Post Testicular Sperm Maturational Changes in the Bull: Important Role of the Epididymosomes and Prostasomes
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1. Introduction

In mammalian species, fertilization is a complex process that occurs in the oviduct of the female reproductive tract [1]. In bovine, since the ovulation is not synchronized with the time of the sperm arrival at the site of fertilization (18–20 hours or more before) and the oocyte fertilization temporal window is narrow, reproductive strategies have been developed in both sexes. The male produces a heterogeneous population of spermatozoa, with different sperm subpopulations available to fertilize an oocyte at any time during the female ovulation window. On the other hand, the female selects and retains attached to the oviducal epithelium the most suitable sperm subpopulation arrived at the oviduct, keeping them with a high fertilizing potential until the ovulation time, when they will be released [2, 3]. From the male side, the testis is the organ in charged of the continuous production of spermatozoa, and the epididymis is the organ that ensures the production of a heterogeneous sperm population capable of fertilizing an oocyte and also acts as a reservoir of the male gametes.

After completing spermatogenesis and spermiation in the testis, the spermatozoa are not capable of fertilizing an oocyte. The fertilizing ability will be acquired in a temporally controlled manner during different stages towards the encounter with the female gamete: (1) along the transit through the epididymal duct, (2) at the encounter with the seminal plasma during the ejaculation, (3) during the transit through the female reproductive tract, (4) during the interaction with oviductal epithelial cells, and (5) during the interactions with the different female gamete structures. Sperm changes occurring in the male reproductive tract have been defined as maturational changes whereas those occurring in the female counterpart are known as capacitation-associated changes. Epididymal maturation processes need around 10 days to be completed, a relatively long time comparing to the processes that occur from the ejaculation that takes between minutes to hours. This is an indicator of the level of complexity in the events that take place in the epididymis.

During maturation, the spermatozoa undergo many biochemical and morphological modifications. Some of
them include the addition of epididymal proteins to the maturating sperm, a process that has been specially studied [4–6], and also some molecular reorganizational changes at the cell membrane level. It is interesting to note that those are common mechanisms between maturation and capacitation events [7]. Among them, the movement of some enzymes [8, 9], membrane lipids [10], and cholesterol [11] can be cited. In addition, changes in membrane fluidity as a consequence of cholesterol efflux and protein dissociation from raft domain seems to be also a mechanism that occurs both in capacitation [12, 13] and in the maturational processes occurring at the time of ejaculation [14].

Since the spermatozoa must complete all those physiological processes to be able to fertilize an oocyte, a better understanding of the mechanisms involved has a great impact in the development of bovine reproductive biotechnologies. This paper focuses on the events associated with the acquisition of the sperm maturation along the epididymal duct and the postejaculatory sperm modifications with emphasis on the role of the membranous vesicles present in the epididymal fluid (epididymosomes) and seminal plasma (prostasomes) on those processes.

2. The Epididymis

The epididymal duct is a long convoluted tubule tightly coiled over the testicular surface that connects the efferent ducts to the vas deferens embryologically originated from the anterior Wolffian or mesonephric duct [15]. Three different regions are anatomically distinguished: the head (caput), the body (corpus), and the tail (cauda) (Figure 1) [16]. All along the epididymal tubule, the lumen is bordered by an epithelium that is very active in protein synthesis and secretion [17] under androgenic stimulation [18, 19]. The pseudostratified epithelium is composed mainly of principal (85%) and basal cells, accompanied by other specialized cells distributed in a segment-specific manner including apical, narrow, clear, and halo cells. Between important known functions of these cells, secretion, absorption, endocytosis, acidification of the luminal fluid, immune defense, phagocytosis, and antioxidant production can be mentioned [20]. The epithelium forms an epididymal barrier by the presence of tight junction between epithelial cells [20]. Fluid composition in each epididymal segment shows great variability from one epididymal segment to the other [21, 22] as well as the pattern of gene expression [23, 24].

The epididymis is the organ responsible for the sperm transport, concentration, storage, and maturation. The epididymal sperm maturation function involves the acquisition of the forward motility and the fertilizing ability [4]. The latter is defined as the acquisition of the many physiological properties by the spermatozoon including the ability to bind the zona pellucida, an extracellular glycoprotein coat surrounding the oocytes, and to fuse with the egg plasma membrane. The fertilizing ability is acquired by the spermatozoa during the epididymal transit, since it has been demonstrated that spermatozoa collected from the proximal segments are unable to fertilize an oocyte in artificial insemination or in vitro fertilization procedures [25] but, in the majority of species, they start to acquire fertilizing potential in the middle corpus [26].

