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Abstract: The introduction of green supply chain coordination management is an important strategy for battery manufacturing firms that can effectively grow the Green Industrialization Movement and encourage resource recycling. First, this paper builds a collaborative management model to analyze the efficiency of the battery manufacturing business from the internal and external supply chain, based on the supply chain principle, in order to enhance the performance of the green supply chain well. After that, this paper makes an empirical study of the productive and green system efficiency of the green supply chain of companies. Since TIANNENG is the largest electric bicycle company in China, we take it as an example here. The results show that the overall green output of Chinese industrial companies in the field of electric bicycle batteries is strong, but with obvious fluctuations. The internal green output is reasonably constant, and change requires new power; the external green performance fluctuates heavily. The findings also show that industrial battery companies can increase the level of collection and recycling of waste, improve the efficiency of recovery and reuse of residual heat, and improve the organization of the green supply chain.
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1 Introduction

Battery industrial companies in China have developed rapidly, which has formed a Pearl River Delta, Yangtze River Delta, Central Plains Economic Zone and Beijing Tianjin Hebei region-based battery industry gathering area. Driven by demand, domestic power battery enterprises continue to grow rapidly and expand their capacity in 2017. According to the data of China chemical and physical power industry association, the power battery capacity in 2016 is about 101GWh, and the shipments are only 30GWh. The total capacity of power battery enterprises in 2017 is more than 230GWh, and the oversupply of capacity is serious. The projects about investment expansion and mergers in lithium battery and its upstream material were up to 143 from 2016 to June in 2017. In the background of the reduction of profit space, battery industrial companies have to improve competitiveness by improving the green efficiency and changing the development mode. The research on battery industrial companies for foreign scholars mainly concentrates on agility level capacity upgrading [1-4], shareholder performance management [4], environmental technology improvement [5-7] and so on. Domestic scholars have mainly carried out research on battery manufacturing enterprise waste battery recovery path [4,6], technology [10], logistics resource optimization configuration [11,12] and so on. The domestic research on green enterprise efficiency is concentrated in the industry of steel [13,14], coal mine [15] and photovoltaic power generation [16]. The research on green efficiency of battery industrial companies needs to be further studied.

Taking the green supply chain of the battery manufacturing enterprise as the research object, the paper constructs the green supply chain cooperative management model of the battery manufacturing enterprise from the internal and external supply chain of the core enterprise. By setting up the evaluation system and using the method of catastrophe series appraisal, the paper takes the largest electric bicycle enterprise in China as a case, and makes an empirical analysis of the collaboration performance of the green supply chain. As taking TIANNENG as example, the overall green performance including internal and external supply chain is well, but with obvious fluctuation. The internal green performance is more stable than the external green performance which fluctuates fiercely. Both internal and external green performance need new power to get improvement.

2 Construction of green supply chain collaborative management mode for battery industrial companies

The green supply chain management of battery industrial companies can be divided into two modules: internal supply chain management and external supply chain management.

The internal green supply chain management refers to the management of the flow of goods within the battery manufacturing enterprise. From the purchase of raw materials to the factory, the process of packaging, storage, transportation and recycling is processed into semi-finished products through multiple processes. The external green supply chain management refers to the green industrial chain network formed by the battery manufacturing enterprise, chemical industry, battery manufacturing industry and other related industries from upstream and downstream.

2.1 Analysis of green supply chain management module in battery industrial companies

In this module, the waste produced by each process in the battery production process is collected, sorted and transported directly to the corresponding production processes for recycling and reuse. These processes are mainly wet pretreatment and fire smelting process, recycling and treating waste batteries, reducing heavy metal pollution and increasing the recycling rate of waste batteries.

2.2 Analysis of external green supply chain management module for battery industrial companies
In this module, the residual heat and surplus energy recovery in the battery production process are mainly involved. The green supply chain, which belongs to the recycling and utilization of metal wastes, includes: blast furnace slag--industry chain of building material and brick making, sulphur--chemical sulphuric acid industry chain, and fly ash --industrial chain of cement and rail aluminum alloy. The green supply chain, which belongs to the two energy recovery and utilization includes: hot water and steam--industrial chain of residential water use, blast furnace gas and converter gas--power generation industry chain, and desulphurization lead paste--sodium sulfate crystal industrial chain.

2.3 The green supply chain collaborative management analysis of battery industrial companies

The green supply chain collaborative management of battery industrial companies integrates the internal green supply chain management module with the external green supply chain management module. Collecting, testing and classifying waste and redundant energy generated by battery industrial companies. The valuable waste recycling will be used in each process of the battery manufacturing, and the valuable two energy sources will be regenerated through the external supply chain network.

