Room-temperature synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles in different media and their application in cyanide photodegradation
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Abstract
Cyanide is an extreme hazard and extensively found in the wastes of refinery, coke plant, and metal plating industries. A simple, fast, cost-effective, room-temperature wet chemical route, based on cyclohexylamine, for synthesizing zinc oxide nanoparticles in aqueous and ethanolic media was established and tested for the photodegradation of cyanide ions. Particles of polyhedra morphology were obtained for zinc oxide, prepared in ethanol (ZnOE), while spherical and some chunky particles were observed for zinc oxide, prepared in water (ZnOW). The morphology was crucial in enhancing the cyanide ion photocatalytic degradation efficiency of ZnOE by a factor of 1.5 in comparison to the efficiency of ZnOW at an equivalent concentration of 0.02 wt.% ZnO. Increasing the concentration wt.% of ZnOE from 0.01 to 0.09 led to an increase in the photocatalytic degradation efficiency from 85% to almost 100% after 180 min and a doubling of the first-order rate constant ($k$).
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Background
Cyanide has numerous applications in industry such as chelating agent, electroplating, pharmaceuticals, and mining [1,2]. This extensive use of cyanide results in the generation of a huge amount of cyanide waste and increases the cyanide spill risk to the environment [3,4]. Thus, cyanide must be treated before discharging. Different protocols such as adsorption, complexation, and oxidation are used for abating cyanides [1,2,5-7]. The procedures other than oxidation give highly concentrated products in which toxic cyanides still exist [8,9].

Highly powerful, economically method is the photocatalytic oxidation of cyanide, which has been demonstrated in several studies [10-17]. However, an inexpensive photocatalyst is needed for the economical removal of large quantities of cyanide. ZnO is one of the most promising materials for executing this task, as an alternative to the widely used, relatively expensive titania (TiO2). Although researchers recognized comparable photodegradation mechanisms with both ZnO and TiO2, they proved that ZnO was the superior photocatalyst in degrading pesticide carbetamide, herbicide trichloropy, pulp mill bleaching wastewater, 2-phenylphenol, phenol, blue 19, and acid red 14. This superiority of ZnO photocatalytic activity is because it has more active sites, higher reaction rates, and is more effective in generating hydrogen peroxide [18].

Due to its direct, wide bandgap of 3.37 eV, ZnO has a wide range of applications in optoelectronic devices [19] such as light-emitting diodes, photodetectors, and p-n homojunctions. The large exciton binding energy of 60 meV [19], compared to that of GaN (approximately 25 meV) [20], enhances the luminescence efficiency of the emitted light even at room temperature and higher. The visible photoluminescence (PL) emission at approximately 2.5 eV (approximately 495 nm), originated from intrinsic defects [21], makes ZnO suitable for applications in field emission and vacuum fluorescent displays.

Many techniques including chemical vapor deposition [22], pulsed laser deposition [23], molecular beam epitaxy [24], sputtering [25], hydrothermal synthesis [26], and oxidation of metallic zinc powder [27,28] have been used to prepare ZnO in different forms and structures for various applications. Nanoparticulate form enhances the catalytic activity due to its large surface area and the
presence of vacancies and uncoordinated atoms at corners and edges. The photocatalytic activity is also improved by bandgap engineering, as a result of the quantum confinement effect [29-31].

A well-controlled synthesis process at room temperature is needed for the economical use of ZnO in catalytic applications such as water treatment and other environmental applications. Herein, we are reporting, for the first time to the best of our knowledge, a direct, simple, room-temperature synthesis method for ZnO nanoparticles using cyclohexylamine (CHA), as a precipitating agent, and zinc nitrate hexahydrate, as a source of zinc, in both aqueous and ethanolic media. The synthesized ZnO nanoparticles were examined as a photocatalyst for the degradation of the highly toxic cyanide anion [CN\text{aq}] in the aqueous medium at room temperature. The kinetics for cyanide photodegradation were investigated with respect to ZnO concentration of weight percentage.

