Variations in the Growth of Cotyledons and Initial True Leaves as Affected by Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density at Individual Seedlings and Nutrients
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Abstract: Plant factories with artificial lighting (PFALs), with well-insulated and airtight structures, enable the production of large quantities of high-quality plants year-round while achieving high resource use efficiency. However, despite the controlled environment in PFALs, variations in plant individuals have been found, which affect productivity in PFAL operations. Plant phenotyping plays a crucial role in understanding how the surrounding microenvironment affects variations in plant phenotypes. In the current study, a modular phenotyping system for seedling production was developed, focusing on practicality and scalability in commercial PFALs. Experiments on seedlings, which strongly affect productivity, were conducted to obtain cotyledon unfolding time and the time series projected area of cotyledons and true leaves of individual seedlings of romaine lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. var. longifolia), using RGB images. This was also undertaken to analyze how the surrounding microenvironment of photosynthetic photon flux densities and nutrients affect growth variations for plant cohort research. In agreement with the actual measurements, variations in seedling growth were identified even under similar microenvironments. Furthermore, the results demonstrated larger variations in seedlings with higher relative growth. Aiming for simplified interactions of phenotypes with the microenvironment, management, and genotype, seedling selection and breeding with plant production in PFALs may enable plant uniformity and higher productivity.
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1. Introduction

Plant factories with artificial lighting (PFALs), with an almost airtight and well-insulated structure, have the potential to enable the production of large quantities of high-quality plants year-round while achieving high efficiency of resource utilization [1–10]. The theoretical benefits of PFAL can be achieved only when the system is properly engineered, constructed, and operated [11–13]. In actuality, a variety of PFAL challenges remain, including high initial investment, optimal cultivation system, and environmental controls, which can be solved by improving productivity in PFALs [13].

Despite the controlled environment in PFALs, variations in plant individuals have been found, particularly around harvesting, which results in a negative effect on plant productivity in PFAL operations [5,14,15]. The uniformity of plant growth by reducing two-dimensional (2D) variations in plant traits (i.e., phenotype), such as fresh weight, plant height, morphology, and concentrations of secondary metabolites per plant, can
contribute to productivity improvement in PFALs [14]. Variations in the plant traits of individual plants are mainly ascribed to three factors: environment, genotype (species and cultivar), and management (human and machine intervention) [5,14]. Indeed, 2D variations in plant traits over a cultivation tray are partly attributed to 2D variations in the surrounding microenvironment of individual plants, including photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) and air current speed over and within the plant canopy or individuals in the tray [14]. Past studies have shed light on the PPFD distribution in the cultivation tray, and even the difference in the PPFD distribution between a plant canopy and the cultivation tray without plants [16–18].

Plant phenotyping plays an integral role in understanding how the microenvironment surrounding individual plants affects variations in the phenotype of individual plants [5,14,19]. Plant phenotyping is a set of methodologies and protocols used to study plant performance, growth, architecture, and composition at different scales of organization, from organs to canopies, and it elucidates the plant trait dynamics in a non-destructive and non-invasive manner [5,14,19–21]. Having great potential for application to commercial PFALs [22], image-based studies in PFALs have been conducted, including quantifying the projected leaf area (value measured by 2D camera images captured from above the plant canopy or seedling trays) of the plant canopy [15,23–28].

Cotyledons are the first leaves that appear on a plant and play a crucial role in the development of seedlings, particularly in the early stages, which affects the subsequent plant growth process [29–32]. During the initial growth stage of leaf development, leaf tissues are built and require carbon and energy from other parts of the plant [33,34]. At the seedling stage, plants are dependent on the immediate establishment of photosynthesis, leading to shoot development, which mainly depends on the photosynthetic capacity of the cotyledon and stored nitrogen [34]. Early photosynthesis by the cotyledon is essential for seedling growth [32]. Therefore, to achieve maximal plant growth, PPFD and nutrient availability are environmental factors that strongly affect plant growth from the early developmental stages of seedlings onwards [34,35].

However, most previous studies on the cotyledon and initial stage of seedlings have been limited to the mean values of plant population, not the individual plants, alongside average PPFD, not the PPFD at individual plants. Furthermore, most image-based analyses or quantification of the projected leaf area of seedlings in PFALs have been conducted only after the emergence of true leaves. The frequency of image capture has been confined to a few times per day or even per week. Therefore, to elucidate the variations in the growth of cotyledons and initial true leaves, and how this is affected by the PPFD and nutrients, which are the essential factors for the initial development of plants, it is crucial to measure cotyledon unfolding time and the time series projected leaf area together with ground truth measurements, and analyze how the microenvironment of PPFD at individual seedlings and nutrients affects individual growth.

A modular plant phenotype measurement system for seedling production was developed, focusing on practicality and scalability in commercial PFALs, and achieved low cost using small cameras and sensors, and a cultivation method similar to that of commercial PFALs. In this study, the initial stage of seedlings, one of the critical early stages of plant growth, was used as part of research that examined the entire plant life cycle. Experiments were conducted to obtain cotyledon unfolding time and the time series projected leaf area of cotyledons and true leaves of individual seedlings of romaine lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. var. *longifolia*), using 2D camera images. This was also undertaken to analyze how the surrounding microenvironment of PPFD and nutrients affects the variations in the growth of individual seedlings for plant cohort research. Considering leaf movement [15,36,37], red-green-blue (RGB) images were acquired and processed every 0.5 h.

In plant cohort research, the life cycle phenome history of individual plants can be captured continuously and noninvasively, and analyzed from seed sowing to harvesting using phenotyping units, together with the time series data on environment, managerial, and resource inputs and outputs in PFALs [4–6,14,38]. Using the time series datasets
in the data warehouse, plant cohort research makes it possible to identify optimal set points of environmental factors for maximizing multi-objective functions and concurrently improving plant productivity, selection of seedlings for grading, and breeding new cultivars in PFALs [4–6,14,38].

Through this study, cotyledon unfolding time and the time series projected area of cotyledons and true leaves of individual seedlings were obtained, using time series RGB images. In agreement with the actual individual measurements, variations in seedling growth were identified, even under similar microenvironments. Furthermore, the results demonstrated larger variations in seedlings with higher relative growth.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Description of the System

A modular seedling production system (MSPS) was developed by improving the germination container box (GCB) equipped with a phenotyping unit and air temperature and relative humidity sensors [5] as a serial study for plant cohort research in PFALs. Figure 1 shows a configuration diagram of the MSPS composed of eight units and parts. The additionally installed items in the GCB included LEDs, an air circulator, and a digital weight scale with data loggers (L, M, and N, respectively; Figure 1a–c).

