Review Article

Protein-Protein Interaction Changes in an Archaeal Light-Signal Transduction

Hideki Kandori, 1 Yuki Sudo, 2 and Yuji Furutani 3

1 Department of Frontier Materials, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan
2 Division of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
3 Department of Life and Coordination-Complex Molecular Science, Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Hideki Kandori, kandori@nitech.ac.jp

Received 28 December 2009; Accepted 5 May 2010

Copyright © 2010 Hideki Kandori et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Negative phototaxis in Natronomonas pharaonis is initiated by transient interaction changes between photoreceptor and transducer. pharaonis phorborhodopsin (ppR; also called pharaonis sensory rhodopsin II, psR-II) and the cognate transducer protein, pHtrII, form a tight 2:2 complex in the unphotolyzed state, and the interaction is somehow altered during the photocycle of ppR. We have studied the signal transduction mechanism in the ppR/pHtrII system by means of low-temperature Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. In the paper, spectral comparison in the absence and presence of pHtrII provided fruitful information in atomic details, where vibrational bands were identified by the use of isotope-labeling and site-directed mutagenesis.

From these studies, we established the two pathways of light-signal conversion from the receptor to the transducer; (i) from Lys205 (retinal) of ppR to Asn74 of pHtrII through Thr204 and Tyr199, and (ii) from Lys205 of ppR to the cytoplasmic loop region of pHtrII that links Gly83.

1. Introduction

Biological signal transduction is based on protein-protein interaction and its transient changes. In some cases, two proteins are without interactions but form a transient protein-protein complex upon signal input. In another case, two proteins form a complex, while the interaction is changed, either stronger or weaker, upon signal input. These transient changes are normally weak and unstable, and it is not easy to capture these processes experimentally. Then, what is a good transient protein-protein complex to be investigated?

Light-signal transduction, such as our vision and bacterial phototaxis, is highly advantageous to study transient protein-protein interaction changes, because signal input is a light absorbent of photoreceptor. Light illumination is superb stimulus, which is temporally as short as femtoseconds (10−15 seconds), and never perturbs the system. We have thus studied protein-protein interaction changes in visual and archaeal rhodopsins with their transducer proteins. Both visual [1–3] and archaeal [4, 5] rhodopsins possess 7-transmembrane helical architecture, and a retinal molecule is bound to a protein through a protonated Schiff base linkage serving as a chromophore. Photoisomerization of the retinal drives protein structural changes utilized for light-signal transduction. In visual rhodopsin, the transducer is a hetero-trimeric G protein, both of which do not interact with each other, but form a transient protein-protein complex upon light activation. Light-induced protein-protein association is an important step in our vision, and it is believed that the mechanism of the transducer activation for the rhodopsin/G-protein system is common among other G-protein coupled receptors and G-proteins [6, 7]. In contrast, archaeal rhodopsins form stable complex with their transducer protein in membrane in the dark, and the protein-protein complex is transiently changed upon light activation. Then, which method is suitable for studying transient protein-protein interaction changes in rhodopsins?

We used Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy for such study. Unlike X-ray crystallography and NMR,
vibrational spectroscopy cannot determine the atomic positions of proteins. In the infrared frequency region, there are huge absorptions of not only proteins but also solvent water molecules, which makes it difficult to analyze the vibrational signals. In contrast, stimulus-induced difference FTIR spectroscopy can extract vibrational signals due to structural changes upon stimuli. In particular, light is an ideal stimulus in terms of high temporal resolution and no perturbation of the system. Light-induced difference FTIR spectroscopy has been extensively applied to the rhodopsin study [8–11], which monitors intramolecular events in rhodopsins. We have also extended this technique to the protein-protein complex between rhodopsin and transducer, where we reported the first paper of visual rhodopsin in 1997 [12], and archaeal rhodopsin in 2003 [13].

It should be particularly noted that FTIR spectroscopy is a powerful method to monitor hydrogen-bonding interactions, which play crucial roles in protein-protein complexes. We have shown the fact by monitoring O–H and N–H stretching vibrations (O–D and N–D stretches in D2O) in the 4000–2000 cm⁻¹ region of the difference FTIR spectra of rhodopsins [14–18]. Detection of water stretching vibrations is one of the highlights of the study, and we have recently found that strongly hydrogen-bonded water molecules are required for the rhodopsins having proton-pumping activity [17]. In this paper, we summarize our FTIR studies on the protein-protein complex in an archaea. *Natronomonas pharaonis* phorborphodopsin (ppR; also called *pharaonis* sensory rhodopsin II, psR-II) is a photoreceptor for negative phototaxis in *Natronomonas pharaonis*. ppR activates the cognate transducer protein, pHtrII, upon absorption of light. ppR and pHtrII form a tight 2:2 complex in the unphotolized state, and the interaction is somehow altered during the photocycle of ppR. We previously reported structural changes in ppR itself by means of low-temperature FTIR spectroscopy in 2001 [19]. Unlike visual rhodopsins, ppR forms stable complex with pHtrII in membrane, so that light-induced spectral changes of the ppR/pHtrII complex can be similarly measured as for ppR. Thus, we applied FTIR spectroscopy to the ppR/pHtrII complex for the first time in 2003 [13], and since then, we totally published 4 papers [13, 20–22]. This paper aimed at summarizing these studies in the past, informing what was known about the signal-transduction mechanism of the ppR/pHtrII complex system. The two papers in 2003 reported the FTIR spectra of the ppR/pHtrII complex for the primary K-intermediate [13, 20], which is presented in chapter 3. Then, the following two chapters present the FTIR spectra of the ppR/pHtrII complex for the M-intermediate [21, 22], the active state in the signal transduction. In the studies, isotope-labeling and site-directed mutagenesis were used for identifying the vibrational bands in the difference FTIR spectra. Consequently, we were able to reveal the signal-relay pathway from the retinal chromophore to the cytoplasmic region of the transducer protein. Though being entirely unexpected, important role of an amino acid in function was revealed, which is now combined to the structural information.

