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1. Introduction

Terraces are formed by phases of cyclic erosion and deposition (cut and fill) of alluvial sediments in a setting that generates a staircase. The causes of alluvial plain incision often reflect mixtures of external processes such as climatic, tectonic, and eustatic fluctuations (Leopold et al., 1964; Born and Ritter, 1970; Merritts et al., 1994; Bridgland and Westaway, 2008) with intrinsic factors like exceedance of geomorphic thresholds and complex response (Schumm, 1973, 1977; Patton and Schumm, 1981; Young and Nanson, 1982). Sediments generated in response to some combination of these drivers are often interbedded and can therefore render ascription of causation problematic (Erkens et al., 2009). Erosion and weathering of terrace fills can create substantive gaps in the very archives needed to reconstruct changes in river behaviour (Lewin and Macklin, 2003).

Alluvial and colluvial archives have begun to emerge as important sources of palaeoenvironmental data in South Africa, compensating for the lack of organic-based proxies; but the majority of these studies are located in the northeast of the subcontinent inside the summer-rainfall zone (Shaw et al., 1992; Botha et al., 1994; Marker, 1995; Van Rooyen, 1999; Lyons et al., 2013, 2014), with a few notable exceptions (Hattingh and Rust, 1999; Holmes et al., 2003; Damm and Hagedorn, 2010; Oldknow, 2016). Several such studies have attempted to make explicit links between quantitative palaeoclimatic archives (Partridge et al., 1997) and geoproxy records with mixed success (Clarke et al., 2003; Holmes et al., 2003; Trexler et al., 2008; Lyons et al., 2014). The problems with this approach include (i) extrapolation of climate records over large geographic distances; (ii) the varied response of different proxy records to the same environmental forcing (Stone, 2014); (iii) inadequate dating precision and coverage; and (iv)
equifinality meaning that terraces may be formed under different external conditions (Soria-Jáuregui et al., 2016). Other studies in the KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, have demonstrated the agency of autogenic drivers of landscape evolution, such as the role of geological barriers on connectivity (Tooth et al., 2002, 2004, 2007; Keen-Zebert et al., 2013) and local geomorphic thresholds controlling the age structure of colluvial deposits (Botha et al., 1994; Rienks et al., 2000).

The Sneeuberg in the Great Karoo, despite lying at an important climatic junction between summer- and winter-dominated rainfall (Chase and Meadows, 2007; Stone, 2014), is an understudied region with respect to its long-term landscape development with only a handful of Quaternary geomorphological studies in the past 30 years (Bousman et al., 1988; Holmes, 2001; Holmes et al., 2003; Boardman et al., 2005). Holmes et al. (2003), working in the Klein Seekoi River headwaters, found that the stratigraphic record lacked the scale, complexity, and age of the Masotcheni colluvium investigated by Botha et al. (1994), instead being dominated by a single phase of late Holocene incision allegedly caused by land use changes following the eighteenth century European incursion (Neville, 1996; Rowntree, 2013; Boardman, 2014). Prior to this incision, chains of pools occupied the valley floors much like those reported in Australia (Brierley and Fryirs, 1999). Grenfell et al. (2014) has subsequently proposed that these ‘pools’ were part of palaeofloodout systems and that their formation was related to floodplain geomorphology. The persistence of discontinuous channels and floodouts in this and other nearby valleys was attributed to a combination of (i) reduction of upstream slope gradient by resistant dolerite sills and dikes crossing drainage lines; (ii) complex responses to do with changing valley morphodynamics; and (iii) highly episodic periods of flow (Grenfell et al., 2009, 2012, 2014).

The palaeoenvironmental significance of valley fills in the Wilgerbosch River and its tributaries (feeding the larger Sundays River) draining south of the Sneeuberg has yet to be investigated in any detail. In the last decade, research in small upland catchments here has tended to focus on reconstructing historical sediment fluxes and connectivity using a combination of gamma spectrometry and environmental magnetism (Boardman et al., 2003, 2010; Foster et al., 2007; Rowntree and Foster, 2012). Extensive river channel and donga (gully) incision in this area has resulted in widespread alluvial exposures revealing terrace fills of varying thickness, continuity, and pedogenic overprinting; but the processes and drivers by which they were deposited and their age structure have not been established. Channels exhibit ‘stepped’ profiles where resistant rock strata (dolerite, sandstone) cross valley floors, but the impact of these barriers on long-term landscape connectivity (Tooth et al., 2004; Fryirs et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2010; Fryirs, 2013) and terrace development here has not been tested.

This paper presents sedimentologic, stratigraphic, and chronologic data of terrace fills in the Wilgerbosch River catchment. We evaluate the roles of allogenic and autogenic controls on terrace development and integrate geomorphological data within existing conceptual frameworks of connectivity (Fryirs, 2013). The significance of these results are compared and contrasted with other regional geoproxy archives.

2. Regional setting

The Great Karoo is a vast (30% total land surface of South Africa) dissected landscape of plains and flat-topped mountains, characterised by east-west orientated mountain ranges, an example being the Sneeuberg in which the Sundays River originates (Fig. 1). The Sneeuberg lies within the eastern region of the Warm Temperate Zone (Sugden, 1989) at a major climatic boundary with influences from summer- and winter-dominated rains, making it a climatically sensitive region (Chase and Meadows, 2007). Annual rainfall is 423 mm·a⁻¹, concentrated in the late summer/early autumn (Grenfell et al., 2014). Diurnal and seasonal temperatures show large fluctuations: summer maxima of ca. 30 °C and winter minima of below −10 °C (Schultz, 1980).

The study area is situated just south of Compassberg (31°51’13.21” S, 24°35’33.26” E), the second highest peak (2502 m) in the Eastern Cape Escarpment (Boardman et al., 2003). It comprises two low-order tributaries (Wilgerbosch and Africanders Kloofs) and several reaches of the higher-order Wilgerbosch River as far as the Ganora gorge, upstream of the confluence with the Gatz River, which is a tributary of the larger Sundays River (Figs. 1 and 2).

The vegetation of the study area is characterised by ‘Eastern Upper Karoo nama-Karoo (NKu 2)’ on gently sloping hills, which are dominantly by dwarf shrubs and ‘white’ grasses of the genera Aristida and Stipagrostis. Thin soils, stones and boulders of steeper sandstone and slopes of dolerite ridges support dwarf karoo shrubs and drought tolerant grasses (Aristida, Eragrostis, and Stipagrostis) of the ‘Upper Karoo Hardeveld (NKu4)’ (Mucina et al., 2006).

The bedrock lithology of the area is dominated by Permian/Triassic Karoo Supergroup rocks that exhibit negligible dip (Boardman et al., 2003). Rocks of the upper Beaufort Group (Balfour and Middleton Formations) compose the sedimentary strata outcropping in these valleys. These include fining-upward sandstone-dominated sequences with mudstones, rhythmites, and sandstones with wave ripples at higher
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**Fig. 1.** Study area location in relation to Great Escarpment and other major mountain ranges and rivers of South Africa. Modified from Holmes et al. (2003).
elevations (Turner, 1978; Cantuneanu et al., 2005). Mudstones and shales are most common on valley floors. These sedimentary rocks are extensively intruded by Drakensberg Group dolerite sills and dikes exhibiting widespread contact metamorphism (Neumann et al., 2011). Resistant sandstone beds and dolerite result in structurally controlled slopes. The relative proportions of each lithology vary between valleys. Africanders Kloof is incised into dolerite, sandstone, and mudstone to a lesser extent, whereas Wilgerbosch Kloof is carved into sandstone on the upper slopes but shale in the lower valley. The Wilgerbosch River is primarily incised through mudstone and sandstone, but dolerite sills and dikes outcrop in places.

3. Materials and methods

Continuity, elevation, morphology, and chronometric data are fundamental for correlating terrace fills laterally and longitudinally (e.g., Leopold et al., 1964; Rodnight et al., 2006; Cheetham et al., 2010). The sedimentology and stratigraphy of deposits in the Wilgerbosch catchment was investigated through aerial image analysis, extensive field reconnaissance, topographic surveys, and logging and sampling of sediment in donga and river-bank exposures.

Hartebeesthoek_1994 Datum elevations and Universal Transverse-Mercator coordinates were surveyed using a TOPCON HiPer Pro d-GPS
system (±2 cm accuracy) to obtain (i) channel long sections; (ii) bank top; and (iii) 24 cross sections at Africanders and Wilgerbosch Kloof. The position of possible geological barriers to sediment movement was mapped and recorded in the long profiles. Barriers were classified on the basis of morphology and extent of incision, consisting of three types: (i) breached rock barriers; (ii) knickpoints; and (iii) knickzones (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 3.** Photographs and diagrams in long view of barriers classified according to degree of incision. (1) Breached rock barrier: example illustrated is a breached dolerite dike that prior to incision acted to ‘dam’ sediment upstream. (2) Knickpoint: the top of a zone of active incision through sandstone bedrock upstream of which the contemporary channel loses confinement and where an inset floodplain has formed. (3) Knickzones: relatively steep reaches where incision has carved an ‘inner channel’ into the underlying (sandstone) rock mass. Note matching symbols used in Figs. 5 and 9.

**Facies codes**

| Code | Description |
|------|-------------|
| *Gmm* | Matrix-supported, massive gravel |
| Gh | Clast-supported gravel |
| Gp | Stratified gravel, planar cross-beds |
| *Ch* | Clast-supported cobbles |
| *Bmm* | Mixed boulders |
| *Sm* | Sand, fine to very coarse |
| St | Sand, trough cross-beds |
| Fm | Mud drapes |
| Fr | Bioturbated mud, silt. |
| Fl | Finely laminated sand, silt, mud |
| P | Palaeosol carbonate (calcite) |
| *Gmm* | Matrix-supported, massive gravel |
| Gt | Stratified gravel, trough cross-beds |
| *G/S* | Gravel or sand lenses |
| *Cmm* | Matrix-supported, massive cobbles |
| *Dmm* | Diamicton |
| Sh | Sand, fine to very coarse, horizontal lamination |
| Sp | Sand, planar cross-beds |
| Fm | Clast poor, massive silt. |
| *Fr* | Bioturbated mud or sand, fossilised plants |
| *Tm* | Thickly laminated sand, silt, mud |
| *Wd* | Weathered dolerite |

**Stratigraphic contacts**

- Planar, diffuse contact
- Planar, sharp contact
- Unconformity with wavy contact
- Unconformity with sharp contact

**Sample type**

- Grain-size/magnetic susceptibility
- OSL
- Radiocarbon

**Fig. 4.** Facies codes and key used in graphic sediment logs. After Miall (1996). * Additional facies codes developed to describe the valley fills in the South African field sites.
Because of a combination of poor signal acquisition and flooding during the latter part of fieldwork along the Wilgerbosch River (including the Gorge), obtaining long profile or cross section data was not possible. Valley cross sections along the Wilgerbosch River are based upon field sketches then scaled using aerial photographic imagery.

To identify the main vertical, longitudinal, and lateral variations in slope, channel, and overbank deposits, sedimentological logs were obtained at 31 sites (Fig. 2). Sampling strategy ensured that all major deposits within reaches were represented. Elevation of logs was obtained using a handheld GMS-2 GPS system (±10 cm accuracy). Field descriptions of particle size were undertaken using grain-size analysis cards. The extent of each type deposit was either physically traced in channel-bank exposures or augered, and the limits mapped using the GMS-2. Sediment logs were constructed to show changes in facies, sedimentary structures, and stratigraphic boundaries (Fig. 4). The Udden-Wentworth scale (Wentworth, 1922) was used to classify grain size. Selected samples from major stratigraphic units were collected for laser diffraction and determination of magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_{LF}$) (Appendix A). Laser diffraction data is used to (i) characterise matrix composition (0–2 mm) in coarse deposits and (ii) total sediment distribution where sedimentary unit grain size is <2 mm. Calculation of grain-size distributions and parameters was achieved using the GRADISTAT (v.8) program (Blott and Pye, 2001). Sampling density was controlled by the need to adequately characterise major stratigraphic units within bank exposures and ‘fingerprint’ the various deposits.

