Codon optimality controls differential mRNA translation during amino acid starvation
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ABSTRACT
It is common wisdom that codon usage bias has evolved in the selection for efficient translation, in which highly expressed genes are encoded predominantly by optimal codons. However, a growing body of evidence suggests regulatory roles for non-optimal codons in translation dynamics. Here we report that in mammalian cells, non-optimal codons play a critical role in promoting selective mRNA translation during amino acid starvation. During starvation, in contrast to genes encoding ribosomal proteins whose translation is highly sensitive to amino acid deprivation, translation of genes involved in the cellular protein degradation pathways remains unaffected. We found that these two gene groups bear different codon composition, with non-optimal codons being highly enriched in genes encoding the ubiquitin–proteasome system. Supporting the selective tRNA charging model originally proposed in Escherichia coli, we demonstrated that tRNA isoacceptors decoding rare codons are maintained in translating ribosomes under amino acid starvation. Finally, using luciferase reporters fused with endogenous gene-derived sequences, we show that codon optimality contributes to differential mRNA translation in response to amino acid starvation. These results highlight the physiological significance of codon usage bias in cellular adaptation to stress.
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INTRODUCTION
In a post-genomic era, the importance of translational control in gene expression has been increasingly appreciated (Schwanhausser et al. 2011). Under adverse conditions, many stress-signaling pathways converge on key translational factors, thereby attenuating global protein synthesis (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch 2009; Spriggs et al. 2010). However, subsets of mRNAs undergo selective translation to produce proteins that are vital for cell survival and stress recovery (Liu and Qian 2014). This stress adaptation is particularly important under nutrient deprivation. In response to scarcity of essential nutrients like amino acids, cells undergo reprogramming to up-regulate cellular degradation pathways including the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway (UPP) and autophagy (He and Klionsky 2009). As a result, efficient degradation of cellular proteins ensures recycling of amino acids when the external supply is lost. Amino acids are building blocks for protein synthesis. It is not surprising that mRNA translation is tightly coupled to nutrient sensing systems such as the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) and the general control nondepressible 2 (GCN2) kinases (Wek et al. 2006; Ma and Blenis 2009). mTORC1 phosphorylates multiple targets that concerted ly regulate protein metabolism. For instance, through phosphorylation of 4E-binding protein (4E-BP), mTORC1 increases cap-dependent translation (Ma and Blenis 2009; Zoncu et al. 2011). Conversely, through inhibition of Unc51-like kinases 1/2 (Ulk1/2), mTORC1 suppresses autophagy (Mizushima 2010). Upon nutrient deprivation, suppressed mTORC1 signaling not only reduces global protein synthesis but also triggers activation of the autophagy pathway (He and Klionsky 2009). Additionally, phosphorylation of the serine amino acid residue 51 (Ser-51) in the eukaryotic initiation factor 2α by GCN2 mediates translation control during nutrient deprivation (Dever et al. 1992; Berlanga et al. 1999; Sood et al. 2000). Despite the progress in our understanding of these signaling pathways, it remains puzzling how cells maintain continuous synthesis of proteins essential for the degradation pathway under the limited supply of amino acids. Neither the specific translation-promoting features of these messages nor the regulatory mechanism has been clearly defined.

During translation, ribosomes rely on aminoacylated (charged) tRNAs to read codons in mRNAs. Since there are...
61 sense codons for only 20 amino acids, each amino acid is often encoded by multiple synonymous codons. Notably, synonymous codons are not present in equal frequencies and such codon usage bias is pervasive across species, genomes, as well as individual genes (Plotkin and Kudla 2011). Similarly, tRNA isoacceptors carrying the same amino acid are not uniformly expressed in cells (Bulmer 1987). Recent studies reported differential tRNA expression levels between cellular proliferation and differentiation (Gingold et al. 2014), highlighting the critical role of tRNA concentrations in fundamental biological processes. The concept of codon optimality reflects the balance between the supply of charged tRNAs in the cytosolic pool and the demand of tRNA usage by translating ribosomes. It is generally believed that optimal codons are decoded faster and more accurately by the ribosome than non-optimal codons (Drummond and Wilke 2009). Consistent with this notion, there is a predominant use of optimal codons in highly expressed genes (Sharp and Li 1986; Hershberg and Petrov 2008; Tuller et al. 2010). In contrast, non-optimal codons are postulated to slow down translation elongation. By tuning the elongation rate, codon usage bias has been reported to influence the behavior of nascent chains, including cotranslational folding as well as interaction with the signal recognition particle (SRP) (Pechmann et al. 2014; Yu et al. 2015).

