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A new soft dielectric silicone elastomer matrix with high mechanical integrity and low losses†

F. B. Madsen, L. Yu, A. E. Daugaard, S. Hvilsted and A. L. Skov*

Though dielectric elastomers (DEs) have many favourable properties, the issue of high driving voltages limits the commercial viability of the technology. Driving voltage can be lowered by decreasing the Young’s modulus and increasing the dielectric permittivity of silicone elastomers. A decrease in Young’s modulus, however, is often accompanied by the loss of mechanical stability and thereby the lifetime of the DE. A new soft elastomer matrix, with no loss of mechanical stability and high dielectric permittivity, was prepared through the use of allyl chloride-functional silicone copolymers. Furthermore, the increase in dielectric permittivity (43%) was obtained without compromising other important properties of DEs such as viscous and dielectric losses as well as electrical breakdown strength.

Introduction

Dielectric elastomers (DEs) represent a new and promising electromechanical transducer technology which is based on an elastomer film sandwiched between two thin and compliant electrodes. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) elastomers are one of the most frequently used materials for DEs, due to their high efficiency, fast response and low viscous losses, signifying that they can be operated at higher frequencies but with lower losses compared to, for example, acrylics or polyurethanes. Furthermore, DEs have the ability to undergo large deformations when stimulated by electrical fields or to generate electrical energy when subjected to mechanical strain, and they also have the potential to be employed in a diverse range of advanced electromechanical applications such as flat screen loud speakers, Braille displays, active vibration control and wave energy harvesting, due to its simple and flexible working principle.

Currently, a few issues, such as high driving voltages (several kV’s), limit the commercial viability of DE technology. Driving voltage can be lowered by decreasing the thickness or the Young’s modulus of the elastomer or by increasing the dielectric permittivity of the elastomer material. A reduction in elastomer film thickness, though, will have the greatest impact on reducing driving voltage and has the advantage of upholding dielectric loss and breakdown strength. A thickness of 10–20 μm has been reached in current large-scale processing, and further reductions could result in the increased importance of inhomogeneities, which in turn will produce localised areas of high electrical fields and stresses and ultimately result in premature electrical breakdown. Many studies during recent years have focused on increasing the dielectric permittivity of silicone elastomer materials for DEs, in order to increase the energy density of the material and thereby decrease the necessary driving voltage. This has often been achieved through the use of high-permittivity metal oxide fillers such as TiO₂, BaTiO₃, BaTiO₃ and Al₂O₃. The disadvantage of using such composite-type systems, however, amongst other things, is that the Young’s modulus tends to increase significantly, thereby decreasing achievable strain and increasing the necessary driving voltage. Other studies have attempted to decrease the Young’s modulus using solvent techniques or bimodal networks. Furthermore, several studies have tried to create improved materials through a combination of increased dielectric permittivity and a decreased Young’s modulus, in order to achieve a synergistic impact on lowering the voltage. This includes the use of blends such as PDMS/poly(hexyloxyphene) and PDMS/polyethylene glycol and a blend of a cyanopropyl-functional PDMS and a PDMS matrix, where the cyanopropyl-functional PDMS acts both as a high permittivity filler and as a plasticiser. These systems showed improved electromechanical strain response, since both increased dielectric permittivities and decreased Young’s moduli were obtained. Decreasing the Young’s modulus, though, can introduce significant changes in several important properties, such as viscous loss, hysteresis and tear strength. In other words, the mechanical stability and integrity of the elastomer are often lost, thus compromising the long-term stability and lifetime of the material. In addition, the above mentioned systems all showed reduced dielectric breakdown strengths due to increased dielectric losses.

The aim of this work is to create a new type of soft silicone elastomer matrix with increased dielectric permittivity and...
electromechanical strain response, but without compromising important properties such as dielectric loss, dielectric breakdown strength and viscous loss and thereby maintaining the mechanical integrity of the elastomer. Instead of using additives to silicone elastomer systems, this work is based on a new type of functional silicone copolymer containing alkyl chloride siloxane units. The compositions of the new copolymers are tuneable, as the concentration of alkyl chloride segments as well as the molecular weight of the copolymers can be varied accurately, depending on the final desired properties of the elastomers. The increase in dielectric permittivity stems from the high dipole moment of the chloride groups. Furthermore, the bulky nature of the alkyl chloride units yields a larger free volume around these groups than around the methyl groups on non-functional PDMS. This results in a less dense material with a lower Young’s modulus. We will demonstrate how the final properties of DEs based on alkyl chloride functional copolymers are influenced and improved by this structural change in the silicone elastomer and that important properties such as dielectric and viscous losses, as well as dielectric breakdown strength, are not compromised compared to a PDMS elastomer.

