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Abstract This paper records the efforts of a multi-disciplinary team of undergraduate students from Glasgow University to collectively design and carry out a 10 week project in Synthetic Biology as part of the international Genetic Engineered Machine competition (iGEM). The aim of the project was to design and build a self-powering electrochemical biosensor called ‘ElectrEcoBlu’. The novelty of this engineered machine lies in coupling a biosensor with a microbial fuel cell to transduce a pollution input into an easily measurable electrical output signal. The device consists of two components; the sensor element which is modular, allowing for customisation to detect a range of input signals as required, and the universal reporter element which is responsible for generating an electrical signal as an output. The genetic components produce pyocyanin, a competitive electron mediator for microbial fuel cells, thus enabling the generation of an electrical current in the presence of target chemical pollutants. The pollutants tested in our implementation were toluene and salicylate. ElectrEcoBlu is expected to drive forward the development of a new generation of biosensors. Our approach exploited a range of state-of-the-art modelling techniques in a unified framework of qualitative, stochastic and continuous approaches to support the design and guide the construction of this novel biological machine. This work shows that integrating engineering techniques with scientific methodologies can provide new insights into genetic regulation and can be considered as a reference framework for the development of biochemical systems in synthetic biology.
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Introduction

The elimination of pollutants from the environment is essential for the sustainable development of our society. Interest in the microbial biodegradation of pollutants has intensified in recent years due to the high cost and environmentally destructive nature of conventional technologies. Bioremediation endeavours to harness the astonishing natural ability of microbial metabolism to degrade a vast range of important pollutants including hydrocarbons (e.g. oil) (Head et al. 2006), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (Pieper 2005), polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Samanta et al. 2002) and pharmaceutical substances (Halling-Sørensen et al. 1998). A greater public awareness of environmental issues has driven research into technologies that can detect changes in a wide range of environmental conditions, especially those which require continuous monitoring. An emerging strategy is to utilize living organisms engineered to produce a measurable output in response to specific pollutants in biosensors. The two most desirable features of a commercially viable, in situ biosensor are a biological sensing element which recognises the target pollutant and a reporter element which mediates both quantitative and qualitative measurements of pollutant levels in real time. The preponderance of aromatic degradation genes on mobile genetic elements has lead, via horizontal gene transfer, to the evolution of novel aromatic degradative pathways, common intermediates of which...
present us with a handle on the presence of diverse pollutants (Tan 1999). Conventional reporter elements, including green fluorescent protein (GFP), firefly/renilla luciferase and β-galactosidase (LacZ), are often expensive and involve technical expertise and laboratory-based assays (Rogers 2006).

In recognition of these problems, the aim of the Glasgow iGEM 2007 project was to develop a biosensor with a novel reporter mechanism to provide an inexpensive and easy-to-use method of detecting target analytes in real time, as the first step in the construction of a self-powered biosensor. The structure of this paper is as follows: we give an overview of the system requirements in Sect. 2, and describe the system-level design and associated computational methods Sect. 3. The construction of the system is presented in Sect. 4, and details of the “Materials and Methods” are given in Sect. 5. Finally we discuss some conclusions in Sect. 6.

Requirements overview

The discovery of transcriptional activators and their corresponding promoter sequences has made possible the development of bacterial biosensors for pollutants. Modified cell biosensors are constructed by fusing a reporter gene (an enzyme or a fluorescent protein e.g. GFP) to a promoter element that is induced by the presence of a target compound. In the presence of an organic contaminant the transcriptional activator changes its three dimensional structure, becoming operative, and transcription of the reporter gene is enhanced. The gene is transcribed to form mRNA which is then translated into a protein which performs the biochemical activity (in the case of enzymes) or fluoresces (e.g. GFP). The resulting increase in reporter gene product is then detected by measuring the activity of the reporter enzyme or the fluorescence of the reporter protein. Thus, under appropriate conditions, a direct correlation between contaminant concentration and reporter product can be established.

