Acute myeloid leukemia immunopeptidome reveals HLA presentation of mutated nucleophosmin
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Abstract

Somatic mutations in cancer are a potential source of cancer specific neoantigens. Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) has common recurrent mutations shared between patients in addition to private mutations specific to individuals. We hypothesized that neoantigens derived from recurrent shared mutations would be attractive targets for future immunotherapeutic approaches. Here we sought to study the HLA Class I and II immunopeptidome of thirteen primary AML tumor samples and two AML cell lines (OCI-AML3 and MV4-11) using mass spectrometry to evaluate for endogenous mutation-bearing HLA ligands from common shared AML mutations. We identified two endogenous, mutation-bearing HLA Class I ligands from mutated nucleophosmin (NPM1). The ligands, AVEEVSLRK from two patient samples and C(cys)LAVEEVS L from OCI-AML3, are predicted to bind the common HLA haplotypes, HLA-A*03:01 and HLA-A*02:01 respectively. Since NPM1 is mutated in approximately one-third of patients with AML, the finding of endogenous HLA ligands from mutated NPM1 supports future studies evaluating immunotherapeutic approaches against this shared target, for this subset of patients with AML.

Introduction

The major cause of therapeutic failure in AML is disease relapse [1]. Novel approaches are needed to target AML in a durable and specific manner. Immunotherapy, using the cytolytic
capacity of the adaptive immune system for specific anti-tumor targeting, is one such potential approach. While several leukemia-associated antigens (LAA) have been identified (such as WT1, Cyclin A1) [2,3], leukemia specific antigens (LSA) have not been as well defined.

We hypothesized that somatic mutations in AML may potentially result in novel antigens (neoantigens). Neoantigens have been predicted in other tumors by applying in silico human leukocyte antigen (HLA) binding algorithms to mutations identified through exome sequencing [4–6]. Alternatively, class I and II HLA immunopeptidome analyses using mass spectrometry (MS) combined with exome sequencing of primary tumor samples have identified endogenous neoantigens in melanoma and lymphoma [7–9]. Although AML has a low mutation burden [10,11], and therefore relatively few predicted neoantigens, recurrent 'hotspot mutations' are shared by substantial numbers of patients [11,12]. Such hotspot mutations are often clonal driver mutations [11,13], and therefore may be more effective targets than neoantigens derived from sub-clonal and/or passenger mutations. We hypothesized that shared HLA ligands corresponding to recurrent shared mutations exist, which if identified, could potentially lead to future development of novel immunotherapy for substantial numbers of patients.

We searched for such shared HLA ligands by predicting in silico HLA Class I binding affinities of common recurrent AML mutations and by directly surveying the HLA Class I and II immunopeptidomes of thirteen primary AML tumor samples and two AML cell lines, OCI-AML3 and MV4-11, using mass spectrometry (MS). While one previous study reported the HLA Class I and II immunopeptidome of primary AML tumor samples evaluating non-mutated leukemia associated HLA ligands [14], we focused our detection efforts on identifying mutant HLA ligands from tumor samples known to bear common recurrent mutations. Our investigation revealed the endogenous Class I presentation of a known recurrent mutation involving nucleophosmin (NPM1). NPM1 mutations in adult AML generally arise from base pair insertions, which create frameshifts and consequently, novel C terminus sequences [15]. The frameshift nature of this mutation produces multiple candidate HLA ligands. Here, we identified HLA Class I ligands which spanned the mutated C terminal sequences, including AVEEVSLRK from two primary patient tumor samples and C(cys)LAVEEVSL from OCI-AML3. These peptides are predicted to bind two common HLA haplotypes, HLA-A*03:01 and HLA-A*02:01, respectively. Since NPM1 is recurrently mutated in 27–35% of adult AML [11,12], our finding of endogenously presented HLA ligands from this recurrent, shared mutation in the context of common HLA haplotypes may have future immunotherapeutic applications.

