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Abstract

Background

Psychological problems among children and adolescents have become a public health issue. This study aimed to investigate associations between screen time (ST), outdoor activity (OA), and emotional and behavioral health problems (EBHPs) among South Chinese children and adolescents.

Methods

A total of 30,188 boys and girls from 14 elementary and junior high schools on six streets in Shenzhen were included in this study. EBHPs were measured with the strengths and difficulties questionnaire; OA and ST data were obtained through self-reporting. Descriptive analyses, chi-square tests, and logistic regressions were performed using SPSS 23.0.

Results

In our study, 42.4% students had insufficient OA, 24.2% students had excessive ST, the abnormal prevalence of emotional symptoms, conduct problems, peer problems, hyperactivity problems, prosocial behavior, and total difficulties scales were 18.5%, 6.9%, 6.4%, 13.1%, 10.7% and 8.8%. There were significant associations between ST/OA, independent and addictive interaction, and EBHPs among children and adolescents. Participants with high exposure to ST were more likely to have EBHPs than those with low exposure; participants with low exposure to OA were also more likely to have EBHPs than those with high exposure. The interactive associations of ST and OA on EBHPs were stronger than those of ST or OA individually.

Conclusions

Our results show positive associations between ST, OA, and EBHPs. They indicate that interventions should be considered for decreasing ST and increasing OA in children and adolescents.

1. Background

Mental health problems which including depressive and anxiety symptoms or disorders, or attention deficit hyperactivity and behavior disorder (ADHD), can have a serious impact on the current and future health and well-being of adolescents, in many ways, this causes a public health crisis. [1,2]. About 10–20% of children and adolescents are affected by psychological health problems worldwide [3].

Children's leisure activities primarily include watching television and using digital entertainment devices, especially games and live shows on mobile phones or tablets, and these have become major features of home environments in many countries. However, higher levels of screen time (ST) in children have been linked to obesity, sleep problems, lower cognitive skill, and poor academic record [4-6]. High exposure to ST may also predict behavioral and emotional problems in children, including aggression [7,8], anxiety and depression [8,9], social isolation [10], reduced prosocial behavior [7,11] and attentional problems [7,12-13]. We have also learned that the association between high ST and mental health outcomes among children and adolescents. Some studies have found positive correlations between high ST and ADHD [14-16]. ST also reduces time spent on other major developmental activities, including networking and interpersonal interaction [17]. It is thought that many psychological processes associated with exposure to TV and tablets, phones, games, or videos are similar, particularly those regarding the development of attentional problems and aggressive behavior [18]. A recent study found negative effects of television and video game exposure on attentional problems in middle childhood [13]. In one study, gaming's interactive and absorbing qualities may have substituted for interpersonal relationships and thus increased social isolation [19]. Social isolation also causes negative emotional influence [20]. A longitudinal study demonstrated that watching TV for three hours or more at 5 years of age, compared to watching TV for under one hour, predicted a 0.13 point increase in conduct problems by age 7 [21].

In some longitudinal and interventional studies, maintaining regular physical activity (PA) has been inversely associated with psychosocial difficulties in adolescents [22-23]. Researchers found that regular PA can reduce negative emotional symptoms [22,23]. Moreover, according to a survey of a large proportion of adolescents, in many countries, adolescents do not yet meet the World Health Organization's recommendation for daily PA [24]. Therefore, it is necessary to strengthen commitment to PA in children and adolescents. One longitudinal study discussed the association between PA and emotional problems after one year in a cohort of school children, finding that children who met the recommended levels for PA had fewer emotional problems [25]. Additionally, the combination of taking time outdoors and participating in physical activity can improve mental health [26]. There is also growing evidence that more outdoor activity (OA) time is associated with increased positive mental health in adults [27]. The same study also described in a population-based sample of adults that increased time spent outdoors is linked to fewer depression symptoms [28]. In a similar way, a nationally representative study of Canadian children, every hour spent outdoors per day was associated with a one third reduction in the odds of reporting peer relationship problems and a lower odds of reporting psychosocial difficulties [29]. What's more, outdoor time can increase OA [29]. Moreover, increasing OA is associated with decreasing anxiety and depression and also improvement of mental health [30]. In a Canadian adolescents study, girls spending on average 0.5 h/week outdoors was associated with a lower prevalence of high psychosomatic symptoms, compared to those who averaged no time playing outdoors [31].