Experimental

Materials and methods

Vinyl-terminated PDMS, DMS-V31 (M_w = 28 000 g mol⁻¹), and a hydride-functional cross-linker, HMS-301, were acquired from Gelest Inc. The platinum cyclovinylmethyl siloxane complex catalyst (511) was purchased from Hanse Chemie, while silicon dioxide amorphous hexamethyldisilazane-treated particles (SIS6962.0) were purchased from Fluorochem. The inhibitor Pt88 was acquired from Wacker Chemie AG, and all other chemicals were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received, unless otherwise stated.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was conducted on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One model 2000 Fourier Transform Infrared apparatus equipped with a universal attenuated total reflection accessory on a ZnSe/diamond composite. Spectra were recorded in the range of 4000–650 cm⁻¹ with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution and 16 scans. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (¹H and ¹³C-NMR) experiments were performed on a Bruker 300 MHz spectrometer in CDCl₃. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) was performed on a Tosoh EcoSEC HLC-8320GPC instrument equipped with RI and UV detectors and SDV Linear S columns from PSS. General procedure for elastomer synthesis

Co-1, Co-2 or vinyl-terminated PDMS, DMS-V31 or a mixture of these polymers and an 8-functional cross-linker were mixed with treated silica particles (25 wt%) and inhibitor (1 wt%, Pt88) and then treated on a FlackTek Inc. DAC 150.1 PVZ-K SpeedMixer™. The catalyst (511) (1.5 ppm) was added thereafter and the mixture was speed-mixed once more. The mixture was then poured into a 1 mm thick steel mould and further coated as 150 µm films on a glass substrate and cured at 80 °C for 2 hours. The different sample compositions quantities can be found as ESI.†

Swelling experiments

Gel fractions were determined using swelling experiments, where samples of all prepared films were swollen in chloroform at RT for 48 hours and placed on a rotary shaking board. The solvent was decanted and the films were washed several times. The samples were thereafter dried for 48 hours to a constant weight in an ambient atmosphere. Gel fractions were calculated as the weight after extraction and drying (m_g) against the initial weight of the sample (m_0) as W_gel = m_g/m_0.
Results and discussion

Soft silicone elastomers with high dielectric permittivity were prepared using a novel elastomer system based on alkyl chloride-functional copolymers which were prepared according to a previously described procedure.\textsuperscript{23} The copolymers were prepared through the tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane-catalysed Piers–Rubinsztajn reaction of 3-chloropropylmethyldimethoxysilane and hydride-terminated dimethylsiloxane pre-polymers, by using commercially available starting materials. Two different dimethylsiloxane pre-polymer chain lengths constituted the spacer units between the 3-chloropropylmethyldimethoxysilane units. The alkyl chloride-functional silicone copolymers were end-functionalised with allyldimethylsilane, and the allyl groups allowed for hydrosilylation reactions with hydride-terminated cross-linkers – and thereby the formation of polymer networks. The structure of the alkyl chloride-functional siloxane copolymers, with two different dimethylsiloxane spacer units between the alkyl chloride units, is shown in Fig. 1.

Two types of copolymers with two different spacer lengths between the alkyl chloride-groups were synthesised. One copolymer contained alkyl chloride-groups with 1200 g mol\textsuperscript{-1} dimethylsiloxane spacers between each group (Co-1), and the other copolymer contained alkyl chloride-groups with 580 g mol\textsuperscript{-1} dimethylsiloxane spacers between the functional groups (Co-2). Co-2, with the shorter spacer, thus contained approximately double the amount of alkyl chloride functional groups than Co-1 prepared with the long spacer (at comparable copolymer lengths).

The two synthesised copolymers were characterised by size exclusion chromatography (SEC), and molar mass characteristics were calculated from linear PDMS standards. The molecular weights of Co-1 and Co-2 were both found to be \(M_w \approx 29\ 000\ \text{g mol}^{-1}\), which is a suitable molecular weight for silicone elastomers, since good mechanical properties are obtained when using polymers of 20000 to 30000 g mol\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{24} Similar molecular weights were aimed for during the careful synthesis of the two copolymers for easy comparison of their elastomer properties. Furthermore, molecular weights of \(29\ 000\ \text{g mol}^{-1}\) signify that Co-1 contain approximately 20 alkyl chloride units, whereas Co-2 contains approximately 40 alkyl chloride units.

The alkyl chloride-functional copolymers were used thereafter to create silicone elastomers with 8-functional hydride cross-linkers and 25 wt% surface-treated silica particles as reinforcements, according to Scheme 1. Samples were prepared with pure copolymer or as mixtures with a PDMS of 28 000 g mol\textsuperscript{-1} (DMS-V31). An overview of the prepared samples is shown in Table 1.

A pure reference sample made from PDMS (DMS-V31), using similar reaction conditions (same catalyst, cross-linker and particle concentration), was also produced.

