Evaluation of Chromium and Manganese levels in sports supplements using graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry
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A B S T R A C T

Objective
In this paper, we studied three different types of ordinary sports supplements containing whey protein: whey protein-based ones, hypercaloric ones, and protein bars.

Methods
A sample preparation procedure was studied employing microwave-assisted wet digestion in order to determine the Chromium and Manganese levels by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry.

Results
The developed methods have presented good accuracy (recoveries in the range of 90% to 109%) and precision (Relative standard deviation <8%). Although an adequate detectability was obtained (50 ng g⁻¹ for Manganese
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and 65ng g\(^{-1}\) for Chromium), the sample preparation method was also adequate to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry analysis. The method was applied to 26 commercial samples, in which the Chromium concentrations were in the range between 0.22 and 1.0\(\mu g\) g\(^{-1}\) and the Manganese concentrations varied from 2.0 to 37\(\mu g\) g\(^{-1}\).

**Conclusion**

The results obtained by atomic absorption for both analytes were in agreement with those obtained by mass spectrometry. In addition, some samples presented concentrations of Chromium above the recommended daily intake and, as a result, we used the X-ray powder diffraction technique as an analytical tool to evaluate the oxidation state of Chromium in such samples.

**Keywords:** Atomic absorption. Chromium. Manganese. Micronutrients. Supplements. X-ray diffraction.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

There is a current worldwide trend towards stereotyped beauty patterns and anti-aging solutions. The search of these aesthetic benefits is a concern that affects all age groups, regardless of gender or social class. Consequently, a significant increase in the consumption of dietary supplements has been observed [1-4].

Sport supplements are indicated for patients with deprived diets and for athletes looking for improvements in physical performance [5]. These products are formulated based on macro and micronutrients in different proportions, making them suitable for different purposes [6]. In this work, we debate the supplements based on Whey Proteins (WP), which have high nutritional value, presenting high levels of essential amino acids, calcium, and bioactive peptides [7].

Generally, dietary supplements may contain minerals in concentrations ranging from mg g\(^{-1}\) to \(\mu g\) g\(^{-1}\), (i.e. they contain both macro and micro minerals or trace elements) [8]. One of these
minerals is Chromium (Cr), which draws one's attention as it naturally presents itself in two states of oxidation. While its trivalent form is considered essential for some metabolic processes of carbohydrates and lipids [9,10], the hexavalent one is toxic, potentially carcinogenic, and therefore should not be consumed [11,12]. On its turn, trivalent Chromium potentiates the effects of insulin, improving glucose tolerance [13,14]. The importance of the glucose tolerance factor has stimulated the development of Chromium (III) supplements, such as Chromium picolinate, Chromium nicotinate, Chromium citrate, and Chromium amino acid complexes [15].

As for Manganese (Mn), it is an essential trace element and plays an important role in various metabolic processes in the human body, as a constituent of many enzymes and a co-factor in various enzymatic processes [16]. The regulation of blood sugar [17] also stands among its most relevant functions. Consequently, Manganese deficiency in the body has been reported as responsible for impairment and changes in lipid and carbohydrate metabolism [18].

Chromium and Manganese dispute the absorption sites in the intestine with other metals such as iron, zinc, and copper [19]. Chromium, Manganese, and iron also use the same carrier protein, transferrin, responsible for their distribution throughout the body. Therefore, an unbalanced diet might not only influence the deficiency of one micronutrient, but also of others. Health problems such as anemia or even diabetes are some examples, exerting effects on the growth and metabolism of lipids and proteins, respectively [13,19].

In addition, some supplements may contain excessive doses of potentially toxic ingredients [3,20], while others do not present the values stipulated by their nutritional tables [21,22]. Thus, there is a need for periodic evaluations of these products.

This work aimed to determine and evaluate the concentrations of Chromium and Manganese in sport supplements for athletes, prioritizing the products based on whey proteins. For this goal, Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (GF AAS) was used to determine the analytes, while the samples were prepared by Microwave-Assisted Wet Digestion (MAWD). All the procedures were optimized regarding accuracy and precision parameters, while the analytical results were compared with the ones obtained by Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometry with Plasma Source (ICP-MS). The samples that presented high Chromium concentrations were also analyzed by X-ray powder Diffraction (XRD) and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance spectroscopy (EPR) techniques, which indicated the presence of hexavalent Chromium.