Materials and methods
Analysis of predicted HLA ligands from common recurrent AML mutations

We used NetMHC3.4 [16,17] to predict HLA Class I binding affinities of 9-11mer peptides overlapping the mutated region of common recurrent AML mutations (NPM1 mutation A/D, FLT3-TKD (D835Y, D835E, D835H), IDH1 (R132C, R132H), IDH2 (R140Q, R172K), KIT (D816V, D816Y, Y418S), RAS (G12C, G12D, G12V, G13D, Q61H, Q61K, Q61P, Q61R), DNMT3A (R882H, R882C)) to available HLA-A, B, and C alleles, and compared these values to those predicted from the corresponding wildtype peptide sequences. Peptides with predicted affinity of <500 nM (half maximum inhibitory concentration, IC50) were considered as predicted ligands, and those with predicted affinities <100 nM as strong binders. The number of predicted ligands versus HLA alleles was plotted using GraphPad Prism software (La Jolla, CA).
Chart review and samples
Peripheral blood (PB) and leukapheresis (LP) primary AML tumor samples were collected in the Stanford Hematology tissue bank with informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. IRB approval (#28969, #32256) was obtained for review of medical charts and evaluation of stored tumor samples. Mutational data (S1 Table) and HLA type (Stanford Blood Center using sanger sequencing) from patient samples, previously performed as part of clinical care, were annotated from medical records. Known FLT3-ITD and NPM1 mutations from patient samples were confirmed with sanger sequencing (see S1 File for supplementary methods). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from patient tumor samples using Ficoll-Paque density gradient centrifugation and placed in 20% fetal calf serum with 10% DMSO, with storage in either -80˚C or vapor phase of liquid nitrogen until use.

The OCI-AML3 cell line, which has NPM1 mutation A (p.W88fs*12) [18], was a kind gift of Dr. Beverly Mitchell. The MV4-11 cell line, which has mutated FLT3-ITD [19], was obtained from ATCC. The two cell lines were grown to 2 X 10^9 in complete RPM1 (10% FBS) and complete IMDM (10% FBS) respectively. Cells were washed twice in PBS, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in -80˚C until use. Kashi clinical labs (Portland, Oregon) was used to obtain the HLA-A, B, C and HLA-DR typing of both cell lines and to confirm typing of one patient tumor sample (AML003). HLA-ABC and HLA-DR expression in primary AML tumor samples and AML cell lines was analyzed using flow cytometry (see S1 File).

MHC-Class I and II immunopeptidome analysis by mass spectrometry
MHC-Class I and II immunopeptidomes were measured in parallel from primary AML tumor samples (1 X 10^8 cells per MHC preparation) and AML cell lines (1 X 10^9 cells per MHC preparation) as previously described (see S1 File) [8,20,21]. Isolated HLA peptides were reconstituted in 12 μl of 0.1% formic acid and analyzed on an LTQ Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) or a Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, USA). Peptides were separated by capillary reverse phase chromatography on 20–24 cm reversed phase columns (100 μm inner diameter, packed in-house with ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 3.0 m resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH)) using two-step linear gradients with increasing acetonitrile as previously described (see S1 File) [8,21]. All primary AML tumor samples were measured with the Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer and analyzed three times with complementary acquisition methods. The two cell line specimens (OCI-AML3 and MV4-11) were analyzed with the Fusion Lumos tribrid mass spectrometer.

Computational identification of immunopeptidomes from mass spectra
All tandem mass spectra were queried against a personalized “target-decoy” protein sequence database [22], using both SEQUEST and PEAKS DB search engines (PEAKS Studio 8, Bioinformatics Solutions Inc.) [23]. This database consisted of the human proteome (UniProtKB, version February 2016) along with sequences from recurrent AML mutations (S1 Table). Decoy entries were generated by protein sequence reversal and appended to unaltered “target” sequences. To improve high-confidence peptide identification, the spectra were also interpreted by de novo sequencing (PEAKS Studio 8). For all searches, the parent mass error tolerance was set to 10 ppm and the fragment mass error tolerance to 0.02 Da. For SEQUEST and PEAKS DB, enzyme specificity was set to none and oxidation of methionines and deamidation (N,Q), cysteinylation, and phosphorylation (S,T,Y) were considered as variable modifications. High-confidence peptide identifications were selected at a 1% false discovery rate (FDR) with a modified version of the Percolator algorithm [24], unless otherwise indicated. Peptide data have been deposited in the PRIDE Archive [25] at www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive (accession
Post-translational modifications were counted as distinct. The extents to which peptides and their source proteins differed between all patient tumor samples was measured as previously described [8]. Enriched gene ontologies were assessed from source genes of the peptides we identified using GOrilla (Gene Ontology Enrichment Analysis and Visualization Tool) [26,27]. Peptides identical to empirically identified mutation-bearing HLA Class I peptides were synthesized by Elim Biopharmaceuticals (Hayward, CA) with a purity of >90%. These were dissolved in 0.1% formic acid and analyzed by MS to allow comparison of spectra between the synthetic and endogenously identified peptides.

