Structural Engineering Effects on Hump Characteristics of ZnO/InSnO Heterojunction Thin-Film Transistors
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Abstract: Transparent conductive oxides (TCO) have been extensively investigated as channel materials for thin-film transistors (TFTs). In this study, highly transparent and conductive InSnO (ITO) and ZnO films were deposited, and their material properties were studied in detail. Meanwhile, we fabricated ZnO/ITO heterojunction TFTs, and explored the effects of channel structures on the hump characteristics of ZnO/ITO TFTs. We found that \( V_{\text{hump}} - V_{\text{ON}} \) was negatively correlated with the thickness of the bottom ZnO layer (10, 20, 30, and 40 nm), while it was positively correlated with the thickness of the top ITO layer (3, 5, 7, and 9 nm), where \( V_{\text{hump}} \) is the gate voltage corresponding to the occurrence of the hump and \( V_{\text{ON}} \) is the turn-on voltage. The results demonstrated that carrier transport forms dual current paths through both the ZnO and ITO layers, synthetically determining the hump characteristics of the ZnO/ITO TFTs. Notably, the hump was effectively eliminated by reducing the ITO thickness to no more than 5 nm. Furthermore, the hump characteristics of the ZnO/ITO TFTs under positive gate-bias stress (PBS) were examined. This work broadens the practical application of TCO and provides a promising method for solving the hump phenomenon of oxide TFTs.
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1. Introduction

Oxide thin-film transistors (TFTs) are one of the most important applications of transparent conductive oxides (TCO). Recently, TFTs based on TCO have been extensively investigated for the purpose of achieving transparent and flexible displays [1–4]. Among the candidates of TCO, InSnO (ITO), and ZnO are considered to be promising channel materials of TFTs since they combine excellent electrical properties and high transmittance [5,6]. Furthermore, heterojunction TFTs, which have bilayer-structure channel, have currently drawn considerable attention. Compared with the conventional oxide TFTs with single-layer channels, the heterojunction TFTs are more flexible in device configuration; thus, they better balance performance with stability [7–9]. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the heterojunction oxide TFTs further.

As a crucial issue to be solved, the hump phenomenon emerging in transfer curves negatively shifts the turn-on voltage \( (V_{\text{ON}}) \) of the oxide TFTs, which not only adversely affects pixel brightness but also increases the power consumption of the displays [10]. The hump phenomenon has been widely examined for the single-channel oxide TFTs [11–14]. Mechanisms including edge effects, charge trapping, multiple current paths, and creation of donor/acceptor-like defects have been proposed [15–20]. Nevertheless, there are relatively few studies on the hump phenomena of heterojunction TFTs. Maeng et al. reported the appearance of humps in heterojunction TFTs as the total channel thickness exceeded 80 nm, and this was explained as being a result of back channel conduction [21]. Zhao
et al. demonstrated that the humps in heterojunction TFTs are related to channel thickness and results from large total carrier numbers [22]. Even though these studies involve the hump phenomenon in heterojunction TFTs, the effects of channel structure on hump characteristics have not yet been fully studied. Therefore, further investigation is required to make an in-depth and comprehensive analysis of the influence of channel structure on hump characteristics.

In this work, we examined ZnO/ITO heterojunction TFTs. A systematic study on the characteristics of transparent conductive ITO and ZnO films was conducted, including optical transmittance, carrier concentration, material components, and surface morphology. Furthermore, we determined the effects of the channel structure on the hump characteristics of ZnO/ITO TFTs, and explored the hump characteristics under positive gate-bias stress (PBS).

2. Experimental Methods

2.1. Fabrication of Films and Devices

The ZnO and ITO films were deposited on both amorphous glass substrates and single-crystal Si substrates, in which ZnO film was deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD) at 120 °C and ITO film was sputtered at room temperature. Figure 1 illustrates the device structure of the ZnO/ITO TFTs. A heavy-doped Si wafer was utilized as a substrate and gate electrode. Firstly, 25-nm HfO$_2$ was sputtered at room temperature, and 15-nm Al$_2$O$_3$ was deposited by ALD at 100 °C. Subsequently, the ZnO/ITO heterojunction channel was deposited, where the ZnO layer and ITO layer were deposited using the deposition process mentioned above. Trimethylaluminum (TMA), diethylzinc (DEZ), and deionized water (H$_2$O) were the precursors for the aluminum, zinc, and oxygen elements, respectively. Finally, a 100-nm Al film was sputtered as source/drain electrode. Two experimental groups (A and B) were designed, and corresponding channel structures are also shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic of the ZnO/ITO TFTs used in this article.](image)

| Group   | ZnO (nm) | ITO (nm) |
|---------|----------|----------|
| Group A |          |          |
| 10      | 9        |
| 20      | 9        |
| 30      | 9        |
| 40      | 9        |
| Group B |          |          |
| 25      | 3        |
| 25      | 5        |
| 25      | 7        |
| 25      | 9        |

