Adenovirus-mediated hypoxia-targeting cytosine deaminase gene therapy enhances radiotherapy in tumour xenografts
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Hypoxia is closely associated with the radioresistance of tumours; therefore, targeting hypoxic areas is very important for cancer therapy. The aim of this study is to establish such a targeting strategy by applying a bacterial cytosine deaminase (BCD)/5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) gene therapy system and to examine whether the strategy enhances the efficacy of radiotherapy in a tumour xenograft. The hypoxia-responsive promoter 5HREp, in which five copies of the hypoxia-response element (HRE) enhance transcription from a cytomegalovirus minimal promoter, was employed to induce the expression of BCD under hypoxic conditions. The adenoviral vector Ad/5HREp-BCD, encoding the gene 5HREp-BCD, robustly induced BCD expression under hypoxic conditions and this led to significant cytotoxicity in combination with 5-FC in vitro. Intratumoral Ad/5HREp-BCD administration resulted in the expression of BCD at the border between normoxic and necrotic regions. The BCD/5-FC gene therapy enhanced the therapeutic effects of both single (12.5 Gy) and fractionated (3 Gy × 5 days) radiotherapy with fewer side effects and significantly increased tumour growth doubling time by up to 2.4-fold (P<0.01) and 2.5-fold (P<0.05), respectively. All of these results suggest that the present BCD/5-FC gene therapy has the ability to specifically target hypoxic tumour cells and significantly improves the control of tumour growth after radiotherapy.
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The exponential proliferation of cancer cells and the resultant distance that develops between nutritive blood vessels and some tumour cells result in an imbalance in the supply and consumption of oxygen in solid tumours. Such disequilibrium is a major causative factor of tumour hypoxia, a characteristic microenvironment in locally advanced solid tumours (Thomlinson and Gray, 1955; Vaupel et al, 1989). The hypoxia is closely associated with malignant phenotypes (Graeber et al, 1996), metastasis (Rofstad, 2000), invasion (Pennacchietti et al, 2003), and angiogenesis (Harris, 2002). The hypoxic fraction correlates to the resistance to chemotherapy (Teicher, 1994) and radiotherapy (Thomlinson and Gray, 1955; Brown and Wilson, 2004). Therefore, not only has tumour hypoxia been considered an adverse prognostic indicator, but also, a hypoxia-targeting strategy is becoming increasingly important to overcome these problems (Teicher, 1994; Harris, 2002; Brown and Wilson, 2004).

Under hypoxic conditions, hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) plays a pivotal role in inducing the expression of various genes (Semenza, 2001). Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 is a heterodimeric transcription factor composed of an α-subunit (HIF-1α) and a β-subunit (HIF-1β) (Wang et al, 1995). The expression of HIF-1α is regulated in an oxygen-dependent manner mainly at the post-translational level and is responsible for the regulation of HIF-1’s activity (Kallio et al, 1997). Proline residues in the oxygen-dependent degradation domain of HIF-1α protein are hydroxylated under normoxic conditions (Jaakkola et al, 2001). The modified HIF-1α protein is ubiquitinated by E3 ubiquitin–protein ligases containing the von Hippel–Lindau tumour suppressor protein (pVHL) and rapidly degraded by the 26S proteasome (Jaakkola et al, 2001). On the other hand, the rate at which proline was hydroxylated decreased under hypoxic conditions, resulting in a reduced rate of ubiquitination and subsequent degradation (Jaakkola et al, 2001). The stabilised HIF-1α interacts with the constitutively expressed HIF-1β protein and induces the gene expression of erythropoietin (Wang and Semenza, 1993), VEGF (Forsythe et al, 1996), and others (Semenza, 2001). The induction is triggered by the interaction of HIF-1 with its cognate DNA recognition site, the hypoxia-response element (HRE) (Norris and Millhorn, 1995; Forsythe et al, 1996). An increased level of HIF-1α in the tumour and the resultant upregulation of HIF-1 activity as well as tumour hypoxia have been associated with tumour malignancy, aggressive tumour growth, tumour radioresistance and a poor prognosis (Powis and Kirkpatrick, 2004).

