Modeling Tight Junction Dynamics and Oscillations
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ABSTRACT Tight junction (TJ) permeability responds to changes of extracellular Ca2+ concentration. This can be gauged through changes of the transepithelial electrical conductance (G) determined in the absence of apical Na+. The early events of TJ dynamics were evaluated by the fast Ca2+ switch assay (FCSA) (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000), which consists of opening the TJs by removing basal calcium (Ca2+bl) and closing by returning Ca2+bl to normal values. Oscillations of TJ permeability were observed when Ca2+bl is removed in the presence of apical calcium (Ca2+ap) and were interpreted as resulting from oscillations of a feedback control loop which involves: (a) a sensor (the Ca2+-binding sites of zonula adherens), (b) a control unit (the cell signaling machinery), and (c) an effector (the TJs). A mathematical model to explain the dynamical behavior of the TJs and oscillations was developed. The extracellular route (ER), which comprises the paracellular space in series with the submucosal interstitial fluid, was modeled as a continuous aqueous medium having the TJ as a controlled barrier located at its apical end. The ER was approximated as a linear array of cells. The most apical cell is separated from the apical solution by the TJ and this cell bears the Ca2+-binding sites of zonula adherens that control the TJs. According to the model, the control unit receives information from the Ca2+-binding sites and delivers a signal that regulates the TJ barrier. Ca2+ moves along the ER according to one-dimensional diffusion following Fick’s second law. Across the TJ, Ca2+ diffusion follows Fick’s first law. Our first approach was to simulate the experimental results in a semiquantitative way. The model tested against experiment results performed in the frog urinary bladder adequately predicts the responses obtained in different experimental conditions, such as: (a) TJ opening and closing in a FCSA, (b) opening by the presence of apical Ca2+ and attainment of a new steady-state, (c) the escape phase which follows the halt of TJ opening induced by apical Ca2+, (d) the oscillations of TJ permeability, and (e) the effect of Ca2+ap concentration on the frequency of oscillations.
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INTRODUCTION

The frog urinary bladder wall consists of epithelium, submucosa, and serosa. The mucosal (or apical or luminal) surface is outlined with epithelial cells disposed in a single layer showing a thickness of ~10 µM. The submucosa is made of a delicate stroma of fibroblasts and collagen fibers, blood and lymph vessels, nerves, and smooth muscle bundles. The serosa is a continuous layer of flat cells, the peritoneal or serosal membrane (mesothelial cells). For a review of amphibian urinary bladder ultrastructure see Choi (1963).

In a series of previous studies (Castro et al., 1993; Lacaz-Vieira and Kachar, 1996; Lacaz-Vieira, 1997, 2000; Lacaz-Vieira et al., 1999; Lacaz-Vieira and Jaeger, 2001) it was shown that in epithelial membranes the dynamics of tight junction (TJ)* opening and closing can be studied in short-term experiments (a condition in which many of the later regulatory phenomena can be prevented) by using the fast Ca2+ switch assay (FCSA). This assay consists in opening the TJs by removing Ca2+ from the basal solution (Ca2+bl) and subsequently closing the junctions by returning Ca2+ to the basal solution. The time courses of TJ opening and closing in an FCSA were shown to approximately follow single exponential time courses both in the natural epithelia of the frog urinary bladder (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000) as well as in A6 cell monolayers (Lacaz-Vieira and Jaeger, 2001). Manipulations of Ca2+ap during the FCSA, both in concentration and the moment Ca2+ap is changed, can markedly affect the dynamics of the TJs, blocking the opening process, slowing down its time course (Lacaz-Vieira, 1997), or inducing a periodic phenomena characterized by oscillations of tissue electrical conductance (G) (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000).

