Characterization of the Src/Abl Hybrid Kinase SmTK6 of Schistosoma mansoni
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Background: SmTK6 was identified as interaction partner of SmTK4.

Results: SmTK6 is a Src/Abl hybrid kinase and interacts also with the uncommon SmVKR1 and SmTK3.

Conclusion: SmTK6 is suggested to be part of a complex of receptors, Syk and Src kinases, which are involved in gonad development.

Significance: SmTK6 represents an Abl kinase progenitor, for which a function in reproduction could be assigned.

Cellular protein-tyrosine kinases play key roles in signal transduction processes in eukaryotes. SmTK4 was the first Syk kinase identified in a parasite and found to be tissue-specifically transcribed in the gonads of adult Schistosoma mansoni. Functional analyses confirmed its role in oogenesis and spermatogenesis. As an SmTK4 upstream binding partner, the cellular protein-tyrosine kinase SmTK6 was isolated from a yeast two-hybrid library. Phylogenetic analyses performed in this study confirmed the first suggestions of a hybrid character of SmTK6. Biochemical studies made in Xenopus oocytes using inhibitors against Src (herbimycin A) and Abl (imatinib) kinases exhibited a biochemical inhibition profile of SmTK6, which was intermediate of Src and Abl kinases. As SmTK6 upstream interaction partners, we identified among others the known Src kinase SmTK3 and the Venus kinase receptor SmVKR1 of S. mansoni by yeast two-hybrid analyses, all of which co-localized in the gonads. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed interactions between SmTK6 and SmTK3 or SmVKR1. In Xenopus oocytes, it was finally shown that SmVKR1 but also SmTK3 were able to activate SmTK6 enzymatic activity indicating its function in a receptor tyrosine kinase signal transduction cascade. These results not only demonstrate an intermediate but Src-biased profile of the unusual kinase SmTK6. They also strongly substantiate previous indications for a kinase complex, consisting of a receptor tyrosine kinase, Syk and Src kinases, which has been hypothesized to be involved in proliferation and differentiation processes in the gonads of schistosomes.

As essential members of signal transduction cascades, cellular protein-tyrosine kinases (CTKs) are involved in processes regulating cytoskeletal reorganization, migration, proliferation, development and differentiation, metabolic homeostasis, transcriptional activation, neural transmission, aging, and survival. CTKs forward incoming signals from transmembrane receptors to binding partners acting downstream in a signaling hierarchy. Depending on their function and state of activation, CTKs can be either located in the cytoplasm, be attached to membranes, or occur in the nucleus. According to their structural features, CTKs were classified as the following distinct families: Src, Abl, Syk, Jak, Fak, Fer, Csk, and Btk. Among these, Src and Abl kinases have Src homology 3 and 2 (SH3 and SH2) domains and a catalytic tyrosine kinase (TK) domain in the same linear order. Src kinases possess two conserved regulatory tyrosine (Tyr) phosphorylation sites (1, 5). Phosphorylation of Tyr-527 within the C terminus is important for the inactive conformation of Src, mediated by intramolecular binding to its SH2 domain, whereas phosphorylation of Tyr-416, an autophosphorylation site within the activation loop of the TK domain, leads to the stimulation of kinase activity. SH2 and SH3 domains have been implicated in the negative regulation of Src activity, but both also contribute to the binding of partners acting upstream (via SH2) or downstream (via SH3) of Src in signaling cascades, if this kinase becomes activated (5). Regulation of Abl kinases differs as they lack Tyr-527 within the C terminus, which has no functional role in the control of Abl kinase activity. Instead, the inactive conformation is formed by intramolecular binding of a short N-terminal cap peptide, supported by a myristoyl group attached to the N-terminal glycine and to the TK domain. Downstream of the cap peptide are SH3 and SH2 domains contributing by interaction with the TK domain to the “locked” inactive state. Further features of Abl kinases from higher eukaryotes are the presence of a nuclear localization sequence and an elongated C-terminal domain.
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region containing varying sets of binding domains for actins, microtubules, or DNA (6).

Schistosomes are helminth parasites causing schistosomiasis, one of the most prevalent parasitic diseases for human and animals worldwide (7, 8). Its pathology is directly associated with egg production of mature adult worms. Eggs cause granuloma formation and inflammatory processes, which interfere with organ function. The course of the disease can be fatal, affecting millions of people (9). As the only class within the trematodes, schistosomes have evolved separate sexes. Furthermore, by a continuous pairing contact with the male, proliferation and differentiation processes are initiated in female gonads (10–12). This is the prerequisite for the synthesis of composite eggs consisting of oocytes originating from the ovary and vitelline cells delivered from the vitellarium. During the last years, first molecules have been characterized that are involved in regulating mitogenic activity and differentiation in female gonads. Among these are the Src kinase SmTK3 and the Syk kinase SmTK4 (13, 14). SmTK3 contains all Src-typical features, and expression products were localized in vitelline cells, oocytes, and spermatocytes suggesting a role in reproductive activity (15). Studies with the Src kinase-specific inhibitor herbimycin A provided strong evidence for a role of SmTK3 in the control of mitotic activity and egg production of adult schistosomes in vitro (16). Characterized by its tandem SH2 domains, SmTK4 is a typical Syk kinase. SmTK4 transcripts were found in spermatocytes and oocytes but not in vitelline cells (17). Using the Syk kinase-specific inhibitor picetannol and RNAi knockdown approaches in adult worms in vitro demonstrated a decisive role of SmTK4 in oogenesis and spermatogenesis (13). The SmTK4 upstream interaction partner SmTK6 was identified and co-localized in the reproductive organs. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed direct interactions between both kinases (13). First database analyses comparing SmTK6 with two recently detected Abl kinases from schistosomes suggested that SmTK6 may represent an Src-/Abl-like hybrid kinase (18).

