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A B S T R A C T

Protected cultivation of Nephrolepis exaltata L. plants paved way to increase its cut foliage value in the market by providing suitable micro climate conditions for growth and development. The present study was focused on evaluating the foliage fern viz., Boston fern under different coloured shade nets viz., red, white, green, black and control as natural shade. Environmental parameters viz., light intensity, temperature and relative humidity recorded during the entire study period indicated that highest light intensity was recorded under red coloured shade net as well as in control. No significance change was found in temperature and relative humidity under different coloured shade nets. Most of the growth parameters were found to be superior in the plants grown under red net conditions where it received maximum light intensity throughout the growth period.
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Introduction

Cut foliage plants are important component in floricultural industry. They are widely used for decoration purpose which provides freshness, colour and variety to the flower arrangements and bouquets. Many of the cut foliage plants have been claimed as important fillers in stage decorations. The commercial production of cut foliage plants has begun in India in recent years and these plants have huge demand in the market. Nephrolepis exaltata (Boston fern) belongs to the family Nephrolepidaceae and is a very popular house plant, often grown in hanging baskets or similar conditions. This fern thrives best in humid conditions and in outdoors and it prefers partial shade or full shade, while indoors it grows best in bright filtered light. Generally foliage plants perform well under partial shade and produces foliage round the year. Coloured shade nets approach has already been successfully experimented in certain ornamentals, vegetable and even fruit crops. Providing shade nets regardless of colour reduce radiation that reaches the crops
underneath and is directly proportional to the shade factor and modify microenvironment. Hence the present investigation was carried out with the objective of growing good quality cut foliage Nephrolepis fern plants under different coloured shade nets and to find the suitable coloured net for best foliage growth and quality.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu located at 11°02'N latitude and 76°57'E longitude at an altitude of 426.76 m above MSL. The plants were grown and evaluated under different coloured shade nets (50% shade level) viz., red, white, green, black and in natural tree shade as control. Uniform sized plants were selected and planted in pots of 20 cm diameter (1/4 size pots). The growing media used for planting comprised of sand, garden soil and red soil in the ratio 1:1:1. The experiment was laid out in FCRD (Factorial Completely Randomized Design) with two factors and three replications. Coloured shade nets and duration of months after planting were the two factors. Environmental parameters were recorded regularly @ 9.00 AM, 12.00 Noon and 3.00 PM in a day. Temperature, relative humidity and light intensity were recorded using thermometer, hygrometer and lux meter respectively (Average value of the individual parameters was calculated throughout the growth period and the compiled date is furnished in Table 1). Morphological and quality parameters (Vase life and leaf senescence) parameters were recorded @ 6th month after planting during harvest whereas physiological parameters taken at 2 months interval and average been calculated. Vaselife of the cut fern was estimated in distilled water under ambient conditions.

Results and Discussion

The different shade net colours had a positive influence on morphological parameters of Nephrolepis exaltata L. Different coloured shadenets relieve light and heat stress caused during summer months. Plants grown under red shade net exhibited highest plant height, plant spread as well as number of pinnae/plant recorded six months after planting (Table 2). Though during the initial growth phases, no significance was noticed during later stages of growth significant differences were observed in morphological as well as foliage quality. Similar increase in plant height of Pittosporum plants grown under red net has been reported by Oren-Shamir (2001).

Increase in plant height under red may be due to the reduced R:FR ratio or the deficiency of blue light (Kasperbauer, 1994). Blue light (blue net) causes dwarfing (Shahak, 2014); hence opposite of dwarfing is expressed in plant morphology. Considering pinna or leaf characters, highest pinna length, pinna breadth and pinna area was noticed in plants grown under red shade net (Table 2). Similar findings were reported by Rekha Meena et al., (2012) who conducted micro climatic studies in Spinacia olearecae and concluded that increased leaf area was obtained under red shade net conditions. Sufficient utilization of intercepted radiation might have occurred in red shade nets which in turn favoured highest leaf area.

