Case Report

Dissective tandem stroke: an endovascular approach✩✩✩
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ABSTRACT

Ischemic stroke due to internal carotid artery occlusion is a potential devastating condition. More frequently the occlusions are embolic in nature, but sometimes they are caused by arterial dissection and their treatment is a challenge. We describe an illustrative case where a young patient with middle cerebral artery stroke caused by carotid artery dissection was submitted to endovascular treatment of mechanical thrombectomy and stenting, giving an excellent outcome. We believe that tandem approach is a treatment of choice in these cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Ischemic stroke due to large vessel occlusion (LVO) is a dramatic condition with severe risk of disability and death [1]. Most LVOs show an embolic nature. Only a small proportion of all LVOs show a dissective nature [2]. Internal carotid artery (ICA) dissection is a very uncommon condition, accounting less than 2% of ischemic strokes, but it is the most common cause of strokes in younger patients, under 50 years of age, accounting 10%-25% of stroke in this demographic [3,4]. Although some dissections can be traumatic, in most cases, dissection is considered to be idiopathic [5].

The management of stroke caused by carotid dissection can be challenging. In this report, we present a patient with stroke, due to acute internal carotid artery dissection, that were admitted to our stroke unit and treated with mechanical thrombectomy and extensive stenting with a very good outcome. We believe that mechanical thrombectomy and stenting can be a treatment of choice in these cases.
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Case report

A 42-year-old woman presented to the emergency room with acute onset of aphasia, hemineglect and left hemiparesis. CT and multiphase ACT exams documented the occlusion of right internal carotid artery beyond the bulb and the occlusion of right M1, with intermediate grade leptomeningeal collaterals to the right middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory (Fig. 1A, B).

Once arrived to our unit the patient was submitted to CT perfusion examination that revealed the presence of a small ischemic core in the lenticular region, visible as a subtle hypodense area in CT scan (Fig. 1C), within a wider hypoperfused area (penumbra) in the superficial territory of MCA, so she was promptly transported to the angiography room.

Right common carotid artery injection documented a regular dissection of the internal carotid artery beyond the bulb (Fig. 2A, B) with complete vessel occlusion, considered as grade IV of Colorado University classification, that well characterizes severity of the disease, anatomical features and potential complications [13,14].

The injection beyond the dissection confirmed ipsilateral M1 occlusion (Fig. 2C). Since the dissected vessel was no easy and safe to navigate, we decided to treat the extra-cranial segment first, so, once systemic heparinization and 500mg iv Flec-tadol loading were administrated, we proceeded with pta and double overlap stenting (Protegé 7.5 × 40 mm and 8.6 × 40 mm) on Spider FX 6.0 mm monorail system (ev3) (Fig. 3B, C, D). The control angiogram showed antegrade flow and restoration of the normal caliber of the internal carotid artery. Subsequently, right middle cerebral artery was accessed with a 0.060in x 132 mm AXS Catalyst 6 over a 18 Rebar microcatheter and a 3-minutes attempt of mechanical thrombectomy and aspiration was performed, utilizing two 60mL VacLok vacuum pressure syringes through the AXS Catalyst 6 catheter and 8F JR4 Launcher (Medtronic), respectively. The subsequent angiogram documented thrombolysis in cerebral infarction (TICI 3) recanalization (Fig. 3A). Post-procedural DynaCT showed no
hemorrhagic complications and the patient regained strength while still on the table.

The day after the procedure no neurological symptoms were detected.

1-month follow-up MRI revealed a small malacic area in right nucleo-capsular region as result of ischemic insult (Fig. 4 and 5). At discharge, the modified Rankin scale (mRS) and NIHSS were both 0.

