Supradetachment basins in necking domains of rifted margins: Insights from the Norwegian Sea
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Abstract
Supradetachment basins at passive rifted margins are a key witness of major-continental extension, and they may preserve a record from which the amount and rates of extension and metamorphic core complex exhumation may be reconstructed. These basins have mainly been recognised in back-arc and orogenic collapse settings, with few examples from rifted margins. Using 2D and 3D seismic reflection, wellbore, and gravity anomaly data, we here characterise the three-dimensional structural and tectonosedimentary evolution of a spoon-shaped supradetachment basin that was formed in the necking domain of a rifted margin, at the southern limit of the Møre and Vøring segments of the Norwegian rifted margin. The basin, with an areal extent of ca. 2400 km², and a landward-rotated syn-tectonic succession up to ca. 30 km thick (true stratigraphic thickness), is separated from footwall continental margin core complex basement culminations by major large-offset (>30 km) normal fault complexes characterised by a cross-sectional geometry whereby an upper, steeper part of the fault gives way to a low-angle detachment fault at depth. These fault complexes are associated with a tectonic thinning of the continental crust to ca. 11 km, compared with a crustal thickness of ca. 27 km in the proximal domain. The basin is filled by a succession of pre-, syn- and post-tectonic deposits, that accumulated over time as the basin evolved over a series of rift- and detachment faulting events. The 30 km thick syn-tectonic succession reflects deposition during two separate rifting events, which are disconnected by deposits reflecting a relative short period of tectonic quiescence. The results are discussed in light of examples of supradetachment basins on other rifted margins globally, as well as in the context of the evolution of the Norwegian margin overall.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Supradetachment basins are basins formed in the hanging wall of low-angle (<30°), high-displacement (>5 km) normal faults, which also exhume rocks from below the brittle-ductile transition in their footwalls due to major continental extension (Figure 1; Friedmann & Burbank, 1995; Lister et al., 1986; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Platt et al., 2014; Whitney et al., 2013). The footwall of these high-displacement faults, also referred to as the lower plate (Wernicke, 1981), typically shows a domal geometry (metamorphic core complex), whereas their hanging wall, also called the upper plate (Wernicke, 1981), is composed of rocks from the upper continental crust, low-grade metamorphic rocks and syn-tectonic supradetachment basins (Davis, 1980). The study of syn-tectonic deposits in supradetachment basins is essential to determine the amount of extension and rates of footwall exhumation associated with major-continental extension (Asti et al., 2018). Supradetachment basins typically present four characteristics: (i) thick syn-tectonic deposits up to tens of kilometres thick, with strong landward stratal rotation (up to 50°) above a low-angle, high-displacement normal fault (Friedmann & Burbank, 1995; Jongepier et al., 1996), (ii) four sources of sediments: footwall-derived, hanging wall derived, axially derived, and transfer zones derived (Gawthorpe et al., 1994), all of which are active during and following the rifting episode (Osmundsen et al., 1998; Ribes et al., 2019), (iii) two types of sedimentary contacts, i.e., tectonic and stratigraphic (Figure 1; Ribes et al., 2019; Vetti & Fossen, 2012; Whitney et al., 2013), and (iv) a high palaeo-geothermal gradient (150 and 180 mW/m²) of the basal syn-tectonic units during and after deposition (Whitney et al., 2013). Few studies, however, provide insights into the three-dimensional geometry and evolution of such basins (notable exceptions include Osmundsen et al., 1998; Vetti & Fossen, 2012).

The three-dimensional geometry of supradetachment basins is controlled by (i) the detachment fault geometry, (ii) exhumation of middle to lower crustal rocks in the footwall or (iii) extension and processes that develop the distal domains of rifted margins (Fillmore et al., 1994; Friedmann & Burbank, 1995; Lymer et al., 2019; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Wiest et al., 2019). Figure 1 outlines the geometry of four idealised end-members of supradetachment basin types and their location in a rifted margin. Fillmore et al. (1994) propose the term “breakaway-type basin” (Figure 1b) for supradetachment basins generated during the evolution of metamorphic core complexes with a major axis perpendicular to the extension direction (also called “b-type” dome sensu Jolivet et al., 2004). Breakaway-type basins have been recognised in areas of orogenic collapse, back-arc settings and necking domains of rifted margins (Figure 1a,b,d; e.g., Chéry, 2001; Dermircioğlu et al., 2010; Fillmore et al., 1994; Forshee & Yin, 1995; Friedmann & Burbank, 1995; Jongepier et al., 1996; Kapp et al., 2008; McClaughry & Gaylord, 2005; Miller & Pavlis, 2005; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Seranne & Seguret, 1987; Woodruff et al., 2013). Seranne and Seguret (1987) suggested the term “spoon-shaped basin” (Figure 1c) for supradetachment basins located between two metamorphic core complexes with major axes parallel to the extension direction (also termed “a-type” domes sensu Jolivet et al., 2004). Vetti and Fossen (2012) introduce the term “ramp basin” (Figure 1c) for bowl-shaped supradetachment basins caused by a flat-ramp-flat geometry of the detachment faults. Spoon-shaped and ramp basins have been associated with areas of orogenic collapse and back-arc structural settings (Osmundsen et al., 1998; Seranne & Seguret, 1987; Vetti & Fossen, 2012). Tugend et al. (2014) use the term “hyperextended sag basin” to describe a supradetachment basin, with sub-parallel to parallel syn-tectonic strata in the distal domain of hyper-extended rifted margins (Figure 1a,f; Lymer et al., 2019; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013). Only Jongepier et al. (1996) and Osmundsen and Péron-Pinvidic (2018), however, describe a “breakaway” supradetachment basin in a necking domain, the Sørøebotn Subbasin, Norway.

In this paper, we elucidate, for the first time, the three-dimensional geometry of a spoon-shaped supradetachment basin developed in the necking domain of a rifted margin (Figure 1a,e), which is the domain where the continental crust is thinned from ca. 30 to 10 km. We integrate interpretation of 3D and 2D reflection seismic and well data, with the interpretation of gravity data (Olesen, Ebbing, et al., 2010) and the Moho (Maystrenko et al., 2018) from the southeast limit of the Møre and Voring segments of the Norwegian rifted margin (Figure 2), specifically in the area where the Klak Fault Complex (Klakk FC) and Møre-Trøndelag Fault Complex (MTFC) intersect. We describe the geometry, structure, and evolution...
**FIGURE 1** Idealised model of supradetachment basins in different tectonic settings. (a) Cross-section of a rifted margin (modified from Masini et al., 2012; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018). (b) Breakaway basin model by Fillmore et al. (1994) developed in a continental crust >35 km thick. Map view adapted from Asti et al. (2018). (c) Spoon-shaped basin model by Serenne and Seguret (1987) and ramp basin by Vetti and Fossen (2012) developed in a continental crust >35 km thick. Map view adapted from Vetti and Fossen (2012) and Osmundsen and Andersen (2001). (d) Breakaway basin model in necking domains of rifted margins adapted from Jongepier et al. (1996). Map view adapted from Osmundsen and Péron-Pinvidic (2018). (e) Spoon-shaped supradetachment basin in necking domains of rifted margins (This work). (f) Sag-type basins by Tugend et al. (2014). Map view adapted from Péron-Pinvidic et al. (2013).
FIGURE 2  Location of study area. (a) General map of the study area. No, Norway. (b) Map of the Norwegian passive margin; adapted from Osmundsen et al. (2016). (c) Zoom study area, limits between Møre and Voring basin. Structural elements updated from Blystad et al. (1995), and gravity anomaly values adapted from Olesen, Gellein, et al. (2010). (d) Cross-section in the study area, adapted from Osmundsen et al. (2016). Location of cross-section in Figure 2b
of the basin, and discuss the factors that controlled its development. Our findings provide insights that are applicable to supradetachment basins in similar settings globally and have implications for understanding the evolution of rifted margins.

