Metal halide perovskite: a game-changer for photovoltaics and solar devices via a tandem design
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ABSTRACT
Multi-junction tandem design has been proven to be an effective means to further improve the efficiency of solar cells. However, its share in the photovoltaics market at present is tiny, since the most efficient tandem device comprises III-V semiconductors, which entail the use of expensive fabrication processes. The advent of perovskite solar cells, which have revitalized the PV field with their unprecedented pace of development, promises to address this bottleneck. Perovskite materials could not only serve as the top subcell absorber for commercial solar cells including Si and copper indium gallium selenide, but could work efficiently as bottom subcells owing to highly tuneable bandgaps which extend down to the range of ~1.2 to 1.5 eV. The highest-efficiency perovskite tandem to date was achieved by pairing a perovskite top cell with a Si bottom cell in a four-terminal configuration, yielding 26.4%. This review gives an overview of recent progress on the main tandem structures, and describes the detailed design improvements that have resulted in new record efficiencies. Ultimately, commercialization of these tandem solar cells relies on the scalability of perovskite technology. We, therefore, highlight the development of large-scale tandems and approaches to produce perovskite modules. We also point out the critical aspects that will require further effort and provide guidelines for future developments. The potential obstacles that will hamper the commercialization of perovskite tandems, if not adequately addressed, namely device stability and toxicity, are then critically examined. Finally, the substantial opportunities that perovskite materials open up for other solar devices with a tandem configuration are mentioned, which are attracting increasing attention.

1. Introduction
The last few decades have witnessed a steady improvement in the performance and cost-effectiveness of the photovoltaic (PV) technology [1], which has become a very competitive and attractive means to produce electricity. However, it is still necessary to reduce the levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) of electricity from photovoltaics. Further boosting the power conversion efficiency (PCE) is a promising way to realize this goal [2,3]. Though the record efficiency of commercial Si modules has reached 22.2%, typical efficiencies are still stagnating at about 17–18% [4]. The latest certified record efficiencies of Si solar cells produced in the lab are 26.6% [5] approaching its practical limit of 29.4% [6], and further improvement is becoming increasingly difficult. A proven strategy to boost the solar efficiency is to employ
a multi-junction tandem design, which has the potential to overcome the thermodynamic Shockley–Queisser (S–Q) limit of single-junction solar cell and result in an efficiency of over 40% [7]. In spite of vigorous attempts to develop different systems, the most successful and commercialized tandem solar cells are based on III-V semiconductors, which, however, involve complicated fabrication processes and costly materials limiting their terrestrial application [8]. Perovskite solar cells (PSCs), a recent entrant on the PV scene, hold substantial promise to address the long-term bottleneck to obtaining low-cost tandem solar cell, thanks to the distinctive properties of perovskite materials [9,10]. PSCs are amenable to ultra-low-cost production due to relatively cheap material costs and simple processing requirements (low-temperature solution process), while perovskite materials fabricated under these conditions maintain extraordinary optoelectronic properties including high absorptivity [11], long carrier diffusion lengths [12] and small exciton binding energy [13,14]. Additionally, the metal halide perovskite crystal structure, usually annotated as ABX$_3$, with common substitutions including methylammonium (MA$^+$), formamidinium (FA$^+$) for the A site, divalent metal ion like lead (Pb$^{2+}$), tin (Sn$^{2+}$) for the B site and halide like Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, I$^-$ on the X site, renders flexibility in compositional engineering and correspondingly facile bandgap tuning in a wide range [15,16]. These not only resulted in stunning progress of the single-junction PSC (efficiency soaring from 3.7% [17] in 2009 to a certified record value of 22.7% [1,18] at present), but also have revolutionized the PV landscape by employing the tandem design.

Theoretically, the tandem design can use as many junctions as necessary to make full use of all photons in order to achieve highest efficiency [8], but in practice fabrication becomes progressively more difficult due to the incompatibility between each subcell, such as depositing high-quality top cell layers without compromising the bottom ones. During approximately three years’ development, successful fabrication of tandems involving perovskite has only covered the double-junction design, though a simulation of a triple-junction tandem solar cell is reported by the two-terminal (2-T) mechanically stacked (Figure 1(a)), the four-terminal mechanically stacked (Figure 1(b)), and the spectral splitting systems (Figure 1(c)). Each structure has its own advantages and constraints as well, as summarized below.

2. Efficiency progress of perovskite-based tandem solar cell

2.1. Architecture

The energy losses of the single-junction solar cell originate from the unabsorbed long-wavelength photons beyond the bandgap absorption threshold, and the thermalization of excess energy in the wavelength region below the bandgap absorption threshold [48]. The tandem design can better utilize the solar energy so that high-energy photons are absorbed by the upper wide bandgap subcell, while those in longer wavelength region are harnessed by the bottom narrow bandgap subcell. The configurations of tandem solar cells can be divided into a few categories and the mainstream includes the two-terminal (2-T) monolithically integrated (Figure 1(a)), the four-terminal mechanically stacked (Figure 1(b)), and the spectral splitting systems (Figure 1(c)). Each structure has its own advantages and constraints as well, as summarized below.

The 2-T tandem solar cell uses an interconnection layer (namely a tunnelling junction or a recombination layer) to combine two subcells, and produces one
open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$, usually the sum of the $V_{oc}$ of the two subcells). 2-T tandems have fewer layers that parasitically absorb in the device, while it is very sensitive to the spectrum variation (morning to afternoon or different season), therefore its energy output will be limited [49]. Additionally, 2-T tandems have constraints due to the stringent current matching requirement and the difficulty in fabrication compatibility between each subcell. As the current is limited by the cell with lower current, the efficiency profile shows strong sensitivity with respect to the bandgap of each subcell, making the optimum bandgap fall in a narrow range. This renders significant difficulty for fabrication, as precise control of the compositions is required.

The 4-T tandem design has much reduced constraints, enabling easier prototyping. This is also revealed by faster progress of the 4-T perovskite tandems than that of the 2-T, as shown in the following (Figure 2). Additionally, there is no need for an interconnection layer between the two subcells, which is usually a complicated part in the device as an appropriate interlayer must meet strict optical and electrical requirement (such as high transparency and conductivity). The choice for such efficient interlayer is still limited to a few types including the tunnelling junction usually employed in III-V semiconductor tandems [8,50,51] and the recombination layer such as transparent conductive oxide (indium tin oxide, ITO; indium-doped zinc oxide, IZO) [25,52] and thin metal films [53]. Each subcell in a 4-T tandem is fabricated on a separate substrate, which therefore not only constitutes as an additional cost to the tandem system but also induces more light losses.

Another 4-T tandem is the spectrum splitting configuration, which reflects majority of the shorter wavelength in the solar spectrum to the top cell, while efficiently transmitting the rest of the longer wavelength to the bottom cell (Figure 1(c)). This system also offers advantages in terms of flexibility for fabricating and optimizing the individual devices. A dichroic mirror is usually used as an optical splitter to manage the spectral reflectance and transmittance, directing the photons of different wavelengths to the most appropriate solar cell. The wavelength of the threshold of the mirror could be largely affected by the material compositions of the film used in the dichroic mirror and the placement angle. So far, this method has resulted in the highest reported efficiency for a perovskite/Si tandem of ~28%, though the efficiency is mostly contributed by the Si solar cell (20.5%) [54]. The primary obstacle for the commercialization of this avenue is the need for an efficient spectrum splitter, which significantly increases the cost of the system. A modified method to avoid this obstacle is to use the perovskite cell as a reflective filter to absorb short wavelength light and reflect long-wavelength light to the bottom cell [55]. However, these spectrum-splitting approaches are sensitive to the angular distribution of incoming light and would thus require a tracking system. Considering the much fewer studies compared to the other two counterparts, this structure won’t be discussed in this review.

