WWC3 inhibits epithelial–mesenchymal transition of lung cancer by activating Hippo-YAP signaling
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Introduction

Lung cancer is a common cancer that is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide, and its incidence remains increasing. Nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 80% of all lung cancer cases.¹ Although three novel therapeutic modalities (surgical resection, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy) have been established, the long-term survival of lung cancer patients is still generally unsatisfactory. A variety of complex genetic, epigenetic, and microenvironmental factors play vital roles in the survival and malignant phenotype of tumor cells. The prognosis of patients with NSCLC correlates with overall tumor metastasis.¹-⁴ Currently, some improvements in targeted therapy, including third-generation epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and immune checkpoint PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy, have gathered much attention; however, the acquired resistance to targeted therapy also limited its ability to prolong survival. The molecular carcinogenesis of lung cancer is
characterized by multiple alterations in the gene expression, which lead to abnormal changes in signaling transduction pathways and biological behaviors. Thus, there is a need for new therapeutic targets and a better understanding of the mechanisms involved in the progression of NSCLC.8,9

The epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a molecular process that allows epithelial cells to transform into a plastic and motile state with a mesenchymal phenotype. This characteristic is accompanied by modifications in adhesion, morphology, cellular architecture, migration potential,10,11 and altered expression of several genes involved in these processes. Several studies have suggested that the EMT process is critical for the invasion and metastasis of malignant tumors.12 However, the underlying mechanism has yet to be determined. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms of EMT remains vital for recently proposed precision medicine.

The Hippo pathway is an evolutionarily conserved signaling pathway that is important in a variety of biological processes, such as organismal development and cell growth and differentiation, and is implicated in the EMT process as well.13 In mammals, this pathway comprises a kinase cascade involving the Sav1/MST kinase complex and the MOB1/large tumor suppressor-1/large tumor suppressor-2 (LATS1/2) kinase complex that phosphorylates the transcription activators yes-associated protein (YAP) and TAZ. Once phosphorylated, YAP and TAZ are sequestered in the cytoplasm and undergo β-TrCP-mediated degradation. Upon inactivation of the Hippo pathway, stabilized YAP and TAZ translocate into the nucleus and bind to TEA domain transcription factors (TEAD) to activate target gene transcription.14 Verteporfin (VP), an US Food and Drug Administration-approved drug used in photodynamic therapy for macular degeneration, was recently identified as an inhibitor of YAP–TEAD binding. VP binds to YAP to change its conformation, thus abrogating its association with TEAD.15

We recently reported that WW and C2 domain containing protein-3 (WWC3), a homolog of the WWC (KIBRA/WWC1, WWC2, and WWC3) gene family, interacts with LATS through its WW domain to activate the Hippo pathway, hence suppressing the invasiveness and metastasis of lung cancer.16 Hou et al17 demonstrated that WWC3 inhibits the proliferation and invasive ability of gastric cancer by stimulating the Hippo signaling activity. These results suggest that WWC3 is recognized as a tumor suppressor gene. However, the relationship between WWC3 and the EMT process remains unclear. Thus, in this study, we performed Western blot, immunohistochemistry (IHC), and immunofluorescence to explore the effect of WWC3 on EMT and its underlying mechanisms through dual regulation of WWC3 expression in lung cancer cells and provide a theoretical and experimental basis for lung cancer treatment strategies.

Patients and methods

Patients and specimens

We collected 127 tumor specimens, including NSCLC tissues and paired nontumor portions (32 cases; with >5 cm distance from the primary tumor’s edge), from patients (average age: 60 years) who underwent surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University from 2005 to 2014 after obtaining written informed consent from them and with the approval of the Institute Research Ethics Committee (No 2015 [LS]023, China Medical University). All tumor specimens were from the surgical resection. None of the patients had received chemotherapy or radiotherapy before tumor excision. According to the 2015 World Health Organization classification criteria of lung cancer,19 73 cases presented with adenocarcinoma and 54 cases presented with squamous cell carcinoma. According to the International Union of Cancer TNM staging standards in 2010,20 99 cases were in Phases I and II, whereas 28 cases were in Phase III.

