Exercise and eating habits among urban adolescents: a cross-sectional study in Kolkata, India

Soumitra Kumar¹, Saumitra Ray¹, Debabrata Roy², Kajal Ganguly³, Sibananda Dutta⁴, Tanmay Mahapatra⁵,¹³*, Sanchita Mahapatra⁶, Kinnori Gupta⁷, Kaushik Chakraborty⁸, Mrinal Kanti Das⁹, Santanu Guha¹⁰, Pradip K. Deb¹¹ and Amal K. Banerjee¹²

Abstract

Background: Unhealthy eating and lack of exercise during adolescence culminated into earlier onset and increasing burden of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) worldwide. Among urban Indian adolescents, prevalence of these risk factors of CVD seemed to be high, but data regarding their pattern and predictors was limited. To address this dearth of information, a survey was conducted among urban adolescent school-students in Kolkata, a highly populated metro city in eastern India.

Methods: During January–June, 2014, 1755 students of 9th-grade were recruited through cluster (schools) random sampling. Informed consents from parents and assents from adolescents were collected. Information on socio-demographics, CVD-related knowledge and perception along with eating and exercise patterns were collected with an internally validated structured questionnaire. Descriptive and regression analyses were performed in SAS-9.3.2.

Results: Among 1652 participants (response rate = 94.1%), about 44% had poor overall knowledge about CVD, 24% perceived themselves as overweight and 60% considered their general health as good. Only 18% perceived their future CVD-risk and 29% were engaged in regular moderate-to-vigorous exercise. While 55% skipped meals regularly, 90% frequently consumed street-foods and 54% demonstrated overall poor eating habits. Males were more likely to engage in moderate-to-vigorous exercise (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 3.40(95% confidence interval = 2.55–4.54)) while students of higher SES were less likely (AOR = 0.59(0.37–0.94)). Males and those having good CVD-related knowledge were more likely to exercise at least 1 h/day (AOR = 7.77 (4.61–13.07) and 2.90(1.46–5.78) respectively). Those who perceived their future CVD-risk, skipped meals more (2.04(1.28–3.25)) while Males skipped them less (AOR = 0.62(0.42–0.93)]. Subjects from middle class ate street-foods less frequently (AOR = 0.45(0.24–0.85)]. Relatively older students and those belonging to higher SES were less likely to demonstrate good eating habits (AOR = 0.70(0.56–0.89) and 0.23(0.11–0.47) respectively). A large knowledge-practice gap was evident as students with good CVD-related knowledge were less likely to have good eating habits (AOR = 0.55(0.32–0.94)).

(Continued on next page)
Background
Exponential increase in the global burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) marked the beginning of the twenty-first century. Population aging, improved survival opportunities and rapid rise in obesogenic environment were the potential contributors. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), globally the most common cause of NCD-related deaths [1], attributed for about 17.5 million deaths in 2012 [2]. About 75% of these CVD deaths were from low-and-middle-income countries [2].

Compared to Western populations, in South Asian countries, higher prevalence and a decade earlier onset of CVDs were experienced owing to unique genetic predisposition and earlier exposure to risk factors [3, 4]. Ischemic heart disease (IHD) continued to be the most common cause of mortality among working adults (15–69 years) in Asian countries [5]. Among all major ethnic groups in South Asia, Indians were found to be at highest risk for CVDs, especially premature coronary heart diseases [4, 6, 7]. Interplay of unhealthy diet (added high sugar and refined grains), vitamin-D deficiency, tobacco use and physical inactivity contributed to this elevated risk for CVDs among Indians, especially in urban areas. In addition, the rising epidemic of type-2 diabetes mellitus further increased the vulnerability of Asian Indians to IHD [8, 9].

Thus a clear understanding of the potential predictors of CVDs appeared to be crucial for appropriate designing and timely (before the initiation of atherosclerosis) implementation of preventive interventions to control the rising tide of CVDs [10, 11]. Clustering of cardiovascular risk factors and initiation (appearance of fatty streaks) of atherosclerotic CVDs start in the second decade of life and get influenced by genetic and environmental exposures (serum lipid concentrations-smoking/obesity/hyperglycemia) during lifetime [12–14]. Furthermore, sustained high blood pressure was observed to accelerate atherosclerosis in the third decade of life [12, 15–17]. Rapid urbanization probably exposed individuals to these life-threatening yet modifiable/reversible risk factors quite early in life [11, 18]. For example, worldwide, among school-aged children, 10% were overweight [19] and >3% children and adolescents were hypertensive [20]. In addition, inadequate knowledge regarding CVDs coupled with low-risk perceptions among adolescents further heightened their susceptibility for CVDs [21].

Alike Western countries, South Asian children were also at high risk of developing CVDs in their future life, mostly because of deleterious life-styles and behaviors [22]. Among urban Indians, exposure to multiple risk factors of CVD was evidenced during adolescence and dramatically increased by 30–39 years of age [23]. Among Indian school children, high prevalence of overweight (14.4%), obesity (2.8%), sustained high blood pressure [24, 25], coupled with maternal and fetal under-nutrition were suspected to increase the future risk of CVDs [13].

Quality data regarding exercise and eating habits along with related knowledge, perceptions and consequent practices related to the risk of future CVDs in this target population were limited in India. The aim of this study was thus to assess the CVD-related knowledge, health perceptions (especially about future CVD-risk), eating habits, exercise patterns and their interplay among urban adolescent students in Kolkata, a metropolitan city in eastern India.

Methods
Design
A cross-sectional study was conducted among adolescent school students of Kolkata between January and December 2014. Students of 9th grade (aged 14–16 years) were selected as the study population group, as a proxy for the adolescent school-children of metropolitan Kolkata.

Sample size and sampling strategy
Cluster random sampling strategy was used for the study using schools as the clusters. The rate of homogeneity (roh) between clusters was assumed to be equal to the intra-cluster correlations owing to the single-stage sampling method [26, 27]. Considering the possibility that characteristics relevant to this study (socio-demographics, CVD related knowledge and perception, exercise patterns and eating habits) were likely to be more correlated among the students within the same schools, we empirically assumed roh = 0.2, a relatively higher value, as per standard recommendations [26, 28].

