Regulation of the Actin Cytoskeleton Organization in Yeast by a Novel Serine/Threonine Kinase Prk1p

Guisheng Zeng and Mingjie Cai
Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117609

Abstract. Normal actin cytoskeleton organization in budding yeast requires the function of the Pan1p/End3p complex. Mutations in PAN1 and END3 cause defects in the organization of actin cytoskeleton and endocytosis. By screening for mutations that can suppress the temperature sensitivity of a pan1 mutant (pan1-4), a novel serine/threonine kinase Prk1p is now identified as a new factor regulating the actin cytoskeleton organization in yeast. The suppression of pan1-4 by prk1 requires the presence of mutant Pan1p. Although viable, the prk1 mutant is unable to maintain an asymmetric distribution of the actin cytoskeleton at 37°C. Consistent with its role in the regulation of actin cytoskeleton, Prk1p localizes to the regions of cell growth and coincides with the polarized actin patches. Overexpression of the PRK1 gene in wild-type cells leads to lethality and actin cytoskeleton abnormalities similar to those exhibited by the pan1 and end3 mutants. In vitro phosphorylation assays demonstrate that Prk1p is able to phosphorylate regions of Pan1p containing the LxxQxTG repeats, including the region responsible for binding to End3p. Based on these findings, we propose that the Prk1 protein kinase regulates the actin cytoskeleton organization by modulating the activities of some actin cytoskeleton-related proteins such as Pan1p/End3p.
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The actin cytoskeleton is involved in many fundamental cellular processes responsible for morphogenesis and development in eukaryotes. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the actin cytoskeleton is required for polarized cell growth, integrity of the cell wall, secretion and endocytosis, and a variety of other processes (for a recent review see Botstein et al., 1997). The role of the actin cytoskeleton as the determinant of growth polarity in yeast has been well established (Novick and Botstein, 1985; Harold, 1990; Kron and Gow, 1995; Drubin and Nelson, 1996; Botstein et al., 1997; Lew et al., 1997). The yeast actin cytoskeleton includes two types of structures visible by fluorescence light microscopy: the cortical actin patches and the cytoplasmic actin cables. These structures are highly dynamic, undergoing extensive rearrangements in accordance with polarity switches during the cell cycle. In unbudded G1 cells that grow isotropically, cortical actin patches are distributed randomly. Just before bud emergence, and coincident with the activation of the cyclin-dependent kinase Cdc28p at “Start,” the actin patches are rapidly polarized to a small region on the cell cortex (Adams and Pringle, 1984; Kilmartin and Adams, 1984; Novick and Botstein, 1985; Lew and Reed, 1993, 1995; Welch et al., 1994; Kron and Gow, 1995). This step specifies the “pre-bud” site and its onset may require the activity of Cdc28p in complex with the Cln family of cyclins (Lew and Reed, 1993, 1995). After bud emergence, cortical actin patches remain inside the bud to maintain cell growth in a polarized fashion. The asymmetric distribution of the cortical actin continues until the time of mitosis, when cortical actin patches begin to redistribute randomly into both the mother and the bud. This is quickly followed by yet another rapid polarization of the actin cytoskeleton: the congregation of actin to the sides of the neck. This step is a prerequisite for cytokinesis and probably requires inactivation of the Cdc28p/C1b kinases (Lew and Reed, 1993, 1995).

The polarity switch before bud emergence also requires the function of the Rho family of GTPases including, notably, Cdc42p (Adams et al., 1990; Johnson and Pringle, 1990). Mutations in CDC42 result in large, unbudded cells with a random distribution of cortical actin patches, a phenotype indicative of a defect in the polarity switch (Adams et al., 1990; Johnson and Pringle, 1990). Consistent with its role in the regulation of actin rearrangement, the Cdc42p
protein is localized to the pre-bud site in unbudded cells and on the tip of the growing bud (Ziman et al., 1993).

The mechanisms underlying the rapid changes in cortical actin distribution have not been elucidated. On one hand, actin filaments in yeast have been shown to undergo rapid cycles of assembly and disassembly in vivo (Ay-scough et al., 1997). On the other hand, visualization of fluorescently labeled actin cytoskeleton in living cells has led to the conclusion that cortical actin patches are highly mobile and can move across considerable distances on the cell cortex (Doyle and Botstein, 1996; Waddle et al., 1996). The ultrastructure of the actin patches has been investigated with the aid of immunoelectron microscopy and the patches are seen to comprise finger-like membrane invaginations surrounded by actin filaments (Mulholland et al., 1994). It is not yet clear how such a structure can be reconciled with the observed rapid motion of the actin patches in the plane of the plasma membrane.

Although the role for Cdc28p in the regulation of cell polarity during cell cycle progression is supported by some evidence (Benton et al., 1993; Cyrckova and Nasmyth, 1993; Lew and Reed, 1993, 1995; Tang and Cai, 1996), little is known about how this regulation is accomplished. By screening for mutants that lose viability rapidly in combination with the Start-defective cdc28 mutation, we have identified a yeast protein, Pan1p, as required for normal organization of the actin cytoskeleton in yeast (Tang and Cai, 1996; Tang et al., 1997). At a restrictive temperature, the pan1 mutant exhibited many phenotypes associated with defects in the actin cytoskeleton, such as abnormal bud growth, irregular structures of the actin cytoskeleton, and a random pattern of bud site selection (Tang and Cai, 1996). The Pan1p protein was found to colocalize with the cortical actin patches (Tang and Cai, 1996; Tang et al., 1997). Structurally, Pan1p contains a domain homologous to another yeast protein, Slα1p, that is also required for normal actin cytoskeleton organization (Holtzman et al., 1993, 1994; Li et al., 1995; Tang and Cai, 1996). Pan1p also has two repeats of a newly identified protein motif known as the EH domain, for its homology with the mammalian protein Ep15 which has been implicated in the process of receptor-mediated endocytosis (Tang and Cai, 1996; Di Fiore et al., 1997). Another yeast EH domain-containing protein, End3p (Bénédetti et al., 1994; Tang and Cai, 1996), was later found to form a complex with Pan1p in vivo (Tang et al., 1997). Genetic and molecular studies have shown that the Pan1p/End3p complex is essential for both the organization of actin cytoskeleton and endocytosis (Tang and Cai, 1996; Tang et al., 1997).

