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Abstract: Achilles tendon ruptures are common injuries seen by orthopaedic surgeons. A myriad of surgical options have been used in the management of Achilles tendon ruptures, but currently no gold standard exists. Re-rupture of Achilles tendon injuries occurs 1.7% to 5.6% of the time, and there has been no direct relationship demonstrated between complications and repair techniques used. The aim of this technique is to provide a method of fixation for the patient with an Achilles tendon re-rupture that provides a stable repair construct and mitigates the potential sequela of re-rupture. We describe the treatment of an Achilles tendon re-rupture with the use of a flexor hallucis longus tendon transfer and posterior tibial tendon allograft for repair of an 8.5 cm tendon gap.

Achilles tendon ruptures are one of the most common orthopaedic injuries, with recent studies indicating a rate of 7 to 40 per 100,000 patient-person years.1 There is currently no gold standard for repair of these injuries, and treatment is largely dictated by the individual surgeon’s preference. The 2 most common techniques include open repair and percutaneous repair. In addition, postoperative complications play a role in treatment selection, with open repair techniques having a higher risk of superficial and deep tissue infection and percutaneous repair reporting higher rates of sural nerve injury.2 Re-rupture of Achilles tendon injuries occurs 1.7% to 5.6% of the time, and there has been no direct relationship demonstrated between complications and repair techniques used.1,2 It has been noted in the literature that patients with Achilles tendon re-rupture have worse patient-reported outcomes and may have long-term functional deficits when compared to patients with primary rupture.2 Therefore these patients pose a unique and important challenge for the orthopaedic surgeon with regard to treatment. The aim of this technique is to provide a method of fixation for the patient with an Achilles tendon re-rupture that provides a stable repair construct and mitigates the potential sequelae of re-rupture.

For this technique article, we describe the treatment of an Achilles re-rupture with the use of a flexor hallucis longus (FHL) tendon transfer and posterior tibial tendon (PTT) allograft for repair of an 8.5 cm tendon gap.

Surgical Technique

Indications

This technique is indicated for Achilles tendon revisions including re-ruptures with a large tendon gap or poor quality tendon edges that may not be amenable to standard repair.

Materials

The technique uses the following items: 3.2 mm and 7 mm drill bits, Stryker power drill, two 7.5 mm SwiveLock suture anchors (Arthrex, Naples, FL), Endobutton (Arthrex), no. 2 FiberTape (Arthrex), FHL autograft harvested from patient, PTT allograft, suture
passer, straight needle, needle driver, and suture scissors.

**Patient Positioning**

The patient is placed in the prone position with bony prominences padded. A well-padded thigh tourniquet is placed on the operative side and inflated to 300 mm Hg. The operative lower extremity is prepped and draped in the usual sterile fashion.

**Surgical Approach**

Because this is a revision technique, the patient’s previous incision is used, which in our patient was located slightly medial to the midline (Video 1). The incision is extended proximally and distally to the level of the calcaneal tuberosity. Full-thickness flaps including skin and subcutaneous tissue are then carefully elevated for full exposure of the tendon. Care is taken to protect the sural nerve during the approach, which crosses from proximal and medial to distal and lateral.

**Tendon Inspection and Debridement**

The previous repair site is evaluated to assess the extent of injury the patient sustained. On inspection, it was discovered in our patient that the prior lateral suture anchor had become displaced from the bone. The medial and lateral suture anchors were then removed to facilitate complete evaluation of the native tendon’s integrity. Poor tissue quality at the tendon edges with tendon gapping was noted. The tendon is then debrided, and the final gap is measured. In our patient, the gap was 8.5 cm in the Achilles tendon (Fig 1). Given the significant defect, the decision was made to augment with an FHL tenodesis and the PTT allograft.

**FHL Harvest and Preparation**

The floor of the Achilles tendon sheath is sharply incised to expose the FHL using the same incision. The FHL is then identified and the sheath is incised opening the tendon sheath (Fig 2). Tension is then placed on the FHL to achieve adequate length of the graft and complete tenotomy is performed in a decisive fashion distally at the level of the ankle joint. This is then prepared using the Arthrex FiberLoop system in a locking fashion (Fig 3).

**FHL Tunnel Preparation**

The Arthrex Endobutton system is used for FHL autograft augmentation of the Achilles tendon. A 3.2 mm bicortical tunnel is drilled under fluoroscopic guidance from the superior aspect of the calcaneal tuberosity extending distally into the non-weightbearing surface of the calcaneus inferiorly. Overdrilling to create a 7 mm unicortical tunnel is then performed.
tuberosity extending distally into the non-weightbearing surface of the calcaneus inferiorly. This track is then overdrilled using a 7 mm unicortical tunnel measuring 25 mm in length over the guide pin to facilitate tendon docking (Fig 4). The tunnel is then copiously irrigated along with the wound to remove bone dust and fragments.

**FHL Tendon Tenodesis**

Tension is held on all suture limbs of the FHL while the tip of the Endobutton is inserted into the previously drilled hole in the calcaneus (Fig 5). The ankle is dorsiflexed 10° to 15° to maintain tension on the FHL tenodesis during insertion (Table 1). The free suture limbs are pulled gently in a rocking fashion to set the Endobutton. Fluoroscopic guidance is used to confirm that the Endobutton is deployed. The suture limbs are then grasped and the FHL tendon is tensioned inside the tunnel.

