Factors affecting the mortality of patients with COVID-19 undergoing surgery and the safety of medical staff: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Background: The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) can complicate the perioperative course to increase postoperative mortality in operative patients, and also is a serious threat to medical staff. However, studies summarizing the impact of COVID-19 on the perioperative mortality of patients and on the safety of medical staff are lacking.

Methods: We searched PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase and Chinese database National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) with the search terms "COVID-19" or "SARS-CoV-2" and "Surgery" or "Operation" for all published articles on COVID-19 from December 1, 2019 to October 5, 2020.

Findings: A total of 269 patients from 47 studies were included in our meta-analysis. The mean age of operative patients with COVID-19 was 50.91 years, and 49% were female. A total of 28 patients were deceased, with the overall mortality of 6%. All deceased patients had postoperative complications associated with operation or COVID-19, including respiratory failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), short of breath, dyspnea, fever, cough, fatigue or myalgia, cardiopulmonary system, shock/infection, acute kidney injury and severe lymphopenia. Patients who presented any or more of the symptoms of respiratory failure, ARDS, short of breath and dyspnea after operation were associated with significantly higher mortality ($r = 0.891$, $p < 0.001$), while patients whose symptoms were presented as fever, cough, fatigue or myalgia only demonstrated marginally significant association with postoperative mortality ($r = 0.675$, $p = 0.023$). Twenty studies reported the information of medical staff infection, and a total of 38 medical staff were infected, and medical staff who used biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) protective equipment did not get infected.

Interpretation: COVID-19 patients, in particular those with severe respiratory complications, may have high postoperative mortality. Medical staff in close contact with infected patients is suggested to take high level personal protective equipment (PPE).
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1. Introduction

The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic continues to infect a large number of patients, with fever, dry cough, fatigue, and shortness of breath, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) as major symptoms. These symptoms are also the risk factors for ventilator dependence [1]. As of October 5, 2020, over 36,600,000 cases and 1,000,000 deaths related to COVID-19 have been reported in at least 200 countries [2]. COVID-19 is caused by SARS-CoV-2, which belongs to the Beta-coronavirus genus such as SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV [3]. SARS-CoV-2 has a lower pathogenicity as compared with SARS-CoV, but has higher pandemic potential [4-7]. Respiratory droplets, close contact transmission, and aerosol transmission in a relatively closed environment are the major routes of transmission [8].
Research in context

Evidence before this study

COVID-19 complicated the postoperative course to increase the mortality of operative patients, and brought serious threats to the safety of medical staff serving operative patients. We searched PubMed for all articles describing the clinical characteristics and outcomes of operative patients with COVID-19 up to October 5, 2020, we found only some case reports, however, no studies performed a systematic review and meta-analysis on the perioperative mortality of operative patients with COVID-19 and no data related the risk factors for poor outcome.

Added value of this study

We searched PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase and CNKI with the search terms “COVID-19” or “SARS-CoV-2” and “Surgery” or “Operation” for all published articles on COVID-19 from December 1, 2019 to October 5, 2020. A total of 269 patients from 47 studies were included in our meta-analysis. The mean age of operative patients with COVID-19 was 50.91 years, and 49% were female. A total of 28 patients were deceased, with the overall mortality of 6%. The operative patients who had respiratory complications or COVID-19 typical symptoms may have higher mortality. Twenty studies reported the information of medical staff infection, and a total of 38 medical staff were infected, and medical staff who used biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) protective equipment did not get infected.

Implications of all the available evidence

COVID-19 patients may have high postoperative mortality, and postoperative respiratory complications and COVID-19 typical symptoms may be the higher risk factors for poor outcome after operation. Medical staff serving operative patients is at high risks of cross-infection, and effective personal protective procedures can reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection of medical staff.

Thus, surgical procedures may place clinicians at particularly high risk when caring for infected patients. Surgical stress may impair cell-mediated immunity to reduce the resistance to viruses. Meanwhile, COVID-19 may complicate the postoperative course to increase the mortality of operative patients [9,10], while the major factors contributing to the increased postoperative mortality in patients with COVID-19 remain unelucidated. At present, little is known about the clinical characteristics and outcomes of operative patients with COVID-19 during the perioperative period.