In this study, we have searched for additional factors that may interact with, or regulate the activity of, the Pan1p/End3p complex, by screening for extragenic suppressors of pan1. One such suppressor is found to be prk1. The wild-type PRK1 gene encodes a novel serine/threonine kinase. Our data suggest that Prk1p is an important regulator of the actin cytoskeleton organization in yeast, and that one of its functions in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton is to negatively control the activity of the Pan1p/End3p complex.

### Materials and Methods

#### Strains, Media, and General Methods

The yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table I. All strains are derived from W303, YMC425, YMC426, and YMC427 were made by disrupting the PRK1 gene in YMC422, YMC423, and W303-1A, respectively. YMC428 was a progeny of a diploid made of a cross between YHT151 and YMC427. YMC429 and YMC430 were made by crossing YMC424 with YMC423 and YMC426, respectively. Rich (YPD), synthetic complete (SC), and dropout media were prepared as described (Rose et al., 1990). Temperature-sensitive mutants were propagated at the permissive temperature of 23°C and analyzed at the restrictive temperature of 37°C. Genetic manipulations were performed according to standard methods described by Rose et al. (1990). Recombinant DNA methodology was performed as described by Sambrook et al. (1989).

#### Isolation of pan1-4 Spontaneous Revertants

Spontaneous revertants of pan1-4 were selected by plating 1.58 × 10⁹ of pan1-4 (YMC422, Table I) cells on YPD plates and keeping the plates at 37°C for 2 d. Colonies that could grow under these conditions were picked, colony-purified, and tested for their growth at 37°C once again. Good candidates were crossed with the wild-type strain W303. The diploid cells so formed were sporulated and tetrad-dissected and their progeny cells were tested for temperature sensitivity at 37°C to distinguish the extragenic mutations from the intragenic ones. The extragenic revertants were then crossed with a pan1-4 mutant (YMC423, Table I) to test whether the suppressor mutation was recessive. Revertant 1 (YMC424, Table I), which carried an extragenic recessive mutation and showed good viability at 37°C, was the subject of this study.

#### Cloning of the PRK1 Gene

The strain YMC424 was transformed with a yeast genomic library constructed in the plasmid pRS315 (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). Approxi-

---

### Table I. Yeast Strains

| Strain     | Genotype                                      | Reference     |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| W303-1A    | MAT a ade2-1 trpl-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3-1 | This study    |
| W303-1B    | MAT a ade2-1 trpl-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3-1 | This study    |
| YHT151     | MAT a ade2 trpl can1 leu2 his3 ura3 END3::LEU2 | Tang et al., 1997 |
| YMC422     | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 trpl ura3 pan1-4           | This study    |
| YMC423     | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 trpl ura3 pan1-4           | This study    |
| YMC424     | MAT a ade2 his3 leu2 trpl ura3 pan1-4 prk1-1     | This study    |
| YMC425     | MAT a ade2 trpl can1 leu2 his3 ura3 pan1-4 prk1::URA3 | This study    |
| YMC426     | MAT a ade2 trpl can1 leu2 his3 ura3 pan1-4 prk1::URA3 | This study    |
| YMC427     | MAT a ade2 trpl can1 leu2 his3 ura3 prk1::URA3   | This study    |
| YMC428     | MAT a ade2 trpl can1 leu2 his3 ura3 END3::LEU2 prk1::URA3 | This study    |
| YMC429     | MAT a/α pan1-4/pran1-4 prk1-1/PRK1              | This study    |
| YMC430     | MAT a/α pan1-4/pran1-4 prk1-1/prk1::URA3        | This study    |
mately 20,000 transformants were obtained. They were then tested for temperature sensitivity at 37°C. Plasmids from the temperature-sensitive transformants were extracted and were reintroduced into YMC424 to confirm the ability to confer temperature sensitivity. One of the plasmids that passed these tests was sequenced and revealed to contain the PRK1 gene. Further analysis confirmed that PRK1 was required for the complementation of the suppressor mutation in YMC424.

**Plasmid Constructs**

pPRK1 was generated by inserting a 3.9-kb fragment containing the PRK1 gene (base pair number −1190 to 2740) into the SacI/KpnI site of pRS314. pGAL-PRK1 was made by adding a SacI site in front of the initiation codon and an XbaI site in the 3′-end region of the PRK1 gene by PCR. The 2.7-kb SacI/XbaI fragment, covering the full length of PRK1, was cloned into the SacI/XbaI sites just downstream of the GAL1 promoter in three vectors derived from pRS314, pRS315, and pRS316, respectively. To construct pGAL-HA-PRK1, a unique AscI site was generated by PCR immediately after the initiation codon of PRK1. A 2.7-kb PRK1 fragment was excised with Ascl/SalI digestion and its 5′-end was fused in frame with a splice donor, the second long repeat of the HA epitope (YPYDVPDYA) downstream of the GAL1 promoter in two vectors derived from pRS314 and pRS316, respectively. pHA-PRK1, a construct containing the HA-tagged PRK1 driven by the endogenous promoter, was generated by replacing the GAL1 sequence in pGAL-PRK1 with the PRK1 promoter (base pair number −976 to −1). The pRS314-related pGAL-HA vector was also used to tag the second long repeat (residues 384–846) of Pan1p (pGAL-HA-LR2), which served as an in vivo substrate for Prk1p as described in Fig. 5 D.