The combined evidence of surveyed channel morphology and the limits of fills were used to produce annotated long profiles (Figs. 5 and 9) or annotated air-photos (Fig. 12) to analyse the longitudinal and lateral distribution of terraces in relation to potential barriers. Valley cross sections (Figs. 6, 10, and 14) and sediment logs (Figs. 7, 8, 11, and 13) enable the lateral limits, junctions, and nature of the facies to be visualised three-dimensionally, whilst facies descriptions and interpretations are outlined in Table 1 and Appendix A (Table A.1). Correlations between logs were based on mapped continuity of deposits, major junctions between fills, lithostratigraphy, and magnetic susceptibility and remanence parameters (Oldknow, 2016).
Twenty-nine optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) samples were collected from 14 outcrops (Fig. 2) representing the optimum tradeoff between coverage of deposits but, where possible, avoiding unsuitable sections on the basis of (i) bioturbation; (ii) lack of homogeneous sandy units; and (iii) units <20 cm thick. Large samples (1 kg) were collected at night by cleaning sections and shovelling sediment into opaque black bags, which were then sealed tightly prior to shipment. Repeat samples from stratigraphic horizons were collected to determine moisture content and radiation dose rates.

Sample preparation for OSL analyses was performed under red-light conditions. Wet sieving was employed to remove silts and clays and to concentrate sediment in the 200–300 μm range. Samples were subjected to a series of acid and density separation protocols, including (i) 10% HCL to dissolve carbonates; (ii) 30% H2O2 to dissolve organic matter; (iii) density separations (2.62 < ρ < 2.76 g/cm²) to concentrate quartz; and (iv) treatment of quartz-rich fraction with 40% HF acid for 45 min to dissolve remaining feldspar grains and to remove the alpha-irradiated surface (10 μm) on quartz grains. At the density separation stage, very high proportions of feldspar (N ~50%) were collected, necessitating use of the strong (40%) HF etch. All samples reacted strongly to the etch yielding such low quartz amounts that of the 29 samples collected only 2 could be dated. This was achieved by combining the finer grain size fractions, resulting in unconventionally large grain size windows (LV-509: 90–300 μm; LV-515: 90–200 μm; Table 2). Etched quartz grains were mounted onto the inner 1 mm of 1-cm aluminium discs using Silkospray in preparation for single aliquot measurements.

The OSL analyses were conducted on an automated Risø DA-15 B/C reader equipped with 21 blue LEDs (470±30 nm) for stimulation employed at 80% of full diode current providing ~17 mW·cm⁻² power from the blue LED unit and 370 mW·cm⁻² from the IR laser diode (830 nm). Initial measurements were made at 125 °C and were detected through a Hoya U340 filter (transmitting 320–390 nm). Aliquots were rejected on the basis of (i) low count rates (<300); (ii) recycling ratio < 10% from unity; (iii) detection of feldspar contamination (IRSL depletion ratio > 10% from unity; Duller, 2003); (iv) failure to fit exponential or exponential plus linear function to growth curve; (v) the OSL signal not exhibiting a fast component; and (vi) significant recuperation (>5%; Murray and Wintle, 2000).

Chemical analyses for determination of K, U, and Th were carried out at University of Liverpool using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). The conversion factors of Adamiec and Aitken (1998) were used to convert those concentrations to environmental dose rates (Gy/ka).

LV-509: A modified SAR-protocol (Murray and Wintle, 2000) that included a hot-bleach step (OSL measured at 125 °C for 40 s for the test dose) was used in both preheat/dose recovery tests and final Dₐ measurements to cure problems of poor low dose recycling and recuperation (Oldknow, 2016). LV-515: The normal SAR protocol was suitable for preheat, dose recovery, and Dₐ measurements. A preheat of 240 °C for 10 s along with a cutheat of 200 °C for the test dose were used in final Dₐ measurements for both samples. The Central Age Model (CAM) was used to calculate final burial age for both samples following the protocol of Arnold et al. (2007).

Fossilised plant remains (Juncus stems) for AMS radiocarbon dating were sampled from four sediment exposures to determine the alluvial chronology. Samples were prepared and analysed at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit, but yielded insufficient carbon; therefore, only 1 of 10 was successfully dated. The dated sample (P-37289) was calibrated using the SHCal13 atmospheric curve (Hogg et al., 2013).
4. Results

4.1. Discontinuous valley fill development

The headwaters of both tributaries (Africanders and Wilgerbosch Kloofs; Fig. 2) contain a range of alluvial facies of varying thickness and longitudinal extent as summarised in Table 1 and outlined in the forthcoming subsections (Sections 4.1.1–4.1.3). Groups of facies typically occur together, allowing several facies associations to be defined that include deposition in confined and unconﬁned situations.

4.1.1. Africanders Kloof headwaters

The contemporary gully has retreated headward part way up the sandstone footslopes of a mesa capped by dolerite. The gully has exposed up to 2.5 m of alluvium, and its base is situated at or just above bedrock. Four distinct morphostratigraphic units with unconformable bed contacts were identiﬁed in gully sidewall exposures (Figs. 5A, 8; Table 1). Cross sections 1 and 2 show that units B-D dip away from the modern gully (Fig. 6). Unit AKH-A consists of up to 1.2 m of thinly bedded, matrix-supported gravels that exhibit weak or no grading. This unit terminates 0.3 km downstream. Unit AKH-B is thicker than A (up to 1.6 m), consisting of pedogenically altered matrix-supported gravels, lenticular gravels, and massive sands with sharp bedding contacts. This unit is traceable as far as breached rock barrier 1 (a deeply weathered dolerite outcrop) where it terminates (Fig. 5A). Unlike the other headwater units (A-C), AKH-D extends over breached rock barrier 1 (0.45–0.9 km) burying T1 and T4 (see Section 4.2.1). Magnetic susceptibility values for each unit typically exceed 100 (Table A.2). Headward erosion of the modern gully has produced a 0.6 m knickpoint through these headwater deposits (AKH-B and C) that corresponds to the top of breached rock barrier 1 (Fig. 5A).

Unit AKH-D consists of distinctive inﬁlled palaeogully architecture carved into unit C. Otherwise deposits consist of up to 0.6 m of bedded, unaltered coarse gravels and sand. Proximal-distal ﬁning is evident (AK-4 and 5). Unlike the other headwater units (A-C), AKH-D extends over breached rock barrier 1 (0.45–0.9 km) burying T1 and T4 (see Section 4.2.1). Magnetic susceptibility values for each unit typically exceed 100 (Table A.2). Headward erosion of the modern gully has produced a 0.6 m knickpoint through these headwater deposits (AKH-B and C) that corresponds to the top of breached rock barrier 1 (Fig. 5A).

4.1.2. Wilgerbosch Kloof headwaters

The Wilgerbosch Kloof headwaters originate at the base of a deeply eroded mesa 2 km north of Africanders Kloof. The upper sandstone slope where the deﬁnable channel commences is very steep (0.24 m/m; Fig. 9A) but is buffered from the mesa by a pediment formed on sandstone. Two morphostratigraphic units were identiﬁed. Unit WGK-A extends from 0.11 to 0.35 km downstream (Fig. 9A). The facies consist of pedogenically altered, sharply bedded units of matrix-supported gravels, cobbles, and boulders interspersed by units of sand (Fig. 11; Table 1). These headwater deposits, unlike those in the Africanders Kloof, do not terminate abruptly at any lithological impediment. The soil overprinting the deposits was traced downstream and overprints terrace 2 (see Section 4.2.2). Unit WGK-B extends from the top of the sandstone slope to 0.3 km (Fig. 9A). The facies consist of unaltered units of sand and either massive or faintly bedded gravel. Unit thickness and grain size decline downstream (Fig. 10; CS-1–3; Fig. 11; WGK-1–3). Magnetic susceptibility values for both units are consistently lower than those at Africanders Kloof (Table A.2).
4.1.3. Wilgerbosch River

Fig. 13B shows several localised deposits impinging laterally on the valley floors in between the larger terraces (Section 4.2). The facies at site WGR-4 consist of very fine to fine sand ($D_{50} = 66–187 \mu m$) that are buried by 2.5 m of massive, matrix-supported sandstone and mudstone gravels (Table 1). Magnetic susceptibility is substantially lower ($\chi_{LF} = 27–42$) than valley fills in the first-order tributaries (Table A.2).

4.2. Continuous valley fill development

In the higher-order streams, up to four major terrace fills were identified, mapped, and analysed across the study region. Data on terrace extent, morphology, thickness, and sedimentology are summarised in Table A.1 (see Appendix A) and outlined in the following subsections (Sections 4.2.1–4.2.5).
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Fig. 8. The alluvial succession exposed in second- and third-order channel bank exposures (AK-7–16) at Africanders Kloof.
Table 1
Sedimentary characteristics for headwater tributary fills; facies codes modified from Miall (1996).

| Terrace | Log/unit | Summary description | Interpretation |
|---------|----------|---------------------|----------------|
| AKH-A   | AK-1/A   | Altered, mottled, thinly bedded matrix-supported (Gmh) and lenticular gravels (Gl). Bed thickness: 0.07–0.1 m. | Sheet-flood deposition after transition from entrenchment to unconfined channel. |
| AKH-B   | AK-1/B   | Altered, mottled, matrix-supported gravels (Gmm and Gmh), lenticular gravels (Gl), and massive sands (Sm). | Inflated floodwash distributary channel and buried overbank sediments. |
| AKH-C   | AK-2/A-E | Altered units of massive sand (Sm), matrix-supported gravel (Gmm and Gmh), and silty clay (Fr). Bed thickness: 0.05–0.77 m. | Debris flow deposits laid down in a floodout with progradational fining. |
| AKH-D   | AK-4/A-D | Altered units of massive sand (Sm), matrix-supported gravel (Gmm and Gmh), and silty clay (Fr). Bed thickness: 0.3–1 m. | Inflated palaeogully and overbank sediments. |
| WKG-A   | WKG-3/A-F| Altered, mottled units of matrix-supported gravels, cobbles and boulders. Gravel facies vary: massive (Gmm) to thinly bedded (Gmh) or exhibit planar (Gp) or trough (Gt) cross-bedding. Altered sandy units: massive or with trough cross-beds (Sm/St). Bed thickness: 0.02–0.6 m. | Alluvial fan channel deposits. |
| WKG-B   | WKG-3/G  | Altered, mottled units of sand (Sm) and gravel (Gmm and Gmh); massive or with faint bedding that dips downstream. Bed thickness: 0.05–0.5 m. | Debris flow and slawwash deposits in an alluvial fan. |
| Unclassified | WGR-4/A-B | Altered, mottled units of fine sand (Sm) which exhibit inverse grading to massive, matrix-supported gravels (Gmm). | Debris flow and slawwash deposits in an alluvial fan. |

4.2.1. Terrace 1

Terrace 1 occurs at the valley margins of Africanders Kloof (Fig. 6: CS-3–10) and two reaches of the Wilgerbosch River (Figs. 12B and C) but is absent at Wilgerbosch Kloof. At Africanders Kloof it consists of deeply weathered, massive or thin-medium horizontally bedded sands or clayey silts (see AK-5, Fig. 7) overprinted by calcrite. This calcrite was traced downstream where it also overprints terrace 2 (see Section 4.2.2 for description). Inverse grading is a common feature. Basal units typically possess a $D_{50}$ grain size of finer than 110 μm, whilst upper units range from 130 to 1159 μm (Table A.3). Localised gravels occur in place either infilling small palaeogullies structures or occurring as laterally discontinuous beds. The deposits are thickest (up to 5 m) in a bedrock depression immediately downstream of breached rock barrier 1 (Fig. 5A) behind which three discontinuous terrace units are preserved (see Section 4.1.1). In contrast to the headwater fills (AKH-A-D), the terrace surfaces dip toward rather than away from the contemporary gully (CS-3–10: Fig. 6). Additionally, $X_F$ is typically lower than the AKH units immediately upstream of breached rock barrier 1 (Tables A.2 and A.3).

