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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To assess safety and search predictive factors of efficacy of a single intra-articular injection of a mannitol-modified hyaluronic acid (HA) viscosupplement, in patients having trapeziometacarpal (TMC) osteoarthritis (OA).

METHODS: Patients with symptomatic TMC OA, not adequately relieved by analgesic therapy and/or by the use of a thumb splint, were included in a 3-month prospective multicentre open-label trial. All underwent plain radiographs with the Kapandji incidences allowing the Dell radiological grade assessment (1-4). Primary endpoint was the variation between injection (D0) and day 90 (D90) of the thumb pain (11-point Likert scale). Treatment consisted in a single injection of 0.6 to 1 mL of a viscosupplement made of a cross-linked HA combined with mannitol. All injections were performed under imaging guidance. Predictive factors of pain decrease were studied in univariate and multivariate analysis.

RESULTS: A total of 122 patients (76% women, mean age 60, mean disease duration 36 months) were included and 120 (98%) were assessed at 3 months. The TMC OA was of Dell’s grade 1, 2, 3, and 4 in 23%, 36.8%, 36.8%, and 3.5% of cases, respectively. At D0, the average (SD) pain level was 6.5 ± 1.6 without significant difference between Dell groups (P = 0.21). At day 90, pain decreased from 6.5 ± 1.6 to 3.9 ± 2.5 (difference −2.7 ± 2.5; −42%; P < 0.0001) without significant difference between Dell grade (P = 0.55), despite a seemingly smaller number of responders in stage 2 patients. The average analgesic consumption decreased in more than 1 out of 2 patients. In multivariate analysis, no predictor of response was identified. There was no safety issue. All adverse events (11%) were transient increase in pain during or following HA administration and resolved without sequel within 1 to 7 days.

CONCLUSIONS: This study suggests that a single course of HANOX-M-XL injection is effective in relieving pain in patients with TMC OA, without safety concern. Patients with advanced stage of OA benefit the treatment as much as those with mild or moderate OA.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis is a degenerative joint disease affecting the trapeziometacarpal (TMC) joint. Its frequency increases dramatically with age with a prevalence reaching 25% in post-menopausal women1,2 and more than 90% in elderly over 80.3 Trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis (OA) is clinically characterized by chronic pain of the thumb base, usually aggravated by movements and improved with rest. Symptoms intensity varies over time and patients may experience intermittent flare-ups, which worsen pain and functional impairment. However, in many cases, TMC OA remains asymptomatic throughout the evolution.3 In painful cases, the natural history of the disease is most often characterized by a gradual decrease in pain over several years, associated with thumb adductus deformity.4

Depending on pain level, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommends the use of varied non-pharmacologic measures,5 including local massage, physiotherapy, exercises, and thumb base splint.6 In case of insufficient effectiveness of the nonpharmacologic treatments, pharmacologic modalities are recommended. Because of their good risk benefit ratio, topical capsaicin, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are prescribed before resorting to systemic analgesics or NSAIDs. Symptomatic slow-acting drugs for OA (SYSADOA),
such as glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, avocado soybean unsaponifiables, diacerein, may be proposed as they provide a symptomatic benefit with a low level of toxicity. Corticosteroid (CS) intra-articular injections (IA) have been demonstrated to be effective\(^7\) and are recommended in OA flares. Surgical treatment (ie, trapeziectomy with or without interposition, arthrodesis, arthroplasty) is sometimes necessary in patients who remain symptomatic despite appropriate medical treatment or in advanced stages, when conservative treatments are no longer effective.\(^8,9\) However, as the natural evolution of the disease is often favorable, the development of symptomatic treatments, which can bring relief during the most painful period, is particularly necessary.\(^10\) Among the latter, viscosupplementation consists in IA injections of a solution of high-molecular-weight hyaluronic acid (HA) aimed to relieve pain and improve joint function.\(^11–13\) The IA HA injections are recommended by many scientific societies as an adjunctive treatment for knee OA, after failure of first-line treatments,\(^14\) although its usefulness has not been yet unanimously accepted.\(^15\) Viscosupplementation effectiveness has mainly been demonstrated in the early stages of knee\(^16,17\) and hip OA.\(^18\) However, viscosupplementation cannot be considered as a viable alternative to surgical treatment in advanced disease.\(^14,15\) In the treatment of TMC OA, the efficacy and safety of viscosupplementation has been studied in several open-label and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) versus placebo and/or intra-articular corticosteroid (IACS).\(^20–28\) Both IA HA and CS have a similar effectiveness on pain. However, IA HA has been suggested to have a longest duration of effect and to be more efficacious to improve joint function than IACS.\(^19,23–25,28\) However, the data interpretation is limited by the heterogeneity of the trials.\(^24,25\) This heterogeneity is due to (1) variable dosing regimen (number of injections ranging from 1 to 5), (2) different characteristics of the viscosupplements (molecular weight, concentration, linear, or cross-linked structure), (3) injections performed under imaging or landmarks guidance, (4) variable anatomical severity. The required number of injections depends on HA structure (linear or cross-linked) but not on the joint to be treated.\(^29,30\) It has never been demonstrated that linear HAs can be effective through a single injection regimen.\(^13,29–31\) This is due to the very short half-life\(^32\) of the linear HA molecule, which needs repeated injections.\(^33,34\) Cross-linked HA, whose IA residence time is much longer\(^35\) can require only one injection.\(^36,37\) Another crucial point which can explains discrepancies between studies is the lack of systematic imaging guidance for IA injection. Trapeziometacarpal is a small-sized joint and HA injections must be guided by imaging (ultrasound or fluoroscopy) to ensure a strict IA injection.\(^13,38,39\) Finally, the meta-analyses do not take into account the anatomical severity of TMC OA, which was not always specified in RCTs. When it was, the radiological classification\(^40–42\) and/or incidences\(^43\) were rarely or correctly detailed.

