MBD3/NuRD Facilitates Induction of Pluripotency in a Context-Dependent Manner
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SUMMARY

The Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylase (NuRD) complex is essential for embryonic development and pluripotent stem cell differentiation. In this study, we investigated whether NuRD is also involved in the reverse biological process of induction of pluripotency in neural stem cells. By knocking out MBD3, an essential scaffold subunit of the NuRD complex, at different time points in reprogramming, we found that efficient formation of reprogramming intermediates and induced pluripotent stem cells from neural stem cells requires NuRD activity. We also show that reprogramming of epiblast-derived stem cells to naive pluripotency requires NuRD complex function and that increased MBD3/NuRD levels can enhance reprogramming efficiency when coexpressed with the reprogramming factor NANOG. Our results therefore show that the MBD3/NuRD complex plays a key role in reprogramming in certain contexts and that a chromatin complex required for cell differentiation can also promote reversion back to a naive pluripotent cell state.

INTRODUCTION

Reprogramming of somatic cells to naive pluripotency can be robustly driven by the combined action of transcription factors and culture cues. Among the reprogramming transcription factors, OCT4 plays a central role, as it is sufficient and essential for the induction of pluripotent cells (Kim et al., 2009; Radziszewska et al., 2013; Radziszewska and Silva, 2014). OCT4 interactome studies in embryonic stem cells (ESCs) revealed members of the Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylase (NuRD) complex as its highest confidence interactors (Ding et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2008; Pardo et al., 2010; van den Berg et al., 2010). NuRD is composed of six core subunits with at least two enzymatic activities involved in gene regulation: histone deacetylase activity of HDAC1/2 subunits and ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling activity of Mi-2a/b subunits (Lai and Wade, 2011; McDonel et al., 2009). Methyl-CpG binding domain protein 3 (MBD3) is an essential scaffold protein of the NuRD complex, in the absence of which the complex is not assembled (Kaji et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 1999). Embryos lacking MBD3 die shortly after implantation (Hendrich et al., 2001; Kaji et al., 2007) and Mbd3-null ESCs are viable but show severely impaired lineage commitment and exhibit limited differentiation capacity (Kaji et al., 2006; Reynolds et al., 2012a, 2012b). Chromatin remodeling plays an important role in reprogramming to naive pluripotency (Apostolou and Hochedlinger, 2013; Papp and Plath, 2013). Because the NuRD complex is a high confidence interactor of Oct4 and a key regulator of developmental cell state transitions, we have investigated its involvement in the induction of pluripotency.

RESULTS

MBD3 Facilitates the Initiation of Reprogramming from Neural Stem Cells

To address the requirement of the NuRD complex in the reprogramming process, we established an Mbd3−/− clonal neural stem cell (NSC) line from Mbd3fl/fl NSCs and an Mbd3−/− rescue NSC line (Mbd3−/−:Mbd3) by stable transfection of an Mbd3 transgene (Figures S1A–S1C available online). These NSC lines were transduced with retroviruses encoding cMyc, Klf4, and Oct4 (MKO) to initiate their reprogramming and were then switched to serum plus LIF (S+LIF) conditions (Figure 1A), which typically results in the formation of highly proliferative reprogramming intermediates, or preiPSCs (Silva et al., 2008). When we used retroviruses encoding GFP (rGFP), equal percentages of GFP+ cells were observed 72 hr after transduction of Mbd3fl/fl or Mbd3−/− NSCs, indicating that Mbd3 deletion does not affect transduction efficiency (Figures S1D and S1E). Strikingly, the kinetics of preiPSC emergence was markedly delayed in the Mbd3−/− cells. While Mbd3-expressing preiPSCs dominated the culture by day 4 posttransduction (d.p.t.), Mbd3−/−...
preiPSCs emerged only by 7–8 d.p.t. (Figure 1B). In addition, the number of emerging alkaline-phosphatase-positive (AP+) Mbd3/C0/C0 preiPSC colonies was significantly reduced compared to parental and rescue cell lines (Figure 1C and Figure S1F). Nevertheless, it was possible to establish and expand Mbd3/C0/C0 preiPSCs, although less efficiently and with delayed kinetics. Both Mbd3/C0 NSCs and Mbd3-null preiPSCs derived from them exhibited slower proliferation, consistent with previous reports of Mbd3/C0 ESCs (Kaji et al., 2006; Sims and Wade, 2011) (Figures S1G and S1H). Mbd3/C0 preiPSCs expressed slightly higher levels of retroviral transgenes compared to control cells (Figure 1D), suggesting that dosage of reprogramming factors is not the reason for the reduced efficiency of reprogramming initiation that we observed.

