Lung inflammation following a single exposure to swine barn air
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Abstract

Background: Exposure to swine barn air is an occupational hazard. Barn workers following an eight-hour work shift develop many signs of lung dysfunction including lung inflammation. However, the in situ cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for lung dysfunction induced following exposure to the barn air remain largely unknown. Specifically, the recruitment and role of pulmonary intravascular monocytes/macrophages (PIMMs), which increase host susceptibility for acute lung inflammation, remain unknown in barn air induced lung inflammation. We hypothesized that barn exposure induces recruitment of PIMMs and increases susceptibility for acute lung inflammation with a secondary challenge.

Methods: Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed either to the barn or ambient air for eight hours and were euthanized at various time intervals to collect blood, broncho-alveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and lung tissue. Subsequently, following an eight hour barn or ambient air exposure, rats were challenged either with Escherichia coli (E. coli) lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or saline and euthanized 6 hours post-LPS or saline treatment. We used ANOVA (P < 0.05 means significant) to compare group differences.

Results: An eight-hour exposure to barn air induced acute lung inflammation with recruitment of granulocytes and PIMMs. Granulocyte and PIMM numbers peaked at one and 48 hour post-exposure, respectively.

Secondary challenge with E. coli LPS at 48 hour following barn exposure resulted in intense lung inflammation, greater numbers of granulocytes, increased number of cells positive for TNF-α and decreased amounts of TGF-β2 in lung tissues. We also localized TNF-α, IL-1β and TGF-β2 in PIMMs.

Conclusion: A single exposure to barn air induces lung inflammation with recruitment of PIMMs and granulocytes. Recruited PIMMs may be linked to more robust lung inflammation in barn-exposed rats exposed to LPS. These data may have implications of workers exposed to the barn air who may encounter secondary microbial challenge.
Background
Swine production is a major agricultural business in North America [1-3]. These days thousands of pigs are raised in large confinement buildings compared to small-scale family-managed operations in the past. Modern pig production operations increasingly employ full-time workers who might spend up to 8 hours/day inside the barn compared to 1–2 hours of work on the small farm in the past [4-6]. Although the environment inside these confinement buildings appears to be clean, it contains high levels of endotoxins, dust, bacterial DNA and gases such as ammonia and hydrogen sulfide [7-9].

There are evidences for reduced forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), wheeze and increased airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) following a single exposure of naïve workers/volunteers to the barn air [10-12]. Sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) obtained after a three hour exposure to the barn air showed increased levels of interleukin (IL)-8, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), fibronectin and albumin [13-15]. There was also a 75-fold increase in the neutrophils and 2–3 fold increase in mononuclear cells in BAL from human naïve volunteers exposed to the barn air for 3–5 hours while leukocyte counts in peripheral blood within 6 hours of an exposure [15]. Recent in vitro data show that swine barn dust stimulates secretion of IL-1β and TNF-α from alveolar macrophages and epithelial cells and expression of adhesion molecules on epithelial cells [16]. Recently, we have used a rat model to report that a single exposure to the barn air induces lung inflammation and AHR [17]. However, it remains unknown if an exposure to the barn air alters lung susceptibility to secondary challenges with microbes or their components such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS).

Lung inflammation is characterized by recruitment of neutrophils and monocytes into the alveolar septa and air spaces [18]. Monocyte recruitment into alveolar spaces in inflamed lungs is important for the removal of inflammatory debris and repair of tissue damage. However, prior to the entry of monocytes into alveolar spaces, they undergo physical interaction with the endothelium of lung capillaries and consequently may be retained in the capillaries. This is supported by our previous observations on the transient recruitment of pulmonary intravascular monocytes/macrophages (PIMMs) in lung inflammation in a rat model of sepsis [19,20]. We have also shown that PIMMs, similar to resident pulmonary intravascular macrophages in some domestic animal species, may alter the lung responses to a subsequent challenge [21-23,20]. Currently, there are no data on the recruitment and biology of PIMMs in animals exposed to the barn air.

Considering the putative critical roles of PIMMs, we undertook a series of in vivo studies to characterize PIMM recruitment and their functions in lung inflammation induced following exposure to the barn air. The data from these experiments demonstrate recruitment of neutrophils and PIMMs following a single exposure to the barn air and show a linkage between recruited PIMMs and increased lung inflammation following a secondary challenge with E. coli LPS.

