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Abstract: To establish and improve the theoretical framework and operation mechanism of emergency information sharing, and to establish the index system and evaluation method of the efficiency of emergency information sharing are the key work to improve the disaster prevention and mitigation capacity of coastal cities in China. In this paper, the synergetic theory is introduced into the construction of emergency information sharing framework. By clarifying the operation relationship between the participants and the information transmission, the framework and operation mechanism of emergency information sharing are constructed. Around the 3 dimensions of emergency information sharing mechanism construction, resource guarantee ability and collaborative driving force of emergency information sharing, the evaluation index system of emergency information sharing collaborative efficiency in coastal cities is proposed, and the evaluation method of emergency information sharing collaborative efficiency based on coupling degree model is proposed. The empirical results show that the efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities in China is generally on the rise, and the contribution rate of the construction level of emergency information sharing mechanism is higher than that of the resource guarantee ability and the collaborative driving force of emergency information sharing, but the efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities in China is still at the bottom level. The research results provide theoretical basis and methods for the emergency management departments of coastal cities in China.
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1. Introduction

China's coastal cities are economically and population-intensive areas. In recent years, frequent public emergencies have seriously threatened the social and economic development of the coastal cities and the safety of people's lives and property, and have also presented severe challenges to the responses of governments at all levels in coastal cities. At present, the establishment of the National Emergency Management Department means that the pattern of fragmentation and low coordination in the past will be completely changed, with a view to enhancing the coordinated response capabilities of coastal cities for disaster prevention and reduction. Overall, China's coastal cities have achieved great improvement in their ability to coordinate disaster prevention and mitigation, and a comprehensive coordination and joint prevention and control mechanism has been basically formed. Especially in the process of disaster prevention and reduction, problems such as untimely information sharing, unclear information tasks and unsatisfactory operation mechanism of confidence sharing, etc., which have greatly restricted the efficiency and effectiveness of disaster prevention and reduction in coastal cities. Therefore, it is necessary to focus on the construction of information sharing mechanism for disaster prevention and mitigation in coastal cities, and
fundamentally change the traditional supervision mode of emergency information relying on "command control".

In recent years, many scholars have carried out many studies around emergency information sharing, and their achievements have mainly focused on emergency information sharing collaborative research, emergency information sharing mechanism research, and emergency collaborative governance. In terms of collaborative research on emergency information sharing, it mainly focuses on the research of emergency information collaborative factors, collaborative framework and collaborative efficiency. Chen Yumei (2018)[1] believes that the five aspects of support within the organization, coordination between organizations, legal protection and supervision incentives, and external environment are the key factors affecting the sharing of emergency information; Cheng Conghui (2015)[2] using an interpretive structure model, a multi-layer hierarchical interpretive structure model that affects the effectiveness of emergency information command; Wang Bingbing et al. (2015)[3] based on the theory of system dynamics, revealed that the level of emergency information sharing in online social networks is jointly affected by the three factors of government information transparency, netizen participation and online public opinion; Xiao Hua (2019)[4] introduced the theory of collaboration to the co-construction and sharing of emergency information resources, and built a collaborative framework for the sharing of emergency information resources; Pan Bo et al. (2015)[5] designed a four-in-one emergency information coordination mechanism of assets, capabilities, actions and coordination; Delone et al. (1995)[6] summarized the six dimensions of information system success: system quality, information quality, system use, personal impact, user satisfaction, and organizational impact; Kaur et al. (2020)[7] proposed an IoT-Fog-Cloud emergency information collaboration framework based on soft computing technology for real-time wildfire monitoring, prediction and forecasting. In the research of emergency information sharing mechanism, the most studied are emergency information sharing decision-making and operation mechanism. Zhang Zimin et al. (2010)[8] constructed emergency response by analyzing the generation and characteristics of emergency information flow information model; Chen Yumei et al. (2017)[9] put forward policy recommendations to improve the efficiency of emergency information sharing around the legal system, shared concepts, information technology and supervision and incentives; Wheaton (2001)[10] analyzed the role of information in emergency decision-making from a strategic and tactical perspective; Dawes et al. (2004)[11] research shows that in the emergency response process, the lack of information sharing and coordination will have a negative impact between departments; Netten (2006)[12] research shows that: in the emergency response process, the huge amount of information will increase the complexity of information sharing, and there may be information load or lack of information during the information transmission; Ding et al. (2019)[13] proposed a new integration method based on prospect theory and VIKOR (VIsekriterijumska optimizacija i KOm-promisno Resenje), called the language PT-VIKOR, which is used in emergency information sharing to solve the EDM problem with two-dimensional uncertain language information. Regarding the research on the operation mechanism of emergency information sharing, Deng Chunlin et al. (2014)[14] took Hunan Province as an example, focusing on the information processing mechanism of emergencies, the early warning mechanism of public opinion, the transmission and reporting mechanism, the release and disclosure mechanism, the supervision and control mechanism and the security in terms of mechanisms, etc., a framework for sharing information resources for emergencies was constructed; Pan Bo et al. (2017)[15] based on the information system success model (IS), studied the impact of emergency resource coordination on the construction of cross-department emergency information systems; Guo Lusheng et al. (2016)[16] established the overall framework and process of emergency information resource planning based on EA. In the research of emergency collaborative governance, Cheng Shunqi et al.
(2019)[17] introduced the theory of holistic governance and self-organization, constructed a multi-level, cross-department, and full-process emergency linkage disposal platform, and discussed the emergency management coordination mechanism; Cai Dongxue et al. (2017)[18] compared the layout decision method and the hierarchical collaborative reserve layout method in the existing management model, and established a multi-objective multi-level collaborative layout model under scenario analysis; Lin Zhen (2019)[19] studied the collaborative governance mechanism of emergency public opinion network composed of data, tools and business process reorganization to form a multi-agent system governance.