2.2. Characterization of Films and Devices

Before measurement, the films and devices were subjected to post-annealing treatment at 100 °C in vacuum for 1 h. To obtain the transparency and optical band gap of the ZnO and ITO films, we tested the transmittance (Zolix Omni-500, Beijing, China). The carrier concentration and resistivity were determined by Hall measurement (Ecopia HMS-3000, Anyang, South Korea). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Kratos Axis Ultra, Manchester, United Kingdom) was performed to analyze the chemical composition and elemental states. Furthermore, the surface morphology and microstructure were characterized by atomic force microscope (AFM, Dimension Icon, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA; Tipsmodel, Scan ASYST-Air) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Tecnai F20, Hillsboro, OR, USA), respectively. Furthermore, all the electrical characteristic curves of the TFTs
were characterized using a semiconductor device analyzer (Agilent B1500A, Palo Alto, CA, USA) under dark ambient conditions at room temperature.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Material Properties of ZnO and ITO Films

The transmittance spectra of the ZnO and ITO films on glass substrates were characterized, as revealed in Figure 2a. The testing methods were as follows. First, a bare glass substrate was measured as the reference value ($T_r$). Then, the ZnO and ITO films on the glass substrates were measured as the characteristic value ($T_c$). Finally, we calculated the transmittance with the characteristic value divided by the reference value ($T_r/T_c$). Clearly, both the ZnO and ITO films possessed an average optical transparency of over 90% in the visible light range. Furthermore, transmittance of the ITO film was higher than that of the ZnO film. The relationship between the optical energy gap ($E_{\text{opt}}$) and $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ of the ZnO and ITO films is shown in Figure 2b,c, respectively. Here, the $E_{\text{opt}}$ of ZnO and ITO films were calculated using the following equations:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{1}{T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$(\alpha h\nu)^2 = D(h - E_{\text{opt}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\alpha$ is the optical absorption coefficient; $t$ is the thickness of the film; $T$ is the average optical transparency; $h$ is the Planck constant; and $D$ is a constant [23]. It is shown that the $E_{\text{opt}}$ value of the ZnO and ITO films is 3.31 and 3.09 eV, respectively.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** (a) Transmittance of ZnO and ITO films. $(\alpha h\nu)^2$-$h\nu$ curves of (b) ZnO and (c) ITO films. ZnO and ITO films were deposited on glass substrates.

The carrier concentration and conductivity of the single ITO and ZnO films were determined by Hall measurement, as shown in Table 1. The results showed that the carrier concentration and conductivity of the ITO film were three orders of magnitude higher than...
those of the ZnO film. Figure 3 shows the XPS O 1s spectra for the ZnO and ITO films. Firstly, the binding energy (BE) was calibrated by the standard C 1 s line at 284.80 eV [24]. Based on Gaussian fitting, the O 1 s spectra were divided into three individual peaks centered at ~530.1 eV (O1 peak), ~531.1 eV (O2 peak), and ~532.1 eV (O3 peak). The O1 peak represents the metal–oxygen lattice whereas the O2 peak represents oxygen vacancy. The O3 peak, however, is usually related to adsorbed oxygen [25,26]. Here, the area ratios of oxygen vacancy in the ZnO and ITO films were calculated to be 16.68% and 21.04%, respectively. Since the oxygen vacancy can serve as a shallow donor, the variation of oxygen vacancy concentration directly alters the carrier concentration of the film [27]. The intensity ratio of the O2 peak is consistent with the Hall effect results.

Table 1. Hall-effect results of ZnO and ITO films. ZnO and ITO films were deposited on glass substrates.

| Sample | Carrier Concentration (cm⁻³) | Conductivity (Ω⁻¹cm⁻¹) |
|--------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ZnO    | 1.63 × 10¹⁶                  | 1.78 × 10⁻²              |
| ITO    | 2.80 × 10¹⁹                  | 78.91                    |

Figure 3. XPS spectra of (a) ZnO and (b) ITO films. ZnO and ITO films were deposited on Si substrates.

