Liver sinusoidal endothelial cell expressed vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 promotes liver fibrosis
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Background: During liver injury, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) dysfunction and capillarization promote liver fibrosis. We have previously reported that the LSEC vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM1) plays a key role in liver inflammation in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and we now aim to uncover its role in LSEC capillarization and liver fibrosis.

Methods: Wild-type C57BL/6J mice were fed either chow or high fat, fructose and cholesterol diet to induce NASH and treated with either anti-VCAM1 neutralizing antibody or control isotype antibody. Inducible endothelial cell-specific Vcam1 deleted mice (Vcam1Dend) and control mice (Vcam1fl/fl) were fed choline-deficient high-fat diet (CD-HFD) to induce NASH or injected with carbon tetrachloride to induce liver fibrosis. LSECs isolated from Vcam1fl/fl or Vcam1Dend and hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) isolated from wild-type mice were cocultured in a 3-D system or a μ-Slide 2 well co-culture system.

Results: Immunostaining for Lyve1 (marker of differentiated LSECs) was reduced in Vcam1Dend mice and restored in Vcam1fl/fl mice in both NASH and liver fibrosis models. Co-immunostaining showed increased α-smooth muscle actin in the livers of Vcam1Dend mice in areas lacking Lyve1. Furthermore, scanning electron microscopy showed reduced LSEC fenestrae in the Vcam1Dend mice but not Vcam1fl/fl mice in both injury models, suggesting that VCAM1 promotes LSEC capillarization during liver injury. HSCs profibrogenic markers were reduced when cocultured with LSECs from CD-HFD fed Vcam1Dend mice compared to Vcam1fl/fl mice. Furthermore, recombinant VCAM1 activated the Yes-associated protein 1 pathway and induced a fibrogenic phenotype in HSCs in vitro, supporting the profibrogenic role of LSEC VCAM1.
Conclusion: VCAM1 is not just a scaffold for leukocyte adhesion during liver injury, but also a modulator of LSEC capillarization and liver fibrosis.
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Introduction

Chronic liver diseases (CLDs) of different etiologies constitute a major public health burden worldwide; there are 1.5 billion cases, accounting for approximately 1.3 million deaths each year (1, 2). Liver fibrosis is a common pathological process in CLDs that reflects advanced disease stage and represents the most important prognostic factor for morbidity and mortality (2). One of the key features of liver fibrosis is the accumulation of extracellular matrix components secreted mainly by activated hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), which are liver-specific pericytes residing in the space between the hepatocytes and the sinusoidal wall (the space of Disse). The activation of HSCs is a complex process regulated by various intercellular and paracrine signaling pathways in the liver microenvironment (3).

Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) are highly specialized endothelial cells lining the liver sinusoids. LSECs have a distinct morphology from vascular endothelial cells in other body organs and are characterized by the presence of pores arranged in sieve plates called fenestrae and the absence of basement membrane (4). Furthermore, growing evidence suggests that LSECs play essential roles in many pathological disorders in the liver, including metabolic dysregulation, inflammation and fibrosis (4). Given the spatial proximity between LSECs and HSCs in the liver microenvironment, LSECs under physiological conditions maintain the HSC quiescence (4). In contrast, during liver injury, LSECs lose their fenestrae and acquire a basement membrane, a phenomenon called LSEC capillarization or dedifferentiation, which is associated with HSCs activation, leading to the development of liver fibrosis (5). However, the exact molecular mediators linking LSEC capillarization to HSC activation are still unclear.

Recently, we reported that the expression of LSEC adhesion molecule vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM1) is upregulated in murine and human NASH. We also showed that VCAM1 inhibition (both pharmacological and endothelial cell-specific genetic deletion) attenuated proinflammatory monocyte hepatic infiltration, and thereby alleviated liver fibrosis in diet-induced murine NASH models (6).

Endothelial VCAM1 binds to its cognate receptors such as integrin α9β1, α4β7 and α4β1 expressed on circulating leukocytes, leading to the firm adhesion of leukocytes to the endothelial cells, which is a critical step in the inflammatory response. Furthermore, VCAM1 functions as a signaling hub upstream of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways or oxidative/nitrosative stress (7). However, it is still unclear whether VCAM1 is a hallmark of LSEC capillarization and whether VCAM1 can directly modulate HSC activation in liver fibrosis.

To answer these questions, we employed two mouse models known to induce significant liver fibrosis together with pharmacological blockade, or endothelial cell-specific knockout of VCAM1. We also treated human HSCs with recombinant VCAM1; in addition, we employed 2-D and 3-D co-culture systems of LSECs and HSCs to mimic the liver microenvironment and show that endothelial VCAM1 promotes LSEC capillarization and liver fibrosis during liver injury.