In the absence of apical Na+, G is a reliable estimate of the paracellular permeability, which is mainly determined by the TJ (Castro et al., 1993; Lacaz-Vieira and Kachar, 1996). A large number of papers deals with the role of cell signaling systems on the control of TJ opening and closing (for reviews see Cereijido et al., 1988, 2000; Balda et al., 1992; Schneeberger and Lynch, 1992; Hopkins et al., 2000; Kniesel and Wolburg, 2000;
Turner, 2000; Walsh et al., 2000). In particular, the PKC plays a key role in TJ opening in response to extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) withdrawal without major effects on the reverse process of TJ closing (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000). PKC inhibition by H7 not only prevents TJ opening in response to basal Ca\(^{2+}\) removal, but induces a prompt blockade of TJ oscillations induced by apical Ca\(^{2+}\), oscillations that reappear when H7 is removed (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000).

Understanding the dynamic process of TJ opening and closing in response to the extracellular levels of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, as well as the role of signaling systems, can be greatly facilitated through mathematical modeling of the behavior of the TJs. In this paper we studied the properties of a mathematical model in which the TJ barrier is controlled by the Ca\(^{2+}\) levels at the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites of zonula adherens (Gumbiner et al., 1988). In particular, the conclusions reached from the analysis of this model support our previous hypothesis that oscillations of G which occur in response to a step rise of apical Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in a FCSA result from oscillatory opening and closing of the TJ barrier (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000).

**Materials and Methods**

Urinary bladders of the frog *Rana catesbeiana* were used. Animals were anesthetized by subcutaneous injection of a 2% solution of 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester (methanesulfonate salt) (Sigma-Aldrich) at a dose of 1 ml/100 g of body weight. Experiments were conducted in accordance with the The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The abdominal cavity was opened, a cannula was passed through the cloaca and the urinary bladder was inflated with 15–20 ml of air according to the animal size. Plastic rings of 20 mm diameter were glued to the serosal surface of the bladder with ethylcyanoacrylate adhesive (Pronto CA8, 3M, or Super Bonder; Loctite). The fragment of tissue framed by the plastic ring was excised and immersed in glass distilled water with pH around 6.0, and free-Ca\(^{2+}\). The apical solution was KCl 75 mM to eliminate Na\(^{+}\), in order to rule out any contribution of a transcellular Na\(^{+}\) conductance to the overall tissue electrical conductance. No EGTA was used in the bathing solutions since this chelating agent diffusing into the lateral spaces affects the time course of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration increase or decrease in this region in response to changes of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the bathing solutions.

**Electrical Measurements**

A conventional analogue voltage-clamp (WPI DVC 1000) was used. Saturated calomel half-cells with 3 M KCl-agar bridges were used to measure the electrical potential difference across the skin. Current was passed through Ag-AgCl 3 M KCl electrodes and 3 M KCl-agar bridges, adequately placed to deliver a uniform current density across the skin. The clamping current was continuously recorded by a strip-chart recorder. Clamping current and voltage were also digitized through an analogue-to-digital converter at a digitizing rate of 100 Hz (Digidata 1200 and Axotape 2.0; Axon Instruments, Inc.) and stored in a computer for further processing.

**Chemicals**

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Statistics**

The results are presented as mean ± SEM. Comparisons were performed using Student’s paired *t* test. (Neter and Wasserman, 1974).

**FCSA**

Tissues were bathed in nominally Ca\(^{2+}\)-free apical solution. The TJs were opened by removal of Ca\(^{2+}\) from the basal solution, inducing an increase of the overall tissue electrical conductance (G). Subsequent resealing of the TJs was induced by reintroducing Ca\(^{2+}\) into the basal fluid, causing a decrease of G toward initial control levels.

**Simulation**

Simulation of TJ dynamics was performed in a PC computer using MATLAB® technical computing environment and Simulink®, a MATLAB tool for modeling, simulating, and analyzing physical and mathematical systems.