To further dissect the requirement for MBD3 in the initiation of reprogramming, we analyzed the effect of Mbd3 deletion at different experimental time points. For this experiment, we stably transfected Mbd3fl/fl NSCs with Cre-ERt2, which enabled Cre-mediated excision of the floxed Mbd3 alleles upon addition of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) (Aguilera et al., 2011; Kaji et al., 2006) (Figures S1I–S1L). We found that earlier removal of Mbd3 reduced the number of preiPSC colonies formed (Figure 1E). We also obtained similar results after conditional deletion of Mbd3 exon 1 (ex1fl) which removes all but a small amount of a truncated MBD3 protein isoform (MBD3C) (Aguilera et al., 2011; Kaji et al., 2006) (Figures S1M and S1N).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that lack of a functional NuRD complex strongly impairs the initiation of reprogramming from NSCs.

MBD3 Is Required for Efficient iPSC Generation from NSCs, preiPSCs, and EpiSCs

We then evaluated the role of MBD3 in later stages of reprogramming. To induce completion of the reprogramming process, Mbd3fl/C0, Mbd3/C0/C0, and rescued Mbd3/C0/C0 preiPSCs were switched to serum-free medium containing LIF and inhibitors of both mitogen-activated protein kinase and glycogen synthase kinase-3 signaling (2i/LIF) (Silva et al., 2008), and the resulting iPSC colonies were scored 12 days later. We observed that the efficiency of conversion to naive pluripotency of Mbd3/C0 preiPSCs is strongly reduced compared to

---

**Figure 1. MBD3 Facilitates the Initiation of Reprogramming**

(A) Experimental design used to address the kinetics and efficiency of initiation of reprogramming in NSCs with different Mbd3 genotypes. NSCs were transduced with retroviruses encoding cMyc, Klf4, and Oct4 (rMKO), maintained in Egf+Fgf2 medium for 3 days, and then switched to S+LIF medium.

(B) Phase images of the reprogramming intermediates (preiPSCs) emerging from Mbd3fl/fl, Mbd3/C0, and Mbd3/C0:C0 (rescue) NSCs at different days posttransduction (d.p.t.).

(C) Efficiency of preiPSC colony formation per 2.5 × 10⁵ NSCs as assessed by alkaline phosphatase (AP) staining at day 9 posttransduction.

(D) qRT-PCR analysis of retroviral transgenes (rOct4, rKlf4, and rMyc) and Mbd3 expression in the obtained preiPSCs maintained in S+LIF. Three independent NSCs transductions were carried out and gene expression was assessed 12 days after transduction. Values are normalized to Gapdh value and shown as relative to the highest value.

(E) Time course of MBD3 requirement during preiPSC formation. Mbd3flfl NSCs were stably transfected with pCAG-CreERT2 transgene, transduced with retroviral transgenes, and treated with 4-OHT at indicated time points to induce Cre-mediated deletion of the floxed alleles during reprogramming. Ethanol (EtOH) was used as a control. The encircled numbers correspond to different conditions. PreiPSC colony formation was assessed by AP staining at day 10 posttransduction and is presented as the number of colonies per 7.5 × 10⁴ NSCs. The error bars indicate STDEV.
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were counted and subsequently stained for AP. The number of colonies is presented per 7.5

Next we performed a time course experiment to define the time frame during reprogramming for which MBD3 is required. For this analysis, we transduced Mbd3<sup>fl/fl</sup>:Cre-ERT2 iNSCs with rMKO and rGFP and treated them with 4-OHT at different experimental time points (Figure 2C). The growth medium was changed to S+LIF 4 days after transduction and subsequently, 4 days later, to S/LIF. The number of iPSC colonies exhibiting silencing of retroviral GFP expression was assessed 12 days after 2i/LIF medium switch (Figure 2C and Figure S2E). We observed that the number of iPSC colonies formed was proportional to the amount of time cells expressed MBD3 during the initiation phase of reprogramming (prior to 2i/LIF culture). We observed neither a reduction nor a gain of reprogramming efficiency when Mbd3 was deleted at the 2i/LIF stage. Regardless of the stage of Mbd3 deletion, the resulting iPSCs displayed a pluripotency-associated transcriptional signature (Figure S2F).

