GIS-RUSLE Interphase Modelling of Soil Erosion Hazard and Estimation of Sediment Yield for River Nzoia Basin in Kenya
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Abstract
River Nzoia basin is predisposed to degradation attributed to poor anthropogenic land use practices, soil erosion and sedimentation. The objective of this study was to model soil erosion hazard and estimate sediment yield for river Nzoia basin. Database of the basin comprised of 90 m DEM, LandSat imagery, rainfall, and soil data. Simulated RUSLE model factors (R, K, LS, and C) were multiplied using the raster calculator in ArcGIS 10.1. This generated the soil erosion hazard map for river Nzoia basin with an average annual soil loss rate of 0.51 and a maximum of 8.84 Mton ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). This translates into a mean annual soil loss of 6.579 × 10\(^5\) Mton yr\(^{-1}\). Sediment Delivery Ratio (SDR) of 0.121 revealed that 87.9\% of the soil eroded by water in the basin is deposited before reaching the basin outlet. Average annual sediment yield estimated was 0.06 Mton yr\(^{-1}\). Soil erosion modeling results showed that river Nzoia basin is experiencing varying erosion rates spatially. The interplay among the RUSLE factors strongly influence average annual soil loss rates. Areas experiencing high soil loss rates are closely linked to annual cropland, deforested and high elevation points. Low rates of soil loss are attributable to soil conservation practices and protected areas such as game parks. Thus, there is a close coupling between soil loss and land use category in river Nzoia basin. Sustainable land use practices should be embraced to support conservation programmes to mitigate soil erosion, prevent sedimentation and reduce sediment yield in the river channel.
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Introduction
Soil erosion is one of the significant environmental hazard and land degradation challenge experienced worldwide. About 80\% of the world's agricultural land suffers from moderate to severe erosion [1]. Accelerated erosion due to human-induced environmental alterations is on the increase and influencing river sedimentation in many parts of the world [2,3]. River sedimentation depends on natural characteristics of river basins and anthropogenic land use influences in the basins [4]. Part of the sediment generated is not deposited before being transported from the basin. According to Catri [5], this is referred to as sediment yield. It is the gross erosion within a river basin. In Ethiopia, population pressure has accelerated soil erosion destroying the soil resource [6].

As argued by Kithiia and Ongwenyi [7], in Kenya, originally forested areas have presently been cultivated resulting into accelerated soil erosion rates accentuating high sedimentation of river systems. Njoro river basin has experienced increased erosion rates mainly due to unsustainable land use practices and lack of conservation measures [8]. River Nzoia contributes the most sediment loading to Lake Victoria from the Kenyan river basins [9,10]. The river periodically experiences channel blockage by heavy silt deposits transported from the deforested upper basin areas which contributes to flood disaster in Budalangi's flood plains [11].

Soil erosion hazard mapping and measurement provide the critical basic knowledge to assess the phenomena and formulate mitigative actions required. Erosion hazard map helps to identify erosion hot spot areas and inform planning and design of the prescribed control activities [12]. Therefore, there is need to accurately assess and map soil erosion and determine specific control activities. Its fundamental concern is to balance the use of natural resources against the need for the ecosystem protection [13].

In a study to determine the distribution of erosion in the Mfolozi drainage basin of South Africa, Watson and Ramokgopa [14] developed a map showing the location of 19 categories of potential sediment sources. This was from extensive field surveys and air photo interpretation. According to Mhangara [15], factors that interact to generate and cause soil erosion include topography, soil erodibility, rainfall erosivity, vegetation cover and conservation practices.

Soil erosion models, such as the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) [16] estimate gross soil erosion rate at plot-scale. Soil erosion and sediment delivery approaches are usually based on RUSLE and sediment delivery ratio (SDR) [17]. Sediment yield is controlled by factors that influence erosion and sediment delivery. They include; land use, soil type, local topography, climate, basin morphology, drainage network characteristics and vegetation cover [18]. This study sort to model soil erosion hazard and estimate sediment yield for river Nzoia basin. It applied Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) in Geographic Information System (GIS) environment. Soil erosion hazard distribution in the basin was modelled and the mean annual soil loss rate and sediment yield estimated.