![Figure 1. Modular seedling production system (MSPS) equipped with phenotyping unit and air temperature and relative humidity sensors. (a) Schematic diagram. (1) Temperature control unit: air conditioner (A), air outlet of the air conditioner (B), electric heater (C). (2) Phenotyping unit: control board (×4) (D), red-green-blue (RGB) camera (×4) (E), white light-emitting diode (LED) for flash (×4) (F). (3) Air temperature and relative humidity sensor (×4) (G). (4) Cultivation unit: seedling tray with the substrate (seedling tray) (×4) (H), acrylic plate (I), reinforcement bar (J). (5) Exterior box with frame (K). [5] (6) LED (18 W each, length 1170 × width 30 × height 25 mm) (ZK-TB18-VE02/A; Fujian Sanan Sino-Science Photobiotech Co., Ltd., Fujian, China) (×5) (L). (7) Air circulator (DC12V 0.2A, blade size: 30 × 190 mm, length 240 × width 48 × height 50 mm) (a15110600ux0249jp; Uxcell, Hong Kong, China) (M), and (8) digital weight scale (linearity error ± 300 mg, precision 100 mg) (×3) with data loggers (×2) (N). (b) Schematic diagram from the side. (c) Inside view of MSPS.](image-url)
The phenotyping units, sets of RGB cameras (Raspberry Pi Pi NoIR Camera Module V2; Raspberry Pi Foundation, Cambridge, UK) \((\times 4)\), and white LEDs for the flash of the RGB cameras (15 white LED tape, length 300 mm; SOF-15W-30-3SMD; Tokulumi Co., Ltd., Kwai Chung, Hong Kong, China) \((\times 4)\) \([5]\) were set 485 mm above the surface of each seedling tray (E and F, respectively; Figure 1a–c). Additionally, four air temperature and relative humidity \((T_{in} \text{ and } Rh \text{ respectively})\) sensors were placed 50 mm above the right or left of the surface of the seedling trays. The phenotyping unit, consisting of RGB cameras and white LEDs for the flash, and \(T_{in}\) and \(Rh\) sensors were connected to each control board (Raspberry Pi 3 Model B; Raspberry Pi Foundation, Cambridge, UK) \((\times 4)\), and activated every ten minutes \([5, 39]\). These data were automatically sent to a data warehouse (DWH) through control boards \([5, 39]\).

Seedling trays were placed consistently at each designated location. For this study, two trays on the right side (Trays 1 and 2) of four in the MSPS were used, and the remaining two trays on the left (Trays 3 and 4) were also filled with the same plants simultaneously for another analysis (Figure 1a–c).

2.2. Light Environment for Individual Seedlings

To study the growth variations in the seedlings as affected by the PPFD, a light environment was designed to maximize the distribution of horizontal PPFD over the seedling tray in conjunction with minimizing the PPFD variations between the two seedling trays (Tray 1 with the water and Tray 2 with the nutrient solution (nutrients)) (Figure 1a, Table 1). Therefore, to set the desired PPFD distribution over the tray, both side edges of five LEDs, except the one in the middle, were covered with aluminum tape.

Table 1. Treatments and codes of the experiment. The distributions of horizontal PPFD within the trays (width \(605 \times \text{length } 300 \text{ mm}\)) were set for this study. Here, 18 different PPFDs in each tray (Tray 1 with the water and Tray 2 with the nutrients) were categorized into three classifications (6 PPFDs/classification) based on the level of PPFD: low (L), medium (M), and high (H), \(84 \leq 126, 126 < 166, 166 \leq 208 \ \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\), respectively (Table 1).

First, each seedling tray \((605 \times 300 \times 42 \text{ mm})\), having a black substrate inside 300 plants (tray), was divided into 18 sections. Then, horizontal PPFD \(0.04 \text{ m}\) above the surface of the tray was measured at each section using PPFD sensors (accuracy \(\pm 5\%\)) (LI-190R; LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) with a data logger. The distributions of horizontal PPFD within the trays are shown in Table 1: from the minimum 84 to the maximum 205 (Tray 1) or 208 (Tray 2) \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\). The 18 different PPFDs in each tray were categorized into three classifications (6 PPFDs/classification) based on the level of PPFD: low (L), medium (M), and high (H), as \(84 \leq 126, 126 \leq 166, 166 \leq 208 \ \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\), respectively (Table 1).

For the experiments, two representative plants from 16 or 20 plants in each section closest to the PPFD sensor were chosen \((2 \times 18 \text{ PPFDs/tray} \times 2 = 72 \text{ representative plants})\). Therefore, there were 12 representative plants for each treatment \((2 \times 6 \text{ PPFDs/treatment} \times 3 \text{ PPFD classifications} \times 2 \text{ (nutrients/water)} = 72 \text{ representative plants})\) (Table 1). If the representative plants did not germinate, the plants next to the original representative plants were selected. The remaining seedlings, other than 72 representative plants, were also cultivated together, as there were 300 plants in each tray, following a cultivation method.
similar to that used for commercial PFALs. The average ratio of the LED spectrum, blue: green: red: farRed, at the trays, was 13:16:60:11.

2.3. Hours to Cotyledon Unfolding and Projected Area of Cotyledon and True Leaves of Individual Plants Estimated with Time Series RGB Images

In this study, an RGB camera was used to obtain 2D image data every 0.5 h to estimate the hours required for cotyledon unfolding (\(H_c\): the time from sowing to the unfolding of the cotyledon of individual plants) and the time course of the projected area of the cotyledon (\(Ap-c\)), true leaf (\(Ap-t\)), and cotyledon and true leaf (\(Ap-ct\)) of individual seedlings at intervals of 0.5 h (See Table 2 for abbreviations). In the case of a failure of image capturing, the RGB image acquired ten minutes before the scheduled timing was used because the raw image data were automatically captured every ten minutes.