The above literature mainly studies the management of emergency information sharing from management, although there have been many discussions on the synergy of emergency information sharing, sharing influencing factors, sharing organizational structure and sharing efficiency, but these results are more focused on the discussion of macro-decisions, and their shortcomings are reflected in: First, the existing achievements are lack of systematization in general and a set of relatively systematic emergency information sharing mechanism is lack from the micro perspective. The description of the supply and demand subjects of emergency information resources is not clear; Second, the mechanism of emergency information sharing in coastal cities has its own characteristics. Most of the information resources come from marine disasters. It is necessary to build a set of emergency information sharing mechanism in line with the specific disasters in coastal cities. The existing research is lack of this aspect; The third is the lack of a set of measurement index systems and methods that can effectively evaluate the efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities for disaster prevention and mitigation, making the emergency information sharing operation mechanism lacking evaluation basis. In view of this, this paper aims at the characteristics of coastal city disaster prevention and mitigation, from the perspective of synergy theory, by clarifying the relationship between the participants of emergency information sharing and information transmission, constructs an emergency information sharing framework and operation mechanism suitable for coastal city disaster prevention and reduction. On this basis, the measurement index system and evaluation method of emergency information sharing collaborative efficiency are further proposed, with a view to providing theoretical guidance and method support for the practice of disaster prevention and mitigation information management in coastal cities. The difference of this paper is that it proposes a coastal city emergency information sharing framework and operation mechanism based on the synergy theory, and proposes an index system and measurement method that affect the coastal city emergency information sharing collaboration efficiency.

2. Emergency Information Sharing Framework Based on Collaboration Theory

2.1 Connotation of collaboration theory

Synergetic theory was put forward by German physicist Herman Haken in 1976. Synergetic theory mainly studies how open systems far from equilibrium can spontaneously appear orderly structures in time, space and function through their own internal synergism when they have material or energy exchange with the outside world. Collaboration theory believes that although different systems have different attributes, there is a cooperative relationship between various systems in the entire environment; Whether the system can play the synergistic effect is determined by the synergy of the subsystems within the system. To promote the synergy of the subsystems, the system must be open and able to exchange energy and information with the outside world to ensure that the system has development capabilities. Collaboration theory believes that the system is a complex and open system. The complexity of the system is because the system is generally composed of three major elements: people, organizations and the environment. Each element is nested with multiple
secondary elements, and its internal characteristics are nonlinear\textsuperscript{[20]}. Synergy theoretically supports the orderly evolution of a composite system and could ensure that the elements of an open system become orderly in time, space and function at the macroscopic level during the exchange process of energy\textsuperscript{[21]}. The purpose of collaborative theory research is to establish a method and concept to deal with complex systems with a system view. Collaboration theory can provide a theory and method for a decentralized and disorderly emergency management system, making it a virtuous circle of coordination, cooperation, and order.

