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Abstract

An operator $M$ acting on the space of real analytic functions $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is called a multiplier if every monomial is its eigenvector. In this paper we state some results concerning the problem of generating strongly continuous semigroups by multipliers. In particular we show when the Euler differential operator of finite order is a generator and when it is not.

1 Introduction

By $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ we will denote the space of real analytic functions with its natural inductive topology, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{ind}_{U \subset \mathbb{R}} H(U),$$

where $U$ runs over all complex neighbourhoods of $\mathbb{R}$ and $H(U)$ is equipped with the usual compact-open topology. The topology of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is complicated, but we will only need the following special case for convergent sequences:

Fact 1. A sequence $(f_n)$ converges to $f$ in the topology of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if all the functions $f_n$ and $f$ extend as holomorphic functions to a complex neighbourhood $U$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and $f_n \to f$ in $H(U)$.

Let $L(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}))$ be the space of all linear continuous operators on the space of real analytic functions $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. We say that an operator $M \in L(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}))$ is a multiplier, if every monomial is its eigenvector, i.e.

$$M(x^n) = m_n x^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We call the sequence $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a multiplier sequence. Since monomials are linearly dense in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ a multiplier is uniquely determined by its multiplier sequence. By $(M, (m_n))$ we will denote the multiplier $M$ with the multiplier sequence $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We denote by $M(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all multipliers and equip it with the topology induced from $L(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}))$. The basic examples of multipliers are:

- Euler differential operator
  $$Ef(x) = xf'(x),$$

- dilation operator
  $$D_\alpha f(x) = f(\alpha x),$$

- Hardy operator
  $$Hf(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(y)dy.$$
For more information on multipliers on \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \) we refer to [2, 3, 4].

In this paper we consider semigroups generated by multipliers. Consider the abstract Cauchy problem

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) = Mu(t),
\]

(1)

\[ u(0) = f, \]

where \( M \in M(\mathbb{R}) \), \( f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \). A classical approach to solve (1) is to study if the operator \( M \) generates a strongly continuous semigroup \( \{T_t : t \geq 0\} \). In this paper we will try to answer the question: Which multipliers generate a strongly continuous semigroup? Note that on a non-Banach locally convex space, a continuous linear operator does not always generate a strongly continuous semigroup.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce some notation and recall basic facts from the general theory of semigroups (more details can be found in [7]).

Let \( X \) be a locally convex space and \( (T_t)_{t \geq 0} \) a family of bounded operators on \( X \). The family \( (T_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is said to be a semigroup, if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( T_t T_s = T_{t+s} \) for all \( t, s \geq 0 \),
2. \( T_0 = I \) (the identity operator).

If in addition it satisfies

(3) \( \lim_{t \to s} T_t x = T_s x \) for any \( s \geq 0 \) and any \( x \in X \).

then \( (T_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is called a \( C_0 \)-semigroup (or strongly continuous semigroup).

If the above properties (1)-(3) hold for \( t, s \in \mathbb{R} \) instead of \( t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty) \) we call \( (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) a \( C_0 \)-group.

The generator \( (A, D(A)) \) of a strongly continuous semigroup \( (T_t)_{t \geq 0} \) on \( X \) is the operator

\[
Ax = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T_t x - x}{t} = \frac{\partial T_t x}{\partial t} \bigg|_{t=0}
\]

defined for every \( x \) in its domain

\[
D(A) = \{ x \in X : \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T_t x - x}{t} \text{ exists} \}.
\]

If \( X \) is a Banach space, then the well known spectral inclusion theorem holds ([5, 2.5]). In an arbitrary locally convex space, the similar property holds for the point spectrum.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( (A, D(A)) \) be a generator of a strongly continuous semigroup \( (T_t)_{t \geq 0} \) acting on a locally convex space \( X \). If \( x \) is an eigenvector of \( A \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \) then for every \( t \geq 0 \) the following holds

\[
T_t x = e^{t \lambda} x.
\]

**Proof.** For a fixed eigenvector \( x \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \) denote by \( (S_t)_{t \geq 0} \) the rescaled semigroup \( S_t = e^{-t \lambda} T_t \). Clearly the semigroup \( (S_t)_{t \geq 0} \) is strongly continuous. We denote by \( B \) the generator of \( (S_t) \). For every \( x \in X \) we have

\[
\frac{S_t x - x}{t} = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} T_t x - x}{t} = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} T_t x - T_t x + T_t x - x}{t} = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} - 1}{t} T_t x + \frac{T_t x - x}{t}.
\]

Since

\[
\frac{e^{-\lambda t} - 1}{t} T_t x \xrightarrow{t \to 0} -\lambda x
\]

2
we observe that $D(B) = D(A)$ and $B = A - \lambda$.