2. Protein-Protein Complex between ppR and pHtrII

ppR is one of the archaeal rhodopsins that have all-trans retinal as a chromophore (Figure 1) [5, 23–26]. The retinal forms a Schiff base linkage with Lys205 in the middle of the seventh transmembrane helix [27, 28]. ppR serves as a repellant photoreceptor in *Natronomonas pharaonis* and forms a signaling complex in archaeal membranes with pHtrII [29]. pHtrII is a transmembrane two-helical protein and belongs to a family of transmembrane two-helical methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs) [30, 31]. It is well known that MCPs exist as homodimers composed of a 50–60 kDa subunit and form a ternary complex with CheA and CheW. Chemical stimuli activate phosphorylation cascades that modulate flagella motors [32–34], where MCPs act not only as signal receptors, but also as transducers. In contrast, light signal is received by ppR, a protein different from pHtrII. Therefore, specific interaction is required between ppR and pHtrII [29, 35, 36]. ppR transmits light signals to pHtrII through the change in such interaction, and pHtrII eventually activates phosphorylation cascades that modulate flagella motors (Figure 1). By using the signaling system, the archaea avoid harmful near-UV light, displaying what is called a negative phototaxis. ppR absorbs maximally at 498 nm, and the light triggers trans-cis photosomerization of the retinal chromophore in its electronically excited state [37], followed by rapid formation of the ground-state species such as the K-intermediate (Figure 1). Relaxation of the K-intermediate leads to functional processes during the photocycle, where protein structural changes are transmitted from ppR to pHtrII presumably in the M and/or O states [38].

ppR is stable in membranes and detergent micelles [39], and expression in *Escherichia coli* cells can provide large amounts of this protein (several mg/L culture) [40]. Therefore, ppR has been well characterized over the past few years using various methods. The crystal structure of ppR was determined in 2001 by two groups [27, 28], which provided surprisingly similar architecture to that of a well-known proton-pump protein, bacteriorhodopsin. This may be reasonable, because ppR pumps protons in the absence of pHtrII [41]. It is likely that the transducer binding converts proton pump into light sensor, because the ppR/pHtrII complex does not pump protons [41]. Thus, the mechanism of protein-protein interaction between ppR and pHtrII and its changes upon light absorption have been attracting interest among researchers.

Successful expression of pHtrII in *Escherichia coli* [42, 43] and complex formation with ppR even in detergent also helped studying the protein-protein interaction mechanism. It was found that ppR and pHtrII form 2:2 complex, whose binding constants were determined under various conditions [42, 44–46]. In 2002, a German group determined the crystal structure of the ppR/pHtrII complex [29], which further encouraged understanding of the protein-protein interaction between the 7-transmembrane receptor (ppR) and 2-transmembrane transducer (pHtrII) (Figure 2). It should be noted that the structure of ppR is surprisingly
similar regardless of the presence or absence of pHtrII. In fact, backbone structures are almost identical, while there are some deviations in side chains [29]. The association between ppR and pHtrII originates mostly from van der Waals contacts in the transmembrane region, whereas intermolecular hydrogen bonds are between Tyr199 of ppR and Asn74 of pHtrII, and between Thr189 of ppR and Glu43/Ser62 of pHtrII (Figure 2). Similar structure of ppR with or without pHtrII may be also reasonable, because transducer binding does not change the color of ppR [42]. The pKa of the Schiff base counterion (Asp75) is almost identical for the single ppR and the ppR/pHtrII complex [41], which is in clear contrast to the case in sensory rhodopsin I [47]. The photocycle of ppR is not much influenced by the presence of pHtrII [41, 48], except for the slow-decay kinetics of the M intermediate [42]. Then, a question arises how light signal is transferred into the cytoplasmic domain through the protein structural changes of pHtrII.

On the other hand, a spectral difference was observed in the frequency region ofamide-I vibration that monitors structural alterations of peptide backbone. Figure 3 shows the ppR\textsubscript{K} minus ppR spectra in the 1720–1615 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region. There are two negative peaks at 1657 and 1651 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the absence of pHtrII (dotted line in Figure 3(a)). These frequencies are characteristic ofamide-I vibration of \(\alpha\)-helix. The C=Н stretching vibration of the retinal Schiff base is also located in this frequency region. In fact, broad negative feature in the 1660–1650 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region in H\textsubscript{2}O (dotted line in Figure 3(a)) is reduced in D\textsubscript{2}O (dotted line in Figure 3(b)), by shifting to 1633 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. In the presence of pHtrII, new negative and positive peaks appear at 1663 and 1671 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, in H\textsubscript{2}O, while negative peaks at 1657 and 1651 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are reduced in amplitude (Figure 3(a)). These observations were repeated in D\textsubscript{2}O, though the peaks at 1671 (+)/1663 (−) cm\textsuperscript{-1} seem to exist even in the absence of pHtrII (Figure 3(b)). The 1671 (+)/1663 (−) cm\textsuperscript{-1} bands appear in the frequency region typical for the amide-I vibration of \(\alpha\)II-helix, while the 1657-cm\textsuperscript{-1} band appears in the range of amide-I vibration of \(\alpha\)I-helix [49]. Since \(\alpha\)II -helix possesses considerably distorted structure [50], the present observation suggests that the association of ppR and pHtrII yields distortion of the helical structure that is altered by the retinal photoisomerization. The identical spectra for the complex of the unlabeled ppR and \(^{13}\)C- or \(^{15}\)N-labeled

