Inferring Tissue-Specific, TLR4-Dependent Type 17 Immune Interactions in Experimental Trauma/Hemorrhagic Shock and Resuscitation Using Computational Modeling
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Trauma/hemorrhagic shock followed by resuscitation (T/HS-R) results in multi-system inflammation and organ dysfunction, in part driven by binding of damage-associated molecular pattern molecules to Toll-like Receptor 4 (TLR4). We carried out experimental T/HS-R (pseudo-fracture plus 2 h of shock followed by 0-22 h of resuscitation) in C57BL/6 (wild type [WT]) and TLR4-null (TLR4-/-) mice, and then defined the dynamics of 20 protein-level inflammatory mediators in the heart, gut, lung, liver, spleen, kidney, and systemic circulation. Cross-correlation and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on data from the 7 tissues sampled suggested that TLR4-/- samples express multiple inflammatory mediators in a small subset of tissue compartments as compared to the WT samples, in which many inflammatory mediators were localized non-specifically to nearly all compartments. We and others have previously defined a central role for type 17 immune cells in human trauma. Accordingly, correlations between IL-17A and GM-CSF (indicative of pathogenic Th17 cells); between IL-17A and IL-10 (indicative of non-pathogenic Th17 cells); and IL-17A and TNF (indicative of memory/effector T cells) were assessed across all tissues studied. In both WT and TLR4-/- mice, positive correlations were observed between IL-17A and GM-CSF, IL-10, and TNF in the kidney and gut. In contrast, the variable and dynamic presence of both pathogenic and non-pathogenic Th17 cells was inferred in the systemic circulation of TLR4-/- mice over time, suggesting a role for TLR4 in efflux of these cells into peripheral tissues. Hypergraph analysis – used to define dynamic, cross compartment networks – in concert with PCA-suggested that IL-17A was present persistently in all tissues at all sampled time points except for its absence in the plasma at 0.5h in the WT group, supporting the hypothesis that T/HS-R induces efflux of Th17 cells from the circulation and into specific tissues. These analyses suggest a complex, context-specific role for TLR4 and type 17 immunity following T/HS-R.
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INTRODUCTION

Trauma, which often co-occurs with severe hemorrhage (1), is one of the leading causes of death and disability worldwide (2). Patients that survive their initial injuries and hypovolemic shock often undergo organ dysfunction that is associated with, and likely driven by, multi-focal inflammation (3, 4). In the context of human trauma, it is often difficult to discern the dynamic flow of inflammation across tissues and organs, with the systemic circulation being the main compartment that is amenable to interrogation. Despite this limitation, an extensive body of literature has documented the impact of trauma/hemorrhage on immune cells (5, 6), inflammatory mediators (7–9), and a large array of cell-derived proteins and metabolites (10, 11) in the systemic circulation. Among a multitude of findings, these studies have suggested a potential role for type 17 immune responses following traumatic injury (7, 12, 13).

A key, unanswered question remains that of how trauma/hemorrhage impact immuno-inflammatory responses in various organs and the systemic circulation. We have recently begun to address this question in the simpler context of experimental endotoxemia in mice, utilizing computational methods aimed at defining the temporal hierarchy of the cross-tissue progression of inflammation, dynamic networks of inflammation, and the hallmarks of pathological systemic spillover of inflammation (14, 15). These studies also implicated type 17 immune responses in the spatiotemporal elaboration of inflammation (15).

The inflammatory response to both traumatic injury and sepsis/endotoxemia involves Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR4). In the context of sepsis, TLR4 transduces signals from pathogen-derived molecular pattern (PAMP) molecules such as endotoxin/lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (16), whereas in the setting of trauma TLR4 mediates signals from damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) molecules such as high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB1) (17). Our prior studies on modeling the spatiotemporal dynamics of LPS-induced inflammation suggested distinct, tissue- and time-specific differences in wild type (WT) C57BL/6 mice as compared to TLR4-deficient (TLR4−/−) mice (14, 15).