Based on the information collected from all the governing bodies of the operational educational boards in Kolkata, the average number of students studying in the 9th grade per school was found to be approximately
75. Hence assuming the average cluster size (b) to be 75, using the formula: $D = 1 + (b-1)\rho \sigma^2$, the design effect, $D$ was calculated to be $= 13.8$ [26–28].

Appropriate population parameter was not available from the study area. Hence following the most conservative approach to ensure the recruitment of adequate subjects for determining the estimates with 95% confidence interval having a standard error of 0.05 (s), we empirically used 0.5 as the expected proportion (p).

Using this design effect and other assumptions as mentioned before, according to the appropriate formula [required sample size, $n = p(1-p)D/ s^2$], 1580 students were required to be recruited from 21 schools [no. of clusters (schools), $c = p(1-p)D/s^2b = 21.067$]. Assuming 10% non-response we planned to invite 1755 students and their guardians to participate in our study.

Selection of schools
Initially, an exhaustive list of 426 secondary-level schools in Kolkata metropolitan area was prepared. The schools were stratified according to the socio-economic strata (higher/middle/low) they generally catered as well as the type of students enrolled (co-educational/boys only/girls only). Ensuring the recruitment of at least two schools per strata, using stratified random sampling based on school types and socio-economic status (SES) with probability proportion to size, 21 schools were selected from the list. With administrative support from the Department of School Education, Government of West Bengal, all these schools were invited. Time, date and venue of data collection were finalized ensuring maximum attendance, after completion of necessary formalities in 19 schools who agreed to participate. All students of the selected schools and their parents (preferably mothers) were invited through written letters to participate in our study.

Study population
All 9th grade students present on the day of data collection in the selected schools, were recruited for the study if accompanied by one of their guardians (preferably mothers), agreed to participate by providing written voluntary assents and their accompanying guardians or their legally authorized representative provided written informed consents. Students with any medical or psychiatric illnesses preventing normal communications were excluded from the study.

Data collection and measures
Information was collected through a self-administered, structured questionnaire, which was pre-tested for internal consistency and internally validated [29] in a sample of 160 students (approximately 10% of the total sample size) of same grade, recruited from two randomly selected schools of the study area. Collected socio-demographic information included: age, gender and SES of the students (based on family income).

CVD-related knowledge was assessed in the following five domains: CVDs in general (heard of heart attacks/causes/symptoms), high blood pressure (cause/symptoms), risk factors for CVDs (smoking/obesity/low cholesterol/raised sugar/stress/high fiber diet/positive family history/physical activity), prevention of CVDs and healthy nutritional habits. Based on standard textbooks and guidelines, correct and incorrect responses to individual knowledge-assessment questions were scored as 1 and 0 respectively. To estimate domain-specific and overall knowledge related to CVDs, the corresponding and overall scores were respectively summed up, log-transformed and categorized based on tertile distributions (lower = poor/middle = average/upper = good level of knowledge).

Self-perceptions regarding body-weight (normal/underweight/overweight), future risk for CVDs (yes/no) and overall health (poor/average/good) were also assessed.

To understand the exercise habit, type of activity and corresponding duration were enquired. Based on guideline of World Health Organization (WHO) for adolescent health [30], moderate to vigorous activity for at least 60 min/day on average was considered as adequate exercise.

On the other hand, to elicit the eating habit and related behavior, frequency of major meals/day (1–2/3/>3 times), frequency of snacking (<3/4/>4 times), history of skipping meals (never/sometimes/often) and history of eating outside home (never/sometimes/often) were recorded. Average frequency of food intake for five times (including meals and snacks) was regarded as approximately appropriate as per the standard recommendations [31, 32]. Overall eating habit was determined by scoring the relatively poorer eating habits (captured based on inappropriate frequency of intake, skipping meals and eating fast foods) [31–34], in descending order and then after log-transformation of the scores, categorization as per the tertile distribution similarly as knowledge.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive analyses of the study variables were performed to determine the mean (for age) and proportions (for categorical variables) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). Bivariate and multiple (adjusting for age, gender, SES and family history) regression analyses were performed next to measure the associations [odds ratio (OR) for bivariate and adjusted odds ratios (AOR) for multiple logistic regressions] of socio-demographic characteristics/knowledge/perceptions with exercise habit and dietary practices as well as between knowledge and perceptions regarding CVDs. Multinomial logistic regression was used when outcome variables having more than two categories. SAS version 9.3.2 was used for all statistical analyses.
Results

Of 1755 invited students, 1652 did participate in our study (response rate of 94.1%). The mean age was 14.2 years. Proportion of females was slightly higher than males. Nearly two-third of the participants belonged to middle class families. Positive family history of CVDs was reported by about one-fifth of the students. While the majority had poor overall knowledge regarding CVDs and their prevention, a small proportion was found to have good knowledge. Domain-wise, the majority of the students had poor knowledge about CVDs, their risk factors, prevention, high blood pressure and related abnormalities as well as healthy nutritional habits. More than half of the students perceived their body weight as normal while less than a quarter perceived themselves as overweight. Less than a third of the interviewed subjects were engaged in regular moderate-to-vigorous exercise and adequate (at least 1 h) daily physical activity. Regarding dietary history, frequent snacking (four times or more/day) and eating street-foods (in the last week) were quite common (reported by more than half and one third respectively). On the other hand, consumption of more than three major meals/day and frequent skipping of meals were reported by more than 10%. Based on their dietary history, more than half of participants were found to have overall poor eating-habits (Table 1).

Better knowledge (compared to poor, average or good knowledge) regarding CVDs in general, its risk factors, prevention and healthy eating among these urban adolescent school-goers was associated with having the perception regarding future risk of CVDs [for good knowledge respective Adjusted Odds Ratio, AORs were: 1.59(1.05–2.39), 2.51(1.64–3.85), 2.13(1.35–3.35) and 1.85(1.22–2.81)] (Table 2).