Thus, our data suggest that MBD3 is specifically required for the initiation and intermediate stage of NSC reprogramming rather than establishment of pluripotency.

Epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs) can be reprogrammed to naive pluripotency by a combination of overexpression of at least one transcription factor, such as KLF4, KLF2, or NANOG, and the use of serum-free 2i/LIF medium, which not only promotes reprogramming of EpiSCs but also blocks their self-renewal (Guo et al., 2009; Silva et al., 2009). To examine the role of MBD3 in reprogramming in this context, we stably transfected wild-type EpiSCs carrying an Oct4-GFP reporter with piggyBac (PB) vectors constitutively expressing Klf2 and Nanog (K2N) or Klf4, and we then transfected these with either small interfering RNA (siRNA) against Mbd3 or control siRNA (Figure 3A).

Strikingly, Mbd3 knockdown led to a complete impairment of KLF4-mediated reprogramming and to a 6-fold reduction in the reprogramming ability of K2N (Figure 3B and Figures S3A and S3B). Similar results were obtained when EpiSCs with Mbd3 genetic knockout were used (Figure 3C and Figures S3C and S3D).

All the results described above indicate that MBD3 is critical for efficient reprogramming in the contexts that we examined, contrasting with previous reports (Luo et al., 2013; Rais et al., 2013). To examine whether this difference is a reflection of the specific reprogramming systems that we used, we performed PB-mediated reprogramming of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) combined with Mbd3 deletion using two different approaches (Figure 3D). First, we used an Mbd3 knockdown system in which Nanog-GFP MEFs were treated with doxycycline (DOX) for the induction of the MKOS or STEMCCA reprogramming cassettes (Kaji et al., 2006; Sommer et al., 2009) and cultured in S+LIF medium supplemented with vitamin C and Alk (Tgfβ signaling inhibitor). Twenty-four hours after induction they were transduced with lentiviruses expressing shRNA against Mbd3 (Figure 3E and Figures S3H–S3J). Second, we depleted Mbd3 by treating Cre-ERT2-transduced Mbd3<sup>−/-</sup>:Mbd3<sup>−/-</sup> MEFs with 4-OHT at 0 hr or 48 hrs after induction of reprogramming factor expression (Figure 3F and Figures S3H and S3I).

While both systems demonstrated about 80% downregulation of MBD3 protein, neither impacted on the efficiency of MEF reprogramming. However, depletion of MBD3 protein would take a few days from the time of 4-OHT administration, so it is possible that in this system cells go through the most critical stage of reprogramming with MBD3 protein still present at a sufficient level.

From our experiments we therefore found that, depending on the reprogramming context, MBD3/NuRD depletion can either have no apparent effect on reprogramming or significantly impair the transition to naive pluripotency.

**Overexpression of MBD3/NuRD Can Facilitate Reprogramming**

Because the complete removal of Mbd3 or a decrease in its expression can significantly impair the generation of both pre-iPSCs and iPSCs from iNSCs, and iPSCs from pre-iPSCs and EpiSCs, we tested whether MBD3 levels are limiting for reprogramming. For that, Oct4-GFP reporter iNSCs and Nanog-GFP MEF-derived pre-iPSCs were stably transfected with Mbd3 (Figures 4A and 4E). MBD3 overexpression had neither a positive nor detrimental effect on the efficiency of iPSC formation in both systems (Figures 4B, 4C, 4F and 4G). However, combined overexpression of MBD3 and NANOG in MEF-derived pre-iPSCs led to accelerated reprogramming kinetics and an up to 30-fold increase in reprogramming efficiency compared to Nanog-Empty vector (EV) control (Figures 4F and 4G and Figures S4A and S4B). This synergistic effect correlated with the upregulation of both Esrrb and endogenous Oct4 expression, to 5% and 3% of the expression levels of wild-type ESCs, respectively, prior to induction of pluripotency by 2i/LIF medium switch (Figure 4I).

