Activity-dependent modulation of inhibitory synaptic kinetics in the cochlear nucleus
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Spherical bushy cells (SBCs) in the anteroventral cochlear nucleus respond to acoustic stimulation with discharges that precisely encode the phase of low-frequency sound. The accuracy of spiking is crucial for sound localization and speech perception. Compared to the auditory nerve input, temporal precision of SBC spiking is improved through the engagement of acoustically evoked inhibition. Recently, the inhibition was shown to be less precise than previously understood. It shifts from predominantly glycinergic to synergistic GABA/glycine transmission in an activity-dependent manner. Concurrently, the inhibition attains a tonic character through temporal summation. The present study provides a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms underlying this slow inhibitory input. We performed whole-cell voltage clamp recordings on SBCs from juvenile Mongolian gerbils and recorded evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) at physiological rates. The data reveal activity-dependent IPSC kinetics, i.e., the decay is slowed with increased input rates or recruitment. Lowering the release probability yielded faster decay kinetics of the single- and short train-IPSCs at 100 Hz, suggesting that transmitter quantity plays an important role in controlling the decay. Slow transmitter clearance from the synaptic cleft caused prolonged receptor binding and, in the case of glycine, spillover to nearby synapses. The GABAergic component prolonged the decay by contributing to the asynchronous vesicle release depending on the input rate. Hence, the different factors controlling the amount of transmitters in the synapse jointly slow the inhibition during physiologically relevant activity. Taken together, the slow time course is predominantly determined by the receptor kinetics and transmitter clearance during short stimuli, whereas long duration or high frequency stimulation additionally engage asynchronous release to prolong IPSCs.
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INTRODUCTION

Synaptic inhibition is mainly mediated by glycine and GABAₐ receptors (GlyR and GABAₐR, respectively) which tightly regulate neuronal and network activities. While the GlyR-generated inhibitory postsynaptic current (IPSC) is generally endowed with fast decay kinetics (Takahashi et al., 1992; Awatramani et al., 2004), GABA provides slow and in some cases tonic inhibition (Farrant and Nusser, 2005; Capogna and Pearce, 2011; Tang et al., 2011). Notably, there are presynaptic terminals in the sensory systems (Wentzel et al., 1993; Protti et al., 1997; Apostolides and Trussell, 2014), cerebellum (Dumoulin et al., 2001; Rousseau et al., 2012; Husson et al., 2014) and in the spinal cord (Jonas et al., 1998; O’Brien and Berger, 1999; Keller et al., 2001; Seddik et al., 2007) that release both transmitters beyond the early postnatal development. This allows for an additional variability, through activity-dependent use of transmitters (Nerlich et al., 2014), differential distribution of respective receptors at the same cell (Chéry and de Koninck, 1999), at different cells (Dugué et al., 2005; Kuo et al., 2009), or shaping the IPSC decay through action of both glycine and GABA on GlyR (Lu et al., 2008).

The postsynaptic responses in neurons expressing both GlyR and GABAₐR usually represent a mixture of respective fast and slow synaptic currents (Russier et al., 2002; Awatramani et al., 2005; González-Forero and Alvarez, 2005; Coleman et al., 2011; Apostolides and Trussell, 2013). The relative contribution of both physiologically important components can change according to the activity pattern (Fischi et al., 2014). Such activity-dependent inhibitory control, mediated by dual glycine-GABA signaling, has been recently shown for the spherical bushy cells (SBCs) in the central auditory system (Nerlich et al., 2014). SBCs receive acoustically evoked excitatory input from auditory nerve fibers through large calyceal terminals, the endbulbs of Held (Ryugo and Sento, 1991; Isaacsen and Walmsley, 1996; Nicol and Walmsley, 2002), and non-primary inhibition from neurons within the cochlear nucleus (Wickesberg and Oertel,
The amplitude of IPSCs is dominated by GlyRs, while the initially small GABAergic component successively enhances the inhibitory strength and shapes its duration at physiologically relevant rates (Nerlich et al., 2014). Unlike other central auditory synapses that utilize phasic inhibition even at high rates (Awatramani et al., 2004; Kramer et al., 2014), IPSCs in SBCs summate due to slow kinetics (Xie and Manis, 2013, 2014; Nerlich et al., 2014), thereby providing a functionally tonic inhibition, similar to inhibition acting on the granule cells in the dorsal cochlear nucleus (DCN) and nucleus magnocellularis neurons in the cochlear nucleus of the chick (Lu and Trussell, 2000; Monsivais et al., 2000; Balakrishnan et al., 2009). To date, the synaptic mechanisms that determine the slow kinetics of the mixed glycine-GABA transmission in SBCs remained elusive.

We examined the mechanisms underlying the slow activity-dependent IPSC kinetics in SBCs by performing whole-cell recordings in acute slice preparations of juvenile Mongolian gerbils in combination with synaptic stimulation of inhibitory inputs. Our results demonstrate that the low capacity of glycine and GABA uptake allows transmitter rebinding, particularly at input rates above 100 Hz. The activity-driven transmitter spillover possibly engaged distant GlyR but not GABA_A receptors. Synaptic activity largely desynchronized the release of glycine and GABA, which had a significant contribution to the slow IPSC profile.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental procedures were approved by the Saxonian district Government Leipzig (T 84/12, T 67/13) and conducted according to the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC).

SLICE PREPARATION

Coronal slices (180 µm) containing the rostral anteroventral cochlear nucleus (AHC) were cut from P22-P30 gerbils of either sex. The brainstem was sliced with a vibratome in low-calcium artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) solution containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 0.1 CaCl₂, 3 MgCl₂, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 NaHCO₃, 25 glucose, 2 sodium pyruvate, 3 myo-inositol, 0.5 ascorbic acid, continuously bubbled with 5% CO₂ and 95% O₂, pH 7.4. Slices were incubated in the standard recording solution (ACSF same as for slicing, except CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ were changed to 2 mM and 1 mM, respectively) for 30 min at 37°C and stored at room temperature until recording. Experiments were performed at nearly physiological temperature (33.5 ± 0.5°C).

