INTRODUCTION

Many older people suffer from mental illnesses, such as dementia and depression. Dementia, a serious mental illness in many developed countries, especially Korea, has a high prevalence and a progressive, irreversible course in older adults. However, there is controversy over how to properly manage dementia, and many studies have reported that prevention of dementia is the most important element.

To date, many risk factors for dementia are known. Vascular risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, and obesity, are the most important. Conversely, higher educational status and participation in complex work, social engagements, mentally stimulating activities, and regular physical activity have been shown to have protective properties in the context of dementia. Interestingly, many researchers have observed that demented patients have a thin body type. Recent studies have revealed that weight loss in dementia is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Although obesity is a risk factor for dementia, prospective studies have revealed that weight loss occurs several years before the clinical onset of the disease, accelerating in the 3–6 years leading up to diagnosis and throughout the course of the disorder. Additionally, sarcopenia, which is a loss of skeletal muscle mass strongly related to reduced physical activity, may be exaggerated in people with dementia. It has also been reported that small upper arm circumference is associated with cognitive impairment. Upper arm circumference, which is related to skeletal muscle mass, is used as an indicator of nutritional status and lower levels of physical activity. Furthermore, research in Taiwan...
revealed that age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass may be significantly associated with sarcopenia.\textsuperscript{16}

Depression is highly prevalent in the elderly and has a negative impact on quality of life.\textsuperscript{17} Decreased appetite is a key symptom of depression that influences weight loss. Depressive symptoms are more frequently found among people who have a nutritional imbalance, which is usually a consequence of unintended weight loss,\textsuperscript{18} reduced food intake, and bad dietary habits.\textsuperscript{19} Recent studies have suggested that depression in the elderly can be predicted by modifiable factors, such as decreased physical activity or impaired nutritional status.\textsuperscript{20} Muscle mass is a marker of nutritional status; however, there are few reports concerning the association between depression and muscle mass.

There are many tools currently available to establish the nutritional status and level of physical activity of elderly people. Anthropometric testing is non-invasive, cost-efficient, and effective in population-based studies.\textsuperscript{21} The World Health Organization has gathered international anthropometric data on health, nutrition, and well-being, emphasizing the significance of the phenotypic impact of aging, senility, and associated diseases.\textsuperscript{22} Wijnhoven and colleagues revealed that mid-upper arm circumference measurement is a feasible and valid anthropometric measurement for use in older people.\textsuperscript{23} It is, therefore, valuable to clarify the relationship between lean body mass and dementia/depression.

Previously, many efforts have been made to reveal the biomarkers of dementia and depression; however, no associations between upper arm or thigh circumference and dementia or depression have been reported. Based on data obtained from a survey of a Korean elderly population, we investigated upper arm and thigh circumferences as factors potentially associated with dementia and depression.

**METHODS**

**Study population**

Study data were acquired from a larger prospective community survey concerning late-life psychiatric morbidity that was conducted between 2001 and 2006 in collaboration with the 10/66 International Dementia Research Program in Developing Countries.\textsuperscript{24} The people included in the study were all over the age of 65 years; residents of Gwangju, South Korea; on the national registration list; and voluntary participants. The data for this study were acquired in 2006, and letters containing a description of the study were delivered to all of the potential participants before the study was performed. Research nurses, who were trained and supervised by the project psychiatrist, visited the homes of all participants to register them in the study. The study was approved by the Chonnam National University Hospital Institutional Review Board, and all participants or their family members provided formal written informed consent.

**Diagnosis of dementia and depression**

The participants completed the Korean version of the Geriatric Mental State Schedule B3 (GMS B3-K)\textsuperscript{25} and the Korean version of the Community Screening Interview for Dementia- (CSID-K).\textsuperscript{26} The GMS B3 is a structured diagnostic interview that is widely used internationally with the Automated Geriatric Examination for Computer Assisted Taxonomy (AGECAT) algorithm, which provides individual diagnoses of mental illnesses such as depression. The GMS B3 was translated into Korean according to a formal standardization process.\textsuperscript{27} Using the results derived from the three above measures, a dementia diagnosis was made according to a 10/66 dementia diagnostic algorithm.\textsuperscript{28} This provides a tool that diagnoses the dementia as a whole thereby unable to classify the dementia by subtypes such as ‘Dementia of the Alzheimer’s type’ or ‘vascular dementia’. Depression was diagnosed with the GMS B3 using a ‘stage one’ (non-hierarchical) confidence level of three or above in the AGECAT algorithm. The instrument and algorithm were developed 1 month before the interview to ensure they were able to identify the depressive states that are currently considered severe enough to require clinical invention. Therefore, the ‘case-level depression’ assessment was designed to be more extensive than the major depressive disorder criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV)\textsuperscript{29} in that symptoms ranging from moderate to severe were included.

