Characterization of Postinfusion Phenotypic Differences in Fresh Versus Cryopreserved TCR Engineered Adoptive Cell Therapy Products
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Summary: Adoptive cell therapy (ACT) consisting of genetically engineered T cells expressing tumor antigen-specific T-cell receptors displays robust initial antitumor activity, followed by loss of T-cell activity/persistence and frequent disease relapse. We characterized baseline and longitudinal T-cell phenotype variations resulting from different manufacturing and administration protocols in patients who received ACT. Patients with melanoma who enrolled in the F5-MART-1 clinical trial (NCT00910650) received infusions of MART-1 T-cell receptors transgenic T cells with MART-1 peptide-pulsed dendritic cell vaccination. Patients were divided into cohorts based on several manufacturing changes in the generation and administration of the transgenic T cells: decreasing ex vivo stimulation/expansion time, increased cell dose, and receiving fresh instead of cryopreserved cells. T-cell phenotypes were analyzed by flow cytometry at baseline and longitudinally in peripheral blood. Transgenic T cells with shorter ex vivo culture/expansion periods displayed significantly increased expression of markers associated with less differentiated naive/memory populations, as well as significantly decreased expression of the inhibitory receptor programmed death 1 (PD1). Patients receiving fresh infusions of transgenic cells demonstrated expansion of central memory T cells and delayed acquisition of PD1 expression compared with patients who received cryopreserved products. Freshly infused transgenic T cells showed persistence and expansion of naive and memory T-cell populations and delayed acquisition of PD1 expression, which correlated with this cohort’s superior persistence of transgenic cells and response to dendritic cell vaccines. These results may be useful in designing future ACT protocols.
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Immunotherapy can be an effective means of treating or curing a variety of advanced malignancies. These approaches generally rely on CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL), which recognize tumor antigens via their T-cell receptor (TCR), and then induce tumor cell death via effector molecules such as granzyme B, engaging tumor necrosis factor family of death receptors, and interferons. Adoptive cell therapy (ACT) involves the ex vivo generation of CD8+ T cells which target specific tumor antigens. These T cells can be generated ex vivo by expansion of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) from a given tumor, expansion of endogenous, low-frequency CD8+ T cells which target a tumor antigen, or, most recently, by genetic transfer of a TCR with specificity to a given tumor antigen. Such products are then expanded ex vivo and reinfused into the patient. Several groups have previously demonstrated that ACT typically results in frequent initial tumor responses, but with infrequent long-term responses. Prior landmark ACT clinical trials by Rosenberg and colleagues at the National Cancer Institute, Surgery Branch demonstrated complete responses in a minority of patients treated with genetically engineered T cells directed against melanoma-specific antigens MART-1 and gp100. Additional antigens and their associated malignancies have been targeted in this manner as well, most notably with anti-NY-ESO-1 TCR-engineered T cells used to treat NY-ESO-1+ melanomas and synovial sarcomas. However, despite robust initial tumor responses in the majority of patients treated in these trials, complete responses without recurrence were only seen in a minority of patients. This widely reproduced phenomenon underscores the need to better understand the behavior of the genetically modified T cells utilized in ACT to enhance the duration of their initially strong antitumor effects.

Our group’s prior experience with ACT, the University of California, Los Angeles/CaliTech F5-MART-1 clinical trial, utilized autologous T cells which had undergone retroviral transduction to express a TCR against MART-1 and...
subsequently expanded ex vivo, in conjunction with autologous MART-1 peptide-pulsed dendritic cells (DCs) to treat patients with locally advanced or metastatic melanoma.\textsuperscript{10} Nine of the 13 treated patients (69\%) showed evidence of transient tumor regression by computed tomography or positron emission tomography/computer tomography. Peripheral blood reconstitution with MART-1-specific T cells peaked within 2 weeks of ACT, indicating dramatic in vivo expansion. However, none of the initial tumor responses were durable beyond 6 months. We had previously analyzed TCR transgenic T cells administered and recovered from 3 of the patients in this series using new generation microfluorides-based miniaturized assays. These assays allow us to simultaneously study multiple functional cytokine responses of T cells based on defined antigen specificities.\textsuperscript{13,14} These studies showed that the initial polyfunctionality and cytotoxicity resulting in high antitumor activity of the TCR transgenic T cells is gradually lost in vivo, which was temporally associated with the clinical course of initial tumor response followed by progression.

