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**Abstract**

**Background:** The three sub-species of *Trypanosoma brucei* are important pathogens of sub-Saharan Africa. *T. b. brucei* is unable to infect humans due to sensitivity to trypanosome lytic factors (TLF) 1 and 2 found in human serum. *T. b. rhodesiense* and *T. b. gambiense* are able to resist lysis by TLF. There are two distinct sub-groups of *T. b. gambiense* that differ genetically and by human serum resistance phenotypes. Group 1 *T. b. gambiense* have an invariant phenotype whereas group 2 show variable resistance. Previous data indicated that group 1 *T. b. gambiense* are resistant to TLF-1 due in part to reduced uptake of TLF-1 mediated by reduced expression of the TLF-1 receptor (the haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor (*HpHbR*) gene. Here we investigate if this is also true in group 2 parasites.

**Methodology:** Isogenic resistant and sensitive group 2 *T. b. gambiense* were derived and compared to other *T. brucei* parasites. Both resistant and sensitive lines express the *HpHbR* gene at similar levels and internalized fluorescently labeled TLF-1 similar fashion to *T. b. brucei*. Both resistant and sensitive group 2, as well as group 1 *T. b. gambiense*, internalize recombinant APOL1, but only sensitive group 2 parasites are lysed.

**Conclusions:** Our data indicate, despite group 1 *T. b. gambiense* avoiding TLF-1, it is resistant to the main lytic component, APOL1. Similarly group 2 *T. b. gambiense* is innately resistant to APOL1, which could be based on the same mechanism. However, group 2 *T. b. gambiense* variably displays this phenotype and expression does not appear to correlate with a change in expression site or expression of *HpHbR*. Thus there are differences in the mechanism of human serum resistance between *T. b. gambiense* groups 1 and 2.

---

**Introduction**

*Trypanosoma brucei* is an important parasite of sub-Saharan Africa. The species is commonly divided into three distinct sub-species that differ in geographical distribution, host range and the disease they cause. *T. b. brucei* is the most widespread form of the parasite, infecting a wide range of mammals, but is not able to infect humans because it is susceptible to trypanosome lytic factors (TLF) found in normal human serum [1]. *T. b. gambiense* and *T. b. rhodesiense* are, in contrast, resistant to lysis by human serum and so are able to infect humans. The West African trypanosome *T. b. gambiense* is the most prevalent form of the human-infective parasite and is responsible for greater than 90% of reported African sleeping sickness cases [2]. An important facet of African trypanosome biology is that the adaptive immune response of vertebrates is rendered largely ineffective due to the parasite’s ability to cyclically evade the immune response by changing the variable surface glycoprotein (VSG) antigens on the cell surface [3,4]. Several primates, including humans, therefore rely on innate immunity to prevent infection in the form of TLFs in serum that kill most species of trypanosomes [5,6,7].

There are two distinct forms of TLF particle (TLF-1 and 2) in human serum. TLF-1 is a member of the high density lipoprotein (HDL) family of particles [8,9] while TLF-2 is a related high molecular weight serum protein binding complex [10]. Considerable effort and much debate has been focused on determining the cytotoxic component of these TLFs, predominantly focusing on TLF-1 due to the difficulty of obtaining active TLF-2 [10].
Investigation has centered on two primate-specific proteins found in TLF; haptoglobin-related protein (HPR) and apolipoprotein L1 (APOL1). While there has been some controversy concerning the roles of these two proteins, the current consensus is that both proteins are necessary for optimal lysis and that HPR and APOL1 have complementary roles [11,12]. HPR is the ligand that facilitates uptake of TLF-1 via a parasite haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor (HpHbR) [13,14] and it has also been shown to have some trypanosome specific toxicity [15], possibly due to an unclwed signal peptide that affects membrane fluidity [16]. After internalization, the TLF-1 particle is then trafficked to the lysosome where APOL1 is activated by a pH-mediated conformational change to form pores in the lysosome membrane. This leads to perturbation of the osmotic balance of the organelle and subsequent lysis [17,18]. As both HPR and APOL1 are found in TLF-2, it is likely that the lysis method of this particle involves both of these proteins, although the method of entry for TLF-2 does not directly involve the HpHbR receptor [13,19].

The two human infective trypanosome sub-species have evolved counter-measures to overcome the innate defense factors. Understanding of how these parasites resist lysis may lead to the development of new treatment strategies. For example, the resistance mechanism for T. b. rhodesiense is dependent upon the expression of a serum resistance associated (SRA) gene. This has led to new diagnostic techniques [20] and therapeutic possibilities targeting SRA [21,22]. The SRA gene has not been found in any T. b. gambiense isolates however [23,24,25], so an alternate and still unknown mechanism of human serum resistance exists in this subspecies. A complicating factor is that T. b. gambiense possesses two distinct “groups” that differ in genotype and phenotype. Isoenzyme and molecular data show that group 1 and group 2 T. b. gambiense populations are reliably distinguishable from each other, and that group 2 is more akin to T. b. brucei than group 1 T. b. gambiense [26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35]. In group 1 T. b. gambiense, human serum resistance is a stable trait [31,33] and it has been suggested that a reduced uptake of TLF-1 may be partially responsible for resistance. These parasites exhibit reduced expression of HpHbR, which may contribute to their ability to infect humans by avoiding TLF-1 [36]. In addition, mutant or transgenic T. b. brucei lines with reduced expression of the HpHbR receptor gene are resistant to TLF-1 particles [13,36], although these lines are still lysed by normal human serum and TLF-2. This raises the possibility that group 1 T. b. gambiense parasites are sensitive to APOL1 and that they avoid lysis by failing to take up APOL1 whether it is in TLF-1 or 2. However, uptake of TLF-2 in these parasites has not so far been examined. In contrast, group 2 T. b. gambiense exhibit a variable human serum resistance phenotype in a manner superficially similar to T. b. rhodesiense, raising the question: do groups 1 and 2 T. b. gambiense share a common mechanism of human serum resistance? To address this question we have compared the properties of the human serum resistance phenotypes of group 1 and group 2 T. b. gambiense by examining the effects and uptake of both TLF-1 and recombinant APOL1 in these parasites. Methods T. b. gambiense, T. b. brucei and T. b. rhodesiense cell lines

