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Abstract

Background: The prognosis of pediatric ependymomas remains poor, with treatment being predominantly based on surgery with or without radiotherapy. The lack of robust therapeutic molecular markers for clinical use has hampered attempts to improve survival from ependymomas. This study aimed to assess the prognostic significance of p16, enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2), forkhead box protein J1 (FOXJ1), and tenascin in pediatric ependymomas by evaluating their immunohistochemical expression and comparing them with clinical outcomes.

Methods: A total of 22 children (< 14 years) with ependymoma were retrospectively analyzed for the expression of p16, EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin by immunohistochemical staining. Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to evaluate the association between immunohistochemical marker expression and patient survival.

Results: Higher expression of p16, EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin was observed in 59.0%, 36.3%, 40.9%, and 68.1% of the ependymoma samples, respectively. Patients with p16-positive and p16-negative tumors had an overall median survival time of 5.38 and 3.38 years and an overall cumulative survival rate of 44.5% and 36.5%, respectively. P16 negativity was significantly associated with poorer outcome (P = 0.009). No relationship was observed between EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin expression and overall survival (P = 0.904, 0.844, and 0.646, respectively).

Conclusions: Loss of p16 expression was associated with poor prognosis and may be used for risk stratification. Limitations of the present study include its small sample size and variable sensitivity of different antibody clones and detection methods.
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Introduction

Ependymomas are neuroepithelial tumors that arise throughout the neuraxis usually in association with ventricular cavities or the central spinal canal. Ependymomas account for approximately 10% of all brain tumors in the pediatric population [1] and are the third most common intracranial brain tumor among children (after medulloblastomas and astrocytomas). They arise from radial glia cells from the corresponding central nervous system regions [2]. Posterior fossa ependymomas comprise two-thirds of all cases, while supratentorial ependymomas represent less than a third, with the rest residing in the spine [3].

Treatment has predominantly included surgery with or without radiotherapy but not chemotherapy considering that ependymomas are chemo-resistant [4]. The prognosis of pediatric ependymomas remains poor with a 5-year progression-free survival of between 30% and 69.1% [5]. Increasing age, spinal location, and maximal surgical resection have been the best clinical prognostic factors associated with improved survival [5]. Attempts to improve ependymomas survival have been hampered by several factors, including the rarity of the disease, lack of effective chemotherapy, difficulty in histopathologic grading, and paucity of robust therapeutic molecular markers for clinical use [6, 7].

This study aimed to assess the prognostic significance of p16, enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2), forkhead box protein J1 (FOXJ1), and tenascin in pediatric ependymomas by evaluating their immunohistochemical expression and comparing them with clinical outcomes.

Materials and Methods

A retrospective chart review of medical records was performed for children (14 years old and under) diagnosed with ependymoma between 2004 and 2016 at King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained before conducting the study. This study was conducted in compliance with the ethical standards of the responsible institution on human subjects as well as with the Helsinki Declaration. Inclusion criteria included a histopathologic diagnosis of ependymoma, age ≤ 14 years, availability of clinical data, and paraffin-embedded tissue blocks. Exclusion criteria included the absence of tissue blocks and insufficient...
clinical data. Clinical data obtained included age, tumor location, mode of treatment, tumor recurrence, and patient survival.

Histologic slides were thoroughly reviewed for diagnostic confirmation according to the World Health Organization (WHO) grading system. The selected paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were cut into 5-µm sections and mounted on positively charged microscopic slides. A polymer-based detection system was used for immunohistochemical testing. The slides were then stained with p16 (CINtec Histology Kit, E6H4; Roche mtm Laboratories AG, Basel, Switzerland), EZH2 (SP129, Roche mtm Laboratories AG), FOXJ1 (clone 3 - 19 (05-837); EMD Millipore, MA, USA), and tenascin (AB19013; EMD Millipore). Both positive and negative controls were performed.

Scoring of the immunohistochemical staining (IHC) was performed by a board-certified neuropathologist who was blinded to clinical data and survival. A semi-quantitative approach that combined the intensity of the immunohistochemical reaction and the percentage of positive cells was used. The intensity of the staining was graded from 0 to 3 (0 = no staining, 1 = weak staining, 2 = moderate staining, and 3 = strong staining). The percentage of cells with staining was graded from 0 to 4 (1 = 1-25%, 2 = 25-50%, 3 = 50-75%, and 4 = 75-100%). The final score was obtained by multiplying the intensity by the percentage. Total scores of ≤ 8 and 9 - 12 were considered IHC-low and IHC-high, respectively.

Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate survival differences, while the log-rank test (P value) was used to compare survival curves of high- and low-expressing tumors.

