Maintenance of persistent activity in a frontal thalamocortical loop
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Persistent neural activity maintains information that connects past and future events. Models of persistent activity often invoke reverberations within local cortical circuits, but long-range circuits could also contribute. Neurons in the mouse anterior lateral motor cortex (ALM) have been shown to have selective persistent activity that instructs future actions. The ALM is connected bidirectionally with parts of the thalamus, including the ventral medial and ventral anterior–lateral nuclei. We recorded spikes from the ALM and thalamus during tactile discrimination with a delayed directional response. Here we show that, similar to ALM neurons, thalamic neurons exhibited selective persistent delay activity that predicted movement direction. Unilateral photoinhibition of delay activity in the ALM or thalamus produced contralesional neglect. Photoinhibition of the thalamus caused a short-latency and near-complete collapse of ALM activity. Similarly, photoinhibition of the ALM diminished thalamic activity. Our results show that the thalamus is a circuit hub in motor preparation and suggest that persistent activity requires reciprocal excitation across multiple brain areas.

Short-term memory is represented by changes in spike rates that are maintained internally, in the absence of sustained sensory input. Neurons in the frontal cortex show persistent activity related to different types of short-term memory1–10. Motor preparation is a particular short-term memory that links past events and anticipation of future movements. Motor preparation has been studied extensively using delayed-response tasks, in which a sensory stimulus instructs a future action. During the delay epoch, neurons in the motor cortex and related structures show persistent and ramping activity related to specific movements, long before movement onset1–5,11. We refer here to persistent activity during the delay epoch as ‘preparatory activity’.

Individual neurons have time constants on the order of ten milliseconds. Persistent activity over seconds is therefore an emergent property of neural circuits, which probably involves positive feedback. Circuit models of cortical persistent activity often invoke reverberations within local circuits mediated by excitatory connections12,13. However, persistent activity could also arise from multi-regional interactions. Frontal and parietal cortical areas, together with associated thalamic nuclei, form a network and show persistent activity during memory-guided tasks6,14–23. Identifying the essential anatomical substrates for persistent activity is necessary to understand the neural mechanisms underlying short-term memory.

A large fraction of mouse ALM neurons exhibit direction-selective persistent and ramping preparatory activity in a directional licking task3. Preparatory activity is distributed across both ALM hemispheres24, similar to human premotor cortex25,26. Three types of manipulation experiments have shown that ALM preparatory activity instructs directed licking in a tactile delayed-response task. First, unilateral inactivation of ALM during motor preparation impairs upcoming movements in the contralateral direction1,24,27. Second, brief unilateral activation of ALM pyramidal tract neurons has persistent effects on ALM population activity and biases the direction of future movements towards the contralateral direction27. Third, brief bilateral inactivation destroys selectivity of preparatory activity on average and randomizes future movements; but on a trial-by-trial basis movement direction can still be predicted on the basis of ALM population activity24. Preparatory activity in one hemisphere remains largely unchanged after perturbation of the other hemisphere, implying that ALM hemispheres can maintain preparatory activity independently24. Here we report that the maintenance of persistent activity in the ALM requires direct excitation from the thalamus and vice versa, revealing that the thalamus is a key circuit node in motor preparation.

The thalamus is required for motor preparation

Mice performed a discrimination task with a delayed response3,27,28 (Fig. 1a, b). In each trial, mice judged the location of an object with their whiskers. During the subsequent delay epoch (1.2 or 1.3 s), mice maintained a memory of the previous sensory experience and planned an upcoming response. Following an auditory ‘go’ cue, mice reported object location with directional licking (left or right, mean percent correct 77.6%; lick-early before ‘go’ cue 10.3%, no response 1.1%).

During the delay epoch, neurons in the ALM (centred on 2.5 mm anterior, 1.5 mm lateral3,24) show persistent activity that predicts licking direction (preparatory activity)3,27. Unilateral photoinhibition of the ALM during the delay epoch produced an ipsilateral response bias3,27, reducing performance for contralateral trials and increasing performance for ipsilateral trials ($P < 0.001$, paired t-test; Fig. 1c). These experiments confirm that ALM preparatory activity during the delay epoch is causally involved in motor preparation3.

Preparatory activity could be maintained by recurrent circuits within the ALM. Alternatively, additional brain areas, coupled to ALM by long-range excitatory connections, might be required. Inhibiting one of these brain areas might produce a similar behavioural bias as inhibition of the ALM. Within the cortex, the ALM forms reciprocal connections with the contralateral ALM24,27, ipsilateral primary motor cortex (M1), and ipsilateral somatosensory cortex (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b, e). However, photoinhibition of the cortical locations outside of the ALM during the delay epoch (55 locations, covering the dorsal cortex, approximately 50% of neocortex), did not produce behavioural effects3,24.

The ALM also makes reciprocal excitatory connections with several ipsilateral thalamic nuclei (anterior/posterior, −1.1 to −2.3 mm;
medial/lateral, 0–1.6 mm; dorsal/ventral, 3.3–4.8 mm relative to the bregma), including the ventral medial (VM), and parts of the ventral anterior–lateral (VAL), medial dorsal, posterior and intralaminar nuclei\textsuperscript{19–22} (Extended Data Fig. 1c–e) (we refer to the combined thalamic nuclei that are reciprocally connected to the ALM as ‘thalALM’). Within the thalALM, the VM/VAL complex was the most extensively and consistently labelled in experiments involving injections of multiple types of anterograde and retrograde tracers into the ALM (Extended Data Fig. 1f); we therefore focus inactivation experiments on the VM/V AL. However, our perturbation methods do not have sufficient resolution to exclude contributions from other thalALM nuclei.

To determine whether the thalALM has a role in motor preparation, we photoinhibited the thalALM during the delay epoch (Fig. 1d). We injected a Cre-dependent ChR2 (channelrhodopsin-2) virus into the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) of Gad2-Cre transgenic mice\textsuperscript{29,30}. Photostimulating axonal terminals of the TRN GABAergic (\(\gamma\)-aminobutyric-acid-releasing) neurons in the thalamic reduced spike rates in the thalALM (recorded near the VM/VAL, reduced to 67.8\% during the delay epoch; Extended Data Fig. 2). Similar to the ALM, unilateral photoinhibition of the thalALM during the delay epoch reduced the performance during contralateral trials and caused a small improvement in performance during ipsilateral trials (\(P < 0.001\) for contralateral trials, not significant for ipsilateral trials, paired \(t\)-test; Fig. 1d).

We confirmed the behavioural effect of thalALM photoinhibition using pharmacological inhibition (muscimol, 1.8–5.9 ng), which has better spatial specificity (approximately 0.5 mm)\textsuperscript{31}. Inhibition near the VM/VAL produced an ipsilateral bias in the licking response (Extended Data Fig. 3a, b). Inhibition outside of the thalALM (1.1–1.6 mm anterior or 1.6–1.9 mm dorsal to the VM/VAL) did not cause ipsilateral bias, even at tenfold higher doses (Extended Data Fig. 3c–f). Thus both the ALM and thalALM are required for motor preparation.

**Preparatory activity in thalamus**

We recorded single units from the ALM or thalALM in behaving mice. In the ALM, we focused on putative pyramidal neurons (\(n = 1,006\) out of 1,214 neurons, 10 mice, left ALM; Extended Data Fig. 4 and Methods), because these neurons potentially project to the thalALM or excite neurons that project to the thalALM (Fig. 2a, b). For a majority of neurons, activity differed across trial types (70\%, 704 out of 1,006; \(P < 0.05\), \(t\)-test; Methods). Selectivity for movements emerged in the sample epoch, increased throughout the delay epoch, and reached a maximum at the beginning of the response epoch\textsuperscript{3,27} (Fig. 2b, e and Extended Data Fig. 4c). ALM neuron responses were diverse: subsets of neurons showed selective preparatory activity (Fig. 2b (left), e, 145 out of 1,006), selective preparatory activity during the response epoch (Fig. 2b (right), e, 272 out of 1,006), or both (Fig. 2b (middle), e, 287 out of 1,006). Approximately equal numbers of neurons preferred contra- or ipsilateral movements (Fig. 2e), consistent with previous recordings\textsuperscript{3,4,27}.

