Conversion of Agricultural Waste (Maize) Into Energy Using Biogas Technology
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Abstract: The aim of the study was to examine the biogas and methane yield of maize silage, wastes in agricultural point of view and compare it to the biogas productivity of commonly used mixture of maize silage and mixed fodder with water. The experimental study was carried out into 2 parts. Firstly, the batch experiment was conducted in Mesophilic conditions (35°C), at five different hydraulic retention times (HRT): 6 Days, 11 Days, 20 Days, 29 Days and 41 Days. The results revealed that maize silage was generated the highest biogas yield of 537 mL /241 mL at the HRT of 6 days /41 days. Mixed fodder produced the highest biogas yield of 421 mL at the HRT of 6th days and the lowest one was 252 mL at the HRT of 20th days. Finally, the methane, carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulphide of biogas produced from maize silage and mixed fodder were analyzed using a gas chromatography. The results showed that biogas from maize silage precede the gas less than the mixed fodder. In the field level experiment proved that from mixed fodder produced gas which would be enough for 7 member’s family used 3 months for the purpose of their cooking.

Keywords: Biogas, Agricultural Wastes, Maize Silage, Gas Production

INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is in the midst of a severe and worsening energy crisis, with a population of about 160 million living in an area of 147, 570 km². In Bangladesh, only 3% of the population enjoys the facility of natural gas coming to their homes through pipelines. Despite her large population, Bangladesh is one of the less energy consuming countries with 257 KwH per capita [1]. An agriculture based country like Bangladesh has huge potentials for utilizing biogas technologies. If each family of Bangladesh can be associated with a biogas plant, then only human excreta will give about 10 billion cubic m³ biogas [2]. Biogas is produced from anaerobic digestion and is considered a clean energy source for those who want an alternative energy pathway. Anaerobic digesters convert organic waste (Waste water sludge, agricultural and food waste, animal and human manure) into energy (Biogas). The composition of biogas varies depending upon the origin of the anaerobic digestion process, on type and chemical composition of substrates, technology used and operational parameters [3]. Various types of biodegradable organic waste from agriculture and industry may be used as a substrate for biogas formation [4]. Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the agricultural plants that is suitable substrate for biogas production. Fresh as well as ensiled maize can be used as biogas substrate [5]. Maize silage is a substrate free of harmful organic substances and heavy metals, which are responsible for the inhibition of the methane fermentation [6]. In recent decades, along with the development of renewable energy, both grain (Bioethanol) and silage (Methane fermentation) have been used for bio-energetic purposes [7]. The goal of the combined cultivation is to improve the silage through the combined harvest of both crops and simultaneously complement the nutrient inputs, which in turn generate higher specific methane yields and methane hectare yields. The aim of the study is to sustain agriculture by using waste into energy.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site: On the basis of this paper potentiality the research had been done in Subarna Char, Noakhali, Bangladesh from February, 2018 to August, 2018. Location of the site is 24°75' N latitude and 90°5' E longitude which fall under the AEZ 18 i.e. Young Meghna Estuarine Flood plain. The experimental site is indicated on the map of AEZ of Bangladesh. The weather is controlled by monsoon season and the soil is sandy loamy. The laboratory experiment was done at Green energy knowledge hub (Biogas) laboratory, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh (Fig. 1).

Substrates: The research material was maize and mixed fodder (Maize silage and grass fodder). The soil for the proposed maize crops was ploughed in the late winter in 2018. The soil was tilted by a power tractor in February 2018. One maize hybrids “Hybrid Corn-984 Gold’ and ‘Hybrid Suit Jambu grass were sown in sandy loam soil on February 16, 2018. Fertilization of plants was provided by mineral fertilizers (Urea 35kg, TSP 20 kg, MP 15 kg, Gypsum 12 kg, Zinc 1kg, Boron .5 kg, Cow dung 300kg). The maize samples were harvested in '21-22 May, 2018. The maize samples were weighted and the average biomass DM yield was calculated in harvesting time. All samples of fresh maize were chopped in “3 inch” pieces and filled in digester on 1st day, 4th day, at the 6th and 9th days the digester were filled. Amount of maize substrate filled in digester on 1st day was 675 kg, on 4th day 75 kg maize substrate was added. Then after 5 days (At the 6th days) the digester were filled with maize silage and grass fodder together at 1425 kg (750kg +575 kg, respectively) and 1325 kg at the 9th day.