### 2.2. Overview of the perovskite tandem progress

To minimize the energy loss, and in turn to maximize the PCE, the bandgap of each subcell needs to be optimized. Simulation results show that the highest efficiencies for the two-junction tandem solar cells can be reached with a bottom subcell bandgap of 0.9–1.2 eV, and a top subcell bandgap of 1.5–1.8 eV [56]. In this case, a variety of narrow bandgap subcell candidates...
2.3. Perovskite/Si tandem solar cell

In addition to being the vast majority of the PV market, Si solar cells have a bandgap of ~1.1 eV, almost ideal to serve as the bottom subcell in a two-junction tandem structure. Perovskite/Si tandem design has been considered to be the most promising approach to commercialize the perovskite technology, as they promise a significantly higher efficiency based on an extension of the current Si cell manufacturing processes and infrastructure with relatively little modification required. Therefore, it is considered to be the least risky strategy to plan the commercialization of the perovskite technology at the present stage. Some companies are also focusing on converting the perovskite/Si tandem technology into commercial products [60,61].

2.3.1. 4-T perovskite/Si tandem solar cell

Among all the tandem configurations, the 4-T perovskite/Si tandem solar cell has developed the fastest and shows the highest efficiency, as revealed by Figure 2. The complexity of this tandem structure mainly lies on the fabrication of semi-transparent perovskite top subcell, which is required to show both high efficiency and high transparency in the long-wavelength region to allow as many sub-bandgap photons as possible to be transmitted to the bottom cell. The first 4-T perovskite/Si yielding an efficiency of 13.4% was reported by Löper et al., which was restricted by the poor efficiency of

including Si, CIGS, perovskite and organic photovoltaics (OPV) are considered to be suitable. At present, the top wide bandgap perovskite subcells involve the most widely reported normal bandgap lead iodide perovskite (~1.6 eV) and the wide bandgap cell based on mixed halide (bromide/iodine, Br/I) perovskite. Combined with the versatility in the tandem configurations, there have been a number of different tandem devices reported to achieve high efficiencies. These tandems have been developed in parallel and have demonstrated very fast improvement in a short period, as shown in Figure 2. Among all types of tandems, the 4-T perovskite/Si tandem realizes the highest efficiency, with a value reaching up to 26.4% [22]. We note that since the publication of the latest review on perovskite-based tandems on May 16 this year, there have been a number of new record efficiencies that have already emerged, such as the above-mentioned 26.4% for 4-T perovskite/Si tandem [22], 21.0% for 4-T perovskite/perovskite tandem [38] and 18.5% for the 2-T perovskite/perovskite tandem [31]. Concurrently, the large-area perovskite-based tandem has also received much more attention leading to fast progress, e.g. a 12.96 cm² monolithic perovskite/Si tandem cell with a steady-state efficiency of 18% has been just reported [57]. Breakthroughs arrive every few months, arousing substantial interest in the PV field. The explanation for the progress of each structure will be elaborated in the following sections.

Figure 2. Efficiency evolution of tandem solar cells involving inorganic–organic metal–halide perovskites: 4-T perovskite/Si (record of 26.4% [22]), 2-T perovskite/Si (record of 23.6% [58]), 4-T perovskite/CIGS (record of 22.1% [37]), 2-T perovskite/CIGS (record of 18.5% [59]), 4-T perovskite/perovskite (record of 21.0% [38]), 2-T perovskite/perovskite (record of 18.5% [31]), and 2-T perovskite/organic-polymer (OPV) (record of 16% [35]), and the record efficiency of the perovskite single-junction solar cell (22.1% [1]). Notes: KRICT: Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology; UNIST: Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology; ANU: Australian National University; Stanford: Stanford University; ASU: Arizona State University; EMPA: Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology; U. Toledo: University of Toledo; U. Valencia: University of Valencia; U. Mass: University of Massachusetts Amherst; IBM Watson: T. J. Watson Research Center. The data are collected according to the online publication date. Note that some of these record efficiencies are certified while the others are not. For the present record efficiencies, the 23.6% for the 2-T perovskite/Si tandem, 21% for the 4-T perovskite/perovskite tandem, and 22.1% for the single-junction perovskite solar cell have been certified.
perovskite top cell of only 6.2% coupled with a low transmittance of around 55% in the long-wavelength region [36]. Shortly after this, significant progress was made with the semi-transparent subcell efficiency reaching up to 12.7% and a peak transmission at 800 nm of ~77% with Ag nanowires as the transparent contact. The overall 4-T perovskite/Si tandem efficiency, therefore, leaped to 17% [24]. Compared to the opaque PSC where the contact is made of full area metal (such as gold, silver, etc.), the use of a transparent contact electrode is usually accompanied with considerable efficiency drop, originating from a series of loss channels such as high recombination rate on the interface with the hole transport material (HTM), large electrical loss due to inferior conductivity of the as-deposited film without special treatment, and also significant current loss (up to ~2 to 3 mA cm⁻²) due to a loss of a reflective rear electrode [125]. Therefore, the development of the 4-T perovskite-based tandem is largely driven by the application of high-quality and efficient transparent contact materials, aside from the improvement of the perovskite film and charge selective material qualities. Chen et al. developed an ultrathin metal electrode composed of Cu/Ag, which resulted in a 16.5% semi-transparent PSC and yielded a tandem efficiency of 23.0% [62]. Werner et al. further pushed the 4-T perovskite/Si tandem efficiency to 25.2% by developing In₂O₃:H/ITO as the transparent contact layer, before which MoO₃ is deposited on top of the HTM to provide physical protection from sputtering damage [63]. Notably, the high efficiency delivered in this work is also ascribed to the enhanced uniformity of the electron transport layer (ETL) stack and reduced shunt paths by introducing a thin SnO₂ layer between the front ITO and PEIE(polyethylenimine)/PCBM (phenyl-C₆₁-butyric acid methyl ester) layers.

As explained above, the theoretical optimum bandgap for the top cell in a Si-based tandem is 1.7–1.8 eV [39,49]. CsPbI₃ has a highly suitable bandgap of 1.73 eV [64], but poor phase stability is considered to be a major obstacle to make efficient CsPbI₃ perovskite solar cells. The most convenient approach to tune the bandgap of perovskite is to use mixed halide (mainly I and Br) perovskites. For the inorganic perovskite CsPb(I₁₋ₓBrₓ)₃, the bandgap can be tuned from 1.73 to 2.38 eV. The highest efficiency has been raised to 11.8% recently based on CsPbI₃Br with a bandgap of ~1.92 eV, which is still far from satisfactory for highly efficient tandems [65]. Similarly, the bandgap of MAPb(I₁₋ₓBrₓ)₃ varies from ~1.57 to 2.29 eV when x increases from 0 to 1, correlating well with the change in the pseudo-cubic lattice parameter of the material. Targeting a bandgap of ~1.75 eV Pb mixed-halide perovskite with MA as cation, the atomic ratio of I to Br could be tuned to be around 1.8:1.2 [66]. These materials have been widely reported to show poor photostability and thermal stability [67], due to high intrinsic energetic disorder and serious phase segregation [23]. In case of FAPb(I₁₋ₓBrₓ)₃, a non-perovskite phase is formed within a range of x from 0.3 to 0.5, due to the crystal phase transition from trigonal (x < 0.3) to cubic (x > 0.5) structure when iodide is replaced by bromide. McMeekin et al. partially addressed this issue by employing a mixture of FA and Cs, resulting in a highly crystalline and compositionally photostable material of FAPb(0.85Cs0.15)Br1.8I1.2 [23]. Based on a small area of 0.0919 cm⁻², an impressive efficiency of ~17% has been achieved for the opaque device, and semi-transparent devices reached an efficiency of 15.1%. Correspondingly, a Si heterojunction (SHJ) solar cell with an efficiency of ~19.2% retains up to 7.3% efficiency after filtering with this wide bandgap perovskite solar cell. However, the perovskite solar cells reported in this work showed severe hysteresis behaviour in both opaque and transparent structures. This could be due to the perovskite material quality or the interface with underlying layers [68–70].

In 2017, Duong et al. developed a quadruple-cation perovskite (Cs/Rb/FA/MA) to mitigate the hysteresis and fabricated a wide bandgap (1.72 eV) perovskite solar cell with negligible hysteresis. The structure of the top perovskite solar cell can be found in Figure 3(a), where the mesoporous and compact TiO₂ was used as the ETL and poly(triarylamine) (PTAA) was used as the hole transport layer (HTL). Incorporation of Rb into the crystals to tune the tolerance factor has been found to be the primary reason for inhibition of the hysteresis, though the fundamental mechanism is still under investigation. The stabilized efficiency of the opaque device reaches over 17% and the semi-transparent device using MoO₃/ITO as the transparent contact shows an efficiency of 16.0% (Figure 3(c)). Due to an average transparency of over 80% in the long-wavelength region from ~700 to 1200 nm (the peak transmittance reaches 84%, Figure 3(c)), the Si solar cell with interdigitated back contact (IBC) after filtering demonstrates an efficiency of 10.4% from its original value of 23.9%, resulting in the record efficiency of the perovskite/Si tandem reaching up to 26.4%. With an absolute efficiency gain of 2.5% compared to the Si stand-alone device, this almost matched the record efficiency of Si single-junction device [5].