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of pharaonis phorhodospin (ppR) and its transducer (pHtrII). ppR and pHtrII form a 2:2 complex in the membrane, former of which contains all-trans retinal as the chromophore. ppR absorbs maximally at 498 nm, and light absorption initiates the photocycle. Light signal is transferred into the cytoplasmic domain through the protein structural changes of pHtrII.](image-url)
Figure 2: (The upper region) X-ray crystallographic structure of the complex between ppR and pHtrII (PDB code, 1H2S) [29]. (The lower panel) Details of the X-ray crystallographic structure of the ppR/pHtrII complex [29] focusing on specific hydrogen bonds. Hydrogen bond between Tyr199 of ppR and Asn74 of pHtrII, whose distance is 2.7 Å, and hydrogen bond between Tyr174 and Thr204 in pHtrII, whose distance is 3.2 Å, are shown. (F) and (G) helices of ppR that involve Tyr174 and Thr204, respectively, are sandwiched by the retinal chromophore and TM1 and TM2 helices of pHtrII.

pHtrII indicate that the observed structural changes for the peptide backbone originate from ppR [13]. Namely, by use of isotope label of pHtrII, we excluded the possibility that the light signal is transmitted from ppR to pHtrII in the K intermediate [13].

Beside the signal from peptide backbone, clear difference was observed in higher frequency region. Figure 4(a) shows the negative 3479 cm⁻¹ and positive 3369 cm⁻¹ bands only in the presence of pHtrII. These frequencies are considerably higher than the amide-A vibrations (N–H stretch of peptide backbone). Therefore, either N–H or O–H stretching vibration of an amino acid side chain is likely to undergo hydrogen-bonding alteration upon retinal photoisomerization. This group is H–D unexchangeable, and it seems that the hydrogen bond is strengthened so as to exhibit frequency downshift by about 100 cm⁻¹. The structure of ppR suggests that the bands may originate from O–H stretch of Tyr199, Tyr174, or Thr204 (Figure 2). We thereby attempted to assign the vibrational bands by use of mutant proteins such as Y199F, Y174F, T204A, and T204S [20]. We found that the M-decay kinetics of all mutants were delayed in the presence of pHtrII (data not shown), confirming the complex formation in these mutant proteins. Figure 4(b) shows identical spectra between Y199F and the wild type in the 3600–3300 cm⁻¹ region, indicating that these bands do not originate from an O–H stretching vibration of Tyr199. In contrast, the spectra look very different for Y174F, not only in the high-frequency region (Figure 4(c)), but also in the entire mid-infrared region. It should be noted that the negative band at 3430 cm⁻¹ in Y174F looks similar to that at 3479 cm⁻¹. Our previous reports on bacteriorhodopsin and bovine rhodopsin showed that there is a limited number of vibrational bands that appear in the >3400 cm⁻¹ region in D₂O for the photoreactions at 77 K [51, 52]. Therefore, it is possible that the negative 3479-cm⁻¹ band in the wild type is shifted to 3430 cm⁻¹ in Y174F. If it is, the corresponding positive band may be at 3402 cm⁻¹, suggesting that the bands at 3479 (−)/3369 (+) cm⁻¹ do not originate from the O–H stretching vibration of Tyr174. Thus, multiple spectral differences of Y174F made it difficult to conclude if the bands originate from the O–H stretch of Tyr174 or not, whereas the mutation of Tyr174 clearly influences the bands.

On the other hand, the results for the mutants of Thr204 led to identification of the bands. Figure 4(d) shows that both 3479 (−) and 3369 (+) cm⁻¹ bands disappear in T204A. Disappearance of the 3479 (−) and 3369 (+) cm⁻¹ bands was also observed in T204S, whereas new bands appeared at 3498 (−) and 3474 (+) cm⁻¹ (Figure 4(e)).
Although we have not used isotope labeling of threonine, these observations strongly suggest that the bands at 3479 (−) and 3369 (+) cm\(^{-1}\) originate from the O–H stretch of Thr204. The O–H stretch of Ser204 in T204S should be at 3498 and 3474 cm\(^{-1}\) for ppR and ppRK, respectively. Secondary alcohols possess their O–H stretches at 3626–3629 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3340–3355 cm\(^{-1}\) in CCl\(_4\) solution and in neat liquid, respectively [53]. The O–H stretching vibration of threonine is thereby located anywhere from ∼3630 to 3340 cm\(^{-1}\), depending on its hydrogen-bonding conditions. The observed frequency in ppR at 3479 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to moderate hydrogen bonding. Similar O–H stretches have been reported for Thr17 (3462 cm\(^{-1}\)) in bacteriorhodopsin [51] and Thr118 (3463 cm\(^{-1}\)) in bovine rhodopsin [52]. The retinal photoisomerization induces frequency downshift of the O–H stretch of Thr204 by 110 cm\(^{-1}\) in ppR. The observed frequency in ppRK at 3369 cm\(^{-1}\) is close to the value in neat liquid, indicating that the hydrogen bond is very strong [53]. Similar O–H stretches have been reported for Thr89 in bacteriorhodopsin and Thr79 in ppR, which are H/D exchangeable and appear at about 2510 cm\(^{-1}\) as O–D stretches [54, 55].