2.2 Elements of the emergency information sharing framework of coastal cities

Based on the perspective of collaboration theory, the coastal city emergency information sharing framework is mainly composed of four elements: emergency information participants, emergency information resources, emergency behaviors, and software and hardware. These four elements form an organic whole that can achieve emergency efficiency and maximize benefits with the characteristics of subject coordination, sharing efficiency, and rapid response according to the rules of collaboration. The interrelationship is: emergency information participants and emergency information resources provide the basis for emergency behaviors. Emergency behaviors achieve the goals of emergency information participants and emergency information resources through the support of software and hardware resources (Figure 1). Among them, the participants of emergency information are composed of people and organizations that provide, process, analyze and use information. As far as coastal cities are concerned, they mainly include: government, port and shipping, maritime affairs, public security and fire protection, enterprises, social organizations, information management, and medical care. And other relevant organizations, as well as experts, rescue teams, the general public, military, media workers, technical personnel and other relevant personnel; Emergency information resources are composed of basic information resources, rescue information resources, and data streams. Basic information resources include five aspects: politics, economy, social development, resources, environment, and geographic information. Emergency information resources include disaster information, emergency plans, and emergency response. Et al. (Kou Youguan, 2005) \textsuperscript{[22]}; Emergency behaviors include disaster reporting, determination of plans, material financing and deployment, disaster relief and recovery, etc.; hardware and software resources include facilities and equipment for storage, reception, processing, and transmission of emergency information, and decision-making systems and assistance to support emergency operations Platforms and management systems, etc. (Xiao Hua et al., 2015) \textsuperscript{[23]}. 
2.3 Coastal city emergency information sharing framework based on synergy theory

At present, there are many problems in the emergency information sharing process in China's coastal cities. According to the Emergency Response Law of the People's Republic of China, China's emergency response mainly adopts a two-level model of emergency command centers and emergency response departments, and the emergency command center mainly implements the intelligence of emergency decision-making and command coordination. The emergency response department is mainly responsible for executing the emergency tasks and its responsibilities issued by the command center. It belongs to the centralized management and decentralized implementation of the response mode, which can be described by Figure 2.
The advantage of the two-level response mode in Figure 2 is that it can effectively guarantee the efficient and unified command of decision-making, but the disadvantage is that: First, in the process of emergency information sharing, it is easy to have poor communication between the emergency subject and the public, and the emergency command organization has no clear demand for user information; Second, the emergency participants are independent of each other, and the collection, processing, transmission, and feedback mechanisms of emergency information are not conducive to collaboration between the two levels of departments; The third is that the emergency response department is not active, relying on the decision and instructions of the emergency command center, and the efficiency of information collection, disposal and feedback is low. The idea of the synergy theory lies in the diversification of the main body and the synergy of the cooperation methods. Its purpose is to maximize the value of resource utilization and service quality by integrating multiple resources, which provides a theoretical basis for the synergy of emergency information sharing in coastal cities in China. In Figure 1 above, the three elements of emergency information participants, emergency information resources, and emergency software and hardware resources ultimately provide support for emergency behaviors, and achieve disaster prevention and mitigation goals through emergency behavior. However, the execution effect of emergency behaviors is affected by emergency information participants, emergency information resources, and hardware and software. Emergency information participants are the performers and decision makers of emergency behaviors. The synergy of the two will affect the efficiency of emergency behaviors, and the efficiency of emergency behaviors is affected by the degree of information sharing, information coverage, information processing capabilities and decision effectiveness, only when they cooperate with each other and respond in a coordinated manner can the level of emergency information sharing be promoted. Therefore, the prerequisite for improving the level of emergency information sharing in coastal cities is to build a coordinated and effective information resource sharing network. Such a network should be composed of the main body of emergency response information generation, management and use, and information transmission channels, management platforms, software and hardware, etc. Co-participate in a shared collaborative system with networked, integrated, and
digital features to achieve cross-platform, cross-department, and cross-regional information collaboration services. This article is based on the synergy theory and on the basis of reference [4], proposes the emergency information sharing framework shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3 improves the traditional response model of centralized management and decentralized implementation into an emergency information sharing model of centralized management, decentralized implementation, subject coordination, and joint participation. This new sharing model is beneficial to make up for the information islands, The lack of a single source of information and the inadequacy of the information supervision mechanism have effectively realized the co-construction and sharing and efficient collaboration of disaster prevention and mitigation emergency information in coastal cities.

