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ABSTRACT

HLA polymorphism is one of the genetic factors that may be associated with variations in susceptibility to COVID-19 infection. In this study, the frequency of HLA alleles among Saudi patients infected with COVID-19 was examined. The association with infection susceptibility and mortality was evaluated. This study included 135 Saudi COVID-19-infected patients (106 recovered and 29 died) who were admitted to hospitals because of their symptoms, and 135 healthy controls. HLA class I (A, B, C) and class II (DRB1, DQB1) genotyping was performed using the molecular method (PCR-rSSO). In this study, there was a significant increase in the frequency of HLA-A*A01, B*56 and C*01 among infected patients compared to the control group (12.1% vs. 5.2%, p = 0.004, 3.7% vs. 0%, p = 0.006, 4.4% vs. 1.5%, p = 0.042, respectively). Moreover, there was a significant increase in the frequency of HLA-A*03 and C*06 among fatal patients compared to infected patients (13.8% vs. 5.7%, p = 0.036, 32.8% vs. 17.5%, p = 0.011, respectively). In terms of HLA class II, HLA-DRB1*04 was significantly higher in the control group compared to infected patients (27.4% vs. 16.3%, p = 0.002), while HLA-DRB1*08 was significantly higher in the infected group compared to the control (4.8% vs. 0.7%, p = 0.004). After statistical correction of the p value, A*01, B*56 and C*01 remained statistically significant (p < .014 and p < .002). This initial data suggested that individual HLA genotypes might play a role in determining susceptibility to COVID-19 infection and infection outcome. However, examining a larger sample size from different populations is required to determine a powerful association for clinical application.

1. Introduction

A novel β-coronavirus strain which was identified in Wuhan, China in December 2019 caused more than 30 million infections and in excess of one million deaths by October 2020 (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/). The new virus, called Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-COV2), mainly infects the respiratory system, causing flu-like symptoms and acute respiratory distress in mild cases. In severe cases, multi-organ dysfunction and death can occur (Guo et al., 2020). The virus showed different geographical distributions, with significantly more cases and deaths reported in some areas compared to others. Additionally, there were variations in the severity of the infection between different individuals, with some patients experiencing mild or even no symptoms, while others needed intensive care support (Guo et al., 2020). The most affected areas were America with more than 16 million cases, followed by Asia with more than 10 million cases and Europe with more than 6 million cases reported by October 1, 2020. In this report, India was the most affected country in Asia with more than 6 million cases reported, while the lowest number of cases was in Saudi Arabia (<500,000 cases) (https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geographical-distribution-2019-ncov-ca-s). Although different factors such as age, comorbidity, and adaptive immunity might play a role in viral infections, genetic factors have a fundamental role in determining individuals’ susceptibility to any infectious agents (Jordan et al., 2020).

Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) molecules have been extensively studied as a genetic factor that might affect virus progression or resistance (Lin et al., 2003; Blackwell et al., 2009). Because of the role of HLA molecules in antigen presentation, host immune response will vary...
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Research subjects

This study included 135 COVID-19-positive patients. All patients were Saudi and were admitted to MOH government hospitals due to their symptoms. Patients were diagnosed by a polymerase chain reaction test for viral RNA from a nasopharyngeal swab. Of those, 106 patients were classified as severe/critical cases and were admitted in the ICU; these patients died due to low oxygen saturation and acute respiratory distress syndrome. The study also included 135 control subjects who were healthcare workers from the same region as the patients. The control group was defined as individuals with no history of symptoms for the past 3 months, i.e. either asymptomatic or negative. The study was performed after obtaining appropriate ethical approval from the Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia, research ethical committee, IRP registration number with KACST, KSA: H-02-J-002. Blood samples were collected from participants after they signed a consent form.

2.2. Genomic DNA extraction

Blood samples were collected using EDTA tubes for DNA extraction. DNA extraction was performed using an EZ1 Advanced XL instrument (Qiagen, USA) located at King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah. Samples with a DNA concentration of more than 25 ng/μl and DNA purity of more than 1.8 for a 260/280 ratio were used for the HLA genotyping. DNA was stored at −80°C until HLA genotyping.

