Enhanced sulfamethoxazole degradation in soil by immobilized sulfamethoxazole-degrading microbes on bagasse†
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The presence of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) in the environment is becoming a serious problem because of its toxicity and high risk to human health and microbial activity. In this study, enhanced degradation of this compound in soil was shown by sulfamethoxazole-degrading microbes when using bagasse to pre-grow these microbial sources. The two sulfamethoxazole-degrading strains, T2 and Z3, isolated from pig manure, were selected for an immobilized microorganism technique. T2 and Z3 were identified as Enterobacter cloacae and a fungal endophyte by detailed morphological, biochemical and molecular characterization. The performance of the immobilized cells on agricultural residues (bagasse) in the biodegradation of sulfamethoxazole in soil was greater than that of cells free in solution. The effects of pH, temperature, indigenous soil microbes, and heavy metals on bioremediation were investigated, as well as the products of SMX degradation by strains, and a series of experiments at an initial concentration of 100 mg kg⁻¹ were performed at various temperatures (23–43 °C) and pHs (2.5–6.5). SMX degradation was affected by the initial temperature and pH because of the effect on the essential groups in the activation center of enzymes and membrane permeability. At 28 °C and pH 3.5, the immobilized strain T2 showed an excellent ability to degrade sulfamethoxazole in soil; 84.14% removal rate of SMX was achieved.

1. Introduction

Sulfamethoxazole (4-amino-N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)benzenesulfonamide; SMX) is a worldwide antibiotic, which is commonly used in clinical treatment and veterinary medicine.¹,² SMX is also widely used in farming and aquaculture.³,⁴ Due to the characteristics of SMX, approximately 15–25% is incompletely metabolized and excreted into the surrounding environment from the human or animal body after ingestion.⁵⁻⁷ The wide use of SMX raises particular concerns for human health because its presence in the environment may harm human health and lead to antibiotic resistance,⁸⁻¹¹ as well as being a serious threat to soil and water ecosystems. In China, SMX is released from four major sources: industry, hospitals, farms, and households; some SMX is released into water and soil without pre-treatment.¹²⁻¹⁴ The degradation of SMX in soil, therefore, has become a major environmental concern. To date, different physicochemical techniques have been tested to remove SMX from polluted soil or water including oxidation,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ adsorption,¹⁸⁻²¹ photodegradation²² and hydrolysis.²³ Kobayashi et al.²⁴ reported that SMX degradation by zero-valent iron (ZVI) under the anoxic condition was completed within 300 min in the acidic solutions, however remained to less than 70% after 300 min in neutral or moderate alkaline solutions. Yang et al.²⁵ investigated that the degradation rate of SMX by a novel hydrogel catalyst (p(HEA/NMMA)–CuS) with the function of adsorption p(HEA/NMMA)–CuS hydrogel reached 95.91% and the SMX was mineralized with 43.56% in aqueous solution under 500 W visible light achieved balance in 24 h. Al-Hamadani et al.²⁶ examined the feasibility of using two types of fly ash (an industrial waste from power station) as a low-cost catalyst to enhance the ultrasonic (US) degradation of SMX. The results showed that 99% degradation of SMX was achieved by sonication for 60 min at 580 kHz and pH 3.5. Wang et al.²⁷ employed Fenton process and persulfate process to remove sulfamethoxazole from aqueous. The maximal mineralization reached 83% when hydrogen peroxide concentration was 1 mM and Fe(II) was 0.05 mM for Fenton process. Peleyjeu et al.²⁸ reported the photoelectrocatalytic degradation of sulfamethoxazole at a TiO₂–exfoliated graphite (TiO₂–EG) anode. Almost 100% of SMX had been degraded after photoelectrocatalytic process. Wang et al.²⁹ studied the degradation process of SMX in anodic chamber of microbial fuel cell (MFC) reactors. They found that SMX even with high concentration (200 ppm) can be totally degraded rapidly.
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Compared with physicochemical methods, biodegradative methods are widely preferred, due to lower costs and the possibilities of complete mineralization. Various SMX-degrading microbial strains and their biodegradability are presented in the ESI†. Some studies on biodegradation of sulfonamides in aquatic environment by bacterial showed some remarkable results had been achieved over the last few decades. Especially, Jiang et al.3 studied the biodegradation and metabolic pathway of sulfamethoxazole in waste water. The maximal degradation rate of SMX was 34.3% at 10 °C for 192 h. Larcher et al.26 investigated the biodegradation of sulfamethoxazole by individual and mixed bacteria in waste water. The results showed that the individual bacteria (R. equi) had the greatest ability to remove SMX leading to 29% degradation. Araujo et al.31 declared that approximately 74% of SMX were removed from culture medium by White Rot Fungi (P. ostreatus) after 15 days of cultivation. Xiao et al.32 investigated that SMX were consistently removed at efficiencies of 67.8 ± 13.9% in a lab-scale anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) treating with synthetic sewage. When powdered activated carbon (PAC) was added to the AnMBR, the degradation efficiency for SMX was 95.5 ± 4.6%. Jia et al.33 found that the removal of SMX followed the pseudo-zero-order kinetic model with a specific removal rate of 13.2 ± 0.1 μg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ at initial SMX concentration of 100 μg L⁻¹ using sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) sludge in a sulfate-reducing up-flow sludge bed reactor. Wang et al.34 isolated and identified a novel strain (Acinetobacter sp.), which can degrade SMX in a range of 5–240 mg L⁻¹ in water with efficiency up to 100%.

