Intact Functional Fourteen-subunit Respiratory Membrane-bound [NiFe]-Hydrogenase Complex of the Hyperthermophilic Archaeon Pyrococcus furiosus
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Background: The hydrogen-evolving membrane-bound hydrogenase (MBH) functions as a simple respiratory system in anaerobic microbes.

Results: Affinity-tagged MBH was solubilized from membranes of a hyperthermophile as an intact 14-subunit complex. Solubilized MBH was catalytically active, and a structural model based on small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) was obtained.

Conclusion: The successful purification of a respiratory hydrogenase has enabled biochemical and structural studies.

The archaeon Pyrococcus furiosus grows optimally at 100 °C by converting carbohydrates to acetate, CO₂, and H₂, obtaining energy from a respiratory membrane-bound hydrogenase (MBH). This conserves energy by coupling H₂ production to oxidation of reduced ferredoxin with generation of a sodium ion gradient. MBH is encoded by a 14-gene operon with both hydrogenase and Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter modules. Herein a His-tagged MBH was expressed in P. furiosus and the detergent-solubilized complex purified under anaerobic conditions by affinity chromatography. Purified MBH contains all 14 subunits by electrophoretic analysis (13 subunits were also identified by mass spectrometry) and had a measured iron:nickel ratio of 15:1, resembling the predicted value of 13:1. The as-purified enzyme exhibited a rhombic EPR signal characteristic of the ready nickel-boron state. The purified and membrane-bound forms of MBH both preferentially evolved H₂ with the physiological donor (reduced ferredoxin) as well as with standard dyes. The O₂ sensitivities of the two forms were similar (half-lives of ~15 h in air), but the purified enzyme was more thermolabile (half-lives of 90 °C of 1 and 25 h, respectively). Structural analysis of purified MBH by small angle x-ray scattering indicated a Z-shaped structure with a mass of 310 kDa, resembling the predicted value (298 kDa). The angle x-ray scattering analyses reinforce and extend the conserved sequence relationships of group 4 enzymes and complex I (NADH quinone oxidoreductase). This is the first report on the properties of a solubilized form of an intact respiratory MBH complex that is proposed to evolve H₂ and pump Na⁺ ions.

Over the past decade there has been a major initiative to generate alternative nonfossil fuels to fulfill increasingly critical energy needs. However, such fuels must be energy efficient as well as carbon neutral, and biohydrogen production can meet these criteria (1). Hydrogen is metabolized by microbes from all three domains of life (2). Notably, they all contain the enzyme hydrogenase that functions to catalyze the reversible reduction of protons to molecular hydrogen (H₂). Hydrogenases are classified based on the metal content of their active site into the [NiFe]-hydrogenase, [FeFe]-hydrogenase, and the [FeS]-cluster-free hydrogenases. The [NiFe]-hydrogenases are ubiquitous in the microbial world and have been extensively studied from numerous mesophilic bacteria (3–5). The minimum structure is a heterodimer composed of a large and small subunit. The large subunit contains the [NiFe] catalytic site that is coordinated by the sulfur atoms of four cysteine residues organized into two CXXC motifs near the N and C termini. The [NiFe] active site has been extensively studied by EPR spectroscopy (6). The small subunit typically contains three iron-sulfur clusters invariably of the [4Fe-4S] type that shuttle electrons between an acceptor/donor for the enzyme and its active site. [NiFe]-hydrogenases are classified into four groups based on the phylogeny of their catalytic subunits (2). Crystal structures for [NiFe]-hydrogenases are available for group 1 hydrogenases (7, 8), but no structural information is available for the other three hydrogenase groups.

The least studied of the [NiFe]-hydrogenases fall into group 4, and they are defined as the H₂-evolving energy-conserving membrane-associated hydrogenases (2). These group 4 enzymes show little sequence similarity to other [NiFe]-hydrogenases, except for the conserved residues that bind the [NiFe] catalytic site and its proximal [4Fe-4S] cluster (9, 10), indicating...
a distinct evolutionary history (11). Group 4 hydrogenases play an important role in conserving energy by establishing ion gradients across membranes that can be used to generate ATP. These enzymes are much more complex than the characterized dimeric hydrogenases and contain at least six subunits. The simplest members include the seven-subunit hydrogenase 3 from *Escherichia coli*, which oxidizes formate and evolves H₂ (12, 13), the eight-subunit CO-induced hydrogenase of some CO-oxidizing bacteria that conserve energy from coupling the oxidation of CO to H₂ production (10, 14), and the six-subunit “energy-conserving” hydrogenase from the archaeon *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* (23), which functions in methanogenesis (15, 16).

