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Orbital and chorioretinal manifestations of Erdheim-Chester disease treated with vemurafenib
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A B S T R A C T

Purpose: We report a patient with severe multi-organ dysfunction of unknown origin who presented with bilateral orbital and chorioretinal manifestations that led to the diagnosis of Erdheim-Chester Disease (ECD). Observations: ECD is a rare, histiocytic, proliferative disorder characterized by multi-systemic organ involvement that has historically lacked effective therapy. Our patient underwent genetic testing that was positive for the BRAF V600E mutation; therefore, the patient was treated with vemurafenib. Conclusions and importance: This case demonstrates the rare orbital and intraocular manifestations of ECD and the unfortunate impact of a delayed diagnosis, the importance of early gene therapy testing for management decisions, and the utilization of targeted directed therapy to improve visual outcomes and quality of life.

1. Introduction

We report an unusual bilateral chorioretinal and orbital presentation of Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD), which is a rare, non-Langerhans’ cell histiocytosis with various clinical manifestations that can involve many organs, including the skeleton, pericardium, lungs, endocrine, and central nervous systems. The pathogenesis of the disease is part of a dysregulated mechanism in which mutations in the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway result in uncontrolled cell survival and proliferation of histiocytes. This produces a xanthomatous infiltration into various organs, resulting in multi-systemic involvement leading to end-organ dysfunction.7

2. Case report

Our patient is a 52-year-old Vietnamese woman with history of hypothyroidism as well as multiple medical problems that lacked a unifying diagnosis. She initially presented at age 33 with anemia and leukocytosis and was diagnosed with JAK-2 negative, calreticulin positive, essential thrombocythemia (ET). She subsequently underwent numerous surgical procedures for pancreatitis, hepatomegaly, multiple portal, splenic, and mesenteric vein thromboses, recurrent chronic pleural and pericardial effusions, constrictive pericarditis, and severe restrictive lung disease. Her work up was negative for infectious, rheumatologic, or malignant etiology and numerous biopsies showed multi-organ fibrosis. She suffered from severe chronic dyspnea and abdominal bloating. Her chronic and debilitating condition with oxygen requirements resulted in multiple hospital admissions for medical complications. She was noted to have depression with intermittent suicidal ideation.

She presented to the ophthalmology clinic at age 51 with proptosis of the left eye. Ocular exam was significant for visual acuity 20/30 OD, 20/40 OS, normal intraocular pressure, no afferent pupillary defect, full extraocular movements with left upper eyelid retraction, resistance to retropulsion, and 3.5 mm of proptosis on Hertel. Dilated fundus exam revealed normal optic nerves and bilateral inferior placoid orange subretinal lesions with subretinal fluid (Fig. 1A-B). Humphrey visual field testing showed an enlarged blind spot with a superior arcuate defect (Fig. 2A). Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) of her macula demonstrated a sub-retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) hypoluculent mass associated with foveal subretinal fluid in the right eye and a similar sub-RPE hypoluculent mass with choroidal elevation without subretinal fluid in the left eye (Fig. 3A-B). Fluorescein angiography demonstrated associated hyperfluorescence of the placoid lesions with late staining consistent with non-exudative, multifocal choroidal infiltrates in both eyes (Fig. 4A-E). Magnetic resonance...
Fig. 1. (A–B): Title: Fundus photos on presentation. Caption: A, Color fundus photo of the right eye on presentation demonstrating a yellow placoid subretinal lesion along the inferior arcade extending towards the fovea and a smaller yellow lesion nasal to the optic disc. B, The left eye demonstrates similar yellow subretinal lesion along the inferior arcade with extension into the fovea and a smaller subretinal yellow lesion superotemporal to fovea. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Fig. 2. (A–B): Title: Humphrey visual field 30-2 on presentation and after treatment with vemurafenib. Caption: A, HVF 30-2 left eye on presentation with superior arcuate defects. B, HVF 30-2 left eye performed 6 weeks after initiation of treatment demonstrates improved reliability and resolution of prior defects.

Fig. 3. (A–B): Title: OCT macula on presentation. Caption: A, OCT macula of the right eye demonstrates irregularity and disruption of the RPE with sub-RPE hyperlucent mass. There is subretinal fluid within the fovea. B, The left eye demonstrates a similar choroidal elevation with sub-RPE hyperlucent mass. There is no edema.
imaging (MRI) of the brain and orbits revealed a T1/T2 hypointense, mass with heterogeneous enhancement measuring 2.9 × 1.6 × 2.5 cm located in the left superomedial orbit which displaced the optic nerve and a right 4 mm superolateral orbital lesion (Fig. 5A–B). Brain imaging showed bulky symmetric enhancing bilateral lesions along the tentorial leaflets with heterogeneous enhancement.