Compared to females, male students were more likely to perform moderate/vigorous activities as were those who had better (compared to poor) knowledge regarding raised blood pressure [AOR respectively: 3.40(2.55–4.54) and 1.39(1.03–1.88)]. Students belonging to upper SES had lower odds of being involved in such activities compared to their counterparts belonging to lower SES [AOR = 0.59(0.37–0.94)].

Regarding duration of exercise, older subjects were less likely to be physically active for at least 1 h per day [AOR = 0.77(0.64–0.94)]. Compared to females, male students were physically more active [AOR = 7.77(4.61–13.07)]. Good knowledge regarding overall CVD, its risk factors and healthy eating was also associated with higher odds of being physically active for adequate duration [AORs respectively: 2.90(1.46–5.78), 2.06(1.09–3.90) and 2.23(1.12–4.40)] (Table 3).

Increase in age was associated with intake of higher number of meals [AOR3 meals = 1.27(1.08–1.51) and AOR > 3 meals = 1.27(1.01–1.59), reference = 1–2 meals]. Compared to females, males had higher frequency of major meals and snacking [AOR > 3 meals = 1.53(1.02–2.30), reference = 1/2 meals; AOR4 times snacking = 1.61(1.23–2.10) and AOR > 4 times snacking = 1.68(1.04–2.71), reference = ≤3 times]. Male students were also less likely to skip meals [AOR = 0.62(0.42–0.93), reference = never]. With reference to those belonging to lower SES, affluent participants had higher likelihood of taking more meals/day [AOR3 meals = 8.67(5.26–14.29) and AOR > 3 meals = 11.90(5.01–28.29), reference = 1/2 meals] and eating food outside. [AORsometimes = 2.78(1.18–6.53), reference = never].

Regarding snacking habit, students belonging to higher SES were also likely to have less frequency [AOR4 times = 0.57(0.37–0.87) and AOR > 4 times =0.20(0.09–0.49), reference = never].

Participants who had better knowledge (reference poor) regarding CVDs in general, its risk factors, healthy eating habits and overall about CVD with its prevention were more likely to eat >3 major meals/day [respectively AORs: 1.74(1.07–2.84), 2.17(1.34–3.53), 2.08(1.26–3.43) and 2.90(1.72–4.88)]. With the same reference, participants with good knowledge regarding healthy nutritional habits had higher odds of snacking [AOR = 1.55(1.09–2.22)].

Subjects who perceived themselves to be overweight were less likely to take higher number of meals per day [AOR3 meals = 0.63(0.45–0.89) and AOR > 3 meals = 0.42(0.25–0.70), ref. = 1/2 meals] and to eat outside [AOR = 0.45(0.28–0.72)] relative to who perceived themselves as normal weight. Individuals who perceived themselves at risk for future CVDs were more likely to take >3 meals per day [AOR = 1.62(1.02–2.58)] and skip meals [AOR = 2.04(1.28–3.25)] than those who did not perceive themselves to be at risk (Table 4).

Overall frequency of eating increased with age [AOR > appropriate = 1.24(1.05–1.47), reference = 5 times]. Considering major meals and snacking together, participants with good knowledge regarding risk factors [AOR = 1.84(1.17–2.91)], healthy nutritional habits [AOR = 1.69(1.05–2.71)] as well as overall good knowledge [AOR = 2.19(1.34–3.58)] were more likely to take food >5 times/day than those with poor knowledge.

Older students [AORaverage = 0.82(0.69–0.98) and AORgood = 0.70(0.56–0.89)], those from higher SES [AOR = 0.23(0.11–0.47)], who had overall good knowledge regarding CVDs and their prevention [AOR = 0.55(0.32–0.94)] and perceived themselves to be at higher risk for future CVDs [AOR = 0.54(0.36–0.80)] were less likely to practice healthy eating behaviors. (Table 5).

Discussion

Current distribution reveals that persons belonging to 10–24 years age-group (1.8 billion) constitute the largest component of the global population and 1.5 billion of them hail from resource-poor settings [25, 35]. Adoption
| Continuous variables | Number | Mean (95%CI) |
|----------------------|--------|--------------|
| Age in years         | 1652   | 14.2(14.1–14.2) |

| Categorical variables | Categories | Number | Percentage (95%CI) |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|--------------------|
| Gender of student     | Female     | 885    | 53.6(51.2–56.0)    |
|                       | Male       | 767    | 46.4(44.0–48.8)    |
| Socio-economic status | Lower      | 276    | 17.0(15.2–18.8)    |
|                       | Middle     | 1012   | 62.3(60.0–64.7)    |
|                       | Upper      | 336    | 20.7(18.7–22.7)    |
| Family history of death due to CVD | No | 854 | 78.7(76.3–81.2) |
|                       | Yes        | 231    | 21.3(18.9–23.7)    |
| Level of knowledge regarding CVD in general | Poor | 677 | 41.0(38.6–43.4) |
| Blood pressure and its abnormalities | Average | 603 | 36.5(34.2–38.8) |
|                       | Good       | 372    | 22.5(20.5–24.5)    |
| Risk factors for CVDs | Poor       | 662    | 40.1(37.7–42.4)    |
| Prevention of CVDs    | Average    | 607    | 36.7(34.4–39.1)    |
|                       | Good       | 383    | 23.2(21.2–25.2)    |
| Healthy nutritional habits | Poor | 847 | 51.3(48.9–53.7) |
| CVD and their prevention (Overall) | Average | 647 | 39.2(36.8–41.5) |
|                       | Good       | 286    | 17.3(15.5–19.1)    |
| Self-perception about Own body size | Normal | 961 | 58.7(56.4–61.1) |
|                       | Underweight | 288 | 17.6(15.8–19.5) |
|                       | Overweight | 387    | 23.7(21.6–25.7)    |
|                       | Obese      | -      | -                  |
| Own future risk of CVDs | No | 1324 | 81.8(79.9–83.7) |
|                       | Yes        | 295    | 18.2(16.3–20.1)    |
| Own overall health    | Good       | 993    | 60.5(58.1–62.8)    |
|                       | Average    | 573    | 34.9(32.6–37.2)    |
|                       | Poor       | 76     | 4.63(3.61–5.65)    |
| Exercise              | Moderate and Vigorous Activity | No | 1171 | 71.0(68.8–73.2) |
|                       |            | Yes    | 479 | 29.0(26.8–31.2) |
|                       | Exercise   | No Exercise | 197 | 12.0(10.4–13.6) |
|                       |            | Inadequate | 965 | 58.8(56.4–61.2) |
|                       |            | Adequate | 479 | 29.2(27.0–31.4) |
| Dietary history       | Meals taken/day | One/two | 867 | 52.6(50.2–55.0) |
|                       |            | Three | 596 | 36.1(33.8–38.5) |
|                       |            | > Three | 186 | 11.3(9.8–12.8) |
of healthy behaviors during adolescence is expected to serve as the foundation for ensuring longer life expectancy for this population group. Adolescence is considered as the period of vulnerability as well as the optimum opportunity to modify health-related risk behaviors [35]. On the contrary, young adults are always considered to be healthy and global health planners mostly neglect their health needs. Although some standardized health indicators are available for young in Western countries, such indicators are almost nonexistent in developing world. Moreover, adolescents’ risk perceptions in relation to health-related behaviors appears to be crucial in determining long-term health consequences [36–41]. Thus, educating adolescents regarding negative impacts of risk-taking and encouraging them to take responsibility of their own health seemed crucial in controlling adolescent health situation in countries like India.