Immunohistochemistry

Assays were performed as described previously.20 Briefly, the tissue sections were incubated with WWC3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (HPA039814, 1:200; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA), rabbit monoclonal E-cadherin (3195, 1:200; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), rabbit monoclonal N-cadherin (13116, 1:200; Cell Signaling Technology), Snail1 goat polyclonal antibody (ab53519, 1:100; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), and Slug rabbit polyclonal antibody (ab27568, 1:100; Abcam). The intensities of the WWC3, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail1, and Slug stains were scored as follows: 0 (no staining), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (high). Percentage scores were assigned as follows: 1 (1%–25%), 2 (26%–50%), 3 (51%–75%), and 4 (76%–100%). The scores of each tumor sample were multiplied to give a final score of 0–12, and WWC3-positive expression was defined previously.16 For N-cadherin, Snail1, and Slug, tumor samples with scores ≥4 were recognized as positive expression, scores between 1 and 4 were categorized as weak expression, and a score of 0 was considered as negative. The E-cadherin scores were determined by the percentage of membranous positive cells per slide, as in our previous study.21 PBS was used as the negative control.

Cell cultures

The human lung cancer cell lines, A549 and H1299, were obtained from the Shanghai Cell Bank (Shanghai, China)
and authenticated by short tandem repeat DNA profiling. All cells were cultured in the Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 medium, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in a high humidity. VP (S1786) was purchased from Selleckchem (Shanghai, China).

**Plasmids and transfection**

Wild-type (WT) pEGFP-C2-WWC3, pEGFP-C2-WWC3ΔWW, and the corresponding pEGFP-C2 empty vectors were gifts from Dr Joachim Kremerskothen (University of Münster, Germany), pGPU6-shNC-RFP, pGPU6-shWWC3-1-RFP, and pGPU6-shWWC3-2-RFP were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). LAT51 siRNA (sc-35797), YAP siRNA (sc-38637), and control siRNA (sc-37007) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA). Stable clonal cell lines were selected with 1,800 μg/mL of G418 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and were maintained at 900 μg/mL of G418. Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) transfection reagent was used for plasmid transfection.

**Western blot analysis**

Total protein from the cell lines was extracted with lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and quantified using the Bradford method. Sixty micrograms of protein were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10%). After transfer, the polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following antibodies: WWC3 (1:1,000; Sigma-Aldrich Co.), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; 1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), Occludin (3195, 1:1,000), E-cadherin (3195, 1:1,000), N-cadherin (13116, 1:1,000), ZEB1 (3396, 1:500), LAT51 (9153, 1:500), p-LAT51 (8654, 1:500), p-Y AP (4912, 1:500), Y AP (14074, 1:500), and 600-600 and the corresponding pEGFP-C2 empty vectors

**Immunofluorescence**

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin, and incubated with WWC3 antibody (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich Co.), and Vimentin (5741, 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4°C, followed by fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated secondary antibodies, added at room temperature for 1 h. Cells were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Epifluorescence microscopy was performed using an inverted Nikon TE300 microscope (Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY, USA), and confocal microscopy was performed using a Radiance 2000 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

**Wound healing assay**

Wounds were inflicted on the cells using a 200-μL pipette tip when the cultured cells reached a density of <90% confluence. The cells were washed to remove debris before subculturing in a 2% serum culture medium. Wound healing within the scrape line was observed at different time points, and representative scrape lines for each cell line were photographed. Duplicate wells for each condition were examined for each experiment, and each experiment was repeated repeated triplicate. The primer sequences used are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1 Primers for real-time qRT-PCR**

| Primer sequences (5′→3′) | WW3 | Snail | Slug | CDH1 | CDH2 | Occludin | β-actin |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|------|------|------|----------|--------|
| WW3                      | 5′ CAAGAGGCGATGTTGAGGGAA 3′ | 5′ CGCGTGCTTTGAACTCTG 3′ | 5′ AGTTAACCTCAGGAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GGCGCTCACCCGCCCAGAACAGA 3′ | 5′ CGGACAGCTACGCTTCAAGG 3′ | 5′ TACGCGCGCTGAGAGCTTAC 3′ |
| Snail                    | 5′ AgggGAGGTTTATCCGAGTCG 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ TCACAGGACGCTTACGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCATCCGGTACGCTTACGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ ATAGCAAGGCGTTTATCCGAGTCG 3′ | 5′ ATAGCAAGGCGTTTATCCGAGTCG 3′ |
| Slug                     | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCTGGTACGCTTACGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCTGGTACGCTTACGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ |
| CDH1                     | 5′ ATGGCTGAGGCTTCTGAGTCG 3′ | 5′ ATGGCTGAGGCTTCTGAGTCG 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGGCTGAGGCTTCTGAGTCG 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGGCTGAGGCTTCTGAGTCG 3′ |
| CDH2                     | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ |
| Occludin                 | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ ATGCCTGACTGCAAGTTAGCTTAC 3′ |
| β-actin                  | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ | 5′ GCCCTTTCCCACCTGCTCTAC 3′ |

**Abbreviations:** qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; WW3, and C2 domain containing protein-3; CDH1, E-cadherin; CDH2, N-cadherin.
in triplicate. The distance of the wound was optically measured using ImageJ software (Bethesda, MD, USA).

