Calcareous nannofossil, ostracode and foraminifera biostratigraphy of Plio-Pleistocene deposits, Rhodes (Greece), with a correlation to the Vrica section (Italy)
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ABSTRACT – The Kalithea Bay section is situated on the southeastern coast of the island of Rhodes. The section comprises a sedimentary sequence ranging from brackish water gravel and nearshore sand to deep-water clay. The brackish water and nearshore deposits are assigned to the Kritika Formation, while the deep-water deposits are assigned to the Lindos Bay clay. Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy shows that the age of the marine sequence is Early Pleistocene. The brackish water sediments cannot be dated with any degree of certainty. The marine deposits are rich in ostracodes and foraminifera and several species have been recognized, which previously have been used as biostratigraphic markers in the Plio-Pleistocene deposits of Rhodes. Biostratigraphic correlation between the Kalithea Bay section and the Plio-Pleistocene boundary stratotype at Vrica, southern Italy, shows that the ostracodes and foraminifera events generally are diachronous relative to the calcareous nannofossil events. The only exception is the first occurrence of the benthic foraminifera *Hyalinea balthica*. As calcareous nannofossil events are thought to be virtually synchronous within the Mediterranean region, it is concluded that the diachronocity is due to a relative delay in the appearance of ostracodes and foraminifera at Kalithea, caused by differences in the palaeobathymetric settings between the two sites. J. Micropalaeontol. 20(2): 143–154, December 2001.

INTRODUCTION

The island of Rhodes is situated in the southeastern Aegean Sea on the southern rim of the Anatolian plate in an active tectonic regime (Fig. 1). Plio-Pleistocene beds, known for their rich faunas and floras, occur scattered over large parts of the island, with marine facies particularly well developed along the southeast coast (Mutti et al., 1970; Meulenkamp et al., 1972; Hanken et al., 1996; Fig. 2a). The Plio-Pleistocene sediments range from fluviatile gravel to upper slope clay, and it is characteristic that sediments representing widely different environments may be found in close succession within a single outcrop. The fossil and sedimentary records indicate that the island, during this time period, underwent complex geological changes, involving considerable sinking and uplift.

However, the palaeoenvironmental information retained in the Plio-Pleistocene deposits has not been fully exploited so far, due to a severe lack of biostratigraphic data (Hanken et al., 1996). The deposits are patchily preserved in small, isolated basins, all with slightly different geological histories, and facies shifts, both vertically and horizontally, are often extremely rapid. Without good age determinations and reliable biostratigraphic correlations our understanding of the history of the island can only be very incomplete.

Previous biostratigraphic studies on the Plio-Pleistocene deposits of Rhodes have been based mainly on benthic foraminifera and ostracodes (e.g. Orombelli & Montanari, 1967; Sissingh, 1972; Mostafawi, 1989a) and the stratigraphic ranges of taxa of these groups are often controlled by environmental factors. Only a few Mediterranean species can be regarded as reliable biostratigraphic markers (Sprovieri & Hasegawa, 1990). Moreover, the better known markers from the western Mediterranean have rarely been found on Rhodes.

The main purpose of the present study is to determine the age of a Plio-Pleistocene sequence exposed at Kalithea Bay, northeast Rhodes, and to introduce a more dependable biostratigraphic tool for future work on the island. To attain that goal, we have studied calcareous nannofossils, as well as ostracodes and foraminifera. Calcareous nannofossils were selected because several studies of Pliocene and Pleistocene deposits from various parts of the Mediterranean region have shown that this group provides a reliable biostratigraphic framework with a very high stratigraphic resolution (e.g. Raffi & Rio, 1979; Rio et al., 1990; de Keaen et al., 1999). Foraminifera and ostracodes were selected because they traditionally have been used for age determinations and correlations on Rhodes. In order to compare and evaluate the results of the ostracodes and foraminifera relative to those of the calcareous nannofossils, we correlate the
Kalithea Bay section with the Plio-Pleistocene boundary strato-type at Vrica, Italy, where all three groups have also been studied.

LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY AND CHRONOSTRATIGRAPHY OF THE PLIO-PLEISTOCENE DEPOSITS ON RHODES

The Miocene and Early Pliocene deposits on Rhodes consist of thick packages of fluvo-lacustrine sediments delivered by rivers from the Asiatic mainland, to which the island at that time was connected (Meulenkamp et al., 1972). During the latest Pliocene and Early Pleistocene, the island was separated from Asia and partly submerged, and a highly variable succession of brackish water and marine deposits overlies the terrestrial sediments. The marine deposits are particularly well developed along the east coast, where they are referred to three formations (Hanken et al., 1996; Figs. 2a, 3).

The deposits of the Kritika Formation vary from coarse-grained, siliciclastic sediments to strongly fossiliferous limestones. They were deposited in mixed paralic and nearshore environments (Meulenkamp et al., 1972; Hanken et al., 1996). The formation is up to 180 m thick, but the thickness is highly variable. The Kritika Formation has been referred to the Late Pliocene on the basis of ostracodes (Sissingh, 1972) and sporomorphs (Benda et al., 1977).

The overlying Rhodes Formation is separated from the Kritika Formation by an erosional unconformity, according to Hanken et al. (1996). These authors subdivided the Rhodes Formation into a number of facies groups (Fig. 3), but most of these have only been observed south of Ladiko, particularly in the Lindos area (Fig. 2a). To the north, in the Kalithea area, the Rhodes Formation is represented only by the Lindos Bay clay and the Cape Arkhangelos calcarenite (Hansen, 1999; Fig. 3). The Lindos Bay clay (equal to the Vasfi Formation of Meulenkamp et al., 1972) is blue-grey, silty, clay up to 30 m thick, with a characteristic fauna of deep-water bryozoans and pteropods, and it was probably deposited at a considerable...
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water depth, possibly 300–500 m or more (Moissette & Spjeldnes, 1995; Spjeldnes & Moissette, 1997; Kovacs & Spjeldnes, 1999). Sissingh (1972) and Benda et al. (1977) indicated that the Plio-Pleistocene boundary was situated within the Vasili Formation (equal to the Lindos Bay clay).

The Lindos Bay clay is unconformably draped by the Cape Arkhangelos calcarenites (Fig. 3). This facies group consists of bioclastic limestones often forming clinoform beds (Hanken et al., 1996; Hansen, 1999). The Cape Arkhangelos calcarenite was interpreted as a beach-rock or 'panchina' by Mutti et al. (1970), and it rapidly increases in thickness from zero to about 50 m in a seaward direction.

The uppermost of the Pleistocene facies groups on Rhodes are included in the Lindos Acropolis Formation (Hanken et al., 1996; Fig. 3). This formation is less than 18 m thick and consists of very coarse limestones, partly reworked from older formations. The limestones were deposited in a high-energy, near-coast environment (Hanken et al., 1996).

THE KALITHEA BAY SECTION

The investigated section is located about 12 km southeast of Rhodes Town in the northern part of a coastal basin bounded by the peninsula of Kalithea to the north and Cape Ladiki to the south (Fig. 2b). The section is 380 m long and reaches a near-coast environment (Hanken et al., 1996; Fig. 4). Lithologically, the section can be subdivided into 24 distinct units.