The human serum resistant group 2 T. b. gambiense strain STIB386 was originally isolated in 1978 from an infected patient in the Ivory Coast. ELIANE is a group 1 T. b. gambiense strain isolated from a human infected while in Côte d’Ivoire in 1952 [37]. STIB247 is a human serum sensitive T. b. brucei clone, first isolated from a hartebeest in Serengeti in 1971 and the T. b. rhodesiense strain Baganzi was isolated from a human in South-Eastern Uganda in 1990. All lines were maintained in vitro in HM19 medium [38] supplemented by 1.5 mM glucose, 1 mM methyl cellulose, 250 μM adenosine, 150 μM guanosine and 20% serum (fetal bovine serum (FBS) for T. b. brucei and sensitive group 2 T. b. gambiense or human serum for the other strains). All lines were regularly assayed for human serum sensitivity/resistance.

Human serum resistance & APOL1 assays

Trypanosomes were diluted to 10^6 per ml in modified HM19 and incubated in 25% human serum or FBS in a 1 ml volume in a standard 24 well plate. The numbers of cells in each well were counted with a hemocytometer for the zero time point. The cells were incubated with 5% CO₂ at 37°C and the number of viable motile trypanosomes in each well at 6 hours was quantified by microscopy using a hemocytometer and the percentage of viable cells calculated compared to time zero.

For the APOL1 lysis assays, a dilution series of recombinant APOL1 (5–50 μg/ml) was formulated and made up to equal volumes with protein free buffer (0.2 M acetic acid and 0.05% Tween20). A control containing an equal volume of buffer was also prepared. Each protein volume and the control were aliquoted into different wells and the cells incubated with 5% CO₂ at 37°C in HM19 medium containing 25% FBS. The number of viable motile trypanosomes in each well was recorded at 24 hours and compared to the control wells containing no APOL1 to determine percentage survival. In each assay, cells were incubated in 25% normal human serum as a positive control.

Generation of recombinant APOL1

An Invitrogen Gateway®-compatible entry vector containing the APOL1 open reading frame (ORF) (Genecopoeia Inc, USA) was used in conjunction with the Invitrogen Gateway® expression system. The APOL1 was cloned into pDest17 destination vector containing an N-terminal 6×His-tag and transformed into BL21- AI competent E. coli competent. Protein expression was induced using 0.1% L-Arabinose for 16 hours at 37°C. Cells were lysed with guanidinium lysis buffer pH 7.8 (6 M Guanidine Hydrochloride, 1 M Tris Cl pH 8.5, 10% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 M KCl, 1% Triton X-100, 100 μg/ml benzamidine, 100 μg/ml PMSF) and the lysates clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 30 min. The clarified supernatant was applied to a Ni2+NTA column, eluted with buffer containing 500 mM Imidazole and 20% glycerol. The purified protein was dialysed against a standard HMI9 buffer (mM): 20 guanidinium acetate, 100 NaCl, 100 Tris Cl pH 8.5, 5% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1 M KCl, 100 μg/ml benzamidine, 100 μg/ml PMSF). The purified protein was further purified by Superdex 75 10/300 gel filtration columns. The purity of the recombinant protein was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis.
0.02 M Sodium Phosphate, 0.5 M NaCl for 5 minutes and the cellular debris removed with a 0.2 µm filter (Sartorius). The cell lysate was bound to Ni-NTA beads (Invitrogen) for one hour at pH 7.0 and then washed twice with wash buffer (8 M Urea, 0.02 M Sodium Phosphate, 0.5 M NaCl) at pH 7.8, followed by two washes at pH 6 and two washes at pH 5.8. Finally, bound protein was eluted with wash buffer at pH 4. The eluate was dialyzed overnight against 0.2 M acetic acid and 0.05% Tween20 and concentrated using 10,000MW Vivaspin columns (Sartorius). Protein purity was estimated using a Nanodrop spectrometer (Nanodrop) and SDS-PAGE. A Western blot using an antibody raised against an APOL1 peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to check that the single band present in the purified preparation was APOL1. Activity of the recombinant protein was determined by its ability to lyse T.b. brucei. The specific activity of the protein was estimated using a previously defined method using the amount of protein needed to lyse 50% of the cell within 2 hours [17]. This value was determined to be 0.143 units/mg, which is comparable to purified native APOL1, although much lower than an intact protein needed to lyse 50% of the cell within 2 hours [17]. This estimated using a previously defined method using the amount of protein needed to lyse 50% of the cell within 2 hours [17].

Fluorescence microscopy of TLF-1 uptake

TLF-1 was prepared as previously described [10] and the purity of preparation was verified by both western blot and SDS PAGE using an APOL1 specific antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). TLF-1 was labeled with AlexaFluor®488 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) using the manufacturer’s instructions. In control experiments, bovine HDL of a density comparable to TLF-1 [10] was also AlexaFluor tagged. Trypansomes were re-suspended in serum-free HMI9 medium at a concentration of 10⁶ cells/ml and incubated in 10 µg/ml of Lysotracker® (Invitrogen) and 5 µg/ml of the purified AlexaFluor tagged human TLF-1 [10]. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes, 1, 2 and 4 hours. At each time point, cells were washed once in serum-free HMI9 medium and fixed by immersion in chilled 2.5% gluteraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in phosphate buffered saline for 5 minutes. The cells were resuspended in 50% glycerol, 0.1% DAPI, 2.5% DABCO in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and spread onto lysine-coated slides which were then protected with cover-slips sealed using ethyl acetate.

