Beijing and Tianjin have fallen rapidly compared to the national averages, decreasing by 9.9 and 8.3% respectively in four years. The results shown here also suggest that there is no clear relationship between the temporal variations of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in each province. Table 1 further visually shows the changes in the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ in each province from 2015 to 2018, and whether it is significantly different from the national average. It clearly shows that the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ in each province is basically a downward trend from 2015 to 2018, which reflects the efficiency of air pollution control. Moreover, the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ in some provinces in southern China, such as Guangdong, Guangxi, and Jiangxi, is significantly higher than the national average, while provinces in northern China, such as Gansu, Ningxia, and Inner Mongolia, have the opposite result.

PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios could provide the pollution source information (such as natural or anthropogenic sources) to some extent. As shown in Figures 7, 8, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios can serve as an extra indicator in addition to PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10}$, and provide information about the aerosol types. PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios have no significant correlations with PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, as shown in Figures 7A,B. In contrast, Figure 7C has shown that PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ have a good linear relationship at the provincial level with R$^2$ between 0.65 and 0.76, which passes the significance test (p < 0.001). This high correlation is consistent with the site observations, which is shown in different spatial scales, we also perform an analysis based on 367 et al., 2018). In order to investigate the potential effects from that the parallel to the analysis results indicate that PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios can serve as an independent indicator of aerosol pollution.

**Air Pollution Classification**

We here use hourly PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio data from 367 sites in China during the study period to classify the characteristics of near surface air pollution. The detailed classification method and process have been given in *Aerosol Type Classification Method Using PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ Ratios* section, with the framework shown in Figure 2. Figure 9 shows the results of our classification. As shown, cities classified as sand and dust pollution (type I) are located in the west and north of"Hu-Line"; mixed pollution (type II) cities are mainly located near "Hu-Line," North China Plain, Yunnan Provinces and some coastal cities; and anthropogenic pollution (type III) cities are almost all located in the southeast of "Hu-Line."

The desert and Gobi in China are mainly located in the northwest inland region. In addition, the central and western regions of the Inner Mongolia Plateau and the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau together form the main area of the west of the “Hu-Line." The vegetation coverage is low in the northwest inland region (Chen et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2019), and the absolute quantity and proportion of PM$_{10}$ affected by natural sources are relatively high (Figures 3, 4). In recent years, the development of capital cities of every province and some industrial cities in northwestern China has increased the proportion of fine particles. Therefore, we found that industrial cities such as Lanzhou-Xining City Group, Urumqi, Yinchuan, and Baotou have shown mixed pollution type of aerosols.

Our analysis finds that in the cities of Sichuan Basin, central China, southern coast, and eastern China, the PM particles are mostly from anthropogenic pollution. The common characteristics of these regions are rapid industrial development, high urbanization rate, and high anthropogenic emissions (Wu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2020). At the same time, these areas are far away from the natural sand and dust sources. Correspondingly, the dust particles caused by strong winds in spring in these areas are far less than that in those cities in Northwest and North China. In addition, the overall environmental humidity in the south is higher than that in the north, and the hygroscopic growth of new particles may also increase the proportion of PM$_{2.5}$ (Zhao et al., 2018a; Wang et al., 2020). Therefore, under the combined effect of multiple causes, it appears as a type of anthropogenic pollution in the cities of Sichuan Basin, central China, southern coast, and eastern China. The North China Plain area is more susceptible to dust transport than the South, especially in spring (Chen et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2020). Therefore, although the absolute amount of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in the North China Plain is the highest in the country, the proportion of fine particles is not the highest. This is also an important reason for more mixed pollution in the cities of the North China Plain.