In the present study, our goal was to interrogate the spatiotemporal dynamics of inflammation in the context of experimental trauma/hemorrhagic shock, and the impact of resuscitation on these dynamics. We also sought to define the impact of TLR4 deficiency. Our prior data-driven modeling studies in both experimental (18) and clinical (12, 19–21) settings of trauma/hemorrhage leveraged Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (7, 18, 22), cross-correlation analysis (14), and dynamic network discovery algorithms (4, 8, 15). Here, we utilized PCA along with an emerging multi-dimensional network analysis approach known as hypergraphs (23–26) to define novel DAMP/TLR interactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Model of T/HS-R in Mice

All procedures involving animals complied with the regulations regarding the care and use of experimental animals published by the National Institutes of Health and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pittsburgh. Male TLR4+/+ C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). TLR4-null (TLR4−/−) mice were bred at the University of Pittsburgh animal facility on a C57BL/6 background (27). Animals were allowed access to rodent chow and water ad libitum and used at the age of 8-12 weeks. Both wild type (WT) and TLR4−/− mice were randomly assigned to one of three experimental groups: Control (animals were sacrificed directly after anesthesia to obtain physiological baseline levels, n=4-5), HS (animals were subjected to pseudo-fracture followed by pressure controlled hemorrhagic shock, n=4), and HS/R (animals were subjected to pseudo-fracture and hemorrhagic shock followed by 30 min, 1h, 4h, and 22h resuscitation, n=4 each), as previously described (28). At different time-points, the animals were anesthetized with isoflurane (0.25-2% as needed), cardiac puncture was performed, blood was collected into heparinized tubes, and then centrifuged to obtain plasma; the mice were then euthanized by cervical dislocation while under anesthesia. Mice were then perfused with ice-cold PBS followed by RNAlater™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), which we have previously shown to be a preservation method compatible with Luminex™ analysis and equivalent to flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen (29). A small section (approx. 100 mg) of each tissue (liver [left lobe], heart, gut [terminal ileum], lung [left lobe], spleen, and kidney [left]) was collected and stored at -80°C until analysis. Total protein isolation and determination was done as described previously (30).

Assay of Inflammatory Mediators

Mouse inflammatory mediators were measured using a Luminex™ 100 IS apparatus (Luminex, Austin, TX) and the 20-plex mouse cytokine bead kit (MCYTO-70K-20, Millipore, Burlington, MA) as per manufacturer’s specifications. The antibody bead kit included: Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF), Interferon-γ (IFN-γ), Interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12p40, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-17A, Interferon-γ-inducible Protein 10 (IP-10/CXCL10), Keratinocyte-derived Cytokine (KC/CXCL1), Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2), Monokine induced by Interferon-γ (MIG/CXCL9), Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1α (MIP-1α/CCL3), Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF), and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF). The final mediator concentrations were expressed in pg/ml for plasma samples, and in pg/mg total protein for tissue samples. Experimental data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Statistical and Computational Analyses

Two-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was carried out to analyze the time-dependent changes in inflammatory mediators in C57BL/6 (wild type, WT) vs. TLR4−/− mice in all organs as well as in plasma, using SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA).

Heatmaps and Spearman’s correlation carried out to measure the strength of the association between the Luminex™ data for two different mediators were generated using MetaboAnalyst (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca) (31, 32).
**Principal component analysis** (PCA) was carried out to identify the inflammatory mediators that contributed the most to the overall variance of the response in all organs as well as in plasma of both wild type and TLR4/-/- mice with and without HS and HS/R as described above. The algorithm employed was implemented using MATLAB® software (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) and has been reported previously (18).

**Hypergraphs** are a computational tool to model how inflammatory mediators move between tissues across time. This form of network analysis can be used to represent edges that connect two or more vertices (23–26). Here, we created a set of hypergraphs to model the inflammatory mediators sampled in the WT and TLR4/-/- experimental groups described above. We designated inflammatory mediators as edges and tissue compartments as nodes. As such, we utilized the following nodes/tissue compartments: liver, spleen, gut, lung, kidney, heart, and plasma. A hypergraph was created for each time point at which a tissue sample was drawn (CTRL, HS, HS/R at 0.5h, 1h, 4h, and 22h). An edge is drawn around one or more nodes when the concentration of the cytokine in the tissue/plasma is > 0. The resultant hypergraphs depict which inflammatory mediators are located within a given set of tissues at specific time point. Hypergraphs were created using the open-source package HyperNetX (https://github.com/pnnl/HyperNetX) (33).