Lithology and depositional environment

The lowermost 11 m (units 1–13) consist of alternating beds of gravel, sand and silt (Fig. 4). Unit 1 probably represents a fluvialite environment, while the remaining units 2–13 were deposited in brackish water, lagoonal environments, as indicated by a scattered fauna of brackish water bivalves and gastropods. The upper units 14–24 contain a rich fauna of corals, bivalves, gastropods, brachiopods and bryozoans and were clearly deposited under fully marine conditions. The lower, fully marine, units 15–17 are composed mainly of gravel and sand and they were obviously deposited in shallow water. Upwards, the thickness and the grain-size of the coarser beds decrease and the upper part of the section (units 19–24) is dominated by beds of silty clay (Fig. 4). The uppermost unit 24 contains bryozoans and abundant pteropods. The bryozoans seem quite similar to the bathyal faunas described from the Lindos Bay clay (Moissette & Spjeldnes, 1995), and the unit was probably deposited under bathyal conditions. Several, more or less distinctly, laminated beds intersect the upper part of the section indicating that parts of the sediments were deposited under anoxic conditions. The marly clay of unit 24 is, unconformably, overlain by a clastic calcarenite which, according to Hansen (1999), was deposited in a high-energy, shallow water environment.

Overall, the sequence of the Kalithea Bay section can be interpreted to reflect a large transgressive-regressive cycle, with unit 24 representing the deepest setting.

Lithostratigraphy

The lithology of the upper part of the Kalithea Bay section was studied by Hansen (1999, fig. 3B). He concluded that the topmost blue-grey clay (our unit 24) represented the Lindos Bay clay and that the overlying 'panchina' was a local, rather siliciclastic, development of the Cape Arkhangelos calcarenite (Fig. 4). The brackish water and nearshore facies constituting the lower part of the section show considerable similarity to the Kritika Formation and they most likely represent this formation. However, the exact position of the boundary between the Kritika Formation and the Lindos Bay clay is difficult to place. According to Hanken et al. (1996), the boundary is usually marked by severe erosion, but signs of such an event have not been observed in the Kalithea Bay section. Tentatively, we place the boundary between units 23 and 24 (Fig. 4).

Material and methods

Sixty-seven samples, each with a dry weight of 200–400 g, were examined for microfossils. The samples represent all units except for the strongly indurated 'panchina'. Eight samples were barren of microfossils and a total of 59 samples were analysed quantitatively for foraminifera, while 34 samples were analysed for ostracodes. Forty-two samples mainly from the marine part of the section were examined for calcareous nanofossils. Fourteen of these were barren.

Micropalaeontological techniques

The micropalaeontological samples were washed through a series of sieves using standard micropalaeontological methods. A total of 350–450 specimens of ostracodes were picked from the 125–1000 µm fraction. Foraminifera were picked from the 100–2000 µm fraction. More than 500 benthic and about 300 planktic specimens were counted in each sample. The residues coarser than 2000 µm were empty for both ostracodes and foraminifera.

The results of the quantitative study will be presented elsewhere. Here it should be noted that a total of approximately 300 species of benthic foraminifera and 200 species of ostracodes have been encountered.

Calcareous nanofossil techniques

The samples were analysed using both light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Standard nanofossil smear-slide techniques were used to prepare the samples. Taxon identification was mainly performed using light microscopy at a magnification of ×1250. Size measurements of Gephyrocapsa spp., Cenulithus spp. and Calcidiscus spp. were done using a CameScan MaXim 2040S scanning electron microscope. Abundance of taxa was only noted semi-quantitatively due to poor preservation and heavy dissolution in many samples.

BIOSTRATIGRAPHY OF THE KALITHEA BAY SECTION

Calcareous nanofossil biostratigraphy

Several biostratigraphic studies of the Pliocene and Pleistocene deposits in the Mediterranean region have shown that calcareous nanofossils provide a reliable tool for correlation with a very high stratigraphic resolution (e.g. Schmidt, 1973; Müller, 1978, 1990; Ellis & Lohman, 1979; Rafi & Rio, 1979; Rio et al., 1990; Di Stefano & Sprovieri, 1990; Castradori, 1993;
Furthermore, recently, most of the Late Pliocene to Pleistocene calcareous nannofossil datum events have been calibrated to the astronomically controlled sapropel patterns observed at several localities throughout the Mediterranean region (e.g. Lourens et al., 1996, 1998; de Keanel et al., 1999). The calibrations indicate that the calcareous nannofossil events are virtually synchronous within the Mediterranean area, and they have made it possible to determine the ages of the biostratigraphic events with great precision (e.g. Lourens et al., 1996, 1998; de Keanel et al., 1999).

