Production of Hydrolysate of Okara Protein Concentrate with High Antioxidant Capacity and Aglycone Isoflavone Content
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Abstract: This work aimed to evaluate the enzymatic hydrolysis of okara protein concentrate with respect to degree of hydrolysis (DH) in order to obtain a protein hydrolysate with high antioxidant capacity and aglycones isoflavone content. A central composite rotatable design was carried out to evaluate the influence of temperature (40 to

HIGHLIGHTS

- We optimized the enzymatic hydrolysis of protein concentrate from okara
- During hydrolysis, there was a conversion of β-glycosides to aglycones isoflavones
- Enzymatic hydrolysis favors the exposure of antioxidant amino acids in proteins
- Protein hydrolysate had higher antioxidant activity than protein concentrate
70°C), enzyme:substrate ratio (0.5 to 5.0%, g/100g protein) and pH (7.0 to 9.0) on DH. The optimal condition was 55°C, pH 9 and enzyme:substrate ratio of 5.0%, resulting a DH value of 35.5%. After protein hydrolysis at optimal condition, the antioxidant capacities of hydrolysate increased from 58.29 to 383.49 μM Trolox equivalent/g solids (ABTS method) and 2.41 to 15.32 μM Trolox equivalent/g solids (FRAP method) when compared with protein concentrate. The higher radical scavenging ability of hydrolysate was due to great amount of hydrophobic amino acids (34.92 g/100g protein). Moreover, the protein hydrolysate obtained under optimal condition had 3 times higher aglycone isoflavone content than non-hydrolyzed sample. These results showed that protein hydrolysis of okara could be an alternative approach to increase antioxidant activity and enrich aglycones isoflavone in this byproduct generated from soymilk industry.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In the production of soymilk and tofu, about one kilogram of the byproduct okara is generated from every kilogram of soybeans [1]. Although okara has been usually used as animal feed due to its little market value, it has considerable protein content (40 g/100 g solids) with high nutritional value [2]. Protein hydrolysis of okara could be an alternative process to recover the nutrients normally discarded and to obtain value-added peptides.

Protein hydrolysates can be used as functional or nutritional ingredients for food of low protein quality. Since they are an excellent source of peptides of low molecular weight, hydrolysates have a high digestibility, which depends on length, structure of the polypeptide chains and amino acid composition [3]. Furthermore, when released by hydrolysis, these peptides, which are in an inactive state within the sequence of the intact protein, present biological properties. Bioactive peptides have higher ability in reducing the reactivity of free radicals due to the exposure of amino acids that react more effectively with these radicals activity [4].

In addition, okara presents 0.02-0.12% (dry basis) of isoflavones, which represents about 12-40% of total isoflavones initially in the soybeans [5]. Isoflavones have four different chemical forms: β-glycosides, acetyl-glucosides, malonyl-glucosides and aglycones. The soybean isoflavones have been recognized by their benefits to human health due to several biological activities, among them, antioxidant properties [6]. The antioxidant capacity of isoflavones is due to the number of hydroxyl groups present in their chemical structure, and this ability decreases with glycosylation or substitution of methoxyl group. Thus, the antioxidant activity of aglycones is higher than other chemical forms, in particular genistein isomer [6].

Enzyme concentration, pH and temperature are the most important variables in the enzymatic hydrolysis reaction. The control of them is necessary in order to obtain a product with desired characteristics [7]. In addition, it is essential to optimize the process parameters with respect to degree of hydrolysis (DH) to develop an economical process. Degree of hydrolysis measures the extent of cleavage of proteins and represents the ratio of the number of peptide bonds hydrolyzed and the total number of bonds available for proteolytic reaction [7].

