A Novel Forkhead Box Protein P (FoxP) From Litopenaeus vannamei Plays a Positive Role in Immune Response
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The forkhead box protein P (FoxP) family members have been known to be important for regulation of immune responses in vertebrates, but their roles in invertebrate immunity remain unclear. In this study, a novel FoxP gene (LvFoxP) was identified from Pacific white shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei and functionally studied in the context of immune response. Possessing a conserved FoxP coiled-coil domain and a forkhead domain, LvFoxP shared homology to vertebrate FoxP family members, in particular FoxP1. Expression of LvFoxP was detectable in all the examined tissues and could be up-regulated by immune challenge in gill and hemocytes. The LvFoxP protein was present in both the cytoplasm and nucleus of hemocytes and could be nuclear-translocated upon immune stimulation. Silencing of LvFoxP increased the susceptibility of shrimp to infections by Vibrio parahaemolyticus and white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and down-regulated the expression of multiple components of NF-κB and JAK-STAT pathways and almost all the examined immune effector genes. Moreover, the phagocytic activity of hemocytes from LvFoxP-silenced shrimp against V. parahaemolyticus was decreased. These suggested that LvFoxP could play a positive role in immune response. The current study may provide novel insights into the immunity of invertebrates and the functional evolution of the FoxP family.
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INTRODUCTION

The forkhead box (Fox) protein superfamily consists of a large group of transcriptional regulators with a forkhead/winged-helix DNA-binding domain, which are essentially implicated in regulation of development, homeostasis and metabolism (1, 2). In human, based on sequence homology, Fox proteins are further categorized into 19 subfamilies (Fox A to FoxS) (3). The FoxP family consists of four members, FoxP1 to FoxP4, the evolutionary origin of which can be traced back to sea lampreys and invertebrates (4–6). Mammalian FoxP family members generally function as transcriptional...
repressors or activators involving in tumor suppression, development and, in particular, immunity (5). For instance, FoxP3 plays critically roles in development and function of regulatory T cells (Tregs) by regulating expression of many genes and is identified as a classic marker specifically for CD4 + CD25 + Tregs (7). By attenuating the efficacy of protective immune responses, the CD4 + CD25 + FoxP3 + Treg cells alleviate tissue damages caused by infection and inflammation to benefit the host in various circumstances (8, 9). FoxP2 and FoxP4 are also well known to be involved in development and function of lymphatic cells (10, 11). At present, more and more sequences of the FoxP family member genes from various invertebrates have been deposited in Genbank database. Previous studies have showed that FoxP proteins play important roles in defense against WSSV infections. To our knowledge, L. vannamei has attracted more and more research attentions (15, 16). This is the first study exploring the function of an invertebrate FoxP gene in immunity, which may provide novel insights into the functional evolution of the FoxP family.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Shrimp and Pathogens**

Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*, the representative species of crustaceans, is the major cultured shrimp species with the highest yield in the world (14). Because of its important economical values and evolutionary status, the *L. vannamei* immune system has attracted more and more research attentions (15, 16). Accumulating studies have proved fruitful in systematically characterizing the nature and regulatory mechanisms of the immune response in *L. vannamei*, which makes *L. vannamei* an important model for studying invertebrate immunity. In the current study, a novel FoxP gene (LvFoxP) was identified from *L. vannamei* and functionally studied in the context of immune response. We demonstrated that LvFoxP was implicated in regulation of humoral and cellular immunity in shrimp, playing important roles in defending against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) infections. To our knowledge, this is the first study exploring the function of an invertebrate FoxP gene in immunity, which may provide novel insights into the immune system of crustaceans and help to learn more about the functional evolution of the FoxP family.

