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Abstract

During infection, the bacterial pathogens Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pneumoniae employ ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters to acquire Mn(II), an essential nutrient, from the host environment. Staphylococcal MntABC and streptococcal PsaABC attract the attention of the biophysical and bacterial pathogenesis communities because of their established importance during infection. Previous biophysical examination of Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA using continuous-wave (~9 GHz) electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy revealed broad, difficult-to-interpret spectra (Hadley et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 110–113). Herein we employ high-frequency (>90 GHz), high-field (>3 T) EPR spectroscopy to investigate the Mn(II)-binding sites of these proteins and determine the Spin Hamiltonian parameters. Our analyses demonstrate that the zero-field splitting (ZFS) is large for Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA at +2.72 and +2.87 GHz, respectively. The measured 55Mn hyperfine coupling values for Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA of 241 and 236 MHz, respectively, demonstrate a more covalent interaction between Mn(II) and the protein compared to Mn(II) in aqueous solution (~265 MHz). These studies indicate that MntC and PsaA bind Mn(II) in a similar coordination geometry. Comparison of the ZFS values determined herein with those ascertained for other Mn(II) proteins suggests that the Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA coordination spheres are not five coordinate in solution.
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Introduction

Transition metal ions are essential nutrients for all organisms.\textsuperscript{1–2} In the context of bacterial infection, the invading microbe must acquire metal nutrients required for growth and virulence from the host.\textsuperscript{2} These pathogens have evolved several mechanisms to scavenge and import metal ions that include the biosynthesis and deployment of metallophores and the expression of high-affinity metal-uptake proteins.\textsuperscript{2} In this work, we employ high-field electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy to evaluate the metal-binding properties of two transport proteins that function in the acquisition of Mn(II), a nutrient that is required for high levels of virulence in diverse bacterial pathogens.\textsuperscript{3–5}

*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* are Gram-positive bacterial pathogens of significant clinical concern because they cause a variety of life-threatening infections.\textsuperscript{6–7} Both organisms employ ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters to acquire Mn(II) from the host.\textsuperscript{8–9} These transport systems consist of a membrane-anchored solute-binding protein (SBP) that scavenges Mn(II) from the extracellular environment, a transmembrane permease, and an ATPase located on the cytoplasmic side of the cell membrane.\textsuperscript{10} The Mn(II) ABC transporters for *S. aureus* and *S. pneumoniae* are MntABC and PsaABC, respectively. MntC and PsaA are the SBPs that capture Mn(II) and deliver it to the transmembrane permeases of each uptake system.\textsuperscript{11–13}

How MntC and PsaA coordinate Mn(II) and deliver it to their respective permease has not been fully elucidated. Reported crystal structures of Mn(II)-MntC (2.2-Å resolution)\textsuperscript{14} and Mn(II)-PsaA (2.7-Å resolution)\textsuperscript{15} show Mn(II) bound by a His\textsubscript{2}AspGlu coordination sphere in both proteins (Figure 1). The crystallographic Mn(II)-MntC site was described as 5-coordinate where His50, His123, O\textsubscript{ε}1 of Glu189, and both O\textsubscript{δ}1 and O\textsubscript{δ}2 of Asp264 coordinate the metal ion (Figure 1B).\textsuperscript{14} However, the metal–ligand distances determined crystallographically range from 2.1–2.8 Å and do not preclude the possibility of other coordination geometries (Figure 1). In addition, the metal content of the crystallized protein is ambiguous because the protein in solution contained low amounts of various metal ions according to inductively-coupled mass spectrometry and anomalous diffraction data was not reported,\textsuperscript{14} which can be employed to confirm the identity of the bound metal. As a result, the Mn(II) coordination sphere of MntC is not well-defined and requires further examination. The crystallographic Mn(II)-PsaA site was described as 4-coordinate where His67, His139, O\textsubscript{ε}1 of Glu205 and O\textsubscript{δ}1 of Asp280 bind the Mn(II) ion (Figure 1D,E).\textsuperscript{15} Nevertheless, the metal-ligand distances determined from this crystal structure range from
and the possibility that the bound Mn(II) ion has a higher coordination number cannot be ruled out based on this structural model. An additional consideration for each SBP is that the coordination geometry or number of the bound Mn(II) ion may change as the SBP delivers Mn(II) to the transmembrane permease. In an effort to gain further insight into these systems, we recently examined the Mn(II) sites of MntC and PsaA by X-band (≈9 GHz) EPR spectroscopy. These studies revealed broad spectra resulting from the high magnitude of the zero-field splitting (|D|) relative to the spectrometer frequency (|D|/hν ≈ 0.3). As a consequence, the Spin Hamiltonian parameters could not be accurately determined.