Interestingly, MBD3 overexpression in these cell lines caused an increase in protein levels of MTA2, a core subunit of the NuRD

**Figure 2. MBD3 Is Required for Efficient iPSC Generation**

(A) Quantification of iPSC colonies generated from Mbd3<sup>−/-</sup>, Mbd3<sup>+/−</sup>, and Mbd3<sup>−/−</sup>:Mbd3<sup>−/−</sup> preiPSCs after 2i/LIF culture for 12 days. Colony number is per 1.0 × 10<sup>4</sup> preiPSCs.

(B) qRT-PCR analysis of retroviral transgenes. Mbd3, and pluripotency-associated factors in preiPSCs and corresponding derived iPSCs. qRT-PCR values are normalized to Gapdh value and shown as related to the highest value.

(C) Mbd3<sup>−/-</sup>:Cre-ERT2 iNSCs were transduced with rMKO and rGFP, maintained in Egf+Fgf2 medium for 3 days, switched to S+LIF for 4 more days to allow preiPSC emergence, and then switched to 2i/LIF conditions to induce iPSC formation. 4-OHT was added at different time points (before or after preiPSC emergence) to induce Mbd3-floxed alleles excision. The encircled numbers correspond to different conditions. At day 20 after transfection, GFP<sup>+</sup> iPSC colonies were counted and subsequently stained for AP. The number of colonies is presented per 7.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> NSCs. The error bars indicate SD/DEV.
complex degraded in the absence of MBD3 (Figures 4D and 4H) (Kaji et al., 2006). This suggests that the effects of MBD3 overexpression are potentially attributable to the total amount, and subsequently total activity, of the NuRD complex. We also found that EpiSCs overexpressing both Nanog and MBD3 showed a 30-fold increase in the ability to generate iPSCs relative to a Nanog only control (Figures 4K–4N). Importantly, all iPSCs generated by the overexpression of NANOG and MBD3 exhibited the molecular properties expected for naive pluripotent cells (Figures S4C–S4F) as well as chimera and germline competence after the excision of reprogramming transgenes (Figures 4J and 4O). We did not see reprogramming synergy with the NuRD complex for two other known reprogramming factors, KLF4 and NR5A2 (Figure 4P and Figure S4G).

The MBD3 isoform that we used for the rescue and overexpression experiments was MBD3B, the most abundant isoform by protein levels in ESCs (Figure S4K). In contrast to MBD3B, we found that MBD3C did not synergize with NANOG (Figure 4Q and Figures S4H–S4J). The two isoforms differ in only the first 60 N-terminal amino acids (Figure S4H), indicating that this region is of importance for NANOG-dependent MBD3 ability to facilitate reprogramming.

These results demonstrate that MBD3 overexpression does not impair induction of naive pluripotency and that it can in fact facilitate reprogramming in conjunction with enhanced NANOG expression.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have identified a positive facilitator role for MBD3/NuRD in transcription-factor-mediated reprogramming of NSCs and EpiSCs. In our analyses, we found that genetic
or siRNA-mediated depletion of Mbd3 led to a reduction in the efficiency of reprogramming in these contexts, but not in reprogramming of MEFs. More specifically, we found through time course experiments that MBD3/NuRD function is particularly important during the initiation phase of reprogramming of NSCs and is more dispensable in the later stages when the pluripotency network is becoming more stably established.

We also found that MBD3 overexpression, with resulting higher levels of the NuRD complex, facilitates reprogramming of MEF-derived preiPSCs and EpiSCs when combined with expression of NANOG, but not with other tested reprogramming factors, which is consistent with previous observations that NuRD complex subunits are high confidence protein interactors of both OCT4 and NANOG (Costa et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2012; Gagliardi et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2008; Pardo et al., 2010; van den Berg et al., 2010). In our experiments the N terminus of the MBD3B isoform, which has previously been suggested to be required for protein-protein interactions (Aguijera et al., 2011), appeared to be required for the observed synergetic effect with NANOG in reprogramming (Figure 4Q). In the future we will aim to understand how NANOG and MBD3 work together to drive cells (preiPSCs) that are arrested in the reprogramming process toward pluripotency.