**Real-Time PCR**

For analysis of the distribution of LvFoxP mRNA, various tissues were sampled and pooled from 15 individual healthy shrimp. For analysis of LvFoxP transcription during immune responses, *L. vannamei* were intramuscularly injected with 50 µl PBS (as control) or PBS containing *V. parahaemolyticus* (10^6 CFU), *S. aureus* (10^5 CFU), and WSSV (10^6 copies). The gill and hemocytes were sampled at 0, 4, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h post injection. Total RNA was purified using a RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany), and cDNA was reverse transcribed using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each sample was analyzed using real-time PCR in quadruplicate on a LightCycle 480 System (Roche, Germany). The 10 µL real-time PCR amplification system contained 1 µL cDNA, 5 µL 2×SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara, Japan), and 500 nM of each primer. The optimized thermal cycling parameters were 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 78°C for 1 s. The expression levels of genes were normalized to those of the internal control gene elongation factor 1 alpha (EF1-α, Genbank accession no. GU136229). Experiments were repeated in triplicate and sequences of primers used were listed in Table S1.

**Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy**

The coding sequence of LvFoxP was cloned into the pAc5.1-GFP vector (23) and transfected into *Drosophila S2* cells at a confluence of ~80% using FuGENE HD Transfection (Promega, USA) to express green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged LvFoxP protein. At 24 h later, cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Sigma, USA) and visualized under a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS-SP5, Germany).

**Immunofluorescence**

*L. vannamei* were challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* (10^6 CFU), WSSV (10^6 copies), poly (I:C) (10 µg), lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 10 µg) and PBS (as control), and at 24 h later, hemolymph smear samples were made on siliconized slides, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, and treated with 1% Triton X-100 for 20 min. After blocking with 10% normal goat serum, slides were incubated with rabbit antibody specific to the N-terminal region of LvFoxP (customized and purchased from GL Biochem, China), followed by Alexa fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit
IgG antibody (Abcam, USA). Before observation, slides were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Sigma, USA) for the nuclei.

**Western Blot**

*L. vannamei* were immune challenged as described above, and at 24 h later, total nuclear and cytoplasmic protein of hemocytes pooled from 30 *L. vannamei* were extracted using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo, USA). Samples were detected by western-blot using rabbit anti-LvFoxP antibody (GL Biochem, China). The anti-Histone H3 (CST, USA) and anti-β-actin antibodies (MBL, Japan) were used for detections of the nuclear and cytoplasmic internal controls, respectively. The gray values of the LvFoxP specific band were calculated using the Quantity One 4.6.2 software (Bio-Rad, USA) by Gauss model using three different rolling disk sizes (5, 10, and 15) and normalized to that of Histone H3 or β-actin as previously described (24).

**RNA Interference (RNAi)**

Double stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) specific to LvFoxP (dsLvFoxP) and GFP (dsGFP, as control) were synthesized by *in vitro* transcription with a T7 RiboMAX™ Express RNAi System (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Each *L. vannamei* was injected with 1 μg/1 g body weight dsLvFoxP and dsGFP. Gills from nine shrimp in each group were sampled and pooled at 48 h post injection. The efficiency of LvFoxP silencing and expression of a series of immune related genes were analyzed using real-time PCR. Also at 48 h post injection, dsRNA-treated shrimp were further challenged with 10⁶ copies of WSSV or 10⁶ CFU of *V. parahaemolyticus* (*n* = 50 in each group). Experiments were done in triplicate and the cumulative mortality was recorded. The bacterial load of *V. parahaemolyticus* in hepatopancreas pooled from nine shrimp at 48 h post infection (hpi) in each group was determined by a conventional plate count method on TCBS agar plates. The copy number of WSSV in muscle sampled at 48 and 72 h post infection (hpi) was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. Briefly, the primers WSSV32678-qRTF and WSSV32753-qRTF and the Taqman probe WSSV32706 were used to amplify the DNA extracted from muscle tissues. The standard curve was generated by amplification of a 10-fold gradient dilution of a pMD19-T plasmid (Takara, Japan) containing the corresponding WSSV genomic DNA fragment. The WSSV genome copy numbers in 1 g of muscle tissue were then calculated. Sequences of the primers and Taqman probe were listed in Table S1.