Methods
Animal exposures
The University of Saskatchewan Committee on Animal Care Assurance approved protocols for the use of experimental animals in this study. Sprague-Dawley rats were kept in a swine barn for 8 hours. The cages were hung from the barn ceiling at a height of 5 feet from the floor. The rats were taken out of the barn at the end of the exposure and maintained in ambient air until euthanasia.

Experiment 1: Effect of exposure to the swine barn air
Rats (N = 25) exposed to the barn air were euthanized 1 hour, 24, 48, 72 and 120 hours post-exposure (n = 5 for each time point). Control rats (N = 5) were kept in clean air prior to euthanasia.

Experiment 2: Effect of secondary challenge with E. coli LPS on barn air exposed rats
Based on the data from Experiment 1, rats were administered either E. coli LPS (Sigma Chemical Co. St. Louis, MO, 1.5 μg/g body weight intravenous; n = 5) or saline (0.5 mL intravenous; n = 5) at 48 hours after an 8 hour exposure to the barn air. Unexposed rats were treated with E. coli LPS (n = 5) or saline (n = 5).

Blood and broncho-alveolar lavage fluid (BALF) collection and analyses
At the end of the designated time points in Experiment 1 and 2, rats were euthanized (1 mg xylazine and 10 mg ketamine/100 g) for collection of blood, BALF and lung samples. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture for differential and total leukocyte counts. BALF was collected by lavaging the whole lung with three mL of ice cold Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (Sigma Chemicals Co., St. Louis, MO). The BALF was stored on ice until processing for total and differential leukocyte counts.

Lung tissue processing
Left lung was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at -80°C and was later used in ELISA.

Right lobes of the lung were fixed in situ by instilling 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (0.0016 M NaH2PO4, 0.0008 M Na2HPO4 and 0.15 M NaCl), pH 7.2 for 30 minutes followed by immersion in the same fix-
ative for 16 hours at 4°C. Three pieces collected from right lung were dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Five to seven μm thick sections were prepared and placed on glass slides coated with Vectabond (Vector Labs) and incubated at 55°C for 30 minutes to increase adherence of sections. Lung sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathological assessment.

**Immuno-histochemistry and cell counts**

Lung sections were processed for immunohistochemistry as described previously [24]. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized, dehydrated, treated with hydrogen peroxide (5% in methanol) to neutralize endogenous tissue peroxidase and exposed to pepsin (2 mg/ml 0.01 N HCl) to unmask the antigens. The sections were incubated with primary antibodies against monocytes/macrophages (ED-1, 1:75; Serotec, USA), granulocytes (HIS-48 1:50; BD Bioscience Canada), IL-1β (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., USA), TNF-α and TGF-β2 (1:75; R&D Systems, Inc., USA) for 60 minutes followed by incubation with appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (1:100–1:250) for 30 minutes. Controls included staining without primary antibody or anti-von Willebrand Factor (vWF) antibody, which recognizes vascular endothelium, or with isotype-matched immunoglobulins. These sections were counter-stained with methyl green and immunohistochemically positive cells in the lung septum were counted in 10 high power fields (400×; 0.096 mm² per field) under oil-immersion objective by a person blinded to the design of the experiment.

**Immuno-electron microscopy**

Lungs samples were prepared for immuno-electron microscopy as described previously [25]. Briefly, tissues fixed in 0.1% glutaraldehyde and 2.0% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer for 3 hours at 4°C, dehydrated and infiltrated with LR White resins. The tissues were polymerized under ultraviolet light at -8°C for 3 days. Semi-thin (1 mm) sections were prepared to select areas for ultrathin (100 nm) sections. Sections were stained with ED-1 (1:100), IL-1β (1:25), TNF-α (1:25) and TGF-β2 (1:25) antibodies followed by appropriate gold-conjugated secondary antibodies (respective, 1:100 diluted) and examined in an electron microscope at 60 kV. Immuno-electron microscopy controls included omission of primary antibody or staining of lung sections with anti-von Willebrand Factor antibody.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent linked assay**