Extensive efforts have focused on the development of biological approaches to deal with tumour hypoxia (Semenza, 2003; Brown and Wilson, 2004). One of the most striking advances is the development of artificial hypoxia-responsive promoters (Greco
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et al, 2000), in which the HRE(s) has been utilised as a transcriptional enhancer. Many groups have reported that a tandem repeat of HREs enhances gene expression under hypoxic conditions (Greco et al, 2000). Above all, the SHRE promoter (5HREp), in which five copies of the HRE enhance transcription from a cytomegalovirus (CMV) minimal promoter, enhances gene expression more than 500-fold under hypoxic conditions in vitro (Shibata et al, 1998, 2000; Greco et al, 2000). Optical imaging of tumour hypoxia by using the 5HREp-luciferase gene and the SHREp-green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene has proved the potential of the promoter in vivo as well as in vitro (Vordermark et al, 2001; Harada et al, 2005; Liu et al, 2005). Hypoxia-specific targeting was also accomplished in vivo, when cytotoxic genes or therapeutic genes, such as for apoptotic factors or prodrug-activating enzymes, were inserted downstream of the hypoxia-responsive promoters (Greco et al, 2000; Koshikawa et al, 2000; Patterson et al, 2002; Shibata et al, 2002; Binley et al, 2003; Ogura et al, 2005). However, all of these in vivo experiments were conducted using stable transfectants with each hypoxia-responsive gene. In other words, no one has examined whether SHREp would function in a trans-acting gene therapy strategy.

In the present study, we utilised SHREp (Shibata et al, 2000) and a prodrug-activating gene, bacterial cytosine deaminase (BCD) (Mullen et al, 1992; Miller et al, 2002), and successfully established an adenovirus-mediated gene therapy strategy for tumour hypoxia. We used this strategy to determine whether the specific targeting of tumour hypoxia by gene therapy improves the efficacy of radiotherapy in a tumour xenograft.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The human cervical epithelial adenocarcinoma cell line HeLa and the human pancreatic carcinoma cell line MIA PaCa-2 were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% fetal bovine serum. The human pancreatic carcinoma cell line CFPAC-1 was maintained in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) with 10% fetal bovine serum. The human colon carcinoma cell lines WiDr and HT29 were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. For normoxic incubation, the cells were incubated in a well-humidified incubator with 5% CO2 and 95% air at 37°C.

Plasmid DNA

To construct the plasmid pEF/BCD, which constitutively expresses a BCD protein fused to a myc epitope tag under the control of the EF-1z promoter, a DNA fragment for the Escherichia coli codA gene, which encodes the enzyme cytosine deaminase, was amplified by PCR and inserted between Ncol and NotI recognition sites of the vector pEF/myc/cyto (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). To construct the plasmid p5HREp/BCD, which induces the expression of the BCD-myc fusion protein under hypoxic conditions, a DNA fragment for 5HREp was obtained by digestion with Kpnl and Ncol from the vector, SHREp/CMV/myc (Shibata et al, 2000), integrated between Kpnl and Ncol recognition sites of pEF/BCD, and substituted for the constitutive EF-1z promoter.

Stable transfectants

To establish stable transfectants, HeLa/EFP-BCD and HeLa/5HREp-BCD, HeLa cells (1 x 10^7) were transfected with pEF/BCD and p5HREp/BCD, respectively, by a modified calcium phosphate method (Chen and Okayama, 1987, 1988). Twenty-four hours after the transfection, the culture medium was refreshed with selection medium containing 5 gm -1 of blasticidin for HeLa/EFP-BCD cells or 400 μg ml -1 of G418 for HeLa/5HREp-BCD cells. Each antibiotic-resistant cell culture was directly used for both the Western blot analysis and the in vitro cell proliferation assay.

Construction, amplification, and infection of the adenovirus

To construct cosmid vectors, pAxcw/EFP-BCD and pAxcw/5HREp-BCD, DNA fragments for EFP-BCD and 5HREp-BCD were prepared from pEF/BCD and p5HRE/BCD, respectively, by digestion with Kpnl and BamHI, blunted and inserted into the SwaI recognition site of the cosmid vector pAxcw (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). The recombinant adenoviruses, Ad/EFP-BCD and Ad/5HREp-BCD, were generated by COS-TPC methods (Miyake et al, 1996) using an adenovirus expression kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (TaKaRa). For large-scale preparations, the adenoviruses were amplified in a transformed human embryonic kidney cell line, 293, and purified by two steps of caesium chloride density centrifugation. Viral titers were measured in a limiting-dilution bioassay using 293 cells. Cells (1 x 10^6 cells per dish) were seeded onto a 60 mm dish and treated with Ad/EFP-BCD or Ad/5HREp-BCD for 1 h. Then, the adenovirus-containing medium was replaced with one without the virus.