More complex members of the group 4 enzymes include the 18-subunit formate dehydrogenase (FDH) system from *Thermotoga maritima* (17), which oxidizes formate and evolves hydrogen (80 °C). Six subunits conserved within the group 4 hydrogenases are homologous to six subunits found in the catalytic core of the ubiquitous aerobic respiratory complex MBH, only *P. furiosus* MBH catalyzes H₂ production using reduced ferredoxin generated from sugar oxidation, as the electron donor (11). Previous studies of *P. furiosus* MBH showed that it is encoded by a 14-gene operon (*mbhA–N*; PF1423–PF1436; see Fig. 1). Six of the last seven genes in the operon are homologous to those encoding the “core” subunits of complex I (*mbhH and J–N*; see Fig. 1), whereas the other eight subunits (*mbhA–H*) are homologous to subunits of the Mrp monovalent cation/proton antiporter of some mesophilic bacteria (23). *MbhH* does not have homology to either complex I subunits or the Mrp subunit. *MbhH* has homology to both the Mrp subunit and the Mrp antiporter of some mesophilic bacteria (23). *MbhH* does not have homology to either complex I subunits or the Mrp subunits, but *MbhH* has homology to both. Mrp catalyzes the efflux of monovalent cations, such as Na⁺, K⁺, and Li⁺, outward in a coupled reaction that transports protons inwards. Of the 14 subunits of MBH, only *mbhJKLN* are predicted not to encode transmembrane helices (22, 24). *MbhJ* and *MbhN* are proposed to contain one and two [4Fe-4S] clusters, respectively, where *MbhJ* is the equivalent of the small subunit of the group 1 dimeric [NiFe]-hydrogenases (see Fig. 1). *MbhKL* are the equivalent to the large subunit and contain the [NiFe] active site, with the four Cys residues provided by *MbhL*.

The respiratory function of MBH was demonstrated by adding reduced ferredoxin to inverted membrane vesicles of *P. furiosus*, whereby H₂, ATP, and an electrochemical gradient were formed (25). Inhibition studies established that MBH evolved H₂, whereas a membrane-bound ATP synthase produced ATP. The much lower reduction potential of ferredoxin (-454 mV (26)) compared with NADH (-320 mV) makes ferredoxin a more thermodynamically favorable electron donor for H₂ production and allows energy to be conserved by a respiratory mechanism (25). Because *P. furiosus* ATP synthase uses Na⁺ ions rather than protons (27) and the MBH complex encodes a Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter (Mrp), it is thought that the hydrogenase module of MBH evolves H₂ and generates a proton gradient, whereas the Mrp module transports protons into a Na⁺ gradient that in turn drives ATP synthesis via ATP synthase (11). Interestingly, MBH in isolated *P. furiosus* membranes is almost exclusively unidirectional in favor of H₂ production in standard *in vitro* assays (28). This is remarkable because other [NiFe]-hydrogenases preferentially catalyze H₂ oxidation, usually by orders of magnitude (2).

