Unilateral Conditioning Contractions Enhance Power Output in Elite Short Track Speed Skaters
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Introduction
Short track speed skating is characterized by a succession of explosive efforts where performance is strongly correlated with the strength characteristics of the leg extensors of the athletes [11]. In this sport, the ability of an athlete to produce high power and accelerate at the start of the race can significantly affect the rank position entering the first turn, which is a discriminating factor of the race outcome on short distances [18]. The development of training or conditioning methods to enhance explosive muscular power of short track speed skaters, especially in the early phase of the race, could thus positively impact race outcomes.

Earlier studies have shown that the performance of a muscle is affected, at least in part, by its contractile history (“conditioning contractions”, CC) that can induce post-activation potentiation (PAP) [35, 38]. PAP is known to be a phenomenon by which the performance of a muscle or muscle group, during explosive movements patterns requiring maximal strength and power, is enhanced...
by its prior contractile activity [38]. Fatigue and PAP resulting from CC can coexist [31], but optimal performance following CC occurs when positive effects of PAP offset the negative effects induced by fatigue [22, 35, 38].

Previous results examining the application of PAP to enhance performance in acute voluntary contractions report conflicting findings with regard to its effects on performance [6, 9, 38, 40, 41]. Many factors have been shown to influence the effects of PAP, namely the time interval between the CC and exercise performance, the type of muscle fibres mainly recruited, the mode and intensity of the CC, the characteristics of the subsequent exercise and the training status of the subjects considered [8, 22, 34]. For example, elite athletes show a greater improvement in explosive performance than recreationally trained subjects, probably due to a higher proportion of fast-twitch fibres [6, 9, 16]. High-intensity CC, mostly recruiting fast-twitch fibers, appear to be more effective in activating the mechanisms of PAP [13, 35].

Although the time interval between CC and the following exercise appears to be critical to maximize performance [8, 34, 38], little is known about the duration of the potential beneficial effects of PAP during long-lasting, fatiguing and repeated explosive exercises. While previous studies have reported the effects of PAP on a subsequent acute exercise consisting of a single jump, a set of consecutive jumps or a short cycle sprint [7, 10, 12, 13, 40], no study focused on the effects of PAP on multiple sets of consecutive jumps. Indeed, investigating explosive exercises over multiples sets would be helpful to better understand the lasting effects of PAP and its practical outcomes in sports like short track speed skating where the performance is characterised by the repetition of explosive efforts.

The present study aimed to assess the effect of a lower body CC on subsequent repetitive sets of fatiguing maximum repeated jumps in elite short-track speed-skating athletes. The objective was twofold: first determine the effect of CC on peak power output (PO) produced during vertical repeated jumps and second, how this effect is sustained over sets of repeated vertical jumps. It was hypothesized that prior lower-body CC would result in a higher mechanical power, especially during the first sets of repetitive maximum jumps, and that this potentiating effect would disappear in the subsequent sets.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Five elite short-track speed-skating athletes volunteered for participation in the study (3 men and 2 women, age: 20.2 ± 3.1 years, height: 1.71 ± 0.10 m, mass: 67.6 ± 11.6 kg; mean ± SD). All participants were training at the Montreal National Training Center and were competing in national and international competitions. Before participation, written informed consent was obtained from each adult athlete and from the parents of each minor athlete. The protocol was in accordance with procedures approved by the University of Montreal ethics committee in health research (certificate number: 13-061-CERES-D) and meets the ethical standards of Sports Medicine International Open [17].

Testing protocol

The testing protocol consisted of 9 sets of 6 maximal consecutive jumps with sets interspaced by a 5 s unweighted isometric squat with the trunk and knees flexed at 45 ° and 90 °, respectively. Over a 1000 m race, skaters typically perform 9 laps on a 111.12 m oval track with ~12 strides (~6 per turn) and ~2 gliding phases per lap. Each set of 6 jumps were initiated from an isometric squat position, with the trunk and knees flexed at 45 ° and 90 ° respectively. The 6 jumps were performed consecutively with no time interval between them (which involves a stretch shortening cycle for each jump preceded by another one.)

