Qualitative and Molecular Screening of Potential Ligninolytic Microbes from Termite (Coptotermes curvignathus) Gut
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ABSTRACT

Ligninolytic microbes have great potential in converting high lignin by-products to more utilisable products by decomposing the lignin-rich agricultural and industrial wastes. Thus, the aim of this study are to screen and identify the potential ligninolytic microbes from the termite (Coptotermes curvignathus) gut. The study was conducted at Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, Malaysia. Twenty-seven microbes isolated from termite gut obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Agricultural Science and Forestry, were used for the ligninolytic activity screening. Media with four different ligninolytic indicator dyes (Azure B, phenol red, methylene blue, and Remazol Brilliant Blue) were streaked with microbial isolates and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Out of twenty-seven microbe isolates, only three (CH2, CH5, and CH9) isolates showed decolourisation zone indicating the positive presence of ligninolytic activity. The 16S rRNA gene sequence data indicated the isolates are highly homologous to Bacillus spp.
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INTRODUCTION

Lignin is one of the most abundant aromatic biopolymers in nature and accounts for nearly 30% in the secondary wall of plants (Chaurasia, 2019). The lignin content varies with species and generally ranges between 5% and 12% in monocotyledons, 25% and 35% in softwoods and 15% and 30% in hardwoods (Chandra et al., 2017). It is a necessary plant protection agent. Lignin is a phenolic polymer that can cause difficulty in industrial biomass processing. Lignin can be removed or modified via chemical, mechanical or enzymatic treatments. Ligninolytic enzymes are known for their roles in the degradation of various complexes and recalcitrant polymers and are highly versatile and ubiquitous in nature (Chandra & Chowdhary, 2015; Chowdhary et al., 2016).

Commercialisation and intensification of agricultural production to meet the increasing demand of the world's population has contributed to the mounting amount of agricultural waste. Agriculture by-products, which are naturally high in lignin, are posing threats to the environment due to their low biodegradability. Besides being the by-product of agricultural or agro-industrial activities, these ligninolytic wastes are also generated through sago industry, forestry, pulp and paper industries, and other timber-related industries. Thus, when discarded indiscriminately, these wastes may cause environmental pollution, including toxicity problem in waterways.

By-products with high lignin could be converted to useful products by degrading the lignin using bacteria. Ligninolytic enzymes produced by the bacteria are involved in the degradation of the complex and recalcitrant polymer lignin. In nature, many microbes could have ligninolytic activity, but these microbes are still largely unexplored.

Previous studies have evaluated a number of microbes, namely Bacillus spp., Citrobacter spp., Pseudomonas spp., Klebsiella pneumonia, and Serratia marcescens, that produced extracellular peroxidases which aid in lignin degradation (Mathews et al., 2014; Yadav & Chandra, 2018). The termite gut contains different kinds of
microbes. There are as many as 250 different species of microbes in the relatively tiny gut that help termites in degrading complex materials into different end products such as glucose and acetate, which are the primary source of energy (Wong et al., 2014). However, not all microorganisms in the termite gut help in lignin degradation. Different microbes (Bacteria, Archaea, and Eukarya) in the termite gut have different needs but share a common goal of degrading lignin and other components into different applicable products (Imagaki & Matsuzu, 2018; Kundu et al., 2019).

Microbes from the termite gut are known to be lignocellulosic enzyme producers, including Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Enterobacter, Streptomyces, and Paenibacillus (Dheeran et al., 2012; Matteotti et al., 2012). An early study on lignin degradation by bacteria isolated from termite gut has been reported by Azizi-Shotorkhoft et al. (2016). The bacteria were able to degrade 28% of dealkalized lignin 60% to 95% of lignin dimer compounds.

Research conducted by Jalali (2014) isolated 13 lignin-degrading bacterial strains from the gut of the termite Anacanthotermes vagans. The greatest lignin-degrading activity was recorded for the Enterobacter and Klebsiella strains. Additionally, Taylor et al. (2012) isolated nine mesophilic bacterial strains from forest soil incubated in enrichment cultures containing wheat straw lignocellulose namely four Microbacterium isolates, two Rhodococcus erythropolis (all Actinobacteria) and two Ochrobactrum isolates (Alphaproteobacteria). The study by Taylor et al. (2012) was the first experiment on the lignin degradation ability of Microbacterium strains and the first to report on the lignin-degrading ability of Microbacterium strains isolated from the termite gut.

