Oil Extraction from Rice Bran Using Expanded Liquid Hexane with CO₂
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Abstract Bio-oil is often extracted from biomass using liquid solvent or supercritical carbon dioxide. However, extraction using these methods has several disadvantages. In this study, expanded hexane with carbon dioxide is examined as a new extraction solvent. The objective of this study is to develop a new technique for the extraction of bio-oil from biomass using expanded hexane with carbon dioxide; rice bran is used as the raw biomass. The extraction was carried out at 25–27°C, 5.0–5.3 MPa, and 0.78–0.94 mole fraction of carbon dioxide in expanded hexane. At 26°C, 5.1 MPa, 0.87 of mole fraction of carbon dioxide, the oil yield was 1.6 times greater than that with normal liquid hexane because of the low viscosity and high permeability of expanded hexane. Furthermore, the phosphorus concentration in the extracted oil was 9.5 ppm. This was very low, compared with that in rice bran, in which it is approximately 350 ppm. This was because the solvent power of expanded hexane to extract neutral lipid decreased slightly while that to extract polar components decreased significantly. As a result, expanded hexane with carbon dioxide could extract the phosphorus-free bio-oil in high yield under mild conditions.

1 Introduction

Rice bran is the byproduct of milling paddy rice to produce refined rice. During milling, approximately 73.5% of white rice, 3.5% of broken rice, 15% of husk, and 8% of rice bran are obtained from paddy (Pandey and Shrivastava, 2018). Since rice bran contains 15–25 wt% oil and is one of the most abundant byproducts of the rice industry, it is an important biomass for producing bio-oil. However, it must be stabilized immediately upon production, primarily because of the presence of lipase, an enzyme that rapidly hydrolyzes oil to free fatty acids (FFA) and glycerol. The hydrolysis drastically degrades the quality of the rice bran (Lakkakula et al., 2004).

For the extraction of bio-oil from rice bran, two methods have been identified: extraction using (i) hexane and (ii) supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO₂). Extraction with hexane can be conducted under atmospheric pressure and results in high oil extraction. However, this process has some limitations with respect to the oil quality. The hexane-extracted crude oil contains impurities such as phospholipids, free fatty acids, and wax, owing to the strong solvent power of hexane. On the other hand, supercritical carbon dioxide has many advantages, including tunable solvent properties, rapid mass transfer, facile removal of the solvent, and production of solvent-free crude lipids (Halim et al., 2012). Therefore, this process can be used to extract impurity-free rice bran oil or other bio-oil in high yields. However, it requires high pressure at 20–40 MPa and temperature of 40–60°C to obtain yields comparable with that by hexane extraction (Tomita et al., 2014).

In this study, expanded hexane with carbon dioxide is examined as a new extraction solvent. The solvent, which is diluted liquid hexane with carbon dioxide, has the combined properties of a compressed gas and liquid. In CO₂-expanded liquid, CO₂ not only reduces the volume of the organic solvent, hexane, required for the extraction but also decreases the solvent polarity. Therefore, this solvent has low solvent power and high selectivity for neutral lipids as compared with normal liquid hexane. Therefore, the expanded hexane is expected to dissolve non-polar oil but not polar oils such as phospholipids. This paper discusses the optimized parameters for the extraction of bio-oil with reduced phospholipids using the expanded liquid and for obtaining the maximum yield and quality of bio-oil for biodiesel production. The influence of the extraction conditions (CO₂ mole fraction, pressure, and amount of solvent) on the phospholipids content of the extracted oil and the solubility of bio-oil in the expanded liquid were studied. The following parameters affecting the quality of biodiesel have been taken into account: solubility, phosphorus concentration, and free fatty acids concentration. The goal is to produce bio-oil with a phosphorus concentration less than 20 ppm.
2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Rice bran was obtained from Hamamatsu city (Japan) and stored in the freezer of a refrigerator during the investigation to avoid any change in the components. Before charging the extraction column, rice bran was sieved to remove unwanted particles such as broken rice and husk. Pressurized liquid carbon dioxide was provided by Air Liquid Hamamatsu. Hexane (96.0%) was procured from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation. The packing agents were round stainless steel with a volume of 180 mL, length of 350 mm, outer diameter of 34.1 mm, and inner diameter of 29.8 mm.