We next recorded single units from the left thalamus (\(n = 790\); 11 mice) (Fig. 2c, d). A subset of these units (\(n = 295\)) were in the VM/VAL, with the others in the surrounding thalamus outside of the thalALM (Extended Data Fig. 4). A majority of VM/VAL neurons discriminated trial types (69\%, 204 out of 295; indistinguishable from the ALM, \(\chi^2\) test, \(P = 0.79\)). Selectivity emerged in the sample epoch, increased throughout the delay epoch, and reached a maximum during the response epoch (Fig. 2d, f and Extended Data Fig. 4f). VM/VAL neurons exhibited a similar selectivity and time course to the ALM (\(\chi^2\) test, \(P > 0.5\)); subsets of neurons showed selective preparatory activity (Fig. 2d (left), f, 43 out of 295 neurons), selective peri-movement activity during the response epoch (Fig. 2d (right), f, 82 out of 295), or both (Fig. 2d (middle), f, 79 out of 295). VM/VAL neurons therefore showed similar preparatory activity to ALM neurons.
The thalamus drives ALM preparatory activity

Our behavioural and electrophysiological data show that the ALM and thalamus contribute to motor preparation. We therefore investigated whether ALM preparatory activity is influenced by the thalamus or vice versa. We recorded from ALM neurons while photoinhibiting the ipsilateral thalamus (Fig. 3a, Extended Data Fig. 2 and Methods). We photostimulated GABAergic axons near the thalamus using VGAT-ChR2–EYFP mice3,32 in which a larger fraction of GABAergic axons express ChR2, compared to virus-injected mice. Photoinhibition abolished thalamus activity nearly completely (to 2% of control without photoinhibition) (Fig. 3f) and indirectly also the activity of ALM neurons (Fig. 3b–e). We measured ALM activity for 100 ms, starting 20 ms after light onset of thalamus photoinhibition; this time window excludes the subsequent transient rebound activity in the thalamus and ALM observed during prolonged photoinhibition (Extended Data Fig. 2). Thalamus photoinhibition decreased ALM activity to 6% of control, reducing activity in nearly all neurons (Fig. 3c, 309 out of 314 inhibited, 3 out of 314 activated, 155 out of 314 significantly inhibited, 0 out of 314 significantly activated, t-test, P < 0.05), across all cortical layers (Fig. 3d) (neurons showing preparatory activity, peri-movement selectivity or both were equally inhibited; Fig. 3b, P < 0.2, t-test).

Figure 3 | The thalamus drives the ALM. a–e, Recording in the ALM during thalamus photoinhibition. a, Schematic. b, Three example neurons as in Fig. 2b, c. Spike rates during 20–120 ms after photostimulus onset. Filled circles, neurons that were significantly modulated by thalamus photoinhibition (P < 0.05, t-test); dotted line, unity line. Inset, blow-up of the scatter plot. d, Number of modulated ALM neurons across cortical depth. e, Average time course of ALM neurons during thalamus photoinhibition. Black, control peri-stimulus time histogram; blue, photoinhibition (n = 314). Shading, s.e.m. Arrow, onset of ALM inhibition. f, Top, recording in the ALM during thalamus photoinhibition. Bottom, average time course of thalamic neurons (n = 148) as in e. g, Top, whole-cell recording in the ALM during thalamus photoinhibition. Bottom, thick lines, time course of mean Vm (black, control; dark blue, photoinhibition) (n = 16 cells). Thin lines (grey, control; light blue, photoinhibition), time course of individual neurons.

Figure 4 | Comparison of thalamic and cortical input. a–c, Whole-cell recording in the ALM during thalamus photoinhibition (n = 16 cells). d–f, Whole-cell recording in the ALM during M1 photoinhibition (n = 11 cells). g–i, Whole-cell recording in the ALM during contralateral ALM photoinhibition (n = 9 cells). a, d, g, Schematic. b, e, h, Time course of mean Vm in ALM neurons during thalamus (b), M1 (e) or contra ALM (h) photoinhibition. Shading, s.e.m. Two hundred milliseconds after photostimulation onset, the Vm transiently recovered, probably caused by a concomitant rebound in thalALM activity (Extended Data Fig. 2). c, f, i, Mean Vm during 20–120 ms after photostimulus onset. Filled circles, neurons that were significantly modulated by photoinhibition (P < 0.05, t-test); dotted line, the unity line.

Compared to extracellular recordings, membrane potential (Vm) measurements provide a more precise time course of the effects of thalALM photoinhibition in the ALM. In addition, Vm recordings can distinguish a decrease in excitation from an increase in inhibition as proximal cause for the collapse of ALM activity33. Whole-cell recordings revealed that the average Vm of ALM neurons was close to the spike threshold during the delay epoch (action potential threshold — mean basal Vm = 12.7 ± 0.7 mV (mean ± s.e.m.) n = 60 cells), consistent with the relatively high spike rates in the ALM. Thalamus photoinhibition caused hyperpolarization (Vm control trials = Vm photoinhibition trials = 18.7 ± 1.1 mV (mean ± s.e.m.); measured for 100 ms, starting 20 ms after photostimulus onset; n = 16 cells), preventing ALM neurons from spiking (Figs 3g, 4b and Extended Data Fig. 5a, b). Manipulating the Vm during recording revealed that hyperpolarization was mainly caused by a reduction in excitation, not an increase in inhibition (Extended Data Fig. 5c–n). This implies that the thalALM is a major driver of ALM neurons.

We explored whether the decrease in ALM activity is a direct consequence of loss of input from the thalamus. Given that thalamic areas near the thalamus project to the M1, ALM activity could be affected through the thalamus→M1→ALM pathway. First, we computed the constant of ALM neurons (at least 1.8 ms). Second, we investigated whether the time constant of loss of input from the thalamus→M1→ALM pathway. First, we computed the constant of ALM neurons (at least 1.8 ms). This implies that the thalALM is a major driver of ALM neurons.
The ALM pathway cannot explain (mean ± s.e.m.), n = 160; Fig. 5a, b). A substantial fraction of these neurons (39%, 32 out of 83) showed increases in spike rate, inconsistent with the thalamus providing a uniform additive drive to ALM.

This unequal spike rate change reduced the selectivity of ALM neurons in both contra- and ipsi-prefering neurons (Fig. 5c, d and Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). A reduction in selectivity was seen even for neurons that did not show a change in mean spike rate (for example, Fig. 5c (white circles), d (cell 2)). Together, these results support the idea that the thalamus is necessary to maintain ALM spike rates and selectivity during motor preparation.

We implemented network models of selective persistent activity (Extended Data Fig. 7c–e and Supplementary Information). Our data do not exclude nonlinear models with specific or non-specific thalamocortical connectivity (Extended Data Fig. 7d). Methods to manipulate thalamic neurons differentially based on their selectivity will be required to distinguish between architectures of nonlinear models.

The ALM drives thalamic preparatory activity
We next investigated whether thalALM activity is influenced by ALM. We recorded from VM/VAL neurons while photoinhibiting the ipsilateral ALM (Fig. 6a). Photoinhibition of the ALM decreased the activity of VM/VAL neurons (Fig. 6b). VM/VAL neurons selective during sample, delay or response epochs were equally inhibited (Fig. 6b, P > 0.2, t-test). We measured activity for 100 ms, starting 20 ms after photostimulus onset. ALM photoinhibition decreased activity in a majority of VM/VAL neurons (to 25% of control; 190 out of 201 inhibited, 11 out of 201 activated, 139 out of 201 significantly

Figure 5 | Thalamic activity maintains selectivity in the ALM. 
Slow by more than 9 ms to explain the hyperpolarization in the ALM (Extended Data Fig. 6).

Second, direct photoinhibition of the M1 during the delay epoch (silencing more than 90% of the spikes in a cortical area with a radius of 1 mm, Methods) caused only a slight hyperpolarization of the $V_{m}$ and decreased spike rates of ALM neurons ($-3.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ mV}$ to $-1.4 \pm 0.6$ spikes per s (mean ± s.e.m.), n = 11 cells) (Fig. 4d–f). These findings imply that the thalALM→M1→ALM pathway cannot explain $V_{m}$ changes in the ALM during thalALM photoinhibition. We conclude that the thalALM drives the ALM directly.

