ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Manually drawn VOI-based analysis shows a decrease in magnetization transfer ratio in the hippocampus of patients with Alzheimer disease. We investigated with whole-brain voxelwise analysis the regional changes of the magnetization transfer ratio in patients with mild Alzheimer disease and patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Twenty patients with mild Alzheimer disease, 27 patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment, and 30 healthy elderly control subjects were examined with high-resolution T1WI and 3-mm-thick magnetization transfer images. Whole-brain voxelwise analysis of magnetization transfer ratio maps was performed by use of Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 software and was supplemented by the analysis of the magnetization transfer ratio in FreeSurfer parcellation-derived VOIs.

RESULTS: Voxelwise analysis showed 2 clusters of significantly decreased magnetization transfer ratio in the left hippocampus and amygdala and in the left posterior mesial temporal cortex (fusiform gyrus) of patients with Alzheimer disease as compared with control subjects but no difference between patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment and either patients with Alzheimer disease or control subjects. VOI analysis showed that the magnetization transfer ratio in the hippocampus and amygdala was significantly lower (bilaterally) in patients with Alzheimer disease when compared with control subjects (ANOVA with Bonferroni correction, at \( P < .05 \)). Mean magnetization transfer ratio values in the hippocampus and amygdala in patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment were between those of healthy control subjects and those of patients with mild Alzheimer disease. Support vector machine–based classification demonstrated improved classification performance after inclusion of magnetization transfer ratio–related features, especially between patients with Alzheimer disease versus healthy subjects.

CONCLUSIONS: Bilateral but asymmetric decrease of magnetization transfer ratio reflecting microstructural changes of the residual GM is present not only in the hippocampus but also in the amygdala in patients with mild Alzheimer disease.

ABBREVIATIONS: AD = Alzheimer disease; AUC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; DARTEL = Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration through Exponentiated Lie Algebra; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MT = magnetization transfer

Alzheimer disease (AD) is the most common cause of dementia and a major cause of morbidity worldwide.\(^1\) In recent years, considerable efforts have been made to better understand the natural evolution of AD, with particular focus on the presymptomatic and early symptomatic phases of the disease, whose characterization could enable earlier and potentially more effective treatment. These efforts have led to identification of a heterogeneous condition called mild cognitive impairment (MCI), which is characterized by objective evidence of cognitive decline without deficit in daily living activities.\(^2\) In particular, it is assumed that a particular form of MCI, termed amnestic MCI, can represent an intermediary predementia stage of AD.\(^3\)

The search for biomarkers that may reflect specific in vivo features of pathophysiologic processes underlying AD is drawing increasing attention and financial resources.\(^1\)

MRI allows in vivo investigation of the structural brain changes in AD.\(^4\) T1WI shows global and local volume loss in
structures typically affected by the disease such as the temporal lobe, including the hippocampus, amygdala, and entorhinal cortex. DTT and magnetization transfer (MT) imaging allow assessment of the microstructure of the residual WM and GM in the same structures. In particular, MT is a peculiar MR contrast that is based on the exchange of magnetization between free protons and protons bound to macromolecules and is influenced by the direct saturation of free protons by the radiofrequency pulse. A more comprehensive analysis of the magnetization transfer phenomenon is possible with a quantitative approach, which, by use of different magnetization transfer models, enables determination of several parameters including the relative size of the restricted proton pool, the T2 relaxation time of the restricted pool and the relaxation times T1 and T2 of the free pool, and the forward exchange ratio.

In its simplest version, MT is obtained with acquisition of a pair of images without and with a specific radiofrequency off-resonance prepulse followed by image subtraction and computation of the MT ratio. MT ratio is decreased in the temporal lobe and hippocampus of patients with AD independent from atrophy, variably correlated with clinical severity, neuropsychological measurements, and disease duration, and appears capable to track progression of regional GM structural changes in AD. MT ratio was also reported to be decreased in the whole brain, whole temporal lobe, or temporal lobe GM and WM in patients with amnestic MCI as compared with age-matched healthy control subjects. However, in 2 studies that compared the MT ratio with several parameters calculated with quantitative MT approach in the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, insula, and temporal neocortex, the former delivered the worst performance in differentiating AD, MCI, or healthy control subjects.