(1) Operating mechanism of emergency information sharing framework from a collaborative perspective

The main body of emergency information generation and use of emergency information in Figure 3 includes government agencies, non-governmental organizations, enterprises and institutions and expert groups, the public, rescue teams, media and other related organizations and individuals. The emergency information management center is the core organization for sharing emergency information in coastal cities. It is mainly responsible for the formulation of emergency information disposal standards, emergency information services, distribution and comprehensive management. The emergency information management center will provide the processed emergency information resources to the emergency information use subject, and the emergency information use subject will feed back the information to the emergency information management center according to the decision-making needs and execution needs. The available shared information is formed, and the emergency information management center sends the shared information to the media in a unified manner for all subjects and people to access. The entire operation process not only embodies centralized management and decentralized implementation, but also embodies the coordination and joint participation of the subjects.

(2) Establish a coordinated and standardized platform for emergency information sharing

The function of the emergency information sharing collaborative standardization platform is to synthesize the information of different departments, different regional platforms and various types
of users, and make emergency decisions based on the recommendations of expert groups. Through the emergency information sharing collaborative platform, users can conduct a one-stop search and can support each coastal city to provide emergency information sharing services to the user body. The standardized platform for emergency information sharing mainly integrates various local governments, maritime departments, port and shipping departments, public security and fire protection, enterprises, non-social organizations and other emergency information participants in coastal cities. Standardize information resources and information services through a standardized platform, including information resource management standards, information security technology standards, information conversion standards, system integration standards, system operation standards, and information service standards, etc. The purpose is to break the pattern of individualism.

(3) Establish a collaborative communication model for emergency information

The collaborative communication of emergency information involves the entire emergency management area of the coastal city. It is different from the traditional single-media independent communication mode. It requires the close cooperation and joint efforts of the relevant departments of the entire coastal city. In terms of establishing inter-departmental coordination and cooperation, accomplish tasks that cannot be completed by a single coastal city. In order to establish an emergency information collaborative dissemination model, it is necessary to reform the traditional emergency information dissemination model, break the boundaries between departments, enter emergency information into meta data according to the sharing standard, and establish shared links between departments and websites. By establishing an emergency information collaborative communication model, the sharing of emergency information resources in coastal cities will be gradually realized.

(4) Establish a collaborative management model for emergency information resources

The collaborative management mode of emergency information resources based on the collaboration theory mainly starts from establishing the emergency knowledge element link mode, and describes emergency information by means of knowledge elements. The coordination of emergency information resources can be reflected as the content coordination between emergency knowledge elements; Then, the constantly updated, massive, and constantly emerged emergency knowledge elements are built into the emergency knowledge meta-database, and then the emergency knowledge meta-database is embedded into various databases. Through this process, the emergencies knowledge database can embed the database and the emergency information between the platforms is related according to the content, forming an organic whole. On this basis, a hierarchical and modular approach is used to divide the emergency information resources into a multi-knowledge element and multi-level emergency information resource management system to realize the cross-domain of the emergency information resource collaborative management model based on the emergency knowledge element.

3. Coastal city emergency information sharing collaborative efficiency measurement

3.1 Measurement index of emergency efficiency of emergency information sharing

This paper proposes the basis for measuring the efficiency index of coastal city emergency information sharing collaboration as follows:
(1) Based on innovation communication theory

The theory has been widely used in the research of information technology, information system and information sharing among organizations\textsuperscript{[26-27]}. Coastal city emergency information sharing belongs to the inter-organization, inter-department and inter-regional linkage behavior. It is a kind of innovation behavior spread among organizations, departments and regions. It is reasonable to use innovation communication theory as the basis for obtaining indicators.

(2) Based on existing research results

The research results of Hu Ping (2007)\textsuperscript{[28]}, Sharon S (1996)\textsuperscript{[29]}, David L (2001)\textsuperscript{[30]}, and Chen Yumei (2017) were used in the proposed index for measuring the efficiency of emergency information sharing collaboration.