While the PM concentration is found mainly determined by emissions, meteorological factors also play an important role by affecting the diffusion and generation of pollutants. Related studies (Yang et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2019) have shown that wind speed and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration are usually negatively correlated, and if no precipitation occurs, relative humidity (RH) is generally positively correlated with PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. Our research results also found that the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ in southern cities in China tends to be higher due to higher RH in winter (Figure 5). In this regard, emissions likely play a leading role in the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ at long time scale over a region with similar climatology. In contrast, when there is no significant difference in emissions, meteorological factors are more likely to play an important role.

**Comparative Discussion and Uncertainty**

Based on the analysis in the previous three sections, it can be found that PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are important in indicating air pollution type and have very weak dependence on either PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10}$. Currently, there are several studies that classified the "basic
mode” (Li et al., 2019) and types of regional aerosols in China (Tian et al., 2018) using the ground-based observational data from aerosol remote sensing. However, ground-based aerosol remote sensing observation stations in China are very limited and unevenly distributed, as shown in Figure 1. Moreover, the remote sensing observations of aerosol properties generally represent the characteristics of aerosols at high altitudes, which is difficult or has relatively large uncertainties to represent the aerosol characteristics near surface. Compared with previous studies, this study breaks through the limitations of ground remote sensing sites and develops a nation-scale classification method for the proportion of fine particles, and comprehensively analyzes its environmental indicators (e.g., aerosol types).

### TABLE 1 | The changes in the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ in each province and the country from 2015 to 2018.

| Name       | 2015   | 2016   | 2017   | 2018   | Name       | 2015   | 2016   | 2017   | 2018   |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Anhui      | 0.65   | 0.64   | 0.60   | 0.60   | Liaoning   | 0.57   | 0.57   | 0.54   | 0.53   |
| Beijing    | 0.66   | 0.64   | 0.57   | 0.55   | Neimenggu  | 0.48   | 0.49   | 0.46   | 0.45   |
| Fujian     | 0.58   | 0.58   | 0.57   | 0.56   | Ningxia    | 0.46   | 0.47   | 0.43   | 0.41   |
| Gansu      | 0.46   | 0.47   | 0.44   | 0.44   | Qinghai    | 0.53   | 0.48   | 0.52   | 0.51   |
| Guangdong  | 0.63   | 0.63   | 0.61   | 0.60   | Shandong   | 0.56   | 0.53   | 0.50   | 0.49   |
| Guangxi    | 0.63   | 0.64   | 0.63   | 0.60   | Shanxi     | 0.55   | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.50   |
| Guizhou    | 0.58   | 0.58   | 0.56   | 0.56   | Shaanxi    | 0.54   | 0.54   | 0.54   | 0.51   |
| Hainan     | 0.51   | 0.49   | 0.51   | 0.51   | Shanghai   | 0.71   | 0.67   | 0.64   | 0.64   |
| Hebei      | 0.53   | 0.53   | 0.52   | 0.50   | Sichuan    | 0.59   | 0.60   | 0.57   | 0.59   |
| Henan      | 0.58   | 0.55   | 0.55   | 0.54   | Tianjin    | 0.58   | 0.62   | 0.57   | 0.54   |
| Heilongjiang|0.56   |0.55   |0.55   |0.53   |Xinjiang    |0.44   |0.44   |0.44   |0.44   |
| Hubei      | 0.64   | 0.61   | 0.62   | 0.59   | Xinjiang   | 0.46   | 0.45   | 0.41   | 0.44   |
| Hunan      | 0.62   | 0.61   | 0.60   | 0.59   | Yunnan     | 0.57   | 0.57   | 0.52   | 0.52   |
| Jilin       | 0.60   | 0.59   | 0.56   | 0.53   | Zhejiang   | 0.63   | 0.60   | 0.59   | 0.57   |
| Jiangsu    | 0.60   | 0.59   | 0.58   | 0.59   | Chongqing  | 0.63   | 0.68   | 0.59   | 0.60   |
| Jiangxi    | 0.65   | 0.63   | 0.63   | 0.58   | China      | 0.57   | 0.57   | 0.55   | 0.53   |

*indicates that the data passed the significance test (p < 0.05).
FIGURE 8 | The scattered plots among the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios obtained from all national ground sites during the study period. The green, gray, orange and blue colors are for data in year 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively. (A–C) represent the correlation between PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, respectively.

