Genetic and diet effects on $Ppar-\alpha$ and $Ppar-\gamma$ signaling pathways in the Berlin Fat Mouse Inbred line with genetic predisposition for obesity
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Abstract

Background: The Berlin Fat Mouse Inbred (BFMI) line is a new mouse model for obesity, which was long-term selected for high fatness. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are involved in the control of energy homeostasis, nutrient metabolism and cell proliferation. Here, we studied the expression patterns of the different $Ppar$ genes and the genes in the PPAR pathway in the BFMI line in comparison to physiological changes.

Results: At the age of 10 weeks, the BFMI mice exhibited marked obesity with enlarged adipocytes and high serum triglycerides concentrations in comparison to the often used mouse line C57BL/6 (B6). Between these two lines, gene expression analyses revealed differentially expressed genes belonging to the PPAR pathway, in particular genes of the lipogenesis and the fatty acid transport.

Conclusion: Surprisingly, the $Ppar-\alpha$ gene expression was up-regulated in liver and $Ppar-\gamma$ gene expression was down-regulated in the white adipose tissue, indicating the activation of a mechanism that counteracts the rise of obesity.

Background

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) constitute a family of three genes, which are involved in the control of energy homeostasis and cell proliferation. The PPAR family members have distinct patterns of tissue distribution and tissue specific functions. PPAR-α is predominantly present in liver where it has a critical role in the regulation of nutrient metabolism as it stimulates the uptake and oxidation of fatty acids. PPAR-γ is mainly expressed in adipose tissue. It is induced during adipocyte differentiation and is a regulator in the formation of fat cells and lipid accumulation. PPAR-δ is abundantly expressed throughout the body and it has been proposed to be involved in adipogenesis and energy metabolism [for review, see [1-3]]. Activation of PPAR-α by agonists leads to reduced adiposity and lowered triglyceride levels by reduced food intake [4-6], whereas activation of PPAR-γ by agonist stimulates lipid storage and is associated with body weight gain [7,8].

Recently, we have generated the high-fatness selected Berlin Fat Mouse inbred line BFMI as a model for juvenile obesity [9]. BFMI mice harbour natural mutations leading to a five fold increased fat percentage due to hyperphagia at young age and an altered lipid metabolism in comparison to C57BL/6 mice [10,11]. A specific regulation of PPAR genes in the development of obesity in the BFMI mice is very likely.

Therefore, the intention of this study was to investigate whether the Ppars and their responsive genes are involved in the fat accumulation of the BFMI line. Our aims were (i) to identify differences in gene regulation of Ppars and their responsive genes between the obese BFMI mouse model and B6 mice as a control and (ii) to analyze responses of PPAR pathway genes to high-fat diet feeding in BFMI mice. Therefore, we analysed transcript amounts of genes belonging to the PPAR pathway.
in white adipose tissue and liver. Furthermore, we analysed body composition, adipocyte size and serum parameters.

Results

Phenotypic differences between lines BFMI and B6

To compare the body composition of the two lines, male mice of BFMI and B6 were fed a standard maintenance diet (SMD) until week 10. On SMD, animals of the BFMI line were significantly heavier and had more body fat and lean mass than B6 mice over the entire period (Figure 1). At 10 weeks, mice of the BFMI line were 1.8 times as heavy as B6 mice (41.4 ± 2.9 g and 23.5 ± 1.5 g, respectively). The body weight gain was due to increased fat mass. BFMI mice had almost 10 times as much body fat mass but only 1.5 times as much lean mass as B6 animals. In BFMI mice, fat was accumulated in all three investigated adipose tissues mainly due to enlarged adipocytes (Table 1, Figure 2 and 3). The weight of the liver was slightly higher in 10 weeks old BFMI mice compared to B6 mice which could be due to increased fat accumulation in liver of BFMI mice (Table 1, Figure 3). Serum triglyceride concentrations, but not total cholesterol concentrations, were elevated in BFMI mice compared to B6.

