Two Reference-Quality Sea Snake Genomes Reveal Their Divergent Evolution of Adaptive Traits and Venom Systems
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Abstract

True sea snakes (Hydrophiini) are among the last and most successful clades of vertebrates that show secondary marine adaptation, exhibiting diverse phenotypic traits and lethal venom systems. To better understand their evolution, we generated the first chromosome-level genomes of two representative Hydrophiini snakes, Hydrophis cyanocinctus and H. curtus. Through comparative genomics we identified a great expansion of the underwater olfaction-related V2R gene family, consisting of more than 1,000 copies in both snakes. A series of chromosome rearrangements and genomic structural variations were recognized, including large inversions longer than 30 megabase (Mb) on sex chromosomes which potentially affect key functional genes associated with differentiated phenotypes between the two species. By integrating multiomics we found a significant loss of the major weapon for elapid predation, three-finger toxin genes, which displayed a dosage effect in H. curtus. These genetic changes may imply mechanisms that drove the divergent evolution of adaptive traits including prey preferences between the two closely related snakes. Our reference-quality sea snake genomes also enrich the repositories for addressing important issues on the evolution of marine tetrapods, and provide a resource for discovering marine-derived biological products.
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Introduction

Snakes are a good model for studying extreme adaptations and venom evolution. Scientists have focused much on the genetic and evolutionary characterization of snakes in terrestrial niches (Castoe et al. 2013; Vonk et al. 2013; Schield et al. 2019; Suryamohan et al. 2020) but little on those in marine habitats. Hydrophiini, the true sea snakes or fully aquatic sea snakes, is one of the most advanced and youngest clades within Serpentes (Sanders et al. 2013). Although over 60 species of true sea snakes have been discovered to date (Uetz et al. 2020), comprising the largest proportion (nearly 87%) of marine reptiles, little is known about the evolutionary trajectories and genetic mechanisms underlying the adaptive radiation and divergent evolution that occurred during their transition from land back to sea. Although a few recent studies have discussed evolutionary issues regarding the marine adaptation of sea snakes from a genomic perspective (Kishida et al. 2019; Peng et al. 2020), high-quality chromosome-scale genomes are still needed to achieve more comprehensive and accurate understandings of the molecular mechanisms driving the evolution of diversified traits within the Hydrophiini lineage.

In addition to anatomical and physiological evolution, the venom system inherited from terrestrial elapids also underwent evolutionary alterations and developments essential for sea snakes to occupy neritic zones. Previous research has characterized the venom composition or expression profiles of marine elapids (Durban et al. 2018; Peng et al. 2020), but the genomic architecture of venom gene families and relationships between the evolution of sea snake venom toxin repository and their feeding strategies remain poorly understood. Moreover, as extremely venomous but medically important animals applied in antivenom production and exploration of therapeutic proteins or peptides (Zheng et al. 2016), sea snakes are faced with overharvesting and populations being damaged (Van Cao et al. 2014). Alternative approaches of directly exploiting snake venom call for massive sequence information from multiomic big data with high-continuity and completeness.
To help settle these issues, we incorporated multiple state-of-the-art sequencing and scaffolding protocols and generated the first chromosome-scale genome assemblies of two Hydrophiinae snakes, *Hydrophis cyanocinctus* (annulated sea snake) and *H. curtus* (spine-bellied sea snake, formerly known as *Lapemis curtus*). Belonging to the largest genus in Hydrophiinae, these two snakes are widespread in shallow-water throughout the tropical and subtropical Indo-Pacific and are among the most commonly captured sea snakes around Southeast Asia (Van Cao et al. 2014). Despite their close affinity in taxonomy, there exist noticeable differences in morphologies, physiologies, and diets. On the basis of reference-quality genomes, we conducted chromosome-wide comparative genomics to lift the veil on the molecular mechanisms shaping varied phenotypes within Hydrophiini. Transcriptomics and proteomics were further integrated with genome analyses to facilitate comprehensive understanding of species-specific genomic organization and evolution of key venom genes as well as their linkage to divergent traits and adaptation patterns in true sea snakes.

**Results and Discussion**

**Genome Assembly and Annotation**

We combined long-read, short-read, and proximity-ligation-based sequencing technologies together with advanced assembly algorithms to obtain superior genome assemblies (supplementary note 2, Supplementary Material online). The results yielded two haplotype assemblies named HCya_v2 (contig N50, 18.99 Mb; scaffold N50, 264.25 Mb) for *H. cyanocinctus* and HCur_v2 (contig N50, 9.7 Mb; scaffold N50, 266.23 Mb) for *H. curtus*. 94.4% and 97% of the total sequence was anchored onto 18 and 17 chromosome-level scaffolds in HCya_v2 and HCur_v2, respectively (table 1 and fig. 1a). The Hi-C (chromosome conformation capture) contact maps recognized seven macrochromosomes in both assemblies (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). Comparatively, our genomes had better continuity with higher N50 values and shorter gap openings (0.01% in HCya_v2 and 0.02% in HCur_v2) than previously published snake genomes. The same held true for other reported genomes of representative reptiles (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). The contig N50 value of HCya_v2 was 9-fold (18.99 vs. 2.11 Mb) and 62.8-fold (18.99 vs. 0.3 Mb) greater than that of the tiger rattlesnake (*Crotalus tigris*) and Indian cobra (*Naja naja*) genome respectively, which presented the two best-quality snake genome assemblies prior to our publication (Suryamohan et al. 2020; Margres et al. 2021). For *H. curtus*, our assembly HCur_v2 appeared far more continuous than the recently reported one (Peng et al. 2020), with a 53- and 197.7-fold increase in contig N50 and scaffold N50 values, respectively, and a total sequence length closer to the estimated genome size of *H. curtus*. Using the 3,950 tetrapod genes in the benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCO) (Simao et al. 2015) set, we achieved a 90.1% and 89.8% genome completeness score for HCya_v2 and HCur_v2, respectively (table 1 and supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online).

To assess the assembling quality of repeat elements, we ran LTR Assembly Index (LAI) (Ou et al. 2018) evaluation and found that both HCya_v2 and HCur_v2 indexes were near 20, indicating that they had reached the reference genome level and approached the golden genome level (table 1 and supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Additionally, the consensus quality values (QVs), which represent Phred-scaled probability of base error in the assembly (Rhie et al. 2020), were 33.735 for HCya_v2 and 33.845 for HCur_v2, indicating a relatively high assembly accuracy for both genomes. These quality control metrics of our genomes were all comparable to those of the *C. tigris* genome (table 1).

Genome annotation was performed via customized hybrid methods (supplementary note 4, Supplementary Material online). Ultimately, we annotated 23,898 and 23,192 protein-coding genes, as well as 43,062 and 34,061 transcripts as a consequence of alternative splicing in the *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus* genomes, respectively. We found 21,487 (90%) and 22,022 (95%) genes on assigned chromosomes of *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus*, respectively. We also predicted noncoding RNA (ncRNA) regions in our genomes (supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material online). Overall, we obtained the best reference-quality sea snake genomes with highly continuous and complete assemblies and annotations, which are comparable to other available genomes of nonavian reptiles including common wall lizard, red-eared slider turtle, and saltwater crocodile (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online).