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL RECORDINGS

Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were performed on SBCs in the rostral pole of the AHC. Due to their large soma size and localization in the low-frequency area of the gerbil AHC, these neurons can be visually distinguished from globular bushy cells. Morphological verification of SBCs was done during the recording by intracellular labeling with ATTO 488 and visualization by a CCD camera (IMAGO Typ VGA; TILL Photonics). The pipettes had resistances of 3–4 MΩ when filled with (mM): 125 CsMeSO₄, 18 TEA-Cl, 3 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, 4.5 QX-314-Cl, 5 phosphocreatine, 2 ATP disodium salt, 0.3 GTP disodium salt, and 50 µM ATTO 488 (pH 7.3 with CsOH).

The resulting inward currents with larger amplitudes enabled more accurate analyses compared to the small events occurring with physiological [Cl⁻] in single: 18.5 ± 4.0 ms, 100 Hz 10th IPSC: 42.6 ± 4.1 ms, n = 6, see Nerlich et al., 2014). IPSCs were evoked by electrical stimulation of afferent fibers through a bipolar theta glass electrode (Science Products, tip Ø 5 µm) filled with bath solution and placed at distances of 30–60 µm from the cell. Pulse stimuli (100 µs, 15–90 V) were generated by a stimulator (Master 8) and delivered via a stimulus isolation unit (AMPI Iso-flex) to evoke either single events or train responses at different frequencies. Voltage clamp measurements were done from V_hold = −71 mV, (Nerlich et al., 2014), except in cases where the amplitudes of the small asynchronous events were increased for precise event detection by holding the cell at −81 mV (Figure 5). To isolate glycine- and GABA_A receptor mediated signals, a pharmacological inhibition of glutamate (50 µM AP-5, 10 µM NBQX) and GABA_A receptors (3 µM CGP 55845) was performed in all experiments. Offline correction of voltages was done for junction potentials of 11 mV. For some experiments, the extracellular calcium concentration in the ACSF was reduced to 1.2 mM in order to decrease the release probability. In such cases, the magnesium concentration was simultaneously increased to 1.8 mM to maintain the concentration of divalent cations.

DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS

The recordings were acquired using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). The mean capacitance of the cells was 23.15 ± 4.34 pF (mean ± SD, n = 52). The average series resistance was 11.25 ± 1.56 MΩ (n = 52), which was compensated by 50% to a remaining Rs of 3–7 MΩ. During experiments the series resistance changed on average by 2.6% (n = 52). Cells with series resistance changes >10% were excluded from analysis. There was no correlation between the IPSC amplitudes and decay time constants (r_s = 0.17, p = 0.22, n = 53). Together with the previously reported lack of correlation between the IPSC amplitudes and rise times (r_s = 0.04, p = 0.78, n = 43, Nerlich et al., 2014), these data rule out the possible contribution of series resistance error to decay time constant measurements. Recorded signals were digitized at 50 kHz and filtered with a 6 kHz Bessel low-pass filter. Data were examined with pClamp 10 software (Molecular Devices) followed by analyses using custom-written Matlab routines (version 8.3, Mathworks, Natick). Mean amplitudes, 10–90% rise times and decay time constants of IPSCs were analyzed from averaged traces (>5 repetitions). For detection of asynchronous release events, the current traces were band-pass filtered between 50 and 1500 Hz using a zero-phase forward and reverse digital IIR filter to remove the decay component (see Figure 5A). Statistical analysis by means of z-test revealed events with current amplitudes exceeding 1.96 times (p < 0.05).
the standard deviation of the baseline. To avoid multiple triggers of the same event, all triggers occurring within 5 ms after the preceding event were excluded from the analysis. The results were visually controlled to ensure the correct detection of spontaneous events. The events occurring prior to electrical stimulation (spontaneous IPSCs) were quantified and compared to events emerging after the end of synaptic stimulation of inhibitory inputs. Asynchronous events were clearly visible during the decay phase of the last evoked IPSC. Events detected by the software during the first 20 ms of the current decay were not included into the analysis to avoid false positive results. Data from 3 repetitions for each condition were pooled and presented for each cell.

IPSC decay phase was fitted with mono- or bi-exponential functions based on an increase in adjusted R² values. The weighted τ decay was calculated as τwd = (Afast × τfast + Aslow × τslow)/(Afast + Aslow), where Afast and Aslow are amplitudes at t = 0 and τfast and τslow are the fast and slow time constants, respectively. In cases of mono-exponential fits, one exponential component was set to 0.

**STATISTICS**

Data sets were compared with the appropriate t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA). The p-values of multiple comparisons were adjusted by the Dunn–Sidak procedure. Within-subject comparisons were performed by repeated-measures (RM) ANOVA after testing for sphericity using Mauchly test, and Greenhouse-Geisser correction applied as appropriate. ANOVA was used to test for effects of drugs and possible interactions of input frequency and drug superfusion. The changes in asynchronous release evoked by different input frequencies were tested for significance by a z-test, z = (A−BL)/SDBL, with A being the average number of events/bin 20–60 ms after stimulation, BL the mean of the baseline (1 s prior to stimulation = spontaneous IPSC level) and SDBL the standard deviation of the baseline. All data are reported as mean ± SEM, unless otherwise stated.