All the above MT imaging studies in AD and MCI used whole brain or temporal lobe segmentation, or involved analysis of manually drawn region of interest or VOI. Voxelwise analysis of MT ratio maps offers the advantage of an automatic whole-brain, voxel-based analysis without a priori assumptions about the specific region under investigation. Thus far, this approach was applied in only 1 study that evaluated several sophisticated parameters calculated from quantitative MT imaging but not MT ratio and reported significantly reduced efficiency of the transfer of magnetization between the 2 pools in the hippocampus, temporal lobe, posterior cingulate, and posterior parietal cortex of patients with AD compared with healthy control subjects.

In the present study, we evaluated the MT ratio with a voxelwise, whole-brain approach supplemented by automatic VOI analysis in a group of patients with mild AD, a group of patients with amnestic MCI, and a group of healthy elderly control subjects. The 2 aims of our study were 1) to map the regional distribution of MT ratio in mild AD and 2) to further explore the regional changes in MT ratio in amnestic MCI.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**
The study was based on 47 subjects referred to the memory clinic of the University of Florence who were consecutively observed over a period of 2 years and evaluated through an extensive standardized neuropsychological battery. Twenty (17 women and 3 men; mean age, 74.4 ± 7.0 years; range, 59–85 years) fulfilled the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable AD, and, on the basis of the results of the Mini-Mental State Examination (mean, 25 ± 2.5; range, 21.3–30), received a diagnosis of mild AD. Twenty-seven (15 women and 12 men; mean age, 68.8 ± 7.8 years; range, 51–82 years) fulfilled the Winblad et al criteria for amnestic MCI. In particular, they were judged as both not normal and not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for dementia (Diagnosis and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV, ICD 10). Moreover, they had preserved basic activities of daily living or minimal impairment in complex instrumental functions in combination with evidence of memory decline, measured either by self-report and/or informant report in conjunction with deficits on objective cognitive tasks and/or evidence of memory decline over time on objective neuropsychological tests. Their mean Mini-Mental State Examination score was 27.9 ± 1.8 (range, 23–30).

Thirty healthy subjects (18 women and 12 men; mean age, 71.9 ± 6.1 years; range, 57–82) without familial or personal history of neurologic or psychiatric disorders served as control subjects. They underwent a neurologic examination that showed no abnormalities. Their mean Mini-Mental State Examination score was 28.8 ± 1.2 (range, 26.2–30).

The 3 groups of subjects were matched for sex (x², P = .12). Patients with AD and healthy control subjects as well as patients with MCI and healthy control subjects did not differ significantly in terms of mean age, whereas the patients with MCI were younger than the patients with AD (ANOVA with Bonferroni correction, with P < .05).

**MRI Protocol**

Within 3 months of the clinical evaluation and recruitment, patients and control subjects underwent MRI examination on a 1.5T system (Intera; Philips, the Netherlands) with 33 mT/m maximum gradient strength and a 6-channel head coil. After the scout image, a sagittal 3D T1-weighted turbo gradient-echo sequence (TR = 8.1 ms, TE = 3.7 ms, flip angle = 8°, TI = 764 ms, FOV = 256 × 256 mm, matrix size = 256 × 256, 160 contiguous sections, section thickness = 1 mm, NEX = 1, acceleration factor (SENSE) = 2) was acquired for quantitative volumetric assessment of GM and as a high-resolution anatomic reference of MT images. For MT imaging, axial images without (M0) and with (Ms) gaussian sinc-shaped off-resonance pulse (bandwidth = 342 Hz, offset frequency = 1100 Hz, duration = 17,500 μs) were acquired with a gradient recalled-echo sequence (TR = 37 ms, TE = 3.7 ms, flip angle = 8°, FOV = 256 × 256 mm, matrix size = 128 × 128, 100 contiguous sections, section thickness = 3 mm, NEX = 2, acceleration factor (SENSE) = 2). A coronal FLAIR sequence (TR = 11,000 ms, TE = 140 ms, TI = 2800 ms, FOV = 230 mm, matrix size = 320 × 216, contiguous sections, section thickness = 5 mm) was also performed for evaluation of nonspecific T2 hypointensities of the cerebral WM observed in elderly subjects, termed leukoaraisis.