2.3. HLA genotyping

Both HLA class I and HLA class II genotyping was performed at a low resolution typing using the reverse SSO-PCR method (One Lambda, USA). In brief, the method is based on the amplification of an HLA-specific locus using a biotinylated primer. An HLA-specific allele was then determined using oligonucleotide probes attached to multiplex polystyrene beads as a detection method. The beads were run on Luminex FLEXMAP 3D (Luminex Corporation, USA), at King Fahd Medical Research Center, Jeddah. HLA alleles assignment was performed using HLA fusion software 4.3 (One Lambda, USA).

2.4. Statistical analysis

The control and infected groups were tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using Guo and Thomson method implemented in Pyppop (www.pyppop.org) (Solberg et al., 2008). Absolute count and percentage were applied to describe allele frequency. Arithmetic mean and standard deviation were used to describe continuous variables (e.g. age). The chi-squared test was applied to test for the association between two categorical variables. Fisher’s exact test was performed in case of having a frequency of less than 5 in one or more of the cells. One-way analysis of variance and post-hoc Tukey’s test were applied to compare the mean of and between the three compared groups. Statistical significance was determined at p < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 25 software. The Bonferroni correction was applied to the p value and calculated by multiplying by the number of alleles with a frequency >5%. Relative risks with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated according to Woolf’s formula (Woolf, 1955). Relative risks <1 indicated protection while >1 indicated an increased risk.

3. Results

The study included 135 Saudi COVID-19-positive patients divided into two subgroups: 106 patients with COVID-19 infection who were admitted at Jeddah hospitals because of their symptoms and who recovered, and 29 infected patients who died (fatal). Those were compared to 135 healthy volunteers as a control group. The results of HLA-A, B, C, DRB1 and DQB1 genotyping for both groups were tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The control group was fit for the equilibrium while there was a significant deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at the HLA-B and HLA-C loci among the infected patients. Haplotypes of the control and infected groups were described in supplementary Tables 3 and 4 with a comparison between the most common haplotypes in supplementary table 5. There were no differences among the three groups with respect to gender distribution, as shown in Fig. 1. However, COVID-19 infection showed a male preference as 70% of the infected group was male. The ages of subjects in the control group were significantly lower than the infected patients group (p = 0.038) and the fatal group (p = 0.001), with no statistical significance between the infected and fatal groups.

3.1. HLA allele frequency

3.1.1. HLA-A allele frequency between groups

HLA-A genotypes were compared among the three groups (control,
The HLA-A frequency in each group is shown in Table 1. The most commonly reported HLA-A alleles among the control group were A*02 (26.3%), A*24 (10.4%), and A*26 (10%), while among the infected group they were A*02 (22.9%), A*01 (12.3%) and A*68 (8.5%). Among the fatal group, the most common HLA-A alleles were A*02 (24.1%), A*03 (13.8%), and A*68 (12.1%). The frequency of HLA-A*01 was significantly higher in the infected group compared to the control group (12.3% vs. 5.2%, p = 0.004). The increase in the frequency of this allele remained statistically significant after correction of the p value (pcount = 0.032). However, the frequency of HLA-A*01 in the infected group was not statistically different from the fatal group (p = 0.968). The HLA-A*24 frequency was significantly higher in the control and infected groups compared to the fatal group (10.4% vs. 1.7%, p = 0.021; 8.1% vs. 1.7%, p = 0.029, respectively). Additionally, HLA-A*26 was significantly higher in the control group compared to the infected group (p = 0.021). On the other hand, the frequency of HLA-A*03 was higher among the fatal group than the infected group (13.8% vs. 5.7%, p = 0.036). The frequency of this allele was not significant between the control and infected groups or between the control and fatal groups (p = 0.871, p = 0.143, respectively). After Bonferroni correction, the differences in these alleles between groups were non-significant.