Western blot analysis

The stable transfectants and the virus-infected cells were seeded in 60 mm glass dishes (2 x 10^5 cells per dish), put into pre-warmed aluminium chambers, and flushed with hypoxic gas (95% N2, 5% CO2) for 30 min. Then, tightly sealed chambers were incubated at 37°C for 16 h for the hypoxic treatment. The cells were harvested in RIPA lysis buffer (10% SDS, 2 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 1% Triton X) supplemented with a protease inhibitor, Mini complete (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The lysates were sheared using a syringe with a 23-gauge needle, and the protein concentration was determined using the DC Protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). Twenty micrograms of total protein was electrophoresed on a 12% SDS polyacrylamide gel, transferred onto PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., Piscataway, NJ, USA) and blocked with 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline. The BCD protein fused to the myc epitope tag was detected with monoclonal anti-myc-tag antibody (Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) and anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase-linked whole antibody (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp.) using an ECL-PLUS system (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

In vitro cell proliferation assay

The stable transfectants and the virus-infected cells were seeded in triplicate into 96-well plates (1 x 10^3 cells per well) and incubated with various concentrations of 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) for 24 h under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. For the hypoxic treatment (<0.02% of oxygen), the cells were treated in a hypoxic chamber, BAC-TRON-II (Sheldon Manufacturing Inc., Cornelius, OR, USA). The cells were additionally incubated under normoxic conditions for 24 h. The culture medium was then changed to one without 5-FC, and the cells were cultured for 48 h under the normoxic conditions. Cell growth inhibition was quantified by colorimetric assay using a CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Tumour-bearing mice

A suspension of HeLa cells (2 x 10^6 cells/100 μl of PBS) was subcutaneously inoculated into the right hind leg of a 6-week-old nu/nu BALB/c mouse (Charles River, Tokyo, Japan).
Immunohistochemical analysis

The adenovirus Ad/EFp-BCD or Ad/5HREp-BCD was intra-tumorally injected into the HeLa tumour xenografts, when the xenografts developed to approximately 150–200 mm³. Four days later, pimonidazole hydrochloride (Natural Pharmacia International Inc., Belmont, MA, USA) was intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected into the tumour-bearing mice (60 mg kg⁻¹). Ninety minutes later, the tumours were surgically excised, immediately fixed in 10% formalin neutral buffer solution (pH 7.4; Wako Pure Chemical Industries Inc., Osaka, Japan), and embedded in paraffin. To detect pimonidazole and BCD-myc, paraffin-embedded sections were treated with anti-pimonidazole (Natural Pharmacia International Inc.) and anti-myc antibody (Santa Cruz, CA, USA), respectively, and stained using an indirect immunoperoxidase detection method (DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Counterstaining with haematoxylin was also carried out. Paraffin-embedded serial sections were also stained with haematoxylin–eosin (HE).

Radiation conditions

The tumour-bearing mice were irradiated at 1.468 Gy min⁻¹ with an X-ray irradiation machine (SHIMADZU, Kyoto, Japan). All the treatment groups were randomized into five treatment groups: (1) a sham-treated group, (2) an Ad & 5-FC group, (3) an ionising radiation (IR) group, (4) an IR & 5-FC group and (5) an Ad & 5-FC & IR group. In the single irradiation group, (3) an IR group, (4) an IR & 5-FC group, and (5) a sham-treated group. Irradiation was applied 12 h after the injection of 5-FC on day 0. 5-Fluorocytosine was administered intratumorally into the mice of the Ad & 5-FC and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups 12 h after the injection of 5-FC on day 0. 5-FC (500 mg kg⁻¹) was i.p. injected into the mice of the Ad & 5-FC, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups on both day 1 and day 2. Irradiation (12.5 Gy) was applied to the mice of the IR, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups 12 h after the injection of 5-FC on day 1. In the fractionated irradiation experiment, the adenovirus was intratumorally injected into the mice of the Ad & 5-FC and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups on day 0. 5-Fluorocytosine was administered daily from day 1 to day 5 to the mice of the Ad & 5-FC, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups. Irradiation was administered 12 h after the injection of 5-FC daily from day 1 to day 5 to the mice of the IR, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups (3 Gy × 5 days). For the negative control, PBS was injected instead of the adenovirus and the 5-FC. The tumour size was measured with calipers, and the tumour volume was calculated as 0.5LW².

