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Intimate partner sexual and physical violence among women in Togo, West Africa: Prevalence, associated factors, and the specific role of HIV infection
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Background: A substantial proportion of newly diagnosed HIV infections in sub-Saharan Africa occur within serodiscordant cohabiting heterosexual couples. Intimate partner violence is a major concern for couple-oriented HIV preventive approaches. This study aimed at estimating the prevalence and associated factors of intimate partner physical and sexual violence among HIV-infected and -uninfected women in Togo. We also described the severity and consequences of this violence as well as care-seeking behaviors of women exposed to intimate partner violence.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted between May and July 2011 within Sylvanus Olympio University Hospital in Lomé. HIV-infected women attending HIV care and uninfected women attending postnatal care and/or children immunization visits were interviewed. Intimate partner physical and sexual violence and controlling behaviors were assessed using an adapted version of the WHO Multi-country study on Women’s Health and Life Events questionnaire.

Results: Overall, 150 HIV-uninfected and 304 HIV-infected women accepted to be interviewed. The prevalence rates of lifetime physical and sexual violence among HIV-infected women were significantly higher than among uninfected women (63.1 vs. 39.3%, p < 0.01 and 69.7 vs. 35.3%, p < 0.01, respectively). Forty-two percent of the women reported having ever had physical injuries as a consequence of intimate partner violence. Among injured women, only one-third had ever disclosed real causes of injuries to medical staff and none of them had been referred to local organizations to receive appropriate psychological support. Regardless of HIV status and after adjustment on potential confounders, the risk of intimate partner physical and sexual violence was strongly and significantly associated with male partner multi-partnership and early start of sexual life. Among uninfected women, physical violence was significantly associated with gender submissive attitudes.

Discussion and conclusions: The prevalence rates of both lifetime physical and sexual violence were very high among HIV-uninfected women and even higher among HIV-infected women recruited in health facilities in this West African country. Screening for intimate partner violence should be systematic in health-care settings, and specifically within HIV care services. At a time of increased investments in couple-oriented HIV prevention interventions, further longitudinal research to better understanding of HIV-serodiscordant couple dynamics in terms of intimate partner violence is needed.
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According to the World Health Organization (WHO), intimate partner violence is defined as the behavior, within an intimate relationship, that causes physical, sexual, or psychological harm or suffering (1). Several population-based surveys have reported that among all forms of violence against women, intimate...
Partner violence is the most prevalent and it is considered the most common human rights violation hitherto (2, 3). Although there is still insufficient consensus on the operational definition and measurement of intimate partner violence, available estimations of lifetime prevalence of intimate partner violence vary from 15 to 71% worldwide, with the highest rates documented in resource-constrained settings (4). Intimate partner violence is associated with poor health outcomes among victims and is therefore a major public health issue (5–7).

Besides its dramatic physical and mental consequences, intimate partner violence has also been identified as an important risk factor for sexually transmitted diseases acquisition and particularly HIV infection (8). Intimate partner violence and HIV infection are linked by a complex association, including diverse pathways. An increased risk of HIV transmission within abusive relationships and a greater likelihood of acquisition of HIV infection by violent husbands have been reported (8, 9). Acts derived from intimate partner violence, such as coerced sexual intercourse, during which women are unable to protect themselves from transmission, place women at direct risk of HIV infection (10).

Sub-Saharan Africa is the region reporting the highest epidemiological burden of HIV worldwide, with women accounting for 58% of newly acquired HIV infections (11). Furthermore, HIV infection in these settings spreads principally through heterosexual transmission and a substantial proportion of newly diagnosed infections occur in HIV-discordant cohabiting couples in which only one person is living with HIV (12). HIV prevention interventions aiming at protecting both couple members are thus a public health priority to reduce HIV incidence in these regions and several approaches have been already proposed (10–14). In spite of their proven efficacy, the challenges in implementing couple-oriented HIV preventive approaches are tremendous, and most importantly because they are based on mutual disclosure of HIV serological status (14–17). Intimate partner violence as one important consequence of HIV serological disclosure is thus one major limit of couple-oriented HIV preventive approaches and understanding its magnitude, dynamics, and factors associated are a prerequisite to the roll out of these couple strategies (1, 10, 12, 13).

In Togo, a West African country with an estimated prevalence rate of HIV infection of 3.4% nationwide and a predominantly heterosexual epidemic (11), intimate partner violence among women and its association with HIV infection has never been studied so far using a quantitative methodological approach, to the best of our knowledge. Moreover, data on intimate partner violence according to serological status in the West African region are very scarce, although it is needed to improve the scaling-up of HIV prevention, care, and treatment programs. This study aimed at estimating the prevalence and associated factors of intimate partner physical and sexual violence among HIV-infected and -uninfected women of childbearing age attending a clinical facility in Togo. We also described the severity and consequences of this violence as well as care-seeking behaviors of women exposed to intimate partner violence.

Methods

Study design and population

This project was an international collaboration between the INSERM (National Institute of Health and Medical Research) Research Centre U897 based in Bordeaux (France), the Sylvanus Olympio University Hospital in Lomé, and the non-governmental organization Espoir Vie Togo, one leading organization providing care and psychological support to people living with HIV/AIDS in Togo.

