Unconventional function of an Achaete-Scute homolog as a terminal selector of nociceptive neuron identity
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Abstract

Proneural genes are among the most early-acting genes in nervous system development, instructing blast cells to commit to a neuronal fate. Drosophila Atonal and Achaete-Scute complex (AS-C) genes, as well as their vertebrate orthologs, are basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors with such proneural activity. We show here that a C. elegans AS-C homolog, hlh-4, functions in a fundamentally different manner. In the embryonic, larval, and adult nervous systems, hlh-4 is expressed exclusively in a single nociceptive neuron class, ADL, and its expression in ADL is maintained via transcriptional autoregulation throughout the life of the animal. However, in hlh-4 null mutants, the ADL neuron is generated and still appears neuronal in overall morphology and expression of panneuronal and pansensory features. Rather than acting as a proneural gene, we find that hlh-4 is required for the ADL neuron to function properly, to adopt its correct morphology, to express its unusually large repertoire of olfactory receptor–encoding genes, and to express other known features of terminal ADL identity, including neurotransmitter phenotype, neuropeptides, ion channels, and electrical synapse proteins. hlh-4 is sufficient to induce ADL identity features upon ectopic expression in other neuron types. The expression of ADL terminal identity features is directly controlled by HLH-4 via a phylogenetically conserved E-box motif, which, through bioinformatic analysis, we find to constitute a predictive feature of ADL-expressed terminal identity markers. The lineage that produces the ADL neuron was previously shown to require the conventional, transient proneural activity of another AS-C homolog, hlh-14, demonstrating sequential activities of distinct AS-C-type bHLH genes in neuronal specification. Taken together, we have defined here an unconventional function of an AS-C-type bHLH gene as a terminal selector of neuronal identity and we speculate that such function could be reflective of an ancestral function of an “ur-” bHLH gene.
Across the animal kingdom, transcription factors of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family act during embryonic nervous system patterning as proneural genes to promote neuroblast identity. We describe here a distinct function for a specific member of this family, \( hlh-4 \), in the nematode \( Caenorhabditis elegans \). \( hlh-4 \) is exclusively expressed in a nociceptive neuron class and is not required for this neuron class to be generated but is rather required for the execution of its terminal differentiation program. \( hlh-4 \) directly controls the expression of scores of terminal identity features of this neuron class, including its large battery of chemoreceptor-encoding genes. We propose that a role of bHLH genes in controlling terminal differentiation may be the ancestral function of members of this gene family.

Introduction

Nervous system development proceeds through sequential steps, starting with the early commitment to a neuronal fate, followed by the progressive restriction of fates, to finally reaching a terminal, differentiated end state. Proneural genes of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) family play a key role in the initial stages of this process [1]. Mutant analysis in \( Drosophila \) revealed that loss of members of the Achaete-Scute complex (AS-C), as well as the related Atonal gene, resulted in the loss of the ability to generate neuroblasts in the peripheral nervous system [2–5]. Vertebrate orthologs of proneural AS-C and Atonal genes (the Mash and Math genes) also provide critical proneural function in vertebrate nervous system development [1,6–8]. Thus, the proneural function of AS-C-type and Atonal bHLH genes is broadly conserved throughout evolution.

The \( C. elegans \) genome encodes a canonical complement of homologs of proneural bHLH genes, including seven AS-C-like genes (\( hlh-4, hlh-3, hlh-14, hlh-19/hnd-1, hlh-12, hlh-6, hlh-16 \)) and one Atonal ortholog (\( lin-32 \)) [9]. The function of many of these \( C. elegans \) bHLH genes in the nervous system has not been as extensively studied as their fly and vertebrate orthologs, but it is nevertheless clear that a number of these bHLH genes also provide proneural activities [10–12]. Like in flies and vertebrates, \( C. elegans \) proneural bHLH genes operate in a lineage-specific manner. For example, the \( C. elegans \) AS-C ortholog \( hlh-14 \) and the \( C. elegans \) Atonal ortholog, \( lin-32 \), provide proneural activity in several distinct sensory neuron lineages of the peripheral and central nervous system (CNS) of the worm [10–12]. In both cases, the proneural activity of \( hlh-14 \) and \( lin-32 \) is exemplified by a transformation of neuroblasts into cells with a hypodermal identity in the respective mutant backgrounds.

One question that has been studied extensively over the years is whether AS-C/Atonal-type bHLH genes have functions in the nervous system that go beyond their proneural activity. In both vertebrates and flies, nonproneural functions of AS-C and Atonal-like genes have indeed been described in the context of later neuronal differentiation events (reviewed in [1,6,13]). Similarly, \( C. elegans \) \( lin-32/\)Ato has functions beyond its proneural activity in male ray lineages in which \( lin-32 \) also allocates fates in subsequently developing ray sublineages [14]. However, in all these cases, the respective bHLH gene is either transiently expressed; acts through downstream, intermediary regulatory factors; or only affects selected aspects of the differentiated state of the respective neuron.

In this study, we describe a novel, nonproneural, and noncanonical function of an AS-C-type bHLH gene. We find that the AS-C homolog \( hlh-4 \) displays a spatial and temporal specificity of expression that is unprecedented for any bHLH gene. \( hlh-4 \) is exclusively and...
continuously expressed in a single postmitotic nociceptive sensory neuron class in which it initiates and maintains the terminal identity of this neuron via direct binding to scores of terminal effector genes that are expressed in a neuron class–specific manner and that define the differentiated state of this neuron. Among its many functions in ADL, \(hlh-4\) directly regulates the expression of the unusually large repertoire of olfactory receptor proteins in ADL. We hypothesize that the direct control of “neuron function genes” may have been an ancestral function of bHLH genes.

Materials and methods

Strains

Strains were maintained by standard methods [15]. A list of all strains used is listed in S3 Table.

Expression constructs and transgenic strain generation

Green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporters for rescue and ectopic expression were generated using RF-cloning [16]. For making G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) transgenic reporters (listed in S3 Table), a PCR fusion approach was used [17]. Genomic fragments were fused to the GFP coding sequence, which was followed by the \(unc-54\) 3′ untranslated region. All transgenic lines created in this study were injected at 50 ng/\(\mu\)L with the \(unc-122::gfp\) into wild-type animals or with the \(pha-1\) rescuing plasmid (pBX) as a coinjection marker (50 ng/\(\mu\)L) into \(pha-1\) mutant animals. For each construct, two independent lines were scored.

Fosmid-based reporters for \(hlh-2\), \(hlh-3\), and \(hlh-4\) were generated by insertion of \(yfp\) at the 5′ end of the \(hlh-2\) locus [18], 3′ end of \(hlh-4\) (this paper), and \(gfp\) at the 3′ end of \(hlh-3\) [19] using standard fosmid recombineering approaches [19,20].

