GEOMETRIC HYDRODYNAMICS VIA MADELUNG TRANSFORM
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Abstract. We introduce a geometric framework to study Newton's equations on infinite-dimensional configuration spaces of diffeomorphisms and smooth probability densities. It turns out that several important PDEs of hydrodynamical origin can be described in this framework in a natural way. In particular, the Madelung transform between the Schrödinger equation and Newton's equations is a symplectomorphism of the corresponding phase spaces. Furthermore, the Madelung transform turns out to be a Kähler map when the space of densities is equipped with the Fisher-Rao information metric. We describe several dynamical applications of these results.

Contents
1. Introduction 1
2. Wasserstein geometry of the space of densities 4
2.1. Riemannian submersion to densities 4
2.2. Example: Classical mechanics 6
2.3. Example: Barotropic fluids 6
3. Fisher-Rao geometry of the space of densities 7
3.1. Example: µ-Camassa-Holm equation 8
3.2. Example: infinite-dimensional Neumann problem 9
4. Geometric properties of the Madelung transform 10
4.1. Madelung transform as a symplectomorphism 10
4.2. Example: linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations 11
4.3. Example: Vortex filament equation 12
4.4. Madelung transform as a Kähler morphism 13
4.5. Example: 2-component Hunter-Saxton (2HS) equation 14
4.6. Appendix: Madelung transform as a momentum map 15
References 16

1. Introduction

In a seminal 1966 paper, Arnold [1] showed that the Euler equations of an inviscid incompressible fluid can be reformulated as geodesic equations on
an infinite-dimensional manifold of diffeomorphisms of a fluid domain. That paper was a foundation stone for a new branch of mathematics called geometric and topological hydrodynamics [2] and many important PDEs of mathematical physics have been shown to fit Arnold’s framework since. Examples include the Korteweg-de Vries equation, the Camassa–Holm equation, magneto-hydrodynamics, the Hunter–Saxton equation, and the Heisenberg spin chain.

Arnold’s reformulation of the Euler equations in an elegant differential-geometric language allowed an insight into both analysis and geometry of the equations of fluid dynamics. For example, the sectional curvature of the group of diffeomorphisms of the fluid domain influences the dynamics of fluid motions via the equations of geodesic deviation, which had applications to hydrodynamic stability [1, 2]. Furthermore, a detailed study of the analytic properties of the associated Riemannian exponential map, begun by Ebin and Marsden [6], led to sharp local well-posedness results for the Cauchy problem of the Euler equations. One may expect that further study will shed new light on challenging problems of fluid dynamics, such as regularity and persistence of solutions in 3D flows or the problem of fluid turbulence.

In this paper we propose an extension of this approach to the case of Newton’s equations as a natural next step in Arnold’s program. We are interested in second order equations that formally can be written as

\[ \nabla q \ddot{q} = -\nabla U(q), \]

where \( \nabla \) is the covariant derivative with respect to a certain Riemannian metric and \( U \) is a potential function, cf. [24]. We develop a geometric framework for the equations (1) on the space of diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold. Using infinite-dimensional Riemannian submersion techniques we show that these equations are closely related to Newton’s equations on the space of smooth probability densities of the same underlying manifold. In particular, this is the case for the equations of compressible fluids. These
equations have been long known to have a Hamiltonian formulation on the dual of a semi-direct product Lie algebra [18], while their Lagrangian Arnold-type formulation was best understood in terms of Newton’s equations [24], as its Lagrangian in the semidirect product was not quadratic and led to additional difficulties [10]. It turns out, however, that in our framework of Riemannian submersions these equations have the following simple description (Section 2.3).

Theorem 1.1. The equation for potential solutions of compressible fluid in a compact domain is Newton’s equation on the space of smooth probability densities with a potential function given by the fluid’s internal energy.

The proposed framework also reveals some unexpected connections between various results in fluid dynamics, optimal transport, information geometry and equations of mathematical physics, such as the Schrödinger equation, the Klein-Gordon equation, the Hunter-Saxton equation and its variants (see Table 1). For instance, the classical Laplace eigenproblem can be seen as the problem of determining stationary solutions of a Fisher-Rao-Newton equation on the space of densities, which in turn describes geodesics on an infinite-dimensional ellipsoid through its formulation as a Neumann problem (Section 3.2).

An important tool in our constructions is the Madelung transform which turns out to have a number of surprising properties and can be viewed as a symplectomorphism, an isometry, a Kähler map or a generalization of the Hasimoto transform depending on the context (§4). Our study reveals that the geometric features of the Madelung transform are best understood not in the setting of the $L^2$-Wasserstein geometry but the Fisher-Rao geometry—the canonical Riemannian structure on the space of probability densities (Section 4.4).

Theorem 1.2. The Madelung transform is a Kähler morphism between the cotangent bundle of the space of smooth probability densities, equipped with the (Sasaki)-Fisher-Rao metric, and an open subset (in the Fréchet topology of smooth functions) of the complex projective space of smooth wave functions, equipped with the Fubini-Study (or Bures) metric.