**Measurement of mid-arm and thigh circumference**

Anthropometric measurements were taken using a tape measure and estimated to the nearest centimeter. Upper arm circumference was measured at the thickest part of the upper arm on the dominant side. Thigh circumference was measured at the thickest part of the right thigh.

**Covariates**

Covariates potentially associated with the relationship between dementia or depression and anthropometric measurements were evaluated.\textsuperscript{30} Data on sociodemographic variables, such as age, gender, marital status, education, religion, past and current occupation, and living situation, were collected from participants and family members. In terms of the anthropometric measurements, information on height, physical activity, and number of physical illnesses was obtained by self-report and corroborated by a family member when possible. Disability was assessed using the Korean version of the World...
Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule (WHO-DAS II).  

Statistical analyses
Chi-square and t-tests were used for the descriptive analyses of dementia and depression. The prevalences of dementia and depression, with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), are presented as quartiles of the mean upper arm and thigh circumferences. Complex sample logistic regression was performed to determine associations of anthropometric measurements (1-centimeter intervals) with dementia and depression after controlling for other covariates. Adjustments were as follows: Model 1 was unadjusted; Model 2 was adjusted for age, gender, and educational level; Model 3 was further adjusted for WHODAS score, depression status and dementia status plus the variables in Model 2. Data analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 21; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
Recruitment
The study included 2,498 community residents aged 65 or older; 1,544 (61.8%) of the participants were female, and the mean age of the sample was 73.24 (standard deviation, SD=6.35) years. In total, 271 (10.8%) were diagnosed with dementia, and 295 (11.8%) had case-level depression.

Association between participant characteristics and dementia or depression
The participant characteristics associated with dementia and depression are presented in Table 1. Dementia and depression were associated with being older, female, less educated, unmarried, and currently employed, as well as with renting, having a previous manual occupation, not engaging in physical activity, having many physical illnesses, and having a more severe disability (all p<0.001). There were no differences in religion or height between the dementia and non-dementia groups.

Depression was associated with being female (p<0.001), having less education (p<0.01), being unmarried (p<0.001), having no religion (p<0.01), renting (p<0.001), having a manual occupation in the past (p<0.001), being currently employed (p<0.05), not being physically active (p<0.001), having many physical illnesses (p<0.001), and suffering from a more severe disability (p<0.001). No significant differences were found with respect to older age or height between the depressed and non-depressed groups.

Prevalence of dementia and depression by upper arm and thigh circumferences
Figure 1 shows the prevalence rates of dementia and depression for upper arm and thigh circumference quartiles. In the case of upper arm circumference, the prevalence rates of depression were 20.3%, 10.3%, 6.7%, and 6% ($\chi^2=81.71; p<0.001$) for each mean arm circumference quartile, from lowest to highest. The prevalence rates of dementia by thigh circumference were 20.7%, 9.7%, 6.3%, and 5.6% ($\chi^2=100.54; p<0.001$), from lowest to highest circumference quartile. For upper arm circumference, the prevalence rates of depression were 12.4%, 11.6%, 10.9%, and 12.3% ($\chi^2=0.902; p=0.825$) for each mean arm circumference quartile, from lowest to highest circumference quartile.

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants by dementia and depression status

