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Abstract

Schizophrenia can be classified into two separate syndromes: deficit and nondeficit. Primary, enduring negative symptoms are used to define the deficit form of the illness, which is believed to have a unique neurobiological substrate. Previous research suggests that an aberrant prefrontal-thalamic-parietal network underlies deficit schizophrenia. In this study we conducted diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) fiber tracking to assess the integrity of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), the major white matter tract that connects prefrontal and parietal cortical regions, in deficit and nondeficit people with schizophrenia. We also used proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) to assess neurochemistry in the left middle prefrontal and left inferior parietal cortical regions. Twenty subjects with schizophrenia (10 deficit and 10 nondeficit) and 11 healthy subjects participated in this study. Results revealed reduced fractional anisotropy (FA), an index of white matter integrity, in the right hemisphere SLF and frontal white matter in the deficit subjects. There were no differences in MRS metabolite concentrations among groups. To our knowledge, this is the first DTI study to show compromised integrity of the major white matter tract that connects
frontal and parietal regions in deficit schizophrenia. These findings provide further support for altered frontal-parietal network in deficit schizophrenia.
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**Introduction**

The deficit form of schizophrenia is characterized by primary, enduring negative symptoms (Carpenter et al, 1985). Deficit schizophrenia may have a unique etiology, biological substrate, disease course, and possible treatment profile distinguishable from the nondeficit form (Carpenter et al 1988; Kirkpatrick et al 2001). The majority of neurobiological evidence, mostly from neuroimaging studies, suggests that an aberrant prefrontal-thalamic-parietal network underlies deficit schizophrenia. Blood flow studies using positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) showed decreased prefrontal (Gonul et al 2003; Heckers et al., 1999; Lahti et al, 2001 and parietal (Lahti et al, 2001) cortical blood flow in deficit compared to nondeficit subjects. A preliminary PET glucose metabolism study revealed lower frontal, thalamic, and parietal glucose metabolism in deficit compared to nondeficit subjects (Tamminga et al, 1992).

Structural MRI studies findings are mixed. Compared to nondeficit subjects, deficit subjects showed right temporal lobe volume reductions (Galderisi et al., 2008) and bilateral frontal lobe increases (Buchanan et al., 1993). Compared to healthy control subjects, deficit subjects exhibited volume reductions in left superior temporal, left medial temporal, and medial frontal regions (Sigmundsson et al., 2001), or no brain volumetric differences (Buchanan et al., 1993; Gur et al., 1994). One study that investigated white matter volumes showed white matter reductions in the left temporal and frontal regions in deficit compared to healthy control subjects (Sigmundsson et al., 2001). In contrast, another study reported deficit subjects to have larger frontal white matter volumes than nondeficit subjects, but no differences existed when compared to healthy control subjects (Buchanan et al., 1993).

There are only two previous in vivo neurochemical investigations of deficit schizophrenia. One proton magnetic resonance (¹H-MRS) study reported decreased left and right medial prefrontal cortical N-acetylaspartate (NAA), a marker of neuronal integrity, in a small number of deficit (n=5) versus nondeficit subjects (n=17) (Delamillieure et al, 2000). In a second study, Sigmundsson and colleagues (2003) reported no differences in dorsolateral cortical NAA in deficit schizophrenia (n=25) compared to healthy control subjects (n=26).

Only two post-mortem studies have investigated deficit schizophrenia. These studies showed increased interstitial white matter cell number in parietal (Kirkpatrick et al, 1999) and middle frontal (Kirkpatrick et al., 2003) regions of deficit schizophrenia compared to nondeficit and healthy control subjects. In combination with the functional imaging studies, these results support the involvement of the prefrontal and parietal heteromodal cortical regions in the neuroanatomy of the deficit form of schizophrenia, though structural MRI studies raise the question of potential temporal lobe involvement.
Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a non-invasive neuroimaging technique that yields information about brain white matter integrity. It provides information about fiber tract organization and structure through quantification of the magnitude and direction of water diffusion in the brain. A common DTI measurement is fractional anisotropy (FA), an index of water diffusion ranging from 0 (isotropic) to 1. Several DTI studies in schizophrenia revealed lower anisotropy in frontal white matter (Buchsbaum et al., 1998), splenium of the corpus callosum (Foong et al., 2000), and whole brain white matter (Lim et al., 1999). However, no studies of deficit versus nondeficit schizophrenia have been published to date. DTI is an ideal technique to investigate the integrity of fiber tracts that connect the frontal and parietal regions, areas purported to be involved in deficit schizophrenia.