Materials and Methods
Study area
River Nzoia basin lies between latitudes 1°30'N and 0°05'S and longitudes 34° and 35°45'E. River Nzoia originates from Cherangany Hills and Mt Elgon at a mean elevation of 2300 m above sea level (asl) and drains into the trans-boundary Lake Victoria at an altitude of 1000 m.
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Spatial erosion hazard validation

Classification accuracy assessment of the results was performed based on urban centres’ shape file for the study area, overlay information from topographic base map and Google Earth and actual visit of randomly selected sites on the erosion hazard map.

Results and Discussion

Spatial distribution of soil erosion hazard

Spatial distribution of soil erosion hazard was modeled through overlay of the RUSLE factors and presented in form of a map.

Soil types in river Nzoia basin: Figure 2 shows the dominant soil types in river Nzoia basin. Figure 2 shows that the basin is covered by a wide range of soil types, they include Humic Nittisols. These are brown/ yellow clayey soils. Moderately developed Humic Cambisols are also found in the basin. Haplic Acrisols with subsurface accumulation of low activity clays and low base saturation are also evident in the basin. In addition, there are Humic Ferralsols which are deep, strongly weathered soils but physically stable sub-soils. For purposes of this study, the finer classes were reclassified broadly based on soil texture (Clayey, Loamy, Sandy and Very clayey), see Figure 3. Percentage coverage for the textual classes was computed and illustrated as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4 indicates that clayey and loamy soils occupy 68% and 18%, respectively. Clay soil is known for high specific retention of water, thus reducing infiltration of storm water while loamy soil has a moderate infiltration rate. Very clayey and sandy each account for 7% of the soil coverage in the study area. Sandy soil has poor specific water retention and thus allows water to infiltrate at a high rate. The basin can be categorized for being mainly clayey given that very clayey and clayey account for about 75% of the soil distribution in the basin. Therefore, the basin has a high specific retention of water. This attribute has the tendency to reduce soil erosion due to overland flow. As a result, it would be expected that minimal erosion is experienced in river Nzoia basin if other conditions are kept constant.

Chi-square $\chi^2$ test was performed to determine the level of variation in soil texture in river Nzoia basin. The calculated $\chi^2_{0.05} = 101.84$ is greater than the table value.

Other factors that may influence erosion in the basin include; deforestation and sand harvesting that enhance river bank degradation. Figure 5 shows river Nzoia channel widening due to the collapse of river bank associated with sand harvesting upstream of the bridge at Webuye.

Rainfall runoff erosivity (R) factor: The average annual R factor value varied from 339.39 to 855.00 MJ mmha$^{-1}$year$^{-1}$. More rainfall erosivity was observed in the middle basin extending towards the lower part of the basin. The upper basin covering areas such as Mount Elgon, Cherenganyi hills and Nandi escarpments which coincide with higher elevation of the study area experience low rainfall erosivity. Figure 6 shows the spatial distribution of R-factor map.

Soil erodibility (K) factor: Soil erodibility K value map was generated to show spatial distribution of erodibility ranging between 0.00 and 0.305-ton ha$^{-1}$ MJ$^{-1}$ mm$^{-1}$; Figure 7.

Slope and slope length (LS) factor: Slope and slope length (LS) is a composite factor whose values are used to show the effects of topography. The slope (S) and slope length (L) factors generated were combined using Equation 1 to yield the topographic factor LS, Figure 8.

$$LS = \sqrt{\frac{L}{22}(0.065 + 0.045s + 0.0065s^2)}$$

where, $L$-Slope length (m); $s$- Percent slope.

Vegetation Cover (C) factor: Vegetation Cover (C) factor has an inverse relationship with NDVI. It was calculated using Equation 2.

$$C = \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\beta - NDVI}\right)$$
Figure 1: Methodology for generating RUSLE model factors (Adopted from Fistikoglu and Harmancioglu).

Figure 2: River Nzoia basin major soil types.
Figure 3: River Nzoia basin soil texture classification.

Figure 4: River Nzoia basin soil textual percentage coverage.
Figure 5: Eroded river bank due to sand harvesting upstream of Webuye bridge.

Figure 6: River Nzoia basin runoff erosivity (R) factor.
Figure 7: River Nzoia basin soil erodibility (K) factor.

Figure 8: River Nzoia basin slope and slope length (LS) factor.
where $\alpha$, $\beta$: parameters determining the shape of the NDVI-C curve. An $\alpha$-value of 2 and a $\beta$ value of 1 give reasonable results relationship [21]. The C-factor value varied from 0.043 to 0.75, see Figure 9.