Furthermore, in the results found by our research group (Sbroggio et al. [8]), it was verified that the ABTS and DPPH free radicals scavenging ability and ferric reduction antioxidant power (FRAP assay) of protein hydrolysates of okara obtained by Alcalase increased significantly when the DH varied from 0 to 33.6%. Other authors also reported a positive effect of DH on antioxidant capacity [9,10] by increasing exposition of bioactive peptides. In addition, Lu et al. [11] reported a gradual decrease in isoflavone glycosides, accompanied by an increase in aglycones, in the course of protein hydrolysis time. This result can be due to the fact that the cleavage of protein favors the release of isoflavones.
associated with the interior of globular soybean proteins [12,13]. Thus, it can be expected that the higher protein hydrolysis extension (or DH values), the more susceptible the malonyl- and acetylglycoside isoflavones are for conversion into β-glycosides after heating, which can be hydrolyzed to aglycones by endogenous β-glycosidase, heat or acid/alkaline treatment [14].

Therefore, since our previous work demonstrated that higher DH values resulted in protein hydrolysate with greater antioxidant capacity and the literature reported the positive effect of protein hydrolysis extension on aglycone isoflavone formation, the aim of this study was to optimize the enzymatic hydrolysis with respect to DH and evaluate the protein hydrolysate obtained under optimal condition.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Moist okara was acquired from a local industry of processing of soymilk. During the processing, okara was collected and forwarded to the city of Londrina, PR, Brazil. As soon as the material arrived in the laboratory, it was dried in a forced-air oven at 40°C for 24 h, milled in a knife mill, defatted with n-hexane (1:10, w/v) under orbital agitation (MA-140, Marconi, Piracicaba, Brazil) for 30 min at 300 rpm and room temperature, vacuum filtered and stored in a domestic refrigerator until analysis. Chemical composition (dry weight basis) of the defatted flour okara (DFO) [15], was: moisture content of 18.3±0.1%, protein content of 31.7±0.8%, fat content of 3.0±0.2%, ash content of 5.3±0.3% and total fiber content of 60.2±1.4%, in which insoluble and soluble fiber content were 58.9±1.6% and 1.4±0.1%, respectively.

A multi-enzymatic complex Viscozyme (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark), which consists of cellulase, hemicellulase, xylanase and β-glucanase, was used to enhance protein extraction of defatted flour okara. This enzyme has activity declared of 100 FBG/g, wherein each FBG is the amount of enzyme required in a standard condition (30°C, pH 5.0) to hydrolyze β-glucans. For protein enzymatic hydrolysis, the protease Alcalase 2.4L (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was used. This protease has declared activity of 2.4 AU-A/g. One Anson Unit (AU) is one milliequivalent of tyrosine released from hemoglobin per minute of hydrolysis.

**Preparation of protein concentrate from okara**

Before protein extraction, DFO was pretreated with Viscozyme in order to enhance protein extraction. DFO was added to phosphate citrate buffer pH 6.2. The mixture was kept under orbital agitation in a controlled temperature water bath (T-53, Tecnal, Piracicaba, Brazil). When the temperature of 53°C was reached, Viscozyme was added to a concentration of 4.0% and the sample was kept for 2 h in the bath. After sample pretreatment, protein extraction was performed (pH 9, 60°C, 30 min), followed by isoelectric precipitation of proteins (pH 4.5). These conditions were established in a previous work. The protein concentrate presented 56.4% of protein content (db).

**Enzymatic hydrolysis of okara protein concentrate**

A central composite rotatable design (CCRD) was carried out in order to evaluate the effect of temperature (40 to 70°C), enzyme:substrate ratio (0.5 to 5%, g enzyme/100 g protein) and pH (7.0 to 9.0) on degree of hydrolysis (DH) of okara protein concentrate (Table 1). Three central points (assays 15 – 17) were carried out in order to verify the repeatability of hydrolysis process [16].

The hydrolysis experiments were performed according to pH-stat method [7]. Two grams of lyophilized okara protein concentrate were homogenized with 100 g of distilled water in a jacketed 250 mL beaker. The solution was stirred constantly using a magnetic stirrer and heated using a thermostatically controlled water bath with external circulation.
After reaching the desired temperature, solution pH was adjusted with 1 M NaOH. The enzyme Alcalase was added to the solution (Table 1), and the reaction was monitored by continuous titration with 0.1 M NaOH in order to maintain the pH constant. The volume of NaOH consumed was recorded at regular intervals until the consumption variations were insignificant, indicating the end of protein hydrolysis. These data were used to calculate DH, according to Adler-Nissen [7], as function of reaction time, in order to obtain the kinetic curves. Total hydrolysis time to reach maximum DH ranged from 40 to 200 min, depending to assay.