In the current study, DTI fiber tracking was conducted to assess the integrity of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), the major white matter tract connecting frontal and parietal regions, in deficit and nondeficit schizophrenia. FA was also investigated within the middle frontal and parietal white matter regions. In the same subjects, proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy was also used (¹H-MRS) to assess neurochemistry in the left middle prefrontal and left inferior parietal cortical regions.

We hypothesized that subjects with the deficit form of the illness would have compromised integrity of the SLF. This alteration would be reflected in reduced FA in the SLF. We predicted that FA in the white matter of the middle frontal, and parietal regions would also be reduced in the deficit group. Last, since deficit schizophrenia has been suggested to involve middle frontal and parietal cortices, we predicted that deficit patients would have (1) compromised neuronal integrity, as reflected by reduced NAA, and (2) altered glutamatergic metabolism, as reflected by reduced glutamate and glutamine (GLE), in the left middle frontal and left inferior parietal cortices.

**Methods**

**Course of events**

This study was conducted over two sessions separated by one to two weeks. Psychiatric and neuropsychological assessments were conducted during the first session and MR imaging was conducted during the second session. Patients were evaluated for psychopathology with the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS; (Overall and Gorham 1962)) and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS; Andreasen, 1984). All subjects completed the Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS; (Gold et al., 1999; Randolph, 1998) as a measure of general cognitive function. Session 1 took about 1–2 hours and was completed within approximately 1 week of MRS/DTI scanning. Subjects were paid $10.00 per hour for their time. Session 2 took approximately 1 hour and subjects were paid $100 for their time.

**Subjects**

Twenty outpatients with chronic schizophrenia treated with antipsychotic medication (10 deficit and 10 nondeficit) and eleven healthy volunteers participated in this study. The inclusion/exclusion criteria for volunteers with schizophrenia were: (1) diagnosis of...
schizophrenia as determined with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, Patient Version (SCID-P; First et al. 1995), (2) diagnosis of deficit or nondeficit schizophrenia as determined by the Schedule for Deficit Syndrome (Kirkpatrick, 1989); (3) no current or past neurological condition, (4) no DSM-IV substance abuse or dependence (other than nicotine) in the last 6 months, (5) clinically stable as determined by their treatment psychiatrist, and (6) right-handed. The inclusion/exclusion criteria for healthy volunteers were: (1) no past or present psychiatric disorder as determined with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, Non-Patient Version (SCID-NP; First et al. 1995), (2) no first-degree relatives with a diagnosis of a psychotic disorder, (3) no current or past neurological condition, (4) no DSM-IV substance abuse or dependence (other than nicotine) in the last 6 months, and (5) right-handed. Volunteers with schizophrenia were evaluated for their ability to provide informed consent before signing consent documents. All subjects gave written informed consent prior to participation in the study. This study was approved by the University of Maryland and Johns Hopkins University Internal Review Committees.

**MR Imaging**

MR scanning was conducted on a Philips Intera 3T MR scanner using a 6-channel head coil (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). DTI data was acquired with a spin echo single shot, echo-planar imaging sequence with sensitivity encoding (SENSE=2.5) encoding (2.2mm isotropic voxels, 212 × 212mm FOV, 96×96 acquired matrix), TR/TE = 6338/69ms, 60 slices for whole brain coverage, with diffusion gradients applied along 32 noncollinear directions at a b-factor of 700 s/mm². A minimally weighted image with b=0 s/mm2 was also acquired. Anatomical T₁-weighted images were collected with a ‘MPRAGE’ sequence (SENSE factor 2, 1 mm isotropic voxels, 256 × 256mm FOV, TR/TE/TI= 8/3.8/842.5 ms, flip angle= 8°). Diffusion weighted and MPRAGE images were co-registered to the minimally diffusion weighted image (b = 0 s/mm²) with ‘FLIRT’ (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/flirt; Jenkinson & Smith, 2001) using a 6 degrees of freedom (rigid body) model and correlation ratio cost function. The DTI gradient table, which specifies the orientation of the diffusion weighting vector for each diffusion weighted image, was corrected for slice angulation and the rotational component of image coregistration (Farrell et al, 2007). The co-registered diffusion weighted and MPRAGE anatomical images were used for all analyses.