Results

Putative peptides from common recurrent AML mutations are predicted HLA Class I ligands

We used NetMHC to predict HLA class I binding affinities for putative 9-11mer peptides spanning common recurrent AML mutations. We compared these predictions with those generated from the corresponding wildtype peptide sequences (Fig 1, S1 Fig). We review, as an example, findings for putative peptides from mutated NPM1. NPM1 mutations A, D, G, and H [15,28] (NPM1-MutA/D/G/H) are all predicted to result in a shared C-terminal amino acid residue sequence (MTDQEAIQDLCLAVEEVSLRK) that markedly differs from the wildtype sequence (MTDQEAIQDLWQWRKSL) (Fig 1). As has been previously described [29–31], the mutation bearing sequence AIQDLCLAV was predicted to strongly bind the HLA-A*02:01 allele (IC50, 97 nM), whereas no high affinity ligands were predicted to bind A’*02:01 from the wildtype peptide sequence. The mutation-bearing sequence AVEEVSLRK, which is shared by most NPM1 mutations [15,28], was predicted to bind both HLA-A*03:01, a common allele across major ethnic groups in the US [19], and A’*11:01. Several other peptide sequences from NPM1-MutA/D/G/H that were predicted to bind various HLA-A, B, and C alleles included MTDQEAIQDLCLAVEEVSL, DLCLAVEEVSL, QEAIQDLCLAV, EAIQDLCLAV, LCLAVEEVSL, CLAVEEVSLR, LAVEEVSLR, QEAIQDLCL, DLCLAVEEV, CLAVEEVSL, and LAVEEVSLR. We further note that peptide sequences derived from the common recurrent mutations of DNMT3A, FLT3, KIT, RAS, and IDH2 yield predicted HLA-specific ligands, whereas IDH1 R132C/H did not produce as many predicted ligands (S1 Fig).

AML HLA Class I and II Immunopeptidome analysis

We next empirically measured the HLA Class I and Class II (HLA-DR) immunopeptidomes of thirteen primary AML tumor samples (Table 1), with mass spectrometry using 1 X 10^8 cells per MHC preparation. These data were used to evaluate whether endogenous HLA ligands spanning common recurrent AML mutations could be detected. Pan-HLA Class I and Class II HLA-DR immune complexes were captured in parallel experiments, rather than sequentially, to increase the sensitivity of our assay. Primary AML tumor samples were selected based on having known HLA haplotypes for HLA-A, B, C and HLA-DR, and at least one or more common recurrent mutations in either NPM1, FLT3, DNMT3A, IDH1, IDH2, KIT, or RAS from previous clinical evaluation. Known NPM1 and FLT3-ITD mutations from patient samples were confirmed using sanger sequencing. More than half of the tumor samples had normal karyotypes; nearly half (6 of 13) bore NPM1 mutation A and most (9 of 13) had FLT3-ITD mutations (Fig 2A), likely reflecting the increased frequency of banked tumor specimens from patients with high white blood cell counts. Nearly half the specimens were from patients with relapsed or refractory disease (6 of 13)

We identified a total of 20,169 distinct peptide sequences (12,406 peptides present only in the Class I dataset, 4,954 peptides present only in the Class II dataset, and 2,809 peptides
present in both the Class I and II datasets) from all patient samples in this dataset (n = 13; estimated 1% FDR). Since we assayed patients’ PBMCs without further cell type enrichment, some of these peptides may have been presented by normal blood cells. However, the majority of specimens (11 of 13) had >80% blasts (Table 1), consistent with high tumor burdens. To try to further increase the sensitivity of mutant peptide detection, we also assessed the HLA immunopeptidome of two common AML cell lines using a higher cell count of 1 X 10^9 cells per MHC preparation. These cell lines, OCI-AML3 and MV4-11, have been described to have NPM1 mutation A [18] and FLT3-ITD [19] respectively. From the combined cell lines dataset, we identified a total of 31,734 distinct peptide sequences (25,212 peptides present only in the
Table 1. Sample characteristics.