**Genome Size and Repeat Content**

The genome sizes of *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus* were estimated at ~2.02 and ~2.03 Gb, respectively (table 1 and supplementary note 2.1, Supplementary Material online), which are relatively higher than the majority of those of snakes in the Animal Genome Size Database (Pasquesi et al. 2018; Gregory 2020). Genome annotation results revealed that the larger genome sizes can be attributed to an increase in repetitive sequences primarily comprised transposable elements (TEs) making up 55.20% and 55.59% of the *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus* genomes, respectively (fig. 1a and b; supplementary tables S8 and S9, Supplementary Material online). Long terminal repeats (LTRs) appear predominant with significantly higher genomic proportions (24.80% in *H. cyanocinctus* and 25.86% in *H. curtus*) in the two sea snake genomes compared with other published non-Hydrophiini snake genomes. Interestingly, both sea snakes displayed extremely identical distribution patterns of LTR divergence levels (fig. 1c). The estimated time points of LTR insertion are also similar, starting from ~15 Ma with a median value of 3.5 and 3.1 Ma for *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus*, respectively (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). Moreover, the Gypsy family showed notably earlier insertion than the Copia family. This striking expansion of LTR retrotransposons is likely to have had a bearing on the environmental adaptation and lineage radiation of true sea snakes (see below). Noteworthy is the distance between the data of our study and a previous report on *H. curtus* (Peng et al. 2020), largely resulting from the distinct qualities of genome.
Table 1. Comparison of Recently Reported High-Quality Snake Genomes.

| Species                  | Hydrophis cyanocinctus (Annulated Sea Snake) | H. curtus (Spine-Bellied Sea Snake) | H. curtus (Spine-Bellied Sea Snake) | Naja naja (Indian Cobra) | Crotalus tigris* (Tiger Rattlesnake) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Assembly name            | HCya_v2                                     | HCur_v2                            | —                                  | Nana_v5                  | —                                  |
| Sequencing technologies  | CLR+HiC+PE                                   | CLR+HiC+PE                         | PE+MP+CLR                          | CLR+ONT+PE+Chicago+OM+HiC | CLR+PE                             |
| Estimated genome size (Gb)| 2.02                                       | 2.03                               | 1.91–1.98                          | 1.48–1.77                | 1.6                                |
| Assembly size (Gb)       | 1.98                                        | 1.96                               | 1.63                               | 1.77                     | 1.59                               |
| Gap length (Mb, % of assembly) | 0.29 (0.01) | 0.36 (0.02) | 25.52 (1.57) | 109.67 (6.20) | —                                  |
| Assembly level           | Chromosome                                  | Chromosome                         | Scaffold                           | Chromosome               | Chromosome                         |
| Contig number            | 2,399                                       | 2,109                              | 15,263                             | 13,805                   | 4,228                              |
| Contig N50 (Mb)          | 18.99                                       | 9.70                               | 0.18                               | 0.30                     | 2.11                               |
| Scaffold number          | 1,163                                       | 711                                | 3,139                              | 1,897                    | 380                                |
| Scaffold N50 (Mb)        | 264.25                                      | 266.23                             | 1.35                               | 224.09                   | 207.72                             |
| Chromosome-anchoring percentage (%) | 94.38 | 97.02 | — | 94.30 | — |
| BUSCO completeness (%)   | 90.1 (89.2)                                 | 89.8 (88.8)                        | 86.6 (86.1)                        | 88.6 (87.8)              | 91.6 (89.9)                        |
| LAI                      | 19.56                                       | 19.56                              | 18.96                              | 5.55                     | 38.808                             |
| QV score                 | 33.735                                      | 33.845                             | 24.045                             | 28.904                   | Margres et al. (2021)               |
| Reference                | This study                                  | This study                         | Peng et al. (2020)                 | Suryamohan et al. (2020)  | Margres et al. (2021)               |

Note.— CLR, PacBio continuous long reads; ONT, Oxford Nanopore; PE, paired-end; MP, mate-pair; OM, optical map.

*The C. tigris genome deposited in NCBI (ASM1654583v1) was its initial assembly at contig level and the final chromosome-level assembly had not been available, so the gap information could not be retrieved. The LAI evaluation of the C. tigris genome was performed but no valid LAI value was obtained.

The values in brackets represent the percentages of complete and single-copy orthologs.

QV scores are Phred-scaled where $QV = -10 \log_{10}P$ for a probability of error ($P$) at each base in the assembly. A higher QV indicates a higher base-level accuracy of the assembly (Rhie et al. 2020).
assembly particularly in assembly size and LTR completeness (table 1).

The Phylogenetic Status of Hydrophiini
It is pretty essential to understand the evolutionary history and genetic relationship of true sea snakes within Serpentes (snakes); however, previous phylogenetic research on sea snakes were mainly based on morphological and molecular evidence from a few nuclear or mitochondrial gene markers (Sanders et al. 2008; Strickland et al. 2016), resulting in unstable phylogeny and ambiguous divergence times. Leveraging the high integrity of our assemblies, we revisited this issue by phylogenomics with sequenced and annotated genomes of Hydrophis cyanocinctus, H. curtus, 14 other species from snakes, lizards, and the outgroup chicken. We constructed a squamate species tree under maximum likelihood (ML) with nearly 100% bootstrapping support at all nodes (fig. 2a and supplementary note 5.2, Supplementary Material online). This phylogenetic tree indicated a sister-group relationship between the Hydrophiini clade (H. cyanocinctus+H. curtus) and Notechis scutatus (mainland tiger snake), with Pseudonaja textilis (eastern brown snake) together clustering into the Hydrophiinae group. Moreover, the subfamily Elapinae represented by Ophiophagus hannah (king cobra) was found paraphyletic in relation to Hydrophiinae. These local topologies strongly support the origin of true sea snakes from Australo-Melanesian terrestrial elapids, coinciding well with previous analyses (Sanders et al. 2008, 2010, 2013; Strickland et al. 2016).

We employed Bayesian relaxed molecular clocks calibrated with fossil-based age constraints to estimate the evolutionary timescale throughout the tree. Our analysis indicated that true sea snakes diverged from their terrestrial Hydrophiinae relatives at least 19.9 Ma (early Miocene) and that the split between H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus dates back to 9.5 Ma (fig. 2a and supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). Given that they demonstrated fairly identical timescales of LTR explosion dating from ~15 Ma and peaking at 3.1–3.5 Ma (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online), the fast speciation and clade radiation in Hydrophiini, typically in Hydrophis, were supposed to occur much later than their initial invasion to marine habitats, as previously concluded (Sanders et al. 2008, 2010, 2013). As TEs plays an important role in adaptive responses to environmental changes (Casacuberta and Gonzalez 2013; Schrader and Schmitz 2019), the recent coincident expansion of LTRs implies that Hydrophis may have experienced marine geological events such as sea level fluctuations that drove repeated isolation of populations and acceleration of speciation during the late Pliocene to early Pleistocene (Lukoschek and Keogh 2006; Lee et al. 2016; Ukuwela et al. 2016).

