Spray-Drying of Passion Fruit Juice Using Lactose-Maltodextrin Blends as the Support Material
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to assess the effectiveness of the blends with different levels of lactose-maltodextrin (8:5, 10:5, and 12:5 % w/v) during the spray-drying of the passion fruit juice. The drying was carried out in a laboratory spray dryer (Pulvis GB 22 model) at two inlet air temperatures (180 and 190 °C), and two air pressures (0.10 and 0.20 MPa). The moisture content, hygroscopicity and vitamin C retention were evaluated in the powder obtained. Response surface plots (p<0.05) showed that the lowest values of the moisture content and hygroscopicity were reached in the temperature range of 188-190 °C and at 12.5 % (w/v) concentration of lactose-maltodextrin; the best vitamin C retention level occurred at 180 °C and 0.2 MPa.
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INTRODUCTION

Spray-drying is defined as the transformation of liquid state feed into a dried particulate form. This is achieved by atomizing the fluid into a drying chamber, where the liquid droplets are passed through a hot-air stream (Barbosa-Cánovas et al., 2005; Masters, 1991a). The heat and mass transfer during the drying occurs rapidly between the air and vapour films surrounding the droplet at the saturation temperature. This technique, consequently, is suitable for the heat-sensitive products (Mermelstein, 2001). This type of drying process is widely used in the large-scale industry to produce the milk powders, instantaneous coffee and fruit juice powders, as well as for the encapsulation of the active components (Barbosa-Cánovas et al., 2005; Masters, 1991a). The spray-drying can also be used to process the passion fruit juice (Passiflora edulis v. flavicarpa) and obtain a powdered product, which is stable and suitable for storage and transportation. This juice, highly acidic and with a characteristic, intense flavor, constitutes a good source of niacin, riboflavin and vitamins C and A (Chan et al., 1972). Currently, there is a great interest in exporting this crop to Europe, where it is becoming a popular luxury fruit. Among the main exporter countries are Ecuador, Colombia, Peru, Brazil and Indonesia.
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(Vera et al., 2003). Mexico has participated only occasionally in the international market selling 3 ton of concentrated juice to the United States (Schwentesius and Gómez-Cruz, 1998). Passion fruit cannot be stored for more than eight days at 25 °C, because decay will take place after that span (Flores-Novelo, 2000). A frequent solution to this problem is the processing and freezing of the fruit pulp and juice (either natural or concentrated) which can be later on used for flavouring various drinks or for blending with other kinds of the juices. In the literature, different methods of the concentration such as the membrane processes (Cardoso-Pereira et al., 2002), osmotic evaporation (Vaillant et al., 2001), and flash vacuum-expansion (Brat et al., 2001) have been proposed for processing the passion fruit juice.

The main problem during the spray-drying of the sugar-rich foods like the fruit juices is their thermoplastic and hygroscopic behavior (Truong et al., 2005; Goula and Adamopoulos, 2005). All the fruits contain the sugars of low glass transition temperature ($T_g$) (saccharose, glucose and fructose) and during drying, they may either remain as the syrup or stick to the drier chamber walls. The juice of the passion fruit is very difficult to be spray-dried, because the total sugar is composed of fructose (45%) glucose (46%) and sucrose (9%) (Vera et al., 2003) and their $T_g$ values are 5 °C, 31°C and 62 °C, respectively (Bhandari and Howes, 1999).

Some additives such as the starch, arabic gum, and maltodextrins are commonly used as the support materials to increase the $T_g$ of the products during the spray-drying (Truong et al., 2005; Jaya and Das, 2004). The amount of these carrier agents depends on the fruit in question and ranges from 20 to 60% (w/v) have been reported in the literature (Masters, 1991a). However, the quantity of the drying aids is limited by the organoleptic properties of the final product (Truong et al., 2005). Some authors (Jaya and Das, 2004; Krishnan et al., 2005) have proposed the combination of maltodextrin, arabic gum, modified starch, and anticaking agents like glycerol monostearate and tricalcium phosphate as yet another alternative. Studies on the utilization of maltodextrin blended with high glass transition temperature disaccharides such as lactose ($T_g = 101 °C$) are, nonetheless, scarce. For example, during the spray-drying of the dairy products, a free-flowing powder could be obtained sometimes, since several physicochemical properties are directly or indirectly related to the $T_g$ of this disaccharide (Roos, 2002). Due to the low sweetness of lactose, food manufacturers add bigger amounts of the solids without excessive sweetness in the preparation of the balanced infant formulae.

