Impaired 2-AG Signaling in Hippocampal Glutamatergic Neurons: Aggravation of Anxiety-Like Behavior and Unaltered Seizure Susceptibility
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Abstract

Background: Postsynaptically generated 2-arachidonoylglycerol activates the presynaptic cannabinoid type-1 receptor, which is involved in synaptic plasticity at both glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses. However, the differential function of 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling at glutamatergic vs GABAergic synapses in the context of animal behavior has not been investigated yet.

Methods: Here, we analyzed the role of 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling selectively in hippocampal glutamatergic neurons. Monoacylglycerol lipase, the primary degrading enzyme of 2-arachidonoylglycerol, is expressed at presynaptic sites of excitatory and inhibitory neurons. By adeno-associated virus-mediated overexpression of monoacylglycerol lipase in glutamatergic neurons of the mouse hippocampus, we selectively interfered with 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling at glutamatergic synapses of these neurons.

Results: Genetic modification of monoacylglycerol lipase resulted in a 50% decrease in 2-arachidonoylglycerol tissue levels without affecting the content of the second major endocannabinoid anandamide. A typical electrophysiological read-out for 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling is the depolarization-induced suppression of excitation and of inhibition. Elevated monoacylglycerol lipase levels at glutamatergic terminals selectively impaired depolarization-induced suppression of excitation, while depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition was not significantly changed. At the behavioral level, mice with impaired hippocampal glutamatergic 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling exhibited increased anxiety-like behavior but showed no alterations in aversive memory formation and seizure susceptibility.

Conclusion: Our data indicate that 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling selectively in hippocampal glutamatergic neurons is essential for the animal’s adaptation to aversive situations.
Introduction

The endocannabinoid system involves 2 major signaling molecules, 2-arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG) and anandamide (AEA), which activate the cannabinoid type-1 receptor (CB1R). Unlike classical neurotransmitters such as amino acids and peptides, which are stored in synaptic vesicles, endocannabinoids are thought to be produced and released on demand (Alger, 2012; Castillo et al., 2012). Hence, their endogenous levels determine the magnitude and duration of CB1R stimulation and are generally regulated by endocannabinoid synthesizing and degrading enzymes. In the adult brain, 2-AG is primarily produced by diacylglycerol lipase-α (DAGLα) at the postsynaptic site and hydrolyzed to glycerol and arachidonic acid by monoacylglycerol lipase ( MAGL) at the presynaptic site (Gao et al., 2010; Schlosburg et al., 2010; Tanimura et al., 2010; Pan et al., 2011; Uchigashima et al., 2011). MAGL is heterogeneously distributed with high expression levels in brain regions where CB1R is also abundant, such as hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and cerebellum (Dinh et al., 2002; Gulyas et al., 2004; Uchigashima et al., 2011).

Postsynaptically generated endocannabinoids mediate retrograde signaling mechanisms called depolarization-induced suppression of excitation (DSE) and inhibition (DSI) at excitatory and inhibitory synapses, respectively (Alger, 2012). These forms of short-term depression were shown to involve 2-AG–induced activation of CB1R, because DSE and DSI are abolished in DAGLα knockout mice (Gao et al., 2010; Tanimura et al., 2010) and prolonged in MAGL-deficient mice (Pan et al., 2011; Zhong et al., 2011). However, DAGLα and MAGL knockout animal models have not allowed discrimination between 2-AG signaling effects at glutamatergic vs GABAergic synapses. In this regard, it is also relevant to mention that cell type-selective CB1R deficiencies revealed different roles of CB1R in these 2 neuronal populations in the forebrain, eg, regarding anxiety-like behavior (Rey et al., 2012; Ruehle et al., 2013), fear memory (Ruehle et al., 2013), feeding behavior (Soria-Gómez et al., 2014), social interaction (Häring et al., 2011), and susceptibility to chemically-induced seizures (Monory et al., 2006).

Therefore, we aimed at selectively interfering with the availability of 2-AG at the synaptic terminal of a defined neuronal population within a brain region with established endocannabinoid system functions. Therefore, we generated a mouse model in which MAGL is selectively overexpressed at glutamatergic synapses in the hippocampus. Using an established electrophysiological read-out, DSE was found to be significantly reduced with no concomitant alterations on DSI. At the behavioral level, mice overexpressing MAGL showed an increased anxiety-like behavior but no changes in aversive memory formation or seizure susceptibility to kainic acid (KA).