For $x \in D(A - \lambda)$ by (7, 1.2) we have

$$S_t x - x = \int_0^t S_s (A - \lambda) x ds.$$ 

Hence

$$e^{-\lambda t} T_t x - x = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} T_s (A - \lambda) x ds.$$ 

As $Ax = \lambda x$ the right hand side equals 0 and we have

$$T_t x = e^{t \lambda} x.$$

It follows that

**Corollary 3.** If a $C_0$-semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is generated by a multiplier $(M, (m_n))$, then it is a semigroup of multipliers. Moreover, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the multiplier sequence of $(T_t, (m_n^t))$ is given by $m_n^t = \exp(t m_n)$.

We now present some properties of the algebra of multipliers $M(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ the Riemann sphere and by $H_0(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ the space of holomorphic functions around infinity, vanishing at infinity, which extend to holomorphic functions on $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ i.e.

$$H_0(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} H_0(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-N, N]).$$

The space $H_0(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ equipped with the Hadamard multiplication of Laurent series, i.e.

$$f \ast g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_n g_n}{z^{n+1}}$$ around infinity

where

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_n}{z^{n+1}}, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_n}{z^{n+1}}$$ around infinity,

forms an algebra. The algebra $H_0(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the algebra $H(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \frac{1}{2})$ of functions holomorphic at zero which extend to holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ with Hadamard multiplication of Taylor series, i.e

$$f \ast g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n g_n z^n$$ around zero

where

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n z^n$$ around zero.

The isomorphism is given by the map $\varphi(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2} f(\frac{1}{z})$.

To make the paper self contained we cite multiplier’s representation theorem from [2] which we will need later.
Theorem 4 ([2, 2.8]). The algebra of multipliers $M(\mathbb{R})$ is topologically isomorphic as an algebra with the following algebras of holomorphic functions:

1. $H_0(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ with Hadamard multiplication of Laurent series,
2. $H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{2})$ with Hadamard multiplication of Taylor series.

The multiplier sequence of the given multiplier is equal to the Laurent (Taylor) coefficients at infinity (zero) $(f_n)$ of the corresponding function $f$.

3 Main results

Now we present the theorem which will be our main tool in proving that some multipliers do or do not generate $C_0$-semigroups.

Theorem 5. Let $M : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ be a multiplier with the multiplier sequence $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The multiplier $M$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the operator $T_t$ is a multiplier with the multiplier sequence $(m_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\exp(t m_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the map $Tf : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$, $Tf(t) = T_t f$ is continuous for every $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.

(iii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the operator $T_t$ is a multiplier with the multiplier sequence $(m_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\exp(t m_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the set $\{T_t f : t \in [0,t_0]\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and every $t_0 \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Follows from Fact [2]

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): First we will show that multipliers $(T_t, (m_n^t))$ form a semigroup. For every $t, s \geq 0$ and every monomial $x^n$ we have

$$T_t T_s x^n = T_{t+s} x^n = e^{(t+s)m_n} x^n = T_{t+s} x^n.$$ 

Since polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ we get that $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$ for every $t, s \geq 0$ and $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is indeed a semigroup.

Now we will show that $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $C_0$-semigroup. We assume that the set $\{T_t f : t \in [0,t_0]\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ for arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$, $t_0 \geq 0$. By $\tau$ we denote the natural topology on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.

Recall that an operator $V : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{R} \subset U} H(U) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous if and only if $V : H(U) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous for every complex neighbourhood $U \supset \mathbb{R} ([I, 1.25])$. The linear map

$$B : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \to \omega$$

$$f \mapsto \left( \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \right)_{n} ,$$

is continuous since for the topology of pointwise convergence $\tau_\omega$ on $\omega$ and from the Cauchy inequality we get

$$\left| \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \right| \leq C_K \|f\|_{\infty, K}$$

for any compact set $K \subset U$ with $0 \in \text{Int} K$. Hence we can consider $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ with the coarser topology induced by the map above i.e. $\tau_2 = B^{-1}(\tau_\omega)$.

