Characterization of a Prawn OA/TA Receptor in *Xenopus* Oocytes Suggests Functional Selectivity between Octopamine and Tyramine
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Abstract

Here we report the characterization of an octopamine/tyramine (OA/TA or TyrR1) receptor (OA/TA\textsubscript{Mac}) cloned from the freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, an animal used in the study of agonistic social behavior. The invertebrate OA/TA receptors are seven trans-membrane domain G-protein coupled receptors that are related to vertebrate adrenergic receptors. Behavioral studies in arthropods indicate that octopaminergic signaling systems modulate fight or flight behaviors with octopamine and/or tyramine functioning in a similar way to the adrenalin in vertebrate systems. Despite the importance of octopamine signaling in behavioral studies of decapod crustaceans there are no functional data available for any of their octopamine or tyramine receptors. We expressed OA/TA\textsubscript{Mac} in *Xenopus* oocytes where agonist-evoked transmembrane currents were used as readouts of receptor activity. The currents were most effectively evoked by tyramine but were also evoked by octopamine and dopamine. They were effectively blocked by yohimbine. The electrophysiological approach we used enabled the continuous observation of complex dynamics over time. Using voltage steps, we were able to simultaneously resolve two types of endogenous currents that are affected over different time scales. At higher concentrations we observe that octopamine and tyramine can produce different and opposing effects on both of these currents, presumably through the activity of the single expressed receptor type. The pharmacological profile and apparent functional-selectivity are consistent with properties first observed in the OA/TA receptor from the insect *Drosophila melanogaster*. As the first functional data reported for any crustacean OA/TA receptor, these results suggest that functional-selectivity between tyramine and octopamine is a feature of this receptor type that may be conserved among arthropods.
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Introduction

Octopamine, tyramine, and dopamine are structurally similar biogenic amines that are derived from the amino acid tyrosine (Fig. 1) [1]. These small signaling molecules have broad ranging cellular effects that are mediated by diverse and functionally complex receptor families. These include both ionotropic [2] and seven trans-membrane domain G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). The aminergic GPCRs have identified homologs in all major phyla including arthropoda [3–6]. In arthropods aminergic signaling is an important modulator of agonistic encounters and aggression [7–10] where the biogenic amines are known to function as both hormones and neurotransmitters [11–13]. We are currently cloning and characterizing aminergic GPCRs from the giant tropical prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* as a step toward elucidating the molecular mechanisms associated with the formation and maintenance of prawn social hierarchies [14,15].

Octopamine and tyramine [16] are endogenous signaling molecules that appear to have differential and sometimes antagonistic effects on physiology and behavior [17–20]. Furthermore, they are considered to serve homologous functions in invertebrates as do norepinephrine and epinephrine in mammals [4,21,22]. Close homology between the vertebrate adrenergic GPCRs and the invertebrate octopaminergic GPCRs supports this view [6]. In fact, insect octopamine receptors have been classified as α-adrenergic-like and β-adrenergic-like based on comparisons with vertebrate receptors [4]. The tyramine receptors (TyrR) are also homologous to vertebrate α-adrenergic receptors but are distinguished from octopamine receptors in that they are more sensitive to tyramine [4]. Three groups of TyrR receptors have been so far identified. Members of the original group are designated TyrR1 [6] and are also referred to as OA/TA-type because they are sensitive to octopamine and tyramine [4]. The TyrR2 receptors identified in *Drosophila* are sensitive to tyramine...
but are not activated, or are weakly activated, by octopamine [23–25]. Most recently the TyrR3 group that responds to multiple biogenic amines has been identified, also in *Drosophila* [23].

Despite the large amount of behavioral data related to octopamine in crustaceans, and the amenability of crustacean nervous preparations to experimental analysis, few crustacean octopamine receptors have been cloned and characterized [26]. We previously reported the first cloned member of the OA/TA-type (TyrR1) GPCRs from decapod crustaceans, OA/TA Mac, cloned from the CNS of the freshwater prawn [14]. In this paper we report its functional characterization.

Aminergic receptors tend to exert complex intracellular effects through multiple signaling pathways. The α-adrenergic-like octopamine receptors typically cause increases in both intracellular calcium and cAMP [27–30]. The OA/TA receptors typically activate intracellular pathways that increase intracellular calcium and/or suppress cAMP levels [25,31–35]. In addition to effects on multiple signaling pathways, “functional selectivity”, also known as “agonist-selective coupling” or “biased agonism”, is a property often observed among adrenergic-type receptors in both vertebrates [36,37] and invertebrates. Functional selectivity refers to a measurable cellular effect appearing to be preferentially (or selectively) induced by different ligands acting through a single receptor [36,38–42]. While it is often indicated by differential effects on measured levels of second messengers, functional selectivity is an operational term that can be inferred from any receptor dependent cellular output [42] such as desensitization or modulation of ionic currents.

Functional selectivity between octopamine and tyramine was observed for the first cloned OA/TA receptor (CG7485) [43], which was cloned from *Drosophila* [31,35]. When CG7485 was expressed in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells, octopamine more effectively increased intracellular calcium while tyramine more effectively reduced cAMP levels [43]. Functional selectivity was indicated by the fact that which transmitter displayed the greatest efficacy was dependent on which output (second messenger level) was considered. In addition to functional selectivity evoked through different agonists, concentration-sensitive effects caused by high and low concentrations of the same agonist have been observed for a number of insect octopamine receptors [27,30,44]. Functional selectivity arising from a concentration-sensitive response has been described for the α-adrenergic-like octopamine receptor CsOA1 (JN641302) [45]. High and low octopamine concentrations produced opposite behavioral effects on caterpillar hemocyte spreading and phagocytosis. In these immune cells that express CsOA1 endogenously, low concentrations of octopamine induced an increase in intracellular calcium, while high concentrations of octopamine induced both calcium and cAMP [45]. Thus, for CsOA1 functional selectivity was indicated both in terms of a behavioral effect (suppression or facilitation of cell motility) and an induction of an additional cellular process (cAMP production). While agonist-selective effects and concentration-sensitive effects are clearly documented for arthropod α-adrenergic-like GPCRs, directly opposing effects between tyramine and octopamine have not been reported.

