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1. Experimental section

**Catalyst synthesis.** 2.3 wt.% Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst was synthesized by an incipient wetness impregnation method, using commercial γ-Al₂O₃ from Johnson Matthey as support. This reproducibly resulted in highly dispersed and uniformly distributed Ru NPs. For impregnation with Ru, 189.9 mg of the Ru precursor (RuCl₃ hydrate, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 4.74 ml of Millipore water before adding 3 g of the support material (as received) under continuous stirring (250 rpm) for 1 h.

**Catalytic measurements.** The kinetic experiments were performed in a fixed-bed quartz tube micro-reactor (with 6 and 4 mm outer and inner diameter, respectively) at atmospheric pressure under a continuous flow of H₂-rich and CO₂-rich reformate gases either in the absence of CO (CO₂-ref: 15.5% CO₂, 80.9% H₂, N₂ balance) or in the presence of CO (SR-ref 6000: 0.6% CO, 15.5% CO₂, 80.9% H₂, N₂ balance), with a total gas flow of 41.6 Nml min⁻¹ in all measurements. For comparison, selected measurements were performed in CO₂-free reformate (ID-ref 6000: 0.6% CO, 80.9% H₂, N₂ balance). For the kinetic measurements at 190°C the catalysts were diluted with varying amounts of catalytically inactive and thermally stable α-Al₂O₃ powder (calcined at 900 °C for 24h) to ensure differential reaction conditions (conversion < 20%). In total, about 200 mg of the diluted catalyst was used, resulting in a catalyst bed length of ~1.2 cm. This ensures a fixed space velocity in all experiments (28000 h⁻¹). After calcination (in 10% O₂ / N₂ at 150°C for 30 min) and subsequent ramping up of the temperature to 190°C in the respective reaction gas, the activity of the catalyst was evaluated in three subsequent phase: 1) over 1000 min on stream at 190°C (190°C-1 phase), 2) during a temperature programmed reaction sequence (TPR sequence): including 6 temperature steps (210, 230, 250, 270, 300, and 350°C), where each temperature was kept for 3 hour, 3) cooling down to 190°C and keeping that temperature for 1000 min (190°C-2 phase). The influent and effluent gases were analyzed by online gas chromatography with a CO detection limit of ca. 5
ppm (DANI 86.10), using thermal conductivity detectors (H₂ used as carrier gas) and a standard test gas mixture for calibration.

**Reaction in CO₂-ref reformate gases.** The Ru-mass-normalized reaction rate for CO₂ methanation (CO₂ + 4H₂ → CH₄ + 2H₂O) was calculated from the CO₂ conversion (X_{CO₂}) under differential reaction conditions (X_{CO₂} < 20%), the molar flow rate of CO₂ into the reactor (n_{CO₂,in}), and the absolute mass of Ru metal (m_{Ru}) according to eq. 1. The Ru-mass-normalized CH₄ formation rate, in contrast, was calculated from the effluent molar flow rate of the CH₄ formed (n_{CH₄,out}), which was produced from CO₂ (eq. 2). From these Ru-mass-normalized reaction rates, the turnover frequencies (TOFs) were calculated using the molar mass of Ru (M_{Ru}) and the Ru dispersion (D_{Ru}) obtained from TEM imaging according to eq. 3.

The selectivity for CO₂ methanation (S_{CH₄(CO₂)}) with respect to reverse water-gas shift reaction (RWGS) is given by the ratio of the CO₂ methanation rate compared to that of the overall CO₂ conversion (methanation and RWGS, see eq. 4).

\[
R_{CO₂} = \frac{X_{CO₂} n_{CO₂,in}}{m_{Ru}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
R_{CH₄} = \frac{n_{CH₄,out}}{m_{Ru}} \quad (2)
\]

\[
TOF = \frac{R_{CH₄} M_{Ru}}{D_{Ru}} \quad (3)
\]

\[
S_{CH₄(CO₂)} = \frac{R_{CH₄}}{R_{CO₂}} = \frac{R_{CH₄}}{R_{CH₄} + R_{CO₂}} \quad (4)
\]