**Results**

**The Model**

The mathematical model we are about to build aims to interpret the dynamics of TJ opening and closing in response to changes of the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the bathing solutions, a procedure known as FCSA (Lacaz-Vieira, 1997, 2000; Lacaz-Vieira et al., 1999; Lacaz-Vieira and Jaeger, 2001). Our initial goal in modeling was not only to interpret the dynamics of TJ opening and closing in an FCSA but to understand the origin of oscillations of TJ permeability that are observed in some conditions during a FCSA experiments (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000). The basic assumptions of the present model are: (a) in the absence of apical Na\(^{+}\), the overall tissue electrical conductance (G) mainly reflects the conductance of the extracellular route (Castro et al., 1993; Lacaz-
(b) The TJ is the main barrier of the extracellular route. (c) The degree of TJ permeability is controlled by the extracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration at the zonula adhaerens, where \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding sites that control the formation and maintenance of the junctional complex are located (Gumbiner et al., 1988).

To test the model adequacy in describing the experimental results, results obtained in different experimental conditions will be compared with forecasts generated by modeling in equivalent situations. Conceivable inconsistencies might be found, indicating that a further refinement of the model is needed. Our initial aim is to describe the observed experimental results in a semiquantitative way, which means that we are not pursuing exact numerical values matching the observed experimental responses (for a lack of access to all relevant parameters that characterize the system), but to get a mathematical simulation that closely resembles the time course of the observed experimental results.

Studies on the fine structure of amphibian urinary bladders (Pak Poy and Bentley, 1960; Peachey and Rasmussen, 1961; Choi, 1963) show that the overall thickness of the bladder wall is \( \sim 100 \) \( \mu \text{m} \), the epithelium comprising nearly 10% of this value and the rest consisting basically of the submucosa, since the serosal layer is extremely flat. These dimensions vary among preparations and as a result of the degree of stretching the bladder is submitted to when mounting. So, care was taken to inflate the bladders, as much as possible, in the same way before gluing the tissue to the supporting plastic ring (see MATERIALS AND METHODS).

The diagram of Fig. 1 A is a schematic representation of the frog urinary bladder showing its major structures, with particular emphasis on the extracellular route (ER). To our modeling purpose, the extracellular route is an aqueous pathway that communicates the apical solution with the basal solution. It can be conceived of as an unstirred aqueous compartment which comprises the paracellular space between the epithelial cells in series with the extracellular fluid of the submucosa (submucosal fluid). In addition to it we have to add the contribution of an unstirred layer on the surface of the tissue (Levine et al., 1984).

The ER was modeled as a continuous aqueous medium (Fig. 1 B) connecting the apical solution to the basal solution and the TJ as a controlled barrier located at the apical end of ER. The basal end of ER is open to the basal solution. For computation purpose, ER was approximated as a linear array of cells (0, 1, 2, ... N) (Fig. 1 C). Cell 0 is the most apical one and is separated from the apical solution by the TJ. The last cell (cell N) is the most basal one and is directly in contact with the basal solution. All cells are identical in size.

Cell 0 corresponds to the microenvironment of zonula adhaerens where the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding sites which control the formation and maintenance of epithelial junctional complex (Gumbiner et al., 1988) are located. \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is determined by the rate of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) diffusion across the TJ and the rate of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) diffusion across the boundary between cell 0 and 1. Thus, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is affected, among other variables, by the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentrations in
the bathing solutions, Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_ap} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_bl}. The apical Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration may affect Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_0} only when the TJ is permeable and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} can move across the TJ depending on the difference (Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_ap} - Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_0}). In addition, Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_0} is affected by changes in Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_bl} since Ca\textsuperscript{2+} can diffuse along the extracellular route depending on (Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_bl} - Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_0}). Changes in Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_bl} are expected to induce changes in the concentration profile along the extracellular route Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_er}(N, t) according the Fick’s second law (Crank, 1956; Sten-Knudsen, 1978). The Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration profile along the extracellular route adjusts itself to a new profile when Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_bl} is altered. With the TJ closed and in a steady-state condition Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_0} is expected to be equal to Ca\textsuperscript{2+\_bl}.

