How Old Are Marshes on the East Coast, USA? Complex Patterns in Wetland Age Within and Among Regions
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Abstract

Sea-level dynamics, sediment availability, and marine energy are critical drivers of coastal wetland formation and persistence, but their roles as continental-scale drivers remain unknown. We evaluated the timing and spatial variability of wetland formation from new and existing cores collected along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts of the United States. Most basal peat ages occurred after sea-level rise slowed (after ~4,000 years before present), but predominance of sea-level rise studies may skew age estimates toward older sites. Near-coastal sites tended to be younger, indicating creation of wetlands through basin infilling and overwash events. Age distributions differed among regions, with younger wetlands in the northeast and southeast corresponding to European colonization and deforestation. Across all cores, wetland age correlated strongly with basal peat depth. Marsh age elucidates the complex interactions between sea-level rise, sediment supply, and geomorphic setting in determining timing and location of marsh formation and future wetland persistence.

Plain Language Summary

Marshes, a type of coastal wetland, form under stable, slow rates of sea-level rise (SLR). Although many marshes along the East Coast of the United States formed after the most recent slowing of SLR (approximately 4,000–6,000 years ago), there is evidence that sediment erosion from colonial deforestation expanded marshes. This study uses sediment cores to determine when and where marshes formed to understand what drives modern marsh formation. We found that most marshes did form following SLR slowing after around 4,000 years ago. We also found evidence of marshes forming during European colonization in the northeastern and southeastern United States. Finally, we found recent formation of marshes closest to the coast, possibly driven by storm events and associated overwash. Through this study, we outline a novel way to easily determine marsh age and to better understand why marshes form through future research.

1. Introduction

Tidal marsh systems are geologically young and ephemeral, reliant on a source of sediment, relatively calm tectonics, and stable rates of sea-level rise (SLR) (Day et al., 2011; Dokka et al., 2006; Kirwan et al., 2010; Reed, 1995). At the end of the last ice age, the Laurentide Ice Sheet melted, and Greenland Ice Sheet reduced in size, increasing sea level rapidly (Carlson et al., 2008; Tarasov & Peltier, 2002). Current tidal marshes and complexes are thought to have formed as SLR slowed after the last ice age and about 4,000–6,000 years before present (ypb; Engelhart & Horton, 2012; Redfield, 1965), but to our knowledge, no systematic assessment of marsh age has analyzed this history.

Sediment builds and maintains tidal marsh platforms (Day et al., 2011), and its availability is controlled by both upland supply and transport (and retention) along drainage networks. As SLR decelerated and wetlands established along the coast (Saintilan et al., 2020), sediment loads would have varied with watershed characteristics including catchment area, slope, and drainage network structure, as well as bedrock geology and glacial and climatic history (Bell & Laine, 1985; Gordon, 1979; Johnson & Fecko, 2008; Leopold et al., 1964; Meade, 1982; L. F. Phillips & Schumm, 1987; Sella et al., 2007). Sediment supply also reflects the balance of sediments and water from marine and inland sources (Braswell & Heffernan, 2019; Ganju et al., 2013; Yousefi Lalimi et al., 2020). Near-coastal storms can also impact landform stability and wetland dynamics (Cahoon, 2006; Davis, 1994; Leonardi et al., 2018). These terrestrial and marine drivers may shape regional-, estuary-, and local-scale formation and persistence of coastal wetlands.
Humans can promote or suppress wetland formation by mobilizing sediment and altering drainage networks (Syvitski et al., 2005; Walling, 2006; Weston, 2014). Deforestation, agricultural development, and erosion accompanied European colonization of eastern North America seaboard of the United States through the 17th–19th centuries (Cronon, 2003; Meade, 1982; Pfaff, 2000). The timing of this agricultural intensification is important for the fate of mobilized sediment. The resulting downstream pulse of sediment may have remained stored in fluvial networks (Trimble, 1999; Walter & Merritts, 2008) or was delivered to coasts where it increased marsh building (Gell et al., 2009; Kirwan et al., 2011; Tweel & Turner, 2012). Some studies have estimated that coastal sediment delivery could have dramatically increased marsh extents, as evidenced by significant expansion of marshes along the Mississippi River birdfoot delta (Tweel & Turner, 2012) and at Plum Island, MA (Kirwan et al., 2011). The degree of regional variation in this anthropogenic expansion and its potential drivers is uncertain.