This result uncovers some surprising new links between hydrodynamics, quantum information geometry and geometric quantum mechanics.

For conceptual clarity and brevity of the exposition we focus here on the formal aspects of the various infinite-dimensional geometric constructions. Proofs of the theorems and a suitable functional-analytic setting of Sobolev and Fréchet spaces will be described in our forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgements: A part of this work was completed while B.K. held a Weston Visiting Professorship at the Weizmann Institute of Science. B.K. is grateful to the Weizmann Institute for its support and kind hospitality. B.K. was also partially supported by an NSERC research grant and a Simons
fellowship. K.M. was supported by EU Horizon 2020 grant No 661482, and by the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research grant ICA12-0052. A part of this work was done while G.M. held the Ulam Chair visiting Professorship in University of Colorado at Boulder.

2. Wasserstein geometry of the space of densities

In this section we recall the main notions of the Wasserstein geometry on the space of diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}(M)$ and the space of smooth probability densities $\text{Dens}(M)$ (viewed as infinite-dimensional Fréchet manifolds) and we describe Newton’s equations on these spaces.

Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold with volume form $\mu$. Define an $L^2$-metric on $\text{Diff}(M)$ by

$$G_{\phi}(\dot{\phi}, \dot{\phi}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\dot{\phi}|^2 g_{\phi}(\dot{\phi}, \dot{\phi}) \mu.$$  \(\tag{2}\)

Given a $C^1$ function $U: \text{Diff}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ (a potential), Newton’s equations on $\text{Diff}(M)$ can be formally written as in (1). We are interested in the special case in which the potential functions are of the form

$$U(\phi) = \bar{U}(\phi^* \mu),$$  \(\tag{3}\)

where $\bar{U}: \Omega^n(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi^* \mu$ denotes the pushforward of the volume form $\mu$ by the diffeomorphism $\phi$ in $\text{Diff}(M)$. Newton’s equation on $\text{Diff}(M)$ then takes the following form.

**Theorem 2.1** (cf. [24]). Newton’s equation on $\text{Diff}(M)$ for the $L^2$-metric (2) and with a potential function (3) has the form

$$\nabla \dot{\phi} + \nabla \frac{\delta \bar{U}}{\delta \varrho}(\phi^* \mu) = 0,$$  \(\tag{4}\)

where $\varrho = \rho \mu$. In the reduced variables $u = \dot{\phi} \circ \phi^{-1}$ and $\rho = \det(D\phi^{-1})$ equation (4) assumes the form

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{u} + \nabla_u u + \nabla \frac{\delta \bar{U}}{\delta \varrho}(\varrho) = 0 \\
\dot{\rho} + \text{div}(p u) = 0.
\end{cases}$$  \(\tag{5}\)

Equations (5) admit an invariant subset of potential solutions $u = \nabla \theta$, where $\theta \in C^\infty(M)$. We now describe the geometric origin of this observation.

2.1. Riemannian submersion to densities. The space of smooth probability densities on $M$ is the space of volume forms with total volume 1, namely

$$\text{Dens}(M) = \{ \varrho \in \Omega^n(M) \mid \varrho > 0, \int_{\mathcal{M}} \varrho = 1 \}.$$  

Since $\text{Dens}(M)$ is an open subset of codimension one in an affine subspace of $\Omega^n(M)$, the tangent bundle of $\text{Dens}(M)$ is trivial: $TD\text{ens}(M) = \text{Dens}(M) \times$
\[ \dot{\varrho} = \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \vartheta, \quad (6) \]

The Riemannian distance of this metric is the well-known Wasserstein distance, equal to the minimal \( L^2 \)-cost of transporting one density to another.

**Theorem 2.3** (cf. [22]). The left coset projection \( \pi \) is a Riemannian submersion with respect to the \( L^2 \)-metric (2) on \( \text{Diff}(M) \) and the Wasserstein-Otto metric (6) on \( \text{Dens}(M) \).

An illustration is given in Figure 1. In the Appendix we recall a symplectic interpretation of this construction.

**Theorem 2.4.** Newton’s equation on \( \text{Dens}(M) \) for the Wasserstein-Otto metric (6) and a potential function \( \bar{U} \) corresponds to Hamilton’s equations.

\[ \dot{\varrho} = \rho \mu \in \Omega^0_0(M) \text{ is a tangent vector to } \text{Dens}(M) \text{ at the point } \varrho = \rho \mu. \]

The Riemannian distance of this metric is the well-known Wasserstein distance, equal to the minimal \( L^2 \)-cost of transporting one density to another.