**Transwell invasion assays**

Transwell plates (Costar; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) precoated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) were used to perform the cell invasion assays. Briefly, the transwell plates were placed onto 24-well plates and a 500 mL of culture medium (15% fetal bovine serum [FBS]) per well was added to the lower chamber as a chemoattractant. Next, 100 mL of the cells (1×10^5) suspended in the culture medium with 2% FBS were added to the upper chamber. Cells in the chambers were incubated in a humidified incubator for 24 h. Cells were fixed in methanol for 15 min and stained with hematoxylin for 10 min. Five high power fields (400×) were randomly selected in each chamber to observe the cells, and Cell Counter software (Hercules, CA, USA) was used to count the stained invading cells. Each experimental group was repeated three times.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The IHC results were analyzed using the chi-square test and Spearman’s rank correlation. Differences between the groups were determined using Student’s t-test. P-values <0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

**WWC3 knockdown induces EMT features and is correlated with malignancy in lung cancer cells**

Deregulating the upstream Hippo pathway signaling molecules, such as Willin/FRMD6, induces the EMT; therefore, we examined the impact of WWC3 on the EMT process. A549 cells were expressed at the highest levels in the lung cancer cell lines we tested.16 We silenced WWC3 expression using two independent short-hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) in the A549 cells (selected by G418). Interestingly, A549 cells with the WWC3 knockdown displayed a spindle-like morphology with a scattered distribution indicative of an EMT feature, whereas the control group retained a cobblestone-like appearance with tight cell–cell junctions (Figure 1A). The EMT process is closely related to tumor malignancy;22 therefore, we performed wound healing and transwell assays to examine the influence of WWC3 silencing on the migration and invasion of lung cancer cells. The results indicated that a knockdown of WWC3 enhanced the wound healing and invasive abilities of A549 cells compared with those of the control group (Figure 1B–E). This suggests a close relationship between WWC3 expression levels and EMT features and tumor malignancy.

**WWC3 levels correlate with biomarker expression in EMT processes**

The EMT process is often accompanied by the epithelial markers E-cadherin (CDH1), ZO-1, and occludin and a gain of the mesenchymal marker N-cadherin (CDH2). Therefore, we transfected a WT WWC3 plasmid and its mutant, deleting the WW domain in the H1299 cell line, which exhibits low WWC3 expression.16 Western blot analysis confirmed that ectopic WWC3 expression significantly upregulated E-cadherin, ZO-1, and occludin and downregulated N-cadherin expression at both the protein and the mRNA levels; however, a lack of the WW domain abrogated this effect. Conversely, WWC3 knockdown using the two independent shRNAs had the opposite effect (Figure 2A–D). Immunofluorescence indicated that WWC3 silencing promoted the expression of N-cadherin (Figure 2E). IHC and statistical analysis showed that WWC3 is positively associated with E-cadherin expression in lung cancer specimens (P=0.002) and is negatively correlated with N-cadherin expression (P=0.022; Figure 2F and Table 2). These results indicate that the WWC3 level correlates with biomarker expression in EMT processes.

**WWC3 negatively regulates EMT-inducing transcription factors Snail and Slug**

Some transcription factors, such as Snail, Slug, and ZEB1, are key regulators of the EMT process. We examined the impact of WWC3 expression on these molecules. A Western blot analysis indicated that ectopic WT WWC3 expression significantly downregulated Snail and Slug, but not ZEB1, compared with the control group, and deleting the WW domain of WWC3 abrogated this effect. Conversely, WWC3 knockdown had the opposite effect (Figure 3A and B). These results were supported by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis (Figure 3C). To confirm the relationship between WWC3 and Snail and Slug in lung cancer specimens, IHC, and statistical analysis were performed. The results showed that WWC3 negatively correlates with Snail (P=0.001) and Slug (P=0.012) expression (Figure 3D and Table 3). Collectively, our findings demonstrated that WWC3 negatively regulates the EMT-inducing transcription factors Snail and Slug.
Figure 1 Knockdown of WWC3 induces the EMT and promotes the malignancy of lung cells.

