Antagonism Potential of *Trichoderma viride* against *Erysiphe necator* in the Culture of Grapevine under Field Conditions
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**ABSTRACT**

The vine is a crop affected by various pests and diseases. Being the oidium produced by *Erysiphe necator* one of the most important. Generally the farmer, due to lack of knowledge makes an inappropriate use of fungicides, which is harmful, since the intensive use (and sometimes excessive) of chemical products has had negative effects on the environment and the quality of life of human populations. That is why the present investigation set out to develop a clean technology with the use of antagonistic fungi *Trichoderma viride* for the control of *E. necator* in the Italian vine variety in field conditions. Two treatments were performed in parallel. The first consisted of the exclusive use of *T. viride*, and the second of the exclusive use of chemical products. Sanitary applications were given once a week, from the phenological stage of inflorescence until berry ripening. By the other hand the chemical treatment consisted of five applications with fungicides of different active ingredients. The variables evaluated were incidence and severity of oidium in leaves and clusters. The treatment with exclusive use of *T. viride* presented an average efficiency of 92% in the leaves and 81% in clusters. This data is very useful for farmers, since the possibility of using a biological controller as another less invasive and harmful alternative in the control of *E. necator*. Therefore, *T. viride* can be a good strategy if it is applicator in the first phenological stages. So to ensure its functioning, a correct application dose, good maintenance of the backpack sprayer, and proper handling of the canopy must be taken into account. Also is suggested to extend the study using native *Trichoderma* strains as well as to implement other beneficial microorganisms.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The cultivation of grapevine in Peru is one of the most important; table grapes, grapes for Pisco and grapes for wine are grown. The varieties called Pisco grapes are eight (*Quebranta*, *Negra criolla*, *Uvina*, *Mollar*, *Albilla*, *Italia*, *Torontel* and *Moscate*), of which Pisco is made, a grape liquor, colorless, considered as the flagship drink of Peru. Its designation of origin covers the Departments of Lima, Ica, Arequipa, Moquegua and Tacna [1].

The story tells that the epicenter and where viticulture is widely established is the Ica Valley, where the city of Valverde was founded in 1563 [2] and continues to enjoy that characteristic by occupying 55% of the national production of grapes used in Pisco and the first place in the production of table grapes and wine grapes [3]. Various pests (insects and diseases) have the grapevines as a host and the vineyard as a preferred environment. Therefore, an intensive pesticide program is generally required to meet agricultural production standards [4]. In general, fungicides represent the majority of pesticide treatments in most vineyards (12 to 15 applications are usually required, up to 25 to 30 applications in the most problematic conditions) [4]. *Erisyphe necator* is a fungus that causes powdery mildew of grapevines, also called oidium in Peru, which causes a significant
loss in the quantity and quality of yield [5], reducing the productivity, sometimes from 20% to 40% [6]. The symptoms of oidium occur by attacking the young organs of the grapevine, leaves, buds, branches, inflorescences and fruits, manifesting in the form of white spots, which are covered with a whitish and powdery layer; that at the end of the activity of the plant changes to dark-brown or brown [7], rarely kill their hosts, however, they use their nutrients, decrease their photosynthesis, increase their breathing and perspiration [6].

Oidium persists as mycelium, associated with infected buds of the previous season, and as cleistoteci that develop in leaves and other aerial organs of the grapevine. In rainy areas it is possible that the ascospores constitute the main source of primary inoculum, while the mycelium associated with infected buds, apparently, and is the main source of primary inoculum in extremely dry areas. The susceptibility of grapevine clusters varies throughout the growing season, being very susceptible to the reproductive, immune or nervous systems [9]. In addition, they can produce drastic effects on the environment; for example, water pollution, which is a vector so that other animals can drink and, therefore, cause them intoxication and subsequently death. High winds can blow chemicals away from diseased crops to other non-diseased areas. Also, the use of fungicides can select populations of resistant pests. New tools based on biological control agents could be promising strategies that are used alone or in combination with reduced doses of chemicals [10].

