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Abstract

Parallel evolution of ecotypes occurs when selection independently drives the evolution of similar traits across similar environments. The multiple origins of ecotypes are often inferred based on a phylogeny that clusters populations according to geographic location and not by the environment they occupy. However, the use of phylogenies to infer parallel evolution in closely related populations is problematic because gene flow and incomplete lineage sorting can uncouple the genetic structure at neutral markers from the colonization history of populations. Here, we demonstrate multiple origins within ecotypes of an Australian wildflower, Senecio lautus. We observed strong genetic structure as well as phenotypic diversity that can extend to morphological similarity and divergence that can be inferred by morphological (Elmer et al. 2010; Ravinet et al. 2013; Perreaud-Payette et al. 2017), behavioral (York and Fernald 2017), and reproductive (Smith and Rausher 2011) traits, yet there is often variation in the extent to which replicate populations exhibit similar traits (see Bolnick et al. 2018). The nature of parallel trait evolution largely depends on the demographic history of the system under investigation, where the interplay of geography, gene flow, and natural selection along with the genetic architecture of traits determines its repeatability (Orr 2005; Stern and Orgogozo 2009; Rosenblum et al. 2014; Lenormand et al. 2016; Stoltzfus and McCandlish 2017; Blount et al. 2018; Yeaman et al. 2018). However, it is surprisingly rare for studies of parallel evolution to convincingly demonstrate that populations exhibiting similar phenotypes have arisen in an independent and repeated fashion (“multiple origins” scenario). Ruling out alternative demographic scenarios, such as a single origin of ecotypes followed by gene flow upon secondary contact, is seldom performed (but see work in Littorina and stickleback; Quesada et al. 2007; Biene et al. 2013; Butlin et al. 2014; Pérez-Pereira et al. 2017, and see Ostevik et al. 2012 for a critical review of the evidence in plants). In light of this, researchers may incorrectly assume a parallel demographic history, leading to inaccurate inferences about the prevalence of parallel evolution in nature.

Typically, researchers identify parallel evolution by natural selection by asking whether phylogenetic clustering of populations (estimated in the absence of gene flow) coincides with the geographic distribution of populations (geography) and not with the habitat in which they occur (ecology) (Allender et al. 2003; Quesada et al. 2007; Johannesson et al. 2010; Butlin et al. 2014; Trucchi et al. 2017). This is because genetic clustering of geographically close populations implies dispersal might be geographically restricted (i.e., isolation by distance [IBD]; Wright 1943), and colonization of contrasting and neighboring habitats might have occurred independently many times. The rationale for this argument is that the genome-wide phylogenetic history across the geographic range of populations can reveal the history of adaptation. That is, if the repeated evolution of similar phenotypes appears to have taken place on different genetic backgrounds (lineages), then the genetic changes that drove adaptation likely occurred independently. By genetic changes, we refer specifically to independent allele frequency changes driven by similar natural selection pressures.
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The above argument rests upon the assumption that the genome-wide pattern of relatedness accurately depicts the history of the loci underlying adaptation, although this is not necessarily the case. For example, alternative historical scenarios could also lead to clustering of populations by geography, and must be ruled out before examining the evolution of traits in light of parallel evolution (Endler 1977; Barton and Hewitt 1985; Coyne and Orr 2004; Bierne et al. 2013). For instance, a recent phylogenomic analysis of *Mimulus* section *Erythranthe* overturned a classical example of putative convergence in hummingbird pollination (Beardsley et al. 2004; Freeman and Herron 2004). The authors discovered that hummingbird pollination in the genus arose only once, yet over a large portion of the genome, sympatric populations of *Mimulus cardinalis* (hummingbird-pollinated) and *M. lewisii* (bee-pollinated) appear as sister taxa in the phylogeny because of multiple introgression events after periods of allopatry (Nelson et al. 2021). To understand how demography, geography and selection contribute to our interpretations of parallel evolution, first consider a scenario where an ancestral population gives rise to two locally adapted populations that occupy ecologically distinct yet geographically proximate habitats (hereafter ecotypes, fig. 1A). These two populations repeatedly migrate to new localities, where each time the same contrasting habitats are geographically close. This scenario of a single split followed by range expansion of two ecotypes does not involve a parallel adaptation history because each ecotype only arose once (rather than multiple independent times after independent colonization of contrasting habitats). Because gene flow is either not possible after the original ecotypic split due to complete reproductive isolation, or gene flow does not homogenize adjacent populations after range expansion, populations sharing the same ecology form reciprocally monophyletic clades in a phylogeny (fig. 1A, Single origin without gene flow).

Nevertheless, if there is sufficient gene flow between geographically close populations from two ecotypes that originated only once (like the *Mimulus* example described above), the original phylogenetic signal of reciprocal monophyly could be eroded (Endler 1977; Barton and Hewitt 1985; Coyne and Orr 2004; Bierne et al. 2013). In other words, as the original genome-wide phylogenetic signal of a single origin disappears, populations become most related to their neighboring population and not to the other populations of the
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**Fig. 1.** The colonization history and phylogenetic topology for alternate origin scenarios. Schematic diagram representing the colonization history and phylogenetic topology of two ecotypes (dark green and light green) from an ancestral population (gray) for three origin scenarios. Solid arrows depict the sequence of colonization. Double-headed dotted arrows represent gene flow (m) between the ecotypes within each locality. L1, L2, and L3 represent three geographically distant localities, where a population of each ecotype resides. (A) Within a single origin scenario, the two ecotypes arise once from the ancestor, followed by range expansion. In the absence of gene flow, ecotypes form monophyletic clades within the phylogeny. (B) The single origin with gene flow scenario involves gene flow upon secondary contact between the ecotypes within each locality. Here, the observed phylogenetic topology shows populations clustering according to their geographic distribution. (C) Within a multiple origins scenario, the ancestral (dark green) ecotype arises once from the ancestor followed by range expansion, with the derived (light green) populations independently arising from each dark green population. Populations phylogenetically cluster according to their geographic distribution, which can be indistinguishable from a single origin with gene flow scenario (B).
same ecotype. Therefore, gene flow can cause populations to group by geography rather than ecology (fig. 1B, Single origin with gene flow). This phylogenetic signal is identical to that of true parallel evolution (a multiple origins scenario), where the derived ecotype arises multiple independent times from the ancestral ecotype (fig. 1C, Multiple origins). Gene flow dynamics can thus fundamentally alter our interpretation of parallel evolution, to the extent that we can mistakenly infer parallel evolution in systems where secondary contact after range expansion of a single origin has obscured the history of locally adapted populations (Endler 1977; Barton and Hewitt 1985; Coyne and Orr 2004; Bierne et al. 2013).

Despite the potential for gene flow to paint a false picture of the phylogenetic history of multiple populations adapted to the same environment, it is important to note that non-monophyly of populations from the same ecotype is not a requirement for parallel evolution in a more general sense. This is because it is possible that two populations of the same ecotype that are true phylogenetic sister groups could have adapted independently. However, non-monophyly of each ecotype is a requirement in systems where parallel evolution coincides with a patchy geographic distribution of populations (pairs of ecotypes in multiple localities; Bierne et al. 2013), where the phylogenetic line of reasoning is commonly employed.

