Chinese herbal medicine, Jianpi Ligan decoction, improves prognosis of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma after transarterial chemoembolization: a retrospective study
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Objective: This study aimed to investigate the efficacy of Jianpi Ligan decoction (JLD) as an adjuvant therapy for patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) treated by transarterial chemoembolization (TACE).

Methods: From March 2007 to March 2013, 103 patients with unresectable HCC who underwent TACE in our center were included in this retrospective study. Among the 103 patients, 53 patients accepted JLD along with TACE (JLD group) and 50 patients accepted TACE alone (control group). Indices including complication, toxicity, treatment success rate, and long-term survival were obtained for analysis and comparison.

Results: There was no significant difference in patient characteristics between the two groups. No procedure-related deaths or encephalopathy occurred. Fewer patients from the JLD group experienced constipation (7/53 vs 15/50, \( P = 0.0377 \)), abdominal bloating (5/53 vs 12/50, \( P = 0.0466 \)) and lack of appetite (35/53 vs 42/50, \( P = 0.0360 \)). The JLD group had lesser and lighter hepatic toxicity (\( P = 0.0265 \)) and gastrointestinal toxicity (\( P = 0.0445 \)) such as nausea and vomiting. The JLD group had a significantly higher treatment success rate than the control group (51/53 vs 40/50, \( P = 0.0103 \)). Three-year overall survival probability was significantly higher in the JLD group than in the control group (37.74% vs 26.00%; hazard ratio [HR] 0.6171; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3832–0.9938; \( P = 0.0365 \) by log-rank test). No significant difference was found in 3-year overall survival probability (39.22% vs 32.50%; HR, 0.7449; 95% CI, 0.4398–1.2614; \( P = 0.2491 \) by log-rank test) or 3-year intrahepatic recurrence-free survival probability in patients who achieved treatment success (37.25% vs 30.00%; HR, 0.7280; 95% CI, 0.4332–1.2233; \( P = 0.2087 \) by log-rank test) between the two groups.

Conclusion: Application of JLD was effective for reduction of side effects and improvement of long-term survival for patients with unresectable HCC treated by TACE.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), a common malignant tumor, is the fifth leading cause of tumor death in the world with an estimated incidence of more than one million new cases per year.1 Surgical resection and liver transplantation are the potentially curative HCC treatments, but less than 30% of patients are surgical candidates due to tumor extension, poor hepatic functional reserve, or underlying liver cirrhosis.2-4 Hence, new strategies to combat HCC are urgently needed. On the basis of the fact that liver
tumors derive their blood supply (90%–95%) mainly from the hepatic artery, whereas blood supply to liver tissues is delivered via the portal system, transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is considered to be an effective treatment for patients with HCC who are not suitable candidates for surgical operation. However, severe side effects including liver and renal failure, bone marrow depression, postembolization syndrome, and liver abscess were observed after TACE. Treatment is discontinued or delayed if intolerable side effects occur, which limits its overall therapeutic effect. Therefore, the long-term efficacy of this treatment is not satisfactory, and 5-year survival rate is lower than 10%.6,7

In contrast to western medicine, there is accumulating evidence suggestive of beneficial effects of plants used in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) and compounds isolated from medicinal plants.8,9 Increasing number of studies suggested that TCMs could improve the efficacy and relieve the side effects of TACE for patients with unresectable primary liver cancer.10 In clinical practice, we found that the Chinese herbal decoction Jianpi Ligan decoction (JLD) could relieve the side effects caused by TACE. The aim of the present retrospective study was to clarify the efficacy of JLD as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC patients treated with TACE.

Methods

Patients

Between March 2007 and March 2013, 103 patients with unresectable HCC who underwent TACE treatment in First People’s Hospital Affiliated to Huzhou University Medical College were enrolled in this retrospective study. Among those patients, 53 patients accepted JLD along with TACE (JLD group) and 50 patients accepted TACE alone (control group). The diagnosis of HCC was established either by histopathology or typical appearance of HCC on two sets of imaging studies (ultrasonography, computed tomography [CT], angiography, magnetic resonance imaging [MRI]) and based on high plasma levels of a serum alfa-fetoprotein value exceeding 400 ng/mL.11 Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age <75 years, Karnofsky performance score ≥70; 2) no indication for resection; 3) no previous systemic or local treatment; 4) liver function of Child–Pugh A–B; 5) no portal vein thrombosis or extrahepatic metastasis; 6) no refractory ascites or renal failure; and 7) complete clinicopathologic and follow-up data.