The model just presented is described by the following system of partial differential equations (Crank, 1956; Sten-Knudsen, 1978).

For $x = 0$:
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(0, t)}{\partial t} = K_{TJ}(t, \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t])\text{Ca}_{ap}^{++}[t] - \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t] + D \frac{\partial \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(0, t)}{\partial x},
\]

with
\[
K_{TJ}(t, \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t]) = K \frac{G(t - \theta, \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t])}{1 + (K_{m}/\text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t])^\alpha},
\]

For $0 < x < L$:
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = -D \left(\frac{\partial \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(x, t)}{\partial t}\right),
\]

subject to
\[
\text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(L, t) = \text{Ca}_{bl}^{++}(t)
\]

$D = \text{Ca}\textsuperscript{2+}$ diffusion coefficient in water, equal to $0.79 \times 10^{-5}$ cm\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1} (Hille, 1992).

Discretized model

The partial differential Eqs. 1–5 can be approximated by a system of ordinary differential equations, discretizing the total length $L$ into a series of $N$ finite elements of length $\Delta x$ such that $\Delta x = L/N$. The obtained approximated model, presented below, can be easily implemented on a computer.

For $x = 0$:
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(0, t)}{\partial t} = K_{TJ}(t, \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t])
\]
\[
(\text{Ca}_{ap}^{++}[t] - \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t]) + D \frac{\Delta \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(x, t)}{\Delta x} - \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}[0, t]
\]

For $x = L$:
\[
\frac{\partial \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(L, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\text{Ca}_{bl}^{++}(t) - 2\text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(L, t) + \text{Ca}_{er}^{++}(L - \Delta x, t)}{\Delta x^2}
\]

For the sake of numerical integration of the diffusion equation, $N$ was considered equal to 100.

The model main components depicted in Fig. 2 A are: (a) the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding sites of zonula adhaerens (the sensor), (b) the cell machinery involved in the cell signaling process (control unit), and (c) the TJ barrier which is the effector. The sensor transduces the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration level in cell 0 and sends information to the control unit which responds with an output signal that modulates the permeability of the TJ barrier (the effector). The apical and basal solutions are well stirred and their composition, particularly the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration, properly adjusted. Fig. 2 B shows a block diagram that is a pictorial represen-
tation (according to the conventions of control engineering [Ogata, 1998]) of the functions performed by each component of the system, as well as the flow of signals.

The degree of TJ sealing was assumed to depend on the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration at the Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites of zonula adhaerens (Ca\(^{2+}\)_0) (Gumbiner et al., 1988). In physiological conditions Ca\(^{2+}\)_0 is around 1 mM (equal to Ca\(^{2+}\)_bl), which maintains the TJs closed. Lowering Ca\(^{2+}\)_bl causes a decline of Ca\(^{2+}\)_0 that, reaching a certain critical value (~0.1–0.5 mM depending on the preparation), triggers TJ opening. In a steady-state condition, within a certain range of Ca\(^{2+}\)_0 values, a relationship holds between Ca\(^{2+}\)_0 and the degree of opening of the TJ barrier, as evaluated by the tissue electrical resistance (Fig. 3), which is in agreement with previous studies (Jovov et al., 1994; Lacaz-Vieira, 1997). In the present model, this dependence is expressed by Eq. 2.

**K\(_{\text{TJ}}\) as a Function of Ca\(^{2+}\)_0**

The main barrier of ER is the TJ. Therefore, the electrical resistance of ER is mainly determined by the TJ. Consequently, we can assume in a first approximation that the permeability of the TJs, expressed by K\(_{\text{TJ}}\)(t,Ca\(^{2+}\)_er(0, t)), and tissue electrical conductance (G = 1/R) are linearly related (Byrne and Schultz, 1988; Schultz, 1980).

