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Abstract

For the first time, a new circuit to extend the linear operation bandwidth of a LTE (Long Term Evolution) power amplifier, while delivering a high efficiency is implemented in less than 1 mm² chip area. The 950 μm × 900 μm monolithic microwave integrated circuit (MMIC) power amplifier (PA) is fabricated in a 2 μm InGaP/GaAs process. An on-chip analog pre-distorter (APD) is designed to improve the linearity of the PA, up to 20 MHz channel bandwidth. Intended for 1.95 GHz Band 1 LTE application, the PA satisfies adjacent channel leakage ratio (ACLR) and error vector magnitude (EVM) specifications for a wide LTE channel bandwidth of 20 MHz at a linear output power of 28 dBm with corresponding power added efficiency (PAE) of 52.3%. With a respective input and output return loss of 30 dB and 14 dB, the PA’s power gain is measured to be 32.5 dB while exhibiting an unconditional stability characteristic from DC up to 5 GHz. The proposed APD technique serves to be a good solution to improve linearity of a PA without sacrificing other critical performance metrics.
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Introduction

Information and communication technology (ICT) plays a significant role in globalization process which has reorganized the world economy [1]. Therefore, communication standards need to be continuously upgraded to the next level. This has led into the birth of Long Term Evolution (LTE) protocol. LTE is a prominent solution to fulfill the continuous demand for high data rate transmission. LTE is capable in establishing downlink peak data rates up to 326.4 Mbps and 86.4 Mbps for the uplink [2]. It also has an advantage of variable channel bandwidth, which ranges from 1.4 MHz to 20 MHz. Service providers are expected to benefit from this luxury, in the context of cost and channel allocations. LTE utilizes single carrier frequency division multiple access (SC-FDMA) for uplink and orthogonal frequency division multiple access (OFDMA) for downlink as its multicarrier modulation standard catering towards high data rates transmission [3]. SC-FDMA has a comparable performance and complexity to OFDMA, with an advantage of lower peak-to-average-power ratio (PAPR) [4]. Typically, the PAPR of OFDMA signal is 10 dB whereas for SC-FDMA is 7 dB [2].

In order to transmit signals with high PAPR linearly (without any clipping), power amplifiers are usually operated at backed off output power. For example, if the signal’s PAPR is 7 dB, the PA’s maximum output power has to be 35 dBm in order to meet LTE linearity specifications (ACLR and EVM) at an output power of 28 dBm. This degrades its efficiency, η. η is defined as:

\[ \eta = \frac{P_{out}}{P_{DC}} \times 100\% \quad (1) \]

where \( P_{out} \) represents the output power delivered by the PA whereas \( P_{DC} \) denotes the DC power supplied to the PA. The relationship between backed off output power and efficiency is expressed as [5]:

\[ \eta_{pbo-class A} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{P_{bo}}{P_{max}} \quad \text{for class A PA} \quad (2) \]

\[ \eta_{pbo-class B} = \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{\frac{P_{bo}}{P_{max}}} \quad \text{for class B PA} \quad (3) \]

where \( P_{bo} \) and \( P_{max} \) represent backed off output power and maximum output power respectively. With reference to (2) and (3), PA which transmits LTE signal with PAPR of 7 dB, delivers an efficiency of 9.9% and 35% at backed off output power, \( P_{bo} \), of 28 dBm (\( P_{max} \) is 35 dBm) if it’s operating in class-A or class-B mode. As a consequence, the battery energy is drained out rapidly.

The solution to improve η at backed off output power is to either reduce the back-off level by reducing the \( P_{max} \) (smaller device size) or through the introduction of efficiency enhancement techniques. However, reduction of backed off output power level drives the PA to fail linearity specifications, thus mandating
linearization techniques to curb this issue [5]. In a nutshell, efficiency enhancement techniques focus in improving the efficiency of a linear PA, whereas linearization techniques improve the linearity of a highly efficient but non-linear PA.