Despite severe reported effects, it is not clearly established whether synonymous codon choices imbue certain messages with different translational potential, and whether this can influence their expression during stress conditions, especially during starvation. Intriguingly, amino acid starvation induces selective charging of rare tRNA isoacceptors in Escherichia coli, as initially predicted by a theoretical model (Elf et al. 2003) and later confirmed by experimental evidence (Dittmar et al. 2005). Hence it seems likely that the codon composition in eukaryotic genomes could contribute to selective mRNA translation in response to amino acid deprivation. In this study, we have addressed this important question in the context of messages encoding the UPP system. We demonstrate that codon optimality has a broad and powerful contribution to differential translation of these genes in response to amino acid starvation in mammalian cells. To gain mechanistic insights into starvation adaptation in mammalian cells, we took advantage of genome-wide ribosome profiling, global codon usage analysis, quantitative tRNA arrays, and luciferase reporter assays. Our data reveal a previously unappreciated mechanism underlying cellular adaptation to amino acid shortage at the level of mRNA translation.

RESULTS

Differential mRNA translation during amino acid starvation

To determine the global effect of amino acid starvation on mRNA translation, we performed ribosome profiling (Ribo-seq) on HEK293 cells grown either in complete media or in amino acid-depleted media for 1 h (Gao et al. 2015). Ribo-seq provides a snapshot of ribosome positions on the mRNA at a given time. We computed the relative translation efficiency (TE) of individual transcripts by normalizing Ribo-seq data to mRNA levels measured by RNA-seq. While a large group of genes underwent translational attenuation upon starvation (486 genes with a decrease of more than threefold), a considerable number of genes exhibited translational up-regulation (735 genes with an increase of more than threefold) (Fig. 1A). In line with previous studies (Ingolia et al. 2009; Gao et al. 2015), genes with substantial translational suppression are enriched with ribosome biogenesis and translation as revealed by gene ontology (GO) analysis (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Wilcoxon test showed that the fold change of translation genes is significantly lower than the genome average (0.33 of translation vs. 1.42 of genome, \( P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)). Genes showing resistance to translational attenuation demonstrated a rather broad category and none of the GO terms were significantly enriched (Supplemental Fig. S1A). However, despite the lack of a significant difference with respect to the genome (Wilcoxon test value 1.40 of UPP genes vs. 1.42 of genome, \( P = 0.85 \)), nearly all the genes encoding UPP and autophagy pathways maintained or up-regulated their translation efficiency during amino acid deprivation (Fig. 1B).

Eukaryotic 26S proteasome is an ATP-dependent protease that works in tandem with the ubiquitin system to break down proteins in the cell (Adams 2003; Murata et al. 2009). The proteasome is a multi-subunit protein, where three subunits have hydrolytic activity as threonine proteases for the cleavage of peptide bonds. These activities are caspase-like activity (or peptidylglutamyl-peptide hydrolyzing activity), trypsin-like activity, and chymotrypsin-like activity, respectively (Murata et al. 2009). Direct measurement of proteasome function showed a rapid increase of chymotrypsin activities in cells upon amino acid withdrawal (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Given the critical role of degradation pathways in recycling internal amino acids, it makes intuitive sense that the up-regulation of UPP and autophagy-related proteins is essential for cell survival during starvation.