Table 1. Experimental design (coded and real values) for enzymatic hydrolysis of okara protein concentrate.

| Design point | Independent variables* | Dependent variable DH (%) |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| T (°C)       | E/S (%)                 | pH                        |
| 1            | 46 (-1)                 | 1.4 (-1)                  | 7.4 (-1)  | 22.5 |
| 2            | 64 (+1)                 | 1.4 (-1)                  | 7.4 (-1)  | 19.4 |
| 3            | 46 (-1)                 | 4.1 (+1)                  | 7.4 (-1)  | 27.2 |
| 4            | 64 (+1)                 | 4.1 (+1)                  | 7.4 (-1)  | 25.1 |
| 5            | 46 (-1)                 | 1.4 (-1)                  | 8.6 (+1)  | 19.8 |
| 6            | 64 (+1)                 | 1.4 (-1)                  | 8.6 (+1)  | 23.0 |
| 7            | 46 (-1)                 | 4.1 (+1)                  | 8.6 (+1)  | 30.8 |
| 8            | 64 (+1)                 | 4.1 (+1)                  | 8.6 (+1)  | 28.0 |
| 9            | 40 (-1.68)              | 2.75 (0)                  | 8.0 (0)   | 23.6 |
| 10           | 70 (+1.68)              | 2.75 (0)                  | 8.0 (0)   | 16.5 |
| 11           | 55 (0)                  | 0.5 (-1.68)               | 8.0 (0)   | 16.5 |
| 12           | 55 (0)                  | 5.0 (+1.68)               | 8.0 (0)   | 25.9 |
| 13           | 55 (0)                  | 2.75 (0)                  | 7.0 (-1.68)| 26.5 |
| 14           | 55 (0)                  | 2.75 (0)                  | 9.0 (+1.68)| 31.0 |
| 15           | 55 (0)                  | 2.75 (0)                  | 8.0 (0)   | 23.4 |
| 16           | 55 (0)                  | 2.75 (0)                  | 8.0 (0)   | 23.4 |
| 17           | 55 (0)                  | 2.75 (0)                  | 8.0 (0)   | 23.5 |

* Independent variables correspond to the real values. Values enclosed in parentheses correspond to the coded values. T is temperature, E/S is enzyme:substrate ratio and DH is degree of hydrolysis.

Experimental data were fitted to the following polynomial equation:

\[ DH = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_{11} X_1^2 + \beta_{22} X_2^2 + \beta_{33} X_3^2 + \beta_{12} X_1 X_2 + \beta_{13} X_1 X_3 + \beta_{23} X_2 X_3 \]  

(1)

where DH is degree of hydrolysis; \( \beta_0 \) is the constant regression coefficient; \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \) and \( \beta_3 \) are the linear regression coefficients; \( \beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \) and \( \beta_{33} \) are the quadratic regression coefficients; \( \beta_{12}, \beta_{13} \) and \( \beta_{23} \) are the cross-product regression coefficients; \( X_1, X_2, \) and \( X_3 \) represent the coded values of the independent variables (temperature, enzyme:substrate ratio and pH, respectively).

The enzymatic hydrolysis of okara protein concentrate was optimized by response surface methodology for the maximum degree of hydrolysis. Then, the model (Eq. 1) was validated at optimized condition. Protein hydrolysate obtained under this condition was evaluated with respect to electrophoretic profile, antioxidant activity and isoflavone and amino acid contents.

**Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)**

Electrophoretic profiles of okara protein concentrate and hydrolysate obtained under optimum condition were carried out according to Laemmli [17] for proteins with molecular weight above 10 kDa, and according to Schagger and Jagow [18] for peptides with molecular weight below 26.6 kDa. A 4% stacking gel and separating gels of 20% and 10% acrylamide were used for each method, respectively. The samples were diluted in a buffer...
containing 0.5M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 5% β-mercaptoethanol in order to obtain a protein concentration of 4% and 2%, respectively. For protein and peptides analysis, the samples were heated to 95°C for 5 min and 37°C for 15 min, respectively. After cooling at room temperature, 10 μL of each sample were applied on the gel with 2% SDS and 20% glycerol. Analyses were performed on a Mini-Protein II system (Electrophoresis Power Supply Locus Biotechnology, São Paulo, Brazil). Apparent molecular weight of each protein band was estimated using molecular weight markers (Precision Plus Protein Standard, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, USA).

**Total amino acids content**

Amino acid composition of the protein hydrolysate was determined by digestion in 6 N HCl/0.1% phenol at 110°C for 20 h, according to the Pico-Tag method as described by White et al. [19]. After acid hydrolysis, sample derivatization was initiated by adding an ethanol:water:triethylamine:phenylisothiocyanate solution (7:1:1:1, v/v), which was mixed using a vortex mixer and allowed to stand at room temperature for 20 min. Identification of the amino acids was carried out by high-performance liquid chromatography using a reversed-phase column.

The nutritional quality of a protein source was evaluated by essential amino acid score (AS), which compares the levels of essential amino acids in sample with those of protein standard recommended by FAO/WHO [20].

**Quantification of isoflavones**

Prior to analysis of isoflavones, the protein concentrate and hydrolysate were freeze-dried and isoflavone extraction was carried out according to Yoshiara et al. [21] using solution of water, ethanol and acetone. The separation and quantification of isoflavones were performed according to Handa et al. [22]. Aliquots of 1.4 μL of filtrate were automatically injected into the liquid chromatograph UPLC (Acquity UPLC® System, Waters, USA) using reversed-phase column. The detector was a diode array with a 260 nm wavelength. For calibration curve, standard solutions were prepared of each isoflavone form (daidzin, glycitin, genistein, daidzein, glycine, genistein from Sigma-Aldrich Co. St. Louis, USA; and acetyldaidzin, acetylglycitin, acetylegenistin, malonyldaidzin, malonylglycitin and malonylgenistin from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Osaka, Japan). The isoflavone contents were expressed as μmol/g solids.

**Antioxidant capacity**

ABTS method was carried out according to Sánchez-Gonzalez et al. [23]. Protein concentrate and hydrolysate samples were added to 4 mL of ABTS+ solution. The absorbance was measured in a spectrophotometer at 730 nm after 6 min of incubation. An analytical curve with different concentrations of Trolox in ethanol (1.25 to 10 μM) was used for calibration.

Ferric reduction antioxidant power of the protein concentrate and hydrolysate was evaluated according to Benzie and Strain [24]. Ten μL of sample were added to 110 μL of distilled water and 900 μL of FRAP reagent. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, the absorbance was measured in a spectrophotometer at 593 nm. An analytical curve with different concentrations of Trolox (50 to 500 μM) was used for subsequent calculation of the results.

All measurements were performed in triplicate, and the results of antioxidant activity were expressed as μM Trolox equivalent (TE)/g solids.

**Statistical analysis**

The regression coefficients of predictive model (Eq. 1) were obtained using the Statistica 10 software (Statsoft, Tulsa, USA). Only coefficients within a confidence level
above 90% were considered significant. The non-significant terms were eliminated and the model was tested for adequacy and goodness of fit by analysis of variance (ANOVA), evaluating the coefficient of determination (R2) and the F-test. When the calculated F value was greater than the tabulated F value, the variation was explained by the regression and not by the residues. Thus, the regression was significant and the model can be considered predictive. Antioxidant activities and isoflavone and amino acid contents of the protein concentrate and hydrolysate were analyzed by ANOVA and Tukey test (at 5% of significance), using the same software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Enzymatic hydrolysis of okara protein concentrate