Slides were imaged using the Deltavision Core system and SoftWorx package (Applied Precision) with standard filter sets (DAPI/HTC/Texas-Red and Light transmission). Approximately 30 serial sections through each trypanosome were taken for each filter. The images were composited and the brightness, contrast and color levels normalized between samples and exposures using the ImageJ software package (US National Institute of Health). Approximately thirty trypanosomes were imaged per time point to give an indication of the uptake in the population. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the TLF and Lysotracker® fields was calculated using the Pearson-Spearman Correlation (PSC) Plug-in for ImageJ (http://www.cpitb.ac.uk/~afrench/coluc.html).

Fluorescence microscopy of APOL1 uptake

Trypanosomes were re-suspended in HMI9 medium containing 20% fetal bovine serum at a concentration of 10⁶ cells/ml and incubated in 10 µg/ml of Lysotracker® (Invitrogen) and purified recombinant APOL1 [5–50 µg/ml]. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 4 hours. After this period, cells were washed once in serum-free HMI9 medium and fixed by immersion in chilled 2.5% gluteraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in phosphate buffered saline for 5 minutes. The cells were washed once more in chilled PBS and then re-suspended in PBS with an AlexaFluor®488 His-Tag antibody (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen). The cells were gently agitated for 1 hour and then washed twice with chilled PBS and spread onto slides as described above.

Real-time PCR

Total trypanosome RNA was extracted from approximately 5×10⁶ cells using a Qiagen RNAeasy® mini kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was subjected to three DNase I (Invitrogen) digests to remove all genomic contamination. Omniscript® RT Kit (Qiagen) was used to generate cDNA from 1 µg of total RNA as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time-PCR was performed using cDNA from an equivalent of 50 ng of total RNA, 3 µM forward and reverse primers (HpHbR_RT_F & HpHbR_RT_R, Table 1) and 12.5 µl of SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) to a final volume of 25 µl. Real-time PCR conditions were: one cycle of 50°C for 2 mins, 95°C for 10 mins, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min. The relative amounts of specific cDNA between samples were calculated using Cₜ methodology [40] calculated with the Applied Biosystems SDS v1.4 software. The endogenous control gene was GP16B using primers GP16F and GP16R (Table 1). Four biological replicates were performed for each parasite line. All primers were designed using the Primer3 software [41]. Mean levels of expression were compared using 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test.

Sequencing and PCR

To test for the presence/absence of SRA and T. b. gambiense specific glycoprotein (TgSGP) [42], primer sets SRA-F with SRA-R and TgSGP-F with TgSGP-R [20,37,43] were used to amplify from prepared genomic DNA. The SRA gene was amplified using the SRA primer set under the following conditions with Tag polymerase for 50 cycles; 95°C for 50 seconds, 53°C for 50 seconds and 65°C for 60 seconds while the TgSGP primers were amplified with the conditions for 30 cycles; 95°C for 50 ng of total RNA as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time-PCR was performed using cDNA from an equivalent of 50 ng of total RNA, 3 µM forward and reverse primers (HtHbR_RT_F & HtHbR_RT_R, Table 1) and 12.5 µl of SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) to a final volume of 25 µl. Real-time PCR conditions were: one cycle of 50°C for 2 mins, 95°C for 10 mins, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min. The relative amounts of specific cDNA between samples were calculated using Cₜ methodology [40] calculated with the Applied Biosystems SDS v1.4 software. The endogenous control gene was GP16B using primers GP16F and GP16R (Table 1). Four biological replicates were performed for each parasite line. All primers were designed using the Primer3 software [41]. Mean levels of expression were compared using 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test.

Sequencing and PCR

To test for the presence/absence of SRA and T. b. gambiense specific glycoprotein (TgSGP) [42], primer sets SRA-F with SRA-R and TgSGP-F with TgSGP-R [20,37,43] were used to amplify from prepared genomic DNA. The SRA gene was amplified using the SRA primer set under the following conditions with Tag polymerase for 50 cycles; 95°C for 50 seconds, 53°C for 50 seconds and 65°C for 60 seconds while the TgSGP primers were amplified with the conditions for 30 cycles; 95°C for 50 ng of total RNA as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time-PCR was performed using cDNA from an equivalent of 50 ng of total RNA, 3 µM forward and reverse primers (HtHbR_RT_F & HtHbR_RT_R, Table 1) and 12.5 µl of SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) to a final volume of 25 µl. Real-time PCR conditions were: one cycle of 50°C for 2 mins, 95°C for 10 mins, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min. The relative amounts of specific cDNA between samples were calculated using Cₜ methodology [40] calculated with the Applied Biosystems SDS v1.4 software. The endogenous control gene was GP16B using primers GP16F and GP16R (Table 1). Four biological replicates were performed for each parasite line. All primers were designed using the Primer3 software [41]. Mean levels of expression were compared using 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test.

