Strategies to improve HIV treatment adherence in developed countries: clinical management at the individual level

Maithe Enriquez1
David S McKinsey2
1School of Nursing, University of Missouri-Kansas City and Division of Infectious Diseases, Truman Medical Center Hospital Hill, 2School of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Kansas and Division of Infectious Diseases, Research Medical Center, Kansas City, MO, USA

Abstract: Remarkable advances in the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease have been blunted by widespread suboptimal adherence (ie, nonadherence), which has emerged as a major barrier to achieving the primary goal of antiretroviral (ARV) therapy: suppression of HIV viral load. Nonsuppressed HIV viral load is associated with drug resistance, increased morbidity and mortality, and a higher risk of person-to-person HIV transmission. For HIV-infected individuals who are failing HIV treatment due to nonadherence, becoming adherent is a life-saving behavior change. However, overcoming nonadherence is one of the most daunting challenges in the successful management of HIV disease. The purpose of this paper is to provide clinicians with a better understanding of nonadherence to ARV treatment and to review the various factors that have been associated with either adherence or nonadherence. Strategies are presented that may help the nonadherent individual become ready to take HIV medications as prescribed.
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Introduction
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease is one of the most important global health problems.1 Untreated HIV infection causes progressive deterioration of the immune system (ie, AIDS), which results in substantial morbidity and mortality. Efficacious antiretroviral (ARV) treatment has transformed HIV, once considered invariably fatal, into a chronic manageable disease; however, nonadherence has emerged as a major barrier to successful treatment of this disease.

The positive impact of ARV therapy, in developed countries, has been striking. The median life expectancy for a 25-year old newly HIV-infected individual who has access to ARV treatment is an additional 39 years.2 Large observational cohort studies have shown that starting ARV sooner in the course of HIV disease is associated with a significant reduction in mortality.3 Furthermore, ARV therapy also decreases complications from HIV-associated inflammation and significantly reduces the risk for transmission of HIV in serodiscordant couples.4 ARV treatment has become so effective that a strategy to use universal HIV testing and early initiation of ARV therapy as a method of eradicating the disease has been proposed.5 These overwhelming benefits of ARV therapy, coupled with its cost-effectiveness, led to the December 1, 2009, Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) recommendation to start ARV treatment earlier in the course of HIV disease.6 Thus the number of individuals who are prescribed ARV therapy has increased,
and strategies for enhancement of adherence in this growing population require careful attention.

Numerous studies have shown that the key to HIV treatment success is suppression of HIV viral load by ensuring that HIV-infected individuals not only have full, uninterrupted access to ARV medications but also take them consistently every day of their lives. Interruptions in ARV therapy and missing medication doses are associated with a high risk for nonsuppressed HIV viral load, leading to drug resistance and consequent treatment failure. Individuals who develop drug resistance due to suboptimal adherence (ie, nonadherence) to their ARV medication regimens are challenging to treat, require more complex and costly ARV medication combinations to suppress HIV viral load, are hospitalized significantly more frequently than their adherent counterparts, and experience extremely poor health outcomes and low quality of life. Although new ARV medications are more ‘forgiving’ (ie, do not seem to require such strict adherence as was necessary with older ARV regimens), the ability to take ARV medications consistently remains the key factor in ensuring positive HIV-related health outcomes and improving quality of life.

The problem of nonadherence to HIV treatment

While many HIV-infected individuals are able to successfully take their ARV medications as prescribed, over one-third (37%) of HIV-infected persons in developed countries have difficulty maintaining adequate levels of adherence. Although developing countries have reported lower rates of nonadherence, newer studies have indicated that the problem of nonadherence is global. The inability of clinicians to predict adherence among their patients has been disappointing. No currently available screening tools can reliably prospectively identify those individuals who will be either adherent or nonadherent. Adherence is highest among treatment-naïve individuals, who are presumably more motivated and less “fatigued” with their medication regimens. Adherence is enhanced by the use of potent antiretroviral regimens with a low daily pill count, especially when prescribed either once or twice a day.