In this study, we provide functional evidence for the intermediate Src/Abl kinase characteristic of SmTK6 by gene structure and phylogenetic analyses and also by inhibitor studies. Furthermore, we identified upstream-binding partners in S. mansoni such as SmTK3, SmVKR1, a Drosophila Discs-large homolog (DLG), and a new transmembrane mucin. Transcripts of such as SmTK3, SmVKR1, a Drosophila Discs-large homolog (DLG), and a new transmembrane mucin. Transcripts of

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Parasite Stock—Adult and larval schistosome stages originated from a Liberian isolate of Schistosoma mansoni (19), which was maintained in snails (Biomphalaria glabrata) and Syrian hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus). Adult worms were obtained by hepatoporal perfusion at 42–49 days post-infection. Experiments with hamsters were performed in accordance with the European regulations (ETS 123; revised Appendix A) and were approved by the Regional Council, Giessen, Germany.

Yeast Two-hybrid Screening—A Y2H cDNA-library based on RNA of mixed sex adult S. mansoni (20) was used for the identification of SmTK6 upstream interaction partners. In this library, the cDNAs were cloned into the prey vector pGADT7-Rec (leucine nutritional marker LEU2, Clontech) in-frame with the GAL4 activation domain (GAL4-AD). Two yeast strains were used for screening, the library-containing strain AH109 (Mat a; reporter genes ADE2, HIS3, and LacZ) and the bait-containing strain Y187 (Mato; reporter genes HIS3 and LacZ). For library screening, a bait plasmid (pBridge, tryptophan nutritional marker TRP1; Clontech) was cloned containing the SH2 domain of SmTK6 within the MCS I in-frame with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (GAL4-4D). The encoding sequence was amplified by PCR using the primer pair SmTK6-SH2–5′ (5′-GGATCCGTCGACGTTTCTACTAGGTTG-3′; containing a BamHI site) and SmTK6-SH2–3′ (5′-CTGCAGAATTCTGCTGAGGTGACGGTATGC-3′; containing a PstI site), and a full-length cDNA clone of SmTK6 as template. The expected amplification product (355 bp) was obtained and cloned via BamHI/PstI into pBridge. After cloning, the resulting construct SmTK6-SH2 pBridge was sequenced confirming the correct open reading frame (ORF) of the GAL4-AD/SmTK6-SH2 fusion.

Library screening was performed according to the user manual (Yeast Protocols Handbook from Clontech). In short, yeast cells (strain Y187) were transformed with the bait plasmid SmTK6-SH2 pBridge by lithium acetate. Bait-expressing Y187 cells were mated with the library containing AH109 cells. The first selection of diploid yeast cells was carried out on synthetic dropout medium lacking tryptophan, leucine, and histidine (Trp−/Leu−/His−). To enhance the selection pressure on clones with interacting proteins, colonies were plated onto synthetic dropout medium additionally lacking adenine (Trp−/Leu−/His−/Ade−). For further selection, β-galactosidase (β-gal) colony filter assays were performed using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-d-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) as substrate according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Clontech). From positively tested yeast cells, plasmid DNA was isolated using cell disruption by vortexing with glass beads (Sigma) followed by plasmid preparation (peqGOLD plasmid mini kit, PeqLab). Plasmid DNA was transformed into heat shock-competent Escherichia coli cells (DH5α) followed by selection on LB plates containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml). To differentiate bacterial colonies containing bait plasmids from those containing prey plasmids, colony PCRs with pGADT7-specific primers were performed. Prey plasmids from PCR-positive bacterial clones were isolated and sequenced commercially (LG Genomics, Berlin, Germany). To confirm protein-protein interactions, the yeast strain AH109 was transformed with appropriate prey plasmids together with the bait plasmid, and the selection procedures were repeated. For quantification of relative interaction strengths, β-gal liquid assays with o-nitrophenol galacto-
pyranoside as substrate were performed according to conventional protocols (Clontech).

