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Abstract

Given a hypergraph \( H = (V, \mathcal{E}) \), a coloring of its vertices is said to be conflict-free if for every hyperedge \( S \in \mathcal{E} \) there is at least one vertex in \( S \) whose color is distinct from the colors of all other vertices in \( S \). The discrete interval hypergraph \( H_n \) is the hypergraph with vertex set \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and hyperedge set the family of all subsets of consecutive integers in \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). We provide a polynomial time algorithm for conflict-free coloring any subhypergraph of \( H_n \), we show that the algorithm has approximation ratio 2, and we prove that our analysis is tight, i.e., there is a subhypergraph for which the algorithm computes a solution which uses twice the number of colors of the optimal solution. We also show that the problem of deciding whether a given subhypergraph of \( H_n \) can be colored with at most \( k \) colors has a quasipolynomial time algorithm.

1 Introduction

A hypergraph \( H \) is a pair \((V, \mathcal{E})\), where \( V \) is a finite set and \( \mathcal{E} \) is a family of non-empty subsets of \( V \). We denote by \( \mathbb{Z}^+ \) the set of positive integers and by \( \mathbb{N} \) the set of non-negative integers.

Definition 1.1. Let \( H = (V, \mathcal{E}) \) be a hypergraph and let \( C \) be a coloring \( C : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \): We say that \( C \) is a conflict-free coloring (cf-coloring in short) if for every hyperedge \( e \in \mathcal{E} \) there exists a color \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) such that \( |e \cap C^{-1}(i)| = 1 \). That is, every hyperedge \( e \in \mathcal{E} \) contains some vertex whose color is unique in \( e \).

The study of cf-coloring was initiated in the work of Even et al. [10] and of Smorodinsky [17] and was extended in numerous other works (c.f., [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15]). The study was initially motivated by its application to frequency assignment for cellular networks. A cellular network consists of two kinds of nodes: base stations and mobile clients. Base stations have fixed positions, modeled by a finite set of points in the plane, and provide the backbone of the network. Every base station emits at a fixed frequency. If a client wants to establish a link with a base station it has to tune itself to this base station’s frequency. Clients, however, can be in the range of many different base stations. To avoid interference, the system must assign frequencies to base stations in the following way: For any closed disk \( d \) in the plane (representing the communication range of a client located at the center of this disk), there must be at least one base station which is contained in \( d \) and has a frequency that is not used by any other base station contained in \( d \). Since frequencies are limited and costly, a scheme that reuses frequencies, where possible, is desirable.

Here is a more general, formal definition: Let \( P \) be a set of \( n \) points in the plane and let \( \mathcal{R} \) be a family of regions in the plane (e.g., all closed discs). We denote by \( H = H_\mathcal{R}(P) \) the hypergraph on the set \( P \) whose hyperedges are all subsets \( P' \) that can be cut off from \( P \) by a region in \( \mathcal{R} \). That
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is, all subsets $P'$ such that there exists some region $r \in \mathcal{R}$ with $r \cap P = P'$. We refer to such a hypergraph as the hypergraph induced by $P$ with respect to $\mathcal{R}$.

Now, consider the hypergraph induced by a set of $n$ collinear points with respect to the family of closed disks in the plane. It is not difficult to see that this hypergraph is isomorphic to the hypergraph induced by a set of $n$ real numbers with respect to the family of closed intervals, which is also isomorphic to the following discrete interval hypergraph.

Definition 1.2. Let $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $s \leq t$, $s, t \in [n]$, we define the (discrete) interval $[s, t] = \{i \in [n] \mid s \leq i \leq t\}$. The discrete interval hypergraph $H_n$ has vertex set $[n]$ and hyperedge set $I_n = \{[s, t] \mid s \leq t, s, t \in [n]\}$.

It is not difficult to prove that $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$ colors are necessary and sufficient in order to cf-color $H_n$ (see, e.g., [10]). An online variation of this cf-coloring problem in which vertices appear one by one and the algorithm has to commit to a color for each point as soon as it appears, maintaining the conflict-free property of the point set at every time, was introduced in [6] and further studied in [4].

