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Abstract

Recent progress in surgery and immunosuppression has expanded the "transplant menu" for patients with diabetic nephropathy which is now including: Kidney Transplantation from a Deceased Donor (DDKT) or a Living Donor (LDKT), Simultaneous Pancreas Kidney (SPK), Pancreas Transplantation Alone (PTA), Pancreas after Kidney (PAK) and Islet transplantation. As pre-emptive transplantation presents a clear survival advantage over dialysis, all diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) should be referred for early evaluation by a transplant center. For type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) patients, LDKT and SPK transplantation offer superior and approximately equivalent long-term patient and allograft survival. PAK transplant rates tend to decline due to surgical and immunological complications, but it may still be considered for well selected candidates with preserved kidney allograft function.

For type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients, not only LDKT, but even DDKT are superior to dialysis. SPK transplantation should be offered only in selected cases with special metabolic characteristics similar to T1DM. PTA should be considered only for selected cases of T1DM with well preserved renal function (eGFR=80 ml/min/1.73 m² and minimal proteinuria), as it may cause a cause of rapid deterioration of renal function. Islet transplantation should still be considered as an experimental procedure for T1DM, and has no place in patients with advanced CKD, but it may be applied in already immunosuppressed patients following KT. However, the best transplant option for patients with diabetic nephropathy therapy should always be individualized, taking under consideration the patients' preferences and expectations, their overall medical condition and the transplant center’s experience with all these procedures.
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Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a worldwide epidemic and the leading cause of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD), blindness, stroke, heart attack and amputations [1,2]. Type 1 DM (T1DM) is an autoimmune disease leading into β cells destruction, whereas type 2 DM (T2DM) is a chronic degenerative disease mainly due to insulin resistance. About 30-40% of patients with DM will eventually present a gradual deterioration of their renal function accompanied by proteinuria and retinopathy and will be classified with the diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy. Currently, about 40% of the CKD patients starting renal replacement therapies (hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis) carry this diagnosis and present increased morbidity and mortality compared with other causes of CKD.

Several years ago, diabetic nephropathy had been considered as a relative or absolute contraindication for renal transplantation, due to cardiovascular and infectious complications and unacceptable morbidity and mortality. However, the landmark study of Wolfe et al. [3] has shown that renal transplantation provided a clear survival advantage for diabetics with End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) and reduced mortality by 73% compared with patients remaining on the waiting list. The projected life expectancy was more profound for younger diabetics (presumably T1DM) reaching a gain of 17 years, whereas the gain was only 3 years for patients older than 60 years (presumably T2DM).

Historically, the first successful pancreas transplantation was done in 1966 in the US in order to cure patients with T1DM [4]. However, pancreas transplantation was not fostered so easily by the transplant community due to increased rates of surgical complications and rejections of the pancreatic allografts. Gradual progress in surgical techniques and the advent of more powerful immunosuppressive agents has improved significantly the initial outcomes [5-10] and by the end of 2010 more than 35000 pancreas transplantations have been totally reported to the International Pancreas Transplant Registry (IPTR) with the vast majority (24000) performed in the US [11].

The first successful case of allogeneic islet transplantation in humans with T1DM was reported in 1990 by Scharp et al. [12]. However, the procedure did not become popular until the Edmonton study in 2000 [13] which showed insulin independence in seven T1DM patients with a steroid free regimen.

So, the potential therapies for patients with diabetes have expanded beyond insulin and may target normoglycemia more aggressively without the fears of hypoglycemia. The diabetic patient has now more options (pancreas transplantation, kidney transplantation, islet transplantation), but has to undergo a major operation (solid organ transplantation) and to be long-life immunosuppressed with all the possible consequences. As pancreas or islets transplantation is not a life-saving procedure and there are no clear indications, not only the patients with diabetes but also the physicians may become confused about optimal solutions for diabetic nephropathy (Table 1).
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Pancreas Transplantation Alone (PTA)

PTA refers to the transplantation of a pancreas transplant graft alone. Its origin may be from a Deceased Donor (DDPTA) or a segmental graft by a Living Donor (LDPTA).

Kidney Transplantation (KT)

KT refers to the transplantation of a renal transplant graft alone. Its origin may be from a Deceased Donor (DDKT) or by a Living Donor (LDKT). When the operation takes place before the need of dialysis it is referred as pre-emptive KT [14,15].