A cross-sectional survey was conducted between May and July 2011 within the Lomé University Hospital. The study population was constituted by volunteer participants attending clinical services in the hospital. HIV-infected women attending regular HIV care visits constituted the first group. Women attending postnatal care and/or children immunization visits, and who had been diagnosed HIV-uninfected during their last pregnancy, constituted the second group. For these two study groups, women aged at least 18 years, declaring having a current intimate partner or having ever had one, were eligible to recruitment.

Study procedures

The survey was conducted in three stages. The first preparatory phase consisted of a methodological workshop between Togolese and French researchers to discuss the study design, validate the survey instruments, and address relevant ethical issues. A local team of four psychologists was recruited to adapt concepts described in the questionnaires to the local context, train interviewers on the study tool, ethical and privacy terms, and supervise data collection.

Second, a pilot study was carried out to test the acceptability, adequacy, and understandability of the recruitment procedures and questionnaire. Survey feasibility data and interviewer’s observations were integrated within the final survey procedures and tools.

Finally, every working day during the study period, each eligible woman was systematically asked to participate in the survey and to provide signed informed consent to be sequentially enrolled. Trained female health-care staff administered the questionnaire during a 20-min face-to-face interview in a private room.

All interviews were carried out in French, and all participants were provided information about local support services dedicated to women experiencing intimate
Committee of the Ministry of Health of Togo (November 2012). The study protocol was approved by the National Ethic Committee of the Ministry of Health of Togo (N°0125/2011/MS/CAB/DGS/DPLET/CBRPS). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants; all data collection tools were strictly anonymous.

**Intimate partner physical and sexual violence assessment**

Our questionnaire assessing intimate partner physical and sexual violence and controlling behaviors was largely inspired by the WHO Multi-Country Study on Women's Health and Life Events questionnaire (version 10) (4, 18, 19).

All women participating in the survey were asked about their life experience on specific acts of physical and sexual violence induced by their intimate partner. Physical violence was defined as the use of physical force causing bodily harm (1). Within the survey, women were also asked about physical consequences of physical violent acts induced by an intimate partner, as well as help-seeking behaviors. Sexual violence was defined as any situation where women faced forced or coerced sexual act or attitude (1). Prevalence of physical/sexual violence was estimated as the proportion of women declaring having been exposed to any kind of physical or sexual violent act by their current intimate partner or any previous partner.

Additionally, we explored attitudes toward partner controlling behaviors that we summarized in six statements, illustrating different gender submissive situations to which women were asked to agree or not. We developed a scoring system to define a ‘submission index’ summarizing women’s attitudes toward partner controlling behaviors based on the sum of the number of positive answers to six questions detailed in Table 1.

We also documented women’s sociodemographic characteristics (age, instruction level, and contraceptive use), data on the women’s partner (alcohol consumption and frequency of involvement in fights) and couple relationships (polygamy and concurrent relationship), women’s employment and financial autonomy (having a financial autonomy to support herself and household without her partner for at least 1 month), and the modalities of women’s entry to sexual life (conditions and age at first sexual intercourse). We also investigated women’s mental health (loss of interest, suicidal thoughts, and suicidal attempts) and the history of non-partner violence (physical violence after 15 years and sexual violence before and after 15 years).

**Statistical analysis**

Sociodemographical and psychosocial characteristics of women and partners were described and compared between HIV-infected and -uninfected women. Maternal age was dichotomized into two categories with a cut-off defined by the median in the overall population (e.g. 33 years). Age of first sexual intercourse was dichotomized into two categories with a cut-off defined by the age at sexual majority in Togo (e.g. 18 years). The prevalence of lifetime physical and sexual violence was estimated separately among HIV-infected and -uninfected women and also compared between the two groups. Chi-square tests were performed to determine statistically significant differences. Sociodemographic and behavioral factors associated with lifetime physical and sexual violence in both groups were then assessed using logistic regression. Both univariate and multivariate analyses were carried out. Variables found to be statistically associated with intimate partner violence with a p-value of <0.25 were included in the multivariable model. To select the final adjusted model presented in this paper, we used a backward elimination method using a p-value of 0.05 (20). Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) were estimated using multiple logistic regression modeling and statistical significance was considered at the 5% level. Statistical analyses were generated using SAS software (version 9.2 for Windows, Copyright 2013 for SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

Overall, 454 women attending the Sylvanus Olympio University Hospital were informed about the study and screened for eligibility; all of them accepted to be interviewed and were included in the study. One hundred and fifty women were HIV-negative and 304 were HIV-positive.

**Study population characteristics**

Sociodemographical and behavioral characteristics of women in both groups are described in Table 1 and summarized as follows.

Concerning women’s sociodemographic profile and financial autonomy, HIV-infected women were significantly older than uninfected women (35 [32–37] and 30 [29–34] years old in median [interquartile range], respectively, p < 0.01). The proportion of HIV-uninfected women having completed at least primary education was significantly higher than among HIV-positive women (94.7 vs. 83.9%, p = 0.01). HIV-infected women were significantly more likely than uninfected women to report some degree of financial autonomy (56 vs. 39.3%, p < 0.001). HIV-uninfected women were more likely to be using a contraceptive method at the time of the survey than HIV-infected women (64.7 vs. 42.1%, p ≤ 0.001).

