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1. Introduction

The global call for carbon neutrality is becoming more and more intense. The United Nations Secretary General António Guterres said that achieving carbon neutrality by 2050 is the most urgent mission facing the world today. Global carbon emissions should be reduced by 45% from 2010 levels by 2030. A number of countries and regions around the world have set carbon-neutral schedules, including the United States, Canada, the Republic of Korea, Japan, and the European Union. These countries and regions have declared a goal of achieving carbon neutrality by 2050, and China has announced a goal of achieving carbon neutrality by 2060. In the case of China, the 14th Five-Year Plan,
adopted in 2021, clearly places green development in an important strategic position. The demand for green infrastructure will continue to increase in China. In 2016, China included green finance in the agenda of the G20 summit for the first time. Internationally, the EU steel industry started the ULCOS “Ultra-low CARBON dioxide emissions” project in 2004, aimed at reducing the carbon emissions per ton of steel by 50% by 2050. In 2008, the Republic of Korea issued the strategy of low carbon & green growth, which provides a strong and favorable policy to guarantee the development of green finance, and a series of green finance schemes were launched in 2009.

FD plays a vital role in carbon reduction (Kayani et al. 2020, Khan & Ozturk 2021, Pata 2018). FD can reduce carbon emissions through different channels, including promoting industrial structure and attracting foreign investment (Abokyi et al. 2019), accelerating technological innovations (Zagorchev et al. 2011). The decarbonization of the steel and iron industry requires application of clean energy (Zhang et al. 2021) and adjustment of industrial structure. For example, Japan's COURSE 50 low-carbon metallurgy project has been in operation for more than 10 years, and the hydrogen-rich reduction ironmaking method is its key core technology (Tonomura 2013). The investment and application of clean energy require very high upfront costs (Tamazian & Bhaskara Rao 2010). High cost becomes an obstacle to carbon reduction in the steel sector, which can be alleviated by FD.

There is no conclusive conclusion on the debate of the rationality of using financial means to curb carbon emissions in the existing literature. FD gives both consumers and enterprises access to low-cost credit that allows consumers to purchase commodities that are highly energy-intensive, and it allows enterprises to expand their scale of production, which requires energy (Kayani et al. 2020, Shahbaz et al. 2017). Thus, FD leads to increased energy consumption, which in turn leads to an increase in the carbon emissions. However, Dogan and Seker (2016) indicated that financial means may be beneficial to curb the emissions and can drive the development of green technologies. This view is consistent with Zaidi et al. (2019). Other studies have found that FD is not related to CO₂ emissions (Jamel et al. 2017, Mahdi Ziaei 2015). Few scholars have discussed whether FD can curb the emissions of the iron and steel industry. Unless otherwise specified, “the industry” in the rest of this paper all refers to “the iron and steel industry”.

Given the high levels of pollution generated by the steel sector, it is essential to focus on reducing the carbon emissions, which may help meet the goal of carbon neutrality of the world. Fig 1 presents CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion and crude steel production of the industry. The two curves...
demonstrate a rising trend and show that the industry is under great pressure to achieve carbon neutrality. Green production and energy savings are primary tasks for the industry, which struggles to reduce carbon emissions (Zhang et al. 2021). Steel is used in every aspect of modern life, and tracking the carbon emissions reduction of the industry is crucial; however, the low profit margins and high technology costs of the industry pose a serious challenge to carbon reduction. Moreover, energy constraints will lead the decline of the performance of enterprises that consume large amounts of energy (Zhang et al. 2018). The existing literature about the industry mainly discusses clean energy, emerging technologies, and advanced equipment, but it lacks a robust economic analysis of specific factors that affect CO$_2$ emissions in the industry, especially from a global perspective.

![Fig 1. World CO$_2$ emissions of the iron and steel industry from fuel combustion and crude steel production/100 million tons](image)

Recently, several countries have introduced a series of green related financial policies, so it is urgent to discuss the function of financial research on carbon reduction in the industry. Fig 2 depicts scatter plots and fitting lines between the CO$_2$ emissions of the steel sector and related FD using the data of countries that ranked among the world's top 40 countries in terms of crude steel production in 2019. We plotted CO$_2$ emissions (based on the emissions of the industry in terms of global CO$_2$ Emissions from Fuel Combustion calculated by the International Energy Agency, Units: kt, and using the natural log) on the vertical Y-axis against FD (established by the International Monetary Fund, ranging from zero to one) on the horizontal X-axis; the two datasets are from the period from 1990 to 2018. The first two rows and the first five items in the third row present the trend in developed
countries, and the rest depict the trend in developing countries. According to the report released by the World Resources Institute in the second half of 2017, developed countries, except Japan and the Republic of Korea, reached the carbon peak in our samples. Fig 2 shows that the slope of the fitting line in most developed countries is negative. For the developed countries that reached the carbon peak, except the Netherlands and Sweden, we can see a negative correlation between the two variables. Since Japan and South Korea have not reached the carbon peak, it is meaningful to further study whether FD can restrain the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry in these countries. The Russian Federation, Brazil, Ukraine, Poland, and have achieved the carbon peak in our samples of developing countries. We find an interesting phenomenon occurring: there can be a negative relationship between the two variables in developing countries, such as in South Africa and Argentina, which is not found in the samples of developed countries. In addition, although Brazil has reached a carbon peak, the relationship between the CO$_2$ emissions of the steel sector and FD does not show a negative correlation in the fitting line, which is also not reflected in the samples of developed countries. Thus, Fig 2 indicates that heterogeneity exists between developed countries and developing countries. Since the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry and FD appear to have a positive relationship on the scatter chart in most countries that have not reached the carbon peak, it is of great significance to study whether FD promotes or inhibits CO$_2$ emissions generated by the industry. Such investigation should help the heavily polluting steel industry to move toward carbon neutrality and ultimately meet the goal of the Paris Agreement.
This paper examines the specific impact of FD on the CO₂ emissions of the industry, and takes into account the heterogeneity of countries with different developmental stages. Considering the cross-section correlation of the panel data, we employ the Driscoll-Kraay standard error. To test the robustness of our results, the legal origin was employed as part of a group of instrumental variables that reflect FD. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the literature review; Section 3 presents a summary of the methods and data; Section 4 reports the empirical results and discussions; Section 5 presents the conclusions and policy implications.