The \(arrd-4\) promoter (1,587 bp) was cloned together with \(hlh-4\) genomic sequences and \(unc-54\) 3′UTR into a pPD95.75 backbone and injected (50 ng/\(\mu\)L) into OH14884 as a simple array, with \(unc-122::gfp\) (50 ng/\(\mu\)L) as a coinjection marker. The \(unc-3\) promoter fusion was generated by amplification of 558 bp of \(unc-3\) promoter, fused to \(hlh-4\) genomic (including its own 3′UTR), using the PCR fusion approach [17]. Fifty nanograms per milliliter of this construct were injected into OH14884, with \(ttx-3::mcherry\) as a coinjection marker.

The \(eat-4\) reporter constructs were generated by PCR and subcloning into pPD95.75 vector. \(eat-4\)prom6-1 contains 4,450 bp of the upstream region of the ATG and \(eat-4\)prom2 contains 1,150 bp of the genomic region just upstream of the ATG. The E-Box and homeodomain motif are found at positions -693 and -726 relative to the ATG start codon, respectively. The specific sequences deleted are, for the E-Box, AACAGGTGTT, and for the homeodomain site, ATTAGATAAT. The deletions were generated by mutagenesis with the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The plasmids were injected into OH13645 [otIs518; \(him-5(e1490)\)] at 50 ng/\(\mu\)L, using \(unc-122::gfp\) (50 ng/\(\mu\)L) as a coinjection marker.

Microscopy

Worms were anesthetized using 50 mM sodium azide (\(NaN_3\)) and mounted on 5% agarose on glass slides. Images were acquired using an automated fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, AXIO Imager Z.2) or LCS-8 laser point scanning confocal. Representative images are shown following maximum projection of Z-stacks using the maximum intensity projection type. Image reconstruction was performed using Fiji software [21].
Neuron identification
ADL neurons were identified by labeling subsets of sensory neurons with DiD or DiO (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For dye filling, worms were washed with M9 and incubated at room temperature with DiD (1:500) in M9 for 1 hour for Adults or (1:250) for 2 hours for L1 stage animals. After incubation, worms were washed three times with M9 and plated on agar plates coated with food (OP50 bacteria) for 1–3 hours before imaging.

Embryonic expression pattern analysis
The expression of bHLH fosmid reporters was manually lineaged using SIMI BioCell program, as previously described [22]. Briefly, the gravid adults of $\text{hlh-4}^{\text{fosmid::yfp}}$ (otIs683) and $\text{hlh-3}^{\text{fosmid::gfp}}$ (otIs648) were dissected and single two-cell embryos were mounted and visualized on a Zeiss Imager Z1 compound microscope using the 4D microscopy software, Steuerprg (Caenotec). Nomarski stacks were taken every 30 seconds and embryos were illuminated with LED fluorescence light (470 nm) at predetermined time points during development.

Avoidance assay
Avoidance assay was performed as previously described [23,24]. L4 stage animals were picked onto OP50 seeded plates before a day of assay. We used 100 nM or 500 nM ascr#3 or 1M glycerol diluted in M13 buffer. In the assay, M13 buffer was firstly dropped in front of animals’ heads. When the animals didn’t respond to M13 buffer, we then dropped ascr#3/glycerol and checked avoidance to the stimulus. Long reversals were counted as avoidance [25]. The tests were done at least 5 times with 10 animals each.

DNA motif discovery
Motif discovery was carried out using information-theoretic analysis as implemented in the Finding Informative Regulatory Elements (FIRE) algorithm [26]. De novo motifs were discovered by running FIRE in discrete mode, with all the genes in the C. elegans genome labeled as either belonging to class 1: the neuron-specific expression class (e.g., 117 ADL-expressed genes) or class 2: the complementary set of all other remaining genes. The starting k-mer seed length was set to $k = 6$ and the sequence search space was confined to 2-kb upstream regions. The discovered CACCTG motif had a robustness score of 10/10 with a significance z-score of 18.3.

Phylogenetic footprinting
We used TargetOrtho [27] to find whole genome CACCTG motif matches in five nematode genomes searching 2 kb upstream of each gene plus introns. ADL-expressed genes and all C. elegans genes, excluding noncoding RNAs, were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sums test to assess alignment independent species conservation scores, motif match position relative to the start codon, and motif match frequency per gene. Only genes with at least one CACCTG match were analyzed.

Results
$\text{hlh-4}$ is exclusively expressed in nociceptive ADL neurons
As a first step toward a systematic analysis of the neurogenic function of C. elegans bHLH genes, we undertook a nervous system-wide expression pattern analysis of all C. elegans AS-C-like genes. Using fosmid-based reporter transgenes, we found that many bHLH genes are
expressed during embryonic development within and outside neuronal lineages, but we noticed that one AS-C-like bHLH gene, *hlh-4*, displays an unusual expression pattern, both in terms of spatial and temporal specificity (Fig 1). *hlh-4* expression is not observed in any blast cells during embryonic or postembryonic development but rather is first expressed in two pairs of postmitotic cells in the precomma stage embryo, shortly after their birth (Fig 1A). One pair is the ADL neurons and the other pair is the sisters of ADL, which die shortly after their birth by programmed cell death [28]. Expression of *hlh-4* in ADL is observed for the remainder of embryogenesis, continues during larval and adult stages, and is never observed in any other cell throughout the entire organism (Fig 1A). The fosmid on which the *yfp* reporter construct is based is able to fully rescue the *hlh-4* mutant phenotype that we describe below (rescue data are shown in Table 1). The ADL-specific fosmid-based reporter expression pattern is recapitulated by a 700-bp 5′ promoter fusion reporter (Fig 1C).

With the exception of *hlh-3*, which is expressed in a subclass of postmitotic motor neurons of the ventral nerve cord [31], none of the other *C. elegans* AS-C-like bHLH genes (*hlh-6*, *hlh-12*, *hlh-14*, *hlh-16*, *hlh-19/hnd-1*) share the postmitotic, post-developmental neuronal expression feature of *hlh-4* [12,32–34]. We note that while our fosmid-based *hlh-3* reporter showed extensive expression in blast cells during embryogenesis, it does not recapitulate the postembryonic ADL expression previously reported using a reporter that only contained 1.5 kb of 5′ sequences upstream of the gene [35].

