DjinniChip: Evaluation of a novel molecular rapid diagnostic device for the detection of Chlamydia trachomatis in trachoma-endemic areas
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Abstract

Background The clinical signs of active trachoma are often present in the absence of ocular Chlamydia trachomatis infection, particularly following mass drug administration. Treatment decisions following impact surveys and in post-control surveillance for communities are currently based on the prevalence of clinical signs, which may result in further unnecessary distribution of mass antibiotic treatment and the increased spread of macrolide resistance alleles in ‘off-target’ bacterial species. We therefore developed a simple, fast, low cost diagnostic assay (DjinniChip) for diagnosis of ocular C. trachomatis for use by trachoma control programmes.

Methods The study was conducted in London, Germany and Tanzania. For clinical testing in Tanzania, specimens from a sample of 350 children between the ages of 7 to 15 years, which were part of a longitudinal cohort that began in February 2012 were selected. Two ocular swabs were taken from the right eye. The second swab was collected dry, kept cool in the field and archived at -80 o C before sample lysis for DjinniChip detection and parallel nucleic acid purification and detection/quantification by qPCR assay. Results Djinnichip was able to reliably detect >10 copies of C. trachomatis per test and correctly identified 7/10 Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics C. trachomatis panel samples, failing to detect 3 positive samples with genome equivalent amounts >10 copies. Djinnichip performed well across a range of typical trachoma field conditions and when used by lay personnel using a series of mock samples. In the laboratory in Tanzania, using clinical samples the sensitivity and specificity of DjinniChip for C. trachomatis was 66% (95% CI 51–78) and 94.8 (95% CI 91–97%) with an overall accuracy of 90.1 (95% CI 86.4 – 93). Conclusions DjinniChip performance is extremely promising, particularly its ability to detect low concentrations of C. trachomatis and its usability in field conditions. The DjinniChip requires further development to reduce inhibition and advance toward a closed system. DjinniChip results did not vary between local laboratory results and typical trachoma field settings, illustrating its potential for use in low-resource areas to prevent unnecessary rounds of MDA and to monitor for C. trachomatis recrudescence.

Background

Globally, approximately 40 million people, mostly children, are thought to have active trachoma at any given time[1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends community-based mass drug administration (MDA) coupled with facial hygiene and environmental improvements (key components of the SAFE strategy (Surgery for trichiasis, Antibiotics, Facial cleanliness, Environmental improvements)) in districts where the prevalence of the clinical sign of trachomatous inflammation – follicular (TF) is ≥10% among children aged 1-9 years. However, a critical issue for control programmes is the poor correlation between disease signs and infection particularly after MDA; the long-term persistence of clinical signs leads to uncertainty about the impact of programmes [2].

Currently, ocular infection testing is not recommended by the WHO as an indicator for national trachoma programmes. There is continued need for low-cost, simple to use tests for Chlamydia trachomatis to guide district-level treatment decisions, particularly around whether MDA can be discontinued, and to support the WHO-led process to validate elimination as a public health problem in formerly endemic
regions. In order to be suitably sensitive and specific, the diagnostic test should detect *C. trachomatis* nucleic acid, require minimal sample preparation and testing should be performed at a district-level laboratory [3,4]. Several nucleic acid amplification (NAAT) tests are available which have excellent sensitivity and specificity (>95%) for chlamydial infections, including the Cepheid Xpert CT/NG test (result in 90 minutes) and the Atlas Genetics io® CT/NG test (result in 30 minutes) which require minimal sample preparation [5,6]. Both however require specialised proprietary instruments and a robust alternating-current electric power supply from a national utility grid or local generator. Each are cost prohibitive (>US$10/test) and the throughput for programmatic use may be insufficient.

A novel isothermal NAAT diagnostic device for *C. trachomatis* detection was developed; “DjinniChip”, intended to be utilised by trachoma surveillance and control programmes. The test was designed to be performed primarily at the district level laboratory by lay personnel and requires only a portable heat block, able to be powered by 12V car battery, with a total test time of approximately 50 minutes. The approximate cost per test after scale-up is <US$2, however the test is not yet available commercially and its performance has not been formally evaluated. The aim of this study was to perform a preliminary evaluation of the performance of the DjinniChip from archived ocular clinical samples in a trachoma-endemic region in-country, initially in the controlled environment of a research laboratory. The secondary aim was to determine the DjinniChip’s resilience and usability when used in typical conditions and facilities where trachoma is endemic and by lay personnel.

**Methods**

**Study design, participants and sample size**

A retrospective study was conducted using archived conjunctival swab samples collected from children in trachoma-endemic communities in northern Tanzania to assess the performance of the DjinniChip. In February 2012, we recruited a cohort of children from three adjacent trachoma endemic villages in Kilimanjaro and Arusha regions, Northern Tanzania. All children, between the ages 3 and 11 years, who were normally resident in one of the three villages, were eligible for inclusion (n =666) [7]. 616 children were enrolled and the cohort was initially followed-up every 3 months for 4 years, for a total of 17 time points. Two conjunctival swab samples were collected consecutively from the right eye at each time point. Timepoint 14 (August 2015) was selected for this evaluation and 428 children were seen, 9 months after the last mass community treatment with antibiotic as detailed by the SAFE strategy. Conjunctival swabs were collected as previously described using sterile polyester-tipped swabs [7,8]. The first swab was collected into 250µl RNA later and was used elsewhere [7–9], whilst the second swab was collected dry and stored at -80°C until used in this study. 0.5% of swabs collected were ‘air’ control swabs in order to test for field and laboratory contamination. These samples were collected by passing a swab 10 cm from a participant's everted eye, these were labelled and processed identically to participant samples.