Evolution of Genes in Marine Adaptation
Although inhabiting mainly in shallow sea water, true sea snakes have been recorded to dive to the mesopelagic zone with depth >200 m (Crowe-Riddell et al. 2019). They are able to perform oxygen exchange through their skins and prevent decompression sickness following deep dives (Seymour 1974; Seymour and Webster 1975; Palci et al. 2019). To explore critical genetic changes in true sea snakes that arose alongside colonization of the oceans, we performed comparative genomic analysis to screen for expansions and contractions of gene families across squamate reptiles based upon our well-supported species phylogeny. We found 589 expanded and 1,167 contracted gene families at the branch of Hydrophiini.
Fig. 2. Evolutionary trajectories of true sea snakes and associated gene families. (a) A time-calibrated phylogram of 15 representatives in squamata using Gallus gallus (Chicken) as an outgroup. Gains (in blue) and losses (red) of gene families are denoted along nodes and branches. Numbers in circles at nodes refer to the bootstrap support values. The estimated divergence dates of terrestrial Hydrophiinae–Hydrophiini and Hydrophis cyanocinctus–H. curtus are indicated by dashed lines. MRCA, most recent common ancestor. Ma, million years ago. (b) The largest consecutive cluster of vomeronasal type-2 receptor (V2R) genes in H. cyanocinctus comprises 35 tandemly arrayed copies on Chromosome 5. The homologous region in the genome of H. curtus contains 30 V2R copies, whereas only seven copies were found in that of Crotalus viridis. Non-V2R genes are represented by uncolored arrows. Syntenic orthologs between species are linked by gray bands. The translucent rectangles indicate regions of V2R genes that may arise from segmental duplications. (c) Gene counts of V2R, V1R, and OR identified in the genomes of six selected snakes. The values for H. melanocephalus and Emydocephalus ijimae were retrieved from the publication (Kishida et al. 2019). (d) An unrooted phylogenetic tree of V2Rs in H. cyanocinctus, H. curtus, H. melanocephalus, and E. ijimae. The tree was constructed using the extracellular domains of V2Rs with two TAS1R proteins (XP_008122812.1, XP_003228982.3) from Anolis carolinensis as outgroups. The clade ancestral to Group I contains four V2Rs from the four species that are classified into Family C according to (Yang et al. 2005).
Functional enrichment analysis of gene ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathways showed that these genes are involved in biological processes and functions mostly including G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) activity, ion transmembrane transport, sensory perception of sound and light, immune response, and energetic metabolism (supplementary fig. S7a and b, Supplementary Material online). We also identified in Hydrophiini 136 single-copy orthologous genes under positive selection, which were enriched into function terms represented by DNA mismatch repair, alternative mRNA splicing, respiratory chain and oxygen sensing (supplementary fig. S7c, Supplementary Material online). The rapid evolution of these genes and gene families is possibly responsible for the formation of various adaptive morphological and physiological traits of Hydrophiini snakes in marine environments including sublingual salt glands, cutaneous breathing, and resistance to bend.

We focused on the loss and gain of chemosensory GPCR genes in true sea snakes, which were the most remarkable shifts. The vomeronasal organ (VNO) accessory to the main olfactory organ in most tetrapods detects chemical signals for intraspecific communication, foraging, and predator avoidance. Accompanied by olfactory receptors (ORs), terrestrial snakes possess a well-developed vomeronasal system with predominant vomeronasal type-2 receptors (V2Rs) to execute the sense of smell (Schwenk 1995; Brykczynska et al. 2013). In fully aquatic sea snakes, the main olfactory system tends to be degenerated with OR genes rarely expressed (Kishida et al. 2019), but they retain VNOs with tongue-flicking behavior to detect water-soluble chemicals and precisely locate prey (Thewissen and Nummela 2008; Kutsuma et al. 2018). Our genome annotations of the two sea snakes saw contracted OR genes (104 copies in H. cyanocinctus and 101 copies in H. curtus) but gigantic repertoires of V2R genes (1,411 copies in H. cyanocinctus and 1,065 copies in H. curtus), which to our knowledge are the biggest V2R groups in reptilian genomes (Brykczynska et al. 2013; Kishida et al. 2019; Lind et al. 2019). Only one and two V1R genes were found in H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively, in line with the ancestral state in other snakes. Our analysis of paralogs revealed that most V2R genes are clustered at Chromosome 2 and Chromosome 5 in the two genomes, which expanded mainly through tandem duplication and segmental duplication (supplementary table S21, Supplementary Material online). In our assemblies, the largest clustering region (less than ten consecutive non-V2R genes) contains 77 V2R genes on Chromosome 5 of H. curtus, and the largest continuous cluster consists of 35 tandem copies of V2R on Chromosome 5 of H. cyanocinctus (fig. 2b and supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material online).

It is noteworthy that this expansion of V2R repositories was not well manifested in the genomes of another two true sea snakes, H. melanocephalus and Emydcephalus iijimae reported by Kishida et al. (fig. 2c). To deeper explore the molecular evolution of V2R family with the Hydrophiini clade, we conducted phylogenetic analysis of the V2Rs identified in these four sea snakes using the extracellular domains. The ML tree (fig. 2d and supplementary fig. S10a, Supplementary Material online) saw overall clustering of three major groups. The clades in each group basically contained all four species selected, which indicated that the expansion of V2Rs might have occurred in the common ancestor of the four snakes, and more broadly was supposed to had arisen in ancestral species of the Hydrophiini clade. Considering the possibly fewer copies of V2R genes in H. melanocephalus and E. iijimae, the V2R repositories might have undergone varying degrees of expanding in different genus of Hydrophiini or even different species within Hydrophis. The explosive expansion of V2R genes specially observed in H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus could potentially be related to their unique smelling capabilities, which however, needs to be further investigated with more genetic and phenotypic data of this clade and other related species. Furthermore, we construct another V2R tree of the four sea snakes using their seven-transmembrane (7TM) domains (supplementary fig. S10b, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly, we found the two trees differed in their topologies. Two main clustering groups could be generally recognized in the second tree of V2R-7TM, and the branches from E. iijimae mostly gathered in more ancestral clades of Group I. This difference was considered to reveal the divergent evolving patterns of the extracellular and transmembrane domains of V2Rs, of which the latter are relatively more conserved within species, whereas the former exhibit more diversity in line with their role of directly binding and recognizing various pheromone ligands (Yang et al. 2005).