This work defines biochemical and structural information on the solubilized and intact 14-subunit MBH complex of *P. furiosus*. We employed the recent development of genetic tools in this organism (28) that have enabled, for example, its cytoplasmic [NiFe]-hydrogenase to be affinity-labeled, overexpressed, and purified by affinity chromatography (29, 30). Herein we demonstrate that the same strategy can be applied to the MBH complex and show that the purification efficiency depends on the location of the affinity tag on the complex. This is the first report of the affinity purification and characterization of an entire respiratory system from an archaeon as a single intact complex.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Generation of *P. furiosus* Strains Expressing Affinity-tagged MBH—A competent strain of *P. furiosus* (COM1) was used to manipulate the MBH operon (28). A one-step marked knock-in genetic protocol was used in which a polyhistidine (His₉) affinity tag was inserted at the C terminus of the last gene in the operon (*mbhN*, PF1436) yielding strain MW0403 (see Fig. 2A) or within the operon at the N terminus of *mbhJ* (PF1432) yielding strain MW0414 (see Fig. 2B). The knock-in cassette, which contains the selectable marker and the strong constitutive promoter of the gene encoding the S-layer protein (P_{slp}) with an in frame His₉ tag, was generated by using overlapping PCR (31) and Prime Star HS polymerase premix (Clontech) was used to make the knock-in cassette. *PyrF* was the selectable marker and was placed under the control of the glutamate dehydrogenase promoter (P_{gdh}). In strain MW0403, expression of the MBH operon was controlled by the native *mbhJ* promoter, whereas in strain MW0414, the expression of *mbhJ* and the subsequent five genes (*mbhK–N*) was under control of P_{slp}. Generation of MW0414 required the marker cassette to be placed in front of *mbhJ* within the MBH operon (see Fig. 2B). Expression of MBH, where *mbhN* was tagged, was under control of the native *mbhJ* promoter, and the tag was placed at the C terminus of the operon (see Fig. 2A). All transformants were PCR screened for correct insertion, and the PCR product was sequenced (Macrogen, MD).

**Membrane Preparation**—Cells were lysed using 50 mm EPPS (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinepropanesulfonic acid; Sigma-D”的插图。
Aldrich), pH 8.0, containing 50 μg/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich), and 2 mM DTT (Inalco, Milano, Italy) in a 5:1 ratio of buffer to cells in an anaerobic chamber (Coy, Grass Lake, MI). After 2 h of incubation at 23 °C, cells were passed twice through a French press at a pressure of 1000 p.s.i. The cell lysate was then centrifuged in a Beckman-Coulter Optima L-90K ultracentrifuge at 100,000 × g for 1 h. The supernatant was removed, and the membrane pellet was resuspended in wash buffer (50 mM EPPS, pH 8.0, containing 5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol (all obtained from J. T. Baker), 2 mM DTT, and 0.1 mM PMSF. The membrane pellet was homogenized using 15-ml Pyrex tissue grinders (Pyrex), and the pellet was collected by ultracentrifugation. The washing procedure was repeated twice more, and the pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (Sigma), pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 2 mM DTT, and 0.1 mM PMSF (resuspension buffer).

**Membrane Solubilization by Different Detergents**—The detergents tested to solubilize MBH were Cymol, Fos-Choline, sodium deoxycholate, N-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (Affymetrix), Triton X-114, Triton X-100 (Bio-Rad). For Cymol, Fos-Choline, Triton X-114, Triton X-100, and N-dodecyl-β-D-malto-side, the detergent was added to a final concentration of 2% (w/v) to washed membranes (6 mg of detergent/mg of membrane protein), and the suspension was incubated for 16 h at 40 °C. The same procedure was used for sodium deoxycholate except that the incubation time was 2 h. Concentrations of 5, 10, and 20% (w/v) Triton X-100 were used, and incubations were carried out at both 4 and 40 °C.

**Affinity Purification of MBH**—All purification steps were carried out anaerobically using a Coy anaerobic chamber. Triton X-100 (10%, w/v) was incubated with washed membranes (30 mg of detergent/mg of membrane protein) for 16 h at 4 °C. The sample was centrifuged in a Beckman-Coulter Optima L-90K ultracentrifuge at 100,000 × g for 1 h. The supernatant was collected, diluted to twice the volume with buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 400 mM NaCl, 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100, and 4 mM DTT) and applied to a 5-ml FF His-Trap Ni-NTA column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with buffer A, and the bound protein was eluted with a 20-column volume gradient from 100% buffer A to 100% buffer B (buffer A containing 500 mM imidazole).

**Superose 6 Analysis for Determination of MBH Molecular Weight**—The molecular weight of the purified MBH sample was analyzed using a calibrated 24-ml Superose 6 30/100 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 300 mM NaCl, 0.02% (w/v) Triton X-100 and 2 mM sodium dithionite). MBH purified from the Superose 6 column was used for the SDS-PAGE gel analysis.