Materials and Methods

Animals

Adult male mice were housed in groups in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room (22°C ± 1; 50% ± 1) with a 12-hour light-dark cycle and access to food and water ad libitum. All experiments were approved by the local animal care committee (Muenster: LANUV-NRW 8.87-51.05.20.10.218; Mainz: 23-177-07/G13-1021 and 23-177-07/G10-1037). NEX-Cre mice (C57BL/6N background) drive Cre expression in dorsal telencephalic glutamatergic neurons, with the exception of granule cells of the dentate gyrus (Goebbels et al., 2006; Monory et al., 2006). Note that in adult NEX-Cre mice, Cre recombinase is expressed in hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Guggenhuber et al., 2010).

Adeno-Associated Virus Vector Generation and Administration

The N-terminally hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged mouse MAGL cDNA was cloned into an adeno-associated virus (AAV) plasmid containing the cytomegalovirus enhancer/chicken β-actin promoter, the woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional regulatory element, and the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation sequence flanked by AAV2 inverted terminal repeats. A transcriptional terminator element (“Stop”), flanked by loxP sites, was used to enable cell type-selective transgene expression (Guggenhuber et al., 2010; Häring et al., 2012). Production of pseudotyped rAAV1/2 mosaic vectors and determination of genomic titers were performed as described (Guggenhuber et al., 2010). Adult male mice (6–9 weeks of age) were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of fentanyl (0.05 mg/kg), midazolam (5 mg/kg), and medetomidin (0.5 mg/kg) and positioned in a small animal stereotaxic frame (Kopf instruments, Tujunga, CA). One microliter of AAV-Stop-MAGL vector (1.5 x 10ⁱ⁰ vector copies/mL) was injected bilaterally into the hippocampus (-2.0 mm AP, ±2.0 mm ML, -2.0 mm DV of bregma) at a rate of 150 nl/min using a microprocessor controlled minipump with 34G beveled needles (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). AAV-Stop-MAGL vector injection into hippocampi of NEX-Cre mice led to the excision of the transcriptional Stop cassette in all Cre recombinase-positive cells, resulting in transcriptional activation and overexpression of virally encoded HA-MAGL cDNA in hippocampal glutamatergic neurons of the CA1-3 region but not in dentate gyrus granule cells. Transgenic HA-MAGL expression was analyzed by immunohistochemistry against the HA tag in hippocampal sections of every mouse examined in behavioral tests. AAV-Glu-MAGL mice were excluded from the study, if HA immunostaining did not substantially cover CA1-CA3 areas of the hippocampus.

Immunohistochemistry

The rostral-caudal extent of transgene expression was assessed by immunohistochemistry. Brain sections were immunostained as previously described (Guggenhuber et al., 2010) using a rabbit anti-HA primary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA; 1:1000). For colocalization studies, rabbit anti-MAGL (generous gift from Ken Mackie, 1:200), guinea pig anti-VGluT1 (Millipore, 1:500), and rabbit anti-CB1R (Frontier Institute, Hokkaido, Japan, 1:500) primary antibodies were used.

Western Blot

Immunoblot was performed as previously described (Ruehle et al., 2013) using the primary antibodies rabbit anti-HA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000), rabbit anti-MAGL (gift from Ken Mackie, 1:2000), rabbit anti-DAGLα (gift from Ken Mackie, 1:500), rabbit anti-CB1R (Frontier Institute, Hokkaido, Japan, 1:500), and mouse anti-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; 1:100,000). Quantification analyses were done on 4 independent samples for HA-MAGL and MAGL and on 8 independent samples for CB1R and DAGLα. Signal detection was achieved by ECL Prime Western
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blotting detection reagent (GE healthcare) and the Peqlab FUSION-SL Advance 4.2 MP analyzer. Densitometric data were related to tubulin and normalized to the wild-type condition.

**MAGL Activity Assay**

The MAGL activity assay is based on the hydrolysis of 4-nitrophényl acetate (4-NPA) by MAGL, resulting in the product 4-nitrophénol, a chromogenic molecule with absorbance at 405 to 412 nm. Isolated dorsal mouse hippocampi were homogenized in 400 μL ice-cold assay buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4) and sonicated (Brandel, Berlin, Germany). Protein content of the samples was determined using the BCA™ protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Lysates were stored in aliquots at -80°C until use. For the standard curve, 4-nitrophénol (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 70% ethanol and diluted with assay buffer to obtain the following final concentrations: 15.625 μM, 31.25 μM, 62.5 μM, 125 μM, 250 μM, and 500 μM 4-NPA (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). All standard and sample measurements were performed in duplicates in a 96-well plate in a total volume of 200 μL. Four μg of sample protein were incubated with the appropriate substrate concentration at 37°C, and the absorbance at 405 nm was measured in the FLUOstar apparatus (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) at time zero (baseline values) and after 20 minutes of incubation. As 4-NPA underlies chemical hydrolysis, measurements from wells containing buffer and 4-NPA only (no tissue) were used as controls, and their values were systematically subtracted from the sample values. The amount of 4-nitrophénol produced was calculated according to the standard curve, and baseline values were subtracted to obtain exclusively the metabolized 4-nitrophénol produced within the 20-minute incubation step.

