Chronic wasting disease (CWD) persists in cervid populations of North America and in 2016 was detected for the first time in Europe in a wild reindeer in Norway. We report the detection of CWD in 3 moose (Alces alces) in Norway, identified through a large-scale surveillance program. The cases occurred in 13–14-year-old female moose, and we detected an abnormal form of prion protein (PrPSc) in the brain but not in lymphoid tissues. Immunohistochemistry revealed that the moose shared the same neuropathologic phenotype, characterized by mostly intraneuronal deposition of PrPSc. This pattern differed from that observed in reindeer and has not been previously reported in CWD-infected cervids. Moreover, Western blot revealed a PrPSc type distinguishable from previous CWD cases and from known ruminant prion diseases in Europe, with the possible exception of sheep CH1641. These findings suggest that these cases in moose represent a novel type of CWD.

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), or prion diseases, are fatal and transmissible neurodegenerative diseases that include scrapie in sheep and goats, bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle, chronic wasting disease (CWD) in cervids, and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in humans. TSEs are characterized by the misfolding of the normal host-encoded cellular prion protein (PrPC) into an abnormal disease-associated isoform (PrPSc). PrPSc is considered to be the main or exclusive component of prions, the transmissible agents for TSEs (1). TSEs might have a genetic, infectious, or sporadic origin. Classical scrapie and CWD can be highly contagious, spreading directly among animals or through environmental contamination.

Since its first description in Colorado in 1967, CWD has been detected in new geographic areas and with increasing prevalence in captive and free-ranging cervids. Currently, the disease has been diagnosed in 25 states in the United States and in 2 Canada provinces (2,3), along with cases in South Korea associated with importation of infected cervids from Canada (4). High disease prevalence in some areas represents a challenge for preservation of wild cervids and mitigation of human exposure to CWD-related prions (PrPSc). The high prevalence might be a plausible explanation for local deer population decline (5,6).

Species naturally affected by CWD include white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), mule deer (O. hemionus), moose (Alces alces), elk or wapiti (Cervus canadensis), and red deer (C. elaphus). In 2016, CWD was reported for the first time in Europe in wild reindeer (Rangifer tarandus) (7), a species never previously found to be naturally infected. The biochemical analysis and immunohistochemical (IHC) distribution of PrPSc from Norway reindeer revealed a pattern indistinguishable from North America isolates (7).

We report 3 cases of CWD detected in moose in Norway, characterized by biochemical and IHC features clearly different from CWD cases previously described in North America and Norway. Our findings suggest the involvement of a different type of CWD prion.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Tissues
The 3 moose were found in Trøndelag County in central Norway. The first case, moose no. 1 (ID P138), was...
emaciated and demonstrated abnormal behavior, showing reduced fear of humans. The second case, moose no. 2 (ID P153), was found dead in a river. Necropsy revealed normal body condition and pregnancy with twins; trauma was the cause of death. The third case, moose no. 3 (ID CD11399), was observed showing abnormal behaviors, including reduced fear of humans. Necropsy revealed a poor body condition and a severe dislocation of the left hip joint, which might have influenced the animal’s behavior. All 3 were older female moose (13, 14, and 13 years old, based on counts of cementum annuli in the root of the first incisor [8]).

Samples included in this study are described in the Table. We performed the primary diagnostic test (TeSeE ELISA; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) for detection of protease-resistant core of PrPSc (PrPRes) on the 3 moose and 1 reindeer from Norway (7) in the medulla oblongata at the level of the obex. After the initial positive test results, the remaining brain tissues were divided; one half was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, and the other half was frozen. In addition, lymph nodes (Ln) from moose no. 1 (retropharyngeal, submandibular, and jejunal Ln), moose no. 3 (retropharyngeal, parotid, prescapular, and submandibular Ln, and tonsils), and the reindeer (2 tracheobronchial Ln) were equally divided and formalin fixed or frozen.