To add quantitative analysis to the hypergraph visualizations, we utilized s-betweenness centrality and edge distribution to characterize network complexity. S-betweenness centrality is a measure of the number of times an edge lies on the shortest path between other edges. In essence, s-betweenness centrality is a measure of the extent to which an edge, or inflammatory mediator, acts as a bridge between nodes, or tissue compartments. Here, we focused on 1-betweenness centrality which captures edges that connect one or more nodes. The second quantitative metric used was edge distribution, which simply tallies the number of edges that surround 1, 2, and 3 nodes, respectively. Such a metric quantifies the extent to which inflammatory mediators are grossly disseminated throughout the body.

**RESULTS**

**Differential Dynamic Expression of Inflammatory Mediators in Multiple Tissues of WT vs. TLR4/-/- Mice**

We first performed Spearman Rank correlation analysis using all experimental data of WT vs. TLR4/-/- mice to visualize differences between WT and TLR4/-/- mice at baseline (Control), in response to hemorrhagic shock, and following resuscitation. This analysis suggested a generally more robust inflammatory response in WT as compared to TLR4/-/- mice (Figure 1A). To compare inflammatory profiles of WT vs. TLR4/-/- mice for the three experimental conditions (Ctrl, HS, and HS/R), we generated 3 individual heatmaps using the average concentration values for each mediator in each group (Figure 1B). This analysis suggested that 1) the main tissues in which inflammation evolved following T/HS-R in both WT and TLR4/-/- were the gut > kidney > liver > lung, and, to a lesser degree, the systemic circulation; 2) that in both WT and TLR4/-/- mice HS resulted in a major impact on the gut, which persisted in WT mice following resuscitation but that was greatly reduced in TLR4/-/- mice; and 3) that baseline inflammatory responses in the kidney were reduced following hemorrhage and further reduced following resuscitation in WT mice, while decreasing following hemorrhage but rising substantially following resuscitation in TLR4/-/- mice. This analysis pointed to a complex, context-specific role for TLR4 following T-HS/R.

To determine the impact TLR4 status over time, we next carried out Two-Way ANOVA (Supplementary Figure 1). Comparison of time-courses (wild type vs. TLR4-/-) showed statistically significant changes in several inflammatory mediators (n) as follows: spleen (n=7 [GM-CSF, IL-1α, IL-5, IL-10, IP-10, KC, MIG]), kidney (n=6 [IL-1α, IL-4, IL-5, IL-12p70, KC, MIP-1α]), plasma (n=5 [KC, MCP-1, MIG, MIP-1α, TNFα]), heart (GM-CSF), liver (KC), lung (IL-4), and gut (IL-10). Notably, the absence of TLR4 was not associated simply with reduced levels of inflammatory mediators; rather, we observed complex dynamics in which certain mediators were higher in WT or in TLR4/-/- mice in certain tissues at certain time points (Supplementary Figure 1).

**Hypergraph Analysis Defines Distinct Multi-Organ Inflammation in WT vs. TLR4/-/- Mice**

We have reported previously that the expression of TLR4 impacts dynamic networks of inflammation induced by LPS in mice (14, 15). We therefore hypothesized that the expression of TLR4 impacts the cross-compartment spread of inflammation, and thus compared the dynamic evolution of inflammation in WT and TLR4/-/- mice following T/HS-R. While dynamic network inference algorithms such as Dynamic Network Analysis (18) and Dynamic Bayesian Network (DyBN) inference (20) have both proven useful in identifying novel features of systemic inflammation following trauma/hemorrhage, as well as for defining cross-tissue interactions in other context of inflammation (34), these methods are not designed explicitly for multi-dimensional analysis. Emerging hypergraph methods (23–26) hold the potential for this type of analysis, and therefore we carried out a hypergraph analysis of the multi-tissue dataset at each time point (Figures 2–7). Prior to injury, despite overall low levels of inflammatory mediators in general, WT mice (Figure 2A) expressed a variety of cytokines and chemokines in fewer organs with more mediators present in the systemic circulation vs. TLR4/-/- mice (Figure 2B), suggesting that TLR4 deficiency mitigated an inherent tendency for pathological systemic inflammation in C57BL/6 mice. Notably, after 2h of hemorrhagic shock, overall tissue distribution of inflammatory mediators was fairly similar in WT and TLR4/-/- mice (Figure 3). This overall compartmental similarity of inflammation between WT and TLR4/-/- mice was preserved following resuscitation at 0.5h (Figure 4), 1h (Figure 5), and
4h (Figure 6). By 22h following resuscitation, the qualitative pattern of tissue distribution of inflammatory mediators began to resemble that observed prior to the induction of trauma/hemorrhage (Figure 7).