Table 2
Frequency of HLA-B genotype between control, COVID-19 infected and fatal groups. Data was analyzed using the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test, in case of having a frequency of less than 5 in one or more of the cells at p < 0.05. *Chi-squared test, *Fisher's exact test, NA: Not applicable. Significant p values are in bold.
3.1.2. HLA-B allele frequency between groups

Table 2 shows that the most common HLA-B alleles in the control and infected groups were B*51 (19.3% and 17.4%, respectively), B*50 (13% and 13.7%, respectively) and B*35 (11.9% and 10%, respectively), whereas among the fatal group, the most common HLA-B alleles were B*50 (20.7%), B*51 (15.5%), B*15 and B*38 (6.9%). HLA-B*07 was significantly higher in the control group than in the infected group (6.7% vs. 3%, p = 0.044); this became non-significant after p value correction (pH = 0.22). On the other hand, B*56 and B*57 were significantly higher in the infected group compared to the control group (3.7% vs. 0.0%, p = 0.006 and 2.8% versus 0.4%, p = 0.019), respectively. B*38 and B*47 were observed significantly among the fatal group compared to the control group (6.9% vs. 1.5%, p = 0.014) and the fatal group (27.4% vs. 12.1%, p = 0.014, pH = 0.098) with no statistical significance between the infected and fatal groups.

3.1.3. HLA-C allele frequency between groups

From Table 3, the most common HLA-C alleles in the control and infected groups were C*07 (19.6% and 23.7%, respectively), C*06 (19.3% and 20.7%, respectively) and C*04 (15.9% and 15.1%, respectively), whereas among the fatal group, the most common alleles were C*06 (32.8%), C*07 (27.6%) and C*15 (10.3%). C*01 was significantly reported in the infected group compared to the control group (4.4% vs. 1.5%, p = 0.021), with no statistical difference from the fatal group. On the other hand, C*16 was significantly higher in the control group than in the infected group (8.1% vs. 3.3%, p = 0.016) but with no statistical difference between the infected and fatal groups. The frequency of the HLA-C*04 allele was significantly lower in the fatal group compared to both the control group and the infected group (5.2% vs. 15.9%, p = 0.020, 5.2% vs. 13.7%, p = 0.021, respectively). The frequency of the HLA-C*06 allele was significantly higher in the fatal group compared to the control group (32.8% vs. 19.3%, p = 0.024) and to the infected group (32.8% vs. 17.5%, p = 0.011). There were no statistical differences between groups after p value correction.

3.1.4. HLA class II allele frequency between groups

The most common HLA-DRB1 alleles in the control and infected groups were DRB1*04 (27.4% and 16.3%, respectively), DRB1*03 (15.9% and 15.1%, respectively) and DRB1*07 (11.1% and 15.6%, respectively). The most common HLA-DRB1 alleles reported in the fatal group were DRB1*07 (19%), DRB1*03 (13.8%) and DRB1*04, DRB1*11 & DRB1*13 (12.1%). DRB1*04 was significantly higher in the control group compared to both the infected group (27.4% vs. 16.3%, p = 0.002, pH = 0.014) and the fatal group (27.4% vs. 12.1%, p = 0.014, pH = 0.098) with no statistical significance between the infected and fatal groups. DRB1*08 was significantly higher in the infected group compared to the control group (5.2% vs. 0.7%, p = 0.004, pH = 0.028) with p value of 0.189 when compared to the fatal group. Table 4 shows the frequency of HLA-DRB1 in each group.

Regarding HLA-DQB1 frequency, the most common alleles in the control group were DQB1*02 (31.5%), DQB1*03 (30.4%) and DQB1*05 (18.9%), whereas among the infected group, the most frequently reported alleles were DQB1*02 (36.2%), DQB1*03 (32.2%) and DQB1*06 (25.5%). In the fatal group, the most common alleles were DQB1*02