The multiplier sequence of $T_t$ equals $(e^{tm_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence $(T_t f)^{(n)}(0) = e^{tm_n} f^{(n)}(0)$ and the map

$$C_f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \omega$$

$$t \mapsto \left( \frac{(T_t f)^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \right) = \left( \frac{e^{tm_n} f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \right)_{n}$$
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is continuous.

We consider the mapping $Tf : \mathbb{R} \to (\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$, $Tf(t) := T_t f$. The map $Tf : \mathbb{R} \to (\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), B^{-1}(\tau_\omega))$ is continuous. Indeed, take an open set $U \in B^{-1}(\tau_\omega)$. Hence, there exists an open set $V \in \omega$ such that $U = B^{-1}(V)$ and we have $(Tf)^{-1}(U) = (Tf)^{-1}(B^{-1}(V)) = (B \circ Tf)^{-1}(V) = C_f^{-1}(V)$.

Since by the assumption the set $\{T_t f : t \in [0, t_0]\}$ is bounded in $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$, hence compact and the compact Hausdorff topology is the minimal Hausdorff topology [3.1.14] we get that $\tau = \tau_2$ on $\{T_t f : t \in [0, t_0]\}$ and the map $Tf : [0, t_0] \to (\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$ is continuous for every $t_0 \geq 0$. Hence $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous.

Denote by $A$ the generator of the semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$. For every monomial $x^n$ we have

$$A x^n = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{T_t x^n - x^n}{t} = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{e^{tm_n} x^n - x^n}{t} = \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{e^{tm_n} - 1}{t} x^n = m_n x^n.$$

Hence, $A = M$ on the set of polynomials, which is dense in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. As the operator $M$ is continuous, for any function $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and a sequence of polynomials $p_n$ converging to $f$, we have $Ap_n = Mp_n \to Mf$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. Because the generator $A$ is closed [7, 1.4] we get that $f \in D(A)$ and $Af = Mf$.

The above with Theorem 4 gives

**Corollary 6.** The following assertions are equivalent

1. The multiplier $(M, (m_n))$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$
2. For every $t \geq 0$ the function $f_t, f_t(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(t m_n) z^n$, extends to a holomorphic function belonging to $H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{t})$ and the set $\{f_t : t \leq t_0\}$ is bounded in $H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{t})$ for all $t_0 \geq 0$.
3. For every $t \geq 0$ the function $\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{f}_t(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(t m_n)}{z^n}$, extends to a holomorphic function belonging to $H_0(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ and the set $\{f_t : t \leq t_0\}$ is bounded in $H_0(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$ for all $t_0 \geq 0$.

**Proof.** (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2): By Theorem 4 statement (1) is equivalent to $T_t$ being multipliers with multiplier sequences $(\epsilon^{t m_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{T_t f : t \leq t_0\}$ being bounded in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t_0 > 0$ and all $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. The first condition by Theorem 4 is equivalent to $f_t \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{t})$ for all $t \geq 0$. In view of the uniform boundedness principle the second condition is equivalent to $\{T_t : t \leq t_0\}$ being bounded in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}))$, which by Theorem 4 is equivalent to $\{f_t : t \leq t_0\}$ being bounded in $H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): the proof of the equivalence is similar to the above.