**METHODS**

All measurements were performed on an atomic absorption spectrometer with graphite furnace (Thermo Scientific Solaar Series M5, Waltham, USA) equipped with a background corrector (deuterium lamp). We used hollow-cathode lamps (Photron Lamps, USA) operating with a 6mA maximum current, a bandpass of 0.5nM, and a 357.9nM wavelength for Cr, whereas for Mn the maximum current was 5mA, the bandpass was 0.2nM, and the wavelength was of 279.5nM. Pyrolytic coated graphite tubes were used and all injections were performed manually with the aid of a volumetric micropipette. Microwave-assisted wet digestion was carried out in a microwave oven Speed Wave 4 (Berghof, Germany) equipped with twelve high-pressure vials (DAP-30) of Teflon-Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). All used reagents were of analytical grade. The solutions were prepared using deionized water (Elga Purelab Option-Q, model LA611, UK). Nitric acid (Sciavicco Comércio and Indústria Ltda, 65% v/v) was also used for the preparation of samples.
and solutions. The standard solutions were prepared from the stock solution dilution of 1000mg L⁻¹ Cr (Vetec Química Fina Ltda) and Mn (Qhemis High Purity). All the used glassware was cleaned in a 10% (v/v) nitric acid bath for 24 hours, then rinsed with deionized water at least three times, and dried at room temperature.

A total of 26 sport supplement samples were obtained, which may be subdivided into three types: whey protein supplements [15], supplements based on proteins and carbohydrates, known as “hypercaloric” [4], and samples of protein supplement in bars [7]. The whey protein samples are basically composed of concentrated, isolated, and/or hydrolyzed proteins with concentration ranging from 60% to 90%. The hypercaloric samples are composed of carbohydrates ranging from 60% to 80%, and proteins ranging from 10% to 30%. The protein bar samples, on the other hand, vary in composition among different brands: some are added of dietary fiber (2% to 30%), while most of them are mainly composed of carbohydrates (20% to 50%), proteins (30% to 40%), and lipids (10% to 20%).

All samples were packed in previously cleaned appropriate vials. The protein bar samples were crushed, taken into the oven (Odontobras EL 1.3, Brazil) at 60°C for 48 hours, then pulverized with the aid of a pistil and agate mortar, and finally conditioned in previously cleaned appropriate vials.

For the determination of metals in sport supplements, the literature demonstrates the need for a preliminary stage of acid digestion by heating, with the purpose of reaching complete mineralization of the samples. Thus, the procedure used for the digestion of similar samples was adapted, but using microwave radiation [20] and also a heating program available in the microwave oven software (Berghof Applications 7.0). The established procedure consisted of weighting 250mg of the dried samples directly in the PTFE flasks, in which 6.0mL of previously distilled nitric acid were added. This mixture was taken to the microwave digester following the preparation procedure where 1300W of potency was applied for 6 minutes until reaching a temperature of 170°C, which was maintained for 10 minutes. Next, 1300W of power was applied again for 2 minutes until reaching the temperature of 210°C, and the temperature was maintained for 15 minutes. After this procedure, the digested solutions were cooled to room temperature and diluted with deionized water to 25.00mL in a volumetric flask.

For the determinations involving graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, optimization of the instrumental conditions, such as chemical modifier, pyrolysis temperature, and atomization temperature, were performed. The optimization process for Chromium relied on univariate analysis and all measurements were performed in triplicate. Due to the time and instrumental resources available, the verification of optimal conditions for Manganese was conducted through a multivariate study using a factorial design 3².

