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ABSTRACT
We present a compilation of properties of the 105,783 quasars in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (DR7) quasar catalog. In this product, we compile continuum and emission line measurements around the Hα, Hβ, Mg ii, and C iv regions, as well as other quantities such as radio properties, and flags indicating broad absorption line quasars, disk emitters, etc. We also compile virial black hole mass estimates based on various calibrations. For the fiducial virial mass estimates we use the Vestergaard & Peterson (VP06) calibrations for Hβ and C iv, and our own calibration for Mg ii which matches the VP06 Hβ masses on average. We describe the construction of this catalog and discuss its limitations. The catalog and its future updates will be made publicly available online.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In recent years, studies of quasars and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) have been greatly facilitated by dedicated large-scale wide and deep field surveys in different bands, most notably by optical surveys such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) and the 2QZ survey (Croom et al. 2004). Indeed, the growing body of data has revolutionized the study of quasars and AGNs. Large, homogeneous data sets allow detailed investigations of the phenomenological properties of quasars and AGNs, offering new insights into the central engine powering these objects and their connections to their host galaxies, especially when combined with multi-wavelength coverage. At the same time, it has become important to fit host galaxies, especially when combined with multi-wavelength coverage. These data have led to a coherent picture of the cosmic evolution of the SMBH population within the concordance CDM paradigm (Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000; Wyithe & Loeb 2003; Hopkins et al. 2006, 2008; Shankar et al. 2009; Shen 2009), where the key observational components include quasar clustering, the luminosity function (LF), the BH mass function, and the correlations between BHs and their host properties. Increasingly larger data sets are offering unique opportunities to measure these properties with unprecedented precision.

In an earlier study of the virial BH mass and Eddington ratio distributions of quasars, we measured spectral properties for the SDSS Data Release 5 (DR5) quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2007; Shen et al. 2008a). We hereby extend this exercise to the Data Release 7 (DR7) quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010). We now include a more complete compilation than before of quantities from our spectral fits. Our measurements are more sophisticated than the SDSS pipeline outputs in many ways, and are hence of practical value. We describe the parent quasar sample in Section 2, and the spectral measurements and the catalog format in Section 3. We discuss possible applications of our measurements in Section 4. Throughout this paper, we use cosmological parameters \( \Omega_M = 0.7, \Omega_0 = 0.3, \) and \( h = 0.7. \)

2. THE SAMPLE
The SDSS uses a dedicated 2.5 m wide-field telescope (Gunn et al. 2006) with a drift-scan camera with 30 2048 \( \times \) 2048 CCDs (Gunn et al. 1998) to image the sky in five broad bands (ugriz; Fukugita et al. 1996). The imaging data are taken on dark photometric nights of good seeing (Hogg et al. 2001), are calibrated photometrically (Smith et al. 2002; Ivezić et al. 2004; Tucker et al. 2006) and astrometrically (Pier et al. 2003), and object parameters are measured (Lupton et al. 2001; Stoughton et al. 2002). Quasar candidates (Richards et al. 2002b) for follow-up spectroscopy are selected from the imaging data using their colors, and are arranged in spectroscopic plates (Blanton et al. 2003) to be observed with a pair of fiber-fed double spectrographs.

Our parent sample is the latest compilation of the spectroscopic quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010) from SDSS DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009). This sample contains 105,783 bona fide quasars brighter than \( M_i = -22.0 \) and has at least one broad emission line with full width at half-maximum (FWHM) larger than 1000 km s \(^{-1} \) or interesting/complex absorption features. About half of these objects are selected uniformly using the final quasar target selection algorithm described in Richards et al. (2002b), with the remaining objects selected via early versions of the target selection algorithm or various serendipitous algorithms (see Schneider et al. 2010), whose selection completeness cannot be readily quantified. For statistical studies such as quasar clustering and the LF, one should use the uniformly selected quasar sample. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the 105,783 quasars in the redshift–luminosity plane.

The reduced one-dimensional (1D) spectral data used in this study are available through the SDSS Data Archive Server online.
the radio flux density. We note that we may have missed some double-lobe radio quasars with lobe diameter larger than 1′.

To flag BALQSOs, we use the Gibson et al. (2009) DR5 BALQSO catalog to set the C IV and Mg II BALQSO flags (using their “BI0” flags). We also visually inspected all the post-DR5 quasars with redshift \( z > 1.45 \) to identify obvious C IV BALQSOs (we may have missed some weak BALQSOs). We did not perform a systematic search for low-ionization Mg II BALQSOs because of the large number of quasars with Mg II coverage and the much rarer occurrence of Mg II BALQSOs. Although we report serendipitously identified Mg II BALQSOs, the completeness of these objects is low. We identified a total of 6214 BALQSOs in the DR7 quasar catalog.

There are also subclasses of quasars which show interesting spectral features in their broad or narrow emission line profiles. Some quasars show a double-peaked or asymmetric broad Balmer line profile, which is commonly interpreted as arising from a relativistic accretion disk around the black hole (disk emitters, e.g., Chen et al. 1989; Eracleous & Halpern 1994; Strateva et al. 2003), although alternative interpretations exist for some of these objects, such as a binary SMBH (e.g., Gaskell 2010, and references therein). Some quasars show double-peaked narrow lines (such as [O III] 5007 Å, etc., Liu et al. 2010b; Smith et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009a), which could be due to either narrow line region kinematics or a merging AGN pair (e.g., Liu et al. 2010a; Shen et al. 2011). We have visually inspected all of the \( z < 0.89 \) quasars in the DR7 catalog (i.e., those with Hβ coverage) and flagged such objects.

3. SPECTRAL MEASUREMENTS

We are primarily interested in the broad Hα, Hβ, Mg II, and C IV emission lines, because these are the most frequently studied lines that are available over a wide range of redshifts. More importantly, they have been calibrated as virial black hole (BH) mass estimators (e.g., Vestergaard 2002; McLure & Jarvis 2002; McLure & Dunlop 2004; Greene & Ho 2005b; Vestergaard & Peterson 2006; McGill et al. 2008; Vestergaard & Osmer 2009; Wang et al. 2009b).

There are numerous studies of the statistical emission line properties of quasars relying either on direct measurements or on spectral fits of the line profile (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992; Marziani et al. 1996; McLure & Jarvis 2002; Richards et al. 2002a; McLure & Dunlop 2004; Bachev et al. 2004; Dietrich & Harnann 2004; Baskin & Laor 2005; Kollmeier et al. 2006; Fine et al. 2006, 2008; Bonning et al. 2007; Salviander et al. 2007; Sulentic et al. 2007; Shen et al. 2008a, 2008b; Hu et al. 2008a, 2008b; Zamfir et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2009b; Wu et al. 2009; Dong et al. 2009a, 2009b).

For the same set of data, different studies sometimes report different results for certain measured quantities due to the different line-measurement techniques used in these studies. Which method is preferred, however, depends on the nature of the problem under study. A classic example is measuring the FWHM in estimating the BH mass using virial estimators, where the usual complications are: (1) how to subtract the continuum underneath the line; (2) how to treat the narrow line component (especially for Mg II and C IV); and (3) how to measure the broad line profile, especially in the presence of noise and absorption. These choices crucially depend on the particular virial estimator calibrations used; in particular, one must use a similar FWHM definition as was used in the virial estimator calibration, and new methods of FWHM measurements must be re-calibrated either against reverberation mapping (RM) masses or internally

\[ f_{\text{6cm}} \text{ and } f_{\text{2500}} \text{ are the flux density (} f_i \text{) at rest-frame 6 cm and 2500 Å, respectively. For the quasars that have only one FIRST source within 30″ we match them again to the FIRST catalog with a matching radius 5″ and classify the matched ones as core-dominant radio quasars. Those quasars that have multiple FIRST source matches within 30″ are classified as lobe-dominated. The rest-frame 6 cm flux density is determined from the FIRST integrated flux density at 20 cm assuming a power-law slope of } \alpha_i = -0.5 \text{; the rest-frame 2500 Å flux density is determined from the power-law continuum fit to the spectrum as described in Section 3. For lobe-dominated radio quasars, we use all the matched FIRST sources to compute...} \]
between different line estimators. On the other hand, different line-measurement methods have different sensitivities to the quality of the spectra (spectral resolution and S/N), which introduce systematics when switching from high-quality to low-quality data (e.g., Denney et al. 2009). It is beyond our scope to fully settle these issues within the current study.