In this paper, we are interested in cf-coloring subhypergraphs of $H_n$ of the following form: $H = ([n], I)$, where $I \subseteq I_n$. Then, $H$ is a hypergraph induced by $n$ points on the real line with respect to a subset of all possible intervals. Cf-colorings of such hypergraphs were studied in the online setting in [4]. Katz et al., in [12], claim a 4-approximation polynomial time cf-coloring for any such hypergraph $H$ (in the offline setting). Studying cf-coloring for subhypergraphs of geometric hypergraphs can be justified by applications where only a given subset of the hyperedge set is required to have the conflict-free property.

In section 2, we describe an algorithm for computing cf-colorings for general hypergraphs, based on hitting sets. In section 3, we show how the above algorithm and an appropriate choice of the hitting set can give a 2-approximation polynomial time algorithm for cf-coloring a subhypergraph of the discrete interval hypergraph, improving on the 4-approximation algorithm of Katz et al. In section 4, we show that the above analysis is tight, i.e., there are subhypergraphs of $H_n$ for which the algorithm computes a cf-coloring with twice the optimal (minimum) number of colors. In section 5, we show that the decision problem whether a given subhypergraph of $H_n$ can be cf-colored with at most $k$ colors has a quasipolynomial time algorithm; this implies that this decision problem is probably not NP-complete.

2 A hitting-set algorithm for conflict-free coloring

In this section, we present an algorithm for conflict-free coloring a hypergraph. It is based on repeatedly computing a minimal hitting set in hypergraphs.

Definition 2.1. A hitting set of a hypergraph $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$ is a subset $S \subseteq V$ such that for every $e \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists some $v \in S$ with $v \in e$. A hitting set $S$ is minimal if for every $v \in S$, $S \setminus \{v\}$ is not a hitting set.

In the literature, a conflict-free coloring is an assignment of colors (positive integers) to the vertices of the hypergraph. In this work, we introduce and consider a slight variation of conflict-free coloring, in which we allow some vertices to not be assigned colors, as long as in every hyperedge, there exists a vertex with assigned color that is uniquely occurring in the hyperedge. In other words, we allow the coloring function $C : V \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ in definition 1.1 to be a partial function. Alternatively, we can use a special color ‘0’ given to vertices that are not assigned any positive color and obtain a total function $C : V \to \mathbb{N}$. Then, we arrive at the following variant of definition 1.1.
Definition 2.2. Let $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$ be a hypergraph and let $C : V \to \mathbb{N}$: We say that $C$ is a conflict-free coloring if for every hyperedge $S \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists a color $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $|S \cap C^{-1}(i)| = 1$. We denote by $\chi_{cf}(H)$ the minimum integer $k$ for which $H$ admits a cf-coloring with colors in $\{0, \ldots, k\}$.

Remark 2.3. We claim that this variation of conflict-free coloring, with the partial coloring function or the placeholder color ‘0’, is interesting from the point of view of applications. As mentioned in section 1, vertices model base stations in a cellular network. A vertex with no positive color assigned to it can model a situation where a base station is not activated at all, and therefore the base station does not consume energy. One can also think of a bi-criteria optimization problem where a conflict-free assignment of frequencies has to be found with small number of frequencies (in order to conserve the frequency spectrum) and few activated base stations (in order to conserve energy).

We describe algorithm 1 for conflict-free coloring any hypergraph $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$.

Algorithm 1 A hitting set algorithm for conflict-free coloring $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$

\begin{verbatim}
\ell \leftarrow 0; \ V^0 \leftarrow V; \ \mathcal{E}^0 \leftarrow \mathcal{E}

\text{while } \mathcal{E}^\ell \neq \emptyset \ do

\ \ \ \ S^\ell \leftarrow \text{a minimal hitting set for } (V^\ell, \mathcal{E}^\ell)

\ \ \ \ \text{color every } v \in V^\ell \setminus S^\ell \text{ with color } \ell

\ \ \ \ V^{\ell+1} \leftarrow S^\ell

\ \ \ \ \mathcal{E}^{\ell+1} \leftarrow \{e \cap S^\ell \mid e \in \mathcal{E}^\ell \text{ and } |e \cap S^\ell| > 1\}

\ \ \ \ \ell \leftarrow \ell + 1

\text{end while}

\text{if } V^\ell \neq \emptyset \text{ then color every } v \in V^\ell \text{ with color } \ell \text{ end if}
\end{verbatim}

Lemma 2.4. Algorithm 1 terminates.