The only other bHLH reporter expressed in postmitotic neurons throughout embryonic, larval, and adult stages is the Daughterless homolog *hlh-2/Da* [29], a binding partner of many *C. elegans* AS-C-related bHLH genes [30]. Expression of HLH-2/DA protein in a specific subset of postmitotic neurons, including the nociceptive neurons ADL and ASH, has been previously reported using anti-HLH-2 antibody staining [29], but it was not reported whether expression persisted into later larval and/or adult stage. Using a fosmid-based reporter of *hlh-2/Da* expression, we found that ADL and ASH expression of *hlh-2/Da*, as well as expression in a few other head and tail neurons, is maintained throughout all larval stages into adulthood (Fig 1B). We conclude that *hlh-4/AS-C* and its heterodimerization partner *hlh-2/Da* are continuously coexpressed specifically in the nociceptive ADL neuron class.

**Continuous *hlh-4* and *hlh-2* expression is ensured by transcriptional autoregulation**

One well-documented mechanism by which transcription factors ensure their continuous expression throughout the life of a neuron is through transcriptional autoregulation (e.g., [36–39]). To assess whether continuous expression of *hlh-4* throughout the life of the ADL neuron is also ensured by autoregulation, we used a 5′ promoter fusion of the *hlh-4* locus, which recapitulated the continuous expression of *hlh-4* in ADL (Fig 1C). We crossed this reporter into an *hlh-4* mutant allele, *tm604*, a putative null allele generated by the *C. elegans* knockout consortium in Tokyo [40] in which the bHLH domain is largely deleted (Fig 1A). We found that *hlh-4* reporter expression in the ADL neuron pair is initiated normally in *hlh-4* mutant embryos, but expression fails to be maintained beyond the first larval stage (Fig 1C). As yet unknown factors may initiate *hlh-4* expression in the embryo and, after its initiation, *hlh-4* takes over to regulate its own expression.

We furthermore tested whether continuous expression *hlh-2/Da* in ADL requires *hlh-4* activity. Crossing the *hlh-2* fosmid reporter into the *hlh-4* mutant background, we indeed found this to be the case (Fig 1B). We conclude that the continuous expression of both *hlh-4* and its putative cofactor *hlh-2/Da* is based on transcriptional autoregulation.
Fig 1. hlh-4 and hlh-2 expression in postmitotic ADL neurons. (A) hlh-4 and hlh-3 fosmid reporters and their expression patterns. Schematic of gene structure shows that the deletion in tm604 and tm1688 alleles removes a major part of the bHLH domain from both genes. Lineage diagram
showing the specific cells from ADL ancestors that express hlh-2, hlh-3, hlh-4, and hlh-14 during embryogenesis. Representative images of hlh-3 and hlh-4 gene expression at embryonic stages next to their exact time point during embryonic development (left). hlh-4 fosmid reporter is first detected in ADLs and their sister cells as soon as they are born (left panel). Roughly 30 minutes after they are born, sisters of ADLs die by apoptosis (Asterisks indicate ADLs sister cells that are destined to die by apoptosis) and hlh-4 expression becomes restricted to ADLs only (right panel). Yellow dashed line is marking gut autofluorescent. Expression of hlh-2 in the dying ADL sister could not be examined. hlh-14 expression is shown for comparison and was reported in [11]. (B) Schematic of fosmid reporter for hlh-2. HLH-2 is continuously expressed in very few neurons throughout adulthood, among them ADL, and this continuous ADL expression depends on hlh-4. Previous work had examined expression of hlh-2 in L1 stage animals only [29]. (C) Continuous hlh-4 expression in ADL is assured via autoregulation. In hlh-4 mutants, hlh-4 expression, as assessed with a hlh-4 promoter fusion, initiates normally at the embryonic stage; however, it fails to maintain the expression past L1 stage. BHLH, basic helix-loop-helix; L1, first larval stage.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004979.g001

**hlh-4 does not act as a proneural gene**

In most if not all organisms examined, AS-C genes have proneural function, characterized by a loss of neuroblast identity in the absence of the AS-C gene and ensuing conversion into an ectodermal identity [1,3,6,13]. Previous work has demonstrated that in the lineage that produces ADL, as well as other sensory neurons, the transiently and early-expressed AS-C gene hlh-14 acts as a proneural gene, such that loss of hlh-14 results in a neuroblast to hypodermal fate conversion [12]. In striking contrast, we find that the later-expressed hlh-4 gene does not act as a proneural gene. Specifically, in hlh-4 null mutants, the ADL neuron pair is still generated and differentiates as a neuron, as assessed by (a) intact expression of a panneuronal reporter, rab-3, (b) intact filling of the ADL neuron with the dye DiI (which is taken up by the dendritic endings of several sensory neurons, including ADL [41]), and (c) presence and intact speckled appearance of the ADL neuronal nucleus by Nomarski optics (Fig 2A). Corroborating this notion, we find that the two genes that are expressed by all ciliated sensory neurons, osm-6 and jft-20 [42,43], are still normally expressed in the ADL neurons of hlh-4 mutants (Fig 2B). Even though we could not confirm the previously reported expression of hlh-3 in ADL (Fig 1A), we nevertheless generated hlh-3; hlh-4 double null mutants and found that in these animals the ADL neurons are also still generated normally, as assessed by intact DiI filling and characteristic neuronal nuclear speckles (Fig 2A).

The expression of the hlh-4 promoter fusion in hlh-4 mutants until the first larval stage permitted us to visualize the anatomy of the ADL neurons in the absence of hlh-4 gene function. While the cell body of ADL is normally positioned, we find that ADL axons and dendrites display severe morphological defects (Fig 2C). The sensory dendrites of ADL are often detached from the nose. Even when attached, the cilia of ADL often do not display their characteristic bifurcated ciliated endings. The axons of ADL, which in wild-type animals display a highly stereotyped extension and branching pattern, show pathfinding and branching defects (Fig 2C).

**hlh-4 affects expression of the unusually large repertoire of olfactory receptors in ADL**

To examine whether and to what extent hlh-4 is required to specify ADL neuron identity, we examined the differentiation program of the ADL neurons in detail. The ADL nociceptive

| Genotype     | Transgene | srh-127::gfp in ADL (% animals) | n   |
|--------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----|
| wild type    | none      | 100%                            | >100|
| hlh-4        | none      | 0%                              | 32  |
| (tm604)      | otEx4130[hlh-4::fluor::gfp; ttx-3::mcherry] | 100% | 25  |
|              | otEx7180[hlh-4::fluor::gfp; ttx-3::mcherry], line #1 | 100% | 27  |
|              | otEx7181[hlh-4::fluor::ttx-3::mcherry], line #2 | 100% | 25  |
|              | otEx719[arrd-4::mcherry::HLH-4::rfp; unc-122::gfp] | 100% | 41  |