Taken together, these results suggest that TLR4 affects baseline inflammatory responses across multiple organs and the systemic circulation. This difference is blurred following severe stress, since inflammation triggered by trauma/hemorrhage and initially following resuscitation was at least qualitatively similar across multiple organs and the systemic circulation in both WT and TLR4−/− mice. By approximately 24h following resuscitated shock, the respective mouse strains appeared to recover toward their original, genetically encoded state.

Despite the overall qualitative similarity, there were different groupings of inflammatory mediators in distinct organ clusters at each time point in WT vs. TLR4−/− mice (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting organ-specific roles for TLR4 in regulating post T/HS-R inflammation. Notably, IL-17A was present persistently in all tissues at all sampled time points except for its absence in the systemic circulation following 0.5h of resuscitation in the WT group. Interestingly, TNF was found in all tissues in TLR4−/− mice from 0-4h following resuscitation, but was no longer expressed in the liver, lung, and heart by 22h.

**Principal Component Analysis Defines Key Inflammatory Mediators at Baseline and Following Hemorrhagic Shock and Resuscitation in WT and TLR4−/− Mice**

We next utilized Principal Component Analysis (70% variance) to better define the principal mediators associated with inflammation at baseline, following hemorrhage, and over time post-resuscitation in WT and TLR4−/− mice. At baseline, inflammation in WT mice was characterized by 4 principal
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components involving GM-CSF, IL-12p40, and IL-10 among a multitude of other mediators (Figure 2C), while in TLR4−/− mice this response was characterized by 2 principal components and IFN-γ and IL-1β along with multiple other mediators. This supports the concept that WT and TLR4−/− differ in the baseline inflammatory propensities. Following hemorrhagic shock, inflammation in WT mice was characterized by 4 principal components involving IL-17A, IL-6, and IL-12p70 among a multitude of other mediators (Figure 3C), while in TLR4−/− mice this response was also characterized by 4 principal components and the mediators IL-12p70, IL-5, and MIP-1α among others (Figure 3D). This supports the hypothesis that stress in the form of hemorrhagic shock blurs some of the baseline differences between WT and TLR4−/− mice.

The relative similarity between WT and TLR4−/− persisted following resuscitation for 0.5-4h (compare Figures 4C vs 4D, 5C vs 5C, and 6C vs 6C, respectively). By 22h post-resuscitation, inflammation in WT mice was characterized by 5 principal components involving IL-13, IFN-γ, and IL-17A among others (Figure 7C), while in TLR4−/− mice this response was also characterized by 3 principal components and the mediators IFN-γ and IL-12p70 among others (Figure 7D), suggesting a return toward baseline inter-strain differences in inflammation.

Taken together, these analyses support the conclusion that TLR4 affects baseline inflammatory responses across multiple compartments, that these differences are blurred somewhat following hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation, and that, with time, these baseline differences re-emerge. Furthermore, the predominance of IL-17A suggests an important role for type 17/type 3 immunity, while the presence of IL-12p40/p70 suggests a role for dendritic cells.

**Hypergraph Metrics Yield Insights Into Cross-Compartment Inflammation Following Hemorrhagic Shock and Resuscitation in WT and TLR4−/− Mice**

We next sought to better define the compartment-specific role of TLR4 in modulating the spread of inflammation following T/HSS-R. Hypergraph Edge Distribution analysis suggested that WT mice had a more robust trans-compartmental inflammatory...
response at baseline, prior to T/HS-R, as compared to TLR4^{-/-} mice (Figure 8). After hemorrhage and prior to resuscitation, WT mice exhibited a decrease in the number of inflammatory mediators there were present in all 7 tissue compartments. By t=1h after resuscitation, the WT group began to express inflammatory mediators in specific tissue compartments as indicated by the bars in the graph, spanning an edge size from 1 to 7. By 22h of resuscitation, the WT group exhibited a generally more limited edge distribution ranging from 6-7 (Figure 8A).