The acquisition time for the entire MR protocol was approximately 17 minutes, with 8 minutes required for MT imaging.
T2 Hyperintensities of the Cerebral WM
One operator (M.M.) with 25 years of experience in clinical MRI who was blinded to the clinical data evaluated the FLAIR images of all subjects to rate the extension of cerebral leukoaraiosis by use of the 0–3 visual scale proposed by Fazekas et al.24

MT Ratio Map Computation
For each subject, after 6 df co-registration of M0–Ms images by use of FLIRT (FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool; http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/flirt),25 the MT ratio map was calculated, voxel by voxel, according to the following formula $\frac{(M0 – Ms)}{M0} \times 100$. A brain mask was also computed by use of the FSL Brain Extraction Tool on the Ms image. Values of MT ratio map $>75$, assumed to be caused by image noise or partial volume effects or outside the brain mask, were replaced by zeroes.26

Voxel-Based Morphometry
Preprocessing of the T1WI was performed by use of the Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 package (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) and the Voxel Based Morphometry 8 toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de).27 All T1WI was corrected for bias-field inhomogeneities, then spatially normalized to the standard Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration through Exponentiated Lie Algebra (DARTEL) T1 template in Montreal Neurological Institute space by means of linear and nonlinear transformations and segmented into GM, WM, and CSF within the same generative model.28 The segmentation procedure was further extended by accounting for partial volume effects,29 by applying adaptive maximum a posteriori estimations,30 and by use of a hidden Markov random field model,31 as described previously.32 The resulting GM and WM images were modulated to account for volume changes resulting from the normalization process. We considered only nonlinear volume changes so that further analyses did not have to account for differences in head size. Finally, images were smoothed with a gaussian kernel of 8 mm (full width at half maximum).

Voxelwise GM and WM differences between patients with AD and patients with MCI and control subjects were examined by means of 1-way ANOVA with age and sex as nuisance variables. To avoid possible edge effects between different tissue types, we excluded the VOI with evident partial volume effects or outside the brain mask, as adequate. In particular, he excluded the VOI with evident CSF contamination.

For each subject, the affine transformation from T1WI to the Ms image was computed as the inverse of the previously computed affine transformation from the Ms image to the T1WI. After erosion, the transformation from T1WI to the Ms image was applied to each VOI, and the mean MT ratio was determined in this transformed VOI.

The comparison of mean MT ratio within the hippocampus and amygdala VOIs in the healthy control subjects, patients with MCI, and patients with AD was performed by means of ANOVA test with post hoc Bonferroni correction, with $P < .05$.

Classification by Means of Support Vector Machines
With the goal of exploring the discriminative power of automatic VOI analysis of MT ratio in the hippocampus and amygdala, we trained a popular machine learning scheme, that is, a support vector machine (with linear kernel and complexity parameter $C = 1$), implemented through a sequential minimal optimization algorithm.34 In this context, we considered only the subset of patients for which the complete set of hippocampus and amygdala VOI measurements was available. We took into account the imbalance in the number of subjects within the different classes by the cost matrix method.34 We studied 2 different classification tasks: 1) patients with AD patients versus healthy subjects and 2) patients with AD versus with MCI. For each classification task, we initially fed the classifier with the VOI volumes only, and successively with both VOI volumes and VOI MT ratios. To fully explore the solution space in a rigorous manner, we performed an exhaustive search on the different feature vectors by use of a 10-fold cross-validation technique for training and testing the classifiers. Performances were evaluated through the area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC), in which highest values of AUC were considered better, and, in the case of equal ranking, the result obtained with a lower number of features was considered better. Sensitivity and specificity were also recorded.