We have studied hydrogen-bonding alterations of threonine side chains in bacteriorhodopsin, and bovine rhodopsin [52, 55]. It is particularly important to note that at 77 K the frequency changes are not large in general, since protein structural changes are very limited. We have reported that the frequency shifts at 77 K are 18 cm\(^{-1}\) for Thr17, 13 cm\(^{-1}\) for Thr121, and about 60 cm\(^{-1}\) for Thr89 in bacteriorhodopsin [51, 55], and 24 cm\(^{-1}\) for Thr118 in bovine rhodopsin [52]. Accordingly, the present observation of the spectral shift by 110 cm\(^{-1}\) is unique among rhodopsins, implying specific structural change. Complex formation with pHtrII allows specific hydrogen-bonding alteration of Thr-204 upon formation of ppRK.

The O–H stretch of Thr204 in the ppR/pHtrII complex at 3479 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to moderate hydrogen bonding. According to the X-ray crystal structure of the ppR/pHtrII complex [29], the hydrogen-bonding distance from the side chain oxygen of Thr-204 is 2.8 Å and 3.2 Å to the peptide carbonyl oxygen of Leu200 and phenolic oxygen of Tyr174, respectively (Figure 2). Presence of such hydrogen-bonding acceptors is consistent with the FTIR observation. Upon retinal photoisomerization, frequency of the O–H stretch of Thr204 is lowered by 110 cm\(^{-1}\) in the ppRK/pHtrII complex, whereas no such bands were observed in the absence of pHtrII (Figure 4(a)). Why does the O–H group of Thr204 change its hydrogen bonding only in the presence of pHtrII? Why not in the absence of pHtrII? Interestingly, hydrogen-bonding network around Thr204 is essentially similar in the free ppR [27, 28] and ppR/pHtrII complex [14, 20] not only in the unphotolyzed state but also in the K intermediate state. The X-ray crystal structures of the ppR [27, 28] and ppRK [27, 28] in the absence of pHtrII are very similar to those of the former [28, 35] and the latter [35] in the presence of pHtrII, respectively. Actually, there are no changes in distances between Thr204 and Tyr174 (3.3 Å in ppR and 3.4 Å in ppRK), and between Thr204, and Leu200 (2.9 Å in ppR and ppRK) [27, 28, 56]. Interestingly, there are no changes in the distances in the ppR/pHtrII complex as well. The distance between Thr204 and Try174 is 3.2 Å in ppR/pHtrII and 3.1 Å in ppRK/pHtrII, while that between Thr204 and Leu200 is 2.9 Å in ppR/pHtrII and 3.0 Å in ppRK/pHtrII, respectively [35]. These results suggest that the hydrogen-bonding change of Thr204 occurs without evident structural changes of Thr204, Try174 and Leu200. The electrostatic interaction change upon the retinal isomerization may alter the direction of the O–H group of Thr204 to be optimal for the hydrogen bond with Tyr174 or Leu200.

Several functionally important roles of Thr204 have been reported so far. Thr204 is an important residue for color tuning of ppR, since T204A has a significant red-shifted absorption maximum [57]. Thr204 should be involved in the regulation mechanism of the decay process of ppRO, because the decay of ppRK in a quadruple mutant including T204C or T204S becomes greatly accelerated, similar to that of bacteriorhodopsin [58]. In addition, Klare et al.
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suggested that Thr204 may be important for the creation of bacteriorhodopsin-like functional properties by using a quadruple mutant, which includes T204A [59]. This paper has provided an additional important role of Thr204 in the ppR/pHtrII complex. Specific interaction in the complex that involves Thr204 presumably affects the decay kinetics and binding affinity in the M-intermediate. In addition, an unexpected finding was gained on the functional correlation about the role of Thr204, which is described below.

4. Light-Signal Conversion in the Early M-State

Association between ppR and pHtrII is about 100-times weaker in ppRM than in the unphotolyzed state [26, 42], indicating that signal transduction from ppR to pHtrII involves weakening of their interaction in M. In addition to the intramolecular structural changes of ppR, specific change in the association between ppR and pHtrII is expected. In fact, Wegener et al., proposed a rotational motion of TM2 of pHtrII is expected. In fact, Wegener et al., proposed a rotational motion of TM2 of pHtrII [60]. How are such structural changes reflected in the vibrational bands in the FTIR spectra?

After reporting the ppRM minus ppR spectra at 250 K in 2002 [61] and the ppRm minus ppR spectra of the ppR/pHtrII complex at 77 K in 2003 [13], we then measured the ppRM minus ppR spectra for the ppR/pHtrII complex [21]. The obtained spectra at 250 K were surprisingly similar between the samples with and without pHtrII. This fact suggested that structural changes due to the receptor-transducer interaction are much smaller than those of the receptor itself upon a transition from the resting state (ppR) to the active state (ppRM). On the other hand, microscopic structural changes do occur in the transducer activation processes of the ppR/pHtrII system, which should be probed by highly sensitive infrared measurements, even if they are tiny. Therefore, we carefully examined vibrational bands in ppRM minus ppR spectra of the ppR/pHtrII complex.

First, we examined the O–H signal of Thr204 in the M intermediate. Figure 5(a) shows the ppRm minus ppR spectra in D2O, which possess the 3479 (−)/3369 (+) cm−1 bands only in the presence of pHtrII [20]. In contrast, the ppRM minus ppR spectra do not possess the 3479 (−)/3369 (+) cm−1 bands (Figure 5(b)), indicating that the O–H group of Thr204 has an identical hydrogen-bonding strength in ppR and ppRM. Thus, in the ppR/pHtrII complex, hydrogen bond of the O–H group of Thr204 is strengthened upon retinal isomerization (ppR), while being restored upon transducer activation (ppRM). Local structural perturbation at position 204 is relaxed at the stage of the M intermediate.