**Lipid Extraction and Liquid Chromatography/ Multiple Reaction Monitoring**

The extraction method used to obtain the results shown in Figure 3C-E was previously reported (Schulte et al., 2012). For results shown in Figure 6D-F, the extraction method was optimized as previously described (Lomazzo et al., 2015). Quantification of endocannabinoids was performed as reported before (Lomazzo et al., 2015).

**[³⁵S]-GTP·S Binding**

Mouse hippocampi were dissected on ice and homogenized in 1.2 mL of assay buffer containing 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM EGTA, 0.5% BSA, and protease inhibitors (Complete cocktail tablets, Roche) by mechanical disruption with a glass homogenizer. An aliquot from these homogenates was used to evaluate the expression of MAGL by Western blot to check the efficiency of MAGL overexpression in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice. Protein concentration was measured by the BCA method (Pierce). Whole homogenates (4 μg of protein) were added in assay tubes containing assay buffer, 30 μM GDP (Sigma-Aldrich), and 50 pM [³⁵S]-labeled GTP (Perkin Elmer). Agonist-stimulated binding was measured by using increasing concentrations (from 5 pM to 50 μM) of the CB1R agonist WIN 55,212-2 (Biotrend). Basal GTP binding activity was measured in absence of agonist. Nonspecific binding was defined in the presence of 10 μM unlabeled GTP (Roche Diagnostics). Assays were carried out in 4 independent experiments (n = 4). In each independent experiment, agonist-stimulated binding was carried out in triplicate for each concentration of WIN 55,212-2. Basal and nonspecific bindings were carried out in 10 and 5 replicates, respectively. Specific agonist-stimulated and specific basal bindings were defined by subtracting nonspecific binding values. Assay tubes were then incubated for 1 hour and a half at 30°C. Bound receptors were separated from the free ligand by vacuum filtration over Brandel GF/B glass-fiber filters. Filters were dried for 1 hour at room temperature and counted in a liquid scintillation counter.

**Electrophysiological Recordings**

Whole-cell patch clamp-recordings were obtained from CA1 pyramidal cells as previously described (Ruehle et al., 2013) in slices prepared 3 to 4 weeks after AAV-Stop-MAGL injection and performed at 30°C. The intracellular solution was as previously described (Ruehle et al., 2013). The EGTA concentration was kept low in view of the Ca²⁺-dependence of DSE and DSI (Lenz and Alger, 1999). Postsynaptic excitatory (eEPSCs) or inhibitory (eIPSCs) currents, evoked upon local electrical stimulation of the stratum radiatum, were pharmacologically isolated, and DSE or DSI was tested by application of a depolarization step (−70 to 0 mV, 3 seconds, and 10 seconds). At least 2 DSE or DSI tests (at each duration) were applied to each cell, and baseline was calculated from each evoked postsynaptic current (ePSD), divided by the average of all ePSCs before the depolarization step (multiplied by 100%). Magnitudes of DSE and DSI were calculated as follows: A of ePSDs = [(x2 - x1)/x1] * 100, where x1 = mean of last 5 ePSCs amplitudes before the depolarization and x2 = mean of first 3 ePSCs amplitudes immediately after the depolarization. A significant deviation from zero was considered as DSE or DSI.

**Behavior**

Two weeks prior to behavioral phenotyping, animals were single-housed to avoid confounding influences of social status. All experiments were performed 4 weeks after AAV injection in the animal’s light phase in the following order: elevated plus maze (EPM), light/dark test, open field, passive avoidance, and KA-induced seizures. Tests for anxiety, locomotion, and avoidance learning were performed in 2 independent experiments, each group comprising 10 to 12 animals, to obtain robust and reproducible data.

EPM and light/dark test were performed as previously described (Ruehle et al., 2013). Light intensity in the open arms of EPM was set at 40 lux and for the light/dark test at 100 lux.

**Open Field**

Mice were placed in one corner of an illuminated (100 lux) white box (40 cm × 40 cm × 40 cm) and allowed to freely explore the environment for 10 minutes. The center was defined as a square comprising 13 cm × 13 cm.

**Passive Avoidance**

The passive avoidance apparatus consisted of a white, highly illuminated compartment and a black, dark compartment, both connected by a door (Ugo Basile, Comerio, Italy). Mice were placed in the lit compartment, and after 30 seconds, the door was automatically opened. When mice entered the dark compartment, the door closed automatically and a mild foot shock (0.3 mA, 2 seconds) was delivered to the animal. Mice learn to associate the dark compartment with the foot shock. In the retention test 24 hours later, mice were subjected to the same procedure but without foot shock, and the latency to enter the dark compartment was used as an indicator of learning and memory.

