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ABSTRACT

Objective: 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) is a chemotherapeutic agent in the antimetabolite class. It has to go through the metabolic pathway to form 6-methyl MP (6-MMP). This study aimed to obtain an optimum and validated method for the analysis of 6-MP and 6-MMP in dried blood spot (DBS) samples simultaneously and to evaluate the potential for future drug concentration monitoring in DBS samples.

Methods: The quality control and calibration curves were made by spotting 40 μL blood on DBS paper and dried for 3 hrs. DBS papers were cut with a diameter of 9 mm and extracted with acetonitrile-methanol (1:3) containing internal standard 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). Separation was performed with Waters Acquity Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography (UPLC C18 column of 1.7 μm (2.3×50 mm)) in a mobile phase consisting of 0.1% formic acid in water 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile with gradient elution and a flow rate of 0.2 mL/minute. Mass detection was performed using Waters Xevo TQD with positive electrospray ionization (ESI) for 6-MP and 6-MMP and negative ESI for 5-FU in the multiple reaction monitoring mode.

Results: The detection rates of 6-MP, 6-MMP, and 5-FU were 153.60%±11.90%, 167.17%±126.03, and 129.09%±42.05, respectively. This method was linear with the range of 24-1000 ng/mL for 6-MP and 18-500 ng/mL for 6-MMP with respective R²=0.998 and 0.999, respectively; 5.1% relative error value and % relative standard deviation for accuracy and precision of intraday and interday were not more than 15% and not more than 20% at the lower limit of quantification concentration, respectively.

Conclusions: This method fulfilled the requirements of selectivity, linearity, carry over, and matrix effects regarding the European Medicines Agency guidelines.
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INTRODUCTION

6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) is an antimetabolite chemotherapy agent commonly used for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). It is a produg that must be converted into its metabolite by intracellular enzyme to cause a cytotoxic effect [1]. 6-MP has three major metabolic pathways. The first pathway is through the enzyme hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT) transferase to form its active metabolite, 6-thioguanine nucleotide. The second pathway is through the enzyme 5-thioguanine methyltransferase (TPMT) to form 6-methyl MP (6-MMP), and the third pathway is through the enzyme xanthine dehydrogenase to form 6-thiouric acid [1].

6-MMP plays a role in causing the hepatotoxic side effects in patients given ALL chemotherapy [2]. Therefore, therapeutic drug monitoring of 6-MP is required to ascertain that its concentration is in a safe range. The standard 6-MP dosage given to children suffering from ALL is 50-75 mg/m²/day [3,4]. 6-MP also displays a range of possible adverse drug reactions and a narrow therapeutic index; hence, the therapeutic index for each individual needs to be monitored [5].

Dried blood spot (DBS) is a bio sampling method recently developed for therapeutic drug monitoring. Patient blood is taken by a prick at the tip of a finger to produce a drop of blood which is spotted and dried on a special paper before analysis. This method has the advantage of minimum pain in patients, as the blood is taken using a sterile needle lancet on a finger, toe, or heel. Another advantage is the small amount of blood is taken (10-80 μL). DBS is also convenient in respect of storage and distribution, while analysis of a sample of dried blood is relatively stable and this procedure reduces the risk of infection for the subject [6-8]. An analytical method for 6-MP and 6-MMP in plasma as well as in whole blood has already been developed; it uses high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with the ultraviolet detector and ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) [5,8]. The experimental analysis of 6-MP and 6-MMP in a DBS sample using UPLC-MS/MS presented here is believed to be the first such conducted.

This research aimed to obtain a valid analytical method of testing 6-MP and 6-MMP simultaneously in a DBS sample with UPLC-MS/MS using 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) as the internal standard. The sample preparation and extraction were performed using a mixture of acetonitrile and methanol. Method optimization and validation were aimed at meeting the requirements refer to the European Medicines Agency (EMA). This method is expected to be applicable to the monitoring of the drug therapy of 6-MP in infant patients with ALL.

METHODS

Chemicals

Acetonitrile (HPLC grade, formic acid, and methanol HPLC grade were obtained from Merck: 6-MP and 6-MMP were from Sigma. All water was HPLC-grade and prepared using a Millipore Direct-Q5 water system (Millipore, Watford, UK) and filtered using VWR Ultrafilter 8-14 (VWR, UK). Whole blood was from the Indonesian Red Cross.
Stock solutions, standards, and quality controls

Standard stock solutions of 1 mg/mL were freshly prepared by separately dissolving 6-MP, 6-MMP and 2FU into 2 mL of 1.0 N NaOH and 3 mL methanol and stored at -20°C. All working standard solutions were freshly prepared from the stock solution before each analytical run. Calibrating solutions were freshly prepared at a concentration of 10, 50, and 500 mg/mL respectively. The calibration concentrations of 6-MP and 6-MMP ranged from 20 to 1040 mg/mL and 15-520 mg/mL respectively.

UPLC instruments and chromatographic conditions

Chromatographic analysis was performed using a Waters Acquity UPLC system consisting of a quaternary solvent manager (Acquity UPLC H-class), sample manager, FTN (Acquity UPLC), and TQD detector with ionization source (ZprayTM).