To validate the ribosome profiling results, we directly measured the distribution of several UPP transcripts between monosome and polysome fractions in cells with or without starvation (Fig. 1C). A recent study by Heyer and Moore has shown that a certain subset of mRNAs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae can be translated by monosomes (Heyer and Moore 2016). However, the study did not show that UPP genes are translated by monosomes. Since it is generally believed that most actively translated mRNAs are enriched in polysomes, whereas inactive mRNAs are associated with monosomes (Arava et al. 2003), we used the polysome to monosome ratio to help us determine whether a particular mRNA is efficiently or poorly translated. As expected, during starvation genes encoding ubiquitin-activating enzymes (UBA1,
UBA3), NEDD8-activating enzyme (NAE1), and autophagy-related gene (ATG7) showed little changes or even increased mRNA levels in the polysome (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. S2). In contrast, genes encoding housekeeping proteins, and ribosomal proteins RPS5, RPS11, RPL6, and RPL28 showed evident reduction of their transcripts in polysome fractions upon amino acid starvation (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. S2). Therefore, translation of UPP mRNA subsets is clearly resistant to amino acid starvation.

Codon optimality contributes to differential mRNA translation during amino acid starvation

Under adverse conditions like amino acid deprivation, translational response mainly occurs at the stage of initiation (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch 2009; Spriggs et al. 2010). However, for mRNAs undergoing selective translation, we lack a clear understanding of how elongation proceeds under the shortage of charged tRNAs. Having found the differential translation of mRNAs encoding the UPP genes, in contrast to ribosomal genes in response to amino acid deprivation, we considered whether the codon composition of these two gene groups might be different. We examined the relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) profiles of UPP genes (up-regulated TE) and ribosomal genes (downregulated TE) (Fig. 2A). Using the codon usage frequency in the human genome as a reference, we separated rare codons from common codons. Although common codons exhibit no distinct patterns between the two gene groups, rare codons are more enriched in UPP genes. Some rare codons such as ATA (isoleucine), TTA, and CTA (leucine) are abundant in the majority of UPP genes, but absent in many genes encoding ribosomal proteins. We further confirmed this finding using the tRNA adaptation index (tAI) (dos Reis et al. 2004), an alternative measure of codon usage that is measured by taking into consideration the relative copy number of tRNA isoacceptors (Fig. 2B). The frequency of non-optimal codons is significantly higher in UPP genes than in ribosomal genes (Mann Whitney test, \( P < 0.0001 \)). The frequency of non-optimal codons in UPP genes was also higher than the genome average (Fig. 2B).

Next, we decided to question whether the differential response of UPP vs. ribosomal protein-related genes could be attributed to distinct codon adaptation in response to amino acid limitation. We found that, for both gene groups, starvation-induced changes of translation efficiency are negatively correlated with the codon adaptation index (CAI) \( (r = -0.6316) \) (Fig. 2C). The CAI value of a gene is the cumulative score of the synonymous codon usage bias of the codons that make up that gene. A negative correlation between CAI values and starvation-induced TE changes suggested that in both these gene families common-codon enrichment leads to higher sensitivity to starvation (as evident in ribosomal genes). In contrast, rare codon-enriched genes become more resistant to amino acid shortage, thereby achieving selective mRNA translation (as evident in UPP genes). The correlation between starvation-induced translation efficiency and CAI does not hold
for the entire genome (Supplemental Fig. S3). This is not surprising because synonymous codon usage patterns are created in the genome to reflect the action of natural selection (Plotkin and Kudla 2011). The relationship between TE and codon bias observed in UPP and ribosomal genes is perhaps an adaptive response to starvation acquired through evolution.