Dashtban et al. (2010) reported more than 14,000 of fungal species expressing ligninolytic enzymes [laccase, lignin peroxidase (LiP) and manganese peroxidase (MnP)] in the environment. Most fungal species are found producing several synergistically active ligninolytic enzymes into the environment and considered contributing to the reduction of lignocellulosic waste (Taylor et al., 2012). However, limited information is available regarding the potential of isolated bacteria from the termite gut in degrading lignocellulosic materials (Azizi-Shotorkhoft et al., 2016). Therefore, this study aimed to screen and identify ligninolytic microbes from the gut of termite, Coptotermes curvignathus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Microbes

Twenty-seven microbes were previously isolated from the gut of termite Coptotermes curvignathus were used in this study. The microbes' isolates were obtained from the collection of the Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agricultural Science and Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia Bintulu Sarawak Campus, Malaysia. All microbes' isolates were grown on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar at 37 °C for 48 h. The grown microbes were subjected to the ligninolytic screening analysis.

Ligninolytic Screening Analysis Using Dye Decolourisation Assay

The procedures were as described by Husain (2006), Pangallo et al. (2007), Ang et al. (2011), and Bandounas et al. (2011). Four ligninolytic indicator dyes, namely Azure B, phenol red, methylene blue, and Remazol Brilliant Blue were used. The dyes were filtered and sterilized prior to adding them into the autoclaved media under aseptic condition. A Petri dish with LB agar 0.2 g/100 mL of Azure B (Archibald 1992), 0.1 g/100 mL of phenol red, 0.2 g/100 mL of methylene blue (Manji & Ishihara 2004), and 0.4 g/100 mL of Remazol Brilliant Blue (Kiiskinen et al., 2004) respectively were prepared and streaked with microbial isolates. The media were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. The plates without any inoculum were included as a control in this experiment. Each treatment was replicated three times. The plates were observed for the growth of the isolates and the presence of the decolourisation zones. The presence of the decolourisation zone of indicator dye was observed as it suggests the presence of ligninolytic activity. All microbes were then subjected to characterisation of the isolates via molecular analysis.

DNA Extraction from Microbial Isolates

A single colony was selected and inoculated into 2 ml of nutrient broth in a specimen glass bottle using an inoculating loop. The bacterial culture was incubated in a shaking incubator (WiseCube) at 37 °C and shaken constantly at 80 rpm for 24 h or longer to obtain maximum yield.
pure bacteria culture is important in determining the success rate of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction process.

The DNA extraction was done using the DNaseasy Blood and Tissue Kit from Qiagen based on the protocol provided in the kit. The bacterial pellet was suspended in 480 μL of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 120 μL of lysozyme. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Later, 25 μL of proteinase K and 200 μL of Buffer AL (supplied in the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) were added and mixed with the sample by vortexing. The sample was incubated at 56 °C for 30 min. Then, 200 μL of ethanol was added, and the sample was mixed by vortexing. The mixture was transferred to a 2 mL collection tube and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 1 min. The supernatant was discarded, and 500 μL of Buffer AW1 was added to the pelleted sample. It was centrifuged again at 8000 rpm for 1 min. The supernatant was discarded again and 500 μL of Buffer AW2 was added to the pelleted sample. The sample was then centrifuged again at 13200 rpm for 3 min to eliminate the chance of possible Buffer AW2 carryover. The spin-column of the tube was transferred to a sterilised 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, and 30 μL of Buffer AE was added to the sample. The sample was left to incubate at room temperature for 20 min and then centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 1 min to elute.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification of 16S rRNA**

The Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was used to amplify the bacterial DNA for preparation of DNA sequencing. In this study, the universal primers from Integrated DNA Technologies: 27F primer (5’-AGA-GTT-TGA-TCM-TGG-CTC-AG-3’) and 1492R primer (5’-GTT-ACC-CTT-AGT-TAC-GAC T-3’) were used. A master mix was prepared using the following ingredients: 2 μL of MgCl₂, 5 μL of 10X Buffer, 1 μL of dNTPs, 1 μL of each primer and 0.25 μL of Taq DNA polymerase. DNA template and ultrapure water were added to the master mix to make a total volume of 50 μL of mixture for PCR. The amplifications of PCR products were observed by running electrophoresis to verify the base pair ranges. For sequencing identification, 5 μL of purified DNA, together with both forward and reverse primer were sent to First BASE Laboratories Sdn. Bhd. for DNA sequencing. Comparison was done between DNA sequence of the samples obtained from First BASE Laboratories Sdn. Bhd. with the DNA sequences in the GeneBank database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST) server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) of the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Blast hits with E-value equal to 0 were taken as possible identities.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The termite gut bacterial isolates were screened for their ability to decolourise the synthetic dyes which mimicking the structure of lignin. Decolourised zones (Figure 1) were observed after 48 h for indication of ligninolytic activity by the microbial isolate. Results in Table 1 show that the microbial isolates CH2, CH3, CH5, CH9, H6 and TG005 were successfully decolourised the Azure B dye with different zone clearing capacity. The result was slightly similar when tested on the methylene blue dye. Isolate CH2, CH5, CH9, H2, H9, TG005, TG009 and G1004 were capable of decolourising the dye, demonstrating LiP enzymes’ presence (Zhou et al., 2017). The decolourisation zone was also identified in isolates CH2, CH3, CH5, CH9 and TG005 when the microbes were grown in the LB agar supplemented with the Remazol Brillant Blue dye. This may suggest that the microbes showed laccase activity (Tian et al., 2020). Only three isolates (CH2, CH5 and CH9) were able to decolourise phenol red dye indicating the production of MnP enzyme that oxidises the dye and removes the original red colour of phenol (Zainith et al., 2019).

Based on the preliminary screening result, 10 microbial isolates were identified to possess at least one ligninolytic enzyme activity, which may be potential for lignin degradation. Interestingly, three microbial isolates, CH2, CH5 and CH9 showed the ability to decolourise all four synthetic dyes, thus suggesting the isolates may also produce laccase, LiP, and MnP, which are crucial to degrade lignin into simpler substrates (Lai et al., 2017). Three isolates exhibited a dominant decolourisation zone compared to the others, insinuating that the isolates have a high potential to degrade lignin better or faster than other tested microbes in this study.

The 10 potential ligninolytic microbes were further subjected to 16S rDNA sequencing analysis for characterisation of the microbes. The result of the Blast against NCBI database is shown in Table 2. The result showed 100% similarity percentage...
Figure 1. Clear zone around the microbial colony confirms the secretion of ligninolytic enzymes by the isolate as shown on (a) Azure B; (b) Phenol red; (c) Methylene blue; and (d) Remazol Brilliant Blue plate

for the *Bacillus* spp. in CH2, CH5 and CH9. While 99% was recorded for *Acinetobacter* spp. in CH3, and the remaining isolates were unidentified.

*Bacillus* spp. have been reported to be the common bacteria involved in lignin decomposition process (Gonzal et al., 2016). The bacteria have been found in various sources such as soil beneath decomposing woods (Chang et al., 2014), water waste derived from pulp and paper mill (Zainith et al., 2019), rotten wood (Yang et al., 2017) and waste sludge of cow farmyard (Shah et al., 2019).

Previous initiatives of isolating lignin-degrading bacteria from the termite gut have successfully characterised *B. licheniformis* and *Enterobacter* spp. to have strong LiP activity (Zhou et al., 2017). Lai et al. (2017) further reported that *Bacillus* spp. are known to have the capability of producing *LiP*, *MnP* and laccase enzymes thus confirming the findings of this study. Recently, *Acinetobacter* has also shown the ability to break down both high and molecular weight lignin (Yang et al., 2017). *Acinetobacter colcoaceticus* has demonstrated *LiP* activity (Janusz et al., 2017), and this supports the screening result of CH3 in this study.

Current work suggested that the CH2, CH5 and CH9 isolates may have the potential to decompose lignin due to their ability to decolourise the lignin-like synthetic dyes. However, further characterisations will be needed to confirm the species and strain of the isolates. This includes the morphology, standard biochemical tests, cellular fatty acid profile analysis, and measurement of laccase, *LiP* and *MnP* enzyme activities of potential ligninolytic isolates.
Table 1. Growth of microbial isolates on lignin monomers