Methods

Materials

Palmitate (PA) (P0500) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). LPC (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved as previously described (8). Primary antisera employed for the studies include anti-alpha smooth muscle actin (αSMA) (ab124964) and anti-fibronectin (ab2413) antibodies from Abcam (Cambridge, MA), anti-GAPDH (MAB374) from Millipore Sigma, anti-β-actin (sc-47778) from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (Santa Cruz, CA) and anti-Lyve1 (AF2125) from R&D systems. Recombinant human VCAM1 (rhVCAM1) (862-VC) was obtained from R&D systems.
Cells

Primary human liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (hLSECs) were purchased from ScienCell Research Laboratories (#5000, San Diego, CA). Primary mouse LSECs were isolated using a method based on liver collagenase perfusion and immunomagnetic selection as previously described (6, 9). Briefly, liver was digested with collagenase infused via portal vein and obtained cell suspensions were centrifuged at 50 g for 2 minutes to remove hepatocytes. The supernatant, which includes non-parenchymal cells was subjected to LSEC isolation using CD146 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instruction. hLSECs and primary mouse LSECs were cultured in Endothelial Cell Growth Medium (#211-500, Sigma) consisting of 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% endothelial cells growth supplement, and 1% primocin (InVivoGen, San Diego, CA) solution. Mouse primary hepatic stellate cells (mHSCs) were isolated as described previously (10). Briefly, the isolation of HSCs from mouse liver is composed of three subsequent steps: (a) In situ pronase/collagenase perfusion of mouse liver; (b) subsequent in vitro digestion; and (c) density gradient-based separation of HSCs from other hepatic cell populations. mHSCs were cultured in complete media DMEM (Life Technologies #11965092), 10% FBS and 1% primocin (InVivoGen, San Diego, CA) solution. Primary human hepatic stellate cells (hHSCs, ScienCell Research Laboratories #5300) were cultured in complete stellate growth medium (ScienCell Research Laboratories #5301) containing 1% primocin. Both hLSECs and hHSCs were maintained according to the manufacturer’s instructions and only the cells with a passage number of 3 or 4 were used for the experiments. All the cell cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

3-D co-culture of primary LSECs and HSCs

Endothelial cell growth basal medium was combined with Matrigel Matrix (Corning, NY, USA, Cat# 356231) in a 3:2 ratio to produce a 40% Matrigel solution. Each well of a 96-well culture plate was coated with 80 µl of the 40% Matrigel solution. The Matrigel layers were then incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes to enhance polymerization. 1x10^5 mouse LSECs isolated from CD-HFD-fed Vcam1^+/fl or Vcam1^+fl mice and 1x10^5 mouse HSCs were suspended in endothelial cell growth medium and seeded on the freshly solidified Matrigel layer. Co-culture of hLSECs and hHSCs was performed in the same setting after the pretreatment of hLSECs with or without PA for 16 hours. After 3 days, cells were recovered from Matrigel using Corning Cell Recovery Solution (Corning, Cat#35425), and total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qPCR analysis were performed.

2-D co-culture of primary hLSECs and hHSCs

hLSECs and hHSCs were co-cultured using µ-Slide 2 well Co-culture (ibidi, Lochhamer, Germany). Briefly, 1x10^4 hLSECs were seeded in the peripheral wells and 1x10^4 hHSCs were seeded in the central well, then the cells were cultured overnight. hLSECs were treated with LPC 20 µM, after 4 hours LPC containing medium was removed and replaced with LSEC complete medium to fill up the central and the peripheral wells and the inter-cellular communication between hLSECs and hHSCs via soluble factors was examined. After 6 hours of co-culture, hHSCs were processed for αSMA immuno-staining following the protocols described in the immunocytochemistry section.

Immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy

hHSCs were treated or co-cultured with hLSECs as desired and fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min at room temperature, and permeabilized using 0.01% TritonX-100 for 5 min, then blocked with 5% BSA for one hour. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies, anti-αSMA (1:250), or anti-Yap1 (1:500) overnight at 4°C. Cells were labeled using Alexa Fluor 596-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:2,000), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (1:2,000), or Alexa Fluor 596-conjugated chicken anti-mouse IgG (1:2,000), and observed under confocal microscopy (LSM 980, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). 4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for the nuclear counterstain. ZEN 2.3 lite software (ZEISS) was used for acquiring images.