COVID-19 brought serious threats to the safety of medical staff in addition to the general public [11]. Among medical staff, surgeons, anesthesiologists and operating nursing staff are at the highest risk of infection due to the exposure to respiratory droplets or aerosol from infected patients during airway manipulations and surgery [12]. An early report showed that fifteen hospital staff members in Wuhan Union Hospital (China) who had closed contact with infected patients, were confirmed as being infected with COVID-19 [13]. Thus, effective personal protective procedures and cautions should be taken to prevent medical staff from COVID-19 infection. Our knowledge of the protective measures of COVID-19 during the perioperative period is inadequate and limited.

Thus, the present analysis aimed to describe the clinical outcomes of operative patients with COVID-19, and the safety of medical staff during the perioperative period to take appropriate protective measures to avoid cross-infection. It is out hope that our findings of the COVID-19 associated postoperative mortality and reasonable advises will benefit the global community in the battle against COVID-19 infection.

2. Methods

This meta-analysis was accomplished in agreement with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement [14].

2.1. Search strategy and study selection

We systematically searched PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase and Chinese database National Knowledge Infrastructure (CKNI) with the search terms “COVID-19” or “SARS-CoV-2” and “Surgery” or “Operation” for all published articles on COVID-19 from December 1, 2019 to October 5, 2020. Only full articles involving humans were considered. Duplicate results were removed. The remaining articles were screened for relevance by its abstracts independently by two authors (Changshuai Wu and Kun Wang). The remaining investigators (Zhenglian Gao and Xiaowang Zhang) read full selected articles that met the requirements. In addition, closely relevant references to the current research topic were also manually searched. These articles were thoroughly read, and those that fulfilled our criteria were included in the study.

2.2. Inclusion/exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) research types: randomised controlled trials (RCT), case report and case series; (2) research subjects: patients with COVID-19 underwent surgery and (3) data items: including clinical characteristics, outcomes, or medical staff safety. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) repeated research, and (2) lack of data.

2.3. Data extraction

Data extraction was performed independently by two authors (Changshuai Wu and Jian Xu), and we used standardized forms that include first author, publication date, country, number of patients, age, gender, comorbidities, surgery intervention, anesthetic method, surgical difficulty category, medical staff infection, study design, and clinical outcome, and so on. If there was any ambiguity in the search process, the decision was made by a third investigator (Zhengyuan Xia).

The primary outcome was the mortality rate of operative patients with COVID-19 and the secondary outcome was medical staff safety (i.e., the number of medical staff being infected with COVID-19 in the hospital).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using RStudio meta R package (version 3.6.2). Arcsine differences (ASD) were used as the measure of risk differences. The main advantages of using ASD are that the variance of the point estimate is determined solely by the sample size and that it handles occurrences of 0 counts, allowing for incorporation of trials with 0 events in both control and treatment groups into meta analyses [15]. The combined prevalence and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using a random effects model or fixed effects model. The selection of the model was determined according to Q statistics. When Q statistics (p < 0.10) indicated heterogeneity, the random effect model was utilized for meta-analysis. When Q statistics (p > 0.10) indicated the lack of heterogeneity, then a fixed-effect model was utilized for meta-analysis. Spearman’s rank
correlation was used to analyze the correlations among preoperative comorbidities, age, postoperative complications and the mortality rate.

Sensitivity analysis by leave-one-out was performed to single out heterogeneity. Heterogeneity was assessed with the \( Q \) statistic test and the \( I^2 \) test. The \( I^2 \) statistic measured the percentage of total variation across the studies aroused from clinical or methodological heterogeneity rather than by chance. The Egger test was performed to assess publication bias in all literature works, and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered as the exist of publication bias, and the funnel plot showed the publication bias intuitively.

2.5. Role of the funding source

The funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection and analysis. The corresponding authors have full access to all data in the study and are fully responsible for the decision of submitting for publication.