To elucidate the amount of bonded (gel fraction) and non-bonded (sol fraction) species in the networks, the gel fractions of the elastomers were determined from swelling experiments with chloroform. From Table 2 it can be seen that the gel fractions for the films with the pure copolymers, Co-1 and Co-2, and the mixtures of copolymers/DMS-V31 all had high gel fractions, which in all cases were around or above 90% and were apparently independent of the concentration of alkyl chloride units. The gel fractions are all within an acceptable limit for silicone networks and are comparable with previously obtained results for soft PDMS elastomers.\textsuperscript{19,25} The effect of the degree of alkyl chloride-functional copolymers on the thermal transition behaviour of the elastomer films was determined by DSC. The reference film showed clear melting and crystallisation temperatures at \(T_m = -46\ ^\circ\text{C}\) and \(T_c = -75\ ^\circ\text{C}\) respectively. For films prepared with the alkyl chloride-functional copolymers, Co-1 and Co-2, no \(T_m\) or \(T_c\) was observed, which means that no crystalline regions were present in the films, most probably due to the alkyl chloride side-chains, which prevent the alignment of linear polymer segments necessary for crystallisation. Only for the Co-2_25 film, with 75 mol% DMS-V31, was \(T_c\) observed, whereas the other mixtures had only a clear \(T_m\).

The effect of the alkyl chloride-functional copolymers on the thermal stability of the elastomer films was determined by TGA, and the resulting thermograms can be found as ESL.\textsuperscript{†} The first thermal degradation temperatures for the samples prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers decreased slightly compared to the reference film. The second degradation step temperatures, however, remained similar to those for the reference film; therefore, overall, thermal degradation did not alter significantly for the films with the alkyl chloride-functional copolymers compared to the reference film. Mineralisation of the Co-2 film, however, occurred to a greater extent; nevertheless the degradation temperatures for this film still remained similar to those of the other films.

The viscoelastic properties of the elastomer films prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers, as well as the reference film, were investigated by determining their shear storage and shear loss moduli. The results for the films with the different compositions of alkyl chloride-functional copolymers are shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, the resulting shear storage moduli (\(G'\)) and losses (\(\tan\delta\)) at 1 Hz are summarised in Table 2. It is evident from Fig. 2 and Table 2 that all films prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers have lower moduli than the reference film based on pure PDMS. Furthermore, viscous loss, \(\tan\delta\), has only increased slightly for one sample, namely Co-2_50, while viscous losses for all other films with alkyl chloride functional copolymers are maintained at the

![Fig. 1](image-url) Structure of alkyl chloride-functional siloxane copolymers with various spacer lengths between the alkyl chloride units and allyl end groups, thereby allowing for hydrosilylation cross-linking reactions.
same level as the reference film and are all low. This proves that the mechanical integrity of the films with alkyl chloride functional copolymers has not been lost, even though the films are significantly softer than the reference film.

The stress–strain behaviour and Young’s moduli of the films prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers were determined through tensile tests. The resulting stress–strain curves are shown in Fig. 3, and the Young’s moduli, which are calculated as the slopes of the stress–strain curves at low strains, are summarised in Table 2. The stress–strain curves in Fig. 3 illustrate the softening effect of the alkyl chloride-functional copolymers on the films. Co-1 shows a significantly reduced elastic modulus and increased strain at breakage. Co-2 also shows increased strain at breakage compared to those films containing copolymers mixed with the reference polymer DMS-V31 and the pure reference film. Furthermore, all samples prepared with Co-2, which contains the highest concentration of alkyl chloride units, are stiffer than those prepared with Co-1, which has a longer dimethylsiloxane spacer between the alkyl chloride units and thus a lower concentration of functional units. The shapes of the stress–strain curves for all films containing alkyl chloride-functional copolymers are highly favourable for electromechanical actuation, since alkyl chloride-functional copolymers lead to elastomers which do not favour from pre-stretch to the same extent as traditional non-linear elastomers, which tend to strain-soften at higher strains.2,26

Elastomers prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers

### Table 1 Overview of the prepared elastomer samples

| Entry     | Content of copolymer [mol%] | Content of DMS-V31 [mol%] |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| DMS-V31   | 0                           | 100                       |
| Co-1_50   | 50                          | 50                        |
| Co-1      | 100                         | 0                         |
| Co-2_25   | 25                          | 75                        |
| Co-2_50   | 50                          | 50                        |
| Co-2      | 100                         | 0                         |