Primary human hepatic stellate cell activation assay

To culture primary hHSCs on a matrix that simulates the liver stiffness in vivo, CytoSoft 6-well plates with a rigidity of 0.2 kPa were obtained from Advanced Biomatrix (Cat# 5165, Carlsbad, CA), these plates have a 0.5 mm thick silicone gel in each well. Before seeding the cells, the wells were coated with 0.1 mg/ml PureCol Type I collagen solution (Cat# 5005, Advanced Biomatrix INC, Carlsbad, CA) to allow cell attachment. Primary HSCs were plated in a CytoSoft 6-well plate at a concentration of 3 x 10^5 cells/well. After serum starvation overnight, the HSCs were treated with 0.5 µM of rhVCAM1 for 48 hours. Cells were then harvested for RT-PCR analysis.
Immunoblot analysis

Cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 1% Nonidet P-40; 0.25% sodium deoxycholate; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA with protease inhibitors) followed by centrifugation at 15,000g for 15 min at 4°C. Protein concentrations of the lysates were measured by the Bradford assay method (Sigma-Aldrich). Equal amount of protein was loaded onto Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) gels, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and incubated overnight with the primary antibody of interest. All primary antibodies were purchased from the manufacturer. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies against rabbit (Alpha Diagnostic International, San Antonio, TX) or mouse (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL) were used at a dilution of 1:5,000 and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Proteins were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL). GAPDH protein levels were used as loading controls.

Animals

Study protocols were conducted as approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Mayo Clinic. The methods employed in the current study were conducted in accordance with IACUC guidelines for the use of anesthetics in experimental mice. Mice were housed and bred in a temperature-controlled 12:12-hour light-dark cycle facility with free access to diet. All interventions occurred during the light cycle. C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME).

Generation of endothelial cell specific Vcam1 knockout mice

Vcam1<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice on the C57BL/6J background (Jackson Laboratory, Cat. 007665) were crossed with a line expressing tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase (CreERT2) under the regulation of the vascular endothelial cadherin (VE-Cadherin) promoter (Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2) (11, 12), and the offspring Vcam1<sup>fl/fl</sup> Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2 mice were obtained. At 6 weeks of age, Vcam1<sup>fl/fl</sup> Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 4 mg of tamoxifen for 5 consecutive days and used as endothelial cell-specific Vcam1 knockout mice (referred to as Vcam1<sup>fl/k</sup>). Littermates that do not have the Cdh5(PAC)-CreERT2 transgene (referred to as Vcam1<sup>fl/w</sup>) received the same tamoxifen dose and served as control mice.

Diet-induced murine NASH models

C57BL/6J wild-type (WT) mice were fed either a chow diet (5053 PicoLab Rodent Diet 20, LabDiet, St Louis, MO) or a diet rich in fat, fructose, and cholesterol (FFC) starting at the age of 8-weeks for 24 weeks. FFC diet consists of 40% energy as fat (12% saturated fatty acid, 0.2% cholesterol) (AIN-76A Western Diet, TestDiet, St Louis, MO), with fructose (23.1 g/L) and glucose (18.9 g/L) in the drinking water. The FFC diet phenocopies the metabolic and histological features of the human NASH (13), and has been extensively validated (14, 15). At 20 weeks on the diet, the mice were randomized to receive either anti-VCAM1 neutralizing antibody (M/K-2.7), (Genetex, GTX14360) or IgG isotype antibody (BE0088, InVivoMAb). Mice were injected with 10 mg/kg body weight of either the antibodies or IgG isotype intraperitoneally, twice per week for the last 4 weeks of the feeding studies. In an independent study, Vcam1<sup>fl/fl</sup> and Vcam1<sup>fl/k</sup> mice were fed the choline-deficient high-fat diet (CD-HFD) (Research Diet, Cat. A06071302), which consists of 60% fat, 0.1% methionine, and no added choline, starting at 8 weeks of age for 6 weeks. Mice fed the CD-HFD experienced minimal body weight loss compared to the traditional choline-deficient diet and had hepatic steatosis, ALT elevation, hepatocytes ballooning, hepatic inflammation, and fibrosis, recapitulating the histological features of human NASH as we and others have shown in previous studies (6, 16).

Liver fibrosis model

Liver fibrosis was induced by intraperitoneal injection of carbon tetrachloride (CCl4), 1 µL/g of body weight. (Sigma-Aldrich #319961) into Vcam1<sup>fl/k</sup> and Vcam1<sup>fl/w</sup> mice, twice a week for 4 weeks. Mice were sacrificed 48 hours after the last injection.