Chromatographic separation was performed using a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18, 1.7 μm (2.1×100 mm) column at 35°C using column thermostat. The column was protected by a VanGuard™ Acquisition BEH pre-column. A nitrogen generator compressor (P&K Scientific) was also used. Data were processed using MassLynx software. The run was performed with a gradient of two mobile phases consisting of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (B). The flow rate was 0.2 mL/min, and the injection volume was 10 μL.

The determination of ionization parameters was achieved by multiple reaction monitoring of precursor ions, product ions, and their collision energy parameters (Table 2). The capillary voltage was set to 3.5 kV, nitrogen was set as nebulizing gas, 450°C was set as desolvation temperature, and 700 L/H as desolvation gas flow. Mass transitions of 6-MP and 6-MMP were monitored at positive ionization and 5-FU at negative ionization. The ionization parameters optimized are shown in Table 2.

5-FU sample preparation

To prepare the blood spots, 40 μL of the spiked blood standards of 6-MP and 6-MMP were pipetted and spotted onto CAMAG DBS paper. The papers were then dried for 3.5 hr at room temperature, cut to a diameter of 8 mm, and placed into a tube. The extraction solution consisted of 4 mL methanol-acetonitrile (3:1) with 300 μL of internal standard 5-FU added to the tube. The tubes were vortex-mixed for 30 seconds and then continued with sonication for 25 minutes at 4°C. Next, the whole mixture was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3100 rpm. The supernatant was later transferred into test tubes and evaporated with nitrogen for 30 minutes at 40°C. The resulting dry extracts were dissolved in 100 μL of mobile phase and continued with sonication for 5 minutes. The solution was then injected into the chromatographic system.

The extraction parameters optimized were the amount of extraction solution, sonication temperature, and sonication time.

Determination of lower limit of quantification (LLOQ)

The LLOQ is the lowest concentration of an analyte in a sample that can be quantified reliably with acceptable accuracy and precision. The mean concentration should be within 20% of the actual value according to the EMA guidelines.

Selectivity

Interference from endogenous compounds was investigated by analyzing six different sources of the appropriate blank matrix. Blank whole blood was spotted at DBS paper and prepared as above. An absence of interfering components is acceptable when the response is <20% of the LLOQ for the analyte.

Linearity

Calibration curves were measured using a blank sample, a zero sample, and standard samples at seven concentration levels and then prepared at all concentrations. The mean concentration coefficients were calculated.

Accuracy, precision, and recovery

Accuracy and precision of the method were performed intraday and interday. Accuracy and precision were determined at three concentrations, stated as quality control low (QC-L), QC medium (QC-M), and QC high (QC-H). Accuracy was calculated as the mean percentage deviation from the actual concentration expressed as % relative error (RE) while precision was expressed by % relative standard deviation (RSD) calculated. Both parameters should be ≤15% for the QC samples and ≤20% for LLOQ.

Recovery was performed by comparing the peak areas of extracted DBS samples with those obtained by direct injection of the same amount of drug in standard solutions.

Carry over

Carry over was assessed by injecting blank DBS samples after a high concentration sample of calibration standard at the upper limit of quantification. Carry over in the blank should not be >20% of the LLOQ.

Matrix effect

Matrix effect was assessed using at least six lots of blank matrix from individual donors. This was achieved by comparing the peak areas in the presence of matrix with those in a pure solution of the analyte. Matrix effect assessment should be performed at QC-L and QC-H.

Stability assessment

Stability of analyte in DBS samples was assessed in short-term stability up to 24 hrs at room temperature and long-term stability up to 6 days. Stock solution stability was evaluated comparing the peak areas obtained from direct injection of a diluted solution prepared from the stock solution stored at -20°C for 16 days with other peak areas obtained from direct injection obtained from a freshly prepared stock.

RESULTS

Determination of LLOQ

The LLOQ value of 6-MP obtained was 26 μg/mL with %RE of -12.76% to 5.60% and %RSD of 8.93%. The LLOQ value of 6-MMP obtained was 13 μg/mL with %RE of -10.92% to 13.94% and %RSD of 10.89% (Fig. 1).

Selectivity

Selectivity was assessed for 6-MP and 6-MMP, respectively, at 26 μg/mL and 13 μg/mL. No interfering peaks generated from endogenous substances were observed on the chromatograms for blank DBS samples (Fig. 2).
Linearity
The calibration curve ranges of 6-MP and 6-MMP were 26-1040 ng/mL and 13-520 ng/mL, respectively. The concentrations used for 6-MP were 26, 52, 104, 208, 520, 832, and 1040 ng/mL, and for 6-MMP were 13, 26, 52, 104, 208, 416, and 520 ng/mL. The mean regression coefficients obtained for 6-MP and 6-MMP were both 0.999.

Accuracy, precision, and recovery
ESD error for intraday and interday assay precision was determined by executing three runs on two different days. Four concentrations at LLOQ, QC1, QC2, and QC3 were used for both the accuracy and precision tests (Tables 3 and 4). The mean recoveries of 6-MP and 6-MMP with this method were 94.74% with % SD 5.70% and 98.09% with % SD 5.32%.