The position of the investigated samples and the distribution of calcareous nannofossils in the Kalithea Bay section are shown in Figure 5. Calcareous nannofossils were present in all marine beds except for some samples from the laminated sections of units 16, 19 and 21. They were common and fairly well preserved in the coarse-grained units 14, 15 and 17 and in the silty clay of unit 24, whereas they were rare and generally poorly preserved in the fine-grained units 18–23. Only a very small number of reworked specimens were encountered, including a few specimens of Late Cretaceous taxa and a few, heavily corroded, specimens of Sphenolithus sp. and Discoaster sp. Both of these genera vanished during the Late Pliocene.

The biostratigraphic interpretation and age assignment of the Kalithea Bay section is shown in Figure 5. We have followed the zonation scheme proposed by Rio et al. (1990) for the Plio-Pleistocene deposits of the western and central Mediterranean. This scheme has proven applicable also for the eastern Mediterranean (Castradori, 1993; Staeker, 1998; Di Stefano, 1998). The ages of the nannofossil events defining the zonal boundaries and a few additional datum events are from de Keanel et al. (1999).

Units 14 and 15 are referred to zone MNN19c due to the presence of medium-sized gephyrocapsids between 5 and 5.5 µm (Pl. 1, fig. 3) and the absence of Calcidiscus macintyrei and large-sized gephyrocapsids >5.5 µm (Fig. 5). C. macintyrei is here taken as circular forms >11 µm and composed of more than 40 elements (cf. Raffi & Rio, 1979; Backman & Shackleton, 1983; Rio et al., 1990). Gephyrocapsids >5.0 µm appear in the Mediterranean almost simultaneously with the last occurrence of C. macintyrei (de Keanel et al., 1999). The event has been dated to 1.62 Ma (de Keanel et al., 1999).

Unit 16 was barren of calcareous nannofossils. All samples from the base of unit 17 to the lower part of unit 23 (samples 17,1–23,1) contained gephyrocapsids >5.5 µm (Pl. 1, figs 4, 5) referring this interval to zone MNN19d. In the Mediterranean Sea, the first and last occurrences of gephyrocapsids >5.5 µm have been dated to 1.57 Ma and 1.24 Ma, respectively (de Keanel et al., 1999). de Keanel et al. (1999) recognized several possible datum events within zone MNN19d. One of these, namely a marked increase in the abundance of Pseudoemiliania lacunosa (Pl. 1, figs 10, 11), was recognized in the Kalithea Bay section in sample 23,1. The age of this event was dated to 1.62 Ma (de Keanel et al., 1999).

Unit 17 was barren of calcareous nannofossils. All samples from the base of unit 17 to the lower part of unit 23 (samples 17,1–23,1) contained gephyrocapsids >5.5 µm (Pl. 1, figs 4, 5) referring this interval to zone MNN19d. In the Mediterranean Sea, the first and last occurrences of gephyrocapsids >5.5 µm have been dated to 1.57 Ma and 1.24 Ma, respectively (de Keanel et al., 1999). de Keanel et al. (1999) recognized several possible datum events within zone MNN19d. One of these, namely a marked increase in the abundance of Pseudoemiliania lacunosa (Pl. 1, figs 10, 11), was recognized in the Kalithea Bay section in sample 23,1. The age of this event was dated to 1.56 Ma by de Keanel et al. (1999). The uppermost part of the section, including samples 23,3–24,8, is referred to zone MNN19e based on the presence of abundant gephyrocapsids <3.5 µm (Pl. 1, figs 1, 2) and the absence of forms >4.0 µm. Within this zone de Keanel et al. (1999) observed the first occurrence of Crenalithus asanoi, a circular form of Crenalithus.
Fig. 5. Biostratigraphic zonation, age determination and distribution of calcareous nannofossils in the Kalithea Bay section, Rhodes. Zonation scheme and calcareous nannofossil events marking zonal boundaries are from Rio et al. (1990). Additional datum events marked by an asterisk (*) are from de Kearey et al. (1999). Ages of datum events are from de Kearey et al. (1999). The age of the Pliocene–Pleistocene boundary is from Lourens et al. (1996).
with a diameter >6.5 µm (Pl. 1, figs 6–8), which they dated to 1.12 Ma. We correlate this event to sample 24,2 in the Kalithea Bay section, where similar large forms were observed. However, it should be noted that a few specimens of C. asanoi were observed in unit 14, together with common Crenalithus japonica (Pl. 1, fig. 9). The first occurrence of C. asanoi may therefore be a slightly problematic event in the eastern Mediterranean and in need of further investigations.