2. Literature review

The first segment of this section summarizes the previous findings regarding the nexus between finance and carbon (or environment). The second segment summarizes existing studies about the path and potential of carbon emissions reduction of the industry.

2.1 Financial development and carbon emissions

The available literature holds three distinct views on the linkage between finance and carbon: positive, negative, and insignificant. Wang et al. (2020a) reveal a positive relationship between carbon emissions and FD in N-11 countries. Similarly, Kayani et al. (2020) found a long-term significant
positive correlation between carbon emissions and FD, as well as studying urban populations in the top ten CO$_2$ emissions countries, using panel data and a fully modified least squares approach. Pata (2018) demonstrated that environmental degradation was exacerbated by FD, and urbanization in Turkey. Abbasi and Riaz (2016) argued that evolution in the financial sector alleviates the liquidity constraints faced by listed companies, leading to increased production and energy consumption, which consequently increased carbon emissions. Shahbaz et al. (2017) indicated that more convenient financial services provide more possibilities for consumers to utilize low-cost credit to purchase items that increase carbon emissions in India.

However, other researches hold opposite points. Ulucak et al. (2020) argued that financial globalization makes it possible for emerging economies to have more funds available for green investment, and thus effectively curbs environmental degradation. Shahbaz et al. (2018) found that the use of financial means ensures the sustainable development of the environment in France by reducing carbon emissions. This conclusion is contrary to the Shahbaz et al. (2017) study. Zaidi et al. (2019) showed that globalization and FD inhibits carbon emissions in APEC countries. FD can promote investment in green technologies and thus reduce carbon emissions (Tamazian & Bhaskara Rao 2010). Dogan and Seker (2016) indicated that the application of renewable energy, the expansion of trade openness, and the continued development of finance prevented CO$_2$ emissions. Bekhet et al. (2017) showed that FD supports energy emissions reduction. Shahbaz et al. (2013) documented that FD was beneficial for carbon reduction in Malaysia, while energy consumption increased carbon emissions. Jalil and Feridun (2011) suggested that FD in China could curb carbon emissions and help to move more capital into investing in environmental facilities.

The third view is that there is no connection between finance and environment. Dogan and Turkekul (2016) argue the increase in energy consumption and the growth of the urban population worsen the environment, while FD does not affect environmental evolution, and trade curbs environmental degradation. Mahdi Ziaei (2015) showed that the shock of carbon emissions on financial indicators was not obvious. Jamel et al. (2017) found that the impact of FD on carbon emissions was not significant. Another study indicated that FD had a negligible effect on CO$_2$ emissions in the Middle East and North Africa, possibly because of this area’s weak financial sector (Charfeddine & Kahia 2019).

In general, the development of finance increases household consumption and expands the
production of enterprises, which stimulates energy consumption and carbon emissions. However, FD also reduces the cost of financing used in low-carbon technologies, guides the flow of funds to related industries, optimizes industrial infrastructure, and thus reduces carbon emissions. The reason for the controversy is that the countries and regions investigated are heterogeneous, and indicators of FD are not identical. For instance, Shahbaz et al. (2017) found that FD has stimulated carbon emissions in India, but the opposite was found in France (Shahbaz et al. 2018). In addition, financial efficiency has contributed to carbon emissions reduction, while FD increased emissions in China (Huang & Zhao 2018). This paper takes into account the overall developmental stage of the country investigated and employs multi-dimensional FD indicators provided by the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

2.2 Carbon emissions reduction of the iron and steel industry

Existing research on the carbon emissions reduction of the industry mainly focuses on specific technologies. Liu et al. (2021) explicated the application of hydrogen in the steel industry. Hydrogen is considered to have the most potential as a clean energy source in the 21st century, and many steel enterprises are implementing projects for the application of hydrogen in the industry. Wang et al. (2020b) showed the energy saving potential of the industry and employed mass-thermal network optimization to identify appropriate energy saving technologies. Nwachukwu et al. (2021) showed that biomass can reduce CO$_2$ emissions by up to 43% in Sweden’s current steel technology sector. The highly efficient use of natural gas in electric arc furnace steelmaking is beneficial for decreasing CO$_2$ emissions (Kirschen et al. 2009). A circular economy has reduced the emissions of the Brazilian steel industry by 65% (de Souza & Pacca 2021). Yun et al. (2021) discussed the application and techno-economic assessment of CO$_2$ capture. Their results showed that the membrane-based CO$_2$ capture process can be more cost-effective than the absorption-based process in the environment in which flue gas CO$_2$ concentration is greater than about 30%. The application and implementation of decision support systems can help steel plants reduce their cost pressure and CO$_2$ emissions (Porzio et al. 2013). Song et al. (2018) argued that economic activity is the long-term incentive for CO$_2$ emissions of the industry, and technological change help to meet the goal of carbon reduction.

Regarding the lack of literature on the factors that affect the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry, this paper aims to study the impact of FD on the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry.