Next, we searched for the spectral difference newly appearing in ppRM. Since the two spectra with and without pHtrII are very similar (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)), we subtracted the spectrum without pHtrII from that with pHtrII in H2O and D2O. Consequently, such double difference spectra provided new informative curves with reproducible bands. Figures 6(c) and 6(d) show the double difference spectra in H2O and D2O, respectively. We observed the 1693 (−)/1684 (+) cm−1 bands in the 1735–1675 cm−1 frequency region. If the bands originate only from the ppR/pHtrII complex, it means that the unphotolyzed (ppR) and ppRM M states with pHtrII possess vibrational bands at 1693 and 1684 cm−1, respectively. However, analysis of double difference spectra should be done carefully, because tiny differences in amplitudes may lead to apparent bands. In fact, spectral features in the 1710–1700 cm−1 region of Figures 6(c) and 6(d) presumably originate from the small difference in amplitude for the C=O stretch of Asn105 in ppR (1707 (+)/1701 (−) cm−1) [61]. Although the ppRM minus ppR spectra possess a negative band at 1686 cm−1 (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)), the 1693 (−)/1684 (+) cm−1 bands were reproducible for multiple double difference spectra, which were obtained for samples from different preparations. Therefore, we next attempted the following approach under a hypothesis that the 1693 (−)/1684 (+) cm−1 bands originate from the signal due to pHtrII.

In Figures 6(a) and 6(b), the 1707 (+)/1701 (−) cm−1 bands originate from the C=O stretch of Asn105 in ppR [61]. Similarly, the negative 1686-cm−1 band originates from vibrations in ppR, because it appears in the difference spectrum without pHtrII [61]. As a result of the 13C labeling of ppR, but not pHtrII, these vibrations are expected to downshift by 30–50 cm−1, so that vibrational bands due to pHtrII will appear more clearly. This was indeed the case. Red curves in Figures 6(e) and 6(f) correspond to the ppRM minus ppR difference spectra for the 13C-labeled ppR in H2O and D2O, respectively, which lack vibrational bands in the 1700–1680 cm−1 region because of the downshifts of the bands at 1707 (+), 1701 (−), and 1686 (−) cm−1.
The $^{13}$C = O stretches of Asp75 appear at 1721 and 1711 cm$^{-1}$ in H$_2$O and D$_2$O, being shifted from 1765 and 1753 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Although the presence of pHtrII does not affect the bands of Asp75, clear effect of pHtrII can be seen in the 1700–1680 cm$^{-1}$ region (red and blue spectra of Figures 6(e) and 6(f)). Figures 6(e) and 6(f) show the 1693 (−)/1684 (+) cm$^{-1}$ bands only in the presence of pHtrII, and the frequencies coincide with those of the double difference spectra (Figures 6(c) and 6(d)). This observation strongly suggests that formation of the active ppRM state in the complex is accompanied by spectral downshift of a D$_2$O-insensitive vibrational band of pHtrII from 1693 to 1684 cm$^{-1}$.

What is the origin of the band at 1693 cm$^{-1}$? The frequency is characteristic of C=O stretch of asparagine or glutamine. Amide-I vibration of pHtrII (C=O stretch of peptide backbone) is another candidate. These two are normally D$_2$O-insensitive. X-ray structure of the ppR-pHtrII complex showed that Asn74 of pHtrII forms hydrogen bond with Tyr199 of ppR in the middle of the membrane, while another hydrogen-bonding cluster is formed by Thr189 of pHtrII, and Glu43/Ser62 of pHtrII at the extracellular surface (Figure 2) [29]. Among these side chains, the C=O stretch of Asn74 is the only suitable candidate for the D$_2$O-insensitive 1693–1684 cm$^{-1}$ band. Therefore, we mutated Asn74 of pHtrII, and measured difference infrared spectra with $^{13}$C-labeled ppR. In this paper, we replaced Asn74 by threonine to keep hydrogen-bonding ability of a residue at the position 74. In fact, the complex between $^{13}$C-labeled ppR and N74T mutant pHtrII exhibits two-fold delay of the M-decay kinetics as is the case for the wild type [21], and the ppRM minus ppR spectra were almost identical to those of the wild type (data not shown). Nevertheless, clear and reproducible spectral difference was observed in the 1700–1680 cm$^{-1}$ region. Figure 6 shows that the N74T mutant of pHtrII lacks the 1693 (−)/1684 (+) cm$^{-1}$ bands both in H$_2$O (E) and D$_2$O (F). Thus, we concluded that the 1693 (−)/1684 (+) cm$^{-1}$ bands originate from the C=O stretch of Asn74.

Gordeli et al., reported that the O–H group of Tyr199 forms a hydrogen bond with the N–H group of Asn74, where Asn74 is the hydrogen-bonding donor [29]. In contrast, the O–H group of Tyr199 forms hydrogen bond with the C=O group of Asn74 in the Protein Data Bank structure (1H2S), where Asn74 is the hydrogen-bonding acceptor. It may be reasonable, because nitrogen and oxygen atoms of the side chain of asparagine are not easily distinguishable from X-ray analysis, where hydrogen atoms are invisible. The present paper identified the C=O stretch of Asn74 at 1693 cm$^{-1}$, being about 10 cm$^{-1}$ lower frequency than that of Asn105 in ppR. It is thus reasonable to suggest that the C=O group of Asn74 forms a hydrogen bond. Since there are no other hydrogen-bonding donors around the C=O group of Asn74 [29], it is likely that the O–H group of Tyr199 forms hydrogen bond with the C=O group of Asn74 in the complex. The C=O stretch frequency is further downshifted by 9 cm$^{-1}$ in the M intermediate (Figure 6), indicating that the hydrogen bond has strengthened.