The last calcareous nannofossil event in the Kalithea Bay section is the disappearance of Helicosphaera sellii (Plate 1, figs 12, 13) in sample 24,2 (Fig. 5). The last occurrence of H. sellii has often been recognized as a useful marker in the Mediterranean, generally coinciding with, or slightly predating, the last occurrence of gephyrocapsids >5.5 µm (Raffi & Rio, 1979; Müller, 1990; Rio et al., 1990; Lourens et al., 1996, 1998; Di Stefano, 1998; de Keanel et al., 1999). However, the exact position of the event may be difficult to pinpoint as rare specimens may show up above the last consistent occurrence of the species, sometimes possibly due to reworking (Rio et al., 1990; de Keanel et al., 1999). In order to establish a consistent event, Rio et al. (1990) defined the last occurrence of the H. sellii as the drop of the species below the 1% level. In the Kalithea Bay section no attempts were made to quantify the last appearance of H. sellii. The species is fairly common in the upper part of zone MNN19d, but it continues in low numbers into zone MNN19e and the last occurrence is above the appearance of C. asanoi. Thus, the last appearance of H. sellii in the Kalithea Bay section is slightly delayed as compared to the normal pattern in the Mediterranean. However, it should be noted that a sequence of events very similar to the one observed at Kalithea Bay has been reported from ODP site 953 in the eastern North Atlantic, southwest of the Gibraltar Strait (Sbendorio-Levy & Howe, 1998).

In conclusion, the marine succession in the Kalithea Bay section (units 14–24) is referred to the Early Pleistocene. Accepting the ages calculated by de Keanel et al. (1999) for the calcareous nannofossil events in the Mediterranean Region, the basal bed of unit 14 is between 1.62 Ma and 1.57 Ma old.
(Fig. 5). Considering that gephyrocapsids >5.0 µm occur in relatively high numbers in unit 14 (Fig. 5), an age of around 1.60 Ma seems most likely. The top of the investigated section is younger than 1.12 Ma and older than 0.96 Ma, and the age is probably close to 1.00 Ma (Fig. 5).

Ostracode and foraminifera biostratigraphy

Previous studies. Benthic foraminifera from the Plio-Pleistocene deposits of Rhodes have previously been studied by Orombelli & Montanari (1967), who examined the foraminiferal faunas of the Vasfi Formation (Meulenkamp et al., 1972) (= Lindos Bay clay, see Fig. 3) and referred it to the Calabrian stage (Lower Pleistocene). Sissingh (1972, 1976, 1982) analysed a series of samples from the Kritika Formation, the Vasfi Formation and the Rhodes Formation (Meulenkamp et al., 1972) (= Lindos Acropolis Formation, see Fig. 3). Sissingh (1972) agreed with the dating of Orombelli & Montanari (1967) of the Vasfi Formation, and assigned the Kritika Formation to the Late Pliocene. He also indicated that the Plio-Pleistocene boundary was situated within the Vasfi Formation. A similar position of the Plio-Pleistocene boundary was suggested by Lovlie et al. (1989) for the section at Cape Vagia on the basis of the occurrence of Hyalinea balthica and magnetostratigraphy. Ostracodes from the Damatria, Kritika and Vasfi formations have also been studied by Mostafawi (1989b). He placed the Damatria and Kritika formations in the Upper Pliocene and the Vasfi Formation in the Lower Pleistocene, in agreement with the results of Sissingh (1972). Other micropalaeontological studies have mainly been concerned with palaeoecological problems, e.g. Broekman (1973, 1974) and Hajjaji et al. (1998).