3. Results

3.1. Study selection and demographical characteristics

Using the above selection criteria, we identified a total of 1426 records, and 574 papers remained after exclusion of irrelevant topics and duplicates. Of those, a total of 66 citations met the inclusion criteria and remained for title and abstract screening. Four of these 66 items did not have a full text. After assessing 62 full-text articles for eligibility, we further excluded 15 full-text articles due to the exist of one of the following reasons: 1) no operative patients (8 articles) or relevant data (5 articles), and 2) review articles (2 articles). Eventually, 47 studies were included in this meta-analysis, and the trial selection process was shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. Characteristics of studies

The characteristics of included trials were presented in Table 1. A total of 269 patients from 47 studies [16-62] were included in our meta-analysis. The mean age of operative patients with COVID-19 was 50.91 [95% CI, 42.49; 59.34], and 49% [95% CI, 0.33, 0.65] patients were female. Among operative patients with COVID-19, the number of discharged cases was 210, severe cases who needed prolonged in-hospital stay were 31, and the total number of the deceased cases were 28. And, the overall mortality rate was 6% [95% CI, 0.02; 0.13], as shown in Fig. 2.

3.3. Characteristics of the deceased patients

Among the 28 deceased patients, the mean age was 63.05 [95% CI, 58.47;67.63], and 43% [95% CI, 0.25, 0.61] patients were female. One death case in Cai et al.’s study [22] was not included in this analysis owing to the lack of related perioperative information. Twenty of the 27 deceased patients had comorbidities, which included 37% [95% CI, 0.09; 0.71] with hypertension, 24% [95% CI, 0.04; 0.55] with diabetes,
| First author (year) | Country | Sample (n) | Mean age | Gender (n) | Surgery Intervention | Anesthetic method (n) | Infected medical staff (n) | Literature type | Clinical outcome (Death, n) |
|---------------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Zhao16 (2020)       | China   | 37         | 41.0     | Female 23  | Male 14              | Neurosurgery, Cardiovascular, Abdominal, Orthopedic, Obstetric gynecological, Other | GA (n = 26) SA (n = 11) | A retrospective, multicenter study | 0               |
| Ali17 (2020)        | Iran    | 4          | 45.5     | Female 3   | Male 1              | Gastric bypass operation | GA                      | --             | Case series 0                |
| He18 (2020)         | China   | 4          | 55.8     | Female 1   | Male 3              | Cesarean section, Appendectomy, Lobectomy, Gastrectomy, Colectomy, Renal transplant | GA                      | 0              | Case series 0                |
| Lei19 (2020)        | China   | 4          | 55.0     | Female 2   | Male 2              | Incisional hernia repair, Cholecystectomy | GA                      | --             | A single-centred, retrospective study | 3               |
| Gao23 (2020)        | China   | 4          | 56.8     | Female 1   | Male 3              | Partialinterectomy, Primary duodenal repair, Primary small bowel repair | GA                      | 0              | Case report 0                |
| Shinichi24 (2020)   | USA     | 1          | 52.0     | Male       |                      | Endonasal Endoscopic Pituitary Adenoma Resection | GA                      | 0              | Case report 1                |
| Zhu25 (2020)        | China   | 1          | 70.0     | Male       |                      | Kidney transplantation | GA                      | 14             | Case report 1                |
| Zhong26 (2020)      | China   | 1          | 37.0     | Male       |                      | Liver transplantation | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Liu27 (2020)        | China   | 3          | 65.7     | Male 1     |              | Heart or lung transplantation | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Stephen28 (2020)    | America | 1          | 0.5      | Female     |                      | Liver transplantation | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Liu29 (2020)        | China   | 1          | 50       | Male       |                      | Kidney transplantation | GA                      | 0              | Case report 0                |
| Guillen30 (2020)    | Spain   | 1          | 50       | Male       |                      | Bone marrow transplantation, Kidney transplantation | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Huang31 (2020)      | China   | 2          | 54.5     | Male       |                      | Thoracoscopic lobectomy with lymph node dissection | GA                      | 0              | Case report 0                |
| Jeffrey32 (2020)    | USA     | 1          | 39.0     | Male       |                      | Dual heart and kidney transplantation | GA                      | 0              | Case report 0                |
