Legionella spp. Colonization in Water Systems of Hotels Linked with Travel-Associated Legionnaires’ Disease
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1. Introduction

Hotel water systems can be colonized with Legionella spp. and can serve as a source for travel-associated Legionnaires’ disease (TALD) [1]. Travelers infected in the country that they visit are usually diagnosed in their home country after returning from holidays. The European Legionnaires’ Disease Surveillance Network (ELDSNet) at the European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) conducts surveillance of Legionnaires’ disease at the European Union level. Public health authorities in Crete conduct an inspection, for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) conducts surveillance of Legionnaires’ disease, and cases, clusters and outbreaks continue to be reported worldwide each year. A total of 132 hotels linked with travel-associated Legionnaires’ disease, as reported through the European Legionnaires’ Disease Surveillance Network, were inspected and tested for Legionella spp. during 2000–2019 by the public health authorities of the island of Crete (Greece). A total of 3311 samples were collected: 1885 (56.93%) from cold water supply systems, 1387 (41.89%) from hot water supply systems, 37 (1.12%) were swab samples and two (0.06%) were soil. Of those, 685 (20.69%), were collected from 83 (62.89%) hotels, testing positive (≥50 CFU/L) for Legionella pneumophila serogroups 1–10, 12–14 and non-pneumophila species (L. anisa, L. erythra, L. tusconensis, L. taurinensis, L. birminghamensis, L. rubrilucens, L. londiniesis, L. oakridgensis, L. santicrusis, L. brunensis, L. macoccherii). The most frequently isolated L. pneumophila serogroups were 1 (27.92%) and 3 (17.08%). Significantly higher isolation rates were obtained from hot water supply systems (25.96%) versus cold water systems (16.98%) and swab samples (13.51%). A Relative Risk (R.R.) > 1 (p < 0.0001) was calculated for hot water temperature <55 °C (R.R.: 4.43), chlorine concentrations <0.2 mg/L (R.R.: 2.69), star ratings <4 (R.R.: 1.73) and absence of Water Safety Plan implementation (R.R.: 1.57).
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in accordance with the European and World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines [8,9].
WHO makes suggestions regarding water system construction, design, routine operational monitoring and management incorporated in water safety plans developed by building owners or managers [8]. We report results of risk assessment and testing for *Legionella* spp. at hotels in Crete where tourists who developed Legionnaires’ disease had stayed and had been reported on through ELDSNet, together with an analysis of inspection results and water safety practices implemented in these hotels.

The objectives of the present study were to: (a) determine the level of colonization of *Legionella* spp. in hotel water supply systems that have been associated with TALD, and (b) identify the risk factors associated with *Legionella* colonization of hotel water systems that have been associated with TALD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection

From 2000 to 2019, the public health authorities in Crete, Greece inspected a total of 132 hotels that were associated with TALD, as reported through ELDSNet. In accordance with national guidelines and procedures, samples were taken from hotel water systems, including water tanks, hotel room outlets, showers located in swimming pools and spas, garden sprinklers and soil, where applicable.

The sample collection and site selection processes were in accordance with: (a) the European Technical Guidelines for the Prevention, Control and Investigation of Infections Caused by *Legionella* species and (b) the international standard methods: ISO 5667-2:1982—Part 2: guidance on sampling techniques, while since 2006 samples were collected following the ISO 19458:2006 Water quality—Sampling for microbiological analysis methodology [8–11]. The samples were labeled and temporarily stored in a cool box at a temperature of up to 5 (±3) °C, protected from direct light, before being delivered to the laboratory immediately after the sampling (no more than 24 h).

2.2. Risk Assessment, Data Collection and Corrective Action

Inspections were conducted following the European technical guidelines [9]. Water temperature was measured by placing a calibrated thermometer sensor in the middle of the water stream, two minutes after flushing. A portable calibrated microprocessor-based meter was used to measure pH and free chlorine, and 1 L sterile containers containing sodium thiosulphate (20 mg) were used for sample collection. Risk assessment and corrective actions were implemented in accordance with the European guidelines for water systems linked with TALD [9]. For each hotel water system, the following information was recorded: chlorine concentration, water temperature, pH, type of water disinfection applied, hotel star rating, seasonal hotel operation, hotel capacity in rooms/beds, water safety plan (WSP) implementation, and type of water supply, type of hot water production system, water system maintenance and cleaning frequency.

