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Abstract

Purpose: The COVID-19 pandemic has forced healthcare stakeholders towards challenging decisions. We analyse the impact of the pandemic on the conduct of phase I-II trials for paediatric cancer during the first month of state of alarm in Spain.

Methods: A questionnaire was sent to all five ITCC-accredited Spanish Paediatric Oncology Early-Phase Clinical Trial Units, including questions about impact on staff activities, recruitment, patient care, supply of investigational products and legal aspects.

Results: All units suffered personnel shortages and difficulties in enrolling patients, treatment continuity or performing trial assessments. Monitoring activity was frequently postponed (73%), and 49% of ongoing trials interrupted recruitment. Only two patients could be recruited during this period (75% reduction in the expected rate).

Conclusions: The COVID-19 crisis has significantly impacted clinical research practice and access to innovation for children with cancer. Structural and functional changes are under way to better cope with the expected future restrictions.

Purpose

The COVID19 pandemic has affected more than 3 million people worldwide and 25,000 have died in Spain as of 2nd May 2020[1] and healthcare stakeholders have taken challenging decisions to safeguard public health. In Spain, on March 14th, the government declared the state of alarm[2]. As a consequence, the Spanish healthcare system has suffered unprecedented adjustments to prioritize resources for the fight against COVID-19[3]. Outpatient follow-up and elective procedures have been cancelled and healthcare providers reallocated to assist patients with COVID-19.

Although SARS-CoV-2 is suspected to infect children milder than adults[4], adjustment of healthcare facilities has been established also for them[5,6].

The countermeasures adopted by the authorities can impact hardly in clinical research, which often is perceived as a "desirable but not essential" activity. Actions undertaken can affect the conduct of clinical trials in many ways (e.g. completion of trial assessments, visits, provision of investigational medicinal products (IMPs)). Furthermore, pharmaceutical companies may refocus resources into areas related to COVID-19 (e.g. vaccines or antiviral drugs), thus affecting other research areas.

This situation forces sponsors, investigators and patients to adapt. The European Medicines Agency and the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices have issued guidelines on the management of clinical trial activities[7,8] Both are aligned in the intention to guarantee trial activity, patient safety and action traceability. However, all parties (i.e. healthcare authorities, hospitals, regulators, sponsors) have
implemented measures differently depending on the impact of the pandemic in their regions and their capacity to adapt, although not all coordinated nor evidence-based.

Cancer research is particularly challenging because patients are remarkably vulnerable: their baseline condition needs close surveillance and delays in diagnosis or treatment are potentially fatal[9]; in parallel, cancer patients are at increased risk for severe COVID-19 disease[10-12]

We evaluated the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the early-phase clinical trial activity in paediatric oncology during the first month of state of alarm in Spain (14th March-12th/April/2020).

**Methods**

In Spain, the Innovative Therapies for Children with Cancer consortium (ITCC), an international scientific organisation dedicated to early drug development for childhood cancers in 56 centres from 14 European countries, has accredited five paediatric cancer centres to deliver early phase clinical trials[13]. All five centres were contacted and sent a 93-item questionnaire between 13th-19th/April/2020 investigating the impact of the pandemic on actively recruiting phase I-II clinical trials in solid and haematological paediatric malignancies and on molecular cancer platforms (Appendix I).

Descriptive statistics of the survey are provided.

**Results**

**Impact on staff**

All units suffered shortages on on-site staff in all professional strata (Table I). The median decrease in personnel was 59% (range 33-100). Main causes were: institutional contingency policies (88%), COVID-19-infected workers (7%) and relocation to other hospital areas (5%).

All units managed to perform remote data entry although two were not fully equipped for and had difficulties to perform their activities.

**Impact on on-site activities performed by the sponsors**

Seventy percent (7/10) planned site initiation visits (SIV) were postponed and 30% (n=3) were performed remotely, all as per decision of the sponsor.

Seventy three percent (36/49) planned monitoring visits (MV) were postponed and 27% (n=13) were performed remotely, mostly due to institutional decision (70%).

**Impact on recruitment**
The median number of studies that stopped recruitment per site was 6 (range 2-6), a 49% on average (SD 32.5) of actively recruiting studies. The decision to stop was taken per sponsor (47%) or per local institutions (53%). One unit interrupted recruitment in all trials (n=18) following institutional decision. During this period only two new patients were enrolled across the whole of Spain, 75% less than the monthly average in 2019 (n=8).

