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Introduction. Consumption of foods prepared away from home (FAFH) has grown steadily since the 1970s. We examined the relationship between FAFH and body mass index (BMI) and fruit and vegetable (FV) consumption. Methods. Frequency of FAFH, daily FV intake, height and weight, and sociodemographic data were collected using a telephone survey in 2008-2009. Participants included a representative sample of 2,001 adult men and women (mean age 54±15 years) residing in King County, WA, with an analytical sample of 1,570. Frequency of FAFH was categorized as 0-1, 2–4, or 5+ times per week. BMI was calculated from self-reported height and weight. We examined the relationship between FAFH with FV consumption and BMI using multivariate models. Results. Higher frequency of FAFH was associated with higher BMI, after adjusting for age, income, education, race, smoking, marital status, and physical activity (women: \( p = 0.001 \); men: \( p = 0.003 \)). There was a negative association between frequency of FAFH and FV consumption. FAFH frequency was significantly \( (p < 0.001) \) higher among males than females (43.1% versus 54.0% eating out 0-1 meal per week, resp.). Females reported eating significantly \( (p < 0.001) \) more FV than males. Conclusion. Among adults, higher frequency of FAFH was related to higher BMI and less FV consumption.

1. Introduction

There has been a notable shift in eating culture in the past 40 years. Since the late 1970s, consumption of foods prepared outside the home has steadily grown, from one-sixth to almost one-third of an individual’s daily dietary intake [1–4]. A 2012 analysis of 2007-2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data found that 41% of adults consumed foods and/or beverages from fast food-type restaurants and 27% from full-service restaurants during the previous 24 hours [2]. With fewer meals being prepared at home, the overall quantity of calories has increased while nutritional quality has declined. Not surprisingly, individuals who consume more FAFH are reported to have poorer diet quality. Higher fruit and vegetable intake is associated with better dietary quality [5, 6], and some studies have found an inverse relationship between frequency of fast food use and daily servings of fruit and vegetables [7–12]. The Healthy Eating Index (HEI) is a measure of diet quality, with total fruit and total vegetable intake as two of the main components of this composite measure [13]. Economic Research Service Reports using the 1994–96 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII) and 2003-04 NHANES data found that one meal away from home lowered the daily HEI score enough to shift the average adult’s diet quality from a classification of fair to poor [12, 14]. Another study using the CSFII 1994–96 data reported that more days of fast food consumption resulted in increased energy and macronutrient intakes and decreased micronutrient density [15]. More specifically, eating fast food...
was associated with lower intakes of vitamin A, carotene, vita-
min C, calcium, and magnesium, high amounts of nondiet
carbonated soft drinks, and insignificant amounts of fruit
[15]. Other studies have found that those who consume
FAFH more frequently have higher intakes of total energy,
total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, sodium, sugar-sweetened
beverages, and sugar and lower intakes of fiber and some
micronutrients [10, 14–19].

Cross-sectional and prospective studies have also found
that frequent FAFH consumption is associated with higher
body mass index (BMI) and percent body fat as well as
increased risk for overweight/obesity, cardiometabolic risk
factors, and type 2 diabetes [7, 10, 15, 16, 19–40]. The Coronary
Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study
found that change in fast-food frequency over 15 years was
directly associated with changes in body weight [41]. Sim-
ilarly, a national study of Australian young adults reported
that, after adjusting for confounding variables including age,
leisure time physical activity, TV viewing, and employment
status, consuming takeaway food twice a week or more
was associated with a 31% higher prevalence of moderate
abdominal obesity in men and a 25% higher prevalence
in women, compared to those who ate takeaway food less
than twice a week [39]. Many of the studies linking FAFH
with overweight and obesity were conducted with children,
adolescents, or young adults [9, 10, 28, 29, 34, 36–39, 42–44].

The shift that has occurred in consumption frequency
of FAFH has had important implications in terms of diet
quality, including fruit and vegetable intake, and obesity.
This cross-sectional study aimed to examine the relationship
between FAFH frequency and fruit and vegetable intake as
well as the relationship between FAFH frequency and BMI
among a representative sample of adult men and women King
County, Washington. As most previous studies have focused
on younger age groups, the present study fills an important
gap in the literature.