The function that expresses the relationship between K\(_{\text{TJ}}\)(t,Ca\(^{2+}\)_er[0, t]) and Ca\(^{2+}\)_er(0, t) was tentatively chosen according to (Jovov et al., 1994; Lacaz-Vieira, 1997) and to the experimental data presented in Fig. 3, where steady-state tissue electrical resistance (R) is plotted against the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the external bathing solutions (apical and basal solutions), which should be equal to Ca\(^{2+}\)_er(0, t). As can be seen, the dependence of R on Ca\(^{2+}\)_er(0, t) is sigmoidal and well fitted by the Hill equation as proposed previously for A6 cell monolayers (Jovov et al., 1994) and frog urinary bladder (Lacaz-Vieira, 1997). As seen, R is very large for Ca\(^{2+}\)_er(0, t) above a critical value, and decreases rapidly for Ca\(^{2+}\)_er(0, t) values below this critical value (Fig. 3). According to the Hill equation:

\[
R = R_0/(1 + [K_m/\text{Ca}^{2+}_0]^n) \tag{9}
\]

For computation purposes, a modification of the Hill equation was made by introducing an additive term, A, so as to limit the value of R to a minimum, different from zero, when Ca\(^{2+}\)_0 is made equal to zero, as when Ca\(^{2+}\)_bl is removed in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\)_ap.

Thus:

\[
R = \{R_0/(1 + [K_m/\text{Ca}^{2+}_0]^n)\} + A \tag{10}
\]

As G = 1/R then:

\[
G = (1/\{R_0/(1 + [K_m/\text{Ca}^{2+}_0]^n)\}) + A. \tag{11}
\]

**Steady-state Transepithelial Electrical Resistance Values (R) as a Function of Equal Ca\(^{2+}\) Concentration in both Apical and Basal Bathing Solutions**

These experiments were performed to determine R steady-state values as a function of the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration at zonula adhaerens (Ca\(^{2+}\)_0). To accomplish this condition, Ca\(^{2+}\)_ap and Ca\(^{2+}\)_bl were made the same. Under this condition Ca\(^{2+}\)_0 is expected to be identical to that in the bathing solutions. As observed in Fig. 3 for steady-state condition (for 4 different urinary bladders) R is a sigmoidal function of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the bathing solutions and adequately fitted by the Hill equation (Eq. 9) (see results, The Model) (Jovov et al., 1994; Lacaz-Vieira, 1997). Calculated parameters from the fitting of the experimental data are as follows: R\(_\infty\) = 13472.5 ± 488.9 Ω cm\(^2\); K\(_m\) = 0.1293 ± 0.0025 mM and n = 7.8 ± 1.1.

**TJs Remain Closed When Normal Ca\(^{2+}\) Concentration Is Present in the Bathing Solutions**

When NaCl-Ringer’s solution (Ca\(^{2+}\) 1 mM) is present on both apical and basal sides of the bladder (thus Ca\(^{2+}\)_ap = Ca\(^{2+}\)_bl = 1 mM) the TJs remain closed. This is characterized by G staying stable at its lowest value (Fig. 3).
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} removal from the apical solution does not alter G, indicating that the TJs remain closed (Fig. 4 A). The model predicts this behavior since K_{TJ} remains stable at its lowest value (Fig. 4 B).

**Basal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Removal in the Absence of Apical Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Leads to TJ Opening and Return of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Induces TJ Closing**

