NR1B2 suppresses kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) progression by regulation of LATS 1/2-YAP signaling
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Abstract

Background: Kidney Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma (KIRC) accounts for 75% of all renal cancers. Previous study had conflicting evidence regarding NR1B2 role in cancer, and its expression and biological role in KIRC remained unclear. Our aims were to characterize the role of NR1B2 in KIRC.

Methods: NR1B2 expression in TCGA database were analyzed. Clinical KIRC samples were examined by RT-PCR, western blot and tissue microarray (TMA). The relationship between NR1B2 expression and the clinical characteristics were evaluated. KIRC cell line were stably overexpressed NR1B2 or with an NR1B2 knocked down using lentivirus system. The cells were analyzed by migration and invasion assay, then injected into nude mice to assess tumor growth and metastasis. EMT marker expression and LATS 1/2-YAP pathway demonstration were detected by the TCGA database and western blot.

Results: The expression of NR1B2 in KIRC was significantly down-regulated in the TCGA database and our clinical samples. Moreover, NR1B2 expression negatively correlated with tumor stage and positively correlated with overall and disease-free survival rate. Univariate and multivariate analyses indicated the expression level of NR1B2 could be used as an independent factor for predicting the prognosis of KIRC. Overexpression NR1B2 significantly inhibited and knockdown NR1B2 markedly promoted KIRC cell invasion and metastasis both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistic investigations revealed that NR1B2 might be a tumor suppressor to inhibit EMT through the LATS1/2-YAP pathway.

Conclusions: Our results defined NR1B2 as a tumor suppressor in KIRC that restricted EMT by the LATS1/2-YAP pathway.
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Background

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is one of the most common malignancies throughout the world, representing 4.2% of all new cancer cases, with about 73,820 new cases and 14,770 deaths estimated for 2019 in the United States [1]. Kidney Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma (KIRC) accounts for 75% of all renal cancers [2]. In most cases, KIRC is radiotherapy and chemotherapy resistant, and surgery is the main treatment. But despite early surgical treatment, 30% of patients with localized tumor eventually develop metastases [3, 4]. So early identification of KIRC metastatic potential may be beneficial for a more precise prediction of clinical outcomes. So far, little is known about the pathogenesis of KIRC and no sensitive tumor biomarkers are found. Therefore, it is urgent to study the carcinogenesis and progression of KIRC in order to identify subsets of patients that may benefit from specific targeted therapies.

The NR1B2 gene, also known as RARβ, a member of nuclear receptor superfamily, is a receptor of vitamin A that plays an important role in the regulation of growth and differentiation [5]. There are conflicting evidences regarding NR1B2 in cancer. On the one hand, the expression of NR1B2 was frequently lost in many neoplastic tissues, including lung cancer [6], head and neck cancer [7], which suggested that NR1B2 might act as a potential tumor suppressor. On the other hand,
inactivation of NR1B2 resulted in a lengthy delay in Wnt1-induced mammary gland tumorigenesis and a significantly slower tumor growth rate in basal-like subgroup breast cancer [8]. Liu et al [9] also reported that ablation of NR1B2 in mice could suppress ErbB2-induced mammary gland tumorigenesis, which suggested NR1B2 might be a tumor promoter to drive cancer cell growth and metastasis. All these results strongly indicated that NR1B2 played a pivotal role in cancer development. However, little knowledge is known regarding to its expression and biological role in KIRC.

The LATS family proteins were first discovered in Drosophila in 1995 and were always considered to be tumor suppressors [10]. The family includes 2 members, LATS1 and LATS2, which play important roles in the control of tumor development through multiple mechanisms and signaling pathways, including p53 [11], Hippo [12] and Wnt [13]. YAP (Yes-associated protein) is a potent oncogene that drives cell proliferation and invasion. Overexpression of Yorkie, the Drosophila homologue of YAP, triggered massive overgrowth of fly imaginal discs [14]. Similarly, transgenic mice overexpressing YAP rapidly developed tumors in multiple organs [15, 16]. In human, YAP was overexpressed as a result of genomic amplification of the 11q22 locus in several cancer types [17, 18]. However, the cross-talk between NR1B2 and LATS-YAP in KIRC is still unknown.