This study aimed to assess the performance of a lactose-maltodextrin mixture acting as a carrier agent in the spray-drying of the passion fruit juice, under various operation conditions in order to obtain a powdered product.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Passion fruit and extraction of juice**

Fresh passion fruits were collected from a plantation located in Chimalaco, San Luis Potosí, México. The fruits were washed and cut into halves, discarding the skins and keeping only the pulp, which was treated with the enzymatic method proposed by Rodriguez-Hernández et al., (2005) to obtain the juice suitable for atomization. The juice was stored at –14 °C and later defrosted at the room temperature right before the experiment.

**Sample preparation and spray drying**

The commercial lactose (Empresas Vhiler, S.A. de C.V.) and maltodextrin (10 DE) (Amidex-Proquimposa) were used as the carrier agents. The blends with different levels of the lactose-maltodextrin (8:5, 10:5, 12:5 % w/v) were used to prepare the juice using a shear mixer (Ika-Ultra-Turrax ® T25 Basic) at 3000 rpm for 5 min. The drying was performed in a laboratory spray dryer (Pulvis GB 22 model, YAMATO), using a feed flow rate of 20 ml/min and an air flow rate of 0.43 m$^3$/min. The inlet air temperatures of 180 and 190 °C with the air pressures of 0.10 and 0.20 MPa were applied. A D-optimal experimental design with two center points and one replicate for each experimental condition was used. A total of 26 runs were conducted (Table 1). The moisture content, hygroscopicity and vitamin C retention, taken as the dependent variables, were evaluated for each run.

**Analysis of powders**

The moisture content was measured gravimetrically by drying approximately 2 g of the powder in a vacuum oven at 70 °C under a pressure of 70 kPa, for 24 h. The stability of the
vitamin C during the spray-drying was evaluated by the titration using 2,6-dichloro-indophenol as described in the Official Methods of Analysis (AOAC, 1990). For the hygroscopicity, about 1 g of powder was placed in a hermetic glass jar containing a saturated solution of Na$_2$SO$_4$ to establish a relative humidity of 81% (Cai and Corke, 2000). After keeping the sample at 25 °C for a week, the hygroscopic moisture (%) was calculated using equation (1) (Jaya and Das, 2004):

$$\text{Hygroscopicity} (\%) = \frac{b/a + W_i}{1 + b/a}$$

where $a$ (g) was the amount of the sample, $W_i$ was the moisture content in the powder before the measurement and $b$ (g) was the powder weight increase. All the measurements were made in three replicates.

**Statistical analysis**

A polynomial model was fitted to the experimental data to predict the dependent variable $Y$ (moisture content, hygroscopicity, vitamin C retention):

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T + \beta_2 P + \beta_3 C + \beta_4 T^2 + \beta_5 P^2 + \beta_6 C^2 + \beta_7 TP + \beta_8 TC + \beta_9 PC$$

where $\beta$ ($i=0, 1, ..., 9$) represented the linear regression coefficients of the model; and T, P and C, were the coded values of the independent variables (temperature, pressure and lactose-maltodextrin concentration, respectively). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with a confidence level of 95% ($p<0.05$) with Modde 7.0 software.