Table 1. PCR primers.

| Primer | Sequence 5’-3’ |
|--------|---------------|
| HpHbHpr RT F | GCCCATGTTATGACACATGATC |
| HpHbHpr RT R | ACCTCCGCCAGAGAAAATCTC |
| GPIBF | ATACAACGAATGCCGTGGGC |
| GPIBR | ACCTCCGCCAGAGAAAATCTC |
| HtHbHpr A F | ACAAGTGCCAGGTCGTTG |
| HtHbHpr A R | ATT TTC GAT CGG GTC CCT AC |
| HtHbHpr B F | ATG GAA ACC CGA TCG AAA AT |
| HtHbHpr B R | AATCGTGGTTTATGGCCGC |
| ESAG6 F | CCGGAATTCCGCATATTTAGAAGATGC |
| ESAG6 R | GTGTTAAAATATATC |
| ESAG7 F | CCGGAATTGCCTATATTTAGAAGATGC |
| ESAG7 R | GGCCTAGACATGCATTTCGTTC |
| SRA F | GACAACAAGAACCTTGGGCC |
| SRA R | CAGCACAATCATTACAGGCC |
| TgSGP F | TCACGCCATCAAGCGAGA |
| TgSGP R | GCCATCGTGGTTGCGTC |
| JS2 F | GATTGGCCAAAACACTTCTACATAG |
| JS2 R | CCGTTTCTTCTTGGCCATGTTTATAT |
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50 seconds, 60°C for 50 seconds and 65°C for 90 seconds. Primer sequences are given in Table 1.

The HpHbR ORF and 1000 bp downstream sequence containing the 3′ UTR were amplified from genomic DNA by PCR using the following conditions with PFU polymerase for 30 cycles; 95°C for 50 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds and 65°C for 120 seconds.

PCR products were ligated into the TOPO PCR 2.1 plasmid (Invitrogen) and amplified using TOP10 (Invitrogen) competent cells as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The plasmid was purified using a Qagen miniprep kit and sent for DNA sequencing at DNA Sequencing & Services (MRCPPU, University of Dundee, www.dhaseq.co.uk) using Applied Biosystems Big-Dye Ver 3.1 chemistry on an Applied Biosystems model 3730 automated capillary DNA sequencer.

The variable regions of the transferrin receptor genes (ESAG6 and ESAG7) [44,45] were amplified from cDNA prepared as previously described. Primer sets ESAG6_F & ESAG6_R and ESAG7_F & ESAG7_R (Table 1) were used under the following PCR conditions with PFU polymerase for 30 cycles; 95°C for 50 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds and 65°C for 60 seconds. PCR products were sequenced as previously described.

**Results**

**Human serum resistance phenotypes of different sub-species**

To investigate human serum resistance/sensitivity in the two different groups of *T. b. gambiense* STIB386 (group 2 *T. b. gambiense*) and ELIANE (group 1 *T. b. gambiense*) were compared with each other and with representative isolates of each of the other sub-species; STIB247 (*T. b. brucei*) and Baganzi (*T. b. rhodesiense*). Strains were cultured and examined for the presence/absence of the sub-species specific genes SR4 (*T. b. rhodesiense* specific [46]) and TgSGP (group 1 *T. b. gambiense* specific [37]) by PCR amplification in order to verify their sub-species classification (Figure 1). The SR4 gene was found to be present in the *T. b. rhodesiense* Baganzi strain and the TgSGP gene was present in the group 1 *T. b. gambiense* ELIANE strain, confirming their sub-species status. The strains *T. b. brucei* STIB247 and group 2 *T. b. gambiense* STIB386 do not possess either gene (Figure 1). The human serum resistance phenotype for the *T. b. brucei* and *T. b. gambiense* strains were established by monitoring survival in the presence of human serum over 6 hours (Figure 2). The group 1 *T. b. brucei* strain ELIANE was always resistant to human serum with 100% survival and the *T. b. brucei* STIB247 was always sensitive with a mean survival of less than 25% (Figure 2). These results confirm the sub-species classification of each of the strains used in the subsequent experiments, as described previously for STIB247, ELIANE and STIB386 [46]. The marked variation in human serum resistance phenotype previously described in *T. b. rhodesiense* is also seen in group 2 *T. b. gambiense*. By culturing the strain *T. b. gambiense* STIB386 in the presence of 10% human serum in an *in vitro* system we selected a population of human serum resistant parasites (STIB386R; Figure 2). Several clones of the original STIB386 clone gave a range of human serum resistance phenotypes of which one sensitive line was chosen that was consistently sensitive to human serum in continuous culture without human serum (STIB386S; Figure 2). In order to demonstrate that the lines were indeed isogenic, a series of four microsatellite markers that are used routinely to genotype isolates, Th5/4, Th2/20, Th2/10 and Th3/1, were amplified using the conditions previously described [47], giving identical genotypes (unpublished). Thus isogenic lines of sensitive and resistant parasites were available for comparison.