**RESULTS**

**IPSC DECAY RATE IS ACTIVITY-DEPENDENT**

SBCs exhibit slow inhibitory synaptic decays (Figure 1A) mediated by GlyR and GABA<sub>A</sub>R (gerbil: spontaneous IPSCs: τwd = 16.7 ± 1.2 ms, synthetically evoked IPSCs τwd = 23.7 ± 5.3 ms, Nerlich et al., 2014; mouse: spontaneous IPSCs: τwd = 8.75 ± 0.6 ms, synthetically evoked IPSCs τwd = 11.1 ± 0.8 ms, Xie and Manis, 2013). Both the glycinergic and the GABAergic components were observed in all pharmacology experiments acquiring IPSCs with suprathreshold stimulation to evoke reliable responses (see also Nerlich et al., 2014). Notably, the pharmacologically isolated glycinergic and GABAergic single events were previously shown to have similar kinetics (Nerlich et al., 2014), thus arguing against the hypothesis that in SBCs the fast decay component can be accounted to glycine and the slow component to GABA. In the present study we investigated the underlying mechanism determining such inhibitory current kinetics. The decay phase of synthetically evoked single IPSCs was best fit with a bi-exponential function with a fast decay time constant τfast = 8.7 ± 2.1 ms, a slow decay time constant τslow = 38.9 ± 7.2 ms and their respective...
relative amplitudes $A_{\text{fast}} = 0.47 \pm 0.06$ and $A_{\text{slow}} = 0.53 \pm 0.06$. The resulting average weighted decay time constant for 20 SBCs was $\tau_{\text{wd}} = 24.7 \pm 5.4$ ms (mean $\pm$ SD). The synaptic current decays were progressively slower after repetitive stimulation of inputs and with increasing input frequencies (Figures 1B,D). Also, the relative amplitude of the slow exponential component increased with input rates (Figure 1C; $A_{\text{slow}}$ single = 0.53 $\pm$ 0.06, 100 Hz = 0.56 $\pm$ 0.03; 333 Hz = 0.95 $\pm$ 0.03, single vs. 100 Hz $p < 0.05$; 100 Hz vs. 333 Hz $p < 0.001$, $n = 20$, RM ANOVA). Complementary decrease in amplitude of the fast component occurred over the same range of stimuli ($A_{\text{fast}}$ single = 0.47 $\pm$ 0.06, 100 Hz = 0.44 $\pm$ 0.03, 333 Hz = 0.05 $\pm$ 0.03). The slow decay time constant was prolonged substantially at 100 Hz and 333 Hz (Figure 1D; $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ single = 38.9 $\pm$ 1.6 ms, 100 Hz = 87.1 $\pm$ 5.0 ms, 333 Hz = 76.3 $\pm$ 3.3 ms, $n = 20$, $p < 0.001$ for single vs. 100 Hz and 333 Hz, RM ANOVA). At 333 Hz, the decay phase was best fit with a mono-exponential function excluding the fast exponential component in 75% of recorded SBCs (in these cells $A_{\text{fast}}$ was set to 0). Due to the rare occurrence of $\tau_{\text{fast}}$ at 333 Hz (only in 5 out of 20 cells), this parameter was statistically compared only for the single and 100 Hz stimulation. The $\tau_{\text{fast}}$ was prolonged at 100 Hz compared to single pulse stimulation ($\tau_{\text{fast}}$ single = 7.1 $\pm$ 0.6, 100 Hz = 21.4 $\pm$ 3.0, $n = 20$, single vs. 100 Hz $p < 0.001$, paired $t$-test). Activity-dependent change of $\tau_{\text{fast}}, \tau_{\text{slow}}$ and the respective amplitudes resulted in a prolongation of $\tau_{\text{wd}}$ with increasing input frequency (Figure 1D; $\tau_{\text{wd}}$ single = 24.7 $\pm$ 1.2 ms, 100 Hz = 58.8 $\pm$ 2.8 ms, 333 Hz = 74.2 $\pm$ 3.5 ms, $n = 20$, $p < 0.001$ for all comparisons, RM ANOVA). However, the longer decays were not associated with larger IPSC amplitudes ($\tau_{\text{wd}}$ single = 22.8 $\pm$ 0.8 ms, 50th 100 Hz = 61.8 $\pm$ 2.5 ms, $n = 25$, $p < 0.001$, paired $t$-test; IPSC amplitude single = 0.56 $\pm$ 0.5 nA, 50th 100 Hz = 0.55 $\pm$ 0.04 nA, $n = 25$, $p = 0.81$, paired $t$-test), thus suggesting that activity-dependent synaptic mechanisms, rather than series resistance errors cause the decay time prolongation. Together, these data indicate that the increase in input rates increases the transmitter quantity in the cleft which particularly prolongs the $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ through transmitter rebinding.

Studies at the calyx of Held-MNTB principal neuron synapse revealed that in vivo release probability may be approximated in slice recordings by using 1.2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ in the extracellular solution (Borst, 2010). The dependance of the IPSC decay times on the release probability was shown at other auditory synapses (Balakrishnan et al., 2009; Tang and Lu, 2012). Although the in vivo release probability for the endbulb of Held-SBC synapse remains to be elucidated, we still addressed the question, whether the slow decay of inhibitory currents measured in SBCs is due to an increased release probability in the slice recordings. For this, the weighted decay time constant was measured from the last event within trains consisting of different numbers of pulses and the results were compared for 1.2 mM and 2 mM extracellular calcium concentration (Figure 1F). Single IPSCs and short trains (10 pulses at 100 Hz) revealed faster decay time constants under the lower release probability condition. However, with increasing stimulus number and frequency, the weighted decay time constant of the last pulse in the train was similar between the two calcium conditions. (Figure 1F; main effect calcium $p = 0.04$, 1.2 vs. 2 mM: single, 100 Hz 10th IPSC $p < 0.05$, 100 Hz 50th IPSC $p = 0.72$, 333 Hz 10th $p = 0.27$ and 50th IPSC $p = 0.33$, $n = 11$, RM ANOVA). The slower IPSC at the higher release probability could either be due to transmitter pooling or to multivesicular release. The latter mechanism could explain the data for the single and short IPSC trains. The reduction of extracellular calcium to 1.2 mM decreased the IPSCs amplitudes, especially at the onset of a 100 Hz train (50 pulses) (Figure 1E). However, at the end of the train the baseline IPSC amplitudes were similar to those measured under 2 mM Ca$^{2+}$ (IPSC amplitudes 2 mM vs. 1.2 mM: onset = 1st IPSC, $p < 0.001$; maximum = mean 6–8th IPSC vs. mean 10–12th IPSC, $p < 0.01$; end = mean 48–50th IPSC, $p = 0.88$, $n = 6$, RM ANOVA). Thus, the release probability is unlikely to play a role for longer trains of stimuli or high frequency stimulation pointing to transmitter pooling as a mechanism contributing to slow decays.

