Pleomorphic Adenoma of Palatal Minor Salivary Glands with Bone Infiltration: Case Report with Long-term Follow-up
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Abstract

Intraoral pleomorphic adenoma is a rare entity, with the most common site of appearance being the palate. As a benign lesion, it rarely involves underlying structures. The aim is to present a case of a palatal pleomorphic adenoma (cellular) with bone involvement, with reference to clinical and histological features of the tumour, emphasis on diagnostic and therapeutic management and comparison with the findings of similar studies in the literature. Pleomorphic adenomas of the palatal minor salivary glands remain a rather rare clinical condition, and it is unusual to infiltrate the adjacent palatal bone. A thorough clinical imaging and histological/cytological examination should always be performed to define the benign or malignant nature of the lesion, preoperatively.
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Introduction

The palate is the most common site for intraoral pleomorphic adenoma (PA) with a female predilection and a distribution from 20 to 79 years of age (Wu, 2019).

Pleomorphic adenoma is the most common benign tumour of the salivary glands. Major salivary glands, especially the parotid, are the most common site, while minor salivary glands can attribute to 7% of the affected glands.

Clinically, it is usually presented as a firm, painless submucosal mass, slowly increasing in size with age. Occasionally, mucosal ulceration may be present [1]. The delay of diagnosis and management is highly attributed to their indolent, asymptomatic nature.

Case Presentation

A 71-year-old female patient was referred to our outpatient clinic. She reported a left-sided palatal lump, first appearing 15 years ago, with gradual growth.

Clinical intraoral examination revealed a sizeable well circumscribed projecting mass of her left side posterior palatal mucosa (Fig. 1). The overlying mucosa was normal with no evidence of any ulceration. The mass was firm and painless to palpation.

CT scan revealed a 30 × 30 × 40 mm osteolytic lesion involving the left palatal and maxillary alveolar bone, invading to the ipsilateral maxillary sinus and nasal cavities with well-defined peripheral boundaries, alike benign lesions (Fig. 2).

The lesion underwent an FNA cytologic examination preoperatively with no signs of malignancy.

The aim of this paper is to present a case of palatal cellular pleomorphic adenoma of a minor salivary gland with the relatively rare finding of the underlying bone involvement.
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resected on surgical specimen (Fig. 4). The intraoperative subsequent oro-antral communication was closed via first aim surgical wound closure. Postsurgical period was uneventful, and the patient followed a regular 5-year clinical and imaging follow-up schedule (Fig. 5). Six years after resection, no recurrence evidence has occurred.

The surgical specimen was sent for pathological examination. The final diagnosis was that of cellular pleomorphic adenoma of palatal minor salivary glands with extension to the underlying bone.

Microscopically, the lesion corresponded to a neoplasm of relatively high cellularity composed of columnar and cuboidal cells with epithelial and myoepithelial features. The neoplastic cells comprised eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm and oval or roundish nuclei, without any significant pleomorphism. The architectural pattern of the epithelial/myoepithelial component was tubular, glandular, and cribriform, in cords, islets, and groups. The stroma was fibrous, hyalinized with sparse psammoma bodies. Mitoses were rare. The periphery of the lesion was surrounded by hyalinized, fibrous band, with the presence of lobules of minor salivary glands (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Pleomorphic adenoma is considered the most common benign tumour of all salivary glands. Accounting minor salivary glands, more than 80% of arising tumours are malignant [2].

In a case series published by Vicente et al., the hard palate is the most common site of pleomorphic adenoma in minor salivary glands, comprising almost half of all cases (42.5%). Other intraoral sites are less common, such as lip mucosa, soft palate, and buccal mucosa. Extraorally, head and neck pleomorphic adenomas have been described to be in the sinuses or the pharynx and larynx. Even a pulmonary pleomorphic adenoma has been described in the literature [3].
A combination of proper preoperative imaging and cytol-
ogy/histopathology testing should be evaluated, favouring
the definition of the management plan.

FNA cytology is a routine procedure for salivary lesion
identification. Research shows a high sensitivity but low
specificity in benign tumours, and thus, the evaluation of the
result in pleomorphic adenoma is considered controversial.