The immobilization of microbial cells proved to be a promising method, which offers a suitable environment for the biodegradation process.35,36 Immobilized cultures tend to have several advantages over free cells: a higher level of biodegradation activity; a stronger resilience to environmental perturbations, such as pH, or exposure to toxic chemical concentrations; as well as an increased density of cells in the matrix.37 Furthermore, immobilization of cells is biotechnologically easier because of a facilitated process control.38 In addition, the immobilized microorganism technique has been proven to be highly effective, is widely applied, and results in a production of innocuous end products. However, there are only a few studies on immobilized microorganism removal of SMX in soil.39,40

The technique presents a method for preparing and using microbial immobilized material for the remediation of contaminated soil. Bagasse, dry sugar cane pulp, was selected as an optimal bio-carrier for this study. The aims of this study were to isolate, characterize and exploit the SMX biodegradation potential of isolated microbial species. In particular, the cell growth of the two strains was investigated. In addition, the degradation of SMX by isolated strains of T2 and Z3 in a batch culture using free cells was compared to its degradation by immobilized cells. The effects of the concentration of bacterial inoculation, pH, temperature, indigenous soil microbes, and the presence of heavy metals on SMX degradation were determined, as were the products of SMX degradation.

2. Methods and material

2.1 Chemicals and materials

All chemicals used were of analytical grade or HPLC grade and purchased either from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Guangdong, China) or Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory (Guangdong, China). SMX of greater than 99% purity was used. Agricultural residues (bagasse, peanut shell, straw) were obtained from the South China Agricultural University farm. Soil samples were collected from a forest garden in the South China Agricultural University. This site had no history of exposure to SMX. The soil sample was air-dried and sieved through Ø 3 mm mesh and stored at room temperature before use.

The adsorption capacity of SMX in soil was determined by serial batch-type experiments. Prior to the experiment, the both soil and glass materials employed were autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min to inhibit microbial degradation processes. 2.0 g of soil was mixed with 10 mL of initial SMX concentrations 20 mg L⁻¹ in centrifuge tube. The obtained SMX suspension with 0.01 mol L⁻¹ CaCl₂ (40 mL) was shaken in a thermostatic oscillator at a constant agitation speed (220 rpm) for 24 h. The SMX suspension was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 minutes to separate the liquid from the solid. Finally, the supernatant solution was filtered with 0.22 μm filter membranes.

During the experiment, temperature was maintained at 28 °C and pH 3.5. 1 mL of aliquots was taken from the liquid phase at various time points (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 d) in order to check SMX.

The adsorption amounts of per gram experimental soil were shown in Fig. 1.