**SAXS Data**—Small angle x-ray scattering was collected at the SIBYLS beamline at the Advanced Light Source (Berkeley, CA) as described (32, 33). The sample was buffer exchanged using an Amicon Ultra centrifugal concentrator (Millipore) in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 400 mM NaCl, 0.02% (w/v) Triton X-100, and 4 mM DTT. Thus, Triton X concentration was below the critical micelle concentration. A sample was collected both before and after buffer equilibration. Subtraction of either buffer sample yielded identical results to within experimental error (~1% of signal). For anaerobic data collection, samples were handled in a positive pressure helium box (containing less than 0.01% O₂). MBH was prepared at 5.0, 3.3, and 1.6 mg/ml. The resulting samples were exposed for 0.5, 0.5, 2, and 4 s for data collection. Minor concentration dependence was observed and corrected for by extrapolating to zero concentration. The MBH samples were placed 1.5 m from a MAR165 CCD detector arranged coaxial with the 12 keV monochromatic beam; 10²⁵ photons/second were impinging on the sample. The spot size at the sample was 4 × 1 mm convergent to a 100 μm spot at the detector. Buffer subtraction and raw image data were integrated by beamline software specific for this arrangement (33). Scattering data were plotted on log of x-ray intensity scale versus momentum transfer (q) in inverse Å, where q = (4 π sin(θ/2))/λ, θ is the scattering angle relative to the incident beam, and λ is the wavelength. Processing of SAXS data was conducted utilizing the Scatter package. GNOM was utilized to extract the P(r) function (34).

The scattering profile used to calculate the pair distribution function and subsequently in GASBOR (35) was a merged profile combining all four exposures from all concentrations. The two 0.5-s exposures for each concentration were referenced against one another to check for radiation damage. Because none was observed, the two exposures were averaged. The longer exposures were used to reduce noise in the high q region. Once each individual concentration had been merged, the three concentrations were used to apply a concentration-dependent correction. Ten GASBOR calculations generated 10 models from the scattering curve, which were averaged and filtered together using the GASBOR-associated package DAMAVER. The DAMAVER reported normalized spatial discrepancy, which measures agreement between models, was 2.1. The individual models are shown in the supplemental materials, and all support an elongated and asymmetric molecule (supplemental Table S1 and supplemental Figs. S1–S3).

**Other Methods**—Hydrogenase assays were performed at 80 °C, and H₂ was measured using an Agilent Technologies 6850 gas chromatograph. H₂ evolution activity was determined using dithionite-reduced methyl viologen (Sigma-Aldrich) as the electron donor (29) or P. furiosus ferredoxin reduced by P. furiosus pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase. The pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase-linked assay contained 100 mM EPPS, pH 8.4, 10 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.2 mM coenzyme A, 0.4 mM TPP, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase (30 μg/ml), and ferredoxin (100 μg/ml). Methyl viologen was used as the electron acceptor in the hydrogen oxidation assays. Oxygen sensitivity assays were carried out by exposing the MBH sample (100 μg/ml) in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 400 mM NaCl, and 4 mM DTT to air while shaking (30 rpm), and samples were taken at 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 h to determine residual hydrogenase activity. Thermal stability at 90 °C was carried out in the same fashion except that the samples were maintained under anaerobic conditions.

Purified MBH complexes were analyzed by electrophoresis using 4–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen) and 4–20% Tris-glycine NUSEP gels (Bio-Rad). Bands were cut from the SDS-PAGE gel and were analyzed by MALDI-TOF. Purified MBH was also digested in solution with trypsin overnight and identified using two-dimensional LC-MS/MS. Nickel and iron
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were measured using an octopole-based ICP-MS (7500ce; Agilent Technologies, Tokyo, Japan), equipped with a MicorMist nebulizer (36). X-band (~9.6 GHz) EPR spectroscopy was carried out using a Bruker ESP-300E EPR spectrometer equipped with an ER-4116 dual-mode cavity and an Oxford Instruments ESR-9 flow cryostat.