Table 1. Rescue of the hlh-4 mutant phenotype. srh-127::gfp expression was expressed from the otIs646 array. The hlh-4 fosmid is WRM0611b805.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004979.t001
Fig 2. *hlh-4* does not operate as a proneural gene to control generation of the ADL nociceptive neurons but affects its morphological differentiation. (A) Expression of panneuronal gene *rab-3* is unaffected in ADL neurons of *hlh-4* mutants. Moreover, ADL neurons exhibit stereotyped speckled neuronal nuclei (shown here by Nomarski) and display normal dye filling ability in *hlh-4* single null mutants and also in *hlh-4(tm604)*.
neuron pair coexpresses an unusually large number of olfactory-type GPCRs [44–46]. Reporter genes generated for about one fifth of the approximately 1,300 GPCR encoding reveal the expression of more than 60 GPCR genes from diverse families in ADL [46]. Extrapolating to the complete set of GPCRs encoded in the C. elegans genome, about 300 GPCR-encoding genes may be expressed in ADL. We asked whether hlh-4 is required for the expression of 12 GPCR-encoding genes. We chose these genes to cover the diverse set of GPCR gene families expressed in ADL (sra, src, sri, srz, srh, srxa, and srx families). We found that expression of all of the tested 12 GPCR reporters is abrogated in hlh-4 mutants (Fig 3A). While all defects were routinely scored at the adult stage, we note that these defects are already apparent at the first larval stage. Consistent with the absence of expression of the hlh-4 paralog hlh-3 in postmitotic ADL neurons, we find that hlh-3 does not affect srh-127 expression in ADL.

To test whether hlh-4 does not only affect expression of chemoreceptor proteins but also affects the chemorepulsive function mediated by the ADL neurons, we considered its chemorepulsive function toward a specific nematode pheromone, the ascaroside ascr#3 (asc-ΔC9, C9) [24]. While wild-type hermaphrodites are repelled by ascr#3, this repulsion is significantly reduced in hlh-4 hermaphrodites (Fig 3B). This is not a reflection of an overall failure to engage in a nociceptive response because another chemorepulsive behavior, mediated by the ASH neurons (glycerol avoidance) [47], is not affected in hlh-4 mutants (Fig 3B).

**hlh-4 specifies the neuron type–specific molecular signature of ADL**

We tested whether hlh-4 function is restricted to controlling olfactory receptor expression and function in the ADL neurons or whether other identity features of ADL are disrupted as well. A TRP channel protein encoded by the osm-9 gene, expressed in a restricted set of sensory neurons, is required in ADL to signal the response to distinct chemorepulsive sensory inputs [24,48,49]. We find that osm-9 expression is selectively lost in the ADL neurons of hlh-4 mutant animals (Fig 4).

Going beyond signal perception and transmission, we asked whether ADL requires hlh-4 to communicate with its synaptically connected neurons [50]. Based on the expression of the vesicular glutamate transporter eat-4/VGLUT, the key defining feature of all glutamatergic neurons, ADL neurons have previously inferred to be glutamatergic [51]. We find that the glutamatergic identity of ADL, as assessed by eat-4 fosmid reporter gene expression, is defective in hlh-4 mutant animals (Fig 4). Apart from using glutamate as a likely fast neurotransmitter, the expression patterns of various neuropeptide-encoding genes indicate that ADL also utilizes distinct peptides for neurotransmission [52,53]. We find that the expression of four neuropeptides, previously known to be expressed in ADL, as well as other neurons (FMRFamides flp-4 and flp-21 and neuropeptides nlp-7 and nlp-10) [52,53] specifically fail to be expressed in the ADL neurons of hlh-4 mutants, while expression in other neurons is unaffected (Fig 4).

Apart from peptidergic and chemical synaptic transmission, electrical synaptic transmission is likely also affected in hlh-4 mutants. ADL forms electrical synapses with a select number of neighboring neurons [50]. Electrical synapses are formed by transmembrane innexin proteins [54], and 3 of the 24 C. elegans innexin genes, unc-7, inx-18, and che-7, are expressed in
ADL, as well as a specific set of other neuron types [55]. The expression of all three innexin genes is lost specifically in the ADL neurons of hlh-4 mutants (Fig 4).

Fig 3. *hlh-4* is required for chemoreceptor expression and chemosensory function of the ADL neurons. (A) Effect of *hlh-4(tm604)* allele on GPCR reporter expression. DiD staining (red) is used to label the amphid neurons, including ADL. Numerical values that underlie the graph are shown in S1 Data. (B) ADL-mediated chemosensory behavior toward C9 ascaroside. Statistical significances shown were calculated with the one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s test. Numerical values that underlie the graph are shown in S1 Data. WT, wild-type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004979.g003
Transmembrane ion channel expression is also affected in \textit{hlh-4} mutants. \(\text{Na}^+ / \text{Ca}^{2+} / \text{K}^+\) exchangers are important regulators of intracellular calcium homeostasis in the nervous system, and members of this family show remarkably specific gene expression profiles in the \textit{C. elegans} nervous system \cite{56}. Two \(\text{Na}^+ / \text{Ca}^{2+} / \text{K}^+\) exchangers, \textit{ncx-6} and \textit{ncx-7}, are each exclusively expressed in the ADL neurons of wild-type animals \cite{56}. The expression of both genes in ADL is abrogated in \textit{hlh-4} mutants (Fig 4).

To examine whether these defects are a consequence of the failure of solely maintaining the differentiated state versus failure of initiation of the differentiated state, we examined the expression of several ADL markers right after \textit{hlh-4} mutant embryos had hatched. Testing four specific markers (\textit{srh-127}, \textit{sre-43}, \textit{srt-47}, and \textit{ncx-6}), we found that expression is already affected at this early stage of development.

In conclusion, we find that several distinct identity features that define functional features of the ADL neuron are coregulated by the same transcription factor. The affected identity features share the common theme of providing the ADL with a unique molecular signature and identity. In contrast, \textit{hlh-4} does not affect generic neuronal features (i.e., pansensory or panneuronal features).

\textbf{\textit{hlh-4} is sufficient to induce ADL features in other neuron classes}

\textit{hlh-4} is not only required for the expression of ADL identity genes, but ectopic expression of \textit{hlh-4} is also sufficient to induce ADL identity features. We drew this conclusion by driving expression of \textit{hlh-4} in many other ciliated sensory neurons, using the \textit{arrd-4} promoter \cite{57} (S1 Fig). The \textit{arrd-4prom::hlh-4} construct is not only able to rescue the loss of \textit{srh-127::gfp} expression in ADL in \textit{hlh-4} mutants (Table 1), but these transgenic animals display ectopic expression of the normally ADL-expressed \textit{srh-127::gfp} reporter in many ciliated sensory neurons (Fig 5A). Similarly, the TRP channel \textit{osm-9}, the neuropeptide-encoding \textit{flp-4} gene and the vesicular glutamate transporter \textit{eat-4} also are ectopically expressed in other sensory neurons in these transgenic animals (Fig 5A).