2.2 Culture conditions

The SMX-degrading strains were isolated from samples of pig manure from a pig farm in Guangdong Province, China. The pigs were administered with SMX for the control of meningitis for more than 5 days before collection. For the selection of microbial strains, standard batch enrichment culture techniques were performed. 2.0 g of samples of pig manure were placed into 250 mL flasks containing 50 mL of SMX at a concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹, and incubated aerobically at 28 °C on a reciprocal shaker at 150 rpm. After 1 h, aliquots were...
transferred weekly to fresh medium and incubated under the same conditions. This process was repeated at least six times. Pure cultures were obtained by plating the enrichment culture on solid mineral medium. Mineral medium contained (per liter): 0.5 g KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.5 g K$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.2 g NaCl, 1.0 g NH$_4$NO$_3$, 0.2 g MgSO$_4$, and 10.0 mL of a trace element solution containing (per liter): 0.1 g FeSO$_4$, 0.1 g MnSO$_4$, 0.1 g ZnSO$_4$, 0.01 g Na$_2$MoO$_4$, 0.1 g CaCl$_2$, 3 g MgSO$_4$, 0.1 g CuSO$_4$. Solid media were carried out at 28°C to facilitate the bioremediation studies, additional experiments were conducted at various pHs (2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5 and 6.5), keeping SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions at 3.5 mL and temperature at 28°C. At pH 3.5 further experiments were carried out at various temperatures (23°C, 28°C, 33°C, 38°C and 43°C) with SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions of 3.5 mL in order to determine the optimal temperature for SMX degradation. Effects of the heavy metal ions Cd$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ on the degradation of SMX in soil were also investigated. 1 mmol L$^{-1}$ of Cd$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ was added separately to the samples, keeping SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions at 3.5 mL, temperature at 28°C and pH 3.5. To study the effects of indigenous soil microbes on SMX degradation, the additions of unsterilized and sterilized soil were compared, keeping SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions at 3.5 mL, temperature at 28°C and pH 3.5. In order to ascertain the effect of the concentration of bacterial inoculation on the degradation rate, experiments were carried out at various SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions (1.0 mL, 2.0 mL, 3.5 mL, 4.5 mL, and 6.0 mL) at 28°C and pH 3.5. These experiments were carried out using pure cultures of T2 immobilized on bagasse.

2.3 Identification of SMX-degrading strains

The selected SMX-degrading isolates were identified by biochemical characterization tests and the 16S rDNA gene sequence analytical methods. A total volume of 20 μL of the 16S PCR product was sent to Honortech Corporation in Guangzhou for sequencing. For the identification of bacteria, DNA sequences were analyzed by the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) at the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

2.4 Choice of optimum residue and cell growth

Several 10 mm disks of the T2 and Z3 actively growing strains on agar were separately combined with a 1 h autoclaved 1.5 g sample of each agricultural residue (bagasse, peanut shell and straw bar straw pole) and 10 mL of mineral medium, which was incubated at 28°C on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm for 2 days. Mineral media without added agricultural residues were also inoculated with each of the two strains separately, which were used as controls, these will be called ‘free cells’ henceforth. The biomass was analysed by UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

Cultures were prepared in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks by adding 100 mL of minimal mineral medium amended with peptone (2 g L$^{-1}$). 5 mL of each of the two SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions and SMX (250 mg L$^{-1}$) were added to it, and then incubated at 28°C on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm for two days. Abiotic controls without inoculum were incubated under identical conditions. Experimental treatments and controls were set up in triplicate. The biomass was analysed by UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h, 120 h, 144 h, 168 h and 192 h.

2.5 Degradation experiments

To facilitate the bioremediation studies, additional experiments were carried out at 28°C and pH 3.5. 3.5 mL of each of the two SMX-degrading bacterial suspensions and 7 mL mineral medium were added to 1 g of sterilized agricultural residues, and then incubated at 28°C on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm. After 2 days, 10.0 g of sterilized soil with an SMX concentration of 100 mg kg$^{-1}$ was added to it. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Controls without agricultural residues were prepared for each assay. The SMX concentration was analysed at 0 d, 5 d, 10 d, 15 d, 20 d, 25 d and 30 d.

The optimum physiological parameters for SMX degradation of pH, temperature, indigenous soil microbes, concentration of bacterial inoculation and heavy metal were investigated.
2.8 Analysis of the degradation products

The SMX degradation products from soil samples containing free SMX-degrading bacteria were extracted separately with ethyl acetate and n-butanol three times, then combined the extraction to dryness using nitrogen at 50 °C in a water bath. The dry residues were dissolved in ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate phase was centrifuged for 10 min at 8000 rpm to collect the upper layer, and concentrated by drying using nitrogen at 50 °C. Finally, the sample was re-dissolved in methanol and diluted to a concentration of 200 ppm. The collected samples were filtered immediately through 0.22 μm filter membranes for UPLC-Q-TOF analysis. The operating conditions for the analysis of the SMX metabolites were: ECLIPS PLUS C18 (30 × 2.1 mm, 1.8 μm), acetonitrile containing formic acid and ammonium formate (mobile phase), 2.0 μL injection volume. UPLC-Q-TOF was used to attain the primary mass spectrometry data which included accurate mass for various compounds. Find by formula spectrum (FFB) determined the structure of target compounds.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Isolation and characterization of SMX-degrading strains