**Definition 2.2.** The \textit{Wasserstein-Otto metric} on \( \text{Dens}(M) \) is

\[ \bar{G}_\varrho(\dot{\varrho}, \dot{\varrho}) = \int_M |\nabla \theta|^2 \varrho, \quad \dot{\varrho} = \text{div}(\varrho \nabla \theta), \]

where \( \dot{\varrho} = \rho \mu \in \Omega^0_0(M) \) is a tangent vector to \( \text{Dens}(M) \) at the point \( \varrho = \rho \mu \).

\[ \Omega^0_0(M), \text{ where } \Omega^0_0(M) = \{ \alpha \in \Omega^n(M) : \int_M \alpha = 0 \}. \text{ Likewise, the (smooth part of the) cotangent bundle } T^* \text{Dens}(M) \text{ is } \text{Dens}(M) \times C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R}. \]

Alternatively, \( \text{Dens}(M) \) can be viewed as the space of left cosets of the subgroup \( \text{Diff}^\nu(M) \) of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of \( M \) with the push-forward map \( \pi(\varphi) = \varphi \ast \mu \) defining a natural (left coset) projection \( \pi : \text{Diff}(M) \to \text{Dens}(M) \). To take full advantage of this setup it is useful to equip the base space with a Sobolev \( H^{-1} \)-type metric which arises in the context of optimal mass-transport problems, cf. [3].

**An illustration is given in Figure 1. In the Appendix we recall a symplectic interpretation of this construction.**
on $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \theta|^2 + \frac{\delta \bar{U}}{\delta \varrho}(\varrho) &= 0, \\
\dot{\varrho} + \mathcal{L}_{\nabla \theta} \varrho &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]  

(7)

with the Hamiltonian function

\[
H(\varrho, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla \theta|^2 \varrho + \bar{U}(\varrho).
\]  

(8)

Solutions to these equations correspond to the potential solutions of Newton’s equation (4) (or (5)) on $\text{Diff}(M)$.

2.2. Example: Classical mechanics. Given a $C^\infty$ potential function $V$ on the (finite-dimensional) manifold $M$ we can define an associated potential function on the (infinite-dimensional) space of densities $\text{Dens}(M)$

\[
\bar{U}(\varrho) = \int_M V \varrho.
\]  

(8)

**Proposition 2.5.** Newton’s equation on $\text{Diff}(M)$ (Theorem 2.1) for a potential of the form in (8) describes the flow of Newton’s equation on $M$ with potential function $V$. In particular:

- If $t \mapsto \varphi(t, \cdot)$ is a solution to (4), then for each fixed $x \in M$ the curve $t \mapsto \varphi(t, x)$ satisfies Newton’s equation on $M$ with potential $V$.
- The vector field $u = \dot{\varphi} \circ \varphi$ in (5) satisfies the inviscid potential Burgers equation

\[
\dot{u} + \nabla u \cdot u + \nabla V = 0.
\]

**Corollary 2.6** (cf. [11]). The momentum variable $\theta$ in Hamilton’s equation (7) on $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the classical mechanics Hamiltonian on $T^*M$

\[
H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2} g_x(p^\sharp, p^\sharp) + V(x),
\]

where $\sharp$ is the “musical” isomorphism defined by the metric $g$ on $M$.

2.3. Example: Barotropic fluids. Using Theorem 2.1 one may present the equations of compressible fluids in $M$ in an extended Arnold framework with quadratic kinetic energy and without a semidirect group structure. To this end, consider a potential function of the form

\[
\bar{U}(\varrho) = \int_M e(\rho) \varrho,
\]  

(9)

where $e : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function describing the internal energy.

**Proposition 2.7** (see [5] and [24]). Newton’s equation on $\text{Diff}(M)$ (Theorem 2.1) for a potential of the form (9) describes a compressible barotropic
fluid with internal energy \( e \). In particular, the form (5) of Newton’s equation is given by the compressible Euler equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{u} + \nabla_u u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P(\rho) &= 0 \\
\dot{\rho} + \text{div}(\rho u) &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where the pressure function \( P: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R} \) is given by \( P(\rho) = e'(\rho)\rho^2 \).

Theorem 1.1 arises as a combination of this proposition and Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.8. If \( M \) is the 2-sphere and \( e(\rho) = \rho/2 \) we recover the shallow water equations describing the surface motion of an ideal incompressible fluid when the wavelength is large compared to the depth (as in the case of tidal waves). In this interpretation, \( u \) is the surface (horizontal) velocity and \( \rho \) is the height of the water. By using the Nash–Moser inverse function theorem, Hamilton [8, §III.2.2] gave a local existence result for the shallow water equations. The above setting allows one to adapt Hamilton’s arguments and prove a local existence result for any barotropic compressible fluid in the \( C^\infty \)-setting of tame Fréchet spaces. This illustrates that our geometric framework can be useful in obtaining analytical results.

3. Fisher-Rao geometry of the space of densities

In this section we introduce a different Riemannian structure on \( \text{Diff}(M) \). It is given by a Sobolev-class inner product on vector fields and induces on \( \text{Dens}(M) \) an infinite-dimensional analogue of the Fisher-Rao information metric. The setting resembles the relation between the \( L^2 \)-metric (2) and Wasserstein-Otto metric (6) in the previous section with some notable differences.