The study sample size was determined by the number of DjinniChips manufactured and shipped to KCRI-BL (Tanzania) for the study (n = 600) and the number of participants seen at timepoint 14 (n= 428).
Based on the observed infection prevalence 9 months after MDA treatment round 1 (timepoint 7) and 2 (time point 11) the expected prevalence of ocular infection was estimated at 8%. The precision of the prevalence estimate based on this sample size at the 95% confidence level is 5%. Alternatively, assuming the index test (DjinniChip) achieves sensitivity and specificity of 95%, a sample size of 428 would achieve an odds of diagnosing a true (qPCR) positive of 62% (95% CI 51-72%) and an odds of 1% (95% CI 0 -2%) of a false negative.

The WHO endorsed SAFE strategy (surgery for trichiasis [in-turned eyelashes], antibiotics, facial cleanliness, and environmental improvement) was implemented in the study villages as approved by the Tanzanian Ministry of Health (MoH). Education about environmental improvements and facial hygiene was provided by the field team, free trichiasis surgery was offered, and all members of the three villages (including study participants) were offered azithromycin for trachoma control immediately following timepoints 3, 7 and 11 specimen collection in August of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

**DNA extraction**

Dry clinical samples were thawed and 400µl of lysis-amplification buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1% Tween® 20, 1% Triton X, pH 8.8) was added to the tube. Samples were vortexed for 30 seconds, pulse centrifuged, and incubated for 10 minutes at 95°C for sample lysis. Lysates were then pulse centrifuged and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. For the positive control (PC), 46µl of lysis-amplification buffer was spiked with 4µl *C. trachomatis* DNA (10,000 omcB copies/µl) derived from *C. trachomatis* L2/434 which was originally cultured and prepared at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM). For the negative control (NC), 50 µl of lysis-amplification buffer was used. One hundred microliters of sample lysate was used for DNA extraction and *C. trachomatis* detection by quantitative PCR (qPCR) and 25µl was used for *C. trachomatis* detection by DjinniChip (see “DjinniChip detection of *C. trachomatis*”). Remaining sample lysate was stored at -20°C.

**qPCR detection of *C. trachomatis***

One hundred microliters of sample lysate was mixed 1:1 with 100µl of sterile PBS and DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen Ltd, Manchester, UK) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted into 60µl of buffer AE and stored at 4°C prior to qPCR testing. *C. trachomatis* was detected using a reference qPCR assay, which has previously been evaluated against droplet digital PCR and Artus (Qiagen Ltd, Manchester, UK) *C. trachomatis* diagnostic assays for ocular samples [10]. The triplex qPCR assay detects chlamydial chromosomal (omcB) and plasmid (pORF2) targets and a human endogenous control gene (RPP30). Samples were tested in duplicate, with each 20µl reaction containing 1X TaqMan Multiplex Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inchinnan, UK), 300 nM of all primers and probes and 4 µl DNA. Samples were considered *C. trachomatis* positive if RPP30 in combination with pORF2 and/or omcB targets amplified in <40 cycles in either or both replicates. qPCR data were processed in STATA v15 and target concentrations were calculated by extrapolating from a standard
curve. Clinical information from participants at timepoint 14 was available to the assessors of the reference qPCR test but the result of the index test was masked until the laboratory study was completed.

Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) detection of *C. trachomatis*

ddPCR was used to detect and quantify *C. trachomatis* infectious load in 12 archived conjunctival clinical samples and in Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics (QCMD, Glasgow, UK) panel samples for the preliminary evaluation of DjinniChips. Dry, frozen conjunctival swabs from 4 *C. trachomatis*-negative and 8 *C. trachomatis*-positive individuals, previously determined by an in-house 16S rRNA qPCR [11], were incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature in 400 µl elution buffer (1x PBS or 1% Triton X-100 + 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH7]). 200µl of eluate was frozen for DjinniChip evaluation. DNA was extracted from the remaining 200µl using the Blood and Serum DNA Isolation Kit (BioChain Institute Inc, Newark, CA, USA). For QCMD samples, DNA was extracted using the QIAamp Mini DNA Extraction (Qiagen Ltd, Manchester, UK). *C. trachomatis* plasmid and genome were quantified as previously described [10].