Spectra were acquired with a point resolved pulse sequence (‘PRESS’, TR =2000 ms, TE=35 ms, 1024 points, 2000 Hz spectral width, 128 averages water-suppressed and 16 averages without water suppression) from 1.5× 1.5× 1.5 cm voxels placed in the left middle frontal and left inferior parietal regions. See Figure 1 for illustration of voxel placement and representative spectra. Voxels were placed in a manner to maximize the gray matter volume and minimize white matter and CSF. Water suppression was achieved using three chemical-shift selected (CHESS) pre-saturation pulses. All sequences fell within FDA guideline SAR limits of 3.0 Watts/kg average power deposition for head imaging.

Spectra were analyzed using fully automated curve fitting software, ‘LCModel’ (Provencher, 1999). Spectra were normalized to the unsuppressed water signal, yielding quantification of NAA, glutamate+glutamine (GLE), choline-containing compounds (Cho),
and creatine (Cr) in mM quantities. Metabolite concentration uncertainties that exceeded a Cramer-Rao Bounds of 20% were considered poor quality and were excluded from further analyses. Spectra were also considered poor quality and rejected from further analyses if the metabolite linewidth (full width half maximum) exceeded 0.1ppm, or if obvious movement artifact was observed by visual inspection.

**Fiber Tractography**

Analysis of the DTI data (i.e. computation of fractional anisotropy (FA) maps, color maps, and fiber tracking) was done using DTIStudio (Jiang et al, 2006). To segment SLF fibers, one seed region of interest (ROI) was drawn around the SLF on the coronal color map image at the level of the posterior portion of the putamen (Wakana et al, 2004). The SLF fibers in the left and right hemispheres were delineated separately. 3D tract reconstruction was automatically computed in DTIStudio using the Fiber Assignment by Continuous Tracking algorithm (FACT), with stopping criteria being a FA threshold of less than 0.25, or a change in fiber angle from one pixel to the next of 70° or greater. The raters then eliminated those fibers that did not anatomically fall within the SLF by overlaying each individual’s SLF fiber tract onto the corresponding co-registered MPRAGE anatomical image. The elimination of fibers falling outside the SLF was achieved through visual inspection, and using a white matter atlas as a guide (Mori et al., 2005). Fiber tract measures that were analyzed included average fiber length (mm) and FA. These were automatically computed with DTIStudio. See Figure 2 for illustration of SLF fiber tracking.

To determine the reliability of SLF fiber tracking, two raters completed measurements on 5 brains. The inter-rater reliability was good with the intraclass correlations (ICCs) greater than 0.90 for the SLF fiber tract characteristics (i.e. mean fiber length, volume, FA). After good inter-rater reliability was established, one rater (RWB) completed all measurements.

**Frontal and Parietal FA**

Volumetric white matter values were obtained through manual ROI measurements drawn in the middle frontal (MF) by EAS and inferior parietal (IP) by AF on MPRAGE anatomical images that were coregistered to the DTI b=0s/mm image. White matter was segmented through manual ROI tracings within DTIStudio for each individual subject. These ROIs were then overlaid on the FA images to obtain FA only within these white matter ROIs. The method for middle frontal and inferior parietal volumetric measurements were similar to Buchanan et al. (1998, 2004). Inter-rater reliability was good (ICCs > 0.9). To obtain FA values, the white matter ROIs were overlaid on FA images created from the coregistered DTI images in DTIStudio. Both hemispheres were examined.

**Data Analyses**

DTI and ¹H-MRS measures were analyzed with one-way ANOVA with α = 0.05. Due to the preliminary nature of this study, DTI measures were analyzed separately per hemisphere and statistically significant effects were followed up with post-hoc tests, not corrected for multiple comparisons. The relationships between DTI and ¹H-MRS measures and psychiatric ratings were computed with Pearson’s product moment correlations. Analyses
were conducted with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 12.0 software package.