As before, let \( (M, g) \) be a compact Riemannian manifold with volume form \( \mu \). Define an \( H^1 \)-metric on \( \text{Diff}(M) \) by

\[
G_\varphi(u \circ \varphi, v \circ \varphi) = \frac{1}{4} \int_M g(-\Delta u, v) \mu + F(u, v),
\]

where \( \Delta \) denotes the Hodge Laplacian on vector fields (cf. [19]) and \( F(u, v) \) is a positive-definite quadratic form depending only on the vertical (divergence free) components of \( u \) and \( v \).

Remark 3.1. In the applications below we focus on Newton’s equations on \( \text{Dens}(M) \) (corresponding to horizontal geodesics on \( \text{Diff}(M) \)), for which only the first term \( \int g(-\Delta u, v) \mu \) in (11) is relevant.\(^1\)

Definition 3.2. The Fisher-Rao (information) metric on \( \text{Dens}(M) \) is

\[
\bar{G}_\varphi(\dot{\varphi}, \dot{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_M \left( \frac{\dot{\varphi}}{\varphi} \right)^2 \varphi,
\]

\(^1\)In [19] the term \( F(u, v) \) is chosen as \( \sum_i \int_M g(u, e_i) \mu \int_M g(v, e_i) \mu \) where \( \{e_i\} \) is any \( L^2 \)-orthogonal basis for the space of harmonic vector fields.
where $\dot{\varrho} \in \Omega^0_\nu(M)$ is a tangent vector at the point $\varrho$.

Consider now the (right coset) projection $\Pi: \text{Diff}(M) \to \text{Dens}(M)$ between diffeomorphisms and smooth probability densities given by pull-back of the Riemannian volume form $\Pi(\varphi) = \varphi^* \mu$. In analogy with the Riemannian submersion in Theorem 2.3 we have

**Theorem 3.3.** The right coset projection $\Pi$ is a Riemannian submersion with respect to the $H^1$-metric (11) on $\text{Diff}(M)$ and the Fisher-Rao metric (12) on $\text{Dens}(M)$. Furthermore, equipped with this metric $\text{Dens}(M)$ is isometric to a subset of the unit sphere in a Hilbert space with a round metric.

**Remark 3.4.** The isometry between $\text{Dens}(M)$ and a subset of the unit Hilbert sphere described in the last statement of Theorem 3.3 is given by the square root map $\varrho \mapsto \sqrt{\rho}$ where $\varrho = \rho \mu$, see [13] for details.

We point out that the setting of Theorem 3.3 is quite different from Theorem 2.3 in one respect. Namely, the Riemannian metric on $\text{Diff}(M)$ in Theorem 2.3 is right-invariant with respect to $\text{Diff}_\mu(M)$ and thus automatically descends to the right quotient $\text{Diff}(M)/\text{Diff}_\mu(M)$. On the other hand, in Theorem 3.3 the metric is also right-invariant (under a certain condition on $F(u,v)$ in (11)), but nevertheless descends to the left quotient $\text{Diff}_\mu(M)\backslash \text{Diff}(M)$. Since the right-invariance property is retained after taking the quotient, the Fisher-Rao metric on $\text{Dens}(M)$ remains right-invariant with respect to the action of $\text{Diff}(M)$. From this perspective, Fisher-Rao offers a richer geometric structure than Wasserstein-Otto.

**Theorem 3.5.** Newton’s equation on $\text{Dens}(M)$ for the Fisher-Rao metric (12) and a $C^1$ potential function $\bar{U}: \text{Dens}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\ddot{\rho} - \frac{\dot{\rho}^2}{2\rho} + \frac{\delta U}{\delta \rho} \rho = \lambda \rho,$$

where $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier for the affine constraint $\int_M \rho = 1$. Solutions correspond to the horizontal solutions of Newton’s equation on $\text{Diff}(M)$ for the $H^1$-metric (11) and the potential function (3).

One can also express (13) as Hamilton’s equations on $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$, with momentum variable $\theta = \dot{\rho}/\rho$.

### 3.1. Example: $\mu$-Camassa-Holm equation

The one-dimensional periodic $\mu$CH (also known as $\mu$HS) equation

$$\mu(u_t) - u_{xxt} - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx} + 2\mu(u)u_x = 0, \quad \mu(u) = \int_{S^1} u \, dx$$

is a nonlinear PDE closely related to the Camassa-Holm and the Hunter-Saxton equations. It describes a model for a director field in the presence of an external (e.g., magnetic) force and was derived in [12] as an Euler-Arnold equation on the group $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ of orientation-preserving circle diffeomorphisms equipped with a right-invariant $H^1$ Sobolev metric. The $\mu$CH
equation is known to be bihamiltonian and possess smooth as well as cusped soliton solutions.

**Proposition 3.6** (cf. [12, 19]). The $\mu$CH equation (14) is Newton’s equation on $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ for the $H^1$-metric (11) and vanishing potential $\bar{U} \equiv 0$. The horizontal (mean zero) solutions $u$ of the $\mu$CH equation describe geodesics of the Fisher-Rao metric (12) on $\text{Dens}(S^1)$.

