Integrative omics provide biological and clinical insights into acute respiratory distress syndrome
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Abstract

Purpose: Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is accompanied by a dysfunctional immune-inflammatory response following lung injury, including during coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Limited causal biomarkers exist for ARDS development. We sought to identify novel genetic susceptibility targets for ARDS to focus further investigation on their biological mechanism and therapeutic potential.

Methods: Meta-analyses of ARDS genome-wide association studies were performed with 1250 cases and 1583 controls in Europeans, and 387 cases and 387 controls in African Americans. The functionality of novel loci was determined in silico using multiple omics approaches. The causality of 114 factors potentially involved in ARDS development was assessed using Mendelian Randomization analysis.

Results: There was distinct genetic heterogeneity in ARDS between Europeans and African Americans. rs7967111 at 12p13.2 was functionally associated with ARDS susceptibility in Europeans (odds ratio = 1.38; P = 2.15 × 10−8). Expression of two genes annotated at this locus, BORCS5 and DUSP16, was dynamic but ultimately decreased during ARDS development, as well as downregulated in immune cells alongside COVID-19 severity. Causal inference implied that comorbidity of inflammatory bowel disease and elevated levels of C-reactive protein and interleukin-10 causally increased ARDS risk, while vitamin D supplementation and vasodilator use ameliorated risk.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest a novel susceptibility locus in ARDS pathophysiology that implicates BORCS5 and DUSP16 as potentially acting in immune-inflammatory processes. This locus warrants further investigation to inform the development of therapeutic targets and clinical care strategies for ARDS, including those induced by COVID-19.
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Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a type of hypoxemic respiratory failure in patients with conditions that predispose to or cause lung injury [1]. ARDS produces a high mortality rate (30–40%) in hospitals worldwide [2]. Incidence of this condition is increasing sharply with the ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [3], with a median onset time of 8–12 days after COVID-19 symptom onset [4, 5].

Multi-omics approaches identify biomarkers for disease etiology and therapeutics [6]. Previously, we summarized 201 ARDS genes from genome and transcriptome studies that are involved in key inflammatory pathways [7], supporting a fundamental understanding of ARDS development. However, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) related to ARDS derived from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) were confined to European populations, except for one study we launched in African Americans [8]. This narrow ancestry sampling and conventional analysis limit the discovery of biological clues about ARDS pathophysiology.

ARDS has heterogeneous etiology but is characterized by acute widespread inflammation in the lung commonly precipitated by sepsis or pneumonia [1, 9]. Similarly, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection induces aggressive inflammatory and immune responses that damage the respiratory tract, often causing ARDS as COVID-19 progresses [10, 11]. Recently, two COVID-19 GWAS preliminarily revealed host genetic components involved in the severe progression [12, 13], opening new avenues to elucidate COVID-19 pathophysiology, including ARDS development. Effective treatments for ARDS specifically caused by COVID-19, outside of supportive care interventions, remain elusive [14, 15]. Therefore, meeting the urgent need for effective ARDS therapies necessitates the identification of new therapeutic targets.

To investigate the genetic architecture and molecular factors related to ARDS development, we applied integrative omics approaches and performed Mendelian Randomization analysis to infer candidate causal factors (Fig. 1). Our findings aid in the understanding of the progressive course of ARDS and identify potential targets for therapeutic development.

Methods

Study participants

Participants were recruited from the iSPAAR (Identификаtion of SNPs Predisposing to Altered Acute Lung Injury Risk) consortium [16], MESSI (Molecular Epidemiology of Sepsis in the ICU) cohort [17, 18], and a study by Garcia et al. [8]. Details about population demographics and data cleaning are described in Supplementary Methods, Table E1, and Figure E1–E3.