Simultaneous Pancreas Kidney (SPK)

SPK transplantation refers to the combined transplantation of both organs, coming usually from the same donor, during a single operation. The origin of the grafts is usually from deceased donors, but there are also reports of segmental pancreatic grafts from living donors [16].

Pancreas After Kidney (PAK)

Transplantation refers to the transplantation of a pancreas graft by a second surgery, following a successful kidney transplant (DDKT or LDKT). Obviously the two grafts come from different donors.

Islet Transplantation (IT)

IT refers to the transplantation of isolated pancreatic islets, which have been harvested from one or more deceased donors. It may be combined (although rare) with every type of kidney transplantation.

In the present review, we will try to provide essential information for physicians not involved in transplantation medicine, enlighten the grey zones and review in an unbiased way the contemporary data about transplant options for patients with diabetic nephropathy making clear separations between patients with T1DM and T2DM and patients with early and advanced CKD.

Surgical Procedures for Organ or Islet Transplantation

Kidney transplantation (KT) in diabetic patients has no essential differences compared with the traditional transplant procedure in non diabetic patients with ESRD. Briefly, the renal allograft is placed in the lower right or left abdomen and the renal vessels (artery and vein) are usually anastomosed with the iliac artery and vein of the recipient. The donor’s ureter is anastomosed with the recipient’s bladder. Organ or islets are percutaneously infused into the portal vein [13].

Islet transplantation (IT) is not a classic surgical procedure and the islets are percutaneously infused into the portal vein [13].

Pancreas transplantation remains a challenging operation, even after >40 years of experience and no surgical technique has achieved universal experience [5,6,10,11,17]. Usually, a whole pancreaticoduodenal graft is used in cadaveric transplantation. Vascular supply derives from the right iliac artery and vein (systemic drainage). The initial expectations about a possible extra benefit by drainage to the portal venous circulation have not been fulfilled and this type of drainage is used in about 10-20% of the operations. Pancreatic exocrine secretions can be drained via the recipient’s bladder or enterically (recipient’s small bowel or duodenum). Although bladder drainage was the original and most practiced procedure in the past, enteric drainage is now used in the majority of the transplant centers (90%) around the world [11]. Bladder drainage has the advantage of measuring urinary amylase as a marker of allograft function or rejection, but is accompanied by urinary tract infections, erosive cystitis, hematuria, severe metabolic acidosis and hypovoleemia [5,10,11,17,18]. Recently, laparoscopic [19] and robotic surgery [20] have also been applied for pancreas transplantation with very encouraging results. Finally, partial pancreatic explant from living donors has been documented with excellent results in experienced transplant centers [16-21-25].

Simultaneous Pancreas Kidney transplantation (SPK) is a combination of both transplant procedures by putting the pancreas graft in the right side and the kidney graft in the left side due to anatomical reasons. The transplant surgeon proceeds with the pancreatic allograft first and then the kidney is anastomosed with the left iliac vessels.

Table: Transplant options.

| Transplant Type                      | Donor Type    | Indications for Diabetes Mellitus | Advantages                                          | Disadvantages                                      |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Pancreas Transplant Alone            | Deceased      | T1DM with preserved renal function| Short- and long-term outcomes ↑↑↑                   | - Peri-operative complications ↑↑                   |
| (PTA)                                | or Living     |                                  |                                                    | - Life-long immunosuppression ↑↑↑                   |
| Kidney Transplantation (KT)          | Deceased      | T1DM and T2DM with CKD stage 4-5 | Short- and long-term outcomes ↑                   | - Peri-operative complications ↑                   |
|                                      | or Living     |                                  |                                                    | - No impact on diabetes control                     |
| Simultaneous Pancreas Kidney (SPK)   | Deceased      | T1DM and T2DM (by indications)   | - Short- and long-term outcomes ↑↑                     | - Life-long immunosuppression ↑                   |
|                                      | or Living     | with CKD stage 4-5               |                                                    |                                                    |
| Pancreas After Kidney (PAK)          | Deceased      | T1DM with a kidney allograft     | - Short- and long-term outcomes ↑↑                  | - Peri-operative complications ↑                   |
|                                      |               |                                  |                                                    | - Two consecutive operations                        |
|                                      |               |                                  |                                                    | - Life-long immunosuppression ↑↑                   |
| Islet Transplantation                | Deceased      | T1DM with preserved renal function or with a kidney allograft | - no operation                                    | - Life-long immunosuppression ↑↑↑                   |
|                                      |               |                                  |                                                    |                                                    |
PTA: Pancreas Transplantation Alone; KT: Kidney Transplantation; SPK: Simultaneous Pancreas Kidney; PAK: Pancreas After Kidney; IT: Islet transplantation for patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease)
normal values and no abdominal trauma have been considered suitable for pancreas donation [18,29]. According to a recent report from the IPTR only 6% of the deceased donors were older than 45 years and only 4% of pancreas donations were from donors after cardiac death [11]. The final decision usually relies on the allograft’s natural appearance at the time of organ retrieval by the transplant team. Regarding HLA matching, current trends focus mainly on the quality of the grafts and more than 50% of pancreatic transplantsations are performed with 5 or 6 mismatches [11].