These experiments were performed according to the FCSA protocol (see materials and methods). When Ca\textsuperscript{2+\textsubscript{bl}} is removed, the TJs start to open after a variable delay (generally between 30 s and 3 min; mean value 103.0 ± 9.2 s [n = 22] [Lacaz-Vieira, 2000]). This response is characterized by a progressive increase of G. Return of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to the basal solutions causes a halt in the process of junction opening and recovery taking place, leading ultimately to a complete closure of the TJ seal, G achieving the initial control value (Fig. 4 A). This response has been described previously (Lacaz-Vieira, 1997, 2000) and interpreted as resulting from drop of Ca\textsuperscript{2+\textsubscript{bl}} due to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} diffusing along the ER according to its concentration gradient. When a critical Ca\textsuperscript{2+\textsubscript{bl}} level is reached a signal is triggered, leading to the opening of the TJs. If Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is not reintroduced into the basal fluid the TJs continue to open, followed ultimately by cell detachment. However, in all our experiments this unwanted happening was prevented as TJ opening is always halted (when G reached values of the order of 5 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} S) by the reintroduction of Ca\textsuperscript{2+\textsubscript{bl}}; avoiding, therefore, more complex regulatory responses such as endocytosis, cytoskeleton changes, and protein phosphorylation and further cell detachment. Our model predicts the observed time course behavior, including the delay between Ca\textsuperscript{2+} removal and the start of junction opening (Fig. 4 B).

So that the simulation results closely match the average experimental responses to a FCSA, dx = 3 \mu m was used in the calculations. This would indicate that the total diffusion length (ER plus the thickness of the unstirred layer adjacent on the basal surface of the tissue, including a tortuosity factor along ER) would be equivalent to 300 \mu m. The contribution of a significant unstirred layer adjacent to the surface of the tissue, including a tortuosity factor along ER) would be equivalent to 300 \mu m. The contribution of a significant unstirred layer adjacent to the surface of the tissue is supported by experimental data of Levine et al. (1984) obtained in toad urinary bladder where unstirred layers of the order of 46 \mu m were detected in high-speed steering chambers (Levine et al., 1984) that perform a much more vigorous steering than the chambers used in the present study. For the Hill equation (Eq. 3) we used R_s = 13472.5 ± 488.9 \Omega \text{cm}^2; and n = 7.8 ± 1.1 as calculated from the results of Fig. 3. However, a K_{m} = 0.1293 ± 0.0025 mM, as calculated from data of Fig. 3, did not allow an adequate fitting. By trial and error we found that increasing K_{m} would favor a better fitting. (Although it is difficult to justify this adjustment from
first principles, it appears to be valid on the grounds of a good matching between the predicted behavior and the experimental results as observed throughout this study.) A value of \( \sim 1 \text{ mM} \) was found adequate. This is significantly larger than the steady-state experimentally obtained value. However, we have to consider that the results presented in Fig. 3 are steady-state values and the FCSA responses are transient phenomena which could not necessarily be adequately simulated using steady-state parameters. To better understand this discrepancy, curves depicting \( G \) as a function of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration in the bathing solutions were generated, using the steady-state parameters obtained from Fig. 3. As can be seen with \( K_m = 0.1293 \text{ mM} \), the curve is markedly shifted to the left. In contrast, with \( K_m = 1 \text{ mM} \) the curve that depicts the dependence of \( G \) on \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is situated in a region more consistent with the observed dynamic behavior.

According to the model, the delay that is observed between \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{bl} \) removal and TJ opening results from two different components. One is the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) diffusion delay along the unstirred aqueous region (paracellular space, submucosal fluid and the unstirred layer) when \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{bl} \) is changed. The other is the delay in cell signaling, the time information takes to go from the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding sites of zonula adhaerens to the onset of molecular TJ alterations that result in \( G \) alteration. Increasing the diffusion thickness (\( \Delta x \)) not only causes an increase in the delay of TJ opening, but has a marked effect upon time course of TJ closing that becomes slower. This explains why large differences in delays and time courses of FCSA responses are experimentally observed.

**Apical \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) Significantly Affects the Time Course of TJ Opening during a FCSA, Depending on its Concentration and Instant of Application**

The rate of TJ opening, evaluated by the rate of \( G \) increase in an FCSA, is reduced when \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is present in the apical solution. A high \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{ap} \) may even keep the TJs insensitive to a \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-free basal solution (Lacaz-Vieira, 1997), the TJs remaining closed for long periods of time.