Proof. At every iteration of the loop, there is some hyperedge $e \in \mathcal{E}^\ell$ for which $|e \cap S^\ell| = 1$. This follows from the minimality of $S^\ell$. Thus, $|\mathcal{E}^\ell| > |\mathcal{E}^{\ell+1}|$. Therefore, the number of hyperedges decreases at every iteration of the loop, and necessarily reaches zero after a finite number of iterations of the loop.

Lemma 2.5. Algorithm 1 produces a conflict-free coloring.

Proof. We first show that for every hyperedge $e \in \mathcal{E}$, there is some $\ell$ for which $|e \cap S^\ell| = 1$. Notice that for every iteration $i > 0$, we have $S^{i-1} \supseteq S^i$. If $|e \cap S^0| > 1$, consider the maximum $i$ for which $|e \cap S^i| > 1$. Then, hyperedge $e \cap S^i = e \cap V^{i+1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}^{i+1}$ and has to be hit by $S^{i+1}$, i.e., $(e \cap S^i) \cap S^{i+1} = e \cap S^{i+1}$ is non-empty and thus $|e \cap S^{i+1}| = 1$, because of the maximality of $i$.

Let $v$ be the one element of $e \cap S^\ell$. Vertex $v$ is colored with some color greater than $\ell$ by the algorithm and all other vertices of $e$ are colored with colors which are at most of value $\ell$. Thus, $e$ has the conflict-free property.

3 A 2-approximation algorithm for a set of intervals

We use algorithm 1 described in the previous section, to conflict-free color a subhypergraph of $H_n$ which is comprised of a given subset $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}_n$ of intervals. It is necessary to specify how to compute the minimal hitting set.
The minimal hitting set \( S \) is computed as follows (in fact, we compute a minimum cardinality hitting set, but we do not need this stronger fact):

First, we compute a special independent set of intervals \( F \subseteq I \) (i.e., in \( F \) no two intervals have a common vertex). We compute this independent set \( F \) of intervals incrementally. Initially, there is nothing in the independent set. We scan vertices from 1 to \( n \) and we include in the independent set the interval \([i, j]\) with minimum \( j \) such that \([i, j]\) does not intersect anything already in the independent set. After computing \( F \), for every interval \([i, j]\) \( \in F \), we take in \( S \) the vertex \( j \) (i.e., the maximum or rightmost vertex).

**Lemma 3.1.** \( S \) is a minimal hitting set.

**Proof.** Set \( S \) is a hitting set because no interval is completely contained between two vertices in \( S \), no interval ends before the first interval in \( F \), and no interval starts after the last interval in \( F \); otherwise such intervals would be chosen in the independent set \( F \). Set \( S \) is minimal, because removing any element \( j \) of it, means that the interval with right endpoint \( j \) in \( F \) is not hit any more. \( \Box \)

**Remark 3.2.** The computation of the maximal (in fact maximum) independent set of intervals given above is also known as a solution to the activity selection problem. See for example [9, section 16.1].

Notice that the time complexity of the algorithm is \( O(n \log n) \): We sort the intervals according to their right endpoints. Then, at every iteration of the loop we can choose the hitting set in linear time. There is at most a logarithmic number of iterations of the loop, because \( \chi_{cf}(H) \leq \chi_{cf}(H_n) = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1 \).

We intend to compare colorings produced by the above algorithm with optimal colorings. We define recursively the following families of sets of intervals of \( \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

**Definition 3.3.** Family \( J_1 \) exactly contains all singleton sets of intervals. For \( k > 1 \), set of intervals \( I \) is in family \( J_k \) if and only if it can be expressed as a union \( I = L \cup R \cup \{ \iota \} \), where both \( L, R \in J_{k-1} \), no interval from \( L \) has a common point with an interval from \( R \), and interval \( \iota \) includes every interval in \( L \) and every interval in \( R \).

