EFFECTIVITY OF INJECTION SCLEROTHERAPY WITH POLIDOCANOL IN EARLY HAEMORRHOIDS
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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Hemorrhoids are one of the most common complaints that coloproctologists must evaluate. Polidocanol is widely used as a sclerosing agent for the treatment of hemorrhoids. OBJECTIVES: To study the efficacy and safety of polidocanol for sclerotherapy in the treatment of hemorrhoids. METHODS: A prospective study of 58 patients over a period of one year. The treatment details, efficacy and adverse effects were studied. RESULTS: In our study the male to female ratio was 1.52:1. The age ranged from 22 to 60 years. Out of 58 patients, 36(62.07%) patients were having first degree haemorrhoids and 22(37.93%) patients had early second degree haemorrhoids. In our study, after three doses of injection (89.66%) patients had satisfactory results. After the first dose of injection 39(67.24%) patients had satisfactory results. Rest 19 patients were given second dose of injection, of which 11(57.89%) patients had satisfactory results. Third dose of injection given to the remaining 8 patients proved satisfactory only in 2 cases. After three doses of injection, 6(10.34 %) cases failed to show any response. All the six cases had early second degree haemorrhoids. CONCLUSION: Polidocanol is an effective sclerosant for the treatment of early hemorrhoids.
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INTRODUCTION: Sclerotherapy is a time-honored method and is widely practiced all over the world for the treatment of 1st and 2nd degree hemorrhoids by creating a fibrous reaction.¹ There are many types of sclerosants but they all do the same thing; they cause a low grade, long-standing inflammation reaction which `scar' the vein and mucosal tissue, collapse the vein walls and cause the hemorrhoids to shrivel.

Polidocanol has a sclerosant and at the same time a local anesthetic effect. This permits almost painless sclerotherapy. It is easy to administer and can be used as an out-patient procedure. The aim of the present study was to study the efficacy and side effects of polidocanol as a sclerotherapeutic agent in the treatment of hemorrhoids.

METHODS: This study was carried out for a period of one year, from July 2009 to June 2010. Patients with first and early second degree hemorrhoids were entered into the study. There were no age or sex exclusions. Pregnant patients, patients with diabetes mellitus, acute severe heart disease, previous anorectal surgery and bed ridden patients were excluded from the study. Hemorrhoids that were accompanied by other anorectal conditions such as fissure, fistula-in-ano and inflammatory bowel disease were also excluded from the study. Consent was taken from each patient.
The patient was advised to defecate shortly before the treatment and was laid down on his or her left side in the left lateral position. A proctoscope with a wide bore was inserted into the anal canal to illuminate the hemorrhoid to be injected. Injection was done at the base of the hemorrhoid, above the dentate line. Once the correct injection site was located, the needle was inserted 1 to 2 cms deep, parallel to the anal canal. About 1 ml of polidocanol (30 mg) was injected slowly, allowing time for dispersion in the tissue. The needle was held in place for about 2 minutes and then slowly withdrawn to facilitate the sealing of the tract. A maximum of three separate injections to the bases of the hemorrhoids were given at intervals of three weeks if required.

Sitz baths after each bowel movement were suggested for 2 days post treatment. The patients were re-examined on days 14, 28 and then on a 2 monthly basis.

RESULTS: 58 patients were included in the study of which there were 35 males and 23 females. The male: female ratio was 1.52: 1. The age ranged from 22 to 60 years.

| Age group in years | Number of patients | Percentage |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 21 - 30            | 16                 | 27.59%     |
| 31 - 40            | 23                 | 39.66%     |
| 41 - 50            | 15                 | 25.86%     |
| 50                 | 4                  | 6.89%      |

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to age group (n= 58)

All the patients presented with per rectal bleeding which was painless in most of the cases (91.38 %). Constipation was present in 55.17 % cases. Out of 58 patients, 36(62.07 %) patients were having first degree haemorrhoids and 22(37.93 %) patients had early second degree haemorrhoids.