**Genotyping of Moose PRNP**
DNA was extracted from 100 mg of brain tissue by using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The PRNP coding sequence was amplified in a 50 µL final volume by using 5 µL of extracted DNA, eluate 1X AmpliTaq Gold 360 PCR Buffer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 2.5 mmol/L MgCl2, 1X 360 GC Enhancer (Life Technologies), 200 µmol/L dNTPs, 0.25 µmol/L of forward (5’-GCTGACACCCCTTATTTTGACGG-3’) and reverse (5’-GATTAAGAGATAAGAAAAACAGGAAG-3’) primers (11), and 0.5 µL AmpliTaq Gold 360 (Life Technologies), according to the following amplification protocol: 5 min at 96°C; 30 s at 96°C; 15 s at 57°C; 90 s at 72°C for 40 cycles; and 4 min at 72°C. Amplicons were purified with the Illustra ExoProStar 1-Step clean-up kit (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK), sequenced using the Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit v1.1 (Life Technologies), and detected by using an ABI PRISM 3130 apparatus (Life Technologies).

**Anti–Prion Protein Monoclonal Antibodies**
Several antibodies with different epitopes (sheep prion protein [PrP] numbering) were used for discriminatory Western blot (WB) and IHC. SAF84 (aa 167–173) was obtained from Bertin Pharma (Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France), L42 (aa 148–153) from R-Biopharm (Darmstadt, Germany), 9A2 (aa 102–104) and 12B2 (aa 93–97) from Wageningen Bioveterinary Research (Lelystad, Netherlands), and F99/97.6 (aa 220–225) from VMRD, Inc. (Pullman, WA, USA).

**Immunohistochemistry**
Brain, Ln, and tonsil tissues were formalin fixed for ≥48 h and processed by standard histopathologic techniques. We used IHC to visualize the distribution of PrPSc as previously described (7). We applied a commercially available kit

**Table. Summary characteristics of samples used in a study characterizing chronic wasting disease in moose (Alces alces), Norway**

| Animal or species | Geographic origin | Age | Pathologic phenotype | PrP genotype† |
|------------------|------------------|-----|----------------------|---------------|
| Moose no. 1      | Norway           | 13 y| Atypical CWD         | KK109/MM209   |
| Moose no. 2      | Norway           | 14 y| Atypical CWD         | KK109/MM209   |
| Moose no. 3      | Norway           | 13 y| Atypical CWD         | KK109/MM209   |
| Reindeer         | Norway           | 3–4 y| CWD                  | V25GG129SS138VV169 |
| Elk or wapiti    | Canada           | 6 y | CWD                  | MM132         |
| Elk or wapiti    | Canada           | Adult| CWD                  | MM132         |
| Elk or wapiti    | Canada           | 6 y | CWD                  | MM132         |
| White-tailed deer| Canada           | 4 y | CWD                  | GO96          |
| Moose            | Canada           | Adult| CWD                  | K109M132‡     |
| Sheep            | Italy            | 2.5 y| Classical scrapie    | AA138RR154QQ171 |
| Sheep            | Italy            | Adult| Classical scrapie    | AA138RR154QQ171 |
| Sheep            | Italy            | 4.5 y| Atypical/Nor98 scrapie | AA138RH154QQ171 |
| Sheep            | Experimental sample | NA | Scrapie CH1641| AA138HH154QQ171 |
| Sheep            | France           | NA | Scrapie CH1641-like | AA138RR154QQ171 |
| Cattle           | Italy            | 6 y | C-BSE                | NA            |
| Cattle           | France           | 15 y| L-BSE                | NA            |
| Cattle           | France           | 11 y| H-BSE                | NA            |

*All samples were from naturally infected animals, with the exception of 1 sheep experimentally inoculated with CH1641. BSE, bovine spongiform encephalopathy; C-BSE, classical BSE; CWD, chronic wasting disease; H-BSE, H-type atypical BSE; L-BSE, L-type atypical BSE; NA, not available; PrP, prion protein.
†The PrP genotype reported is expressing the amino acid variants present at the major polymorphic codons described for each species.
‡The Canada isolate was homozygous for lysine at codon 109 and for isoleucine at codon 209 (KK109M132) (GenBank accession no. MH230114).
§Reference (9).
¶Reference (10).
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cademic samples. We then incubated the mixtures for 3 h at 37°C (Roche) to 5 μL sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.8% Nonidet P40 (Roche) and 2 μL (80 U/ml) di- N-Glycosidase F (Roche) to 5 μL of proteinase K–digested and denatur-
ated samples. We then incubated the mixtures for 3 h at 37°C with gentle shaking.