Table 2. List of symbols, variable names with descriptions and units.

| Symbol | Variable Name/Description | Unit |
|--------|---------------------------|------|
| \(Ac, \overline{Ac}\) | Cotyledon area of individual plant 216 h after sowing (HAS), and their average | \(mm^2\) |
| \(At, \overline{At}\) | Area of cotyledon and true leaves of individual plant 216 HAS, and their average | \(mm^2\) |
| \(Ap-c, \overline{Ap-c}\) | Projected cotyledon area of individual plant, and their average | \(mm^2\) |
| \(Ap-ct, \overline{Ap-ct}\) | Projected area of cotyledon and true leaves of individual plant, and their average | \(mm^2\) |
| \(Ap-t, \overline{Ap-t}\) | Projected true leaf area of individual plant, and their average | \(mm^2\) |
| \(H_c, \overline{H_c}\) | Hours to cotyledon unfolding (from sowing to the unfolding of the cotyledon) of individual plant, and their average | \(h\) |
| \(LAR\) | The ratio of leaf area (\(Act\)) to shoot dry weight (\(Wd\)) (\(Act\) divided by \(Wd\)) | \(m^2\ g^{-1}\) |
| \(Lh, \overline{Lh}\) | Hypocotyl length of individual plant 216 HAS, and their average | \(mm\) |
| Integrated PPFD | Integrated PPFD during hours from cotyledon unfolding (\(H_c\)) to 216 HAS of individual plant | \(mol\ m^{-2}\) |
| PPFD | Horizontal photosynthetic photon flux density at individual plant 0.04 m above the surface of the tray | \(\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}\) |
| VPNS | Volumetric percentage of nutrient solution in the tray [5] | \(\%\) |
| \(Wd, \overline{Wd}\) | Shoot (st, h, and petiole) dry weight of individual plant 216 HAS, and their average | \(mg/plant\) |
| \(Wf, \overline{Wf}\) | Shoot fresh weight of individual plant 216 HAS, and their average | \(mg/plant\) |

Each RGB image, which covered one tray with 300 seedlings, was first divided into 18–300 images depending on the size of the seedlings for ease of image processing. Then, the time series RGB images of each seedling were re-sequenced in chronological order with 0.5 h intervals [5] (Figure 2a).

To estimate \(H_c\), the first RGB image with a clearly visible unfolded cotyledon, which achieved the most horizontal position, was selected among the time-course images of individual seedlings in chronological order [5]. Then, to estimate the projected areas of cotyledons and true leaves, each RGB image of individual seedlings, in chronological order, was imported into graphic editor software (Photoshop, Adobe Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) to carefully eliminate the background from the image so that only time series images of cotyledons and true leaves remained (Figure 2b). The backgrounds of individual cotyledons and true leaves were carefully eliminated with zoomed images, particularly in the case of overlapping leaves and the attached seed coats, as coated seeds were used in this study (Figure 2a,b). Furthermore, time series images of cotyledons and true leaves were divided into different images so that \(Ap-c\) and \(Ap-t\) could be estimated separately (Figure 2a,b).

To estimate \(Ap-c\) and \(Ap-t\), ImageJ, a Java-based open software package for image processing, was used [40]. Before importing the edited images, the scale was set by associating the distance in pixels with the actual distance between each substrate hole in the raw images. The scale was selected based on the number of pixels per millimeter. The edited time series images were processed into binary images, and the threshold was set to acquire the projected areas. Separated time series \(Ap-c\) and \(Ap-t\) of the individual seedlings were estimated, along with \(Ap-ct\), the sum of individual \(Ap-c\) and \(Ap-t\). To identify the
accuracy of horizontal projected leaf areas that were possibly affected by the camera angle of view, 52 same-sized square pieces of paper, as a substitute for the plants, were placed horizontally in the tray in an equidistant manner, and the RGB images were captured. The accuracy of the 52 area values using ImageJ among the tray was ±5%. In this study, the projected images obtained from a single RGB camera covering one tray were used without adjusting the viewing angle or distortion correction, which possibly affected the estimation of some projected areas of the inclined leaves.

**Figure 2.** The time series RGB images of the same seedling, in chronological order, at 0.5 h intervals from 125 to 216 hours after sowing (HAS). This seedling, with nutrients and at high PPFD levels (Nutrients-H) (202 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), reached the largest projected area of cotyledon and true leaves among others 216 HAS. (a) Time series RGB images, time series images of cotyledon, and time series images of true leaf after its emergence. The cotyledon unfolding time was 66.5 h. (b) Magnified images of the same seedling at (a) 216 HAS: RGB image, cotyledon, and true leaf after background removal, and separated images of cotyledon and true leaf.

For the ground truth measurement, ImageJ was used to estimate areas of the cotyledons ($A_c$), true leaves ($A_t$), and cotyledons and true leaves ($A_{ct}$) of the individual seedlings 216 h after sowing (HAS) (See Table 2 for abbreviations). Separated cotyledons and true leaves of individual plants 216 HAS laid on the whiteboard together with a ruler were...
photographed manually with a camera (α6000; SONY Corp., Tokyo, Japan) set at 0.675 m above the board, while maximizing the magnification of the lens.

The exact process for the projected areas was also carried out to estimate \( A_c, A_t, \) and \( A_{ct} \), except for the scale setting process, in which the scale of a ruler was associated with the number of pixels per mm. Note that petioles of both cotyledons and true leaves of individual plants were not included in \( A_{p-c}, A_{p-t}, A_{p-ct}, A_c, A_t, \) and \( A_{ct} \).

2.4. Experimental Design
2.4.1. Plant Material and Culture Conditions

To analyze the variations in the growth of cotyledons and initial true leaves as affected by PPFD at individual plants and the nutrients, experiments on seedlings were conducted from cotyledon unfolding to 216 HAS. Coated seeds of romaine lettuce (\( Lactuca sativa \) L. var. longifolia, Lomaria, TLE487, Takii & Co., Kyoto, Japan) were used. The average weight and percentage of dry matter of 100 uncoated seeds of the same variety were 1.4 mg and 92\%, respectively. Black substrates made of flexible polyurethane foam (MIRAI Co., Ltd., Kashiwa, Japan, \( 584 \times 280 \times 28 \) mm, 300 wells, \( 12 \) mm in diameter \( \times 6 \) mm deep) were used in the cultivation trays. Instead of the white substrate, a black substrate was chosen for this study to prevent light reflection from the surface of the substrate to the backside of the leaf surface.

The photoperiod was set to an average of 16 h per day. In addition to the light for cultivation, flashes for the RGB camera were set to 10 s/time for photographing every 10 min. Regarding the nutrient solution, Chiba University’s lettuce formula [41] was used, with pH and electrical conductivity of 6.5 and 0.19 S m\(^{-1}\), respectively. The volumetric percentage of the nutrient solution or water in the tray was 86\% [5].

The air temperature inside the MSPS was set to 20 °C during the experimental period. Average \( T_{in} \) and RH (\( T_{in}, RH \)) during the photoperiod and dark period over 9 days were \( 21 \pm 0.2 \) °C and 85 ± 2\%, and \( 21 \pm 0.6 \) °C, and 92 ± 2\%, respectively. CO\(_2\) concentrations during the photoperiod inside the MSPS were maintained at \( 1500 \pm 50 \) \( \mu \)mol mol\(^{-1}\). Air current speed \( 0.05 \) m above the surface of the trays was \( 0.16 \pm 0.11 \) m s\(^{-1}\), measured with a hot-wire anemometer (accuracy ± 2\% or 0.02 m s\(^{-1}\)) (6531-21; KANOMAX JAPAN Inc., Suita, Osaka, Japan).