(3) Based on in-depth interviews with coastal city emergency management departments, port and shipping departments, and maritime departments

Through in-depth interviews with relevant departments in 6 coastal cities, including the Haikou Municipal Port and Shipping Bureau, the Shanghai Emergency Management Bureau, and the Fuzhou Maritime Safety Bureau, the commonality of emergency information sharing efficiency measurement indicators was summarized.

(4) Measurement index screening and weighting

1) Reliability test of measurement index

Bartlett's spherical test and KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) test are performed on the measurement index to determine whether the data of the measurement index meet the conditions of factor analysis. As shown in Table 1, the KMO value of the measurement index after the initial screening was 0.771 > 0.5, Sig. = 0 < 0.05, indicating that the measurement indicators after the initial screening meet the conditions of factor analysis.

| Table 1 KMO, Bartlett test to evaluate the measurement index after the primary selection |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| KMO inspection                        | 0.812           |
| Chi-square test                       | 7.771           |
| Bartlett sphericity                   | df              |
|                                      | 32              |
| Sig.                                  | 0.000           |

2) Factor principal component analysis

Principal component analysis is performed on the initially determined measurement index, and the factor with a cumulative contribution rate of 85% is used as the final measurement index.

3) Measure index weight

The expert assignment method is used to analyze the correlation between the measurement index and the evaluation object to provide data support for determining the weight of the measurement index. This paper uses Likert's 5-level scale method to assign values to the measurement indicators: "strong correlation" = 5, "high correlation" = 4, "general" = 3, "weak correlation" = 2, "uncorrelated"
Using the coefficient of variation method to determine the weight of the measurement index, the steps are as follows:

Step 1: After preprocessing the original data, calculate the mean and standard deviation of each measurement index.

Step 2: Calculate the coefficient of variation of each measurement index based on the mean and standard deviation according to formula (1).

\[ v_i = \frac{\delta_i}{\bar{x}_i} \]  

(1)

Where \( v_i \) is the coefficient of variation of the i-th measurement index, \( \delta_i \) is the standard deviation of the i-th measurement index, and \( \bar{x}_i \) is the average score of the i-th measurement index.

Step 3: Calculate the sum of coefficients of variation of each measurement index according to formula (2).

\[ A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,n \]  

(2)

Step 4: Calculate the weight of each measurement index according to formula (3). The weight of each measurement index is equal to the ratio of the coefficient of variation of the measurement index and the coefficient of variation.

\[ w_i = \frac{v_i}{A} \]  

(3)

According to the above methods, the indicators and weights for the collaborative efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities were finally determined, as shown in Table 2.

| Dimension | Impact factor |
|-----------|---------------|
| Construction level of emergency information sharing mechanism | Emergency information collection and processing C₁₁ (0.201) Emergency information report C₁₂ (0.170) Emergency information disclosure C₁₃ (0.181) Emergency information supervision and regulation C₁₄ (0.132) Emergency information security C₁₅ (0.135) Emergency information sharing incentives C₁₆ (0.181) Emergency information technology and equipment C₂₁ (0.277) Completeness of policies and regulations C₂₂ (0.264) Uniformity of emergency information standards C₂₃ (0.281) Capital guarantee C₂₄ (0.178) Clear management function positioning C₃₁ (0.257) |
| Emergency information sharing resource support capability | Emergency information service satisfaction C₃₂ (0.167) Barriers to emergency information sharing C₃₃ (0.310) Emergency management organization integration capabilities C₃₄ (0.266) |
| Synergistic driving force for emergency information sharing | |

3.2 Method for measuring collaborative efficiency of emergency information sharing

This paper uses the coupling theory in physics to quantitatively evaluate the collaborative efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities. Coupling theory advocates using the degree of coupling to measure the level of coordination among various factors within the system, which is similar to the coordination between various measurement indicators in the coastal city information sharing system. Therefore, this paper uses the coupling degree in physics to evaluate the efficiency of emergency information sharing and collaboration in coastal cities.