RESULTS

Construction of Affinity-tagged MBH—As shown in Fig. 1, the subunits encoded by the first eight genes of the MBH operon, together with mbhI and mbhM, are predicted to be membrane-bound, and therefore they were not considered as targets for affinity tagging. On the other hand, mbhJKLN are not predicted to encode transmembrane helices and are thought to be located on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane complex (22). The His9 affinity tag was inserted either at the C terminus of the subunit encoded by mbhN, which is the last gene in the operon, or at the N terminus of the subunit encoded by mbhJ. The forms of MBH that were generated were designated N-MBH (in P. furiosus strain MW0403) and J-MBH (MW0414), respectively. The His9 tag was chosen because it has been successfully used to purify a form of the cytoplasmic [NiFe]-hydrogenase from P. furiosus (30), suggesting that the tag is unlikely to interfere with synthesis of the [NiFe]-catalytic site of MBH. The recombinant strains generated in this study are summarized in Table 1, and the strategy for constructing N-MBH and J-MBH is shown in Fig. 2.

Affinity Purification of MBH—Previous attempts to solubilize MBH from the membrane were carried out using the detergents dodecyl-β-D-maltoside and sodium deoxycholate (22, 24). However, dodecyl-β-D-maltoside was not pursued because at higher concentrations it is not compatible with the Ni-NTA affinity purification step and was not successful previously in purifying the intact complex. Sodium deoxycholate (2%, w/v) efficiently solubilized MBH, but incubation for more than 2 h led to deactivation of the enzyme (24). Cymol, Fos-Choline, and Triton X-114 were not as efficient as Triton X-100 at solubilizing MBH as measured by recovery of hydrogenase activity (H₂ production from reduced methyl viologen) using 2% (w/v) final detergent concentration (data not shown). Because Triton X-100 is compatible with the Ni-NTA affinity step and was used to successfully purify the ATP synthase from P. furiosus (37), it was investigated over the concentration range of 5–20% (w/v) at both 4 and 40 °C. From this analysis, it was determined that 10% (w/v) Triton X-100 at 4 °C (using 3 mg/ml protein) gave ≥90% recovery of the hydrogenase activity in a solubilized form of both N-MBH and J-MBH. The affinity purification step was carried out under anaerobic conditions, but the placement of the His9 tag clearly affected the efficiency of purification. The J-MBH form yielded 3 mg of MBH/50 g of cells (wet weight) with a yield of activity of 27% (Table 2). In contrast, the yield with N-MBH was only 2% with 1 mg of protein (data not shown). Hence, placing the affinity tag on the N terminus of the “small” subunit (MbhJ) of this MBH is much more efficient in terms of purification than tagging MbhN. J-MBH was therefore utilized for all of the characterization studies described below, and it will be referred to as purified or solubilized MBH.

Characterization of Purified MBH—The purified MBH obtained from affinity purification was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3). Protein bands consistent with the calculated molecular weights from deduced amino acids sequences were observed.
for all 14 subunits. Note that the proposed catalytic subunit, \( \text{MbhL} \) (calculated molecular weight of 47,903 Da), undergoes C-terminal proteolysis during the processing of the \([\text{NiFe}]\) site, where 47 amino acids are removed, and the calculated size of the mature subunit is 42,899 Da. Thirteen of fourteen MBH subunits were identified both by cutting protein bands from the SDS gel and from samples that were digested in-solution. MBH subunits identified by either MALDI-TOF or LC-MS/MS are labeled with a black arrow. MbhD is the only subunit not identified, and the protein band corresponding to its calculated molecular weight is shown by a dashed arrow.

**FIGURE 3. SDS-PAGE of purified J-MBH.** MBH subunits were analyzed from bands cut from gel and from samples that were digested in-solution. MBH subunits identified by either MALDI-TOF or LC-MS/MS are labeled with a black arrow. MbhD is the only subunit not identified, and the protein band corresponding to its calculated molecular weight is shown by a dashed arrow.