In the current study, we found that NR1B2 levels were significantly decreased in KIRC tissues compared with corresponding normal tissues at both mRNA and protein level. In clinical samples, we observed a significantly correlation between NR1B2 expression and patient’s survival rate. Further analysis demonstrated that NR1B2 suppressed KIRC cell invasion and metastasis through LATS 1/2-YAP pathway. In summary, our study presented that NR1B2 might be a new potential target for KIRC therapy.

Methods

**The cancer genome atlas (TCGA) database**

NR1B2 expression in KIRC and clinical data of TCGA database are available from the Cancer Genomics Browser. In summary, 535 primary KIRC tumor tissues from patients with detailed NR1B2 expression data were chosen from the updated TCGA database according to parameters defined in a previous study [19]. Only patients with fully characterized tumors, intact overall survival (OS), complete RNA-seq information were included.

**Clinical samples and tissue microarray (TMA)**

A total of 16 tumor samples and compared tissues were obtained from patients who underwent partial or radical nephrectomy in Department of Urology, Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital, Tongji University. The fresh tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen to protect the protein or RNA from degradation. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital.

The TMA used for this study included 141 unselected KIRC sample between January 2010 and November 2017 in Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital affiliated to Tongji University. Construction of this TMA has been previously described in detail [20]. Briefly, tissue cylinders with a 0.6 mm diameter were punched from representative tissue areas of each donor tissue block and brought into one recipient paraffin block. The histological types were confirmed by experienced pathologists. Patients’ demographic and clinic-pathological information were retrieved from our clinical database.

**Cell culture, transfection and vector construction**

The human normal renal tubular epithelial cell line HK-2 and KIRC cell lines 786-O, OSRC-2, A498 were purchased from Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology. HK-2 cells were cultured in keratinocyte medium (KM, ScienCell, USA) plus 1% keratinocyte growth supplement (KGS, ScienCell, USA). 786-O, OSRC-2, A498 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Gibco, USA) plus 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone, USA). All cell lines were cultured with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, USA) and routinely cultured in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator at 37°C. To generate the recombinant lentivirus, pWPI/pWPI-NR1B2 or pLKO/pLKO-NR1B2 plasmid with pMD2.G package plasmid and psPAX2 envelope plasmid were co-transfected into 293T cells for 6–8 h, and then changed to normal media. The lentivirus soups were collected after incubating for 48 or 72 h. The human NR1B2 target sequences were listed in Additional file 2: Table S6.

**CCK8, colony formation and transwell assay**

The Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was used to measure cell proliferation according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells per well and determined every 24 h. For colony formation assays, transfected cells were seeded in a six-well plate at a density of 5 × 10² cells per well. After culturing for 14 days, the colonies were washed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution. For transwell migration assay, 1 × 10⁷ cells were resuspended in serum-free medium and then added to the top chamber, and the bottom chamber was filled with 600μl medium supplemented with 10% FBS. After 24 h of incubation, the cells on the lower surface of the membrane were stained, photographed, and counted in 10 random fields per group using a microscope.
BD BioCoat Matrigel were used for the invasion assay following the instructions of the manufacturer. The detailed materials and methods were shown in Additional file 2: Table S7.

RNA extraction and Western blotting
Total RNA was extracted from frozen tissues or cultured cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). The concentration of RNA was determined using an ND-2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with the KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Kit (Kapa Biosystems, USA) using a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Japan). Western blot was performed as described recently [21]. Anti-NR1B2 antibody was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Anti-YAP, P-YAP, LATS1 and LATS2 antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Anti-E-cadherin, N-cadherin, vimentin and β-actin antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). All-trans-Retinoic acid (ATRA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The detailed materials and methods were shown in Additional file 2: Table S7.