3 Evaluation method of synergy effect of green supply chain in battery industrial companies

3.1 Index system

The green supply chain effect of battery industrial companies includes two aspects. One aspect is the evaluation of internal green supply chain effect, which is mainly evaluated from the perspective of internal energy consumption of industrial companies. By analyzing the energy consumption of natural gas, water, electricity and other aspects, we evaluate the energy efficiency of industrial companies in the process of production, processing and waste recycling. The other aspect is the evaluation of external green supply chain effect, which is mainly evaluated from the perspective of external waste discharge from industrial companies. By analyzing the data of atmospheric emissions and water pollution emissions, the recycling efficiency of waste heat and surplus energy in manufacturing process is evaluated. The total index of the evaluation is decomposed into many levels, which is arranged into a hierarchical structure of tree like target, from the evaluation index to the lower index, and gradually decomposed to the lowest layer index. After the evaluation index is determined, the index with the important degree is placed in front of the same attribute and the same level index, and the relatively minor indicators are placed behind. The related evaluation index system of green supply chain management synergy effect of pool industrial companies is shown in table 1.

| Table 1 Evaluation index system of green supply chain management synergy effect in battery industrial companies |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| First level index | Secondary index | Three level index |
| A Total performance | B1 Internal green performance | C1 Electricity consumption |
| | | C2 Use of natural gas |
| | | C3 Water consumption |
| B2 External green performance | | C4 Emission of air pollutants |
| | | C5 Discharge of water pollutants |

3.2 Evaluation method

In this paper, we use the catastrophe progression method to evaluate the internal and external performance of green supply chain management in battery industrial companies. The existing methods of evaluating the performance of green supply chain are analytic hierarchy process, factor analysis, fuzzy evaluation and so on. However, some methods are more subjective or too complicated to determine the weight. The main characteristic of the variable series method is that it first decomposes the overall objective of the system. The main characteristic of catastrophe progression method is to decompose the overall objective of the system at different levels. By using the mutation fuzzy membership function, the normalized formula is integrated and quantized. Finally, it is normalized as a parameter to evaluate it. Catastrophe evaluation method does not use weights to index, but it considers the relative importance of each evaluation index, and combines qualitative and quantitative analysis. This method can reduce the interference of subjective factors, and can accurately analyze and evaluate the internal and external collaborative performance of green supply chain.

(1) determine the type of catastrophe system at all levels

There are three types of catastrophe systems involved in multi-objective decision making models. For the cusp catastrophe system, its model is \( f(x) = x^4 + ux^2 + vx \). For the swallowtail catastrophe system, its model is \( f(x) = \frac{1}{5}x^5 + \frac{1}{3}ux^3 + \frac{1}{2}vx + wx \). For the butterfly mutation system, its model is \( f(x) = \frac{1}{6}x^6 + \frac{1}{4}ux^4 + \frac{1}{3}vx^3 + \frac{1}{2}wx^2 + tx \). In the above mutation models, \( X \) represents a state variable in the catastrophe system.

\( f(x) \) is potential functions for state variables \( x, u, v, w, t \) represent the control variable of the state variable. The state variables and control variables of the system potential function are two contradictory aspects. The control variables interact to form a contradiction, and the main control variables are written in the front, and the secondary control variables are written in the back.

If an index can be decomposed into 2 sub-indexes, the system can be regarded as a cusp catastrophe system. If an index can be decomposed into 3 sub-indexes, the system can be regarded as a dovetail catastrophe system. If an index can be decomposed into 4 sub-indexes, the system can be regarded as a butterfly catastrophe system.
(2) the normalization formula

