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ABSTRACT

Background: There is a decline in cognitive and functional skills in older adults. The objective of this study was to compare the effects of cognitive and mind-motor training (MMT) on cognition and functional skills in a community-dwelling sample of older adults.

Methods: In this observer-blinded randomized clinical trial, 40 older adults with medical stability, ability to comprehend and respond to simple verbal instructions, no diagnosed psychological disorders, absence of severe visual and hearing problems, the capacity to walk independently, and a score of more than 46 in Berg Balance Scale were included. They were randomly allocated into cognitive or MMT groups. Cognitive training (CT) was practiced with activities for memory and attention, using paper–pencil tasks. MMT was practiced using a simple, indoor based square-stepping exercise. They practiced one-hour of training per day, three days a week, for eight weeks.

Results: General linear model analysis showed that the time by groups was not statistically significant. The mean (standard deviation) scores in General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition Scale and Hindi Mental State Examination improved significantly (P < 0.001) following MMT [1.75 (1.29); 2.4 (1.34)] and CT [1.5 (1.36); 2.7 (0.99)]. The functional skills measured using Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale revealed beneficial changes for both the groups. None of the outcomes were statistically significant between the groups (P > 0.05).

Conclusion: Both cognitive and MMTs showed similar practice effects on cognition and functional skills in community-dwelling older adults.
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Key Message: Cognitive decline and restricted daily functioning are common among community-dwelling older adults. CT and MMT benefit cognition and functional skills of older adults.

Cognition is a mental process by which external or internal input is transformed, reduced, stored, recovered, and used. It involves various brain functions such as perception, attention, memory coding, retention and recall, decision making, reasoning, problem-solving, imaging, planning, and executing actions. As age advances, there is a decline in cognitive function in the older adults. Cognitive decline in community-dwelling people is related to severe illness, disabilities, functional impairments, and limited participation in daily living, affecting their quality of life. Planning of appropriate clinical and home care services becomes important for the community-dwelling older adults who are at risk of showing signs of dementia and restricted functional skills. Literature suggests that physical, cognitive, and mind-motor training (MMT) are the therapy strategies that address the cognitive function in older adults.

Both the cognitive training (CT) and physical exercises benefit cognition and brain plasticity in older adults. Each type of training impact the structural and functional connectivity of brain and improve different cognitive functions. Physical exercise strategies improve the...
in the older adults, MMT that simultaneously engages cognitively and physically (i.e., dual-task performances) would cause therapeutic benefits similar to those of well-established CT on cognition and functional skills. The objective of the study was to compare the effects between the MMT and CT on cognition and functional skills in community-dwelling older adults.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This observer-blinded, randomized clinical trial was approved by the institutional research committee of Chitkara University. Ethics approval was obtained from the ethics committee of Fortis hospital, Punjab, India, and the study was registered in the Clinical Trial Registry of India (CTRI/2018/01/011334).

Participants

The community-dwelling healthy older adults were contacted in a senior citizen library, Mohali, through purposive sampling, from January 2017 to April 2018. Written informed consent was obtained from them before the study, seeking their voluntary contribution and active participation. Healthy older adults aged above 65 years, able to comprehend and execute verbal episodic memory was focused on the verbal episodic memory. Participants were taught mnemonic strategies for remembering word lists and sequences of items and recall strategies. A detailed description of the activities of CT is given in Table 1.

| Procedure |

Older adults meeting the eligibility criteria were randomly assigned either into CT or MMT by an observer who was not involved in collecting the outcome measurements or conducting the training. The method of allocation was block randomization. The block size was eight. From every block, four chits were assigned to CT and MMT groups each and were concealed in thick envelopes. The training was administered by two qualified physical therapists having four years of clinical experience working with the geriatric population. All the outcome measurements were collected at baseline and eight weeks after the training by an independent assessor blind to the treatment groups.

Sample Size Estimation

After MMT, a mean change of one point in the General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition Scale (GPCOG) or Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) Scale was considered clinically relevant, with a 5% significance level (α = 0.05) and 80% power (1 – β) of the study. We estimated a sample size of 20 for the MMT group, expecting a 10%–15% dropout. Having a comparative group, that is, CT, we needed a total sample size of 40.