Here we describe the first functional characterization of a crustacean tyramine/octopamine receptor, the prawn OA/TA Mac [14]. The sensitivity of OA/TA Mac to tyramine, octopamine and dopamine, as well as its pharmacological profile, are consistent with OA/TA type receptors from insects. OA/TA Mac also appears

---

**Figure 1. Aminergic agonists tested on OA/TA Mac.** The biogenic amines are structurally similar small molecules. Tyramine, octopamine, dopamine, and histamine are naturally occurring *bona fide* neurotransmitters in invertebrates. In vertebrates β-phenylethylamine is a trace amine and synephrine is a synthetic adrenergic receptor agonist.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111314.g001
to exhibit concentration-sensitive functional selectivity between tyramine and octopamine. The functional selectivity described here is observable as differential modulation of two different types of native currents of the *Xenopus laevis* oocyte. One is the direct calcium-dependent chloride current (we denote \( I_{D} \), evoked by transmitter application at a constant holding potential [46]). The second is the transient calcium-dependent chloride current \( I_{D, CA} \) evoked by step changes in voltage [47]. At high concentrations, tyramine evokes an additional intracellular process that results in a distinctly different \( I_{D} \) wave-form from that of octopamine. In addition, at high concentrations, tyramine increases, whereas octopamine decreases \( I_{D} \).

This is the first report of opposing cellular effects between tyramine and octopamine observed following the expression of an OA/TA receptor. This finding on the crustacean receptor agrees with previous findings from the insect OA/TA receptor (CG7485) that suggest the apparently minor chemical modification (a single hydroxyl group) between tyramine and octopamine (Fig. 1) can cause altered function of the OA/TA receptor [43]. Thus, these data provide evidence that functional selectivity between octopamine and tyramine may be a conserved property of arthropod OA/TA receptors.

**Results and Discussion**

We used *Xenopus* oocytes to express the prawn OA/TA receptor by injection of synthetic cRNA. The injection of foreign RNA into *Xenopus* oocytes typically induces the expression of multiple native chloride and potassium channels [47]. Ligand evoked currents resulting from modulation of these channels have been used extensively in the characterization of heterologously expressed GPCRs [48]. Oocytes are typically voltage clamped at a constant membrane potential around \(-60\) mV and deviations in holding potential are measured. We refer to currents measured in this way as direct-currents \( I_{D} \) (after [46]) in order to distinguish them from the voltage-evoked transient current \( I_{D, CA} \) described later.

**Tyramine, octopamine and dopamine evoke complex direct-currents \( I_{D} \) in OA/TA injected oocytes**

We first tested receptor-injected oocytes for the occurrence of a response to biogenic amines. Applications of tyramine, octopamine, or dopamine produced an inward \( I_{D} \) typically ranging between \( 50 \) nA and \( 500 \) nA, under a constant holding potential of \(-60\) mV. These currents exhibited complex characteristics. The amplitude of tyramine evoked \( I_{D} \) at concentrations below \( 10 \) \( \mu \)M was greater than octopamine or dopamine within the same oocyte (Figs. 2A and S2). Under prolonged applications (on the order of minutes), at \( 10 \) \( \mu \)M, \( I_{D} \) did not consistently reach a stable steady state (Fig. 2A). Instead it would rise and then begin to decline prior to the removal of agonist (dotted line). However, at high concentrations, above \( 100 \) \( \mu \)M, \( I_{D} \) appeared to approach a stable plateau (Fig. 2B). In the case of tyramine, the plateau had an abrupt onset causing the amplitude of the response to be smaller than octopamine or dopamine within the same oocyte (Fig. 2B). Uninjected oocytes showed no response to any of these three compounds (\( n = 3 \), Fig. S1).

The fact that the tyramine response is relatively small at high concentration and relatively large at low concentration indicates that the current amplitude is a complex function of receptor activity. The interpretation of the high concentration plateau as an equilibrium state is difficult to reconcile with the failure of the response to exhibit an equilibrium state at low concentration. Consequently, the relative amplitudes of the evoked currents do not appear to be in direct proportion to the fractional activation of the receptor population. Therefore, in order to compare the effects of different compounds and concentrations on \( I_{D} \), we measured the sub-maximal dynamic response to a pulse of agonist, which was found to produce a reliable and repeatable measure. Both flow rate and pulse duration were precisely controlled and comparisons were made relative to currents within single oocytes.

**The dose dependence of amine-evoked direct-currents \( I_{D} \)**

To characterize the dose dependence of \( I_{D} \), series of single transmitter injections were applied to different individual oocytes injected with OA/TA. Oocytes were voltage clamped at \(-60\) mV and tyramine, (+/-)-octopamine, or dopamine were applied using 30 second perfusion-switched applications (see methods for more details). Octopamine and dopamine began to evoke visible inward currents at around \( 1 \) \( \mu \)M (Fig. 3). The amplitude of these currents continued to increase with increasing concentration up to \( 1000 \) \( \mu \)M. Currents evoked by tyramine became apparent at around \( 0.1 \) \( \mu \)M. However, the response to tyramine reached maximum amplitude at around \( 10 \) \( \mu \)M and remained comparable in size to previous applications as the concentration was increased (Fig. 3).

The relatively small amplitude of the tyramine response at high concentration coincided with a change in waveform that was clearly different from that evoked by octopamine or dopamine. Figure 3B shows the components of the tyramine response in detail. The black bar indicates the application of \( 1000 \) \( \mu \)M tyramine. There is an onset delay of which \( 6\)–\(7\) seconds is due to the dead volume between the perfusion manifold and the oocyte chamber. Following the onset of the initial rise, the amplitude is limited by the abrupt appearance of a plateau. This amplitude-limiting plateau was invariably seen at high tyramine concentrations and never seen upon application of any other agonist we tested (up to concentrations of \( 1000 \) \( \mu \)M). During recovery a second rise becomes apparent that was often seen to coincide with minor oscillations. On occasion the oscillations were pronounced as shown in Figure 3A.

Amplitudes from currents recorded as shown in Figure 3A were normalized and plotted as dose-response curves in 3C. Curves normalized against both the plateau amplitude (grey) and peak amplitude (black) are shown for tyramine. The curves show that OA/TA injected oocytes were more sensitive to tyramine \((EC_{50}=0.2\ \mu M,\ n=4)\) than octopamine \((EC_{50}=21\ \mu M,\ n=6)\) or dopamine \((EC_{50}=63\ \mu M,\ n=5)\). The tyramine curve for the peak amplitude is more variable (as indicated by the larger standard deviations) than the curve plotted for the plateau amplitude due to variability in the appearance of oscillations at the second rise. In addition, the grey curve has a maximum at \( 10 \) \( \mu \)M indicating that the relative amplitude of the plateau within an oocyte becomes smaller at concentrations above approximately \( 10 \) \( \mu \)M.

