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Abstract: This paper examines the Arabic origins of the common word root fork and its related derivatives like forchette, bifurcate as well as related words like diverge, diverse, adverse, averse, divorce, divert, avert, fraction, fragmentation, fraction in English, German, French, Latin, Greek, Russian, and Sanskrit from a consonantal radical or lexical root theory perspective. More precisely, the data consists of three sets of 30 such words as shall be seen below. Despite their different spellings and forms, they all share a common, core meaning of ‘separation, division, and opposition’. The results clearly show that all such related words have true Arabic cognates, with the same or similar forms and meanings whose different forms, however, are all found to be due to natural and plausible causes and different courses of linguistic change. Furthermore, they show the failure of English and European historical lexicography and linguistics in manifesting the close genetic relationships between Arabic and such languages. As a consequence, the results indicate, contrary to traditional Comparative Method and Family-Tree Model claims, that Arabic, English, and all the so-called Indo-European languages belong to the same language, let alone the same family. Therefore, they prove the inadequacy of the consonantal radical theory in relating Indo-European languages to Arabic as their origin all because, unlike any other language in the group, it has cognates in common with all of their branches.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In 60 papers or so on all aspects of language analysis phonetically, morphologically, grammatically, and lexically or semantically, the close genetic relationship between Arabic and the so-called Indo-European languages has been firmly established (Jassem 2012-2019). Words have been classified into broad semantic fields or areas in all those papers such as family terms, animal terms, colour terms, religious/divine terms or grammatical functions and categories like pronouns, question words, verb to be, and the like. The purpose of all these papers is to reject traditional thinking and practice in the field which classifies Arabic and Indo-European languages like English, German, French, Latin, Greek, and so on as members of different families (Bergs and Brinton 2012; Algeo 2010; Crystal 2010: 302; Campbell 2013; Yule 2006; Crowley 1997: 22-25, 110-111; Pyles and Algeo 1993: 61-94).

The present paper, unlike most of its predecessors, attempts to focus more narrowly and closely on fewer terms or word roots, that are similar in form and meaning but have different spellings and pronunciations which are listed in separate entries in English and Indo-European lexicography and linguistics. For example, although fork, bifurcate, diverge, diverse, divorce, etc. share the same meaning ‘separation, division’, they have separate entries in the dictionary which should, as a matter of fact, be listed under one root as is the case in Arabic dictionaries. Thus this work has three aims: First, it shows the failure of Western linguists and lexicographers and their faulty analyses mainly because the descriptions of all European languages were all initially modeled on Latin. Secondly, it tries to link those languages successfully to Arabic which is their end origin all. Finally, it focuses on fewer words which is more illuminating and illustrative to the reader who does not have either the time or...
patience to go through long lists of words in a basically glossary-type work. As such, using fewer related words is easier, faster, and more illustrous or manageable.

The paper has four sections: introduction, research methods, results, and conclusion.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

2.1. The Data

The data consists of the words containing the related roots *frk* (vrg, vrs, vrt, frc) as in *fork*, *bifurcate*, *diverge*, *converge*, *divorce*, *diverse*, *diversity*, *diversification*, *adverse*, *adversity*, *adversary*, *aversion*, *converse*, *traverse*, and so on, all sharing the meaning of 'separation, division, and opposition' in general. More precisely, the data is comprised of three such sets:

- The first set contains *fork*, *forchette*, *bifurcate*, *(freak, frock)*;
- The second includes three subsets, formally slightly different but semantically similar words like:
  - *Diverge*, *divergence*, *divergent*, *converge*, *convergence*, *convergent*;
  - *Divorce*, *divorcee*, *diverse*, *diversity*, *diversification*, *adverse*, *adversity*, *adversary*, *aversion*, *converse*, *traverse*;
  - *Divert*, *diversion*; and
- The third contains *fragment*, *fragmentation*, *fraction*, *fracture* (friction).

Their selection has been based on their frequency and related meanings of 'separation, split, division, difference, opposition' despite their different spellings or forms.

