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Enzymatic study on AtCCD4 and AtCCD7 and their potential to form acyclic regulatory metabolites
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Abstract

The Arabidopsis carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 4 (AtCCD4) is a negative regulator of the carotenoid content of seeds and has recently been suggested as a candidate for the generation of retrograde signals that are thought to derive from the cleavage of poly-cis-configured carotene desaturation intermediates. In this work, we investigated the activity of AtCCD4 in vitro and used dynamic modeling to determine its substrate preference. Our results document strict regional specificity for cleavage at the C9–C10 double bond in carotenoids and apocarotenoids, with preference for carotenoid substrates and an obstructing effect on hydroxyl functions, and demonstrate the specificity for all-trans-configured carotenes and xanthophylls. AtCCD4 cleaved substrates with at least one ionone ring and did not convert acyclic carotene desaturation intermediates, independent of their isomeric states. These results do not support a direct involvement of AtCCD4 in generating the supposed regulatory metabolites. In contrast, the strigolactone biosynthetic enzyme AtCCD7 converted 9-cis-configured acyclic carotenes, such as 9-cis-ζ-carotene, 9'-cis-neurosporene, and 9-cis-lycopene, yielding 9-cis-configured products and indicating that AtCCD7, rather than AtCCD4, is the candidate for forming acyclic retrograde signals.
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Introduction

Apocarotenoids are carotenoid cleavage products. They are generally synthesized by members of the carotenoid cleavage oxygenase (CCO) family, which are present in animals, plants, fungi, and eubacteria (von Lintig and Vogt, 2000; Ruch et al., 2005; Auldridge et al., 2006; Prado-Cabrero et al., 2007; Walter and Strack, 2011; Sui et al., 2013; Nisar et al., 2015). Whether these enzymes utilize a monooxygenase or a dioxygenase mechanism remains debated; however, the plant enzymes are commonly referred to as carotenoid dioxygenases (CCDs). The formation of apocarotenoids in plants can be the starting point for biosynthetic pathway branches leading to important regulatory compounds (Fig. 1), such
as the phytohormones abscisic acid (ABA) (Schwartz et al., 1997; Zhu, 2002; Seo, 2002) and the strigolactones (SLs; Alder et al., 2012; Al-Babili and Bouwmeester, 2015).

The Arabidopsis CCD family includes nine enzymes classified as either 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenases (NCEDs), NCED2, 3, 5, 6, and 9, or CCDs, CCD1, 4, 7, and 8. NCEDs are involved in ABA biosynthesis and catalyze the stereospecific cleavage of the C11–C12 double bond in 9-cis-configured epoxycarotenoids, to yield the ABA precursor xanthoxin (Schwartz et al., 1997). CCD1 is the only plant CCD that is localized in the cytosol. Arabidopsis AtCCD1 (Schwartz et al., 2001) and homologs from several plant species catalyze symmetrical double cleavage at the C9–C10 and C9’–C10’ double bonds in cyclic and acyclic all-trans-configured carotenoids. CCD1 enzymes also target other double bonds in linear carotenoids, yielding flavors, such as 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one, geraniol, and farnesyl acetone (Vogel et al., 2008; Ilg et al., 2009, 2014). Additional cleavage sites in apocarotenoids have also been documented (Ilg et al., 2014). Moreover, it was assumed that CCD1-type enzymes convert apocarotenoids rather than carotenoids in planta and that they may act as a scavenger for oxidatively damaged carotenoids (Scherzinger and Al-Babili, 2008; Ilg et al., 2010). In contrast, CCD7 and CCD8 are devoted to the biosynthesis of SLs (Gomez-Roldan et al., 2008; Umehara et al., 2008; Al-Babili and Bouwmeester, 2015). Here, CCD7 catalyzes the stereospecific cleavage of the trans-configured C9’–C10’ double bond in 9-cis-β-carotene produced by the all-trans/9-cis-β-carotene isomerase DWFAR27 (Alder et al., 2012; Bruno and Al-Babili, 2016), yielding β-ionone and 9-cis-β-apo-10’-carotenol (Alder et al., 2012; Bruno et al., 2014). The latter is then converted by CCD8, which catalyzes combination of repeated oxygenation and intramolecular rearrangements, into carlactone (Alder et al., 2012). However, CCD8 enzymes also catalyze a common CCD reaction by cleaving all-trans-β-apo-10’-carotenol/off (Alder et al., 2008) at the C13–C14 double bond, yielding β-apo-13-carotenone (d’orenene). Dorenone is a natural metabolite that can inhibit root hair growth by interfering with auxin transport (Schlicht et al., 2008).

CCD4 enzymes, the subject of this study, regulate carotenoid homeostasis in different tissues, such as Arabidopsis seeds (Gonzalez-Jorge et al., 2013), chrysanthemum petals (Ohmiya et al., 2006), and peach fruit pulp (Brandi et al., 2011; Falchi et al., 2013). CCD4 enzymes from Crocus, Chrysanthemum, and apple produce β-ionone, indicating a single or double cleavage activity at the C9–C10 and/or the C9’–C10’ double bond in β-carotene (Rubio et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2009). It was recently demonstrated that the potato CCD4b cleaves the C9–C10 and/or the C9’–C10’ double bond in β-carotene (C_w), by identifying all-trans-β-apo-10’-carotenal (C_y) as the second product formed together with β-ionone (C_1) (Bruno et al., 2015). Though with much lower efficiency, the potato enzyme also cleaved α-carotene and unepoxydated xanthophylls, pointing to β-carotene as the main substrate of CCD4 in planta (Bruno et al., 2015). However, other members of the CCD4 subfamily show different regional and substrate specificity. For instance, Citrus CCD4 enzymes cleave the C7’–C8’ double bond in β-carotene, β,β-cryptoxanthin, and zeaxanthin, leading to the fruit peel pigments, β-apo-8’-carotenal and β-citraurin, and the volatiles, cycloital and 3-OH-cycloital (Rodrigo et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2013).