Feeding of HFD in mice of the BFMI line caused an additional body weight and body fat mass gain (9.0 g and 6.4 g, respectively). The excess amount of white adipose tissue (WAT) was more pronounced in subcutaneous and renal adipose tissue than in the reproductive adipose tissues (Table 1). This is in accordance with the observation that subcutaneous white adipose tissue is known as a primary fat storage depot. However, adipocytes were only slightly increased in subcutaneous adipose and not further increased in reproductive and renal adipose tissue indicating that proliferation of adipocytes occurs to uptake the additional fat in HFD-fed BMFI mice (Figure 2). Liver weight was significantly increased in mice on HFD due to increased fat accumulation (Figure 3). Serum triglyceride concentrations were not further increased in BFMI mice on HFD, but serum total cholesterol concentrations were elevated (Table 1).

Comparison of genes belonging to the PPAR pathway between BFMI and B6 mice

Among the members of the PPAR signalling pathway (KEGG database), 20 and 19 genes were differentially expressed between the lines BFMI and B6 on SMD in adipose tissue and in liver, respectively (Table 2, Figure 4).

On SMD, the transcript amount of \( Ppar-\alpha \), \( Ppar-\gamma \) and their receptors \( Rxra \) and \( Rxrg \) in reproductive adipose tissue were lower in BFMI mice compared to B6. Correspondingly, down-stream activated genes of the fatty acid oxidation but also genes of the lipogenesis were down-regulated. Within the fatty acid oxidation pathway, members of the cytochrome family, the acetyl-CoA acyltransferase (Acaat1) and the carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 (Cpt2) were decreased. Lipogenesis in BFMI
mice was characterized by down-regulation of the malic enzyme (Mod1), which provides energy rich molecules for the fatty acid synthesis.

Transcript amounts of genes encoding phospholipid transfer protein (Pltp), Cd36 antigen, fatty acid binding protein (Fabp3) and Acyl-CoA-synthetase (Acsl4), which are responsible for lipid and fatty acid transport, were up-regulated in BFMI mice compared to B6. The uncoupling protein 1 (Ucp1) that enhances thermogenesis was also highly up-regulated in reproductive adipose tissue in BFMI mice.

Unlike in adipose tissue, the expression of Ppar-α and its receptors Rxra and Rxrg were increased in liver. As in adipose tissue, genes of the lipid or fatty acid transport (here Apoa2, Apoa5 and Fabp3) were more expressed in liver of BFMI mice than in B6 mice. Genes involved in fatty acid catabolism were differentially expressed between both mouse lines. Liver transcript amounts of Acyl-CoA oxidase (Acox2) and acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase (Acadm) were higher, whereas 3-hydroxyacyl Coenzyme A dehydrogenase (Ehhadh), Cpt2 and members of the cytochrome P450 family were lower in BFMI than in B6.

**Diet effect on genes of the PPAR pathway in BFMI mice**

To determine whether dietary fat regulates the expression of genes of the PPAR pathway in BFMI mice, mRNA expression profiles of reproductive adipose tissue and liver of mice on SMD and HFD were compared. In response to HFD, the mRNA expression of Ppar-γ and its receptor was down-regulated in reproductive adipose tissue, whereas the expression of Ppar-α and its receptor were reduced in liver. Transcriptional response in both tissues indicated that most genes involved in lipid metabolism were up-regulated (Table 2, Figure 4). An exception was the cholesterol metabolism, which was reduced. In particular, genes involved in lipogenesis (Scd1, Mod1) but also in peroxisomal and mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation (Acaa1, Cpt2) and in ketogenesis (Hmgcs2) were up-regulated in response to HFD.