Knowledge regarding CVDs and their prevention
In this relatively large cross-sectional study, involving adolescent school-students of a metro city (Kolkata) in India, overall knowledge regarding CVDs and their prevention was observed to be poor among participants. The level of knowledge varied considerably across domains. About 60% students had average/good knowledge regarding CVDs in general. Similar findings were also reported from other states in India [42, 43] In our study about 23% of participants had good knowledge regarding risk factors for CVDs. Knowledge regarding lifestyle risk factors of NCDs was observed to be even lower among students in Kerala [44], as well as in Michigan [21] and Arizona [45] in US but relatively higher in Nepal [46]. A significant proportion of students in Pune reported obesity, physical inactivity and smoking as predictors of CVDs but could not identify other potential risk factors like serum cholesterol and hypertension [42]. Innovative and friendly educational intervention strategies should be developed for youth so that the gaps in knowledge about CVDs could be addressed successfully.

Perceptions
Understanding the risk-associated thoughts and response was found to be fundamental in shaping perceptions to promote healthy behaviors. Slovic suggested that unfamiliar risks (new/unknown) were mostly avoided by people whereas familiar risks if perceived as controllable or self-chosen culminated into reduction of importance [47]. In addition, body image perception of adolescents and resultant dissatisfaction might negatively affect adolescent health behaviors [48, 49]. In our study, only 23.7% perceived themselves as overweight and they demonstrated relatively healthy eating habits. Care should also be taken to ensure that youth perception of overweight does not culminate into depression and harmful weight control practices.

About 82% of the participating adolescents did not perceive themselves to be at risk for future CVDs and even those who perceived the risk showed poor dietary practices.

Table 1 Distribution of the study variables among participating adolescent school-students of Kolkata (N = 1652) (Continued)

| Snacks taken/day       | ≤ Three | 806  | 48.9(46.5–51.4) |
|------------------------|---------|------|-----------------|
|                        | Four times | 690  | 41.9(39.5–44.3) |
|                        | > Four times | 151  | 9.2(7.8–10.6)   |
| Average frequency of food intake | Approximately appropriate | 785  | 47.6(45.2–50.0) |
|                        | More than appropriate | 632  | 38.3(36.0–40.7) |
|                        | Much more than appropriate | 232  | 14.1(12.4–15.8) |
| Skipping meals         | Never    | 736  | 44.8(42.4–47.2) |
|                        | Sometimes | 690  | 42.0(39.6–44.4) |
|                        | Often    | 216  | 13.2(11.5–14.8) |
| Eating food in the street-shop/restaurant | Never | 161  | 9.9(8.4–11.3)   |
|                        | Sometimes | 870  | 53.3(50.9–55.7) |
|                        | Often    | 601  | 36.8(34.5–39.2) |
| Overall eating habit   | Poor     | 888  | 53.5(51.4–56.2) |
|                        | Average  | 472  | 28.6(26.4–30.8) |
|                        | Good     | 292  | 17.7(15.8–19.5) |

* CI Confidence intervals  
* SES Socio-economic status of the families of the students  
* CVD Cardio-vascular diseases
### Table 2: Association between CVD-related knowledge and perception among participating adolescent school-students of Kolkata (N = 1652)