In contrast, at pre-hemorrhage baseline, TLR4^{-/-} mice expressed distinct subsets of inflammatory mediators in defined tissue compartments compared to the WT group (Figure 8B). The tissue-specific inflammatory responses of TLR4^{-/-} mice to hemorrhage alone were fairly similar to those of WT mice. After resuscitation, TLR4^{-/-} mice exhibited the greatest trans-compartmental inflammation at t = 0.5h and t = 4h, with edge distributions spanning the range of 1-7. By 22h of resuscitation, the edge distribution of TLR4^{-/-} mice was similar to the edge distribution of these mice at baseline, suggesting resolution of cross-compartment inflammation (Figure 8B).

As detailed in Supplementary Table 1, this homology indicates a similar trans-compartmental distribution of inflammatory mediators in the WT and TLR4^{-/-}. Following hemorrhagic shock as well as at 4h and 22h post-resuscitation, the most apparent difference between WT and TLR4^{-/-} mice was that the TLR4^{-/-} mice expressed IL-5 in the spleen, lung, and gut, while WT mice do not. Overall, WT mice expressed a greater number of inflammatory mediators across all compartments and all time points, causing the deceptively different appearing hypergraph structure. However, the graph structures are more similar than different and only differ by more than 50% of the mediators (10/20 inflammatory mediators) in any compartment at baseline.

S-Betweenness centrality, an indicator of the strength by which nodes are connected by a given edge (33) – and inferred as the degree to which inflammation is coordinated – was the greatest at 1h of resuscitation in WT mice. In contrast, S-betweenness centrality was greatest at baseline (Ctrl) in TLR4^{-/-} mice (Figure 8C). This result suggests that there may have been a more coordinated inflammatory response to T-HS/R in WT mice, but not so in TLR4^{-/-} mice. In aggregate, our analyses
suggest that TLR4−/− mice tend to display an inflammatory response that is more diffusely distributed across multiple tissues as compared to WT mice, which display a more restricted tissue distribution pattern of inflammatory mediators.

**Inferred Differential Th17 Immune Dynamics in WT vs. TLR4−/− Mice**

The cross-correlation and hypergraph analyses pointed to IL-17A in the context of spatiotemporal spread of inflammation following T/HS-R predominantly in WT but also in TLR4−/− mice, in line with our prior studies in trauma patients (7, 12). Interleukin-17A can be produced by a variety of cell types, including Th17 cells, innate lymphoid cells, γδ T cells, and both CD4+ and CD8+ effector/memory T cells (35–37). Kuchroo et al. have described a sub-population of Th17 cells known as pathogenic Th17 cells, which are implicated in driving pathological inflammatory processes. Pathogenic Th17 cells are characterized by the co-expression of IL-17A and GM-CSF; a reciprocal, non-inflammatory Th17 cell subset expresses IL-17A and IL-10 (38). Furthermore, CD4+/CD8+ effector/memory T cells express IL-17A and TNF (39, 40). We have previously utilized Spearman rank correlation analysis of IL-17A vs. GM-CSF, IL-10, or TNF to infer the presence of these three cell subsets (7, 12, 15), with positive and negative correlations being interpreted as increases or decreases, respectively, in these Th17 cell subsets.

We therefore carried out a similar analysis to determine the potential presence of these cells in distinct tissues of WT and TLR4−/− mice. As shown in Table 1, pathogenic Th17 cells were inferred in the heart and kidney of WT mice and in the heart, gut, and kidney of TLR4−/− mice. We observed nearly statistically significant Spearman correlations for pathogenic Th17 cells in the lung and gut of WT mice and in the systemic circulation of TLR4−/− mice. Non-pathogenic Th17 cells were inferred in the heart, gut, and kidney of WT mice and in the heart, liver, gut, kidney, and systemic circulation of TLR4−/− mice (Table 1).