**RECRUITMENT OF FIBERS SLOWS THE IPSC DECAY**

Recruitment of nearby inputs can lead to spillover of transmitter to remote synaptic or extrasynaptic sites, and thereby to inter and intrasynaptic pooling. Thus, it is conceivable that the slow IPSC decay in SBCs is caused by ongoing rebinding of transmitter and activation of extrasynaptic receptors, as shown earlier (Balakrishnan et al., 2009; Tang and Lu, 2012). To determine whether synaptic recruitment influences the kinetics of inhibition in SBCs, IPSCs were evoked with increasing stimulus intensities (Figure 2A). The low intensity was set slightly above the threshold with IPSC amplitudes reaching 38.5 $\pm$ 16% (mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 7$) of the maximal amplitude evoked by high stimulation intensity. With an increase in stimulus intensity, the amplitude of a single evoked IPSC and the last IPSC in a 100 Hz train increased threefold (Figure 2B; low vs. high intensity: single, $n = 7$, $p < 0.01$; 100 Hz 10th IPSC, $n = 5$, $p < 0.01$, paired $t$-test). Furthermore, the weighted decay time constants of single and repetitive IPSCs were prolonged at high stimulus intensities (Figure 2C; $\tau_{\text{wd}}$ single: low = 21.6 $\pm$ 0.6 ms, high = 26.3 $\pm$ 0.6 ms, $n = 7$, $p < 0.01$, 100 Hz 10th IPSC: low = 35.4 $\pm$ 0.8 ms, high = 46.0 $\pm$ 0.8 ms, $n = 5$, $p < 0.01$, paired $t$-tests). On the other hand, the rise time of single IPSCs was not dependent on stimulus intensity, suggesting the recruitment of nearby synaptic inputs (Figure 2D; low = 0.44 $\pm$ 0.03 ms, high = 0.46 $\pm$ 0.03 ms, $n = 7$, $p = 0.79$, paired $t$-test). As we neither observed a build-up, nor a run-down of IPSC amplitudes during replications at a given stimulus intensity, it is unlikely that the number of activated inputs changed. Therefore, these data suggest that the transmitter quantity substantially contributes to slow inhibitory kinetics.
TRANSMITTER UPTAKE CONTRIBUTES TO THE IPSC KINETICS

If transmitter spillover and its clearance from the synaptic cleft shape the IPSC decay in an activity-dependent manner, the postsynaptic currents should be sensitive to a blockade of re-uptake transporters (Otis et al., 1996; Balakrishnan et al., 2009; Tang and Lu, 2012). Bath application of the glycine transporter 2 (GlyT2) inhibitor ORG 25543 (20 μM, Bradaïa et al., 2004; Balakrishnan et al., 2009) slowed down the decay of both single and train IPSCs (Figures 3A,B; n = 6, RM ANOVA). The fast decay time constant, the respective amplitudes of the exponential components and the IPSC rise times (n = 8, control vs. +NO/SNAP, τ\text{slow}: p < 0.001, τ\text{fast}: p < 0.01, RM ANOVA). Notably, the GABA uptake is apparently only contributing to the decay during ongoing activity, as single evoked IPSC decays were not affected (p > 0.45) (Figure 3D). Similar to the effects seen after blockade of glycine re-uptake, GABA re-uptake inhibition did not change the fast decay time constants, the respective amplitudes of the exponential components and the IPSC rise times (n = 8, control vs. +NO/SNAP, τ\text{fast}: p = 0.11, A\text{slow} and A\text{fast}: p = 0.43, RM ANOVA, rise time: p = 0.40, paired t-test). Together, these data suggest that glycine re-binding caused by transmitter pooling due to slow clearance is an important factor in shaping the inhibitory current profile. On the other hand, the GABA re-uptake only contributes at high stimulus input rates to the decay kinetics, when it presumably accumulates in the synaptic cleft.

To investigate transmitter re-binding and the possible contribution of spillover to inhibitory kinetics, we assessed the effects of low affinity GlyR and GABA\text{A}R antagonists. The rationale to use weak competitive antagonists to probe for putative remote synapses arises from studies showing that the receptors distant to the release site are likely to encounter a lower transmitter concentration during a synaptic event (Diamond, 2001; Chen and Diamond, 2002). Hence, the low-affinity competitive antagonists are progressively more effective at receptors facing low transmitter concentrations through spillover (Overstreet and Westbrook, 2003; Szabadics et al., 2007; Balakrishnan et al., 2009; Tang and Lu, 2012). Bath application of a high concentration of SR 95531 (200 μM), employed as a low affinity antagonist of GlyR (Wang and Slaughter, 2005; Beato, 2008; Balakrishnan et al., 2009), reduced the IPSC amplitudes considerably and accelerated the weighted decay time constant of single and repetitive IPSCs (Figures 4A–C; n = 6, RM ANOVA). Low concentrations of SR 95531 (10 and 20 μM) specifically blocked GABA\text{A}R receptors on SBCs and reduced the IPSC amplitudes by 18 ± 2% and 16 ± 3%, respectively (Nerlich et al., 2014). At the concentration of 200 μM, a 76 ± 5% reduction of the IPSC amplitude was observed (Figure 4D; 200 μM vs. 10 μM (n = 6), p < 0.001; 200 μM (n = 6) vs. 20 μM (n = 11), p < 0.001, ANOVA). This result is consistent with an additional antagonistic action of a high SR 95531 concentration at GlyR, as opposed to specific GABA\text{A}R blockade at concentrations of 10 and 20 μM (Nerlich et al., 2014). To further confirm the competitive antagonistic action of SR 95531 at GlyR, the glycine concentration in the cleft was increased by co-applying the glycine re-uptake inhibitor ORG 25543. This partially reversed the long τ\text{wd} confirming that the current decay is regulated by the amount of available glycine (Figure 4D; change of τ\text{wd}: +20 μM SR = −8.1 ± 2.4%, n = 13; +200 μM SR = −35.3 ± 2.5%, n = 6; +200 μM SR + ORG = −21.2 ± 5.5%, n = 6; 20 μM vs. 200 μM SR p < 0.001, 200 μM SR vs. 200 μM SR + ORG p = 0.01, ANOVA). As the uptake blockers reveal transmitter spillover by increasing its quantity and enabling distant transporters with 20 μM NO 711 (Szabadics et al., 2007; Tang and Lu, 2012) and 100 μM SNAP 5114 (Keros and Hablitz, 2005; Song et al., 2013) extended the weighted decay time constant of the last IPSC in 100 Hz trains. Again, the prolongation of τ\text{slow} during re-uptake blockade underlie the slowing of train IPSCs (Figures 3C,D; n = 8, control vs. +NO/SNAP, τ\text{slow}: p < 0.001, τ\text{fast}: p < 0.01, RM ANOVA).
receptors to encounter a lower concentration of an agonist (Chen and Diamond, 2002; Thomas et al., 2011), our data showing a decay time prolongation under ORG 25543 are consistent with glycine spillover.