This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. Approval of the study was obtained from the Institutional research ethics committee of First People’s Hospital affiliated to Huzhou University Medical College.

Treatment

TACE was performed according to the Seldinger technique.12 After recognizing the feeding artery of the liver tumor under hepatic arteriography, chemotherapeutic agents (5-fluorouracil 1,000 mg/m², cisplatin 80 mg/m²) were slowly infused followed by 5–30 mL lipiodol with mitomycin-C (6 mg/m²) emulsion for embolization. The doses of chemotherapeutic agents were adjusted according to liver function and peripheral leukocyte or platelet levels. Stump occlusion of segmental or subsegmental feeding branches was performed with microfibrillar collagen (Avitene; Davol Inc., Cranston RI, USA) as needed to achieve stasis.

TACE was usually repeated every ~4 weeks; each TACE required hospitalization for 7–10 days, and hospitalization time was prolonged in patients with serious damage to liver function, bone marrow depression, or other major complications after TACE procedure.

Treatment efficacy was assessed by enhanced CT within 2 weeks after TACE. Treatment success was defined as no residue tissue in the liver detected by follow-up imaging. Once treatment success was achieved, no more TACE was delivered. TACE treatment was stopped once treatment success was accomplished or life-threatening side effects occurred.

The composition of JLD (Table 1) consisted of Radix Codonopsis 20 g, Rhizoma Atractylodis macrocephala 10 g, Poria cocos 15 g, Radix Glycyrrhizae 5 g, Rhizoma Dioscoreae 15 g, Rhizoma Pinelliae 10 g, Fructus Crataegi 15 g, lotus seed 20 g, Herba Artemisia Scoparia 50 g, and Pericarpium Arecae 25 g, which were purchased from Hangzhou Hu Qing Yu Tang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Hangzhou, People’s Republic of China). Herbal mixtures were made based on remedy menu

Table 1 Components of Jianpi Ligan decoction with Latin and English names

| Name in Latin            | Name in English          | Dose (g) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Radix Codonopsis         | Pilose asiabell root     | 20       |
| Rhizoma Atractylodis     | Largehead atractyloides  | 10       |
| macrocephala             | rhizome                  |          |
| Poria cocos              | Indian Buead             | 15       |
| Radix Glycyrrhizae       | Licorice root            | 5        |
| Rhizoma Dioscoreae       | Common yam rhizome       | 15       |
| Rhizoma Pinellae         | Pinelle tuber            | 10       |
| Fructus Crataegi         | Hawthorn fruit           | 15       |
| Semen Nelumbinis         | Lotus seed               | 20       |
| Herba Artemisia scoparia | Virgate wormwood herb    | 50       |
| Pericarpium Arecae       | Areca peel               | 25       |

Note: Italic represents the names of herbs which are written in Latin.
by an experienced Chinese medicine practitioner. The herbal
decoction was initially administered on the day of the perfor-
man ce of TACE and continued for 5 days after TACE.

**Outcome measurements and follow-up**
The side effects including complications and toxicities were
assessed. A major complication was defined as an event that
caused substantial morbidity and disability, increased the
level of care required, and resulted in hospital admission or
substantial lengthening of hospitalization. All other com-
lications were considered minor. Toxicity was assessed
before starting each treatment using the Common Toxicity
Criteria of National Cancer Institute. According to these
criteria, a score of 1 indicates mild adverse effects, a score
of 2 indicates moderate adverse effects, a score of 3 indicates
severe adverse effects, and a score of 4 indicates life-threaten-
ing adverse effects. Patients who failed to achieve treatment
success received symptomatic treatment and supportive care
instead of TACE after treatment discontinuation.

After the last TACE session, the patients were assessed
every 3 months for 2 years and every 6 months thereafter by
enhanced CT, ultrasonography, serum biochemistry, and
clinical examination. The patients were followed up until
the last follow-up or death. Overall survival was measured
from the date of treatment initiation to death or the date of
last follow-up. Intrahepatic recurrence-free survival of the
patients who achieved treatment success was measured from
the date of treatment initiation to intrahepatic recurrence,
death, or last follow-up, whichever came first. Intrahepatic
recurrence was defined as new lesions distant from the ini-
tial tumors or local tumor recurrence and was diagnosed on
the basis of imaging and, if necessary, cytologic analysis or
biopsy. According to our ethical committee, all the patients
with relapsing or progressive tumors were treated with the
best possible options (such as repeated TACE, radiofre-
cuency ablation, supportive care).