**Rare tRNAs are enriched inelongating ribosomes during amino acid starvation**

How does the presence of rare codons promote mRNA translation during amino acid starvation? We wondered if it is possible that the messages containing more non-optimal codons are less sensitive to the limited supply of charged tRNA molecules. According to the “selective tRNA charging” model, proposed and validated for *E. coli* (Elf et al. 2003), a general prediction is that the charged levels of tRNA isoacceptors depend on the ratios between their total concentrations and the frequencies at which their cognate codons appear in the transcriptome. When the supply of amino acids becomes rate limiting, the charged levels of certain tRNA isoacceptors decoding common codons will approach zero, but not the isoacceptors for rare codons. To provide direct evidence that this theory also holds in mammalian cells, we examined ribosome-associated tRNA levels using a microarray method. Similar to ribosome profiling, we collected RNase I-digested polyribosome samples followed by isolation of tRNA species occupying E, P, and A sites of the ribosome (Supplemental Fig. S4A). In parallel, we purified total tRNA molecules from whole cell lysates as control. Hybridization of radiolabeled tRNA samples to specific probes revealed relative ratios of charged tRNA molecules in translating ribosomes. While cell lysates contained both nuclear and mitochondrial tRNA species, ribosome samples were highly enriched with nuclear-encoded tRNAs (Supplemental Fig. S4B). Therefore, ribosome-associated tRNA species represent the pool of tRNA isoacceptors actively engaging in translation. To further validate that the tRNA microarrays are an accurate depiction of tRNAs associated with A/P/E ribosome sites, we performed the microarray experiment on RNA obtained from total cell lysate (total RNA), polysome fractions of cells treated with cycloheximide (CHX), and monosome fractions of cells treated with lactimidomycin (LTM). LTM treatment freezes ribosomes in the initiation step of translation (Lee et al. 2012). The microarray clearly shows an enrichment of initiator-tRNA<sub>Met</sub> in the LTM-treated sample (Supplemental Fig. S5).

Next we used the microarrays to compare the landscape of ribosome-associated tRNAs from cells with and without amino acid starvation (Fig. 3A). Although there was no clear tRNA pattern clustered by amino acids, we observed remarkable differences of tRNA isoacceptors decoding the same amino acid (Fig. 3B). For instance, rare tRNAs leucine isoacceptors, tRNA<sub>Leu</sub><sup>1</sup> (UUA1/2), showed increased signals in starvation samples, whereas the most abundant leucine isoacceptor, tRNA<sub>Leu</sub><sup>2</sup> (CAG), exhibited a concomitant decrease. The similar trend holds true for isoacceptors of tRNA<sup>Ser</sup>, tRNA<sup>Thr</sup>, and tRNA<sup>Val</sup>. In particular, there is a strong inverse
correlation between the changes of tRNA isoacceptors and their codon usage fractions (Fig. 3C). Therefore, the abundant tRNA isoacceptors are more likely depleted from translating ribosomes than the rare tRNAs under limited amino acid supply. This result is consistent with the observation in *E. coli* where tRNA isoacceptors that read rare codons retain high charging levels during starvation (Dittmar et al. 2005). As an additional test we measured the charging level of various tRNA<sup>Leu</sup> isoacceptors in control and amino acid starved cells using a qRT-PCR-based method (Loayza-Puch et al. 2016). Our results show that the charging level of all the abundant isoacceptors decreased while the charging level of rare isoacceptors tRNA<sup>Leu</sup>(UAA1/2) increased during amino acid starvation (Fig. 3D). The level of charged initiator-methionine tRNA (i-Met) remained the same upon starvation, as observed in our array data (Fig. 3B, D). The tRNA charging data further validate our hypothesis that during starvation rare tRNA isoacceptors are enriched in translating ribosomes, and this causes the translation of messages containing rare codons to be relatively resistant to amino acid deprivation.

**Codon composition influences translation efficiency during amino acid starvation**

To further demonstrate the correlation between codon usage and translational potential during amino acid starvation, we constructed firefly luciferase (Fluc) reporters by fusing an extra 15-codon sequence at the NH< sub >2</ sub > terminus (Fig. 4A). Sequences containing rare codons were chosen from UPP genes (NAE1 and UBA3), whereas sequences enriched with common codons were derived from genes encoding ribosomal proteins (RPL41 and RPL28). Consistent with the behavior of endogenous genes, chimeric reporters bearing either NAE1 or UBA3 sequences maintained Fluc levels in transfected cells exposed to amino acid starvation (Fig. 4B). In contrast, reporters bearing the RPL41 or RPL28 sequences showed reduced Fluc levels during amino acid deprivation. Therefore, addition of sequences with different codon usage leads to a distinct translational response to amino acid shortage.

