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1. Introduction

A transformer is an expensive device, thus requires intensive maintenance, so the device has a long operating life. Many factors influence the distribution transformer condition. A cause for damaging or reducing the age is the load. The increasing utilisation of electricity by consumers causes load growth in the transformer [1]-[3]. The continuous load growth causes overload. Overload is when the load value exceeds the transformer rating capacity. Overload causes temperature growth in the transformer. The emerged heat causes disintegration from the transformer materials and accelerates the ageing of a transformer.

In its 60076-7 2005 publication, the IEC set the average transformer age that is 30 years with 100% load from its rating at around 20°C temperature and resulted in a hotspot temperature of 98°C. Meanwhile, according to the standard of the IEEE C.57.91 1999, the average transformer age is 20.55 years, operating at around 30°C temperature with hotspot threshold up to 110°C. Other than the load, ambient temperature also influences the transformer’s hotspot [1], [4], [5]. Higher temperature means higher hotspot that creates heat in the transformer.

Based on the observation in Woha District, several transformers in Bolo Feeder experienced significant load growth in a particular period. One being BO043 transformer with significant load growth of 60.41% load peak in the first period of 2017 and significantly increased to 80.60% in the fourth period of 2018. The unstable load and ambient temperature affect the transformer age. Therefore, it is vital to know the age loss of a transformer due to load growth and ambient temperature because it helps in predicting the remaining age.

2. Main Current Research

A. Distribution Transformer

A distribution transformer is an essential device in the distribution system [1], [6], [7]. It functions as a converter for the 20 kV medium voltage from SUTM into 400/230 V low voltage with the operating voltage of 380/220 V.

B. Linear Trend Analysis

The linear trend analysis is an analysis of time series data which resulted in the form of a trend equation that can be used for future forecasting [3], [4], [8]. Below is the linear trend equation to predict the transformer’s load.
\[ Y_t = a + bX_t \]  

(1)

Note:  
- \( Y_t \) = Load at t-time  
- \( X_t \) = Period at t-time  
- \( a \) = constant  
- \( b \) = coefficient

Determining the value of \( a \) constant and \( b \) coefficient use Equation (2) and Equation (3).

\[ b = \frac{(n)(\sum XY) - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{(n)(\sum X^2) - (\sum X)^2} \]  

(2)

\[ a = \frac{\sum Y}{n} - b \frac{\sum X}{n} \]  

(3)

Note:  
- \( \sum X \) = Amount of data retrieval per 3 months  
- \( \sum Y \) = Total load in transformer  
- \( n \) = Amount of X and Y variables

C. Transformer Temperature

A factor that influences a transformer’s temperature is the hotspot [9]–[14]. A hotspot is the hottest part of a transformer and occurs on the transformer windings. The ambient temperature determines the change in hotspot temperature. Higher ambient temperature means higher hotspot, and vice versa. The amount of load in a transformer also determines the temperature growth of the transformer. As a guide on calculating the load, Table I presents the thermal characteristics of the ONAN refrigerant type distribution transformer.

| Transformer Thermal Characteristic | ONAN Distribution Transformer |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Oil Exponent (x)                  | 0.8                            |
| Winding Exponent (y)              | 1.6                            |
| Loss Ratio (R)                    | 5                              |
| The hot spot gradient to the top oil (in the tank) in the rated current (Hgr) | 23 |
| An increase in the temperature of the top oil (\( \Delta \theta_{sa} \)) | 55 |

The transformer’s hotspot temperature can be calculated using Equation (4).

\[ \theta_a = \theta_a + \Delta \theta_{sa} \left( \frac{1 + RK^2}{1 + R} \right)^x + H_{gr} \cdot K^y \]  

(4)

Note:  
- \( \theta_a \) = Hotspot temperature (°C),  
- \( \theta_a \) = Ambient temperature (°C),  
- \( \Delta \theta_{sa} \) = Temperature growth of the top oil,  
- \( R \) = Loss ratio,  
- \( K \) = Load ratio,  
- \( x \) = Oil exponent,  
- \( y \) = Winding exponent,  
- \( H_{gr} \) = the hot spot gradient to the top oil at rated current.