Interventions

Cognitive Training

In CT, the therapy session commenced with activities for memory and attention, with paper-pencil tasks. The attention training mainly focused on the ability to solve problems with or without distractions and the ability to concentrate on specific tasks such as reading and listening to music or story. The memory training was focused on the verbal episodic memory. Participants were taught mnemonic strategies for remembering word lists and sequences of items and recall strategies. A detailed description of the activities of CT is given in Table 1.

Mind Motor Training

The MMT commenced by setting up a square, nonslippery, stepping mat, with dimensions of 100 × 250 cm². The mat had 40 squares, in 4 rows and 10 columns. Each side of a square had a dimension of 25 cm. The exercise consisted of different levels of stepping patterns, named junior, basic, regular, and master. The junior-level pattern was initially demonstrated to the participants, and they were asked to memorize the pattern and perform it. They had to repeat the correct pattern three times and then progress to the next stepping pattern (Figure 1).
was required to have an informant inter-
view, which is scored from 0 to 6. A high-
er score in the informant assessment
indicates less cognitive impairment, and
a score of 4–6 suggests the absence of sig-
nificant cognitive impairment. This
tool was reported to have a sensitivity of
0.85, specificity of 0.86, and inter-rater
reliability of 0.75.

The Mini-Mental State Examina-
tion has been proven for its diagnos-
tic accuracy (sensitivity of 0.85) and
specificity (0.90). Its cross-culturally
adopted Hindi version, HMSE, was
administered to test the cognition of
the older adults. The HMSE is a pa-
per-based cognitive screening tool that
measures orientation, immediate mem-
ory, remote memory, language, and visu-
ospatial memory. The HMSE has a max-
imum possible score of 30. Lower scores
indicate severe cognitive dysfunction,
and a score above the cut-off point of 24
refers to normal cognitive function.

The Lawton IADL measurement iden-
tifies how an individual meets daily
functioning at the current moment and
over a while. In contrast to measures
of activities of daily living, the Lawton
IADL assesses the complex process of
independent living skills. This tool mea-
sures eight domains of functional skills,
such as the ability to use a telephone; do
shopping, housekeeping, and laundry;
prepare food; use modes of transporta-
tion; take responsibility for own medi-
cations, and handle finances. A summary
score of zero indicates the person is com-
pletely dependent on others for activities
of daily living (low functioning), and
the score of 8 reflects complete indepen-
dence in functional skills. The inter-rater
reliability of Lawton IADL was 0.85, and
it has been validated with the clinical
measures of functional status.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS version
16 (TEAM EQX). Baseline demographic
variables of continuous and dichoto-
mous measures were evaluated by stu-
dent’s paired t-test and the chi-square
test, respectively. Levene's test evaluated
the equality of variance for the continu-
ous variables. We observed that the data
of 40 older adults were normally dis-
tributed; therefore, repeated-measures
ANOVA was performed. The general

---

**Table 1. Cognitive Training Regime**

| Description of Activities                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Participants were asked to listen to music.                                            |
| 2. Participants were seated in front of the table and blindfolded. They were asked to    |
| identify the objects such as pencil, eraser or cloth placed in front of them.             |
| 3. While seated in a semidarkened room the participants were asked to identify the      |
| direction of the flashlight.                                                              |
| 4. Participants had to solve the simple arithmetic problems (e.g., 2 + 4 – 1) in several |
| possible orders.                                                                          |
| 5. Participants had to solve simple arithmetic solution while distracted by music and    |
| noise.                                                                                     |
| 6. Participants were asked to repeat the sequence of numbers shown to them.               |
| 7. Participants had to answer simple questions after reading two simple paragraphs.      |
| 8. Symbols or pet words were used as code to memorize the information. For example,      |
| the name is Eoin—imagine the person as an onion, Onion—Eoin.                              |
| 9. In peg word techniques, participants were asked to say the rhyming words of the item |
| or the word to be remembered. For example, one is a bun, two is a shoe.                   |
| 10. Participants were asked to list down the names of the people whom they had trouble  |
| remembering. And then they had to read the name and visualize their faces at a fixed     |
| interval.                                                                                |
| 11. PORST method: (P = preview, Q = question, R = reading, S = starting) Participants    |
| were asked to read the article. They had to answer the questions such as whom, when,     |
| where, why related to the article. They were asked to read the article thoroughly for     |
| the second time and then the question was repeated again.                                 |
| 12. Semantic elaboration that is the rehearsal of names of concepts or items paired. For |
| example, car–truck, dad–son.                                                             |

**Figure 1. Different Levels of Mind Motor Training Exercises**

The participants from both the MMT
and CT groups received the therapist’s
supervised individual training sessions.
They practiced a 1-hour training session
in a day, 3-days a week, for 8-weeks.