2.3. Plate Culture Method

*Legionella* was isolated by culture in accordance with the international standard methods ISO 11731 (1998), and after 2004 with ISO 11731-2 (2004). Water samples were concentrated by filtration and were re-suspended in distilled deionized water. A volume of the suspension (200 µL) was spread on BCYE (Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract), BCYE minus cysteine and GVPC (Glycine Vancomycin Polymyxin Cycloheximide) (Biomérieux, Craponne, France) Petri dishes: (a) directly after filtration; (b) after incubation at 50 °C for 30 min; (c) after the addition of an acid buffer (0.2 mol/L solution of HCl, pH 2.2 for at least 15 min). The detection limit of the procedure was 50 CFU/L. The inoculated plates were incubated for 10 days at 36 ± 1 °C in 2.5% CO₂ with increased humidity. Suspected colonies were randomly chosen for subculture on BCYE minus cysteine, BCYE and GVPC agar.
2.4. Typing of Legionella Isolates

The agglutination test (SLIDEX Legionella-Kit, Biomérieux, Craponne, France) was used to identify the isolated colonies, including to distinguish between \textit{L. pneumophila} serogroup 1 and serogroups 2–14 and of \textit{L. anisa}. Individual latex polyclonal reagents were used (Pro-lab, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada) for the exact detection of each \textit{L. pneumophila} serogroup.

2.5. Identification—MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry

Since 2010, identification of individual \textit{Legionella} colonies against their microbial database (v 3.1.2.0) has taken place with the MALDI Biotyper (Microflex LT MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer) (Bruker Daltonics, Leipzig, Germany) equipped with a microSCOUT ion source. Spectra were recorded using the flexControl software with the default parameters for optimization set by the manufacturer (Bruker Daltonics, Leipzig, Germany). For each spectrum, 240 laser shots were collected and analyzed (6 $\times$ 40 laser shots from 120 different positions of the target spot). All identifications were evaluated according to the manufacturers’ scoring scheme.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24 statistical package and the Epi-Info 2000 version 7.2.0.1 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA) were used for statistical analysis. Categorical risk variables from water distribution systems and hotel characteristics were assessed for association with \textit{Legionellae}-positive test results. A proportional z-test was calculated to test for significant differences between the sampling site and \textit{Legionella pneumophila} serogroup 1 versus serogroups 2–15, serogroup 1 versus \textit{Legionella} non-pneumophila, and serogroups 2–15 versus \textit{Legionella} non-pneumophila. When the \textit{p} value was <0.05, the results were considered statistically significant, and they were considered highly significant when the \textit{p} value was <0.0001.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive Data

