A cautionary signal from the Red Sea on the impact of increased dust activity on marine microbiota
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Abstract

Background: Global climate change together with growing desertification is leading to increased dust emissions to the atmosphere, drawing attention to possible impacts on marine ecosystems receiving dust deposition. Since microorganisms play important roles in maintaining marine homeostasis through nutrient cycling and carbon flow, detrimental changes in the composition of marine microbiota in response to increased dust input could negatively impact marine health, particularly so in seas located within the Global Dust Belt. Due to its strategic location between two deserts and unique characteristics, the Red Sea provides an attractive semi-enclosed "megacosm" to examine the impacts of large dust deposition on the vastly diverse microbiota in its exceptionally warm oligotrophic waters.

Results: We used culture-independent metagenomic approaches to assess temporal changes in the Red Sea microbiota in response to two severe sandstorms, one originated in the Nubian Desert in the summer 2016 and a second one originated in the Libyan Desert in the spring 2017. Despite differences in sandstorm origin and meteorological conditions, both sandstorms shifted bacterial and Archaeal groups in a similar mode. In particular, the relative abundance of autotrophic bacteria declined while those of heterotrophic bacteria, particularly Bacteroidetes, and Archaea increased. The changes peaked within six days from the start of sandstorms, and the community recovered the original assemblage within one month.

Conclusion: Our results suggest that increased dust emission with expanding desertification could lead to undesirable impacts in ocean function, enhancing heterotrophic processes while reducing autotrophic ones, thereby affecting the marine food web in seas receiving dust deposition.
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increase of $5.8 \times 10^6$ km$^2$ or 10% by the end of the twenty-first century [10–12]. Concomitant with their expansion, drylands have become increasingly more susceptible to desertification due to global climate changes and anthropogenic activities [8, 11]. The current rate of land desertification is substantially greater than expected based on historic rates, with an approximately $12 \times 10^6$ hectares of arable lands lost annually due to drought and desertification [13]. An important consequence of expanding desertification is increased emission of dust into the atmosphere and deposition in receiving ecosystems [4, 14]. The majority of these dusts are deposited in marine environments, which cover over two-thirds of the earth's surface area [15]. Oceans are gatekeepers of the planet's health and integral to the global carbon cycle [16]; therefore, understanding the impact of dust on marine environments could provide a window into global ecosystem stability.

Marine microbiota plays important functions in the biogeochemical cycling of nutrients in the ocean and the global carbon cycle, and long-term perturbations in their community composition and function could potentially be detrimental to marine ecosystem balance [16–18]. The short-term impact of dust on marine microbiome was examined previously in microcosm and mesocosm settings by a number of experimental [19–22] and modeling [23] studies, but a major caveat in previous research is the paucity of in situ field experiments. In particular, time-series analysis of the impact of natural sandstorms on microbial community composition in open marine environments is lacking due to their extraordinary demand on resources [24], the limited repeatability and reproducibility of in situ data [25, 26], and the unpredictability of extreme weather events such as sandstorm. Yet, in situ, event driven studies could produce a reliable representation of ocean responses to dust deposition, providing an essential validation to inferences derived from experiments and models, which simplify complexities [24, 27, 28], and thereby deliver needed predictive power on the responses of marine plankton communities to dust inputs.

The Red Sea is the world's northernmost tropical sea located between two of the world's largest deserts, the Sahara Deserts in Africa and the Arabian Deserts in the Middle East, which inject substantial quantities of dust into its exceptionally warm oligotrophic waters [29] during strong sandstorm events that hit the region on annual basis [30]. The Red Sea is a semi-enclosed environment connected to the world's oceans only through a shallow strait of Bab Al-Mandeb (310 m deep) in the south through the Arabian Sea and a shallower Suez Canal (25 m deep) in the north through the Mediterranean Sea [31], which significantly limits exchanges with the ocean.

A major dependency on dust as an external source of nutrients, lack of river inflow, minimal annual wet precipitations, limited seasonal temperature fluctuations, and the limited connection to the world's oceans make the Red Sea a model environment to examine the in situ impact of increased dust activities on the vastly diverse microbiota present in its exceptionally warm hyper-saline waters [32, 33].

We examined the impact of two major sandstorms that swept the Red Sea region, one occurring in the summer 2016 and the other at the start of spring 2017. Specifically, we addressed the questions, 1) do sandstorms impact the composition of Red Sea microbial communities? and 2) if so, how persistent are these effects? This study investigates the temporal impact of natural sandstorms on microbial community composition in an in situ open marine setting, using the metagenomic approach.