Carry over
The carry over measurements of 6-MP and 6-MMP after injection of LLOQ compared to the LLOQ concentration were 3.82-19.57% and 1.83-5.26%, respectively. The carry over of internal standard observed was 0.03-1.90%.

Matrix effect
The matrix effect measurements for 6-MP ranged from 73.73% (QC1) to 80.79% (QC3), with % SD 6% and 8%, respectively, and for 6-MMP from 82.88% (QC1) to 87.64% (QC3), at 6% and 7%.

![Fig. 1: Lower limit of quantification chromatogram of 6-mercaptopurine (MP), 6-methyl MP](image1)

![Fig. 2: Blank chromatogram of 6-mercaptopurine (MP), 6-methyl MP](image2)

| Actual concentration (ng/mL) | Run 1  | Run 2  | Run 3  |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Measured concentration (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | RE (%) | RSD (%) | RE (%) | RSD (%) | RE (%) |
| 26.00 | 24.96 | 6.95 | -4.37 | 28.44 | 5.89 | 9.39 | 22.78 | 5.54 | -12.4 |
| 104.00 | 102.55 | 3.69 | -1.39 | 96.28 | 4.62 | -7.42 | 95.96 | 7.42 | -7.73 |
| 520.00 | 516.74 | 2.91 | 3.22 | 487.29 | 5.29 | -6.29 | 506.39 | 6.37 | -2.62 |
| 832.00 | 873.20 | 5.03 | 4.95 | 776.42 | 3.05 | -6.60 | 770.82 | 9.51 | -7.35 |

RSD: Relative standard deviation, RE: Relative error

| Actual concentration (ng/mL) | Run 1  | Run 2  | Run 3  |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Measured concentration (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | RE (%) | RSD (%) | RE (%) | RSD (%) | RE (%) |
| 13.00 | 13.01 | 13.15 | 0.09 | 13.38 | 10.54 | 2.92 | 12.08 | 8.75 | -7.11 |
| 52.00 | 54.18 | 10.48 | 4.20 | 56.68 | 3.92 | 8.62 | 52.29 | 8.83 | -9.56 |
| 260.00 | 262.52 | 7.25 | 0.97 | 253.71 | 3.08 | -2.42 | 267.02 | 4.31 | 2.70 |
| 416.00 | 401.88 | 6.13 | -3.39 | 399.24 | 10.21 | -4.63 | 407.96 | 7.34 | -1.93 |

RSD: Relative standard deviation, RE: Relative error
Stability assessment

The stock solution did not show degradation after 16 days of storage at −20°C, 24% deviation from freshly prepared stock solution. 6-MP and 6-MMP in the DBS cards were stable at room temperature for 6 days.

DISCUSSION

The usage of 6-MP as a treatment for ALL in infants is increasing. The effectiveness of this therapy depends on the metabolism of 6-MP through three metabolism pathways, one of which is the enzyme TPMT to form 6-MMP. High concentrations of 6-MMP can cause a hepatotoxic effect, so the evaluation of this concentration in biological fluids can help clinicians in therapy adjustment. Several methods have been developed to determine the amount of 6-MP and its metabolites in plasma and whole blood, but none use DBS samples. This research is believed to be the first attempt to successfully develop an analytical method for 6-MP and 6-MMP in DBS.

A LLOQ for both 6-MP and 6-MMP was achieved by protein precipitation as the extraction method using acetonitrile-methanol as the extraction solvent. An evaporation step following the precipitation was added to concentrate its analytes to increase the analysis response. The high recovery percentages of 6-MP (at 94.74%) and 6-MMP (90.09%) show that the extraction method produced high extraction yields. Validation tests were performed and, as reported above, the values of accuracy and precision fulfilled the EMA guideline range of ±15% for the QC samples and ±20% for LLOQ. The calibration curves expressed by the mean regression coefficients of 6-MP and 6-MMP were both 0.99%, which means the method is linear, precise, and accurate.

The matrix effect values observed (6-MP: 73.73% for QC1 and 86.79% for QCII at ±SD 6% and 8%, respectively; 6-MMP: 82.08% for QC1 and 97.64% for QCII at ±SD 6% and 7%) indicate that endogenous compound causes ion suppression which can interfere with the analyte ionization process; however, the %SD values were within EMA limits. Both analytes were stable at the storage condition of −20°C for at least 16 days, and the DBS samples were stable for 6 days at room temperature. In addition, our method has the advantages of fast run time (5 minutes) and simple sample preparation with protein precipitation. Further studies need to be made, specifically with the application of this method for therapeutic drug monitoring in ALL patients receiving 6-MP as their therapy.

CONCLUSION

The method developed and validated as described is suitable for the accurate and precise analysis of 6-MP and 6-MMP simultaneously in DBS using UPLC-MS/MS. The DBS sample preparation procedure is simple, involving protein precipitation followed by analyte reconstitution. To our knowledge, this is the first reported attempt to develop and validate an analysis of 6-MP and 6-MMP in DBS sample. The blood volume required was just ≤0.5 µL. Thus, this method serves as a milestone for application in in vivo studies in ALL patients.
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