1. INTRODUCTION

Ocean temperatures have been gradually increasing globally for decades, and are projected to continue to rise in the foreseeable future (IPCC 2018). In addition to this gradual warming, there has been an increase in the frequency and intensity of short but severe marine heatwaves (MHWs) (Oliver et al. 2018, 2019). Temperature is one of the most pervasive factors in determining marine species distributions (Perry et al. 2005, Poloczanska et al. 2013, Wernberg et al. 2013b). As such, increasing temperatures and acute heat events have affected flora and fauna worldwide, resulting in changing abundances (Schiel et al. 2004, Poloczanska et al. 2016, Bowler et al. 2017), changes in population structure (Sheridan & Bickford 2011), range shifts (Johnson et al. 2011, Smale & Wernberg 2013, Wernberg et al. 2016a), or local extinctions (Wernberg et al. 2016a, Straub et al. 2019, Thomsen et al. 2019).

Over the past decades, the marine environment in Western Australia (WA) has experienced a gradual increase in sea temperature (Pearce & Feng 2007), as well as the frequency and intensity of MHWs (Pearce et al. 2011a, Pearce & Feng 2013, Hobday et al. 2016). In the Australasian summer of 2010–2011, one of the
most extreme MHWs recorded globally occurred in WA (Pearce & Feng 2013, Wernberg et al. 2013a, Wernberg 2020), and was followed by 2 summers of anomalously high temperatures (Caputi et al. 2015). When considering both gradual warming and MHWs, Western Australian reefs are among the fastest warming locations in the world (Hobday & Pecl 2014). These reefs are at the western edge of the Great Southern Reef (GSR); a collective of temperate subtidal reefs stretching along the southern coast of Australia to Kalbarri in the northwest (Bennett et al. 2016). Here, dense stands of large canopy-forming macroalgae dominate the benthos (Coleman & Wernberg 2017, Wernberg et al. 2019). In temperate WA, Ecklonia radiata is the dominant macroalga, and supports a productive (De Bettignies et al. 2013), diverse (Kerswell 2006), and economically valuable ecosystem (Bennett et al. 2016).

The increasing temperature stress has had a profound effect on the canopy-forming macroalgae, and the 2011 MHW by itself resulted in the loss of an estimated 963 km² of kelp forest along the WA coast (Wernberg et al. 2016a). At the lower latitudes, where the MHW was most intense, 2 conspicuous canopy-forming species, E. radiata and Scytothalia dorycarpa, became functionally extinct, reducing the northern limit of their range by around 100 km (Smale & Wernberg 2013, Wernberg et al. 2016a). The resulting competitive release of space provided the opportunity for different species of benthic primary producers to exploit this resource. The loss of canopy was followed by an increase in abundance and cover of turf algae (Wernberg et al. 2013a, 2016a, Bennett et al. 2015, Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018), and subsequently corals (Tuckett et al. 2017).

As these canopy-forming macroalgae are foundation species in the temperate subtidal ecosystem, their reduction resulted in changes to associated mobile invertebrate communities (Smale et al. 2017). The most dramatic changes were seen at the northern edge of the temperate bioregion, where the canopy-forming macroalgae went functionally extinct. Here, no mobile invertebrates were encountered directly after the MHW. Furthermore, urchins with warm- to tropical affinities increased in abundance, while urchins and gastropods with temperate affinities decreased (Smale et al. 2017). The magnitude of the changes seen in the invertebrate communities was relative to the severity of the impact of the MHW, highest in the lower latitudes to negligible in the higher latitudes.

