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**Abstract**

Introduction: Gingival recessions are mucogingival defects of multifactorial etiology that interfere with aesthetics and function; in many cases these defects require multidisciplinary treatment. Objective: To report a clinical case of gingival recession treatment using a periodontal and orthodontic approach. Case report: Patient a 20-year-old woman, sought the Extension Project of Clinical and Surgical Periodontics (PROEPECC/UFCG) complaining of developing gingival recession in tooth 31, which was poorly positioned in the arch after orthodontic movement. Periodontal examination revealed type 1 gingival recession associated with a prominent labial frenulum, with a gingival height of 3 mm, width of 2 mm, probing depth of 1 mm, and absence of attached gingiva. The root was covered using a subepithelial connective tissue graft removed from the palatal mucosa and coronally positioned flap in addition, inferior labial frenectomy was performed. After 1 year and 6 months, tooth 31 was again moved to reposition it in the arch. One year and 10 months post-surgery, new periodontal examination was performed to evaluate the mucogingival characteristics of the treated area. The recession was completely covered and there was a 4-mm increase in the attached gingiva. Conclusion: Orthodontics may positively or negatively influence periodontal structures and periodontics may favor the prognosis of tooth movement in a risk area by modifying the periodontal phenotype, increasing its resistance to gingival recession.

**Descriptors:** Gingival Recession; Periodontics; Orthodontics.

**Resumo**

Introdução: As recessões gengivais são defeitos mucogingivais de etiologia multifatorial que interferem na estética e função; necessitando, em muitos casos, de tratamento multidisciplinar. Objetivo: Relatar um caso clínico de tratamento de recessão gengival com abordagem periodontal e ortodontônica. Relato de caso: A paciente do sexo feminino, 20 anos de idade, procurou o projeto de extensão em Periodontia Clínica e Cirúrgica – PROEPECC/UFCG, queixando-se de desenvolvimento de recessão gengival no dente 31 que se encontrava mal posicionado no arco, após movimentação ortodontônica. Ao exame periodontal, observou-se a presença de recessão gengival, do tipo I, associada ao freio labial proeminente, com 3 mm de altura, 2 mm de largura, profundidade de sondagem de 1 mm e 0 mm de gengiva inserida. Foi realizado o recobrimento radicular com enxerto de tecido conjuntivo subepiteliais removido da mucosa palatina e retalho reposicionado coronariamente, além da frenectomia labial inferior. Após 1 ano e 6 meses o dente 31 foi novamente movimentado para reposicionar-lo no arco. No pós-operatório de 1 ano e 10 meses, um novo exame periodontal foi realizado para avaliar as características mucogingivais da região tratada. A recessão foi totalmente recoberta e houve um aumento de 4 mm de gengiva inserida. Conclusão: A ortodontia pode influenciar de maneira positiva ou negativa nas estruturas do periodonto e a periodontia pode favorecer o prognóstico da movimentação dentária, em áreas de risco, por modificar o fenótipo periodontal, tornando-o mais resistente à recessão gengival.

**Descritores:** Recessão Gengival; Periodontia; Ortodontia.

**Resumen**

Introducción: Las recesiones gингival es son defectos mucogingivales de etiología multifactorial que interfieren con la estética y la función; en muchos casos que requieren tratamiento multidisciplinar. Objetivo: informar un caso clínico de tratamiento de recesión gингival con abordaje periodontal y ortodontónico. Caso clínico: la paciente con 20 años, buscó el proyecto de extensión en Periodoncia Clínica y Quirúrgica - PROEPECC / UFCG, quejándose del desarrollo de la recesión gингival en el diente 31, que estaba mal colocado en el arco, después del movimiento de ortodoncia. En el examen periodontal, hubo presencia de recesión gингival, tipo I, asociada con un prominente freno labial, 3 mm de alto, 2 mm de ancho, profundidad de sondeo de 1 mm y 0 mm de encía insertada. El recubrimiento de la raíz se realizó con un injerto de tejido conectivo subepitelial extraído de la mucosa palatina y un colgajo coronario reposicionado, además de la frenectomía del labio inferior. Después de 1 año y 6 meses, el diente 31 se movió nuevamente para reposicionarlo en el arco. En el postoperatorio de 1 año y 10 meses, se realizó un nuevo examen periodontal para evaluar las características mucogingivales de la región tratada. La recesión estaba completamente cubierta y hubo un aumento de 4 mm de encía insertada. Conclusión: la ortodoncia puede influir positiva o negativamente en las estructuras del periodonto y la periodontia puede favorecer el pronóstico del movimiento de los dientes en áreas de riesgo, al modificar el fenótipo periodontal, haciéndolo más resistente a la recesión gингival.