**Bloodstream expression site analysis**

Previous studies indicate that the switching of resistance in *T. b. rhodesiense* strains correlated with a change in the variable antigen type (VAT) [23,24,48,49]. In order to determine if a change in VAT expression site (ES) has occurred, the ESAG6 and ESAG7 genes are routinely used as ES markers as they contain polymorphisms that are associated with each expression site [45,50,51,52]. However, while these markers are useful for *T. b. brucei* it is possible that the group 2 *T. b. gambiense* strain used in our study has a reduced repertoire of ESAG6 and ESAG7 compared to *T. b. brucei*. In a similar manner to group 1 *T. b. gambiense*, which has been shown to possess a smaller repertoire of ESAG6 and ESAG7 than other *T. b. brucei* sub-species [53]. The ESAG6 of group 2 *T. b. gambiense* have not been studied in detail, although an estimate of the variation of ESAG6 and ESAG7 can be determined from the available genome sequences for this strain (Berriman, unpublished). The genome sequence for the other sub-species were also available for analysis; the *T. b. brucei* strain STIB247 (Berriman, unpublished), the genome reference TREU927 [54] and the group 1 *T. b. gambiense* strain DAL972 [55]. Sequence reads were aligned to the published variants of ESAG6 and ESAG7 [53,54,56,57,58]. Sequence reads from STIB247, TREU927 and STIB386 aligned to 21, 22 and 22 unique ESAG6 and 21, 22 and 21 unique ESAG7 sequences, respectively (Figure S1). However, the group 1 *T. b. gambiense* strain DAL972 [55] sequencing analysis only showed aligned reads for eight unique ESAG6 sequences and nine unique ESAG7 sequences. This parallels previously published data revealing that group 1 *T. b. gambiense* have a less variable ESAG complement than *T. b. brucei* [53]. Alternatively, it may be the case that group 1 *T. b. gambiense* has an ESAG complement containing variants that differ from the *T. b. brucei* ESAG6 and ESAG7 sequences previously published. Whichever hypothesis is correct, both suggest that the group 2 *T. b. gambiense* strain STIB386 possess a *T. b. brucei*-like rather than a group 1-like ESAG repertoire. This is consistent with other studies suggesting that group 2 *T. b. gambiense* are more similar to *T. b. brucei* than group 1 *T. b. gambiense* [59,60] including the possession of metacyclic ESAGs found in *T. b. brucei* and *T. b. rhodesiense*, but not group 1 *T. b. gambiense* [57]. These results suggest that ESAG6 and ESAG7 variants are suitable ES markers.

In order to determine if a switch in ES is associated with the human serum resistance phenotype in STIB386, we examined the ES used in both sensitive and resistant lines using ESAG6 and ESAG7 as ES markers. RNA from both sensitive and resistant isogenic lines was extracted and used to generated cDNA. The hyper-variable regions of ESAG6 and ESAG7 genes were then amplified by PCR and sequenced. No sequence differences were detected between the hyper-variable regions of ESAG6 and ESAG7 in *T. b. gambiense* STIB386S and STIB386R lines. Multiple clones from the PCR reactions were sequenced confirming that the dominant ESAG6 from the active ES were detected (Figure S2). These results are consistent with the view that both isogenic lines are using the same dominant ES, suggesting that human serum resistance in group 2 *T. b. gambiense* is not associated with ES switching.

**HpHbR expression**

Recent evidence indicates that *T. b. gambiense* group 1 parasites do not take up TLF-1 due to reduced expression and function of HpHbR [36]. A reduction of expression of this gene in *T. b. brucei* by RNAi or gene knockout confers TLF-1 resistance [13,36]. Do group 2 *T. b. gambiense* parasites employ a similar mechanism? To address this question, the expression of the HpHbR gene was examined in the different lines by measuring the relative amounts of transcript for the gene using quantitative real-time PCR. The *T. b. brucei* line (STIB247), isogenic lines of *T. b. gambiense* group 2 (STIB386S and STIB386R) and *T. b. rhodesiense* (Baganzi) strains
all expressed similar levels of transcript for the HpHbR gene relative to a GPI8 endogenous control (Figure 3). Expression levels of the GPI8 control did not show much variation across the different isolates. The STIB386R strain showed a slight decrease in mean level of expression of the gene compared to STIB386S but this was not statistically significant using a one-way ANOVA ($F_7 = 4.03$, $p = 0.091$). However, the group 1 T. b. gambiense strain expressed a five-fold lower mean transcript level compared to the other parasite lines and comparing expression across all five strains identified a significant difference ($F_18 = 36.51$, $p < 0.01$). Post-hoc Tukey’s tests indicated the group 1 T. b. gambiense strain ELIANE to be different to all other lines whilst all comparisons between the other four lines were not significant.

TLF-1 uptake and localization

In order to determine if group 2 T. b. gambiense parasites have a functional HpHbR and so take up TLF-1 and traffic the complex to the lysosome in a similar manner to T. b. brucei, the uptake of labeled TLF-1 was examined in STIB386S and STIB386R lines in relation to the other parasite strains. TLF-1 was tagged with alexafluor®488 and its uptake analyzed by microscopy in conjunction with the commercial dye Lysotracker®, which labeled acidic vesicles including the lysosome. As previously reported, no labeled TLF-1 was detected in the group 1 T. b. gambiense strain parasites indicating a lack of TLF-1 uptake [36]. However the T. b. brucei strain STIB247, T. b. rhodesiense strain, Baganzi, and both the isogenic group 2 T. b. gambiense lines STIB386S and STIB386R lines all showed internalization of TLF-1 within one hour and co-localization of the TLF-1 with acidic vesicles (Figure 4). The mean correlation co-efficients ($R$) estimating the degree of correlation between the position of TLF-1 and Lysotracker® were: STIB247, $R = 0.9$ ($n = 14$); STIB386S, $R = 0.68$ ($n = 18$); STIB386R, $R = 0.63$ ($n = 19$); Baganzi, $R = 0.72$ ($n = 23$). This indicates that the HpHbR protein of group 2 is functional and that reducing uptake of TLF-1 is not a potential resistance mechanism for group 2 T. b. gambiense strain STIB386 in contrast to group 1 T. b. gambiense, which has been shown to not only have reduced expression of the gene but also a reduced function of the protein due to several amino acid substitutions within the open reading frame (ORF) [36]. Sequence analysis of the ORF of the HpHbR gene revealed several differences in sequence (Figure S3). In order to investigate if there was a difference in the rate of uptake of TLF-1 between the different strains, TLF-1 uptake was examined over a four-hour time course and compared to the uptake of bovine

**Figure 1. Identification of sub-species of T. brucei lines.** PCR amplification of (A) the SRA gene, (B) the TgSGP gene and (C) microsatellite marker JS2 as a template control. Track 1 = ladder, track 2 = T. b. brucei STIB247, track 3 = T. b. rhodesiense Baganzi; track 4 = group 2 T. b. gambiense STIB386, track 5 = group 1 T. b. gambiense ELIANE and track 6 = no template control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001287.g001
HDL. The STIB247, Baganzi and STIB386S lines all took up TLF-1 rapidly whereas STIB386R took up TLF-1 at a slower rate that reached an asymptote at approximately 60% (Figure S4). In contrast, type 1 T. b. gambiense showed no visible uptake of TLF-1 during the four-hour exposure.