**Results**

**Back trajectories and environmental metadata**

Figure 1 shows the two sampling locations (STN. A and STN. B) in the central east coast of the Red Sea. Dust maps and trajectories for the summer 2016 and spring 2017 sandstorms are depicted in Fig. 2. Satellite data from the NASA Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) in Fig. 2a-b demonstrate that the dust generated by these two sandstorms engulfed the entire width of the Red Sea in central-southern and central-northern regions, respectively. The SKIRON forecasting model (developed at the University of Athens) confirmed the presence of high concentrations of dust over the Red Sea during the two sandstorm events (Fig. 2c-d). The Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT) based back trajectory analyses pointed at the Nubian and Libyan Deserts on eastern and north-eastern regions of the Sahara as origins of the 2016 and 2017 sandstorms, respectively (Fig. 2e-f). These sandstorms caused a sustained reduction in visibility, particularly so in the summer 2017 sandstorm, due to the higher concentrations of particulate matters with a diameter of 10 µm or less (PM$_{10}$) in the air (See Table S1, Additional File 1).

**Illumina MiSeq 16S rRNA amplicon reads**

Table S2 (Additional File 1) shows the average number of 16S rRNA amplicon reads before and after trimming, merging, filtering, and removal of chimeras with the final quality reads of $245,812 \pm 9,838$ and $222,601 \pm 8,599$ and average read size of $444 \pm 0.2201$ and $443 \pm 0.2415$ bp for the 2016 and 2017 samples, respectively. To ensure an even sequencing depth across all samples, reads were rarefied to 100,000 reads per sample prior to data analysis. The average number of reads and their sizes did not
appear to be significantly different between the samples collected during the 2016 and 2017 sampling events (See Table S2, Additional File 1).

Impact of sandstorms on richness and diversity of the Red Sea microbiota

The average richness, alpha diversity, and community structure of prokaryotes in the Red Sea surface waters were similar during the summer 2016 and the spring 2017 sampling events (Figs. 3a, 4a; Table S3a, Additional File 1), with a similar community composition at different sampling depth (1 m and 10 m) and location (STN.A and STA.B) [Fig. 4b-c]. Microbial diversity (Shannon and Wilson alpha diversity indices) increased within six days following each sandstorm, as shown in Table S3b, Additional File 1. The Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of weighted UniFrac distance matrix, used to compare alpha-diversity across different time points and sandstorm events, showed that six days following sandstorms, the abundance and composition of Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) in the Red Sea were surprisingly similar despite differences in sandstorm origin and associated meteorological conditions for the two events (Fig. 3b).
Fig. 2  (See legend on previous page.)
Impact on microbial community composition

The dominant microbial phyla in the Red Sea surface water were similar during the 2016 and 2017 sampling events, and included: Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and the Archaeal phyla, Euryarchaeota (Fig. 5). Both sandstorms consistently changed the relative abundance of similar groups of autotrophic vs. heterotrophic microorganisms. The relative abundance of Cyanobacteria, the main autotrophic bacterial phyla in the Red Sea surface waters, declined significantly within six days following sandstorms, parallel to a significant increase in the relative abundance of heterotrophic microorganisms, mainly those belonging to the phylum Bacteroidetes and Euryarchaeota. The most significant changes were observed on the microorganisms retained on 5 µm filters (Fig. 6), including filamentous, planktonic, and/or particle associated microorganisms (see Material and Methods on serial filtration), although moderately similar trends were also observed on microorganisms retained in the 0.8 µm (Fig. S1, Additional File 1) and 0.22 µm filters (Fig. S2, Additional File 1). The majority of these changes reverted back to their pre-sandstorm levels within one month following sandstorms (Fig. 6; Figs. S1-S2, Additional File 1), suggesting that the perturbation of sandstorms elapsed over a few weeks.

Discussion

In this study, we examined temporal changes in the Red Sea microbial community composition, in situ, in response to two severe sandstorms that affected the region, one in the summer of 2016 and the other in the spring of 2017, both originating from African Deserts. Ours results demonstrate that regardless of differences in dust origin and time, sandstorms consistently decreased the relative abundance of autotrophic Cyanobacteria while increasing the relative abundance of heterotrophic bacteria and archaea. The majority of these changes was temporary with the microbial community recovering their original assemblage within one-month following sandstorms. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first in situ study examining temporal shifts in marine microbial community composition on-site in the Red Sea in response to natural sandstorm events.