3. Empirical model and data
3.1 Empirical model

The nonlinear relationship between economic growth and CO$_2$ emissions follows that of Acaravci and Ozturk (2010). The selection of control variables refers to Acheampong et al. (2020), and these variables are specific to the industry. Among them, energy consumption is replaced by crude steel production and the total population variable is eliminated:

$$\ln CO_{2it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FD_{it} + \beta_2 \ln RGDP_{it} + \beta_3 \ln RGDP^2_{it} + \phi_1 X_{it} + \nu_i + \nu_t + \epsilon_{it}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $i=1,...,30$ and $t=1990,...,2018$; $\epsilon_{it}$ is the stochastic error term; $\ln CO_{2it}$ is the logarithms of the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry, which is calculated through the fuel combustion of the industry; $\beta_0$ is the constant parameter; $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ are the coefficients to be estimated; $\phi_1$ is a set of coefficients to be estimated; $X_{it}$ is a set of control variables including crude steel production ($\ln \text{PROUD}_{it}$), urbanization ($\ln \text{URBAN}_{it}$), and the trade openness of the industry ($\text{COPEN}$); $FD_{it}$ is the FD index; $\ln RGDP_{it}$ is the economic growth; and $\nu_i$ and $\nu_t$ are two-way fixed effects.

To investigate the heterogeneity of CO$_2$ emissions from the industry in terms of the FD level of countries with different development levels, Eq. (1) is extended to include the interaction term ($FD_{it} \times DevC_{it}$), where $DevC_{it}$ is a dummy variable, $DevC_{it}=1$ represents developing countries, and $DevC_{it}=0$ represents developed countries:

$$\ln CO_{2it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FD_{it} + \beta_2 \ln RGDP_{it} + \beta_3 \ln RGDP^2_{it} + \beta_4 FD_{it} \times DevC_{it} + \phi_1 X_{it} + \nu_i + \nu_t + \epsilon_{it}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Considering that the panel data used may have a cross-sectional correlation dependency problem, we first conducted a cross-sectional correlation test. Cross-sectional dependence will lead to inconsistent estimates (Sarkodie & Strezov 2019). In order to obtain consistent and robust estimated standard errors, Driscoll and Kraay (1998) developed a new algorithm to address this problem. Taking the time effect into account, we adopted the Frees’ test to check for cross-sectional correlation dependency.

Considering the possible correlation between $FD_{it}$ and the error terms that may cause endogeneity, we used the two-stage least square method to check the robustness of our estimated results. Practicable instrumental variables should be closely correlated with $FD_{it}$ and remain strictly exogenous. Under normal circumstances, it is difficult to identify all the factors that can affect the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. If omitted variables have an impact on $FD_{it}$, that would lead to the endogeneity problem. Therefore, to address the endogeneity problem and produce consistent estimates,
legal origins are employed as a set of instrumental variables for $FD_{it}$. Legal origins are a set of dummy variables indicating whether a county’s legal system springs from an English, Socialist, French, German, or Scandinavian tradition. La Porta et al. (1997) argued that legal origins have a long-term influence on contractual institutions. Beck et al. (2003) showed that differences in the ability of legal origins to effectively adapt to evolutionary forces can help to explain the importance of the origin of legal systems on FD.

We adopt a simultaneous-equation model and use a simultaneous three-stage least squares method to estimate it with instrumental variables, and discover the mechanism of how FD affects the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. The instrumental variables used in this paper for $FD_{it}$ are invariant with time, so Eq. (4) does not take into account individual fixed effects:

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln CO_{2it} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 EAF_{it} + \beta_2 \ln RGDP_{it} + \beta_3 \ln RGDP_{it}^2 + \phi_1 X_{it} + \nu_i + \nu_t + \varepsilon_{it} \\
EAF_{it} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 FD_{it} + \beta_2 \ln RGDP_{it} + \beta_3 \ln RGDP_{it}^2 + \phi_1 X_{it} + \nu_i + \varepsilon_{it}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) (4)

Where $EAF_{it}$ is the level of the application of the electric arc furnace.

### 3.2 Data

Table 1 provides the abbreviations, meanings, units, and sources of all of the variables covered in this paper. Data for our research covers the period from 1990 to 2018 for a total of 30 countries, including 17 developed and 13 developing countries. Developed countries are derived from the division of advanced economies by the IMF. These samples are selected from the top 40 countries of crude steel production according to the World Iron and Steel Association in 2019, taking data availability and carbon intensity into account. The samples of developed countries include the United States, Canada, Germany, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Italy, France, Spain, Belgium, the United Kingdom, Austria, the Netherlands, Australia, Slovak, Finland, Sweden and the Czech Republic; samples of developing countries include China, India, Russian Federation, Turkey, Brazil, Ukraine, Mexico, Poland, Egypt, South Africa, Indonesia, Kazakhstan and Argentina. The CO$_2$ data was based on the emissions of the industry published by the World CO$_2$ Emissions from Fuel Combustion as calculated by the IEA. The data regarding crude steel production and the export and import of semi-finished and finished steel was obtained from the World Steel Association. Trade openness is represented using total imports and exports of semi-finished and finished steel as a percentage of crude steel production. Urbanization is proxied using total urban populations, and real GDP per capita
constant in 2010 represents the economic growth. The above values are obtained from the World Bank.

The proportion of crude steel production of electric arc furnace in total crude steel production comes from the Steel Statistical Year Book 1998 to 2019 published by World Steel Association. Unless otherwise specified, “CO$_2$ emissions” in the rest of this paper all refers to “CO$_2$ emissions of the iron and steel industry”.