We refer to a set of intervals in family \( J_k \) as a \( J_k \) configuration.

**Lemma 3.4.** Any conflict-free coloring uses at least \( k \) colors for a set of intervals that is a superset of a \( J_k \) configuration.

**Proof.** We use induction on \( k \). For \( k = 1 \), the statement is trivially true. Assume it is true for \( k \), we will prove it for \( k+1 \). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a conflict-free coloring \( C \) with just \( k \) colors of a set of intervals \( I' \) that is a superset of a \( J_{k+1} \) configuration \( I \). Then, by definition of \( J_{k+1} \), \( I = L \cup R \cup \{ \iota \} \), where both \( L, R \in J_k \), no interval from \( L \) has a common point with an interval from \( R \), and interval \( \iota \) includes every interval in \( L \) and every interval in \( R \).

By the inductive hypothesis, the points contained in intervals of \( L \) use \( k \) colors and also the points contained in intervals of \( R \) use \( k \) colors. The above two pointsets are disjoint and the interval \( \iota \) includes both pointsets. As a result, \( \iota \) is not conflict-free colored, which is a contradiction. \( \Box \)

We are now ready to bound the approximation ratio of the proposed algorithm.

**Theorem 3.5.** The conflict-free coloring algorithm for hypergraphs with respect to a subset of intervals is a 2-approximation algorithm.
Proof. It is enough to prove that if some hyperedge (or interval), say \( \iota \), reaches iteration with \( \ell = k - 1 \) of the loop (i.e., the algorithm uses at least \( k \) colors), then the input contains as a subset a \( J_{[k/2]} \) configuration and moreover this configuration is entirely contained in \( \iota \).

We prove it by induction. For \( k = 1, 2 \), it is true, because there is at least one interval in the input, and therefore at least one non-zero color is needed in any optimal coloring. For \( k > 2 \), assume there is a vertex \( v \) that gets color \( k \). Then at iteration with \( \ell = k - 1 \) of the loop there is an interval \( \iota \) with its rightmost vertex being \( v \in S^\ell \) (see figure 1).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\hline
\iota' & \iota' & \iota' & \iota' & \iota' & \iota' & \iota' & \iota' \\
\hline
\iota'' & \iota'' & \iota'' & \iota'' & \iota'' & \iota'' & \iota'' & \iota'' \\
\hline
\iota & \iota & \iota & \iota & \iota & \iota & \iota & \iota \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 1: Intervals in an input using \( k \) colors

Since \( \iota \) was not removed in the previous iteration \( \ell - 1 \), there were two vertices of \( \iota \) in \( S^{\ell-1} \), say \( u \) and \( v \), with \( u < v \). Also, since \( u \) and \( v \) are in \( S^{\ell-1} \) there are two intervals with them as right endpoints in the independent set computed at iteration \( \ell - 1 \), say \( \iota'_1 \) and \( \iota'_2 \). Since \( \iota' \) was not removed in the iteration \( \ell - 2 \), there were two vertices of \( \iota'' \) in \( S^{\ell-2} \), say \( w \) and \( v \), with \( u < w < v \). Also, since \( u \), \( w \), and \( v \) are in \( S^{\ell-2} \) there are three intervals with them as right endpoints in the independent set computed at iteration \( \ell - 2 \); call \( \iota''_1 \) the one ending at \( w \) and \( \iota''_2 \) the one ending at \( v \). Since the three intervals are independent, \( \iota''_1 \) and \( \iota''_2 \) start after \( u \), therefore they are fully contained in \( \iota \) (which contains \( u \)). By the inductive hypothesis, since each of \( \iota''_1 \), \( \iota''_2 \) reach iteration \( \ell - 2 \), each of them entirely contains a \( J_{(k-2)/2} \) configuration, and, since \( \iota''_1 \) and \( \iota''_2 \) are disjoint, together with \( \iota \) they constitute a \( J_{[k/2]} \) configuration.

4 A tight instance for the 2-approximation algorithm

For \( k \geq 2 \), we intend to define an input \( I_k \) that is a tight instance for the approximation algorithm, i.e., an instance that forces the algorithm to use at least twice the number of colors in an optimal coloring. Before doing that, we define some notation that will prove useful.