The need for improving ACT’s efficacy has led to the characterization of factors responsible for the success or failure of these therapies. Prior work by Restifo and colleagues has demonstrated the role of less differentiated T cells in successful ACT, primarily the naive T cell (T<sub>N</sub>) and central memory T cell (T<sub>CM</sub>) compartments. Mouse models have revealed that ACT with these less differentiated T-cell subsets demonstrate superior in vivo expansion, persistence, and antitumor activity when compared with the more terminally differentiated effector memory (T<sub>EM</sub>) and effector (T<sub>EFF</sub>) T-cell compartments.\textsuperscript{13,14} Furthermore, they have shown that T<sub>EFF</sub> cells derived from naive T cells resist terminal differentiation and have greater proliferative potential than T<sub>EFF</sub> derived from memory T-cell populations.\textsuperscript{13,16} In addition, the loss of antitumor activity in mouse models of ACT has been associated with the acquisition of inhibitory receptors such as programmed death 1 (PD1) on both tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and circulating CTLs, and the addition of agents blocking these inhibitory receptors potentiates the activity of ACT in these preclinical models.\textsuperscript{17}

Our F5-MART-1 trial utilized several manufacturing changes in the generation and administration of the modified T cells, namely decreasing ex vivo stimulation/expansion time from 7 to 6 days, increasing the number of cells infused, and the use of fresh instead of cryopreserved cells. Of note, our patients who received fresh TCR transgenic T cells were noted to experience a more prolonged persistence of circulating transgenic T cells, as well as reexpansion of the transgenic cells in response to the MART-1 peptide DC vaccinations.\textsuperscript{18} We therefore chose to longitudinally study the transgenic T cells in our patients to understand the phenotypic effect of the differences in manufacturing techniques employed. We report that shorter ex vivo culture/expansion periods are associated with decreased initial expression of the inhibitory TCR programmed death 1 (PD1), freshly infused cells demonstrated delayed acquisition of PD1 when compared with the cryopreserved cells. In addition, while shorter culture time was associated with decreased initial expression of the inhibitory TCR programmed death 1 (PD1), freshly infused cells demonstrated delayed acquisition of PD1 when compared with the cryopreserved cells. These differences may be responsible for these patients displaying increased persistence of their transgenic T-cell products, as well as their responsiveness to DC vaccinations. These findings may help inform the optimization of future ACT protocols, and lead to improved treatment outcomes.

**METHODS**

**Clinical Trial, Patients, and Manufacturing Cohorts of MART-1 Engineered T Cells**

Patients positive for HLA-A*0201 and with a MART-1\textsuperscript{1+} metastatic melanoma were enrolled in the F5-MART-1 clinical trial (NCT00910650) from April 2009 to September 2011. A written consent form for the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) Institutional Review Board (#08-02-020 and #10-00121) was obtained from each patient, under investigational new drug 13859 from the US Food and Drug Administration.\textsuperscript{10,12} Detailed descriptions of the clinical trial design and manufacturing of the MART-1 TCR transgenic T cells are previously described.\textsuperscript{10} We divided the patients into 4 cohorts for immune monitoring analyses following sequential amendments to the TCR transgenic T-cell manufacturing. Briefly, cohort 1 (patients F5-1 through F5-4) was treated under the original protocol, in which nonmobilized peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were stimulated in culture with IL-2/OCT3 and were transduced with our clinical grade retrovirus vector expressing the MART-1 F5 TCR on 2 consecutive days, and were then continually expanded for 96 hours (7 d total culture time), after which they were cryopreserved as soon as the lot release criteria were cleared, and subsequently receiving up to 1×10\textsuperscript{9} previously cryopreserved TCR transgenic lymphocytes. Cells were infused into patients following a conditioning regimen with cyclophosphamide and fludarabine, along with up to 14 doses of IL-2, as previously described.\textsuperscript{10} Cohort 2 (patients F5-5 through F5-9) limited the period of ex vivo expansion to 72 hours following transduction (6 d total culture time) before cryopreservation and subsequent administration of 1×10\textsuperscript{9} TCR transgenic lymphocytes. Cohort 3 (patients F5-10 and F5-11) increased the total number of cryopreserved TCR transgenic lymphocytes given to up to a maximum of 1×10\textsuperscript{9}, while total culture time remained 6 days total. Cohort 4 (patients F5-12 through F5-14) received fresh infusions of up to 1×10\textsuperscript{10} TCR transgenic lymphocytes, rather than cryopreserved. In addition, these patients received 1 day less of fludarabine conditioning, and were given only a maximum of 9 doses of IL-2 instead of 14. Culture time remained 6 days total for this cohort. Patient F5-15 also received freshly infused cells, but with a maximum of only 1×10\textsuperscript{9} cells. This patient also received more frequent administration of IL-2, but at lower dosage.

