Preoperative radiotherapy combined with 5 days per week capecitabine chemotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer
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There is increasing evidence supporting the use of preoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer in an attempt to facilitate complete surgical resection with clear margins. We describe our experience of using a 5-day per week regime of preoperative capecitabine chemoradiotherapy. Between November 2004 and September 2006, 70 patients with MRI-defined locally advanced rectal cancer were selected for treatment. Capecitabine was given at a dose of 900 mg m⁻² for 5 days per week combined with 45 Gy of radiotherapy in 25 doses. This regime was well tolerated with 89% of our patients receiving the full dose of chemotherapy and 96% receiving the full dose of radiotherapy. Ninety-three per cent proceeded to macroscopically complete surgical resection. The pathological complete response rate was 9.2% with a node-negative rate of 66%. A negative circumferential margin was achieved by 79% of the patients who underwent resection. Compared to studies using a 7-day per week capecitabine schedule, our results show increased compliance and less dose reductions with comparable pathological outcome.
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There is clear evidence from two systematic reviews that adjuvant radiotherapy reduces the risk of local recurrence in patients with resectable rectal cancer (Camma et al, 2000; Colorectal Cancer Collaborative, 2001). The greatest benefit is seen when preoperative radiotherapy is used with a biologically equivalent dose of >30 Gy. Further clinical trials were required to assess the benefit of adding concurrent chemotherapy to preoperative radiotherapy.

The recent publication of two phase III trials confirms that preoperative concurrent chemoradiation (CRT) is superior to long-course radiotherapy alone in patients with T3/4 or node-positive resectable rectal cancer. The EORTC 22921 (Bosset et al, 2005) and FFCD 9203 (Gerard et al, 2006) trials compared intravenous 5-fluorouracil (5FU) and leucovorin (LV) given during the first and fifth weeks of radiotherapy with radiotherapy alone (dose of RT was 45 Gy in 25 fractions in both arms of the studies). Both trials demonstrated a reduction in the rates of local recurrence at 5 years from 17% with radiotherapy alone to 8–9% with preoperative CRT, but neither trial showed any difference in overall survival. A further recent phase III trial has demonstrated that preoperative 5FU CRT is superior to post-operative CRT with a significant reduction in local recurrence from 12 to 6% as well as a significant reduction in both acute and long-term toxicity (Sauer et al, 2004). This evidence will clearly result in an increasing use of fluoropyrimidine-based CRT.

Intravenous 5FU-based chemoradiation presents many logistical challenges. Continuous infusion of 5FU requires the insertion of a central venous line with its attendant risks of thrombosis and infection as well as the inconvenience of a portable infusion pump. The use of the bolus 5FU/LV regimen used in the EORTC and FFCD trials requires 10 daily visits to the chemotherapy day unit, with associated waiting time, the need for repeat venepuncture and the need for close coordination of the timing of the delivery of chemotherapy and radiation.

Capecitabine is an oral, tumour-activated fluoropyrimidine. Two large randomised phase III trials have compared capecitabine against low-dose LV and 5FU (Mayo regimen) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer with no difference in time to progression or overall survival (Van Cutsem et al, 2004). In addition, two randomised, controlled trials have shown at least equivalent disease-free survival with the use of an oral fluoropyrimidine when compared with low-dose LV and 5FU as post-operative adjuvant therapy for stages II and III colon cancer (Wolmark et al, 2004; Twelves et al, 2005). Furthermore, the use of oral capecitabine has been supported by recent NICE guidance on the management of colon cancer in both the metastatic and adjuvant settings (NICE, 2003, 2006). These results support the rationale for developing oral fluoropyrimidine-based chemoradiation.

Two formal dose-finding studies have been performed that escalate the dose of capecitabine when added to a fixed dose of pelvic radiation in rectal cancer. Dunst et al (2002) reported a recommended dose of 825 mg m⁻² 7 days per week for phase II study, whereas Ngan et al (2004) recommended 900 mg m⁻² 5 days per week. We decided to further evaluate the use of the latter regimen.