While the changes to foundation species will often affect the associated invertebrate community, it can also work the other way around. In kelp forests worldwide, urchins can cause the collapse of the dominant kelp (Steneck et al. 2002, Ling 2008, Ling et al. 2015), or maintain a barren benthic state after the kelp has disappeared (Filbee-Dexter & Scheibling 2014). No large-scale urchin barrenns have been reported in WA. However, on the east coast of Australia and Tasmania, where warming currents are bringing urchin larvae southwards (Johnson et al. 2005, Ling et al. 2008), and predators such as rock lobster have been overfished (Ling & Johnson 2012), Centrostephanus rodgersii (long-spined sea urchin) abundance has increased, which led to the overgrazing of macroalgae (Andrew & Underwood 1993, Andrew & O’Neill 2000, Johnson et al. 2005).

This research builds on the available historical data on the distributions and abundance of mobile invertebrates (Vanderklift & Kendrick 2004, Wernberg et al. 2008) along the WA temperate coast, and the response of the mobile invertebrate community to the 2011 MHW (Smale et al. 2017). We investigate the ongoing response of mobile invertebrate communities subjected to both gradual ocean warming and MHWs by comparing the abundance and diversity of all mobile invertebrates, as well as the size distribution of the most abundant and conspicuous gastropod (Lunella torquatus) populations, over a decadal time-span and a latitudinal gradient. This gradient spans reefs severely impacted by the MHW at the lower latitudes, to reefs only mildly affected at the higher latitudes, while all locations along the gradient have been exposed to gradual warming. We hypothesize that the gradual warming and extreme heat event work synergistically, and as such we expect to find the biggest changes to the mobile invertebrate communities over time at the lower latitudes, where the cumulative effects have been largest.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study location

This study focussed on 3 locations in south-western WA: Jurien Bay, Marmion Lagoon (hereafter referred to as ‘Marmion’), and Hamelin Bay, which are separated by roughly 2° of latitude while having similar longitudes (Table 1, Fig. 1). Due to this north to south orientation, ocean temperatures reflect the latitudinal gradient, generally being 1–2°C warmer in the lower latitudes and cooler in the higher latitudes (Table 1, Fig. 1). Similarly, the 2011 MHW also had the biggest impact at low latitudes and decreasing impact with increasing latitude (Table 1) (Wernberg et al. 2018).
2.2. Temperature profiles

Temperature profiles were constructed for each location using sea surface temperature (SST) measured by satellite (IMOS 2019). For each location a mean monthly SST was calculated from January 1993 through to June 2019. A baseline monthly average was calculated by taking the mean SST from each month between January 1993 and December 2010, which was then subtracted from the mean SST for each month from January 2011 to June 2019 to get the SST anomaly for each month. To determine the gradual temperature increase over time, mean summer SST was calculated by taking the mean temperature in March each year. Linear regression was used to calculate the rate of annual SST increase.

2.3. Sample collection

Mobile invertebrate abundances were determined at 3–7 sites per location between 2016 and 2019 (for sampling regime details, see Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m656p227_supp.pdf). Seasonal variation in sampling time was not included as a factor, as previous studies had found it to be a non-significant contributor to variability of the mobile invertebrate abundances (Vanderklift & Kendrick 2004). To determine the changes in the invertebrate communities over 15 yr, the new surveys were compared to data published by Vanderklift & Kendrick (2004), which were collected between 1999 and 2001. Using the methods described by Vanderklift & Kendrick (2004), 12 non-overlapping transects (5 m²) were surveyed at each site by divers on SCUBA. Six transects were placed on reef flat (i.e. where the reef surface is functionally horizontal), and 6 were placed at the base of reef walls (i.e. where the reef surface is roughly vertical). All mobile invertebrates larger than 10 mm were visually identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level, and counted for abundance. Individuals not identifiable in situ were photographed and identified using additional literature (Atlas of Living Australia 2019).

