Quantitative Microvessel Analysis with 3-D Super-Resolution Ultrasound and Velocity Mapping
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Abstract—Medical image analysis is becoming increasingly accessible in the clinic. Computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans are usually post-processed to generate a 3-D visualization of the human body for surgical assistance or extract quantitative data to provide additional diagnostic information. Three dimensional super-resolution ultrasound (SR US) imaging can provide similar information at a micro-level without the high cost or ionising radiation.

In this study, we implemented a high volumetric-rate 3-D SR US imaging with a 2-D spiral-shaped array and imaged an in vitro microvascular structure. From the 3-D SR US images clinically relevant parameters, such as microvascular flow rate, microvessel density and tortuosity, were extracted and compared with the ground truth.

I. INTRODUCTION

Super-resolution ultrasound (SR US) imaging with contrast agents is becoming an accepted method to improve image resolution beyond the diffraction limit. Many studies demonstrated the use of 2-D SR US imaging using microbubbles [1]–[15] and nanodroplets [16]–[19]. These studies only achieved 2-D SR US slices of a 3-D structure, which makes the volumetric observations more challenging or impossible since super-resolution is not present in the elevational direction. The 3-D SR US imaging can overcome the diffraction limited resolution for the whole imaging volume [20]–[28].

Medical image processing and analysis is employed in many clinical scenarios, including early diagnosis and treatment monitoring. The basic idea of this work is to further process 3-D SR US images to extract important quantitative information. For this purpose, we exploited our previously developed 3-D SR US system for high volumetric imaging rate, based on a 2-D spiral-shaped array [28], [29]. This system was used to image an in vitro microvascular structure. From the 3-D SR US images, individual microbubbles were tracked to identify and separate microvessels in which they were flowing through. After separating the microvessels, clinically relevant parameters were extracted from the 3-D SR US images. Microvascular flow rate, microvessel density and tortuosity were calculated for each microvessel and compared with the ground truth.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Experimental Setup

A 512-element 2-D density-tapered spiral array with a center frequency of 3.7 MHz and a bandwidth of 60% (Vermon S.A., Tours, France) was used for 3-D imaging with the details given in [28]–[30]. Two ULA-OP 256 systems were synchronized to achieve 3-D SR US imaging by using the 2D spiral array [31], [32]. A microvascular flow phantom was fabricated with two 200 µm twisted cellulose tubes in a double helix shape as shown in Fig. 1. Both tubes had constant microbubble flow velocity of 44 mm/s, but into opposite directions, driven by a dual infusion pump. A 1:2,000 (Native microbubble solution:Water) diluted Sonovue solution was flowed through the microvessel phantom during imaging.

12,000 volumetric images were acquired with a frame rate of

Fig. 1. Optical image of the experimental setup with two 200 µm cellulose tubes arranged in a double helix pattern.
500 Hz (9 compounded volumes at 4500 Hz) using a MI of 0.055 mm. The imaging wavelength was 405 \( \mu \text{m} \).

**B. 3-D Data Processing**

The steps of the data processing chain are illustrated in Fig. 2. The 3-D B-mode ultrasound images were first processed with a spatio-temporal filter, based on singular value decomposition (SVD), to remove the echoes from the cellulose tubes [33], [34]. Upper and lower intensity thresholds were set to reject signals, which might be due to multiple microbubbles and noise, respectively. The super-localization of microbubbles was performed as explained in [35]. Super-localized microbubbles were tracked and paired between consecutive frames to calculate their velocities, which was done by multiplying their Euclidean distance with the frame-rate. Microvascular flow rate was estimated by the average microbubble velocity and compared with the velocity value set on the infusion pump to calculate the error. Each potential microvessel was separated with a velocity filter (a band-pass filter) based on the assumption of different flow rates and direction. A 3-D Frangi filter was used to embody the microvessels for positive and negative velocity values separately [36]. After this, the filtered microvessels were skeletonized to calculate microvessel density and tortuosity. The tortuosity was calculated by dividing the length of the microvessel by the Euclidian distance between the ends of the microvessel, where both values were measured from the 3-D skeletonized images.

**III. RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

Fig. 3 shows a single 3-D B-mode image of the microvascular phantom, which cannot be used to differentiate two cellulose tubes due to its low resolution (full-width-half-maximum of 495 \( \mu \text{m} \)) compared to the microvessel size (diameter of 200 \( \mu \text{m} \)). After super-resolution processing, a total of 9,469 microbubbles were localized and 4,742 microbubble-pairs were tracked from consecutive frames. All tracked microbubbles were superimposed in a single frame to generate the 3-D super-resolved velocity map shown in Fig. 4 A, where both touching tubes can be resolved.

After generating the 3-D SR velocity maps, microbubbles were separated into different groups by using the direction and velocity information, as illustrated in Fig. 2. After the velocity filter, microvascular flow was estimated in both tubes with an average flow velocity of 49.1 mm/s (11.6% error) and 50.7 mm/s (15.2% error). Again, after this velocity filter stage, microbubble velocity traces were calculated and drawn.
between paired microbubbles. Although this processing was performed separately for the microbubbles flowing in different directions, all trajectories are plotted together for easy comparison in Fig. 4 B.

The microbubble trajectories were filtered with a 3-D Frangi filter to skeletonize the microvessels, which allowed the extraction of quantitative information, such as the microvessel density and tortuosity. The microvessel density was calculated as 0.3% of the SR US imaging volume ($8 \times 8 \times 3$ mm$^3$) for the given experimental setup.

Tortuosity values were calculated from the skeletonized microvessels as 1.081 (the length of the microvessel = 6.91 mm and the distance between its ends = 6.39 mm) and 1.052 (the length of the microvessel = 6.24 mm and the distance between its ends = 5.93 mm). From the optical image, the tortuosity is measured as 1.03, but the tortuosity is expected to be underestimated from the 2-D optical projection of a 3-D microvessel. Also, the 3-D SR US images only captured the twisted part of the cellulose tubes inside the imaging region of the ultrasound probe, which can result in a higher tortuosity value.

IV. CONCLUSION

Two 200 µm tubes (smaller than half the imaging wavelength) arranged in a double helix shape were super resolved and flow velocities within these tubes were calculated. These 3-D SR US images and velocity maps were further processed to perform quantitative microvessel analysis. The 3-D Frangi filter works well around the center of the image. However, at the edges of the image, where the number of localized microbubbles is lower, the end sections of the microvessels are not skeletonized. In this non-complex microvascular phantom, tortuosity, flow velocity, flow direction and microvascular density were estimated successfully.

This work showed that 3-D SR US images are suitable for microvessel analysis and can be used to provide clinically important information by further image processing.
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