The MSPS was placed inside an environmentally controlled cultivation room under the hygienic conditions of PFAL at the Kashiwanoha Campus of Chiba University. The air temperature, relative humidity, and CO\(_2\) concentrations inside the cultivation room were 20 °C, 80–100\%, and 1470 ± 20 \( \mu \)mol mol\(^{-1}\), respectively.

2.4.2. Data Acquisition, Variables, and Symbols

During the 9 days of cultivation, each RGB camera obtained 432 images (216 h \( \times 0.5 \) h) per tray to estimate \( H_c \) and the time course of \( A_{p-c}, A_{p-t}, \) and \( A_{p-ct} \). The time series projected areas of cotyledons and true leaves every 0.5 h of 72 seedlings (2 representative plants/the same PPFD \( \times 18 \) different PPFDs \( \times 2 \) trays either with the nutrients or the water) were estimated from cotyledon unfolding to 216 HAS. In total, approximately 300 (150 h \( \times 0.5 \) h) time series projected areas per seedling were estimated, about 600 for both cotyledons and true leaves per seedling; thus, 43,200 projected areas for 72 seedlings.

In addition to the time course of the projected areas, actual manual measurements of 72 seedlings were individually conducted 216 HAS. As described in Table 2, in addition to \( A_c, A_t, \) and \( A_{ct} \), shoot (cotyledon, true leaves, hypocotyl, and petiole) fresh weight, shoot dry weight (\( W_f \) and \( W_d \), respectively), and hypocotyl length (\( L_h \)) were measured for each seedling 216 HAS. \( W_d \) was quantified after drying for two days at 80 °C in a thermostatic oven (accuracy ± 1 °C) (WFO-520W; TOKYO RIKAKIKAI Co., Ltd., Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, Japan). For weight measurement, a digital scale was used after calibration (linearity error ± 0.2 mg, precision 0.1 mg). A vernier caliper (instrumental error ± 0.03 mm) was used to measure \( L_h \).
Additionally, the ratio of Act to Wd (Act divided by Wd) was calculated. To assess growth variations, the growth of each seedling was quantified and analyzed to determine how it was affected by the microenvironment of 18 different PPFDs, during both the photoperiod and dark period, and the nutrients or water. Horizontal integrated PPFD during hours from cotyledon unfolding (Hc) to 216 HAS of the individual plants (integrated PPFD) was also calculated for the analysis.

3. Results

In this study, image data having an interval of 0.5 h were used to estimate Hc and projected areas of Ap-c, Ap-t, and Ap-ct. Figure 2 shows time series images of the same seedling in chronological order from 125 to 216 HAS as a case example among 72 seedlings. The seedling in Figure 2, with Nutrients-H (202 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), achieved the largest Ap-ct among 72 seedlings 216 HAS. Figure 2 shows time series images of cotyledon, and time series images of true leaf after its emergence. Additionally, magnified images of the same seedling 216 HAS: RGB image, cotyledon, and true leaf after removing background, and separated images of cotyledon and true leaf are shown in Figure 2b.

To analyze the variations in the growth of cotyledons and initial true leaves as affected by horizontal PPFD at individual seedlings and nutrients and water, experiments on the initial stage of seedlings were conducted from cotyledon unfolding to 216 HAS. For the microenvironmental data, horizontal PPFD 0.04 m above the surface of the tray at individual seedlings was measured (Table 1). Two seedling trays, together with either nutrients or water, were used, each with 18 different PPFDs ranging from 84 to 205 or 208 μmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). In addition to the time course of the projected areas, actual manual measurements of 72 seedlings were individually conducted 216 HAS.

Figure 3 shows Hc and Wd of individual seedlings with nutrients and water. In this study, no specific effect of Hc on Wd was found, as illustrated in Figure 3. Most of the individuals resulted in an Hc of 63–70 h, except three seedlings with water that had Hc values of 77.5, 78.5, and 79 h, which led to the maximum 16 h difference between the largest and the smallest Hc (Figure 3). It appeared that even the majority of Hc (63–70 h) varied by a maximum of 7 h, although this occurred during the dark period in this study. The average Hc (Hc) was 66.2 h with nutrients and 68 h with water; Hc with nutrients was 1.8 h shorter than that with water.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Hours to cotyledon unfolding (from sowing to the unfolding of the cotyledon) of individual plants (Hc) and shoot (cotyledon, true leaves, hypocotyl, and petiole) dry weight of individual plants 216 h after sowing (HAS) (Wd) with nutrients and water.
Figure 4 illustrates the time course of the $Ap-c$ and $Ap-t$ of individual seedlings, both with nutrients and water from $Hc$ to 216 HAS, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes). Note that some of the $Ap-c$ or $Ap-t$ at specific periods were not estimated because of the overlapping of the leaves. For some seedlings $Hc$ could not be calculated, and the polygonal lines started 66.5 or 68 HAS with nutrients and water, respectively, in response to each $Hc$.

![Figure 4: Time-course projected areas of the cotyledon ($Ap-c$) and true leaves ($Ap-t$) of individual seedlings with nutrients and water from hours to cotyledon unfolding from sowing ($Hc$) to 216 h after sowing (HAS), each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes).](image)

By estimating the projected areas of cotyledons and true leaves of individual seedlings separately, we demonstrated time-course changes of $Ap-c$ and $Ap-t$, respectively (Figure 4). The relative changes over time in projected areas within the single seedlings suggested the time series movement of cotyledons and true leaves, including changes in leaning or direction, in addition to the relative growth, depending on the viewing angle of the camera.

Although $Ap-c$ and $Ap-t$ with water remained reasonably constant over time, it caused a significant and continued increase in $Ap-c$ and $Ap-t$ with nutrients with more fluctuation over time. Indeed, a significant increase was found, especially in $Ap-t$ with nutrients. Furthermore, $Ap-c$ with nutrients continued to increase even after the initial development.
of Ap-t (Figure 4). It appeared that, particularly for Ap-c, a relative increase was caused mainly in the dark period, not the photoperiod (Figure 4). As clearly shown in Figure 4, this indicated a condition in which the relative growth in Ap-c and Ap-t was more extensive with a higher PPFD with nutrients. However, it was found that the time-course changes of individual seedlings with nutrients showed more considerable variations, compared to water (Figure 4).