Regarding IT transplantation, pancreata from one or more donors that are not suitable for PTA or SPK are usually retrieved and transferred to the special transplant center which is equipped with the infrastructure for islet isolation.

Finally, the scarce of organs for transplantation has made feasible living related pancreas donation [23-25]. However, this policy has been restricted mainly to experienced transplant centers in the US, Europe and Japan. Donor hemipancreatectomy may lead to decreased serum insulin levels and obesity should be considered as an absolute contraindication for living donation. According to the Minnesota experience, pancreas donation presents minimal perioperative complications, but some donors (<5%) may become insulin dependent in the long run [16].

**Pre-transplant Risk Evaluation in Patients with Diabetic Nephropathy**

The goal of the pre-transplant risk evaluation is to determine whether the candidate is eligible for transplantation and discuss the potential options for KT, SPK or PAK.

The contraindications are the same as for any other organ transplantation including the presence of malignancy, active infection, psychiatric disease, drug/alcohol dependence, morbid obesity and untreated or end-stage organ damage with special emphasis on cardiovascular comorbidities [30,31]. Age should not be considered as an absolute contraindication for KT [32], but most transplant centers do not accept diabetic patients older than 45-50 years for SPK or PAK [11]. This is mainly due to an increased rate of surgical complications and lower graft survival rates [8-10], although some other studies did not confirm these results [33].

Obesity (BMI>30 kg/m²) is also considered as a relative contraindication for transplantation in diabetic patients as it is accompanied with inferior outcomes for both KT [34] and SPK [35] mainly due to surgical complications. However, only morbid obesity (BMI> 40 kg/m²) should be considered as an absolute contraindication, although bariatric surgery before transplantation can ameliorate it [36].

As patients with diabetic nephropathy have increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, the pre-transplant evaluation should focus on the presence and the severity of coronary and peripheral artery disease. Although, there is no consensus regarding the optimal protocol for cardiovascular risk stratification, most centers refer the candidates for cardiac stress testing and/or coronary angiography, especially in ages older than 30 years or history of diabetes >20 years for T1DM and ages >55-60 years for T2DM as well as dyslipidemia, history of smoking and presence of cerebrovascular or peripheral vascular disease [37]. However, this approach has been challenged by the provocative study of Patel et al. which reported that even aggressive pre-transplant testing and coronary interventions did not translate into better outcomes post transplantation in high risk patients [38,39].

Peripheral arterial occlusive disease and carotid arteries stenosis examination by ultrasound are also mandatory in the pre-transplant evaluation. Many centers suggest a more thorough examination in high risk patients by CT or MR angiography or even intra-arterial angiography [15,37,40].

As pancreas transplantation cannot be considered as an immediate life-saving procedure [10], its possible advantages should be carefully balanced against the potent complications of the surgical procedure and the long-term side-effects of immunosuppression [40,41]. The state of the art approach is to refer the patient with advanced diabetic nephropathy to the transplant centre early, when his estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) is about 25-30 ml/min in order to provide enough time for evaluation of both the transplant candidate and any potential living donors [15,38,41]. However, as most diabetics with CKD are not referred early to a nephrologist, this remains the exemption and not the standard procedure. Nevertheless, by an early referral, the transplant team can evaluate more thoroughly the diabetic candidate and order more complicated investigations such as coronary angiography without increasing risk of premature start of dialysis [38]. In addition, if SPK is not the goal, as in most patients with T2DM, it will also provide time to search for living related kidney transplantation with the most suitable donor or even alternative options for preemptive transplantation in cases of immunologically incompatible but still qualified donors, such as kidney paired donation [15].