PrPpos Detection

We initially tested the obex samples by using the TeSeE SAP ELISA and confirmed positive ELISA results by using the TeSeE WB. Both tests were performed as recommended by the manufacturer (Bio-Rad).

Typing of PrPpos

We performed further characterization of PrPpos by discriminatory immunoblotting, according to the ISS discriminatory WB method (12). Brain homogenates at 10% (wt/vol) in 100 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) 2% sarkosyl were incu-

bated for 1 h at 37°C with Proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) to a final concentration of 200 μg/mL. Protease treatment was stopped with 3 mmol/L PMSF (Sigma-Aldrich). Aliquots of samples were added with an equal volume of isopropanol/butanol (1:1 vol/vol) and centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 10 min. The pellets were resuspended in denaturing sample buffer (NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer; Life Technologies) and heated for 10 min at 90°C.

We loaded each sample onto 12% bis-Tris polyacryl-

amide gels (Invitrogen) for electrophoresis with subse-
quent WB on polyvinylidene fluoride membranes using the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The blots were processed with anti-PrP mAbs by using the SNAP i.d. 2.0 system (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) according to the manufac-
turer’s instructions. After incubation with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated anti–mouse immunoglobulin (Pierce Biotechnology, Waltham, MA, USA) at 1:20,000, the PrP bands were detected by using enhanced chemilu-

minescent substrate (SuperSignal Femto; Pierce Biotech-

nology) and ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad). The chemiluminescence signal was quantified by using Image Lab 5.2.1 (Bio-Rad).

We performed deglycosylation by adding 18 μL of 0.2

mmol/L sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.8% Nonidet P40 (Roche) and 2 μL (80 U/ml) di-

N-Glycosidase F (Roche) to 5 μL of proteinase K–digested and denatur-
ated samples. We then incubated the mixtures for 3 h at 37°C with gentle shaking.

Results

CWD was diagnosed in 2 moose in May 2016 in Norway’s Selbu municipality and in 1 moose in Octo-

ber 2017 in Lierne municipality. Selbu and Lierne are respectively located ≈300 and ≈450 km northeast of Nord-
fjella, where CWD in reindeer was detected in 2016. Nor-

way is populated by several species of wild cervids with varying degrees of overlapping range. Seasonal migrations are common and distances might exceed 150 km (13–15). However, studies tracking global positioning satellite–collared moose have not documented regular seasonal migrations between Selbu and Lierne municipalities, suggesting that these can be considered different moose subpopulations.

We initially detected PrPsc in brain samples by using a rapid test and then confirmed by WB (data not shown) and IHC. Sequencing analysis of the entire PrP coding se-
quence revealed that the 3 moose had the wild type PrP genotype, homozygous for lysine at codon 109 and for me-

thionine at codon 209 (KK109/MM209) (GenBank accession no. MH230115).

Discriminatory PrPsc Immunohistochemistry Show Differences between Reindeer and Moose

The distribution of PrPsc staining was examined by IHC and compared in the tissues of the 3 moose and the reindeer by using 5 different antibodies (Figure 1). No staining was ob-

served in CWD-negative reindeer and moose independently of the antibody used. The distribution of PrPsc in the reindeer was identical for each of the 5 antibodies and did not differ from the description of PrPsc distribution in North America cervids (16–18). The labeling was most consistent within the gray matter of the medulla oblongata, particularly in the dorsal motor of the vagus nerve (7). The thalamic and brain stem regions of the brain were most affected, with a minimal amount of PrPsc identified dorsal to the corpus callosum.