Mouse xenograft and pulmonary metastatic mode
All BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Company. For tumor growth, 4-week-old nude mice were injected subcutaneously with a total of $2 \times 10^6$ RCC cells. The tumor volume of each mouse was measured every 3 days, and then sacrificed after 8 weeks. For tumor metastasis, nude mice were injected with $2 \times 10^6$ RCC cells into the tail vein using a 27-gauge needle. The mice were sacrificed at 10 weeks post-injection. Metastases were monitored in vivo using IVIS Lumina II system (Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA) and microscopically examined post-sacrifice using H&E staining to analyze the development of metastatic lung foci.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 23.0 statistical software (IBM, USA), GraphPad Prism software (7.0). ROC curve analyses (ROC curve and the area under the curve were performed to assess the diagnostic effect and to compare the severity of the risk) were performed using the MedCalc statistical software (Version 18.2.1, Ostend, Belgium). One-way ANOVA, student’s t-test, log-rank test, Pearson χ2 test, and Cox regression analyses were performed for comparisons. P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
NR1B2 associates with clinical characteristics and survival rate in the TCGA database
To investigate whether NR1B2 was involved in clinical KIRC progression, firstly we detected and analyzed NR1B2 expression in the TCGA database. Remarkably, NR1B2 was down-regulated in KIRC tissues compared with normal tissues (Fig.1a). Moreover, the TCGA database also showed that NR1B2 was down-regulated in various types of human cancer, such as Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP), bladder cancer (BLCA), breast cancer (BRCA), Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), Thyroid carcinoma (THCA) and Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma (UCEC), which further suggested that NR1B2 might play an tumor suppressor role in the progression or development of urologic and various human cancer types.

Furthermore, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed that patients with lower NR1B2 expressing in KIRC had significantly shorter overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) rate in the TCGA Cohort (Fig.1b and c). To further investigate the clinical significance of NR1B2 expression, we analyzed the TCGA clinical data. As shown in Fig. 1d-i and Additional file 1: Table S1 and S2, the down-regulated NR1B2 in KIRC tissues was significantly correlated with consistent clinic-pathological characteristics. For example, the NR1B2 was significantly decreased gradually with pathological stage (Fig.1d) and tumor stage (Fig.1e). NR1B2 was also strongly correlated with lymphatic invasion (Fig.1f), metastasis status (Fig.1g), recurrence status (Fig.1h) and hemoglobin level (Fig.1i). Then we used MedCalc software to make ROC curve and determined the optimal cut-off values (8.324, Additional file 2: Table S5 and Figure S1) for NR1B2 expression in the TCGA cohort. As shown in Fig. 1j, the ROC curve revealed that NR1B2 could be a good prognostic indicator ($P < 0.001$). The univariate (Fig.1k) and multivariate (Fig.1l) Cox proportional hazards analyzes further indicated that the NR1B2 expression level, together with patient’s age, recurrence status and the hemoglobin level, were independent risk factors for OS in KIRC patients. The group with lower expression of NR1B2 displayed a higher risk in tumor recurrence and a shorter OS rate (hazard ratio = 0.637; 95% confidence interval: 0.447–0.907; $P = 0.012$). Taken together, these data indicated that the expression level of NR1B2 could be used as an independent factor for predicting the prognosis of KIRC.

NR1B2 is down-regulated in KIRC clinical sample and correlates with poor survival
In order to further confirm the TCGA database conclusion, we firstly examined the expression levels of mRNA in 16 paired human KIRC samples using quantitative real-time
Fig. 1 NR1B2 were down-regulated in TCGA database and predicts poor clinical outcome. a. NR1B2 expression in KIRC and other cancer types in TCGA dataset (KIRP: Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma; BLCA: bladder cancer; BRCA: breast cancer; HNSC: Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma; LUAD: Lung adenocarcinoma; THCA: Thyroid carcinoma; UCEC: Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma). b-c. Overall survival (b) and disease-free survival (c) curve of KIRC patients with low (n = 258) and high (n = 257) NR1B2 expression. d-i. Relative expression levels of NR1B2 in TCGA database with pathological stage (d), tumor stage(e), lymph node invasion(f), metastasis status (g), recurrence status(h), hemoglobin level(i). j. The diagnostic value of NR1B2 in TCGA database was evaluated using a receiving operating character (ROC) curve. k-l. Univariate (k) and multivariate(l) analysis of the hazard ratios (HRs) showed that the down-regulation of NR1B2 may be an independent prognostic factor for the overall survival rates in TCGA database. The HRs are presented as the means (95% confidence interval). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001
polymerase chain reaction. As shown in Fig. 2a, we found that NR1B2 expression was significantly down-regulated in tumor tissues compared with the matched adjacent non-tumor tissues. The Western blotting assays were also observed similarly results (Fig. 2b).