**Disruption of the PRK1 Gene**

The PRK1 gene was disrupted by the one-step gene replacement method (Rothstein, 1991). The PRK1 coding region between the BclI site and the SpeI site was removed and replaced by the URA3 marker. The URA3 gene flanked by PRK1 sequences (prk1Δ/URA3) was excised by SacI and HindIII digestion, followed by gel purification and transformation into the wild-type strain W303, as well as the pan1-4 mutant (YMC422 and YMC423). The deletion was confirmed by PCR analysis.

**Cell Morphology and FACScan® Studies**

The yeast cultures were synchronized by addition of α-factor to a final concentration of 8 μg/ml. After incubation at 23°C for 2 h, most cells were arrested in G1 as unbudded cells. The measurement of cell morphology and DNA content by FACScan® analysis was carried out as described previously (Li and Cai, 1997).

**Fluorescence Studies**

Staining of actin filaments using rhodamine-phalloidin and determination of protein subcellular localization by antibody staining followed the published procedure (Tang and Cai, 1996). To visualize the HA-tagged Prk1p, the mouse mAb 12CA5 (Boehringer Mannheim) was used as the primary antibody, and the rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) as the secondary antibody. To cotrain HA-Prk1 and αnt, the antibodies were used in the following order: mouse anti-HA, guinea pig anti-actin, rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, and finally fluorescein-conjugated donkey anti–guinea pig IgG. In control experiments, no cross-reactivity was observed between the following pairs of antibodies: the mouse anti-HA antibody and the fluorescein-conjugated donkey anti–guinea pig IgG, the guinea pig antiactin antibody and the rhodamine-conjugated goat anti–mouse IgG, and the rhodamine-conjugated goat anti–mouse IgG and fluorescein-conjugated donkey anti–guinea pig IgG.

**Immunoprecipitation, GST-Fusion Proteins, Oligopeptides, and In Vitro Kinase Assay**

The immunoprecipitation of proteins from yeast extracts followed the procedure published in detail previously (Tang et al., 1997). To treat the immunoprecipitates with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP), the protein A–Sepharose beads were washed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl), followed by incubation at 37°C with 1 μl of 10 U/μl CIP (Biolabs, Inc.) for 30 min and boiling in the sample buffer.

To make glutathione S-transferase (GST)-fusion proteins, various coding regions of PAN1 (LR1: residues 99–383; LR2: 383–900; poly-P: 1239–1480; R15T and R15G: 564–846; R15Δ: 576–846) and END3 (full length) were generated by PCR and fused in frame to a bacterial GST expression vector pGEX-4T-1 (Pharmacia). The plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21. Transformants were grown to OD600 = 0.5, and induced with 1 mM isopropylthio-β-d-galactoside (Life Technologies, Inc.) at 37°C for 4 h to express the fusion proteins. Cells were collected by centrifugation and suspended in cold PBS. The suspensions were sonicated on ice to lyse the cells and the lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min in a Sorvall SS-34 rotor. The supernatants were incubated with glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (Pharmacia) for 30 min at room temperature, then transferred to disposable columns (Pharmacia). The beads were washed with PBS three times and the fusion proteins were eluted from the beads by elution buffer (10 mM glutathione, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0).

For protein kinase assays, the polyclonal rabbit anti-HA antibody was used to precipitate HA-tagged Prk1p. The beads were first washed with the RIPA buffer for five times, then three times with 25 mM MOPS (pH 7.2) and resuspended in 6 μl of HII buffer (60 mM β-glycerophosphate, 25 mM MOPS, pH 7.2, 15 mM p-nitrophenylphosphate, 15 mM MgCl2, 5 μg EGTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20 μg leupeptin/ml, and 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate). The kinase assay was performed by incubating the beads with 5 μg of GST-fusion proteins, 0.5 μl of 1 mM ATP, 0.5 μl of [γ-32P]ATP (10 mCi/ml; New England Nuclear Inc.), 1 μl of 250 mM MOPS in a total volume of 20 μl at 25°C for 15 min, followed by addition of 3× loading buffer and 10% SDS-PAGE. The gels were first stained with Cooamassie blue to visualize the protein bands. After pictures were taken, the gels were fixed, dried, and exposed to x-ray film.

Oligopeptides (RP15: MPTAQTGFFGNNE; Q6A: MPTTAAKTGFGNNNE; T8A: MPTAQQKGFGNNNE; and G9A: MPTAQTAKFGNNNE) were synthesized by a commercial company (Research Genetics) and dissolved in 0.5 mM MOPS to a concentration of 5 μg/μl. Silver staining was used to visualize the peptides in SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Equal amounts of each peptide (60 μg) were used for the kinase assay. After electrophoresis on a 16.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, the peptides were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, Inc.) and followed by autoradiography.

**Site-directed Mutagenesis of Prk1p**

The in vitro site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the Transfor-mer™ site-directed mutagenesis kit from Clontech. The plasmid pPRK1-314 was used as the template for generating pPRK1DIS158Y. The mutagenic primer used to create a D to Y mutation in PRK1 was CCGGAT-TGATTCATGATAATATAGGATG, which also created a ClaI site because of the mutation. The selection primer for the SnaBI site of the prS314 vector was GCTTGTCATTGACGTCAATCTGGATC. The mutation was first detected by restriction digestion and then confirmed by DNA sequencing. To create pGAL-PRK1DIS158Y and pGAL-HA-PRK1DIS158Y, the SacI/PstI fragment of pPRK1 DIS158Y containing the promoter region and some NH2-terminal sequences of PRK1 was replaced by the SacI/PstI fragments from pGAL-PRK1 and pGAL-HA-PRK1, respectively.