 Genetic association analysis and meta-analysis

GWAS was conducted separately for each ARDS cohort across European and African American ancestries. Logistic regression was performed in an additive genetic model with adjustments for age, sex, and top three population ancestry principal components. Odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to estimate genetic effects. Association statistics from each ancestry group were combined separately using fixed meta-analysis with inverse-variance weighting of log-ORs via METAL [19], following the descriptor: ./metal < script >, in which the commands of MARKER, ALLELE, WEIGHT, EFFECT, STDERR, FREQ, PROCESS, and PVAL were used to describe the input files across each study, and the commands of OUTFILE and ANALYSIS performed the final analysis.

RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) analysis

Blood samples were collected from 160 ARDS cases and 142 controls (in-house dataset) for RNA-Seq analysis, of which 46 were matched to genotyping data for expression quantitative trait loci (QTL) analyses. Procedures of detection and quantitation are described in Supplementary Methods. Briefly, 19,898 protein-coding genes were identified for transcriptome analyses, including analyses of differential expression, pathway enrichment, and immune cell decomposition. Details for reanalysis of publicly supported COVID-19 single-cell RNA-Seq datasets are described in Supplementary Methods.

Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis

Two-sample MR analysis was conducted to obtain causal estimates of exposures on outcome using the TwoSampleMR R package [20]. Exposures empirically included 114 traits as key clinical issues of COVID-19, grouped by disease, hematology, biochemistry, coagulation, nutrition or habit, and treatment (Table E2). Genetic instruments of each exposure were obtained from GWAS summary statistics in Europeans.
(Supplementary Methods). The outcome was ARDS using GWAS summary statistics in Europeans, generated by meta-analysis. Inverse-variance-weighted (IVW), weighted median, and MR-Egger regression were primarily used to calculate effect size ($\beta$) and corresponding standard error (SE). The Wald ratio method was used if only one genetic instrument remained. Heterogeneity was estimated using MR-Egger and IVW methods. Directional pleiotropy was estimated via MR-Egger intercept test. Evidence score was calculated by aggregating the significance.

Statistical analysis

Analytical steps throughout the study are shown in Figure E2. In silico analyses are detailed in Supplementary Methods, including genetic heritability and correlation (via Genome-wide Complex Trait Analysis [GCTA], LD Score Regression [LDSC], and LD Hub), gene set analysis (via Multi-marker Analysis of GenoMic Annotation [MAGMA] and Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits [DEPICT]), genetic function annotation (via Functional Annotation of Variants—Online Resource [FAVOR]), phenome-wide association studies annotation (PheWAS; via SAIGE UKB and PhenomeXcan), expression pattern analyses (via Human Protein Atlas [HPA], Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia).
Results

Genetic architecture of ARDS in European and African American populations

We first conducted ancestry-specific GWAS meta-analyses for ARDS. In iSPARR and MESSI European cohorts comprising 1250 cases and 1583 controls with 5,749,543 common variants (Fig. E2), rs7967111 A > G had a marginal effect of genome-wide significance on increasing risk of ARDS (OR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.21–1.51, \( P = 6.64 \times 10^{-8} \); Table E3 and Fig. E4A). For African American ancestry, we included 387 cases and 387 controls from MESSI and Garcia et al. cohorts with 6,255,902 variants (Fig. E2) and observed a top signal of rs619652 A > G reaching a nominal significance (OR = 1.86, 95% CI = 1.48–2.35, \( P = 1.59 \times 10^{-7} \); Table E3 and Fig. E4A).

Globally, the estimated genetic heritability of ARDS was higher in Europeans (GCTA: \( h^2_{SNP} = 0.129, SE = 0.023; LDSC: h^2_{SNP} = 0.126, SE = 0.049 \) than African Americans (GCTA: \( h^2_{SNP} = 0.039, SE = 0.032 \).

At the single-variant level, no significant loci were shared across ancestries (empirical threshold at \( P < 1 \times 10^{-4} \); Table E4 and Fig. E4B), even at the genome level analyzed via MAGMA (Fig. E4C). Nevertheless, gene set enrichment analysis via DEPICT identified 45 ancestry-shared reconstituted enrichment sets (Fig. E4D), such as immune response activation (top in Europeans). The genetic correlation of ARDS between the two populations was 0.266, but the large SE (0.589) caused by the small sample size limits interpretation. These findings suggest a distinct genetic heterogeneity of ARDS between ancestries, but some shared genetic components.

