Italian National Recovery and Resilience Plan: a Healthcare Renaissance after the COVID-19 crisis?

Tommaso Filippini1, Silvio Roberto Vinceti2

1CREAGEN - Environmental, Genetic and Nutritional Epidemiology Research Center, Section of Public Health, Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy; 2Department of Law, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

Abstract. Proposed for the first time by European Commission in May 2020, the “NextGenerationEU” (NGEU) program is the European Union’s most important effort to address key issues relating to public health and healthcare, digital and technological innovation, climate change, sustainable mobility, and key sociocultural aspects. In addition, the NGEU represents a response to the COVID-19 crisis through an extremely powerful financial intervention (over 800 billion euros). Italy is one of the main recipients of the NGEU plan’s resources with almost 200 billion euros received in grants and loans. Implementation of the NGEU in Italy will take place through the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP). The NRRP not only describes how the NGEU resources will be used, but it singles out crucial public law reforms in national legislation and organization. Unsurprisingly, public health intervention represents a major component of the NRRP. Here we summarize and discuss the rules, regulations and perspective envisaged by the NRRP to foster effective healthcare and to reshape the Italian National Health System through the redesigning of primary care, enhanced communication between hospital and community healthcare, and stronger implementation of digital technologies in public health. (www.actabiomedica.it)
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Introduction

NextGenerationEU (NGEU) is the European Union's 806.9 billion euros plan to address the economic and social disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Announced in May 2020 by the European Commission, the NGEU will operate as the multianual financial framework for years 2021–2027 (1,2). As one of the European countries most severely hit by the pandemic (3,4), Italy is among the main beneficiaries of the Plan, with 191.5 billions allocated in grants and loans (5). On April 27, 2021, the Italian Parliament approved the Government’s proposal of the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP) which defines the destination and intervention of the NGEU resources (6,7). The NRRP was subsequently confirmed by the European Commission and Council in June and July 2021. We briefly outline here some central aspects of the NRRP that bridge public law and public health, as well as their current development and implementation status. With the ‘once in a lifetime chance’ represented by the NGEU (7), Italy cannot fail the opportunity to make an ‘healthcare renaissance’ out of the NRRP.

Health in the NRRP

The strategic intervention areas identified at European level in the NGEU which are going to be addressed within the NRRP are (i) digitization and innovation, (ii) ecological transition, and (iii) social
inclusion. The NRRP recognizes the need to implement effective strategies through six different key missions, all directly or indirectly concerning health issues:

1. Digitisation, Innovation, Competitiveness, Culture
2. Green Revolution and Ecological Transition
3. Infrastructure for Sustainable Mobility
4. Education and Research
5. Inclusion and Cohesion
6. Health

Developed under the pressure of the COVID-19 crisis, the ‘Health’ NRRP component aims at changing the structure of the healthcare services by substantially strengthening preventive medicine interventions and efficacy. The effort is envisaged through the implementation of new public healthcare laws, full digitisation of the health systems, and substantial improvement of the equity in access to care. Two key strategies have been identified under this perspective: ‘Proximity networks, structures and telemedicine for territorial healthcare assistance’ and ‘Innovation, research and digitisation of the National Health System’.

Proximity structures and telemedicine for territorial healthcare assistance

The objectives of this first strategy are the decrease of disparities in accessing healthcare services and benefitting from them, especially due to the current inadequate integration between hospital-based and community-based healthcare services. In particular, the NRRP addresses the need to strengthen the National Health System through the link with hospital-based healthcare of community structures and facilities, such as with community homes (‘Case della Comunità’) and community hospitals (‘Ospedali di Comunità’). Within such a new organizational framework, the NRRP goals are focused on the improvement of home care, telemedicine, and e-health services.

With regard to the integration of hospital and community, new models of healthcare were already identified and structured before the pandemic in the Italian National Health System, e.g. the so called diagnostic, therapeutic and healthcare management protocols (PDTA) (8). However, despite the implementation of several PDTA, particularly for rare and chronic diseases, their structure and application are still highly heterogeneous across the Italian regions, especially when National Plans outlining the main framework are missing (8). Consequently, an effort must be made to achieve more homogeneous and equal healthcare across the country and the different regions, independently of economic and cultural differences. For this reason, the NRRP outlines the need to change many aspects of the National Health System and primary healthcare, overcoming the geographical heterogeneity in healthcare assistance and achieving a better efficacy of the assistance yielded by these services. This challenge has been addressed in the NRRP with the objective to strengthen the intermediate assistance through the increase of “community hospitals”, a structure aimed at the reduction of inappropriate access to hospital-based healthcare, such as emergency room, specialized services, and other hospital facilities. For this purpose, the key strategies of the NRRP are the allocation of resources to the enhancement of healthcare network on the territory and the healthcare digital innovations such as “telehealth” (9).

Within the framework of the strong pressure towards digital transition, this latter tool of implementation and improvement of e-health/telemedicine services is going to be fundamental to achieve this goal. It has been already convincingly demonstrated the feasibility of e-health, its effectiveness in increasing quality and easing access to healthcare (10,11), and also in somewhat favoring a cost reduction (12,13), especially when shifting from hospital-based to community-based care for chronic diseases, such diabetes and neurodegenerative disease (14,15).