We remove the effects of Galactic extinction in the SDSS spectra using the Schlegel et al. (1998) map and a Milky Way extinction curve from Cardelli et al. (1989) with RV = 3.1, and shift the spectra to rest frame using the cataloged redshift as the systemic redshift. For each line, we fit a local power-law continuum $(f_\lambda = A \lambda^{-\alpha})$ plus an iron template (Boroson & Green 1992; Vestergaard & Wilkes 2001; Salvati et al. 2007) to the wavelength range around the line that is not contaminated by the broad line emission. During the continuum + iron fitting we simultaneously fit five parameters: the normalization $A$ and slope $\alpha$, of the power-law continuum, and the normalization $A_{Fe}$, line broadening $\sigma_{Fe}$ and velocity offset $v_{Fe}$ relative to the systemic redshift for the iron template fit. Because of the moderate spectral quality of SDSS spectra (median S/N $\lesssim 10$) $\sigma_{Fe}$ and $v_{Fe}$ are often poorly constrained; nevertheless the iron fit gives a reasonably good estimate of the iron flux to be subtracted off. The continuum + iron fit is then subtracted from the spectrum, and the resulting line spectrum is modeled by various functions. In the case of H$\alpha$ and H$\beta$ the adjacent narrow emission lines, e.g., $[O\,iii] \lambda\lambda 4959,5007$, $[N\,ii] \lambda \lambda 6548,6584$, $[S\,ii] \lambda \lambda 6717,6731$, are also fit simultaneously. Below we describe the detailed fitting procedures for the four broad lines.

### 3.1. H$\alpha$

For H$\alpha$ we use the optical iron template from Boroson & Green (1992), and we fit for objects with $z \lesssim 0.39$. The continuum+iron fitting windows are $[6000,6250]$ Å and $[6800,7000]$ Å.

For H$\alpha$ line fitting, we fit the wavelength range $[6400,6800]$ Å. The narrow components of H$\alpha$, $[N\,ii] \lambda \lambda 6548,6584$, $[S\,ii] \lambda \lambda 6717,6731$ are each fit with a single Gaussian. Their velocity offsets from the systemic redshift and line widths are constrained to be the same, and the relative flux ratio of the two $[N\,ii]$ components is fixed to 2.96. We impose an upper limit on the narrow line FWHM $< 1200 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (e.g., Hao et al. 2005). The broad H$\alpha$ component is modeled in two different ways: (1) a single Gaussian with an FWHM $> 1200 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and (2) multiple Gaussians with up to three Gaussians, each with an FWHM $> 1200 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. The second method yields similar results to the fits with a truncated Gaussian–Hermite function (e.g., van der Marel & Franx 1993). During the fitting, all lines are restricted to be emission lines (i.e., positive flux).

### 3.2. H$\beta$

For H$\beta$ we use the optical iron template from Boroson & Green (1992), and we fit for objects with $z \lesssim 0.89$. The continuum + iron fitting windows are $[4435,4700]$ Å and $[5100,5535]$ Å. For the H$\beta$ line fitting, we follow a similar procedure as H$\alpha$ to fit for H$\beta$ and [O$\,iii$] $\lambda \lambda 4959,5007$, where the line fitting wavelength range is $[4700,5100]$ Å. Since the [O$\,iii$] $\lambda \lambda 4959,5007$ lines frequently show asymmetric blue wings (e.g., Heckman et al. 1981; Greene & Ho 2005a; Komossa et al. 2008) and sometimes even more dramatic double-peak profiles (e.g., Liu et al. 2010b; Smith et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009a), we model each of the narrow [O$\,iii$] $\lambda \lambda 4959,5007$ lines with two Gaussians, one for the core and the other for the blue wing. The flux ratio of the [O$\,iii$] doublet is not fixed during the fit, but we found that the fitting results show good agreement with the theoretical ratio of about 3. The velocity offset and FWHM of the narrow H$\beta$ line are tied to those of the core [O$\,iii$] $\lambda \lambda 4959,5007$ components, and we impose an upper limit of 1200 km s$^{-1}$ on the narrow line FWHM. As in the H$\alpha$ case, the broad H$\beta$ component is modeled in two ways: either by a single Gaussian, or by multiple Gaussians with up to three Gaussians, each with an FWHM $> 1200 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$.

The single Gaussian fit to the broad component is essentially the same to the procedure in Shen et al. (2008a), and is somewhat similar to the procedure in McLure & Dunlop (2004). However, in many objects the broad H$\alpha$/H$\beta$ component cannot be fit perfectly with a single Gaussian; and FWHMs from the single Gaussian fits are systematically larger by $\sim 0.1$ dex than those from the multiple Gaussian fits (e.g., Shen et al. 2008a). The additional multiple Gaussian fits for the broad H$\alpha$/H$\beta$ component provide a better fit to the overall broad line profile, and the FWHM measured from the model flux can be used in customized virial calibrations. It is unclear, however, which FWHM is a better surrogate for the true virial velocity, that is, the one that yields the smallest scatter in the calibration against RM black hole masses.

### 3.3. Mg $\beta$

For Mg $\beta$ we use the UV iron template from Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001), and we fit for objects with 0.35 $\lesssim z \lesssim 2.25$. The continuum+iron fitting windows are $[2200,2700]$ Å and $[2900,3090]$ Å. We then subtract the pseudo-continuum from the spectrum, and fit for the Mg $\beta$ line over the $[2700,2900]$ Å wavelength range, with a single Gaussian (with FWHM $< 1200 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$) for the narrow Mg $\beta$ line component, and for the broad Mg $\beta$ line component with (1) a single Gaussian and (2) multiple Gaussians with up to three Gaussians. Again, the multiple-Gaussian fits often provide a better fit to the overall broad Mg $\beta$ profile; but we have retained the FWHMs from a single Gaussian fit in order to use the Mg $\beta$ virial mass calibrations in McLure & Jarvis (2002) and McLure & Dunlop (2004). Some Mg $\beta$ virial estimator calibrations (e.g., McLure & Jarvis 2002; McLure & Dunlop 2004; Wang et al. 2009b) do subtract a narrow Mg $\beta$ component while others (e.g., Vestergaard & Osmer 2009) do not. To utilize the Mg $\beta$ calibration in Vestergaard & Osmer (2009), we also measure the FWHMs from the broad+narrow Mg $\beta$ fits (with multiple Gaussians for the broad component), where any Gaussian component having flux less than 5% of the total line flux is rejected when computing the FWHM—this step is to eliminate artificial noise spikes which can bias the FWHM measurements. During our fitting, we mask out 3$\sigma$ outliers below the 20 pixel boxcar-smoothed spectrum to reduce the effects of narrow absorption troughs.

Unlike the cases of H$\alpha$ and H$\beta$, it is somewhat ambiguous whether it is necessary to subtract a narrow line

---

9 Hewitt & Wild (2010) provided improved redshifts for SDSS quasars. However, this subtlety has negligible effects on our spectral fits.

10 In some objects the narrow H$\beta$ component might have different width and velocity offset from those of the core [O$\,iii$] $\lambda \lambda 4959,5007$ components. Usually in such cases our procedure still provides a reasonable approximation to subtract the narrow H$\beta$ component; however, under rare circumstances where the narrow H$\beta$ component is not obvious this may lead to a biased narrow line subtraction. Nevertheless, fitting the narrow H$\beta$ line without this constraint would significantly degrade the reliability of narrow line subtraction.