Table 3 summarizes the average of Hc (Hc), projected areas, including $\overline{Ap-c}$ both at cotyledon unfolding (Hc) and 216 HAS, and $\overline{Ap-t}$ at 216 HAS with nutrients and water, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes). The slight difference in the initial $\overline{Ap-c}$ at cotyledon unfolding between the nutrients and water resulted in an approximately threefold difference in the $\overline{Ap-c}$ 216 HAS, along with $\overline{Ap-t}$, and thus, $\overline{Ap-ct}$ 216 HAS (Table 3). The $\overline{Ap-ct}$ with nutrients was more remarkable, in particular, caused by the growth of $\overline{Ap-t}$ (Table 3). As indicated in Table 3, $\overline{Ap-c}$, and especially $\overline{Ap-t}$ and $\overline{Ap-ct}$ 216 HAS, were larger with higher PPFD with nutrients.

Table 3. Average hours to cotyledon unfolding (Hc), projected areas of cotyledon ($\overline{Ap-c}$) both at cotyledon unfolding and 216 h after sowing (HAS), true leaves ($\overline{Ap-t}$), and the sum of $\overline{Ap-c}$ and $\overline{Ap-t}$ ($\overline{Ap-ct}$), with nutrients and water, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes).

| Treatment Code | Hours to Cotyledon Unfolding (Hc) | Projected Cotyledon Area (Ap-c) at Hc | Projected Area of True Leaves (Ap-t) 216 HAS | Projected Area of Cotyledon and True Leaves (Ap-ct) 216 HAS |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Nutrients-H    | 67                                | 10                                    | 138                                           | 54                                                        | 192                                                       |
| Nutrients-M    | 65                                | 8                                     | 138                                           | 43                                                        | 172                                                       |
| Nutrients-L    | 66                                | 8                                     | 124                                           | 36                                                        | 157                                                       |
| Water-H        | 69                                | 9                                     | 49                                            | 11                                                        | 58                                                        |
| Water-M        | 66                                | 8                                     | 55                                            | 7                                                         | 62                                                        |
| Water-L        | 69                                | 11                                    | 64                                            | 6                                                         | 67                                                        |

1 Percentage of identified Hc of seedlings that could be estimated from the time series images was 56% with nutrients and 67% with water. 2 $\overline{Ap-c}$ at cotyledon unfolding.

Table 4 shows the average and coefficient of variation of the measured values 216 HAS, including areas of the cotyledon (Ac), true leaves (At), and the sum of individual Ac and At (Act), hypocotyl length (Lh), shoot fresh weight (Wf), shoot dry weight (Wd), and the ratio of Act to Wd (LAR) of individual seedlings with nutrients and water, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes). The average $\overline{Ac}$, $\overline{At}$, and $\overline{Act}$ values with nutrients were largest with Nutrients-H and the smallest with Nutrients-L. Furthermore, a greater coefficient of variation in $\overline{Ac}$, $\overline{At}$, and $\overline{Act}$ was shown with higher PPFD with nutrients, which was also demonstrated with Wf, Wd, and, to a lesser extent, with LAR (Table 4).

Figure 5a shows the Act at 216 HAS and Ap-ct with nutrients and water. The value of Ap-ct varied depending on the timing due to the movement of the plants. The Ap-ct includes its values at 216 HAS and the maximum value over 216 h (MAX) (Figure 5a). The results showed that although the maximum Ap-ct over 216 h was slightly closer to the Act, the overall Act was greater than Ap-ct, notably with the nutrients (Figure 5a). Figure 2b shows the RGB image of the largest Ap-ct (with Nutrients-H) among 72 seedlings 216 HAS. In addition to the changes in the leaning of cotyledons and true leaves, slightly curled up cotyledon or true leaves decreased the value of Ap-ct (Figure 2b).

Figure 5b illustrates the ratio between Ap-ct and Act and Wd of individual seedlings at 216 HAS with nutrients and water. This implied that the ratio between Ap-ct and Act 216 HAS did not have an impact on the Wd of individual seedlings, but only suggested a significant difference in Wd affected by the difference in nutrients and water (Figure 5b).
average ratio between Ap-ct and Act among individual seedlings with nutrients and water was 0.59 and 0.70, respectively, resulting in a greater average ratio of water to nutrients.

Table 4. Average and coefficient of variation of areas of the cotyledon (Ac), true leaves (At), and the sum of individual Ac and At (Act), hypocotyl length (Lh), shoot (cotyledon, true leaves, hypocotyl, and petiole) fresh weight (Wf), shoot dry weight (Wd), and the ratio of Act to Wd (LAR) of individual seedlings measured respectively 216 h after sowing (HAS) with nutrients and water, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes).

| Treatment Code | Area of Cotyledon (Ac) mm² | Area of True Leaves (At) mm² | Area of Cotyledon and True Leaves (Act) mm² | Hypocotyl Length (Lh) mm | Shoot Fresh Weight (Wf) mg | Shoot Dry Weight (Wd) mg | The Ratio of Act to Wd (Act/Wd) (LAR) m² g⁻¹ |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Average        |                           |                            |                                             |                          |                           |                         |                                               |
| Nutrients-H     | 206                       | 110                        | 316                                         | 12                       | 83.6                      | 6.2                     | 51                             |
| Nutrients-M     | 205                       | 102                        | 307                                         | 13                       | 84.0                      | 6.0                     | 52                             |
| Nutrients-L     | 187                       | 86                         | 273                                         | 15                       | 70.0                      | 4.7                     | 58                             |
| Coefficient of variation |                    |                            |                                             |                          |                           |                         |                                               |
| Nutrients-H     | 0.131                     | 0.258                      | 0.157                                       | 0.139                    | 0.206                     | 0.208                   | 0.096                          |
| Nutrients-M     | 0.101                     | 0.219                      | 0.126                                       | 0.183                    | 0.140                     | 0.169                   | 0.095                          |
| Nutrients-L     | 0.101                     | 0.123                      | 0.090                                       | 0.137                    | 0.058                     | 0.095                   | 0.081                          |
| Average        |                           |                            |                                             |                          |                           |                         |                                               |
| Water-H         | 71                        | 14                         | 84                                          | 10                       | 24.5                      | 3.3                     | 26                             |
| Water-M         | 72                        | 15                         | 88                                          | 10                       | 25.2                      | 2.9                     | 30                             |
| Water-L         | 82                        | 13                         | 96                                          | 12                       | 26.9                      | 2.7                     | 36                             |
| Coefficient of variation |                    |                            |                                             |                          |                           |                         |                                               |
| Water-H         | 0.155                     | 0.315                      | 0.138                                       | 0.147                    | 0.167                     | 0.195                   | 0.222                          |
| Water-M         | 0.260                     | 0.675                      | 0.210                                       | 0.148                    | 0.164                     | 0.205                   | 0.156                          |
| Water-L         | 0.159                     | 0.385                      | 0.187                                       | 0.112                    | 0.209                     | 0.208                   | 0.150                          |