**Direct Yeast Two-hybrid Interactions Studies—**AH109 yeast cells (Mat a; reporter genes ADE2, HIS3, and LacZ) were co-transformed with appropriate bait and prey plasmids by lithium acetate. As prey vector pACT2 (leucine nutritional marker LEU2, GAL4-AD; Clontech) was used, and pBridge as bait vector was used. The intracellular region of the SmVKR1 was amplified using the primers VKR1-pACT2-5' (5'-GGATCCCTT-TTACTATCGGCCAATAAACAG-3'); containing a BamHI site) and VKR1-pACT2-3' (5'-CTCGAGAAGGTAGAAACTGGCCTAATTAGGACTGCT-3'); containing a XhoI site), and a full-length cDNA clone of SmVKR1 as template. The amplicon (1794 bp) was cloned via BamHI/XhoI into pACT2. After cloning, the resulting construct SmVKR1-C-term pACT2 was sequenced confirming the correct ORF of the GAL4-AD/SmVKR1-C-term fusion. As bait plasmids, the following constructs were used, which express the relevant protein interaction domains of the schistosome CTKs SmTK6, SmTK3, and SmTK4 as a fusion with the GAL4-BD: SmTK6-SH3 SH2 pBridge, SmTK6-SH3 pBridge, SmTK6-SH2 pBridge, SmTK3- SH3 SH2 pBridge, SmTK3-SH3 pBridge, SmTK3-SH2 pBridge, and SmTK4-SH2SH2 pBridge. Cloning of the bait construct SmTK4-SH2 SH2 pBridge was described elsewhere (13). For the amplification of the combined or individual SH3/SH2 domains of SmTK6, SmTK3, and SmAbl1 by PCR, the following primer pairs were used: SmVKR1-C-term pBridge, SmTK3-SH3 SH2 pBridge, SmTK3-SH2 pBridge, SmTK6-SH3 pBridge, SmTK6-SH2 pBridge, and SmAbl1-TK pBridge. The resulting constructs SmTK6-SH3SH2 pBridge, SmTK3-SH3SH2 pBridge, SmTK3-SH3 pBridge, SmTK3-SH2 pBridge, SmTK6-SH3 pBridge, SmTK6-SH2 pBridge, and SmAbl1-TK pBridge were sequenced confirming their correct ORFs. Plasmids were linearized by Pmel. Capped messenger RNA (cRNA) encoding the different TK domains were synthesized in vitro using the T7 mMessage mMachine kit (Ambion) and analyzed as described previously (21). cRNA preparations were microinjected in *Xenopus laevis* stage VI oocytes according to a standard protocol (22). Each oocyte was injected with 60 nl (60 ng) of cRNA in the equatorial region and incubated at 19 °C in ND96 medium. After 18 h, GVBD was detected by the appearance of a white spot at the center of the animal pole. Kinase inhibitor studies were performed using herbimycin A (Tocris Bioscience, 10 mM stock solution in DMSO) and imatinib (Alexis Biochemicals, 170 mM stock solution in water). Sets of 10 oocytes freshly injected with SmTK3-TK, SmTK6-TK, or SmAbl1-TK cRNA were placed in ND96 containing different concentrations of herbimycin A (0.0001 to 10 μM final) or imatinib (0.01 to 100 μM final), and GVBD was observed after 18 h. Noninjected oocytes served as negative controls. As positive controls, the natural hormonal stimulus progesterone was used.

Full-length (fl) SmTK6 and SmTK3 were cloned into pcDNA 3.1. SmTK6 plasmids were linearized by Pmel or by EcoRI (position nt 920) to produce cRNA encoding full-length SmTK6 (SmTK6-fl) or only its N-terminal part containing SmSH2 domains without the TK domain (SmTK6-SH3SH2), respectively.

Dead kinase variants of full-length SmTK6 and SmTK3 (SmTK6-fl-ko and SmTK3-fl-ko) were generated by changing the Mg2+-binding motif DFG present in their kinase domain into a DNA motif, as described previously (22). SmTK6- SmTK3 interaction studies were performed by co-injection of cRNA produced from the different versions of SmTK6 and SmTK3 plasmids.

cRNA was also produced from a pcDNA 3.1 plasmid encoding full-length SmVKR1 (23) rendered constitutively active by an exchange of the Phe-1167 (close to the potential YY1064–1065 autophosphorylation site) to a glutamic acid residue (SmVKR1YYRE) performed by site-directed mutagenesis as described before (24, 25). SmVKR1YYRE-C-term was amplified by PCR from SmVKR1YYRE in pcDNA 3.1 using the primer pair SmVKR1-C-term-5' (5'-CCCTGCGTCAAGTGAACAGCGCTAAAAGCGCTAATTAGGACTGCT-3'); containing a PstI site) and SmVKR1-C-term-3' (5'-GGAGATTACGGCAATATCAAGCTGAGCTAATTAGGACTGCT-3'); containing an EcoRI site) and subcloned in the T7-containing plasmid PGBK7 that also contains the Myc tag. SmVKR1-SmTK6 interaction studies were performed by co-injection of cRNA produced from the different versions of SmTK6 and SmVKR1 plasmids.

The expression of proteins in oocytes was confirmed by immunoprecipitation of lysates according to the procedure described previously (22). Following 24 h of expression, oocytes...
were lysed in buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% SDS, 0.5% Triton X-100, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, 10 μg/ml benzamidine, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM sodium vanadate) and centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min at 10,000 × g.

Supernatants were incubated with anti-V5 (1:100; Invitrogen), anti-FLAG (1:100; Sigma), or anti-Myc antibodies (1:100; Invitrogen) at 4 °C overnight. Protein A-Sepharose beads (5 mg; Amersham Biosciences) were added for 1 h at 4 °C. Immune complexes were collected by centrifugation, rinsed three times, resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer, and subjected to a 10% SDS-PAGE. Immune complexes were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-V5 or anti-Myc (1:50,000) antibodies and the advanced ECL detection system (Amersham Biosciences).

**Transcriptional Analyses**—To confirm the transcription of the bait vector transgene SmTK6-SH2 in transformed yeast cells, a 5-ml overnight culture of an appropriate yeast clone was centrifuged. The pellet was washed twice with PBS and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were disrupted by three freeze/thaw cycles (liquid nitrogen, 37 °C water bath); 1 ml of TriFast™ (PeqLab) was added to the lysate, and total RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The synthesis of cDNA was done with 90 ng of total RNA, a primer specific for the SH2 domain of SmTK6 (SmTK6-SH2–3’), and Sensiscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen). RT-PCR analyses were performed using 1/4 of the cDNA as template, FIREPol Taq polymerase (Solis BioDyne) and the primer combination SmTK6-SH2–5’ + SmTK6-SH2–3’.

