Simple interferometric setup enabling sub-Fourier-scale ultra-short laser pulses
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Abstract
In this work, we describe an interferometric method to generate ultra-short pulses below the Fourier limit. It is done by extending concepts first developed in the spatial domain to achieve sub-diffractive beams through the addition of a spatial chirp in one of the arms of a Michelson interferometer using a spherical mirror. To experimentally synthesize sub-Fourier pulses, we replace the spherical mirror with a water cell, since it produces chirp in the temporal domain. We also present an alternative procedure, based on asymmetrical interference between the widened pulse and the original pulse where the peaks of both pulses exhibit a temporal delay achieving the narrowing of ultra-short pulses with sub-Fourier scales. To characterize the performance of the system, we performed a preliminary assessment considering the percentage of full width at half-maximum shrinking obtained for each scheme. By means of a symmetrical configuration, 7% and 12% pulse reductions were verified, both experimentally and analytically, while for the non-symmetrical configuration, 10% and 24% reductions were achieved corresponding to main lobe to side-lobe ratios of 10% and 30%. The experimental setup scheme is simple, versatile and able to work with high-power laser sources and ultra-short pulses with a broad bandwidth at any central wavelength. The results presented in this work are promising and help to enlighten new routes and strategies in the design of coherent control systems. We envision that they will become broadly useful in different areas from strong field domain to quantum information.
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1. Introduction

The temporal width of an ultra-short laser pulse \( E(t) \), is given by the distribution of the spectral phase \( \phi(\omega) \) in the Fourier spectral components of the field \( E(\omega) \). The so-called transform-limited pulses condition is fulfilled if the spectral phase of the field is independent of the frequency. This condition implies that the temporal and spectral widths are minimum and in turn, its product corresponds to a particular constant in agreement with the pulses shapes. Considering a Gaussian pulse, and their temporal and spectral full width at half-maximum (FWHM), this product yields: \( \Delta \omega \Delta t = 2\pi c \) with \( c = 0.441 \) [1]. Of course, the \( c \) value changes for other pulse shapes.
The Fourier limit is tied to the quantum Heisenberg uncertainty principle since the conjugate variables of both phenomena are related by the Fourier transform. In contrast, if the pulse does not meet this condition, i.e., the spectral phase $\phi(\omega)$ is frequency dependent, the pulse presents chirp and the FWHM of the pulse is larger than the FWHM of the pulse without a chirp.

In this sense, there has been an emerging interest in recent years for a wave phenomenon called superoscillation [2, 3], in which a band-limited function can oscillate faster than their fastest Fourier component.

The superoscillatory phenomenon can be seen as an interferometric one, where each spectral component has a particular phase that originate, in the conjugate space, small regions whose FWHMs are below the Fourier limit. Additionally, those regions where the Fourier limit is ‘broken’, show poor efficiency about the initial pulse. In addition, this phenomenon coexists with the emerging of side-lobes with relative amplitude [4].

The superoscillatory phenomenon is not only an interesting mathematical topic. Several wave phenomena take advantage of superoscillations such as: focusing different kinds of beams for superresolution microscopy [5], in quantum mechanics [6, 7], in acoustic waves [8], in signals [9], and others [3]. Additionally, for ultra-short pulses, the Fourier limit can be overcome through devices like the spatial light modulator (SLM) in a prism based pulse shaper [10], which generates the desired phase mask [11–15]. However, this experimental setup requires a complex process of alignment and control, complemented with cumbersome numerical tools. On the other hand, limitations in the beam shrinking process using pulse shapers (SLM’s), arise specially from the chromatics aberrations because of the bandwidth of short pulses. On the other hand pulse shapers need of phase calibration. Likewise, must be mentioned that SLM’s presents aberrations given by their pixel size [13].

It is an accepted notion that the minimum reachable FWHM is associated with a constant spectral phase, but this is not strictly true. The clearest example is put forward by a $\tau$-phase mask where sub-Fourier pulses were obtained [13]. Additionally, the ability to manipulate the shape of the optical pulses is essential for numerous applications [16] such as spectroscopy and coherent control [17, 18], metrology [19], microscopy [20] and optical communications [21].

