Development of bioenergy plantation in Indonesia: yield regulation and above-ground carbon storage in Gliricidia (*Gliricidia sepium*) plantation
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**Abstract.** The development of gliricidia plantation (*Gliricidia sepium*) in Indonesia can be considered as a climate change mitigation action to reduce greenhouse gases emission. This paper investigates the yield regulation and potential above-ground carbon storage of gliricidia at Perhutani Forest Management Unit Semarang. The biomass production of gliricidia at various age (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 years) can be utilized to determine the biological cycle and estimating the potential above-ground carbon storage. The result showed that gliricidia could be harvested after 2-years to produce optimum biomass. Above-ground carbon storage in gliricidia plantation distributed in the tree (94.73%), litter (2.98%), and understorey plants (2.29%). Total above-ground carbon storage in gliricidia plantation at age 1-5 years are 6.285,45 Mg C, 8.052,58 Mg C, 9.161,93 Mg C, 14.238,63 Mg C, and 25.474,79 Mg C, respectively.
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1 Introduction

Indonesia has been taking action on climate change mitigation. For instance, Indonesia has ratified the Paris Agreement in the Law No. 16 of 2016 and then submitted of National Determined Contribution (NDC) documents to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCC). The government of Indonesia has set the target to reduce emission by 29% (unconditional target) and up to 41% (conditional target) by 2030. Furthermore, the forestry sector is projected to reduce greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions by 17.2% on an unconditional mitigation scenario.

The development of gliricidia plantations (*Gliricidia sepium*) in Java island is one of the strategies in mitigating climate change. Indonesia Forestry Enterprise (Perhutani) Forest
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Management Unit (FMU) Semarang started to develop gliricidia plantation in 2012. Gliricidia plantations for bioenergy can produce biomass and storing the carbon [1,2]. On the other hand, activities on forest plantation management such as thinning and clear-cutting of the tree will affect the carbon storage decreasing [3].

The multipurpose forest management paradigm receives serious attention to be developed in sustainable forest management to tackle climate change issues. Multipurpose is the management of all resources so that they can be utilized in a combination that meets public needs, is used wisely, and is managed in a harmonious [4]. For instance, multipurpose forest management includes integration between wood production and carbon storage in teak plantations [5], a combination of wood supply, carbon storage and biodiversity [6,7], and production firewood and marginal land rehabilitation [8,9].

The research aims were elaborating optimum biological cycle and potential above-ground carbon storage of gliricidia. The optimum biological cycle is vital in planning the yield regulation on gliricidia plantation as the bioenergy source. Whereas, above-ground carbon storage can be integrated on bioenergy forest management planning. Furthermore, the research is important to develop multipurpose forest management on bioenergy plantation in Indonesia, especially for gliricidia species.

2 Research Methodology

The research was conducted in the Perhutani FMU Semarang area. Field measurements were carried out in 2018 and 2019. The tools used in this study were compasses, GPS, digital scales, meter tape. Gliricidia plants, which were used as research material, planted in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.

The primary data was obtained through field measurement that carried out in 2018 and 2019 to be the initial data for estimating biomass, determining biological cycles, and estimation carbon storage at the above-ground level. Temporary plot samples (TPS) of 0.01 ha were made on aged gliricidia plants that were distributed at each age. The area of TPS 0.01 refers to the Indonesian National Standard No. 7724 concerning the measurement and calculation of carbon stocks - field measurements for estimating forest carbon stocks [10]. The number of plots for each plant age amounted to 16 TPS, so the total TPS in this study amounted to 90 plots. Data collected in the forest inventory activities are fresh-cut weight (kg), stem diameter (cm), tree height (m), age (years), plant density (trees/ha).

2.1 Biomass measurement

Estimation of gliricidia plant biomass referred to the allometric equation that was developed by Mulyana et al. [2]. The fresh-cut weight was predicted by stem diameter, which expressed in the equation as follows.

\[ B = 0.068D^{2.722} \]

Where

- **B**: Biomass
- **D**: Stem diameter at height 30 cm

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} Bp_i \]

Where

\[ \frac{Bp_{total}}{L_{plot}} \]
The biomass estimation results from each TPS are then used in the estimation of total biomass and the biological cycle of the gliricidia stand. Determination of biological cycles based on the intersection between current annual increment value (CAI) and the mean annual increment (MAI) [11–14].