Growth delay assays

When the tumour xenografts developed to approximately 150–200 mm³, the tumour-bearing mice were randomly divided into five treatment groups: (1) a sham-treated group, (2) an Ad & 5-FC group, (3) an ionising radiation (IR) group, (4) an IR & 5-FC group and (5) an Ad & 5-FC & IR group. In the single irradiation experiment, 2 × 10⁶ PFU of adenovirus was intratumorally injected into the mice of the Ad & 5-FC and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups on day 0. 5-FC (500 mg kg⁻¹) was i.p. injected into the mice of the Ad & 5-FC, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups on both day 1 and day 2. Irradiation (12.5 Gy) was applied to the mice of the IR, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups 12 h after the injection of 5-FC on day 1. In the fractionated irradiation experiment, the adenovirus was intratumorally injected into the mice of the Ad & 5-FC and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups on day 0. 5-Fluorocytosine was administered daily from day 1 to day 5 to the mice of the Ad & 5-FC, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups. Irradiation was administered 12 h after the injection of 5-FC daily from day 1 to day 5 to the mice of the IR, IR & 5-FC, and Ad & 5-FC & IR groups (3 Gy × 5 days). For the negative control, PBS was injected instead of the adenovirus and the 5-FC. The tumour size was measured with calipers, and the tumour volume was calculated as 0.5LW².

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of differences was determined using the Student’s t-test (P < 0.05).

RESULTS

Establishment of a hypoxia-dependent prodrug-activating system

To establish a hypoxia-targeting gene therapy strategy, we first constructed a plasmid, p5HRE/BCD, encoding the 5HREp-BCD gene (Figure 1A). Shibata et al (2000) employed SHREp to induce the therapeutic gene expression specifically under hypoxic conditions. The BCD gene was used as the prodrug-activating gene, because the intratumoral production of 5-fluorouracil by the BCD/5-FC system is effective for cancer therapy (Mullen et al, 1992; Miller et al, 2002). HeLa cells were stably transfectected with pSHRE/BCD and the hypoxia dependency of the BCD expression was examined by Western blot analysis (Figure 1B). The stable transfectant, HeLa/SHREp-BCD, expressed the BCD protein only under hypoxic conditions, while the HeLa/EFP-BCD cells, which had been expected to express constitutively the protein, indeed expressed BCD regardless of the conditions. We next examined whether the hypoxia-dependent BCD expression led to the hypoxia-specific cytotoxicity. The HeLa/SHREp-BCD cells were treated with various concentrations of 5-FC under normoxic or hypoxic conditions, and the growth inhibitory effects were assessed by MTS assay. Significant growth inhibition was observed only under hypoxic conditions (P < 0.05 with 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ of 5-FC, P < 0.01 with 1 and 10 mg ml⁻¹ of 5FC). There was no significant inhibition observed under normoxic conditions (Figure 1C). On the other hand, HeLa/EFP-BCD cells showed hypoxia-independent sensitivity to the 5-FC treatment (Figure 1D). Thus, we confirmed that the 5HRe-dependent BCD/5-FC strategy led to the hypoxia-specific cytotoxicity.

Ad/SHREp-BCD-mediated cytotoxicity under hypoxic conditions

We decided to use an adenovirus to transduce the SHREp-BCD gene into tumour cells in vivo, because the adenovirus is one of the most effective vectors with which to accomplish transgene expression. We constructed a cosmid vector, pAxcw/5HREp-BCD (Figure 2A), and obtained the adenovirus, Ad/5HREp-BCD, by the transfection system. The expression of the adenovirus was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Figure 2B). HeLa/5HREp-BCD cells showed hypoxia-dependent cytotoxicity. The HeLa/5HREp-BCD cells were treated with various concentrations of 5-FC under normoxic or hypoxic conditions, and the growth inhibitory effects were assessed by MTS assay. Significant growth inhibition was observed only under hypoxic conditions (P < 0.05 with 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ of 5-FC, P < 0.01 with 1 and 10 mg ml⁻¹ of 5FC). There was no significant inhibition observed under normoxic conditions (Figure 1C). On the other hand, HeLa/EFP-BCD cells showed hypoxia-independent sensitivity to the 5-FC treatment (Figure 1D). Thus, we confirmed that the 5HRe-dependent BCD/5-FC strategy led to the hypoxia-specific cytotoxicity.