Previous studies have found associations between ST and PA in mental and emotional health [32-34]. The combination of high ST and insufficient PA is associated with a higher prevalence of various psychological problems [32]. However, few studies have considered the interaction of OA and ST on emotional and behavioral health problems (EBHPs) among children and adolescents. In recent years, with the development of electric media, adolescents spending time on these, their time on exercise, especially outdoor activity showed a declined trend [35,36]. High levels of physical inactivity and sedentary behavior are a global concern, add to that the importance of mental health. Therefore, the present study aims to assess individual and interactive associations between OA,
ST, and EBHPs among Chinese children and adolescents in order to provide a better theoretical basis for explaining EBHPs through the interaction of ST and OA.

2. Methods

2.1. Study sample

The questionnaire on the growth trajectory and health index of primary and secondary school students was a prospective survey representing physical and psychological problems of contemporary children and adolescents. Ultimately, 14 schools were selected in Shenzhen, Bao'an district. In total, 33,801 children and adolescents in grades 1–12 were withdrawn as those that did not meet the requirements or had incomplete data were removed, so that 30,188 children and adolescents were selected. The age range was 6 to 18 years. Written informed consent and questionnaires were obtained from the students or their parents. There were two types of questionnaires: one for parents (grades 1–4) and one for self-reporting (grades 5–12). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Anhui Medical University.

2.2. Screen time

The ST of participants was determined with two questions. One question was, “How much time do you / your child spend watching TV, using computers, and playing with cell phones or other electric devices on an average weekday?” The other was, “How much time do you / your child spend watching TV, using computers, and playing with cell phones or other electric devices on an average weekend day?” The overall average ST was calculated according to previous methods [37]. ST was categorized as ≥2 h/day (high) or <2 h/day (low) [38].

2.3. Outdoor activity

The OA option had two questions, which were, “On an average weekday (Monday to Friday) during the past month, how much time did you usually spend time on outdoor activity?” and “On an average weekend day (Saturday or Sunday) during the past month, how much time did you usually spend time on outdoor activity?” Minutes spent outdoors per week were computed as [(5*outdoor time on weekdays) + (2*outdoor time on weekend days)] / 7, to convert this estimate to hours per day, we divided the estimate by 420 (60 min * 7 days per week) [26]. OA was categorized as ≥1 h/day and <1 h/day [26].

2.4. Emotional and behavioral health problems

Participants self-reported using the strengths and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ) [39]. The 25 items of the SDQ are used to measure strengths and difficulties on five scales (emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity problems, peer problems, and prosocial behavior). Each scale contains five items scored from 0 to 2, giving a scale range of 0 to 10. The score for total difficulties is the sum of four scales (prosocial behavior is excluded). Higher scores reflect greater difficulty (excluding prosocial behavior). SDQ scores (including total difficulties, prosocial behavior, emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity problems, and peer problems) were categorized as normal, borderline, or abnormal. Total SDQ scores above the 90th percentile were classified as abnormal; those in the 80th to 90th percentile range were ‘borderline,’ and those below the 80th percentile were ‘normal’ [40]. Children’s responses were categorized as normal, borderline, or abnormal for each subscale.

2.5. Covariates

In this analysis, demographic information was included as covariates, such as sex, family economic level, parental education, academic record, and homeplace. Family economic level was classified as below moderate, moderate, or above moderate. Parental education level was classified as junior high or below, senior high, or junior college and above. Academic record was classified as below moderate, moderate, or above moderate. Homeplace was classified as country, city, or town.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 23.0. Descriptive analyses were used to show demographic information of the sample. Pearson’s chi-squared tests were performed to test the prevalence of EBHPs. Andersson Excel was used to calculate the interaction data of the additive interaction model [41]. Multivariable logistic regression was used to explore the independent and interactive associations of ST and OA. A p < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Participant characteristics