2. Methods

The Seattle Obesity Study was a cross-sectional study of 2,001
adult male and female residents of King County, Washington.
A stratified random sampling scheme was used to ensure a
representative sample by income and race/ethnicity. Detailed
study methodology has previously been published [45, 46]
and discussed here briefly. To develop the sampling frame,
randomly generated telephone numbers were matched with
residential addresses, using commercial database. A prenoti-
fication letter was mailed out to alert potential respondents
that their household had been randomly selected for this
study. Telephone calls were made to every household to
randomly select an adult member as a survey respondent.
Exclusion criteria were age less than 18 years, discordance
between addresses and telephone numbers obtained from
the commercial database, and those self-reported by the
respondent. After screening for eligibility, study procedures
were explained to the potential respondent and a verbal
consent was obtained over the phone and formally recorded.
A 25-minute telephone survey was then administered to all
the participants. A total of 2,001 respondents participated in
the telephone survey. The SOS sample was demographically
comparable to 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Sys-
tem (BRFSS) and King County Census data. All procedures
involving human subjects/patients were approved by The
University of Washington Institutional Review Board.

2.1. Frequency of Food Consumption Away from Home
(FAFH). Self-reported data on frequency of FAFH was
available from 1,976 of 2,001 phone survey respondents.
Respondents were asked to report number of meals eaten
out each week, including breakfast and lunch. Frequency of
FAFH was categorized as 0–1, 2–4, or 5 or more times per week
based on the distribution of the data obtained.

2.2. Sociodemographic and Lifestyle Measures. The telephone
survey asked a series of questions to collect self-reported
data on socioeconomic status (SES) and demographic and
lifestyle variables. Annual household income and education
were used as the indicators of SES. Income data collected
using 9 categories was recombined into three categories
for analytical purpose: <$50,000, $50,000–$100,000, and
>$100,000, herein $50K, $50–$100K, and $>100K. The 6-
category education variable was recombined into three
groups: “high school or less,” “some college,” and “college
graduates or higher.” Demographic variables of interest
were age, gender, race/ethnicity, and household size. Smok-
ing and physical activity were used as lifestyle indicators.
Physical activity was captured using the standard question
from BRFSS (Behavioral Risk factor Surveillance System)—
“During the past month, other than your regular job, did
you participate in any physical activity or exercise in your
leisure time apart from your work?” For analytical purpose,
it was treated as a dichotomous variable: yes/no. The smoking
variable was dichotomized into never versus current/former.
One thousand six hundred ninety-seven respondents had
complete data for the demographic and lifestyle variables.

2.3. Health Outcome Measure: BMI. Self-reported data on
weight and height was available from 1,877 survey respon-
dents and was used to compute BMI. BMI was calculated as
weight (in kilograms) divided by square of height (in meters).

2.4. Fruit and Vegetable Intake. Standard dietary questions
from BRFSS were used to capture the intake of fruits and
vegetables, and other foods for the present study. Each
respondent was asked to report the frequency of consumption
of fruit juices, fruits, green salad, carrots, and other vegetables
by per day/week/month or year basis. The reported frequency
for each of these items was added together to compute the
total fruit and vegetable intake per person per day. Fruit and
vegetable intake data were available from 1,937 respondents.

2.5. Statistical Analyses. All statistical analyses were con-
ducted using SPSS 21.0 for Windows (version 2012, IBM-
SPSS, Inc.). First, univariate descriptive statistics were con-
ducted for each variable (means, standard deviations, and
frequencies). Bivariate associations were then examined
between frequency of FAFH and each of the sociodemo-
graphic variables, BMI, and fruit and vegetable consumption,
Table 1: Sample characteristics of female and male adults in the sample.