Preparation of DjinniChips

DjinniChip is an integrated diagnostic platform which amplifies DNA from the chromosomal porB gene of *C. trachomatis* using loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP). The chips were produced by injection-moulding of cyclic olefin copolymer in Kunststoff-Zentrum in Leipzig (Germany). The reagents for LAMP were freeze-dried in the channel of the chip. Each portion of reaction mix contained 300 nmol MgSO$_4$, 16 U glycerol-free Bst 3.0 polymerase (New England Biolabs (Frankfurt am Main, Germany), 25 mmol dA, dG, dC, 22.5 mmol dU, 0.5 mmol dT (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany), 10 pmol of primer B3 and F3, 80 pmol primer BIP, 60 pmol unlabelled primer FIP, 20 pmol 5’-biotin-labelled FIP, 40 pmol 5’-FAM-labelled primer LB and 40 pmol 5’-biotin-labelled primer LF (Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, Germany), 0.25 mg/ml (w/v) BSA and 6% trehalose (Sigma Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany). The primer sequences are provided in Table 1. The freeze-dried reagents were overlayed with a 4.3 x 35 mm PES filter membrane (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), 0.22 µm pore size. A lateral flow test strip DetectLine Basic (Amodia, Braunschweig, Germany) was placed in the chip, and the bottom surface of the chip was sealed with Masterclear® real-time PCR Film (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). DjinniChips were manufactured <6 weeks prior to testing and were stored in vacuum-sealed sachets at 4°C until use. The quality of each batch of the chips was verified by testing a positive (0.001 ng *C. trachomatis* DNA) and a negative control on random chips from the batch.

DjinniChip detection of *C. trachomatis*

Twenty-five microliters of sample lysate (see “DNA extraction” section method) was mixed with 25µl lysis-amplification buffer and the entire 50µl was added to the DjinniChip. Sample extract was driven by capillary effect into the reaction channel, dissolving the lyophilized reagents. The inlets of the chip were sealed with Air-O-Seal membrane (4titude, Berlin, Germany). Following incubation on the flat bed of a heat block for 35 min at 65°C for the LAMP reaction, the reaction was stopped by placing the DjinniChip on a flat ice block (cooling battery) for 2-5 minutes. The amplified product was then manually pumped
towards the integrated lateral flow strip (LFS) by injecting 180µl chromatographic buffer (Amodia, Braunschweig, Germany) into the sample inlet. Biotin- and fluorescein (FAM)-labelled amplicons allowed LFS detection after 10 minutes incubation at room temperature, by use of gold nanoparticles attached to anti-fluorescein-antibodies (Figure 1). A positive control signal (C) and signal at the test zone (T) corresponded to *C. trachomatis* in the patient sample. Results were recorded electronically and photographic records were taken of each test result.

Samples were analysed using a second DjinniChip if a) the negative no-template control (NC) was weakly or strongly positive for the analysed batch of samples, or b) if the test band was only weakly positive (Figure S1). In either of these cases positive samples were re-tested, with additional positive and negative controls. The outcome of the second test was used as the final result: if the NC was negative but the sample was weakly positive again, the sample was determined positive. Clinical information and the reference test results were masked to the assessors of the Djinnichip results. The study was conducted and reported according to the STARD guidelines for diagnostic studies (Additional file 1. Table S1.)[12]

**Mock samples**

In order to determine the resilience and usability of the DjinniChip, 100 mock samples were tested in field conditions. Mock samples consisted of a 50µl suspension of *C. trachomatis* A2497 elementary bodies at 4 different concentrations (below) in PBS which were added to sterile polyester-tipped swabs and stored dry in 1.5 ml tubes at -80°C for a minimum of one week. *C. trachomatis* A2497 was grown in HEp-2 cells and purified as previously described and quantified by ddPCR [13]. Four field sites were selected; two humid and warm and two hot, dry and dusty. Results were compared to replicate mock samples tested on DjinniChips at Kilimanjaro Clinical Research Institute-Biotechnology Laboratory (KCRI-BL). At each of the five sites, 20 mock samples ranging in *C. trachomatis* concentration were tested (quadruplicates of negative control, 160 copies/swab, 800 copies/swab, 3200 copies/swab, 16000 copies/swab); 10 duplicates by an experienced lab technician and 10 duplicates by a field nurse who received basic training. A heat block (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inchinnan, UK) powered from a 12V direct-current car battery was used to heat samples at mobile field sites. DjinniChip testing was performed in the open boot of a stationary Land Rover. The field team drove to each field site (selected to provide a range of typical trachoma environmental conditions), where testing was performed in the open boot of the stationary vehicle. Samples were transported on ice in a cool box and reactions were stopped on the surface of flat ice blocks. Results (including photograph), temperature, humidity and time to process 10 tests was recorded. Remaining sample extract was frozen at -20°C prior to DNA extraction (Qiagen Ltd, Manchester, UK) and qPCR testing for *C. trachomatis*.

**Results**

**Preliminary evaluation**
DjinniChip performance was initially tested in a European research and development laboratory (Fraunhofer IZI, Leipzig) using anonymised conjunctival dry swab samples that had been collected and stored in an identical manner from a previous study [14] to that described for time point 14 in parallel with a QCMD panel. Of the 12 clinical swabs tested, the DjinniChip correctly diagnosed all samples as *C. trachomatis* positive or negative; 8/8 positives and 4/4 negatives (Table 2; Additional file 1 Figure S2). The concentration of the sample with the lowest chlamydial load, correctly identified as positive by the DjinniChip, was 36 genome copies per reaction (corresponding to 573 copies per swab; quantified by ddPCR). The QCMD panel consisted of the extracted DNA of urogenital *C. trachomatis* serovars from swabs and urine. Of the 10 QCMD samples tested, 2/2 negatives and 5/8 positives were correctly diagnosed by the DjinniChip (Table 3; Additional file 1. Figure S3). The 3 false negatives were the 3 lowest concentration samples, each at 10 target copies per reaction.