**The limited amplitude of the tyramine response coincides with the induction of an additional cellular process**

The limited amplitude of the tyramine response could not be attributed to a form of desensitization or down regulation requiring repetitive agonist application because the complex waveform including plateau appeared upon the first application of high concentration tyramine (Fig. 4, see also Fig. S1D). In addition, the plateau amplitude was not noticeably affected by repetitive tyramine application. Figure 4A shows a continuous recording where \( 100 \) \( \mu \)M tyramine is applied to an oocyte before
proceeding with a concentration series that includes a second 100 μM application. Figure 4B shows the first 100 μM tyramine application (black) overlaid with the second 100 μM tyramine application (grey). The responses are aligned by the application of tyramine (black bar). Desensitization is evident in that the second rise and tail are of lower amplitude in the second response. Desensitization is also apparent in that the trace appears smoother because minor oscillations that occurred in the first (arrow) did not occur in the second. However, the delay of the response, the initial rise rate, and the amplitude of the plateau show almost no difference. Therefore, if the plateau is due to a desensitization mechanism, it must be a fast mechanism that occurs within seconds and is completely reversible within minutes.

The effect of the tyramine induced plateau on the maximal amplitude of $I_D$ is illustrated further in Figure 4C. Currents evoked at concentrations from 0.1 μM to 1000 μM in 4A (color coded) are overlaid and aligned by the response onset in 4C. The peak amplitudes of responses above 10 μM are clearly limited by
the appearance of the plateau even though the tail (after the second rise) of each response shows an increase in amplitude with concentration. The rate of the initial rise also increases as a direct function of tyramine concentration despite the correspondingly limited plateau amplitude. This indicates that the rise rate and plateau amplitude are distinct functions of concentration.

Figure 4D shows a quantitative description of this relationship. Rise rate is plotted against response amplitude for all ID responses recorded as shown in Figures 3 and 4A. The scatter-plot shows that the peak amplitude of the tyramine response is limited despite an increasing rise rate. For tyramine-evoked ID, values measured and normalized at both the peak (black) and plateau (grey) are shown. Approximate EC50 values estimated from the plot are TA (EC50<0.2 μM), OA (EC50<21 μM), and DA (EC50<63 μM). Error bars represent standard deviation of the normalized values and are shown in one direction for TA for clarity. Oocytes were injected with OA/TAMac receptor cRNA only (TA n = 4 oocytes; OA n = 6 oocytes; DA n = 5 oocytes).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111314.g003

Figure 3. The dose dependence of transmitter evoked direct-current (ID) in oocytes expressing the OA/TAMac GPCR. (A) Representative responses to concentration series in three different oocytes injected with OA/TAMac using a 30 second perfusion-switch. Oocytes were voltage clamped at -60 mV. Octopamine (OA) and dopamine (DA) consistently evoked currents that increased in amplitude up to the maximum concentration. In contrast, currents evoked by tyramine (TA) increased in amplitude up to around 10 μM. TA-evoked responses also exhibited a more complex waveform at high-concentration. In some oocytes TA evoked oscillations that became more pronounced with increasing concentration. (B) The salient features of the high-concentration TA-evoked response are labeled. These include the abrupt appearance of a plateau during agonist application (black bar) followed by a second rise occurring during washout. In some oocytes oscillations appeared during recovery that were never seen with octopamine or dopamine. (C) The mean amplitudes of normalized currents (I/Imax) recorded as in A are plotted against the concentration of agonist in μM. For TA, values measured and normalized at both the peak (black) and plateau (grey) are shown. Approximate EC50 values estimated from the plot are TA (EC50<0.2 μM), OA (EC50<21 μM), and DA (EC50<63 μM). Error bars represent standard deviation of the normalized values and are shown in one direction for TA for clarity. Oocytes were injected with OA/TAMac receptor cRNA only (TA n = 4 oocytes; OA n = 6 oocytes; DA n = 5 oocytes).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111314.g003

the appearance of the plateau even though the tail (after the second rise) of each response shows an increase in amplitude with concentration. The rate of the initial rise also increases as a direct function of tyramine concentration despite the correspondingly limited plateau amplitude. This indicates that the rise rate and plateau amplitude are distinct functions of concentration.

Figure 4D shows a quantitative description of this relationship. Rise rate is plotted against response amplitude for all ID responses recorded as shown in Figures 3 and 4A. The scatter-plot shows that the peak amplitude of the tyramine response is limited despite an increasing rise rate. For tyramine-evoked ID, there is a significant but relatively poor Spearman correlation (rS) between rise rate and amplitude (rS = 0.52, p = 0.046) [n = 15 responses from 4 oocytes]. However, for responses evoked by octopamine and dopamine the correlation is high and highly significant [OA: rS = 0.93, p = 2e-7 [n = 24 responses from 6 oocytes]; DA: rS = 0.97, p = 2e-7 [n = 14 responses from 5 oocytes]]. Furthermore, these correlations differ significantly between tyramine and octopamine (p = 0.002), or tyramine and dopamine (p = 0.0006), but not between octopamine and dopamine (p = 0.382) (Fisher’s z transformation for correlation coefficients, two-tailed Student’s t-test). The correlations show that the maximum amplitude is a monotonic function of rise rate for octopamine and dopamine but not necessarily for tyramine.