(1) Calculate the cooperation efficiency of each subsystem
The three dimensions in Table 1 are regarded as subsystems. Firstly, the contribution of each measurement index to the subsystem is determined, and let the variable $U_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ be the sequence parameter for the measurement of the coordinated efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities, that is, the subsystem of “construction level of emergency information sharing mechanism”, the subsystem of “support capacity of emergency information sharing resources” "Emergency Information Sharing Collaborative Driving Force" subsystem, then the emergency information sharing collaborative efficiency function is:

$$U_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{X_{ij} - \beta_{ij}}{\alpha_{ij} - \beta_{ij}}, & \text{if } U_{ij} \text{ is positive efficiency} \\ \frac{\alpha_{ij} - X_{ij}}{\alpha_{ij} - \beta_{ij}}, & \text{if } U_{ij} \text{ is negative efficiency} \end{cases}, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,n; j=1,2,\ldots,m$$

In formula (4), $U_{ij}$ is the j-th measurement index of the i-th order parameter, and its value $X_{ij}$ reflects the satisfaction of each measurement index in each subsystem to achieve the target efficiency, ranging from 0 to 1, approaching 0 is the most dissatisfied, approaching 1 is the most satisfactory; $\alpha_{ij}$, $\beta_{ij}$ is the upper and lower limits of the order parameters at the critical point of system stability.

The overall efficiency of each subsystem is calculated using the linear weighting method:

$$U_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{ij} U_{ij}$$

Among them, $w_{ij}$ is the weight of the j-th measurement index in the i-th subsystem, $U_i$ is the contribution of each subsystem to the order of the total system, and m is the number of measurement indexes in each subsystem.

(2) The total efficiency of emergency information sharing and coordination in coastal cities

According to the coupling degree model in physics, the measurement function of the cooperative efficiency of the three subsystems is:

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{u_1 u_2 u_3}{(u_1 + u_2)(u_2 + u_3)(u_3 + u_2)}}$$

In formula (6), the value of C is between 0 and 1. When C = 0, it indicates that the coordination efficiency between the subsystems is extremely small and is in an irrelevant state; when C = 1, it indicates high coordination efficiency between subsystems.

The total efficiency of formula (6) is of great significance for judging the efficiency intensity among the three subsystems, but the collaborative efficiency of formula (6) is difficult to reflect the contribution of all subsystems to the entire system, and relying solely on synergy efficiency to determine the magnitude of the synergy efficiency of the entire system may cause errors, because when the development level of the subsystem is low, its total synergy efficiency may be high. Therefore, we need to improve equation (6) to reflect the true synergy efficiency of the subsystem to the entire system:

$$F = p_1 u_1 + p_2 u_2 + p_3 u_3$$

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{u_1 u_2 u_3}{(u_1 + u_2)(u_2 + u_3)(u_3 + u_2)}}$$

$$H = \sqrt{C \cdot F}$$

Among them, F is the comprehensive development index, considering the difference of the important level contributed by each subsystem, p1, p2, p3 are the weighting coefficients, and the general value is 0.333; H is the modified synergy efficiency value, which combines the total synergy efficiency level C and Development Index F.
In order to clarify the collaborative efficiency level of emergency information sharing in coastal cities, this paper refers to the hierarchical classification method proposed by Su Yi et al. (2018) to divide the H value into 4 levels\(^{[33]}\), as shown in Table 3.

| H     | [0,0.4) | [0.4,0.6) | [0.6,0.8] | (0.8,1) |
|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Efficiency class | low | medium | high | Extremely high |

### 4. Empirical analysis

#### 4.1 Data acquisition

In this paper, 13 disaster events that occurred in coastal cities in China in the past 20 years are selected as samples, as shown in Table 6. Because most of the measurement indicators are qualitative indicators, it is difficult to obtain data through monitoring equipment, so the method of expert assignment is used to obtain the original data, and the method of 5-level quantity is used to assign values to each measurement indicator: "Good" = 5, "Better" = 4. "General" = 3, "Poor" = 2, "Bad" = 1. 45 experts were invited, including 6 maritime department management personnel, 10 emergency management personnel, 6 port management personnel, and 23 experts and scholars. Cronbach a coefficient and Bartlett test were used to test the reliability and validity of the sample data. The results show that the sample data assigned by experts can objectively reflect the attributes of 13 disaster events. The final score of each measurement index is counted according to the mode.

