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Abstract
The events that followed the Tohoku earthquake and tsunami on March 11, 2011, included the loss of power and overheating at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plants, which led to extensive releases of radioactive gases, volatiles, and liquids, particularly to the coastal ocean. The fate of these radionuclides depends in large part on their oceanic geochemistry, physical processes, and biological uptake. Whereas radioactivity on land can be resampled and its distribution mapped, releases to the marine environment are harder to characterize owing to variability in ocean currents and the general challenges of sampling at sea. Five years later, it is appropriate to review what happened in terms of the sources, transport, and fate of these radionuclides in the ocean. In addition to the oceanic behavior of these contaminants, this review considers the potential health effects and societal impacts.
1. INTRODUCTION

On March 11, 2011, the Tohoku earthquake and resulting tsunami led to an unprecedented release of artificial radionuclides to the ocean from the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plants (FDNPPs). Whereas immediate health concerns often focus on short-lived radioactive contaminants, environmental impacts center on the longer-lived radionuclides (half-lives of more than 1 year). Unlike the Chernobyl nuclear power plant (CNPP) (Ukraine) accident that occurred 25 years earlier and breached the core of the reactor, the FDNPP releases were considerably smaller and comprised more-volatile radionuclides and gases, such as the radioisotopes $^{134}$Cs (half-life of 2.06 years) and $^{137}$Cs (half-life of 30.2 years). The magnitude of the total release of $^{137}$Cs from the FDNPPs (detailed below) is approximately 50 times smaller than that of global fallout from atmospheric nuclear weapons testing (which peaked in the early 1960s) and approximately 5 times smaller than that of the CNPP accident, and is similar to that resulting from intentional discharges from the Sellafield nuclear fuel reprocessing plant (Cumbria, England) (Buesseler 2014).

This review presents the current state of knowledge regarding artificial radionuclides released to the ocean from the FDNPPs. Although the focus is on radioisotopes of Cs, we discuss other artificial radionuclide contaminants when appropriate. We begin with a summary of inputs to the ocean, including atmospheric fallout, direct discharge, and land-derived sources of FDNPP radionuclides. We also examine the transport and fate of Cs, starting with its transport and mixing via physical processes such as ocean currents, and then discuss its far less dominant but important association with detrital and biogenic particles and their transport to, and fate on, the seafloor. The biological uptake of FDNPP contaminants and their possible dose effects on marine biota are also addressed. We conclude with a discussion of human health and societal concerns.

Throughout the discussion, we report radionuclide activities in units of becquerels (1 Bq = one disintegration event per second), most often per cubic meter for seawater or per kilogram when discussing sediments and biota. Total inventories are generally presented in units of PBq ($10^{15}$ Bq), with TBq ($10^{12}$ Bq) and GBq ($10^9$ Bq) used when discussing smaller inventories and fluxes. If not otherwise specified, all data are decay corrected to the time of sampling.

2. SOURCES OF FUKUSHIMA DAIICHI–DERIVED RADIONUCLIDES TO THE OCEAN

There are four major sources of FDNPP-derived radionuclides to the environment (Figure 1). The largest and earliest source was the initial venting and explosive releases of gases and volatile radionuclides to the atmosphere, which led to fallout on both land and the ocean. Atmospheric fallout peaked around March 15 (Chino et al. 2011, Morino et al. 2011, Huh et al. 2012, Stohl et al. 2012); transport models suggested that more than 80% of the fallout was on the ocean surface, with the highest deposition in coastal waters near the FDNPPs, although there are no atmospheric fallout data over the ocean to measure this directly. Subsequent to the atmospheric fallout was the somewhat smaller direct discharge of contaminated material to the ocean during emergency cooling efforts at the FDNPPs that resulted in runoff over land, enhanced flow of contaminated groundwater, and stagnant water leakage from the basement of the reactor buildings into the ocean. This secondary release process peaked around April 6, 2011, when the highest FDNPP-derived radioceium activities were observed in the ocean in close proximity to the FDNPPs (e.g., Buesseler et al. 2011).

Ongoing radionuclide releases to the ocean from land through rivers, runoff, and groundwater flow must also be considered. These sources are influenced by an array of complex processes, but they are much smaller than the initial atmospheric fallout and subsequent direct discharges.
Atmospheric fallout ≈ 15 PBq
Mid-March 2011 peak

Direct discharge ≈ 5 PBq
Early April 2011 peak

Groundwater discharge: ongoing ≈ 15–20 TBq y⁻¹

River runoff: ongoing = 10–12 TBq y⁻¹

Figure 1
Schematic of Fukushima Daiichi–derived sources of $^{137}$Cs. Atmospheric fallout (①) and direct discharge (②) are shown as total petabecquerels (PBq) released in the first month of the accident (median values from Table 1). Groundwater fluxes (③) and river runoff (④) are approximate ranges for the first year in terabecquerels (TBq), a unit 1,000 times smaller than the PBq used for fallout and direct discharge.

In the following discussion, it should be noted that $^{137}$Cs levels in the Pacific are governed by a combination of background fallout and FDNPP contamination, whereas $^{134}$Cs levels are associated entirely with FDNPP inputs, because the background fallout of this shorter-lived radionuclide has decayed almost completely in the modern day. During the first month after the FDNPP releases, measured $^{134}$Cs/$^{137}$Cs activity ratios in reactor discharges were uniform and very close to unity (0.99 ± 0.03) (Buesseler et al. 2011). Therefore, when $^{134}$Cs activity levels are decay corrected
Table 1  Source estimates for $^{137}$Cs from the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plants

| Reference         | Total atmospheric fallout (PBq) | Atmospheric fallout on ocean (PBq) | Direct discharge to ocean (PBq) | Total in North Pacific (PBq) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Chino et al. 2011 | 13                             |                                  |                                |                             |
| Katata et al. 2012| 11                             |                                  |                                |                             |
| Mathieu et al. 2012| 20.6                           |                                  |                                |                             |
| Stohl et al. 2012 | 36 (23–50)                     |                                  |                                |                             |
| Terada et al. 2012| 8.8                            |                                  |                                |                             |
| Kobayashi et al. 2013| 13                             | 7.6                              | 3.5                            |                             |
| Saunier et al. 2013| 15.5                           |                                  |                                |                             |
| Winiarek et al. 2014| 19.3                           |                                  |                                |                             |
| Katata et al. 2015 | 14.5                           |                                  |                                |                             |
| Kawamura et al. 2011| 5                              | 4                                |                                |                             |
| Bailly du Bois et al. 2012| 11.5                           | 27 ± 15                          |                                |                             |
| Estournel et al. 2012| 5.8 ± 0.1                      | 4.3 ± 0.2                        |                                |                             |
| Tsumune et al. 2012, 2013| 3.5 ± 0.7                      |                                  |                                |                             |
| Charette et al. 2013| 13.5 ± 2.5                     |                                  |                                |                             |
| Miyazawa et al. 2013| 5.6 ± 0.2                      |                                  |                                |                             |
| Rypina et al. 2013 | 16.2 ± 1.6                     |                                  |                                |                             |
| Aoyama et al. 2016 | 15.2–20.4                      | 11.7–14.8                        | 15.2–18.3                      |                             |
| Inomata et al. 2016| 10.5 ± 0.9                     |                                  | 15.3 ± 2.6                     |                             |
| Tsubono et al. 2016| 16.1 ± 1.4                     |                                  |                                |                             |

to the time of initial release, they reflect the activity levels of $^{137}$Cs specifically derived from the FDNPPs. As a consequence, measurements of $^{134}$Cs can be used as a proxy to resolve $^{137}$Cs inventories into the components derived separately from background fallout and the FDNPPs, which simplifies calculations of total discharges from the FDNPPs. Here, we provide different estimates of each of the source terms for radiocesium and include a brief comparison with four other radionuclides: $^{90}$Sr, Pu, $^{129}$I, and $^{131}$I.

Estimates of the total atmospheric fallout of $^{137}$Cs vary greatly because of the uncertainty in the transport and deposition parameters in the atmospheric models as well as the lack of observations required to conduct inverse calculations (Table 1). Some of these studies constrained their atmospheric deposition models using terrestrial and/or surface ocean observations, and these estimates ranged from 8.8 to 50 PBq (Katata et al. 2012, Mathieu et al. 2012, Stohl et al. 2012, Terada et al. 2012, Kobayashi et al. 2013, Saunier et al. 2013, Winiarek et al. 2014, Katata et al. 2015). Other studies estimated atmospheric fallout deposition over the ocean only, and these estimates ranged from 5 to 14.8 PBq (Kawamura et al. 2011, Estournel et al. 2012, Kobayashi et al. 2013, Aoyama et al. 2016).