One holy grail of environmental biosensors is to create a system which can be left in the field continuously monitoring and remotely sending electronic signals back to a computer. One major problem is how to power such a device so that frequent expensive battery changes are not necessary. One possible source of renewable energy for powering biosensor devices are microbial fuel cells (MFC) in which micro-organisms oxidize compounds such as glucose, acetate or wastewater. The electrons gained from this oxidation are transferred to an electrode. In the past, external, expensive, soluble redox mediators have consistently been added to MFCs to enhance electron transfer. Pseudomonas aeruginosa has been shown to produce its own electron transporters, pyocyanin (PYO), which can function as electron-carrying redox mediators increasing electrical power output of MFCs.

Our project aimed to use a synthetic biology approach to combine the production of an environmental biosensor for economically important industrial environmental pollutants with a microbial fuel cell which can produce its own electricity. The intention is that the cells will recognize the presence of a pollutant via a modular interchangeable range of pollutant-specific transcriptional activator proteins and enhance electricity generation in a microbial fuel cell by inducing genes for the synthesis of the electron mediator PYO which function as novel reporter genes.

The recognition element of our ‘ElectrEcoBlu’ biosensor system is a pollutant responsive transcriptional activator, which can be either XylR or DntR, binding the following classes of important environmental pollutants: BTEX chemicals (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene) and dinitrotoluene respectively. The reporter element of the biosensor consists of the enzymes S-adenosylmethionine-dependent N-methyltransferase (PhzM) and flavin-dependent hydroxylase (PhzS) which convert the precursor compound phenazine-1-carboxamide (PCA) to PYO in the biosynthetic pathway cloned from Pseudomonas aeruginosa into E. coli and a non-pathogenic Pseudomonas strain.

System design

One of the central features of synthetic biology is the application of approaches from science and engineering disciplines to aid the design and understanding of synthetic biological machines. The Glasgow iGEM project explored the use of a variety of computational methodologies and developed tools to support the engineering of biosensors, prediction of systems dynamics, validation of biological hypothesis and the guiding of optimal experimental design.

The molecular biologists of our team constructed an initial diagram to describe the system, using a fairly informal graphical syntax, see Fig. 1a. The generic form of the transcription factor (‘tf’ for the gene, and ‘TF’ for the protein product) represents both XylR (toluene detecting) and DntR (salicylate detecting). The ideas for system design (with and without the positive feedback loop) developed by the biological part of the team resulted in the initial design diagrams. Due to the complexity of the model and the lack of sufficient parameters required for numerical simulation in the later stage of the project, we decided to refine the initial system (Fig. 1a) by removing the mRNAs to assume a one-step reaction of gene transcription and translation, and merging the two genes phzS and phzM as a
single entity \textit{phzMS} which product catalyzes the conversion of PCA into pyocyanin, a simplification justified by the work of Parsons et al. (2007) who reported that they were not able to characterise \textit{PhzM} and \textit{PhzS} separately. Model refinement therefore allows us to reduce the complexity of the system without sacrificing essential components.

Using the refined model, we studied the behaviour of the basic reporter system and its variant featuring a positive feedback loop (Fig. 1b). We used an unified framework of qualitative, stochastic and continuous approaches (Fig. 2), based on Gilbert et al. (2007), as a basis for our modelling and analysis of the systems.

We firstly explored the use of Petri nets for the system construction and analysis. Petri nets provide an intuitive graphical representation of the system which can be understood by both biologists and modellers. We transformed the initial diagrams into a qualitative Petri-net model (Fig. 3) using the Snoopy tool (Rohr et al. 2010) and conducted an invariant analysis of the network properties using the Charlie program (Charlie 2010). The qualitative analysis is promising for describing and studying systems in the absence of reliable parameters required by quantitative approaches. Behavioural properties supported by Petri nets such as boundedness, liveness and reversibility can help us develop a sound model representation that can capture essential characteristics of the system. There are two types of nodes in Petri-net models, \textit{place nodes} represented as circles, which stand for biochemical entities in the case of biochemical network models, and \textit{transition nodes} standing for generic biochemical reactions.