**Flow Cytometry Surface Staining**

PBMCs were centrifuged (400g for 5 min), resuspended in 100 mL of adult bovine serum (Omega Scientific, Tarzana, CA) and stained with preconjugated antibodies for flow cytometry,\textsuperscript{18} and acquired using 2 LSR II Flow Cytometers, one with 3 lasers (blue, red, and violet) and the other with 4 lasers (blue, red, violet, and ultraviolet; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). A minimum of 500,000 events were captured for each experiment. Antibodies against CD3, CD8, CD4, CD25, HLA-DR, CD45RO,CCR7,CCR5,PD1,CD45RA,CD27,CD28 andCD62L, as well as 7-Aminocinocinocin D, were purchased from BD Biosciences, Beckman Coulter (Brea, CA), Biologend (San Diego, CA), and Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). MART-1 HLA-A*0201 Tetramers and negative controls were purchased from Beckman Coulter. Detailed description of the antibodies and staining is described in previously published articles.\textsuperscript{10,12} For CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cell phenotype characterization, T<sub>N</sub>...
were classified as CD45RA+/CCR7+/CCR5+/PD1−, CD45RA+/CCR7+/CCR5+/PD1+, and CD27+/CD28-/CD62L-; TCM as CD45RO-/CD25-/HLA-DR-/CD127+; CD45RA+/CCR7+/CD62L+; and T eff as CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5+/PD1−, CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5-/PD1+, CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5+/PD1−, and CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5-/PD1+; effector memory RA (TEMRA) as CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5+/PD1−, CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5-/PD1+, and CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5-/PD1−; and CD45RA-/CCR7-/CCR5-/PD1+. 

Flow Cytometry Analysis

All flow data analyses were done with either FlowJo (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR) or Cytobank (www.cytobank.com). Biexponential and arcsinh displays were used in the analyses.

Statistical Analysis

Graphing, heatmaps, and descriptive statistical analyses were carried out with GraphPad Prism version 7.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). For the comparison of the characteristics of the 7 day versus 6 day culture cohorts’ infusion products, unpaired Student t test was used. Log-transformation was performed if normality assumption was violated according to the Shapiro-Wilk test. P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics and Outcomes

As previously described, there were multiple protocol amendments during this trial, which significantly altered the manufacturing of the infused cell products as described previously. The 4 manufacturing cohorts and their associated differences are summarized and subdivided on Table 1, along with patient characteristics and outcomes. There was transient evidence of initial tumor response to ACT in 9 of 13 patients as determined by day 30 computed tomography or positron emission tomography/computer tomography scans. In patients who survived to the end of the 7-day culture cohort (25.3% vs. 1.38%, P < 0.05), as well as higher expression of CD62L (66.2% vs. 30.3%, P < 0.05). In addition, there was increased CD25 expression noted in the 6-day culture time cohorts compared with the 7-day culture cohort (84.0% vs. 43.3%, P < 0.001). In the transgenic CD8+ subset (Fig. 1E), CCR7 elevation in shorter culture time nearly achieved significance (21.3% vs. 1.4%, P = 0.066). However, CD26L expression was significantly higher in the shorter culture time cohorts compared with cohort 1 (70.4% vs. 34.0%, P < 0.001). Furthermore, the inhibitory receptor PD1 displayed significantly lower expression in the cell products which had been cultured for 6 days compared with 7 days (70% vs. 27.3%, P < 0.05).