Our data suggest that the NuRD complex might be facilitating gene activation during reprogramming. Interestingly, MBD3 was recently shown to localize to the regulatory sequences of active genes (Günther et al., 2013; Reynolds et al., 2013; Shimbo et al., 2013), including ESC super-enhancers (Hnisz et al., 2013). Moreover, genome-wide expression analysis revealed that 61% of differentially expressed genes are downregulated after Mbd3 deletion in ESCs (Reynolds et al., 2012b). Although some of this decrease in transcription might be due to indirect effects, it seems likely that the NuRD complex acts at enhancers as a mediator of transcription-factor-induced gene activation and thus could also interact with pluripotency factors such as NANOG to support genome-wide reprogramming. In addition, NuRD has been proposed to mediate transient mTOR downregulation and subsequent activation of autophagy, a key step during early stages of reprogramming (Wang et al., 2013).

Our results are in apparent disagreement with two recent reports that suggested an inhibitory role for MBD3 in reprogramming (Luo et al., 2013; Rais et al., 2013), including one (Rais et al., 2013) that argued that reduction or deletion of Mbd3 leads to rapid deterministic reprogramming with 100% efficiency. There are a number of differences between our study and these two previous reports, including the choice of reprogramming cassettes and the reprogramming culture conditions. In contrast to our study, Rais et al. (2013) used a secondary system for somatic cell reprogramming and lentiviral cassette delivery, and it has been reported that both of these factors influence iPSC generation efficiency (Stadtfeld and Hochedlinger, 2010). Moreover, distinct reprogramming factor stoichiometry can provide varying intracellular environments, which may show different dependencies on MBD3 activity for reprogramming. In addition, in our hands heterozygous Mbd3flox–/– ESCs express MBD3 at nearly wild-type levels (Figures S4K–S4M; Reynolds et al., 2012b), but Rais et al. (2013) reported that their Mbd3flox–/– ESCs expressed MBD3 at 20% of wild-type levels. Further examination of these and other practical and procedural differences between our study and the previous work should help clarify the basis of the apparent differences seen.

Overall, taking into account the results that we report here and previous studies, our conclusion is that at least in some contexts MBD3/NuRD plays a positive role in reprogramming, and that loss of MBD3 expression leads to a reduction in the efficiency of the reprogramming process.

NuRD plays well-documented roles in controlling gene expression and developmental transitions in a wide variety of different metazoan systems (Ahringer, 2000; McDonel et al., 2009; Reynolds et al., 2013). MBD3 is known to be required for embryonic development and pluripotent cell differentiation (Kaji et al., 2006, 2007), and the composition of the complex or specific interactions of its individual subunits may regulate different aspects of its function (Allen et al., 2013; Reynolds et al., 2013). Further insights into the function of the NuRD complex during different cell state transitions will help us understand the process of induced pluripotency as well as embryonic development.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture

Platinum-E, preiPSCs, and MEFs were cultured in GMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FCS, 1× NEAA, 1× Pen/Strep, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 20 mg/ml of LIF (homemade), indicated as S+LIF medium throughout. ESCs and iPSCs were maintained in N2B27-based medium (DMEM/F12 and Neurobasal [both Life Technologies] in 1:1 ratio, 1× Pen/Strep, 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1:200 N2 [PAA], and 1:100 B27 [Life Technologies]) supplemented 20 ng/ml of LIF and 2 inhibitors: CHIR99021 (9 μM) and PD0325901 (1 μM), indicated as 2i/LIF throughout (Ying et al., 2008). NSCs were cultured in DMEM/F12 (GIBCO) supplemented with 1× NEAA, 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1× Pen/Strep, 1:100 B27, 1:200 N2 supplement, 4.5 μM HEPES, 0.03 M glucose, 120 μg/ml BSA, 10 ng/ml of Egf (Peprotech), and 20 ng/ml of Fgf2 (homemade), indicated as Egf+Fgf2 medium throughout. EpiSCs were maintained in N2B27-based medium containing 12 ng/ml of Fgf2 and 20 ng/ml of Activin A (homemade), indicated as Fgf2/Act.A medium throughout. EpiSCs and NSCs were cultured on plastic coated with fibronectin (10 μg/ml, Millipore) or laminin (10 μg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich), respectively. All other cell types were grown on gelatine. All cell types were maintained at 7% CO₂. For Cre-mediated transgene excision, cells were treated with 500 nM of 4-OHT.