In systems of parallel evolution, gene flow is frequently detected between populations, especially when contrasting ecotypes are in close geographic proximity (i.e., parapatry). Although not all levels of gene flow have the same effect on the genetic record of colonization history (Bierne et al. 2013), gene flow between ecotypes must be taken into account when demonstrating parallel origins within a system. However, only very few systems have thoroughly investigated the demographic history of populations, and even fewer have used coalescent modelling or simulations to address whether the estimated levels of gene flow could have obscured the observed phylogeeny. A system that has clearly demonstrated the parallel origins of contrasting populations in the presence of gene flow is the marine snail Littorina saxatilis. Multiple lines of evidence suggest the wave and crab ecotypes evolved multiple independent times along rocky coastlines (Quesada et al. 2007; Johannesson et al. 2010; Bierne et al. 2013; Butlin et al. 2014; Pérez-Pereira et al. 2017). Other systems providing evidence for parallel evolution include Lake Victoria cichlids (Meier et al. 2017) and alpine and montane Heliosperma pusillum ecotypes (Trucchi et al. 2017). Also, an obvious case of multiple origins is when parallel evolution occurs between geographically distant populations where gene flow could not have obscured the phylogenetic signal and demographic history of populations (e.g., threespine stickleback populations that colonized freshwater environments on separate continents; Magalhaes et al. 2020). However, in other systems, where gene flow is moderate between ecotypes (e.g., Rougemont et al. 2015; Le Moan et al. 2016; Rougeux et al. 2017, 2019; Herman et al. 2018), it remains unclear to what extent gene flow contributed to the signal of parallel evolution.

We must keep in mind that identifying the genetic basis of parallel trait evolution often provides unambiguous evidence for parallel evolution of ecotypes. For instance, in sticklebacks, the repeated evolution of the reduction of pelvic armor in separate populations occurred via different mutations in the same gene (Chan et al. 2010), suggesting that this adaptive trait has arisen and been selected for multiple independent times. In contrast, in systems where the same mutation is repeatedly involved in adaptation (Colosimo et al. 2005), it is challenging to identify whether the adaptive mutation was repeatedly and independently selected for in each population (either from de novo mutations or via standing genetic variation; Roesti et al. 2014; Lee and Coop 2019). Knowing the causal genes of adaptation is ideal as the demographic history of individual adaptive loci can be modelled, avoiding the complications of distinguishing between single and multiple origins using neutral polymorphisms (as described above). However, directly isolating the specific genes involved in adaptation is infeasible in most non-model organisms or when the genetic architecture of adaptation is highly polygenic (Tiffin and Ross-Ibarra 2014; Yeaman 2015).

The above considerations suggest that without knowing the genetic basis of parallel adaptation, we should carefully characterize and interpret the phylogeographic history to understand the level of independence in systems where populations are adapted to similar environments. Such an approach is necessary to demonstrate that natural selection has independently acted in separate populations during the repeated adaptation to similar environments. This knowledge paves the way for future research on dissecting the molecular basis of parallel adaptation and has implications for our understanding of how repeatable and predictable evolution can be. In this work, we thoroughly characterize the phylogenetic and demographic history of Senecio lautus, an Australian wildflower that appears to have evolved multiple times in paraptry into two contrasting coastal forms called Dune and Headland ecotypes (Roda, Ambrose, et al. 2013; Melo et al. 2014). The two forms differ in their growth habit: The Dune ecotype is erect and inhabits sand dunes, and the Headland ecotype is prostrate, forming mats on the ground of rocky headlands (Ali 1964; Radford et al. 2004; Thompson 2005). These locally adapted populations (Melo et al. 2014; Richards and Ortiz-Barrientos 2016; Richards et al. 2016; Walter et al. 2016; Walter, Wilkinson, et al. 2018; Wilkinson et al. 2021) are separated by strong extrinsic reproductive isolation (Melo et al. 2014; Richards et al. 2016), and populations exhibit similar morphology within each ecotype (Walter, Aguirre, et al. 2018; James et al. 2020). With this work, we hope to clearly illustrate how the demographic history of populations affects the evidence for the independent and repeated origins of parapatric ecotypes.

Previous work using pools of DNA sequences from multiple coastal, inland, alpine, and woodland S. lautus ecotypes found that strong IBD separated all populations along the coast and that geography, not ecology, explained the phylogenetic clustering of coastal populations (Roda, Ambrose, et al. 2013). Although these results initially suggested that the Dune and Headland ecotypes evolved in parallel, it remains
unclear whether gene flow could be responsible for this pattern of ecotypic and geographic differentiation. Therefore, our inferences on the number of independent colonization and origin events of Dune and Headland populations remain unclear. Here, we directly estimate patterns of gene flow between 23 Dune and Headland populations, as well as other parameters important for characterizing the demographic history of this system. We create a coalescent model and undertake simulations to explore the conditions that could erode a signal of phylogenetic monophyly of each ecotype, thus enabling us to gain further confidence in our conclusions about parallel parapatric divergence in this system. Our results enhance our understanding of the nature of parallel evolution and pave the way for analyses of parallel trait evolution driven by natural selection in plants, where cases of parallelism remain understudied.

**Results**

**Populations Cluster by Geography and Not by Ecology**

To ask whether populations cluster according to their geographic distribution, we explored broad patterns of genetic clustering across the 23 Dune and Headland *S. lautus* populations (fig. 2A). Phylogenetic inference in IQ-TREE provides evidence against a single origin scenario: Neither ecotype forms a monophyletic clade, and parapatric Dune–Headland populations are often sister-taxa, consistent with a possible multiple origins of ecotypes (fig. 2B). To visualize the major genetic structure within fastSTRUCTURE for $K = 2–4$. Each of the 1,319 individuals is depicted as a bar, with colors representing ancestry proportions to each cluster. Populations are ordered according to their geographic distribution along the coast.
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**Fig. 2.** Sampling locations and genetic clustering of *Senecio lautus* populations. (A) Sampling locations of the 23 Dune (orange) and Headland (green) *S. lautus* populations along the coast of Australia. (B) Maximum likelihood phylogeny of Dune and Headland populations implemented in IQ-TREE. Numbers on each node represent the SH-aLRT support (%), followed by the ultrafast bootstrap support (%). (C) Bayesian assignment of individuals to genetic clusters within fastSTRUCTURE for $K = 2–4$. Each of the 1,319 individuals is depicted as a bar, with colors representing ancestry proportions to each cluster. Populations are ordered according to their geographic distribution along the coast.
correspondence to geography (fig. 2C), where the eastern populations (dark blue) are separated from those populations further south and to the west (light blue). This division into two main clades probably suggests some past geographic separation. When three genetic groups (K = 3) are considered, the eastern populations are further separated into two clusters, again largely corresponding to geography and reflecting the phylogenetic structure of the data; K = 4 distinguishes the west Australia populations from those on the southeastern coast.