**Chemically Induced Seizures**

Acute epileptiform seizures were induced by intraperitoneal injection of KA (35 mg/kg) and analyzed as previously described (Guggenhuber et al., 2010).
Data Analysis

Data are presented as means ± SEM and were analyzed with SPSS 19 Statistics Software for Windows (IBM). Unpaired, 2-tailed t test was used to analyze normally distributed data. For the assessment of MAGL activity, data were analyzed by Michaelis-Menten kinetics with GraphPad Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) and nonlinear regression curve fitting revealing maximum turnover rates of the samples, followed by 2-way ANOVA. For [35S]-GTP\(\gamma\)S binding assays, EC\(_{50}\) values were determined by fitting saturation binding data to one binding site by nonlinear regression analysis using the GraphPad Prism 4.0 software. WIN 55,212-2-stimulated binding was expressed as percent of basal binding. Data are presented as means ± SEM from 4 independent experiments with each individual sample run in triplicate. Electrophysiological data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism and Statistica (Statsoft, Inc) using unpaired t test or 1-tailed Mann-Whitney test (for small sample size). Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to analyze seizure severity. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to evaluate survival, followed by the log rank test. Two-way ANOVA followed by simple effects analysis was used to analyze lipid levels after vehicle and KA injection. \(P < .05\) was set as value to define statistical significance. Densitometric measurement of immunoblot signals was performed by using Bio1D software (Vilber Lourmat, Eberhardzell, Germany). Graphs were generated with GraphPad Prism software.

Results

Efficient Overexpression of MAGL in Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons

We previously developed a viral approach to induce transgene expression in a selective cell population of a particular brain region induced by Cre recombinase-mediated excision of a transcriptional Stop element (Guggenhuber et al., 2010). In our construct, a human influenza HA epitope tag was fused to the N terminus of MAGL, and an AAV vector was produced (termed AAV-Stop-MAGL vector). To overexpress MAGL exclusively in hippocampal pyramidal neurons, the AAV-Stop-MAGL vector was injected bilaterally into the hippocampus of adult NEX-Cre mice (termed AAV-Glu-MAGL), which express Cre recombinase selectively in cortical and CA1-CA3 hippocampal glutamatergic neurons but not in granule cells of the dentate gyrus (Goebbel et al., 2006; Monory et al., 2006; Guggenhuber et al., 2010). In Cre recombinase-positive neurons, the transcriptional Stop cassette in the AAV-Stop-MAGL vector was excised, which enabled the transcription of the virally encoded HA-tagged MAGL gene, representing the AAV-Glu-MAGL mouse group. The control group was generated by injection of the AAV-Stop-MAGL vector into the hippocampus of wild-type littermates of the NEX-Cre line, which did not express Cre recombinase (termed AAV-WT).

Immunostaining for the HA-tag revealed widespread transgene expression in the hippocampus of AAV-Glu-MAGL mice (Figure 1A) but also in extra-hippocampal areas, such as the basolateral amygdala (BLA), although at highly reduced levels (Figure 1A\(_{c}\)) compared with hippocampus (Figure 1A\(_{b}\)). The rostrocaudal AAV vector spread in the hippocampus was >2.5 mm (Figure 1B). As detected by immunohistochemistry using the anti-MAGL antibody, strong hippocampal overexpression of HA-tagged MAGL in AAV-Glu-MAGL (Figure 1C\(_{b}\)) was observed compared with endogenous MAGL in AAV-WT (Figure 1C\(_{c}\)). The overexpressed HA-tagged MAGL showed a punctate expression (Figure 1D\(_{b}\)) similar to that of endogenous MAGL (Figure 1D\(_{c}\)). Western-blot analysis of hippocampal lysates against the HA-tag confirmed exclusive transgene expression in AAV-Glu-MAGL (Figure 1E). MAGL protein levels were highly elevated in AAV-Glu-MAGL compared with AAV-WT controls (\(P = .008\); unpaired t test) (Figure 1F). To address a possible compensatory effect upon strong overexpression of MAGL, which may lead to an impairment of 2-AG signaling, we quantified the expression of the major 2-AG synthesizing enzyme DAGL\(\alpha\) by Western-blot analysis (Figure 1G). No differences in DAGL\(\alpha\) protein levels were observed between the 2 genotypes (\(P = .818\); unpaired t test) (Figure 1H).