| Bussalino33 (2020)  | Italy   | 1          | 32.0     | Male       |                      | Kidney transplantation | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Prada34 (2020)      | Italy   | 1          | 28.0     | Male       |                      | Fendon transfer surgery | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Tian35 (2020)       | China   | 2          | 78.5     | Female 1   | Male 1              | Lung lobectomies for adenocarcinoma | GA                      | --             | Case series 1                |
| Fernazza36 (2020)   | Italy   | 1          | 61.0     | Male       |                      | Thoracoscopic lobectomy with lymph node dissection | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Cai37 (2020)        | China   | 7          | 60.3     | Female 2   | Male 5              | Lung resection | GA                      | --             | Case series 3                |
| Luca38 (2020)       | Italy   | 1          | 64.0     | Male       |                      | Exploratory laparotomy | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Nadia39 (2020)      | France  | 1          | 56.0     | Female     |                      | Head and neck oncology surgery | GA                      | 3              | Case report 0                |
| Luong40 (2020)      | France  | 6          | 55.7     | Male       |                      | Resection of colon cancer Gastrectomy, Pancreatetomy, Cholecystectomy, Gastroplasty, Resection of a rectal cancer | GA                      | --             | A non-interventional retrospective study | 0               |
| Huang41 (2020)      | China   | 3          | 70.7     | Female 2   | Male 1              | Thoracoscopic lobectomy | GA                      | --             | Case series 2                |
| Zheng42 (2020)      | China   | 49         | 31.0     | Female 4   | Male 7              | Cesarean, Orthopedic, Cesarean section, lower-limb surgery | SA                      | 3              | A retrospective, single centre, observational cohort | 0               |
| Chen43 (2020)       | China   | 9          | 29.9     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | SA                      | --             | A retrospective review 0      |
| Zhang44 (2020)      | China   | 16         | 29.3     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | --                      | --             | A retrospective 0             |
| Chen45 (2020)       | China   | 17         | 29.1     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | 0              | Case series 0                |
| Wang46 (2020)       | China   | 1          | 28.0     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | 0              | Case report 0                |
| Zhu47 (2020)        | China   | 7          | 26.3     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | --             | Retrospectively analyzed 0   |
| Xu48 (2020)         | China   | 1          | 30.0     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | --             | A Preliminary Analysis 0     |
| Liu49 (2020)        | China   | 10         | 32.0     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | --             | A Preliminary Analysis 0     |
| Xia50 (2020)        | China   | 1          | 27.0     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Lu51 (2020)         | China   | 1          | 11.0     | Female     |                      | Cesarean | EA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Oliva52 (2020)      | USA     | 1          | 35       | Female     |                      | Cesarean | SA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Makwe53 (2020)      | Nigeria | 1          | 37       | Female     |                      | Cesarean | SA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Mattone54 (2020)    | Italy   | 1          | 68       | Female     |                      | Laparoscopic cholecystectomy | GA                      | --             | Case report 0                |
| Oh55 (2020)         | Singapore | 1         | 66       | Male       |                      | Laparoscopic cholecystectomy | GA                      | 0              | Letter to the Editor 0       |

(continued)
18% [95% CI, 0.06; 0.34] with pulmonary disease, 12% [95% CI, 0.01; 0.32] with cardiovascular disease, 1% [95% CI, 0.00; 0.08] with cerebrovascular disease, and 0.00% [95% CI, 0.00; 0.06] with renal injury (Table 2 and Fig. 3). In terms of the grade of surgical difficulty for the deceased patients, 1 case was surgical difficulty grade I, 2 cases were grade II, while 6 and 18 cases were respectively in grade III and IV. The majority of the deceased patients (24 in 27) underwent grade III and III surgeries, and all deceased patients received general anesthesia with endotracheal incubation.

