Occurrence of Blue Green Algae from Maize Fieldsof Mohol Tahasil in Solapur
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Abstract: Blue-green algae make a major contribution to the fertility of the soil. It has been suggested that blue-green algae (BGA) assist higher plant growth by supplying growth substances. There are numerous works about roles of blue-green algae on growth of maize fields. Increase in use of synthetic fertilizers in the field badly affected the fertility of the soil. These synthetic fertilizers are effecting on flora and fauna of the field responsible for productivity of the crop plants. Most of the farmers are utilizing these fertilizers blindly to increase productivity. To check proper dosage and relative abundance of the blue green algae efforts were made to evaluate abundance of blue green algae from Mohol tehsil of Solapur district. During the investigations, 19 species of bluegreen algae belonging to three families of heterocystous and non-heterocystous from maize fields in MoholTahasil ofsolapur district were identified, out of which seven species are new to this region.
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1. Introduction

Cyanobacteria represent a small taxonomic group of photosynthetic prokaryotes which some of them are able to fix N2 fixation and also possess a tremendous potential for producing a wide range of secondary metabolites. Cyanobacteria have drawn much attention as prospective and rich sources of biologically active constituents and have been identified as one of the most promising groups of organisms capable of producing bioactive compounds (Fish & Codd 1994, Schlegel et al. 1999). Production of bioactive molecules such as auxins, production of secondary metabolites linked to bio control of bacterial and fungal diseases as well as improving soil structure and porosity through secretion of polysaccharides aiding in soil aggregation are the most important functions of these microorganisms (Karthikeyan et al. 2007, Sergeyeva et al. 2002). De (1939) attributed the natural fertility of maize field soil and its maintenance to the process of biological nitrogen fixation by cyanobacteria. This was the first report, which recognized the agronomic potential of cyanobacteria in India. The widespread application of single element fertilizers (especially N in Asian countries) in the cultivation of major crops has led to accelerated nutrient imbalances and poor soil fertility. In the current scenario therefore, an urgent need has been felt to deploy microbial bio-fertilizer which are multifaceted such as cyanobacterial biofertilizer. As yet for substitution of chemical fertilizers by microbial biofertilizers many studies have been done. Gupta & Shukla (1967) studied the algal influence on growth, yield and protein content of maize plants and showed that pre-soaking maize seeds with BGA cultures or extractsenhances germination, promotes the growth of roots and shoots, and increases the weight and protein content of the grain.

Svirec et al. (1997) also reported that plant growth was enhanced in the presence of cyanobacterium, even without organic N fertilizer application. Beneficial effects of cyanobacterial inoculation were reported, not only for maize, but for other crops such as wheat, soybean, oat, tomato, radish, cotton, sugarcane, chili, bean, muskmelon and lettuce (Venkataraman 1972, Rodger et al. 1979, Singh 1988, Arlet et al. 1995, Thajuddin & Subramanian 2005, Saadatnia & Riahi 2009, Maqubela et al. 2008, Karthikeyan et al. 2007). Several reasons have been proposed for beneficial effects of cyanobacteria on the growth of different plants. The capacity for biosynthesis of growth promoting substances such as auxins, amino acids, sugars and vitamins (Vitamin B12, Folic acid, Nicotinic acid and Pantothanic acid) was reported by Misra & Kaushik (1989 a, b) that can enhance growth of plant. Additionally, cyanobacteria excrete complex organic carbon compounds that bind to the soil particles and improve soil aggregation, hence improve soil structure, soil permeability and water holding capacity of soil (Kaushik 2007). However, to date, the effect of single species cyanobacteria biofertilizer on plant growth has not yet been fully investigated. The primary aim of this research was to study cyanobacteria species isolated from soil.

There are numerous works about roles of blue-green algae on growth of maize fields. Increase in use of synthetic fertilizers in the field badly affected the fertility of the soil. These synthetic fertilizers are effecting on flora and fauna of the field responsible for productivity of the crop plants. Most of the farmers are utilizing these fertilizers blindly to increase productivity. To check proper dosage and relative abundance of the blue green algae efforts were made to evaluate abundance of blue green algae from Mohol tehsil of Solapur district.