In this article, we propose a robust, versatile, and simple method to achieve sub-Fourier ultra-short laser pulses. The current approach is inspired by the spatial narrowing of a focused beam, recently proposed [4]. In that work, to print a quadratic phase in the beam spatial profile, a spherical mirror was introduced modifying a branch of a Michelson interferometer (MI). In this work to achieve a quadratic phase in the beam but now in the temporal domain, we replaced the spherical mirror with a water cell (dispersive media) introducing chirp on the original pulse, As a result, a temporally widened pulse is obtained after the cell. To assess the performance of the proposed experimental scheme, we developed two experiments. The first one is based on a symmetrical interference between the widened pulse and the original one, that is, when the peaks of both pulses coincide. The second one, is based in an asymmetrical interference between the widened pulse and the original pulse, where the peaks of both pulses exhibit a temporal delay.

2. Theoretical framework

In order to mathematically describe the interfering process, we call $E(t)$ the pulse originated as a result of the superposition of pulse 1 (unaltered pulse) and pulse 2 (altered pulse) after passing through the interferometric device. Pulse 1 is a band-limited ultra-short Gaussian pulse $E_0(t)$, with spectral content $E_0(\omega) = E_0 e^{-((\omega - \omega_0)/\delta\omega)^2}$, centered at the carrier frequency $\omega_0$ whose bandwidth is represented by $\delta\omega$. Therefore, the whole field in the frequency domain, composed by the unaltered and the altered pulse is given by:

$$E(\omega) = E_0 \left( e^{-\left( \frac{\omega - \omega_0}{\delta\omega} \right)^2} + \alpha e^{-\left( \frac{\omega - \omega_0}{\delta\omega} \right)^2} e^{i\beta(\omega - \omega_0)^2} e^{i\phi} \right).$$

(1)

Clearly, the phase of pulse 2 is modified by the chirp parameter ($\beta$), in turn the amplitude is modified by ($\alpha$), a factor that weights the relative amplitude between the arms. Besides, there is a third controllable parameter, ($\phi$), representing the relative phase between both arms of the interferometer. The Fourier transform of the equation (1) returns the narrowed pulse in the time domain:

$$E(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2} e^{i\omega_0 t} + \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta^2} t \frac{\beta}{4(1 + \beta^2)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4(1 + \beta^2)^2} t^2} e^{i\Phi(t)} e^{i\omega_0 t},$$

(2)

where the $t$-varying phase is:

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{\beta}{4(1 + \beta^2)} t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \arctan(\beta + \phi).$$

(3)

The Fourier transform was evaluated taken $\delta\omega$ and $E_0$ equal to the unit, for a sake of clarity to visualize the technique highlights.

Explicitly, equation (2) shows the interference between a Fourier-transform-limited pulse $E_0(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}t^2}$ and the widened version of this pulse, broadened by the factor $\tau = \sqrt{1 + \beta^2}$ with relative amplitude $\alpha/(1 + \beta^2)^{1/2}$ and phase $\Phi(t)$.

It is well-known that the chirp quadratic parameter $\beta$, in the temporal domain, is originated when the pulse travels through a dispersive medium. This parameter is related to the group velocity dispersion (GVD) by $\beta = \frac{4}{3} \text{GVD} \times L$, where $L$ is the length of the dispersive media [1]. The pulse intensity $I(t)$ is
then obtained by multiplying equation (2), by its complex conjugate to give:

\[
I(t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2T^2}} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2(1+\beta^2)}} + \frac{2\alpha}{(1 + \beta^2)^{1/2}T} e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2(1+\beta^2)}} \cos \Phi. \tag{4}
\]

Equation (4) represents the temporal intensity profile of the field \(E(t)\), that for a suitable choice of the external parameters \(\beta, \alpha\) and \(\phi\), make it possible to shape a pulse whose central lobe shows a temporal width below the Fourier limit, i.e. narrower than the reference pulse \(E_0(t)\). For this purpose, a pure destructive interference condition between the pulses was necessary, which was achieved for values of the phase \(\phi = \delta(\alpha, \beta)\), \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\). A complete theoretical description of this interference was made in [4]. There, in the same plot, the reduction of the FWHM are represented as a function of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\), as well as, curves bounding regions for different ranges of the ratio between the side-lobes and the central lobe. Superimposed on the previous regions a third region with a high efficiency for the central lobe, a trade-off between the previous zones, making the performance of the technique suitable for each application.