In this study we examine the biostratigraphic value of ostracodes and foraminifera by correlating the Kalithea Bay section to the Vrica section, southern Italy. This section has recently been approved as the stratotype for the Plio-Pleistocene boundary, and it has been studied in great detail for ostracodes and foraminifera, as well as calcareous nannofossils.

Biostratigraphic correlation between the Kalithea Bay section and the Pliocene–Pleistocene boundary stratotype at Vrica, Italy. Six biostratigraphic events of ostracodes and benthic and planktic foraminifera recognized in the stratotype for the Plio-Pleistocene boundary at Vrica, southern Italy, and often used for correlation purposes in the Mediterranean, have been identified in the Kalithea Bay section. The position of these events at Kalithea Bay and a correlation to the Vrica section is shown in Figure 6. The data for the Vrica section are for the ostracodes from Colalongo & Pasini (1980) and Aguirre & Pasini (1985), and for the foraminifera from Zijderveld et al. (1991) and Lourens et al. (1996, 1998). The events are all first occurrences (FO) and they are in stratigraphical order: FO of Globorotalia inflata (planktic foraminifera) in sample 14,1; FO of Cytheropteron rotundatum (ostracode) and FO of Hyalinea balthica (benthic foraminifera) both in sample 18, C4; FO of abundant Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (sinistral form, s) in sample 19, A3; FO of Cytheropteron alatum (ostracode) in sample 19, A4; and FO of Pedicythere polita (ostracode) and Bumtonia textilis (ostracode) in sample 24, 2. Two of the species noted above, namely the ostracodes C. rotundatum and B. textilis, have later been observed in deposits referred to the Early
Pliocene (Bosio et al., 1989; Barra et al., 1998). However, they have not been observed in Late Pliocene deposits and their recurrence in the Early Pleistocene seem to be useful biostratigraphic events.

Note that the FO of *H. balthica* is strongly diachronous relative to the FO of, in particular, *C. alatum* nannofossils. The foraminifera are dominated by *Ammonia beccarii*, while the ostracodes are dominated by *Cyprideis torosa*, *Loxoconcha phaseola* and *Leptocythere peterseni*. Since the Late Pliocene, *C. torosa* has spread over vast areas in environments with anomalous salinity. *L. peterseni* is known from Late Pliocene deposits on the Island of Kos and from the Damatia Formation on Rhodes (Mostafawi, 1989a, b). Related faunas have been found in brackish water and hypersaline deposits from both the Damatia and Kritika formations and they have traditionally been referred to the Late Pliocene.

**BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC REMARKS ON SOME EARLY PLEISTOCENE OSTRACODES**

As shown above, the distribution of ostracodes are often linked to facies and they are of problematic value in detailed correlations between sections from different palaeoenvironmental settings. However, many species may still be good biostratigraphic markers on a broader scale, for example as general indicators of a Pleistocene age. This is probably the case for *C. alatum* and *P. polita* discussed above (see also Colalongo & Pasini, 1988; Colalongo et al., 1990). However, several other species recognized in the Kalithea Bay section are considered to be useful Pleistocene markers. *Tenedocythere prava* and *Callistocythere crispatula* appearing in unit 14 are both believed to indicate a Pleistocene age in Italy and Greece (Sissingh, 1972, 1976, 1982; Ruggieri, 1980; Malz & Jellinek, 1984; Mostafawi, 1986, 1989a; Bonaduce et al., 1986). Moreover, in the Mediterranean, *T. prava* and *C. crispatula* are only known from Emilian and younger deposits (Bonaduce et al., 1986, Mostafawi, 1989a).