Separate to the abundance surveys, the size frequency of the conspicuous and most abundant gas-
tropod, *Lunella torquatus*, was determined for each location. Between 2006 and 2019, 10 min free roaming searches were performed by divers on SCUBA, and all *L. torquatus* individuals found were collected (See Table S1 for sampling regime details). The size of each individual was subsequently determined by measuring the spire height to the nearest millimeter using Vernier callipers (Wernberg et al. 2008). After measuring, all individuals were returned to the reef. While this data does not go back as far as the abundance data, it does encompass a 13 yr period in which the MHW occurred. Time frames were constructed by pooling all samples from each site in their respective location from before the onset of the MHW (2006–2010; pre-MHW), the anomalously warm period of the MHW and directly after (2011–2014; MHW), and the subsequent cool period (2015–2017; post-MHW) (see Fig. 2B). Due to the high mobility of *L. torquatus* (Ettinger-Epstein & Kingsford 2008), populations that were sampled at sites which were revisited in subsequent years were assumed to be temporally independent and therefore counted as separate replicates.

### 2.4. Statistical analyses

All statistical tests were done using R 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019). PCO analysis was performed on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of the presence/absence of the invertebrates between transects at each location. The difference between years for each location was determined using PERMANOVA (999 permutations) on the same dissimilarity. For both the PCO and PERMANOVA empty transects were removed. To determine which species contributed most to the dissimilarities between years, a SIMPER analysis was performed over the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of the log transformed densities of invertebrates of each transect, disregarding location. The PCO, PERMANOVA, and SIMPER analyses were performed using the ‘Vegan’ package (Oksanen et al. 2010). For comparing mean densities and richness, a nested 2-way factorial linear mixed effects (LME) model with restricted maximum likelihood approach was performed using the ‘lme4’ package (Bates et al. 2007). In this model Transect was nested within Site, Site was a random effect, and Location (3 levels; Hamelin Bay, Marmion, Jurien Bay) and Year (2 levels; 1999–2001, 2016–2019) were fixed effects. For the LMEs the data was log-transformed, and residuals visually inspected for linearity, normality, and homogeneity of variance. A post-hoc Tukey HSD test was used to compare individual means. Probability densities of the *L. torquatus* populations were generated using Kernel Density Estimates (KDE) based on the pooled size counts for each location and time frame, using Silverman’s rule of thumb (Silverman 1986) to determine the bandwidth. Dissimilarities of the size distributions between time frames were then tested using a 2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Temperature

The rate of yearly SST increase (gradual warming) was similar between locations, increasing at a rate of 0.0192, 0.0201, and 0.0242°C yr⁻¹ in Jurien Bay, Marmion, and Hamelin Bay, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 2A). While the SST anomaly during the MHW at each location was of comparable magnitude (2–3°C; Fig. 2B), the higher SSTs at lower latitudes resulted in higher peak temperatures during the MHW (27.97, 26.37, and 24.32°C from low to high latitude; Table 1). After the initial MHW, 2 more years of anomalously high SST were seen, after which a cool phase was seen from 2014 onwards, where SST anomalies were generally cooler and less extreme (Fig. 2B).

#### 3.2. Invertebrate communities

The mean abundance and richness per transect were lower in 2016–2019 than in 1999–2001 (Table 2, Fig. 3). Additionally, when comparing between locations, the mean abundance and richness in Marmion was higher than in Hamelin Bay or Jurien Bay (Table 2, Fig. 3). Comparing individual means over time, Jurien Bay showed a significant reduction in both density and diversity, in Hamelin Bay only the diversity was lower. The reduction in density or diversity over time in Marmion was minimal (Fig. 3). There was a latitudinal gradient seen in the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities over time at each location, with dissimilarities decreasing with increasing latitude. This is seen in the PCO (Fig. 4) and PERMANOVA analyses, where the biggest dissimilarity was found in Jurien Bay (pseudo-\(F_{1,68} = 8.103, p < 0.001\)), followed by Marmion (pseudo-\(F_{1,348} = 7.227, p < 0.001\)), while in Hamelin Bay the dissimilarity was relatively small (pseudo-\(F_{1,68} = 1.385, p = 0.218\); see Table S2 in the Supplement).
3.3. Individual species abundances