In addition, the expression of genes of the fatty acid transport (Acsl4, Dbi, Fabp3) and of the cell survival (Pdpk1) were increased in reproductive adipose tissue. The Ucp1 expression was further elevated in line BFMI. Genes affecting adipocyte differentiation, like angiopoietin-like 4 (Angptl4), which is involved in the cellular response to starvation, and adiponectin were down-regulated in this tissue in response to HFD-feeding. In liver tissue, genes belonging to lipid transport (Apoa1, Apoa3) were further up-regulated.

**Discussion**

The BFMI line is a model for studies of obesity and subsequent complications, which are close to obese humans. The purpose of the presented work was to evaluate the role of different Ppar genes and their responsive genes in the fat accumulation in the BFMI mice as PPARs are important regulators of lipid metabolism and energy homeostasis. Increased fat accumulation accompanied with increased adipocyte size and elevated serum triglyceride levels, observed in BFMI compared to B6 mice in this study, makes a change of Ppar gene expression and their responsive genes very likely. As PPAR-α agonists lead to

![Figure 2 Adipocyte diameter of reproductive (RPF), retroperitoneal (RF), and inguinal (IF) adipose tissue of B6 and BFMI mice on standard maintenance diet (SMD) and of BFMI mice on high-fat diet (HFD). Animals were 10 weeks old.](image-url)
reduced adiposity [4,5] and PPAR-γ agonists stimulate lipid storage and body weight gain [7,8] we would have expected a down-regulation of Ppar-α in liver and an up-regulation of Ppar-γ in adipose tissue in BFMI mice compared to B6. In contrast to our expectation, transcript amounts in white adipose tissue and in liver revealed a down-regulation of Ppar-α and Ppar-γ and their receptors in adipose tissue and an up-regulation of Ppar-α and its receptor in liver in the high-fatness selected BFMI line compared to B6. In response to HFD, BFMI mice showed a further reduction of Ppar-γ and Rxrg mRNA levels in adipose tissue but a reduced mRNA level of Ppar-α and its receptor in liver.