Matrix modifier was evaluated for the samples using a 12.75μg mass of Mg(NO₃)₂ in the volume injected into the tubes. After optimizing the usage conditions of the chemical modifier, the furnace program for Cr and Mn in samples of sports supplements was optimized and the optimal temperatures of pyrolysis and atomization were established. The total volume of solution placed in the furnace was 25μL (20μL when not using matrix modifier). Pyrolysis and atomization curves for Cr were investigated by varying the temperature in increments of 50°C in the range of 1000°C to 1200°C for the pyrolysis, while the increments were of 100°C in the range of 2000 to 2400°C for the atomization. For Mn, the 3² factorial design for the optimization of pyrolysis and atomization temperatures was investigated in the temperature range of 700°C to 1400°C and from 1800°C to 2500°C, respectively. The results obtained throughout the heating programs optimized for Cr and Mn are shown in the Table 1.
The method Limits of Detection (LOD) and Quantification (LOQ) were calculated by multiplying the value of the Standard Deviation (SD) (10 signal measurements of the blank digestions), by 3.3 or 10 (LOD and LOQ, respectively) [23]. The calculated SD were divided by the slopes of the analytical curves. Then, a correction factor was used considering the sample mass used in the method and in the final volume of the digested samples.

Regarding the method’s detectability, the sensitivity was evaluated through the calculation of the characteristic mass ($m_0$). The method’s precision was verified using the Relative Standard Deviation (RSD). Spike tests were also performed in order to evaluate the accuracy in two levels of concentration. The analytes were added, as solutions, to the samples immediately after those had been weighted. For the first level, the Cr concentrations in the samples were of 2.5μg L\(^{-1}\) and the Mn concentrations in the samples were, as follows: whey protein (120μg L\(^{-1}\)), hypercaloric (45μg L\(^{-1}\)), and protein bar (70μg L\(^{-1}\)). For the second level, the Cr concentrations in the samples were of 5.0μg L\(^{-1}\) and the Mn concentrations in the samples were the following: whey protein (200μg L\(^{-1}\)), hypercaloric (165μg L\(^{-1}\)), and protein bar (190μg L\(^{-1}\)). The blank samples were also analyzed.

X-ray powder diffraction was used as an analytical tool to evaluate the state of Chromium oxidation in the samples. For this purpose, a comparison was made among the diffractograms of the supplement samples with those of Chromium (III) picolinate and Chromium trioxide (VI). The XRD analyses were performed on a Bruker diffractometer (D8 Advance Da Vinci model) with Bragg-Brentano geometry, copper tube ($\lambda$=1.54056Å), Ni filter, and LynxEye linear detector. The diffractograms were collected in the angular range of 5º to 50º with a pitch of 0.02º and a 0.6mm divergence slit, and primary and secondary 2.5º Soller slits. The working conditions were 40kV voltage and 40 mA current.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

For the determination of Cr in the studied samples, we used 12.75μg of Mg(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) together with the injection of each sample into the graphite furnace. It was not necessary to use a chemical modifier for the determination of Mn.

To evaluate the need of a chemical modifier for Chromium, analytical determinations were performed in the samples of sports supplement with and without the addition of the modifier. The mean absorbance with the modifier ranged from (0.108±0.007) for protein bar samples, (0.231±0.003) for whey protein samples, and (0.114±0.004) for hypercaloric samples, while without the chemical modifier it varied between (0.081±0.004), (0.189±0.009), and (0.089±0.005), respectively. The variance in these results was evaluated through the $F$ test, being comparable ($F_{\text{calculated}}$=1.55 and $F_{\text{critical}}$=3.44). The averages were compared through paired Student’s t-test, $t$ calculated of 9.03 and $t$ critical of 3.39.

**Table 1.** Optimized instrumental conditions for the determination of Cr and Mn in samples of sports supplements by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil, 2016.

| Step       | Temperature (°C) | Time (s) | Ramp (°C s\(^{-1}\)) | Gas flow rate (L min\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Drying     | 100              | 30       | 10                   | 0.2                           |
| Pyrolysis  | 900\(^a\), 1050\(^b\), 1100\(^c\) | 25       | 150                  | 0.2                           |
| Atomization| 1950\(^a\), 2300\(^b\), 2600\(^c\) | 3        | 0                    | 0.0                           |
| Washing    | 2600             | 3        | 0                    | 0.3                           |

Note: \(^a\)Determination of Mn in supplements; \(^b\)Determination of Cr in bar protein supplements; \(^c\)Determination of Cr in hypercaloric and whey protein type supplements.

min: Minutes; s: Seconds.
of 4.30. This indicates that these values are not equivalent. Therefore, the use of Mg(NO₃)₂ favors the increase of the analytical signal.

