Partial genome sequence of *Thioalkalivibrio thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD $1^T$, a chemolithoautotrophic haloalkaliphilic sulfur-oxidizing bacterium capable of complete denitrification
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**Abstract**

*Thioalkalivibrio thiocyanodenitrificans* strain ARhD $1^T$ is a motile, Gram-negative bacterium isolated from soda lakes that belongs to the *Gammaproteobacteria*. It derives energy for growth and carbon fixation from the oxidation of sulfur compounds, most notably thiocyanate, and so is a chemolithoautotroph. It is capable of complete denitrification under anaerobic conditions. The draft genome sequence consists of 3,746,647 bp in 3 scaffolds, containing 3558 protein-coding and 121 RNA genes. *T. thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD $1^T$ was sequenced as part of the DOE Joint Genome Institute Community Science Program.

**Introduction**

Soda lakes are formed in inland arid areas where ground water, rich in CO$_2$/bicarbonate, but poor in divalent cations (calcium and magnesium), accumulates in basins and evaporates. The resulting system has a stable high pH above 9 and up to 11, high soluble carbonate alkalinity reaching molar concentrations and moderate to extremely high salinity [1]. Despite these extreme characteristics, a rich microbial community is found to thrive in such lakes, driving highly active biogeochemical cycles. Thus far, knowledge on the dynamics of and the connections between these cycles is limited [2]. A better understanding of the biogeochemistry and the microbial species involved will lead to clearer insights into the ecology of soda lakes. Our research focuses on the species involved in the sulfur cycling in hypersaline soda lakes. To learn more about the community involved in the oxidizing part of the cycle, we have sequenced a large number of strains of the dominant cultivated haloalkaliphilic sulfur-oxidizing bacteria belonging to the genus *Thioalkalivibrio*. Here we present the partial genome sequence of *Thioalkalivibrio thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD $1^T$.

**Organism information**

**Classification and features**

*T. thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD $1^T$ is a Gram-negative bacterium belonging to the *Gammaproteobacteria* (Fig. 1). It is a motile rod with dimensions 0.4–0.6 × 1.5–5 μm (Fig. 2). Basic information about the organism is summarized in Table 1. It is obligately chemolithoautotrophic and haloalkaliphilic. Energy is derived from the oxidation of a variety of inorganic sulfur compounds including sulfide, thiosulfate, thiocyanate, polysulfide, elemental sulfur and tetrathionate. It is facultatively anaerobic, capable of growth with nitrate or nitrite as electron acceptor when thiosulfate or thiocyanate serves as electron donor, although anaerobic growth with thiocyanate is extremely slow (0.006 h$^{-1}$ compared to 0.032 h$^{-1}$ in the presence of oxygen). At present, *T. thiocyanodenitrificans* is the only sulfur-oxidizing bacterium for which anaerobic growth with thiocyanate...
has been proven. The final product of nitrite reduction is $N_2$. Since nitrite cannot be assimilated, *T. thiocyanodenitrificans* can only use either external ammonia or ammonia derived from thiocyanate as a nitrogen source [3].

**Genome sequencing information**

**Genome project history**

This genome sequence is part of a large project aimed at sequencing approximately 70 *Thioalkalivibrio* isolates. *T. thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD¹ was specifically selected for its ability to grow on thiocyanate as its sole electron donor, both in the presence and absence of oxygen. This is interesting not only in terms of microbial physiology, but also in biotechnology, where thiocyanate is a waste product in mining effluents [4]. The permanent draft genome presented here contains approximately 3.7 million basepairs in 3 scaffolds. It was sequenced at the Joint Genome Institute as part of project 401911 and released in August 2012. A summary of important information regarding the sequencing project is shown in Table 2.

**Growth conditions and genomic DNA preparation**

*T. thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD¹ (DSM 16954) was grown under aerobic conditions in a standard sodium carbonate-bicarbonate buffer at pH 10 and 0.6 M Na⁺ with 40 mM thiosulfate as an energy source [5]. The cells were stored at −80 °C after harvesting by centrifugation. Genomic DNA was extracted using a phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol approach. The cell pellet was suspended in Tris-EDTA (pH 8) and lysed using SDS and proteinase K. DNA was extracted using the phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol mixture and precipitated with ethanol. The resulting pellet was dried and dissolved in 

---

**Fig. 1** 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree of the described *Thioalkalivibrio* species, as well as various organisms belonging to the family of *Ectothiorhodospiraceae*. Nodes with a bootstrap value between 90-100% are marked with black dots. The outgroup, members of the *Alphaproteobacteria*, are pruned from the tree. The tree was constructed in ARB [15] and the bootstrap values calculated using MEGA6 [16].

**Fig. 2** Electron microscopy photographs of strain ARhD1 grown with thiocyanate. (a) cell with a polar flagellum positively stained by uranyl acetate; (b) thin section showing Gram-negative cell ultrastructure and extended nucleoid (N)
water. Extraction yield and quality were measured using the DNA Mass Standard Kit provided by the JGI.