The etymological data for English and Indo-European languages is based on Harper (2002-19) and his sources. For Arabic, the meanings are taken from Ibn Manzoor (2019) in the main and related e-dictionaries like Albaheth Alarabi (2019), a collection of the top five classical dictionaries, and Almaany.com (2019), a collection of both classical and modern dictionaries, in addition to my own knowledge of Arabic as a native speaker. Unless stated otherwise, the Arabic cognates of the above English and Indo-European words are exclusively mine, though.

In transcribing the data, normal spelling is used for practical purposes. However, certain symbols were used for unique Arabic sounds, including /2 ح & 3 خ/ for the voiceless and voiced pharyngeal fricatives respectively, /kh خ & gh خ/ for the voiceless and voiced velar fricatives each, capital letters for the emphatic counterparts of plain consonants /T (t) (ت) Dh (dh) (ذ) & S (s) ص (س)/, and ⵟ ⵊ for the glottal stop (Jassem 2013c). Long vowels are doubled, e.g., /aa, oo, & ee/.

2.2. Data Analysis

2.2.1. Theoretical Framework: Consonantal Radical/Lexical Root Theory

The data will be analysed by using the consonantal radical theory, which is a more precise version of the lexical root or radical linguistic theory (Jassem 2012a-14e, 2014f-2018). It is so called because of employing the consonantal radical or, more generally, lexical root in examining genetic relationships between words such as the derivation of *persuasion* from *persuade*, from *suade* (or simply *suad*), *observation* from *serve* (or simply *srv*), *description* from *scribe* (or simply *scrb*), *writing* from *write* (or simply *wrt*). The main reason for doing so is because the consonantal root carries and determines the basic meaning of the word irrespective of its affixation such as *observation*. As to vowels, they are neglected because they show mainly phonetic and grammatical relationships and functions as in English *sing* (inf.), *sang* (past), *sung* (p.p.), *song* (n), and Arabic *qaal* (v) 'to say' لل، *qawwl* (n), *aqwaal* (pl.) 'saying' قول، *qul* (imp.) لل، *qeel* (passive) لل، *qawwaal* (emph. n.) 'informer, gossiper' قول، etc.

A full exposition of the lexical root theory and procedures can be found in Jassem (2018b) which will be skipped over here to save time, effort, and space. However, the main procedures of analysis in relating words to each other genetically can be summed up in five steps as follows:

- Select any word, starting with consonantal roots and overlooking vowels, e.g., *fork*, *bifurcate*, *diverge*, *diversity*, *the*, *that*. 
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• Identify the source, daughter, or sister language meaning (e.g., English, Latin) on the basis of especially word history or etymology. It is essential to start with word root meanings, not sounds as the former are more stable and change a lot less than the latter which do so extensively and drastically; for example, all the sounds of a given word might change beyond recognition while meanings do so in a rather limited way. The meaning first will often lead the analyst to the correct cognate naturally whereas the sounds first will lead them nowhere definitely.

• Search for the word with the equivalent meaning and form in the target, parent, or reference language (e.g., Arabic), looking for cognates: i.e., sister words with the same or similar forms and meanings.

• Explain the differences, if any, in both form and meaning between the cognates lexicologically, phonetically, morphologically, and semantically as indicated. As a matter of fact, finding the right cognate on the basis of its meaning first often leads one to the resultant changes automatically.

• Finally, formulate phonological, morphological, grammatical, and semantic rules after sufficient data has been amassed and analyzed.

That is the whole story simply and briefly as shall be clearly seen in Section 3 below.

2.2.2. Statistical Analysis

The percentage formula is used for calculating the ratio of cognate words or shared vocabulary, which is obtained by dividing the number of cognates over the total number of investigated words, multiplied by a 100. For example, suppose the total number of investigated words is 100, of which 90 are true cognates. The percentage of cognates is calculated thus: 90/100 = 9 X 100 = 90%. Finally, the results are checked against Cowley's (1997: 173, 182) formula to determine whether such words belong to the same language or family (for a survey, see Jassem 2012a-b).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main focus of the results will be on the Arabic consonantal radicals or lexical roots of English, German, French, Latin, Greek, and the so-called Indo-European words and affixes (prefixes, infixes, and suffixes); vowels will be generally overlooked whose main function is phonetically-grammatical rather than semantic as has been stated above.