**Minimal Admixture across the System**

To understand the role of gene flow in shaping the patterns of divergence across the system, we explored patterns of admixture in a phylogenetic context within TreeMix. In the absence of migration, the TreeMix phylogeny explained 95.9% of the data, with 24 additional migration events augmenting this value to 98.9% (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Figure 3A shows the first migration event (P < 2.2 × 10^-308) with a migration weight (w) of 0.40. Although the 24 other migration events were also significant (P_average = 2.92 × 10^-3, SD = 0.0062), their individual weightings were small (see supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online, for 1–10 migration events), most of them were not between parapatric pairs, and the addition of these migration events did not substantially alter the topology from its estimation in the absence of gene flow. Although these results could suggest a potentially complex colonization history including long-distance yet rare migration events, these P-values should be treated with caution. This is because model comparisons in TreeMix suffer from multiple testing, a large number of parameters, and the estimated graph can be inaccurate (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012). We therefore tested the robustness of this inference using f3-statistics. All f3-statistics were positive (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online), giving no evidence of admixture between any populations. Strong IBD within each ecotype further supports this contention using M as a proxy for migration rates. A strong IBD trend also exists between ecotypes for the eight pairs studied here (IBD within Dunes: Mantel test, r = −0.83, P = 0.0001; within Headlands r = −0.73, P ≤ 0.0001; fig. 3B). Although the same trend was seen in the migration rate estimates from fastsimcoal2, it was not statistically significant, perhaps due to the low sample size (fastsimcoal2: F1,6 = 0.66, P = 0.4475, multiple R^2 = 0.48; fig. 3B). The absence of admixture across the system is also supported by fastSTRUCTURE across all populations. The inferred value of K is close to the number of sampled populations (supplementary figs. S4B and C, Supplementary Material online) and each population is genetically distinct (supplementary fig. S4A, Supplementary Material online), suggesting that S. laetus has a simple demographic history with limited admixture (Lawson et al. 2018). Specifically, the K-value that best
explained the structure in the data was 22, and the K-value that maximized the marginal likelihood of the data was 28 (supplementary fig. S4B, Supplementary Material online). The rate of change in the likelihood of each K-value was negligible for K = 24–28 (supplementary fig. S4C, Supplementary Material online). Together, this suggests that the optimal K-value is around 23, which is the number of populations within our study. The fastSTRUCTURE results for K = 23 show that each population forms a distinct genetic cluster (fig. 3D), suggesting very little, if any, admixture between them. This further implies that each sampled population has been separated from other populations long enough to be genetically distinct (see pairwise FST values in supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online), and with insufficient levels of gene flow to homogenize their genomes (Lawson et al. 2018). Further, when we examined all K-values from 1 to 23, we found a distinct hierarchical structure that mirrors the phylogeny, suggesting that such structure is an accurate representation of the history of the populations. The Tasmania population pair (D14–H15) should be treated with caution due to the smaller sample size (nDune = 12, nHeadland = 11) compared with other populations (nmean = 62, SD = 1.19). For groups with few samples, genetic clustering programs such as fastSTRUCTURE are likely to assign them as mixtures of multiple populations rather than their own distinct population (Lawson et al. 2018). This is evident for K = 22, where the Tasmania populations appear admixed (supplementary fig. S4A, Supplementary Material online).

Minimal Gene Flow between Parapatric Ecotypes and Distant Populations
We investigated whether the parapatric ecotypes at each locality have diverged in the face of gene flow by analyzing patterns of admixture in STRUCTURE and directly estimating levels of gene flow in fastsimcoal2. We observed very few admixed individuals between the parapatric Dune–Headland populations at each locality within the STRUCTURE analysis for K = 2 (fig. 4A). On average, 9.36% (SD = 5.48%) of individuals were admixed per population, although their admixture proportions were on average less than 1% (mean = 0.8%, SD = 1.8). This suggests that gene flow between parapatric populations might be rare and ongoing, or might have ceased in the past. For all pairs, the best K-value based on the Evanno method (Evanno et al. 2005) was K = 2 (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online).

Demographic modelling in fastsimcoal2 (fig. 4B) revealed the most likely divergence model for all but three
comparisons within and between ecotypes was bidirectional gene flow after secondary contact (mean model likelihood $\omega_i = 0.99$, SD = 0.05; supplementary table S4 and fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). For comparisons D04–H05, D14–H15, and D04–D05, the most likely divergence model was ancient bidirectional secondary contact ($\omega_i = 1.00$). For Dune–Headland population pairs, direct measurements of migration rates were very low, with all Dune–Headland migration rates below one ($2Nm < 1.00$), except for D04–H05 and D32–H12 where $2Nm$ was above one (fig. 3C upper section, 4A; supplementary tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Material online). This is perhaps not surprising given that the populations of D04–H05 are geographically the closest of all parapatric pairs, and the environments of the southeastern D32–H12 populations are less contrasting than populations in the eastern clade. For Dune–Dune comparisons we also detected very low migration rates ($2Nm_{\text{mean}} = 0.24$, SD = 0.09; supplementary tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Material online), with all comparisons containing $2Nm < 1.00$. Similarly, for Headland–Headland comparisons we detected very low migration rates ($2Nm_{\text{mean}} = 0.57$, SD = 1.08), with all comparisons containing $2Nm < 1.00$, with the exception of H12–H12A (fig. 3C upper section; supplementary tables S2 and S3, Supplementary Material online). Across all comparisons, all Dune–Dune pairs and most Headland–Headland pairs exhibited gene flow levels lower than the maximum migration rate of allopatric populations separated by more than 1,500 km (i.e., the null gene flow expectation; $2Nm = 0.33$; fig. 3C). Three Dune–Headland pairs (D00–H00, D03–H02, and D12–H14) were also within this null range. Alternative models that assumed very low gene flow, while keeping other demographic parameters fixed, were not a better fit for the data except for the D03–H02 pair (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online).

Although the most likely divergence scenario for all population comparisons was bidirectional gene flow after secondary contact and ancient bidirectional secondary contact, migration rates under all nine modelled demographic scenarios with migration were very low across most population pairs ($2Nm_{\text{mean}} = 0.68$, SD = 1.52; supplementary table S4 and fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). All tested models contained $2Nm < 1.00$, except for some of the models for the three pairs identified above (D04–H05, D32–H12, and H12–H12A; see supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online, for details). Thus, for each population comparison, the estimated migration rates showed consistent trends across the favored and rejected models. Even if our choice of model was biased toward secondary contact or models with migration (Roux et al. 2016; Momigliano et al. 2021), the extent of gene flow during the history of populations is consistently low and does not strongly depend upon the mode of divergence (see Discussion).