Over 60% of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between years came from 4 species: urchins Centrostephanus tenuispinus (warm temperate affinity), Heliocidaris erythrogramma (cool temperate), and Phyllacanthus irregularis (cool temperate); and gastropod Lunella torquatus (cool temperate; see Table S2 for full SIMPER results). These species combined accounted for 70.9% of total abundance counts. There was no significant difference in the mean densities of any of the individual species over time, however Lunella torquatus was found to have higher densities in Marmion than at the other locations (Table 2, Fig. 5). There was no latitudinal pattern in the densities of either H. erythrogramma or Lunella torquatus (Fig. 5). Historically, C. tenuispinus and P. irregularis showed a similar trend of decreasing density towards higher latitudes, however over time C. tenuispinus densities increased, while P. irregularis decreased. The biggest changes were seen at the lowest latitude (Jurien Bay), where C. tenuispinus showed a mean increase of 0.56 ind. 5 m−2, and P. irregularis a decrease of 0.68 ind. 5 m−2. The magnitude of these changes decreased with increasing latitude and little change was seen in the highest latitude (Hamelin Bay) where C. tenuispinus was not recorded in any transect, and P. irregularis remained at densities below 0.1 ind. 5 m−2. Furthermore, while C. tenuispinus was not found on the reef flats at any location in 1999–2001, in 2016–2019...

Table 2. Linear mixed effects (LME) model using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) approach output. Transects were nested in Site, Site was a random effect, Location was a fixed effect (3 levels: Hamelin Bay, Marmion, Jurien Bay), and Year was a fixed effect (2 levels: 1999–2001, 2016–2019). Significant values (p < 0.05) in bold

| Model | NumDF | DenDF | MS    | F     | Pr(>F) |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Total abundance | Year  | 1     | 22.6  | 4.1173| 9.7053 | 0.005  |
| Location        | 2     | 22.1  | 4.3097| 10.1589| 0.001  |
| Year × Location | 2     | 22.1  | 0.3895| 0.9182| 0.414  |
| Richness        | Year  | 1     | 23.4  | 2.9069| 13.9717| 0.001  |
| Location        | 2     | 22.8  | 1.8465| 8.8751| 0.001  |
| Year × Location | 2     | 22.8  | 0.3008| 1.4460| 0.256  |
| Centrostephanus tenuispinus Year | 1     | 21.4  | 0.3709| 3.0304| 0.096  |
| Location        | 2     | 21.1  | 0.2828| 2.3101| 0.124  |
| Year × Location | 2     | 21.1  | 0.1847| 1.5091| 0.244  |
| Phyllacanthus irregularis Year | 1     | 21.3  | 1.0450| 1.5109| 0.232  |
| Location        | 2     | 21.0  | 1.2913| 1.8670| 0.179  |
| Year × Location | 2     | 21.0  | 0.7248| 1.0479| 0.368  |
| Heliocidaris erythrogramma Year | 1     | 23.9  | 4.1483| 3.1244| 0.090  |
| Location        | 2     | 23.4  | 0.7909| 0.5957| 0.559  |
| Year × Location | 2     | 23.4  | 0.1071| 0.1409| 0.869  |
| Lunella torquatus Year | 1     | 23.8  | 0.1914| 1.0403| 0.318  |
| Location        | 2     | 23.5  | 0.8504| 4.6217| 0.020  |
| Year × Location | 2     | 23.5  | 0.0006| 0.0031| 0.997  |
over 20% of *C. tenuispinus* individuals (11 of 50) were recorded on the reef flat in Jurien Bay, resulting in a mean density of 0.31 ± 0.17 ind. 5 m⁻² (mean ± SE).