Genome sequencing and assembly
The draft genome of *Thioalkalivibrio thiocyanodenitrificans* ARhD 1T was generated at the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI) using Illumina sequencing [6]. For this genome, we constructed and sequenced an Illumina short-insert paired-end library with an average insert size of 270 bp which generated 41,681,874 reads and an Illumina long-insert paired-end library with an average insert size of 8291 ± 2700 bp which generated 18,699,268 reads totaling 9,057 Mbp of Illumina data. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing performed are available at the JGI web site. The initial draft assembly contained 42 contigs in 12 scaffold(s) and was assembled with ALLPATHS, version 39,750 [7], and the consensus was computationally shredded into 10 kbp overlapping fake reads (shreds). The Illumina draft data was also assembled with Velvet, version 1.1.05 [8], and the consensus sequences were computationally shredded into 1.5 Kbp overlapping fake reads. The Illumina draft data was assembled again with Velvet using the shreds from the first Velvet assembly to guide the next assembly. The consensus from the second Velvet assembly was shredded into 1.5 Kbp overlapping fake reads. The fake reads from the ALLPATHS assembly and both Velvet assemblies and a subset of the Illumina CLIP paired-end reads were assembled using parallel phrap, version 4.24 (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with manual editing in Consed [9–11]. Gap closure was accomplished using repeat resolution software (Wei Gu, unpublished), and sequencing of bridging PCR fragments with Sanger and/or PacBio (unpublished, Cliff Han) technologies. A total of 18 PCR PacBio consensus sequences were completed to close gaps and to raise the quality of the final sequence. The total estimated size of the genome is 3.7 Mb and the final assembly is based on 9,057 Mbp of

### Table 1

| MIGS ID | Property | Term |
|---------|----------|------|
|          | Classification | Domain Bacteria |
|          |            | Phylum Proteobacteria |
|          | Class      | Gammaproteobacteria |
|          | Order      | Chromatiales |
|          | Family     | Ectothiorhodospiraceae |
|          | Genus      | Thioalkalivibrio |
|          | Species    | Thioalkalivibrio thiocyanodenitrificans |
|          | Type strain | ARhD 1T (DSM 16954) |
|          | Gram stain | Negative |
|          | Cell shape | Rod |
|          | Motility   | Motile |
|          | Sporulation| Non-sporulating |
|          | Temperature range | Mesophilic |
|          | Optimum temperature | 33–35 °C |
|          | pH range; Optimum | 8.0–10.3 |
|          | Carbon source | Inorganic carbon |
| MIGS-6 | Habitat    | Soda lakes |
| MIGS-6.3 | Salinity | 0.3–2 M Na+ |
| MIGS-22 | Oxygen requirement | Facultative anaerobe |
| MIGS-15 | Biotic relationship | Free-living |
| MIGS-14 | Pathogenicity | Non-pathogenic |
| MIGS-4 | Geographic location | Wadi Natrun, Egypt |
| MIGS-5 | Sample collection | 2002 |
| MIGS-4.1 | Latitude | Not reported |
| MIGS-4.2 | Longitude | Not reported |
| MIGS-4.4 | Altitude | Not reported |

*Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [26].*

### Table 2

| MIGS ID | Property | Term |
|---------|----------|------|
| MIGS 31 | Finishing quality | Improved high-quality draft |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used | Illumina short and long insert paired-end |
| MIGS 29 | Sequencing platforms | Illumina HiSeq 2000 |
| MIGS 31.2 | Fold coverage | 2322 |
| MIGS 30 | Assemblers | ALLPATHS R39750 [7], Velvet 1.1.05 [8], PHRAP 4.24 |
| MIGS 32 | Gene calling method | Prodigal [12], GenePRIMP [13] |
|          | Locus Tag | THITHI |
|          | GenBank ID | AQZCO00000000 |
|          | GenBank Date of Release | 2012-08-13 |
|          | GOLD ID | Ga0025308 |
|          | BIOPROJECT | PRJNA81091 |
|          | IMG submission ID | 10076 |
| MIGS 13 | Source Material Identifier | DSM 16954 |
|          | Project relevance | Biotechnology |
Illumina draft data, which provides an average 2,322X coverage of the genome. The Genbank record for this genome contains three annotated scaffolds (accessions NZ_KB900536-8) and eight, redundant, unannotated (accessions AQZO01000001-8) scaffolds. The eight unannotated scaffolds have been merged into three, which were subsequently annotated and described in this report.

**Genome annotation**

Genes were predicted using Prodigal [12], followed by pseudogene detection using GenePRIMP [13]. The predicted genes were translated and annotated using the NCBI's NR database in combination with the UniProt, TIGRFam, Pfam, KEGG, COG and InterPro databases and tRNAScanSE [14] for tRNA prediction. Ribosomal RNAs were detected using models built from SILVA. Further annotation was performed using the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) platform. The annotation is publicly available within IMG, using submission ID 10076.

**Genome properties**

The high-quality draft sequence comprises 3,746,647 bp divided in 3 scaffolds with a total GC-content of 64.8 %. Gene prediction yields 3558 protein-coding genes and 121 RNA-coding genes (Table 3). A total of 66.2 % of the protein coding genes could be assigned to COGs, with 79 % of these assigned to functional categories (Table 4).

**Conclusions**

This genome sequence of *Thioalkalivibrio thiocyanode-nitrificans* provides valuable insight into the carbon and nitrogen metabolism, and into the genes that are involved in energy conservation. Furthermore, we hope to understand the mechanism by which this organism adapts to the extreme conditions present in soda lakes. Finally, insight in the genome sequence might be helpful in improving the biotechnological application of this organism in the removal of sulfur compounds from waste streams and the bioremediation of cyanide-containing mining tailings.
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