The decline in Cyanobacteria in response to sandstorms could be due to a number of factors including increases in grazing activities and viral lysis, as well as increased exposure to dust-delivered anthropogenic pollution. In agreement with our observations, a dust amended bioassay experiment in the central Atlantic Ocean demonstrated that Sahara dust had an overall negative impact on Cyanobacteria with a substantial reduction in primary productivity, although picoeukaryotes responded positively to dust addition [21]. Similarly, in dust amended studies conducted in the tropical Northeast Atlantic waters, Sahara dust leachates impaired the metabolic activity of Prochlorococcus, the major Cyanobacteria in the waters [34]. In a dust bioassay experiment in the Northern Red Sea surface waters, the high anthropogenic Cu$^{2+}$ contents of Saharan dust aerosols were shown to be toxic to Synechococcus [20]. The Copper
level in the Red Sea water was either undetectable or unchanged during the two sandstorm events (Table S4, Additional File 1). Desert dust aerosols might also carry other material that could kill cyanobacteria including, for example, viral phages [35]. A substantial increase in dissolved organic carbon following lysis of Cyanobacteria and other autotrophic microorganisms could enhance nutrient availability in the oligotrophic waters of the Red Sea, and subsequently increase the propagation of heterotrophic bacterioplanktons.

Consistent with this, we found a significant increase in the relative abundance of OTUs belonging to
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Bacteroidetes in the Red Sea surface waters in response to sandstorms. Bacteroidetes are generally one of the most abundant heterotrophic bacterial phyla in marine environments [36, 37], accounting for a major fraction of the bacterioplankton community, particularly in coastal regions where they constitute between 10%-30% of total bacterial counts [38]. Bacteroidetes can particularly take advantage of the newly available complex food sources following lysis of cyanobacteria and increase their abundance, since they have a host of enzymes and transporters for degrading complex polysaccharides and proteins [39, 40]. Bacteroidetes abundance was previously shown to increase with a rise in the input of high molecular weight dissolved organic matter (DOM) [41, 42], for instance during phytoplankton blooms in surface coastal waters, helping degrade the bloom-associated exopolymer particles [43–45]. Bacteroidetes can grow on polymeric substances and algal cells by utilizing organic polymers and algal metabolites as carbon and energy sources [46, 47]. They have gliding mobility and attachment capabilities and are generally found attached to particles and detritus material [40], which is in agreement with our findings in the Red Sea, where Bacteroidetes were present at highest relative abundance on 5micron filters, potentially attached to planktonic communities, particles, or complex detritus matters. Through attachment to complex organic matters, Bacteroidetes are able to directly access food and degrade complex carbohydrates and proteins into Low molecular weight DOM, which can then become accessible by other microorganisms, within the
microbial loop, that have preference for less complex organic matters.

In addition to their impact on planktonic bacterial communities, sandstorms significantly increased the relative abundance of Archaea in the Red Sea. Like marine bacteria, Archaea play important roles in global ocean carbon and nitrogen cycles [48]. Euryarchaeota of the Marine Group II Archaea were the main Archaea found in the surface waters, the majority of which were detected on the large and medium size filters (5 micron and 8 micron), likely bound to particles and other planktonic communities. The Group II marine Archaea are heterotrophic/photoheterotrophic microorganisms present in ocean surface waters, bound to particles, and utilizing proteins and lipids polymers [49]. In agreement with our findings, Tan et al. found overrepresentation of Archaea in the metatranscriptomes obtained from dust addition studies in the Western North Pacific Ocean mesocosms [50]; among bacterial transcripts, those related to Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria increased, while transcripts related to Cyanobacteria decreased dramatically [50]. Wells et al. found that terrestrial labile organic matters significantly impacted coastal Archaeal abundance in the Mackenzie River-influenced Beaufort Sea [51]; and the hike in the abundance of Group II Archaea in the Arctic Ocean was linked to the increased availability of terrestrially derived nutrients from the surrounding landmass [51]. A time series study conducted by Murray et al. demonstrated that “intermittent” blooms of Group II marine Archaea in Santa Barbara Channels coincided with decreased chlorophyll a concentration [52]. It is possible that, in our study, increased nutrient availability upon Cyanobacterial lysis and the terrestrial nutrient availability contributed to the observed increase in Archaeal relative abundance following sandstorm.