### 3.4. Size frequency distribution of *Lunella torquatus*

When comparing the size distributions of *L. torquatus* over the latitudinal gradient (Fig. 6, left column), the peak of size frequency shifted from predominantly small individuals (~50 mm) at the lowest latitude (Jurien Bay), to predominantly large individuals (~90 mm) at the highest latitude (Hamelin Bay). In between, Marmion showed 2 peaks (~40 and ~100 mm), with the bigger peak for the smaller sized individuals. While the dissimilarity from Jurien Bay to Marmion to Hamelin was very similar in the period prior to the MHW (KS test: \( D = 0.40, p < 0.001 \) and \( D = 0.46, p < 0.001 \), respectively), the Marmion population in the cool phase following the MHW (Fig. 6, right column) was more similar to the population in Jurien Bay (KS test: \( D = 0.36, p = 0.190 \)) than it was to Hamelin Bay (KS test: \( D = 0.75, p < 0.001 \)). However, the efficacy of the KS test was limited by the decrease in abundance of *L. torquatus* in Jurien since the MHW (see also Table S1).

The biggest differences in *L. torquatus* size distribution over time were seen between the pre-MHW and MHW phases, as well as MHW and the post-MHW phases in Jurien Bay (Fig. 6, first row; KS test: \( D = 0.63, p = 0.008 \) and \( D = 0.65, p = 0.034 \)) and Marmion (Fig. 6, second row; KS test: \( D = 0.42, p < 0.001 \) and \( D = 0.51, p < 0.001 \)). For both Jurien Bay and Marmion smaller individuals dominated the size distribution before the MHW, with the peak frequencies around 50 mm and 40 mm, respectively. Marmion showed a secondary peak with individuals around 100 mm. In the MHW phase, the number of individuals declined and only

---

*Fig. 3. Density and richness of mobile invertebrates per transect (mean ± SE) at Hamelin Bay (n = 120), Marmion (n = 444), and Jurien Bay (n = 108) over a 20 yr time interval. Dark bars indicate 1999–2001 census, light bars indicate recent 2016–2019 surveys. Asterisks indicate significant differences between individual means 1999–2001 and 2016–2019 per location (Tukey HSD, p < 0.05)*

*Fig. 4. PCO of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of the presence/absence of mobile invertebrates per transect at Hamelin Bay (n = 70), Marmion (n = 350), and Jurien Bay (n = 70) over a 15 yr time interval. Open triangles indicate 1999–2001 census, closed triangles indicate recent 2016–2019 surveys. See Table S3 in the Supplement for details on PERMANOVA analysis of dissimilarities*
few were found and measured, with *L. torquatus* now absent from some sites in Jurien Bay. The defining peaks of small individuals were also lost, resulting in a distribution that was more evenly spread out from the centre. After the MHW, the peaks of small individuals returned to both Jurien Bay and Marmion. Comparing the size distributions before the MHW to after the MHW directly for these locations, there was a smaller difference in the distributions (KS test, $D = 0.12$, $p = 0.999$ and $D = 0.16$, $p = 0.018$, respectively). In Hamelin Bay the size distributions were relatively consistent over time compared to the other locations (Fig. 6, third row). Here, size distributions were dominated by larger individuals, with peak frequencies slightly below 100 mm size. While there were no significant differences between successive phases ($p = 0.356$ and $p = 0.104$ for
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**Fig. 5.** Densities of the 4 most abundant mobile invertebrates per transect (mean ± SE) at Hamelin Bay ($n = 120$), Marmion ($n = 444$), and Jurien Bay ($n = 108$) over a 15 yr time interval. Dark bars indicate 1999–2001 census, light bars indicate recent 2016–2019 surveys. Asterisks indicate significant difference between individual means of 1999–2001 and 2016–2019 per location (Tukey HSD, $p < 0.05$)