### 2.3.2 2-T perovskite/Si tandem solar cell

The progress of 2-T perovskite/Si is also impressive, though the practical efficiency still lags that of the 4-T counterpart. This is understandable as the former structure strictly requires current matching and process compatibility between the two subcells. 2-T perovskite/Si tandem has been developed based on both crystalline Si homojunction and heterojunction solar cells. Notably, the former has dominated the PV industry with a global market share of approximately 93%, urging to integrate this commercially available product with high-efficiency perovskite solar cells and hence achieve low levelized
c-Si solar cells, which enables efficient vertical charge transport for the bottom cells. This breakthrough indicates high possibility for commercialising efficient and inexpensive perovskite/c-Si tandem solar cells.

Another scheme for 2-T perovskite/Si tandem is based on Si heterojunction solar cell, which resulted in the record efficiency but can only be processed under low-temperature conditions. In late 2015, Albrecht and co-workers reported the first monolithic perovskite/Si-heterojunction tandem solar cells with an efficiency of ~18% [52]. The top perovskite subcell also had an n-i-p structure, while SnO2 fabricated at low temperature was used as the ETL, enabling high efficiency of over 16% for the semi-transparent perovskite stand-alone device. ITO was used as the recombination layer that combined the two cells, which allows the completion of the Si cell with passivation and thus high voltage output of 703 mV. One month after this, Werner et al. reported efficiencies of up to 21.2 and 19.2% for tandem cells with areas of 0.17 and 1.22 cm², respectively, partially due to the application of IZO as the recombination layer which is much more conductive than the ITO interlayer [25].

In 2017, the efficiency of 2-T perovskite/Si tandem was further boosted to 23.6%, based on the device.
structure shown in Figure 4(a) [58]. It combines an infrared-tuned silicon heterojunction bottom cell with the more-stable Cs/FA lead halide perovskite. This perovskite tolerates deposition of a SnO₂ buffer layer via atomic layer deposition that prevents shunts, has negligible parasitic absorption, and allows for the sputtering deposition of a transparent top electrode ITO (refer to the SnO₂/ZTO/ITO/LiF structure in Figure 4(a)). It should be noted that such a design is beneficial for thermal and environmental stability, resulting in devices that withstand a 1000-h damp heat test at 85 °C and 85% relative humidity, which is a stability landmark in perovskite technology.

When fabricating the perovskite subcell in the tandem devices, especially depositing the contact materials, the vacuum-deposition processes are usually employed which increases the manufacturing cost. Therefore, developing all-solution-process is desirable and attracting. Though efforts devoted to this field are currently much fewer, an impressive efficiency has been obtained recently by Rundong Fan and colleagues who fabricate the key components in their 2-T perovskite/Si tandem solar cell, including tunnelling junction and the perovskite absorber [73]. By carefully controlling the optical coupling between the top and the bottom subcells, they demonstrated an efficiency of over 20% for 2-T perovskite/Si device based on solution-processed perovskite subcells.

### 2.4. Perovskite/CIGS tandem solar cells

Perovskite/CIGS all-thin-film tandem solar cells have some unique advantages as they could be manufactured on thin, lightweight and flexible substrates in a cost-effective manner, therefore, offering a wide range of application opportunities, including building integrated photovoltaics (BIPV) and mobile devices. Similar to the perovskite/Si tandem, studies on the perovskite/CIGS tandem solar cell involve both the 4-T and 2-T configurations, and the former has achieved higher efficiencies. Bailie et al. firstly integrated a semi-transparent n-i-p PSC based on a silver nanowire contact with an efficiency of 12.7% and peak transmission of 77% at around 800 nm, demonstrating a perovskite/CIGS tandem with

![Figure 4](imageurl). (a) Schematic of the 2-T perovskite/silicon tandem solar cell (not to scale) with Si heterojunction solar cell as the bottom subcell. (b) Optical microscopy image of the silicon-nanoparticle (SiNP)-patterned rear side of the silicon cell before silvering. (c) Cross-sectional SEM image of the perovskite top cell, (d) the rear side of the silicon cell in an area with no silicon nanoparticles, and (e) the rear side of the silicon cell in an area with silicon nanoparticles. (f) J-V curve (NREL-certified) and efficiency at the maximum power point (inset) of the champion tandem device. (g) Total absorbance (1-R, where R is the reflectance; dashed grey line), EQE of the perovskite top cell (solid blue line), and EQE of the silicon bottom cell (solid red line).

Notes: The sum of the EQEs is denoted by the solid grey line. The light and dark grey shaded areas represent the light lost to reflection and parasitic absorption, respectively, and the associated current density losses are indicated. Reproduced from Ref. [58], Copyright 2017 Nature Publishing Group.
integration in the following sequence: transparent conducting electrode/PCBM/perovskite/poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS)/ITO/CdS/CIGS/Mo/Si3N4/glass \[27\]. The bottom CIGS absorber has a bandgap of ~1.04 eV for improving the photon management in the long-wavelength region (extending to over 1200 nm). Bandgap tuning for the top perovskite solar cell was comprehensively carried out for better current matching. With better understanding about materials, especially wide-bandgap materials, along with more advanced techniques for fabricating a semitransparent device, the 2-T perovskite/CIGS can reach higher efficiency. Uhl et al. recently projected an efficiency of 18.5% for 2-T perovskite/CIGS by using a highly efficient semi-transparent p-i-n PSC with C60/bis-C60/ITO as the ETL and perovskite with optimized bandgap to match the CIGS solar cell \[59\]. We note that the efficiency was projected by measuring the perovskite and CIGS solar cell separately rather than from a complete monolithic cell.

2.5. Perovskite-perovskite tandem

An ‘all-perovskite tandem’ design is an attractive solution to advance solar cell efficiency beyond the S–Q limit, while preserving the low-cost advantages of perovskites \[30\]. The best wide bandgap perovskites to date are based on the lead Br/I mixtures, which have undergone intense investigation especially for fabricating highly efficient perovskite/Si tandem solar cell and achieved relatively satisfactory efficiencies of ~17% with ~1.75 eV bandgap \[22,23\]. Therefore, fabrication of efficient perovskite/perovskite tandem solar cells largely depends on the development of narrow-bandgap perovskites.

Substituting Pb with Sn to form a Pb-free material can extend the absorption range to over 1000 nm \[16\] and potentially yield a narrow-bandgap perovskite. With an initial efficiency of less than 5.8% reported in 2014, Noel et al. achieved similar efficiency of 6.4% using MASnI3.
with Cl doping in the same year [78]. Nevertheless, Sn\textsuperscript{2+} in the perovskite is easily oxidized to Sn\textsuperscript{4+} by less than 0.1% O\textsubscript{2} [79]. Sn\textsuperscript{4+} can heavily dope the material and degrade the solar cell performance, and the as-prepared device is extremely unstable [80]. The addition of SnF\textsubscript{2} decreases the tin vacancy concentration by filling up the degenerate energy levels, and improves the photovoltaic performance [81]. Other strategies such as solvent-engineering have also been found to be beneficial for controlling the crystallization process for the pure Sn-based perovskite and producing more efficient solar cells [82]. Despite this, the efficiency for the solar cells based on pure-Sn perovskite has almost stagnated over the past three years.