CCD enzymes might also form hitherto unknown regulatory compounds. Apart from ABA and SLs, additional carotenoid-derived regulatory molecules have been postulated and thought to arise through cleavage of desaturation intermediates of the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway (Fig. 1) (Moise et al., 2014). For instance, the tomato tangerine mutant is impaired in the activity of carotenoid cis-trans isomerase (CRTISO; Fig. 1), which results in 7,9,7’,9’-teta-cis-lycopen (prolycopene) accumulation in fruits, while the r(2997) yellow-flesh does not express the fruit-specific phytoene synthase gene (PSY1), leading to a lack of fruit carotenoids. It was shown that the accumulation of prolycopene and its polycis-configured precursors in the yellow-flesh background is accompanied by a partial restoration of PSY1 transcription. This epistatic behavior of the downstream over the upstream pathway activity is thought to be mediated by carotene cleavage product(s) derived from prolycopene or its less desaturated precursors (Kachanovsky et al., 2012). Moreover, the...
use of Arabidopsis mutants and carotene desaturation inhibitors pointed to the presence of apocarotenoid(s) that modulates leaf and chloroplast development and which is thought to derive from phytofluene and/or \( \zeta \)-carotene. It has been suggested that AtCCD4 is involved in the formation of this regulatory signal(s) (Avendaño-Vázquez et al., 2014). Furthermore, potato \( ccd4 \) knock-down lines develop tubers with elevated carotenoid content, which also show changed shape and premature sprouting (Campbell et al., 2010). In saffron, \( CCD4 \) transcript levels increase under abiotic stress and during senescence (Rubio et al., 2008; Rubio-Moraga et al., 2014).

In the current work, we investigated the cleavage activity of AtCCD4 \textit{in vitro}, using analytical and kinetic approaches. In addition, we evaluated the potential of this enzyme in generating the signals proposed to derive from \textit{cis}-configured carotene desaturation intermediates, in comparison with the homolog, SL biosynthetic enzyme AtCCD7. Our data indicate that AtCCD7 rather than AtCCD4 may be a candidate capable of forming these signal molecule(s).

**Materials and methods**

**Cloning and \textit{in vitro} assays**

pThio-AtCCD4: RNA was isolated from 4-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings using Plant RNA Purification Reagent (Invitrogen™) and cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript™ RNase H reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). \( ATCCD4 \) full-length cDNA was amplified by PCR with the primers 5'-CCGGAGCTCCGGTGTTATTGCAACTG-3' and RP 5'-AGTGAGCTCATATGTTAAGCCTATAGGT-3', both containing SacI restriction sites. The digested and purified PCR product was ligated into SacI-digested and dephosphorylated pThio™-vector. The pThio-AtCCD7 was described previously (Alder et al., 2012).

pThio-AtCCD4 was transformed into BL21 (DE3) \textit{Escherichia coli} cells harboring the plasmid pGro7 (Takara Bio Inc.). Cells were grown at 37 °C in 50 ml of 2 YT growth medium supplemented with chloramphenicol and ampicillin. Protein expression was induced by growing the cells at 37 °C in 50 ml of 2 YT growth medium supplemented with 0.2% (w/v) arabinose at an OD

**Substrate preparation and identification**

Substrates were purified using thin-layer silica gel plates (Merck). Synthetic apocarotenals and apocarotenoids were kindly provided by BASF (Germany). \( \beta \)-Carotene was obtained from Roth, \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin was from Sigma, and neoxanthin, violaxanthin, and \( \alpha \)-carotene were from CaroteNature. Lutein was isolated from Narcissus pseudonarcissus petals, and 9-\textit{cis}-violaxanthin from fresh spinach leaves. Zeaxanthin, lycopene, and \( \zeta \)-carotene isolomers were extracted from \( E. coli \) strains expressing the respectively mutagenized carotenoid gene cluster from \textit{Pantonea ananatis} (Prado-Cabrero et al., 2007). 9,15-di-\textit{cis}-Phytofluene, prolycopene, and pruneneprospor were isolated from fruits of the \textit{tangerine} tomato mutant and identified with the aid of published data (Clough and Pattenden, 1979; Carey et al., 1983). 9-\textit{cis}-Phytofluene was isolated from \textit{Dunaliella salina}. 15-\textit{cis}- and all-trans-Phytofluene were purchased from CaroteNature. 9-\textit{cis}-\( \beta \)-Carotene was obtained by iodine-isomerization of \( \beta \)-carotene (Zeichmeister, 1962) and subsequent HPLC purification. \( \zeta \)-Carotene isolomers were purified using a YMC C18 column and MeOH:TBME (3:1, v/v) as the eluent (HPLC system 4). The identification of isomers was carried out according to Carey et al. (1983) and Breitenbach and Sandmann (2005). All-trans-neoeprospor was obtained from \textit{Rhodovulum sulfuriphilum} (Hagemann et al., 1996). 9-\textit{cis}-Neopspor was prepared enzymatically by CRTI according to Yu et al. (2011) and using the substrate 7,9,\textit{tri}-cis-neoeprospor isolated from \textit{tangerine} fruit (Carey et al., 1983). Synthetic 9-\textit{cis}-lycopene was obtained from Buchem (The Netherlands). Carotenoid substrates were quantified photometrically according to their molar extinction coefficients (Britton et al., 1995).

