A Tripartite Neurocognitive Model of Internet Gaming Disorder
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Playing Internet games has emerged as a growing prevalence leisure activity. In some cases, excess gaming can lead to addiction-like symptoms and aversive outcomes that may be seen by some as manifestations of a behavioral addiction. Eventhough agreement regarding the pathologizing of excessive video gaming is not yet achieved and perhaps because the field requires more research, many works have examined the antecedents and outcomes of what is termed internet gaming disorder (IGD). In this article, we aim at summarizing perspectives and findings related to the neurocognitive processes that may underlie IGD and map such findings onto the triadic-system that governs behavior and decision-making, the deficits in which have been shown to be associated with many addictive disorders. This tripartite system model includes the following three brain systems: (1) the impulsive system, which often mediates fast, automatic, unconscious, and habitual behaviors; (2) the reflective system, which mediates deliberating, planning, predicting future outcomes of selected behaviors, and exerting inhibitory control; and (3) the interoceptive awareness system, which generates a state of craving through the translation of somatic signals into a subjective state of drive. We suggest that IGD formation and maintenance can be associated with (1) a hyperactive “impulsive” system; (2) a hypoactive “reflective” system, as exacerbated by (3) an interoceptive awareness system that potentiates the activity of the impulsive system, and/or hijacks the goal-driven cognitive resources needed for the normal operation of the reflective system. Based on this review, we propose ways to improve the therapy and treatment of IGD and reduce the risk of relapse among recovering IGD populations.
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INTRODUCTION

The Internet offers a large variety of video games, including First Person or Ego-Shooters (FPS), Massively Multiplayer Online Role Playing Games (MMORPG), Multiplayer Online Battle Arena (MOBA) games, and hybrid forms of online games, such as Overwatch, which include the elements of both MOBA and FPS. MMORPG is the most popular game type among young adults and has been the focus of many IGD studies (1). Regardless of the nature and type of the game, videogames are possibly addictive since they provide strong rewards that are difficult to resist, and which are largely encouraged by videogame developers in order to ensure that gamers keep...
on using their games (2). For example, they serve various functional needs of users, such as need for escapism, socialization achievement, and mastery, and are hence appealing to many young adults (3).

Research has shown that given such psychological benefits that stem from the needs served by videogames and the inability of some people to regulate their reward seeking behaviors, some players can present addiction-like symptoms in relation to videogames and that these symptoms can produce a range of aversive effects, on children (2, 4), young-adults (5, 6), and organizational employees (7–9). The concept of internet gaming disorder (IGD) has been suggested as a way to encapsulate such phenomenology and symptoms. IGD is a behavioral addiction on the spectrum of Internet addiction. It can be defined as persistent and recurrent use of the Internet to engage in games, often with other players, leading to clinically significant impairment or distress in a 12-month period (10, 11). Many studies have used adaptations or derivatives of this definition, though there is still a great deal of confusion regarding the boundaries of IGD and its measurement (12). The multiplicity of conceptualizations and measures may contribute to the different prevalence rates estimated in different studies; ranging from 0.1% to over 50% (13).

In 2013, the newly updated version of the Diagnosis and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-5) included IGD in its appendix and suggested nine criteria for characterizing this disorder (10, 11). These criteria are:

- preoccupation with Internet games
- withdrawal symptoms of irritability, anxiety, or sadness
- development of tolerance
- unsuccessful attempts to control the behavior
- loss of interest in other activities
- continued excessive use despite knowledge of psychosocial problems
- deceiving others regarding the amount of time spent gaming
- use of this behavior to escape or relieve a negative mood
- jeopardizing/losing a significant relationship/job/educational opportunity.

These criteria have been traditionally associated with substance-related addiction (14). Subjects should respond with yes/no to questions like “Do you spend a lot of time thinking about games even when you are not playing, or planning when you can play next?”; there is a proposed cut-off point of five criteria in DSM-5 (15). Nevertheless, proposing such criteria and cutoffs have raised a multitude of concerns regarding their ambiguity, reliance on addiction models from other domains, and reliance on prior research, which in many cases used non-clinical samples (12). Hence, many conclude that moving forward we need to conduct more research on IGD and/or better synthesize prior studies (16). Here, we venture to provide a synthesis of prior research on IGD, using a very specific angle, a neuro-cognitive one.