Notes: (A) WWC3 silencing induces a morphology change in A549 cells. Representative phase-contrast images of cells growing in monolayer cultures and transfected with either shCTL or two independent shRNAs targeting WWC3 (WWC3-shRNA-1 and -2). (B and D) Representative photographs of scratched areas of the confluent cell monolayer at 0 and 24 h after wounding with the cell migration indexes shown. All experiments were performed in triplicate. (C and E) Boyden chamber transwell assay of cellular invasion and the mean number of cells in five fields per membrane. Data represent the mean±SD. **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 by Student’s t-test. The magnification is 400×.

Abbreviations: WWC3, WW and C2 domain containing protein-3; EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; shCTL, short-hairpin RNA control.
WWC3 activates the Hippo pathway via LAT51, suppressing EMT processes

Previous studies have confirmed that the dysregulation of multiple signaling transduction pathways, including the Hippo pathway, contributes to the EMT process.23 Recent reports indicate that YAP is imported into the nucleus to promote the transcription of Snail and Slug.24,25 In our previous work, we demonstrated that WWC3 can interact with LAT51 via its WW domain to promote the phosphorylation of LAT51 and YAP, thereby inhibiting YAP from being activated.
imported into the nucleus to activate the Hippo pathway. Thus, we assumed that WWC3 inhibits EMT features by activating the Hippo pathway. To confirm the relationship between YAP- and EMT-related molecules, we transfected a YAP plasmid into the A549 cell line. Western blot analysis showed that ectopic YAP expression downregulated WWC3 expression and increased expression levels of N-cadherin, Snail, and Slug (Figure 4A). This was consistent with findings on colorectal cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma reported by Ling et al, Wang et al, and Jayachandran et al.26,27,28
Western blot analysis demonstrated that WT WWC3 plasmid could upregulate the phosphorylation levels of LATS1 and YAP, the mutant lacking a WW domain, which was unable to interact with LATS1 and abrogated this role (Figure 4B). Next, we cotransfected shRNA-WWC3 and siRNA-LATS1 into A549 cells, and immunoblotting revealed that a LATS1 knockdown could reverse the WWC3-induced changes to the EMT-related molecules (Figure 4C). In addition, shRNA-WWC3 and shYAP were cotransfected into A549 cells, and the results also indicated that silencing YAP could reverse the EMT molecular changes caused by the WWC3 knockdown (Figure 4D). To determine whether WWC3 negatively regulates EMT processes by stimulating the Hippo pathway, VP, a YAP–TEAD interaction inhibitor, was also recognized as a Hippo pathway inhibitor. A Western blot analysis demonstrated that VP can reverse the changes to E-cadherin, N-cadherin, Snail, and Slug that were induced by WWC3 silencing, as do LATS1 and YAP knockdowns (Figure 4E). YAP knockdown also abrogated the enhanced migration and invasive abilities of lung cancer cells that were caused by the WWC3 knockdown (Figure 4F). Based on these results, we concluded that WWC3 inhibits EMT processes by stimulating the Hippo pathway.

**Discussion**

Lung cancer is an aggressive cancer composed of large amounts of functionally and phenotypically distinct cells.\(^1\) During cancer progression, neoplastic cells can undergo EMT processes and acquire stem-like traits, leading to metastatic dissemination, chemo-resistance, and poor prognosis, such as in liver cancer.\(^2\) The mechanisms that cause the malignant transition and cancer remain unclear. In this study, we demonstrated that downregulating WWC3 induces EMT features and enhances the migratory and invasive abilities of lung cancer cells. Combined with our previous findings that WWC3 expression was low in lung cancer cell lines and tissues, we assumed that WWC3 downregulation may be one of the primary causes of bronchial epithelial cell transition. However, the reasons for the low WWC3 expression in lung cancer, for example, methylation, gene mutation, and tissue specificity, need further investigation.

