Master-Slave Approach for a Multi-terminal VSC-HVDC Systems Connected Offshore Wind Farm
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Abstract: The world is facing today the global challenge of energy transition since countries need more and more energy to grow their economy on a planet where resources are limited and poorly distributed. The integration of renewable energies and especially offshore wind energy into high voltage direct current (VSC-HVDC) transmission systems demonstrates great flexibility and reliability. In this paper, a control strategy for a multi-terminal VSC-HVDC system based on Master-Slave approach is proposed to automatically share the real power variation and stabilize the DC bus voltage in presence of abnormal operating conditions.
1. Introduction

Electricity needs are constantly growing, and infrastructure based on interconnected and interconnected transport networks is gradually coming to the limit of their capacity. The use of new means of production and the need to build infrastructure to transport this energy creates new opportunities.

Electricity is now produced, transported and distributed by electricity alternative (AC). This choice is due to a few major reasons: simplicity of production (generators are simpler and more reliable than direct current (DC) generators) as well as the ease of changing the voltage level using transformers. However, the control of alternating current energy transfers dense networks, increasingly difficult problems to solve such as: the distribution of energy transits in the various branches of mesh networks is made according to physical laws and cannot be controlled easily, the reactive power must be compensated as close as possible to its consumption in order limit voltage losses and drops, frequency settings of interconnected alternators must be coordinated.

Transmission of electrical energy using submarine cables is limited short distances in the case of HVAC due to the high dielectric capacity of the cables, and therefore, compensating shunt reactances are necessary to limit the effective transmission distance. Direct interconnection of asynchronous AC networks is not possible via HVAC links [1-3].

These restrictions necessitated the search for alternative solutions, which, together with the technological developments and advances in power electronics have made it possible to advance in the transmission of electric power. As a result, HVDC transmission systems emerged. As a result, economic and technological actions to reinvigorate the energy market were provided. Cheaper and more efficient interconnections have been achieved. In addition, HVDC transmission systems have made it easy to interconnect networks where voltage and frequency are not compatible or when there are geographical obstacles such as seas, or oceans, or mountains.

Renewable energy [4] is constantly innovating in the new global market. Although renewable electricity generating plants are often far from consumption points, the energy transmission with minimal losses must be. In the case of renewable offshore wind generation sources, it is necessary to transmit large quantities of energy with satisfactory efficiency. To this end, several projects using HVDC technologies in combination with renewable energies are being developed in particular via cables submarines.

For environmental, technical and economic reasons, the installation of HVDC lines is promoted in order to maximize the efficiency of electricity transmission. As a result, the last five decades have witnessed a significant development of HVDC transmission systems. The HVDC technology has been developed to: Interconnect remote areas to facilitate energy exchanges, Connect offshore wind farms, deliver hydroelectric power...

In this context, we have carried out this work which addresses the problem of MTDC multi-terminal HVDC systems [5-8], our MTDC system consisting of 3 terminals as shown in Figure 1 each conversion station is coupled with the AC network through equivalent impedance, same for Station 2 which is connected to an offshore wind farm. For the DC part, all of the conversion stations are interconnected via transport cables. The first part of this paper will be devoted to the modeling of the wind turbine and the VSC conversion system, then the second part to the Master-Slave control based on PI Controller and finally a simulation and discussion of the results.

![Fig.1. Model for the VSC-HVDC system.](image-url)
2. Mathematic Model of VSC-HVDC System

2.1 Wind Turbine

The mechanical power output of the wind turbine (WT) is

\[ P_M = \frac{1}{2} \rho A V^3 C_p(\theta, \lambda) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:
\( \rho \) is the air density [kg/m\(^3\)]
\( A \) is the swept area of the rotor in m\(^2\),
\( V \) is wind speed in m/s,
\( C_p \) is the power coefficient which is a function of both tip speed ratio \( \theta \) and blade pitch angle \( \lambda \) [deg]. \( C_p \) is expressed in the next equations

\[ C_p = 0.73 \left( \frac{151}{\lambda_k} - 0.58 \theta - 0.002 \frac{\theta^2}{\lambda^2} - 13.2 \right) e^{-18.4 \lambda} \]

Where \( \lambda \) the tip speed ratio and \( \omega \), the rotor rotational speed in rad/sec, \( R \) is the radius of the wind turbine in m

Apply Kirchhoff’s voltage law we find

\[ L \frac{di}{dt} + R i = u_{gl} - u_{mj} \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

The system (1) can be written as:

\[ u_{mabc} = -R i_{abc} - L \frac{di_{abc}}{dt} + u_{gabc} \] \hspace{1cm} (3)

Equation (2) is then written in the Park transform:

\[ u_{mdq0} = -R i_{dq0} - L \frac{di_{dq0}}{dt} + u_{gdq0} \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