Concerning modalities of entry to sexual life, the proportion of women having entered sexual life before the age of 18 was higher among HIV-infected than HIV-negative women (62.8 vs. 58.0%, p = 0.32). HIV-infected women were significantly more likely to start sexual life
Table 1. Characteristics of women interviewed according to their HIV status: Lomé, Togo, May–July 2011

|                              | HIV uninfected (N = 150) | HIV infected (N = 304) | p    |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------|
|                              | n | % | N | % |      |
| **Women’s sociodemographic profile** |   |   |   |   |      |
| Age                          |   |   |   |   |      |
| ≥33 y/o                      | 55 | 36.7 | 185 | 60.9 | <0.01 |
| <33 y/o                      | 95 | 63.3 | 119 | 39.1 |      |
| Instruction level            |   |   |   |   |      |
| Not instructed               | 8 | 5.3 | 49 | 16.1 | 0.01 |
| At least primary level       | 142 | 94.7 | 255 | 83.9 |      |
| Contraceptive method         |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 97 | 64.7 | 128 | 42.1 | <0.01 |
| No                           | 53 | 35.3 | 176 | 57.9 |      |
| Financial autonomy           |   |   |   |   |      |
| Employment                   |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 103 | 68.7 | 242 | 79.6 | 0.01 |
| No                           | 47 | 31.3 | 62 | 20.4 |      |
| Financial autonomy to support herself |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 59 | 39.3 | 170 | 56.0 | <0.01 |
| No                           | 91 | 60.7 | 134 | 44.0 |      |
| Modalities of entry to sexual life |   |   |   |   |      |
| Age of first sexual intercourse |   |   |   |   |      |
| ≥18 y/o                      | 63 | 42.0 | 113 | 37.2 | 0.32 |
| <18 y/o                      | 87 | 58.0 | 191 | 62.8 |      |
| Conditions of first sexual intercourse |   |   |   |   |      |
| Consented                    | 121 | 80.7 | 209 | 69.4 | 0.01 |
| Coerced                      | 29 | 19.3 | 92 | 30.6 |      |
| Women’s mental health        |   |   |   |   |      |
| Loss of interest             |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 103 | 68.7 | 225 | 74.0 | 0.23 |
| No                           | 47 | 31.3 | 79 | 26.0 |      |
| Suicidal thoughts            |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 36 | 24.0 | 157 | 51.6 | <0.01 |
| No                           | 114 | 76.0 | 147 | 48.4 |      |
| Suicidal attempts            |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 8 | 5.3 | 23 | 7.6 | 0.37 |
| No                           | 142 | 94.7 | 281 | 92.4 |      |
| Partners profile             |   |   |   |   |      |
| Polygamous                   |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 19 | 12.7 | 138 | 45.4 | <0.01 |
| No                           | 131 | 87.3 | 166 | 54.6 |      |
| Concurrent relationships     |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 52 | 34.7 | 203 | 66.8 | <0.01 |
| No                           | 98 | 65.3 | 101 | 33.2 |      |
| Alcohol consumption          |   |   |   |   |      |
| Never/occasionally           | 85 | 56.7 | 125 | 41.0 | 0.01 |
| Frequently                   | 65 | 43.3 | 179 | 59.0 |      |
| Frequently involved in fights/riots |   |   |   |   |      |
| Yes                          | 11 | 7.3 | 65 | 21.4 | <0.01 |
| No                           | 139 | 92.7 | 239 | 78.6 |      |
through a first forced sexual intercourse than uninfected ones (30.6 vs. 19.3%, \( p = 0.01 \)).

Concerning partner’s profile characteristics, HIV-infected women were more likely to live within polygamous households than uninfected women (45.4 vs. 12.7%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and to declare that their partner had concurrent relationships out of the household (66.8 vs. 34.7%, \( p < 0.001 \)). Reported rates of partner alcohol consumption (59.0 vs. 43.3%, \( p = 0.01 \)) and partner involvement in fights and riots (21.4 vs. 7.3%, \( p < 0.001 \)) were more frequent among HIV-infected women than uninfected women.

Concerning women’s mental health, suicidal thoughts during the past 12 months were significantly more frequent among HIV-infected women than among the uninfected ones (51.6 vs. 24.0%, \( p < 0.001 \)). The proportion of HIV-infected women reporting loss of interest