Ad/SHREp-BCD-mediated cytotoxicity under hypoxic conditions

We decided to use an adenovirus to transduce the SHREp-BCD gene into tumour cells in vivo, because the adenovirus is one of the most effective vectors with which to accomplish transgene expression. We constructed a cosmid vector, pAxcw/5HREp-BCD (Figure 2A), and obtained the adenovirus, Ad/5HREp-BCD, by the transfection system. The expression of the adenovirus was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Figure 2B). HeLa/5HREp-BCD cells showed hypoxia-dependent cytotoxicity. The HeLa/5HREp-BCD cells were treated with various concentrations of 5-FC under normoxic or hypoxic conditions, and the growth inhibitory effects were assessed by MTS assay. Significant growth inhibition was observed only under hypoxic conditions (P < 0.05 with 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ of 5-FC, P < 0.01 with 1 and 10 mg ml⁻¹ of 5FC). There was no significant inhibition observed under normoxic conditions (Figure 1C). On the other hand, HeLa/EFP-BCD cells showed hypoxia-independent sensitivity to the 5-FC treatment (Figure 1D). Thus, we confirmed that the 5HRe-dependent BCD/5-FC strategy led to the hypoxia-specific cytotoxicity.
COS–TPC methods (Miyake et al., 1996). To examine whether Ad/SHREp-BCD showed hypoxia-dependent BCD expression, we performed a Western blot analysis (Figure 2B). HeLa cells were infected with Ad/SHREp-BCD at a MOI of 10–100 and cultured under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. The BCD protein was expressed only under hypoxic conditions. The amount of BCD protein expressed and the ratio of the expression under hypoxia to that under normoxia increased with the increase in the MOI. On the other hand, HeLa cells infected with Ad/Efp-BCD constitutively expressed BCD protein regardless of oxygen conditions (Figure 2B).

We next evaluated the hypoxia dependency and the therapeutic efficacy of the Ad/SHREp-BCD-mediated strategy in vitro. The virus-infected HeLa cells were exposed to various concentrations of 5-FC under normoxic or hypoxic conditions, and the growth inhibitory effect was examined by MTS assay (Figure 3A). The cell proliferation was significantly inhibited under hypoxic conditions compared to normoxic conditions when the cells were treated with Ad/SHREp-BCD (MOI = 100) and the higher concentration of 5-FC. Likewise, MIA PaCa-2 and WiDr cells showed hypoxia-dependent sensitivity to the adenovirus-mediated BCD/5-FC treatment (Figure 3B and C). On the other hand, proliferation was inhibited under both normoxic and hypoxic conditions when the cells were infected with Ad/Efp-BCD (MOI = 100). All of the in vitro experiments clearly indicate that our system functioned as we desired.

Hypoxia-specific BCD expression after intratumoral Ad/SHREp-BCD injection

We examined whether Ad/SHREp-BCD induces the expression of BCD in hypoxic regions of the tumour xenograft. The virus (1 × 10⁹ pfu) was intratumorally injected into HeLa tumour xenografts, and the regions expressing BCD were compared to those stained with a marker of hypoxia, pimonidazole (Durand and Raleigh, 1998). The immunohistochemical analysis showed that the hypoxic cells stained with pimonidazole were located about 100 μm from a tumour blood vessel, and a robust expression of BCD was also observed there (Figure 4A–C). On the other hand, remarkable BCD expression was observed in well-oxygenated viable regions after intratumoral injection of Ad/Efp-BCD (Figure 4D and E). These results suggest that the trans-gene expression of BCD in hypoxic tumour cells can be achieved by the intratumoral administration of the adenovirus Ad/SHREp-BCD.

Improvement of radiotherapy by Ad/SHREp-BCD-mediated gene therapy

The in vitro cell proliferation assay (Figure 3) and the immunohistochemical analysis (Figure 4) led us to expect a hypoxia-specific therapeutic effect of the Ad/SHREp-BCD, and 5-FC gene therapy. Actually, we confirmed an advantage of 5HREp concerning side effects on normal tissues. The Ad/SHREp-BCD/5-FC gene therapy caused no obvious side effects, while the Ad/Efp-BCD/5-FC gene therapy, despite the local administration, caused significant weight loss (Figure 5A) and severe diarrhea (data not shown). This result indicates that our system functioned, as we desired.