Method

Materials

Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (pure, POCH), cyclohexylamine (GC >99%, Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA), absolute ethanol (EtOH, 99.9%, Scharlau, Sentmenat, Barcelona, Spain), potassium cyanide (≥97%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), potassium iodide (≥99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), and ammonia solution (28-30% NH\text{3} basis, Sigma-Aldrich) were commercially available and were used as received. Deionized water (18.2 M\text{Ω} cm) was obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

Synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles in water (ZnOW) and in ethanol (ZnOE)

Thirty millimoles of zinc nitrate hexahydrate was dissolved in 60 ml of water at room temperature, under continuous magnetic stirring. In a separate beaker, 60 mmol of CHA was dissolved in 20 ml water at room temperature. The CHA solution was poured into the zinc solution, resulting in a white precipitate upon magnetic stirring. An extra amount of 80 ml water was added to the reaction mixture, which was left stirring for 4 days. The precipitate was filtered off through an F-size fritted filter and then was washed with 100 ml water. The precipitate was dried at room temperature under vacuum for 1 day. After drying, the precipitate was mixed with 300 ml water and was magnetically stirred for 1 day for the removal of any impurity. The precipitate was filtered off and was dried room temperature under vacuum to give 2.43 g (yield% = 89.7). This dried sample was then calcined at 500°C under air for 3 h. The temperature was ramped from room temperature to the target temperature by 1°C/min. Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) elemental analysis was carried out for the uncalcined sample, which proved the formation of zinc oxide at room temperature with a formula of ZnO \cdot 1/2H\text{2}O [Zn (cal. 72.3%, exp. 72.9%)].

In addition, the same procedure was carried out to prepare ZnO nanoparticles in ethanolic medium instead of water. The precipitate gave 2.572 g (yield% = 98.1) of ZnO \cdot 1/3H\text{2}O, as proven by ICP elemental analysis [Zn (cal. 74.8%, exp. 74.2%)]. Both of uncalcined ZnO nanoparticles in water (ZnOW) and in ethanol (ZnOE) were found to be soluble in HCl and NaOH, evidencing the chemical identity of ZnO.

Material characterization

Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) was used to determine the percentage of the zinc component in uncalcined ZnO samples, obtained at room temperature. Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller surface areas (BET-SA) and pore size distribution of the catalysts were obtained on Micrometrics Gemini III-2375 (Norcross, GA, USA) instrument by N\text{2} physisorption at 77 K. Prior to the measurements, the known amount of the catalyst was evacuated for 2 h at 150°C. Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform (DRIFT) spectra of ground, uncalcined ZnO powder samples, diluted with IR-grade potassium bromide (KBr), were recorded on a Perkin Elmer FTIR system spectrum GX (Waltham, MA, USA) in the range of 400 to 4,000 cm\text{-1} at room temperature. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded for phase analysis and crystallite size measurement on a Philips X pert pro diffractometer (Eindhoven, Netherlands), operated at 40 mA and 40 kV by using CuK\alpha radiation and a nickel filter, in the 2-theta range from 2° to 80° in steps of 0.02°, with a sampling time of 1 s per step. The crystallite size was estimated using Scherer’s equation. XRD patterns were recorded for uncalcined and calcined (500°C) ZnO materials. The morphology was investigated using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM model: FEI-200NNL, Hillsboro, OR, USA), equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer for elemental analysis, and a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM model: JEM-2100 F J EOL, Akishima-shi, Tokyo, Japan). Carbon-coated copper grids were used for mounting the samples for HRTEM analysis. Solid-state ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra for calcined ZnO powder samples were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 UV/Vis/NIR spectrophotometer, equipped with a 150-mm snap-in integrating sphere for capturing diffuse and specular reflectance.

Photocatalytic test

The photocatalytic evaluation was carried out using a horizontal cylinder annular batch reactor. A black light-blue florescent bulb (F18W-BL8) was positioned at the axis of the reactor to supply UV illumination. Reaction suspension was irradiated by UV light of 365 nm at a
power of 18 W. The experiments were performed by suspending 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.05, 0.07, or 0.09 wt.% of calcined ZnO into a 300-ml, 100 ppm potassium cyanide (KCN) solution, with its pH adjusted to 8.5 by ammonia solution. The reaction was carried out isothermally at 25°C, and samples of the reaction mixture were taken at different intervals for a total reaction time of 360 min. The CN⁻(aq) concentration in the samples was estimated by volumetric titration with AgNO₃, using potassium iodide to determine the titration end-point [32]. The percentage of degradation of CN⁻(aq) has been measured by applying the following equation: 

%Degradation = \((C_o - C)/C_o \times 100\)

where \(C_o\) is the initial concentration of CN⁻(aq) and \(C\) is the concentration of uncomplexed CN⁻(aq) in solution.