| Variable                          | Total | Female | Male |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|------|
|                                   | 1570  | 936 (60%) | 634 (40%) |
| **Race**                          |       |        |      |
| White                             | 1313 (84) | 782 (84) | 531 (84) |
| Not White                         | 257 (16) | 154 (17) | 103 (16) |
| **Education**                     |       |        |      |
| High school                       | 263 (17) | 154 (17) | 109 (17) |
| Some college                      | 410 (26) | 243 (26) | 167 (26) |
| College graduate                  | 897 (57) | 539 (58) | 358 (57) |
| **Income**                        |       |        |      |
| <$50K                             | 617 (39) | 386 (41) | 231 (36) |
| $50–$100K                         | 540 (34) | 318 (34) | 222 (35) |
| >$100K                            | 413 (26) | 232 (25) | 181 (29) |
| **Marital status**                |       |        |      |
| Married or member of unmarried couple | 891 (57) | 521 (56) | 370 (58) |
| Divorced/separated/widowed/never married | 679 (43) | 415 (44) | 264 (42) |
| **Smoking status**                |       |        |      |
| Never smoker                      | 838 (53) | 527 (56) | 311 (49) |
| Former/current smoker             | 732 (47) | 409 (44) | 323 (51) |
| **Physical activity**             |       |        |      |
| Yes                               | 1238 (79) | 732 (78) | 506 (80) |
| No                                | 332 (21) | 204 (22) | 128 (20) |
| **Frequency of FAFH per week (FAFH)** |       |        |      |
| 0-1                               | 778 (50) | 505 (54) | 273 (43) |
| 2–4                               | 539 (34) | 319 (34) | 220 (35) |
| 5+                                | 253 (16) | 112 (12) | 141 (22) |
| Fruit and vegetable servings per day | 4.19 ± 2.13 | 4.45 ± 2.18 | 3.81 ± 2.01 |

FAFH, foods away from home.

separately for males and females as well as for both genders combined. Chi-square tests of independence or analysis of variance tests, followed by post hoc comparisons, were run to test the association of these demographic variables with FAFH. Finally, General Linear Models (GLM) were used to assess the relationship between BMI and FAFH, and fruit and vegetable consumption and FAFH. The analyses were conducted separately for each gender as well as for both genders combined. The dependent variables were, respectively, BMI and fruit and vegetable consumption. The independent variables tested in the model were FAFH, gender, age, education, income, marital status, physical activity, and smoking. For the model for both genders combined, interaction terms between gender and other relevant independent variables were tested.

3. Results

Sample characteristics of the 1570 adults with complete data for all of the above described variables are presented in Table 1. A higher percentage of females participated than males (60% versus 40%, resp.). Mean age of the sample was 53.7. The sample was more likely to be White (84%), educated (57% college graduate), and with annual household income of >$50K (60%). About half the sample was married/member of an unmarried couple (57%), and half of the sample never smoked (53%). Most of the sample reported being physically active outside work (79%). Half of the sample consumed FAFH 0-1 time per week, with 34% of the sample consuming 2–4 times per week, and 16% eating out 5 or more meals per
week. Average FV consumption was 4.19 servings per day, and the average BMI was 26.6.

Sociodemographic profile of the sample was also observed by gender (Table 1). Females and males were comparable by income, education, race, marital status, and physical activity. However, differences were observed by other variables of interest. Average BMI was much higher among males (27.3 kg/m$^2$) as compared to females (26.1 kg/m$^2$). Overall, FAFH frequency was higher among males than females (43% versus 54% eating out 0-1 meal per week, resp.). A significantly higher proportion of males ate out 5 or more meals per week (22% versus 12% among females) ($p < 0.001$). On average, females reported eating approximately half a serving more of fruits and vegetables per day than males (4.45 versus 3.81 servings per day, resp.; $p < 0.001$).