From this we can conclude that a process separate from the initial rise limits the amplitude of tyramine-evoked ID, and is not significantly limiting to octopamine or dopamine-evoked ID up to 1000 μM. This shows that the lower apparent efficacy of higher concentration tyramine in evoking ID (Fig. 2B) is not because of a weakened response. It is due instead to the induction of an additional opposing process that underlies the plateau.
Figure 4. Tyramine (TA) selectively evokes an intracellular process that limits the response amplitude. (A) A concentration series applied to a single oocyte. Color-coding corresponds to the same responses examined in more detail in B and C. (B) The low amplitude of the TA response was not due to cumulative buildup of desensitization because it could be observed upon the first high-concentration application. An initial 100 μM TA response (black) is aligned by the stimulus application (black bar) with a second 100 μM response (grey). The plateau amplitude changes very little between the first and second response indicating that the small size is not a function of repetitive application. Desensitization of the second response is apparent in the tail as a reduction in amplitude, and as a loss of minor oscillation. (C) The plateau limits the amplitude of the TA response. Responses from A (color coded from 0.1 μM to 1000 μM) are aligned by the response onset and overlaid. The rank-order of rise rate at the initial rise (asterisk) and amplitudes at points indicated are listed from lowest to highest. The rise rate appears to be a direct function of concentration. The tail amplitude after the second rise is also a direct function of concentration, whereas the plateau amplitude becomes an inverse function at higher concentrations. This indicates that rise rate and plateau amplitude are distinct functions of concentration. (D) A quantitative analysis of the observations in C shows that the process underlying the plateau is specific to TA. The scatter-plot shows the amplitude of each individual response plotted against its maximum rise-rate. Data include all responses from experiments plotted in Figure 3C. The Spearman rank-order correlation (r_S) between rise rate and peak amplitude for TA evoked responses is relatively weak (r_S = 0.52, p = 0.046), whereas for octopamine (OA) (r_S = 0.93, p = 2e–7) and dopamine (DA) (r_S = 0.97, p = 2e–7) it is strong. These correlations differ significantly between TA and the other two amines (TA vs OA, p = 0.002; TA vs DA, p = 0.0006) but not between OA and DA (OA vs DA, p = 0.382) (Fisher’s z transformation for correlation coefficients, two-tailed Student’s t-test). Each measurable response to an application of TA (4 oocytes, n = 15 responses), OA (6 oocytes, n = 24 responses), or DA (5 oocytes, n = 14 responses) was treated as an independent sample.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111314.g004
The observation of complex currents is not unusual for amineGCRs expressed in oocytes (e.g. [46,49–51]). However,
to our current knowledge, it is a novel observation that a more
complex waveform is evoked exclusively by tyramine and none of
the other amineGCRs. Our data cannot exclude the possibility that the additional complexity of the tyramine response is
due to the action of an unidentified XenoGma GPCR. It is also
likely that tyramine acts on some other unidentified endogenous
protein such as an ion channel or transporter. However, this
does not seem probable in light of the fact that I D waveforms appear to
be specific to the expressed receptor type. For example, in contrast
to OA/TAmax, octopamine was able to induce both oscillations
and a reduced I D at high concentration when the Drosophila OA/
TA receptor (CG7485) was expressed in oocytes [51]. The
response to octopamine in that case was similar to tyramine, hence
the I D showed no obvious indication of functional selectivity. If our
observations are due to an endogenous receptor, as opposed to the
activity of OA/TAmax, it must be highly specific for tyramine, its
expression must also be specifically induced according to the type
of heterologously expressed receptor, and in addition it must
not produce appreciable effects in uninjected oocytes.

The pharmacological profile of OA/TAmax is similar to
other octopamine/tyramine type receptors

To test agonists and antagonists associated with amineGCRs we measured effects with respect to I D evoked by a
30 second perfusion-switched application of 50 μM octopamine
(Fig. 5). The octopamine response provided a more practical point of
comparison than tyramine because of its larger amplitude (Fig.
S1D) and lower variability at 50 μM. Also, as described above,
tyramine induced effects not seen with any other agonist we tested.
The set of agonists, all at 50 μM, were tested one after another in
various orders on single oocytes (n = 6). At 50 μM the tyramine
receptor agonist β-phenylethylamine (β-PEA) evoked a current of
comparable or greater amplitude than octopamine (Fig. 5A). The
dopaminergic agonist (+)-quinpirole and adrenergic agonist (+/-
)-sympinehrine evoked smaller currents than octopamine or β-PEA.
There was no response or minimal response evoked by histamine.
Clonidine, an insect octopamine receptor agonist [52], was tested in
prior experiments and was not included in this set because it
also evoked no response (n = 4, Fig. S1C). We note that all
included agonists were also tested individually in additional
preliminary experiments (example traces shown in Fig. S1).

To test putative antagonists each was applied as a mixture with
50 μM octopamine using a 30 second perfusion-switched
application. Of the antagonists tested, the adrenergic antagonist
yohimbine was the most potent, reducing the octopamine response
by half at a sub-micromolar concentration. Mianserin was the least
potent, with an incomplete block at 100 μM (Fig. 5B). The relative
rank of potency under these conditions was yohimbine (IC50 = 0.48 μM) > phenolamine (IC50 = 0.98 μM) > epinastine
(IC50 = 3.70 μM) > haloperidol (IC50 = 4.20 μM) > SCH-23390
(IC50 = 9.60 μM) > mianserin (IC50 = 32.0 μM). Representative
current traces from these experiments are shown in Figure S3.

The sensitivity to tyramine, octopamine and dopamine (Fig. 3),
and the pharmacological profile, are similar to that of other
characterized OA/TA tyraminergic (TryR1) type receptors
[33,35,43,51]. Both tyramine and the tyramine receptor agonist
β-PEA is the most effective in evoking I D and yohimbine is the most
potent antagonist of octopamine evoked I D. We also note that both the Bombyx mori [33] and Drosophila [51] OA/TA
(TryR1) receptors are also responsive to dopamine while the
Drosophila [24] and Bombyx [25] TryR2 are not. The α-adrenergic-
like OA1 octopamine receptors are also non-responsive to
dopamine [27,45]. These results establish that the functional
attributes so far observed for OA/TAmax are consistent with its
previously reported phylogenetic grouping as an OA/TA (or TryR1) type receptor [14].

Differential modulation of the transient chloride current
I Cl-T by tyramine and octopamine

The fluctuations in holding current that we refer to as I D have
often been referred to as the calcium-dependent chloride current.
These currents are in fact complex and are known to contain
multiple poorly defined components that arise from a number of
 unidentified potassium, chloride and mixed cationic channels
[46,47]. For this reason, we tested the effect of tyramine and
octopamine on one of the better characterized components, the
transient calcium-dependent chloride current that we refer to as
I Cl-T after [33]. This current, which has been referred to as I Cl-T
[50,54], I Cl-2 [35] or I Cl1-T [53], can be clearly isolated from I D
using a pulse protocol [Fig. 6A] [53]. Furthermore, I Cl-T is
known to increase in response to inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP3)
injection [53,55]. Modulation of this current therefore provides
additional insight into how IP3 related pathways might be affected
by transmitter application.

I Cl-T was measured under two-electrode voltage-clamp by
hyperpolarizing the oocyte to −140 mV after a step to +40 mV
and stepping back to +40 mV (Fig. 6A). The size of the transient
current observed during the second step to +40 mV depends on
the amount of calcium entry that occurred through the calcium
permeable cation conductance (I cat) during the hyperpolarizing
step to −140 mV [47,54]. A change in the size of I Cl-T thereby
gives a measure of channel modulation. I Cl-T is measured instead of
I cat because I Cl-T is a pure chloride conductance that likely arises
from one ion channel population while I cat is complex arising from
more than one conductance [34].