### Table 1 (Continued)

|                                      | HIV uninfected  | HIV infected  | \( p \) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
|                                      | \( N = 150 \)   | \( N = 304 \) |        |
| n %                                  | N %             |               |        |
| History of non-partner violence      |                 |               |        |
| Physical violence after 15 y/o       |                 |               |        |
| Yes 60 40.0 200 65.8 <0.01          | No 90 60.0 104 34.2 |
| Sexual violence after 15 y/o         | 1 0.7 11 3.6 0.06 |
| No 149 99.3 293 96.4                |
| Sexual violence before 15 y/o        | 6 4.0 41 13.5 0.01 |
| No 144 96.0 263 86.5                |
| Controlling behaviors and submission index |     |               |        |
| A good wife obeys her partner, even if she does not agree with him |     |               |        |
| Yes 113 75.3 278 91.5 <0.01         | No 37 24.67 26 8.55 |
| It is important that a man shows his wife who is the boss? |     |               |        |
| Yes 104 69.3 240 78.9 0.02          | No 46 30.7 64 21.0 |
| A woman may have the freedom to choose her friends, even if her partner does not agree? |     |               |        |
| Yes 19 12.7 49 16.1 0.33            | No 131 87.3 255 83.8 |
| Satisfying her husband’s sexual desire even if she does not want to be a woman’s duty? |     |               |        |
| Yes 46 30.7 151 49.7 <0.01          | No 104 69.3 153 50.3 |
| If a man abuses his wife, people around must intervene? |     |               |        |
| Yes 122 81.3 240 78.8               | No 28 18.6 64 21.1 |
| A man must strike his wife if he considers this necessary? |     |               |        |
| Yes 49 32.7 174 57.2 <0.01          | No 101 67.3 130 42.7 |
| Submission index                     | Median 3 4      | <0.01         |

\(^a\)Use of any contraceptive method at the moment of the survey.

\(^b\)Having a financial autonomy to support herself and household without her partner for at least 1 month.

\(^c\)During at least two weeks over the last 12 months.

\(^d\)At least once over the last 12 months.
(74.0 vs. 68.7%; \( p = 0.23 \)) and suicidal attempts (7.6 vs. 5.3%; \( p = 0.37 \)) during the past 12 months tended to be higher than among uninfected ones but differences were not statistically significant.

Finally, HIV-infected women were slightly more likely to agree to submissive statements than HIV-uninfected women (scoring of 4/6 and 3/6, respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)).

**Intimate partner violence: prevalence, severity, and care-seeking behaviors**

As detailed in Table 2, the prevalence rate of lifetime physical violence among HIV-infected women was 63.1% (95% CI: 57.5–68.4), significantly higher than among uninfected women (39.3%; 95% CI: 31.1–46.8; \( p < 0.01 \)). Similarly, HIV-infected women reported a significantly higher prevalence rate of lifetime sexual violence compared to the uninfected ones (69.7%; 95% CI: 63.8–74.1 vs. 35.3%; 95% CI: 27.3–42.6; \( p < 0.01 \)). The lifetime prevalence rate of both types of violence combined (physical and sexual violence) was 51.6% (95% CI: 45.3–56.6) among HIV-infected women and significantly higher than among uninfected women (18.6%; 95% CI: 11.8–24.1; \( p < 0.01 \); Table 2).

HIV-infected women were more likely to report a history of physical violence after the age of 15 than HIV-uninfected women (65.8 vs. 40.0%; \( p < 0.001 \)) and the frequency of sexual violence during childhood (before 15 years old) was 13.5% among HIV-infected versus 4.0% among uninfected ones (\( p = 0.01 \); Table 1).

Among women ever victims of intimate partner physical violence \( (n = 251) \), 194 (77.2%) reported physical injuries as a consequence of this violence (Table 3). Most commonly reported injuries were scratches and bruises (80.9%), dislocation and sprains (62.9%), ear drums rupture and black eyes (54.6%), penetration injuries and deep cuts (28.9%), and gashes and bites (20.1), although less commonly reported were burns (5.7%), fractures (4.6%), and broken tooth (1.6%). There were no differences between the type of injuries reported by HIV-infected and -uninfected women (data not shown).

Among the 194 women reporting being injured by intimate partner violence, 160 (82.4%) reported needing medical care for their injuries. From those needing medical care, 93 (58.1%) received medical care and 14 (7.2%) were even hospitalized. Among injured women in care, 49 (52.6%) disclosed real causes of injuries to medical staff and none of them was referred to local organizations to receive appropriate psychological support (Table 3).

**Factors associated with physical violence**

The only common factor associated with a history of intimate partner physical violence regardless of women’s serological status was having a partner maintaining concurrent relationships out of the household (HIV-uninfected: aOR: 2.5; 95% CI: 1.1–5.5; \( p = 0.02 \), and HIV infected: aOR: 2.2; 95% CI: 1.3–3.6; \( p < 0.001 \)). Otherwise, the profile of HIV-infected and HIV-negative women reporting intimate partner physical violence was different (Table 4).

Among women’s sociodemographic and sexual characteristics, age was associated with physical violence only for HIV-uninfected women (33 years old or below vs. older than 33 years: aOR: 0.4; 95% CI: 0.2–0.9; \( p = 0.02 \)), and education level only for HIV-infected women (at least primary level vs. never attended school: aOR: 2.0; 95% CI: 1.0–4.2; \( p = 0.05 \)). Uninfected women not using any contraceptive method at the time of the survey were more likely to be victims of intimate partner physical violence (aOR: 2.3; 95% CI: 1.0–5.0; \( p = 0.04 \)). Among uninfected women, the odds of intimate partner sexual violence were significantly higher among those reporting a first coerced sexual intercourse (aOR: 2.6; 95% CI: 1.1–6.6; \( p = 0.04 \)).