**Phagocytic Activity Analysis**

Hemocytes from *L. vannamei* at 48 h post dsRNA injection were washed with 2x Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (Gibco, USA) and mixed with latex beads (Merck, Germany), fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled *V. parahaemolyticus* or *S. aureus* at a 1:100 ratio of cells/particles. After incubation at 28°C for 1 h, hemocytes were detected using Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD, USA) for the signals of FITC and the forward scatter (FSC) values of cell size. The threshold of FSC was determined by detection of free latex beads, FITC-labeled *V. parahaemolyticus* or *S. aureus*, and the fluorescence boundary was set based on detection of the self-fluorescence of untreated hemocytes (Figure S1).

**Dual Luciferase Reporter Assays**

The coding sequence of LvFoxP was cloned into pAc5.1/V5-His A plasmid (Invitrogen, USA) to generate LvFoxP expression vector. The previously reported firefly luciferase plasmid PGL-Basic vectors (Promega, USA) containing promoters of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), Dorsal, Relish, SWDs, CTL4 and lysozyme were used (25–29). *Drosophila* S2 cells were plated in 96-well plate and transfected with 0.05 μg firefly luciferase plasmid, 0.1 μg gene expression vector, and 0.03 μg pRL-TK renilla luciferase plasmid (as internal control) (Promega, USA). Cells were harvested at 48 h post transfection and lysed for examination of firefly and Renilla luciferase activities using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, USA). Three independent experiments were performed, and all assays were performed in eight independent transfections.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical comparisons were performed by two-tailed unpaired Student’s *t* test or one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s *post hoc* test using SPSS software. The Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was used to analyze the survival rates. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

**RESULTS**

**Sequence Analysis of LvFoxP**

The full length of LvFoxP mRNA is 2632 bp with a 288-bp 5’ untranslated region (UTR) and a 226-bp 3’ UTR. The open reading frame (ORF) of LvFoxP is 2118 bp encoding a 705-amino acid protein with a calculated molecular weight of 79.8 kDa and a theoretical isoelectric point of 6.65 (Figure 1). At present, a few FoxP family members from invertebrates have been deposited on the Genbank database, although their sequences have not been experimentally verified. Sequence comparison was performed between LvFoxP and a series of FoxPs, including some of these putative invertebrate FoxPs, by multiple-sequence alignment. Although the sequence similarities between LvFoxP and the analyzed vertebrate FoxP3 were low (22–27%) (Figure S2), LvFoxP showed high homologies with other FoxP family members (Figure S3). The sequence similarities between LvFoxP and the putative LvFoxP1 and LvFoxP4 from *L. vannamei*, DpFoxP1 from *Drosophila pseudoobscura*, DmFoxP from *Drosophila melanogaster* were 72, 46, 32, and 33%, respectively. In contrast, LvFoxP shared similarities of 44, 44, and 43% with FoxP1 and 35, 35, and 36% with FoxP4 from human, mouse and zebrafish, respectively. The similarities between LvFoxP and FoxP2 from these vertebrate species were all 39%. Notably, in the analyzed sequences, the FoxP coiled-coil domain and especially the forkhead domain were much higher conserved than other regions. The four putative DNA-binding sites in the Forkhead domain were almost identical in these sequences. The constructed phylogenetic tree showed that the
analyzed FoxP family proteins could be clustered into invertebrate and vertebrate categories, and LvFoxP was classified into the invertebrate group and most close to the putative LvFoxP1 and LvFoxP4 (Figure 2).