**Dynamic modeling and data processing**

The decay of \( \beta \)-carotene (\( \beta \)), \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin (cry), and zeaxanthin (zea) to \( \beta \)-ionone (\( \beta \)-io) and 3-\textit{OH}-\( \beta \)-ionone (OH-\( \beta \)-io) via the intermediates \( \beta \)-apo-10-carotenal (\( \beta \)-10) and 3-\textit{OH}-\( \beta \)-apo-10-carotenal (OH-\( \beta \)-10) is modeled by a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) following mass-action kinetics:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \beta = -k_\beta \beta
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{cry} = -k_\text{cry,OH} \text{cry} - k_\text{cry,\beta} \text{cry}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{zea} = -k_\text{zea} \text{zea}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \beta-10 = k_\beta \beta + k_\text{cry,OH} \text{cry} - k_\text{ke,\beta-10} \beta-10
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{OH-\beta-10} = k_\text{hay,\beta} \text{hay} + k_\text{hay,zea} \text{zea} - k_\text{ke,OH-\beta-10} \text{OH-\beta-10}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \beta-\text{io} = k_\beta \beta + k_\text{cry,\beta} \text{cry} + k_\text{ke,\beta-10} \beta-10
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{OH-\beta-\text{io}} = k_\text{hay,\beta} \text{hay} + k_\text{hay,zea} \text{zea} + k_\text{ke,OH-\beta-10} \text{OH-\beta-10}
\]

In total, the model contains 13 free parameters, denoted by \( \theta \), which are determined from data. The parameters of interest are the rate constants \( k_i, i = \beta, \text{cry-OH}, \text{cry-\beta}, \text{zea, \beta-10,OH-\beta-10}. Other parameters are the initial concentrations of the states at time point zero and one conversion factor needed to account for a general change in the enzyme activity between datasets shown in Fig. 3A-C. The parameters \( \theta \) are estimated via the maximum likelihood method assuming normally distributed noise. The maximization of the likelihood translates into minimizing the cost function

\[
\chi^2(\theta) = \sum_i \frac{(x_i - x(t_i, \theta))^2}{\sigma_i^2}
\]

where \( x_i \) denotes the measured value of data point \( i \) with uncertainty \( \sigma_i \), and \( x(t_i, \theta) \) gives the model prediction at time \( t_i \). The ODEs together with their sensitivity equations are integrated with the lsodes solver (Soetaert et al., 2010). Non-linear derivative-based optimization of the cost function is performed by a trust region optimizer (Nocedal and Wright, 1999). Parameter identifiability and
confidence intervals are computed by the profile likelihood method (Raue et al., 2009). All analyses have been performed with the packages cOde/dMod for dynamic modeling in R. The detailed model specification encompassing all experimental conditions can be found in Supplementary dataset S1 at JXB online.

Data pre-processing Each experiment was repeated at least three times; some were repeated four times. Mean values of the experiments were taken as input for the modeling. Measurement uncertainties were estimated by the error model $\sigma^2(x) = s_0^2 + s_\alpha^2 x^2$ which accounts for an absolute and a relative contribution. The variance parameters $s_0$ and $s_\alpha$ are determined via maximum-likelihood estimation from the negative log-likelihood:

$$I(s_0, s_{\alpha}) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \log (s_0^2 + s_{\alpha}^2 x_i^2) - \log (\phi_{n-1} \left( \frac{(n-1) \cdot \nu_i}{s_0^2 + s_{\alpha}^2 x_i^2} \right))$$

where $\phi_{n-1}$ is the probability density of the $\chi^2$ distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom and the index corresponds to data point $i$ with mean value $x_i$ and variance $\nu_i$ determined from $n_i$ replicates. Standard errors of the mean were computed from the estimated variance and the number of replicates $\frac{\sigma(x_i)}{\sqrt{n_i}}$.

HPLC analysis and purification

Carotenoids were analyzed using a Shimadzu UFLC XR separation module equipped with an SPD-M20A photodiode array detector (Shimadzu). The column temperature was held at 40 °C. A YMC-pack C18 reversed phase column (150 x 3 mm i.d., 5 µm; YMC Europe) was used throughout. The separation system 1 solvent system consisted of A: MeOH/TBME (1:1, v/v) and B: MeOH/H2O/TBME (30:10:1, v/v/v). The flow rate was 0.6 ml min$^{-1}$ with a gradient from 100% B to 0% B within 20 min and maintenance of the final conditions for 4 min. The separation system 2 solvent system utilized A: MeOH/TBME (1:1, v/v) and B: MeOH/H2O/TBME (30:10:1, v/v/v). The flow rate was 0.6 ml min$^{-1}$ with a gradient from 100% B to 0% B within 24 min and maintenance of the final conditions for 4 min. Separation system 3 employed the solvent system A: MeOH/TBME (4:1, v/v) and B: MeOH/H2O/TBME (30:10:1, v/v/v). The flow rate was 0.6 ml min$^{-1}$ with a gradient from 100% B to 40% B within 20 min, to 0% B in 5 min and maintenance of the final conditions for 10 min. Separation system 4 was isocratic with the solvent MeOH/TBME (3:1, v/v) at a flow rate of 2 ml min$^{-1}$.

