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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diarrhea is a significant health problem, especially in countries with low income, even can cause death. Treatment for diarrhea has been done both pharmacologically (including the usage of herbal remedies) and non-pharmacologically. Decoction of the sapodilla’s leaves is often used to treat diarrhea, but no studies directly demonstrates the effects of sapodilla’s leaves’ decoction towards living creatures. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of Sapodilla leaves’ (Achras zapota) infusum towards diarrheal activity of mice (Mus musculus) which can be seen through the onset and frequency of diarrhea, and the consistency and quantity / weight of feces.

Methods: 30 mice were divided into five groups. Negative controls (group I) were administered with oleum Ricini, positive controls (group II) were administered with oleum Ricini and Loperamide HCl after half-an-hour, and group III, IV, and V, were administered with oleum Ricini and sapodilla leaves’ (Achras zapota) infusum (with the concentration of 5 g %, 10 g %, and 20 g %) after half-an-hour. Then, all groups observed for 3 hours for their diarrheal activity (diarrheal onset, frequency, fecal consistency, and fecal weights).

Results: Statistic analysis by Kruskal-Wallis method showed significant differences of diarrheal activities among the five groups (p = 0.023). Whilst, analysis by Mann-Whitney method showed significant differences between group I and II (p = 0.04), group I and III (p = 0.012), and group I and IV (p = 0.006).

Conclusions: Based on the result showed sapodilla leaves’ (Achras zapota) infusum could reduce diarrheal activity of mice (Mus musculus).

Introduction

Diarrhea is a major health problem, especially in low-income countries. In many cases, diarrhea even causes death. (1) Diarrhea is a bowel movement of fluid that occurs more than three times each day or in frequency more often than normal people. (2) One of the processes in the body that causes diarrhea is a change in motility of the gastrointestinal tract. (3) Diarrhea treatment can be done with pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapy. While non-pharmacologic therapies focus on the replacement of body fluids lost due to diarrhea, pharmacological therapies focus on suppression of intestinal peristalsis.

Sapodilla or Sawo is a group of plants belonging to the Sapotaceae and grows considerably in Indonesia and has been used as a medicinal plant. Sapodilla boiled leaf water has even been used by the community as a medicine for diarrhea, although there is no further research to investigate its effects directly on living things. (4) This turned out to be in accordance with previous study which investigated the active ingredients in the Manilla sapodilla leaves. Studies shows that leaves contained saponin and flavonoids. (5) Saponins
can increase intestinal absorption while flavonoids can reduce peristalsis and secretions in the gut.(6,7)

This study aims to determine the effect of infusion of sapodilla manila leaves (Achras zapota) to the decrease of diarrhea activity in mice (Mus musculus). Parameters that measured were the time of diarrhea / onset of diarrhea, frequency of diarrhea, consistency and number / weight of feces.

Methods
This laboratory experimental research was designed using randomized post test controlled design method. The research was conducted on June 15, 2013 at Pharmacology Laboratory of Airlangga University using adult male mice, age about 3 months, weight 20 gram, and physical health condition. Mice used were divided into five treatment groups by random sampling. The amount of replication was determined by using Freder formula and found 30 research subjects with 6 mice as negative control group, 6 mice as positive control group, and the other three as treatment group. Diarrhea activity data retrieval was done by placing the mice in individual container for observation.

Prior to implementation, this research proposal has been approved by the Health Ethics Committee of Medical Faculty, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya, due to the use of mice (Mus musculus) as experimental animals. This research was conducted in three stages, namely pre-treatment phase, treatment stage, and data retrieval stage. At the pre-treatment stage, a sapodilla leaf infusion (Achras zapota) was made by mixing the dried brown leaves powder with 100 ml of distillate water on a measuring cup then heated and held at a temperature of 90° C over a pot containing water for 15 minutes, after that it filtered using flannel.

Before treatment, the mice were acclimatized for one week with laboratory conditions for self-habituation during the study. At the treatment stage, the animals were divided into five groups at random, Group I, II, III, IV and V. Group I was a group with Ricini oleum alone; group II (positive control) was a group of mice given Loperamide HCl 2 ml / kg BW, then after one hour was given orally 0.75 ml of Ricini oleum; group III (first treatment group) was a group of mice treated with 5% leaf of sawo manila (Achras zapota) extract, and after one hour was given orally 0.75 ml of Ricini oleum; group IV (second treatment group) was a group of mice treated with leaf extract of sawo manila (Achras zapota) 10%, then after one hour given by oral 0.75 ml of Ricini oleum; group V (third treatment group) was a group of mice given leaf extract of sawo manila (Achras zapota) 20%, then after one hour given by oral 0.75 ml of Ricini oleum. The data retrieval stage about diarrheal activities is done by observation, which includes time of diarrhea, frequency of diarrhea, consistency and number / weight of feces and duration of diarrhea. The response of each mouse was observed at the 30th, 60th, 90th, 120th, 150th, 180th, 210th, 240th, 300th, 360th minutes after giving the castor oil.(8)