Needle core biopsy technique provides higher specificity
compared to FNA cytology, being sometimes able to insinu-
ate possible metastatic origin of the tumour.

CT and MRI scans are used for the definition of the
lesion’s size, type of boundaries, and possible expansion to
adjacent tissues and structures. In our case, CT (CBCT in
particular) was preferred since the clinically suspected bone
erosion would be better evaluated, compared to MRI.

In our case, based on the benign clinical features of a
chronic increasing in size lesion, accompanied by imaging
findings of well-defined peripheral boundaries plus preop-
erative FNA biopsy negative result for malignancy, we per-
formed a pericapsular tumour excision with a mild extension
of bone cuff resection.

In general, bone infiltration is considered a rather rare
complication of benign tumours, and especially pleomor-
phic adenomas. A recent study of case series from India,
describes 4 patients with full thickness erosion of the max-
illary bone, treated with wide excision [4]. After 1 year of
follow-up, no recurrence was recorded. Another recent study
of case series records a 66.7% rate of patients (12 out of 18)
with palatal pleomorphic adenomas, without evident bone
infiltration [1]. No recurrence was also recorded in a 4-year
follow-up, excluding a patient with a ruptured tumour intra-
operatively, who obviously had a recurrence. A large case
series, studying 74 palatal pleomorphic adenomas, does not
record any bone involvement [5].

A possible theory that may arise from our case report is
that a chronically evolving (long standing) tumour may erode
the adjacent bone in such an extent that its total destruction
by tumour infiltration can be the result.

A long interval between the appearance of first symptoms
and diagnosis, as in our case, contributes to bone erosion and
further infiltration due to mechanical pressure by the tumour.

Differential diagnosis, in the first place, comprises enti-
ties that are encountered at minor salivary gland sites shar-
ing common features with the given tumour. Tumours that
almost exclusively affect minor salivary glands are low-
grade polymorphous adenocarcinoma, cribriform adenocar-
cinoma of minor salivary glands, clear cell carcinoma, and
canalicular adenoma. On the other hand, mucoepidermoid
carcinoma and adenoid cystic carcinoma still may affect
both major and minor salivary glands [6]. In PAs, squamous
metaplasia sometimes is the result of FNA or trauma and
should be evaluated with caution in the differential diagnosis
with SCC or MEC.

On histological grounds, PAs show a broad range of
morphological patterns with the main feature being that
of a biphasic tumour, composed of luminal ductal and
abluminal myoepithelial components of varying propor-
tions [7]. Architectural structures of neoplastic cells, as
well as the stroma, may show large morphological vari-
ety. Depending on the prevailing histologic elements of

Fig. 3 Intraoperative image of the raised flaps and the lesion

Fig. 4 Surgical specimen, the lesion was excised attached to the alve-
olar bone

Fig. 5 The 5-year follow-up shows no sign of recurrence
the neoplasm, the differential diagnosis should be made accordingly by an experienced pathologist.

Even the evidence of atypia or vascular invasion can be seen, mimicking malignant transformation, but these features may still be acceptable for adenomas under the appropriate histological settings. Bone involvement is not considered a typical finding. A translocation between genes PLAG1 and HMGA2 is associated with the incidence of pleomorphic adenomas [7]. This is a possible diagnostic key examination for difficult cases.

As of any pleomorphic adenoma, a complete excision of the lesion is the standard of care in suspected pleomorphic adenomas of the palate. High recurrence is recorded in case of enucleation of the lesion or dissection of the capsule and tumour spillage intraoperatively. Bone removal is strongly recommended if the bone is infiltrated. Since malignant transformation of PAs (carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma) is recorded in an incidence of 6%, it is of outmost importance to safely excise the entire lesion [8].

Concluding, pleomorphic adenomas of the palatal minor salivary glands remain a rather rare clinical condition, and it is unusual to infiltrate the adjacent palatal bone. A thorough clinical imaging and histological/cytological examination should always be performed to define the benign or malignant nature of the lesion, preoperatively. Adequate excision with adequate margins and in toto excision of the tumour is important for minimizing the recurrence rate and malignant transformation. Long-term follow-up is recommended.
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