We tested whether the coupling between the ALM and thalALM is stronger than coupling with other reciprocally connected structures (Extended Data Fig. 1d). Photoinhibiting M1, including a large number of ALM-projecting neurons, had a negligible effect on ALM activity (Fig. 4d–f). Similarly, photoinhibiting the contralateral ALM, the anatomically strongest input to the ALM, hardly changed the $V_{m}$ ($-1.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ mV}$ (mean ± s.e.m.), n = 9 cells) and had little effect on preparatory activity (Fig. 4g–i). Together, the thalALM drives the ALM directly and more strongly than other reciprocally connected structures (compare Fig. 4b and 4e, h).

The thalamus contributes to selectivity in the ALM
We asked whether the thalALM contributes to selectivity in the ALM or is simply required to maintain the spike rates in the ALM without affecting selectivity. Strong silencing (as in Figs 3, 4) of the thalamus abolished ALM activity, making it difficult to quantify the contribution of the thalamus to ALM selectivity. However, we noticed that the few neurons that maintained activity after thalALM silencing lost selectivity (for example, Fig. 3b (neuron 2)). We therefore searched for conditions where photoinhibition of thalALM had moderate effects on activity but larger effects on selectivity. We used 20-fold lower photostimuli compared to the experiments in Figs 3, 4 (stimulating in VGAT–ChR2–EYFP mice, 0.5 mW, 473 nm light). During low photoinhibition, 83 out of 160 of ALM neurons showed statistically significant changes in mean spike rate (t-test; average reduction in spike rate, 1.2 ± 0.2 spikes per s (mean ± s.e.m.), n = 160; Fig. 5a, b). A substantial fraction of these neurons (39%, 32 out of 83) showed increases in spike rate, inconsistent with the thalamus providing a uniform additive drive to ALM.

This unequal spike rate change reduced the selectivity of ALM neurons in both contra- and ipsi-prefering neurons (Fig. 5c, d and Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). A reduction in selectivity was seen even for neurons that did not show a change in mean spike rate (for example, Fig. 5c (white circles), d (cell 2)). Together, these results support the idea that the thalamus is necessary to maintain ALM spike rates and selectivity during motor preparation.

We implemented network models of selective persistent activity (Extended Data Fig. 7c–e and Supplementary Information). Our data are inconsistent with linear models with either specific (like-to-like) or non-specific (all-to-all) thalamocortical connectivity. However, our data do not exclude nonlinear models with specific or non-specific thalamocortical connectivity (Extended Data Fig. 7d). Methods to manipulate thalamic neurons differentially based on their selectivity will be required to distinguish between architectures of nonlinear models.

The ALM drives thalamic preparatory activity
We next investigated whether thalALM activity is influenced by ALM. We recorded from VM/VAL neurons while photoinhibiting the ipsilateral ALM during the delay epoch (Fig. 6a). Photoinhibition of the ALM decreased the activity of VM/VAL neurons (Fig. 6b). VM/VAL neurons selective during sample, delay or response epochs were equally inhibited (Fig. 6b, P > 0.2, t-test). We measured activity for 100 ms, starting 20 ms after photostimulus onset. ALM photoinhibition decreased activity in a majority of VM/VAL neurons (to 25% of control; 190 out of 201 inhibited, 11 out of 201 activated, 139 out of 201 significantly
inhibited, 0 out of 201 significantly activated, \( P < 0.05 \), t-test, Fig. 6c). This reduction in activity was mainly limited to VM/VAL (Fig. 6d and Extended Data Fig. 8a–c) in the vicinity of ALM projections (Extended Data Fig. 1).

A small fraction of neurons in VM/VAL was not changed by ALM photoinhibition. These neurons also showed significantly less selectivity (Fig. 6c, \( P = 0.002 \), t-test). By contrast, VM/VAL neurons with strongly reduced activity after ALM photoinhibition carried trial-type information (Fig. 6c). This suggests that selectivity in VM/VAL requires ALM input.

We next determined whether the reduction in thalamus activity is caused by loss of excitation from ALM pyramidal neurons. We considered three alternatives. First, photoinhibiting the nearby vibriobissal primary motor cortex (VM1), which projects to parts of the VAL, did not cause a reduction in thalamus activity (Extended Data Fig. 8d–g), excluding the ALM → VM1 → thalamus pathway. Second, GABAergic neurons in the ALM do not project to the thalamus (Extended Data Fig. 8h, i), excluding direct photoinhibition of the thalamus.

Third, the basal ganglia nucleus substantia nigra reticulata (SNr) inhibits the thalamus through GABAergic projections \(^{34} \) (Extended Data Fig. 9). The ALM could potentially decrease thalamus activity by increasing the activity of SNr neurons through the striatum or subthalamic nucleus \(^{34} \). However, recordings from SNr neurons during ALM photoinhibition argue against this possibility (Extended Data Fig. 10 and Methods). Together, these experiments imply that the ALM drives the VM/VAL directly.

**Discussion**

Local recurrent connectivity is often invoked as a mechanism for persistent activity \(^ {12,13,15} \). Our results show that persistent preparatory activity cannot be sustained by recurrent excitation within cortical circuits alone \(^ {29} \), but in addition require recurrent excitation through a thalamocortical loop. Inactivation of the thalamus resulted in strong hyperpolarization of ALM neurons. The mechanisms underlying this powerful driving influence of the thalamus on the ALM, compared to the influence of cortical areas, represent an important area for future investigation.

We further identify the frontal cortex (ALM) as a major source of driving excitation to the higher-order thalamus (thalamus) \(^ {36–38} \). The thalamus also receives input from the deep cerebellar nuclei, the superior colliculus and the SNr (Extended Data Fig. 9), and these subcortical structures in turn receive direct or indirect input from the ALM \(^ {27} \). The precise roles of these more complex loops during motor preparation and movement initiation remain to be elucidated \(^ {37,39} \). Thalamus may work as a hub to convey subcortical signals to the ALM.

Besides the VM/VAL, ALM interacts with the posterior, intralaminar and midline thalamic nuclei (Extended Data Fig. 1). These nuclei project axons widely across the cerebral cortex and have been implicated in attention, awareness, arousal, consciousness, memory, voluntary movements and other functions \(^ {40–43} \). Dissecting the distinct roles of these different nuclei will require manipulating specific nuclei using molecular methods. Given the widespread reciprocal connectivity between the frontal cortex and thalamus \(^ {20,21,44} \), persistent activity in cortical areas outside of the ALM in different behavioural contexts probably also depends on thalamocortical loops.

**Online Content** Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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Mice. This study is based on data from 71 mice (age > postnatal day 28), which were used as both male and female subjects (Supplementary Tables 1, 2). We used six transgenic mouse lines: PV-IRESCre, Ai32 (Rosa-CAG-LSL-Chr2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE, JAX 012569)26, VGAT-Chr2-EYFP27, Gad2-IRES-Chr2 (gift from B. Zemelman), Ai3Sd (Rosa-CAG-LSL-Arch-GFP-WPRE, JAX 012735)28, and Olig3-Cre27. All procedures were in accordance with protocols approved by the Janella Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Detailed information on water restriction, surgical procedures and behaviour have been published22-28. All surgical procedures were carried out aseptically under 1–2% isoflurane anaesthesia. Buprenorphine HCl (0.1 mg kg−1, intraperitoneal injection; Bedford Laboratories) was used for postoperative analgesia. Ketoprofen (5 mg kg−1, subcutaneous injection; Fort Dodge Animal Health) was used at the time of surgery and postoperatively to reduce inflammation. After the surgery, mice were placed free access to water for at least three days before the start of water restriction. Mice were housed in a 12:12 reversed light-dark cycle and behaviourally tested during the dark phase. A typical behavioural session lasted 1–2 h and mice obtained all of their water in the behaviour apparatus (approximately 1 ml per day; 0.5 ml was supplemented if mice drank less than 0.5 ml). On other days mice received 1 ml water per day.