Mass spectrometry

Volatile cleavage products such as β-ionone, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (MHO), and geranylacetone were collected by solid phase micro extraction (SPME; PDMS, 100 µm; Supelco). The fiber was exposed to the assay gas phase for 15 min and desorbed in the injector of the Trace GC coupled to a Trace DSQ II mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Separation was achieved on a 30 m Zebron ZB-5 column 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness (Phenomenex). The initial temperature of 50 °C was held constant for 3 min, followed by a ramp of 25 °C min$^{-1}$ to a final temperature of 280 °C which was maintained for 5 min. The helium carrier gas flow rate was 1 ml min$^{-1}$ and the injector temperature was set to 220 °C. Electron impact ionization (EI) was used at an ion source potential of 70 eV and a source temperature of 200 °C. Spectra were matched to the NIST (2.0) database using the Excalibur software. Additionally, standards of β-ionone and MHO (Sigma) were used.

Non-volatile reaction products were identified by LC-MS using a Dionex UltiMate 3000 UPLC coupled to a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sample separation was achieved with a Hypersil Gold C18 UPLC column (150 x 2.1 mm i.d., 1.9 µm) and the solvent system A, 0.05% (v/v) formic acid in H2O, and B, 0.05% (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile. Initial conditions were 70% B for 1 min, followed by a gradient to 100% B within 4 min. The final conditions were maintained for 10 min, all at a flow rate of 0.5 ml min$^{-1}$. Ionization of apocarotenoids was achieved with atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and analyzed in the positive mode. Nitrogen was used as sheath and auxiliary gas, set to 20 and 10 arbitrary units, respectively. The vaporizer temperature was set to 350 °C and the capillary temperature was 320 °C. The spray voltage was set to 5 kV and the normalized collision energy (NCE) to 35 arbitrary units. For data analysis, the TraceFinder (3.1) software and authentic apocarotenoid standards were used.

Results

AtCCD4 cleaves cyclic carotenoids and apocarotenoids

Carotenoid-accumulating E. coli strains have frequently been used to test carotenoid-cleaving enzymes in vivo (vonLintig and Vogt, 2000; Booker et al., 2004; Prado-Cabrero et al., 2007; Frusciane et al., 2014). However, only a limited number of carotenoid species can be produced in this system, and the stereospecificity of the reactions cannot be determined. We therefore resorted to in vitro assays utilizing an N-terminal thioredoxin–AtCCD4 fusion expressed in BL21 E. coli cells harboring the groEL-ES chaperone system (Alder et al., 2008; Ilg et al., 2009; Bruno et al., 2014). Experiments were carried out with particle-free cell lysates and substrate-containing detergent micelles.

First, we tested the cleavage of all-trans-β-carotene. The enzyme converted this substrate into product P1 that was identified as all-trans-β-apo-10'-carotenal by chromatographic and UV/VIS spectral comparison with the authentic reference (Fig. 2A). This product is consistent with a single cleavage, at either the C9–C10 or the C9’–C10’ double bond. β-Ionone, the second cleavage product expected to arise upon the cleavage at this site, was identified by GC-MS analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). Next, we investigated the stereospecificity of AtCCD4 by testing the conversion of 9-cis-β-carotene whose cleavage could liberate the SL precursor 9-cis-β-apo-10'-carotenal, which could indicate a contribution of AtCCD4 to SL biosynthesis. However, only marginal activity was observed, as witnessed by barely detectable traces of 9-cis-β-apo-10'-carotenal (Supplementary Fig. S2F). We also tested the cleavage of the asymmetric α-carotene that contains an ε-ionone and a β-ionone ring. This incubation led to a lower proportion of all-trans-β-apo-10'-carotenal (P1; Supplementary Fig. S2A) compared with all-trans-ε-apo-10'-carotenal (P5; Supplementary Fig. S2A), indicating preferred cleavage at the C9’–C10' double bond yielding traces of β-apo-10'-carotenal (P1) and higher amounts of 3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenal (P2), indicating a preference for the double bond in the unhydroxylated moiety (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Though a previous report excluded the conversion of the dihydroxylated substrate zeaxanthin (Huang et al., 2009), we detected a cleavage at the C9–C10 or the C9’–C10’ double bond, yielding 3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenal (P2, Fig. 2B). The dihydroxylated lutein, which carries a 3-OH-β- and ε-ionone ring was converted—with a
low efficiency—into 3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenal and 3-OH-ε-apo-10'-carotenal (P2 and P6; Supplementary Fig. S2C), which arise by cleaving the C9–C10 or the C9'–C10' double bond. We also observed very low cleavage activity with all-trans-violaxanthin, which led to 5,6-epoxy-3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenal (Supplementary Fig. S2D) tentatively identified based on the literature (Schwartz et al., 2001). The 9-cis isomer of violaxanthin and all-trans-neoxanthin were not converted (Supplementary Fig. S2E, G). Taken together, AtCCD4 cleaved most of the C40-bicyclic carotenoids at the C9–C10 or the C9'–C10' double bond. Hydroxylation of both ionone rings led to a decrease in activity but did not affect the position of the cleavage site.