To further probe the ability of \textit{hlh-4} to induce ADL identity features in other neurons, we misexpressed \textit{hlh-4} under control of a promoter fragment from the \textit{unc-3} locus, which is expressed in ventral cord motor neurons and a small set of head neurons (S1B Fig). Transgenic animals expressing a \textit{unc-3prom::hlh-4} construct show ectopic expression of the ADL marker \textit{srh-127::gfp} in head neurons but not in ventral cord motor neurons (Fig 5B). The apparent cellular context dependency of \textit{hlh-4} function mimics the context dependence of other master regulators of cellular identity, such as Eyeless/Pax6 \cite{58}.

\textbf{\textit{cis}-Regulatory regions of ADL-expressed genes are enriched for a specific E-box motif}

Because gene expression is usually examined in \textit{C. elegans} via reporter gene constructs, a large library of reporter transgenes that monitors the expression of thousands of genes has been amassed by the \textit{C. elegans} community over the past few decades. In many cases, expression patterns of these reporter transgenes have been defined on a single neuron level. Almost 200 reporter transgenes have been found to be expressed in the ADL neurons (www.wormbase.org, S2 Table). The genes tested above for their dependence on \textit{hlh-4} belong to this dataset. We took a subset of these genes (117) and asked whether 5’ upstream regulatory regions of
genes whose expression is monitored by these reporter transgenes are enriched for the presence of a specific sequence motif using the FIRE motif analysis platform [26] (see Materials and methods). We restricted the search space to the first 2 kb upstream of these genes. As a control, we also considered several other neuron classes that Wormbase associated with a large number of reporter genes (AIY, ASE, ALM, HSN, ASI, ASK, ASH, PHA; www.wormbase.org) and interrogated the upstream regulatory control regions of those genes. In the ADL dataset, we indeed identified a motif found in 75% of the ADL-expressed reporter genes (Table 2, S1 Table; S2 Table). The motif, shown in Fig 6A, has a completely invariant 6-nucleotide core, CACCTG, and no striking sequence features outside this core. There is no orientation preference for this motif on the plus versus minus strand. This motif is not enriched in the control datasets (AIY, ASE, ALM, HSN, ASI, ASK, ASH, or PHA expressed reporter genes).

Fig 5. *hlh-4* is sufficient to induce ADL marker in other chemosensory neurons. (A) Transgenic animals ectopically expressing *hlh-4* with the pan-cilia-promoter *arrd-4*, and the effect on chromosomally integrated reporters for ADL identity, *srh-127, osm-9, eat-4*, and *flip-4*. In the lower panels, white arrows indicate the ectopic cells that are now induced by HLH-4 to express ADL fate markers. Yellow arrows mark ADL neurons. The ectopic marker expression effect is fully penetrant and numbers of animals scored are shown. (B) Transgenic animals ectopically expressing *hlh-4* under control of a promoter fragment from the *unc-3* locus. The effect on the chromosomally integrated reporters for ADL identity, *srh-127*, is shown. This promoter fragment of the *unc-3* locus recapitulates *unc-3* expression in ventral nerve cord motor neurons and, ectopically, in unidentified head neurons (S1 Fig). When driving *hlh-4*, ADL marker expression is induced in head neurons but not in the ventral nerve cord. The ectopic marker expression effect is fully penetrant and can be detected in approximately four extra neurons, in the head (marked with white arrows). The numbers of animals scored are shown.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004979.g005
The CACCTG motif matches experimentally determined bHLH binding sites (CANNTG) [59] and specifically matches the in vitro binding site of the *C. elegans* HLH-4/HLH-2 heterodimer, CA(G/C)CTG [30]. Probabilistic segmentation analysis of upstream regulatory sequences of ADL neuron-expressed GPCR genes had previously also identified a similar CA(G/C)CTG motif [45].

All the 23 terminal effector genes that we described above as depending on *hlh-4* in their expression in ADL (Fig 3; Fig 4) contain at least one copy of this motif within 2 kb upstream of the 5' start of the gene (Table 2, S1 Table). The one *hlh-4*-dependent GPCR reporter (*srh-79*) that does not contain a perfect match to the E-box motif contains a 1-nucleotide-mismatched copy of the motif (CACGTG versus CACCTG).

The *hlh-4* locus itself and, specifically, the 700-bp 5' upstream regulatory region that shows *hlh-4* autoregulation (Fig 1C) contains two copies of the perfectly matched CACCGT motif (both motifs are located in the 245-bp-long intergenic region). Moreover, the upstream region of the *hlh-2/Da* gene, the putative cofactor of *hlh-4*, which is also continuously expressed in ADL, also contains three copies of this motif in its 5' upstream intergenic region. The regulation of *hlh-2/Da* expression by *hlh-4* (demonstrated above) is therefore also likely a reflection of direct autoregulation of the *hlh-2* locus by the HLH-4/HLH-2 heterodimer.

Three lines of evidence further validate the importance of the CACCGT E-box motif for ADL expression:

1. We mutated the CACCGT E-box motif in one of the newly discovered, *hlh-4*-dependent targets, *srh-127*, and found that this mutation abolished expression in ADL (Fig 6B).

2. We examined whether a set of 35 ADL-expressed reporter genes not included as a training set for the FIRE analysis also contain the CACCTG motif. All of these 35 reporter genes code for GPCRs that were found to be expressed in ADL after the initial FIRE analysis was performed [46]. We found that 33 out of the 35 ADL-expressed reporters contain the CACCTG motif (Table 2, S1 Table). In contrast to the presence of the E-box motif in ADL-expressed and *hlh-4*-dependent genes, we found that panneuronal genes [60] are largely devoid of the CACCTG E-box (*rab-3, ric-4, snb-1, unc-64, sng-1, unc-10, unc-18*).