Next, we investigated the areas of overexpression of HA-tagged MAGL in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice (Figure 2). High levels of MAGL were found in the stratum radiatum and stratum oriens of CA1 and CA3 and in the hilar region (Figure 2A), while cell bodies were vastly spared, resembling the expression pattern of the endogenous MAGL protein (Gulyas et al., 2004). The expression of ectopic MAGL in the inner molecular layer of the dentate gyrus was derived from mossy cells (Figure 2A), which do not express MAGL protein endogenously (Uchigashima et al., 2011). In our overexpression system, however, Cre recombinase was present in mossy cells. As the Stop cassette was removed in this cell type, this finally leads to the presence of HA-tagged MAGL in the presynaptic terminals located in the inner molecular layer. Furthermore, HA-tagged MAGL was shown to be present at glutamatergic terminals as shown by colocalization with VGlut1, a marker for glutamatergic presynaptic terminals, for example, in CA3 (Figure 2B), and was similar to the endogenous MAGL expression. In addition, ectopic MAGL also colocalized with CB1R-positive terminals (Figure 2C). Thus, HA-tagged MAGL is expected to decrease 2-AG signaling at CB1R-containing glutamatergic synapses in the hippocampus, including the projections of mossy cells, which express very high levels of CB1R (Monory et al., 2006) and are essentially involved in epilepto-genic circuits (Ratzliff et al., 2002).

AAV-Glu-MAGL mice exhibited significantly enhanced MAGL activity (\(F_{1,30} = 94.31, \ P < .0001\); 2-way ANOVA) (Figure 3A). The mean maximum substrate turnover rate in AAV-Glu-MAGL was 784.1 ± 55.1 µmol/min/mg protein and highly increased as compared with AAV-WT, which reached 59.9 ± 6.8 µmol/min/mg protein (\(P = .0004\); unpaired t test) (Figure 3B).

Consistently, 2-AG levels were significantly lower in the hippocampus of AAV-Glu-MAGL compared with AAV-WT (\(P = .0003\); unpaired t test) (Figure 3C). Importantly, levels of AEA (Figure 3D) and arachidonic acid (Figure 3E) were unchanged, indicating that MAGL overexpression exclusively affected 2-AG levels.

Furthermore, we asked whether overexpression of MAGL may lead to altered CB1R expression and signaling. Western-blot analysis revealed no genotype differences of CB1R protein levels (Figure 3F). Furthermore, [35S]-GTP\(\gamma\)S binding assays showed that CB1R activity was similar in AAV-WT and AAV-Glu-MAGL mice (Figure 3G). Indeed, WIN 55,212-2-mediated activation of CB1R revealed a similar affinity in the 2 experimental groups analyzed (EC\(_{50}\) AAV-WT = 0.36 µM, and EC\(_{50}\) AAV-Glu-MAGL = 0.48 µM, respectively). Therefore, upregulation of MAGL in glutamatergic hippocampal neurons did not alter CB1R activity in the hippocampus. Basal [35S]-GTP\(\gamma\)S binding in absence of WIN 55,212-2 was also similar in the 2 experimental groups examined (data not shown).

Elevated MAGL Levels at Glutamatergic Terminals Impair DSE but Not DSI

To investigate the functional effects of our experimental interference with 2-AG signalling at the synaptic level, we
Figure 1. Monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) overexpression in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. (A) Overview representation of a section of one brain hemisphere, showing hemagglutinin (HA) immunostaining and indicating strong MAGL transgene expression in hippocampal pyramidal neurons of adeno-associated virus (AAV)-Glu-MAGL mice. (1–3) Higher magnification of HA immunostaining of CA3 (1), CA1 (2), and basolateral amygdala (BLA) (3). (B) Schematic diagrams of the mouse brain depicting the approximate rostrocaudal extent of AAV-mediated MAGL expression (gray shading). Numbers indicate the distance from bregma according to Paxinos and Franklin (2001). (C) HA and MAGL immunostaining in the hippocampus of AAV-Glu-MAGL (1) and AAV-WT (2) mice, indicating strong MAGL overexpression in AAV-Glu-MAGL compared with endogenous MAGL expression in AAV-WT. (D) HA-tagged MAGL in AAV-Glu-MAGL (1) displayed similar punctate immunostaining as endogenous MAGL in AAV-WT (2). (E) Western-blot analysis of the HA tag revealed exclusive transgene expression in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice. MAGL immunoblot indicated the magnitude of MAGL overexpression. (F) Quantification of MAGL protein levels in hippocampal homogenates showed more than 20-fold increase in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice compared with AAV-WT controls (n = 4); **P < .01. (G-H) Western-blot analysis revealed no alterations of diacylglycerol lipase-α (DAGLα) protein levels in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice compared with AAV-WT (n = 8).
focused on DSE and DSI, 2 well-established and prototypical electrophysiological processes mediated by 2-AG and CB1R. An enhanced degradation of 2-AG at glutamatergic presynaptic sites is expected to compromise DSE, but not DSI. Thus, eEPSCs and eIPSCs were recorded in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons upon local electrical stimulation in the stratum radiatum, and DSE and DSI protocols were applied in AAV-WT and AAV-Glu-MAGL mice. In AAV-WT controls, a 3-second postsynaptic depolarization reduced eEPSCs to 79.82 ± 0.04% (Figure 4A), amounting to a ΔDSE magnitude of -21.77 ± 4.02% (n = 15) (Figure 4B). Increasing the duration of the postsynaptic depolarization to 10 seconds reduced eEPSCs to 57.94 ± 15.17%, corresponding to a ΔDSE magnitude of -44.59 ± 15.66% (n = 3) (Figure 4B). By comparison, in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice, none of the tested neurons displayed a significant suppression of eEPSCs upon postsynaptic depolarization steps at both 3-second and 10-second duration (3 seconds: 98.10 ± 1.56%, n = 25; 10 seconds: 100.2 ± 3.86%, n = 9), indicating that DSE was abolished in this group (ΔDSE: AAV-WT 3s, -21.77 ± 4.02%, n = 15 vs AAV-Glu-MAGL 3 seconds, -1.63 ± 2.18%, n = 25; P < .0001, unpaired t test; AAV-WT 10 seconds, -44.59 ± 15.66% n = 3 vs AAV-Glu-MAGL 10 seconds, 6.27 ± 5.65 n = 9; P = .018, Mann-Whitney) (Figure 4B).