Derivation of Cell Lines

NSCs

Brains from Mbd3floxed and Mbd3fl/+/floxed E13.5 embryos were dissected, dissociated in Egf+Fgf2 medium, and plated onto the laminin-coated cell culture flasks. Mbd3fl–/– NSCs were derived from ESCs as described (Pollard et al., 2006). Briefly, ESCs were seeded on gelatinized 10 cm dishes in N2B27 medium for 7 days. After this period, cells were trypsinized and plated on nongelatinized dishes for 3 days in Egf+Fgf2 medium. The emergent neurospheres were then seeded on gelatinized plates and maintained in monolayer in Egf+Fgf2 medium. For Cre-excision of the Mbd3 floxed allele, Mbd3fl–/– NSCs were nucleofected with a pCA-G-Cre-ires-Puro plasmid and clonal lines of Mbd3fl–/– NSCs were expanded.

MEFs

Organ-deprived carcasses from E12.5 or E13.5 embryos were dissected into small pieces, trypsinized, and plated in S+LIF medium.

EpiSCs

EpiSCs were derived from ESCs as previously described (Gu et al., 2009). Briefly, ESCs were transfected with pBP-EOS-GFP-ires-Puro (EOS-GFP; GFPiresPuro under the control of early transposon promoter and Oct4 and Sox2 enhancers) were cultured in Fgf2/Act.A medium
Figure 4. Overexpression of MBD3/NuRD Facilitates NANOG-Mediated Reprogramming

(A) Experimental design used to address the effect of MBD3 overexpression on NSC reprogramming. NSCs carrying an Oct4-GFP cassette were stably transfected with pPB-CAG-Nanog and pPB-CAG-Mbd3b or pPB-CAG-empty controls, transduced with rOKM, cultured in Egf+Fgf2 medium for 3 days, switched to S+LIF medium for 6 days, and then switched to 2i/LIF conditions.

(B) Quantification of Oct4-GFP+ colonies after 12 days in 2i/LIF conditions. Colony number is per 1.0 x 10^5 NSCs.

(C) Phase and GFP images and AP staining of the iPSCs obtained from NSCs overexpressing respective transgenes.

(legend continued on next page)
for at least 10 passages before analysis. To obtain a pure EpiSC culture, GFP+ cells were removed by FACS.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information for this article includes four figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.04.019.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge N. Reynolds and J. Ramalho-Santos for advice and helpful discussions. We are also grateful to Y. Costa for critical reading of the manuscript. This study was supported by a Wellcome Trust Fellowship (WT101861), an ERC starting grant, and the Anne Rowling Clinic. J.C.R.S. and B.H. are Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellows in the Basic Biomedical Sciences. R.L.S. is a recipient of a Ph.D. fellowship from the Portuguese Foundation for Sciences and Technology, FCT (SFRH/BD/51198/2010). L.T. is a recipient of a Ph.D. fellowship from The College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh.

Received: January 8, 2014
Revised: March 27, 2014
Accepted: April 24, 2014
Published: May 15, 2014