| Mass Spec ID | Sample Disease Status | Peripheral WBC count 10³/µl (Blast %†) | Blast % from PBMC samples† | HLA Genotype Class I | HLA Genotype Class II |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| AML001       | Relapsed              | 176 (97%)                            | 93%                       | A’32 A’33 B’14 B’44 C’05 C’08 | DRB1’01 DRB1’11     |
| AML002       | New Diagnosis         | 234 (95%)                            | 82%                       | A’02 A’03 B’07 B’44 C’05 C’07 | DRB1’04 DRB1’15     |
| AML003       | Relapsed              | 52 (81%)                             | 96%                       | A’03 A’03 B’07 B’07 C’07 C’07 | DRB1’15 DRB1’15     |
| AML005       | Refractory            | 228 (97%)                            | 94%                       | A’03 A’24 B’07 B’35 C’04 C’07 | DRB1’13 DRB1’14     |
| AML006       | New Diagnosis         | 207 (96%)                            | 98%                       | A’25 A’31 B’18 B’48 C’08 C’12 | DRB1’09 DRB1’15     |
| AML008       | Relapsed              | 37 (76%)                             | 92%                       | A’01 A’26 B’14 B’55 C’03 C’08 | DRB1’11 DRB1’11     |
| AML009       | New Diagnosis         | 162 (94%)                            | 97%                       | A’01 A’02 B’27 B’57 C’01 C’06 | DRB1’14 DRB1’15     |
| AML010       | New Diagnosis         | 32 (35%)                             | 67%                       | A’32 A’68 B’44 B’53 C’04 C’06 | DRB1’11 DRB1’15     |
| AML011       | New Diagnosis         | 153 (93%)                            | 88%                       | A’24 A’34 B’35 B’53 C’04 C’06 | DRB1’13 DRB1’14     |
| AML013       | Relapsed              | 98 (94%)                             | 60%                       | A’01 A’29 B’14 B’57 C’06 C’08 | DRB1’07 DRB1’13     |
| AML014       | Relapsed              | 62 (67%)                             | 88%                       | A’24 A’31 B’51 B’58 C’03 C’14 | DRB1’03 DRB1’10     |
| AML015       | New Diagnosis         | 155 (66%)                            | 84%                       | A’01 A’68 B’27 B’35 C’04 C’07 | DRB1’01 DRB1’08     |
| AML016       | New Diagnosis         | 18 (74%)                             | 91%                       | A’01 A’24 B’55 B’57 C’03 C’06 | DRB1’07 DRB1’13     |
| OCI-AML3     | NA                    | NA                                   | NA                        | A’02 A’23 B’44 B’53 C’04 C’05 | DRB1’04 DRB1’13     |
| MV4-11       | NA                    | NA                                   | NA                        | A’03 A’68 B’14 B’18 C’08 C’15 | DRB1’01 DRB1’13     |

*Percentage of peripheral blasts clinically reported.
†Percentage of blasts from PBMC specimens was determined using flow cytometry with dim/moderate CD45 versus low SSC-H for typical blast gate and high CD45 versus moderate SSC-H for myelomonocytic blast gate.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219547.1001

Class I dataset, 5,204 peptides present only in the Class II dataset, and 1,318 peptides present in both the Class I and II datasets).

The length distribution of Class I peptides measured from patient samples and cell lines followed the expected distribution, with a peak for 9mers and general range of 8-15mers (Fig 2B and 2C). Class II peptides distributed more broadly as expected (Fig 2B and 2C).

We evaluated Class I HLA-ABC and Class II HLA-DR expression by flow cytometry to compare HLA expression between primary tumor samples and to see if expression levels correlated with peptide recovery. The median fluorescent intensity (MFI) for HLA-ABC expression had less variability between patient samples (median MFI 1092 +/- std 416, range 290–1641), whereas HLA-DR expression had greater variability between samples (median MFI 587 +/- std 591, range 111–1736) (Fig 2D). While Class I expression did not correlate with the number of distinct peptides eluted from Class I from patients’ tumor samples (Pearson 0.05), there was a trend towards correlation between Class II HLA-DR expression and the number of distinct class II peptides eluted (Pearson 0.71) (S2 Fig). Comparing samples from newly diagnosed versus relapsed/refractory patients, we found that HLA expression was not significantly different between these groups but there was a trend towards a decreased number of distinct Class II peptides eluted from relapsed/refractory samples (S2 Fig).