Demographic information of the participants are shown in Table 1. Participants included 16,897 boys (56.0%) and 13,291 girls (44.0%). According to the self-report, more than half (77.1%) spent less than two hours per day on ST and more than half (57.6%) of children and adolescents spending more time on outdoor activity. In our study, the abnormal of emotional symptoms, conduct problems, peer problems, hyperactivity problems, prosocial behavior, and total difficulties scales were 18.5%, 6.9%, 6.4%, 13.1%, 10.7% and 8.8%. Other variables are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Demographic information
Table 2 shows the distribution of EBHPs. Significant differences were found by father’s education, academic record, and residential area in the distribution of emotional symptoms (father’s education: $\chi^2 = 18.13, p < 0.001$; academic record: $\chi^2 = 498.85, p < 0.001$; residential area: $\chi^2 = 156.52, p < 0.001$), conduct problems (father’s education: $\chi^2 = 6.58, p < 0.05$; academic record: $\chi^2 = 296.04, p < 0.001$; residential area: $\chi^2 = 156.52, p < 0.001$), peer problems (father’s education: $\chi^2 = 12.09, p < 0.05$; academic record: $\chi^2 = 593.1, p < 0.001$; residential area: $\chi^2 = 305.3, p < 0.001$), prosocial behavior (father’s education: $\chi^2 = 2.76, p > 0.05$; academic record: $\chi^2 = 640.24, p < 0.001$; residential area: $\chi^2 = 231.23, p < 0.001$), and total difficulties (father’s education: $\chi^2 = 23.08, p < 0.001$; academic record: $\chi^2 = 550.96, p < 0.001$; residential area: $\chi^2 = 31.82, p < 0.001$). In addition, differences in family economic level were reflected in conduct problems ($\chi^2 = 40.57, p < 0.001$), peer problems ($\chi^2 = 30.86, p < 0.001$), hyperactivity problems ($\chi^2 = 367.57, p < 0.001$), prosocial behavior ($\chi^2 = 60.06, p < 0.001$), and total difficulties ($\chi^2 = 23.08, p < 0.001$), except for emotional symptoms. Moreover, effects of mother’s education were not found for hyperactivity problems and conduct problems but were correlated with the other scales, while father’s education showed a weak correlation with conduct problems, also no correlation with hyperactivity problems. Sex was found to affect emotional symptoms ($\chi^2 = 325.21, p < 0.001$), conduct problems ($\chi^2 = 138.61, p < 0.001$), peer problems ($\chi^2 = 144.41, p < 0.001$), hyperactivity problems ($\chi^2 = 89.34, p < 0.001$), and prosocial behavior ($\chi^2 = 197.7, p < 0.001$), but not total difficulties.

Table 2: Distribution of emotional and behavioral health problems
After adjusting for gender, father’s and mother’s education, family economic level, residential area, and academic record, Table 3 shows the independent effects of ST and OA on MBHPs. There were positive relationships between ST, OA, and EBHPs. Higher ST caused more emotional symptoms (OR = 1.47; 95% CI: 1.37–1.57, p < 0.001), conduct problems (OR = 1.63; 95% CI: 1.24–1.47, p < 0.001), peer problems (OR = 1.26; 95% CI: 1.19–1.34, p < 0.001), hyperactivity problems (OR = 1.35; 95% CI: 1.37–1.57, p < 0.001), prosocial behavior (OR = 1.30; 95% CI: 1.19–1.41, p < 0.001), and total difficulties (OR = 1.66; 95% CI: 1.57–1.57, p < 0.001).
1.52–1.82, p < 0.001). Furthermore, higher OA was also found with stronger emotional symptoms (OR = 1.15; 95% CI: 1.09–1.28, p < 0.001), hyperactivity problems (OR = 1.11; 95% CI: 1.03–1.20, p < 0.01), and prosocial behavior (OR = 1.36; 95% CI: 1.26–1.47, p < 0.001).

Table 3 Correlation between ST and OA and emotional and behavioral health problems

| screen time(Ref:≤2h) | physical activity(Ref:≥1h) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| emotional symptoms  |                             |
| normal(Ref)         |                             |
| borderline          | 1.27(1.17,1.39)***          |
| abnormal            | 1.47(1.37,1.57)***          |
| conduct problems    |                             |
| normal(Ref)         |                             |
| borderline          | 1.34(1.28,1.44)***          |
| abnormal            | 1.63(1.52,1.75)***          |
| hyperactivity problems |                           |
| normal(Ref)         |                             |
| borderline          | 1.35(1.24,1.47)***          |
| abnormal            | 1.63(1.52,1.75)***          |
| peer problems       |                             |
| normal(Ref)         |                             |
| borderline          | 1.08(1.01,1.16)*            |
| abnormal            | 1.26(1.19,1.34)***          |
| prosocial behaviors |                             |
| normal(Ref)         |                             |
| borderline          | 1.21(1.18,1.30)***          |
| abnormal            | 1.30(1.19,1.41)***          |
| total difficulties   |                             |
| normal(Ref)         |                             |
| borderline          | 1.21(1.11,1.2)***           |
| abnormal            | 1.66(1.52,1.82)***          |

The model was controlled for sex, parents’ education level, family economic level, academic record, and homplace: *p< 0.05; **p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.