PrPsc labeling in the moose brains (Figure 1, panels F–J) was clearly different from that of the reindeer (Figure 1, panels A–E). In the moose, after staining with F99/97.6 and L42, PrPsc was almost exclusively observed as intra-

neuronal aggregates, although intraastrocytic type (multi-

ple small granules scattered in the cytoplasm of astrocyte-

resembling cells) and intramicroglial type (1 single or a

few large granules in close proximity to microglia-like nuclei) were also observed in the cerebral cortices and ol-

factory bulb (online Technical Appendix Figure 1, panel A, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/24/12/18-0702-

Techapp1.pdf). The degree of PrPsc staining was more intensive and appeared more widespread in the neuropil using SAF84.

At the level of the obex, we found stained neurons in all nuclei, whereas the dorsal motor of the vagus nerve was not remarkably stained, as observed in reindeer. The intensity of labeling varied among the 3 moose; no. 2 dis-

played sparse labeling, no. 3 widespread and abundant labeling, and no. 1 intermediate labeling intensity. We ob-

served PrPsc in all parts of the brain investigated except the
cerebella of moose nos. 1 and 2. A diffuse or discrete punctate staining was observed in the granular layer of the cerebellum of moose no. 3, with stronger staining in some Golgi neurons (online Technical Appendix Figure 1, panel B). In all 3 moose, the cortical regions showed laminar staining of neurons in all the cell layers, especially in fusiform-shaped neurons. The neurons of the olfactory tubercle from all 3 also stained strongly, and some glia-associated staining could be observed.

In contrast to the reindeer, the downstream flexible tail mAbs 12B2 and 9A2 did not stain in the moose (Figure 1, panels F and G), suggesting that the moose PrP<sub>Sc</sub> was truncated by endogenous proteases further upstream in the N terminus than was reindeer PrP<sub>Sc</sub>. Contrary to previous findings in reindeer, PrP<sub>Sc</sub> was not detected in the Ln from moose no. 1 or in the Ln and tonsils from moose no. 3 (lymphoid tissues were not available in moose no. 2) by either IHC or ELISA.

**PrP<sub>Sc</sub> from Norway Moose Compared with Other CWD Isolates from Canada and Norway**

We compared the PrP<sub>Sc</sub> features in moose from Norway with those of other CWD isolates from Norway and Canada by discriminatory WB, which enabled comparison of PrP<sub>res</sub> by epitope mapping with different antibodies. Norway moose PrP<sub>res</sub> had a lower apparent molecular weight (MW) than PrP<sub>res</sub> from Norway reindeer (Figure 2, panel A) or from Canada isolates (Figure 2, panel B). This lower MW was explained by the occurrence of more C-terminal cleavage of PrP<sub>Sc</sub> by protease K, as confirmed by the partial loss of the 12B2 epitope (Figure 2, panel B).

Given the unusual pattern observed in moose isolates from Norway, we further investigated their biochemical characteristics with additional mAbs and by enzymatic deglycosylation (Figure 3). Moose samples showed a main C-terminal fragment of ≈17 kDa, detected with SAF84, L42, and 9A2, and an additional glycosylated C-terminal fragment of ≈13 kDa (CTF13) detected only with SAF84. The N terminal 12B2 epitope was mainly lost, although a small amount of PrP<sub>res</sub> was still detectable in moose no. 1 (Figure 3) and no. 3 (online Technical Appendix Figure 2) with this antibody.
In moose nos. 2 and 3, an additional glycosylated C-terminal fragment of ≈16 kDa (CTF16) was detected by SAF84 and L42 mAbs (Figure 3; online Technical Appendix Figure 2). We cannot exclude that a small amount of CTF16 was also present in moose no. 1, given that a weak PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragment of ≈16 kDa was detectable upon deglycosylation and long exposure of blots (Figure 3; online Technical Appendix Figure 3). Moose nos. 1 and 3 also had a nonglycosylated internal fragment of ≈10 kDa, cleaved at both N and C termini of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}, which was recognized by using mAbs 9A2 (Figure 3). Moreover, the analysis of PrP\textsuperscript{res} from different neuroanatomic regions showed that the slight differences observed among the 3 moose were not dependent on the area analyzed (online Technical Appendix Figure 2).