11 In addition to Gaussian profiles, McLure & Dunlop (2004) also tried to fit the broad/narrow component with a single Lorentzian, but this does not change the measured broad FWHM significantly.
component for Mg II and if so, how to do it. On one hand, for some objects, such as SDSSJ002209.95+001629.3 and SDSSJ130101.94+130227.1 (e.g., Figure 2), the spectral quality is sufficient to see the bifurcation of the Mg II doublet around the peak. The locations of the two peaks indicate that they are associated with the Mg II λλ2796,2803 doublet, and the fact that they are resolved means that the FWHM of each component is ≲ 750 km s\(^{-1}\), hence they are most likely associated with the narrow-line region. On the other hand, such cases are rare and most SDSS spectra do not have adequate S/N to unambiguously locate the narrow Mg II doublet. Associated narrow Mg II absorption troughs can further complicate the situation by mimicking two peaks. Hence although our approach of fitting a single Gaussian to the narrow Mg II component is not perfect, it nevertheless accounts for some narrow Mg II contamination.

Figure 3 compares our broad Mg II FWHM measurements with those from Wang et al. (2009b) for the objects in both studies. Although we have used a different approach, our results are consistent with theirs, with a mean offset ≈ 0.05 dex. This systematic offset between our results and theirs is caused by the fact that they are treating the broad Mg II line as a doublet as well, while we (and most studies) are treating the broad Mg II line as a single component.

3.4. C IV

For C IV we fit for objects with 1.5 ≲ z ≲ 4.95. Iron emission is generally weak for C IV, and most of our objects do not have the spectral quality sufficient for a reliable iron template subtraction (e.g., Shen et al. 2008a). The power-law continuum fitting windows are [1445,1465] Å and [1700,1705] Å. We found fitting C IV with iron subtraction does not change the fitted C IV FWHM significantly, but does systematically reduce the C IV EW by ≈ 0.05 dex because the iron flux under the wings of the C IV line is accounted for. At the same time, fitting iron emission increases the uncertainty in the fitted continuum slope and normalization, due to imperfect subtraction of the iron flux. Therefore we report our C IV measurements without the iron template fits, and emphasize that the C IV EWs may be overestimated by ≈ 0.05 dex on average.
The continuum subtracted line emission within [1500,1600] Å was fitted with three Gaussians (e.g., Shen et al. 2008a), and we measure the line FWHM from the model fit. To reduce the effects of noise spikes, we reject any Gaussian component having flux less than 5% of the total model flux when computing the FWHM. However, unlike some attempts in the literature (Vestergaard & Peterson 2006), we do not subtract a narrow C iv component because: (1) it is still debatable if a strong narrow C iv component exists for most quasars, or if it is feasible to do such a subtraction; (2) existing C iv virial estimators are calibrated using the FWHMs from the entire C iv profile (Vestergaard & Peterson 2006).

Many C iv lines are affected by narrow or broad absorption features. To reduce the effects of such absorption on the C iv fits, we mask out 3σ outliers below the 20 pixel boxcar-smoothed spectrum during our fits (to remedy for narrow absorption features); we also perform a second fit excluding pixels below 3σ of the first model fit, and replace the first one if statistically justified (to account for broad absorption features). We found these recipes can alleviate the impact of narrow or moderate absorption features, but the improvement is marginal for objects severely affected by broad absorption.

3.5. Reliability of Spectral Fits and Error Estimation

Our spectral fits were performed in an automatic fashion. Upon visual inspection of the fitting results we are confident that the vast majority (≥95%) of the fits to high S/N spectra were successful, and comparisons with independent fits by others also show good agreement. However, the reliability of our spectral fits drops rapidly for low-quality spectra. Figure 4 shows the distributions of the median S/N per pixel around the line-fitting region for objects that have line measurements, for Hα, Hβ, Mg ii, and C iv, respectively. Although the bulk of objects have median S/N > 5 for the line-fitting regions, there are many objects that have lower median S/N, especially for C iv at high redshift. The effects of S/N on the measurements depend on both the properties of the lines (i.e., line profile, line strength, degree of absorption features, etc.), and the line-fitting technique itself (i.e., what functional form was used, how to deal with absorption troughs, etc.).

To investigate the impact of S/N on our fitting parameters we ran a series of Monte Carlo simulations. We select representative real spectra with high S/N, then degrade the spectra by adding Gaussian noise and measure the line properties using the same line-fitting routine. For each line (Hβ, Mg ii, or C iv), we study several objects with various line shapes and EWs. We simulate 500 trials for each S/N level and take the median and the 68% range as the measurement result and its error.

Figures 5–8 show several examples of our investigations for Hα, Hβ, Mg ii and C iv, respectively. As expected, decreasing the S/N increases measurement scatter. In all cases the fitted continuum is unbiased as S/N decreases. The FWHMs and EWs are biased by less than ±20% for high-EW objects as S/N is reduced to as low as ~3. For low-EW objects, the FWHMs and EWs are biased low/high by >20% for S/N ≲ 5. Since the median EWs for the three lines are >30 Å (see Section 4.1), we expect that the measurements for most objects are unbiased to within ±20% down to S/N ≈ 3. But for many purposes, it would be more conservative to impose a cut at S/N > 5 for reliable measurements.

To estimate the uncertainties in the measured quantities in our fits, we generate 50 mock spectra by adding Gaussian noise to the original spectrum using the reported flux density errors, and fit for those mock spectra with the same fitting routines. We estimate the measurement uncertainties from the 68% range (centered on the median) of the distributions of fitting results of the 50 trials. This approach gives more reasonable error estimation than using the statistical errors resulting from the χ² fits, in the sense that it not only takes into account the spectral S/N but also the ambiguity of subtracting a narrow line component in many cases (for Hα, Hβ and Mg ii). We estimate the spectral measurement uncertainties for all the 105,783 quasars in our sample in this way.

Given the automatic nature and specifics of our fitting recipe, there will undoubtedly be bad fits for noisy spectra or peculiar objects. We recommend using the reported measurement errors to remove suspicious measurements, e.g., Err > 0 AND Err < some threshold. Our fitting recipe was optimized for the vast majority of quasars in our sample, and it may fail badly for rare objects with peculiar continuum and emission line properties. These objects include severe BALQSOs, disk emitters, and objects such as J094215.12+090015.8, which has extremely broad lines that exceed our line fitting range. One should pay attention to our fitting ranges and these special objects upon usage of the cataloged quantities. For various reasons, one may want to check the quality assessment (QA) plot for individual fits to make sure that the fitting results are robust. Such QA plots are provided along with this catalog.

Finally, we note that we imposed an FWHM limit of 1200 km s⁻¹ for the narrow line components when fitting Hα, Hβ and Mg ii. This choice is motivated by the results in Hao et al. (2005), but is still somewhat arbitrary; narrow lines broader than this threshold are not unusual. On the other hand, if a Gaussian component with FWHM < 1200 km s⁻¹ can be fit to Hα, Hβ and Mg ii, it will be considered as a narrow line component and subtracted off. For these reasons, we urge caution regarding...
Figure 5. Effects of S/N on the line measurements for Hα for three representative examples. For each object, we show the actual spectrum (black line) and the best-fit model (red line) in the upper panel. The lower three panels show the ratios of the values measured from the degraded spectra to those measured from the original spectrum, as functions of S/N; black dots are median values and the error bars indicate the 68% quantile.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

objects for which the measured narrow line FWHM reaches the 1200 km s$^{-1}$ limit ($\sim$ a few percent). Upon visual inspection of the QA plots for these objects, even though the FWHM parameter reaches the limit, most of the fits still yield reasonable measurements of total line flux, line centroid and narrow line subtraction.

3.6. Host Galaxy Contamination

For the vast majority of objects in our catalog with $z \gtrsim 0.5$, host galaxy contamination is negligible. However, for the $z \lesssim 0.5$ low-luminosity quasars in our sample, the continuum luminosity at rest-frame 5100 Å may be contaminated by light from the host galaxies. Unfortunately the spectral quality of the majority of individual objects does not allow a reliable galaxy continuum subtraction. Here we estimate the effects of host contamination with stacked spectra.