We nextly performed immunohistochemistry of NR1B2 expression using tissue microarrays (TMA) containing 141 paired KIRC samples, and found NR1B2 expression was significantly down-regulated in tumor tissues (Fig. 2c and d). To further investigate the clinical

Fig. 2 NR1B2 were down-regulated in clinical samples and predicts poor clinical outcome. a. NR1B2 expression in 16 paired KIRC and non-tumor tissue were evaluated by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. b. Western blots detected the NR1B2 protein in tumor tissues(T) and paired adjacent non-tumor tissues(N) from 16 KIRC patients. c. Representative TMA images showing NR1B2 staining in non-tumor tissues(N) and KIRC (T) sections. d. The statistics of NR1B2 score in 141 TMA cohort. e. Relative expression levels of NR1B2 in TMA cohort with tumor stage, lymph node invasion and metastasis status. f. Kaplan-Meier survival curve of TMA cohort with low (n = 77) and high (n = 64) NR1B2 expression. g-h. Univariate (g) and multivariate(h) analysis of the hazard ratios (HRs) showed that the down-regulation of NR1B2 may be an independent prognostic factor for the overall survival rate in our TMA cohort. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001
significance of NR1B2 expression in the development and progression of KIRC, all TMA sample were divided into 2 groups based on the overall expression level of NR1B2: a higher NR1B2 expression group and a lower NR1B2 expression group. As shown in Fig. 2e, Additional file 1: Table S3 and S4, lower NR1B2 expression was associated with worse tumor, lymph node invasion and metastasis status. Furthermore, the Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that patients with lower NR1B2 had a shorter overall survival rate than those with higher NR1B2 expression (Fig.2f). The univariate (Fig.2g) and multivariate (Fig.2h) analysis further indicated that NR1B2 expression level was an independent risk factor for OS in patients with KIRC. Combine with TCGA data and our cohort, higher NR1B2 expression may be a marker of good prognosis in KIRC.

**Overexpression NR1B2 inhibits the growth and metastasis of KIRC both in vitro and in vivo**

To further make sure whether NR1B2 had effects in KIRC, we examined the expression of NR1B2 in different KIRC cell lines by western blotting firstly. As shown in Fig. 3a, NR1B2 expression was down-regulated in four KIRC cell lines compared with normal renal tubular epithelial cell line (HK2). Then we overexpressed NR1B2
in SW839 (which expresses lower NR1B2 originally) cells (Fig. 3b) and knocked down NR1B2 in 786-O (which expresses higher NR1B2 originally) cells (Fig. 3c) using lentiviral system which at last chose the SH1-NR1B2 lentivirus as it had higher knockdown efficiency for further investigation. As colony formation assay result showed, higher level of NR1B2 strikingly decreased (Fig. 3d) while lower NR1B2 increased the colony numbers (Fig. 3e). Analogously, CCK-8 assay result showed overexpressing NR1B2 in SW839 cells inhibited the proliferation of tumor cells (Fig. 3f) while knocking down NR1B2 expression in 786-O cells promoted the proliferation of tumor cells (Fig. 3g). Moreover, transwell assay result confirmed that the migratory and invasive capacities were apparently decreased in NR1B2 over-expression group compared with the control group (Fig. 3h). On the contrast, knocking down NR1B2 in 786-O cells showed strikingly induced cell migration and invasion (Fig. 3i).

To verify the effects of NR1B2 in vivo, firstly, the 786-O SH-NR1B2 and 786-O control-Luciferase cells were subcutaneously injected into each flank of BALB/c nude mice. As shown in IVIS imaging (Fig. 4a), down-regulation of NR1B2 significantly increased xenograft tumor growth. An increase in tumor volume (Fig. 4b) and tumor weight (Fig. 4c) were also found in the SH-NR1B2 group compared with the control group. We then evaluated both metastatic growth in the lung and survival rate. Ten weeks later, the mice lungs were stained with H&E and lung metastases were microscopically evaluated (Fig. 4d). More and larger foci were detected in SH-NR1B2 group (Fig. 4e). In addition, the mice injected with SH-NR1B2 cells had significantly lower survival rate ($P = 0.045$, Fig. 4f). Taken together, these findings indicated that NR1B2 had good ability to suppress KIRC cells growth and metastasis in vitro and in vivo.