Recombinant APOL1 activity, uptake and localization

The knowledge that group 1 T. b. gambiense parasites have evolved to avoid TLF-1 uptake raises the possibility that this sub-species group’s resistance strategy is based on avoidance and it is inherently susceptible to APOL1 mediated lysis. However, this fact is difficult to reconcile with what is known about TLF-1 and TLF-2 uptake in these parasites. TLF-1 enters trypanosomes not only via the HpHbR but also via a low affinity receptor that is as yet unidentified; therefore a reduction in two TLF-1 receptors is required for protection from TLF-1. In addition, TLF-2 is internalized in a different manner to TLF-1 that does not involve HPR binding [14]. Unfortunately we were not able to work...
Figure 3. Relative HpHbR expression. The relative abundance of transcripts for the HpHbR in each cell line relative to GPI8 as internal control. All samples were normalized against the mean T. b. brucei strain STIB247 C_T value (n = 4 for each line and standard error of the mean C_T values is shown). Statistically significant value (P<0.01) is indicated with an asterisk.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001287.g003

Figure 4. TLF-1 uptake and localization. The localization of fluorescently tagged TLF-1, Lysotracker® and DAPI after one hour exposure. The panels represent the following sub-species: T. b. brucei, STIB247; T. b. rhodesiense, Baganzi; T. b. gambiense, STIB386S; T. b. gambiense, STIB386R and T. b. gambiense, ELIANE.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001287.g004
directly with active TLF-2 but it is possible to assay the different strains for susceptibility to APOL1 using recombinant protein. Recombinant APOL1 has been shown in numerous experiments to be toxic to T. b. brucei and is internalized via fluid phase endocytosis [21,61,62]. Therefore, uptake of this recombinant protein would be unavoidable. The capacity for recombinant APOL1 to lyse group 1 T. b. gambiense, in addition to both sensitive and resistant forms of group 2 T. b. gambiense and T. b. brucei, was examined for several concentrations of protein. Recombinant APOL1 is able to lyse T. b. brucei and sensitive group 2 T. b. gambiense fully after 24 hours. Lysis occurs at even low concentrations of protein, which approach physiological levels [63]. The resistant group 2 T. b. gambiense strain and the group 1 T. b. gambiense strain were unaffected by APOL1, even at high concentrations (Figure 5 and Figure S5). This indicates that the difference between sensitive and resistant forms of group 2 T. b. gambiense is not due to differences in uptake but a difference in sensitivity to APOL1.

Although in other T. brucei lines recombinant APOL1 was internalized and trafficked to the acidic compartments [21,61,62], it is possible that the group 1 T. b. gambiense and resistant group 2 T. b. gambiense are able redirect APOL1 away from these organelles and avoid APOL1 activation. It is also possible that the recombinant protein is not being trafficked to the acidic compartments so would not reach the site of action. To investigate this, the internalization and location of recombinant APOL1 was visualized in several T. brucei lines using fluorescence microscopy (Figure 6). All sub-species showed visible uptake of recombinant APOL1 within four hours. The position of highest fluorescence correlates with the lysotracker dye, including the group 1 T. b. gambiense strain ELIANE and the resistant group 2 T. b. gambiense strain STIB386R. The mean correlation coefficient (R) of the recombinant APOL1 and Lysotracker® field was estimated for each parasite line: STIB247, R = 0.87 (n = 16); STIB386S, R = 0.81 (n = 13); STIB386R, R = 0.89 (n = 15); Bagunzi, R = 0.76 (n = 15); ELIANE, R = 0.85 (n = 10). This would indicate that both group 1 T. b. gambiense and resistant group 2 T. b. gambiense possess the ability to resist the lytic effects of APOL1 in the lysosome. These results are in stark contrast to data suggesting TLF-1 shows reduced uptake in group 1 T. b. gambiense cells over short-term due to reduced expression and activity of HpHbR.

In summary, T. b. gambiense group 2 parasites appear to express the HpHbR gene and have a functional protein that facilitates the uptake of TLF-1, unlike that of T. b. gambiense group 1. These results indicate that important differences in the cell biology of human serum resistance exist between the T. b. gambiense group 2 parasites and T. b. gambiense group 1.

Figure 5. Recombinant APOL1 activity. The percentage of viable motile cells measured after 24-hour exposure to various concentrations of recombinant APOL1 (compared to no APOL1 control) for the T. b. brucei strain STIB247, the resistant & sensitive isogenic lines of the group 2 T. b. gambiense STIB386 and the group 1 T. b. gambiense ELIANE. Standard error is indicated (n = 2). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001287.g005
The group 2 *T. b. gambiense* strain STIB386 exhibits a variable phenotype as previously described [31,64], but continuous exposure to a low concentration of normal human serum maintains the resistant phenotype indefinitely allowing comparisons between isogenic sensitive and resistant forms. Analysis of these parasites indicates that both sensitive and resistant parasites internalize TLF-1. As resistance to lysis by normal human serum and TLF-1 correlates with resistance to recombinant APOL1, it would appear that the resistance mechanism of group 2 *T. b. gambiense* is able to either neutralize or compensate for the effects of the protein. This allows it to overcome both TLF-1 and TLF-2 particles. This is in contrast to group 1 *T. b. gambiense* parasites that avoid uptake of the TLF-1 particle. However the innate resistance of both group 1 and group 2 *T. b. gambiense* to APOL1 might be based on the same mechanism. This resistance is distinct from the SRA gene mediated mechanism in *T. b. rhodesiense*, although the mechanism could still involve an inhibitory protein similar to SRA.