D. Transformer Age

According to the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC), if a transformer is given the maximum load (100%) from its capacity, it will have 30 years of operation life at 20°C ambient temperature. The decrease in the transformer’s age depends on the temperature. Around the 80°–140°C, the rate of aging of the transformer doubles for each 6°C increase of hot spot temperature. The loss of age due to hotspot temperature can be seen in Table II.
The ageing factor or ageing rate of the transformer at each increase in hotspot temperature above the
average temperature (98 °C) can be calculated using Equation (5).

\[ V = 2^{\left(\frac{\theta_h - 98^\circ C}{6}\right)} \]  

(5)

Note: \( V \) = the relative value of service life, \( \theta_h \) = hotspot temperature (°C)

Age loss can be stated in a month, day, or hourly unit if the load and ambient temperature are constant in
a period. The relative age loss of a transformer in one period can be calculated using Equation (6).

\[ L = \frac{(V1.t1) + (V2.t2) + \ldots + (Vn.tn)}{T} \times 100\% \]  

(6)

Note: \( L \) = Age loss, \( T \) = Time, \( V \) = Relative ageing rate, \( t \) = time interval

Calculating the predicted remaining transformer’s age uses Equation (7).

\[ \text{Transformer remaining age} = \frac{\text{Basic age} - n}{L} \]  

(7)

Note: Basic age = 30 years, \( n \) = transformer’s operation duration (years)

3. Method

This research used a calculation method based on daily load and prediction load with the linear trend
analysis to calculate the estimated remaining age of the transformer. The research object was the BO043 200
kVA transformer, installed in 2012, that operated in Bolo Feeder, Woha District, Bima Regency. Below is the flowchart to estimate the remaining age of the transformer using the linear trend analysis.

![Flowchart](image)

Fig. 1. Calculation Flow Chart

4. Result

Below is the calculation of the estimated remaining age of BO043 transformer based on the load data of
28 September 2018 using Equation (4) to Equation (7).
TABLE III
CALCULATION RESULT FOR BO043 TRANSFORMER AGE ESTIMATION BASED ON LOADING ON 28 SEPTEMBER 2018

| Time  | Transformer Load (%) | Ambient Temperature (°C) | Hot Spot (°C) | Ageing Rate (p.u) | Daily Age Loss (%) | Age Remaining Estimation (Tahun) |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 02.00 | 60.74                | 24                       | 63.48         | 0.0185            |                    |                               |
| 04.00 | 61.33                | 25                       | 64.97         | 0.0220            |                    |                               |
| 06.00 | 63.06                | 26                       | 67.4          | 0.0292            |                    |                               |
| 08.00 | 66.41                | 28                       | 72.26         | 0.0511            |                    |                               |
| 10.00 | 65.13                | 31                       | 74.16         | 0.0637            |                    | 8.45                          |
| 12.00 | 68.26                | 32.8                     | 78.68         | 0.1073            |                    | ≥ 23                          |
| 14.00 | 70.80                | 31                       | 79.16         | 0.1134            |                    |                               |
| 16.00 | 71.71                | 29                       | 77.98         | 0.0990            |                    |                               |
| 18.00 | 78.75                | 27                       | 82.62         | 0.1692            |                    |                               |
| 20.00 | 81.63                | 26                       | 84.45         | 0.2090            |                    |                               |
| 22.00 | 76.56                | 25                       | 78.51         | 0.1052            |                    |                               |
| 24.00 | 64.33                | 24                       | 66.48         | 0.0262            |                    |                               |

Based on the above calculation, the load and hotspot temperature are still below the threshold, does not exceed the ideal load threshold, and follows the SPLN standardization that is 80%, and does not exceed the safe hotspot threshold according to the IEC 600767-7 that is 98°C. The highest hotspot temperature occurred at 84.45°C. The transformer will experience age loss if the hotspot temperature is above 98°C for 24 hours or daily age loss above 100%. Therefore, BO043 transformer did not experience age loss. This transformer was operated since 2012, or for seven years now. To date, it was in a normal condition. Using the load condition on 28 September 2018, the transformer’s remaining age is similar to the average remaining age of 23 years. The load history data every three months in Table IV shows that the transformer experienced load growth. Thus, the calculation of the remaining age was performed.