We next evaluated the dataset of eluted, distinct peptides and their corresponding source genes/proteins in several ways including interpatient similarity and gene/protein ontology. Similar to our findings in mantle cell lymphoma [8], we observed considerable similarity between eluted peptides measured from patients with shared HLA serotypes and less similarity between patients with fewer HLA serotypes in common (Fig 3). The corresponding source proteins, however, were far more consistent between patients (S3 Fig) [8]. We also evaluated ontology of source genes from Class I and II peptides from patient tumor samples and cell lines using GOrilla [26,27] (S4 Fig). Similar to previous reports [32–34], we found that proteins...
presented by Class I reflected multiple cellular locations, including the nucleus, cytoplasm, and other membrane bound and non-membrane bound locations, whereas proteins presented by Class II appeared to have a more limited cellular location sampling that included vesicle, luminal associated and extracellular spaces (S4 Fig). These combined attributes gave us confidence in our dataset to next assess the presence of peptides from known leukemia associated antigens and recurrent AML mutations.

Endogenous HLA ligands from source proteins of Leukemia associated antigens

Several LAAs have been described in the literature [2,3] such as WT1 [35,36] and CCNA1 [37]. We evaluated the Class I and II immunopeptidomes from patient samples and cell lines for source proteins of previously reported LAAs [2,3,14] (Fig 4, S2 Table). While we found several peptides from LAA source proteins such as PRTN3/PR3 and MPO, we did not find any from others such as WT1 or BIRC5 in this dataset. Several of the peptides from LAAs have not been previously reported to be eluted from primary AML samples to our knowledge, such as SLSEIVPC(cys)L, a Class I peptide from CCNA1 found in AML009 (S2 Table).

Identification of endogenous mutated HLA ligands

We next searched the MS data against a database combining the human proteome with mutation sequences from common recurrent mutations (from NPM1, FLT3-TKD, RAS, KIT,
DNMT3A, IDH1/2) and the unique FLT3-ITD sequences identified in patient samples. Using a stringent 1% FDR threshold, we identified an endogenous 9mer peptide from mutated NPM1, AVEEVSLRK, from one patient sample (AML003) in Class I immunopeptidome analysis (Fig 5A). Following a strategy described by Bassani-Sternberg et al.[7], we considered identifications meeting a less stringent FDR threshold to increase the sensitivity with which we could measure mutant peptides. With a threshold of less than 11% applied to Class I ligand data, we identified the same AVEEVSLRK peptide from another patient sample (AML006)
and also a cysteinylated 9mer peptide from NPM1, C(cys)LAVEEVSL from OCI-AML3 (Fig 5 and S3 Table). Both peptides’ identities were confirmed using synthetic peptides (S5 Fig). Based on the HLA haplotypes of the samples in which the peptides were identified, we determined that AVEEVSLRK is likely presented by A*03:01 in AML003 and A*31:01 in AML006, with C(cys)LAVEEVSL likely being presented by A*02:01 in OCI-AML3 (Fig 5). We also identified several short length NPM1 mutation-bearing peptides from Class II immunopeptidome analysis, including AVEEVSLRK, LAVEEVSLRK, VEEVSLRK, and AVEEVSLR (S3 Table). Although short length ligands have been observed in Class II immunopeptidome studies [7,33] their significance remains poorly understood.

