Introduction

Intellectual Disability (ID) is a developmental disability, characterized by a global deficiency in cognitive abilities and adaptive skills [1]. In practical terms, ID is defined by an Intelligence Quotient (IQ) below 70, occurring in 2-3% of the population. ID is highly heterogeneous with respect to the severity of cognitive deficiency and the causes which include both environmental and genetic factors [2]. Assessment of behavioural disorder or psychopathology in people with ID can be problematic due to cognitive or communicative deficits [3]. Investigating the causes of ID and underlying cellular mechanisms are essential to understand the structural and functional basis of ID.

The damage caused to neural and dendritic connectivity in ID can be addressed by a neurorestorative therapy such as cellular therapy. Stem cells have the unique ability of self-renewal, immunomodulation and paracrine regulation through which the underlying brain damage can be repaired [4,5]. Hence cellular therapy can be considered as one of the therapeutic modality for ID. In our case study, we evaluated the symptomatic and functional improvements after intrathecal transplantation of autologous Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cells (BMMNCs) in a 13-year-old girl diagnosed with ID. As a part of the protocol, she was also put on a multidisciplinary rehabilitation program. She underwent two cellular therapies twice at an interval of 11 months. Follow up was done at regular intervals of four and eleven months after each cellular therapy. No major adverse events were recorded post intervention. Improvements in command following, eye contact, sitting tolerance, balance, aggressive behaviour, hyperactivity and clarity of speech were observed over a period of 30 months of first intervention. Functional Independence Score (FIM) score increased from 76 to 78. On comparison of pre-intervention and post intervention after 11 months PET CT scan, improvement in metabolic activity of frontal lobe, cerebellum and the mesial temporal structures was recorded. These objective changes suggest that cellular therapy in combination with rehabilitation improves neuronal functioning, hence improving processing of information in ID. However, larger randomized control studies will be required to demonstrate long-term benefits of cellular transplantation in ID.

Case Representation

A 13-year-old female child was born full term normal delivery with a birth weight of 2.5 kg and cried immediately after birth. During the 5th month of pregnancy, the mother suffered from malaria for which she was administered medications and was hospitalised for 4 days. There was no history of neonatal complications. At the age of 2 years, her parents noticed a delay in speech and cognition for which she was started on medication but no improvements were observed. Gradually she started displaying behavioural issues and hyperactivity. On consultation, she was diagnosed with moderate intellectual disability. At the age of 5 years, parents consulted an ENT specialist; vision and BERIA test were normal. She has been regularly attending special school. IQ test performed at the age of 8 years revealed a score of 42 which suggested moderate intellectual disability.

On detailed assessment prior to the first cellular therapy, she had poor cognition, problem solving abilities, memory and visual perceptual skills. Following commands was difficult with behavioural issues like throwing temper tantrums, increased stubbornness, crying without reason. Speech was not clear and was repetitive. She had difficulty in adjusting to new places and new people. She could not read or write. Attention span was poor and hence she could not attend school for full time. Maximum assistance was required in eating, grooming, bathing and dressing while minimal assistance in toileting. She had modified independence in bladder management, transfer and ambulation. PET CT scan brain revealed reduced Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) uptake in frontal cortex, cerebellum, amygdala, hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus. Electroencephalogram (EEG) was performed.
normal in sleep state with no potential epileptiform activity observed. MRI with DTI suggested no intracranial abnormality. She scored 76 on Functional Independence Measure (FIM).

Material and Methods

The selection of the patient was based on the World Medical Associations Helsinki declaration [6]. The protocol was reviewed and ethical approval was taken from Institutional Committee for Stem Cell Research and Therapy (IC-SCRT). The procedure of cellular therapy was explained in detail and a duly filled informed consent was obtained from the parents prior to the therapy.

Granulocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor (G-CSF) (300 mcg) injections were administered subcutaneously, 72 hours and 24 hours prior to bone marrow aspiration. On the day of transplantation, 100 ml bone marrow was aspirated from the left anterior superior iliac spine under local anesthesia, using bone marrow aspiration needle and was collected in heparinized tubes. The BMMNCs were separated from the aspirate using density gradient method. Manually, the cell viability was calculated using Trypan Blue dye which was confirmed by TALI machine using propidium iodide. Fluorescence Activated Cell sorting (FACS) analysis showed CD34+ count to be 0.86%. The separated cells were diluted with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) as it has factors that are known to promote cell growth [7].

The cells were injected intrathecally at the level between L4 and L5. Simultaneous intravenous administration of 1 gm methyl prednisolone in 500 ml of Ringer Lactate solution was carried out to decrease immediate inflammation and to enhance the survival of the injected cells. Total numbers of cells injected were 3x10^8 with 98% viability.

As a part of the protocol, the patient was given intensive neurorehabilitation therapy followed by cellular therapy. It included a multidisciplinary rehabilitation protocol comprising of psychological intervention, speech therapy and occupational therapy. Psychological intervention aimed at cognitive development and improving social interaction. Speech therapists helped with pronunciation of words, sound production, pitch control and improving communication skills. The occupational therapy interventions included both restorative and adaptive strategies to improve performance of the Activities of Daily Living (ADLs).

The patient was discharged at one-week post transplantation and was advised to continue the rehabilitation at home. The follow up assessment was conducted at four and eleven months after the intervention. PET CT scan brain was repeated to determine metabolic changes in the brain 11 months after first cellular therapy. In view of the improvements observed after the treatment, the patient underwent second cellular transplantation 11 months after the first transplantation. The transplantation procedure was replicated. Total numbers of cells injected were 96× 10^6 with 96% viability. The patient was again followed up at four and eleven months after second cellular therapy.