**Evaluation with clinical samples**

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the 350 participants retained in the Djinnichip analysis are shown in Table 4. In the field, 430 dry swab specimens were collected (428 clinical samples and 2 ‘air controls’ as detailed in methods). Of these, 352 dry swab samples were eluted and tested in parallel using the DjinniChip and the reference test (qPCR) at the KCRI-BL research laboratory, Moshi, Tanzania (Table 5. Additional file 1. Table S2). Seventy-eight consecutive dry swab samples (CD101-178) were excluded due to a laboratory error in specimen processing (unrelated to DjinniChip testing). There were 18 DjinniChip false negatives relative to qPCR diagnosis, 9 of these had high chlamydial load by qPCR, with over 100 copies per µl of extracted DNA (figure 2). The performance characteristics of sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy for all 352 specimens (350 clinical samples and 2 air controls) are shown in Table 5. The Djinnichip identified fewer specimens from those with the clinical signs of trachoma (21 v 30) and tended to identify more specimens from individuals with normal healthy conjunctivae without any clinical signs of trachoma (31 v 23).

**Field evaluation**

In order to test the performance and usability of the DjinniChip in field conditions, a series of mock swabs spiked with *C. trachomatis* were tested at four field sites in northern Tanzania. Replicate mock swabs were also tested in the laboratory at KCRI-BL, Tanzania for comparison.

In the laboratory, 8/8 *C. trachomatis*-positive mock swabs, ranging in concentration from 160 to 16,000 copies per swab (equivalent to 10 to 1000 copies per DjinniChip reaction), were correctly diagnosed as positive by the DjinniChip and by qPCR (Additional file 1. Table S2.). Duplicate negative control swabs were correctly diagnosed as negative by both methods.

In the field, 62/64 *C. trachomatis*-positive mock samples were correctly diagnosed as positive by the DjinniChip (Additional file 1. Table S3.) There were two false negatives, both with concentrations of 50 copies per DjinniChip reaction; one tested by an experienced technician and one by a field nurse. In contrast, 10/16 negative control samples tested in the field were incorrectly diagnosed as *C. trachomatis*
positive by the DjinniChip. Six false positives were tested by a field nurse and four by an experienced technician. qPCR testing confirmed that all 16 controls were \textit{C. trachomatis} negative, suggesting that cross contamination had occurred in the field after the sample lysis step. In the field, the time taken to test 10 samples was approximately 70 minutes (ranging from 135-150 minutes for 20 samples).

**Discussion**

We developed and evaluated a LAMP-based first generation DjinniChip assay using ocular swabs collected in the course of a longitudinal trachoma cohort study in Moshi, Tanzania. DjinniChips enabled fast, simple processing and molecular diagnosis of \textit{C. trachomatis} infection in resource limited environments typical of trachoma endemic regions. The results demonstrated high specificity of the test (94.3\%) but modest sensitivity (66\%), which requires improvement before the DjinniChip can be considered for use in trachoma control programmes.

Sensitivity was affected by both false-positive results (17/52 (32.6\%)) strongly impacting PPV, and false-negative results (18/300 (6\%)) impacting NPV. The lower sensitivity of Djinnichip also resulted in a lower frequency of detection of infection in those with clinical signs of active trachoma but a higher frequency of infection in those with no clinical signs of disease. The results overall are more typical of an untreated trachoma endemic population where current infection is detected in around 60\% of active trachoma cases [15]. Indeed the national trachoma control program delivered a fourth round of community treatment with azithromycin in September 2015 to one of the 3 villages in this study where the prevalence of TF\textsubscript{1-9} was >10\% as per WHO recommendation.

The majority of the false-negative results were due to inhibition of the reaction, which could be overcome by dilution of the sample lysate. The assay design currently uses 25\(\mu\)l of sample lysate (combined with 25\(\mu\)l buffer); this large amount of sample lysate may negatively affect the performance of the test due to the presence of excess proteins (such as DNAses and proteases that are incompletely inactivated by heating), blood, inflammatory exudate, and dust. In 10 of 18 false-negative samples the inhibition was overcome by repeating the test with a 1:10 dilution of sample lysate to diluent. This is a well described characteristic of NAATs which is overcome in the same manner with some commercial tests such as Roche CT/NG Amplicor [16]. Alternatively, stabilizing additives such as increased concentrations of albumin or sheared carrier DNA can be added to the diluent. Further sample dilution and the use of additives will be optimised in the next iteration of the DjinniChip.