Analysis of the 16S rDNA gene of the two isolates (T2 and Z3) revealed that they belong to the bacterium *Enterobacter cloacae* and a fungal endophyte, respectively. The morphology of the two isolates under microscope is shown in Fig. 2. Biochemical characterization tests of the T2 bacterium are presented in Table 1, which showed that T2 was catalase-positive, motile, indole-negative, facultatively anaerobic or anaerobic, methyl red-negative and starch hydrolysis-positive.

3.2 Cell growth on different agricultural residues

Comparing the growth of the two strains T2 and Z3 immobilized on various biomass materials (straw bar, peanut shell and bagasse), growth was greatest on bagasse. For T2, the growth of T2 directly entered into a logarithmic phase and reached a stable phase from 18 h to 36 h. For Z3 there was an initial lag phase followed by a logarithmic phase from 24 h to 56 h. Subsequently, Z3 entered into stable phase from 56 h to 80 h.

Studies have shown that the ability of immobilized microbial strains to degrade pollutants in the soil environment is greater than that of free microbes because the material on to which they are immobilized provides a more optimal environment for growth.

3.3 Degradation of SMX

3.3.1 Degradation of SMX in soil by free and immobilized cells. As shown in Fig. 5 immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the degradation efficiencies of the immobilized and free cells after 30 d were 84.14% and 20.04% respectively when the initial concentration of SMX in the soil is 100 mg kg⁻¹. For Z3 at 30 d, the immobilized cells had degraded 57.64% of SMX compared with free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the degradation efficiencies of the immobilized and free cells after 30 d were 84.14% and 20.04% respectively when the initial concentration of SMX in the soil is 100 mg kg⁻¹. For Z3 at 30 d, the immobilized cells had degraded 57.64% of SMX compared with free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains. For T2, the immobilized strains degraded a higher percentage of SMX than the free cells of the strains.
2.3-fold increase in DOP degradation rate compared to corn cob and free cells, respectively.

To overcome the limitations of the use of exogenous bacteria for pollutant bioremediation microbial cells are often immobilized, a modification that can increase the biodegradation rate through protecting the cells from sudden exposure to higher concentrations of toxic substances such as PAHs, POPs and other compounds by avoiding direct contact between cells and pollutants. Strain T2, with the higher degradation rate of the two isolates, was selected for subsequent experiments in this study.

3.3.2 Effects of the concentration of bacterial inoculum.

The biomass of bacteria can affect the rate of adaptation to the environment, and so it is vital for the biodegradation of contaminants in soil. T2 was added at inoculation concentrations ranging from 1.0 mL (10%) to 6.0 mL (25%), Fig. 6 show the maximal degradation rate was at 3.5 mL (15%). With lower bacterial biomass bacteria adapt to the environment more slowly, thus increasing degradation time. But high bacterial biomass leads to rapid depletion of energy sources, which may inhibition degradation. 3.5 mL (15%) was chosen as the optimal inoculation concentration of T2 for subsequent experiments.

3.3.3 Effects of pH.

Factors affecting the SMX degradation capability of immobilized T2 were investigated. All other parameters were kept constant whilst varying the pH from 2.5 to 6.5. The results for the effect of the initial solution pH on the degradation of SMX are shown in Fig. 7. The reductions in SMX at different pH were 84.14% (pH 3.5), 65.3% (pH 2.5), 62.36% (pH 4.5), 60.96% (pH 5.5) and 56.14% (pH 6.5) after 30 d of incubation. The result demonstrated that the immobilized T2 has higher degradation efficiency of SMX in acidic conditions. Previous research has reported that pH affects the bioremediation of pollutants, and the highest reduction of DDTs in soil occurred at pH 4.5. Furthermore, in the same study, the pH influences the state of ionization of amino acids in a protein, and thus can significantly affect the structure and activity of enzymes. In this study, the SMX degradation rates and microbial biomass were promoted at low pH. Some scholars have reported that an abundance of neutral and positive-charged
sulfonamides species at low pH electrostatically bind to sorption sites on soil surfaces. It is thought that the pH of the soil can influence the enzyme stability and change the electrostatic properties of soil surface, thereby affecting the biodegradation of SMX in soil.\textsuperscript{31}