To constrain the total direct discharge of radiocesium released to the oceans, several groups have used ocean models combined with observations of Cs in surface water close to the FDNPPs during the period of highest direct discharge, from March to May 2011 (Kawamura et al. 2011, Estournel et al. 2012, Kobayashi et al. 2013, Miyazawa et al. 2013). Tsumune et al. (2012, 2013) were also able to use the $^{131}$I/$^{137}$Cs activity ratio to further distinguish periods of direct discharge and atmospheric inputs; their estimates of direct discharge averaged $3.5 ± 0.7$ PBq (Table 1).
Somewhat higher estimates of direct discharge are derived from three studies using ocean models and observations made after May 2011 and/or over longer time periods. Bailly du Bois et al. (2012) estimated the direct discharge of $^{137}$Cs using an interpolation of observations collected from April 11 to July 11, 2011. Over the course of their observations, they documented a 50% decrease in surface ocean $^{137}$Cs every 7 days. Using this rate and extrapolating back to the peak in $^{137}$Cs in early April, they estimated a total direct discharge of $27 \pm 15$ PBq. Charette et al. (2013) combined independent assessments of the average water mass age using radium isotopes during June 4–18, 2011. Using a mixing/dilution model and the assumption that the mixing regime was constant, they predicted a direct discharge of $13.5 \pm 2.5$ PBq. Rypina et al. (2013) used $^{137}$Cs data from the same cruise and numerical simulations to determine that more than 95% of the $^{137}$Cs remaining in the study area within 600 km off Japan was due to direct discharge, because the earlier fallout Cs would have already been transported farther offshore. The strength of the direct discharge source needed to account for their observations was $16.2 \pm 1.6$ PBq.

A final set of source estimates was derived using a detailed tally of field-based Cs inventories across the North Pacific and thus includes both atmospheric and direct discharge sources. Aoyama et al. (2016) estimated a North Pacific $^{137}$Cs inventory of 15.2–18.3 PBq. This group calculated the inventories using a standard mixed layer of 50 m over $10^9 \times 10^9$ areas between 20$^\circ$N and 50$^\circ$N, relying on ships of opportunity to extend surface sampling across the Pacific in April and May 2011. Their inventory is in close agreement with two recent estimates, of 15.3 $\pm$ 2.6 PBq by Inomata et al. (2016) and 16.1 $\pm$ 1.4 PBq by Tsubono et al. (2016), which used more comprehensive ocean data sets and interpolation methods and models.

All of these estimates are limited by the shortage of vertical concentration profiles and spatial coverage, especially for locations farther offshore than those regularly monitored by Japanese agencies near the FDNPPs. Several of the estimates above are acknowledged to likely be underestimates owing to the lack of sampling in some regions. Nonetheless, estimates tend to converge to between 15 and 20 PBq for the combined FDNPP inputs of $^{137}$Cs from atmospheric fallout and direct discharge to the North Pacific. This represents an additional input of approximately 25% more $^{137}$Cs than existed in the North Pacific prior to the FDNPP event (69 PBq) from nuclear weapons testing (Aoyama et al. 2016). Adding an equal amount of $^{134}$Cs would double the radiocesium inventory derived from the FDNPPs in 2011.

Radiocesium inputs to Japanese coastal waters also occur via riverine sources and surface water runoff (Chartin et al. 2013, Nagao et al. 2013, Evrard et al. 2015). Cs has a high affinity for particles in freshwater, and thus its transport and delivery via rivers are associated largely with high sediment loads that occur during heavy rains and flood events. For example, Nagao et al. (2013) found that as much as half of the annual Japanese $^{137}$Cs river flux to the coastal ocean occurred during heavy precipitation. Yamashiki et al. (2014) found that suspended particle loads from the Abukuma River basin delivered 5 TBq of $^{137}$Cs (1 TBq = $10^{-3}$ PBq) to the northern coastal zone in a 10-month period, with more than half of the delivery occurring during a single typhoon that remobilized sediments during an 8-day period.

In a review of Cs transfer from land to the ocean, Evrard et al. (2015) summarized modeling simulations indicating that up to 10–12 TBq of particle-associated $^{137}$Cs was transferred from land to the ocean after the initial release, specifically during the first year. This would correspond to less than 1–2% of the total inventory deposited on land. Models suggest that up to 155 TBq of $^{137}$Cs will continue to be exported to the ocean over the next century (Pratama et al. 2015). Once in the ocean, particulate Cs remains largely irreversibly bound, with experiments showing only a few percent desorption from soil in seawater (Yamashiki et al. 2014).
Submarine groundwater discharge represents an additional long-term source of radiocesium to the ocean, in particular from the contaminated FDNPP site. Kanda (2013) used the exchange rate of water in the harbor at the FDNPP site and differences in seawater Cs concentrations inside and outside the harbor to calculate an average release rate of 93 GBq d$^{-1}$ for the summer of 2011 and 8 GBq d$^{-1}$ in the summer of 2012 (1 GBq = $10^{-6}$ PBq). From July 2012 until March 2015, $^{137}$Cs activity in the surface water near the FDNPP site decreased from approximately 10,000 to 1,000 Bq m$^{-3}$, and studies have calculated a discharge rate of approximately 30 GBq d$^{-1}$ in 2013 and 10 GBq d$^{-1}$ in 2014 (Tsumune et al. 2013, Aoyama et al. 2016). These rates are small relative to those for the first month after the initial release, when $^{137}$Cs inputs were five to six orders of magnitude higher (15–20 PBq in 30 days = $0.5–0.7 \times 10^6$ GBq d$^{-1}$). Note that the magnitudes of the river inputs discussed above are similar to those for the continuing input sources via groundwater at the FDNPPs, although (as discussed above) most of the river input to the ocean consists of particle-associated Cs, whereas inputs of dissolved Cs are dominant in groundwater.

In terms of other radionuclides of the greatest health concern with half-lives of more than 1 year, $^{90}$Sr, $^{239,240}$Pu, and $^{129}$I are of the most interest here. Levels of $^{90}$Sr from the FDNPPs in atmospheric fallout were, at most, four orders of magnitude lower than $^{137}$Cs measured on land owing to its low volatility (Povinec et al. 2012, Steinhauer et al. 2014, Tanaka et al. 2014). Most of the $^{90}$Sr released from the FDNPPs was directly discharged to the North Pacific, with estimates of total inventories ranging from 0.04 to 1.0 PBq (Casacuberta et al. 2013, Povinec et al. 2012). Since the initial discharges, there have been reported spills of liquid radioactive waste at the FDNPP site and corresponding anomalies in the $^{90}$Sr activities in seawater, with $^{90}$Sr activities exceeding those of $^{137}$Cs in the ocean near the FDNPP site for short periods of time (Povinec et al. 2012, Castrillejo et al. 2015). An initial $^{137}$Cs/$^{90}$Sr ratio of $39 \pm 1$ in seawater was measured 30–600 km seaward of the FDNPPs in June 2011, which is significantly higher than the global atmospheric fallout ratio of 1.6 but closer to the $^{137}$Cs/$^{90}$Sr ratio of 12.5 measured in stagnant water at the FDNPP site (average core release ratio from Nishihara et al. 2012). $^{137}$Cs/$^{90}$Sr ratios decreased with time, averaging 3.8 in 2013 in waters within 100 km of the FDNPP site (Castrillejo et al. 2015). Because the $^{90}$Sr half-life (29 years) is close to that of $^{137}$Cs, this decrease is not related to radioactive decay. Rather, it is hypothesized to be a result of continuing accidental spills and/or the higher mobility of Sr, as Cs is more strongly adsorbed onto soil particles, whereas Sr remains largely dissolved and thus relatively enriched in any ongoing releases via groundwater.

Castrillejo et al. (2015) used data on $^{134}$Cs concentrations and the distinct relationship between $^{90}$Sr and $^{137}$Cs to estimate that the FDNPPs leaked $^{90}$Sr into the North Pacific at a rate of 2.3–8.5 GBq d$^{-1}$ in September 2013. This confirms the Tokyo Electric Power Company (TEPCO) monitoring data through June 2015, which showed activities of $^{90}$Sr and $^{137}$Cs up to 10 and 1,000 times higher, respectively, than pre-March 2011 concentrations near the discharge channels of the FDNPPs.