**TABLE 2**

| Step                          | Total units | Total protein | Specific activity | Yield | Fold purification |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|------------------|
| Wash membrane                 | 184         | 172           | 1.1               | 100   | 1.0              |
| Triton X-100 extraction       | 148         | 147           | 1                 | 80    | 0.9              |
| Ni-NTA                        | 50          | 2.6           | 19                | 27    | 17               |

SDS gel and by using in-solution trypsin digestion followed by LC-MS/MS. MbhJKL was identified by MALDI-TOF analysis from bands cut from the SDS-PAGE gel. The rest of the subunits (including MbhJKL) were identified by LC-MS/MS analysis of in-solution digested samples (supplemental Table S2). MbhD was the only subunit not identified by the LC-MS/MS or MALDI-TOF analyses. This is a small hydrophobic protein (10,412 Da) that is likely resistant to trypsin digestion. A contaminant protein band was observed at approximately the 47-kDa position of the gel and was identified as elongation factor 1\( \alpha \) (PF1375; Fig. 3).

Purified MBH was also analyzed using a calibrated Superose 6 gel filtration column and gave rise to a single protein peak corresponding to a mass of 310 \( \pm \) 11 kDa (supplemental Fig. S4). The predicted mass of the entire MBH complex calculated
from the deduced amino acid sequences is 298 kDa. These results indicate that the entire complex containing a single copy of each of the 14 subunits has been solubilized and purified.

Purified MBH contained both iron and nickel by analysis using ICP-MS in a ratio of 15.4 ± 0.5:1. This result supports the proposed presence of three [4Fe-4S] clusters in the enzyme (Fig. 1), which together with the [NiFe] active site gives a predicted ratio of 13:1. The protein purified under anaerobic conditions (in the presence of DTT) exhibited a rhombic EPR signal at 50,000 with g values of 2.39, 2.17, and 2.05 (supplemental Fig. S5) indicative of the nickel-boron “ready” state (6). No additional EPR resonances were observed that might be attributable to the iron-sulfur clusters after reduction of the as-purified enzyme with sodium dithionite, and the thionine-oxidized enzyme was also EPR-silent.

MBH uses reduced ferredoxin as an electron donor in vivo, and as shown in Fig. 1, the redox protein is proposed to interact with MbhN. In a previous attempt to characterize MBH, its solubilization led to the loss of the ability to use ferredoxin as an electron donor (22). As shown in Table 3, the solubilized enzyme evolved H₂ from reduced ferredoxin, which was reduced using the native pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase system with specific activities of 0.14 and 0.02 unit/mg for the purified and membrane-bound enzymes, respectively, in accordance with increase in purity of the MBH complex. These results suggest that the [Fe-S] clusters and [NiFe] site are intact and functional. The enzyme also retained its catalytic preference upon solubilization. The ratio H₂ evolution to H₂ oxidation was similar (25:1) for both the membrane-bound and the solubilized forms. The two forms of MBH were similarly insensitive to inactivation by oxygen, with half-lives under air while shaking for ~14 h. On the other hand, as might be expected, the solubilized enzyme was much less thermostable, with a half-life at 90 °C of 1 h, compared with 25 h for the membrane-bound form.