2 | GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The supradetachment basin studied in this paper is located in the intersection between the Klakk FC, MTFC and Jan Mayen Lineament, along the southern limit of the Møre and Vøring segments of the Norwegian rifted margin (Figures 2 and 3). Following the Caledonian orogeny in Silurian to Devonian times (Corfu et al., 2014; Gee et al., 2013), the study area has undergone a long-lived, complex history of protracted extension, from post-orogenic collapse in Devonian times (Andersen & Jamtveit, 1990; Fossen, 2010), through episodic rifting throughout the Palaeozoic and Mesozoic (Faleide et al., 2008; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Tsikalas et al., 2012), culminating in the opening of the NE Atlantic in late Palaeocene-early Eocene times (Figures 2 and 3; Faleide et al., 2008; Gernigon et al., 2009, 2020; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Péron-Pinvidic & Osmundsen, 2018; Tsikalas et al., 2012; Zastrozhnov et al., 2018). Inheritance structures such as shear zones, faults and lithology controlled the evolution of the margin during the first two rift deformation phases, i.e., the “stretching” and “thinning” phases (sensu Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013), in the Norwegian margin (e.g., Mjelde et al., 2009, 2013; Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020; Osmundsen et al., 2005, 2021; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2020; Zastrozhnov et al., 2018). In this paper, we use the terms of stretching, thinning, hyperextension-exhumation phases defined by Péron-Pinvidic et al. (2013). Stretching phase corresponds to the first-rift phase where the deformation focusses on a thick continental crust (>30 km). Thinning phase is the second-rift phase, where the deformation thins the continental crust from 30 to 10 km thick. Hyperextension-exhumation phase corresponds to the third-rift phase where deformation occurs on a continental crust >10 km thick.

2.1 | Structural configuration of the study area

The Klakk FC and MTFC form the necking domain in this part of the Norwegian rifted margin (Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013). The down-to-the-west Klakk FC is ca. 270 km long and has a N–S strike and zig-zag plan-view geometry (Figure 2c). The Klakk FC separates the Frøya High-Sklinna Ridge (in its footwall) from the Rås Basin (in its hanging wall; Blystad et al., 1995; Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020). This fault system features high-displacement (>5 km) faults, with listric to planar cross-sectional geometries (Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2013). The down-to-the-northwest MTFC strikes NE–SW is ca. 750 km long and is composed of several fault segments in a zone ca. 80 km wide (Figure 2b,d; Gabrielsen et al., 1999; Nasuti et al., 2012). The MTFC separates the Gossa High (in its footwall) from the Rås basin (in its hanging wall). The MTFC began with sinistral transtensional movements during the Devonian (Braathen et al., 2000), and followed by reactivation with normal to dextral transtensional movements during the Triassic, Jurassic and Late Cretaceous-Cenozoic (Mørk & Johnsen, 2005; Mørk & Stiberg, 2003; Redfield et al., 2005; Sommaruga & Bøe, 2002). The high displacement of the segments that compose the Klakk FC and MTFC produced continental margin core complexes on the Gossa High and the north-western part of the central structural salient on the Froya High (Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018).

The Jan Mayen Lineament limits the study area to the north within the Rås Basin (Figure 2c). The Jan Mayen Lineament embodies the SE-ward extension of the NW-SE-trending Jan Mayen Fracture Zone (Figure 2b; Ebbing & Olesen, 2011; Gernigon et al., 2009; Maystrenko et al., 2018; Zastrozhnov et al., 2018). Gernigon et al. (2009) identified that the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone has acted as a long-lived magmatic corridor for melts in the lithosphere. Mjelde et al. (2016) associate the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone and Jan Mayen Lineament to an inherited crustal tear fault, which separated different dip domains of the subducted Baltica plate and may be responsible for the different structural configurations between the Møre and Vøring basins.

2.2 | Stratigraphy of the study area

We extrapolate the stratigraphy below the Upper Cretaceous from wells and published data from the Slørebøt Subbasin (Jongepier et al., 1996), Froya High, Froan Basin (Müller et al., 2005), Halten Terrace (Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al., 2014; Ravnäs et al., 2014) to the southeast Rås Basin, due to the lack of well penetration in the study area (Figure 3). Baltic granite and gneiss compose the basement on the Frøya High and Gossa High (Figures 2 and 3; Slagstad et al., 2011; boreholes 6306/10-1, 6306/6-1 and 6306/6-2, Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000). Several authors have interpreted Pre-Triassic rocks based on seismic reflections data at the Halten Terrace, Froan Basin, Rås Basin and Frøya High
Figure 3  Stratigraphic chart of the study area. Lithostratigraphic chart modified from Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al. (2014) using the information of wells referenced. Tectonic events based on Perón-Pinvidic et al. (2013). Sequence and Mega-sequences interpreted (see text)
(e.g., Blystard et al., 1995; Müller et al., 2005; Osmundsen et al., 2016; Trice et al., 2019; Wilson et al., 2013). These authors argue that Devonian sediments record the Caledonian orogenic collapse phase, whereas Permian to Middle Triassic deposits are associated with the first rift phase or stretching phase (sensu Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013) in the Norwegian Margin (Blystard et al., 1995; Faleide et al., 2008; Müller et al., 2005; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Tsikalas et al., 2012). Subsequently, the area underwent a tectonically quiescent period during Late Triassic times leading to the deposition of thick beds of evaporites and paralic deposit as reported in wells 6306/6-1, 6407/10-3 and 6407/10-4 on the Frøya High, 6407/9-1 and 6307/1-1S within the Froan Basin, and 6407/7-1S and 6406/11-1S within the southern Halten terrace (Müller et al., 2005; Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000).

Jurassic deposits have been reported on the Halten Terrace, the Froya basin, the Froya High and the Slørebotn Subbasin (Figure 2) as part of the second rift phase (thinning phase; sensu Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; borehole 6306/6-1; Dalland et al., 1988; Jongepier et al., 1996; Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000; Ravnás et al., 2014). Paralic-to-shallow-marine sandstones and mudstone-rich formations were deposited during Lower to Middle Jurassic on the Halten Terrace during a mild rifting (Ravnás et al., 2014; Figure 3). The climax of the second rift phase, that is, the “thinning” phase (sensu Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013), occurred during the Late Jurassic and is recorded by syn-tectonic fan delta sandstones, shallow-marine sand bodies and deep-water gravity-flow deposits on the southern Halten Terrace, Slørebotn Subbasin and to the west of the Frøya High (Figures 2 and 3; Jongepier et al., 1996; Provan, 1992; Wilson et al., 2015). The second rift phase ended with deposition of the Spekk Formation during the Oxfordian-Berriasian, which capped the majority of the Froya High (borehole report 6306/6-1 in Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000; Dalland et al., 1988). The Base Cretaceous Unconformity (BCU) represents a prominent regional seismic reflection on the Norwegian margin (Osmundsen et al., 2016; Zastrozhnov et al., 2018). In the Froya High and Gossa High, the BCU separates basement, or, locally, Jurassic deposits, from overlying Cretaceous deposits. Within the Rás Basin and on the Halten Terrace, the BCU is a conformable surface represented by the top of the Spekk Formation (Figure 3; Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al., 2014; Dalland et al., 1988; Osmundsen et al., 2016).