**Lemma 7.** The set of multipliers generating a $C_0$-semigroup is additive.

**Proof.** Let multipliers $(A, (a_n)), (B, (b_n))$ be the generators of $C_0$-semigroups $(T^A_t, (\epsilon^{t a_n}))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(T^B_t, (\epsilon^{t b_n}))_{t \geq 0}$ respectively and let $f_t, g_t \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{t})$ be the corresponding (in view of Theorem 4) holomorphic functions. Take $t \geq 0$ and choose $0 < \varepsilon, \delta < 1$ such that $f_t \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, \infty)))$ and $g_t \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -\delta] \cup [\delta, \infty)))$. By the Hadamard multiplication theorem $f_t * g_t \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -\varepsilon\delta] \cup [\varepsilon\delta, \infty)))$ [3] Th. H]. Hence by Theorem 4 the operator $T^{A+B}_t$ is a multiplier with a multiplier sequence $(\epsilon^{t(a_n+b_n)})_{n \geq 0}$. Since for monomials we have $T^{A+B}_t x^n = \epsilon^{t(a_n+b_n)} x^n = T^A_t T^B_t x^n$ and monomials are linearly dense in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$, we get that $T^{A+B}_t = T^A_t T^B_t$. Hence the map $T^{A+B} f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), T^{A+B} f(t) = T^{A+B}_t f$ is continuous for all $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus by Theorem 4 the multiplier $(A + B, (a_n + b_n))$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup $(T^{A+B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Now we answer the question when does the Euler differential operator generate a strongly continuous semigroup.

**Theorem 8.** Let $E \in L(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}))$ be a first order Euler differential operator,

$$Ef(x) = ax f'(x) + bf(x).$$

The multiplier $E$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup if and only if $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. A multiplier \((M, (c))\) with a constant multiplier sequence generates the \(C_0\)-semigroup \((T_t)_{t \geq 0}\), \(T_t f = e^{t c} f\). Hence by Lemma 3 without loss of generality we can assume that \(b = 0\).

The multiplier sequence of \(E\) is \((m_n) = (a n)\). Hence we get the corresponding functions

\[
 f_t(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\tan^n z} = \frac{1}{1 - ze^{ta}} \in H_0(\mathbb{C} \setminus e^{-ta}).
\]  

Hence \(f_t \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{k})\) for every \(a \in \mathbb{R}\), \(t \geq 0\), and \((T_t, (e^{t \tan}))\) is a multiplier. On the other hand, if \(a \notin \mathbb{R}\) then for every \(t\) such that \(ta \neq k \pi i\), \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\), we have \(f_t \notin H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{k})\) and \(E\) does not generate a semigroup.

To finish the proof we need to show that, under the assumption \(a \in \mathbb{R}\), the semigroup \((T_t)_{t \geq 0}\) strongly continuous, i.e., we need to prove the continuity of the map \(Tf: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\), \(Tf(t) = T_f(t)\) for arbitrary \(f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\). By (2) we can extend the map \(Tf: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\) to the map \(Tf: \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\).

To prove the continuity we will use the explicit formula of the multipliers \(T_t\) with \((m_n) = (\exp(t \tan))\). We have \(T_t f(x) = f(e^{ta}x)\). Indeed, for a monomial \(x^n\) we have

\[
 T_t x^n(y) = e^{ta} x^n(y) = e^{ta} y^n = x^n(e^{ta} y).
\]

Moreover, observe that the map \(f \mapsto g, g(x) = f(e^{ta} x)\) is linear and continuous on \(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\) for any \(a, t \in \mathbb{R}\). Thus the claim follows from the density of polynomials in \(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\).

As \(T_t f - T_{t+s} f = T_t (f - f_s)\) and \(s \in \mathbb{R}\) it is enough to show the continuity at \(t = 0\). Recall that \(T_{ta} f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})\) as \(ta \to 0\) if and only if there exists an open complex neighbourhood \(V \subset \mathbb{R}\) such that \(T_{ta} f \in H(U)\) for every \(u \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(t_{ta} f \to f\) in \(H(U)\).

Let \(U\) be a complex open neighbourhood of \(\mathbb{R}\) such that \(f \in H(U)\). Let \(U'\) be a star-convex subset of \(U\) and put \(V := \frac{1}{4} U'\). We choose \(\varepsilon > 0\) such that \(e^{a|z|} < 2\). Then for \(|t| < \varepsilon\) we have that \(e^{ta} V \subset U' \subset V\) and \(T_t f \in H(V)\).

Now we will show that \(T_{ta} f \to f\) in \(H(V)\). Take an arbitrary compact set \(K \subset V\). Then for a compact set \(K_2\) such that \(K \subset K_2 \subset V\), \(K \subset \text{Int} K_2\) and for \(t_{na}\) small enough we have \(e^{ta} K \subset K_2 \subset V\) and

\[
 \lim_{t_{na} \to 0} \|T_{ta} f - f\|_K = \lim_{t_{na} \to 0} \sup_{z \in K} |f(e^{ta} z) - f(z)| = 0,
\]

since \(f\) is uniformly continuous on compact sets.