Results

A total of 22 pediatric ependymoma cases (13 (59.1%) males and nine (40.9%) females) satisfied the inclusion criteria. The mean age upon diagnosis was 4.22 years (range: 1 - 9 years). Approximately two-thirds of the ependymomas were located in the infratentorial compartment (n = 14, 63.6%), while a third were found in the supratentorial compartment (n = 8, 36.3%). Five tumors were WHO grade II (22.7%), while 17 tumors were WHO grade III (77.2%). The median event-free survival was 1.57 years (range: 0.00 - 6.47), while the median overall survival (OS) was 1.96 years (range: 0.01 - 7.37).

High expression of p16, EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin was observed in 59.0%, 36.3%, 40.9%, and 68.1% of the ependymoma samples, respectively (Fig. 1). Cases with high and low p16 expression had an overall median survival time of 5.38 and 3.38 years and a cumulative OS rate of 44.5% and 36.5%, respectively. Low p16 expression was significantly associated with poorer outcomes (P = 0.009) (Fig. 2a).

The cumulative OS rates for tumors with high expression of EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin were 43.7%, 51.9%, and 42.9%, respectively. No relationship was observed between EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin expression and OS (P = 0.904, 0.844, and 0.646, respectively) (Fig. 2b-d). The cumulative relapse-free survival (RFS) rates for tumors with high expression of p16, EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin were 11.1%, 16.7%, 14.3%, and 9.1%, respectively. No relationship was observed between p16, EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin expression and RFS (P = 0.900, 0.373, 0.411, 0.166, respectively) (Fig. 3a-d).

Discussion

Intracranial ependymomas are clinically and biologically diverse tumors whose behavior is very difficult to predict based on clinical factors [8, 9]. Studies have shown that histopathologic WHO grading does not reliably correlate with clinical
outcomes [8]. Thus, identifying prognostic markers for intracranial ependymoma that will stratify patients for appropriate therapy is necessary.

P16, a protein encoded by the tumor suppressor gene cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) located on chromosome 9, is a critical player in the cell cycle, slowing the progression from G1 phase to S phase. After staining 16 cases of intracranial ependymoma using immunohistochemistry with a p16 monoclonal antibody, Bortolotto et al found that three were negative for p16 immunostaining, one of which corresponded to a WHO grade III ependymoma [10]. Rousseau et al found promoter methylation for CDKN2A in 21% of ependymal tumors, as well as lower methylation in posterior fossa tumors among children than adults [11]. In their cohort of 122 patients with ependymoma, Korshunov and his colleagues studied DNA copy-number aberrations using array-based comparative genomic hybridization. Accordingly, they found that homozygous deletion of CDKN2A was a powerful independent indicator of unfavorable clinical outcomes [9].

Godfraind et al who examined intracranial ependymomas using interphase fluorescent in situ hybridization, found no correlation between chromosome locus 9p21 (CDKN2A) and prognosis [6].

Using a tissue microarray to study several biomarkers, Modena et al found no significant association between CDKN2A and OS and RFS after multivariate analyses [12]. Li et al performed immunostaining for EZH2, Ki-67, B lymphoma Moloney murine leukemia virus insertion region 1 homolog, p16, Y-box binding protein 1, phosphorylated protein kinase B, and epidermal growth factor receptor in 180 ependymoma samples from 12 Canadian pediatric centers [8]. They found that EZH2 expression was an independent indicator of poor outcome. Likewise, they revealed that the absence of p16 expression was associated with poor prognosis among patients with supratentorial ependymoma. The present study similarly showed that low p16 expression was associated with poor prognosis. However, our study found no correlation between EZH2 expression and survival.

Abedalthagafi et al found that FOXJ1 expression was preserved in many well-differentiated neoplasms arising along the ventricle, including low-grade ependymomas with reduced expression in anaplastic ependymomas [13]. However, the present study found no relationship between FOXJ1 expression and survival.

A multicentric European study evaluated prognostic

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier overall survival curves for (a) p16, (b) EZH2, (c) FOXJ1, and (d) tenascin. EZH2: enhancer of zeste homolog 2; FOXJ1: forkhead box protein J 1.
biomarkers tenascin-C expression and 1q25 gain in a pooled analysis of five independent cohorts and found that tenascin-C and 1q25 gain were significantly associated with age at diagnosis and posterior fossa tumor location [14]. Moreover, chromosome 1q25 status added independent prognostic value for death beyond classical variables, while the prognostic utility of tenascin-C was dependent on tumor location. However, the present study found no relationship between tenascin expression and OS (P = 0.64), which could be attributed to our small sample size.

In our cohort of patients with ependymoma, loss of p16 expression was associated with inferior OS but not RFS. This could be attributed to promoter methylation [11] or homozygous deletion [9] of CDKN2A (p16). Moreover, no correlation was observed between the remainder of the biomarkers (EZH2, FOXJ1, and tenascin) and OS or RFS.

Conclusions

Our findings showed that loss of p16 expression was associated with inferior prognosis among patients with ependymomas and may be used for risk stratification. The strength of the current research was our use of whole-tissue blocks and not microarrays for immunohistochemistry, which provides better representation of reactivity. The limitations of our study include its small sample size and variable sensitivity of different antibody clones and detection methods.
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