**Table 1 - Experimental drying conditions for spray drying of passion fruit juice.**

| Experiment number | Run order | Inlet air temperature (°C) | Air pressure (MPa) | Lactose:Maltodextrin % (w/v) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 1                 | 5         | 180                         | 0.1                | 8.5                          |
| 2                 | 4         | 180                         | 0.2                | 8.5                          |
| 3                 | 22        | 185                         | 0.15               | 8.5                          |
| 4                 | 23        | 190                         | 0.1                | 8.5                          |
| 5                 | 14        | 190                         | 0.2                | 8.5                          |
| 6                 | 25        | 185                         | 0.1                | 10.5                         |
| 7                 | 9         | 190                         | 0.15               | 10.5                         |
| 8                 | 15        | 180                         | 0.1                | 12.5                         |
| 9                 | 13        | 180                         | 0.2                | 12.5                         |
| 10                | 11        | 190                         | 0.1                | 12.5                         |
| 11                | 12        | 190                         | 0.2                | 12.5                         |
| 12                | 16        | 190                         | 0.15               | 12.5                         |
| 13                | 2         | 190                         | 0.15               | 12.5                         |
| 14                | 7         | 180                         | 0.1                | 8.5                          |
| 15                | 10        | 180                         | 0.2                | 8.5                          |
| 16                | 24        | 185                         | 0.15               | 8.5                          |
| 17                | 26        | 190                         | 0.1                | 8.5                          |
| 18                | 17        | 190                         | 0.2                | 8.5                          |
| 19                | 18        | 185                         | 0.1                | 10.5                         |
| 20                | 1         | 190                         | 0.15               | 10.5                         |
| 21                | 3         | 180                         | 0.1                | 12.5                         |
| 22                | 6         | 180                         | 0.2                | 12.5                         |
| 23                | 21        | 190                         | 0.1                | 12.5                         |
| 24                | 20        | 190                         | 0.2                | 12.5                         |
| 25                | 8         | 190                         | 0.15               | 12.5                         |
| 26                | 19        | 190                         | 0.15               | 12.5                         |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental values of the moisture content, hygroscopicity and vitamin C retention of the powder prepared with the lactose-maltodextrin blends are given in Table 2. These values were the means of three measurements. Results showed that the moisture content varied from 2.37 to 9.40 %; this variation was in the desired range of the quality parameters for a powder to be considered a dry product and was similar to that reported for the other food powders (Bhandari and Howes, 1999; Rodriguez-Hernández, et al., 2005). Table 2 also shows how the hygroscopicity varied from 17.39 to 35.38 %. These values were two or three times higher than the reported ones for the vacuum dried mango powder (Jaya and Das, 2004). The hygroscopicity can be defined as the ability of a food to absorb the moisture from a high relative humidity environment and has been related either to the porosity of the powder (Nadeau and Puiggali, 1995) or the amorphous glassy state of the sugars present in the food (Roos, 2002). From these results, it could be assumed that the lactose and fructose (main monosaccharide of this juice) were in an amorphous state, which was not stable in the normal humidity. This would explain why in the present study the powder was more hygroscopic. Data on the vitamin C retention showed that this vitamin was very sensitive to heat, since the retained percentage varied from 39.73 to 56.89 % (Table 2).

Table 2 - Quality parameters of passion fruit juice powder prepared with lactose-maltodextrin blends as the support material.

| Experiment No | Moisture content (%) | Hygroscopicity (%) | Vitamin C retention (%) |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1             | 9.40±0.34            | 35.38±0.72         | 43.28±1.02              |
| 2             | 9.10±0.98            | 27.62±1.38         | 53.38±1.01              |
| 3             | 7.14±0.86            | 25.26±0.84         | 44.81±2.43              |
| 4             | 5.66±0.77            | 29.59±2.90         | 49.30±2.12              |
| 5             | 6.66±0.71            | 25.68±0.80         | 46.12±1.00              |
| 6             | 5.68±0.95            | 32.78±0.47         | 45.81±3.56              |
| 7             | 6.08±0.57            | 19.74±0.03         | 49.80±1.42              |
| 8             | 3.91±0.69            | 18.00±0.05         | 40.44±1.00              |
| 9             | 2.67±0.28            | 17.39±0.03         | 46.83±4.01              |
| 10            | 3.17±0.04            | 19.94±0.16         | 41.86±1.03              |
| 11            | 6.10±0.63            | 22.00±0.30         | 47.54±1.01              |
| 12            | 2.37±0.34            | 20.74±0.12         | 43.03±1.06              |
| 13            | 5.35±0.55            | 21.11±0.33         | 41.70±1.01              |
| 14            | 9.16±0.56            | 34.79±1.24         | 44.93±2.16              |
| 15            | 8.72±0.04            | 28.90±1.00         | 56.89±2.01              |
| 16            | 6.94±0.03            | 24.75±0.29         | 47.80±2.99              |
| 17            | 3.87±0.89            | 21.01±0.01         | 44.40±3.11              |
| 18            | 4.74±0.13            | 19.38±1.72         | 50.80±0.01              |
| 19            | 2.99±0.52            | 21.59±0.28         | 49.29±2.11              |
| 20            | 6.81±0.56            | 27.05±0.20         | 49.46±0.97              |
| 21            | 4.18±0.37            | 22.14±0.34         | 42.10±2.17              |
| 22            | 4.43±0.72            | 20.88±0.08         | 45.41±1.42              |
| 23            | 3.21±0.08            | 23.33±0.06         | 47.80±2.99              |
| 24            | 4.56±0.13            | 22.80±0.01         | 40.33±0.30              |
| 25            | 3.18±0.91            | 25.32±0.29         | 39.73±1.42              |
| 26            | 4.37±0.39            | 26.11±0.94         | 41.83±0.38              |