In terms of women’s mental health status, having ever attempted suicide was strongly associated with a history of intimate partner physical violence, for HIV-infected women only (aOR: 4.5; 95% CI: 1.3–15.9; \( p = 0.02 \)). In the univariate analysis, loss of interest during at least

**Table 2.** Lifetime prevalence rates of intimate partner violence (physical, sexual, and both types of violence) among women, according to their HIV status: Lomé, Togo, May–July 2011

|                      | HIV uninfected, \( N = 150 \) | HIV infected, \( N = 304 \) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | \( n \)  | %   | 95% CI         | \( n \)  | %   | 95% CI         |
| Any form of physical violence |     |     |                 |     |     |                 |
| Yes                  | 59   | 39.3| 31.1–46.8      | 192  | 63.2| 57.5–68.4      |
| No                   | 91   | 60.7| 52.1–67.8      | 112  | 36.8| 30.6–41.3      |
| Any form of sexual violence |     |     |                 |     |     |                 |
| Yes                  | 53   | 35.3| 27.3–42.6      | 212  | 69.7| 63.8–74.1      |
| No                   | 97   | 64.7| 56.3–71.6      | 92   | 30.3| 24.8–35.1      |
| Any form of physical and sexual violence combined |     |     |                 |     |     |                 |
| Yes                  | 28   | 18.7| 11.8–24.1      | 157  | 51.6| 45.3–56.6      |
| No                   | 122  | 81.3| 74.7–87.2      | 147  | 48.4| 42.3–53.6      |
two weeks (OR: 2.9; 95% CI: 1.7–4.9; p < 0.001) and having had suicidal thought at least once over the last 12 months (OR: 1.7; 95% CI: 1.1–2.8; p = 0.02) were also associated with having experienced intimate physical violence among HIV-infected women.

Factors associated with sexual violence
As detailed in Table 5, starting sexual life before the age of 18 appeared to be the only common factor associated with intimate partner sexual violence among both HIV-infected and -uninfected women (HIV-infected women: aOR: 2.3; 95% CI: 1.1–4.9; p = 0.01, and uninfected women: aOR: 2.3; 95% CI: 1.2–4.4; p = 0.03).

Otherwise, the profile of women reporting intimate partner sexual violence differed according to HIV serological status. HIV-uninfected women reporting having partners maintaining concurrent relationships out of household were more likely to report intimate partner sexual violence (aOR: 2.4; 95% CI: 1.1–4.9; p = 0.02). For HIV-infected women, intimate partner sexual violence was associated with reporting suicidal thoughts (aOR: 1.9; 95% CI: 1.2–3.4; p = 0.01), having partners who were involved in fights and/or riots with other men (aOR: 2.6; 95% CI: 1.2–5.5; p = 0.01), and reporting a higher submission index (aOR: 1.6; 95% CI: 1.2–2.0; p < 0.001).

Discussion
We reported here on the prevalence of lifetime intimate partner physical and sexual violence according to HIV status among women recruited in health facilities in Togo. Our main finding is that the prevalence rates of both lifetime physical and sexual violence in 2011 were very high among HIV-uninfected women and even higher among HIV-infected women in this West African country.

The prevalence rates we documented among uninfected women are similar to those estimated using the same methodology within population-based surveys from East Africa, both in terms of physical violence (32–49%) and sexual violence (23–58%) (4). On the contrary, more than half (63.1%) of the HIV-infected women in Togo reported lifetime intimate partner physical violence, which is almost twice as high than among uninfected women interviewed (39.3%) and much higher than the rates observed among HIV-infected women in Nigeria (6%) (21), or in eastern Africa (17%) (22). In terms of lifetime intimate partner sexual violence, the prevalence rate we documented among HIV-infected women in Togo (69.7%) is twice the rate of that among uninfected women and considerably higher than among other reported estimations in Africa, such as in Uganda (12%) (22). We reported here as well that more than half (51.6%) of the HIV-infected women had been victims of both types of violence, while this proportion was 18.6% among uninfected women.

Bruises were overall the most frequent injuries reported among African women victims of physical violence (23), but the proportion of Togolese women reporting serious and disabling injuries such as dislocations (62.9%) and deep cuts (28.9%) is alarming. The severity of the consequences of intimate partner violence is often underestimated. Indeed, in our study, a substantial proportion of women reported needing medical care after being severely injured, but only a few actually accessed medical care and, if they did, they rarely disclosed the real causes of their injuries. Finally, none of the injured women in our sample had been referred to existing organizations providing psychological support. These findings suggest that case detection of intimate partner violence should be systematically done by medical staff, and particularly