**Remark 3.7.** The geodesic equation for the $H^1$-metric (11) is sometimes called the EPDiff equation. It is a generalization of $\mu$CH to arbitrary $M$. Furthermore, the $H^1$-metric (11) induces a factorization of diffeomorphisms that solves an optimal information-transport problem [19], analogous to (but different from) Brenier’s factorization [4] in the optimal mass-transport problem.

### 3.2. Example: infinite-dimensional Neumann problem

The classical Neumann problem describes the motion of a particle on a sphere $S^n$ in the presence of a quadratic potential. It is known to be a completely integrable system and to be equivalent (up to time reparametrization) to the geodesic motion on an ellipsoid, cf. e.g., [21].

As an infinite-dimensional analogue of the Neumann problem, consider

Newton’s equation on the unit sphere $S^\infty(M) = \{ f \in C^\infty(M) \mid \int_M f^2 \mu = 1 \}$ with the metric induced from $L^2(M, \mu)$ and with the quadratic potential function

$$V(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \mu.$$  \hfill (15)

**Proposition 3.8.** Newton’s equation for the infinite-dimensional Neumann problem on $S^\infty(M)$ with potential function (15) is

$$\ddot{f} - \Delta f = -\lambda f, \quad \lambda = \int_M (f^2 + f \Delta f) \mu,$$ \hfill (16)

where $\lambda$ is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint $\int_M f^2 \mu = 1$.

It turns out that this problem also admits a natural interpretation as a Fisher-Rao Newton’s equation on $\text{Dens}(M)$. In order to describe it, consider Fisher’s information functional on $\text{Dens}(M)$

$$I(\rho) = \frac{1}{8} \int_M \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} \mu, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho = \frac{\varrho}{\mu}. \hfill (17)$$

**Proposition 3.9.** The infinite-dimensional Neumann problem (16) corresponds to Newton’s equation (13) on $\text{Dens}(M)$ with respect to the Fisher-Rao metric and Fisher’s information functional (17) as a potential function. The map $\rho \mapsto \sqrt{\rho} =: f$ is a local diffeomorphism between the two representations.

**Remark 3.10.** Stationary solutions to the Neumann problem on $S^\infty(M)$ correspond to the principal axes of the ellipsoid $(f, -\Delta f)_{L^2} = 1$ and have the natural interpretation as the Laplace eigenfunctions on $M$. If $M = \mathbb{T}^4$ is the 4-torus equipped with the (pseudo)-Riemannian Minkowski metric then
the stationary solutions of the corresponding Minkowski-Neumann problem are solutions of the periodic Klein-Gordon equation
\[ \ddot{f} - \Delta f = -m^2 f, \quad m \in \mathbb{R}. \]

This equation describes spinless scalar particles (such as the Higgs boson) and plays a fundamental role in quantum field theory. The parameter \( m \) is interpreted as the particle mass, but in our geometric context it is a Lagrange multiplier for the constraint \( \int_{\mathbb{T}^4} f^2 \mu = 1 \). Through Proposition 3.9 we thereby obtain an interpretation of the Klein-Gordon equation as describing stationary potential solutions of a hydrodynamical EPDiff system on \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{T}^4) \). This observation may be of some interest in quantum physics.

4. Geometric properties of the Madelung transform

In 1927 Madelung [17] gave a hydrodynamical formulation of the Schrödinger equation. Using the setting of the previous sections we can now exhibit a number of surprising geometric properties of an important transformation that he introduced.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( \rho \) and \( \theta \) be real-valued functions on \( M \) with \( \rho > 0 \). The Madelung transform is the mapping \( \Phi: (\rho, \theta) \mapsto \psi \) defined by
\[ \Phi(\rho, \theta) := \sqrt{\rho} e^{i\theta}. \] (18)

Observe that \( \Phi \) is a complex extension of the square root map described in Theorem 3.3 (see also Remark 3.4).

**4.1. Madelung transform as a symplectomorphism.** As the first property we show that the Madelung transformation induces a symplectomorphism from the cotangent bundle of probability densities to the projective space of non-vanishing complex functions.

Let \( PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \) denote the complex projective space of smooth complex-valued functions on \( M \). We represent its elements as cosets \([\psi]\) of the complex \( L^2\)-sphere of smooth functions, where \( \psi' \in [\psi] \) if and only if \( \psi' = e^{i\alpha} \psi \) for some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). The space \( PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}\{0\}) \) is a submanifold of \( PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \).

**Theorem 4.2.** The Madelung transform (18) induces a map
\[ \Phi: T^* \text{Dens}(M) \to PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}\{0\}) \] (19)
which is a symplectomorphism (in the Fréchet topology of smooth functions) with respect to the canonical symplectic structure of \( T^* \text{Dens}(M) \) and the complex projective structure of \( PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \).