Methods Used for Producing Biogas: In this research we used anaerobic digestion methods to produced biogas in China fixed dome plant. The biogas plant of daily gas production capacity of 150 cft (4.25 m³) has been used for the studies

Experimental Procedure: In this work the production of biogas from raw and digested agricultural wastes were used through semi batch and continuous digestion processes. Mixed fodder and maize silage chemical analysis was done in the biogas laboratory in Bangladesh Agriculture University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh. The maize silage was stored 14 days for decomposition. In the laboratory experiment of the biogas production from the maize silage and mixed fodder done through the batch type fermentation process. To start the experiment of each agricultural residue, 50-154 ml of inoculums were introduced in the anaerobic digester. Such an inoculum was anaerobically digested until it released all gas production. The digestion system was mixed for maintaining a contact between microorganisms and the substrate (Fig. 2). It was finally sealed by a paraffin film. The anaerobic digestion system consists of 200 L digester tank and 150 L of storage tank. A quantity of biogas production was daily recorded until no biogas produced. Each experimental HRT was repeated 3 times to minimize an experimental uncertainty.

Chemical Analysis of Substrates: Muffle Furnace was used for determination of Ash content. Biogas 5000 gas analyser is used for the Biogas analysis. To know the raw and processed agricultural wastes studied in this work the biogas composition was analyzed using a mobile gas chromatography.

Estimation of Dry Matter Content: In the laboratory, during determination of dry matter content the following formula was used under oven dry condition at 1050°C and kept for 24hrs.

\[ DM = \text{Total Weight} - \text{Tray Weight} \]

Here’s,

\[ \text{Total Weight} = \text{Tray Weight} + \text{Substrate Weight} \]

After oven dry it was weighted and then put into the Ash container for Ash calculation.

Estimation of the Volatile Solids: The amount of residues left after the ignition of oven dried sample at 575°C. To determine volatile solid and Ash analysis, oven dried moisture free sample were weighed and place at the muffle furnaces at 575°C for minimum 4hrs. The dried samples were placed in smaller porcelain cup and the same procedure was followed. The porcelain cups were first weighed empty and then with the dried samples. Afterwards they were put in the oven at 550oC and then weighed again. The volatile solids were calculated using the following equation:

\[ \% \ VS = \frac{Wc-Wi}{Wd} \times 100 \]

where,

- Wc is the Cup and the dried sample weight and Wi is the cup and incinerated sample weight.
Estimation of the Total Solids: Total solids were determined by subtracting moisture from hundred percent. Loss of weight when ignited at 105°C was measure of total organic content of agro-wastes.

\[ \%TS = \frac{W_t - W_{ts}}{W_t} \times 100 \]

C: N Ratio Analysis: In this research I was used NOVA 60 instrument that has all the cell tests pre-programmed. The device automatically recognized and measured the concentration of total Nitrogen and Ammonia.
Statistical Analysis: The collected data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with CRD method to determine the significance of the effect of mixed fodder (silage and grass fodder) on the yield of biogas from maize at 95% confidence level.

RESULTS

Composition of Substrates: The findings and their implications should be discussed in the broadest context possible. Future research directions may also be highlighted. In Table (1) and Fig. (4), maize silage has comparatively higher volatile solids content, expected to produce the highest amount of biogas. Since the maize contains a significant amount of polysaccharides and proteins and their hydrolysis and acidification separate from the methanogenic phase can accelerate anaerobic degradation. In the mixed fodder oil contents, crude proteins, dry matter content and the water portion are approximately higher than the single silage experiment.

