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Shortened cataract surgery by standardisation of the perioperative protocol according to the Joint Commission International accreditation: a retrospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To investigate the impact of standardisation of the perioperative protocol based on the Joint Commission International (JCI) accreditation guidelines for operating time in cataract surgery.

Design Retrospective observational study.

Setting Single centre in Japan.

Participants Between March 2014 and June 2016, 3127 patients underwent cataract surgery under topical anaesthesia including 2581 and 546 patients before and after JCI accreditation, respectively.

Primary and secondary outcomes We compared three time periods, comprising the preprocedure/surgery time (pre-PT), PT and post-PT, and total PT (TPT) of cataract surgery between patients before and after JCI accreditation, by regression analysis adjusted for age, sex and cataract surgery-associated confounders.

Results The main outcomes were pre-PT, PT, post-PT and TPT. Pre-PT (19.8±10.5 vs 13.9±8.5 min, p<0.001) and post-PT (3.5±4.6 vs 2.6±2.1 min, p<0.001) significantly decreased after JCI accreditation, while PT did not significantly change (16.8±6.7 vs 16.2±6.3 min, p=0.065). Consequently, TPT decreased on average by 7.3 min per person after JCI accreditation (40.1±13.4 vs 32.8±10.9 min, p<0.001). After adjusting for confounders, pre-PT (β=−5.82 min, 95% CI −6.75 to −4.88), PT (β=−0.76 min, 95% CI −1.34 to −1.17), post-PT (β=−0.85 min, 95% CI −1.24 to −0.45) and TPT (β=−7.43 min, 95% CI −8.61 to −6.24) were significantly shortened after JCI accreditation.

Conclusion Perioperative protocol standardisation, based on JCI accreditation, shortened TPT in cataract surgery under local anaesthesia.

INTRODUCTION

Cataract surgery is the most common intraocular surgery; worldwide population ageing has resulted in substantial growth of the number of patients eligible for cataract surgery. Cataract surgery is one of the most cost-effective surgical interventions and is important for hospital financial management as a profitable operating theatre. Therefore, it is essential to continuously review surgical techniques and practices regarding efficiency, decreasing costs and increasing safety in order to produce more reliable results for patients.

Cataract surgery is generally recognised as a safe and highly reproducible surgery. However, the recent focus on healthcare errors and safety supports performing cataract surgery from a patient safety perspective. The Joint Commission International (JCI) advocates for maintenance of patient safety, continuous improvement of the quality of practice and accrediting healthcare organisations in compliance with standards. The JCI requires continuous quality improvement...
for international patient safety goals (IPSGs), which are important issues concerning patient safety. The IPSGs help to confirm correct patient identification, encourage effective communication between patients and medical staff, improve the safety of high-alert medication administration and ensure safe surgeries (correct surgical site, procedures and patient for the surgery).8 JCI accreditation is expected to improve patient safety associated with surgical operations; however, there is concern that these changes may impair efficiency by prolonging operating room time with an excessive focus on patient safety. Previous studies have reported improved medication management during JCI accreditation7 9; however, there has been no study regarding the impact of IPSG procedures on operating room efficiency under topical anaesthesia with a large number of cases over a short period of time.10

In this study, we examined the impact of the standardisation of the perioperative protocol for cataract surgery on operating room efficiency by comparing relevant time periods, while maintaining the quality of patient care.

METHODS

Study design
We conducted a retrospective observational study between March 2014 and June 2016 at Juntendo University Hospital. The requirement for written informed consent was waived due to the retrospective observational nature of the study; patients could exclude themselves by using the opt-out method on our hospital website.

JCI accreditation
The Joint Commission is a US-based non-profit tax-exempt 501(c) organisation that accredits US healthcare organisations and programmes. Its international branch, named JCI, was established in 1998; JCI accredits medical services worldwide. Juntendo University Hospital was accredited by the JCI on 12 December 2015. For JCI accreditation, inspectors from the JCI visit and evaluate hospitals to observe hospital operations, conduct interviews and review medical documentation in order to determine whether hospitals meet compliance standards set forth by the JCI. The goal of JCI accreditation is to evaluate care, standardise hospital processes, provide education and promote quality improvement for the surveyed organisations.

Study period and participants
We identified patients who underwent cataract surgeries (phacoemulsification with intraocular lens implantation) under topical anaesthesia in Juntendo University Hospital between March 2014 and June 2016. We excluded combined cases, such as cataract extraction with trabeculectomy or anterior vitrectomy, to fairly compare operation times. Patients were divided into the two groups: a group before and a group after JCI accreditation.