In recent published works, envelope tracking power amplifier (ETPA) gained a popular platform in efficiency enhancement methodology. In this technique, the supply voltage of the PA is modulated respective to the RF input drive voltage while sustaining a constant load resistance, thus improving the efficiency at low output power. An envelope detector is responsible to track the input drive voltage. Due to the stringent requirement in the linear output power which is specified by the adjacent channel

Figure 1. The collector current waveform plot. $I_{\text{cq}}$ is the quiescent biasing point whereas $\alpha/2$ is the corresponding conduction angle. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g001

Figure 2. Fundamental and third order current components across conduction angle. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g002
leakage ratio (ACLR) and error vector magnitude (EVM), GaAs HBT is favored in realizing the amplifying core of LTE PA [6–7]. Therefore, dual chip solution is often required, which serves to be a burden in context of cost, complexity and size. In order to curb the aforementioned disadvantages, single chip implementation using SiGe BiCMOS process [8] and fully CMOS process [9–10] are explored. However, the resulting linear output power is low.

On the other hand the linearization techniques offer analog pre-distortion (APD) and digital pre-distortion (DPD) method which are gaining intense attention in recent reported works. In these methods, the input RF signal to the PA is distorted prior to amplification by changing its non-linear transfer function’s magnitude and phase to inherit an opposite response to the phase and magnitude of the non-linear PA output. The utmost priority is regularly given in cancelling out the third order intermodulation product (IMD3) components which is generated due to amplification of more than single tone input signal. IMD3 tend to generate spectrum re-growth at the adjacent channel located closer to the PA’s operational channel. In the DPD method, these non-linear responses are generated with the aid of a DSP processor [11–13]. The complexity in integration, resulting in larger chip area and dual fabrication process are among the visible disadvantages of DPD. On the other hand, APD offers a simple solution of integrating additional active devices, usually within the same process at the input of the power amplifier [14–19]. Passive elements are alternately utilized as a pre-distorter, to linearize a non-linear class-E PA [20]. In addition, recent reported works also prioritize in improving the efficiency of the PA by introducing mode locking method with cascode CMOS [21], multi-stage Doherty PA [22] and inductive degeneration technique [25]. Additionally, methods to improve the modeling technique for larger device size to precisely predict thermal performance which affects the efficiency of a PA is also proposed [24].

In this paper, a new technique is introduced in improving the linearity of a LTE PA at reduced back off output power. Mathematical analysis proves that by selecting an optimum conduction angle, the third order components effect which has primary influence on linear transmission can be reduced without degrading the efficiency severely. In order to meet the strict ACLR and EVM specifications for LTE, an analog pre-distorter block is integrated at the input of the main amplifier thus enabling single chip solution. The total size of the chip is 950 μm × 900 μm. This reduces the cost and space required on the phone board. The outline of this work is organized as follows. In Section 2, the

---

**Figure 3. IMD3 cancellation analysis.**
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g003

---

**Figure 4. Schematic diagram of the LTE PA with built in APD.** X denotes the output impedance of the APD, Y is the output impedance of the main amplifier.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g004

---
Figure 5. PAE simulation result for the LTE PA with and without the integration of Analog Pre-distorter (APD).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g005

Figure 6. Load pull simulation result illustrating the IMD3 and PAE contours of the main amplifier. The PAE contour is plotted in 1% step whereas the IMD3 contour is plotted in 2 dB step at output power of 28 dBm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g006
principle of operation is presented. The design methodology based on the proposed operation is given in Section 3. In Section 4, with the aid of 16QAM LTE signal of 20 MHz channel bandwidth, the characterized linearity and efficiency performance of the designed PA is provided. Finally, a conclusion is drawn in Section 5.