We next examined whether the combination of the Ad/SHREp-BCD/5-FC gene therapy with radiotherapy produced a synergistic antitumour effect. We treated HeLa tumour xenografts with the gene therapy (Ad & 5-FC) and/or radiotherapy (IR) and carried out growth delay assays (Figure 5B). We intentionally chose a relatively low dose of Ad & 5-FC, which had minimal effects on the tumour growth rate. Therefore, tumour growth after the gene therapy alone (Ad & 5-FC group) was not significantly suppressed compared to that after sham-treatment (sham-treated group). On the other hand, combined with IR (Ad & 5-FC & IR group), the gene therapy strikingly suppressed tumour growth as compared to radiotherapy alone (IR group). The period taken for tumour growth to increase two-fold from the initial volume (tumour growth doubling time, TGDT) more clearly shows the therapeutic effect of the treatment (Table 1). The TGDT after gene therapy alone (Ad & 5-FC group) was 13.2 ± 5.6 days, which is not significantly longer than that after sham-treatment (8.2 ± 3.1 days; P = 0.144). On the other hand, the combination of gene therapy with radiotherapy (Ad & 5-FC & IR) prolonged the TGDT to 47.2 ± 16.8 days, which was about 2.4-fold longer than that after radiotherapy alone (IR group; 19.4 ± 4.8 days; P < 0.01). Thus, we confirmed that the adenovirus-mediated and hypoxia-targeting gene therapy significantly enhances the effect of radiotherapy. Similar results were obtained in the experiment using the fractionated irradiation (3 Gy × 5 fractions: Figure 5C). The TGDT after gene therapy alone (Ad & 5-FC group) was 13.0 ± 4.4 days, which is not significantly longer than that after sham treatment (9.8 ± 5.8 days; P = 0.148). On the other hand, the TGDT after the fractionated radiotherapy (IR) was 17.0 ± 3.7 days, which was significantly delayed by the combination with the gene therapy (Ad & 5-FC & IR group) to 43.3 ± 23.8 days (Table 1; P < 0.05). These results further strengthen the conclusion that hypoxia targeting by gene therapy improves the efficacy of radiotherapy.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we established a hypoxia-targeting strategy by applying a BCD/5-FC gene therapy system and examined whether the strategy enhances the efficacy of radiotherapy in a tumour xenograft.

Because tumour hypoxia has been recognised as a tumour-specific microenvironment, recent research has tried to exploit it as a crucial target for cancer therapy (Harris, 2002; Semenza, 2003;
Figure 3  Ad/5HREp-BCD-mediated cytotoxicity. (A) HeLa, (B) MIA PaCa-2, and (C) WiDr cells were infected with the adenovirus, Ad/EFp-BCD or Ad/5HREp-BCD, and treated with various concentrations of 5-FC under normoxic (open) or hypoxic (solid) conditions. Cell viability was calculated as the ratio of the absorbance value under each of the conditions against that in medium with 0.1 mg ml$^{-1}$ of 5-FC under normoxic conditions. The same experiment was conducted with mock infection. Results are the mean ± s.d. (n = 3). *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01.

Figure 4  Immunohistochemical analysis of BCD expression in virus-injected tumour xenografts. The tumour xenograft of HeLa cells was intratumorally injected with Ad/5HREp-BCD (A–C) or Ad/EFp-BCD (D and E). Serial sections of the xenograft were subjected to HE staining (A and D), and to immunohistochemical analysis with anti-c-myc antibody for the detection of BCD-myc (B and E), and with anti-pimonidazole antibody (C). Bar = 100 μm. N = necrotic tumour tissue; V = well-oxygenated viable tumour tissue; arrow = blood vessel.
Figure 5 Synergistic antitumour effect of a combination of gene therapy with IR treatment. (A) Ad/EFp-BCD or Ad/5HREp-BCD was intratumorally injected into tumor-bearing mice on day 0 (blue arrow head), and 5-FC was administered daily from day 1 to day 5 (red arrow head). Body weight of the tumour-bearing mice was measured during and after the treatment. The results are the mean of six independent mice $\pm$ s.d. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$. (B and C) Tumour-bearing mice in the Ad & 5-FC group and Ad & 5-FC & IR group were administered Ad/5HREp-BCD. Those in the Ad & 5-FC group, IR & 5-FC group, and Ad & 5-FC & IR group were locally exposed to irradiation with a single dose of 12.5 Gy (B) or daily dose of 3 Gy for 5 days (C). (See Materials and methods for details.) Tumour volume was measured with calipers and calculated as 0.5 $L$ $W^2$. Relative tumour volume indicates the ratio of the tumour volume on each day to the corresponding volume on day 0. The results are the mean of six independent tumours $\pm$ s.d.