Biological control through the use of biopesticides is a viable and sustainable alternative for the control of pests in agricultural crops. These bioproducts do not leave toxic residues and are usually specific to their biological target, reducing the risk of resistance selection in pests [11,12]. In several countries (United States, member countries of the European Union and Latin America, with the exception of Ecuador, Haiti, Panama and the Dominican Republic) the legislation is favoring greater development and use of biopesticides, over chemical pesticides [13,14].

Among the microorganisms most used in biological control are fungi of the genus Trichoderma, which are subject to research and development in many countries [15-20]. Trichoderma spp. is ubiquitous colonizers of cellulose materials and, therefore, can often be found as long as decomposing plant material is available [21]. They live in the soil and rhizosphere, and function as parasites of other fungi. They are also used as biopesticides and biofertilizers [10], because they have shown influence in the promotion of vegetative growth and also induce immunity against phytopathogens in the plants with which they are associated [22]. The importance of Trichoderma spp. is recognized for its mycoparasitic and antagonistic effect associated with the production of lytic enzymes; the production of antibiotics with antifungal characteristics, bioherbicides such as viridiol. Likewise, this microorganism is a good producer of xylanases, β-glucanases that are industrially synthesized to carry out the process and finishing of denim fabrics [23].

Two investigations evaluated the biocontrol with Trichoderma spp. at field level. In Egypt, the microorganisms T. viride, T. harzianum and T. hamatum were used for the control of E. necator in the Thompson Seedless grapevine variety, where bioagents reduced disease parameters, reporting 80.2% and 89.9% efficacy in reducing disease incidence and severity, respectively, in two trial campaigns during 2016 and 2017 [5]. On the other hand, in Chile, the biocontroller effectiveness of Bacillus subtilis (Nacillus) and two native strains of Trichoderma spp. (Trichonativa) on the incidence and severity of oidium in Vitis vinifera variety Cabernet Sauvignon was evaluated. The results of that study showed that Trichonativa products, Nacillus, and the mixture of both, showed a significant reduction of the disease. The treatments with the mixture of the products (Trichonativa + Nacillus) and only Nacillus, applied in three susceptible stages of the grapevine, presented the best results, obtaining an incidence of the disease (37.0% and 45.2%, respectively) significantly lower (P<0.001) than that presented by the control untreated clusters [24].

The objective of this study was to evaluate the antagonistic capacity of Trichoderma viride against the phytopathogen Erysiphe necator in the cultivation of grapevine variety Italia under field conditions. The efficacy of biological control with T. viride versus conventional treatment with chemical fungicides was compared.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The present investigation was carried out in the district of Humay (13°43’ 06.8¨S; 75°52’ 47.1¨W; altitude 430 meters above sea level), Province of Pisco, Department of Ica, vineyard producing area for Pisco and table grapes. Located on the south coast of Peru. Its climate in summer is typically arid and cloudy, and in winter it is dry and clear. During the course of the year, the temperature generally varies from 15°C to 27°C; It rarely drops below 12°C or rises above 30°C. In Humay, the frequency of wet days (those with more than 1 millimeter of liquid precipitation or liquid equivalent precipitation) does not vary considerably according to the season (range between 0% to 3%, average value: 1%) (Figure 1).

Vegetal material

The research work on the cultivation of grapevine, V. vinifera, variety Italia was carried out during the entire agricultural campaign of 2018, from the phenological stage of inflorescence to ripening berries.

The vineyard where the research was conducted is a commercial vineyard that had 43 plantation lines, where each line had 50 plants. The owner assigned two plantation lines to apply biological products, which were arranged in the last lines of the vineyard, that is line 42 and line 43, correctly marked with a
sign because there was no separation between the two groups, giving strict instructions to the field manager and to the operator so that the chemical phytosanitary control is not applied. The 41 planting lines rest were subjected to the application of chemical products (Figure 2). The age of the plants was 2 years and they were grafted with a R99 pattern. The plants were driven under the double T conduction system and managed under drip irrigation. The soil was clay loam type. The grapevines were pruned at the end of August.

Application of the treatments in the field

The application of the treatments was by foliar route using a backpack sprayer with an adjustable nozzle of 1.6 l/min. It was performed at 16 hours to ensure that the temperature did not affect the colonization and mycoparasitism of the antagonist, and that the conidia were not affected by the sun’s radiation.

