Non-equilibrium scale invariance and shortcuts to adiabaticity in a one-dimensional Bose gas
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We present experimental evidence for scale invariant behaviour of the excitation spectrum in phase-fluctuating quasi-1d Bose gases after a rapid change of the external trapping potential. Probing density correlations in free expansion, we find that the temperature of an initial thermal state scales with the spatial extension of the cloud as predicted by a model based on adiabatic rescaling of initial eigenmodes with conserved quasiparticle occupation numbers. Based on this result, we demonstrate that shortcuts to adiabaticity for the rapid expansion or compression of the gas do not induce additional heating.

A systematic understanding of non-equilibrium dynamics in many-body quantum systems is a longstanding goal, with far-reaching applicability for many different fields of physics. Ultracold atom experiments offer clean implementations of systems that are tunable, well isolated from the environment and theoretically tractable¹,². In particular, the profound understanding available for the one-dimensional (1d) Bose gas makes it an ideal test bed for quantum many-body dynamics³.

Tunable parameters in the system’s Hamiltonian allow the controlled preparation of non-equilibrium states⁴–⁷. The identification of characteristic scaling laws is an important step for the concise description of the subsequent dynamical processes. Of particular importance are laws governing not only global parameters⁸–¹⁰ but ideally the full spectrum of excitations, as studied in recent experiments with 2d Bose¹¹,¹² or Tonks-Girardeau gases¹³,¹⁴.

Recent work¹⁵ has shown that a general scaling property of many-body wavefunctions holds exactly for a broad class of systems, including the weakly interacting 1d Bose gas addressed in this Letter. The existence of such a scaling solution is a consequence of a dynamical symmetry of the underlying Hamiltonian. For an ultracold gas, fast changes of control parameters in the Hamiltonian generally lead to quasiparticle production and heating¹⁶.

The existence of a scaling solution for the full spectrum of quasiparticle modes implies that so-called shortcuts to adiabaticity (STA)¹⁷ can be engineered not only for the mean density profile of a 1d gas, but also for correlation properties of the system in certain regimes of interaction strength¹⁸,¹⁹.

We show in this work that the scaling solutions for a true many-body wavefunction have their counterpart in the hydrodynamic regime of our experimental system. We bring our system out of equilibrium by rapidly changing its longitudinal confinement. The subsequent system evolution gives insight into the scaling properties of the gas. This allows us to study the regimes and limits of such a manipulation, with an emphasis on STA schemes. We furthermore demonstrate for the first time that STA schemes are valid for the second-order correlation, and thereby the temperature, of weakly interacting 1d Bose gases.

Results and Discussion

In our experiments, we investigate the scaling solutions of hydrodynamic equations and how they can be applied for the rapid control of the complete wavefunction of a many-body quantum system.

We start with a single quasicondensate of several thousand¹⁷ Rb atoms in an elongated trap on an atom chip²⁰. The initial temperatures are set between 50 nK and 150 nK and linear densities range between 50 atoms/µm and 200 atoms/µm. Axially, the cloud is deeply in the Thomas-Fermi regime. Radially, the gas is described by an interaction-broadened ground state wavefunction²¹–²₃. For these parameters, both the chemical potential and the average thermal energy per particle fulfill the condition \( \mu k_B T \leq h \omega_r \), where \( h \omega_r \) denotes the radial level spacing of the trap with frequency \( \omega_r \), so that scattering into radial excited states is strongly suppressed and an effective 1d system is realized²⁴–²₆. After evaporative cooling, we keep an RF-shield 12 kHz above the trap bottom.
In the Thomas-Fermi regime, the density profile exhibits self-similar scaling described by

\[ n(z,t) = \left( \frac{n_0}{b} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{R_0^2 b^2} \right) \Theta \left( 1 - \frac{|z|}{R_0 b} \right), \]

with a time-dependent scale factor \( b(t) = R(t)/R_0 \). Here, \( R_0 \) and \( n_0 \) denote the initial Thomas-Fermi radius and peak density, respectively.

Figure 1 | Time-dependent potentials on an atom chip. (a) The current ratio between a central Z-shaped wire and two U-shaped control wires allows us to precisely tune the trap geometry. For a symmetric current flow, the trap minimum is positioned below the center of the Z-wire, with the long trap axis aligned to the horizontal direction. (b) 2d cut through the trapping potentials for \( I_Z = 2A, I_U = 0A \) and (c) \( I_Z = 1.5A, I_U = 1A \) at a constant external Bias field of \( B = 26G \), respectively. (d,e) Cuts through the radial trap minimum of the same potentials to show the axial trap deformation.