![Fig. 6 Taxonomic changes in microbial phyla in the Red Sea surface waters in response to the 2016 and 2017 sandstorms.](image-url)
Whereas the microbial response patterns were consistent for both sandstorms, the mechanism(s) involved in microbiota restructuring in response to sandstorm cannot be resolved from our data. A number of potential mechanisms may be hypothesized, including the impact of dust nutrients, pollution, and exogenous dust-borne microorganisms [8], including lytic cyanophages, on the receiving Red Sea community.

Our results suggest that an increase in the frequency and input of dusts, associated with expanding desertification, might lead to irreversible changes in marine environments, through modulation of microbial community composition. A significant decrease in autotrophic bacteria with a concomitant increase in heterotrophic microorganisms could affect carbon flow to the marine food web and the recycling of nutrients, negatively impacting marine homeostasis. Addressing these concerns requires further monitoring of desertification, improved tracking of dust movements, along with expanded in situ, event driven, "megacosm" studies in the receiving seas to understand the causal relationships between dusts and marine health before potential tipping-points are reached.

**Conclusion**
The increase in extreme meteorological events such as sandstorms could have adverse impacts on marine health through changes in its microbial community dynamic. In this study we have demonstrated the temporal impact of increased dust activities on marine microbiota in open waters in response to natural sandstorm events, using the high through metagenomic approach. Our data indicate that sandstorms changed the relative abundance of marine microbiota in the Red Sea, but the microbial communities recovered their original assemblage within one month following sandstorms, possibly due to the vast buffering capacity of the ocean. However, there exist significant concerns that the increased trend in dust activities due to global climate changes could prolong the recovery period or make irreversible modifications in microbial community composition, with major consequences on marine health.

**Methods**

**Meteorological and atmospheric dust data**
Meteorological data for the 2016 and 2017 sandstorm events (Table S1, Additional File 1) were obtained from the weather observatory at King Abdulaziz International Airport in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. The PM$_{10}$ conservative estimate in Table S1 (Additional File 1) was calculated according to D’Almeida’s correlation analysis: $C_{PM10} = 914.06 \times V^{-0.73} + 19.03$, where $C$ is the estimated concentration of PM$_{10}$ in µgm$^{-3}$ and $V$ is the lowest daily visibility in km (Dalmeida 1986). The Satellite images of dust over the Red Sea in Fig. 2a-b were downloaded directly from the NASA MODIS website [https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/](https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/) by providing the coordinates and dates. Atmospheric dust data generated by the SKIRON dust forecasting model was provided to us by the University of Athens ([https://forecast.uoa.gr/en/forecast-maps/dust/central-asia](https://forecast.uoa.gr/en/forecast-maps/dust/central-asia)). The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) HYSDPIT [55] back trajectory model was used to determine the origins of the 2016 and 2017 sandstorms based on the direction of air parcels arriving at the vertical height of 500 m, 1000 m, and 1500 m above ground level.

**Sample collection**
Water samples for microbial analysis were collected from the Red Sea surface waters during the 2016 (June-Aug) and 2017 (Feb-Apr) sampling events (Table S1, Additional File 1), coinciding with two major sandstorms that originated from Nubian and Libyan Deserts, respectively. A total of four time points per year were chosen for comparison: 1) approximately one month prior to sandstorms as pre-sandstorm control; 2) approximately 2–3 days following sandstorms; 3) six days following sandstorms; 4) approximately one month after sandstorms as post-sandstorm control. For details on the specific sampling dates and the corresponding meteorological data, please refer to Table S1, Additional File 1. Samplings were conducted aboard a vessel using Niskin bottles, with CTD loggers that measured conductivity, temperature, depths, %O$_2$ saturation, and pH. For each time point, 30L of seawater samples were collected, each from two shallow depths (1 m and 10 m) at two different locations (STN.A coordinates 22° 17.988'N, 39° 03.427”E and STN.B coordinates 22° 18.549’N, 39° 03.480’E), approximately one kilometer apart (Fig. 1), and transferred to 30L sterilized carboys. All samples were immediately transported to our laboratory in King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), which is approximately 5 km away from the sampling points and were processed immediately for Chemical and microbiota analysis. One dust sample from the 2017 sandstorm event (March 20th) was also collected as follow. A sterile plastic sheet was secured on a tall table on the 5th floor balcony of the CBRC building in KAUST. After 24 h, the dust sample on the sheet was collected and stored at -20 °C freezer for subsequent DNA extraction, as explained below.