FIGURE 9 | The spatial distribution of urban pollution classification results based on the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios using our proposed method. The colors represent the seven different classification methods and the green line indicates the Hu-line.
Our research uses nation-scale ground-based observation data for multi-regional analysis and proposes a reliable aerosol type classification method. Using ground site observations at 24 sites in China, Wang et al. (2015) showed that PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios in western and northeastern cities in China are low, and that in northern and southwestern China are medium, and the highest values are in Yangtze River cities. Differently, we have analyzed the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios along with the PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ characteristics using much more ground stations and carried out the analysis from a national perspective with more findings including the aerosol type classification method and aerosol type distributions separated by the Hu-line.

In addition, we also evaluate the results of aerosol type classification based on AERONET site data (Kong et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2018) and aerosol modal division using SONET site data (Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019), which shows that the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios calculated based on ground station observations provide consistent pollution type classification results. Our method is developed based on near-surface observation data, providing valuable aerosol type information of all provinces in mainland China, which is particularly helpful to the air quality control policy making for all provinces. It is very important to note that our current research only focuses on PM data from terrestrial ground observation sites with insufficient consideration of the chemical composition of aerosols. As the results show, there are mixed dust types in some cities in southern China, which are more likely affected by sea salt aerosols. Therefore, uncertainties could exist and further in-depth analysis for cities close to ocean is necessary in future.

Based on Figure 9, we have further divided the different seasons, and the results are shown in Figure 10. Like the previous analysis, there are more cities with anthropogenic type and dust type in winter and spring, and more cities with mixed type in summer and autumn. At the same time, we found that the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ changes all the time, so it is difficult to give a unique type of air pollution in a city. Obviously, the ratio of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ is constantly changing, so it is difficult to give the only type of air pollution in the city. Even though, our study provides a reference for changes in macroscopic air pollution characteristics.

**CONCLUSION**

With the PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ concentration data from 367 cities, we investigate their temporal trends from 2015 to 2018, and analyze the spatiotemporal features of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios at country level and provincial level. Moreover, we propose an air pollution type division method and classify the pollution types based on PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios.

During the study period, the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ show a significant declining trend. The annual average value of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios also decreases year by year, but the change is small. PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios all have obvious monthly and seasonal variations during the study period. Among them, PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are the highest in winter, the
lowest in spring, and are similar in summer and autumn with little fluctuation.

The PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios show clear spatial distribution. The PM concentration in southern China is relatively low, but PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios are higher than the national average, implying the dominance of anthropogenic pollution in the emission sources. Northwest China and North China are affected by more dust aerosols, while air particles in the south are more likely to absorb moisture and grow. Therefore, the PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios continue to increase from the northwest and north to the south. In China, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ have a very good correlation at both the station scale and provincial scale, while PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios have no significant correlations with PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$. The independence of PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio on either PM$_{2.5}$ or PM$_{10}$ indicates that it can serve as another variable to present the air pollution characteristics.

Using multi-year observation data, we propose an air pollution classification method based on PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios, which classifies the air pollution into three basic types and seven refined types. Roughly, cities classified as sand and dust pollution (basic type I) are located in the west and north of "Hu-Line"; mixed pollution (basic type II) cities are mainly located near "Hu-Line," North China Plain, Yunnan Provinces and some coastal cities; and anthropogenic pollution (basic type III) cities are almost all located in the southeast of "Hu-Line." In China, regional aerosol characteristics are very different, and changes in the proportion of fine particles at surface observation stations can provide information about the process of pollution discharge and weather impact. Obviously, our methods and research results provide support to better understand the formation mechanism of air pollution and evaluate its impact on human health, weather and climate.
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