Figure 2 | Temperature evolution following a quench. Black circles: temperatures measured from density correlations in free expansion. Dashed lines: scaling law taking into account heating as described by the expression \( h(t) \) discussed in the methods section, fitted for effective rates for each dataset. Blue triangles, purple circles and red squares: thermometry with density correlations in free expansion. Data points correspond to an average of autocorrelations over 350 density profiles integrated from pictures as depicted here. (a) Quench time \( \tau = 10 \) ms, atom number \( N = 10^3 \pm 10^3 \), heating rate \( x \cdot T(0) = 0.54 \) nK/ms. Inset: thermometry with density correlations in free expansion. Data points correspond to an average of autocorrelations over 350 density profiles integrated from pictures as depicted here. (b) \( \tau = 10 \) ms, \( N = 10^5 \pm 10^5 \), heating rate \( x \cdot T(0) = 0.28 \) nK/ms. (c) \( \tau = 30 \) ms, \( N = 10^5 \pm 10^5 \), \( x \cdot T(0) = 0.55 \) nK/ms.
**Figure 3 | Temperature as a power law of the scaling factor.** Main figure: datasets presented in figure 2(a) (blue triangles), 2(b) (purple circles) and 2(c) (red squares), respectively, recast in units of the initial temperature as a function of the scaling factor. Inset: data in absolute units. Vertical error bars are standard errors resulting from a bootstrapping method as applied in ref. 30. Horizontal error bars correspond to the error of measured cloud widths, normalised to the initial width. Dash-dotted line: power law fit to the data, with the lightly shaded area representing the fit’s 95% confidence bounds. Black line: scaling model. Dark shaded area: classical field simulation with 120 sets of stochastic initial conditions generated by a SGPE. The plotted data is normalised to the initial width. Dash-dotted line: power law fit to the data, with the lightly shaded area representing the fit’s 95% confidence bounds.

\[ T(t) = T(0) b^{-3/2} \]  

(7)

The density correlations in free expansion that our thermometry scheme relies on are governed by the coherence function. For a thermal state with homogeneous density, as realised in the vicinity of the cloud center, it has the form\[^{20,32}\]:

\[ g^{(1)}(z,0) \approx n(z,0) \exp \left( -\frac{m k_b T(z)}{2 n(z,0) \hbar^2} \right), \]  

(8)

where \( n(z,0) \) denotes the density at time \( t=0 \) and \( k_b \) the Boltzmann constant. Based on our model, the coherence function is expected to scale as

\[ \hat{g}(z,t) \approx \frac{n(z,0)}{b} \exp \left[ -\frac{\sqrt{m k_b T(0)/2n(z,0)\hbar^2}}{b} + \frac{imb}{2b^2} z^2 \right]. \]  

(9)

Figure 3 summarizes the first central result of our experiments: The inset shows absolute temperatures plotted against measured Thomas-Fermi radii. If the measured temperatures are scaled to

\[ \Theta \text{ is the Heaviside function and } z \text{ represents the axial coordinate. The scale factor obeys an Ermakov-like equation}^{31} \]

\[ \ddot{b} + \omega_0^2(t)b = \frac{\omega_0(0)^2}{b^3}. \]  

(2)

Using the rescaled mean-field density (1), we can write the linearised hydrodynamic equations for density and velocity fluctuations \( \delta n \) and \( \delta v \), disregarding the quantum pressure term, as

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta n + \frac{b}{b} \left( \delta n + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \delta n \right) = -\frac{n_0}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ 1 - \frac{z^2}{R_0^2 b^2} \right] \delta v, \]  

(3)

and

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta v + \frac{b}{b} \left( \delta v + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \delta n \right) = -\frac{G}{m b} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \delta n. \]  

(4)

To solve these equations we introduce an ansatz of rescaled eigenmodes for density and phase fluctuations. This approach yields a set of uncoupled equations and hence no mixing of modes, finally predicting an adiabatic time evolution of the corresponding occupation numbers. For a thermal state, the initial phonon occupation numbers are given by a Bose distribution

\[ N(t=0) = \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{h n_0(0)}{k_B T(0)} \right) - 1}. \]  

(5)

Adiabaticity results in a constant ratio \( \omega_l(t)/T(t) = \omega_l(0)/T(0) \). The spectrum at \( t=0 \) is given by\[^{32}\]
the initial temperature and plotted against the scale parameter \( b(t) = R(t)/R_0 \), the datasets collapse onto a single line. This illustrates a scaling behaviour that is universal in sense that it is independent of absolute temperature, density or quench time. To validate our results we furthermore performed numerical simulations based on a stochastic Gross-Pitaevskii equation (SGPE)\(^{33-36} \), showing excellent agreement with the scaling model (fig. 3).