Histology, immunohistochemistry, and digital image analysis

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded mouse liver tissue sections were deparaffinized, hydrated, and stained with antibody against Lyve-1 (1:100), F4/80 (1:500), or αSMA (1:1,000). The bound antibody was detected using a Vectastain ABC kit for goat (PK-6105, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) or DakoEnVision+Dual Link System-HRP kit (#K4063) and DAB substrate (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions; the tissue sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. Lyve-1/F4/80/αSMA positive areas were quantified by digital image analysis of 10 random fields per slide per animal using ImageJ software. For the co-staining study, primary antibodies against Lyve-1 (1:250) and
αSMA (1:500) were detected using Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated chicken anti-goat IgG (A21467) and Alexa Fluor 596-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific, respectively, and then the co-stained liver tissues were examined by confocal microscopy (LSM 980, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for the nuclear counterstain. ZEN 2.3 lite software (ZEISS) was used for acquiring images.

**SEM study and analysis of LSEC fenestration**

Mice were injected via the portal vein with saline and then Trump’s fixative consisting of 4% formaldehyde and 1% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffered saline PBS (pH 7.2) to fix the liver in situ. Fixed livers were removed and cut into 2 mm² size and immersed in Trump’s fixative at 4°C overnight. The specimens were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, and dried. Subsequently, the sections were coated with a thin layer of platinum/palladium and visualized under an S-4700 electron microscope (Hitachi Inc, Pleasanton, USA). The numbers of fenestrae in LSECs in randomly selected 5 fields per mouse were quantified using imageJ software as previously described (17).

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and was reverse transcribed with moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase and oligo-dT random primers (both from Invitrogen, CA, USA). Quantification of gene expression was performed by real-time PCR using SYBR green fluorescence on a QuanStudio 6 Flex (Applied biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Target gene expression was calculated using the ΔΔCt method and was normalized to 18S or Gapdh mRNA expression levels, which were stable across experimental groups. Target genes primers sequences used in this study were shown in [Table 1 in Supplementary Materials](#).

To determine the relevance of VCAM1 in the deleterious LSEC phenotype caused by chronic liver injury in vivo, we first examined whether pharmacological blockade of VCAM1 could prevent sinusoidal capillarization, a hallmark of injurious and pro-fibrogenic phenotype observed in LSECs. To this end, we employed a mouse model of NASH (Figure 1A) induced by a high-fat, fructose, and cholesterol (FFC) diet that recapitulates the clinical and histological features of the human disease (1, 2). In this model, the mRNA level of the capillarized endothelial cell marker Cd34 was increased in the FFC-fed control antibody (IgG)-treated mice and reduced with VCAM1 neutralizing antibody (VCAM1Ab) treatment (Figure 1B). In line with this data, immunostaining showed that the differentiated LSEC marker Lyve1 was reduced by FFC feeding and restored with VCAM1Ab treatment (Figure 1C). VCAM1 is expressed by immune cells and cholangiocytes in addition to LSEC (3, 4). Hence, we next employed our inducible Cre-mediated endothelial cell-specific Vcam1 knockout mice to investigate the role of VCAM1 expressed on LSECs in sinusoidal capillarization during NASH. Control mice (Vcam1+/+) and knockout mice (Vcam1−/−) were fed choline-deficient-high fat diet (CD-HFD) to induce NASH (Figure 1D) (5, 6). As shown in Figure 1E, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of liver sections demonstrated the LSEC fenestrae from both groups of mice and quantified by porosity showing restoration of fenestrae in CD-HFD-fed Vcam1−/− mice compared to Vcam1+/+ mice.

We next examined whether deletion of LSEC Vcam1 also attenuates LSEC capillarization in the CCl4-induced chronic liver injury model (Figure 1F). Interestingly, SEM of liver sections from Vcam1−/− mice showed restoration of the LSEC fenestrae (Figure 1G). Capillarized LSECs are often identified in chronic liver disease and advanced liver fibrosis (7). Indeed, immunostaining of Lyve1 was reduced significantly in Vcam1−/− mice from both CD-HFD induced NASH model and CCl4-induced liver fibrosis model (Figure 1H, I and Supplementary Figure 1A, B) and restored in Vcam1+/+ mice. Interestingly, double immunofluorescent staining showed significant reduction of Lyve1 in CD-HFD fed Vcam1+/+ mice when compared to chow-fed Vcam1−/− mice, especially in areas with high αSMA expression. Likewise, restoration of Lyve1 and reduction of αSMA expression and liver fibrosis was observed in CD-HFD fed Vcam1−/− (Supplementary Figure 1C). These data suggest the involvement of LSEC capillarization in HSC activation. Taken together, these findings imply that during liver injury, endothelial VCAM1 promotes hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cell capillarization and may contribute to the loss of HSC quiescence.