After surgery, all deceased patients had postoperative complications associated with operation or COVID-19 symptoms. The incidence of respiratory failure/ARDS short of breath and dyspnea after operation was significantly associated with higher mortality (r = 0.891, p < 0.001), while patients whose symptoms were presented as fever, cough, fatigue or myalgia only demonstrated marginally significant association with postoperative mortality (r = 0.675, p = 0.023). Preoperative comorbidities, the age of patients, and other postoperative complications were not significantly associated with increased risk of mortality. This suggests that postoperative respiratory complications and COVID-19 typical symptoms may be the major risk factors for poor outcome after operation.

3.4. Medical staff infection

Of the 47 studies included in the analysis, only 20 studies [16,18-20,24,25, 29,32,39,42,45,46,50,53,55-59,61] reported the information of medical staff infection which identified that a total of 38 medical personnel were infected with COVID-19 during the perioperative period. The majority of the infected medical staff were nurses and surgeons (37), followed by anesthesiologists (1). The proportion of medical staff infection was 8% [95% CI, 0.00; 0.15] among the surgical patients, 2% [95% CI, 0.00; 0.10] among the anesthesiologists, and 1% [95% CI, 0.00; 0.05] among the nurses. The infection rate among the medical staff was significantly higher in the surgical patient group compared to the anesthesiologist and nurse groups (p = 0.001).

Table 1 (Continued)

| First author (year) | Country | Sample (n) | Mean age | Gender (n) | Surgery Intervention | Anesthetic method (n) | Infected medical staff (n) | Literature type | Clinical outcome (Death, n) |
|---------------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Sunkin56 (2020)     | USA     | 1          | 64       | Male       | Total knee arthroplasty | GA                    | 0                        | Case report | 0                        |
| Cao57 (2020)        | China   | 1          | 45       | Male       | Pedicle screw internal fixation | GA                    | 0                        | Case report | 0                        |
| Um58 (2020)         | Korea   | 1          | 86       | Male       | Orthopedic surgery      | SA                    | 0                        | Case report | 0                        |
| Hussain59 (2020)    | UK      | 3          | 57.3     | Male       | Cardiopulmonary bypass | GA                    | 0                        | Case report | 0                        |
| More60 (2020)       | USA     | 2          | 68       | Female 1   | Cardiopulmonary bypass | GA                    | 0                        | Case report | 0                        |
| Gordon61 (2020)     | USA     | 1          | 69       | Male       | Otologic Surgery        | GA                    | 0                        | Case report | 0                        |
| Salina62 (2020)     | USA     | 1          | 57       | Male       | Cardiopulmonary bypass | GA                    | 0                        | Case report | 1                        |

Abbreviations: GA, General anesthesia; SA, Spinal anesthesia; EA, Epidural anesthesia; PTGD, Percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage.
3.5. Publication bias

We carried out Egger’s regression test and confirmed the absence of publication bias (Egger, p = 0.06) for the final articles included for analysis, and the funnel plot was symmetrical, which indicate that publication bias did not exist.

4. Discussion

The main focus of this study was to investigate the mortality rate of patients with COVID-19 undergoing surgery, and the related risk factors of the death during the perioperative period. We found that operative patients with COVID-19 infection had...
higher rate of mortality and the occurrence of postoperative complications. In particular, respiratory failure/ARDS/short of breath/dyspnea or fever/cough/fatigue or myalgia were significantly associated with postoperative death in patients with COVID-19. Twenty-eight of the 269 operative patients died of operation or COVID-19 associated complications, the overall mortality rate was 6%, with a mortality rate much higher than the 1.8–4.5% postoperative mortality in ASA-III patients as reported [63]. Most of the deceased patients had complications associated with COVID-19 symptom and respiratory syndrome. The patient’s immune function is a major determinant of the disease severity, and surgical stress may not only impair immune function [64], but also induce systemic inflammatory response [65]. The immune suppression after surgery should have exacerbated the progression and severity of COVID-19 infection. Most of those patients quickly present with typical symptoms such as fever, dry cough, fatigue or myalgia. COVID-19 can cause quick deterioration of lung function because the lung is the main target organ of the virus. In our study, the majority of patients rapidly developed respiratory failure/ARDS/short of breath/dyspnea, which rendered them vulnerable to death. This is consistent with the findings of Chen et al.’s study who showed that 17% patients developed ARDS and, among them, 11% patients’ condition worsened in a short period of time and died of MOF [66].