We also examined the surface morphology of the ZnO and ITO films by means of AFM, as shown in Figure 4. The AFM images were measured in a 5 µm × 5 µm area. The root mean square (RMS) roughness of the ZnO and ITO films was 0.66 and 0.63 nm, respectively. A smooth surface is beneficial to reduce the scattering center and optimize the electrical properties of the oxide TFTs [28].

Figure 4. AFM images of (a) ZnO and (b) ITO films. ZnO and ITO films were deposited on Si substrates.
3.2. Hump Characteristics of ZnO/ITO TFTs

We conducted TEM measurement on the Al₂O₃/ZnO/ITO film, as shown in Figure 5. The figure shows that the Al₂O₃, ZnO, and ITO layers were stacked in order on Si substrate, and their respective thicknesses were about 15, 25, and 5 nm.

![Cross-sectional TEM image](image)

**Figure 5.** Cross-sectional TEM image of the Al₂O₃/ZnO/ITO film. Al₂O₃/ZnO/ITO film was deposited on Si substrate.

To investigate the effects of the thickness of the Zn layer (tZnO) on the hump characteristics, drain current–gate voltage (I_D–V_G) curves of the group A TFTs were measured, as shown in Figure 6a–d. For the sake of brevity, V_hump, which is the gate voltage corresponding to occurrence of the hump, was marked by red ellipses. We found that V_hump negatively shifted from 1.7 to −0.7 V but V_ON remained nearly constant as tZnO increased from 10 to 40 nm. The parameters of the group A TFTs are listed in Table 2, including the field-effect mobility (µ_FE), V_hump, and V_ON.

![ID–VG curves](image)

**Figure 6.** I_D–V_G curves for group A TFTs. The tZnO values are (a) 10 nm, (b) 20 nm, (c) 30 nm, and (d) 40 nm. The tITO value is 9 nm.
Table 2. Electrical parameters of ZnO/ITO TFTs, including $\mu_{FE}$, $V_{hump}$, and $V_{ON}$.

| $t_{ZnO}$ (nm) | $\mu_{FE}$ (cm$^2$/Vs) | $V_{hump}$ (V) | $V_{ON}$ (V) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 10            | 10.71           | 1.7            | $-2.9$      |
| 20            | 11.28           | 0              | $-2.9$      |
| 30            | 12.55           | $-0.1$         | $-2.8$      |
| 40            | 12.31           | $-0.7$         | $-2.8$      |

| $t_{ITO}$ (nm) | $\mu_{FE}$ (cm$^2$/Vs) | $V_{hump}$ (V) | $V_{ON}$ (V) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 3             | 13.06           | $-0.1$         | $-0.1$      |
| 5             | 15.69           | $-0.3$         | $-0.3$      |
| 7             | 18.30           | $-0.2$         | $-2.3$      |
| 9             | 18.64           | $-0.1$         | $-3.0$      |

To explore the effects of the thickness of the ITO layer ($t_{ITO}$) on the hump characteristics, the $I_D$–$V_G$ curves of the group B TFTs were also measured, as shown in Figure 7a–d. We can see that $V_{ON}$ negatively shifted from $-0.1$ to $-3$ V as $t_{ITO}$ increased from 3 to 9 nm. Clearly, TFTs with both 3-nm and 5-nm ITO layers showed no hump, so we defined their $V_{hump}$ to be equal to $V_{ON}$ values of $-0.1$ and $-0.3$ V, respectively. TFTs with 7-nm and 9-nm ITO layers, respectively, were found to have a $V_{hump}$ of $-0.2$ V and $-0.1$ V. The parameters of the group B TFTs are also listed in Table 2. Based on the above results, it could be determined that $V_{hump}$ depended on $t_{ZnO}$, while $V_{ON}$ and $\mu_{FE}$ mainly depended on $t_{ITO}$.

Figure 7. $I_D$–$V_G$ curves for group B TFTs. The $t_{ITO}$ values are (a) 3 nm, (b) 5 nm, (c) 7 nm, and (d) 9 nm. The $t_{ZnO}$ value is 25 nm.

The value of $V_{hump}$–$V_{ON}$ as a function of $t_{ZnO}$ and $t_{ITO}$ is depicted in Figure 8. We found that $V_{hump}$–$V_{ON}$, which is defined as an important parameter to measure the severity of hump phenomena, was negatively correlated with $t_{ZnO}$, but was positively correlated with $t_{ITO}$. Notably, by optimizing $t_{ITO} \leq 5$ nm, the hump could be eliminated.
Figure 8. $V_{hump} – V_{ON}$ versus $t_{ZnO}$ and $t_{ITO}$.