**Chemical analysis**
Metals (Ca, Mg, Na, S), trace metals (Fe, Mo, Mn, Zn, Cu), and nutrients (Si, NH$_3$, PO$_4$, NO$_2$, NO$_3$) in the Red Sea waters were analyzed and compared across different samples and time points (Table S4). The metal and
trace metal analyses were done using Inductivity Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry. The dissolved organic matter (nutrients) analyses were done using Liquid Chromatography with Organic Carbon/Nitrogen Detection.

Serial filtration of the Red Sea water samples for microbial analysis
The Red Sea water samples were serially filtered through 5micron, 0.8micron, and 0.22micron polycarbonate filters (47 mm diameter, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), and the membranes were immediately stored at -80 °C until the DNA extraction step. Serial filtration enabled examination of different populations of cells with: 1) microorganisms on the 5micron filters representing particle-bound, filamentous, and/or planktonic-associated microbial communities; 2) those on 0.8micron filters (between 5–0.8 micron) representing a combination of bound (as in 1, above) and free-living microbial communities; and 3) those on 0.22micron filters (between 0.8–0.22micron) representing the free-living microbial communities.

DNA extraction
DNA was extracted from the frozen polycarbonate membranes using the phenol–chloroform extraction method described by Rusch et al. [54]. DNA was extracted from one gram of dust collected during the 2017 (March 20th) sandstorm using the Mo-Bio Power Soil DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, USA), as recommended by the manufacturer. DNA concentration was measured using Invitrogen Quant-iT Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Fisher Scientific, USA).

The 16S rRNA amplification, metagenomic library preparation, and sequencing
The Illumina adaptors and PCR primer pairs targeting the V3 and V4 regions of the 16S rRNA gene (Table S5, Additional File 1) was ordered through Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. Illinois, USA). The pair-end 16S rRNA gene amplification and metagenomic library preparation were conducted using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (UAE, ME), following Illumina protocols. Sequencing was conducted on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc.).

Bioinformatics analysis
Bioinformatics analysis was conducted according to QIIME 16S rRNA Bacteria and Archaea standard operating procedure published by Langille’s research lab in Dalhousie University in Canada [53]. In short, the 16S rRNA Illumina short reads were first quality inspected by FastQC, then each paired-end read was stitched together by PEAR. After merging, the stitched reads were filtered by a custom Perl script according to quality score and length. Potential chimeric sequences were removed from those qualified reads based on VSEARCH. OTUs were picked by the Open-reference strategy of QIIME, and singleton OTUs were removed. Following sample depth normalization (100,000 reads for each sample), alpha-diversity rarefaction and beta-diversity analyses were conducted with QIIME standard Python scripts. Final results were summarized and visualized by QIIME Python scripts, custom Perl scripts, and/or custom R scripts. The Greengenes database was used as a reference. The quality of the Illumina reads is summarized in Table S2, Additional File 1.

Data and statistical analysis
For data and statistical analysis, samples from 2 different depths (1 m and 10 m) and 2 different stations (STN.A and STN.B) were treated as replicates, since they were all considered surface waters and had similar chemical (Table S4, Additional File 1) and microbial OTU profiles (Figs. 4–5); and the data throughout the paper were shown as the average of these replicates. Data were analyzed according to specific filter sizes (5, 0.8, and 0.22microns) to show changes in different size fractions. Turkey’s Honestly Significance Difference (HSD) test was used to examine if the observed changes in the average relative abundance of microbial community composition across different time points were statistically significant. All statistical tests were implemented with R multcomp package, and P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Abbreviations
MODIS: Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer; HYSPLIT: Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model; PM10: Particulate Matter with a diameter of 10 µm or less; OTU: Operational Taxonomic Unit; PCoA: Principal Coordinate Analysis; DOM: Dissolved Organic Matter; NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; KAUST: King Abdullah University of Science and Technology.

Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08485-w.

Acknowledgements
We thank Prof. George Kallos and Dr. Christos Spyrou from the University of Athens for providing the SKIRON dust deposition maps. Figure 1 was produced by Ivan Gromicho, scientific illustrator at King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST).