On the basis of recent neuro-cognitive models of addiction (17–20), and possible similarities between IGD and other addictions (13, 21–24), we suggest that the neural substrates involved in IGD development and maintenance can include the key brain systems that govern behavior and decision-making. Deficits in such systems have been shown to be associated with a broad range of addictions, including behavioral ones (17).

Adapting this view, we contend that IGD may be associated with an imbalance between several inter-connected neural systems: (1) an hyperactive “impulsive” system, which is fast, automatic, and unconscious; it promotes automatic and habitual actions; (2) a hypoactive “reflective” system, which is slow and deliberative, forecasts the future consequences of a behavior and exerts inhibitory control; and (3) the interoceptive awareness system, which translates bottom-up somatic signals into a subjective state of craving, which in turn potentiates the activity of the impulsive system, and/or hijacks the goal-driven cognitive resources needed for the normal operation of the reflective system (17).

In this article, we describe the connection between these three neural systems and IGD and evidence that supports this tripartite model. We use this description for pointing to potential interventions and directions for future studies.

**ADDICTIVE PROPERTIES OF INTERNET GAMING**

Addiction forms through a sensitization process (25) that changes behaviors from impulsive to compulsive. Similar to other addictive disorders that focus on behaviors (e.g., gambling), IGD cases develop an addictive state without substance intake. This can happen given the rewarding and immersive properties of videogames (26, 27) as well as their ability to address a broad spectrum of human functional needs (3). These include: relationship building, escapism, need for achievement, and mastering the game mechanics. Such motivations increase playtime and desire to play more (3), which in turn sensitizes the brain reward system (28, 29) and can lead to addiction symptoms in vulnerable populations (30).

Not all gamers will present addiction-like symptoms and meet IGD criteria, even if they play for extended periods of time (1). Research has indicates that personality traits such as avoidant traits, schizoid personality, diminished self-control, narcissism, and low self-esteem are significantly related to IGD (31). Hence, people with such traits may be more prone than others to present IGD. In addition, social-environmental factors such as pressure from school (32), which tends to be high especially in East Asia, may propel a higher prevalence rate of IGD cases in Asian countries (33, 34). Males seem to present higher IGD rates compared to women (35); and this changes when the focus is not just on games, but more broadly on Internet use (36). In the absence of prevention and harm reduction strategies that parents and educators can follow, young adults are more prone than others to lose control over online gaming (3).

Here, without discounting the importance of the many addictive features of video games, we emphasize two largely overlooked properties that many videogames have and can drive addictive behavior, if a person has deficits in the brain systems that govern decision-making:

1. **Providing a freedom space for players**

A virtual environment means that gamers can fulfill their desires that could not be met in real life and be, at least temporarily,
other people with better qualities [see, for example, the notion of False Online Self in Ref. (37)]. These attributes can be highly rewarding, and present a possible reason for why game players persist in online gaming despite aversive outcomes (38). For instance, during such games, the role acted by a player could easily destroy and damage others in the virtual world and have a strong dominant personality, which may differ from the true-self of the gamer. The game space can be appealing also because it allows levels of violence that are often not afforded in real world. Many Internet games contain elements of violence; this feature may enhance interest in games and make them more rewarding, especially for young adults (39).

In addition to violence features, Internet games also provide an environment to fulfill gamers’ desire to build an association, challenge one’s abilities, and command others (40, 41). In other words, the virtual world provides a place to escape stress from real life and one’s emotional state can be improved by playing online (3). Moreover, many Internet games allow players to pay in order to enhance the ability of the avatar representing them [in-game purchases, see, for example, Ref. (42)]. This process allows fast and easy enhancement compared to real-life attempts to enhance one’s image and persona (41). Thus, vulnerable individuals can get sucked into the virtual world and avoid the real world (43).

In sum, the virtual world includes many elements that help game players fulfill voids in their real life and provide enjoyable shortcuts for achieving aspirations in a simulation world. This process brings is psychologically rewarding, sometimes more than real life. It can hence motivate consumptions that over time may translate into compulsion.