**Descritores:** Recesión G Gingival; Periodontia; Ortodontia.

**INTRODUCTION**

According to the new Classification of Periodontal Health and Gingival Conditions and Diseases published in 2018, a patient can be diagnosed with clinical gingival health in a reduced periodontium without periodontitis when periodontal examination reveals a maximum probing depth of 3 mm, clinical attachment loss (CAL), and possible radiographic bone loss, but with gingival bleeding on probing at less than 10% of sites¹. These parameters are characteristic of isolated gingival recession (GR) not associated with dental biofilms¹,².

Gingival recession is defined as displacement of the gingival margin to an apical
position in relation to the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ), with consequent exposure of the root surface3,4. In addition to aesthetic alterations, this process can cause dental hypersensitivity and cleaning problems which, in turn, predispose to the development of carious and non-caries cervical lesions and periodontal disease4.

The pathogenesis of GR is characterized by a localized inflammatory process, initiated in the epithelium of the gingival sulcus that induces it to proliferate and unite with the oral epithelium, thus reducing the amount of connective tissue in that area. With regression of the connective tissue, the marginal epithelium undergoes necrosis due to the absence of nutrition, an event that clinically manifests as a reduction of the epithelial surface5. Gingival recessions have a multifactorial etiology and can develop in patients with good or poor oral hygiene. Being determined by conditions directly linked to the development of recessions, as well as factors that predispose their occurrence5,7.

It is known that orthodontic treatment itself is not the main factor responsible for the formation of GR but its association with a series of factors can contribute to the development of this condition. Orthodontic treatment can exert a dual effect on periodontal tissues, contributing to the reestablishment of health and causing damage to the periodontium if not performed correctly.8 The treatment of GR should be based on the identification, elimination and control of the etiological factors involved in the process. Considering its multifactorial origin, the treatment of GR may require a multidisciplinary approach depending on the needs of each case8,10.

Several mucogingival surgery techniques have been developed and improved over the years to assist in the treatment and recovery of biological patterns of periodontal tissues in cases of GR. A coronally positioned flap (CPF) in conjunction with a subepithelial connective tissue graft (SCTG) is the gold standard therapy because of its good predictability, satisfactory outcomes, and lower recurrence rates11. Therefore, a favorable prognosis can be predicted for the treatment of this mucogingival deformity when combined with periodontal therapy and individual and specific orthodontic planning. The aim of this study is to report a clinical case with a periodontal and orthodontic approach in a patient with type I gingival recession (RT1) developed after tooth movement.

**CLINICAL CASE**

Patient a 20-year-old brown woman without systemic alterations and with periodontal health sought the Extension Project of Clinical and Surgical Periodontics (PROEPECC), Dentistry Course, Federal University of Campina Grande, complaining of GR in tooth 31. During anamnesis performed in December 2017, the patient reported the use of an orthodontic appliance for 4 years, installed in October 2013, and the lack of any type of maintenance or follow-up by an orthodontist for one year.

