Bragg Diffraction of Large Organic Molecules
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We demonstrate Bragg diffraction of the antibiotic ciprofloxacin and the dye molecule phthalocyanine at a thick optical grating. The observed patterns show a single dominant diffraction order with the expected dependence on the incidence angle as well as oscillating population transfer between the undiffracted and diffracted beams. We achieve an equal-amplitude splitting of $14\hbar k$ (photon momenta) and maximum momentum transfer of $18\hbar k$. This paves the way for efficient, large-momentum beam splitters and mirrors for hot and complex molecules.
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Introduction.—Matter-wave diffraction and interference have numerous applications across the natural sciences. Electron and neutron diffraction are key techniques in condensed-matter physics and materials science [1,2], while atom interferometers are utilized in tests of fundamental physics, as well as for measuring physical constants and inertial forces [3,4]. Extending matter-wave interference experiments to large molecules enabled quantum-assisted studies of molecular properties [5,6] as well as the interference of biomolecules [7,8] and particles with masses beyond 25000 u [9].

One of the major techniques used in matter-wave interferometry is Bragg diffraction. It employs thick gratings [10] to coherently scatter the impinging particles into a single diffraction order. This allows for the realization of efficient matter-wave mirrors and beam splitters [11,12]. Bragg diffraction stands in contrast to Raman-Nath diffraction at thin gratings [13–15], which produces several diffraction orders arranged symmetrically around the incoming particle beam. Bragg diffraction was first demonstrated for neutrons [16] and later for atoms [17], Bose-Einstein condensates [18], electrons [19], and diatomic molecules [20].

Here, we report on the first Bragg diffraction of complex organic molecules. We show that the antibiotic ciprofloxacin and the dye molecule phthalocyanine [see Fig. 1(a)] can be reliably diffracted, despite being in a highly excited rotational state and possessing more than 100 vibrational degrees of freedom thermalized at 700–1000 K. This is an important step towards efficient coherent manipulation of functional, hot, and polar molecules.

Experimental setup.—The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(b): the molecules are evaporated by a focused laser beam, diffracted at a thick optical grating and collected on a quartz slide at the end of the vacuum chamber. In detail, a thin film of molecules is evaporated from the entrance window of a vacuum chamber by focusing a 420 nm laser beam down to a waist of $1.3(1)$ μm. We have used mass spectrometry to verify that molecular fragmentation can be neglected in the evaporation process [21]. After 1505 mm of free flight the molecular beam is transversely collimated with a piezocontrolled slit $S_z$, which we set to about 14 μm. After an additional 35 mm, the molecules are diffracted at a standing light wave, realized by retroreflecting a laser beam with wavelength $\lambda = 532$ nm, power $P \leq 14.6(2)$ W, and waist along the flight direction $w_z = 7.04(5)$ mm. The waist along $y$ at the position of the molecular beam is set to $w_y = 55–65$ μm as measured with a fiber-based beam profiler [27]. The angle between the mirror surface and the molecular beam $\theta_{\text{grat}}$ is determined with an accuracy of about 5 μrad. This is achieved by finding the zero-incidence position of the actively stabilized piezo mirror mount and tilting it by the desired $\theta_{\text{grat}}$ before each run. Free fall in the gravitational field leads to a vertical dispersion of the molecular velocity at the detector surface. To ensure good velocity separation, a vertical slit $S_y$ with an opening of 25 μm is placed about 20 mm in front of the grating. The slit is aligned with respect to the grating with an accuracy of 10 μm using the fiber-based profiler. The molecular diffraction pattern is collected on a quartz plate, 570 mm behind the grating and imaged using fluorescence microscopy [21]. The experiment is conducted at a pressure below $10^{-5}$ mbar to avoid collisional decoherence.

Ciprofloxacin is a polar biomolecule with a mass of $m = 331$ u and a negligible absorption cross section of $\sigma_{\text{abs}} \ll 10^{-18}$ cm$^2$ for $\lambda \geq 400$ nm [28]. It interacts with the light grating via its optical polarizability volume $\alpha_{3s2} = 38.9$ Å$^3$, which we calculated for the ground state...
geometry at the PBE0/Def2TZVPP level. Phthalocyanine is a nonpolar dye molecule with a mass of $m = 515 \text{ u}$ and a static polarizability volume $\alpha_{\text{abs}} = 9 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3$ at 532 nm [30]. This allows us to observe the effect of absorption of the grating photons on the diffraction process.