**Potential for Gene Flow to Obscure a Single Origin Scenario**

We analyzed a neutral coalescent model representing a single origin of the derived ecotype to investigate under which situations the history at a neutral locus unlinked to the selected site would indicate a pattern of nonmonophyly of the derived ecotype, thus potentially supporting a false inference of parallel evolution. We found a clear influence of all examined parameters (fig. 5A) on the probability of inferring nonmonophyly, suggesting that certain demographic scenarios can lead to an observed phylogenetic signal that belies the history of a single origin of ecotypes. When internal branches ($t_2-t_1$) are short, incomplete lineage sorting will cause higher probability of nonmonophyly, and this is not much increased by migration (fig. 5B, lower section of graph). When internal branches are long, the probability of nonmonophyly is low,
and only somewhat more sensitive to increasing migration rate (fig. 5B, upper section of graph). Long terminal branches (t1) also influence the probability of nonmonophyly; the more diverged populations contributing migrants are from one another prior to migration into the other ecotype, the more this increases the chances of nonmonophyly (fig. 5C, upper section of graph). This reflects the fact that the introgressed alleles in different recipient populations will have experienced more independent drift prior to the introgression event. When the terminal branches are short relative to the timing of migration, very high levels of migration are required to have a high probability nonmonophyly (fig. 5C, lower section of graph). Note that the probability of nonmonophyly is more sensitive to the length of the internal branches, as these determine the likelihood of incomplete lineage sorting (see the different scale of probability in fig. 5C compared with fig. 5B). Simulation of a single origin scenario with continuous gene flow in SliM2 (Haller and Messer 2017) showed patterns consistent with the above coalescent results (supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material online).

Although we cannot directly map our observed data for S. lauts in the modelled or simulated parameter spaces, we can nonetheless explore potential evidence of phylogenetic distortion by examining whether the divergence time estimates from fastsimcoal2 (which accounts for gene flow) are in accordance with the phylogenetic topology estimated in IQ-TREE (which does not account for gene flow). This was the case for our data: We observed deeper divergence times for populations of the same ecotype compared with sister-taxa of different ecotypes. For D04–H05 and D05–H06, the average divergence time between populations of the same ecotype (D04–D05 and H05–H06) was 83,846 generations (SD = 3,021), whereas the average divergence time between populations at each locality (D04–H05 and D05–H06) was 52,569 generations (SD = 21,719). This was also true for D14–H15 and D32–H12, where the average divergence time between populations of the same ecotype (D14–D32 and H12–H15) was 68,723 generations (SD = 17,526), and the average divergence time between populations at each locality (D14–H15 and D32–H12) was 49,882 generations (SD = 15,805). Finally, we would expect that had gene flow been substantial we would observe low $F_{ST}$ values between parapatric populations, but our estimates are near 0.2.

**Discussion**

We have used an array of complementary approaches to disentangle the demographic history of the coastal S. lauts ecotypes. In this system, many lines of evidence support a multiple origins scenario for the evolution of the parapatric Dune and Headland populations. The demographic history of this system reveals striking population structure and a strong effect of geography and restricted dispersal, to the extent that all populations are evolving largely independently from each other. Although populations experienced some gene flow in the past, the number of migrants per generation is likely too low to favor a single origin scenario. These low levels of gene flow together with phylogenetic clustering by geography and previous results from transplant experiments (Melo et al. 2014; Richards and Ortiz-Barrientos 2016; Richards et al. 2016; Walter et al. 2016; Walter, Wilkinson, et al. 2018; Wilkinson et al. 2021), convincingly show that selection and drift, rather than gene flow, play a predominant role in the genetic structure among ecotypic populations in this system. Below, we discuss these results considering parallel parapatric divergence in this highly replicated system.

**Strong Genetic Structure between S. lauts Coastal Populations**

Large-scale genetic structure of S. lauts clusters populations according to their geographic distribution along the Australian coast, and not by the environment they occupy. Within fastSTRUCTURE, the largest genetic groups encompass two clades that are largely independent of each other, do not show evidence of long-distance gene flow between them, and also appear to contain multiple repeated instances of parapatric divergence. This genetic structure, where populations group by geography and not ecology, is mirrored in the phylogeny, and is consistent with our previous work using targeted sequencing of 13 neutral genes (Melo et al. 2019) and RADseq using pools of individuals (Roda, Ambrose, et al. 2013). There is also a strong signal of IBD (Wright 1943) within each ecotype as well as across Dune–Headland pairs, implying long-distance dispersal within the system is not pervasive, which might indicate that populations are at an equilibrium between dispersal and drift (Slatkin 1993). This is perhaps not surprising given that Dune and Headland populations have restricted geographic ranges along the coast.

Fine-scale genetic structure at the level of the locality (i.e., parapatric Dune–Headland populations) shows that each population is genetically distinct, with little admixture, as suggested by fastSTRUCTURE and $F_{ST}$ statistics. Despite the high potential for gene flow between parapatric populations due to their close geographic proximity and relatively weak F1 intrinsic reproductive isolation (Melo et al. 2014; Richards and Ortiz-Barrientos 2016; Walter et al. 2016), multiple transplant experiments in the system have shown that divergent natural selection is strong and creates extrinsic reproductive isolation between Dune and Headland populations at each locality (Melo et al. 2014; Richards and Ortiz-Barrientos 2016; Richards et al. 2016; Walter et al. 2016; Walter, Wilkinson, et al. 2018; Wilkinson et al. 2021). Therefore our findings are in agreement with theoretical expectations, where parapatric divergence and speciation are favored when gene flow is limited and selection against immigrants and hybrids is strong (Bank et al. 2012; Blanckaert and Hermisson 2018).

Overall, a combination of strong selection and limited dispersal can explain why parapatric populations persist despite the opportunity for homogenizing gene flow between them and suggest that all populations are evolving independently from one another.

**Parallel Evolution of Parapatric S. lauts Ecotypes with Minimal Levels of Gene Flow**

A common doubt arising in purported cases of parallel evolution is whether gene flow is responsible for the grouping of
populations by geography and not by ecology (Quesada et al. 2007; Johannesson et al. 2010; Bierne et al. 2013; Butlin et al. 2014; Martin et al. 2015; Rougemont et al. 2015; Le Moan et al. 2016; Meier et al. 2017; Pérez-Pereira et al. 2017; Trucchi et al. 2017; Rougeux et al. 2019). A single origin of ecotypes combined with high levels of gene flow between parapatric ecotypes at each locality can alter the phylogenetic relationships of populations, falsely suggesting multiple independent origins. This is because genetic structure at neutral markers can be decoupled from colonization history via introgression and incomplete lineage sorting (Endler 1977; Barton and Hewitt 1985; Coyne and Orr 2004; Bierne et al. 2013). This needs careful scrutiny in our system as previous work showed that genomic divergence was more heterogenous in parapatric populations compared with allopatric populations (Roda, Ambrose, et al. 2013), a signature of divergence with gene flow (Feder and Nosil 2010; Feder et al. 2012). However, this pattern can also arise due to ancestral polymorphism and incomplete lineage sorting if parapatric populations are younger than allopatric populations (Cruickshank and Hahn 2014), which our current work shows. Thus, processes unrelated to divergence in the face of high levels of gene flow could have also contributed to the patterns of genomic divergence in this system (Roda, Ambrose, et al. 2013).