To investigate the stage-specific transcription of SmTK6 in S. mansoni, RT-PCR analyses were performed with total RNA of adult or larval worms extracted by TriFast™ (PeqLab) follow- ing the manufacturer’s instructions. Residual DNA was removed by DNase digestion using RNase-free DNase I (Fer- mentas). cDNA was synthesized with 1 μg of total RNA, the SmTK6 cDNA-specific primer TK6–3’ (5’-GAATTCTTGTT-ATGCTATCACG-3’), and the following primer combination: TK6–5’ (ATAGTG-GTCAATTTGTTGCTATCACG-3’), and Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). RT-PCR analyses were performed using 1/4 of the cDNA as template, FIREPol Taq polymerase (Solis BioDyne) and the primer combination SmTK6-SH2–5’ + SmTK6-SH2–3’.

**RESULTS**

SmTK6 Full-length cDNA Sequence Reveals Src and Abl Characteristics—By Y2H cDNA library screening using the tandem SH2 domain of SmTK4 as bait, the known Src kinase SmTK3 ((15) accession number CAE51198), and the novel kinase SmTK6 (accession number FN397679) were identified as potential upstream binding partners (13). Comparative analyses of the relative binding strengths by β-gal liquid assays showed a weak interaction between SmTK4 and SmTK3 and a strong interaction between SmTK4 and SmTK6. The binding potential of SmTK4 and SmTK6 was finally confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (13).

The SmTK6 cDNA has a length of 1698 bp coding for a protein of 565 aa. Domain structures such as SH3 (aa 115–172), SH2 (aa 179–268), and a catalytic TK domain (aa 297–551) are present (supplemental data 1) indicating the similarity of SmTK6 to Src or Abl kinases. An SH4 domain functionally important for intracellular membrane attachment via myristoylation may not exist. Although a typical glycine occurs at position 2 (MGICLC), it is not embedded in a consensus sequence characteristic for myristoylation (MGXXX(S/T)) (28). Because two typical cysteine residues were detected at positions 4 and 6, palmitoylation may be possible instead (29). Compared with other Src kinases, SmTK6 possesses only one of the typical Tyr residues with regulatory function (Tyr-562). It is positioned within the C terminus close to the TK domain at a conserved position compared with Tyr-527 of human c-Src (Fig. 1A and supplemental data 1). Tyr-416 of human Src, which occurs in the C-terminal half of the TK domain, is missing in SmTK6. Instead a serine residue occurs at the corresponding position 447 (supplemental data 1). Within the catalytic TK domain further conserved regions exist, of which two distinguish between serine/threonine kinases and TKs (30). SmTK6 possesses the TK-specific DLARAN(N/D)RLAAN motif of subdomain I (aa 418–423), and the P(I/V)(K/R)W(T/M)APE motif of subdomain II (aa 456–463) supporting the conclusion that SmTK6 is a CTK (supplemental data 1). Src kinase-characteristic sequence motifs also occur within the SH2 and SH3 domains. Within the N-terminal part of the SH3 domain of Src kinases, the consensus sequence ALYDY is located, which concurs well with ALYSY in SmTK6 (aa 119–123). At the C termi-
FIGURE 1. A, schematic structures of the functional domains of c-Src from human, the Src kinase SmTK3 and the Src/Abl hybrid kinase SmTK6 from S. mansoni, Abl1 and Abl2 from M. brevicollis, Abl1 and Abl2 from S. mansoni, Abl from Drosophila melanogaster, and Abl1 and Abl2 from human. m, myristoylation site (m?, predicted but not verified myristoylation site); TK, tyrosine kinase domain; G BD, G-actin-binding domain; MT BD, microtubule-binding domain; F-actin BD, F-actin-binding domain; Y, conserved tyrosine phosphorylation site; gray triangle, nuclear localization site (NLS). B, dendrogram of the phylogenetic analysis of the SH3-SH2-TK cassette sequences of the Src/Abl hybrid kinase SmTK6, the Src kinases SmTK3 and SmTK5, and the Abl kinases SmAbl1 and SmAbl2 of S. mansoni, as well as other metazoan CTKs using ClustalX and TreeViewX. Bootstrap values are indicated. Sequences were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information using the Entrez Browser (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and from the M. brevicollis genome website. The corresponding protein accession numbers are as follows: Abl D. melanogaster (protein-tyrosine kinase Abl, D. melanogaster; AAA28934); Abl1 H. sapiens (tyrosine-protein kinase Abl1 isoform b, H. sapiens; NP_009297); Abl2 H. sapiens (tyrosine-protein kinase Abl2 isoform b, H. sapiens; NP_009298); Abl C. elegans (tyrosine-protein kinase Abl-1, C. elegans; P03949); SmAbl1 (Abl protein-tyrosine kinase 1, S. mansoni; CBH50761); SmAbl2 (Abl protein-tyrosine kinase 2, Schistosoma mansoni; CBH50762); Abl1 M. brevicollis (Abl protein kinase 1, M. brevicollis; XP_001742753); Abl2 M. brevicollis (Abl protein kinase 2, M. brevicollis; XP_001746037); C. elegans (protein-tyrosine kinase F49B2.5, C. elegans; CAB04427); S. mansoni (Src/Abl hybrid kinase SmTK6, SmTK3, SmAbl1, SmAbl2, Abl1 H. sapiens, Abl2 H. sapiens, Abl D. melanogaster, Abl C. elegans, Abl1 M. brevicollis, and Abl2 M. brevicollis).
**SmTK6 of *S. mansoni***