Inhibitory currents in SBCs show an activity-dependent depression of the IPSC peak amplitudes ($I_{\text{peak}}$, Figure 4E). Yet, under the low affinity GlyR antagonist (200 µM SR 95531), the peak amplitudes showed a weaker depression which in some cells even changed into facilitation (Figures 4E,F). This result is consistent with a relief from receptor saturation and/or desensitization (Chanda and Xu-Friedman, 2010). Thus, the peak IPSC depression at high input rates is presumably caused by post-synaptic receptor saturation and/or desensitization, rather than presynaptic short-term plasticity.

Contrary to glycine signaling, GABA is apparently not activating nearby synapses. Even at high stimulation rates, the low affinity GABA$_A$R antagonist TPMPA (Szabadics et al., 2007; Tang and Lu, 2012) neither affected the IPSC decay nor the amplitudes, suggesting that the postsynaptic GABA$_A$R could be tightly coupled to release sites of the transmitter and, thus, encounter consistently high GABA concentration (Figures 4G–I; control vs. TPMPA $\tau_{\text{wd}}$: single $p = 0.97$; 100 Hz 10th IPSC $p = 0.62$; IPSC amplitude: single $p = 0.67$; 100 Hz 10th IPSC $p = 0.19$; $n = 8$, RM ANOVA). In summary, the apparently low uptake capacity of glycine and GABA transporters slows the decay of IPSCs, thereby enabling spillover of glycine. While we found no evidence for spillover of GABA, the transmitter quantity and intrinsic receptor properties probably account for the slow GABAergic transmission.

**ACTIVITY-DEPENDENT ASYNCHRONOUS RELEASE**

A further mechanism putatively contributing to IPSC decay time in SBCs could be an activity-induced desynchronization of vesicle release, as shown for several inhibitory synapses (Lu and Trussell, 2000; Hefft and Jonas, 2005; Tang and Lu, 2012). To test this hypothesis, high frequency stimulation (100 and 333 Hz) of inhibitory inputs to SBCs was employed to evoke small asynchronous events in the decay phase following the IPSC trains (Figure 5A). The quantity of asynchronous IPSCs increased with stimulus duration (Figures 5B,E) and frequency (Figures 5C,F). To compare the spontaneously occurring IPSCs (sIPSC, without input stimulation) and delayed IPSCs following repetitive input stimulation, the events were detected during 1 s before synaptic stimulation and 2 s after the last evoked IPSC.

**FIGURE 3** | Glycine and GABA re-uptake contributes to the IPSC kinetics. (A) Average traces of 100 Hz IPSC trains, before and after the bath application of the GlyT2 blocker ORG 25543 (20 µM). The weighted time constant increased under the glycine re-uptake block (right: traces normalized to the last IPSC). (B) Inhibition of GlyT2 prolonged the $\tau_{\text{wd}}$ upon single ($p < 0.05$) and repetitive ($p < 0.01$) synaptic stimulation due to an increase in $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ ($p < 0.05$). The fast exponential component was unaffected ($n = 6$, $p = 0.15$, RM ANOVA). (C) IPSCs evoked at 100 Hz, before and after the bath application of 20 µM NO 711 and 100 µM SNAP 5114. The weighted decay time constant increased under the GABA re-uptake block (right: traces normalized to the last IPSC). (D) Inhibition of GABA uptake prolonged the weighted decay of IPSCs at 100 Hz ($p < 0.01$) by increasing $\tau_{\text{slow}}$ ($p < 0.001$). The IPSC kinetics of single events were not affected ($p > 0.45$, $n = 8$, RM ANOVA).
Nerlich et al. Mechanisms of slow inhibition

**FIGURE 4 | Low affinity antagonist reveals spillover and rebinding of glycine.** (A) Responses to 10 stimuli at 100 Hz, under the control condition and in the presence of a weak glycine receptor antagonist SR 95531 (200 µM). The weighted decay decreased under SR 95531 (right: traces normalized to the last IPSC).

(B,C) Summary data showing faster IPSC decay time constants (B) and substantially reduced amplitudes (C) under the weak antagonism of SR95531 for single and 100 Hz stimulation (n = 6, RM ANOVA).

(D) Percentage reduction of amplitudes (D1) and decay values (D2) of single IPSCs under different SR 95531 concentrations and SR 95531+ ORG 25543 compared to control condition (100%). At concentrations of 10 µM and 20 µM, SR 95531 had similar inhibitory effects on IPSC amplitude, due to a specific GABA_A receptor antagonism (Nerlich et al., 2014) (gray arrow = GABA_A receptor contribution). At a concentration of 200 µM, SR 95531 further reduced the amplitude and accelerated the IPSCs decay time. The faster IPSCs under 200 µM SR 95531, were again prolonged after the additional inhibition of GlyT2 by ORG 25543 (20 µM) (D2) suggesting a low-affinity inhibition of glycine receptors by 200 µM SR 95531 (dashed arrow = putative glycine receptor blockade). Cell numbers are given in parentheses; ANOVA.

(E) Representative traces (10 IPSCs at 100 Hz) for control condition and superfusion of 200 µM SR 95531 normalized to the first event. \(I_{\text{peak}}\): difference between steady state of the preceding event and peak of the successive event.

(F) The peak amplitude of the second IPSC and the mean of the 8th–10th peak IPSCs relative to the first IPSC increased in the presence of SR 95531 (2nd/1st IPSC ratio increased by 0.46 ± 0.12, \(p < 0.05\); 8th–10th/1st IPSC ratio increased by 0.96 ± 0.23, \(p < 0.01\), paired t-test, symbols: single cell data, bars: mean ± SEM). The low affinity antagonism of GlyR counteracts depression.

(G) Low affinity GABA_A antagonist (200 µM TPMPA) had no effect on IPSCs (normalized to the 10th IPSC: right). (H,I) Summary data showing a lack of TPMPA effect on the IPSC decays (single: \(p = 0.96\), 100 Hz: \(p = 0.62\)) and the amplitudes (single: \(p = 0.66\), 100 Hz: \(p = 0.19\), n = 8, RM ANOVA). *\(p < 0.05\), **\(p < 0.01\), ***\(p < 0.001\).

