New insight into the photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutant over BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$/GO nanocomposite
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The nanocomposite of BiVO$_4$-based material has been synthesized by one-step solvent method. The morphological, physical, chemical properties of the nanocomposite have been investigated. The results revealed that the surface area of BiVO$_4$, BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$ and BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$/GO was 11.13, 28.47 and 43.93 m$^2$/g, respectively. The structural test by XRD proved that the nanocomposites were monoclinic phase of bismuth vanadate. Adsorption and photocatalytic degradation were two main mechanisms that strongly related to pollutant removal efficiency (i.e., methylene blue and phenol). The BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$/GO nanocomposite obtained the greatest MB removal efficiency due to its high adsorption ability from high surface area, whereas the photocatalytic degradation was insignificant mechanism. In contrast, the relatively low adsorption ability of BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$/GO nanocomposite was observed when the pollutant was phenol due to negative charge and high stability of phenoxide ions, then the photocatalytic degradation became the main mechanism for phenol removal. The phenol removal efficiency reached approximately 70% in 6 h with H$_2$O$_2$ assistance. The combination of SiO$_2$ and GO improved the surface property of BiVO$_4$-based photocatalyst, however the excessive combination ratio generated the excellent adsorbent material rather than the photocatalyst. Hence, the optimal combination ratio is essential to achieve the greatest nanocomposite for photocatalytic application.

In recent years, the water pollution has been causing serious problems influencing on the environmental crisis and the human health due to the release of organic wastewater from the rapid development of industries$^{1,2}$. Many technologies have been used to treat and reuse water source such as biological, chemical and physical processes to control pollutants leading to the sustainable development of environment. However, biological processes often require a previous acclimatization or special nutritional conditions, and many pollutants can form toxic or carcinogenic compounds which have a high bio-persistence. In the meantime, physical and chemical processes can generate second byproducts or transfer pollutants into other phases instead of destroying completely pollutants$^{3-5}$. Therefore, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been developed as a promising method for removing organic pollutants from aqueous environment, in which photocatalytic process using semiconductor is receiving much attention of researchers due to its advantages including environmental friendliness, no waste byproducts, complete degradation and mild operating conditions$^{6-7}$. As the first photocatalyst discovered, titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) has been used widely to eliminate pollutants from water sources for many years$^8$. However, the wide bandgap of TiO$_2$ (3.2 eV) is only activated under UV region, which only occupies about 3–5% of solar light$^9$. Therefore, visible light driven photocatalysts have been developed to use thoroughly the energy of solar light.

Among visible light driven photocatalyst, bismuth (Bi)-based photocatalysts have been attracting much attention$^{10-14}$, in which bismuth vanadate (BiVO$_4$) has emerged as an effective photocatalyst due to its excellent properties including inexpensiveness, resistance to corrosion, high physicochemical stability and dispersibility$^{15,16}$. Unfortunately, the photocatalytic activity of BiVO$_4$ is restricted by the weak absorption and the poor migration of charged carriers$^{17,18}$. In order to improve the photocatalytic activity of BiVO$_4$, the combination of BiVO$_4$ with appropriate materials is an effective way to enhance the specific surface area and the crystalline
structure, leading to the increase of photocatalytic efficiency. Among materials, silicon dioxide (SiO₂) is known as an inexpensive material, well biocompatibility, large specific surface area, and easy functionalization; that can form p–n junction with BiVO₄ to enhance the adsorptive ability and the separation of charged carriers. In addition, graphene oxide (GO) is known as a 2D carbonaceous material which have large surface area (2630 m²/g), high conductivity and excellent mobility of charged carriers. Therefore, GO is used as a favorable anchoring center to prevent the fast recombination of charged carriers, improving further the photocatalytic activity. As a result, it is expected that the combination of BiVO₄, SiO₂ and GO can generate a nanocomposite photocatalyst which have the best efficiency of photocatalytic activity.

Therefore, the objective of this work was to synthesize BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite by using solvothermal method. The sample was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) to clarify the morphology and crystalline structure. The photocatalytic activity was evaluated by the degradation of methylene blue (MB) and phenol under visible light irradiation. In addition, active species trapping test was also conducted to determine main species during the photocatalytic process of nanocomposite. Based on the obtained results, new insight of photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants over BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite was found in the present work.

Methodology

Chemicals. Bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate (Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O), ammonium metavanadate (NH₄VO₃), tetraethyl orthosilicate (SiC₈H₂ₐO₄), graphite flakes, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), hydrogen chloride (HCl), benzoquinone (C₆H₄O₂), isopropyl alcohol (C₃H₈O), ammonium oxalate (C₂H₂N₂O₄), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and were used as received without any further purification. The MB and phenol solution were prepared with DI water.

