EARLY ONSET MARFAN SYNDROME:  
ATYPICAL CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF TWO CASES
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ABSTRACT

Early onset Marfan Syndrome (eoMFS) is a rare, severe form of Marfan Syndrome (MFS). The disease has a poor prognosis and most patients present with resistance to heart failure treatment during the newborn period. This report presents two cases of eoMFS with similar clinical features diagnosed in the newborn period and who died at an early age due to the complications related to the involvement of the cardiovascular system. 

Keywords: Craniosynostosis; Early onset Marfan Syndrome (eoMFS); Heart failure; Neonatal Marfan Syndrome (MFS); Pediatric; Supraventricular tachycardia

INTRODUCTION

Marfan syndrome (MFS) is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by elastic tissue involvement: typically skeletal, cardiovascular, pulmonary, skin and ocular malformations occur. The disease is caused by mutations in FBN1, encoding fibrillin 1 protein which provides force bearing structural support in elastic and nonelastic connective tissue, located on the chromosome 15q21.1. The prevalence of the disease has been reported as 0.02-0.03% and approximately 25.0% of patients are sporadic due to de novo mutations. Early onset MFS (eoMFS) represents the most severe end of the spectrum of MFS, which is clinically distinguished from the other forms by its association with severe mitral and/or tricuspid valve insufficiency showing a relentless progression resulting in heart failure and death within the first 2 years of life [1]. Early onset MFS is usually caused by de novo mutations between exons 24 and 32 of FBN1 [2,3]. Early diagnosis in the neonatal period and the initiation of anticongestive treatment are crucial to prevent the early development of the refractory heart failure in the newborns. This study presents two cases of eoMFS and a review of the literature.

CLINICAL REPORT

Case 1. A 30-day-old female infant was born to a 21-year-old (gravida 3, parity 2) healthy mother through spontaneous vaginal delivery at week 39 of gestation. The prenatal history of the mother indicated a regular prenatal care and a fetal ultrasound which could not find any abnormalities. There was no consanguinity between the parents and both of them were phenotypically normal. The infant was admitted to the pediatric emergency service with palpitation on the 30th day after birth. On admission, her medical state was serious and she was pale in appearance.
The size of the anterior fontanelle was measured as 2 cm (mean) and the size of the posterior fontanel was measured as 0.5 cm (55th centile). On physical examination, arachnodactyly in fingers and toes (Figure 1a and 1b), prominent forehead, flat nose, high palate, low-set ears, enophtalmos, dolicocephaly, articular laxity particularly in wrists and ankles and a wrist sign was noted. Her weight was 4060 g (75-97th percentile), height was 62 cm (>97th percentile), arm span was 65 cm (>97th percentile) (arm span/height ratio: 1.04), upper segment (US) was 40 cm, lower segment (LS) was 25 cm (US/LS: 1.6). No pathological reflex was detected in her neurological examination, however, a generalized hypotonia was noted. Heart auscultation revealed a 3/6 systolic murmur along the left sternal border and a gallop rhythm. The liver was palpable approximately 4 cm below the right costal margin. The heart rate was 168/min., respiratory rate was found as 70/min. The chest X-radiograph (CXR) revealed cardiomegaly. She underwent an echocardiographic evaluation that demonstrated dextrocardia, mitral valve prolapses (MVP) (Figure 2a and 2b), severe mitral insufficiency (Mi) (Figure 2c), moderate tricuspid insufficiency, slightly insufficient aortic valve (Ai) (Figure 2c) and dilated left ventricle (LVEDd: 23 cm, Z score: 3.1); aortic root measurements were as follows: Ao: 12 mm, Z score: 2.17. The patient was diagnosed with eoMFS based on the clinical and echocardiographic findings. The patient’s blood level of homocysteine was found within normal limits. The eye examination did not reveal any abnormality. A mega cisterna magna was detected by transfontanelle ultrasound examination. She developed a supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) on the second day of observation. She was diagnosed with nosocomial pneumonia on the 20th day after the admission. She developed respiratory arrest on the 25th day and consequently she was intubated.

Figure 1. Clinical features of the patients: a) arachnodactyly of patient 1; b) Steinberg sign of patient 1; c-d) lateral and frontal views of the patient 2; e) craniosynostosis seen in 3D CT of patient 2.
and mechanical ventilator support was provided. The infant died on the 38th day after her admission (68 days after birth) due to multiple organ failure resulting from cardiogenic shock.

Case 2. A 4-month-old boy was admitted with history of nausea, vomiting, cough and lack of appetite. Marfanoid features were detected on physical examination. His facial features were typical of MFS, the leg and arm length were measured as 27 and 20 cm, respectively. The US/LS was 0.74 (normal: <0.85), arachnodactyly and positive thumb signs were present, the joints were hyperextensible. The subcutaneous fat tissue was decreased. He had dolichocephaly with large frontal fontanel (1 × 2 cm) along with craniosynostosis (Figure 1c, 1d and 1e). Chest examination revealed a pectus excavatum deformity. In his cardiac examination, a 3/6 systolic murmur was heard on the left sternal border. The CXR revealed right pericardial infiltration, cardio-megaly, aortic root dilatation and left atrial enlargement. An echocardiogram demonstrated a normal sinus rhythm with a right axis deviation, biaarial dilatation and biventricular hypertrophy. Aortic root dilatation, MVP, minimal aortic valve insufficiency, severe mitral valve insufficiency and patent foramen ovale were detected in echocardiographic evaluation. Cranial computerized tomography (CT) scans revealed craniosynostosis in metopic and bilateral coronal sutures. Due to his Marfanoid features along with MVP and aortic root dilation, the patient was diagnosed as eoMFS.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we report the clinical characteristics of two patients diagnosed as eoMFS according to the typical phenotypic appearance and radiological evaluation. The “classical” MFS is a
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rare (an incidence of 1-3/10,000), autosomal dominant disorder caused by mutations in the \textit{FBN1} gene located on chromosome 15q21.1 that encodes the protein fibrillin-1. Pathogenesis is related to abnormal biosynthesis of fibrillin-1, which is the major constituent of elastin, the main structure in the elastic tissues. Therefore, musculoskeletal, central nervous and cardiovascular systems are in the first place; additionally, eyes, lungs, skin and other systems can be affected by the disease [3-5].