In addition to cause the progression to respiratory syndrome, COVID-19 disease also impairs other organ functions (e.g. heart, kidneys, liver) [67]. In our study, patients developed cardiac injury/cardio pulmonary arrest/arrhythmia/palpitation, acute kidney injury, hypoglycemia/insulin resistance/diabetes, renal failure, cardiac failure, heart attack, heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and respiratory failure.
diarrhea and even MOF. Furthermore, several patients rapidly progressed to shock/coma/secondary infection/sepsis that were concomitant with severe lymphopenia and electrolyte disturbance. This is consistent with the findings of Lei et al.’s study who showed that the most common complications of patients in non-survivors included shock, hyperleukocytosis, and lymphopenia [19]. Thus, operative patients with COVID-19 infection have higher perioperative mortality [68, 69].

Medical staff serving operative patients is at high risks of the cross-infection. The availability and especially proper utilization of valuable personal protective equipment (PPE) are of utmost importance. Clinicians have to balance a possible delay in cancer treatment against the risk for a potential COVID-19 exposure [70,71]. Alternative therapeutic approaches should be pursued, especially in very early - or very advanced-stage diseases. Turaga et al.’s study found that most cancer surgeries can be safely delayed beyond the current waiting time for at least 4 weeks without having a significant impact on patient survival or cancer progression[72]. Timely treatment of urgent cases with COVID-19 infection and the optimal of the protection of medical staff should both be taken into serious consideration. During a pandemic, it is essential to ensure emergency surgery care. If non-operative management failed and surgery is deemed necessary, appropriate PPE and precautions should be adopted, and surgery should not be delayed whilst waiting for the swab results [73,74]. The decision and plan to recognize whether surgery is required should be conducted by a senior clinician with the experienced surgeon, anaesthetist and infection control experts [75].