We take all quasars with measurable rest-frame 5100 Å continuum luminosity, $L_{5100}$, and bin them on a grid of $\Delta \log L_{5100} = 0.1$ for $\log(L_{5100}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 44.1-45.5$. Following Vanden Berk et al. (2001), we generate geometric mean composite spectra for objects in each luminosity bin. The composite spectra are shown in Figure 9, where the flux gradually flattens at long wavelengths due to increasing host contamination at fainter quasar luminosities. This trend is accompanied by the increasing prominence of stellar absorption features and narrow line emission toward fainter luminosities. The inset shows the fractional host contamination at 5100 Å assuming that the highest luminosity bin ($\log L_{5100} = 45.5$) is not affected by the host and that the intrinsic AGN power-law continuum slope does not change over the luminosity range considered. The host contamination is substantial at $\log L_{5100} < 44.5$, and becomes negligible toward higher luminosities. The median value of $\log L_{5100}$ for quasars in this low-redshift sample is $\sim 44.6$, and therefore the host contamination on average is $\sim 15\%$, which leads to a $\sim 0.06$ dex overestimation of the 5100 Å continuum luminosity and thus $\sim 0.03$ dex overestimation of the Hβ-based virial masses for the median object. While we do not correct the measured 5100 Å continuum luminosity (and other quantities depending on it) in the catalog, we provide an empirical fitting formula of the average host contamination based on the stacked spectra (dashed line in the inset of Figure 9):

$$L_{5100, \text{host}} = 0.8052 - 1.5502x + 0.9121x^2 - 0.1577x^3$$

for $x + 44 \equiv \log(L_{5100, \text{total}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) < 45.053$; no correction is needed for luminosities above this value.
Figure 6. Effects of S/N on the line measurements for Hβ for three representative examples. For each object, we show the actual spectrum (black line) and the best-fit model (red line) in the upper panel. The lower three panels show the ratios of the values measured from the degraded spectra to those measured from the original spectrum, as functions of S/N; black dots are median values and the error bars indicate the 68% quantile.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

We suspect that host contamination is largely responsible for the apparent anti-correlation between $L_{5100}$ and spectral slope $\alpha_\lambda$, and the “negative” Baldwin effect (e.g., Baldwin 1977) between the broad Hβ EW and $L_{5100}$ below $L_{5100} \sim 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Section 4.1).

3.7. Virial BH Masses

It has become common practice to estimate quasar/AGN BH masses based on single-epoch spectra (hereafter virial mass in short). This approach assumes that the broad-line region (BLR) is virialized, the continuum luminosity is used as a proxy for the BLR radius, and the broad line width (FWHM or line dispersion) is used as a proxy for the virial velocity. The virial mass estimate can be expressed as

$$\log \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH,vir}}}{M_\odot} \right) = a + b \log \left( \frac{\lambda L_\lambda}{10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right) + 2 \log \left( \text{FWHM} \text{ km s}^{-1} \right)$$

where the coefficients $a$ and $b$ are empirically calibrated against local AGNs with RM masses or internally among different lines. Hβ, Mg ii, C iv, and their corresponding continuum luminosities are all frequently adopted in such virial calibrations. Although it is straightforward to calibrate and use these virial estimators, one must bear in mind the large uncertainties ($\gtrsim 0.4$ dex) associated with these estimates and the systematics involved in the calibration and usage, which will potentially lead to significant biases of these BH mass estimates (e.g., Collin et al. 2006; Shen et al. 2008a; Marconi et al. 2008; Denney et al. 2009; Kelly et al. 2009; Shen & Kelly 2010).

The virial BH mass calibrations used in this paper are from McLure & Dunlop (2004, Hβ and Mg ii), Vestergaard & Peterson (2006, Hβ and C iv), and Vestergaard & Osmer (2009, Mg ii). These calibrations have parameters:

- MD04; Hβ: $(a, b) = (0.672, 0.61)$
- MD04; Mg ii: $(a, b) = (0.505, 0.62)$
- VP06; Hβ: $(a, b) = (0.910, 0.50)$
- VP06; C iv: $(a, b) = (0.660, 0.53)$
- VO09; Mg ii: $(a, b) = (0.860, 0.50)$

We note that in a few extremely radio-loud quasars, the continuum luminosity is significantly boosted by the optical emission from the jet, which will then lead to overestimation of the BLR size and the virial BH mass (e.g., Wu et al. 2004). The fraction of such objects in our sample is tiny and hence we neglect this detail. But we caution the usage of cataloged virial BH masses for such objects.

---

$12$ We note that in a few extremely radio-loud quasars, the continuum luminosity is significantly boosted by the optical emission from the jet, which will then lead to overestimation of the BLR size and the virial BH mass (e.g., Wu et al. 2004). The fraction of such objects in our sample is tiny and hence we neglect this detail. But we caution the usage of cataloged virial BH masses for such objects.
In using each of these relations we choose the proper FWHM definition adopted in these calibrations. In order to utilize our new Mg II FWHM measurements (e.g., multiple-Gaussian fits with narrow line subtraction, see Section 3.3), we adopt the $b = 0.62$ slope in the BLR radius–luminosity relation in McLure & Dunlop (2004), and recalibrate the coefficient $a$ such that the Mg II-based estimates are consistent with the Hβ-based (VP06) estimates on average. We choose this particular slope $b$ because it was re-calibrated in McLure & Dunlop (2004) using a subsample of reverberation mapping AGNs that occupy the high-luminosity regime in the local RM AGN sample, arguably better than using the whole RM sample. We choose the VP06 Hβ formula to calibrate our Mg II formula because the FWHMs of broad Hα and Hβ lines similar to that found in previous work (e.g., Greene & Ho 2005b; Shen et al. 2008b), with the broad Hβ FWHM systematically larger than the broad Hα FWHM:

$$\log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}_{\text{H}\beta}}{\text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}} \right) = (-0.11 \pm 0.03) + (1.05 \pm 0.01) \times \log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}_{\text{H}\alpha}}{\text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}} \right),$$  

where the slope and intercept are determined using the BCES13 bivariate linear regression estimator (e.g., Akritas & Bershady 1996) for a sample of $\sim$2400 quasars with both Hα and Hβ FWHM measurements and FWHM errors less than 500 km s$^{-1}$. Our continuum luminosities at 5100 Å have a narrow dynamical range and suffer from host contamination at the low-luminosity
Figure 8. Effects of S/N on the line measurements for C iv for three representative examples. For each object, we show the actual spectrum (black line) and the best-fit model (red line) in the upper panel. The lower three panels show the ratios of the values measured from the degraded spectra to those measured from the original spectrum, as functions of S/N; black dots are median values and the error bars indicate the 68% quantile. Note that the He ii/O iii] complex around 1650 Å is not fitted.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

end, hence instead of fitting a new relation using our measurements, we adopt the relation between 5100 Å continuum luminosity and H α line luminosity in Greene & Ho (2005b, their Equation (1)). The virial mass estimator based on H α therefore reads

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_{BH,vir}}{M_\odot} \right)_{H_\alpha} = 0.379 + 0.43 \log \left( \frac{L_{H_\alpha}}{10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right) + 2.1 \log \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}_{H_\alpha}}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right),
\]

where \( L_{H_\alpha} \) is the total H α line luminosity. For quasars in our sample, Equation (10) yields virial BH masses consistent with the VP06 H β results, with a mean offset \( \sim 0.08 \) dex and a dispersion \( \sim 0.18 \) dex.

Similarly, we can substitute the continuum luminosity in the above recipes for H β, Mg ii and C iv with the luminosity of the particular line used, given that for broad line quasars the line luminosity correlates with the continuum luminosity to some extent. We determine these correlations using subsamples of quasars for which both luminosities were measured with an uncertainty \( < 0.03 \) dex. For the same reason as H α, we do not fit a new relation between the H β line luminosity and continuum luminosity at 5100 Å, and we refer to Equation (2) of Greene & Ho (2005b) for such a relation. The following relations are determined again using the BCES linear regression estimator (for both the bisector fit and the \( Y|X \) fit, where the latter refers to “predict \( Y \) as a function of \( X \)”), where the line luminosity refers to the total line luminosity\(^{14}\):

\[
\log \left( \frac{L_{\text{Mg ii}}}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right) = (2.22 \pm 0.09) + (0.909 \pm 0.002) \times \log \left( \frac{L_{3000}}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right), \text{ bisector, (11)}
\]

\[
\log \left( \frac{L_{3000}}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right) = (1.22 \pm 0.11) + (1.016 \pm 0.003) \times \log \left( \frac{L_{\text{Mg ii}}}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right), \text{ (Y|X), (12)}
\]

where the scatter of this correlation is \( \sim 0.15 \) (0.16) dex for \( \sim 44,000 \) quasars, and

\(^{14}\) For Mg ii, the difference between the total line luminosity and the broad line luminosity is small enough such that it essentially makes no difference in the linear regression results when we use the broad line luminosity instead.
or prefer an alternative proxy (e.g., line dispersion) for the virial velocity (e.g., Collin et al. 2006; Rafiee & Hall 2011). Currently there is no consensus on which version of calibration is better. It is important to explore various systematics with RM AGN samples and statistical quasar samples to determine which is the best approach to estimate quasar BH masses with the virial technique, and this is work in progress (e.g., Onken & Kollmeier 2008; Denney et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009b; Rafiee & Hall 2011). In the mean time, there is strong need to increase the sample size and representativity of AGNs with RM measurements, which anchor these single-epoch virial estimators.