The potential function of the set of catastrophic system is \( f(x) \). According to catastrophe theory, all critical point sets are used to synthesize balanced surfaces. Its equation is obtained by derivation of the first derivative of \( f(x) \), that is \( f(x') = 0 \). Its singularity set is obtained by finding the two-order derivative of \( f(x) \), that is \( f(x'') = 0 \). By removing \( x \) from \( f(x') = 0 \) and \( f(x'') = 0 \), the bifurcation point equations of the catastrophe system are obtained. The bifurcation point set equation shows that when the control variables satisfy this equation, the system will mutate. For a cusp catastrophe system, the phase space is three-dimensional, and \( f(x') = 0 \) is obtained. That is, the balanced surface \( M \) is given by \( 4x^3 + 2ux + v = 0 \), and the singularity set is a subset of the \( M \) satisfying the equation \( 12x^2 + 2u = 0 \). \( X \) is eliminated by two equations, and \( 8x^3 + 27v = 0 \). The split point set is found, and its decomposition form is \( u = -6x^2, v = 8x^2 \). The following normalized formula can be obtained as a mutation fuzzy membership function, such as \( x_i = u^{1/2}, x_i = v^{1/3}, x_i = w^{1/4} \). Butterfly catastrophe bifurcation point set equation is obtained. \( u = -6x^2, v = 8x^2, w = -3x^4 \). The normalization formula is \( x_i = u^{1/2}, x_i = v^{1/3}, x_i = w^{1/4} \). Butterfly catastrophe bifurcation point set equation is \( u = -10x^2, v = 20x^4, t = 4x^5 \). The normalization formula is as follows: \( x_i = u^{1/2}, x_i = v^{1/3}, x_i = w^{1/4} \).

(3) comprehensive evaluation by using the normalization formula

The normalization formula transforms the different qualities of the control variables into the same state, that is to say, the control variables are unified into the qualitative state expressed by the state variables. When the control variable calculates each state variable value by using the normalization formula, the \( X \) value calculated by each control variable corresponding to the variable is used in the principle of "large and medium small" or the average value. If the control variables of the system cannot be made up to each other, the smallest one in the values corresponding to the control variables, that is \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \), is the \( X \) value of the whole system, that is, "large and medium". Only in this way can we satisfy the bifurcation set equation and change qualitatively. The average value of the control variables \( u, v, w, t \) and the corresponding \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \) can be obtained when the various control variables of the system can complement each other and make the \( X \) values higher.

4 Case analysis of green supply chain management in battery industrial companies

4.1 current situation of green supply chain management in Henan Tian Neng Group

Tian Neng group (Henan) Energy Technology Co., Ltd. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Tian Neng group. The company mainly manufactures electric bicycles, traction batteries, electric cars, electric tricycles and other batteries. Its production and sales are in the leading position in the same industry throughout the country, covering the main area of North China. As the enterprise attaches great importance to the reuse of resources and the energy saving and emission reduction, the cooperative management system of green supply chain has been set up gradually, and two aspects are analyzed from the internal and external green supply chain management respectively. The internal green supply chain management of Tian Neng group (Henan) Energy Technology Co., Ltd. is mainly reflected in the innovation of green technology, reducing energy consumption and increasing the comprehensive recovery and utilization rate. The use of easy dismantling or destruction of the link method, standardized components, reduce the battery manufacturing process of natural gas, electricity, water energy input.

The external green supply chain management of Tian Neng group (Henan) Energy Technology Co., Ltd. is based on Henan Tian Neng group. The enterprise is in deep cooperation with the upstream raw materials procurement, transportation, warehousing and other enterprises, as well as the downstream electric bicycle, electric vehicle, golf car industry, solar energy storage battery and other enterprises. The green supply chain management of Tian Neng group mainly displays in two aspects. First, the recycling of the resources. Through the transformation of acid mist recovery system, 74 charging frames are equipped, and 2 sets of chain plate conveyor belt, 12 sets of cold acid system and 3 battery washing dryer are used. The precipitated acid mist is condensed into the acid mist collection reflux, and the acid mist is reduced by reflux to the battery. Second, the advanced waste gas treatment equipment and emission reduction equipment will make effective use of waste gas resources. The technology of "filtration + water spray + high efficiency filter" is adopted to reduce the emission of smoke and dust. Using resin exchange and reverse osmosis membrane technology to improve the recovery rate of reclaimed water. The annual discharge of waste water is reduced by 346 thousand tons, the amount of lead discharged by waste water is reduced by 61.9 kilograms, the amount of exhaust emission is reduced by 69 million 240 thousand cubic meters, and the emission of lead is reduced by 110.78 kilograms.

4.2 Henan TianNeng group's green supply chain management synergy effect evaluation

Before the internal and external green supply chain efficiency is evaluated, the raw data need to be processed without quantity. In this paper, the data of Henan Tian Neng group are standardized by \( x' = \frac{x - \min}{\max - \min} \). After standardization, the value is between \((0, 1)\). Taking Henan TianNeng 2016 data as an example, \( C_1 \) is monthly use of electricity, \( C_2 \) is monthly...
gas use, \( c_3 \) is monthly water consumption, \( c_4 \) is monthly atmospheric emission, \( c_5 \) is COD emission, and \( c_6 \) is ammonia nitrogen emission. The monthly standardized data and evaluation indexes of Henan TianNeng group are shown in Table 2.