When spermatozoa reach the elongated spermatid stage in the testis, their DNA starts to be progressively condensed, and as a consequence, the DNA transcription will be arrested. At the time the spermatozoa initiate the epididymal transit, the synthesis of new proteins is then at a very low range. Because of this, the sperm maturational process depends on the sequential interactions of sperm with different intraluminal fluids [27].

During this transit, spermatozoa undergo many biochemical modifications including the nucleus chromatin condensation, increases in total surface negative charges and in disulfide bounds, changes in the plasma membrane protein and lipid composition (phospholipids composition, cholesterol/phospholipids ratio), relocalization of surface antigens, elimination and modifications of surface proteins [5, 28], structural modifications of the cytoplasmic perinucleolar theca (PT) [29], and the ability to respond to hypoxic stress [30].

Spermatozoa are transported through the caput and corpus epididymal regions by continuous peristaltic contractions originated in the smooth muscles present in the wall of the duct, whereas the cauda is maintained quiescent until it can be stimulated to contract. The cauda is the main region responsible for the sperm storage and survival [31]. In bulls and stallions, the number of sperms stored in the cauda is sufficient for ten successive ejaculates [32]. The epididymal cauda environment also keeps spermatozoa in a metabolic quiescence by preventing premature activation.

The epididymal gene expression and secretion are regulated by the intraluminal and circulating androgens [33, 34]. Those androgens come from the enzymatic reduction of the testicular testosterone in the epididymal proximal regions [35]. Estrogens synthesized by Leydig cells [36] and epididymal spermatozoa [37] have also a function in the epididymis, they are involved in water reabsorption specially in the proximal regions [36, 38–40]. Not only hormones influence the epididymal function but also the scrotal temperature [41–44], between 35 and 37 °C in the bull [45], and the presence of epididymal spermatozoa in close contact with the epididymal cells [44].
3. Epididymal Fluid and Epididymosomes

Different electrolytes and organic compounds were identified in bull epididymal fluid. From proximal to distal, there is a decrease in sodium and chloride with a consequent increase in organic constituents [46].

Since there is a variation in the gene expression and the resultant protein expression profile along the epididymal tubule [5, 23], the spermatozoa must undergo a sequential maturational process by interaction with different segment intraluminal fluid and its macromolecules [23]. As it was mentioned above, the male gamete undergoes many modifications during the epididymal transit in order to acquire the fertilizing ability [5, 28], and protein acquisition is one of the most studied aspects [6]. The acquired proteins can behave as coating [47], bound through electrostatic interactions, or integral plasma membrane proteins [6].

Those integral proteins are mainly glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored to sperm plasma membrane [48] or integral proteins that lack an N-terminal signal peptide in their deduced amino acid sequence [49, 50], suggesting that these proteins cannot be translocated to the endoplasmic reticulum. On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that there are epididymal proteins that can be also incorporated to the sperm intracellular subcompartments [51–53]. All together these evidences suggest that besides the existence of a merocrine secretion mechanism, by which the secreted proteins are expected to be soluble in the intraluminal fluid, in the epididymal epithelium, there is another unusual mechanism of secretion [54].

In 1985, Yanagimachi [55] described for the first time the presence of membranous vesicular structures in the Chinese hamster epididymal lumen, and he suggested a possible sperm plasma membrane cholesterol transport function. Later, the same structures were described in rat [51, 56], bovine [57–59], and hamster [55, 60].

Membranous vesicles are secreted to the intraluminal compartment by apocrine secretion (Figure 2) [32]. This type of secretion is characterized by the formation of blebs in the apical pole of the epithelial cell that are detached later, probably by the involvement of cytoskeleton proteins like actin [61]. Those structures contain selected organelles and vesicles of various sizes, and there is one hypothesis that argues that upon detachment they undergo fragmentation [20]. This process was first described in different tissues in the rat [62]. Later on, it was described also in epididymal epithelium of different species (monkey [63], bovine [64], mouse [65], and cat [66]). Recent studies have confirmed the existence of this specialized type of secretion [61, 67].