A breakthrough was made in 2016 on the narrow bandgap absorber by forming the Sn/Pb binary perovskite, which has a tuneable bandgap spanning from \~1.15 to \~1.5 eV. The minimum bandgap of the Sn/Pb binary perovskite is even lower than that of the pure-Sn perovskite, thanks to the anomalous bandgap bowing effect (namely lack of conformity with Vegard’s law) [30]. Zhao et al. systematically studied the correlation between the structural and photophysical properties of MA(Pb\textsubscript{x}Sn\textsubscript{1-x})\textsubscript{I}\textsubscript{3} \(0 \leq x \leq 1\) perovskites by substituting Sn with Pb [83]. The 60% and 80% Sn content materials have bandgaps of \~1.27 and 1.19 eV, respectively. It is notable that the minimum bandgap of Sn/Pb binary perovskite also falls in the region that is predicted to have the highest efficiency as the bottom subcell of the two-junction tandem. In addition, devices based on the Sn/Pb binary perovskite materials also exhibit much better PV performance and stability than those based on pure Sn perovskites. A Pb-assisted two-step method was proposed by Li and co-workers to fabricate high-quality MAPb\textsubscript{0.75}Sn\textsubscript{0.25}I\textsubscript{3} perovskite film, which showed regular crystalline grains with a flat and compact morphology as well as full coverage on the planar PEDOT:PSS substrate [84]. The corresponding devices showed a remarkable PCE of 13.6% with high reproducibility. Liu et al. fabricated PSCs based on uniform MAPb\textsubscript{0.75}Sn\textsubscript{0.25}I\textsubscript{3} (bandgap of \~1.3 eV) film by the solvent-engineering approach and consequently realized a PCE of 14.12% [85]. Liao et al. innovatively used the precursors combining FASnI\textsubscript{3} and MAPbI\textsubscript{3} and pushed the efficiency of over 15% with a composition of (FASnI\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{0.6}(MAPbI\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{0.4} absorber with an absorption edge of \~1.2 eV [86]. Recently, the same group realized a record efficiency of 17.5% with the same composition and similar device structure but optimizing the perovskite thickness as well as improving the carrier lifetimes via film crystallinity, which effectively enhanced the EQE in the IR wavelength range of 700 to over 1000 nm [38].

Due to the independence between the subcells in a 4-T tandem, any improvement achieved for either subcell will result in enhancement in the 4-T tandem efficiency. Thus, the abovementioned record efficiency for the Sn/Pb binary perovskite single-junction device also resulted in a record efficiency of 21% for the 4-T perovskite/perovskite tandem [38]. It should be pointed out that an appropriate bandgap for the bottom subcell is also necessary to guarantee the high efficiency for tandem, which was 1.25 eV in this work (Figure 6).

As the perovskite material cannot withstand high temperature treatment, any material involved in the perovskite/perovskite tandem must be deposited at low temperature. This makes many of the efficient charge transport materials that lead to high efficiency PSC unsuitable. However, the low fabrication temperature makes the device compatible with a wide range of substrates, including flexible substrates and textiles. Forgács et al. fabricated a 2-T perovskite–perovskite tandem using the vacuum deposition method, and achieved an efficiency of 18% [33]. There is still substantial space for improving this efficiency, as the combination of bandgaps of the two subcells (top cell bandgap of 2.0 eV and the bottom bandgap of \~1.6 eV) is non-ideal. Eperon et al. circumvented this issue by using a 1.8 eV top subcell and a 1.2 eV bottom subcell, and achieved 17%-efficient efficiency.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** (a) Cross-sectional SEM image of a PSC with a 620 nm-thick (FASnI\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{0.6}(MAPbI\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{0.4} absorber. (b) J–V curves of the semi-transparent wide-bandgap (1.58 eV) PSC under glass/FTO illumination and the narrow-bandgap (1.25 eV) PSC with and without the semi-transparent wide-bandgap PSC optical filter. (c) EQE spectra of semi-transparent wide-bandgap (1.58 eV) PSC top cell and filtered narrow-bandgap (1.25 eV) PSC bottom cell. The integrated \(J_{SC}\) over a 100 mWcm\textsuperscript{-2} AM1.5G solar spectrum for the 1.58 eV top cell and the filtered 1.25 eV bottom cell is 20.0 and 4.8 mAcm\textsuperscript{-2}, respectively.

Note: Reproduced from Ref. [38], Copyright 2017 Nature Publishing Group.
2-T perovskite/perovskite tandem using solution processing [30]. Recently, the record efficiency has reached 18.5% for the 2-T perovskite/perovskite tandem solar cell by Rajagopal and co-workers, after cautious control of the bandgap and film thickness of each subcell to approach current matching (Figure 7). Notably, a high $V_{oc}$ of 0.84 V was achieved for a small bandgap of $\sim$1.2 eV, by using a fullerene variant, indene-C$_{60}$bis-adi-duct, which facilitates higher quasi-Fermi level splitting, reduces non-radiative recombination, and alleviates hysteresis instabilities. Correspondingly, a high $V_{oc}$ of 1.98 V (approaching 80% of the theoretical limit) was obtained for the as-prepared 2-T perovskite/perovskite tandem, which is much superior compared to that of 2-T perovskite/Si tandem which was reported to be in the range of 1.7–1.8 eV [25,52,58]. The current and FF are the main parameters that require greater improvement for 2-T perovskite/perovskite tandem, which rely on further development of the narrow bandgap perovskite subcell.

3. Large-scale device design

The ultimate application of perovskite solar cells either in single-junction or tandem configuration relies on the scalability of the perovskite technology. However, the majority of the abovementioned record efficiencies are based on devices with an active size generally in the range of 0.1–0.3 cm$^2$. The large area was usually around 1 cm$^2$, far away from the 6-inch size usually used for Si solar cell and the m$^2$ scale required for modules. Scaling up the perovskite technology and maintaining high efficiency over large areas will lead to challenges including how to deposit large-area perovskite thin films with high uniformity, how to improve the reproducibility of cells and how to reduce electrical losses by designing the interconnection of single cells to make large modules.

3.1. Efficient large-scale perovskite devices

A simple way to deposit perovskite film in the laboratory is spin-coating the precursor with the help of an anti-solvent to control the crystallization process. However, this method is unfavourable for scaling up because it not only tends to produce non-uniform large-area films, but also wastes a lot of perovskite solution and is very sensitive to many technical conditions such as humidity, vapour pressure and the anti-solvent dropping time [87]. Some other industry-friendly deposition techniques have been exploited, including vacuum flash [88], hot-casting [89], blade coating [90–95], spray-coating [96–99], slot-die coating technologies [100,101] and pressure-assisted process [102], bridging the gap between academic research and its industrial counterpart. In the following, a few important breakthroughs made for large-scale perovskite deposition with different fabrication methods will be introduced.

Figure 7. (a) Device structure and (b) cross-sectional SEM image of a characteristic device. (c) Corresponding difference in subcell photocurrent ($J_{ph,f} - J_{ph,b}$), where contour line representing $J_{ph,f} - J_{ph,b} = 0$ (ideal current matching) is highlighted and region corresponding to thickness combination used in this work (190 ± 10 nm and 350 ± 10 nm) is marked. (d) Typical $J$–$V$ characteristics of 2-terminal tandem solar cell along with that of the small- and large-bandgap single-junction solar cells with Ag electrode. (e) EQE spectra of individual subcells in 2-T monolithic tandem. (f) Steady-state current under AM1.5 illumination at an applied voltage corresponding to maximum power point (MPP) of tandem solar cells. 

Note: Reproduced from Ref. [31], Copyright 2017 Wiley.
The vacuum-flash solution process consists of two stages, with the precursor solution spin-coating followed by applying a vacuum-flash treatment to enable a sudden and well-controlled removal of solvent [88,103,104]. This treatment is, therefore, able to boost the rapid crystallization of the perovskite precursor phase. Li and co-workers fabricated PSCs using this method with an aperture area exceeding 1 cm² and optimized a series of fabrication conditions including the vacuum pressure and the composition. Eventually, they demonstrated a maximum efficiency of 20.5% and a certified PCE of 19.6% over a 1 cm² device [88]. Ding et al. also achieved efficiency up to 19.0% with a large area of 1.13 cm² by employing the same method [103]. Notably, they also found that high-quality perovskite films can be prepared using this method in air with a relative humidity of 45–55%.