Figure 5. (a) The projected area of cotyledon and true leaves of the individual plants (Ap-ct) and area of cotyledon and true leaves of individual plants 216 h after sowing (HAS) (Act), with nutrients and water. The Ap-ct includes its value at 216 HAS and the maximum value over 216 h. (b) The ratio between Ap-ct and Act (Ap-ct/Act) together with shoot (cotyledon, true leaves, hypocotyl, and petiole) dry weight (Wd) all at 216 HAS with nutrients and water.

Figure 6 illustrates the integrated PPFD from Hc to 216 HAS of each seedling and Wd with nutrients and water, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes). Note that for some seedlings Hc could not be identified; those Hc were assumed to be Hc of 66.5 or 68 HAS with nutrients and water, respectively. This
resulted in a greater Wd with nutrients, particularly with Nutrients-H, compared with water, as demonstrated in Figure 6. Furthermore, variations in Wd were found, especially with nutrients in the larger PPFD (Figure 6, Table 4). In fact, the coefficient of determination was small, both with nutrients and water (Figure 6).

![Figure 6](image-url)

Figure 6. Integrated photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) from cotyledon unfolding (Hc) to 216 h after sowing (HAS) of each seedling and shoot (cotyledon, true leaves, hypocotyl, and petiole) dry weight of individual seedlings 216 HAS (Wd), with nutrients and water, each with distributions of horizontal PPFDs (see Table 1 for treatments and codes).

4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to analyze the variations in the growth of cotyledons and initial true leaves, as affected by the surrounding microenvironment of varied PPFDs at individual seedlings and nutrients or water, based on the time series RGB image data captured every 0.5 h, along with individual measurements at 216 HAS.

For this study, seedlings, one of the critical initial stages of plant growth, were used as part of the plant cohort research with the MSPS, developed by improving a GCB equipped with a phenotyping unit and air temperature and relative humidity sensors [5]. The MSPS was designed with the high priority of installing cameras and sensors with low cost and compactness, emphasizing the practicality and scalability in commercial plant production and selection of seedlings for grading and breeding in PFALs.

In this study, the Hc of individual seedlings was estimated using the time course of the RGB images of individual seedlings. As Hc and Wd with nutrients and water demonstrated in Figure 3, the Hc of even the majority of seedlings varied at a maximum of 7 h, from 63–70 h. Although most cotyledon unfolding occurred in the dark period in this study, the difference in Hc may affect the integrated PPFD at each seedling in the case of the photoperiod.

With this individual analysis, a particular outlier of three seedlings with water with Hc of 77.5, 78.5, and 79 h, was found. One, with an Hc of 77.5 h, reached the second largest Wd (4.0 mg/plant) among the seedlings with water despite the unfavorable start, which implies the possibility of a delay in germination for some reason. The results demonstrated that Hc with nutrients was 1.8 h less than that with water (66.2 h with nutrients and 68 h with water). Moreover, variations in Hc with nutrients were smaller than those with water (Table 3). This indicates the possibility of promoting cotyledon unfolding by absorbing nutrients from radicles in addition to their heterotrophic nutrition from the albumen of their seeds, which reflects the fact that all the Hc with nutrients occurred in the dark period. Furthermore, it can be presumed that seed phenotyping before sowing, including
measuring the size, shape, or weight of seeds, enables the prediction of \( Hc \) or \( Ac \) at the cotyledon unfolding of the individual plant.

The results indicated that microenvironments, including varied PPFDs and nutrients or water, affected the phenotype or growth of individual seedlings (Figures 4–6, Tables 3 and 4). The estimation of time series \( Ap-c \) and \( Ap-t \), every 0.5 h based on the RGB images, revealed the relative individual changes over time, affected by varied PPFDs and the nutrients or water (Figure 4, Table 3). Figure 4 and Table 3 demonstrate that the initial \( Ap-c \) of 72 individuals varied over time in response to the surrounding microenvironment. With water, \( Ap-c \) remained comparatively constant even after the emergence of \( Ap-t \), regardless of the PPFD (Figure 4). In contrast, \( Ap-c \) with nutrients resulted in a continued relative increase throughout the experiment, even during the expansion of \( Ap-t \) (Figure 4). With the nutrients, \( Ap-c \) at 216 HAS was the largest with Nutrients-H, and the smallest with Nutrients-L (Table 3).

Furthermore, Figure 4 demonstrates the time series movements of cotyledons and true leaves, including the changes in leaning or direction, in addition to the relative growth. As shown in Figure 4, each time-course \( Ap-c \) fluctuated more with the nutrients than with water. Indeed, the value of \( Ap-c \) varies depending on the timing of the movement of plants. However, even with the maximum \( Ap-c \) over 216 h, the result showed that the measured value, \( Act \), was greater than \( Ap-c \) at 216 HAS, particularly with the nutrients (Figure 5a). Interestingly, the difference between \( Ap-c \) and \( Act \) was caused by slightly curled up cotyledons or true leaves, and the changes in those leanings (Figure 2b). When comparing \( Ap-c \) and \( Act \) at a specific moment, the ratio between \( Ap-c \) and \( Act \) at 216 HAS did not impact \( Wd \) of individual seedlings, but only suggested a significant difference in \( Wd \) affected by the difference in the nutrients and water (Figure 5b).

As shown in Figure 6 and Table 4, \( Wd \) was two-fold greater with nutrients than with water, resulting in a larger \( Wd \) with Nutrients-H. Although \( Wd \) was the largest with Nutrients-H and the smallest with Nutrients-L, it showed variations in \( Wd \), especially with nutrients in the larger PPFD (Figure 6, Table 4). In this respect, the coefficient of determination was small, both with the nutrients and water, i.e., 0.363 and 0.194, respectively (Figure 6). Furthermore, Table 4 shows a greater coefficient of variation in \( Wd \) with higher PPFD with nutrients (Nutrients-H 0.208, Nutrients-M 0.169, and Nutrients-L 0.095 for \( Wd \)), along with \( Wf, Ac, At, Act \), and, to a lesser extent, \( LAR \).