In the United Kingdom, high-resolution pelvic MRI is increasingly used to determine the relationship of the primary tumour to
the potential margins of surgical resection. This correlation was reported by Brown et al (2003) in a single-centre experience and has been described by other centres (Blomqvist et al, 1999; Beets-Tan et al, 2001; Bissett et al, 2001; Botterill et al, 2001). A large international prospective multicentre study (MERCURY trial) has demonstrated that the extent of tumour seen on the preoperative MRI scan is equivalent to that seen in the resected pathological specimen in patients who undergo initial surgery with or without short-course preoperative radiotherapy (MERCURY Study Group, 2006). These findings led us to adopt the routine use of pelvic MRI in determining the selection of patients for preoperative radiotherapy and chemoradiation (Sasapu et al, 2006). Using pelvic MRI, patients are selected for preoperative CRT if the margins of resection are considered to be at risk. The main aim of treating such patients is to achieve a macroscopic complete resection with histologically confirmed clear (uninvolved) resection margins.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between November 2004 and September 2006, patients with locally advanced rectal cancer were selected for preoperative capecitabine CRT on clinical and radiological findings. CRT was indicated if (i) the mesorectal fascia (MRF) was threatened (macroscopic tumour located within 2 mm of the MRF on the MRI), (ii) the MRF was involved (up to or beyond the MRF on the MRI), or (iii) there was T3 or T4 tumour with distal extent within 5 cm of the anal verge.

The patient was considered suitable for capecitabine therapy, if their glomerular filtration rate was > 50 ml min⁻¹ and they were able to comply with self-medication.

Radiotherapy

Clinical examination determined the distal extent of the primary tumour, and the pelvic MR images were used to determine the gross tumour volume, which is outlined on individual slices of a computed tomography (CT) planning scan. Margins were added to the gross tumour volume to create the planning target volume (3 cm lateral and 3–5 cm superior, 2 cm anterior and 2–3 cm inferior). The posterior border was always located at the posterior border of the body of the sacrum. Unless contrainindicated, patients were treated in the prone position with a full bladder using a 3- or 4-field technique. Small bowel imaging was achieved using oral gastrograffin (20 ml in 1000 ml water) 45 minutes prior to the scanning CT scan. A total dose of 45 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks was prescribed to the ICRU (intersection) point and this was delivered using 3- or 4-radiation beams with the use of multileaf collimation.

Chemotherapy

Capecitabine was prescribed at a dose of 900 mg m⁻² twice daily for 5 days per week for 5 weeks. The patients were advised to take the prescribed capecitabine dose at 0900 and 2100 hours on the days they received radiotherapy. Routine haematological tests (full blood count and urea and electrolytes) were carried out before and following CRT toxicity. One patient with a known 3 or 4 toxicities. Three (4.3%) patients had to discontinue capecitabine CRT due to acute toxicities. One patient with a known cardiac history developed unstable angina and was admitted to the coronary care unit.

Surgery and histopathology

Prior to surgery, patients were reassessed 4–6 weeks after completion of CRT by repeat pelvic MRI ± thoracoabdominal CT. The recommended timing to perform surgery was 6–8 weeks following CRT.

The resected specimen was examined using the methods described by Quirke et al (1986). The tumour was not opened and was fixed in formalin. The circumferential margin was then stained with Indian ink and axial thin slices were made. The specimen was examined macroscopically and blocks were taken from all suspicious areas. Pathological complete response (pCR) was defined by the complete absence of tumour cells from the entire specimen. The circumferential resection margin (CRM) was routinely evaluated and considered to be involved if there was evidence of any microscopic tumour ≤ 1 mm from the painted CRM edge.

RESULTS

Between November 2004 and September 2006, 70 patients from the Yorkshire Cancer Network (45 men and 25 women) have been selected for capecitabine CRT. The tumour characteristics at preoperative staging are shown in Table 1. Thirty-two patients (46%) had tumours with a distal limit < 5 cm from the anal verge. Thirty-four patients (49%) had tumours > 5 cm from the anal verge (31 patients 5–10 cm and 3 patients > 10 cm) and there were four patients, where the distance was not stated. Of the 34 patients with mid to upper rectal tumours (> 5 cm from anal verge), 22 had a threatened MRF (65%) and 12 had tumour involving the MRF (35%).

Acute toxicity and compliance

All 70 patients have completed their treatment and were assessed for capecitabine CRT toxicity. Sixty-two (88.6%) patients received the full dose of capecitabine without suffering significant grade 3 or 4 toxicities. Three (4.3%) patients had to discontinue capecitabine due to acute toxicities. One patient with a known cardiac history developed unstable angina and was admitted to the coronary care unit.