### 3.3.4 Effects of temperature

Temperature is one of the main environmental factors influencing the growth of microorganisms.\textsuperscript{31} The percentage of SMX degradation after 30 d of incubation with varying temperature for the immobilized T2 is shown in Fig. 8. Degradation of SMX at different temperatures were 84.14% (28 °C), 42.70% (23 °C), 83.13% (33 °C), 78.69% (38 °C) and 51.62% (43 °C). These results indicate that the optimum temperature for the strain was 28 °C. Higher or lower temperatures reduced the enzymatic activity of the microorganisms and lessened their biodegradation capabilities. Temperatures higher than 35 °C have detrimental effects on bacterial enzymes that are responsible for the benzene ring cleavage. Exposure to lower temperatures is expected to slow down the rate of microbial activity. This differentiation from the main role of chemical reactions might be due to the differences in penetration efficiency of SMX into the microbial cell membranes.\textsuperscript{34} The lack of an increase in the degradation of SMX might be due to the possible accumulation of the degradation products causing inhibition of the SMX oxidation process.\textsuperscript{35}

#### 3.3.5 Effects of heavy metal ions

Heavy metal ions are usually present in soil which can provide nutrients for microorganisms. However, the presence of some heavy metals ions may have a negative effect on microbial growth and degradation processes. Therefore, this study explored the effect of heavy metal ions on the biodegradation of SMX. Changes in degradation of SMX by immobilized T2 with two different heavy metal ions are shown in Fig. 9. Pb\textsuperscript{2+} can damage the cell membrane and disrupt the transport of nutrients, and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} can inhibit the growth of microorganisms. Fig. 9 shows that degradation of SMX was significantly lower in the presence of Pb\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in the soil than that of the control group, with Cd\textsuperscript{2+} having a greater inhibitory effect on the degradation process. This difference may be related to the immobilization of cells on bagasse. Research on cellular tolerance to heavy metal ions in the soil has shown that although heavy metals will inhibit the growth of microorganisms, immobilized cells have the ability to tolerate heavy metal pollution.\textsuperscript{36}

### Table 2

| Chemical structure | RT    | Mass       | Formula   | Tgt mass | Diff (ppm) | Significant ion m/z |
|-------------------|-------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|---------------------|
| 1-2-Benzenediol, isomer IV | 4.367 | 110.03668  | C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 110.03678 | -0.86 | 111.0439 |
| 1-2-Benzenediol, isomer II | 3.506 | 110.03672  | C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 110.03678 | -0.55 | 111.0440 |
| 1-2-Benzenediol, isomer I | 3.126 | 110.03676  | C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 110.03678 | -0.16 | 111.0441 |
| 1-2-Benzenediol, isomer V | 4.797 | 110.03684  | C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 110.03678 | 0.58 | 111.0442 |
| 1-2-Benzenediol, isomer III | 4.201 | 110.03689  | C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{11}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 110.03678 | 1.00 | 111.0443 |
| 2-Oxopent-4-enoic acid | 6.485 | 114.03160  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{3} | 114.03169 | -0.78 | 115.0389 |
| Benzoic acid | 4.119 | 122.03684  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{2} | 122.03678 | 0.51 | 123.0441 |
| 3-Aminobenzene-1,3-diol | 1.074 | 125.04764  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}NO\textsubscript{3} | 125.04768 | -0.30 | 126.0550 |
| N-Phenylacetamide | 1.355 | 135.06826  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}NO | 135.06841 | -1.10 | 136.0755 |
| Phenylacetic acid | 2.960 | 136.05211  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}NO | 136.05243 | -2.35 | 137.0595 |
| 4-Acetamidophenol | 1.339 | 151.06321  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}NO\textsubscript{3} | 151.06333 | -0.82 | 152.0704 |
| SMX3 | 3.374 | 189.09031  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}NO | 189.09021 | 0.53 | 190.0975 |
| SMX | 3.490 | 253.05315  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 253.05321 | -0.10 | 254.0606 |
| SMX1 | 3.374 | 256.05198  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 256.05178 | 0.79 | 257.0594 |
| SMX2, isomer I | 2.944 | 267.06768  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 267.06776 | -0.32 | 268.0749 |
| SMX2, isomer III | 3.887 | 267.06781  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 267.06776 | 0.18 | 268.0751 |
| SMX2, isomer II | 3.754 | 267.06794  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 267.06776 | 0.67 | 268.0753 |
| SMX4 | 3.639 | 295.06275  | C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S | 295.06268 | 0.25 | 296.0700 |
3.3.6 Degradation of SMX in sterilized and unsterilized soils. The degradation of SMX in sterilized and unsterilized soil by immobilized T2 on bagasse was compared. The percentage degradation of SMX increased with incubation time. This result suggests that SMX was mainly degraded within the first 15 d. At the end of the incubation period, the percentages of SMX degradation were compared between sterilized and unsterilized conditions.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 11** UPLC analysis of the products of SMX degradation by the T2 bacterium, *Enterobacter cloacae*.