We have proved that \((T_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is strongly continuous. Moreover \(E\) is its generator as for all monomials we have

\[
 \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T_t x^n - x^n}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(e^{ta} x)^n - x^n}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{e^{tn} - 1}{t} x^n = anx^n = Ex^n.
\]

Now we consider the differential operators \(P(\theta)\) of higher orders. We start with the negative result.

**Theorem 9.** Let \(P(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \theta^k, \theta f(x) = x f'(x)\), be a finite order differential operator of degree at least 2. The operator \(P(\theta)\) does not generate a \(C_0\)-semigroup in the following cases:

1. \(\Re a_K = \ldots = \Re a_K = 0\) and \(\Re a_l > 0\) for some \(l \geq 2\).
2. \(a_K, \ldots, a_2 \in i \mathbb{Q}\).

**Proof.** (1): The multiplier sequence of \(P(\theta)\) is given by \((m_n) = (P(n))\). Assume that \(P(\theta)\) generates a \(C_0\)-semigroup \((T_t)_{t \geq 0}\). Then, by Corollary 3 for all \(t \geq 0\) the operator \((T_t, e^{P(n)}(t))\) is a multiplier and the function \(f_t, f_t(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{P(n)}(t) z^n\) around 0, extends to a holomorphic function in \(H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})\). But, for every \(R > 0\) we have

\[
 \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| e^{P(n)}(t) \right| R^n = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^{t \Re P(n)} R^n > \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^{(t a_i - \varepsilon) n^l} R^n = \infty
\]

for some \(\varepsilon > 0\).
We start with the case \( P(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \theta^k \) such that \( a_k \in iQ \) for every \( 1 \leq k \leq K \). We will show, that for every such polynomial \( P \) there exists \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that \( (m'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \exp(t_0 P(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not a multiplier sequence.

Let \( \tilde{P}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tilde{a}_k x^k \) be a polynomial such that \( \tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{Z} \) for all \( k \leq K \) and \( m_n = \frac{1}{q} \tilde{P}(n) \), where \( S \) is the common denominator of all the coefficients \( a_k \).

As \( \tilde{a}_0 = 0 \) we have that \( \tilde{P}(0) = 0 \). Let \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that

1. \( |\tilde{P}(n_0 + 2)| = q, q > 2, \)
2. \( \tilde{P}(n_0) \neq \tilde{P}(n_0 + 2) \mod 2q. \)

It is clear that such \( n_0 \) exists. Indeed, take \( n_0 \) such that \( P(n) \) is monotonous for \( n \geq n_0 \). Then

\[
|\tilde{P}(n_0)| < |\tilde{P}(n_0 + 2)| < 2q.
\]

Take \( t_0 = \frac{2 \pi}{q} \) and consider the function

\[
f_{t_0}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n^{t_0} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left( \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{q} \pi i \right) z^n \quad \text{around 0.}
\]

The expression \( \exp \left( \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{q} \pi i \right) \) takes at most \( 2q \) different values and

\[
\exp \left( \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{q} \pi i \right) = \exp \left( \frac{\tilde{P}(2q + n)}{q} \pi i \right).
\]

Denote \( \xi_n = \exp \left( \frac{\tilde{P}(n)}{q} \pi i \right) \). Hence we have

\[
f_{t_0}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n z^n = z^0 + \xi_1 z^1 + \xi_2 z^2 + \ldots + z^{2q} + \xi_{2q+1} z^{2q+1} + \xi_{2q+2} z^{2q+2} + \ldots
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{2q-1} \xi_n z^n \frac{1}{1 - z^{2q}}
\]

This implies that \( f \) is defined on \( \mathbb{C} \) except it can have poles of order 1 at 2q-roots of unity. Now we will show that \( f_{t_0} \notin H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{\mathbb{R}}) \). Assume that \( f_{t_0} \in H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{\mathbb{R}}) \), so \( f_{t_0} \) would have only poles of order 1 in points \( \pm 1 \). Then \( g(z) = (1 - z^2) f(z) \in H(\mathbb{C}) \). But

\[
g(z) = (1 - z^2) f_{t_0}(z) = (1 - z^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\xi_n z^n - \xi_n z^{n+2})
\]

\[
= 1 + \xi_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\xi_n - \xi_{n-2}) z^n.
\]