It is noteworthy to observe that the COVID-19 pandemic and the prolonged lockdowns have strongly boosted the implementation of telemedicine programs in the most recent months (16). This has been also true for the management and follow-up of several chronic diseases, including cancer (13,17-20). The establishment of lockdowns, with the decreased mobility of subjects, and the prolonged social distancing severely affected personal habits and lifestyles (21,22), and had a negative impact on human health and especially on mental health (23). In this respect, tele-psychiatry has
been an area if particular interest and relevance during the pandemic, when overall telemedicine interventions for both psychiatric patients and general population were implemented (24).

A lesson learned during the pandemic about the use of telemedicine is that a great proportion of outpatient visits of subjects with nonurgent conditions could be effectively managed with no reduction of quality of care through telemedicine facilities, e.g. e-health or mobile-health services, although the need for the implementation of logistics as well as better personnel training has been pointed out (16). However, contrasting results have been reported about the effectiveness of telehealth programs (25). In this view, the actions planned within the NRRP should provide a careful identification and assessment of the areas that may more benefit from telehealth approaches and solutions.

**Innovation, research and digitisation of the National Health System**

Significant resources are also being allocated by the NGEU and the NRRP itself to nourish scientific research and foster technology transfer, as well as to the NHS for the enhancement of staff training.

Closely related to the first strategy, the objectives of the second strategy aim at developing public health services capable of strengthening skills and human capital as well as to enhancing investments for digital, structural and technological resources, increasing biomedical research, and promoting the renewal and modernization of the existing technological and digital healthcare structures. Among its key interventions, the NRRP outlines the need to re-organize the national network of the Scientific Institutes for Research, Hospitalization and Healthcare (IRCCS), encompassing an improvement of their governance, a clear identification of their specific mission, and the implementation of networking activities aiming at increasing quality and competitiveness of their research output. In addition, the NRRP focuses its attention to allocate resources for the digital transition, the employment of innovative health technologies, as well as the strengthening of intensive and semi-intensive care units. The latter objective is of particular relevance since the lack of such structures became apparent during the most critical phases of the COVID-19 pandemic, when temporary hospitals had to be built up in Italy as occurred in several other countries (26-28).

Further improvements of the NRRP are linked to the completion and systematic use of the Personal Electronic Health Record (PEHR), and to a better delivery and monitoring capacity of the Essential Levels of Assistance (LEA) through more effective information technology tools and systems (29). For example, the implementation of PEHR already showed to have positive impact on immunization program through the increase of vaccine uptake, although mediating factors as well as digital improvements of such tools are still to be identified (30).

In addition, the NRRP recognizes the need to address at national levels the disparities in the provision of healthcare services, the lack of integration between hospital-based and community-based care as well as social services, the issue of a too long waiting time for critical interventions in many areas of the country, and eventually the limited capacity to address environmental and climate change-related health risks and to counteract them with appropriate strategies.

With regard to the use and implementation of innovative technologies, the COVID-19 pandemic has forced health professionals to develop new strategies and tools for the management of patients. A clear example can be seen in the development of several digital apps for COVID-19 triage and self-assessment (31). This kind of interventions is particularly advisable under a public health perspective, in order to limit through effective community services, the need of hospital-based care.

**The NRRP Implementation**

With the Decree-Laws nos. 77 and 80 the Italian Government has articulated the NRRP governance structure (32,33). This was a key issue since the power to regulate healthcare in the Italian Constitution is divided between the central State and the several Regions (34) and a failure to coordinate different government levels would have arguably led to constitutional litigation and thus hindered the NRRP implementation. In this respect, the Decree-Law no.
77 has given the Regions a significant role in the NRRP execution program by establishing a steering committee (‘cabina di regia’) that allows for Regional participation in several forms.

As to the NRRP current implementation status, the first Prime Minister’s Secretary has recently released its first periodical review summarizing the current state of NRRP reforms in Italy (6). It bears to keep in mind that the NGEU founds are made available to each European State upon completion of the milestones and targets set forth in the detailed Annex to the Council of the European Union’s Implementing Decision of July 22, 2021 (35). With regard to healthcare reforms, in 2021 it is “only” envisioned the milestone “Digital update of hospitals’ technological equipment” (36), that the Prime Minister’s Secretary’s review describes as “ongoing”. However, a positive conclusion to such a technical update should bode well for the far more demanding milestone that awaits the Italian Government and Regions in the first quarter of 2022: “Definition of a new organisational model for Territorial health-care assistance network” (36), that the Prime Minister’s Secretary’s review describes as “ongoing”. However, a positive conclusion to such a technical update should bode well for the far more demanding milestone that awaits the Italian Government and Regions in the first quarter of 2022: “Definition of a new organisational model for Territorial health-care assistance network” (36). The reform of territorial and primary care has already generated a heated debate between the Italian Regions and primary care physicians (37,38). However, the Italian government cannot fail the opportunity for such momentous and much needed change in the Italian primary care system (39,40).

Conclusions

The Italian National Recovery and Resilience Plan has outlined the tasks for the use of NGEU resources. Most importantly, the NRRP describes the reforms that must be carried out in national and regional legislation in order to improve the Italian healthcare system. Bridging public law and public health is thus a major imperative of both the NGEU and the NRRP, and should be fully accounted and sustained by both the legal and the health sciences communities. In this paper, we summarized and discussed the rules, regulations and perspectives that are singled out in the NRRP in order to foster effective healthcare and to reshape the Italian National Health System by improving the networking between hospital and community health centers, with an urge towards digital innovation.
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