The extreme sensitivity of LAMP to contaminating DNA most likely explains the false positivity rate [17–19]. DNA ‘contamination’ may occur either during DjinniChip manufacture or more likely during use. Standard precautions to avoid DNA contamination during manufacture and assembly were taken. The false-positive results observed were predominantly found in cases where the test result was ambiguous, evidenced by a faint band on the lateral flow strip. With the exception of one sample (with a high copy number), true positive samples were characterized by clear distinct bands, even when only a low copy number was present. DNA contamination during testing most likely occurred during sample lysis and
loading, or post-amplification when the seal was removed to enable the amplified reaction mix to be pumped towards the lateral flow test strip. DNA contamination is frequently caused by aerosol and in the molecular laboratory this can be more easily controlled with aerosol barrier tips and the spatial/physical separation of the procedures. This is more difficult to control in a general laboratory, local health facility and under differing environmental conditions in the field where a closed system would offer a solution.

Although the susceptibility to sample inhibition and cross-contamination negatively affected the sensitivity of the test, other characteristics of the DjinniChip favour its use for rapid diagnostics in resource-limited settings. Due to the simplicity of the test, the DjinniChip can be used in the absence of laboratory infrastructure. The isothermal DNA amplification was performed on a simple and cheap 12V heating block powered from a car battery; its use requires minimal operator training and needs no software. All reagents are pre-stored on the chip and it requires storage at only 2-8°C. Furthermore, sample preparation is relatively simple and a test can be completed in 50 minutes in laboratory conditions, with assessment of test result by visual inspection. Other published isothermal assays for *C. trachomatis* are based on conventional laboratory processing and thus far have not been translated into suitable formats for use in low resource settings [20–22]. Although there are some rapid and point-of-care tests available for *C. trachomatis* detection, there is currently no integrated molecular test developed for trachoma that is characterized by the same level of technical simplicity as the DjinniChip. Shin and colleagues developed a mobile magnetofluidic platform for the extraction and amplification of *C. trachomatis* DNA (primarily designed for sexually transmitted infections), however their device is reliant on a specialised instrument [23]. Most recently SelfDiagnostics Deutschland GmbH (Leipzig, Germany) released a fully integrated device for the detection of *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoea* in urine (STD Multitest); the device is self-contained and suitable for home-use, however its format (internal complexity and construction) results in high production costs making it sub-optimal for neglected tropical disease control programmes [24].

The DjinniChip maintained stable performance over the entire duration of the study (>4 weeks including shipping with storage at 4°C). A preliminary accelerated ageing study performed by Fraunhofer IZI (data not shown) found that DjinniChip performance remained unaffected for at least 10 weeks when stored at ambient temperature (~23°C). Field testing in Tanzania revealed that DjinniChip detection of *C. trachomatis* was not impeded by temperature ranges between 25-36°C with humidity between 19-50%, even in two field sites with very dusty and windy conditions. The main factor affecting DjinniChip performance in the field was the high number of false positives, probably due to cross-contamination. In the field it was not possible to segregate each stage of sample preparation, DNA amplification and detection, as all processes were conducted in the open boot of a Land Rover, and over half of No Template Control samples were positive. Work towards the next iteration of DjinniChip therefore needs to focus on its translation into a fully closed integrated format with no manual handling of liquids in order to improve test specificity. DjinniChip sensitivity will also be improved by further investigation reagent thermostability and storage as well as the modifications that enable sample matrix to prevent inhibition. Despite the low cost and simple testing procedure (particularly in a fully closed integrated format),
national programmes frequently visit >1000 individuals during surveys and in a low prevalence setting it may be desirable to pool samples for infection testing [25]. In the next iteration of DjinniChip, the potential to increase sample throughput by pooling clinical samples needs to be assessed.

**Conclusions**

The DjinniChip rapid molecular diagnostic test for *C. trachomatis* has promising potential, however it requires further development to improve its suitability for use by trachoma control programmes. Its strengths are the simple operation, fast time-to-result, lack of requirements for proprietary or high cost laboratory equipment, and its stability in challenging environmental conditions. The estimated approximate cost per test of <US$2 after scale-up makes it a suitable candidate for diagnostic programmes in the future. The current limitations of the DjinniChip are its susceptibility to DNA contamination due to the manual sample- and detection buffer-handling steps and sporadic inhibition of the reaction by sample matrix, both of which can be eliminated in the next iteration. We were also limited by our reduced sample size due to sample loss and higher than expected prevalence of ocular infection. For the DjinniChip to be useful for trachoma control programmatic decisions post MDA only a modest improvement in sensitivity may be required to maintain sensitivity of the test at lower infection prevalence associated with elimination targets. Conversely the higher than expected infection prevalence with the reduced sample size may have inflated the performance of the index test specificity. The test, mostly likely, currently has sufficient high specificity since it would be used to make treatment decisions at the community level.

The DjinniChip was designed for use by non-specialists in district level laboratories or health centres in trachoma endemic areas for the surveillance of *C. trachomatis*. Following improvements identified above, the DjinniChip holds promising potential to prevent unnecessary rounds of MDA and to rapidly detect recrudescence of infection in the community.

**Additional Files**

*Supplementary data submitted in a single file:*

**Additional file 1: Table S1:** STARD checklist Table S2. Raw results of qPCR *C. trachomatis* NAATs v DjinniChip. Table S3. Results of the field evaluation of DjinniChips with mock swabs. The DjinniChip tests were performed by an experienced user (Exp.) and a lay user. qPCR was performed by a qualified operator using swab material eluted in the field by experienced and lay users. The results that did not correspond the sample status are highlighted.