Authors’ contributions
H.B. designed and conducted the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. H.O. performed the metagenomic and statistical analysis. K.M., M.I., M.A., and Y.S. contributed to the experimental design and coordinated
the Red Sea sampling trips. B.A. provided the environmental metadata. V.B. and C.D. edited the manuscript and contributed to insightful discussions. T.G. designed the study and helped write the manuscript. All the authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Funding
The research reported in this publication was supported through funding from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), under award numbers BAS/1/1509/01/01, URF/1/1976/03/01, BAS/1/1606–01/01 and FCC/1/1976–24–01. The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and material
Raw sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA) under accession number DRA013529 (Run ID: DRR350427-DRR350523). All data analyzed during this study are included in this article and its supplementary information files.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not Applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1Computational Bioscience Research Center (CBRC), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia. 2Biological and Environmental Sciences and Engineering Division (BESE), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia. 3National Centre for Environmental Technology, King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh 11442, Saudi Arabia. 4Marine Open Innovation (MaOI) Institute, Shizuoka 424-0292, Japan. 5Red Sea Research Center (RSRC), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia. 6Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Division (CEMSE), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia.

Received: 20 April 2021   Accepted: 21 March 2022
Published online: 07 April 2022

References
1. Sherwood S, Fu Q. A drier future? Science (New York, NY). 2014;343(6172):737–9.
2. Reynolds JF, Stafford Smith DM, Lambin EF, Turner BL, Mortimore M, Batterbury SP, Downing TE, Dowlatabadi H, Fernandez RJ, Herrick JE, et al. Global desertification: building a science for dryland development. Science (New York, NY). 2007;316(5826):847–51.
3. Feng Q, Ma H, Jiang XM, Wang X, Cao SX. What has caused desertification? Nat Geosci. 2015;8(1):71–7.
4. Moulin C, Ciapello L. Impact of human-induced desertification on the intensification of Sahel dust emission and export over the last decades. Geophys Res Lett. 2006;33(18):L18808.
5. Park CE, Jeong SJ, Joshi M, Osborn TJ, Ho CH, Piao SL, Chen DL, Liu JG, Yang H, Park H, et al. Keeping global warming within 1.5 degrees C constrains emergence of aridification. Nat Clim Change. 2018;8(1):70–5.
6. Gnan G, Ossetinsky I, Stupp A, Alpert P. Increasing trend of African dust, over 49 years, in the eastern Mediterranean. J Geophys Res-Atmos. 2011;115:D2017.
7. Jickells TD, An ZS, Andersen KK, Baker AR, Bergametti G, Brooks N, Cao JJ, Boyd PW, Duca RA, Hunter KA, et al. Global iron connections between desert dust, ocean biogeochemistry, and climate. Science (New York, NY). 2005;308(5718):67–71.
8. Behzad H, Mineta K, Gojobori T. Global Ramifications of Dust and Sandstorm Microbiota. Genome Biol Evol. 2018(10):1970–87.
9. Ginoux P, Prospero JM, Gill TE, Hsu NC, Zhao M. Global-scale attribution of anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on modis deep blue aerosol products. Rev Geophys. 2012;50:RG3005.
10. Feng S, Fu Q. Expansion of global drylands under a warming climate. Atmos Chem Phys. 2013;13(19):10081–94.
11. Huang JP, Yu HP, Guan XD, Wang GY, Guo RX. Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change. Nat Clim Change. 2016;6(2):166–74.
12. Huang J, Li Y, Fu C, Chen F, Fu Q, Dai A, Shinoda M, Ma Z, Guo W, Li Z, et al. Dryland climate change: recent progress and challenges. Rev Geophys. 2017;55(3):719–78.
13. UNCCD: The United Nations Decade for Deserts (2010–2020) and the fight against Desertification. Quick Facts on Drylands, Deserts, Desertification and Land Degradation. Available from.
14. McConnell JR, Anarstain AJ, Banta JR, Edwards PR, Simoes JC. 20th-Century doubling in dust archived in an Antarctic Peninsula ice core parallels climate change and desertification in South America. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(14):5743–8.