**Reaction in SR-ref 6000 or ID-ref 6000 reformate gases.** The Ru-mass-normalized reaction rate for CO methanation (CO + 3H₂ → CH₄ + H₂O) was calculated from the CO conversion (X_{CO}) under differential reaction conditions (X_{CO} < 20%), the molar flow rate of CO into the reactor (n_{CO,in}), and the absolute mass of Ru metal (m_{Ru}) according to eq. 5. The Ru-mass-normalized CH₄ formation rate, in contrast, was calculated from the effluent molar flow rate of the CH₄ formed (n_{CH₄,out}), which was produced from both CO and CO₂ (eq. 6). From these
Ru-mass-normalized reaction rates, the turnover frequencies (TOFs) were calculated using the molar mass of Ru (M_{Ru}) and the Ru dispersion (D_{Ru}) obtained from TEM imaging according to eq. 7. The selectivity for CO methanation (S_{CH4(CO)}) with respect to CO$_2$ methanation is given by the ratio of the CO methanation rate compared to that of the overall methane formation (from CO and CO$_2$, see eq. 8).

$$R_{CO} = \frac{x_{CO} \times n_{CO,in}}{m_{Ru}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)  

$$R_{CH_4} = \frac{n_{CH_4,out}}{m_{Ru}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)  

$$TOF = \frac{R_{CH_4} \times M_{Ru}}{D_{Ru}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)  

$$S_{CH4(CO)} = \frac{R_{CO}}{R_{CH_4}} = \frac{R_{CO}}{R_{CO} + R_{CO_2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)  

Catalyst characterization.

Ru loading and surface area of the catalysts: The Ru loadings of the Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), indicating similar Ru loadings of 2.3 wt.% for Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$. The specific surface area was measured by N$_2$ adsorption (BET), yielding rather similar values of ~130 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$.

Electron Microscopy (EM): The Ru particle shape and size of both Ru/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-ISO and Ru/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TPR catalysts were determined from bright field transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HADDF-STEM) images, which were performed on a Cs-corrected FEI Titan electron microscope operated at 300 keV. For detailed information on the Ru particle size (volume-area mean diameter and size distribution) and Ru particle shape (hemispherical and flat), at least 600 particles were evaluated for each sample. Assuming hemispherical Ru nanoparticles
and a surface density of $1.5 \times 10^{15}$ Ru atoms cm$^{-2}$, the Ru dispersion was calculated from the volume-area mean diameter.

**Dispersion of Ru nanoparticles: Calculation from TEM results:** With the known diameter ($d_i$) of the individual of Ru nanoparticles ($n_i$), as measured by TEM, the volume-area mean diameter ($d_{VA}$) was calculated according to equation (9). From this relation one can easily calculate the Ru metal dispersion ($D_{Ru}$), which is defined by the ratio of surface atoms to the total number of atoms in the hemispherical metal particle ($V_{Ru} = \text{volume Ru atom}$, $a_{Ru} = \text{surface area Ru atom}$) as shown in equation (10).

$$d_{VA} = \frac{\sum n_i d_i^3}{\sum n_i d_i^2} \tag{9}$$

$$D_{Ru} = 6 \frac{V_{Ru}/a_{Ru}}{d_{VA}} \tag{10}$$

**X-ray diffraction (XRD):** XRD measurements of the Ru catalysts after reaction at 190°C-1 and 190°C-2 in SR-ref 6000 reformate were performed on a Siemens D5000 diffractometer, using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm).

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS):** XP spectra were recorded on a PHI 5800 ESCA system (Physical Electronics), using monochromatized Al K$_\alpha$ radiation (1486 eV). The pass energy for survey spectra was 93.9 eV, for detail spectra we used 29.35 eV. Spectra of the Ru catalysts were recorded after reaction at 190°C-1 and 190°C-2. The binding energies (BEs) of all spectra were calibrated with respect to the C (1s) peak of ubiquitous carbon, which was fixed at a binding energy of 284.8 eV. The deconvolution of XP spectra was performed using a public XPS peak fitting program (XPSPEAK4.1).

**Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR):** Solid state NMR spectroscopy on aluminum was performed on a Bruker Avance III 400WB spectrometer at a resonance frequency of 104.3 MHz applying spinning around the magic angle (MAS) at 8 kHz. The $^{27}$Al MAS NMR
spectra were recorded by summarizing 3200 scans, performed with repetition time of 0.5 s, and each one recorded after $\pi/12$ pulse direct excitation. Prior to measurements, the samples were fully hydrated for 12 h in a desiccator over a saturated aqueous solution of Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$.

**In situ diffuse reflectance FTIR spectroscopy (DRIFTS).** *In situ* DRIFTS measurements were performed on a Nicolet iS-50 FTIR spectrometer, equipped with an *in-situ* DRIFTS reaction cell (HV-DR2, Harrick Scientific). The DRIFTS spectra were measured with a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$, using a MCT narrow band detector. The catalyst was calcined and activated in the same way as in the kinetic measurements. The reaction of the Ru catalysts was followed in both SR-ref 6000 and ID-ref 6000 gas reformate during reaction at 190°C (190°C-1 phase) for 1000 min, during the subsequent TPR sequence, and finally during the 190°C-2 phase for 600 min, while continuously recording DRIFT spectra (400 scans recorded per spectrum for each 15 min). The intensities of adsorbed species were evaluated in Kubelka–Munk units (KMU), derived from the reflectance, $R_{v}$, via the equation $\text{KMU}_v = (1 - R_v)^2 / 2R_v$, which are generally proportional to the adsorbate concentration.$^{[1]}$ The peak-fitting of DRIFT spectra were processed with the peak deconvolution function of Nicolet’s OMNIC Spectra Software.$^{[2]}$

After reaction, the spent catalysts were purged in N$_2$ for 1000 min at 190°C to remove the adsorbed CO, weakly bound hydrocarbons and H$_2$O / OH species on the catalysts. Low-temperature CO adsorption experiments were subsequently performed on these catalysts in a CO flow (1% CO / N$_2$) at 30°C for 1h. Subsequently catalyst and reaction cell were purged with N$_2$ to remove the gas-phase CO signal.

**Pyrrole Titration Measurement.** First, the spent catalysts were purged with N$_2$ at 190 °C for 1000 min to desorb stable adsorbates. Subsequently they were cooled down in N$_2$ to 30°C. Then a pyrrole / N$_2$ mixture, which was prepared by bubbling pure N$_2$ through a bath
containing pure pyrrole at 6°C (0.3 vol.% gas phase concentration), was passed over the catalyst bed at 30 °C for 60 min, while recording in situ DRIFT spectra. Afterwards, the catalysts were purged in N₂ for 10 min to remove the gas phase pyrrole and weakly adsorbed pyrrole species, followed by a temperature programmed desorption (TPD) scan (in N₂, from 30 to 450°C, heating rate 10°C min⁻¹). Finally, the temperature was held for 15 min at 450°C to complete desorption. The concentration of pyrrole in the effluent gases during the pyrrole desorption measurements was analyzed by IR transmission measurements in a Bruker Alpha FTIR spectrometer (Bruker Optics Inc., Ettlingen, Germany), using a substrate-integrated hollow waveguide (iHWG) for enhanced sensitivity. All IR spectra were recorded in the spectral range of 700 – 4000 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. To remove contributions from pyrrole adsorption on the reactor walls etc., we determined desorption from an empty reactor after a similar adsorption procedure and subtracted this from the desorption rates measured for the different catalysts. In a similar way we also verified that the α-Al₂O₃ used for catalyst dilution is not active for pyrrole adsorption under these conditions.