Genomic Synteny and Chromosomal Evolution

We performed genome-wide synteny analysis to demonstrate chromosomal variations during the evolution of venomous Colubroidea snakes. In gross appearance, there exist conserved synteny and collinearity between the genomes of the two marine elapids, the terrestrial elapid N. naja and the vipers C. viridis (prairie rattlesnake). We identified the fifth chromosome of H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus as their Z Chromosome by interchromosomal homologies in conjunction with Z-linked gene markers (Matsubara et al. 2006) mapped to the sea snake chromosomes (fig. 3a and b; supplementary fig. S11 and table S24, Supplementary Material online). Multiple chromosomal rearrangements were observed indicating possible fusion/fission events. Typically, Chromosomes 7 and 14 of H. cyanocinctus are syntenic to Chromosome 7 of H. curtus, and Chromosome 4 of the two sea snakes share synteny with Chromosomes 5 and 6 of C. viridis. The microchromosomal rearrangements appear more complex between the two sea snakes and between elapids and prairie rattlesnake. Particularly, Chromosome 11 of H. curtus shows syntenic regions with Chromosomes 8, 11, and 15 of H. cyanocinctus, whereas Chromosome 15 of C. viridis was mapped to Chromosomes 16, 17, and part of Chromosomes 8, 13, and 14 of H. cyanocinctus. Within Elapidae, notable is the separation of Hydrophis Chromosome 6 into N. naja Chromosomes 5 and 6, as well as the rearrangement of H. cyanocinctus Chromosomes 4 and 7 into N. naja Chromosomes 4, 7, and 10, corresponding to the gained chromosome number in N. naja (Suryamohan et al. 2020) (supplementary fig. S11, Supplementary Material online).
These synteny patterns align with previous findings suggesting that colubroids exhibit great karyotype variability and diversification of chromosomal organization, which is especially marked in Elapidae (Olmo 2005), and may play an important role in species differentiation of elapids including Hydrophiini snakes through chromosomal rearrangements (Navarro and Barton 2003; Olmo 2005).

Genomic Structural Variations

Despite their relatively recent evolutionary origin, true sea snakes are considerably diverse in morphological, physiological, and ecological phenotypes, which are well embodied between H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus (supplementary note 6.1, Supplementary Material online). To elucidate the potential mechanisms underlying their differentiated phenotypic traits, we screened the two genomes for local rearrangements and interspecific structural variants (SVs). Defining HCur_v2 as the reference genome, we identified 28,423 SVs \( \geq 500 \) bp in the HCya_v2 assembly. The set comprises 3,707 insertions, 4,035 deletions, 243 inversions, 4,371 translocations, 8,366 duplications, and other complicated variations (supplementary note 6.2 and table S26, Supplementary Material online).

We explored large variations highlighted in balanced SVs (inversions and translocations). 28 balanced SVs with lengths over 1 Mb were noticed, of which five exceeding 10 Mb are the longest SVs in our analysis (supplementary table S31, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly, the Z Chromosome (ChrZ) bore more intrachromosomal rearrangements (43.9% of its length in H. curtus) with the largest inversion INV16903 spanning 35.6 Mb and inverted translocation INVT19226 spanning 33 Mb (fig. 3b and supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online), which were verified by PacBio long reads (supplementary fig. S14, Supplementary Material online). The two rearranged regions in H. curtus contain 694 and 336 genes respectively, with seven of 12 Z-linked marker genes included. This is discordant with the previous view that there are no large-scale structural differences or gene order changes on Z chromosomes among squamate reptiles (Matsubara et al. 2006; Vicoso et al. 2013), and may reveal the ongoing evolution of heteromorphic (Z/W) sex chromosomes and linked genes in Hydrophiini snakes driven by suppressed recombination (Hughes et al. 2010; Kozak et al. 2017). Notably, we found dozens of V2R genes (39, 51, 24, respectively) harbored within the top three longest
SVs (fig. 3b and supplementary table S32, Supplementary Material online). This is probably correlated with the bulkiness of V2R family in the genomes, but it is more likely that these functional genes participating in mating and predation in snakes may have accumulated more mutations or undergone stronger selection in large inverted regions than in colinear regions, leading to phenotypic differences and ecological adaptive divergence between populations, as well as reproductive isolation and subsequent speciation (Noor et al. 2001; Wellenreuter and Bernatchez 2018). Moreover, for V2R/V1R genes, we identified 52 balanced SVs with breakpoints disrupting the genic regions and 39 copy number variations (CNVs) hitting the exons (supplementary tables S33 and S34, Supplementary Material online). We also found two CNVs (INS17118 and CPG26290) affecting ITPR3 and TRPC2, which are essential for calcium ion transmembrane transport in vomeronasal signal transduction (Brykczynska et al. 2013; Silva and Antunes 2017). As the vomeronasal receptors of snakes play a significant part in prey selection (Halpern and Frumin 1979; Burghardt 1993; Saviola et al. 2013), these SVs associated with vomeronasal genes can to some extent reveal the genetic changes that might contribute to the diet divergence, where H. cyanocinctus prefers eels and eel-like elongated (anguilliform) fish and H. curtus—a representative generalist—takes a wide range of benthic, demersal, and pelagic fish from different depths (fig. 3c and supplementary table S25, Supplementary Material online).

We further identified scores of candidate SVs associated with other phenotypic variations between the two sea snakes (supplementary note 6.3, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly but not surprisingly, we found the CNVs among them mostly falling within or across LTRs (supplementary fig. S20, Supplementary Material online). This in some sense reveals the potential functions of TEs in facilitating structural genomic variations (Kazazian 2004; Bohne et al. 2008) and regulating gene expression by altering cis-regulatory elements (Saenko et al. 2015; Woronik et al. 2019). Although they need further experimental validation, these SVs will be a valuable resource in studying the genetic mechanisms underlying differentiated phenotypes and speciation of sea snakes (fig. 3c).

Divergent Evolution of the Venom System

We comprehensively annotated venom-related genes and pinpointed their genomic locations and organization in the two sea snake genomes through a multitap approach (supplementary note 7.1, Supplementary Material online). We identified 118 and 102 venom genes from 32 families in the genome of H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively, of which there are 60 and 49 venom toxin genes for each species (table 2). Meanwhile, hundreds of nonvenom paralogs were also annotated (supplementary tables S36 and S37, Supplementary Material online). These venom-relevant genes are dispersed throughout the chromosomes but concentrated on macrochromosomes, especially the first four, which is consistent with the N. naja genome but in contrast to the C. viridis genome, where the venom genes are mostly assigned onto microchromosomes (Schiold et al. 2019; Suryamohan et al. 2020) (fig. 4 and supplementary table S38, Supplementary Material online). Representative shared venom gene families in the two sea snakes are three-finger toxin (3FTx), phospholipase A2 (PLA2), cysteine-rich secretory protein (CRISP), venom Kunitz (vKUN), venom lectin (vLEC), and snake venom metalloproteinase (SVMP), which have also been found in many other terrestrial and marine elapids (Vonk et al. 2013; Durban et al. 2018; Suryamohan et al. 2020). Comparative statistics showed that most venom gene families have similar sizes across the two genomes with vKUN (15 copies in H. curtus) and vLEC (20 copies in H. cyanocinctus) as the largest two families, demonstrating tandem or proximal duplication mainly on Chromosomes 3 and 4, respectively. The most striking difference of venom gene copy number comes from the 3FTx family, which has 20 copies in H. cyanocinctus but ten in H. curtus. Of note, the genes of 3FTx, PLA2, and CRISP exhibit poor microsynteny relative to those of vKUN, vLEC, and SVMP between the two species (fig. 5b and supplementary fig. S21, Supplementary Material online). We further hunted for six major venom-related gene families and compared their copy numbers in nine Elapidae snakes (fig. 5a). In general, the families of PLA2, CRISP, vKUN, and vLEC may have expanded in the common ancestor of Hydrophiinae snakes, whereas the 3FTx family appeared to have undergone independent contraction in different lineages of Hydrophiinae, demonstrated herein by H. curtus, E. ijimae, and their terrestrial relatives (Notechis scutatus and P. textilis). Another noteworthy point is that the family size of SVMP is extremely high in E. ijimae (19 copies), which is likely to be linked to its specialized diet of fish eggs.