Downstream of knickpoint 2 (Figs. 5B and C), T1 is deeply incised by palaeochannels such that only up to 0.8 m of the succession is preserved and, in some cases, has been stripped completely. Furthermore, the sedimentological expression of T1 deposits is subtly different to the first-order gully with increased prominence of horizontally bedded medium gravels (AK-9 and 11: Fig. 8) rather than massive fine sediments (AK-5: Fig. 7).

Along the Wilgerbosch River, T1 is most completely expressed in the Ganora Gorge, where between 4.5 and 6 m of sediment has accumulated (GG-S, GG-2: Figs. 12C and 13), though its sedimentology is markedly different from T1 deposits at Africanders Kloof. For example, the facies in the gorge include (i) diamicitic sediments consisting of vertically oriented, platy gravel clasts within a poorly sorted matrix of sandy silt; and (ii) laterally discontinuous clast-supported gravels. Unlike the doleritic material at Africanders Kloof, the regolith consists of locally sourced sandstone, is very angular, and lacks weathering rinds.

4.2.2. Terrace 2

Terrace 2 typically overlaps or is inset within T1 on both banks in the Wilgerbosch River and Africanders Kloof (Figs. 6, 8, 13, 14), representing the second thickest terrace deposits after T1. Terrace 2 is present overlying bedrock in the first-order Wilgerbosch Kloof and again in the lower valley (see CS-2–7, 9 and 12: Fig. 10). Three main facies associations were defined: (i) 3.3 m of palaeochannel deposits carved into T1 that comprises pedogenically altered, matrix-supported sands and gravels with varied bedding characteristics (Fig. 8: AK-7). (ii) Thick beds (up to 0.95 m) of pedogenically altered, matrix-supported or clast-supported doleritic gravels and cobbles. These deposits overlie bedrock because T1 has been completely stripped in some locations (see AK-8 and 15: Fig. 8). Matrices are primarily composed of ferruginous sands and exhibit strong magnetic susceptibility (AK-8 unit B: $X_F = 91$: Table A.4). These deposits are almost exclusively located in portions of the Africanders Kloof valley proximal to eroding dolerite tors (Fig. 2). Inset deposits also occur as a wedge inset within T1, at the base of knickzone 2 representing the maximum traceable upstream limit of T2 at Africanders Kloof (Fig. 5A), (iii) Deposits of matrix- or clast-supported gravels, cobbles, or boulders interspersed by sand units of varying thickness (0.1–1.5 m) and bedding, and finally, silty sands.

Terrace 2 is overprinted by calcrite up to 10 cm thick (AK-12: Fig. 8). In summary, the principal micromorphological characteristics of the carbonate cements include: (i) minimal fabric expansion indicating

Table 2
Results of OSL analyses for terrace sediments from Africanders Kloof.

| Sample | Log/unit | Terrace | Water content (%)$^a$ | KX | U (mg/g) | Th (mg/g) | Cosmic Ray dose rate (Gy/ka) | Total dose rate (Gy/ka) | n$^b$ | $\alpha_{100}$ (%)$^c$ | $D_0$ (Gy)$^d$ | Final age (ka) (1σ) |
|--------|----------|---------|-----------------------|-----|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| LV-509 | AK-4/D   | AKH-B   | 2.5                   | 2.51 ± 0.06 | 2.64 ± 0.07 | 14.53 ± 0.26 | 0.21 ± 0.01                      | 4.22 ± 0.66            | 46   | 34                  | 34.7 ± 1.9        | 8.2 ± 1.5         |
| LV-515 | AK-11/E2 | 2       | 2.3                   | 2.1 ± 0.05  | 2.55 ± 0.06 | 11.83 ± 0.21 | 0.17 ± 0.01                      | 3.18 ± 0.34            | 61   | 27                  | 54 ± 5.4          | 17 ± 2.5          |

$^a$ Measured field water content. $^b$ Mean water content of 15 ± 5% was assigned because of evidence for a period of prolonged saturation by groundwater.

$^c$ Overdispersion parameter.

$^d$ $D_0$ values calculated using Central Age Model following protocol of Arnold et al. (2007).
host sediment grains are cemented together rather than pushed apart by calcite growth; (ii) coated lithic grains and grains of secondary carbonate; (iii) root traces; (iv) no evidence for grain etching or quartz replacement by calcite; (v) inset laminated clay coatings; and vi) localised zones of decalcification (Oldknow, 2016). The calcrete occurs at greater height in the terrace profile at Africanders Kloof (up to 6 m, though usually 2.3–2.5 m: Fig. 8) than in the Wilgerbosch River (1.4–1.6 m: Fig. 13). Above the calcrete (230–260 cm: AK-12; Fig. 8), a light brown palaeosol (7.5YR 6/3) with a weak subangular blocky structure is present. The A horizon has been stripped by erosion reflected in the unconformity at 2.65 m (Fig. 8: AK-12). Its micromorphological features include channel-like pores that are lined by calcite hypocoatings as well as inset laminated clays (Oldknow, 2016). Terrace 2 in the upper Wilgerbosch Kloof lacks calcrete, but a similar palaeosol including a light brown (7.5YR 6/3) Bt horizon is preserved but with an overlying light grey (10YR 6/2) A horizon intact (Fig. 11: WGK-2-6).

4.2.3. Terrace 3A
Terrace 3A overlaps and is inset within T2 reaching a maximum thickness (3 m) in the gorge (see GG-1, Fig. 13), but is absent from the upper 1.5 km of Africanders Kloof (Fig. 5A) and Wilgerbosch Kloof altogether (Figs. 9-11). The facies primarily consist of pedogenically altered gravels, sands, and silts. Terrace 3A is less cemented than T2, but exhibits a comparable range of $\chi_{LF}$ values (34–95), the highest of which occur in areas proximal to dolerite (AK-12, 15: Fig. 2 and Table A.5).

4.2.4. Terrace 3B
Terrace 3B is inset within T2 in the lower Wilgerbosch Kloof (Fig. 10: CS-8 and 10; Fig. 11: W GK-7–8) and contains deposits of sand and matrix-supported gravel. The $X_{IF}$ values range from 36 to 49. W GK-7 exhibits consistently higher $D_{50}$ values (1389–1622 μm) compared with W GK-8 (666–843 μm: Table A.5). Unlike T3A, incipient soil development with no cementation is present (Oldknow, 2016).

4.2.5. Terrace 4
Terrace 4 is inset within T1, T2, T3A, and T3B (Figs. 6, 10, 14), extending into the headwaters of both tributaries, though at Africanders Kloof T4 is absent upstream of the first breached rock barrier (Fig. 5A). Unit AKH-D, the only unit to overtop this barrier, buries a palaeochannel associated with T4 (0.75 km downstream). Downstream of knickpoint 2, T4 occurs as discontinuous pockets burying the earlier terraces. In the second-order channel, T4 overlaps T2 and, with the exceptions of CS-10–12 (Fig. 6), is situated above the calcrete; whereas in the third-order channel, T4 rests on bedrock (Fig. 5C; AK-16: Fig. 8). At Wilgerbosch Kloof, T4 rests on bedrock in the lower second-order channel (Fig. 9B; CS-8-9: Fig. 10).

Terrace 4 consists of four distinct facies groups with distinctive magnetic properties (Table A.6): (1) Gleyed, thick units (up to 1.7 m) of fine-grained sediments that lack any fossilised plants or shells. $X_{IF}$ values are typically much lower than T1–T3 (12–51) and grain $D_{50}$, with two exceptions (AK-10 unit C and WGK-5 unit E) is <65 μm. (2) Unaltered, thickly laminated sands or silts ($D_{50}$ = 185–1061 μm) that exhibit strong magnetism compared with group 1 ($X_{IF}$ = 38–63). (3) Discontinuity-bounded units (up 1 m thick; but commonly <0.75 m) of silty clay ($D_{50}$ = 27–39 μm), silty sand ($D_{50}$ = 173–266 μm), or sandy silt ($D_{50}$ = 65–216 μm) containing plant macrofossils and/or bivalve shells. The $X_{IF}$ is predominantly very low (11–32) with the exception of AK-16 (45–75). (4) Clastic, nonlabeled units of matrix ($D_{50}$ = 9–955 μm) or clast-supported gravels that often display inverse grading and weak magnetism ($X_{IF}$ = 13–27). At some locations, an abandoned channel is evident (Fig. 6: CS-6-8; Fig. 10: CS-8).

![Fig. 9. Wilgerbosch Kloof long profile divided up according to: (A) first-, and (B) second-order channels. Displayed are (1) longitudinal limits of valley fills in relation to channel knickpoints (black hexagon), knickzones (grey hexagon), and breached rock barriers (white hexagons); (2) locations of valley cross sections (Fig. 10); and (3) locations of sediment logs (Fig. 11).](image-url)
The first and second facies groups are exclusively located in the low-order channels of Africanders and Wilgerbosch Kloof (AK-8, 10 and 13: Fig. 8; WGK-5-6: Fig. 11). The third and fourth facies groups are pervasive in the higher-order channels. For example, in the Africanders third-order channel, the fine-grained units are typically less thick than group 1 deposits (AK-16, Fig. 8), contain plant macrofossils, but are also separated by thin gravel units (0.05–0.15 m thick), and finally, display sharper bed contacts with fine-grained, organic-rich horizons. Up to three such organic-rich units occur in the higher-order channels (WGR-2), but two are represented more widely occurring at a maximum depth of 2.3 m below the terrace surface (WGR-1 units C and E; WGR-2 units H1 and H3; WGR-3 units G and L: Fig. 13). These organic-rich units are interspersed by the thicker, gravel units (group 4).

4.3. Dating results

The representative results of single aliquot equivalent dose ($D_e$) measurements for both OSL samples are shown in Fig. 15. The rapid initial decay of the OSL signal is indicative of a signal dominated by the fast component (Fig. 15A and B). Sample LV-509 exhibits recuperation ($y > 0$), but this is within 5% of unity. The dose response curves show that $D_e$ values were obtained from the linear part of the growth curve (Fig. 15C and D). Table 2 summarises key results relating to sediment chemistry, water content, degree of overdispersion, and final burial age (ka). The sample from headwater unit AKH-B (LV-509) indicates a final burial age of $8.2 \pm 1.5$ ka. In contrast, the sample from T2 (LV-515), 1.2 km downstream, is much older indicating that final burial took place around $17 \pm 2.5$ ka. An AMS $^{14}$C date of $0.44 \pm 0.04$ ka (P-37289) was obtained from fossilised Juncus stems at WGR-1 (unit E: Fig. 13).

5. Discussion

5.1. Chronological data

An obvious limitation of this study has been the very low success rate for the OSL and radiocarbon samples. The lack of repeat dates from stratigraphically coeval and bracketing horizons prevents external evaluation of samples LV-509, LV-515, and P-37289. Samples LV-509 and 515 passed standard screening protocols and additional checks such as the thermal quenching of quartz to assess quartz purity (Shen et al., 2007; Oldknow, 2016). In spite of the large grain-size windows used, overdispersion values are surprisingly modest (Figs. 15E and F) compared to those reported in other fluvial settings (Rodnight et al., 2006; Lyons et al., 2013). Sample LV-509 serves as a preliminary indicator of the age magnitude of the headwater deposits at Africanders Kloof, whilst LV-515 provides a preliminary maximum age on the termination of T2 aggradation. An estimated moisture value of $15 \pm 5\%$ was used for LV-515 because of suspected influences from groundwater at outcrop AK-11 (S. Tooth, University of Aberystwyth, pers. comm; Oldknow, 2016).
The AMS date (P-37289) has two sources of uncertainty associated with it: (i) local groundwater chemistry is likely to have been enriched in calcium supplied by the dolerite (Botha and Fedoroff, 1995), which was taken up by the Juncus plants. Consequently, this age may possess a hard water error, meaning that the true age is younger than 0.44 ± 0.04 ka (Peglar et al., 1989). (ii) Plant material may have been inherited from upstream. However, given the depositional environment of this unit (WGR-1 unit E: Table 2), the dated plant material most likely died in situ. Therefore, P-37289 provides a preliminary indication of (i) the minimum age constraining the accretion of unit E and (2) a
maximum age constraining incision of T4. Withstanding the caveats outlined, these three dates are used to propose some tentative hypotheses about the sequence of terrace development.