Results

Table 1. Mice Diarrheal Activity

| Group | Mice | Onset (minute to..) | Frequency (in 3 hours) | Consistency Score | Total weight (g) |
|-------|------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| I     | 2    | 50                  | 5x                     | 1                | 4               |
| II    | 3    | 70                  | 3x                     | 3                | 7,4             |
| V     | 2    | 60                  | 2x                     | 1                | 10              |
|       | 5    | 33                  | 5x                     | 2                | 0,4             |
|       | 6    | 60                  | 2x                     | 1                | 10,6            |
| III   | 1    | Dead                | Dead                   | Dead             | Dead            |
| IV    | 1    | 48                  | 4x                     | 2                | 0,3             |
| V     | 1    | 26                  | 4x                     | 2                | 0,6             |
| I     | 2    | 38                  | 1x                     | 1                | 6,4             |
| II    | 3    | 68                  | 4x                     | 3                | 0,2             |
| III   | 2    | 14                  | 1x                     | 2                | 0,3             |
| III   | 3    | 56                  | 3x                     | 1                | 0,2             |
| IV    | 4    | 88                  | 3x                     | 1                | 0,5             |
| V     | 5    | 141                 | 0                      | 0                | 0               |
|       | 5    | 94                  | 2x                     | 0                | 0,2             |
|       | 6    | Dead                | Dead                   | Dead             | Dead            |
| III   | 1    | 69                  | 3x                     | 1                | 0,6             |
| IV    | 2    | 14                  | 1x                     | 2                | 0,3             |
| V     | 2    | 48                  | 3x                     | 0                | 0,2             |
| III   | 3    | 56                  | 3x                     | 1                | 0,4             |
| IV    | 4    | 88                  | 3x                     | 1                | 0,5             |
| V     | 5    | 68                  | 5x                     | 1                | 0,7             |
|       | 6    | 34                  | 4x                     | 2                | 0,3             |
| IV    | 1    | 48                  | 4x                     | 2                | 0,3             |
| V     | 2    | 105                 | 4x                     | 0                | 0,2             |
| III   | 3    | 70                  | 5x                     | 2                | 1,6             |
| IV    | 4    | 69                  | 3x                     | 3                | 0,7             |
| V     | 5    | 23                  | 2x                     | 3                | 0,7             |
|       | 6    | 71                  | 5x                     | 1                | 0,6             |

Information:
I : Group of mice given Oleum ricini only
II : The group of mice given Loperamide HCl as comparison and then orally 0.75 ml of Oleum ricini
III : The mice group given infusum of sawo manila leaves (Achras zapota) 5% then given 0.75 ml of Oleum ricini orally
IV : The mice group given infusum of sawo manila leaves (Achras zapota) 10% then given 0.75 ml of Oleum ricini orally
V : The mice group given infusum of sawo manila leaves (Achras zapota) 20% then given 0.75 ml of Oleum ricini orally
Table 2. Mice Diarrhea Activity after scoring

| Group | Mice | Onset | Frequency | Consistency | Total weight | Total score |
|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| I     | 1    | 2     | 2         | 1           | 3            | 8           |
|       | 2    | 3     | 0         | 1           | 3            | 7           |
|       | 3    | 2     | 1         | 3           | 3            | 9           |
|       | 4    | 3     | 1         | 2           | 3            | 9           |
|       | 5    | 3     | 2         | 2           | 0            | 7           |
|       | 6    | 2     | 1         | 1           | 3            | 7           |
| II    | 1    | Dead  | Dead      | Dead        | Dead         | Dead        |
|       | 2    | 3     | 1         | 3           | 0            | 7           |
|       | 3    | 2     | 2         | 3           | 0            | 7           |
|       | 4    | 0     | 0         | 0           | 0            | 0           |
|       | 5    | 1     | 1         | 0           | 0            | 2           |
|       | 6    | Dead  | Dead      | Dead        | Dead         | Dead        |
| III   | 1    | 2     | 1         | 2           | 1            | 6           |
|       | 2    | 3     | 0         | 0           | 0            | 3           |
|       | 3    | 2     | 1         | 3           | 0            | 6           |
|       | 4    | 1     | 1         | 0           | 1            | 3           |
|       | 5    | 2     | 2         | 2           | 1            | 7           |
|       | 6    | 3     | 2         | 2           | 0            | 7           |
| IV    | 1    | 2     | 2         | 1           | 0            | 5           |
|       | 2    | 3     | 2         | 1           | 0            | 5           |
|       | 4    | 0     | 1         | 1           | 0            | 2           |
|       | 5    | 2     | 3         | 1           | 1            | 7           |
|       | 6    | 1     | 2         | 2           | 0            | 5           |
| V     | 1    | 3     | 2         | 2           | 1            | 8           |
|       | 2    | 1     | 2         | 0           | 0            | 3           |
|       | 3    | 2     | 2         | 2           | 3            | 9           |
|       | 4    | 2     | 1         | 3           | 1            | 7           |
|       | 5    | 3     | 1         | 3           | 1            | 8           |
|       | 6    | 2     | 2         | 1           | 1            | 6           |

Information:
- Onset score:
  0: minutes to >105
  1: minutes to 76-105
  2: minutes to 46-75
  3: minutes to 0-45
- Frequency score:
  0: 0-5x in 6 hours
  1: 6-10x in 6 hours
  2: 11-15x in 6 hours
  3: >15x in 6 hours
- Consistency score:
  0: normal feces
  1: soft feces
  2: Slimy / watery form a mass of feces
  3: Slimy / watery not forming a mass of feces
- Weight score total:
  0: 0-0.4 gram
  1: 0.5-0.9 gram
  2: 1-1.4 gram
  3: >1.5 gram

If described in the graphical from, the table will look like this.