TABLE IV
BO043 TRANSFORMER LOADING DATA PER 3 MONTHS

| Year | Period | Transformer Load |
|------|--------|------------------|
|      |        | KVA (%)          |
| 2017 | 1      | 120.81 60.41     |
|      | 2      | 130.98 65.49     |
|      | 3      | 144.61 72.30     |
|      | 4      | 144.61 72.30     |
| 2018 | 1      | 143.45 71.73     |
|      | 2      | 157.08 78.54     |
|      | 3      | 151.31 75.65     |
|      | 4      | 161.20 80.60     |

This study obtained the linear trend equation to predict the transformer’s load using Equation (1) to Equation (3) that was Y=60.86 + 2.50X. After manually calculated the constant and coefficient, next, the correctness test was conducted. Below are the prediction load results using the Minitab software and the transformer’s age estimation using Equation (4) to Equation (7). Table V shows that every three months, there was a significant load growth that caused the hotspot temperature growth. The higher hotspot temperature means more considerable age loss in the transformer and causes the transformer’s age to experience significant loss.

The transformer had been operated since 2012 or for seven years. According to the IEC 60076-7 2005 standard, the remaining average age is 23 years. The transformer will operate until 2042 with the maximum load (100%), at around 20°C temperature with a hotspot temperature of 98°C. Because the transformer
operated in the ambient temperature of around 28°C, following the calculation in this research, the hotspot would reach 98°C at 92.77% load. In other words, the transformer would operate at an average operational age if it did not get the load above 92.77%. The load growth of BO043 transformer that increases every three months will affect the age loss and reduce the remaining age, as shown in Figure 2.

**TABLE V**
CALCULATION RESULT FOR BO043 TRANSFORMER AGE ESTIMATION USING LINEAR TREND

| Year | Period (X) | Load Prediction (Y) (%) | Winding Temperature (°C) | Ageing Rate (p.u) | Age Loss/Day (%) | Remaining Age Estimation (Year) | Normal Remaining Age (Year) |
|------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2019 | 9          | 83.39                   | 88.21                    | 0.323            | 32.27            | ≥ 23                           | 23                        |
|      | 10         | 85.90                   | 90.76                    | 0.433            | 43.33            | ≥ 23                           |                           |
|      | 11         | 88.40                   | 93.36                    | 0.585            | 58.51            | ≥ 23                           |                           |
|      | 12         | 90.90                   | 96.00                    | 0.794            | 79.37            | ≥ 23                           |                           |
| 2020 | 13         | 93.41                   | 98.69                    | 1.083            | 108.30           | 20.3                           | 22                        |
|      | 14         | 95.91                   | 101.43                   | 1.486            | 148.62           | 14.8                           |                           |
|      | 15         | 98.41                   | 104.21                   | 2.049            | 204.91           | 10.7                           |                           |
|      | 16         | 100.92                  | 107.04                   | 2.842            | 284.15           | 7.7                            |                           |
| 2021 | 17         | 103.42                  | 109.91                   | 3.959            | 395.86           | 5.3                            | 21                        |
|      | 18         | 105.92                  | 112.83                   | 5.547            | 554.68           | 3.7                            |                           |
|      | 19         | 108.43                  | 115.79                   | 7.808            | 780.83           | 2.6                            |                           |
|      | 20         | 110.93                  | 118.80                   | 11.05            | 1105.53          | 1.9                            |                           |
| 2022 | 21         | 113.43                  | 121.85                   | 15.725           | 1572.51          | 1.2                            | 20                        |
|      | 22         | 115.94                  | 124.94                   | 22.471           | 2247.11          | <1                             |                           |

![Fig. 2. Load and Forecast Lifespan Transformer BO043](image)

In 2019 prediction, the transformer reaches up to 90.90% load with 96°C hotspot and the estimated remaining age above or similar with the average remaining age of 23 years. In other words, the transformer has yet to experience age loss because the load is still below the optimum load (92.77%), and it will operate below the safe hotspot temperature (98°C). The significant load growth causes the transformer to overload in 2020 with load value up to 100.92% and hotspot above the standard that is 107.04°C. As a result, the estimated remaining age becomes 7.7 years. The overload in the transformer increases until 2022 at 115.94% with hotspot temperature of 124.94°C, causing the age to decrease drastically, and the remaining age is estimated to be less than one year.
5. Conclusion

The research showed that BO043 transformer that operated in the ambient temperature of 28°C should not be given load above 92.77% of its power rating because it caused age loss. The results showed that the load on 28 September 2018 stated that the transformer was still in reasonable condition and had the remaining age above the same with the average remaining age, or 23 years. The results that used linear trend analysis showed that the transformer had the remaining age of 4 years out of the typical age of 23 years.
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