Techno-Economic Analysis on the Production of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles by Green Synthesis Method using *Abultion indicum* Leaf Extract on an Industrial Scale
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**Abstract.** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the economic feasibility of producing copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticles using the green synthesis method on an industrial scale for 10 years by evaluating from an engineering and economic perspective. Various economic parameters are used to analyze economic viability, including Gross Profit Margin (GPM), Cumulative Net Present Value (CNPV), Payback Period (PBP), as well as economic variations in sales, taxes, raw materials, labor wages, and utilities to ensure project feasibility. Technical analysis to produce 100 kg of CuO nanoparticles per day requires a total production cost of 220,322.850.00 IDR and the gross profit margin is 16,721,250.000.00 IDR per year. PBP analysis shows that the investment will be profitable after more than three years. This project can compete with PBP capital market standards because of the short investment return. The profit is relatively economical, so this project can be run for 10 years under ideal conditions. This research is expected to be a reference for technical and economic analysis of industrial-scale production of CuO nanoparticles.

1. **Introduction**  
CuO is a particularly interesting and promising transition metal oxide [1] because of its very wide application such as batteries [2], heterogeneous catalysts [3], solar cells [4], optics [5], hydrogen storage material [6], and medical applications [7]. In addition, CuO nanoparticles have demonstrated their potential in pharmacological activity, particularly in antitumor therapy [8].

There are several methods of CuO synthesis that have been reported by several researchers, including direct electrochemical method [9, 10, 11], sonochemistry [12, 13, 14], sol-gel [15, 16, 17], hydrothermal [18, 19, 20], biogenic [21, 22, 23], and green synthesis [24, 25, 26]. In general, nanoparticle synthesis methods are very expensive, and can be hazardous to the environment and organisms [27]. Therefore, looking for other friendly techniques such as green synthesis has received great attention from researchers to develop green chemistry and bioprocesses [28]. The green synthesis method is considered to have the highest efficiency, because the method is simple and more economical than other methods, produces minimal waste and is easy to handle [29].
Chemical industry economic evaluation is a form of quantitative assessment of what people expect and want to invest in a project [30]. This evaluation analysis uses several parameters such as Gross Profit Margin (GPM), Cumulative Net Present Value (CNPV), Payback Period (PBP), and economic variations in sales, taxes, raw materials, labor wages, and utilities to ensure project viability.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to determine the feasibility of the project for the manufacture of CuO nanoparticles using the green synthesis method by conducting technical and economic evaluations. In this study, we vary several factors to see their effect on the economic evaluation under study, such as decreases and increases in product prices, increases in taxes, and variable costs (raw materials, labor, and utilities).

2. Method

Theoretical Synthesis of CuO Nanoparticles

Figure 1 shows the stages of the synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using the green synthesis method with Abultion indicum leaf extract as fuel. The CuO nanoparticle synthesis method was adopted from research conducted by [29]. Abultion indicum leaf extract was prepared by washing and drying the leaves at room temperature (22-25°C) for 15 days. Then, the leaves were grinded with a grinder until smooth to be extracted by the Soxhlet method using water at a temperature of 90-95°C for 3 hours. The extract is filtered and concentrated using an evaporator to separate the extract from the residue. The extract is then dried using a water bath and stored in the refrigerator. CuO nanoparticles were synthesized by mixing 301.25 kg of Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O with 75 kg of leaf extract prepared in 5000 L of double distilled water. The solution was homogenized for 10 minutes using a magnetic stirrer at a speed of 2000 rpm. The homogenized sample was then burned in a furnace at 400°C for 2-3 minutes to produce CuO particles. The mixture is filtered to remove the ash (carbon) content of the plant extract. Furthermore, CuO nanoparticles were calcined at the same temperature for 2 hours to achieve purity. Pure CuO nanoparticles stored in airtight containers.
Energy and Mass Balance

The materials needed for the synthesis of CuO nanoparticles are 0.3 grams of *Abultion indicum* leaf extract, 1.205 grams of Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$.3H$_2$O, and 20 mL of double distilled water. The formation of CuO follows the following reaction equation:

\[
\text{Cu(NO}_3\text{)}_2.3\text{H}_2\text{O} (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \rightarrow \text{Cu(OH)}_2 (s) + 2\text{HNO}_3 (aq)
\]

\[
\text{Cu(OH)}_2 (s) \rightarrow \text{CuO} (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l)
\]

From a technical point of view, it is possible to increase the production of CuO nanoparticles because the capacity and quantity of the tools and materials used can be enlarged. To produce 100 kg of CuO nanoparticles in one day, it takes a reaction cycle of about 75 kg of *Abultion indicum* leaf extract, 301.25 kg of Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$.3H$_2$O and 5000 L of double distilled water.