| Level of knowledge regarding | Categories | Perception | CVD (Reference = Poor) Average | Underweight AOR b (95%CI c) p Value | Overweight AOR b (95%CI c) p Value | Yes AOR b (95%CI c) p Value | Poor AOR b (95%CI c) p Value |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                             |           | Regarding body size (Reference = Normal) |                                |                                      |                                     |                                |                               |
|                             |           | Underweight | 1.44(0.96–2.17) 0.077          | 1.25(0.89–1.76) 0.206              | 1.35(0.92–1.97) 0.124              | 0.96(0.71–1.30) 0.780          | 1.13(0.58–2.18) 0.717         |
|                             |           | Overweight  | 1.20(0.75–1.90) 0.449          | 1.15(0.79–1.69) 0.462              | 1.59(1.05–2.39) 0.027              | 0.90(0.64–1.27) 0.557          | 0.57(0.23–1.39) 0.216         |
|                             |           | Good       | 1.12(0.76–1.65) 0.560          | 0.99(0.71–1.37) 0.946              | 1.14(0.80–1.62) 0.483              | 0.66(0.49–0.89) 0.006          | 0.61(0.30–1.24) 0.171         |
|                             |           | Average    | 1.18(0.68–2.06) 0.552          | 1.09(0.68–1.73) 0.733              | 1.39(0.86–2.26) 0.181              | 0.77(0.50–1.18) 0.226          | 0.77(0.28–2.08) 0.604         |
|                             |           | Good       | 0.94(0.62–1.42) 0.780          | 1.16(0.82–1.64) 0.407              | 2.06(1.37–3.11) 0.001              | 1.04(0.76–1.42) 0.799          | 1.20(0.60–2.42) 0.605         |
|                             |           | Good       | 1.28(0.81–2.03) 0.293          | 1.30(0.89–1.91) 0.179              | 2.51(1.64–3.85) <0.001             | 1.26(0.89–1.78) 0.188          | 0.99(0.44–2.24) 0.983         |
|                             |           | Average    | 1.40(0.95–2.07) 0.093          | 1.25(0.90–1.73) 0.184              | 1.83(1.27–2.63) 0.001              | 1.05(0.78–1.42) 0.727          | 1.35(0.68–2.65) 0.391         |
|                             |           | Good       | 0.97(0.56–1.69) 0.918          | 0.80(0.50–1.26) 0.336              | 2.13(1.35–3.35) 0.001              | 1.04(0.70–1.56) 0.839          | 1.40(0.58–3.37) 0.458         |
|                             |           | Average    | 1.05(0.70–1.59) 0.804          | 1.16(0.82–1.63) 0.399              | 1.31(0.90–1.90) 0.164              | 0.93(0.69–1.26) 0.644          | 1.30(0.64–2.65) 0.468         |
|                             |           | Good       | 1.02(0.62–1.68) 0.940          | 1.07(0.71–1.61) 0.757              | 1.85(1.22–2.81) 0.004              | 0.78(0.53–1.14) 0.192          | 1.45(0.65–3.21) 0.365         |
|                             |           | Good       | 0.90(0.60–1.34) 0.586          | 1.22(0.87–1.70) 0.252              | 2.02(1.36–3.00) 0.001              | 0.92(0.68–1.24) 0.577          | 1.34(0.67–2.71) 0.410         |
|                             |           | Good       | 1.09(0.66–1.81) 0.729          | 1.13(0.74–1.74) 0.571              | 2.83(1.80–4.47) <0.001             | 0.95(0.65–1.40) 0.802          | 1.44(0.61–3.43) 0.410         |

**Notes:**
- CVD: Cardio-vascular diseases
- AOR: Adjusted odds ratio (adjusted for: age, gender, socio-economic status and family history)
- CI: Confidence intervals
- Boldfaced figures indicate statistically significant results where p value was <0.05
Table 3: Association of socio-demographics, CVD-related knowledge and perception with exercise habits among participating adolescent school-students of Kolkata (N = 1652)

| Variables                                                   | Categories     | Exercise (Reference = No) | Exercise                                      | Inadequate exercise | Adequate exercise |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                                                              |                | Moderate and Vigorous Activity |                                             |                     |                   |
| Age in years                                                 |                | 1.00 (0.84–1.18) 0.956 | 0.77 (0.64–0.94) 0.009 | 0.82 (0.66–1.02) 0.072 |
| Gender of student (Reference = Female)                       | Male           | 3.40 (2.55–4.54) <0.001 | 2.63 (1.61–4.29) <0.001 | 7.77 (4.61–13.07) <0.001 |
| Socio-economic status (Reference = Lower)                    | Middle         | 0.96 (0.65–1.42) 0.839 | 0.84 (0.46–1.52) 0.557 | 0.81 (0.42–1.56) 0.531 |
|                                                              | Upper          | 0.59 (0.37–0.94) 0.026 | 1.01 (0.50–2.02) 0.981 | 0.58 (0.27–1.25) 0.1634 |
| Family history of death due to cardio-vascular diseases (Reference = No) |                | 1.23 (0.88–1.72) 0.225 | 0.98 (0.60–1.60) 0.942 | 1.21 (0.71–2.05) 0.490 |
| Level of knowledge regarding cardio-vascular diseases (Reference = Poor) | Average        | 1.08 (0.79–1.49) 0.637 | 0.88 (0.56–1.39) 0.592 | 0.96 (0.59–1.59) 0.885 |
|                                                              | Good           | 1.12 (0.79–1.59) 0.523 | 1.05 (0.62–1.80) 0.852 | 1.17 (0.65–2.10) 0.592 |
| Blood pressure and its abnormalities (Reference = Poor)      | Average        | 1.39 (1.03–1.88) 0.031 | 1.16 (0.74–1.81) 0.514 | 1.57 (0.97–2.55) 0.068 |
|                                                              | Good           | 1.17 (0.76–1.79) 0.479 | 1.00 (0.64–1.55) 0.992 | 1.04 (0.64–1.70) 0.872 |
| Risk factors for cardio-vascular diseases (Reference = Poor) | Average        | 1.05 (0.76–1.44) 0.769 | 2.38 (1.32–4.30) 0.004 | 2.06 (1.09–3.90) 0.026 |
|                                                              | Good           | 0.97 (0.68–1.38) 0.851 |                       |                     |                   |
| Prevention of cardio-vascular diseases (Reference = Poor)    | Average        | 0.85 (0.62–1.15) 0.295 | 1.42 (0.91–2.22) 0.122 | 1.14 (0.70–1.86) 0.603 |
|                                                              | Good           | 1.04 (0.70–1.55) 0.841 | 1.81 (0.93–3.51) 0.079 | 1.74 (0.86–3.54) 0.126 |
| Healthy nutritional habits (Reference = Poor)                | Average        | 1.03 (0.76–1.42) 0.832 | 1.91 (1.18–3.07) 0.008 | 1.79 (1.07–3.01) 0.028 |
|                                                              | Good           | 0.94 (0.64–1.37) 0.832 | 2.71 (1.44–5.09) 0.002 | 2.23 (1.12–4.40) 0.022 |
| Cardio-vascular diseases and their prevention (Overall) (Reference = Poor) | Average        | 0.87 (0.64–1.19) 0.385 | 2.55 (1.62–4.01) <0.001 | 1.91 (1.16–3.15) 0.011 |
|                                                              | Good           | 1.18 (0.81–1.72) 0.403 | 2.89 (1.52–5.52) 0.001 | 2.90 (1.46–5.78) 0.002 |
| Perception                                                   | Underweight    | 0.94 (0.63–1.39) 0.742 | 1.39 (0.75–2.59) 0.295 | 1.25 (0.64–2.45) 0.508 |
|                                                              | Overweight     | 0.99 (0.71–1.38) 0.958 | 1.22 (0.75–1.98) 0.421 | 1.18 (0.69–2.00) 0.553 |
| Do you feel that you might be at risk for future heart diseases? (Reference = No) | Yes            | 1.09 (0.76–1.56) 0.631 | 1.28 (0.74–2.21) 0.375 | 1.35 (0.74–2.44) 0.328 |
| In general, how would you describe your health? (Reference = Good) | Average        | 0.86 (0.64–1.17) 0.336 | 1.17 (0.76–1.80) 0.471 | 0.98 (0.61–1.57) 0.926 |
|                                                              | Poor           | 1.22 (0.62–2.37) 0.564 | 2.62 (0.76–9.06) 0.128 | 2.81 (0.76–10.43) 0.122 |