We monitored I Cl-T over the course of extended transmitter
applications using the described pulse protocol. During prolonged
applications of 1 mM tyramine I Cl-T increased, whereas during
prolonged applications of 1 mM octopamine it decreased (n = 4)
(Fig. 6B–D and Fig. S2). Figure 6B illustrates this effect in detail
by showing a close up of the I D response along with expanded
current traces of I Cl-T taken at the indicated time points. All of the
measurements of I Cl-T taken over the entire course of the
recording are plotted in Figure 6C. Figure 6D shows the results
from 4 different oocytes that were subjected to similar applications.
The change between the last pre-application measurement and
subsequent time points (A I Cl-T), at 3 and 8 minutes post-
transmitter application, are shown for each individual oocyte. In
all cases tyramine caused an increase in I Cl-T while octopamine
causd a decrease. These four recordings were done subsequent to
the initial observation of this effect in other OA/TAmax receptor-
 injected oocytes and the effect was absent in uninjected oocytes.

The effect of both tyramine and octopamine on I Cl-T was also
tested at 1 μM and 100 μM (Fig. S3; n = 5 at each concentration).
Since tyramine produces distinctly different effects on I D at low
(below 10 μM) and high concentrations we might expect the same
for I Cl-T, however, this was not the case. Tyramine also produced
an increase in I Cl-T at lower concentration, while octopamine
produced no apparent effect. In addition, the strength of the
tyramine-induced increase appeared to be dose dependent (Fig. S3
C). The fact that tyramine evokes increases in I Cl-T independent
of concentration suggests that the differential effect at 1 mM is
unlikely to result from the increase in fractional activation of the
receptor population. Furthermore, the relationship between the I D
plateau and I Cl-T, if any, is unclear.
These experiments demonstrate clear differential modulation of $I_{\text{Cl-T}}$, a current that has a well described calcium dependence [47,53,55–57]. However, we emphasize that increases and decreases in $I_{\text{Cl-T}}$ cannot be interpreted to directly indicate rising and falling calcium levels due to intracellular release. $I_{\text{Cl-T}}$ is specifically coupled to the influx of extracellular calcium that occurs through the mixed cation current ($I_{\text{cat}}$) [47,54]. $I_{\text{cat}}$ can be modulated in parallel with intracellular calcium release [53]. Thus, the modulation we observe is expected to coincide with calcium modulation but does not necessarily depend on it. While not strictly indicative of intracellular calcium levels, these experiments do provide strong evidence that tyramine, at high concentration, stimulates a PLC/IP$_3$ linked pathway through OA/TA$_{\text{Mac}}$ that octopamine does not.

The opposite action of tyramine and octopamine on $I_{\text{Cl-T}}$ also provides evidence that antagonistic modulation of the underlying pathways may minimally require a single receptor type.

**Figure 5. The pharmacological profile of OA/TA$_{\text{Mac}}$.** (A) The relative amplitude of agonist evoked direct-currents ($I_0$) within single oocytes. (+/-)-octopamine (OA), beta-phenylethylamine (beta-PEA), (+/-)-synephrine (SA), (-)-quinpirole (QP), and histamine (HA) were all applied at 50 μM and normalized to the amplitude of the OA-evoked current. In this experimental set the response to OA ranged from 64 nA to 150 nA with a mean of 119 nA and a standard deviation of 32 nA ($n=6$). (B) Relative efficacy of antagonists. Antagonists were applied as a mixture with 50 μM OA. The concentration series for each antagonist was tested on a different oocyte injected with OA/TA$_{\text{Mac}}$ only and voltage clamped at −60 mV. Current amplitudes were normalized to the response at lowest antagonist concentration which was zero in most experiments. All antagonists were tested on 3 to 5 oocytes. Error bars in A and B represent the standard deviation of the normalized values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111314.g005
finding merits further investigation in an in vivo cellular environment where endogenous OA/TA (TryR1) receptors are expressed.

What are the cellular and/or molecular mechanisms that give rise to the observed functional selectivity?

Functional selectivity is indicated through the measurement of $I_D$ by the fact that tyramine evokes an additional process at high-concentration that octopamine does not. As shown in Figure 4, this can be inferred solely from the characteristics of the $I_D$.
waves. Functional selectivity is also indicated in the simultaneous measurement of $I_D$ and $I_{Cl-T}$ shown in Figure 6B and S7. At high concentrations octopamine appears to be more effective in increasing $I_D$ while tyramine is more effective in increasing $I_{Cl-T}$. Furthermore, both octopamine and tyramine increase $I_P$ while they have opposite effects on $I_{Cl-T}$ (Fig. 6B). Thus, a simple two-state model of receptor activation does not appear to be compatible with either $I_P$ or $I_{Cl-T}$. Figure 6B provides a particularly strong demonstration of functional selectivity because both effects are measured simultaneously and continuously for both ligands within single cells.

The simplest explanation of the observed functional selectivity is that tyramine enables at least one additional receptor state that octopamine does not. We note that multiple active receptors states cannot be absolutely proven without more direct structural or binding data. Alternative explanations include the presence of an unknown receptor as discussed above or more complex downstream effects of intracellular signaling components. Based on our observations, the process underlying the $I_P$ plateau does not appear to be a downstream effect of either calcium or cAMP.

It is well established that induction of endogenous currents in Xenopus oocytes indicates potential coupling to $G_{q/11}$/phospholipase C (PLC) mediated pathways [58]. In addition, as discussed above, $I_{Cl-T}$ is activated by $I_P$ injection [53]. The probable involvement of these PLC related signaling components suggests we should expect changes in intracellular calcium levels to occur with OA/TA receptors activity. The current oscillations that appear to be specifically triggered by tyramine (Figures 3 and 4) also suggest effects on intracellular calcium levels. After extended incubation with thapsigargin in calcium-free medium, a procedure known to purge calcium from the endoplasmic reticulum [59], oscillations were not observed (Fig. S4). However, the underlying tyramine-induced $I_D$ waveform (plateau and second rise) appeared to be unaffected. Thus we have no evidence that the functional selectivity we observe is a secondary effect of intracellular calcium release.

