An integrated diamond Raman laser pumped in the near-visible
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Abstract

Using a high-Q diamond microresonator (Q ∼ 300,000) interfaced with high-power-handling directly-written doped-glass waveguides, we demonstrate a Raman laser in an integrated platform pumped in the near-visible. Both TM-to-TE and TE-to-TE lasing is observed, with a Raman lasing threshold as low as 20 mW and Stokes power of over 1 mW at 120 mW pump power. Stokes emission is tuned over a 150 nm (60 THz) bandwidth around 875 nm wavelength, corresponding to 17.5% of the center frequency.

1 Introduction

As technologies for the manufacture of synthetic diamond crystals have matured, its use in and outside the laboratory has become more commonplace. Diamond is broadly transparent, passing light from the UV (>220 nm) to THz range, with the exception of losses present at ∼ 2.6 - 6 µm due to multiphonon-induced absorption. Its superior thermal properties are well-known, with a thermal conductivity of ∼ 1800 W/(m K) @ 300 K and a low thermo-optic coefficient of ∼ 10⁻⁵ K⁻¹ [1].
Bulk Raman lasing systems have employed synthetic diamonds since their creation. Due to diamond’s high-frequency optical phonons (39.99 THz) and large Raman gain, efficient \cite{2} and high power \cite{3} benchtop systems have been developed that span the UV \cite{4}, visible\cite{5,2}, and infrared\cite{6,3} wavelengths. The design freedom inherent in tabletop systems has spawned a number of innovations\cite{7,8}, although continuous-wave (CW) pumping and low thresholds remain difficult to reach, and benchtop components require careful alignment.

The first experimental demonstrations of micro-scale Raman lasing occurred in silica microspheres and microtoroids\cite{9,10}. Demonstrations in silicon\cite{11} soon followed, showing cascaded Raman lasing\cite{12} and ultra-low thresholds in a photonic crystal geometry\cite{13}. Recent experimental demonstrations include aluminum nitride Raman lasers at telecom wavelengths\cite{14}. Following these platforms, both frequency combs\cite{15} and Raman lasers\cite{16} have been demonstrated in diamond microresonators.

Integrated photonic devices operating at visible wavelengths must overcome additional challenges relative to their telecommunications-band counterparts. Apart from relatively immature instrumentation, there is a dearth of qualified low-loss material platforms. This requirement is even more stringent in non-linear photonic systems, where high visible pump powers and non-negligible linear and nonlinear absorption can lead to device failure. To enable pumping of the diamond microresonator system at sufficient powers above threshold, we develop a directly-writable doped-glass waveguide and integrate it with our diamond waveguides, creating an end-fire interface. Per these developments, we demonstrate the first integrated Raman laser pumped at near-visible, studying in particular polarization conversion between pump and Stokes and low-threshold lasing.

2 Device Design and Fabrication

Device fabrication broadly follows the same process reported previously\cite{17,16}. A 1x1 mm, \(\sim 30\) µm thick electronic-grade single-crystal diamond with [001]-oriented surface (Element Six) was cleaned in a refluxing acid mixture. After rinsing in water, the diamond was then placed from methanol directly on a sapphire carrier wafer. This promotes a loose adhesion to the carrier. The diamond is etched on one side, then flipped, cleaned, and etched (Ar/Cl\(_2\) cycled with O\(_2\)). The thinned diamond is then transferred to fused silica by first de-bonding from the carrier wafer with a drop of hydrofluoric acid, which is then gently washed away and diluted. Immediately before re-bonding to the silica substrate, the silica surface is activated with an O\(_2\) plasma (300 mT, 100 W, 1 minute). Once the diamond is bonded, a monolayer of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) is deposited via atomic layer deposition (ALD), promoting adhesion of the FOx-16 electron-beam resist (spin-on glass, Dow Corning). The resist is written under multi-pass exposure (Elionix F-125) with waveguides aligned parallel to the diamond thickness gradient\cite{16}. The finished resonators (Fig. 1(a)) are 60 µm in diameter and have cross-sectional dimensions of 300 nm in width and \(\sim 300\) nm in height.
Figure 1: Microresonator design and experimental concept. (a) Micrograph of diamond ring resonators, with coupling region highlighted. (b) Scanning electron micrograph of doped-glass to diamond coupling region. (c) Experimental setup. The light from a CW Ti:Sapphire laser is focused onto a waveguide facet after passing through a half-wave plate. A lensed fiber collects light and directs it to an optical spectrum analyzer and a photodetector. (d) Experimental concept. A CW pump is tuned to resonance, creating a Raman gain region at $\Omega_R \sim 39.99$ THz Stokes shift and bandwidth $\Gamma_R \sim 60$ GHz for both TE and TM polarizations. The strength of the Raman gain for these polarizations is dependent on the specific microresonator and diamond crystal orientation[1]. The difference from the Stokes Raman gain to the nearest resonance mode is termed the Stokes-mode mismatch, $\delta$. 
The diamond waveguides are adiabatically tapered down in width across a length of 200 µm to enable high coupling efficiency.