**Theoretical model.**—Atomic and molecular Bragg diffraction follows from the induced dipole interaction of a polarizable point particle with a thick light grating. The particle moves initially with a velocity $v = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$, where $v_z \gg v_x, v_y$. Since the forward momentum $mv_z$ and the kinetic energy $mv^2/2$ are much bigger than, respectively, the photon momentum $\hbar k = 2\pi\hbar/\lambda$ and the potential depth, the motion in the $z$ direction is virtually unchanged by the grating and can be treated classically. The same can be assumed about the $y$ motion. Furthermore, the high $v_z$ allows us to neglect the free fall during the particle’s passage through the grating. All this reduces the problem to the 1D dynamics along the $x$ axis.

In a frame moving with the velocity $v_x$ the particle is initially at rest while the grating is moving. The Hamiltonian can then be written as

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - V(t) \cos^2[k(x + v_x t)],$$

where $V(t) = 16Pc^6/(cw_x^2w_y^2)\exp(-2v_x^2t^2/w_z^2)$. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \partial_t |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle$ can be solved using the ansatz

$$|\phi(t)\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' V(t')\right)|\psi(t' + \pi/2k)\rangle = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j(t)e^{i\pi jx/n},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is an arbitrary integer which determines the spacing between the basis states. For plane-wave illumination an $n = 1$ ansatz is sufficient; for numerical simulation with finite collimation, however, $n \gg 1$ is necessary. Substituting Eq. (3) into the Schrödinger equation yields the Raman-Nath equations [31],

$$ic_j^{(n)} = \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^2 c_j + \frac{\sigma}{4} \left[c_{j-2n}e^{i\mu r_0} + c_{j+2n}e^{-i\mu r_0}\right],$$

where a prime denotes a derivative over $r = \omega_x t$, $\omega_r = \hbar k^2/2m$, and

$$\gamma = \frac{V(0)}{\hbar \omega_r}, \quad \sigma = \frac{w_z \omega_r}{v_z}, \quad p_u = \frac{mv_x}{\hbar \omega_r}.$$

These correspond to dimensionless grating strength, interaction time, and momentum of the incident particle. The interaction time parameter is close to the ratio of the grating waist radius $w_z$ and the characteristic length scale of near-field diffraction, the Talbot length $L_T = \lambda^2 mv/4\hbar$ [32], for we have $\sigma = \pi w_z/4L_T$.

The Raman-Nath equations have approximate, closed-form solutions in the short-interaction and in the weak-potential limits. The thin-grating (or Raman-Nath) approximation amounts to dropping the kinetic term in Eq. (1), which is possible when the motion of the particle inside the grating can be neglected. This requires $\sigma p_u \ll 1$ and $\gamma \sqrt{\gamma} \ll 1$. In this regime the diffraction pattern is symmetric and independent of the incidence angle. The weak-grating (or Bragg) approximation amounts to the adiabatic elimination of all but two of the Raman-Nath equations. This is possible when the depth of the grating potential is small compared to the recoil energy, such that only transfer to the Bragg-reflected state is allowed by energy conservation. For $p_u > 1$ this is the case when $\gamma \ll 8(p_u - 1)$ [33]. In this regime, the interaction time necessary to achieve high-order diffraction grows like a factorial $\Gamma(p_u)$, as the particle has to tunnel through increasingly many energy-forbidden states.

When the above approximations cannot be used, the solution can be obtained either via adiabatic expansion [33] or numerically. In our experiments with ciprofloxacin $\gamma \approx 55$, $\sigma \approx 0.38$, and $p_u \approx 5$ (at 250 m/s), which lies in...
this intermediate regime. We resort to numerical solution, since the convergence of the adiabatic expansion is slow. We note that in the intermediate regime both Raman-Nath-like and Bragg-like (also called quasi-Bragg [33]) diffraction can occur, depending on the intensity profile and the thickness of the grating [34]. We use a smooth Gaussian profile with sufficient thickness to demonstrate Bragg-like diffraction. The latter differs from diffraction in the weak-potential limit in that the intermediate diffraction orders are populated during the transit through the grating. This can lead to losses if the interaction time and strength are not optimally chosen. Finally, we note that classical dynamics of particles in sinusoidal potentials can give rise to analogous beam-splitting behavior [35]; however, a quantum model is generalizable and appropriate in the absence of plausible decoherence channels.

**Diffraction of ciprofloxacin.**—In Fig. 2(a) we show the pattern obtained by diffracting ciprofloxacin molecules at an incidence angle $\theta_{\text{grat}} = -43(5) \text{ mrad}$. The $y$ position in the image determines the forward velocity of the particles, which in turn determines the transverse momentum $p_y$, and the particle-grating interaction time $\sigma$.