1. CVD Cardio-vascular diseases
2. AOR Adjusted odds ratio (adjusted for: age, gender, socio-economic status and family history)
3. CI Confidence interval

Bofaced figures indicate statistically significant results where p value was <0.05
(compared to those with poor knowledge) to perceive themselves at risk for future CVDs. Promotion of school-based cardiovascular health programs might be crucial in dispelling myths and misconceptions with eventual prevention of early onset atherosclerotic changes in arterial walls.

Positive family history
Consistent with previous studies [43], little above one-fifth participants had positive family history of CVDs while in Kerala about 2.9% had such history [44]. Early screening of these at-risk children and appropriate interventions might be effective in prevention of early onset CVDs.

Physical activity
Less than 30% subjects reported to get engage in moderate-to-vigorous exercises regularly which was lower than that reported among school-aged children in Delhi [43] and in Gujarat [50] but higher than in Kerala [44]. Inadequate physical activity was also reported from Ontario, US [51] and in Uttarakhand, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh in India [52]. Consistent with previous research in Brazil [53] and Taiwan [35], male students were more likely to be physically active in our study. Previous studies suggested a probable decline in physical activity during transition from adolescence into adulthood, especially between 15 and 23 years [54, 55]. One of the probable reasons might be that as adolescents get

Table 4 Association of socio-demographics, CVD \(^a\)-related knowledge and perception with components of eating habits among participating adolescent school-students of Kolkata (\(N = 1652\))

| Variables | Categories | Meals taken/day (Reference = One/two) | Snacks taken/day? (Reference = Three times or less) |
|-----------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|           |            | Three | More than three | Four times | More than four times |
|           |            | AOR \(^b\) (95%CI \(^c\)) | p value | AOR \(^b\) (95%CI \(^c\)) | p value | AOR \(^b\) (95%CI \(^c\)) | p value | AOR \(^b\) (95%CI \(^c\)) | p value |
| Age in years |            | 1.27(1.08–1.51) | 0.004 | 1.27(1.01–1.59) | 0.044 | 0.90(0.77–1.05) | 0.184 | 0.93(0.71–1.22) | 0.616 |
| Other socio-demographic factors | Gender of student (Reference = Female) | Male | 1.05(0.79–1.41) | 0.739 | 1.53(1.02–2.30) | 0.039 | 1.61(1.23–2.10) | <0.001 | 1.68(1.04–2.71) | 0.034 |
| | Socio-economic status (Reference = Lower) | Middle | 1.91(1.24–2.93) | 0.003 | 3.62(1.61–8.10) | 0.002 | 0.86(0.59–1.26) | 0.447 | 0.74(0.41–1.34) | 0.314 |
| | Family history of death due to CVD (Reference = No) | Yes | 8.67(5.26–14.29) | <0.001 | 11.90(5.01–28.29) | <0.001 | 0.57(0.37–0.87) | 0.010 | 0.20(0.09–0.49) | <0.001 |
| Level of knowledge regarding | CVD (Reference = Poor) | Average | 1.46(1.05–2.01) | 0.023 | 1.42(0.89–2.27) | 0.145 | 0.80(0.60–1.08) | 0.146 | 1.16(0.68–1.98) | 0.592 |
| | Blood pressure and its abnormalities (Reference = Poor) | Good | 1.24(0.86–1.79) | 0.245 | 1.74(1.07–2.84) | 0.027 | 1.04(0.75–1.45) | 0.799 | 1.25(0.68–2.29) | 0.477 |
| | Risk factors for CVD (Reference = Poor) | Average | 1.42(1.13–1.80) | 0.003 | 1.53(1.07–2.18) | 0.021 | 0.91(0.72–1.15) | 0.421 | 1.23(0.84–1.79) | 0.289 |
| | Prevention of CVD (Reference = Poor) | Good | 1.12(0.71–1.77) | 0.627 | 1.76(1.00–3.11) | 0.051 | 0.94(0.63–1.41) | 0.779 | 0.75(0.33–1.68) | 0.482 |
| | Healthy nutritional habits (Reference = Poor) | Average | 1.51(1.09–2.09) | 0.014 | 1.18(0.73–1.90) | 0.509 | 1.03(0.76–1.39) | 0.867 | 1.26(0.75–2.14) | 0.385 |
| | CVD and their prevention (Overall) (Reference = Poor) | Good | 1.28(0.94–1.75) | 0.118 | 1.41(0.91–2.17) | 0.122 | 1.12(0.84–1.50) | 0.430 | 1.37(0.81–2.31) | 0.243 |
| Perception regarding | Own body size (Reference = Normal) | Average | 1.81(1.20–2.74) | 0.005 | 1.64(0.93–2.89) | 0.087 | 0.95(0.65–1.39) | 0.787 | 1.64(0.85–3.19) | 0.142 |
| | Future risk of CVDs (Reference = No) | Good | 1.32(0.96–1.82) | 0.086 | 1.75(0.88–8.44) | 0.476 | 1.22(0.91–8.64) | 0.189 | 1.03(0.60–1.78) | 0.912 |
| | Own overall health (Reference = Good) | Average | 1.46(0.99–2.16) | 0.058 | 2.08(1.26–3.43) | 0.004 | 1.55(1.09–2.22) | 0.015 | 1.35(0.71–2.57) | 0.355 |
| | | Good | 1.57(1.14–2.15) | 0.006 | 1.14(0.72–1.82) | 0.583 | 0.98(0.73–1.31) | 0.888 | 0.91(0.54–1.54) | 0.736 |
| | | Average | 2.36(1.57–3.54) | <0.001 | 2.90(1.72–4.88) | <0.001 | 1.29(0.89–1.85) | 0.177 | 1.17(0.60–2.27) | 0.652 |