**LSECs under toxic lipid treatment promote HSCs activation via a VCAM1 dependent mechanism**

Lipotoxicity secondary to excess circulating saturated free fatty acids (SFA)-induced cellular stress is a major driver of NASH pathogenesis. LSEC VCAM1 expression is enhanced in mice and human with NASH and upregulated upon treatment with SFA palmitate in vitro (5, 8). To investigate whether LSECs VCAM1 can directly activate HSCs and mimic the spatial proximity of LSECs and HSCs in the liver microenvironment, we employed a matrigel-based 3-D co-culture system consisting of these two cell types (Figure 2A). We co-cultured primary LSECs isolated from CD-HFD-fed Vcam1+/+ and Vcam1−/− mice with primary HSCs isolated from chow-fed wild-type mice. mRNA expression of the pro-fibrogenic markers Col1a1, Pdgfrb and Timp1 in HSCs co-cultured with NASH liver-derived Vcam1−/− LSECs was reduced when compared to HSCs co-
Figure 1
Endothelial VCAM1 promotes LSEC capillarization during liver injury. Eight-week-old WT C57BL/6J mice were fed either chow or FFC diet for 24 weeks to induce NASH and treated with either anti-VCAM1Ab or control IgG isotype Ab (IgG) twice a week for the last 4 weeks. (A) Schematic representation of the experimental mouse study. (B) Hepatic mRNA expression of Cd34 was assessed by real-time PCR. Fold change was determined after normalization to 18s rRNA and expressed relative to chow-IgG mice. n=5-7. (C) Representative images of Lyve1 immunostaining of liver sections (left). Scale bar: 100 μm. Lyve1 positive areas were quantified in 10 random 10x microscopic fields and averaged for each animal (right). n=4-7. Vcam1fl/fl and Vcam1Dend mice were fed the CD-HFD diet starting at the age of 8 weeks for 6 weeks to induce NASH. (D) Schematic representation of the experimental mouse study. (E) Representative SEM images of the mouse livers. Scale bar: 5 μm (left) as shown on the bottom of the picture. The frequency of fenestrae was presented as porosity and quantified using image J (right). Eight-week-old Vcam1Dend mice and Vcam1fl/fl mice were treated with CCl4 intraperitoneally (1μL/g body weight), two times a week for 4 weeks to induce liver fibrosis. (F) Schematic representation of the experimental mouse study. Vcam1fl/fl and Vcam1Dend mice were injected intraperitoneally with CCI4 twice a week for 4 weeks to induce liver fibrosis. (G) Representative SEM images of the mouse livers. Scale bar: 5 μm (left) as shown on the bottom of the picture. The frequency of fenestrae was presented as porosity and quantified using image J (right). Representative images of Lyve1 immunostaining of liver sections from CD-HFD induced NASH mice (H) or CCI4 induced liver fibrosis mice (I). Scale bar: 50 μm. * * ** *** **** indicate statistical significance with p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001, respectively.
cultured with NASH liver-derived Vcam1<sup>fl/fl</sup> LSECs (Figure 2B). We next employed primary human cells and examined whether PA-primed LSECs promote the induction of stellate cell activation using the same 3-D co-culture system. We have previously demonstrated that the primary human LSECs used for these experiments had well preserved LSEC-specific features such as higher expression of Lyve-1 and Stabilin-2 compared to human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (9). We also
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**FIGURE 2**
Lipotoxic LSECs activate HSCs during NASH in a VCAM1 dependent manner. Primary LSECs were isolated from Vcam1<sup>end</sup> or Vcam1<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice with NASH and primary HSCs were isolated from healthy wild type mice and co-cultured using 3-D co-culture system. (A) Schema of the 3-D coculture system used for mouse primary cells co-culture. (B) mRNA levels of HSCs activation markers, Col1a1, Pdgfrb and Timp1 were assessed by real-time PCR. Fold change was determined after normalization to 18s rRNA. n=3. (C) Human primary LSECs and HSCs were co-cultured using the same 3-D co-culture system. HSECs treated with vehicle or palmitate (PA) 500 µM overnight then co-cultured with HSCs for 3 days in LSEC growth medium without PA treatment, HSCs activation was examined by mRNA expression of COL1a1, PDGFRA and PDGFRB. n=3. (D) hLSECs and hHSCs were co-cultured using a 2-D co-culture μ-slide to examine the effect of hLSECs-derived soluble factors on hHSCs activation. hLSECs in the peripheral wells were pre-treated with LPC 20µM for 4 hours, then LPC containing media was replaced and filled up to allow for intercellular communication via soluble factors. After 6-hours of co-culture, hHSCs activation was examined by eSMA staining. Scale bar: 20µm left pannel, 10µm right pannel. eSMA fluorescent density from 5 random fields was quantified using ImageJ software. *, **, *** indicate statistical significance with p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001 and p < 0.0001, respectively. Statistically non-significant results were labeled as ns.
confirmed that the primary human HSCs showed increased protein expressions of the extracellular matrix component fibronectin and the HSC activation marker α-SMA, suggesting that these cells are genuine quiescent HSCs rather than activated fibroblasts (Supplementary Figure 2A) as previously described by us in details (10, 11). When co-cultured with PA-primed hLSECs, hHSCs showed significant increase in COL1A1, PDGFRα and PDGFRB gene expressions compared to those co-cultured with the control non-treated hLSECs (Figure 2C).