In addition to identifying mutation-bearing ligands, we also evaluated for the presence of non-mutation bearing ligands from proteins that can be recurrently mutated in AML (Fig 5 and Table 2). Among the proteins of interest (NPM1, FLT3, DNMT3A, IDH1, IDH2, KIT, and RAS), non-mutation bearing ligands from NPM1 were the most frequent in both patient tumor samples and cell lines, including ligands close to or corresponding to where hotspot mutations occur (EAIQDLWQW and MTDQEAIQDLWQWR). We did not measure any ligands from the proteins IDH1 or RAS in this dataset. Whether the processing and presentation of non-mutation bearing HLA ligands from wildtype regions increases the likelihood of mutation-bearing peptides being processed and presented from the same region remains to be further explored. While the cytoplasmic localization of NPM1 mutant proteins may potentially impact processing and presentation of both mutation bearing and non-bearing peptides from the mutant protein, in this dataset most of the NPM1 peptides were eluted from NPM1 wildtype samples (Table 2).
Table 2. Non-mutated peptides eluted from HLA Class I and II from patient samples and cell lines from source proteins of interest.

| Source Protein | HLA | Sample | Mutation Status of Sample | Eluted Peptide |
|----------------|-----|--------|---------------------------|----------------|
| NPM1           | Class I | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | DDEEAEKAPVKK |
|                |       | AML015 | NPM1 Wildtype | GGFEITPPVVLR |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | GGFEITPPVVLR |
|                |       | AML015 | NPM1 Wildtype | GFEITPPVVVL |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | FEITPPVVLR |
|                |       | AML015 | NPM1 Wildtype | EITPPVVLR |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | EITPPVVLR |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | ITPPVVLR |
|                |       | AML003 | NPM1 Mutated | SPIKVTLATL |
|                |       | OCIAML3| NPM1 Mutated | C[119.00]ELKADKDY |
|                |       | OCIAML3| NPM1 Mutated | C[119.00]ELKADKDYHF |
|                |       | OCIAML3| NPM1 Mutated | KADKDYHF |
|                |       | OCIAML3| NPM1 Mutated | KFINYVKNCF |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | VEAKFINY |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | DENEHQLSL |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | SGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | RSAPGGSKVPQK |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | VEAEMAMNY |
|                |       | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | EAIQDLWQW* |
|                | Class II | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | DDEEAEKAPVKK |
|                |       | AML005 | NPM1 Wildtype | LSISGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | AML006 | NPM1 Mutated | LSISGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | AML006 | NPM1 Mutated | SISGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | AML013 | NPM1 Wildtype | SISGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | AML015 | NPM1 Wildtype | SISGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | AML005 | NPM1 Wildtype | SISGKRSAPGGSKVPQKKVL |
|                |       | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | SISGKRSAPGGSKVPQKKV |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | SISGKRSAPGGSKVPQKKV |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | SGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | OCIAML3| NPM1 Mutated | SGKRSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | RSAPGGSKVPQQKV |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | RSAPGGSKVPQK |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | RSAPGGSKVPQ |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | RSAPGGSKVP |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | SAPGGSKVP |
|                |       | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | SIRDTPAKNAQK |
|                |       | MV411  | NPM1 Wildtype | KKVKLADDEDDDDD |
|                |       | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | SNQNGKDSKSSTPRSKGQESF |
|                |       | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | SNQNGKDSKSSTPRSKGQESFK |
|                |       | AML010 | NPM1 Wildtype | SNQNGKDSKSSTPRSKGQESFKK |
|                |       | AML005 | NPM1 Wildtype | MTDQEAIQDLWQWR* |
| FLT3           | Class I | AML011 | FLT3 Mutated | EAIKGFVLK |

(Continued)
Discussion

Endogenous mutation-bearing HLA ligands from primary human tumor samples have been successfully identified in melanoma [7,9] and lymphoma [8]. In this study, we searched for the HLA presentation of mutation-bearing peptides from recurrent mutations commonly shared between patients with AML, as such ligands would be specific to tumors and personal, yet also provide shared anti-tumor targets for potential future immunotherapy. We identified over 47,000 distinct HLA ligands and report the identification of endogenous mutation-bearing
Class I peptides from mutated NPM1 (AVEEVSLRK in two patient samples and C(cys) LAVEEVSL in OCI-AML3). To our knowledge, there have only been two other studies of AML membrane derived HLA immunopeptidome analysis. The first study evaluated the HLA Class I and II immunopeptidome of primary AML tumor samples with a focus on leukemia-associated ligands [14]. A recently published second study evaluated the HLA Class I immunopeptidome of twelve primary AML samples for mutated NPM1 ligands [38]. Similar to our study, they reported finding the Class I presentation of AVEEVSLRK and CLAVEEVSL; additionally they found VEEVSLRK, AVEEVSLR, CLAVEEVSLRK [38].