Definition 4.1. Given a set of intervals \( I \) and a natural number \( d \), we define \( I^{+d} \) to be the set of intervals, where all intervals of \( I \) are shifted \( d \) to the right, i.e.,

\[
I^{+d} = \{ [i + d, j + d] \mid [i, j] \in I \}.
\]

Definition 4.2. Given a set of intervals \( I \), we define the length of \( I \), denoted \( \text{len}(I) \) to be the rightmost point occurring in any of the intervals of \( I \) minus the leftmost point occurring in any of the intervals of \( I \) plus one.

Now, we are ready to proceed with the definition of the tight instance.

Definition 4.3. For \( k = 2 \) the input \( I_2 \) has length equal to four and consists of three intervals.

\[
I_2 = \{ [1, 2], [3, 3], [2, 4] \}
\]
For $k > 2$ the input is defined recursively as follows.

$$I_{k+1} = I_k \cup I_k^{+\text{len}(I_k)} \cup \{[\text{len}(I_k) - k + 1, 2\text{len}(I_k) + 1]\}$$

Abusing notation, we call the $I_k$ component the left $I_k$ part of $I_{k+1}$ and the $I_k^{+\text{len}(I_k)}$ component the right $I_k$ part of $I_{k+1}$. These left and right parts are disjoint. Input $I_4$ is shown in figure 2.

Moreover, in the figure, under the vertices of the input we give the coloring produced by the 2-approximation algorithm and then an optimal conflict-free coloring.

![Figure 2: Input $I_4$, algorithm cf-coloring, and optimal cf-coloring](image)

It is not difficult to see that the length of the instance satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\text{len}(I_{k+1}) = 2\text{len}(I_k) + 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

which implies, since $\text{len}(I_2) = 4$, that $\text{len}(I_k) = 5 \cdot 2^{k-2} - 1$.

Another notion that will prove useful is the level of each interval in the above instance that we define in the following.

**Definition 4.4.** In input $I_2$, intervals $[1, 2]$ and $[3, 3]$ are of level 1 and interval $[2, 4]$ is of level 2. In the recursively defined instance

$$I_{k+1} = I_k \cup I_k^{+\text{len}(I_k)} \cup \{[\text{len}(I_k) - k + 1, 2\text{len}(I_k) + 1]\}$$

the intervals of the $I_k$ part have the same levels as the corresponding intervals in the $I_k$ instance, the intervals of the $I_k^{+\text{len}(I_k)}$ part have the same levels as the corresponding intervals of the $I_k$ instance before the ‘$+\text{len}(I_k)$’ operation, and interval $[\text{len}(I_k) - k + 1, 2\text{len}(I_k) + 1]$ has level $k + 1$.

In fact, in figure 2 the vertical coordinate of each interval signifies its level, with higher intervals having higher level.

**Lemma 4.5.** For $k \geq 3$, in $I_k$, the leftmost point of the level $k$ interval is the same as the rightmost level 1 interval in the left $I_{k-1}$ part of $I_k$.

**Proof.** We prove by induction that the rightmost level 1 interval of the left $I_{k-1}$ part of $I_k$ is at position $\text{len}(I_{k-1}) - (k - 1) + 1$. For $I_3$, the rightmost level 1 interval of the left $I_2$ part of $I_3$ consists of point $4 - (3 - 1) + 1 = 3$. By the inductive hypothesis, the rightmost level 1 interval of the left $I_{k-1}$ part of $I_k$ is at $\text{len}(I_{k-1}) - (k - 1) + 1$. Then for $I_{k+1}$, the rightmost level 1 interval of its left $I_k$ part is at

$$\text{len}(I_{k-1}) - (k - 1) + 1 + \text{len}(I_{k-1}) = (2\text{len}(I_{k-1}) + 1) + k - 1 = \text{len}(I_k) + k - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The last equality is implied by equation (1).
Lemma 4.6. Instance \( I_k \) contains a \( J_{\lceil k/2 \rceil} \) configuration as a subset.