Clinical and periodontal assessment revealed, in addition to GR, edge-to-edge occlusion, crowding and rotation of the affected tooth, as well as high and active muscle attachment near the gingival margin (Figure 1). The patient was submitted to periodontal examination to evaluate the probing depth, GR, and CAL of all teeth. Basic scaling and crown-root planing procedures were performed, biofilm retention factors were removed, and oral hygiene instructions were provided. Reassessment of the periodontal condition one week after initial therapy showed clinical gingival health in a reduced periodontium without periodontitis. Tooth 31 exhibited a thin periodontal phenotype, absence of gingival bleeding at all sites, and an interproximal CAL. Radiographically, the alveolar bone crest appeared close to the CEJ. Gingival recession was classified as RT1, with a height of 3 mm, width of 2 mm, probing depth at the buccal site of 1 mm, absence of attached gingiva, and 1 mm of keratinized mucosa (Figure 2).

---

**Figure 1:** A, B) Clinical and periodontal appearance of the gingival recession in tooth 31.

**Figure 2:** Assessment of gingival recession: height (A); width (B); probing depth (C), and keratinized mucosa (D).

The following etiological factors predisposing to the development of GR were observed when the orthodontic documentation obtained prior to installation of the appliance (17/10/2013) and current exams (12/11/2018) to...
correct the position of the tooth were compared: thin gingiva, absence of attached gingiva, tooth malposition in the arch, frenulum and muscle insertions, and previous orthodontic movement.

Comparison of Ricketts cephalometries (Table 1) anterior and posterior to the GR revealed the occurrence of mandibular protrusion during orthodontic treatment (Figure 3). Orthodontic finishing resulted in the vestibularization of the lower incisors, with a consequent reduction in bone and periodontal support. Tooth 31, because of its higher degree of crowding, suffered the greatest loss on the buccal surface.

| Table 1. Comparison of summary diagnoses of Ricketts cephalometries anterior and posterior to the gingival recession |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Parameter       | Ricketts cephalometry | Anterior | Posterior |
| Molar relationship | Class I | Class I |
| Canine relationship | Class I | Class I |
| Convexity of point A | Concave profile | Concave profile |
| Upper incisor protrusion | Upper incisor protrusion | Upper incisor protrusion |
| Lower incisor protrusion | Lower incisor protrusion | Lower incisor protrusion |
| Upper lip protrusion | Good position | Good position |
| Facial depth | Good position | Mandibular protrusion |
| Jaw depth | Good position | Good position |
| Anterior cranial base length | Medium | Large |

For treatment of the mucogingival deformity, temporary discontinuation of orthodontic therapy was proposed followed by periodontal phenotype modification therapy (PhMT) and coverage of the recession with an SCTG combined with CPF. In the same surgical approach, inferior labial frenectomy and subsequently PhMT, the indication of orthodontic treatment to correct the rotation and to promote bone neoformation with lingualization of the anterior lower teeth.

The patient received information about the treatment plan and about the risks and benefits of the periodontal and orthodontic procedures and agreed to participate in the study by signing the free informed consent form. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculdades Integradas de Patos (CEP/FIP) (Approval No. 59592016.8.0000.518). The technique used for root coverage is illustrated in Figure 4. Prior to preparation of the recipient site, scaling and crown-root planing were performed. Next, the root surface was treated chemically with 1% citric acid for 3 min to promote root biomodulation, followed by abundant irrigation with 0.9% saline. A flap was elevated by two horizontal incisions adjacent to the CEJ, which were joined by an intrasulcular incision. Then, the flap was moved in full thickness with a tunneling device to the mucogingival junction and, from this point, it was divided with a 15 C scalpel blade until it reached sufficient mobility to cover the GR without muscle tension (Figure 5).

Figure 3: Etiology related to the development of gingival recession: initial clinical and periodontal appearance (A) and anterior (B) and posterior (C) cephalometries.

Figure 4: Illustration of the surgical technique: initial condition (A); horizontal incisions (B); movement and division of the full-thickness flap (C); de-epithelization of the papillae (D); suture for graft fixation (E), and suspension suture for surgical wound closure (F).