Our findings have the potential for therapeutic translation. NPM1 is mutated in approximately one-third of patients with adult AML [11]. Approximately 30–70% of patients with NPM1 mutated AML have disease relapse within five years [39–41], depending on factors such as age and the presence of concurrent FLT3-ITD mutations. The majority of NPM1 mutations are due to mutations A, B and D, with mutation A accounting for around 70–80% of all NPM1 mutations [42,43]. The peptide sequence CLAVEEVSL is shared between mutations A, D, G, and H [28,42], while the sequence AVEEVSLRK is shared between the vast majority of NPM1 mutations, including A, B, C, D, G, and H [42]. CLAVEEVSL and AVEEVSLRK are predicted to bind and have the correct anchor residues for A*02:01 and A*03:01 respectively. Peptide AVEEVSLRK is also a strong predicted binder to A*11:01 and a weak predicted binder to A*30:01, A*66:01 and A*68:01 by NetMHCpan4.0. Using the Allele Frequency Net Database, A*03:01 has been reported to occur in around 24% and 21% in a population of African Americans and Caucasian Americans respectively [44]. A*02:01 has been reported to occur in around 40–50% of Caucasian Americans [44]. Kuzelova et al., compared HLA Class I frequencies in patients with AML compared to normal individuals [31]. Interestingly, they found that several HLA allele groups were less frequently found in NPM1 mutated patients (including statistical significance for B*07, B*18, and B*40 and a trend for A*03, A*11, B*39, C*03, and C*07) [31]. Additionally, they found that amongst patients with mutated NPM1, those with at least one of these types of alleles had overall survival advantage. This work suggests that the HLA haplotype presented by a tumor in addition to the somatic mutations a tumor has, may influence disease outcomes, potentially through immune interactions. Several studies have supported the general immunogenicity of NPM1 from both mutated and nonmutated peptides [29–31,45,46]. Greiner et al., found that the synthetic peptides AIQDLCLAV and AIQDLCVAV, which are predicted A2+ binders, elicit in vitro CD8+ T cell responses in both healthy donors and AML patients [30]. Their group also found a statistically significant increase in PD-L1 expression in the leukemic stem cell fraction of NPM1 mutated AML compared to wildtype [47].

There are several potential therapeutic strategies to target the endogenous HLA presentation of mutated NPM1. Mutated peptides can be utilized to identify neoantigen specific, HLA restricted T cells and TCR sequences [38]. TCR sequences optimally recognizing the mutated NPM1-HLA complex may be used to transduce T cells from patients to derive AML specific cell therapy for patients with this shared mutation. Using a similar method, two recent studies are evaluating peripheral blood lymphocytes transduced with murine TCR recognizing the recurrent Ras mutation G12V in HLA-A*11:01 patients with solid tumors (NCT03190941, NCT03745326). T cells from patients or from HLA matched donors in the allogeneic transplant setting may also be stimulated ex vivo with NPM1 mutated peptides to enrich for neoantigen specific T cells followed by adoptive T cell therapy. A recent study is currently evaluating a similar strategy by stimulating donor-derived T cells with tumor associated antigens for AML and MDS followed by infusion at least 30 days after allogeneic stem cell transplant (NCT02494167). Another strategy targeting mutant NPM1 in AML would be to utilize NPM1 mutated peptides as part of a vaccination approach in combination with checkpoint inhibitors to stimulate an endogenous anti-tumor response.
In our next steps, we plan to evaluate patient and healthy donor samples to identify mutant NPM1 specific T cells followed by functional analysis for anti-tumor cytolytic ability and specificity which may help derive future cell therapy approaches. Additionally, the clinical relevance of neoantigen-recognizing allogeneic T cells in the context of hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT) remains poorly characterized. As HCT is potentially curative in AML, characterizing the presence and function of endogenous donor derived neoantigen-recognizing T cells may lead to novel therapeutic strategies. It will also be important to characterize whether HLA haplotype in the context of neoantigen presentation impacts outcomes in the allogeneic transplant setting. In summary, our identification of endogenous HLA ligands from mutated NPM1, which is one of the most frequently mutated proteins in AML, supports exploration of immunotherapy against this shared target.
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