Regarding T2DM patients eligible for transplantation, the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) has defined the following criteria for SPK: a) insulin therapy and C-peptide level <2 ng/ml or b) insulin therapy with C-peptide level >2 ng/ml and BMI<26 kg/m² [42]. The initial concern regarding pancreas transplantation in T2DM patients was insulin resistance that prevails in this type of DM and may result in lower pancreas allograft survival due to β cell exhaustion from the increased insulin demands [43]. Sampaio et al. have analyzed the UNOS database regarding SPK in T1DM and T2DM patients, reporting equal risks of death and kidney or pancreas failure among the two categories after adjustments for other risk factors [44]. However, in the unadjusted analysis older age and longer dialysis vintage were associated with increased mortality in T2DM compared with T1DM recipients. On the contrary, Wiseman and Gralla have recently reported in a retrospective database analysis of 6416 T2DM patients who underwent transplantation from 2000-2008, that there is no clear patient or graft survival advantage for T2DM patients undergoing SPK compared with DDKT, whereas LDKT provided superior outcomes [42]. These results support a more cautious approach for SPK in T2DM patients eligible for transplantation.

**Immunosuppressive Protocols**

Immunosuppressive protocols for kidney transplantation have seen a dramatic progress from the first years, when the only weapons of the transplant team were steroids and azathioprine. Calcineurin inhibitors (cyclosporine and tacrolimus) and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) have eventually reduced acute rejection rates below 10% and the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors (sirolimus and everolimus) have also been used in order to reduce nephrotoxicity and improve long-term outcomes [45]. Recently, belatacept has been officially approved for maintenance immunosuppression in KT [46]. In addition, most centers are using induction therapies with monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies in order to reduce acute rejection during the first post-transplant weeks [47].

Regarding pancreas transplantation, initial high rejection rates
Regarding maintenance immunosuppression, most centers are now using a combination of tacrolimus and MMF, which has shown superior results compared with older protocols based on cyclosporine or azathioprine. Some centers are also using sirolimus based protocols with good results [48]. Although steroids were considered as crucial components of the immunosuppressive regimen in the first years of pancreas transplantation, more and more centers are now applying steroid avoidance or sparing protocols with excellent results, trying to reduce their detrimental side effects [49-52]. It should also be noted that graft loss in T1DM patients is not always a result of alloimmunity and autoimmune recurrence has been noticed in transplants between identical twins not receiving immunosuppression [22].

Although there is no consensus about the optimal immunosuppressive protocol in pancreas or kidney-pancreas transplantation, most authorities suggest induction therapy with depleting antibodies and maintenance therapies based on tacrolimus, sirolimus or MMF, with early or late steroid withdrawal [6-11].

**Allogeneic Islet Transplantation Overview**

Allogeneic islet transplantation in humans became popular after the landmark study of Shapiro et al. The Edmonton group in 2000 [13] which showed insulin independence in seven T1DM patients with a steroid free regimen. Nevertheless, these first encouraging results could not be fully reproduced by other centers and patients needed multiple islet transfusions with a long-term success below 10% [53-55]. In addition, the immunosuppressive protocols are potentially nephrotoxic and may be accompanied with a deterioration of the renal function [56,57], whereas the failed islet grafts may lead to recipients’ alloimmunization (sensitization) by the production of de novo anti-HLA antibodies in litters ranging between 10.8%- 31% [56-58]. These poor results have raised skepticism in the transplant community [59] and today only a few centers continue islet transplants on a regular basis [54,55]. The main advantage of islet transplantation is that it is a minimally invasive procedure by the percutaneous infusion of the islets into the portal vein with extremely low complication rates. However, there is a need for life-time immunosuppression and the current protocols have included more intensified regimens (thymoglobulin, alemtuzumab, anti-CD3 monoclonal antibodies, efalizumab, belatacept, etanercept etc.), in order to get better outcomes with islets [60]. However, in patients with T1DM patients with difficult to control diabetes and well preserved renal function, or already immunosuppressed T1DM patients undergoing simultaneous islet-kidney transplantation, islet transplantation after SPK and failure of the pancreas graft, or islet transplantation following a successful kidney transplant [54,57]. As there is also a limited pool of donors for pancreata, islet transplantation research is now focusing on alternative resources such as stem cells or xenogeneic (porcine) islets [54,62].