Lowermost Cretaceous sediments have been reported in the Slørebotn Subbasin in conformable contact with Upper Jurassic deposits, whereas the Lower Cretaceous sediments are missing on the basement highs (Jongepier et al., 1996; Zastrozhnov et al., 2018; boreholes 6205/12-1, 6205/12-2, 6205/3-1R, 6306/10-1, 6306/6-1 and 6306/6-2 in Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000). These lowermost Cretaceous deposits represent syntectonic “growth” strata accumulated during the third rift phase (Hyperextension, sensu Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013). Lower Cretaceous to Cenozoic marine sediments cover the Norwegian rifted margin in this area representing the post-kinematic phase (Dalland et al., 1988).

3 | DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The data used in this study comprise 17 exploration wells, 60 2D reflection seismic profiles (3511 km in total length) and five 3D reflection seismic volumes (3806 km² total coverage; Figure 2). We integrate these data with the gravity anomaly map of Olesen, Ebbing, et al. (2010) and the geophysical Moho interpretation by Maystrenko et al. (2018). We obtained well-tops, well-paths, velocity data and well reports from the Norwegian National Data Repository for Petroleum data (DISKOS). Further information about subsurface datasets and interpretation, domain conversion, velocity model, and structural restorations, can be found in Supporting Information A to D.

We used the major phases of basin evolution to identify three seismic megasequences (Hubbard, 1988). These megasequences are composed of seismic sequences, which are defined based on (i) the characteristics of their base and top boundaries; (ii) their overall extent and cross-sectional shape; and (iii) the lateral and stratigraphical variations in reflector characteristics and the component seismic facies. These seismic sequences are defined by seismic facies that form our basis for the interpretation of their depositional origin (sensu Sangree & Widmier, 1979).

4 | PRESENT STRUCTURAL CONFIGURATION OF THE SUPRADETAChMENT BASIN

A supradetachment basin sits in the hanging wall of two high-displacement (>20 km) listric normal fault complexes, the Klakk FC and the MTFC, which form the bounding faults of the supradetachment basin (Figures 4–7). The depth converted sections show that these fault complexes feature “listric” geometries, whereby and upper, steeper part gives way to a progressively low-angle “detachment” fault at depth (Figures 5–7). These detachment faults are associated with a thinning of the continental crust to ca. 11 km (necking domain), compared with a thickness of ca. 27 km in the proximal domain (Figure 5). The supradetachment basin forms an embayment to the Rás Basin and shows a trough-like geometry in three dimensions. The
FIGURE 4  Structural configuration of the supradetachment basin at the top of the acoustic basement in the study area. (a) Structural map at the top acoustic basement, (b) gravity anomaly map digitalised from Olesen, Ebbing, et al. (2010), (c) Basin geometry, (d) fault polygons map at top acoustic basement, (e) heave plot at top acoustic basement
basin extends ca. 52.5 km in the dip-parallel direction, with a variable width ranging from ca. 30 km in the southeast to ca. 49 km towards the northwest; the basin is filled with syn-tectonic sediments and is up to ca. 2.5 s TWT thick (ca. 6 km true vertical depth; Figure 4). The stratigraphic succession within the basin displays a slope-front geometry with localised fan geometries towards the main bounding faults (Figures 5–7). The stratigraphic succession shows a post-depositional landward rotation from ca. 40° west to ca. 30° east (Figure 5), which produced a cumulated stratigraphic thickness of up to 30 km (Figure 6b).

Although Cretaceous and Cenozoic thermal subsidence tilted the area towards the northwest (Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al., 2014), the restoration of the section in Figure 5b suggest that the current basin geometry is similar to the geometry during rifting.

4.1 | Bounding faults of the supradetachment basin

The Klakk FC shows two NW–SE-striking faults segments connected via a N–S-striking fault generating a zigzag geometry in plan-view (Figure 4). The southern segment is ca. 14 km long, and its heave decreases northward from ca. 30 to 18 km (Figure 4 and Table 1). The central segment is
ca. 10 km long and has a heave of ca. 17 km, whereas, the northern segment is ca. 23 km long, and its heave decrease northward from ca. 17 to 6 km (Figures 4–7; and Table 1). All segments show a listric geometry in cross-section. The fault segments decrease in dip with depth from ca. 54° ± 9°, to 12° ± 4° where they merge onto an intra-basement

**FIGURE 6** Depth converted dip cross-sections showing the variation along strike of the supradetachment basin. Location Figure 4
band of high-amplitude reflections at 13.8 to 14.7 km depth (Figures 5–7, and Table 1).

Three major faults segments compose the MTFC in this area, creating a zig-zag plan-view geometry (Figure 4). Heaves increase northwards from ca. 3 to 33 km (Figures 6 and 7 and Table 1). The southern fault segment shows a NE-SW-striking with a down-to-the-northwest low-angle planar geometry that delineates the northwestern part of the Gossa High, and features heaves up to ca. 12 km (Figures 4 and 6c and figure 8b in Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018). The central fault segment displays a WNW–ESE-striking and a listric geometry in plan-view with heaves of ca. 12 to 30 km (Figure 4). The northern fault segment shows a WNW–ESE-striking with a

![Figure 7](image-url) Depth converted strike cross-sections showing the variation along dip of the supradetachment basin. Location Figure 4
down-to-the-northwest listric geometry in cross-section, where the upper part dips ca. 31°, shallowing to ca. 16° at ca. 13 km depth (Figures 4, 6 and 7 and Table 1). Figures 6b and 7 show the interaction of the Klakk FC and MTFC in cross-section. In seismic profile 6b, the Klakk FC has an upper part dipping westward at ca. 51°, shallowing to ca. 7° at ca. 14 km when it joins the strand of the MTFC, whereas the MTFC has an upper part dipping ca. 14°, shallowing to 4° at ca. 15.3 km depth. This section shows the highest displacement in the study area of ca. 33 km (Table 1).

A band of high-amplitude intra-basement seismic reflections underlies the supradetachment basin (Figures 4–7). This band is the seaward continuation of proposed Palaeozoic shear zones identified into the Frøya and Gossa Highs (Figures 5 and 7; Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018). The Klakk FC and the MTFC merge onto this intra-basement band where the fault segments dip less than ca. 14° (Figures 5 and 7). In cross-section, the intra-basement band is ca. 16 to 18 km long and ca. 0.7 to 1.3 km thick at the Klakk FC, whereas is ca. 32 km long and 1.2 km thick at the MTFC (Figures 5–7). Muñoz-Barrera et al. (2020) identify a good match between the geometry of the shear zone and the gravity anomaly map on the Frøya High. In the location of the supradetachment basin studied here, the gravity anomaly map shows a semi-elliptic geometry with values of 10–30 mGal between the Frøya and Gossa Highs (Figure 4b). This semi-elliptic geometry matches very well with the geometry and location of the supradetachment basin.