| Serial number | Type of disaster | Time of occurrence | Disaster Covered City | Disaster situation |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1             | Red tide         | May 10             | Ningbo                | Affected sea area 100km\(^2\) Wind power level 12, 278.8 thousand acres of affected farmland, 3625 people were killed and 3825 people were injured. |
| 2             | typhoon          | August 1           | Xiangshan             | Wind power level 10, 4,116 million mu of affected farmland, 134 deaths |
| 3             | typhoon          | September 3        | Lianjiang             | Wind power level 12, 1,007 million mu, 18 people killed and 55 injured |
| 4             | typhoon          | August 24          | Putuo                 | Wind power level 12, 1,007 million mu, 18 people killed and 55 injured |
| 5             | Red tide         | May 11             | East of Ningbo Nantian Island | The affected sea area is about 2000km\(^2\) |
| 6             | Red tide         | June 1-5           | The waters near the Yushan Islands | Affected sea area is 1000km\(^2\) |
| 7             | Red tide         | June 7             | Xiangshan near shore  | Affected sea area is 1000km\(^2\) |
| 8             | Heavy rain       | August 9-11        | Zhanjiang, Maoming, Meizhou | 1,165 million people were affected and 3665 houses collapsed |
| 9             | Red tide         | June 9             | Songlan Mountain to Tantou Mountain | Affected sea area 1600km\(^2\) |
| 10            | Heavy rain       | May 7              | Guangzhou             | 109 houses collapsed, 256,800 mu of farmland was submerged, 32,166 people were affected, 6 died, and the direct economic loss was 543.8 million yuan |
| 11            | Storm surge      | October 11-12      | Hebei Province, Tianjin City, Shandong Province | Direct economic loss of 1.3 billion yuan, 200,000 people affected by the disaster |
| 12            | Storm surge      | March 4            | Weihai, Yantai, Weifang, Qingdao, Binzhou, Dongying | Direct economic loss of 1.927 billion yuan, 3 deaths, 7 missing |
Storm surge September 19
Zhuhai, Zhongshan, Jiangmen, Yangjiang, Zhanjiang, Maoming

6.52 million people were affected, 26 people were killed and missing, 15,322 houses collapsed, and direct economic loss was 11.38 billion yuan

According to the synergy efficiency measurement method in Section 3.2, the contribution level of each subsystem's order parameter, the comprehensive index F, the system's total synergy efficiency C, and the modified system efficiency H are calculated in sequence. Since the three subsystems are equally important, the values of \( p_1, p_2, \) and \( p_3 \) are 0.333, and the calculation results are shown in Table 7.

Table 7 Collaborative efficiency of emergency information sharing for 13 disaster events in coastal cities in China

| Serial number | Emergency information sharing mechanism disaster reduction level | Emergency information sharing resource support capability \( U_2 \) | Emergency information sharing collaborative promotion capability \( U_3 \) | Comprehensive Development Index \( F \) | Overall system coordination efficiency \( C \) | Modified collaborative efficiency \( H \) |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1             | 0.078                                           | 0.156                  | 0.172                       | 0.135           | 0.090           | 0.110           |
| 2             | 0.312                                           | 0.417                  | 0.192                       | 0.307           | 0.219           | 0.259           |
| 3             | 0.089                                           | 0.332                  | 0.467                       | 0.296           | 0.244           | 0.269           |
| 4             | 0.267                                           | 0.231                  | 0.189                       | 0.229           | 0.165           | 0.194           |
| 5             | 0.478                                           | 0.329                  | 0.172                       | 0.326           | 0.222           | 0.269           |
| 6             | 0.648                                           | 0.099                  | 0.219                       | 0.322           | 0.173           | 0.236           |
| 7             | 0.389                                           | 0.098                  | 0.319                       | 0.269           | 0.195           | 0.229           |
| 8             | 0.178                                           | 0.091                  | 0.319                       | 0.196           | 0.158           | 0.176           |
| 9             | 0.419                                           | 0.315                  | 0.091                       | 0.275           | 0.150           | 0.203           |
| 10            | 0.538                                           | 0.458                  | 0.510                       | 0.502           | 0.504           | 0.503           |
| 11            | 0.610                                           | 0.309                  | 0.698                       | 0.539           | 0.573           | 0.556           |
| 12            | 0.289                                           | 0.319                  | 0.107                       | 0.238           | 0.140           | 0.183           |
| 13            | 0.427                                           | 0.710                  | 0.300                       | 0.479           | 0.389           | 0.431           |

4.2 Results analysis and policy recommendations

(1) The overall efficiency of China's coastal cities' emergency information sharing is low