Mathematically, the illustrated waveform can be represented as:

\[ I_{cc} \sim I_{ccq} \left( \frac{I_{max}}{I_{ccq}} - 1 \right) \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right) \]

\[ \lambda = \frac{\pi}{I_{cc} \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right) \right)} \]

\[ I_{cc} = \frac{I_{max}}{1 - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right)} \left( \cos \theta - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right) \right) \]

\[ I_d = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-x/2}^{x/2} \left( \frac{I_{max}}{1 - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right)} \right) \cos \theta - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right) \cos n \theta d\theta \]

\[ I_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-x/2}^{x/2} \left( \frac{I_{max}}{1 - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right)} \right) \cos \theta - \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \right) \cos n \theta d\theta \]

Solving Equation (7) for DC term and Equation (8) for the fundamental component, \( I_1 \) \((n = 1)\) and third order component \( I_3 \),

Figure 7. Biasing profile of the integrated parallel base collector diodes. The supply voltage to the diodes has to be at least 2.2 V to turn ON the driver stage.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g007

Principle of Operation

Optimum Conduction Angle and Efficiency Analysis

The trade-off between linear operation and efficiency is fundamentally determined by the PA’s biasing point, alternately known as the conduction angle of the PA. Selecting an optimum conduction angle is essential in designing a linear and efficient PA. As the conduction angle reduces, the rise of odd and even orders components are more significant. Third order component adversely affects the linearity performance of the PA. In this work, a mathematical analysis is observed to determine the first and third order responses across the conduction angle for a HBT amplifier, in reference to the RF collector current waveform plot in Figure 1.

Mathematically, the illustrated waveform can be represented as:

\[ I_{cc} = I_{ccq} + (I_{max} - I_{ccq}) \cos \theta \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \]
\( n = 3 \) results in:

\[
I_{dc} = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} \frac{2 \sin (\pi/2) - \pi \cos (\pi/2)}{1 - \cos (\pi/2)} \quad (9)
\]

\[
I_1 = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} \frac{\pi - \sin \pi}{1 - \cos (\pi/2)} \quad (10)
\]

\[
I_3 = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} \frac{\pi \sin (\pi/2) - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\pi}{1 - \cos (\pi/2)} \quad (11)
\]

I_1 and I_3 are plotted across the conduction angle, as depicted in Figure 2.

It can be observed from Figure 2 that as the conduction angle increases, the fundamental current component increases too whereas the third order current component reduces. An interesting observation is at the conduction angle within the range of \( \pi < \alpha < 1.8\pi \). In this region, the fundamental component is the highest and the third order component is at the lowest. This shows that it is theoretically possible to obtain higher fundamental output power, although the PA is not biased at class-A (conduction angle \( 2\pi \)) mode. The lowest third order component on the other hand promises a linear operation to a certain extent without sacrificing the efficiency at much.

In order to determine the efficiency corresponding to \( \alpha = 2\pi \) which represents the conduction angle of a class-A amplifier, equation (9) and (10) is appreciated, resulting in \( I_{dc} = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} \) and \( I_1 = \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{2\pi} \) hence reflecting the efficiency of class A, which is \( I_1/I_{dc} \) to be 1. Using this result, the relationship between the conduction angle and efficiency in reference to class-A mode is given by:

\[
\eta = \frac{I_1}{I_{dc}} = 1 \quad (12)
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{I_1}{I_{dc}} \cdot \eta_{\text{class-A}} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{\pi - \sin \pi}{2\sin^2(\pi/2) - \pi \cos(\pi/2)} \cdot \eta_{\text{class-A}} \quad (14)
\]

**Analog pre-distorter (APD) Design**

The goal of the linearization technique in this work is to reduce the typical back-off output power level needed to meet the LTE linearity specifications. This is accomplished by integrating an APD block at the input of the low voltage main amplifier.