**Scheme 1** Proposed pathways of SMX degradation by strain the bacterium strain T2, *Enterobacter cloacae*.
degraded in sterilized and unsterilized soil were 79.09% and 84.14%, respectively. Other research has suggested that during the process of bioremediation of pollutants, microorganisms and enzymes are affected by other indigenous microorganisms present in soils. A positive synergistic effect was not observed when R. equi (an SMX-degrading bacterium) was mixed with other microorganisms. The results of this current study show that indigenous microorganisms play a promoting role in the degradation of the sulfonamides in soil (Fig. 10).

3.4 Analysis of the degradation products

According to the formula obtained by Q-TOF analysis, the structure of SMX, literature sources and general metabolic laws we propose the metabolic process and intermediate products (Table 2) of the degradation of SMX by the T2 bacterium Enterobacter cloacae. Fig. 11 shows the peaks of the partial primary products detected by UPLC. Scheme 1 shows a proposed pathway of SMX-biodegradation based on the analysis of these experimental results. The spectrum of UPLC-Q-TOF can be seen in the ESL†. The initial metabolites of SMX biodegradation follow four pathways. These are: methylation (SMX1); substitution by hydroxyl and double bond oxidation (SMX2); desulphurization (SMX3); and acetylation (SMX4). Among them, the acetylation pathway (SMX4) is significant for further research. Differing from previous thoughts which believed that the initial SMX biodegradation pathways were either hydrolysis or hydroxylation then hydrolysis, the T2 bacterium resists the toxicity of SMX by acetylation. N-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)acetamide might be attributed to hydroxylation of N-phenylacetamide, N-phenylacetamide was also converted to benzoic and phenylacetic acid through other pathways. The final process was the degradation of the benzene ring. Compounds containing a benzene ring are hydroxylated to form catechol and its structural isomers under catalysis of dioxygenase. There was also the combination of two hydroquinone metabolites, under pyrolysis which resulted in peak time diversity. The generation of oxopent-4-enoic acid due to the open-loop reaction of pyrocatechol.16,22,58-61

4. Conclusions

Within 30 d of the incubation period, the concentrations of SMX in soil declined rapidly in the first 15 d under all experimental conditions, this decline slowed after this period. This could be due to the decrease in nutrients and microelements and the accumulation of toxic intermediates, which would cause a reduction in the activity of the cells and enzymes. The optimal temperature and pH for the degradation of SMX by the bacterial strain T2 immobilized on bagasse were determined to be 28 °C and 3.5, respectively. We can also conclude that the degradation rate of SMX in the presence of Pb2+ and Cd2+ in soil was significantly lower than that in the control group. The participation of indigenous microorganisms improved bioremediation of SMX by the T2 bacterium. Bagasse is an agricultural residue, in this study, we used it as a support for increasing the enzymatic activity by enabling a greater microbial biomass to accumulate and therefore increase the biodegradation of SMX.60 Indeed, the immobilized strains on bagasse exhibited remarkably improved catalytic capacity and stability properties for degrading SMX in soil, the degradation rate reached up to 84.14%. Therefore, these results present a notable potential method for the biodegradation of SMX in soil. Several compounds were identified using HR-MS to analyse the pathways of SMX biodegradation. In summary, selecting bagasse as support for SMX-degrading microbial strains can be used as a guideline for improving the degradation of SMX, which are important economic and environmental aims for remediation of the environment.
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