Derrick Safety Monitoring System Based on Fiber Bragg Grating Strain Sensors
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Abstract: The fiber Bragg grating (FBG) strain sensor is used in the oil and gas derricks for the health monitoring. The system consisted of the FBG strain sensors, optical interrogator and monitoring software. 64 sensors were installed on a derrick for loading and unloading tests. The results showed that the monitoring system had the advantages of the high accuracy, good repeatability, large capacity and real-time monitoring ability.
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1. Introduction

Derrick is an important part of the oil and gas field drilling rig. Its safety has great influences on the performance, reliability and lifetime of the whole rig. The derrick safety has been receiving much attention over the years. It is difficult for the conventional electrical strain sensors to monitor the strain of derrick components in the oil and gas field’s harsh environment under high temperature, high pressure, chemical corrosion. The fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensor is naturally safe and has the advantage of anti-electromagnetic interference, which is particularly important for the health monitoring in the field of oil and gas [1–8].

In our study, a derrick safety monitoring system based on the fiber Bragg grating strain sensors was developed and tested on a ZJ70DB derrick, and the test results showed that the monitoring system had good performance. It is especially suitable for oil and gas field derricks strain monitoring.

2. Experiment and results

2.1 Principle

When the FBG is strained, its central wavelength \( \lambda_B \) drifts. The wavelength shift can be expressed as

\[
\Delta\lambda_B = \lambda_B (1 - p_e) \varepsilon_z.
\]

(1)

where \( p_e \) is the photo-elastic constant, and \( \varepsilon_z \) is the strain [9]. The optical elastic constant of the typical quartz single-mode optical fiber was \( p_e = 0.22 \). Generally, the strain sensitivity of FBG with no package was 1.2 pm/\( \mu \varepsilon \) [10–11].

2.2 FBG strain sensor

The FBG strain sensor packaged with a steel structure is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The two ends of the FBG were fixed at Position 1 with ultraviolet glues. The packaged FBG sensor was mounted on the derrick via screws at Position 4. The strain of the derrick was transferred and converged at Position 5. Thus, the strain sensitivity of the FBG sensor was enhanced.
2.3 FBG strain sensing system

The system structure is shown in Fig. 4. A derrick security monitoring system was based on the optical fiber sensing network that consisted of 64 FBG strain sensors, which were installed on the derrick. The Bragg wavelength changed when the derrick deformed. The sensing optical cable also served as the transmission medium which resisted the electromagnetic interference and carried the sensing signal back to the interrogator. The wavelength shift was calculated to express the strain according to the calibrated coefficient. The entire data processing, monitoring and alarm task were completed by the software in the central processing host. The temperature fluctuations were measured by several FBG temperature sensors, and the influence on the FBG strain sensors was compensated to enhance the accuracy of the strain measurement.

2.4 Field test and results

Totally, 64 FBG strain sensors were installed on a ZJ70DB derrick for the loading and unloading test. The photos of the field tests are shown in Fig. 5. A 1×16 optical switch was used in the FBG interrogator to expand the channel of it. The spectral range of the light source in the interrogator was about 40 nm. 8 channels were used and in each channel, and there were 8 FBG strain sensors fixed on the derrick in series. There were still 8 channels that could be used to add more sensing points. The number of sensors for each channel could be further extended by using the light source with the broader spectral range.
Fig. 6 Wavelength changes as a function of the applied load on the derrick: (a)–(h) show the field test results for channels 1–8.
The load of the derrick was added and then removed between 0 and 30 kN, in order to periodically change the applied strain on the derrick. The wavelength changes as a function of the load on the derrick are shown in Figs. 6(a)–6(h).

The derrick was then loaded from 0 to 50 kN with a step of 10 kN, and the temporal sequence of the strain changes is shown in Fig. 7. The results showed that the monitoring system had high accuracy and good linearity. There was a recovery process of the measured strain, which indicated that the stiffness of the glue used to install the sensors onto the derrick needed to be enhanced.

![Fig. 7 Temporal sequence of the strain monitoring of the derrick.](image)

### 3. Conclusions

A derrick safety monitoring system based on FBG strain sensors have been developed for the oil and gas field. The sensors were mounted on a derrick, and the performance of the system was tested. The results confirmed the feasibility of the field application.
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