Thus, we performed a trans-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis. Unexpectedly, no loci achieved genome-wide significance, even at the empirical threshold of \( P < 1 \times 10^{-6} \) (Fig. E5). Notably, rs7967111 from Europeans maintained a statistically significant association with ARDS (OR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.14–1.39, \( P = 4.38 \times 10^{-6} \)), while rs619652 from African Americans was not validated in trans-ancestry populations and had dramatic heterogeneity—even its minor allele was exchanged from G in Europeans to A in African Americans (Table E3).

To confirm the genetic association of rs7967111, we performed sensitivity analysis by requiring individual genotyping data from two European cohorts. Intriguingly, rs7967111 exceeded genome-wide significance (\( P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \), with OR of 1.38 (\( P = 2.15 \times 10^{-8} \); Table 1) after adjusting for potential confounders. Thus, we retained rs7967111 for further analysis.

Functional analysis of rs7967111 at 12p13.2

Functional annotation showed that rs7967111 was located at the third intron of BORCSS and approximately 20 kb downstream of DUSP16 within a high-LD region (Fig. E6A,B), harboring strong functional signals of enhancer activity, histone modification, and transcription factor binding calculated by FAVOR (Fig. E6C). Subsequently, genetic pleiotropy analysis indicated that rs7967111 may dysregulate BORCSS and DUSP16 expression in blood (in-house dataset, Fig. 2a) and lung tissues (public datasets, Table E5) and alter neighboring methylation level at CpG site cg19207364, surrounding H3K27ac modification activity, and 15 blood metabolites abundance (Table E5). However, rs7967111 did not affect 11 ARDS protein biomarkers (Fig. E7). PheWAS for pleiotropy evaluation via SAIGE UKB and PhenomeXcan revealed that rs7967111 was dramatically associated with multiple phenotypes, especially in the respiratory

| Variant | Chr | BP | Locus | Non-effect/effect allele | EAF | Multiple models for genetic association | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|---------|-----|----|-------|--------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| rs7967111 | 12 | 12,601,953 | BORCSS intronic | A/G | 0.444 | Model 1: rs7967111 genotypes | 1.30 (1.17–1.45) | 9.67 \times 10^{-7} |
|       |     |     |       |                           |     | Model 2: model 1 + age + sex | 1.33 (1.19–1.48) | 2.57 \times 10^{-7} |
|       |     |     |       |                           |     | Model 3: model 2 + PCs | 1.35 (1.21–1.50) | 7.63 \times 10^{-8} |
|       |     |     |       |                           |     | Model 4: model 3 + cohort | 1.36 (1.22–1.52) | 3.58 \times 10^{-8} |
|       |     |     |       |                           |     | Model 5: model 4 + pneumonia | 1.38 (1.23–1.55) | 2.15 \times 10^{-8} |

ARDS acute respiratory distress syndrome; Chr chromosome; BP base pair position in GRCh37/hg19; OR odds ratio; CI confidence interval; EAF effect allele frequency; PCs population ancestry principal components 1–3

OR, CI, and P-values were calculated using logistic regression model with adjustments for confounders as appropriate. The result of model 3 was equivalent to GWAS meta-analysis in Europeans.
system (Fig. E8), and both BORCS5 and DUSP16 were significantly correlated with more than 100 phenotypes (Fig. E9). These results suggest that rs7967111 at 12p13.2 serves as a novel functional locus in ARDS development.