**Fig. 1** ‘ElectrEcoBlu’ design diagrams. a The original representation of the simple reporter system. b The refined topology of the reporter system with the positive feedback loop highlighted in yellow. TF stands for a generic transcriptional factor and S stands for input signal compound. The simple reporter system without the positive feedback loop can be obtained by removing the redundant TF and the loop (in yellow) attached after the thick arrow titled \textit{PhzMS}.
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**Fig. 2** Conceptual modelling framework. Qualitative representations are minimally described by the network topology, with nodes representing either reactions or species, and concentrations being described as discrete quantities. The stochastic approach associates a probabilistically distributed firing rate (waiting time) with each reaction. The continuous model replaces the discrete values of species with continuous values, and associates a particular deterministic rate information with each transition, permitting the continuous model to be represented as a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs). Adapted from Gilbert et al. (2007)
for reactions, represented as boxes. Invariant analysis supported by Petri-net based modelling are Place invariants (or P-invariants), represented as sets of places where the sum of tokens remains constant over any firing, and Transition invariants (or T-invariants), represented as sets of transitions which have a zero effect on the marking of the system. If the T-Invariants cover the entire Petri net, it shows that the system can have a cyclic behaviour, while incorporating all system parts, which suggests that the system might have been modelled correctly. Briefly, the Petri net model for our design has the deadlock trap property (live, with no dead transitions or dead states), is not covered by P-invariants, but is covered by T-invariants (5 for the basic model with 2 additional for the feedback model). The occurrence of dynamic conflicts could not be decided by the analysis tool due to the unboundedness of the net, but by playing the token game we could easily observe the occurrence of such behaviour, where two transitions, sharing a pre-place, are both enabled, but the firing of one transition disables the other one.

In order to quantify the system’s output, we conducted an intensive literature search to extract the rate parameters necessary for numerical simulation of the Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE) based modelling. The ODE equations that we have devised for our basic model are presented in Table 1 and Eqs. 1, 2, 3 and 4. In order to represent the positive feedback loop, the term \( v_{10} \) has to be added to the Eq. 1 of the simple reporter model.

### Table 1 ODE terms

The processes of protein production and degradation, complex association and dissociation, and enzymatic reactions were modelled using the terms presented in this table.

| Index | Term | Explanation |
|-------|------|-------------|
| \( v_1 \) | \( \alpha_{TF} \) | TF production (constant) |
| \( v_2 \) | \( \delta_{TF} \cdot TF \) | TF degradation |
| \( v_3 \) | \( k_s \cdot s \cdot TF \) | TF and s association into TFS |
| \( v_4 \) | \( k_d \cdot TFS \) | Dissociation of TFS into TF |
| \( v_5 \) | \( \delta_{TFS} \cdot TFS \) | TFS degradation |
| \( v_6 \) | \( \beta_{PhzMS} \cdot \frac{TFS}{TFS + TFS} \) | PhzMS production inducible by TFS |
| \( v_7 \) | \( \delta_{PhzMS} \cdot PhzMS \) | PhzMS degradation |
| \( v_8 \) | \( \alpha_{PYO} \cdot PhzMS \) | Pyocyanin (PYO) production |
| \( v_9 \) | \( \delta_{PYO} \cdot PYO \) | Pyocyanin (PYO) degradation |
| \( v_{10} \) | \( \beta_{TF} \cdot \frac{TFS}{TFS + TFS} \) | TF production induced by TFS |

\[
TF = v_1 - v_2 - v_3 + v_4 
\]

\[
TFS = v_3 - v_4 - v_5 
\]

\[
PhzMS = v_6 - v_7 
\]

\[
PYO = v_8 - v_9 
\]

The parameter values used in the model are presented in Table 2. Some of the values have a significant degree of uncertainty and are therefore assigned value ranges.

We have applied sensitivity analysis to identify the critical parameters of the system. A system is sensitive to a parameter if changes in the parameter’s value significantly affect behaviour of the system. It is always the case that parameter values are affected by error. Because of inaccuracy of measurements, inaccuracy of the model or natural variability due to environmental conditions (temperature, etc.). Therefore it is often best to think of parameters as random variables and to test the system for its sensitivity to the variability.

Single parameter sensitivity analysis techniques give a very narrow insight as only one parameter is varied at a time while other remain fixed at some arbitrary values. Multi-parametric Sensitivity Analysis (MPSA) (Choi et al. 1999) is a Monte Carlo method in which the entire parameter space is sampled from distributions of the parameters. Usually, uniform distribution and Latin square sampling is used. The model is simulated for all of the parameter value samples and the output compared with a baseline output coming from experimental data or simply being the model output for parameter values at the centre point of the parameter space. Then, the samples are partitioned into two sets: ‘acceptable’ and ‘unacceptable’ according to the disagreement in output with the baseline. Cumulative distribution over each parameter range for both ‘acceptable’ and ‘unacceptable’ samples are plotted. The
The discrepancy between the two curves indicates that change in parameter’s value affects the likelihood of samples being in large or small disagreement with the baseline and therefore that the parameter is significant.