Next, we tested apocarotenoids with different chain lengths. AtCCD4 cleaved β-apo-8'-carotenal (C29) at the C9–C10 double bond, yielding a C17 dialdehyde (P3; Fig. 2C) and the corresponding C17 dialcohol (†; Fig. 2C) that probably derived from the dialdehyde product. The reduction of dialdehydes is frequently observed in assays utilizing E. coli lysate and is probably caused by an unspecific aldehyde dehydrogenase activity (Rodrigo et al., 2013; Bruno et al., 2015). GC-MS analysis confirmed the presence of the volatile β-ionone in these assays, which is expected to arise upon the formation of the C17-dialdehyde (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Consistently, the C14 dialcohol rosauflue was detected (P4; Fig. 2D) upon incubation with either β-apo-10'-carotenal (C27) or 3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenol. Incubation with the shorter apocarotenol β-apo-12'-carotenol (C25) led only to traces of β-ionone. In conclusion, all apocarotenoids regardless of their chain length were cleaved at the C9–C10 double bond.

As shown above, AtCCD4 converted the C40 carotenoids β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, and zeaxanthin into β-apo-10'-carotenol and/or 3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenol. However, this enzyme also cleaved its own products, β-apo-10'-carotenol and/or 3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenol. To understand the biological function of AtCCD4, it is crucial to determine the preferred substrate, i.e. whether the enzyme favors C40-carotenoids or apocarotenoids. For this purpose, the assessment of standard parameters, $K_M$ and $V_{max}$, may be flawed due to the biphasic system used and to uncertainties concerning the equivalent micellar packing of the different substrate species in an enzyme-accessible form. Therefore, we have resorted to modeling of time course experiments in one homogeneous
assay system in which bicyclic carotenoids are converted into monocyclic apocarotenoids that are again subjected to a further cleavage.

**Time course and modeling**

Dynamic modeling of AtCCD4 activity in time course experiments was carried out to determine rate constants for the primary (cleavage of bicyclic C40 carotenoids) and secondary cleavage reactions (cleavage of apocarotenoids) depicted in Fig. 3. Based on this, the rank order of bicyclic substrate decay is determined by the number of OH functions present, namely \( \beta \)-carotene \((k_{\beta})\) > \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin \((k_{\text{cry-OH}}+k_{\text{cry-\beta}})\) > zeaxanthin \((k_{\text{zea}})\), the \( k \)-ratios being 1.0:1.9:5.7 (Fig. 3A–C). The asymmetric \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin is preferentially cleaved at the C9–C10 site next to the unhydroxylated ionone \((k_{\text{cry-\beta}}=3.5 k_{\text{cry-OH}};\text{Fig. 3B})\) corroborating that hydroxylation hinders cleavage. It is conceivable that the two equivalent half sides of the symmetric \( \beta \)-carotene substrate can be cleaved by AtCCD4 with the same probability in terms of ‘half-side substrate recognition’. Instead of the expected \( k_{\beta}=2k_{\beta-10} \) (reflecting the double concentration of cleavable end-groups), the model supports \( k_{\beta}=2.8 k_{\beta-10} \), indicating discrimination between the uncleaved bicyclic and the pre-cleaved monocyclic substrate. Furthermore, along these lines of thinking, \( \beta \)-ionone-containing substrate half-sides should be equivalent in bicyclic substrates, namely \( k_{\beta}=k_{\text{cry-\beta}} \). However, this is not the case either, so that the binding of the entire carotenoid molecule and the simultaneous recognition of both rings must be assumed. This notion is further supported by the fact that the model is consistent with a completely different behavior of the monocyclic C27 apocarotenoid substrates. Here, the preference for cleavage at unhydroxylated ionone rings is lost, the rate constants for the cleavage of \( \beta \)-apo-10'-carotenal and

![Fig. 3](image-url). Time course and dynamic modeling of the AtCCD4 reaction. The conversion of three bicyclic carotenoids (shaded in gray) into the corresponding monocyclic C27 apocarotenoids and the subsequent cleavage of the latter are shown in (A–C). Note that the final products (3-OH)-\( \beta \)-ionone and the C14 dialdehyde were detected but could not be accurately quantified because of volatility, partition behavior, and instability. The symbols denote experimental data points and represent the average (± SEM) of 3–4 replicates. The line through the data points represents the model fit.
3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenal being very similar \( (k_\beta/k_\text{OH-β10}=2.8) \) or increase \( (k_\text{cis}/k_\text{OH-β10}=0.4) \) the rate constants relative to those obtained for bicyclic symmetric substrates. This is further exemplified by the asymmetric (monohydroxylated) β-cryptoxanthin, where simultaneously rate constants relatively increase \( (k_\text{cis-OH}/k_\text{β-10}=0.3) \) or remain unchanged \( (k_\text{cry}/k_\text{OH-β10}=1.0) \).