**Results and discussion**

**Formation of ZnO nanoparticles in an aqueous and ethanolic media**

Formation of zinc oxide from the combination of zinc nitrate hexahydrate and CHA either in aqueous or ethanolic media can be illustrated by Equation 1:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2(\text{aq or alc}) + 2\text{C}_6\text{H}_11\text{NH}_2(\text{aq or alc}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) & \rightarrow \text{ZnO}(\text{nc}) + 2\text{C}_6\text{H}_11\text{NH}_3\text{NO}_3(\text{aq or alc}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Equation 1

CHA, according to Equation 1, acts as a base in the Brønsted-Lowry sense, but not as a base in the Lewis sense (a ligand). This behavior of CHA was proven by the isolation and determination of the structure of cyclohexylammonium nitrate crystals by single-crystal XRD [33]. This observed Brønsted-Lowry activity of CHA can be attributed to its moderate base strength (\(pK_b = 3.36\)) when hydrolyzing in water according to Equation 2:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C}_6\text{H}_11\text{NH}_2(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) & \rightleftharpoons \text{C}_6\text{H}_11\text{NH}_3^+(\text{aq}) + \text{OH}^- (\text{aq}) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Equation 2

Due to the high basicity of the CHA solution (\(pH = 12.5\)), zinc ions react with the hydroxide ions and form different hydroxyl complexes such as \([\text{ZnOH}]^-\), \([\text{Zn(OH)}_2]^-\), \([\text{Zn(OH)}_3]^-\), and \([\text{Zn(OH)}_4]^{2-}\). Furthermore, the high basicity makes the chemical potential of hydroxide ion \([\text{OH}^-]\) high, leading to a shift in the equilibrium in Equation 3 toward the formation of oxide ion (\(O^{2-}\)):

\[
2\text{OH}^- (\text{aq}) \rightleftharpoons O^{2-} (\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l)
\]

Equation 3

The formation of oxide ion according to Equation 3 is responsible for the construction of Zn-O-Zn bonds by transforming the zinc hydroxide complexes into solid-phase according to Equation 4:

\[
2[\text{Zn(OH)}_n]^{2-n}(\text{aq}) \rightleftharpoons [\text{Zn}_2\text{O(OH)}_{2n-2}]^{4-2n}(\text{aq}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l)
\]

Equation 4

Table 1 presents some selected physicochemical properties of our ZnO, prepared in either EtOH or H₂O medium, that are relevant to its use in photocatalytic applications. The BET surface area and pore volume of calcined ZnO nanoparticles, prepared either in EtOH or H₂O medium, are presented in Table 1. It is clear from the table that the BET surface area and pore volumes are observed to change marginally by changing the reaction medium. Interestingly, our results showed that in comparison with the morphology of ZnO nanoparticles, the surface area is not a significant parameter in photocatalytic activity; ZnO prepared in ethanol with higher efficiency (see Table 1) has somewhat lower surface area (7.51 m²/g) in comparison with ZnO prepared in H₂O (12.41 m²/g). Lower photocatalytic activity of ZnO prepared in H₂O can be attributed to the shape and morphology as we will discuss on details later on.

**BET surface area**

In general, specific surface area is a significant microstructural parameter of materials particles, which depends on the geometrical shape and porosity. It is also well known that a large surface area could be an important factor, prompting the photocatalytic degradation of organic materials [35]. The specific surface areas and pore volumes of our ZnO, prepared in either EtOH or H₂O medium, are presented in Table 1. It is clear from the table that the BET surface area and pore volumes are observed to change marginally by changing the reaction medium. Interestingly, our results showed that in comparison with the morphology of ZnO nanoparticles, the surface area is not a significant parameter in photocatalytic activity; ZnO prepared in ethanol with higher efficiency (see Table 1) has somewhat lower surface area (7.51 m²/g) in comparison with ZnO prepared in H₂O (12.41 m²/g). Lower photocatalytic activity of ZnO prepared in H₂O can be attributed to the shape and morphology as we will discuss on details later on.