5.2. Discontinuous valley fills

5.2.1. Africanders Kloof

The interpreted depositional environments for the different facies associations are detailed in Table A.1 (Appendix A) and outlined in the following text. The valley surface that slopes away from the contemporary gully banks (CS-1 and 2: Fig. 6) is a clear indication of alluvial sedimentation around the gully rather than slope-dominated deposition.

The coarse gravel facies associated with unit AKH-A probably reflect two modes of deposition in the upper and lower flow regimes respectively: (i) sheet-flood deposits in a terminal gully system and (ii) the latter stages of flow where it separates into small channels that incise the underlying sediment sheet (Bull, 1972). Unit AKH-A occurs immediately downstream of a major hillslope gully (incised into bedrock), and thus the abrupt change in slope gradient and loss of confinement are conducive to terminal channel processes and fans.

The sediments of AKH-B reflect a range of depositional conditions. The association of bedded and lenticular gravels at section AK-1 (Fig. 7) likely reflects sheet-flood deposits and their subsequent incision as noted for unit AKH-A. Downstream, thicker units of matrix-supported gravel (2-0.3 m) reflect high energy conditions of emplacement, probably low plasticity debris flows (Sharp and Nobles, 1953; Varnes, 1978). The lack of discernible trends in particle size with depth at AK-2-4 may reflect a laterally mobile floodout distributary channel. In this case, the trough cross-bedded sands (AK-3 unit A2) probably reflect channel bedforms such as three-dimensional dunes (Miall, 1996). Grenfell et al. (2012) proposed that migration of distributary channels could be tracked by the location of coarser deposits. In this case, the position of outcrops (AK-2-4) is likely capturing lateral differences in sedimentology associated with a distributary system. The occurrence of bedded rather than massive sands and gravels at AK-4 may reflect slower aggradation rates toward the floodout margin. On the basis of OSL age LV-509, aggradation of AKH-B terminated after 8.2 ± 1.5 ka. This age serves as a preliminary maximum age on incision of the dolerite intrusion (breached rock barrier 1).

The first occurrence of unit AKH-C upstream of the break in terrace slope (Fig. 5A) implies that the impetus for incision of AKH-B may have been exceedance of a slope threshold (Schumm, 1979). The inversely graded package of sands, then matrix-supported, very coarse gravels (AK-2: Fig. 7) represent a renewed phase of floodout progradation, confirmed in the progressive reduction in gravel content downstream (AK-2-4). The clayeysilt deposits with no coarse material at AK-4 reflect much lower rates of aggradation at the distal margin of the floodout (Nichols and Fisher, 2007). On the basis of minimal if any fossilised plant material, the black colouration of unit AKH-C, and the presence of charcoal fragments, Oldknow (2016) proposed that wildfire may have stripped the vegetation cover on floodout unit AKH-C, priming its surface to incision reflected in the palaeochannels associated with AKH-D. Because sedimentation had reached the top of breached rock barrier 1 during emplacement of AKH-C, sedimentation associated with unit D was able to overtop it. The magnetic susceptibility of the floodout units are typically much higher than published values for dolerite (Rowntree and Foster, 2012), which Oldknow (2016) attributed to lithogenic and pedogenic magnetite.

In summary, the geomorphology and sedimentology of the headwater valley fills exhibit some significant similarities to the floodouts
analysed by Grenfell et al. (2014). Floodout behaviour in the Africanders Kloof headwaters has largely been controlled by a lithological impedance crossing the valley. As a result, gullies have been prone to backfilling upstream behind this barrier, but channel avulsions have been less significant than those in the Jackal and Gordonville valleys (Grenfell et al., 2012). It follows that the Africanders Kloof headwaters, prior to the breaching of this rock barrier, were largely unresponsive to phases of regional terrace incision recorded in the higher order channels (Section 5.3).

5.2.2. Wilgerbosch Kloof

The headwaters of Wilgerbosch Kloof preserve two distinct phases of fan emplacement that are morphologically similar to the floodout at Africanders Kloof (see CS-1-3: Fig. 10). The coarsest facies of WGR-A
are interpreted as debris flows that cascaded off the steep sandstone slope upstream (Fig. 9A). Inverse grading structures in this context likely reflect progradational features; but compared to the floodout at Africanders Kloof, channel deposits are more common here. Sharp bedding contacts between distinct lithofacies accompanied by subtle changes in soil colour (WGK-3: Fig. 11) indicates episodic fan aggradation with periods of minor intervening pedogenesis (Oldknow, 2016).

The deposits of WGK-B reflect emplacement by debris flows and lower energy slappeash processes. The downstream decline in unit thickness and grain size reflects fan progradation (Figs. 10 and 11). The magnetic susceptibility values for this unit correspond to published values for sandstone (Rowntree and Foster, 2012).

Unlike at Africanders Kloof, the fan sediments here were shown to be a source of downstream valley fill because of the absence of any geological barriers (Oldknow, 2016). Thus, the palaeofan has been shown to be linked to base level changes downstream. In addition to the field description of the palaeosol overprinting T2 (Section 4.2.2), Oldknow (2016) identified high concentrations of fine-grained magnetite in the palaeosol overprinting the fan sediments (WGK-A) and T2 (stage 4: Section 5.3), thus indicating a concordant phase of soil development.

5.2.3. Wilgerbosch River

The facies at WGR-4 indicate deposition in an alluvial fan. Unlike the headwater fans (Sections 5.2.1–5.2.2), the strong slope-channel coupling in the Wilgerbosch River (Fig. 12) means that fan aggradation is likely to have occurred in response to changing channel base level.

5.3. Terrace fills of the Wilgerbosch River and its tributaries

To demonstrate the sequences of terrace aggradation, soil development, and incision, the three valley settings are depicted for each phase. The following 11-stage model (Figs. 16 and 17) is proposed, based on the analysis in Section 4.2.

Stage 1: The fine-grained nature of T1 sediments exposed in the first-order gully at Africanders Kloof implies low energy sedimentation. The fact that the valley slopes toward the contemporary gully rather than away from it implies a colluvial rather than alluvial origin. In this case, the inverse grading characteristics may reflect size selective transport, with fines being preferentially winnowed from colluvium stored on slopes, followed by emplacement of coarser material either caused by (i) supply exhaustion of fine sediment or (ii) an increase in magnitude of overland flow. The magnetic susceptibility values for this sediment package more closely correspond to published values for sandstone (Rowntree and Foster, 2012), also implying a local slappeash origin. The occurrence of small infilled palaeogully structures implies that the slappeash sediment was episodically cut and filled. The lower magnetic susceptibility values compared to the floodout deposits just 100 m upstream, in concert with the sedimentological and morphological evidence, clearly demonstrate that the floodout was not a significant source of downstream valley fill. In the second-order channel, the basal horizontally bedded gravels may evidence ephemeral fluvial activity reworking some of the slope material from upstream. The diamictic sediments that comprise T1 in the gorge (GG-S, GG-2: Figs. 12C and 13) also reflect slope-dominated sedimentation, but the coarser nature of the facies here relative to Africanders Kloof is probably a feature of the higher slope-channel coupling. The dominance of sandstone clasts over dolerite implies locally sourced regolith rather than fluvially transported material from upstream. The angular nature of this regolith and absence of weathering rinds attests to the dominance of physical rather than chemical weathering – probably frost-shattering along bedding planes and joints. The vertical orientation of clasts that ‘float’ within a poorly sorted matrix indicates mass-wasting processes. The evidence for physical weathering and the diamicton nature of the sediments may reflect periglacial activity such as gelifluviation, with seasonal freezing and thawing of surficial layers of the groundmass (Benedict, 1976). The clast-supported gravel unit (GG-2, unit B2: Fig. 13) within this context, likely reflects the washing out of fine material by melt processes. In summary, this stage is characterised by colluviation and mass wasting with suppressed fluvial activity relative to stage 2.

Stage 2: The first incision phase (T1) was characterised by formation of a deep and extensive channel network on the basis of (i) the upstream extent of T2 at the confluence between the first- and second-order channels at Africanders Kloof; (ii) the fact that T1 has either been completely stripped, or only 0.8 m remain, overlapped by T2; and (iii) the depth of infilled palaeochannels sourced from the slopes that conform to the elevation of channel deposits (T2) on the valley floor. The occurrence of T2 at Wilgerbosch Kloof indicates connectivity was established with the upper parts of the system.

Stage 3: Up to 6 m of alluvium accumulated during the aggradation of T2. In particular, the association between the limited preservation of T1 and very coarse facies (groups 1–2: Table A.1) implies high energy flow conditions, probably debris flows. The proximity of these deposits to dolerite tors is significant as these tors constitute resistant landscape elements and produce steep topography conducive to generating rapid runoff (Fig. 2). During this phase, the evidence indicates a phase of connectivity between the slopes and valley floors. The facies associated with group 3 reflect channel and overbank sediments and thus are genetically and architecturally different from the sediments that comprise T1. The OSL age LV-515 indicates that aggradation of T2 at AK-11 (Fig. 8) terminated in the deglacial period (17 ± 2.5 ka). If this age is accurate, then T1 was deposited prior to this date, possibly at or around the time of the LGM. In summary, stage 3 is characterised by an initial phase of slope-channel connectivity caused by expansion of the channel network. Aggradation of the valley floors then appears to have occurred in response to the fluvial network becoming choked with sediment.

Stage 4: Following the aggradation of T2, a 6-cm-thick calcrite horizon formed. The micromorphological features (Section 4.2.2) are consistent with the ‘beta fabric’ (biologically dominated) calcrite variety (Wright et al., 1988; Renaut, 1993; Oldknow, 2016). The formation of
such calcrete types occurs in association with phreatic root systems that are accessing a deep or near-surface water table. Where the root networks come into contact with the water table, they spread laterally and subsequent calcification, dominated by biological fixing, generates a thin but laterally extensive calcrete horizon (Wright et al., 1995). Zones of decalcification accompanied by illuviated inset laminated clay coatings in the calcrete and palaeosol above attest to a shift in soil conditions (Yaalon, 1997). This is because clay illuviation is incompatible with carbonate-fixing conditions, as dissolved Ca\(^{2+}\) within soil water causes clay particles to flocculate (Kemp, 1985; Rose et al., 2000). This indicates that following calcrete development, water in the vadose zone drained freely through the profile as a result of a reduced water-table level. The presence of a second, thinner rhizogenic calcrete horizon elsewhere (see WGR-3 unit A: Fig. 13) indicates fluctuating groundwater levels. Because this variety of calcrete may be taken as a surrogate for the maximum upper limit of the water table, this indicates that the water table rose up to 6 m above bedrock in the tributaries (Africanders Kloof and the lower Wilgerbosch Kloof), whilst a maximum of 1.5 m above bedrock in the Wilgerbosch River was attained. The extensiveness of this calcrete attests to vegetated floodplains and slopes during stage 4 (Oldknow, 2016). The calcrete acted to blanket (Fryirs et al., 2007) the sediments associated with T1 and T2, with the exception of the upper Wilgerbosch Kloof where no calcrete is present.