**PTT Allograft Augmentation**

Attention is now turned to the proximal and distal stumps of the gap site in the Achilles tendon. A posterior tibial tendon allograft is then shuttled through the proximal stump in a transverse lateral to medial direction and shuttled again through the distal stump from lateral to medial (Fig 6 and 7). The allograft is used to create a loop using the graft edges on the medial side of the repair site (Fig 8). The loop is secured using a Bunnell running suture technique using no. 2 Arthrex FiberTape (Fig 9). The suture is then continued distally and proximally, securing the looped allograft tendon.

| Table 1. Pearls and Pitfalls |
|------------------------------|
| **Step**                     | **Pearl**                                                                 | **Pitfall**                                                                 |
| Adequately debride the tendon edges to expose healthy tissue | Provides durable tissue for repair with good blood supply | Failure to adequately debride may result in failure of repair and increases risk of postoperative infection. |
| Harvest the FHL tendon through the same incision | Harvesting under tension yields a longer, more suitable autograft to be used. Using the same incision decreases potential for morbidity from multiple incisions. | Failure to maintain tension may result in an autograft that is inadequate to address large gaps, further complicating the procedure. |
| Drill the calcaneal tunnel such that the drill exits on the non-weightbearing portion of the calcaneus | Template with the drill on fluoroscopy before drilling to ensure the drill will exit on the non-weightbearing portion. | May lead to symptomatic hardware with weight bearing and possible skin breakdown and wound complications, resulting in failure of revision. |
| Docking of the FHL tendon | Maintain dorsiflexion of the foot when tensioning the graft. | Inadequate tensioning can result in overtensioning of the tendon and altered foot mechanics after surgery. |
| Augmentation of the repair with PTT allograft | Alternate sides when suturing the tendon to ensure even tension on both sides of the graft and repair site and avoid tendon bunching. | Mismatched tension can predispose to altered gait mechanics and potential for repair failure. Tendon bunching increases risk for adhesions. |

FHL, flexor hallucis longus; PTT, posterior tibial tendon.
with the native proximal and distal Achilles tendon stumps (Fig 10). Additional sutures from the FHL tendodesis Endobutton are then used to provide additional security, as well as aid in biologic integration to the PTT allograft using a Bunnell technique (Fig 11). These are then tied proximally.

**Wound Closure**
The tourniquet is released before closure and the wound irrigated with 500 mL of chlorhexidine saline solution. The wound is then closed in a multiple lateral fashion using 2-0 Vicryl and 2-0 Prolene. A sterile dressing and well-padded posterior splint in approximately 15° of plantarflexion are then applied.

**Postoperative Protocol**
During the immediate postoperative period, the patient is kept non-weightbearing to the operative extremity. At 4 weeks, the patient is transitioned into a controlled ankle movement walker boot with a heel insert.

**Discussion**
Achilles tendon ruptures are common injuries seen by orthopaedic surgeons. A myriad of surgical options have been used in the management of Achilles tendon ruptures, but currently no gold standard exists. Historically, nonoperative treatment was thought to be associated with higher rates of rerupture. However, newer data have shown that there is no difference in re-rupture rates in patients managed with functional rehabilitation. Still, treatment many times is based on patient and surgeon preference. Currently in difficult cases with poor-quality tendon edges and tendon gapping, autograft or allograft augmentation is often necessary to improve vascularity for healing in an already hypovascular tendon environment. A myriad of various tendon transfers have been used for augmentation with the FHL tendon autograft being preferred by most surgeons. Revision repair of a re-ruptured tendon presents a complicated situation for the orthopaedic surgeon and to date no gold standard treatment has been developed.
Given the history of success in orthopaedic literature with FHL transfer in primary rupture cases with extensive tendon gapping, we hypothesized that additional augmentation with an allograft would present a reasonable option to mitigate difficulties associated with this injury, such as tendon gapping, poor quality tissue, and a hypovascular environment. The main advantage of the use of a PTT allograft is that native anatomy is preserved through use of a tendon that in situ works in concert with the Achilles tendon and experiences similar loads. Possible disadvantages include loose improper tensioning that could lead to altered propulsion mechanics. There is also potential for overtightening leading to an unwanted varus deformity (Table 2). To our knowledge, there are no biomechanical studies evaluating the PTT in comparison to the Achilles. However, PTT allografts have been successfully used in cases of flatfoot deformity reconstruction, enabling patients to tolerate loading during gait, albeit with slightly altered mechanics.3,8 Although many techniques exist for primary repair of Achilles tendon ruptures, literature is lacking in cases of revision Achilles repair or reconstruction. Therefore the goal of our technique was to present surgeons with a technique that mitigates the difficulties of revision Achilles tendon surgery while enabling patients to avoid long-term functional sequela of re-rupture.

### Table 2. Advantages and Disadvantages

| Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Repair option for large tendon gaps not amenable to VY tendon plasty    | 1. Potential for immunologic reaction from allograft                          |
| 2. Preserves native anatomy that would otherwise be disturbed if           | 2. Potential for loose improper tensioning leading to altered propulsion       |
| augmentation needed in addition to FHL harvest                             | mechanics                                                                     |
| 3. Endobutton allows postoperative radiographic assessment of fixation    | 3. Potential for over tightening leading to varus deformity                     |
| construct where anchors would not                                          | 4. Inexperienced surgeons may need additional time to learn new technique,   |
| 4. PTT allograft acts as a checkrein in the event of FHL tendon failure     | which initially lengthens surgical time                                       |
| and vice versa                                                             | 5. Healing time increased with allograft                                      |
| 5. No cosmetic difference compared to other revision techniques            | 6. Technically demanding procedure                                            |
| 6. Tension in tendons can be adjusted as desired                           |                                                                              |

FHL, flexor hallucis longus; PTT, posterior tibial tendon.
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