Expression pattern and biological effect of susceptibility genes

BORCS5 and DUSP16 were expressed across tissues at both protein and RNA levels (HPA database, Fig. E10). In the in-house dataset of 160 ARDS cases and 142 controls, BORCS5 and DUSP16 expression were highly correlated \((r = 0.76, P < 0.001; \text{Fig. E11A})\) regardless of case status (Fig. E11B). Strong correlations were also identified in cell lines, particularly in lung cells \((r_{\text{all}} = 0.44, P_{\text{all}} < 0.001; r_{\text{lung}} = 0.51, P_{\text{lung}} < 0.001; \text{CCLE dataset, Fig. E11C})\) and immune cells \((r > 0.95, P < 0.001; \text{DICE dataset, Fig. E11D})\).

Similar expression patterns were found at single-cell levels using the UCSC Cell Browser. BORCS5 (6.4% of cells) and DUSP16 (14.5%) were widely spread over nine cell types originating from lung tissues (Fig. E12A), especially enriched across primary bronchial epithelial cells (3.2% and 1.1%, respectively; Fig. E12B). Notably, BORCS5 and DUSP16 were highly expressed in pulmonary immune cells, including macrophages and dendritic cells (Fig. E12C).

Preclinical models of lung injury induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) retrieved from GEO were applied to assess the potential biological effects of candidate genes in ARDS pathobiology. Intriguingly, dynamic expression patterns of BORCS5 and DUSP16 were observed in both mouse lung tissues (GSE9314) and human lung microvascular endothelial cells (GSE5883) exposed to LPS over time (Fig. 2b). Both BORCS5 and DUSP16 showed greater expression in the first 4–8 h after LPS exposure, but then their expression decreased dramatically.

Immune characteristics of ARDS by transcriptome profiling

We next profiled the blood transcriptome for 160 ARDS cases and 142 controls. There yielded 142 differentially expressed genes (112 upregulated and 30
downregulated; Fig. E13A), and these represented enrichment for key immune pathways including Toll-like receptor signaling, CD8+ T cells, and immunodeficiency disease via gene set enrichment analysis (Fig. E13B–D). Further, among 22 immune cell types decomposed in the transcriptome via CIBERSORTx, 5 immune cell types were significantly increased in ARDS cases (Fig. E14). Remarkably, BORCS5 and DUSP16 were dramatically positively correlated with gamma delta T cells, M2 macrophages, and neutrophils, and negatively with CD8+ T cells, regulatory T cells, monocyes, and resting mast cells (Fig. 2c). However, rs7967111 did not significantly influence these cell fractions (Fig. E15).

**Transferrability of all-cause ARDS findings**

Given ARDS is a complication during the severe progression of COVID-19, we attempted to assess the transferrability of all-cause ARDS findings (defined in this study) to understand COVID-19 severity. Genetically, three severe COVID-19 relevant SNPs (i.e., rs657152, rs10735079, and rs2109069) [12, 13] displayed consistent associations with all-cause ARDS development in this study, of which 2 were nominally significant ($P = 0.040, 0.013$, and $0.045$, respectively; Fig. E16). Intriguingly, the PRS calculated by COVID-19 severity GWAS was significantly higher in ARDS cases than controls (Fig. 3a). In single-cell transcriptome profiles, BORCS5 and DUSP16 expression were significantly decreased or on a downward trend aligning with severe progression of COVID-19 in dendritic cells derived from upper respiratory tract samples (Fig. 3b) or peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Fig. 3c) [21, 22].

**Putative causal relationship between traits and ARDS**

Moreover, we estimated causal effects of 114 candidate risk factors derived from clinical observations of COVID-19 under the MR analytic framework (Fig. 4a). Six associations with evidence scores ≥2 are shown in Fig. 4b. Notably, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and immune/inflammatory biomarkers [i.e., C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-10 (IL-10), and immunoglobulin G index levels in cerebrospinal fluid] were causally associated with increased risk of ARDS development (all $\beta > 0$; $P_{IVW} = 0.027, 0.015, 0.002$, and <0.001, respectively), while daily supplements of vitamin D ($\beta_{MR-Egger} = -25.80$, $P_{MR-Egger} = 0.001$) and use of vasodilators to treat cardiac diseases ($\beta_{IVW} = -0.25$, $P_{IVW} = 0.031$) were associated with decreased likelihood of severe progression (Table E2). Intriguingly, 47 of 855 traits were genetically correlated with ARDS in Europeans using LD Hub (Table E6), including IBD.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to integrate trans-ancestry GWAS in Europeans and African Americans, transcriptome analyses across bulk tissues and single cells, and preclinical models by mice and cells, to reveal a novel locus at 12p13.2 harboring rs7967111 as genetic predictor of ARDS susceptibility, BORCS5 and DUSP6 involved in heterogeneous etiologies of ARDS, and potential clinical interventions of ARDS development.

