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Abstract
The synthesis of 5-nitro-1-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-1\(\lambda^3\),2-benziodaoxol-3-one (3), a hypervalent-iodine-based electrophilic trifluoromethylating reagent, is described. Whereas considerations based on cyclic voltammetry and X-ray structural properties would predict an inferior reactivity when compared to the non-nitratederivative 2, \(^{19}\text{F}\) NMR kinetic studies showed that this new derivative is almost one order of magnitude more reactive. Furthermore, differential scanning calorimetry measurements indicated that, in addition, it is also safer to handle.

Introduction
Since the advent of the hypervalent-iodine-based electrophilic trifluoromethylation reagents 1 and 2 in 2006 they have found widespread application in organic synthesis (Figure 1) [1-4]. Recently, increasing interest has been directed towards the photocatalytic one-electron reduction of these compounds in order to generate the highly electrophilic trifluoromethyl radical [5-7].

For example, Gouverneur et al. have highlighted the regioselective allylic trifluoromethylation employing reagent 2, [Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2 and allylsilanes as substrates [7]. In this context, also extensive electrochemical characterizations of various electrophilic trifluoromethylating agents are now available [8].

Figure 1: Electrophilic trifluoromethylating agents 1 and 2.
Scheme 1: Synthesis of 5-nitro-1-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-1λ3,2-benziodaoxol-3-one (3).

Results and Discussion

The direct nitration of 2-iodobenzoic acid (4) has been reported before and was carried out on a 10 gram scale in a mixture of HNO3 and H2SO4 at 135 °C (Scheme 1) [10]. Reductive work-up using an aqueous acidic solution of potassium iodide furnished the desired product 5 in 11–46% yield (29% average yield of four experiments). Subsequent oxidation was centered on an improved synthesis of reagent 2 employing trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCICA) as cheap, stoichiometric oxidant, as recently reported from our laboratory [11]. Although compound 5 was readily converted to 6 in hot MeCN with TCICA in 90% yield an alternative protocol was found advantageous in terms of overall reaction time, solvent consumption and time required to dry the product. Consequently, treatment of 5 in a mixture of DCM/t-BuOH 9:1 at 0 °C with t-BuOCl under exclusion of light led to the immediate precipitation of 1-chloro-5-nitro-3H-1λ3,2-benziodaoxol-3-one (6) in 81–93% yield (89% average yield of five experiments). This step was routinely carried out on a 3 gram scale in less than 5 minutes. Finally, introduction of the trifluoromethyl group was achieved by stirring 6 and anhydrous KF in hot MeCN, followed by treatment with Ruppert–Prakash reagent (TMSCF3) at 0 °C yielding 10–35% of the desired product 3 as a pale yellow solid. Unfortunately, a slightly more economic route employing KOAc instead of KF was not feasible. Additionally, the purity of the product obtained was dependent on the grade of KF that was used. Therefore, spray-dried KF (99%) was further flame-dried under high vacuum immediately before use.

Inspection of the 13C NMR chemical shift of the quaternary phenyl carbon attached to iodine (C Ar-I) in 5 and comparison to literature data available for 2-iodobenzoic acid (4) indeed confirmed the installation of an electron-poor center [12]. Hence, in the parent acid 4 C Ar-I gives rise to a signal at 94.1 ppm and in 5 the corresponding carbon atom is deshielded by 9.6 ppm and observed at 103.7 ppm. The same observation holds true for 6 and its unmodified analogue 7 (104.0 ppm versus 94.1 ppm, Δβ = 9.9 ppm) as well as for 3 and 2 (121.8 ppm versus 114.8, Δβ = 7 ppm). Furthermore, this comparison highlights that the replacement of the chlorine atom by the CF3 group to a certain degree compensates the electronic effects imposed by the NO2 group as indicated by the lessened extent of deshielding. Nitration also had a distinct effect on the electronic spectrum of the final reagent. Solutions of 2 and 3 in DMSO/H2O 1:1 were studied at concentrations of 9.7 × 10−5 M and 9.1 × 10−5 M, respectively. A graphic representation of the molar absorptivity ε vs. the corresponding wavelengths is presented in Figure 2. Parent reagent 2 features an absorbance maximum at λmax = 237 nm (ε = 4.3 × 103 M−1 cm−1) and two
resolved sidebands at 272 nm ($\varepsilon = 1.1 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) and 280 nm ($\varepsilon = 1.0 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$), respectively. In contrast, the nitrated product 3 exhibits a maximum $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 236$ nm ($\varepsilon = 8.9 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) and features a broad sideband ranging from 260 nm ($\varepsilon = 8.0 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) up to 350 nm, hence accounting for its pale yellow coloration. Generally, these observations are in line with data reported on the analogous pair of iodobenzene and 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene [13,14]. However, whereas the broad sideband in 1-iodo-4-nitrobenzene is usually observed around 300 nm, a strong hypsochromic shift of roughly 40 nm is observed for 3. This can be explained by the installation of the hypervalent bond and the presence of the strongly electron-withdrawing CF$_3$ group, as also indicated by the carbon chemical shift analysis.