Although all the 30 words or so in the data are related in meaning in general (separation, split, division, difference, opposition), they have been classified into three sets on formal grounds, which are repeated here for clarity purposes. These are:

  • fork, forchette, bifurcate, (freak, frock);
  • diverge, divergence, divergent, converge, convergence, convergent;
  • divorce, divorcee, diverse, diversity, diversification, adverse, adversity, adversary, aversion, converse, traverse;
  • divert, diversion;
  • Fragment, fragmentation, fraction, fracture (friction).

Anyway, all the above words derive from one or two related Arabic main roots or cognates with the same or similar form and meaning, as follows.

First, the first set contains fork, forchette, bifurcate, which are traced back to Latin but are of an unknown ultimate origin. In fact, they all come from Arabic, as follows.

**Fork (forchette, bifurcate)** came from Old English *force, force, forcel* 'pitchfork, forked instrument, forked weapon', from a Germanic borrowing (Old Norse *forkr*, Dutch *vork*, Danish *fork*) of Latin *furca* 'two-pronged fork; pitchfork; cooking fork', Old French *fourque, furche* (Modern *fourche*), of unknown ultimate etymology. However, it derives ultimately from either Arabic:

- far(r)aq 'divide; to fork' فрак، فرق، فاروق، فاروق where /q/ became /k (ch)/; or
- furja 'division, gap' فرحة and related derivatives faarij, faraj where /j/ evolved into /k/.
The former is the likeliest, though. As can be clearly seen, the Arabic cognates are formally and semantically the same or similar.

As to French borrowing forchette, it is the diminutive feminine form of fork which comes straight from Arabic farraaq(at), farqat 'divider; fork'. Of the same root above in which /q/ became /k/. That is, the French feminine suffix -ette derives straight from the Arabic feminine suffix -atت، as well (Jassem 2012f, 2013a).

Similarly, both the Latin suffix -a, which marks feminine gender in the nominative case, and Latin -us, its masculine counterpart, are again cognates to Arabic -at(t) 'feminine and sometimes masculine suffix' where /t/ became /s/ in the latter but dropped in the former (Jassem 2012f, 2013a, 2015d). In other words, morphological split has affected Arabic -at in Latin, leading to two forms.

Bifurcate (bifurcation) stemmed from Medieval Latin bifurcatus, from (i) bi- 'two', and (ii) furca 'two-pronged fork; fork-shaped instrument', a word of unknown etymology. However, like fork above, it derives straight from the same Arabic cognate root far(r)aq 'divide; of roads, to fork' فَرَقُ in which /q/ became /k/. More precisely, the whole word consists of three morphemes- bi- + forca- + -ate, which descends directly from Arabic bifurqat 'lit., with division' بِفَرْقَةٍ where /t/ became /s/ in the latter but dropped in the former (Jassem 2012f, 2013a, 2015d). In other words, morphological split has affected Arabic -at in Latin, leading to two forms.

As to the second set of words, all are inaccurately derived from PIE root *wer- 'to turn, bend'. Instead, they can all be traced back to Arabic cognates easily, and as follows.

Freak occurs as noun and verb, has several senses, and is of uncertain origin. More precisely, it perhaps came from Middle English friken 'to move nimbly or briskly', from Old English frician 'to dance', or perhaps from Middle English frek(e) 'eager, zealous, brave, bold, fierce, a man', from Old English freca 'a bold man, warrior', (Scottish English freik 'brave man, warrior'), from free 'greedy, eager, bold'. However, Arabic gives the right etymology for the different senses, as follows:

- faaraq 'to leave, to part with; to differ with, separate from' فَرَقُ, from faraq فَرَقَ 'to divide; to fear', replacing /q/ by /k/;
- farak 'to leave, to escape; to rub; to hate' فَرَكُ (see Friction below); and/or
- faaris 'horseman; brave' فَارِسُ, turning /s/ into /k/.

As can be clearly seen, the different senses of the word are most likely to be due to the lexical merger of formally similar but semantically different Arabic words.