(2) Anonymity

Anonymity has traditionally been conceived as the inability of others to identify an individual (44). Anonymity is common in many video games in which users use pseudonyms to describe themselves. This gives Internet game players a sense of security (false or not), which makes the virtual environment very appealing. In such environments, people can present abnormal behaviors and be free of direct judgment; for example, vulnerable individuals can show antisocial behaviors in online games (45). These antisocial behaviors may be linked to a loss of inhibition control (46). As such, the perceived-to-be safe environment afforded by anonymity features allows addicted users to engage in antisocial behaviors, which are aligned with their deficits in self-control abilities. When one’s true identity is not revealed, anti-social gamers do not need to take responsibility for their in-game behavior, and suspend their enjoyment in the virtual environment (47). This reduced need for self-inhibition is also very appealing, can generate strong psychological rewards, and ultimately, in vulnerable users, lead to transition from habitual gaming to compulsive gaming.

**IGD AND THE IMPULSIVE BRAIN SYSTEM (SYSTEM 1)**

In the course of addiction, the sensitivity to cues related to the addictive substance or behavior is progressively increased, and responses become more automatic after continuous exposure to addiction stimuli (48). This process could easily shift goal-directed behaviors to compulsive behavior, in which the action becomes independent of the current value of the goal, and result with impulsive behavior (49). Previous research indicates that impulsivity is associated with increased novelty seeking and poor decision-making and can lead to negative consequence such as monetary losses or social failures; thus, it underlies the development and maintenance of state compulsivity (50).

Recent studies found that the striatal-cortical system is a central one for acting prematurely without foresight (51). This system includes the striatum (dopaminergic systems) and the amygdala, which are key structures that form the impulsive system, and mediate reward seeking and compulsion, through sensitization (17). Accordingly, the amygdala has been repeatedly reported to be involved in risk-taking behavior; lower density of gray matter in the amygdala has been found in many substance addiction cases (52, 53) and may be perceived as indicative of making the amygdala-striatal system more efficient (28, 29).

Research has also pointed to the role of the amygdala-striatal system in IGD development and maintenance. The structures of the impulsive system have changed during the transition from goal-directed to compulsive behaviors (54). For instance, excessive play of Internet games was associated with specific aspects of synaptic structure plasticity in both striatal regions. A positron emission tomography study found that, after prolonged Internet use, the level of dopamine D2 receptor and transporters availability in subdivisions of the striatum has been reduced compared with controls (55, 56). Voxel-based morphometry research suggested that frequent Internet game playing is associated with higher volumes in the left striatal and right caudate compared with infrequent game players (57, 58), but the bilateral amygdala had a lower gray matter density in IGD cases compared to controls (59). Moreover, through the repetition of online gaming experience and exposure to gaming-related information, players learn to associate gaming with reward, and progressively become hypersensitive to gaming-related cues (60). This process can establish linkage between gaming-related cues and positive mood, which can increase dopaminergic activity and dopamine levels (61).

Moreover, a person who presents IGD symptoms can become hypersensitive to gaming-related cues; that is, develop attentional bias toward game-related cues (62), which can manifest in issues such as time distortion (63). Human behavior is determined by two aspects of cognition, implicit cognition, which includes memory association and situational circumstance, and explicit cognition, which includes cognitions amenable to introspection and deliberate decision-making (64). According to the implicit association test, which is used to assess implicit associations, players with IGD have a positive motivational implicit response to screenshots of games (65), including in cases of first-person shooter and racing games (66). These findings indicate a strong association between implicit cognition and uncontrolled gaming behavior. Implicit cognition not only represents an automatic appetitive response to a specific substance but can also impact specific behaviors, such as playing online videogames. Because implicit cognitions play an important role in addictive behavior through the generation of automatic approach tendencies, and these cognitions are often
mediated via the amygdala–striatal system, the modulation of this system can be associated with addictive behaviors (67, 68), including the presumed-to-be addictive and problematic use of technologies (6, 20, 28, 29, 69, 70, 71).

fMRI studies also point to differences between brain activity of the impulsive system of presumed IGD and non-IGD cases. Both arterial spin-labeling perfusion and functional magnetic resonance imaging found differences during resting state: IGD subjects showed significantly higher global cerebral blood flow in the left parahippocampal and amygdala (72) and revealed reduced functional connectivity with fronto-striatal circuits (73, 74). Studies using the cue-reactivity paradigm indicated higher activation of the striatum among IGD subjects, compared to controls (26, 75). They further suggested functional differences between dorsal and ventral striatal subdivisions. After presenting game-related stimuli and neutral stimuli, the left ventral striatum activity of IGD cases showed negative correlation with cue-induced craving, but dorsal striatal activation was positively associated with duration of IGD. Hence, the transition from ventral to dorsal striatal processing of addiction-related cues may occur among IGD individuals (76).