### 4.2 | Intra-basinal faults

Down-to-the-W/SW intra-basinal normal faults striking N-S and NNE-SSW dissect the supradetachment basin towards the northwest (Figures 4–7). These intra-basinal faults dissect the basinal deposits as well as the acoustic basement and show planar to listric geometries in cross-section that dip ca. 47° ± 13°, and with heaves in the range of 0.1–2 km (Figures 4–7 and Table 2). The cumulative heave of these intra-basinal faults decreases northward (Figure 4e). Some of these intra-basinal faults merge with the Jan Mayen Lineament towards the northeast, which forms an imbricate fan geometry in plan-view (Figure 4).

### 5 | SEISMIC STRATIGRAPHY OF THE SUPRADETACHMENT BASIN

We divided the sedimentary fill into three seismic megasequences within the supradetachment basin. Seismic megasequence 1 has only one sequence in the study area; seismic sequences 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 form seismic megasequence 2; whereas seismic sequences 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 comprise seismic megasequence 3 (Figures 3 and 5). For seismic megasequence 3, however, we describe here only the immediately overlying succession (sequence 3.1), since a detailed description of this overall seismic megasequence succession falls beyond the scope of this study. We recognise eight seismic facies: sheet, wedge, trough, “U” channel, channel fill, fan, mound and basin fill, which we named based on their external form (Figure 8; sensu Sangree & Widmier, 1979). The lack of borehole control below 4.5 km at the Rås basin does not allow us to define the exact depositional elements and corresponding depositional system. In addition, the age of each seismic sequence and seismic megasequences described is unknown.

#### 5.1 | Seismic megasequence 1

Seismic megasequence 1 is composed by one seismic sequence (SS-1.1) that is bounded below by reflections in concordant contact with the acoustic basement (Figures 9a and 10b), and above by truncations or reflections in concordant contact with sequence 2.1 (Figures 9a,b, 10a, and 11). Seismic sequence 1.1 shows a tectonic contact with the Klakk FC and the subsidiaries faults associated with the Jan Mayen Lineament (Figures
The intra-basinal faults do not show evidence of thickness changes into the footwalls and hanging walls. This seismic sequence displays a sheet-like external form and is east dipping (16°–30°) with an average true stratigraphic thickness of ca. 0.6 km and a maximum thickness up to ca. 1.3 km. Seismic sequence 1.1 is dominated by sheet seismic facies, which is composed by high-amplitude, continuous reflections (Figures 8, 9a, b, and 10a, b).

### 5.2 Seismic megasequence 2

Megasequence 2 represents the syn-tectonic deposits within the supradetachment basin. We define three seismic sequences based on the presence of high-amplitude, continuous reflections, thickness changes into footwall and hanging wall, and seismic reflection terminations.

#### 5.2.1 Seismic sequence 2.1 (SS-2.1)

The base of seismic sequence 2.1 is marked by downlapping seismic reflection terminations, particularly in the immediate hanging wall of the Klakk FC, or reflections in concordant contact with SS-1. Whereas the top of seismic sequence 2.1 is marked by a continuous high-amplitude trough seismic reflection (Figures 9 and 10). Seismic sequence 2.1 shows a tectonic contact with the Klakk FC, MTFC and intra-basinal faults, and has a wedge-shaped geometry that thickens towards these faults (Figures 9, 10 and 12). This seismic sequence typically has true stratigraphic thickness of up to 6 km, but locally up to ca. 12 km where the Klakk FC join the MTFC (Figures 5 through 7). Seismic sequence 2.1 shows a post-depositional eastward dip up to 30° (Figures 5, 7, 9, and 10). It is composed by wedges with clinoform and mound seismic facies in the immediate hanging wall of the Klakk FC and MTFC, and fan seismic facies in the immediate footwall of the intra-basinal faults (Figures 8–12a). The interpretation of downlaps and toplaps within the wedge allow us to divide it into five packages comprising sigmoidal internal reflections which we interpret as a package of clinoforms overlain by

| Section | Fault | Geometry     | Dip Degrees | Displacement (m) | Heave (m) | Throw (m) |
|---------|-------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| A-A'    | F1a   | Planar@Basement | 62          | 155              | 88        | 145       |
| A-A'    | F1b   | Planar@Basement | 66          | 555              | 240       | 522       |
| A-A'    | F1c   | Planar@Basement | 54          | 492              | 302       | 415       |
| A-A'    | F2    | Planar@Basement | 37          | 4892             | 3913      | 2966      |
| A-A'    | F2    | planar@BCU    | 37          | 1984             | 1581      | 1191      |
| B-B'    | F2    | Planar@Basement | 53          | 2329             | 2152      | 960       |
| B-B'    | F3    | Planar@Basement | 66          | 3214             | 2927      | 1378      |
| B-B'    | F4    | Planar@Basement | 44          | 6115             | 5389      | 2942      |
| B-B'    | F2    | Planar@BCU    | 53          | 2115             | 1874      | 1099      |
| C-C     | F1a   | Planar@Basement | 27.8        | 2923             | 1863      | 2232      |
| C-C     | F1b   | Planar@BCU (a) | 27.8        | 912              | 505       | 715       |
| C-C     | F1c   | Planar@BCU (b) | 27.8        | 870              | 522       | 803       |
| C-C     | F1d   | Planar@Basement | 30          | 2199             | 1932      | 1081      |
| C-C     | F1c   | Planar@BCU     | 30          | 1327             | 1184      | 575       |
| D-D’    | F1a   | Planar@Basement | 48.4        | 1416             | 903       | 1089      |
| D-D’    | F1a   | Planar@BCU     | 48.4        | 449              | 315       | 364       |
| D-D’    | F1b   | Planar@Basement | 48.5        | 1329             | 879       | 1028      |
| D-D’    | F1b   | Planar@BCU     | 48.5        | 448              | 331       | 282       |
| D-D’    | F1c   | Planar@Basement | 48.6        | 1063             | 680       | 846       |
| D-D’    | F1d   | Planar@Basement | 43          | 714              | 497       | 514       |

**TABLE 2** Characterisation of the intra-basinal faults in the cross-section in the dip direction. Location Figure 2
TABLE 1. Seismic Facies and Their Characteristics.

| Seismic Facies | Contact | Reflections characteristics | Reflections | Interpretation |
|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Sheets         | Base: Concordant  
Top: Concordant or truncation | Internal configuration: Parallel  
Continuity: Continuous  
Amplitude: High  
Frequency: Moderate | ![Image](image1.png) | Shallow marine clastic deposits in a shelf depositional system |
| Wedge          | Base: Downlap  
Top: Onlap | Internal configuration: Sigmoid-to-the-west  
(when we flat the base 16° anticlockwise)  
Continuity: Moderate  
Amplitude: High to moderate  
Frequency: Broad to moderate | ![Image](image2.png) | Clinoforms generated by prograding sediments in a shelf environment (sensu Patruno & Helland-Hansen 2018) |
| Fan            | Base: Downlap  
Top: Onlap | Internal configuration: Parallel  
Continuity: Continuous  
Amplitude: Moderate  
Frequency: High | ![Image](image3.png) | Fan complex deposited in the basin slope and basin floor |
| Basin fill     | Base: Downlap  
Top: Concordant | Internal configuration: Parallel  
Continuity: Continuous  
Amplitude: Moderate  
Frequency: Moderate | ![Image](image4.png) | Onlapping filling in a basin floor depositional system. This facies was deposited in a relatively low-velocity turbidity current environment. |
| Mound          | Base: Downlap or concordant  
Top: Onlap or toplap | Internal configuration: Chaotic  
Continuity: Discontinuous  
Amplitude: Moderate  
Frequency: Moderate | ![Image](image5.png) | Elongate mounds deposited by relative high-velocity turbidity currents in deep-water environments. |