Frock (defrock) is of uncertain final origin, which came from Old French froc 'a monk's habit, clothing, dress', perhaps from Frankish *hroc or another Germanic source like Old High German hrocc 'mantle, coat', Old English rocce, German Rock 'a coat, over-garment', or perhaps from Latin floccus 'tuft of wool'. Now, Arabic resolves the uncertainty and supplies the right etymology for both hroc/hrok and rocc/Rock (Modern English rug), as follows:

- khirqa(t), kherqa/khuroqq (pl.) 'a piece of cloth; petty (usu. torn) dress' خَرْقَةٌ, خَرْقَةٌ/خَروقَةٌ, replacing /kh & q/ by /h & k/ (cf. hroc);
- ruq3a(t), rigaa3 (pl.) 'a small piece of cloth; a rug' رَقْعَةٌ, رَقْعَةٌ/رَقْعَةٌ via /3/-loss and substituting /k/ for /q/ (cf. rocc/Rock).

Thus, it can be clearly seen that the two words hroc/*hrok and rocc/Rock (rug) came about as a result of the lexical merger of the above two formally different but semantically similar Arabic words.

Divorce (divorcee) came from Old French divorce, from Latin divorcium 'separation, dissolution of marriage', from diverte 'to separate, leave one's husband; turn aside', from (i) dis- 'aside' and de 'from', and (ii) vertere 'to turn, bend', from PIE root *wer- (2) 'to turn, bend'; ultimately, it is from Arabic:
• *fatal* 'to turn' فَتَلُّ via reordering and passing /l/ into /l/.
• *dawar* 'to turn, rotate' دور via /d/-loss,
• *waraa* 'behind' وراء via semantic shift, or
• *walla* 'went away, went back' وَلِيّ, turning /l/ into /l/.

However, the above bi-morphemic etymology or derivation is inaccurate; alternatively, it should be treated as a whole word which then comes directly from the same Arabic root for *fork* above: i.e., *farraaq, tafaraaq* (v.) 'to divide, to separate, to fork' تَفَرَّقٌ, تَفَرَّقَ, تَفَرِّقٌ *tafreeq* (n) 'divorce, separation' تَفَرِّقَ. That is, *divorce* has two morphemes *di-* + *-vorce* which is what it is exactly in Arabic- i.e., *ta* (derivational suffix) + *farq = tafreeq*, where /t & q/ became /d & s/. In spoken Arabic, *tafreeq* may be pronounced /tafreedz/ in Qassimi Arabic, KSA or /tafreej, tafreef/ in others like mine (Jassem 1987: Ch. 5).

Latin *divertere* 'to separate, leave one's husband; turn aside' is taken direct from Arabic *tafreeiT* (n), from *faraT* (v) 'to separate, disconnect, unloosen' فرصت تَفَرِّقَ, in which /t & T/ became /d & v/. That is, it has three morphemes: *di + vert* + *-re* (-en in Greek and Germanic and Arabic).

Thus, it can be clearly seen that the Latin prefix *di-* is cognate to and derives straight from Arabic *ta*– an inflectional and derivational affix', turning /l/ into /l/. On the other hand, the Latin verb suffix *–re* is cognate to –*en* in Greek and Germanic languages such as German *lesen* 'to read' and English *lessen*, which derives straight from Arabic *(a)n* 'an inflectional and derivational affix'. Thus, morphological change and/or split occurred to Arabic *(a)n* here in European languages (Jassem 2012f, 2013a, 2015d).

**Diverge** (*divergence, divergent*) developed from Modern Latin *divergere* 'go in different directions', from (i) *dis-* 'apart', and (ii) *vergere* 'to bend, turn, tend toward', from PIE root *wer*-(2) 'to turn, bend' as in *divorce* above, thus coming straight from Arabic *tafarraq* تَفَرَّقَ, from *farraq* 'to divide; to fork' تَفَرَّقَ, /t & q/ became /d & j/.