VOI-Based MT Ratio Analysis
Completely automated subcortical reconstruction and volumetric segmentation of each subject’s structural T1-weighted MRI scan were performed with the FreeSurfer image analysis suite (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/).33 Briefly, this includes removal of nonbrain tissue by use of a hybrid watershed/surface deformation procedure, automated Talairach transformation, segmentation of the subcortical WM and deep GM volumetric structures, intensity normalization, tessellation of the GM/WM boundary, automated topology correction, and surface deformation after intensity gradients to optimally place the GM/WM and GM/CSF borders at the location where the greatest shift in intensity defines the transition to the other tissue class. Volumetric regions of interest delineating left and right hippocampus and amygdala in each subject’s native T1 space were obtained by affine transformation. To reduce partial volume effects, each VOI was eroded with a 3D structural element with 1-mm radius. VOIs in the amygdala containing a small ($<150 \text{ mm}^3$ of volume) number of voxels were excluded from further analyses. One operator (A.G.), blinded to the clinical data, visually judged the VOIs automatically placed in the hippocampus and amygdala as adequate or inadequate. In particular, he excluded the VOI with evident CSF contamination.

For each subject, the affine transformation from T1WI to the Ms image was computed as the inverse of the previously computed affine transformation from the Ms image to the T1WI. After erosion, the transformation from T1WI to the Ms image was applied to each VOI, and the mean MT ratio was determined in this transformed VOI.

The comparison of mean MT ratio within the hippocampus and amygdala VOIs in the healthy control subjects, patients with MCI, and patients with AD was performed by means of ANOVA test with post hoc Bonferroni correction, with $P < .05$. 

Voxelwise MT Ratio Analysis
For each subject, the Ms image was affinely co-registered with a 12 df transformation to the raw T1WI, and this transformation was applied to the MT ratio map. The MT ratio map was then normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute space by means of the transformation previously computed when co-registering the raw T1WI to the standard DARTEL T1 template. Finally, voxelwise MT ratio differences between patients with AD and patients with MCI and control subjects were examined by means of 1-way ANOVA, with age and sex as nuisance variables. We applied a statistical threshold of $P < .05$ with family-wise error rate correction.
All classification tasks were performed with the use of WEKA software (http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka, version 3.6.8).34

RESULTS

T2 Hyperintensities of the Cerebral WM
Extension of leukoaraiosis was similar in the 3 groups of subjects (mean in AD 1.00 ± 0.56; mean in amnestic MCI, 0.92 ± 0.61; mean in control subjects, 1.07 ± 0.58 [a nonsignificant difference at the Kruskal-Wallis test, \( P = .66 \)).

Regional Volume
Voxel-based morphometry \((P < .05, \text{ANOVA with family-wise error rate correction for multiple comparisons})\) showed 2 isolated clusters of cortical GM atrophy in the right \((x = 28 \text{ mm}, y = -27 \text{ mm}, z = -5 \text{ mm})\) and left \((x = -28 \text{ mm}, y = -30 \text{ mm}, z = -8 \text{ mm})\) hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus, which appeared more extensive on the right side, in patients with AD as compared with healthy control subjects (On-line Fig 1) and 1 isolated cluster \((x = -15 \text{ mm}, y = 56 \text{ mm}, z = 15 \text{ mm})\) in the left superior frontal gyrus in patients with amnestic MCI as compared with healthy control subjects (On-line Fig 2). No significant clusters were observed in patients with AD as compared with patients with amnestic MCI. No significant regional decrease of WM volume was observed in the 2 groups of patients with respect to each other and the control group.

Regional MT Ratio
Voxelwise analysis showed 2 circumscribed clusters of decreased MT ratio, 1 located in the left hippocampus and amygdala \((x = -16 \text{ mm}, y = -6 \text{ mm}, z = -17 \text{ mm})\) and 1 in the posterior mesial temporal cortex (fusiform gyrus) \((x = -28 \text{ mm}, y = -40 \text{ mm}, z = -14 \text{ mm})\) of patients with AD as compared with control subjects and no difference between patients with AD and patients with amnestic MCI or between patients with MCI and control subjects (Fig 1).

Automatic VOI Analysis
Fig 2 shows examples of the automatic VOIs obtained by use of FreeSurfer. Six (3 in patients with AD, 1 in a patient with MCI, and 2 in healthy subjects) of 144 VOIs were judged to partially fall outside the hippocampus, whereas 26 (9 in patients with AD, 5 in patients with MCI, and 12 in healthy subjects) of 144 VOIs in the amygdala were constituted by a number of voxels that was considered too small to afford a reliable evaluation of that structure.