As a result of fragmentation of the apical blebs, these membranous vesicles called epididymosomes are released in the epididymal intraluminal compartment. These membranous particles have a diameter of 50 to 500 nm and a highly complex protein pattern composition [54, 68]. Some of these proteins are important in the acquisition of sperm-fertilizing ability [57], whereas others have been proposed to modulate the acquisition of the sperm forward motility [16, 69], to protect sperm against reactive oxygen species [70], or to be involved in the elimination of defective spermatozoa [58].

4. Epididymosomes and Epididymal Sperm Protein Transfer

In different species, some of the proteins present in the spermatozoa during their transit through the epididymal duct have been identified in the epididymosomes. Those proteins have a wide range of functions. CD52 (HE5) is a GPI-anchored lymphocyte surface antigen involved in the modulation of immunological fertility in human, cynomologus monkey, and rat [71, 72]. The Murine Sperm Adhesion Molecule 1 (SPAM1)/PH-20 is a GPI-anchored protein (one of testicular and one of epididymal origin) that plays a role in sperm-egg cumulus complex interaction [73]. SPAM1 homologous has been reported in human [74], cynomologus monkey [75], bovine [76, 77], and rat [78]. GPX5, a member of the glutathione peroxidase family,
is added to the spermatozoa in the proximal epididymal regions and participates in the sperm protection against oxidative stress [70, 79]. Membranous vesicles found in the bovine epididymal caput were found responsible for the transfer of ubiquitin to the spermatozoa [58], suggesting that this mechanism can be involved in the elimination of defective spermatozoa. More recently, ADAM7, an epidermal-like expressed disintegrin and metalloproteases lost in sperm from ADAM2 or ADAM3 knock-out mice [80] with critical roles in the fertilization process [81], was demonstrated to be added to the spermatozoa during the epididymal transit by epididymosomes [82].

P26h is a GPI-anchored protein transferred to the hamster spermatozoa by epididymosomes involved in the acquisition of zona pellucida binding ability [83]. It is localized in the plasma membrane covering the sperm acrosomal region [60] and participates in sperm-zona pellucida interactions [84]. Its orthologs have been described in human (P34H [85]), mice (P25m [86]), bovine (P25b [87, 88]), and cynomologus monkey (P31m [89]) with the same subcellular localization. In the bovine, the amount of P25b in spermatozoa increases from the corpus to the cauda epididymal regions and the protein seems to be added by epididymosomes since the pattern of expression in those structures that maintain the same profile and coincubation experiments revealed that they are able to transfer it to spermatozoa [57]. These observations are in accordance with those observed in the hamster model with its ortholog p26h [60].

4.1. Mechanism of Interaction between Epididymosomes and Spermatozoa. In the bull, the proteins associated to the epididymosomes represent only a low proportion of all the proteins found in the epididymal fluid, and this association is characterized by being very strong [50]. From these proteins, only a selected group can be transferred to the sperm suggesting that the epididymosomes are not fused to the sperm plasma membrane but transfer selected molecules in a regulated manner. Interestingly, those proteins are transferred to the midpiece and/or the acrosomal cap [59], regions with important functions in motility and female gamete interactions, respectively. Indeed, P25b was localized in the acrosomal cap [87] as well as its ortholog P26h, involved in the sperm-zona pellucida interactions [84].

The amount of biotinylated cauda epididymal proteins transferred to the bovine caput spermatozoa in in vitro coincubation experiments increases with the time until reaching a plateau after 120 to 150 minutes [59]. After this incubation time, the addition of unbiotinylated proteins to the coincubation experiments is unable to displace the already acquired biotinylated proteins, suggesting that the transferred proteins are tightly bound to the sperm membrane [69]. The transfer is more efficient at physiological epididymal environment conditions [46, 90, 91]: temperature of 37°C, pH of 6.0 to 6.5, and in presence of zinc [59]. In accordance with these observations, the optimum pH for the transfer of P25b was also slightly acidic [57].