The nonadherent subset of the HIV population has presented one of the most daunting challenges in the successful long-term management of HIV disease. The etiology of nonadherence is generally multi-faceted, as will be discussed below. Nonadherence promotes the development of drug resistance mutations and necessitates use of more complex ARV regimens. Individuals who are nonadherent to ARV medications experience immune system deficiency and develop persistent debilitating constitutional symptoms such as fevers, night sweats, weight loss, and diarrhea. Their risk for life-threatening opportunistic infections increases. Further, untreated HIV causes an inflammatory process that damages vital organ systems resulting in increased morbidity. Finally, HIV-infected individuals with nonsuppressed HIV viral load are at much higher risk for transmitting HIV to others.

In addition to the negative impact of nonadherence on individual health, the financial burden of nonadherence is also substantial. As HIV-infected individuals fail ARV regimens, each subsequent medication regimen becomes not only more complex but also more costly because a greater number of medications are needed to suppress HIV viral load. The ARV medications currently available to treat HIV disease are used in a strategic order and in well-defined combinations to ensure efficacy. While first-line ARV regimens typically consist of one to three pills taken once daily, regimens for individuals with drug resistance are usually dosed twice a day and entail use of a much larger number of medications with a higher total daily pill count. More complicated third and fourth line ARV regimens often cause more side effects and toxicities. In addition, drug resistance also increases associated health care costs. Not only do the more complicated regimens cost more but additional expensive laboratory tests, such as genotypes and phenotypes, are required to assess the degree and type of HIV drug resistance to make appropriate prescribing decisions about alternate efficacious ARV regimens.

The science of HIV treatment adherence

There is a plethora of published data documenting that, for HIV-infected individuals who experience problems taking ARV medications as prescribed, becoming adherent to ARV therapy is a life-saving behavior change. If the cycle of nonadherence to ARV treatment can be broken, particularly at an early stage, there are many far-reaching positive implications. For a nonadherent person, the ability to become adherent can mean the difference between experiencing complex, costly health problems and poor quality of life as opposed to enhanced health outcomes and a productive life. In addition, costs to the health care system are reduced as described above, and the risk of HIV transmission to the community at large is reduced. Given the importance of
diligent ARV adherence for the suppression of HIV viral load and treatment success, a number of studies have been conducted to improve our understanding of the phenomenon of adherence and to develop strategies that enhance individual adherence behavior.

**Barriers and facilitators to HIV treatment adherence**

Researchers have identified multiple factors associated with the inability, and the ability, to take ARV medications as prescribed\(^{15,22–38}\) (ie, barriers and facilitators to adherence, see Table 1). Facilitators of adherence improve the ability to take ARVs as prescribed while barriers are associated with the inability to take ARVs consistently. These factors appear to be consistent across different populations of people living with HIV. Known barriers to HIV treatment adherence fall into several broad categories including psychosocial issues, economic factors, substance abuse, co-morbidities including psychiatric disease, low health literacy, or medication-related issues (ie, interference with lifestyle, side effects, or undue complexity). Many of these factors are modifiable, or at least partially modifiable, with appropriate intervention. Conversely, categories of facilitators of adherence include personal motivation, support systems, organizational skills, and a convenient and well-tolerated ARV medication regimen. These known facilitators of adherence can be used to enhance adherence to ARV therapy.

**HIV treatment adherence interventions**

Many important HIV adherence intervention studies have been conducted. A variety of strategies to enhance adherence have been tested in noncontrolled, controlled, and randomized controlled studies\(^{39–51}\). Adherence has been measured in various ways such as self-report, electronic devices (ie, MEMS), pharmacy refill logs, pill counting, or a combination of these measures. The critically important biologic markers of ARV treatment efficacy, which are typically used in clinical practice, have also been used to examine the impact of adherence interventions in some research studies. However, most adherence studies have not included these laboratory markers (eg, HIV viral load and CD4 cell count) as outcome measures. Several randomized controlled trials have reported positive results on adherence intervention (ie, an increase in the rate of adherence) on behavioral measures such as self-report or pill counts. However, results with regard to intervention impact on HIV viral load suppression and increase in CD4 cell count have been less encouraging. Successful strategies to enhance adherence have included cognitive and behavioral strategies, directly observed therapy (DOT), modified DOT, peer support and effective strategies that enhance the known facilitators of adherence to ARV therapy.