We next swapped the synonymous codons in these reporters to validate the role of codon composition in starvation-induced translational regulation. Without changing
the encoded amino acid, we replaced the rare codons with the common codons in reporters containing sequences derived from UPP genes (Fig. 4A). Remarkably, these mutant reporters regained the translational response after amino acid starvation by showing reduced Fluc levels in transfected cells (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, when the common codons of RPL41 or RPL28 were changed to the corresponding rare codons, these reporters maintained their translation efficiency in transfected cells upon amino acid deprivation (Fig. 4B). Additionally, we tested the total mRNA levels of all four reporters in control and starvation conditions; our data showed that the total mRNA levels for all of them remain unaltered during starvation (Fig. 4C). The polysome distribution of the reporter mRNAs during control and amino acid starvation exhibited the same pattern as observed for the native mRNAs (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Fig. S6). Together, these results firmly establish the critical role of codon optimality in translational adaptation in response to starvation.

DISCUSSION

Codon usage bias is a universal feature of all genomes and has been proposed to regulate translation efficiency, accuracy, mRNA stability, and protein folding (Plotkin and Kudla 2011; Presnyak et al. 2015). Although it is widely believed that codons adapted to tRNA pools might be preferentially used in highly expressed genes, the precise nature of fitness gain associated with translational adaptation remains a topic of active debate. Despite the fact that “codon optimization” might lead to enhanced protein production, maintaining rare codons in genomes could serve beneficial purposes in gene expression. Two recent studies using Neurospora and Synechococcus elongate reported that rare codons present in circadian genes play a critical role in maintaining the circadian rhythm (Xu et al. 2013; Zhou et al. 2013). In yeast cells, genes encoding membrane and secretory proteins are enriched with rare codons at positions critical for SRP recognition (Pechmann et al. 2014). All these phenomena rely on rare codon-mediated translation slowdown that potentially influences co-translational folding (Yu et al. 2015). Our present work demonstrates a surprising role for non-optimal codons in promoting mRNA translation during amino acid starvation. Our findings not only expand the functional role of codon usage but also uncover an important cellular adaptation mechanism in response to amino acid deprivation.

Amino acids are essential cellular nutrients, hence it is imperative for cells to adapt to amino acid deprivation via
multiple mechanisms. Up-regulation of the UPP pathway ensures efficient protein degradation and recycling of amino acids when the external supply is limited (He and Klionsky 2009). We have previously reported that UPP mRNAs maintained their translation potential during amino acid starvation (Gao et al. 2015), although the underlying mechanism remained elusive. It has been suggested that by sensing the presence of nutrients, activated mammalian TOR complex 1 (mTORC1) signals to various components of the translation initiation machinery to regulate cap-dependent translation (Gingras et al. 2004; Hay and Sonenberg 2004). mTOR-dependent translation reprogramming in vivo has been studied by using ribosome profiling (Hsieh et al. 2012). Treatment of pancreatic cancer cells with mTOR inhibitor PP242 and rapamycin affected the translation efficiency of several target mRNAs. UPP mRNAs were not identified in this group of mTOR responsive genes. It is possible, however, that the decreased polysome concentration and reduced TE of the ribosomal genes could be the result of diminished mTOR signaling. However, our data strongly indicate that the nature of codons present in the mRNAs can also play an important role in the translation of these mRNAs, especially during amino acid starvation.