| Table 1 Statistical analysis of TGDT |
|------------------------------------|
|                                   |
| **Single (12.5 Gy)**               |
| **Fractionated (3 Gy x 5)**        |
| Sham-treatment                    | 8.2 $\pm$ 1.1 | 9.8 $\pm$ 1.3 |
| Ad & 5-FC                         | 13.2 $\pm$ 1.6 ($P = 0.144$ vs Sham) | 13.0 $\pm$ 1.4 ($P = 0.148$ vs Sham) |
| IR                                | 19.4 $\pm$ 4.8 | 17.0 $\pm$ 3.7 |
| IR & 5-FC                         | 19.8 $\pm$ 3.4 ($P = 0.865$ vs IR) | 16.8 $\pm$ 2.5 ($P = 0.644$ vs IR) |
| Ad & 5-FC                         | 47.2 $\pm$ 16.8 ($P<0.01$ vs IR) | 45.3 $\pm$ 23.3 ($P<0.05$ vs IR) |
| & IR                              |               |               |

Abbreviations: 5-FC = 5-fluorocytosine, TGDT = tumour growth doubling time. TGDT was calculated as the mean of the days, on which relative tumour volume of each tumour reached 2-fold of that on day 0. Data were based on the results of the growth delay assays in Figures 5B and C with single (12.5 Gy) and fractionated (3 Gy x 5) irradiation, respectively. Results are the mean of the days $\pm$ s.d. (n = 6).

Brown and Wilson, 2004). In this regard, the hypoxia-specific gene therapy strategy has been focused on, and artificial hypoxia-responsive promoters have been developed using various kinds of HREs, such as murine PGK-1 HRE, human erythropoietin HRE, and human VEGF HRE (Greco et al, 2000). Above all, 5HREp showed the best hypoxia-responsiveness and exhibited a more than 500-fold increase in luciferase activity in response to hypoxic stimuli (Shibata et al, 2000). The absolute level of luciferase activity from 5HREp under the hypoxic conditions reached the same level as that from the CMV-driven promoter under normoxic conditions (Shibata et al, 2000). Consistent with these previous reports, the expression of BCD was robustly induced under hypoxic conditions in our plasmid based and adenovirus-based Western blot analysis. This induction actually led to significant hypoxia-dependent cytotoxicity in our cell proliferation assay.

The sensitivity of each cell line to the Ad/5HREp-BCD/5-FC treatment varied in the present cell proliferation assay (Figure 3; compare the viability of each cell line at MOI = 100). Among the cell lines tested, HeLa cells exhibited the highest hypoxia dependency concerning sensitivity to the treatment. On the other hand, a human colon carcinoma cell line, HT29, and a human pancreatic carcinoma cell line, CFPAC-1, showed little therapeutic efficacy (Supplementary Figure S1A and B). We hypothesised that this variability might be caused by the difference in the efficiency of adenoviral infection in each cell line, because it was reported that cells showed different infection efficiencies and CFPAC-1 cells had the lowest transduction efficiency among cells tested (Bouvet et al, 1998). We performed a chemiluminescent $\beta$-gal assay to analyse the efficiency of the adenoviral infection and confirmed that HeLa cells showed the highest, and HT29 and CFPAC-1 cells, a much lower, infection efficiency (Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, when we transfected HT29 and CFPAC-1 cells with p5HRE/DsRed2 plasmid (not an adenovirus), we confirmed the presence of hypoxia-dependent red fluorescence, indicating that the SHRep works in these cells (Supplementary Figure S2). Therefore, we concluded that the low infection efficacy of the adenovirus was responsible for the weak therapeutic efficacy in HT29 and CFPAC-1 cells. These results indicate that, although hypoxia is a common feature of solid tumours, our hypoxia-targeting system cannot target all tumour hypoxia without an excellent vector.