Finally, memory/effector Th17 cells were inferred in the liver, lung, gut, and kidney of WT mice and in the heart, gut, and kidney of TLR4−/− mice (Table 1). Interestingly, we observed a negative correlation for IL-17A and TNF in the spleen of TLR4−/−.
mice, suggesting that there was a reduction of these cells in that organ. We also observed nearly statistically significant Spearman correlations for memory/effector cells in the heart and systemic of WT mice, suggesting a reduction of this cell subset in these compartments.

Taken together, these results suggest that TLR4 impacts distinct populations of tissue-resident and/or tissue-infiltrating, IL-17A-producing cells following resuscitated hemorrhagic shock.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we utilized a novel computational pipeline involving hypergraphs as a means of assessing the dynamic, trans-compartment evolution of inflammation subsequent to traumatic injury and resuscitated hemorrhagic shock. These studies extend prior work from our group in which we defined protein-level dynamic networks and principal drivers of inflammation in both experimental (18) and clinical (7, 12, 19–21, 41–46) settings of T/HS-R, as well as in endotoxemia in mice (14, 15).

The inflammatory response to trauma/hemorrhage involves an important role for TLR4 in transducing pro-inflammatory signals from DAMPs such as HMGB1 (17). This includes a variety of functions ranging from cytokine production and organ damage (47–52); intestinal damage/dysfunction and consequent bacterial translocation (52, 53); and coagulation abnormalities (54). These effects require TLR4 expression on both myeloid and dendritic cells (55), and this may explain the inferred role for the dendritic cell-derived cytokine IL-12 (56) in driving Th1 responses (IFN-γ) in our system. Our results also suggest that there are TLR4-dependent differences in resting/baseline inflammatory responses in various tissues, and that these differences are somewhat blurred following T/HS/R and then recover their distinct characteristics following sufficient time post-injury. We speculate that the baseline differences in tissue expression of inflammatory mediators may be related to the reported stable baseline difference in gut microbiome composition between these two mouse strains (58), since enterocyte TLR4 has been implicated in damage/dysfunction in other organs such as the lung (52). Given that T-HS/R

---

**FIGURE 5** | Hypergraphs and Principal Component Analysis of inflammatory mediators from WT and TLR4−/− mice subjected to HS/R (1h). Plasma and tissue samples from heart, lung, liver, gut, spleen, and kidney were collected from WT and TLR4−/− mice subjected to HS/R (1h) and analyzed using Luminex™ as described in Materials and Methods. Panels (A, B) show the hypergraphs and Panels (C, D) show the PCA results (70% variance) in WT and TLR4−/− mice, respectively.
induces a “genomic storm” (59) and extensive reprogramming of multiple aspects of physiology (11), it is perhaps not surprising that differences between WT and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice are blurred following injury.

Another key conclusion from our analyses is that TLR4 plays a complex role in regulating distinct inflammatory pathways in different organs/tissues, and this complexity and context dependence may have relevance for critical illness. Specifically, our experimental and computational studies suggest that there is a baseline difference in tissue distribution of multiple cytokines and chemokines between WT and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice; that surgical cannulation followed by hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation for 0.5-4h tends to reduce those differences; and that the baseline differences between WT and TLR4⁻/⁻ re-appear by 22h following resuscitation from hemorrhagic shock. Key organs impacted during this complex process appear to be the gut, kidney, liver, and lung; the plasma (systemic circulation) manifested less dramatic differences. The gut has long been implicated as a central driver of inflammatory responses and multiple organ dysfunction following trauma/hemorrhage (60).

Impact of Hemorrhage, Resuscitation, and TLR4 on IL-17A-Related Pathways

Toll-like receptor 4-expressing cells may impact the response to T-HS/R via IL-17A, since IL-17A was present persistently at multiple time points across most tissues assessed. Indeed, HMGB1/TLR4-dependent pathways induced subsequent to T-HS/R are tightly intertwined with type 17 (or type 3) immunity. Early studies have suggested that T-HS/R induces neutrophil efflux from the bone marrow via IL-17A driven by HMGB1-mediated induction of IL-23 in a TLR4-dependent manner (61). This is likely a broadly conserved inflammatory axis that is not unique to trauma/hemorrhage, since IL-17A production in the context of tuberculosis (62), arthritis (63), and acetaminophen-induced liver inflammation (64). Notably, adenoviral transduction of IL-17A alone was sufficient to induce multi-tissue inflammation, and this required TLR4 (65). The inferred role for IL-12p40/p70 may also implicate Th17 responses (66). It is tempting to speculate that some of these intertwined effects of TLR4 and type 17 immunity ultimately manifest in the clinical outcomes of trauma patients (7, 12, 13).