**Statistical analysis**
All the measurements were expressed as mean ± standard
deviation. The statistical analyses were performed using
the two-sample Student’s t-test and adjusted chi-square test
for the two groups. The exact chi-square test was also used
if individual cell size was less than 5 counts. Ridit analysis
was used to compare the toxicities of the two groups. Over-
all survival and intrahepatic recurrence-free survival were
analyzed by the Kaplan–Meier method, and survival curves
were compared by the log-rank test. P-value <0.05 was
considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**
There were no statistically significant differences between
the two groups in patient characteristics, in terms of age,
sex, Karnofsky performance score, alpha-fetoprotein level,
Child–Pugh class, number of tumors, main tumor size, and
background liver disease (Table 2).

**Treatment success**
In the JLD group, treatment success was achieved in
51 patients: after three TACE sessions in 30 patients and four
TACE sessions in 21 patients. In the control group, treatment
success was achieved in 40 patients: after three TACE sessions
in 22 patients and four TACE sessions in 18 patients (Table 3).
The JLD group had a significantly higher treatment success
rate than the control group (51/53 vs 40/50, P=0.0103).

**Complication and toxicity**
No procedure-related deaths or encephalopathy occurred.
Complications are shown in Table 4. Fewer patients from
the JLD group experienced constipation (7/53 vs 15/50,
P=0.0377), abdominal bloating (5/53 vs 12/50, P=0.0466),

**Table 2 Patient characteristics**

| Characteristics | Control group (n=50) | JLD group (n=53) | P-value |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (years)     | 47.22±11.21         | 46.75±12.47     | 0.8413  |
| Main tumor size (cm) | 5.45±1.34         | 5.62±1.31       | 0.5165  |
| Sex (n of cases) |                     |                 |         |
| Male            | 41                  | 45              | 0.6914  |
| Female          | 9                   | 8               |         |
| Child–Pugh class|                     |                 |         |
| A               | 17                  | 19              | 0.8441  |
| B               | 33                  | 34              |         |
| Number of tumors|                     |                 |         |
| 1–3             | 32                  | 31              | 0.5664  |
| >3              | 18                  | 22              |         |
| KPS             |                     |                 |         |
| 70              | 4                   | 5               |         |
| 80              | 13                  | 15              | 0.8063  |
| 90              | 18                  | 17              |         |
| 100             | 15                  | 16              |         |
| Background liver disease (cases) |  |         |         |
| HBV             | 24                  | 26              |         |
| HCV             | 6                   | 8               | 0.8589  |
| Other           | 20                  | 19              |         |
| AFP level (ng/mL) |                 |                 |         |
| <100            | 10                  | 12              |         |
| 100–400         | 18                  | 17              | 0.9003  |
| >400            | 22                  | 24              |         |

**Abbreviations:** AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; JLD, Jianpi Ligan decoction; KPS, Karnofsky performance score.
and lack of appetite (35/53 vs 42/50, \( P = 0.0360 \)). Details of toxicity are shown in Table 5. A total of 12 patients (ten from the control group and 2 from the JLD group) developed grade 4 toxicities, which led to termination of TACE. The JLD group had lesser and lighter hepatic toxicity \(( P = 0.0265)\) and gastrointestinal toxicity \(( P = 0.0445)\) such as nausea and vomiting.

### Overall survival

A total of 70 patients died during the first 3 years after treatment initiation, including 37 patients from the control group and 33 patients from the JLD group. In all, 25 patients in the control group and 21 patients in the JLD group died of progression of HCC. Other deaths were caused by hepatic failure. The 3-year overall survival probability was significantly higher in the JLD group than in the control group (37.74% vs 26.00%; hazard ratio [HR], 0.6171; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3832–0.9938; \( P = 0.0365 \) by log-rank test) (Figure 1).

Further, we performed survival analysis of the patients who achieved treatment success. Among those patients, 27 patients from the control group and 31 patients from the JLD group died during the first 3 years after treatment initiation. We found that there was no significant difference in the 3-year overall survival probability of patients who achieved treatment success between the two groups (39.22% vs 32.50%; HR, 0.7449; 95% CI, 0.4398–1.2614; \( P = 0.2491 \) by log-rank test) (Figure 2).