Operando X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) Measurements. Time-resolved operando XANES and EXAFS measurements were performed in transmission in a continuous mode at the Ru K-edge (22117 eV) at the P65 beamline of the PETRA-III extension (DESY) and at the XAFS beamline of the Elettra Synchrotron, using a Si(311) double crystal monochromator. The data acquisition took 3-5 min per spectrum. For the measurements we employed a specially designed reaction cell made of brass, which was previously used in similar XAS measurements. The reaction kinetics during XAS measurement were monitored by transmission infrared spectrometry, using an FTIR spectrometer (Alpha Bruker Optics Inc.) coupled with a substrate-integrated hollow waveguide (iHWG) for higher sensitivity. For the 190°C-I phase, the shorter reaction time in the operando XAS measurements (140 min) was still by far enough to reach steady-state conditions. During the
TPR sequence, the measurements were performed over 60 min at each temperature, as compared to 3 h in the kinetic measurements, which may not fully suffice for reaching steady state at the high temperatures. During the 190°C-2 phase, the XAS measurements were performed over 250 min on stream, where according to the kinetic measurements the catalyst is already very close to steady-state conditions. Despite the higher space velocity in the XAS measurements, which resulted in different reaction rates compared to the kinetic measurements in the fixed-bed micro-reactor (at 190°C), the trend of an enhanced activity after the TPR sequence agrees well with the corresponding micro-reactor results (for kinetic data during operando XAS measurements, see Figure S17). A Ru foil, a pellet of Ru(IV) oxide and RuCl₃, which were measured in transmission mode, were used as reference materials for the data evaluation. Background removal and spectra normalization as well as the linear combination analysis (LCA) of the XANES spectra were performed using the Athena software from the IFEFFIT program package. The data reduction and subsequent fits of EXAFS spectra were carried out using the XDAP software package with standard procedures described elsewhere. Theoretical references were calculated by FEFF 8.0 and calibrated with experimental references of Ru foil and RuO₂ powder. The EXAFS data were evaluated in the R-space (R: 0.0 – 4.3 Å), using the k-range from 3.2 to 11.8 Å⁻¹. In the EXAFS data fit, we allowed the coordination number (CN), the parameter σ in the Debye-Waller factor (DWF), the Ru-Ru bond length (R), and the energy shift (E₀) to change freely (see Table S3). Note that the Ru-O-Ru scattering contribution was neglected in the EXAFS analysis due to negligible contribution from oxidic Ru species during reaction.

**H-D exchange reaction measurement**

H-D exchange measurements were performed in a fixed-bed micro-reactor using online mass spectrometry (MS, OMG700, Pfeiffer) About 200 mg of the diluted catalyst (1:19 with α-
Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)) were used. The spent catalysts include Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-ISO (after the first isothermal reaction at 190°C for 1000 min (190°C-1 phase)) and Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TPR (after TPR sequence and second isothermal reaction at 190°C (190°C-2 phase)). Afterwards, the reacted catalyst was purged with N\(_2\) at 190 °C for 1000 min, to desorb stable adsorbates. During H-D exchange reaction, the feed gas consists of 10% deuterium (D\(_2\)), 10% H\(_2\) with N\(_2\) as balance gas. The total flow rate was kept at 30 ml min\(^{-1}\).
2. Catalytic performance of the Ru-based catalysts

Table S1  Comparison of catalytic performances of Ru-based catalysts in the selective CO methanation.

| Catalyst          | Ru loading (wt.%) | Ru NP size | Reformate gases (%) | Space velocity (ml h⁻¹ g⁻¹) | Temperature (°C) | CO methanation rate (μmolCH₄ g⁻¹ Ru⁻¹ s⁻¹) | S_CO (%) | Notes   |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO    | 2.3              | 1.5        | 0.6 15.5 80.9 3     | 12480                      | 190              | 5                                         | 100      | This work |
| Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR    | 2.3              | 1.8        | 0.6 15.5 80.9 3     | 12480                      | 190              | 25                                        | 100      | This work |
| Ru/TiO₂           | 2.2              | 1.5        | 0.6 15.5 80.9 3     | 12480                      | 190              | 24.6                                      | 100      | Ref. [12] |
| Ru/zeolite        | 2.2              | 1.1        | 0.6 15.5 80.9 3     | 12480                      | 190              | 39                                        | 100      | Ref. [13] |
| Ru/γ-Al₂O₃       | 2.0              | 1.5        | 1 15 50 34         | 80000                      | 215              | 0.56                                      | ~100     | Ref. [14] |
| Ru/TiO₂           | 2.0              | 3.2        | 1 15 50 34         | 80000                      | 215              | 1.89                                      | ~100     |         |
3. Surface area, pore volume and pore size of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts

**Table S2**  BET specific surface area, pore volume and pore size of Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO (after reaction in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas at the first 190°C for 1000 min) and Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR (after subsequent TPR sequence and second 190°C phase for 1000 min).

| Catalyst       | Surface area (m² g⁻¹) | Pore volume (cm³ g⁻¹) | Average pore size (nm) |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO | 123                    | 0.91                  | 21.70                  |
| Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR | 131                    | 0.92                  | 22.13                  |
4. EXAFS structural parameters

Table S3 Structural parameters extracted from the evaluation of EXAFS spectra collected on the Ru/Al₂O₃ catalyst during reaction in SR-ref 6000 reformate.

| Temperature and Time | Scatterer | CN ± 0.5 | σ² (Å²) ± 0.0004 | R (Å) ± 0.02 | E₀ (eV) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------|
| 150°C after calcination | Ru-O, Ru-Ru | 5.0, 1.9 | 0.018 ± 0.01, 0.011 ± 0.005 | 2.2 ± 0.1, 2.7 ± 0.1 | -19 ± 6, 17 ± 6 |
| 190°C-1 /5 min | Ru-Ru | 3.2 | 0.0028 ± 0.001 | 2.67 | 17 ± 6 |
| 190°C-1 /8 min | Ru-Ru | 4.5 | 0.0042 | 2.67 | 8 ± 3 |
| 190°C-1 /30 min | Ru-Ru | 5.7 | 0.0043 | 2.67 | 7 ± 2 |
| 190°C-1 /55 min | Ru-Ru | 5.7 | 0.0041 | 2.67 | 9 ± 3 |
| 190°C-1 /79 min | Ru-Ru | 5.3 | 0.0043 | 2.67 | 4 ± 2 |
| 190°C-1 /115 min | Ru-Ru | 6.0 | 0.0045 | 2.67 | 8 ± 3 |
| 210°C /60 min | Ru-Ru | 5.0 | 0.0043 | 2.67 | 6 ± 2 |
| 230°C /60 min | Ru-Ru | 5.0 | 0.0043 | 2.68 | 10 ± 3 |
| 250°C /60 min | Ru-Ru | 5.5 | 0.0043 | 2.66 | 10 ± 3 |
| 270°C /60 min | Ru-Ru | 5.3 | 0.0043 | 2.65 | 9 ± 3 |
| 300°C /60 min | Ru-Ru | 5.4 | 0.0043 | 2.64 | 7 ± 2 |
| 350°C /60 min | Ru-Ru | 4.8 | 0.0043 | 2.64 | 9 ± 3 |
| 190°C-2 /5 min | Ru-Ru | 5.6 | 0.0043 | 2.67 | 7 ± 3 |
| 190°C-2 /18 min | Ru-Ru | 5.4 | 0.0042 | 2.67 | 9 ± 3 |
| 190°C-2 /51 min | Ru-Ru | 5.8 | 0.0039 | 2.67 | 9 ± 3 |
| 190°C-2 /120 min | Ru-Ru | 5.8 | 0.0040 | 2.67 | 7 ± 2 |
| 190°C-2 /185 min | Ru-Ru | 5.6 | 0.0043 | 2.67 | 6 ± 2 |
| 190°C-2 /225 min | Ru-Ru | 5.6 | 0.0043 | 2.67 | 6 ± 2 |
| 190°C-2 /265 min | Ru-Ru | 5.8 | 0.0042 | 2.67 | 5 ± 2 |

CN: Ru-Ru and Ru-O first shell coordination number. σ²: mean squared displacement, part of the Debye-Waller-Factor (DWF): \exp(-2\sigma^2k^2) with k being the wave vector. R: Ru-Ru or Ru-O bond distance. E₀: energy reference parameter. In these fits, the amplitude correction factor (S₀²) was kept at a value between 0.85 and 1.0. Error bars given top row apply to values where no separate error bars are given.
5. CO methanation in ID-ref 6000

**Figure S1** Temporal evolution of Ru-mass-normalized reaction rate (a) for CO methanation at 190°C and CO conversion during TPR treatment (b) in ID-ref 6000 reformate gas.

The Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst showed a stable reaction rate \(\sim 5.5 \text{ µmol}_{\text{CH}_4} \text{ g}_{\text{Ru}}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) in the 190°C-1 reaction phase and reached a higher rate of \(\sim 42.9 \text{ µmol}_{\text{CH}_4} \text{ g}_{\text{Ru}}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) after the TPR sequence, during the 190°C-2 reaction phase in the initial reaction time with a continuous deactivation after TPR treatment. This results in a steady-state rate of \(\sim 31.2 \text{ µmol}_{\text{CH}_4} \text{ g}_{\text{Ru}}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) after reaction for 600 min, reflecting a \(\sim 5\)-fold higher rate than at 190°C-1.
6. Diffractograms of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts

![Diffractogram](image)

**Figure S2** XRD diffractograms of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts.
7. $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of the Ru/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts

![Image of Al MAS NMR spectra]

**Figure S3** The $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra of Ru/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ after calcination, Ru/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TPR, and Ru/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-ISO catalysts (from bottom to top).

The two peaks at 7 and 63 ppm are assigned to Al$^{3+}$ ions in octahedral (A$_o$) and tetrahedral (A$_t$) coordination sites, respectively.
8. Additional HAADF-STEM and TEM images and Ru particle size distribution of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts

Figure S4 Additional HAADF-STEM and TEM images of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO catalyst, after the first isothermal reaction (190°C-1) (a1-4 and b1-4), and of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR catalyst, after the TPR sequence and the second isothermal reaction (190°C-2) (c1-4 and d1-4) in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas.
Figure S5 Ru particle size distributions of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO catalyst after the first isothermal reaction (190°C-1) (a) and of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR catalyst after the TPR sequence and the second isothermal reaction (190°C-2) (b) in CO₂-ref reformate gas.
9. Additional XA spectra of the Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts

![Graph showing EXAFS spectra in R-space recorded at the Ru K-edge at different reaction temperatures on the Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst in SR-ref 6000 at 190°C during the TPR sequence. (black lines: measured EXAFS data, red lines: fit data.]

**Figure S6** Fourier transformed EXAFS spectra in R-space recorded at the Ru K-edge at different reaction temperatures on the Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst in SR-ref 6000 at 190°C during the TPR sequence (black lines: measured EXAFS data, red lines: fit data.
Figure S7 XANES spectra of references: Ru foil, RuO₂, RuCl₃ powder (a) and of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst during reaction in SR-ref 6000 reformate at 190°C (190°C-1) (b), during the TPR sequence (c), and during 190°C-2 (d) at different reaction times.
**Figure S8** Linear combination analysis of selected Ru K-edge XANES spectra at different reaction times of Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ during reaction in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas. Black lines: original spectra, red lines: fit curves based on a linear combination of Ru references (Ru foil, RuO₂ and RuCl₃ powder), blue lines: metallic Ru species contribution.
10. Additional XP spectra of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts

**Figure S9** XP spectra of the Ru 3d / C 1s region of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst after 10 min reaction in the 190°C-1 phase (a), of the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO catalyst after reaction in the first isothermal reaction phase (190°C-1) (b), and the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR catalyst after the TPR sequence and subsequent isothermal reaction (190°C-2) (c) in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas. The different fit peaks refer to metallic Ru species: Ru 3d₅/₂: 280.6 eV and Ru 3d₃/₂: 284.7 eV (blue), oxidic Ru species: Ru 3d₅/₂: 281.5 eV and Ru 3d₃/₂: 285.6 eV (green), C 1s (ubiquitous carbon, red); 284.8 eV, C 1s (formates, carboxylates, yellow): 288.5 eV.