---

**Fig. 6.** Size frequencies (bars) and probability densities of size distribution (black line) of *Lunella torquatus* individuals based on Kernel Density Estimates (KDE) found at Jurien Bay ($n = 77$), Marmion ($n = 768$), and Hamelin Bay ($n = 256$) divided into 3 time phases; pre-MHW (2006–2010) in the left column, MHW (2011–2014) in the middle, and post-MHW (2015–2017) on the right. Bandwidth of each KDE was 7.48 mm calculated using Silverman’s rule of thumb. Kolmogorov-Smirnov $D$-values are given to indicate dissimilarity between size distributions connected with dashed arrow line, with significant values ($p < 0.05$) in black, while non-significant values ($p ≥ 0.05$) are in grey.
pre-MHW to MHW to post-MHW, respectively), there was a significant difference from before the MHW to after the MHW (KS test: \( D = 0.25, p = 0.029 \)). After the MHW, the most frequent size class was slightly larger than before, and smaller individuals are nearly absent.

4. DISCUSSION

The north–south orientation of the WA coastline makes it a tremendous area to study the effect of temperature on an ecosystem, as the latitudinal gradient serves as a proxy for cumulative heat stress of combined gradual warming and impact of a recent acute heat event. Although there are inherent confounding effects from environmental parameters such as light, nutrients, and wave exposure that could influence the mobile invertebrate communities at the site level, temperature is likely the main driver between locations as it varies most consistently over latitude (Wernberg et al. 2010). We found the biggest changes to the mobile invertebrate community at the lowest latitude, whereas changes at middle and high latitudes were less pronounced. There was a latitudinal pattern in the increase of *Centrostephanus tenuispinus* (warm-temperate affinity), and in the decrease of *Phyllacanthus irregularis* (cool-temperate affinity) densities, where the magnitude of change decreased with increasing latitude. The size frequency distribution of cool-temperate *Lunella torquatus* reflected the impact of the MHW; however, the recovery seen in the size distribution was not seen in the total abundances.

4.1. Thermal stress gradient

As the coastline investigated forms a transition zone between the temperate Flindersian and the tropical Damperian region (Huisman et al. 1998, Wernberg et al. 2013b), low latitude temperate populations are at the warm edge of their distribution and thus vulnerable to temperature stress (Sunday et al. 2012, Wernberg et al. 2013a). The gradual warming identified here was in the same order of magnitude at all locations. Despite high inter-annual variability (i.e. low \( r^2 \) values of the correlation of mean SST over time; Table 1), the annual increases in SST at each location were comparable to that reported for the region more broadly (Pearce & Feng 2007). The SST anomalies recorded during the MHW were also similar at all locations, but the higher mean absolute temperatures at the lower latitudes resulted in higher peak SSTs. As such, the gradient in peak temperatures likely influenced the gradient of impact of the MHW (Wernberg et al. 2013a, 2016a, 2018). Combining both gradual increases and MHW impact, we can use the latitudinal gradient as a proxy for temperature stress each location experienced over the past 2 decades; high in the lowest latitude (Jurien Bay), medium in the middle latitude (Marmion), and low in the high latitude (Hamelin Bay) location.

The impact of the MHW on mobile invertebrates is clearly shown in the size distributions of *L. torquatus*, and corresponds with the reduction of absolute abundance reported directly following the MHW (Smale et al. 2017). However, while the size distributions returned to pre-MHW patterns, the absolute abundance remained reduced for all species except the warm temperate urchin *C. tenuispinus*. This suggests that aside from direct lethal effects through heat stress and hypoxia (Pearce & Feng 2013), there are additional indirect pathways through which temperature stress could have affected the mobile invertebrate communities on WA’s temperate reefs, which persist after multiple years of cooler SSTS. One of the biggest effects of the marine heatwave was the reduction in the density and cover of canopy-forming macroalgae (Smale & Wernberg 2013, Wernberg et al. 2013a), which has shown little to no recovery (Wernberg et al. 2016a, Wernberg 2020). The concept of the loss of a foundation species leading to the loss of the diversity and abundance of dependent species is well established (Ellison et al. 2005, Hoegh-Guldberg & Bruno 2010, Thomson et al. 2015). This is what was recorded just after the MHW in WA, where the complete loss of canopy in Kalbarri coincided with the decimation of the mobile invertebrates that were living there (Smale et al. 2017). Furthermore, there has been a clear link established between *L. torquatus* and the need of canopy as shelter (Ettinger-Epstein & Kingsford 2008). The reduction in available habitat could be reducing the carrying capacity for the species that depend on them, resulting in lower absolute abundances.