In this work, we further investigate the electronic structure of Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA in the “high-field regime” where |D| ≪ hν by employing high-field (>3 T) and high-frequency (>90 GHz) EPR spectroscopy. In this regime, the spectra become simplified and simulation of the data can afford values for the Spin Hamiltonian parameters. The spectral data and simulations indicate that MntC and PsaA both bind Mn(II) in a similar tetrahedral or trigonal prismatic coordination environment consistent with the primary coordination sphere defined by the His₂AspGlu motifs observed crystallographically.

**Experimental and Theoretical Methods**

**Sample Preparation.**

MntC and PsaA were overexpressed, purified, demetalated, and stored as described previously. All EPR samples were buffer exchanged into 75 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5 that was prepared using high-purity buffer reagents as described elsewhere. For D-band analyses (130 GHz) of the Mn(II)-SBPs, samples of SBP (1 mM) were incubated with Mn(II) (750 μM) for ≈15 min before an approximately 15-μL aliquot was transferred to a D-band tube (0.50 × 0.60 mm ID x OD quartz capillary tubing supplied by Vitrocom) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. These samples were prepared at MIT and shipped to the CalEPR facility at University of California, Davis in a liquid nitrogen dewar. For high-frequency (388 GHz) analyses, MntC (1.2 mM) was incubated with Mn(II) (900 μM) for ~15 min before a 500 μL aliquot was transferred to a 1 mL LDPE sample vial (Fischer) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. PsaA (2.4 mM) was incubated with Mn(II) (1.8 mM) for ~15 min before 500 μL was transferred to a 1 mL LDPE sample vial (Fischer) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. These samples were shipped to the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) Electron Magnetic Resonance facility on dry ice.

**EPR Measurements.**

Pulse spectra at 130 GHz were collected at the University of California, Davis CalEPR facility utilizing a D-band (130 GHz) spectrometer described previously. Spectra were collected at 15 K, with a 20 ns π/2 pulse length, a τ value of 300 ns, and 1 ms repetition time. The field axis was calibrated by collecting a spectrum of Mn(II) impurity in MgO (>95% fused MgO, Aldrich). The Mn(II) in MgO signal has a g value of 2.00100(5) and 55Mn hyperfine of −243.6(5) MHz.
Spectra collected at the NHMFL were collected utilizing a spectrometer described previously.\textsuperscript{21} The field was calibrated with an internal sample standard of H-atom trapped in an octaisobutylsilsesquioxane nanocage with a $g$ value of 2.00294(3) and hyperfine of 1413.7(1) MHz.\textsuperscript{19} Spectra were collected at multiple temperatures with different modulation amplitudes to enhance the intensity of different $m_s$ transitions.

The field calibration with both the external Mn(II) impurity in MgO and internal H-atom standards was carried out during post-processing of the data. Briefly, the general procedure involved manually aligning a simulation of the standard with the experimental data of the field standard by both an initial visual inspection and a subsequent mathematical process. Example inputs for simulations of the standards are included in the Supporting Information. For the Mn(II) impurity in MgO standard, the lowest field hyperfine peak was selected for the initial alignment. After initial visual alignment, the fine adjustment was carried out by calculating the field difference between simulated and experimental field positions for the maximum intensity of the first hyperfine line. We found that this procedure afforded a satisfactory result where all six hyperfine lines of the Mn(II) impurity in MgO lined up. The calculated offset was then used as a field offset factor for the experimental data prior to simulation. The internal hydrogen atom standard required an entirely visual-inspection alignment of the simulation and experimental data due to distortions of the line shape caused by passage and modulation effects during data collection.