It is also unlikely that the effects of tyramine at high concentration result from an increase in cAMP. It is common that octopaminergic GPCRs can couple through the canonical $G_{q/11}$/cAMP pathway so we used co-expression of the Canonical Fibrosis Trans-membrane Conductance Regulator (CFTR) as a means of testing for increases in cAMP [see methods for more detail] [60–63]. Our measurements indicated that neither octopamine nor tyramine produced significant increases in cAMP levels (Fig. S5). Furthermore, the application of the adenylate cyclase inhibitor SQ-22536 had no apparent effect on $I_D$ (tyramine [n = 3], octopamine [n = 6]) (Fig. S6).

While functional selectivity is clearly indicated by differential effects of tyramine and octopamine on both $I_P$ and $I_{Cl-T}$, elucidation of the underlying mechanisms remains a non-trivial matter. Future work towards better defining the underlying mechanisms may enable the relatively rapid assessment of a receptors tendency towards biased signaling using electrophysiology in the oocyte system.

**Remarks on the implications for in vivo receptor function**

Despite growing evidence that functional selectivity (or biased agonism) and concentration-sensitive functional selectivity are conserved features of arthropod aminergic receptors, the importance of these properties for nervous function remains to be determined. An important physiological implication of concentration-sensitivity is that it can enable the location of a receptor to determine its intracellular effect. Receptors located at or near the synaptic cleft can be exposed to transmitter concentrations in the millimolar range [64]. Receptors located far from release sites are exposed to lower concentrations. In crustaceans this principle is well established for dopamine based on morphological data [See for example [65,66]]. In addition, octopamine is well understood to function in a hormonal capacity in crustaceans [11,67,68]. While growing evidence indicates that tyraminergic neurotransmission occurs in arthropods [17,18,69–72], specific synaptic and hormonal functions remain obscure. Our findings raise the possibility that single-type OA/TA receptors can produce different cellular effects in each capacity.

The extent to which OA/TA type receptors may be modulated via exposure to both tyramine and octopamine in vivo is also unclear. Mixed release seems likely to occur in at least some octopaminergic neurons because tyramine is a precursor of octopamine. The possibility of differential effects of tyramine and octopamine being mediated through a single receptor was first proposed based on biased agonism observed for the Drosophila OA/TA type receptor [43]. Our results also suggest that cellular effects at high concentration (such as at the synapse) will be variable as a function of the patterning of the exposure. Because tyramine evokes an additional opposing process we can predict a nonlinear summation of effect. This means that the wave-form of a post-synaptic potential could in principle be modulated by the relative timing or ratio of tyramine and octopamine (see S9).

It is of primary concern to determine if differential effects — and as a result emergent behaviors — may normally occur in vivo through the single receptor type. While some effects of tyramine have been observed to oppose those of octopamine in honeybee and Drosophila [17,19,20,22], the behavioral effects of tyramine on agonistic encounters in prawn have yet to be determined. The OA/TA receptor is likely important in nervous function because it is present throughout the prawn’s nervous system [14] as are neurons containing octopamine [73]. However, a specific synapse or cell where in vivo tyramine signaling can be studied in prawn remains to be identified.

**Materials and Methods**

**Molecular constructs and RNA synthesis**

The full length coding region of the OA/TA receptor (EU223826) was prepared for subcloning by PCR amplification. The primer 5′-GA TGA TCA GAA GAA ATG ACC CGC TTT AAG CCT TTC-3′ was used to add a BclII restriction site and a Kozak region to the 5′-end; and the primer 5′-GA TGA TCA GAA GAA ATG ACC CGC TTT AAG CCT TTC-3′ was used to add a BclII restriction site to the 3′-end. OA/TA was subcloned into pBSTA plasmid [74] which was then used as template for RNA synthesis. Capped cRNA was synthesized using the mSCRIPT kit (Epicenter, Madison, WI). Integrity of the RNA was checked on an agarose gel and concentration was determined by absorbance using a NanoDrop ND 1000 spectrophotometer. RNA was adjusted to 1.0 μg/μL in water and stored in aliquots at −80°C.

The cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR, NM_000492) was prepared as for OA/TA receptor.

**Oocyte injection**

Mature stage V or VI Xenopus laevis oocytes were collected, following procedures in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health, as described and approved by the University of Puerto Rico Medical Sciences Campus Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) in protocol 3240104. All surgery to collect oocytes was performed under tricaine anesthesia, and all efforts were made to minimize
pain and suffering. The collected oocytes were maintained in ND 96 (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6) supplemented with antibiotics (Tetracycline 50 mg/L and Amikacin 330 mg/L) at 18°C. The follicle was removed mechanically following a collagenase treatment before injection with 50 nL of poly-A cRNA. OA/TAMac was injected at concentrations up to 0.15 μg/μL. In other experiments, a mixture of 0.1 μg/μL OA/TAMac and 0.025 μg/μL CFPTR was used or up to 0.05 μg/μL CFPTR alone was used.

Electrophysiology

Recordings were performed 1–3 days post-injection at room temperature (20–22°C). Currents were recorded under two-electrode voltage clamp using an Axoclamp 900A amplifier (Molecular Devices LLC, CA USA). A digidata 1440a analog to digital converter was used in conjunction with pCLAMP 10 software (Molecular Devices) for data acquisition and to generate voltage commands. For each step protocol or chemical application data were typically sampled at 5 KHz and filtered digitally at 1 KHz. For the duration of each experiment the current was also continuously sampled at 1 kHz using a separate minidigit 1A digitizer (Molecular Devices). Glass microelectrodes were pulled from filamented 1.2 mm thin wall borosilicate glass (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) using a Sutter Instruments P-97 puller. They were filled with 3 M KCl and had resistances of 0.8–2.0 MΩ. A silver chloride pellet immersed in 3 M KCl and connected to the bath via a 5% agar bridge made with 3 M KCl was used as the reference electrode.

The experiments shown in Figure S7 were done separately by EcoCyte Bioscience, Houston, TX. We provided the experimental design and OA/TAMac cRNA. EcoCyte performed the experiments and returned the raw trace data for analysis. *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were prepared by standard methods similar to those described above. RNA injections and recordings were done using the Roboocyte multichannel recording and injection system (Multi Channel Systems, Reutlingen Germany). Recordings were done 2–3 days post-injection at room temperature in standard frog saline (90 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6).