The main objective of the present research was to investigate whether the radiological stage of TMC OA, according to Dell classification\(^42\) (Table 1), could influence the effectiveness of viscosupplementation. The secondary objective was to look for other predictive factors of effectiveness or failure.

### Patients and Methods

#### Study population

This study was an observational, single-arm, prospective multicentre open-label clinical trial, with a 3-month follow-up. To look for predictors of success or failure of viscosupplementation, the inclusion criteria were limited so that the recruited population was as representative as possible of that encountered in daily practice. The decision to make viscosupplementation was taken by experienced specialists in hand OA, in accordance with the current practice and in line with the EULAR recommendations.\(^5\) Patients with symptomatic TMC OA, not sufficiently relieved by conventional first-line treatments (analgesics and/or NSAIDs and/or thumb splint), were included in the study. All underwent X-rays performed according to the Kapandji incidences showing evidence of rhizarthrosis (joint space narrowing and/or osteophyte). Exclusion criteria were scapho-trapeziometacarpal OA, microcrystalline or inflammatory arthritis, vicosupplementation within the last 3 months, IACS during the last previous month, planned surgery during the 3 months of follow-up, and patients unable to give their own informed consent.

#### Regulatory

Patients were recruited between March 2016 and February 2017. The study has been registered with the French Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament (ANSM) under the name INSTINCT trial (INfluence of the radiological STage on efficacy of a single HA INjection in patients with meta-Carpo-Trapezial OA; EudraCT N° 2015-AO1874-45). It received the approval of the Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement de l’Information en matière de Recherche dans le

### Table 1. Dell radiographic classification for trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis.

| STAGE | RADIOGRAPHIC FEATURES |
|-------|------------------------|
| 1     | Joint space narrowing and subchondral sclerosis but no evidence of subluxation or osteophyte formation |
| 2     | Increased subchondral sclerosis and joint space narrowing. Formation of osteophyte at the ulnar border of the trapezium. Metacarpal is subluxated less than 1/3 of the diameter of base of trapezium |
| 3     | Further loss of joint space. More prominent osteophyte at the ulnar border of the trapezium. The metacarpal is subluxated more than 1/3 of base of the trapezium |
| 4     | Total loss of joint space, greater amount of subluxation/dislocation, and the presence of pan-trapezial arthritis |
All patients received a single-guided IA injection of HANOX-M-XL (HappyMini; LABRHA Laboratory, Lyon, France), in the TMC joint. HANOX-M-XL has been specifically designed for small joints viscosupplementation. Its small volume (1 mL), its high concentration of HA (16 mg/mL), its cross-linked structure, as well as the addition of 35 mg/mL of mannitol (which delays the in situ degradation of HA) allow a single injection dosing regimen.

To ensure the correct IA administration, injection of the viscosupplement (0.6-1 mL according to the immediate tolerability) was performed under ultrasound or radiological guidance, according to the choice and experience of the investigators.

Evaluation

During the screening visit, after handing a document providing key information about the study, the investigator obtained the patient’s consent to participate. Then, he collected demographic data (age, sex, weight, height), pathological data (symptoms duration, bilaterality, previous and current treatments for thumb OA, concomitant therapies for comorbidities), patient self-assessment of pain measured on an 11-point numerical rating scale (0-10NS), and radiological features (Dell stage 1-4). At the end of the visit, the investigator had to plan the HA IA injection within 15 days and chose the type of guidance which will be used (fluoroscopy or ultrasound) according to the choice and experience of the investigators.