Bivariate associations between FAFH frequency and key sociodemographic variables are presented in Table 2. Significant bivariate trends were observed in frequency of FAFH with selected sociodemographic variables, health indicators, and FV consumption. Higher frequency of FAFH was associated with being younger in age and higher BMI both among females and males ($p < 0.05$). For example, females with FAFH frequency 5+ times per week were on average 50.7 years old as compared to 57.0 years old for those never or rarely eating out (defined as 0-1 time per week). Higher frequency of FAFH was associated with relatively higher BMI both among females and males. For example, mean BMI was 27.4 kg/m$^2$ among females with frequency of FAFH 5+ times/week as compared to 25.8 kg/m$^2$ among those who never or rarely ate out (0-1 time per week) ($p < 0.05$). For females, frequency of FAFH was higher among college graduates (15% with FAFH frequency 5+ times per week) as compared to those with high school or some college (only 7%). Similar trends were seen among males (25% versus 15%, resp.), although the relationship was not significant. Respondents with higher annual household income had higher frequency of FAFH. For example, among females, 12% of those with household income of $50–$100K and 20% of those with income >$100K ate out five or more times per week as compared to only 7% of those with income <$50K. The corresponding numbers among males were 23%, 28%, and 17%, respectively.

There were mixed results for the associations between FAFH and fruit and vegetable intake. For example, for males, while there were significant differences between fruit and vegetable intake for those who ate out 0-1 or 2-4 times per week versus 5 or more times per week, the overall association was not significant (3.98, 3.78, and 3.53, $p$ value = 0.093). A similar pattern was observed for females.

No significant differences were observed in frequency of FAFH by race, marital status, or smoking status.

Table 3 shows associations between BMI and frequency of FAFH, separately among females and males as well as for both genders combined, after adjusting for covariates. There were no significant interactions between gender and other relevant independent variables. Among females, frequency of FAFH 5 or more times per week was associated with significantly higher BMI as compared to those who eat out only once per week or never ($\beta = 2.19; SE = 0.60; p$ value < 0.001). However, no significant difference in BMI was observed among females with frequency of FAFH 2-4 times per week as compared to the reference category. Among males, eating out 2-4 times per week and 5 times per week or more were associated with significantly higher BMI ($\beta = 1.27; SE = 0.44; p$ value = 0.004 and $\beta = 1.34; SE = 0.52; p$ value = 0.010, resp.), as compared to those who only eat out only once a week or never. These associations were observed even after adjusting for covariates: income, education, race/ethnicity, marital status, and lifestyle variables (Figure 1).

Significant associations were also observed between key sociodemographic and lifestyle variables and BMI, both among females and males. For example, higher income was associated with significantly lower BMI among females (as compared to the reference category of <$50K, $\beta = 1.87, p$ value 0.001 among those with income $50–$100K; and $\beta = 1.35, p$ value 0.008 among those with income >$100K). For males, a significant relation was observed with education. As compared to college graduates, having lower education was associated with higher BMI ($\beta = 1.23, p$ value 0.028). Physical activity had a significant negative association with BMI for both females and males (among females, $\beta = 2.61, p$ value $\leq 0.001$ for those who were not physically active outside work; among males, $\beta = 1.33, p$ value = 0.006). No other effects were significant in the models. For the models with gender combined, eating out 2-4 times per week and 5 or more times per week were associated with significantly higher BMI ($\beta = 0.97, p$ value = 0.001 and $\beta = 1.88, p$ value < 0.001, resp.), as compared to those who eat out only once a week or never.

Table 4 displays the multivariate associations between FV consumption and frequency of FAFH, separately for females and males. Among females, those who ate FAFH 2-4 times per week consumed significantly fewer servings...
Table 2: Bivariate associations between FAFH, key sociodemographic variables, and fruit and vegetable consumption.