Table 3

| HLA-DRB1 | Control | COVID-19 infected | Fatal | Control vs. COVID-19 infected | P value |
|----------|---------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------|
|          | N = 270 | N = 270           | N = 58 |                             |         |
| DRB1*01  | 9 (3.3) | 9 (3.3)           | 3 (5.2) | NA                          | 0.359*  |
| DRB1*03  | 43 (15.9)| 41 (15.1)         | 8 (13.8)| 0.812*                      | 0.64*   |
| DRB1*04  | 74 (27.4)| 44 (16.3)         | 7 (12.1)| 0.002*                      | 0.014*  |
| DRB1*07  | 30 (11.1)| 42 (15.6)         | 11 (19.0)| 0.129*                      | 0.101*  |
| DRB1*08  | 2 (0.7) | 13 (4.8)          | 1 (1.7) | 0.004*                      | 0.433*  |
| DRB1*09  | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.5)           | 1 (1.7) | 0.175*                      | 0.177*  |
| DRB1*10  | 11 (4.1)| 7 (2.6)           | 1 (1.7) | 0.338*                      | 0.341*  |
| DRB1*11  | 26 (9.6)| 23 (8.5)          | 7 (12.1)| 0.653*                      | 0.575*  |
| DRB1*12  | 2 (0.7) | 4 (1.5)           | 1 (1.7) | 0.412*                      | 0.443*  |
| DRB1*13  | 22 (8.1)| 35 (13.0)         | 7 (12.1)| 0.660*                      | 0.340*  |
| DRB1*14  | 1 (0.4) | 2 (0.7)           | 0 (0.0) | 0.563*                      | 0.823*  |
| DRB1*15  | 25 (9.3)| 24 (8.9)          | 6 (10.3)| 0.881*                      | 0.798*  |
| DRB1*16  | 25 (9.3)| 22 (8.1)          | 5 (8.6) | 0.647*                      | 0.878*  |

Table 4

| HLA-DQB1 | Control | COVID-19 infected | Fatal | Control vs. COVID-19 infected | P value |
|----------|---------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------|
|          | N = 270 | N = 270           | N = 58 |                             |         |
| DQB1*01  | 9 (3.3) | 9 (3.3)           | 3 (5.2) | NA                          | 0.359*  |
| DQB1*03  | 43 (15.9)| 41 (15.1)         | 8 (13.8)| 0.812*                      | 0.64*   |
| DQB1*04  | 74 (27.4)| 44 (16.3)         | 7 (12.1)| 0.002*                      | 0.014*  |
| DQB1*07  | 30 (11.1)| 42 (15.6)         | 11 (19.0)| 0.129*                      | 0.101*  |
| DQB1*08  | 2 (0.7) | 13 (4.8)          | 1 (1.7) | 0.004*                      | 0.433*  |
| DQB1*09  | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.5)           | 1 (1.7) | 0.175*                      | 0.177*  |
| DQB1*10  | 11 (4.1)| 7 (2.6)           | 1 (1.7) | 0.338*                      | 0.341*  |
| DQB1*11  | 26 (9.6)| 23 (8.5)          | 7 (12.1)| 0.653*                      | 0.575*  |
| DQB1*12  | 2 (0.7) | 4 (1.5)           | 1 (1.7) | 0.412*                      | 0.443*  |
| DQB1*13  | 22 (8.1)| 35 (13.0)         | 7 (12.1)| 0.660*                      | 0.340*  |
| DQB1*14  | 1 (0.4) | 2 (0.7)           | 0 (0.0) | 0.563*                      | 0.823*  |
| DQB1*15  | 25 (9.3)| 24 (8.9)          | 6 (10.3)| 0.881*                      | 0.798*  |
| DQB1*16  | 25 (9.3)| 22 (8.1)          | 5 (8.6) | 0.647*                      | 0.878*  |
having a frequency of less than 5 in one or more of the cells at p < 0.05. *Chi-squared test, †Fishier’s exact test, NA: Not applicable.

Compared to A*02, participants with A*01 were at significant risk of infection (RR = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.14–1.90, p = 0.011). Considering B*51 as a reference category, patients with B*56 were at almost double the risk of being infected (RR = 2.11, 95% CI: 1.71–2.59, p = 0.001). As opposed to C*07, patients with C*16 had a lower significant risk of infection (RR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.30–0.94, p = 0.015). Compared to DRB1*04, patients with DRB1*08 were at higher risk of becoming infected with SARS-COV2 (RR = 2.32, 95% CI: 1.71–3.16, p < 0.001).

### 3.1.5. Association between HLA genotype and relative risk of COVID-19 infection

The risks associated with the identified alleles and increased incidence of infection were determined, see Table 6. The relative risk was calculated using the most common HLA in the control group as a reference. Compared to A*02, participants with A*01 were at significant risk of being infected (relative risk “RR” = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.14–1.90, p = 0.011). Considering B*51 as a reference category, patients with B*56 were at almost double the risk of being infected (RR = 2.11, 95% CI: 1.71–2.59, p = 0.001). As opposed to C*07, patients with C*16 had a lower significant risk of infection (RR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.30–0.94, p = 0.015). Compared to DRB1*04, patients with DRB1*08 were at higher risk of becoming infected with SARS-COV2 (RR = 2.32, 95% CI: 1.71–3.16, p < 0.001).