In addition to $^{90}$Sr, the potential release of long-lived Pu isotopes is of considerable public concern because these isotopes present a potentially large health risk for internal radiation exposure. Zheng et al. (2013) summarized studies related to the release of Pu from the FDNPPs. Ratios of FDNPP-derived $^{137}$Cs to $^{239,240}$Pu are 1 million or greater, based on an examination of leaf litter and soils in which isotopic ratios of Pu were used to distinguish weapons-testing-derived Pu from FDNPP-derived Pu (Zheng et al. 2012, Evrard et al. 2015). In total, only a relatively small amount of Pu, on the order of 1.0–2.4 × $10^7$ Bq of $^{239,240}$Pu, was released into the environment from the damaged FDNPP reactors (Zheng et al. 2012). This is consistent with the low volatility of this radionuclide and the limited explosive releases from the FDNPPs, in contrast to the CNPP, where as much as $3 \times 10^{11}$ Bq of $^{239,240}$Pu was released (Steinhauer et al. 2014).
Several ocean studies off the Japanese coast have not been able to detect Pu contamination from the FDNPPs (e.g., Bu et al. 2013). In addition, Pu from the FDNPPs was not detected in the marginal seas of the Pacific, such as the South China Sea (Wu et al. 2014). The challenge in these studies, as on land, is in separating the weapons-testing component of Pu that was already present in the environment from an even smaller additional component of FDNPP Pu.

Approximately 1 kg of the long-lived $^{129}$I (half-life of 16 million years) was also released from the FDNPPs, mainly through direct discharges into the ocean (Guilderson et al. 2014). These discharges resulted in only small incremental increases in seawater concentrations of $^{129}$I in excess of fallout levels in the upper 250 m of the water column proximal to the FDNPPs. Owing to its long half-life, however, $^{129}$I may be useful as an ocean circulation tracer of the FDNPP releases in future years.

Finally, although $^{131}$I has a short half-life (8 days), it is of significant health concern on land, though less so in the ocean. Its distribution generally followed that of $^{137}$Cs, but with a more rapid decrease in concentration with time because of radioactive decay. Observations suggest that the $^{131}$I/$^{137}$Cs ratio was more variable in atmospheric fallout to the ocean prior to March 26, 2011, and more constant thereafter (corrected for decay). This change in variability has been used to argue that the dominant source of radiocesium to the coastal ocean was initially atmospheric fallout but changed to direct discharge thereafter (e.g., Chino et al. 2011, Inomata et al. 2016).

A discussion of other less abundant radionuclides is beyond the scope of this review. Several studies have reported on them and compared their relative contributions from the FDNPPs and earlier sources (e.g., Steinhauser 2014, Steinhauser et al. 2014).

### 3. CESIUM OCEAN DISTRIBUTIONS AND TRANSPORT ACROSS THE NORTH PACIFIC

Prior to 2011, the $^{137}$Cs activity in surface water of the North Pacific and its marginal seas was 1–2 Bq m$^{-3}$ as a consequence of atmospheric nuclear weapons testing (Aoyama et al. 2008, 2011). In March 2011, $^{137}$Cs levels increased rapidly, to as much as 68 million Bq m$^{-3}$ by early April, in surface waters directly adjacent to the FDNPP site, and then decreased by more than three orders of magnitude within about a month, to approximately 10,000 Bq m$^{-3}$ through early 2012 (Buesseler et al. 2011), and to approximately 1,000 Bq m$^{-3}$ from 2013 to 2015 (the discussion of marine biota below also addresses seawater time history). Time-series measurements after March 2011 from research cruises, ships of opportunity, and contributions from citizen scientists sampling the west coast of North America have provided a growing number of FDNPP-related data that are being collated by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA 2015b).

Given that Cs is extremely soluble, with less than 1% of $^{137}$Cs and $^{134}$Cs found on marine particles in the open ocean (Aoyama & Hirose 1995), its subsequent dispersion in the marine environment is controlled mainly by physical ocean processes, at least on decadal timescales (see discussion below of sediment traps and Cs residence time). Therefore, Cs from both atmospheric fallout and direct discharge is expected to follow oceanic transport and mixing pathways. Note, however, that a small fraction of the fallout was transported by atmospheric winds more rapidly across the North Pacific and the rest of the globe, resulting in low but detectable activities of FDNPP Cs in some distant oceanic regions and at many atmospheric sampling stations far removed from Japan (Masson et al. 2011, Inoue et al. 2012, Lujanié et al. 2012, Min et al. 2013, Evangeliou et al. 2014, Kaeriyama et al. 2014, Kumamoto et al. 2014).

The majority of the FDNPP-derived radionuclides were mixed and diluted quite rapidly in the energetic coastal waters off Japan under the influence of currents, tidal forces, and eddies, with the major flow of the contaminated plume moving eastward under the influence of the
southward-flowing Oyashio Current and the stronger, northward- and eastward-flowing Kuroshio Current (Figure 2). The southward transport of the plume, or at least its surface expression, was blocked by the Kuroshio Current, which formed a boundary between FDNPP-affected surface waters to the north and unaffected waters in the core of the current and to the south (Buesseler et al. 2012). The eastward progression of the FDNPP plume was driven by the North Pacific Current, which bifurcates as it approaches North America, diverging into the northward-flowing Alaska Current and the southward-flowing California Current. The movement of the leading edge of the plume indicates an average speed of propagation of 7 km d\(^{-1}\) (8 cm s\(^{-1}\)) until March 2012 and 3 km d\(^{-1}\) (3.5 cm s\(^{-1}\)) from March 2012 through August 2014 (Aoyama et al. 2016). These results are consistent with a drifter-based estimate of the horizontal spread of the FDNPP plume (Rypina et al. 2014).

The arrival of FDNPP-derived radionuclides off the west coast of North America was documented by measurements of \(^{134}\)Cs and \(^{137}\)Cs on the Canadian continental shelf in June 2013 (Smith et al. 2015). By February 2014, the \(^{137}\)Cs signal had increased to 2 Bq m\(^{-3}\) throughout the upper 150 m, doubling the signal associated with fallout background from atmospheric nuclear weapons tests (Smith et al. 2015). These activities have continued to increase over time, with offshore \(^{137}\)Cs values in the eastern North Pacific approaching 6 Bq m\(^{-3}\) in 2014 and 10 Bq m\(^{-3}\) in 2015, approximately 2,000 km north of Hawaii. The first arrival of FDNPP Cs on the shoreline of North America was detected in February 2015 in British Columbia by citizen scientists and was distinguished by \(^{137}\)Cs and \(^{134}\)Cs activities of 5.6 Bq m\(^{-3}\) and 1.4 Bq m\(^{-3}\), respectively (6.1 Bq m\(^{-3}\) for \(^{137}\)Cs and 5.0 Bq m\(^{-3}\) for \(^{134}\)Cs when decay corrected to a 2011 release date; hence, the difference of 1.1 was background \(^{137}\)Cs at this site prior to the initial FDNPP releases). By 2015, FDNPP radioesium had been detected as far north as 55\(^{\circ}\)N and as far south as approximately 25\(^{\circ}\)N at activities marginally above the fallout background (data from http://ourradioactiveocean.org/results and http://fukushimainform.ca/archived-monitoring-results).

Throughout much of the Pacific Ocean, the FDNPP plume was rapidly mixed throughout the upper 100–150 m of the water column and has only more slowly begun to penetrate to intermediate water depths (Buesseler et al. 2012, Smith et al. 2015, Yoshida et al. 2015). An important exception is the subsurface transport of FDNPP Cs in various Pacific mode waters that are formed through winter cooling and buoyancy loss, leading to mixing and homogenization of hydrographic properties deep in the water column (Aoyama et al. 2016). In June 2012, the \(^{134}\)Cs activity reached a maximum of 6.1 ± 0.5 Bq m\(^{-3}\) at approximately 150 m at 29\(^{\circ}\)N, 165\(^{\circ}\)E. This subsurface maximum, which was also observed along 149\(^{\circ}\)E, likely reflects the southward transport of FDNPP-derived radiocesium in association with the formation and subduction of the Subtropical Mode Water. In June 2012 at 34–39\(^{\circ}\)N along 165\(^{\circ}\)E, the \(^{134}\)Cs activity exhibited a maximum at approximately 350 m, which corresponds to the Central Mode Water. These observations indicate that mode water formation and subduction represent an efficient pathway for the transport of FDNPP-derived Cs into the ocean interior on 1-year timescales.