The diamond sample is prepared for subsequent processing by depositing ∼2 nm of ALD oxide [18]. This adheres the diamond to the substrate and prevents de-bonding. To prevent out-gassing when under high optical power, the sample is annealed at 460 C for 1 hour in O₂. This drives impurities out of the ALD film. Directly-written doped-glass waveguides to be used as spot-size converters (Fig. 1(b)) are then defined with an electron beam exposure and developed (Appendix A).

Afterwards, a protective layer of photoresist is spun and a dicing saw is used to define a channel on the substrate’s backside. The device is then cleaved, removed of resist, and cleaned. Finally, the sample is annealed at 460 C for 3 hours in an oxygen atmosphere to drive out residual impurities in the doped-glass waveguides and ALD film, as well as to etch away any final graphitized carbon and terminate the diamond surface in oxygen bonds [19].

3 Optical Measurements

Optical measurements of the devices are performed in an end-fire testing setup, schematically shown in Fig. 1(c). An aspheric singlet lens focuses a CW Ti:Sapphire laser (M2, Solstis) onto the facet of a waveguide. Free-space coupling has several advantages over a lensed optical fiber, as was used in previous experiments [16], by reducing insertion loss and maintaining stable polarization even at high powers.

After passing through the device, the light is collected on the other end with a lensed fiber (OZ Optics). A typical device showed losses of ∼5 dB/facet. An optical fiber splitter is then used to send the output to a photodetector for resonance measurements, or an optical spectrum analyzer (OSA, Yokogawa AQ6370) for Raman measurements.

Two nominally equivalent devices are reported. The first device under test is characterized in Fig. 2. Its waveguide cross-sections are shown in Fig. 2(a). Both TE and TM spectra are shown in Fig. 2(b), where the insets show the TE pump resonance used and its corresponding Stokes resonance. The TE pump (TM pump) and TE Stokes (TM Stokes) quality factors are 301,000 (75,000) and 85,000 (35,000), respectively, representing an order-of-magnitude improvement over the previous state-of-the-art for these wavelengths [17].

Threshold measurements for TE pump and TE Stokes lasing (TE-TE process) in Device 1 are reported in 3(a). No higher-order Stokes or anti-Stokes processes were observed. With a Raman threshold of 20 mW, we calculate an effective Raman gain value of 3.2 cm GW⁻¹. This is lower than previous values for diamond at these wavelengths (> 10 cm GW⁻¹ [11]), and can be attributed to imperfect confinement (74% of the optical power is in the diamond core) and Raman gain dependence on the orientation of the crystal axes with respect to the propagation/polarization direction [1, 20]. The near-threshold data implies an external conversion efficiency of 1.7%, corresponding to an internal efficiency.
Figure 2: Low-power characterization of Device 1. (a) Cross-sections of simulated mode intensities at TE pump (left, blue), TM pump (center, red) and TE Stokes (right, blue). (b) Transmission data for studied resonator at TE (top, blue) and TM (bottom, red) probe polarizations. Insets show zoomed-in highlighted regions of the TE spectra. The studied pump resonance shows a total quality factor $Q \sim 301,000$ at 750.88 nm, corresponding to a Stokes resonance of $Q \sim 85,000$ at 834.34 nm. The corresponding total $Q$ for the TM modes was 75,000 and 35,000, respectively.
of 85%, close to the theoretical maximum \cite{10} of 90%. Furthermore, the Raman gain at a Stokes resonance may experience a Stokes-mode mismatch $\delta$, and possibly polarization conversion, as per Fig. 1(d). Fig. 3(b) shows the mismatch and recorded Stokes power at the various pump wavelengths. The locations of the resonances are recorded at low optical power, so thermal shifts are not taken into account. The bottom ribbon shows where Raman lasing was observed at high pump powers ($\sim$200 mW in the waveguide). Because the TE-TE transition was well-matched throughout the pump tuning range owing to suitable dispersion, Stokes lasing was observed with an output over a bandwidth of $\approx$150 nm, or 60 THz, corresponding to 17.5% of the center frequency, from 800 – 950 nm.