Further studies demonstrated that epididymosomes collected from caput or cauda epididymal fluid have a different protein composition. Although they have many proteins in common, as beta-actin, aldose reductase, and MIF; there are many others whose expression is restricted to one or the other population. As an example, HSPA5 is more expressed in caput, and P25b was only found in cauda epididymosomes [69]. In addition, biotinylated proteins associated with caput and cauda epididymosomes showed differences, suggesting that they have a distinctive group of proteins exposed in the membrane surface and, therefore, different proteins to interact with the male gamete and in a different way. This idea is supported by the fact that whereas caput epididymosomes transfer a different pattern of biotinylated proteins to the caput than to the cauda spermatozoa, cauda epididymosomes can transfer the same biotinylated protein pattern to both sperm populations. Thus, it was demonstrated that caput epididymosomes are unable to compete with cauda epididymosomes in coincubation experiments [69]. In summary, it is proposed that each epididymosome population has a different protein composition, capable to transfer a different set of protein to the maturating spermatozoa and interacting with them in a different way.

Those interactions could be regulated by the presence of different functional membrane domains. It is well known that the plasma membrane of both somatic [92] and sperm cells [93] is compartmentalized in different structures. Cholesterol- and sphingolipid-enriched detergent-resistant membrane domains or “rafts” are special membrane domains with an important role in the compartmentalization of specific lipids and proteins, driving also cell signal transduction and vesicular trafficking events [94]. These microdomains are enriched in GPI-anchored and transmembrane signaling molecules like protein tyrosine kinases [95, 96]. In the bull epididymal spermatozoa, it has been reported that some proteins are excluded from rafts (aldose reductase and MIF) and others are detected in rafts domains (P25b and AK1). However, along the epididymal transit, they can undergo important compositional modifications [97] and a topographical reorganization of different components [10, 11]. This is the case of AK1 that, distally in the epididymid duct, can be found equally associated to the raft and nonraft sperm plasma membrane domains [14]. Based on these findings, it is proposed that the raft domains have a role in the mechanism of protein transfer from the epididymosomes to the spermatozoa. In agreement with this hypothesis, it was demonstrated that raft and nonraft domains also exist in cauda epididymosomes and that the raft surface-associated P25b protein can be transferred to the same localization and membrane domain to the caput spermatozoa [98].

As it was discussed above, there exist different epididymosomes populations according to the epididymal segment where they are secreted. It has been also reported the presence of two different populations of vesicles in the rat cauda epididymal fluid according to their ultrastructure and enzymatic composition [99]. In bovine, it has also been recently reported the existence of two different cauda epididymosomes populations, not differentiated by their size but by their density, protein and lipid composition, and ultrastructural appearance. The low-density population
is characterized by having a higher cholesterol/phospholipid ratio than the high-density one. They also have a higher amount of proteins associated to lipid rafts, like P25b, in contrast to the high-density population, that are enriched in beta-actin. Despite these differences, they are capable of transferring the same biotinylated protein pattern, suggesting that those differences could have played a role in other aspects of the interaction mechanisms [100].

5. The Role of Epididymis in Sperm Survival and the Acquisition of Sperm Motility

Under androgen control, the epididymal epithelial cells secrete many factors to the lumen of the tubule involved in the spermatozoa survival and storing processes [19]. It has been described that the cauda epididymal secretions have the ability to store sperm cells for several days maintaining their fertilizing ability [31]. It was observed that spermatozoa establish specific interactions with epididymal principal cell cultured in vitro [101], including the observation of a high percentage of spermatozoa anchored to the epididymal cell membranes [102]. In bovine, the presence of cauda epididymal cells or their secretions can improve the sperm motility [102–104]. Those effects were also observed in the human model [101, 105]. However, the same response is observed when spermatozoa are coincubated with cells from different tissue origin, including oviductal epithelial cells [106, 107]. Studies carried out in bovine demonstrated that several protease inhibitors [108] and a 42-kDa protein are secreted and are capable of maintaining the sperm motility. The later is adsorbed to the sperm surface during incubation with epididymal cells culture medium, and this protein was identified as the bovine estrogen sulfotransferase (EST). This protein was also found in the acrosomal region of the hamster spermatozoa, acquired along the epididymal transit [109]. One of the possible functions suggested was to inhibit the activation of the acrosomal protease acrosin [109, 110].

Estrogen sulfotransferase (EST) is a cytosolic enzyme that catalyzes a specific sulfonation with high substrate affinity for estrogens (estrone and estradiol) [111]. It is present in the acrosomal region of epididymal caput spermatozoa as well as in caput epididymal tissue and epididymosomes. Recombinant EST was able to add a sulfate group to cholesterol on epididymal spermatozoa. Even EST has a high affinity for estradiol, the concentration of this compound decreases dramatically in the proximal segments of the epididymis, favoring other sterols present in the sperm membrane to be sulfated [112].