### Discussion

ICA dissection occurs when a mural hematoma develops in one or more layers of the vasa wall through a tear. Hematoma expansion may occur in the direction of the intima causing luminal narrowing, or along the direction of the adventitia, resulting in pseudoaneurysm formation [6]. Most dissections occur spontaneously. The second most common causative factor is head or neck trauma, especially in car accident victims [5,7]

Spontaneous dissections may be preceded by minor trauma: chiropractic manipulation, yoga, coughing, sneezing and whiplash injuries [8]. There is some evidence that antecedent infection predisposes to dissection [9]. In relation to a genetic multifactorial predisposition, connective tissue disease and arteriopathy (particularly, Ehlers–Danlos syndrome) have been reported [10,11]. Traumatic dissections occur after major penetrating or non-penetrating trauma, such as road traffic accidents [12].

The vast majority of strokes associated with internal carotid artery dissection occur as a result of arterial-to-arterial thromboembolism arising from the dissected region, with most affecting the ipsilateral middle cerebral artery territory. Most of these strokes are cortical in nature, but a small proportion of patients develop lacunar infarcts, suggesting microembolism as a potential mechanism. In contrast, approximately 5% of ischemic strokes associated with carotid dissection occur at border zones between arterial territories, suggesting a hemodynamic mechanism in some cases [15–17]. These border-zone infarcts may occur as a result of hypoper-
fusion, resulting from narrowing or occlusion of the dissected vessel, or occlusion of the ostium of a branch of the dissected vessel by the dissection flap [18]. The importance of making a timely diagnosis is paramount to minimize potential morbidity and mortality of the disease. The diagnosis of dissection is based on radiologic findings in ultrasound, CT, computed tomography angiography (CTA), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA); however, digital subtraction arteriography (DSA) is still considered the gold standard [7].

The optimal treatment strategy in internal carotid artery dissection remains a subject of debate. Similar to basilar artery occlusion treatment, no official protocols have yet been established [19].

A randomized feasibility study comparing the efficacy and safety of anticoagulation (unfractionated heparin or low-molecular weight heparin followed by warfarin) and antiplatelet agents (one or a combination of aspirin, dipyridamole or clopidogrel) found no significant difference between the 2 approaches [20].

In large strokes, antiplatelet agents may be favored to avoid the risk of hemorrhagic transformation associated with anticoagulation. Likewise, in cases of intracranial arterial dissection, when pseudoaneurysm formation may be complicated by subarachnoid hemorrhage, antiplatelet agents may be preferred. Conversely, anticoagulation may be preferred if a thrombus is detected in the arterial lumen, or if there are multiple embolic infarcts ipsilateral to the dissected vessel [21].

There is no clear consensus regarding surgical management of dissections.

Grade V is considered to be lethal. It is widely accepted that low grade [1] dissections with no bleeding and minor or transient ischemic symptoms can be treated with 3-6 months of antithrombotic therapy with anticoagulant or antiplatelet agents [22]. To our understanding, as the pharmacologic treatment of higher-grade dissections carries a very low success rate, the approach in these cases should be more aggressive that is surgical or endovascular [23–24]. There are 2 main methods of retrieving a thrombus from an artery: aspiration or using stent retrievers [25–26].

The RECOST Study [27] analyzed all carotid artery dissection tandem occlusion strokes and isolated anterior circulation occlusions. All patients were selected for endovascular treatment based on clinical radiologic mismatch, NIHSS >7 and DWI-ASPECTS >5, within 6 hours of onset. For carotid artery dissection, the recanalization procedure first consisted of distal recanalization by a stent retriever into the intracranial vessel. After evaluation of the circle of Willis, internal carotid artery stent placement was performed only in case of insufficiency. The efficacy, safety and clinical outcome of the carotid artery dissection treatment were compared with the results of the isolated anterior circulation occlusion cohort. Mechanical endovascular treatment of carotid artery dissection and occlusion is safe and effective at occlusion of the isolated anterior circulation.

**Conclusion**

Mechanical thrombectomy and artery stenting can be beneficial in patients with acute, high grade extracranial carotid artery dissections with artery occlusions and distal embolic complications.
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