3. Experimental

3.1. Setup

The experimental setup used to carry out the proposed approach to synthesize narrower pulses is sketched in figure 1. The ultra-short pulse input into a MI, was then split into two pulses by means of a beam-splitter (BS). Pulse 1 traveled through branch 1 of the interferometer and then was reflected by the fixed mirror (M1), remaining unaltered. The pulse 2 traveled through branch 2, this arm undergoing the influence of the different control parameters, \(\alpha, \beta\) and \(\phi\). Mirror (M2) was mounted in a micro-meter translation stage and controls the relative phase \(\phi\) between the interferometer arms. During the first experiment, MI was set as a balanced interferometer, while for the second a delay line \(\tau_D\) was activated to accomplish a non-symmetrical interference. In branch 2, pulse 2 was stretched by passing it through a 6 cm long water cell, due to the group velocity dispersion (\(\beta\) parameter, GVD). The path through the cell (indicated as E) is shown in figure 1. In the same branch, pulse 2 passes through a half-wave plate (HWP) and a polarizer (P) system to control the relative intensity between both pulses (\(\alpha\) parameter), device D in figure 1. Finally, when the two pulses are reflected by the mirrors (the pulse cross again the water cell), the resulting interference is detected by a GRENOUILLE system [22]. GRENOUILLE can be considered as an implementation of FROG (frequency resolved optical gating) but without mobile parts, and was indicated by F in the setup. Its function was to characterise the temporal profile of the pulse synthesis. The laser system employed to test our experimental approach was a Ti:Sapphire femtosecond oscillator (S), Mai Tai model from Spectra Physics (USA), characterised by a spectral bandwidth of 10.5 nm, with a band-limited pulse of FWHM \(\approx 90\) fs (see inset of figure 1).

3.2. Results and discussions

First of all, we measured the different pulses widths, which are represented in figure 2. Panel (a) shows the FROG trace for the pulse into branch 1, while figure 2(b) shows the temporal intensity profile and its phase retrieved by the FROG trace, with temporal width of FWHM = 89.8 fs. Panel (c) of figure 2 shows the measured FROG trace corresponding to the stretched pulse by propagation through the water cell (branch 2). Panel (d) presents the FROG retrieved temporal intensity and phase profile of the pulse, on which an FWHM can be observed of 199.4 fs. By properly setting units and considering \(\delta \omega = 1\) the relationship between the FWHMs of the pulses obtained in each branch was \(\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}\) (see equation (2)). In this way the \(\beta\) value could be solved as \(\frac{199.44}{89.81} = \sqrt{1 + \beta^2}\) which results in \(\beta = 1.99\) (in fractions of \(\delta \omega\)).

Figure 3 presents the synthesized pulses obtained with the interferometric technique described previously. The different pulses widths showed in panels (b) and (d) were obtained by varying the \(\alpha\) parameter handling a half-wave plate plus a polariser system, detailed in the experimental procedure. In figure 3, panels (a) and (b) show the FROG trace and its retrieved pulse profile, respectively. The result of the interference was measured by setting \(\alpha = 0.25\). The FWHM retrieved was 84 fs, corresponding to a reduction of the Fourier limit of 7 percent for the input pulse. On the other hand, in figures 3(c) and (d), the second set of measurements is shown. In this case, the interference pulse was conducted by setting \(\alpha = 0.38\). The retrieved FWHM was 79 fs, corresponding to a reduction of 12% of the original pulse limited by Fourier transform.