Several assumptions were made to synthesize CuO nanoparticles on an industrial scale and were based on stoichiometric and mass balance calculations.

1. The nanoparticle synthesis process is carried out using the green synthesis method.
2. All materials used in the synthesis reaction of CuO nanoparticles such as double distilled water, Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$.3H$_2$O, *Abultion indicum* leaf have high purity and enlarged 250,000 times calculated based on the literature from [29].
3. The formation of CuO is assumed to be a complete reaction.
4. Stirring temperature for leaf extraction is 90°C-95°C for 3 hours.
5. The time to dry and concentrate the substance in the evaporator is 1 hour.
6. The stirring speed in the process of homogenizing the leaf extract and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O in a magnetic stirrer is 2000 rpm in 2-5 minutes.
7. The furnace temperature used to burn the sample is 400°C for 2-3 minutes.
8. Furnace temperature used for calcination is 400°C in 2 hours tinggal residence time.
9. Assuming 5% loss in any mechanical process.

Economic Evaluation

In this economic evaluation, the price data in the analysis were obtained from several online shops named www.tokopedia.com, www.alibaba.com, and www.sigmaaldrich.com. The data processing in this economic evaluation analysis is processed mathematically using the Microsoft Excel application. The process of economic evaluation in a factory is carried out based on the following parameters:

1. Gross Profit Margin (GPM)
   Gross Profit Margin (GPM) is a type of profit which is calculated by subtracting income for one period from the cost of goods sold. The calculation of gross profit margin is the first step to determine the level of profitability of this project [31].
2. Break Even Point (BEP)
   Break Even Point (BEP) is the point where income equals invested capital, there is no profit or loss. BEP can be calculated by calculating the value of fixed costs divided by value (total selling price minus total variable price). The calculation of BEP can be in the form of a projection or an estimate of the minimum number of goods that must be sold during a certain period [31].
3. Total Investment Cost (TIC)
   Total Investment Cost (TIC) is capitan or initial cost that must be provided at the beginning of production. TIC is usually calculated based on the total cost of the factory (Total Purchasement Cost (TPC)). Simply put, the TIC is the cost to build a factory and initial costs (equipment and service costs related to equipment for equipment agencies in the factory) [32].
4. Net Present Value (NPV)
   Net Present Value (NPV) is the value obtained from a project which represents expenses and income. The calculation of the NPV must consider the opportunity cost of social capital (as a discount rate). On the other hand, NPV can also be used to estimate expected future financial flows [33].
5. Cumulative Net Present Value (CNPV)
   Cumulative Net Present Value (CNPV) is the calculation of the total NPV value from the beginning of factory construction to the end of factory operation. CNPV can be obtained as the amount of cumulative financial flows each year. In addition, CNPV also calculates land and final depreciation, as well as deposit value (final depreciation value) [33]. CNPV is calculated through equation (1) below:

$$\text{CNPV} = \sum_{t=0}^{T} NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{(B_t-C_t)}{(1+i)^t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where:
- Bₜ = profit
- Cₜ = cost
- i = one-period social discount rate in period t
- t = time (year)
6. Internal Rate Return (IRR)
Internal Rate Return (IRR) calculated through equation (2) as follows:

\[ IRR = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{C_t}{(1+r)^t} - C_o \]  

(2)

where:

- \( C_t \) = net cash inflows during period \( t \)
- \( C_o \) = total investment cost
- \( r \) = discount rate
- \( t \) = time (year)

7. Profitability Index (PI)

Profitability Index (PI) estimated by dividing the CNPV and the total cost of investment or sales, according to the type of PI profit for each investment or profit for sales [34].

8. Payback Period (PBP)

Payback Period (PBP) is a calculation carried out to predict the length of time it will take for an investment to return the total initial outlay. PBP is calculated when CNPV is at zero for the first time [34].

In determining the economic analysis, there are several assumptions that may occur during the project, including:

1. Calculation of economic evaluation analysis using IDR currency.
2. Calculation of 1 USD is equivalent to 15,000.00 IDR.
3. Based on the price sold commercially, the price of Albution indicum leaves is 20,000.00 IDR/kg and the price of Cu(NO3)2·3H2O is 60,000.00 IDR/kg. Meanwhile, deionized water is obtained from water treatment which is processed at the factory using a water purifier and it is assumed that the factory is close to a water source.
4. Equipment prices are determined based on commercially available prices with a total equipment purchase cost of 227,475,000.00 IDR.
5. Electricity costs are assumed to be 1.380.00 IDR/KWh.
6. One cycle of CuO synthesis process takes 8 hours.
7. Project runs 25 days/month or in one year is 300 days.
8. Total workforce during processing is 50 people with per worker 3,000,000 IDR/month.
9. Income tax 10%.
10. Sales discount rate of 15%.
11. Project duration is 10 years.