To compare the functional expression of 3FTx genes, we carried out sequencing and analysis of the venom gland transcriptome and venom proteome of the two sea snakes. As expected, the transcripts of 3FTx, PLA2, CRISP, vKUN, and vLEC occupy the major proportion (92.2% in H. cyanocinctus and 76.4% in H. curtus) of expression abundance of venom-related genes. Interestingly, the mRNA composition ratio of the three chief venom families differs obviously between the two snakes. Although 3FTx transcripts constitute the highest portion (45%) in venom-related gene expression in H. cyanocinctus, their contribution in H. curtus dips to 28%, just in line with that of CRISP (fig. 5c). The relative proportion of PLA2 versus CRISP is concomitantly inverted in H. cyanocinctus (39% vs. 5%) and H. curtus (8% vs. 28%). Surprisingly, this distinction was remarkably amplified on the protein level. Comparative quantitation of the pooled venom proteins displayed a marked upregulation of four 3FTx groups by 9.8~66.2-fold whereas only one long-chain (3FTx-LNTX) group less expressed in H. cyanocinctus (fig. 5d and supplementary table S44, Supplementary Material online). Such is also the case with PLA2. On the contrary, the expression of CRISP was downregulated in H. cyanocinctus relative to H. curtus by 2.8~60.1-fold. Therefore, the gene dosage effect of the 3FTx family is consistent on the mRNA and protein level, indicating partial degeneration and inactivation of 3FTx genes in H. curtus. Nonetheless, the regulating tendencies appeared more complicated than expected in the venom gland transcriptome and venom proteome, which requires more
For a deeper understanding of the evolution of the 3FTx family in sea snakes, we evaluated the evolutionary rates of major venom families in *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus* by calculating the numbers of synonymous (*K*<sub>S</sub>) and nonsynonymous (*K*<sub>A</sub>) nucleotide substitutions per site for each pair of venom genes and nonvenom paralogs. For the 3FTx-like, PLA2, CRISP, LEC, and MP families in *H. cyanocinctus*, the *K*<sub>A</sub>/*K*<sub>S</sub> substitution ratios of venom gene pairs present significantly higher than those of nonvenom gene pairs (fig. 5e and supplementary fig. S22, Supplementary Material online).

Molecular phylogeny of the 3FTx family across Elapidae reflects the classification of long neurotoxin, short neurotoxin, and nonconventional subfamilies, which is locally in conformity with the species phylogeny within Elapidae. The 3FTx proteins of *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus* gather in clades mostly close to those of Hydrophiinae snakes (supplementary fig. S23, Supplementary Material online).

**Conclusions**

Snakes are an ideal model for studying early vertebrate genome structure, molecular basis linking diverse phenotypes with extreme environments, venom evolution, and the role of TEs in adaptation. *Hydrophis* is the primary lineage in true sea snakes with a spectacularly rapid radiation of around 48 species and exhibits a significantly greater speciation rate than most genera in Elapidae (Sanders et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2016), making it a suitable model for evolutionary research on secondary marine adaption and associated extreme traits. We generated chromosome-scale genome assemblies of two true sea snakes, representative species demonstrating both convergent evolution within Hydrophiini and divergent evolution within *Hydrophis* in terms of phenotypic and venom-related traits. Using bioinformatic methodologies of comparative genomics, we uncovered extensive genetic innovations in true sea snakes including LTR expansion, a boom in the V2R family, and gain, loss, and directional selection of genes that were likely to propel novel adaptive traits emerging during the land-to-sea transition. The expansion of the V2R repositories is striking in the two *Hydrophis* snakes and might have occurred in the common ancestor of Hydrophiini. We built a highly reliable phylogeny of squamata with stable topology supporting the sister-group relationship of these *Hydrophis* snakes with Australasian terrestrial elapids, whose divergence dated to the early Miocene. The timeline of LTR insertion supports the claim that invasion of the oceans may not have by itself triggered

**Table 2. Major Venom Gene Families in the Genomes of Hydrophis cyanocinctus and H. curtus.**

| Family<sup>a</sup> | *H. cyanocinctus* | *H. curtus* |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                    | Total Paralogs   | Venom Genes | Venom Toxin Genes | Total Paralogs | Venom Genes | Venom Toxin Genes |
| 3FTx               | 20               | 20          | 20               | 10             | 10          | 10               |
| PLA2               | 13               | 4           | 4                | 11             | 4           | 3                |
| CRISP              | 15               | 7           | 7                | 14             | 6           | 6                |
| vKUN               | 14               | 14          | 2                | 15             | 15          |                  |
| vLEC               | 20               | 20          | 2                | 13             | 13          |                  |
| SVMP               | 4                | 4           | 4                | 4              | 4           |                  |
| SVSP               | 3                | 3           | 2                | 3              | 3           | 2                |
| LAAO               | 3                | 3           | 3                | 3              | 3           | 3                |
| VF                 | 3                | 3           | 3                | 4              | 4           | 4                |
| NGF-β              | 5                | 2           | 2                | 5              | 2           | 2                |
| PDGF/VEGF          | 11               | 2           | 1                | 11             | 2           | 1                |
| NP                 | 5                | 1           | 1                | 4              | 1           | 1                |
| AChE/CES           | 21               | 3           | 3                | 19             | 2           | 2                |
| CATH               | 5                | 5           |                  | 4              | 3           |                  |
| Waprin             | 5                | 5           |                  | 2              | 2           |                  |
| LIP                | 21               | 3           |                  | 18             | 2           |                  |
| CST                | 6                | 3           |                  | 6              | 4           |                  |
| S'-NT              | 2                | 2           |                  | 3              | 3           |                  |
| PDE                | 7                | 1           | 1                | 7              | 1           | 1                |
| PLB                | 3                | 1           | 1                | 3              | 1           | 1                |
| Ficolin            | 2                | 1           | 1                | 6              | 5           | 5                |
| HYAL               | 6                | 1           |                  | 6              | 1           |                  |
| MCO                | 5                | 1           |                  | 5              | 1           | 1                |
| TCTP               | 2                | 1           |                  | 2              | 1           |                  |
| QPCT               | 2                | 1           |                  | 1              | 1           |                  |
| CAL                | 1                | 1           |                  | 1              | 1           |                  |
| CREC               | 1                | 1           |                  | 1              | 1           |                  |
| DPP-IV             | 7                | 1           |                  | 5              | 1           |                  |
| Others             | 3                | 3           |                  | 5              | 3           |                  |
| Total              | 118              | 60          |                  | 102            | 49          |                  |

<sup>a</sup>Full names of the family abbreviations are available in supplementary table S35, Supplementary Material online.
the rapid diversification of *Hydrophis*, which instead was more likely driven by recent geological and climatic changes that led to repeated vicariance in Plio-Pleistocene, as well as other ecological factors such as diet specialization (Lukoschek and Keogh 2006; Sanders et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2016; Ukuwela et al. 2016).