**BIOSTRATIGRAPHY AND AGE OF OTHER Plio-Pleistocene SECTIONS ON RHODES**

The ostracode and foraminifera faunas in the upper part (unit 24) of the Kalithea Bay section compare closely to those of the nearby section of Lindos Bay clay at Vasi, and the two deposits are probably contemporaneous. The occurrence of *H. balthica*, in combination with a characteristic fauna of pteropods, indicates that the Lindos Bay clay in the section at Cape Vagia roughly is of the same age, too.

Only two sections referred to the Kritika Formation have been examined in some detail so far, namely the type section at Kritika and a section exposed at Ladiko about 5 km south of the Kalithea Bay section (Fig. 3). Sissingh (1972) examined the ostracodes in a few samples from the Kritika section. He placed the section, tentatively, in the Late Pliocene in his *Cyprideis torosa* zone, because of the occurrence of *C. torosa*. However, as noted above, this species is common in brackish water environments from the Late Pliocene to Present, and it is of little stratigraphical value. Hajaji et al. (1998) examined a larger number of samples from the same section. They accepted the age assignment of Sissingh (1972) notwithstanding the presence of...
the ostracodes *T. prava* and *P. polita*. These species are also present in the Kalithea Bay section, and they have, as discussed above, never been observed in sediments older than Quaternary. The Kritika section is, therefore, most likely of Pleistocene age. However, its exact age relative to the Kalithea Bay section can only be established with further investigations, preferably of calcareous nannofossils.

The section at Ladiko was examined by Broekman (1974), who found a moderately rich fauna of ostracodes and foraminifera. Species of biostratigraphic value were scarce, and

---

**Fig. 7.** Correlation between the Kalithea Bay section and the Pliocene–Pleistocene boundary section at Vrica/Crotone, Italy, by means of ostracodes (*Cytheropteron rotundatum, Cytheropteron alatum, Pedocythere polita* and *Buntonia textilis*), benthic foraminifera (*Hyalinea balthica*), planktic foraminifera (*Globorotalia inflata* and *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*; s, left coiled) and calcareous nannofossils (FO of *Gephyrocapsa* spp. >5.0 µm, FO, and LO of *Gephyrocapsa* spp. >5.0 µm has not been examined in the Vrica section. Instead, as an alternative event, we used the last occurrence of *Calcidiscus macintyrei*. These two events are almost simultaneous in the Mediterranean (de Keaeel et al. 1999). *C. macintyrei* has not been observed in the Kalithea Bay section due to unfavorable brackish water conditions during the deposition of the lower part. Note that the datum events of ostracodes and foraminifera are diachronous as compared to the calcareous nannofossil events, except for *Hyalinea balthica*. Ages are from Lourens et al. (1996).
only the ostracode *Aurila calciplena* may have some significance. It indicates that the greater part of the section may be of Pliocene age.

Thus, four out of five marine Plio-Pleistocene sections examined so far can be referred to the Pleistocene. Only the section at Ladiko may possibly be Late Pliocene.

CONCLUSIONS

The lower part of the Kalithea Bay section consists of alternating coarse- and fine-grained sediments with scattered brackish water molluscs representing a paralic environment. The paralic succession is overlain by fully marine sediments which gradually water molluscs representing a paralic environment. The paralic section at Ladiko may possibly be Late Pliocene. It indicates that the greater part of the section may be of Pliocene age. It is therefore possible to correlate the two sections using all three groups and to compare the results.

The comparison shows that the ostracode and foraminifera events are diachronous relative to the calcareous nannofossil events except for the first occurrence of the benthic foraminifera *H. balthica*. As calcareous nannofossil events are regarded to be virtually synchronous within the Mediterranean region, we conclude that the diachronity is due to a relative change in the Early Pliocene age of the Stickman et al. 1999, the base of the section in particular. Finally, we are very grateful to the Institute of Geology and Mineral Explorations, Athens, for permission to do fieldwork on Rhodes.
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