The values of the mean MT ratio in hippocampus and amygdala in the patients with amnestic MCI were between those of healthy control subjects and those of patients with mild AD (Fig 3), and the differences were not significant with the exception of the MT ratio in the left amygdala, which was significantly lower in AD than in amnestic MCI.

Classification by Use of Support Vector Machines
The classification tasks were performed on the subset of subjects for which the complete set of hippocampus and amygdala VOI measurements were available (ie, 23 healthy subjects, 22 patients with MCI, and 12 patients with AD).

In the patients with AD versus control subjects classification task, the best feature vector was composed of the volumes of bilateral amygdala, right hippocampus, and of the MT ratio of left
hippocampus, reaching a 0.873 AUC, 83.3% sensitivity, and 95.7% specificity. This result was markedly higher than the best performance obtained with volumetric features only (0.788 AUC, 75.0% sensitivity, and 82.6% specificity, obtained by using bilateral amygdalae and right hippocampus volumes).

In the AD versus MCI classification task, the best feature vector was composed of the volumes of right hippocampus, left amygdala, and MT ratio of left hippocampus and right amygdala (0.761 AUC, 75.0% sensitivity, and 77.3% specificity). This result was slightly better than the best performance obtained with volumetric features only (0.746 AUC, 58.3% sensitivity, 90.9% specificity, and obtained by bilateral hippocampus).

**DISCUSSION**

Our investigation with the use of automatic whole-brain, voxelwise, and VOI analyses demonstrates that a decrease of MT ratio, presumably reflecting microstructural changes related to AD pathology, can be observed in patients with mild AD, not only in the hippocampus but also in the amygdala bilaterally (whereas more markedly on the left side). In patients with amnestic MCI, the MT ratio in the same structures tends to be decreased as compared with healthy control subjects but less conspicuously than in patients with mild AD.

The decreased MT ratio revealed by voxelwise analysis in the left hippocampus and amygdala and in the left posterior mesial temporal cortex in patients with mild AD as compared with healthy control subjects confirms previous data obtained by means of manually drawn regions of interest or VOIs in the hippocampus and amygdala and extends to the amygdala the capability of MT ratio to detect microstructural GM changes related to AD pathology.

The hippocampus and amygdala are among the earliest mesial temporal lobe structures affected by AD pathology along with transentorhinal regions, subiculum, and entorhinal cortex. Moreover, volumetry MRI data in vivo indicate that both the hippocampus and amygdala show global or local atrophy in AD.

The automatic VOI analysis in our study showed that the decrease of the MT ratio in the hippocampus and amygdala was prominent in the left side but was also significant in the right side.

Asymmetric distribution of pathologic changes with an average predominance in the left temporal lobe side is a well-known feature of AD. However, cases with right-side predominance of AD pathologic changes were observed, and the results of an MRI study specifically assessing lateral distribution of atrophy in vivo justify the view that AD alterations are asymmetric but not lateralized. In all previous MT imaging studies in AD and MCI, no side evaluation of lobar or regional MT ratio was reported, and data related to the 2 sides were typically averaged.

In our study, the voxelwise analysis did not show any region exhibiting significantly different MT ratio in the group of patients with amnestic MCI with respect to healthy control subjects, and automatic VOI analysis of the hippocampus and amygdala in the patients with amnestic MCI showed values for the MT ratio that were between the values computed for healthy control subjects and patients with AD. This result is in line with the results obtained with manual segmentation of the anterior hippocampus in a prior study, whereas it represents a partial discrepancy with prior investigations in which the MT ratio of the whole brain or temporal lobe was found to be significantly decreased in patients with MCI as compared with healthy control subjects.

Considering the fact that our study involved the highest number of subjects with amnestic MCI examined with MT imaging so far, we submit the following 2 (not mutually exclusive) explanations for this discrepancy.

First, global analyses of the whole temporal lobe or of the temporal GM or WM have an inherently higher statistical power when compared with regional analyses that are based on either voxelwise techniques or smaller VOIs, because the former techniques imply computation of the variable of interest (in our case,
MT ratio) in a far greater number of voxels. This aspect might also account for lack of significant changes of the MT ratio in other cortical GM regions and in the WM in patients with AD in the voxelwise analysis in our sample.