3.4. Study on emotional and behavioral health problems and additive interaction model between outdoor activity and screen time

In Fig. 1, after adjusting confounders, we explored the influence of OA and ST synergy on emotional and behavioral health problems. Variables were categorized into four groups: high PA + low ST, high OA + high ST, low OA + low ST, and low OA + high ST. Emotional and behavioral health problems were categorized into three groups: normal, and abnormal. In comparison with high OA + low ST, adolescents who had high ST and low OA demonstrated higher EBHPs (emotional symptoms: OR = 1.65, 95% CI 1.49–1.83, p < 0.001; conduct problems: OR = 1.60, 95% CI 1.37–1.87, p < 0.001; peer problems: OR = 1.58, 95% CI 1.35–1.84, p < 0.001; prosocial problems: OR = 1.83, 95% CI 1.60–2.08, p < 0.001; hyperactivity problems: OR = 1.79, 95% CI 1.59–2.03, p < 0.001; total difficulties: OR = 1.88, 95% CI 1.64–2.15, p < 0.001). Additionally, we discuss high OA + high ST and low OA + low ST in terms of prosocial problems, and hyperactivity problems scores, since all two were risk factors for high OA + low ST at abnormal level. There was no correlation between low OA + low ST and abnormal scores, but high OA + high ST were correlated with abnormal scores in total difficulties (OR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.34–1.68), emotional symptoms (OR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.20–1.42) and conduct problems (OR = 1.51, 95% CI: 1.34–1.68). Moreover, there were no association between high OA + high ST and low PA + low ST in abnormal peer problems (OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.99–1.28 and OR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.83–1.04).

In emotional and behavioral health problems and the additive interaction model between OA and ST, our results have shown that low OA and high ST are positively correlated with emotional symptoms, hyperactivity problems, peer problems, prosocial behaviors, and total difficulties. High OA and low ST were negatively related to emotional symptoms, hyperactivity problems, peer problems, prosocial behaviors, and total difficulties.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the associations between ST and OA and EBHPs in Chinese children and adolescents. After adjusting for confounding factors, ST and OA were found to have independent and additive interaction effects on EBHPs. There were gender differences in the distribution of EBHPs. Boys were more likely than girls to report conduct problems, hyperactivity problems, peer problems, prosocial behaviors, and total difficulties. High OA and low ST were negatively related to emotional symptoms, hyperactivity problems, peer problems, prosocial behaviors, and total difficulties.

With progress in science and technology, the use of electronic products and mobile phones has increased significantly. Some studies have proposed that more than half of students have high ST (>2 h/d) [48]. One study found that watching television, videos, or DVDs for three hours or more daily is associated with a...
small increase in conduct problems between ages 5 and 7 [22]. According to an American study, computer ST was correlated with SDQ scores [49]. Studies of younger-aged children demonstrated that higher ST is a risk factor for EBHPs [50]. Other studies have found a correlation between emotional or behavioral difficulties and spending more time on computers [51-53], as well as increased difficulty in disengaging from the computer [54]. This also reflects the influence of electronic devices on interpersonal communication. High ST can cause various maladaptive psychophysiological responses, such as arousal of the central nervous system, and can also adversely affect sleep patterns [55]. Adolescents with psychosocial difficulties may choose to use ST as a form of self-release. Another study explained the relationships between inactivity, ST, and psychosocial difficulties as bidirectional [56]. In addition, children who suffered from neurodevelopmental disorders had more difficulties disengaging from screen devices, which could exacerbate emotional/behavioral difficulties [57]. Similarly, our findings support the conclusion that high ST has adverse effects on the EBHPs of children and adolescents [58].