Pedogenic calcrete has been reported elsewhere in the Sneeuberg where it cements deeply weathered gravels (Holmes et al., 2003) that are substantially older (48.9 ± 5.4 ka; Boardman et al., 2005) than the Wilgerbosch valley fills (17 ± 2.5 ka). The lack of well-dated modern
analogues of rhizogenic calcrete formation make it difficult to estimate rates of formation of such profiles (Wright, 1990), but Klappa (1980) reported living roots with calcareous sheaths implying that their formation is likely to be rapid compared to ‘alpha fabric’ pedogenic calcretes (Candy and Black, 2009). The calcrete in the Wilgerbosch catchment thus appears to have a different genetic origin and age to that reported by Holmes et al. (2003).

**Stages 5–7.** The presence of an inset third terrace (T3A) is a clear indication that the channels once again incised (stage 5); but because of the cemented nature of the valley fills (T1/T2), incision was limited.

| Chronology          | Africanders Kloof | Wilgerbosch Kloof | Wilgerbosch River |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| LGM?                | Stage 1: Aggradation | ?                 | ?                 |
| LGM/ deglaciation   | Stage 2: T1 incision | ?                 | ?                 |
| 17 ± 2.5 ka         | Stage 3: T2 aggradation | ?                 | ?                 |
| After 17 ± 2.5 ka   | Stage 4: Soil development | ?                 | ?                 |
| ?                   | Stage 5: T2 incision | ?                 | ?                 |
| ?                   | Stage 6: T3A aggradation | ?                 | ?                 |
| ?                   | Stage 7: T3A incision | ?                 | ?                 |
| ?                   | Stage 8: T3B aggradation | ?                 | ?                 |
| Holocene            | Stage 9: T3B incision | ?                 | ?                 |
| 0.44 ± 0.04 ka      | Stage 10: T4 aggradation | ?                 | ?                 |
| After 0.44 ± 0.04 ka| Stage 11: T4 incision | ?                 | ?                 |

**Legend**
- T1
- T2
- T3A
- T3B
- T4
- Watertable
- Calcrete

**Fig. 16.** Illustrated stages of terrace development in each of the three tributaries investigated.
compared to stage 2. The upstream limits of this phase of channel incision are difficult to constrain confidently. The absence of T3A from the upper 1.5 km of Africanders Kloof, the Wilgerbosch River (upstream of the confluence with Africanders Kloof), and Wilgerbosch Kloof could be a matter of preservation or that incision did not extend all the way upstream. The facies deposited in stage 6 that comprise T3A have been interpreted as migrating single-thread channel deposits. The conditions under which T3A incised and the upstream extent of this

| Stage 1: T1 aggradation | Stage 2: T1 incision | Stage 3: T2 aggradation | Stage 4: Soil development |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Wilgerbosch Kloof      | Wilgerbosch Kloof    | Wilgerbosch Kloof      | Wilgerbosch Kloof        |
| Africanders Kloof      | Africanders Kloof    | Africanders Kloof      | Africanders Kloof        |
| Sanora Gorge           | Sanora Gorge         | Sanora Gorge           | Sanora Gorge             |

| Stage 5: T2 incision |
|----------------------|
| Wilgerbosch Kloof    |
| Africanders Kloof    |
| Sanora Gorge         |

| Stage 6: T3A aggradation | Stage 7: T3A incision | Stage 8: T3B aggradation |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Wilgerbosch Kloof        | Wilgerbosch Kloof     | Wilgerbosch Kloof        |
| Africanders Kloof        | Africanders Kloof     | Africanders Kloof        |
| Sanora Gorge             | Sanora Gorge          | Sanora Gorge             |

| Stage 9: T3B incision |
|-----------------------|
| Wilgerbosch Kloof     |
| Africanders Kloof     |
| Sanora Gorge          |

| Stage 10: T4 aggradation | Stage 11: T4 incision |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Wilgerbosch Kloof        | Wilgerbosch Kloof     |
| Africanders Kloof        | Africanders Kloof     |
| Sanora Gorge             | Sanora Gorge          |

Legend
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Fig. 17. Palaeoconnectivity for each stage of terrace development derived from analysis of terrace continuity. Grey rather than coloured arrows and solid and dashed lines indicate where gaps exist in the stratigraphic record.
incision (stage 7) are unknown because an unconformity separates it from T4.

**Stages 8–9:** The facies associated with T3B at Wilgerbosch Kloof are interpreted as slopewash and channel deposits (WGK-7 and 8 respectively; Fig. 11). This implies that slope colluvium was washed into the valley floor and redistributed by fluvial activity. Magnetic susceptibility values are lower than those quoted for sandstone, probably reflecting dilution by sediment eroded from mudstone bedrock. The finer grain size of the channel sediments (WGK-8) indicates deposition in a sand-bed stream. Oldknow (2016) distinguished T3B from T3A on the basis of there being only incipient soil development and no cementation. Terrace 3B is therefore probably Holocene rather than Pleistocene in age. Unit T3B was then incised to bedrock level (stage 9). The restricted longitudinal extent of this terrace may either be as a result of low alluvial preservation potential or that this terrace was only deposited in Wilgerbosch Kloof.

**Stage 10:** T4 contains four distinct facies that indicate large shifts in river activity up until the late Holocene, but the expression of these shifts varies between the different valleys (Table A.1). The gleyed fine sediments (group 1) located in Africanders and Wilgerbosch Klooifs are similar to the mid-late Holocene vlei soils reported elsewhere in the Sneeuerg (Holmes et al., 2003) though these apparently represent pools that formed upstream of floodouts during periods of low flow along the Klein Seekoi River (Grenfell et al., 2014), rather than a continuous low-energy channel system. They represent deposition from suspension in a wetland environment (group 1) but, in contrast with group 3 facies, do not possess organic remains (Table A.1). Oldknow (2016) demonstrated that these units exhibit ‘paramagnetism’ attesting to dominance of iron sulphides that can form as a result of the dissolution of organic matter (Williams, 1992). On the basis of this evidence and the elevation of the calcrite formed during stage 4, Africanders Kloof has been prone to a higher water table caused by two factors: (i) relatively narrow valleys compared to the Wilgerbosch River and (ii) groundwater discharge from doleritic aquifers (1. Meiklejohn, Rhodes University, pers. comm.).

The second facies group, which buries these gleyed sediments, represents up to 0.8 m of unweathered overbank deposits reflected in their coarser grain size and stronger magnetic susceptibility, which are associated with the palaeochannel shown in CS-6-8 (Fig. 6). The third facies group, which consists of fine-grained sediments but contain plant macrofossils, are interpreted as low energy channel deposits in a wetland but have not been subject to gleying by a near-surface water table to the same degree as group 1. These appear to represent phases of relatively slow aggradation and stability on the valley floors. The last of these preserved phases occurred around 0.44 ± 0.04 ka (P-37289; Section 5.1), which appears to be considerably more recent than the vlei soils along the Klein Seekoi River (Sugden, 1989).

The coarse sediments (group 4) that interperse these wetland units exposed in the Wilgerbosch River banks are interpreted as channel deposits associated with flood events, with the normally graded finer sand and silt units representing receding flow conditions. The inversely graded sands and gravels are attributed to deposition of coarse material on bars at the channel margins during high flow (Hooke, 2004) and are a feature of contemporary flood deposits in the Wilgerbosch River (Oldknow, 2016). The increasing expression of these flood deposits here (WGR-1-3; Fig. 14) relative to Africanders Kloof (Fig. 8) may have been caused by greater discharge as the high-order channels integrate a larger catchment area. Furthermore, at Africanders Kloof, T4 in the second-order channel is mainly situated above T2, and thus, coarse deposits associated with T2 were not reworked (Figs. 6 and 9). Additionally, unlike the stage 2 incision phase, knickpoint retreat associated with the wetland channel (T4) was apparently not as extensive (stage 10; Fig. 17). Thus, lack of connectivity with sources of slope colluvium resulted in a supply-limited system with respect to coarse sediment. Flood events at Africanders Kloof are thus reflected in overbank sedimentation (group 2); whilst on the Wilgerbosch River, they manifest in the emplacement of much thicker, coarser channel deposits (group 4).

**Stage 11:** On the basis of AMS date P-37289 (Section 5.1), the incision of T4 probably occurred after 0.44 ± 0.04 ka, where up to 5–6 m deep channels were entrenched. In many places, incision proceeded to bedrock; and at Wilgerbosch Kloof, active knickpoint recession has carved a small inner channel through mudstone in places. This incision phase appears to be reconnecting formerly disconnected reaches of the valleys. For example, the top of a knickpoint formed through the floodout deposits at Africanders Kloof corresponds to the top of breached rock barrier 1 (Fig. 5A). This implies that the breaching of this barrier occurred during stage 11 and thus connectivity was established with the headwaters triggering incision of the palaeo-floodout (Section 4.1.1). In contrast, several of the unsurveyed tributaries remain disconnected from the main channels by wedges of intact valley fill such that they have not responded to the stage 11 incision (Fig. 17).

Erosion has stripped the fills from the Wilgerbosch River valley with remnants preserved in just three reaches (Fig. 12). Currently, aggradation is limited to pockets of inset floodplain (up to 1 m above the channel bed) in wider, low energy reaches upstream of bedrock knickpoints. In the tributaries, badlands previously reported and discussed by Rowntree and Foster (2012) are most common in deposits associated with T4 (Oldknow, 2016).

5.4. Processes and drivers of terrace formation

5.4.1. Base-level change

The Great Karoo has been apparently tectonically stable since the mid-Pleistocene (Bridgland and Westaway, 2008). The Wilgerbosch River has been buffered from effects of sea level fluctuations by the Klein Winterhoek Mountains to the south and the Great Escarpment (Hattinig, 1996).

Alluvial and bedrock incision have been linked to the breaching of geological barriers. On the Klip River, Tooth et al. (2002, 2004, 2007) outlined how resistant dolerite sills and dikes act to anchor upstream river longitudinal profiles. Lateral deposition occurs upstream of barriers in confined settings and vertical aggradation in confined settings (Tooth et al., 2013). Partial or complete barrier breaching causes floodplain abandonment upstream and channel incision through relatively soft bedrock underlying the dolerite (Tooth et al., 2004).

In the Wilgerbosch River catchment, the continuity of vertically aggraded terrace fills over the major knickpoints and knickzones portrayed in this study was an indication of catchment-wide phases of aggradation and incision. Whilst rates of fluvial incision through dolerite are unknown, several studies have proposed that barriers can serve as local base levels for 104–105 years (Tooth et al., 2007, 2013; Keen-Zebert et al., 2013), but the mechanisms of breaching are poorly understood. Springer et al. (2005, 2006) proposed that growth and coalescence of potholes can eventually form narrow and deep channels through the rock mass, whilst Tooth et al. (2013) suggested plucking of joint-bounded blocks as a zone of less-resistant rock is encountered.

Downstream of channel knickzones, the thicknesses of (3–6 m) and stratigraphic boundaries between terrace fills at Africanders Kloof imply that phases of bedrock incision have been highly episodic and potentially brief compared to phases of prolonged alluvial cover, particularly in the first- and second-order channels. Prior to stage 11, the last time that incision could have possibly exceeded the vertical depth of accumulated sediment deposited downstream of channel knickpoints here was during stage 2. During phases of alluvial cover, on the basis of the thickness (up to 0.6 m) and extent of clay soil formed on dolerite (Fig. 5), subsurface chemical weathering appears to have been an important process by which barriers were weakened. This would have rendered them susceptible to partial or complete penetration during phases of deep channel incision. An example of this is the incision of breached rock barrier 1 formerly decoupling the headwater floodout from the higher order channels at Africanders Kloof (Fig. 5A). In contrast, alluvial cover over sandstone knickpoints and knickzones is relatively thin (1–2 m), and no soils are preserved on bedrock. These factors imply that
mechanical erosion as a means of barrier incision has been more significant than chemical weathering in these locations.