By neglecting the transformation losses at the converter, the active power exchange on the AC source side will be equal to the power at the DC bus, and then we have:

\[ P_{gdq} = P_{DC} \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

However, the active and reactive powers from the source are expressed respectively by the equations:

\[ P_{gdq} = \frac{3}{2} \left( u_{gd} i_{d} + u_{gd} i_{q} \right) = \frac{3}{2} \left( u_{gd} i_{d} \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ Q_{gdq} = \frac{3}{2} \left( u_{gs} i_{d} + u_{gs} i_{q} \right) = \frac{3}{2} \left( u_{gs} i_{d} \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

3. Control strategies for MTDC systems

MTDC transmission systems are used to connect offshore power sources and wind farms to electrical grids. They provide flexible, fast, and reversible control of power flow. However, the operation and control of an MTDC system is still an open and challenging problem. Several research works have proposed different control structures to ensure the normal operation of an MTDC system [9-13]. A control strategy called "Master-Slave" is applied to MTDC networks based on VSC converters [14-16]. It consists in considering a terminal as a "master" station to control the DC bus voltage at the desired value, while the other terminals are called "slave" stations, they are reserved for the "slave" stations.

The other terminals are called "slave" stations; they are reserved for the control of power flows and other variables.
3.1 Inner Current Controller

The internal control loop provides control of the \( i_{abc} \) current through the AC filter. This control structure allows the direct and quadrature currents to be decoupled and controlled independently. The dynamics of the currents can be adjusted using the properties of properties of second order polynomials.

3.2 Outer Controllers

3.2.1 DC voltage control

The DC voltage control loop is required to control the DC bus voltage by ensuring the balance between the power injected to the DC network and the power absorbed by the AC network. The structure of the controlled system is illustrated below. The output of the control loop provides the reference input of the forward current. The PI controller is widely used in HVDC applications to control the DC voltage at a constant level, and the integral action allows for zero steady state error.

3.2.2 Real power control

Active and reactive power can be controlled independently. They are used to generate the reference currents of the internal loops. Block diagram below shows the structure of the power control loops. PI correctors are used to regulate the dynamic responses of the power loops.

Fig. 4 shows the block diagram of the proposed control based on Master-Slave approach which the outer loop will depend on the control type (Mode DC Control or Mode Power Control).

4. Simulation Analysis and Results

To illustrate the validity of the linear control proposed for the control of a multi-terminal HVDC transport network based on a VSC conversion system, a simulation study was carried out using Matlab/Simulink. The 3-terminal VSC-MTDC based offshore wind farm and onshore AC system is shown in Fig. 5.
Table 1 presents the simulation parameters of the studied system:

| Quantity                        | Value      |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| AC grid voltage                 | 230kV      |
| Grid resistance                 | 900 mΩ     |
| Grid inductance                 | 62.23 mH   |
| Input voltage source (wind turbine) | 250kva 400 V |
| Base power                      | 1000 MVA   |
| Nominal DC voltage              | 200kV      |
| Nominal frequency               | 50 Hz      |
| DC capacitor                    | 35 µF      |
| DC cable resistance             | 13.9 mΩ/km |
| DC cable inductance             | 0.159 mH/km |
| DC cable capacitance            | 0.231 µF/km |
| Rated wind speed                | 11 m/s     |

To test the performance of the system and to see its capacity to adapt with the variations of the operating conditions we have proposed two scenarios:

**A- Power fluctuation**

We consider the case of a power operating point change described as follows:

At t = 1.5s a variation of the reference power input of station1 from 1.0 p.u to 0.7 p.u.

The dynamic evolution in voltage and power as a result of the applied event is illustrated in Fig.6 to Fig11.

**B- Three phase fault at an AC grid (Master)**

In order to simulate a fault on the AC line, we produced a phase break between the instants t=1s and t=1.1s of the AC grid of station 2 (Master) the figures below show the behavior.
Despite the fact that it is a linear PI control, we can see that the results are quite satisfactory. The VSC-HVDC multi-terminal system is tested with two types of faults, the first one deals with the problem of power variation which is a frequent phenomenon on the electrical networks, according to the results found the active power variation at station 1 leads to a deficit in this case we notice that the other stations have to compensate this lack as we see at the level of station 2 which allows to re-inject active power and also let us notice that the voltages of the DC bus come back towards their reference values, The second fault is a break in the AC line which occurs on phase 1 and destabilizes the system during this period, here the Master must resume its normal operation since we notice that after a short time the DC bus voltages and power flows return to their reference values with an acceptable dynamic response.

Limiters should be placed on each inverter to allow the deviation to be adjusted to a maximum acceptable power. These deviations, on the other hand, must be chosen to ensure the correct operation of each converter as well as the overall system.

5. Conclusion

Large-scale DC grids are an important issue in the coming years as this infrastructure will allow connecting offshore renewable generation with the rest of the grid. In this paper, we have presented the study and control of multi-terminal HVDC MTDC systems based on the Master-Slave technique. Through the simulation results obtained, we observe respectable system performances and a convergence towards the reference values during injected faults, However, this control has some drawbacks such as the dynamic response of the system that can generate more oscillations and the possibility of failure or
loss of a terminal, note that this topic can be further developed through more robust and optimal controls that we plan to continue our work.
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