For every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have

\[
\xi_{2kq+n_0+2} = \xi_{n_0+2} \neq \xi_{n_0} = \xi_{2kq+n_0}
\]

and

\[
|\xi_{2kq+n_0+2} - \xi_{2kq+n_0}| = \delta
\]

for some \( \delta > 0 \). Hence

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n - \xi_{n-2}|} = 1
\]

and we get a contradiction. Hence \( f_{t_0} \notin H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{\mathbb{R}}) \) and \( (P(\theta), (P(n))) \) does not generate a semigroup.
Now consider \( P(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \theta^k \), with \( a_K, \ldots, a_2 \in i\mathbb{Q}, a_1 = ir, r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \). Taking \( t_0 = 2S\pi \), where \( S \) denotes the common denominator of \( a_K, \ldots, a_2 \), we get that

\[
ed_{t_0} P(n) = e^{2r\pi in}.
\]

By Theorem 4 the operator \((T_{t_0}, (e^{2r\pi in}))\) is not a multiplier since

\[
f_{t_0}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{2r\pi in} z^n = \frac{1}{1 - e^{2r\pi iz}} \notin H(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})
\]
as \( r \notin \mathbb{Q} \). By Theorem 2 \((P(\theta), P(n))\) cannot generate a semigroup.

Summarizing, we proved that multiplier \((P(\theta), (P(n)))\) with \( P(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \theta^k \), \( a_K, \ldots, a_2 \in i\mathbb{Q}, a_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \) does not generate a semigroup. Now take a multiplier \( Q(\theta) = P(\theta) + b_1 \theta + c \) with \( b_1 \in \mathbb{R} \). As the operators \((M_{-b}, (-b_1 n - c)), (M_{b_1}, (b_1 n + c))\) generate \( C_0\)-semigroups (Theorem 8) and the sum of multipliers being generators is a generator (Lemma 7) we conclude that \((Q(\theta), (Q(n)))\) generates the semigroup if and only if \((P(\theta), (P(n)))\) does, which finishes the proof.

Now we will give another example of a multiplier that generates a strongly continuous semigroup on \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \), i.e. we will show that the Hardy operator, \( H f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(t) dt \), is a generator of a \( C_0 \)-group. To do this we need some more facts from the theory of the space of analytic functions. In particular, we need a representation of multipliers by the so called Mellin functions. Hence, we start with following definitions.

**Definition 10.** Let \((\kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be sequences of real numbers such that \( \kappa_1 < 0 \) and \( 0 < K_n \to \infty \). We define an asymptotic halfplane \( \omega \) by

\[
\omega = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\kappa_n + \omega_{K_n}) \text{ for } \omega_{K_n} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\text{Im} z| < K_n \text{ Re } z \}.
\]

We call a holomorphic function \( f \in H(\omega) \) a Mellin function for the sequence \((m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) if there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
|f(z)| \leq Ce^{C|\text{Re } z|} \text{ for } z \in \omega
\]

and

\[
f(n) = m_n.
\]

We will denote the space of Mellin functions by \( \mathcal{M}(\omega) \).

**Definition 11.** For \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) we define

\[
\mathcal{M}_a(\omega) = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}(\omega) : \forall j \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |f(z)| e^{-(a + \frac{1}{j}) \text{Re } z} < \infty \}
\]

where \( \Gamma_j = (\bigcup_{n \leq j} (\kappa_n + 1/j + \omega_{K_n})) \).

The space \( \mathcal{M}_a(\omega) \) is a Fréchet space with the fundamental system of seminorms \((\|\cdot\|_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) given by

\[
\|f\|_j = \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |f(z)| e^{-(a + \frac{1}{j}) \text{Re } z}.
\]

We will need the following theorems.