### CCD4 and/or CCD7 as potential generators of regulatory metabolites

AtCCD4 is thought to cleave the cis-configured phytoene desaturase (PDS) products phytofluene and/or ζ-carotene to generate regulatory molecules involved in leaf development \cite{Avendaño-Vázquez et al., 2014}. Furthermore, genetic evidence indicated a role for an undefined CCD in cleaving cis-configured ζ-carotene desaturase (ZDS) desaturation intermediates to generate a feedback signaling compound regulating the transcription of the tomato PSY1 \cite{Kachanovsky et al., 2012}. Therefore, we examined four ζ-carotene isomers as potential AtCCD4 substrates. The canonical PDS product 9,15,9'-tri-carotene as well as 9,9'-di-cis-ζ-carotene were used, the latter assuming a relevant contribution of the C15,C15' double bond-specific ζ-carotene-cis-trans-isomerase (Z-ISO) \textit{in planta}. However, none of these substrates was cleaved \cite{Supplementary Fig. S3A–D}. Likewise, the enzyme did not convert the canonical PDS intermediate 9,15-di-cis-phytofluene \cite{Supplementary Fig. S3E} or the isomers 15-cis-phytofluene and all-trans-phytofluene \cite{Supplementary Fig. S3E}. Thus, our \textit{in vitro} data do not support the proposed involvement of CCD4 in leaf development.

To account also for a possible role in generating ζ-carotene- and lycopene-derived signals, we investigated AtCCD4 cleavage activity with the ZDS desaturation intermediate 7,9,9'-tri-cis-neurosporene (proneurosporene) and product 7,9,9',7'-tetra-cis-lycopene \cite{Supplementary Fig. S3F, G}, Assuming a relevant contribution of CRTISO, which can generate partially trans-isomerized neurosporene and lycopene \cite{Isaacson et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2011}, we also assayed 9-cis-neurosporene \cite{Supplementary Fig. S3H}. However, the enzyme did not cleave any of these linear substrates \cite{Supplementary Fig. 3A–H}. Thus, our data are not in favor of an involvement of CCD4 in the regulation of \textit{PSY} expression.

CCD7 enzymes are highly stereospecific, cleaving only 9-cis-configured substrates, such as 9-cis-β-carotene \cite{Bruno et al., 2014}, which is produced from the all-trans species by the isomerase DWARF27 \cite{Alder et al., 2012; Bruno and Al-Babii, 2016}. We therefore tested AtCCD7 as a candidate for the cleavage of cis-configured carotene desaturation intermediates. However, AtCCD7 did not convert any of the tri-cis-configured PDS or ZDS intermediates and products—9,15-di-cis-phytofluene, 9,15,9'-tri-cis-ζ-carotene, 7,9,9'-tri-cis-neurosporene, and 7,9,9',7'-tetra-cis-lycopene. \cite{Fig. 4D; Supplementary Fig. S4}. Furthermore, the non-canonical isomers of phytofluene (9-cis, 15-cis, all-trans; \cite{Supplementary Fig. S4}) were also not converted.

However, AtCCD7 cleaved the 9-cis-configured isomer of ζ-carotene \cite{Fig. 4A–D} yielding the product P7 and small amounts of compounds with similar chromatographic and spectral characteristics (marked by asterisks in \textit{Fig. 4A}). Subsequent LC-MS and GC-MS analyses revealed the formation of tentatively 9-cis-configured ζ-apo-10'-carotenal \cite{P7, C27; Fig. 5A} and the volatile geranylacetone \cite{C15; Fig. 5B}. The other minor products detected in this incubation are probably cis/trans-isomers of P7, which can be formed by non-enzymatic isomerization of P7. Incubation with a ζ-carotene sample, which contained all-trans-ζ-carotene as the major isomer, led to traces of P7. We also detected a minor peak (P7*) tentatively identified as the all-trans-isomer of ζ-apo-10'-carotenal. It can be assumed that P7* was formed from P7 by non-enzymatic isomerization. The formation of P7 trace amounts from the all-trans-ζ-carotene substrate is most probably due to unavoidable minor cross-contamination with the 9-cis species (indicated by † in \textit{Fig. 4C, D}). Cleavage of 9-cis-ζ-carotene indicated an activity with 9'-cis-neurosporene that is half identical with ζ-carotene, while the second, more desaturated half corresponds to lycopene. To obtain this substrate, we used recombinant CRTISO that can produce the corresponding 9-mono-cis isomer from the poly-cis-compounds proneurosporene and prolycopene \cite{Isaacson et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2011}. Incubation with AtCCD7 led to a single detectable product, most probably ζ-apo-10'-carotenal \cite{P7}, as verified by UV/VIS spectroscopic properties \cite{Fig. 4E}. This product suggests a cleavage in the more desaturated half side of neurosporene \cite{Fig. 4E; Supplementary Fig. S5 XVIII}. This half side should be trans-configured, based on CCD7's strict stereospecificity \cite{Bruno et al., 2014}, and on the preference of CRTISO \cite{Yu et al., 2011}. Consequently the ζ-apo-10'-carotenal yielded from 9'-cis-neurosporene is expected to carry a 9'-cis configuration, as shown in \textit{Fig. 5C}. It needs to be noted that the conversion was much lower as compared with that obtained with 9-cis-ζ-carotene. \cite{Fig. 4A}. AtCCD7 showed weak activity with 9-cis-lycopene, yielding product P8 accompanied by traces of P9 \cite{Fig. 4F}, while all-trans-lycopene was not converted \cite{Fig. 4G}. LC-MS analysis and comparison with an all-trans-apo-10'-lycopenal standard identified both products as apo-10'-lycopeanals, of which P8 represents the all-trans-species and P9 the 9-cis species \cite{Fig. 6A, B}. As shown in \textit{Fig. 4F}, unspecific isomerization of 9-cis- to all-trans-lycopene in the presence of detergents and cleared cell lysates was commonly observed (indicated by † in \textit{Fig. 4F}). In accordance with this observation and due to AtCCD7's specificity for cleavage of the trans-configured C9–C10 double bond, we assume that P8 was formed from the sole cleavage product P9 by non-enzymatic isomerization.
AtCCD4 cleavage site specificity and substrate preference