At D0, the average (SD) pain level was 6.5 ± 1.6. In all, 13 patients (10.7%) reached the Patient-Acceptable Symptom State (PASS) threshold. At inclusion, mean (SD) pain was 6.3 ± 1.6 in patients with Dell 1, 6.5 ± 1.4 in patients with Dell 2, and 6.6 ± 1.7 in patients with Dell 3. It was slightly higher 7.3 ± 3.0 in patients with Dell 4, but the difference did not reach the statistical significance (P = .33). When pooling the Dell stages 1 + 2 and 3 + 4, the mean pain was 6.4 ± 1.5 and 6.6 ± 1.8, respectively, without significant difference between groups (P = .21). Similarly, there was no statistically significant correlation between the Dell grade and the date of onset of symptoms (P = .15).

At day 90, the average pain decreased significantly from 6.5 ± 1.6 to 3.9 ± 2.5 (P < .0001). The mean pain improvement, regardless the radiological stage, was −2.7 ± 2.5 (−42%). It was much higher than the Minimal Clinically Important Improvement (MCII), defined by an absolute decrease of −1.6 and a relative change of −23%. In all, 69 patients (57.5%) reached the PASS criteria (Figure 1). According to Dell stage, pain was 3.7 ± 2.5 in Dell 1, 4.5 ± 2.3 in Dell 2, 3.7 ± 2.6 in Dell 3,
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2.5 ± 3.8 in Dell 4. There was no significant difference between groups (P = .50). In Dell grades 1 + 2 and 3 + 4, the decrease in pain between day 0 and day 90 was, respectively, −2.5 ± 2.6 and −3.1 ± 2.7, again without significant difference between groups (P = .38).

About 69% of patients estimated the treatment as effective (21% very effective, 31% effective, 17% slightly effective) and 21% found it ineffective. Again, there was no significant difference depending on the Dell grade (P = .055), despite a seemingly smaller number of responders in stage 2 patients. Figure 2 clearly illustrates that patients’ assessment of efficacy was less related to the decrease in pain between day 0 and day 90 (P = .057) than to its level at final evaluation (P < .0001). In patients using analgesics or NSAIDs, the average consumption during follow-up decreased in more than 1 out of 2 patients. In 78% of cases, analgesic consumption decreased by more than 50% and in 66% of cases by more than 75%. In univariate analysis, the clinical response was significantly worse in patients taking NSAIDs (P = .012), but this difference no longer reached the significance threshold in the multivariate analysis. Finally, the guidance technique did not significantly influence the clinical response (P = .16).

Device and/or procedure-related AEs were reported by 11% of patients (14 patients). All AEs reported were an increase in the thumb base pain, which occurred within the very next hours after the injection and lasted a few days. In 8 cases, the pain was estimated severe and in 3 cases moderate. Five patients needed to take complementary analgesic treatment for 3 to 7 days. All AEs have been resolved in 3 to 7 days.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SYSADOA, symptomatic slow-acting drugs for OA; TMC, trapeziometacarpal.

Table 2. Characteristics of patients at inclusion (N = 122).

| CHARACTERISTICS | % |
|----------------|---|
| Age, y          | Dell grade |
| 41–50           | 12 | 1  | 23 |
| 51–60           | 35 | 2  | 37 |
| 61–70           | 33 | 3  | 37 |
| 71–80           | 13 | 4  | 3  |
| Sex             | Analgesics |
| Male            | 24 | Yes | 51 |
| Female          | 76 | No  | 49 |
| BMI, kg/m²      | NSAIDs |
| <25             | 45 | Yes | 28 |
| 25–30           | 34 | No  | 72 |
| 30–35           | 21 |
| Rhizarthrosis   | SYSADOAs |
| Unilateral      | 36 | Yes | 17 |
| Bilateral       | 64 | No  | 83 |
| Target thumb    | Splint |
| Right           | 50 | Yes | 61 |
| Left            | 50 | No  | 39 |
| Disease duration, mo | Previous intra-articular injection |
| 0–12            | 31 | Steroids |
| 12–24           | 17 | Yes  | 21 |
| 24–60           | 33 | No  | 79 |
| >60             | 19 | Hyaluronic acid |
|                 | Yes | 7   |
|                 | No  | 93  |
| TMC clinically detectable subluxation | Imaging guidance |
| Yes             | 66 | Ultrasound | 17 |
| No              | 34 | Fluoroscopy | 83 |

Figure 1. Number of patients according to pain score categories (numerical rating scale 0-10) at baseline (N = 122) and 3 months after viscosupplementation (N = 120).

Figure 2. Average level of pain (numerical rating scale 0-10) at baseline and 90 days after a single injection of HANOX-M-XL for trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis according to Dell grade.
of an AE was not correlated with the final clinical outcome ($P = .81$). No severe AE was reported.