FIGURE 8  Seismic facies identified within the study area. Seismic facies description and interpretation based on Sangree and Widmier (1979).
FIGURE 9 Pre-rift, syn-rift and post-rift deposits identified within the supradetachment basin. (a) Zoom of Figure 5 in the immediate footwall of the Klakk FC. (b) Zoom of parallel continuous reflections within seismic sequence 1.1. (c) Zoom of seismic sequence 2.1 showing some toplaps and onlaps terminations. (d) Onlap seismic terminations of seismic sequence 2.2 on the Klakk FC. (e) Zoom of downlap seismic terminations of seismic sequences 2.3. (f) Zoom of onlap seismic terminations of seismic sequences 2.3 on the Klakk FC.
Pre-, Syn- and post-rift deposits identified within the supradetachment basin. (a) Zoom of Figure 7a in the immediate footwall of the Klakk FC. (b) Zoom of sequence 2.1 showing downlap and toplap seismic terminations of sequence 2.2 on the Klakk FC. (c) Zoom of downlap seismic terminations of sequence 2.3. (e) Zoom of downlap seismic terminations on the Klakk FC.
a single fan package (Figures 10 and 11). These clinoforms have thickness of ca. 0.308 s TWT (847 m) to ca. 0.342 s TWT (940 m), and their foreset angles vary between ca. 25° and 35°. Consequently, we used the topset of the upper clinoform interpreted in Figure 10 as a pin to rotate the seismic profile (Figure 11). The wedge with sigmoidal internal configuration required a rotation of ca. 14° seawards in order to restore the upper clinoforms topset to horizontal (Figure 11).

5.2.2 | Seismic sequence 2.2 (SS-2.2)

Seismic sequence 2.2 is bounded below by downlapping seismic terminations on the top of SS-2.1, above by a continuous high-amplitude trough reflection and shows a tectonic contact with the Klakk FC, MTFC and subsidiary faults (Figures 5–7, 9, and 10). This seismic sequence shows a wedge external geometry thickening towards the Klakk FC and MTFC (Figures 5, 7, 9, 10, and 12). The true stratigraphic thickness of SS-2.2 decreases towards the north (ca. 10 km; Figures 5–7) and has a maximum true stratigraphic thickness of ca. 23 km towards the MTFC (Figure 6c) and a maximum true vertical thickness of ca. 3 km towards the location of cross-section A-A’ (Figures 5 and 12c). Seismic sequence 2.2 is composed mainly of fan seismic facies in the immediate footwall of the Klakk FC, with sporadic presence of mound, sheet and channel seismic facies towards the west (Figures 8–10). The top of this seismic sequence forms a smooth surface dipping 8° west that covers the entire spoon-shaped basin and is dissected towards the west by intra-basinal faults in plan-view (Figure 11a). Seismic sequence 2.2 displays a post-depositional landward rotation immediately above the Klakk FC from ca. 22° to the west to ca. 26° to the east (Figures 5–7). The structural restoration shows a reduction of ca. 7° in dip angles because of thermal subsidence and compaction (Figure 5). The seismic resolution varies between the different seismic vintages, where we could separate seismic sequence 2.1 from 2.2 only in the majority of seismic MNR. Consequently, we created
an isopach map between the basement and the top of the Spekk Formation, which allow defining the total accommodation within the supradetachment basin (seismic sequence 2.1 and 2.2 combined). This map shows an average true vertical thickness (*sensu* Groshong Jr, 2006) of ca. 4 km with a maximum true vertical thickness (*sensu* Groshong Jr, 2006) of ca. 6 km towards the northern part of the basin (Figures 5 and 12c).

**FIGURE 12** Structural maps and isochore maps of Megaseismic sequence 2 (a) Structural map at the top of seismic sequence 2.2 (BCU), (b) Structural map at the top of seismic sequence 2.3 (near top Valenginian), (c) Isochore map between basement (Figure 4a) and top of seismic sequence 2.2 (Figure 12a), (d) Isochore map between top seismic sequence 2.2 (Figure 12a) and top of seismic sequence 2.3 (Figure 12b)
5.2.3 | Seismic sequence 2.3 (SS-2.3)

The base of seismic sequence 2.3 is limited by the downlap onto SS-2.2, at the top by the first reflection that covers the entire supradetachment basin and is in tectonic contact with the faults sets one and two (Figures 5–7 and 9–11). This seismic sequence shows a sheet drape external form with fan external forms towards the Klakk FC and MTFC (Figures 5–9). The true stratigraphic thickness increases towards the north, changing from ca. 3 km around the Gossa High to ca. 7 km towards Frøya High (Figures 5–7 and 9–12d). The true vertical thickness is up to ca. 3 km, where the maximum vertical thickness is located in the immediate hanging walls of the intra-basinal faults (Figure 12d). Seismic sequence 2.2 is composed of (i) fan seismic facies located towards the Klakk FC, MTFC and intra-basinal faults; (ii) sheet seismic facies towards the footwall of the intra-basinal faults; and (iii) channel seismic facies on the eroded fault scarp (Figures 8–10).

5.3 | Seismic megasequence 3

Megasequence 3 represents the post-rift deposits within the supradetachment basin. These deposits draped the syn-rift deposits and do not exhibit any fault displacement. Three seismic sequences comprise Megasequence three (Figures 3 and 5). Seismic sequences 3.2 and 3.3 have borehole control, whereas 3.1 does not.

5.3.1 | Seismic sequence 3.1 (SS-3.1)

Seismic sequence 3.1 covers the tips of the intra-basinal faults and is not offset by any fault (Figures 5–7). This seismic sequence is limited at its base by downlapping and concordant seismic reflection terminations over the top of SS-2.3, whereas the top of the seismic sequence is marked by a high-amplitude continuous peak (black; Figures 5–7). In addition, it shows onlapping reflection terminations onto the Klakk FC and MTFC scarps. Seismic sequence 3.1 has an overall tabular geometry that thins towards the Klakk FC and MTFC, with a maximum vertical thickness of ca. 4 km towards the west. Consequently, this seismic sequence shows onlap seismic terminations on the fault scarps and the top of seismic sequence 2.3 (Figures 5–7, 9, and 10). Sheet seismic facies dominates this sequence and shows high-frequency, semi-continuous reflections that dip gently towards the west. Fan seismic facies are located in the vicinity of the Klakk FC fault scarp, while mound seismic facies can be observed up to 20 km from the Klakk FC (Figures 8 and 9ef). Seismic image quality decreases towards the west, where reflections tend to have a wavy to hummocky internal configuration.

6 | DISCUSSION

6.1 | Regional evolution of the Møre–Vøring rifted margin segments

We postulate a model for the evolution of the supradetachment basin based on integrated seismic stratigraphy and structural observations (Figure 13). No wells have been drilled in this area; therefore, any specific age estimates discussed are highly tentative, and based on extrapolations of ages reported in the wells within the Slørebotn Subbasin, the southern Halten Terrace and the Frøya High (Figure 2), and supported with information from other published studies (i.e., Elliott et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2020; Jongepier et al., 1996; Ravnås et al., 2014).