Moreover, even for most invertebrates that do not depend on macroalgal canopy for habitat, a reduction in abundance was recorded (e.g. *H. erythrogramma, P. irregularis*). As the main benthic primary producers on temperate reefs, macroalgae provide a significant amount of energy production to the ecosystem, in the form of standing biomass consumed by herbivores (Vanderklift et al. 2006, Crawley & Hyn-
des 2007), exuded carbon for bacteria and filter feeders (Wada et al. 2007, Rix et al. 2017), and detritus as the macroalgae senesce and erode (De Bettignies et al. 2013). As the abundance of previously dominant *E. radiata* is reduced in favour of *Sargassum* species or turf algae (Wernberg et al. 2016b, Filbee-Dexter & Wernberg 2018), there could be a reduction of primary production which would limit the resources needed to maintain the total abundance of mobile invertebrates recorded pre-MHW. Furthermore, with the increase in abundance of *C. tenuispinus* there might be more competition, resulting in the decrease in *P. irregularis* in particular, since it shares a similar diet to *C. tenuispinus* (Vanderklift et al. 2006).

### 4.2. Increase in Centrostephanus tenuispinus abundance

The only species which showed significant increase in abundance was *C. tenuispinus*. While there are no reports of urchin barrens being present on Western Australian temperate reefs, localized high densities of *C. tenuispinus* (5.0 ± 0.8 ind. m$^{-2}$) on Hall Bank, Marmion Lagoon co-occurs with the highest percent cover of high latitude corals in the world (Thomson & Frisch 2010). It has been suggested that the high herbivory rate of *C. tenuispinus* is the main mechanism for subduing the otherwise dominant macroalgal canopy, in favour of the corals (Thomson & Frisch 2010). There is indeed strong evidence of the importance of herbivory for the long term success of corals in other systems (Hughes et al. 2007). While the abundances of *C. tenuispinus* have increased in both Marmion and Jurien Bay, with the highest densities found on reef walls in Jurien Bay (~0.23 ind. m$^{-2}$), these are still an order of magnitude lower compared to densities found on barrens elsewhere in Australia. The congener *C. rodgersii* has been reported at densities of ~3 ind. m$^{-2}$ in NSW (Andrew & Underwood 1989) and ~2 ind. m$^{-2}$ in Tasmania (Ling & Johnson 2009). Furthermore, the densities needed to change the canopy dominated state to barren is suggested to be several times higher than the densities needed to maintain barrens (Hill et al. 2003).

An investigation into the diet of *C. tenuispinus* on temperate reefs showed an abundance of animal tissues in the stomach content, as well as an elevated δ$^{15}$N compared to that of the dominant macroalgae, *Ecklonia radiata* (Vanderklift et al. 2006). This suggests that *C. tenuispinus* is not predominantly feeding on macroalgae, but more on sponges and ascidians. This could be the result of selective feeding on sources with high nitrogen, and selective feeding has been reported for *C. rodgersii* (Wright et al. 1997). Due to the habitat partitioning where *C. tenuispinus* is predominantly found on the reef walls combined with strong site fidelity, the grazing by *C. tenuispinus* would be focussed mostly on the areas dominated by sessile filter feeders, such as the sponges and ascidians found abundantly in the gut content. As such, opportunistic feeding should not be ruled out as a possible explanation for the *C. tenuispinus* dietary preference. It is therefore alarming to see the increase in *C. tenuispinus* abundances on the reef flats in Jurien Bay, where they could contribute to an ongoing reduction or collapse of macroalgal canopies in the near future.