The cover (C) factor represents the effect of cropping and agricultural management practices as well as the effect of ground, tree and grass covers on reducing soil loss. In order to generate the soil erosion hazard map, RUSLE model factors (R, K, LS, and C) were multiplied in Arc GIS 10.1 using the raster calculator. Figure 10 shows the RUSLE model resultant spatial distribution of soil erosion hazard for river Nzoia basin.

The soil loss severity covering high and very high levels are those spatial locations where there is minimal or no canopy cover, with high rainfall intensity and steep slopes. On the erosion hazard map, they indicate areas with high annual average soil loss rates precipitating land degradation. Such areas are considered sediment yield generation sites. For these sites, soil restoration, conservation and management measures are a necessary requirement. However, the low and moderate eroded locations on the map indicate the areas experiencing low annual average soil loss rates. They reflect locations where the vegetation cover could be good enough to provide maximum protection from rainfall impact and thus allowing for minimal surface runoff.

Vegetative cover plays a critical role as erosion is significantly reduced under dense cover [11]. The soil erosion hazard map (at 50% transparency level) was overlaid with land use map for 2010 to perform more analysis, see Figure 11.

The analysis revealed that annual cropland and the slopes of Mount Elgon, Cherenganyi hills as well as Nandi Escarpments were at a higher risk of erosion. The lowest affected category of land use was forest land. This could be attributed to intensive agricultural activities and deforestation that often lead to removal of vegetation cover and loosening of the soils during cultivation.

Moderate, high and very high erosion risk locations were emphasized for conservation purposes. These regions need appropriate restoration, conservation and management measures on a priority basis. Findings by Githui et al. [22], for river Nzoia basin revealed that removal of vegetation cover leads to increased surface runoff which enhances soil erosion. This is equally in agreement with results in a related study by Kilonzo [23] for upper Mara, Lake Victoria Basin.

**Average annual soil loss rate (A)**

Average annual soil loss rate (A) was estimated through multiplication of the RUSLE model factors. The factors’ parameters are given in Table 2.

Due to high demographic pressure in the study area, land is fragmented into small units. Within this small units, land use practices employed are almost the same and are distributed throughout river Nzoia basin. Therefore, support practices in the basin are practiced within small pieces of land all over the basin. As a result, in this study, p-factor was assigned a uniform weight of 1.0.

The six parameters were multiplied using raster calculator tool to model the erosion hazard map (Figure 10) and estimated the annual average soil loss rate. The product yielded an average annual soil loss rate of 0.51 and a maximum of 8.84 Mton ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. Mean annual soil loss was $6.579 \times 10^5$ Mtonyr$^{-1}$. This refers to the total mass of soil mobilized within the basin due to water erosion.

**Spatial erosion hazard validation**

Classification accuracy assessment of the results was performed...
Figure 10: River Nzoia basin soil erosion hazard map.

Figure 11: Overlay between soil erosion hazard and 2010 land use map at 50% transparency level.
based on urban centres' shape file, overlay information from topographic base map, Google Earth, and actual visit of randomly selected sites, see Figures 12-17 respectively.

It can be noted from Figure 3 that regions around Kapenguria, Cherenganyi, Moiben, Iten, Lugari, Kipkaren, Webuye, Chwele, Lukume, Lubao, Butsotsot, Mumias and on the slopes of Mt. Elgon including Kaptatelia, Kimini and Kapsookworo experiencing average annual soil loss rate ranging from 1.21 up to 8.84 tons ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ are the most vulnerable to soil erosion.

This could also be attributed to the effect of urbanization that has resulted into paved surfaces upon which high surface runoff is experienced. The erosion hazard map with 60% transparency, 65% contrast and 10% brightness display levels was also overlaid with online topographic base map, see Figure 13.

The overlay equally reveals that areas neighboring Kapenguria, Kitale, Eldoret and regions covering the slopes of Mt. Elgon, Bungoma and Kakamega are vulnerable to soil erosion. When the erosion map was exported to Google earth and overlaid to perform more analysis, it was observed that highly vulnerable areas to soil erosion include cropland, the peak as well as slopes of Mt Elgon and Cherenganyi Hills, Figures 14 and 15.