To measure the damage to normal tissue after hypoxia-targeting treatment, Binley et al (2003) applied a hypoxia-responsive thymidine kinase/ganciclovir (TK/GCV) strategy and evaluated the activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) as an indicator of liver dysfunction. Hypoxia-dependent TK expression and GCV treatment caused no irregularity in LDH levels. On the other hand, constitutive TK expression from a CMV promoter and GCV treatment significantly elevated LDH levels in mice. These results suggest that a hypoxia-responsive promoter would facilitate target-specificity and so reduce the side effects on well-oxygenated normal tissues. Consistent with these reports, we did not observe any obvious side effects after the Ad/SHRep-BCD/5-FC gene therapy. On the other hand, after the Ad/EFp-BCD/5-FC treatment, we observed significant weight loss and severe diarrhea, despite the local administration (Figure 5A). These results strengthen further the argument that tumour hypoxia is a specific therapeutic target and our SHRE system has the advantage of specifically targeting it.

To determine whether the specific targeting of tumour hypoxia by the gene therapy strategy improves the efficacy of radiotherapy in a tumour xenograft, we performed growth delay assays. The gene therapy synergistically kept tumour growth suppressed in combination with the single (12.5 Gy) and the fractionated (3 Gy x 5 fractions) radiotherapy. These results were consistent with the report that a combination of HRE-driven P450R expression and tirapazamine significantly increased the efficacy of radiotherapy in vivo (Cowen et al, 2004). The data together with ours definitely support that hypoxia-targeting gene therapy combined with radiotherapy is a promising approach to cancer treatment.

Although BCD expression from the 5HREp-BCD gene was not observed under normoxic conditions in the present Western blotting (Figures 1B and 2B), the cells showed slight but clear sensitivity to a high concentration of 5-FC even under normoxic conditions.
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conditions (Figure 3). This sensitivity was observed regardless of infection with the adenovirus in vitro (Figure 3; compare MOI = 0–100 in each cell), indicating that an excess dose of 5-FC itself results in BCD-independent cytotoxicity. In our growth delay assays, a significant difference was not observed in TGD between the IR group and the Ad & 5-FC group (without adenovirus administration) (Figure 5B and C and Table 1), indicating that the dose of 5-FC was not excessive, or rather was moderate in our in vivo studies. In such an experimental setting, tumour growth in the Ad & 5-FC & IR group was significantly delayed compared to that in the IR group (Figure 5B and C and Table 1). All of these results strongly suggest that the synergistic therapeutic effect of Ad & 5-FC & IR treatment was dependent on the expression of BCD and was caused by the conversion of 5-FC to cytotoxic 5-FU.

Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 plays important roles in regulating tumour radiosensitivity, and therefore, has been recognised as a potentially promising target for tumour radiosensitisation (Moeller et al., 2004; Moeller and Dewhirst, 2006). Because transcription from 5HREp mainly depends on HIF-1 activity, BCD expression from Ad/5HREp-BCD should be induced in the cells with increased HIF-1 activity. In this regard, the gene therapy should have targeted the tumour cells with increased HIF-1 activity and enhanced the therapeutic effect of radiotherapy.

We previously used 5HREp to image hypoxic cells in tumour xenografts (Harada et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2005). These studies were conducted using tumour xenografts, in which a hypoxia-responsive gene, such as the SHREp-luciferase or the 5HREp-GFP gene, had been previously set, but never using vectors responsible for the trans-gene delivery. In the present immunohistochemical analysis, we confirmed the intratumoral expression of BCD after the direct administration of the adenoviral vector into tumour xenografts. The expression was limited to and near the pimonidazole-positive hypoxic regions. Moreover, the BCD was biologically active and indeed led to the antitumour effect we desired. These results represent great progress toward the clinical use of this hypoxia-targeting strategy. However, the most important problem still remains; after the systemic intravenous administration of Ad/5HREp-BCD, we did not detect the expression of BCD in the tumour xenografts in the immunohistochemical analysis (data not shown). For the clinical application of the present gene therapy strategy, the development of a novel gene delivery technology is the next issue to be addressed, although work on this has met with minimal success.
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