Hot-casting process is performed by coating the perovskite solution on a pre-heated substrate, which can facilitate the film formation and crystallization. In 2015, Nie et al. first raised the idea to use the hot-casting process to prepare continuous and pinhole-free perovskite film [105]. They fabricated planar devices with small area, which showed an efficiency approaching 18% with high reproducibility and hysteresis-free photovoltaic response. This method produced large, millimetre-scale, perovskite grains which have reduced bulk defects and improved charge carrier mobility. Liao et al. further employed this method to fabricate large-scale perovskite solar cells by incorporating Cl⁻ in the crystal, and obtained 15.4% for a 1 cm² device and 12% for a module with an area of 5 cm × 5 cm [89]. A drawback of both methods mentioned above is that they still involve a spin-coating step, which cannot be used for making highly efficient large-scale (m²) devices.

Blading-coating, another solution-based method, does not have the above constraint. This method includes spreading the solution on the substrate with a blade, followed by post-treatment. Razza et al. fabricated perovskite using sequential step deposition, where the PbI₂ film was deposited using blade coating and then dipped in a MAI solution [93]. A module efficiency of 10.4% was obtained for a 10.1 cm² active area, and an efficiency of 4.3% was measured for a module area of 100 cm². Meanwhile, blade coating of perovskite films in air was developed by Kim and co-workers with a respectable efficiency of ~10%. Importantly, it was also found that the as-prepared device showed much better stability under ambient conditions [92]. Tang et al. carried out compositional engineering of doctor-bladed PSC by incorporating a small amount of Cs⁺ and Br⁻ ions into perovskite precursor solution, which reduced the required film formation temperature. Pinhole-free perovskite thin films with micrometer-sized grains have been obtained with the assistance of secondary grain growth with MACl added into the precursor solution.

PSC using these blade-coated thin films achieved a highest stabilized PCE of 19.3%, albeit for a small area device. Nevertheless, this represents a significant step toward scalable manufacture of PSC [91].

Using an ultrasonic spray-coating method, Barrows et al. made a first optimization of processing parameters (including temperature of the substrate, the volatility of the casting solvent and the post deposition anneal) under ambient conditions for the fabrication of planar MAPbI₃ perovskite solar cells [97]. With the creation of dense perovskite films, PCE of up to 11% was realized. Tait and co-workers showed the versatility of concurrently pumped ultrasonic spray coating by rapidly and precisely optimizing precursor ratios to achieve highly crystalline and pinhole-free layers, and fabricated the first perovskite solar modules (3.8 cm²) with an efficiency of 11.7% [98]. Recently, a certified efficiency of 12.1% for a 16 cm² module was achieved with this method by optimizing the key parameter, namely the spraying pressure [96]. These results demonstrate that spray-coating can be used in the large-area and low-cost manufacture of high efficiency PSC.

A novel deposition route for the large-scale perovskite films that has been recently developed is worth mentioning, as it does not rely on the use of a common solvent or vacuum process [102]. Instead, it relies on the rapid conversion of amine complex precursors to perovskite films, followed by a pressure application step. The detailed process can be found in Figure 8. Using this method, a module with an aperture area of 6.0 cm × 6.0 cm was fabricated with a certified efficiency of 12.1% (Jsc of 73.0 mA, Voc of 8.36 V, and FF of 0.715) on an area of 36.1 cm². This method opens up a new solvent- and vacuum-free approach to the production of uniform thin perovskite films in large scale, and holds substantial potential for propelling the production of perovskite modules and its application in the perovskite tandem modules.

### 3.2. Module design for perovskite device

To circumvent the quadratic scaling of series resistance with the cell width, one method is to physically isolate the large area device into thin strips followed by series connection [106]. Isolation, which requires highly precise alignment to minimize ‘dead-area’ losses, could be achieved by laser ablation, physical scribing, or chemical etching and metal deposition [107]. Laser ablation has been successfully developed to fabricate highly efficient perovskite modules, with the structure of a standard P1, P2 and P3 approach [107]. P1 scribe lines form the front contact isolation between cells, and P2 lines form the interconnection between the front contact and the subsequently deposited back contact. P3 lines remove the back contact and the light absorber to isolate the back contact of the cells, leading to completed series
modules with an active area 50.6 cm² achieved a record PCE of 12.6% [106].

An alternative means to realize efficient large-area cells is to employ metallic grid lines to increase the effective conductivity of the transparent contact electrode. This design reduces the complexity of the fabrication process. Hambsch et al. utilized simple Al grid lines to increase the conductivity of the transparent electrode with the same structure inherited from their design in OPV [109], achieving an efficiency of 6.8% for a PSC with an aperture area of 25 cm² without relying on an interconnected strip design [110]. These grid lines were exposed to UV-ozone plasma to grow a thin Al₂O₃ layer, which dramatically improves the wetting and perovskite interconnection. Moon et al. used laser-scribing techniques to pattern the module segment, reduce the dead area, and optimize the aperture area efficiency. A small fraction of the dead area in the module (less than 16%) has been achieved, which proves that the laser-scribing technology can be adopted for monolithic serial interconnected perovskite modules. Mini-modules with an area of 5 × 5 cm² were fabricated with an efficiency of ~6% [107]. Simulation studies reveal that the optimum width of the subcell in the module strongly depends on the sheet resistance of the TCO [108]. With the same laser patterning method combined with passivation using graphene and related two-dimensional materials at the perovskite/m-TiO₂ interface, perovskite solar modules with an active area 50.6 cm² achieved a record PCE of 12.6% [106].

An alternative means to realize efficient large-area cells is to employ metallic grid lines to increase the effective conductivity of the transparent contact electrode. This design reduces the complexity of the fabrication process. Hambsch et al. utilized simple Al grid lines to increase the conductivity of the transparent electrode with the same structure inherited from their design in OPV [109], achieving an efficiency of 6.8% for a PSC with an aperture area of 25 cm² without relying on an interconnected strip design [110]. These grid lines were exposed to UV-ozone plasma to grow a thin Al₂O₃ layer, which dramatically improves the wetting and perovskite interconnection. Moon et al. used laser-scribing techniques to pattern the module segment, reduce the dead area, and optimize the aperture area efficiency. A small fraction of the dead area in the module (less than 16%) has been achieved, which proves that the laser-scribing technology can be adopted for monolithic serial interconnected perovskite modules. Mini-modules with an area of 5 × 5 cm² were fabricated with an efficiency of ~6% [107]. Simulation studies reveal that the optimum width of the subcell in the module strongly depends on the sheet resistance of the TCO [108].
3.3. Progress of tandem mini-module

In order to make perovskite-based multi-junction devices scalable to large areas, the area of the semi-transparent perovskite top cell needs to be increased, which thus requires the transparent contact layer to be very conductive. However, the trade-off between sheet resistance and optical transparency of the transparent conductive electrode creates challenges for designing large-area semitransparent cells.

A module-on-cell concept where a perovskite solar module with interconnected subcells is combined with a Si solar cell has been demonstrated to fabricate 4-T multi-junction solar modules by Jaisankar and co-workers [111]. They investigated the impact of transparent rear electrode thickness by performing a detailed optoelectronic analysis and then optimized the perovskite solar module design for maximal performance. The highest tandem efficiency for a perovskite/Si tandem mini-module is 20.2% with an active area of 4 cm². In a recent conference presentation, the same group has presented an efficiency of over 23% for the tandem module with the same area of 4 cm² [112]. Concurrently, a 12.96 cm² monolithic tandem cell with a steady-state efficiency of 18% has been recently reported thanks to the employment of an improved recombination junction based on nanocrystalline silicon layers [57]. This layer not only reduces the reflectance and absorption losses compared to the widely used TCO recombination layer, but also has low lateral conductivity enabling the upscaling of monolithic perovskite/Si tandem cells with minimum electrical losses.

A scalable thin-film 4-T perovskite/CIGS photovoltaic module with an area of 3.76 cm² has been presented by Paetzold et al. with a power conversion efficiency of 17.8% [113]. Their prototype outperforms both the record single-junction perovskite solar module of the same area as well as the reference CIGS solar module. Both submodules apply a scalable interconnection scheme that can accommodate scale-up towards square meter scale thin film multi-junction solar modules. It is evident that development of highly performing perovskite-based tandem modules is an important theme that requires more attention in the years to come.

4. Guidelines for improving the tandem efficiencies

Tandems involving perovskite have undergone a meteoric rise since the first report around three years ago [36]. Though it is too early to predict when the theoretical-proven efficiency of 30% could be reached, there have been a few directions that clearly need more intensive study as indicated from experimental reports and detailed optical simulations.