In a complementary fashion, figure 3(e) shows the evolution of the FWHM corresponding to the central lobe for the synthesised pulse as a function of the \(\alpha\) parameter (solid line). The FWHM scale was graduated in reference to the temporal FWHM of the input pulse. This simulation, described by equation (4), was made considering a fixed value of the \(\beta\) parameter equal to 1.99. The first region from \(\alpha = 1\) to \(\alpha = 0.8\), shows a linear relation between FWHM and \(\alpha\). A second region, for \(\alpha > 1\), presents a fast reduction of the FWHM. By calculation of equation (4), for large values of \(\alpha\), the synthesised pulse shows side-lobes with larger amplitude compared to the central lobe, as well as when the FWHM is close to zero value. As described in [23], each side-lobe has a different spectral content and a complete theoretical analysis can be found in [4]. In figure 3, experimental points have been indicated by red crosses. In this case, it is important to mention that we could not take measures for large values of \(\alpha\), to verify the theoretical curve (figure 3(e)), because of the technical limitations of the
GRENOUILLE system. When measures were made with large values of \( \alpha \), interference rings are generated in the wave-front of the synthesised pulse. This could be possibly explained by the additional divergence of the pulse caused when passing through the water cell. It is necessary to work with Gaussian or continuous wave-fronts to achieve a proper operation of the GRENOUILLE system [22].

Alternatively to the above method, we propose another way to produce similar sub-Fourier synthesized pulses controlling the delay times \( \tau_D \) and the attenuation parameter \( \alpha \) by using the same MI. In this case, the method is based on a non-symmetrical interference in the time domain. As we show, equation (1) represents the interference between an ultra-short and a widened pulse centred in \( t = 0 \), which produce a synthesised pulse with sub-Fourier characteristics. The proposed alternative can be achieved if the two pulses traveling in each arm of the MI, the original and widened pulse, have a delay time \( \tau_D \) at the moment of the interference. We will show that changes in the delay of the interferometer branches produce similar behavior that only changes the \( \alpha \) parameter. Both lead to sub-Fourier pulses.

In figure 4 two measurements corresponding to different delay times \( \tau_D \) are presented (see figure 1), considered as the distance between peaks of the original and widened pulses, respectively (see figure 4). The chosen values are: \( \tau_D = 31.5 \) fs \( (\alpha = 0.85) \) and \( \tau_D = 49.5 \) fs \( (\alpha = 0.5) \), top and bottom figures, respectively. In panels (a) and (b) at the top of figure 4 show the FROG trace and the retrieved temporal profile corresponding to a delay time of 31.5 fs and \( \alpha = 0.85 \); the synthesised pulse retrieved had an FWHM = 68 fs (24% of the Fourier limit reduction). In the middle of figure 4, panels (c) and (d) respectively show the FROG trace and the retrieved temporal profile corresponding to a delay of 49.5 fs and \( \alpha = 0.5 \), giving a resultant pulse with an FWHM = 81 fs (10% Fourier limit reduction). Note that \( \alpha \) is the relation between the maximum amplitude of both fields. When different delays are introduced the peak amplitudes are shifted, resulting in an effective amplitude ratio between pulses smaller than \( \alpha \), since the interference occurs while the peak of the unaltered pulse overlaps with the tail of the widened pulse. At the bottom of the figure 4, panels (e) and (f) show the respective simulations of the measurements. The blue line designates the original pulse and in

---

**Figure 1.** Our experimental setup for synthesise pulses is based on a Michelson interferometer (MI). S is the femtosecond laser source, a Ti:Sapphire femtosecond oscillator. M1 and M2 are the fixed and mobile mirrors respectively. BS is the beam splitter. In the delay line, the water cell E (dispersive medium) is followed by a half-wave plate (HWP) plus a polarizer, D, at the opposite end, the GRENOUILLE system F. It was indicated the movable part in the arm for \( \tau_D \) control.
Figure 2. FROG traces corresponding to pulses traveling in each arm of the MI (left side). Retrieved temporal profiles for the pulses in each arm of the MI (right side).

green the widened pulse, with the delay $\tau_D$. The synthesised pulse after the interference is shown by the red dashed line. Here, the asymmetric distribution of the two side-lobes can be observed and are in good agreement with the experimental measurements.