Two weeks before the test sessions, subjects performed a familiarization session with the testing protocol, 5 min after having completed the same directed 5-min dynamic warm-up, including stationary jogging, high-knees, and half-squat exercises. Each subject randomly performed the testing protocol (see ▶ Fig. 1) on 2 separate occasions (one week apart): with (experimental condition: COND) and without (control condition: CTRL) preliminary voluntary CC 5 min before the execution of the 9 sets. The participants were instructed to keep their hands on their hips and to jump as high as possible throughout the testing protocol. Verbal encouragement and verbal cues to correct knee or trunk positions were also provided as needed.

▶ Fig. 1  Schematic representation of the experimental protocol. The CC were performed only in COND, rest of equivalent duration was given in CTRL. Each set comprised 6 maximal unweighted repeated jumps immediately followed by a 5 s isometric squat (black arrow).
Conditioning contractions
The CC consisted of two 3 s maximal unilateral isometric squats against a fixed barbell, for each leg and alternately, resulting in 6 s of overall CC for each leg. Each leg was thus alternately and maximally solicited, twice with about 5 s rest between repetitions in order to switch leg.

Measurements
For each jump, we determined the average power output (PO) using the average velocity during the push-off phase with a linear position transducer [14] (Tendo Sports Machine, Trencin, Slovakia) extending a string to a belt fastened around the hips of the participants. We positioned the linear position transducer on the ground between the subject’s feet and measured the linear displacement and time during the execution of the jumps. The mean PO was computed and normalized to body mass for each of the 6 repeated jumps.

Statistical analyses
Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise specified. A linear mixed model analysis performed using R [30] (R Core Team (2014), R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and the lme4 [2] package was applied with the factors Condition (COND and CTRL) and Set (1–9) to determine if there were significant differences between different time points and treatment modalities. Residual analysis indicated that the model satisfied the normality assumption required for the linear mixed model. Tukey’s post-hoc analysis was used to identify specific sets where PO was different.

Results
The statistical analysis revealed significant sets (p < 0.001; \( \eta^2 = 0.93 \)), and conditions effects (p < 0.01; \( \eta^2 = 0.73 \)), whereas the interaction effect was not significant (p = 0.45). Mean and individual changes in PO for COND and CTRL conditions are shown in ▶ Fig. 2, ▶ 3, respectively. PO significantly decreased with sets, by 19.4 ± 4.7 % (from 15.0 ± 1.9 to 12.1 ± 1.5 W/kg; p < 0.001) and 15.2 ± 7.6 % (from 14.3 ± 2.0 to 12.1 ± 1.3 W/kg; p < 0.001), between the first and last set in COND and CTRL, respectively. Overall, PO was greater in COND than in CTRL (13.7 ± 0.70 and 13.2 ± 0.69 W/kg respectively, p < 0.01), which corresponds to an increase of 3.77 ± 3.82 % in COND compared to CTRL during the whole sets. Qualitatively, ▶ Fig. 3 shows that for all the participants, the PO was greater in the COND than the CTRL condition during the 3 first blocks. During the subsequent blocks, the PO was greater in the COND than in the CTRL condition in 73 % of the cases.

Discussion
The present study investigated the effects of lower-body maximal unilateral isometric CC (2 × 3 s, total of 6 s for each leg), on the average PO in 9 sets of 6 consecutive jumps interspersed with isometric squats (5 s) in elite short-track speed-skating athletes. Our main finding was that maximal unilateral isometric CC lead to a significant increase of PO.

Previous studies required participants to perform several sets of exercises after a CC, but participants were allowed to rest between sets. This was done to investigate the optimum timing of CC to maximize potentiation [37], which was shown to have a large interindividual variability [4]. For example, Kilduff et al. [24] showed that the optimal recovery time to maximize the effect of PAP on countermovement jump performance was 8 min in professional rugby players. More recently, Bogdanis et al. [4] had national-level track and field athletes perform 10 countermovement jumps following either isometric, eccentric or concentric CC with varying recovery durations (from 15 s up to 21 min). These authors reported a very high intersubject variability in the optimal recovery time, which partly depended on the type of contraction. However, after the isometric CC, all subjects reached their peak performance between 2 and 8 min, 80 % between 4 and 6 min, whereas 50 % of them performed best after 4 min of recovery time. Thus, in the present study, we chose 5 min as a potential optimal recovery time between the CC and the 1st set of repeated jumps. As in track and field athletes [4], this delay was appropriate to increase the PO produced during the present 9 sets of 6 maximal consecutive jumps protocol in short-track speed-skating athletes.