|                         | Dementia analysis | Depression analysis |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                         | No dementia (N=2278) | Dementia (N=270) | P      | No depression (N=2204) | Depression (N=294) | P  |
| Age, years (SD)         | 72.6 (6.0)        | 78.29 (6.0)       | <0.001 | 73.2 (6.4)             | 73.5 (6.2)          | 0.96 |
| Gender (female), N (%)  | 1,317 (57.8)      | 227 (84.1)        | <0.001 | 1,314 (59.6)           | 227 (77.2)          | <0.001 |
| Education years (SD)    | 6.4 (5.2)         | 1.7 (3.3)         | <0.001 | 6.0 (5.03)             | 4.5 (4.5)           | <0.01 |
| Married, N (%)          | 1,364 (59.9)      | 62 (23.0)         | <0.001 | 1,299 (58.9)           | 127 (43.2)          | <0.001 |
| Religion (have), N (%)  | 1,489 (65.4)      | 179 (66.3)        | 0.86   | 1,450 (65.8)           | 218 (74.1)          | <0.01 |
| Accommodation (rented), N (%) | 396 (17.4) | 93 (34.4)       | <0.001 | 409 (18.6)             | 80 (27.2)           | <0.001 |
| Past occupation (manual), N (%) | 1,649 (72.4) | 256 (94.8) | <0.001 | 1,651 (74.9)           | 254 (86.4)          | <0.001 |
| Current occupation (no), N (%) | 1,979 (86.9) | 261 (96.7) | <0.001 | 1,965 (89.2)           | 275 (93.5)          | <0.05 |
| Physical activity (non-active), N (%) | 656 (28.8) | 170 (63.0) | <0.001 | 651 (29.5)             | 175 (59.5)          | <0.001 |
| Number of physical illness (SD) | 2.4 (1.7) | 3.2 (1.8)  | <0.001 | 2.6 (1.7)              | 3.3 (1.8)           | <0.001 |
| WHODAS (SD)             | 30.2 (23.4)       | 51.6 (22.9)       | <0.001 | 31.2 (23.9)            | 42.0 (24.5)         | <0.001 |
| Height, cm (SD)         | 155.7 (10.2)      | 157.7 (10.9)      | 0.10   | 155.8 (10.3)           | 156.6 (9.8)         | 0.75 |

p-values by $\chi^2$ and t-tests. WHODAS: World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule
highest. The prevalence rates of depression by thigh circumference were 15.1%, 12.2%, 11%, and 8.6% ($\chi^2=14.1; p=0.003$), from lowest to highest circumference quartile.

**Association of participant characteristics with upper arm and thigh circumferences**

Associations between participant characteristics and upper arm or thigh circumference are shown in Table 2. Small upper arm circumference was associated with older age, lower educational level, unmarried status, renting, unemployment, not engaging in physical activity, and lower WHODAS scores. Small thigh circumference was associated with older age, female gender, lower educational level, unmarried status, renting, a non-manual past occupation, unemployment, not engaging in physical activity, many physical illnesses, and lower WHODAS scores.

**Multivariate associations with dementia and depression**

Total and gender-stratified multivariate analyses of upper arm and thigh circumferences with dementia are summarized in Table 3. For upper arm circumference, the unadjusted odds ratio (OR) for dementia was 1.26 (OR=1.26; 95% CI=1.20–1.33). The association remained significant but weakened after other variables were added (OR=1.12; 95% CI=1.06–1.19). In a separate analysis, the association disappeared in males after adjusting for age and educational level. In contrast, a significant association between dementia status and upper arm circumference remained in females even after adjusting for WHODAS score and depression status (OR=1.12; 95% CI=1.05–1.19). The association between dementia and thigh circumference was less significant than that between dementia and upper arm circumference (OR=1.03; 95% CI=0.99–1.07). The association was also no longer significant following gender stratification. The same analyses were performed for depression (Table 4). Neither upper arm circumference nor thigh circumference had significant associations with depression.

**DISCUSSION**

Using large samples, we investigated associations of upper arm and thigh circumferences with dementia and depression. In summary, dementia was associated with smaller upper arm circumference, especially in women. Thigh circumference was also associated with dementia, but to a lesser extent. In contrast, there was no significant association between depression and upper arm or thigh circumference.

A previous study revealed that small upper arm circumference was associated with dementia in low- and middle-income countries. Small upper arm circumference is known to be associated with weight loss, nutritional deficiency, and decreased physical activity. We identified relationships between these characteristics and dementia. The relevance of weight
loss to dementia is still uncertain, but several prospective studies have shown that weight loss begins and accelerates prior to the clinical onset of dementia, and associations with structural brain changes have been reported; for example, brain atrophy is associated with less lean mass in participants with early-stage dementia. Early neurodegenerative changes may influence feelings of hunger and fullness and the homeostatic control of energy consumption. There are two potential explanations for this: 1) the serotonin level decreases in the lateral hypothalamus, as demonstrated in cases of Alzheimer’s dementia; or 2) weight loss or changes in body composition are the result of a stress response related to the deterioration of the brain function. Excessive weight loss increases brain atrophy. For example, infection can lead to malabsorption, which, in turn, causes deficiency syndromes. Additionally, changes in insulin sensitivity or carbohydrate metabolism disorders arise alongside or trigger neurodegeneration.