Preparation of graphene oxide. In this work, graphene oxide was synthesized by Hummer’s method with a modification as follows: 1 g of graphite flakes and 0.5 g of NaNO₃ was mixed in 100 mL of H₂SO₄ in an ice bath. Afterward, 6 g of KMnO₄ was added carefully to the mixture to keep the temperature lower than 10 °C and stirred for 4 h. The ice bath was removed, then the mixture was heated at 35 °C for 12 h until it became a pasty brownish mixture. Subsequently, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature and added slowly with 200 mL of DI water. The obtained solution was reacted further with 5 mL of H₂SO₄ to stop reaction. After centrifugation, resultant was washed with HCl (5%) for 3 times, then with ethanol and DI water for several times to achieve pH 1 nature. Finally, the obtained sample was dried at 80 °C for 24 h.

Preparation of nanocomposite. In the meantime, BiVO₄-based nanocomposites were synthesized by using solvothermal method as follows: 5 mmol Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O and 5 mmol NH₄VO₃ were stirred separately in 50 mL of ethanol for 30 min. These two solutions were then mixed together with the addition of 1 mL TEOS and 5 mL DI water for 1 h for the preparation of BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell. The pH of solution was adjusted to 5 by 2 M of NaOH solution. After that, the mixed solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel and heated at 180 °C for 10 h. Finally, the resultant was washed with ethanol and DI water for several time, then dried at 80 °C for 24 h to achieve the final product. For the preparation of BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite, the obtained GO was sonicated in 20 mL of ethanol for 1 h in the first step. Secondly, 5 mmol Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O and 5 mmol NH₄VO₃ were mixed in 100 mL of ethanol for 30 min, then followed by adding 1 mL of TEOS and 5 mL of DI water. In the third step, a calculated amount of GO solution was poured slowly into the above mixture. The fourth step is the pH adjustment of solution to 5 by NaOH of 2 M. Subsequently, the mixed solution was also heated at 180 °C for 10 h in the Teflon–lined stainless steel. After cooling, the BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposites were obtained by washing with ethanol and DI water, which followed by drying at 80 °C for 24 h. In comparison, pure BiVO₄ was also synthesized in the same conditions without the addition of SiO₂ and GO.

Characterizations. Crystal phase and structure of the as–synthesized samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Philips X’Pert MPD) using Cu Kα (λ = 1.54056 Å) radiation. The morphology was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JSM-2010, JEOL) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL JSM-6353F). Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) measurements (Adtrosorb 1 MP, Quantachrome) were performed to determine the specific surface area of samples.

Photocatalytic experiments. Typically, the photocatalytic experiments were performed at room temperature as follows: 0.05 g of photocatalyst was added into 50 mL of MB solution (3 ppm). The solution was stirred in dark condition for 30 min to achieve the adsorption–desorption equilibrium. After that, the solution was irradiated under visible light for 30 min by fluorescent lamp and the sample was collected every 10 min. The collected sample was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min to remove the photocatalyst from dye solution. Finally, the concentration of dye solution was measured by UV–vis spectrophotometer (UV-6100, Mapada) at the wavelength of 664 nm to determine the removal efficiency. Additionally, isopropyl alcohol, benzoquinone and ammonium oxalate was used as scavenger for the hydroxyl radical, super oxide, and hole respectively to determine main species during the photocatalytic process of MB.

Besides, the photocatalytic degradation of phenol was also conducted in this work. In which, 50 mL of 20 ppm phenol solution and a small amount of H₂O₂ (35%) was stirred with 1 g/L of photocatalyst and for 30 min under dark condition. Afterwards, the mixed solution was irradiated under visible light for 360 min. The sample was collected at every 120 min followed by centrifugation, and then measured at 270 nm spectrophotometer (UV-6100, Mapada) to determine the removal efficiency.
Results and discussions

Characterizations. Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of GO nanosheets, BiVO₄ nanoparticles, BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite. In which, the XRD peak of graphite was mainly observed at around 36° of 2θ, however this peak was moved to 11° of 2θ after graphite was oxidized to graphene oxide. In the meantime, Fig. 1b shows that the as–prepared BiVO₄ exhibited monoclinic phase corresponding to JCPDS No. 14-0688 which showed the highest photocatalytic activity. Similarly, the diffraction peaks of BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite matched well with the monoclinic peaks of pure BiVO₄ and no observation of other impurity peaks, this was due to the much lower content of SiO₂ and GO in composite systems.

The size and morphology of as–prepared samples were investigated via the SEM and TEM analysis, as shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the GO nanosheets were formed from thin sheets with wrinkled surface. In the meantime, the BiVO₄ and BiVO₄/SiO₂ were the aggregation of amorphous nanoparticles with the size of several micrometers. Therefore, they were adhered uniformly on the GO sheets to form BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite by the reduction of GO to graphene from hydrothermal reaction and the facilitation from the functional groups of GO nanosheets.