Of the 3311 samples collected, 685 (20.69%), originating from 83 (62.89%) hotels, tested positive ($\geq$50 CFU/L) for \textit{Legionella} (\textit{Legionella pneumophila}) serogroups 1–10, 12–14 and non-pneumophila species: \textit{L. anisa}, \textit{L. erythra}, \textit{L. tusconensis}, \textit{L. taurinensis}, \textit{L. birminghamensis}, \textit{L. rubrilucens}, \textit{L. londiniesis}, \textit{L. oakridgensis}, \textit{L. santicrissus}, \textit{L. brunensis}, \textit{L. maceacherii}). Table S1 presents the summary laboratory examination results for \textit{Legionella} spp. by culture per sample type. The most frequently isolated \textit{L. pneumophila} serogroups were 1 (27.92%) and 3 (17.08%). In 70 (55.12%) hotel cold water distribution systems, 297 (16.66%) samples tested positive. In 66 (53.29%) hotel hot water distribution systems, 345 (26.29%) samples tested positive. In five (35.71%) hotels, six (15.38%) swab samples tested positive. Table 1 presents the results of \textit{Legionella} spp. colonization of hotel water systems linked with travel-associated Legionnaires’ disease according to the sampling site, as well as the results of associations between the different serogroups and \textit{L. pneumophila} in comparison with non-pneumophila. Table 2 presents the level of \textit{Legionella} spp. colonization of the hotel water systems.
| Sample Description | Legionella spp. | No of Positive Samples/Total (%) | p-Values (Proportional z-Test) |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                    | L. pneumophila   | L. pneumophila Serogroup 1 vs.  | Serogroup 1 vs. Serogroup 2-15 | Serogroup 1 vs. non-pneumophila vs. Serogroup 2-15 vs. non-pneumophila |
|                    | Serogroup 2-15   | non-pneumophila Serogroup 2-15   | Serogroup 1 vs. non-pneumophila | Serogroup 2-15 vs. non-pneumophila |
|                    | Serogroup 1 vs.  |                                   |                               |                                |
|                    | non-pneumophila  |                                   |                               |                                |
|                    | Serogroup 2-15   |                                   |                               |                                |
| Cold water system  |                 |                                  |                               |                                |
| Cold water first catch sample (rooms closest to boilers) | 31/127 (24.41) | 6/127 (4.72) | 16/127 (12.60) | 17/127 (13.39) | 0.026 * | 0.016 * | 0.852 |
| Cold water first catch sample (rooms distal to boilers) | 102/212 (48.11) | 27/212 (12.74) | 61/212 (28.77) | 32/212 (15.09) | <0.001 ** | 0.468 | <0.001 ** |
| Cold water sample after two min flush (rooms closest to boilers) | 21/87 (24.14) | 6/87 (6.90) | 9/87 (10.34) | 11/87 (12.64) | 0.419 | 0.202 | 0.634 |
| Cold water sample after two min flush (rooms distal to boilers) | 25/106 (23.58) | 6/106 (5.66) | 16/106 (15.09) | 8/106 (7.55) | 0.024 * | 0.580 | 0.083 |
| Hotel room cold water first catch sample | 4/8 (50.00) | 1/8 (12.50) | 2/8 (25.00) | 1/8 (12.50) | 0.522 | 1.000 | 0.552 |
| Hotel room cold water sample after two minutes flush | 1/7 (14.29) | 1/7 (14.29) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hot water system   |                 |                                  |                               |                                |
| Hotel room hot water first catch sample | 5/8 (62.50) | 1/8 (12.50) | 4/8 (50.00) | 1/8 (12.50) | 0.106 | 1.000 | 0.106 |
| Hotel room hot water sample after two minutes flush | 3/7 (42.86) | 1/7 (14.29) | 3/7 (42.86) | - | 0.237 | - | - |
| Returning hot water sample | 26/76 (34.21) | 7/76 (9.21) | 18/76 (23.68) | 6/76 (7.89) | 0.016 * | 0.771 | 0.008 ** |
| Hot water first catch sample (rooms distal to boilers) | 45/142 (31.69) | 14/142 (9.86) | 27/142 (19.01) | 16/142 (11.27) | 0.028 * | 0.966 | 0.069 |
| Hot water sample after two min flush (rooms closest to boilers) | 47/110 (42.73) | 22/110 (20.00) | 28/110 (25.45) | 12/110 (10.91) | 0.335 | 0.062 | 0.005 |
| Hot water sample after two min flush (rooms distal to boilers) | 81/158 (51.27) | 32/158 (20.25) | 60/158 (37.97) | 19/158 (12.03) | <0.001 ** | 0.047* | <0.001 * |
| Hot water sample heated from solar panels | 2/7 (28.57) | 1/7 (14.29) | 1/7 (14.29) | - | 1.000 | - | - |
| Water sample directly from boiler | 38/115 (33.04) | 9/115 (7.83) | 27/115 (23.48) | 8/115 (6.96) | <0.001 ** | 0.801 | <0.001 ** |
| Water sample from alternative heating source | 1/3 (33.33) | 1/3 (33.33) | 1/3 (33.33) | - | 1.000 | - | - |
| Water sample from spa establishment showers | 3/11 (27.27) | 1/11 (9.09) | 2/11 (18.18) | - | 0.534 | - | - |
| Water sample from swimming pool showers | 32/182 (17.58) | 7/182 (3.85) | 20/182 (10.99) | 10/182 (5.49) | 0.009 ** | 0.548 | 0.056 |
| Hot water first catch sample (rooms closest to boilers) | 194 (51.55) | 38/194 (19.59) | 59/194 (30.41) | 27/194 (13.92) | 0.014 * | 0.139 | <0.001 ** |

Notes: * Significant at 0.05 level, ** Significant at 0.01 level.
Table 2. Level of *Legionella* spp. colonization of hotel water systems (CFU/L).

| Sample Type                              | Number of Samples |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                          | Low (<1,000)      |
| Cold water distribution system           | 177 (57.84)       |
| Hot water distribution system            | 129 (42.16)       |
| Sediment from room shower water sample   | 0 (0.00)          |
| and from filtering systems               |                  |
| Soil                                     | 0 (0.00)          |
| Total (n)                                | 306 (44.67)       |

3.2. Isolation and Identification of *Legionella* Species

*Legionella* was isolated from both hot and cold water systems (Tables 2 and 3). The following serogroups of *Legionella pneumophila* were detected: 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14 and 2–15. Moreover, *L. anisa, L. erythra, L. taurinensis, L. birminghamensis* and *L. rubrilucens* were detected. Table S2 presents the concentrations of *Legionella non-pneumophila* spp. in water samples (CFU/L).

