ABSTRACT: On-surface synthesis provides an effective approach toward the formation of graphene nanostructures that are difficult to achieve via traditional solution chemistry. Here, we report on the design and synthesis of a nonplanar porous nanographene with 78 sp² carbon atoms, namely C_{78}. Through a highly selective oxidative cyclodehydrogenation of 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexa(naphthalen-1-yl)triphenylene (2), propeller nanographene precursor I was synthesized in solution. Interestingly, although I could not be cyclized further in solution, porous nanographene C_{78} was successfully achieved from I by on-surface assisted cyclodehydrogenation on Au(111). The structure and electronic properties of C_{78} have been investigated by means of scanning tunneling microscopy, noncontact atomic force microscopy, and scanning tunneling spectroscopy, complemented by computational investigations. Our results provide perspectives for the on-surface synthesis of porous graphene nanostructures, offering a promising strategy for the engineering of graphene materials with tailor-made properties.

Graphene nanostructures (also called “nanographenes”) have gained increasing attention due to their great potential toward tailor-made organic materials with applications in electronics, optoelectronics, spintronics, chemical sensing, gas storage, etc. The synthesis of graphene nanostructures via top-down methods such as exfoliation presents well-known disadvantages such as nonregular edge structures or uncontrollable sizes. In contrast, the bottom-up organic synthesis approach has emerged in the last decades as a powerful tool to design structurally well-defined nanographenes. The properties of nanographenes are largely determined by their structural characteristics including their size and edge structure. The controlled introduction of lattice defects/nanopores in the basal plane of the sp² carbon skeleton represents an alternative strategy to influence the properties of such nanographenes. Depending on their internal substructure, nanopores can be planar or nonplanar. Typically, planar nanopores exhibit internal zigzag and armchair like substructures (Figure 1, red, A–D). Differently, nonplanar nanopores contain the so-called cove-type subunits (Figure 1, blue, E–G). In addition, the presence of these nanopores in graphene nanostructures facilitates the access to fascinating properties with potential applications in water desalination, sensing, and gas separation.

Despite the progress made in recent years, the bottom-up synthesis of graphene nanostructures with well-defined nanopores is a remaining challenge. Specifically, large precursors of polyphenylenes may present a mismatching of topology which leads to partial cyclodehydrogenation. Recently, we reported the introduction of a planar nanopore (type A in Figure 1) into a graphene nanostructure, C_{216}, via solution-based cyclodehydrogenation of a polyphenylene...
precursor with preinstalled porous macrocycle, which leads to an increased HOMO–LUMO gap compared to that of its parent nanographene C222.11 Although a few graphene nanostructures containing planar nanopores have been achieved through on-surface13 synthesis,7 the access to nanographenes containing nonplanar nanopores is still missing.

Herein, we introduce an exemplary approach toward the design and on-surface formation of a nonplanar, porous nanographene, namely the C78, studied on a coinage metal surface under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions (Scheme 1). Compound C78 can be considered as a derivative of C84, which has a planar configuration with 84 sp² carbon atoms, by removing three double bonds from its carbon framework and forming three nanopores with the topology of the rim of a pyrene molecule (type E in Figure 1 and Scheme 1). The target molecule C78 was successfully achieved via surface-assisted cyclodehydrogenation of the propeller precursor 1, which was synthesized via regioselective cyclodehydrogenation of 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexa(naphthalen-1-yl)triphenylene (2) in solution. The structure of C78 is evidenced by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), and complemented by density-functional theory (DFT) calculations. The chemical structure of the final porous nanographene is determined by noncontact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM), and its electronic properties are studied by scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS), which indicates an increased bandgap from 2.4 eV for the planar C84 (obtained by GW calculations) to 3.0 eV for the nonplanar porous C78 on Au(111).

Compound 2 was synthesized in solution via 6-fold Suzuki coupling with a 96% yield (Scheme S1, Table S1, and Figures S1–S8 in the Supporting Information). Our preliminary attempts to use 2 directly for the on-surface formation of C78 via cyclodehydrogenation were not successful. Specifically, the sublimation of a submonolayer coverage of 2 onto the Au(111) substrate held at room temperature and subsequently annealed to 250 °C to induce cyclodehydrogenation gave mostly rise to the unexpected formation of individual ill-defined nanostructures which coexist with ∼5% of triblade-like propeller molecules (Figure S9). To the best of our knowledge, the formation of pentalene units observed in the triblade-like molecule has not been reported in on-surface synthesis, and it may offer a new way to access pentalene containing nanographenes. The flexibility and high reactivity of the naphthyl groups in compound 2, as well as the steric congestion of the target C78 molecule may account for this unexpected result.

To overcome the limitations observed in the on-surface formation of C78 starting from 2, we designed precursor 1, which has a more rigid preplanarized structure and less reactive sites. The synthesis of 1 was carried out by oxidation of 2 using 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) in a mixture of dichloromethane and triflic acid at 0 °C in 75% yield (Scheme S2 and Figures S10–S14). Our attempts of solution cyclodehydrogenation toward C78 from 1 were unfortunately unsuccessful. The propeller structure of 1, which pushes the C–H bonds in the rims far away from each other, may account for the challenge of the solution process.