Mice were implanted with a titanium headpost28. For ALM photoinhibition, mice were implanted with a clear skull cap1. Optical fibres for photostimulation or cannulae for muscimol infusion were implanted during the headpost surgery or after behavioural training. Cranionomites for recording were made after behavioural training. All coordinates are given with respect to bregma (anterior–posterior (AP), medial–lateral (ML), dorso–ventral (DV)).

Behaviour. A metal pole (diameter, 0.9 mm) was presented in one of two locations1,28 (Fig. 1). The two pole locations were 8.58 mm apart along the anterior–posterior axis. The posterior pole position was 5 mm from the whisker pad. Whisker pad made contacts with the object at both pole locations, more strongly in the posterior location. A two-spout lickport (4.5 mm between spouts) was used to record licking events and deliver water rewards.

At the beginning of each trial, the pole moved within reach of the whiskers (0.2 s travel time) (Fig. 1a) for 1 s, after which it was retracted (0.2 s retraction time). The sample epoch (1.3 s) was the time from onset of pole movement to 0.1 s after the pole started to retract (Fig. 1a). The delay epoch lasted for another 1.2 s after completion of pole retraction (1.3 s or 1.2 s total). An auditory go cue separated the delay and the response epochs (pure tone, 3.4 kHz, 0.1 s). Licking early during the trial (‘lick early’ trials) was punished by an ‘alarm’ sound (siren buzzer, 0.05 s duration), followed by a timeout (1–1.2 s). After the go cue licking the correct lickport produced a water reward (approximately 3 μl) and a timeout (0–5 s). Trials in which mice did not lick within 1.5 s after the go cue (no response trials) were rare and typically occurred at the end of behavioural sessions. These no response and lick early trials were excluded from analyses (Figs 1–6).

Virus and tracer injection. The ALM (AP 2.5 mm, ML 1.5 mm, diameter 1.5 mm) is the cortical area that produced behavioural effects with photoinhibition during the delay epoch2,24. For the thalamic reticular nucleus the coordinates were AP −0.7, ML 1.6, DV 3.7 – 3.3 mm. Interneurons in PV-IRES-Cre mice crossed with Ai32-reporter mice expressing ChR2 (Figs 1, 6 and Extended Data Figs 8, 10). Behavioural and electrophysiological analyses (Figs 1–6) were performed once per injection site.

For muscimol injections near the VM/VAL and control locations (Extended Data Fig. 3; cannula coordinates in Supplementary Table 1). An injection needle was inserted into the guiding cannula, projecting 1.7 mm beyond the cannula tip. Muscimol·HBr (3–100 ng, Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 50 μl cortex buffer (125 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM MgSO4, 2 mM CaCl2, pH adjusted to 7.4) was injected through the volumetric injection system. The control solution was cortex buffer without muscimol.

Control behaviour was paused after mice performed 120–200 trials in a session and muscimol was infused for 4.5 ± 0.7 min (mean ± s.d., n = 50), after which behaviour resumed. As the infusion step requires pausing behaviour, which by itself can increase behavioural variability, identical procedures were also performed without infusion. After the last session of muscimol infusion, fluorescent muscimol biodata (100 ng in 100 nl DMSO) was infused and mice were perfused immediately. Fluorescence and tissue damage caused by the injection needle were used to identify muscimol infusion locations. Each muscimol concentration was tested once per injection site.

For muscimol infusions near the VM/VAL, the ipsilateral bias lasted for the whole session (Extended Data Fig. 3b). After mice were released from head-fixation, ipsilateral circling was scored in the home cage. With the small dose of muscimol tested (1.8–5.9 ng), we did not observe circling (data not shown)29.

Photoinhibition. Supplementary Table 1 provides coordinates and photostimulation powers for each experiment. Photoinhibition was used in 25% (Figs 1a, 3a–f, 6) or 25–50% (Figs 3g, 4) of the behavioural trials. To prevent mice from distinguishing photoinhibition trials from control trials using visual cues, a ‘masking flash’ (forty 1 ms pulses at 10 Hz) was delivered using 470 nm LEDs (Luxeon Star) near the eyes of the mice throughout the trial. Whisking trickers prevents mice from performing this task.

Photostimuli from a 473 nm laser (Laser Quantum) were controlled by an acousto-optical modulator (AOM; Quanta Tech) and a shutter (Vincent Associates). Photoinhibition of the ALM was performed through the clear-skull cap (beam diameter at the skull: 400 μm ± 40 μm). We used parvalbumin–positive interneurons in PV-IRESCre mice crossed with Ai32 reporter mice expressing ChR2 (Figs 1, 6 and Extended Data Figs 8, 10). Behavioural and electrophysiological experiments showed that photoinhibition in the PV-IRESCre × Ai32 mice was indistinguishable from the VGAT-Chr2-EYFP mice (data not shown)30.

To silence the cortex during the delay epoch (Figs 1, 6 and Extended Data Figs 8, 10), we photostimulated for 1.3 s, including the 100 ms ramp, starting at the beginning of the epoch. Photoinhibition silences a cortical area of 1 mm radius (at half-maximum) through all cortical layers. We used 40 Hz photostimulation with a sinusoidal temporal profile (1.5 mW average power) and a 100-μs linear ramp in the laser offset (this reduced rebound neuronal activity)31. The light traversed the thalamus and the intact skull in 50 μs31. See Supplementary Table 1 for the animals, coordinates and power used for each experiment.

To silence the thalamus, the photostimuli were delivered through a 200-μm diameter optical fibre (Thorlabs). We used a continuous photostimulus with a 100-μs linear ramp at the offset (Figs 1d, 3–5). The photostimulus was applied for 1.2–1.3 s, including the 100-μs ramp, starting at the beginning of the delay epoch and terminating at the end of the delay epoch. Photoinhibition reduced activity (0.5–1.1 mm from the tip of the optical fibre) to 15.9 ± 9.3% (mean ± s.e.m., Extended Data Fig. 2d).

On the basis of retrograde labelling (Extended Data Fig. 1c), we silenced at least 16.5% of ALM projecting thalamic neurons. For M1 silencing, we silenced at least 26,599 ALM-projecting neurons within a 1 mm radius from the laser centre. In the contralateral ALM we silenced at least 38,062 neurons projecting to the recorded side of the ALM (Extended Data Fig. 1d). To silence the thalamus for behavioural experiments (Fig. 1) and current injection experiments (Extended Data Fig. 5), we avoided stimulating any uncharacterized
GABAergic projection neurons. We expressed ChR2 selectively in the TRN, by injecting AAV2/10 CAG-flex-Chr2(H134R)-tdTomato into TRN of Gad2-IRECre mice. We implanted an optical fibre over the VM/VL, but other thalamic nuclei projecting to the ALM were also likely to have been affected (Extended Data Fig. 2).

**Extracellular electrophysiology.** Recordings were made from the left hemisphere. Recording locations were deduced from electrode tracks (see ‘Histology’ and Extended Data Fig. 4). For VM/VL recordings, a small cranioectomy (1 mm diameter) was made over the dorsal medial somatosensory cortex (centre, bregma AP = −1.5 mm, ML 1.8 mm). For optrode recording from the VM/VL, we used NeuroNexus silicon optrodes (A4x8-5 mm-100-200-177) or Janelia silicon probes (A2x32-8 mm-25-250-165). The 32- or 64-channel voltage signals were multiplexed, recorded on a PCI6133 board at 312.5 kHz or 400 kHz (National Instrument), and digitized at 14-bits. The signals were demultiplexed into the 32- or 64-voltage traces, sampled at 19,531.25 or 25,000 Hz, respectively, and stored for offline analyses. 3–5 recording sessions were obtained per cranioectomy. Recording depth was inferred from manipulator readings and verified based on histology. The cranioectomy was filled with cortex buffer and the brain was not covered.