Table 1. Names, meanings, units, data source of variables

| Series   | Meaning                                                                 | Units or Value range | Source                                |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| CO$_2$   | Carbon dioxide emissions of the iron and steel industry                 | kt                   | International Energy Agency           |
| PROUD    | Crude steel Production                                                  | kiloton              | World Steel Association               |
| OPEN     | (Semi-finished and finished steel export + import)/(Crude steel production) | kiloton of export and import | World Steel Association               |
| URBAN    | Total urban population                                                  | one                  | World Bank                            |
| RGDP     | Real GDP per capita                                                     | constant price in 2010 US$ | World Bank                            |
| DevC     | DevC=1 represents developing countries; DevC=0 represents developed countries | take a 0 or 1        | International Monetary Fund           |
| EAF      | (Production of crude steel in electric arc furnace)/(Total crude steel production)X10 | range between 0 to 10 | World Steel Association               |
| FD       | Financial Development Index                                             | range between 0 to 1  | International Monetary Fund           |
| FM       | Financial Markets Index                                                 | range between 0 to 1  | International Monetary Fund           |
| FMA      | Financial Markets Access Index                                          | range between 0 to 1  | International Monetary Fund           |
| FMD      | Financial Markets Depth Index                                           | range between 0 to 1  | International Monetary Fund           |
| FME      | Financial Markets Efficiency Index                                      | range between 0 to 1  | International Monetary Fund           |

The percentage of domestic credit in GDP, the capitalization of the stock market, and stock market turnover were important indexes that were used to represents FD, but these indexes are single measures for FD (Acheampong et al. 2020). Considering the multidimensional nature of FD, this paper employs the Financial Development Index developed by the IMF, including the FD index (FD, an aggregate of the financial institution’s index and the financial market index); the financial markets index (FM, an aggregate of the financial market’s depth index, financial market’s access index, and financial market’s efficiency index); FM’s sub-indicators such as the financial market’s depth index (FMD, size and liquidity), the financial market’s access index (FMA, ability of individuals and companies to access financial services), and the financial market’s efficiency (FME, the level of activity of the capital markets). In this paper, FD is the most critical explanatory variable, while FM, FMD, FMA, and FME are used to assist in interpretation.

Table 2 provides the summary statistics of the variables and shows that the mean of the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry in developing countries is higher than it is in developed countries. Compared
with developing countries, real GDP per capita and FD are higher in developed countries. Moreover, trade openness in the industry in developed countries is higher than it is in developing countries. In terms of crude steel production, developing countries produce slightly more than developed countries. These descriptive statistics show us the different characteristics of the same variable between developed and developing countries.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

| Mean      | Std. Dev. | Min   | Max   |
|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|
| lnCO₂     | 9.098     | 1.073 | 7.194 | 11.503 |
| lnPROUD   | 9.551     | 1.033 | 7.939 | 11.697 |
| lnURBAN   | 16.876    | 1.171 | 14.888| 19.409 |
| lnRGDP    | 10.444    | 0.417 | 8.950 | 10.967 |
| COPEN     | 1.224     | 0.767 | 0.215 | 4.643  |
| EAF       | 2.999     | 1.959 | 0.000 | 8.150  |
| FD        | 0.671     | 0.186 | 0.000 | 0.958  |
| FM        | 0.580     | 0.249 | 0.000 | 0.946  |
| FMA       | 0.429     | 0.239 | 0.000 | 0.992  |
| FMD       | 0.593     | 0.307 | 0.000 | 1.000  |

Developing countries

| lnCO₂     | 9.823     | 1.487 | 7.014 | 13.97  |
| lnPROUD   | 9.658     | 1.295 | 7.622 | 13.741 |
| lnURBAN   | 17.94     | 1.096 | 15.937| 20.529 |
| lnRGDP    | 8.502     | 0.797 | 6.355 | 9.720  |
| COPEN     | 0.714     | 0.585 | 0.080 | 5.738  |
| EAF       | 4.125     | 2.876 | 0.000 | 10.000 |
| FD        | 0.367     | 0.128 | 0.000 | 0.648  |
| FM        | 0.356     | 0.159 | 0.000 | 0.676  |
| FMA       | 0.338     | 0.175 | 0.000 | 1.000  |
| FMD       | 0.256     | 0.175 | 0.000 | 0.831  |

All samples

| lnCO₂     | 9.412     | 1.318 | 7.014 | 13.97  |
| lnPROUD   | 9.598     | 1.154 | 7.622 | 13.741 |
| lnURBAN   | 17.337    | 1.254 | 14.888| 20.529 |
| lnRGDP    | 9.600     | 1.141 | 6.355 | 10.967 |
| COPEN     | 1.004     | 0.738 | 0.080 | 5.738  |
| EAF       | 3.487     | 2.462 | 0.000 | 10.000 |
| FD        | 0.539     | 0.222 | 0.000 | 0.958  |
| FM        | 0.483     | 0.242 | 0.000 | 0.946  |
4. Results and discussions

Empirical results and the robustness of the results are reported and discussed in this section.

Before conducting the regression, we considered that a cross-sectional correlation might exist in the panel data that we used. This paper applies the Frees’ test proposed by Frees (1995) to test for any problems related to cross-sectional correlation in the data.

Table 3 reports the results of the Frees’ test when FD, FM, FMA, FMD and FME are used as FD indicators, and indicates that a cross-sectional correlation exists in the two models we used at the 1% significance level. To deal with cross-sectional correlation, the Driscoll-Kraay standard error was employed.

Table 3. Results of the Frees’ test

| Cross-sectional correlation | FD   | FM   | FMA  | FMD  | FME  |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Eq. (1)                     | 5.510| 5.197| 5.912| 5.710| 4.661|
| Eq. (2)                     | 5.197| 5.194| 5.728| 5.384| 4.746|

Alpha = 0.10: 0.0958
Alpha = 0.05: 0.1248
Alpha = 0.01: 0.1794

Note: The null hypothesis is that there is no cross-sectional correlation. When Frees’ test of cross-sectional correlation > critical values from Frees’ Q distribution, we reject the null hypothesis. Alpha=0.01 reports critical values from Frees’ Q distribution at 1% level. Alpha=0.05 reports critical values from Frees’ Q distribution at 5% level. Alpha=0.10 reports critical values from Frees’ Q distribution at 10% level.