One of the important parameter deciding the aesthetic appearance of the cut foliage is its internodal length and in the present study it was observed that plants grown under red shade net recorded highest internodal length (Table 2). This might be because of pronounced stimulation of the vegetative growth such as increased plant height and spread in case of red shade net conditions.
Table.1 Effect of environmental parameters under different coloured shade nets during entire growth period

| Shadenet colours | Temperature (ºC) | Relative humidity (%) | Light intensity (lux) |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Red              | 27.58            | 64.78                 | 5616                 |
| White            | 28.97            | 66.74                 | 5422                 |
| Green            | 27.88            | 66.33                 | 4948                 |
| Black            | 26.33            | 68.00                 | 5158                 |
| Control          | 27.48            | 65.83                 | 5705                 |
| Mean             | 27.65            | 66.34                 | 5370                 |

Table.2 Effect of coloured shade nets on morphological parameters of *Nephrolepis exaltata* @ 6 months after planting

| Shade net colour | Plant height (cm) | Plant spread (cm) | Number of leaves/plant | Leaf length (cm) | Leaf breadth (cm) | Leaf area (cm²) | Internodal length (cm) | Petiole girth (cm) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|                  | E-W               | N-S               |                        |                  |                  |                  |                        |                    |
| Red              | 51.26             | 43.27             | 47.71                  | 204.67           | 3.20             | 0.50             | 4.78                   | 3.80               | 0.55               |
| White            | 32.04             | 27.28             | 31.83                  | 180.78           | 2.80             | 0.40             | 3.43                   | 2.40               | 0.42               |
| Green            | 44.78             | 39.33             | 43.65                  | 178.22           | 2.20             | 0.42             | 3.17                   | 2.07               | 0.40               |
| Black            | 41.32             | 27.07             | 29.64                  | 149.40           | 2.30             | 0.48             | 4.23                   | 2.50               | 0.45               |
| Control          | 40.53             | 28.52             | 30.09                  | 158.27           | 2.20             | 0.41             | 3.53                   | 2.20               | 0.41               |
| Mean             | 42.00             | 32.89             | 36.58                  | 174.27           | 2.52             | 0.44             | 3.83                   | 2.62               | 0.45               |
| S. Ed.           | 4.31              | 3.40              | 3.80                   | 13.45            | 0.23             | 0.04             | 0.34                   | 0.23               | 0.04               |
| CD (0.05)        | 8.81              | 6.95              | 7.77                   | 27.47            | 0.48             | NS               | 0.69                   | 0.51               | NS                 |

*Pinna is represented as leaf*

Table.3 Effect of coloured shadensets on physiological and quality parameters

| Shadenet colours | Chlorophyll content (mg g⁻¹) | Photosynthetic rate (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | Epicuticular wax (µg cm⁻²) | Days taken for leaf senescence (days) | Vase life in distilled water (days) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Red              | 1.23                        | 2.29                               | 2.04                      | 25.34                               | 4.50                             |
| White            | 1.22                        | 1.32                               | 1.46                      | 25.26                               | 4.50                             |
| Green            | 1.33                        | 2.80                               | 3.01                      | 29.52                               | 6.50                             |
| Black            | 1.80                        | 2.26                               | 1.97                      | 24.32                               | 5.00                             |
| Control          | 1.14                        | 3.71                               | 1.47                      | 22.70                               | 4.75                             |
| Mean             | 1.33                        | 2.48                               | 1.97                      | 25.43                               | 5.05                             |
| S.Ed             | 0.14                        | 0.29                               | 0.25                      | 1.37                                | 0.59                             |
| C.D (0.05)       | 0.29                        | 0.60                               | 0.53                      | 3.06                                | 1.33                             |

Highest petiole girth was also recorded in plants grown under red shade net which is a desirable trait in cut foliage industry (Table 2). In support of this result, Mythrong and Sudhadevi (2016) reported that red shade net enhanced the petiole length and girth in
Nephrolepis exaltata and Asparagus densiflorus. The shading of crops results in number of changes on both microclimate and crop activity. Shade netting not only decreases light quantity but also alters light quality to a varying extent which might also influence other environmental conditions.