The observation that Ppar-α mRNA levels are increased in liver of BFMI mice compared to B6 corresponds well with findings in ob/ob and db/db mice in comparison with lean mice [12]. But this is in contrast with findings in Ppar-α deficient mice which had higher fat mass, liver weight and triglyceride concentrations [13,14]. In obese mice, PPAR-α might be activated to
| Gene symbol | BFMI860/B6 (SMD) | HFD/SMD (BFMI860) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Entrez Gene-IDs | Fat | liver | Fold ch. | p-value | Fat | liver | Fold ch. | p-value |
| PPAR isoforms and receptors | | | | | | | | |
| 19013 Ppara | 0.87 < 0.01 | 2.67 < 0.01 | 0.95 | ns | 0.48 < 0.01 | | |
| 19015 Ppard | 1.07 | 0.98 | ns | 0.73 | ns | 0.88 | ns |
| 19016 Pparg | 0.66 < 0.01 | 0.83 < 0.05 | 0.70 < 0.01 | 0.96 | ns | | |
| 20181 Rxra | 0.69 < 0.01 | 1.09 < 0.05 | 0.95 | ns | 0.89 < 0.05 | | |
| 20182 Rxrb | 0.98 | ns | 1.06 | ns | 1.00 | ns | | |
| 20183 Rxrg | 0.84 < 0.01 | 1.29 < 0.01 | 0.81 < 0.01 | 1.02 | ns | | |
| Lipid transport | | | | | | | | |
| 11806 Apoa1 | 0.97 | ns | 0.96 | ns | 1.04 | ns | 1.11 < 0.01 | |
| 11807 Apoa2 | 0.97 | ns | 1.21 < 0.05 | 1.16 | ns | 1.02 | ns | |
| 66113 Apoa5 | 0.94 | ns | 1.32 < 0.05 | 1.00 | ns | 0.92 | ns | |
| 11814 Apoc3 | 0.98 | ns | 0.94 | ns | 0.98 | ns | 1.15 < 0.01 | |
| 18830 PLTP | 2.32 < 0.01 | 0.75 | ns | 0.64 | ns | 1.26 | ns | |
| Fatty acid transport | | | | | | | | |
| 14081 Acsl1 | 0.97 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 0.82 | ns | 0.84 | ns | |
| 74205 Acsl3 | 1.03 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 0.98 | ns | 0.94 | ns | |
| 50790 Acsl4 | 1.14 < 0.05 | 0.98 | ns | 1.18 < 0.05 | 1.03 | ns | | |
| 433256 Acsl5 | 1.09 | ns | 1.02 | ns | 1.05 | ns | 1.12 | ns | |
| 216739 Acsl6 | 0.97 | ns | 0.99 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 1.06 | ns | |
| 12491 Cptb6 | 1.61 < 0.01 | 1.06 | ns | 1.16 | ns | 1.42 < 0.05 | | |
| 13167 Dbp | 0.86 | ns | 0.92 | ns | 1.39 < 0.01 | 1.08 | ns | |
| 14080 Fabp1 | 1.09 | ns | 1.04 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 0.87 < 0.05 | | |
| 14079 Fabp2 | 1.05 | ns | 1.08 | ns | 0.95 | ns | 0.85 < 0.01 | | |
| 14077 Fabp3 | 1.36 < 0.05 | 1.15 < 0.05 | 1.83 < 0.01 | 0.90 | ns | | |
| 11770 Fabp4 | 1.00 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 1.26 | ns | 0.95 | ns | |
| 16592 Fabp5 | 1.47 | ns | 0.88 | ns | 1.38 | ns | 0.99 | ns | |
| 16204 Fabp6 | 0.94 < 0.01 | 1.02 | ns | 1.02 | ns | 1.04 | ns | |
| 12140 Fabp7 | 1.07 | ns | 0.96 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 0.96 | ns | |
| 16956 Lpl | 0.85 < 0.01 | 1.02 | ns | 1.04 | ns | 0.95 | ns | |
| 108078 Orl1 | 0.96 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 0.99 | ns | 0.95 | ns | |
| 26457 Slc27a1 | 0.75 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 0.99 | ns | 1.03 | ns | |
| 26458 Slc27a2 | 1.02 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 1.15 | ns | |
| 26569 Slc27a4 | 1.07 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 0.92 < 0.05 | 0.99 | ns | |
| 26459 Slc27a5 | 0.99 | ns | 1.06 | ns | 1.00 | ns | 0.83 < 0.01 | | |
| 225579 Slc27a6 | 1.14 < 0.01 | 0.82 < 0.01 | 0.96 | ns | 1.11 < 0.05 | | |
| Fatty acid oxidation | | | | | | | | |
| 113868 Acaa1a | 0.78 < 0.05 | 0.97 | ns | 1.46 < 0.05 | 1.15 < 0.05 | | |
| 11363 Acadl | 0.91 | ns | 1.1 | ns | 1.09 | ns | 1.18 | ns | |
| 11364 Acadm | 0.7 | ns | 1.89 < 0.01 | 0.93 | ns | 1.08 | ns | |
| 11430 Accox1 | 1.07 | ns | 1.74 | ns | 1.06 | ns | 0.67 | ns | |
| 93732 Accox2 | 1.05 | ns | 1.76 < 0.01 | 0.97 | ns | 0.80 | ns | |
| 80911 Accox3 | 0.99 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 0.99 | ns | 0.99 | ns | |
| 12894 Cpt1a | 0.98 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 0.99 | ns | 0.94 | ns | |
| 12895 Cpt1b | 1.17 | ns | 0.99 | ns | 1.20 < 0.05 | 1.07 | ns | |
| 78070 Cpt1c | 0.96 | ns | 1.01 | ns | 0.97 | ns | 0.98 < 0.05 | | |
| 12896 Cpt2 | 0.71 < 0.01 | 0.63 < 0.01 | 1.61 < 0.05 | 1.85 < 0.01 | | | |
| 13117 Cyp4A10 | 1.00 | ns | 0.24 < 0.01 | 0.98 | ns | 5.07 < 0.01 | | |
| 13118 Cyp4A12b | 1.03 | ns | 0.80 | ns | 0.94 | ns | 0.59 < 0.05 | | |
| 13119 Cyp4A14 | 0.90 < 0.01 | 0.06 < 0.01 | 1.06 | ns | 31.29 < 0.01 | | | |
| 74147 Ehhaod | 0.98 | ns | 0.69 < 0.05 | 0.89 | ns | 2.17 < 0.01 | | |
| 20280 SCP2 | 0.85 | ns | 0.95 | ns | 0.87 | ns | 0.91 | ns | |
counteract the obese state. In BFMI mice, PPAR-responsive genes involved in lipid transport are up-regulated, but despite the up-regulation of PPAR-\(\alpha\) expression genes involved in fatty acid oxidation are down-regulated, which leads to fat accumulation in the liver. The accumulation of fat in the liver suggests preferred usage of glucose for oxidation, which is consistent with higher respiratory quotients in BFMI mice [10].