Initially, we launched a large-scale ARDS GWAS analysis comprising European and African American populations. Although a distinct genetic architecture of ARDS exists across ancestries, gene set-based inference eliminated ancestry specificity, which showed 45 shared functional elements in ARDS etiology, including immune response. Trans-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis improves the resolution of genetic effects on phenotypes [23]. However, we did not observe signals passing genome-wide significance when combining the two ancestries, possibly because of the limited sample size and varied ethnic background of African Americans. Thus, a large-scale non-European population for ARDS genomic studies was advocated to further maximize genetic discovery and reduce health disparities.

Nevertheless, rs7967111 at 12p13.2 presented a significant genome-wide effect on increasing ARDS risk after sensitivity analyses. The subsequent pleiotropic evaluation indicated that rs7967111 was a potential causal variant of ARDS by disturbing a cascade of DNA methylation, histone modification, gene expression, and metabolites. PheWAS is well suited to facilitate pleiotropic evaluation of novel risk SNPs on phenotypes [24].

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)  
**Fig. 3** Omics transferrability assessment of all-cause ARDS. A Differential polygenic risk score (PRS) between ARDS cases and controls. PRS was calculated via weighting effect size derived from three severe COVID-19 GWAS assigned to each ARDS case and control in Europeans. $P$-value was calculated via $t$-test. B C Differential expression analysis for BORCS5 and DUSP16 in dendritic cells derived from two single-cell RNA-Seq datasets of upper respiratory tract samples [21] (B) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells [22] (C) in COVID-19 patients and healthy controls, respectively. Dots represent cells expressing candidate genes and are colored by the severity of COVID-19. Healthy samples from (B) dataset were removed because only one cell expressed candidate genes. $P$-values were calculated from a Wilcoxon test. The $y$-axis is on a log-10 scale to show gene expression, and “n” indicates the number of cells detected with candidate gene expression. The solid line of each plot indicates the median of gene expression for each group, and box edges mark lower and upper quartiles of gene expression.
(A) Polygenic Risk Score

- COVID-19 HGI B1: T-test, p = 5e-04
- Severe COVID-19 Group: T-test, p = 0.015
- Paio-Castineira et al.: T-test, p = 0.19

(B) Dendritic Cells in Upper Respiratory Tracts

- BORCS5 expression
  - Critical: n = 74
  - Moderate: n = 259
  \( P < 0.001 \)

- DUSP16 expression
  - Critical: n = 14
  - Moderate: n = 91
  \( P = 0.226 \)

(C) Dendritic Cells in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells

- BORCS5 expression
  - Severe: n = 6
  - Mild: n = 99
  - Healthy: n = 119
  \( P = 0.170 \)

- DUSP16 expression
  - Severe: n = 5
  - Mild: n = 5
  - Healthy: n = 31
  \( P < 0.001 \)
  \( P = 0.002 \)
analyses further indicated that rs7967111 and the annotated genes *BORCS5* and *DUSP16* displayed biological roles in multiple traits or diseases, especially those referring to the lung.