**Text Figure S1.** Assessment of LFS results. The appearance of 2 bands (C, control and T, Test) is determined a positive result. The absence of the T-band in the presence of the C-band is determined a negative result. Figure S1. Example results of lateral flow detection. a: positive result, b: negative result, c and d: undetermined results (weakly positive bands, indicated with arrows), require confirmation.
**Text Figure S2.** Preliminary evaluation of DjinniChips with clinical samples. Four hundred microliters of elution buffer was added to each swab. Two hundred µl was used for DNA extraction with QIAamp DNA mini kit, eluted in 100 µl and tested by ddPCR. The remaining swab eluate was heat-lysed for 10 min at 95°C; 25 µl of the lysate was mixed with 25 µl reaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 150 mM KCl, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1% Tween® 20, 1% Triton X-100, pH 8.8) and loaded onto the chip. LAMP was performed for 35 min at 65°C on a flatbed heating block. **Figure S2.** Preliminary evaluation of DjinniChip with eluted ocular clinical swabs.

**Text Figure S3.** DjinniChip results of Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics DNA samples. Preliminary evaluation of DjinniChips with A QCMD panel. A QCMD-panel of *C. trachomatis* DNA samples was obtained from the External Quality Assessment programme (https://www.qcmd.org; Glasgow, UK). QCMD panel is a set of purified DNA samples from various sources and of various concentrations. The samples were stored at -20°C prior testing. Ten microliters of each QCMD sample were mixed with 40 µl reaction buffer and loaded onto the chip. LAMP was performed for 35 min at 65°C on a flatbed heating block. **Figure S3.** DjinniChip results of QCMD DNA samples.

**Abbreviations**

omcB: outer membrane complex B; ORF: open reading frame; LAMP: loop-mediated isothermal amplification; LFS: lateral flow strip; MDA: mass drug administration; NAAT: nucleic acid amplification test; NPV: negative predictive value; PPV: positive predictive value; QCMD: quality control for molecular diagnostics; qPCR: quantitative polymerase chain reaction; RPP30: Ribonuclease P/MRP Subunit P30; SAFE: Surgery for trichiasis [in-turned eyelashes], Antibiotics, Facial cleanliness, and Environmental improvement; TF: Trachomatous inflammation-follicular; WHO: World Health Organisation.

**Declarations**

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank the village leaders and villagers for participating in this study. We would also like to thank the field team for their support.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Ethical approval and consent for sample testing was granted by the ethics committees of London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Medical Research Council Gambia at LSHTM, Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre and Tanzanian National Institute of Medical Research. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Study participants were aged between 4 and 11 years at baseline and 7 and 15 the time of timepoint 14 sample collection. Clinical signs and swab specimens were collected following written informed consent from a parent or guardian.

**Consent for publication**
Not applicable

**Availability of data and material**

Data cannot be shared publicly without a request for a data transfer agreement from the Tanzania national ethics committee. Individual requests for transfer of data can be directed to National Institute for Medical Research in Tanzania (contact via ethics@nimr.or.tz) for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data.

**Competing interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

**Funding**

This work and staff were supported by grants from: The Wellcome Trust (093368/Z/10/Z and 098481/Z/12/Z) and EU Horizon 2020 grant agreement ID: 733373. This work received financial support from the Coalition for Operational Research on Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDSC148U), which is funded at The Task Force for Global Health primarily by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, by the United Kingdom Department for International Development, and by the United States Agency for International Development through its Neglected Tropical Diseases Program. Additionally, we would like to thank the Sächsische AufbauBank for supporting the initial development of the diagnostic test (SAB#100144699). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Authors’ contributions**

Conceptualization: MJH, MJB, DK, TRD, NS.

Data curation: TRD, AMR, EM, NS, AK, HP

Formal analysis: TRD, NS

Funding acquisition: TRD, NS, MJH, MJB, DK.

Investigation: TRD, NS, AK

Methodology: TRD, NS, AK, AMR, HP, AM, EM, MJH, MJB, DK.

Project administration: TRD, NS, AMR, AM, TM, MJH, MJB, DK.

Resources: TRD, NS, AM, TM, MJH, MJB, DK, HP

Supervision: MJH, MJB, DK.

Writing: original draft: TRD, NS, MJH.
References

1. Mariotti SP, Pascolini D, Rose-Nussbaumer J. Trachoma: global magnitude of a preventable cause of blindness. Br J Ophthalmol. 2009;93:563–8.

2. Ramadhani AM, Macleod D, Holland MJ, Burton MJ. DT. The Relationship between Active Trachoma and Ocular Chlamydia trachomatis Infection before and after Mass Antibiotic Treatment. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10.

3. PATH. Target Product Profile: Trachoma surveillance diagnostic - antigen lateral flow test [Internet]. 2015. Available from: https://www.path.org/resources/tpp-trachoma-antigen-lateral-flow-test/

4. Solomon AW, Engels D, Bailey RL, Blake IM, Brooker S, Chen J-X, et al. A diagnostics platform for the integrated mapping, monitoring, and surveillance of neglected tropical diseases: Rationale and target product profiles. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6:e1746.