| Month | Electricity consumption \( c_1 \) | Natural gas consumption \( c_2 \) | Water consumption \( c_3 \) | Lead emission \( c_4 \) | COD emission \( c_5 \) | Ammonia nitrogen \( c_6 \) |
|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1     | 0.6284           | 0.6413           | 0.7442           | 0.3270          | 0.3989          | 1.0000           |
| 2     | 0.4316           | 0.4974           | 0.8536           | 0.3059          | 0.2066          | 0.7799           |
| 3     | 0.6078           | 0.6031           | 0.6612           | 0.3270          | 0.1107          | 0.2205           |
| 4     | 0.6681           | 0.5954           | 0.7410           | 0.3270          | 0.0918          | 0.4872           |
| 5     | 0.7059           | 0.7674           | 0.7519           | 0.3270          | 0.1387          | 0.1499           |
| 6     | 0.6178           | 0.4789           | 1.0000           | 0.3270          | 0.1338          | 0.2721           |
| 7     | 0.8444           | 0.5270           | 0.8765           | 1.0000          | 0.2508          | 0.0687           |
| 8     | 0.8871           | 0.6637           | 0.6965           | 1.0000          | 1.0000          | 0.4902           |
| 9     | 0.8871           | 0.6319           | 0.5982           | 1.0000          | 0.1800          | 0.1475           |
| 10    | 0.9132           | 0.7492           | 0.6926           | 0.4255          | 0.1898          | 0.6860           |
| 11    | 1.0000           | 0.7294           | 0.3954           | 0.4255          | 0.3535          | 0.8175           |
| 12    | 0.9218           | 1.0000           | 0.6244           | 0.4255          | 0.2887          | 0.3657           |

Table 3 results of performance evaluation of green supply chain in Henan Tian Neng group in 2016

| Month | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Internal green performance | 0.8613 | 0.8035 | 0.8421 | 0.8622 | 0.8956 | 0.8561 | 0.8981 | 0.9092 | 0.8931 | 0.9254 | 0.8977 | 0.9497 |
| External green performance | 0.7693 | 0.6947 | 0.5791 | 0.6195 | 0.5706 | 0.6018 | 0.7794 | 0.9456 | 0.7281 | 0.7123 | 0.7701 | 0.6821 |
| Green performance | 0.9163 | 0.8856 | 0.8335 | 0.8525 | 0.8294 | 0.8443 | 0.9203 | 0.9535 | 0.8996 | 0.8931 | 0.9188 | 0.8803 |

Among them, \( c_1 \); \( c_2 \) and \( c_3 \) constitute the swallowtail catastrophe model. According to the complementary principle, there are: \( x_{b_1} = \frac{(x_{c_1}^{1/3} + x_{c_2}^{1/3} + x_{c_3}^{1/3})}{3} = 0.861 \), \( x_{b_2} = 0.803 \), \( x_{b_3} = 0.842 \), \( x_{b_4} = 0.862 \), \( x_{b_5} = 0.895 \). \( x_{b_6} = 0.898 \), \( x_{b_7} = 0.909 \), \( x_{b_8} = 0.893 \), \( x_{b_9} = 0.925 \), \( x_{b_{10}} = 0.898 \), \( x_{b_{11}} = 0.949 \).

Among them, \( c_4 \), \( c_5 \) and \( c_6 \) constitute the swallowtail catastrophe model. According to the complementary principle, there are: \( x_{b_{12}} = \frac{(x_{c_4}^{1/3} + x_{c_5}^{1/3} + x_{c_6}^{1/3})}{3} = 0.769 \), \( x_{b_{13}} = 0.695 \), \( x_{b_{14}} = 0.579 \), \( x_{b_{15}} = 0.619 \), \( x_{b_{16}} = 0.571 \). \( x_{b_{17}} = 0.602 \), \( x_{b_{18}} = 0.799 \), \( x_{b_{19}} = 0.946 \), \( x_{b_{20}} = 0.728 \), \( x_{b_{21}} = 0.712 \), \( x_{b_{22}} = 0.77 \), \( x_{b_{23}} = 0.682 \).

\( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) constitute cusp catastrophe models. According to the principle of small size, there are: \( x_{a_1} = \min \{ xB_1^{1/3}, xB_2^{1/3} \} = \min \{ 0.861^{1/3}, 0.769^{1/3} \} = 0.916 \), \( x_{a_2} = 0.886 \), \( x_{a_3} = 0.834 \), \( x_{a_4} = 0.853 \), \( x_{a_5} = 0.829 \), \( x_{a_6} = 0.844 \). The catastrophe progression method can be used to calculate the monthly and external green supply chain management performance of Henan Tian Neng group in 2016. The result is shown in Table 3.