### 4. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic is still causing a significant number of cases worldwide with a stable rate of mortality not exceeding 7% (Lu et al., 2020). The infection is creating pressure on an economic level due to business disruptions and the burden on healthcare institutions. The virus has spread to most countries at different rates of infection, with some countries reporting thousands of cases daily while others are reporting no more than one thousands cases in total (https://covid19.who.int/). Differences in the rate of infection between different geographical regions cannot be fully understood. HLA polymorphism, with more than 20,000 alleles identified for classical HLA class I and 7000 alleles identified for HLA class II, is one of the genetic factors that may affect individuals’ susceptibility or resistance to COVID-19 infection (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ipd/imgt/hla/stats.html). Previous studies have shown an association between HLA alleles and susceptibility to various viral infections such as hepatitis, HIV, and respiratory infections (Jiu et al., 2005; Hill, 1998; Neumann-Haefelin et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2011). There is conflicting data on the association between HLA and respiratory viral infections. Some studies have shown a positive correlation between classical HLA loci and previous respiratory infections such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-COV). An early study performed on Taiwanese patients infected with SARS-COV1 showed that the HLA-B*46:01 genotype was common among infected patients (Lin et al., 2003). On the other hand, another study showed that patients with HLA-C*15 and HLA-DRB1*03 genotypes were more resistant to SARS infection (Wang et al., 2011). Furthermore, a study from China again showed no HLA contribution to the incidence of SARS infection (Xiong et al., 2008).

In this study, the genotype frequency of HLA, both class I and class II, was identified in a cohort of Saudi patients infected with COVID-19. The positive patients were subdivided into two groups according to the infection outcome: recovered and fatal. They were compared to a control group of healthcare workers with no evidence of infection. The aim of the study was to identify alleles responsible for infection susceptibility and alleles responsible for infection-related mortality. This kind of study might have implications for identifying individuals at higher risk of becoming infected, especially healthcare workers. The strength of our study is the inclusion of a reasonable sample size in addition to samples from fatal patients. Our findings showed a variation in HLA frequency among the three groups in both class I and class II alleles. A significant increase in the frequency of HLA-A*01, B*56 and C*01 between the control and infected groups was observed, while the frequency of HLA-A*03 genotype was higher among fatal patients than in the infected group. The differences in HLA-A*01 and B*56 frequencies between the two groups remain significant after p value correction. These results suggest that the HLA-A*01 and B*56 alleles might be candidates for increasing the incidence of COVID-19 infection. Both alleles were associated with a significant relative risk of infection, estimated as 1.47 and 2.11, respectively. The frequency of these antigens is less common in the Saudi population. Previous HLA data analysis of HLA allele frequencies from the Saudi bone marrow registry showed that the frequency of A*01 was around 7.1%, the frequency of HLA-A*03 was around 5.9% of the registered donors and the frequency of HLA-B*56 was low with a frequency reaching to 0.0007 (Jawdat et al., 2020). Similar allele frequencies of these two alleles were reported by another group analyzed the HLA allele frequencies of 45,457 stem cell registered donors (Alraftih et al., 2021). The most common specific alleles which were reported among Saudi population were A*01:01, A*01:03, HLA-A*03:01:02 and B*56:01 (Jawdat et al., 2020; Alraftih et al., 2021).