Operating the main amplifier at low supply voltage headroom results an early gain compression at low output power. This is due to the rise of the third order intermodulation distortion (IMD3) components as the output power increases. The rise of the third
Figure 9. Location of the impedance point of the driver (A), main amplifier (B) and APD(X).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g009

Figure 10. AM-AM profile for various intermediate matching network impedances mentioned in Figure S9.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g010
Figure 11. IMD3 and PAE contours of the PA after linearization.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g011

Figure 12. Die microphotograph of the fabricated PA with integrated APD. The size of the die is less than 1 mm x 1 mm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g012
Figure 13. Measured and simulated S-parameters of the PA with supply headroom of 3.3 V.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g013

Figure 14. PA has K-Factor >1 from DC up to 5 GHz.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g014
order nonlinear components significantly degrades the ACLR [25]. In order to mitigate this adverse effect, the APD architecture is integrated to produce IMD3 components, which are equal in amplitude but $180^\circ$ out-of-phase respective to the IMD3 spurs generated by the main amplifier. Thus, the IMD3 cancellation attained extends the overall linear output power span of the PA.

The operation in Figure 3 can be explained with the aid of the following analysis. From the expression of the power series, given by:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$v_{out} = a_0 + a_1 v_{APD} + a_2 v_{APD}^2 + a_3 v_{APD}^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$v_{APD} = b_0 + b_1 v_{in} + b_2 v_{in}^2 + b_3 v_{in}^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Taking into consideration of the fundamental and third order components only and incorporating (17) into (16):

$$v_{out} = a_1 b_1 v_{in} + [a_1 b_3 + a_3 b_1^3] v_{in}^3 + 3a_3 b_1^2 b_3 v_{in}^5$$

$$+ 3a_3 b_1 b_3^2 v_{in}^7 + a_3 b_3^3 v_{in}^9$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

$$a_1 b_3 + a_3 b_1^3 = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$b_3 = -a_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

It can be concluded from (22) that in order to achieve IMD3 cancellation, the third order non-linear components generated at the output of the APD need to have an opposite response respective to the third order non-linear components generated by the main amplifier. In practice, this can be achieved if an opposite AM-AM and AM-PM responses are generated between the APD and main amplifier [26].
Figure 16. CCDF curve of the 20 MHz 16QAM LTE signal, which uses SC-FDMA multicarrier modulation scheme. At 0.001% the PAPR is 7.88 dB.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g016

Figure 17. ACLR and PAE performances of the linearized PA at 1.95 GHz.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g017
Design Methodology

Figure 4 illustrates the schematic of the proposed LTE PA. Parallel base ballast resistor and capacitor, RC is used to mitigate thermal runaway phenomenon. It also helps to stabilize both amplifying stages, ruling out the need for a feedback circuitry. A dual stage output matching network consisting of $L_3$, $C_8$, $T_{L3}$ and $C_9$ is proposed to transform the 50 ohm load to the desired output impedance of the main amplifier. $L_3$ is implemented as bondwires, whereas $T_{L3}$ denotes a transmission line.

The main amplifier is biased at a conduction angle of $1.1\pi$. The selected conduction angle has low IMD3 component as described in Figure 2. The optimal trade-off between IMD3 and efficiency results in a PAE of 74.8% based on the theoretical equation governed in (14).

Utilizing the Agilent Advanced Design System (ADS) verification tool, a harmonic balance simulation has been performed to determine the PAE of the proposed circuit in Figure 4, in which the PAE is defined as:

![Figure 18. ACLR plot before and after linearization. (A) ACLR at output power of 28 dBm. (B) Measured spectrum, which is within the spectral mask at 28 dBm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g018](image)

![Figure 19. ACLR and spectral emission spectrum. (A) ACLR at output power of 28 dBm. (B) Measured spectrum, which is within the spectral mask at 28 dBm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g019](image)
Figure 20. EVM plot of the linearized PA. The input signal is LTE 20 MHz 16QAM.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g020

Figure 21. Constellation diagram at 28 dBm $P_{out}$.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.g021
PAE = \eta_m - \eta_m \quad (23)

where \( \eta_m = \frac{P_0}{P_{DC}} \). Figure 5 describes the resulting plot obtained, the PAE of the main amplifier degrades 0.8% as compared to the theoretical value, an acceptable penalty paid by integrating the ballast resistors on each unit cell of the main amplifier to mitigate thermal runaway phenomenon. The PAE drops reasonably further to 73.2% at maximum output power with the integration of the APD.