So far, we considered the dynamics induced by a linear ramp of the trapping potential. In the following, we demonstrate the conservation of phonon occupation numbers during shortcuts to adiabaticity\(^{31,18,17} \) for the rapid expansion and compression of a 1d quasi-BEC. To implement these shortcuts, we make use of an optimal control approach that is in spirit similar to the method proposed in ref. 37. We numerically solve the time-dependent 1d GPE with a suitable parametrisation of the trap which is subject to a global optimization procedure based on a genetic algorithm\(^{38,39} \). The ramp speed is limited by the requirement of adiabaticity in the transverse degree of freedom. This constraint also guarantees that the gas remains in the 1d hydrodynamic regime, and that the interaction strength varies slowly with time. The properties of the ultracold gas therefore remain consistent with the conditions necessary for the validity of the microscopic scaling laws\(^{14} \) throughout the ramp.

The upper panel in figure 4 shows a comparison between simulation and experiment for a linear and a shortcut ramp performing a decompression within 30 ms from a trap with frequencies \( \omega_a^0 = 2\pi \times 11.5 \text{ Hz} \) and \( \omega_r^0 = 2\pi \times 764 \text{ Hz} \) to \( \omega_a^f = 2\pi \times 7 \text{ Hz} \) and \( \omega_r^f = 2\pi \times 1262 \text{ Hz} \). The subsequent dynamics is observed...
throughout a period of 170 ms, each picture taken after a short free expansion time of 5 ms, showing excellent agreement with simulations. It is interesting to note that our shortcut ramps are similar to theoretical results derived from a counter-diabatic driving method reported recently\(^1\). For the STA, we expect an adiabatic state change, defined by \( T/T_0 = \omega_0/\omega_0'\). The temperature measurements, corrected for the measured heating rate, are in good agreement with the adiabatic prediction of \( T/T_0 = 0.609\) for the implemented decompression shortcut, confirming that there is no additional heating during the applied procedure.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have characterised the temperature of the phonon ensemble in a breathing quasi-1d Bose gas for different initial conditions, and used it to test the predicted dynamical scale invariance in the excitation spectrum of a quasi-1d Bose gas. Following these scaling laws, we have experimentally demonstrated rapid adiabatic expansion and compression of a 1d Bose gas in the hydrodynamic regime, allowing fast transformation of the trapped cloud without additional heating.

Our work is only the beginning for studies of many-body scaling solutions and shortcuts to adiabaticity. The existence of scaling solutions has been proposed for a large class of cold atom systems\(^2\). In principle, this opens up the interesting possibility to apply the techniques applied here to a variety of settings, such as fermionic systems or the 1d Bose gas with intermediate or strong interactions. We expect that studying the effect of quasiparticle interactions on the implementation of shortcuts to adiabaticity will shed new light on the complex many-body dynamics in these systems, in addition to providing novel tools for their controlled manipulation.

We expect that such extensions to studies in regimes of greater interaction strength, and to systems out of thermal equilibrium, will benefit from the tools presented in this work.

**Methods**

**Condensate preparation and detection.** We employ standard cooling and magnetic trapping techniques\(^3\) to prepare ultracold quasi-one-dimensional samples of \( 87\)Rubidium atoms in the \( |F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle \) state on an atom chip\(^4,5\). Atom chips feature microfabricated wire structures to create fields for atom trapping and manipulation\(^6\). The structures used in our experiments are produced by masked vapor deposition of a 2 \( \mu\)m gold layer on a silicon substrate, with a width of both trapping and control wires of 200 \( \mu\)m. For detection, we employ resonant absorption imaging\(^7\) using a high quantum-efficiency CCD camera (Andor iKon-M 934 BR-DD) and a diffraction-limited optical imaging system characterised by an Airy radius of 4.5 \( \mu\)m. The RF shield at 12 kHz above the bottom of the trap is used to limit the number of atoms in the thermal background cloud populating transverse excited states of the trap, which would otherwise adversely affect our thermometry scheme by reduction of interference contrast in free expansion.