**Theorem 12 ([1] 4.1).** There exists a continuous, linear and surjective mapping \( H^+_a : \mathcal{M}_a(\omega) \to \mathcal{A}([0, e^a])' \) satisfying

\[
(H^+_a(f), x^n) = f(n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Let \( \text{Theorem 14.} \) equivalent to the existence of the Mellin functions \( \mu \) sequences
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k} = 0
\]
Hence
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k}
\]
sequences \( \{ H \} \) are multiplier sequences for multipliers \( T_i \) and that the mapping \( T_f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \), \( T_f(t) = T_t f \) is continuous. From Theorem \( \text{[13]} \) the first condition is equivalent to the existence of functionals \( F_t \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfying \( \langle F_t, x^n \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k} \), and due to Theorem \( \text{[12]} \) it is equivalent to the existence of the Mellin functions \( \mu_t \in \mathcal{A}_o(\omega) \) for \( \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k} \right) \) \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

For the proof it is enough to find the asymptotic halfplane \( \omega \) and Mellin functions \( \mu_t \in \mathcal{A}_o(\omega) \) such that the mapping \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}_o(\omega) \), \( t \mapsto \mu_t \) is continuous. Indeed, consider the following diagram
\[
\mathbb{R} \overset{H^+}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{A}_o(\omega) \overset{\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{H}^+}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})' \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\rightarrow} M(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Recall that \( H^+ \), \( \mathcal{B} \) are continuous (Theorems \( \text{[2]} \) \( \text{[13]} \)) with \( \mathcal{B} \circ H^+ \circ \varphi(t) = T_t \). Hence, if the function \( \varphi \) is continuous then the function \( t \mapsto T_t f \) is continuous.

Let \( \omega \) be an asymptotic halfplane such that \( \kappa_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \), \( \kappa_2 = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 2 \) and consider the functions
\[
\mu_t = \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k} \right), \quad t \geq 0.
\]
Then \( \mu_t \) is clearly holomorphic on \( \omega \) and for \( z \in \omega \subset \{ \Re z > -\frac{1}{2} \} \) it satisfies
\[
|\mu_t(z)| = \left| \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(z+1)^k} \right) \right| \leq \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \left| \frac{a_k}{(z+1)^k} \right| \right) \leq \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} 2^k |a_k| \right) < \infty.
\]
Hence \( \{ \mu_t \}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{A}_o(\omega) \) and because
\[
\mu_t(n) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k} \right),
\]
we get that functions \( \mu_t \) are Mellin functions for the sequence \( \left( \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(n+1)^k} \right) \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \).

Now we will show that \( \mu_t \in \mathcal{A}_o(\omega) \) for any \( a > 0 \) and all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). We compute
\[
\sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |\mu_t(z)| e^{-(a+\frac{1}{j}) \Re z} = \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} \left| \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{a_k}{(z+1)^k} \right) \exp \left( - \left( a + \frac{1}{j} \right) \Re z \right) \right|
\]
\[
\leq \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} 2^k |a_k| \right) \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} \exp \left( - \left( a + \frac{1}{j} \right) \Re z \right)
\]
\[
< \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} 2^k |a_k| \right) \exp \left( \left( a + \frac{1}{j} \right) \frac{1}{2} \right) < \infty.
\]
To finish the proof we need to prove the continuity of the map \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{H}_a(\omega) \), \( \varphi(t) = \mu_t \).

Fix \( t \in \mathbb{R}, j \geq 1 \). Then

\[
\| \mu_t - \mu_{t+h} \|_j = \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |\mu_t(z) - \mu_{t+h}(z)| \exp \left( - \left( a + \frac{1}{j} \right) \Re z \right)
= \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |\mu_t(z)| |(1 - \mu_h(z))| \exp \left( - \left( a + \frac{1}{j} \right) \Re z \right)
< \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{K} 2^k |t a_k| + \frac{1}{2} (a + j^{-1}) \right) \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |(1 - \mu_h(z))|.
\]

For the last component we have that

\[
\sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} |(1 - \mu_h(z))| = \sup_{z \in \Gamma_j} \left| 1 - \prod_{k=0}^{K} \exp \left( \Re(a_k) h \frac{\Re(z+1)^k}{|z+1|^k} \right) \exp \left( i \Im(a_k) h \frac{\Im(z+1)^k}{|z+1|^k} \right) \right|.
\]  

(3)

Since for all complex numbers \( z \in \mathbb{C} \)

\[
\frac{\Re z}{|z|} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Im z}{|z|} \leq 1
\]

all components of the product in (3) tend to 1 uniformly on \( \Gamma_j \) as \( h \) tends to 0. Hence

\[
\| \mu_t - \mu_{t+h} \|_j \xrightarrow{h \to 0} 0.
\]

\[
\square
\]
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