5. Harding-Esch EM, Cousins EC, Chow SC, Phillips LT, Hall CL, Cooper N, et al. A 30-Min Nucleic Acid Amplification Point-of-Care Test for Genital Chlamydia trachomatis Infection in Women: A Prospective, Multi-center Study of Diagnostic Accuracy. EBioMedicine. 2018;28:120–7.

6. Kelly H, Coltart CEM, Pant Pai N, Klausner JD, Unemo M, Toskin I, et al. Systematic reviews of point-of-care tests for the diagnosis of urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis infections. Sex Transm Infect. 2017;93:S22–30.

7. Ramadhani AM, Derrick T, Macleod D, Massae P, Mtuy T, Jeffries D, et al. Immunofibrogenic gene expression patterns in Tanzanian children with ocular Chlamydia trachomatis Infection, active trachoma and scarring: Baseline results of a 4-year longitudinal study. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;7:406.

8. Ramadhani AM, Derrick T, MacLeod D, Massae P, Malisa A, Mbuya K, et al. Ocular immune responses, Chlamydia trachomatis infection and clinical signs of trachoma before and after azithromycin mass drug administration in a treatment naïve trachoma-endemic Tanzanian community. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007559.

9. Ramadhani AM, Derrick T, MacLeod D, Massae P, Mafuru E, Malisa A, et al. Progression of scarring trachoma in Tanzanian children: A four-year cohort study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007638.

10. Butcher R, Houghton J, Derrick T, Ramadhani A, Herrera B, Last AR, et al. Reduced-cost Chlamydia trachomatis-specific multiplex real-time PCR diagnostic assay evaluated for ocular swabs and use by trachoma research programmes. J Microbiol Methods. 2017;139:95–102.

11. Faal N, Bailey RL, Jeffries D, Joof H, Sarr I, Laye M, et al. Conjunctival FOXP3 expression in trachoma: Do regulatory T cells have a role in human ocular Chlamydia trachomatis infection? PLoS Med. 2006;3:1292–301.

12. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig L, et al. STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. Clin Chem. 2015;61:1446–52.
13. Derrick T, Last AR, Burr SE, Roberts Ch., Nabissa M, Cassama E, et al. Inverse relationship between microRNA-155 and -184 expression with increasing conjunctival inflammation during ocular *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16:60.

14. Pickering H, Teng A, Faal N, Joof H, Makalo P, Cassama E, et al. Genome-wide profiling of humoral immunity and pathogen genes under selection identifies immune evasion tactics of *Chlamydia trachomatis* during ocular infection. Sci Rep. 2017;7:9634.

15. Last AR, Burr SE, Weiss HA, Harding-Esch EM, Cassama E, Nabissa M, et al. Risk Factors for Active Trachoma and Ocular *Chlamydia trachomatis* Infection in Treatment-Naïve Trachoma-Hyperendemic Communities of the Bijagós Archipelago, Guinea Bissau. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8:e2900.

16. Harding-Esch EM, Holland MJ, Schémann J-F, Molina S, Sarr I, Andreasen AA, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a prototype point-of-care test for ocular *Chlamydia trachomatis* under field conditions in the Gambia and Senegal. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5:e1234.

17. Zhou D, Guo J, Xu L, Gao S, Lin Q, Wu Q, et al. Establishment and application of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) system for detection of cry1Ac transgenic sugarcane. Sci Rep. 2014;4:4912.

18. Karthik K, Rathore R, Thomas P, Arun TR, Viswas KN, Dhama K, et al. New closed tube loop mediated isothermal amplification assay for prevention of product cross-contamination. MethodsX. 2014;1:137–43.

19. Ma C, Wang F, Wang X, Han L, Jing H, Zhang H, et al. A novel method to control carryover contamination in isothermal nucleic acid amplification. Chem Commun. 2017;53:10696–9.

20. Choopara I, Arunrut N, Kiatpathomchai W, Dean D, Somboonna N. Rapid and visual *Chlamydia trachomatis* detection using loop-mediated isothermal amplification and hydroxynaphthol blue. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2017;64:51–6.

21. Krolov K, Frolova J, Tudoran O, Suhorutsenko J, Lehto T, Sibul H, et al. Sensitive and rapid detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by recombinase polymerase amplification directly from urine samples. J Mol Diagn. 2014;16:127–35.

22. Crotchfelt KA, Pare B, Gaydos C, Quinn TC. Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* by the Gen-Probe AMPLIFIED Chlamydia Trachomatis Assay (AMP CT) in urine specimens from men and women and endocervical specimens from women. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:391–4.

23. Shin DJ, Athamanolap P, Chen L, Hardick J, Lewis M, Hsieh YH, et al. Mobile nucleic acid amplification testing (mobiNAAT) for *Chlamydia trachomatis* screening in hospital emergency department settings. Sci Rep. 2017;7:4495.

24. Selfdiagnostics. STD MULTITEST [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://selfdiagnostics.eu/std-multitest/.