Photocatalytic activity. The photocatalytic activity of samples was evaluated by the degradation of organic pollutant including MB and phenol under visible light irradiation as shown in Figs. 3, 6. There were two mechanisms involving the pollutant removal efficiency; adsorption and photocatalytic degradation. From Fig. 3, all samples exhibited a high final efficiency for MB removal. In which, the BiVO₄ nanoparticle adsorbed 35% of MB in the dark condition, and further degraded MB to 70% after 30 min of visible light irradiation. By coupling with SiO₂, the adsorption of photocatalyst was increased to about 60%, leading to the final MB removal efficiency of 84%. In the meantime, the BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite showed the highest final MB removal efficiency of 94% with the high adsorption ability of 82%. The reason for excellent MB removal performance of BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite was that the specific surface area and the pore size were increased significantly by coupling with SiO₂ and GO, as determined by BET characterization (Fig. 4 and Table 1).

Figure 4 shows that all samples exhibited N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms type IV and reserved H₃ hysteresis loop. This implied that the structures of all as–prepared samples were mesopores (width = 2–50 nm), which belonged to the average pore size diameter as reported in Table 1. The BET surface area and pore volume of BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO composite were 43.93 m²/g and 0.15 cm³/g; while those of single phase BiVO₄ were 11.13 m²/g and 0.06 cm³/g. The size and morphology of as–prepared samples were investigated via the SEM and TEM analysis, as shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the GO nanosheets were formed from thin sheets with wrinkled surface. In the meantime, the BiVO₄ and BiVO₄/SiO₂ were the aggregation of amorphous nanoparticles with the size of several micrometers. Therefore, they were adhered uniformly on the GO sheets to form BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite by the reduction of GO to graphene from hydrothermal reaction and the facilitation from the functional groups of GO nanosheets.
The quantity $N_2$ adsorbed as well as other surface properties were found to increase as the $\text{SiO}_2$ and $\text{GO}$ were gradually added to $\text{BiVO}_4$. The improvement of various surface properties of $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SiO}_2/\text{GO}$ were expected to benefit the pollutant to get adsorbed on the photocatalyst surface, which subsequently improved the photocatalytic process under light irradiation.

However, the adsorption ability was a key mechanism of photocatalyst as above discussion. The adsorption kinetic and isotherm of $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{SiO}_2/\text{GO}$ nanocomposite was analyzed further, as in the supplement (Figures S1–S5, Tables S1, S2). The adsorption kinetic followed pseudo-second-order with a constant rate of 1.86 g/mg-min. The adsorption isotherm was defined as Freundlich isotherm with a Freundlich constant of 1.38 mg/g. Although, the nanocomposite exhibited the highest final MB removal efficiency, it was found that the adsorption was extremely high instead of the photocatalytic degradation (Table S3). This meant that the pollutant was...
not degraded completely to CO₂ and water by photocatalytic reactions, they were only trapped on the surface of SiO₂ and GO. It demonstrated that the combination of the best ingredients (e.g., SiO₂ and GO) could not make an excellent photocatalyst, instead it generated the excellent adsorbent in the present work. This is summarized in Fig. 5. According to the present work, the key mechanism on MB removal of BiVO₄/SiO₂ and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO was adsorption, whereas that of BiVO₄ was photocatalysis, as calculated in the supplement (Table S3).

In addition, phenol was chosen as a typical toxic pollutant to evaluate the photocatalytic activity of as–prepared samples as shown in Fig. 6. According to literature, phenol exists as phenoxide ions in aqueous environment, which has negative charge and high stability. Therefore, no adsorption of phenol was observed over all samples after 30 min of dark condition, and no photocatalytic degradation of phenol occurred under visible light irradiation of 6 h. In order to improve the photocatalytic activity for decomposing the highly stable structure of phenol, a small amount of H₂O₂ was added into the phenol solution as an assistance. This was because H₂O₂ could play an electron acceptor which decreased greatly the combination rate of electron–hole pairs, leading to the increase in hydroxyl radicals (listed in Eqs. 1–3) and then improving the photocatalytic activity. The BiVO₄

Figure 3. Photodegradation of MB over BiVO₄, BiVO₄/SiO₂, BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO.

Figure 4. N₂ Adsorption–desorption isotherm of as-prepared photocatalysts.

| Sample               | Pore size (nm) | Specific surface area (m²/g) | Pore volume (cm³/g) |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO        | 13.33          | 43.93                       | 0.15                |
| BiVO₄/SiO₂           | 12.29          | 28.47                       | 0.09                |
| BiVO₄                | 12.11          | 11.13                       | 0.03                |

Table 1. Surface properties of as–prepared photocatalysts.
Figure 5. Summary of photodegradation of MB over BiVO$_4$, BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$ and BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$/GO.