Table 1 Tumour characteristics on presentation and the toxicities observed during capecitabine CRT

| Tumour characteristics | Total (%) | Male | Female |
|------------------------|-----------|------|--------|
| Number of patients     | 70        | 45   | 25     |
| Distance from anal verge (cm) |       |      |        |
| 0–5                    | 32 (46)   | 19   | 13     |
| 5–10                   | 31 (44)   | 22   | 9      |
| 10–15                  | 3 (4)     | 3    | 0      |
| Unknown                | 4 (6)     | 1    | 3      |
| Pre-op staging (MRI)   |           |      |        |
| T2                     | 3 (4)     | 1    | 2      |
| T3                     | 52 (74)   | 34   | 18     |
| T4                     | 11 (16)   | 8    | 3      |
| Not stated             | 4 (6)     | 2    | 2      |
| Compliance with treatment |       |      |        |
| Capecitabine therapy   |           |      |        |
| Full dose              | 62 (89)   | 44   | 18     |
| Dose reduction         | 5 (7)     | 0    | 5      |
| Did not complete course| 3 (4)     | 1    | 2      |
| Radiotherapy           |           |      |        |
| Full dose              | 67* (96)  | 43   | 24*    |
| Did not complete course| 3 (4)     | 2    | 1      |

CRT = concurrent chemoradiation; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging. *Two patients received the full dose of radiotherapy but required a break from treatment of between 2 and 5 days due to capecitabine toxicity.
coronary care unit; one patient had pre-existing ulcerative colitis and was operated on acutely for large bowel distension; one patient developed severe abdominal pain and diarrhoea. It is not possible to determine the relative contribution of the capecitabine and radiotherapy in the cause of the abdominal toxicity.

Five (7.1%) patients required dose reductions in capecitabine. One patient had a low glomerular filtration rate at commencement of therapy and received an elective 20% dose reduction throughout treatment; one patient suffered from a deterioration in renal function at week 3 (creatinine 129 from 89 μmol/l prior to treatment commencing), and the dose was omitted for a week and then started at a 20% reduction; three patients suffered grade 3 diarrhoea and had dose reductions of between 10 and 25%.

Sixty-seven (96%) patients received the full 45-Gy dose of radiotherapy. Out of the three patients (4%) who failed to receive the full dose, one patient was considered too unwell to receive his last dose (received 43.2 Gy in total), and two patients were admitted with abdominal pain (described above) having received 41.4 and 37.8 Gy, respectively. Two other patients required a break in RT (2–5 days) due to capecitabine toxicity.

Surgical resection

Complete macroscopic resection was performed in 65 of the 70 patients (93%) who received capecitabine CRT. Resection was not performed in five patients. Two patients developed inoperable lung metastases identified on the CT scan performed 6 weeks after completion of CRT; one patient died from disease progression shortly after CRT had finished; one patient developed inoperable disease with sacral involvement; one patient was not resected because of an unacceptably high risk of perioperative mortality due to pre-existing cardiorespiratory comorbidities.

One patient had an emergency resection 9 days after completing CRT for large bowel obstruction. The timing of elective surgical resection varied from weeks 4 to 23 post-CRT, with a median of 8 weeks (interquartile range: 7–9.5 weeks).

Histopathology

The histopathological results are summarised in Table 2. Of the 65 patients who underwent resection, 6 patients (9.2%) had a complete pathological response (pCR or ypT0N0). One further patient had complete regression of the primary tumour but had 1 out of 22 positive lymph nodes (ypT2N1). There were 21 (32.3%) patients with ypT2N0 tumours. Out of the 64 patients, where preoperative MRI staging was available, 26 patients (41%) were downstaged by CRT and in 4 patients (6%) disease progressed. Twenty-two (33.8%) patients had node-positive disease. The median number of nodes examined was 13.2 (interquartile range: 7–18).

The number of resections achieving a negative CRM was high with 51 (79.7%) specimens having an uninvolved CRM (one specimen could not be evaluated due to surgical trauma). Table 3 shows the CRM status by operation performed and tumour location. Circumferential resection margin involvement was seen in 15.2% of anterior resections (5 out of 33) compared to 7 out of 26 abdominoperineal excision resection specimens (26.9%). The rate of CRM involvement for tumours within 5 cm of the anal verge was 29% (9 out of 31), whereas it was 13.3% (4 out of 30) in higher tumours (>5 cm).

### DISCUSSION

This series of patients, receiving a 5-day per week schedule of capecitabine and radiotherapy, shows similar efficacy and high compliance compared to intravenous 5FU/LV regimes. In this series, 67 (96%) patients received the full 45 Gy dose of radiotherapy and this is comparable to the large EORTC (96%) and FFCD (97.1%) trials. Sixty-two patients (89%) received the protocol dose of capecitabine compared to 83.7% receiving the correct dose of i.v. 5FU/LV in the EORTC and 78.1% in the FFCD trials. In our study, a further 7% of patients completed the course of capecitabine with a 10–25% dose reduction.