Fig. 4 shows the Stokes-mode mismatch for both TE (a) and TM (b) pump wavelengths to TE Stokes (blue circles) and TM Stokes (red triangles) for the second device, which differs from the first due to fabrication variation. Stokes output is observed when the Stokes-mode mismatch is small for a given pump. Accordingly, lasing for a TE pump occurs over a relatively larger bandwidth, and lasing for a TM pump occurs in two distinct wavelength regions. The output is likely to be TE-polarized, due to the lower quality factors for TM resonances and forbidden TM-TM transition for [001]-oriented diamond \cite{1, 20}.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated an integrated CW Raman laser pumped in the near-visible region. Owing to directly-written doped-glass waveguides, we were able to show lasing at high pump powers across 60 THz of tuning. The mismatch between the Raman gain peak and the nearest resonator mode was shown to be an important parameter, and polarization conversion from TM-TE was also observed. We studied the threshold behavior for TE-TE Raman lasing for a given Stokes output resonance, finding low threshold power $\sim$20 mW.

These results strongly suggest the difficulty of suppressing Raman processes over a broad bandwidth in large-FSR resonators, as is required for generating visible Kerr frequency combs in diamond \cite{21}. Nonetheless, the improvements in diamond quality factor and coupling-waveguide power handling are broadly applicable to integrated optics in the visible, including quantum, single-emitter based devices. The silicon-vacancy center, for instance, has an optical transition very close to the wavelengths studied here, and co-integration can be used for hybrid nonlinear-quantum optics devices. By translating these results to an angle-etched platform \cite{22, 23}, diamond’s full potential can be realized.

A Directly-written doped-glass waveguides

Due to the small size of the diamond substrate, waveguides which extend to the end of the sample cannot be natively written and defined. To couple light efficiently onto the diamond sample, we developed a doped spin-on glass process which allows for directly-writeable optical waveguides. This represents an
Figure 3: Raman measurements of Device 1. (a) Threshold measurements for TE pump at the resonance from Fig. 2, or 749.88 nm and a TE-polarized Stokes at 834.34 nm. The inset shows a semi-log plot of the near-threshold data. (b) Stokes-mode mismatch plot (top) shows the mismatch between the Raman gain from a TE pump resonance and the nearest TE (blue, circles) and TM (red, triangles) resonance. The blue and light blue bands show the mode mismatch lying within one half-maximum and three half-maximums of the Raman gain peak, respectively. The ribbon (bottom) qualitatively shows (by circle size) recorded Stokes power from Raman lasing at the respective pump wavelengths. The TE-TE transition is well-matched across the measurement, and Stokes output is observed over 60 THz of tuning (bottom).
Figure 4: Raman lasing and polarization conversion dependence on Stokes-mode mismatch for Device 2 with same nominal dimensions as Fig. 2. (a) The mismatch between the Raman gain created by a TE pump resonance and the nearest TE (blue circles) and TM (red triangles) mode is plotted (top). Though both TE and TM resonances are well-matched, the output is likely TE, based on the higher quality factor of these resonances. (b) A similar plot as (a), but for TM pump resonance. Two regions of Stokes output are recorded at roughly ≈735 nm and ≈770 nm. The TM pump is converted to a TE Stokes, and the neighborhood of modes for which Raman lasing is observed is narrower, as expected from the Stokes-mode mismatch plot.

Alignment marks which were written with the diamond structures are first masked off with Kapton tape. A sol-gel solution is prepared by mixing FOx-16 and titanium butanate (Ti(OBu)_4) in a 4:1 ratio. The titanium butanate increases the refractive index of the final waveguides, ensuring the waveguides have a higher index than the substrate. Next, the diamond sample is placed on a spinner and brought up to 1000 RPM. Then, 2 drops of the sol-gel solution are dropped on the diamond sample as it begins to accelerate to 3000 RPM. The sample spins at 3000 RPM for 30 seconds. The sample is not baked, but undergoes an O₂ plasma treatment (300 mT, 75 W, 30 seconds) after the Kapton tape is removed to increase adhesion of conductive polymer to the masked area. To protect the deposited sol-gel during plasma treatment, a dummy piece of silicon is placed on top of the sample, exposing only the area where the tape was removed. The sample is then taken back to a spinner, where E-Spacer (ShowaDenko) is spun on at 4000 RPM. The waveguides are written at a dose of 6000 µC/cm². The sample develops for 10 seconds in TMAH, followed by a rinse in DI, then a rinse in methanol. To reduce absorption, the waveguides must be annealed. The anneal was limited to 460 °C in O₂ for three hours to avoid burning the diamond. Ideally, a rapid thermal anneal to 1100 °C in O₂ can completely oxidize the waveguides[25], though the performance improvement
over the low temperature anneal was negligible in this study.
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