Outcome measures
In Juntendo University Hospital, surgeons and nurses are required to computationally record the timing of the following events: the patient entered the surgical room, the surgery started and ended, and the patient was discharged from the surgical room. As performed in our previous studies11–15 we first defined total procedure/surgery time (TPT) as the duration between patient entrance to and discharge from the operating room. Then, we divided TPT into three specific time periods (figure 1): pre-PT, PT and post-PT. Pre-PT was defined as the time elapsed in minutes between patient entry to the operating room (patient in room) and the attachment of monitors, such as an ECG and blood pressure gauge, and sign in. PT was defined as the time elapsed in minutes between the start and end of surgery (the procedure/surgery start time to the procedure/surgery finish time (PF), respectively). Post-PT was defined as the time elapsed in minutes between PF and the time that the patient exited the room (patient out of room).

Figure 1 Glossary of time periods in the operating room under local anaesthesia. Time periods were divided into three intervals. Pre-PT, preprocedure/surgery time; PT, procedure/surgery time; post-PT, postprocedure/surgery time; TPT, total procedure/surgery time; PIR, patient in room; PST, procedure/surgery start time; PF, procedure/surgery finish; POR, patient out of room.
Analysis

Patient characteristics were compared between patients who underwent cataract surgery before and after JCI accreditation, by using the unpaired t-test for age, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and intraocular pressure (IOP) and the $X^2$ test for sex and the prevalence of complications associated with cataract surgery. We collected information on the complications associated with cataract surgery including posterior capsule rupture, Zonule of Zinn rupture, dropped nucleus, iris prolapse, continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis incomplete and capsule tear.

First, we crudely compared pre-PT, PT, post-PT and TPT between patients before and after JCI accreditation by using the unpaired t-test. Then, we conducted adjusted analyses with multivariable regression models, adjusting for age, sex, BCVA, IOP and complications associated with cataract surgery. Multicollinearity was investigated to determine which variables were to be included in the adjusted analyses. This was achieved by examining the bivariate correlations between all variables and calculating the variance inflation factors (VIF). VIF values greater than 2.5 are often considered to indicate multicollinearity. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using only the right eye of each patient.

Finally, as a post hoc descriptive analysis to detect the overall temporal trend during the study period, we plotted the monthly averages of pre-PT, PT, post-PT (online supplementary figure 1A–C) and TPT (figure 2).

All data were analysed with STATA V.15 (StataCorp).

Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in the research design and conception of this research study.

RESULTS

Characteristics of patients

A total of 3127 patients (mean age, 71.6 years old (IQR, 66–79 years); male sex, 44.1%) underwent cataract surgery under local anaesthesia at Juntendo University Hospital. Although the age and sex distributions were similar, BCVA and IOP were slightly, but significantly,

### Table 1  Patient characteristics

| Variables       | Before JCI | After JCI | P value | Total     |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
|                 | n=2581     | n=546     |         | n=3127    |
| Age, years (SD) | 71.6 (10.3)| 71.9 (10.6)| 0.477  | 71.6 (10.3)|
| Sex, no (%)     |            |           |         |           |
| Men             | 1138 (44.1)| 242 (44.3)| 0.925   | 1380 (44.1)|
| Women           | 1443 (55.9)| 304 (55.7)|         | 1747 (55.9)|
| BCVA, LogMAR (SD) | 0.34 (0.3) | 0.37 (0.4) | 0.040*  | 0.35 (0.3) |
| IOP, mm Hg (SD) | 14.0 (3.1) | 14.3 (3.2) | 0.015*  | 14.0 (3.1) |
| Complication, yes (%) | 72 (2.8) | 18 (3.3) | 0.483 | 90 (2.4) |

*P<0.05.

P values were calculated by using an unpaired t-test for age, BCVA and IOP, and by using the $X^2$ test for sex and complications. BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; IOP, intraocular pressure; JCI, Joint Commission International.
worse after JCI accreditation (table 1). The complication rate of cataract surgery did not significantly differ between the groups (online supplementary table 1).

Crude analysis
Table 2 compares time periods in the operating room between groups before and after JCI accreditation. The pre-PT (19.8±10.5 min vs 13.9±8.3 min, before vs after JCI, respectively, p<0.001) and post-PT (3.5±4.6 min vs 2.6±2.1 min, p<0.001) were significantly reduced after JCI accreditation. However, the PT was not significantly different between before and after JCI accreditation (16.8±6.7 min vs 16.2±6.3 min, p=0.065). Consequently, TPT was significantly reduced by an average of 7.3 min per patient after JCI accreditation (40.1±13.4 min vs 32.8±10.9 min, before vs after JCI, respectively, p<0.001).