Subcellular Localization and Tissue Distribution of LvFoxP

The distribution of LvFoxP mRNA in tissues was analyzed using real-time PCR (Figure 3A). Stomach expressed the highest level of LvFoxP, which was 49.7 times higher than the lowest level in hepatopancreas. Expression of LvFoxP in gill, pyloric cecum (a digestive organ), hemocyte, and scape (the first segment of antenna) was 14.2-, 12.4-, 9.2-, and 7.0-fold that in hepatopancreas. Other tissues expressed moderate levels of LvFoxP. Confocal laser scanning microscopy demonstrated that the GFP-tagged LvFoxP was present in both cytoplasm and nucleus in S2 cells (Figure 3B). The result was convinced by immunofluorescence using an anti-LvFoxP antibody, the specificity of which was verified by western-blot analysis of hemocytes and S2 cells expressing the GFP-tagged LvFoxP (Figure S4). The LvFoxP protein could be detected in the cytoplasm and nucleus of hemocytes from unstimulated shrimp (Figure 3C).
LvFoxP was marked with a black triangle. Proteins analyzed included: LvFoxP1 from Litopenaeus vannamei (Genbank accession no. XP_027221045.1); LvFoxP4 from Litopenaeus vannamei (XP_027115).; AcFoxP1 from Atta cephalotes (XP_012055440.1); WaFoxP1 from Wasmania auropunctata (XP_01693623.1); PcFoxP1 from Polistes canadensis (XP_014606511.1); MgFoxP1 from Megalopla genalis (XP_033323454.1); ZnFoxP1 from Zootermopsis nevadensis (XP_021940550.1); DvFoxP1 from Diabrotica virgifera (XP_028138981.1); CfFoxP1 from Ctenocephalides felis (Genbank accession XP_026472380.1); DpFoxP1 from Drosophila pseudoobscura (XP_033216081.1); DmFoxP from Drosophila melanogaster (NP_001247011.1); DrFoxP1 from Danio rerio (NP_001025253.1); DrFoxP2 from Danio rerio (NP_001015240.1); DrFoxP4 from Danio rerio (NP_001184251.1); XlFoxP1 from Xenopus laevis (Q5W1J5.1); XlFoxP4 from Xenopus laevis (Q5W1J5.1); HsFoxP1 from Homo sapiens (NP_001247011.1); HsFoxP2 from Homo sapiens (NP_001247011.1); HsFoxP4 from Homo sapiens (NP_001247011.1); MmFoxP1 from Mus musculus (NP_001273536.1); MmFoxP2 from Mus musculus (NP_001273546.1); MmFoxP4 from Mus musculus (NP_001273536.1).

FIGURE 3 | Subcellular and tissue distribution of LvFoxP. (A) Distribution of LvFoxP mRNA in L. vannamei tissues. Data were presented as fold values relative to the lowest level in hepatopancreas (set as 1.0). Each bar represents the mean ± SD of three detections. (B) Subcellular localization of GFP-tagged LvFoxP (green) in Drosophila S2 cells observed by confocal laser scanning microscopy. (C) Immunofluorescent analysis of LvFoxP expression in hemocytes using specific antibody. The nucleus was stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue).
Activation of LvFoxP Upon Immune Stimulation

The expression profiles of LvFoxP after immune stimulations with bacterial and viral pathogens were investigated using real-time PCR. In both gill and hemocytes, the mRNA level of LvFoxP was significantly increased after V. parahaemolyticus, S. aureus and WSSV infections and the rising of LvFoxP expression exhibited periodic trends (Figure 4). V. parahaemolyticus and WSSV are the two major pathogens most harmful to shrimp. We then investigated their effects on cellular localization of LvFoxP. The immunofluorescent assay demonstrated that compared with the PBS control, both V. parahaemolyticus and WSSV and their simulated stimulants LPS and ploy (I:C) efficiently promoted the nuclear translocation of LvFoxP in hemocytes (Figure 5). The results were further confirmed by western-blot, which showed that compared with the control, the protein level of LvFoxP was increased in the nucleus of hemocytes from V. parahaemolyticus-, WSSV-, LPS-, and ploy (I:C)-stimulated shrimp (Figure 6A). Concurrently, the level of LvFoxP in the cytoplasm was also increased in the cytoplasm (Figure 6B), which further confirmed that expression of LvFoxP could be up-regulated by immune challenges.