To determine how climatic, geomorphic and anthropogenic drivers impact wetland formation, we analyzed an extensive data set of new and published coastal tidal marsh basal ages, from coastal areas spanning local and regional gradients of upland and marine influence. We classified each core by physical location, region, and study objectives to address the questions: (1) When did tidal marshes form? (2) Where is historical deforestation and colonization correlated with wetland formation? This study expands the spatial scale of previous studies, extending regional and site-specific wetland formation projects over a continental coastline.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Core Database

To understand the spatial patterns of wetland formation, we collected literature that reported dating evidence (mainly radiocarbon) from basal layers of tidal wetlands or salt marshes. We searched for literature in the Web of Science (Web of Science Core Collection) using combinations of the keywords “marsh,” “age,” “dating,” and “radiocarbon.” Studies along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts that clearly dated core samples at or near the basal peat layer were included in this study, regardless of study aims. With each date in the study, we recorded study objectives (sea level or other), depth of sample, region, and various other descriptors of each core (Texts S1 and Data Set S1).

2.2. Field Study: Site Description and Core Collection

To characterize local spatial variability in wetland age and more directly evaluate the role of riverine and marine influence, we collected 97 cores across five sites (Text S1; Table S1): two pairs of riverine- and marine-dominated wetlands (Ogeechee River, GA and Cape Romain, SC; Cape Fear River, NC and Masonboro Island, NC) and a fifth site (Barnstable Harbor, MA) that we paired with Plum Island, MA, where a previous study had intensively sampled basal peat age and core depth (Kirwan et al., 2011). As in that study, we dated basal peat in a subset of cores and determined the period of formation on the basis of regional depth-age relationships.

To distribute samples within each site, we researched the history of wetland areas in each site, delineated marsh polygons from the National Wetlands Inventory (U.S. Fish and Wildlife, 2014), and assigned random points within each area (ArcGIS 10.5). We used a Russian peat corer with extension rods (Aquatic Research Instruments) to collect 5-cm-diameter, 50-cm-long half-moon core sections. Sediment cores were collected to 10 cm past the basal peat layer or to point of refusal. We visually inspected and described any major stratigraphy during coring and photographed each section in the field. Cores were stowed in cold coolers and transported back to the laboratory (Grantinger River Center, Duke University, NC), where they were stored at 4°C until analysis.

2.3. Field Study: Laboratory Analysis

We analyzed bulk density and percent organic matter (Text S1). Along each core, we determined where the basal peat layer or formation of the marsh occurred based on these factors: where the organic matter rose above 10% by mass (moving up from the bottom of the core), refusal during coring, or core description (basal peat layer described in field) (Belknap & Kraft, 1977). Four cores spanning the range of depths at each site (eight among two regions of the Cape Fear site) were chosen for radiocarbon dating (24 cores total), based on the clarity of the basal peat layer from organic matter content or field description and distance from other radiocarbon dated cores. Samples were prepared (Text S1) and shipped to the National Ocean Sciences
Accelerator Mass Spectrometry Facility at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution for standard acid-base-acid pretreatment and radiocarbon analysis. We determined the calendar age of each sample using OxCal software (OxCal v4.3) calibrated with chronological models (Table S2). We chose the IntCal13 curve to determine the calibrated radiocarbon date within confidence intervals (Reimer et al., 2013).