Overall, continuously playing online can build a strong association between reward and behavior schema, and this association is mainly mediated by the amygdala-striatal system (77); impairment of this system can be associated with addictions in general (17) and specifically IGD (26, 27). The impairment of the impulsive system may be similar across addictions and problematic behaviors (78). Hence, it is not surprising to see structural, functional and connectivity abnormalities in this system in presumed-to-be IGD cases.

IGD AND THE REFLECTIVE BRAIN SYSTEM (SYSTEM 2)

The reflective system can be conceived as a controller of the motivation toward addiction related reward and the impulsive behavior that is produced by impulsive system. The reflective system forecasts the result of current behavior and allows more flexible pursuit of long-term goals. This system consists of two sets of neural systems: a “cool” system (elicited by relatively abstract, decontextualized problems, and refers to basic working memory operations, inhibition of prepotent impulsions, and mental set shifting) and a “hot” system (involved in triggering somatic states from memory, knowledge, cognition, and activates numerous affective/emotional (somatic) response that conflict with each other) (79).

Studies indicated that the cool executive functions are mainly dependent on the lateral inferior and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices, and the anterior cingulate cortex, and that they are involved in several kinds of psychological reaction, such as shifting between multiple tasks and the updating or maintaining of working memory (79). In contrast to the cool executive functions, the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC) form the main structure of hot executive functions. These are involved in the interaction between affective/emotional responses and somatic states that produce overall positive or negative signals related to behavioral choices (79).

IGD and Hot Executive Function

The disruption of hot executive function in addiction has been initially demonstrated in clinical research of patient populations with damage in frontal lobe regions. These studies showed that hot executive function disruption delineates similar result to those obtained in cases of impairment to the frontal cortex (80, 81). The Iowa Gambling Task (IGT) has been typically applied in such addiction studies, to examine decision-making abilities under ambiguity (82). This paradigm was introduced as a tool to measure “risk-anticipation,” which involves probabilistic learning via monetary rewards and punishments (83). Results of IGT studies demonstrated a reduced decision-making ability compared to controls during the task; they also show that presumed IGD cases made more disadvantageous decisions and performed worse than healthy controls (40, 84, 85). Excessive game playing that results in addiction-like symptoms, therefore, may be associated with deficient ability to integrate previous emotional/affective experiences of rewards or punishments, to motivate and engage in inhibition as well as to trigger somatic responses.

According to the somatic marker hypothesis, somatic response is multidimensional and the emotional experience caused by the reward or punishment under a decision-making situation, would change with the somatic state (86). Adapting this view, one can argue that IGD may be associated with impaired reward and punishment expectation and processing functions. Support for this view has been given in a study on the underlying neural mechanisms of disadvantageous risky decision-making in IGD cases. During the Balloon Analog Risk Task (BART), a significant interaction effect between risk level and activation of the bilateral ventral medial prefrontal cortex (PFC) has been shown (87). Another study, which used a modified delay-discounting task, also suggested that IGD cases prefer the probabilistic or risky options; it also showed that there is a positive correlation between activation of inferior frontal gyrus and probability discounting rates (88).

In contrast, evidence from First Person or Ego-Shooters players suggests that excessive videogame playing may enhance the performance on an IGT compared to controls (89), while experience with First Person or Ego-Shooters games was positively correlated with impulsivity, and experience with strategy games was negatively correlated with impulsivity (85). One reasonable interpretation is that First Person or Ego-Shooters games include many violent elements, which could arouse the impulsive system (90, 91). The most popular type of game, Multiplayer Online Role Playing game, can also contain violent scenes (92). Indeed, studies suggest relation between IGD and aggression (91), which may manifest from deficits in the hot inhibition/control brain system. In other words, after prolonged exposure to violent games, IGD cases may develop higher aggression than healthy subjects, which would promote their risk-taking intentions and behaviors (93).

Several studies have also reported that structural impairment in the orbital frontal cortex in IGD cases. These impairments...
include abnormal glucose metabolism, abnormal of cortical thickness, and white matter fiber consistency (94–96). Moreover, compared to the neutral pictures, gaming pictures activated the OFC, right nucleus accumbens and bilateral Anterior Cingulate Cortex (ACC) (26). These results demonstrate that the orbital frontal cortex is involved in the modulation of reactive aggression; simply put the orbital frontal cortex fails to “inhibit” reactive aggression in response to social cues present in the environment (97).