**Results**

Subject demographic and clinical characteristics are given in Table 1. As expected, there was a significant difference in years of education amongst groups (F(2,28) = 7.0, p < 0.01); post-hoc tests revealed less years of education in deficit subjects compared to healthy controls (p < 0.05). Also as expected, there was a significant difference in RBANS scores amongst groups (F(2,28)=6.2, p < 0.01); post-hoc tests revealed lower RBANS scores in both deficit and nondeficit subject groups compared to healthy controls (p < 0.01). There were no significant differences in age, duration of illness, gender, or ethnicity between groups (all p’s > 0.05). The deficit group had significantly higher SANS scores compared to the nondeficit group (t(18) = 2.9, p < 0.05). In addition to not meeting DSM-IV criteria for substance abuse or dependence (other than nicotine) in the last 6 months, no deficit subjects met lifetime criteria for alcohol/substance dependence and only 1 nondeficit subject met criteria for lifetime substance dependence (marijuana), which resolved over 4 years prior to the study scans. In terms of alcohol/substance abuse, two deficit and 3 nondeficit subjects met criteria for lifetime alcohol abuse, and 1 deficit and 3 nondeficit subjects met criteria for lifetime substance abuse. All of these subjects, except for one nondeficit subject, had not abused alcohol or substances for at least five years prior to their scan. The one nondeficit subject had their alcohol abuse resolve 2.5 years prior to the study scans. The numerically greater lifetime history of substance/alcohol abuse/dependence disorders in the nondeficit subjects is consistent with previous studies of substance/alcohol abuse and dependence in deficit and nondeficit people with schizophrenia (Kirkpatrick et al., 1996). No additional differences in psychiatric symptoms between the deficit and nondeficit groups were observed.

**SLF Fiber Tracking**

Fiber tracking and FA measures were not completed for one subject with deficit schizophrenia due to motion artifact. There was a significant group difference in FA for the right hemisphere SLF (F(2, 27) = 3.6, p = 0.04; Cohen’s d effect size = 1.1). Post-hoc analyses revealed lower SLF FA in the deficit group compared to the healthy control group (p < 0.05). The deficit group had lower SLF FA compared to the nondeficit group with the difference approaching statistical significance (p = 0.07; Cohen’s d effect size = 0.86). The nondeficit group did not significantly differ from the healthy control group. Left hemisphere SLF FA values were not significantly different among the groups. SLF measures were not related to age, psychiatric symptoms or RBANS scores (all p’s > 0.05). Descriptive statistics for the SLF FA values are presented in graphical form in Figure 3.

**Middle Frontal and Inferior Parietal Region Measures**

Descriptive statistics and p values for both middle frontal and inferior parietal measures are presented in Table 2. White matter volume for middle frontal and inferior parietal regions did not significantly differ among groups (all p’s > 0.05). With respect to FA values, only the FA in the right hemisphere middle frontal region significantly differed among groups.
Post-hoc analyses revealed higher FA in the healthy control group compared to the deficit (p = 0.00; Cohen’s $d$ effect size = 1.9) and nondeficit (p = 0.037, Cohen’s $d$ effect size = 1.0) groups. The deficit group had a trend (p = 0.1; Cohen’s $d$ effect size = 0.75) towards lower FA compared to the nondeficit group. FA in the right inferior parietal region approached a significant difference among groups (F(2,27) = 3.0, p = 0.07), with the deficit group having the lowest FA values. Middle frontal and inferior parietal volume and FA measures were not related to positive or negative symptoms or RBANS scores (all p’s > 0.05).

Middle frontal volume and FA were significantly related to age (right volume: $r = -0.4$; left volume: $r = -0.38$, right FA: $r = -0.42$, left FA: $r = -0.47$ (p’s < 0.05). However, when age was included as a covariate, the outcome of the statistical analyses did not change. Inferior parietal volume and FA measures were not related to age.