\(^a\) CVD Cardio-vascular diseases, \(^b\) AOR Adjusted odds ratio (adjusted for: age, gender, socio-economic status and family history), \(^c\) CI Confidence interval

Bofaced figures indicate statistically significant results where \(p\) value was <0.05
older, they lack self-motivation and become less compliant to healthy advices. On the other hand we observed that students with good/average knowledge regarding CVDs were somewhat physically active. Based on this observation, it seemed reasonable to hypothesize that adolescents who were well informed about negative health effects of physical inactivity were possibly more motivated to be physically active.

**Eating habits**

More than half of the participants of the current study demonstrated poor eating-behaviors. Older subjects, males and those who were economically better-placed, took more than appropriate number of meals (major meals & snacks). Similar observations were also reported among adolescents from Arab [56], Europe [57], China [58] and other states in India: Baroda [31], Mysore [30], Uttarakhand, Maharashtra, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh [52]. There were huge gaps between knowledge about CVDs and eating behavior among study participants. Subjects with good knowledge were likely to take higher number of meals and snacks per day. This observation might be explained by possible influence of frequency and pattern of eating in family. Friendly interactions between adolescents and their parents/teachers/nutritionists at regular interval might be effective in shaping their eating habits.

Although higher physical inactivity has often been linked with increased risk of morbidity and mortality, an estimated 60% of population in the world do not perform recommended exercise regularly. As per WHO estimates, 80% of premature heart diseases and 80% of diabetes could be prevented by interplay of healthy diet, physical activity and tobacco avoidance [35]. Moreover, analysis of Northern Finland birth cohort data revealed that risk of obesity was significantly lower in adolescents who ate five meals/day [32] while intake of inappropriate number of meals/day was associated with future development of obesity [59]. Thus, early identification of these unattended risk factors through screening, timely interventions and raising awareness about healthy lifestyle during adolescence might be more effective in controlling CVD epidemics in India. Furthermore, lifestyle modifications at younger age might be more successful than

---

**Table 4** Association of socio-demographics, CVD-related knowledge and perception with components of eating habits among participating adolescent school-students of Kolkata (N = 1652) (Continued)

| Socio-demographics, knowledge and perception | Skipping meals (Reference = Never) | Eating food in the street-shop/restaurant (Reference = Never) |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables                                   | Sometimes                         | Often                                                       |
|                                             | AOR (95%CI)                        | p value           | AOR (95%CI)                        | p value           |
| Age in years                                | 1.05(3.95–1.23)                   | 0.572            | 1.11(0.90–1.37)                   | 0.328            |
| Other socio-demographic factors             | 1.00(0.61–1.04)                   | 0.099            | 0.62(0.42–0.93)                   | 0.021            |
|                                             | 0.87(0.60–1.28)                   | 0.482            | 0.77(0.45–1.33)                   | 0.348            |
|                                             | 1.29(0.83–2.00)                   | 0.257            | 1.06(0.56–1.96)                   | 0.862            |
|                                             | 1.20(0.87–1.66)                   | 0.275            | 1.16(0.72–1.86)                   | 0.541            |
| Level of knowledge regarding               | 1.10(0.81–1.49)                   | 0.536            | 1.15(0.74–1.78)                   | 0.538            |
|                                             | 1.21(0.87–1.70)                   | 0.256            | 1.02(0.61–1.69)                   | 0.947            |
|                                             | 1.14(0.90–1.43)                   | 0.282            | 1.00(0.71–1.41)                   | 1.00             |
|                                             | 1.19(0.78–1.80)                   | 0.422            | 1.14(0.62–2.07)                   | 0.675            |
|                                             | 1.05(0.77–1.42)                   | 0.780            | 0.92(0.59–1.42)                   | 0.694            |
|                                             | 1.00(0.71–1.41)                   | 0.984            | 0.80(0.48–1.33)                   | 0.388            |
|                                             | 1.15(0.86–1.54)                   | 0.341            | 0.83(0.54–1.29)                   | 0.417            |
|                                             | 1.05(0.71–1.54)                   | 0.812            | 0.93(0.53–1.63)                   | 0.788            |
|                                             | 1.13(0.84–1.53)                   | 0.427            | 0.91(0.58–1.42)                   | 0.671            |
|                                             | 1.07(0.75–1.53)                   | 0.709            | 0.85(0.49–1.45)                   | 0.546            |
|                                             | 1.20(0.89–1.61)                   | 0.237            | 1.00(0.65–1.54)                   | 0.998            |
|                                             | 1.09(0.75–1.58)                   | 0.653            | 0.74(0.42–1.31)                   | 0.297            |
| Perception regarding                        | 0.96(0.66–1.40)                   | 0.827            | 1.12(0.64–1.94)                   | 0.699            |
|                                             | 0.94(0.68–1.39)                   | 0.688            | 1.24(0.79–1.96)                   | 0.346            |
|                                             | 1.23(0.87–1.75)                   | 0.249            | 2.04(1.28–3.25)                   | 0.003            |
|                                             | 1.10(0.83–1.46)                   | 0.513            | 1.21(0.80–1.83)                   | 0.376            |
|                                             | 1.57(0.80–3.09)                   | 0.190            | 1.82(0.74–4.48)                   | 0.195            |