Our OA findings are consistent with other PA and OA studies [3,26, 59-60]. The mechanism between PA and EBHPs attributed to PA may improve monoamines (norepinephrine and serotonin) by increasing neurotransmitter activity and increasing or moderately activating the limbic system and decreasing cortisol levels [61], indicating that PA can improve mental health outcomes for all children, in a similar way, PA and OA are supplement each other, adolescents who spending more time outside is associated with higher physical activity levels, which in turn increase the probability of improvement mental health [26]. OA can encourage opportunities for school connectedness and intra- and interpersonal development for young people, highlight the importance of fostering school connectedness and interpersonal communication in supporting healthy adolescent development [48,62-63], lower isolation and loneliness [64], and increase confidence and social skills [65,66] to improve students’ mental health. The special effect of outdoor activity has also been reported with some studies that be associated with positive mood and mental well-beings [62]. Beyond these, outdoor activity is also used as therapy, which is associated with decreased symptoms of ADHD [67]. Moreover, the effect of outdoor activity which is not only limited to the psychological status of the normal population, but also plays an important regulating role in diabetes patients [68]. Furthermore, compared with physical activity indoors, exercising in outdoor environments was associated with greater feelings of emotion and positive engagement, decreases in negative emotion and depression, and adverse psychological mood; one possible reason is participants reported greater enjoyment and satisfaction with outdoor activity and declared a greater intent to repeat the activity at a later date [69]. Therefore, it is imperative to promote outdoor activities for children and adolescents.

We found a remarkable additive interaction between high ST and OA on EBHPs. The additive interaction model analysis demonstrates that the combination of low OA and high ST are positively associated with concurrent total difficulties when compared with high PA and low ST after controlling for other confounders. In addition, high ST and insufficient OA interact to increase psychological problems. Also, in comparison with high OA and low ST, low OA and low ST and low OA and high ST were correlated with some scales. Some possible reasons were that high ST may displace their study and OA time, then further infect their physical and psychosocial health [70-72]. Meanwhile, each additional hour spent outdoors per day was associated with 7.0 more minutes of moderate- to- vigorous physical activity, 762 more steps, and 13 fewer minutes of sedentary time [29]. Extended exposure to screens limits opportunities for personal connectedness, problem-solving, and self-development, and promotes isolation, which can increase adolescents' vulnerability to adverse mental health outcomes [73]. Previous research demonstrates the interactive effects of insufficient PA and high ST on psychological difficulties in children and adolescents [32,74-76]. But there is no study about outdoor activity and screen time on mental health among children and adolescents. Adolescents in Dhaka City who had high recreational ST and were not meeting PA recommendations were more likely to suffer psychosocial difficulties [2]. The frequency of emotional and behavioral health problems and the potential long-term consequences make it an important research topic in relation to risk and protective factors and strongly implies a need to identify strategies to reduce this public health problem. Our study explores factors affecting EBHPs from the perspective of ST and OA, providing a theoretical basis for solving public health problems on children and adolescents.

Our study has the primary strength of having a large sample size. We distributed this survey through 6 streets and 14 schools, including elementary, junior high, and senior high schools. We also analysed several potential confounders. In this study, we adopted the interactive effect to explore the correlation between ST and OA and EBHPs, further demonstrating that we should pay close attention to those factors influencing the mental health of children and adolescents.

This research also has several limitations. First, this study is cross-sectional and could not determine causality or direction. Second, ST and OA were acquired through self-reporting, which may introduce a recall bias. Our further research has utilized objective measures such as accelerometers to assess OA and ST. Third, we could not obtain answers from absent students. Poor mood and behavioral health problem status may be more prevalent among frequently or long-term absent students. Therefore, the prevalence of mood health problems detected in this study is likely underestimated.

5. Conclusion

In this study, our results confirmed that high exposure to ST and OA increase the risk of EBHPs among Chinese children and adolescents. Overall, time spent outdoors was consistently associated with improved physical behaviors (i.e., more physical activity and less sedentary behavior) and fitness outcomes when compared with time spent indoors. Children with higher amounts of outdoor time engaged in higher amounts of physical activity and lower amounts of sedentary behavior than children who spend less time outdoors. The simultaneous associations of ST and OA on emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity problems, prosocial problems, and total difficulties were stronger than those of ST or OA, individually.
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The OR value of emotional and behavioral health problems and interactive model of PA and ST (A: interaction between OA and ST on total difficulties; B: interaction between OA and ST on emotional symptoms; C: interaction between OA and ST on conduct problems; D: interaction between OA and ST on hyperactivity problems; E: interaction between OA and ST on peer problems; F: interaction between OA and ST on prosocial behaviors)