15. Maher BA, Prospero JM, Mackie D, Gaiervo D, Hesse PP, Balkanski Y. Global connections between aeolian dust, climate and ocean biogeochemistry at the present day and at the last glacial maximum. Earth-Sci Rev. 2019;99(1–2):61–97.
16. Bauer JE, Cai WJ, Raymond PA, Bianchi TS, Hopkinson CS, Regnier PAG. The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean. Nature. 2013;504(7478):61–70.
17. Hutchins DA, Fu FX. Microorganisms and ocean global change. Nat Microbiol. 2017;2(6):17058.
18. Azam F, Malfatti F. Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007;5(10):782–91.
19. Pitta V, Herut B, Tsagaraki T. Editorial: impact of aerosols (Saharan Dust and Mixed) on the East Mediterranean oligotrophic ecosystem, results from experimental studies. Front Mar Sci. 2017;4:264.
20. Paytan A, Mackey KRM, Chen Y, Lima ID, Doney SC, Mahowald N, Labiosa R, Postf AF. Toxicity of atmospheric aerosols on marine phytoplankton. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106(12):4601–5.
21. Marañón E, Fernandez A, Mourin’o’-Carballoled M, Martínez-García S, Teira E, Cernmen’o P, Chouchin’o P, Huete-Ortega M, Emilio Ferna’ndez, A, Calvo-Díaz A, et al. Degree of oligotrophy controls the response of microbial plankton to Saharan dust. Limnol Oceanogr. 2010;55(6):2339–52.
22. Mescioglu E, Rahav E, Frada MJ, Rosenfeld S, Galletti Y, Santinelli C, Herut B, Paytan A. Dust-associated airborne microbes affect primary and bacterial production rates, and eukaryotes diversity, in the Northern Red Sea: a mesocosm approach. Atmosphere-Basel. 2019;10(7):358.
23. Guieu C, Aumont O, Paytan A, Bopp L, Law CS, Mahowald N, Achterberg EP, Marañón E, Sallegroth B, Arse A, et al. The significance of the episodic nature of atmospheric deposition to low nutrient low chlorophyll regions. Global Biogeochem Cycles. 2014;28:11.
24. Carpenter SR, Chisholm SW, Krebs CJ, Schindler DW, Wright RF. Ecosystem experiments. Science (New York, NY). 1995;296(5222):324–7.
25. Hurlbert SH. Pseudoreplication and the design of ecological field experiments. Ecol Monogr. 1984;54(2):187–211.
26. Drenner RW, Mazumder A. Microcosm experiments have limited relevance for community and ecosystem ecology: comment. Ecology. 1999;80(3):1081–5.
27. Pace ML, Cole JJ, Carpenter SR, Kitchell JF, Hodgson JR, Van de Bogert MC, Bade DL, Kritzberg ES, Bastviken D, Whole-lake carbon-13 additions reveal terrestrial support of aquatic food webs. Nature. 2004;427(6971):240–3.
28. Duarte CM, Gasol JM, Vaqué D. Role of experimental approaches in marine microbial ecology. Aquat Microb Ecol. 1997;13(1):101–11.
29. Acker J, Leptoukh G, Shen S, Zhu T, Kempler S. Remotely-sensed chlorophyll a observations of the northern Red Sea indicate seasonal variability and influence of coastal reefs. J Marine Syst. 2002;30(1–2):419–24.
30. Prakash PJ, Stenchikov G, Kalenderski S, Osipov S, Bogert MC, Kritzberg ES, Bastviken D, Whole-lake carbon-13 additions reveal terrestrial support of aquatic food webs. Nature. 2004;427(6971):240–3.
31. Sofías NS, Johns WE. An Oceanic General Circulation Model (OGCM) investigation of the Red Sea circulation, 1. Exchange between the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean. J Geophys Res-Oceans 2002;107(C11):3196.
32. Haroon MF, Thompson LR, Parks DH, Hugenholtz P, Stingl U. A catalogue of 136 microbial draft genomes from Red Sea metagenomes. Sci Data. 2016;3:160050.
33. Behzad H, Ibarra MA, Mineta K, Gojobori T. Metagenomic studies of the Red Sea. Gene. 2016;576(2):717–23.
34. Hill PG, Zubkov MV, Purdie DA. Differential responses of Prochlororococcus and SAR11-dominated bacterioplankton groups to atmospheric dust inputs in the tropical Northeast Atlantic Ocean. Fems Microbiol Lett. 2010;306(1):82–9.
35. Griffin DW. Atmospheric movement of microorganisms in clouds of desert dust and implications for human health. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2007;20(3):459–77.
36. Kirchman DL. The ecology of cytophaga-flavobacteria in aquatic environments. Fems Microb Ecol. 2002;39(2):91–100.
37. Glockner FO, Fuchs BM, Amann R. Bacterioplankton compositions of lakes and oceans: a first comparison based on fluorescence in situ hybridization. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1999;65(8):3721–6.