In recent decades, the correlation between the Hippo pathway and the EMT process has garnered much attention. Inactivating the Hippo pathway is correlated with the EMT process. Expression of YAP correlates with EMT marker expression and enhanced tumor migration, invasion, chemo-therapy resistance, and poor prognosis.\(^26,29,30\) Dysregulation of upstream molecules of the Hippo pathway, such as Wil-lin/FRMD6 and KIBRA, also contributes to inducing the EMT process. We found that ectopic expression of WWC3, also identified as an upstream molecule of the Hippo pathway, increases expression of the EMT-related biomarkers, such as E-cadherin, ZO-1, and occludin, and decreases N-cadherin expression. These results were confirmed by WWC3 gene silencing, which enhanced N-cadherin levels and decreased E-cadherin, ZO-1, and occludin levels. The immunoblotting assay showed that WWC3ΔWW plasmid transfection abrogated this effect as does the WT. Loss of E-cadherin expression is a hallmark of the EMT process, and transcription factors that regulate E-cadherin transcription such as Snail, Slug, and ZEB1 are the focus of investigation.\(^31\) We transfected a WWC3 WT plasmid and its mutant (ΔWW), and the result was that WT WWC3 repressed Snail and Slug expression, but not ZEB1. WWC3ΔWW had no effect. These changes were demonstrated by WWC3 knockdown using two independent shRNAs. The WW domain of WWC3 is key for the association between WWC3 and LATS1. Mutant WWC3 lacking a WW domain is unable to bind to LATS1; therefore, it cannot promote the phosphorylation of LATS1 and subsequently YAP. The importation level of the YAP nucleus then increases, enhancing Snail1 and Slug transcription. Recently, Tang et al\(^24,25\) reported that Snail and Slug interact with YAP and TAZ in stem cell self-renewal and differentiation and the mesenchymal stem cell function and bone formation. This demonstrates the potential relationship between the Hippo pathway and the EMT process. In our study, we observed that by interfering with LATS1 and YAP, WWC3 knockdown did not influence the EMT process, which was also confirmed by an inhibitor of the YAP–TEAD interaction (VP).

Recently, some researchers reported that YAP and TAZ could regulate PD-L1 expression in lung cancer cells.\(^32,33\) Ghiso et al\(^34\) reported that YAP can also mediate resistance to

**Table 3** Correlation of WWC3 with the expression of Snail and Slug in 127 NSCLC specimens

| EMT-markers | WWC3       | χ²  | P-value (two sided) |
|-------------|------------|-----|---------------------|
|             | Negative   |    |                     |
| Snail       |           |    |                     |
| Negative    | 26         | 10.863 | 0.001*               |
| Positive    | 37         |       |                     |
| Slug        |           |    |                     |
| Negative    | 33         | 6.842  | 0.012*               |
| Positive    | 39         |       |                     |

Note: *Significant difference.

Abbreviations: WWC3, WW and C2 domain containing protein-3; NSCLC, nonsmall-cell lung cancer.
EGFR inhibitors in NSCLC. These results implied the close relevance between Hippo pathway and TKIs or PD-1 inhibitors therapy. In our previous work, we demonstrated that WWC3 overexpression attenuates YAP nuclear localization. Hence, we hypothesize that WWC3 could serve as a new therapeutic target combined with TKIs or PD1/PD-L1 and probably may prolong survival of patients, but this needs further more investigation.

Figure 4 WWc3 activates the Hippo pathway via LATs1, suppressing the EMT process.

Notes: (A) YAP transfection in H1299 upregulates Snail and Slug expression. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (B) WT WWc3 upregulated Hippo-related key molecules, the phosphorylation levels of LATs1, and YAP, whereas mutant could not. WT or mutant plasmid was transfected into H1299 cells, and subsequently, the proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting. Total LATs1 and YAP were used as loading controls. (C and D) LATs1 or YAP knockdown reversed the changes of EMT caused by WWc3 silencing. A549 cells were transfected with shCTL, shWWc3, and siRNA-LATs1/siRNA-YAP as indicated, lysed, and analyzed by immunoblotting. (E) VP reversed the changes of EMT caused by WWc3 silencing. A549 cells were transfected with shRNA-WWC3 or control vector, and 36 h later, the YAP–TEAD interaction inhibitor VP was treated for 12 h. Cell lysates were then analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. (F) YAP silencing reversed the enhanced invasive ability of lung cancer cells caused by WWc3 knockdown. Boyden chamber transwell assay of cellular invasion and the mean number of cells in five fields per membrane (A549, shWWC3 vs control, number: 213 ± 14 vs 583 ± 17, P < 0.001; siRNA-YAP vs shWWC3+siRNA-YAP, number: 95 ± 10 vs 85 ± 5, P > 0.05). The magnification is 400×.

Abbreviations: WWc3, WW and c2 domain containing protein-3; LATs1, large tumor suppressor-1; EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; YAP, yes-associated protein; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; WT, wild type; shCTL, short-hairpin RNA control; VP, verteporfin; TEAD, TEA domain transcription factors; EV, empty vector.
Conclusion
Downregulating WWC3 in lung cancer cells decreases LATS1 interaction, attenuates YAP phosphorylation, and inhibits the Hippo pathway, thus promoting the EMT process and the migration and invasion of lung cancer (Figure 5).
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