**NR1B2 regulates EMT by interacting with YAP pathway**

To explore the underlying molecular mechanisms of the NR1B2 in KIRC, we firstly assessed whether NR1B2 was involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which was thought to be a key process for cell metastasis. The results revealed that overexpression of NR1B2 was associated with increased E-cadherin expression meanwhile reduced N-cadherin and vimentin expression. Rather, knockdown NR1B2 had opposite effect (Fig. 5a). Besides, we used the GSEA technique to analyze the NR1B2 detailed function in the TCGA database and...
found high NR1B2 was significantly positive with GO_CADHERIN_BINDING, GO_CELL_CELL_CONTACT_ZONE and GO_CELL_JUNCTION, which all contacted with EMT (Additional file 2: Figure S2). Taken together, these results indicated that NR1B2 might mediate KIRC cell metastasis through EMT function.

The YAP protein was initially identified by virtue of its binding to the Src family member non-receptor tyrosine kinase YES (Yes kinase-associated protein) [22]. High level of YAP has been detected in different cancers [23–25]. We evaluated whether NR1B2 modulated YAP activity in KIRC development and progression.

**Fig. 5** NR1B2 regulate EMT through YAP phosphorylation. 

- **a.** Western blotting of Vimentin, N-cadherin, E-cadherin, and NR1B2 in SW839 and 786-O cells that express NR1B2 or not. 
- **b.** Western blotting of YAP, phosphorylated Yap (p-YAP), and NR1B2 in SW839 and 786-O cells. 
- **c-d.** Western blotting of YAP, phosphorylated Yap (p-YAP), and NR1B2 in SW839 (c) and 786-O (d) cells treated with 1 μM ATRA. 
- **e-f.** Western blotting of the indicated protein in NR1B2 overexpressed SW839 cells (e) or in NR1B2 knock down 786-O cells (f) with or without Yap siRNA. 
- **g-h.** Migration and invasion assays were performed in overexpression NR1B2 in SW839 and knockdown NR1B2 in 786-O with or without YAP siRNA.
As shown in Fig. 5b, overexpression of NR1B2 in SW839 cells significantly increased YAP phosphorylation, while suppression of NR1B2 expression in 786-O cells greatly impaired YAP phosphorylation. Consistent with these results, using ATRA, an agonist for NR1B2, enhanced NR1B2 induced YAP phosphorylation (Fig.5c) and silencing NR1B2 expression reduced ATRA-induced YAP phosphorylation (Fig.5d).

Recent findings indicated that YAP was an important gene in regulating EMT in breast and liver cancer [26, 27], but little to known in KIRC. So next we tested whether NR1B2 regulated EMT through YAP. As shown in Fig. 5e, suppressing YAP significantly enhanced NR1B2-induced E-cadherin expression, and reduced N-cadherin, vimentin expression (Fig. 5e). Consistently, knocking down NR1B2 expression induced E-cadherin loss, N-cadherin and vimentin increase while when we knocked down NR1B2 together with knocked down YAP, this change disappeared (Fig. 5f). Next, we studied whether NR1B2 affected cell migration and invasion through YAP. Transwell migration and invasion assay results clearly showed that in OE-NR1B2 + Si-Yap group, the cells migration and invasion were significantly decreased when compared with OE-NR1B2 group (Fig.5g). However, deceasing YAP diminished the invasion and migration ability of cells induced by SH-NR1B2 (Fig.5h). Collectively, these evidences revealed that NR1B2 could regulate EMT through YAP.