### 2.2 Carbon storage measurement

The measurement of above-ground carbon storage includes the analysis of the fresh-cut weight and dry weight of the trees, litter, and understorey, then followed by testing in the laboratory for percentage carbon content [10]. Drying of samples was carried out at Laboratory in the Department of Forest Product Technology Universitas Gadjah Mada, while the testing of the percentage of carbon content from samples of gliricidia trees, litter, and understorey plants was carried out at the Soil Sciences Laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Gadjah Mada.

| Table 1. Percentage of carbon content at gliricidia plantation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No** | **Carbon sources** | **Percentage of carbon content (%)** | **Remarks** |
| 1 | Gliricidia tree | | |
| a. | Stem | 57,40 | (2) |
| b. | Branches | 56,30 | |
| c. | Leaves | 52,90 | |
| 2 | Litter | 52,58 | Laboratory test |
| 3 | Understorey plants | 48,33 | Laboratory test |

Measurement of carbon storage in gliricidia trees refers to the allometric equation of gliricidia that was developed by Mulyana et al. [2], as follows

\[
B = 0.019D^{2.7}1
\]

Where
- **B**: Carbon storage in gliricidia (Kg)
- **D**: Diameter at height 30 cm (cm)

In the TPS, the area of 0.01 ha consists of many gliricidia trees so that the total carbon in the gliricidia tree is the sum of the carbon storage within the plot. Hence, the equation to calculate the total carbon storage of gliricidia in each plot was as follows:

\[
C_{p_{\text{total}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_{p_{i}}}{L_{\text{plot}}}
\]

Where
- **C_{p_{\text{total}}:** Total carbon storage (Mg C/ha)
- **C_{p_{i}}: Carbon storage at gliricidia tree number-i (Mg C)
- **L_{\text{plot}}: Temporary plot sample area (ha)

Measurement of carbon storage in litter and understorey plants refers to SNI No. 7724 of 2011 concerning the measurement and calculation of carbon stocks - field measurements for the estimation of forest carbon stocks [10]. The total carbon storage on the above-ground level can be calculated using the following equation
\[ C_{\text{total}} = C_{\text{tree}} + C_{\text{litter}} + C_{\text{understorey plants}} \]

Where
- \( C_{\text{total}} \): Total above-ground carbon storage (Mg C/ha)
- \( C_{\text{tree}} \): Carbon storage in gliricidia tree (Mg C/ha)
- \( C_{\text{litter}} \): Carbon storage in litter (Mg C/ha)
- \( C_{\text{understorey plants}} \): Carbon storage in understorey plants (Mg C/ha)

3 Result and Discussion

3.1 Biomass estimation and yield regulation of gliricidia plantation

The gliricidia plantation forest in the Perhutani FMU Semarang established in collaboration with Perhutani and the Korean Green Promotion Agency (KGPA) in 2012. The area of the gliricidia plantations established from 2013 to 2017 reached nearly 2,000 ha. Tree spacing of gliricidia plant stands at each planting age varied (Table 2.).

| Age (year) | Spacing | Number of samples (TPS) | Average of biomass |
|------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|            |         |                         | Tree (kg/tree)    | Plot (kg/plot) |
| 1          | 2x1     | 16                      | 3.93              | 139.93        |
| 2          | 2x1     | 16                      | 13.01             | 206.08        |
| 3          | 2x1     | 16                      | 25.96             | 142.66        |
| 4          | 3x1     | 16                      | 60.20             | 714.71        |
| 5          | 1x1     | 16                      | 32.17             | 971.01        |

Gliricidia plants in the measurement in the temporary plot sampling (TPS) showed that the older the plants, the higher the biomass produced per tree. However, biomass per tree showed an interesting phenomenon in the average biomass of gliricidia plants in the age 4-year (60.20 kg/tree) higher than the gliricidia plants at the age of 5-year (32.17 kg/tree). Based on table 2, the productivity of gliricidia plants is most likely influenced by the difference in spacing, so that the density of the plants will be different. It was consistent with the Willebrand et al. ‘s research in plantations with coppice systems that have denser plant densities that will have lower biomass production compared to those with lower plant densities [15]. Gliricidia plants with the spacing of 2 x 1 applied in the 2015-2017 planting year showed that the older the plant, the productivity will increase.

The production of gliricidia plant biomass at different planting years can be utilized in determining the biological cycle. The biological cycle is generally calculated to determine the maximum tree dimension (volume or biomass). The biological cycle determined by the intersection between the current annual increment (CAI) and the mean annual increment (MAI) [11,13,16]. The results showed that the biological cycle of gliricidia plants in FMU Semarang was 2 or 5 years (Figure 1)
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**Figure 1.** Biomass growth of gliricidia at KPH Semarang

Gliricidia plants were plants that were cultivated with a coppice system with the aim of a source of bioenergy raw materials. The intersection between CAI and MAI in gliricidia plants was at the point of 2-years and 5-years. This phenomenon also occurred in cajuput plantation that was cultivated by the leave-twigs coppice system at KPH Yogyakarta, where the intersection of CAI and MAI was at two points [17].