2.4. Age-Depth Relationships

We used age-depth relationships to estimate the date of formation for undated cores at each of our six intensive sites. We pooled our own measurements with published cores to find regional age-depth relationships as characterized by linear relationships between log-transformed depth and basal peat age (Text S1). From these relationships, we estimated age and its 95% confidence interval for each undated core. We then assigned each core to an age category (pre- or post-European settlement) based on whether estimated ages were greater or less than 400 ybp; the few cores with confidence intervals that overlapped 400 ybp were classified as uncertain. This binning allowed us to assess regional patterns in wetland age while minimizing the influence of uncertainty around age estimates (Text S1).

2.5. Analysis

We used multiple measures of marine and upland influence to determine how geomorphic setting affects the initiation and persistence of coastal wetlands. We used distance from the ocean as both a proxy of both potential frequency of storm overwash events and as a potential mediator of upland versus marine sediment supply (Text S1). Although we recognize that geomorphic setting is more complex across the coastline than these proxies illustrate, our data synthesis approach does not allow for the detailed differentiation between geomorphic settings due to time constraints and scientific bias. To determine the comparative influence of watershed and estuary on each wetland, we used river:tide ratio (RTR; Braswell & Heffernan, 2019), which compares the annual volumes of river runoff and tidal inflows into an estuary (Text S1). We used Mclust package (version 5.2.3; R 3.4) to assess modality of the age distributions across several variables, specifically to determine if there was a younger mode of marsh formation by region, distance from coast, or RTR.
3. Results

Most of the 387 cores (from 43 papers) in our literature database (see Table S4) had marsh initiation dates in the millennia following the slowing of SLR (literature, mean: 2,450 ± 90 SE ybp; median: 2,166 ybp), but varying geomorphic drivers and biases from the literature affect patterns in age distribution. Among all dated cores (field plus literature), the age ranged from 0 to 10,819 ybp (Figure 1; database, n: 411, mean: 2,767 ± 98 SE ybp; median: 2,591 ybp). SLR studies dominated the literature of tidal marsh ages (Figure 2a). Of the 387 database cores, 314 (approximately 81%) are associated with studies that had objectives related to geologic records of past sea level. These cores are predominately older, after the deceleration of SLR in the Holocene (Figure 2a; mean: 3,111 ± 110 SE ybp; median: 3,101 ybp). The remainder (73 cores; approximately 19%) come from studies that addressed marsh natural history, rather than sea level. These cores spanned a wider distribution and had no clear mode (Figure 2a; mean: 1,955 ± 199 SE ybp; median: 1,721 ybp).

Wetlands closer to the coast had more young cores and a wider range of wetland ages (Figures 2h–2l, 3, and S1) than cores further inland. Cores that were further from the coast (moving up river or up sound) had a narrow distribution of ages (Figures 2h and 3; Greater than 20 km from ocean; n: 116, mean: 3,778 ± 134 SE ybp, median: 3,776 ybp), with older dates than cores that occur close to the coast (Figures 2k and 3; between 0.5 and 1 km from the ocean; n: 60, mean: 1,901 ± 228 SE ybp, median: 1,401 ybp).

Our proxy for inland sediment, RTR, also elucidated timing of wetland formation. Cores with a larger RTR (more riverine water volume than estuarine volume) have a narrower distribution and older mean and median age than cores that have lower RTR (Figures 2b and S1; Log (RTR) = 0–2; n: 34, mean: 4,819 ± 233 SE ybp, median: 4,736 ybp). As river to tide ratio decreases (toward more marine influence), the distribution widens and contains younger dates of formation (Figure 2b; Log (RTR) = −4 to −2; n: 109, mean: 2,292 ± 193 SE ybp, median: 1,662 ybp). Our data points to sites with less relative river influence have younger marshes than sites with more river influence.