Figure 5: Preparation of the recipient site: mechanical (A) and chemical (B) root treatment; horizontal incisions at the height of the cemento-enamel junction (C); fabrication of a full-thickness flap until the mucogingival junction (D, E); fabrication of a partial flap (F); evaluation of flap mobility (G), and de-epithelization of the papillae (H).

After preparation of the recipient site, the dimensions necessary for the graft were measured with a periodontal probe: 14 mm long, 6 mm high, and 1.5 mm thick. The SCTG with the cited dimensions was removed from the palatal mucosa between the distal side of the first molar and mesial side of the canine. The surgical wound was sutured with 5-0 Nylon suture by the double-X technique. The graft was then adjusted and adhered adipose tissue was removed with Goldman-Fox scissors (Figure 6). The graft was sutured to the recipient site with the simple sutures at the base of the de-epithelialized papillae and the CPF with suspension sutures using 5-0 polyglycolic acid suture. Next, inferior labial frenectomy was performed and the wound was closed with 5-0 Nylon suture (Figure 7).
The patient was instructed not to clean the surgical area for 14 days and received the following prescriptions: nimesulide (200 mg, once a day for 4 days), dipyron in the case of pain (500 mg, every 6 h), mouth rinsing with 0.12% chlorhexidine (15 ml, twice a day for 14 days), and a soft Curaprox toothbrush (CS 5460 Ultra Soft) for the teeth not involved in the surgical procedure, in addition to postoperative instructions. The suture was removed after 7 days and postoperative visits were scheduled at 7 days, 14 days, and 1 year (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Follow-up of surgical treatment after 7 days (A), 14 days (B, C), and 1 year (D).

One year after periodontal treatment, a new orthodontic intervention was started to correct the rotation of tooth 31 and the protrusion of the lower incisors. For this purpose, Ricketts bioprogressive philosophy was followed: 1) permitting the best torque control during retreatment, 2) performing segmented mechanics, and 3) using light forces that target only the site of the problem without affecting normal teeth and structures.

In the first session, molding was performed for fabrication of the model. Next, Bolton analysis was performed with a digital pachymeter to calculate the existing discrepancy using the overall (OT) and anterior tooth (AT) ratios. The OT was 97 mm. OT > 91.3 (± 1.91), i.e., there is an excess lower tooth mass.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OT} &= 12 \text{ mandible} \times 100 \\
&= 97 \times 100 \\
\text{OT} &= 97 \\
12 \text{ maxilla} &\times 100 \\
\text{Total excess} &= 3.79 \text{ mm}
\end{align*}
\]

The partial ratio of six teeth, also called AT, was 82 mm. AT > 77.2 (± 1.65), i.e., there is an excess lower tooth mass.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AT} &= 6 \text{ mandible} \times 100 \\
&= 41 \times 100 \\
\text{AT} &= 82 \\
6 \text{ maxilla} &\times 50 \\
\text{Anterior excess} &= 3.15 \text{ mm}
\end{align*}
\]

The orthodontic brackets (Rocky Mountain®) were bonded to teeth 33, 32, 31, 41, 42, and 43, with anchorage on the first premolars (34 and 44) with resin in order to prevent buccal movement of the lower incisors and to avoid protrusion of the teeth involved in the movement, as well as the loss of intercanine distance, an important factor for post-orthodontic treatment stability. After bonding, interproximal reduction on the mesial and distal surfaces of teeth 42 and 32 was performed to gain space for crowding correction. DhPro® felt discs of the series recommended by the orthodontist were used for this purpose. The enamel was reduced in increments of 0.5 mm, totaling 2 mm of the incisors. Next, enamel was removed from the mesial surfaces of the canines (33 and 43) in increments of 0.5 mm, totaling 1 mm. The total reduction obtained was 3 mm, 2 mm from the incisors and 1 mm from the canines (Figure 9).

