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ABSTRACT

Background: Smoking is a human behavior that has a very bad impact on health. Mortality and morbidity due to smoking cannot be underestimated. Non-communicable diseases become high morbidity among smokers, such as diabetes, reduced fertility, coronary heart disease, and countless health complications. This study aims to analyze the effect of the use of nicotine gum on the success of smoking cessation based on a number of previous similar studies.

Subjects and Method: This is a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by following the PRISMA flow diagram. The process of searching for articles through a journal database which includes: Google Scholar by selecting articles published in 2010-2020. With keywords, among others: “Nicotine Replacement Therapy” OR Gum Nicotine-“ AND “Smoking cessation. The inclusion criteria were full paper articles with experimental study design, articles in English, bivariate analysis with Odds Ratio. Eligible articles were analyzed using the Revman 5.3 app.

Results: A total of five articles were reviewed in this study using a randomized controlled trial design. A meta-analysis of five randomized controlled trials showed that 0.81 times the use of nicotine gum did not help smokers quit smoking compared with placebo (OR 0.81; 95% CI 0.48 to 2.12; p = 0.400).

Conclusion: Nicotine gum does not help smokers to quit smoking.
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BACKGROUND

Smoking is a human behavior that has a very bad impact on health, especially the risk of various diseases that are very dangerous and deadly. Smoking has a double danger that it can be dangerous for the smoker himself and for passive smokers around him. Passive smokers are people who do not smoke but inhale cigarette smoke from other people around them (Taringan. 2019)

The case of cigarette users in the world can be said to be quite high, it can be seen that about 23% of the world’s population smokes. Where 32% of them are male and the highest prevalence is in Indonesia, while there are 7% of smokers who are female. Indonesia is a developing country that has a threat of serious cigarette consumption problems, where the prevalence of smokers in Indonesia has increased, which occurred in smokers aged 18 years from 7.2% increasing to 9.1%
The death rate from cigarettes in Indonesia is increasing as evidenced by 2015 there were more than 130,000 due to tobacco consumption every year. Mortality and morbidity due to smoking cannot be taken for granted. Non-communicable diseases are a very high morbidity among smokers, such as diabetes, reduced fertility, coronary heart disease, and countless health complications (Martin et al. 2019).

Meta-analysis is an epidemiological design that aims to systematically examine and combine quantitative estimation results from a number of previous studies that answer the same research problem and can be combined. Many primary studies have investigated the effect of NRT on smoking cessation success. Further analysis is needed to arrive at a convincing conclusion. Based on this background, the researcher is interested in conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis on "The Effect of Nicotine Chewing Gum on the Success of Quitting Smoking".

SUBJECTS AND METHOD

1. Study Design
This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis. The articles used in this study were obtained from the database, namely Google Scholar. With keywords including: “Nicotine Replacement Therapy” OR Gum Nicotine” AND “Smoking cessation”

2. Inclusion Criteria
Inclusion criteria for articles that can be reviewed are full paper articles with experimental study designs, articles using English, bivariate analysis with Odds Ratio, intervention given nicotine gum, research subjects are smokers, outcome is smoking cessation.

3. Exclusion Criteria
The articles published in this study are articles that have been meta-analyzed and qualitative studies have been carried out. The article is published other than in English with results not Odds Ratio.

4. Operational Definition of Variables
The search for articles was carried out by considering the eligibility criteria defined using the PICO model. The population in this study were adult smokers with an intervention in the form of gum nicotine, comparison was a placebo and the outcomes of successful smoking cessation.

Nicotine gum is the administration of nicotine therapy to stop smoking in adult smokers in the form of nicotine gum.

Quitting smoking is the ability of smokers not to smoke after taking nicotine therapy to quit smoking.

5. Data Analysis
Data processing was carried out by Review Manager (RevMan 5.3) by calculating effect size and heterogeneity to determine the combined research model and form the final result of the meta-analysis.

RESULTS
The process of searching for articles by searching through the database according to the PRISMA flow diagram. The initial search process in the database resulted in 857 articles and there were additional 43 articles identified from other searches, articles with 900 filtered articles of which met the requirements for further full text reviews, can be seen in Figure 1.

Research related to the effect of gum nicotine on smoking cessation success consisted of 5 articles from 3 continents of North America, and 2 from Asia

The interpretation of the results of the meta-analysis can be seen through the forest plot in Figure 3 shows that the use of chewing gum can increase the success of
smoking cessation. The results of a meta-analysis in a randomized controlled trial showed that the use of gum nicotine increased smoking cessation success as much as 0.81 times and did not help smokers quit smoking compared to placebo (OR 0.81; 95% CI 0.48 to 2.12; p = 0.400). The heterogeneity of the research data shows $I^2 = 68\%$ so that the distribution of the data is declared heterogeneous (random effect model).

Based on Figure 4, it shows that there is a publication bias which is indicated by the asymmetry of the right and left plots where 2 plots are on the right and 3 plots are on the left. Bias also occurs from the imbalance between the distances between studies on both the right and left sides of the funnel plot.