Outlier values were not considered in this study.

The experimental data of Table 2 was subjected to the statistical analysis using a polynomial model (Eq. 2). The regression coefficients and variance analysis describing the effect of the independent variables on the properties of the powder are shown in Table 3. Looking at the values of $p(t)$, it was clear that the moisture content was linearly affected in the first place by the lactose-
maltodextrin concentration, secondly by a temperature-concentration interaction and in the least degree, by the temperature itself. The quadratic effects of the temperature, pressure, and concentration were not significant. With respect to the hygroscopicity, this was mainly influenced by the lactose-maltodextrin concentration and the T*C interaction (Table 3).

Table 3 - Regression coefficients (coded variables) and variance analysis of experimental results shown in Table 2 (p<0.05).

| Response | β0  | β1  | β2  | β3  | β4  | β5  | β6  | β7  | β8  | β9  |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| MC       | 5.6485 | -0.9155 | 0.3418 | -1.7554 | 1.2227 | -0.4824 | -0.8531 | 0.49187 | 0.95955 | 0.13437 |
| p(t)     | 5.88e-7 | 0.003859 | 0.22578 | 2.997e-6 | 0.10695 | 0.39024 | 0.22598 | 0.091255 | 0.002215 | 0.63017 |
| H        | 21.1556 | -1.3195 | -1.2368 | -3.4435 | 3.6879 | -1.0605 | 0.53496 | 0.71937 | 2.5754 | 1.17813 |
| p(t)     | 8.82e-8 | 0.0910812 | 0.111228 | 0.0001456 | 0.10144 | 0.5069 | 0.78902 | 0.34421 | 0.002565 | 0.130547 |
| VC       | 48.1274 | -0.0311 | 2.44463 | -2.75161 | 1.20578 | 2.19514 | -4.90194 | -1.56637 | 0.25188 | -1.41488 |
| p(t)     | 4.3e-15 | 0.9607 | 0.00117 | 0.000193 | 0.44721 | 0.08646 | 0.004412 | 0.02353 | 0.6769 | 0.03789 |

**Note:** The bold character indicates that the corresponding parameter had a significant effect on the properties evaluated.

As for vitamin C retention, it was affected quadratic and linearly by the concentration, air pressure, and the T*P and P*C interactions. Table 3 also showed that the most important interaction for the moisture content and hygroscopicity was the T*C while for the vitamin C retention was the T*P.

The response surface plots were generated for the moisture content (Fig.1) and hygroscopicity (Fig. 2), making the inlet temperature and concentration change independently. A similar graph was obtained for the vitamin C retention (Fig. 3), being the temperature and compressor air pressure the independent variables. The models interpreted data variability with a determination coefficient higher than 0.75 given in equations (3)-(5) with the uncoded variables:

Model for moisture content (MC):

\[
MC = 1929.7 - 19.53T - 312.69P - 14.56C + 0.049T^2 - 192.96P^2 - 0.213C^2 + 1.96TP + 0.096TC + 1.34PC; \\
R^2 = 0.828
\]  

(3)