Table 3. *Legionella* spp. concentration (CFU/L) per serogroup and level of colonization.

| *Legionella* Species and Serogroup (sg) | Legionella spp. Concentration (CFU/L) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                        | ≤10³ (%) | >10³ and <10⁴ (%) | ≥10⁴ (%) | Total (%) |
| *L. sg1*                               | 51 (68.00) | 19 (25.33) | 5 (6.67) | 75 (22.20) |
| *L. sgs 2-15*                          | 99 (54.10) | 45 (24.59) | 39 (21.31) | 183 (49.39) |
| *L. non-pneumophila*                   | 63 (55.75) | 28 (24.78) | 22 (19.47) | 113 (30.46) |
| Total number of samples                | 222 (59.84) | 84 (22.64) | 72 (19.41) | 320 (16.98) |

Table 4 reports the water temperature and chlorine concentration of samples per *Legionella* spp. and serogroup.

Table 4. Water temperature and chlorine concentration of samples per *Legionella* spp. and serogroup.

| Parameter                        | Number of Samples | Positive Samples (≥50 CFU/L) | *Legionella* spp. * | *sg 1* | *sg 2–15* | *L. non-pneumophila* |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|----------------------|
| Hot water temperature (Celsius)  |                   |                             | *Legionella* spp. *  |        |           |                      |
| 20–40                            | 197               | 62                          | 19                   | 45     | 16        |
| 41–50                            | 285               | 90                          | 40                   | 51     | 25        |
| 51–55                            | 170               | 38                          | 11                   | 26     | 10        |
| >55                              | 304               | 17                          | 5                    | 13     | 3         |
| Total                            | 956               | 207                         | 75                   | 135    | 54        |
| Cold water temperature (Celsius) |                   |                             | *Legionella* spp. *  |        |           |                      |
| 21–25                            | 497               | 64                          | 16                   | 36     | 21        |
| 26–30                            | 315               | 65                          | 12                   | 33     | 34        |
| >30                              | 71                | 15                          | 2                    | 8      | 8         |
| Total                            | 1023              | 164                         | 36                   | 89     | 65        |
| Residual chlorine (mg/L)         |                   |                             | *Legionella* spp. *  |        |           |                      |
| 0–0.20                           | 424               | 110                         | 29                   | 48     | 50        |
| 0.21–0.50                        | 226               | 31                          | 5                    | 16     | 12        |
| >0.51                            | 345               | 20                          | 2                    | 12     | 8         |
| Total                            | 995               | 161                         | 36                   | 76     | 70        |

Note: * total number of samples that tested positive to any *L. pneumophila* serogroup or any species.

3.3. Univariate Examination of Factors

Table 5 presents the risk factors for *Legionella* colonization per hotel characteristics, water sampling sites and physicochemical parameters.
Table 5. Risk factors for *Legionella* colonization per hotel characteristics, water sampling sites and physicochemical parameters.