To investigate whether cell contact is required for the LSEC-induced HSC activation, we employed a 2-D co-culture of hLSECs and hHSCs using μ-slide system to examine the potential role of soluble VCAM1 released from LSECs under toxic lipid treatment in HSC activations. VCAM1 is known to be cleaved by a disintegrin and metalloproteinase 17 (ADAM17) at the extracellular site proximal to the cell membrane and the released free form is biologically active (18). In this co-culture the extracellular site proximal to the cell membrane and the cleaved by a disintegrin and metalloproteinase 17 (ADAM17) at the extracellular site proximal to the cell membrane and the released free form is biologically active (18). When co-cultured with LPC-treated hLSECs, hHSCs displayed enhanced activation as shown by enhanced αSMA staining (Figure 2D) compared to hHSCs co-cultured with non-treated hLSECs. Taken together these data suggest that during lipotoxicity, LSEC-derived VCAM1 in a free form enhances hepatic stellate cell activation thereby potentiates the development of liver fibrosis.

Hippo pathway effector Yap1 is involved in VCAM1 mediated HSCs activation

To avoid the spontaneous activation of HSCs by culturing on the plastic plate surface, we utilized the soft silicon culture surface with rigidity of 0.2 kPa, which simulates a healthy liver stiffness. To demonstrate that lipotoxic LSECs can promote HSC activation in a VCAM1-dependent manner, we treated hHSCs with recombinant human VCAM1 (rhVCAM1) and identified significant upregulation of the mRNA expression of HSC activation markers TIMP1 and PDGFRB when compared to control cells (Figure 3A). These data indicate that VCAM1 has the potential to activate quiescent HSCs. Next, we aimed to identify the potential regulatory mechanism underlying LSEC VCAM1-induced HSC activation. Hippo pathway and its downstream effector Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1) is a known regulator of HSC activation, especially during the early stage of liver injury (14). Interestingly, recombinant human VCAM1-treated hHSCs showed significant induction of YAP1 as well as its target genes including connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) and ankyrin repeat domain protein 1 (ANKRD1) (Figure 3B). Furthermore, when treated with rhVCAM1 protein for 48 hours, hHSCs showed decreased phosphorylation of Yap1 as well as slight increase of total Yap1 (Figure 3C). Likewise, rhVCAM1-treated hHSCs displayed increased nuclear YAP1 when compared with vehicle-treated cells (Figure 3D). We next examined the correlation of the activation of YAP1 protein and αSMA expression in HSCs and showed that rhVCAM1 treated HSCs also displayed enhanced activation as shown with αSMA staining (Figure 3D) compared to vehicle-treated cells. Collectively, these data suggest that VCAM1 induced HSC activation is likely mediated by a YAP1 dependent mechanism.

Endothelial cell-specific loss of VCAM1 ameliorates liver fibrosis in mice with CCl4-induced liver injury

To examine the profibrogenic role of LSEC VCAM1 in vivo, we employed the CCl4 experimental liver fibrosis mouse model (Figure 4A). CCl4 treatment was well tolerated in mice from the different experimental groups and did not affect weight gain (Figure 4B). CCl4-treated Vcam1fl/fl mice showed increased Coll1a1 mRNA expression in liver when compared to olive oil-treated group mice. In contrast, CCl4-treated Vcam1cre/cre mice had a significant reduction in Coll1a1 mRNA levels (Figure 4C). Likewise, when compared to CCl4-treated Vcam1fl/fl mice, Vcam1cre/cre had reduced liver fibrosis when assessed by Sirius red staining, and αSMA immunostaining (Figure 4D, E). Collectively, these data support the profibrogenic role of LSEC VCAM1 in liver injury.