As to the prefix *di-*, it derives straight from the Arabic derivational and inflectional affix *ta*-تَتَحَتْ. Thus, *diverge* has two morphemes *di-* + *-verge*, which is what it is exactly in Arabic: i.e., *ta + farraaq* تَفَرَّقَ, from *farraq*, passing /t & q/ into /d & j (ge)/.

**Converge** (*convergence, convergent*) arose from Late Latin *convergere* 'to incline together', from (i) assimilated form of *com-* 'with, together', and (ii) *vergere* 'to bend, turn, tend toward', from PIE root *wer*- (2) 'to turn, bend', straight from the same Arabic cognate as in *diverge, divorce* above.

As to *com-* and its phonetically conditioned variants (con-, col-, cor- co-) 'with, together', it comes straight from Arabic:

- *jamee3* 'together, all' جُمُوع via /3/-loss and turning /l/ into /kl/,
- *sawa* 'all, together' سواء where /s/ changed into /kl/, or
- *kama* 'like, as' كما as happens in Spanish *como-* 'like, as' and French *comme* 'like, as' via semantic shift (Jassem 2013a).

That is, *com-* is etymologically two morphemes *co-* + *-m*, which is what it really is in Arabic: viz., *ka* كا 'as, like' and *maw* مَا 'what, that'.

**Diverse** has quite a number of derivatives (*divers, diversify, diversification, diversity, diversely, adverse, adversity, converge, traverse, averse, avert*) which all developed from Latin *diversus* 'different in character or quality, essentially different, various', past participle of *divertere* 'divert; turn in different directions, turn aside', from (i) *dis-* 'aside' and *de* 'from', and *vertere* 'to turn, bend', from PIE root *wer*- (2) 'to turn, bend' as in *divorce, diverge* above. By the same token, they are derived ultimately from the same Arabic cognate above. That is, like *diverge, divorce*, and *fork* above, *diverse* and all related derivatives descend straight from Arabic *tafarraq* (v), from *farraq* (v) 'to divide, separate, differ, distinguish, oppose, go in different
direction' and/or their derivatives in which /t & q/ passed into /d & s/ (pronounced /tafreeedz/ in Qassimi Arabic, KSA).

All the other derivational suffixes of its derivatives can be traced back to Arabic roots easily, which are as follows:

- **ad-** (adverse, adversity), from Arabic *ta* ‘derivational and inflectional affix’ via reordering and passing /t/ into /d/. That is, **adverse** is from Arabic *tafreeeq/tafarraaq* ‘separation, division, enmity, opposition’، from *tafarraq*, from *farraq* (v) in which /t & q/ became /d & s/.

- **-ity (adversity)**, from Arabic *-at* ‘derivational and inflectional affix’. That is, **adversity** is from Arabic *tfrigat* ‘enmity, opposition, separation’، in which /t & q/ became /d & s/. In other words, **adversity** is structurally three morphemes *ad-* + *vers* + *-ity*, which is what it is exactly in Arabic: *ta + friq, + at-* true, identical cognates.

As can be clearly seen, the prefix *ad-* and the suffix *-ity* can be treated as morphologically conditioned variants, both of which split and derive ultimately from the same Arabic inflectional and derivational affix *ta/-at*.

- **-ary (adversary)**, from Arabic *-y* ‘derivational and inflectional affix’ with /i/ being an insertion. Thus, **adversary** is from Arabic *tafreeq* (adj.), from *fareeq* (sing. n.), *furaaqa* (pl.) ‘enemy, foe, opponent, separate’، from *tfrig*, *farraig, فرق*، in which /t & q/ became /d & s/ besides /i/-insertion. That is, **adversary** is structurally three morphemes *ad-* + *vers* + *-ary*, which is what it is exactly in Arabic *ta + friq, + -y* true, identical cognates.

- **-ion (diversification)**, from Arabic *-un* *(tun, -tan= -t + -n)* ‘derivational and inflectional affix’. That is, **diversification** is from Arabic *tafreeq* *un* ‘separation, division’، in which /t & q/ evolved into /d & sl/. More precisely, **diversification** is structurally six morphemes *di-* + *versi*-, + *fi*-, + *ic, + ati*- + *-on* which is one or two less in Arabic: viz., *ta + friq, + at-, + -un or ta + friq, -iy, + at-, + -un* true, identical cognates. This indicates morphological change here.