FIGURE 6 | Hypergraphs and Principal Component Analysis of inflammatory mediators from WT and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice subjected to HS/R (4h). Plasma and tissue samples from heart, lung, liver, gut, spleen, and kidney were collected from WT and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice subjected to HS/R (4h) and analyzed using Luminex™ as described in Materials and Methods. Panels (A, B) show the hypergraphs and Panels (C, D) show the PCA results (70% variance) in WT and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, respectively.
The finding that IL-5 is present in spleen, lung, and gut of TLR4−/− mice is intriguing in that it suggests a potential role for another IL-17A-producing cell type, namely innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) (67). We have recently reported on a novel pathway of inflammation following T/HS-R in WT mice in which IL-33 induces ILC2 activation in the lung, which in turn induces further IL-5 expression by CXCR2+ lung neutrophils and drives early lung injury (68). Our current results suggest the paradoxical possibility that TLR4 deficiency might exacerbate this lung injury; further studies are needed to test this hypothesis.

We also observed TLR4-dependent changes in the post-T/HS-R inflammation in the heart, kidney, liver, lung, TLR4−/− mice exhibited elevated inflammation in the heart following resuscitation, while WT mice had little inflammation at baseline, post-hemorrhage, or following resuscitation in this organ. Renal, hepatic, pulmonary inflammatory responses were elevated at baseline in both mouse strains but diverged following hemorrhagic shock and inflammation. Notably, splenic inflammatory responses were overall low across all treatment groups, and we did not observe any important differences as a function of TLR4.

An important context for the work described herein is the definition of C57BL/6 as a “wild type” strain when comparing to TLR4−/− mice. Notably, the C57BL/6 mouse strain exhibits Th1- (69) and M1- (70) dominant immune responses and has been utilized extensively in studies of experimental T/HS-R (71). Our prior studies suggest that the inflammatory over-responsiveness of this mouse strain – and especially IL-17A-related responses – may reflect the biology of trauma patients that exhibit overly robust, self-sustaining inflammation associated with sub-acute mortality (12) and other adverse clinical outcomes (7). As such, TLR4−/− mice (or other mouse strains that are not explicitly Th1-dominant) may better reflect the responses of trauma survivors. Further comparative studies are needed to address this question.

**Novel Insights Into Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Inflammation From Hypergraph Analysis**

Our prior work was aimed at defining networks of individual inflammatory mediators interacting over time within a given tissue, as well as principal characteristics/drivers of these responses. Here, we utilized hypergraphs to extend prior work...
defining how mediators interact across tissues over time (34). Hypergraphs provide several advantages when visualizing and interpreting multi-compartment data, such as that examined in this study. On a basic level, hypergraphs provide a method to visualize inflammatory mediators and their localization to specific tissue compartments across each time point. These visualizations can be simplified to elucidate differences between the localization of key inflammatory mediators at distinct time points between experimental conditions. In conjunction with computational and cross-correlation analyses, hypergraphs provide a spatial map upon which inference on how mediators are interacting within and between tissues may influence the spread of trans-compartmental inflammation. A key quantitative metric of hypergraphs, edge distribution, captures the network complexity of inflammation across tissue samples at a given time point. Changes in edge distribution can highlight important changes in inflammation such as the localization of a few inflammatory mediators to just one compartment or the dispersion of nearly all inflammatory mediators to all tissue compartments. In conjunction with PCA and cross-correlational analyses, hypergraphs provide the ultimate spatial framework upon which analyses regarding how and when inflammatory mediators interact with each to modulate inflammation.