The following transcriptome analyses spanning bulk tissues and single cells dissected their functions in ARDS that *BORCS5* and *DUSP16* were not only highly correlated mutually in pulmonary immune cells but also influenced cell fractions of immune CD8+ T cells, regulatory T cells, and macrophages in blood, separately from genetic effects of rs7967111. Notably, preclinical models analyses revealed that *BORCS5* and *DUSP16* expression exhibit dynamic change but ultimately decrease during ARDS development. Physiologically, *BORCS5* recruits ARL8B to promote lysosome movement and reduce cell spreading and migration [25, 26]; and *DUSP16* inactivates MAP kinases to regulate cellular senescence important for immune responses [27, 28]. These comprehensive analyses indicate that rs7967111 was a functional genetic predictor for ARDS susceptibility via disrupting both *BORCS5* and *DUSP16* expression, among which the latter served as regulatory factors affecting immune response in ARDS pathophysiology under certain causes.

**Fig. 4** Results of Mendelian Randomization analysis for all-cause ARDS. a Schematic diagram for assumptions of Mendelian Randomization analysis. Assumption 1: genetic instruments selected should be robustly associated with the exposure, usually underlying $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ($P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ or $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ as suggestive significance level); Assumption 2: genetic variants should not be associated with potential confounders; and Assumption 3: genetic variants of an exposure should affect the outcome risk only through the risk factor, not via alternative pathways. b Scatter plots for putative causal associations between six diseases/trails and all-cause ARDS under evidence score of $\geq 2$. P-values were calculated with IVW or MR-Egger regression.
Emerging studies propose that it is reasonable to borrow concepts from other-cause ARDS pathogenesis to inform evolving understanding of COVID-19 ARDS in severe progression while awaiting more disease-focused data [29]. Clinically, patients who progress to severe COVID-19 meet ARDS diagnosis criteria [30], and represent a specific subset of all-cause ARDS with clinical similarity in respiratory mechanics, therapeutic response, and prognosis [31, 32]. Therefore, we hypothesized whether the available data on all-cause ARDS could glean insights into ARDS development as COVID-19 progresses. In this study, we observed that several genetic components in COVID-19 severity [12, 13] were shared in all-cause ARDS and the corresponding PRS could distinguish ARDS cases from controls. Biologically, an intriguing observation from single-cell transcriptome data showed gradually reduced expression of both BORCS5 and DUSP16 in dendritic cells correlated with COVID-19 severity, which was in accordance with the expression pattern in preclinical models of ARDS development. Together, these features may suggest mechanisms that BORCS5 and DUSP16 may be involved in COVID-19 severity as similar to the immune-inflammatory response in ARDS pathophysiology.

Furthermore, we observed some clinically instructive results by MR analysis. Elevated levels of CRP and IL-10 were commonly happened in severe COVID-19 patients [33], and IBD patients were at higher risk of developing severity [34]; in contrast, vasodilator use and vitamin D supplements might reduce the risk of COVID-19 infections and mortality [35, 36]. These clinical observations were consistent with their causal associations with ARDS development, which may guide the ARDS care management, especially during COVID-19 severe progression.

We acknowledge several limitations of this study. First, the transcriptome for all-cause ARDS was derived from blood, possibly explaining non-significant differential expression of BORCS5 and DUSP16. Further studies applying lung-specific transcriptomes of ARDS (including COVID-19 ARDS) will inform future treatment strategies. Second, it is necessary to perform large-scale ARDS GWAS in diverse populations to confirm our findings, especially for the transferability of all-cause ARDS to COVID-19 ARDS in severe progression. The generalizability of these results warrants further omics validation by recruiting larger populations of patients with COVID-19 with and without ARDS. Third, MR associations are subject to pleiotropic effects of genetic instruments, such as treatments in IBD and all-cause ARDS, which might be associated with some unexpected hidden confounders in GWAS summary statistics calculations. Therefore, well-powered randomized trials are needed to conclusively evaluate this association.

In summary, we uncovered a novel locus at 12p13.2 encompassing rs7967111 and functional involvement of BORCS5 and DUSP16 in ARDS development via immune-inflammatory processes. A certain extent of shared molecular characteristics and clinical observations indicate the leverage of findings in predicting ARDS susceptibility, elucidating ARDS pathophysiology, and informing treatment and intervention strategies for ARDS development, including those induced by COVID-19.
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