TABLE 1

| Herbimycin A | 0.0001 μM | 0.001 μM | 0.01 μM | 0.1 μM | 1 μM | 10 μM | Control |
|--------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| SmTK3-TK     | 100       | 80       | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0     | 100     |
| SmTK6-TK     | —         | —        | 100     | 100   | 88   | 0     | 100     |
| SmAb1-TK     | —         | —        | —       | 100   | 80   | 60    | 100     |

GVBD at 0.1 μM) and was only partially inhibited at concentrations of 1 μM (80% GVBD) and 10 μM (60% GVBD).

In an inhibitor swap-like experiment, we also compared the effect of the Abl inhibitor imatinib on the capacity of SmTK6-TK and SmAb1-TK to induce GVBD (Table 2). This specific inhibitor has been successfully used in human cancer therapy (Gleevec, Novartis) to treat chronic myelogenous leukemia, which is caused by deregulation of the c-Abl kinase (35, 36). More importantly, these data demonstrated a comparative approach, yeast cells (AH109) were transformed with appropriate prey plasmids together with the bait construct SmTK6-SH2 pBridge. After transformation, all yeast clones survived growth and color selection. To quantify the relative strengths of interactions, β-gal liquid assays were performed. The results again confirmed the observations with SmTK6 and demonstrated the strongest affinity between the SmTK6 SH2 domain and SmTK3. The interactions between the SmTK6 SH2 domain and SmDLG or SmTmMuc1 were considerably weaker (Fig. 2A).

Literature data have indicated that Src kinases can act in concert with Syk kinase to participate in membrane receptor complexes, which among others contain RTKs (38). SmVKR1 of *S. mansoni* is an RTK and was shown to be expressed in the ovary of females (23), thus co-localizing with SmTK6 and SmTK4 (13, 17). Therefore, we investigated whether SmVKR1 may interact with these CTKs. To this end, direct binding studies were performed in the Y2H system with the intracellular part of SmVKR1 and the protein interaction domains of SmTK6, SmTK3, or SmTK4. Yeast cells (AH109) were transformed with the prey construct SmVKR1 C-term pACT2 and with bait plasmids containing both or individual SH3 and SH2 domains of SmTK6 or SmTK3 or the tandem SH2 domain of SmTK4. Following transformation, all yeast clones survived growth and color selections indicating that all three kinases were able to bind by their SH2/SH3 domains to the intracellular part of SmVKR1. This was confirmed by β-gal liquid assays, which also provided a first hint to the relative strengths of the observed interactions demonstrating the strongest interaction of SmTK6 with the C-terminus of SmVKR1 (Fig. 2B).

As expected, the interaction of the SH2 domain of SmTK6 was stronger compared with the interaction of its SH3 domain or the combined SH3SH2 domains. In contrast, the combined SH3SH2 domains of SmTK3 interacted stronger with the intracellular part of SmVKR1 than the individual SH2 or SH3 domains of SmTK3. Finally, the interaction of the tandem SH2 domain of SmTK4 to SmVKR1 was stronger than the interaction of SmTK3-SH3SH2 but weaker than the interaction of SmTK6-SH2 with SmVKR1.
Co-immunoprecipitation Experiments Confirm SmTK6-SmTK3 and SmTK6-SmVKR1 Interactions—Previous studies showed that SmTK3 and SmVKR1 are expressed in the gonads of schistosomes co-localizing in the ovary (15, 23). Because SmTK4 and SmTK6 are also transcribed in the ovary of females, and because by Y2H library screening SmTK6 was found as a binding partner, whose interaction with SmTK4 was confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation experiments (13), a kinase complex acting in the gonads was first hypothesized (13, 14).

To provide further evidence for such a complex, co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed with the strongest interaction partners SmTK3 and SmVKR1. To this end the Xenopus oocyte expression system was used again.

Lysates of oocytes expressing FLAG/V5-tagged SmTK6-fl and/or V5-tagged SmTK3-fl were immunoprecipitated by anti-V5 antibodies and then analyzed by Western blot using the same serum, which confirmed the expression of both proteins (Fig. 3A, lanes 1–3). Anti-FLAG antibodies were then used to immunoprecipitate selectively SmTK6-fl (Fig. 3A, lane 4) but not SmTK3 (Fig. 3A, lane 5). When SmTK6 and SmTK3 were co-expressed, anti-FLAG antibodies immunoprecipitated an additional V5-derived band representing SmTK3 (Fig. 3A, lane 6).