Figure 2

Time series of measured \(^{137}\)Cs activities in surface seawater (circle) overlain on model-derived predictions (Tsubono et al. 2016) that includes \(^{137}\)Cs levels from before the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant releases. The color bar applies to both the observations and the model-derived predictions. The observations are from the Historical Artificial Radionuclides in the Pacific Ocean and Its Marginal Seas (HAM) database (Aoyama & Hirose 2004) and updates to that database (Buesseler et al. 2012; UNSCEAR 2014; IAEA 2015b, extracted and provided by P.J. Morris in March 2016; Yoshida et al. 2015; Aoyama et al. 2016), as well as unpublished data from J.N. Smith and Our Radioactive Ocean (http://ourradioactiveocean.org/results).

180 Buesseler et al.
Ocean circulation models all suggest that a broadening and diluting FDNPP radiocesium plume would have arrived in the eastern North Pacific in 2013–2014. Several of these simulations also predict maximum $^{137}$Cs activities of 1–3 Bq m$^{-3}$ to occur in North American coastal waters in 2015–2017 and then to gradually decline to levels of 1 Bq m$^{-3}$ by 2020 (Behrens et al. 2012; Rossi et al. 2013, 2014). However, as noted above, FDNPP-derived $^{137}$Cs activities of 10 Bq m$^{-3}$ were measured at various locations in the eastern North Pacific in 2015, and no decreasing trend in most regions has been observed. One of the reasons for higher-than-predicted $^{137}$Cs activities may be related to relatively weak mixing and strongly positive temperature anomalies in the eastern North Pacific starting in 2013–2014 caused by an unusual weather pattern that featured abnormally high sea-level pressure over this region (Bond et al. 2015). Conditions of less-intense mixing are consistent with observations of higher $^{137}$Cs activities in offshore surface waters (as seen here) than would be predicted based on average mixing conditions. Despite these differences between empirical and model results, it is likely that FDNPP-derived $^{137}$Cs activities will reach their maximum in 2015–2016, depending on the location, before declining by 2020 to levels of approximately 1–2 Bq m$^{-3}$ associated with background fallout from nuclear weapons testing.

4. RADIONUCLIDES ASSOCIATED WITH SINKING PARTICLES

As noted above, only a small fraction of the FDNPP-derived radiocesium is associated with particulate organic matter and clay particles that may accumulate on the seafloor. In the case of the FDNPP releases, it was fortuitous that time-series sediment traps were already in place in the ocean off Japan in March 2011, collecting samples at two sites: K2 in the subarctic gyre ($47^\circ$N, $160^\circ$E) and S1 in the subtropics ($30^\circ$N, $145^\circ$E) (Honda et al. 2013) (Figure 3a). Both locations had traps deployed at 500 and 4,810 m and were quite distant from the FDNPP site: 1,875 km northeast for K2 and 950 km southeast for S1, in water depths of 5,200 m and 5,800 m for K2 and S1, respectively.

Time-series sediment trap samples are collected in cups that open and close during programmed time intervals. At K2 and S1, trap samples collected between March 13 and 24, 2011, showed no detectable FDNPP signal, yet both sites showed FDNPP Cs (evidenced by $^{134}$Cs) at 500 m after March 25, 2011, and one cup showed FDNPP Cs later, after April 6, 2011, in the deeper 4,810-m trap (Honda et al. 2013) (Figure 3b). These findings lead to several conclusions. First, detection of a Cs signal so far from the FDNPPs so soon after the initial release suggests an atmospheric fallout Cs source, as ocean currents could not have carried Cs from the FDNPPs to these sites so quickly. Also, the difference in arrival times for Cs between 500 and 4,810 m allowed for an estimate of Cs sinking rates on particles of more than 180 m d$^{-1}$. Furthermore, the sediment trap data suggest that both the Cs flux and sinking rate were considerably lower after the first few months, with fluxes decreasing faster at 500 m to below the detection limit, and sinking rates decreasing to 50–70 m d$^{-1}$ in 2012 (Honda & Kawakami 2014).

Two other studies measured FDNPP Cs (as evidenced by the presence of $^{134}$Cs) in time-series sediment traps much closer to Japan, at site FS1, some 100 km due east of the FDNPPs (873-m trap in approximately 990-m water depth at $30^\circ20'$N, $142^\circ10'$E) (Otosaka et al. 2014), and site F1, some 100 km to the southeast of the FDNPPs (500- and 1,000-m traps in approximately 1,300-m water depth at $36^\circ28'$N, $141^\circ28'$E) (Buesseler et al. 2015) (Figure 3a). Sample collections at F1 and FS1 were not initiated until 130 and 145 days after March 11, 2011, respectively, so they likely missed the first several months of higher FDNPP fluxes (Figure 3b). The results at FS1 were similar to those at the more distant sites, with a maximum observed specific activity of $^{137}$Cs of approximately 0.4 mBq kg$^{-1}$ and sinking particles consisting mostly of biogenic mineral phases.
Figure 3

(a) Locations of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plants (FDNPPs) and the F1, FS1, K2, and S1 time-series sediment traps. (b) Time-series flux of $^{137}$Cs in sinking particles, as measured via sediment traps at four locations and at different depths. The inset shows an expanded y-axis scale that depicts the smaller fluxes observed in some of the traps.
and organic matter (Otosaka et al. 2014). However, with the much higher total mass flux in this coastal setting, total $^{137}$Cs fluxes at FS1 were as high as 100 mBq m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, 3–4 times the earlier peak fluxes at K2 and S1. At FS1, the flux of Cs could be predicted from the water column activities and vertical scavenging associated with seasonal plankton blooms.

The even higher mass flux at F1 combined with much higher $^{137}$Cs-specific activities on sinking particles (up to 1.8 mBq kg$^{-1}$) resulted in a $^{137}$Cs flux that was more than 10 times that at FS1 and almost 100 times those at K2 and S1 (peak of 3,000 mBq m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) (Figure 3b). Interestingly, Buesseler et al. (2015) noted that the lithogenic fraction in the sinking materials at F1 was approximately twice that found at FS1. Using ratios of $^{137}$Cs to naturally occurring $^{210}$Pb in the trap, they were able to show that, during periods of peak Cs input at F1, the sinking material resembled shelf sediments (higher $^{137}$Cs/$^{210}$Pb and lithogenic fractions) and not local water column vertical scavenging. The 1,000-m trap had both higher Cs fluxes and a higher fraction of shelf-derived materials than the 500-m trap. The timing of peak Cs fluxes over a 3-year period suggested that typhoons were likely responsible for the resuspension of shelf sediments that were subsequently transported with the southward-flowing currents to the F1 trap site. When compared with the inventory of $^{137}$Cs in coastal sediments, however, this laterally supported flux accounted for an annual loss term of 1% or less of the shelf sediment inventory.

In the first 6 months after the CNPP accident, Cs activities in traps in the North Sea (Kempe & Nies 1986), Black Sea (Buesseler et al. 1990), and Mediterranean Sea (Fowler et al. 1987) were on average much higher (1.3–5.2 mBq kg$^{-1}$) than those following the FDNPP event. The distance between the CNPP and these traps ranged from 600 to 2,200 km, so the higher activities resulted not from a closer proximity, but rather from a larger source term. It is also worth noting that the deep Black Sea trap (1,070 m) had higher fluxes than the shallow trap (250 m). This is similar to the measurements at the F1 site and suggests a lateral shelf source of sinking particles. Three other trap sites in the Bering Sea and North Pacific that were more than 8,000 km from the CNPP had radiocesium-specific activities and fluxes similar to those at the K2 and S1 traps following the FDNPP releases (Kusakabe et al. 1988). Looking back even further, to a time series of $^{137}$Cs in a 4,000-m trap roughly 15 years after the peak in weapons-testing fallout in the early 1960s, Livingston & Anderson (1983) found $^{137}$Cs activities of only 0.003–0.1 mBq kg$^{-1}$ and fluxes of 0.02–1.6 mBq m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ that increased with increasing water depth.

In summary, there are similarities and differences among all of the trap records. For both FDNPP and CNPP sources, rapid transport of radiocesium on sinking particles was observed in the upper few hundred meters of the ocean within 1–2 weeks after the accidents. Cs fluxes generally decreased quite rapidly after 3–6 months, with the exception of horizontally derived materials found at F1 and in the Black Sea deep traps. Comparisons of Cs fluxes on sinking particles with measurements of Cs water column inventories showed that the removal rates for FDNPP-derived Cs were less than 1–2% y$^{-1}$ for FS1, S1, and K2 (Otosaka et al. 2014, Honda et al. 2013) and, similarly, 0.2–1% y$^{-1}$ for the North, Black, and Mediterranean Seas after the CNPP accident (Kempe & Nies 1986, Buesseler et al. 1987, Fowler et al. 1987). These results support the above-mentioned finding that Cs is dispersed primarily via ocean currents on timescales of decades to centuries and is not very effectively scavenged from the water column.