Compounds 6 and 3 were also characterized by means of X-ray crystallography. Due to the very poor solubility of 6 in most common solvents, suitable single crystals for solid-state analysis were grown from a saturated solution in hot MeCN that was slowly cooled to $-20^\circ$C. Similarly, suitable crystals of 3 were obtained from a boiling solution in bromobenzene. ORTEP representations of compounds crystallizes in plain sheets, forms zig-zag-shaped layers. Whereas 3 was slowly cooled to $-20^\circ$C. Similarly, suitable crystals of 3 were obtained from a boiling solution in bromobenzene.

Table 1: Selected bond lengths and angles for compounds 2, 3, 6, 7.

| Entry | $\text{I}^-$-$\text{X}$ [Å] | $\text{I}^-$-$\text{O}^2$ [Å] | $\text{O}^2$-$\text{I}^-$-$\text{X}$ [°] |
|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 7$^a$ | 2.461(1)            | 2.091(3)            | 171.96(8)           |
| 6    | 2.4409(11)          | 2.148(3)            | 170.52(8)           |
| 2$^b$| 2.219(4)            | 2.283(2)            | 170.49(12)          |
| 3    | 2.200(6)            | 2.306(4)            | 169.01(17)          |

$^a$Reference [15]. $^b$Reference [1].

Both structures are stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds (NO$_2$···H–Ar) [16] and by short intermolecular I–O$^2$ contacts, as typical for this class of compounds (see Supporting Information File 1). These structural findings also account for the slow dissolution kinetics observed.

Generally, the introduction of the NO$_2$ functionality is associated with a shortening of the I–X bond ($\Delta = 0.0201$ Å for $X = \text{Cl}$; $\Delta = 0.019$ Å for $X = \text{CF}_3$) and concomitant elongation of the I–O$^2$ bond ($\Delta = 0.057$ Å for $X = \text{Cl}$; $\Delta = 0.023$ Å for $X = \text{CF}_3$). Furthermore, the O$^2$–I–X angles are comparable for all four structures being 1 to 1.5° smaller for the nitro-substituted compounds. When comparing the same unit I–X within the analogous compounds 2 and 3, a shorter bond would intuitively correspond to a stronger bond. Therefore, based on simple geometrical parameters, reagent 3 was expected to be slightly less reactive compared to 2, meaning that reductive cleavage of the I–CF$_3$ bond should display a higher activation barrier.

Recently, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements for reagent 2 and its precursors were published indicating a decomposition energy of 159 kJ/mol (502 J/g) for 2 [17]. Furthermore, a Koenen test suggested explosiveness. Consequently in light of these results, DSC measurements of 2 and 3 were carried out in order to assess the thermal stability of the new derivative. These measurements were performed in punctured Al$_2$O$_3$ pans with a heating rate of 10 K/min. For reagent 2, a decomposition energy of 138 kJ/mol (438 J/g, slightly lower than reported) with a maximum heat flow of 3.7 kW/mol (11.9 W/g) was found. Intriguingly, compound 3 features a significantly lower decomposition energy of only 99 kJ/mol (273 J/g) and a maximum heat flow of 2.2 kW/mol (6.2 W/g), therefore indicating that it should be safer to handle than parent compound 2 (Figure 4). The higher temperature of decomposition of 25 °C for 3, as measured by the difference of the corresponding temperatures at peak heat flow, is believed to directly result from the additional stabilization of 3 by intermolecular H-bonding (vide supra).