Importantly, glutamatergic MAGL overexpression did not significantly influence DSI, as indicated by the finding that eIPSCs were significantly reduced after postsynaptic depolarization at both 3 and 10 seconds in AAV-WT (3 seconds: 56.91 ± 4.91%, n = 12; 10 seconds: 46.36 ± 10.10%, n = 6) (Figure 4C).
and AAV-Glu-MAGL mice (3 seconds: 71.09 ± 5.42%, n = 12; 10 seconds: 60.55 ± 11.57%, n = 5) (Figure 4C). DSI magnitudes were not statistically different between the 2 groups at 3-second depolarization (AAV-WT: -30.69 ± 5.76%, n = 12; AAV-Glu-MAGL: -44.08 ± 4.42%, n = 12; P = .079, unpaired t test; Figure 4D) and at 10-second depolarization (AAV-WT: -54.49 ± 10.07%, n = 6; AAV-Glu-MAGL: -36.37 ± 9.89%, n = 5; P = .236, unpaired t test; Figure 4D).

These results demonstrate that overexpression of MAGL in glutamatergic neurons induces a selective functional interference by promoting a significant reduction of DSE, but not of DSI. This suggests that our genetic modulation of 2-AG signalling impacts glutamatergic synaptic transmission with no significant effect on GABAergic transmission.

**AAV-Glu-MAGL Mice Exhibit an Increase in Anxiety-Like Behavior**

Next, AAV-WT and AAV-Glu-MAGL mice were subjected to a battery of tests to measure anxiety-like behavior. The open field test revealed a significant difference in the time spent in the center (P = .046, unpaired t test; Figure 5A), whereas locomotor activity was unchanged (Figure 5B), suggesting an increase in anxiety-like behavior in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice. This finding was confirmed in the EPM. Indeed, AAV-Glu-MAGL mice spent significantly less time in the open arm of the EPM (P = .003, unpaired t test; Figure 5C) and entered the open arm less frequently than AAV-WT controls (P = .007, unpaired t test; Figure 5D). An analogous behavior was found in the light/dark test, where the entries...
and the time spent in the aversive lit compartment showed a trend towards being reduced in AAV-Glu-MAGL, though without reaching statistical significance (time in lit compartment, immediately before (1; n = 5 responses averaged) and after (2; n = 3) the 3-second depolarization step, recorded in AAV-WT (left) and AAV-Glu-MAGL (right) mice. (B) Summary bar graph showing the magnitude of ∆DSE obtained upon a 3- and 10-second depolarization step, respectively, in the 2 groups. Numbers close to or inside the columns indicate the number of recorded cells/animals. *P < .05, ***P < .001. (C) Averaged inhibitory postsynaptic inhibitory current (eIPSC) amplitude before and after application of a postsynaptic depolarization step (3-second duration; -70 to 0 mV). Depression of eIPSCs (DSI) was measured but no difference between the 2 groups after the postsynaptic depolarization was found. Inset: Original traces of eIPSCs recorded in the same cell, immediately before (1; n = 5 responses averaged) and after (2; n = 3) the 3-second depolarization step, recorded in AAV-WT (left) and AAV-Glu-MAGL (right) mice. (D) Summary bar graph showing the magnitude of ∆DSI obtained upon a 3- and 10-second depolarization step. Numbers indicate the number of recorded cells/animals.