---

Table 3. Distribution of physical injuries and care-seeking cascade reported by women victims of physical violence: Lomé, Togo, May–July 2011

| N               | %   |
|-----------------|-----|
| Number of physically injured women among those victims of physical violence (n = 251) | 194 | 77.2 |
| Types of physical injuries reported (n = 194) | | |
| Scratches, hematomas | 157 | 80.9 |
| Dislocation, sprains | 122 | 62.9 |
| Eardrums rupture, black eyes | 106 | 54.6 |
| Penetration injuries, deep cuts, gashes | 56 | 28.9 |
| Bites | 39 | 20.1 |
| Burns | 11 | 5.7 |
| Fractures, broken bones | 9 | 4.6 |
| Broken teeth | 3 | 1.6 |
| Hospitalizations | 14 | 7.2 |
| Number of injured women needing medical care after being injured (n = 194) | 160 | 82.4 |
| Number of injured women having received medical care when injured (n = 160) | 93 | 58.1 |
| Number of women that told medical personnel the real cause of injuries (n = 93) | 49 | 52.6 |
| Number of women referred to a dedicated support group (n = 49) | 0 | 0.0 |
### Table 4. Factors associated with intimate partner physical violence according to their HIV status: Lomé, Togo, May–July 2011

|                        | HIV uninfected |         |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                        | OR  | 95% CI  | p     | aOR  | 95% CI  | p     | OR  | 95% CI  | p     | aOR  | 95% CI  | p     |
| **Women’s sociodemographic profile** |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Age                    |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| >33 y/o                | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| <33 y/o                | 2.02| 0.99–4.09| 0.05  | 0.39  | 0.17–0.88| 0.02  | 0.93| 0.58–1.50| 0.78  |       |         |       |
| Education              |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Not instructed         | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| At least primary level | 1.58| 0.38–6.58| 0.53  |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Contraceptive methodsa|     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Yes                   | 1.58| 0.38–6.58| 0.53  | 1.99  | 0.99–3.99| 0.05  | 2.05| 1.00–4.19| 0.05  |       |         |       |
| No                    | 1.65| 0.83–3.27| 0.15  | 2.28  | 1.03–5.01| 0.04  | 1.05| 0.65–1.68| 0.84  |       |         |       |
| **Modalities of entry to sexual life** |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Age of first sexual intercourse |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| >18 y/o                | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| <18 y/o                | 0.45| 0.22–0.89| 0.02  | 0.48  | 0.21–1.03| 0.06  | 0.77| 0.47–1.24| 0.28  |       |         |       |
| Conditions of first sexual intercourse |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Consented              | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| Coerced                | 2.67| 1.16–6.10| 0.02  | 2.65  | 1.06–6.58| 0.04  | 1.74| 1.02–2.95| 0.04  |       |         |       |
| **Mental health**      |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Loss of interestb      |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| No                    | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 2.90  | 1.71–4.91| <0.0001| 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  |       |         |       |
| Yes                   | 1.07| 0.52–2.16| 0.86  |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Suicidal thoughtsc     |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| No                    | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| Yes                   | 1.53| 0.72–3.27| 0.27  | 1.75  | 1.09–2.80| 0.02  |       |         |       |       |         |       |
| Suicidal attemptsc     |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| No                    | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| Yes                   | 2.72| 0.62–11.82| 0.18  | 4.22  | 1.22–14.54| 0.02  | 4.53| 1.29–15.91| 0.02  |       |         |       |
| **Partners profile**   |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| Polygamous             |     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| No                    | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| Yes                   | 1.86| 0.70–4.89| 0.21  | 1.48  | 0.92–2.37| 0.1   |       |         |       |       |         |       |
| Concurrent relationships|     |         |       |       |         |       |     |         |       |       |         |       |
| No                    | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00| 1.00    | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.00    | 1.00  |
| Yes                   | 2.86| 1.42–5.73| 0.05  | 2.51  | 1.13–5.52| 0.02  | 2.37| 1.45–3.88| 0.02  | 2.21  | 1.33–3.65| <0.001|
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### Table 4 (Continued)

| Alcohol consumption                  | HIV uninfected | HIV infected |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| OR 95% CI p                          | OR 95% CI p    |
| Never/occasionally                    | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.68 1.04–2.69 0.03 |
| Frequently                            | 2.08 1.06–4.05 0.03 | 1.74 0.81–3.71 0.15 |
| Involved in fights/riots              |                |              |
| No                                   | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Yes                                  | 4.6 1.16–18.12 0.03 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| History of non-partner violence      |                |              |
| Physical violence after 15 y/o       |                |              |
| No                                   | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Yes                                  | 0.93 0.47–1.82 0.84 | 1.51 0.93–2.46 0.09 |
| Sexual violence after 15 y/o         |                |              |
| No                                   | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Yes                                  | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Sexual violence before 15 y/o        |                |              |
| No                                   | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Yes                                  | 8.33 0.94–73.22 0.06 | 1.7 0.81–3.54 0.16 |
| Financial autonomy                   |                |              |
| Employment                           |                |              |
| No                                   | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Yes                                  | 0.64 0.31–1.28 0.21 | 0.93 0.51–1.66 0.80 |
| Financial autonomy to support herself |              |              |
| No                                   | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | 1.00 1.00 1.00 |
| Yes                                  | 0.77 0.39–1.51 0.45 | 0.92 0.57–1.47 0.74 |
| Submission index                     |                |              |
| OR 95% CI p                          | OR 95% CI p    |
| 1.18 0.89–1.53 0.24 1.33 0.97–1.80 0.07 | 1.13 0.91–1.39 0.28 |