Additionally, in order to test the initial hypothesis that nitration may lead to a more positive reduction potential, cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were carried out. These experiments were conducted under an atmosphere of nitrogen in anhydrous MeCN with Bu$_4$NBF$_4$ (0.1 M) as supporting electrolyte. A platinum working electrode and a Ag/Ag$^+$ (0.1 M AgBF$_4$ in MeCN) reference electrode were employed with a scan rate of 0.1 V/s. Analysis of the resulting cyclic voltammogram of a 1 mM solution of 3 revealed three quasi-reversible processes with cathodic peak potentials ($E_{\text{pc}}$) of $-1.2$, $-1.7$ and $-1.8$ V, respectively (Figure 5). Subsequently, through addition of methyl 2-iodo-5-nitrobenzoate (1 mM), the first wave could be unambiguously
identified as the reduction of the hypervalent bond. Therefore, the more negative waves most likely correspond to the reduction of the nitro group and/or further reduction of iodine as reported in CV studies carried out on bis(acetoxy)iodobenzene [18].

For the first wave $E_{pc1} = -1.17$ and $E_{pa1} = -0.97$ were determined, indicating a standard reduction potential $E_{01/2} = -1.1$ V vs the Ag/Ag$^+$ pair. These values are comparable to the ones reported for reagent 2 ($E_{pc} = -1.1$ V vs Ag/Ag$^+$, scan rate 0.2 V/s, MeCN) [8]. Therefore, nitration did not influence the standard reduction potential significantly and 3 should behave in a comparable manner as 2. These electrochemical findings are in line with the expectations of reactivity based on the X-ray structural considerations.

Finally, the decomposition kinetics of both reagents in a model system were studied providing insights into their relative reactivities. To this end, the reaction of 2 and 3 with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate to 4 and 5, respectively, was monitored in MeCN by means of $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy (Figure 6). Limitations arose from the poor solubility of 3 (1 mg in 0.25 mL MeCN) and, in the case of 2, from line broadening resulting after the addition of the substrate, hence necessitating recording of 6 kinetic traces per reagent. Reagent 3 exhibits an exponential decay whereas the concentration of 2, on the same time scale, decreases linearly. Therefore, rate data for 3 were obtained by curve fitting according to an exponential rate law and the method of initial rates was applied to reagent 2. Subsequently, the initial rates were transformed to the corresponding first-order rate constants under the assumption that for both
reagents the same mechanism of decay is operational. Indeed, the data imply that \( k_{\text{decomp}} = 4.43 \pm 2.15 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \) is less stable under the reaction conditions chosen and decays almost one order of magnitude faster than reagent \( 2 \) \( (k_{\text{decomp}} = 5.46 \pm 2.23 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}) \).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the new derivative \( 3 \) having an additional nitro functionality as compared to reagent \( 2 \) was successfully prepared and characterized. The resulting electronic modification was studied by analyzing carbon chemical shifts, UV–vis spectra and cyclic voltammetry. Whereas the first two methods clearly indicated the installation of an electron-poor center adjacent to iodine, cyclic voltammetry delivered a standard reduction potential comparable to the one of \( 2 \). Notably, differential scanning calorimetric measurements indicate that the new reagent is thermally more stable. In presence of a proton source, however, increased lability of \( 3 \) was noted such that it decayed almost one order of magnitude faster than \( 2 \) under similar conditions. Therefore, the incorporation of an electron-withdrawing group into the reagent scaffold leads to an enhanced thermal stability that is paralleled by increased lability of the 1–CF<sub>3</sub> bond under acidic conditions. However, the major drawback of the new modification is its low solubility, a problem that is currently being addressed in our group.

**Experimental**

2-Iodo-5-nitrobenzoic acid (5): During this preparation up to 25 mmol \( 1 \) are formed. Therefore, the synthesis has to be conducted in a well-ventilated fume cupboard. Prepared according to literature procedure [10], 2-iodobenzoic acid (4, 10 g, 40.3 mmol, 1 equiv) was added to a mixture of HNO<sub>3</sub> (35 mL, 65%) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (85 mL, 95%). The solution was heated to 135 °C and stirred for 1 h. Subsequently, the resulting brown slurry was poured onto ice and the grey precipitate was filtered off and washed with copious amounts of water. Then, the filtrate was suspended in water (100 mL), heated to 100 °C and treated with a solution of KI (8.5 g, 51.2 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (5 drops) in water (10 mL) over the course of 1 h. Finally, the brown suspension was filtered hot and washed with water to afford the pure product (5, 42%) as a brown solid. If impure product is obtained, boiling with water followed by hot filtration can be applied. Mp 195–198 °C; \(^1^H\) NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 8.40 (\( d, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 8.29 (\( d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 8.01 (\( dd, J = 8.6, 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 4.00 (\( s, 1\text{H} \)); \(^{13}^C\) NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 166.5 (\( C \)), 147.3 (\( C\text{NO}_2 \)), 142.4 (\( C\text{ortho to CI} \)), 138.2 (\( C\text{CCO}_2 \)), 126.1 (\( CH\text{para to CCO}_2 \)), 124.1 (\( CH\text{ortho to CCO}_2 \)), 130.7 (\( CI \)).

