Investigation on Reaction Diffusion Process Inside a Porous Bio-Catalyst Using DTM
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Abstract

In this paper, the reaction-diffusion problem in a biocatalyst has been investigated analytically. The efficiency of Differential Transformation Method (DTM) has been demonstrated by solving a problem of chemical/biochemical reactions on pore walls in porous catalytic pellets and solid fuel particles. The effectiveness factor is defined as the ratio of the reaction rate under no reactant concentration gradient within the particle to the reaction rate calculated if the surface reactant concentrations persisted throughout the interior of the particle, that is, the substrate diffuses inside the biocatalyst yields the following model equation with the associated Boundary Conditions [10].

\[
D_r \left( \frac{d^2 C_A}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dC_A}{dr} \right) = \frac{r_m C_A}{K_m + C_A} \\
r = R \rightarrow C_A = C_{A_{in}}, \quad r = 0 \rightarrow \frac{dC_A}{dr} = 0
\] (1)

Where \( C_A \) is concentration of species \( A \) inside the catalyst pores, \( C_{A_{in}} \) concentration of species \( A \) at the catalyst surface, \( D_r \) is diffusion coefficient of species \( \kappa \) inside the catalyst pores, \( K_m \) is parameter in Michaelis-Menten kinetics, \( r \) is coordinate in the radial direction and \( r_m \) is parameter in Michaelis-Menten kinetics.
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Nomenclature Greek Letters

- \( C_A \): Concentration of inside the catalyst pores
- \( C_s \): Concentration at the catalyst surface
- \( D_r \): Effective diffusivity of species
- \( K_m \): Parameter in Michaelis-Menten kinetics
- \( r \):Coordinate in the radial direction
- \( r_m \): Parameter in Michaelis-Menten kinetics
- \( R \): Particle radius
- \( u \): Dimensionless Concentration

\( \eta \): Dimensionless radial coordinate
\( \theta \): Thiele Modulus
\( \chi \): The effectiveness factor

Introduction

The prediction of diffusion and reaction rates in porous catalyst is an important problem in chemical engineering, indeed when the reaction rate depends on concentration in a nonlinear case. The model for diffusion and reaction inside a porous biocatalyst has been discussed extensively by pervious researchers [1,2]. The concept of effectiveness factor is an important one in heterogeneous catalysis and in solid fuel. The effectiveness factor is widely used to account for the interaction between pore diffusion and reactions on pore walls in porous catalytic pellets and solid fuel particles. The effectiveness factor is defined as the ratio of the reaction rate actually observed to the reaction rate calculated if the surface reactant concentration persisted throughout the interior of the particle, that is, no reactant concentration gradient within the particle. The reaction rate in a particle can therefore be conveniently expressed by its rate under surface conditions multiplied by the effectiveness factor. This concept was first developed mathematically by Thiele [3], and has since been extended by many other workers. Extensive investigation of analytical solutions and methods for the approximation of the effectiveness factor can be found in Aris [4,5]. The state of development of the theory up till the last decade has been summarized by Wijngaarden et al. [6].

In the heart of all the different engineering sciences, everything shows itself in the mathematical relation that most of these problems and phenomena are modeled by ordinary or partial differential equations. In most cases, scientific problems are inherently of nonlinearity that does not admit analytical solution, so these equations should be solved using special techniques [7-9].

Problem Description

Consider an inert permeable spherical solid particle. Bacterial immobilization has been done inside the porous particle by usual methods, and these porous particles with bacteria immobilized inside it which is termed as biocatalyst. This biocatalyst is immersed in a pool of liquid containing the substrate. It is assumed that the resistance due to film surrounding the biocatalyst is negligibly small under the operating conditions in the bioreactor. Thus, the substrate \( A \) diffuses inside biocatalyst and the biochemical reaction occurs therein simultaneously. The component mass balance for the substrate over a thin spherical shell inside the biocatalyst yields the following model equation with the associated Boundary Conditions [10].
in above model equation, it is assumed that the biochemical reaction follows Michaelis-Menten kinetics. The derivation of Equation may be found in an excellent text book by Fogler [11]. Introducing the following dimensionless variables [12]:

\[
   u = \frac{C_t}{C_{eq}}, \quad \eta = \frac{r}{R}, \quad \phi = \frac{-r_x}{D_tC_{eq}} = \frac{R^2\eta}{D_tC_{eq}(1 + \beta)}
\]  

(2)

Here, \( \phi \) is the Thiele modulus and it signifies the ration of the intrinsic chemical reaction rate in the absence of mass transfer limitations to the rate of diffusion through the catalyst, i.e.

\[
   \phi = \frac{\text{reaction rate}}{\text{diffusion rate}}
\]  

(3)

Rewriting equation using equation yields:

\[
   d\frac{u}{d\eta} = \frac{\phi}{(1 + \beta)u} = 0,
\]

(4)

As it has been mentioned in boundary condition, the concentration of species \( A \) is maximum at the catalyst surface.