NR1B2 regulates YAP through binding to LATS1 and LATS2

The LATS gene was initially isolated from drosophila and has two mammalian homologs, LATS1 and LATS2. Previous study reported that LATS1 could regulate YAP in vitro and in vivo [28]. Thus, we wondered whether NR1B2 was involved in this process. Firstly, we detected NR1B2, LATS1 and LATS2 correlation in the TCGA database. Interestingly, the correlation analysis from the TCGA database suggested that NR1B2 expression was significantly positive with the expression of LATS1 and LATS2 (Fig.6a and e). Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showed that patients with higher LATS1 or LATS2 expression in KIRC had significantly long OS and DFS (LATS1: Fig.6b and c; LATS2: Fig.6f and g). Besides, the LATS1 and LATS2 were significantly decreased with recurrence status (LATS1: Fig.6d; LATS2: Fig.6h) and pathological stage (LATS1: Fig.6i; LATS2: Fig.6j) which strongly indicated that NR1B2 as well as LATS1 and LATS2 had the same expression trend in terms of malignancy and prognosis of KIRC patients. Then, we found that overexpressing NR1B2 in SW839 cells could significantly strengthen LATS1 and LATS2 interactions with YAP (Fig. 6k). However, silencing NR1B2 expression in 786-O cells could impaired the interaction between LATS1, LATS2 and YAP (Fig. 6l), indicating that NR1B2 worked as a modulator in the interaction of LATS1, LATS2 and YAP. In the meantime, we analyzed the phosphorylation status of YAP. Overexpression of LATS1 or LATS2 significantly induced YAP phosphorylation, which was further enhanced by ectopic expression of NR1B2 in SW839 cells (Fig. 6m and n). Taken together, these results indicated that NR1B2 could act through LATS1/2-YAP pathway to suppress KIRC cell invasion and metastasis.

Discussion

In recent years, nuclear retinoic acid receptor subtypes have attracted extensive attention due to their participation in numerous cellular physiobiological processes. For example, NR1B1 is the most frequent fusion gene in acute promyelocytic leukemia [29]. NR1B3 confers an advantage to induce hepatocellular carcinoma through activation of the PI3K/Akt and NF-κB signaling pathways [30]. In addition, NR1B2 have also been investigated in breast cancer and lung cancer [31, 32]. However, it remains unclear whether aberrant expression of NR1B2 has influence in KIRC invasion and metastasis.

In this study, we firstly investigated the expression level of NR1B2 in the TCGA database and found that NR1B2 is significantly down-regulated in KIRC. The subgroup analysis and Kaplan-Meier analysis further showed NR1B2 expression was closely correlated with the TNM stage, overall survival and disease-free survival rate. In addition, univariate and multivariate analysis revealed that the NR1B2 expression level was an independent risk factor for overall survival of KIRC patients. However, the NR1B2 level in the TCGA database was detected by RNA sequence using the whole RNA extracted in tumor tissue, but not protein level. Thus, we used IHC detection based on a relatively large TMA sample in our hospital to verify the finding from the TCGA database. Consistently, our data also indicated that NR1B2 played an important anti-cancer role. Taken together, these results demonstrated that NR1B2 was a potential therapeutic target for KIRC patients.

Accumulating studies have revealed that EMT is a crucial mechanism in cancer progression and metastasis, and many proteins are involve in this process [33, 34]. Previous studies have demonstrated that nuclear retinoic acid receptor is also involved in EMT [35], but no one investigate NR1B2 role in EMT progression. In this study, we found that either overexpression or knockdown NR1B2 resulted in a significant change in E-cadherin, N-cadherin and vimentin. Reducing of E-cadherin or increasing N-cadherin, vimentin expression is often associated with worse tumor grade and stage, which correlate with our clinical and cell investigation.
Tremendous studies identified NR1B2 took part in several types of signaling [36–38]. However, whether NR1B2 is involved in the regulation of the LATS1/2-YAP pathway remains unclear. Interestingly, our data suggested that NR1B2 worked through its interaction with YAP to suppress the KIRC cell growth and metastasis. These observations are compatible with the idea that YAP acts as an oncogene for cancer development [39]. The LATS
originally identified as a cell proliferation inhibitor. Both LATS1 and LATS2 are deregulated in various human cancers and correlate with tumor progression. We firstly detected TCGA database and found there was significantly synergism relation between NR1B2 and LATS1/2. Besides, the subgroup analysis and Kaplan-Meier analysis further showed that LATS1/2 expression was closely correlated with the pathological stage, overall survival and disease-free survival of KIRC patients. As the diagram shows (Fig. 7), Our results demonstrated that NR1B2 inhibited KIRC cell growth and metastasis which was achieved through promoting LATS1 and LATS2 binding to YAP and phosphorylating YAP.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we reported that NR1B2 were decreased in KIRC. More importantly, NR1B2 might be a tumor suppressor through LATS1/2-YAP pathway, which not only sheds new light on KIRC progression and metastasis, but also provides a potential target for cancer prevention and treatment.
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