Results

Within four months of 1st cellular therapy, hyperactivity had reduced along with improvement sitting tolerance and attention span. Behavioral issues like throwing things out, laughing and crying without reason and temper tantrums reduced. She could dress herself with initial assistance from mother. Speech clarity had improved. There was minimal improvement in eye contact.

Eleven months after the 1st cellular therapy, hyperactivity reduced further. Reaction time while following commands reduced as she would respond within 2-3 repeated instructions. Comprehension and understanding improved significantly. She started doing household activities along with her mother. Transitions were easier with improved balance. All the other improvements were maintained in these months. PET CT scan brain recorded accentuated FDG uptake in the bilateral mesial temporal structures, frontal lobe, thalamus and cerebellum FIM score increased from 76 to 78 (Figure 1).

In view of the improvements, the patient underwent 2nd cellular therapy. Thereafter, the patient was followed up after 4 months (15 months after the 1st cellular therapy). Attention span and concentration increased to 30 minutes with proper eye contact. She would now try to initiate conversation with others. Memory improved as she could recollect all the happenings in school and report it to the mother. Increase in hyperactivity and aggressive behavior was observed a few instances.

After 11 months of 2nd cellular therapy, awareness regarding people and the surrounding was better than before. Multitasking was possible with proper judgment. Clarity of speech improved further. All the other improvements were maintained. Hyperactivity was confined to places which were unknown to her.

Discussion

Intellectual Disability (ID) is characterized by sub average intellectual functioning with concurrent deficits in communication, self-care, home living, social skills etc [1]. ID is associated with abnormalities in dendritic branching and connectivity of the neuronal network which severely limits its ability to process information [8-10]. Mild forms of ID have been linked to diminutive changes in brain microanatomy, including relevant areas of the brain like
cerebral cortex and hippocampus [11]. Defects in synaptogenesis, synaptic activities and plasticity are crucial cellular processes that are responsible for cognitive impairment, especially in postnatal stage during which learning and acquisition of intellectual abilities and emotional behaviour occurs [12]. With a growing prevalence of ID, it is vital to explore new avenues of diagnosis and management for ID. Due to unknown pathology and lack of reliable cognitive assessment tools, it is difficult to establish a definitive treatment modality. The conventional treatment strategies such as psychological and behavioural intervention, occupational therapy and speech therapy aim towards alleviating specific symptoms observed in ID [13]. However, these strategies do not address the core neuropathology. Recently cellular therapy has gained attention as a potential therapeutic strategy in various neurological disorders [4,5]. To study its effect in ID, we administered autologous BMMNC to the patient, intrathecally.

The safety of autologous Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cells (BMMNCs) has been well established in previous clinical studies as they are devoid of immune rejection and do not exhibit any adverse effect [14]. Studies have shown that bone marrow derived cells can differentiate into mature neurons or glial cells [15,16]. In preclinical studies, these cells have improved the underlying neurological deficit by regenerating into neural cells [17,18]. BMMNCs have a unique property of homing into the damaged areas and engrafting into the injured cells and preventing the endogenous cells from damage [19]. The repair process occurs through paracrine mechanisms by secretion of certain factors which are postulated to promote angiogenesis, neurogenesis, immunomodulation and reduce inflammatory processes [20,21]. These findings increase the prospects of BMMNCs to be considered therapeutically beneficial for patients with neurological conditions.

The patient showed a significant change in her daily activities and improvement in quality of life. Improvements in memory, cognition, learning ability, behaviour were also evident. Positron Emission Tomography (PET) with 2-[fluorine-18] Fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) is useful imaging modality for the diagnosis of neurological disorders. FDG PET for the evaluation of cognitive impairment has been found to help detect the earliest changes in neurodegenerative disorders [22]. PET CT scan brain was performed 11 months after the 1st intervention to study the effect of cellular therapy on the metabolic activity of the brain. The metabolic changes in the brain recorded in PET CT post cellular therapy corresponded to the functional improvements. The improved social participation and command following in the patient can be attributed to improved frontal lobe functioning [23]. Enhanced memory, learning ability, cognitive skills, emotional learning and decision making correlated with increased FDG uptake in mesial temporal structures [24]. The increase in FDG uptake in the cerebellum is responsible for improvements in balance, coordination and fine motor activities as reflected in the PET scan in the patient [25].

The clinical improvements observed in the subject can be attributed to the cumulative effect of two cell transplantations and multidisciplinary neurorehabilitation, supporting the observation that a combination of cellular therapy and exercise training results in significant functional improvement through neuro-facilitation [26]. There were no adverse effects observed in the patient post cellular therapy.

Limitations

The reported case is a single case report and there was no control available to study the independent effect of rehabilitation or cellular therapy. The improvements were noticed after combining cellular therapy to the standard rehabilitation regime. This suggests that cellular therapy has a vital role in improving the neuronal function which was supported by the PET CT brain findings.

Conclusion

The findings in this case report shows that cellular therapy can be beneficial in treating the neurological deficits in ID. Cellular therapy can be regarded as a novel treatment to improve the overall functioning of the brain in ID and to improve the quality of life of patients with ID. PET CT scan can be used an objective scale to determine the structural changes occurring in the brain during the course. However, larger randomized control studies will be required to demonstrate long term benefits of cellular transplantation in ID.
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