*Use of any contraceptive method at the moment of the survey.
*During at least two weeks for the last 12 months.
*At least once during last 12 months.
*Not enough subjects for the analysis among uninfected women.
*Having a financial autonomy to support herself and household without her partner for at least 1 month.
Table 5. Factors associated with intimate partner sexual violence according to their HIV status: Lomé, Togo, May–July 2011

|                                      | HIV-uninfected |               | HIV-infected |               |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
|                                      | OR 95% CI      |               | OR 95% CI    |               |
|                                      | p              | aOR 95% CI    | p            |               |
| Women’s sociodemographic profile     |                |               |              |               |
| Age                                  |                |               |              |               |
| >33 y/o                              | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| <33 y/o                              | 0.93 0.46–1.86 | 0.84          | 0.68 0.41–1.11 | 0.13          |
| Education                            |                |               |              |               |
| Not instructed                       | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| At least primary level               | 1.1 0.25–4.81  | 0.9           | 1.41 0.69–2.86 | 0.34          |
| Contraceptive methods\(^a\)         |                |               |              |               |
| Yes                                 | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| No                                  | 0.7 0.34–1.43  | 0.33          | 1.23 0.75–2.01 | 0.41          |
| Modalities of entry to sexual life   |                |               |              |               |
| Age of first sexual intercourse      |                |               |              |               |
| >18 y/o                              | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| <18 y/o                              | 2.84 1.37–5.89 | 0.01          | 2.31 1.08–4.92 | 0.03          |
| Conditions of first sexual intercourse|              |               |              |               |
| Consented                            | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| Coerced                              | 2.34 1.02–5.33 | 0.04          | 2.18 0.92–5.18 | 0.08          |
| Mental health                        |                |               |              |               |
| Loss of interest\(^b\)               |                |               |              |               |
| No                                  | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| Yes                                 | 1.93 0.89–4.14 | 0.09          | 7.51 4.26–13.24 | <0.001        |
| Suicidal thoughts\(^c\)              |                |               |              |               |
| No                                  | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| Yes                                 | 1.67 0.77–3.57 | 0.19          | 2.21 1.33–3.64 | 0              |
| Suicide attempts\(^c\)               |                |               |              |               |
| No                                  | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| Yes                                 | 1.9 0.45–7.91  | 0.38          | 3.09 0.89–10.66 | 0.07          |
| Partners profile                     |                |               |              |               |
| Polygamous                           |                |               |              |               |
| No                                  | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| Yes                                 | 1.08 0.39–2.92 | 0.88          | 1.54 0.93–2.53 | 0.09          |
| Concurrent relationships             |                |               |              |               |
| No                                  | 1              |               | 1            |               |
| Yes                                 | 2.63 1.30–5.30 | 0.01          | 2.38 1.14–4.94 | 0.02          |

\(^a\) OR adjusted for sociodemographic variables.
\(^b\) OR adjusted for sociodemographic, psychological and sexual variables.
\(^c\) OR adjusted for sociodemographic, psychological, sexual and partners profile variables.
|                             | HIV-uninfected |            | HIV-infected |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                             | OR  | 95% CI  | p     | aOR | 95% CI  | p     | OR  | 95% CI  | p     | aOR | 95% CI  | p     |
| Alcohol consumption         |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Never/occasionally          | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1.58 | 0.96-2.59 | 0.07  |     |         |       |
| Frequently                  | 0.7 | 0.35-1.38 | 0.31  |     |         |       | 2.35 | 0.68-8.09 | 0.18  |     |         |       |
| Involved in fights/riots    |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| No                          | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Yes                         | 2.35 | 0.68-8.09 | 0.18  |     |         |       | 2.87 | 1.39-5.93 | <0.001 |     |         |       |
| History of non-partner violence |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Physical violence after 15 y/o |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| No                          | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Yes                         | 0.46 | 0.22-0.93 | 0.03  |     |         |       | 2.84 | 1.70-4.72 | <0.001 |     |         |       |
| Sexual violence after 15 y/o^d |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Yes                         | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| No                          | 4.51 | 0.56-35.71 | 0.15  |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Sexual violence before 15 y/o|     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| No                          | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Yes                         | 3.88 | 0.68-21.91 | 0.13  |     |         |       | 2.83 | 1.14-6.99 | 0.02  |     |         |       |
| Financial autonomy^e         |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Employment                  |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| No                          | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Yes                         | 0.64 | 0.31-1.29 | 0.21  |     |         |       | 1.35 | 0.74-2.43 | 0.32  |     |         |       |
| Financial autonomy to support herself^a |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| No                          | 1   |          | 1.00  | 1   |          | 1.00  |     |         |       |     |         |       |
| Yes                         | 0.68 | 0.32-1.39 | 0.29  |     |         |       | 0.7  | 0.42-1.15 | 0.16  |     |         |       |
| Submission index            | 1.05 | 0.80-1.37 | 0.72  |     |         |       | 1.66 | 1.30-2.10 | <0.001 |     |         |       |

^aUse of any contraceptive method at the moment of the survey.
^bDuring at least two weeks for the last 12 months.
^cAt least once during last 12 months.
^dNot enough subjects for the analysis among uninfected women.
^eHaving a financial autonomy to support herself and household without her partner for at least 1 month.
within HIV care services. Clinical care evaluation checklists could include items related to physical and sexual violence to actively detect intimate partner violence. In addition, to ensure adequate case management, medical staff should be sensitized about intimate partner violence and consequences management and should be able to refer women to the appropriate supporting structures.