4.1. Highly efficient transparent conductive layer

In all tandem configurations, a key element is an efficient transparent conductive contact material. A range of candidates, including various transparent conducting oxides (TCOs), ultrathin metal layers, silver nanowires (AgNWs), and graphene, have been exploited. TCOs have been the most widely used transparent contact materials, in the cases of both front and rear contacts. TCOs also have a long developing history as a requirement in many other optoelectronic applications [114]. A myriad of TCO candidates including ITO [22,23,36,52,58,115], InO₂:H/ITO [25], IZO, ZnO:Al [26,37], and InO₂:H [26] have been applied in tandems involving perovskite, and all led to impressive efficiencies in different tandem configurations. For instance, both of the record efficiencies of 2-T [58] and 4-T [22] perovskite/Si tandem at the moment are realized using ITO transparent layer as the front contact. TCOs are normally deposited by sputtering, which require meticulous control over the deposition parameters, especially the deposition power and the associated atmosphere pressure. To more completely alleviate the damage caused by the ion bombardment, a protective interlayer, such as MoO₃ or WO₃, is required [116]. However, introduction of these layers induces light loss due to strong absorption. They also raise concerns about the long-term stability by not only being easily oxidized in air, but also reacting with iodine diffusing from the perovskite absorber [117]. Therefore, it is vital to explore more stable and efficient buffer layers. Alternatively, another promising strategy is to deposit inorganic charge transport layers before sputtering the TCOs, which has the potential to mitigate the necessity for the buffer layer. A successful example is the 2-T perovskite/Si tandem with p-i-n perovskite top cell, where a bilayer of SnO₂ and zinc tin oxide (ZTO) was introduced to protect the underlying PCBM organic layer before sputtering ITO [58]. Besides the benefit of robustness towards sputtering, this method is also capable of improving the device stability, and therefore is a promising direction for constructing highly efficient and stable semi-transparent perovskite solar cells.

AgNWs display high transmittance from 300 to 1200 nm and achieve excellent conductivity <20 Ωsq⁻¹. Efforts have been devoted to spin-coating [118] or spraying [28] AgNWs onto the surface of the charge transport material, but this usually deteriorates the underlying layers by using unfavourable solvents. Instead, they could be deposited on a separate flexible substrate first and then transferred to the PSC [24]. Intrinsically, AgNWs are not an ideal candidate as they cause poor stability in PSC mainly attributed to chemical reactions between the
sublimed I and Ag. The as-formed AgI layer is insulating, and thereby increases the sheet resistance and impairs the charge extraction.

Graphene shows exceptional promise as a transparent conductive material, as it demonstrates excellent (90%) transmittance across the whole solar spectrum with little free carrier absorption [119]. However, graphene is typically grown at high temperatures (~1000 °C), and is therefore usually independently fabricated first and then mechanically transferred onto the PSC. Similar to AgNWs, the transfer process is rather complicated and the success rate is usually low. In addition, graphene usually has high native sheet resistance usually in the several hundreds of Ωsq⁻¹. Such issue can be addressed using metallisation with a grid of narrow metal lines, similar to that used for the TCOs.

Ultrathin metal layers with a thickness of usually less than 10 nm have also been used as alternative transparent electrodes, which can be deposited by thermal evaporation and thus do not require buffer layers to protect the underlying layer [62,74,118]. Though the metal-based electrodes have very high conductivity, which can reduce the electrical loss, the optical loss is usually significant, especially in the infrared region. Chen et al. fabricated a semitransparent electrode of Cu (1 nm) and Au (7 nm) with an additional layer of bathocuproine (BCP) to further improve the transmittance of the electrode, but transmission was still limited to 60% in the infrared region [62]. Thus, ultra-thin metal layers are not considered to be the best transparent contact candidate.

4.2. Efficient and stable wide bandgap perovskite as top subcell

An efficient wide bandgap perovskite top subcell is required for any type of tandem. In terms of this, attention has now shifted away from single-cation perovskites to multi-cation, in cases of both normal bandgap and wide bandgap. This also seems to be a promising approach that can dramatically improve the device stability [22,23]. However, the quality of the wide bandgap perovskite is still far away from satisfactory. The highest reported $V_{oc}$ till now for the bandgap in this region is 1.2 V vs. a bandgap of 1.75 eV, indicating a $V_{oc}$ deficit as high as 0.55 V. This is considerably higher than 0.38 V for the normal bandgap perovskite (1.62 eV) [76] and 0.4 V for the narrow bandgap Sn/Pb binary perovskite [38]. Reduction of $V_{oc}$ loss-in-potential for wide-bandgap perovskites is particularly crucial, and it is also important from other perspectives, as this is the major parameter preventing PSCs from reaching their thermodynamic limit. Specific efforts should be devoted to further optimizing the perovskite composition and deposition process to reduce the inherent disorder, and develop more efficient charge transport materials (targeting for better aligned energy levels [120,121]), high charge transport efficiency, low optical loss), and interfacial passivation [122].

Wide bandgap perovskite suffers from similar degradation issues to the normal bandgap perovskite, such as moisture attack on the organic species in the molecule, and bias-induced ion migration. Additionally, wide bandgap perovskite has been widely reported to show a light-induced phase segregation. For instance, for MAPb(1-x)Br_x, the luminescence peak of the perovskite material is red-shifted to 1.68 eV under illumination in less than 5 min, regardless of the halide composition and the original bandgap with 0.2 < x < 1 [67]. Concurrently, growth in sub-bandgap absorption states and splitting of the X-ray diffraction peaks were also observed. Interestingly, such phenomena seem to be largely reversible as the original luminescence peak can be recovered after storing the device in the dark. The luminescence peaks observed after photoexcitation-induced splitting have been ascribed to iodide-rich and bromide-rich regions that result from halide segregation [123]. Light-induced phase segregation depends on the electron–phonon coupling and defect concentration in the material through vacancy-mediated halide migration [23]. Recently, it was also found that the magnitude of the phase segregation depends on the operating condition of the solar cell [124]. Under short-circuit and maximum power point conditions, phase segregation is almost negligible compared to the magnitude of segregation under open-circuit conditions, in the case of quadruple cation perovskite. This emphasizes the fact that tuning the perovskite composition, such as using the multiple cation strategy, has the potential to significantly suppress the light-induced phase segregation by lowering the electron–phonon coupling and the defect density. In addition, growing larger perovskite crystals with lower defect concentration has been shown to bring the same benefit [125].

4.3. Efficient narrow bandgap PSC for perovskite-perovskite tandem

Perovskite/perovskite tandem efficiency is limited by the unsatisfactory efficiency of the narrow bandgap bottom subcell. More generally, studies on perovskites with bandgap somewhat lower than the extensively investigated MAPbI_3 would also be beneficial for constructing the highest single-junction cell. Similar to pure-Pb based perovskite, relatively low loss-in-potential (~0.4 V) has been achieved for the narrow-bandgap Sn/Pb binary perovskite solar cells, with $V_{oc}$ up to 0.85 V vs. a bandgap of ~1.25 eV [38]. In contrast to the pure Pb perovskites which have already resulted in quantum efficiencies approaching 100%, Sn/Pb binary PSCs currently achieve much lower maximum quantum yields of ~80% in the wavelength region of ~400 – 700 nm and ~70% in the long-wavelength region (~700–1000 nm).
Correspondingly, the $J_{sc}$ yield of ~28 mAcm$^{-2}$ is substantially lower than its S–Q value of 41 mAcm$^{-2}$ [38]. The especially low-quantum efficiency in the long-wavelength region is primarily due to insufficient absorption caused by the utilization of relatively thin (~400 nm) Sn/Pb binary perovskite layers. Due to the short carrier lifetime (<20 ns) of Sn/Pb perovskite materials, thick Sn/Pb perovskite layers will readily lead to large carrier recombination rates. Therefore, to produce thicker mixed Sn/Pb perovskite thin films with enhanced carrier lifetimes is important to further enhance the $J_{sc}$ of the as-prepared device, and to fabricate high-quality Sn/Pb binary perovskite by controlling the deposition process will be essential [38].