Additionally, computational simulation studies suggest that initiation is rate-limiting under normal growth conditions but elongation becomes the limiting step under severe amino acid starvation (Firczuk et al. 2013; Racle et al. 2013; Shah et al. 2013). In E. coli, genes encoding amino acid biosynthetic enzymes preferentially use codons that are poorly adapted to the typical pool of charged tRNAs, but are well adapted to starvation-induced tRNA pools (Dittmar et al. 2005). We, for the first time, demonstrate the presence of a similar mechanism in mammalian cells, by which UPP mRNAs are selectively translated during amino acid starvation. Our results reveal a coordinated regulation between amino acid availability, tRNA charging, and selective mRNA translation. It highlights the physiological significance of codon usage bias in cellular adaptation and survival.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. For amino acid starvation treatments, the cells were grown in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) supplemented with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum. Cells were treated with 100 µg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) for 3 min prior to ribosome profiling (Ribo-seq) experiments.

Ribosome profiling and data analysis

Ribo-seq and RNA-seq were performed based on the reported protocol (Gao et al. 2015). Briefly, cells were treated with CHX (100 µg/mL) for 3 min at 37°C and then lysed in polysome buffer (pH 7.4, 10 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 100 µg/mL CHX) by vortexing for 20 sec using Lysing Matrix-D (Fisher) for five times with an interval of 40 sec on ice. The cleared lysates were separated by sedimentation through sucrose gradients (15%–45% w/v). Collected polysome fractions were digested with RNase I and the ribosome protected fragments (RPFs) were size selected and purified by gel extraction. After library construction, deep sequencing was performed using Illumina HiSEQ2000. The trimmed RPF reads were first mapped by Tophat to transcriptome (Ensembl release 70). Non-uniquely mapped reads were disregarded for further analysis due to ambiguity. The 13th position (12 nt offset from the 5’ end) of the uniquely mapped read was defined as the ribosomal “P-site” position. The quantification of P-site was completed by mapping uniquely mapped reads to each individual mRNA transcript according to the NCBI Refseq gene annotation. Thirty-one and 39 million Ribo-seq reads were obtained for control and amino acid (AA) starvation, respectively, similarly 10 and 16 million reads were obtained for RNA-seq. These uniquely mapped reads were counted to calculate the mRNA and ribosome footprint RPKM values for all transcripts. For each transcript, the translation efficiency (TE) was calculated by dividing ribosome footprint RPKM by the corresponding mRNA RPKM. The fold change of translation efficiency was calculated by the ratio of TE in control vs. the TE in AA starvation. The genes with TE fold change >2 and <0.5 were used for Gene Ontology analysis (GO analysis). GO analyses were performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID). GO term enrichment was summarized and visualized with REVIGO.

Global codon usage calculation and other data analysis

Structures of Refseq protein-coding genes were downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser. To create the relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) profile for individual translation and UPP-related genes, the transcript isoform with the longest coding sequence (CDS) length was selected as the representative transcript. Each of the 61 sense codons was counted, respectively, on individual mRNA CDS, and the individual codon count was later divided by the total number of codons of CDS to obtain relative codon usage. RSCU value for every codon was calculated under the assumption of equal usage of the synonymous codons for an amino acid. tRNA adaption index (tAI) calculations were performed according to previously published protocol (dos Reis et al. 2004). CAI values for the genes were calculated using the Biopython package. The statistical analysis throughout the manuscript was performed using Statistical Package R, and all other computation analysis was performed using in-house programming.

Isolation and labeling of tRNA from RPFs

HEK293 cells grown in control and starvation media were treated with CHX (100 µg/mL for 3 min) and ribosome-protected fragments (RPFs) were isolated as described in Ribo-seq experiments. Total RNA was obtained from RPFs using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA (5 µg) was labeled with radioactive γ-32P-ATP and separated on 10% TBE-urea acrylamide gels. The tRNA band on the gel was visualized with a phosphor-imager and excised based on the expected size. The tRNA was then eluted in 450 µL of crush and soak buffer (50 mM KOAc, 200 mM KCl, pH 7.5) with rocking at 4°C overnight. After
centrifugation, ethanol precipitation was performed to obtain the clean tRNA samples.