Although the use of chemical modifiers may be unnecessary for the determination of Chromium due to its refractory behavior, the use of relatively low pyrolysis temperatures can influence the elimination of complex matrices [24-26]. The use of modifiers such as magnesium nitrate, Mg(NO₃)₂, is reported in the literature for Chromium determination in different types of matrices, allowing the thermal stabilization of the analyte during the pyrolysis step without sensitivity losses, thus justifying its use [25,26].

For Chromium, the optimization of pyrolysis and atomization temperatures was performed in a univariate analysis and using Mg(NO₃)₂ as a chemical modifier. In this case, the pyrolysis temperature varied from 1000°C to 1200°C. Once the optimum pyrolysis temperatures were found and defined for the different types of samples, a similar procedure ensued by varying the atomization temperature, evaluated for the range of 2000°C to 2400°C in order to observe at which temperature one could obtain the best sensitivity. Figure 1A shows the pyrolysis and atomization curves for Cr determination in whey protein, hypercaloric, and protein samples. As seen in Figure 1B, the response surface for optimizing pyrolysis and atomization temperatures indicated that the Mn atomization temperature does not influence the analytical sensitivity and, therefore, this was set at 1950°C, since lower temperatures increase the graphite tube's lifespan. Regarding the pyrolysis temperature, the different types of samples presented higher sensitivity at 900°C.

Figure 1. Studies of: Figures: A1: Pyrolysis conducted in the range of 1000°C to 1200 °C; and, A2 atomization conducted in the range of 2000°C to 2400°C. Figures B: Response surface for optimizing pyrolysis and atomization temperatures for manganese determination in samples of: B3: Whey Protein; B4: Hypercaloric; and, B5: Protein Bar. Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil, 2016. Note: The chemical modifier used to Chromium determination was 12.75μg of Mg(NO₃)₂. Response surface analysis of variance: (A) Fₘₐₓ=0.67, Fₘₐₓ=36.5; (B) Fₘₐₓ=0.68, Fₘₐₓ=92.6; and, (C) Fₘₐₓ=0.66, Fₘₐₓ=121.4. Fₘₐₓ-crit=19.2 and Fₘₐₓ-crit=5.05. Fₘₐₓ-crit: Lack-of-fit F-test. Fₘₐₓ-crit: Regression F-test. Fₘₐₓ-crit: Lack-of-fit F-test critical. Fₘₐₓ-crit: Regression F-test critical.
The results obtained (Table 1) are in agreement with the scientific literature for Chromium and Manganese determination using GF AAS. Pyrolysis and atomization temperature for the determination of Chromium in multivitamin supplement samples, food samples of plant origin, and infant formula samples were employed in the range of 1100°C to 1400°C and from 2300°C to 2550°C, respectively [3,27,28]. On the other hand, Manganese was determined employing 1200 and 2000°C for pyrolysis and atomization temperature of multivitamin supplement samples [3].

For the evaluation of these analytical parameters, the external curve calibration method was used with the added chemical modifier. The concentrations of the standard solutions used to plot the analytical curve ranged from 2.25 to 11.75μg L⁻¹ to Cr (y=0,0489x + 0,1176 and y=0,0466x+0,1508, linear equations for determination in protein bar samples and hypercaloric ones, and also whey protein samples, respectively) and from 1 to 10μg L⁻¹ to Mn (y=0,0283x+0,0188). The models were evaluated through an analysis of variance, in which there is no indication of lack of fit, for a 95% confidence level.

Analytical precision was evaluated through the Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) of the analyzed replicates. The RSD remained evidently below 8% for all analyses, which is indicative of good analytical precision, not only considering the analytes level, but also that the samples were injected manually.