**SAXS Data Analysis**—The quaternary structure of purified MBH was analyzed by SAXS. Analysis of the SAXS profiles as a function of MBH concentration showed only a minor concentration dependence where the lower concentration (1.5 mg/ml) had a higher radius of gyration than the higher concentration sample (5 mg/ml) providing greater confidence that the sample was not aggregating (Fig. 4A). Also shown in dashed lines is the profile for the six core subunits of complex I (Protein Data Bank code 4HEA). From this, we can further see the similarities between MBH and complex I, because the six core complex I subunits and the MBH calculated profiles agree in much of the low q region, which suggests that there are similar features and dimensions between the two proteins (Fig. 4A). Guineir analysis of the low q (momentum transfer in Å⁻¹) region of the SAXS profile extrapolated to zero concentration yielded a radius of gyration of 63 ± 2 Å. From the radius of gyration and volume of correlation, the mass of the purified MBH was calculated from the SAXS profile (38) to be 310 kDa, which is in good agreement with the predicted value of 298 kDa and the experimental value from the SEC column (310 kDa). In Fourier transform, the real space P(r) function provided an estimated maximum dimension of 288 Å and also suggests a globular shape of the purified MBH (Fig. 4B). The scattering profile decayed as q⁴ (the value expected for a folded rigid protein (39)). Using 10 GASBOR (34) runs, an average shape was calculated without symmetry (Fig. 5). With a calculated mass in agreement with the mass of the monomer to within error, the Z-shaped structure is expected to characterize the mono-dispersed homogenous species. A comparison with subunits of the atomic resolution structures of NADH quinone oxidoreductase or complex I (Protein Data Bank code 4HEA) is shown within the MBH SAXS model (Fig. 5). The complex I homologous subunits of MBH are drawn in ribbon. These include four cytoplasmic subunits homologous to MbhJKLN (NuoBCDI) and one membrane-bound subunit (MbhM/NuoH). The complex I homolog of MbhH (NuoL) is not continuous with the other homologs within the MBH SAXS model and is shown (green ribbon) with an intervening nonhomologous section of complex I (red space filling). We can distinguish where in the SAXS model the membrane-bound subunits (including the Mrp subunits) and soluble subunits (including the catalytic subunit) are located (Fig. 5). The overall SAXS analysis reveals a folded assembled complex suitable for the pursuit of other forms of structural analyses for MBH including crystal structures.

**DISCUSSION**

We describe here the first successful affinity purification of a membrane-bound energy-conserving group 4 hydrogenase with an engineered tag. There is only one previous report of affinity purification of any membrane-bound hydrogenase, and this is for the group 1 dimeric enzyme from the mesophilic bacterium *Rhizobium japonicum*, although in that case affinity purification took advantage of NAD as a substrate and used a reactive red 120-agarose column (40). There is only one previous example of affinity purification of an entire respiratory

---

**TABLE 3**

Properties of J-MBH

| Property                                      | J-MBH | Washed membranes |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|
| Pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase-ferredoxin H₂ evolution activity (units/mg) | 0.14  | 0.02             |
| H₂ evolution:H₂ oxidation activity (using methyl viologen as electron carrier) | 25:1  | 26:1             |
| Half-life (t₁/₂) at 90 °C without argon (h)  | 1     | 25               |
| Half-life (t₁/₂) at 90 °C under argon (h)   | 15    | 13               |
| Metal content (iron:nickel)                  | 15:1  | 19:1             |

---

**FIGURE 4. SAXS analysis of purified MBH.** A, experimental SAXS profile of MBH (blue) with the Guineir region plotted on the inset with a linear fit (red). The calculated profile from a portion of complex I (NADH quinone oxidoreductase, Protein Data Bank code 4HEA; dashed black line) is shown for comparison. B, the real space pair distribution file extracted from SAXS data.
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**FIGURE 5. Shape and assembly of MBH from SAXS.** Shapes generated from SAXS are elongated and multilobed. The homologous portions of complex I (NADH quinone oxidoreductase, Protein Data Bank code 4HEA) shown as ribbons were augmented by intervening and nonhomologous portions (red spheres) to create an atomic model of equivalent size to MBH. This model was fit into the SAXS generated shape, shown rotated in three orientations. By analogy with the homologous subunits of complex I, assignments were able to the portion of the SAXS model that would likely be associated with the membrane and to the portion that would be responsible for oxidizing reduced ferredoxin.

complex: this is the six-subunit membrane-bound NADH quinone oxidoreductase from the mesophilic bacterium *Vibrio cholera*, which compares with the 14-subunit MBH. This also used an engineered His$_9$-tagged protein that was solubilized with the detergent dodecyl maltoside (DM) (41). Herein we show that the location of the affinity tag is critical, with a much higher recovery of activity with the tag located on MbhJ compared with MbhN. The former tag location is probably more accessible to the Ni-NTA column and results in the improved purification. The high recovery of enzymatic activity with J-MBH after both solubilization and the affinity step is also noteworthy. For example, the purification of the *P. furiosus* ATP synthase yielded 2% of the initial activity with ≤1 mg from 50 g of cells (37). This compares with ~3 mg with a yield of activity of 27% for *P. furiosus* MBH (Table 2).

