First human experience with autologous Schwann cells to supplement sciatic nerve repair: report of 2 cases with long-term follow-up
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OBJECTIVE Long-segment injuries to large peripheral nerves present a challenge to surgeons because insufficient donor tissue limits repair. Multiple supplemental approaches have been investigated, including the use of Schwann cells (SCs). The authors present the first 2 cases using autologous SCs to supplement a peripheral nerve graft repair in humans with long-term follow-up data.

METHODS Two patients were enrolled in an FDA-approved trial to assess the safety of using expanded populations of autologous SCs to supplement the repair of long-segment injuries to the sciatic nerve. The mechanism of injury included a boat propeller and a gunshot wound. The SCs were obtained from both the sural nerve and damaged sciatic nerve stump. The SCs were expanded and purified in culture by using heregulin β1 and forskolin. Repair was performed with sural nerve grafts, SCs in suspension, and a Duragen graft to house the construct. Follow-up was 36 and 12 months for the patients in Cases 1 and 2, respectively.

RESULTS The patient in Case 1 had a boat propeller injury with complete transection of both sciatic divisions at midthigh. The graft length was approximately 7.5 cm. In the postoperative period the patient regained motor function (Medical Research Council [MRC] Grade 5/5) in the tibial distribution, with partial function in peroneal distribution (MRC Grade 2/5 on dorsiflexion). Partial return of sensory function was also achieved, and neuropathic pain was completely resolved. The patient in Case 2 sustained a gunshot wound to the leg, with partial disruption of the tibial division of the sciatic nerve at the midthigh. The graft length was 5 cm. Postoperatively the patient regained complete motor function of the tibial nerve, with partial return of sensation. Long-term follow-up with both MRI and ultrasound demonstrated nerve graft continuity and the absence of tumor formation at the repair site.

CONCLUSIONS Presented here are the first 2 cases in which autologous SCs were used to supplement human peripheral nerve repair in long-segment injury. Both patients had significant improvement in both motor and sensory function with correlative imaging. This study demonstrates preliminary safety and efficacy of SC transplantation for peripheral nerve repair.
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limitations, many investigators have worked to develop alternatives to replace or supplement nerve autografting.

One popular alternative to autografts is the use of nerve conduits, or axon guidance channels (AGCs). These are most often applied to very small peripheral nerve injuries with short gaps, because when they are implemented for larger nerve gaps neuroma formation can occur.29 The fact that these AGCs are acellular structures is often thought to be the reason they are limited to short, small nerve injuries. In this line of thinking, investigators have looked at various supplemental techniques, including implantation of growth factors or Schwann cells (SCs) into the AGCs. The ability to grow and purify these cells involved major contributions from a basic science perspective. These contributions included the following: 1) isolation of the SCs from adult, human nerve tissue; 2) ability to induce division and growth of the cells once isolated with the use of particular mitogens (heregulin β1/forskolin), proving that once manipulated and grown in culture the cells could still perform their basic function of promoting axon regeneration and producing myelin; and 3) confirming the safety of these cells and making sure that they did not produce tumorous growths in vivo.10,14,15,19,23,24,30,31 After this foundation was laid, several studies have shown the ability of SCs to enhance axonal regeneration and improve functional recovery in peripheral nerve injury in mice, rats, and nonhuman primates.3,20,24,25,34

In this report we present 2 long-segment (7.5- and 5-cm) sciatic nerve injuries where SCs were combined with an autologous nerve construct. These are the first 2 cases in which autologous SCs were transplanted into peripheral nerve injuries in humans (Table 1).

### Methods

#### Ethical and Legal Approvals

Approvals from the FDA (expanded access to Investigational New Drug 14856 for one patient and emergency access for the other) and from the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine’s institutional review board were obtained for both patients. Patient consent was obtained for supplemental traditional sural nerve graft repair with autologous SCs.

#### Case Histories

**Case 1**

The first patient was a 25-year-old woman who sustained multiple lacerating injuries to her left lower extremity due to a boat propeller accident. This caused extensive damage to the left thigh and leg, and the patient was taken emergently to the operating room for control of vascular injuries and debridement of tissue. At the time of initial exploration, complete transection of the sciatic nerve was noted and the damaged nerve ends were sutured to adjacent muscle to prevent retraction. A small (0.5-cm) segment of the already damaged sciatic nerve stump was taken for SC harvest and propagation. Three days later the patient underwent repair of her lacerated Achilles tendon, during which a 5-cm segment of sural nerve was taken for autologous SC preparation. The sural nerve had also been previously injured, along with the tendon, by the propeller blade. Prior to sciatic nerve repair and SC transplantation, the patient also underwent anterior quadriceps washout and tendon repair as well as skin grafting of the posterior thigh. The patient ultimately underwent sciatic nerve repair and SC transplantation 30 days after injury and SC harvest.