6.1.1 | Pre-thinning

Seismic megasequence 1 represents the lowest level of stratigraphy within the basin and is characterised by the absence of stratigraphic evidence (across-fault stratigraphic thickness contrast) for syn-kinematic “growth” (Figures 7 and 13a). We therefore interpret that Megasequence 1 represents the pre-thinning stage. Pre-thinning deposits in this context may correspond to pre-rift deposits (Upper Devonian-Middle Permian) or quiescent periods between major rift phases (Middle Triassic-Lower Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous-Late Cretaceous) based on the models for the evolution of the Norwegian Margin (Blystard et al., 1995; Faleide et al., 2008; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Peron-Pinvidic & Osmundsen, 2018; Tsikalas et al., 2012). Seismic megasequence 1 overlies the acoustic basement and displays parallel reflections dipping up to 37° towards the east (Figure 6); Upper Triassic deposits within the Slørebotn Subbasin to the southeast show sheet-like seismic facies dipping up to 50° (figure 2 in Jongepier et al., 1996; figure 8b in Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; and figure 1.2 in Bukta, 2018). Müller et al. (2005) demonstrate a lack of tectonic activity in the region during the Carnian (evaporites deposits) to Rhetian (Grey Beds) in the Norwegian margin during this time. Well penetrations on the Frøya High, within the Froan Basin and on the Halten Terrace (e.g., wells 6306/6-1, 6305/12-1, 6307/1-1S and well 6406/11-1S), confirm the presence of Lower to Middle Triassic deposits in the footwall of the Klakk FC. Based on all of the above, we therefore speculate an age of Middle Triassic to Early Jurassic for seismic megasequence 1 (Figures S1–S3).
6.1.2 | Early-rift architecture and deposits

At the base of seismic megasequence 2, seismic sequence 2.1 shows fault-ward expanding wedge-shaped geometries overlying the seismic megasequence 1 in the immediate hanging walls of the Klakk FC and the intrabasinal faults (Figures 5–7, 11 and 13b). Figures 10 and 11 show a series of bundles of sigmoidal reflections, which we interpret as a total of six seismic-stratigraphic packages: five distinct clinoforms packages and one fan-shaped package. The clinoform packages are ca. 2–4 km wide in dip-section from the eastward part of the topset, to the westward part of the bottom set, with foresets between ca. 25° and 35° and ca. 900 m high (Figure 11). These large foresets heights may indicate substantial water-depth in the hanging wall of the Klakk FC at this
time, and they are of similar dimensions to Gilbert-type deltas in the Corinth rift; with a radius of up to 4 km, these have foresets up to 800 m high in the Kerinitis delta (Gawthorpe et al., 1994; Rohais et al., 2007) and the Vouraikos delta (Ford et al., 2013). Clinoform package one shows a continuous basinward downlapping, and basinward movements of the topset break point trajectory that we interpret as a phase of clinoform progradation (Figure 11). The overlying package two marks a landward shift in the topset break point indicating a retrogradation of the clinoforms due to an increased in accommodation space. Packages three and four display a return to basinward-stepping downlaps onto the underlying packages one and two, and, in the western part of the basin fill, onto Megasequence 1, marking a second phase of progradation during forced regression. Package five shows landward-stepping onlap onto packages 3 and 4, reflecting a stage of clinoform retrogradation due to an increase in accommodation space or reduced sediment supply. Package 6 does not show a similar sigmoidal geometry to packages 1–5. Instead, package 6 shows a trapezoidal fan geometry downlapping onto packages two and five and onlapping the Klakk FC. We interpret the change to a fan morphology in package six to reflect a substantial increase in accommodation with respect to sediment supply, associated with an increase in fault displacement and initiation of starved-fill conditions (sensu Chiarella et al., 2020; Pechlivanidou et al., 2018). We interpret that the clinoforms and overlying fan deposits are syn-tectonic strata associated with onset activity of the Klakk FC based on: (i) the wedge-like overall from form of seismic sequence 2.1, thickening towards the Klakk FC and (ii) the proposed overall increase in accommodation in the hanging wall of the Klakk FC.

Syn-rift deposits of Mid-Late Jurassic age have been recognised in the Norwegian margin (e.g., Blystad et al., 1995). At the Sørebotn Subbasin, Jongepier et al. (1996) propose that early-rifting started in the Bathonian based on the occurrence of subaerial to subaqueous alluvial fans and evidence of resedimentation, syn-sedimentary deformation and rapid facies changes around structures. At the Halten Terrace, two hypotheses have been proposed for the onset of Jurassic rifting, early-middle Callovian (Elliot et al., 2015) and late Callovian-early Oxfordian (Jones et al., 2020). Elliot et al. (2015) propose that early rifting started in the northern part of the Froya High, along the Vingleia FC, based on changes in thickness and facies of coastal plain to shallow marine sediments around the Vingleia Fault. Jones et al. (2020) propose that early-rift started later during the late Callovian-early Oxfordian based on biostratigraphy from the Fenja Oil Field. In addition, Jones et al. (2020) note that the earliest rift deposits in the hanging wall in the Vingleia FC were likely sources from the Sklinna Ridge rather than the Frøya High. Ultimately this forms a broader age range for activity of faults bounding the Frøya High, with early rift stratigraphy deposited from the Bathonian in the south at the Sørebotn Subbasin, to early Oxfordian in the north around the Vingleia FC. Based on all of the above, we therefore speculate that the onset of rifting recorded in Megasequence 2 within the supradetachment basin occurred in Bathonian to early Oxfordian times, which corresponds to the rift-initiation (sensu Prosser, 1993) of the thinning deformation phase.

6.1.3 Late-rift architecture of a major fault complex

Seismic sequence 2.2 records a thick, wedge-shaped sedimentary package up to ca. 23 km true stratigraphic thickness, which is in tectonic contact with the Klakk FC and MTFC, and with post-depositional landward rotation of up to 40° (Figures 5–7, 9 and 13c). The thickness of the sedimentary package, the landward post-depositional rotation, and the depositional and tectonic contacts of seismic sequence 2.2 lead us to interpret them as deposits related to supradetachment basin formation, i.e., deposited during the main stage of detachment faulting, similar to other spoon-shaped supradetachment basins in Norway, such as the Hornelen, Kvamsheten and Solund basins (see section 6.2; Osmundsen et al., 1998; Seranne & Seguret, 1987; Vetti & Fossen, 2012). We therefore interpret seismic sequence 2.2 as recording the continuation of rift activity with accumulation of substantial displacements along the Klakk FC and MTFC, which by this stage had developed into low-angle detachment-style faults.