LIMITATIONS
There are several limitations of our study. These include the focus on a subset of inflammatory mediators that broadly interrogate inflammation and immunity but are not a comprehensive set [vs. recent studies that have assessed a broader array of molecules (11)], the absence of cell-level data (5, 72) to validate key hypotheses detailed above, and a lack of validation in human trauma/hemorrhage due to the difficulty in accessing the various tissues (other than the systemic circulation) analysed herein. Key among the inflammatory mediators that could not be assessed across tissue compartments is HMGB1, which is typically found within the nucleus but is secreted in settings of cellular stress and damage (73, 74), since this molecule would be released during the process of tissue homogenization. Another important, related limitation concerns the possibility that some of the phenomena we have described are due to the influx of bacteria from the gut and PAMPs, rather than DAMPs. Studies in gnotobiotic mice (75) may be needed to address this question. Another important limitation concerns the lack of tissue-specific deletion of TLR4 as a means for directly testing some of the hypotheses raised by our analyses. Finally, it is important to note that all of the analyses presented in this study...
are based on statistical correlations, and correlation is not causality. We have shown that it is possible to obtain define potential biological mechanisms related to the response to trauma/hemorrhage via a process involving obtaining inflammatory mediator data, carrying out network inference, and then extracting core features into mechanistic computational models that can be interrogated under various conditions to validate and extend the conclusions that can be derived from purely data-driven analyses (76). Future studies will utilize these datasets to carry out this type of iterative, rational process.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, these studies demonstrate multiple novel findings while reinforcing prior conclusions regarding the complex role of TLR4 and type 17 immune responses following trauma/hemorrhage. These studies serve as the basis for future cell-level analyses aimed at yielding an integrated understanding of the spatiotemporal evolution of inflammatory networks in the context of injury and critical illness.
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### Table 1

|                | WT      | r values | P values | TLR4−/−  | r values | P values |
|----------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                |         |          |          | Plasma   |          |          |
| IL-17A         | Plasma  | −0.150   | 0.475    | GM-CSF   | 0.420    | 0.052    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | −0.085   | 0.688    | GM-CSF   | 0.533    | 0.011    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | −0.379   | 0.061    | IL-10    | 0.105    | 0.641    |
| Heart          | Heart   |          |          |          |          |          |
| IL-17A         | GM-CSF  | 0.698    | 1.038E-04| GM-CSF   | 0.516    | 0.014    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | 0.906    | 4.458E-10| IL-10    | 0.461    | 0.031    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | 0.376    | 0.064    | IL-10    | 0.5154   | 0.014    |
| Liver          | Liver   |          |          |          |          |          |
| IL-17A         | GM-CSF  | 0.312    | 0.129    | GM-CSF   | 0.313    | 0.157    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | 0.228    | 0.273    | IL-10    | 0.488    | 0.021    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | 0.588    | 0.002    | IL-10    | 0.379    | 0.082    |
| Lung           | Lung    |          |          | TLR4−/−  |          |          |
| IL-17A         | GM-CSF  | 0.395    | 0.051    | GM-CSF   | 0.289    | 0.192    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | 0.082    | 0.698    | IL-10    | 0.158    | 0.481    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | 0.597    | 0.002    | IL-10    | −0.087   | 0.7      |
| Gut            | Gut     |          |          |          |          |          |
| IL-17A         | GM-CSF  | 0.392    | 0.053    | GM-CSF   | 0.632    | 0.002    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | 0.525    | 0.007    | IL-10    | 0.513    | 0.015    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | 0.663    | 0.0003   | IL-10    | 0.576    | 0.005    |
| Spleen         | Spleen  |          |          |          |          |          |
| IL-17A         | IL-17A  | 0.231    | 0.267    | GM-CSF   | −0.134   | 0.552    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | 0.340    | 0.096    | IL-10    | −0.564   | 0.579    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | 0.325    | 0.113    | IL-10    | −0.438   | 0.042    |
| Kidney         | Kidney  |          |          |          |          |          |
| IL-17A         | GM-CSF  | 0.571    | 0.003    | GM-CSF   | 0.622    | 0.002    |
| IL-10          | IL-10   | 0.410    | 0.042    | IL-10    | 0.56     | 0.007    |
| TNFα           | TNFα    | 0.880    | 3.702E-08| IL-10    | 0.558    | 0.007    |

Red: Negative correlation coefficient (r) values.
Bold: p < 0.05.
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