We simply settle on a fiducial virial mass estimate: we use Hβ (VP06) estimates for z < 0.7, Mg ii (S10) estimates for 0.7 ≤ z < 1.9 and C iv (VP06) estimates for z ≥ 1.9. Figure 10 shows the comparison between these virial estimates between two lines for the subset of quasars for which both line estimates are available and the median line S/N > 6. There is negligible mean offset (< 0.01 dex) between these virial estimates (half by design), which motivated our choice of these three calibrations. However, as noted in Shen et al. (2008a), there is a strong trend of decreasing the ratio of log(\(M_{\text{BH}}/L_{\text{Edd}}\)) with increasing C iv–Mg ii blueshifts, indicating a possible non-virialized component in C iv. Richards et al. (2011) further developed a unified picture in which the C iv line has both a non-virial wind component and a traditional virial component, and it is plausible that the BH mass scaling relation based on C iv is only relevant for objects dominated by the virial component.

3.8. The Spectral Catalog

We have tabulated all the measured quantities from the spectral fitting in the on-line catalog of this paper, along with other properties.15 The current compilation extends our earlier SDSS Data Release 5 (DR5) compilation (Shen et al. 2008a) by including the post-DR5 quasars, as well as measurements based on new multiple-Gaussian fits to the lines (as discussed above). The format of the catalog is described in Table 1. Objects are in the same order as the DR7 quasar catalog in Schneider et al. (2010). Below we describe the specifics of the catalogued quantities. The SDSS terminology can be found on the SDSS Web site.16 Flux measurements were corrected neither for intrinsic extinction/reddening, nor for host contamination. We only report FWHM and velocity shift values for detectable line components (i.e., the fitted line flux is non-zero), except for cases where the line FWHM and velocity offset can be inferred from other lines (such as the narrow Hβ line, whose FWHM and velocity offset are tied to those of the [O iii] doublet).

1. SDSS DR7 designation: hhmmss.ss+ddmmss.s (J2000.0; truncated coordinates)
2–4. R.A. and decl. (in decimal degrees, J2000.0), redshift. Here the redshifts are taken from the DR7 quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010). Hewett & Wild (2010) provided improved redshifts for SDSS quasars. These improved redshifts are particularly useful for generating co-added spectra, but the cataloged DR7 redshifts are fine for most of the purposes considered here.
5–7. Spectroscopic plate, fiber, and Modified Julian date (MJD): the combination of plate–fiber–MJD locates a particular

15 Note that in Shen et al. (2008a) we only reported high-quality measurements with median S/N > 6 and a reduced \(x^2 < 5\) for a single-Gaussian fit to the line; here we retain all measurements for completeness.
16 http://www.sdss.org/dr7/
Figure 10. Comparison of virial masses between two different line estimators for the subset of quasars in our sample for which both line estimates are available and the median line \(S/N\) per pixel > 6. The left panels are one-to-one plots, where the contours are local point density contours estimated with a grid size of \(\Delta = 0.1\) on both axes. The right panels show the distribution of mass ratios between two lines, and the mean and 1\(\sigma\) from a Gaussian fit to the distribution are indicated in the top-left corner. Our new \(\text{Mg}\,\text{ii}\) recipe gives consistent virial mass estimates as the VP06 \(\text{H}\beta\) recipe (by design), and it also yields consistent virial mass estimates as \(\text{C}\,\text{iv}\) (VP06). These comparison results are similar to those in Shen et al. (2008a, Figure 6), where we compared between \(\text{H}\beta\) (MD04), \(\text{Mg}\,\text{ii}\) (MD04), and \(\text{C}\,\text{iv}\) (VP06).

spectroscopic observation in SDSS. The same object can be observed more than once with different plate–fiber–MJD combinations either on a repeated plate (same plate and fiber numbers but different MJD number), or on different plates. The DR7 quasar catalog typically lists the spectroscopic observation with the highest S/N.

8. TARGET_FLAG_TARGET: the target selection flag (TARGET version).

9. \(N_{\text{spec}}\): number of spectroscopic observations. While we only used the default spectrum in our spectral fitting, this flag indicates if there are multiple spectroscopic observations for each object.

10. Uniform flag. \(0 = \text{not in the uniform sample}\); \(1 = \text{uniformly selected using the target selection algorithm in Richards et al. (2002b), and flux limited to } i = 19.1 \text{ at } z < 2.9 \text{ or } i = 20.2 \text{ at } z > 2.9; 2 = \text{selected by the } QSO, H1Z \text{ branch only in the uniform target selection (Richards et al. 2002b) and with measured spectroscopic redshift } z < 2.9 \text{ and } i > 19.1. \text{Objects with uniform flag } = 2 \text{ are selected by the uniform quasar target algorithm, but should not be included in statistical studies; the fraction of such uniform objects is low (} < 1\%\); red dots in Figure 1).\]

11. \(M_i(\zeta = 2)\): absolute \(i\)-band magnitude in the current cosmology, \(K\)-corrected to \(\zeta = 2\) following\(18\) Richards et al. (2006b).

12–13. Bolometric luminosity \(L_{\text{bol}}\) and its error: computed from \(L_{5100} (\zeta < 0.7)\), \(L_{3000} (0.7 \leq \zeta < 1.9)\), \(L_{1350} (\zeta \geq 1.9)\) using the spectral fits and bolometric corrections\(19\) \(BC_{5100} = 9.26\), \(BC_{3000} = 5.15\) and \(BC_{1350} = 3.81\) from the composite spectral energy distribution (SED) in Richards et al. (2006a).

\(\text{18}\) The \(K\)-corrections here include both continuum \(K\)-correction and emission-line \(K\)-correction (Richards et al., 2006b); while the cataloged absolute magnitudes in Schneider et al. (2010) were \(K\)-corrected for continuum only, assuming a power-law continuum.

\(\text{19}\) The SEDs for individual quasars show significant scatter (e.g., Richards et al., 2006a), so the adopted bolometric corrections are only appropriate in the average sense. Some authors suggest to remove the IR bump in the SED in estimating the bolometric corrections (e.g., Marconi et al., 2004), where the IR radiation is assumed to come from the reprocessed UV radiation. This will generally reduce the bolometric corrections by about one third. However, we are not correcting for intrinsic extinction of the flux, and we did not subtract emission line flux in the Richards et al. composite SED when estimating the bolometric corrections, hence using our fiducial bolometric corrections will not overestimate the bolometric luminosity significantly.