For velocities above 300 m/s the interaction time is short compared to the inverse of the characteristic frequencies of the resonant Bragg transitions, and thus no diffraction occurs. As the characteristic frequencies increase sharply with decreasing $p_y$ [33], a relatively sudden onset of diffraction is observed at about 300 m/s. In the 300–150 m/s velocity range the molecules become consecutively resonant with the 6th–4th Bragg transition. The expected momentum transfer in a Bragg transition of order $l$ is $2l \hbar k \propto v_z$. Since the flight time between the grating and the detector is inversely proportional to the forward velocity, we expect an approximately constant separation between the diffracted and the undiffracted beams. The slight bend in the diffracted beam results from the fact that the 6th order transition is dominant and thus contributes also at nonresonant velocities.

As the Bragg condition is relaxed by the limited interaction time, we observe no diffraction-free regions in between the resonances. Nevertheless, the appearance of a single diffracted beam and the asymmetry of the pattern help distinguish the observed phenomenon from stochastic photon absorption or Raman-Nath diffraction. We finally note that at $v_z \approx 210 \text{ m/s}$ the amplitude of the diffracted beam matches that of the undiffracted one, demonstrating a 10$\hbar k$ equal-amplitude beam splitter.

Numerical simulation using the Raman-Nath equations (4) [Fig. 2(b)] qualitatively reproduces the observed pattern [21]. The experimental and the simulated images are vertically aligned by matching the heights at which the diffracted peaks reach half of their maximal intensities. This determines the most probable velocity in the molecular beam of about 250 m/s.

**Diffraction of phthalocyanine.**—To explore the universality of molecular Bragg diffraction and its robustness to absorption, we switch to the dye molecule phthalocyanine. We quantify the absorption by setting $\theta_{\text{grat}}$ to an angle for which we do not expect diffraction and observing the broadening of the molecular beam. From the width of the beam we infer that on average one photon is absorbed inside the grating [21]. Despite the absorption, we obtain diffraction images of phthalocyanine, which are qualitatively similar to those of ciprofloxacin [see Fig. 3(a)]. The images exhibit oscillating population transfer [see Fig. 3(b)] reminiscent of the Pendellösung oscillations predicted by the theory of weak-potential Bragg diffraction and demonstrated with neutrons [36] and atoms [37]. Similar oscillations can be seen in the power dependence of the diffraction patterns [21].

To investigate the dependence of Bragg diffraction on the incidence angle, we record a series of diffraction images in which we vary $\theta_{\text{grat}}$ (see Fig. 4). In agreement with the expectations, we find the molecules diffracted to either side of the incoming beam, depending on the sign of the incidence angle. Similarly as for ciprofloxacin, the diffracted molecules form a slanted stripe indicating a single dominant transition. This transition is broadened by the 12 mrad collimation of the molecular beam [21], which results in deviations from specular reflection seen in Figs. 3 and 4. The highest momentum transfer recorded was $18 \hbar k$ with an efficiency of 10% [Fig. 4(c)], and equal-amplitude splitting was realized for a momentum separation of $14 \hbar k$ [Fig. 4(a)].

**Discussion and outlook.**—We have demonstrated Bragg diffraction for the complex organic molecules phthalocyanine and ciprofloxacin. As our data is in qualitative agreement with a simple polarizable-point-particle model, we expect that this technique can be applied without modification to any molecule of comparable size and absorption cross section. That is irrespective of the details.
of its electronic structure, dipole moment, etc. We have demonstrated a balanced beam splitter with a momentum separation of $14 \hbar k$, which is to the best of our knowledge the largest equal-amplitude splitting demonstrated for molecules using optical gratings. Although with sufficient laser power similar or even greater splitting could be achieved with a thin optical grating, this would typically reduce the particle flux by a factor of 10 as only two of the many populated output beams have to be selected. The same problem applies to mechanical gratings, which additionally are incompatible with polar molecules due to rotational averaging.

Further development should increase the particle-grating interaction time in order to decrease losses and sharpen the Bragg resonances. A promising approach to achieve this is slowing the molecules using buffer gas cells [39]. This could ultimately allow for Mach-Zehnder interferometry with large molecules. The possibility to selectively address the arms in such a setup would, in turn, enable new interference schemes utilizing the molecules’ chirality, conformation, and possibly entanglement between the molecules’ internal and external degrees of freedom. Efficient Bragg diffraction could also enable pulsed Bloch oscillation beam splitters to realize even larger momentum transfers [40].
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