The Ru 3d binding energies showed no shift / change after longer reaction (b) and after the temperature programmed reaction sequence (c). It should be noted that the BEs of oxidic Ru are characteristic for Ru³⁺/Ru⁴⁺ species in RuCl₃ and RuO₂ [¹⁵] and that the contributions from these species have a considerable error range. For the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR, the contribution from this species is at or below the level of significance.
Figure S10 Contributions of metallic and oxidic Ru surface species of Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ after 10 min reaction in 190°C-1 phase, for the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO, and the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR catalyst after reaction in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas as derived from the XPS results in Figure S9.
11. Time-resolved *in situ* DRIFT spectra recorded during the TPR sequence

![Time-resolved in situ DRIFT spectra](image)

**Figure S11** Time-resolved *in situ* DRIFT spectra of OH (in ID-ref 6000 reformate gas) and CO$_{ad}$ regions (in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas) recorded during reaction at different temperatures as indicated in the figure. For comparison of CO$_{ad}$ regions in SR-ref 6000 and ID-ref 6000 reformate gases see also Figure S12 below.
Figure S12 Time-resolved *in situ* DRIFT spectra of the CO region recorded in ID-ref 6000 reformate gas (a) at different times during reaction at 190°C-1 phase (after 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 20, 60, 120, 360, 660, 1000 min), (b) at different temperatures during the TPR sequence, and c) at different times during reaction in the 190°C-2 phase (after 1, 60, 120, 240, 360, 480, 600 min).
12. Pyrrole titration of basic surface sites

The DRIFTS measurements in Figure 6c in the main text manuscript show a broad absorption band around ~3480 - 3200 cm\(^{-1}\) for both Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts (Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-ISO and Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TPR catalysts), which was assigned to the N-H stretch vibration of adsorbed pyrrole interacting with basic O\(^2-\) species on the support surface.\(^{[16]}\) Bands at 3517, 3530 and 3542 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned to the N-H stretch vibrations of gas phase pyrrole, while that at 3621 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic for surface hydroxyl groups interacting with pyrrole.\(^{[16]}\) C-H stretch vibrations of gas phase pyrrole appear at 3063-3138 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Figure S13** FTIR transmission spectra of the pyrrole gas phase (N-H stretching region) from the effluent gases during the TPD process for (a) Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-ISO and (b) Ru/\(\gamma\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TPR catalysts, from bottom to top: 30, 67, 113, 158, 204, 250, 296, 345, 388, 433, 450, 450, 450, 450 °C.
Figure S14 Pyrrole desorption rates from the three catalysts and from a background measurement (empty reactor) during temperature programmed desorption between 30°C and 450°C (10°C min⁻¹) (a), accumulated amount of desorbed pyrrole against time (b), and total amount of desorbed pyrrole after correction for background contributions (c). (The used catalyst mass was 7.27 mg for both Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-ISO and Ru/γ-Al₂O₃-TPR catalysts).
13. *In situ* DRIFT spectra of pure alumina support

![In situ DRIFT spectra](image)

**Figure S15** Different spectral regions of *in situ* DRIFT spectra of pure alumina support recorded in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas. (a) 3530-3800 cm\(^{-1}\) (CO\(_2\) gas phase), (b) 2150-2550 cm\(^{-1}\) (CO\(_2\) gas phase), and (c) 2220-1850 cm\(^{-1}\) (CO gas phase) (from bottom to top: 190°C-1, 210°C, 230°C, 250°C, 270°C, 300°C, 350°C, 190°C-2).
14. H/D exchange

![Graph showing H/D exchange comparison]

**Figure S16** H/D exchange (m/z = 3, HD) in the reaction of H\(_2\) with D\(_2\) over Ru/γ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-ISO and Ru/γ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-ISO catalysts at 190°C.

The normalized ion current of m/z = 3 (HD) was calculated by the ion current of HD during H/D exchange measurement normalized by the ion current of HD during a bypass measurement (without passing through the catalyst bed).
15. Catalytic performance in the XAS reactor

![Graphs showing catalytic performance](image)

**Figure S17 a)** Temporal evolution of the Ru-mass-normalized reaction rate during CO methanation in SR-ref 6000 reformate gas during the first isothermal reaction (190°C-1) and second isothermal reaction after the TPR sequence (190°C-2). **b)** CO & CO₂ conversion and selectivity for CO₂ methanation (S_{\text{CH}_4(\text{CO}_2)}) with respect to the CO₂ consumption during reaction in SR-ref 6000 reformate in the temperature range of 210 to 350°C. The data were collected from the XAFS cell during the XAS measurements (catalyst mass: 25.6 mg Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst).
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