Decreased upper arm circumference is correlated with age.

### Table 2. Associations with upper arm and thigh circumference

| Characteristic          | N       | Upper arm circumference | Thigh circumference |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|                         |         | Mean (SD) cm            | p       | Mean (SD) cm          | p       |
| Age, years              |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| 65–74                   | 1,539   | 27.1 (2.9)              | <0.001  | 33.6 (4.6)            | <0.001  |
| 75+                     | 959     | 25.8 (4.0)              | 0.475   | 31.7 (5.0)            | 0.001   |
| Gender                  |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Men                     | 954     | 26.6 (3.4)              | 0.001   | 34.4 (4.6)            | <0.001  |
| Women                   | 1,544   | 26.5 (3.5)              | 0.001   | 31.9 (4.8)            | 0.001   |
| Education, years        |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| 0                       | 777     | 25.9 (4.2)              | <0.001  | 31.4 (5.2)            | <0.001  |
| 1+                      | 1,721   | 26.9 (3.0)              | 0.001   | 33.5 (4.6)            |         |
| Marital status          |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Married                 | 1,426   | 26.9 (3.0)              | <0.001  | 33.7 (4.5)            | <0.001  |
| Not married             | 1,072   | 26.2 (3.9)              | 0.001   | 31.6 (5.0)            |         |
| Religion                |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Have                    | 1,668   | 26.7 (3.4)              | 0.138   | 32.7 (4.7)            | 0.083   |
| No                      | 830     | 26.4 (3.5)              | 0.001   | 33.1 (5.1)            |         |
| Accommodation           |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Owned                   | 2,009   | 26.7 (3.3)              | 0.006   | 33.0 (4.9)            | <0.001  |
| Rented                  | 489     | 26.2 (3.8)              | 0.001   | 32.0 (4.8)            |         |
| Past occupation         |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Non-manual              | 593     | 26.8 (2.9)              | 0.076   | 34.2 (3.9)            | <0.001  |
| Manual                  | 1,905   | 26.5 (3.6)              | 0.001   | 32.4 (5.0)            |         |
| Current occupation      |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Have                    | 258     | 27.0 (2.9)              | 0.042   | 34.0 (4.6)            | <0.001  |
| No                      | 2,240   | 26.5 (3.5)              | 0.001   | 32.7 (4.9)            |         |
| Physical activity       |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| Active                  | 1,672   | 26.7 (3.5)              | 0.011   | 33.2 (4.6)            | <0.001  |
| Non-active              | 826     | 26.3 (3.3)              | 0.001   | 32.1 (5.4)            |         |
| Number of physical illness |       |                         |         |                       |         |
| 0–1                     | 810     | 26.8 (3.9)              | 0.058   | 33.5 (4.9)            | <0.001  |
| 2+                      | 1,688   | 26.5 (3.2)              | 0.001   | 32.5 (4.8)            |         |
| WHODAS                  |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| 0                       | 482     | 27.0 (3.5)              | 0.005   | 33.9 (4.3)            | <0.001  |
| 1+                      | 2,016   | 26.5 (3.4)              | 0.001   | 32.6 (4.9)            |         |
| Height, cm              |         |                         |         |                       |         |
| 160                     | 1,593   | 26.7 (3.5)              | 0.082   | 32.9 (4.9)            | 0.307   |
| 160+                    | 915     | 26.4 (3.4)              | 0.001   | 32.7 (4.9)            |         |

*p-values by χ² and t-tests. WHODAS: World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule*