AAV-Glu-MAGL mice compared with AAV-WT controls (vehicle, P = .021; KA, P = .001, 2-way ANOVA) (Figure 6D). In contrast, KA application increased the levels of AEA (P < .0001, 2-way ANOVA; Figure 6E) and arachidonic acid (P < .0001, 2-way ANOVA; Figure 6F) in a similar magnitude in both groups. Importantly, no difference between the 2 genotypes was found in the levels of AEA and arachidonic acid. This finding suggests that 2-AG signaling at hippocampal glutamatergic synapses is not crucially involved in the protection against epileptiform seizures induced by acute KA treatment.

To control for confounding effects of the NEX-Cre line, which was generated by a knock-in approach, whereby the endogenous NEX allele was inactivated (Goebels et al., 2006), we analyzed the behavior of heterozygous NEX-Cre mice compared with wild-type littermates. For all the behavioral parameters investigated in the present study (OF, EPM, LD, KA-induced seizures), no significant differences between NEX-Cre and wild-type littermates were found (supplementary Figures 1 and 2).

Discussion

2-AG is considered the major endocannabinoid mediating retrograde synaptic suppression of neurotransmitter release (Katona and Freund, 2008). To selectively investigate the functions of...
In the present report, we used a Cre-inducible AAV vector to overexpress MAGL exclusively in glutamatergic hippocampal neurons of adult mice, allowing cell type-specific interference and avoiding possible developmental compensatory effects. Levels of HA-MAGL protein in the hippocampus were very high (Figure 1A). As hippocampal glutamatergic neurons also project to extra-hippocampal areas, HA-MAGL was observed in such areas to a much lower extent as shown exemplary in the BLA (Figure 1A). It remains to be determined whether the low ectopic expression of HA-MAGL in the BLA, which is derived from hippocampal glutamatergic neurons transduced with the AAV-Stop-MAGL vector and projecting to the BLA, allows the impairment of DSE, and, at the behavioral level, whether this impairment may contribute to the increased anxiety level observed in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice. This has to be taken into consideration, as glutamatergic 2-AG signaling in the BLA can regulate anxiety-like behavior (Shonesy et al., 2014).

Interestingly, MAGL overexpression in hippocampal glutamatergic neurons led to a 25-fold increase in MAGL protein levels and a 10-fold increase in MAGL activity but to only a 2-fold decrease in 2-AG levels, suggesting that the enzyme activity state is not directly proportional to the amount of 2-AG degraded. For the interpretation of this observation, it has to be considered that the endocannabinoid synthesizing and degrading machinery is characterized by a distinct neuroanatomical layout. The overexpression of MAGL in the AAV-Glu-MAGL mouse model is only present at synaptic terminals of hippocampal glutamatergic neurons (except for dentate gyrus neurons), where MAGL degrades the 2-AG that is produced on-demand postsynaptically. When performing the enzyme activity measurements using an in vitro assay (Figure 3A-B), the substrate is available in excess. Thus, the MAGL activity measured in the assay does not reflect the in vivo situation, when an on-demand production of 2-AG takes place. Furthermore, in the AAV-Glu-MAGL mouse model, we did not interfere with MAGL on GABAergic terminals, where intensive and dominant endocannabinoid signaling is known to occur. Altogether, the enhanced enzymatic MAGL activity in hippocampal glutamatergic terminals of AAV-Glu-MAGL mice led to a 2-fold decrease in 2-AG levels but did not alter the levels of AEA and arachidonic acid.

CB1R was shown to be localized at perisynaptic sites (Kawamura et al., 2006), while MAGL is predominantly found in the central part of axon terminals in proximity to synaptic vesicles and active zone release sites (Ludanyi et al., 2011). The fact that MAGL and CB1R are differently localized on the presynaptic membrane facilitates the MAGL-dependent regulation of retrograde endocannabinoid signaling. By overexpressing MAGL, 2-AG molecules are presumably degraded even before 2-AG can bind to the CB1R. Indeed, MAGL overexpression in glutamatergic pyramidal neurons selectively attenuated 2-AG-mediated synaptic depression at glutamatergic terminals without significantly affecting 2-AG action on GABAergic transmission in CA1 pyramidal neurons (Figure 4). This finding also indicates that presynaptic MAGL can precisely control the activation of the CB1R located at the same synaptic site where MAGL is expressed. Given that 2-AG content was reduced, but AEA content was unchanged in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice, 2-AG can be considered as the main mediator of DSE, which is consistent with previous reports showing a lack of DSE in DAGLα-deficient mice (Gao et al., 2010; Shonesy et al., 2014). Of note, DSI also seems to be influenced in AAV-Glu-MAGL mice, although differences
compared to controls did not reach statistical significance ($P = .079$). (Figure 4D). Recent studies showed that MAGL regulates 2-AG signaling through both homosynaptic and heterosynaptic pathways in cerebellar neurons (Tanimura et al., 2012), and polysynaptic influences might have contributed to the tendency towards a decreased DSI magnitude observed in CA1 neurons in our AAV-Glu-MAGL mice. Altogether, we generated a mouse model that promotes a cell type-selective impairment of 2-AG-mediated effects and that will prove useful to discriminate between the functions of, for example, DSE and DSI.