**Results**

**prk1 Is an Extragenic Suppressor of pan1-4**

The pan1-4 mutation causes a temperature-dependent growth defect (Tang and Cai, 1996). To isolate spontaneous revertants of pan1-4, the mutant cells (YMC422, Table I) were plated on YEPD plates and incubated at 37°C for several days. Eight revertants were found to grow on these plates. After colony purification, these cells were restretched for the ability to grow at 37°C. These spontaneous revertants could, in principle, arise from either additional muta-
functions in pan1-4, or mutations in other genes. To distinguish
the intragenic suppressors from the extragenic ones, each
of the eight revertants was crossed with a wild-type strain
(W303-1B, Table I), and the diploids were subjected to
sporulation and tetrad dissection. The progeny cells were
tested for temperature sensitivity. The result showed that
all of the revertant strains carried extragenic mutations
(data not shown). Revertant 1 (YMC424, Table I) was
chosen for further studies because it grew better at 37°C
than the others. Fig. 1 A shows the suppression of the tem-
perature sensitivity of pan1-4 in YMC424. Cloning of
the suppressor gene was achieved by transforming YMC424
with a yeast genomic library and screening for transfor-
mants that exhibited plasmid-dependent temperature sen-
sitivity at 37°C. The plasmid in these temperature-sensitive
cells was extracted and used again for transformation of
YMC424 to confirm its ability to complement the sup-
pressor mutation. Upon sequence analysis, the suppressor
gene was identified as PRK1.

PRK1 (YIL095W) is registered in the Yeast Protein Da-
base (www.proteome.com) as a nonessential gene en-
coding a putative serine/threonine kinase with unknown
functions. Since it is important to know whether loss of
Prk1p function will result in suppression of the pan1-4 muta-
tion, a null mutation of prk1 was generated. The PRK1
gene in a wild-type (W303) as well as in a pan1-4 strain
(YMC422) was disrupted by the one-step gene replace-
ment method using URA3 as a marker (Rothstein, 1991).
As shown in Fig. 1 B, prk1Δ was able to suppress the tem-
perature sensitivity of pan1-4, as the prk1Δ pan1-4 double
mutant (YMC425, Table I) could grow at 37°C. In agree-
ment with the earlier report (Thiagalingam et al., 1995),
the prk1Δ mutant (YMC427, Table I) was viable.

To ensure that PRK1 was allelic to, rather than just a
suppressor of, the mutation in revertant 1 that caused the
suppression of pan1-4, we crossed revertant 1 with the
prk1Δ pan1-4 double mutant. As shown in Fig. 1 C, the dip-
loid thus formed was viable at 37°C. In contrast, the dip-
loid generated by crossing revertant 1 with pan1-4 was still
temperature sensitive at 37°C (Fig. 1 C). This result shows
that the mutation responsible for the suppression of pan1-4
in revertant 1 is recessive, and is indeed allelic to PRK1.
Therefore, we named the mutation in revertant 1 that caused
the pan1-4 suppression as prk1-1.

However, prk1 could not suppress the lethality of the
null mutation of pan1. This result was obtained by crossing
the prk1Δ mutant with the pan1Δ mutant, whose viability
was maintained by the wild-type PAN1 gene on a plasmid.
After sporulation and tetrad dissection, it was found that
all the viable spore colonies that carried both markers for
prk1 and pan1 disruption (URA3 and HIS3) always car-
ried the marker for the PAN1-containing plasmid, LEU2
(data not shown). Furthermore, these Ura+ His+ cells were incapable of losing the PAN1-containing plasmid despite continuous propagation in the liquid rich me-
dium (YPD) for several days (data not shown). These re-
results indicate that the presence of the prk1Δ mutation
offers no relief to the absolute dependence of the pan1Δ
cells on the PAN1 gene, and suggest that prk1Δ does not
result in a bypass of the Pan1p function in the cell.

**Actin Abnormalities in pan1-4 and prk1Δ Are
Corrected in the Double Mutant**

Given the allele-specific suppression of pan1-4 by prk1, it
is anticipated that Prk1p may be required for certain func-
tions of the actin cytoskeleton, even though it is nonessen-
tial for viability. This possibility was investigated first by
examining the prk1Δ mutant (YMC427) for any visible
disorganization of the actin cytoskeleton. Although a nor-
mal pattern of cortical actin distribution was seen in the
mutant cells grown at 23°C (Fig. 2 A, left), it was evident
that most (>80%) of prk1Δ mutant cells grown at 37°C
had lost the asymmetric localization of the cortical actin
patches characteristic of wild-type cells (Fig. 2 A, right).
Actin patches in prk1Δ cells were more or less evenly dis-
buted between the mother and the bud. The depolariza-
tion of the actin patches in prk1Δ cells was not a result of
the treatment at 37°C per se, as the wild-type cells basi-
cally retained their polarized actin distribution after the
same treatment (data not shown). In addition to the depo-
larized distribution of the cortical actin patches, the cy-
cling prk1Δ cell population accumulated more unbudded
cells at 37°C than the wild-type cells. As cortical actin po-
larization is required for budding (Novick and Botstein,
with 21% unbudded cells in the wild-type population (Table II). In view of the normal timing of initiation of DNA replication in the mutant, the accumulation of unbudded cells in the prk1Δ population cannot be accounted for by a defect in passage through Start. Rather, it must result from a defect specific for bud formation, possibly the mutant’s inability to efficiently polarize actin patches.