\(\text{17}\) For more details regarding the uniform sample selection and its sky coverage, see, e.g., Richards et al. (2002b, 2006b); Shen et al. (2007).
Table 1

| Column | Format | Description |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1. .  | STRING | SDSS DR7 designation hhmmss.ss ± dmmss.s (J2000.0) |
| 2. .  | DOUBLE | Right ascension in decimal degrees (J2000.0) |
| 3. .  | DOUBLE | Declination in decimal degrees (J2000.0) |
| 4. .  | DOUBLE | Redshift |
| 5. .  | LONG   | Spectroscopic plate number |
| 6. .  | LONG   | Spectroscopic fiber number |
| 7. .  | LONG   | MJD of spectroscopic observation |
| 8. .  | LONG   | Target selection flag (TARGET version) |
| 9. .  | LONG   | Number of spectroscopic observations |
| 10. . | LONG   | Uniform selection flag |
| 11. . | DOUBLE | $M_i(z = 2) = h/0.7, \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7, K$-corrected to $z = 2$, following Richards et al. (2006b) |
| 12. . | DOUBLE | Bolometric luminosity [$\log(L_{bol}/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 13. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{bol}$ |
| 14. . | LONG   | BAL flag ($0 = \text{nonBALQSO or no wavelength coverage; 1 = C iv BALQSO; 2 = Mg ii BALQSO; 3 = both 1 and 2}$) |
| 15. . | LONG   | FIRST radio flag ($-1 = \text{not in FIRST footprint; 0 = FIRST undetected; 1 = core-dominant; 2 = lobe-dominant}$) |
| 16. . | DOUBLE | Observed radio flux density at rest-frame 6 cm $f_{6\text{cm}}$ [mJy] |
| 17. . | DOUBLE | Observed optical flux density at rest-frame 2500 Å [$\log(f_{2500}/\text{erg s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{Hz}^{-1})$] |
| 18. . | DOUBLE | Radio loudness $R = f_{6\text{cm}}/f_{2500}$ |
| 19. . | DOUBLE | Monochromatic luminosity at 5100 Å [$\log(L_{5100}/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 20. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{5100}$ |
| 21. . | DOUBLE | Monochromatic luminosity at 3000 Å [$\log(L_{3000}/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 22. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{3000}$ |
| 23. . | DOUBLE | Monochromatic luminosity at 1350 Å [$\log(L_{1350}/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 24. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{1350}$ |
| 25. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of broad Hβ [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 26. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{H}\beta,\text{broad}}$ |
| 27. . | DOUBLE | FWHM of broad Hβ (km s$^{-1}$) |
| 28. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the broad Hβ FWHM |
| 29. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of broad Hβ (Å) |
| 30. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Hβ,broad} |
| 31. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of narrow Hβ [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 32. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{H}\beta,\text{narrow}}$ |
| 33. . | DOUBLE | FWHM of narrow Hβ (km s$^{-1}$) |
| 34. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the narrow Hβ FWHM |
| 35. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of narrow Hβ (Å) |
| 36. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Hβ,narrow} |
| 37. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of [N ii] λ6584 [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 38. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{[N ii]6584}}$ |
| 39. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of [N ii] λ6584 (Å) |
| 40. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{[N ii]6584} |
| 41. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of [S ii] λ6717 [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 42. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{[S ii]6717}}$ |
| 43. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of [S ii] λ6717 (Å) |
| 44. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{[S ii]6717} |
| 45. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of [S ii] λ6731 [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 46. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{[S ii]6731}}$ |
| 47. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of [S ii] λ6731 (Å) |
| 48. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{[S ii]6731} |
| 49. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of Fe within 6000–6500 Å (Å) |
| 50. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Fe,Hβ} |
| 51. . | DOUBLE | Power-law slope for the continuum fit for Hβ |
| 52. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in \(\alpha_{H\beta}\) |
| 53. . | LONG   | Number of good pixels for the rest-frame 6400–6765 Å region |
| 54. . | DOUBLE | Median S/N per pixel for the rest-frame 6400–6765 Å region |
| 55. . | DOUBLE | Reduced $\chi^2$ for the Hβ line fit; $-1$ if not fitted |
| 56. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of broad Hβ [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 57. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{H}\beta,\text{broad}}$ |
| 58. . | DOUBLE | FWHM of broad Hβ (km s$^{-1}$) |
| 59. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the broad Hβ FWHM |
| 60. . | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of broad Hβ (Å) |
| 61. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Hβ,broad} |
| 62. . | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of narrow Hβ [$\log(L/\text{erg s}^{-1})$] |
| 63. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in $\log L_{\text{H}\beta,\text{narrow}}$ |
| 64. . | DOUBLE | FWHM of narrow Hβ (km s$^{-1}$) |
| 65. . | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the narrow Hβ FWHM |
| Column | Format | Description |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| 66...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of narrow Hβ (Å) |
| 67...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Hβ, narrow} |
| 68...  | DOUBLE | FWHM of broad Hβ using a single Gaussian fit (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
| 69...  | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of [O ii] λ4959 [log(L/erg s\(^{-1}\))] |
| 70...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in log L_{[O ii] λ4959} |
| 71...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of [O ii] λ4959 (Å) |
| 72...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{[O ii] λ4959} |
| 73...  | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of [O ii] λ5007 [log(L/erg s\(^{-1}\))] |
| 74...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in log L_{[O ii] λ5007} |
| 75...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of [O ii] λ5007 (Å) |
| 76...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{[O ii] λ5007} |
| 77...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of Fe within 4435–4685 Å (Å) |
| 78...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Fe, Hβ} |
| 79...  | DOUBLE | Power-law slope for the continuum fit for Hβ |
| 80...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in α_{Hβ} |
| 81...  | LONG  | Number of good pixels for the rest-frame 4750–4950 Å region |
| 82...  | DOUBLE | Median S/N per pixel for the rest-frame 4750–4950 Å region |
| 83...  | DOUBLE | Reduced χ\(^2\) for the Hβ line fit; –1 if not fitted |
| 84...  | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of the whole Mg II [log(L/erg s\(^{-1}\))] |
| 85...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in log L_{Mg II, whole} |
| 86...  | DOUBLE | FWHM of the whole Mg II (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
| 87...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the whole Mg II FWHM |
| 88...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of the whole Mg II (Å) |
| 89...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Mg II, whole} |
| 90...  | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of broad Mg II [log(L/erg s\(^{-1}\))] |
| 91...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in log L_{Mg II, broad} |
| 92...  | DOUBLE | FWHM of broad Mg II (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
| 93...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the broad Mg II FWHM |
| 94...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of broad Mg II (Å) |
| 95...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Mg II, broad} |
| 96...  | DOUBLE | FWHM of broad Mg II using a single Gaussian fit (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
| 97...  | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of Fe within 2200–3090 Å (Å) |
| 98...  | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{Fe, Mg II} |
| 99...  | DOUBLE | Power-law slope for the continuum fit for Mg II |
| 100... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in α_{Mg II} |
| 101... | LONG  | Number of good pixels for the rest-frame 2700–2900 Å region |
| 102... | DOUBLE | Median S/N per pixel for the rest-frame 2700–2900 Å region |
| 103... | DOUBLE | Reduced χ\(^2\) for the Mg II line fit; –1 if not fitted |
| 104... | DOUBLE | Line luminosity of the whole C iv [log(L/erg s\(^{-1}\))] |
| 105... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in log L_{C iv} |
| 106... | DOUBLE | FWHM of the whole C iv (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
| 107... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the C iv FWHM |
| 108... | DOUBLE | Rest-frame equivalent width of the whole C iv (Å) |
| 109... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in EW_{C iv} |
| 110... | DOUBLE | Power-law slope for the continuum fit for C iv |
| 111... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in α_{C iv} |
| 112... | LONG  | Number of good pixels for the rest-frame 1500–1600 Å region |
| 113... | DOUBLE | Median S/N per pixel for the rest-frame 1500–1600 Å region |
| 114... | DOUBLE | Reduced χ\(^2\) for the C iv fit; –1 if not fitted |
| 115... | DOUBLE | Velocity shift of broad Hα (km s\(^{-1}\)); 3d5 if not measurable |
| 116... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in V_{Hα, broad} |
| 117... | DOUBLE | Velocity shift of narrow Hα (km s\(^{-1}\)); 3d5 if not measurable |
| 118... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in V_{Hα, narrow} |
| 119... | DOUBLE | Velocity shift of broad Hβ (km s\(^{-1}\)); 3d5 if not measurable |
| 120... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in V_{Hβ, broad} |
| 121... | DOUBLE | Velocity shift of narrow Hβ (km s\(^{-1}\)); 3d5 if not measurable |
| 122... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in V_{Hβ, narrow} |
| 123... | DOUBLE | Velocity shift of broad Mg II (km s\(^{-1}\)); 3d5 if not measurable |
| 124... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in V_{Mg II, broad} |
| 125... | DOUBLE | Velocity shift of C iv (km s\(^{-1}\)); 3d5 if not measurable |
| 126... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in V_{C iv} |
| 127... | DOUBLE | Virial BH mass based on Hβ [MD04, log(M_{BH, vir}/M_{⊙})] |
| 128... | DOUBLE | Measurement uncertainty in log M_{BH, vir} (Hβ, MD04) |
| 129... | DOUBLE | Virial BH mass based on Hβ [VP06, log(M_{BH, vir}/M_{⊙})] |
| 130... | DOUBLE | Measurement uncertainty in log M_{BH, vir} (Hβ, VP06) |
14. BAL flag: 0 = nonBALQSO or no wavelength coverage; 1 = C iv HiBALQSO; 2 = Mg ii LoBALQSO; 3 = both 1 and 2. The LoBALQSO selection is very incomplete as discussed in Section 2.