Figure 14 confirms the fact that some parts on top of Mt. Elgon are experiencing high levels of soil erosion. Areas under high erosion risk include; Chepiktale, Kitum and Makeningny caves, Mt. Elgon national park extending downwards towards areas neighbouring Chorlím primary school and Saltlick special campsite. This could be associated with forest encroachment in search of land for settlement, agriculture, and urbanization as well as for recreational purposes. The erosion could also be explained from the topographic and ecological dimensions. The area is characterized with steep slopes and receives high amounts of rainfall and thus subject to high surface runoff.

It is also evident that areas spanning southern slopes of Mt. Elgon covering Chwele, Sirisia, Mayanja, Nalondo, Chebukwa, Webuye, Sang'alo, among others, are at high soil erosion risk. This could be justified based on the fact that the areas mentioned are a hub of intensive agriculture. The population density in these areas is equally high and thus forest resources have been exploited as a means of livelihood and a source of fuel as well as construction materials.

Figure 11b is a visual display of soil erosion hazard in Cherenganyi Hills and some parts of Kakamega County. It can be seen that a stretch covering Iten, Tambachi teachers training college, Kerio valley, Chepteho, Nyaru and Kimwarer defines an area under very high soil erosion activities. Due to the fertile soils and ample rainfall amounts received in these areas, intensive agriculture is carried out. Topographic aspects such as steep slopes and slope length are a contributory factor to the possible high surface runoff which enhances soil erosion rates.

After soil erosion hot spot areas had been identified from the erosion hazard map, actual visits of randomly selected areas was done to verify the results through actual observation, see Figures 16 and 17.

Kuywa river sub-basin has been under a lot of pressure due to land degradation as well as encroachment into riparian land. Areas sloping from either side of the river banks show evidence of forest land conversion into shrubland, settlements and agricultural land. Removal of the natural forest cover has in turn exposed soils on the steep slopes to high erosion risks from surface runoff leading to sedimentation of river Kuywa, which is a tributary of river Nzoia. River Lwakhakha is a trans-boundary water resource between Kenya and Uganda. This sub-basin has since undergone forest degradation due to land use change which may have led to increased river sedimentation and reduced flow rates [11]. Figure 16 shows evidence of human settlement and farming up to the river banks. Similarly, area covering Chepyuk and Kang’anga on the slopes of Mt. Elgon is equally under threat from deforestation and soil erosion, see Figure 17.

As a result of increased demographic pressure, the local community encroached and destroyed forest cover (Chepyuk Phase 3) in search of space for settlement and agricultural activities. The area is under intensive agriculture with Irish potatoes, maize, beans and onions among the main crops grown. Due to continuous tillage of land throughout the year, top soils have been exposed to erosion, particularly during rainfall events. Kang’anga (Nairobi B area) is a reflection of deforested slopes of Mt. Elgon converted into settlement and cropland. It was reported that most of the youth from this area move up the slopes of Mt. Elgon encroaching on the forest for exploitation of natural resources (timber, wood for fuel, construction materials and for hunting) as a livelihood source.

Conclusion

Soil erosion modeling results showed that river Nzoia basin is experiencing varying erosion rates spatially. The interplay among the RUSLE factors strongly influence average annual soil loss rates. It is perceptible that areas experiencing high soil loss rates are closely linked to annual cropland, deforested and high elevation point areas. Low rates of soil loss are attributable to soil conservation practices and protected areas including game parks. Therefore, there is a close coupling between soil loss and land use category in river Nzoia basin.

Recommendation

Assessment of sediment produced by gully erosion and mass movement should be done to determine the effective soil erosion loss experienced in river Nzoia basin. Soil conservation measures should mainly target erosion hotspots to minimize soil losses. Sediment Delivery Ratio (SDR) should be determined based on topographic factors (Slope and slope length) to validate SDR due to area based methods used in this study to estimate sediment yield. Sustainable land
Figure 12: Overlay between erosion hazard map and main urban centres in river Nzoia basin.

Figure 13: Overlay of erosion hazard map with the base map of the study area.
Figure 14: Google Earth map showing erosion on top of Mt. Elgon and its southern slopes.

Figure 15: Google Earth map showing erosion in Cherenganyi Hills and Kakamega County.
Figure 16: Degraded Kuywa and Lwakhakha river sub-basins.

Figure 17: Degraded Kuywa and Lwakhakha river sub-basins.
use practices should be embraced to support conservation programmes to mitigate soil erosion, prevent sedimentation as well as reduce sediment yield in the river channel.
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