Test compounds

Compounds were obtained from Sigma and dissolved in ND96 or calcium free ND 96 (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM EGTA, and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6), unless stated otherwise. Water-soluble compounds, including (+/-)-octopamine hydrochloride, dopamine hydrochloride, and tyramine hydrochloride, were made fresh in 1 M stocks the day of the experiment and serially diluted. Epinastine was prepared as a 0.06 M stock in water and stored at -20°C. SQ-22536 was prepared as a 0.1 M stock in water. Yohimbine was dissolved in acidic ND 96 to 1 mM, before being serially diluted at pH 7.6. Forskolin was prepared as a 0.05 M stock in DMSO. Thapsigargin was prepared as a 0.01 M stock in DMSO. (–)-Quinpirol was made as a 0.01 M stock in ND96 and stored in aliquots at -20°C. Haloperidol, on the day of the experiment, was dissolved in deionized water, and then switching to 3 M NaCl for 30 s. By testing oocytes co-expressing the CFTR channel and OA/TAMac, heterologously expressed CFTR can be used as an extremely sensitive assay for intracellular cAMP in *Xenopus* oocytes [62]. CFTR mediates a chloride-selective leak conductance that is activated by increases in intracellular cAMP [63]. Activity of CFTR can thus be used to indicate whether changes in cAMP correspond with ligand application [60–62]. Whole oocyte conductance was monitored using a step protocol and calculated from I/V plots. The command voltage was stepped from the holding potential to various levels (–10 mV to –55 mV in –5 mV increments) for 150 ms. The steady state current during each step was plotted against the command voltage and fit to a line using Clampfit 10 software (Fig S7A). The slope of the line was taken as the conductance. To minimize the magnitude of the holding current between drug applications, oocytes expressing CFTR were typically held at –20 mV which is close to the typical chloride reversal potential. This was confirmed by the fact that the direct-current evoked by forskolin could appear inward or outward at –20 mV. Oocytes expressing either OA/TAMac alone or OA/TAMac and CFTR typically had resting membrane potentials between –20 mV and –30 mV (mean of –26.2±5 mV calculated from oocytes in Fig S7) due to increased expression of endogenous chloride currents [47].

Perfusion of test compounds

Oocytes were continuously perfused at 1.5 mL/min either with ND 96 or test compounds dissolved in ND 96. Two types of applications were used over the course of this study, a perfusion-switch or a focal application. In all cases solutions were delivered through a gravity fed system and switched using a computer controlled bank of pinch valves. Flow rate was regulated with a manual screw-type pinch valve.

For focal application a triple barrel pipette with three parallel independently switched channels was positioned about 1 mm from the surface of the oocyte, up-stream of a cross flow, at an approximate angle of 45 degrees (schematic shown in Fig S8). Drugs were focally applied during continuous perfusion with ND 96. This exposed the oocyte to a rapid pulse that immediately and almost completely enveloped the oocyte, as determined by observing the application of dye colored solution. Thus the focal application produced an exposure at the applied concentration, over most of the oocyte surface, for a duration equaling the approximate duration of the application. Focal applications produced vigorous responses with a shorter delay and longer washout time than perfusion-switched applications.

In experiments where more than three drugs or concentrations were tested a precisely controlled 30 s perfusion-switch was used. Up to eight channels were connected to the bath via an 8-way manifold. There was an approximately 6–7 s delay for solutions to traverse the dead volume between the manifold and the bath. During a switch, to prevent back-flow, the open channel was turned off at least 100 ms before the next was turned on. To determine the concentration profile for this type of application we monitored the resistance of a bath electrode while flowing deionized water, and then switching to 3 M NaCl for 30 s. By observing the drop in resistance relative to that in pure 3 M NaCl we determined that the maximum concentration was reached at approximately 30 seconds. This resulted in a concentration profile where the test compound flowed through the bath for approximately 1 minute but only momentarily reached the applied (peak)
concentration near 30 s. For concentration series a 5-8 min washout was used in between perfusion-switched applications.

Data analysis and figure preparation
Analyses of trace data were done using pCLAMP 10 software (Molecular Devices). Data plots and curve fits were done using pCLAMP 10 or SigmaPlot 11 software. EC$_{50}$ and IC$_{50}$ values are estimates obtained graphically. Final figures were prepared using CorelDRAW. Images of electrophysiological traces and graphs were imported into CorelDRAW from the analysis programs. Statistical tests and calculations of Spearman correlations were done using SigmaPlot 11 software. Not all data were normally distributed as determined by the Shapiro-Wilk test. For this reason non-parametric tests were performed as stated in the figure legends. Within oocyte comparisons in the designed experiment of Figure S2 were treated as paired values. Compiled data from different preliminary experiments shown in Figure S3 were treated as independent samples. Significance testing on the difference between correlation coefficients was done using Fisher’s z-transformation method for correlation coefficients [75] followed by the two-tailed t-test as implemented in the cocor: Comparing Correlations R-package [76].

Supporting Information
Figure S1 Direct-current (I$_D$) responses are specifically evoked by agonists in oocytes injected with OA/TA$_{Mac}$ only. (A) Un-injected oocytes do not respond to dopamine (DA), octopamine (OA), or tyramine (TA). The trace is representative of an experimental set of 5 oocytes. Additional uninjected oocytes were tested with various experimental sets throughout the course of this study. (B) A typical preliminary test of agonist sensitivity. Injection of OA/TA$_{Mac}$ cRNA alone is sufficient to confer sensitivity to OA. The putative agonist quinpirole (QP) also produces a response in injected oocytes and not in uninjected oocytes. Applications are approximately 30 seconds in the upper trace. (C) The response of clonidine (CN), sympathrine (SN), and OA within a single OA/TA$_{Mac}$ injected oocyte. Clonidine was tested on 4 injected oocytes and produced no visible response. (D) A representative current trace from the experimental set shown in Fig. 3A. All drugs are applied for 30 seconds each as indicated by black rectangles. Agonist evoked currents are measured within oocytes relative to the octopamine response at 30 μM. The comparison is made to OA because the amplitude of the tyramine response becomes small at concentrations above 10 μM. This is due to a mechanistically undefined process not seen with any other agonist we tested. Note that TA is the first compound applied to a comparison is made to OA because the amplitude of the tyramine response at 50 μM. This is in the range of baseline fluctuations in holding current. (TIF)