Introduction of apical \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) during the process of TJ opening in an FCSA may slow down TJ opening when a low apical \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration is used. Stop of TJ opening followed by partial recovery and subsequent attainment of a new \( G \) steady-state can be observed for higher (\( \sim 1 \text{ mM} \)) apical \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentrations. Frequently, oscillations of TJ permeability, characterized by oscillations of \( G \), are observed when TJ opening is induced by an FCSA and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is added to or is already present in the apical solution. The kind of response is determined by the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{ap} \) concentration and by differences among tissues. When different epithelia are tested responses can be quite distinct. Thus, oscillations are clearly observed in the great majority of frog urinary bladders (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000), but were never seen in A6 cell monolayers (Lacaz-Vieira et al., 1999; Lacaz-Vieira and Jaeger, 2001). The model we are presenting predicts all the observed response patterns, depending on an adequate adjustment of its parameters.

An example of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{ap} \) inducing a stop of TJ opening and partial recovery reaching a new \( G \) steady-state without oscillations is shown in Fig. 6 A. The model prediction of this behavior is presented in Fig. 6 B. This kind of response, showing a partial recovery followed by an escape phase in which \( G \) increases again toward a new steady-state, has been described previously (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000). Absence of oscillations can be modeled by reducing the value of the parameter \( \theta \) (the delay in cell signaling). The escape phase is not always observed as depicted in Fig. 7, A and B. Comparison of Figs. 6 B and 7 B show that a small change of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{ap} \) concentration can drastically affect the pattern of the response as, for example, the presence or absence of the escape phase.

Oscillations of \( G \), reflecting TJ permeability oscillations, are frequently observed during the phase that the TJs are opening in an FCSA and \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is added to the apical fluid (Fig. 8 A), or when \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is already present in the apical fluid when the FCSA is started.
Modeling TJ Oscillations

Simulations of these experimental conditions are shown in Figs. 8 B and 9 B, respectively. One of the conditions for oscillations to occur, in addition to the topological organization of the Ca²⁺ binding sites being located in the paracellular space, just basal to the TJs (which sets up the conditions for the existence of a closed feedback loop), is the existence of an information delay (θ) between the signal starting at the Ca²⁺ binding sites and the TJ response. The model never oscillates for θ = 0. For example, regarding Fig. 8 B, a progressive reduction of θ from 5 to lower values gives rise to progressively more dumped oscillations (unpublished data) up to θ = 0.5, when oscillations totally vanish. When Ca²⁺ is already present in the apical solution and an FCSA is triggered, oscillations start with a variable delay (seconds to a few minutes) after Ca⁴⁺ is removed (Fig. 9, A and B) and the amplitude of oscillations initially increase with time. In contrast, when the concentration of Ca²⁺ is high (10–50 mM) the removal of Ca²⁺ (FCSA) causes only a small increase of G and this situation may remain stable. Later, if Ca²⁺ is removed then a sharp increase of G with a
very short delay (as compared with the normal FCSA) is observed (Fig. 10 A). This behavior is clearly simulated by the model we are proposing (Fig. 10 B).

**DISCUSSION**

The current simulation of the dynamical behavior of TJs of the frog urinary bladder in response to alterations in the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the bathing solutions originated from the aim to validate a previous interpretation (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000) that oscillations of tissue electrical conductance (G) result from oscillations of TJ permeability due to a negative feedback loop that sets up when the TJ seal is opened by removal of Ca\(^{2+}\)bl when Ca\(^{2+}\)ap is present in the apical bathing fluid.