Transcaruncular orbitotomy with incisional biopsy revealed a yellow, rubbery mass consistent with a left orbital xanthogranuloma. Immunohistochemical testing showed that the lipid-laden cells expressed CD163, confirming histiocytic origin (Fig. 6A–B). Additionally, S100, CD1a, and langerin were negative, excluding Langerhans cell histiocytosis. Bone marrow biopsy revealed ECD involvement as manifested by hemophagocytosis and multinucleated Touton giant cells; megakaryocytic dysplasia and complex cytogenetics were also present, suspicious for myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) secondary to ET. Molecular studies confirmed the presence of the BRAF V600E mutation in both the orbital xanthogranuloma and the bone marrow aspirate. Whole body fluorine-2-fluoro-2-Deoxy-d-glucose (18F-FDG)

Fig. 4. (A–E): Title: Fluorescein angiogram on presentation. Caption: A-C, Fluorescein angiogram of the right eye in laminar filling phase demonstrates a subtle hyperfluorescence along the inferior arcade that becomes more prominent with time. In later stages, there is staining along the inferior arcade associated with RPE irregularities temporal to the fovea. This is consistent with a choroidal vascular filling process. D-E, The left eye late stages demonstrate a focal area of hyperfluorescence and slight staining temporal to the nerve.

Fig. 5. (A–B): Title: MRI orbits and brain. Caption: A, Coronal MRI T1 with contrast and fat suppression demonstrates a fairly homogenous lesion measuring 25.3mm in height that enhances with contrast and molds to the superomedial globe with displacement of the globe. B, Axial MRI T1 shows a right 4 mm superolateral orbital lesion and the left lesion displacing the optic nerve.

Fig. 6. (A–B): Title: Histopathological specimen of left intraconal and extraconal mass. Caption: A, H&E stain demonstrates abundant foamy mononuclear cell infiltrate within a background of fibrosis and inflammation. B, CD163 stain is diffusely positive, confirming cells of histiocytic origin.
Erdheim-Chester disease (ECD) is a rare, multi-systemic, histiocytic disorder that should be recognized as early as possible to minimize morbidity and mortality. Intraocular involvement is uncommon with only 5 patients reported in the literature. Present we the first reported case of bilateral intracranial, orbital, and intraocular involvement in a patient with biopsy-confirmed ECD treated with vemurafenib.

Clinical manifestations can involve the skeletal, cardiac, pulmonary, cutaneous, endocrine, and central nervous systems (CNS), resulting from histiocytic infiltration producing soft tissue thickening and chronic fibrotic disease. CNS disease, which can develop in up to 51–92% of patients, has been demonstrated to be an independent predictor of death. Orbital involvement, which can occur in 25–37% of patients, presents with retrobulbar masses that can cause visual impairment, motility disturbance, proptosis, and optic nerve edema. Additional visual disturbances related to CNS lesions can occur due to involvement of the optic nerve or chiasm. Prompt orbital biopsy with immunostaining and molecular studies can diagnose this systemic disease and permit targeted therapy. Intraocular involvement, which is very rare compared to orbital involvement, can present as choroidal infiltrates with associated serous retinal detachment or choroidal neovascular membrane. The differential diagnosis for the chorioretinal lesions found in our patient includes primary or metastatic malignancy, autoimmune conditions, infectious chorioiditis, or vascular tumors such as hemangioma. However, in the setting of biopsy-proven systemic ECD, whose fundoscopic appearance, clinical course, and ancillary testing are consistent with the 5 prior reports of ECD chorioretinal involvement in the literature (of which 1 case underwent a choriotinal biopsy with characteristic immunohistochemical testing), a diagnosis of choroidal ECD is favored. Of note, evaluation of the bilateral orbital and intraocular involvement led to the diagnosis of an advanced systemic disease as well as undiagnosed CNS involvement, which portends a poorer prognosis.

The pathogenesis of ECD is increasingly understood today as part of a dysregulated mechanism in which mutations in the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway result in uncontrolled cell survival, differentiation, and proliferation of histiocytes. Alteration of the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK-MAPK (RAS-MAPK) pathway is commonly associated with uncontrolled activation of receptor tyrosine kinases or proto-oncogene “gain of function” mutations. Other myeloid neoplasms, such as MDS, are commonly seen in ECD patients with one group reporting an overlap in 10.1% (19/189) of patients. This is applicable to our patient who had a preexisting myeloid neoplasm that underwent transformation shortly after the diagnosis of ECD. Recent genetic and molecular advances have demonstrated that activating mutations in BRAF exist in greater than 50% of ECD patients, which can
be detected in biopsies. Our patient had a positive BRAF V600E mutation, enabling her to receive vemurafenib, which is an oral therapy that is a targeted inhibitor of mutated BRAF.