Persistence of Naive/Memory T Cells in Freshly Infused Transgenic Product

We compared the changes in transgenic T-cell phenotype between the different manufacturing/administration protocols over time for patients in whom we were able to obtain longitudinal PBMC samples (patients F5-1 through F5-4 in cohort 1, patients F5-6 through F5-9 in cohort 2, patients F510 and F5-11 in cohort 3, and patients F5-12 and F5-13 in cohort 4). The most striking trends in both the CD4+ and CD8+ populations displayed were a persistence of the naive/memory T-cell markers CD127 and CCR7 throughout the 7-day culture period in cohorts 2, 3, and 4, as well as a significant delay in the acquisition of PD1 in cohort 4 (Fig. 2). While there was negligible expression of CD127 at baseline and longitudinally in the CD8 transgenic cells in cohort 1 (<1% positive average, Fig. 2A), this memory T-cell marker expanded beyond its baselines in cohort 2 (from 5.99% to 47% at end of study), cohort 3 (from 7.5% to 21.3%) and cohort 4 (from 5.4% to 70.5%). The marker of memory/naive CCR7 remained at <1% of transgenic CD8+ T cells for cohort 1. Despite starting off at higher levels in cohorts 2 and 3 (27.7% and 36.7%, respectively), CCR7 average expression levels gradually diminished over time in these cohorts. Cohort 4, however, displayed a longitudinal expansion of CCR7, reaching a peak of 62.1% average positivity at day +45, and a persistence of this expression up to day +100 (31%). When transgenic CD8+ T cells were stratified by naive/central memory/effector memory/effector memory RA/effector phenotypes (Fig. 2B), we observed a contraction of T N and T CM compartments in cohort 1 (from 8.2% and 6.5% to 4.2% and 2.6% of total, respectively),
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| Manufacturing/Conditioning Cohort | Patient Study No. | Sex (M/F) | Age (y) | Active Metastasis Sites | Stage | No. F5 TCR Transgenic Cells | No. Doses IL-2 | No. Doses DCs | Evidence of Transient Tumor Response | Response at EOS (Day 90) | PFS (mo) | OS (mo) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------|
| 1 (7d expansion, cryopreserved, up to 1×10^9 cells infused) | F5-1 | M | 60 | Lung, stomach, liver, pancreas, peritoneum, soft tissues | M1c | 1×10^9 | 12/14 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Progression | 3 | 5 |
| 1 | F5-2 | F | 46 | Skin, LN, bone | M1c | 1×10^9 | 6/14 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Stable disease | 6 | 10 |
| 1 | F5-3 | M | 61 | Lung, liver | M1c | 1×10^9 | 13/14 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Stable disease | 7 | 86 |
| 1 | F5-4 | M | 50 | Lung, LN, SC | M1c | 0.6×10^9 | 14/14 | 3/3 | No | Progression | 2 | 22 |
| 2 (6d expansion, cryopreserved, up to 1×10^9 cells infused) | F5-5 | M | 53 | Lung | M1c | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 2 | F5-6 | M | 59 | Lung, LN | M1b | 1×10^9 | 13/14 | 3/3 | Yes by PE | Stable disease | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | F5-7 | M | 48 | SC, bone | M1c | 1×10^9 | 9/14 | 3/3 | Yes by CT | Stable disease | 4 | 11 |
| 2 | F5-8 | M | 44 | LN, liver | M1c | 1×10^9 | 11/14 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Stable disease | 4 | 11 |
| 2 | F5-9 | F | 46 | Skin, LN | M1a | 1×10^9 | 11/14 | 3/3 | No | Progression | 3 | 20 |
| 3 (6d expansion, cryopreserved, up to 1×10^10 cells infused) | F5-10 | F | 47 | Liver, adrenal, SC, LN, orbit | M1c | 4.8×10^9 | 14/14 | 2/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Progression | 2 | 8 |
| 3 | F5-11 | F | 56 | Lung, LN | M1b | 1.8×10^9 | 8/14 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Stable disease | 4 | 10 |
| 3 | F5-12 | M | 40 | Lung, LN | MIVb | 3.9×10^9 | 6/9 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Stable disease | 5 | 8 |
| 4 (6d expansion, fresh, up to 1×10^10 cells infused, reduced conditioning and IL-2) | F5-13 | M | 60 | Lung, abdomen, SC | MIIb | 4.41×10^9 | 4/9 | 3/3 | Yes by PET/CT | Stable disease | 3 | 8 |
| 4 | F5-14 | F | 50 | Lung, liver, adrenal gland, LNs | M1c | 3.93×10^9 | 3/9 | 1/3 | Yes by CXR | NA (died 31 d following T-cell infusion) | 0.5 | 1 |
| 4 (6d expansion, fresh, up to 1×10^9 cells infused, reduced conditioning and low dose IL-2) | F5-15 | M | 76 | Right axilla, chest wall, lungs, liver | MIV | 1×10^9 | 22/28 | 1/3 | NA | NA | NA | NA |