According to previous studies in the literature, the existence of two or more current paths is one of the important reasons leading to hump formation [12,16,18,21,29]. In this work, the generation of hump phenomena in ZnO/ITO TFTs should have resulted from the dual current paths through both the ZnO and ITO layers. Based on the experimental results, namely that $V_{hump}$ depended on $t_{ZnO}$ and $V_{ON}$ depended on $t_{ITO}$, we could determine that the ITO current path turns on before the ZnO current path, at $V_{GS} = V_{ON}$, while the ZnO current path turns on at $V_{GS} = V_{hump}$.

To provide the underlying mechanism of the hump characteristics of the ZnO/ITO TFTs, schematic diagrams that describe the current conduction in different channel structures are depicted, as shown in Figure 9a–d. For experimental group A, as $t_{ZnO}$ increased from 10 to 40 nm, the carrier number in the ZnO layer increased and the $V_{hump}$ was negatively shifted; thus, $V_{hump} – V_{ON}$ presented a decreasing trend, and the hump was weakened. Nevertheless, as the total current was mainly affected by the ITO layer with high carrier concentration, the total current remained nearly constant and resulted in a stable $\mu_{FE}$. For experimental group B, the current flowing through the ITO layer was improved as $t_{ITO}$ increased, as shown in Figure 9c,d. Thereby, as $t_{ITO}$ increased, $V_{ON}$ was negatively shifted, $\mu_{FE}$ and $V_{hump} – V_{ON}$ presented a positive correlation with $t_{ITO}$, and the hump was strengthened. Based on these results, it is clear that methods aimed at reducing the carrier concentration of the top channel layer—For example, adopting suitable post-processing treatment and optimizing process parameters—can be applied to suppress hump phenomena, and thus, these methods need further investigation.

Figure 9. Schematic diagrams of current conduction in channel structures of (a) ZnO/ITO (10 nm/9 nm), (b) ZnO/ITO (40 nm/9 nm), (c) ZnO (25 nm)/ITO (≤5 nm), and (d) ZnO (25 nm)/ITO (>5 nm).
Considering that many reported hump phenomena are induced by PBS, the PBS dependence of the hump characteristics was also investigated. Figure 10 displays the effects of PBS on the transfer characteristics of group B TFTs with \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) of 3 and 9 nm, and the value of \( V_{\text{hump}}-V_{\text{ON}} \) plotted against stress time is shown in Figure 11. With increasing stress time, no hump was created for TFTs with \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) values of 3 nm, but the hump was strengthened for TFTs with \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) values of 9 nm. Under PBS, electrons were trapped in \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) dielectric or/and at the \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{ZnO} \) interface, which influenced the shielding gate electric field [30,31]. When the \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) was 3 nm, \( V_{\text{ON}} \) and \( V_{\text{hump}} \) increased simultaneously with the stress time, so no hump occurred. When the \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) was 9 nm, \( V_{\text{hump}} \) increased but \( V_{\text{ON}} \) remained constant with stress time, and this was a result of the high carrier concentration of the ITO layer.

![Figure 10. PBS stability for ZnO/ITO TFTs with \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) values of (a) 3 and (b) 9 nm. The \( t_{\text{ZnO}} \) is 25 nm.](image)

![Figure 11. \( V_{\text{hump}}-V_{\text{ON}} \) versus PBS time for ZnO/ITO TFTs with \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) values of 3 and 9 nm. The \( t_{\text{ZnO}} \) value is 25 nm.](image)

### 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we first studied the material properties of the transparent conductive oxides ZnO and ITO. Further, we fabricated ZnO/ITO heterojunction TFTs and researched the effects of the channel structure on the hump characteristics. Our results showed that \( V_{\text{hump}}-V_{\text{ON}} \) negatively correlated with \( t_{\text{ZnO}} \) but positively correlated with \( t_{\text{ITO}} \). In particular, the hump was eliminated when the \( t_{\text{ITO}} \) did not exceed 5 nm. Based on these results, current conduction in two channel layers is proposed to explain these hump characteristics. It was also found that the devices showed more severe humps under PBS for thicker ITO layers. This study extends the practical application of TCO and is helpful in terms of overcoming the hump phenomenon of the oxide TFTs.
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