| (Microbes) Code | Ligninolytic Indicator Dyes |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | Azure B | Methylene blue | Remazol Brilliant Blue | Phenol red |
| CH2             | √       | √              | √                    | √          |
| CH3             | √       | +              | √                    | +          |
| CH5             | √       | √              | √                    | √          |
| CH9             | √       | √              | √                    | √          |
| H2              | +       | √              | +                    | +          |
| H3              | +       | +              | +                    | +          |
| H5              | +       | +              | +                    | +          |
| H6              | √       | +              | +                    | +          |
| H9              | +       | √              | +                    | +          |
| CMCB030/011     | +       | -              | +                    | -          |
| CMCB030/013     | +       | +              | +                    | -          |
| CMCB030/032     | +       | +              | +                    | -          |
| TG005           | √       | √              | √                    | -          |
| TG009           | +       | √              | +                    | +          |
| TG015           | -       | +              | +                    | -          |
| TG059           | +       | -              | +                    | -          |
| TG071           | +       | +              | +                    | +          |
| TG074           | -       | +              | +                    | +          |
| TG085           | -       | -              | +                    | -          |
| TG086           | -       | -              | -                    | -          |
| TG091           | -       | -              | -                    | -          |
| TG103           | -       | +              | -                    | -          |
| TG104           | +       | √              | +                    | +          |
| TG105           | -       | -              | -                    | -          |
| TG111           | -       | +              | -                    | -          |
| TH114           | -       | +              | +                    | -          |
| TG120           | -       | +              | +                    | -          |

Note: Codes indicate the unidentified microbes isolated from termite gut obtained from Microbiology Laboratory. Growth of microbes isolates with lignin monomer as sole carbon and energy source. (-), no growth; (+), growth; (√), growth with decolourised zone.
Table 2. Microbial identification by using 16S rRNA gene sequences

| Bacterial Isolate Codes | Blast Hits                        | Maximum Score | E Value | Similarity Percentage (%) | GenBank Accession |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| CH2                     | *Bacillus toyonensis* strain BCT-7112 | 806           | 0.00    | 100                       | NR121761          |
|                         | *Bacillus anthrasis* strain SBS1   | 806           | 0.00    | 100                       | NR118536          |
|                         | *Bacillus anthrasis* strain SB1    | 806           | 0.00    | 100                       | NR118379          |
| CH5                     | *Bacillus toyonensis* strain BCT-7112 | 1168          | 0.00    | 100                       | NR121761          |
|                         | *Bacillus cereus* strain ATCC14579 | 1168          | 0.00    | 100                       | NR074540          |
|                         | *Bacillus cereus* strain JCM2152   | 1168          | 0.00    | 100                       | NR113266          |
| CH9                     | *Bacillus toyonensis* strain BCT-7112 | 1236          | 0.00    | 100                       | NR121761          |
|                         | *Bacillus cereus* strain JCM2152   | 1236          | 0.00    | 100                       | NR113266          |
|                         | *Bacillus thuringiensis*           | 1236          | 0.00    | 100                       | NR112780          |
|                         | NBRC101235                         |               |         |                           |                   |
| CH3                     | *Acinetobacter dispersus* strain ANC4150 | 1186          | 0.00    | 99                        | NR148844          |
|                         | *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* strain ATCC23055 | 1175          | 0.00    | 99                        | NR119357          |
|                         | *Acinetobacter vivianii* strain NIPH2168 | 1173          | 0.00    | 99                        | NR148847          |
| H2                      | -NIL-                              | -NIL-         | -NIL-   | -NIL-                     |                   |
| H6                      | -NIL-                              | -NIL-         | -NIL-   | -NIL-                     |                   |
| H9                      | -NIL-                              | -NIL-         | -NIL-   | -NIL-                     |                   |
| TG005                   | -NIL-                              | -NIL-         | -NIL-   | -NIL-                     |                   |
| TG009                   | -NIL-                              | -NIL-         | -NIL-   | -NIL-                     |                   |
| TG104                   | -NIL-                              | -NIL-         | -NIL-   | -NIL-                     |                   |

Note: “NIL” Not In List
CONCLUSION

Lignin is a complex substrate known to require a suite of oxidative enzymes and assorted small molecule co-factors for its degradation. By exploring greater diversity of organisms that can react with and metabolise lignin, we may gain new insight into lignin degradation mechanism using a wide range of microbial-derived ligninolytic enzymes. To improve the access of microbial hydrolytic enzymes to cellulose and hemicellulose for digestibility improvement, it is necessary to break lignin–carbohydrate linkages in plant cell walls.

This research found three (CH2, CH5, and CH9) microbes isolated from the termite (C. curvignathus) gut that showed a positive presence of ligninolytic activity based on the decolourisation of all four lignin-like synthetic dyes (Azure B, phenol red, methylene blue and Remazol Brilliant Blue). Identification based on the 16S rRNA gene sequence further proved the three microbe isolates belonging to the genus Bacillus. Further research will be required to quantify the enzymes released by the isolates and confirm the potential of the three isolates in degrading lignin.
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