The present modeling allows us to understand and reproduce all major aspects of the dynamic behavior of TJs such as: (a) the TJs remain closed when normal Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration is present in the bathing solutions; (b) Ca\(^{2+}\)ap removal does not lead to TJ opening; (c) Ca\(^{2+}\)bl removal in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\)ap leads to TJ opening after a significant delay and return of Ca\(^{2+}\)bl induced the closing of the TJs, in a procedure know as
The operation of the feedback loop allows us to understand the above responses. Thus, a sudden rise of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}\textsuperscript{ap} when the TJs are opening causes Ca\textsuperscript{2+} diffusion through the TJs, increasing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration at the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding sites of zonula adhaerens, triggering a regulatory TJ closing, characterized by a sharp drop of G. This in turn limits diffusion of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the apical solution to the zonula adhaerens. The basal solution, where Ca\textsuperscript{2+}\textsubscript{bl} = 0 mM during the TJ opening phase of the FCSA, behaves as a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sink allowing Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to diffuse from the zonula adhaerens region, along the extracellular route, into the solution, leading to a drop of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration at the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding sites of zonula adhaerens, and causing stabilization of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration in this region at an intermediate value between those in the apical and the basal compartments, respectively. Consequently, the TJ permeability adjusts to a new value according to the Hill equation (see results, The Model). Frequently, the presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}\textsuperscript{ap} during TJ opening in an FCSA leads to G oscillations of low frequency. Oscillations of TJ permeability were interpreted (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000) as resulting from the operation of a negative feedback loop since the effector, the TJ barrier, limits the access of the control signal (Ca\textsuperscript{2+} originating from the apical solution) to its site of action (the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sites of E-cadherin in the zonula adhaerens). Under certain conditions, the corrective action in a feedback loop can produce unstable operation and the system may drive to limiting values or show oscillations (Weyrick, 1975; Ogata, 1998). Time delays or lags in the response are normally the cause of instability or oscillations. Inherent time delays may prevent the stopping of the control action in time to avoid overshoot of the controlled variable, which, in turn, may result in a corrective action in the opposite direction. This may cause the feedback to reinforce rather than oppose the input signal, resulting in continuous or damped oscillations. The theory of automatic controls shows that accuracy and stability are mutually incompatible (Weyrick, 1975). Accuracy is improved as loop gain is increased. However, increase in gain also tends to make the system unstable. The delay $\theta$ (the cell signaling delay) is particularly important for oscillations to occur since oscillations cannot be observed when $\theta = 0$ or close to it.

The delay of TJ opening after Ca\textsuperscript{2+}\textsubscript{bl} is removed results of basically two different lags. One is a diffusion delay along the unstirred aqueous compartment of the extracellular route. The other from the time information takes to travel from the sensor region (Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding sites) to the effector (the TJ). The combination of
these may explain why different delays are normally observed. Some tissues respond to a FCa in <1 min, others take >3 min to start TJ opening.

The frequency of oscillations may differ when different bladder preparations are compared. This is a reasonable occurrence since, according to model predictions, changes in parameter values may importantly alter the oscillatory behavior, even determining their existence or absence. When a given tissue is tested, we can observe experimentally that a decrease of $\text{Ca}^{2+}_{\text{ap}}$ from 5 to 1 mM leads to a 21.7% increase of the oscillation frequency from a value of 0.011 Hz obtained with $\text{Ca}^{2+}_{\text{ap}} = 5 \text{ mM}$ ($n = 5$). In agreement with it, the model also predicts an increase of frequency with a decrease of $\text{Ca}^{2+}_{\text{ap}}$. Thus, for $K = 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\theta = 5 \text{ s}$, a progressive decrease of $\text{Ca}^{2+}_{\text{ap}}$ from 10 to 5 and to 2.4 mM increases the frequency of oscillation respectively from 0.062 to 0.068 and to 0.080 s$^{-1}$.

The analysis of oscillations—their amplitude, frequency, occurrence, and response to drugs—might allow us to probe and understand better the control system that regulate TJ opening and closing. Thus, inhibition of PKC by H7 causes a prompt stop of TJ oscillations, which reappear when the inhibitor is removed (Lacaz-Vieira, 2000). This effect can be thought as resulting from a block by H7 of the information loop that connects the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ sites of zonula adhaerens (the sensor) to the TJs (the effector).
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