**Comparison of the PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} Features of the Norway Moose with Sheep and Cattle Prion Strains from Europe**

Comparison with ovine and bovine prions was performed to determine the N terminal cleavage of the main PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragment by analyzing the different PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragments in each sample, the MW of these fragments, and the L42/12B2 antibody ratio (Figure 4; online Technical Appendix Table). Among ovine prions, classical scrapie and atypical/Nor98 were easily discriminated from moose isolates (Figure 5). Classical scrapie PrP\textsuperscript{res} had a higher MW than moose PrP\textsuperscript{res},...
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as confirmed by the preservation of 12B2 epitope. As previously observed (19), Nor98 PrP\textsuperscript{res} was cleaved at both the N and C termini, and the characteristic 11–12 kDa band was detected by L42, 9A2, and 12B2 mAbs (Figure 5). In contrast, CH1641 samples showed molecular features partially overlapping with the moose (Figure 5). CH1641 samples showed a PrP\textsuperscript{res} of ≈17 kDa and were accompanied by an additional C-terminal fragment of 13–14 kDa detected by using SAF84 mAbs (20). However, CTF16 and the internal PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragment of 10 kDa could not be detected in CH1641 samples.

Moose PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} did not overlap with any type of bovine PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}. The lack of the 12B2 epitope in moose PrP\textsuperscript{res} was similar to C-type and atypical L-type BSE, but the 2 bovine prions had neither CTF13, CTF16, nor the internal fragment (Figure 6). H-type atypical BSE showed the CTF13 and the internal fragment similar to moose PrP\textsuperscript{res}, but the main PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragment showed a higher MW and preserved the 12B2 epitope (Figure 6).

The ratio of reactivity obtained with L42 and 12B2 antibodies reflected the N terminal cleavage of the main fragment of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}, enabling confirmation that the differences observed in MW of PrP\textsuperscript{res} actually depend on different N terminal proteinase K cleavages, irrespective of the host species (Figure 4). Values >2 are indicative of BSE-like cleavage, whereas values <1 indicate a better preservation of 12B2 epitope compared with scrapie. In this respect, the behavior of moose PrP\textsuperscript{res} was BSE-like (ratio >2). However, moose no. 1 had a ratio lower than moose nos. 2 and 3. The CH1641-like field sample was similar to moose no. 1 in this respect, whereas CH1641 was similar to moose nos. 2 and 3. Finally, the value <1 observed for PrP\textsuperscript{res} in H-type atypical BSE, CWD in reindeer, and CWD isolates from Canada reflected their higher MW compared with classical scrapie (online Technical Appendix Table).

Discussion

Although CWD has been detected in several captive and free-ranging cervid species from a large geographic area in North America, <10 cases in moose have been reported (21–23). We report 3 naturally occurring cases of prion disease in moose in Norway that showed molecular and IHC phenotypes differing from those previously described for classical CWD in North America, as well as in reindeer in Norway. The phenomenon of strain variation is well known in prion diseases and is often associated with phenotype variation in natural hosts, as observed in bovines with classical, H-type, or L-type BSE, and in sheep with classical or atypical/Nor98 scrapie. Identification of a new CWD phenotype in 3 moose in Norway can be suggestive of a new CWD strain. Although the existence of CWD strain variation in North America has been inferred from transmission studies (24–26), this phenomenon has not been directly associated with phenotypic variations in natural hosts so far.
The phenotype variant found in moose from Norway could be hypothetically attributed to host species factors. To address this issue, we directly compared PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} characteristics in the Norway moose with those in a Canada moose with CWD. In agreement with the available evidence, we found that the Canada moose PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} had features different from Norway moose PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} and were indistinguishable from other cervids with classical CWD. This finding suggests that the variant PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} type observed in Norway moose could not simply reflect a host species factor. Notably, in both natural and experimental conditions, CWD-affected moose in North America have been reported to display disease features indistinguishable from CWD in other cervids and had detectable PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} in lymphoid tissues (21,27).