The finding that prk1 suppressed the pan1-4 mutation but not the pan1 null mutation suggests that the essential function of Pan1p had been restored in the mutant due to the loss of Prk1p function. To test whether the actin cytoskeleton defects in the pan1-4 mutant were corrected by prk1, we examined the pattern of actin staining in the double mutant. As shown in Fig. 2 C, combination of the pan1-4 and prk1Δ mutations brought the cortical actin distribution back to normality in most, if not all, of the double mutant cells. These cells (YMC425) exhibited an asymmetric distribution of the actin cytoskeleton at 37°C, with the majority of the actin staining being concentrated in the bud (Fig. 2 C, left). The prominent abnormal actin staining inside the mother cell in the pan1-4 mutant (Fig. 2 C, right) was no longer present in the double mutant. These results indicate that the suppression of pan1-4 by prk1 is due, at least in part, to a normalization of the actin cytoskeleton organization in the pan1-4 mutant by the loss of Prk1p function.

**PRK1 Overexpression Is Lethal**

Although cells could survive with the PRK1 gene deleted, overproduction of Prk1p was lethal. As shown in Fig. 3 A, W303 cells that contained the PRK1 gene under the control of the GAL1 promoter (pGAL1-PRK1) could not grow on plates with galactose as the carbon source. The lethality of PRK1 overexpression was likely a result of defective actin cytoskeleton, since the actin cytoskeleton was grossly altered in these cells (Fig. 3 B). After several hours in galactose, most of these cells accumulated aberrant actin aggregates, such as thick, and sometimes curvy, bars and larger than normal patches, with very few normal looking actin patches on the cortex (Fig. 3 B, right). These
aberrant actin structures are, to a large extent, reminiscent of those exhibited by the pan1-4 and end3Δ mutants incubated at the restrictive temperature (Fig. 2 C, right) (Bénédetti et al., 1994; Tang and Cai, 1996; Wendland et al., 1996). Therefore, it appears that loss of Pan1p/End3p functions and overexpression of PRK1 exert similar effects on the organization of actin cytoskeleton.

Cells overexpressing PRK1 were found dead at all stages of the cell cycle (data not shown), and thus the lethality is unlikely attributable to any cell cycle–specific defects.

Prk1p Localizes to the Region of Polarized Growth

To obtain further insights into the function of Prk1p, we next attempted to determine the subcellular localization of Prk1p. To facilitate the detection of Prk1p in vivo, the PRK1 gene expressed from its endogenous promoter was tagged with the HA epitope at the 5′-end. The HA-PRK1 construct thus formed was functional in vivo as it could restore the temperature sensitivity of the prk1-1 pan1-4 (YMC424) or prk1Δ pan1-4 (YMC425) double mutants (data not shown). However, this HA-PRK1 construct produced signals too weak to permit unambiguous detection by indirect immunofluorescent staining (data not shown). Thus, we opted to use the GAL1 promoter. Cells containing the HA-tagged PRK1 gene under pGAL1 were not viable in galactose and exhibited the aberrant actin structures similar to those seen in the cells overexpressing untagged PRK1 (data not shown). A transient exposure to galactose (90 min) was found to be sufficient to allow detection of the Prk1 protein by immunofluorescent staining using anti-HA antibody without causing significant actin abnormalities in cells containing this construct. In cycling cells, Prk1p appeared mostly at the regions of cell growth, such as small buds and tips of the oval-shaped unbudded cells indicative of the pre-bud sites (Fig. 3 C, left). Similarly, the Prk1p-specific staining was confined to the tip region of the shmoo in α-factor–arrested cells (Fig. 3 C, right). The Prk1p-specific staining was also found to co-incide with polarized cortical actin patches, as shown in Fig. 3 D.

However, we were unable to ascertain whether Prk1p colocalized generally with the cortical actin patches, as Prk1p was mostly detected in cells with a polarized pattern of Prk1p localization. In cells that displayed randomly distributed actin patches, the staining of HA-Prk1p was significantly weaker (data not shown). It is not yet clear whether this is due to the experimental protocol or the nature of Prk1p subcellular localization.

Prk1p Phosphorylates the LxxQxTG Repeats in Pan1p

Prk1p belongs to a family of putative serine/threonine kinases. Fig. 4 A shows the sequence alignment of the kinase domain from five such kinases, with three from S. cerevisiae, one from S. pombe, and one from Arabidopsis. So far, these putative kinases have not been subjected to functional studies. PRK1 was isolated previously in an artificial system as a gene that, when present in a high copy plasmid, could suppress the transcriptional defects of human p53-activated transcriptional units in six unidentified yeast mutants (Thiagalingam et al., 1995). How this suppression took place, however, was not investigated. Indeed, Prk1p has never been demonstrated to possess a protein kinase activity. Our finding that the suppression of pan1-4 by prk1 was dependent on the presence of the mutant Pan1...
protein suggested that Prk1p may regulate Pan1p by phosphorylation. We tested this idea by setting up an in vitro kinase assay using various regions of Pan1p as substrates. The Pan1p protein can be divided into three parts according to its structural features: the NH2-terminal long repeat one (LR1), the adjacent long repeat two (LR2), and the COOH-terminal proline-rich region (poly-P) (Tang and Cai, 1996). Three GST-fusion proteins were made from the above Pan1p regions and used in the in vitro kinase assay. As shown in Fig. 5 A, the immunoprecipitated Prk1p could indeed act as a protein kinase, phosphorylating both LR1 and LR2 efficiently. However, Prk1p could not phosphorylate the proline-rich region (Fig. 5 A, lane c). No LR2 phosphorylation was observed in the reaction where immunoprecipitates from cells containing untagged Prk1p were used (Fig. 5 A, lane f), indicating that the kinase reaction is specific to Prk1p. Since both LR1 and LR2 contain an EH domain in which there is a single conserved serine residue (Tang and Cai, 1996), it was initially thought that the EH domain might be the region recognized by the kinase. This turned out not to be the case, as another EH domain–containing protein, End3p, could not be phosphorylated by Prk1p (Fig. 5 A, lane d). Aside from the EH domain, another striking structural feature common to both LR1 and LR2 is the LxxQxTG motif, repeated 5 times in LR1 and 10 times in LR2 (Fig. 4 B). As this motif (named the Pan1 repeat) contains a conserved threonine, we investigated whether this is the phosphorylation site for Prk1p. Three additional GST-fusion proteins were made for this purpose. GST-R15T contained the LR2 fragment with all but one (the 15th) of the Pan1 repeats deleted. GST-R15G contained the same LR2 fragment as GST-R15T, but with the threonine in the remaining Pan1 repeat mutated to glycine (Fig. 4 B). GST-R15D differed from the above two in containing no Pan1 repeat (Fig. 4 B). The results of kinase assays using these three fusion proteins are shown in Fig. 5 B. Of the three fragments, only GST-R15T could be phosphorylated by Prk1p (Fig. 5 B). This demonstrates that the threonine residue in the LxxQxTG motif was critical in defining the phosphorylation target site of Prk1p.