Despite the large amount of literature focusing on HIV treatment adherence, the subset of the HIV-infected population with known nonadherence problems has been underrepresented in adherence intervention research. Those individuals who have failed HIV treatment repeatedly, who are particularly challenging and expensive to manage and who likely have the most to gain from becoming adherent have often been excluded or not targeted in adherence research. Indeed, a meta-analysis that synthesized findings of HIV adherence interventions tested in randomized controlled trials have reported positive results on adherence intervention (ie, an increase in the rate of adherence) on behavioral measures such as self-report or pill counts. However, results with regard to intervention impact on HIV viral load suppression and increase in CD4 cell count have been less encouraging. Successful strategies to enhance adherence have included cognitive and behavioral strategies, directly observed therapy (DOT), modified DOT, peer support and effective strategies that enhance the known facilitators of adherence to ARV therapy.

Despite the large amount of literature focusing on HIV treatment adherence, the subset of the HIV-infected population with known nonadherence problems has been underrepresented in adherence intervention research. Those individuals who have failed HIV treatment repeatedly, who are particularly challenging and expensive to manage and who likely have the most to gain from becoming adherent have often been excluded or not targeted in adherence research. Indeed, a meta-analysis that synthesized findings of HIV adherence interventions tested in randomized controlled trials have reported positive results on adherence intervention (ie, an increase in the rate of adherence) on behavioral measures such as self-report or pill counts. However, results with regard to intervention impact on HIV viral load suppression and increase in CD4 cell count have been less encouraging. Successful strategies to enhance adherence have included cognitive and behavioral strategies, directly observed therapy (DOT), modified DOT, peer support and effective strategies that enhance the known facilitators of adherence to ARV therapy.

---

**Table 1 Barriers and facilitators of ARV therapy adherence\(^{15,22–38}\)**

| Barriers                                         | Facilitators                                               |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| • Substance abuse                                | • Sense of self-worth                                      |
| • Fear of disclosure of HIV status               | • Seeing/feeling positive effects of ARV therapy           |
| • Denial of the HIV diagnosis                    | • Strong will to live                                      |
| • Speaking a different language than the health care provider | • Acceptance of the HIV diagnosis                         |
| • Stigma                                         | • Understanding the need for adherence                     |
| • Depression                                     | • Making use of reminder tools such as pill organizers     |
| • Forgetfulness                                  | • Having an ARV regimen that “fits” into one’s daily schedule |
| • Suspicions about ARV treatment                 | • Once daily dosing of ARV medications                     |
| • ARV regimens that are considered to be too complicated | • Presence of motivational readiness                      |
| • Perceived unpleasant side-effects from ARV medications | • Perception of a positive health care provider–patient relationship |
| • High number of pills in an ARV regimen         | • Having social support                                    |
| • Sleeping through medication dosing time        |                                                            |
| • Decreased quality of life                      |                                                            |
| • Work and family responsibilities              |                                                            |
| • Limited access to ARV medications              |                                                            |

**Abbreviations:** ARV, antiretroviral; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.
controlled trials (RTCs) between 1996 and 2004 reported stronger intervention effect when participants with known adherence problems were targeted.39 The exclusion of nonadherent individuals from HIV treatment adherence trials unfortunately has resulted in limited knowledge about effective strategies to enhance adherence for this vulnerable group.