---

**Table 2. ADL-expressed effector genes, presence of E-box, and *hlh-4* dependence.** For more detail in genes and for precise location of the motif see S1 Table. For primary data see Fig 2 and Fig 3.

| Category                      | presence of CACCTG E-box | *hlh-4* dependent |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| sensory receptors (GPCR and rGcy) | 68/73                    | 12/12 tested     |
| GPCR trafficking              | 3/3                      |                  |
| neurotransmitter (Glu, neuropeptides) | 6/6                    | 5/5 tested        |
| neurotransmitter receptors    | 3/5                      |                  |
| transporter                   | 2/3                      | 2/2 tested        |
| channels                      | 6/7                      | 1/1 tested        |
| electrical synapse (innexins) | 5/5                      | 3/3 tested        |
| cytoskeleton                  | 2/2                      |                  |
| transmembrane/adhesion        | 2/2                      |                  |
| small secreted peptide       | 2/2                      |                  |
| signaling/enzymes             | 8/11                     |                  |
| novel                         | 1/3                      |                  |
| Total                         | 108/122                  | 23/23             |

Abbreviations: Glu, glutamate; GPCR, G-protein coupled receptor; rGcy, receptor-type guanylyl cyclase.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004979.t002
Fig 6. The HLH-4/HLH-2 E-box motif is required for ADL expression and is a predictor for ADL expression. (A) Motif logo representation of the E-box of ADL-expressed genes. (B) Deletion of E-box motif in the promoter of srh-127, a GPCR that normally expresses in ADL, abolishes the ADL expression. Asterisks are marking ttx-3::mcherry.
snr-1, egl-3, and egl-21 do not contain an E-box within 2 kb of their start sites, while unc-11 and snt-1 do).

3. We generated 12 reporters to additional sets of genes (again all GCPR-encoding genes) that the FIRE analysis revealed to either contain or not contain this motif. All of the nine genes that contain a CACCTG motif indeed showed expression in ADL (Fig 6C). Three GPCR reporters that do not contain a CACCTG motif show no expression in ADL (Fig 6C).

**Phylogenetic conservation of the E-box motif and further validation of its importance for ADL expression**

We used phylogenetic footprinting in the TargetOrtho pipeline [27] to assess the extent of conservation of the CACCTG motif among five *Caenorhabditis* species, *C. elegans*, *C. briggsae*, *C. remanei*, *C. brenneri*, and *C. japonica* (S2 Table). This analysis provided a genome-wide assessment of the location of the CACCTG motif in these five different species and allowed us to define a number of features of the CACCTG motif:

1. The ADL-expressed genes tend to have more conserved CACCTG motifs among phylogenetically conserved, orthologous genes compared to any gene in the genome that contains a CACCTG motif (Fig 6D).

2. ADL genes have more CACCTG motifs compared to any gene with a CACCTG in the genome. This is true for all *Caenorhabditis* species but is most obvious in *C. elegans* (Fig 6D).

3. The upstream CACCTG positions are closer to the start codon in the known ADL-expressed genes compared to any gene with a CACCTG in the genome (Fig 6D). This trend is most obvious in *C. elegans* but is also significant in the other four *Caenorhabditis* species.

Moreover, we find that two of the ADL-expressed genes that do not contain a perfect match to the CACCTG motif (*srh-79* and *srh-186*, one of which, *srh-79*, we confirmed to be *hlh-4*-dependent) contain a motif with a single mismatch to the CACCTG motif (CACGTG), yet all *Caenorhabditis* species that have orthologues of these two genes contain perfect CACCTG motif matches (Table 2, S1 Table).

In conclusion, a CACCTG motif defines a signature for ADL-expressed genes. Given that this motif is known in vitro binding site for a HLH-4/HLH-2 dimer [30], *hlh-4* appears the most likely candidate to directly activate the expression of scores of genes that uniquely and combinatorially define the terminally differentiated state of the ADL neuron pair.

**hlh-4 displays complex regulatory interactions with the lin-11 LIM homeobox gene**

The partially penetrant effect of *hlh-4* on *eat-4/VGLUT* expression suggested that *hlh-4* partly relies on additional factors to control *eat-4/VGLUT* expression. This notion is further
The identification of proneural genes that act very early in neuronal development to allocate terminal selector of nociceptive neurons corroborated through an examination of the cis-Regulatory control regions of the eat-4/VGLUT locus. We find that 4.5 kb of sequence upstream of the eat-4/VGLUT locus directs reporter gene expression to many glutamatergic neurons, including ADL (prom6-1; Fig 7A). This 4.5-kb region contains a phylogenetically conserved CACCTG motif 691 bp upstream of the ATG. Deletion of this motif results in loss of expression in ADL (Fig 7A). However, while this motif is required for ADL expression, it is apparently not sufficient: deleting 3.2 kb from the 4.5-kb 5' reporter fusion leaves the E-box unaffected but abolishes expression in ADL (prom2; Fig 7A), suggesting that these deleted sequences contain binding site(s) for a transcription factor that cooperates with hlh-4 to activate eat-4/VGLUT expression.

The LIM homeobox gene lin-11 was previously shown to be expressed in postmitotic ADL neurons throughout their lifetime [61]. We find that lin-11 expression in ADL is not affected in hlh-4 mutants (Fig 7B). Corroborating a role of lin-11 in parallel to hlh-4, we find that lin-11 null mutants are defective in the ADL-mediated chemorepulsive response to C9 ascaroside (Fig 7C). Consistent with this behavioral defect, we observed that lin-11 null mutants display defects in the expression of several of hlh-4-dependent and E-box-containing genes, including ncx-6, srh-234, and flp-21 (Fig 7D). However, lin-11 does not affect the hlh-4-dependent flp-4 gene, nor does it affect eat-4/VGLUT fosmid reporter expression (Fig 7D).

We tested whether a function for lin-11 on eat-4/VGLUT expression could be revealed in the context of an hlh-4 mutant background, in which eat-4/VGLUT fosmid reporter expression is only partially affected. lin-11; hlh-4 double mutants still normally express pansensory markers in ADL, but they display a dye filling defect that neither mutant alone displays, corroborating the parallel nature by which hlh-4 and lin-11 affect ADL differentiation (Fig 7E). Surprisingly, in hlh-4; lin-11 double null mutants, the partially penetrant loss of eat-4/VGLUT expression observed in hlh-4 single mutants was not enhanced but instead completely suppressed (Fig 7D). The same effect is observed on the flp-4 gene. Its completely penetrant loss in hlh-4 mutants is suppressed in hlh-4; lin-11 double mutants (Fig 7D).