**Impact on organization of patient care**

All units continued on-site patient care with limitations. Two units had restrictions to perform assessments due to local prevention strategies.

Out of 143 planned patient visits, 15 (10%) had to be rescheduled. Most were conducted on-site (77%, 110), while 14% (20) were conducted by phone and 9% (13) in other hospitals closer to family home. Only one patient experienced treatment delay (parents’ decision).

Seven patients that were potentially eligible could not be enrolled. Of those, four received non-trial treatments and three are on standby. The reasons for not enrolling were: recruitment interrupted by sponsor (n=3) and not considered safe to be included by investigators (n=4)

**Impact on supply of investigational medicinal product and research devices**

Provision of IMPs and research devices, as well as shipment of research samples, were uniformly warranted. For six trials, two units were able to ship IMPs to patients’ homes or to local hospitals. Three units provided larger amounts of trial medications to patients to minimise hospital visits.

**Impact on legal and regulatory aspects**

The completion of three planned contracts was delayed. All units have been provided with contingency plans by sponsors and have also created individual plans for the management of COVID-19 related issues.

**Future perspective**

All investigators foresee a lower recruitment in 2020 compared to 2019. Most are planning changes over the next months to promote homeworking and remote SIVs/MVs. All investigators believe that this crisis will make units better prepared for future crises.
Following the analysis of this survey results and the collected expert consensus, we propose a set of recommendations to preserve patient safety, scientific integrity and research value (Figure 1).

**Conclusions**

This study reveals that paediatric cancer clinical research has been significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Shortage of personnel has been a major issue in all units, as a consequence of contingency plans restricting on-site work. While physicians and nurses' activities have been severely compromised as their activities are essentially patient-centred, it may have less impact on other professional strata such as study coordinators or data-managers, provided that they have appropriate means to perform their work remotely. Unfortunately, this was not the case for all institutions. Providing homeworking solutions is essential under the perspective of sustained restrictions over the next months. Although redeployment of staff to other areas in the fight against COVID-19 is necessary, it has an undeniable impact on areas with highly specialized, multidisciplinary activities, as is clinical research.

Our study shows drastic suspension/delays of SIVs and MVs. Delays in SIV are understandable decisions because of the inherent complexity of early-phase studies but they need to be rescheduled as soon as possible. However, MVs are key to ensure safety and data quality of on-going trials, and hence it is necessary that sponsors implement alternative mechanisms to resume it in collaboration with the sites while preserving patient data protection (e.g. remote access to electronic medical records with regular contacts with the study coordinators).

All units have experienced restrictions in treatment delivery or ability to conduct trial assessments. Nevertheless, all units have implemented strategies to mitigate these risks while delivering treatment to the patients, complying with trial regulations and in agreement with sponsors. The outbreak is expected to increase protocol deviations, highlighting the need for a fluid communication between sites, ethics committees, sponsors and regulators. Regulators should take a sensible approach when reviewing these deviations, especially when the trial participant's best interest has been taken into account and no additional risk has been posed[8].

In our study, only one patient had his treatment delayed due to family's fears of travelling. While patients and caregivers are advised to restrict hospital visits, they may delay medical consultation when facing adverse events during a trial. Cortiula et al.[9] highlighted the negative implications of excessive focus on COVID-19 and of overshadowing other aspects of clinical practice, especially in cancer care.

Only two patients could be recruited in this period, a 75% reduction in the expected recruitment rate, while seven potentially eligible patients could not be recruited. Clinical trials are most of the times the unique way to provide access to new drugs with significant patient benefit (e.g. TRK inhibitors for TRK-fusion cancers[14]), or at the very least, to innovative therapies to children with no curative options. Whereas COVID-19 has a low mortality in children[4], more than 90% of children with relapsed and refractory
cancers will continue to die and hence access to novel therapies needs to be assured. Moreover, the stalling process on experimental medicines will extend the already lengthy marketing process and have an impact on the companies’ market value[15]. Therefore, long-term consequences of the pandemic on clinical research remain unpredictable.