Second, MCI is a transitory heterogeneous condition, and progression of amnestic MCI to AD is observed only in a portion of subjects attending a memory clinic, namely, 9.6% per year, as indicated in a meta-analysis. As a matter of fact, only approximately 60% of patients with amnestic MCI, termed converters, ultimately have development of probable AD after 5 years, whereas the remainder have other forms of dementia, remain stable, or even revert to normal. We submit that in our cohort, the paucity of patients with MCI who had development of AD during the 2 years successive to their recruitment in the MRI study (5 of 27 individuals; namely, 18.5%) could justify the lack of significant changes of MT ratio in the patients with MCI considered as a group. Longitudinal clinical evaluation of our patients with MCI is underway to verify this hypothesis.

The latter explanation could also apply to the voxel-based morphometry results that we obtained. In fact, although the bilateral hippocampal atrophy observed in our patients with mild AD as compared with healthy control subjects is in line with all prior studies, we identified only a small cluster of decreased GM in the left superior frontal gyrus in the amnestic MCI group as compared with healthy normal control subjects, with no significant changes in the temporal lobe. Indeed, thus far, MRI volumetry has provided contradictory results in groups of patients with MCI (including converters and nonconverters) when compared with healthy control subjects. Several studies, by use of manual VOI positioning or voxel-based morphometry techniques, have indicated that patients with MCI as a group showed atrophy of mesial temporal structures similar to those characteristic of AD. However, no significant differences between patients with MCI and healthy elderly control subjects were reported in the mesial temporal lobe GM in voxel-based morphometry studies based on smaller cohorts that used restrictive statistical thresholds or that considered age and Mini-Mental State Examination as nuisance variables. Isolated decrease of GM volume in the left middle frontal gyrus was reported in a previous voxel-based morphometry study when comparing patients with amnestic MCI and healthy control subjects.

It is important to note that in both classification tasks, the exhaustive search demonstrated that the best feature vector included the MT ratio value of 1 or 2 VOIs. In particular, we observed that including MT ratio–related features resulted in improved detection performance, both when discriminating patients with AD from healthy subjects (albeit to a lesser extent) and when discriminating patients with AD from patients with MCI. These results demonstrate that MT ratio–related features provide added value with improvement in classification performance, especially between patients with AD versus healthy subjects. This supports the inclusion of MT ratio in more articulate machine learning–based studies for discrimination of patients with AD.

We can only speculate about the pathologic substrate of the decreased MT ratio in the hippocampus and amygdala that we observed in patients with mild AD. It presumably reflects differences in macromolecular tissue composition associated with local accumulation of amyloid plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, or microglia cells, as observed in early AD. In particular, a reduced capacity to exchange magnetization as the result of the presence of amyloid β plaques (a non–H-bonding group) through a surface-hydrophobicity effect was hypothesized as a possible mechanism underlying the decrease of MT ratio in AD.

We recognize 2 main limitations of our study. First, we obtained source MT images with a relatively coarse spatial resolution as compared with T1WI. Three-dimensional sequences for MT imaging are now available and enable acquisition of images with increased spatial resolution, especially in combination with higher magnetic field strength scanners. This improved spatial resolution of MT images could be valuable for VOI analysis of MT ratio in the cerebral gyri in which voxelwise analysis showed significantly decreased MT. Such an analysis could not be performed in the present investigation because of the small number of voxels that survived erosion in cortical GM.

Second, we investigated the crude MT ratio. More sophisticated quantitative analyses of MT effect in the whole or anterior hippocampus were successfully applied to the differentiation of patients with AD, patients with MCI, and healthy control subjects, but they require longer acquisition times and complex data modeling.

CONCLUSIONS

Bilateral but asymmetric decrease of MT ratio reflecting microstructural changes of the residual GM is present not only in the hippocampus but also in the amygdala in patients with mild AD. Besides volume loss, regional decrease in MT ratio may contribute to MRI-based classification of single patients presenting with a memory complaint.
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