Distinguishing it from other addictive substances and behaviors, video gaming provides different kinds of scenes and environments that can constantly stimulate use, rewards, violence and arousal. This emotional aspect that is apparent especially in violent games can lead to mood changes and disrupt the integration of emotional and cognitive inputs in the orbital frontal cortex (98). This process can also increase impulsivity, tendency for risk-taking and ignoring negative effects while seeking further rewards. The antisocial behavior among IGD cases suggests an association between aggression and excessive play of violent video-games (99). Overall, excessive play of online games can disrupt the hot executive system in two ways. First, the dysfunction of the ventral medial PFC impacts the value evaluation of rewards and punishments (100). Second, game-related cues arouse the mood with aggression, and this can affect the integration of emotional inputs into decision-making. The somatic state would be influenced by the aggression, and as a result, IGD cases develop impulsive tendencies as manifested in impairments to the orbital frontal cortex and the balance mediated by the orbital and ventral medial cortices is infringed upon.

**IGD and Cold Executive Function**

The ability to suppress automatic and pre-potent response behaviors is critical to the prevention of addictive behaviors. Accordingly, IGD cases showed impairment of inhibition control across many studies (58, 101). Reduction in inhibition of pre-potent responses may essentially make incentive habits more powerful and increase their status to become a “default” automatic habit system (102). This happens because impaired response inhibition could lead to abnormal salience attribution toward gaming-related cues in IGD cases.

Through the paradigms of stop-signal (102) and go/no-go tasks (103), researchers could measure the ability to inhibit advantage response irrelevant to the current task or topic. Subjects were required to withhold response while a particular stop signal (stop-signal task) or stimuli occurs (go/no-go tasks). IGD cases showed impaired inhibition control while they performed relevant go/no-go tasks (such as responding faster to stimuli pictures than to neutral pictures and making more false responses than healthy subjects did) (104–107). A similar picture emerged from studies based on the stop signal task (108, 109). Considering the characteristics of online games, which include many well-designed stimuli (e.g., arousing scenes or pictures), the video-game-special go/no-go task is deemed suitable for videogame addiction research.

Results from recent brain imaging studies suggested that IGD can be associated with a disruption of brain circuits involved in motor response inhibition. Excessive gaming experience is associated with increased gray matter in the right hippocampal formation, dorsolateral PFC, and bilateral cerebellum (110, 111). Resting state studies find decreased functional connectivity in the PFC—striatal circuit in IGD cases (112). Using the go/no-go task, a significantly hyperactive left superior medial frontal and right anterior cingulate cortex during no-go trials was found (105). Using gaming-related picture as cues, healthy controls increased brain activation in the right dorsolateral PFC in comparison with the IGD cases (113). Moreover, 6 months therapy of Bupropion, which is used in the treatment of substance disorders, reduced relevant activations in response to the game-related cues, in IGD cases (114). These results point to possible abnormalities in presumed IGD cases in terms of cold executive function. They show that prolonged playing, sensitizes the impulsive brain systems and when coupled with deficits in executive control (115), it can lead to difficulty to inhibit prepotent game cues and to the emergence of addiction-like symptoms (116).

**THE INTEROCEPTIVE PROCESSES (SYSTEM 3)**

Previous research has suggested that an interoceptive system can modulate the balance between the impulsive and reflective systems, and that the exacerbated imbalance can help maintaining addictions (20). The main function of interoceptive processes is sensing psychological and physical imbalances and mediating response signals in the form of disgust, craving, urge, etc. as a means to signal the need to restore homeostasis. In the case of addiction, this system mediates anticipation for rewards by translating somatic sensory signals into one’s subjectively experience of a desire to engage in the behavior (117–119). This process mainly depends on the structure of bilateral insular cortex (120).