Adjusted analysis
After adjusting for age, sex, BCVA, IOP and complications associated with cataract surgery in multivariable regression analysis, pre-PT (β=−5.82 min, 95% CI −6.75 to −4.88, p<0.001), PT (β=−0.76 min, 95% CI −1.34 to −0.17, p=0.011), post-PT (β=−0.85 min, 95% CI −1.24 to −0.45, p<0.001) and TPT (β=−7.43 min, 95% CI −8.61 to −6.24, p<0.001) were significantly shortened after JCI accreditation (table 3A–D). No severe multicollinearity was observed in the adjusted analysis (online supplementary table 2). Sensitivity analysis using only the right eye of each patient showed similar results with those presented in table 3 (online supplementary table 3).

Post hoc descriptive analysis of monthly changes in TPT
The monthly average of TPT considerably changed since October 2015, approximately 2 months before the JCI accreditation (12 December 2015) (figure 2). The results of pre-PT, PT and post-PT are shown in online supplementary figure 1.

DISCUSSION
Cataract surgery is an established minimally invasive and efficient surgical procedure. However, because of rising medical expenses and lack of healthcare workers caused by the ageing society, it is necessary to perform cataract surgery efficiently while maintaining quality of care. Therefore, it is important to analyse the efficiency of services to ensure effective use of finite medical resources. We explored the effect of standardisation of perioperative protocols in cataract surgery by using the transition to JCI accreditation.

Strategies for improving the utilisation rate of the surgical room are to increase the occupancy of the operating room by increasing the number of surgeries or to increase the economic efficiency by reducing the size of the operating room in accordance with the current number of surgeries. To increase the number of surgeries, it is important to shorten TPT and interval time between individual operations; reducing perioperative time (pre-PT and post-PT) would lead to shortening of TPT. In our previous study, we investigated the impact of JCI accreditation with patients who underwent surgery under general anaesthesia in all departments and showed that the patient safety and operating room efficiency can be compatible. In the case of cataract surgery, because there is a short time between patient entry to and exit from the operating room, it is necessary to perform patient confirmation, prepare for surgery and record the operation while caring for the patient, all within the short surgical time. Therefore, recording is frequently performed between high-priority tasks, and the recording time must be divided and dispersed. We showed that pre-PT and post-PT were shortened by the standardisation of the perioperative protocol at the point of entry to the operating room; Juntendo University Hospital has implemented surgical record sheets (invasive procedure safety checklist) in their electronic medical records to ensure adherence to IPSG standards (online supplementary table 4). In surgeries that involve a large number of cases in a short period of time, such as cataract surgeries, standardisation of records and tasks is important for increasing the efficiency of the operating room. A previous study reported that clarification of the group goal was effective for improving efficiency, indicating that the standardisation of perioperative protocols in Juntendo University Hospital has shortened the perioperative time by streamlining the process. Here, we revealed that, for a surgical procedure that cannot be shortened further, such as cataract surgery, improvement of non-surgical portions, such as preparation of surgery and communication among medical personnel,
is important for shortening the utilisation time of the operating room.

Additionally, we revealed that PT itself is shortened after JCI accreditation (table 3C), indicating that the thorough standardisation of the perioperative protocol positively influenced the preparation process for surgery and communication among medical staff, resulting in shortened PT. Our results showed that TPT decreased by an average of 7.3 min per patient. Since the average cataract operation time in our hospital is 16.7 min, shortening of cataract operation time by 7.3 min corresponds to a 43.7% reduction in the average cataract operation time; if we performed three cataract surgeries, the time saved would allow for one additional surgery. In addition, since the number of complications did not change before and after the JCI accreditation, standardisation of the perioperative protocol did not impair patient safety, while improving efficiency in operating room use. In this study, cataract surgery was selected to eliminate to the greatest possible extent the effect of different types of surgery.