The distribution of core age differs among regions (Figures 1, 2c–2g, and S1), but all regions had the highest abundance of cores between 2,500 and 3,000 ybp (all dated cores; n: 411; mean: 2,767 ± 98 SE ybp; median: 2,591 ybp; Figures 2c–2g). Based on BIC and ICL scores, the distribution of ages across all regions is best described by two clusters or modes of unequal variance (Mclust version 5.2.3; R 3.4; using all dates greater than 0). We find an older mode of the distribution (n: 274, mean: 3,829 ± 94 SE ybp; median: 3,660 ybp) and a smaller mode of younger cores (n: 128, mean: 701 ± 43 SE ybp; median: 615.5 ybp). We found that the northeast Atlantic Coast has a younger and wider distribution of wetland ages, best described by one, normal mode (n: 84, mean: 2,065 ± 239 SE ybp; median: 1,446 ybp). The southeastern Atlantic Coast also reflected this trend, albeit with a better fit of seven modes of equal variance (oldest two modes: n: 33, mean: 4079 ± 175 SE ybp; median: 4,114 ybp; youngest two modes: n: 20, mean: 133 ± 17 SE ybp; median: 145 ybp).

Other regions were also best described by two or more modes, but either had extremely small young modes (n = <5) or the youngest mode had ages > 400 ybp.

Age-depth relationships by region were sufficiently strong to differentiate wetland initiation as before or after European colonization (Figure 4; Table S3). Explanatory power of depth as a predictor of age varied from 0.61 to 0.7 across regions, with slopes (after log-log transformation) ranging from 0.83 to 1.9 (Table S3). We repeated the above analyses using the all the cores, including our field cores dated by regional age-depth relationships. These results reflect similar trends and drivers, particularly a secondary younger peak of wetland formation (Text S2; Figure S1). We do find that the age-depth estimated cores added younger cores and more information to data poor areas such as the southeast (Figure S1). In addition, these cores add resolution to the dating in our field sites, allowing for the determination of age patterns in heterogeneous areas (Figure 4). Although unconventional, these cores complement the results found by the database alone.

4. Discussion

Our results provide the first continental coastline scale synthesis of evidence that the main, first-order driver of coastal marsh formation is the rate of SLR. After the disintegration of the Laurentide Ice Sheet (Carlson et al., 2008) and the stabilization of the Greenland ice sheet, melt water to the ocean slackened, decreasing the rate of SLR (Tarasov & Peltier, 2002). Although the timing of these events is not well constrained
It is generally agreed that the rate of SLR slowed between 4,000 and 6,000 ybp (Engelhart & Horton, 2012). The decrease in the rate of SLR likely varied within this window across regions along the coast based on local conditions. Our results confirm that most wetlands in our core database and field study formed after this window (mean: 2,450 ybp). This finding supports previous work (Engelhart & Horton, 2012; Gehrels, 2010).

Figure 2. (a) Sea-level rise studies dominated our core database, with older dates of formation than studies with other objectives. These studies (blue histogram) skewed our database toward older cores with longer records, as seen in this distribution of wetland ages by study objectives. (b) Timing of wetland formation varied with river:tide ratio (RTR), as a proxy for the relative influence of the watershed to the estuary. Wetlands with higher RTR typically formed earlier and have less variability in age than wetlands with low RTR. (c-g) Regionally, wetlands formed at different times, with the northeast and southeast having younger wetlands than other regions (c, Maine; d, northeast; e, Mid-Atlantic; f, southeast; g, Gulf Coast). (h-i) Wetland age varied with distance to the ocean (decreasing downward through panels), as a proxy for marine and storm impacts and basin infilling, with wetlands closer to the coast having younger mean age (h, >20 km; i, 10–20 km; j, 5–10 km; k, 1 km to 500 m; l, <500 m). On all panels, vertical black line represents approximate time of European colonization.
showing that tidal marshes are unable to form and persist under periods of great sea-level change (such as transitions in glacial-interglacial cycles), which limits adequate sedimentation and macrophyte survival (Kirwan et al., 2010; Marani et al., 2010; Morris et al., 2002; Saintilan et al., 2020). Although we do find a peak of wetland formation, there is much variation in the date of formation regionally and across all cores. This finding is consistent with both regional variation in the rate SLR and differences in timescales of wetland formation due to basin and watershed morphology.