It could be argued, perhaps, that the Pan1 repeat may just serve as a recognition site, rather than a phosphorylation site, for Prk1p. To prove that the LxxQxTG motif was the actual site phosphorylated by Prk1p, four oligopeptide substrates were synthesized for the kinase assay. These peptides, of 14 amino acids in length, were from the 15th Pan1 repeat as present in GST-R15T described above. As
the Prk1p phosphorylation site by using oligopeptide substrates. Peptides RP15, Q6A, T8A, and G9A in lanes a–d were visualized by silver staining shown in the upper panel. The phosphorylation results were shown in the lower panel as autoradiography. Immunoprecipitated HA-tagged Prk1p was added in each lane as the kinase. Each reaction used the same quantity of oligopeptides (60 μg) as shown in the upper panel. (D) Phosphorylation of LR2 of Pan1p in vivo. The HA-tagged LR2 immunoprecipitated from the prk1Δ mutant (lane a), wild-type (lane b), and wild-type cells containing the pGAL-PRK1-315 (lanes c and d) was detected by immunoprecipitation and Western blotting. Immunoprecipitates from cells containing the control vectors (pRS314 and pRS315) were used in lane e. In lane d, equal amounts of the immunoprecipitates as present in lane c were treated with CIP before being subjected to SDS-PAGE.

shown in Fig. 5 C, only the peptide with the wild-type sequence (RP15) could be strongly phosphorylated by Prk1p (Fig. 5 C, lane a). A mutation of Q to A in the LxxQxTG motif greatly reduced the phosphorylation (Fig. 5 C, lane b), indicating that the conserved Q residue in the motif was required for recognition of the phosphorylation site. Mutations of T to A and G to A in the LxxQxTG motif both completely abolished the phosphorylation of the peptide substrates (Fig. 5 C, lanes c and d), confirming the importance of these residues in the Prk1 phosphorylation site. These results show that the LxxQxTG motif is indeed the phosphorylation site of Prk1p.

After the demonstration that Prk1p could phosphorylate Pan1p in a sequence-specific manner in vitro, we then tried to investigate whether the phosphorylation of Pan1p by Prk1p could be detected in vivo. However, detection of Pan1p phosphorylation in vivo by 32P-labeling proved to be difficult, as the Pan1p-specific labels in wild-type cells were at a very low level (data not shown). This effort was further hampered by prominent degradation of the Pan1 protein during immunoprecipitation (Tang and Cai, 1996; Tang et al., 1997). We subsequently found that the in vivo phosphorylation of Pan1p could be readily detected when the Prk1 kinase was overproduced. For this experiment, the LR2 region, instead of full-length Pan1p, was used because it provided better resolution of the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated bands in the gel. As shown in Fig. 5 D, the HA-tagged LR2 immunoprecipitated from wild-type cells and the prk1Δ mutant cells migrated as a single band (Fig. 5 D, lanes a and b). On the other hand, the same protein immunoprecipitated from cells overexpressing PRK1 migrated as two bands (Fig. 5 D, lane c), and the upper band disappeared after treatment with CIP (Fig. 5 D, lane d). This result suggests that the LR2, which contains 10 LxxQxTG repeats, became phosphorylated in cells overproducing Prk1p.

**Loss of the Kinase Activity in Prk1p Suppresses pan1-4**

To obtain additional evidence for the regulation of Pan1p by the Prk1 kinase, we next sought to determine whether the genetic suppression of pan1-4 by prk1 involves the loss of the Prk1p kinase activity. This was important to establish, as alternative mechanisms (e.g., the loss of protein–protein binding) may also explain the suppression. To create an inactive kinase, the aspartic acid at residue 158 (D158) in Prk1p was chosen for mutagenesis because it matched the catalytic site of serine/threonine kinases (Fig. 4 A). In situ mutagenesis was used to change D158 to tyrosine. When this mutated Prk1p (Prk1pD158Y) was tagged with the HA epitope, the anti-HA immunoprecipitates were found to be inactive in the kinase assay using LR1 as a substrate (Fig. 6 A, lower left). Nonetheless, the mutant kinase was expressed in vivo as well as the wild-type counterpart (Fig. 6 A, top). A plasmid containing PRK1D158Y was used to transform the prk1Δ pan1-4 double mutant, and the transformants were tested for temperature sensitivity at 37°C. As shown in Fig. 6 B, PRK1D158Y was unable to restore temperature sensitivity to the double mutant, as the wild-type PRK1 did. This result indicates that inactivation of the Prk1p kinase activity is sufficient to suppress the pan1-4 mutation.
Furthermore, the inactive Prk1p kinase was no longer lethal to cells when overproduced (Fig. 6 C). Cells containing overexpressed PRK1Δ158Y also exhibited the wild-type pattern of the actin cytoskeleton (data not shown). These data suggest that the cell lethality and the actin abnormality observed in cells overproducing Prk1p both depend on the kinase activity of Prk1p.