Figure S2 The full detail for the experiment of oocyte #3 in Figure 6D showing the effect of tyramine (TA) and octopamine (OA) on I$_{C1-T}$. (A) The entire recording shown at full scale. The oocyte is voltage clamped at −20 mV. (B) The I$_D$ response for both TA and OA. Vertical lines are the simultaneously measured I$_{C1-T}$. The net conductance change for the TA response is typically near zero (see. Fig. S3 C1) causing the I$_D$ to be small, especially at the holding potential of −20 mV, which is near the chloride reversal potential. In this example the TA-evoked I$_D$ is in the range of baseline fluctuations in holding current. (C) An overlay of the first 10 recordings of I$_{C1-T}$ during tyramine application (TA). There is minimal change in the amplitude of the first step which is the reference for calculating peak height of the second step. I$_{C1-T}$ is a mixed cation current that is mediated by multiple unidentified ion channels. The I$_{C1-T}$ transient is probably mediated by a single channel type and is dependent on both voltage and influx of extracellular calcium through I$_{Ca}$. (D) I$_{C1-T}$ shown for all measurements in A. Note that I$_{C1-T}$ continues to increase or decrease long after the I$_D$ responses reach their respective plateaus. In other words I$_D$ saturates before I$_{C1-T}$. The difference in amplitude of I$_{C1-T}$ between TA and OA (B1) is well within 100 nA, while the difference in I$_{C1-T}$ is over 1000 nA (D). This is a clear indication that the time course and maximum amplitude of I$_{D2}$, as discussed per Fig. 2, cannot faithfully reflect fractional ligand binding or receptor ‘activation’. (TIF)

Figure S3 The effect of tyramine (TA) and octopamine (OA) on I$_{C1-T}$ at 1 μM and 100 μM. A1 and A2. Recordings of I$_D$ from two different oocytes showing 8 minute applications of biogenic amines (black bars). The oocytes are voltage clamped at −20 mV and a measurement of I$_{C1-T}$ was taken every minute. The pulses used to measure I$_{C1-T}$ appear as vertical lines and are numbered. (B1 and B2) Individual measurements of I$_{C1-T}$ from the corresponding traces in A1 and A2. (C) The mean responses from 5 different oocytes at each concentration. Values for each pulse are normalized to the smallest amplitude pulse during the first 8 minutes. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the normalized values and are in one direction for 100 μM responses for clarity. (TIF)

Figure S4 Pre-incubation in thapsigargin in calcium-free solution had no apparent effect on the tyramine induced plateau. The oscillations that were sometimes seen in normal saline (ND96) were not seen in calcium free saline. However, no obvious effects on the underlying I$_D$ waveforms were seen when experiments were done in calcium-free ND96, indicating that extracellular calcium influx is not required for the development of the plateau. To specifically deplete calcium from endoplasmic reticular stores, oocytes were incubated in 1.7 μM thapsigargin in calcium-free ND 96 for three hours [59]. Under these conditions the response to tyramine was still observed and was of a similar waveform in that a plateau and second rise were still apparent (n = 2). Vertical lines are 1/V pulse protocols used to monitor conductance. Measured values are given below. (TIF)

Figure S5 Biogenic amines do not evoke significant changes in CFTR conductance (g). A) The measurement of whole oocyte conductance was done by plotting steady state current against the command voltage recorded during a step protocol. It is defined as the slope (I/V) of the linear least squares regression line. In the example shown tyramine (TA) causes no apparent change in whole oocyte conductance. Octopamine (OA) causes a small change compared to the adenylate cyclase activator forskolin (FSK)$_2$. (B) TA or OA evoke comparable changes in conductance in oocytes injected with either OA/TA$_{Mac}$ only, or OA/TA$_{Mac}$ and CFTR (not significant [n.s.], p = 0.437, Mann-Whitney Rank-Sum Test). FSK evokes a significantly larger conductance increase or decrease long after the I$_D$ responses reach their respective plateaus. In other words I$_D$ saturates before I$_{C1-T}$. The difference in amplitude of I$_{C1-T}$ between TA and OA (B1) is well within 100 nA, while the difference in I$_{C1-T}$ is over 1000 nA (D). This is a clear indication that the time course and maximum amplitude of I$_{D2}$, as discussed per Fig. 2, cannot faithfully reflect fractional ligand binding or receptor ‘activation’. (TIF)
and the indicated time points post-application of compound. The mean conductance for TA responses compiled from 12 oocytes was 0.30 μS, s.d. = 1.72 μS (high conductance outlier excluded). These values were significantly different between all responses (**TA vs OA, p = 0.002; **TA vs FSK, p = 0.001; *OA vs FSK, p = 0.045) (Mann-Whitney Rank-Sum Test). The TA response was 563 nA. The OA (75 ± 5 nA) and DA (46 ± 31 nA) responses were correspondingly variable (mean ± s.d.) (n = 11 oocytes). Despite between cell variability the TA response was invariably the largest within single oocytes. Representative current traces from three different concentration are shown. (B) The mean response amplitude recorded as in A (**TA vs [OA or DA], p = < 0.0001; *DA vs OA, p = 0.042). (C) The mean rise rate from the same responses shown in A and B (**TA vs OA, p = 0.002; ***TA vs DA, p = < 0.001; *DA vs OA, p = 0.032). The rise rate was calculated by fitting a line to the linear portion of the rise. Data in B and C were treated as paired comparisons within single oocytes using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test. Error bars in B and C represent standard error of the mean. Oocytes were co-injected with OA/TA receptor and CFTR cRNA and voltage clamped at −60 mV.

Figure S7 Example current traces from experiments testing putative antagonists and used to generate Figure 5B. Amplitudes plotted in Fig. 5B were normalized to the amplitudes at lowest concentration. Antagonists were applied as a mixture with 50 μM octopamine. All applications were for 30 seconds.

Figure S8 At 10 μM tyramine (TA) is more effective at evoking a direct-current (I0) response than octopamine (OA) or dopamine (DA) within single oocytes. Transmitters were applied in various orders using a 10 second focal applications indicated by arrow heads (schematic at top). The TA response was variable between oocytes (229 ± 152 nA) and ranged from 96 nA to 563 nA. The OA (75 ± 55 nA) and DA (46 ± 31 nA) responses were correspondingly variable (mean ± s.d.) (n = 11 oocytes). Despite between cell variability the TA response was invariably the largest within single oocytes. Representative current traces from three different concentration are shown. The mean response amplitude recorded as in A (**TA vs [OA or DA], p = < 0.0001; *DA vs OA, p = 0.042). The mean rise rate from the same responses shown in A and B (**TA vs OA, p = 0.002; ***TA vs DA, p = < 0.001; *DA vs OA, p = 0.032). The rise rate was calculated by fitting a line to the linear portion of the rise. Data in B and C were treated as paired comparisons within single oocytes using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test. Error bars in B and C represent standard error of the mean. Oocytes were co-injected with OA/TA receptor and CFTR cRNA and voltage clamped at −60 mV.
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