Figure 1 Graph of Total Diarrhea Activity Score in Five Mice Groups

Anti-diarrhea effect of sapodilla leaf infusion was tested using 5 groups of mice, each group consists of 6 mice. Group I as negative control was given aquades, group II as positive control using Loperamid HCl 2 mg / kg BW, and the treatment groups III, IV and V were given 5%, 10%, and 20% leaf extract of sawo manila (Achras zapota). An hour later each mouse was given the oil as much as 0.5 ml / mice. The diarrheal activities of the mice in each group could be seen in Table 1, which were later converted into scores and presented in Table 2. The highest diarrheal score was achieved by the mice in the negative control group (aquades), while the total diarrheal activites’ scores in the positive control group (loperamide) and treatment groups (leaf extract) were presented to be lower.

Based on Kruskall Wallis test, the significance value between groups is 0.023. This means that there are significant differences between groups. To know which groups had significant differences, the next test done was Mann-Whitney. From the results of the test analysis, significant differences were found between groups I and II, I and III, and I and IV. Two of the three treatment groups (Group III and IV) showed significant differences in reducing the diarrheal activity of the mice. In those two groups, mice were given 5% and 10% of Achras zapota’s infusum.

Discussion
In this study there were significant differences between group I and II, where negative control group only given Oleum ricini and positive control given Loperamid HCl 2 mg / kg BW before being given Oleum ricini. The
positive control group differed significantly from the negative control group in the weight of the stool. The stool’s weight in group II was 0-0.4 grams on average. This is consistent with the pharmacological theory of Loperamid that Loperamid can reduce peristaltic and fluid secretion in the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in longer transit time in the gastrointestinal tract and increased absorption of fluid and electrolytes in the gastrointestinal tract. Loperamid is also able to protect mice from *oleum ricini*-induced diarrhea.

Significant differences were obtained when compared between groups I and III as well as between groups I and IV, which is a negative control group treated only with *Oleum ricini* with a group treated with 5% and 10% sawo manila leaves infusion (*Achras zapota*) and then treated with *Oleum ricini*. This is in accordance with the empirical experience of the people who use a bowl of chopped sawo manila leaf and boiled in two glasses of clean water for 15 minutes and drink 3 times a day (4). These results are also supported by investigation of the chemical content of *Achras zapota* leaves which have been proven to contain Saponin and Flavonoids that have been proven to have anti diarrheal effects. (9) Flavonoids have been shown to weaken the contraction of ileum in guinea pig induced by some spasmogen, and inhibit the transit of feces in the small intestine. (10, 11)

Whereas, there was no significant difference between group I and V, that is between negative control group which only given *Oleum ricini* and sawo manila leaves infusion (*Achras zapota*) 20%. Mice in group V had early onset of diarrhea (started to present with diarrhea in the first 0-75 min) and soft consistency of the stool (produced watery and mucoid stool). The same result was also shown in the use of morphine as an anti diarrheal drug. Morphone, when used in low doses can decrease the intestinal tone causing constipation. Meanwhile, when administered in higher doses will cause spasmodic effects and cause diarrhea. (12) This means that the optimum dose of inhibition of diarrheal activity from the infusion of the sawo manila leaves (*Achras zapota*) is between 5-10%.

A significant difference was also not obtained when comparing groups II and III, groups II and IV, and groups II and V, which is a positive control group given Loperamide HCl 2 mg / kg BW before being given Oleum ricini and the groups given sawo manila leaves infusion (*Achras zapota*) 5%, 10%, and 20% then given Oleum ricini. This is due to the workings of Flavonoids and Saponins, the active ingredients in the sawo manila leaves, which are not the same as Loperamid’s way of reducing peristaltic or weakening intestinal contractions. (10) Meaning, at these doses, the sawo manila leaves infusion have already gave the anti diarrheal effect not significantly different from Loperamide HCl with a dose of 2mg / kg BW and which means it can be used as a substitute for Loperamide.

In this study there is no significant difference between the three concentrations of infusion. This suggests that the use of these concentrations makes little difference in reducing diarrheal activity between one and the other. However, when compared with the group of mice given 5% and 10% of the sawo manila leaves infusion, the mice group given 20% of the sawo manila leaves infusion had a lower anti diarrheal effect and showed no significance when compared to the negative control group.

**Conclusion**

The treatment of sawo manila leaves infusion with concentration 5 g% and 10 g% can significantly reduce the activity of mice (*Mus musculus*) diarrhea induced by Oleum ricini, while the sawo manila leaves infusion with a concentration 20 g% cannot significantly reduce the activity of mice (*Mus musculus*) diarrhea with similar treatment.
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