5. RADIONUCLIDES IN SEAFLOOR SEDIMENTS

Seafloor sediments are important for the sequestration of particle-reactive radionuclides and serve as a contaminant reservoir for organisms that feed and live on the seafloor. They can also be a long-term source of contaminants through resuspension of fine-grained particle-bound radionuclides, bioturbation, and losses of soluble radionuclides from pore waters. Immediately following the
FDNPP releases, seafloor sampling and radionuclide analyses commenced as part of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology and TEPCO monitoring programs as well as several oceanographic field campaigns. Sediment sampling was conducted using surface grab samples and multicores that varied in their depth of penetration from less than 3 cm to more than 20 cm (Kusakabe et al. 2013, Otosaka & Kobayashi 2013, Ambe et al. 2014, Black & Buesseler 2014, Otosaka & Kato 2014). A towed gamma ray spectrophotometer that measured the average radioactivity within approximately the top 3 cm of sediment was also deployed to study small-scale variability in Cs sediment distributions (Thornton et al. 2013).

Radioactive contamination in bottom sediments from sites off the east coast of Japan were dominated by $^{134}$Cs and $^{137}$Cs (Kusakabe et al. 2013, Otosaka & Kobayashi 2013, Thornton et al. 2013, Ambe et al. 2014, Black & Buesseler 2014, Otosaka & Kato 2014, Sohtome et al. 2014, Nagaoka et al. 2015). Although Pu and Am are more particle reactive in their geochemistry, measurements of their activities and isotopic ratios in sediments reflect atmospheric weapons testing rather than a smaller FDNPP source (Zheng et al. 2012, Bu et al. 2013, Wu et al. 2014, Oikawa et al. 2015). $^{90}$Sr was released in much higher concentrations than Pu, but inventories of $^{90}$Sr in bottom sediments are likely three orders of magnitude lower than the $^{90}$Sr inventories measured in the water column owing to the low affinity of $^{90}$Sr to particles (Periñáez et al. 2013). Given the relatively small inputs of FDNPP-derived Pu, Am, and $^{90}$Sr and the decay of $^{134}$Cs (less than 20% of the initially released $^{134}$Cs remains after 5 years), the discussion below focuses on seafloor $^{137}$Cs distributions.

Sedimentary $^{137}$Cs varies substantially both temporally and spatially, with inventories ranging from those expected from nuclear weapons testing (less than 50 Bq m$^{-2}$) (Ito et al. 2007) to more than 100,000 Bq m$^{-2}$ in sediments closest to the FDNPPs (Figure 4). In general, $^{137}$Cs inventories decrease with increasing distance from the FDNPPs and increasing water depth because of lower water column particle abundances that result in decreased removal on sinking particles offshore. As a result of the southward-flowing currents and finer-grained sediments, radiocesium inventories are somewhat higher in shelf sediments to the south of the FDNPPs relative to the north (Kim et al. 2006, Otosaka & Kobayashi 2013, Ambe et al. 2014, Black & Buesseler 2014, Ono et al. 2015). These trends, however, are confounded by high spatial variability in $^{137}$Cs activities, which can span a factor of 3–5 even within one set of multicore samples collected simultaneously and immediately adjacent to one another (e.g., Black & Buesseler 2014).

Whether associated with clay minerals or organic material, once deposited on the seafloor, Cs may be remobilized in its particle-associated state and transported horizontally by physical processes, and/or redistributed and mixed vertically, largely by bioturbation. Finer-grained sediments are more easily resuspended and transported via currents, as observed in particle flux data that showed a greater degree of shelf sediment input following typhoon events (Buesseler et al. 2015; see also Section 4 on sediment traps). Thornton et al. (2013) further argued that bottom topography may shield fine-grained sediments from advective currents in certain areas, thereby confining contaminants to the initial area of deposition. These physical redistribution processes help to explain the transport of Cs to the southern coastal region as well as the large spatial variability observed in sediments.

Bottom-feeding and bottom-dwelling organisms are more abundant near the shore, where they can further affect Cs biogeochemistry in surface sediments. Black & Buesseler (2014) derived bioturbation rates using $^{210}$Pb and found substantially higher mixing rates in the most Cs-contaminated sediments of the southern coastal region, with decreasing mixing to the north and offshore. They estimated that 50% of Cs-contaminated sediments in the southern coastal region would be mixed deeper than 3 cm within a year of deposition, whereas to the north and offshore, lower bioturbation rates resulted in a much slower decline in surface Cs activities (a
50% decrease would take 10–25 years). Thus, bioturbation may prove to be an effective mechanism for reducing overall Cs concentrations at the sediment surface over shorter timescales and constraining radiological impacts on surface-dwelling infauna. However, bioturbation also represents a mechanism for the return of deeper, albeit Cs-depleted, sediments to the sediment surface. Thus, bioturbation may provide a continuing source of FDNPP Cs contamination at the sediment-water interface over much longer timescales than would be predicted based solely on local sedimentation rates.
Whereas bioturbation can cause a decline in surface sediment activities, bioirrigation can increase pore water exchange with bottom waters. Uptake of Cs in clay lattices, especially illites, is essentially irreversible in freshwater systems, but it can undergo some degree of desorption in higher-ionic-strength media such as seawater (Staunton & Roubaud 1997). In fact, Cs is enriched in pore waters in coastal sediments (Sholkovitz & Mann 1984), in which case diffusion and bioirrigation could govern the transport of dissolved Cs to the overlying waters. This has been observed in the Irish Sea, where, following large reductions in $^{137}$Cs releases from Sellafield, desorption of Cs from sediments became a major source to the water column. Poole et al. (1997) reported that approximately 4% of sediment $^{137}$Cs was returned in aqueous phases to the Irish Sea annually. These observations illustrate the complexity of Cs associations with particle surfaces.

In the broadest sense, $^{137}$Cs sediment activities off Japan reflect a combination of source, mineralogy, local currents, and water column and benthic biological activity. In total, seafloor sediments contain less than 1% ($130 \pm 60$ TBq) of the $^{137}$Cs activity initially released from the FDNPPs (Kusakabe et al. 2013, Black & Buesseler 2014). However, given the gradual decrease in Cs concentrations in the water column since the initial FDNPP release, the sediment repository is now more than 5–10 times larger than the current total inventory of Cs in the overlying waters ($36–38^\circ$N, at water depths of up to 200 m) (Buesseler 2014). Rapid downward mixing of Cs-contaminated sediments by bioturbation helps to reduce surface Cs sediment concentrations and reduce biological uptake at the sediment-water interface, but bioturbation can also return contaminated sediments to the surface on timescales of years to decades. Physical resuspension of sediments will reduce Cs activities by dispersion offshore, and soluble losses will occur. However, continued inputs of sediment-bound Cs from rivers and groundwater essentially balance those losses, resulting in small expected changes to sediment inventories. These results therefore suggest that the nearshore sediments off Japan will remain a significant long-term source of radiocesium for years to decades, depending on the location and sediment type, although more study is needed to constrain these source and loss terms. This is consistent with previous studies examining radiocesium contamination from Sellafield in the Irish Sea, where, after inputs were dramatically reduced, the inventory of total sediment $^{137}$Cs declined by half on timescales of approximately 5–20 years (e.g., Mitchell et al. 1999).

6. MARINE BIOTA UPTAKE OF AND EXPOSURE TO RADIONUCLIDES

Once released to the environment, radionuclides can be rapidly incorporated into marine organisms either by uptake from seawater or by food ingestion (Fowler & Fisher 2004). By the end of March 2011, the Japanese authorities had begun conducting gamma analyses of marine organisms for radioprotection purposes. Several thousand results have now been reported on the websites of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries and TEPCO (MAFF 2015, TEPCO 2016). Although most of the biota sampling has been made by the Japanese authorities, several independent radioecological studies have also been conducted since the accident (Fisher et al. 2013, Tateda et al. 2013, Johansen et al. 2014, Vives i Batlle et al. 2014).