CXR indicates chest x-ray; DC, dendritic cells; EOS, end of study; F, female; IL, interleukin; LN, lymph nodes; M, male; NA, not available; OS, overall survival; PE, physical examination; PET/CT, positron emission tomography/computer tomography; PFS, progression-free survival; SC, subcutaneous; TCR, T-cell receptor.
compared with a starting higher average proportion T_N and T_CM subpopulations of T cells within cohort 2 (T_N 23.3%, T_CM 5.9%) and cohort 3 (T_N 18.1%, T_CM 3.8%), as well as overall persistence of these compartments by the end of study (cohort 2—T_N 17.7%, T_CM 5.9%; cohort 3—T_N 19.6%, T_CM 8.7%). Furthermore, while cohort 4 displayed a higher average amount of T_N (15.0%), which contracted to 5.5% of total by the end of the study, there was an overall expansion of T_CM cells in this cohort (from 3% to 34.9%) by day +100.

Several trends were also apparent within the CD4+ population of transgenic T cells (Fig. 2C): CD127 expression was negligible in cohort 1, achieving a maximum expression of 15.8% on day +75, which was not sustained. Conversely, cohort 2 achieved continual expansion of CD127 to a maximum of 76.1% by day +90, while cohort 4 achieved a rapid expansion of CD127 to an average of 97.3% positive, with persistence of 67.5% positive by day +100 (there were no detectable CD4+ transgenic T cells after day +30 in cohort 3). CCR7 also remained negligible in cohort 1 throughout the study. Cohort 2 displayed a late expansion of this memory marker to 49.3% at day +80, while cohort 4 displayed an expansion of this marker to 64.8% at day +30, with a persistence of 32.4% positive by day +100.

**Delayed PD1 Acquisition in Freshly Infused Transgenic Product Compared With Cryopreserved Product**

The T cell inhibitory marker PD1 also displayed different longitudinal dynamics in cohort 4, which received fresh infusions of transgenic cells, as compared with the cryopreserved cohorts. Although cohorts 2, 3, and 4 all displayed significantly lower PD1 expression than cohort 1 at baseline, cohorts 2 and 3 displayed progressive acquisition of PD1 within a week of infusion. Cohort 1, which displayed an average PD1 expression of 27.3% and 18.2% in the transgenic CD8+ and CD4+ compartments, respectively, increased steadily to peaks of 57.3% and 84% within the transgenic CD8+ and CD4+ compartments, respectively (Figs. 2A, C). Cohorts 2 and 3, which initially had only 7.3% and 7.8% average PD1 expression in the CD8+
transgenic cells at infusion, displayed a rapid and steady increase in the acquisition of this marker within the first week, rising to maximums of 75.6% and 27.5%, respectively. This trend was mirrored within the CD4+ transgenic T-cell population as well, with rapid rises from baselines of 12.0% and 9.2% to maximums of 56.4% and 25.0% within cohorts 2 and 3, respectively. Cohort 4 displayed low PD1 expression at baseline within the CD4+ and CD8+ transgenic populations (1.0% and 2.0%, respectively). However, PD1 expression remained nearly undetectable (<1%) in both the CD4+ and CD8+ transgenic cell population in this cohort until day +55, reaching maximums of only 28.4% and 28.7%, respectively.

We also examined the total proportion of CD8⁺PD1⁺ circulating lymphocytes in our patients, to examine the overall trends of this population, as well as proportion of transgenic CD8⁺PD1⁺ cells which made up the total of this phenotype (Fig. 3). Overall, the proportion of transgenic CD8⁺PD1⁺ T cells which made up the total quantity of CD8⁺PD1⁺ T cells remained the same or was slightly augmented within the first 30 to 45 days postinfusion, after which it remained roughly proportional in cohort 2, while modestly contracting in cohorts 1 and 3. Cohort 4, by comparison, maintained the lowest overall population of CD8⁺PD1⁺ T cells, only minimally expanding both the total and transgenic populations after day +55.