The Madelung transform has already been shown to be a symplectic submersion from \( T^* \text{Dens}(M) \) to the unit sphere of non-vanishing wave functions by von Renesse [25]. The stronger (symplectomorphism) property stated in Theorem 4.2 is obtained by considering projectivization \( PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}\{0\}) \).
4.2. Example: linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Let $\psi$ be a wavefunction and consider the family of Schrödinger (or Gross-Pitaevsky) equations (with Planck’s constant $\hbar = 1$ and mass $m = 1/2$) of the form

$$i\dot{\psi} = -\Delta \psi + V\psi + f(|\psi|^2)\psi,$$

where $V: M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$. If $f \equiv 0$ we obtain the linear Schrödinger equation with potential $V$. If $V \equiv 0$ then we obtain the family of non-linear Schrödinger equations (NLS); a typical choice is $f(a) = \kappa a$, another model example is $f(a) = \frac{1}{2}(a - 1)^2$.

The Schrödinger equation (20) is a Hamiltonian equation with respect to the symplectic structure induced by the complex structure of $L^2(M, \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, if $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_{L^2(M, \mathbb{C})}$ denotes the Hermitian inner product then the real part $\langle \psi, \psi' \rangle_{L^2(M, \mathbb{C})} = \text{Re} \langle i\psi, i\psi' \rangle_{L^2(M, \mathbb{C})}$ defines a Riemannian structure and the imaginary part

$$\Omega(\psi, \psi') := \text{Im} \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle_{L^2(M, \mathbb{C})} = \langle i\psi, i\psi' \rangle_{L^2(M, \mathbb{C})}$$

defines a symplectic structure. This symplectic form corresponds to the complex structure $J: \psi \mapsto i\psi$ and the Hamiltonian associated with (20) is

$$H(\psi) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \psi\|^2_{L^2(M, \mathbb{C})} + \frac{1}{2}\int_M (V|\psi|^2 + F(|\psi|^2))\mu,$$

where $F: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a primitive of $f$.

Observe that the $L^2$ norm of a wave function satisfying the Schrödinger equation (20) is conserved in time. Furthermore, the equation is also equivariant with respect to a constant change of phase $\psi(x) \mapsto e^{i\alpha}\psi(x)$ and so it descends to the projective space $PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. Geometrically, the Schrödinger equation is thus an equation on complex projective space, a point of view first suggested by Kibble [14].

**Proposition 4.3** (cf. [17, 25]). The Madelung transform $\Phi$ maps the family of Schrödinger equations (20) to a family of Newton’s equations (7) on $\text{Dens}(M)$ equipped with the Wasserstein-Otto metric (6) and with potential functions

$$\bar{U}(\rho) = 4I(\rho) + \int_M V\rho + \int_M F(\rho)\mu,$$

where $I$ is Fisher’s information functional (17). Furthermore, the extension (5) to a system on $\mathfrak{X}(M) \times \text{Dens}(M)$ is

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{v} + \nabla_v v + \nabla \left( V + f(\rho) - \frac{2\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) = 0 \\
\dot{\rho} + \text{div}(\rho v) = 0.
\end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.4.** The Hamiltonian system (7) on $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ for potential solutions of (21) is mapped to the Schrödinger equation (20) by a symplectomorphism.
Conversely, classical hydrodynamic PDEs can be expressed as NLS-type equations. In particular, potential solutions of the compressible Euler equations of a barotropic fluid (10) can be formulated as an NLS equation with Hamiltonian

\[ H(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla \psi \|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \| \nabla |\psi| \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_M e(|\psi|^2)|\psi|^2\mu. \]

The choice \( e = 0 \) gives a Schrödinger formulation for potential solutions of Burgers’ equation (or Hamilton-Jacobi equation, cf. Corollary 2.6), whose solutions describe geodesics of the Wasserstein-Otto metric (6) on \( \text{Dens}(M) \). Thus the geometric framework connects optimal transport for cost functions with potentials, the compressible Euler equations and the NLS-type equations described above.

4.3. Example: Vortex filament equation. The celebrated vortex filament (or binormal) equation

\[ \dot{\gamma} = \gamma' \times \gamma'', \]

is an evolution equation for a (closed) curve \( \gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \), where \( \gamma = \gamma(t, x) \) and \( \gamma' := \partial \gamma / \partial x \) and where \( x \) is the arc-length parameter (see Figure 2). It describes a localized induction approximation (LIA) of the 3D Euler equation, where vorticity of the initial velocity field is supported on a curve \( \gamma \).

This equation is known to be Hamiltonian with respect to the Marsden-Weinstein symplectic structure on the space of curves in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with Hamiltonian given by the length functional, see e.g. [2]. On the other hand, it becomes the equation of the 1D barotropic-type fluid (10) with \( \rho = k^2 \) and \( u = \tau \), where \( k \) and \( \tau \) denote curvature and torsion of the curve \( \gamma \), respectively.

In 1972 Hasimoto [9], discovered the following surprising transformation.

Definition 4.5. The Hasimoto transformation assigns a wave function \( \psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \) to a curve \( \gamma \) with curvature \( k \) and torsion \( \tau \), according to the formula

\[ (k(x), \tau(x)) \mapsto \psi(x) = k(x)e^{\int^x \tau(\tilde{x})d\tilde{x}}. \]

This map takes the vortex filament equation to the 1D NLS equation \( i\dot{\psi} + \psi'' + \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^2 \psi = 0 \). In particular, the filament equation becomes a completely integrable system (since the 1D NLS is one) whose first integrals are obtained by pulling back those of the NLS equation.
Proposition 4.6. The Hasimoto transformation is the Madelung transform in the 1D case.