Cs is most often measured in the edible part of fish, because Cs, like K, is enriched in fish flesh. Because the flesh represents the largest component of fish body weight, these analyses provide a good estimate of total Cs and are the most relevant values for human consumers. In the weeks following the accident, relatively high Cs activities of up to several hundred thousand becquerels per kilogram wet weight (ww) were found in some species of fish caught in the coastal waters of the Fukushima Prefecture, although the vast majority had activities of less than 1,000 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww (Figure 5). Prior to the FDNPP releases, the regulatory limit for radioesium in seafood in Japan was 500 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww; on April 1, 2012, the Japanese authorities lowered this limit to 100 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww (see Section 7 and Table 2).
In 2011, approximately half the fish sampled in coastal waters off Fukushima Prefecture had radiocesium levels above 100 Bq kg$^{-1}$, and approximately 10% were below the detection limit of approximately 7 Bq kg$^{-1}$. By 2015, the situation was reversed: Fewer than 1% of measurements in fish had radiocesium levels above 100 Bq kg$^{-1}$, and 80% of measurements were below the detection limit (MAFF 2015, TEPCO 2016). Such data are used to set in-place fishing bans recommended by the MAFF and Japanese government that are now being lifted for certain areas and species as activities drop below regulatory limits.

In general, demersal fish, which live and feed in close proximity to bottom sediments, have higher Cs activities than pelagic fish, and specimens of both types caught off of Fukushima Prefecture have levels higher than those in prefectures located north or south of the FDNPPs.

### Table 2  Regulatory limits for radiocesium in seafood in Japan, the United States, and the European Union

| Government                  | Limit $^a$ |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Japan (after April 1, 2012) | 100        |
| Japan (before April 1, 2012)| 500        |
| United States               | 1,200      |
| European Union              | 1,250      |

$^a$Total $^{134}$Cs + $^{137}$Cs becquerels per kilogram wet weight.
(Buesseler 2012) (Figure 5). Some of the highest Cs activities found in fish in coastal waters (25,800 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww) were measured in two greenlings caught close to the Ota River in August 2012 that were thought to have migrated from the FDNNP harbor (Shigenobu et al. 2014, Fujimoto et al. 2015). Indeed, by October 2012, TEPCO had reported extremely high Cs activities—up to thousands of becquerels per kilogram wet weight in various demersal species sampled in the FDNNP harbor (Figure 5). Some fish caught within the harbor remain highly contaminated even 5 years after the accident and are now prevented from leaving the contaminated area by a net. These higher sustained Cs activities are likely due to the close proximity of the fish to both the leaking FDNPP reactors and highly contaminated sediment in the harbor area. By comparison, after the CNPP accident, $^{137}$Cs concentrations in the range 30,000–180,000 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww were reported for freshwater fish near the CNPP (e.g., Kryshev 1995).

The ability of an organism to accumulate an element is often expressed through the use of a concentration factor—in this case, the ratio of the organism activity (becquerels per kilogram wet weight) to seawater activity (becquerels per kilogram or becquerels per liter). The underlying assumption is that organisms are in equilibrium with their ambient seawater with respect to the radionuclide concentration. The concentration factor for Cs in fish (irrespective of the species) is approximately 100 (IAEA 2004). This is a moderately low value compared with those of some other elements (e.g., iron and tin, which can have concentration factors as high as $3 \times 10^4$ and $5 \times 10^5$, respectively) and other contaminants [e.g., mercury and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)] (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 2012). Cs also has a limited biomagnification in marine food chains (only a factor of approximately 2) (Kasamatsu 1997, Zhao et al. 2001, Heldal et al. 2003, Mathews & Fisher 2008, Harmelin-Vivien et al. 2012).

All of the fish data collected after the initial FDNPP release show high variability in Cs activity for a given date and location, which can be linked to several factors, including variability in the ocean (both water and sediment), fish habitat, fish diet, fish size (Cs activities are known to increase with increasing size), fish mobility, and consequent exposure history. Despite this variability, the large amount of data gathered over several years reveals a gradual, systematic decrease in radiocesium activities over time in marine biota (Figure 5). For organisms in natural settings, this decrease is quantified by the ecological half-life, i.e., the time required for a 50% decline in organism radionuclide activity. Indeed, Cs levels decrease by a factor of 2 in just a few months in various planktivorous fish and their predators, and closer to a year in various coastal demersal fish at higher trophic levels (Iwata et al. 2013, Tateda et al. 2015, Tagami & Uchida 2016). This decrease in Cs activities in some demersal fish species is taking longer than predicted, which has been attributed to continuing contamination of their food source (benthic infauna) from sediments (see discussion above) (Buesseler 2012, Tateda et al. 2013, Wada et al. 2013, Sohtome et al. 2014, Tateda et al. 2015). Ecological half-lives on the order of 100 days for bivalves and gastropods and on the order of several hundred days for polychaetes have been reported (Iwata et al. 2013, Wada et al. 2013, Sohtome et al. 2014).

Several other FDNPP-derived radionuclides have been detected in marine biota, but they have either disappeared quickly because of decay or are present at much lower activities because of their relatively lower release ratios and/or lower concentration factors in marine biota. For example, very high $^{131}$I activities (several hundred to several thousand becquerels per kilogram wet weight) were measured in marine biota in April 2011 (MAFF 2015). $^{131}$I is important from a radiological perspective because it is a major contributor to the dose received by organisms; however, its short half-life results in activities that have been below the detection limit in marine biota since mid-July 2011.

Although $^{110m}$Ag has not been reported in the FDNPP releases, it was detected systematically in zooplankton sampled off Japan in June 2011 (Buesseler et al. 2012). It was also detected in
some crabs and molluscs sampled in coastal areas, with a clear decline in activities with increasing distance from the FDNPPs (Horiguchi et al. 2016, TEPCO 2016), but was not detectable in fish samples. Silver is known to be actively incorporated by marine biota (concentration factors in the range $10^4$ to $6 \times 10^5$; IAEA 2004), but it has a low assimilation efficiency in marine fish (Pouil et al. 2015). $^{110}\text{Ag}$ was also reported in biota from the Mediterranean and Baltic Seas after the CNPP accident (e.g., Calmet et al. 1991, Carlson & Holm 1992).

$^{90}\text{Sr}$ was also released during the FDNPP accident (see Section 2) and would be expected to accumulate in fish bones, given its geochemical similarity to calcium. However, because the initial ocean activities of Sr were much lower than those of $^{137}\text{Cs}$, and because Sr is much more difficult to measure, this element has been less well studied. Concentration factors for Sr in marine biota are extremely low (in the range of 1–10; IAEA 2004), leading to low activities of a few becquerels per kilogram wet weight or less in various fish species (MAFF 2015, TEPCO 2016). This is only slightly above pre-FDNPP release levels, which were around 0.02–0.07 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww (Johansen et al. 2014, Fujimoto et al. 2015). However, higher activities were reported for rockfish caught within the FDNNP harbor. There, $^{90}\text{Sr}$ concentrations were proportional to Cs activities, with a mean $^{137}\text{Cs}/^{90}\text{Sr}$ ratio close to 300 (Fujimoto et al. 2015).

Pu was released in only small amounts from the FDNPPs (see Section 2) (Evrard et al. 2015). As such, only a few data are available for Pu in fish. These results do not show any measurable FDNPP-derived Pu in marine biota relative to earlier data (Yamada et al. 1999, FAJ 2015).

What can be said about possible dose effects on marine biota? Organisms inhabiting areas contaminated by the FDNPPs receive external irradiation from radionuclides in water and sediment and receive internal radiation from radionuclides ingested via food and water and, in some cases, absorbed through the skin and respiratory systems. In coastal areas, absorbed dose rates of up to 4,600 mGy d$^{-1}$ for macroalgae and 2,600 mGy d$^{-1}$ for benthic biota (fish, molluscs, and crustaceans) were estimated from exposure to $^{131}\text{I}$, $^{134}\text{Cs}$, and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (both internal and external) during the first month after the initial FDNPP release, assuming equilibrium with ambient radionuclide activities (Garnier-Laplace et al. 2011). However, subsequent studies have shown that these estimates were likely an overestimate, as Cs and $^{131}\text{I}$ activities declined rapidly (Buesseler et al. 2011, Kryshev & Sazykina 2011, Kryshev et al. 2012).