Figure 9: Bonding of brackets with anchorage on the pre-molars (A); interproximal reduction on the mesial and distal surfaces of teeth 32 and 42 (B, C).

In the second session carried out after one month, tooth 31 was included in the mechanics with a metal ligature (0.10 mm ligature wire), reducing friction during crowding correction and correcting rotation of the tooth (Figure 10a). In the third session, the brackets of teeth 31 and 41 were replaced after solving the partial crowding of tooth 31, providing an opportunity for ideal bonding combined with the installation of a thermoactive wire (0.14 x 0.25) and closure of the diastema between teeth 33 and 34. A resin button was fabricated on tooth 44 anchored through the sectioned arch (Figure 10b).

The following sessions consisted of finishing the space closure. For this purpose,
anchorage of teeth 33 and 34, as well as teeth 43 and 44, was performed with ligature wire and a medium elastic rubber band was installed.

Figure 10: Metal ligature on tooth 31 (A) and resin button on teeth 34 and 44 (B).

Reassessment of the periodontal tissues in the region of tooth 31 one year and 10 months after the procedure showed stability of the root coverage and a gain of 4 mm in attached gingiva and keratinized mucosa (Table 2, Figure 11). In addition, periodontal plastic surgery modified the mucogingival features of the area, altering the periodontal phenotype and preventing reinsertion of the inferior labial frenulum (Figure 11). After correction of malpositioned tooth 31, supracrestal fiberotomy was performed to stabilize the periodontal tissues and to maintain the tooth in position. The orthodontic appliance was removed after 8 days and an orthodontic retainer was installed with 0.20 stainless-steel wire (Figure 12).

Table 2. Periodontal assessment of tooth 31 before treatment and at 1 year and 10 months of follow-up.

| Periodontal parameters | Baseline | 1 year and 10 months |
|------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Gingival recession     | 3 mm     | 0 mm                 |
| Keratinized mucosa     | 1 mm     | 5 mm                 |
| Probing depth          | 1 mm     | 1 mm                 |
| Attached gingiva       | 0 mm     | 4 mm                 |

Figure 11: Follow-up after 1 year and 10 months (A, B) and assessment of the keratinized mucosa and attached gingiva created after periodontal surgery for root coverage and orthodontic movement with a ligature wire and elastic rubber bands (C, D, E).

Figure 12: Final appearance after removal of the orthodontic appliance and installation of a retainer (A, B).

DISCUSSION

Gingival recession is a mucogingival alteration that is highly prevalent in clinical dental practice\(^{12}\). As the gingival margin migrates apically and exposes the root surface\(^{3,4}\), this condition interferes with the aesthetics and function of teeth and negatively influences the quality of life of the patient\(^{5,13}\).

The etiology of GR is multifactorial and some factors predispose to its development. The present patient exhibited anterior upper and lower crowding and a thin periodontal phenotype. The patient reported the occurrence of GR in tooth 31 after orthodontic movement. The latter may contribute to the development of GR in areas with these predisposing conditions\(^{5,12,14-16}\). In view of this diagnosis, it was necessary to combine the specialties of periodontics and orthodontics in order to correct the existing etiological factors.

Although initial orthodontic treatment had corrected the malposition of most teeth of the patient, tooth 31 continued to show rotation, edge-to-edge occlusion, and abnormal insertion of the inferior labial frenulum, which was located near the border of the recession. Root coverage was therefore preferred before the initiation of a new orthodontic approach. The recession was classified as RT1, which has an excellent prognosis as the predicted root coverage for this type is 100%\(^{2,17}\).

The technique chosen for correction of the mucogingival defect was CPF combined with SCTG, which consists of collecting a connective tissue graft that is sutured to the recipient site and covered with a previously fabricated flap. This technique continues to be the gold standard therapy for root coverage because of its excellent long-term stability\(^{18-20}\) high predictability, and favorable aesthetics\(^{21,22}\).