(see methods). The number of asynchronous events increased significantly after the 333 Hz stimulation (Figure 5E summary data for 4 cells and respective presentation of 10, 25 and 50 stimuli at 333 Hz z values > 10.1, \(p < 0.001\), z-test), compared to the rate of sIPSC before stimulation (Figure 5D; mean # of sIPSCs/20 ms bin = 0.56 ± 0.08, n = 4). This result suggests
the occurrence of activity induced asynchronous events in the decay phase of IPSC trains. Detailed analyses revealed the time course of incidence of asynchronous events which was best fit with a mono-exponential function relaxing back to the resting state with a mono-exponential function. The increase of asynchronous events during the decay phase depends on the presynaptic activity. (E2) Mono-exponential fits to the data from (E1). The initial incidence of events, and the time constant ($\tau$) depend on stimulus number (10 vs. 25 vs. 50 stimuli $p < 0.05$ for each comparison). (F) Input frequency determines the amount of asynchronous release. (F1) Summary histograms of asynchronous events from 6 cells, before and after 50-pulse stimulation at 100 Hz and 333 Hz. (F2) Mono-exponential fits to the data from F1. The initial incidence of events was increased and the exponential time constant prolonged with higher input frequency (100 Hz vs. 333 Hz $p < 0.05$).
state. The following parameters were used to quantify the delayed release: initial amplitude (peak incidence of asynchronous events (A), an exponential time constant (τ) and a steady state value (c) (Figure 5E; fit comparison 10 vs. 25 vs. 50 stimuli p < 0.001, F-test). The peak incidence of asynchronous events after stimulation at 333 Hz increased almost by 2-fold by extending the train from 10 to 50 pulses (A0 IPSC, 95% CI [lower, upper]: A10 = 10.6 [9.1, 12.2] events, A25 = 12.9 [11.7, 14.0] events, A50 = 18.8 [17.3, 20.3] events, 10 vs. 25 vs. 50 stimuli p < 0.05). The exponential time constant was also prolonged (τ IPSC, 95% CI [lower, upper]: τ10 = 26.5 [20.5, 37.4] ms, τ25 = 54.1 [46.8, 64.4] ms, τ50 = 79.0 [69.7, 91.1] ms, 10 vs. 25 vs. 50 stimuli p < 0.05), whereas the steady state values were similar to the mean sIPSC levels 1 s before stimulation (c IPSC, 95% CI [lower, upper]: c10 = 0.63 [0.52, 0.75] events/bin, c25 = 0.43 [0.33, 0.53] events/bin, c50 = 0.73 [0.59, 0.87] events/bin; sIPSCs ± SEM: prior to 10 eIPSCs = 0.54 ± 0.12 sIPSCs/bin, prior to 25 eIPSCs = 0.48 ± 0.10 sIPSCs/bin, prior to 50 eIPSCs = 0.74 ± 0.10 sIPSCs/bin, p > 0.05).

The rate of delayed release was not only dependent on the stimulus duration, but also on the stimulation frequency (Figure 5C,F summary data for 6 cells). Figure 5F, shows that presynaptic activity determines the quantity and duration of asynchronous release (A or τ, 95% CI [lower, upper]: A100 Hz = 12.4 [10.8, 13.9] events, A333 Hz = 28.3 [26.0, 30.5] events, p < 0.05; τ100 Hz = 58.6 [48.3, 74.7] ms, τ333 Hz = 78.5 [69.3, 90.7] ms, p < 0.05, n = 6, fit comparison 100 Hz vs. 333 Hz p < 0.001, F-test).

The putative cause for the delayed asynchronous transmitter release is the accumulation of presynaptic calcium during high frequency IPSC trains. This can be experimentally enhanced by replacing the extracellular calcium with strontium, or alternatively, the effect can be reduced by applying a cell permeable calcium chelator that accelerates the decay of presynaptic calcium release which in turn is determined by the rate of presynaptic activity.

To further address this issue, the contribution of the delayed vesicle release to IPSC decay times was determined through reduction of presynaptic calcium with the calcium chelator EGTA-AM (100 μM) (Figures 6D–G). The IPSC amplitudes strongly decreased under EGTA-AM by 55 ± 8% for single IPSC, 71 ± 4% for the 10th IPSC at 100 Hz and 55 ± 10% for 50th IPSC at 333 Hz, presumably due to a reduction in the peak Ca2+ concentration in the presynaptic terminal (Atluri and Regehr, 1996). The significant increase of asynchronous events observed in control condition after 333 Hz stimulation (50 IPSCs) vanished completely under EGTA-AM (Figure 6E; change of asynchronous events after stimulation compared to baseline level before stimulation: control z = 29.2, p < 0.001; +EGTA-AM z = −1.01, p = 0.16, z-test). Moreover, the weighted decay time constant was shortened by 30 ± 3% regardless of stimulus condition (Figure 6F; EGTA-AM effect vs. frequency p = 0.9, n = 5, RM ANOVA) and transmitter type (glycine, GABA or both) (Figure 6G; EGTA-AM effect vs. transmission type p = 0.59, n = 5 for each condition, ANOVA). Together, these data suggest that both glycine and GABA contribute to the delayed release and thereby shape the inhibitory current profile in an activity-dependent manner.

**DISCUSSION**

The mixed glycine-GABA transmission observed in SBCs is for the most part dominated by glycine but it still exhibits slow synaptic decays resulting in a long lasting, tonic-like inhibition. While glycine primarily contributes to the IPSC amplitudes, GABA enhances and prolongs the total inhibitory conductance, especially at higher firing rates (Nerlich et al., 2014). Here we demonstrate that the resulting IPSC decay strongly depends on both the synaptic activity and the number of recruited inhibitory fibers, each increasing the amount of transmitters released. The slow glycine and GABA clearance permits a longer availability of the transmitters in the synaptic cleft, thereby enabling transmitter rebinding and glycine spillover. Moreover, the IPSC kinetics is dynamically shaped by the delayed release of glycine and GABA. In addition to the shift towards slower GABAergic transmission at higher input rates (Nerlich et al., 2014), these activity-dependent mechanisms jointly determine the remarkably slow inhibition in SBCs.