**Case 2**

The second patient was a 30-year-old woman who suffered a gunshot wound to the posterior right midthigh that resulted in bullet fragments being lodged within the sciatic nerve. No initial surgical debridement of the wound was performed, but the patient underwent sural nerve biopsy in which a 5-cm graft was obtained for SC culture. Sciatic nerve repair with autologous SC transplant was performed 29 days after sural nerve harvest of SCs.

#### Human SC Harvesting and Cell Culture

Autologous SCs were harvested from a small (0.5-cm) piece of traumatized sciatic nerve at initial debridement and from a 5-cm sural nerve biopsy conducted 3 days after the initial trauma in Case 1. All SCs in Case 2 were harvested from a 5-cm sural nerve biopsy conducted 9 days postinjury. Sural nerve biopsies for both patients were done on the side ipsilateral to the injury. Samples were placed in a Belzer solution, refrigerated at 4°C, and transported to the cell-manufacturing laboratory (current Good Manufacturing Practices [cGMP] facility) for cell culture. The University of Miami facility consists of a 2500-ft² area of space under high-efficiency particulate arrestance (HEPA)-filtered air conditioning certified to meet International Organization for Standardization (ISO) Class 7

### TABLE 1. Characteristics of patients who underwent sciatic nerve repair with SC supplementation

| Case No. | Age (yrs) | Sex | Mechanism of Injury | Side of Injury | Sciatic Injury Segment | Complete Transection | Gap Length | Sural Grafts | Dural Graft | Source of SCs | SCs Used (concentration) | Time to Repair Postinjury |
|----------|-----------|-----|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1        | 25/F      |     | Boat propeller injury | Lt            | Upper thigh            | Yes                  | 7.5 cm     | 12          | Duragen Secure Dural Regeneration Matrix | Sural nerve & traumatized sciatic nerve | 28.8 million (100,000 cells/µl) | 30 days                 |
| 2        | 30/F      |     | Gunshot wound        | Rt            | Midhigh                | No, only tibial component damaged | 5 cm       | 3           | Duragen Secure Dural Regeneration Matrix | Sural nerve | 110 million (100,000 cells/µl) | 41 days                  |
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Sural nerve and sciatic nerve biopsy samples were dissected, and fascicles were pulled from epineurium and transferred to a triangular T-75 flask (Corning). The flask was placed in an incubator at 37°C with 8% CO₂. Culture medium, which contained 1× DMEM (Life Technologies), 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, GE Healthcare Life Sciences), 2 mM forskolin (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 nM human recombinant heregulin β1 (Genentech), 4 mM L-glutamine (Life Technologies), and 0.064 mg/ml gentamicin (APP Pharmaceutical/Fresenius Kabi USA), was changed every other day. On Day 7 for sciatic and Day 5 for sural nerves, the dissociation enzyme solution (5 ml) that contained neutral protease NB 1 (Genentech) using the culture medium, which contained 1× DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with 3.1 mM CaCl₂ (International Medication Systems Limited) was added to the fascicles and placed inside the incubator at 37°C with 8% CO₂ for 18 hours. The fascicles were dissociated, 10 ml D-10 (Life Technologies) was added to the flask containing the fascicles, and it was centrifuged at 150g for 5 minutes at 4°C to pellet the cells. The cells were then washed 2 more times and plated onto mouse laminin-coated plates (1 μl/cm²), with a stock concentration of 1 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) using the culture medium. The cells were fed with culture medium every 3 days. After 7 days, cells reached 80% confluence for the nerve preparations.

For the samples obtained in Case 1, the viable cell count of sural nerve was 19.2 million cells. The SC purity assessed by immune staining for sural nerve was 98.7%. The final cell products were washed 3 times to remove mitogens, laminin, and bovine products. Several controls were used throughout the manufacturing process to ensure that the product was essentially free of process-related contaminants. These controls included the wash steps described above and release testing of the final product. Investigations into the potential related impurities of the manufacturing process had been conducted during process validation studies at the time of our Investigational New Drug submission. The samples were analyzed for residual levels of heregulin β1 peptide, mouse laminin, gentamicin, and bovine serum albumin.