- **-fy (diversify)**, straight from Arabic *-wa/-wi* ‘derivational affix’، where /w/ became /fl/ besides morphological shift as in *sama* (v), *yasmoo* (v), *sumoo* (n) ‘to rise’ (Jassem 2013a). That is, **diversify** is from Arabic *tafarraq* (v), from *farraq* (v) ‘separate, divide’، in which /t & q/ became /d & s/. Thus, **diversify** is structurally three morphemes *di-* + *versi*-, + *fy* which is two in Arabic *ta + farraq*. Morphological change obtained here as well.

- **-ic (diversification) derives straight from Arabic *-i* *(i-y* in some old vernaculars and today’s southern Saudi Tamimi Arabic) ‘derivational and inflectional affix’، in which /fi/ became /fl/ (Jassem 2013a).

- **-ly (adversely)** came from Old English *lic, lice* ‘shape, form’, straight from Arabic *shakl, shakli* ‘form, shape’، *شكلا, شكلي* via reversal and /sh & k/-merger into /kl/ and later into /yl/ (Jassem 2013a).

**Converse** *(conversion, conversation)* developed from Latin *conversus* ‘turned around’, past participle of *converttere* ‘turn around, transform’, from (i) *com-* ‘with, together’ and (ii) *verteere* ‘to turn, bend’, from PIE root *wer-* (2) ‘to turn, bend’, straight from Arabic as in **diverge, divorce** above and **divert, convert** below.

In addition, the bound root *-verse* has two senses: (i) in *converse, conversation* ‘to talk’ and (ii) in *converse(ly), obverse* opposites, both of which come straight from Arabic: the former is from *fassar* ‘to explain’، via reordering and semantic shift; the latter is from *farq, faariq* (n) ‘difference, differentiator’, *فرق, فاريق*، as in *fork* and related derivatives above via semantic shift and turning /q/ into /sl/. Thus, the two senses are the result of the lexical merger of two formally similar and semantically different Arabic words. See **convert** below.

**Reverse** *(invers, invert, obverse, converse, adverse, adverse, revert, subvert)* developed from Old French *revers* ‘reverse, cross, opposite’, from Latin *reversus*, past participle of *revertere* ‘turn back/about, come back, return’, from (i) *re-* ‘again, back’, from Indo-European *wret-/wert-* ‘to turn’, and (ii) *verteere* ‘to turn, bend’, from PIE root *wer-* (2) ‘to turn, bend’, from Arabic as in **divert, convert**.
As to the prefixes, all have Arabic cognates as follows:

- **re-** of reverse derives straight from Arabic َرْجُعَ (َرْجُعَ) 'return' via /3/ to /e/, or wara'a 'behind' َوْرَاءَ, deleting /w & /.
- **in-** of inverse is derived direct from Arabic َنِسْبَةَ 'an inflectional and derivational affix' َنِسْبَةً.
- **a-** of averse comes straight from Arabic َأَنْفُسَ 'an inflectional and derivational affix' َأَنْفُسَ.
- **ob-** of obverse obtains straight from Arabic َأَبْكَأَرَ 'a negative prefix in spoken Syrian (Druze/Nusairi) Arabic' َأَبْكَأَرَ.
- **sub-** of subverse comes straight from Arabic سَعْبَ (سَعْبَ) 'falling; towards, opposite, near; correctness' صوب/صواب.

**Divert** (diversion, divers, diversify, diversification, diversity, diversely, converse, traverse) developed from Middle French divertir 'to turn in different directions', blended with *vertere* 'to turn aside', from (i) dis- 'side' and de 'from', and (ii) vertere 'to turn, bend', from PIE root *wer-* (2) 'to turn, bend', straight from Arabic as in *divorce* above. More precisely, it comes direct from the same Arabic cognate for *diverge, divorce, diverse* above, which is faraq, tafarraq 'to divide; to fork' فَرَقَ, فَتَرَقَ where /t & q/ became /d & l/.