It was found that red nets significantly increased the total yield as compared to black shade nets (Zoran et al., 2011). Light related stresses can be rectified specifically by using coloured shade nets. Red light spectrum reduces the blue, green, and yellow light spectrums and increases the R and FR light spectrums and hence causes stem elongation in plants (Oren-Shamir, 2001). The total chlorophyll was higher in leaves of plants grown under black net (Table 3) and this corroborates the findings of Stamp and Chandler (2008) who found greater chlorophyll content in Pittosporum tobira ‘Variegata’ under black and blue coloured nets. This fact is associated with the low levels of PAR and light intensity recorded under black net. Although the plants were not directly exposed to sun, they produced additional chlorophyll to capture the diffuse radiation in order to produce carbohydrates required for their growth and development (Ilic et al., 2015).

Among the different shadenet conditions, the highest photosynthetic rate was observed in control (tree shade) and this might be due to high CO₂ prevailing in outer environment when compared to shade net conditions. Higher photosynthetic rate recorded in green net after control (Table 3) was attributed to the fact that, green colour might have scattered more light resulting in availability of more diffused radiation. This diffused radiation in turn causes higher absorption of PAR resulting in more light use efficiency and photosynthetic rate, whereas black colour acts as opaque material causing less reflection of all light spectra thereby reducing the light use efficiency, photosynthetic rate and ultimately reduction in yield. Similar results were recorded by Shahak (2008) and Zoran et al., (2011).

Longer vase life and longevity was found in the leaves harvested from green shadenet grown plants which possessed highest epicuticular wax content as well (Table 3). The deposition of epicuticular wax is continuous until complete morphological development of the leaf. Protected conditions always show increased epicuticular wax content than open/outside conditions.

The deposition rate of wax in leaf cuticle depends directly on temperature and light, being inversely proportional to water content in the plant (Skoss, 1955). Contrary to this earlier report, in the present study highest epicuticular wax was recorded under green net conditions which recorded reduced light intensity and temperature. Differential response occurs with regard to plant species and the prevailing environmental parameters. This increased wax content in green shade net grown plants may be due to suitable microclimate provided in favour of wax biosynthesis. Excess wax content on leaf surface might have provided better protection of the leaves from high light intensity/temperature and thereby improved the freshness of the cut foliage.

Not much variation between the climatic parameters was noticed under different coloured shadenets. Temperature ranged between 27 and 29°C in all the treatments on an average (Table 1). Temperature was highest under white shade net (28.97°C) while it was least of 26.33°C under black shade net. Variation in temperature under different coloured shadenets was in agreement with earlier studies that reported reduced temperature under black shade nets which in
Turn affect plant processes (Smith et al., 1984). Relative humidity ranged between 64 to 68% in all the treatments. Relative humidity is often higher under netting than outside as a result of water vapour being transpired by the crop and reduced mixing with drier air outside the netted area (Elad et al., 2007), even when temperatures under the netting are higher than outside (Stamps, 1994) (Table 1). Among the different growing conditions, light intensity was highest (5705 lux) under control followed by red shade net. Average light intensity ranged between 6000 and 7000 lux experienced throughout the growing period (Table 1). Natural shade in outside environment ensured the maximum sunlight utilization or light fall in control. However, usage of coloured shade cloth is designed such that to modify light in either the ultra-violet, visible or far-red spectral regions; the cloth also enhances the relative content of scattered vs. direct light and absorbs infra-red radiation (Shahak, 2014), hence the plants can be grown without experiencing radiation related stresses.

In conclusion the basically cut foliages are the source of decoration on its own or as a combination with other flowers in bouquets. Countries like Florida, Holland ventured into foliage cultivation to make the floral arrangement fulfilled. Moreover quality foliage production is met out by protected cultivation and shadenets potentially termed as low cost protected cultivation technology can be adopted easier to achieve this. In our study, growing of Nephrolepis fern plants under red shade net improved the growth parameters of Boston fern whereas greenshade nets positively influenced the quality of the foliage. Hence growth of Nephrolepis fern during initial phase under red shade net (upto four months) and shifting to green shade net (after six months of planting) can be followed for better morphological growth and better foliage quality during harvesting.
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