The response maximum DH reached for each assay varied between 16.5 and 31.0% (Table 1). These results were fitted to a second order polynomial model at function of T, E/S and pH (Eq. 1). Although the quadratic factor T was not statistically significant at 95% significance level, this term was included in the model since it presented a p value of 0.13. The coefficient of determination (R²) for the adjusted model was 0.88 and the calculated F (16.7) was higher than the tabulated F (2.61). Thus, the regression was significant and the model can be considered predictive. A second order polynomial model (Eq. 2) was proposed to predict DH as function of encoded variables.

\[
\text{DH} (\%) = 22.7 - 1.2 \ T - 0.8 \ T^2 + 3.1 \ E/S + 1.1 \ pH + 2.3 \ pH^2
\]  

(2)

Response surfaces were generated from the predictive model, expressing the interaction between two independent variables. The third variable was maintained at the central point (Fig. 1).

In Figures 1A and B, a negative quadratic effect of temperature on DH can be seen, indicating a maximum DH value at temperatures around 55°C. By increasing temperature up to 55°C, there is an increase in kinetic energy of molecules, accelerating reaction rate. On the other hand, at T above 55°C, a slight decrease on DH is observed, probably due to denaturation of enzyme structure and, as consequence, loss of its enzymatic activity [25]. With respect to E/S, a positive effect on DH can be observed in Figures 1A and 1C. Higher enzyme concentration increases reaction rate because there are more molecules of enzymes available per molecules of substrates. A decrease in DH is achieved by increase in pH up to 8.0; however, at pH superior, there is an increase in DH, reaching the maximum value at pH 9.0 (Fig. 1B and 1C). According to Whitaker [25], pH changes can affect the stability of enzymes, causing an irreversible denaturation of their conformational structure. As consequence, there is a continuous loss of enzyme activity.

Analyzing response surfaces (Fig. 1), the optimal condition to obtain maximum DH value was 55°C, E/S of 5.0% and pH 9.0. This result is consistent with the product sheet of manufacture (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark), in which the optimum pH and temperature ranges of Alcalase are 7.0 - 9.0 and 50 - 60°C, respectively. Optimization of enzymatic protein hydrolysis with Alcalase from different vegetal sources has been evaluated by several authors. Similar optimum conditions were reported by Yuan et al. [26]. However, Kanu et al. [27] established optimum conditions slightly distinct for enzymatic reaction of sesame flour protein. Thus, these results demonstrated the importance to optimize the enzymatic reaction for different protein sources.
Figure 1. Influence of independent variables on the degree of hydrolysis DH: (a) enzyme:substrate ratio E/S and temperature; (b) pH and temperature; (c) enzyme:substrate ratio E/S and pH.

Model validation

The enzymatic hydrolysis of protein concentrate was validated at optimum condition in triplicate in order to verify the adequacy of the second-order polynomial model (Eq. 2). After 200 min of reaction, the experimental DH was 35.5±0.2%, close to the DH predicted by Equation 2 (36.2%). The relative error between them was only 2%, demonstrating that the obtained model was adequate to predict the DH within the T, E/S and pH ranges studied in the current work.

Evaluation of protein hydrolysate obtained under optimum condition

The protein hydrolysate obtained under optimum condition was evaluated with respect to electrophoretic profile, isoflavone and amino acid contents, and antioxidant activity by ABTS and FRAP methods. In order to verify the effect of protein enzymatic hydrolysis, these analyses were also carried out in protein concentrate.

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

Electrophoretic profiles of protein concentrate and hydrolysate are shown in Figure 2. For protein concentrate, two distinct bands with molecular weight (MW) of 20 kDa and 37 kDa can be seen, corresponding to glycinin (11S globulin). Glycinin is composed of acidic and basic subunits with MW between 36-40 kDa and 18-20 kDa, respectively [28]. β-conglycinin (7S globulin) and glycinin (11S globulin) are the main soybean proteins;
however, 7S fraction was not found. Possibly the subunits of 7S protein were lixiviated to the soymilk during its processing. Concerning to the hydrolyzed sample, the extent of hydrolysis was observed by disappearance of bands presented in protein concentrate (column 2) and the appearance of a diffuse band with a molecular weight below 10 kDa (column 4). This result could be related to the high degree of hydrolysis (35.5%) achieved in this study, which could have released free amino acids and short chain peptides.