It has been demonstrated that other molecules probably involved in the regulation of the sperm motility are transferred from epididymosomes to spermatozoa; this is the case for enzymes belonging to the polyol pathway and the cytokine, macrophage inhibitor factor (MIF).

The enzymes aldose reductase (AKR1B1) and sorbitol dehydrogenase (SODH) are members of the polyol pathway and their presence has been reported in rat [113], human [114], and bovine epididymis [16]. AKR1B1 is an enzyme that reduces glucose into sorbitol using NADPH as an electron donor. On the other hand, SODH can oxidize sorbitol into fructose by using NAD as an electron acceptor [115]. Since both, sorbitol and fructose, are energy sources for the spermatozoa [116], it is proposed that those molecules could play a role in the modulation of sperm motility. Both proteins have been identified in the spermatozoa and the principal cells of the epididymal epithelium and epididymosomes, suggesting that they are being secreted by apocrine secretion. In fact, this statement is enforced by the absence of the signal peptide in AKR1B1 sequence [50]. Aldose reductase expression and activity are maximal in the proximal and middle segments of the epididymis and decreasing to distal [50] while for SODH are higher in the proximal and distal segments of the epididymis compared to the middle segment [16]. Interestingly, the optimal pH for aldose reductase maximal enzymatic activity is 6.5, the physiological pH of the epididymal milieu, whereas for SODH, the enzymatic activity is higher at pH 7.0, closer to the pH that the spermatozoa encounter after ejaculation. Those observations suggest that in proximal segments the polyol pathway could collaborate to maintain a sperm transient immobilization. This could be explained by the hyperosmolarity of the epididymal milieu generated by sorbitol and the deprivation of energy sources to the sperm intracellular compartment, since sorbitol is poorly permeable to the sperm plasma membrane. But at the end of the epididymal transit, sorbitol is oxidized in fructose, a permeable energy source that now can be used by spermatozoa.

Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) is a T-cell cytokine [117], whose presence has been also reported in many other tissues including the male reproductive tract [50, 51]. In the rat, it was found in small vesicles secreted by the epididymal epithelium that interact with the spermatozoa in the epididymal lumen. Later in the epididymal transit, MIF was found associated with the sperm dense fibers suggesting a role of MIF in the sperm motility acquisition [51]. In the bovine, MIF is also present in the epididymal spermatozoa and epididymosomes. The localization of MIF in the principal cells of the epididymal epithelium and the lack of the signal peptide in the MIF sequence reinforce the idea that MIF is secreted in an apocrine way. Another possible role for MIF in the acquisition of the sperm motility is the fact that MIF has a thiol-protein oxdoreductase catalytic property that can participate in the thiol status of the maturating epididymal spermatozoa [51].

The control of sperm motility is a complex process involving different pathways. Protein phosphorylation is another mechanism implicated in the motility startup. The signaling kinase, glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3), regulated by serine and tyrosine phosphorylation [118], and the protein phosphatase PPIy2 are present in the epididymal spermatozoa and are critical for the regulation of sperm motility and fertility [119].

6. Seminal Plasma Proteins and Prostasomes

Seminal plasma is composed of mixed secretions of the male accessory reproductive glands. Vesicular structures similar to epididymosomes have been also found in the seminal plasma. Those structures were first described in the human
semen as a prostate secretion, and due to this, they were called prostasomes [120, 121]. Prostasomes-like vesicles were found in seminal plasma from many other species [122–125], including bovine [57]. Whereas in bovine, those are secreted by seminal vesicles [126]; in human, seminal vesicles do not seem to be the accessory gland responsible for the production of this secretion [127]. Those particles, as epididymosomes, have also a diameter of 50 to 500 nm, a cholesterol/phospholipid ratio of 2, a high content of sphingomyelin, and many proteins associated with them, like many enzymes [128], aldose reductase, P25b, MIF, and PDC-109 [14]. Recently, the presence of chromosomal DNA in those structures was demonstrated, suggesting its possible transfer to the spermatozoa [129]. However, the mechanism by which they are produced is slightly different. They are formed in the cytoplasm of the prostatic cells in storage vesicles and then, by exocytosis, their content is released into the extracellular lumen [121]. It has been reported that the fusion of prostasomes isolated from human semen to the spermatozoa is favored at an acidic pH [130].