What is the hydrogen-bonding donor of Asn74 in ppRM? There are alternatives: (i) the O–H groups of Tyr199 in ppR, or (ii) other N–H or O–H group such as Thr33 in pHtrII. In the former case, rearrangement of helices in ppR and pHtrII should take place around Tyr199 in ppR and Asn74 in pHtrII.

5. Light-Signal Conversion in the Late M State

The low-temperature FTIR study of the M state revealed the intra- and inter-molecular pathways of light-signal transduction, from Lys205 (retinal) of the receptor to Asn74 of the transducer through Thr204 and Tyr199 [13, 20, 21]. However, these residues are membrane-embedded, while the importance of protein-protein interactions at the cytoplasmic side was still unclear. In fact, previous spin-labelling studies observed the outward tilt of the F-helix in the cytoplasmic region of ppR, which forces rotational motion in pHtrII [43, 60]. Therefore, we measured the ppRM minus ppR spectra in the absence and presence of pHtrII in a wide temperature range of 250–293 K. Figures 7(a) and 7(b) shows the ppRM minus ppR difference spectra in the absence and the presence of pHtrII at 250–293 K, respectively. In the absence of pHtrII, the difference spectra were almost identical to each other, which reproduced the previous observations (Figure 7(a)) [61]. The bands at 1664 (−)/1643 (+) cm$^{-1}$ are the largest in the amide-I region, indicating a structural perturbation of α helix. Such structural changes take place for the M state regardless of temperature between 250 and 293 K.

In contrast, significant temperature dependence was observed for the ppR/pHtrII complex. Figure 7(b) shows the ppRM minus ppR difference spectra in the presence of pHtrII, where clear spectral variation was seen between different temperatures. In particular, the amide-I vibrations at 1664 (−)/1643 (+) cm$^{-1}$ are significantly reduced at higher temperatures, though the peak positions are not changed. At 250 K, the amplitude of the bands at 1664 (−)/1643 (+) cm$^{-1}$ is slightly smaller for the ppR/pHtrII complex than for ppR (79% in amplitude). On the other hand, the amplitude of the bands for the ppR/pHtrII complex is...
less than half of that for ppR at 293 K. Such temperature dependence was also reported by the previous time-resolved FTIR study [63]. Reduction of the bands at 1569 (+)/1545 (−) cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature probably originates from the amide-II vibrations [22], which also show structural perturbation of helices. It should be noted that Figure 7 does not contain other spectral components such as from the O intermediate, because the ppR\(_G\)-characteristic band at 1538 (+) cm\(^{-1}\) [64] is missing from the spectra in Figures 7(a) and 7(b). Fingerprint vibrations at 1300–1100 cm\(^{-1}\) are identical between 250 and 293 K spectra (data not shown).

This raises an interesting question of the origin of the temperature dependence exclusive for the complex. One possibility is that the amide-I bands of ppR are influenced by complex formation with pHtrII. Alternatively, even when similar amide-I bands of ppR are preserved in the complex, additional peptide-backbone alterations of pHtrII may result in the reduction of the total amide-I bands. To resolve this, we examined the origin of the bands by the use of \(^{13}\)C-labeled samples [22]. Essentially, the same spectra for \(^{12}\)C- and \(^{13}\)C-pHtrII strongly suggest that the temperature-dependent spectral changes originate from ppR, not pHtrII [22]. It is likely that the protein motions of ppR, particularly at the helices, are somehow perturbed by the presence of pHtrII. Such events take place at room temperature, but are suppressed at low temperatures such as 250 K. Because at 250 K the water is frozen, the interaction between ppR and pHtrII may be inhibited at such low temperature.

Temperature dependence of amide-I vibrations of helices is more clearly seen in Figure 7(c), where difference in absorbance between 1643 and 1664 cm\(^{-1}\) is plotted versus temperature. As shown in Figure 7(a), there is no temperature dependence in the absence of pHtrII (filled circles in Figure 7(c)), where the difference is 0.013 absorbance units. In contrast, the amplitude of amide-I vibrations is reduced at high temperatures in the presence of the wild-type pHtrII (filled squares in Figure 7(c)). Amplitude of the amide-I bands exhibits an approximately linear correlation with temperature. A mechanism, by which the secondary structural changes of helices in ppR are perturbed by the presence of pHtrII, is interesting and requires further explanation. Yang et al. that reported that the replacement of Gly83 in pHtrII by cysteine or phenylalanine impairs the photosensory function, indicating the important role of Gly83 in the protein structural changes of the ppR/pHtrII complex upon activation [65]. Indeed we found that the temperature dependence of the wild type is lost in the presence of the G83C and G83F mutant pHtrII.
spectra without (a) and with (b) p and 1664 cm$^{-1}$ division of the yff vibrations of helices (c). Di lower panel) T emperature dependence of the amplitude of amide-I bands of Asn74 are not influenced by temperature between 250 and 293 K [22], suggesting that the hydrogen-bonding alteration of Asn74 observed at 250 K [21] persists at room temperature. In addition, we also found that mutations of Gly83 do not affect the hydrogen-bonding alteration of Asn74 upon formation of the M state, though the signal transduction is impaired.

The analysis of the p$pRM$ minus pR infrared difference spectra in a wide temperature range of 250–293 K provides new insights into the signal transduction mechanism. In the absence of pHtrII, the p$pRM$ minus pR difference spectra were temperature-independent at 250–293 K, which reproduced the previous results [61]. The largest peak pair in the amide-I region was observed at 1664 (−)/1643 (+) cm$^{-1}$, indicating structural perturbation of α helix. Previous spin-labeling study of pR observed an outward tilt of the F-helix in the cytoplasmic region [43, 60], which also takes place in the light-driven proton-pump protein bacteriorhodopsin (BR) [66]. Thus, the opening of a cleft near the F-helix is presumably common for pR and BR. In fact, it is known that pR pumps protons in the absence of pHtrII, even though the efficiency is lower than that in BR [41]. Temperature-independent p$pRM$ minus pR difference spectra suggest that such cleft opening takes place in a wide temperature range of 250–293 K, though the lack of the BR$_5$-like amide-I changes for pR [61, 67] may suggest smaller helical motion in pR than in BR.