Figure 6. Photodegradation of phenol over BiVO$_4$, BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$ and BiVO$_4$/SiO$_2$/GO with the presence of H$_2$O$_2$. 
nanoparticles showed the highest phenol removal efficiency of 92% after 6 h of visible light irradiation. In the meantime, the lowest efficiency was obtained in the BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell with 48% of phenol removal.

\[
H_2O_2 + e^- \rightarrow OH + OH^- \tag{1}
\]
\[
OH^- + e^- \rightarrow OH^- \tag{2}
\]
\[
2OH^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2H_2O \tag{3}
\]
In the case of BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell, the reactions of H₂O₂ could be restricted by SiO₂ layer, causing the difficult migration and the fast recombination of charged carriers. However, this inhibition was improved by the addition of GO nanosheets that enhanced the separation of electron–hole pairs. The phenol removal efficiency of BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO was improved to approximately 70%. This phenomenon is summarized in Fig. 7. Therefore, the best photocatalytic activity was occurred in BiVO₄ nanoparticle, followed by BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite and BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell. However, the small amount of H₂O₂ addition was required to achieve the photocatalytic degradation of phenol. It can be seen that the types of pollutant were affected significantly on the key mechanism of BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite; the MB was removed mainly through adsorption due to the improving surface property of nanocomposite, whereas the phenol was removed through photocatalytic degradation due to its poor adsorption ability from phenoxide ions.

Due to the theoretical photocatalytic mechanism, the organic pollutant (i.e., phenol) was degraded by hydroxyl radical of photocatalyst and mineralized to CO₂ and water. The organic concentration, represented in term of chemical oxygen demand (COD), was measured in the treated phenol solution to confirm the complete mineralization and/or the occurrence of intermediates. The initial COD concentration was 80 mg/L and it was decreased to approximately 46, 25 and 10 mg/L for BiVO₄, BiVO₄/SiO₂ and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO respectively in the 6 h-treated phenol solution. Therefore, the phenol molecule was degraded to small organic compounds, especially using BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO composite. The possible intermediates during phenol degradation were hydroquinone, benzoquinone, catechol and organic acid. It should be noted that the existing H₂O₂ as interfering substrate could have a positive error effect on COD analysis. It is important to be noted that the prove of present hydroxyradical is important as presented by several works. In addition to the valuation of photocatalytic activity, the active species generated during the MB photocatalytic degradation were investigated by using scavenger as shown in Fig. 8.

The obtained results indicated that the photocatalytic degradation of BiVO₄ was increased by the addition of AO and IPA. Unfortunately, this result was disagreement with previous studies because the recombination rate of electron–hole pairs of as–prepared BiVO₄ was too fast, leading to less formation of hydroxyl radicals. Therefore, scavenger played as intermediate center which can reduce the recombination rate of electron–hole pairs, leading to the increase in photocatalytic degradation. In the meantime, not much change was observed in the photocatalytic process of BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite, because the scavenger can be adsorbed by SiO₂ and GO layers, leading to the slight decrease in photocatalytic degradation. These results suggest that the scavenger test should be only used in the photocatalytic process which has much formation of active species. Figure 9 summarizes the photocatalytic degradation of MB over BiVO₄ nanocomposite, BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite in the presence of scavenger.

Conclusions
In the present work, the BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite was synthesized successfully by one–step solvothermal method. Nanocomposite was formed by the uniform adhesion of SiO₂ and BiVO₄ on GO nanosheets. Due to the low content of SiO₂ and GO, the BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite exhibited the same XRD peaks of monoclinic BiVO₄ without others contamination phase. The BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO showed the highest surface area of 43.93 m²/g, conversely the BiVO₄ had only 11.13 m²/g. The BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite showed the higher adsorption ability rather than the photocatalytic degradation for MB removal. The enhancement of photocatalytic degradation was observed for phenol removal under H₂O₂ assistance. The BiVO₄ nanoparticle still obtained the best photocatalytic ability than BiVO₄/SiO₂ core–shell and BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite. Although both SiO₂ and GO were great property materials which able to improve the photocatalytic ability of BiVO₄, the improper combination ratio caused the nanocomposite containing high adsorption ability and low photocatalytic degradation, as presented in this work. This is very important to be noted that the optimal combination ratio is essential to archive the greatest nanocomposite for photocatalytic application.
Figure 9. Photodegradation of MB over BiVO₄, BiVO₄/SiO₂, BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO with the addition of scavenger.
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