We have characterized the substrate, stereospecificity, and the cleavage pattern of AtCCD4. The results presented document AtCCD4 as an enzyme strictly cleaving the C9–C10 double bond. This regional specificity of cleavage was observed with bicyclic C_{40} carotenes and maintained with standard plant xanthophylls and derived (hydroxy-) apocarotenoids (Fig. 2A, B; Supplementary Fig. S2). The cleavage site is thus independent of hydroxyl functions in the C3 and C3′ positions or on the presence of a second ionone ring. Cleavage site specificity was also unaffected by the chain length in (monocyclic) apocarotenoids (Fig. 2C, D). However, AtCCD4 requires the presence of at least one ionone ring for activity, since we did not observe any conversion of linear carotenoids (Supplementary Fig. S3). Moreover, AtCCD4 is specific for all-trans-configured substrates and did not convert any mono- or poly-cis-configured substrates. This rules out a hypothetically possible direct contribution to ABA and SL biosynthesis (see AtCCD4 and regulatory molecules). Thus, AtCCD4 substrates need to be bicyclic or monocyclic and in the all-trans configuration to be converted.

Dynamic modeling of AtCCD4 time course experiments was carried out using different types of substrates, namely unhydroxylated (β-carotene), monohydroxylated (β-cryptoxanthin), and dihydroxylated (zeaxanthin) carotenoids, and the derived mono- and unhydroxylated apocarotenoids arising as secondary substrates upon primary cleavage. The resulting rate constants demonstrate that (i) unhydroxylated bicyclic C_{40} carotenes are preferred over C_{37} apocarotenoids; (ii) the presence of one or more OH groups in the C_{40} substrate reduces cleavage activity; and (iii) the latter does not apply to C_{37} apocarotenoids which, hydroxylated or not, were converted with very similar albeit low rate constants. It is worth noting that AtCCD1, an enzyme also targeting the β-carotene precursor. Our in vitro data are consistent with different biological functions and might be reflected by the cytoplasmatic localization of CCD1 that may contribute to carotenoid degradation in planta (Gonzalez-Jorge et al., 2013; see the Introduction).

Upon incubation with violaxanthin, AtCCD4 showed only a marginal cleavage activity. However, two earlier publications on CCD4 mutants from potato (RNAi) and Arabidopsis (T-DNA insertion) reported on elevated total carotenoid levels, mainly of violaxanthin followed by antheraxanthin and lutein (Campbell et al., 2010; Gonzalez-Jorge et al., 2013). This apparent contradiction can be explained by different substrate specificity in planta or by end-product accumulation that is caused by reducing the cleavage rate of the β-carotene precursor. Our in vitro data are consistent with the second option and, hence, they are in line with the in vivo data. However, it cannot be excluded that the enzyme’s preference is affected by the microenvironment in planta.
As deduced from the corresponding rate constants, AtCCD4 showed higher preference for C\(_{40}\) carotenoids than for apocarotenoids, indicating that the enzyme recognizes the entire C\(_{40}\) substrate and not only half sides. To gain insight into possible underlying structural elements allowing this discrimination, AtCCD4 structure predictions were carried out with I-TASSER (Zhang, 2008). The maize enzyme VP14 (NCED 3 in Arabidopsis), whose crystal structure has been elucidated (Messing et al., 2010), was used as a comparator. AtCCD4 and VP14 share higher amino acid sequence homology (40% sequence identity) and structural similarity (TM score of 0.901) as compared with the other two CCDs for which structural information is available, SynACO (Kloer et al., 2005) and RPE65 (Kiser et al., 2009).

Common CCD structural features (Sui et al., 2013), including a hydrophobic surface patch to mediate association with membranes, are conserved (Supplementary Fig. S7A, B). Here, a cavity extends (arrowhead in Supplementary Fig. S7B) towards the active center lined with mainly hydrophobic residues. It is about 40 Å in length and hence well capable of accommodating entire C\(_{40}\) carotenoid substrates, as suggested with VP14 (Messing et al., 2010). This supports our observations that are in favor of a recognition of the entire substrate molecule by AtCCD4. The cavity features a conserved motif at its back end (Messing et al., 2010) consisting of two charged and three hydrophobic residues (D\(_{499}\)PMPK\(_{503}\) in AtCCD4; pink in Fig. 7 and Supplementary Fig. S6) that might act as a ‘bumper’ for one ionone ring to restrict substrate penetration. These residues thus contribute to the positioning of the C9–C10 site relative to the active center that is formed by the conserved His298, His347, His412, and His590 (orange in Fig. 7 and Supplementary Fig. S6) co-ordinating Fe\(^{2+}\) on the central axis of the seven-blade β-propeller domain (blue in Supplementary Fig. S6A). Substrate positioning in VP14 is thought also to be aided by the three phenylalanine residues Phe171, Phe411, and Phe589, of which Phe411 is substituted by Ile411 in AtCCD4 (green in Fig. 7 and Supplementary Fig. S6) (Messing et al., 2010). The position of the second ring appears less defined, at loop structures situated at the tunnel entrance. The structural identity of the aliphatic proportion in
bicyclic substrates would consequently place a high selective significance on the ‘bumper’ site capable of accommodating unsubstituted β-ionone functions but also C3' hydroxylated functions albeit with lower effectiveness. The distance to the reactive center of ~15 Å (dotted line, Fig. 7) might consequently determine regional specificity of cleavage.