BFMI mice responded to HFD by a reduction of PPAR-\(\alpha\) expression in liver. This is in line with increased adiposity in \(\text{Ppar}^{-}\alpha\) null mice after HFD-feeding [4]. Our finding is in contrast with the increased PPAR-\(\alpha\) expression in diet-induced obese B6 mice, in which it goes along with elevated fatty acid oxidation [14]. In BFMI mice, genes of lipid transport, lipogenesis, fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis were up-regulated despite the reduced Ppar expression. This finding suggests that the PPAR-\(\alpha\) signalling in BFMI mice might be disturbed or that other factors have a stronger influence on lipid metabolism. Despite elevated fatty acid utilization in the liver the high lipid storage resulted in a fatty liver in HFD-fed BFMI mice.

PPAR-\(\gamma\) activation is essential for the formation of adipocytes and, as a consequence, \(\text{Ppar}^{-}\gamma\) knockout mice fail to develop adipose tissue [7,8]. In \(\text{db/db}\) and \(\text{ob/ob}\) mice PPAR-\(\gamma\) agonism or activation led to the reduction of serum concentrations of triglycerides [5,15]. Surprisingly, in BFMI mice \(\text{Ppar}^{-}\gamma\) is down-regulated despite high body fat mass and increased adipocyte size in comparison to B6. In the white adipose tissue, the decreased

| Gene     | Change | Standard Maintenance Diet | High-Fat Diet | Ppar-\(\alpha\) Null Mice | BFMI Mice Compared to B6 Mice |
|----------|--------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Hmgcs2   | 0.92   | ns                        | 1.27          | ns                       | 1.72                         |
| Fads2    | 1.05   | ns                        | 0.69          | ns                       | 0.93                         |
| Modl     | 0.58   | < 0.01                    | 0.75          | ns                       | 2.26                         |
| Scd1     | 0.76   | < 0.01                    | 0.81          | < 0.05                   | 1.45                         |
| Scd2     | 1.26   | ns                        | 1.46          | < 0.01                   | 2.03                         |
| Scd3     | 1.03   | ns                        | 0.98          | ns                       | 0.98                         |
| Scd4     | 1.01   | ns                        | 1.06          | ns                       | 0.98                         |
| Cyp27a1  | 1.04   | ns                        | 1.00          | ns                       | 0.78                         |
| Cyp7a1   | 0.96   | ns                        | 2.31          | ns                       | 1.00                         |
| Cyp8b1   | 1.00   | ns                        | 1.37          | < 0.05                   | 0.99                         |
| Nr1h3    | 0.96   | ns                        | 0.92          | ns                       | 0.76                         |
| Aqp7     | 0.83   | ns                        | 1.04          | ns                       | 1.12                         |
| Gk2      | 1.02   | ns                        | 1.01          | ns                       | 1.05                         |
| Gyk      | 1.01   | ns                        | 0.96          | ns                       | 1.00                         |
| Pck1     | 0.65   | ns                        | 0.54          | ns                       | 0.87                         |
| Pck2     | 0.99   | ns                        | 1.17          | < 0.01                   | 0.97                         |
| Adipoq   | 0.71   | < 0.05                    | 0.97          | ns                       | 0.49                         |
| Angpt4   | 1.41   | ns                        | 0.84          | ns                       | 0.71                         |
| Mmp1a    | 1.02   | ns                        | 0.99          | ns                       | 0.99                         |
| Mmp1b    | 1.06   | ns                        | 0.97          | ns                       | 0.97                         |
| Pkn      | 0.70   | ns                        | 0.98          | ns                       | 0.68                         |
| Sorbs1   | 0.95   | ns                        | 0.94          | ns                       | 1.04                         |
| Ucp1     | 2.65   | < 0.01                    | 1.03          | ns                       | 2.87                         |
| Ilk      | 1.27   | ns                        | 0.83          | ns                       | 0.77                         |
| Pdk1     | 0.62   | < 0.01                    | 1.38          | < 0.01                   | 1.61                         |
| Ubc      | 0.87   | ns                        | 1.25          | ns                       | 0.83                         |
|               |        |                           |               |                          | 0.76                         |