Proof. By induction. It is true for \( k = 2 \) and \( k = 3 \), because \( I_2 \) contains a \( J_1 \) configuration and \( I_3 \) contains a \( J_2 \) configuration. For \( k > 3 \), in instance \( I_k \), the interval of level \( k \) contains completely a copy of \( I_{k-1} \), in which two disjoint copies of \( I_{k-2} \) are contained. By the inductive hypothesis, in each copy of \( I_{k-2} \), a \( J_{\lceil (k-2)/2 \rceil} \) configuration is contained. These two disjoint \( J_{\lceil (k-2)/2 \rceil} \) configurations, together with the level \( k \) interval constitute a \( J_{\lceil k/2 \rceil} \) configuration in \( I_k \). □

Lemma 4.7. There is a conflict-free coloring of \( I_k \) with \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \) colors.

Proof. We define recursively a coloring of \( I_k \) that uses \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \) colors and we prove by induction that it is conflict-free.

For \( k = 2 \) the coloring is 1010, which can be easily checked to be conflict-free.

If \( k \) is odd, take a coloring of \( I_{k-1} \) and in its rightmost position use color \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \), concatenate a coloring of \( I_{k-1} \), and then concatenate color ‘0’. By induction, the left \( I_{k-1} \) part is conflict-free because we started with a conflict-free coloring and we introduced a new color \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \), the right \( I_{k-1} \) part is conflict-free because it is colored with a conflict-free coloring. The level \( k \) interval is conflict-free because of color \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \) that occurs uniquely.

If \( k \) is even, with \( k > 2 \), take a coloring of \( I_{k-1} \), concatenate a coloring of \( I_{k-1} \), and then concatenate color ‘0’. By induction, the left \( I_{k-1} \) part is conflict-free because it is colored with a conflict-free coloring, the right \( I_{k-1} \) part is conflict-free because it is colored with a conflict-free coloring. The level \( k \) interval is conflict-free because of color \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \) that occurs in the right \( I_{k-1} \) part and because its leftmost point, by lemma 4.5 is to the right of the \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \) color occurring in the left \( I_{k-2} \) part of the left \( I_{k-1} \) part. □

Corollary 4.8. An optimal coloring of \( I_k \) uses \( \lceil k/2 \rceil \) colors.

We now describe a family of hypergraphs that arise after the first iteration of the while loop of the 2-approximation algorithm, if the initial input is \( I_k \).

Definition 4.9. The instance \( L_0 \) is on one vertex, namely the vertex set is \( \{1\} \), and contains no interval, i.e, \( L_0 = \emptyset \). The length of instance \( L_0 \) is defined to be 1. For \( k > 0 \), \( L_{k+1} \) is defined recursively, as follows.

\[
L_{k+1} = L_k \cup L_k^{\lceil \text{len}(L_k) \rceil} \cup \{\lceil \text{len}(L_k) \rceil, 2\text{len}(L_k)\}
\]

It is not difficult to see that the length satisfies the recurrence relation \( \text{len}(L_{k+1}) = 2\text{len}(L_k) \), which implies \( \text{len}(L_k) = 2^k \). We say that \( L_{k+1} \) consists of a left \( L_k \) part, a right \( L_k \) part, and the interval \([2^k, 2^{k+1}]\).

Proposition 4.10. The 2-approximation algorithm colors \( I_k \) with \( k \) colors.

Proof. Assume input \( I_k \) is given to the 2-approximation algorithm. In the iteration of the while loop where the algorithm colors points with color \( \ell \) (\( \ell = 0, 1, \ldots \)), the algorithm considers a hypergraph \( H_{\ell} \). We will prove that the algorithm considers the hypergraphs

\[
H_0 = I_k, H_1 = L_{k-1}, \ldots, H_{k-1} = L_1, H_k = L_0,
\]

and then it terminates, i.e., it uses \( k \) colors. We say that \( H_i \) is followed by \( H_{i+1} \), to show that two hypergraphs \( H_i, H_{i+1} \) are considered successively by the algorithm, in that order.