![Image of gel electrophoresis](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Electrophoresis of the protein concentrate (column 2) and protein hydrolyzate (column 4) compared with molecular weight markers (columns 1 and 3)

**Total amino acids composition**

Table 2 shows the total amino acid composition of the protein concentrate and hydrolysate. This analysis was carried out in order to explore possible correlations between amino acid composition and antioxidant activity (to be discussed below). On the whole, there were significant (p<0.05) differences between samples, with exception of glycine, isoleucine, phenylalanine and valine. Samples had low content in sulphur-containing amino acids (methionine and cysteine) and high levels of glutamic and aspartic acid, which in agreement with results found by Yuan et al. [29]. These authors reported that the isolated acidic and basic polypeptides of soy glycinin, the main protein found in the current work (Fig. 2), were rich in glutamic and aspartic acid.

The nutritional quality of a protein source can be evaluated from its essential amino acid score (AS). The AS compares the levels of essential amino acids sample with those of protein standard recommended by FAO/WHO [20]. Analyzing Table 2, there were no limiting amino acids (AS>1) in the samples, with exception for methionine in protein hydrolysate. This result was expected, since this sulfur-containing amino acid is the limiting essential amino acid in soybeans and other legume species, because the major storage proteins, the globulins, are low in this compound [30].
Table 2. Total amino acid composition (g/100g protein) of protein concentrate and protein hydrolysate under optimum conditions.

| Total amino acid | FAO/WHO [20] * | Protein concentrate | Protein hydrolysate | AS<sub>concentrate</sub> | AS<sub>hydrolysate</sub> |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Non-essential    |                |                     |                     |                         |                         |
| - Alanine        | 4.12 ± 0.01 a  | 4.33 ± 0.0 b        |                     |                         |                         |
| - Arginine       | 7.06 ± 0.00 a  | 8.33 ± 0.01 b       |                     |                         |                         |
| - Aspartic acid  | 11.50 ± 0.01 a | 11.35 ± 0.01 b      |                     |                         |                         |
| - Cysteine       | 0.84 ± 0.01 a  | 0.73 ± 0.02 b       |                     |                         |                         |
| - Glutamic acid  | 21.24 ± 0.04 a | 19.65 ± 0.05 b      |                     |                         |                         |
| - Glycine        | 4.18 ± 0.00 a  | 4.21 ± 0.02 a       |                     |                         |                         |
| - Proline        | 5.09 ± 0.01 a  | 5.01 ± 0.01 b       |                     |                         |                         |
| - Serine         | 5.11 ± 0.00 a  | 5.16 ± 0.01 b       |                     |                         |                         |
| - Tyrosine       | 3.87 ± 0.01 a  | 3.83 ± 0.01 b       |                     |                         |                         |
| Essential        |                |                     |                     |                         |                         |
| - Histidine      | 1.9            | 2.29 ± 0.01 a       | 2.23 ± 0.01 b       | 1.21                    | 1.17                    |
| - Isoleucine     | 2.8            | 5.42 ± 0.00 a       | 5.43 ± 0.00 a       | 1.94                    | 1.94                    |
| - Leucine        | 6.6            | 7.60 ± 0.00 a       | 7.76 ± 0.01 b       | 1.15                    | 1.18                    |
| - Lysine         | 5.8            | 5.81 ± 0.00 a       | 5.91 ± 0.01 b       | 1.00                    | 1.02                    |
| - Methionine     | 2.5**          | 1.77 ± 0.01 a       | 1.65 ± 0.02 b       | 1.04                    | 0.95                    |
| - Phenylalanine  | 6.3***         | 5.52 ± 0.00 a       | 5.55 ± 0.01 a       | 1.49                    | 1.49                    |
| - Threonin       | 3.4            | 3.39 ± 0.00 a       | 3.68 ± 0.00 b       | 1.00                    | 1.08                    |
| - Tryptophan     | Nd             | Nd                  | Nd                  |                         |                         |
| - Valine         | 3.5            | 5.17 ± 0.01 a       | 5.19 ± 0.00 a       | 1.48                    | 1.48                    |
| AAE              | 36.97          | 37.4                |                     |                         |                         |
| Hydrophobic amino acid | 34.69        | 34.92               |                     |                         |                         |