Figure 4 shows output of a slightly modified MPSA that recognises parameters significant to the difference in behaviour between two models—the basic and feedback model in our case. No baseline system output is used—the disagreement between outputs of the two models is measured with the constraint that the parameters shared between the models have the same values for each comparison. In this run, we have explored parameters with the most uncertain values. Parameter \( \gamma_{PhzMS} \) turned out to be the most sensitive one. Thus, in order to refine our comparison we would first try to narrow the value range for this parameter. Such information would let us prioritise costly and time consuming wet-lab measurements in further work.

Additionally, we developed a graphical modelling environment based on Simulink\(^1\) for component-based engineering a novel self-powering electrochemical biosensor.

---

**Table 2** Constant values used in the ordinary differential equation models

| Symbol | Value       | Range     | Comment                                                                 |
|--------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| \( \alpha_{TF} \) | 0.07 \( \mu M \cdot s^{-1} \) | 0.05–0.1  | Average production rate                                                 |
| \( \beta_{TF} \) | 0.07 \( \mu M \cdot s^{-1} \) | 0.05–0.1  | Average production rate                                                 |
| \( \gamma_{TF} \) | 5 \( \mu M \) | 0.1–10    | From range of DNA-binding constants (e.g., Jung et al. 2005)            |
| \( k_a \) | 1e6 (\( \mu M \cdot s \))\(^{-1} \) |           | Greater than fastest known enzyme                                        |
| \( k_d \) | 4e6 \( s^{-1} \) |           | A very fast reaction                                                    |
| \( \delta_{TF} \) | 3.851e-4 \( s^{-1} \) | 2.567e-4–5.776e-4 | Based on 30 min half life (range 20-45 for bacterial transcription factors (e.g., Zhu and Winans 1999)) |
| \( \delta_{TFS} \) | 3.851e-4 \( s^{-1} \) | 2.567e-4–5.776e-4 | Range 20-45 for bacterial transcription factors (e.g., Zhu and Winans 1999) |
| \( \beta_{PhzMS} \) | 0.1 \( \mu M \cdot s^{-1} \) | 0.1–10    | Standard rate for 300aa bacterial protein                               |
| \( \gamma_{PhzMS} \) | 5 \( \mu M \) | 0.1–10    | From range of DNA-binding constants e.g. (Jung et al. 2005).             |
| \( \delta_{PhzMS} \) | 8.0225e-6 \( s^{-1} \) |           | Based on 24 h half life (Bacterial protein norm, e.g., Nath and Koch 1970) |
| \( \alpha_{PYO} \) | 1.3 \( s^{-1} \) |           | Parsons et al. (2007)                                                   |
| \( \delta_{PYO} \) | 5.8e-1 \( s^{-1} \) |           | In human cells (O’Malley et al. 2003). Probably much faster in E. coli  |

\(^1\) Simulink product web page: [http://www.mathworks.com/products/simulink](http://www.mathworks.com/products/simulink)
ODE dynamic modelling of biological systems at the BioBrick level. The efforts involved constructing a library of mathematical functions which described the behaviour of the BioBricks. In doing so, we introduced a linear approximation of nonlinear elements using a transformation based on the frequency (Laplace) domain.

Modelling genetic systems in terms of ODEs is often not sufficient as cells are intrinsically noisy due to low numbers of molecules that participate in reactions. This can lead to significant statistical fluctuations in reaction rates and, the system’s behaviour can differ from that predicted by a deterministic model. The Gillespie algorithm allows a discrete and stochastic simulation with few reactants because every reaction is individually simulated. It takes into account a number of parameters that contribute to the model in a random manner, rather than assuming everything can be predicted.

One goal of the project was to construct a stochastic model based on a deterministic one and to investigate the amount of noise, measured by the coefficient of variation. A stochastic model for a general biosensor was constructed and simulated using our own implementation of the Gillespie algorithm (Gillespie 1977) in MATLAB. Simulations were performed for several of cells and repeated for many runs to find representative behaviour. Noise was expected to decrease as the number of cells increased (Fig. 5). We computed noise using the coefficient of variation, defined by

\[
\text{coeff var} = \frac{\sigma}{\mu}, \quad (5)
\]

where \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation and, again, \(\mu\) is the mean.