Changes in expression are expressed as fold changes in BFM860 in comparison to B6 mice on SMD, and of HFD-fed BFM860 mice compared to SMD-fed mice, respectively. SMD- standard maintenance diet, HFD- high-fat diet, ns-not significant.
Ppar-γ expression in BFMI mice goes along with decreased fatty acid oxidation. This coincides with the observation in Zucker rats, in which PPAR-γ agonism led to stimulation of genes involved in fatty acid oxidation [16]. In BFMI mice, reduction of fatty acid oxidation might be also due to the interaction with Ppar-α, which is also lowered in adipose tissue. Reduced fatty acid oxidation and elevated fatty acid transport in BFMI mice compared to B6 lead to an elevated fat deposition and increased white adipose tissues with hypertrophic adipocytes in BFMI mice. As the proportion of the adipose tissue weights between BFMI and B6 was larger than the proportion of the adipocyte size between the lines, we suggest that proliferation of adipocytes still occurs in BFMI mice.

However, there were also fat storage counteracting effects in BFMI mice. The expression of Ucp1, which facilitates thermogenesis, was highly increased in line BFMI in comparison to B6. UCPs could dispose excess energy by uncoupling mitochondrial respiration from oxidative phosphorylation under fatty acid consumption [17]. In response to HFD, Ucp1 as well as genes of the fatty acid oxidation (Acaa1a, Cpt1b, Cpt2) were further elevated in adipose tissue of BFMI mice. Moreover, in adipose tissue and liver of BFMI mice on HFD, fatty acids seem to be converted to ketones as energy sources. The high amount of Ucp1 transcripts and the change of fatty acid utilisation indicate a counteraction to the excessive energy supply and might explain the unchanged triglyceride levels in BFMI mice on SMD and HFD. An up-regulation of Ucp1 in response to HFD was also seen in DBA/2J mice [18]. The increase in fat mass in HFD-fed mice mirrors further lipid storage as a result of fatty acid uptake and lipogenesis which were up-regulated. As the adipocyte size was not further increased, but tissue mass nearly doubled in HFD-fed BFMI mice compared to SMD, cell proliferation still takes place, thus developing additional adipocytes. This is indicated by an up-regulation of Pdpk1, which is involved in cell survival. Surprisingly, new adipocytes are developed in BFMI mice despite the decreased Ppar-γ expression in response to HFD. This is in contrast with findings in heterozygous Ppar-γ mutant mice that had smaller fat pads [19]. In BFMI mice, down-regulation of Ppar-γ expression seems to counteract fat accumulation rather than to promote fat storage.
PPAR-δ is abundantly expressed throughout the body, but little is known about its role in lipid metabolism [2]. Although it seems to play a role in obesity [20], Ppar-δ expressions of reproductive adipose tissue and liver were similar in BFMI and B6 mice. Furthermore, they were not changed by the diet. The same was found in liver of ob/ob and db/db mice in comparison to B6 [12].