Values represent means of two determinations ± standard deviations. Different letters are considered significantly different at the 5% level (p < 0.05). Nd is not determined; AAE is total essential amino acid content and AS is essential amino acid score = amino acid in test sample/reference FAO/WHO [20]. * Reference FAO/WHO (1991) pattern (essential amino acid for child of 2 - 5 years). ** Methionine + cysteine. *** Phenylalanine + tyrosine

Isoflavone content

Protein concentrate and hydrolysate presented 6.60 and 16.44 μmol/g of total isoflavones content, respectively (Table 3). These values were higher than those reported for crude okara [31]. In addition, the high protein content in the hydrolysate (56.7%, dry basis) make them attractive to be incorporate into food systems, since soy proteins are an efficiently isoflavones delivery vehicle capable of providing significant absorption into circulation [32]. In other words, simultaneous ingestion of soy protein with isoflavone possesses synergistic effect.

Protein hydrolysate presented higher total isoflavones than protein concentrate. This fact may be probably as result of changes in isoflavone-protein interactions during hydrolysis, enhancing the extractability of isoflavones. Owing to their phenolic nature, isoflavones associate with the hydrophobic interior of the globular soybean proteins and thus are hidden from the aqueous phase [13]. In order to verify that proteins limit isoflavone extraction, Malaypally and Ismail [13] subjected different soy systems to protein hydrolysis prior solvent extraction. As results, efficiency of isoflavone extraction was influenced by protein content and denaturation state. Lu et al. [11] reported that protein hydrolysis and accompanying release of associated isoflavones with interior moiety of protein enhanced their extractability. Nufer et al. [12] suggested that the protein hydrolysis can increase the...
efficiency of extraction of isoflavones, since protein molecule lost some its primary, secondary and tertiary structure. According to the authors, protein hydrolysis most likely disrupted protein-isoflavone interactions, including hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions, enhancing release of isoflavones.

Table 3. Isoflavone profile (dry basis) in the protein concentrate and hydrolysate.

| Groups          | Isoflavones forms  | Protein concentrate | Protein hydrolysate |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                 | Isoflavones content (μmol/g) | % in relation to total | Isoflavones content (μmol/g) | % in relation to total |
| Malonylglycosides | Malonyldaidzin | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
|                  | Malonylglycitin | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
|                  | Malonylgenistin | 0.73 ± 0.05 | 11.1 | Nd  | - |
|                  | Subtotal         | 0.73 | 11.1 | Nd  | - |
| Acetylglycosides | Acetyldaidzin | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
|                  | Acetglycitin     | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
|                  | Acetgenistin     | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
|                  | Subtotal         | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
| β-glycosides     | Daidzin          | 0.24 ± 0.01 | 3.6 | Nd  | - |
|                  | Glycitin         | Nd  | - | Nd  | - |
|                  | Genistin         | 0.57 ± 0.04a | 8.6 | 2.19 ± 0.27b | 13.3 |
|                  | Subtotal         | 0.81 | 12.3 | 2.19 | 13.3 |
| Aglycones        | Daidzein         | 1.59 ± 0.10a | 24.1 | 3.15 ±0.39b | 19.2 |
|                  | Glycitein        | Nd  | - | 4.69 ± 0.62 | 28.5 |
|                  | Genistein        | 3.47 ± 0.22a | 52.6 | 6.41 ± 0.85b | 39.0 |
|                  | Subtotal         | 5.06 | 76.7 | 14.25 | 86.7 |
|                 | Total isoflavones| 6.60 | 100.0 | 16.44 | 100.0 |

Values represent the mean of 2 determinations ± standard deviation. Different letters indicate significant difference at 5% (p <0.05) between protein concentrate and hydrolysate. Nd = not detected.