The amplitude and direction of the observed enhancement of performance could be, at least partly, explained by the training experience of the short track speed skaters involved in the current study. Indeed, previous research evidenced that trained subjects may show greater PAP responses than untrained individuals [6, 33]. For example, Chiu et al. [6] examined the effects of a pre-activation exercise (5 sets of parallel back squats at 90 % of 1RM, with 2 min rest between sets) on 2 consecutive sets of squat jumps (rebound or concentric-only squat jumps) with various loads. There was a 1 min rest period between each load and an additional 10 min between the 2 sets. The authors reported that the heavy resistance CC elicited a significant increase in performance, but only when the
sample was split into 2 groups based on training experience, with a significant positive impact only observed in the trained subjects. The training experience of the short track speed skaters involved in the current study could thus explain the increase in performance observed.

Furthermore, studies have so far reported conflicting results when using dynamic [26, 38, 41] or maximal isometric contractions [15, 33]. This discrepancy could be due to the volume of CC, however Bogdanis et al. [4] compared an equivalent volume of different types of CC and found that both isometric and eccentric contractions lead to higher countermovement jump performance in comparison to a control condition (without CC), whereas concentric contractions had no impact. Moreover, compared to the baseline value (best countermovement jump performance determined after a standardized warm-up in each condition), performance was improved only in the isometric condition. Our results are in line with these observations and corroborate those of Miyamoto et al. [27], who reported that ~5-s isometric CC can enhance subsequent dynamic voluntary performance.

Although somewhat speculative, 2 arguments can be raised to support the use of a conditioning protocol involving CC performed separately and alternately on each leg to improve performance in both single and repetitive explosive efforts. Firstly, the reduction in the base of support induced by unilateral isometric CC could potentially increase the solicitation of hip muscle stabilizers [5, 25]. This may lead to an improvement of performance through greater activation of hip stabilizers and improved transmission of forces of the primary power producers. Secondly, improvement in repeated jump performance following a unilateral CC protocol may be related to the fact that maximum voluntary force produced by a subject with the muscles of one limb is less when these muscles are active simultaneously with the homologous muscles in the contralateral limb than when they are active alone [3]. With regards to previous studies on the bilateral deficit [32, 39], it may be hypothesized that unilateral CC could have lead a greater activation of the targeted motor units contributing to the increase in the average power output. However, further studies should be conducted to draw definite conclusions about these 2 hypotheses.

The small sample size (n = 5) in the current study is a limitation, as for investigations with elite competitors of less populated sports such as rowing (Jensen et al. [23]; n = 7), biathlon (Hesford et al. [20]; n = 2), alpine skiing (Barelle et al. [1]; n = 4), and short track speed skating (e.g., Haug et al. [19]; n = 5, Hesford et al. [21]; n = 6). But our results suggest that 2 × 3 s maximal unilateral isometric CC executed by speed skaters, lead to a significant increase in bilateral power output, in a subsequent exercise performed 5 min later, at least for a few seconds. Another limitation is that the exercise did not represent a real speed skating condition, with a force application mainly directed vertically whereas in speed skating the force application is directed more horizontally. A high PO measured vertically associated with a high quality of vertical-to-horizontal transfer can lead to high maximal horizontal forces that is a primordial for short sprint

![Fig. 3](image-url) Individual power output in CTRL (open circles) and in COND (black circles) over the 9 consecutive sets of repeated jumps (subjects a, b, c, d and e).
acceleration performances [28]. However, in the present study we hypothesized that the CC did not affect the quality of vertical-to-horizontal transfer of force, indicating that the observed increase in PO could result in an improvement of sprint-acceleration performances during speed skating. Even though further studies should be conducted to draw definite conclusions in view of lower limbs’ force-velocity-power properties [36] during real speed-skating conditions, athletes in various sports could benefit from this enhancement of performance, especially if it can help to achieve a strategic lead at the beginning of a race, as is the case in short track speed skating [18].

The present study showed that a maximal unilateral isometric CC induced an increase in mean power output during repetitive sets of vertical squat jumps. Such an improvement could be beneficial in sports involving repetitive powerful efforts, such as encountered in short-track speed-skating competition.
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