Two treatments were performed in parallel. The first consisted of the exclusive use of *T. viride*, and the second of the exclusive use of chemical products. Sanitary applications with *T. viride* were given once a week, from the phenological stage of inflorescence until berry ripening. The chemical treatment consisted of five applications with fungicides of different active ingredients (mancozeb + metalaxyl, penconazole, fosetyl aluminium, spiroxamine, pyrimethanil), according to the recommendations of the professional advisor hired by the farmer.

Plant parameters adopted to evaluate treatments

Every 7 days after the last application, evaluations of ten plants were made selected by a simple random sampling, making a total of 80 leaves and 60 clusters, both for the chemical and biological treatments. In our investigation they were not considered control plants, because the variety Italia is very susceptible to the attack of the fungus *E. necator*. That is why it was decided to take the chemical method as a control treatment, as a way to compare it with the biological treatment, considered it experimental treatment. The commercial strain of *T. viride* was used exclusively at a real concentration of $4 \times 10^7$ conidia/g, which did not exert $100\%$. The variables evaluated were incidence and severity of oidium.

The incidence of oidium (I, in percentage) was determined according to the formula

$$ I\% = \frac{\text{Number of infected leaves/bunches}}{\text{Total of leaves/bunches evaluated}} \times 100 $$

The severity of the disease was recorded before the application of biological treatments, that is, every 7 days. It was classified on a scale of 0 to 5, where: 0 = no infection; 1 = 1-10\% of leaf area infected with powdery mildew fungi or oidium; 2 = 11-25\%; 3 = 26-50\%; 4 = 51-75\% and 5 = 76-100\% [26] (Figure 3). The scores became a disease severity index (D.S.I), using the formula given by Wheeler [27]:

$$ \text{D.S.I}\% = \frac{Z}{N} \times 100 $$

where $n$=Number of leaves/bunches in each scale, $Z$=Numerical value of each scale, and $N$=Total of leaves/bunches in the sample.
Statistical analysis

The data obtained for the two variables evaluated (incidence and severity of oidium) were expressed as a percentage and the angular transformation of Bliss was performed. Then the data underwent the Kolmogorov - Smirnov test of normality, where P<0.01 was obtained with the two variables (the data did not follow a normal distribution). Therefore, the non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used for independent samples, with a significance level of 0.05. The InfoStat software version 2018 was used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Incidence and severity of oidium

The results of the determination of the percentage incidence of oidium in leaves and clusters during the five phenological stages of *V. vinifera* by treatment showed that there was a statistically significant difference between the biological and chemical treatment, in leaves and clusters (Tables 1 and 2). Regarding *T. viride*, it was observed that at the beginning of the investigation in leaves, the incidence of oidium began with 2.14%. However, this tended to increase when the grapevine cultivation passed through other phenological stages, reaching up to 24.51% in the phenological stage of berry ripening. On the other hand, better control of oidium was observed in the chemical applications. Although the incidence of oidium in leaves at the beginning of the investigation was higher under the chemical treatment (12.64%) compared to the biological treatment (2.14%), with the advance of the phenology, the incidence of oidium under the chemical treatment tended to decrease in flowering, berries pea-size and berry ripening (reaching 6.95% in the latter). Here we notice the difference with the use of fungicides, because they attack directly on the fungus, slowing its development by presenting a protective and healing action. The grapevine variety Italia is susceptible to the oidium. In the clusters a lower control of oidium was obtained in the treatment with *T. viride* presenting an incidence of 2.47% to 54.86%, unlike the treatment with chemicals whose maximum value was 26.50% in berry ripening.

The evaluation of the severity of oidium (Tables 3 and 4) revealed an increase in the severity of the disease with the progression of the phenological stages, that is, when the leaves were evaluated in the phenological stages of bunch closure and berry ripening, *T. viride* had the lowest effectiveness, presenting severity indices of 12.33% and 14.76% in leaves, respectively (Table 3); and in clusters from 38.98% to 28.20% in the same phenological stages, respectively (Table 4). This is probably due to the fact that the experimental lines were located at the edges of the plot, so they would be more susceptible to being attacked by this fungus. In these two phenological stages (bunch closure and berry ripening) the oidium is more aggressive in clusters than in leaves. This is because the fungus has a preference for tender tissue, and at that time the cessation of leaf growth occurs and the transport of nutrients goes to the growing clusters. The phytopathogen attacks the green berries of the cluster.