**1H-MRS**

Spectra were not available from 3 subjects due to either poor quality (1 healthy control, 1 nondeficit subject) or to severe movement halting spectra data acquisition (1 deficit subject). Contrary to expectations, there were no statistically significant differences between groups for any spectroscopic measures for the left middle frontal and left inferior parietal regions (all p’s > 0.05). Spectroscopic measures were not related to age, positive or negative symptoms, RBANS scores, or FA measures (all p’s > 0.05). Descriptive statistics and p values are presented in Table 3.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to use DTI to investigate the white matter integrity of the SLF, middle frontal, and inferior parietal regions in deficit and nondeficit schizophrenia. We demonstrate a reduction in FA of the right hemispheric SLF and middle frontal region in deficit schizophrenia compared to healthy control subjects. The differences between deficit and nondeficit groups on these measures approached statistical significance. There was no significant difference between nondeficit and healthy control groups in SLF FA, but middle frontal FA was lower in nondeficit compared to healthy controls. The finding of reduced middle frontal FA in schizophrenia is a consistent finding (for review see Kubicki et al 2007).

To our knowledge, only one other DTI tractography study on the SLF has been conducted in schizophrenia (Jones et al., 2006). Our finding of reduced FA in the right SLF in deficit subjects is partially consistent with this study, in which they showed reduced FA in the SLF in schizophrenia (Jones et al. 2006). Due to the small sample size, the study by Jones et al (2006) was not able to investigate the differences between subjects based on clinical characteristics. Therefore, it is possible that the FA reduction in the SLF is more prominent in those people with primary enduring negative symptoms as we have observed.

Reduced FA could indicate impaired myelination, altered fiber organization, or aberrant axon morphology. It is unlikely that reduced FA reflects demyelination because there does not appear to be an active deteriorating white matter process in schizophrenia similar to that
observed in other diseases such as multiple sclerosis. In addition, we did not observe any white matter volume alterations across the three groups. Rather, reduced FA may reflect dysmyelination, or problems with the production or structure of myelin. Post-mortem evidence supports this notion. Ultrastructural abnormalities in myelin (Uranova et al 1996, 2001), and alterations in oligodendrocyte density or number (Hof et al 2003; Uranova et al 2004; Vostrikov et al 2004) have been reported in schizophrenia. It is also plausible that reduced FA reflects aberrant fiber orientation or axon morphology. Post-mortem research has revealed atrophy of axons in schizophrenia (Uranova et al., 2007). Reduced FA in the middle frontal region and SLF could also reflect the post-mortem findings of increased interstitial cells of the white matter in deficit compared to nondeficit schizophrenia (Kirkpatrick et al, 1999; Kirkpatrick et al., 2003). Although we are unable to pinpoint the exact pathological process occurring in white matter in schizophrenia, it is likely that reduced FA in the right middle frontal region and SLF reflects compromised white matter connectivity in deficit schizophrenia.

Reduced FA in deficit subjects was confined to the right hemisphere. There are several studies that complement this lateralized finding. Some MEG and EEG studies revealed a relationship between negative symptoms and reduced right hemisphere M50 sensory gating (Thoma et al, 2005), and reduced EEG alpha coherence between right hemisphere frontal and parietal regions (Merrin & Floyd, 1996). Consistently, subjects with predominantly negative symptoms have lower right hemisphere glucose metabolism during a continuous performance task (Potkin et al, 2002), and abnormal right hemisphere frontal and parietal regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) during word production tasks (Artiges et al, 2000). Lahti et al. (2001) observed reduced rCBF specifically in right hemisphere middle frontal and inferior parietal regions during an effortful auditory discrimination task in deficit versus nondeficit subjects. A recent structural MRI study found less right temporal lobe volume in deficit subjects compared to nondeficit subjects (Galderisi et al, 2007). The right hemisphere is more specialized than the left hemisphere in emotion perception and interpretation (for review see Kucharska-Pietura, 2006; Pell, 2006). People with schizophrenia show emotional processing and interpretation impairments more comparable to people with right-sided hemisphere damage than those with left-sided hemisphere damage (for review see Kucharska-Pietura, 2006; Blake, 2003; Mitchell & Crow, 2005). People with the deficit form of schizophrenia are more severely impaired in both emotional expression and perception than people with the nondeficit form of the illness. Therefore, this may help to explain our and other researchers observations of right hemisphere brain alterations in people with greater negative symptoms.