Chemical Composition of Produced Gas: According to the Fig. (6) and Table (2), at the 6th day the percentage of Methane of Mixed substrates were much higher than the silage in individuals but the amount of Hydrogen Sulphide was less in mixed fodder as same as the data showed in Fig. 7, which represented the 11th days production. According to the Table 4 at the 20th days the percentage of Methane of mixed substrates was less than the silage in individual but the amount of Hydrogen Sulphide was high than the mixed one (Table 2). In Table (3) showed the composition of the substrates at 29th days where the percentage of Methane of mixed substrates was high than the silage in individual. The compound of carbon dioxide was less as compared to the mixed one except the amount of the Hydrogen Sulphide. In Table (6) showed the composition of the substrates at 41st days. According to the table the percentage of Methane of Mixed substrate was much similar to the silage in individuals. The other compound was almost equal as compared to each one except the amount of the Hydrogen Sulphide. The amount of Hydrogen Sulphide was high in Silage than the mixed substrate.

Carbon Nitrogen Ratio: The results show that carbon to nitrogen ratio affects the volume of the generated biogas. Maize silage was collected from studies, their carbon (C)/nitrogen (N) ratios were determined. The results showed that applying chemical fertilizers (NPK) or chemical fertilizers increased the N content and decreased the C/N ratio of maize silage (Table 3).

| Substrate     | Oil (%)  | Crude Proteins (%DM) | Moisture Content (%) | Dry Matter Content (g) | Ash Content (g) | Water Portion (%) | Water Portion (Volatile Solids) (%) |
|---------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Maize Silage  | 2.86    | 10.86                | 94                   | 9.45                   | 5.94           | 18               | 95                                  |
| Mixed Fodder  | 3.34    | 10.90                | 76                   | 11.6                   | 4.02           | 37.3             | 72.6                                |

Fig. 4: Comparison of the Substrates Chemical Compounds
Fig. 5: Daily Biogas Production in the Laboratory Experiment

Fig. 6: Produced Gas Composition from Maize Silage (6th Day - 41th Day)

Fig. 7: Produced Gas Composition from Mixed Fodder (6th Day - 41th Day)

Table 2: Chemical Composition of biogas produced from Maize Silage

| Days | Chemical Name | Silage (ppm) | Cob (ppm) | Mixing (ppm) | Inoculum (ppm) |
|------|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| 6    |               | 13           | 2         | 4            | 32             |
| 11   |               | 20           | 2         | 6            | 15             |
| 20   | H₂S           | 26           | 4         | 20           | 18             |
| 29   |               | 22           | 3         | 11           | 21             |
| 41   |               | 25           | 12        | 22           | 28             |

Table 3: Carbon and Nitrogen ratio of the substrates

| Substrates     | Ratio of Carbon and Nitrogen |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Maize Residue  | 24.2: 1                      |
| Mixed Fodder   | 27:1                         |
The composition of maize substrate was found through the lab analysis and mixed fodder was collected as a Secondary data. Authors should discuss the results and how they can be interpreted in perspective of previous studies and of the working hypotheses. The experimental results obtained in this work agree well with the study on effects of hydraulic retention time and organic loading rate on performance and stability of anaerobic digestion of Spirulina platensis [6]. Maize Silage can be burnt to produce heat, electricity or both [7, 8]. Utilization of biogas would reduce the use of fossil fuel-derived energy and reduce environmental impact as well as demand for wood and charcoal for cooking [8]. The chemical composition of maize silage was typical for the substrate and was similar to the results of other studies [9, 10]. The chemical analysis of volatile solids (VS), crude ash (CA) crude protein (CP) were conducted as described [11, 12]. Briefly, VS and CA were determined using a gravimetric method after drying at 105°C and 550°C, respectively. The contents of MC and CP were analysed by Kjeldahl’s method. Organic matter composition in terms of non-recalcitrant Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), crude protein, lipids/oils and carbohydrates has a strong influence on the biogas potential and CH4 yield [13, 14]. There was a little fluctuation in gas production in every interval of days. The C/N ratio of grass was 27:1, which is optimum in compare to the standard values (20:1 to 30:1)). But the C/N ratio of maize is “24.2:1”. The value of C/N ratio reported by other investigators is also around 24.2 [15, 16]. If carbon nitrogen ration in the substrate may be kept between 20:1 to 30:1 then we get high rate of biogas production. When the C: N ratio is too wide, carbon cannot optimally be converted to CH4 and the CH4 production potential is not fully achieved [17].