The tissue was allowed to settle for at least 10 min before the recording started. For VM/VL recordings, a small cranioectomy was made over the dorsal medial somatosensory cortex (centre, bregma AP = −1.5 mm, ML 1.8 mm). For optrode recording from the VM/VL, we used NeuroNexus silicon optrodes (A4x8-5 mm-100-200-177 with a 105-μm diameter optical fibre placed 200 μm above recording sites on the inner right shank). For SNr recordings, a small cranioectomy was made over the visual area (centre, bregma AP = −3.5 mm, ML 3 mm). Electrodes were driven down about 4.5 mm to reach SNr. RetroBeads injected near the VM/VL labelled SNr extensively in the caudal–rostral and mediolateral directions (Extended Data Fig. 9). Our recording probes (spanning ML 600 μm) sampled a large region of the SNr (medial, lateral, rostral and caudal). The effects of ALM photoinhibition on SNr activity did not vary spatially and the data were pooled.

**In vivo whole-cell recording (also see Supplementary Information).** Whole-cell recordings were made using pulled borosilicate glass (Sutter instrument)35. A small cranioectomy (100–300 μm diameter) was created over the ALM or M1 (bregma AP 0.0 mm, ML 2.0 mm) under isoflurane anaesthesia and covered with cortex buffer during recording. Whole-cell patch pipettes (7–9 mΩ) were filled with internal solution (in mM): 135 K-glucuronate, 4 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 10 Na 2-phosphocreatine, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.4 Na 2-GTP and 0.3% Biocytin (293–303 mOsm, pH 7.3). The Vm was amplified (Multiclamp 700B, Molecular Devices) and sampled at 20 kHz using Wavesurfer (http://wavesurfer.janelia.org/). Vm were not corrected for liquid junction potential. After the recording the cranioectomy was covered with Kwik-Cast (World Precision Instruments). Each animal was used for 2–3 recording sessions. Recordings were made from 350 to 850 μm below the pia. Neuronal responses to thalamic or cortical inactivation were similar across depths and were pooled for analysis.

To obtain mean Vm dynamics of each neuron (Figs 3g, 4 and Extended Data Figs 5, 6), we clipped off action potentials. We found the point in the Vm where the derivative passed 3 s.d. from the baseline (kink). Baseline and s.d. were calculated from 2.5 ms to 1.5 ms before the spike peak. Points from −0.5 to 5 ms around the kink were interpolated. The s.e.m. of the Vm was estimated by bootstrapping. The action-potential threshold was defined as the difference between baseline Vm (0–0.5 s before onset of each behavioural trial) and the spike threshold. Whole-cell recordings with more than 20 behavioural trials were pooled to calculate action-potential thresholds and membrane time constants (n = 60).

The onset of the Vm change after photoinhibition (Fig 3g and Extended Data Fig 6b, e, f) was the time when the Vm changed by more than 3 s.d. from the baseline. The baseline and s.d. were calculated from 20 ms before the photosensor onset until 2 ms after onset of the photostimulation trials. A similar procedure was used to estimate the Vm changes during the trial epochs (Extended Data Fig 6c). The s.e.m. of the onsets was determined by bootstrapping.

**Behavioural data analysis.** Behavioural performance was the fraction of correct trials, excluding lick early and no response trials. We separately computed the performance for contra and ipsi trials relative to the manipulation side (Fig 1 and Extended Data Fig 3). Behavioural effects of photoinhibition were quantified by comparing the performance with photoinhibition with control performance (Fig 1c, d). Significance of the performance change was determined using Student’s t-test. Photoinhibition of the ALM or thalamus caused only small changes in lick early rates, no response rates and licking latency (Supplementary Information).

The protocol to muscimol infusion was computed silencing the fraction of correct trials after infusion (the 100 trials immediately after muscimol infusion) relative to the fraction of correct trials before muscimol infusion (the 100 trials right before muscimol infusion). Performance change in the muscimol condition was compared with that during the control condition. Significance was determined using Student’s t-test (Extended Data Fig. 3). Muscimol infusion did not increase the lick early rates (P < 0.1; paired t-test) and slightly increased the no response rate from 0 to 1% (that is, from no no response trial to one no response trial in a session, P = 0.02).

**Electrophysiology data analysis.** Detailed spike sorting procedures have been described3. Recording depths were estimated from histology (Extended Data Fig. 4). The extracellular recording traces were band-pass filtered (300 Hz–6kHz). Events that exceeded an amplitude threshold (4 s.d. of the background) were subjected to manual spike sorting to extract single units. For the low thalamus inactivation experiments (Fig 5), spikes were sorted using JRClust (program by J. Jayeoon Jun, APIG, Janelia Farm). Spikes were binned by 1 ms and averaged over 200 ms (Figs 2, 3, 5, 6).

In the ALM, 1,214 single units were recorded across 57 behavioural sessions. Spike widths were computed as the trough-to-peak interval in the mean spike waveform. The distribution of spike widths was bimodal (Extended Data Fig. 4); units with widths < 0.4 ms were defined as putative fast-spiking neurons (166 out of 1,214) and units with widths > 0.6 ms as putative pyramidal neurons (1,006 out of 1,214). This classification was previously verified by optogenetic tagging of GABAergic neurons3. Units with intermediate spike widths (0.4–0.6 ms, 42 out of 1,214) were excluded from our analyses. We concentrated our analyses of the ALM on putative pyramidal neurons (Figs 2, 3, 5).

In the thalamus, 790 single units were recorded across 73 behavioural sessions. Unit locations were determined from the locations of the relevant recording sites, which in turn were reconstructed from histology (Extended Data Fig. 4). All units were recorded in a narrow range of AP locations (between bregma = −1 mm and −2 mm). We therefore overlaid units on one coronal section for spatial analysis (bregma = −1.76, Fig. 6d). Neurons in the VM/VL are excitatory. The distribution of spike widths was unimodal with a tail short spike widths; this suggests that some units corresponded to GABAergic axons from the TRN or SNr.46 Units with spike width > 0.5 ms were selected as putative thalamic neurons (672 out of 790) and we concentrated our analyses on these neurons. However, our conclusions (Figs 2, 6) are valid if all the units were pooled. To select units in the VM/VL we applied a stringent spatial criterion; units within 0.4 mm from the VM/VL centre (determined from retrograde labelling experiments, Extended Data Fig. 1) were scored as VM/VL neurons (313 out of 790). This criterion could be relaxed to 1.0 mm from the VM/VL centre without changing our conclusions, as neurons within 1.0 mm from the VM/VL centre showed robust inhibition (to 36% of control activity during the first 100 ms inhibition, also see Extended Data Fig. 8b). Furthermore, randomly jittering neuron locations by 200 μm in the AP, ML and DV directions did not affect our conclusions.

In the SNr, 227 single units were recorded across 23 behavioural sessions. SNr GABAergic neurons have narrower spike widths than dopaminergic neurons in the nearby substantia nigra pars compacta37. Units with spike trough-to-peak width < 0.45 ms were selected as putative GABAergic neurons (spike width at half maximum, 0.143 ± 0.030 ms (mean ± s.d.), 181 out of 227). These units have high spike rates (40.9 ± 21.5 ms (mean ± s.d.), n = 181). For comparison, neurons with longer spike widths have lower spikes rates (23.4 ± 17.0 ms (mean ± s.d.), n = 46). We concentrated our analyses on putative GABAergic neurons. We used bootstrapping to test whether there were more neurons significantly down-modulated than up-modulated. The null hypothesis was that there were equal or more up-modulated neurons. In each round of bootstrapping, we replaced the original neurons with a re-sampled dataset. The number of down-modulated and up-modulated neurons were counted and compared. The P value was the fraction of times the bootstrapping produced a consistent result as the null hypothesis.