Gliricidia plants in KPH Semarang could be harvested if they were at least 2 years old. The results of this study were also in accordance with Eufrade et al.’s research, where plants grown for bioenergy purposes with a coppice system in several European countries, Australia and New Zealand, have short cycles ranging from 2-4 years [18]. However, a cycle that was too short for a tree plantation with a coppice system (1-2 years) in Sweden was not necessarily better than another short cycle (4-6 years) [15]. Weger et al.’s research in a tree plantation with a cube system in Czech showed that the productivity of biomass in the 6-year cycle was higher than the 3-year cycle [19]. Thus, further research on the best cycle for gliricidia plantations in KPH Semarang can be more focused by comparing 2 and 5-year cycles.

3.2 Carbon storage

The distribution of above-ground carbon storages in the plots was dominated by carbon storage in trees section (Figure 2). The average carbon storage in trees reached 94.73%, followed by carbon storages in the litter (2.98%) and understory (2.29%). Siarudin & Indrajaya's research results in community forests planted with Gmelina species also showed that above-ground carbon storages were dominated by trees (96.7%) [16]. This phenomenon was found in bamboo stands, where above-ground carbon storages of the stems made up 80-90% of the total above-ground carbon storages [20].
Carbon storage in gliricidia plants varied in each age. The most significant storage was on the gliricidia plants, which was planted in 2013 (25,474.79 tons). Whereas, the smallest was in the gliricidia plants, which was planted in 2017 (6,285.45 tons) (Table 3). The variation in total carbon storages was affected by the whole areas and the age of the gliricidia plant. The gliricidia plant became more extensive, and the biomass becomes more abundant in the oldest stand [2]. The proportion of above-ground biomass in some forest types in the tropics showed a pattern that if diameter increases so the biomass will be higher [21].

Table 3. Above-ground carbon storage at gliricidia plantation

| Age (year) | Area (ha) | Above-ground carbon storage (Mg C/ha) | Total above-ground carbon storage (Mg C) |
|------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|            |           | Trees | Litter | Understorey |                                                |
| 1          | 539.22    | 11.12 ± 1.66 | 0.29 ± 0.25 | 0.24 ± 0.04 | 6,285.45 ± 28.24 |
| 2          | 489.47    | 14.46 ± 1.90 | 1.09 ± 0.49 | 0.90 ± 0.12 | 8,052.58 ± 29.69 |
| 3          | 188.41    | 48.24 ± 3.46 | 0.32 ± 0.24 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 9,161.93 ± 20.62 |
| 4          | 291.74    | 47.40 ± 3.00 | 1.16 ± 0.53 | 0.25 ± 0.06 | 14,238.63 ± 27.90 |
| 5          | 471.86    | 52.81 ± 2.40 | 0.98 ± 0.53 | 0.20 ± 0.05 | 25,474.79 ± 35.67 |

The average of above-ground carbon storage in gliricidia plantation was 31.91 Mg C/ha. The above-ground carbon storage in the short rotation coppice system for the poplar plantation at the temperate zone reached 3.89 - 6.48 Mg C/ha [22]. Hence, above-ground carbon storage in tropical is higher than the temperate zone.

Above-ground carbon storage levels in tree sections were distributed in the stem, branches, and leaves. In general, carbon storage of gliricidia plants stored in branches (86.39%), stems (12.29%), and leaves (1.31%) [2]. The most extensive distribution of carbon storage was in the branches due to the gliricidia's plant characteristics as multi-stem species (Figure 3). In contrast to Yusuf et al. 's research in surian plants (Toona sinensis Roem.) which have single-stem, the largest deposits are on stems (66.75%) then followed by branches (27.55%), stalk (2.84%), and leaves (2.87%) [23]. The distribution of carbon biomass in teak (Tectona grandis), which has a single-stem, shows that carbon storage in the stem is more than 50% of the total carbon stock of the tree [24].
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The average of above-ground carbon storage in gliricidia plantation was 31.91 Mg C/ha. The above-ground carbon storage in the short rotation coppice system for the poplar plantation at the temperate zone reached 3.89 - 6.48 Mg C/ha [22]. Hence, above-ground carbon storage in tropical is higher than the temperate zone.
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4 Conclusion

The research revealed that the optimum biological cycle for gliricidia was two years. The total above-ground carbon storage in the gliricidia stand increases with the increasing of plant ages. Whereas the carbon storages of litter and understorey were relative equally in each age of gliricidia stand. This conclusion only fits at Forest Management Unit (KPH) Semarang, and further research at other gliricidia plantation should be conducted at the different conditions of biophysical features.
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