GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION OF GROUNDWATER POTENTIAL OF A SITE IN OBALE AREA OF AKURE, NIGERIA.
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Abstract - Electrical Resistivity method using Vertical Electrical Soundings (VES) were carried out at a site in Aba-Oyo area FUTA Southgate area, Akure, Nigeria, with the aim to access groundwater potential of the site. The study area is underlain by crystalline rocks of the Precambrian basement complex of the Southwestern Nigeria. Twelve (12) VES were carried out using Schlumberger electrode array configuration with AB/2 from 1 to 65m. The VES data generated were processed and interpreted using partial curve matching method and computer iteration techniques. The interpreted data revealed three to four geoelectric sections with varied thicknesses and resistivity. The top soil layer ranges from 44 to 181Ωm, lateritic clay layer ranges from 20 to 174Ωm, the weathered horizon resistivity ranges from 20.0 to 424.0Ωm while the competent rock/fresh rock has resistivity values greater than 424.0Ωm. The top soil layer resistivity and thickness ranges from 44 to 181Ωm and 0.4 to 2m, the lateritic clays layer resistivity and thickness range from 20 to 174Ωm and 1.7 to 6.0m respectively, the weathered horizon resistivity ranges from 20.0 to 424.0Ωm and 1.4 to 7.4m respectively while the competent rock has resistivity values greater than 424.0Ωm and ∞m respectively. The third geoelectric layer constitutes the aquiferous zone in the 4-layer geoelectric section while the second geoelectric layer is the aquiferous zone in all the 3-layer geoelectric sections. VES station 2, 7, 9 stations shows good groundwater potential as revealed by the thick overburden and weathered layer with low resistivity values. VES stations 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 12 shows moderate groundwater potentials while VES stations 3 and 11 are non-aquifereous in nature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater conditions at a location are mainly described through the distribution of permeable layers (like sand, gravel, fractured rock) and impermeable or low-permeable layers (like clay, till, solid rock) in the subsurface. Groundwater has become immensely important for different water supply purposes in urban and rural areas of both the developed and developing countries. However, groundwater exploration in hard rock terrain is a very challenging and difficult task, if the promising groundwater zones are associated with fractured and fissured media. In such an environment, the groundwater potentiality depends mainly on the thickness of the weathered/ fractured layer overlying the basement. Most groundwater projects recorded in basement complex aquifers have revealed geophysical survey as a compulsory perquisite to any successful water well drilling project [7]. The electrical resistivity method involving the vertical electrical sounding, (VES) technique is extensively gaining application in environmental, groundwater and engineering geophysical investigations [4],[13],[8],[5], [6], [9].

The electrical resistivity method involving the vertical electrical sounding, (VES) technique is extensively gaining application in basement.

A. LOCATION AND ACCESSIBILITY OF THE STUDY AREA

The study area is located at Aba-Oyo area FUTA Southgate area, Akure. It is accessible through FUTA road from South gate via the Stateline road. The area is bounded by Easting - 0737782mE and 0737740mE and Northing 0805958mN and 0805976mN in Universal Traverse Mercator (UTM) Minna Zone 31. The study area is geographically located within the sub-equatorial climate belt of tropical rain-forest vegetation with evergreen and broad-leaved trees luxuriant growth layer arrangement [2]. The area is characterized by uniformly high temperature and
heavy, well distributed rainfall throughout the year. The mean annual temperature is 24°C-27°C, while the rainfall, mostly conventional, peaks twice in July and September and varies between 1500mm and 3500mm per year [2].

II. GEOLOGY OF THE STUDY AREA

The study area is underlain by crystalline rocks of the Precambrian basement complex of the Southwestern Nigeria [11], [2], [10]. The fractured bedrock generally occur in a typical basement terrain in tropical and equatorial regions, weathering processes create superficial layers, with varying degree of porosity and permeability studies have shown that the unconsolidated overburden could constitute reliable aquifer if significantly thick [7], [8].

The lithological units include Migmatic gneiss complex, granitic gneiss and Charnokites. Outcrops of biotite gneiss and granitic gneiss occur in some locations around the western part of the study area. Likewise some other boulders of granite and charnokites occur at the western flank of the study area.

III. METHODOLOGY

Data acquisition utilizes geophysical techniques which is, Electrical resistivity method utilizing vertical electrical sounding. Ten traverses were established (5 running from South to North direction with maximum distance of about 75m and 5 running from East to West with maximum distance of about 55m). Twelve vertical electrical sounding was conducted along the traverses. The current electrode (AB/2) was varied from 1-65m because of the limited space of spreading.

Resistivity values were obtained by taking readings using Omega resistivity meter, four electrodes and connecting cables. Resistivity readings taking using the Schlumberger array was undertaken by keeping the center of the array fixed and expanding the current electrodes separation, thus obtaining the resistivity readings with depth. DC current was injected into the earth through the current electrodes C1 and C2, while the resulting potential was measured across the potential electrodes P1 and P2. The resulting potential difference to the current is displayed by the digital resistivity equipment as a resistance. The electrode spacing is progressively increased, keeping the center point of the electrode array fixed. At small electrode spacing, the apparent resistivity is nearly the resistivity of the surface material, but as the current electrodes spacing increase the current penetrates deeper within the subsurface and so the apparent resistivity reflects the resistivity of the deeper layers as well. The apparent resistivity values are obtained by multiplying the measured resistance with an appropriate geometric factor. Different factors affect the resistivity in the subsurface (Telford et al, 1990).

\[ \rho_a = K \left( \frac{AV}{I} \right) \]  

(1)
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The apparent resistively values ($\rho_a$) were plotted against the current electrodes separation ($AB/2$) on log-log graph papers, in order to generate initial values to use for the computation of analysis and interpretation with a computer iterated software known as RESIST. The results obtained from this study are presented as tables, depth sounding curves and pseudo sections.

A. VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDING RESULTS

A total of twelve (12) Vertical Electrical Sounding stations were occupied and the results of 12 VES points are presented as: sounding curves and columnar sections.

The 12 sounding curves were classified into three types: KH, H and HA curves. Typical curve types are shown in Figures 4.1 to 4.6 while the summary of the results i.e. the geo-electric parameters obtained from the VES curves interpretation is presented in table 1.0 below.
### Table 1.0: Summary of Geo-Electric Station

| VES Station | NO. of layers | Resistivity (Ohm-m) $\rho_1/\rho_2/\ldots/\rho_{n-1}$ | Curve Type | Thickness(m) $h_1/h_2/h_3$ | Depth(m) $d_1/d_2/\ldots/d_{n-1}$ | Lithologies/ |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| 1           | 4             | 54/139/43/235                                 | KH          | 1.0/2.9/3.8                 | 1.0/3.9/7.7                     | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 2           | 4             | 44/170/39/220                                 | KH          | 1.0/4.0/6.1                 | 1.0/5.0/11.1                    | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 3           | 4             | 89/174/20/1091                                | KH          | 0.8/2.0/7.4                 | 0.8/2.8/10.2                    | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / fresh basement. |
| 4           | 4             | 78/147/35/424                                 | KH          | 0.9/2.8/5.5                 | 0.9/3.6/9.1                     | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 5           | 3             | 100/43/307                                   | H           | 2.0/7.1                     | 2.0/9.1                         | Top soil / Clayey or Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer |
| 6           | 3             | 73/36/304                                    | H           | 1.4/6.0                     | 1.4/7.4                         | Top soil / Clayey or Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer |
| 7           | 4             | 53/123/48/280                                | KH          | 0.7/4.2/4.8                 | 0.7/5.0/9.7                     | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 8           | 4             | 89/122/48/565                                | KH          | 0.8/2.5/6.7                 | 0.8/3.3/10.0                    | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 9           | 4             | 109/45/151/357                               | HA          | 0.9/5.2/4.7                 | 0.9/6.1/10.9                    | Top soil / Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 10          | 4             | 89/50/148/269                                | HA          | 1.3/4.5/2.5                 | 1.3/5.6/8.3                     | Top soil / Clayey or Lateritic layer / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
| 11          | 4             | 70/24/310/2945                               | HA          | 0.8/2.2/1.4                 | 0.8/2.9/4.3                     | Top soil / clayey material / Weathered or fractured layer / Fresh basement. |
| 12          | 4             | 181/20/61/482                                | HA          | 0.4/1.7/4.6                 | 0.4/2.1/6.8                     | Top soil / clayey material / Highly weathered or fractured layer / Weathered layer |
B. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The VES data interpretation delineates three to four lithologic units. These are the topsoil, lateritic layer, weathered layer and fresh basement. The results obtained from the quantitative interpretation of the sounding curves were used to generate the columnar section in Figure 5.

Figure 5.0: Shows the columnar sections of geoelectric parameters.

The columnar section show the geo-electric sequence of the various mapped layer with respect to depth. Also, it shows the vertical continuity of the geo-electric sequence across the VES stations along the traverses investigated. The parameters utilized in generating the sections are resistivity values and layer thicknesses. The columnar sections give an insight of the subsurface layering sequence in a 2 – dimensional form.

Groundwater potential was evaluated based on the thickness of the overburden, the thickness and the resistivity of the weathered layer.

From Table 2, the overburden thickness ranges from 4.3 to 11.1m, and the probable depth to ground water levels are shown.

| VES Station | Overburden thickness (m) | Depth to Groundwater (m) | Recommendation |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| 1           | 7.7                      | 40 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |
| 2           | 11.1                     | 45 ± 5                   | High groundwater Potential |
| 3           | 10.2                     | NIL                      | Low groundwater Potential |
| 4           | 9.1                      | 35 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |
| 5           | 9.1                      | 40 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |
| 6           | 7.4                      | 30 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |
| 7           | 9.7                      | 40 ± 5                   | High groundwater Potential |
| 8           | 10.0                     | 30 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |
| 9           | 10.9                     | 40 ± 5                   | High groundwater Potential |
| 10          | 8.3                      | 40 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |
| 11          | 4.3                      | NIL                      | Low groundwater Potential |
| 12          | 6.8                      | 40 ± 5                   | Medium groundwater Potential |

VES stations 3 and 11 revealed low groundwater conditions. The weathered layers and overburden thicknesses are low and they are characterized with low degree of weathering and fracturing. VES stations 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 12 shows a medium groundwater potential with considerable overburden thicknesses and weathered or fractured bedrock. VES 2, 7 and 9 revealed a prolific aquifer potential as an indication of productive fracturing within the weathered basement and the very thick overburden which is most likely to store water (Table 2.). Groundwater extraction can be achieved by drilling to a depth of about 30m to 45m based on the interpretation of the acquired geophysical data.

IV. CONCLUSION

Groundwater being the common source of water within the study area and its environs. This research has provided information on the thickness of the overburden and depth to the groundwater aquifer unit in the study area. From the VES data interpretation, it has been confirmed that VES 2, 7 and 9 has a high groundwater potentials. VES 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10 has moderate groundwater potentials and VES 3 and 11 has a low groundwater potential.
Therefore, it is concluded that the study area has a good potential for groundwater exploitation.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the result of this research work, it is recommended that constant geophysical research be conducted to ascertain the extent of the change in the engineering structure of the subsurface. It is advised that foundation to be placed on the site should be anchored on a pile to a depth of about 8-12m most especially if high rise building is to be placed there. Foundation with continuous footing is not advisable on soil alternating with stiffer soil.
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