We focused on genomic variations between the two species that could contribute to divergent evolution in true sea snakes.
FIG. 5. Divergent evolution of venom gene families observed in multiomics. (a) Matrix of copy numbers of six venom-related gene families identified in the genomes of eight Elapidae species. (b) Microsynteny of 3FTx genes on Chromosome 3 of Hydrophis cyanocinctus and H. curtus. (c) Pie charts displaying the percentage composition of venom-related transcripts in the venom gland mRNA expression profile of H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus. Transcript abundance levels were measured from three individuals per species using the algorithm of FPKM (fragments per kilobase of gene per million mapped reads). (d) Heat map showing the differential expression of venom proteins of 3FTx, PLA2, and CRISP family. Each row refers to a homologous protein group detected in the pooled venom proteome by mass spectrometry. (e) Comparison of $K_a/K_s$ values of 3FTx genes and their nontoxin paralogs (UPAR/Ly6 domain-containing genes) in H. cyanocinctus. Horizontal lines among the dots represent the means ± SEM. 3FTx, three-finger toxin; SNTX, short neurotoxin; LNTX, long neurotoxin; PLA2-I, type-I phospholipase A2; CRISP, cysteine-rich secretory protein; vKUN, venom Kunitz-type protease inhibitor; vLEC, venom lectin; SVMP, snake venom metalloproteinase.
snakes. Intercrhomosomal fusions/fissions occurred frequently among Elapidae and between Hydrophis snakes, giving rise to variable karyotypes and chromosomal organization. Large intrachromosomal rearrangements including inversions and inverted translocations tend to fall onto the Z Chromosome and cover key gene clusters like V2Rs and HOXBs, which are linked to crucial phenotypic divergence regarding prey selection and body development. We identified a series of SVs in genic and intergenic regions affecting genes potentially associated with differentiated phenotypic traits of craniofacial shape, body form, skin color, scales, and sense of smell. We also found that the expanded TEs might be greatly involved in inducing SVs in regulatory regions, leading to a shift in gene expression. Finally, we compared the size and genomic distribution of venom gene families and detected a loss of ancestral 3FTx genes in H. curtus. The gene dosage effects were observed in venom gland transcriptome and magnified in venom proteome. Given that 3FTx is the principal toxin family in the venom of elapid snakes and acts as the most lethal weapon against the neuromuscular transmission of prey (Phui Yee et al. 2004; Barber et al. 2013), the partial loss-of-function of 3FTx and accompanying regulation of the venom composition of major toxin cocktail in H. curtus is considered to be closely bound up with different prey preferences between the two sea snakes. Collectively, these interspecies genetic variations manifested on a multomic level can in some degree explain the molecular basis giving impetus to divergent phenotypic evolution in true sea snakes and more specifically, within Hydrophis. Further investigation is needed to validate the candidate SVs associated with phenotype differences and clarify the deeper regulatory mechanisms of gene expression by TEs.

We anticipate that these high-quality sea snake genomes will provide a major resource for addressing high-profile issues in the evolutionary biology of snakes and for comparative studies on marine tetrapods that show convergent extreme adaptation from land back to sea. Furthermore, our sea snake multiomic data can promote antivenom development and help establish and enrich databases used in high-throughput discovery of marine-derived bioactive molecules.

Materials and Methods
A full description of the methods can be found in the supplementary notes, Supplementary Material online.

Animals and Samples
A total of four annulated sea snakes (H. cyanocinctus, QH_1, QH_2, QH_3, QH_4) and four spine-bellied sea snakes (H. curtus, PK_1, PK_2, PK_3, PK_4) were used in this study, which were captured in the South China Sea adjoining Sanya, China. Venom was extracted from the snakes 2–3 days prior to sacrificing. Genomic DNA was extracted from the muscle tissue of two male individuals (QH_4 and PK_4) using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Total RNA was prepared from the muscle tissue of QH_4 and PK_4 and venom gland tissue of all specimens using the Ambion kits (Ambion-1561).

Genome and Transcriptome Sequencing
For genome survey, short-insert (350 bp) paired-end sequencing libraries were constructed from genomic DNA of the two species using the TruSeq DNA LT Sample Prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten platform. This generated 265.28 and 219.18 Gb raw data for H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively, which were then filtered by Trimmomatic v0.33. The genome sizes were estimated by k-mer distribution analysis (k = 17) with Jellyfish v2.2.3. For long-read single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing (Chaisson et al. 2015), SMRTbell libraries with an average insert size of 20 kb were prepared from genomic DNA using PacBio SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit v1.0 (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. SMRT sequencing was performed in 10–11 SMRT cells for each species on the PacBio Sequel system, producing 146 Gb (72×) and 138 Gb (68×) subreads for H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively. The Hi-C (chromosome conformation capture) (Mascher et al. 2017) sequencing library of each species was generated from the same snake individual (QH_4 and PK_4) subjected to short- and long-read sequencing. The resulting 150-bp paired-end raw reads were processed by fastp v0.20.0 and we obtained 230.7 and 229.9 Gb clean Hi-C data for H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively.

For short-read RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), the transcriptomic libraries were constructed from venom glands of three snake individuals for each species (QH_1, QH_2, QH_3, and PK_1, PK_2, PK_3) using TruSeq Stranded mRNA LT Sample Prep Kit following the standard Illumina RNA-seq library preparation pipeline. The libraries were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq X Ten sequencer, yielding 37.27 and 37.1 Gb of 150 bp paired-end raw reads in total for H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively, which were processed with Trimmomatic to obtain clean data. Thereafter the clean reads were de novo assembled into transcripts using Trinity v2.8.2. For full-length transcript sequencing, Iso-Seq (isoform sequencing) SMRTbell libraries for each species were generated using the pooled RNA sample from muscle and venom gland of individual QH_4 and PK_4, respectively. The libraries were constructed according to the "Procedure & Checklist—IsoSeq Template Preparation for Sequel Systems." Two SMRT cells were sequenced on the Pacbio Sequel platform yielding 27.7 and 22 Gb subreads for H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus, respectively. Raw subreads were first processed via IsoSeq v3 to get high-quality consensus isoform sequences. We then run LoRDEC v0.7 for base-correction using Illumina RNA-seq data from the same species.