**Binding of End3p Prevents Pan1p from Being Phosphorylated by Prk1p**

It was reported previously that high copy END3 could suppress the pan1-4 mutant phenotype (Tang et al., 1997). Pan1p and End3p have also been demonstrated to form a complex in vivo (Tang et al., 1997). In addition, pan1-4 and end3Δ cells exhibited similar phenotypes including severe disorganization in the actin cytoskeleton and defects in receptor-mediated endocytosis (Tang et al., 1997). Because of the relationship between PAN1 and END3, we desired to find out whether the prk1Δ mutation could suppress the temperature sensitivity of end3Δ. The prk1Δ end3Δ double mutant (YM428, Table I) was constructed and examined for temperature sensitivity. As shown in Fig. 7 A, the end3Δ mutation could indeed be suppressed by prk1Δ as the double mutant was able to grow at 37°C. Unlike the suppression of pan1-4 by prk1Δ, the suppression of end3Δ by prk1Δ is the result of a bypass of END3 function. However, prk1Δ could not bypass the functions of SLA1 and BEE1, two other genes required for assembly of the cortical actin cytoskeleton (Holtzman et al., 1993; Li, 1997), as the temperature-sensitive phenotype in these mutants remained after the PRK1 gene was disrupted (data not shown).

The fact that both End3p overproduction and loss of Prk1p kinase activity can suppress pan1-4 suggests that the effects of these two factors on Pan1p are mutually antagonistic. Since End3p binds Pan1p at the LR2 region of Pan1p where several LxxQxTG repeats are present (Tang et al., 1997), it is possible that End3p protects LR2 from being phosphorylated by Prk1p. This possibility was tested in the in vitro system. Preincubation with an excess of End3p greatly diminished the LR2 phosphorylation by Prk1p, as shown in Fig. 7 B. On the other hand, the phosphorylation of LR1, which is not essential for interaction with End3p (Tang et al., 1997), was not affected under the same conditions (Fig. 7 B, left). This result suggests that Prk1p and End3p may compete with each other to regulate Pan1p function. However, we have not been able to...
A novel serine/threonine kinase, Prk1p, has been isolated from this screen. Characterization of Prk1p suggests that its essential functions can be fulfilled, for example, that the defects in actin distribution to the surface (Tang and Cai, 1996). Loss of Prk1p function precludes the formation of the actin aggregates, restoring not only viability but also a virtual wild-type pattern of actin cytoskeleton organization including disruption of the normal structures and distribution of cortical patches and cytosolic cables. How Prk1p exerts its regulation over the organization of the actin cytoskeleton remains to be elucidated. Regardless of the actual mechanism underlying the suppression of pan1-4 by prk1, it is clear that the function of Pan1p and Prk1p in the actin cytoskeleton organization are mutually antagonistic. The pan1-4 mutant cells, similar to the Prk1p overproducing cells, typically display actin aggregates with very few normal looking actin patches present at the cell surface (Tang and Cai, 1996). Loss of Prk1p function prevents the formation of the actin aggregates, restoring not only viability at 37°C but also a virtual wild-type pattern of actin distribution to the pan1-4 cells. As discussed above, requirement for the mutant Pan1 protein in suppression of pan1-4 by prk1, and the ability of Prk1p to phosphorylate Pan1p in vitro in a sequence-specific manner advocate a direct regulation of Pan1p by Prk1p. Therefore, it follows that the function of Prk1p in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton organization likely includes a negative regulation of the Pan1p function. It can be rationalized, for example, that the defects in pan1-4 cells are partly due to a reduction of residual mutant Pan1p activity as a result of Prk1p phosphorylation. Introduction of the prk1 mutation into the pan1-4 cells alleviates such inhibition and hence restores the activity of Pan1p to the extent that its essential functions can be fulfilled.

**The Role of Prk1p in the Regulation of Actin Cytoskeleton Organization**

The observation that the prk1 mutant displays a randomized pattern of the cortical actin cytoskeleton at 37°C suggests that Prk1p is somehow required for the polarization of actin cytoskeleton before bud emergence. This suggestion is consistent with the mutant’s phenotype of delayed bud emergence in relation to initiation of DNA replication. In addition, subcellular localization of Prk1p also supports its role in the polarization of cortical actin cytoskeleton, as the epitope-tagged Prk1p is found at the regions of cell growth such as the pre-bud site in unbudded cells and the tip of the small bud in budded cells, and appears to coincide with the polarized cortical actin patches. However, investigation of the phenotypes associated with PRK1 overexpression indicates that the activity of Prk1p may not be limited to regulating the cortical actin polarization, as persistent overproduction of Prk1p causes gross alterations of the actin cytoskeleton organization including disruption of the normal structures and distribution of cortical patches and cytosolic cables.

Obtain conclusive data from the reciprocal experiments to test whether phosphorylation of Pan1p can inhibit the binding by End3p.

**Discussion**

Previous screening for mutants which exhibit a rapid death phenotype in combination with a Start-deficient mutation, cdc28-4, has identified Pan1p as an important protein required for proper cellular distribution of the actin cytoskeleton in yeast (Tang and Cai, 1996). To identify more factors that act with Pan1p in regulating the actin cytoskeleton, we have undertaken a genetic screen for extra-agenic suppressors of the temperature sensitivity of pan1-4. A novel serine/threonine kinase, Prk1p, has been isolated from this screen. Characterization of Prk1p suggests that this kinase is an important regulator of the actin cytoskeleton organization.