3. Results and Discussion

Engineering Perspective

Figure 2 shows the production process of CuO nanoparticles using the green synthesis method. From an engineering point of view, the total raw material cost per year is 391,500.00 USD and one year profit is 795,729.49 USD. The selling price in one year is 1,506,250.00 USD. The purchase price of the tools is 15,165.00 USD. This project has been operating for 10 years and PBP has been achieved in the 3rd year because the project has been running well.
Figure 2. Process flow diagram of CuO nanoparticles

Ideal Condition

Figure 3 shows a graph of the relationship between CNPV/TIC and time. The X-axis is year and Y-axis is CNPV/TIC. The graph shows a decrease in income, namely in the 1st to 2nd year, caused by initial capital expenditures for the purchase of equipment needed during the nanoparticle production process as well as for the cost of purchasing land. In that year the factory did not produce nanoparticles. In the 3rd year there is an increase in income, this condition is called Payback Period (PBP) [34]. This increase in revenue because the factory has produced CuO nanoparticles and sold, it can cover the initial capital used to purchase equipment and land purchase costs. The profit earned continues to increase until the 10th year. Therefore, the production of nanoparticles is a very profitable project because this project only takes 2 years for initial capital.

In Table 1, the CNPV/TIC values is negative for the 1st and 2nd years. Then the CNPV/TIC value began to return to positive in the 3rd year with a value of 6.53348 which continued to increase until the 10th year with a value of 37.15863. Therefore, the production of CuO
nanoparticles can be considered as a profitable project because the production of CuO nanoparticles requires a short time to recover the investment costs. This is because the CNPV/TIC value fell below 0 in the first 2 years to recover the total initial project expenditure. However, after that there was an increase in the value of CNPV/TIC to a positive value after the second year [35].

Table 1. Annual CNPV/TIC values under ideal conditions

| CNPV/TIC  | Year |
|-----------|------|
| 0         | 0    |
| -0,40986  | 1    |
| -0,82759  | 2    |
| 6,53348   | 3    |
| 12,93442  | 4    |
| 18,50044  | 5    |
| 23,34047  | 6    |
| 27,54918  | 7    |
| 31,20893  | 8    |
| 34,39133  | 9    |
| 37,15863  | 10   |

Variation of Sales

Figure 4 shows a graph of CNPV with various sales variations over 10 years. The analysis was carried out by decreasing and increasing sales by 10 and 20%. Ideal sales are 100%, when sales are decreased by 10 and 20%, the sales are 90 and 80% respectively. When sales increased by 10 and 20%, the sales are 110 and 120% respectively. From Figure 4, sales with various variations have the same value at the beginning of project development (from 1st year to 2nd year). After the project was created (in 3rd year) there was a sales effect on CNPV/TIC. The greater the sales value, the higher the profit from the project being carried out [34]. However, if there are conditions that cause product sales to decline, then the project profits are reduced from the ideal state. Profits continue to increase after reaching the Payback Period point until the 10th year. When sales are reduced by 20% from ideal conditions, the gap in profits generated each year will be less. Conversely, the gap between the profits generated from each year increases with the increase in sales from ideal conditions. The value of CNPV/TIC in the 10th year for each variation of 80, 90, 100, 110 and 120% were 23,74224; 30,45043; 37,15863; 43,86682; 50.57501. From the variety of sales, the project can still run and generate profits.
Variation of Tax

Taxes are another levy imposed on projects by the state and is an external factor that can affect the success of a project. Economic evaluation of external factors can actually affect the success of a project. One of the factors is the taxes levied on projects by the state to finance various public expenditures [35].

Figure 5. In the 1st and 2nd year shows the initial conditions of the project. The initial condition of the project on the graph decreased because in the 1st and 2nd year there was no income tax expense and in that year there was a factory construction, so the graph was the same as the ideal graph condition. The variation of taxes in the 3rd to 10th years is increasing, this is very influential on this project. The value of CNPV/TIC obtained in the 10th year with tax variations of 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100% were 37.15863; 30.85250; 20.34230; 9.83210; -0.67811. The higher the tax that must be issued, the return on the initial investment will be longer than ideal conditions. The higher the tax issued, the smaller or less profitable the profitability of production [34]. From the CNPV/TIC graph, the higher the tax that must be issued every year, the lower the profitability. Therefore, the maximum tax earned to obtain the BEP or the point at which the project's profit or loss is 75%. If the tax change you get is more than 75%, it can make the project fail.