The results of our analysis, presented in Table 3 found that the coefficient of variation decreased as the number of cells increased. In practice our sensor will contain a very large number of cells (in the order of millions), and hence the biological noise will be negligible, and is not an important factor affecting the system implementation. We also aimed to study whether generic features predicted by other modelling approaches can be repeated by stochastic simulations. The effects of leakiness of the promoter was also observed in the system. Leakiness had a detrimental effect on the reliability of the system. It provides a higher output of the protein which in turn gives a false indication of the level of signal being detected.

### System construction

We used the computational-based design described in the previous section to inform the construction of the...
physical system. As shown in Fig. 1, ElectrEcoBlu consists of two components; the sensor element which is modular, permitting customisation to detect a range of input signals as required, and the reporter element which, on the other hand, is always responsible for generating an electrical signal as an output. Since the novel sensor and reporter modules were being developed in parallel, pre-existing LacZ and luciferase reporters were utilised to constitute variations of a complete biosensor in order to validate functionality of the sensing components constructed.

The sensor

During the course of the project, consideration was given to two different sensing constructs. The generic design of the sensor module consists of a constitutively expressed protein which undergoes a conformational change upon binding with a specific pollutant molecule. This complex then acts as a positive transcriptional regulator on a target promoter, activation of which leads to production of the reporter protein. In the rest of this section, we present the genetic constructs considered in response to the following classes of organic pollutants: BTEX chemicals (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene) and dinitrotoluene (Rickert et al. 1984).

XylR and BTEX chemicals

Upon binding BTEX compounds the XylR protein (encoded on the TOL plasmid from Pseudomonas putida) recognises and activates the promoter $P_u$ (Inouye et al. 1988). In order to provide a qualitative indication of the specificity of XylR for BTEX compounds, a Miller assay was conducted on a pQF52-derived construct provided by Dr. Susan Rosser, University of Glasgow, carrying the complete xylR coding and regulatory region directing the expression of LacZ under the control of $P_u$. Highest levels of LacZ activity were observed in response to toluene and xylene (Fig. 6a). In order to produce a novel modular sensing component for BTEX compounds several genetic elements had to be sub-cloned into BioBrick format; the xylR coding region with its native promoter $P_r$ and presumed RBS (Willardson et al. 1998), and the target promoter $P_u$. These sequences were submitted to the Registry of Standard Biological Parts (see “Materials and Methods” for details and Registry numbers). These were assembled according to BioBrick specifications (Fig. 6b) along with a standard ribosome binding site (BBa_J61101) and a renilla luciferase coding region in (BBa_J52008) taken from the Registry to allow in-progress testing for sensitivity to toluene. By the end of the time allotted for the project a qualitative response was confirmed to 250 $\mu$M toluene (Fig. 6c) in a luciferase assay. These results indicate that

![Fig. 6 The XylR sensor.](image)

**A** Miller Units

| No addition | 2,4-DNT | 4NT | Toluene | Xylene | Benzene |
|-------------|---------|-----|---------|--------|---------|
| 0           | 0       | 0   | 0       | 0      | 0       |

**B**

- $P_r$
- RBS
- xylR
- $P_u$
- RBS
- luc

**C**

10 ml vials containing 1 ml of culture photographed in the light (upper panel) or in the dark (lower panel) with and without toluene. A qualitative difference in fluorescence was observed at a concentration of 250 $\mu$M occurring TOL plasmid carried by *Pseudomonas putida* and (*) denotes BioBricks supplied by the Registry of Standard Biological Parts (see “Materials and Methods” for details).
XylR can detect a range of BTEX compounds and that it contributes as predicted as a novel modular sensor component in a functional biosensor.