**DRIFT investigation**

Figure 1 shows the DRIFT spectra of the uncalcined ZnO nanoparticles, prepared in either H₂O or EtOH medium. The absorption bands in the region of 600 to 400 cm⁻¹ include those for crystal (lattice) and coordinated water as well as ZnO. The absorption bands for ZnO are weak and overlap with those of rotational H-O-H vibration and vibrational of trapped H₂O. The

| Sample  | BET-SA (m²/g) | Pore volume (cm³/g) |
|---------|---------------|---------------------|
| ZnO₆   | 7.51          | 0.02                |
| ZnO₇   | 12.41         | 0.05                |
asymmetric and symmetric stretching H-O-H vibration bands are observed between 3,600 and 3,200 cm\(^{-1}\), while the bending H-O-H vibration bands are observed between 1,630 and 1,600 cm\(^{-1}\) \([36,37]\). The doublet band at approximately 1,400 cm\(^{-1}\) can be ascribed to H-O-H bending vibrations. The bands, observed between 880 and 650 cm\(^{-1}\), can be attributed to the bending vibrational modes (wagging, twisting, and rocking) of coordinated water molecules. The water diagnosis by DRIFT is in agreement with the ICP-prediction of water presence in the uncalcined ZnO\(_W\) and ZnO\(_E\) samples (see synthesis in the ‘Method’ section).

**XRD investigation**

Figure 2 shows the XRD diffraction patterns of uncalcined and calcined ZnO nanoparticles, prepared in water and ethanol. The patterns consist of broad peaks, which match the common ZnO hexagonal phase, i.e., wurtzite structure \([80–0074, \text{JCPDS}]\). The sharper and higher peak intensities of the uncalcined ZnO\(_W\) than those of the uncalcined ZnO\(_E\) imply that the latter has a smaller crystallite size than that of the former. The average crystallite size, estimated by Scherrer’s equation for the (100), (002), and (101) diffraction peaks, for the uncalcined ZnO\(_W\) is almost half that of the uncalcined ZnO\(_E\) (Table 2). After calcination, however, both ZnO\(_E\) and ZnO\(_W\) had the same average crystallite size of 28.8 nm (Table 2). Such observation could be attributed to the difference in the number of moles of water of crystallization in each material, resulting in more shrinkage relative to the particle coarsening effect upon calcination for the ZnO\(_W\) \([38]\).

**SEM investigation**

Figure 3A shows the SEM images of uncalcined and calcined (inset) ZnO\(_E\) samples, while Figure 3B shows the SEM images of uncalcined and calcined (inset) ZnO\(_W\) samples. Uncalcined ZnO\(_E\) sample is composed of homogeneously defined nanoparticles. The other hand, uncalcined ZnO\(_W\) sample is made of irregularly shaped, overlapped nanoparticles. Removal of lattice water upon calcination process enhanced the nanoparticles’ features. Regular, polyhedral nanoparticles were observed for ZnO\(_E\) after calcination. Inhomogeneous, spherical particles along with some chunky particles were observed for ZnO\(_W\). The EDX analyses (not shown here) for uncalcined and calcined samples indicate the purity of all the synthesized samples with no peaks other than Zn and O.

**TEM investigation**

TEM images (Figure 4) of un- and calcined ZnO samples supported the SEM micrographs in confirming the morphology of ZnO nanoparticles. Un- and calcined ZnO\(_E\) nanoparticles adopt hexagonal shape, which is consistent with the regular, polyhedral morphology observed by SEM (Figure 3A, inset), with an average particle size of approximately 40 nm, obtained from TEM (Figure 4C). However, calcined ZnO\(_W\) nanoparticles adopt irregular spherical shape with an average particle size of approximately 15 nm (Figure 4D), which is consistent with the

| Table 2 Average crystallite size of uncalcined [a] and calcined [b] ZnO\(_E\) and ZnO\(_W\) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Miller indices (hkl) | Average crystallite size (nm) |
| 100 | 002 | 101 |
| ZnO\(_E\) \( ^{a} \) | 13.9 | 14.5 | 18.2 | 15.6 |
| ZnO\(_W\) \( ^{a} \) | 33.5 | 28.9 | 39.3 | 33.9 |
| ZnO\(_E\) \( ^{b} \) | 33.5 | 24.8 | 28.2 | 28.8 |
| ZnO\(_W\) \( ^{b} \) | 33.5 | 24.8 | 28.2 | 28.8 |