![Graph of CPNV/TIC for ten years with tax variations](image)

Variation of Raw Materials

The success factor of a project can be influenced by the condition of the raw materials. The graph of CNPV against time with variations in raw materials is shown in Figure 6. The analysis was carried out by decreasing and increasing raw materials under ideal conditions (represented by 100%) by 10 and 20%. When the raw material is reduced by 10 and 20%, the raw material becomes 90 and 80% respectively. When the raw material increases by 10 and 20% the raw material becomes 100 and 120% respectively. In the 1st to 2nd year show the initial conditions of the project. The initial condition of the project on the CPNV chart under the variation of raw materials has the same CNPV/TIC value and have decreased due to project development. Variations in raw materials will affect the project in the 3rd to 10th year, this is indicated by the CNPV/TIC value which will increase starting in 3rd year for each variation. From Figure 6 it can be concluded that the higher the variation of raw material conditions, the lower the CNPV/TIC value [34]. In the 10th year with variations of 80, 90, 100, 110, and 120% the value of CNPV/TIC were 40.90825; 39.03344; 37.15863; 35.28382; 33.40901. From a variety of raw materials, the project can still run and make a profit. Furthermore, the profit will continue to increase after reaching the PBP point until the 10th year.
Variation of Labor Wages

The labor wages factor can also affect the success of a project. The graph of CNPV against time with labor variation is shown in Figure 7. The analysis was carried out by reducing and increasing the salary of labor wages in ideal conditions (represented by 100%) by 10 and 20%. When the salary is reduced by 10 and 20%, it will be 90 and 80% respectively. When the salary is increased by 10 and 20%, it becomes 110 and 120% respectively. In the 1st to 2nd year indicate the initial conditions of the project. The initial project conditions on the CPNV graph under the variation of labor wages have the same CNPV/TIC value and have decreased due to project development. Variations in labor wages will have an effect on the project in the 3rd to 10th year, this is indicated by the CNPV/TIC value which will increase starting in 3rd year for each variation. In the 10th year with variations of 80, 90, 100, 110, and 120% the CNPV/TIC value were 39.07797; 38.11830; 37.15863; 36.19895; 35.23928. The higher the salary of the workers, the lower the profit of the project but the project can go on and make a profit [35]. Furthermore, profits will continue to increase after reaching the PBP point until the 10th year.

Variation of Utility

The success of a project can also be affected by the utility. The graph of CNPV against time with utility variations is shown in Figure 8. The analysis is carried out by decreasing and increasing the utility in an ideal conditions (represented by 100%) by 10 and 20%. When the utility is reduced by 10 and 20%, the utility becomes 90 and 80% respectively. When it is increased by 10 and 20% the utility becomes 110 and 120% respectively. In the 1st to 2nd year indicate the initial conditions of the project. The initial project condition on the CPNV graph
under the utility variation have the same CNPV/TIC value and have decreased due to project development. The utility variation will affect the project in the 3rd to 10th year, this is indicated by the CNPV/TIC value which will increase starting in the third year for each variation. Variations in utility prices have no significant effect on the CPNV/TIC chart [35]. In the 10th year with variations of 80, 90, 100, 110, and 120% the CNPV/TIC values were 37.16650; 37,16256; 37,15863; 37.5469; 37.15075. From the variety of utilities, the project can take place and generate a profit. Furthermore, profits will continue to increase after reaching the Payback Period point until the 10th year.

![Figure 8](image_url)  
**Figure 8.** Graph of CPNV/TIC for ten years with utility variations

4. Conclusion
Based on the above analysis, the CuO nanoparticle production project using the green synthesis method is a prospective and economical project because this method is very simple, does not use hazardous materials and is inexpensive. This analysis was obtained from an economic evaluation using several parameters which stated that the CuO nanoparticle production project was very profitable and had a short payback period of 3 years for the initial investment. This project can compete with PBP capital market standards due to its short return on investment. Analysis of the value of CNPV/TIC and PBP is influenced by several factors such as price variations, sales tax and selling price. The results of our research regarding the economic evaluation of the production of CuO nanoparticles using the green synthesis method are expected to provide an industrial-scale overview of economic evaluation. From this economic evaluation analysis it can be concluded that this project is feasible to run.
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