The total SC count was 28.8 million at a concentration of 100,000 cells/μl with > 99.9% viability for Case 1, and 180 million at a concentration of 100,000 cells/μl with 97.8% viability for Case 2. Cells were placed on ice and transported to the operating room for transplantation.

Method of Transplantation

In Case 1, repair of the sciatic nerve with SC transplantation took place 30 days postinjury. Complete transaction of the sciatic nerve was noted at exposure (Fig. 2A). After debridement of scarred nerve ends, the sciatic nerve defect measured 7.5 cm. Bilateral sural nerves were harvested, and 12 × 7.5–cm nerve grafts were placed and then sutured using 7-0 prolene (Fig. 2B). A total of 28.8 million autologous SCs were supplemented within a DuraGen Secure Dural Regeneration Matrix (Duragen; Integra LifeSciences Corp.) (Fig. 2C and D; Video 1).

VIDEO 1. Case 1. Intraoperative video showing sciatic nerve repair with SC supplementation. Copyright Roberto Suazo. Published with permission. Click here to view.

In Case 2, sciatic nerve repair took place 41 days after initial injury and 29 days after sural nerve harvest of SCs. Two bullet fragments were found embedded within the sciatic nerve upon exposure. The bullet fragments were removed from the nerve using microsurgical technique. The tibial and peroneal nerve divisions were separated and intraoperative nerve action potential and ultrasound studies were performed. Results of the nerve action po-
tential and ultrasound studies demonstrated an intact and functioning peroneal nerve and obvious damage to one-third of the tibial component. Scar tissue was removed and the tibial component was repaired. After removal of scarred nerve ends, the tibial nerve defect measured 5 cm. Sural nerve was obtained and 3 × 5–cm nerve grafts were placed and then sutured using 7-0 prolene. A total of 110 million autologous SCs of the original 180 million SCs were supplemented within a Duragen Secure Dural Regeneration Matrix.

Postoperative Follow-Up

Lengths of follow-up were 36 and 12 months for the patients in Cases 1 and 2, respectively. As detailed in Wang et al., patients were serially tested for motor and sensory function according to the Medical Research Council (MRC) grading scale.43 Postoperative ultrasound studies were performed to assess continuity of the grafts.

Case Reports

Case 1

At the time of injury and preoperatively, the patient had complete sensory loss to pinprick and light touch without allodynia in the distribution of the sciatic nerve. Motor function was completely lost below the knee (MRC Grade 0/5), hip flexion and knee flexion contracted against gravity (MRC Grade 3/5), and knee extension was active against resistance (MRC Grade 4/5). Pain was maximal postinjury (10/10 according to the Neuropathic Pain Diagnostic Questionnaire [DN4])4 in the distribution of the sciatic nerve. This pattern was consistent with a complete transection of the sciatic nerve at the upper thigh.

There were no postoperative complications with the nerve harvest and sciatic nerve repair within the posterior thigh. The patient did undergo debridement and antibiotic therapy of the anterior thigh for a methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus infection that occurred after a quadriceps tendon repair. She continued daily exercises and physical therapy postrepair. The patient had neurological assessments at 3-month intervals as well as MRI and ultrasound imaging postoperatively at 6, 12, and 30 months.

Over the course of 36 months the patient’s neurological examination results gradually improved. At 15 months there was slight recovery of pinprick and light touch sensation in the distribution of the superficial peroneal nerve, which remained stable at 36 months. No sensation was recovered in the distribution of the sural, deep peroneal, and medial calcaneal nerves. Motor recovery of foot plantar flexion was first noted at 15 months, and at 30 months she achieved full strength recovery (MRC Grade 5/5), which is a definitive sign of regeneration across the sural nerve and autologous SC construct within the tibial division of the sciatic nerve. By the 36-month follow-up, the patient also demonstrated full strength recovery in knee flexion, showed contraction with gravity eliminated (MRC Grade 2/5) in foot dorsiflexion and foot eversion, but had no recovery (MRC Grade 0/5) in toe dorsiflexion and foot inversion. Pain gradually diminished over time, and at her 36-month follow she was DN4 0/10 without pain medica-