**DntR and dinitrotoluene**

The transcriptional regulator DntR, a member of the LysR transcription factor family isolated from a plasmid carried by the soil bacterium *Burkholderia cepacia* R34, drives low level expression from promoter $P_{\text{DNT}}$ upon binding the industrial pollutant 2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT) and high level expression on binding of the poly aromatic hydrocarbon degradation intermediate salicylate (Smirnova et al. 2004; Suen et al. 1996). In a prototype biosensor, DntR-driven GFP expression was induced at a low level in the presence of 2,4-DNT at a high level by also salicylic acid demonstrating that the natural selectivities had been retained (Smirnova et al. 2004), a breakdown intermediate of aromatic compounds in soil bacteria. This joint sensitivity provided us with an alternative pollutant intermediate with which to perform an assay that was still environmentally relevant while less hazardous to use in our undergraduate lab. In order to turn this system into a modular sensor for 2,4-DNT the complete $dntR$ gene and its target promoter, which lie in tandem on the source plasmid, were sub-cloned from a pQF52-derived construct which carries the entire 2,4-DNT responsive operon into a single BioBrick to provide a complete functional sensor entity (Fig. 7a), and submitted to the Registry of Standard Biological Parts. We conducted a Miller assay on the pQF52-derived plasmid to drive expression of lacZ to determine the potential of this detection system for indirect quantification of pollutants. A correlation was observed between LacZ activity and concentration of salicylate in the extra-cellular medium at concentrations between 20–200 $\mu$M (Fig. 7b). Work is ongoing to assess the dose-response of our BioBrick to 2,4-DNT and to subclone the individual genetic components of the $dntR$ region, sequences of which have also been individually deposited at the Registry. In order to improve DntR response to 2,4-DNT it would be necessary to perform directed evolution on the $dntR$ gene and select for 2,4-DNT recognition.

**The reporter**

**Pyocyanin**

Our reporter mechanism is designed to harness the redox properties of the compound pyocyanin (Hassan and Fridovich 1979), an antibiotic secreted by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, to mediate electron transfer and thus to generate a current in a microbial fuel cell (MFC). When supplied exogenously, pyocyanin has been demonstrated to be utilised by other bacteria, resulting in an increase in their ability to transfer electrons to the anode of a MFC, thereby boosting the output signal (Rabaey et al. 2005); we therefore decided to clone the metabolic pathways necessary for its production to act as our inducible reporter component. The pyocyanin biosynthesis pathway from *P. aeruginosa* is encoded in two parts; a pair of functionally-redundant seven-gene operons ($phzABCDEFG$ 1 and 2) responsible for the synthesis of phenazine-1-carboxylic acid (PCA) (Mavrodi et al. 2001), and two enzymes encoded at distinct loci ($phzM$ and $phzS$) which further modify PCA to produce pyocyanin (Parsons et al. 2007). In order to ensure a rapid response from the biosensor a constant pool of PCA should be maintained so that the time taken from sensor-activation to current generation relied only on the kinetics of transcription/translation of $phzM$ and $phzS$, and activity of their respective enzymes. To achieve this it was decided that $phzABCDEFG$ 1 should be cloned under the control of a constitutive promoter and $phzM$ and $phzS$ each under the control of either of our modular inducible promoters; $P_u$ (XylR-mediated BTEX response) or $P_{\text{DNT}}$ (DntR-mediated 2,4-DNT response) (Fig. 8). Cloning of the 7 kb $phzABCDEFG$ operon into a single BioBrick is on-going. Both $phzM$ and $phzS$ were cloned in BioBrick format and submitted to the Registry of Standard Biological Parts (BBa_I723024 and BBa_I723025, respectively).
MFC measurements

While cloning work was underway, small-scale MFCs obtained from the National Centre for Biotechnology Education at the University of Reading were set up using standard lab-strain *E. coli* (TOP10, Invitrogen) with no genetic modifications housed in the anode compartment (Fig. 9a). The NCBE MFC is a two-chamber Plexiglas system with the anode and cathode chambers physically separated by a polymeric proton exchange membrane (PEM) with an available surface area of 12 cm$^2$ exposed to either compartment, and a working volume of 10 cm$^3$ per compartment. The electrodes consisted of carbon fibre sheets. The cathode chamber contained a solution of potassium ferricyanide 0.02 M, in a potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7). The anode chamber contained the bacterial culture with a solution of methylene blue, and a small amount of glucose (0.5 M solution) (Morozan et al. 2007). In order to determine the range of electrical output we might expect from our finished system the production of pyocyanin had to be mimicked by adding a readily-available electron mediator. The dye Methylene Blue has a similar redox properties to pyocyanin so it was selected to be added to the *E. coli* culture in these preliminary experiments. A sustained electrical output of 500 mV was observed for the 8 h following its addition, a clear improvement compared with readings of 150 mV in MFCs lacking any electron mediator (Fig. 7b).