25. Dize L, West S, Quinn TC, Gaydos CA. Pooling Ocular Swab Specimens from Tanzania for testing by Roche Amplicor and Aptima Combo 2 Assays for the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis*: Accuracy and Cost Savings. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;77:289–91.
### Tables

#### Table 1. LAMP primers for the detection of *C. trachomatis* by DjinniChip.

| Primer | Sequence 5’-3’                      |
|--------|-------------------------------------|
| F3     | CTCTGCTTCTGCGGAGAT                  |
| B3     | CAGCGAACGATCAGGAAG                  |
| FIP    | AGGAGAAGGACCCAACCCAGCTCAGGTAAAAGATGCCT |
| BIP    | TTGAAACCCAGGAAGGAAAGCTACAGCTACAC    |
| LF     | CTGTGTGACAGGTAACGG                   |
| LB     | CGATCTCGTGAACGTGAATCTCAAT           |

#### Table 2. Evaluation of DjinniChip in eluted ocular swabs.

| *C. trachomatis* genome copies per swab | *C. trachomatis* genome copies per reaction on chip (25 µl of sample) | DjinniChip test result |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0                                      | 0                                                                  | negative                |
| 0                                      | 0                                                                  | negative                |
| 0                                      | 0                                                                  | negative                |
| 0                                      | 0                                                                  | negative                |
| 573                                    | 36                                                                 | positive                |
| 1579                                   | 99                                                                 | positive                |
| 1794                                   | 113                                                                | positive                |
| 6739                                   | 421                                                                | positive                |
| 13270                                  | 830                                                                | positive                |
| 14989                                  | 936                                                                | positive                |
| 185611                                 | 11601                                                              | positive                |
| 627486                                 | 39218                                                              | positive                |

*DjinniChip samples were tested at the Fraunhofer Institute, Germany. ddPCR was performed at LSHTM, UK.*

#### Table 3. Evaluation of DjinniChip in QCMD (Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics) DNA sample panel.
| Sample Code | Sample content                                | copies/µl of extracted DNA | Results of DjinniChip test, 10 µl of sample | copies/reaction on chip |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| CTDNA17S-01 | C. trachomatis (LGV†)                         | 3                          | Positive                                    | 30                      |
| CTDNA17S-02 | C. trachomatis (LGV)                          | 1                          | Negative‡                                   | 10                      |
| CTDNA17S-03 | C. trachomatis (LGV)                          | 11                         | Positive                                    | 110                     |
| CTDNA17S-04 | C. trachomatis (LGV)                          | 6                          | Positive                                    | 60                      |
| CTDNA17S-05 | C. trachomatis (Genovar F)                    | 5                          | Positive                                    | 50                      |
| CTDNA17S-06 | C. trachomatis (LGV)                          | 1                          | Negative‡                                   | 10                      |
| CTDNA17S-07 | C. trachomatis (LGV) + N. gonorrhoeae (St 49226) | 1                          | Negative‡                                   | 10                      |
| CTDNA17S-08 | Negative                                      | 0                          | Negative                                    | 0                       |
| CTDNA17S-09 | C. trachomatis (Swedish strain)               | 433                        | Positive                                    | 4330                    |
| CTDNA17S-10 | Negative                                      | 0                          | Negative                                    | 0                       |

† Lymphogranuloma venereum
‡ Samples with low copy number identified on DjinniChip as negative

*DjinniChip samples were tested at the Fraunhofer Institute, Germany.*

Table 4. Demographic details and the distribution of the severity of clinical signs of trachoma in the study and evaluation sample population
### Table 5. Diagnostic performance of the DjinniChip on archived clinical samples

|                      | qPCR negative | qPCR positive | Total |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| DjinniChip negative  | 282*          | 18            | 300   |
| DjinniChip positive  | 17            | 35            | 52    |
| Total                | 299           | 53            | 352   |

### FPC-scores: Clinical signs were graded using the 1981 WHO Detailed Trachoma Grading System (FPC). This divides the clinical signs into several four-point (0 – 3) increasing severity scales: follicles (F), papillary inflammation (P), and conjunctival scarring (C). This system corresponds to the WHO Simplified Trachoma Grading System in the following way: Trachomatous inflammation-Follicular (TF) is equivalent to F2/F3 and Trachomatous inflammation-Intense (TI) is equivalent to P3.

### DjinniChip and qPCR testing were performed at KCRI-BL, Moshi, Tanzania
*includes 2 air controls which tested negative by both qPCR and Djinnichip

*DjinniChip and qPCR testing were performed at KCRI-BL, Moshi, Tanzania*
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**Figure 1**

Schematic principle of the DjinniChip. a: Components and processes. The DjinniChip contains lyophilized reagents (1) and a lateral flow test strip (2). A liquid sample (3) is loaded onto the chip through its inlet. The liquid sample reconstitutes the reagents (4). C. trachomatis DNA is amplified at 65°C. The reaction mix is pumped gently with a syringe to the lateral flow test (5). The appearance of the Control band (C) indicates the correct function of the lateral flow test. The appearance of the Test band (T) indicates the presence of C. trachomatis in the sample. b: Principle of the detection of LAMP product on LFS. c: Detection on DjinniChips.
Figure 2

Concentration of C. trachomatis positive clinical samples by reference qPCR in target copies per microlitre of extracted DNA, showing those diagnosed as positive (blue circles) and negative (red circles) by the DjinniChip.
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