### Intrahepatic recurrence-free survival

Intrahepatic recurrence-free survival was analyzed in patients who achieved treatment success. A total of 60 patients developed intrahepatic recurrence during the first 3 years after treatment initiation including 28 of 40 patients from the control group and 32 of 51 patients from the JLD group. There was no significant difference in 3-year intrahepatic recurrence-free survival probability in patients who achieved treatment success (37.25% vs 30.00%; HR, 0.7280; 95% CI, 0.4332–1.2233; \( P = 0.2087 \) by log-rank test) (Figure 3).

### Tables

**Table 3** Treatment success

| Treatment events | Control group\((n=50)\) | JLD group\((n=53)\) | \( P\)-value |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Treatment success (n of cases) | 40 | 51 | 0.0103 |
| Treatment discontinuation (n of cases) | 10 | 2 | |
| Sessions of TACE for treatment success (n of cases) | 3 | 22 | 0.7160 |

**Table 4** Complications

| Complications | Control group\((n=50)\) | JLD group\((n=53)\) | \( P\)-value |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Fever         | 18 | 14 | 0.2935 |
| Pleural effusion | 11 | 8 | 0.3665 |
| Liver abscess | 2 | 3 | 0.9467 |
| Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis | 3 | 4 | 0.9364 |
| Abdominal pain | 14 | 10 | 0.2732 |
| Constipation | 15 | 7 | 0.0377 |
| Abdominal bloating | 12 | 5 | 0.0466 |
| Fatigue | 35 | 30 | 0.1591 |
| Lack of appetite | 42 | 35 | 0.0360 |

**Table 5** Toxicity

| Adverse event | Control group | JLD group | \( P\)-value |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| Neutropenia   | 3 | 13 | 20 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 22 | 0.1533 |
| Thrombocytopenia | 1 | 17 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 19 | 0.5897 |
| Anemia        | 0 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 0.1191 |
| Nausea/vomiting | 2 | 17 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 19 | 0.0445 |
| Diarrhea      | 1 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 0.0901 |
| Hepatic toxicity | 3 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 0.0265 |
| Stomatitis    | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0.2580 |

Abbreviations: JLD, Jianpi Ligan decoction; TACE, transarterial chemoembolization.
of China for a long time, has a unique theoretical system and practical approach to the treatment of diseases. Various formulas of Chinese herbal medicine were utilized as adjuvant treatment for patients with HCC undergoing TACE, radiofrequency ablation, or surgery and were found to have a positive effect in preventing and treating side effects after TACE.\(^{16-18}\) Therefore, utilization of TCM may effectively improve the tolerability and therapeutic effect of TACE.

JLD in the present study has been used as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC in our hospitals for a long time. In the prescription, \textit{Codonopsis pilosula} mainly tonifies the spleen and improves immunity, supplemented by \textit{Rhizoma Atractylodis macrocephala}, \textit{Rhizoma Pinelliae}, \textit{Fructus Crataegi}, and \textit{Rhizoma Dioscoreae} tonify the stomach, improve digestion, and relieve constipation. \textit{Poria cocos} and \textit{Pericarpium Arecae} promote urination and eliminate ascites. Lotus seed has the effects of clearing heat and tranquillization. \textit{Radix Glycyrrhizae} promotes detoxification and liver protection. \textit{Herba Artemisia scoparia} clears jaundice and promotes the recovery of liver function. All these ingredients are well organized and integrated in JLD with enhanced functions. Therefore, it is necessary to seek an approach to reduce the side effects of TACE. TCM, used in the People’s Republic

**Discussion**

TACE has been shown to provide a survival benefit as a palliative treatment modality for patients with unresectable HCC.\(^{14}\) Although a relatively safe procedure, TACE usually causes severe side effects that may halt the treatment and even result in TACE-related deaths or encephalopathy.\(^{15}\) Therefore, it is necessary to seek an approach to reduce the side effects of TACE. TCM, used in the People’s Republic

\[P = 0.0365\] by log-rank test. However, we found no significant difference in the 3-year overall survival probability \((P = 0.2087)\) of patients who achieved treatment success in the two groups. The improvement of prognosis in the JLD group could be associated with
a higher treatment success rate of TACE as a result of lesser and lighter side effects.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the application of JLD was effective for the reduction of side effects and improvement of long-term survival for patients with unresectable HCC treated by TACE, though the precise mechanisms of therapeutic effects of JLD on patients require further exploration. Because of the retrospective nature and the small sample size, further prospective studies with large sample size are needed to confirm the results of our study.
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