**Characterization of the breathing mode.** We characterise the breathing mode excited by a linear trap frequency ramp from \( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 12.1 \) Hz to \( 2\pi \times 8.2 \) Hz, and \( \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 630 \) Hz to \( 2\pi \times 990 \) Hz, respectively, in figure 5. As an example, the upper panel shows the time evolution of the cloud radius after a ramp with duration \( \tau = 12.5 \) ms. Fitting data as presented here allows us to extract frequencies, damping rates and amplitudes of the breathing mode. The frequency \( \omega_0 \) is influenced by the total atom number in the trap, and is expected to vary with the axial trap frequency between \( \omega_0/\omega_0 = 5\) in the 1d limit, and \( \omega_0/\omega_0 = 2.5\) representing the elongated 3d regime\(^8\). The amplitude strongly depends on the duration and shape of the trap frequency ramp. The lower panel in figure 5 shows a comparison of measured breathing amplitudes for different ramp times between 2 ms and 100 ms with results calculated with a 1d Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE), taking into account corrections to the interaction term relevant in the 1d/3d crossover regime\(^9\), and shows good agreement in the chosen parameter range.

**Thermometry.** In this work we use the thermometry scheme proposed and demonstrated in ref. 28,27 based on the analysis of density correlations in freely expanding phase-fluctuating quasi-1d condensates and comparison with numerically calculated density profiles\(^10\). Breathing contributes a velocity field characterized by the derivative of the scale parameter \( b\), leading to an additional axial compression or expansion of the density profile during free expansion. This effect can be accounted for by an additional phase factor

\[
\Psi(z,t) = \tilde{\Psi}(z) \exp \left( \frac{im b}{2ib} \int^t \frac{dz'}{b^2} \right)
\]

in the numerics, where \( b \) and \( \tilde{b} \) are determined by fits to the measured breathing oscillations. The error on the temperature measurements is estimated by a bootstrapping method as outlined in ref. 30.

**Derivation of the temperature scaling.** The general conditions for the existence of a scaling solution are stated in reference\(^14\). For the 1d Bose gas, they are fulfilled in the presence of contact interactions, as well as a harmonic, linear or vanishing axial trapping potential. Given that our system is a 1d quasicondensate, and the trapping potential is harmonic, we can derive the corresponding hydrodynamic scaling relations for correlation functions. Our starting point is the self-similar scaling of the density profile:

\[
m(z,t) = \frac{n_0}{b} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{R_{b0}^2} \right) \Theta \left( 1 - \frac{|z|}{R_{b0}} \right)
\]

(11)

\( \Theta \) denotes the Heaviside function, \( R_0 \) the initial Thomas-Fermi radius and \( b \) the scale parameter. Similar to the discussion of the corresponding equilibrium problem\(^32\), a scaling solution in terms of eigenmodes for density and velocity fluctuations \( \delta n \) and \( \delta v \) can be formulated as

\[
\delta n = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P_l(\hat{z}) A_l \cos \eta_l
\]

(12)

and

\[
\delta v = -\frac{1}{b} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{g}{mR_{b0}(0) \omega_0} \hat{P}_l(\hat{z}) A_l \sin \eta_l,
\]

(13)

with the Legendre polynomials \( P_l(\hat{z}) \), the interaction constant \( g \), rescaled coordinates \( x \equiv x/R \equiv z/\hat{R}(b) \), and time-dependent amplitudes \( A_l(\hat{z}, \omega_0, \eta_l) \). The frequency of the oscillation between the quadratures of the mode \( l \) is given by

\[
\omega_0(l) = \omega_0(0) b^{-1/2}.
\]

Substituting \( \delta n \) and \( \delta v \) into the linearised Euler equations

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta n + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}} \delta v + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} \delta n = -\frac{n_0}{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{R_{b0}^2} \right) \delta v
\]

(14)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} \delta v + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} \delta v + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{z}} \delta v = -\frac{g}{mR_{b0}} \delta n,
\]

(15)

where we have disregarded the quantum pressure term, yields
Therefore the relation between initial and time-dependent modes reads

$$\delta\phi(z,t) = \int \frac{m}{\hbar^2} \varphi(z/\lambda_1) \sin \eta z \, \sin \eta t,$$

where

$$\delta\psi = \frac{g}{m \rho_0 \omega_0} \int \frac{d}{r} \rho_1(z), \quad A_1 = \lambda_1(0)/(\hbar R/R)^{1/4}.$$
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