REFERENCES

Aguilera, C., Nakagawa, K., Sancho, R., Chakraborty, A., Hendrich, B., and Behrens, A. (2011). c-Jun N-terminal phosphorylation antagonises recruitment of the Mbd3/NuRD repressor complex. Nature 469, 231–235.
Ahringer, J. (2000). NuRD and SIN3 histone deacetylase complexes in development. Trends Genet. 16, 351–356.
Allen, H.F., Wade, P.A., and Kutateladze, T.G. (2013). The NuRD architecture. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 70, 3513–3524.
Apostolou, E., and Hochedlinger, K. (2013). Chromatin dynamics during cellular reprogramming. Nature 502, 462–471.
Costa, Y., Ding, J., Theunissen, T.W., Faiola, F., Hore, T.A., Shliaha, P.V., Fidalgo, M., Saunders, A., Lawrence, M., Dietmann, S., et al. (2013). NANOG-dependent function of TET1 and TET2 in establishment of pluripotency. Nature 495, 370–374.
Ding, J., Xu, H., Faiola, F., Ma’ayan, A., and Wang, J. (2012). Oct4 links multiple epigenetic pathways to the pluripotency network. Cell Res. 22, 155–167.
Gagliardi, A., Mullin, N.P., Ying Tan, Z., Colby, D., Kousa, A.I., Halbritter, F., Weiss, J.T., Felker, A., Bezstarosti, K., Favaro, R., et al. (2013). A direct physical interaction between Nanog and Sox2 regulates embryonic stem cell self-renewal. EMBO J. 32, 2221–2247.
Günther, K., Rust, M., Leers, J., Boettger, T., Scharfe, M., Jarek, M., Bartkuhn, M., and Renkawitz, R. (2013). Differential roles for MBD2 and MBD3 at methylated CpG islands, active promoters and binding to exon sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, 3010–3021.
Guo, G., Yang, J., Nichols, J., Hall, J.S., Eyres, I., Mansfield, W., and Smith, A. (2009). Kif4 reverses developmentally programmed restriction of ground state pluripotency. Development 136, 1063–1069.
Hendrich, B., Guy, J., Ramsahoye, B., Wilson, V.A., and Bird, A. (2001). Closely related proteins MBD2 and MBD3 play distinct but interacting roles in mouse development. Genes Dev. 15, 710–723.
Hnisz, D., Abraham, B.J., Lee, T.I., Lau, A., Saint-André, V., Sigova, A.A., Hoke, H.A., and Young, R.A. (2013). Super-enhancers in the control of cell identity and disease. Cell 155, 934–947.
Kají, K., Caballero, I.M., MacLeod, R., Nichols, J., Wilson, V.A., and Hendrich, B. (2006). The NuRD component Mbd3 is required for pluripotency of embryonic stem cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 285–292.
Kají, K., Nichols, J., and Hendrich, B. (2007). Mbd3, a component of the NuRD co-repressor complex, is required for development of pluripotent cells. Development 134, 1123–1132.
Kají, K., Norry, K., Paca, A., Mileikovsky, M., Mohseni, P., and Woltjen, K. (2009). Virus-free induction of pluripotency and subsequent excision of reprogramming factors. Nature 458, 771–775.
Kim, J.B., Sebastiano, V., Wu, G., Araúzo-Bravo, M.J., Sasse, P., Gentile, L., Ko, K., Ruau, D., Ehrich, M., van den Boom, D., et al. (2009). Oct4-induced pluripotency in adult neural stem cells. Cell 136, 411–419.
Lai, A.Y., and Wade, P.A. (2011). Cancer biology and NuRD: a multifaceted chromatin remodelling complex. Nat. Rev. Cancer 11, 588–596.
Liang, J., Wan, M., Zhang, Y., Gu, P.L., Xin, H.W., Jung, S.Y., Qin, J., Wong, J.M., Cooney, A.J., Liu, D., and Songyang, Z. (2008). Nanog and Oct4 are key factors that regulate self-renewal in mouse ES cells. Nature 458, 155–167.
Liang, J., Wan, M., Zhang, Y., Gu, P.L., Xin, H.W., Jung, S.Y., Qin, J., Wong, J.M., Cooney, A.J., Liu, D., and Songyang, Z. (2008). Nanog and Oct4 facilitate self-renewal and retention of pluripotency in mouse ES cells. Nature 458, 155–167.
Liang, J., Wan, M., Zhang, Y., Gu, P.L., Xin, H.W., Jung, S.Y., Qin, J., Wong, J.M., Cooney, A.J., Liu, D., and Songyang, Z. (2008). Nanog and Oct4 are key factors that regulate self-renewal in mouse ES cells. Nature 458, 155–167.
Liang, J., Wan, M., Zhang, Y., Gu, P.L., Xin, H.W., Jung, S.Y., Qin, J., Wong, J.M., Cooney, A.J., Liu, D., and Songyang, Z. (2008). Nanog and Oct4 are key factors that regulate self-renewal in mouse ES cells. Nature 458, 155–167.
Liang, J., Wan, M., Zhang, Y., Gu, P.L., Xin, H.W., Jung, S.Y., Qin, J., Wong, J.M., Cooney, A.J., Liu, D., and Songyang, Z. (2008). Nanog and Oct4 are key factors that regulate self-renewal in mouse ES cells. Nature 458, 155–167.
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