White matter alterations observed in the deficit group were not related to positive or negative symptoms. This may indicate that the relationship between white matter alterations and negative symptoms is more complicated than a linear pattern, or due to the preliminary nature of this study (i.e. underpowered). There have been very few studies that revealed a relationship between DTI indices and psychiatric symptoms in schizophrenia. There are reports of correlations between negative symptoms and DTI indices within white matter regions near the insula (Skelly et al. 2008; Shin et al 2006) and inferior frontal regions (Wolkin et al. 2003). However, the majority of DTI studies have not found a relationship
between psychiatric symptoms and DTI indices in schizophrenia (for review see Kubicki et al., 2007).

We did not find any significant differences in spectroscopic measures between groups. There are several plausible reasons for the negative findings. First, there may be no spectroscopic differences between deficit and nondeficit schizophrenia in the middle frontal and inferior parietal cortical regions. Our middle frontal region negative findings are consistent with a larger study demonstrating no NAA, Cho, or Cr differences in the middle frontal cortex in deficit and nondeficit schizophrenia (Sigmundsson et al. 2003). Second, it is possible that differences may lie in different cortical regions, as Delamillieure et al (2000) found NAA differences in a medial frontal region in a small number of deficit subjects compared to nondeficit subjects. Third, due to time constraints we were not able to assess the right hemisphere. It is possible that MRS differences lie within the right hemisphere in deficit schizophrenia, similar to the DTI differences observed in this study. Last, MRS differences may be much more pronounced in white matter in deficit schizophrenia. This would be consistent with our DTI findings.

There are several limitations of this study. First, the results are preliminary. Data must be acquired on more subjects to substantiate these findings. Second, spectra should be acquired from frontal and parietal regions in both hemispheres. It is possible that middle frontal and inferior parietal cortical NAA and GLE are reduced in the right hemisphere in deficit subjects. Proton spectra should also be acquired from white matter regions to determine if neurochemical alterations coincide with SLF and middle frontal FA reductions. A control region that falls outside the SLF and frontal and parietal regions for white matter FA should be investigated to determine the regional specificity of these findings. Last, we cannot fully rule out the possibility of an antipsychotic effect on FA. However, both the deficit and nondeficit groups were comparable with respect to the type of medications used.

In summary, we present evidence for compromised integrity of right hemisphere SLF and middle frontal white matter in deficit schizophrenia. These findings indicate that the major white matter connection between frontal and parietal regions is likely disrupted and contributes to the deficit form of schizophrenia. Future studies should further consider the impact of primary, enduring negative symptoms in schizophrenia on DTI indices.
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Figure 1.
Illustration of Spectroscopic Voxel (1.5cc3) Placement for Left Middle Frontal Region (A) and Left Inferior Parietal Region (B) and Representative Spectra (C)
The method was similar to Wakana et al, 2004. An ROI was drawn around the SLF on the coronal color map image at the level of the posterior portion of the putamen. 3D tract reconstruction was automatically computed in DTIStudio using the FACT algorithm with a FA threshold set to > 0.25 and an angle of 70.

Figure 2.
Illustration of Superior Longitudinal Fasciculus (SLF) Fiber tracking method. Top panel shows the region used for seeding the fiber tracking algorithm, while the bottom panel shows a 3D representation of the fiber tracking results.
Figure 3.
Superior Longitudinal Fasciculus Fractional Anisotropy Values

$F(2,27)=0.6$, $p > 0.05$

$F(2,27)=3.6$, $p < 0.05$
* versus Control, $p < 0.05$
Nondeficit, $p = 0.07$
### Table 1