| Variable                  | Total          | Female        | Male          | 0-1 | 2–4 | 5+   | p value  | 0-1 | 2–4 | 5+   | p value  | 0-1 | 2–4 | 5+   | p value |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----|-----|------|----------|-----|-----|------|----------|-----|-----|------|----------|
| **Age mean (SE)**         | 56.57 (0.52)   | 51.37 (0.62)  | 49.95 (0.90)  | <0.001 | 56.77 (0.65)  | 51.11 (0.81) | 49.72 (1.37) | <0.001 | 56.20 (0.86)  | 51.76 (0.95) | 50.12 (1.19) | <0.001 |
| **BMI mean (SE)**         | 26.07 (0.20)   | 26.77 (0.23)  | 27.62 (0.34)  | <0.001 | 25.78 (0.26)  | 26.11 (0.32) | 27.44 (0.55) | 0.024 | 26.59 (0.29)  | 27.73 (0.32) | 27.76 (0.40) | 0.012 |
| **Race**                  |                |               |               |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| White count (%)           | 632 (48)       | 464 (35)      | 217 (17)      | 0.039 | 411 (53)      | 277 (35)      | 94 (12)      | 0.120 | 221 (42)      | 187 (35)      | 123 (23)      | 0.214 |
| Not White                 | 146 (57)       | 75 (29)       | 36 (14)       |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| **Education**             |                |               |               |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| High school               | 159 (61)       | 77 (29)       | 27 (10)       | <0.001 | 145 (60)      | 79 (33)      | 19 (8)       | <0.001 | 70 (42)       | 60 (36)       | 37 (22)       | 0.166 |
| Some college              | 215 (52)       | 139 (34)      | 56 (14)       | <0.001 | 151 (48)      | 128 (40)     | 39 (12)       | <0.001 | 99 (45)       | 71 (32)       | 52 (23)       | 0.003 |
| College graduate          | 404 (45)       | 323 (36)      | 170 (19)      |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| **Income**                |                |               |               |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| <$50K                     | 371 (60)       | 181 (29)      | 65 (11)       |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| $50–$100K                 | 250 (46)       | 199 (37)      | 91 (17)       | <0.001 | 151 (48)      | 128 (40)     | 39 (12)       | <0.001 | 99 (45)       | 71 (32)       | 52 (23)       | 0.003 |
| >$100K                    | 157 (38)       | 159 (39)      | 97 (24)       | <0.001 | 99 (43)      | 86 (37)      | 47 (20)       | <0.001 | 58 (32)       | 73 (40)       | 50 (28)       |          |
| **Marital status**        |                |               |               |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| Married/relationshipa     | 439 (49)       | 312 (35)      | 140 (16)      | 0.770 | 270 (52)      | 184 (35)     | 67 (13)       | 0.317 | 169 (46)      | 128 (35)      | 73 (20)       | 0.140 |
| Singleb                   | 339 (50)       | 227 (33)      | 113 (17)      |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| **Smoking status**        |                |               |               |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| Never smoker              | 415 (50)       | 285 (34)      | 138 (17)      | 0.908 | 295 (56)      | 166 (32)     | 66 (13)       | 0.166 | 120 (39)      | 119 (38)      | 72 (23)       | 0.071 |
| Current/former smoker     | 363 (49.6)     | 254 (35)      | 115 (16)      |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| **Physical activity**     |                |               |               |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| Yes                       | 592 (50)       | 448 (36)      | 198 (16)      | 0.009 | 384 (53)      | 260 (36)     | 88 (12)       | 0.179 | 208 (41)      | 188 (37)      | 110 (22)      | 0.033 |
| No                        | 186 (56)       | 91 (27)       | 55 (17)       |      |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |     |     |      |          |
| **Fruit & veg. servings per day** | 4.38 (0.08) | 4.08 (0.09) | 3.86 (0.13) | 0.001 | 4.59 (0.10) | 4.28 (0.12) | 4.27 (0.21) | 0.091 | 3.98 (0.12) | 3.78 (0.14) | 3.53 (0.17) | 0.093 |

FAFH, foods away from home.

*aMarried or member of unmarried couple.

bDivorced/separated/widowed/never married.
Table 3: Multivariate analysis of BMI and frequency of FAFH by gender, adjusted for covariates.