First, we prove that for every \( k \geq 2 \), \( I_k \) is followed by \( L_{k-1} \), by induction on \( k \). It is not difficult to see that, when \( I_k \) is considered, the independent set of intervals chosen consists of all
level 1 intervals of $I_k$ and the hitting set that is chosen consists of the right endpoints of all level 1 intervals of $I_k$ (a formal proof can be carried out by induction on $k$). For $k = 2$ it is not difficult to check that $I_2$ is followed by $L_1$. For $k > 2$, $I_k$ consists of a left $I_{k-1}$ part which induces a left $L_{k-2}$ part and a right $I_k$ part, which induces a right $L_{k-2}$ part (we use the inductive hypothesis). From lemma 4.3, the leftmost point of the level $k$ interval is the same as the rightmost level 1 interval in the left $I_{k-1}$ part of $I_k$, and therefore the level $k$ interval induces an interval that starts from the last point of the left $L_{k-2}$ part of the hypergraph that follows $I_k$ and ends at the last point of the right $L_{k-2}$ part of the hypergraph that follows $I_k$. To summarize, the $I_k$ is followed by a left $L_{k-2}$ part, a right $L_{k-2}$ part and interval $[2^{k-2}, 2^{k-1}]$, i.e., it is $L_{k-1}$.

Then, we prove that for $k > 0$, $L_k$ is followed by $L_{k-1}$, by induction on $k$. For $k = 1$, it is not difficult to see that for $L_1$ the interval $[1, 2]$ is chosen and its right endpoint, i.e., 2, makes up the hitting set. Then, easily, $L_1$ is followed by $L_0$. For $k > 1$, when $L_k$ is considered, the independent set of intervals that is chosen consists of the intervals of length two of the left $L_{k-1}$ part

$$\{(1, 2], [3, 4], \ldots, [2^{k-1} - 1, 2^{k-1}]\}$$

and the intervals of length two of the right $L_{k-1}$ part

$$\{[2^{k-1} + 1, 2^{k-1} + 2], [2^{k-1} + 3, 2^{k-1} + 4], \ldots, [2^k - 1, 2^k]\}.$$

Therefore the hitting set is

$$\{2, 4, \ldots 2^{k-1}\} \cup \{2^{k-1} + 2, 2^{k-1} + 4, \ldots, 2^k\} = \{i: \text{odd} \mid 2 \leq i \leq 2^k\}$$

and consists of $2^{k-1}$ elements. By induction, after removal of the points of the hitting set, the left $L_{k-1}$ part induces a $L_{k-2}$ part, and the right $L_{k-1}$ part induces a $L_{k-2}$ part. The interval $[2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ of $L_k$ contains all points in $\{2^{k-1} + 2, 2^{k-1} + 4, \ldots, 2^k\}$ of the right $L_{k-1}$ part and just point $2^{k-1}$ of the left $L_{k-1}$ part, and therefore induces $[2^{k-2}, 2^{k-1}]$ in the hypergraph that follows $L_k$. To summarize, the $L_k$ is followed by a left $L_{k-2}$ part, a right $L_{k-2}$ part and interval $[2^{k-2}, 2^{k-1}]$, i.e., it is $L_{k-1}$.

Finally, we prove that when $L_0$ is reached, no hypergraph follows, and the algorithm terminates. This is true, because $L_0$ contains no interval (hyperedge).

Remark 4.11. From the above proof of proposition 4.10 it is immediate that if $L_k$ is given as an input to the 2-approximation algorithm, the following sequence of hypergraphs

$$H_0 = L_k, H_1 = L_{k-1}, \ldots, H_{k-1} = L_1, H_k = L_0$$

is considered in the iterations of the while loop. Moreover, it can also be proved, with a proof similar to those of lemma 4.6 and 4.7, that an optimal coloring for $L_k$ uses $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ colors. Therefore, the family of instances $L_k$ is also a family of tight instances for the 2-approximation algorithm. However, the family of instances $I_k$ has the additional property that no two intervals in it share a common right endpoint.

5 A quasipolynomial time algorithm

Consider the decision problem CFSubsetIntervals:

“Given a subhypergraph $H = ([n], I)$ of the discrete interval hypergraph $H_n$ and a natural number $k$, is it true that $\chi_{cf}(H) \leq k$?”
Notice that the above problem is non-trivial only when \( k < \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1 \); if \( k \geq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1 \) the answer is always yes, since \( \chi_{cf}(H_n) = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1 \).