To help understand the role of gene flow during the divergence history of S. lauts, we directly estimated rates of gene flow within fastsimcoal2. Unexpectedly, we observed minimal levels of gene flow within parapatric S. lauts Dune–Headland pairs. These low levels of gene flow in parapatry, coupled with the phylogenetic topology of S. lauts, suggests multiple origins of the ecotypes. Although the most likely model of divergence for most parapatric pairs, Dune–Dune, Headland–Headland, and allopatric comparisons was bidirectional secondary contact, we must be cautious in deriving strong conclusions from this pattern. On one hand, unmodelled changes in population size can create biases toward models of secondary contact (Momigliano et al. 2021); more importantly, assuming genomic homogeneity of migration, as we did, can affect the relative ranking of demographic models (Roux et al. 2016). Even though we cannot place strong emphasis on the precise timing of migration in S. lauts, we are confident that small amounts of gene flow took place in the past. This is because our estimated rates of gene flow were consistent and low across all tested models of migration for each parapatric pair. The two exceptions were D04–H05 and D32–H12, which experienced levels of gene flow, 2Nm, greater than one migrant per generation. Thus, it may still be possible that gene flow has altered the observed phylogenetic topology for these populations.

To gain a deeper understanding of how gene flow can obscure the history of colonization in a system, we modelled the neutral demography of divergence through theoretical coalescent analyses and by running forward population genetic simulations in SLiM2. In both approaches, we observed that the likelihood of nonmonophyly is accentuated with very short internal branches, which will lead to high levels of retained ancestral polymorphism therefore increasing the probability that true sister taxa do not remain monophyletic. This effect is largely independent of migration rates as gene flow will not contribute more to population similarity beyond what ancestral polymorphism already does. Short internal branches are frequently detected in systems where diversification occurs rapidly, such as in cases of adaptive radiations (Schluter 2000), which seems to be the case in S. lauts. We also found that increasing levels of gene flow increases the likelihood of nonmonophyly, especially when the time of migration is further from the second population split. This is because alleles introgressing into different populations will have experienced more independent drift. More importantly, in these cases of secondary contact, quite high levels of migration are required to create the appearance of multiple origins. Although conventional thinking highlights that even small amounts of gene flow have the potential to mix populations and erode their history (Endler 1977; Barton and Hewitt 1985; Coyne and Orr 2004), our work suggests that this picture can be more complex in cases divergence with gene flow involving multiple population pairs. We note that our theoretical approach is conservative as we have ignored the effects of selection against introgressed alleles. We expect that linkage to loci underlying strong local adaptation should act to decrease the probability of a phylogeny topology switch.

In S. lauts, even though the short internal branches and recent secondary contact have the potential to obscure the phylogeny and falsely suggest parallel evolution, this is likely circumvented by the extremely low rates of gene flow between most parapatric ecotypes, as previously argued. Further evidence that gene flow has not obscured a single origin scenario in S. lauts comes from comparing joint estimates of gene flow and divergence times (as implemented in isolation with migration models) between population pairs of the same ecotype and putative sister populations of divergent ecotypes. We observed that populations of the same ecotype consistently show deeper divergence times than those from different ecotypes, which reflects the topology of the phylogeny estimated in the absence of gene flow. In addition, constructing the phylogeny considering gene flow (in TreeMix) did not alter the topology from its estimation in the absence of gene flow. Although the divergences at two localities (D04–H05 and D32–H12) experience levels of gene flow high enough to potentially result in phylogenetic distortion, their levels of differentiation are rather high. Furthermore, each of these pairs is from a separate clade and is genetically isolated from other such pairs, so even moderate levels of gene flow within each pair would not have distorted the phylogeny across the entire system. Together, these results imply that phylogenetic distortion is highly unlikely in S. lauts and that such relationships reflect the true history of populations and ecotypes.

In S. lauts, a clear association between environment and phenotype exists in both common garden (Walter, Aguirre, et al. 2018) and field conditions (James et al. 2020), as well as strong divergent selection within each population pair (Melo et al. 2014; Richards and Ortiz-Barrientos 2016; Richards et al. 2016; Walter et al. 2016; Walter, Wilkinson, et al. 2018; Wilkinson et al. 2021). This striking association between trait
and environment implies that selection would have had to independently resist the introduction of maladaptive alleles to repeatedly regenerate the optimal phenotype across multiple populations. Thus, parapatric systems where gene flow may be extensive can still be considered “parallel” because natural selection independently maintains differentiation between ecotypes at each locality. In this manner, Lee and Coop’s (2019) framing of independence as an overlap in selective deaths across populations can be extended to consider both the overlap in selective deaths during the initial sweep, as well as during a secondary phase of resisting maladaptive gene flow. Although current and previous work in S. lautus provides strong support for the parallel origin of ecotypes, even if gene flow was high enough to obscure a single origin scenario, the ecotypic divergence at each locality is under the action of parallel natural selection—the system still consists of multiple parallel divergences, and at least two independent origins across clades. Accordingly, similar phenotypes across S. lautus replicate populations have arisen via mutations in different genes (Roda, Liu, et al. 2013; James et al. 2020; Wilkinson et al. 2021), providing additional evidence that natural selection would have acted independently within each population. Overall, our results indicate that the S. lautus system has likely originated via multiple origins but is nonetheless a highly replicated system of parapatric divergences.

The Limits of Inference from Parallel Evolution
The S. lautus system allows us to study the deterministic nature of parallel evolution in multiple ways. For instance, we can now begin to understand how genetic architectures vary and evolve during adaptation. In doing so, we can then demonstrate whether alleles, genes, or pathways have been repeatedly selected for across replicate populations (Lee and Coop 2017, 2019). This will also help us understand whether adaptation arises from new mutations or standing genetic variation (Lee and Coop 2017), or from fixation of functionally equivalent alleles (such as during polygenic adaptation; Berg and Coop 2014; Tiffin and Ross-Ibarra 2014; Yeaman 2015), or loss-of-function mutations (Chan et al. 2010). Once adaptive genes have been identified, studies of parallel evolution should directly link the adaptive loci to phenotypic traits and further demonstrate that the traits themselves have been under repeated selection in independent populations (Storz and Wheat 2010; Pardo-Diaz et al. 2015; Hoban et al. 2016). In systems where this method is not feasible, our study demonstrates that studying genome-wide loci can still uncover patterns of phylogeography and migration that are consistent with parallel evolution.

Finally, in our work we have unusually high power to detect gene flow, as the number of individuals sequenced in each of our populations is large ($N_{\text{mean}} = 57, 2N_{\text{mean}} > 100$ chromosomes per population). This sampling regime allowed us to sample many rare variants and therefore better distinguish ancestral polymorphism from migration. Studies undertaking demographic modelling often sample 10–25 individuals per population (Roesti et al. 2015; Kautt et al. 2016; Trucchi et al. 2017) and occasionally even less than 10 (Meier et al. 2017). Thus these studies cannot easily distinguish shared variants due to gene flow from ancestral polymorphism, which can make results biased to detecting moderate to high levels of gene flow, especially for recently diverged populations and in underpowered studies (Slatkin 1985; Hey and Nielsen 2007; Strasburg and Rieseberg 2010; Cruickshank and Hahn 2014). As our coalescent modelling revealed that only a small amount of gene flow is needed to obscure a phylogenetic topology under certain conditions (e.g., during very young adaptive radiations), studies that estimate levels of gene flow with few numbers of individuals and loci should treat results with caution.