| Parameter                                      | Total       | Female     | Male       |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Intercept                                      | 24.28 0.89  | 23.27 0.02 | 25.44 1.34 |
| Age                                            | 0.01 0.01   | 0.01 0.02  | 0.01 0.02  |
| Race (ref. = White)                            |             |            |            |
| Not White                                      | -0.72 0.37  | -0.68 0.50 | -0.92 0.54 |
| Education (ref. = college graduate)            |             |            |            |
| High school                                    | 0.68 0.40   | 0.13 0.56  | 1.24 0.56  |
| Some college                                   | 0.22 0.33   | 0.05 0.45  | 0.41 0.47  |
| Income (ref. ≥ $100K)                          |             |            |            |
| <50K                                           | 1.26 0.41   | 1.87 0.58  | 0.66 0.56  |
| $50–$100K                                      | 0.93 0.36   | 1.36 0.51  | 0.54 0.50  |
| Marital status (ref. = married or member of unmarried couple) |             |            |            |
| Divorced/separated/widowed/never married        | -0.37 0.33  | -0.11 0.45 | -0.72 0.49 |
| Smoking status (ref. = current/former smoker)  |             |            |            |
| Never smoked                                   | -0.35 0.27  | -0.58 0.38 | 0.13 0.40  |
| Physical activity (ref. = yes)                  |             |            |            |
| No                                             | 2.07 0.34   | 2.61 0.46  | 1.33 0.48  |
| Frequency of FAFH per week (FAFH) (ref. = 0-1) |             |            |            |
| 2–4                                            | 0.97 0.30   | 0.61 0.41  | 1.27 0.44  |
| 5+                                             | 1.88 0.40   | 2.19 0.60  | 1.34 0.52  |

FAFH, foods away from home; ref., reference category.

Table 4: Multivariate analysis of FV servings per day and frequency of FAFH by gender, adjusted for covariates.

| Parameter                                      | Total       | Female     | Male       |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Intercept                                      | 3.56 0.34   | 3.66 0.44  | 3.54 0.55  |
| Age                                            | 0.02 0.00   | 0.01 0.01  | 0.01 0.01  |
| Race (ref. = White)                            |             |            |            |
| Not White                                      | 0.32 0.14   | 0.40 0.19  | 0.19 0.22  |
| Education (ref. = college graduate)            |             |            |            |
| High school                                    | -0.74 0.16  | -0.97 0.21 | -0.37 0.23 |
| Some college                                   | -0.61 0.13  | -0.46 0.17 | -0.81 0.19 |
| Income (ref. ≥ $100K)                          |             |            |            |
| <50K                                           | 0.27 0.16   | 0.31 0.22  | 0.20 0.23  |
| $50–$100K                                      | 0.45 0.14   | 0.53 0.19  | 0.36 0.20  |
| Marital status (ref. = married or member of unmarried couple) |         | | |
| Divorced/separated/widowed/never married        | -0.14 0.13  | -0.16 0.17 | -0.22 0.20 |
| Smoking status (ref. = current/former smoker)  |             |            |            |
| Never smoked                                   | -0.04 0.11  | -0.08 0.14 | -0.10 0.16 |
| Physical activity (ref. = yes)                  |             |            |            |
| No                                             | -0.93 0.13  | -1.04 0.17 | -0.77 0.20 |
| Frequency of FAFH per week (FAFH) (ref. = 0-1) |             |            |            |
| 2–4                                            | -0.32 0.12  | -0.36 0.15 | -0.16 0.18 |
| 5+                                             | -0.46 0.15  | -0.30 0.23 | -0.34 0.21 |

FV, fruit and vegetable; FAFH, foods away from home; ref., reference category.
of fruit and vegetables per day than those who ate out 0-1 time per week ($\beta = -0.36; SE = 0.15; p$ value = 0.018), adjusted for income, education, race/ethnicity, marital status, and lifestyle variables. However, no significant difference in FV consumption was observed among females who ate FAFH 5 or more times per week compared to those who ate out 0-1 time per week. Among males, no significant associations were observed between FV consumption and FAFH frequency. There were no significant interactions between gender and other relevant independent variables. For the models with gender combined, eating FAFH 2–4 times per week and 5 or more times per week was associated with significantly less FV consumption ($\beta = -0.32, p$ value = 0.007 and $\beta = -0.46, p$ value = 0.003, resp.), compared to eating FAFH 0-1 time per week.

4. Discussion

4.1. FAFH and BMI. In the current study, higher frequency of FAFH was associated with higher BMI among both females and males. A 2012 review reported that 7 out of 8 cohort studies found a positive association between the frequency of eating away from home and body weight [23]. Among cross-sectional studies, the same review reported that, of 27 cross-sectional studies, 9 showed no association or a negative association among women and 11 showed no association or a negative association among men [23]. In those studies that found no relationship between FAFH frequency and body weight, the authors of the review suggested that lack of a common definition of the out-of-home eating concept, food intake assessment method, small sample size, and, in a Spanish study, frequency of consumption of food from healthy restaurants versus fast food establishments may have contributed to the lack of association [23].