Endothelial cell-specific loss of VCAM1 ameliorates liver inflammation in mice with CCl4-induced liver injury

Given the known role of VCAM1 in immune cell adhesion and liver inflammation in NASH (5), we sought to assess liver inflammation in CCl4-treated Vcam1cre/cre mice, and showed reduced inflammatory infiltrate as assessed by H&E staining (Figure 5B) in these mice as compared to the Vcam1fl/fl mice. Furthermore, IIH staining for the macrophage specific marker F4/80, showed reduced immunostaining in CCl4-treated Vcam1cre/cre mice compared to CCl4-treated Vcam1fl/fl mice (Figure 5C), although the changes of Cdh68 or Ccr2 mRNA expressions were not statistically significant between the groups (Figure 5A). These findings suggest that CCl4-treated Vcam1cre/cre mice showed attenuated liver inflammation compared to CCl4-treated Vcam1fl/fl mice, which is consistent with its known role in immune cell adhesion and hepatic infiltration during liver injury. However, the difference in CCl4-induced liver inflammation between Vcam1fl/fl and Vcam1cre/cre mice was relatively modest, when compared with the striking reduction in liver fibrosis in the CCl4-treated Vcam1cre/cre mice, suggesting that LSEC-expressed VCAM1
promotes liver fibrosis also through direct interaction with hepatic stellate cells.

**Discussion**

The principal findings of the present study provide mechanistic insights regarding the role of the adhesion molecule VCAM1 expressed on LSECs in the development of liver fibrosis (Figure 6). Our results indicate that i) LSEC VCAM1 promotes endothelial capillarization in two murine models of chronic liver injury, ii) toxic lipid-induced exuberant expression of VCAM1 promotes HSC activation via YAP1 signaling pathway, iii) endothelial cell-specific deletion of VCAM1 ameliorates CCl4-induced mouse liver fibrosis. Our findings are discussed in greater details below.

Since its discovery as an endothelial cell surface glycoprotein, VCAM1 has been recognized for its essential roles in leukocyte adhesion via its cognate binding with counterpart adhesion molecules on the leukocyte surface such as integrin αβ1 and αβ7 (15, 16). Given its role as a foothold for leukocyte recruitment, VCAM1 has been implicated in the pathogenesis
of numerous inflammatory diseases including rheumatoid arthritis, asthma and atherosclerosis (17, 19, 20). Likewise, we recently reported that in NASH pathogenesis, toxic lipid-induced aberrant expression of LSEC VCAM1 mediates hepatic recruitment of pro-inflammatory monocytes, thereby promoting inflammation and fibrosis in the liver (5). On the other hand, HSCs are known to be activated by various stimuli including direct interaction or paracrine signaling from LSECs (21, 22). Moreover, recent single cell studies delineated inferred ligand-receptor interactions between LSECs and HSCs during liver fibrosis both in mouse and humans (18, 23). However, the role of LSEC derived factors in the evolution of liver fibrosis during liver injury is an area ripe for further investigation. Hence, the present study shows a critical role of LSEC VCAM1 in HSC activation and is consistent with previous human studies that indicate that serum levels of soluble VCAM1 can predict liver fibrosis severity in NAFLD patients (24).

LSECs are distinguished from other endothelial cells in the body by the lack of basement membrane and the presence of fenestrae regularly arranged in so-called sieve plates. Differentiated LSECs (LSECs under physiological conditions) can maintain HSC quiescence in a paracrine manner (7, 25). During chronic liver injury of various etiologies, LSECs lose their quiescent phenotype (fenestrae), a phenomenon called ‘capillarization’, which ensues prior to liver fibrosis (26). Indeed, capillarized or dedifferentiated LSECs can promote HSC activation and liver fibrosis (25).
However, the exact mechanism of LSEC capillarization in chronic liver disease is largely obscure. Several mechanisms have been implicated in LSEC capillarization thus far, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway, Notch signaling pathway and hedgehog signaling pathway (25, 27, 28). Other studies attributed the development and loss of fenestrae in LSECs to actin-mediated cytoskeletal reorganization (29, 30). Notably, growing evidence suggests that adhesion molecules in the immunoglobulin superfamily including VCAM1 can modulate actin cytoskeleton rearrangement of endothelial cells (31–34). To our knowledge, our report is the first study that demonstrates the role of an adhesion molecule in LSEC capillarization during liver injury. Whether and how aberrantly expressed VCAM1 can cause remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton is a subject of future investigation.