1-Chloro-5-nitro-3-\( H \)-1\(^3\),2-benziodaoxol-3-one (6): To a solution of 2-iodo-5-nitrobenzoic acid (5, 1 g, 3.4 mmol, 1 equiv) in DCM/\( t\)-BuOH 9:1 (12 mL) at 0 °C \( t\)-BuOCl (0.42 mL, 3.7 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added in one portion, taking care to shield this reagent from light. Stirring was continued for 20 min, the suspension was filtered and the filtrate washed with DCM to afford pure product (0.95 g, 86%) as a yellow solid. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by recrystallization from boiling MeCN. Mp 225 °C (dec); \(^1^H\) NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 8.38 (\( d, J = 2.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 8.26 (\( d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 7.99 (\( dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)); \(^{13}^C\) NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 166.3 (\( CO_2 \)), 147.3 (\( C\text{NO}_2 \)), 142.5 (\( CH\text{ortho to CI} \)), 137.9 (\( C\text{CCO}_2 \)), 126.2 (\( CH\text{para to CCO}_2 \)), 124.2 (\( CH\text{ortho to CCO}_2 \)), 104.1 (\( CI \)); HRMS–EI (\( m/z \): \([M]^+ \)) calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>ClINaO<sub>4</sub>, 326.8795; found, 326.8775; Anal. calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>ClINaO<sub>4</sub>: C, 32.79; H, 1.10; N, 2.02; C, 32.74; H, 1.07; N, 2.03.

5-Nitro-1-(trifluoromethyl)-3\(^H\)-1\(^3\),2-benziodaoxol-3-one (3): Spray-dried KF (0.61 g, 10.3 mmol, 3 equiv) was flame-dried under high vacuum, then suspended with 1-chloro-5-nitro-3\(^H\)-1\(^3\),2-benziodaoxol-3-one (6, 1 g, 3.1 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry MeCN (125 mL) under argon and stirred at 75 °C for 3.5 h, leading to the precipitation of a white solid. The suspension was cooled to 0 °C, TMSFC<sub>3</sub> (0.55 mL, 3.7 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added and stirring continued for 2 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad. Subsequently, the organic layer was washed with brine (50% sat), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure to turbidity. Filtration and washing with MeCN afforded the pure product (0.35 g, 35%, 32%) as a pale yellow solid. If impure product is obtained, recrystallization form MeCN may be applied. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by recrystallization from boiling bromobenzene. Mp 163 °C (dec); \(^1^H\) NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 8.68 (\( d, J = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 8.64 (\( dd, J = 8.9, 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), 8.08 (\( d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)); \(^{13}^C\) NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) 164.2 (\( CO_2 \)), 149.9 (\( C\text{NO}_2 \)), 134.8 (\( C\text{CCO}_2 \)), 130.0 (\( q, J_{CF} = 3.7 \text{ Hz}, CH\text{ortho to CI} \)), 129.3 (\( CH\text{para to CCO}_2 \)), 125.7 (\( CH\text{ortho to CCO}_2 \)), 121.8 (\( CI \)), 106.6 (\( q, J_{CF} = 383.0 \text{ Hz}, CF_3 \)); \(^{19}^F\) NMR (282 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \( \delta \) -32.6; HRMS–EI (\( m/z \): \([M + H]^+ \)) calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>ClINaO<sub>4</sub>, 383.8951; found, 383.8961; Anal. calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>ClINaO<sub>4</sub>: C, 26.62; H, 0.84; N, 3.88; found: C, 26.67; H, 0.93; N, 4.08.
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Experimental details, crystallographic data (selected intermolecular bond lengths) as well as a description of the \(^{19}^F\) NMR monitoring experiments and data analysis. [http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/supplementary/1860-5397-10-1-S1.pdf]
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