Previous attempts to characterize human serum resistance/sensitivity in group 2 *T. b. gambiense* have relied on examination of the TxTat strain [64]. However, some TxTat lines have subsequently been shown to be *T. b. rhodesiense* [65]. Examination of the human serum resistance phenotype in TxTat suggested that a switch between resistant and sensitive forms was always accompanied by a switch in variable antigen type (VAT), indicating that the resistance mechanism is closely related to antigenic variation [49,64], consistent with these isolates being *T. b. rhodesiense*. Our sequencing data show that identical ESAG6 and ESAG7 gene copies are expressed in both strains of STIB386 suggesting that, irrespective of VSG expression, the same dominant ES is being used in both sensitive and resistant populations and that resistance may be unrelated to the use of a particular ES, as it is in *T. b. rhodesiense*. While it is intuitive to assume any variability in expression in trypanosomes is due to expression site switching, expression stochasticity is a common feature to many eukaryotes and can arise from several different mechanisms [66].

As previously reported, the group 1 *T. b. gambiense* strain ELIANE does not appear to internalize and concentrate TLF-1 to any discernable degree over the short term and would appear to employ an avoidance of uptake strategy to prevent lysis by TLF-1. This can be explained by both substantial down-regulation and/or loss of function in the HpHbR involved in internalizing TLF-1.

![Figure 6. Recombinant APOL1 localization](https://www.plosntds.org/9 September 2011 | Volume 5 | Issue 9 | e1287)
expressions in the HpHbR receptor [36], group 1 T. b. gambiense specific polymorphisms in the 3’ UTR of HpHbR that could potentially contribute to RNA instability and reduced expression of HpHbR to affect short-term uptake of TLF-1. There are also several polymorphisms in the ORF of HpHbR that appear to affect the function of HpHbR in group 1 T. b. gambiense [36]. This has been demonstrated by ectopically expressing the group 1 T. b. gambiense version of the gene into an artificially selected L427 HpHbR null mutant, resulting in a failure to restore the full TLF-1 sensitivity phenotype [36].

Interestingly, despite several strains exhibiting a loss of function and expression in the HpHbR receptor [36], group 1 T. b. gambiense appear to be fully resistant to the lytic effects of recombinant APOL1. Several hypotheses can be formulated as to why group 1 T. b. gambiense avoid TLF-1 despite its inherent resistance to APOL1; these include the hypothesis that the TLF-1 particle evolved before TLF-2 in primates. T. b. gambiense may initially have evolved prime infectivity by simply avoiding the TLF-1 particle by modifying receptors for HP/HPR. The sub-species was then unable to use a similar mechanism to avoid uptake of TLF-2 as this particle is not internalized in the same manner [14]. Instead, a second resistance mechanism to counteract APOL1 evolved. Another hypothesis is that the T. b. gambiense resistance mechanism is dose dependent and by avoiding TLF-1 uptake is able to avoid the majority of the APOL1 in the human bloodstream. This would suggest that group 1 T. b. gambiense may be susceptible to APOL1 lysis if internalized at high concentrations.

The results presented here demonstrate some remarkable features of the variation in human serum resistance mechanisms that have evolved in trypanosome strains. In Eastern Africa, trypanosomes have evolved the SRA protein to neutralize APOL1 and resist lysis by TLF. However, this is not the entire story and some human infective trypanosome strains in these T. b. rhodesiense loci do not possess the SRA mechanism [23,67]. The resistance mechanism for these isolates is unknown. While it has been suggested that avoidance of TLF-1 is a resistance strategy in the most prevalent human infective trypanosome, group 1 T. b. gambiense [36], this mechanism is not exhibited by the related and sympatric group 2 T. b. gambiense suggesting a resistance strategy that is different. It is now clear that human serum resistance in both groups of T. b. gambiense involves the ability to either neutralize or resist the effects of APOL1, although resistance is variably expressed in group 2. While it may be that groups 1 and 2 T. b. gambiense share a resistance mechanism, population studies suggest that the two groups are not closely related [26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,35,39,68,69,70].

Taking all of the evidence into account, it would appear that human infectivity has likely evolved in the field on at least four occasions, suggesting there are multiple ways in which these parasites can avoid innate immunity. These facts, coupled with the relative ease with which TLF resistant parasites can be selected from T. b. brucei parasites [71] indicates that novel human infective parasites could evolve from the T. b. brucei population. Understanding the processes involved in overcoming these factors will aid in the development of novel intervention strategies.
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Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Andrew Tait for his invaluable insight and comments while formulating this manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge the expertise of Dr. Rudo Kieft in preparing additional TLF-1.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: PC NJV AM. Performed the experiments: PC NJV. Analyzed the data: PC NJV CMRT AM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JR SLH MB. Wrote the paper: PC NJV CMRT JR SLH AM.