Vemurafenib has been reported to rapidly ameliorate systemic symptoms of ECD with reports of marked improvement in radiologic, clinical, and laboratory markers in as early as 4 weeks. Orbital manifestations have also been reported to improve with vemurafenib in 5 patients. To our knowledge, this is the first case report describing a patient with intraocular manifestations of ECD being treated with vemurafenib. Our patient had a similar rapid response to treatment, including improved overall well-being, energy, nutrition, oxygen requirement, vision, proptosis, and HVF testing. Conversely, the chorioretinal manifestations and subretinal fluid showed no response to a single anti-VEGF injection after 6 weeks of follow-up nor to vemurafenib after 12 weeks of follow-up, although the visual acuity improved. Additional commentary about the patient's subretinal fluid, however, warrants attention as its etiology remains unclear. The central serous chorioretinopathy-like picture has previously been reported in a 3 patient case series by Tan et al. with those authors suggesting it may be due to histiocyte-induced choroidal inflammation, or more rarely, secondary choroidal neovascularization. These authors generally noted a favorable, though variable, response to anti-VEGF injections. One patient demonstrated resolution of subretinal fluid in one eye and no response in their other eye. A second patient demonstrated short-term responses to anti-VEGF injections and required re-treatment until resolution of subretinal fluid and chorioretinal infiltrates only 10 months after initiation of definitive systemic therapy with MEK-inhibitor, sorafenib (prompted by chorioretinal biopsy that confirmed a positive ARAF mutation commonly associated with abnormalities in the MEK signaling pathway). The third patient suffered progressive vision loss over 1 year despite intravitreal anti-VEGF and methotrexate injections and photodynamic therapy. One possibility, suggested by the non-exudative appearance of the lesions on FA, peri-lesional RPE changes on OCT, advanced level of disease, and lack of response to intravitreal anti-VEGF and systemic chemotherapy is that the subretinal fluid simply represents impaired RPE pump dysfunction from ECD choroidal infiltration. Whether such RPE damage occurs by direct physical trauma or choriocapillaris ischemia (as have been postulated in the pachychoroid disease spectrum) remains equivocal.

The subretinal fluid in this patient is not likely a side effect from systemic therapy (as has been described in MEK-inhibitors) since she demonstrated subretinal fluid before initiating vemurafenib. It is feasible, however, that worsening of subretinal fluid can be precipitated by BRAF inhibitors such as vemurafenib, however, with the only suggestion of this being a recent report citing a 0.41% incidence of subretinal fluid in melanoma patients placed on vemurafenib. Due to the rarity of chorioretinal manifestations associated with ECD, with only 5 reported cases in the literature to date, long-term visual outcomes with various intraocular and systemic treatments is limited. The optimal management of subretinal fluid associated with ECD remains unclear. Our case demonstrates novel evidence that vemurafenib treatment resulted in marked improvement of orbital and systemic symptoms of ECD, but did not produce equally efficacious results for subretinal fluid associated with chorioretinal infiltrates. The totality of this albeit limited data suggests that chorioretinal infiltrate and subretinal fluid resolution in ECD patients may require a longer duration of definitive systemic treatment or may not be responsive to treatment with vemurafenib.

After more than a decade of delayed diagnoses leading to disease progression, our patient had accumulated significant fibrosis and lipogranulomatous infiltration of multiple organs. By the time she presented to ophthalmology, she had simultaneous bilateral orbital and intraocular manifestations with rapid development of CNS infiltrates within 2 months. Although treatment with low dose vemurafenib enabled improvement in visual symptoms, comfort, and quality of life, her concurrent myelodysplastic syndrome and respiratory disease rapidly progressed. We suspect continued treatment with high dose vemurafenib could have significantly improved her outcome if ET had not transformed to MDS.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, ECD is a rare, systemic, histiocytic proliferative disorder often associated with a delayed diagnosis and poor prognosis. Orbital and, rarely, chorioretinal manifestations of the disease enable the ophthalmologist to play a crucial role in initial diagnosis and management of these patients. Recent molecular and genetic advances enable testing for specific mutations in the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway such as BRAF V600E that results in uncontrolled activation of receptor tyrosine kinases. If positive for a BRAF V600E mutation, the patient is a candidate for targeted therapy with vemurafenib, an inhibitor of mutated BRAF, which may yield rapid improvement in visual and systemic symptoms. Further investigation is necessary to determine the utility of vemurafenib in treatment of the chorioretinal features of this disease. Our case is the first reported case of simultaneous bilateral orbital and intraocular involvement in biopsy-confirmed ECD treated with vemurafenib.
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