Neurons were tested for trial-type selectivity during the sample, delay or response epochs by comparing spike counts during contra and ipsi trials (t-test, P < 0.05, Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 10). Neurons that significantly differentiated between the Vm changes during the trial epochs were deemed as selective (704 out of 1,006 in the ALM, 204 out of 295 in the VM/VL, 152 out of 181 in the SNr). Neurons with selectivity during the sample or delay epochs were classified as having preparatory activity. Neurons with significant selectivity during the response epoch were classified as having peri-movement selectivity. Selective neurons were classified as contra-prefering or ipsi-prefering on the basis of their total spike counts across all three trial epochs32 (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 10). To compute contra-selectivity, we took the firing rate difference between the contra trials and ipsi trials for each neuron. The ipsi-selectivity was computed similarly. Only trials in which mice correctly reported pole locations were included to compute activity. For the peri-stimulus time histograms (PSTHs; Figs 3, 5, 6 except the top panels in Figs 3b, 6b) and Extended Data Fig. 7), correct and incorrect trials were included, as photoinhibition reduced neural activity irrespective of the response outcomes. To analyse the effects of photoinhibition, units with at least 5 (Fig. 3, © 2017 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.
n = 314; Fig. 6, n = 201) or 25 (Fig. 5, n = 160) photoinhibition trials were selected. Bootstrapping was used to estimate s.e.m. (Figs 3, 6 and Extended Data Figs 2, 7, 8, 10). As the effect of photoinhibition began 10–20 ms after photostimulus, we used 20–120 ms after photostimulus onset to measure the amplitude of inactivation (Figs 3, 6 and Extended Data Fig. 10). For Figs 3c, Sb, 6c (top), both contra and ipsi trials were pooled to calculate mean spike rate. For Fig. 5c, neurons with spike rates higher than two spikes per second during both control and photoinhibition conditions were included (n = 73).

The onset of inactivation was defined as the time when the Vs passed 3 s.d. of the control condition. The s.d. was calculated using the control condition during the delay epoch. Changing the duration used to calculate the s.d. did not change the estimate of onset latency. We also detected the onset by comparing the PSTHs during the photoinhibition and control conditions using a Student’s t-test, with consistent results. To estimate the s.e.m. of the inhibition onset, we randomly sampled neurons with replacement and used the bootstrapped dataset to compute the onset of photoinhibition. This procedure was repeated 1,000 times.

Statistics. The sample sizes are similar to sample sizes used in the field (more than 100 units per brain region). No statistical methods were used to determine sample size. We did not exclude any animal for data analysis. Trial types were randomly determined by a computer program. During spike sorting, experimenters cannot tell the trial type, so experimenters were blind to conditions. All comparisons using t-tests are two-sided. For the behavioural test of thalamus inhibition (Fig. 1), the data points are normally distributed (tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test). All bootstrapping was done over 1,000 or 10,000 iterations.

Data availability. Datasets will be shared at https://crcns.org/ in the NWB format38 (https://dx.doi.org/10.6080/K03F4MH2). All other data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 1 | The ALM makes reciprocal connections with multiple cortical and thalamic areas. a, Co-injection of the anterograde tracer (AAV2/1-CAG-GFP) and retrograde tracer (WGA–Alexa555)59. b, Retrograde and anterograde labelling in the contralateral ALM, ipsilateral M1 and ipsilateral somatosensory cortex (S1/S2). Dashed boxes indicate magnified images on the right. Green, anterograde label (GFP); magenta, retrograde label (WGA–Alexa555); blue, Nissl stain. c, Thalamus (as in b). Anterograde labelling in the ipsilateral thalamus (with a weak contralateral projection); retrograde labelling was limited to the ipsilateral thalamus (top left). Confocal image of the thalamus (top right). Four coronal sections of ipsilateral thalamus (bottom left) and corresponding Allen Reference Atlas sections (http://mouse.brain-map.org/static/atlas) (bottom middle). Separate anterograde and retrograde label (bottom right). CM, centromedian nucleus of the thalamus; em, external medullary lamina of the thalamus; fr, fasciculus retroflexus; im, internal medullary lamina of the thalamus; IMD, intermediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus; MD, medial dorsal nucleus of the thalamus; ml, medial lemniscus; mtt, mammillothalamic tract; PO, posterior nucleus of the thalamus; RT, thalamic reticular nucleus, ZI, zona incerta. d, Number of neurons labelled by retrograde injection into the left ALM in cortical and subcortical areas. 38,062 (contra ALM); 26,599 (M1); 17,375 (thalamus); 2,532 (basolateral amygdala(BLA)); 1,312 (pallidum and basal forebrain); 427 (locus coerules (LC)); 377 (dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN)); 263 (ventral tegmental area (VTA)); and 59 (hypothalamus (HY)). For cortical areas we limit the neuron counting to the regions manipulated in the photoinhibition experiments (Fig. 4 and Methods). In subcortical areas we counted all neurons. e, 3D reconstruction. Left, anterograde GFP signal. Right, anterograde GFP signal (green) overlaid with heatmap representing density of retrogradely labelled neurons. f, Additional experiments using anterograde (AAV2/1-CAG-Flag) and retrograde (RetroBeads) tracers (Methods). Left, injection in the ALM. Retrograde labelling (red) is spatially restricted to the centre of the ALM (with some spreading to layer (L)1 and the pia). The three other panels show the thalamus. g, Retrograde tracer injection in ALM only rarely labelled zona incerta neurons (total count, 31 ± 2 per brain); none of these were positive for somatostatin (a marker for cortex projecting GABAergic zona incerta neurons, data not shown)59. This excludes the possibility that zona incerta GABAergic neurons directly inhibit the ALM during optogenetic manipulation of the thalamus.
Extended Data Figure 2 | See next page for caption.
**Extended Data Figure 2 | Optical fibre locations and thalamus photoinhibition.**  
**a,** Left, schematic of thalamus photoinhibition through an optical fibre. Right, optical fibre locations were overlaid on a coronal section of the Allen Reference Atlas \((n = 7 \text{ mice})\).  
**b,** Schematic of thalamus recording during photoinhibition using an optrode.  
**c,** Top, PSTH of putative thalamic neurons recorded by an optrode during control (black) and photoinhibition (blue) conditions in Gad2-IRES-Cre mice. Virus expressing ChR2 in a Cre-dependent manner was injected in the VM/V AL projection zone of TRN. The magnitude of photoinhibition depends on the overlap of light intensity and axonal ChR2 expression. The fibre optic was 1 mm dorsal of the VM/V AL, which probably explains why the photoinhibition was stronger 1 mm from the fibre than closer to the fibre output. Averaging window, 100 ms. Bottom, normalized spike rate (mean spike rate during photoinhibition divided by mean spike rate during control) versus distance from the optical fibre. Error bars indicate s.d. \(n = 26, 41, 17\) cells at a distance of 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 mm, respectively. Laser power at the tip of optical fibre, 10 mW.  
**d,** Top, PSTH of thalamic neurons recorded by an optrode during control (black) and photoinhibition (blue) conditions in VGAT–ChR2–EYFP mice. Averaging window, 100 ms. Bottom, normalized spike rate (mean spike rate during photoinhibition divided by mean spike rate during control) versus distance from optical fibre. Error bars indicate s.d. \(n = 34, 42, 38\) cells; at a distances of 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 mm, respectively. Silencing extended beyond the VM/V AL and included other thalamic nuclei that project to ALM and nearby cortical areas. Silencing using VGAT–ChR2–EYFP (d) was more potent than with Gad2-IRES-Cre mice (c). Laser power at the tip of optical fibre, 10 mW.  
**e,** PSTH of ALM neurons during control (black) and thalamus photoinhibition (blue) conditions. Laser power at the tip of optical fibre 10 mW, \(n = 314\) cells. Averaging window, 100 ms.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Effects of thalamic muscimol infusions on behaviour. a, Muscimol infusion locations (red crosses) near the VM/VAl. Sites from left \((n = 3)\) and right \((n = 3)\) hemispheres were mapped onto the left hemisphere. b, Small amounts of muscimol (1.5–5 ng) infused near the VM/VAl produced ipsilateral bias. Left, performance change in contra trials after muscimol infusion. Right, performance change in ipsi-trials after muscimol infusion. Each line represents an infusion site \((n = 6,\) same mice as in a). *\(P < 0.05,\) paired \(t\)-test. c, Muscimol infusion locations in the anterior part of the thalamus (red crosses). Sites from left \((n = 2)\) and right \((n = 2)\) hemispheres were mapped onto the left hemisphere. d, Muscimol infusions in the anterior part of the thalamus (around 1.1–1.6 mm anterior to the centre of VM/VAl; same mice as in c). Note that much higher muscimol concentrations (10 times of those used near the VM/VAl), did not affect behaviour. e, Muscimol infusion locations in the dorsal part of the thalamus (red crosses). Sites from left \((n = 2)\) and right \((n = 2)\) hemispheres were mapped onto the left hemisphere. f, Muscimol infusions in the dorsal part of the thalamus (around 0.2–0.5 mm dorsal to medial dorsal thalamus, same mice as in e). Note that much higher muscimol concentrations (10 times of those used near the VM/VAl), did not affect behaviour.
Extended Data Figure 4 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Recording sites and neuron types recorded in the ALM, thalamus and SNr. **a**, Example electrode tracks in ALM labelled with DiI. **b**, Single-unit classification in the ALM. Left, putative fast-spiking (FS) interneurons (red, \(n = 166\)) and putative pyramidal neurons (blue, \(n = 1,006\)) were separated on the basis of the histogram of spike widths (Methods). A small subset of neurons with intermediate spike durations were not classified (brown, \(n = 42\)). Right, mean spike waveform of each unit. **c**, Left, average population selectivity in spike rate of ALM neurons. To compute population selectivity, we first determined each neuron’s preferred trial type using spike counts from half of the trials; selectivity was calculated as the spike rate difference between the preferred and non-preferred trial types for the other half of trials. The s.e.m. was estimated by bootstrapping over neurons. Averaging window, 200 ms. Right, population response correlation of ALM neurons. The smoothed response was mean subtracted and normalized to the variance during the entire trial epoch. The Pearson’s correlation at a particular time was calculated between the population response vector at that time point and the population response vector at cue onset. **d**, Example electrode tracks in the VM/VAL. **e**, Single-unit classification of neurons in thalamus. Left, putative thalamic neurons (blue, \(n = 672\)) were selected on the basis of the histogram of spike widths (Methods). Right, mean spike waveform of each unit. **f**, Average population selectivity in spike rate (left) and population correlation (right) of VM/VAL neurons. **g**, Additional electrode tracks in the thalamus (\(n = 10\) mice). Electrode tracks were used to determine whether recorded neurons were in the VM/VAL. **h**, Example electrode tracks in the SNr. **i**, Single-unit classification in SNr. Left, putative GABAergic neurons (red, \(n = 181\)) were selected on the basis of the histogram of spike widths and their high spike rates (Methods). Right, mean spike waveform of each unit. **j**, Spike rate of single units in the SNr. Putative GABAergic neurons have a mean spike rate of 40.9 ± 21.5 (mean ± s.d., \(n = 181\)). The other neurons have a mean spike rate of 23.4 ± 17.0 (mean ± s.d., \(n = 46\)).
Extended Data Figure 5 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Hyperpolarization of ALM neurons during thalamus photoinhibition is caused by loss of excitation. a, b, ALM neuron during thalamus photoinhibition. Top, PSTH during control (a) and photoinhibition (b) trials. Bottom, $V_m$ during each trial type (10 trials each). Red and blue lines, trial averaged $V_m$. c–h, $V_m$ changes in ALM neurons after thalamus photoinhibition (non-behaving animals). In this experiment thalamic photoinhibition was low (Cre-dependent ChR2-AAV injected near the VM/VAL projection zone of the TRN in Gad2-IRES-Cre mice). Photoinhibition is much more potent in VGAT–ChR2 mice, because the vast majority of TRN and SNr neurons are ChR2⁺.