4.1 The effect of financial development on CO₂ emissions

Table 4 presents the estimates based on Eq. (1), and shows that the estimated coefficients for FD, FM, FMD and FME are negative and statistically significant at the 5% level or better. The negative
effect of financial market access on CO$_2$ emissions of the industry was beyond 10%. For a global perspective, when the other conditions were fixed, the higher the level of a country’s FD, the more effective it was at reducing CO$_2$ emissions from the industry. Before we try to understand why FD can reduce the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry, should we first grasp the specific meaning of FD and other indicators built by the IMF. In the indicators that we use, FD is the most comprehensive, and financial market depth (FMD) is a symbol of the scale and liquidity of the financial markets. Countries with a high value of FMD have the ability to provide a breeding ground for the survival of green finance. On the contrary, that is difficult to survive in a country with a small and illiquid financial market. Ren et al. (2020) found that green finance and new energy technology contributes to carbon reduction. The core role of green finance is to guide funds flow to resource saving technology development and environmental protection. Only with the support of green finance can iron and steel enterprises reduce CO$_2$ emissions more effectively through the further development of financing. A higher financial market efficiency (FME) value means lower cost financial services and more active involvement from the capital market. Iron and steel enterprises need sufficient capital to achieve ultra-low carbon emissions. Blanford (2009) augured that R&D can be the best source for improving green technologies and reducing carbon emissions. For steel enterprises, researching and developing low-carbon technologies is costly, and reducing CO$_2$ emissions requires sacrificing some profits. The main obstacles to the implementation of clean technology are financial and economic (Bhandari et al. 2019), and FD can lower the threshold of enterprise financing (Jalil & Feridun 2011). Thus, a more active capital market and lower external costs are essential for iron and steel enterprises’ efforts to implement a low carbon strategy. Based on these results, we posit that FD can inhibit the CO$_2$ emissions from the industry.

Our results further indicate that, in other conditions remain unchanged, the expansion of crude steel production leads to the increase of the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Crude steel is outside the scope of low-carbon steel, and it is an important indicator that is used to measure the development of the industry. The estimated coefficient of COPEN is negative and statistically significant at 1%, which means the steel trade helps reduce the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Dogan and Seker (2016) showed that increase in trade and FD can help countries employ new green technologies through technology spillover. In addition, more imported steel means that no additional crude steel is needed to meet domestic demand. That is, trade can improve the allocation of the resources of the industry and
rationalize it, thus reducing CO₂ emissions. Urbanization can increase CO₂ emissions of the industry at the significance level of 1%. This result implies that an increase in the urban population contributes to the CO₂ emissions of the industry. Khezri et al. (2021) and Poumanyvong and Kaneko (2010) found that urbanization can increase the intensity of carbon emissions, because resource-intensive urban living increases demand for infrastructure and transportation (Acheampong et al. 2020). Steel is the most important engineering and construction material and is widely used in cars and construction. Thus, an increase in the urban population will increase the production of steel, which causes a corresponding increase the CO₂ emissions of the industry.

The main term of lnRGDP exerts a significant positive effect on the CO₂ emissions of the industry at 1%, and its squared term exerts a significant negative effect on that at 1%. This result indicates that the relationship between economic growth and the CO₂ emissions of the industry is an inverted U-shaped, which suggests that economic growth initially increases the CO₂ emissions of the industry, but CO₂ emissions start to decrease after economic growth reached a certain value. The EKC exists in the industry.

Table 4. The estimation results of the effect of financial development on CO₂ emissions

|          | (1) lnCO₂ | (2) lnCO₂ | (3) lnCO₂ | (4) lnCO₂ | (5) lnCO₂ |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| lnPROUD  | 0.600***  | 0.605***  | 0.600***  | 0.611***  | 0.596***  |
|          | (0.077)   | (0.077)   | (0.080)   | (0.082)   | (0.074)   |
| lnURBAN  | 0.321***  | 0.395***  | 0.305***  | 0.325***  | 0.405***  |
|          | (0.086)   | (0.082)   | (0.089)   | (0.091)   | (0.093)   |
| lnRGDP   | 1.786***  | 1.457***  | 1.992***  | 1.812***  | 1.655***  |
|          | (0.354)   | (0.344)   | (0.342)   | (0.388)   | (0.271)   |
| lnRGDP²  | -0.079*** | -0.062*** | -0.093*** | -0.082*** | -0.074*** |
|          | (0.018)   | (0.018)   | (0.019)   | (0.020)   | (0.016)   |
| COPEN    | -0.186*** | -0.187*** | -0.182*** | -0.178*** | -0.173*** |
|          | (0.039)   | (0.039)   | (0.038)   | (0.038)   | (0.037)   |
| FD       | -0.495**  |           |           |           |           |
|          | (0.183)   |           |           |           |           |
| FM       |           | -0.459*** |           |           |           |
|          |           | (0.086)   |           |           |           |
| FMA      |           |           | -0.131    |           |           |
|          |           |           | (0.152)   |           |           |
| FMD      |           |           |           | -0.204**  |           |
|          |           |           |           | (0.088)   |           |
4.2 Differences of carbon reduction effect of financial development between developed and developing countries

Table 5 presents the estimates based on Eq. (2). We obtained the same findings as Eq. (1); namely, FD presidents a negative and significant effect on CO$_2$ emissions at 1%, which means that FD has the ability to curb the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry after we add the interaction term of FD for countries at different levels of development. Underdeveloped economy inhibits the carbon reduction effect of FD at a significant level of 5%. Thus, we hold the opinion that FD in developing countries can reduce CO$_2$ emissions less than that in developed countries. In general, the financial systems of developing countries are not as environmentally friendly as those of developed countries, especially when it comes to green finance.