In summary, elevated fatty acid and lipid transport into adipose tissue accompanied by lower fatty acid oxidation contribute to hypertrophy of adipocytes and excess of body fat mass in the high-fatness selected BFMI line. Our results suggest that the regulation of Ppar genes in BFMI mice is a strategy of the organism to counteract the rise of obesity rather than promoting the formation of the obese phenotype. However, the signalling from Ppar genes to the fatty acid oxidation seems to be disturbed. In response to HFD, likewise mechanisms seem to be activated that hamper fat gain. In HFD-fed BFMI mice, the fatty acid oxidation, ketogenesis and thermogenesis are up-regulated indicating increased utilization of fatty acids as energy source. Despite increased fatty acid oxidations, elevated lipogenesis led to additional fat stored in the adipose tissue and fatty liver in HFD-fed BFMI mice.

Considering the many differences in PPAR gene expression in comparison to B6 mice and in the response to HFD, BFMI mice provide a new model for the study of PPAR actions under controlled genetic and environmental conditions.

**Methods**

**Animals and diets**

In this study, we used males of the BFMI860 line which was generated from an outbred population. Founders of the BFMI line were originally purchased from pet shops and were consecutively selected for low protein content, low body mass and high fat content, and for high fatness for 58 generations before inbreeding [9]. C57BL/6NCrl (B6) mice (Charles River Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany) were used as a control because this mouse line was a parental line for a cross-bred experiment to map genetic loci affecting body fat content in BFMI mice [11]. Mice were kept at room temperature (22°C - 24°C) with a light dark cycle of 12 hours. After weaning at the age of three weeks, 11 mice of the BFMI line and 15 mice of the B6 line were randomly chosen and fed a standard maintenance diet (SMD) containing 12.8 MJ/kg metabolizable energy with 9% of its energy from fat, 33% from protein content and 58% from carbohydrates (V1534-000 ssniff R/M-H, ssniff Spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, Germany). The ingredients of both diets are given in Table 3. The standard diet derived its fat from soy oil, whereas the high-fat diet derived its fat from coconut oil and suet. Animals had ad libitum access to water and diets. All animal treatments were in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Legislation (approval no. G0152/04).

**Phenotypes**

For the phenotypic characterization, animals were weighed weekly on the basis of their day of birth from the age of 3 weeks on. Body fat mass and body lean mass were also determined weekly in non-anesthetized animals by quantitative magnetic resonance (QMR) analysis using the EchoMRI whole body composition analyzer (Echo Medical Systems, Houston, Texas, USA) [21]. At 10 weeks, mice were fasted for two hours, anesthetized with isofluran and immediately killed by decapitation. After bleeding, different white adipose tissues (reproductive, renal, subcutaneous) and liver were dissected and weighed. The remaining white adipose tissues were collected and weighted. The sum of all visible white adipose tissues was termed ‘total white fat tissue’.

**Histology**

For the histological examinations, the reproductive adipose tissue (around the testicles), the retroperitoneal (as part of the renal adipose tissue), the inguinal adipose tissue (as part of the subcutaneous adipose tissue) and the liver of three animals of each line and diet group were immediately fixed upon dissection in 4% buffered formaldehyde solution (pH 7.4) for 24 hours. Adipose