c, Schematic. d, $V_m$ changes after light onset. Average control, black; average photoinhibition, blue; $n=14$ cells. Thin lines, individual neurons. Consistent with data from behaving VGAT–ChR2 mice (Fig. 3g), we observed significant hyperpolarization after light onset. e, Same as d during negative current injection ($n=9$ cells). $V_m$ is near the reversal potential for inhibitory currents, and excitatory currents were amplified. f, Same as d during positive current injection ($n=6$ cells). $V_m$ is near the reversal potential for excitatory currents, and the inhibitory currents are amplified. g, Input resistance was similar during positive and negative current injections ($P=0.05$, rank-sum test). h, Relationship between $V_m$ in non-photoinhibition condition versus $V_m$ changes with photoinhibition ($\Delta V_m$). $V_m$ and $\Delta V_m$ were calculated between 100–120 ms after the onset of light. We plotted data from positive and negative current injections, because the input resistances were similar (see g). Slope of linear regression (dashed line) is larger than zero ($P<0.0001$, bootstrapped), indicating that hyperpolarization is mainly caused by loss of excitation. Black circles, cells with significant change of $V_m$. i–n, The time course of $V_m$ change in ALM neurons during photoactivation of local parvalbumin⁺ (PV⁺) neurons expressing ChR2. This experiment shows that silencing by increased inhibition can be distinguished from loss of excitation with our method. Panels are as in c–h. i, Schematic. j, $n=7$ cells. k, l, Hyperpolarization was reduced during negative current injection ($n=5$ cells, k), and enhanced during positive current injection ($n=5$ cells, l). m, Input resistances during positive and negative current injections were similar ($P=0.662$, rank-sum test). n, The slope of linear regression is smaller than zero ($P<0.0001$, bootstrapped), which indicates that hyperpolarization was mainly due to increased inhibition. Note that the effect of current injection is opposite from that of thalamic inactivation (compare with h).

© 2017 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Onset of \( V_m \) changes after thalamic and cortical photoinhibition. a, Contributions to the time of detected \( V_m \) change in the ALM after photoinhibition of the thalamus. The time between photostimulus onset and silencing in thalamus is \( T_1 = 2.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ms} \) (Fig. 3f). The propagation delay from thalamus to the thalamic terminals in the ALM is \( T_2 = 3.6 \text{ms} \) (see c). An additional \( T_3 = 1.8 \text{ms} \) is required to hyperpolarize the \( V_m \) of ALM neurons, because of the synaptic and membrane time constants. \( T_1 + T_2 + T_3 \) explains the measured latency (7.9 \( \pm \) 1.7 ms). \( T_2 + T_3 \) is defined as the latency difference. b, The time course of \( V_m \) change in ALM neurons after thalamic photoinhibition (same as Fig. 3g). Other panels in this figure (c, e, f) follow the same format. c, The time course of \( V_m \) change in ALM neurons after thalamic photoinactivation in non-behaving naïve Olig3-Cre\({}^{+} \times\) A132 mice (labeling the thalamus specifically, \( n = 9 \) cells). Since we used a high laser power intensity (10 mW), we assume spikes were generated in the thalamus within 1 ms. This time provides an estimate for the conduction delay of thalamocortical neurons (T2).

d, Model-based estimation of the time required to depolarize (black) or hyperpolarize (blue) ALM neurons (T3). Left, schematic. Middle, mean \( V_m \) traces. Right, latency (mean \( \pm \) s.e.m., \( n = 300 \) per condition). Conduction delay was set to zero. Traces or plots with a different colour indicate data with different fractions of activated/inhibited neurons: 10–100% (from lighter to darker). Even when all the input neurons were inhibited, we expect to observe a latency of 1.8 \( \pm \) 0.7 ms (mean \( \pm \) s.e.m.). See Supplementary Information for details.

e, The time course of \( V_m \) change in M1 putative pyramidal neurons after thalamus photoinhibition during the delay epoch in behaving mice (\( n = 9 \) cells). As it takes 2.5 \( \pm \) 0.8 ms to reduce spike rates in thalALM after photostimulation onset, we estimate that it takes 8.5 ms for the thalALM to affect M1 activity. f, The time course of \( V_m \) change in ALM neurons after M1 photoinhibition during the delay epoch in behaving mice (\( n = 11 \) cells). As it takes 8.1 \( \pm \) 1.2 ms to silence the cortex (Fig. 6e), this implies it takes approximately 5.8 ms for changes in M1 activity to affect ALM activity. g, Summary of measured latencies. Time required to inhibit input structures is subtracted to show T2 + T3.
Extended Data Figure 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Effects of low thalamus inhibition on ALM selectivity and models of thalamo-ALM interactions. 