Interestingly, impairment of the movement of the helix F of pR in the pR/pHtrII complex does not occur (i) at 250 K, or (ii) for the Gly83 mutants of pHtrII. Apparently, the opening of a cleft near the helix F takes place in both cases. In the latter case, disconnection of the two helices at Gly83 may be lost, so that TM2 is extended beyond the position 83. As a consequence, proper interaction changes do not occur in the cytoplasmic region, and the movement of the helix F takes place. Similar FTIR spectra were obtained for the wild type at 250 K, whereas the hydrogen-bonding alteration of Asn74 in pHtrII was temperature-independent. Therefore, we infer that the structure of the wild-type pHtrII at 250 K becomes like that of the Gly83 mutant, and the opening of a cleft near the helix F takes place in pR. In this regard, a key issue may be that the linker region is exposed to an aqueous phase, where freezing of water affects the mobility significantly between 250 and 293 K. If it is the case, a straight line between 250 and 293 K in Figure 7(c) does not describe the temperature dependence properly. Further studies will reveal more detailed mechanism on the signal transduction in the complex.

We discuss the molecular mechanism of protein structural changes in the pR/pHtrII complex by use of schematic drawing of the structure (Figure 8). Gordeliy et al. crystallized pR complexed with truncated pHtrII (residues 1–114), but the structure of the C-terminal half of pHtrII (position 83–114) was not determined, presumably because of structural lability [29]. The residues 83–114 are probably located not in the membrane, but in the cytosolic aqueous environment, which may cause structural variations even in a crystal. According to the structure, there are two hydrogen-bonding networks in the transmembrane region of the pR/pHtrII complex: one between Tyr199 (pR) and
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**Figure 7:** (The upper panel) p$pRM$ minus pR infrared difference spectra without (a) and with (b) pHtrII in the 1730–1520 cm$^{-1}$ region. Spectra are measured at 250 K (blue lines), 273 K (light blue lines), and 293 K (red lines) upon hydration with H$_2$O. One division of the y axis corresponds to 0.01 absorbance units. (The lower panel) Temperature dependence of the amplitude of amide-I vibrations of helices (c). Difference absorbances between 1643 and 1664 cm$^{-1}$ are plotted versus temperatures. The original data appeared in [22].

(open triangles in Figure 7(c)), though they form a complex with pR. The difference is 0.010 absorbance units for all the complexes at 250 K, being considerably smaller than in the absence of pHtrII. While the value is not changed for the G83C and G83F mutant pHtrII, it decreases about two-fold for the wild-type pHtrII at 293 K. These results strongly suggest that Gly83 of pHtrII plays a key role in the helical structural changes of pR.

Then, how is the temperature dependence of the hydrogen bond of Asn74 of pHtrII? Detailed analysis of the FTIR spectra revealed that the 1694 (−)/1684 (+) cm$^{-1}$ bands of Asn74 are not influenced by temperature between
Asn74 (pHtrII), and the other between Thr189 (ppR) and Glu43/Ser62 (pHtrII) (Figure 8). In addition, the interaction of the E-F loop of ppR and the cytosolic domain of pHtrII has been suggested [68–70]. These interactions contribute to the strong association of the ppR/pHtrII complex in the dark (Kd ~160 nM [46, 70]).

Then, the light-induced protein structural changes lower the Kd value by 50-fold in ppRM (Kd~5 µM [71]). This weakened interaction strongly correlates with the ability for the light-signal transduction. But, what is the origin of the changes in the interaction? Previous FTIR studies revealed the changes in the hydrogen-bonding interaction between Tyr199 of ppR and Asn74 of pHtrII [21, 62]. Additional structural changes have been reported at the cytoplasmic surface of the ppR/pHtrII complex. Spin-labeling study observed the outward tilt of the F-helix in ppR, which then forces rotational motion of TM2 in pHtrII [43, 60, 68]. The experiments using FRET and cross linking suggested that the accessibility of the helix F of ppR and the linker region of pHtrII decreases upon illumination, and a mutation at the position 83 affects the interaction of these regions [69]. Gly83 presumably functions as a helix breaker together with Gly84, which disconnects transmembrane helix (TM2) from the helical linker region (Figure 8). Structural flexibility at the position 83 is important, because the replacement of Gly83 by cysteine or phenylalanine impairs the signal transduction [65]. Thus, the reduction of the amide-I vibrations of helices at room temperature in the present paper can be interpreted in terms of the impairment of the opening of a cleft near the helix F by the linker region of pHtrII. It is likely that the F-helix movement in ppR does not, or only partially, occur in the ppR/pHtrII complex, which is manifested as the reduced amide-I vibrations. Such difference must be correlated with the changed permeability of small reagents into the protein interior [72–74]. It should be noted that when the structural changes of ppR are suppressed by the presence of pHtrII, no additional changes were observed for the amide-I bands in pHtrII. These facts suggest that the signal transduction from the receptor (ppR) to the transducer (pHtrII) does not accompany secondary structural alteration of the transducer.