Their functions have been better studied in humans. It is well known that prostasomes have immunosuppressive activity via protection against complement attack [131–133], increase the sperm motility [134, 135], and have a role in the sperm capacitation process [136] and in the stabilization of the sperm plasma membrane [54].

7. Changes in the Spermatozoa at the Time of Ejaculation

Once in the female reproductive tract, spermatozoa start to undergo changes directed to prepare the male gamete to fertilize the oocyte. Those processes involve the formation of the oviductal epithelium reservoir, designed to keep the spermatozoa with fertilizing ability until the moment of the ovulation, the molecular changes that occur to display the hyperactivated motility, and the capacitating associated changes that prepare the spermatozoa to penetrate the female gamete.

At ejaculation, the spermatozoa are mixed with the seminal plasma components. In the bovine, the seminal vesicles secrete a family of proteins called bovine seminal plasma or BSP proteins. They contain two fibronectin type II domains in tandem [137–139] that bind to choline phospholipids [140] on the sperm membrane and stimulate their phospholipid and cholesterol efflux [141]. This is one of the first events that occur during capacitation [142]. Cholesterol efflux alters the lipid raft stability and the distribution of these domains [93, 143] and, as a consequence, proteins associated with lipid rafts are also disarranged [12].

Bovine cauda epididymal spermatozoa have proteins associated to rafts (P25b and AK1) and nonrafts domains (aldose reductase and MIF) [14]. When the localization of those proteins is evaluated in spermatozoa recovered from 60-min postejaculated semen, P25b and AK1 proteins are excluded from the raft domains. Whereas AK1 is displaced to nonrafts domains as early as 15 minutes after ejaculation, P25b completes its migration after 30 minutes. Those changes were accompanied by a decrease in the cholesterol content in ejaculated compared with cauda epididymal spermatozoa. Incubation of cauda epididymal spermatozoa with seminal plasma without prostasomes or metabolites could reproduce the same results, but not with the BSP proteins. PDC-109, previously described as a protein responsible for the cholesterol efflux in the bovine sperm at the ejaculation, was capable of dissociating the P25b from the raft domains after 4 hours of incubation. Instead, Niemann-Pick C2 (NPC2), also found in the seminal plasma of various species [144, 145], was able to induce those changes earlier. The ejaculated spermatozoa plasma membrane reorganization could have an important role in the correct positioning of molecules involved with the attachment to the oviduct epithelium and female gamete interactions. In fact, AK activity is important for biosynthesis of ATP and then for flagellar motility [146], and P25b was demonstrated to be a bull fertility marker [87].

8. Molecular Markers of Bull Fertility

All the maturational processes that the spermatozoa undergo once they leave the testis prepare them to fertilize the oocyte. As it was discussed above, in many of them, like protein acquisition and molecular reorganization, epididymosomes and prostasomes are involved. Since those mechanisms have been well studied, their occurrence may be associated with sperm maturation and the fertilizing ability acquisition. This is the case of P25b, involved in the sperm maturation, since it is a protein acquired by the spermatozoa in the epididymis by epididymosomes transfer [57] and in the acquisition of fertilizing ability, since it is present in a higher amount in bulls with high fertility rates compared to those that are subfertile [87]. This fact is confirmed for its role in human (P34H), whose expression was decreased in a significant proportion of men investigated for male infertility [85, 147].

Comparative analysis of the protein composition of accessory glands fluid [148, 149], cauda epididymal fluid [150], and ejaculated spermatozoa [151] between bulls with high and low fertility rates allowed to associate some other proteins to the sperm fertilizing ability whose mechanism of acquisition has not been described yet.