**a**, Average population PSTH (top left and middle) and population selectivity (bottom left and middle) of contra-preferring ALM neurons. Here, contra-preferring neurons are defined as neurons with significantly higher spike rates during the delay epoch of contra trials compared to ipsi trials (t-test, *P* < 0.05). We included neurons with spike rates higher than 2 spikes per s during both control and inactivation conditions. Selectivity was calculated as the spike rate difference between the contra and ipsi trial types. Averaging window, 200 ms. Average population PSTH (top middle) and selectivity (bottom middle) of contra-preferring ALM neurons during low thalamic photoinhibition. Average spike rate changes (top right) and average selectivity changes (bottom right) caused by low thalamic photoinhibition. The s.e.m. was estimated by bootstrapping over neurons. Blue, mean ± s.e.m. (bootstrap) of contra trials; red, mean ± s.e.m. of ipsi trials. **b**, The same plot as in a for ipsi-preferring neurons. **c–e**, We analysed model networks to better understand the possible interactions between the ALM and thalamus. Top, the models consist of two neurons (left- and right-prefering neurons, blue and red, respectively) in both the thalamus and ALM. Thalamus to ALM connections were either non-selective (c, d) or selective (e). Activity of the right (blue) and left (red)-preferring neurons during a lick right trial are plotted (second to fourth rows). Selective sensory input enters the ALM during the sample epoch, and selective activity is maintained during the delay epoch without sustained input (second row from the top). The models were tested in response to non-selective thalamic photoinhibition that was either high (third row) or low (fourth row). During high thalamus photoinhibition, activities of the right and left preferring neurons were reduced to zero in all models (consistent with Fig. 3). During low thalamus photoinhibition, selectivity was reduced to zero without large changes in mean spike rate in both nonlinear models (d, e) (consistent with Fig. 5), but not in a linear model (c). See Supplementary Information for details.
Extended Data Figure 8 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 8 | Modulation of thalamic activity by ALM photoinhibition is localized. a, VM/VAL recordings during ALM photoinhibition. b, PSTH of thalamic neurons averaged during control (black) and photoinhibition (light blue). Neurons were grouped by distance to the centre of VM/VAL. Distance <0.5 mm, n = 250; 0.5 ≤ distance < 1.0 mm, n = 160; distance ≥ 1.0 mm, n = 46. Averaging window, 100 ms. c, Locations of recorded neurons in the thalamus, projected to the example coronal section. Colour code shows the spike rate during ALM photoinhibition normalized to control (the first 100 ms of photoinhibition, see Methods). Same data as in Fig. 6d. d–g, Comparison of the effects of photoinhibition of ALM versus vM1 on VM/VAL activity. Labelling corticothalamic projections from ALM (data from mouse connectivity map of the Allen Brain Atlas ID 263242463, http://connectivity.brain-map.org)\textsuperscript{20} (see also Extended Data Figs 1, 9). e, Labelling corticothalamic projections from vM1 (data from mouse connectivity map of the Allen Brain Atlas ID 168162771)\textsuperscript{20}. f, ALM photoinhibition. PSTH of VM/VAL neurons averaged during control (black) and ALM photoinhibition (blue). The s.e.m. was estimated by bootstrapping over neurons (n = 46 cells from 3 mice.). g, vM1 photoinhibition. PSTH of VM/VAL neurons averaged during control (black) and vM1 photoinhibition (blue) conditions. Photoinhibiting the vM1 produced a lower reduction in VM/VAL activity. The s.e.m. was estimated by bootstrapping over neurons (n = 46 cells from 3 mice). The s.e.m. for photoinhibition conditions are not displayed for clarity. Averaging window, 100 ms. h, i, Absence of long-range GABAergic projections from the ALM in the thalamus. h, GABAergic neurons labelled with GFP in the ALM. Left, AAV2/1-CAG-flex-EGFP was injected into the ALM in a Gad2-IRES-Cre mouse. Middle, confocal images showing GABAergic neurons expressing EGFP. Same neurons as on the left. Right, magnified view of the boxed region in the middle, showing labelled axons of GABAergic neurons. i, Absence of GABAergic axons in the VM. Left, VM and the mammillothalamic tract (mmt). Middle, confocal image of the region on the left. Laser power was 10× higher compared to h. Images were contrast-enhanced to show small structures. Right, magnified view of the indicated region in the middle. No labelled axonal processes were detected in the thalamus.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Thalamic regions that are connected reciprocally with the ALM (thalALM) receive input from multiple brain areas. RetroBeads were injected into the thalALM (AP −1.5, ML 0.85, DV −4.0 mm from bregma, mainly in the VM). Magenta, retrograde labelling; blue, Nissl staining. 

- **a**, Coronal sections. Dashed boxes indicate location of magnified images in b–g. 
- **b**, Labelling in the ALM. Overall labelling was much stronger in the ipsilateral ALM. Labelling in the ALM was observed on both sides in L6, whereas labelling in L5 was seen only in the ipsilateral ALM. L6 neurons are corticothalamic neurons, whereas L5 neurons correspond to pyramidal-tract neurons that send a branch to the thalamus.\(^6^\). In addition to the ALM, labelling was observed in M1, S2 and weakly in other cortical areas (see a). 
- **c**, Labelling in the ipsilateral TRN. 
- **d**, Labelling in the ipsilateral superior colliculus (SC). 
- **e**, Labelling in the ipsilateral SNr, in three coronal sections. Labelling was observed throughout the SNr from the caudal to the rostral end, consistent with a previous report\(^4^\). 
- **f**, Labelling in the ipsilateral pedunculopontine nucleus (PPN). 
- **g**, Labelling in the contralateral deep cerebellar nuclei. DN, dentate nucleus; FN, fastigial nucleus; IP, interposed nucleus.
Extended Data Figure 10 | The effect of ALM photoinhibition on SNr activity. a, Schematic of SNr recording during ALM photoinhibition. Because the SNr→thalamus projection is inhibitory (red arrow), the SNr could contribute to VM/VAL inhibition, if ALM photoinhibition activates the SNr. We used multi-shank silicon probes (spanning 600 μm, medial to lateral) to survey a large part of the SNr (medial, lateral, rostral and caudal). b, SNr population selectivity. Selectivity is the difference in spike rate between the preferred and non-preferred trial type, normalized to the peak selectivity. Only putative GABAergic neurons with significant trial selectivity are shown (n = 152 out of 181, t-test, P < 0.05). The scale bar on the right indicates selectivity type: neurons showing preparatory activity only (white); both preparatory activity and peri-movement activity (grey); peri-movement activity only (black). Averaging window, 200 ms. SNr selectivity is similar to the ALM and VM/VAL (Fig. 2). c, Scatter plot of SNr GABAergic neurons (n = 181; spikes measured for 100 ms, starting 20 ms after photostimulus onset; Methods). Filled circles, neurons that were significantly modulated by ALM photoinhibition (P < 0.05, t-test). Photoinhibition of ALM changed only a relatively small fraction of SNr neurons (48 out of 181 significantly inhibited; 23 out of 181 significantly activated; P < 0.05, t-test). Moreover, neurons that decreased their activity were more numerous than neurons that increased their activity (bootstrapping over neurons; P < 0.01, Methods). Overall, inhibiting the ALM reduced SNr activity by 3.6 spikes per s (8.3% of control activity measured for 100 ms, starting 20 ms after photostimulus onset). This reduction in neural activity in the SNr is expected to increase thalALM activity. d, The time course of SNr GABAergic neurons during ALM photoinhibition. Left, significantly inhibited neurons (n = 48). Right, significantly excited neurons (n = 23). The s.e.m. was estimated by bootstrapping over neurons. Top, averaging window, 100 ms. Bottom, bin size, 1 ms. SNr neurons were affected by ALM photoinhibition with a relatively long latency difference (15.2 ± 4.6 ms (mean ± s.e.m.), P < 0.05, t-test), longer than for reducing thalALM activity (10.9 ± 2.9 ms; Fig. 6e). These data indicate that the ALM to SNr pathway does not contribute to the early phase of VM/VAL inhibition after ALM photoinhibition.