6. Functional Importance of Thr204 in ppR

It is now intriguing on the role of the amino acids reported in the FTIR studies. As already shown, mutation of Gly83 in pHtrII (to Cys or Phe) abolishes the photosensory function [65]. On the other hand, Y199F and Y199A mutants of ppR do not significantly impair the photosensory signaling [69], while the complex formation is remarkably prohibited for the Y199A mutant [39, 46]. This implies the important role of the Tyr199 (ppR)-Asn74 (pHtrII) region in the complex formation, but the hydrogen-bonding interaction between them may not be essential for the signal transduction. Light signal is possibly transferred through the other region.

In 2006, we revealed that T204A, T204S, and Y174F abolish the photosensory function, suggesting the essential role of the hydrogen-bonding interaction between Tyr174 and Thr204 for signaling by the proton pump bacteriorhodopsin is sufficient [76]. Two additional mutations that align bacteriorhodopsin and pHtrII in a similar manner as ppR and pHtrII complex [75]. Thr204 is highly conserved in phororhodopsins, and critical importance of the group is now evident for production of a phototaxis signal. Sudo and Spudich further showed that substitution of a threonine for Ala215 in the proton pump bacteriorhodopsin is sufficient to enable a small but detectable response of pHtrII [76]. Two additional mutations that align bacteriorhodopsin and pHtrII in a similar manner as ppR and pHtrII enhanced the small response to a robust response comparable to that of the ppR-pHtrII complex [76]. The O–H stretching vibration of Thr215 changes its frequency upon the formation of the K intermediate as similarly as ppR [77]. It is thereby likely that the hydrogen bond between Tyr174 and Thr204 is essential for the signal relay.

Then, how is the functional importance of the interaction between Tyr174 and Thr204 created? An implication was gained from a novel technique to monitor steric constraint of the retinal chromophore in the binding pocket, where all seven monodeuterated all-trans retinal analogs (positions 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15) were used [78]. The FTIR measurements at 77 K revealed that only the C14-D stretching vibration at 2244 cm⁻¹ is significantly enhanced upon formation of the K
state. We interpreted that the steric constraint occurs at the C14-D group in ppRK, where rotation of the C13=C14 double bond probably leads to the movement of the C14-D group [78]. Such steric interaction was also monitored by the C14-HOOP vibration upon formation of the ppRK intermediate [79].

The counterpart of the C14-D group in ppRK is then of interest. According to the X-ray structure of ppR [27], the distance from the C14 atom is 4.0 Å to the phenol oxygen of Tyr174, 4.4 Å to the hydroxyl oxygen of Thr204, and 4.3 Å to the hydroxyl oxygen of Thr79. The structure of ppRK shows that only the distance to Thr204 is significantly reduced, from 4.4 to 3.3 Å, by retinal photoisomerization [27, 56]. This result suggested that Thr204 may be the counterpart of the C14-D group, and we indeed identified the counterpart in protein moiety as Thr204 by the use of mutations [80]. Interestingly, we found the correlation between the intensities of the C14-D stretching and C14-HOOP vibrations, and the phototaxis response [80]. Therefore, it was concluded that the specific hydrogen-bonding change of Thr204 is probably caused by the steric hindrance with the C14-H group of the retinal chromophore, and the steric constraint between the C14-H group of retinal and Thr204 of the protein is a prerequisite for light-signal transduction by ppR.

7. Conclusion

Low-temperature Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy revealed how light-signal is converted from ppR to pHtrII, both of which form a complex in the unphotolyzed state. Retinal isomerization strengthens hydrogen bond of the O–H group of Thr204 in ppR, which takes place only in the presence of pHtrII. Such structural perturbation is restored in the M intermediate, while the hydrogen bond of Asn74 in pHtrII is altered. Temperature dependence on the amide-I vibration in ppR suggests the presence of multiple M states during the activation process, which is disrupted by the mutation of Gly83 in pHtrII. This suggests the importance of dynamical motion of the cytoplasmic domain in the protein-protein interaction. We established the two pathways of light-signal conversion from the receptor to the transducer; (i) from Lys205 (retinal) of ppR to Asn74 of pHtrII through Thr204 and Tyr199, and (ii) from Lys205 of ppR to the cytoplasmic loop region of pHtrII that links Gly83 (Figure 8). It should be noted that time-resolved FTIR study was also reported by Bergo et al. in 2003 [63] and 2005 [62], which provided essentially similar information on the protein structural changes in the complex. Thus, FTIR spectroscopy provided fruitful information on the protein structural changes.

Following determination of the X-ray crystallographic structure of the ppR/pHtrII complex [29], the intermediate structures of the complex were recently reported for the K and M intermediates [35]. Very little structural changes were observed between the K and unphotolyzed state [35], which was also the case for the uncomplexed ppR [56]. In contrast, several structural alterations were observed for the M intermediate [35]. Interestingly, opening of F-helix was not observed [35], which might contradict with the results of the spin-labeled EPR study [25, 43, 60]. However, as shown in our FTIR study, movement of the F-helix opening is probably impaired in the complex, whose extent may depend on the sample conditions. Protein-protein interaction in the cytoplasmic aqueous phase must play important role in the signal transduction. As seen in this paper, light-induced difference FTIR spectroscopy is a powerful tool to investigate the protein-protein interaction and its transient changes for an archaeal light-signal transduction. Together with X-ray crystallographic structures and theoretical calculations, better understanding of the transient changes of the protein-protein complexes will be gained.

Abbreviations

ppR: pharaonis phorborhodopsin
pHtrII: truncated pharaonis halobacterial transducer II expressed from 1st to 159th position
ppRK: K-intermediate of ppR
ppRm: M-intermediate of ppR
BR: light-adapted bacteriorhodopsin that has all-trans retinal as its chromophore
SRI: Sensory rhodopsin I
FTIR: Fourier-transform infrared
DM: n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside.
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