**Basic Idea of Differential Transformation Method**

Let \( x(t) \) be analytic in a domain \( D \) and let \( t = t \) represents any point in \( D \). The function \( x(t) \) is then represented by one power series whose center is located at \( t \). The Taylor series expansion function of \( x(t) \) is in the form of:

\[
   x(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^n x(t)}{dt^n} \bigg|_{t=0} t^n \quad \forall t \in D
\]

(5)

As explained in [4] the differential transformation of the function \( x(t) \) is defined as follows:

\[
   X(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^n x(t)}{dt^n} \bigg|_{t=0} \frac{H^i}{i!} k^i
\]

(6)

Where \( x(t) \) the original is function and \( X(k) \) is the transformed function. The differential inverse transform of \( X(k) \) is defined as follows:

\[
   x(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{H^i} X(k) t^i
\]

(7)

Mathematical operations performed by DTM are listed in Table 1.

**Application of Differential Transformation Method**

In order to solve equation.4, we consider the following boundary conditions:

\[
   u(0) = a, \quad u'(0) = 0
\]

(8)

Where, \( a \) should be determined.

Taking differential transform of equation.7 by using the related definitions in Table 1, we obtain:

\[
   \frac{(k + 1)!}{(k + 1)!} \frac{d^2 f(t)}{dt^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{f(t)}{(k + 1)!} (t - i)! (k - i)! \left( \beta \frac{f(t)}{D_t C_{eq}} \right)^{k - i - 1} (t - i - 1) + 2 \frac{f(t)}{(k + 1)!} (k + 1)
\]

(9)

As mentioned before in equation. 8, we can write:

\[
   U(0) = a
\]

(10)

We can easily yield:

\[
   U(2) = \frac{a}{6 - 4\beta - 4\phi a}
\]

(11)

We avoid listing the other components. However it can be yield that the closed form of the solutions is:

\[
   F(t) = F(0) t^2 + F(1) t^3 + F(2) t^4 + \cdots
\]

(12)

**Results and Discussions**

Thiele modulus gives Relation between catalytic activity and size of particle. The Thiele Modulus was developed to describe the relationship between diffusion and reaction rate in porous catalyst pellets with no mass transfer limitations. This value is generally used in determining the effectiveness factor for catalyst pellets. For 9th-order irreversible reactions.

Validity of the differential transformation method is shown in Figure 1. Excellent agreement between the numerical and analytical solutions is obvious in Figure 1.

This accuracy gives us high confidence in validity of this problem and reveals an excellent agreement of engineering accuracy. This investigation is completed by depicting the effects of some important parameters to evaluate how these parameters influence this fluid.

Figures 2a-2c displays the effects of Thiele modulus on dimensionless concentration for three numerical cases of \( \beta = 1 \), \( \beta = 3 \) and \( \beta = 5 \). As the Thiele modulus increases the concentration decreases.

The effectiveness factor \( \chi \) which is relevant in the design of biochemical reactors is defined as follows:

\[
   \chi = \frac{\text{actual reaction rate within catalyst pores}}{\text{reaction rate at the surface conditions}}
\]

**Table 1: Some of the basic operations of DTM.**

| Original function | Transformed function |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| \( x(t) = a f(t) + \beta g(t) \) | \( X(k) = a F(k) \pm \beta G(k) \) |
| \( x(t) = f(t) g(t) \) | \( X(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} F(l) G(k - l) \) |
| \( x(t) = \frac{d f(t)}{dt} \) | \( X(k) = (k + 1) F(k + 1) \) |
| \( x(t) = \frac{d^2 f(t)}{dt^2} \) | \( X(k) = (k + 1) (k + 2) F(k + 2) \) |
According to [2], the above definition can mathematically be expressed in dimensionless form as follows:

$$\chi = \frac{3}{\varphi} \frac{du}{d\eta_{\varphi=1}}$$

The effectiveness factor $\chi$ for various values of $\varphi$ and $\beta$ is tabulated in Table 2.

Conclusions

In this paper, approximate analytical solution of radiation diffusion problem in a porous biocatalyst and indicated what these solutions mean in terms of the relative importance of the various Thiele modulus on this kind of problem, have been presented. Complementary numerical solutions were obtained via fourth order Runge Kutta methods.
and a very excellent agreement between the solutions obtained from DTM and the computations was observed. The effectiveness factor has been also achieved for various parameters. The results are in good agreement with the existing numerical results and therefore elucidate the reliability and efficiency of DTM. The comparisons made suggest that the DTM could be a useful and effective tool in solving systems of nonlinear differential equations of reaction diffusion process in a porous biocatalyst.

In brief, 

The effectiveness factor $\chi$ values increases monotonically as the Thiele modulus ($\phi$) increases.

The concentration inside the pores significantly rise and profiles move closer to surface as $\phi$ decrease.

$\beta$ has moderate influence on the effectiveness factor.

| $\beta$ | $\phi$ | $\chi$ |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 1      | 2      | 0.871564 |
|        | 4      | 0.626706 |
|        | 6      | 0.463680 |
| 2      | 2      | 0.902231 |
|        | 4      | 0.659046 |
|        | 6      | 0.487476 |
| 3      | 2      | 0.925301 |
|        | 4      | 0.679490 |
|        | 6      | 0.502527 |

Table 2: Value of effectiveness factor for various values of $\phi$ and $\beta$.
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