For interaction analyses of SmTK6 and SmVKR1, FLAG/V5-tagged SmTK6-fl and the active kinase of SmVKR1 (Myc-tagged SmVKR1 YYRE-C-term) constructs were co-expressed in oocytes. SmVKR1 was detected in anti-Myc immunoprecipitates when injected alone or with SmTK6 following anti-Myc Western blotting (Fig. 3B, panel a, lanes 2 and 3). Similarly, SmTK6 was detected in anti-V5 immunoprecipitates when

---

### TABLE 3

Upstream binding partners of SmTK6 identified by Y2H analyses

Following sequencing of the 19 clones obtained from library screening, BlastX analysis revealed that they represented four groups (A–D) with homology to mucin (group A), the schistosome Src kinase SmTK3 (group B), a cell polarity protein with homology to Discs-large (group C), and proteins with no significant homology (group D). Appropriate full-length cDNA sequences were identified in the *S. mansoni* genome data set (see Ref. 36) or in the NCBI database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Accession and Smp numbers, sizes of the full-length (fl) sequences, the appropriate e-values, and the clone numbers are given.

| Clone group | Homology (accession/Smp number) | Size (bp) | e-value | Clone no. |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| A           | Mucin homolog (*H. sapiens*, Q02817); fl: XP_002578516, Smp_161910 | 9849     | 1e-44   | 1, 6, 9, 10, 18, 36, 38, 40, 43, 45, 54, 59, 63 |
| B           | SmTK3 (Src kinase) (*S. mansoni*, CAE51198); fl: XP_002576744, Smp_151300 | 1944     | 0.0      | 50, 56, 58 |
| C           | Cell polarity protein/Discs-large homolog (*H. sapiens*, NP_001136172); fl: XP_002579880, Smp_170290 | 3432     | 2e-72   | 41         |
| D           | No significant homology         |          |         | 17, 66     |

---

**FIGURE 2.** A, yeast cells (strain AH109) were re-transformed with one representative prey clone of each group together with the bait SmTK6-SH2 pBridge, and the relative ß-gal activity was measured. Tested clones were (from left to right) as follows: SmTK3 (Src kinase), the mucin homolog SmTmMuc1, and the Discs large homolog SmDiscs large. The statistical evaluation of 12 independent measurements of ß-gal activity ($n_{biol}=2$, each with $n_{tech}=6$) is shown (error bars are indicated). B, yeast cells (strain AH109) were re-transformed individually with the prey plasmid SmVKR1-C-term pACT2 together with the baits (from left to right) SmTK6-SH3SH2 pBridge, SmTK6-SH3 pBridge, SmTK6-SH2 pBridge, SmTK3-SH3SH2 pBridge, SmTK3-SH3 pBridge, SmTK3-SH2 pBridge, or SmTK4-SH2SH2 pBridge. The relative ß-gal activity was measured. The statistical evaluation of six independent measurements ($n_{biol}=2$, each with $n_{tech}=6$) is shown (error bars are indicated). Dark gray columns represent the involvement of SmTK6 constructs; gray columns represent SmTK3 constructs, and the light gray column represents the SmTK4 construct.
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**TABLE 4**

| SmTK6 variant           | SmTK3 variant          | GVBD % |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| SmTK6-fl                | SmTK3-fl               | 0      |
| SmTK6-fl-ko             | SmTK3-fl-ko            | 80     |
| SmTK6-SH3SH2            | SmTK3-SH3SH2           | 0      |
| SmTK6-fl                 | SmTK3-SH3SH2 ko        | 85     |
| SmTK6-fl-ko             | SmTK3-SH3SH2 ko        | 0      |
| SmTK6-fl                 | SmTK3-TK               | 90     |
| SmTK6-fl-ko             | SmTK3-TK + Herbimycin A | 100    |

* Herbimycin A (HerbA) was added to a concentration of 0.01 µM to block the catalytic activity of SmTK3.

Because SmTK3 represented the other potent interaction partner of SmTK6, we investigated its potential to alternatively induce SmTK6 catalytic activity too. SmTK6-fl or SmTK3-fl alone were not able to induce GVBD in *Xenopus* oocytes (Table 5), whereas their co-expression elicited GVBD. However, the co-expression of SmTK3-fl with the kinase-dead variant SmTK6-fl-ko or with the SmTK6-SH3SH2 domains had no inductive effects on oocyte maturation, indicating that the full-length version of SmTK6 is required for activation. In contrast, it appeared that the presence of a full-length and enzymatically active version of SmTK3 was not required to obtain GVBD in this system because the co-expression of a kinase-dead variant of SmTK3 (SmTK3-fl-ko) or of only the TK domain of SmTK3...
with SmTK6-fl induced oocyte maturation (Table 5). These results indicated that SmTK6 gets activated independently of the kinase activity of SmTK3. Indeed, the ability of the dead kinase SmTK3-fl-ko to activate SmTK6-fl was corroborated by the observation that herbimycin A (used at a concentration already shown to block SmTK3 kinase activity completely; Tables 1 and 2) did not inhibit GVBD induced by the co-expression of SmTK3-TK with SmTK6-fl. Finally, these results provided evidence that the kinase potential of SmTK6 leading to GVBD is positively controlled by its interaction with SmTK3 (Table 5).

Transcriptional Analyses Demonstrate Similar Activity Profiles of Interaction Partners—RT-PCR analyses showed that SmTK6 transcription observed in males and females corresponded to the transcriptional profile of SmDLG; however, differences were observed for the larval stages because SmDLG transcripts were detected only in miracidia and not in cercariae (supplemental data 2). In contrast, SmTmMuc1 was found to be transcribed in the adult stages as well as in both larval stages,3 which corresponded also to the transcriptional profiles of SmTK3 (15) and SmTK4 (17). Co-localization of SmTK6 with SmTK4 transcripts in the testes and the ovary of adult schistosomes had already been demonstrated before (13). As shown by in situ hybridization, SmDLG co-localized with SmTK6 in the gonad tissues of adult worms (Fig. 4, A–C). This applies also to SmTmMuc1 transcripts whose occurrence was observed in the gonads and also in other tissues.3 In particular, the transcription pattern in the reproductive organs of SmDLG and SmTmMuc1 corresponded to that of SmTK6, and there is additional co-localization with SmTK4 in the ovary and in the testes. Finally, transcripts of SmVKR1 used as positive control were detected as expected exclusively in the ovary of females (Fig. 4, D and E), which corresponded to previous data (22). Sections of control worms hybridized with sense transcripts did not show any signal. The localization results indicated that the ovary is the organ where SmTK6 and SmVKR1 co-localize with all other molecules investigated in this study and also with SmTK3 and SmTK4.