### Table 2 Gel fraction ($W_{gel}$), dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon'$) and loss tangent (tan $\delta$) at 100 Hz, breakdown strengths ($E_B$), storage ($G'$) moduli and loss (tan $\delta$), Young’s moduli as well as the figure of merit for films with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers and the PDMS reference, DMS-V31

| Film with entry | $W_{gel}$ [%] | $\varepsilon'$ @100 Hz | tan $\delta$ @100 Hz | $E_B$ [V μm$^{-1}$] | $G'$ @1 Hz [kPa] | tan $\delta$ @1 Hz | Young's modulus [MPa] | $F_{mer}/F_{mer,ref}$ |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| DMS-V31         | 98            | 3.3                    | 6.2 × 10$^{-4}$      | 81.6                | 119.7           | 0.088               | 3.8                   | 1.0                    |
| Co-1_50         | 90            | 4.1                    | 5.3 × 10$^{-4}$      | 84.3                | 57.2            | 0.098               | 0.95                  | 10.4                   |
| Co-1            | 85            | 4.4                    | 5.9 × 10$^{-4}$      | 73.9                | 38.4            | 0.097               | 0.40                  | 10.4                   |
| Co-2_25         | 96            | 3.9                    | 4.3 × 10$^{-4}$      | 101.2               | 107.1           | 0.063               | 2.8                   | 2.5                    |
| Co-2_50         | 94            | 4.4                    | 4.3 × 10$^{-4}$      | 99.8                | 88.3            | 0.15                | 1.5                   | 5.1                    |
| Co-2            | 92            | 4.7                    | 9.5 × 10$^{-4}$      | 94.4                | 76.4            | 0.085               | 1.0                   | 7.2                    |
The conductivity of the samples prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers is shown in Fig. 5. It is evident from Fig. 5 that films with high Co-2 content show slightly increased electric conductivity at low frequencies, as conductivity becomes independent of frequency. The observed conductivities, however, remain at a very low level. All other samples show no increased conductivity compared to the reference film based on pure PDMS.

The electrical breakdown strengths, $E_b$, for films with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers, as well as the reference film, are presented in Table 2. For all films, electrical breakdown field strength is increased or maintained at the same level compared to the reference film. The high breakdown strengths for films containing Co-1 or Co-2 are not the result of increased elastic moduli, which is often the case. This suggests that the use of the new alkyl chloride-functional copolymer as matrices for DEs does not compromise the dielectric breakdown strength of the films and in most cases the presence of the copolymers actually improves breakdown strength. This could be due to a low degree of chemical and physical impurities (since the copolymers are all purified after synthesis), which has a large influence on the dielectric strength of insulating materials.

Sommer-Larsen and Larsen defined a universal expression which, through a single parameter, the figure of merit, $F_{om}$, can be used to evaluate the performance of a DE at a constant potential. The figure of merit depends on the dielectric constant, dielectric breakdown strength and the Young’s modulus of the elastomer material, and it is defined as:

$$F_{om} = \frac{3\varepsilon E_b^2}{Y}$$

The properties of the films prepared with the alkyl chloride-functional copolymers can be compared to the properties of the PDMS reference material by calculating the $F_{om}$ of a given sample relative to the $F_{om}$ of the reference material ($F_{om}/F_{om,\text{ref}}$). The results of these calculations are shown in Table 2, from which it is evident that the figure of merit relative to the reference film improves for all films prepared with alkyl chloride-
functional copolymers. The largest increase in the figure of merit is obtained for films with pure alkyl chloride-functional copolymers, where the figure of merit is increased 10 and 7 times, respectively, compared to the reference film. For the film prepared with Co-1, the high figure of merit is obtained because of the high dielectric permittivity and a low Young’s modulus. For the film with pure Co-2, the high figure of merit is obtained due to high dielectric permittivity and high dielectric breakdown strength as well as the low Young’s modulus.

The prepared alkyl chloride-functional copolymers have great potential in the preparation of soft silicone elastomer systems with high electromechanical responses. We are currently investigating the use of alkyl chloride-functional copolymers in formulated elastomer systems, thus elucidating their electromechanical properties.

Conclusions

A new soft dielectric elastomer matrix with high dielectric permittivity was prepared through the use of alkyl chloride-functional siloxane copolymers. Elastomer films prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers showed a high level of mechanical integrity based on their high gel fractions and low viscous losses. Thus, decreases in Young’s moduli, caused by the new copolymers, did not decrease mechanical stability and thereby the lifetime of the dielectric elastomers. Furthermore, all elastomers prepared with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers were shown to be strain-hardening, which is a favourable property for dielectric elastomers due to the suppression of electromechanical instability. High dielectric permittivities were obtained for films with alkyl chloride-functional copolymers (e.g. a 43% increase), while dielectric losses remained at a very low level. Electrical breakdown also remained high compared to a pure PDMS reference material. The synergistic effect of the low elastic moduli, high dielectric permittivity and high dielectric breakdown strengths thus resulted in a predicted ten-fold improved performance.
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