**The Insula and IGD**

Studies have shown that the insular cortex plays an important role in substance dependence and seeking (121, 122). This happens because the translating of somatic signals into subjective experience of craving increases sensitivity toward addiction-related cues and can reduce inhibition resources availability (118, 120). Indeed, the activation of the insular cortex has been implicated in a wide range of conditions and behaviors, such as anticipating the future results about monetary gains (123) or losses (124). Accordingly, the thickness of insular cortex was negatively associated with cigarette exposure response (125), while damage to the insular cortex could disrupt cigarette smoking; smokers with damage to insula quit smoking easily and show a higher rate of cessation from smoking which is nearly 100 times more than this of smokers without damage to insula (126).

The formation of interoceptive system representation through insular cortex activation is crucial for decision-making regarding prepotent cues (118). Considering the position of the insular cortex in the brain, it can be seen as a bridge between ventromedial and OFC and the impulsive system regions. As such, the insula has been suggested to act as a connector that translates somatic signals and triggers bodily states (118). The co-activation pattern between the insula and the ventromedial frontal cortex has
been revealed during the process of generating somatic markers that involved reference judgments (127). By working in tandem with the vmPFC, the insula could map the relationship between external objects and internal somatic sensory states, and invoke bodily states.

Recent studies also suggest that the insula plays an important role in IGD. They revealed decreased functional connectivity between the insula and the motor/executive cortices (such as dIPFC, OFC, cingulated cortex) in IGD cases (128, 129). This finding revealed weaken connections between the insula and the reflective system among IGD individuals, which might explain loss of control in such cases. As such, in IGD cases the insula can be presumed to have abnormal abilities to communicate with the executive system. While exposed to game-related pictures, the insula has been activated and the activation was positively correlated with self-reported gaming urge stimulated by the pictures (26, 27). This may reveal that the insula is related to the relationship between rewarding cues and the craving level one subjectively experience.

Evidence from co-activation research also suggested strong association between the insula and the impulsive and reflective systems; in the presence of game-related cues, co-activation patterns in orbital frontal cortex, insula, anterior cingulate cortex, and dorsolateral cortex have been observed (26). These findings provide further support to the hypothesis that the key role of the insula is to serve as a hub mediating craving production through communication with impulsive and reflective brain systems.

The insula also plays an important role in the development and maintenance of addiction; it integrates the interoceptive effects of addictive substances or behaviors into conscious awareness, memory, or executive functions (130). In support of this view, research has indicated that deficit in response inhibition is pronounced during periods of heightened motivational state of drug intake (131) or drinking alcohol (132). These deficits are triggered by the high subjective state during the stage of abstinence while the affective stimuli related to the addiction substance consume enormous attentional resources and result in the disruption of inhibitory control. Under such overload of attentional resources, the attraction caused by the stimuli may encourage relapse and make it difficult to overcome tempting addictive behaviors (131, 132). In other words, insula-mediated interoceptive representations have the capacity to “hijack” the cognitive resources necessary for exerting inhibitory control to resist the temptation to smoke, use drugs, or use social media impulsively (20) by disabling activity of the prefrontal (control/reflective) system. The anterior insula has bidirectional connections to the amygdala, ventral striatum, and OFC. The insula integrates the interoceptive state into conscious feelings and into decision-making processes that involve certain risks and rewards; it presents decreased cortical thickness in IGD cases (94, 133). This structural abnormality of the interoceptive system may also hamper self-awareness, which could take the form of failure to recognize an illness (134). Young adults with high levels of IGD often also present depression, anxiety, aggression, or social phobias symptoms (135). Such symptoms may also be associated with dysfunction of the translation of interoceptive signals emerging from somatic and emotional states (136).

Moreover, deprivation interoceptive signals (e.g., when one cannot play videogames even if he or she strongly desires to do so) may also hamper metacognitive abilities in addicts (137). This abnormal degree of dissociation in addicted people, between the “object” level and the “meta” level, raises the possibility that poor metacognition lead to action and decision-making monitoring and adjustment (138). Hence, when metacognitive judgment becomes exceedingly disrupted, the repetition of addictive behaviors may be heightened by an underestimation of addiction severity.

The tripartite view that includes three systems of IGD that emerges from this review is presented in Figure 1.

**DISCUSSION**

In this article, we reviewed the neurocognitive processes that may underlie presumed IGD. This is important as many young adults (but not all) lose the ability to resist the reward and pleasure from virtual gaming worlds. That is, for some heavy gamers, an inability to resist unreal rewards emerges, despite mounting monetary, social and performance losses leading to personal, familial, financial, professional, and legal negative consequences. This loss of control that is termed IGD, we argue, may be subserved by a tripartite network of brain systems.