Thus, in summary, the importance of in situ chemical weathering has emerged as another mechanism contributing to dolerite barrier incision (Tooth et al., 2004) and its importance for interreach scale sediment connectivity (Hooke, 2003), as well as sensitivity of response to downstream adjustments in channel long profile. The knickpoints catalogued in the long sections appear to have comparatively sustained more frequent mechanical erosion owing to locally thinner alluvial cover.

5.4.2. Climate

5.4.2.1. Last glacial maximum. Terrace 1 appears to have been deposited prior to 17.5 ± 2.5 ka (LV-515: Table 2), possibly around the time of the LGM (Bottleneck Stadial). In the nearby Drakensberg, periglacial activity in the form of rock glaciers, glacial moraines, protalus ramps, and aeolian dust accretions have been reported (Osmaston and Harrison, 2005; Lewis, 2008). In particular, head deposits have been found in the eastern Drakensberg above 1800 m asl and may indicate former mean annual average temperatures (MAAT) of ≤6 °C (Lewis, 2008). No minimum age for these deposits in the Drakensberg has been obtained as yet, but maximum 14C ages of 40, 37.2, and 26.2 ka have been obtained at different locations (Harvey and Lewis, 1990; Lewis, 1999, 2005) placing the genesis of gellefluctuate fills at or close to the MIS2 boundary.

The characteristics of these ‘head deposits’ (Lewis, 2008) closely correspond to the fills reported in the Ganora Gorge (Section 5.3), though the gorge is some 500 m lower (1295 masl) than the Drakensberg. This may indicate that temperatures were comparably low (MAAT = 6 °C or lower) even at this elevation.

Working on the Masotcheni Formation sediments in the KwaZulu-Natal, Tenne et al. (2008) proposed that solifuction was an important colluvial process prior to 29 ka, but that sedimentation halted throughout the LGM. This contrasts with other work that has demonstrated that up to 3 m of colluviation occurred during MIS2, though interspersed by four palaeosols implying variable climatic conditions (Clarke et al., 2003). Lyons et al. (2013), working on tributary fan sediments of the Blood River, also suggested that colluviation started prior to 22 ka; whilst Lyons et al. (2014) statistically demonstrated a link between arid, cold conditions (Partridge et al., 1997) after 28 ka and colluvial sedimentation on the Modder River.

Quantitative estimates of palaeo-precipitation for the Sneeuberg are unavailable, but Lewis (2008) proposed that precipitation may have been up to 70% lower than in the Drakensberg during the LGM. A comparable reduction in the Sneeuberg would mean annual totals of 127 mm·a⁻¹ relative to present totals (Grenfell et al., 2014). Subdued fluvial activity is reflected in the facies of T1 relative to T2 and so climatic conditions may have been relatively arid. Aggradation in other drylands like the Mediterranean has often, though not always, taken place during climatically cold, dry phases (Petit et al., 1999; Macklin et al., 2002), even in areas that exhibit different rates of tectonic uplift (Macklin et al., 2012). Various authors have proposed that this has been achieved through the effect of climate on vegetation cover, enhanced mechanical weathering, rock breakdown, and mass wasting in increasing sediment supply (Gil García et al., 2002; Woodward et al., 2008; González-Amuchastegui and Serrano, 2013; Soria-Jáuregui et al., 2016). In the SW USA, historical arroyo infilling has been linked to phases of declining rainfall (Love, 1977; Hereford, 1986; Balling and Wells, 1990; Hereford and Webb, 1992). Terrace 1 in the Wilgerbosch catchment appears to have aggraded under cold and dry conditions relative to stages 2 and 3.

5.4.2.2. Deglacial period. The timing of channel entrenchment in stage 2 probably occurred prior to 17 ± 2.5 ka. The impacts of transient changes in climate are widely understood to have the most impact on erosion and sediment transport caused by changing rainfall/vegetation phase relationships (Knox, 1972; Bull, 1991; Tucker and Slingerland, 1997; Inman and Jenkins, 1999; Zhang et al., 2001; Molnar, 2004). The depth of incision associated with stage 2 reflects increasing flood magnitude. This could relate to increasing rainfall around the transition of the LGM/deglacial period but also to changing dynamics of sediment supply (Section 5.4.3). The switch to aggradation in stage 3 is proposed to be primarily a complex response (see Section 5.4.3), but some broad inferences about climate in stage 4 are now proposed in light of general climatic patterns and characteristics from other proxy records for this time period.

Though elevated groundwater levels in stage 4 were partly a feedback response to flooding of the valleys (Section 5.3), evidence for comparatively high levels during stage 1 was not found. This implies that climatically wetter conditions prevailed in stage 4. Lyons et al. (2014) demonstrated that dry conditions persisted up until 15.5 ka. Reported high lake levels between 19.3 and 17 ka at Alexandersfontein just 80 km west of the Ekrooion site (Lyons et al., 2013) may reflect reduced evapotranspiration under cool, relatively ‘dry’ climatic conditions (Butzer et al., 1973; Butzer, 1984). However, Chase et al. (2015a,b) from a Hyrax Midden record in the Cedarberg, western Cape region, proposed that increasing humidity occurred in the early deglacial period (18–14.6 ka). They have argued that increased flow of warm Agulhas Current waters into the SE Atlantic and reduced northward heat transport in the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) favoured increasing advection of the tropical easterlies (from the Indian Ocean) in the western Cape (Reason et al., 2006). This model suggests that the summer-rainfall zone had expanded across the entire southern portion of the subcontinent during this phase. Had this impacted on the Sneeuberg (320 km farther south than the sites of Butzer and Lyons), enhanced summer-rainfall would have reduced drought-stress for vegetation. The thickness and extent of the calcified rootmats (Oldknow, 2003) indicates wetlands and slope vegetation unmatched by subsequent phases and could in theory reflect not only increasing precipitation amount but shifts in rainfall seasonality. As discussed in Section 5.3 (stage 4), the micromorphological evidence for a drop in watertable following the development of the rhizogenic calcrite implies that relatively arid conditions ensued thereafter.

5.4.2.3. Holocene. Holocene valley fills are a feature of other valleys in the Sneeuberg and wider Karoo, commonly consisting of clastic sediments buried by organic-rich fills similar to the T4 fine-grained units reported earlier (Bousman et al., 1988; Holmes et al., 2003). A key difference between the stratigraphy of the vlei deposits in the Wilgerbosch River and those in the Klein Seekoi is that they tend to be less thick and interspersed by coarse flood deposits. In addition the uppermost vlei accumulation in T4 is considerably younger (0.44 ± 0.04 ka) than that preserved in the Klein Seekoi River (2510 ± 50 Yr BP; Holmes et al., 2003). The age of the underlying flood deposits and vlei soils along the Wilgerbosch River has yet to be established. So far, the oldest date (7790 ± 90 Yr BP) for the organic-rich fills in the Karoo was obtained by Sugden (1989) at Blydefontie, but Holmes et al. (2003) obtained dates no older than 5790 ± 80 Yr BP from vlei soils in the Klein Seekoi headwaters. However, at Sani Top, Lesotho, Marker (1995) reported organic deposits of late Pleistocene (14 ka) age, but then a distinct ‘mid-Holocene organic phase’ similar to that reported in the Great Karoo (Holmes et al., 2003). This cyclical accumulation of organic-rich sediments supported by palynological evidence has been used to infer moist conditions commencing around 4600 Yr BP (Sugden, 1989), possibly linked to increased summer rainfall. Interestingly, Chase et al. (2015a,b) argued from their Katbakkies hyrax midden record that Holocene climate was variable in South Africa, reflecting variations in tropical easterly flow and the position of mid-latitude westerlies. They propose that periods of increased easterly flow occurred at 6.9–5.6, 4.7–3.2, and 2.7–1.6 cal BP, which overlap with the dates compiled by Holmes et al. (2003). However, as noted earlier, the vlei soils have more recently been discussed in the context of palaeo-floodout systems that are controlled by local valley morphodynamics and base level (Grenfell et al., 2014). The apparently diachronous nature of these
soils may therefore reflect phases of floodout evolution rather than discrete climate events of the regularity indicated by other climatic records (Chase et al., 2015a, b).

5.4.3. Geomorphic thresholds and complex response

Terraces can result from the exceedance of geomorphic thresholds and complex response (Schumm, 1973, 1977, 1979; Patton and Schumm, 1981). For example, phases of floodout progradation at Afircanders Kloof were shown to be a feedback response to reduced valley slope and loss of confinement upstream of a dolerite dike. Conversely, phases of incision were found to be related to factors of oversteepening, wildfire disrupting local vegetation cover, and knickpoint retreat. As previous observations have demonstrated, fluvial landforms controlled by intrinsic processes tend to be small (Womack and Schumm, 1977; Houben, 2003; Grenfell et al., 2014).

Some of the valley fills in the Wilgerbosch River catchment, however, constitute much larger features (at least 10 km long). Whilst continuous deposits may have a tendency to reflect catchment-wide changes in the sediment-discharge ratio and therefore some external (allogenic) driver(s), distinguishing deposits within terraces that are the products of alluvion from autogenic forcing is not straightforward (Wang et al., 2011). Only strong allogenic impulses may be sufficient to override local variations in channel slope, sinuosity, and barriers that may otherwise introduce autogenic noise and therefore amplify leads and lags in fluvial response to allogenic drivers (Vandenberge, 2003; Erkens et al., 2009; Lyons et al., 2013). For instance, the evidence for increased flood magnitude in stage 2 (relative to stage 1) may not necessarily indicate large increases in rainfall (Knox, 1993) but equally exhaustion of sediment supply from slopes, such that channels incised into the valley floor and progressed upstream by knickpoint retreat.

The identification, classification, and quantification of the transitions from allogenic responses to where intrinsic feedbacks and complex response take over in regard to fluvial evolution is important for relating specific sedimentary architectures to appropriate genetic drivers. For example, allogenically forced channel incision may occur; but subsequent expansion of the channel network, as demonstrated in stage 2 (Fig. 17), can produce temporary increases in sediment supply causing channel aggradation and a phase of disconnectivity (Horton, 1945; Montgomery and Dietrich, 1992; Tucker and Slingerland, 1997). Nicholas et al. (1995) applied the term ‘superslug’ to articulate major changes in sediment supply that produced basin-wide impacts. In the USA, aggradation rates of up to 15 cm·a⁻¹ have been reported where ‘superslugs’ have developed (Trimble, 1983). Hence aggradation can occur because of changing dynamics of connectivity. A similar mechanism may have operated in the Wilgerbosch catchment to trigger a switch from incision (stage 2) to aggradation (stage 3) as T1 was progressively reworked and new stores of colluvium on slopes were temporarily connected to the drainage network. In particular, palaeochannels that headcut upslope linking the deeply weathered dolerite tors to the valley floor at Aficanss Kloof in stage 2 appear to have contributed to this aggradation in stage 3. The thickness of colluvium required to trigger aggradation of the magnitude observed in stage 3 would have likely required an extended period of chemical weathering on the hillslopes that probably predated the LGM (Harden et al., 2010; Harvey et al., 2011). Conversely, the lack of calcrete in the upper Wilgerbosch Kloof is probably to do with the dominance of sandstone and mudstone rather than dolerite and thus the earlier terrace has been eroded. Additionally, sediment derived from mudstone has been shown to be particularly erodible (Rienks et al., 2000).

The thickness of the calcrete, particularly at Aficanders Kloof, appears to have had a significant limiting effect on depth of channel incision after stage 4, thereby enhancing disconnectivity. This meant that accommodation space in subsequent phases of landscape development (stages 5–10) was severely restricted. Unit T3A for example is only preserved at a few locations owing to its relatively uncremented nature and inset position. On the basis of the extent of pedogenetic overprinting of T3A relative to T4 (Oldknow, 2016), T3A appears to be considerably older than T4, which apparently was deposited in the late Holocene (Section 5.1) and is the best preserved valley fill after T2. If T3B at Wilgerbosch reflected the remains of a catchment-wide rather than local-fill terrace, this implies that additional ‘cut and fill’ cycles may have occurred between stages 7 and 10; but because of preservation factors, the stratigraphic evidence has been removed.