At the time of writing, there were two published phase II trials of capecitabine CRT (De Paoli, 2006; Krishnan et al, 2006) and a further study comparing capecitabine CRT to 5FU/LV (Kim et al, 2007), and all three used the 825 mg m\(^{-2}\) continuous daily schedule. In these studies, the number of patients receiving the full dose of capecitabine was much lower compared to our 5-day schedule. The study by De Paoli showed that 72% of patients received the full dose, whereas in the study by Krishnan, 11 out of the 54 (20%) patients received a dose reduction, and 11 out of the 54 (20%) patients had treatment stopped before completion (it is not clear whether or not these were the same 11 patients). The study by Kim et al (2007) published no data on the number of patients receiving dose reductions but quoted a 99.3% chemother-apy completion rate. Without detailed toxicity data, it is difficult to compare compliance between the 5- and 7-day regimes, but the available evidence suggests that more dose modifications are required using a 7-day schedule.

In our study, 93% of the patients who received capecitabine CRT went on to have a complete macroscopic resection, although there is some variation in the timing of surgery. It was recommended that surgery took place 6–8 weeks following completion of CRT, although most colorectal multi-disciplinary teams (MDTs) accept that the optimised timing of surgery is not defined. We feel that the quoted interquartile range of 7.5–9 weeks demonstrates acceptable compliance.

### Table 3 The rates of CRM positivity in different groups of patients

| CRM status | Whole population | Operation | Abdominoperineal resection | Pelvic exenteration | Distance from anal verge (cm) |
|------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total      | Positive | Negative | CRM-positive rate (%)    | Total | Positive | Negative | CRM-positive rate (%)    |          |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| 64          | 13       | 51       | 20.3                     | 33       | 5        | 28       | 15.2                     |          |
| 26          | 7        | 19       | 26.9                     | 5        | 1        | 4        | 20.0                     |          |
| 0–5         | 31       | 9        | 22                       | 30       | 4        | 26       | 13.3                     |          |
| >5          |          |          |                          |          |          |          |                          |          |

CRM = circumferential resection margin. *One patient who had an abdominoperineal resection and a tumour 4 cm from the anal verge was not assessed due to trauma to the specimen. **Three patients with unknown tumour location.
Patients selected for capecitabine CRT 70

Developed M1 disease after CRT 2

Inoperable tumour after CRT 1

Patients achieving macroscopic resection 65 (93%)

CRM positive* 13 (19%)

CRM negative* 51 (73%)

Died before surgery due to disease progression 1

No operation due to co-morbidities 1

Inoperable tumour after CRT 1

Figure 1 Outcome of the 70 patients selected for capecitabine chemoradiotherapy (*one specimen was not assessed for CRM status due to surgical trauma).

compliance with our recommendations. Over 50% of the patients had surgery 6–8 weeks, and 79% had surgery 6–10 weeks following completion of CRT. The delays in surgery appear to be simply due to the challenge of finding available operating time. There is no evidence to suggest that surgery was delayed to allow more time for tumour regression.

There was only one patient who had a resection before 4 weeks due to developing abdominal signs and symptoms requiring urgent surgery. Of the remaining 64 patients, 30 had surgery >8 weeks and 34 had surgery ≤8 weeks. The pathological ypT0-2 stage was 40 and 23%, the node-negative rate was 71 and 60% and the CRM-negative rate was 86 and 73%, respectively. An APER was performed in 13 out of the 35 (37%) patients who underwent surgery ≤8 weeks and 14 out of the 30 (47%) patients who underwent surgery >8 weeks after completion of CRT. The numbers are too small to allow formal statistical comparison.

It is important to emphasise that this group of patients were defined by MRI as locally advanced, where the margin of excision was considered at risk. Of the 66 patients classified preoperatively, 11 (17%) presented with T4 and 52 (79%) presented with T3 disease on pelvic MRI. The tumour was directly threatening or involving the resection margins in 61 cases (88%). Due to this caseload, we may expect to see lower rates of downstaging compared to other studies. The pCR rate in this study was 9.2% (EORTC 13.7%, FFCD 12.1%), with an additional patient having a complete response of the primary tumour but positive nodes (1 out of 22 nodes were positive). The rate of ypT0–2 tumours was 32.3% with 41% of patients downstaged, according to their diagnostic MRI. Due to the advanced nature of presentation one would expect a high rate of lymph node involvement, so a node-negative rate of 66.2% is also encouraging.

The number of resections achieving a negative CRM status is high (79.7%). These results compare favourably with pooled data from 677 patients treated in six UK centres with 5FU/LV pre-op CRT, where the pCR rate was 13%, and 388 (70%) of resected patients achieved an uninvolved CRM (Sebag-Montefiore et al, 2005b). The intention-to-treat analysis (see Figure 1) shows that we wish to acknowledge the colorectal multidisciplinary teams within the Yorkshire Cancer Network who referred patients to us for pre-operative chemoradiotherapy.