2.2 Experimental procedure

The phase diagram of hexane-CO\textsubscript{2} at 25°C is shown in Figure 1. The red dots represent the experimental conditions in this study. The extraction was performed in the liquid phase or liquid-vapor two-phase region at 25–27°C, and 5.0–5.3 MPa (Knapp et al., 1982).

The oil extraction was performed using the apparatus shown in Figure 2. Rice bran (50g) was loaded into the extraction column. Glass beads were placed on the top and bottom of the extraction column. The extraction column was sealed, heated, and pressurized until the desired operational conditions were achieved. Hexane and CO\textsubscript{2} were pumped by a plunger pump and a cylinder pump, respectively, and mixed together before flowing into the extraction column. The pressure in the system was maintained by a backpressure regulator (BPR) and was read by a PE33-A Digital pressure gauge with an accuracy of 0.1%. The exit pressure of the BPR was collected in ice-cooled trap bottles at predetermined time intervals. Hexane was removed from the collected extract using a rotary evaporator at 45°C for about 30 min. Finally, the oil was dried at 58°C in a drying machine until a constant weight was attained, thus confirming the elimination of traces of solvent and water.

![Figure 1. Phase diagram of CO\textsubscript{2}-hexane system at 25°C](image1.png)

![Figure 2. Experimental apparatus](image2.png)

The oil extraction using hexane was performed to initial oil contents in rice bran. Rice bran (10 g) and 100 mL solvent were used in all cases.

2.3 Analytical procedure

The solvent consumption per 1g of rice bran was calculated from the flow rates of hexane and CO\textsubscript{2}. Extraction yields were expressed as the percentage of total extract obtained with respect to the mass of the rice bran. The horizontal axis represents the solvent consumption, and the vertical axis represents the extraction yield. The slope of the approximately straight line at the beginning of extraction gives the solubility of the bio-oil in the expanded liquid.

\[
\text{Solvent consumption } \left[ \frac{\text{g}}{\text{g} - \text{sample}} \right] = \frac{\text{Hexane} \left[ \frac{\text{g}}{\text{min}} \right] + \text{CO}_2 \left[ \frac{\text{g}}{\text{min}} \right] \times \text{Time [min]}}{\text{Rice bran [g]}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Extraction yield } = \frac{\text{Extracted bio-oil} \left[ \frac{\text{g}}{\text{g} - \text{sample}} \right]}{\text{Rice bran [g]}} \quad (2)
\]

The phosphorus concentration was measured by inductively coupled plasma. The acid value is expressed in mg of KOH required to neutralize the free fatty acids present in 1 g of extracted bio-oil.

3 Results and Discussion

Table 1 lists the experimental parameters, namely, temperature (T), pressure (P), and CO\textsubscript{2} mole fraction and the solubility and phosphorus concentration ([P]).

3.1 Bio-oil solubility measurement

The relationship between the solvent consumption and the extraction yield of bio-oil at 26°C, 5.3 MPa and 0.84 mole fraction of CO\textsubscript{2} is shown in Figure 3. The slope of the approximate straight line at the beginning of extraction gives the solubility of bio-oil in the CO\textsubscript{2} expanded liquid, which was 0.1044 g/g-solvent under these conditions.
Table 1. Experimental conditions, solubility of bio-oil, and phosphorus concentration

| Exp. No. | T [°C] | P [MPa] | CO₂ mole fraction [-] | Solubility [g/g solvent] | [P] [ppm] |
|----------|--------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 1        | 25     | 5.1     | 0.78                  | 0.1999                   | 150.4     |
| 2        | 27     | 5.1     | 0.82                  | 0.1503                   | 99.9      |
| 3        | 26     | 5.3     | 0.84                  | 0.1044                   | 59.8      |
| 4        | 26     | 5.1     | 0.87                  | 0.0637                   | 9.1       |
| 5        | 25     | 5.2     | 0.91                  | 0.0227                   | 5.4       |
| 6        | 25     | 5.2     | 0.94                  | 0.0118                   | 5.0       |