Nebulous rates of tectonic uplift in the Sneuweberg since the mid-Pleistocene have not been conducive to the preservation of valley fills of the age found in many basins across the Mediterranean (Hattinng, 1996; Macklin et al., 2012). Rates of landscape denudation have been low enough that epeirogenic uplift caused by crustal unloading has been negligible (Decker et al., 2011, 2013). Instead, alluvial preservation in the Wilgerbosch catchment has been spatially and temporally biased most likely by (i) indirect and direct lithological controls on base-level change (Tooth et al., 2004); (ii) the intrinsic properties of soil and sediment (Rienks et al., 2000); (iii) the thickness, spatial extent, and longevity of blankets (Fryirs et al., 2007); and (iv) to a lesser extent, tributary impoundment by pockets of intact valley fill in wider reaches (Brierley and Fryirs, 1999).

5.4.4. Alluvial preservation factors

Extent of alluvial preservation is controlled by a multitude of factors like incision rates, substrate lithology, lateral channel migration rates, tectonism, and valley morphology (Erkens et al., 2009; Fryirs and Brierley, 2010; Macklin et al., 2012; Keen-Zebert et al., 2013). Erosion of valley fills can introduce spatial and temporal bias in the alluvial record (Lewin and Macklin, 2003).

In the Wilgerbosch River catchment, substrate lithology has been important in direct and indirect ways for alluvial storage capacity. Lithological impediments (Section 5.4.2) have been shown to directly control alluvial storage potential within the Aficanss Kloof headwaters, which appear to preserve sediments of greater age than those reported in a first order gully (‘Compassberg Knaal’) of the Klein Seekoi River (Holmes et al., 2003). Conversely valleys carved into mudstone (i.e., Wilgerbosch Kloof) tend to be wider and less steep (Oldknow, 2016). The presence of an intermediate terrace (T3B) here may therefore be a feature of greater accommodation space (Keen-Zebert et al., 2013). However, softer bedrock lithologies are known to generate high sediment yields that can overwhelm stream power and trigger aggradation and backfilling (Bull, 1991; McFadden and McAuliffe, 1997). Therefore, T3B may have been restricted to Wilgerbosch Kloof.

Indirectly, the dolerite bedrock has impacted alluvial preservation capacity by having supplied calcium (Botha and Fedoroff, 1995) from weathering of anorthite that formed calcrete in stage 4 (Oldknow, 2016). This calcrete has acted to ‘blanket’ (Fryirs et al., 2007) and thereby ‘disconnect’ T1 and T2 sediments, the latter exhibiting the most extensive preservation. This may account for why there is such good preservation of the oldest part of the terrace record in the gorge, which ordinarily may be expected to preserve younger alluvial/colluvial units (Harden et al., 2010; Harvey et al., 2011). Conversely, the lack of calcrete in the upper Wilgerbosch Kloof is probably to do with the dominance of sandstone and mudstone rather than dolerite and thus the earliest terrace has been eroded. Additionally, sediment derived from mudstone has been shown to be particularly erodible (Rienks et al., 2000).
5.4.5. Land use change

The drivers and timing of the most recent incision phase (stage 11) evident across the Sneeuberg have been rigorously debated (Neville, 1996; Rowntree et al., 2004). The current consensus favours an anthropogenic driver, namely the European incursion of pools with discontinuous, low energy channels through wetland systems prior to incision. Beinart (2003) attributed loss of grass and invasion of shrubs around Graaff-Reinet (1810–1830 CE) to overgrazing by sheep. Skead (2007) reported that all major rivers of the Eastern Cape contained hippopotami when European settlers first arrived and quotes an example of wetlands (vleis) having been intentionally drained for agriculture near Somerset East in the 1830s. In addition to overgrazing, Neville et al. (1994) implicated wagon roads and tracks associated with the Kimberley diamond rush of the 1870s as a major factor contributing to vegetation degradation. Rowntree (2013) analysed several earlier writings about ‘the evil of sluits’ (linear gullies) at the turn of the twentieth century and demonstrated that erosion did not begin in the Sneeuberg until after 1820 but that gullies had been incised by 1870. Boardman (2014) similarly concluded that incision of the Klein Seekoi likely occurred between 1850 and 1950. However, elsewhere in South Africa an earlier incision phase has been linked to abrupt late Holocene climate changes (Lyons et al., 2013) rather than an anthropogenic driver as demonstrated in the Sneeuberg. In the context of the stratigraphic legacy of ‘cut and fill’ presented in this paper, the stage 11 incision phase remains unprecedented in terms of its depth and spatial extent.

6. Conclusion

Extensive alluvial exposures in the Wilgerbosch catchment have permitted the most detailed investigation yet into the characteristics, mechanisms, and drivers of terrace genesis in the Sneeuberg, an area located in a transitional climatic zone of South Africa.

The continuity of four major fills that are sedimentologically, stratigraphically, and magnetically distinct across the catchment is evidence of regional changes in the sediment-to-discharge ratio rather than individual reaches. Preliminary OSL dating evidence indicates that the oldest deposits are at least post-LGM in age but may well be LGM or older in the higher order channels. Having ruled out rock barrier breach, tectonic, and eustatic influences, complex interactions between periglacial and fluvial activity emerges as the most important control on cut, fill, and pedogenesis in the early part of the terrace record. A series of complex responses to this earlier phase involving blanket genesis is shown to decrease alluvial storage capacity, such that the terrace record appears to be spatially and temporally biased toward the late Pleistocene and late Holocene terraces (1–2 and 4 respectively). A secondary effect of this biasing is that barrier modification and incision by fluvial activity is highly episodic, but subsurface weathering is important for priming barriers to incision during periods when channel cutting exceeds terrace thickness. The multitude of geological barriers that appear to have been incised prior to late Pleistocene terrace formation may have sensitised the catchment to allogenic drivers such that ‘cut and fill’ features exceed the scale and complexity of those on the northward side of the Sneeuberg. Evidence of recent dolerite barrier breach in the catchment headwaters means that reaches formerly prone to localised autogenic ‘cut and fill’ have become sensitised to catchment-wide geomorphic adjustments. The most recent incision phase appears to be unprecedented in terms of its depth and extent compared to previous phases of channel entrenchedment.

Further research can test and apply alternative dating methods to quartz-OSL and 14C to calculate terrace aggradation rates, test extent of synchronicity within and between terraces, and compare against other regional geoproxy and palaeoclimatic records. The results of this study likely have wider implications for interpreting and understanding landscape response in morphologically similar headwater valleys in the Great Karoo, South Africa, and other global semiarid landscapes.
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Appendix A

Table A.1 Sedimentary characteristics of the major valley fills (superscripted numbers refer to distinct facies associations).

| Terrace | Log/unit | Morphology | Summary description | Interpretation |
|---------|----------|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1       | 1AK-5/A-B| Valley margin terrace. Most complete preservation in gorce and colluvial depressions in valley headwaters. Thickness: 0.4–5 m. | Altered massive or thin-medium horizontally-bedded sands (Sm) or bioturbated clayey silts (Fr). Gravels may be massive (Gm) or horizontally bedded (Gmh). | Fine-grained slopewash deposits. |
|         | 1AK-6/A  |            | Altered diamicton (Dmm) and clast-supported gravels (Gh). | Head (gelification) and slopewash deposits. |
|         | 1AK-7/A  |            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-8/A-C |            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-10/A |            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-11/A |            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-12/A |            |                    | |
|         | 2GG-S    |            |                    | |
|         | 2GC-2/B  |            |                    | |
| 2       | 1AK-7/B-Q| Typically overlaps or is inset within T1 on both banks. In cases where has been T1 stripped, T2 overlies bedrock. Thickness: 1.8–6.2 m. | Altered matrix-supported gravels with horizontal or planar cross-bedding (Gmn and Gp), and sands which are either massive or exhibit planar cross bedding (Sm and Sp) | Infilled palaeogully. |
|         | 1AK-8/A-B|            | Altered thick beds (up to 0.95 m) of matrix or clast-supported gravels (Gmn or Gh) and cobbles (Cmm) with basal unconformities. | Debris flow deposits sourced from nearby dolerite slopes. |
|         | 1AK-9/D-K|            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-15/A-B|            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-10/B |            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-11-B-E|            |                    | |
|         | 1AK-12-B |            |                    | |

(continued on next page)
Table A.1 (continued)

| Terrace | Log/unit | Morphology | Summary description | Interpretation |
|---------|----------|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| AKH-A/C | 3AK-13/A-C | imbricated gravels (Gh), cobbles (Ch) or boulders (B), interspersed by sand units (Sm) of varying thickness (0.1–1.5 m) or silty sand (Fsm). Sands may exhibit planar (Sp) or trough cross bedding (St). | silty sands. |
| AK-1/A1 | 3AK-14/A-J | Altered beds of matrix (Gmh/Gmn) or clast-supported gravel (Gh), sand (Sm/Sh) and silt (Fsm). Some units exhibit inverse grading. Planar and trough cross bedding may be present (Sp & St). | Medial bar, debris flow and channel sediments (2D and 3D dunes) deposited in a migrating channel. |
| AKH-B/C | 3AK-15/C | Overlaps and is inset within T2. Thickness ranges from 0.3–3.3 m. | 1Slopewash deposits. 2Channel bedload and bar deposits. 3Low energy wetland channel with near surface water table. 4Channel lag deposits emplaced during floods. |
| 3B | 3WGR-3/A-E | Slightly altered deposits of sand (Sm) and matrix-supported gravel (Gmh). | 1Low energy wetland channel |
| | 3WGR-4/A | 1Gleyed units of silty sand (Fsm/Fr) or sandy silt (Sm/Fl) which lack plant fossils. 2Unaltered, thickly laminated sands (Tl) or silt (Fm). 3Sandy silt or silty sand units which may contain fossil bivalve shells and/or plant macrofossils (Frc). 4Non-gleyed clastic units of matrix or clast-supported gravels (Gmh or Gh), sands (Sm) and/or silts (Fsm). Coarser units may exhibit inverse grading and vary in thickness (0.1-1 m). | 1Low energy wetland channel |
| | 3WGK-5/A-D | Restricted to lower WGK valley. Thickness: 2–3 m. | 1Slopewash deposits. 2Channel bedload and bar deposits. 3Low energy wetland channel 4Channel lag deposits emplaced during floods. |
| | 3WGK-6/A-C | Inset within T2 and T3. Thickness ranges from 0.95–4.2 m. | 1Low energy wetland channel with near surface water table. 2Overbank deposits. 3Low energy wetland channel 4Channel lag deposits emplaced during floods. |

Table A.2

Magnetic susceptibility and Coulter grain size data for discontinuous valley fills.