15. FIRST radio flag: −1 = not in FIRST footprint; 0 = FIRST undetected; 1 = core dominant (for details, see Jiang et al. 2007; and discussion in Section 2). Note these two classes of radio morphology do not necessarily correspond to the FR I and FR II types (see Lin et al. 2010 for more details).

16–17. Observed radio flux density at rest-frame 6 cm $f_{6\text{cm}}$ and optical flux density at rest-frame 2500 Å $f_{2500}$. Both are in the observed frame.

18. Radio loudness $R \equiv f_{6\text{cm}}/f_{2500}$.

19–24. $L_{5100}$, $L_{3000}$, $L_{1350}$ and their errors: continuum luminosity at 5100 Å, 3000 Å and 1350 Å, measured from the spectral fits. No correction for host contamination is made (see discussion in Section 3.6).

25–30. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the broad Hα component.

31–36. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the narrow Hα component.

37–40. Line luminosity, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for narrow [N ii] $\lambda 6584$.

41–44. Line luminosity, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for narrow [S ii] $\lambda 6717$.

45–48. Line luminosity, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for narrow [S ii] $\lambda 6731$.

49–50. 6000–6500 Å iron rest-frame equivalent width and its error.

51–52. Power-law slope $\alpha$, and its error for the continuum fit for Hα.

53–54. Number of good pixels and median S/N per pixel for the Hα region (6400–6765 Å).

55. Reduced $\chi^2$ for the Hα line fit; −1 if not fitted.

56–61. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the broad Hβ component.

62–67. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the narrow Hβ component.

68. FWHM of broad Hβ using a single Gaussian fit (Shen et al. 2008a).

69–72. Line luminosity, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for [O iii] $\lambda 4959$.

73–76. Line luminosity, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for [O iii] $\lambda 5007$.

77–78. 4435–4685 Å iron rest-frame equivalent width and its error.

79–80. Power-law slope $\alpha$, and its error for the continuum fit for Hβ.

81–82. Number of good pixels and median S/N per pixel for the Hβ region (4750–4950 Å).

83. Reduced $\chi^2$ for the Hβ line fit; −1 if not fitted.

84–89. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the whole Mg ii profile.

90–95. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the broad Mg ii profile.

96. FWHM of broad Mg ii using a single Gaussian fit (Shen et al. 2008a).

97–98. 2200–3090 Å iron rest-frame equivalent width and its error.

99–100. Power-law slope $\alpha$, and its error for the continuum fit for Mg ii.

101–102. Number of good pixels and median S/N per pixel for the Mg ii region (2700–2900 Å).

103. Reduced $\chi^2$ for the Mg ii line fit; −1 if not fitted.

104–109. Line luminosity, FWHM, rest-frame equivalent width, and their errors for the whole C iv profile.

110–111. Power-law slope $\alpha$, and its error for the continuum fit for C iv.

112–113. Number of good pixels and median S/N per pixel for the C iv region (1500–1600 Å).

114. Reduced $\chi^2$ for the C iv line fit; −1 if not fitted.

Table 1
(Continued)

| Column | Format | Description |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| 131... | DOUBLE | Virial BH mass based on Mg ii [$\log(M_{\text{BH, Vir}}/M_\odot)$] |
| 132... | DOUBLE | Measurement uncertainty in $\log M_{\text{BH, Vir}}$ (Mg ii, MD04) |
| 133... | DOUBLE | Virial BH mass based on Mg ii [V099, $\log(M_{\text{BH, Vir}}/M_\odot)$] |
| 134... | DOUBLE | Measurement uncertainty in $\log M_{\text{BH, Vir}}$ (Mg ii, V099) |
| 135... | DOUBLE | Virial BH mass based on Mg ii [$\log(M_{\text{BH, Vir}}/M_\odot)$] |
| 136... | DOUBLE | Measurement uncertainty in $\log M_{\text{BH, Vir}}$ (Mg ii, S10) |
| 137... | DOUBLE | Virial BH mass based on C iv [VP06, $\log(M_{\text{BH, Vir}}/M_\odot)$] |
| 138... | DOUBLE | Measurement uncertainty in $\log M_{\text{BH, Vir}}$ (C iv, VP06) |
| 139... | DOUBLE | The adopted fiducial virial BH mass [$\log(M_{\text{BH, Vir}}/M_\odot)$] |
| 140... | DOUBLE | Uncertainty in the fiducial virial BH mass (measurement uncertainty only) |
| 141... | DOUBLE | Eddington ratio based on the fiducial virial BH mass [$\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}})$] |
| 142... | LONG  | Special interest flag |

Notes. Objects are in the same order as in the DR7 quasar catalog Schneider et al. 2010. $K$-corrections are the same as in Richards et al. 2006a. Bolometric luminosities computed using bolometric corrections in Richards et al. 2006b using one of the 5100 Å, 3000 Å, or 1350 Å monochromatic luminosities depending on redshift. Uncertainties are measurement errors only. Unless otherwise stated, null value (indicating unmeasurable) is zero for a quantity and −1 for its associated error.
115–126. Velocity shifts (and their errors) relative to the systemic redshift (cataloged in Schneider et al. 2010) for broad Hα, narrow Hα, broad Hβ, narrow Hβ, broad Mg ii, and C iv. The velocity shifts for the broad lines are measured from the peak of the multiple-Gaussian model fit to the broad component.20 Recall that the velocity shifts of narrow lines were tied together during spectral fits. These velocity shifts can be used to compute the relative velocity offsets between two lines for the same object, such as the C iv–Mg ii blueshift, but should not be interpreted as the velocity shifts from the rest frame of the host galaxy due to uncertainties in the systemic redshift. Positive values indicate blueshift and negative values indicate redshift; value of 3 × 105 indicates an unmeasurable quantity.

127–138. Virial BH masses using calibrations of Hβ (MD04), Hβ (VP06), Mg ii (MD04), Mg ii (VO09), Mg ii (S10), and C iv (VP06). The definitions of the acronym names of each calibration can be found in Section 3.7. Zero value indicates an unmeasurable quantity. We use FWHMs from a single Gaussian fit to the broad component for Hβ (MD04) and Mg ii (MD04); FWHMs from the multiple-Gaussian fit to the broad Hβ for Hβ (VP06); FWHMs from the multiple-Gaussian fit to the entire Mg ii and C iv lines for Mg ii (VO09) and C iv (VP06), respectively; FWHMs from the multiple-Gaussian fit to the broad Mg ii line for Mg ii (S10). See Section 3 for details.

139. The adopted fiducial virial BH mass if more than one estimate is available. See detailed discussion in Section 3.7.

140. The measurement uncertainty of the adopted fiducial virial BH mass, propagated from the measurement uncertainties of continuum luminosity and FWHM. Note that this uncertainty includes neither the statistical uncertainty (≥0.3–0.4 dex) for virial mass calibrations, nor the systematic uncertainties with these virial BH masses.

141. Eddington ratio computed using the fiducial virial BH mass.

142. Special interest flag. This is a binary flag: bit#0 set = disk emitters with high confidence (the vast majority are selected based on the Balmer lines); bit#1 set = disk emitter candidates; bit#2 set = double-peaked [O iii] λλ4959,5007 lines. These flags were set upon visual inspection of all z < 0.89 quasars in the catalog. In particular, disk emitter candidates (bit#1 = 1) are those with asymmetric broad Balmer line profile or systematic velocity shifts from the narrow lines; while those with high confidence (bit#0 = 1) show unambiguous double-peaked (or highly asymmetric) broad line profile or large velocity offsets between the broad and narrow lines. Figure 11 shows two examples of disk emitters with high confidence. We call these objects “disk emitters” even though some of them may be explained by alternative scenarios, such as a close SMBH binary (e.g., see discussion in Shen & Loeb 2010).