The total extraction yield was 0.2297 g/g-sample, which was 1.2 times greater than the extraction yield obtained using normal liquid hexane (0.1860 g/g-sample).

The solubility of bio-oil and phosphorus concentration decreased with an increase in the mole fraction of CO₂ because of the decreasing solvent power of hexane. When the CO₂ mole fraction was greater than or equal to 0.87, the phosphorus concentration was lower than the target value of 20 ppm. The solubility of bio-oil extracted using 0.87 mole fraction of CO₂ has good solubility and phosphorus concentration.

Figure 5 shows the change in phosphorus concentration in the extracted oil as a function of the extraction time, when extracted at 26°C, 5.1 MPa, and 0.87 mole fraction of CO₂. The average phosphorus concentration in the extracted oil was 9.5 ppm, which is far beyond the phosphorus concentration of 350 ppm in the oil extracted using hexane alone.

Figure 6. Change in free fatty acid concentration in the extracted oil at different extraction times (26°C, 5.1 MPa, and 0.87 mole fraction of CO₂)

Figure 3. Extraction yield of bio-oil from rice bran using expanded hexane with CO₂ at 26°C, 5.3 MPa, and 0.84 mole fraction of CO₂

3.2 Effect of CO₂ mole fraction on solubility of bio-oil and phosphorus concentration

The influence of CO₂ mole fraction in the extractant on the solubility of bio-oil and phosphorus concentration is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Influence of CO₂ mole fraction in the extractant on the solubility of bio-oil and phosphorus concentration (25–27°C, 5.0–5.3 MPa)

Figure 5. Change in phosphorus concentration in the extracted oil at different extraction times (26°C, 5.1 MPa, and 0.87 mole fraction of CO₂)

Figure 6. Change in free fatty acid concentration in the extracted oil at different extraction times (26°C, 5.1 MPa, and 0.87 mole fraction of CO₂)
Figure 6 shows the FFA concentration in the extracted oil as a function of the extraction time under the above conditions. The fatty acid concentration was higher in the early fractions of the extraction. This is because of the higher solvent selectivity for free fatty acids over the triglycerides, which are low molecular weight compounds comprising free fatty acids (Fernández et al., 2015). The average FFA concentration was 8.9 wt%. This is close to 11.4 wt%, which is the FFA concentration obtained by hexane extraction.

4 Conclusions

Extraction using expanded hexane with CO$_2$ was studied as a new method to recover bio-oil from rice bran for biodiesel production. The extractions were performed in the temperature range 25–27°C and pressure range 5.0–5.3 MPa. It was found that the solubility of bio-oil and the phosphorus concentration decreased with increase in mole fraction of CO$_2$. The optimum conditions, namely, 26°C, 5.1 MPa, and 0.87 mole fraction of CO$_2$, yields bio-oil with good solubility and phosphorus concentration less than 20 ppm. The solubility was 0.0637 g/g-solvent, phosphorus concentration was 9.5 ppm, and FFA concentration was 8.9 wt%.

Comparing with the conventional methods, the solubility of bio-oil and the phosphorus concentration obtained using this method were found to lie between those obtained using hexane extraction and SC-CO$_2$ extraction. The yield was better than that obtained by hexane extraction and close to that obtained by using SC-CO$_2$ extraction. Finally, the FFA concentration in the extracted oil was approximately the same as that obtained with conventional methods.
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