2. Materials and Methods

Soil samples were collected from the depth of 0–5 cm on several maize fields in Moholtahasilos Solapur district of Maharashtra. (Rangaswamy 1996).

- Isolation of cyanobacteria:
Soil samples were transferred to sterile Petridishes and added to them sterilized BG-11 medium with pH: 7.1. The Petri dishes were placed in a culture chamber at 25°C and a
12/12 h light dark cycle atartificial illumination (2000–2500 Lux) for two weeks. After colonization, for purification, identification and multiplication of colonies, a part of each colony was removed by a loop and transferred to a new plate. After purification of taxa, taxonomic determination was carried out by light microscopy and based on Desikachary (1959), Prescott (1970) and Wehr et al. (2002) and corrected based on algaebase website (www.algaebase.org).

3. Results

In the present study, seven taxa of heterocystous and 12 taxa of non-heterocystous cyanophyta were identified. Nostocaceae with four genera and seven species, Oscillatoriaceae with three genera and six species and Chroococcaceae with four genera and six species were included in the list of isolates (Table 1).

### Table 1: The list of Cyanobacterial taxa occurred in Mohol Tahasil

| Genus               | Oscillatoriaceae          | Chroococcaceae          | Anabaena vaginicola F.E. Fritsch & Rich |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Cylindrospermum     | Oscillatoria angustissima W.W est & G.S. West | | |
| Nodularia           | Oscillatoria chilensis Biswas | | |
| Lyngbya             | Phormidium microscor CHroococcus minimus (Keissler) Lemmermann | | |
| Phormidium          | Phormidium turgidum Cylindrospermum Chroococcus minimus (Keissler) Lemmermann | | |
| Anabaena vaginicola | Chlorococcus minimus (Keissler) Lemmermann | | |

Among these taxa, three species of heterocystous cyanobacteria, Anabaena vaginicola, Nostoc sp. and Nodularia harveyana, which were isolated from maize field soils.

### Table 2: Total percent abundance of cyanobacteria genera (summed up over all locations)

| Genus              | Anagar | Aasti | Penar | Kamati | Total No. of species | Percent abundance |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|----------------------|------------------|
| Anabaena           | +      | +     | +     | +      | 2                    | 5.2              |
| Nostoc             | +      | +     | +     | +      | 4                    | 21               |
| Cylindrospermum    | -      | -     | +     | +      | 1                    | 5.2              |
| Nodularia          | +      | +     | +     | +      | 2                    | 10.6             |
| Oscillatoria       | +      | +     | -     | +      | 2                    | 5.2              |
| Lyngbya            | +      | +     | +     | +      | 1                    | 5.2              |
| Phormidium         | -      | -     | -     | -      | 3                    | 16               |
| Chroococcus        | +      | +     | +     | +      | 3                    | 16               |
| Aphanothece        | +      | +     | +     | +      | 1                    | 5.2              |
| Gloeocapsa         | +      | +     | -     | +      | 1                    | 5.2              |
| Total              | 22     |       |       |        | 100                  |                  |

Abundance of these species was studied of these species. It was observed that Nostoc was most abundant with 25% occurrence followed by Phormidium and Chroococcus up to 16 percentage followed by Oscillatoria with 10.6%. This was followed by Anabaena, Cylindrospermum, Nodularia, Aphanothece, Gloeocapsa and Gloeothece with 5.2%.

4. Conclusion

From the above results it could be concluded that Nostoc is the dominating blue green algae plays its role in productivity of maize crop. Promidium and Chroococcus are the second largest species play their vital role productivity of crops and are the second largest group of blue green algae. If dose of synthetic utilized properly, they may nurture are favorable for the growth of blue green algae. This will lead to fertility of soil and will definitely effect on productivity of crop plants such as Maize.
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Figure: A, *Anabaena inaequalis*; B, *Anabaena fuellbornii*; C, *Nostoc pruniforme* D, *Calothrix fusca* E, *Cylindrospermum*
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