We acknowledge that this study has some limitations. First, a single RBG camera covered one tray to obtain the projected images; the viewing angle was not adjusted before estimating \( Ap-c \) or \( Ap-t \). The growth variations were identified together with the actual measurements at 216 HAS (Figures 4 and 6, Table 4). In future studies, the image capturing method can be improved in parallel with evaluating the light environment, which enables the estimation of time series leaf areas, leaf angles, and integrated photosynthetic photons received by individual leaves.

Second, there were limitations in estimating \( Hc \) based on RGB images. In practice, there were several obstacles in determining \( Hc \), also in association with estimating \( Ap-c \) and \( Ap-t \); seed coats remained attached to the cotyledon for some seedlings. Similar to the case of overlapping leaves, these issues will be resolved in future studies by improving the phenotyping system and applying automated determination of \( Hc \), \( Ap-c \), \( Ap-t \), and \( Ap-ct \) by incorporating artificial intelligence (AI), along with analyzing the effects of additional microenvironment and management factors on the phenotype of individual plants.

In this study, by estimating \( Hc \) and time series \( Ap-c \), \( Ap-t \), and \( Ap-ct \), in concurrence with the individual measurements of \( Ac, At, Act, Wd, \) and \( Wf \), the variations in seedling growth were identified even under a similar microenvironment, including PPFD at individual seedlings and nutrients or water. Furthermore, the results demonstrated larger growth variations in the seedlings with higher relative growth with nutrients and larger PPFD. This may have been caused by the differences in seed lot with the same variety and genotypes, or by other microenvironmental effects, among other factors. In PFALs, where plant growth can be accelerated by environmental control, it is crucial to consider...
the effects of the microenvironment and uniformity of plant phenotype or growth. Based on the plant cohort research, selection of seedlings for grading and breeding along with plant production in PFALs may enable uniformity of plant phenotype or growth and high productivity in commercial plant production, in view of simplified interactions of plant phenotypes with the microenvironment, management, and genotype for the life cycle of the plant.

5. Conclusions

In this study, experiments on the initial stage of seedlings, which strongly affect plant productivity, were conducted to analyze how the surrounding microenvironment of PPFDs and nutrients affects the variations in the growth of individual seedlings as part of research into the entire plant life cycle. A modular plant phenotype measurement system for seedling production was developed, which was designed with the high priority of installing cameras and sensors with low cost and compactness, emphasizing the system’s practicality and scalability in commercial plant production in PFALs.

Using time series RGB images, cotyledon unfolding time and the time series projected area of cotyledons and true leaves of individual seedlings were obtained. In agreement with the actual individual measurements, variations in seedling growth were identified even under similar microenvironments. Furthermore, the results demonstrated larger variations in seedlings with higher relative growth.

With a view to simplifying interactions of plant phenotypes with the microenvironment, management, and genotype for the life cycle of the individual plant, selection of seedlings for grading and breeding, along with plant production in PFALs, may enable uniformity of plant phenotype or growth and higher productivity in PFALs.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.H., T.K. and T.M.; methodology, E.H., Y.A. and T.K.; validation, E.H. and Y.A.; formal analysis, E.H., Y.A. and M.J.; investigation, E.H. and Y.A.; data curation, Y.A.; writing—original draft preparation, E.H.; writing—review and editing, E.H., Y.A., T.K., T.M., A.N., S.T. and M.J.; visualization, E.H. and Y.A.; supervision, E.H. and M.J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available in the paper.

Acknowledgments: The authors express their deep appreciation to Yoshiko Komaba, Na Lu, Osamu Nunomura, and Shin Watanabe for their technical support for the experimental setup and experiments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Goto, E. Plant production in a closed plant factory with artificial lighting. Acta Hortic. 2012, 956, 37–49. [CrossRef]
2. Kozai, T. Resource use efficiency of closed plant production system with artificial light: Concept, estimation and application to plant factory. Jpn. Acad Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci. 2013, 89, 447–461. [CrossRef]
3. Leong, R.; Urano, D. Molecular Breeding for Plant Factory: Strategies and Technology. In Smart Plant Factory: The Next Generation Indoor Vertical Farms; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2018; pp. 301–323. [CrossRef]
4. Kozai, T.; Amagai, Y.; Hayashi, E. Towards sustainable plant factories with artificial lighting (PFALs): From greenhouses to vertical farms. In Achieving Sustainable Greenhouse Cultivation; Marcelis, L., Heuvelink, E., Eds.; Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2019; pp. 177–204.
5. Hayashi, E.; Amagai, Y.; Maruo, T.; Kozai, T. Phenotypic Analysis of Germination Time of Individual Seeds Affected by Microenvironment and Management Factors for Cohort Research in Plant Factory. Agronomy 2020, 10, 1680. [CrossRef]
6. Kozai, T.; Hayashi, E.; Amagai, Y. Plant factories with artificial lighting (PFALs) toward sustainable plant production. Acta Hortic. 2020, 1273, 251–260. [CrossRef]
7. Ohyama, K.; Yamaguchi, J.; Enjoji, A. Resource Utilization Efficiencies in a Closed System with Artificial Lighting during Continuous Lettuce Production. Agronomy 2020, 10, 723. [CrossRef]
8. Orsini, F.; Pennisi, G.; Zulfiqar, F.; Gianquinto, G. Sustainable use of resources in plant factories with artificial lighting (PFALs). Eur. J. Hortic. Sci. 2020, 85, 297–309. [CrossRef]
9. SharathKumar, M.; Heuvelink, E.; Marcelis, L.F. Vertical farming: Moving from genetic to environmental modification. Trends Plant Sci. 2020, 25, 724–727. [CrossRef]
10. van Delden, S.; SharathKumar, M.; Butturini, M.; Graamans, L.; Heuvelink, E.; Kacira, M.; Kaiser, E.; Klamer, R.; Klerkx, L.; Kooistra, G. Current status and future challenges in implementing and upscaling vertical farming systems. Nat. Food 2021, 2, 944–956. [CrossRef]

11. Kozai, T. Benefits, problems and challenges of plant factories with artificial lighting (PFALs): A short review. Acta Hortic. 2018, 1227, 25–30. [CrossRef]

12. Kozai, T.; Uraisami, K.; Kai, T.; Hayashi, E. Indexes, definition, equation and comments on productivity of plant factory with artificial lighting. Nippon Oyo Gaku Engei 2019, 94, 661–672. (In Japanese)

13. Kozai, T.; Uraisami, K.; Kai, T.; Hayashi, E. Productivity: Definition and application. In Plant Factory Basics, Applications and Advances; Kozai, T., Niu, G., Masabni, J., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 197–216. [CrossRef]