Specifically, the review we provide in this paper suggests that the continuous engagement in videogame playing in IGD cases can be explained by increased automatic motivational response directed at gaming-related behaviors coupled with a lowered efficiency of impulse control and self-reflective processes, and that this imbalance may be further accentuated by abnormal interoceptive awareness processes. This tripartite view of the brain systems involved in addictive disorders (20) as applied to IGD cases here, has received support in various studies; albeit such studies have typically provided a disjointed view regarding the three involved systems. They specifically show that failure to self-control is associated with dysfunction of the impulsive and reflective brain systems (functionally and structurally) and that this dysfunction can be regulated by insular activity, the dysfunction of which can augment the imbalance between reflective and impulsive brain processes. The translation of interoceptive signals in the insula disrupted this balance by changes in somatic states that were aroused by addiction-related stimuli (videogame cues in our case). In addition, impairment in the interoceptive awareness system leads IGD cases to often ignore the negative effects of excessive playing. This increases the probability of relapse in IGD cases. Overall, online gaming provides many rewards to users and can have positive effects on many children (139). However, these same rewards can exploit brain deficits in the impulsive, reflective, and interceptive brain systems and create dysfunctions in learning, motivation, an assessment of the salience of a video game-related stimuli, to such an extent that the vulnerable individual develops addiction-like symptoms in relation to videogame playing.

Previous research has proposed several models of IGD, which are also in line with the framework we present here, but put different emphasis or ignore interoceptive awareness
processes. Davis (140) argued that there are differences between generalized pathological Internet use (GIU) and specific Internet use (SIU) and suggested a cognitive behavior model to explain such differences. According to this model, maladaptive cognition of the external environment drives a series of internal responses such as negative emotions and increases the use of specific rewarding application over the Internet (e.g., online gaming, pornography). This model provides support for the assumptions in our model as both allude to the idea that maladaptive cognitions may underlie IGD; our model points to brain regions that are likely involved in developing and maintaining such cognitions.

On the basis of this research, neurocognitive models have been developed and emphasized the importance of executive function in SIU (18). These overlap with regions we discussed: the VMPFC and dorsolateral lateral PFC are suggested to be most likely involved in development and maintenance of addictive use of Internet applications. Again, this model overlaps some aspects of our model, but our model puts stronger emphasis on interoceptive awareness processes. Similarly, Dong and Potenza (141) proposed a cognitive behavior model for IGD. The model contains three key cognitive domains of IGD: motivational drive and reward-seeking, behavioral control and executive control, and decision-making as related to the long-term negative consequence of current behavioral choices. This model also emphasizes the importance of seeking motivation and the state of craving, and suggests that the state of craving may contribute to the IGD process. This is similar to our model in terms of components but does not specifically focus on the regions involved in craving generation. Similarly, a process model called Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (1-PACE) suggests that addiction may result from increasing exposure to addiction-related cues and may involve deficits in the personal, affective, cognition, and execution domains. This model is also aligned with our neurocognitive model as personal, affective, cognition and execution domains can be mapped onto the tripartite view we present.

According to our review of neuro-cognitive studies, the dysfunction of brain structure and activations that sub-serves IGD may be similar to this in cases of substance and behavioral addictions. The impairment of the impulsive and reflective processes showed that IGD shares common mechanisms with substance addictions. They showed that prolonged excessive playing can be associated with structural and connectivity abnormalities in relevant brain regions. Importantly, such studies hint at ways through which IGD can be treated; though such approaches should be further examined in future research. First, several studies suggest the bupropion could reduce the craving and urge for video gaming (114, 142). This can be a viable treatment option, but future research should examine its efficacy given different profiles of comorbidity that are plausible in IGD cases.

Second, cognitive behavioral therapy has been most widely used for IGD treatment. It aims at moderating the impulsive processes or at boosting reflective resources such that IGD cases
learn to better cope with their inability to resist gaming. For instance, after recognize the inappropriateness of their behavior, IGD cases may learn to adjust their behavioral patterns and choices (1-43). Such approaches should also be further studied, especially since they assume relatively intact prefrontal brain regions. This seems to be the case in mild to medium addiction levels (28, 69), but in severe IGD cases, there may be abnormalities in prefrontal regions that will not allow successful cognitive behavioral therapy. This idea merits future research.
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