The term eoMFS has been used instead of neonatal MFS that was more commonly used previously. The eoMFS is a rare form of the classical MFS and differentiates from the latter in terms of genetic, phenotypic and prognostic features [4]. Joint contractures, mitral valve prolapse, and mitral, tricuspid, and pulmonary regurgitations were more prevalent in eoMFS. However, aortic regurgitation is less frequent. The prognosis in eoMFS is much worse than that of the classical MFS, the average age of death has been reported as 16.3 months in eoMFS, while classic MFS patients may live several decades [6]. Although both are autosomal dominant, approximately 75.0% of classical MFS cases are inherited, whereas eoMFS is predominantly sporadic. While only two cases of familial eoMFS were reported in the literature, one of these cases was reported from Turkey [7,8]. Although mutations have been observed along the entire length of the fibrillin-1 gene (\textit{FBN1}) in classical MFS, mutations in eoMFS cluster in a relatively small region of \textit{FBN1}, usually between exons 24 and 32 [1,3-5]. The present study suggests that enhanced proteolytic susceptibility, especially in the linker region between TB3 and cbEGF11, and functional loss between central fibrillin-1 and heparin/heparan sulfate interactions contribute to the development of the more severe eoMFS as compared to MFS [3].

Consistent with the medical literature, congestive heart failure secondary to the atrioventricular valve involvement and severe atrioventricular (AV) valve insufficiencies, was the main feature in both cases. There was no family history in both cases. Since the genetic studies are not covered by health insurance in our country and the families could not afford the expenses, genetic studies could not be performed.

The diagnosis of MFS relies on typical dysmorphic features and diagnostic studies such as echocardiography. Genetic studies are used to support the diagnosis and to provide prenatal genetic counseling to the family in future pregnancies. The dysmorphic features-based Ghent criteria have been used in the diagnosis of MFS and were revised in 2010 [9]. According to the Ghent criteria, the positivity of two out of four criteria consisting of an aortic diameter at the sinuses of Valsalva above the indicated Z score or aortic root dissection, ectopia lentis, systemic features (>7) and positive \textit{FBN1} mutation, is considered as sufficient for the diagnosis of classical MFS in patients without a family history (Table 1). According to Loeys \textit{et al.} [10], eoMFS is not considered as a separate category, but rather represents the most severe...

Table 1. Scoring of systemic features.

| Dysmorphic Features                                      | Scores |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Wrist and thumb sign (1 point each)                      | 3      |
| Pectus carinatum deformity [pectus excavatum or chest asymmetry (1 point)] | 2      |
| Hind foot deformity [plain pes planus (1 point)]         | 2      |
| Pneumothorax                                             | 2      |
| Dural ectasia                                            | 2      |
| Protrusioacetabuli                                       | 2      |
| Reduced US/LS and increased arm/height and no severe scoliosis | 1      |
| Scoliosis or thoracolumbar kyphosis                      | 1      |
| Reduced elbow extension                                  | 1      |
| Facial features (3/5) (dolichocephaly, enophthalmos, down slanting palpebral fissures, malar hypoplasy, retrognathia) | 1      |
| Skin striae                                              | 1      |
| Myopia >3 diotters                                       | 1      |
| Mitral valve prolapse (all types)                        | 1      |

US: upper segment; LS: lower segment.
vere end of the MFS spectrum. As the current studies have indicated, the Ghent criteria should be revised for the neonatal form of the disease.

The clinical features depend on the degree of the affected organ systems. Marfan syndrome is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by elastic tissue disorder, but various mutations may cause clinically different phenotypes, and consequently, MFS has a wide clinical spectrum. The association of SVT and MFS was documented in two cases in the medical literature and one of these patients was successfully treated by catheter ablation [10,11]. In another study, the presence of a variety of arrhythmias was shown in 13% of the patient with MFS [12]. The impaired conduction system in MFS may be secondary to the severe atrial and ventricular dilation resulting from severe valve insufficiencies or primary involvement of the conduction system characterized as fibrous tissue. On the other hand, craniosynostosis and dolichocephaly are not common in MFS, and these dysmorphic skeletal features have been reported in a few cases in the literature [13]. These findings can be suggested as a rare finding of eoMFS.

Several conditions have been recognized that present overlapping clinical manifestations with MFS in the cardiovascular, ocular or skeletal systems. These include conditions associated with aortic aneurysms [Loeys-Dietz syndrome (LDS), bicuspid aortic valve, familial thoracic aortic aneurysm, valvular Ehler-Danlos syndrome (vEDS), arterial tortuosity syndrome], ectopialentis (ectopialentis syndrome, Weill-Marchesani syndrome, homocystinuria, Stickler syndrome) or systemic manifestations of MFS [Shprintzen-Goldberg syndrome, congenital contractual arachnodactyly, LDS, MASS phenotype and Mitral Valve Prolapse syndrome (MVP)] (Table 2).

The eoMFS has variable clinical presentations and should be taken into consideration in the differential diagnosis of connective tissue disorders. Supraventricular tachycardia and craniosynostosis-dolichocephaly may be associated with eoMFS. Certainly, current approaches in the treatment and diagnosis of this severe disorder will be developed by sharing the experiences of large prospective case series including successful management of the disease.
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