An international assessment was conducted for marine biota under the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR 2014, Vives i Batlle et al. 2014) based on the monitoring data collected between May 2011 and August 2012 and taking into account two time stages: an acute exposure phase lasting for a few weeks immediately after the FDNPP releases and a chronic exposure phase at a lower dose rate lasting for 90 days to 1 year. During the acute phase, the various marine biota dose estimates are far below (or on the same order of magnitude for macroalgae) the ERICA (Environmental Risk from Ionising Contaminants: Assessment and Management) acute benchmark value of approximately 5 Gy, which is considered to be of concern for marine biota. During the second phase, the weighted absorbed dose rates ($^{134}\text{Cs}$, $^{137}\text{Cs}$, and $^{131}\text{I}$, both internal and external) were highly variable depending on location but were also well below the 0.24 mGy d$^{-1}$ ERICA benchmark for chronic exposure (below which no effects are expected at the population level) (Garnier-Laplace & Gilbin 2006). However, in the FDNPP harbor, this benchmark was reached and continued to be exceeded even 3 years after the initial FDNPP release for various species (i.e., median dose rate of 1.1 mGy d$^{-1}$ and maximum of 4.3 mGy d$^{-1}$; Johansen et al. 2014).

In the open ocean, very low Cs dose rates were received by planktonic populations both within 30 km of the FDNPPs (Belharet et al. 2016) and beyond (i.e., 30–600 km) (Fisher et al. 2013). Pacific bluefin tuna caught off the California coast in August 2011 (Madigan et al. 2012) were
calculated to have received an internal dose close to $0.24 \mu \text{Gy d}^{-1}$ when they were in Japanese waters for 3 months prior to migration (Fisher et al. 2013).

Dose assessments have several limitations, including a lack of information about the activities of short-lived radionuclides in the first days after the initial FDNPP release. That said, it is likely that the dose rates stayed below levels at which radiation effects could be observed, with the exception of the FDNPP harbor. A recent study documenting a decline in the number of species and population densities of intertidal biota between 2011 and 2013 in the region closest to the FDNPPs identified several potential causes, including direct impacts from the tsunami and toxicity from both chemical and radiological sources (Horiguchi et al. 2016).

In summary, there has been a significant decrease in the number of fish above the radiocesium limit of $100 \text{Bq kg}^{-1} \text{ww}$ over the past 5 years, from more than 50% down to less than 1%. However, $^{137} \text{Cs}$ activities in marine biota are still above pre-FDNPP background levels. As expected, marine biota were more contaminated in the coastal waters near the FDNPPs, and pelagic fish proved to be less contaminated than demersal fish. Fish diet and foraging behavior in sediment are additional factors that should be examined more closely (Johansen et al. 2014). Even though the environmental risks associated with fish that exceed $100 \text{Bq kg}^{-1} \text{ww}$ were extremely low by 2016 (Little et al. 2016, Okamura et al. 2016), a better understanding of the processes that led to long ecological half-lives in certain species of demersal fish is essential. With the exception of marine biota in close proximity to the FDNPPs, calculated doses have generally indicated no potential for effects on marine biota populations.

7. RADIOLOGICAL DOSES TO HUMANS AND SOCIETAL IMPACTS

To address public radiological concerns related to FDNPP sources and the oceans in particular, one needs to consider that individuals are exposed to radionuclides through multiple pathways, including inhalation of particles and ingestion and direct exposure arising from the surrounding environment. Contributors to the total dose include radiation from natural sources, medical procedures, and industrial and governmental activities (Figure 6, Table 3). The total radiation dose received by an individual is the sum of these pathways of exposure and is expressed as the effective dose.

The added dose from the FDNPPs should be discussed relative to the global average dose from natural background radiation, which is approximately $2.4 \text{mSv y}^{-1}$ (Thorne 2003). This can be apportioned into $1.2 \text{mSv y}^{-1}$ from inhaled radionuclides (primarily radon gas), $0.29 \text{mSv y}^{-1}$ from ingested radioactivity, $0.39 \text{mSv y}^{-1}$ from cosmic radiation, and $0.48 \text{mSv y}^{-1}$ from radiation arising from the surrounding geology (UNSCEAR 2008). Globally, natural background varies, primarily because of elevation, latitude, and the underlying geology. Exposures worldwide from natural sources are estimated to fall within the range of 1–13 mSv y$^{-1}$, although there are exceptions (UNSCEAR 2008). High natural background radiation can exceed 50 mSv y$^{-1}$ in locations such as Ramsar, Iran; Guarapari, Brazil; Kerala, India; and Yangjiang, China (Aliyu & Ramli 2015).

Diagnostic imaging and interventional medical procedures that use ionizing radiation can deliver doses that range from 0.01 to 70 mSv per procedure (Mettler et al. 2008). In the United States, for instance, the increased use of radiation in medicine has resulted in a near doubling of the average annual dose, from 3.6 mSv in 1980 to 6.2 mSv in 2006, making medical use the single largest contributor to dose (Schauer 2009). The use of radiation in cancer treatment (radiotherapy) uses much higher doses (up to 12,000 Gy) during attempts to kill cancer cells (Cancer Netw. 1999).

Radiation exposure also comes from industrial and governmental practices. Radionuclides released from past nuclear weapons tests have a global footprint. The levels are substantially lower
than they were during the 1960s, and the current global average contribution to dose is estimated to be less than 0.005 mSv (UNSCEAR 2008). By comparison, the routine operations of nuclear power plants contribute a dose of less than 0.0002 mSv y\(^{-1}\) to the general population (UNSCEAR 2008, Mettler et al. 2009).

Radionuclides in foodstuffs represent only approximately 5–10% of an individual’s dose. Public exposure to naturally occurring radionuclides in food comes largely from \(^{40}\)K and radionuclides in the U and Th series, contributing approximately 0.3 mSv y\(^{-1}\). The total dose varies globally and ranges from 0.2 to 1 mSv y\(^{-1}\) (UNSCEAR 2008).

The dose received from radioactivity in food depends on both the age of the individual and the specific characteristics of the radionuclide. Dose coefficients, expressed in millisieverts per becquerel, are used to calculate effective dose (Eckerman et al. 2013). For example, a 3-month-old infant will receive a dose of \(2 \times 10^{-5}\) mSv Bq\(^{-1}\) from the ingestion of 1 Bq of \(^{137}\)Cs, whereas an adult will receive \(1.3 \times 10^{-4}\) mSv from the same amount of \(^{137}\)Cs. The total dose received annually from food consumption is the radionuclide concentration in food (in becquerels per kilogram) multiplied by the dose coefficient (in millisieverts per becquerel) and the total amount of food consumed (in kilograms). Agencies such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations make estimates of annual dietary intake by food class (dairy, meat fish, produce, etc.) and age to estimate annual food intake (in kilograms per year). These data, along with the fraction of food assumed to be contaminated and a target dose, allow organizations to estimate specific limits of radionuclide activities in foodstuffs, known as derived intervention levels (expressed in becquerels per kilogram) (FAO & WHO 1994, ICRP 2007).
| Data point | Category               | Absorbed or effective dose (exposure duration) | Description                                                                 | Documented health impact       |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| a         | Accident/nuclear event | 20,000 mGy (minutes to hours)                   | Dose during Tokaimura criticality (Hayata et al. 2001)                       | Lethal                        |
| b         | Accident/nuclear event | 16,000 mGy (hours)                              | Dose to Chernobyl workers (UNSCEAR 2000)                                     | Lethal                        |
| c         | Medical                | 12,000 mGy (weeks)                              | Total body irradiation (Lawton 1998)                                        | Immune suppression             |
| d         | Accident/nuclear event | 1,600 mSv (weeks)                               | Maximum dose to infant Chernobyl evacuees (Pröhl et al. 2002, Balonov et al. 2007) | Functional thyroid changes and thyroid cancer |
| e         | Accident/nuclear event | 1,000 mGy (years)                               | Chronic exposure at the Mayak and Techa Rivers (Standring et al. 2009)       | Hematopoietic impairment       |
| f         | Accident/nuclear event | 670 mSv (weeks)                                 | Maximum dose to Fukushima workers (WHO 2013)                                 | None observed—estimated        |
| g         | Accident/nuclear event | 300 mGy (seconds)                               | Dose to fetuses at Hiroshima (Otake 1996)                                   | Mental deficit                 |
| h         | Environmental          | 260 mSv (years)                                 | Natural background radiation in Ramsar, Iran (Aliyu & Ramli 2015)             | Unclear                       |
| i         | Accident/nuclear event | 70 mSv (weeks)                                  | Dose to Fukushima evacuees (WHO 2013)                                       | None observed—estimated        |
| j         | Medical                | 60 mSv (hours)                                  | Dose during a pelvic vein embolization (Mettler et al. 2008)                 | None observed—estimated        |
| k         | Accident/nuclear event | 50 mSv (seconds)                                | Lowest dose where clear evidence of cancer has been documented from acute exposure in Japanese atomic bomb survivors (Brenner et al. 2003) | Cancer                        |
| l         | Medical                | 15 mSv (minutes or hours)                       | Dose during a CT scan for pulmonary embolism (Mettler et al. 2008)           | None observed—estimated        |
| m         | Environmental          | 2.4 mSv (years)                                 | Global average natural background (UNSCEAR 2000)                             | None observed—estimated        |
| n         | Medical                | 1.9 mSv (hours)                                 | Dose during a thyroid scan using $^{99m}$Tc (Mettler et al. 2008)             | None observed—estimated        |
| o         | Medical                | 0.4 mSv (seconds)                               | Dose during a mammogram (Mettler et al. 2008)                                | None observed—estimated        |
| p         | Environmental          | 0.25 mSv (years)                                | $^{210}$Po dose from consumption of shellfish (Pentreath & Allington 1988, Alam & Mohamed 2011) | None observed—estimated        |
| q         | Accident/nuclear event | <0.1 mSv (years)                                | Intervention level established to control dose from consumption of food from Fukushima (Harada et al. 2013) | None observed—estimated        |
Prior to the initial FDNPP release in 2011, fission radionuclides such as $^{137}$Cs and $^{90}$Sr were detectable worldwide in foodstuffs, primarily because of fallout from past nuclear weapons tests. Following the CNPP accident in 1986, the concentrations of fission products such as $^{131}$I, $^{137}$Cs, and $^{90}$Sr in foodstuffs in Ukraine were more than 1,000 times the baseline fallout concentrations (Nesterenko et al. 2010). As with observations of fallout, once these and other anthropogenic radionuclides were released into the environment, their activities steadily decreased owing to a combination of radioactive decay and biological and geochemical redistributions (e.g., Landis et al. 2012).