Age distributions of marshes varied as a function of upland or riverine influence, as measured by RTR (ratio of annual river discharge to tidal estuary volume). Although there are many drivers of wetlands (e.g., vegetation feedbacks; Marani et al., 2010; Morris et al., 2002), sediment supply is a major control of marsh platforms (Bouma et al., 2016; D’Alpaos et al., 2012; Yousefi Lalimi et al., 2020). Cores from sites with a higher RTR formed earlier and varied less in age than sites with a lower RTR (Figure 2b). Areas with higher RTR should have greater sediment delivery and build elevation faster, and therefore, those areas are more likely to have a date that corresponds with the deceleration of SLR. Areas with lower RTR may take longer to develop wetlands due to sediment limitation. Our results support this hypothesis and, furthermore, are consistent with the finding that high RTR areas also support greater abundance of wetlands (Braswell & Heffernan, 2019).

Our results support the hypothesis that “resetting events,” such as intense storms, shape wetland age distributions, particularly along the back of barrier islands in lagoonal systems (500 m away from coast; Figures 2h–2l and 3). We found more, younger cores in sites closest to the coast and a wider distribution of age. Washover fans from storms can initiate wetland creation by increasing elevation in back barrier areas to a level that supports vegetation (Davis, 1994; Osgood & Zieman, 1993). These fans are variable in space and time, depending on storm intensity and geomorphology of the barrier, leading to variability in age along landforms close to the coast (Donnelly et al., 2004; Morton & Sallenger, 2003).

Basin infilling, where the areas of the estuary closest to the mouth of the river fill with sediment first (Roy, 1994), can also create a gradient of wetland ages from the river to the coastline (Gell et al., 2009;
Our field data show variability in depth by region, landform, and distance to the ocean. The field sites were arrayed by a gradient of upland influence and by region. Our Massachusetts site, (b) Barnstable Harbor was paired with (a) data from Kirwan et al. (2011) at Plum Island. The North Carolina sites compared a barrier island system, (c) Masonboro Island, to large river system, (d) Cape Fear River. We paired (e) Bulls Bay at Cape Romaine NWR with the mouth of the (f) Ogeechee River in Georgia. Regionally, North Carolina and Massachusetts displayed more variation than Georgia/South Carolina, which had less young (shallow) cores based on our regional fits and depth binning (see Texts S1).

Figure 4. Our field data show variability in depth by region, landform, and distance to the ocean. The field sites were arrayed by a gradient of upland influence and by region. Our Massachusetts site, (b) Barnstable Harbor was paired with (a) data from Kirwan et al. (2011) at Plum Island. The North Carolina sites compared a barrier island system, (c) Masonboro Island, to large river system, (d) Cape Fear River. We paired (e) Bulls Bay at Cape Romaine NWR with the mouth of the (f) Ogeechee River in Georgia. Regionally, North Carolina and Massachusetts displayed more variation than Georgia/South Carolina, which had less young (shallow) cores based on our regional fits and depth binning (see Texts S1).
Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by N.S. F. GLD grant (#1530233), GCA Coastal Wetland Scholarship, and a Duke Wetland Center Grant. Core collection permission was given by Georgia DNR, Ossabaw Island Foundation, USFWS Cape Romain NWR, SC DHEC, Fort Caswell, Fort Fisher State Recreation Area, North Carolina NERR, and the Town of Barnstable. Field and lab assistance was given by R. Needham, A. Moos, R. Cope, S. Ludwig-Monty, D. Meyer-Arrivillaga, D. Calderon-Arrieta, N. Sherman-Jollis, S. Mahanes, K. Robbins-Thompson, S. Cleaver, and B. Hassett. We thank A. B. Murray, M. Marani, D. Urban, and two anonymous reviewers for critique that improved this work.