This can be seen by comparing Definitions 4.1 and 4.5, which make the Hasimoto transform seem much less surprising. Alternatively, one can note that for \( \psi(x) = \sqrt{\rho(x)} e^{i\theta(x)/2} \), the pair \((\rho, u)\) with \(u = \nabla \theta\) satisfies the compressible Euler equation, while in the one dimensional case these variables are expressed via the curvature \(\sqrt{\rho} = \sqrt{k^2} = k\) and the (indefinite) integral of torsion \(\theta(x)/2 = \int^x u(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} = \int^x \tau(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}\).

Remark 4.7. The filament equation has a higher dimensional analog for membranes (i.e., compact oriented surfaces \(\Sigma\) of codimension 2 in \(\mathbb{R}^n\)) as a skew-mean-curvature flow

\[
\partial_t q = J(MC(q))
\]

where \(q \in \Sigma\) is any point of the membrane, \(MC(q)\) is the mean curvature vector to \(\Sigma\) at the point \(q\) and \(J\) is the operator of rotation by \(\pi/2\) in the positive direction in every normal space to \(\Sigma\). This equation is again Hamiltonian with respect to the Marsden-Weinstein structure on membranes of codimension 2 and with a Hamiltonian function given by the \((n-2)\)-dimensional volume of the membrane, see e.g. [23].

Open question. Find an analog of the Hasimoto map, which sends a skew-mean-curvature flow to an NLS-type equation for any \(n\). The existence of the Madelung transform and its symplectic property in any dimension is a strong indication that such an analog should exist.

4.4. Madelung transform as a Kähler morphism. In this section we consider again the Madelung transform as a map between \(T^*\text{Dens}(M)\) and \(PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})\) but now equipped with suitable Riemannian structures.

Consider the tangent bundle \(TT^*\text{Dens}(M)\) of \(T^*\text{Dens}(M)\). Its elements can be described as 4-tuples \((\varrho, \theta, \dot{\varrho}, \dot{\theta})\) with \(\varrho \in \text{Dens}(M)\), \([\theta] \in C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R}\), \(\dot{\varrho} \in \Omega^0_0(M)\) and \(\dot{\theta} \in C^\infty(M)\) subject to the constraint

\[
\int_M \dot{\theta} \varrho = 0.
\]

Definition 4.8. The Sasaki (or Sasaki-Fisher-Rao) metric on \(T^*\text{Dens}(M)\) is the lift of the Fisher-Rao metric (12) from \(\text{Dens}(M)\):

\[
\mathcal{G}_{\varrho, [\theta]}((\dot{\varrho}, \dot{\theta}), (\dot{\varrho}, \dot{\theta})) = \frac{1}{4} \int_M \left( \left( \frac{\dot{\varrho}}{\varrho} \right)^2 + \dot{\theta}^2 \right) \varrho.
\]

The canonical metric on \(PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})\)

\[
\mathcal{G}_\psi(\dot{\psi}, \dot{\psi}) = \frac{\langle \dot{\psi}, \dot{\psi} \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} = \frac{\langle \dot{\psi}, \dot{\psi} \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle^2}
\]

is the (infinite-dimensional) Fubini-Study metric.
Theorem 4.9. The Madelung transform (19) is an isometry between $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ equipped with the Sasaki-Fisher-Rao metric (22) and $\PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\})$ equipped with the Fubini-Study metric (23).

Since the Fubini-Study metric together with the complex structure of $\PC^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ defines a Kähler structure it follows that $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ also admits a natural Kähler structure compatible with its canonical symplectic structure.

Remark 4.10. Molitor [20] showed that there is an almost complex structure on $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ corresponding to the Wasserstein-Otto metric and the Madelung transform, which does not integrate to a complex structure. In contrast, our result here shows that the corresponding complex structure becomes integrable (and simple) when the Fisher-Rao metric is used instead of Wasserstein-Otto.

4.5. Example: 2-component Hunter-Saxton (2HS) equation. The 2HS equation is a system of two equations
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{u}_{xx} &= -2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx} + \sigma \sigma_x , \\
\dot{\sigma} &= - (\sigma u)_x ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
\]
where $u(t, x)$ and $\sigma(t, x)$ are time-dependent periodic functions on the line. It can be viewed as a high-frequency limit of the two-component Camassa-Holm equation, cf. [26].