**TRANSMITTER REBINDING AND SPILLOVER CONTRIBUTE TO THE IPSC DECAY**

The SBCs of the cochlear nucleus are the first synaptic center where primary auditory input from the cochlea is integrated with a higher-order, acoustically-evoked inhibition to preserve or improve temporal precision on the sub-millisecond scale (Gai and Carney, 2008; Dehmel et al., 2010; Kuenzel et al., 2011). Such synaptic inhibition is likely to contribute to the temporal synchronization of AP discharges to a particular phase of a low frequency tone burst (Joris et al., 1994; Paolini et al., 2001; Dehmel et al., 2010). Saying this, it should not be disregarded that also other previously discussed mechanisms (Nerlich et al., 2014), such as coincidence of presynaptic inputs also add to the synchronicity of postsynaptic SBC discharges (Kuhlmann et al., 2002; Xu-Friedman and Regehr, 2005).
FIGURE 6 | Asynchronous release influences IPSC decay in SBCs. (A) Superimposed responses to a 100 Hz stimulation in 2 mM calcium- and 8 mM strontium-ACSF. Right: Normalized last IPSCs showing an increased incidence of asynchronous release events under strontium. (B1) Summary histograms of asynchronous release events, before and after a 10 pulse stimulation at 100 Hz for both conditions (n = 6). (B2) Mono-exponential fits to the data in B1. The amount of asynchronous events after stimulation was increased and the time of increased incidence prolonged under 8 mM Sr\(^{2+}\). (A and \(\tau\): Ca\(^{2+}\) vs. Sr\(^{2+}\); p < 0.05). (C) Substitution of Ca\(^{2+}\) by Sr\(^{2+}\) prolonged the weighted decay time of IPSCs after 100 Hz and 333 Hz stimulation, but not of single IPSCs (n = 6, single: p = 0.8, 100 Hz: p < 0.05, 333 Hz: p < 0.01, RM ANOVA). (D) Averaged trains of 50 IPSCs at 333 Hz before and after bath application of 100 \(\mu\)M EGTA-AM. Right: normalized last IPSCs. (E) Summary histograms of asynchronous release events before and after application of EGTA-AM. Note the lack of the increase in asynchronous release after stimulation in EGTA-AM (n = 5, bin width: 20 ms; control: \(z\) = 29.2 \(p < 0.001\); +EGTA-AM: \(z = -1.01\) \(p = 0.16\), z-test). (F) EGTA-AM shortened \(\tau_{wd}\) by about 30\% regardless of stimulation frequency (n = 5, *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, RM ANOVA). (G) EGTA-AM equally affects the control (mixed glycine/GABA) IPSCs, isolated glycinergic (+20 \(\mu\)M SR95531), and GABAergic IPSCs (+0.5 \(\mu\)M strychnine) in terms of decreasing the weighted decay time constants (co-transmission: n = 5, p < 0.001; glycinergic: n = 5, p < 0.05; GABAergic: n = 5, p < 0.01; ANOVA).

respect to the inhibitory effects, it was shown that an activity-dependent regulation of inhibitory strength mediated trough a slow glycine-GABA transmission adjusts the fidelity at the endbulb of Held synapse towards fast rising and large EPSPs (Kuenzel et al., 2011; Xie and Manis, 2013; Nerlich et al., 2014). The present data further demonstrate that the inhibitory strength crucially depends on the presynaptic firing rate, which is of particular physiological importance given the weak inhibition at lower sound intensities, i.e., low firing rates (Kuenzel et al., 2011). Following strong inhibitory stimulation, slow glycine and GABA clearance from the synaptic cleft appears to enable ongoing rebinding of transmitters and intersynaptic pooling of glycine. A similar mechanism was previously shown to mediate prolonged inhibition of granule cells in the rat DCN (Balakrishnan et al., 2009) and of the nucleus laminaris neurons in the chick auditory brainstem (Tang and Lu, 2012). Several lines of evidence suggest that both the GlyT2 and the GABA transporters GAT1/3 contribute to the kinetics of activity-dependent inhibition at SBCs. Particularly, the slow decay phase (\(\tau_{slow}\)) was affected by increasing stimulus frequencies, suggesting longer availability of transmitters. Similar \(\tau_{slow}\) prolongation was also observed in the presence of glycine and GABA transporter antagonists. As the respective slowly decaying current is most likely due to slow transmitter clearance (Otis et al., 1996; Williams et al., 1998), our data are consistent with transporter saturation during higher neuronal activity. In line with this, the vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter (VGAT), which depends on the supply of cytosolic transmitter to enable synaptic corelease of glycine and GABA (Wojcik et al., 2006), is apparently not the rate limiting factor for efficient refilling of inhibitory vesicles (Apostolidis and Trussell, 2013).
At mixed glycine-GABA synapses, several factors determine the characteristics of the postsynaptic response: (i) the glycine/GABA ratio in synaptic vesicles which is not only determined by the higher VGAT affinity for glycine than for GABA (McIntire et al., 1997; Bedet et al., 2000); but also crucially depends on the availability of glycine through GlyT2 activity (Rousseau et al., 2008); and (ii) the postsynaptic receptor expression levels, clustering, and localizations (Todd et al., 1996; Dugué et al., 2005). In our recent study, we described a relative increase of the GABAergic component from 5 to 12% of the mixed IPSC amplitude during ongoing synaptic activity (Nerlich et al., 2014). When glycine and GABA were puff-applied (equimolar concentrations of 500 μM), the current amplitude ratio was ∼3:2 (1.7:1.2 nA), indicating that GABAαR availability is probably not the rate limiting factor for restricted GABAergic contribution to the IPSC. Presently, we found no evidence for GABA spillover to distant receptors that could account for the activity-dependent increase in the GABAergic proportion. Thus, the slow glycine clearance by GlyT2 and its low intracellular availability may possibly explain the progressively higher GABAergic signaling at in vitro-like firing rates.

Compared to the events elicited in 1.2 mM [Ca^{2+}]_o, IPSCs measured under the standard 2 mM [Ca^{2+}]_o condition were found to be slower after shorter stimulus trains or at lower input rates, possibly indicating a contribution of multivesicular release. However, longer or high frequency stimulation (50 pulses at 100 Hz, 10 or 50 pulses at 333 Hz) evoked IPSC of comparable amplitudes towards the end of the train and the similar decay kinetics of the last event. This suggests that prolonged neuronal activity at physiological-like rates leads to a steady state transmitter level in the cleft, independent of the initial release probability. The data also argue against the prominent receptor desensitization, because its effect would render IPSCs faster with increasing transmitter concentrations at higher rates (Jones and Westbrook, 1996), which was not observed in our experiments.

