Expectations of patients towards a primary care physician, related to the reason for the current visit, with particular emphasis on patients aged 65+

Oczekiwania badanych pacjentów wobec lekarza podstawowej opieki zdrowotnej, związane z powodem aktualnej wizyty, ze szczególnym uwzględnieniem pacjentów w wieku 65+

Background. The aim of this study was to investigate the expectations of the patients towards a primary care physician, related to the reason for the current visit, with particular emphasis on patients 65+.

Material and methods. The study was conducted in selected primary care institutions in Świętokrzyskie region, where a total of 422 patients were surveyed. The study used PRF research tool. The statements contained in the PRF include three factors that relate to expectations connected with the explanation of disease, the search for emotional support and obtaining information on tests. For statistical analysis U Mann-whitney-wilcoxon test, kruskal-wallis test and the χ² test were used.

Results. The declared need to explain the disease is varied in age groups at a significance level of p = 0.001. People aged 30–44 years have significantly lower need for emotional support than those aged 45–64 years and those aged 65 years and more (p = 0.02). The studies have shown that the declared need for information on treatments and tests is varied in age groups at a significance level of p = 0.001. However, no statistically significant differences were found in the need for information between studied patients over 65+ and the other age groups.

Conclusions. The study showed that the main reason for visits of patients over 65 to a primary care physician is expectation to receive emotional support.
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1993, a specialization in family medicine was introduced as a "part of an overall strategy to enhance the role and improve the quality of primary health care" [3]. Primary health care is supposed to be the "foundation of the whole health care system, based on the activity of family doctors and nurses. The members of these professional groups are to be guides (and also coordinators) on the health care system, responsible for cooperation with both specialized healthcare centers (open and closed), as well as social welfare" [4]. A patient during the visit shares their problems, not only health but also psychological and emotional ones, with a family doctor. The doctor should treat the patient subjectively, remaining open to his/her needs. Because of the respect for the will of the patient, the physician must submit a patient’s state of health, diagnosis and possible alternatives of treatment. In the doctor-patient interaction, mutual respect for subjects and lack of superiority of one of them is also important [5, 6).

Objectives

The aim of this study was to investigate the expectations of the patients towards a primary care physician, related to the reason for the current visit, with particular emphasis on patients aged over 65.

Material and methods

The study was conducted in randomly selected primary care institutions in Świętokrzyskie region, where the total of 422 patients were surveyed including 163 (38.63%) men and 259 (61.37%) women. Overall, among the surveyed people the prevailing age range was 25–44 years 24.64% (104), 20–29 years 24.17% (102), 65 years and older 13.51% (57) and 18–19 5.45% (23). Managers of the randomly selected institutions gave their consent to conduct anonymous surveys. A total, 5.45% (23). Managers of the randomly selected institutions gave their consent to conduct anonymous surveys. In total, for 422 respondents 5.45% (23) of the respondents assessed their health as poor. Respondents assessed their health as good, 24.64% (104) as satisfactory, 18.25% (77) as very good, 13.74%, as weak and 2.37% (10) indicated the category of perfect. The vast majority of all respondents assessed their health as good, 24.64% (104), as satisfactory, 18.25% (77) as very good, 13.74%, as weak and 2.37% (10) indicated the category of perfect. When analysing the assessment of health status by the patients, two variables were created: age < 65 years, and the age 65+ (Tab. 1).

Results

The analysis of the studied parameter, which is the assessment of one’s health status, showed that 41.00% (173) of the respondents assessed their health as good, 24.64% (104) as satisfactory, 18.25% (77) as very good, 13.74%, as weak and 2.37% (10) indicated the category of perfect. When analysing the assessment of health status by the patients, two variables were created: age < 65 years, and the age 65+ (Tab. 1).

Table 1. Age of the respondents (below 65 years of age and patients aged 65+) and the assessment of health status (% of column)

| Category | Assessment of health status | Age < 65 | Age 65+ | Total |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Number   | weak                        | 26       | 32      | 58    |
| % of column |                            | 7%       | 56%     |       |
| Number   | satisfactory                | 86       | 18      | 104   |
| % of column |                            | 24%      | 32%     |       |
| Number   | good                        | 164      | 5       | 173   |
| % of column |                            | 46%      | 9%      |       |
| Number   | very good                   | 75       | 2       | 77    |
| % of column |                            | 21%      | 4%      |       |
| Number   | perfect                     | 10       | 0       | 10    |
| % of column |                            | 3%       | 0%      |       |
| Number   | total                       | 365      | 57      | 422   |

Table 2. Age of the respondents and the assessment of material conditions (% of column)

| Category | Assessment of material conditions | Age of the respondents | Total |
|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Number   | bad                              | 0                       | 12    |
| % of column |                                | 0%                      | 21%   |
| Number   | average                         | 0                       | 166   |
| % of column |                                | 0%                      | 53%   |
| Number   | good                            | 15                      | 178   |
| % of column |                                | 65%                     | 23%   |
| Number   | very good                       | 4                       | 51    |
| % of column |                                | 17%                     | 4%    |
| Number   | total                           | 23                      | 422   |

The studied patients over 65 years old in more than a half of cases assessed their health as poor. Respondents under 65 stated that their health is poor 8 times less. There
is a significant relationship between the age structure and the assessment of their health ($\chi^2$ test; $p < 0.0001$; $\text{Fi} = 0.52$).

The studies have shown a significant correlation between age structure and the assessment of their own material conditions ($\chi^2$; $p < 0.0001$; $\text{Fi} = 0.34$) of the patients. People aged over 65 in most cases assessed their material conditions as average (Tab. 2).

Age groups under 65 most often identified their material situation as good. The study group 65+ is the only age group in which poor material conditions were not the rarest choice.