This last alternative to obtain sub-Fourier pulses allows modulating the temporal width of the pulse as a function of the temporal delay $\tau_D$. This process of FWHM modulation can be described through the next sequence: suppose we began with two pulses temporally spaced by a large delay $\tau_D$, which is progressively reduced through the movement of the micro-positioning stage. After that, the Fourier limited pulse will experience a reduction of FWHM. The minimum FWHM is reached when a maximum of the destructive interference condition occurs. If $\tau_D$ is reduced yet more, the Fourier limited pulse will begin to increase again. Depending on the FWHM of the widened pulse, this process is repeated once, twice or $n$ times. In consequence, the temporal width of the initial pulse, will experience a sinusoidal variation with the $\tau_D$ parameter.

For a better understanding and visualisation of the previous description, two simulations were uploaded [ref] as supplementary material (available online at stacks.iop.org/JOpt/24/045504/mmedia). Each of them represents, qualitatively, the change of the FWHM when the delay $\tau_D$ varies. Both set the $\alpha$ parameter as one while the $\beta$ parameter as 5 and 10, respectively. Simulations, carefully observed, shows an FWHM oscillatory behaviour.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that the experimental results presented in this work are limited by our detection system (GRENOUILLE). We think that a complete set of measurements, predicted theoretically in [4], can be achieved by another detecting system like FROG or SPIDER, as other authors have shown [11, 14] since in the conjugate space, the different techniques are similar. In our case, the phase mask necessary to obtain sub-Fourier pulses is given by the operator $H(\omega) = (1 + \alpha e^{i(\omega - \omega_0)} e^{i\phi})$ (see equation (1)), either implemented by a SLM or an interferometric system as in our case.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have presented two simple approaches, supported by experimental results, to obtain ultra-short pulses whose FWHMs are below the Fourier limit. This technique,
Figure 3. FROG traces corresponding to synthesised pulses with parameters $\alpha = 0.25$, $\beta = 1.99$ and $\alpha = 0.38$, $\beta = 1.99$ are sketched in panels (a) and (c), respectively. In panels (b) and (d) the temporal profile of the Frog traces (a) and (c), where it can be seen a FWHM of 84 fs and 79 fs respectively. In (e), evolution of FWHM of the synthesised pulses vs $\alpha$ (the amplitude ratio of the interfering pulses), in fractions of the unaltered FWHM pulse considered as unit, so $\tau_0 = 1$. The chirp parameter $\beta$ was held constant at 1.99. The two red crosses correspond to the measured experimental data.
Figure 4. FROG traces corresponding to synthesised pulses for delays of 31.5 fs and 49.5 fs are sketched in panels (a) and (c), respectively. In panels (b) and (d) the temporal counterpart of (a) and (c) respectively. On the bottom panels (e) and (f), detailed for each delay, the resultant pulses (red dashed line). In blue solid and green solid lines, the pulses traveling through branches 1 and 2.

Based on an interferometric process, shows some advantages when compared to those commonly used for this purpose, including the capability to work with high power laser sources and operate with ultra-bandwidth pulses centered at any wavelength. The performance of the system can be summarized taking each scheme into account. For the attenuation based scheme, 7% and 12% FWHM reduction were both experimentally and analytically verified, while for the phase control scheme, 10% and 24% FWHM reduction was achieved with main lobe to side-lobe ratios of 10% and 30% respectively. The limitations can be associated with different devices: beam-splitter, mirrors, etc which are affected by thermal fluctuations, mechanical vibrations, the fluence threshold of mirrors, etc which are intrinsic properties of a MI. The technique, theoretically, works without constraints for arbitrary pulse lengths, however, experimentally it is difficult to implement.
the quadratic chirp parameter. For very long pulses the dispersive media can be an optical fiber. Alternatively, for short pulses in the few-cycle regime, other dispersive orders (third order, fourth order, etc) must be taken into account. These high orders could be compensated with a spherical mirror [24]. We think, that this new strategy for pulse synthesis could open a new route to address ultra-fast spectroscopy, as well as a different coherent control process, from weak field to strong field domains.
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