### Table 3 Ingredients of standard maintenance diet (SMD) and high-fat diet (HFD)

| Ingredient               | SMD          | HFD          |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Crude nutrients proportion [%] |              |              |
| Crude proteins           | 19.0         | 20.7         |
| Crude fat                | 3.3          | 25.0         |
| Crude fiber              | 4.9          | 5.0          |
| Crude ashes              | 6.7          | 5.9          |
| Dry substance            | 87.7         | 96.3         |
| N-free extracts          | 54.1         | 39.7         |
| Starch                   | 36.5         | 20.0         |
| Sugar                    | 4.7          | 17.5         |
| Metabolizable energy     | 12.8         | 19.1         |
| % of energy              |              |              |
| Fat                      | 9.0          | 45.0         |
| Carbohydrate             | 58.0         | 31.0         |
| Protein                  | 33.0         | 24.0         |

Both diets contained also vitamins, trace elements, and minerals.
tissue samples were embedded in paraffin following standard laboratory procedures. Sections (3 μm) of dewaxed tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Adipocyte cell diameter was measured from 30 cells per tissue per mouse. Cells with a round shape were chosen for determination of the cell size. Cryosections (10 μm) of liver tissue were incubated with 0.18% oil-red O for 10 min, washed with 60% isopropanol, and counterstained with hematoxylin. Sections were photographed at 100x magnification with a digital camera.

Serum parameters
Blood was collected at slaughtering and serum was recovered by centrifugation for 15 min at 600 g and stored at -20°C until analysis. Serum triglycerides and total cholesterol were determined using the Fluitest TG (Triglyceride GPO-PAP) and Fluitest Chol (Cholesterol CHOD-PAP) kits (both Biocon Medizintechnik, München, Germany).

RNA isolation and microarray analysis
For gene expression analyses, the reproductive adipose tissue and liver of six mice per feeding group at ten weeks were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. We used the reproductive adipose tissue for gene expression analysis as visceral white adipose tissue is known to be more metabolically active than subcutaneous [18,22]. Total RNA was prepared using the NucleoSpin RNA II Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Quality of RNA was verified on an agarose gel with ethidium bromide staining. 400 ng of RNA was reverse transcribed to synthesize first and second strand complementary DNA (cDNA), purified with spin columns and then in vitro transcribed to synthesize biotin-labelled complementary RNA (cRNA) (Ambion Illumina RNA Amplification Kit, Cambridge-shire, UK). 1.5 μg biotin-labeled cRNA was hybridized onto Mouse-6 v1 Expression BeadChips (Illumina Inc, San Diego, CA, USA), representing >46,000 probe sequences per array, for 16 hours at 55°C. BeadChips were then scanned using Illumina’s BeadStation 500X gene expression system (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Fluorescence images of the Illumina BeadArrays were translated into relative expression levels using the Bioconductor [23] package beadarray [24] with standard parameter settings. The intensity values of the arrays were log2-transformed and quantile-normalized for each tissue separately.

Analyses of expression data
ANOVA was performed to evaluate differences between (i) the BFMI and B6 mice on SMD and (ii) between BFMI mice on SMD and HFD using the SAS 9.1 statistical software package (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). For body composition and serum parameters, the Wilcoxon rank sum test was used and for the comparison of the adipocyte diameters, t-tests for two group comparisons were used. P-values smaller than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. For the comparison of time courses for weight and fat gain, longitudinal data were subjected to ANOVA with repeated measurement.

To measure the significance of differential expression two separate t-tests were performed for each oligo in each tissue: BFMI on SMD vs. B6 on SMD and BFMI on HFD vs. BFMI on SMD. To address multiple testing, local false discovery rates (local FDR) were calculated. For this purpose a customized algorithm following Aubert et al. [25] was implemented in R. Differentially expressed genes which had both p-values smaller than 0.05 and local FDRs smaller than 0.5 were considered statistically significant. Where necessary, medians of fold-changes and medians of significance values (p-value and local FDR) were used to define unique values for each gene if multiple oligos were present on the chip that measured the expression of the same gene. Genes showing significant differences between groups were assigned to the PPAR pathway based on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database [26]. Pathways were visualized with GenMAPP [27].
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