**Presence of Suppressor Tregs Within Infusion Products, Followed by Rapid Clearance**

In order to understand the progressive loss of transgenic cell number and activity over time, we explored the presence of suppressor Tregs within the infusion product and over time (Fig. 4). Significant proportions of both transgenic and nontransgenic T cells with a Treg phenotype were present within the infusion product in all cohorts, with no significant differences between manufacturing cohorts. However, all transgenic Tregs were cleared from circulation within the first 15 days. This was accompanied by an overall decrease in total Tregs as well. Cohort 4 did not have sufficient samples collected for day +15 analysis of Tregs, but all total and transgenic Tregs were cleared from this cohort by day +30.
MART-1 Transgenic CD8 T Cells Track to Tumors, and these TILs Acquire Expression of PD1

We next characterized the ability of transgenic T cells to track to the tumor sites, as well as their phenotypic changes within the tumor microenvironment, by examining on-treatment tumor biopsies in the 2 patients from whom we were able to obtain such biopsies (F5-1 and F5-2) at day +24 and day +30, respectively. We then compared the infusion T cells, day +30 peripheral blood CD8+ T cells, and tumor biopsy TILs (Fig. 5). Patient F5-1, who displayed 82.3% expression of MART-1 tetramer in the infusion product, displayed persistence of 38.8% in peripheral blood CTLs at day +30, while TILs isolated from the tumor biopsy displayed 10.7% MART-1 tetramer positivity (Fig. 5A). Patient F5-2 similarly displayed a robust expression of MART-1 tetramer in the infusion product (63.1%), which both persisted in the peripheral blood (43.5% positive at day +30), and were detectable in the tumor at day +30 as well (9.85% positive in TILs). We further characterized the degree of PD1 acquisition within both the peripheral blood transgenic CTLs and TILs. Patient F5-1, who displayed 22.6% PD1+ CD8+ MART-1+ cells in the infusion product (Fig. 5C), showed elevated PD1 expression within the transgenic CD8+ population within both the peripheral blood and TIL compartments (83.4% and 64.4%, respectively). While patient F5-2 showed a transient decrease in overall PD1 expression in the peripheral blood by day +30 (from 19.5% to 1.3%), the CD8+ MART-1+ TILs demonstrated increased expression of PD1 (38.2%).

In order to better characterize this acquisition of PD1 expression within the TILs of these patients, we examined PD1 acquisition within the TEM and TEMRA compartments using the canonical Boolean gate approach.20 As before, TEM was defined as CD45RA−/CCR7−/CCR5+/−/PD1+/−, while TEMRA was defined as CD45RA+/CCR7−/CCR5+/−/PD1+/−. In patient F5-1, PD1− transgenic CD8+ T cells represented 57.2% of the TEM compartment in the infusion product (Fig. 5D). These PD1− cells had disappeared completely from the peripheral blood by day +30, and remained only minimally present within the TILs (7.6%). However, the PD1− transgenic CD8+ T-cell population increased from 8.1% of the TEM compartment in the infusion product to 57.3% of this compartment within the TILs. This trend was also seen in the TEMRA compartment as well: PD1− transgenic TEMRA cells decreased from 19% in the TILs to 4% by day +30.
infusion product to 11.9% in the peripheral blood and 5.3% in the TILs, while PD1+ transgenic TEMRA increased in both the peripheral blood and within the TILs (81% and 25.9%, respectively). Patient F5-2 also displayed acquisition of PD1 expression within the TEM compartment, with PD1− transgenic TEM cells falling from 58.3% in the infusion product to 20.3% and 5.7% in the peripheral blood and TILs at day +30, respectively (Fig. 5D). Conversely, PD1 expression in the TEM compartment rose from 3.9% in the infusion product to 45.7% in the TILs (while disappearing from the peripheral blood). Within the TEMRA compartment, patient F5-2 demonstrated an expansion of PD1− transgenic cells in the peripheral blood, while still showing acquisition of PD1 expression within the transgenic TILs (from 2.1% to 8.6% of total).