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

*E. coli* TOP10 Cells (Invitrogen)

*E. coli* F- mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) φ80lac ZΔM15 Δlac74 recA1 araD139 Δ(ara-leu) 7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) endA1 napG Δ-

**Pseudomonas Strains**

*Pseudomonas putida* MT-2 carrying the TOL plasmid (Provided by Dr. Susan Rosser, University of Glasgow)

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01 (Provided by Dr. Dan Walker, University of Glasgow)
Primers

All sequences were amplified using KOD hotstart polymerase (Novagen, catalog #71086) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with a final elongation step at 70°C for 2 min. Initially all PCR products were cloned into pCR® 2.1-TOPO® vector (Invitrogen) and sequenced. The primers used are shown in Table 4. Once cloned and confirmed by sequencing all genes were digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes (Roche), following instructions in the Registry of Standard Biological Parts, prior to ligation into the BioBrick construction vectors using T4 DNA ligase (NEB).

BioBrick assembly

PCR products were ligated into construction vectors from the iGEM kit according to instructions online at the Registry of Standard Biological Parts.

BioBricks used

pSB1A2 construction vector (BioBrick ref BBA_p1010), pSB1AK3 construction vector (BioBrick ref BBA_I52001), Luciferase-2-monoxygenase from *Renilla reformis* (BioBrick ref BBA_I52008), Standard ribosome binding site (BioBrick ref BBA_I61101), Double rho-independent terminator (BioBrick ref BBA_B0015).

BioBricks submitted

Promoter *P*ₜ with standard ribosome binding site (BioBrick ref BBA_I723029), *DntR* with double terminator BioBrick ref BBA_I723030), *P*ₜ with standard ribosome binding site followed by luciferase (BioBrick ref BBA_I723031), XylR coding region with native promoter *P*ₜ (BioBrick ref BBA_I723032).

Assays

**Miller assay (modified from Zhang and Bremer (1995))**

*E. coli* carrying either pQF52:*dntR* or pQF52:*xylR* were grown overnight in LB broth (1% (w/v) tryptone, 1%(w/v) NaCl, 0.5%(w/v) yeast extract, pH 7.0) or minimal media (0.6%(w/v) Na₂HPO₄, 0.3%(w/v) KH₂PO₄, 0.05% (w/v) NaCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 200 mM CaCl₂, 40 mg/ml thiamine, 1% (v/v) trace elements, 3% succinic acid, 1 g/l NH₄Cl) at 37°C with agitation to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.28–0.7.

Salicylate (final concentration: 0, 20, 50, 100, and 200 μM) or a variety of environmental pollutants (each to 0.25 mM) were added to the cultures which and were incubated at 37°C with agitation for a further 3 h to allow recognition by sensor proteins and expression of lacZ. The OD₆₀₀ of each sample was noted and 20 μl of culture was added to 80 μl of permeabilisation solution (20 mM KCl, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.8 mg/ml CTAB, 0.4 mg/ml sodium deoxycholate, 5.4 μl/ml β-mercaptoethanol) in 1.5 ml microfuge tubes.

The samples and the substrate solution (60 mM Na₂HPO₄, 40 mM Na₂H₂PO₄, 1 mg/ml o-nitrophenyl-β-D-Galactoside (ONPG) 2.7 μl/ml β-mercaptopethanol) were heated to 30°C in a water bath for 20–30 min. Samples were then mixed with 600 μl of substrate solution and incubated for different lengths of time before addition of 700 μl stop solution (1 M Na₂CO₃) with thorough mixing. Samples were centrifuged at top speed in a bench top