In the accessory sex glands fluid of high fertility rates bulls, it was found a higher amount of osteopontin and phospholipase A2 than in the fluids coming from low fertility rates bulls [148]. Osteopontin is a protein found in the postacrosomal region of cauda epididymal and ejaculates spermatozoa with a role suggested in fertilization and the blocking of the polyspermy [152], and PLA2 is present in the bull sperm plasma membrane [153], and it is related to acrosome reaction events [154], sperm-egg fusion [155, 156], and probable implications in sperm motility [157]. On the other hand, a lower amount of spermadhesin Z13 was found among those extract from those bulls, with a variable association of BSP5 expression. Spermadhesin Z13 is a protein with dual effects on bovine sperm motility, stimulating at average concentrations, but inhibitory at high levels [158]. On the contrary, a member of the spermadhesin protein family from the boar seminal plasma, PSP-I, has been proposed as candidate fertility marker [159]. BSP5 facilitates fertilization.
by cholesterol efflux induction, but higher amounts became detrimental to fertility [160]. BSP5 was demonstrated to have an inhibitory activity on PLA2 [161]; probable explanation for the noncorrelated results is observed.

Fertility-associated antigen (FAA) is a heparin-binding protein (HBp) whose presence was associated with high fertility in bulls [149]. The protein is detected in seminal vesicle, prostate accessory glands, and sperm membranes.

In the cauda epididymal fluids, α-L-fucosidase and cathepsin D were more predominant in high-fertility bulls, while 3 isoforms of Prostaglandin D synthase (PGDS) were associated to low-fertility scored bulls [150]. α-L-fucosidase may participate in the modification of carbohydrate moieties of sperm membrane proteins during epididymal transit, and it was absent in seminal plasma of bulls with elevated percentage of abnormal sperm [162]. Cathepsin D is synthesized mainly in caput and corpus epididymal regions of the stallion [145] and may participate in the proteolytic remodeling of membrane components of sperm during epididymal transit. The role of PGDS is not clear, but it was suggested that they act on polyunsaturated fatty acid in the sperm [163], regulating membrane fluidity [164].

D’Amours et al. [151] found three proteins more abundant in sperm extracts from low fertility rates bulls: T-complex protein 1 subunits 3 and q (CCT5 and CCT8), proteasome subunit a type-6 (PSMA6), two isoforms of epididymal sperm-binding protein E12 (ELSPBP1) and BSP1, and two proteins significantly more associated to high-fertility bulls: adenylate kinase isoenzyme 1 (AK1) and phosphatidyethanolamine-binding protein 1 (PEBP1). CCTs and AK1 explained 64% of the fertility scores. CCTs are members of the class II chaperonins, and after working during spermatogenesis it would be discarded in residual bodies at spermiation [151]. PSMA6 is part of the proteasome multicatalytic protease that degrades polyubiquitinated proteins [151], and its presence has been associated with sperm ubiquitination and DNA defects in bulls [151]. ELSPBP1 has epididymal origin [165] with structural similarities to BSPs, suggesting that those proteins could stimulate lipid efflux and destabilize the membranes, reason supported by the finding of ELSPBP1 and BSP1 in immotile but still alive sperm subpopulation. AK1 is an enzyme acquired during the epididymal transit with functions in sperm motility [146, 166], and PEBP1 is a GPI-anchored receptor [167] with inhibitory effect on sperm capacitation [168], probably the explanation for its negative correlation with BSP1.

9. Conclusion

The secretion of membranous vesicles by the epididymal and accessory glands epithelia to the epididymal fluid or seminal plasma, respectively, is one of the mechanisms by these cells are capable to control the sperm posttesticular maturation. These processes occur in a time and tissue sequential manner under hormonal control. The experimental data summarized in this paper support the important role of this mechanism in the acquisition of the fertilizing ability by the spermatozoa.

The possibility of epididymosomes purification and the demonstration of its ability to transfer proteins under in vitro conditions to the maturing spermatozoa open a possibility of its uses in reproductive biotechnologies like semen freezing or in vitro fertilization.

It is also known that processes associated to cryopreservation can reduce sperm fertility by causing several damages and capacitating-associated events on them [169–171]. Lessard et al. [88] demonstrated that the loss of P25b during the cryopreservation procedures could be one of the responsible events that cause a decrease in the bull semen fertility. In this case, coincubation of spermatozoa with epididymosomes could be thought as a rescuing therapy.

Since some of the proteins acquired by the spermatozoa during the maturation processes have been already described, these can be used as fertility markers, having the possibility to select male with higher fertility performance.

All together, it can be concluded that the membranous vesicles have an important role in the sperm physiology and it is, at the moment, the main process involved in the acquisition of the sperm fertilizing ability. Its use in reproductive technologies is still an area to explore.
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