Table 1: Incidence of oidium (%) in leaves in five phenological stages of *Vitis vinifera* by treatment.

| Phenological stages     | Treatment | Sig. (P) |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Inflorescence emerge    | T. viride | 2.14     |
|                         | Chemical  | 12.64    |
|                         |           | 0.0001   |
Of the five phenological stages evaluated, in the bunch closure and berry ripening is where a lower control of oidium was observed using treatment with *T. viride* when evaluating leaves and clusters. This may be because the leaves are organs that remain green all the time; therefore, they are very attacked by the pest, as indicated by Fernández [28]. In addition, at the time of post-harvest the oidium does not die but remains dormant in the crop until waiting for a next regrowth of the plant, as indicated by several studies [29-32]. Therefore, the fungus can affect all succulent tissues of the grapevine, including leaves, stems, fruits and inflorescence, which acquire characteristic symptoms [31,32]. The susceptibility of these organs differs according to the variety.

Oidium disease cannot be cured but treated. Therefore, it is recommended to apply biological products in a preventive way to avoid the exposure of grapevine cultivars to *E. necator* infection. There are multiple factors that may have helped the proliferation of the phytopathogen, such as temperature, humidity, as well as an inadequate cultural control. The latter is very important, because the selection of an open site, the orientation of the rows so that air circulation is favored, and the search for the sun's rays to penetrate directly throughout the grapevine plant can not only decrease the incidence and severity of the disease, but also help increase the coverage of the compounds that are sprayed to control this fungus [33].

The oidium can be devastating in susceptible grapevine varieties such as the Italia variety. It develops in conditions of high humidity, but not by free water [34], that is, the fungus does not need free water on the surface of green tissue for infection to occur. It only requires that the relative humidity of the air be high for the germination of the spores to occur [35].

It should be taken into account that the release of ascospores has always been associated with rainy periods where cumulative rainfall ranged between 2.0 and 58.5 mm [5]. The data taken from the meteorological station owned by the National Meteorology and Hydrology Service (SENAMHI), a station located very close to the vineyard where this investigation was conducted, indicated that the cumulative precipitation was 32.0 mm. Therefore, the rain was present and with it the release of ascospores, primary source of inoculum, began.

On the other hand, the temperature in Humay fluctuated between 10°C and 30°C (minimum temperature in August 2018 and maximum temperature in March 2019, respectively). This did not affect the normal development of the antagonist, according to the indications that *Trichoderma* spp. grow best at a temperature that ranges between 25°C and 30°C [20]. *Trichoderma* strains need to cover the thermal spectrum of the target organism. The optimum germination temperature of oidium conidia is 25°C, while the mycelium develops between 21°C and 30°C. Thus, under optimal conditions, the formation of new colonies takes only 5 days [36]. Moisture can also affect the availability of essential nutrients for the growth of the antagonist, therefore, the application of *Trichoderma* should be scheduled at periods when there will be adequate humidity to stimulate spore germination. Oidium is considered a xerophytic fungus and its conidia can germinate with only 20% relative humidity; germ tube development and infection are highly favored by a relative humidity between 40% and 100%, as is sporulation. The ability of oidium to germinate in low humidity

---

Table 2: Incidence of oidium (%) in bunches in five phenological stages of *Vitis vinifera* by treatment.

| Phenological stages | Treatment | Sig. (P) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
|                     | *T. viride* | Chemical |
| Inflorescence emerge| 2.47      | 0.00     | 0.0006   |
| Flowering           | 21.35     | 4.95     | 0.0001   |
| Berries pea-size    | 22.77     | 11.94    | 0.0001   |
| Bunch closure       | 54.86     | 10.12    | 0.0156   |
| Ripening of berries | 47.78     | 26.50    | 0.0001   |

Table 3: Severity index (%) of oidium in leaves in five phenological stages of *Vitis vinifera* by treatment.