4. APPLICATIONS

The spectral measurements described above can be used to study the statistical properties of broad line quasars. Here we briefly discuss some applications of this spectral catalog.

4.1. Correlations Between Emission Line Properties

One great virtue of the SDSS DR7 quasar sample is that it provides unprecedented statistics for broad-line quasar properties. To demonstrate this, Figures 12–14 show some statistical properties of quasars using our spectral measurements for Hβ, Mg ii, and C iv, respectively. These figures show the typical values of these properties for SDSS quasars as a quick reference. We do not show a similar figure for Hα because quasars with Hα coverage represent only a tiny fraction of the whole sample, and because host contamination is more severe for these low-redshift quasars.

There are correlations among the properties shown in Figures 12–14. Some of these correlations are not due to selection effects. For instance, the well-known Baldwin effect (Baldwin 1977), i.e., the anti-correlation between line EW and continuum luminosity, is clearly seen for C iv and Mg ii. There are also strong correlations between EW and FWHM for Mg ii (e.g., Dong et al. 2009b) and C iv, which are not due to any apparent selection effects. The statistics of our catalog now allows in-depth investigations of these correlations when binning in different quantities such as redshift or luminosity, and to probe the origins of these correlations. However, there are some apparent correlations which are likely due to selection effects inherent in a flux-limited sample, or host contamination. For instance, the apparent anti-correlation between αH and log L5100, and the mild negative Baldwin effect below log L5100 ≥ 45 for Hβ seen in Figure 12, are most likely caused by increasing host contamination toward fainter luminosities (see Section 3.6). Moreover, the spectral quality (mainly S/N) has important effects on the measured quantities and may bias the measurements at the low S/N end. Thus one must take these issues into account when using the catalog to study correlations among various properties. The detailed investigations of various correlations will be presented elsewhere.

Figure 15 shows the so-called 4DE1 projection in the Hβ FWHM versus RFe ii = EWFe ii4434–4684/EW Hβ space (e.g.,
Figure 12. Statistical properties for H$\beta$ based on our spectral measurements (for all quasars). Contours are local point density contours estimated with a grid size of $\Delta = 0.1$ on both axes ($\Delta = 2$ on the median S/N axis). Contour levels are equally spaced linearly with their values marked for the outermost several contours. The strong anti-correlation between the power-law continuum slope and luminosity reflects the increasing host galaxy contamination toward fainter quasar luminosities (see Section 3.6 and Figure 9).

Sulentic et al. 2000, 2002; Zamfir et al. 2010), which is an extension of the eigenvector space for quasar properties suggested by Boroson & Green (1992). Objects with H$\beta$ FWHM > 4000 km s$^{-1}$ (i.e., population “B” in the terminology of the 4DE1 parameter space of Sulentic and collaborators) have a tendency to have weaker relative iron emission strength for larger FWHMs. The black contours show the distribution of all quasars while the red contours show the distribution of radio-loud ($R > 10$) quasars. It appears that the radio-loud contours are more vertically elongated, broadly consistent with the phenomenological classification scheme based on the 4DE1 parameter space (e.g., Sulentic et al. 2000, 2002; Zamfir et al.
Figure 13. Statistical properties for Mg II based on our spectral measurements (for all quasars). Contours are local point density contours estimated with a grid size of $\Delta = 0.1$ on both axes ($\Delta = 2$ on the median S/N axis). Contour levels are equally spaced linearly with their values marked for the outermost several contours. There are several known correlations, such as the Mg II Baldwin effect (e.g., Baldwin 1977; Croom et al. 2002), and the correlation between FWHM and EW (e.g., Dong et al. 2009a).

The physics driving these characteristics in the parameter space is currently not clear and deserves further study.

Figure 16 shows the correlation between the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ luminosity and the continuum luminosity at 5100 Å. This correlation is usually used to estimate the bolometric luminosity using the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ luminosity as a surrogate for type-2 quasars (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 2003; Zakamska et al. 2003; Heckman et al. 2004; Reyes et al. 2008). While the correlation is apparent, it has a large scatter, as noted in earlier studies (e.g., Heckman et al. 2004; Reyes et al. 2008). The mean linear relation is

$$\log L_{[O\text{ III}]\lambda 5007} \approx \log L_{5100} - 2.5$$

(15)
Figure 14. Statistical properties for \( C_{\text{iv}} \) based on our spectral measurements (for all quasars). Contours are local point density contours estimated with a grid size of \( \Delta = 0.1 \) on both axes (\( \Delta = 2 \) on the median S/N axis). Contour levels are equally spaced linearly with their values marked for the outermost several contours. There are several correlations involving \( \text{EW}, \text{FWHM}, \) and luminosity that may be different manifestations of the same phenomenon (e.g., Baldwin 1977; Richards et al. 2002a). The clustering of a population of low S/N objects is caused by the quasar target selection, i.e., quasars are targeted to a fainter limiting magnitude at \( z \gtrsim 2.9 \) (see Figure 1).

with a scatter \( \sim 0.35 \) dex. Using the bolometric correction from Richards et al. (2006a), a crude conversion between \( L_{\text{[O\text{iii}]}}^{5007} \) and the quasar bolometric luminosity is: \( L_{\text{bol}} \approx 3200 L_{\text{[O\text{iii}]}}^{5007} \).

4.2. Emission Line Shifts

Figure 17 shows the distributions of velocity shifts between various emission lines. Recall that the velocity of the broad
lines is measured from the peak of the multiple-Gaussian fit. The left panel of Figure 17 shows the distributions of velocity shifts between the broad Balmer lines and the narrow lines. The means of these distributions are consistent with zero, hence there is no offset in the mean between the broad and narrow Balmer lines (cf. Bonning et al. 2007). We note that if we did not account for the blue wings of the narrow [O III] λλ4959,5007 lines during spectral fitting, there would be a net redshift of the order of $\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$ between the broad Hβ line and [O III], which is inconsistent with the results for Hα versus [S II]. The right panel of Figure 17 shows the velocity offsets between Mg II and [O III] and between C IV and Mg II. The Mg II line shows no mean offset from [O III], while the C IV line shows a systematic blueshift of $\sim 600$ km s$^{-1}$ with respect to Mg II (e.g., Gaskell 1982; Tytler & Fan 1992; Richards et al. 2002a); see Richards et al. (2011) for further discussion.

It is interesting to note that many of the objects in the wings of the velocity offset distributions of the broad Balmer lines versus the narrow lines are either strong disk-emitters (e.g., Chen et al. 1989; Eracleous & Halpern 1994; Strateva et al. 2003), or have the broad component systematically offset from the narrow line center; in other cases the apparent large shifts were caused by poor fits to noisy spectra.

5. SUMMARY

We have constructed an SDSS DR7 quasar catalog in which we tabulate various properties. In this catalog we compiled continuum and emission line properties for Hα, Hβ, Mg II, and C IV based on our spectral fits. We also included radio properties, and flagged quasars of special interest, such as broad absorption line quasars and disk emitters. We also compiled virial BH mass estimates using these spectral measurements. This catalog can be used to study correlations among properties of optically selected quasars, and the active black hole mass function in quasars (Y. Shen et al. 2011, in preparation). We performed various tests and found that our automatic fitting procedure to emission lines performed reasonably well. However, one must take into account the possible effects of selection and S/N, as well as the systematics involved in converting the measured quantities to derived quantities, when using these measurements to study quasar properties. In particular, we do not encourage direct interpretations based on derived quantities (such as virial BH masses and bolometric luminosity) without accounting for the difference between the estimated value and the true value for these quantities. Such direct interpretations will usually lead to biased or even spurious results.

We make this catalog publicly available online, where we also provide supplemental materials (such as dereddened spectra, QA plots, etc.) and important future updates of this compilation.
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Figure 17. Velocity shifts between different pairs of lines. Positive values indicate blueshifts.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
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