Table 3  Adjusted operation time intervals

|       | Coefficient | SE  | P value  | 95% CI         |
|-------|-------------|-----|----------|----------------|
| **A** |             |     |          |                |
| Pre-PT|             |     |          |                |
| JCI accreditation, yes | −5.823 | 0.480 | <0.001*** | −6.765 to −4.882 |
| Sex, women (vs men) | 0.497 | 0.370 | 0.179 | −0.228 to 1.221 |
| Age, years | −0.026 | 0.018 | 0.144 | −0.061 to 0.009 |
| BCVA, LogMAR | −0.542 | 0.579 | 0.349 | −1.678 to 0.593 |
| IOP, mm Hg | −0.026 | 0.058 | 0.659 | −0.140 to 0.089 |
| Complication, yes | −0.338 | 1.084 | 0.755 | −2.463 to 1.788 |
| **B** |             |     |          |                |
| PT    |             |     |          |                |
| JCI accreditation, yes | −0.756 | 0.299 | 0.011*  | −1.342 to −0.171 |
| Sex, women (vs men) | −0.547 | 0.230 | 0.179 | −0.997 to −0.096 |
| Age, years | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0.003** | 0.011 to 0.055 |
| BCVA, LogMAR | 3.042 | 0.360 | <0.001*** | 2.336 to 3.748 |
| IOP, mm Hg | 0.004 | 0.036 | 0.908 | −0.067 to 0.075 |
| Complication, yes | 10.278 | 0.674 | <0.001*** | 8.956 to 11.599 |
| **C** |             |     |          |                |
| Post-PT|             |     |          |                |
| JCI accreditation, yes | −0.847 | 0.201 | <0.001*** | −1.241 to −0.454 |
| Sex, women (vs men) | 0.258 | 0.155 | 0.095 | −0.045 to 0.561 |
| Age, years | −0.005 | 0.007 | 0.487 | −0.020 to 0.009 |
| BCVA, LogMAR | −0.224 | 0.242 | 0.355 | −0.699 to 0.251 |
| IOP, mm Hg | −0.018 | 0.024 | 0.473 | −0.065 to 0.030 |
| Complication, yes | 0.353 | 0.453 | 0.437 | −0.536 to 1.242 |
| **D** |             |     |          |                |
| TPT   |             |     |          |                |
| JCI accreditation, yes | −7.427 | 0.605 | <0.001*** | −8.613 to −6.240 |
| Sex, women (vs men) | 0.208 | 0.466 | 0.655 | −0.705 to 1.121 |
| Age, years | 0.002 | 0.022 | 0.937 | −0.042 to 0.046 |
| BCVA, LogMAR | 2.275 | 0.730 | 0.002** | 0.844 to 3.706 |
| IOP, mm Hg | −0.039 | 0.074 | 0.595 | −0.183 to 0.015 |
| Complication, yes | 10.293 | 1.366 | <0.001*** | 7.615 to 12.971 |

*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Complications associated with cataract surgery included posterior capsule rupture, Zonule of Zinn rupture, dropped nucleus, iris prolapse, continuous curvilinear capsulorrhexis incomplete and capsule tear.

P values were calculated by using an unpaired t-test.

BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; IOP, intraocular pressure; JCI, Joint Commission International; pre-PT, preprocedure/surgery time; PT, procedure/surgical time; post-PT, postprocedure/surgery time; TPT, total procedure/surgery time.
but it is probable that improving the preparation process and the communication among medical staff by standardisation of the preoperative protocol would also be effective for shortening the operation time in other types of ophthalmic surgery including vitreous and glaucoma surgeries.

Since IPSG measures may have affected clinical practice gradually, simply comparing surgical time intervals before and after JCI accreditation cannot accurately determine the effect of introducing JCI standards. Therefore, we conducted a trend analysis, as shown in figure 2; notably, time intervals sharply declined in advance of the accreditation date. This indicates that the continuous standardisation of IPSGs in Juntendo University Hospital was gradually introduced during preparation for the JCI accreditation, implying that the focus of the medical staff changed within a few months. Fostering IPSGs in the effort for the JCI accreditation increased efficiency of cataract operation time and added value to our hospital as a profit centre.

There were several limitations in this study. First, since this study was conducted at a single university hospital, the generalisability of our findings may be limited. Depending on the size of a hospital and its current practice, the impact of standardisation on the perioperative protocol for cataract surgery may differ. Second, we did not assess the influence of the surgeon level and/or clinical experience of the surgeons and nurses. However, based on the number of complications, we suspect that the influence of individual surgeon level and job experience on operation time did not substantially change between before and after the JCI accreditation. In addition, time is required to train surgeons and medical professionals, whereas standardisation of the perioperative protocol can be introduced with little time investment.

In conclusion, we investigated the impact of JCI accreditation and implementation of standardised procedures on time periods in the operating room. Pre-PT and post-PT were significantly shortened; thus, TPT was significantly reduced after implementing IPSGs. Therefore, we conclude that the improvement of patient safety by standardisation of the preoperative protocols can also improve the efficiency of surgery under topical anaesthesia.
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