As to prefixes dis- and de-, they both come from Arabic تَسْمَّى and/or تَسْمَى 'derivational and inflectional affixes' respectively, replacing /t/ by /l/. Thus, although the meaning 'aside' of dis- is from Arabic تَدْعَى 'spread, apart' where /T & sh/ passed into /d & s/ or sadd 'side, closure, dam, barrier' سَدَ where via reversal, it is not accurate.

**Convert** (conversion, converse, traverse) developed Old French convertir 'to turn around, change', from Latin *vertere* 'to turn around', from (i) com- 'with, together' and (ii) vertere 'to turn, bend', from PIE root *wer-* (2) 'to turn, bend', straight from Arabic as in *divert* above. Furthermore, the sense 'to change money' in the bound root –vert is from Arabic فَارَقَ, فَتَرَاقَ(t) (n) 'to change big money into small money; to loosen, unbind' فَرَقَ, فَتَرَقَ. It comes straight from Arabic *infringe* where /T/ into /hl/. See *converse* above.

As to com-, it has already been settled in *converge* above.

Finally, we come to the third set of words, which are *fraction, fragmentation, fraction, fracture (friction)*, inaccurately derived in the end from PIE root *wer-* 'to break'. Again all have similar Arabic roots as well, and as follows.

**Fragment** (fragmentation) came from Latin *fragmentum* 'a fragment, remnant; lit., a piece broken off; later a small piece or part', from base of *frangere* 'to break', from PIE root *wer-* 'to break'. However, it stems direct from Arabic:

- *baqar, inbaqar* (refl.) 'to break, to break by itself' بَقَرَ, بِنَقَرَ via reordering and passing /b & q/ into /l & g/;
- *qaraf (inqaraf), munqarif* (adj) 'to tip-break' قَرَفَ via reversal and passing /q/ into /g/; or
- *faraq (farraq), mutafarriq* (adj) 'to divide; to break up' فَرَقَ, مُفَرَّقُ where /q/ evolved into /g/, which is the likeliest. Thus, it comes from the same Arabic cognate for *fork* above.

As to the suffix –ment, it developed from Arabic مَنْتَ (مَنْتَ) or مَعْنَ 'derivational prefixes' مَتَحَ (مَتَحَ) via morphological shift and /l/- or /n/-insertion (see Jassem 2013a).

**Fraction** (fracture) resulted from Old French *fraccion* (Modern *fraction*) 'a breaking', from Late Latin *fractio(nem)* 'a breaking; earlier a fragment, a portion', from *frangere* 'to break into piece, shatter, fracture', from Proto-Italic *frang-* , from PIE root *wer-* 'to break', directly from the same Arabic root for *fragment* above. That is, it came from Arabic:

- *faraq, farquatun* (n., nom.) 'to divide; a break' فَرَقَ, فَرَقَتَونَ via reordering and turning /b & q/ into /l & k/;
- *baqara, baqratun* (n., nom.) 'to break; a break' بَقَرَةُ بُقْرَةً via reordering and turning /b & q/ into /l & k/;
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- farkath, farkathatu (n., nom.) 'of bread, to break up into small pieces' فرك، فركتة (فركوتة) where /th/ became /l/; or
- farqaT, farqaTatu (n., nom.) 'of solid liquids, to divide, split, break' فرقة فرقة where /q & T/ became /k & t/.

Fracture (fraction) has the same etymology as fraction, which again comes straight from the same Arabic cognates above.

As to the suffix –ure of fracture, it is actually a morphologically conditioned variant of –ion, both of which again come from Arabic –an 'derivational and inflection affix' via morphological split and turning /nl/ into /l/ (see above).

What about formally similar but semantically different friction?

Friction (fricative, dentifrice) is formally similar but to semantically different from fraction which descended from Middle French friction 'rubbing', from Latin frictio(nem) 'a rubbing', from friicare 'to rub', which is of uncertain and controversial origin like PIE root *bhreie- 'to rub, to break' or *bhriH-o- 'to cut'. However, it comes straight from Arabic farkatun (n.), from farak (v.) 'rub, rubbing' فرك، فربكة a true, identical cognate of three morphemes in both cases. Thus, the PIE root is definitely wrong.