**Outcome Measures**

The GPCOG was the primary outcome
measure. The Hindi version of the
Mini-Mental State Examination (HMSE)
and the Lawton IADL Scale were the sec-
ondary outcome measures.

GPCOG is a cognitive screening instru-
ment that measures time orientation,
memory, and information. It has two
domains: patient assessment and infor-
mant interviews. The patient assessment
score ranges from 0 to 9, impaired to nor-
mal. If an older adult score 5–8 points in
the feature of patient assessment, he/she
was required to have an informant inter-
view, which is scored from 0 to 6. A high-
er score in the informant assessment
indicates less cognitive impairment, and
a score of 4–6 suggests the absence of sig-
nificant cognitive impairment. This
tool was reported to have a sensitivity of
0.85, specificity of 0.86, and inter-rater
reliability of 0.75.
and only 40% (n = 16) lived alone. Additionally, 40% of older adults (n = 24) lived in joint families, above 75 years. Overall, 60% of the older participants aged 71–75 years and seven aged 65 and 70 years. There were six participants (n = 27, 73%) were aged between 65 and 70 years. Most of the study participants in the two groups were similar (Table 2). Baseline characteristics of the study participants were shown in Table 2. Baseline Characteristics (N = 40)

| Variables (Maximum Possible Score) | Cognitive Training n = 20, Mean (SD) or N (%) | Mind Motor Training n = 20, Mean (SD) or N (%) | P Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                       | 69 (4.7)                           | 70 (5.4)                            | 0.42    |
| Gender Males: females             | 15 (75%): 5 (25%)                  | 16 (80%): 4 (20%)                   | 0.79    |
| Education                         | 2 (10%), 14 (70%), and 4 (20%)     | 5 (25%), 11 (55%), and 0 (0%)       | 0.36    |
| Medical comorbidity DM, HT, thyroid diseases, hypercholesterolemia | 3 (15%), 5 (25%), 2 (10%), 3 (15%) | 4 (20%), 4 (20%), 3 (15%), 2 (10%) | 0.84    |
| HMSE (30)                          | 24.5 (2.65)                        | 24.5 (2.46)                         | 0.46    |
| GPCOG-T (15)                      | 12 (2.1)                           | 11.4 (1.9)                          | 0.5     |
| GPCOG-PE (g)                      | 7.5 (1.1)                          | 7.2 (0.9)                           | 0.3     |
| GPCOG-II (6)                      | 4.6 (1)                            | 4.2 (0.9)                           | 0.46    |
| Lawton IADL (8)                   | 6.6 (0.75)                         | 6.5 (0.65)                          | 0.71    |

DM: diabetes mellitus, HT: hypertension, HMSE: Hindi Mental State Examination, GPCOG-T: General Practitioner Assessment of Cognitive Total Score, GPCOG-PE: Physical Examination, GPCOG-II: Informant Interview, LIADL: Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living. P < 0.05 statistically significant.

A linear model analysis was performed to determine the change in the outcome measurements over time (from baseline to post-training) and between the groups (CT and MMT). The tests of within-subjects' effects were observed in Greenhouse–Geisser analysis after assuming the sphericity from Mauchly’s test. Intention to treat analysis was performed to handle the missing values of post-training measures. The within-group analysis was conducted using a paired t-test. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The study organization is shown in a CONSORT flowchart (Figure 2). The CONSORT Flowchart