Model for hygroscopicity (H):

\[
H = 5717.5 - 57.85T - 547.62P - 53.81C + 0.148T^2 - 424.2P^2 + 0.134C^2 + 2.87TP + 0.258TC + 11.78PC; \\
R^2 = 0.751
\]  

(4)

Model for vitamin C retention (VC):

\[
VC = 1455.13 - 17.163T + 1086.08P + 20.59C + 0.048T^2 + 878.05P^2 - 1.225C^2 - 6.26TP + 0.0257TC - 14.15PC; \\
R^2 = 0.812
\]  

(5)

In all the cases, there was a good agreement between the experimental and predicted values. The shapes in Figures 1 and Figure 2 indicated that the moisture content and the hygroscopicity of the powder decreased significantly with the higher concentrations and higher temperatures. These observations made it clear that the best quality of the product was achieved in the temperature range of 188 to 190 °C with a concentration of 12.5 % of the lactose-maltodextrin.
Although the pressure did not have a significant effect, it was also observed that the moisture content and hygroscopicity decreased slightly with the pressure (results not shown). These results agreed i.e. with those of other authors (Masters, 1991b), the higher drying air temperatures and higher pressure usually resulted in faster drying, thus generating the products with lower moisture content. The pressure has an enormous influence on the particle size and the particle diameter is inversely proportional to the pressure. Therefore, the drop size establishes the heat transfer surface available, and thus, the drying rate. In the particles smaller in diameter, the water within would migrate a shorter distance to reach the surface and the droplet consequently will dry faster. As mentioned previously, the hygroscopicity also depended on the size of powder pores (Nadeau and Puiggali, 1995). The powders with more compact constitution were obtained at high pressures, thereby exhibiting less hygroscopicity.

The effect of the air pressure and temperature on the vitamin C retention during the spray drying of the passion fruit juice is shown in Figure 3. The vitamin C retention increased as the temperature was lowered and pressure was raised, reaching its maximum value at 180 °C and 0.2 MPa and the retention was higher at 10:5 % (w/v) concentration of the lactose-maltodextrin. Several authors (Nicoleti et al., 2004; Ramallo and...
Mascheroni, 2004; Pirone et al., 2005) have reported that the degradation of the ascorbic acid during the drying is influenced by the moisture content, oxygen, pH, and light, but especially by the temperature. However, the way in which the pressure influences the vitamin C degradation during the spray-drying process has not been clearly established. Rodriguez-Hernández et al., (2005) reported that the vitamin C was better retained in the spray-dried powder of cactus pear processed at low pressures, while the opposite effect was observed for the experiments done at 0.1 MPa in this work. Other factors such as the different chemical composition and pH of the two foods could explain the difference in behavior.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this work indicated that the combination of the lactose and 10 DE maltodextrin worked effectively as a carrier agent for the spray-drying of the passion fruit juice. Low amounts of the lactose-maltodextrin such as 8:5, 10:5, 12:5 % (w/v) could be used to achieve the drying and diminish the stickiness in the drying chamber. However, due to the utilization of lactose, the powder obtained was highly hygroscopic. Response surface studies showed that the lowest values of the moisture content and hygroscopicity were reached in the temperature range 188-190 °C with 12.5 % (w/v) of the lactose-maltodextrin concentration; the best vitamin C retention was obtained at 180 °C and 0.2 MPa with 10:5% (w/v) of the carrier agent.
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RESUMO

O objectivo deste estudo foi a avaliação da efectividade das misturas em diferentes níveis de lactose-maltodextrina (8.5, 10.5, 12.5 % w/v) durante a pulverização do sumo de maracujá. A secagem foi feita em um atomizador de laboratório de pulverização (modelo Pulvis GB 22) com 2 entradas de temperatura de ar (180 e 190 °C), e 2 compressores de pressão de ar (0.10 e 0.20 MPa). O índice da mistura, higroscopicidade e retenção de vitamina C foram avaliados no pó obtido. As curvas de superfície de resposta (p<0.05) mostram que os valores mais baixos do índice da mistura e higroscopicidade foram alcançados no intervalo de temperatura de 188-190 °C e com uma concentração de 12.5 % w/v de lactose-maltodextrina; o melhor nível de retenção de vitamina C ocorreu a 180 °C e 0.2 MPa.
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