A major rift event has been reported within the Sørebotn and southern Halten Terrace during the Callovian to Middle Tithonian times (Elliot et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2020; Jongepier et al., 1996). Wells in these areas show alluvial fans to slumps, turbidites and fine-grained pelagic deposits (Jones et al., 2020; Jongepier et al., 1996). In addition, figure 8 in Jongepier et al. (1996) and figure 15 in Jones et al. (2020) show that these deposits have a post-depositional landward rotation up 50°. Jongepier et al. (1996) reported a transition from continent- to marine-dominate conditions from Callovian to early Kimmeridgian in the Sørebotn, and a major fault block rotation during the early to middle Volgian. Jones et al. (2020) recognise a “peak-rift” during the middle-late Oxfordian based biostratigraphical data in shale beds that separate coarse-grained deposits in the Fenja Oil Field. Elliot et al. (2015) recognise an onset activity of the Vingleia FC during the Oxfordian that led to the fault scarp erosion with possible deposition of fan deltas, confirmed through later investigation in Jones et al. (2020), which highlight
the exhumation and uplift of the Frøya High during the Oxfordian to Kimmeridgian. Several authors have shown that the second rifting phase (thinning sensu Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013) on the Norwegian margin caused the generation of high-displacement faults such as the Klakk FC, Ytreholmen Fault Zone and the outer strand of the MTFC (Blystad et al., 1995; Faleide et al., 2008; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013; Tsikalas et al., 2012). The thinning phase is characterised by strain localisation and thinning of the continental crust from ca. 30 to 10 km (Naliboff et al., 2017; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013). We interpret therefore that seismic sequence 2.2 represents this deformation stage, where the Klakk FC and the outer strand of the MTFC generated the necking domain of the Norwegian rifted margin and caused the spoon-shaped supradetachment basin. This deformation may represent the rift climax (sensu Prosser, 1993) of the thinning deformation phase.

6.1.4 | Inter-rift period

The upper boundary of seismic sequence 2.2 is represented by a continuous high-amplitude trough reflection within the basin and a tectonic contact located on the fault scarps of the Klakk FC, the outer strand of the MTFC and the intra-basinal faults (Figures 4–6 and 13d). A similar seismic character has been identified in the Halten Terrace, where it corresponds to the top of the Spekk Formation in different wells, for example, wells 6406/12-1S and 6406/12-3B (Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al., 2014; Elliott et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2020; Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000; Wilson et al., 2015). This criterion has been used by some authors to interpret the BCU in the Rås Basin (e.g., Gernigon et al., 2020; Osmundsen et al., 2016). Therefore, we use the same criteria to identify the BCU within the study area.

The Spekk Formation is proposed to have covered the Gossa High and almost covered the whole Frøya High constrained by based on well data and seismic interpretation (Chiarella et al., 2020; Jongenpier et al., 1996). On the Frøya High, the biostratigraphy, geochemistry and lithological data of wells 6306/6-1 and 6407/10-3 show that the Spekk Formation corresponds to Kimmeridgian to Berriasian “hot shales” deposited in an outer shelf environment (Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000). To the southwest, in the Sølrebotn Subbasin, similar Upper Volgian to Berriasian shales were deposited as passive sediment infill (Jongenpier et al., 1996). The presence “hot shales” of Spekk Formation capping the Frøya and Gossa Highs and the Halten Terrace lead us to interpret this time as a quiescent tectonic period.

6.1.5 | Rift migration

We interpret a gradual migration of strain accommodation towards the west based on the characterisation of the seismic sequence 2.3. This seismic sequence shows (i) the wedge-shaped geometry filling the half-graben basins generated by intra-basinal faults that offset the BCU in the western part of the supradetachment basin (Figures 5 through 7 and 13e), and (ii) the fan-shaped geometry in the immediate hanging wall of the Klakk FC and the MTFC, where fault activity was less severe to absent (Figures 5–7 and 13e). Even though rift migration during earliest Cretaceous times has been proposed in the Norwegian rifted margin (Dóre et al., 1999; Osmundsen et al., 2016; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013), documentation is scarce. However, migration of the deformation between three rift phases (Late Permian-Early Triassic, Late Jurassic and earliest Cretaceous) is well documented in the Viking Graben (ca. 280 km to the southwest of the study area; Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al., 2014; Bell, Jackson, Whipp, et al., 2014). Well 6205-3/1R, in the Sølrebotn Subbasin, records the only continuous sedimentation around the study area. In this well, Jongenpier et al. (1996) report conglomerates and sandstones above the Spekk Formation during the Barremian to Hauterivian, which are overlapped by Aptian Shales. On the Frøya High, biostratigraphy in wells 6306/6-1 and 6407/10-3 report an unconformity between Spekk and Lyr formations that correspond to the Valanginian to early Hauterivian times. We suggest that seismic sequence 2.3 may record the strain migration within the supradetachment basin, and may correlate with the coarse-grained deposits of the Sølrebotn Subbasin and the Valanginian unconformity on the Frøya High. Some authors have suggested two rift phases in the North Atlantic rifted margin during this period: late Jurassic and earliest Cretaceous (Blystad et al., 1995; Dóre et al., 1999; Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013). Peron-Pinvidic et al. (2013) and Naliboff et al. (2017) propose that the earliest Cretaceous represent the hyperextention deformation phase, which is characterised by brittle deformation that causes low-displacement planar to listric normal faults on the thinned continental crust.

6.1.6 | Post-tectonic

We interpret seismic sequence 3.1 as post-tectonic deposits because of the observed landward steepening onlap, the ultimate burial of the Klakk FC and MTFC, and absence of growth strata in the hanging wall of the Klakk FC and MTFC (See Section 5.3.1). Overlying the proposed Spekk Formation and early Cretaceous stratigraphy, we propose
that SS-3.1 may represent pre-Turonian succession equivalent to the pelagic sediments covering the Slørebotn Subbasin, Halten Terrace and Frøya High (Blystad et al., 1995 and Biostratigraphy data wells 6305/6-1 and 6305/6-2, Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Factpages, 2000). The passive infill of the substantial accommodation in the hanging wall of the Klakk FC and MTFC is concomitant with thermal subsidence during Cretaceous and Cenozoic times. These thermal subsidence causes a tilting of the basin geometry towards the NW, change in thickness in the post-tectonic deposits (Figure 6; Bell, Jackson, Elliott, et al., 2014), which induced a “steer's head” basin geometry (sensu White & Mckenzie, 1988).

### 6.2 Comparison with other spoon-shaped supradetachment basins

We compare the observations of the studied basin with the main characteristics of other supradetachment basins developed in three structural configurations (Figure 1): (i) breakaway-type and spoon-like supradetachment basins within a thick continental crust (>30 km; Figure 1b,c) such as North American Cordillera (e.g., Davis, 1980), Aegean region (e.g., Jolivet et al., 2004), and Western Gneiss Region at Norway (Osmundsen et al., 1998); (ii) the breakaway-type supradetachment basin in the necking domain (Figure 1d) of the Norwegian Margin (Jongepier et al., 1996); and (iii) sag-type supradetachment basins developed in a thin continental crust (<10 km; Figure 1f) such as the Bay of Biscay and western Pyrenean (Tugend et al., 2014) and Galicia Margin (Reston et al., 2007).