Algorithm 2 is a non-deterministic algorithm for CFSubsetIntervals.

The algorithm scans points from 1 to \( n \), tries non-deterministically every color in \( \{0, \ldots, k\} \) at the current point and checks if all intervals in \( I \) ending at the current point have the conflict-free property. If some interval in \( I \) has not the conflict-free property under a non-deterministic assignment, the algorithm answers ‘no’. If all intervals in \( I \) have the conflict-free property under some non-deterministic assignment, the algorithm answers ‘yes’.

We check if an interval in \( I \) that ends at the current point, say \( t \), has the conflict-free property in the following space-efficient way. For every color \( c \) in \( \{0, \ldots, k\} \), we keep track of:

(a) the closest point to \( t \) colored with \( c \) in variable \( p_c \) and

(b) the second closest point to \( t \) colored with \( c \) in variable \( s_c \).

Then, color \( c \) is occurring exactly one time in \( [j, t] \in I \) if and only if \( s_c < j \leq p_c \).

Algorithm 2: A non-deterministic algorithm deciding whether \( \chi_{cf}(H) \leq k \) for \( H = ([n], I) \)

```plaintext
for \( c \leftarrow 0 \) to \( k \) do
    \( s_c \leftarrow 0 \)
    \( p_c \leftarrow 0 \)
end for
for \( t \leftarrow 1 \) to \( n \) do
    choose \( c \) non-deterministically from \( \{0, \ldots, k\} \)
    \( s_c \leftarrow p_c \)
    \( p_c \leftarrow t \)
    for \( j \in \{j \mid [j, t] \in I\} \) do
        \( \text{IntervalConflict} \leftarrow \text{True} \)
        for \( c \leftarrow 1 \) to \( k \) do
            if \( s_c < j \leq p_c \) then
                \( \text{IntervalConflict} \leftarrow \text{False} \)
            end if
        end for
        if \( \text{IntervalConflict} \) then
            return \( \text{NO} \)
        end if
    end for
end if
return \( \text{YES} \)
```

Lemma 5.1. The space complexity of algorithm 2 is \( O(\log^2 n) \).

Proof. Since \( k = O(\log n) \) and each point position can be encoded with \( O(\log n) \) bits, the arrays \( p \) and \( s \) (indexed by color) take space \( O(\log^2 n) \). All other variables in the algorithm can be implemented in \( O(\log n) \) space. Therefore the above non-deterministic algorithm has space complexity \( O(\log^2 n) \). \( \square \)

Corollary 5.2. CFSubsetIntervals has a quasipolynomial time deterministic algorithm.
Proof. By standard computational complexity theory arguments (see, e.g., [16]), we can transform algorithm 2 to a deterministic algorithm solving the same problem with time complexity $2^{O(\log^2 n)}$, i.e., CFSubsetIntervals has a quasipolynomial time deterministic algorithm. \hfill \Box

6 Discussion and open problems

The exact complexity of computing an optimal cf-coloring for a subhypergraph of the discrete interval hypergraph remains an open problem. We have provided a 2-approximation algorithm. One might try to improve the approximation ratio, find a polynomial time approximation scheme, or even find a polynomial time exact algorithm. The last possibility is supported by the fact that the decision version of the problem, CFSubsetIntervals, is unlikely to be NP-complete, unless NP-complete problems have quasipolynomial time algorithms.

It would also be interesting to study the complexity of computing optimal conflict-free colorings for subhypergraphs of other geometric hypergraphs, like the hypergraph induced by a set of $n$ points in the plane with respect to a given set of closed disks in the plane.

Finally, we introduced a slightly different cf-coloring function $C: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, for which vertices colored with ‘0’ can not act as uniquely-colored vertices in a hyperedge. Naturally, one could try to study the bi-criteria optimization problem, in which there two minimization goals: (a) the number of colors used, $\max_{v \in V} C(v)$ (minimization of frequency spectrum use) and (b) the number of vertices with positive colors, $|\{v \in V \mid C(v) > 0\}|$ (minimization of activated base stations).
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