Figure 6 illustrates the IMD3 and PAE load pull contours prior linearization. Y is the output impedance of the main amplifier. These contours are plotted at an output power of 28 dBm. Despite having an optimum conduction angle of 1.1\( \pi \), the optimum IMD3 point is still located almost 8 dB away from Y, as described in Figure 6.

In HBT, spectral re-growth is mainly contributed by its base-collector parasitic capacitance \( C_{bc} \) \[27\]. To mitigate this effect, we propose a novel phase cancellation method by integrating a base collector diode at the input of the driver amplifier. The reverse bias capacitance \( C_{bc}\text{-reversebias} \) and forward bias capacitance \( C_{bc}\text{-forwardbias} \) are expressed as follows \[28\]:

\[
C_{bc}\text{-reversebias} = \frac{C_{bc0}}{1 + \frac{V_{CB}}{\phi_0}} \quad (24)
\]

where \( C_{bc0} \) is the collector-base capacitance when \( V_{CB} = 0 \), \( \phi_0 \) is the collector base junction built in voltage and \( n_c \) is the grading coefficient of the collector base junction. In order to generate an opposite output phase response, the collector-base junction is forward biased. The aforementioned collector base capacitance is expressed by:

\[
C_{bc}\text{-forwardbias} = \frac{C_{bc0}}{1 - \frac{V_{CB}}{\phi_0}} \quad (25)
\]

Based on (24) and (25) the positive and negative phase insight in effect to \( V_{CB} \) cancels off the \( C_{bc}\text{-reversebias} \) with single \( C_{bc}\text{-forwardbias} \)-However, with the aid of two base collector diodes \( C_{bc}\text{-forwardbias} \), an opposite phase response (AM-PM) is observed at the output of the APD. The biasing profile of the diode to turn ON the driver amplifier in the APD is shown in Figure 7.

The simulated AM-PM responses at the output of the APD and main amplifier are illustrated in Figure 8. The driver’s phase expansion and main amplifier’s phase compression cancels out each other contributing to the improvement of the IMD3 performance. \( R_1 \) and \( C_3 \) in Figure 4 is a bias isolation circuit in which it ensures the bias input does not interfere with the effort on the AM-PM cancellation.

Meanwhile generation of an opposite AM-AM response is accomplished through the T section intermediate matching network consisting of \( C_4 \), \( L_2 \) and \( C_5 \). The Smith plot in Figure 9 illustrates the location of the output impedance of the driver denoted at point A. This location is also shown in the schematic in Figure 4. Impedance A is potentially matched to X, B or Bcon. Point X describes the input impedance of the main amplifier integrated with an input ballasting parallel RC network. Point X is the output impedance of the APD where else Bcon is the conjugate of B. Based on the profile in Figure 10, matching towards point X observes a favorable gain expansion which compensates the gain compression of the main amplifier. Matching towards point B observes a gain compression at a lower output power which would not result into a desirable IMD3 cancellation. Finally, point Bcon observes a flat profile till the 1 dB compression point in which results into a similar effect as with point B matching. The effect of AM-AM and AM-PM cancellation between the APD and main amplifier in the PA is illustrated in Figure 11. The IMD3 optimum impedance moves to location Y while the PAE degrades slightly due to the current consumption of the APD.