Lung samples were homogenized in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (100 μl/10 ml; Sigma-Aldrich Co, MO, USA) in a ratio of 0.1 g of tissue in 1 ml of the solution, and centrifuged at 25,000 rpm at 4°C to collect the supernatant which was stored at -70°C in 100 μl aliquots. The ELISA kits for rat IL-1β, TNF-α and TGF-β2 were purchased from R & D systems, Inc., USA. Microtiter plates (Immulon 4 HBX, VWR CAN LAB, Canada) were coated with 100 μl of capture antibody and incubated overnight at room temperature. Non-specific bindings were blocked with 200 μl of 1% BSA. Then, standards and the samples in 100 μl quantities were incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. This was followed by incubation with 100 μl of biotinylated detecting antibodies for 2 hours at room temperature. Subsequently, plates were incubated with 100 μl of avidin-HRP (Vector laboratories, Inc., USA) for 40 minutes at room temperature. Finally, 100 μl of 3,3’, 5,5’-tetramethyl-benzidine dihydrochloride (TMB) substrate (Mandel Scientific, ON, Canada) was added and incubated for 10–15 minutes at room temperature. After adequate color development, the reaction was stopped with 50 μl of 1 M sulfuric acid. In between each step until adding the substrate, plates were washed with PBS containing 0.05%-Tween20 (PBST). The optical densities were measured at 450 nm. Cytokine concentrations of test samples were determined using linear regression of standard curve and expressed as picograms per milliliter. The optimal concentrations for capture (TNF-α: 1 μg/ml, IL-1β: 1 μg/ml and TGF-β2: 2 μg/ml) and detecting antibody (TNF-α: 1 μg/ml, IL-1β: 300 ng/ml and TGF-β2: 50 ng/ml) pair for each cytokine were titrated prior to running ELISA with test samples.

**Statistical Analysis**

All values were presented as mean ± standard error (SE). We performed one-way ANOVA to compare granulocyte and ED-1 positive macrophage numbers at various time points following exposure to barn air or ambient air (control). Using two-way ANOVA, we examined the effect of exposure (barn or ambient air), effect of secondary challenge (saline or LPS) and the interaction effect between exposure and secondary challenge. ANOVA was followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test (SigmaStat®, version 2.0 for Windows® 95, NT and 3.1, 1997; Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was accepted at P < 0.05.

**Results**

**BAL analyses**

There were no differences in total and differential leukocyte counts among various groups in this study (P > 0.05; data not shown).

**Recruitment of granulocytes and PIMMs**

Numerical counts on lung sections stained with anti-granulocyte antibody showed an increase in granulocyte numbers in the septum at one hour after an 8 hour exposure compared to the controls and other post-exposure time points (P < 0.05, Figure 1). We used ED-1 antibody to stain rat monocytes/macrophages in the lung at both light and electron microscopic levels (Figure 2A and 2C). The
the barn air and challenged with E. coli LPS showed more infiltra-
tion of neutrophils and macrophages into the lung septum com-
pared to unexposed LPS-treated rats (P = 0.005, Figure 6A). ELISA
showed no difference in IL-1β levels among the four groups (P > 0.05, Figure 5B). Immuno-electron microscopy localized IL-1β in PIMMs and the alveolar septum (Figure 5C, arrows and inset).

Expression and quantification of TGF-β2
Numerical counts of cells positive for TGF-β2 revealed an effect of exposure (P < 0.001, Figure 7A) and an interaction between exposure and secondary challenge (P = 0.020). Compared to unexposed rats treated with saline, unexposed LPS-challenged (P = 0.044) and exposed saline-treated rats (P < 0.0001) showed increased numbers of TGF-β2 positive cells. Quantification of TGF-β2 using ELISA showed an exposure effect (P = 0.039) and an effect of secondary challenge (P < 0.001). Among the unexposed rats, saline challenged rats showed higher concentrations of TGF-β2 compared to LPS challenged animals (P = 0.022, Figure 7B). Among the saline challenged rats, barn exposed rats showed higher levels of TGF-β2 compared to unexposed ones (P = 0.027, Figure 7B). Among the barn exposed rats, those given saline contained higher concentrations of TGF-β2 compared to the ones treated with LPS (P = 0.002, Figure 7B). Immuno-gold electron microscopy showed TGF-β2 staining in
Figure 2
Pulmonary intravascular monocytes/macrophages. ED-1 antibody stained monocytes/macrophages in the lung septum (A, arrows and inset, bar = 50 μm) and morphometric quantification of septal cells positive for ED-1 antibody showed an increase in their numbers at 48 hours post-exposure compared to controls and other exposed groups (*, P < 0.05, Figure 2B). Pulmonary intravascular monocyte/macrophage (PIMM) shows gold particle (C, arrows) to indicate staining for ED-1 antibody (En- endothelium, Ep-epithelium and AS- alveolar space).
PIMMs, alveolar epithelium and capillary endothelium (Figure 7C).