Although there are multiple publications about generic emergency preparedness in health settings, there is minimal information focusing on clinical trial sites[16,17] and we provide recommendations on this respect (Figure 1).

All consulted investigators conclude that this crisis will make trial units better prepared for future emergencies and they are planning changes in their organization.

Our results show that the COVID-19 crisis has had a major impact on conducting paediatric oncology research. Our conclusions will hopefully help investigators, sponsors and authorities to address the issues derived from this pandemic and facilitate the construction of a consensus strategy for future crises. This would allow for maintaining high-quality care, standardizing procedures and prioritizing an efficient use of resources, to ensure safe access to therapies in the context of clinical trials.
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Tables
TABLE 1. Summary of responses to the survey provided by the five early-phase clinical trials units.

| Item                                                                 | Total, n | Total, %** |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| **Baseline data**                                                    |          |            |
| Phase I trials active, recruiting                                   | 27       |            |
| Phase II trials active, recruiting                                   | 27       |            |
| Molecular platforms active, recruiting                               | 2        |            |
| Total phase I/II trials or molecular platforms active, recruiting    | 38       |            |
| - H Val d'Hebron                                                     | 14       |            |
| - H San Joande Deu                                                   | 8        |            |
| - H Le Fe                                                            | 11       |            |
| - H Le Pen                                                           | 9        |            |
| - H Nike Rezin                                                       | 26       |            |
| Phase I trials active, not yet recruiting                            | 8        |            |
| Phase II trials active, not yet recruiting                            | 8        |            |
| SVU previously scheduled for March-April 2020                       | 16       |            |
| MSS previously scheduled for March-April 2020                       | 8        |            |
| Unit's full-time workers                                             | 73       |            |
| Total of patients recruited in Phase I/II studies during 2019         | 96       |            |
| **Impact over operations**                                           |          |            |
| Number of units suffering shortage of on-site staff                 | 5        | 100%(5/5)  |
| Total reduction in number of on-site workers                         | 42       | 59%(24/39) |
| Cause of personnel reductions                                       |          |            |
| - COVID-19 related workers                                          | 3        | 7% (3/43)  |
| - Institutional changes due to work related to COVID-19              | 15       | 35% (15/43) |
| - Relocation of type of work related to COVID-19                     | 2        | 5% (2/43)  |
| Units performing remote data entry                                   | 5        | 100%(5/5)  |
| Units with appropriate tools for home working                       | 3        | 64%(2/5)   |
| Units suffering SIV delays on admission                              | 4        | 58%(6/10)  |
| Postponing SIV decided by:                                          |          |            |
| - Sponsor                                                            | 7        | 100%(7/7)  |
| - Investigator                                                       | 0        | 0%         |
| - Institution                                                       | 0        | 0%         |
| Number of SIV performed remotely                                    | 3        | 100%(3/3)  |
| Units suffering SIV delays on presentation                          | 5        | 100%(5/5)  |
| Number of SIV postponed                                              | 16       | 73%(12/16) |
| Postponing SIV decided by:                                          |          |            |
| - Institution                                                       | 25       | 70% (17/24) |
| - Investigator                                                       | 0        | 0%         |
| - Sponsor + Institution                                              | 11       | 30% (11/36) |
| Number of SIV performed remotely                                    | 13       | 27%        |
| **Impact on recruitment**                                            |          |            |
| Units continuing recruitment                                        | 4        | 38%(4/11)  |
| Units with unrestricted access to new informatics                    | 4        | 38%(4/11)  |
| New patients recruited to early phase trials**                       | 2        |            |
| Trials with recruitment stopped                                      |          |            |
| - H Val d'Hebron                                                     | 6        | 2% (6/285) |
| - H San Joande Deu                                                   | 6        | 7% (6/87)  |
| - H Le Fe                                                            | 15       | 10% (15/150)|
| - H Le Pen                                                           | 2        | 22% (2/9)  |
| - H Nike Rezin                                                       | 6        | 2% (6/266) |
| Recruitment only declined by:                                       |          |            |
| - Sponsor                                                            | 18       | 47%(18/38) |
| - Investigator                                                       | 0        | 0%         |
| - Institution                                                       | 20       | 53%(20/38) |
Figure 1

Practical suggestions for cancer clinical trials paediatric participants care during the COVID-19 outbreak
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