Genome Assembly and Evaluation
The genomic Pacbio long reads were initially assembled into contigs in Falcon and Falcon-Unzip (Chin et al. 2016) with a coverage cutoff of 35×. Sequence contamination from prokaryotes and plants were removed through a BlastN search. Allelic contigs in highly heterozygous genomic regions were identified and processed with Purge Haplotigs. We adopted Arrow v2.1 to give the first round of polishing with the raw long reads. We then used Hi-C data to produce long-range
scaffolds anchored onto chromosomes. The Pacbio-derived draft assemblies and clean Hi-C data were used as input for Juicer v1.5.7 to create high-resolution genomic contact maps. The Hi-C read pairs were mapped to the draft assembly contigs using BWA-MEM and the alignments were imported into 3D-DNA v170123 (Dudchenko et al. 2017), in which the input contigs were ordered, oriented and grouped into chromosome-length scaffolds based on the Hi-C interaction links. After misjoin correction, the exported scaffolding data and interaction matrices were loaded into Juicebox v1.11.08 to perform manual inspection. The output was introduced back into 3D-DNA and Ns were added between adjoining contigs. The final chromosome-length assemblies were worked out by gap filling and several rounds of base error correction with Pacbio and Illumina reads. We identified 18 and 17 chromosomes in HCya_v2 and HCur_v2, respectively, of which we could clearly distinguish seven macrochromosomes in the Hi-C heatmaps of both species (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). For H. cyanocinctus, the chromosome number and boundary are identical with the karyotype reported previously (seven macro- and 11 microchromosomes) (Zheng and Hong 1983). The assembly quality of our genomes and recently reported six snake genomes (C. viridis, C. tigris, N. naja, E. ijimae, H. melanoleucus, and H. curtus) were evaluated using BUSCO v3.1.0 based on 3,950 tetrapod vertebrate orthologous genes in the tetrapoda_odb9 data set. The QV scores were assessed by Merqury (Rhie et al. 2020).

Repeat Element Analysis
For repeat annotation, we first applied the EDTA (Extensive De Novo TE Annotator) (Ou et al. 2019) v1.7.0 pipeline and other programs including RepeatMasker v4.0.9 (Tarailo-Graovac and Chen 2009) and RepeatModeler v1.0.11 to de novo identify each repeat class and produce an integrated repeat library. Moreover, we used RepeatModeler to implement homology-based annotation with the EDTA reference repeat library and combined with structural annotation from the raw step. The predicted repeat sequences matching to protein domains were weeded out by BlastX search against the chordata proteins in Swiss-Prot database, resulting in a highly reliable and nonredundant library of repetitive elements. To verify the annotation accuracy, the genomes were hard-masked by replacing the potential repeat sequences with N bases, and afterwards we assessed the BUSCO completeness and mapping rates of RNA-seq reads.

We invoked LTR_retriever (Ou and Jiang 2018) to run the LAI program to evaluate and compare the assembly quality of repeat elements utilizing long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons. The LTR insertion times (T) were estimated employing LTR_retriever which calculated according to the equation $T = d/2\mu$ with $\mu$ as the mutation rate and $d$ as the sequence divergence between LTR pairs.

Gene Annotation
We implemented the MAKER (Cantarel et al. 2008) and BRAKER (Hoff et al. 2016) pipeline to predict protein-coding genes using different types of genetic evidence. For transcript evidence, the RNA-seq clean reads were mapped onto the reference genomes and assembled in a genome-guided way through Trinity and StringTie v1.3.3b. Afterwards, PASA was applied to merge the two genome-guided transcript assets and the de novo transcriptome assembly (see above) into a comprehensive assembled transcriptome. For homologous protein evidence, we downloaded protein sequences of closely related species from public databases (supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). The integrated transcripts and protein homologs were aligned to the repeat-soft-masked genomes using BLAST and Exonerate to run the first round of MAKER annotation. After quality evaluation by BUSCO analysis, the predicted genes with annotation edit distance (AED) < 0.1 were selected to train the gene predictor SNAP. Meanwhile, the RNA-seq and iso-Seq data were mapped to the repeat-hard-masked genomes using HISAT2 and minimap2, respectively. Based on the resulting alignments together with the homologous proteins, BRAKER performed iterative gene prediction to train and refine gene models invoking Augustus and GeneMark-ES. The second round of MAKER annotation was ab initio gene prediction using all the previous output. The detailed annotation results are listed in supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material online.

For gene functional annotation, we ran DIAMOND (Buchfink et al. 2015) to conduct homolog search of the annotated protein sequences against the NR, Swiss-Prot, TrEMBL, KEGG, KOG, and eggNOG database. The functional prediction of a protein came from the best hit with the highest sequence identity. We also applied InterProscan v5.36-75.0 to annotate the protein domain and motif features mapped in InterPro and Pfam database. The resulting InterPro hits were used to retrieve the associated GO terms (Ashburner et al. 2000).

For annotation of noncoding RNAs, the repeat-hard-masked genomes were queried against the Rfam (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2005) database using BlastN. For "noncoding" identification of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), the predicted lncRNAs were further processed by sequence alignments with the reference transcripts and then going through the pipeline of CPC, CMC, PLEK, Pfam to screen, and exclude those with protein-coding potential. The transfer RNAs and ribosomal RNAs were annotated by tRNAscan-SE and Rfam, respectively.

Ortholog Calling
Annotated protein sets of the 14 related species were downloaded (seven snakes, six lizards, and the outgroup Gallus gallus, supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online) and the longest protein isoform was selected for each gene locus. We followed the OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly 2015) pipeline invoking DIAMOND and OrthoMCL to call orthogroups based on sequence identity. Consequently, 16,795 orthologous gene families were clustered, containing 18,795 genes of H. cyanocinctus and 18,484 genes of H. curtus, and 679 single-copy orthologous genes of the 16 species were identified (supplementary tables S15 and S16, Supplementary Material online).
Species Phylogeny
Protein sequences of each single-copy orthologous group from the 16 selected species were aligned using MAFFT and the alignments were then concatenated into a super-gene alignment (494,664 aligned amino acid positions). This supermatrix was used to infer a maximum-likelihood (ML) species phylogenetic tree by RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) and IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015), respectively, which displayed identical topology structures (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online). We estimated the divergence times between clades through penalized likelihood calculation implemented by the MCMCtree program in PAML (Yang 2007), using a Bayesian relaxed-molecular clock model calibrated with fossil time constraints (supplementary table S17, Supplementary Material online). The convergence and sufficient sampling were checked by Tracer.

Gene Family Evolution
We applied CAFE (De Bie et al. 2006) to identify the gene families that underwent expansion or contraction across the species phylogeny. Functional enrichment of these evolved genes was then carried out using the GO and KEGG pathway database based on hypergeometric distribution with the genes of H. cyanocinctus or H. curtus assigned as a reference set.

