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Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- n/a
- Confirmed

- □ □ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- □ □ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- □ □ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
- □ □ Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- □ □ A description of all covariates tested
- □ □ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- □ □ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- □ □ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
- □ □ Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- □ □ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- □ □ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- □ □ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson’s r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection
No software was used to collect the data

Data analysis
Data analyses was performed using qiime 1.9 and R version 3.5
MTV - LMM was performed using the tool published by Shenhav et al., 2019.
All figures were constructed using the ggplot package in R.
All statistical analyses was performed using R.
Taxonomy assignment of species was performed using BLAST against the 16S rRNA reference database RDP (version 10)
Species were defined at 97% identity using UCLUST algorithm (Edgar et al., 2010, version 1.22q)
EMMAX version 20120210
PLINK version 1.07

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All sequencing files and metadata are deposited in SRA under PRJNA591750.
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Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| Study description | We studied forces that drive the assembly of rumen microbiomes throughout a cow’s life, with emphasis on the balance between stochastic and deterministic processes. We documented the development of the rumen microbiome from birth to adulthood in 45 animals (15 over a 3-year period) using 16S-rRNA amplicon gene data. We found that the animals shared a group of core successional species that invaded early on and persisted until adulthood. Along with deterministic factors, such as age and diet, early arriving species exerted strong priority effects, whereby dynamics of late successional taxa were strongly dependent on microbiome composition at early life stages. Priority effects also manifested as dramatic changes in microbiome development dynamics between animals delivered by C-section vs. natural birth, with the former undergoing much more rapid species invasion and accelerated microbiome development. Overall, our findings show that chance events early in life have a strong impact on development of animal microbiomes. |
|---|---|
| Research sample | We sampled the rumen content of 45 Holstein cows, bacterial DNA was extracted and sequenced for 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The rationale for choosing these animals was to examine microbial assembly in ruminants. We sampled both female and male animals, between the age of 0 days till up to 831 days |
| Sampling strategy | Sampling strategy was in accordance with Jami et al., 2013, sample size was calculated by exceeding the minimum number of animals required for rarefaction. |
| Data collection | Samples were collected using custom made stomach tube by O.F. |
| Timing and spatial scale | Samples were taken between 2013-2015, due to the higher variance in microbial community assembly, samples were taken weekly at the first six months of life, after-which samples were taken once a month. The experiment was conducted at the Volcani Center research experimental dairy farm, Rishon Letzion, Israel. |
| Data exclusions | No data was excluded. |
| Reproducibility | We ensured the reproducibility of our findings by performing the same sampling regime for multiple animals, thus strengthening our results. Animals were born in two time frames, each frame was 4 months long. |
| Randomization | Animals were randomly allocated into delivery mode groups, only healthy lactating cows were chosen to participate in this experiment. |
| Blinding | All analyses was performed after the sampling period, thus blinding it to the experimental setup. |
| Did the study involve field work? | ☐ Yes  ☑ No |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods
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| Materials & experimental systems | n/a
|---|---|
| Involved in the study | Antibodies  Eukaryotic cell lines  Palaeontology  Animals and other organisms  Human research participants  Clinical data |

| Methods | n/a
|---|---|
| Involved in the study | ChIP-seq  Flow cytometry  MRI-based neuroimaging |
### Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals: ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research.

| Category               | Information                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Laboratory animals    | The study did not involve laboratory animals.                                |
| Wild animals          | The study did not involve wild animals.                                     |
| Field-collected samples | The study did not sample collected in the field.                           |
| Ethics oversight      | The experiment was conducted at the experimental dairy farm facility of the Volcani Center, Agricultural Research Organization (ARO), Israel, and was approved by the local ethics committee of the Volcani Center (approval number 412/12/IL and 566/15/IL). |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.