| Risk Factors                                                                 | Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Boiler outflowing water temperature <60 °C                                   | 27.5455 (1.6349–464.1095)            |
| Boiler returning hot water temperature <55 °C                                 | 9.1698 (1.1613–72.4041)              |
| No use of alternative disinfection procedures                                | 7.2528 (2.2864–23.0074)              |
| Hot water temperature <55 °C                                                 | 5.9124 (3.8358–9.4106)               |
| Boiler returning hot water temperature <50 °C                                 | 4.6667 (1.5273–14.2593)              |
| Incorrect application of WSP measures                                        | 3.4593 (2.0965–5.7078)               |
| Residual Chlorine <0.2 mg/L                                                   | 3.3242 (2.3876–4.6595)               |
| Start of season                                                               | 2.7562 (1.3326–3.8200)               |
| Star classification <4                                                        | 1.982 (1.6442–2.3894)                |
| Exclusive use of solar panels and hot water temperature <55 °C               | 1.9438 (1.0398–3.6335)               |
| Absence of a Water Safety Plan                                               | 1.7459 (1.4109–2.1604)               |
| Population using municipality water distribution system <10,000 residents     | 1.4624 (1.2243–1.7469)               |
| Cold water temperature >25 °C                                                 | 1.4238 (1.0979–1.8464)               |
| Residual Chlorine <0.2 mg/L & pH out of range                               | 1.4075 (0.6882–2.8786)               |
| No guidance by the public health authority (1st inspection)                  | 1.249 (1.0444–1.4965)                |
| End of season                                                                 | 1.0643 (0.7742–1.4630)               |
| High season period                                                            | 1.0578 (0.8626–1.2971)               |
| Non-automated disinfection system                                             | 0.9769 (0.6039–1.5802)               |
| Exclusive use of solar panels                                                | 0.9396 (0.5886–1.5000)               |
| Seasonal operation                                                            | 0.8499 (0.6968–1.0367)               |
| Hot water distribution system—distal room to boiler                          | 0.8217 (0.6022–1.1212)               |
| Unsatisfactory operations according to the checklist                          | 0.6672 (0.3539–1.2576)               |
| pH out of range                                                               | 0.5481 (0.3432–0.8753)               |
| Number of rooms >80                                                           | 0.4427 (0.3652–0.5366)               |
| Number of beds >200                                                           | 0.4351 (0.3529–0.5366)               |
| Groundwater as a source of water supply                                       | 0.3717 (0.2564–0.5388)               |

3.4. Inspection Results and Implementation of WSPs

The results of 101 hotel inspections were analysed. Water storage tank protection, the cleaning of showers, residual chlorine concentration, and water temperatures were among the main findings. Table 5 presents the test results for risk factors for *Legionella* colonization per hotel characteristics, water sampling sites and physicochemical parameters. The inspection findings are summarized in Table S3.

4. Discussion

Our study demonstrated that approximately 63% of the hotels which were inspected following a Legionnaires’ disease case notification were found to be colonized with *Legionella* spp. A retrospective cohort study of 357 touristic accommodation sites associated with two or more TALD cases conducted in 2011–2016, reported detection of *Legionella* spp. in 67.4% of the 340 accommodation sites for which results of environmental investigation were available [12]. The same study found that the detection of *Legionella* spp. in a water system was not shown to be associated with the risk of a further case [12]. A water system that has tested negative for *Legionella* does not exclude it from the possibility that this site was the source of infection. Moreover, a positive *Legionella* test does not prove that the water system is the source of infection. Increasing competencies of public health authorities for risk assessment and water sampling could contribute to the conducting of thorough and comprehensive follow-ups of cases, clusters and outbreaks associated with hotels.

During 2011–2016, Greece was among the countries with the highest proportions of accommodations associated with a TALD cluster (Italy = 42.6%, Spain = 17.1%, France = 14.6%, Greece = 7.6%) [12]. Unfortunately, it was not possible for the authors to associate epidemiological data for Legionnaires’ disease with environmental investigation results.

Previous studies in Greek hotels that were not considered to be associated with Legionnaires’ disease cases have demonstrated *Legionella* colonization in hotels in Thessaly and Corfu in 2018, where 38 (75%) hotels were colonized by *Legionella* spp. [4]. Other studies revealed colonization rates of 86% in a 1989 study, 21% in 2007 in hotels across Greece, and 33% in hotels in southwest Greece [4,6]. This percentage is also comparable to
three similar studies in Turkey, where colonization rates ranged between approximately 60% and 92% [13,14]. Moreover, equivalent surveys in hotels in Italy showed colonization rates varying between 60% and 75% [15–17].

Apart from *L. pneumophila* (serogroups 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, and 2–15), other potentially pathogenic environmental species were also isolated, such as *L. anisa*, *L. erythra*, *L. tusconensis*, *L. birminghamensis*, *L. londinensis*, *L. oakridgensis* and *L. maceacheri* [18]. Infection risks from non- *pneumophila* species should not be underestimated, especially in regard to *L. anisa*, which is the second most common species that has been reported worldwide, including on the island of Crete [7].