Continued...
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Kumar et al. BMC Public Health (2017) 17:468
| Variables                                      | Categories                                                                 | Average frequency of food intake (Reference = Approximately appropriate) | Overall eating habit (Reference = Poor) |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Socio-demographics, knowledge and perception   |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| Age in years                                   |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| Gender of student (Reference = Female)         | Male                                                                       | 1.24(1.05–1.47) p = 0.011                                                  | 0.82(0.69–0.98) p = 0.031                |
|                                               | Male                                                                       | 1.04(0.78–1.39) p = 0.791                                                  | 1.17(0.87–1.56) p = 0.302                |
|                                               | Female                                                                     | 1.21(1.02–1.43) p = 0.016                                                  | 0.70(0.56–0.89) p = 0.003                |
|                                               | 1.17(0.87–1.56) p = 0.302                                                  |                                                                             |                                         |
|                                               | 0.70(0.56–0.89) p = 0.003                                                  |                                                                             |                                         |
|                                               |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| Socio-economic status (Reference = Lower)      | Middle                                                                     | 1.44(0.96–2.14) p = 0.077                                                  | 0.89(0.60–1.33) p = 0.576                |
|                                               | Middle                                                                     | 0.89(0.60–1.33) p = 0.576                                                  | 0.89(0.60–1.33) p = 0.576                |
|                                               | Upper                                                                      | 5.88(3.65–9.48) p < 0.001                                                  | 6.75(3.28–13.89) p < 0.001              |
|                                               | Upper                                                                      | 0.67(0.42–1.06) p = 0.003                                                  | 0.23(0.11–0.47) p < 0.001               |
|                                               | 1.10(0.78–1.55) p = 0.594                                                  |                                                                             |                                         |
|                                               | 0.79(0.52–1.21) p = 0.28                                                   |                                                                             |                                         |
|                                               |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| Family history of CVD death (Reference = No)   | Yes                                                                        | 1.01(0.72–1.41) p = 0.973                                                  | 1.10(0.78–1.55) p = 0.594                |
|                                               |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| Level of knowledge regarding                  |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| CVD (Reference = Poor)                        | Average                                                                    | 1.52(1.10–2.09) p = 0.111                                                  | 0.79(0.57–1.10) p = 0.58                 |
|                                               | Good                                                                       | 1.25(0.87–1.78) p = 0.234                                                  | 0.85(0.60–1.22) p = 0.377                |
|                                               | Good                                                                       | 1.19(0.88–1.62) p = 0.28                                                  | 0.77(0.50–1.22) p = 0.377                |
|                                               | 0.78(0.54–1.22) p = 0.192                                                  |                                                                             |                                         |
|                                               | 0.77(0.50–1.19) p = 0.28                                                  |                                                                             |                                         |
| Risk factors for CVD (Reference = No)          | Average                                                                    | 1.49(1.08–2.05) p = 0.017                                                  | 0.96(0.70–1.33) p = 0.822               |
| Prevention of CVD (Reference = Poor)           | Good                                                                       | 1.71(1.19–2.47) p = 0.004                                                  | 0.62(0.39–1.00) p = 0.048                |
| Healthy nutritional habits (Reference = Poor)  | Average                                                                    | 1.26(0.92–1.72) p = 0.146                                                  | 0.80(0.54–1.28) p = 0.564                |
| CVD and their prevention (Overall) (Reference = Poor) | Average                                                                 | 1.38(1.01–1.88) p = 0.046                                                  | 0.80(0.54–1.28) p = 0.564                |
| Own body size (Reference = Normal)             | Underweight                                                                | 0.93(0.63–1.38) p = 0.721                                                  | 0.93(0.63–1.38) p = 0.721                |
| Own risk for future CVD (Reference = No)       | Yes                                                                        | 0.69(0.44–0.86) p = 0.004                                                  | 0.97(0.65–1.46) p = 0.456                |
| Overall health (Reference = Good)              | Average                                                                    | 0.87(0.64–1.17) p = 0.353                                                  | 0.85(0.62–1.21) p = 0.287                |
|                                               | 0.78(0.38–1.61) p = 0.504                                                  |                                                                             |                                         |
| a CVD Cardio-vascular diseases                 |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| b AOR Adjusted odds ratio (adjusted for: age, gender, socio-economic status and family history) |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |
| c CI Confidence interval                       |                                                                            |                                                                             |                                         |

Bofaced figures indicate statistically significant results where p value was <0.05
changing acquired harmful habits in adults. Thus, a concerted public health effort towards modifying food and physical activity environments in schools and in communities seemed to be the need of the hour.

Study limitations
There were some major limitations in the present study. Alike any other observational study associations observed here, should not be interpreted as causal owing to the potentials for residual confounding and other systematic errors. Because of the cross-sectional design, potentials for temporal ambiguity should also be kept in mind. Non-response might have affected the representativeness of the study population. Thus, efforts for extrapolation of results beyond the study sample to all adolescent urban students in the study area need some caution. But we still believe that the observations were quite generalizable, owing to the robust sampling strategy and good response rate. Self-reported history of dietary pattern and exercise habits were also likely to suffer from some social desirability bias and issues of recall, although we considered them to be non-differential. Moreover, to minimize the chances of information bias, we urged the subjects to recall for only a short period of 1 week. Despite these limitations, by virtue of large sample size, robust methodology and advanced statistical analyses, we believe that the results of this research will be useful in understanding the scenario pertaining to CVD related knowledge, perceptions regarding related risk, exercise habit, dietary practices and interplays thereof among adolescents of Kolkata, India.

Conclusions
Eating and exercise habits were found to be quite poor among large proportion of adolescent school-students of Kolkata. Lack of adequate knowledge and poor perception about CVD, related risk and importance of their prevention were potential contributors. Also, there existed a large gap between CVD-related knowledge and eating and exercise related practice. As such, our results suggest that there is a critical need for not only the improvements of knowledge and awareness regarding CVD and its related risks, but also actions that will help to bridge the knowledge-practice gap for eating and exercise habits. Given childhood origin of CVDs and possibility of reversing/slowing these atherosclerotic changes through early life style modifications, youth friendly, multicomponent cardiovascular health promotion programs are urgently required to raise awareness and appropriate translation of the awareness in to healthy practices in this target population.
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