Species-specific amino acid polymorphisms in the cervid PrP are associated with CWD susceptibility, incubation time, and pathology (28–30). In transmission experiments, atypical features were reported in elk or wapiti and mule deer with genotypes associated with a relative resistance to disease, extension of the incubation period, or both (31,32). Moose PrP is polymorphic at codon 109 (K/Q) and 209 (M/I), combined in 3 alleles: K\textsubscript{109}M\textsubscript{209} (observed in Europe and North America), Q\textsubscript{109}M\textsubscript{209} (observed in Europe), and K\textsubscript{109}I\textsubscript{209} (observed in North America) (33,34). The 3 moose with CWD from Norway had the KK\textsubscript{109}MM\textsubscript{209} genotype, whereas the moose case from Canada used for comparison had the KK\textsubscript{109}II\textsubscript{209} genotype. Thus, we cannot exclude that the differences observed between Norway and Canada moose in our study are dependent on differences in PrP genotype. However, a classical CWD phenotype has been reported in naturally (21) and experimentally infected (27) moose with the KK\textsubscript{109}MM\textsubscript{209} genotype, suggesting that a difference at PrP codon 209 is probably not the cause of the variant phenotype observed in moose in Norway. All of these findings suggest that neither the species nor the individual PrP genotypes are likely to have caused the variant phenotypes observed and imply that this variant phenotype could represent a novel CWD strain.

CWD is known to be a highly contagious disease in North America; however, data relating to the disease in moose are sparse and insufficient to understand the epidemiology and the implications of CWD in this species. The apparent low CWD prevalence reported for moose in North America compared with other cervid species might be attributable to the individual social behavior of moose and the minimal habitat overlap between moose and other cervids in areas with CWD. Additionally, surveillance program design, disease variability, and host genetics might influence the prevalence of the disease. Based on the epizootic dynamics in North America, CWD plausibly could have become established in reindeer in Norway more than a decade ago (35). In this scenario, the disease in moose could possibly be linked to the disease observed in reindeer, with strain mutation or phenotype shift putatively caused by interspecific transmission. However, a main cause of strain mutation after interspecies transmission (i.e., PrP amino acid differences between the donor and host species) is not relevant in this case because reindeer and moose...
share the same PrP primary sequence. An alternative hypothesis could be that moose have a prion disease that is independent of the reindeer epidemic, being either specific to the Norwegian moose or acquired by species other than the reindeer.

The 3 moose were 13, 14, and 13 years of age. Although moose can reach ages beyond 20 years, we consider these moose as old because female moose >10–12 years of age start to show signs of senescence and declining survival and reproduction rates (36,37). The old age of the mouse, the absence of lymphoid tissue involvement, and the low disease prevalence observed so far (3 of 10,531 moose tested) could suggest that CWD in moose is less contagious than classical CWD or could represent a spontaneous TSE. The finding that the affected moose were from the same geographic area does not seem to support a spontaneous origin of the disease; however, the actual evidence for geographic clustering could have been biased by oversampling in Trøndelag County, where the first positive moose was detected. Lack of detailed data on the ages of the moose tested so far in different geographic areas prevents any definitive conclusion. Still, the recent detection of a positive moose in Finland, several hundred kilometers from Trøndelag County, might indicate that the disease is not restricted to Norway (38). The ongoing intensive surveillance in Norway and several European Union countries with large moose populations will help to better clarify the actual geographic distribution and prevalence and will be critical for understanding the contagious or spontaneous nature of the disease.

The 3 moose analyzed shared a distinctive IHC pattern, mainly characterized by intraneuronal accumulation of PrPSc, and common PrPSc features, such as the proteinase K N-terminal cleavage and the presence of an additional CTF13 fragment. However, we also observed unexpected differences among the 3 moose. By WB, the CTF16 fragment was observed in moose nos. 2 and 3 but not in moose no. 1, whereas the nonglycosylated internal fragment of 10 kDa was evident in moose nos. 1 and 3 but could not be detected in moose no. 2. Furthermore, we also showed that these differences did not depend on the brain area investigated. We cannot rule out that these slight differences might depend on technical issues rather than represent actual PrPSc variations. The outcome of the ongoing bioassay experiments will help to clarify the meaning of the observed variations.