4.2. Explaining the Relationship between FAFH and BMI. In general, fast foods and FAFH tend to be energy dense. A number of studies have found FAFH to be energy dense, and with higher amounts of total fat and sugar than home-prepared meals [12, 15, 47, 48]. Portion sizes at fast food chains and restaurants might be another factor that contributes to unintended consumption of excess energy. Fast food and restaurants portion sizes have increased over the past 30 years. For example, Young and Nestle found that current sizes for French fries, hamburgers, and sodas are 2 to 5 times larger than the original sizes offered [49]. A number of experiments have determined that people eat more when they are served larger portions [50–56]. Energy content and portion size in foods away from home likely contribute to excess energy intake in those who often eat out. This excess energy intake may lead to weight gain, since individuals often do not compensate for consuming larger portions by decreasing caloric intake or increasing physical activity [50, 54, 55]. Overweight individuals may be more affected by large portion sizes than normal weight consumers [57].

Influences on food choice, including the choices to eat out, eat less, or eat more healthful foods, are complex and include food upbringing, family role, health, ethnic identity and traditions, food environment, and resources, including knowledge and skills; time, space, and finances; social networks and supports; and cultural and social skills [58]. Other models of food choice include explanations of value negotiations, weighing sensory perceptions, monetary considerations, health and nutrition beliefs and concerns, convenience, and social relationships [59]. Recent evidence indicates that a greater amount of time spent on home food preparation is associated with more frequent intake of fruits and vegetables [60, 61].

4.3. FAFH Frequency and FV Consumption. Although there were mixed results for the associations between FAFH frequency and FV consumption in this study, the findings suggest a negative correlation. Most studies have found eating out to be associated with poor diet quality, including lower consumption of fruits and vegetables [10, 14–19]. A few previous studies found that eating out was associated with higher vegetable consumption [8, 10, 62]. In one of these, the authors suggested the categorization of French fries as a vegetable may have contributed to this finding [8]. Two studies found that eating at full service restaurants was associated with higher vegetable consumption, but eating at burger-and-fries or non-fast food restaurants was not associated or negatively associated with vegetable consumption [10, 62]. A few studies have found frequency of fruit consumption to be higher among those who ate out more frequently, at least in some subpopulations [62–64]. Befort and colleagues found that, for Black adolescents, fruit intake was positively associated with sit-down, menu-based restaurant use, but this was not the case for White adolescents or for fast food restaurant use [62]. A study of Korean housewives found that, in the middle income class, frequency of fruit consumption was significantly higher with more frequent eating out, but there were no associations in the lower or upper classes [63]. Another Korean study reported that people who ate out more frequently consumed more fruit; the authors noted that this could be explained by Korean restaurants serving fresh fruit as dessert [64].

In the current analysis, eating away from home included eating at full service and fast food restaurants, but, as mentioned, the relationship between frequency of eating away from home and poor diet quality may vary according to restaurant type [10, 62]. That is, food eaten at full service restaurants may differ in key nutrients from food obtained from fast food restaurants. For example, in a study of young adults, Larson and colleagues found more frequent use of full service restaurants was associated with higher vegetable and fiber consumption [10].

4.4. Explaining the Relationship between FAFH Frequency and FV Consumption. One of the reasons for the negative relation between FAFH frequency and FV consumption may be the lack of demand for, and thus lack of options offered of, healthy food at fast food restaurants. An Australian study found that, out of nearly 1500 meal purchases at McDonald's stores in a variety of socioeconomic areas, only 1.5% were healthy, which was defined by a symbol denoting approval from the National Heart Foundation of Australia [65]. Another study of fast food patrons found only 58% rated nutrition as
important when buying fast food [66]. Likewise, interviews
with senior menu development and marketing executives at
leading casual dining and fast-food restaurant chains revealed
they believe demand for healthier foods is not widespread
[67]. Additional obstacles to including healthier menu items
mentioned by the executives were the short shelf life of
produce, increased preparation time, low sales, and high
labor costs [67]. The authors noted that profit margins are
the primary determinants of restaurants’ choice to continue
to serve healthier food options and that without an increase
in consumer demand it is unlikely the restaurant industry will
increase their offering of healthy food choices [67].