Conclusions
Overall, we provide strong evidence for multiple origins of parapatric Dune and Headland populations within S. lautus. Across this highly replicated system we observed phylogenetic clustering by geography, strong genetic structure between populations, IBD, and surprisingly low gene flow between parapatric populations at each locality as well as in the system. Coalescent modelling and simulations confirmed that levels of gene flow are likely not high enough to obscure a single origin scenario in S. lautus. Furthermore, the phylogenetic relationships of populations estimated in the presence of gene flow agree with the main phylogeny, which supports a multiple origins scenario. These results from our current work in combination with strong divergent selection between ecotypes (Melo et al. 2014; Richards and Ortiz-Barrientos 2016; Richards et al. 2016; Walter et al. 2016; Walter, Wilkinson, et al. 2018; Wilkinson et al. 2021), strong trait–environment association in the system (Walter, Aguirre, et al. 2018; James et al. 2020) and adaptation across replicate populations occurring mainly via mutations in different genes (Roda, Liu, et al. 2013; James et al. 2020; Wilkinson et al. 2021), implies that selection has independently driven the parallel evolution of coastal populations in this system. This makes S. lautus one of the clearest examples of the parallel evolution of ecotypes discovered yet, adding to the increasing number of potential cases of parallel evolution in plants (Foster et al. 2007; Trucchi et al. 2017; Cai et al. 2019; Bohutinská et al. 2021). It also positions the species as a powerful system of replicated parapatric divergence to study the origin of adaptation and reproductive isolation.

Materials and Methods
Sample Collection and DNA Extraction
Leaf samples for DNA extraction were collected from 23 Dune and Headland populations of S. lautus along the coast of Australia, which included eight parapatric Dune–Headland population pairs, three allopatric Headland populations, and three allopatric Dune populations ($n_{\text{mean}} = 58$, $n_{\text{total}} = 1,338$; fig. 2A, supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). We sampled mature (flowering) plants evenly across the geographic range of each population, ensuring that sampled plants were at least 1 m apart. Leaf collection was undertaken with permission from the Redland City Council, New South Wales National Parks and...
Wildlife Services (Permit No.: SL100215), Victoria Department of Environment and Primary Industries (Permit No.: 10007220), Tasmania Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, and the Western Australia Department of Parks and Wildlife. DNA was extracted using a modified CTAB protocol (Clarke 2009) and cleaned with custom-designed DNA spin columns. We quantified sample concentration with the Invitrogen Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit and used the BioTek Take3 Micro-Volume Plate to ensure DNA samples were pure. Samples were standardized to 10 ng/μL.

Genotyping-by-Sequencing Library Construction

We created reduced representation libraries to obtain restriction site-associated DNA (RAD) markers. Specifically, we used a two-enzyme genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach (modified from Poland et al. 2012). We created seven libraries from the 23 Dune and Headland populations, each containing 192 barcoded individuals. For each individual, genomic DNA was digested with the restriction enzymes PstI-HF (New England Biosciences; NEB) and Msp1 (NEB). Forward and reverse barcodes were ligated to fragments from each sample, and subsequently cleaned with homemade Sepapure beads (Faircloth and Glenn 2011; Rohland and Reich 2012). For each sample, we amplified the fragments and added Illumina sequencing primers via PCRs with 14 cycles. Each sample was amplified from the 23 Dune and Headland populations, each containing 192 barcoded individuals. For each individual, genomic DNA was digested with the restriction enzymes PstI-HF (New England Biosciences; NEB) and Msp1 (NEB). Forward and reverse barcodes were ligated to fragments from each sample, and subsequently cleaned with homemade Serapure beads (Faircloth and Glenn 2011; Rohland and Reich 2012). Each pool was size-selected using the BluePippin (2% DF Marker V1, 300–500 bp; Sage Science) and cleaned with the Monarch PCR & DNA Cleanup Kit (NEB). Pooled libraries were sent to the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) for sequencing on seven lanes of the HiSeq4000, using 100 bp paired-end sequencing.

Reference Genome

A PacBio S. laetus reference genome (v1.0) was created from a selfing Headland individual from Lennox Head (see Wilkinson et al. [2021] for additional details of the individual). The plant was put in darkness for 3 days before young leaves were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and sent to BGI for DNA extraction and PacBio long-read sequencing (56 RSII SMRT cells and 11 Sequel cells). A total of 11,481,638 filtered subreads (5,072,756 from RSII sequencer and 6,408,882 from Sequel sequencer) were used with a mean read length of ~8K bp and expected genome coverage of ~60× apart from read correction running on all reads rather than the longest 40×. The genome was de novo assembled using CANU v1.6 (Koren et al. 2017) with default settings. The final assembly consisted of 60,947 contigs with an N50 of 34,143 base pairs. Gene content completeness was assessed using BUSCO v3.0.2 (embryophyta database with the species set to tomato) (Simão et al. 2015). Of the 1,440 expected single-copy orthologs assessed by BUSCO, 85.7% were found to be complete (51.2% were single-copy and 34.2% were duplicated), 4% were fragmented, and 10.6% were missing.

Bioinformatics

For the GBS, BGI removed forward barcodes and quality filtered the raw reads to remove reads containing Illumina adaptors, low-quality reads (>50% of bases <Q10), and reads with more than 10% Ns. We trimmed reverse barcodes with TagCleaner standoff v0.12 (Schmieder et al. 2010). We retained an average of 2,849,159 clean reads (SD = 827,036) across the 1,319 individuals (after the removal of 19 individuals with high levels of missing data, see below; supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). Reads were mapped to the S. laetus reference PacBio genome v1.0 with BWA-MEM v0.7.15 (Li and Durbin 2009; Li 2013). On average, 86% of reads (SD = 15) mapped to the reference genome, and 81% (SD = 15) mapped properly with their paired-read (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). PicardTools v2.7.0 (Broad Institute 2019) was used to clean aligned reads and to add read groups (PCR duplicates were not marked for removal). We jointly called all variant and invariant sites for each population with FreeBayes v1.1.0 (Garrison and Marth 2012). Because SNPs were separately called for each of the 23 populations, we first normalized the 23 VCF files before merging them together. This was achieved by first using BCFTools v1.4.1 (Li et al. 2009) to split multiallelic sites into biallelic records. Each file was then normalized by rejoining biallelic sites into multiallelic records. We then left-aligned and normalized indels, and used vt (Tan et al. 2015) to decompose biallelic block substitutions into separate SNPs for each population. We merged the 23 per-population VCF files into one large file for subsequent SNP filtering.

We largely followed the dDocent pipeline for SNP filtering (Puritz, Hollenbeck, et al. 2014; Puritz, Matz, et al. 2014), including iterative filtering to maximize the number of sampled SNPs (O’Leary et al. 2018). Using VCFtools v0.1.15 (Danecek et al. 2011), we first retained sites if they were present in >50% of individuals, had a minimum quality score of 30, and a minimum minor allele count of 1. We filtered for a minimum depth of 3 for a genotype call. Individuals were removed if they contained >40% missing data. We then filtered for a maximum mean depth of 100, and a minimum mean depth of 10. We filtered for missing data per population, removing sites if they contained >50% of missing data within each population. We refiltered for an overall missing data of 20%. Indels were removed with vcfilb (Garrison 2016). We then filtered for population-specific Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium using the filter_hwe_by_pop.pl script within dDocent. (See below for the minor allele frequency [MAF] thresholds for each analysis.)