Measurement Results

Figure 12 illustrates the microphotograph of the die, fabricated in 2 \( \mu \)m InGaP/GaAs HBT process. The size of the die is 950 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 900 \( \mu \)m. The main amplifier and APD are integrated in a single chip, along with the input matching network. The output matching network is placed externally, on a printed circuit board. The supply voltage headroom of the LTE PA is 3.3 V. The simulated and measured S-parameter of the proposed PA is shown in Table 1.

| Results                                      |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Technology                                   |
| 2 \( \mu \)m InGaP/GaAs HBT                 |
| Supply voltage                              |
| 3.3 V                                       |
| Frequency                                   |
| 1.95 GHz                                    |
| Mode                                        |
| LTE                                         |
| Channel BW                                  |
| 20 MHz                                      |
| Max Linear output Power                     |
| 28 dBm                                      |
| EVM (16-QAM)                                |
| 2.36% at 28 dBm                             |
| PAE                                         |
| 52.3%                                       |
| Gain                                        |
| 32.5 dB                                     |
| S11                                         |
| -30 dB                                      |
| S22                                         |
| -14 dB                                      |
| Stability                                   |
| Unconditionally Stable                      |

![Table 1. Performance summary of the PA.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101862.t001)
in Figure 13. The $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ are well matched at 1.95 GHz, with a corresponding gain $S_{21}$ of 32.5 dB. A low $S_{11}$ indicates that the APD does not generate a severe input mismatch loss at the fundamental frequency. With more than 30 dB of power gain, the PA maintains to be unconditionally stable. The $K$-factor plot is illustrated in Figure 14. From DC to 5 GHz, $K$-Factor is more than 1. The power gain profile across output power is shown in Figure 15, which measures up to a maximum output power of 30.5 dBm, or 1.1 W. The 1 dB compression point of the PA is observed to be 29.5 dBm.

For LTE operation, the designed PA is tested with a 16-QAM modulation signal which has 20 MHz channel bandwidth. The PAPR of the signal is 7.88 dB (at 0.001%), as illustrated in Figure 16. The resulting ACLR and PAE plot is shown in Figure 17. With a supply voltage of 3.3 V, the PA is capable to deliver PAE of 52.7% at output power of 28 dBm, with a corresponding ACLR reading out to $\sim 33.3$ dBc. The specification for ACLR is $\sim 30$ dBc, as per stated in the 3GPP specifications (3GPP TS 36.101), release 10.5 (2012). An extra margin of 3.3 dB in ACLR ensures PA works with comfortable headroom once integrated in the LTE transmitter system. Figure 18 illustrates the ACLR of the PA before and after linearization. The IMD3 cancellation through the proposed predistorter circuit contributes to 11.3 dB improvement in ACLR at output power of 28 dBm. The higher ACLR at lower output power can be treated as a mild side effect due to injection of a distorted RF input signal to the input of the PA. Nevertheless, the ACLR at this power level is still below the specification. In Figure 19, the ACLR spectrum at the maximum linear output power of 28 dBm is illustrated. The measurement result shows that the linearized PA meets the ACLR specifications of $\sim 30$ dBc and within the regulated spectral mask. Figure 20 depicts the EVM plot. At the maximum linear output power of 28 dBm, the EVM achieved to be 2.36%, which is lower than the regulated 4% in specification. In relation to Figure 20, the plot of Figure 21 gives the constellation point at 28 dBm of output power confirming the EVM performance of 2.36%.

Table 1 tabulates the proposed PA’s measured performance summary. Table 2 tabulates the performance comparison of the proposed PA with other reported works in this exact niche of application.

**Conclusion**

A novel topology of wideband, high efficiency LTE power amplifier is presented in this work. The integrated analog predistorter (APD) provides a significant improvement in the ACLR and EVM performance at low backed-off output power. The integration has improved the efficiency of the PA at linear operating region as well. The proposed APD circuit does not jeopardize the input return loss, gain and stability of the PA, which are critical in constructing a reliable transmitter. At output power of 28 dBm, the PA delivers 52.3% PAE, confirming the ACLR and EVM specifications for wideband channel bandwidth of 20 MHz. Favorably, the size of the chip scales down to be less than $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$, which reduces the design cost. The results highlight the potential application of the proposed PA in a handset transmitter system, where it is capable to deliver higher linear output power at lower supply voltage as compared to the published works in table 2, thus prolonging the battery life.
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