**EPR Theory and Simulations.**

The EPR spectrum of the $d^5$ Mn(II) ($S = 5/2$) ion can be interpreted using the phenomenological spin Hamiltonian given below:\textsuperscript{22–23}

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\beta_e}{h} B \cdot g \cdot S + a_{iso} S \cdot I + D \left( S_z^2 - \frac{S(S+1)}{3} \right) + E \left( S_x^2 - S_y^2 \right)$$

Where $\beta_e$ is the Bohr magneton, $B$ is the magnetic field, $g$ is the electron $g$-value, $S$ the electron spin, $h$ is the Planck constant, $a_{iso}$ is the isotropic and hyperfine interaction with the $^{55}$Mn ($I = 5/2$, 100% abundance) nucleus, $I$ is the nuclear spin, $D$ and $E$ are the axial and rhombic zero-field splitting values, respectively. The ratio $E/D$ is reported to indicate the rhombicity of the zero-field splitting tensor. When the coordinate frame is chosen correctly, the values of $E/D$ range from 0 (perfectly axial) to 1/3 (maximally rhombic). All spectra were simulated using the freely available EasySpin (v5.2.24) toolbox for MATLAB R2017a (The Mathworks, Inc.).\textsuperscript{24}

The $^{55}$Mn hyperfine values and $g$-values were determined from simulations of the data collected at temperatures $\geq 10$ K and assessed by visual inspection. The zero-field splitting values $D$ and $E$ were determined by simulating the data collected at temperatures $\leq 5$ K. The asymmetry of the spectrum, from which the sign of $D$ can be determined, depends on the magnitude of the Zeeman term rather than on the magnitude of $D$, and thus is most convincingly observed at the lowest temperatures and highest fields. We found that the relative peak intensities of simulations at high fields and low temperature ($\leq 5$ K) were sensitive to the temperature employed in the simulation. In order to improve the agreement
between the simulation and experimental data, it was necessary to set the simulation temperature 2 K higher than the temperature reported by the spectrometer to achieve the correct relative intensities of the different transitions. The simulation temperature is not expected to affect the measured zero-field splitting parameters, but it affects the relative peak intensities in the simulations. The 388 GHz and 400 GHz Mn(II)-MntC spectrum collected at 3 K were simulated using a temperature of 5 K. The 388 GHz Mn(II)-PsaA spectrum collected at 5 K was simulated using a temperature of 7 K. We note that the recorded spectrometer temperature is not from a temperature probe located at the sample, and that there may be heating of the sample induced by the field modulation during the experiment. D and E strains of 300 MHz were used to help the simulation lineshape better match the linewidths of the experimental data.

Results and Discussion

The EPR spectra of Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA feature a six-line pattern at \( g \approx 2.001 \) (4.64 T at 130 GHz, 13.86 T at 388 GHz) that arises from the transition between \( m_s = \pm 1/2 \) electron spin manifolds and their hyperfine couplings to the \(^{55}\text{Mn}\) nucleus \( (I = 5/2, 100\%\) abundance) (Figures 2–4 and S1). Surrounding this central sextet is a broad envelope of transitions belonging to the \( m_s = \pm 3/2 \) and \( m_s = \pm 5/2 \) manifolds where the ZFS and strain in those manifolds results in relatively featureless spectra with no resolved \(^{55}\text{Mn}\) hyperfine couplings.\(^{35}\) Simulation of the spectra in the sextet region affords \(^{55}\text{Mn}\) isotropic hyperfine values of 241 and 236 MHz for Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA, respectively (Table 1). The relatively low \(^{55}\text{Mn}\) hyperfine constant values indicate a more covalent interaction of the \( S = 5/2 \) Mn(II) ion with its ligands in the binding site of the protein compared to hexa-aqua Mn(II), for which the \(^{55}\text{Mn}\) hyperfine value is \( \approx 265 \) MHz.\(^{22}\) This more covalent interaction combined with the electrostatic interaction from the negatively charged carboxylate groups of the His2AspGlu binding site likely contributes to the tight binding of the Mn(II) ion (\( K_{d, \text{Mn(II)}} \leq 10 \) nM)\(^{14–15}\) observed for these two proteins. The simulation also affords isotropic \( g \)-values of 2.0011 and 2.0007 for MntC and PsaA, respectively. The isotropic nature of \( g \) and the \(^{55}\text{Mn}\) hyperfine is expected from the spherically symmetrical unpaired electron spin density of the high spin \( (S = 5/2) \) \( \text{d}^5 \) ground state of the Mn(II) ion with no low-lying excited states.