It turns out that this system is closely related to the Kähler geometry of the Madelung transformation and the Sasaki-Fisher-Rao metric (22). Consider the semi-direct product $G = \text{Diff}_0(S^1) \ltimes C^\infty(S^1, S^1)$, where $\text{Diff}_0(S^1)$ is the group of circle diffeomorphisms fixing a prescribed point and $C^\infty(S^1, S^1)$ stands for $S^1$-valued maps of a circle. Define a right-invariant Riemannian metric on $G$ given at the identity by
\[
G_{(\text{id}, 0)}((u, \sigma), (v, \tau)) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{S^1} (u_x v_x + \sigma \tau) \, dx.
\]
If $t \to (\varphi(t), \alpha(t))$ is a geodesic in $G$ then $u = \dot{\varphi} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and $\sigma = \dot{\alpha} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ satisfy equations (24), cf. [15]. Lenells [16] showed that the map
\[
(\varphi, \alpha) \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi_x e^{i\alpha}}
\tag{25}
\]
is an isometry from $G$ to an open subset of $S^\infty = \{ \psi \in C^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{C}) \mid \| \psi \|_{L^2} = 1 \}$. Moreover, solutions to (24) satisfying $\int_{S^1} \sigma \, dx = 0$ correspond to geodesics on the complex projective space $\PC^\infty(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ equipped with the Fubini-Study metric. Our results show that this isometry is a particular case of Theorem 4.9.

Proposition 4.11. The 2-component Hunter–Saxton equation (24) with initial data satisfying $\int_{S^1} \sigma \, dx = 0$ is equivalent to the geodesic equation of the Sasaki-Fisher-Rao metric (22) on $T^*\text{Dens}(S^1)$. 

The proof is based on the observation that the mapping (25) can be given as $(\varphi, \alpha) \mapsto \Phi(\pi(\varphi), \alpha)$, where $\Phi$ is the Madelung transform and $\pi$ is the projection $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*\mu$ specialized to the case $M = S^1$.

**Remark 4.12.** Observe that if $\sigma = 0$ at $t = 0$ then $\sigma(t) = 0$ for all $t$ and the 2-component Hunter-Saxton equation (24) reduces to the standard Hunter-Saxton equation. Geometrically, this is a consequence of the fact that horizontal geodesics on $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ with respect to the Sasaki-Fisher-Rao metric descend to geodesics on $\text{Dens}(M)$ with respect to the Fisher-Rao metric.

4.6. **Appendix: Madelung transform as a momentum map.** The Riemannian submersion result in Theorem 2.3 above can be regarded as a Hamiltonian reduction of the natural symplectic structure on $T^*\text{Diff}(M)$ with respect to the cotangent lifted action of the group $\text{Diff}_\mu(M)$.

Furthermore, in Theorem 4.2 above we described the Madelung transform as a symplectomorphism from $T^*\text{Dens}(M)$ to $\text{PL}^2(M, \mathbb{C})$. Following [7], we outline here another approach, which shows that the inverse Madelung map is a momentum map from the space $\Psi \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ of smooth complex-valued wave functions $\psi$ with the $L^2$-product to the set of pairs $(\rho, \nabla \theta)$, regarded as elements of the dual space $s^*$ to the semidirect product Lie algebra $s = X(M) \ltimes C^\infty(M)$ of the group $S = \text{Diff}(M) \ltimes C^\infty(M)$.

It is convenient to think of $\Psi$ as a space of complex-valued *half-densities* on $M$. Half-densities are characterized by how they are transformed under diffeomorphisms of the underlying space: the pushforward $\varphi_*\psi$ of a half-density $\psi$ on $M$ by a diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is given by

$$\varphi_*\psi = \sqrt{\text{det}(D\varphi^{-1})} |\psi| \varphi^{-1}.$$  

This explains the following natural action of $S$ on the space $\Psi$ interpreted as half-densities.

**Definition 4.13 ([7]).** The semidirect product $S = \text{Diff}(M) \ltimes C^\infty(M)$ acts on $\Psi$ by

$$(\varphi, a) \cdot \psi = \sqrt{\text{det}(D\varphi^{-1})} e^{-ia}(\psi) \varphi^{-1}.$$  

While the classical Madelung transform $(\theta, \rho) \mapsto \sqrt{\rho e^{i\theta}}$ is defined for positive $\rho$, the inverse map has a particularly nice form. Assume for now that $M = \mathbb{R}^n$.

**Proposition 4.14.** The map $M : \psi \mapsto (\mu, \rho) := (\text{Im} \bar{\psi} \nabla \psi, \bar{\psi} \psi)$ is the inverse of the classical Madelung transform in the following sense. If $\psi = \sqrt{\rho e^{i\theta}}$, then $M(\psi) = (\rho \nabla \theta, \rho)$. If $\rho > 0$, then the pair $(\rho \nabla \theta, \rho)$ can be identified with $(\rho, [\theta]) \in T^*\text{Dens}(M)$.

This follows from the observation that $\text{Im} \bar{\psi} \nabla \psi = \bar{\psi} \psi \text{Im} \nabla \ln \psi$. 
Theorem 4.15 ([7]). The action of the group $S$ on the space $\Psi \subset C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ preserves the symplectic structure on $\Psi$ and is Hamiltonian. The corresponding momentum map $\Psi \rightarrow s^*$ is the inverse Madelung transform $M$.

In particular, this theorem implies that the Madelung transform is also a Poisson map taking the bracket on $\Psi$ to the Lie-Poisson bracket on $s^*$.
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