4.3 Analysis of performance evaluation results of green supply chain management

Figure 1 shows the changes in the performance of the green supply chain of Henan Tian Neng group in 2016. From the chart, we can see that the green performance of Henan Tian Neng group shows a "V" shape. The total performance in May was the lowest in 0.8294, and the total performance in August was the highest in the year, reaching 0.9535. The internal performance change was relatively stable. The lowest point of performance appeared in February, reaching 0.8035, and the highest point appeared in December, reaching 0.9497. The external performance change is relatively intense, the lowest value is 0.8294 in May, the highest value is 0.9456 in August.

According to the analysis of different quarters, the first quarter's internal performance first dropped and then increased, external performance and total performance showed a downward trend. In the second quarter, internal performance showed a trend of first rise and then fall, and external performance and total performance showed a "V" shape change trend. In the third quarter, the internal performance, external performance and total performance showed a trend of rising and decreasing, in which the green external performance improved greatly, and reached a decline after the peak of August. In the fourth quarter, the internal performance, external performance and total performance are fluctuating,
in which the internal performance and total performance fluctuate slightly, and the external performance fluctuates greatly.

**Figure 1** green supply chain performance evaluation of Henan TianNeng group in 2016

By analyzing the evaluation data of the green supply chain in Henan TianNeng group, we can find that the performance of the internal and external green supply chain has the following characteristics.

Firstly, the performance evaluation of green supply chain is good, but the fluctuation of performance is obvious. The overall performance of Henan TianNeng group in 2016 is 0.885, and the overall performance of all the months in the year is over 0.8. It shows that the green supply chain management performance of Henan TianNeng group is good. When the overall performance is good, the monthly fluctuation is obvious, so it is difficult to obtain sustained performance improvement, which indicates that the overall performance improvement is insufficient.

Secondly, internal green performance is relatively stable, and improvement needs new impetus. The performance of internal green performance is relatively stable, the annual average is 0.883, and each month is more than 0.8. The internal performance mainly reflects the efficiency of the green logistics in the battery industrial companies. It shows that the industrial companies pay attention to the energy input in the selection, processing, packaging, storage and transportation of the battery materials, and make the production of natural gas, water and electric energy in a reasonable proportion, effectively reducing the energy consumption. At present, the improvement of green performance in battery industrial companies needs to be injected new impetus. The technical innovation of simple specific links can not meet the requirements of significant improvement of performance. It is necessary to optimize the whole chain using systematic thinking from the perspective of internal supply chain.

Thirdly, the sharp change of external green performance is the key to stability and improvement. The green performance of the external supply chain showed a significant fluctuation throughout the year. The fluctuation range was between 0.5706 and 0.9456, the average value was 0.704, which was lower than the internal green performance and overall performance. The external green performance reflects the ability of battery manufacturers to be redundant and reclaimed in the manufacturing process, indicating that battery manufacturers are unstable to use existing technologies to improve their external emissions. The battery industrial companies need to further play the role of the core node enterprises of the external supply chain, integrate and drive the upstream and downstream enterprises to improve the green performance of the external supply chain, and achieve the continuous improvement of the external green performance through the role of integrated integration.

5 Conclusion

The collaborative management of green supply chain in battery industrial companies involves two parts of the management of green supply chain based on the vertical industrial chain and the external green supply chain management, which is based on the coordinated development of the high energy consumption industry group cycle economy. The key to the management of green supply chain in the enterprise is the collection, sorting and recycling of waste produced by various processes in the process of battery production. The key to external green supply chain is to recycle waste heat and surplus energy in the process of battery production. The collaborative performance evaluation of green supply chain management of battery manufacturing enterprise relates to the comprehensive evaluation of two aspects of internal and external.

The application of green supply chain management collaborative performance evaluation model in TianNeng group case shows that the evaluation model can well analyze and reflect the current situation and level change of green supply chain management in battery industrial companies. The overall performance of green supply chain in China's electric bicycle battery industrial companies is good, and external performance is the main cause of fluctuations. Electric bicycle battery manufacturers can improve the level of waste collection and recycling, and find new driving force for internal
performance improvement. The electric bicycle battery manufacturers can improve the recycling efficiency of surplus energy and obtain the sustainable improvement of external performance. The research results of this paper have a certain theoretical and practical significance for the research of the co management of the green supply chain in the battery industrial companies and other high-energy consumption industries.
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