### Table 3. Multivariate associations of upper arm and thigh circumference with dementia

| Characteristic          | Upper arm circumference | Thigh circumference |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|                         | Total (N=2498)          | Men (N=954)         | Women (N=1544)     | Total (N=2498) | Men (N=954) | Women (N=1544) |
| Model 1                 | 1.26 (1.20–1.33)        | 1.23 (1.09–1.40)    | 1.27 (1.20–1.34)   | 1.20 (1.15–1.24) | 1.22 (1.11–1.33) | 1.14 (1.10–1.20) |
| Model 2                 | 1.11 (1.06–1.18)        | 1.13 (0.99–1.29)    | 1.11 (1.05–1.18)   | 1.05 (1.01–1.09) | 1.14 (1.04–1.25) | 1.03 (0.99–1.07) |
| Model 3                 | 1.12 (1.06–1.19)        | 1.10 (0.95–1.26)    | 1.12 (1.05–1.19)   | 1.03 (0.99–1.07) | 1.06 (0.97–1.17) | 1.02 (0.98–1.06) |

*p<0.05, †p<0.01, ‡p<0.001 by complex sample logistic regression. Model 1=Unadjusted, Model 2=Model 1+age, gender and education, Model 3=Model 2+WHODAS score and depression status. WHODAS: World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule*
Table 4. Multivariate associations of upper arm and thigh circumference with depression

|                  | Upper arm circumference | Thigh circumference |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|                  | Total (N=2498)          |                     |
|                  |                       |                     |
| Model 1          | 1.01 (0.96–1.05)        | 1.06 (1.03–1.09)*   |
|                  | Men (N=954)             | Men (N=954)         |
|                  | 1.03 (0.93–1.14)        | 1.09 (1.01–1.16)*   |
|                  | Women (N=1544)          | Women (N=1544)      |
|                  | 1.00 (0.95–1.05)        | 1.02 (0.99–1.06)    |
| Model 2          | 0.98 (0.95–1.05)        | 1.03 (1.00–1.07)    |
|                  | Men (N=954)             | Men (N=954)         |
|                  | 1.00 (0.90–1.11)        | 1.07 (1.00–1.15)    |
|                  | Women (N=1544)          | Women (N=1544)      |
|                  | 1.00 (0.95–1.05)        | 1.02 (0.99–1.06)    |
| Model 3          | 1.00 (0.96–1.05)        | 1.02 (0.99–1.06)    |
|                  | Men (N=954)             | Men (N=954)         |
|                  | 1.00 (0.90–1.11)        | 1.06 (0.98–1.14)    |
|                  | Women (N=1544)          | Women (N=1544)      |
|                  | 1.01 (0.95–1.06)        | 1.02 (0.98–1.05)    |

*p<0.05, †p<0.01, ‡p<0.001 by complex sample logistic regression. Model 1=Unadjusted, Model 2=Model 1+age, gender and education, Model 3=Model 2+WHODAS score and dementia status. WHODAS: World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule

In our study, no association was found between anthropometric measures and depression. Some older adults suffering from depression had a poor appetite, but others reported increased appetite and weight gain. Increased appetite is another symptom of depression. Many studies have reported that obese people are more likely to be depressed than people of normal weight. However, many other studies have reported that nutritional deficit and decreased physical activity are associated with depression. Further research is required to clarify this matter.

The limitations of this study are related to its cross-sectional design in that conclusions about the direction of causality cannot be reached. As dementia is determined by syndrome diagnosis, it has various causes and thus, it is classified into subtypes. However, our study used 10/66 dementia diagnostic algorithm which diagnoses the dementia as a whole, so it could not distinguish dementia with reversible course such as normal pressure hydrocephalus or others which can affect the result by themselves such as stroke. Furthermore, although many confounding factors were assessed, many other factors were neglected. For example, physical activity and dietary pattern are potentially important considerations that were not measured comprehensively in this study. Anthropometric measurements were taken using a tape measure, which was non-invasive and convenient for use with patients with dementia and depression. However, it would have been more helpful to use computerized tomography or magnetic resonance imaging to accurately define the composition of the upper arm and thigh.

The strength of this study involves in its large, community-based population drawn from a well-validated database and the fact that it evaluated both dementia and depression. Depression is a known risk factor for dementia in the elderly, and it is sometimes referred to as ‘pseudodementia.’ Furthermore, many older adults with dementia also suffer from depression.

As mentioned earlier, dementia and depression are serious mental illnesses in older adults that are associated with an immense disease burden. In the case of dementia, recent research...
has emphasized the need for early detection and early prevention. Biomarkers, such as anthropometry, which is non-invasive and cost-effective, hold great potential for facilitating the early detection of dementia. For this reason, further research into the relationship between anthropometric measurements and mental illnesses among elderly individuals is required.
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