Do Populations Cluster by Geography or Ecotype?

To explore the broad patterns of genetic clustering of populations, we performed two separate analyses: phylogeny construction and fastSTRUCTURE (Raj et al. 2014). We used PLINK v1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007) to remove SNPs with an MAF of <0.05, and also to thin SNPs by retaining one unlinked SNP per RAD locus. This data set contained 3,844 unlinked SNPs across the 1,319 individuals. We generated a maximum likelihood phylogeny within IQ-TREE v1.6.0 (Nguyen et al. 2015) using the polymorphisms-aware
phylogenetic model (Schrempf et al. 2016). We first used ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) to determine the best-fit substitution model for the data (TVMe+FO+P+N+G), and increased the virtual population size (N) to the maximum value of 19 (as recommended by Schrempf et al. [2016]). Default parameters were used for tree construction, with the western Australia D09 population assigned as the outgroup. To assess convergence, we undertook ten separate runs of IQ-TREE and examined tree topology (which remained unchanged across the ten independent runs). We also ensured that the log-likelihood values were stable at the end of each run. Branch support was performed using 10,000 replicates of UFBoot (Hoang et al. 2018), and 10,000 replicates of SH-aLRT (Guindon et al. 2010).

We further explored broad patterns of population structure using the variational Bayesian framework, fastSTRUCTURE v1.0 (Raj et al. 2014). Here, we implemented fastSTRUCTURE to provide extra evidence for whether populations genetically cluster by geography or ecotype. We did not infer specific historical admixture scenarios from fastSTRUCTURE, as different demographic scenarios can give rise to indistinguishable structure plots (Lawson et al. 2018). The fastSTRUCTURE algorithm assigns individuals into genetic clusters (K) by minimizing departures from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and inferring individual ancestry proportions to each genetic cluster. We followed the recommendations by Gilbert et al. (2012) and Janes et al. (2017) and ran the simple prior (K = 1–30) with 10 independent runs per K-value. In order to determine the most likely number of genetic clusters (the optimal K), we used the chooseK.py script from fastSTRUCTURE to examine 1) the K-value that best explained the structure in the data (the smallest number of model components that accounted for almost all of the ancestry in the sample), and 2) the K-value that maximized the marginal likelihood of the data. Results were summarized and plotted in the R package pophelper v2.2.7 (Francis 2017).

Has Gene Flow Shaped Patterns of Divergence across the System?
To explore patterns of gene flow in a phylogenetic context, we used TreeMix v1.13 (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012). TreeMix constructs a bifurcating maximum likelihood tree, identifies populations that are poor fits to the model, and sequentially adds migration events that improve the fit of the data. SNPs for which MAF < 0.01 were filtered, which retained 24,933 SNPs across the 1,319 individuals. We constructed an initial 25 maximum likelihood trees with no migration, 1,000 bootstrap replicates in blocks of 50 SNPs with D09 as the assigned outgroup and selected the tree with the highest log-likelihood as the input tree for all subsequent analyses. We then tested between 1 and 25 migration events in blocks of 50 SNPs. Trees and migration events were robust to varying the size of the linkage blocks as well as the MAF threshold of the data set (data not shown). To select the number of migration events, we examined the log-likelihoods and cumulative variance explained by each model, and performed jackknife estimates to obtain the standard error and significance of the weight of each migration event. However, the interpretation of these P-values should be treated with caution due to possible errors in the tree structure as well as the inference of incorrect migration events (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012).

To more formally test for genetic admixture, we used the threepop function in TreeMix to calculate f3-statistics (Reich et al. 2009). The f3-statistic determines whether a particular population (A) is the result of admixture between two other populations (B and C). It measures the difference in allele frequencies between populations A and B, and populations A and C. Only when admixture is present, do we expect the allele frequency of population A to be intermediate between the allele frequencies of populations B and C. In contrast, in the absence of gene flow, the allele frequency of population A should not be consistently intermediate between B and C. Therefore, f3 can be interpreted as the amount of shared genetic drift between two populations from a common ancestor. In the absence of admixture, f3 (A; B, C) will be positive, whereas a significantly negative value of f3 provides evidence for A being admixed from B and C. We calculated f3 for all possible triads of populations with jackknifing in blocks of 50 SNPs to obtain Z-scores for calculating statistical significance (Z-score < −3.8 = P < 0.0001).

The erect phenotype is common across Australian species of the genus Senecio (Thompson 2005), except for the prostrate S. laetus Headland ecotype and a few Alpine populations, suggesting that these prostrate forms are derived. We tested for IBD (Wright 1943) in the ancestral and derived ecotypes to evaluate similarities in their dispersal dynamics (Slatkin 1993). We tested for IBD using migration rates (2Nm) inferred in fastsimcoal2 (see below) as well as Slatkin’s M, (1 / FST − 1)/4, as a proxy for gene flow (Slatkin 1993). For Slatkin’s M, we excluded the Western Australia populations (D09 and D35), and SNPs for which MAF < 0.05 were filtered. We calculated pairwise FST in VCFTools. We calculated pairwise geographic distances using the following formula, which uses the spherical law of cosines to consider the curvature of the Earth:

\[
6,378,137 \times \cos(\sin(lat_1) \times \sin(lat_2) + \cos(lat_1) \\
\times \cos(lat_2) \times \cos(long_1 - long_2))
\]

where 6,378,137 is earth’s radius in meters, and lat and long are the latitude and longitude (in radians) of the two populations being compared. For the fastsimcoal2 migration rates, we tested for IBD between the Dune and Headland within each population pair using a linear model in R v3.4.2 (R Core Team 2017), using an average of the bidirectional gene flow rates for each pair (log–log scale). For Slatkin’s M, we also tested for IBD between the Dune and Headland of each population pair (log–log scale) using a linear model in R, and tested for IBD within the Dunes, and within the Headlands (log–log scale) using Mantel tests with 9,999 permutations in R (mantel function in the vegan package; Blanchet et al. 2018).