The most frequently isolated *L. pneumophila* serotypes were 1 (30.96%) and 3 (18.27%). ECDC’s annual report indicates that “only 10% of cases were culture-confirmed (10%) probably meaning that disease caused by *Legionella* species other than *Legionella pneumophila* is under-estimated” [2]. Significantly higher incidence rates were related to the hot water network (36.81%) compared to the cold water network (12.04%) and the sediment samples (21.43%). Worldwide, cases of Legionnaires’ disease are caused by *L. pneumophila*, and more than 80% of cases are caused by *L. pneumophila* serotype 1 [19].

A high proportion of cold water systems were found to be colonized (approximately 57%). Cold water first catch samples, hot water samples after a two minute flush (rooms distal to boilers), water samples directly from boiler and hot water first catch samples (rooms closest to boilers) were significantly more frequently colonized with *L. pneumophila* serogroups 2–15 compared to *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 and *L. non-pneumophila*. The colonization of distal rooms and boiler water could be attributed to the possible low temperature of the boiler water, as well as the length of piping and the reduction in water temperature as it reaches distal rooms. There were no significant differences in the sampling sites for *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 compared with *L. non-pneumophila*. Our findings demonstrated that hotel water systems with poor temperature control, without alternative disinfection procedures, residual chlorine <0.2 mg/L, non-automated disinfection system seasonal operation, a star classification <4, a population using the municipality water distribution system <10.000 residents, and without guidance by public health authorities had higher odds of *Legionella*-positive results. A systematic literature review identified the following as contributing factors for potable water systems’ colonization of hotels where cases of Legionnaires’ disease had stayed: a lack of water recirculation features, blind ends or closed loops in the main building where patients/guests stayed, stagnation of hot water in the feedback circuit, poor temperature control and a lack of disinfectant residual [20]. The acceptable levels of chlorine concentration in hotel water systems are not effective in eradicating *Legionella* spp. in biofilms and/or when amoeba is present in the water system [21]. WSPs provide a multibarrier approach to ensure water safety, and do not rely only on routine chlorine disinfection to reduce Legionella risks [8,19,22]. Training hotel operators and system maintenance staff can increase awareness and competencies in implementing prevention and control measures.

Larger capacity hotels (>80 rooms) had a lower risk of recording *Legionella*-positive tests. Our findings contradict the results of a retrospective cohort study of 357 touristic accommodation sites associated with two or more TALD cases conducted in 2011–2016. This study reported that the risk of a further Legionnaires’ disease case was higher in accommodation sites with 36 to 67 rooms, + compared to those with less than 36 rooms, while accommodations with more than 67 rooms had the same risk as accommodations with 36 to 67 rooms. The same study found that “neither the detection of *Legionella* in the water system nor the type of disinfection were found to be associated with the risk of a further case” [12].

Hotel water systems that were linked with TALD and with the absence of a WSP had a higher risk of testing positive for *Legionella* spp. compared to hotels that were also linked with TALD but implemented a WSP. During the study period, water safety plan implementation was not mandatory for touristic accommodation facilities. However, it is expected with the recent legislation in Greece regarding water intended for human consumption, operators of hotels and other touristic accommodation sites will implement risk-based
approaches [23]. The WSP methodology as described by WHO allows a site-specific process for the description of the water system, identification of different hazards and appropriate control measures [8,19]. It is expected to improve water systems’ conditions and the provision of safer water to consumers.

5. Conclusions

The present study found that approximately 63% of the hotels which were inspected following a Legionnaires’ disease case notification were found to be colonized with Legionella spp. The study also evaluated the significant factors that contribute to the maintenance, management and disinfection of water distribution systems, including the successful implementation of WSPs to improve hotel water supply and sanitation systems.

Chemical treatment and the monitoring of drinking water quality, including chlorine disinfection, pH adjustment, and water temperature control of hot water systems are recommended as control measures in water safety plans, in conjunction with other procedures. It has also been found that antiquated hotel buildings are at increased risk in terms of the safety and quality of the water in their distribution systems.

To conclude, risk assessment, environmental monitoring and disinfection of water systems, as well as the implementation of preventive control measures (WSPs) are the key elements for preventing contamination by pathogenic microorganisms in large public and private water distribution systems.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/w13162243/s1, Table S1: Summary laboratory examination results for Legionella spp. by culture per sample type, Table S2: Concentrations of Legionella non pneumophila spp. in samples collected from water systems (CFU/L), Table S3: Inspection results of hotel water distribution systems.
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