The declared need to clarify the disease is varied in age groups at a significance level of $p = 0.027$ (Tab. 3).

### Table 3. Age of the patients and the expectations related to the explanation of the disease (Kruskal-Wallis test)

| Variable: Explanation | ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis rank; Explanation (PRF base) independent variable (grouping); Age of respondents Kruskal-Wallis test: $H (4, n = 422) = 10.92223; p = 0.0275$ |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 18–19                | 1                                                                                                                                  | 23                                                                     | 3949.50                                                                       | 171.7174                                                                            |
| 20–29                | 2                                                                                                                                  | 102                                                                   | 20006.50                                                                       | 196.1618                                                                            |
| 30–34                | 3                                                                                                                                  | 104                                                                   | 20773.50                                                                       | 199.7452                                                                            |
| 45–64                | 4                                                                                                                                  | 136                                                                   | 30954.00                                                                       | 227.6029                                                                            |
| 65+                  | 5                                                                                                                                  | 57                                                                    | 11567.50                                                                       | 238.0263                                                                            |

After correction for multiple comparisons, no pairs of groups were found that are significantly different in terms of the expected clarification of disease of the study population. Therefore, it can be concluded that age does not affect the expected clarification of the disease during the visit. There were no significant statistical differences of the required clarification of the disease between medians of the study group 65+ and other age groups ($p > 0.05$).

The declared need for emotional support is varied in age groups at a significance level of $p = 0.0011$. After correction for multiple comparisons, at least one pair of groups that differs significantly in terms of the expected support was found (Tab. 4).

### Table 4. Age of the patients and the expectations related to the search for emotional support (Kruskal-Wallis test)

| Variable: Support | ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis rank; Explanation (PRF base) independent variable (grouping); Age of respondents Kruskal-Wallis test: $H (4, n = 422) = 18.35831; p = 0.0011$ |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 18–19             | 1                                                                                                                                  | 23                                                                     | 4443.50                                                                       | 193.1957                                                                            |
| 20–29             | 2                                                                                                                                  | 102                                                                   | 20074.50                                                                       | 196.8088                                                                            |
| 30–34             | 3                                                                                                                                  | 104                                                                   | 18886.50                                                                       | 181.6202                                                                            |
| 45–64             | 4                                                                                                                                  | 136                                                                   | 31907.00                                                                       | 234.6103                                                                            |
| 65+               | 5                                                                                                                                  | 57                                                                    | 13939.50                                                                       | 244.5526                                                                            |

Therefore, it can be concluded that age has an impact on the expected emotional support ($p < 0.05$) during a visit to a primary care physician. People aged 30–44 have significantly lower declared need for emotional support than those aged 45–64 ($p < 0.01$) and those aged 65 and older ($p = 0.02$). The median of value of points obtained in people over 65 years of age is 3.33 times bigger than among persons aged 30–44.

The studies have shown that the declared need for information on tests and treatment is varied in age groups at a significance level of $p = 0.0011$. After correction for multiple comparisons, at least one pair of groups which is significantly different in terms of the expected information was found (Tab. 5).

### Table 5. Age of the patients and the expectations related to obtaining information on tests and treatment

| Variable: Information | ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis rank; Explanation (PRF base) independent variable (grouping); Age of respondents Kruskal-Wallis test: $H (4, n = 422) = 18.19256; p = 0.0011$ |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 18–19                | 1                                                                                                                                  | 23                                                                     | 4253.50                                                                       | 185.0217                                                                            |
| 20–29                | 2                                                                                                                                  | 102                                                                   | 18915.50                                                                       | 185.4461                                                                            |
| 30–34                | 3                                                                                                                                  | 104                                                                   | 20130.50                                                                       | 193.5625                                                                            |
| 45–64                | 4                                                                                                                                  | 136                                                                   | 32953.00                                                                       | 242.3015                                                                            |
| 65+                  | 5                                                                                                                                  | 57                                                                    | 12998.50                                                                       | 228.0439                                                                            |

The studies have shown that the age of patients has an impact on their expectations related to obtaining information on tests and treatment ($p < 0.05$) during a visit to a primary care physician. People in the age group 45–64 have a significantly higher declared need to obtain the information than those aged 20–29 ($p < 0.01$) and those aged 30–44 ($p = 0.02$). But no statistically significant differences were found in the need for information between studied patients over 65 and other age groups.

### Discussion

The group of subjects under 65 at the time of a visit expect from a primary care physician, first of all, to explain the disease and to obtain information on tests and treatment, but emotional support is the least often indicated need of respondents in this age group. Patients over 65 primarily seek emotional support from a doctor. In the studies of Mircinowicz et al., patient expectations were primarily related to obtain information on treatment. However, these studies show that patient-doctor relationship and expressive effectiveness also seem important [8]. The author stresses that emotional support was one of the four main factors shaping the expectations of Lithuanian primary care patients. The study conducted by Kernicer-Chmielewska et al. [9], showed that elderly patients in primary care physicians primarily seek emotional support and mental health. Emotional support received by patients, particularly in the elderly, is extremely important. In the light of the research it seems to be important to provide care for the elderly who need support not only from the physician or medical personnel, but also from the family. The study conducted by Grywalska et al. [10] showed that patients not only expect professional advice in the area of medical recommendations and necessary tests, but it is also important for them to receive spiritual support and assistance in solving personal, family and social problems. Patients feel lonely and socially excluded and at the same time appreciate good family relations [11]. Their lack, a sense of isolation, may contribute to the fact that these people seek help and emotional support from their family doctor.

### Conclusions

The study showed that the main reason for visits of patients over 65 to a primary care physician is the expectation to receive emotional support. Other patients who are in different age groups expect primarily explanation of the disease and information on further treatment.
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