Is There Gene Flow between Parapatric Populations?
We examined levels of admixture between parapatric populations with STRUCTURE v2.3.4 (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012).
STRUCTURE is a Bayesian MCMC approach that assigns populations into genetic clusters (K) based on individual genotypes by assuming Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium within a population. It assigns each individual an admixture coefficient to depict the proportion of the genome that originated from a particular K cluster. To increase the numbers of SNPs, we took a subset of the data by excluding the two populations from the west coast of Australia (D09 and D35). Excluding these most divergent populations decreased the amount of missing data and thus increased the number of common SNPs in the south-eastern populations. We used the same filtering procedure as above, removed SNPs with MAF < 0.05, and thinned SNPs in PLINK to retain one SNP per RAD locus. Each Dune–Headland population pair was extracted, and SNPs with MAF < 0.05 were filtered. We retained between 837 and 2,606 unlinked SNPs per pair (mean = 1,905 SNPs; SD = 575). STRUCTURE analysis was run using the admixture model and the correlated allele frequency model (Falush et al. 2003) with ten independent runs for K = 1–6 (50,000 burn-in and 200,000 MCMC iterations). We visually inspected summary statistics of MCMC runs to ensure convergence of model parameters. As we were specifically interested in detecting admixed individuals between the two ecotypes, we plotted results for K = 2. To explore any additional genetic structure within a pair, we also estimated the optimal K-value with the Evanno method (Evanno et al. 2005), by examining the maximum value for ΔK (the second order rate of change in the log probability of data between successive K-values). The R package pophelper was used to calculate the ΔK, summarize results, and plot the data.

We directly estimated levels of gene flow between population pairs from the site frequency spectrum (SFS) using the composite-likelihood method implemented in fastsimcoal2 v2.6.0.3 (Excoffier et al. 2013). The joint SFS of two populations is sensitive to demographic processes. For instance, gene flow will result in more low-frequency shared polymorphisms than expected under a nonmigration scenario (Hahn 2018). We tested ten demographic models (fig. 4B), and inferred migration rates, as well as other demographic parameters including current and past population sizes, ancestral population size, divergence time, time of secondary contact, and gene flow cessation time, for eight Dune–Headland (DH) population pairs. We additionally asked whether gene flow was occurring in a linear fashion down the coast within each ecotype by testing eight Dune–Dune (DD) and eleven Headland–Headland (HH) pairs. To determine the baseline level of gene flow inferred by fastsimcoal2 between isolated populations, namely the null gene flow expectation, we estimated migration rates for three very divergent allopatric populations (>1,500 km apart, between the eastern and south-eastern clades; D03–D32, D03–H12, and H02–H12), and took the highest detected migration rate from these allopatric comparisons as the baseline migration rate.

As above, the Western Australia populations (D09 and D35) were excluded from this data set to increase the number of sampled SNPs. For each pair, we filtered for a minor allele count of one (MAC1), retaining between 6,679 and 19,951 variable sites per pair (mean = 12,155 SNPs, SD = 3,316). By using a MAC1 and a relatively high number of samples per population (mean = 57, SD = 15), we retained rare alleles that are informative about migration events between the populations (Slatkin 1985). We note that we also ran fastsimcoal2 removing SNPs with MAF < 0.05. We found that migration rates were higher using MAC1 compared with MAF0.05 which means that if anything, we would be overestimating migration rates, which would make our case for very low gene flow even stronger (result not shown). As we cannot distinguish ancestral from derived alleles, we used the minor allele SFS (folded SFS). We used an ad hoc approach to estimate the number of monomorphic sites (supplementary methods S1, Supplementary Material online). Gene flow estimates were robust to varying the number of monomorphic sites (data not shown). We generated the joint folded SFS per population pair without downsampling using vcftools and dadi2fsc.2pop R functions from Liu et al. (2018). (See supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online, for details on the number of SNPs, number of monomorphic sites, and models tested for each pair comparison.)

We performed 50 independent fastsimcoal2 runs per model per population pair. Each run consisted of 100,000 coalescent simulations and 40 expectation–maximization cycles for parameter optimization. We used a mutation rate of 1.0 × 10−8 based on Asteraceae EST sequence comparisons and fossil calibrations (Strasburg and Rieseberg 2008). We ranked the models based on the Kullback–Leibler information value which was estimated from the Akaike information criterion (AIC) scores of the best run per model. Here, the normalization of the difference between the AIC scores of a particular model and the best model in the set provides a measure of the degree of support for a particular model, namely model likelihood (wM) (Thomé and Carstens 2016).

As the use of linked-SNPs might lead to pseudoreplication issues when comparing models based on fastsimcoal2 likelihood values (Bagley et al. 2017) and the SFS discards linkage information, we verified SNPs were largely unlinked by calculating linkage-disequilibrium in PLINK (data not shown).

As fastsimcoal2 uses simulations to approximate the likelihood values, there is variance in the likelihood estimates. To test whether the best model significantly differs from alternative models with very low gene flow (2Nm = 0.01) but the same values at other parameters, we compared their likelihood distributions based on 100 expected SFS from 100,000 coalescent simulations per model (Bagley et al. 2017). If likelihood distributions overlap, there is no significant differences between the fit of both models (Meier et al. 2017). To obtain confidence intervals for all demographic parameters, we performed parametric bootstrapping. Given the parameter values of the best run of the best model, we simulated 100 SFS and reestimated the parameter values from them. Each run consisted of 100,000 coalescent simulations and 30 expectation–maximization cycles. The parameter values of the best run of the best model were specified as the initial values of each bootstrapping run. We computed the 95% confidence intervals of all parameters with the groupwiseMean function of rcompanion R package (Mangialfico 2015).
Is Gene Flow High Enough to Obscure a Single Origin Scenario?
To better understand under what conditions gene flow can erode a signal of phylogenetic monophyly of each ecotype, we created a coalescent model to represent a single origin scenario of ecotypes within *Mathewia* v12.2 (Wolfram Research, Inc. 2020) (see supplementary methods S2, Supplementary Material online, for full details). We assumed a species tree consisting of four populations, with two sets of sister taxa to represent populations of the same ecotype (fig. S5A). The ancestor of the ecotypes splits at time $t_2$ in the past, and we can think of this split as an initial single origin of the Dune and Headland ecotypes. To represent two parapatric population pairs, each of these two ecotypes further split simultaneously at time, $t_1$, in the past. We considered an instantaneous burst of migration from the populations of the ancestral ecotype into the populations of the derived ecotype at each locality by assuming that a fraction, $m$, of alleles in each derived ecotype population is replaced by migrant alleles from a parapatric population at time, $tm$, in the past. Considering a single lineage from each of the four populations starting at the present, we condition on the migrant status of the sampled lineages to calculate coalescent probabilities of gene tree topologies that result in a grouping in which the two populations of the derived ecotype are not monophyletic in the gene genealogy. These methods recapitulate recent more formal treatments of the probability of hemiplasy (nonmonophyly despite a single mutational origin for a trait) under incomplete lineage sorting and introgression (Guerrero and Hahn 2018; Hibbins et al. 2020), though we have considered a scenario involving four populations to reflect the nature of parapatric pairs. Moreover, our emphasis is placed on the implications of gene flow for the original inference of the species tree itself, rather than how it pertains to the history of a selected locus of interest under an inferred phylogeny. Furthermore, we ran forward simulations of neutral polymorphism in SLiM2 (Haller and Messer 2017) to further gain insight into the conditions in which gene flow can distort the phylogenetic topology. Instead of having an instantaneous unidirectional burst of migration, we considered the reference genome. H.A. conducted the fastsimcoal2 analyses. J.S.G. performed the coalescent modelling and SLiM2 simulations with input from J.E. This article was written by M.E.J. and D.O. with input from all authors. D.O. is the mentor and supervisor for the research program.
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