Accuracy in sports supplements was assessed by spike tests. It should be noted that the standard solution was added to the samples prior to the digestion process and that a fortified blank sample was also prepared. The recovery values obtained were: 103.0%, 101.5%, and 98.5% for Cr recovery in whey protein, hypercaloric, and protein bar samples, respectively; and 109.0%, 102.0%, and 105.5% for Mn recovery in the same samples. These values indicated that errors related to analyses under optimized conditions were small and that the method shows good accuracy. Digested blank samples were also evaluated and recovery values ranged from 90.0% to 102.5%. In general, the recovery of metals satisfied requisites reported in the Decision 2002/657/EC that accepted a recovery bias between -50% and +20% for the concentration level of the samples, approximately 0.5μg g⁻¹ [29].

In graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry determinations, the detectability is called “analytical sensitivity” and this parameter is expressed in terms of mass, referred to as “characteristic mass” (mₜ). This value corresponds to the mass of the analyte responsible for absorbing 1% of the radiation, in picograms (pg) [25,30,31]. The characteristic mass of Chromium in the sports supplement samples ranged from 2.7 to 2.8pg, which is in agreement with the value of 3pg reported for longitudinally heated atomizers. For Manganese, the values ranged from 2.2 to 2.6pg, which is also satisfactory when compared to the literature data (2pg). This demonstrates that the method also shows good sensitivity [32].

For Chromium, the obtained LOD ranged from 43ng g⁻¹ (protein bar) to 65ng g⁻¹ (whey protein); as for Manganese, the value was 50ng g⁻¹ for the different types of supplements. In relation to the LOQ, the lowest analyte concentration that can be quantified with acceptable accuracy and precision, the value obtained for Manganese was 160ng g⁻¹, while for Chromium the values ranged from 145ng g⁻¹ (protein bar) to 218ng g⁻¹ (whey protein). After validating the method and obtaining the figures of merit, 26 different samples were collected and analyzed. The results are in Table 2.

We evaluated the presence of Chromium and Manganese in fifteen samples of the analyzed supplements of whey protein type, as well as the presence of these analytes in products that not
always inform the Chromium content in their labels. Seven samples had concentrations below the limits of quantification for Chromium, and the others varied in the range of 0.22 g\(^{-1}\) to 1.04μg g\(^{-1}\). We were able to quantify Manganese in the fifteen samples and its concentration ranged from 2.00 g\(^{-1}\) to 37.4μg g\(^{-1}\).

Based on the information found on the products labels, we calculated the percentage of the Recommended Daily Intake (RDI) the consumption of one dose of these products can supply. For Chromium, one single dose can supply from 19% to 229% of the RDI, considering the experimentally found Chromium levels. It is noteworthy that three of the analyzed samples (WP1, WP6, and WP9) presented values of the RDI supplied above 100%, which may be nutritionally inadequate. With respect to Manganese, all samples presented RDI below 100%, except the sample WP5 (134%).

Chromium was detected in the three of the four hypercaloric samples, with its concentrations ranging from 0.27g\(^{-1}\) to 0.44μg g\(^{-1}\). The values found can supply 61% to 161% of the Chromium RDI, with Hypercaloric sample number 2 (HC2) and Hypercaloric sample number 3 (HC3) offering contributions above 100%. Manganese levels were determined for all the four samples, with its concentration ranging from 4.95g\(^{-1}\) to 11.1μg g\(^{-1}\). Considering the manufacturers’ recommended intake rates for the samples evaluated, this element does not provide values greater than 100%.

Table 2. Results for determination of chromium and manganese in sports supplement samples analyzed by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil, 2016.