By comparing the moose PrPSc features with other animal TSEs circulating in Europe, we found no evidence of similarities with bovine and ovine prions. Minimal similarities were observed with CH1641 samples; however, CH1641 cases have not yet been detected in Norway. Bioassay in a large spectrum of rodent models will assist in determining whether these molecular similarities imply biologic association between the atypical CWD in moose and small ruminant CH1641. Transmission studies in several rodent models are under way and will help to clarify whether the different phenotype observed (designated Nor-16CWD) could reflect the presence of a new cervid prion strain in moose from Norway.
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Technical Appendix

Technical Appendix Table. Molecular weight of PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragments

| Sample                              | Main fragment (L42) | CTF13 (SAF84) | CTF16 (L42) | Internal fragment† (9A2) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Scrapie                             | 17.8 ±0.1          |               |             |                         |
| Reindeer                            | 18.9 ±0.4          |               |             |                         |
| Moose #1                            | 17.2 ±0.1          | 13.0 ±0.1     | 15.6 ±0.1   | 10.0 ±0.0               |
| Moose #2                            | 17.1 ±0.2†         | 12.9 ±0.1     | 15.7 ±0.2   | 10.2 ±0.1               |
| Moose #3                            | 17.3 ±0.1‡         | 13.1 ±0.2     | 12.4 ±0.0   |                         |
| CH1641 (exp)                        | 17.3 ±0.2          | 12.5 ±0.0     |             |                         |
| CH1641-like                         | 17.1 ±0.1          |               |             |                         |
| Atypical scrapie/Nor98              |                    |               |             |                         |
| C-BSE                               | 17.2 ±0.0          |               |             |                         |
| L-BSE                               | 17.3 ±0.1          |               |             |                         |
| H-BSE                               | 18.2 ±0.0          | 12.6 ±0.2     |             | 10.0 ±0.0               |

*The molecular weight (MW), indicated in kDa, of all PrP\textsuperscript{res} fragments was determined by epitope mapping with three mAbs (SAF84, L42, 9A2), before and after deglycosylation. For each type of fragment, the best mAb was chosen to avoid epitopes near the cleavage site of PK. The MW was measured by comparison with molecular weight standard run in three lanes of each gel and it is expressed as mean (at least from three different experiments)±standard deviation.

†The internal fragment refers to the PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} fragment resulting after C- and N terminal cleavage by protease K.

‡The MW of the main fragment in moose #2 and #3 was not possible to determine with L42 because of the presence of the glycosylated forms of CTF16. For these samples the molecular weight of the main fragment was determined after deglycosylation.

Technical Appendix Figure 1. IHC staining of moose brain tissues using mAb L42: A, intraneuronal and glia-associated staining in the olfactory bulb. B: staining in a Golgi cell in the granular layer of the cerebellum. Bars: 20 µm.
Technical Appendix Figure 2. Western blot analysis of PrP \textsuperscript{res} from brain of three moose. Different brain areas were analyzed with four mAbs spanning the PrP protein (SAF84, L42, 9A2, 12B2). Filled arrows indicate CTF13 fragment, empty arrows indicate CTF16 fragment in moose #2 and #3 with SAF84 and L42, asterisk indicate the internal fragment detected in moose #1 and #3 with 9A2. The lower panel in 9A2 blot shows the results obtained around 10 kDa at a longer exposure.
Technical Appendix Figure 3. Western blot analysis of PrPres fragment from moose #1 and moose #2 after deglycosylation. Tissue equivalents loaded per lane were 2 mg (indicated as "2") and 1 mg after dilution ("1"). Protein standards are indicated as "M" (10, 15, 20, 25, 37 kDa). Membrane was probed with L42.