The exposure to aversive stimuli or stress is characterized by a pronounced increase in glutamate release (Millan, 2003), and the blockade of glutamatergic neurotransmission causes antidepressant- and anxiolytic-like responses (Simon and Gorman, 2006; Palucha and Pilc, 2007). Thus, hyperfunction of glutamatergic signaling is associated with the development of depression and anxiety disorders (Palucha and Pilc, 2007). Furthermore, it was shown that stress enhances glutamate release in the hippocampus (Bagley and Moghaddam, 1997). The endocannabinoid system counteracts stress-mediated enhancement of excitatory activity with compensatory mechanisms in the hippocampus, such as impairment of DSI (Hu et al., 2011) and elevation of 2-AG levels (Dubreucq et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012).

An increase in 2-AG levels was proposed to be important for adaptation to stress (Hill et al., 2010). The present work focuses on overexpressing MAGL at glutamatergic terminals in the hippocampus to attenuate 2-AG signaling selectively at glutamatergic CB1Rs. This alteration may result in an imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory transmission, precluding an adaptation to stressful and aversive stimuli and leading to an increase in anxiety. Consistent with this reasoning, it has been recently reported that DAGLα deletion leads to anxiety and depression-like behavior in mice (Shonesy et al., 2014; Jenniches et al., 2015), which is reversed by pharmacological blockade of MAGL by JZL184. Interestingly, DAGLα-KO mice showed impaired amygdalar endocannabinoid-modulated glutamatergic neurotransmission, which ultimately led to anxiety (Shonesy et al., 2014). Although these previous reports used a different genetic manipulation (DAGLα deletion rather than MAGL overexpression), they are consistent with our data showing that impairment in 2-AG signaling (by overexpressing MAGL selectively at hippocampal glutamatergic neurons) leads to anxiety.

Interestingly, control over a stressful event was found to correlate to changes in glutamatergic excitability in pyramidal neurons of the prefrontal cortex, particularly in the prelimbic area (Varela et al., 2012). Given the tight functional link between the prelimbic prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus in high fear situations (Sotres-Bayon et al., 2012), and the role of endocannabinoid signaling in behavioral adaptation and habituation (Kamprath et al., 2006; Sugaya et al., 2013), it is tempting to conclude that excessive glutamatergic activity in these pathways will initiate endocannabinoid synthesis. This, in turn, will lead to a suppression of glutamate release on demand, thereby contributing to successful coping with a stressful event.

The endocannabinoid system is considered a therapeutic target in epilepsy. Indeed, it was shown that CB1R on hippocampal glutamatergic but not GABAergic neurons is required for the protection against epileptiform seizures (Monyry et al., 2006). Seizure activity is accompanied by increased AEA synthesis (Marsicano et al., 2003; Wetschureck et al., 2006), while an increase in 2-AG levels in the KA model of epilepsy was only observed by Wetschureck and colleagues (Wetschureck et al., 2006).
appears to depend on the model used (Naydenov et al., 2014). The importance of AEA vs 2-AG in the regulation of epileptic seizures is supported by the present study. However, the differential response against KA-induced epileptiform seizures, and the results of studies revealing that injection of a FAAH inhibitor prior to the induction of seizures markedly reduced the seizure scores (Coomber et al., 2008; Naidoo et al., 2011). Moreover, AEA content was decreased in cerebrospinal fluid of patients suffering from temporal lobe epilepsy, while 2-AG levels were not affected (Romigi et al., 2008; Naidoo et al., 2011). Moreover, studies have reported that FAAH-deficient mice are more susceptible to KA-induced seizures (Clement et al., 2003), other studies have shown that injection of a FAAH inhibitor prior to the induction of seizures markedly reduced the seizure scores (Coomber et al., 2008; Naidoo et al., 2011). Together, these findings point to AEA rather than 2-AG as potential initiators of endocannabinoid-mediated protection against KA-induced epileptiform seizures, and the results of the present study support this notion. However, the differential importance of AEA vs 2-AG in the regulation of epileptic seizures appears to depend on the model used (Naydenov et al., 2014) and the brain region studied (von Rüden et al., 2015).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the specific impairment of hippocampal glutamatergic 2-AG signaling affects anxiety-like behavior without affecting aversive memory or seizure susceptibility in the acute KA model. These findings suggest that glutamatergic 2-AG signaling is an essential component of adaptation to aversive situations but is not required to form aversive memory or protect against KA-induced excitotoxic seizures.
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