### 4.3. Size structure of L. torquatus populations

The magnitude of the difference in the size structure of the *L. torquatus* populations reflected the impact gradient of the MHW. At the highest latitude location (Hamelin Bay), where despite increased temperatures the MHW impact was negligible (Smale & Wernberg 2013, Wernberg et al. 2013a, 2018), the changes to the size structure of the population was not significant. At lower latitudes, where significant changes were seen at both Marmion and Jurien Bay, the frequency peak for smaller individuals disappeared suggesting an impact on recruitment or young individuals. Multiple factors could have contributed to this reduction: direct lethal effects from passing a thermal threshold (Pörtner 2001, Sokolova et al. 2012), susceptibility to predation (e.g. shelter loss (Ettinger-Epstein & Kingsford 2008), loss of foot strength (Leung et al. 2017), loss of coordinated locomotion (Diaz et al. 2011, Vinagre et al. 2015)), and/or energy reserve depletion (Leung et al. 2017). The decimation at multiple sites in Jurien Bay suggests that the thermal threshold here was surpassed, but the slightly lower temperatures in Marmion may have shifted the main contributing factors to favour survival in larger individuals, which became relatively more abundant. A similar pattern was found for *Turbo intercostalis* — a relative of *L. torquatus* — at Point Quobba, WA (24° 29' S, 113° 24' E), after a catastrophic event (Joll 1980).

After the MHW, *L. torquatus* remained absent at sites in Jurien Bay where populations were found before the MHW, although increase in frequency of smaller individuals at one of the surveyed sites indicates that there is still some level of recruitment. Similarly at Marmion there was a recruitment of smaller
individuals, while there was a relative decrease of larger individuals. This decrease could be attributed to the cohort of larger individuals naturally expiring without being replaced by the next cohort, which was heavily impacted by the heatwave. The recovery here, however, was seen at all sites and the size structure of the population was more similar to that before the MHW. Likely aiding the recovery are high historical abundances (Vanderklift & Kendrick 2004, Wernberg et al. 2008) and more potential for planktonic larvae to be brought down by the Leeuwin Current (Caputi et al. 1996, Pearce et al. 2011b), as it is further south of the northern edge of the distribution range, which would supplement asynchronous spawning events (Joll 1980, Ward & Davis 2002). Despite the recovery of the size structure of the population, total abundances remain lower than before the MHW. Considering that the reduction in canopy cover persists to this day (Wernberg et al. 2016a, Wernberg 2020), it is possible that this reduction in the available habitat for the species on the reef flats to exploit lowers the carrying capacity for mobile invertebrates of the reef.

### 4.4. Conclusions

The latitudinal gradient along the WA coast can serve as a proxy for cumulative heat stress over the last decades, with lower latitudes under higher stress than higher latitudes. While more factors (e.g. habitat loss, resource competition) could influence absolute abundances, warm temperate urchin *C. tenuispinus* increased, while cool temperate urchin *P. irregularis* decreased in abundance relative to this heat stress gradient. At the lowest latitude location, the *C. tenuispinus* population has expanded onto the reef flats, where before it was restricted to the reef walls. Although this makes the macroalgae here susceptible to grazing, densities have not yet approached those reported for urchin barrens elsewhere. While cool temperate gastropod *L. torquatus* did not show a trend in absolute densities over the latitudinal gradient, there was a change in size-frequency distribution relative to the impact of the MHW, which did recover. The trend in size distribution over latitude however, does show a reduction in frequency of larger individuals at lower latitude. As sea temperatures are projected to continue to increase, so will the thermal stress on the temperate ecosystems. Populations at the warm edge of their distribution are showing larger changes, a response which over time can be expected to increase in magnitude, and also start occurring in higher latitudes.
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