In other Arab populations, the frequency of HLA-A*01 ranged from 15% (Tunisians) to 6% in some Arab populations (Emirates). On the other hand, the frequency of the HLA-A*03 allele was estimated to be 15% in the Iranian population and ranging to 6% in other Arab populations (Hajjej et al., 2018). These allele frequencies might be in parallel with our results, in which the low frequency of A*01 in the Saudi population might be associated with the low number of reported cases compared to the numbers reported worldwide. In contrast, HLA-A*01 is a common allele in some European countries with high COVID-19 infection rates.
such as Italy with 10% allele frequency and Brazil with 15% frequency (Sacchi et al., 2019; Halagan et al., 2018). However, a definite HLA association with COVID-19 infection will not be drawn unless combined data from different areas is analyzed. There are limited studies with published data regarding the HLA genotype and COVID-19 infection. In addition, bioinformatics-based studies have been published which are focused on identifying HLA alleles that can bind specific viral peptides at different affinities (Nguyen et al., 2020; Lee and Koohy, 2020; Iturrieta-Zuazo et al., 2020). An early study from Wuhan, China reported the presence of the HLA-A*24 specific allele in four out of five patients infected with SARS-COV2 (Warren and Birol, 2020). Another study from China reported an increase in the frequency of HLA-C*07:19 and B*15:27 in a cohort of 82 COVID-19-infected patients compared to the control with no statistical difference reported at the level of HLA-A genotype between the two groups (Wang et al., 2020). However, these studies lack a sufficient number of cases to make a significant conclusion. This may cause variations in the results between studies. In our cohort, there was no significant difference in the frequency of HLA-A*24 between the control and infected groups. However, HLA-A*24 was significantly lower in the fatal group compared to the infected group (recovered) with insignificant p value after correction. Interestingly, a recent in-silico study reported the HLA-A*24 specific allele as an allele associated with efficient T-cell anti-viral response leading to virus eradication (Tomita et al., 2020). The involvement of this allele in COVID-19 recovery might be elucidated by examining more patients.

In this study, there was a significant difference in the frequency of HLA-C between groups. There was a significant decrease in the frequency of HLA-C*04 in the fatal group compared to the infected group, while a significant increase was seen in the frequency of HLA-C*06 in the fatal group compared to the infected group. Additionally, we reported a significant increase in the frequency of HLA-C*01 in the infected group compared to the control group. However, these results were non-significant after p value correction. A larger sample size in the future might elucidate this association clearly. A recent epidemiological study from Italy concluded that HLA-C*01 might be a genetic factor responsible for the variations in susceptibility to COVID-19 infection between different regions in Italy (Correale et al., 2020). HLA-C loci is well known as a ligand for killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIR) on natural killer cells (Briasconi et al., 1995). The interaction of a certain HLA-C peptide complex with certain KIR is associated with inhibition of NK cell cytotoxic activity to target infected cells. In other viral infectious diseases, an association between HLA-C*04 and a KIR subset allele was associated with an increase in viral load and infection progression (Olvera et al., 2015). The frequency of HLA-C alleles in infected and fatal groups might be associated with a disturbance in the activity of the natural killer cells as described previously with other viral infections (Wauquier et al., 2019). However, this hypothesis will not be confirmed unless KIR genotyping is performed, which can be investigated in another study.

In this study, there was a significant increase in the frequency of HLA-DRB1*04 in the control group compared to the infected group with insignificant corrected p value. There was a significant increase in the frequency of HLA-DRB1*08 in the infected group compared to the control group, which remained significant after p value correction and was associated with a relative risk 2.32. The most common specific alleles reported in Saudi population were DRB1*04:01 (0.011) followed by DRB1*04:04 and DRB1*04:06 (0.0067). While the most common alleles reported were DRB1*08:04 (0.013) followed by DRB1*08:03 (0.002) with low frequencies in both DRB1*08:02 and 08:06 (0.0002) (Jawdat et al., 2020). Although HLA class I is well known as a molecule that presents intracellular molecules, HLA class II can have a role in viral peptide presentation. A recent study showed that peptides from SARS-COV2 can bind HLA-DR molecules present on monocytes (Parker et al., 2020). Furthermore, differences in HLA class II frequency were also reported in a study from Italy with a cohort of 99 COVID-19-infected patients, in which an association between HLA-DRB1*15:01, DQB1*06:02 and B*27:07 and the incidence of COVID-19 infection was suggested (Novelli et al., 2020). As mentioned earlier, a lack of consistency between studies might be attributed to sample size and population variations. In this study, we can show that certain HLA alleles, such as HLA-A*01, might be associated with increased incidence of infection by SARS-COV2, and certain alleles were observed only in the infected group, such as B*56, in addition to alleles identified mainly in the fatal group, such as HLA-A*03. However, these initial results need exploring with larger sample sizes from different regions and probably with higher resolution of typing.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, individual HLA genotypes may be associated with susceptibility to COVID-19 infection and infection outcome. Examining a larger sample size and samples from different populations might identify a powerful association, which can be used as a risk factor in a screening protocol.
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