Redfield, 1972). We found support for this hypothesis in that sites furthest from the coast, mostly within large estuaries such as Chesapeake Bay, had less variable formation dates and more cores that dated from around the time of the slowing of SLR (Figures 2h–2l and 3). We found additional substantiation for this result in the field data from places like Cape Fear River, NC and Plum Island, MA where variability in date of formation and younger ages of cores occurred close to the coast (Figures 2h–2l and 3).

Through the interaction of agricultural erosion, geomorphic setting, and infrastructure, we find that the regional history of agriculture could impact the formation of wetlands along the northeastern seaboard and barrier islands systems of the southeastern United States. We determined that the northeastern region of the Atlantic Coast (Figures 2d and 3) had some younger dates that correspond with timing of European agricultural expansion (Foster et al., 1998; Klein Goldewijk et al., 2010, 2011). Humans both increase and reduce the amount of sediment reaching the ocean through deforestation and erosion of agriculture and damming or impounding rivers (Svyitsky et al., 2005). Erosion was particularly intense in the southeastern Piedmont (Trimble, 1974), yet the large coastal plain and Piedmont region likely trapped much of this sediment in floodplains, making transport to the coast slow or negligible at relevant time scales (J. D. Phillips, 1991, 1995; Phillips et al., 1993; Trimble, 1977; Walter & Merritts, 2008). The short or nonexistent coastal plain and smaller drainage networks could contribute in efficient transport of sediment to the coast in the northeast (Gordon, 1979; Kirwan et al., 2011). This region also experienced peak agricultural earlier, before the creation of major dams (Foster et al., 1998). Although some of the sediment was certainly trapped behind mill dams (Walter & Merritts, 2008), the geomorphic setting of this region may have contributed to sediment reaching the coast. Based on our results, we propose that pulses of anthropogenic sediment from erosion happened earlier and in more connected drainage networks than in other regions, creating younger wetlands and expanding marsh complexes (Figures 2d and 3). Although more evidence is needed to determine if this is a true signal of regional wetland expansion, or an artifact of studies in our database, it does complement previous studies that have found evidence of wetland expansion after European colonization and land-use change (Hilgartner & Brush, 2006; Kirwan et al., 2011; Mattheus et al., 2009; Tweel & Turner, 2012). This finding points to a regional expansion of wetlands postcolonial period where sediment was easily conveyed to the ocean and not stored in the floodplain or behind a dam.

Understanding the influence of watershed and marine drivers on wetland formation is imperative for predicting their future persistence under lower sediment regimes, high rates of SLR, intense coastal storms, and anthropogenic stressors. Our findings on the timing and conditions of formation help elucidate two previously debated mechanisms of marsh persistence. First, recently formed marshes point to the importance of sediment in growing and maintaining marshes. With declining sediment loads (Falcini et al., 2012; Svyitsky et al., 2005; Weston, 2014), marshes may contract back to a low sediment, precolonial deforestation state (Kirwan et al., 2011). Old, Holocene marshes, formed during periods of slow rates of SLR, highlight the importance of SLR in marsh formation and persistence (Crosby et al., 2016; Törnqvist et al., 2020) and complementing recent findings on the connection of mangrove formation to SLR (Saintilan et al., 2020). Our study integrates these perspectives, emphasizing that marsh age is tied to the interactions between SLR and sediment supply. Mismatches between the conditions of formation (higher sediment supply and lower rate of SLR) and the current environment are likely to influence how marshes respond to future stressors. Through understanding marsh age, we reveal the drivers of marsh persistence including geomorphic setting, terrestrial and marine sediment supply, and barrier island dynamics.

Data Availability Statement

Data sets for this research are included in this paper’s supplementary information files and on Harvard Dataverse (Radiocarbon Dates: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/KDXIRN; Marsh Age Literature Database: https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/GHK8AS). Please see supplemental reference file and excel file of data used in the core database.
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