| Number of injections | Number of patients | Satisfactory | Percentage | Unsatisfactory |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| First                | 58                 | 39           | 67.24%     | 19            |
| Second               | 19                 | 11           | 57.89%     | 8             |
| Third                | 8                  | 2            | 25%        | 6             |

Table 2: Number of injections required for satisfactory response

After the first dose of injection 39(67.24 %) patients had satisfactory results. Rest 19 patients were given were given second dose of injection, of which 11(57.89%) patients had satisfactory results. Third dose of injection given to the remaining 8 patients proved satisfactory only in 2 cases. After three doses of injection, 6(10.34 %) cases failed to show any response. All the six patients had early second degree haemorrhoids. There were no complications during the procedure.

Complications occurred in the form of mild bleeding episodes in three patients. During the follow up period of 10 months recurrence was observed in 3 patients. Complications like prostatitis, infection or ulceration were not observed in any case. None of the patients complained of pain during the procedure.

DISCUSSION: Hemorrhoids are a common condition, but their true prevalence is unknown. According to some western population statistics, the prevalence may be around 37% with an equal
frequency in men and women.[2,3,4] In our study the male to female ratio was 1.52:1. This may be partly due to the fact that women are often reluctant to approach a doctor for rectal bleeding and much less reluctant to undergo an invasive procedure.

There still exists controversies and lack of agreement on the treatment strategies. Due to the superficial nature of the disease and the general lack of life threatening complications, most physicians feel comfortable to prefer methods that are readily available.[4] Despite the relatively good resulted reports in literature, a surgical hemorrhoidectomy is associated with major complications including postoperative pain, incontinence, stenosis and bleeding. More than 80% of the hemorrhoidal symptoms can usually be controlled by non-excisional techniques.[5,6] The most common interventions are sclerotherapy and rubber band ligation. Use of various kinds of sclerosants has been reported in literature. They include phenol in almond oil, phenol in arachus oil, phenol in olive oil, sodium tetradecyl sulphate, polidocanol, quinine, ethanolamine oleate and OC-108. Use of hypertonic saline and absolute alcohol has also been reported.[7,8,9]

Bleeding is the principal and earliest symptom of haemorrhoids.[10,11] In our study bleeding was observed in all the patients. Similar findings have been found in another study by Bhuiya et al.[11] Immediate complications of injection sclerotherapy are local pain, bleeding, ulceration and prostatitis in case of males if injection is too deep and anterior. Prostatitis should be treated immediately with antibiotics. There may be late complication like fibrosis with anal canal stenosis.[11] Most common post injection symptom is pain which is normally short lived and subsides within 24 hours. Usually this can be managed by topical pain killers and analgesics.[9] In a study by Chauhan VF et al,[9] pain was felt in 38.8% of the patients injected with hypertonic saline and 52.2% of the patients injected with absolute alcohol. In our study there were no immediate complications like pain or bleeding. Polidocanol has a sclerosant and at the same time a local anesthetic effect which permits almost painless sclerotherapy. In a study[11] using phenol in olive oil, complication in the form of bleeding was observed in 2.08% cases. In our study minor bleeding was observed after 72 hours in 3 patients which subsided without any treatment.

In our study, after three doses of injection (89.66%) patients had satisfactory results. 67.24% patients were relieved of bleeding after the first dose and of the remaining, 57.89% patients were relieved after the second dose. In a study[11] using 5% phenol in olive oil as sclerosant, satisfactory results were seen in 60.41% patients after the first dose, 15.78% after the second dose and 3.12% after the third dose of injection of sclerosant. In another study with sclerosants 76.1% patients were relieved of bleeding with the use of hypertonic saline and 80.5% patients were relieved of bleeding with the use of absolute alcohol as sclerosant. To conclude, polidocanol is an effective sclerosant for the treatment of early hemorrhoids and permits almost painless sclerotherapy.
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