Differences on isoflavone profile for both samples can be observed in Table 3. For protein hydrolysate of okara, the absence of malonylgenistyn, initially present in protein concentrate, is observed. It is well know that malonylglycosides conjuga
tes are thermally unstable and can undergo de-esterification reactions into their respective nonconjugated β-glycosides [14]. The increase on total aglycone content in protein hydrolysate, representing about 86.7% in relation to total isoflavones, was observed when compared to protein concentrate (Table 3). This fact could be attributed to the release of isoflavones from the interior of globular soybean proteins after their hydrolysis [11,12], exposing the glycosides to their conversion to aglycones during the reaction. Heat treatment influences soy isoflavone profile, favoring conversion of glycosides to aglycones by β-glucosidase action [14]. Investigating the effect of temperature on hydrothermal treatment of soybeans, Lima and Ida [33] found higher conversion of β-glycosides to aglycones at 55°C. This result corroborates with the current study, because protein enzyme hydrolysis was carried out at 55°C. Wu and Muir [34], studying changes on isoflavone content during protein hydrolysis of defatted soybean meal, reported a remarkable increase in aglycone content. The authors attributed this fact to the contaminated β-glucosidase present in Alcalase protease in a concentration of 0.03U/g.

Antioxidant capacity

Both samples exhibit antioxidant capability; however, for ABTS method, the protein hydrolysate possessed higher antioxidant activity (383.49 ± 0.06 μM Trolox equivalent/g
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solids) than the protein concentrate (58.29 ± 0.01 µM Trolox equivalent/g solids). For FRAP method, same behavior was observed: the antioxidant activities of protein hydrolysate and concentrate were 15.32 ± 0.02 and 2.41 ± 0.01 µM Trolox equivalent/g solids, respectively.

These results showed that hydrolysis process led to the increase on antioxidant capacity. Several studies report that enzymatic hydrolysis of soy protein enhanced antioxidant capacity, which was related to the release of bioactive peptides [35]. The release of peptides from enzymatic hydrolysis of okara protein can be observed by the high DH achieved in this work (35.5%) and electrophoresis analysis (Fig. 2). According to García et al. [4], antioxidant activity of proteins has been related to their amino acid composition. However, such property of these amino acids residues is limited by the tertiary structure, because many amino acids with antioxidant potential can be buried within the protein core where they are inaccessible to prooxidants. Therefore, enzymatic hydrolysis favors the exposure of antioxidant amino acids in proteins, increasing antioxidant activity of peptides.

Analyzing the results, samples had higher scavenging ABTS+ radical than reducing power (FRAP). Reducing power is a result of presence of antioxidant components that are electron donors, reacting with free radicals. According to Carrasco-Castilla et al. [36], the most reactive amino acids tend to be cysteine, methionine, tryptophan, tyrosine, histidine and lysine. These amino acids in protein hydrolysate were present in a relative low concentration (14.6 and 14.3 g/100g protein, respectively) (Table 2). On the other hand, sample had a high content of hydrophobic amino acids (Table 2), whose presence in bioactive peptides is related to the radical scavenging mechanisms [37]. Thus, the amino acids composition of protein hydrolysate may explain the low reducing power as compared to scavenging ability of sample.

Besides being related to bioactive peptides, protein hydrolysate had greater antioxidant capacity than protein concentrate probably also due to the high aglycone content (Table 3). Aglycones, mainly genistein and daidzein forms, have superior antioxidant capacity than their corresponding glycosides forms [36].

CONCLUSION

The optimum condition to obtain higher DH was found: 55°C, pH 9 and enzyme:substrate ratio of 5.0%. Under these conditions, a DH of 35.5% was achieved. The enzymatic hydrolysis favored extraction of isoflavones as well as conversion of glycosides to aglycones, which display greater bioavailability than their corresponding glycosides. Greater antioxidant activity in the protein hydrolysate was observed, which could be due to the high aglycone content and the release of bioactive peptides with antioxidant capacity. This study demonstrated that is possible to transform okara, a byproduct of little commercial value, to protein hydrolysate with higher nutritional value and antioxidant properties. Such product presents a great potential as an ingredient in food formulation.
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