The studied supradetachment basin shows a spoon-like geometry and is located between two metamorphic core complexes (Figures 1c and 4) similar to those onshore Norway for example, Hornelen, Kvamshesten and Solund supradetachment basins (Osmundsen et al., 1998; Seranne & Seguret, 1987), and in the North American Cordillera for example, the Mormon Point and Copper basins (Knott et al., 1999). The studied basin is ca. 52 km long, its width varies from ca. 30 to 50 km wide and has a maximum vertical thickness of 6 km (Section 4). These measurements are similar to the longest supradetachment basin in Norway, the Hornelen basin, which is up to 65 km long, its width varies from ca. 12 to 23 km and has a maximum vertical thickness of 10 km (Osmundsen et al., 1998; Steel et al., 1977). High-displacement, listric to low-angle normal faults exhum metamorphic core complexes (Asti et al., 2019; Cooper et al., 2010; Fillmore et al., 1994; Friedmann & Burbank, 1995; Platt et al., 2014). In the study area, these high-displacement normal faults are represented by the Klakk FC and outer strand of the MTFC, whereas the metamorphic core complexes are the Gossa High and central structural salient of the Frøya High (Figures 4–7 and Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020). However, contrary to other examples of high-displacement normal faults bounding spoon-shaped supradetachment basins, the Klakk FC and outer strand of the MTFC thinned the continental crust from ca. 26 to 11 km forming in the necking domain of the rifted margin (Figures 4–7 and Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020). Osmundsen and Péron-Pinvidic (2018) and Muñoz-Barrera et al. (2020) identify a shear zone into the Gossa High and central structural salient of the Frøya High metamorphic core complexes, which we correlate with the intra-basement band of high-amplitude below the supradetachment basin (Figures 4–7). The role of the detachment or shear zones has been studied in continental core complexes (Platt et al., 2014) and exhumed subdomains of rifted margins (Lymer et al., 2019; Reston et al., 2007). Several authors propose that shear zones cause lubrication by hydrous minerals, high pore-fluid pressure, and reorientation of stress fields (Axen, 2004; Deng et al., 2017; Fossen & Cavalcante, 2017; Muñoz-Barrera et al., 2020; Osagiede et al., 2020; Wells, 2001). We interpret that shear zones below the studied basin facilitated the extension and high-displacement of the Klakk FC and outer strand of the MTFC creating the spoon-shaped supradetachment basin.

The supradetachment basin shows syn-rift post-depositional landward rotation up to ca. 37° (Figure 5) and a substantial true stratigraphic thickness of up to 30 km (Figure 6b), which is similar to that observed in other supradetachment basins for example, Hornelen, Kvamshesten and Solund, Norway (Osmundsen et al., 1998), Quantab Subbasin, Oman (Sebø Serck et al., 2020), Slørebotn Subbasin, offshore Norway (Jongepier et al., 1998) and Galicia Margin, offshore Spain (Lymer et al., 2019). The landwards rotation of syn-tectonic strata in breakaway-type supradetachment basins reach up to 45°, for example, Slørebotn Subbasin, offshore Norway (Jongepier et al., 1996), in spoon-like supradetachment basin up to 36°, for example, Hornelen and Solund supradetachment basins, onshore Norway (Folkestad & Steel, 2001; Osmundsen et al., 1998); and in sag-type supradetachment basin up to 30° to 34°, for example, Galicia Margin, offshore Spain (Lymer et al., 2019). The restoration of section A-A’ in the studied basin shows post-depositional landward rotation of the syn-tectonic deposits from horizontal at the top of seismic sequence 2.2 to ca. 37° landward at the base (Figure 5). The true stratigraphic thickness is similar also. At the Hornelen basin, only the basal part is exposed, and it shows a minimum true stratigraphic thickness up to ca. 25 km (Osmundsen et al., 1998), which correlate with the thickness observed in the studied basin. This study may complement the Ribes et al. (2019) model of syn-tectonic
deposits on rifted margins, by showcasing the geometries of the succession associated with the thinning deformation phase within necking domains, which was not documented by Ribes et al. (2019).

7 | CONCLUSIONS

Based on seismic reflection, wellbore and gravity data, and using the Norwegian rifted margin as our case in point, we have, for the first time, characterised the three-dimensional structure and tectonosedimentary evolution of a spoon-shaped supradetachment basin located in the necking domain of a rifted margin. The basin evolved during a series of rift- and detachment-faulting events that eventually led to the formation of the Norwegian rifted margin. The following specific conclusions are drawn:

- This supradetachment basin has a spoon-shaped geometry with a WNW-ESE long-axis orientation, and being is ca. 53 km long and variable in width from ca. 30 km in the southeast to ca. 49 km in the northwest. Syn-tectonic deposits show landward post-depositional rotation.

- The studied basin is bounded by two high-displacement (up to 30 km), listric normal faults, the Klakk FC and the outer strand of the MTFC. These fault complexes are responsible for an observed tectonic thinning of the continental crust to ca. 11 km beneath the supradetachment basin, compared with a crustal thickness of ca. 27 km in the proximal domain. Consequently, these faults formed the necking domain of the Norwegian rifted margin in this area.

- The studied spoon-shaped supradetachment basin is located between two continental margin core complexes that sit in their footwall of the bounding faults, namely the Frøya High and Gossa Highs. These metamorphic core complexes show high positive gravity anomalies with oval geometries that may represent rocks of the middle to lower continental crust (Muñoz et al., 2020; Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018; Slagstad et al., 2011).

- The low-angle detachment parts of the bounding Klakk FC and MTFC show a relationship with pre-existing shear zones (The Sløreboth detachment continuing westward off the Gossa High, see Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018) and the SF4 shear zone (Continuing westward of the Frøya High described by Muñoz et al., 2020), whereby they merge onto these shear zones at ca. 12 km depth.

- The Jan Mayen lineament does not connect to the Klakk FC or MTFC. Instead, it dies out to the northwest part of the supradetachment basin forming intra-basinal normal faults.

- This part of the Norwegian margin shows a “steer-head basin geometry, which is filled by three seismic megasequences: a pre-tectonic (seismic megasequence 1), a syn-tectonic (seismic megasequence 2) and a post-tectonic (seismic megasequence 3). Our structural restoration shows that the entire basin is tilted towards the northwest due to post-rift thermal subsidence.

- The syn-tectonic succession within the supradetachment basin (Megasequence 2) is up to 30 km thick (true stratigraphic thickness), recording deposition during two rift episodes (inferred late Jurassic and earliest Cretaceous, respectively, based on known regional events) separated by a short quiescent tectonic period. The early rift episode includes the seismic sequence 2.1 and 2.2, while seismic sequence 2.3 represents the later rift episode.

- The initiation stage of the early rift episode succession preserves Gilbert delta deposits with large foreset highs (seismic sequence 2.1), which we interpret as reflecting onset of faulting likely during Bathonian to early Oxfordian times. Subsequently, during the rift climax, the area is overlaid by a thick (up to 23 km) sedimentary wedge (seismic sequence 2.2), which we interpret to record a stage of strain localisation and maximum fault activity presumably during the Oxfordian to Kimmeridgian times.

- The syn-tectonic succession of the early (late Jurassic) rift episode is capped by a high amplitude continuous reflections, which we interpret to reflect fine-grained pelagic deposits (shales) deposited during a quiescent tectonic period surely during Kimmeridgian to Berriasian times.

- The supradetachment basin recorded the basin-ward migration of rifting during the later rift episode (likely Valanginian-early Hauterivian), which is supported by: (i) Intra-basinal faults dissected the intra-rift pelagics deposits, creating wedge-shaped basins in the western part of the supradetachment basin, and (ii) the fault activity recorded along the Klakk FC and MTFC were less expressed during this time compared with the early rift episode.

- The supradetachment basin (studied here), and the breakaway-type Sløreboth Subbasin supradetachment basin (Osmundsen & Péron-Pinvidic, 2018) are located in a necking domain of a rifted margin with a thick continental crust (>26 km). Similar basins are likely to exist in the necking domain of other margins; the integration of deep seismic reflection data with gravity anomaly data may allow the identification and characterisation of such similar supradetachment basins at rifted margins globally.
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