14. Kozai, T.; Lu, N.; Hasegawa, R.; Nunomura, O.; Nozaki, T.; Amagai, Y.; Hayashi, E. Plant Cohort Research and Its Application. In Smart Plant Factory: The Next Generation Indoor Vertical Farms; Kozai, T., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2018; pp. 413–431. [CrossRef]

15. Nagano, S.; Moriyuki, S.; Wakamori, K.; Mineno, H.; Fukuda, H. Leaf-movement-based growth prediction model using optical flow analysis and machine learning in plant factory. Front. Plant Sci. 2019, 10, 227. [CrossRef]

16. Akiyama, T.; Kozai, T. Light Environment in the Cultivation Space of Plant Factory with LEDs. In LED Lighting for Urban Agriculture; Kozai, T., Fujiwara, K., Runkle, E., Eds.; Springer Nature: Singapore, 2016; pp. 91–109. [CrossRef]

17. Kitajima, K. Impact of cotyledon and leaf removal on seedling survival in three tree species with contrasting cotyledon functions. 1.

18. Saito, K.; Ishigami, Y.; Goto, E. Evaluation of the Light Environment of a Plant Factory with Artificial Light by Using an Optical Simulation. Agronomy 2020, 10, 1545. [CrossRef]

19. Saito, K.; Ishigami, Y.; Goto, E. Evaluation of the Light Environment of a Plant Factory with Artificial Light by Using an Optical Simulation. Agronomy 2020, 10, 1663. [CrossRef]

20. Fiorani, F.; Schurr, U. Future scenarios for plant phenotyping. Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol. 2013, 64, 267–291. [CrossRef]

21. Golbach, F.; Kootstra, G.; Damjanovic, S.; Otten, G.; van de Zedde, R. Validation of plant part measurements using a 3D reconstruction method suitable for high-throughput seedling phenotyping. Mach. Vis. Appl. 2016, 27, 663–680. [CrossRef]

22. Guo, W. Automated characterization of plant growth and flowering dynamics using RGB images. In Smart Plant Factory: The Next Generation Indoor Vertical Farms; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2018; pp. 385–393. [CrossRef]

23. Shibata, T.; Iwao, K.; Takano, T. Growth prediction of lettuce plants by image processing. Acta Hortic. 1992, 319, 689–694. [CrossRef]

24. Giacomelli, G.A.; Ling, P.P.; Morden, R.E. An automated plant monitoring system designed for rapid optical phenotyping of different plant species. New Phytol. 2018, 219, 880–890. [CrossRef]

25. Walter, A.; Scharr, H.; Gilmer, F.; Zierer, R.; Nagel, K.A.; Ernst, M.; Wiese, A.; Virnich, O.; Christ, M.M.; Uhlig, B. Dynamics of leaf-movement-based growth prediction model using optical flow analysis and machine learning in plant factory. Front. Plant Sci. 2019, 10, 227. [CrossRef]

26. Franchetti, B.; Ntouskos, V.; Giuliani, P.; Herman, T.; Barnes, L.; Pirri, F. Vision based modeling of plants phenotyping in vertical farming under artificial lighting. New Phytol. 2019, 223, 567–580. [CrossRef]

27. Santos, H.P.; Buckeridge, M.S. The role of the storage carbon of cotyledons in the establishment of seedlings of Hymenaea courbaril under different light conditions. Aust. J. Crop. Sci. 2011, 5, 702–708. [CrossRef]

28. van Delden, S.; SharathKumar, M.; Butturini, M.; Graamans, L.; Heuvelink, E.; Kacira, M.; Kaiser, E.; Klamer, R.; Klerkx, L.; Kooistra, G. Current status and future challenges in implementing and upscaling vertical farming systems. Nat. Food 2021, 2, 944–956. [CrossRef]

29. Kitajima, K. Impact of cotyledon and leaf removal on seedling survival in three tree species with contrasting cotyledon functions. 1. Biotropica 2003, 35, 429–434. [CrossRef]

30. Hanley, M.E.; May, O.C. Cotyledon damage at the seedling stage affects growth and flowering potential in mature plants. New Phytol. 2006, 169, 243–250. [CrossRef][PubMed]

31. Zheng, W.; Wang, P.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, D. Photosynthetic Characteristics of the Cotyledon and First True Leaf of Castor (Ricinus communis L.). Acta Hortic. 2016, 1179, 47–52. [CrossRef]

32. Walter, A.; Scharr, H.; Gilmer, F.; Zierer, R.; Nagel, K.A.; Ernst, M.; Wiese, A.; Virnich, O.; Christ, M.M.; Uhlig, B. Dynamics of seedling growth acclimation towards altered light conditions can be quantified via GROWSCREEN: A setup and procedure designed for rapid optical phenotyping of different plant species. New Phytol. 2007, 174, 447–455. [CrossRef][PubMed]

33. Darwin, C.; Darwin, F. The Power of Movement in Plants, 1880.

34. Santos, H.P.; Buckeridge, M.S. The role of the storage carbon of cotyledons in the establishment of seedlings of Hymenaea courbaril under different light conditions. Aust. J. Bot. 2008, 56, 39–48. [CrossRef]

35. Darwin, C.; Darwin, F. The Power of Movement in Plants; John Murray: London, UK, 1880.

36. Hoshi, T.; Takiguchi, T. Continuous Measurement of Movement in Spinach Leaves Using Video Cameras. J. Agric. Meteorol. 1995, 51, 123–130. (In Japanese) [CrossRef]

37. Hanley, M.E.; May, O.C. Cotyledon damage at the seedling stage affects growth and flowering potential in mature plants. New Phytol. 2006, 169, 243–250. [CrossRef][PubMed]

38. Hoshi, T.; Takiguchi, T. Continuous Measurement of Movement in Spinach Leaves Using Video Cameras. J. Agric. Meteorol. 1995, 51, 123–130. (In Japanese) [CrossRef]
39. Hasegawa, R. Data warehouse for plant phenotyping in plant factories. In Proceedings of the Japanese Society of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Engineers and Scientists (JSABEES), Tokyo University of Ag. & Tech., Tokyo, Japan, 18–21 September 2018; pp. 224–225.

40. Schneider, C.A.; Rasband, W.S.; Eliceiri, K.W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **2012**, *9*, 671–675. [CrossRef]

41. Maruo, T.; Ito, T.; Ishii, S. Studies on the feasible management of nutrient solution in hydroponically grown lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Tech. Bull. Fac. Hortic. Chiba Univ.* **1992**, *46*, 235–240.