38. Alonso-Saez L, Gasol JM. Seasonal variations in the contributions of different bacterial groups to the uptake of low-molecular-weight compounds in northwestern Mediterranean coastal waters. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73(11):3528–35.
39. Bauer M, Kube M, Teeling H, Richter M, Lombardot T, Allers E, Wurdemann CA, Quast C, Kuhl H, Knaust F, et al. Whole genome analysis of the marine bacterioplankton “Gramella forsetii” reveals adaptations to degradation of polymeric organic matter. Environ Microbiol. 2006;8(12):2201–13.
40. Fernandez-Gomez-B, Richter M, Schuler M, Pinhassi J, Acinas SG, Gonzalez JM, Pedros-Alio C. Ecology of marine bacteroidetes: a comparative genomics approach. Isme J. 2013;7(5):2526–37.
41. Rossello-Mora R, Thamdrup B, Schafer H, Weller R, Amann R. The response of the microbial community of marine sediments to organic carbon input under anoxic conditions. Syst Appl Microbiol. 1999;22(2):237–48.
42. Eilers H, Pernthaler J, Peplies J, Glockner FO, Gerds G, Amann R. Isolation of novel pelagic bacteria from the German bight and their seasonal contributions to surface picoplankton. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001;67(1):534–42.
43. Teeling H, Fuchs BM, Becher D, Klockow C, Gardebrecht A, Benning CM, Kassabgy M, Huang SX, Mann AJ, Waldmann J, et al. Substrate-controlled succession of marine bacterioplankton populations induced by a phytoplankton bloom. Science (New York, NY). 2011;336(6081):608–11.
44. Grossart HP, Levdol F, Allgaier M, Simon M, Brinkhoff T. Marine diatom species harbour distinct bacterial communities. Environ Microbiol. 2005;7(6):860–73.
45. Taylor JD, Cottingham SD, Billinge J, Cunliffe M. Seasonal microbial community dynamics correlate with phytoplankton-derived polysaccharides in surface coastal waters. Isme J. 2014;8(1):245–8.
46. Mann AJ, Hahnke RL, Huang S, Werner J, Xing P, Barbevray T, Huettel B, Stuber K, Reinhardt R, Harder J, et al. The genome of the alga-associated marine flavobacterium formosa agariphila KMM 3901T reveals a broad potential for degradation of algal polysaccharides. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79(21):6813–22.
47. McBride MJ, Xie G, Martens EC, Lapidus A, Henrissat B, Rhodes RG, Goltsman E, Wang W, Xu J, Hunicutt DW, et al. Novel Features of the polysaccharide-digesting gliding bacterium flavobacterium johnsoniae as revealed by genome sequence analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009;75(21):6864–75.
48. Offre P, Spang A, Schleper C. Archaea in Biogeochemical Cycles. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2013;67:437–57.
49. Zhang CLL, Xie W, Martin-Cuadrado AB, Rodriguez-Valera F. Marine group II archaea, potentially important players in the global ocean carbon cycle. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:1108.
50. Tan S, Shun Yan S, Ho TY, Liu H. Metatranscriptomics of the bacterial community in response to atmospheric deposition in the Western North Pacific Ocean. Mar Genomics. 2019;45:57–63.
51. Wells LE, Cordray M, Bowesman S, Miller LA, Vincent WF, Deming JW. Archaea in particle-rich waters of the Beaufort Shelf and Franklin Bay, Canadian Arctic: Clues to an allochthonous origin? Limnol Oceanogr. 2006;51(1):47–59.
52. Murray AE, Blakis A, Massana R, Strawzewski S, Passow U, Allsedge A, Delong EF. A timeseries assessment of planktonic archaeal variability in the Santa Barbara Channel. Aquat Microb Ecol. 1999;20:129–45.
53. 16S Bacteria and Archaea Standard Operating Procedure [https://github.com/LangilleLab/microbiome_helper/wiki/16S-Bacteria-and-Archaea-Standard-Operating-Procedure].
54. Rusch DB, Halpern AL, Sutton G, Heidelberg KB, Williamson S, Yooseph S, Wu D, Eisen JA, Hoffman JM, Remington K, et al. The Sorcerer II global sampling expedition: Northwest Atlantic through Eastern Tropical Pacific. PLoS Biol. 2007;5(3):e77. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050077.
55. Stein F, Draxler RR, Rolph GD, Stunder BJ, Cohen MD, Ngan F. NOAA’s HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system. Bull Am Meteor Soc. 2015;96(12):2059–77.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.