**Readiness for adherence**

Perhaps the most important personal (ie, individual level) component of adherence is the role of motivational readiness on an individual’s ability to adhere to HIV treatment as prescribed. The 2011 DHHS Guidelines, the 2010 International AIDS Society Guidelines, and the current European AIDS Society guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1 infected adults and adolescents recommend that ARV therapy should be initiated only when an HIV-infected individual is “ready” to adhere to ARV medications. Readiness occurs when an individual, of his or her own free will, reaches a conscious awareness that a particular behavior is desired and beneficial.52 Readiness has been identified as a critical component in a number of healthful behaviors such as cessation of excessive alcohol consumption,53 adoption of asthma treatment strategies,54 and improvement with anger management treatment.55 Some theorists consider change and readiness to be nearly the same phenomenon56 while others purport that readiness is a separate stage that precedes change and is necessary for healthful behavior modification to occur.57 The presence of readiness has been shown to be an important predictor of ARV medication adherence. Higher motivational readiness prior to starting ARV therapy has been associated with subsequent adherence.58

The literature has emphasized the importance of readiness and its significant role in HIV treatment adherence success.59-61 Placebo practice trials have been suggested as a method to predict readiness for ARV adherence among HIV-infected substance dependent individuals.62 However, there are currently no standardized validated measures of HIV treatment readiness for use in clinical practice. Further, the impact of an individual’s level of readiness on subsequent HIV treatment adherence has not been rigorously studied in randomized controlled trials. Of note is one randomized controlled trial of a psychoeducational intervention that aimed to improve psychological readiness for ARV medication adherence and reduce depression.63 Participants in the intervention group had higher readiness scores and lower depression scores 4 weeks post-baseline than the control group. While results were encouraging, the follow-up period was short, long-term adherence after initiation of ARV treatment was not examined, and HIV viral load suppression was not assessed.

**Enhancement of readiness for HIV treatment adherence**

Individuals who have problems taking HIV treatment as prescribed may lack readiness for the rigors of taking lifelong ARV medications. Motivational readiness was first proposed as a necessary precursor to individual ability to adhere to ARV treatment in 1998.52 Several readiness and adherence studies have demonstrated that readiness is an important predictor and critical component in the initiation and maintenance of healthful ARV adherence behavior.51,58,63 Moreover, clinical screening tools, such as the Index of Readiness scale, have been tested in small studies and have promise for use in the clinical setting.64

**Conclusions and implications**

Although there is no single strategy for enhancement of adherence that can be applied in every clinical setting, based on our clinical experience and the results of our HIV treatment adherence research,27,51,52,58 we offer various approaches for consideration by individual clinicians:

- an assessment of readiness for adherence before initiating treatment with ARV naive patients, and again if treatment failure occurs and a new regimen is prescribed;
- identification of barriers to adherence;
- provide help to the nonadherent individual to facilitate the creation of practical strategies to overcome barriers to adherence (ie, avenues to build social support networks, to enhance the patient–provider relationship, and to provide consistent interaction);
- ask the patient to make a list of his/her barriers to adherence in rank order and begin by addressing the barrier to adherence that the individual identifies as the “easiest” to overcome;
- use potent antiretroviral regimens in accordance with current treatment guidelines;
- when possible, utilize once-daily regimens with low pill counts;
- identify and address substance abuse issues prior to initiation of ARV treatment if feasible;
- treat depression and other mental illnesses.
Highly effective ARV medications are available for the treatment of HIV disease. Such treatment affords HIV-infected individuals the potential for long, healthy, and productive lives. However, management of HIV disease is often difficult due to the complex psychosocial issues, co-morbid conditions, and socioeconomic challenges that impact many individuals who are infected with HIV. In our 25 years as providers on the “front-line” we have cared for more HIV-infected individuals who experienced problems with adherence to their ARV therapy than we could have imagined. Our experience has been that utilization of the individualized strategies that we have summarized in this paper, together with a team approach to HIV care, has led to the best patient outcomes.65

Despite modest progress in the area of HIV treatment adherence, clearly more research is required to improve adherence on a much larger scale.66 Clinicians would welcome a simple, yet effective, screening tool for use before initiating ARV therapy to help them identify patients who may be at-risk for suboptimal adherence. In addition, HIV treatment adherence interventions that can be tailored to specific subgroups of individuals who are failing ARV treatment, and that are practical for implementation in the majority of HIV care settings, are urgently needed.
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