- Enrolment
  - Assessed for eligibility (n = 82)
  - Randomized allocation (n = 40)
  - Cognitive training for 8 weeks (n = 20)
  - Completed training (n = 18)
    - Drop-out reasons — medical illness (7), fall outside therapy service (1)
  - Analysed (n = 20)
  - Intention to treat analysis (n = 2)
- Mind motor training for 8 weeks (n = 20)
  - Completed training (n = 18)
    - Drop-out reasons — medical illness (6), fall outside therapy service (1)
  - Analysed (n = 20)
  - Intention to treat analysis (n = 2)

There was a statistically significant difference (P < 0.001) in the mean scores of all the outcome measures across time. There was no significant difference in the mean scores of outcome measures between the groups, implying that the change is similar for both CT and MMT groups. For within-group comparison, all the outcome measures were analysed, and 15 in the GPCOG. Under the patient examination subset of GPCOG, 12, 14, and 8 participants scored 8, 7, and 6 points, respectively. Likewise, 13 participants each scored 5 and 4 points in the informant interview. Nine participants who scored 3 in the informant interview were considered to have definite cognitive dysfunction. In the HMSE, 13 participants scored below 24 points. Four participants were functionally independent, scoring 8 points on the Lawton IADL, whereas 35 were found to be functionally dependent. Of them, 20 scored 6 points and 15 scored 7 points in the IADL measurement.

Dysfunction. In the HMSE, 13 participants scored below 24 points. Four participants were functionally independent, scoring 8 points on the Lawton IADL, whereas 35 were found to be functionally dependent. Of them, 20 scored 6 points and 15 scored 7 points in the IADL measurement.
were statistically significant post-training (P < 0.001) in both the CT and MMT groups. There was a statistically significant improvement in the combined mean (standard deviation) score of GPCOG following MMT (1.75 (1.29), P < 0.001) and CT (1.5 (1.36), P < 0.001). After eight weeks of practice, there was a similar improvement in the HMSE for the MMT [2.4 (1.34)] and CT [2.7 (0.99)] groups. The Lawton IADL measures nearly changed by one point for both groups, post-training. The change in the outcome measures within- and between-groups is shown in Table 3. Post-training, the majority of the older adults subjectively perceived that they were feeling better and had an interest in doing things.

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study comparing the MMT and CT in community-dwelling healthy older adults. The major finding is that both the interventions are equally beneficial in improving cognition and functional skills of older adults. Dementia among the older adults is one of the rising concerns in India, and approximately 4.1 million people have dementia. The incidence rate of dementia would double by 2030 and become three-fold by 2050,26 warranting preventive and therapeutic approaches to cognitive decline in the older adults.

A change score of 1.5 in the GPCOG and 2.7 points in the HMSE supports CT. CT with attention (with or without distraction), problem-solving, concentration, verbal episodic memory, and mnemonic recall might increase the overall cellular activity and metabolic rate in the white matter of the brain. We also observed that 43% (n = 17) and 63% (n = 25) of the sample scored 13–14 points at baseline and post-training, respectively. Post-training, 18% of the older adults (n = 7) scored the maximum possible 15 points in the combine-GPCOG favors CT and MMT. The CT is supported by the fact that it induces brain plasticity mechanism and positive neurophysiological and neuroanatomical changes across the brain. It might alter the structural connectivity of the brain and increase the cerebral blood flow in the prefrontal and posterior cingulate cortices, which are responsible for memory, mental imagery, and willed actions. Evidence exists that CT enhances verbal memory, visual memory, attention/concentration, recall of personal information, and face-name recall. It had shown beneficial improvement in patients with Alzheimer's disease and in those with mild cognitive impairment who are at risk of developing cognitive impairment.

A change score of 1.75 in the GPCOG and 2.4 points in the HMSE supports the MMT. The reason for these improvements might be that MMT stimulates the visuospatial working memory and also involves physical tasks that require concentration, memory, and orientation. During the practice session of stepping patterns of junior, basic, regular, and master levels, the participants were physically active and asked to memorize the pattern. Our findings are supported by Teixeira et al. who showed that in the older adults, MMT, as a global cognitive stimulation, had a positive influence on the cognitive functions, particularly the concentrated attention, mental flexibility, and visual memory. On the other hand, a short duration of MMT in addition to multiple-modality exercises, over 24 weeks, showed similar practice gain on cognition and mobility among the older adults as opposed to multiple-modality exercises alone.