**Transplant Options for the Patient with Diabetic Nephropathy: The Need for Individualization**

The above overview of all the possible options for transplantation in patients with diabetic nephropathy emphasizes that as there are no clear indications for each procedure (KT, SPK, PTA, PAK, or IT), the final decision should always be made after careful examination of each diabetic candidate (type of diabetes, comorbidities and life expectancy), his options for living related transplantation, the mean waiting time of every transplant center for cadaveric transplantation and its expertise regarding all these procedures. In addition, patient’s preferences and expectations should be thoroughly discussed and not be neglected. In the next paragraphs we will try to provide data regarding possible scenarios of patients with T1DM or T2DM and various degrees of CKD. We have excluded from this discussion T2DM patients with early CKD, as these patients are not considered eligible for any kind of transplantation and the primary care physicians should mainly focus on the delay of CKD progression and the treatment of comorbidities.

**The T1DM patient with early stage CKD**

This is the most difficult scenario, as these patients are rather young and have rather preserved kidney function. Here, the dilemma is not focusing primarily on the kidney but on diabetes, its complications and the possible impact of the transplantation procedure on renal function. The patient has three options: a) to remain under insulin therapy and wait for CKD to progress more, b) to be listed for PTA c) to undergo IT. Regarding the last two options, the American Diabetes Association [63] has fostered the following statement: "In the absence of indications for kidney transplantation, pancreas transplantation should only be considered a therapy in patients who exhibit these three criteria: a) a history of frequent, acute, and severe metabolic complications (hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, ketoacidosis) requiring medical attention; b) clinical and emotional problems with exogenous insulin therapy that are so severe as to be incapacitating; and c) consistent failure of insulin based management to prevent acute complications". The same criteria may also apply for IT “within the setting of controlled research studies” [63]. However, direct comparisons regarding long-term efficacy of IT and PTA can not be made at the present time [64]. Regarding PTA, patients with well preserved eGFR (80-100 ml/min/1.73 m²) are quite unlikely to progress to ESRD, although about 30% of them may need kidney transplantation after 10 years [10,17]. On the contrary, patients with lower eGFR may progress more rapidly after PTA due to the nephrotoxicity of the immunosuppressive medications [65]. The long-term impact of PTA on kidney function has not been extensively studied. The first report form Minnesota indicated reversal of the glomerular lesions in 8 patients after 5-10 years [66]. However, creatinine clearance declined significantly, probably due to the loss of the diabetes induced hyperfiltration and the nephrotoxicity of the immunosuppression [66-68]. Slightly better results with less aggressive immunosuppression have also been reported from Italy, but with a shorter follow-up period [64]. Living in the era of evidence based medicine, we have no solid data about long-term outcomes after
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PTA or IT in this group of patients and prospective controlled trials, although designed, have not progressed so far [64]. Regarding IT, the main obstacles are the rather poor long-term results regarding insulin independence, the need for long-term immunosuppression and its risks (infections, malignancies etc.), the possible more rapid deterioration of the renal function due to nephrotoxicity (calcineurin inhibitors) and the risk of alloimmunization [53-58]. However, successful IT has also been associated with significant benefits, such as stabilization or even improvement of retinopathy and neuropathy [54,55].