Solubilized *P. furiosus* MBH was purified as an intact complex that evidently contains one copy of each of the 14 subunits encoded by the MBH operon (Fig. 1) with calculated and measured masses of 298 and 310 kDa, respectively. The predicted and measured contents of nickel and iron (13:1 and 15:1, respectively) are also in good agreement with a completely intact complex. Earlier attempts to purify MBH using standard chromatographic techniques resulted in partial purification of complexes containing predominantly MbhLK or MbhJ–N (22, 24). Like the intact MBH complex purified herein, these subcomplexes were catalytically active, and the as-purified enzyme exhibited a rhombic EPR signal with g values very similar to what was observed here with intact MBH (22). However, in that case the signal was assigned to the nickel-carbon state, whereas from the g values it is the same nickel-boron signal that was observed here (6). Interestingly, in the prior study (22), an EPR signal indicative of reduced iron-sulfur clusters signal was observed, but that was not the case here with the complete complex, suggesting perhaps a change in conformation of the cluster-containing subunits when detached from intact MBH. The oxygen tolerance of purified MBH was similar to that in the membrane-bound form, indicating that the infrastructure around metal centers is maintained after detergent extraction. Even though purified MBH was much less thermostable than the membrane-bound enzyme, it was still a very stable complex (half-life at 90 °C of 1 h), illustrating an advantage of solubilizing membrane proteins from hyperthermophilic species.

MBH and other group 4 hydrogenases are proposed to have an evolutionary history in common with the aerobic respiratory complex I (NADH quinone oxidoreductase). This 16-subunit 536-kDa enzyme is the largest complex of the aerobic electron transport chain and couples NADH oxidation to ubiquinone reduction and proton translocation (42, 43). The proton gradient is utilized by ATP synthase to generate ATP. Group 4 hydrogenases have a similar function in generating a proton gradient, whereas *P. furiosus* MBH has an additional Mrp modules that serves to generate a sodium ion gradient (11). There are six conserved subunits found in the group 4 hydrogenases that are also found in complex I. These subunits comprise the complex I core, and the subunit that binds quinone is the homolog of the [NiFe]-containing catalytic subunit of the group 4 hydrogenases (9, 10). This homology with complex I allows placement of five of these six core subunits from the crystal structure of complex I from *Thermus thermophilus* (Protein Data Bank code 4HEA) within the SAXS model as cytoplasmic subunits, including the catalytic subunit of MBH. This fit also allows us to postulate where to place the membrane wall in the model, as shown in Fig. 5. Because complex I does not contain any homolog of the Mrp subunits, we would not expect the core subunits to completely fit within the MBH SAXS model. Overall, generation of the SAXS model of MBH shows that our purified protein is sufficiently homogenous for low resolution structural characterization.

*P. furiosus* MBH represents a large family of membrane-bound respiratory complexes that include those that oxidize formate and carbon monoxide (11). In addition to the Mrp and hydrogenase modules, these enzymes contain additional subunits that oxidize the C-1 substrates. As yet, none of these complexes have been solubilized and purified other than MBH. However, *P. furiosus* was recently used to heterologously express the membrane-bound 18-subunit FHL of *T. onnurineus* to give a functional complex that oxidizes formate and evolves H$_2$ (17, 44). FHL is also encoded by a single operon, and in addition to two subunits that show sequence similarity to formate dehydrogenases, the FHL complex contains four homologs of the *mbhH* subunit of *P. furiosus* MBH for reasons that are not at all clear. Otherwise, the subunits of the hydrogenase module of FHL are very similar to those of *P. furiosus* MBH, suggesting that a similar tagging and purification strategy (with the His$_9$ tag attached to the *fhlN* subunit) might be successful for the intact FHL complex, and this is currently being investigated.

In conclusion, we have devised a one-step affinity purification protocol for the respiratory MBH of *P. furiosus* that gives a high yield of the entire catalytically active membrane-bound complex. The group 4 hydrogenases show little sequence similarity to the structurally characterized group 1 two-subunit enzymes, except for the residues that bind the [NiFe] active site and the [4Fe-4S] proximal cluster (9, 10). Further characterization of MBH may therefore provide insight into the diversity of
characterization of a respiratory complex from P. furiosus.
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