DISCUSSION

Structural and phylogenetic analyses performed in this study provided conclusive evidence that SmTK6 represents an excep-
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dional hybrid kinase taking an intermediate evolutionary position between Src and Abl kinases by being the first hybrid kinase detected in a helminth. Members of both kinase families have been found in the majority of metazoans, which suggests that their structure and function were fixed early in evolution. Vertebrate genomes encode two closely related paralogs, Abl1 and Abl2, which may have originated from a gene duplication event. Invertebrate metazoans such as Caenorhabditis elegans or Drosophila possess a single Abl gene, which shows strong conservation through the SH3-SH2-TK cassette and an elongated C terminus with an actin-binding domain (6). The choanoflagellate Monosiga brevicollis, a unicellular protist, encodes two Abl kinases with a shortened C-terminal end without an actin-binding domain. This suggested an early origin for Abl kinases, with the addition of extended C termini during the metazoan radiation (6). In conformity with this hypothesis, schistosomes and perhaps other trematodes seem to be gap-filling in evolutionary terms by possessing two Abl genes with elongated C termini. But none of these contains a conserved actin-binding domain (Fig. 1A). SmTK6 has no actin-binding domain as well and exhibits the shortest C terminus found so far for Src or Abl kinases. Within its C terminus, the Src characteristic Tyr-527 occurs, but the regulatory Tyr-416 is missing. Instead, one of two Abl kinase-specific Tyr residues occur (Fig. 1A). This finding and the results of the phylogenetic analyses suggested a hybrid character of this unusual kinase. Functional evidence in support of this hypothesis was obtained by GVBD assays in Xenopus oocytes expressing the catalytic TK domains of SmTK6 and other schistosome kinases representing members of the Src (SmTK3) or Abl (SmAbl1) kinase families (15, 18). Using inhibitors specific for each of these CTK families demonstrated the hybrid character also at the biochemical level. SmTK6-TK-induced GVBD was inhibited by the Src kinase inhibitor herbimycin A at a ×1000 higher concentration than that needed to inhibit SmTK3-TK-induced GVBD. The Abl kinase inhibitor imatinib was also able to completely block SmTK6-TK activity but at a ×100 higher concentration than that needed to inhibit SmAbl1-TK-induced GVBD. Thus, the inhibition profile of SmTK6 was found to be intermediate between Src and Abl kinases. In a previous study, it was shown that 21-aa residues of the human Abl kinase interact with imatinib (39). Of these, 18 are conserved in SmAbl1 according to our analysis but only 15 in SmTK6 (18). This difference possibly explains the reduced inhibitory effect of imatinib on SmTK6 compared with SmAbl1. Among the differences is an amino acid substitution next to the conserved DFG motif within the catalytic TK domain. In contrast to Abl kinases of Drosophila, C. elegans, or H. sapiens, which exhibit a conserved ADFGFL (aa 381–385) sequence motif, SmTK6 has SDFGFL instead (aa 435–438 in SmTK6; supplemental data 1). Because high affinity binding of imatinib requires a flipped DFG motif (40), the non-synonymous Ala/Ser substitution may contribute to this conformational change and negatively influence inhibitor binding.

As results from Y2H library screening schistosome homologs of the known Src kinase SmTK3 (15), the tumor suppressor protein DLG and a novel transmembrane mucin were found as potential interaction partners of SmTK6. RT-PCR analyses showed overlapping transcriptional profiles of all genes in adult stages, and in situ hybridizations finally demonstrated co-localization in the gonads of adults. Interactions of SmTK6 were also found with the co-localizing SmVKR1 (23). This RTK may not have been represented in or picked-up from the library because of a potential competition between membrane localization signals within its sequence and the nuclear location site sequences of the prey vector destined to ensure nuclear interaction and reporter gene induction.

DLG is a tumor suppressor protein and a prototype of a growing family of proteins collectively termed membrane-associated guanylate kinase homologs. Genetic studies in Drosophila revealed that three tumor suppressors, DLG, Scribble, and Lethal giant larvae, co-localized to the basolateral region of epithelial cells cooperatively regulating cell polarity, junction formation, and cell growth during oogenesis (41). Studies in vertebrates and C. elegans demonstrated the evolutionary conservation of some of their functions (42), and new evidence has indicated a role of membrane-associated guanylate kinase homologs in asymmetric cell division (43), a characteristic feature of germ cells (44). A presumptive interaction of Src kinases and PDZ (Post-synaptic density SD95/SAP90, DLG, Zonula occludentes 1) domain-containing proteins such as DLG was shown recently (45). Because the partial SmDLG clone contained a PDZ domain, interaction with SmTK6 may have been mediated by this domain. Recently, we found an S. mansoni Lethal giant larvae homolog (SmLGL) whose transcripts were also localized in the reproductive organs. Because two schistosome homologs of Scribble exist in the genome (Smp_180220.2; Smp_104030.2), all members of a cooperatively acting molecular network are present in this parasite that have been shown before in Drosophila to play roles during oogenesis (41).