The T1DM patient with advanced CKD

In T1DM patients with advanced CKD (eGFR <50-60 ml/min/1.73 m²) the first option should include SPK or pre-emptive KT [10,17] if feasible. These patients present extremely high morbidity and mortality, which are multiplied when they start renal replacement therapies [3,69,70]. The current goal is to avoid dialysis and search options for pre-emptive KT or SPK [15,38]. Timely referral to a transplant center has been shown to increase transplant rates and decrease mortality [15]. Living related SPK from well selected donors remains an option but only for very experienced transplant centers around the world [16,23-25]. Regarding KT, living transplant options (LDKT) should be extensively discussed with the diabetic candidate. The donors’ pool should not be limited by HLA matching and other options such as ABO/HLA incompatible donors, good Samaritan donors, or paired donors should also be discussed [15]. Listing for DDKT should be applied when eGFR is less than 20 ml/min. There are also solid data that LDKT does not only improve survival compared with dialysis, but also presents highest short-term survival rates (for both the patient and the allograft) compared not only with DDKT but also with SPK transplants [71]. This is mainly due to the higher rates of surgical complications during SPK, but this advantage starts to become less clear after 5-6 years, when the results favour the SPK procedure [71,72]. Based on a thorough review of all the available data, Wiseman [9] has recently concluded that LDKT is without doubt superior to DDKT and probably to SPK, if we consider that about 10-15% of the SPK patients may lose the pancreas allograft during the first year. However, for the remaining 85% of the SPK recipients, SPK is superior to LDKT.

Another issue that needs to be addressed is what to do with a patient after a successful LDKT or DDKT. Should he proceed with PAK transplantation, or remain diabetic with a functioning kidney, but with all the problems of hyperglycemia? The potential risks of the operation for PAK transplantation can not be ignored and some older studies had reported a 3-4 fold increase of death risk during the first three months [73,74]. In addition, pancreas graft survival in PAK is inferior to SPK transplantation due to higher rejection rates and it has decreased as a transplant option by 50% from 2004 to 2010 [11]. However, some studies have reported more optimistic results with more aggressive immunosuppressive protocols [75].

Regarding IT in this population, there is no rational for the procedure, unless it is accompanied by or following kidney transplantation [54]. However, the accumulated experience is extremely limited at the moment [57].

The T2DM patient with advanced CKD

T2DM patients dominate by far (95%) among patients with CKD diagnosed with diabetic nephropathy, are usually older than T1DM patients and carry significant comorbidities, which may be contraindications for transplantation. However, current data support the concept that transplantation offers them a survival advantage over dialysis [3]. So, all attempts should focus on pre-emptive KT, but even SPK transplantation may be offered in selected T2DM patients [43,76-78]. According to the 2011 IPTR report about 8% of SPK, 5% of PAK and 1% of PTA were performed in T2DM patients [11]. These patients were mainly males (67%) and presented higher BMI compared with T1DM patients [11]. As data regarding long-term survival after SPK are rather conflicting [42,44], most authorities [42,43,78] suggest that the best option would be to target for LDKT and consider SPK only in well selected cases with a metabolic profile similar to T1DM. In addition, recent data from bariatric surgery implicate a more favorable outcome for T2DM patients with diabetic nephropathy and BMI>32 kg/m² before KT [78-80]. However, it is worth noting that T2DM patients have inferior outcomes after KT compared with non diabetics and present more early and late complications, mainly due to the detrimental effects of hyperglycemia, which may be aggravated by the immunosuppressive regimens [76,77,81,82].

Conclusions

Recent progress in surgery and immunosuppression has expanded the "transplant menu" for patients with diabetic nephropathy.

As pre-emptive transplantation provides a clear survival advantage over dialysis, all diabetic patients with CKD should be referred for early evaluation by a transplant center.

For T1DM patients, LDKT and SPK transplantation offer superior and approximately equivalent long-term patient and renal allograft survival. PAK transplant rates tend to decline due to surgical and immunological complications, but it may still be considered for well selected candidates with preserved kidney allograft function.

For T2DM patients, not only LDKT, but even DDKT are superior to dialysis. SPK transplantation should be offered only in selected cases with special metabolic characteristics similar to T1DM.

PTA should be considered only for selected cases of T1DM with well preserved renal function (eGFR=80 ml/min/1.73 m² and minimal proteinuria) as it is related with rapid deterioration of renal function.

Islet transplantation should still be considered as an experimental procedure for T1DM, and has no place at the moment in patients with advanced CKD, but it may be applied in already immunosuppressed patients following KT. Nevertheless, a lot of progress regarding this field is anticipated in the near future.

However, the most appropriate transplant option for patients with diabetic nephropathy therapy should always be individualized, taking under consideration the patients’ preferences and expectations, their overall medical condition and the transplant center’s experience with all these procedures.
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