**Discussion**

The data reported in this paper show that a single exposure to the barn air induces acute lung inflammation including recruitment of granulocytes and PIMMs. The data further show that exposure to the barn air increases susceptibility for increased lung inflammation following a secondary challenge, which may be partially due to recruited PIMMs.

Although it has been known for some time that swine barn workers experience acute lung dysfunction including reduction in FEV1 and inflammation across a single shift in the barn [26-28], there has been a lack of reliable ani-
We also examined the response of barn exposed rats specifically in the context of recruited PIMMs to a secondary challenge. This was necessitated because resident pulmonary intravascular macrophages in cattle, sheep and horses are credited with induction of robust lung inflammation [36,22,37]. Furthermore, we have recently reported that PIMMs recruited following an intraperitoneal injection of E. coli bacteria alter lung susceptibility to a secondary challenge with E. coli LPS [20]. Our data show that LPS treatment of barn-exposed rats compared to normal rats resulted in a higher accumulation of granulocytes and increased number of cells positive for TNF-α but not IL-1β in the lungs. Interestingly, ELISA did not reveal differences among any of the groups for concentration of IL-1β and TNF-α in lung tissues. We do not know the reasons for the discrepancy between histologic and ELISA results for TNF-α. Differences in sensitivities of the two methods could be a contributing factor. Immuno-histochemistry may have detected the residual intracellular cytokines while most of the cytokines were secreted into the circulation thus resulting in lack of differences between groups with ELISA. We still believe that ELISA is a more powerful and sensitive method for molecular quantification. It is possible that we may have missed the window of increased concentrations of the assayed cytokines in rat lungs. Nevertheless, it is important to note that granulocyte numbers were higher in LPS-treated rats that contained PIMMs. Because granulocyte migration requires vascular expression of cytokines and adhesion molecules and is based on the localization of IL-1β and TNF-α in PIMMs, we believe that recruited PIMMs may have played a major role in provoking increased migration of granulocytes into inflamed lungs.

Inflammation is manifested through a complex interplay of inflammatory and pro-inflammatory cytokines. Therefore, we also examined the expression of TGF-β2, which is classified as an anti-inflammatory cytokine involved in tissue repair and remodeling [38-42]. We noticed highest...
Expression and quantification of IL-1β: Quantification of cells stained with an anti-IL-1β antibody showed that unexposed rats treated with E. coli LPS contained more positive cells compared to saline treated unexposed (*, P < 0.026, Figure 5A). ELISA revealed no group differences in the concentrations of IL-1β (Figure 5B, P > 0.05). Immuno-electron microscopy using the same antibody localized IL-1β in pulmonary intravascular monocytes/macrophages (Figure 5C, arrows and inset).
lung expression of TGF-β2 in conjunction with peak recruitment of PIMMs at 48 hours after exposure to the barn. Interestingly, rats treated with LPS at 48 hours post-exposure or without barn exposure showed reduced expression of TGF-β2. These data suggest that TGF-β2 may play anti-inflammatory roles in lung inflammation induced following exposure to the barn air, and that its expression may be suppressed to manifest acute inflammation engendered through LPS treatment of the exposed rats. PIMMs showed TGF-β2 in addition to IL-1β and TNF-α to underscore the complex and multifaceted roles of monocytes/macrophages in lung inflammation. It appears that the relative balance of cytokines produced by monocytes/macrophages results in fine and tight regulation of inflammatory processes.

**Conclusion**
We report novel recruitment of PIMMs in barn-exposed rats and increased lung inflammation in exposed rats subjected to a secondary challenge with LPS. It appears that recruited PIMMs may be involved in increased inflammation through their contribution of multiple cytokines.
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Expression and quantification of TGF-β2: Quantification of cells stained with TGF-β2 antibody showed increased numbers of positive cells in rats exposed to barn and challenged with saline at 48 hours post-exposure compared to saline treated unexposed controls (*, \( P < 0.05 \), Figure 7A). Within unexposed rats, LPS challenged rats contained more cells positive for TGF-β2 compared to saline challenged ones (*, \( P = 0.044 \), Figure 7A). ELISA showed higher concentration of TGF-β2 in the lung homogenates of saline-treated exposed or unexposed rats compared to the E. coli LPS-treated exposed or unexposed rats (*, \( P < 0.05 \), Figure 7B). Immuno-gold electron microscopy localized TGF-β2 in the cytoplasm and nucleus of pulmonary intra-vascular monocytes/macrophages (Figure 7C, arrows and inset) as well as in the lung endothelium and epithelium (Figure 7C, arrowheads).
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