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SUMMARY

This paper indicates two errors in the formulation of the main optimization model in the article ”Control system for reducing energy consumption in backbone computer network” by Niewiadomska-Szynkiewicz et al. and shows how to fix them.

KEY WORDS: green computer network; energy-aware network; traffic engineering; optimization

1. INTRODUCTION, MODEL FROM THE SOURCE PAPER

The paper [1] considers a backbone computer network formed by routers labeled with \( r = 1, \ldots, R \), line cards labeled with \( c = 1, \ldots, C \), communication ports labeled with \( p = 1, \ldots, P \) and directed links labeled with \( e = 1, \ldots, E \). The hierarchical representation of a router is assumed, i.e., each router is equipped with a number of line cards and each card contains a number of communication ports. All network components can operate in different energy states. Two ports connected by the same link \( e \) are in the same state \( k = 1, \ldots, K \), which at the expense of the power consumption \( \xi_{ek} \) allows for the transmission throughput \( M_{ek} \). \( W_c \) and \( T_r \) are fixed power cost components associated to utilizing, respectively, a card \( c \) and a router \( r \).

All demands imposed on the network are labeled with \( d = 1, \ldots, D \) and characterized by the source node \( s_d \), the destination node \( t_d \) and a given volume \( V_d \), ensuring end-to-end Quality of Service (QoS).

The topology of the network is described by four matrices of binary indicators: \( l_{cp}, g_{rc}, a_{ep}, b_{ep} \), whether, respectively: port \( p \) belongs to the card \( c \), card \( c \) belongs to the router \( r \), link \( e \) is outgoing from the port \( p \) and link \( e \) is incoming to the port \( p \).

The decision variables are two vectors of binary indicators \( x_c, z_r \) - whether the card \( c \) or the router \( r \) is used for data transmission and two incidence matrices with elements: \( y_{ek} \) - whether the link \( e \) is in the state \( k \) and \( u_{ed} \) - whether the demand \( d \) uses the link \( e \).
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The energy saving optimization problem which aim is to minimize the total power utilized by network components while ensuring the QoS requirements is formulated in [1] as follows:

$$\min_{x,c,y,k,z,r,u} \left[ F_{LN} = \sum_{e=1}^{E} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \xi_{ek}y_{ek} + \sum_{c=1}^{C} W_{c}x_{c} + \sum_{r=1}^{R} T_{r}z_{r} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

subject to the constraints:

$$\forall d=1,...,D, \quad c=1,...,C \quad \sum_{p=1}^{P} l_{cp} \sum_{e=1}^{E} a_{ep}u_{ed} \leq x_{c},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$\forall d=1,...,D, \quad c=1,...,C \quad \sum_{p=1}^{P} l_{cp} \sum_{e=1}^{E} b_{ep}u_{ed} \leq x_{c},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

$$\forall r=1,...,R, \quad c=1,...,C \quad g_{rc}x_{c} \leq z_{r},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$\forall e=1,...,E \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} y_{ek} \leq 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

$$\forall d=1,...,D, \quad p=s_{d} \quad \sum_{e=1}^{E} a_{ep}u_{ed} - \sum_{e=1}^{E} b_{ep}u_{ed} = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

$$\forall d=1,...,D, \quad p \neq t_{d}, p \neq s_{d} \quad \sum_{e=1}^{E} a_{ep}u_{ed} - \sum_{e=1}^{E} b_{ep}u_{ed} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$\forall d=1,...,D, \quad p=t_{d} \quad \sum_{e=1}^{E} a_{ep}u_{ed} - \sum_{e=1}^{E} b_{ep}u_{ed} = -1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

$$\forall e=1,...,E \quad \sum_{d=1}^{D} V_{d}u_{ed} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} M_{ek}y_{ek}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

In the above formulation the constraints (2)-(4) determine the number of routers and cards used for data transmission, the conditions (5) assure that each link can be in one energy-aware state. The constrains (6)-(8) are formulated according to the 1st Kirchhoff’s law applied for source, transit and destination routers, and the constraint (9) assures, that the flow will not exceed the capacity $M_{ek}$ of a given link.

Unfortunately, when the author tried to use the formulation (1)-(9) to solve a test problem, he got wrong results. Having spent quite a lot of time he found the reason - errors in the model.

### 2. ERRORS IN THE MODEL AND THEIR CORRECTION

There are two errors in the formulation (1)-(9):

1. Flow conservation equations (6)-(8) are incorrectly written. The reason is, that in a backbone computer network routers are nodes, not ports, as it is done in Eqs. (6)-(8), where $p$ is a fixed parameter. A port is only a labeled input to a router (node), where the switch of routes
is done. Moreover, every port in a router can be an input or an output for signals and the summation over them and, at the same time, over all links outcoming and incoming to the router, should be performed.

2. Despite the announcement at the beginning of the section 5. of the article [1]: "We assume that at a given time, instant two ports connected by the \( e \)-th link are in the same state \( k \).", there are no equations ensuring it. The conditions (9) expressing the energy used by links are formulated independently for all links.

The above assumption is natural in computers networks and it should be reflected in a good model.