4.5. Results by Gender. Overall, in this study’s sample, fre-
quency of FAFH was higher among males than females.
This finding is consistent with previous research [41, 68, 69].
On average, females in the current study reported eating
approximately half a serving more of fruits and vegetables per
day than males. This finding is also consistent with previous
research [70–72].

4.6. Results by Demographic and Lifestyle Factors. In the
current study, younger individuals ate out more often than
older individuals, a finding supported by previous research
[24, 73, 74]. In addition, individuals with higher incomes
ate out more frequently. The inclusion of both cheaper and
more expensive restaurants in the definition of FAFH in this
study may have contributed to the finding that those with
higher incomes ate out more frequently. Although eating out
is generally associated with eating more unhealthful food
and more calories in general, some restaurants offer healthier
options and not all consumers eat more at a meal away from
home compared to an at-home meal.

4.7. Recommendations. Among the recommendations in a
2006 report requested by the FDA were that food establish-
ments should increase the availability of low-calorie menu
items, provide consumers with caloric and nutrient informa-
tion in a standard, easily accessible format, and develop and
promote food and beverage portion size options that help
consumers in their efforts to balance their energy intake and
output [75].

Environmental changes may be an option. A review
of worksite health promotion programs found that fruit,
vegetable, and fat intake can be positively influenced by
environmental strategies including labeling at the point of
purchase, promotional materials, expanded availability of
healthy foods, and targeted food placement [76]. In school
settings, successful environmental strategies for increasing
healthy food purchasing include food pricing, availability,
and promotion [77]. Restaurant managers interested in pro-
moting healthy food choices, while continuing to make a
profit, could implement some of these strategies.

Other strategies concentrate more on consumers making
healthy decisions when they choose to eat out. USDA rec-
ommendations include selecting water over sugar-sweetened
beverages and opting for small or medium portions and
dishes that have been steamed, grilled, or broiled versus fried
or sautéed [78]. At fast food restaurants, recommendations
include using available nutrition information to inform
decisions and passing on “super-sizing” [79].

As mentioned, one reason people buy fast food is the
notion that it is cheaper than home-prepared food. Articles
in the popular press have attempted to refute this idea [80]. If
this were true, one reason for eating away from home could
be eliminated. Unfortunately, previous analyses of Seattle
Obesity Study data suggest that, based on the monetary value
of individual diets assessed by food frequency questionnaire,
higher-cost diets were significantly higher in nutrient density
[46, 81, 82]. Other studies support the finding that higher cost
diets are more nutritionally dense [83–86].

4.8. Study Limitations. This study has important limitations
that must be noted. First, the self-reported nature of the data
for the key outcomes of interest is prone to error and
bias. Next, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study,
causality cannot be determined. It is possible that eating
foods away from home more frequently results in higher
overall calorie consumption and therefore higher BMI as
well as lower fruit and vegetable intake; however, it is also
possible that individuals of higher body weight and those
who consumer fewer fruits and vegetables are more likely to
consume away from home meals. Finally, while this was a
representative sample in Seattle, Washington, these findings
may not be generalizable to all U.S. cities and/or geographic
regions outside the U.S.

5. Conclusion

In this study of 1570 adults, a positive relationship was
found between FAFH and BMI in both men and women.
Those who ate out more frequently tended to consume
fewer fruits and vegetables, although the results were not
consistent among all analyses. Overall, frequency of FAFH
was higher among males than females. On average, females
reported eating approximately half a serving more of fruits
and vegetables per day than males. Eating out less fre-
quently and choosing healthy, low calorie meals when away
from home may help reduce overconsumption of energy-
dense, nutrient-poor foods. Recommendations include both
food establishments and consumers taking responsibility for
decreasing these trends; food establishments could provide
and promote healthier foods, while consumers could choose
more nutrient-dense options.
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