Following the FDNPP releases, measurements of radionuclides in foodstuffs were made by researchers, the public, and government agencies of many countries as they sought to provide information to a concerned public. The Japanese government instituted regulations to measure radionuclides in, and restrict consumption of, potentially contaminated foodstuffs (Hamada et al. 2012). As mentioned above, the Japanese authorities also reduced the regulatory limit for radiocesium in seafood from 500 to 100 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww on April 1, 2012, in an attempt to reassure Japanese consumers that their food had the world’s highest standards for radiological safety. For comparison, limits in the United States and the European Union are set at 1,200 and 1,250 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww, respectively (Table 2).

As reviewed above, the highest radiocesium activities were found in demersal fish in the FDNPP harbor (Figure 5). Many other species exhibited activities above 100 Bq kg$^{-1}$ in the first year after the FDNPP releases (e.g., Buesseler 2012) (Figure 5). Povinec & Hirose (2015) estimated the effective dose for someone ingesting seafood from off the coast of the FDNPPs between 2011 and 2013 to be 0.6 ± 0.4 mSv y$^{-1}$, as compared with a dose of 0.7 mSv y$^{-1}$ from naturally occurring $^{210}$Po in fish and shellfish. This is in agreement with Johansen et al. (2014), who calculated a dose of 0.13 mSv y$^{-1}$ from radioactive Cs for a hypothetical consumer of 50 kg of fish collected in 2013 within 3 km of the FDNPPs. The dose from $^{90}$Sr is calculated to be three orders of magnitude less than the corresponding dose rates from Cs in seafood (Maderich et al. 2014). All of these studies confirm prior work showing that, in general, $^{210}$Po remains the major contributor to the dose received from seafood consumption (Aarkrog et al. 1997).

Anthropogenic radionuclides have also been detected in very small quantities in foodstuffs along the west coast of North America. For example, $^{131}$I was detected in Fucus seaweed in Vancouver, Canada, at a maximum activity of 4,930 Bq kg$^{-1}$ following the CNPP accident; shortly after the FDNPP releases, seaweed from the same general area had activities of 130 Bq kg$^{-1}$ (Chester et al. 2013). Pacific bluefin tuna (Thunnus orientalis) caught off San Diego in August 2011 had detectable $^{134}$Cs and $^{137}$Cs activities of 4 and 6 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww, respectively (Madigan et al. 2012), whereas albacore (Thunnus alalunga) caught off the US Pacific Northwest coast in 2012 had even lower $^{134}$Cs and $^{137}$Cs activities (0.02–0.4 and 0.2–0.8 Bq kg$^{-1}$ ww, respectively) (Neville et al. 2014). None of these activities in fish result in significant doses (10–20 × 10$^{-9}$ Sv per kilogram consumed).

It is well documented that radiation exposure can cause cancer or other health effects (ICRP 2007). At high doses and dose rates, certain tissue reactions (such as skin burns and organ failure) and even death can occur. In recognition of these effects, radiation limits for workers and the public have been established to prevent such reactions and minimize the potential for cancer induction. Although substantive epidemiological data indicate an increased cancer risk from acute doses exceeding 50 mSv, distributing the dose over time appears to lower the risk (Brenner et al. 2003). The epidemiological data support an increase in cancer risk from protracted exposures exceeding 100 mSv (Brenner et al. 2003). There are considerable uncertainties in the risk projections, but radiation safety advisory bodies such as the International Commission on Radiological Protection currently estimate the risk of fatal cancer from radiation exposure to the general population to be 5% Sv$^{-1}$ (ICRP 2007), equivalent to 1 fatal cancer arising per 20,000 people exposed to 1 mSv.
It is important to note that the variation in cancer incidence across human populations—resulting from their environment, lifestyle choices, sensitive subpopulations, and genetics—makes unequivocal determination of risks at doses of less than 50–100 mSv problematic and inconclusive. Figure 6 illustrates the range of exposures that occur from medical practices, naturally occurring radiation, and accidents or nuclear events, including those at Chernobyl, Tokaimura, Hiroshima and Nagasaki, and Fukushima. The baseline incidence of fatal cancer in the United States is approximately 20%, which means a total lifetime cancer mortality rate of 1 in 5 (Natl. Cancer Inst. 2016). The lifetime risk of cancer mortality in Japan is slightly higher, approximately 24% (Ogino & Hattori 2014). The Fukushima region evacuees with the greatest exposure received a total dose of 70 mSv, which (if they are representative of the general population) would increase their fatal cancer risk from 24% to 24.4%.

Although there have been no direct casualties attributed to radiation exposure, more than 15,000 died or went missing as a result of the tsunami and earthquake. However, the societal impacts of a nuclear event go far beyond the direct radiological impacts. Evacuation and long-term relocation have been linked to psychological effects and stress-related casualties (on the order of 2,000–3,000 deaths) (Tanigawa et al. 2012, Ohtsuru et al. 2015). Of the more than 160,000 people evacuated from the area, approximately 100,000 are still classed as evacuees (Fukushima Prefect. 2016a,b), a number that includes many from coastal areas that suffered from both the tsunami and the nuclear accident. In addition to the designated evacuation areas, many regions experienced voluntary relocation, with younger generations more likely to move and the elderly more likely to remain (IAEA 2015a). This led to demographic changes and concerns about whether younger generations would take over family businesses. Fishing communities were particularly affected by experiencing loss of livelihood and lack of consumer trust in their products. Although the strict controls on foodstuffs meant that internal doses to populations were extremely low, all food industries suffered from loss of consumer trust in all Fukushima Prefecture products, with market prices dropping to 50% of those in other areas (Fukushima Prefect. 2016b), as well as export losses. Fishery production numbers from 2011 to 2013 were down to a third of those from previous years (Fukushima Prefect. 2016b). Tourist and cultural activities were similarly affected, with the region experiencing a 20% drop in visitors for the 2 years following the accident (IAEA 2015a, Fukushima Prefect. 2016b).

In recent years, both market prices and tourist numbers have recovered, and by 2015, a number of towns had been cleared for the return of populations, including the coastal towns of Hirono and Naraha to the south of the FDNPPs and areas in Minamisoma to the north. As in other areas in Fukushima, the return rate has been low and dominated mostly by the elderly. However, many families have reported that, in deciding whether to return, the lack of infrastructure (such as hospitals and schools) and employment opportunities is at least as important as (and sometimes more important than) concerns about radiation (IAEA 2015a). Recognizing this distinction has been an important part of the recovery plans for Fukushima, which deal not only with radiation protection measures, but also with industry and community revitalization. Although one cannot expect a total return of the communities to their status before March 11, 2011, it is hoped that, with time, a new normality will return to the affected areas and that an improved understanding of the fate and impacts of radionuclides discharged to the oceans will help to contribute to that recovery.
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