**DISCUSSION**

Given the ability of ACT to generate robust initial antitumor responses, there is interest in exploring ways of enhancing its therapeutic duration. In addition, as manufacturing protocols of cellular products can vary between institutions, characterization of phenotypic differences resulting from variations in manufacturing practices is an important topic with therapeutic implications. Previous preclinical models of ACT have demonstrated that prolonged ex vivo expansion resulted in transgenic T cells which were more terminally differentiated, had decreased proliferative capacity, and lower antitumor activity in vivo when compared with products with shorter ex vivo expansion periods. Therefore, our F5-MART-1 trial utilized a 7-day, and later a 6-day culture period, both of which were shorter than the previously utilized 2 to 4 week expansion periods in other ACT trials.6,7 We also infused fresh rather than cryopreserved cells in the later patients enrolled in the trial, in an effort to improve clinical outcomes by avoiding the physiologic stressors of freezing/thawing. These patients’ responses differed in that they demonstrated longer persistence of transgenic T-cell products, and were the only cases to display evidence of boosting effects in response to the

**FIGURE 4.** Baseline and longitudinal proportion of total and transgenic suppressor Treg, in patients. A, Gating strategy to obtain the percentage of Treg cells, and percentage of MART-1 tetramer expressing cells within that population. B, Total frequency of Treg, T cells, defined by CD4+CD25highCD127low (white), and the proportion of those cells expressing the MART-1 TCR (blue) in the final infusion product and on circulating peripheral lymphocytes of the patients over time (measured in days). Error bars represent SDs. Cohort 1: n = 4, cohort 2: n = 4, cohort 3: n = 2, cohort 4: n = 2.
DC vaccines, as previously reported. Two patients (F5-12 and F5-14) who received fresh cell infusions were the only patients noted to have severe cytokine storm syndrome, which was not seen in any patients who received cryopreserved cells, as previously reported. Furthermore, another patient who received fresh cells (F5-13) displayed recall whole body rash which accompanied the reexpansion of TCR transgenic cells in response to DC vaccination. These results further imply a superior functionality of the fresh transgenic cells, although the small sample size prevents us from drawing firm conclusions.

Given the prolonged exposure to IL-2 in our culture/expansion protocols, we were concerned that differences in suppressor Treg populations, as well as CD4/CD8 ratios, would contribute to loss of antitumor functionality. Using the conventional CD25+/CD127− surface markers to characterize Tregs (FoxP3 was not used due to its potential

FIGURE 5. Transgenic CD8 T cells track to the site of tumor and acquire expression of PD1. A, Percent expression of MART-1+ T cells in infused, blood and biopsy samples from patients F1 (left) and F2 (right). Scramble peptide (negative) was used for gating purposes. B, Flow of gating strategy for the plots in (C) and (D). Following this gating, additional phenotypic markers were examined per the gating strategy in Figure 1C. C, Percent expression of PD1 on CD8+MART-1+ T cells in patients F5-1 and F5-2 in the infusion product, peripheral blood, and TILs. D, Percentage of PD1− and PD1+ CD8+MART-1+ T cells with the effector memory (TEM) and effector memory RA (TEMRA) compartments in the infusion product, peripheral blood, and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.
These patients received suppressor Treg were present within all infusion products, they were rapidly cleared from circulation. Furthermore, culture time had no effect on the CD4/CD8 ratio in the infusion product, implying that the final product maintains a ratio similar to that of healthy donors (~2) despite several days of culture/expansion with IL-2. However, our patients in shorter culture time demonstrated significantly increased surface markers associated with less differentiated naïve/memory T-cell subtypes (CCR7, CD62L, and CD127), as well as decreased expression of the inhibitory receptor PD1. Shorter culture times were also associated with increased relative amounts of naïve/memory T cells, which persisted over time. While these trends have previously been observed in preclinical models of ACT and in chimeric antigen receptor T cells, it is remarkable that these differences were apparent in our study of TCR-engineered clinical ACT products despite only one day less spent in culture.

Despite evidence from our group and others that cryopreserved T cells still maintain transient antitumor activity and specificity,10,12,30 all cryopreserved cohorts exhibited a more rapid and steady increase of PD1 expression in transgenic T cells. This was seen both within the peripheral circulation as well as the tumor microenvironment, in the cases examined. Only the patients who received freshly infused cell products experienced significant delays in acquisition of PD1 expression, as well as an expansion of the central memory compartment over time. These 2 factors are in keeping with increased persistence of transgenic CD4 and CD8 cells noted in this cohort. While the reduced IL-2 dosing utilized in cohort 4 may have played a role in the delayed acquisition of PD1 and persistence/expansion of memory/naïve T cells in this cohort, it would not have affected the baseline phenotypic differences noted. Furthermore, the rapid clearance of Treg from circulation (both in transgene positive and negative cells) implies that the IL-2 administration differences may not have yielded a significant overall phenotypic effect to the T cells. However, there may be other confounding host immunomodulatory factors which contributed to the phenotypic differences noted in cohort 4, due to the reduced fludarabine conditioning regimen which these patients received.