Roles of LvFoxP in Immune Responses

The expression of LvFoxP in shrimp was silenced by injection with dsRNA based on RNAi strategy (Figure 7A). Shrimp were further challenged with WSSV and V. parahaemolyticus. Compared with the dsGFP treated control, the survival rates of LvFoxP-silenced shrimp were significantly decreased after V. parahaemolyticus infection (Figure 7B). The final survival rates of dsLvFoxP- and dsGFP-treated shrimp were 34.3 and 51.4% after V. parahaemolyticus infection, respectively. The bacterial load of V. parahaemolyticus in hepatopancreas was also increased by 5.2-fold after treatment with dsLvFoxP (Figure 7C). Although there is little difference between the final survival rates of experimental and control groups, the death time of shrimp was significantly advanced after silencing of LvFoxP (Figure 7D). The copy number of WSSV in muscle from dsLvFoxP treated shrimp was increased by 14.7-fold at 48 h post infection (hpi), although it was only slightly increased at 72 hpi (Figure 7E). These suggested that LvFoxP could play positive roles in both antiviral and antibacterial responses.

Regulation of Phagocytosis by LvFoxP

The phagocytic activity of hemocytes is a key part of the cellular immunity for fighting against bacterial infection in shrimp. We then investigated the effects of LvFoxP on hemocyte phagocytosis using flow cytometry. Compared with the control, the phagocytic activity of hemocytes from LvFoxP-silenced shrimp against V. parahaemolyticus was decreased (Figure 8A), while those against S. aureus and latex beads did not change (Figures 8B, C). These suggested that LvFoxP may mainly promote hemocyte phagocytosis of V. parahaemolyticus.

Regulation of Immune-Related Genes by LvFoxP

To further explore the role of LvFoxP in immunity, expression of a series of immune related genes after silencing of LvFoxP in vivo was investigated using real-time PCR. Silencing of LvFoxP significantly down-regulated expression of the NF-κB pathway components Dorsal, Relish, Myd88, IKKβ, and IKKe, and the JAK-STAT pathway components Domeless, JAK and STAT, indicating that LvFoxP could promote activation of these signaling pathways (Figures 9A, B). In contrast, compared with the control, expression of the MAPK family kinases p38 MAPK, MAPK14, ERK, and c-JNK, and down-stream transcription factor c-Jun did not change significantly in LvFoxP-silenced shrimp, while only that of the transcription factor c-Fos was up-regulated (Figures 9C, D). These suggested that LvFoxP may not affect the activity of most MAPK pathways but may inhibit activation of the c-Fos-mediated pathways. Furthermore, upon silencing of LvFoxP, expression of almost all the examined immune effector genes involved in humoral...
immunity, including AMPs, such as anti-lipopolysaccharide factor (ALF) 1-4 and -Avk, penaeidin (PEN) 2 and 4, Crutin (Cru) and Cru1, single whey acidic protein domain-containing peptide (SWD) 4 and 5, and immune functional proteins such as prophenoloxidase (PPO)-1 and -2, PPO-activating enzyme (PPOAE)-1 and -2, C-type lectins CTL4 and Lec, the immunoglobulin superfamily member hemolin (Hem), lysozyme (Lys), and fatty acid synthase (FAS), was significantly down-regulated, while only that of SWD3 and cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (cMnSOD) was increased (Figures 9E–I). Only the expression of PEN3 and CruA was not significantly changed after LvFoxP silencing. These indicated that LvFoxP could be positively involved in regulation of shrimp humoral immunity.