The reinstatement of eat-4/VGLUT fosmid expression even in the absence of hlh-4 is mirrored by a mutation in the cis-Regulatory control region of eat-4/VGLUT. The 1.2-kb upstream region of eat-4/VGLUT, which contains an hlh-4 binding site but is not expressed in ADL, becomes expressed in ADL upon deletion of a predicted homeodomain binding site, a potential recognition motif for LIN-11 (Fig 7A). This result suggests that eat-4/VGLUT expression is controlled via a collaboration of hlh-4 with an as yet unknown transcription factor X whose activating effect is normally antagonized by LIN-11. If all activators (hlh-4 and X) are present, lin-11 cannot prevent activation of eat-4/VGLUT (eat-4prom6-1delta12); hence, eat-4/VGLUT is expressed in ADL. If, however, the system is partially destabilized by hlh-4 removal (or by removal of the E-box sequence in the reporter construct), lin-11 can counteract the ability of factor X to activate eat-4/VGLUT expression (eat-4prom2delta 12) (as assessed by the restoration of eat-4 expression upon removal of lin-11). The effect of lin-11 on ADL-expressed genes is, however, clearly target gene dependent. While in the case of one target gene, eat-4/VGLUT, lin-11 appears to antagonize hlh-4 function, it may positively cooperate with hlh-4 on those other target genes whose expression is either completely or partially lost in hlh-4 and/or lin-11 mutants. We conclude that hlh-4 is a central regulator of ADL identity that may interact in a target gene–dependent manner with distinct collaborating factors.

Discussion
The identification of proneural genes that act very early in neuronal development to allocate neuroblast identity to distinct neuronal lineages via classic genetic loss of function analysis in Drosophila represents one of the classic landmark achievements of developmental...
Fig 7. lin-11 also contributes to ADL differentiation. (A) Analysis of the cis-Regulatory control region of the eat-4 locus. The precise nature of the deletion of the motifs is shown in the Materials and methods section. Scoring of expression of two lines is shown in the right panel. It was previously published that an integrant of the transgene eat-4prom2 was expressed in ADL (otIs376) [51]. We have since analyzed multiple extrachromosomal lines of eat-4prom2 (seven lines all showing similar expression; quantification of two lines is shown here) as well as two lines of eat-4prom1 (a slightly larger construct; not shown) and found none of these lines to display expression in ADL. The previously reported expression of these transgenes in ADL was likely an array artifact that affected the repressive effect of the homeodomain binding sites described here. (B) lin-11 fosmid reporter expression is not affected in hlh-4 null mutants. (C) ADL-mediated chemosensory behavior toward C9 ascaroside. Statistical significances shown were calculated with the one-way ANOVA Dunnett’s test. (D) Effect of lin-11 null mutants on terminal ADL markers, alone or in combination with hlh-4 null mutants. The previously reported partial effect of lin-11 on the brightness of expression of an eat-4 fosmid (otIs388) [51] could not be repeated with this or other eat-4 reporter transgenes. The data from hlh-4 and N2 are repeated (from Figs 3A, 4) in the graph for ease of
neurogenetics [2,3]. The subsequent cloning of vertebrate AS-C and Atonal homologs has revealed the deep conservation of this fundamental neural patterning mechanism [1,6–8]. We have described here a novel functional property of an AS-C gene, demonstrating that \( C_{\text{ele}} \), \( \text{hlh-4} \) joins the rank of terminal selector-type transcription factors that act in postmitotic neuron classes to initiate and maintain the differentiated state of a specific, postmitotic neuron class. \( \text{hlh-4} \) displays all the hallmarks of a terminal selector [62,63]: it is required for initiation of the terminal differentiation program of the ADL neuron pair, it is continually expressed throughout the life of the neuron (suggesting that it also maintains neuronal identity), this continuous expression is mediated by direct autoregulation via HLH-2/HLH-4 binding sites in the \( \text{hlh-2} \) and \( \text{hlh-4} \) loci, and, most importantly, \( \text{hlh-4} \) controls the vast majority of neuron class–specific genes whose combinatorial coexpression defines ADL identity, yet it does not control generic neuronal features (panneuronal and pansensory features). Hence, exactly like other terminal selectors [62,63], \( \text{hlh-4} \) separates the adoption of neuron type–specific features (\( \text{hlh-4} \)-dependent) from the acquisition of an overall, panneuronal/pansensory identity (\( \text{hlh-4} \)-independent) (Fig 8A). It is important to precisely appreciate this fundamental dichotomy in neuronal gene expression programs, repeatedly observed in many different neuron classes and corroborated here by the \( \text{hlh-4} \) mutant phenotype: as schematized in Fig 8A, genes that are expressed in specific subsets of neuron classes are terminal selector dependent, while genes that are expressed in a non-neuron-class–specific manner are regulated by independent means [60].

The terminal selector function of \( \text{hlh-4} \) is likely exerted in collaboration with the canonical AS-C cofactor, \( \text{hlh-2}\)/Da, which shares with \( \text{hlh-4} \) the unusual feature of postmitotic expression throughout the life of the ADL neuron class. \( \text{hlh-2} \) is also continuously expressed in a small number of additional neuron classes, but its function in these neurons remains unknown. In yeast one-hybrid assays, HLH-4/HLH-2 has been shown to bind to the CACCTG sequence that we describe here [30]. While the HLH-4/HLH2 complex and its cognate binding site is essential—and at least in some context also sufficient—for gene expression in ADL, it is unlikely to act on its own. With its 6-bp length, the recognition element of the HLH-4/HLH-2 heterodimer occurs too frequently in the genome to direct HLH-2/HLH-4 exclusively to ADL-expressed genes. We find that the LIM homeobox gene \( \text{lin-11} \) assists \( \text{hlh-4} \) in the regulation of some but not all \( \text{hlh-4} \)-dependent target genes. As no DNA cis-Regulatory motif was found to be significantly enriched in ADL-expressed genes by our bioinformatic analysis in addition to the E-box, we propose that \( \text{hlh-4} \) is a central core inducer of all ADL-specific genes but may be assisted in its function, i.e., provided the proper specificity, by interaction with a suite of distinct, target gene–dependent collaborating factors, such as \( \text{lin-11} \) and perhaps other, as yet to be discovered factors (Fig 8B).

Previous work on AS-C genes in worms has revealed that the AS-C-type \( \text{hlh-14} \) gene acts as a conventional proneural gene during early embryonic patterning to specify the neuronal identity of an AB-blastomere-derived lineage branch that produces several sensory neurons, including ADL [12]. In the absence of \( \text{hlh-14} \), cells in this lineage branch convert to a hypodermal identity [12] (Fig 8C). Hence, the ADL neuron depends on the successive activity of two distinct AS-C-type genes, one acting as a conventional proneural gene (\( \text{hlh-14} \)), followed by \( \text{hlh-4} \), which acts in a subbranch of this lineage, to specify terminal ADL identity (Fig 8C). Whether \( \text{hlh-14} \) directly activates \( \text{hlh-4} \) expression is presently unclear. Notably, though, the
Fig 8. Schematized *hlh-4* functions. (A) Overall logic of *hlh-4*/terminal selector function. "0" indicates gene/feature not expressed; "1" indicates expressed (this binary scheme is a simplification). Like other terminal selectors, *hlh-4* genetically separates the adoption of neuron-specific features, i.e., genes expressed in specific parts of the nervous system from the adoption of a panneuronal identity. Rather than being defined by genes uniquely expressed in ADL, ADL identity is uniquely defined by a combinatorial signature of genes expressed in multiple neuron types. If those genes show selective expression in other neuron types, they are terminal selector.
E-box motif in the \textit{hlh-4} locus that is required for maintaining \textit{hlh-4} expression is not required for initiation of \textit{hlh-4} expression in the embryo.