Previous studies have demonstrated the importance of TN1 and TCM populations in maintaining proliferative capacity and antitumor activity of the other T-cell populations.14–16,21 However, increased central memory T cells and delayed/decreased PD1 expression may also explain the boosting response to DC vaccination in the cohort receiving fresh infused cells. DCs have been shown to maximize the response of TCM cells to antigenic challenge.31 Therefore, a larger reserve of TCM cells could lead to enhanced response to the DCs themselves. Furthermore, although terminally differentiated Treg can function as suppressor cells by killing DCs in a perforin/granzyme B-dependent manner,32,33 TCM cells themselves have been shown to protect DCs from this Treg-mediated killing.34 Finally, the expression of PD1 on T cells can inhibit their interactions with DCs themselves via PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression on the DCs, and PD1 blockade can restore such interactions.35–39 Taken together, these findings may collectively explain why fresh infused transgenic cells subjected to shorter expansion times displayed superior DC vaccine responses.

Unfortunately, all patients, including those who received fresh transgenic cells, ultimately succumbed to progressive disease. Given that all patient cohorts eventually developed PD1 expression, this is a likely contributor to the eventual decrease in T cell functionality despite any persistence of the cells. PD1 is well known to be progressively upregulated after TCR engagement, leading to loss of T cell functionality in multiple malignancies.8,41 With the success of preclinical models in enhancing transgenic T-cell cancer therapies via addition of PD1 blockade,5,42 this may represent an attractive means of perpetuating the antitumor activity of these therapies. When combined with other factors that further optimize T-cell persistence and activity, such as increased naive/memory T cells and DC vaccine boosts, these measures may yield optimal ACT responses. Furthermore, given the apparent advantages of fresh transgenic cells over cryopreserved cells, as well the progressive loss of transgenic cells in all cases of ACT, there may be utility in modalities which provide a continuous supply of transgenic T cells to the patient. Previous preclinical models have demonstrated that TCR-transduced CD34+ peripheral blood stem cells can endogenously differentiate into fully functional T cells expressing the TCR of interest.33–45 Such models may yield improved clinical outcomes by circumventing many of the short and long-term phenotypic disadvantages of current ACT manufacturing practices which have been demonstrated.

Our study has several limitations. The relatively low number of patients per cohort, combined with the possible statistical effect of multiple parameter testing, limited our ability to calculate significant population statistics for comparison. Analysis of larger data sets, as well as utilizing more rigorously controlled in vitro and in vivo models for longer periods, may yield additional phenotypic and functional variations between different manufacturing and administration protocols. In addition, we were only able to obtain biopsies to examine postinfusion TILs in 2 patients, and these represented only a small fraction of live lymphocytes (~1400 for patient F5-1, and ~130 for patient F5-2), likely due to the variability of T-cell infiltration between individual tumors. However, the relatively high proportion of transgenic T cells present within the tumors, combined with the differences when compared with the negative control tetramer, support the inference that transgenic T cells were able to track to the tumors analyzed. Furthermore, limitations in the surface marker panels utilized prevented us from describing the changes in individual surface markers over time stratified by different transgenic T-cell phenotypes, as well as characterizing additional subsets of T cells, such as stem cell memory T cells (TSCM), which are of great importance in maintaining successful ACT immunotherapy. The use of newer technologies, such as mass cytometry by time-of-flight, which merges single-cell flow cytometry with mass spectrometry, enables the monitoring of many more simultaneous factors than conventional flow cytometry.46,47 Use of this technology will allow for more sophisticated monitoring of many more T-cell phenotypes in our future ACT studies.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that shorter ex vivo expansion times of transgenic T cells for ACT are associated with increased markers of naïve and memory populations of T cells, as well as decreased PD1 expression. Furthermore, fresh cell product infusions were associated with persistence/expansion of memory compartments of transgenic T cells, as well as delayed acquisition of PD1 expression. These dual characteristics may explain our previous experiences in which patients receiving fresh cell products displayed superior persistence of transgenic T cells,
as well as superior augmentation of this persistence in response to DC vaccination. These results may be useful in design and optimization of future ACT manufacturing protocols, as well as augmenting their effectiveness via addition of immunomodulatory agents blocking inhibitory receptors.
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