The protection level of the surgical gowns depends on the type of procedure [76]. An filtering face pieces (FFP) 2 mask filters 94% of all particles that are 0.3 mm in diameter or larger; while N95 masks block 95% and FFP3 masks block 99% [77]. A class 2 or 3 FFP face mask should be worn when working in close contact with patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19, and only to use surgical face masks in a crisis scenario of shortage of FFP 2 and 3 respirators [78]. Airborne transmission risks are high during aerosol generating procedures such as laparoscopy, endoscopy and tracheal incubation to exposure patients’ oropharynx and airway secretions with a high viral load [79]. We suggest that surgical team members, including anesthesiologists, surgeons and operating nursing staff should wear highly protective levels of PPE when treating patients known to have been infected with COVID-19 [77]. Most recent information from Italy reported that 12% of healthcare workers were infected at the beginning of COVID-19 pandemic [67], and this incidence was greatly reduced when PPE was used properly and infection control measures were followed [80]. In our analysis, 38 medical staff were infected as reported in 20 studies, while medical staff who used biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) protective equipment did not get infected. Thus, implementation of strict protections for medical staff is essential to decrease the cross-infection risks. Additionally, the choice between laparoscopy and laparotomy as a surgical approach needs to be cautious. Laparoscopy is an option but a potential risk of aerosol exposure must be considered for SARS-CoV-2 even though there is not current demonstration of SARS-CoV-2 RNA presence in the surgical smoke [81,82], but aerosolization of blood born viruses has been previously detected in surgical smoke during laparoscopy [83,84]. For critically ill patients with lung dysfunction, sepsis or shock, open surgery is advised [67]. Special care must be taken to reduce smoke formation (e.g., lowering electrocautery power settings, using bipolar electrocautery, using electrocautery or ultrasonic scalpels parsimoniously), and to limit smoke dispersal or spillage from trocars (e.g., lowering the pneumoperitoneum pressure) in the OR [85]. Pneumoperitoneum and surgical smoke should be evacuated only using a direct suction connected to a vacuum suction unit [86].
To minimize infectious risk to medical staff during the perioperative period, detailed protective strategies have been proposed as briefly outlined below. Based on clinical information and expert recommendation, all elective cases are suggested to be canceled, with the focus to maintain only emergency operations and elective cancer surgeries [87,88]. A negative pressure isolation transfer cabin is recommended for staff wearing BSL-3 protective medical equipment to transport patients [89]. Ideally, it seems necessary to create specific transfer pathways, and patients be transferred directly to the operating room (OR), without stopping at the pre-operation or post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) areas. It is also suggested that BSL-3 protective medical equipment should be worn, including N95 masks, goggles, protective suits, face shields, caps, shoe covers, and gloves [45]. Furthermore, all staff should take a training course on PPE use [67]. A negative pressure (below - 4.7 Pa) OR must be established, preferably isolated from the main surgical theaters and with a separate ventilation system [85]. A checklist should be used for preparation and incubation, and enough time should be allocated for the preparation of airway equipment. It is recommended that one experienced anesthetist to deliver 100% O2 manually for 3–5 min and videolaryngoscopy be used to perform rapid sequence induction [90,91]. It is further recommended to use a high-quality HMEF (Heat and Moisture Exchange Filter) between the face mask and breathing circuit. Medical staff should use fast-drying hand antiseptics and change gloves immediately after contacting a patient, body fluids or contaminated materials [92]. Anesthetic equipment must be used by one person only and the anesthesia machine be strictly disinfected [93]. All protective gear should be disposed of properly. When using electrocautery devices during surgery, it is necessary to adjust to the lowest effective power in order to reduce the amount of surgical smoke [86,94]. Surgical smoke and pneumoperitoneum should be evacuated only using a direct suction connected to a vacuum suction unit [86]. Smoke evacuation electrosurgical devices should be used to minimize medical staff’s exposure to surgical smoke. Postoperative patients should preferably recover in an isolation room with negative pressure when resources permitting in the PACU or intensive care unit (ICU). If negative pressure isolation rooms are unavailable, it is recommended to let the patients to recover in the OR prior to being transfer to a single patient room. Postoperatively, the anesthesia workstation needs to be disinfected for 2 h with an anesthesia circuit sterilizer (containing 12% hydrogen peroxide) [45], and the next operation must be performed beyond 2 h after the completion of the disinfection [89,95]. In particular, COVID-19 patients’ specimens should be clearly labeled and handled as infectious specimens for treatment by the pathology department [90].

Our meta-analysis has several limitations. First, our analysis was based on a small number of cases and the data availability for several parameters, such as medical staff infection. Second, it should be noted that some articles did not clearly provide information regarding the type of surgery and the kinds of post-operative complications, nor did they describe the detailed symptoms of COVID-19, and thus the number of patients in these studies could not be used for the calculation of the total number or percentage of patients included in each of the 4° of surgical difficulties, and also not suitable for the correlation analysis in relation to the severity of COVID symptoms. Lastly, among of 47 studies in this meta-analysis, 26 articles were mainly from China, and the other 21 articles were from the United States and Europe. This imbalance of sources increased the possibility of publication bias. Most of the included studies were case reports or case series, which may affect the representativeness of the results. Therefore, large sample and/or multicenter trials are needed to further explore the perioperative mortality rate of operative patients with COVID-19 and in particular the factors that have highest impact on the perioperative mortality or medical staff infection.

In summary, we found that operative patients with COVID-19 have high mortality rate, and that postoperative COVID-19 symptom and related respiratory complications were significantly associated with the death of operative patients. Medical staff who have closed contact with infected patients are at the highest potential risk of infection. Thus, it is urgently needed to apply standard measures to actively deal with postoperative complications of patients with COVID-19 in order to reduce the mortality rate, and to provide effective protection and safe environment to avoid the cross-infection during the perioperative period.
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