CT and MMT were equally beneficial on physical skills in older adults. Following CT or MMT, only individuals scored below a cut-off score of cognitive decline in MMSE compared to 13 individuals who scored below 24 points at baseline. Compared to the baseline measurement (10%, n = 4), 33% of older adults (n = 13) attained functional independence, the maximum score of 8, in the Lawton IADL; this supports both the interventions. Similarly, 60% of older adults (n = 24) had a score of 7 points in the said measure post-training, compared to 30% (n = 15) at baseline. Most of the study participants were males and reported that laundry and food preparation were not their daily instrumental activities.

**Limitations and Future Directions**

The study findings should be cautiously interpreted due to the following limitations. First, this study had a small sample size, lacked a traditional control group, and did not conduct follow-up assessments. Second, 63% of participants (n = 25) had at least middle school educational qualifications (8 years of education) and better socioeconomic status. Third, the distribution of the sociodemographic characteristics of the study

**Table 3.**

| Outcome Variables | Cognitive Training Group (n = 20) | Mind Motor Training Group (n = 20) | Between Levels and Groups |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                  | Baseline Mean (SD)               | Post-training Mean (SD)           | Baseline Mean (SD)       | Post-training Mean (SD) |
|                  | Mean (SD)                        | Mean (SD)                         | Mean (SD)                | Mean (SD)               |
| GPCOG-T          | 12 (2.07)                        | 13.5 (1.1)                        | 1.5 (1.36)               | 11.4 (1.87)             |
|                  | 13.1 (1.29)                      | 1.75 (1.29)                       | 1.6 (1.2–2)              |
|                  | 1.8 (0.11)                       | F1,38 = 60.13                     | F1,38 = 0.36             |
| GPCOG-PE         | 7.5 (1.09)                       | 8.2 (0.59)                        | 0.7 (0.73)               | 7.2 (0.93)              |
|                  | 8.1 (0.72)                       | 0.95 (0.82)                       | 0.8 (0.6–1.1)            |
|                  | 1.38 = 44.69                     | F1,38 = 1.03                      | F1,38 = 0.318            |
| GPCOG-II         | 4.6 (1)                          | 5.4 (0.67)                        | 0.8 (0.61)               | 4.2 (0.93)              |
|                  | 5.1 (0.6)                        | 0.9 (0.64)                        | 0.9 (0.6–1)              |
|                  | 3.8 = 73.21                      | F1,38 = 0.25                      | F1,38 = 0.518            |
| HMSE             | 24.5 (2.65)                      | 27.2 (1.87)                       | 2.7 (0.99)               | 24.5 (2.46)             |
|                  | 26.9 (2.18)                      | 2.4 (1.34)                        | 2.5 (2.1–2.9)            |
|                  | 3.8 = 178.9                      | F1,38 = 0.64                      | F1,38 = 0.427            |
| LIADL            | 6.6 (0.75)                       | 7.2 (0.52)                        | 0.6 (0.6)                | 6.5 (0.69)              |
|                  | 7.3 (0.66)                       | 0.8 (0.11)                        | 0.7 (0.5–0.9)            |
|                  | F1,38 = 62.07                    | F1,38 = 1.27                      | F1,38 = 0.267            |

HMSE: Hindi Mental State Examination, GPCOG-T: General Practitioner Assessment of Cognitive Total Score, GPCOG-PE: Physical Examination, GPCOG-II: Informant Interview, LIADL: Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living. *P value was statistically significant at <0.001.
participants is not representative of the community-dwelling older adults. Fourth, the GPCOG and HMSE tools have floor and ceiling effects, warranting that future trials should use more sophisticated neuropsychological tests to measure the cognitive functioning of the older adults with cognitive decline. Fifth, issues with balance and risks of fall were not considered. The benefits of MMT and CT on mobility and quality of life would be of interest in a future trial. Last, the metabolic, emotional, and motivational factors that determine the cognitive functions of the older people were not taken into consideration. Depressive disorder is a common mental health morbidity in the older adults, which can have a significant impact on cognitive functioning, warranting the use of PHQ-9 in future effective studies on CT and MT.

**Conclusion**

The MMT and CT regimes are equally beneficial in improving cognition and functional skills in the community-dwelling healthy older adults.
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