To characterize the duplication events of paralogs, the longest protein isoforms of all annotated genes in each sea snake genome were brought to self-to-self sequence alignments using BlastP with an E-value cutoff of $10^{-10}$. The BLAST output together with the gene annotations were imported into MCScanX (Wang et al. 2012) to analyze the gene duplication types depending on their sequence conservation and genomic distribution.

To explore the family evolution of V2Rs within Hydrophii, the extracellular domain sequences of V2Rs in H. melanocephalus and E. ijimae were retrieved from their publication (Kishida et al. 2019). The two genomes were searched for gene regions containing 7TM domains of V2Rs through TBlastN using the 7TM sequences of V2Rs from H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus as query. The ML phylogenetic trees were constructed by IQ-TREE with the TAS1R (taste receptor type 1) proteins of Anolis carolinensis as outgroups.

Selection Test
We explored changes in the ratio of the nonsynonymous substitution rate ($K_a$) to the synonymous substitutions rate ($K_s$) using the codeml program from PAML with the Hydrophii clade designated as foreground branch. Branch-site models were implemented and $P$ values were then calculated assuming a $\chi^2$ distribution to determine whether a gene was under positive selection according to the likelihood values and differences between degrees of freedom.

Intergenomic Synteny
We first performed reciprocal alignments of the longest transcripts of all annotated genes of selected genomes using LAST to call orthologous gene pairs. MCScanX was then employed to identify intergenomic syntenic blocks using the LAST hits and genome annotation information. For the N. naja genome, as its gene annotations are not publicly available, we alternatively utilized all the gene locations (start and end positions) from the supplementary data of the publication (Suryamohan et al. 2020). The interspecies genome synteny was plotted using the JCVI utility libraries. The results show good synteny between Chr5 of our sea snakes with ChrZ of C. viridis and N. naja (fig. 3a and supplementary figs. S11 and S12, Supplementary Material online). Besides, we mapped the Z-linked marker genes conserved in snakes (Matsubara et al. 2006) to the sea snake genomes to recognize and confirm the assignment of Z Chromosomes (supplementary table S24, Supplementary Material online).

Identification of Genomic Structural Variations
Interspecies structural variations (SVs) between the genomes of H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus were identified using SyRI v1.2 (Goel et al. 2019). First, we ran Numcer to perform a whole-genome alignment with the HCur_v2 assembly designated as the reference genome. Since there exist interchromosomal fissions/fusions between the two snakes, we manually coalesced the parted chromosomes (Chr7+Chr14, Chr8+Chr15 in H. cyanocinctus, and Chr9+Chr11 in H. curtus) before alignment. The alignments were used as input for SyRI, which initially identified collinear and structurally rearranged (noncollinear) regions between homologous chromosomes. Inversions, translocations, and duplications were then sequentially annotated within rearranged regions. Afterwards, SyRI identified local structural and sequence variations by comparing the overlaps and gaps between consecutive alignments in annotation blocks. For insertions and deletions, we reserved those $\geq 500$ bp in size for further study. The output of SyRI was plotted with the script plotsr (supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online). We separately analyzed the balanced SVs (inversions and translocations) and unbalanced CNVs (copy number variations, herein including insertions, deletions, copy gains, copy losses, and tandem repeats), and recognized the genes that could be affected by or adjacent to the balanced SVs and CNVs respectively, defining the flanking range of 5 kb upstream and downstream from a coding gene as a genomic region. The large SVs on Chr5 were verified by aligning the PacBio long reads to assembled genomes in minimap2 and visualizing the alignments in IGV.

Venom-Related Gene Identification
We first collected sequences of known venom-related protein families from public databases (supplementary table S35, Supplementary Material online) and manually reviewed sequences from the Animal toxin annotation project (Jungo et al. 2012) to construct a combined reference library. All annotated proteins of H. cyanocinctus and H. curtus were queried against the combined library using BlastP v2.8.1+ with an E-value cutoff of $10^{-5}$ and identity cutoff of 70%. For each candidate venom-related protein, we performed a reciprocal BlastP search against the NR and UniProt database, and a BlastN search against the NT database using the corresponding transcript sequence as query to confirm the hit. Next, we manually curated a venom-related gene according
to the annotations of the closest homologous protein hit in Swiss-Prot. If the "tissue specificity" annotation contains "venom," that gene was hence recognized as a venom gene. The venom genes were further identified as toxin genes if the "molecular function" keywords of the closest homologs are termed as "toxin" or "toxin activity." We screened the genomes of six other elapid species for the 3FTx, PLA2, CRISP, vKUN, vLEC, and SVMF families following the similar method. The local microsynteny of the six venom gene families were analyzed and plotted by JCVI.

Gene Expression Quantification
The RNA-seq clean reads from the venom glands of three snake individuals of each species (QH_1, QH_2, QH_3, and PK_1, PK_2, PK_3) were aligned to the reference genomes using HISAT2. The read counts mapped to each gene in each sample were then calculated with htseq-count v0.9.1. Gene expression abundance was measured by the algorithm of fragments per kilobase of gene per million mapped reads (FPKM) using Cufflinks v2.2.1 (Trapnell et al. 2010).

Venom Proteomes
To compare venom protein expression between *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. curtus*, we conducted tandem mass tag (TMT)-based quantitative proteomic analysis (Dayon et al. 2008) of pooled venom from eight individuals of the two species. Detailed experimental procedures of protein digestion, peptide labeling, liquid chromatography separation, and mass spectrometry (MS) are provided in supplementary note 7.3, Supplementary Material online. We used Proteome Discoverer v2.2 (Thermo) to search the MS raw data against the merged database of all the annotated proteins of the two snakes. A global false discovery rate (FDR) for protein identification was set to ≤0.01. The protein groups considered for relative quantification (credible proteins) were picked out following the criteria of Score Sequest HT ≥0 and unique peptides ≥1. Based on the relative abundance quantitation, we carried out *t*-test to determine differentially venom-expressed protein groups between the two snakes, according to the criterion of *P* value <0.05 and fold change ≥2 or ≤0.5.

Molecular Evolution and Phylogeny
For the evaluation of the diversification rates of major venom-related gene families, we ran self-to-self BlastN (E-value ≤10^-10) within each sea snake using the longest transcripts annotated to obtain paralog pairs of venom genes and of nonvenom genes, respectively. ParaAT v2.0 was then used to perform pairwise alignment of these gene pairs. The output was imported into KaKs_Calculator v1.2 (Zhang et al. 2006) to compute the nonsynonymous and synonymous substitution rates (Ks and Kn) for each gene pair with the models of Yang–Nielsen (YN), modified YN (MYN), and Nei–Gojobori (NG). Significant differences between venom and nonvenom gene families were checked by Mann–Whitney test.

We collected the 3FTx protein sequences of 14 elapids and the outgroup *C. viridis* from their annotated genomes, transcriptomes, and Swiss-Prot. A ML tree of the 3FTx family in Elapidae was constructed with RAxML and visualized using iTOL.

**Supplementary Material**
Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.
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