Figure 1. Prisma Flow Diagram
Table 1. Assessment of Research Quality using Critical Appraisal Questions for Randomised Controlled Trial

| Questions of checklist | Aung et al. (2019) | Costello et al. (2010) | Saul et al. (2019) | Shiffan et al. (2019) | Leung et al. (2019) |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Did the trial address a clearly focused issue? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Was the assignment of patients to treatments randomized? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were all of the patients who entered the trial properly accounted for at its conclusion? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were patients, health workers and study personnel “blind” to treatment? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were the groups similar at the start of the trial | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Aside from the experimental intervention, were the groups treated equally? | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| How large was the treatment effect? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| How precise was the estimate of the treatment effect? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Can the results be applied to the local population, or in your context? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were all clinically important outcomes considered? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Are the benefits worth the harms and costs? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Answer: 1 Yes, 0= No

Table 3. Description of the primary studies included in the meta-analysis

| Author (Year) | Title                                                                 | Country | Study Design | Sample | Population                  | I Intervention | C Comparison | O Outcome       |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Aung et al (2019) | Effectiveness of a new multi-component smoking cessation service package for patients with hypertension and diabetes in northern Thailand: a Randomized controlled trial (EACAPE study) | Thailand | RCT          | 319    | Adult Smoker Age 18 Years   | Gum Nicotine | placebo      | Quit smoking   |
| Costello et al (2010) | Effectiveness of pharmacist counseling combined with nicotine replacement therapy: a pragmatic randomized trial with 6,987 smoker | Canada  | RCT          | 6,98   | Adult Smoker Age 18 Years   | Gum Nicotine | placebo      | Quit smoking   |
| Saul et al (2019) | Using Nicotine Gum to Assist Nondaily Smokers in Quitting: A Randomized Clinical Trial | USA     | RCT          | 369    | Adult Smoker Age 18 Years   | Gum Nicotine | placebo      | Quit smoking   |
| Shiffan et al (2019) | Effectiveness of nicotine Gum in Preventing lapses in the face of temptation to some among non-daily smoker: a secondary analysis | USA     | RCT          | 255    | Adult Smoker Age 18 Years   | Gum Nicotine | placebo      | Quit smoking   |
| Leung et al (2019) | Combine nicotine patch with gum versus nicotine patch alone in smoking cessation in Hong Kong primary care clinics: a randomised controlled trial | Hong Kong | RCT          | 560    | Adult Smoker Age 18 Years   | Gum Nicotine | placebo      | Quit smoking   |
DISCUSSION

The article obtained in this cohort study stated that adult smokers who used nicotine gum could increase their successful smoking cessation behavior 0.81 times and could not help smokers quit smoking compared to placebo (OR = 0.81; 95% CI = 0.48 to 2.12; p = 0.400) which can be seen in the results of the forest plot.

This research is supported by a systematic review study conducted by the National Institute for Health Research (2019) which found that those who used chewing gum and nicotine patches were more likely to quit smoking. Combination nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) increases the likelihood of long-term smoking cessation by approximately 25% compared with use of the single form. The comparison between the two was 14% (single NRT) and 17% combined NRT.

Similar results were also obtained in Denmark with the result that healthy smokers who were highly motivated to reduce cigarette use had a significantly higher overall success rate for reducing sustainable smoking at all time points for the use of artificial chewing gum compared to placebo but was more effective at reducing smoking. A combination of chew-
The results of this study are not in line with the results of research conducted by Lindson et al. (2019) which stated that smokers who use nicotine gum have high benefits for quitting smoking.

Nicotine replacement therapy is a smoking cessation therapy that allows adult smokers to quit smoking. However, the existence of this therapy cannot be trusted by the public as happened in Africa and America. Smokers are less interested in quitting smoking because of the perceived side effects of the drug and the combined disadvantages of using tobacco products and nicotine substitutes smokers' perceptions of the ineffectiveness of smoking cessation aids (Pacek et al. 2021).

The lack of interest of smokers to quit smoking is also caused by several other factors such as what happened to Unair students who stated that their monthly pocket money, number of friends who smoked, and the presence of smokers in their residence did not significantly affect the motivation to quit smoking (Larasati et al. 2018).

The combination of smokers' motivation to quit smoking and the use of nicotine replacement therapy is likely to help smokers to quit smoking. However, public knowledge about nicotine replacement therapy is still lacking. This happens to health practitioners, namely dentists in the city of Davangere who have little knowledge about NRT (Gaidhanker et al., 2020).

Lack of knowledge about smoking cessation also occurs in doctors in Armenia. Doctors are unable to provide support for smoking cessation due to poor knowledge of doctors about evidence-based smoking cessation methods (Harutyunyan et al., 2020).

Increasing public knowledge about smoking replacement therapy is very important, especially for health personnel so that they can provide support to patients in an effort to stop smoking.
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