References

1. Pays E, Vanhollebeke B (2009) Human innate immunity against African trypanosomes. Curr Opin Immunol 21: 493–498.
2. WHO (2006) Human African trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness): epidemiological update. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 81: 71–80.
3. Pays E, Lips S, Nolan D, Vanhamme L, Perez-Morga D (2001) The VSG expression sites of Trypanosoma brucei: multipurpose tools for the adaptation of the parasite to mammalian hosts. Mol Biochem Parasitol 114: 1–16.
4. Barry J, McCalloch R (2001) Antigenic variation in trypanosomes: enhanced phenotypic variation in a eukaryotic parasite. Adv Parasitol 49: 1–70.
5. Thomson R, Molina-Portela P, Mott H, Carrington M, Raper J (2009) Hydrodynamic gene delivery of baboon trypanosome lytic factor eliminates both animal and human-infective African trypanosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 19509–19514.
6. Seid J, Secheleji J, Lokom M (1990) A survey for a trypanocidal factor in primate sera. J Protozool 37: 393–400.
7. Poelvoorde P, Vanhamme L, Van Den Abbeele J, Switzer W, Pays E (2004) Distribution of apolipoprotein L-I and trypanosome lytic activity among primate sera. Mol Biochem Parasitol 134: 155–157.
8. Hajduk SL, Moore DR, Vasudevacharya J, Siqueira H, Torri AF, et al. (1989) Lysis of Trypanosoma brucei by a toxincresis of the group 2 T. b. gambiense strain STIB386 and the group 1 T. b. gambiense ELIANE. Standard error is indicated (n = 2). (DOC)

www.plosntds.org 10 September 2011 | Volume 5 | Issue 9 | e1287
11. Widener J, Nielsen MJ, Shifflett A, Moestrup SK, Hajduk S (2007) Hemoglobin is a co-factor of human trypanosome lytic factor. PLoS Pathog 3: 1250–1261.

12. Vanhollebeke B, Nielsen MJ, Watanabe Y, Truc P, Vanhamme L, et al. (2007) Distinct roles of haptoglobin-related protein and apolipoprotein L-I in trypanosome lytic factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 4118–4123.

13. Vanhollebeke B, De Muylder G, Nielsen M, Pays A, Tehabi P, et al. (2008) A haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor converts innate immunity to Trypanosoma brucei in humans. Science 320: 677–681.

14. Drain J, Bishop J, Hajduk S (2001) Haptoglobin-related protein mediates trypanosome lytic factor binding to trypanosomes. J Biol Chem 276: 30254–30260.

15. Shifflett AM, Bishop JR, Palwa A, Hajduk SL (2005) Human high density lipoproteins are platforms for the assembly of multi-component innate immune complexes. J Biol Chem 280: 32578–32585.

16. Harrington JM, Widener J, Stephens N, Johnson T, Francia M, et al. (2010) The plasma membrane of bloodstream-form African trypanosomes confers susceptibility and specificity to killing by hydrophobic peptides. J Biol Chem 285: 28569–28566.

17. Hager K, Pierce M, Moore D, Tyler E, Eko J, et al. (1994) Endocytosis of a cytosolic human high density lipoprotein results in disruption of acidic intracellular vesicles and subsequent killing of African trypanosomes. J Cell Biol 126: 155–167.

18. Molina-Portela Mel P, Lugli EB, Recio-Pinto E, Raper J (2005) Trypanosome lytic factor, a subclass of high-density lipoprotein, forms cation-selective pores in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 141: 218–226.

19. Vanhollebeke B, Pays E (2010) The trypanolytic factor of human serum: many ways to enter the parasite, a single way to kill. Mol Microbiol 76: 806–814.

20. Welburn SC, Picozzi K, Friede EM, Coleman PG, Odiit M, et al. (2001) Epidemiological studies on the animal reservoir of Gambiense sleeping sickness. Part III. Characterization of Trypanozoon stocks isolated in central Africa: taxonomic and epidemiological significance. Parasitology 106: 137–149.

21. Gibson WC, Marshall TF, Godfrey DG (1980) Numerical analysis of enzyme variation in Trypanosoma brucei. Tropenmed Parasitol 31: 113–118.

22. Mehlitz D, Zillmann U, Sachs R (1984) Identity of Trypanozoon stocks isolated on the animal reservoir of Gambiense sleeping sickness in Uganda by means of serum-resistance-associated (SRA) gene. Lancet 338: 2017–2019.

23. Lecondie L, Vanhollebeke B, Poehlboerde E, Tehabi P, Parainiaux-Hancoq J, et al. (2008) C-terminal mutants of apolipoprotein L-I efficiently kill both Trypanosoma brucei and Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense. PLoS Pathog 5: e1000683.

24. De Greef C, Chimfwembe E, Kihang’a Wabacha J, Bajyana Songa E, Hamers R (1994) Characterization of human serum-resistant strains of Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense isolated in East Africa: taxonomic and epidemiological significance. Parasitology Today 2: 255–257.

25. Widener J, Nielsen MJ, Shiflett A, Moestrup SK, Hajduk S (2007) Hemoglobin...
66. Kaern M, Elston TC, Blake WJ, Collins JJ (2005) Stochasticity in gene expression: from theories to phenotypes. Nat Rev Genet 6: 451–464.
67. Enyaru JC, Matovu E, Nerima B, Akol M, Schikali C (2006) Detection of T. b. rhodesiense trypanosomes in humans and domestic animals in south east Uganda by amplification of serum resistance-associated gene. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1081: 311–319.
68. Godfrey DG, Kilgour V (1976) Enzyme electrophoresis in characterizing the causative organism of Gambian trypanosomiasis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 70: 219–224.
69. Mathieu-daude F, Tibayrenc M (1994) Isozyme Variability of Trypanosoma-brucei S-L.- Genetic, Taxonomic, and Epidemiologic Significance. Exp Parasitol 78: 1–19.
70. Stevens JR, Tibayrenc M (1996) Trypanosoma brucei sl: Evolution, linkage and the clonality debate. Parasitology 112: 481–488.
71. Faulkner SD, Oli MW, Kieft R, Cotlin L, Widener J, et al. (2006) In vitro generation of human high-density-lipoprotein-resistant Trypanosoma brucei brucei. Eukaryot Cell 5: 1276–1286.