What about frog and frigging (hell) which are formally similar to fork and fragment's first syllable? Again both words have true Arabic origins as follows.

Frog is formally similar but to semantically different from fragment, which developed from Old English fogga 'frog', a diminutive of frosc, forsc, frox 'frog', from a common, though unexplainable, Germanic source like German Frosch 'frog; probably lit., hopper', from PIE root *preu- 'to hop' (source of Sanskrit provate 'hops', Russian prygat 'to hop, jump'). However, it comes straight from Arabic wirriq (wirrij) وريج in may dialect (Jassem 1987, 1993)), passing /w & q/ into /f & g/. Thus, the PIE root is certainly inaccurate.

Frig (frigging hell) means 'to move about restlessly; a euphemism for fuck' and is of uncertain origin, perhaps a variant of Middle English frisk 'lively', from Middle French, from Old French frisque 'lively, fresh, new, merry, animated', possibly from a Germanic source like Middle Dutch vrisch 'fresh', Old High German frisc 'lively'. However, it comes straight from Arabic:

- fari2 فرح 'merry, lively' in which /2/ became /g (sh)/ or split into /sk/, depending on language;
- faraq, fariq 'frightened, saddened; to move away' فرق, فرك, passing /q/ into /g/ (cf. frigging hell);
- farak 'to escape, move away' فرك, passing /k/ into /g/; or
- farj 'female genital organs; vagina' فرح, passing /j/ into /g/ (cf. sexually euphemistic frigging).

Thus, Arabic resolves the uncertainty and provides the origins of all the different senses of the word, an obvious case of lexical merger.

In summary, the total number of words or roots investigated here amounted to 35 or so, all of which have true Arabic cognates with the same or similar form and meaning, thus indicating all belong to the same language with Arabic being their origin rather than mythical or fictitious PIE.

4. CONCLUSION

To conclude, the main findings of this paper were as follows:

a) Despite the apparently different spellings or forms of fork, bifurcate, diverge, divorce, diverse, divert, converse, convert, fragment, fraction, and their derivatives, all share the same or similar meaning of 'division, split, separation, difference, opposition', which consequentely derive from one true and identical Arabic ultimate cognate or root frq فرق and/or its derivatives, whose resultant differences stemmed from natural and plausible causes and different routes of language change in each language.

b) English Historical lexicography and linguistics abound with severe etymologically implausible aberrances and drawbacks for failing to show the phonetic, morphological, and semantic
relationships amongst such words like fork, bifurcate, divorce, diverge, converge, divert, fragment, etc. and their Arabic origins or cognates.

- Their different forms may be due to 'bad' writing habits of early poorly or lowly educated scribes, typists, and printers (Campbell 2013; Pyles and Algeo 1993), linguistic variation and change, and/or lexical conditioning.

- Postulating Proto-Indo-European and Proto-Germanic roots as well as uncertain or unknown ones such as fork (forchette, bifurcate) turns out to be a myth since Arabic can provide really living and true cognates for all words in those languages. Another example is PIE *wer- (wret-, wert-) and Latin divergere and divertere or English diverge, diverse, divorce which can't be cognates whose Arabic sources are more pertinent (see above).

- The multiple meanings of English words besides the uncertainty of their origin such as converse, conversation 'talk; opposite' and convert, conversion 'to change money or religion' is most likely the result of the lexical merger of two or more Arabic cognates which are similar in both form and meaning like fassar 'to explain' via reordering and sense shift, faraT 'to change money; of laughter and crying, to reach the top' (where /t/ became /l/, or farafaarq 'difference (in money)' where /q/ became /s/ (t)/ (see above).

- The formally different but semantically similar words like fork, divorce, diverge, diverse, divert, converse, convert, etc. and their derivatives resulted from lexical split, which all came from one Arabic cognate (i.e., faraq (فرق) and its derivatives, which varied from language to language due to linguistic change of different types.

c) The findings lend further support to the adequacy of the consonantal radical theory in relating English and Indo-European words, roots, and affixes to Arabic from which they arose for sharing cognates with them all.
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