Although the prevalence of physical and sexual violence varied according to the HIV status among women of our sample, we identified three associated factors that are common to both groups. Reported partner multiple concurrent relationships were associated with higher rates of physical and sexual intimate partner violence regardless of serological status. Other African studies have reported similar findings, whereby women whose partner had several female partners were more likely to report sexual intimate partner violence and women suspecting their partner’s infidelity were at higher risk of any kind of violent act perpetrated by their male partner (12, 24–26). In Tanzania and South Africa, men acknowledging having multiple female partners confessed that being questioned about their fidelity could trigger physical and sexual violent acts against their female partner (27, 28).

Gender norms in many African cultures expect masculine men to be in control of women, and this control can take the form of sexual multi-partnership and violent acts. On the other hand, the prevailing ideal of femininity in such contexts may prevent women from refusing these sociocultural patterns, and on the contrary seems to promote the acceptance of this behavior, increasing their risk of contracting HIV infection through sexual assaults (29). Multi-partnership, mostly among men, is an increasing HIV risk behavior in West African countries and the need to intensify behavior change efforts have been already pointed out (11). We believe that such efforts should focus on tackling masculinity construction, fostering women’s respect, and reducing gender inequality, all of which should be part of a comprehensive HIV behavior change preventive package.

We observed that starting sexual life before 18 years old was very frequent and appeared to be a risk factor of intimate partner violence for all women, regardless of their serological status. The high proportion of HIV-infected women experiencing a first forced sexual intercourse in our study is consistent with findings in South Africa (30). Since sexual abuse most often means unprotected sexual intercourse, these women may have been at the same time exposed to the risk of contracting HIV infection since very early ages and led into the vicious cycle of intimate partner violence (31). Age-appropriate sexuality education contributes to more responsible sexual behavior; nevertheless, gaps in basic knowledge about HIV and its transmission among young men and women remain important challenges (11). Sexuality education should be considered as a gateway to prevent HIV infection through a change of traditional gender norms based on fostering responsible and respectful sexual behaviors as early as possible in life.

Finally, prevailing submissive attitudes among Togolese women, expressed by an overall high acceptance of partner controlling behaviors and high submission index score, were associated with intimate partner violence, principally among HIV-infected women. Many women fearing intimate partner violence, even when aware of an HIV risk, may feel powerless to discuss infidelity, condom use, and HIV testing with their male partner (31). Renewed efforts are needed to foster women empowerment, including negotiation skills for safe-sexual practices addressed to women victims of intimate partner violence.

Several limits to our study need to be acknowledged. First, intimate partner violence may be a very sensitive subject for women and data collection was based on past experiences. We thus should not rule out a potential recall bias. To reduce information bias, however, interviewers were trained before conducting the survey and interviews were carried out in a private office. Further, due to the cross-sectional design of our study, we were not able to demonstrate a causal link between HIV infection and intimate partner violence or to explore the dynamic among these factors; however, our findings may have confirmed some of the factors to target when aiming at preventing intimate partner violence within clinical care services. Moreover, we did not present data on intimate partner psychological violence, as this would have required a thorough psychological assessment that could not be performed at the time of the survey. Finally, our study was conducted among a specific population of women attending a hospital facility in Lomé, and having been tested for HIV at least once in their life; thus, our results are not representative of all women in Togo.

One of the main strengths of our study, however, is its contribution to the pool of data available on intimate partner violence, data that can be compared to other settings as it was based on the use of WHO Multi-Country Study on Women’s Health and Life Events questionnaire. Our study highlights that intimate partner violence is a true public health issue in Togo, with a high social burden and severe health consequences on women, and especially among HIV-infected women. Our findings argue, in particular, for systematic case detecting of intimate partner violence – as well as any form of violence – within HIV services, to provide adequate medical care to women in need and to advise them about help-seeking strategies. Taking into account the high rate of HIV-discordant stable couples in sub-Saharan Africa, couple-oriented interventions are a priority among primary HIV preventive strategies (13), and because intimate partner violence, highly prevalent in West African contexts and one important consequence of HIV serological disclosure among HIV-infected women, is a major barrier to the
acceptability of such approaches (12, 13), the assessment of intimate partner violence should be included in couple-oriented HIV preventive strategies.

Finally, tackling cultural representations and the social construction of masculinity and traditional gender norms in sub-Saharan African contexts is an important challenge to achieve behavior change in terms of sexual health and must be addressed from adolescent ages. Further longitudinal research is needed to understand HIV-serodiscordant couple dynamics with regard to intimate partner violence in African contexts and thus improve the acceptability and efficacy of couple-oriented HIV preventive interventions. Intimate partner violence, being highly prevalent in resource-constrained settings and a major public health concern, global health policies must turn more firmly to this issue.
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