\( ^{a}\) Uncalcined ZnO\(_E\) and ZnO\(_W\); \( ^{b}\) calcined ZnO\(_E\) and ZnO\(_W\).
observed morphology by SEM (Figure 3B, inset). The more uniform polyhedral particles of ZnOE could be attributed to the lower polarity of ethanol, compared to that of water, leading to slower ionization and deposition rate [39] and inhomogeneous nucleation that favor the polyhedral-shaped particles [40].

In order to study deeply shape and crystallinity of ZnO nanoparticles, prepared in ethanol and water, and further to confirm the XRD patterns, high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) was performed. This technique has provided us information regarding the nature of the crystal faces. HRTEM images of un- and calcined ZnOE and ZnOW are shown in Figure 5A, B, C, D. These images obviously confirmed that un- and calcined ZnO (Figure 5A, C) prepared in ethanol has hexagonal shape, whereas irregular spherical shape of ZnO prepared in water (Figure 5B, D). In addition, from HRTEM images of un- and calcined ZnO prepared ethanol and water, one can clearly observe the

Figure 3 SEM of uncalcined and calcined ZnO nanoparticles, prepared either in EtOH (ZnOE) (A) or H2O (ZnOW) (B).
crystal planes of ZnO. The lattice plane fringes of the ZnO nanoparticles are used to calculate the d-spacing values, and they were compared with those of bulk ZnO (the values in Table 3), indicating the formation of ZnO nanocrystals with different morphology depending on the reaction medium. From Table 3, the distances between the two lattice planes for un- and calcined ZnO_E were around 0.263 and 0.281 nm, which correspond to the d-spacing of the (002) and (100) crystal planes, respectively, of the wurtzite ZnO. On another hand, the interplanar spacings of un- and calcined ZnO_W were around 0.262 and 0.263, corresponding well to the (002) planes of ZnO.
UV-vis investigation

Figure 6A exhibits the UV-vis absorption spectra for the calcined ZnOE and ZnOW samples. The ZnOE sample showed slightly less absorbance between 300 and 400 nm than ZnOW. This decrease in absorbance could be attributed to the larger particle size of ZnOE, which in turn increases its Rayleigh scattering [41]. The direct bandgap \( E_g \) estimations from these spectra for ZnOE and ZnOW are depicted in Figure 6B, where the \( x \)-axis is the photon energy \( E \) in electron-volt (eV) and \( y \)-axis is the square of the product of absorbance \( A \) and energy \( AE^2 \). The \( E_g \) for ZnOE was 3.17 eV, while that for ZnOW was 3.16 eV. Such observation implies that the optical properties of these materials are not affected by the synthesis medium.

Photocatalytic degradation of cyanide

**Synthesis medium effect on photocatalytic oxidation**

The mechanism for the photocatalytic oxidation of cyanide by zinc oxide can be illustrated as follows [41]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ZnO} + 2h\nu & = \text{ZnO} \left( 2h^+ + 2e^- \right) \\
\text{SO}_2 + 2e^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} & = 2\text{OH}^-
\quad 2\text{OH}^- + 2h^+ = 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\quad \text{CN}^- + 2 \text{OH}^- = \text{OCN}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\quad 2\text{OCN}^- + \text{O}_2 = 2\text{CO}_2 + \text{N}_2
\end{align*}
\]

The overall reaction:

\[
2\text{CN}^- + 2\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{UV light}} 2\text{CO}_2 + \text{N}_2,
\]

where \( h \) is Planck’s constant and \( \nu \) is the frequency of UV light.

The effect of the synthesis medium on the photocatalytic efficiency of calcined ZnO nanoparticles was explicitly noticed by the much higher efficiency of ZnOE than that of ZnOW in the photocatalytic degradation of cyanide ion in the aqueous medium under the same conditions. Table 4 shows that the photocatalytic activity of ZnOE is as approximately 1.5 as that of ZnOW when applying 0.02 wt.% concentration of the ZnO photocatalyst.

The higher performance of ZnOE can be attributed to the higher adsorption capability of its particles, owing to its regular, polyhedral surface faces.