**Subject Characteristics. Mean (SD)**

|                   | Deficit (n=10) | Nondeficit (n=10) | Control (n=11) |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| **Gender**        |                |                   |                |
| Male              | 8              | 8                 | 8              |
| Female            | 2              | 2                 | 3              |
| **Age (years)**   | 43 (6)         | 40 (6)            | 37 (10)        |
| **Ethnicity**     |                |                   |                |
| Caucasian         | 7              | 4                 | 6              |
| African American  | 3              | 6                 | 4              |
| Hispanic          | 0              | 0                 | 1              |
| **Education (years)** | 12 (2.3)*   | 13 (1.6)          | 15 (1.7)       |
| **RBANS**         | 74.8 (14)*     | 77 (16)*          | 95.5 (14)      |
| **Duration of illness (years)** | 24 (8)       | 19 (7)            |                |
| **Psychiatric Ratings** |            |                   |                |
| SANS              | 36.4 (13.6)+   | 21.3 (9.7)        |                |
| BPRS (total)      | 37.1 (7.6)     | 34.2 (9.4)        |                |
| BPRS (+ subscale) | 10.6 (4.9)     | 10.4 (4.6)        |                |
| BPRS (– subscale) | 10.4 (4.4)     | 7.5 (3.7)         |                |
| **Antipsychotic Medications** |          |                   |                |
| Olanzapine        | 4              | 3                 |                |
| Risperidone       | 2              | 1                 |                |
| Fluphenazine      | 3              | 0                 |                |
| Clozapine         | 0              | 2                 |                |
| Clozapine+Risperdal | 1            | 2                 |                |
| Clozapine+Seroquel | 0            | 1                 |                |
| Haloperidol       | 0              | 1                 |                |

* p < 0.05, versus Control

+ p < 0.05, versus Nondeficit
Table 2

Middle Frontal (MF) and Inferior Parietal (IP) White Matter Volume and Fractional Anisotropy (FA).

|                  | Left Hemisphere, mean (SD) | Right Hemisphere, mean (SD) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                  | Control        | Deficit        | Nondeficit   | p          | Control        | Deficit        | Nondeficit   | p          |
| MF FA            | 0.40 (0.03)    | 0.39 (0.02)    | 0.39 (0.03)  | 0.56       | 0.41 (0.02)    | 0.37 (0.02)*  | 0.39 (0.02)*  | <0.01      |
| MF Volume (cc)   | 10.7 (1.7)     | 9.2 (3.0)      | 11.0 (3.1)   | 0.31       | 11.8 (2.2)     | 10.1 (3.5)    | 11.3 (3.0)   | 0.43       |
| IP FA            | 0.38 (0.09)    | 0.39 (0.03)    | 0.39 (0.02)  | 0.76       | 0.40 (0.08)    | 0.37 (0.04)   | 0.39 (0.04)  | 0.07       |
| IP Volume (cc)   | 4.5 (1.5)      | 4.8 (1.7)      | 5.0 (1.3)    | 0.78       | 4.6 (1.1)      | 5.4 (2.1)     | 4.9 (1.9)    | 0.54       |

* versus Control, p < 0.05
Table 3

Left Middle Frontal and Left Inferior Parietal $^1$H-MRS Measures.

|                         | Control | Deficit | Nondeficit | p   |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|------------|-----|
| Left Middle Frontal (Mean (SD)) |         |         |            |     |
| NAA                     | 8.7 (0.6) | 8.7 (1.7) | 8.2 (1.0) | 0.5 |
| Glx (glu + gln)         | 10.7 (2.5) | 11.1 (2.4) | 9.6 (2.0) | 0.4 |
| Cho                     | 1.8 (0.2)  | 1.7 (0.4)  | 1.9 (0.2)  | 0.5 |
| Cr                      | 7.7 (0.7)  | 7.4 (1.4)  | 7.3 (0.4)  | 0.5 |
| Left Inferior Parietal (Mean (SD)) |         |         |            |     |
| NAA                     | 8.9 (1.5)  | 8.2 (0.7)  | 8.5 (1.3)  | 0.5 |
| Glx (glu + gln)         | 11.0 (1.9) | 11.6 (1.9) | 11.4 (1.6) | 0.6 |
| Cho                     | 1.6 (0.3)  | 1.7 (0.3)  | 1.9 (0.2)  | 0.1 |
| Cr                      | 7.5 (0.8)  | 7.0 (1.0)  | 7.8 (1.3)  | 0.3 |

* Metabolites are presented in institutional values.