| Samples | Chromium (μg g\(^{-1}\)) | Manganese (μg g\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| WP1     | (1.04±0.14)              | (5.40±0.43)               |
| WP2     | (0.22±0.06)              | (33.20±1.10)              |
| WP3     | <0.07                    | (5.07±0.59)               |
| WP4     | <0.22                    | (5.77±0.07)               |
| WP5     | <0.22                    | (30.80±1.40)              |
| WP6     | (0.89±0.06)              | (4.88±0.10)               |
| WP7     | (0.79±0.14)              | (6.31±0.58)               |
| WP8     | (0.44±0.10)              | (19.10±0.60)              |
| WP9     | (0.31±0.08)              | (2.00±0.04)               |
| WP10    | <0.22                    | (7.01±0.13)               |
| WP11    | <0.22                    | (36.40±0.50)              |
| WP12    | <0.07                    | (3.95±0.24)               |
| WP13    | <0.22                    | (37.40±3.20)              |
| WP14    | (0.29±0.09)              | (14.30±1.30)              |
| WP15    | (0.26±0.07)              | (5.59±0.22)               |
| HC1     | (0.30±0.07)              | (11.10±1.20)              |
| HC2     | (0.44±0.05)              | (8.60±0.58)               |
| HC3     | (0.27±0.08)              | (4.95±0.23)               |
| HC4     | <0.15                    | (7.16±0.11)               |
| PB1     | (0.63±0.06)              | (12.70±0.10)              |
| PB2     | (0.54±0.07)              | (14.90±0.90)              |
| PB3     | (0.41±0.07)              | (14.80±1.50)              |
| PB4     | (0.40±0.06)              | (19.30±1.20)              |
| PB5     | (0.65±0.04)              | (26.00±1.60)              |
| PB6     | (0.41±0.02)              | (18.30±1.60)              |
| PB7     | (0.56±0.05)              | (16.80±0.80)              |

Note: HC: Supplements of the Hypercaloric Type; PB: Protein Bar Supplements; WP: Whey Protein Supplements.
For protein bar samples, Chromium concentrations ranged from 0.40 g\(^{-1}\) to 0.65 μg g\(^{-1}\). The RDI values provided by the consumption of one supplement dose ranged from 35% to 83%. Manganese concentrations ranged from 12.7 to 26 μg g\(^{-1}\), corresponding to 18% to 51% of the RDI.

In this context, the samples were analyzed for the statistical comparison of results and thus to confirm the accuracy of the proposed methods using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS).

The results were statistically compared and the variances in concentration levels were evaluated using the \(F\)-test. We consider the variances for both micronutrients equivalent, since the calculated \(F\) value (1.08 and 1.07 for Cr and Mn, respectively) is less than the critical \(F\) (6.39). The statistical comparison of the concentration averages obtained through the different techniques was performed using a Student paired \(t\)-test, where the value obtained for \(t\) calculated for Chromium and Manganese concentrations (0.06 and 0.35, respectively) was lower than the critical \(t\) value (2.78). Therefore, with 95% confidence, there are no assumptions that indicate significant differences between the results obtained by ICP-MS and the results obtained by the GF AAS method.

Considering this work’s results, it can be stated that GF AAS is an interesting alternative in relation to ICP-MS and Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP OES) techniques for Chromium and Manganese monitoring in supplements samples. These results are also important information that can be used to establish criteria to control the access to whey protein based supplements, since consumption rates are increasing significantly in recent years [3]. The limits of detection obtained (Chart 1) are in the same order of magnitude of the ones reported in the literature. Minor variations may be considered normal since different sample preparation methods are used in these works.

Considering that the concentration values obtained were significantly higher than those reported by the manufacturers, X-ray powder diffraction was used as a tool to identify the chemical form of Chromium in such samples, that is, if there is Cr\(^{III}\) or Cr\(^{VI}\), or even a mixture of crystalline phases with both compositions.

**Chart 1.** Comparison of the method developed by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry with others methods available in the literature. Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil, 2016.