The connective tissue graft used here to treat GR was collected from the palatal mucosa between the mesial side of the canine and distal side of the first molar by linear incision. This approach is associated with lower morbidity and postoperative discomfort than other more invasive techniques such as the trap-door technique\(^{23}\). Fabricating the flap for the recipient site was a challenge because the gingival tissue was very thin and because of the presence of the active frenulum near the border of the recession, which increased the risk of flap fenestration. To reduce this risk, the flap was fabricated with a tunneling knife, which is smaller and more delicate than a Molt curette. In view of the high and active muscle attachment near the border of the tooth involved in root
coverage, frenectomy was performed to avoid traction of the graft area and relapse of GR24.

Evaluation of the CPF technique combined with an SCTG one year and 10 months after root coverage showed an excellent outcome in terms of stability of the gingival margin, even after the second orthodontic movement. This approach provided gain in the thickness and height of the attached gingiva, demonstrating that it is an excellent technique to modify the periodontal phenotype in risk areas for the development of recession. These findings corroborate the meta-analysis of Dai et al.26 who found that this procedure provided better short- and long-term root coverage, as well as long-term gain in keratinized tissue width, when compared to CPF alone. Furthermore, Novaes and Palioto25 concluded that the CPF technique is extremely versatile and predictable for root coverage procedures, especially when combined with SCTG and/or allogenic grafts. Taken together, these findings justify the choice of the technique in the present study.

Individuals with a thin periodontal phenotype and narrow gingival width have been shown to be more susceptible to developing GR. This risk increases with orthodontic treatment and may become clinically apparent over time.16 Based on the American Academy of Periodontology consensus statement published in 2020, Kao et.16 suggested PhMT to be performed before orthodontic treatment in patients with a thin periodontal phenotype when dental movement can compromise the soft or hard tissues. The present patient had a thin periodontal phenotype and developed GR after previous orthodontic treatment, which can be attributed to the greater susceptibility of patients with this periodontal phenotype undergoing orthodontic treatment to the development of recessions. In this respect, PhMT is effective in increasing gingival width and thickness as well as keratinized tissue width, reducing periodontal complications, particularly GRs and loss of CAL, and optimizing dentoalveolar bone volume in order to prevent future recessions associated with tooth movement16.

Orthodontic treatment itself cannot be considered the cause of GR but may provide conditions that predispose to its formation, including bone dehiscences that facilitate reduction of the periodontium, especially in areas with reduced cortical bone thickness and deficient in keratinized mucosa and attached gingiva5,14. In the present study, orthodontic intervention after root coverage contributed to the correction of malpositioned teeth and edge-to-edge occlusion, and reduced the protrusion of the lower incisors without damaging the periodontium, minimizing the risk of relapse of GR10. Orthodontic movement contributes to increase cortical thickness when positioning the involved teeth more centrally in the bone. We used anchorage with composite resin on the pre-molars for this purpose15 in order to reduce the protrusion of the lower incisors and to prevent movement to the buccal cortical bone, with consequent correction of overbite.

Ricketts bioprogressive philosophy was followed to correct crowding and rotation of the lower anterior teeth. For this purpose, segmented mechanics were used by applying light forces to move the teeth15 in order to permit continuous movement between the tooth and periodontium, predicting appositional bone growth in the thinner areas and avoiding the aggravation of attachment loss. Interproximal reduction generated space for orthodontic movement without vestibuloverision of the involved teeth10. Circumferential suprcrestal fiborotomy was performed before removal of the orthodontic appliance to prevent relapse of the moved teeth after the completion of treatment, and an orthodontic retainer was subsequently installed26.

CONCLUSION

Plastic surgery modified the mucogingival features of the area treated with an SCTG, altering the periodontal phenotype and preventing reinsertion of the inferior labial frenulum. Orthodontic treatment was fundamental by moving the tooth to a more stable position in the periodontal tissues, minimizing the risk of relapse of GR.
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