To fix the two errors mentioned above it is proposed:

\textbf{Ad.1.} To replace the three equations (6)-(8) by the following one:

\[ \forall d = 1, \ldots, D \sum_{r = 1, \ldots, R} C \sum_{c = 1}^{P} l_{cp} \sum_{e = 1}^{E} (a_{ep} - b_{ep}) u_{ed} = \begin{cases} 1 & r = s_d, \\ -1 & r = t_d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{10} \]

In this equation the summation is done across every router \( r = 1, \ldots, R \) for every demand \( d = 1, \ldots, D \). All links connected to the router \( r \) are taken into account owing to the summations:

\[ \sum_{c = 1}^{C} \sum_{p = 1}^{P} \sum_{e = 1}^{E} g_{rc} l_{cp} a_{ep} u_{ed} \tag{11} \]

for the outgoing traffic and

\[ \sum_{c = 1}^{C} \sum_{p = 1}^{P} \sum_{e = 1}^{E} g_{rc} l_{cp} b_{ep} u_{ed} \tag{12} \]

for the incoming traffic.

\textbf{Ad.2.} To augment the conditions (9) with equality constraints assuring that the energy level in both links of every edge is the same. They are as follows:

\[ \forall p = 1, \ldots, P \sum_{k = 1, \ldots, K} E a_{ep} y_{ek} = \sum_{c = 1}^{E} b_{ep} y_{ek} \tag{13} \]

Since in equation (13) indices \( p \) and \( k \) are fixed, with the assumptions taken, for a given port \( \bar{p} \) there is only one combination of links \( e_1, e_2 \in 1, \ldots, E \), such that:

\[ a_{e_1 \bar{p}} = b_{e_2 \bar{p}} = 1, \forall e \neq e_1 a_{e, \bar{p}} = 0, \forall e \neq e_2 b_{e, \bar{p}} = 0. \tag{14} \]

Taking this into account from equation (13) we will get for all \( k = 1, \ldots, K \):

\[ y_{e_1 k} = y_{e_2 k} \tag{15} \]
The same reasoning may be repeated for the opposite port $\bar{p}$ of the edge, such that:

$$b_{e_1\bar{p}} = a_{e_2\bar{p}} = 1, \forall e \neq e_1 b_{e_2\bar{p}} = 0, \forall e \neq e_2 a_{e_1\bar{p}} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

It means, that the energy level will be the same in the edge formed of links $e_1$ and $e_2$.

3. THE FINAL FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Summing up, the final formulation of the energy saving backbone network control problem will be as follows:

$$\min_{x_{e}, y_{ek}, z_{r}, u_{ed}} \left[ F_{LN} = \sum_{e=1}^{E} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \xi_{ek} y_{ek} + \sum_{c=1}^{C} W_{c} x_{c} + \sum_{r=1}^{R} T_{r} z_{r} \right],$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

subject to the constraints:

$$\forall d = 1, \ldots, D, \sum_{c=1}^{C} l_{cp} \sum_{e=1}^{E} a_{ep} u_{ed} \leq x_{c},$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$\forall d = 1, \ldots, D, \sum_{c=1}^{C} l_{cp} \sum_{e=1}^{E} b_{ep} u_{ed} \leq x_{c},$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

$$\forall r = 1, \ldots, R, \sum_{c=1}^{C} c_{rc} x_{c} \leq z_{r},$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$\forall e = 1, \ldots, E, \sum_{k=1}^{K} y_{ek} \leq 1,$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$\forall d = 1, \ldots, D, \sum_{r=1}^{R} g_{rc} \sum_{p=1}^{P} l_{cp} \sum_{e=1}^{E} (a_{ep} - b_{ep}) u_{ed} = \begin{cases} 1 & r = s_{d}, \\ -1 & r = t_{d}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

$$\forall e = 1, \ldots, E, \sum_{d=1}^{D} V_{d} u_{ed} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} M_{ek} y_{ek},$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$\forall p = 1, \ldots, P, \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_{ep} y_{ek} = \sum_{e=1}^{E} b_{ep} y_{ek},$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)

$$x_{c}, z_{r} \in \{0, 1\} \hspace{0.5cm} c = 1, \ldots, C; r = 1, \ldots, R;$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

$$y_{ek}, u_{ed} \in \{0, 1\} \hspace{0.5cm} e = 1, \ldots, E; k = 1, \ldots, K; d = 1, \ldots, D.$$ \hspace{1cm} (26)

\footnote{Under minimization operator all sets of indices of the arguments of optimization have been added. They were incorrectly omitted in [1] (see Eq. (1)).}
4. CONCLUSIONS

The indicated errors in the formulation of the main optimization model of energy saving backbone network control presented in [1] concern flow balance equations for all nodes and the requirement of the same energy level in two directional links connecting the same ports. To make this model correct it was necessary to modify the flow balance equations, treating routers as nodes (instead of ports as it is in [1]) and to add equality constrains on the levels of power consumption in two links incoming to and outgoing from the same port. Without these changes the model does not describe well the dependencies between different components of the backbone computer network, including routers, cards, ports and links and is not fully useful.
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