### Table 4 Primer sequences

| Target | Type   | Sequence                                           |
|--------|--------|----------------------------------------------------|
| dntR   | CDS    | Fwd: gaattcgcggccgttcatATGGATCTGCGCGACATCG         |
|        |        | Rev: ctgcagcggccgtcagTTATGCTTCTATCGGACATCG        |
| dntR   | +P₅nt | Fwd: gaattcgcggccgttcatAGGCGATGTGCGCGACATCG       |
|        |        | Rev: ctgcagcggccgtcagTTATGCTTCTATCGGACATCG        |
| xyR    | +Pₜ   | Fwd: gaattcgcggccgtcagTATCGGCGGCGGCGACATCG        |
|        |        | Rev: ctgcagcggccgtcagAGCGGCGGCGGCGACATCG          |
| Pₜ     |        | Fwd: gaattcgcggccgttcatAGCCCGGGAAAGCGCGACATCG     |
|        |        | Rev: ctgcagcggccgtcagTCACCGGCGGCGGCGACATCG        |
| phzM   |        | Fwd: gaattcgcggccgttcatATGAATATTCGACGTGTGCGCGAC   |
|        |        | Rev: ctgcagcggccgtcagTCACCGGCGGCGGCGACATCG        |
| phzS   |        | Fwd: gaattcgcggccgttcatATGACGCGACGTGTGCGCGAC      |
|        |        | Rev: ctgcagcggccgtcagTCACCGGCGGCGGCGACATCG        |

*Fwd* forward primer; begins with BioBrick prefix (lower case), *Rev* reverse primer; begins with BioBrick suffix (lower case)
microfuge for 5–10 min. One milli litter of supernatant was transferred to a plastic cuvette and absorbance was measured at 420 nm; Miller units were calculated using

\[
\text{OD}_{600} \cdot \frac{1000 \cdot A_{420}}{(\text{volume 0.02ml}) \cdot (\text{reaction time in mins})}
\]

(6)

Renilla luciferase assay

This was performed using solutions supplied with the Renilla Luciferase Assay System (Promega, catalog # E2810). *E. coli* carrying pSB1A2:xyLR::luc were grown overnight at 37°C with agitation. The following day aliquots were taken and toluene added (final concentration: 0 or 250 mM) followed by further incubation at 37°C for a further 3.5 h to allow recognition by sensor proteins and expression of luciferase. Cell suspensions were centrifuged for 10 min at 3,000 rpm followed by removal of supernatant. The cell pellet was then washed with 250 μl 1 × PBS and centrifuged again at 8,000 rpm for 5 min after which the supernatant was removed. The pellet was suspended in 250 μl 1 × cell lysis buffer and freeze/thawed twice using liquid nitrogen. Luciferase assay reagent was prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions and 100 μl per reaction was aliquotted into sealable glass vials followed by 20 μl of lysate for each condition. Photographs were taken during the following 10 min in light and dark conditions to determine any visual differences in luminescence, as time constraints imposed by the iGEM project rules meant we were unable to quantify the output with a luminometer.

Conclusions

We have described the design and construction of a novel biosensor, using a design approach based on computational models, and investigated how to combine the biosensor with a microbial fuel cell. We also contributed a library of new high-quality BioBricks to the registry, allowing other researchers to adapt our work.

During the project we put into practice the application of a variety of computational modelling approaches with the aim of providing scientific explanations of the behaviours of the target systems and guidelines for optimal experimental design. We developed two designs, without and with feedback control, but due to time constraints only the basic model without feedback was actually developed.

In order to enable the systems to be further investigated and extended, we have created SBML models for our designs that can be imported and analysed by software tools compatible with the SBML format. All the materials that we have developed—models, software and tools—as well as descriptions of our protocols, sequences and BioBricks, and accompanying technical reports are available at the Glasgow 2007 iGEM wiki page (http://parts.mit.edu/igem07/index.php/Glasgow).

Glasgow iGEM 2007 team

The Glasgow iGEM 2007 Team consisted of 11 undergraduates from various academic backgrounds: Mai-Britt Jensen, Lynsey McLeay, Christine Merrick, Maija Paakkunainen and Scott Ramsay—Molecular Biology, Toby Friend and Christine Harkness—Mechanical Engineering, Karolis Kidykas—Aerospace Engineering, Rachael Fulton and Martina Marba—Statistics, and Maciej Trybilo—Computing Science. The majority of the work was carried out during 10 weeks over the summer in an integrated laboratory environment. ElectrEcoBlu earned Glasgow a gold medal for their contributions to the nascent field of synthetic biology, and first prize in the Environment and Sensing category at iGEM 2007.
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