Green finance originated in Western developed economies in the 1970s, and developing countries did not engage in it until much later. According to the Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI), global green bond issuance reached 167.3 billion dollars in 2018. The top ten countries that participated in this bond issuance were all developed countries, except for China and India. The United States issued the largest number of green bonds, followed by China and France, and these three countries accounted for 47% of the global issuance. The funds raised by green bonds mainly went to the new energy, construction, and transportation sectors. Emissions reduction technology in the industry largely depends on the application of new energy and steel, which (the latter) is the most important material for construction. Developed countries issue more green bonds than developing countries, which means that the iron and steel industry in developed countries can more easily be supported by green finance that reduces CO$_2$ emissions than that of developing countries. Compared with developed countries, efforts made by
developing countries to prevent the CO$_2$ emissions remain in the initial stages (Fu et al. 2014).

Low-carbon steelmaking technology in developed countries is more advanced than that of developing countries, and more funds flow into low-carbon products in developed countries than in developing countries. Therefore, compared with developing countries, the FD of developed countries can more effectively prevent the emissions of the industry.

The estimated results of the control variables are robust, and the EKC hypothesis is still valid.

Table 5. The estimation results of differences of carbon reduction effect of financial development between developed and developing countries

|          | (6)  | (7)  | (8)  | (9)  | (10) |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| lnPROUD  | 0.609*** | 0.606*** | 0.606*** | 0.622*** | 0.598*** |
|          | (0.078) | (0.076) | (0.083) | (0.080) | (0.075) |
| lnURBAN  | 0.287*** | 0.390*** | 0.296*** | 0.299*** | 0.416*** |
|          | (0.093) | (0.086) | (0.092) | (0.098) | (0.098) |
| lnRGDP   | 1.483*** | 1.421*** | 1.774*** | 1.462*** | 1.685*** |
|          | (0.325) | (0.291) | (0.388) | (0.295) | (0.240) |
| lnRGDP$^2$ | 0.063*** | 0.060*** | 0.081*** | 0.064*** | 0.076*** |
|          | (0.017) | (0.016) | (0.020) | (0.018) | (0.015) |
| COPEN    | -0.178*** | -0.186*** | -0.175*** | -0.169*** | -0.175*** |
|          | (0.039) | (0.040) | (0.039) | (0.039) | (0.037) |
| FD       | -0.627*** |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.176) |     |     |     |     |
| FD*DevC  | 0.460** |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.183) |     |     |     |     |
| FM       | -0.473*** |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.097) |     |     |     |     |
| FM*DevC  | 0.047 |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.145) |     |     |     |     |
| FMA      | -0.224 |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.154) |     |     |     |     |
| FMA*DevC | 0.382** |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.180) |     |     |     |     |
| FMD      | -0.262*** |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.060) |     |     |     |     |
| FMD*DevC | 0.298 |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.196) |     |     |     |     |
| FME      | -0.261*** |     |     |     |     |
|          | (0.068) |     |     |     |     |
| FME*DevC | -0.065 |     |     |     |     |
Robustness checks and mechanism analysis

Considering the possibility of correlation between FD and the stochastic error term, the omission of variables may lead to endogeneity. To control the endogeneity, we follow the legal origins as a set of instrumental variables for FD, FM, FMA, FMD and FME to check for robustness. Legal origins are a set of dummy variables that indicate whether a country’s legal system is rooted in the English, Socialist, French, German, or Scandinavian traditions. The specific data of legal origins is obtained from La Porta (1999). In the mechanism study, we employed simultaneous three-stage least squares to estimate Eq. (3) and Eq. (4).

In this section, we first checked the robustness of our basic results that FD contributes to carbon reduction in the industry. Considering that the endogenous variables would be completely collinear with the individual fixed effect, while using the legal origins as the instrumental variable, we adopted the ec2sls method to check the robustness of our results.

Table 6 reports the estimated results of Eq. (1) using the legal origins as the instrumental variable of FD, FM, FMA, FMD and FME. The results show that FD can significantly inhibit CO$_2$ emissions at the level of 5%. This suggests the robustness of the conclusion that FD does reduce the CO$_2$ emissions. Moreover, FM and FME also can curb the CO$_2$ emissions at the significant level of 10% or better. FMA becomes significant after controlling the endogeneity. The estimated coefficients of crude steel production and urbanization are negative and statistically significant at 1%. An expansion of steel trade openness can reduce the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry at a significant level of 1%. In general, the estimation results of Eq. (1) are robust.

Table 6. Robustness of the results that financial development contributes to carbon reduction

|          | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Constant | -9.116*** | -10.610*** | -10.570*** | -9.317*** | -11.983*** |
|          | (1.659) | (1.290) | (2.081) | (1.309) | (1.206) |
| Time effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Country effect | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| $N$     | 859 | 859 | 859 | 859 | 859 |
| $R^2$   | 0.578 | 0.584 | 0.575 | 0.576 | 0.591 |

Driscoll-Kraay standard errors in parentheses

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$
We continue to examine the robustness of the conclusion that the role of FD in curbing the CO₂ emissions from the industry is heterogeneous among developed and developing countries. Table 7 presents the estimated results of Eq. (2) using the legal origins as the instrumental variable of FD, FM, FMA, FMD and FME. The interaction term between FD and countries at different levels of development still presents a positive effect on CO₂ emissions at the significance level of 5%. After controlling the endogeneity, we still find that the effect of FD in developing countries on the emissions reduction of the industry is not as effective as it is in developed countries.
Table 7. Robustness of the results of differences of carbon reduction effect of financial development between developed and developing countries