To further investigate the regulation of immune related genes by LvFoxP, the effects of LvFoxP on promoters of Dorsal, Relish and 10 immune effector genes were investigated using dual-luciferase reporter assays (Figure 10). The results demonstrated that expression of LvFoxP enhanced activities of the promoters of Dorsal, ALF2, ALF3, ALF-AVK, PEN2, SWD5, Lys, and FAS. However, LvFoxP showed no effects on promoters
of the Relish, ALF1, PEN4, and SWD4 genes, the expression of which had been shown to be decreased by silencing of LvFoxP in vivo. These suggested that LvFoxP may regulate their expression by indirect ways. Furthermore, the regulatory effects of LvFoxP on signaling pathways and immune effector genes in shrimp analyzed in this study were diagrammatically summarized in Figure 11.

**DISCUSSION**

To date, dozens of FoxP proteins from invertebrates, in particular insects and crustaceans, have been deposited in the Genbank database, indicating that FoxP could be ubiquitously present in invertebrate species. However, unlike their homologues in mammals, most invertebrate FoxPs have not been functionally
studied. The current study identified and functionally studied a novel FoxP family member LvFoxP in shrimp. The expression of LvFoxP was activated after immune challenges with bacteria and virus, and the LvFoxP protein could be translocated into the nucleus upon immune stimulation. As nuclear translocation is a sign of the activation of the FoxP family members (30, 31), these suggested that the function of LvFoxP could be closely related to immune response. Silencing of LvFoxP decreased expression of most immune effector proteins analyzed in this study, including CTLs, lysozyme, hemolin, PPOs, and AMPs from ALF, PEN, and Crustin families, which are all essential for humoral immune responses. Previous studies have described that PENs, ALFs and SWDs, and secreted immune functional proteins hemolin and CTLs possess both antibacterial and antiviral activities in shrimp (25, 28, 32, 33). It can be inferred that through up-regulating expression of these proteins, LvFoxP may enhance immune responses in shrimp. This was confirmed by the pathogen challenging experiment, which demonstrated that knockdown of LvFoxP in vivo increased the susceptibilities of shrimp to infections by V. parahaemolyticus and WSSV.

The mechanisms underlying the regulatory effects of LvFoxP on expression of immune related genes are worthy of further investigation. However, although silencing of LvFoxP widely decreased expression of the analyzed genes, dual-luciferase reporter assays showed that LvFoxP could only regulate the promoters of a few of them. These indicated that LvFoxP may regulate gene expression by either direct or indirect ways. Some genes, the promoters of which were not directly targeted by LvFoxP, may be regulated by indirect ways. It has been known that the regulation of immune responses in both vertebrate and invertebrate animals is largely governed by the NF-κB, MAPK, and JAK-STAT signaling pathways (21, 23, 34). Upon silencing of LvFoxP, expression of the detected components of shrimp NF-κB and JAK-STAT pathways was significantly down-regulated, suggesting that LvFoxP could exert positive effects on activation of these two pathways. The only two members of the NF-κB family in shrimp, Dorsal and Relish, are essential for expression of a series of AMPs and CTLs, many of which possess both antibacterial and antiviral activities (27, 28, 35). The JAK-STAT pathway in shrimp also controls expression of a series of proteins.
AMPs that are implicated in immune responses against *V. parahaemolyticus* and WSSV (36, 37). These suggested that LvFoxP may regulate expression of immune functional proteins for promotion of humoral immunity by acting directly or through these pathways.

As an important organ of digestive system, shrimp stomach expresses high level of LvFoxP, suggesting a potential role of LvFoxP in digestion. Since the stomach may directly encounter microorganisms invading through ingestion, this also indicates that LvFoxP may play a role in the anti-microbial immune response in the stomach, which requires in-depth exploration.