VP14 is specific for 9-cis-configured epoxidated xanthophyll. This stereospecificity has been attributed to the presence of Val478, Ala478, or Ile478 in NCEDs, while CCDs carry phenylalanine or methionine in this position. While phenylalanine in this position, this would define AtCCD4 as a trans-specific CCD, a condition that is met by our data.

AtCCD4 and regulatory molecules

For decades, evidence for retrograde regulation of carotenoid biosynthesis has been put forward, for instance in tomato (Giuliano et al., 1993), maize (Bai et al., 2009), daffodil (Al-Babili et al., 1999), potato (Diretto et al., 2010), and carrot (Arango et al., 2014). The underlying mechanisms are far from being understood. However, it can be assumed that less lipophilic apocarotenoids that can be transported outside of the plastid play the role of signaling molecules, as known for ABA and SLs (Nambara and Marion-Poll, 2005; Raghavendra et al., 2010; Al-Babili and Bouwmeester, 2015).

As outlined (see the Introduction), data by Kachanovsky et al. (2012) and Avendaño-Vazquez et al. (2014) point to poly-cis-configured carotene desaturation intermediates as signal precursors, and the latter publication suggested a participation of AtCCD4 in the generation of such signals.

However, our in vitro studies show that AtCCD4 is incapable of cleaving any carotene desaturation intermediates. AtCCD4 cleaved neither the cis-ζ-carotene isomers 9,15,9'-tri-cis-, 9,9'-di-cis-, and 9-cis-ζ-carotene nor all-trans-ζ-carotene (Supplementary Fig. S3). Moreover, it did not convert any other linear carotene, such as phytofluene, neurosporene, or lycopene, irrespective of their stereo-configuration (Supplementary Fig. S3E–H). Our data are consistent with the report of Huang et al. (2009), which showed that...
AtCCD4 does not cleave ζ-carotene or lycopene in carotenoid-accumulating <i>E. coli</i> cells.

Our data do not support a contribution of AtCCD4 to the biosynthesis of the two known carotenoid-derived hormones ABA and SLs. In the case of SLs, this assumption is based on the stereospecificity of this enzyme that did not cleave 9-cis-β-carotene (Supplementary Fig. 2F), which would lead to 9-cis-apo-10'-caroten-10-ol, the SL biosynthesis intermediate formed by AtCCD7. Similarly, we did not observe any conversion of 9-cis-violaxanthin (Supplementary Fig. 2G), indicating that AtCCD4 neither contributes to nor directly interferes with ABA biosynthesis. This corroborates the previous observation that ABA levels in <i>ccd4</i> knock-down potatoes remain unaffected (Campbell et al., 2010).

The enzyme produces all-trans-β-apo-10'-carotenal and -3-OH-β-apo-10'-carotenal from bicyclic carotenoids. Given that AtCCD4 mediates the synthesis of a signaling molecule, it might be speculated that this molecule is a derivative of these apocarotenoids. Indeed, all-trans-β-apo-10'-carotenal in <i>vitrō</i> is a precursor of β-apo-13-carotenone (d’orenone) that is formed by CCD8 enzymes (Alder et al., 2008). D’orenone has been shown to exert a regulatory function upon external application, affecting root hair growth in Arabidopsis (Schlicht et al., 2008). Moreover, this compound triggers indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) synthesis in the ectomycorrhizal basidiomycete <i>Tricholoma vaccinum</i> and promotes lateral root growth in the host tree spruce (Wagner et al., 2016). Taken together, our data do not support the hypothesis that AtCCD4 mediates the formation of signaling molecules from linear intermediates of the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway. However, it cannot be excluded that this enzyme plays a role in leaf morphogenesis or other developmental processes, for instance as a structural component of a complex regulating such processes. This assumption is based on the report of Naested et al. (2004) that shows the association of AtCCD4 with the protein VAR3 in a complex required for plastid development.

### Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

- **Figure S1.** GC-MS analysis of AtCCD4 volatile products.
- **Figure S2.** HPLC analysis of AtCCD4 activity with different C<sub>40</sub> xanthophylls and carotenones.
- **Figure S3.** HPLC analysis of AtCCD4 activity with carotene desaturation intermediates.
- **Figure S4.** HPLC analysis of AtCCD7 activity with carotene desaturation intermediates.
- **Figure S5.** Structures of substrates cleaved by AtCCD4 and AtCCD7.
- **Figure S6.** Sequence alignment of VP14, AtCCD4, and AtNCED3.
- **Figure S7.** AtCCD4 3D model prediction.
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