Improved carrier selective contact materials are also required for the Sn/Pb binary perovskite solar cell. This is revealed by the poor FF of ~0.7 (well below the S–Q limit of 0.87), which is affected by unwanted bulk and interfacial carrier recombination, parasitic resistance and other electrical non-idealities. Until now, most of the contact materials, both ETMs and HTMs, are straightforwardly inherited from pure Pb-based perovskite, and are not well suited to the Sn/Pb binary perovskite. For the ETL, either high-temperature-processed TiO$_2$, which is not compatible for perovskite/perovskite tandem due to the decomposition of the perovskite, or organic transport materials that show low mobility have been reported. For the HTL, low mobility organic materials have been used which again limit the charge extraction efficiency and show poor stability. More crucially, these HTLs usually contain lithium and/or cobalt salt doping to improve the electrical properties of the HTL which can easily damage the Sn perovskite and lead to deterioration of the stability of PSC [16]. Dedicated efforts devoted to this direction are therefore crucial.

4.4. Interconnection layer for 2-T tandem solar cell

In current-matched monolithic tandem solar cells, the hole current from one subcell and the electron current from the other subcell must recombine with high efficiency and minimal loss of electrical potential in a suitably designed interlayer. In the widely explored III–V semiconductor tandem, a tunnel junction is usually applied as an efficient interlayer [19]. In the tunnel junction, degenerately doped p$^{++}$ and n$^{++}$ materials produce a thin junction in which the valence band on the p-side is energetically aligned with the conduction band on the n-side, and the depletion region is sufficiently thin that carriers can tunnel from one side to the other. Meanwhile, in OPVs, recombination layers are incorporated, including thin film metal and transparent conductive layers. So far only established materials that have been used in other tandems have been incorporated in tandems involving perovskites, while little study on exploring new and efficient interconnection layers has been carried out. One progress that is worth mentioning is based on the work by Sahli et al. recently, where they employed an improved recombination junction based on nanocrystalline silicon layers. This design has been proven to be efficient in reducing reflection and parasitic absorption losses in comparison to a commonly used TCO recombination layer [57]. When employed in monolithic perovskite/silicon heterojunction tandem cells with a planar front side, this junction is found to increase the bottom cell photocurrent by more than 1 mA cm$^{-2}$. It needs to be emphasized that development of efficient interlayer is of great importance, as it can both optically and electrically affect the ultimate device performance [126]. Aside from this, when considering the fabrication compatibility between the two subcells, the morphology and the physical/chemical properties are rather important, e.g. depending on the tandem system it may be highly desirable that the interconnection layer can prohibit the potential issues such as the solvent penetration or be tolerant of high temperature treatment.

4.5. Reducing optical loss

To make best use of the solar spectrum with the tandem configuration, the bandgap of each subcell needs to be matched so that the current in each subcell is the same. Practically, the absorptions in each subcell is largely dependent on the perovskite absorber thickness. Therefore, to reach the maximum efficiency, the absorber thickness should be carefully controlled, for which there have been many optical simulations that can serve as useful references [19,127,128]. Another significant optical loss comes from parasitic absorption in the charge transport layer. In Grant’s simulation of the detailed optical loss for the monolithic perovskite/Si tandem, Spiro-OMeTAD, the most extensively employed HTL in n-i-p PSC, results in a great deal of parasitic absorption. The corresponding current loss, depending on the device structure, could reach ~2 mAcm$^{-2}$ [129]. It would be beneficial to replace Spiro-OMeTAD with a hole transport material having a high reflection index, such as NiO$_x$. Aside from the abovementioned two optical losses, reflection loss is also significant, which is a well-recognized issue in many other solar cell configurations. Anti-reflection layers have proven to be effective in reducing such loss, such as MgF$_2$ [22] and LiF [58], usually used at the air/glass and air/TCO interfaces. Decorating the front electrode of the top cell with some textured films is also efficient and successfully used in a few highly efficient tandems [22,52]. More emphasis needs to be placed on the optical simulations as they are very helpful for the experimentalists to design the structure; they target at proper materials, and optimize the optical parameters in a correct and more efficient approach. More detailed explanation and discussion about the optical simulation on perovskite based tandem...
device has been included in a previous review by Junnan Hu and et al. [130], therefore this topic will not be discussed more here.

5. Challenges that lie ahead

For further enhancing the commercial competitiveness of the perovskite tandem, the near-term target would be to increase the efficiency beyond 30%. Other major challenges for commercializing this technology include not only the abovementioned scaling up of devices to module size, but also improving the operational lifetime to 25 years, and establishing processing approaches for reducing the toxicity during handling of Pb or other relevant metals, and the use of hazardous solvents.

5.1. Stability

The stability of the perovskite solar cell, which will translate directly to the stability of the tandem module, has become one of the stumbling blocks preventing perovskite technology from becoming ready for large-scale application. Instability induced by moisture has been first noticed and given most concern, as moisture can readily react with the perovskite and result in chemical degradation. Afterwards, perovskite materials have been further found to be susceptible to many other conditions including heat [131], prolonged UV irradiation [132], visible light irradiation [124,133], environment (such as oxygen) and electrical bias [134] as a consequence of their low formation energy. Notably, compared to the other conditions, studies exploring the influence of oxygen on perovskite device performance are much fewer, and these have only covered the widely used simple composition MAPbI$_3$ [134–137]. This requires particular attention, as Bryant and co-workers have found that light and oxygen induced degradation occur even faster than the more widely studied moisture induced degradation [134]. Aristidou et al. explained that photoexcited electrons in the perovskite layer interact with oxygen molecules to form superoxide (O$_2^-$) and break down the perovskite through deprotonation of the MA cation [138], while Zhang and Sit claimed that superoxide attacks the perovskite by reacting with a surface Pb ion and ultimately results in a local PbO structure [137]. The above listed so-called extrinsic factors that activate several degradation mechanisms can be alleviated by encapsulation to isolate the device from these external sources of degradation. Very encouraging progress is that a standard industrial encapsulation process has enabled perovskite devices that withstand a 1000-h damp heat test at 85 °C and 85% relative humidity [58].

A more alarming issue that has been reported for single-junction perovskite solar cells is intrinsic degradation. For instance, gold, which has been used as the contact for the majority of opaque PSC, has been found to be mobile and can penetrate to the perovskite layer leading to damage [139]. Luckily, this would not be a significant issue for tandems, as usually the semi-transparent devices either don’t use Au, or have a transparent contact as a buffer layer [58]. Another source of intrinsic degradation is that organic HTMs such as Spiro-MeOTAD and PTAA usually contain lithium ions that can readily migrate and deteriorate the underlying perovskite film [140]. This can be adequately mitigated by using an efficient inorganic hole conductor, such as CuSCN [141] or NiO$_x$ [142]. Mesoporous devices incorporating carbon electrodes are found to be very stable, though the efficiency has not caught up with the others [143]. A series of recent reviews have summarized the degradation mechanisms of the intrinsic and extrinsic stability of PSCs within different structures and materials and have provided some strategies for improving device stability [144–147]. Overall, degradation can be alleviated by altering material composition, device structures and interfaces [148]. This is an essential step in the perovskite tandem research that requires substantial further work.

5.2. Toxicity

The toxicity issue associated with the Pb content in the most highly performing perovskite solar cells has been widely recognized by the research community [45,149,150]. The toxicity related to heavy metals has been met before for CdTe PV, which is hazardous to human health as well as contaminating the environment. Currently, CdTe technology has succeeded to enter the PV market thanks to the development of cost-effective and environmentally friendly recycling programmes. However, PSCs encounter a much more severe issue as they can easily degrade to Pb with a K$_{sp}$ (Solubility product constant) up to 29 orders of magnitude higher than CdTe [151]. Sn has been proposed as a more environmentally friendly alternative to Pb. Yet toxicological assessment clearly shows that a Sn based perovskite may not be the ideal Pb surrogate to mitigate the toxicity issue, as it can likewise induce detrimental environmental and health effects due to reduced pH value rather than the presence of the heavy metal [149]. Chemically, SnI$_2$ is a highly unstable product in ambient atmosphere causing immediate decomposition into HI (the acidifier), along with toxicologically inactive oxygenated Sn precipitates. For equal concentration of both compounds, a higher lethal response was found in embryos exposed to SnI$_2$ than for PbI$_2$ [143]. Therefore, replacing Pb with Sn alone would probably not contribute significantly to the public acceptance of perovskite solar cells and perovskite-containing tandems.