| Phenological stages | Treatment | Sig. (P) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
|                     | *T. viride* | Chemical |
| Inflorescence emerge| 1.06      | 1.06     | 0.9999   |
| Flowering           | 4.89      | 5.28     | 0.0012   |
| Berries pea-size    | 5.54      | 5.54     | 0.9999   |
| Bunch closure       | 12.33     | 5.83     | 0.0001   |
| Ripening of berries | 14.76     | 4.20     | 0.0001   |

Table 4: Severity index (%) of oidium in bunches in five phenological stages of *Vitis vinifera* by treatment.

| Phenological stages | Treatment | Sig. (P) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
|                     | *T. viride* | Chemical |
| Inflorescence emerge| 1.24      | 1.24     | 0.9999   |
| Flowering           | 10.49     | 10.49    | 0.9999   |
| Berries pea-size    | 12.89     | 12.89    | 0.9999   |
| Bunch closure       | 38.98     | 21.38    | 0.0001   |
| Ripening of berries | 28.20     | 13.97    | 0.0001   |
conditions would be explained by the high water content in conidia vacuoles, about 70% compared to 10% in other fungi [36].

The commercial strain of *T. viride* was used exclusively at an actual concentration of $4 \times 10^7$ conidia/g, which did not exert 100% biological control against oidium under field conditions. On the other hand, the chemical treatment applied showed an inhibitory effect by stopping the growth of the fungus in the phenological stages of inflorescence emergence, flowering, pea-sized berries, bunch closure and berry ripening. This is due to the fact that the district of Humay is governed by a program of exact applications (that is, in each farm they always establish a schedule of chemical fungicide applications weekly) without considering the presence of the pest in the field. The treatment with exclusive use of *T. viride* for the control of oidium in the grapevine variety Italia presented an average efficiency of 92% in the leaves and 81% in clusters. The latter resembles the results proposed by Ahmed [5]. That study was carried out in Egypt, using the mixture of three *Trichoderma* strains (with a concentration of $30 \times 10^6$ spores/ml), obtaining a high biocontrol efficiency of *E. necator* (80.16% and 89.95% in two trial campaigns during 2016 and 2017, respectively) for the Thompson Seedless grapevine variety.

For future trials it is recommended to use native *Trichoderma* strains, taking into account first that they must be evaluated as highly effective in laboratory conditions as the main requirement for the development of a successful biocontrol system [5]. The other option suggested is to mix antagonistic fungi, which may be *T. harzianum* and *T. viride*. These formulations of mixtures of beneficial fungi can sometimes lead to an antagonistic effect, that is, decrease the effectiveness of the treatment, or give a synergistic effect and increase the effectiveness [5].

In summary, the control of grapevine infections by oidium becomes a challenge with biological options, because most farmers have become accustomed to using chemicals when the disease has already been uncontrolled. This produces critical damage in the vineyards such as the reduction of the quantity and quality of the grapes, and therefore, it is recommended to act preventively by applying a biological product on the crop.

Our results suggest that native *Trichoderma* strains, isolated from vineyards that have received various controls with fungicides should be used, since those microorganisms would be already adapted to different conditions and, therefore, there would have been selection of some type of resistance. For example, Guerrero and Arias [37] determined in vitro the effect of the fungicides copper oxide and azoxystrobin on the development of two *Trichoderma* species, concluding that although the fungus retards its growth, it continues to reproduce, thus ensuring the perpetuation of its species in these conditions and allowing its use in the field.

CONCLUSION

The treatment with exclusive use of *T. viride* for the control of oidium in the grapevine variety Italia presented an average efficiency of 92% in the leaves, compared to the conventional treatment based on chemicals that had an average efficiency of 96%. When evaluating the clusters, it was observed that the application of *T. viride* had lower efficacy (81%) than the application of chemical fungicides (88%) to control oidium. These data allow us to offer an alternative in the integrated management of this phytopathogen.

The use of *T. viride* is considered as a clean technology contrary to the use of fungicides of chemical origin, allowing us not to have problems of pesticide residues that ensure the health of the consumer and the final distribution to the users for the benefit of the farmer.

The findings of this study indicate that both treatments were effective. To ensure better control of the oidium, good canopy management is recommended, as well as preventive applications of the *T. viride* fungus before pruning. This would favor that the plant develops immunity not only against *Erysiphe necator*, but also against other phytopathogens.
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