Hippo signaling pathway is an evolutionally conserved pathway, which plays an important role in regulating cell proliferation, organ size, tissue development and regeneration (35). Accumulating evidence suggests the involvement of the Hippo pathway in fibrosis in various organs including lung, heart, pancreas and liver (36–39). YAP1 is a transcriptional coactivator that is negatively regulated in the Hippo signaling pathway. Knockdown of YAP1 expression or pharmacological inhibition of YAP1 prevented HSC activation in vitro and pharmacological inhibition of YAP1 ameliorated CCl4 or bile duct ligation-induced hepatic fibrogenesis in mice (14). To date, a variety of intracellular signaling pathways including acid ceramidase, fibroblast growth factor 18 and Hedgehog signaling pathways have been shown to act as a modulator of YAP1 activity, thereby altering HSC activation and liver fibrosis (40–42). Interestingly, the adhesion molecule integrin beta-1 (ITGβ1) has also been found to play an essential role as an upstream effector of YAP1 regulating HSC activation (43). Given that ITGβ1 heterodimerizes with α integrins such as ITGα4 and α7 on the cell surface and VCAM1 is one of the principal ligands for these integrins, ITGβ1/YAP1 axis might possibly serve as a key mechanism for VCAM1-induced YAP1 activation and HSCs activation (43). In addition, soluble VCAM1 is released when cleaved by a disintegrin and metalloproteinase 17 (ADAM17) at the extracellular site proximal to the cell membrane (44, 45), then can bind and activate integrin expressed on the target cells (46). Further studies are ongoing in our laboratory to delineate how...
VCAM1 modulates the Hippo signaling pathway during HSCs activation.

We employed 3 known mouse models of liver injury; each has its advantages and disadvantages. The FFC feeding model phenocopies the histological features and metabolic profiles of human NASH (1); however, it requires 6 months of feeding for histological features to be established. In our preliminary study, we have confirmed that the inducible Cre-mediated endothelial cell-specific gene deletion system we employed can deliver an adequate gene knockout efficacy both in a physiological condition and even after the 6-weeks of CD-HFD feeding. However, gene knockout efficacy after the 24 weeks of FFC feeding was suboptimal, likely secondary to reduced Cre recombinase activity over time after tamoxifen administration. Therefore, we employed CD-HFD diet to induce NASH when using the tamoxifen-inducible endothelial cell-specific gene knockout system. The findings obtained in the mouse NASH model are clinically important since NASH is currently the most common chronic liver disease and a major cause of end-stage liver disease worldwide (47). Furthermore, we demonstrated that inhibition of LSEC VCAM1 can ameliorate not only NASH-related liver fibrosis but also liver fibrosis in a more generalized context by employing CCl4-induced liver fibrosis model. CCl4 is a known model of liver fibrosis caused by hepatocyte necrosis and subsequent liver inflammation arising from the centrilobular area and is broadly used as liver injury model.

Taken together, the findings in the current study suggest that VCAM1 in LSECs is not just a scaffold for leukocyte adhesion, but also a direct modulator of liver fibrosis, further strengthening the potential efficacy of targeting VCAM1 in chronic liver disease patients in clinical settings.
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### Glossary

| Acronym | Definition |
|---------|------------|
| ADAMS17 | a disintegrin and metalloproteinase 17 |
| ALT     | alanine aminotransferase |
| αSMA    | alpha smooth muscle actin |
| ANKRD1  | ankyrin repeat domain protein 1 |
| BSA     | bovine serum albumin |
| CCl4     | carbon tetrachloride |
| CCR2    | C-C chemokine receptor type 2 |
| CD68    | cluster of differentiation 68 |
| CD-HFD  | choline-deficient high-fat diet |
| CLD     | chronic liver diseases |
| CTGF    | connective tissue growth factor |
| DAB     | diaminobenzidine |
| DAPI    | 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole |
| DMEM    | Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium |
| FBS     | fetal bovine serum |
| FFC     | high fat fructose and cholesterol diet |
| GAPDH   | glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| hLSEC   | human liver sinusoidal endothelial cells |
| HSC     | hepatic stellate cells |
| mHSC    | mouse hepatic stellate cells |
| HUVECs  | human umbilical vein endothelial cells |
| IACUC   | institutional animal care and use committee |
| IHL     | intrahepatic leukocyte |

(Continued)