| Terrace | Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_\chi$ $(10^{-8} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1})$ | $D_{50}$ (μm) | $D_{90}$ (μm) | Textural group |
|---------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| AKH-A/C | AK-1/A1 | 0.40 | 162 | 004 | 0313 | 1354 | Sandy silt |
| AK-1/A1 | 0.60 | 070 | 009 | 1007 | 1616 | Sandy silt |
| AK-1/A2 | 0.75 | 164 | 005 | 0261 | 1338 | Sandy silt |
| AKH-B/C | AK-1/B2 | 1.10 | 160 | 011 | 0785 | 1605 | Sandy silt |
| AK-1/B2 | 1.20 | 076 | 005 | 0696 | 1525 | Sandy silt |
| AK-1/B3 | 2.00 | 147 | 006 | 0860 | 1573 | Sandy silt |
| AKH-C/D | AK-2/A | 0.10 | 089 | – | – | – | Sandy silt |
| AK-2/A1 | 0.80 | 087 | 005 | 0261 | 1338 | Sandy silt |
| AK-2/A2 | 0.25 | 080 | 003 | 0019 | 0132 | Silty sand |
| AK-3/A1 | 0.60 | 088 | 005 | 0549 | 1372 | Sandy silt |
| AK-3/A2 | 0.90 | 106 | 224 | 0907 | 1426 | Sand |
| AK-4/B | 0.30 | 088 | 013 | 0768 | 1689 | Sandy silt |
| AKH-D/E | AK-2/F1 | 1.75 | 150 | – | – | – | Sandy silt |
| AK-3/B | 1.65 | 144 | 004 | 0044 | 1218 | Silty sand |
| AK-4/E | 1.40 | 143 | 002 | 0010 | 0050 | Silty clay |
| AKH-E/G | AK-4/F2 | 2.05 | 146 | 492 | 1184 | 1788 | Sand |
| AK-4/F1 | 2.05 | 146 | 492 | 1184 | 1788 | Sand |
| AKH-F/G | AK-4/F2 | 2.05 | 146 | 492 | 1184 | 1788 | Sand |
| WGK-A/C | AK-4/F2 | 2.05 | 146 | 492 | 1184 | 1788 | Sand |
| WGK-B/C | AK-4/F2 | 2.05 | 146 | 492 | 1184 | 1788 | Sand |
| WGK-B/C | AK-4/F2 | 2.05 | 146 | 492 | 1184 | 1788 | Sand |
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Table A.2 (continued)

| Terrace | Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_s$ ($10^{-8}$ m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$) | $D_{10}$ (μm) | $D_{50}$ (μm) | $D_{90}$ (μm) | Textural group |
|---------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| WGK-1/A2 | 0.40 | 053 | 008 | 0932 | 1599 | Sandy silt |
| WGK-1/A3 | 0.65 | 058 | 026 | 1049 | 1733 | Sand |
| WGK-1/A4 | 0.90 | 054 | 002 | 0666 | 0945 | Sandy silt |
| WGK-1/A5 | 1.10 | 059 | 012 | 0975 | 1760 | Sandy silt |
| WGK-1/A6 | 1.20 | 040 | 164 | 1007 | 1654 | Sandy silt |
| WGK-1/A6 | 1.40 | 056 | 003 | 0477 | 1416 | Sandy silt |
| WGK-1/A7 | 1.70 | 047 | 167 | 0709 | 1568 | Sandy silt |
| WGK-2/C | 1.70 | 059 | 009 | 0580 | 1309 | Sandy silt |
| Unclassified | 4WGR-4/A | 0.30 | 042 | 021 | 0187 | 0466 | Sandy silt |
| 4WGR-4/B1 | 0.55 | 036 | 004 | 0066 | 0164 | Sandy silt |
| 4WGR-4/B2 | 3.40 | 027 | 002 | 0007 | 0046 | Clayey silt |

Table A.3

Magnetic susceptibility and Coulter grain size data for Terrace 1.

| Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_s$ ($10^{-8}$ m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$) | $D_{10}$ (μm) | $D_{50}$ (μm) | $D_{90}$ (μm) | Textural group |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Terrace 1    |            |                               |               |               |               |                |
| 1AK-5/B1     | 0.60 | 024 | 02 | 0010 | 0151 | Silty sand |
| 1AK-5/B2     | 1.65 | 070 | 44 | 0671 | 1649 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-6/A      | 0.25 | 112 | 05 | 0011 | 0118 | Silty sand |
| 1AK-6/B      | 0.70 | 099 | 44 | 1159 | 1816 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-9/B      | 0.20 | 059 | 13 | 0256 | 1491 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-9/C2     | 0.60 | 069 | 34 | 0517 | 1509 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-10/A2    | 1.05 | 024 | 05 | 0130 | 1555 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-11/A     | 0.30 | 028 | 03 | 0111 | 1321 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-12/A1    | 0.45 | 037 | 06 | 0060 | 1513 | Sandy silt |
| 2GG-S        | 1.50 | 056 | 02 | 0038 | 0940 | Silty sand |

Table A.4

Magnetic susceptibility and Coulter grain size data for Terrace 2.

| Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_s$ ($10^{-8}$ m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$) | $D_{10}$ (μm) | $D_{50}$ (μm) | $D_{90}$ (μm) | Textural group |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Terrace 2    |            |                               |               |               |               |                |
| 1AK-7/B      | 0.30 | 087 | 050 | 0939 | 1700 | Sandy silt |
| 1AK-7/E      | 0.90 | 087 | 019 | 0584 | 1681 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-8/B      | 0.70 | 091 | – | – | – | Sand |
| 2AK-9/F      | 1.90 | 092 | 007 | 0109 | 0438 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-9/H      | 2.30 | 099 | 010 | 0139 | 1232 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-9/J      | 2.80 | 111 | 010 | 0766 | 0730 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-15/B     | 2.20 | 087 | 010 | 0386 | 1159 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-11/E2    | 2.00 | 080 | 011 | 0094 | 1005 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-12/B3    | 1.60 | 062 | 011 | 0164 | 1647 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-12/B3    | 2.00 | 068 | – | – | – | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-12/B4    | 2.25 | 052 | 005 | 0079 | 0691 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-13/A     | 0.10 | 050 | 029 | 0680 | 1607 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-13/B2    | 0.60 | 062 | 012 | 0142 | 1120 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-14/A     | 0.40 | 068 | 017 | 1045 | 1743 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-14/D     | 1.90 | 066 | 008 | 0268 | 1212 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-14/H     | 3.20 | 042 | 007 | 0110 | 1404 | Sandy silt |
| 2AK-14/J     | 4.70 | 056 | 022 | 0841 | 1626 | Sandy silt |
| 3WGK-4/A     | 1.30 | 053 | 003 | 0449 | 1451 | Sandy silt |
| 3WGK-4/A     | 1.75 | 069 | 003 | 0483 | 1271 | Sandy silt |
| 3WGK-5/C     | 0.60 | 048 | 003 | 0059 | 0547 | Silty sand |
| 3WGK-5/D1    | 1.30 | 037 | 406 | 0983 | 1505 | Sand |
| 3WGK-5/D1    | 1.80 | 064 | 029 | 0841 | 1541 | Sandy silt |
| 3WGK-6/A3    | 0.70 | 028 | 003 | 0150 | 1560 | Sandy silt |
| 3WGK-6/C3    | 1.95 | 038 | 339 | 0973 | 1510 | Sand |
| 3GG-3/B      | 0.75 | 034 | 095 | 0752 | 1609 | Sand |
| 3GG-3/B      | 2.30 | 042 | 003 | 0071 | 0557 | Sandy silt |
| 3GG-1/B      | 1.10 | 040 | 002 | 0019 | 0240 | Silty clay |

Table A.5

Magnetic susceptibility and Coulter grain size data for Terraces 3A and 3B.

| Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_s$ ($10^{-8}$ m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$) | $D_{10}$ (μm) | $D_{50}$ (μm) | $D_{90}$ (μm) | Textural group |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Terrace 3A   |            |                               |               |               |               |                |
| AK-11/F1     | 2.85 | 34 | 006 | 0059 | 0872 | Silty sand |
| AK-11/F2     | 4.25 | 62 | 011 | 0285 | 1381 | Sandy silt |
| AK-12/C      | 3.85 | 55 | 009 | 0081 | 1325 | Sandy silt |
Table A.5 (continued)

| Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_{b}$ (10^{-8} m^3 kg^{-1}) | $D_{10}$(μm) | $D_{50}$(μm) | $D_{90}$(μm) | Textural group |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| AK-15/C      | 3.00       | 65                            | 337          | 1040         | 1756         | Sand           |
| GG-1/D2      | 2.90       | 43                            | 012          | 1064         | 1725         | Sandy silt     |
| GG-1/D3      | 3.75       | 42                            | 014          | 0903         | 1737         | Sandy silt     |
| Terrace 3B   |            |                               |              |              |              |                |
| 1WGK-7/A1    | 0.30       | 49                            |              |              |              |                |
| 1WGK-7/A2    | 1.00       | 41                            |              |              |              |                |
| 1WGK-7/A5    | 1.75       | 36                            |              |              |              |                |
| 2WGK-8/A1    | 0.20       | 37                            |              |              |              |                |
| 2WGK-8/A3    | 0.75       | 39                            |              |              |              |                |
| 2WGK-8/B2    | 1.70       | 36                            |              |              |              |                |

Table A.6

Magnetic susceptibility and Coulter grain size data for Terrace 4.

| Outcrop/unit | Height (m) | $X_{b}$ (10^{-8} m^3 kg^{-1}) | $D_{10}$(μm) | $D_{50}$(μm) | $D_{90}$(μm) | Textural group |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Terrace 4    |            |                               |              |              |              |                |
| 1AK-8/C1     | 2.00       | 51                            | 004          | 0052         | 1092         | Silty sand     |
| 1AK-10/C     | 3.80       | 29                            | 003          | 00750        | 1565         | Sandy silt     |
| 1AK-13/D     | 1.75       | 28                            | 004          | 00226        | 0177         | Sandy silt     |
| 1AK-13/E     | 3.10       | 16                            | 005          | 00500        | 0199         | Sandy silt     |
| 1AK-13/F     | 4.40       | 25                            | 006          | 00665        | 0770         | Sandy silt     |
| 1WGK-5/A     | 2.60       | 31                            | 009          | 00638        | 1582         | Sandy silt     |
| 1WGK-6/D     | 3.00       | 16                            | 001          | 00004        | 0018         | Clayey silt    |
| 1WGK-9/A2    | 1.50       | 12                            | 001          | 00035        | 0033         | Clayey silt    |
| 1AK-8/D      | 3.65       | 63                            | 007          | 00999        | 1705         | Sandy silt     |
| 2AK-10/D     | 4.80       | 61                            | 005          | 01061        | 1754         | Sandy silt     |
| 2WGK-4/B1    | 2.00       | 49                            | 020          | 0185         | 0999         | Sandy silt     |
| 2WGK-6/E     | 3.50       | 38                            | 047          | 0633         | 1414         | Sandy silt     |
| 3AK-16/C     | 1.05       | 40                            | 003          | 00358        | 0266         | Silty sand     |
| 3AK-16/C     | 1.45       | 75                            | 003          | 00992        | 1238         | Sandy silt     |
| 3AK-16/C     | 1.60       | 71                            | 004          | 0102         | 1431         | Sandy silt     |
| 3AK-16/E     | 1.65       | 45                            | 003          | 00739        | 1160         | Sandy silt     |
| 3WGR-1/E     | 1.05       | 19                            | 005          | 00665        | 0608         | Sandy silt     |
| 3WGR-2/A     | 0.40       | 18                            | 003          | 0140         | 0796         | Sandy silt     |
| 3WGR-2/C     | 0.90       | 22                            | 004          | 00216        | 1050         | Sandy silt     |
| 3WGR-2/E     | 1.40       | 32                            | 004          | 00005        | 0027         | Silty clay     |
| 3WGR-2/H1    | 2.70       | 21                            | 003          | 00669        | 0142         | Sandy silt     |
| 3WGR-2/H3    | 3.20       | 24                            | 012          | 0142         | 1120         | Sandy silt     |
| 3WGR-3/G     | 4.50       | 11                            | 002          | 00009        | 0039         | Silty clay     |
| 3WGR-3/L     | 5.65       | 22                            | 003          | 0029         | 0173         | Silty sand     |
| 3WGR-4/C2    | 4.05       | 16                            | 003          | 0058         | 0188         | Silty sand     |
| 3WGK-9/B1    | 2.25       | 13                             | 002          | 00009        | 0047         | Silty sand     |
| 3WR-1/D1     | 0.80       | 27                            | 031          | 0848         | 1431         | Sandy silt     |
| 4WGR-2/F     | 1.70       | 24                            | 014          | 00888        | 1275         | Sandy silt     |
| 4WGR-3/F1    | 3.25       | 23                            | 214          | 00955        | 1625         | Sandy silt     |
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