As a group of high molecular weight glycoproteins, mucins are divided into secreted and membrane-bound forms containing a single transmembrane domain (46). Members of the latter class are involved in cellular signaling events, and they contribute to carcinogenesis (47). Among others, transmembrane mucins such as human MUC1 have the potential to interact with RTKs such as EGF receptors (48). The co-localization of SmTmMuc1 with SmTK6 and other schistosome kinases, including SmVKR1, suggests a role in signal transduction processes in the gonads of schistosomes in concert with further signaling molecules.3

Src kinases are known to be involved in signaling pathways regulating cell proliferation and differentiation (1–3). Often they are parts of large complexes consisting of different cellular, membrane-associated, and transmembrane signaling proteins. SmVKR1 (previously called SmRTK-1) was described as an unusual schistosome RTK composed of an extracellular Venus Flytrap module (a ligand-binding domain in class 3 G-protein-coupled receptors) linked by a single transmembrane domain to a TK domain, which displayed similarity to that of insulin receptors (23). Venus kinase receptors have been found in different invertebrates, particularly in insects (24). As in schistosomes, Venus kinase receptors are mainly expressed in female gonads, indicating a putative function of these receptors in
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reproduction and/or development. It has been hypothesized that SmVKR1 might be involved in the recognition of a male pheromone signal necessary for the development and maturation of the ovary because it possesses a Venus Flytrap module, also present in mammalian pheromone receptors (14, 23, 49). SmVKR1 transcripts were predominantly found in mature oocytes of female schistosomes by in situ hybridization, which coincided with the transcript localizations of SmTK4 (17), SmTK3 (15), and SmTK6 (13). In addition to the SmTK4-SmTK6 binding confirmed previously (13), we showed in this study by co-immunoprecipitation experiments that SmTK6 interacts with SmTK3 as well as with SmVKR1. In addition, GVBD assays in Xenopus oocytes demonstrated that SmTK6 interacted with and is likely activated by catalytically active SmVKR1. Furthermore, we showed that SmTK3 is also able to activate SmTK6. For activation, binding to SmTK3 alone was sufficient and independent from the catalytic potential of SmTK3. We assume that SmTK6 changes into an open conformation upon SmTK3 binding as a prerequisite to become active inducing GVBD in Xenopus oocytes. A question still to solve in further studies is whether SmTK6 gets phosphorylated and finally activated by SmVKR1 and whether SmTK3 in such a scenario plays a chaperone-like role supporting the conformational change of SmTK6 for further catalytic interactions within the postulated multikinase complex.

Another attractive goal of this study was to knock down SmTK6 by RNA interference (RNAi) to study the phenotype among others by confocal laser scanning microscopy. This approach has been successfully applied before to characterize schistosome kinases such as SmTK4 (13). Although standard protocols (dsRNA) (13, 50) as well as novel approaches using different sets of specifically designed siRNAs5 were applied by electroporation in adult schistosomes maintained in vitro, we obtained neither knockdown effects at the transcriptional level (determined by qPCR) nor phenotypic changes (as determined by confocal laser scanning microscopy (13)). SmTK6 may belong to the group of genes, which according to recent studies in this field were described as nonknockable genes (50).

With respect to their interactions and co-localizations in the reproductive organs, SmTK6, SmVKR1, SmTK3, SmTK4, and SmDLG seem to be parts of a complex scenario (Fig. 5). In previous studies, evidence was obtained that SmTK3 interacts with SmTK4 and that both may be members of a CTK complex (14). Together with the Rho-GTPase SmRho1 and the diaphanous homolog SmDia, SmTK6 was suggested to be part of an integrative RTK complex (PM20/21) and mapmodulin were found, which may be involved in cytoskeleton reorganization and mitosis (13). SmDLG as a binding partner of SmTK6 may become activated upon complex formation and may subsequently interact with SmGL and Scribble to control processes of cell growth and/or cell polarity.

Elucidating cellular processes leading to gonad development in schistosomes along with the increasing knowledge of molecules involved will help to find novel strategies to fight this blood fluke. To this end, kinases represent interesting targets to identify inhibitors affecting developmental processes. Such inhibitors could already represent approved drugs (18), or they can serve at least as lead structures for drug design or vaccination (51). This is an urgent need in the light of the fact, that praziquantel is the only widely used drug to treat schistosomiasis, thus evoking the fear of emerging resistance (52, 53).
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FIGURE 5. In S. mansoni the CTKs SmTK3, SmTK4, and SmTK6 may be members of a trimeric complex, which interacts with the RTK SmVKR1. Results of a previous study had already indicated that SmTK3 also interacted with the diaphanous homolog SmDia, which is a binding partner of the Rho-GTPase SmRho1. Both SmDia and SmRho1 were suggested to be part of a cooperative RTK and G-protein-coupled receptor signaling pathways integrating at SmDia to organize the actin cytoskeleton within the gonads of schistosomes (20). As downstream partners of SmTK4, MAPK-activating protein (PM20/21) and mapmodulin were found, which may be involved in cytoskeleton reorganization and mitosis (13). SmDLG as a binding partner of SmTK6 may become activated upon complex formation and may subsequently interact with SmGLL and Scribble to control processes of cell growth and/or cell polarity.
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