Even though a proneural function of AS-C-type genes is clearly a deeply conserved function of bHLH genes, our findings prompt the intriguing question as to whether a function of bHLH genes in directly controlling the differentiated state of a neuron may have been an even more ancestral function of AS-C-type bHLH genes. In support of such notion, the AS-C ortholog in the cnidarian \textit{Hydra magnipapillata}, \textit{Cnash}, was previously reported to not be expressed in neuronal precursors but rather in differentiating and mature neurons, leading the authors of that report to postulate a role of \textit{hydrash} in initiating and maintaining the neuronal phenotype [64], exactly as we propose here for \textit{C. elegans hlh-4}. Loss of function studies of the AS-C orthology \textit{NvashA} of the sea anemone \textit{Nematostella vectensis} cannot distinguish between a proneural versus terminal differentiation role [65].

Subsequent to such terminal differentiation role, an “ur-” bHLH may then have become co-opted into more upstream regulatory events in proliferating blast cells. A somewhat similar trajectory has been proposed for the Pax6/Eyeless gene, originating with a function in regulating lens protein to subsequent recruitment to earlier steps of eye development [66]. Of course, it is also conceivable that the terminal selector function of \textit{hlh-4} may be a derived feature, one that perhaps came into existence via the acquisition of an E-box motif in the \textit{hlh-4} locus that lead to \textit{hlh-4} expression being “locked” into a terminal and continuous function. More detailed expression pattern analysis of AS-C and Ato-like genes in the adult nervous system of other species will provide hints whether \textit{hlh-4}-like, terminal selector functions may also be carried by AS-C/Atonal genes in other organisms. In fact, such function may be conceivable in an already previously reported case. \textit{Drosophila} Atonal is expressed in mature dorsal cluster neurons in the dorsolateral CNS of the flies [67]. In these neurons, Ato has no proneural function but instead serves to control arborization patterns. However, whether Ato has an impact as broad as \textit{hlh-4} on controlling the differentiated state of these neurons is not yet known.

\textit{C. elegans sox-2}/\textit{SoxB1} is another gene whose orthologs in other organisms (SoxB factors) act in early neuronal patterning [68] but that has become employed as a terminal selector in \textit{C. elegans} [69,70]. Here again, the question is whether such late role is a reflection of an ancestral or derived function of this gene. It is important to keep in mind that the existence of such late functions (in addition to the well-characterized early functions) may have very easily escaped detection in other organisms, because straight knockout approaches will only reveal the early function of a gene in the lineage. Only if an early function is not existent, as apparently is the case for \textit{sox-2} and \textit{hlh-4}, will a late function be revealed with relative ease using standard genetic loss of function, i.e., straight knockout approaches (this paper) [69,70].

Defining \textit{hlh-4} as a terminal selector of ADL identity sheds additional mechanistic context on previous studies about the feeding state–dependent regulation of a sensory-type GPCR gene, \textit{srh-234}, in the ADL neuron [35,71]. Focusing on this specific gene, the authors found that the MEF-2 transcription factor, a well-known mediator of neuron activity–dependent processes in many different organisms [72], down-regulates \textit{hlh-4}-dependent \textit{srh-234} expression under starvation conditions. This effect is mediated via a MEF-2 binding site in the \textit{srh-}
234 locus that is located next to the HLH-4/HLH-2 binding E-box [35]. Together with our description of a broad role of *hlh-4* in controlling the differentiated state of ADL, an intersectional strategy of a "genetically hardwired" identity factor with a condition-dependent factor becomes apparent. Such an intersectional strategy could perhaps be a general strategy to explain the cellular specificity of broadly acting signals that convey environmental or physiological information.

One of the remarkable features of the chemosensory system of *C. elegans* is the coexpression of multiple sensory receptors of the GPCR family in individual neuron types [44–46]. Even though the expression of only about one fifth of *C. elegans* chemosensory-type GPCRs has been examined so far [46], there are several chemosensory neurons that coexpress several dozens of GPCRs. This tremendous extent of coexpression only applies to a select set of chemosensory neurons, with the most prominent set being the nociceptive ADL, ASH, PHA, and PHB neurons [46]. One could have imagined several scenarios by which such coexpression is controlled. A previous bioinformatic analysis already strongly hinted toward coregulation of coexpressed GPCRs via a common *cis*-Regulatory motif [45]. However, it is only through the present analysis that we can conclude that a single *trans*-acting factor instructs, apparently via direct binding to a *cis*-Regulatory element shared by most if not all coexpressed GPCRs, the enormously broad spectrum of chemosensory capacities of one of these nociceptive neurons, ADL.

Supporting information

**S1 Fig. Cellular expression of drivers used for *hlh-4* misexpression.** (A) Expression of *arrd-4* promoter in all ciliated sensory neurons. (B) Expression of a 568-bp fragment upstream of the *unc-3* coding region fused to *rfp*, kindly provided by John Kerk. Expression is observed in cholinergic ventral cord motor neurons and presently unidentified head neurons. Whether these neurons reflect the endogenous sites of *unc-3* expression has not been determined but is irrelevant for the purpose of *hlh-4* misexpression.

**S1 Table. ADL expressed genes.** Listed are all known ADL expressed genes (as per Wormbase) except genes that are either not clear terminal markers (TFs and RNP) or not neuron-type specific (pan-ciliary genes); such genes were part of the FIRE analysis but are not shown here. Bold: training dataset for original FIRE analysis. Green, non-bold: known to be expressed in ADL but not included in the training set for FIRE analysis. Blue, non-bold: gfp fusions generated in this paper. Green shade: conserved in all species that have orthologs; red shade: no motif in ortholog. The E-box motifs of *srh-132, srh-186, sri-51, srh-220, sro-1, hlh-2, nlp-7, nlp-10, osm-9, gpa-1, cam-1*, and *tax-6* sites were also bioinformatically identified in [45]. FIRE, Finding Informative Regulatory Elements; RNP, RNA binding protein; TF, transcription factor.

**S2 Table. Top 1,000 hits from TargetOrtho search with HLH-2/HLH-4 E-box motif.**

**S3 Table. Strain list.**

**S1 Data. Numerical values for graphs.** These datasets provide the numerical values for the graphs shown in **Fig 2, Fig 3, Fig 4** and **Fig 7.**
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