The other major barrier to industrial scale deployment lies in the solvent handling related to highly hazardous solvents such as N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Replacing toxic solvents with nonhazardous solvents, or using non-solution
methods such as vapour deposition, would be valuable. A few environmentally friendly solvents have been successfully developed. Shahverdi’s group dissolved the perovskite in 2-propanol and deposited the film with spray coating [152]. An innovative H2O-propanol system was very recently developed by Hsieh et al. for the deposition of the perovskite [153]. Generally, the efficiencies of devices based on these methods are still much inferior. Based on these concerns, for widespread application of perovskite solar technology, dedicated efforts need to be devoted to explore alternative non-toxic and equally performing perovskite compounds and adopting precautionary measures against contamination at each stage of the device's life, from fabrication to disposal and recycling.

6. Opportunities in other solar devices in tandem configuration

6.1. Photovoltaic/Thermoelectric generator (PV/TEG) tandem devices

Operation of solar cells is always accompanied with heat waste, which can be utilized to generate electricity using thermoelectric generator (TEG) [154,155]. PV/TEG hybrid system, by substituting the bottom subcell in the tandem solar cells with thermoelectric modules, has been both practically and theoretically proven to be efficient in converting solar energy to electricity [155,156]. In this tandem structure, the temperature difference between that near PV board (hot side) and the environment (cool side) can be used by TE device to generate an additional power output. TE devices need to have internal resistances as low as possible to convey photo-generated currents without sacrificing the PV fill factor. Achieving lossless coupling makes the power output from the PV/TEG hybrid device equal to the sum of the maximum power outputs produced separately from individual PV and TE devices [157].

PV/TEG hybrid system can be realized in two ways. One way is by operating the cell at elevated temperatures and using a heat engine to utilize the excess heat (noted as the first approach). The other is by separating of the long-wavelength part of the spectrum (not absorbed in a semiconductor material of the cell) with its subsequent concentration and further conversion using a heat engine or a TEG (noted as the second approach). Theoretical analysis and numerical calculations have predicted that both systems could be efficient and practical, with an overall efficiency that can reach up to 40% [158]. To maximize solar-to-electricity conversion efficiency, development of top solar cells with outstanding performance, low temperature coefficient and that is tolerant for optical management of the system are key factors.

The highest efficiency for PV/TEG hybrid system has been achieved with GaAs solar cells, but their high cost becomes a main obstacle for commercialization. Low-cost PV/TEG system has been mostly developed based on Si, while a key drawback of Si is its large temperature coefficient (~7%) [159]. The performance of the hybrid system with DSSC reaching over 13% is still poorer than that of the hybrid system with the silicon solar cell [160], mainly due to much lower PCE of DSC itself, and relatively high temperature coefficient. The perovskite solar cell has an extraordinary PCE and a lower temperature coefficient (lower than 2‰), showing strong promise to serve as top subcell in PV/TEG system [159]. Zhang et al. estimated around 18.6% efficiency for the PSC/TEG hybrid system with a 17.8%-efficient single PSC as top solar cell [159]. The calculation is based on the first architecture, which however requires the top solar cells to work under high temperature and therefore brings about the long-term stability issue. The more promising strategy is to incorporate semi-transparent perovskite into PV/TEG system which allows the photons in the infrared region to be well utilized using the bottom TEG. Such a system has been previously demonstrated based on dye sensitized solar cell (DSC) as top cell [160]. Besides the high solar-to-electricity conversion efficiency, low system temperature and excellent stability are also key criteria for making commercially attractive PV/TEG systems. Overall, great opportunities emerge in the PV/TEG hybrid field due to the advent of highly performed perovskite solar cell associated with its extraordinary optoelectronic properties, which deserve significant attention in the near future.

6.2. Photovoltaic/Photoelectrochemical (PV/PEC) tandem devices for solar hydrogen generation

Besides generation of electricity from solar energy using tandem PV and PV/TEG system, achieving efficient and spontaneous hydrogen evolution in water using solar light is also very attracting, as hydrogen is a storable and environmental-friendly fuel that offers a promising solution to address the environmental issues and secure future energy needs in a sustainable manner [161,162]. To achieve high solar-to-electricity (STH) efficiency, the semiconductor used as the photoelectrode should have suitable bandgap for sufficient light absorption and appropriate energy levels including a conduction band that is sufficiently negative for H2 evolution and a valence band that is sufficiently positive for O2 evolution. Despite vigorous efforts in modifying existing materials and searching for new materials during the past several decades, there is still no single material that meets all the requirements for efficient and durable water splitting under solar illumination [163–165]. Tandem configuration can adequately address this issue, and has been successfully demonstrated by incorporating III-V semiconductors with a STH efficiency up to 14% [166,167]. Nevertheless, these configurations are too expensive for terrestrial application, similar to the multi-junction tandem solar cells. An additional obstacle for this structure
is the stability, as it is difficult to develop different semiconductor photoelectrodes with suitable bandgaps that are both stable in the same electrolyte under the anodic and cathodic operation conditions.

PV/PEC configuration, also called PV-biased photoelectrochemical systems, is a promising alternative approach as it permits simultaneously building up sufficient voltage by the PV (usually placed outside the water splitting system) to drive the water splitting reaction. STH efficiencies as high as 25% can be reached if optical management is carefully operated, including using semiconductors with bandgaps located in specific region and matching each other [168]. Detailed balance analysis predicts that two-absorber water splitting efficiencies can be maximized when pairing 1.6–1.8 eV and 1.1 eV bandgap absorbers in a stacked configuration [168]. Analogous to the multi-junction tandem solar cell, perovskite can behave as an ideal wide bandgap top absorber in PV/PEC tandem device. So far, perovskite PVs have been paired with some of the widely studied photoelectrodes made of materials like hematite [167], BiVO$_4$ [169–173], and Cu$_2$O [174] for solar water splitting in PV/PEC configuration. The highest unbiased photocurrent density of ~5.7 mA/cm$^2$ corresponding to STH efficiency of 6.3% has been realized when used in tandem with BiVO$_4$ photoanode [169,170]. These photoanode materials however have too large bandgaps (over 2 eV) that largely limit the STH efficiency. Therefore, to explore small-bandgap photoelectrode is of high demand. Si is an attractive candidate for constructing the photoelectrode in a tandem configuration because of its suitable band gap of ~1.1 eV for a bottom absorber, which however has been greatly limited for application due to the relatively small photovoltages, low photocurrents and modest durability demonstrated so far [175]. Copper chalcopyrites that have tuneable bandgaps through variation of their compositions are promising, though their water splitting properties have been less studied compared to Si. A modified CIGS photocathode has also led to one of the highest STH efficiencies for PV/PEC system, reaching up to 6.3% [176]. Obviously, there is still large space for advancing STH efficiency of PV/PEC to its optimal value of over 20%. We believe it is worth devoting more efforts to this field, as it holds strong promise to alter the clean energy landscape by supplying solar fuels in an efficient way.

7. Outlook

Within a short timescale, perovskite materials have enabled tandem solar cells to reach a high-efficiency (>25%) regime with versatile cell structures, thus attracting great interest for commercialization. Optimization of tandem structures alongside perovskite/contact materials have been the main reasons for the quick progress of record efficiencies. As indicated by optical analysis, there is still space to further propel the efficiency to higher values approaching the 30% mark. Going forward, deeper understanding of the hybrid PSC materials, especially the fundamentals for the unique optoelectronic properties, is necessary. More complex compositions seem to be the current direction for high efficiencies, including multiple cation, mixed halide and Sn/Pb binary perovskite. The development of synthetic methods for producing high-quality PSC thin films with controlled properties is crucial. Also, the interfaces between PSC and the charge transport materials should be carefully engineered, which promises substantial impact on the device performance. Notably, all these actions need to be taken in parallel with serious consideration of the potential for improving the as-prepared device stability. In addition to the above-mentioned challenges, development of transparent contacts and interlayers for efficient charge transport and low parasytic absorption in the perovskite top cell will be critical to fabricate efficient tandem systems. As several companies are already working toward this path, it is likely that this technology will see its first commercial products in the near future. We also would like to emphasize the substantial opportunities opened up by perovskite materials in other solar devices including PV/TEG and PV/PEC tandem systems aside from the PV field.
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