The zero-field splitting parameters \( D \) and \( E/D \) are best measured in the high-frequency/field spectra. At these high fields, and at low temperatures (<6 K), the lower Zeeman energy \( m_s = -5/2 \) and \(-3/2 \) levels become preferentially populated, leading to an increased intensity of the \( m_s = -5/2 \leftrightarrow -3/2 \) and \(-3/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2 \) transitions relative to the other transitions (Figures 5, 6 and S2). Simulation afforded values for \( D/E/D \) of +2.72 GHz (0.177) and +2.87 GHz (0.122) for Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA, respectively (Table 1). The ability to determine the magnitude and sign of \( D \) from high-field EPR spectroscopy allows comparisons to other spectroscopically and structurally characterized systems. The values of \( |D| \) determined for Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA are intermediate between the relatively high values reported for the 5-coordinate superoxide dismutases (SODs) and the remarkably low values reported for the nearly idealized octahedral Mn(II) coordination spheres in human and murine calprotectin (Table 1).\(^{26, 33–34}\) Moreover, the sign of \( D \) is positive for the Mn(II)-SBPs and negative, when reported, for MnSOD.\(^{25}\) Taken together, these comparisons suggest that the
Mn(II)-SBP sites are neither 5-coordinate nor highly symmetrical 6-coordinate species. Moreover, the zero-field splitting parameters for the Mn(II)-SBPs show the greatest similarity to the 6-coordinate trigonal prismatic Mn(II) site displayed in OxDc site II and the 6-coordinate bacterial reaction center from Rhodobacter spheroides.\(^{27-28}\) Thus, it is possible that the Mn(II)-SBP sites are 6-coordinate. However, we note that caution must be taken when using the magnitude of the Mn(II) zero-field splitting parameter \(D\) to infer coordination geometry. The comparison should only be done with similar ligand types since the identity of the ligands can influence the zero-field splitting.\(^{36-38}\) We also note that there is a paucity of zero-field splitting parameters determined for known tetrahedral Mn(II) complexes reported in the literature. To the best of our knowledge, this work is currently limited to Mn(II) complexes containing halide ligands,\(^{39}\) which are inappropriate comparisons for the Mn(II)-SBPs because halide ligands affect the ZFS.\(^{37-38,39}\) Thus, we are unable to determine how similar or different the Mn(II) zero-field splitting parameter values of the Mn(II)-SBPs are to known tetrahedral Mn(II) species. Nevertheless, both Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA exhibit similar spectroscopic parameters, which suggests that these two Mn(II) sites exhibit more similar coordination environments than indicated by interpretations and comparisons of the Mn(II)-MntC (5-coordinate)\(^{14}\) and Mn(II)-PsaA (4-coordinate)\(^{15}\) crystal structures.

**Conclusion**

The high similarity in the Spin Hamiltonian parameters determined for Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA suggests that the Mn(II) coordination spheres of these two SBPs are nearly identical in solution. Based on comparisons to previously characterized Mn(II) proteins, the current data suggest that the Mn(II) sites of both proteins are not five coordinate; however, more information on Mn(II) complexes of known coordination geometries is needed to further substantiate this possibility and ascertain whether the SBPs coordinate Mn(II) in a tetrahedral or 6-coordinate manner. Thus, we reason that both SBPs release and deliver Mn(II) to their respective permeases in a similar manner. Indeed, elucidating the molecular mechanism by which the fully reconstituted transport systems MntABC and PsaABC capture Mn(II) with high affinity and deliver the ion to the bacterial cytoplasm is an important and intriguing avenue for future investigation.
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Figure 1. Crystal structures, zoom-in view of the metal-binding sites, and metal-ligand distances of Mn(II)-MntC (A,B,C; PDB: 4K3V) and Mn(II)-PsaA (D,E,F; PDB: 3ZTT). The Mn(II) ion is shown as a red sphere. Ligand numbering in MntC corresponds to a truncated form of the protein.\textsuperscript{14}
Figure 2.
2p echo-detected field sweep of Mn(II)-MntC and Mn(II)-PsaA at 130 GHz (75 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). The black traces are the experimental data and the red traces are simulations with the parameters listed in Table 1. Experimental settings: spectrometer frequency 130 GHz, 1 ms rep time, 20 ns $\pi/2$ pulse length, $\tau = 300$ ns, $T = 15$ K. The Mn(II)-PsaA spectrum appears to have a contaminant around $\approx 4.630$–$4.66$ T that partially obscures the sextet.
Figure 3.
High-field/frequency CW EPR spectrum of Mn(II)-MntC at 388 GHz (75 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). The black trace is the experimental data and the red trace is a simulation with the parameters listed in Table 1. Experimental settings: spectrometer frequency 388 GHz, 0.5 mT modulation amplitude at 50 kHz, T = 30 K.
Figure 4.
High-field/frequency CW EPR spectrum of Mn(II)-PsaA at 388 GHz (75 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). The black trace is the experimental data and the red trace is a simulation with the parameters listed in Table 1. Experimental settings: spectrometer frequency 388 GHz, 0.5 mT modulation amplitude at 50 kHz, T = 30 K.
Figure 5.
High-field-frequency CW EPR spectrum of Mn(II)-MntC at T = 3 K, conditions where the spectrum is dominated by the $-5/2 \leftrightarrow -3/2$ and $-3/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2$ transitions (75 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). Experimental settings: spectrometer frequency 388 GHz, 2.5 mT modulation amplitude at 50 kHz, T = 3 K.
Figure 6.
High-field/frequency CW EPR spectrum of Mn(II)-MntC at T = 3 K, conditions where the spectrum is dominated by the $-5/2 \leftrightarrow -3/2$ and $-3/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2$ transitions (75 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). Experimental settings: spectrometer frequency 388 GHz, 2.4 mT modulation amplitude at 50 kHz, T = 5 K.
### Table 1.
Table of Spectroscopic Parameters for Mn(II) Bound to Various Proteins