| LOD (Cr) | LOD (Mn) | Sample | Technique | Reference |
|---------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 65 ng g\(^{-1}\) | 50 ng g\(^{-1}\) | Whey protein, hypercaloric and protein bar | GF AAS | This work |
| 2 ng g\(^{-1}\) | 1 ng g\(^{-1}\) | Multivitamin supplements | HR-CS GF AAS | [3] |
| 20 μg g\(^{-1}\) | 2 μg g\(^{-1}\) | Dietary supplement formulations based on edible mushrooms | GF AAS | [33] |
| 0.4 μg g\(^{-1}\) | 6 μg g\(^{-1}\) | Nutritional supplements | ICP-MS and ICP OES | [8] |
| 1.4 ng g\(^{-1}\) | 16 ng g\(^{-1}\) | Botanicals and dietary supplements | ICP-MS | [34] |
| 0.9 ng g\(^{-1}\) | 1 ng g\(^{-1}\) | Multivitamin and multi-mineral preparations | ICP-MS | [35] |
| 12 ng g\(^{-1}\) | - | Infant formula | SS-HR-CS ET AAS | [36] |
| 87 ng g\(^{-1}\) | - | Plant samples | GF AAS | [27] |
| 0.1 μg g\(^{-1}\) | - | Dietary supplements | GF AAS | [37] |
| 6 μg g\(^{-1}\) | - | Food supplement of herbal origin | ICP-MS | [38] |

Note: GF AAS: Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry; HR-CS GF AAS: High-Resolution Continuum Source Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry; ICP-MS: Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry; ICP OES: Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry; SS-HR-CS ET AAS: High-Resolution Continuum Source Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometry combined with the Solid Sample analysis.
This study consisted in obtaining the diffractograms of selected samples in the angular range of 5% to 50° (a region that concentrates the diffraction peaks with higher intensities) and comparison with the diffractograms of the Chromium trioxide (VI), \( \text{CrO}_3 \), and Chromium Picolinate(III), \( \text{Cr(C}_6\text{H}_4\text{NO}_2)_3 \). Some of the obtained diffractograms are presented in Figure 2.

Evaluating the diffractograms, peaks were observed in the different samples at angles characteristic of Chromium Picolinate (18.1°, 19.2°, and 28.6°). However, some peaks possibly correspond to the contribution of both investigated Chromium compounds; peaks at 20.8° should correspond to Chromium picolinate and \( \text{CrO}_3 \) simultaneously. The most worrisome evidence is related to the fact that peaks at angles of 21.2°, 26.4°, and 37.6° can be attributed to \( \text{CrO}_3 \). Using XRD as an analytical tool, there is evidence of Chromium in the oxidation states of \( \text{Cr}^{III} \) and \( \text{Cr}^{VI} \) in some of the samples studied.

It is important to highlight that sports supplements are complex samples, which present other constituents that have diffraction patterns. The diffractogram of Lactose, for example, displays some peaks of good intensity at angles close to those observed in the analysis, making it difficult to interpret the data collected. Thus, the samples were also evaluated by electronic

Figure 2. Diffractograms for sports supplement samples, Chromium(III) picolinate, and Chromium trioxide standards. *Juiz de Fora,* (MG), Brazil, 2016.

Note: Reading: Black: Chromium (III) Picolinate; Red: Chromium Trioxide; Pink: Whey Protein sample 7; Blue: Supplements of the Hypercaloric Type, sample 2; Green: Supplements of the Hypercaloric Type, sample 1.
paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy in order to confirm the presence of Chromium in its toxic oxidation state.

The same samples evaluated by XRD were evaluated using Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Considering the levels of Chromium presented in the samples and the instrumental conditions used during the analyses, we could not obtain information on the oxidation number of the element in the samples evaluated.

**CONCLUSION**

Since the consumption of sports supplements has been increasing, an effective quality control for these products is essential, which includes the monitoring of their mineral contents. In this sense, since the results obtained by GF AAS and ICP-MS were statistically comparable, GF AAS can be defined as an adequate technique for the analysis, since it has lower instrumental and operational cost, which justifies its use for monitoring mineral micronutrients.

In this work, the evaluation of Chromium and Manganese in sports supplements samples showed high mineral concentrations, mainly of Chromium, which extrapolate the daily necessities. In a set of 26 samples, Chromium concentrations ranged from 0.22 to 1.0μg g⁻¹, while Manganese ones ranged from 2.00 to 37.4μg g⁻¹.

The high levels of Chromium are even more worrisome from the results obtained by XRD, which corroborate with evidence of the Cr(VI) presence in some of the samples studied. Although inconclusive, the studies conducted by EPR are being optimized and the publication of such results is a future perspective.
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