Hybridization and microarray analysis

Hybridization and microarray analysis was performed based on previously reported protocols (Saikia et al. 2012). Briefly, the labeled tRNA sample was first dissolved in microarray hybridization buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 20 μg of salmon sperm DNA and 10 μg of poly(A). This mixture was then applied to the hybridization chamber of the GeneTAC Hyb4 station (Genomic Solutions). The following program was used for hybridization: 75°C (2 min), 60°C (probe introduction), 90°C (5 min), and 60°C hybridization for 16 h. Following hybridization, the slides were washed on the Hyb4 station twice with 2× SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 50°C, twice with 0.1× SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 42°C, and twice with 0.1× SSC at 42°C. Slides were then removed from the station, rinsed with 0.1× SSC, and dried by centrifugation. The slides were then exposed to the phosphor-imager to visualize the signals deriving from the hybridized tRNAs. Microarray slides were imaged using a FUJI BAS scanner. 32P intensities were quantified and corrected for background noise using Fuji BAS software. The median values for eight replicate spots were obtained for each tRNA and divided by the mean of two added tRNA standards (E. coli tRNA^Lys and yeast tRNA^Glu) to obtain the normalized signal for each tRNA in a particular sample.

Luciferase assay

Cells were transfected with the luciferase reporter for 24 h followed by splitting equally in two wells of a six-well plate for another 24 h incubation. The cells were then subjected to either complete (control) or amino acid depleted media (starvation) for 1 h. Cell pellets were lysed in reporter lysis buffer (Promega) followed by centrifugation to clear the lysates. Luminescence reactions were initiated with Promega DLR (100 μl.; Promega) added to the lysates (30 μl.). Luciferase activities were measured using a Synergy 2 Luminescence Plate Reader (Biotek).

Proteasome activity assay

HEK293 cells were plated equally (10,000 cells per well) in 96-well white-walled plates. The wells were subjected to amino acid starvation conditions for various time points (0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 h, respectively). Proteasome-Glo Cell-Based Reagent (Promega, catalog #G8660) was prepared as per manufacturer’s protocol and an equal volume was added to each well. The content of the plate was mixed at 700 RPM for 2 min and then incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Luminescence was read using a Synergy 2 Luminescence Plate Reader (Biotek).

tRNA-charging assay

The tRNA-charging assay protocol was adapted from a recent publication (Loayza-Puch et al. 2016). Briefly, RNA was isolated using acetate-saturated phenol/CHCl3, (pH 4.8). Precipitated RNA was resuspended in 10 mM NaOAc/HOAc (pH 4.8). Samples were split in two, one half (5 μg) was oxidized with 50 mM NaIO4 for 30 min at room temperature and the other half (5 μg) was incubated in 50 mM NaCl. Samples were quenched with 100 mM glucose for 5 min at room temperature, purified in G25 columns (GE Healthcare), and then ethanol precipitated. tRNAs were deacylated in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 9) for 30 min at 37°C. RNA was precipitated and then ligated to the 3’ adaptor (5′-5rApp/TGGAATTCGGAAGTTCCCAAGG/3′) using T4 RNA ligase 2 (NEB) for 4 h at 37°C. Relative aminoacylation levels were calculated by qRT-PCR using tRNA-specific primers. Due to similar sequences in some cases, multiple tRNA isoacceptors were detected using the same primer. Primer sequences are as follows: reverse primer, GCCTTGGCCACCGGAATTTCCA; tRNA Leu(CAG/CAA) primer, GTGAGGA TGCCGAGCGG GT; tRNA Leu(IAG/UAG) primer, GGAGGA TGCGAGCGG GT; tRNA Leu(TAA1/2) primer, ACCAGATGCGCGA GTGG GA; i-Met, AGCAGATGCGCGAC CGCG.

Additional methods

Total RNAs from fractions of polyribosome analysis were prepared using TRIzol (Invitrogen). mRNA levels were monitored by RT-qPCR using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The primer sets used for qPCR are included in Supplemental Table S1.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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