|               | (16)     | (17)     | (18)     | (19)     | (20)     |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| lnPROUD       | 0.731*** | 0.694*** | 0.739*** | 0.812*** | 0.714*** |
|               | (0.052)  | (0.059)  | (0.061)  | (0.056)  | (0.056)  |
| lnURBAN       | 0.261**  | 0.346**  | 0.270*** | 0.074    | 0.383*** |
|               | (0.116)  | (0.145)  | (0.081)  | (0.080)  | (0.101)  |
| lnRGDP        | -0.208   | -1.647   | -0.940   | -2.272** | -0.046   |
|               | (0.913)  | (1.289)  | (0.909)  | (1.023)  | (0.699)  |
| lnRGDP$^2$    | 0.029    | 0.111    | 0.066    | 0.132**  | 0.011    |
|               | (0.059)  | (0.076)  | (0.054)  | (0.060)  | (0.041)  |
| COPEN         | -0.161***| -0.162***| -0.236***| -0.075***| -0.132***|
|               | (0.047)  | (0.045)  | (0.049)  | (0.038)  | (0.035)  |
| FD            | -1.083   |          |          |          |          |
|               | (1.267)  |          |          |          |          |
| FD*DevC       | 1.307**  |          |          |          |          |
|               | (0.570)  |          |          |          |          |
| FM            | -2.159***|          |          |          |          |
|               | (0.838)  |          |          |          |          |
| FM*DevC       | 1.831**  |          |          |          |          |
|               | (0.776)  |          |          |          |          |
| FMA           |          | -2.361***|          |          |          |
|               |          | (0.644)  |          |          |          |
| FMA*DevC      |          | 1.558**  |          |          |          |
|               |          | (0.684)  |          |          |          |
| FMD           |          |          | -0.921*  |          |          |
|               |          |          | (0.506)  |          |          |
| FMD*DevC      |          |          | 2.842*** |          |          |
|               |          |          | (0.542)  |          |          |
| FME           |          |          |          | -1.332***|          |
|               |          |          |          | (0.407)  |          |
| FME*DevC      |          |          |          | 0.534    |          |
|               |          |          |          | (0.375)  |          |
| Constant      | -1.807   | 3.064    | 1.306    | 10.11**  | -3.774   |
|               | (3.148)  | (5.049)  | (3.820)  | (4.390)  | (2.690)  |
| Time effect   | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      | Yes      |
| Country effect| No       | No       | No       | No       | No       |
| N             | 859      | 859      | 859      | 859      | 859      |
| $R^2$         | 0.830    | 0.838    | 0.856    | 0.874    | 0.843    |

Standard errors in parentheses

*p < 0.1, **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01
In the remainder of this section, we analyze the mechanism of how FD inhibits the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Table 8 shows the estimates based on Eq. (3) and Eq. (4). Empirical results present that the estimated coefficients for FD, FM, FMA, FMD, and FMA are all positive at the significance level 5% level or better when EAF is regarded as the explained variable. FD helps to increase the proportion of crude steel produced by electric arc furnace in the overall process of crude steel production. The total energy consumption and CO$_2$ emissions in electric arc furnace steelmaking processes are significantly lower than those in oxygen steelmaking processes (Sandberg et al. 2001).

The results also show that the estimated coefficients for EAF are negative at 1% level when lnCO$_2$ is regarded as the explained variable. The improvement of EAF is conducive to reducing the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Steel enterprises need sufficient funds to optimize their steel production processes, and improving EAF is an important link. FD enables enterprises to obtain funds (Jalil & Feridun 2011), which means that FD is conducive to the transformation of steel enterprises from oxygen-blown converter production to electric arc furnace production. Among FM’s sub-indicators, compared with the other two indicators, FME is the most efficient indicator of improving EAF. Table 4 shows that FME is more effective than FMD in reducing the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Activity in the capital markets can ease the cost pressure of the low-carbon transformation of steel enterprises. The traditional steel production model is generally over reliance on fossil energy sources and has a high carbonization of the energy structure. In order to change this energy structure, the industry may face great cost and technology pressure, and even give up partial economic benefits. FD has able to relieve the above pressure and encourage the industry to increase investment in the research and development of low-carbon technology, and it promotes the green metallurgical energy structure, which reduces the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry.

Table 8. Financial development reduces the CO$_2$ emissions by promoting the application of electric arc furnaces

|               | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| lnCO$_2$      | 0.646*** | -1.665*** | 0.650*** | -1.517*** | 0.650*** | -1.535*** | 0.651*** | -1.664*** | 0.634*** | -1.797*** |
| EAF           | (0.060) | (0.103) | (0.060) | (0.106) | (0.060) | (0.114) | (0.060) | (0.105) | (0.059) | (0.103) |
| lnURBAN       | -0.580*** | 1.769*** | -0.553*** | 1.532*** | -0.564*** | 1.850*** | -0.583*** | 1.922*** | -0.566*** | 1.759*** |
| lnURBAN       | (0.114) | (0.117) | (0.113) | (0.121) | (0.114) | (0.112) | (0.114) | (0.111) | (0.113) | (0.107) |
| lnRGDP        | 4.113*** | 6.771*** | 4.005*** | 8.292*** | 4.012*** | 4.104*** | 4.116*** | 5.768*** | 4.126*** | 6.833*** |
|                | (0.678) | (1.131) | (0.675) | (1.112) | (0.679) | (0.956) | (0.679) | (1.185) | (0.673) | (1.020) |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| lnRGDP\(^2\)   | -0.235***| -0.388***| -0.229***| -0.478***| -0.228***| -0.218***| -0.235***| -0.309***| -0.236***| -0.374***|
|                | (0.041) | (0.066) | (0.041) | (0.064) | (0.042) | (0.052) | (0.042) | (0.068) | (0.041) | (0.056) |
| COPEN         | -0.205***| 0.140    | -0.200***| 0.321***| -0.202***| 0.223***| -0.205***| 0.088    | -0.203***| 0.106   |
|                | (-0.0381)| (0.113) | (-0.038) | (0.118) | (-0.038) | (0.121) | (-0.038) | (0.113) | (-0.038) | (0.112) |
| EAF           | -0.504***| -0.510***| -0.495***| -0.505***| -0.523***|          |          |          |          |         |
|                | (0.090) | (0.090) | (0.090) | (0.090) | (0.090) |         |         |         |          |         |
| FD            | 4.021***|          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |         |
|               | (0.932) |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |         |
| FM            |          | 5.185***|          |          |          |          |          |          |          |         |
|               |          | (0.711) |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |         |
| FMA           |          |          | 1.806***|          |          |          |          |          |          |         |
|               |          |          | (0.494) |          |          |          |          |          |          |         |
| FMD           |          |          |          | 1.205** |          |          |          |          |          |         |
|               |          |          |          | (0.522) |          |          |          |          |          |         |
| FME           |          |          |          |          | 2.682***|          |          |          |          |         |
|               |          |          |          |          | (0.356) |          |          |          |          |         |
| Constant      | 0       | -42.047***| 0       | -45.581***| 0       | -33.737***| 0       | -40.994***| 0       | -42.055***|
|               | (.)     | (5.040) | (.)     | (5.023) | (.)     | (4.885) | (.)     | (5.318) | (.)     | (4.913) |
| Time effect   | Yes     | Yes      | Yes     | Yes      | Yes     | Yes      | Yes     | Yes      | Yes     | Yes     |
| Country effect| Yes     | No       | Yes     | No       | Yes     | No       | Yes     | No       | Yes     | No      |
| \(N\)         | 859     | 859      | 859     | 859      | 859     | 859      | 859     | 859      | 859     | 859     |