The revised collaborative efficiency in Table 7 is classified according to the classification criteria in Table 5. The results show that the revised collaborative efficiency levels of Event 10, Event 11 and Event 13 are medium, and their revised collaborative efficiency values are 0.503, 0.556 and 0.431, respectively. The coordination efficiency of the remaining 11 disaster events is of low grade, with the highest revised coordination efficiency value of 0.269 and the lowest of 0.110, indicating that the collaborative efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities in China is generally of low to medium grade, as shown in Figure 4.
(2) The contribution level of each subsystem has gradually increased

The 13 events in Table 7 are arranged in the order of occurrence time, and the calculation results show that: overall, the contributions of the "U1 = emergency information sharing mechanism construction level" subsystem, the "U2 = emergency information sharing resource support capability" subsystem, and the "U3 = emergency information sharing collaborative driving force" subsystem have increased smoothly. It shows that with the improvement of the disaster prevention and mitigation capabilities of coastal cities in China, the emergency information sharing and coordination capabilities are also increasing year by year, as shown in Figure 5.

(3) The contribution levels of the three subsystems are uneven

The average contribution level of the U1 subsystem among the three subsystems is 0.363 higher than the average contribution level of the U2 and U3 subsystems, indicating that the construction level of the emergency information sharing mechanism has increased significantly and plays a key role in the total contribution. The contribution level is still low (As shown in Figure 6), with an average contribution rate of only 0.316.
Policy suggestion: The above analysis results show that the overall low efficiency of emergency information sharing collaboration in coastal cities in China is due to the low level of emergency information sharing mechanism construction, weak emergency information sharing resource support capabilities, and insufficient emergency information sharing synergy.

(1) **Speed up the construction of emergency information sharing mechanism system in coastal cities**

Focus on strengthening emergency information collection and processing, emergency information sharing incentives, emergency information disclosure and reporting mechanism construction, improve emergency information supervision and regulation capabilities, and strengthen emergency information security guarantee measures;

(2) **Enhance the emergency shared resources guarantee capability of coastal cities**

Accelerate the formulation of emergency information standards, improve relevant information management policies and regulations, and improve emergency information processing technology and hardware and software equipment;

(4) **Comprehensively accelerate the construction of a coordinated driving force for emergency information sharing in coastal cities**

Accelerate research on safeguards to reduce barriers to emergency information sharing, clarify emergency information management functions, accelerate the integration of institutions across departments and cities, and increase emergency information service satisfaction.

**5 Conclusions**

Aiming at the problem of emergency information sharing mechanism and collaborative efficiency measurement in the coordinated management of disaster prevention and mitigation in coastal cities, a theoretical framework and operation mechanism of emergency information sharing based on the collaborative theory are proposed, and It also proposes the measurement index system and measurement method of coastal city emergency information sharing collaborative efficiency around the construction of coastal city emergency information sharing mechanism, resource guarantee capability and emergency information sharing synergy.

(1) **Established the theoretical framework and operation mechanism of emergency information sharing in coastal cities**
Introduce the theory of synergetic into the construction of emergency information sharing framework, by clarifying the operational relationship between the participants of emergency information sharing and information transmission, construct an emergency information sharing framework and operation mechanism suitable for the actual disaster prevention and reduction of coastal cities.

(2) Put forward an index system for measuring the efficiency of collaborative emergency information sharing in coastal cities

Based on the innovation communication theory, existing research results and extensive interviews, around the three dimensions of emergency information sharing mechanism construction, resource support capabilities and emergency information sharing synergy, through the selection of indicators. I propose that I can effectively evaluate emergency information index system for sharing collaborative efficiency.

(3) Propose a method for measuring the collaborative efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities based on the coupling degree model

With the help of the coupling theory in physics, through the evaluation of the contribution level of each subsystem and the modified coordination efficiency of the entire system, it is found that the efficiency of emergency information sharing in coastal cities in China is on the rise, and the contribution rate of the level of emergency information sharing mechanism construction is higher than the resource guarantee capability. Synergy with emergency information sharing. But overall, the efficiency of China's coastal cities in emergency information sharing is still at a low to medium level.

To a certain extent, this paper enriches the research content of emergency management, but in order to avoid the difficulty of obtaining monitoring data, the method of expert assignment is used to obtain the data of measurement indicators, which to a certain extent affects the credibility of the evaluation results, which needs to be further studied.
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