Discussion

Sciatric nerve injuries are relatively rare, yet they are some of the most challenging cases a peripheral nerve surgeon will face.2 Damage to the sciatic nerve can occur through a variety of means. Iatrogenic causes such as intraligual injections and hip joint repair, as well as hip fractures or dislocations and penetrating trauma commonly injure the upper sciatic nerve. Stab wounds, gunshot wounds, and boat propeller injuries are commonly associated with mid sciatic injury.6,22 Injury location and sciatic division have been associated with differing rates of success after nerve autograft repair. High sciatic injuries involving the peroneal component have been associated with poor outcomes, whereas mid thigh injuries to the tibi-
Repair of a damaged sciatic nerve that has a significant gap is particularly challenging due to several factors. Sural nerves, the most common donor autograft, are typically insufficient in length due to the large discrepancy in cross-sectional area between donor and sciatic nerve. Patients with thin sural nerves may only be able to cover a 2.5-cm gap.34 In addition to insufficient graft material, sensory loss at the donor site and possible neuroma formation are possible morbidities associated with autologous sensory nerve graft transplants.36 Although we do not report a control of sural graft alone in this patient series, historical data from Roganovic et al. demonstrate that worse outcomes started with nerve defects > 5 cm in patients with injuries to the tibial nerve or tibial division of the sciatic nerve who were treated with sural nerve grafts or nerve grafts from other sources alone.35 Although a larger sample size is necessary, here we demonstrate good outcomes in 2 patients with nerve gaps ≥ 5 cm by using sural nerve grafts supplemented with autologous SCs.

Recent data suggest that the use of AGCs may be an alternative to repairing nerve gaps. Although initial reports focused on short gap repairs,5,18,26 several substrates have been studied for their potential to heal large gap defects when supplemented with AGCs, including purified SCs.1,6,7,9,11,12,16,17,27,28,34,37,38,40–42,44 Berrocal et al. demonstrated that adding autologous SCs suspended in serum to AGCs significantly enhanced the ability to bridge larger gap distances in sciatic nerve repair in rats.3

Autologous SCs can be harvested from either a donor nerve or the epicenter of the traumatized nerve ends in sharp injuries (propeller injury, gunshot wound, stab wound). Harvesting SCs from donor nerves requires sacrifice of sensory donor nerves, which may lead to future morbidity, whereas harvesting from the traumatized nerve ends will lead to no deficit because these ends will eventually scar and be sacrificed by the surgeon. In this study, SCs from the patient in Case 1 were harvested from both a donor nerve and the traumatized nerve, whereasSCs from the patient in Case 2 were only harvested from a donor sural nerve. Both methods provided sufficient samples to propagate SCs in culture until the time of surgery (30 days for Case 1 and 29 days for Case 2).

Presented here are the first 2 cases treated using autologous SCs to supplement human peripheral nerve repair in long-segment injury. Both patients had significant improvement in both motor and sensory function, with correlative imaging, after large-gap (>5 cm) injuries generally associated with poor functional recovery.35 Near complete resolution and significant improvement in pain symptoms in the patients in Cases 1 and 2, respectively, were observed—an outcome rarely seen in autologous nerve grafting. A proposed mechanism for pain reduction in entubulation strategies is that AGCs provide a scaffold for more directional growth of axons and less growth of pain fibers.39 In these cases a Duragen wrapping was used and may have assisted in the procedure’s success. Donor SCs were able to propagate to sufficient amounts for transplantation in both patients far earlier than 4 months, when poor outcomes are seen.35 Grafts remained in continuity and no neuromas or tumors were seen at 12 and 36 months.

Although this study demonstrates preliminary safety and efficacy of SC transplantation for peripheral nerve repair in humans, obvious limitations and concerns must be addressed. First, even though outcomes were excellent and complications were minimal in our 2 initial patients, the sample size must be increased and randomization must be introduced in future studies to fully determine effects. A potential safety concern associated with cellular autotransplantation for peripheral nerve repair is the possibility of creating tumors. Although neither patient developed any evidence of tumor formation at 12 and 36 months, respectively, long-term monitoring is essential and ongoing.

Conclusions

This study is the first long-term follow-up in the first 2 human cases of autologous SC transplants for peripheral nerve repair. These data are evidence that autologous SCs may be a viable option for long-gap nerve defect repairs. Future directions will focus on improved delivery methods of SCs to injured areas, minimization or elimination of sural nerve grafts, and optimization of autologous SC culture methods.
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