**Discussion**

The main information from this study is that, contrary to what is usually observed for the other joints, the radiological severity of TMC OA does not have a negative influence on the clinical response to viscosupplementation. Surprisingly, it was in patients with Dell grade 2 that the results tended to be the worst, even if the difference did not reach the threshold of statistical significance. We can hypothesize that, in grade 2, the articular instability and the gradual subluxation of the first metacarpus cause a painful distension of the articular capsule. Indeed, the capsule is very innervated and its distension may cause severe pain. In Dell stage 2, it is likely that pain is mainly of capsulo-ligamentous origin and therefore may not be very sensitive to viscosupplementation. On the contrary, in the more advanced stages, the joint is less unstable because of the presence of osteophytes. Therefore, it might be hypothesized that the pain would mainly come from the degenerative lesions and viscosupplementation would be more effective. This hypothesis would corroborate the fact that TMC OA is often more painful at early stages than in more advanced stages, when joint deformities are fixed.

The present single-arm study was not designed to demonstrate the efficacy of HANOX-M-XL but to search for possible predictors of success or failure. Therefore, no formal conclusions can be made about the real effectiveness of the treatment. Nevertheless, its efficacy is strongly suggested by the very significant reduction in pain at day 90 compared with that reported at day 0, by the very positive patients’ perception of the efficacy (2 out of 3 patients have reported improvement) and by the significant decrease in the analgesics/NSAIDs consumption. Above all, the magnitude of improvement, in both absolute value and percentage, greatly exceeded the threshold that defines MCII, indicating the clinical relevance of this improvement.

These results are consistent with those of the literature, but while most of results in other studies were obtained with 2 or 3 HA injections, ours were obtained after a single injection. Such a protocol was possible because of the cross-linked structure of HANOX-M-XL. In a recent study, in patients with Eaton–Littler stage 2 and 3 TMC OA, Velasco et al. demonstrated a decrease in pain of $-1.9 \pm 1.9$ mm ($-28\%$) on the 10-mm visual analog scale, after a single injection of 1 mL of NASHA (nonanimal hyaluronic acid), a cross-linked vicosupplement also designed for single-shot injection. Although no conclusions can be drawn in the absence of a comparative study, we can notice that, in our work, the average decrease in pain at 3 months ($-2.7 \pm 2.5$ and $-42\%$) was more substantial. Another interesting clinical finding is that patients’ evaluation of efficacy was less related to the decrease in pain over time than to pain level at the time of final evaluation. This confirms, as previously showed, that in the patient’s feeling (Figure 3), getting “well” is more important than getting “better.” This also suggests that the variation of pain over time, whether in absolute value or in percentage, is probably not the best criterion for evaluating the effectiveness of viscosupplementation because it strongly depends on the level of pain at baseline. In addition, this study provided interesting data concerning the safety of TMC viscosupplementation. The overall tolerability was good, similar to that reported in the literature with noncross-linked and non–mannitol-modified HAs. Nevertheless, it seems important to us to carefully inform patients of the significant frequency of postinjection pain, which may last up to a week and possibly require a symptomatic treatment.

The main strength of this study is that it was conducted on the largest cohort ever published in TMC OA viscosupplementation. Moreover, the recruitment by both rheumatologists and orthopedic surgeons, as well as the lack of inclusion/exclusion criteria related to clinical or anatomical severity, allowed to get as close as possible to the daily clinical practice: predominance of postmenopausal women, diversity of radiological stages, very large range of pain level (3 to 10/10) at inclusion. Finally, the protocol required systematically image-guided injections to ensure the accurate IA HA administration, precaution which was not taken in most of published clinical trials.

This work suffers also from some weaknesses. The 3-month follow-up is appropriate to evaluate the predictors of short-term success but is probably insufficient to assert efficacy is lasting in the advanced anatomical stages as much as in the early stages. It is also possible that the results might have been slightly different if we had used another radiological classification. In addition, an ultrasound examination performed before enrollment might have been able to detect a possible flare-up with synovial effusion in some subjects. In such patients, IACS would have been more appropriate than viscosupplementation.
Finally, no functional score, such as AUSCAN score,56 Dreiser index,57 or Cochin score,58 was used to evaluate the level of disability and the clinical response to viscosupplementation. Thus, our data cannot prove that viscosupplementation has a positive effect on function, as has been suggested in a recent meta-analysis.24

Conclusions
This prospective study on the largest cohort ever published in rhizarthrosis viscosupplementation showed that a single injection of 0.6 to 1 mL of HANOX-M-XL, performed under imaging guidance, significantly improves pain for at least 3 months, regardless of the degree of subluxation of the TMC joint. This suggests that, unlike in other joints, viscosupplementation may be proposed in advanced anatomical forms of TMC OA. However, viscosupplementation remains a palliative treatment of TMC OA and does not be considered as a substitute for surgery, especially in patients with severe disability. Finally, although the overall tolerability of HA injection was good, it seems to us necessary to carefully inform patients regarding the risk of pain recrudescence the very next days following injection. Further studies, with longer follow-up, are needed to confirm these results.
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