The LvFoxP protein was also high expressed in hemocytes and could be translocated into the nucleus upon immune stimulation, indicating that LvFoxP may play a role related to the immune function of hemocytes. Latex beads are common biomaterials widely used in detection of cell phagocytosis (38). The current work showed that silencing of LvFoxP in shrimp did not affect hemocyte phagocytosis of latex beads and *S. aureus* but reduced that of *V. parahaemolyticus*. These indicated that the mechanism underlying hemocyte phagocytosis of *V. parahaemolyticus* could be different from those of other foreign particles, which requires further exploration. Previous studies have suggested that many

![FIGURE 9](image) Regulatory effects of LvFoxP on expression of immune related genes. Expression of LvFoxP in vivo was silenced using RNAi strategy. The regulatory effects of LvFoxP-silencing on expression of the components of the NF-κB (Dorsal and Relish) pathway (A), JAK-STAT pathway (B), p38 MAPK and ERK pathways (C) and JNK pathway (D), and immune functional genes including antimicrobial peptides ALFs (E), PENs (F), SWDs (G), and immune functional proteins cMnSOD and prophenoloxidases (PPO) (H), and hemolin (Hem), lysozyme (Lys), and fatty acid synthase (FAS) and C-type lectins CTL4 and Lec (I) in gills were investigated using real-time PCR. Results are representative of three independent experiments with data presented as means ± SD of four detections. *P* < 0.05 and **P* < 0.01 by two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test comparing to the dsGFP treated sample (set as 1.0).
secreted immune functional proteins, including these detected in this study, such as SWD4, SWD5, hemolin, and CTLs, could enhance hemocyte phagocytosis of bacteria in arthropods (25, 33, 39, 40). The opsonization mechanisms mediated by these LvFoxP-regulated proteins could contribute to the stimulatory effect of LvFoxP on hemocyte phagocytosis. Taken together, the enhancement of LvFoxP to shrimp immune responses against *V. parahaemolyticus* could be a synergistic action of its regulatory effects on humoral and cellular immunities, which is worthy of further studies.

The current study concerned the role of a FoxP family member in invertebrate immunity, which may be of significance for exploring the functional evolution of FoxPs. The novel identified LvFoxP shared high homology with putative LvFoxP1 and LvFoxP4 from the same species that have not yet been experimentally verified. Moreover, LvFoxP was highly homologous to FoxP1 from mammals and other vertebrate species, suggesting that there may be an evolutionary relationship between LvFoxP and FoxP1. Previous data have shown that mammalian FoxP1, FoxP2, and FoxP4 share high homology in sequence (41, 42). LvFoxP was also homologous with these two mammalian FoxP family members, especially in the forkhead domain region. So, we think it may be difficult to determine the evolutionary status of LvFoxP simply from its sequence. At present, the researches on FoxP2 mainly focus on its role in language and brain development in human (43), while functions of both FoxP1 and FoxP4 have been well known to be closely related to the immune system (11, 44). Foxp1 is essential for the development and function of T cell-independent B-1 B cells that are essential for innate immunity (10, 45). However, as previously described, Foxp1 also plays a negative role in differentiation of follicular helper T cells and suppresses expression of many immune-related genes, indicating a possible repressor role of FoxP1 in immune responses (46, 47). In contrast, FoxP4 is required for T cells to produce effector cytokines in response to antigen stimulation, although it is not dispensable for development of T cells (9). These suggested the complex functions of the FoxP family in vertebrates. The current work demonstrated that LvFoxP played positive roles in both humoral and cellular immunity in shrimp, also indicating that the function of LvFoxP may not be directly analogized to its homologous genes in mammals. Therefore, we think the

![FIGURE 10](image-url) Regulatory effects of LvFoxP on promoters of many immune related genes. Dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed on PGL3 vectors containing promoters of Dorsal and Relish (A), ALFs (B), lysozyme (Lys) and fatty acid synthase (FAS) (C), and PENs and SWD5 (D). Data are means ± SD (n = 8). **P < 0.01 by one way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc test.
The functional evolutionary relationship between LvFoxP and the vertebrate FoxP family requires further exploration.
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