Standard errors in parentheses

\* \(p < 0.1\), \** \(p < 0.05\), \*** \(p < 0.01\)
In this paper, we summarize two paths of FD that may help to reduce the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry, as shown in Fig 3. First, FD provides the industry with sufficient funds to invest in electric arc furnaces. The increase in the proportion of steel produced by electric arc furnaces promotes the upgrading of the steel industrial structure and reduces the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Second, FD provides space for the development of green finance, which enables a country to invest more in the research and development of clean energy. Green credit helps heavily polluting enterprises improve their environmental performance (Yao et al. 2021). The key point for the industry to meet the goal of low-carbon transformation lies in the application of clean energy, and energy conservation is an urgent carbon reduction task for the industry (Zhang et al. 2021). The development and application of clean energy also promotes the upgrading of the steel industrial structure and reduces the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry.

5. Conclusions and policy implications

Although there has been considerable research on how to reduce the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry, it has focused mainly on the application of new technologies. In this paper, we established empirical models to examine the relationship between FD and the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry from a global and economic perspective. Taking into account the interactive relationship between the level of national overall development and FD, we adopt interaction terms to extend our basic model. We list and detail
our conclusions from this research below.

First, from a global perspective, our empirical results showed that FD, FM, and FME have a significant negative effect on the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry. Due to the externality of the reduction on CO$_2$ emissions, steel enterprises may have to sacrifice profits to achieve carbon neutralization by reducing CO$_2$ emissions. FD can reduce the external cost of capital acquisition; as a result, steel enterprises can more easily obtain capital. Therefore, a more advanced financial system means lower external costs for obtaining sufficient funds to support carbon emissions reduction for steel enterprises. A higher FD level provides more opportunities for green finance. The existence of green financing provides a strong incentive for the steel industry to reduce CO$_2$ emissions.

Second, the empirical findings obtained from Eq. (2) revealed that the heterogeneity of the effect of FD on the CO$_2$ emissions of the industry exists in developed and developing countries. The same level of FD has a more significant effect in developed countries than in developing countries on helping the industry reduce CO$_2$ emissions. Green finance in developing countries began later than it did in developed countries, and most of the countries that issue green bonds are developed countries. Thus, steel enterprises in developed countries can get more support from green financing. Low-carbon technology in developed countries is advanced, which may cause financing to flow to low-carbon steelmaking in developed countries at a higher level than it does in developing countries.

Third, the iron and steel industry faces a difficult economic situation, characterized by a low concentration ratio, low profit margins, and being small in scale. In the pursuit of economics of scale to reduce costs, rational steelmakers tend to expand their production scale. This rational behavior may cause financing to flow toward production rather than toward emissions reduction. Kim et al. (2020) suggested that unsuitable types of finance may lead to higher CO$_2$ emissions, but excessive financing may not. To our satisfaction, we found that FD can reduce CO$_2$ emissions by optimizing the steelmaking process (increasing the proportion of steel produced by electric arc furnaces). FD plays a positive role in guiding steel enterprises to transform from high-pollution and high-emission production mode to low-carbon production mode. To achieve the goal of carbon neutrality, policymakers and steel producers must consider carefully the use of financial capital through the process of steelmaking. Especially in developing countries, steel enterprises are generally small in scale and the industrial concentration of the industry is also low. The expansion of production by steel enterprises in pursuit of economies of scale may drive capital obtained from financing to flow to the production side rather than
to the emissions reduction side. Therefore, the development of green finance with its unique nature can help to reduce the CO₂ emissions.

Based on the above conclusions, it is essential to clarify policy implications. On the path to achieving carbon neutrality, policymakers must pay attention to carbon reduction in the iron and steel industry. The formulation of financial policies should be combined with the current national development. Innovative green financing can create opportunities for the carbon reduction of the iron and steel enterprises. When using financial means to support this carbon reduction, policymakers should adopt public disclosure mechanisms that require steel enterprises to publish reports of their environmental performance. Financing can be used for both emissions reduction and the expansion of production; therefore, policymakers should improve the relevant regulatory policies to monitor the flow of funds obtained from green financing in the steelmaking process. The benefits of carbon reduction from FD in the iron and steel industry in developing countries are less than that of developed countries. Therefore, it may be more significant for developing countries to improve their overall financial systems to play its important role in carbon emission reduction. Government, research institutions, and enterprises should actively cooperate to promote the integration of financing into the practice of Industry-University-Research in the iron and steel industry. Increasing the proportion of crude steel produced by electric arc furnace is conducive to achieving carbon neutrality of the iron and steel industry, in addition to increasing this proportion through financial means.
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