**Suppression of pan1-4 by prk1**

Suppression of the pan1-4 mutation by any genetic alterations must be due to compensation for the essential function of PAN1 lost in the mutant, since pan1-4 is a recessive, loss-of-function, mutation (Tang and Cai, 1996; Tang et al., 1997). There are two possible mechanisms to explain the suppression of the pan1-4 mutation by prk1. One is that loss of the Prk1p function may lead to hyperactivity of some proteins, which can now function in place of Pan1p to offset the deficiencies caused by the pan1-4 mutation. Alternatively, the suppression may occur as a result of an increase in the activity of the mutant Pan1p protein, owing to a relief from the inhibitory effect imposed by the Prk1p function. The data presented in this report are in favor of the latter mechanism. Clearly, the suppression of pan1-4 by prk1 requires the cooperation of the mutant Pan1 protein, as the presence of prk1 makes no difference to the absolute dependence of pan1Δ cells on the PAN1 gene for viability. Furthermore, the finding that Prk1p can phosphorylate Pan1p in vitro in a sequence-specific manner also supports that Pan1p is under direct regulation of Prk1p. Therefore, we propose that the suppression of pan1-4 by the loss of Prk1p function is more likely a result of rejuvenation of the mutant Pan1 protein than that of a bypass of the Pan1p function.
Additional evidence to support the role of Prk1p as a negative regulator of Pan1p comes from the fact that the same allele of the pan1 mutation, pan1-4, can be suppressed by either loss of Prk1p activity or overproduction of End3p (Tang et al., 1997). End3p is a functional partner of Pan1p and the protein complex containing Pan1p/End3p plays important roles in actin cytoskeleton organization and in the process of endocytosis (Tang et al., 1997). Similar to the prk1 mutation, End3p overproduction not only suppresses the temperature sensitivity of pan1-4, but also restores a normal pattern of the actin cytoskeleton organization to the mutant (Tang, H., and M. Cai, unpublished data). End3p binds to the LR2 region of Pan1p when many Prk1p phosphorylation sites are present (Tang et al., 1997). Indeed, we have shown that End3p can protect the LR2 region from being phosphorylated by Prk1p in vitro. As LR2 is the essential region for Pan1p function (Sachs and Deardorff, 1992; Tang and Cai, 1996), the balance between LR2 phosphorylation by Prk1p and its binding by End3p is likely to determine the activity of Pan1p in vivo. In agreement with this, prk1 can also suppress the temperature sensitivity of end3Δ, indicating that Pan1p may have become hyperphosphorylated in the absence of End3p. Despite the plausibility, however, direct evidence to support this hypothesis is still lacking.

Apart from regulating the Pan1p/End3p complex, Prk1p may also regulate the functions of other proteins involved in the actin cytoskeleton organization in yeast. To identify these potential targets of Prk1p, we have searched the yeast sequence database for proteins containing the Prk1 phosphorylation site as identified in vitro, LxxQxTG. Although the search has yielded many proteins containing one copy of this motif, few are found to contain multiple copies (data not shown). Interestingly, another protein involved in the organization of the actin cytoskeleton, Sla1p (Holtzman, et al., 1993), is found to contain five copies of the LxxQxTG repeat in its COOH-terminal region. Sla1p also shares additional sequence similarity with Pan1p in what has been named the Sla1-homology domain (Tang and Cai, 1996). Using GST-fusion proteins, the region of Sla1p that contains the LxxQxTG repeats has been found to serve as well as LR1 and LR2 as a substrate for Prk1p in vitro (data not shown), suggesting that Sla1p may be another actin cytoskeleton-related factor under the control of Prk1p.

**Phosphorylation of Pan1p by Prk1p**

The fact that Pan1p has the largest number of the LxxQxTG motif in its sequence among all yeast proteins suggests that it may very well be the major target of Prk1p. These short repeats are clustered within the two long repeated regions of Pan1p termed LR1 and LR2, both of which also contain an EH domain (Tang and Cai, 1996; Tang et al., 1997). We have demonstrated that the LxxQxTG motif is the site that Prk1p phosphorylates in vitro. While the importance of the L residue in the motif has not been measured, the three other residues, Q, T, and G, have been proven essential for the integrity of the phosphorylation site. We have not been able to confirm the Prk1p-dependent Pan1p phosphorylation in vivo under physiological conditions in either wild-type or the end3 mutant cells. This difficulty may be explained by a number of reasons. It is possible, for example, that both the Prk1 and Pan1 proteins are present in low abundance in vivo. This notion is in line with the observations that the physiological levels of Prk1p and Pan1p are below detection by immunofluorescent staining, and that the overexpression of either PAN1 or PRK1 is detrimental to the cell (Tang and Cai, 1996; and this report). In addition, it is also conceivable that the phosphorylation of Pan1p by Prk1p in vivo may be regulated in a cell cycle–specific fashion, or coupled with protein degradation, or quickly followed by dephosphorylation, so that the phosphorylated Pan1p is unable to accumulate to a significant level in cycling cells. Whatever the reason, the finding that LR2 becomes prominently phosphorylated only in cells overproducing Prk1p suggests that Prk1p is able to recognize this region of Pan1p in vivo.

**Other Considerations**

We noticed that the subcellular localization of Prk1p is remarkably similar, if not identical, to that of Cdc42p, a Rho family GTPase that regulates the actin cytoskeleton polarization before bud formation (Adams et al., 1990; Johnson and Pringle, 1990; Ziman et al., 1993). We have not investigated whether the function of Prk1p requires Cdc42p and Cdc24p, a guanine-nucleotide exchange factor for Cdc42p (Zheng et al., 1994). It will be of interest to determine if, for instance, the subcellular localization of Prk1p is dependent on Cdc42p.

There are two more open reading frames in the yeast DNA sequence database that encode putative serine/threonine kinases homologous to Prk1p (Fig. 4A). It is plausible that these Prk1-like kinases are also involved in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in yeast and may share certain overlapping functions with Prk1p. This could explain why PRK1 by itself is dispensable for cell viability. This possibility has yet to be tested experimentally. There is no doubt that investigation of Prk1p, and possibly the other Prk1p-related kinases, will provide important insights into the cellular control mechanisms regulating the function of the actin cytoskeleton and its related processes.
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