The superiority of ZnOE photocatalytic activity can be correlated to its particle size and shape, as it is reported in the literature [42-45]. However, the effect of ZnO particle shape on the photocatalytic activity is rarely studied in the literature [46]. In this context, the edges and corners of ZnOE hexagonal particles have many coordinatively unsaturated sites, which usually are active in catalysis. On the other hand, the spherical shape of ZnOW particles would have much less active sites due to the lack of edges and corners. Aligning with our interpretation of ZnOE photocatalytic activity, El-sayed and

| Sample | ZnO loading (wt.%) | CN\(^-\) degradation (%) |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| ZnOE  | 0.02              | 86                       |
| ZnOW  | 0.02              | 56                       |
his coworkers, for instance, showed that the influence of the particle shape on the catalytic activity is very important toward better activity [42,45]. In addition, the photocatalytic activity of acetaldehyde decomposition using ZnO powder depended on several factors including the morphology of the particles [46]. Finally, we believe that the morphology of our ZnO\_E particles is crucial in photocatalytic activity and our present findings will provide a hint about the role of morphology in the ZnO\_E photocatalytic performance.

Based on the obtained results, ZnO\_E nanoparticles were used in further investigation for improving the cyanide degradation efficiency.

**Photocatalytic degradation of CN" using different concentrations wt.% of calcined ZnO\_E**

Photocatalytic degradation of cyanide using different weight percent of calcined ZnO\_E was performed and found to depend on the ZnO concentration wt.%, as shown in Figure 7. It is evident that at the initial reaction stage, the catalyst concentration of ZnO has no notable effect on the catalytic performance, which might due to the high essential activity of the ZnO\_E catalyst. It is clear from Figure 6 that the smallest concentration of 0.01 wt.% ZnO\_E resulted in cyanide degradation of 85% after 180 min, while it increased remarkably to 95% with increasing the loading from 0.01 to 0.02 wt.%. However, further increase in the ZnO\_E concentration from 0.02 to 0.09 wt.% had resulted in almost 100% CN removal efficiency. This observation might be due to the increase in photon absorption by the ZnO\_E, resulting in higher concentration of the charge carrier to degrade almost all CN\^{}\textsubscript{(aq)}. The degradation of cyanide, however, remained relatively constant with further increase in the reaction time beyond 180 min, indicating that the catalyst might be deactivated by deposition of the reaction products on the catalyst surface.

**Kinetic photocatalytic degradation of CN" using calcined ZnO\_E**

The first order kinetic degradation of CN\^{}\textsubscript{(aq)} was fitted to the following expression:

\[
\log\frac{[C]}{[C]_0} = -kt + \log[C]_0,
\]

where $[C]_t$ and $[C]_0$ represent the concentration in (ppm) of CN\^{}\textsubscript{(aq)} in solution at time zero and at time $t$ of illumination, respectively, and $k$ represents the apparent rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)). The kinetic analysis of cyanide photodegradation is depicted in Figure 8, which shows that the rate of photocatalytic reaction depends on the concentration of the catalyst. An excellent correlation to the pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics ($R > 0.99$) was found. Obviously, the photodegradation rate of the CN\^{} was found to increase from 19.2 to $42.9 \times 10^{-3}$ min\(^{-1}\) with increasing ZnO loading from 0.01 to 0.07 wt.% (Table 5).

**Table 5 Apparent rate constant ($k$) at different concentration wt.% of calcined ZnO\_E**

| ZnO\_E concentration, wt.% | $k$ (min\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| 0.01                       | 19.2             |
| 0.02                       | 20.8             |
| 0.03                       | 33.5             |
| 0.05                       | 36.1             |
| 0.07                       | 42.9             |
Conclusion
Zinc oxide nanoparticles were readily prepared at room temperature from zinc nitrate hexahydrate and cyclohexylamine either in aqueous or ethanolic medium. The calcined ZnO\(_6\) had a regular, polyhedral morphology while the calcined ZnO\(_8\) had irregular spherical morphology, mixed with some chunky particles. The morphology was a key factor in the superior photocatalytic behavior of ZnO\(_6\) over that of ZnO\(_8\). The differences in morphology and photocatalytic behavior are strongly influenced by the physicochemical properties of the synthesis medium.
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