CONCLUSION

In the study the agricultural wastes samples, maize silage and mixed fodder has bio-energy potential and found to be suitable feed stock for biogas production at laboratory scale as well as at the field experiment. In this work a brief review of biogas production technologies and a characteristics of selected agricultural substrates and their potential for biogas production was made. In the long period of the digestion the carbon dioxide yield is increased enough at the maize silage treatment and in case of Hydrogen Sulfide amount is increased enough at the mixed fodder treatment which provides the continuous gas production in the experiment. In conclusion, it can be stated that the anaerobic digestion of maize silage and mixed fodder seems to be an attractive method for agricultural waste utilization and energy generation if the proportions of these substrates are properly selected.

REFERENCES

1. Achinas, S. and G.J.W. Euverink, 2016. Theoretical analysis of biogas potential prediction from agricultural waste. Resource-Efficient Technologies, 2(3): 143-147.
2. Amon, T., V. Kryvoruchko, B. Amon, V. Bodiroza, W. Zollitsch and J. Boxberger, 2006. Biogas production from energy maize. Landtechnik, 51(2): 56-68
3. Bartuševics, J. and Z. Gaile, 2010. Effect of silaging on chemical composition of maize substrate for biogas production. Landtechnik, 61(2): 86-118.
4. Chandratre Sangita, J., V.K. Chaudhari, M. Bhushan and K.P. Bavaskar, 2006. Biogas Production from Local Agricultural waste by using Laboratory Scale Biogas Digester. Iranica Journal of Energy and Environment, 12(1): 304-316.
5. Chy, S.M., 2016. Study and review of biogas experience in Bangladesh and other countries (Doctoral dissertation, University of Dhaka).
6. Fadairo, A.A. and R.O. Fagbenle, 2014. Biogas production from water Hyacinth blends. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2(2): 45-60.
7. Islam, A.S. and M. Islam, 2005. ISESCO–Science and Technology Vision. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 4(2): 32-49.
8. Kabir, H., M.S. Palash and S. Bauer, 2012. Appraisal of domestic biogas plants in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics, 35: 1-12.
9. Mia, M.A.S., M. Nur-E-Alam, S. Huque, M.M. Rahman and H. Ratnaweera, 2017. Biogas production through anaerobic digestion of tannery solid waste. Iranica Journal of Energy and Environment, 8(3): 210-215.
10. Oleszek, M. and I. Krzemińska, 2016. Enhancement of biogas production by co-digestion of maize silage with common goldenrod rich in biologically active compounds. Bio. Resources, 12(1): 704-714.
11. Smurzyńska, A., J. Dach, K. Kozłowski, J. Mazurkiewicz, E. Woźniak, P. Boniecki, K. Kupryaniuk, D. Janczak and M. Brzoski, 2017. Relevant biogas substrate-maize silage vs slaughterhouse waste. Indian Journal of Agriculture, 12(1): 37-50.
12. Mian, M.S., M.M. Hasan, A. Khayer and M.A. Habib, 2019. Effects on the Growth Performance and Survival Rate of Pangasius hypophthalmus in Different Feeding Rate of Complete Diet. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research. DOI-10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2019.39.54., 27(1): 39-54.
13. Mohsin, A.B.M., F. Yeasmin, S.M. Galib, B. Alam and S.M.M. Haque, 2014. Fish fauna of the Andharmanik River in Patuakhali, Bangladesh. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 21(5): 802-807.
14. Hossain, S., S. Bhowmik, M.T. Hasan, M.S. Islam and M.A. Hossain, 2015. Socio economic condition of Jatka fishers in same selected spots of Meghna estuary. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 23(3): 378-386.
15. Mondal, S., M. Rahman, D. Saha, R. Adhikary and M. B. Hossain, 2013. Present status of good aquaculture practices (GAP) in shrimp farms of South-Western coastal area, Bangladesh. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 14(6): 873-878.
16. Ali, M.M., M.B. Hossain, M.H. Minar, S. Rahman and M.S. Islam, 2014. Socio-Economic Aspects of the Fishermen of Lohalia River, Bangladesh. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 19(2): 191-195.
17. Azim, M.A., M.R. Islam, M.B. Hossain and M.H. Minar, 2012. Seasonal variations in the proximate composition of Gangetic sillago, *Sillaginopsis panijus* (Perciformes: *Sillaginidae*). Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 11(5): 559-562.