| Mn(II)-bound protein                        | D (GHz)\(^a\) | |E| (GHz) | |E/D|  | 55\(^{55}\)Mn aiso (MHz) | Coordination motif\(^b\) | ref |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| MnC                                       | +2.72(5)       | 0.48(5)         | 0.177           | 241             | N\(_2\)O\(_2\) or N\(_2\)O\(_4\) | this work       |
| PsaA                                      | +2.87(5)       | 0.35(5)         | 0.122           | 236             | N\(_2\)O\(_2\) or N\(_2\)O\(_4\) | this work       |
| Dr MnSOD \(^c\)                           | −10.490        | 0.779           | 0.074           | 244             | N\(_3\)O\(_2\) | 25              |
| Ec MnSOD \(^d\)                           | 10.640         | 0.853           | 0.080           | 230             | N\(_3\)O\(_2\) | 26              |
| Ec MnSOD \(+0.1\) M azide \(^d\)          | 1.390          | 0.270           | 0.194           | 245             | N\(_3\)O\(_2\) | 26              |
| Mn(II) photosynthetic reaction center from \(R.\) spheroides | 3.328          | 0.749           | 0.225           | n.d. \(^i\)     | N\(_3\)O\(_2\) | 27              |
| Oxidc site I \(^e\)                       | 1.200          | 0.250           | 0.208           | 253             | N\(_3\)O\(_3\) | 28              |
| Oxidc site II \(^e\)                      | 2.700          | 0.675           | 0.250           | 250             | N\(_3\)O\(_3\) | 28              |
| Lipoxidase \(^f\)                         | +2.1–3         | 0.105–0.540     | 0.18(0.05)      | 258             | N\(_3\)O\(_3\) | 29,30           |
| concanavalin A                            | 0.645          | 0.071           | 0.010           | 259             | N\(_3\)O\(_3\) | 31              |
| Mnx \(^g\)                                | 1.080          | 0.356           | 0.329           | n.d. \(^i\)     | N\(_3\)O\(_3\) | 32              |
| Human calprotectin                        | 0.485          | 0.146           | 0.30            | 247             | N\(_6\)       | 33              |
| Murine calprotectin                       | 0.525          | 0.158           | 0.30            | 248             | N\(_6\)       | 34              |
| \([\text{Mn(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}\) \(^h\) | 0.430–0.610    | 0–0.183         | 0–0.30          | ≈265            | O\(_6\)       | 22              |

\(^a\) The sign of D is unknown unless reported with a + or − sign. Based on uncertainty in the linewidths, we estimate the error in our measurements to be ±50 MHz (±2.9 mT).

\(^b\) Nitrogen ligands are backbone amides, histidines, or azide. Oxygen ligands are carboxylate groups or water derived.

\(^c\) MnSOD of Deinococcus radiodurans.

\(^d\) MnSOD of Escherichia coli.

\(^e\) Oxidate decarboxylase of Bacillus subtilis.

\(^f\) Mn lipoxidase from Gaemannomyces grammis.

\(^g\) Multi-copper oxidase of Bacillus sp. PL-12 with substrate Mn(II) bound.

\(^h\) The zero-field splitting parameters and \(^{55}\)Mn hyperfine for \([\text{Mn(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{2+}\) depend on the ionic strength, buffer, and glazing agent as detailed in ref. 22.

\(^i\) n.d. = not determined.