After sublimation of compound 1 under UHV conditions onto an atomically clean Au(111) surface held at room temperature, large-scale STM images (Figure 2a) reveal the
presence of several self-assembled chains that follow the Au(111) herringbone reconstruction, along with sporadically distributed individual molecules. Figure 2b shows a high-resolution STM image where rounded molecular species, which present three bright peripheral lobes with a maximum apparent height of 2.5 Å (measured at a sample bias of −1 V), interact between them due to the nonplanar conformation adopted by the molecules on the surface. Additionally, high-resolution STM images acquired with a CO-functionalized tip allow us to discern R and S enantiomers within the same image (Figure S15). The experimental features of an individual molecule observed in Figure 2c are well reproduced by the DFT-optimized geometry and the corresponding STM simulation of 1 on the Au(111) surface (Figure 2d,e), which shows that 1 adopts a consecutive “up and down” configuration (with an adsorption height of 3.4 Å with respect to the underlying surface), indicating a typical propeller-type conformation on the surface.

Annealing the substrate at 180 °C does not give rise to significant modifications in the molecular structure of 1 and just a small number of molecules (∼10%) present a different appearance (Figure S16). Only after a second annealing step to 350 °C, the subsequent formation of the targeted porous C78 molecule is achieved by the cyclodehydrogenation of 1. Figure 3a,b depicts the high-resolution STM images where the molecular species appear as circularly shaped molecules without any prominent lobes which is attributed to the formation of C78 (63% out of 150 molecules). It should be noted that some of the molecules remained with one projection, implying an incomplete cyclodehydrogenation of the molecular precursor.

To confirm the chemical structure of C78, noncontact atomic force microscopy (nc-AFM) measurements using a CO-functionalized tip were performed.16 Figure 3c depicts the resulting constant-height frequency-shift image. Herein, the nonplanarity which arises due to the intramolecular H–H steric hindrance is clearly manifested. The periphery of the molecular backbone shows three features of increased frequency shift while the center of the molecule is closer to the gold surface and therefore no obvious features could be discerned in the nc-AFM image. In addition, the simulated nc-AFM image depicted in Figure 3d yields excellent correspondence to the experimental image. This nonplanar nature is very visible in the DFT-optimized structure (Figure 3e) of C78 on Au(111), which shows how the new C–C bonds formed after cyclodehydrogenation are slightly bent out-of-plane (a dihedral angle of 4.4°, with an adsorption height of 3.1 Å with respect to the underlying surface). Altogether, this provides conclusive evidence for the structural assignment of the porous C78 molecule on the Au(111) surface.

Next, we performed STS measurements on C78 to probe its electronic structure. Voltage-dependent differential conductance spectra (dI/dV vs V) display peaks in the density of states at −1.2 and +1.8 V (Figure 4a), which are attributed to the positive and negative ion resonances (PIR and NIR, respectively) deriving from the highest occupied and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) of C78. Therefore, the measured gap of this nonplanar porous nanographene is 3.0 eV on Au(111), which is in good agreement with the value of 3.1 eV obtained by applying image charge corrections to the eigenvalue self-consistent GW gap calculated for the isolated molecule adsorbed on Au(111). This value contrasts with the gap of 2.4 eV calculated for the planar, nonporous C84, which is due to the extended π-system being disrupted by the pores and by the nonplanarity of the molecule.

To investigate the impact of nonplanar nanopores such as those observed for C78 on the electronic properties of extended 2D graphene, we performed tight-binding and DFT band structure calculations. The results show that such pores introduce flat bands and open a band in pristine graphene (Figure S17). The band gap opening is analogous to the increase of the HOMO–LUMO gap of C78 with respect to C84. Constant-height maps of the dI/dV signal of a C78 on Au(111) acquired with a CO-functionalized tip at the energetic positions of the PIR (top) and the NIR (bottom), together with the corresponding STM topography images are reported in Figure 4b (see Figure S18 for comparison with the constant-current dI/dV maps of C78). In addition, the DFT-calculated local density of states (LDOS) maps of the HOMO and the LUMO of C78 (Figure 4b, right), evaluated at a height of 3 Å above the molecular plane, indicate a notable agreement with the experimental dI/dV maps, which allows us to conclude that the conductance features observed in the dI/dV maps can be correlated with the spatial distribution of the probability densities of the corresponding frontier orbital wave functions.

Figure 3. On-surface synthesis of C78 on Au(111). (a) Overview STM topography image of the surface after annealing at 350 °C, showing the predominant presence of C78 molecules. Vt = −1.2 V, It = 175 pA, scale bar = 4 nm. (b) High-resolution STM image of an isolated molecule. Vt = 0.1 V, It = 200 pA, scale bar = 1 nm. (c) Constant-height frequency-shift nc-AFM image of panel b acquired with a CO-functionalized tip (z offset −35 pm below STM set point: 5 mV, 10 pA). Scale bar = 1 nm. (d) Simulated nc-AFM image of panel c. (e) Top and side views of the DFT equilibrium geometry of C78 on Au(111). Bonds and atoms highlighted in red show a nonplanar bending contributing to the distinguishing features in the nc-AFM images.
In conclusion, we demonstrated a synthetic pathway for the on-surface synthesis of a well-defined triple-porous nanographene on Au(111). Based on the parent propeller precursor 1, the nonplanar, porous C78 molecule has been achieved via on-surface cyclohydrogenation and its structure has been clearly elucidated by STM and nc-AFM.STS studies together with theoretical calculations revealed that the C78 molecule exhibits an experimental electronic gap of 3.0 eV (3.1 eV calculated for the planar, nonporous C84 molecule) that is consistent with the theoretical GW calculations, which differ from the 2.4 eV calculated for the planar, nonporous C84. This porous nanographene can serve as a model structure and help to understand the effect of nonplanar nanopores in graphene nanostructures. Our future work will focus on the synthesis of nanographenes with various nanopores and larger \( \pi \)-systems, as well as porous graphene nanoribbons, where the dependence of the intrinsic electronic properties on the topology and the symmetry of atomic sites allow for the engineering of novel functionalities.
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