Glycine receptor saturation and spillover onto nearby or distant receptors probably shapes the IPSC kinetics at physiologically relevant firing rates. Due to the lack of effect of the low-affinity GABAα antagonist, we conclude that the respective receptors are probably tightly coupled to the release sites and unlikely to saturate. In line with this notion, is the lack of GABAα α6 and δ subunit expression on SBCs (Campos et al., 2001) which were shown to constitute the extrasynaptic receptors (Nusser et al., 1998; Kullmann et al., 2005). The slow IPSC kinetics measured at SBCs contrast with respective data for other inhibitory auditory synapses with decay time constants <5 ms, in which GABA is either not engaged or plays a minor role in determining the response decay time (Awatramani et al., 2004; Magnusson et al., 2005; Chirila et al., 2007; Couchman et al., 2010). As the SBC IPSCs progressively resembled the kinetics of pharmacologically isolated GABAergic events at increasing input frequencies, the mechanisms engaged with GABA release are likely to regulate the duration of inhibition at physiologically relevant rates (Nerlich et al., 2014). One possible contributing mechanism, though not a focus of the present study, is the kinetics of the GABAα receptor itself. The α3 subunit, linked to slow kinetics, deactivation- and desensitization rates (Verdoorn, 1994; Gingrich et al., 1995) is coexpressed with the subunits α1, α5, β3 and γ2L in the rat AVCN (Campos et al., 2001). In olfactory bulb neurons of juvenile rats, the deactivation kinetics of GABA-evoked mIPSCs can span a τ_{wd} range of 3–30 ms through the expression of different compositions of the fast α1 and the slow α3 subunits in the receptor heteromers (Eyre et al., 2012). Therefore, it is conceivable that the specific composition of GABAα subunits in SBCs partially contributes to an increase in τ_{wd} which ranged between 20–90 ms in an activity-dependent manner. Notably, even the isolated glycinergic spontaneous- and evoked-IPSCs exhibit slow synaptic decays (Xie and Manis, 2013, 2014; Nerlich et al., 2014). This may be surprising, given the general developmental down-regulation of the GlyR α2 subunit, associated with slower receptor kinetics (Veruki et al., 2007) in the cochlear nucleus and in the superior olivary compex (Sato et al., 1995; Friauf et al., 1997). However, the developmental replacement by the α1 subunit in the AVCN seems in the AVCN, as low levels of α2 mRNA were found up to the third postnatal week (Piecchotta et al., 2001). Our data substantiate the hypothesis of a persistent α2 subunit expression throughout adulthood by showing that single pulse stimulation evokes IPSCs of similar τ_{wd} for pharmacologically isolated glycinergic, GABAergic, and mixed glycine-GABA events (Nerlich et al., 2014). Here, further corrobororation is provided by showing comparable slow kinetics of the spontaneous IPSCs and IPSCs synaptically elicited in low release probability conditions. In both cases, the amount of released transmitters is presumed low, thus reducing the contribution of activity-dependent mechanisms. Although our results argue for a role of slow GlyR and GABAαR, this still seems to be only an additional mechanism shaping the overall kinetic profile.

INHIBITORY KINETICS IS SHAPED BY THE ACTIVITY-INDUCED DELAYED RELEASE

Asynchronous (or delayed) transmitter release is another mechanism to generate a long-lasting inhibition in the brain (Lu and Trussell, 2000; Hefft and Jonas, 2005; Tang and Lu, 2012). The ongoing synaptic activity can lead to Ca^{2+} accumulation in the presynaptic terminal, thereby causing the loss of coupling between the presynaptic AP and the release machinery (Chen and Regehr, 1999). Our data from the present and previous study (Nerlich et al., 2014) consistently show the activity-dependent change of release mode. The individual IPSCs were clearly segregated at 100 Hz, indicating synchronized quantal release, whereas the 333 Hz stimulation evoked a large plateau current caused by asynchronous release. Notably, the delayed asynchronous release events, mediated by both glycine and GABA, were observed at both frequencies during the decay phase of the last IPSC. The prominent effect of the slow calcium chelator EGTA-AM in our experiments, suggests a loose coupling between presynaptic Ca^{2+} channels and the Ca^{2+} sensor, possibly in a form of microdomains (Meirenken et al., 2002; Eggermann et al., 2012; Vyleta and Jonas, 2014). The Ca^{2+} dependance of release machinery was, however, not in focus of the present study. Alongside transmitter rebinding and spillover, asynchronous
release is a major mechanism contributing to activity-dependent changes in inhibitory duration.

EFFECTIVENESS OF SLOW INHIBITION

Neurons in the auditory brainstem generate APs with an extraordinary temporal accuracy required for the computation of sound source location (for review see, Grothe et al., 2010). In the binaural nuclei of the superior olivary complex, the fast and mainly glycinergic inhibition may contribute by providing high precision phasic suppression of excitatory conductance (Awatramani et al., 2004; Magnusson et al., 2005; Chirila et al., 2007; Couchman et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2013, 2014; Myoga et al., 2014). The monaural neurons in the mammalian cochlear nucleus, such as bushy cells of the AVCN and the granule cells of the DCN, on the other hand, utilize slow inhibition characterized by an activity-dependent conductance build-up (Balakrishnan et al., 2009; Xie and Manis, 2013). In vivo, the onset of acoustically evoked inhibition on SBCs is delayed compared to the excitation (Kuenzel et al., 2011; Nerlich et al., 2014) which cannot be solely explained by the polysynaptic inhibitory pathway causing a delay of just a few milliseconds (Smith and Rhode, 1989; Wickesberg and Oertel, 1990; Saint Marie et al., 1991; Ostapoff et al., 1997; Campagnola and Manis, 2014). Rather, the new data suggest that the slow time course of inhibition is predominantly determined by the receptor kinetics and transmitter clearance during short stimuli, whereas long duration or high frequency stimulation additionally engage spillover of glycine and asynchronous release of both glycine and GABA to prolong IPSCs. The contribution of these synaptic mechanisms can explain the slow onset of inhibition observed in vivo. With increasing sound intensity, the dynamic adjustment of inhibitory potency through synergistic glycine-GABA signaling (Nerlich et al., 2014) would ensure effective integration with strong and coincident excitatory inputs leading to non-monotonic rate-level functions and improved temporal precision of the SBC output (Kopp-Scheinpflug et al., 2002; Dehmel et al., 2010; Kuenzel et al., 2011). Consistent with this hypothesis, a modeling study of SBC inhibition with fast IPSC kinetics as those measured in AVCN T-stellate cells impaired the temporal precision of spiking (Xie and Manis, 2013). Thus, the activity-dependence of slow inhibition seems to be a critical factor for precise temporal processing in SBCs.
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