Stent-Assisted Coiling of Intracranial Bifurcation Aneurysms Leads to Immediate and Delayed Intracranial Vascular Angle Remodeling

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Wide-neck bifurcating aneurysms are increasingly treated with intracranial stent-assisted coiling by using shape-memory alloy microstents. We sought to investigate the short- and long-term effects of intracranial stent implantation on the geometry and angular conformation of the stent-coiled vascular bifurcation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Thirty patients underwent stent-mediated coiling for 31 bifurcation aneurysms by using 31 self-expanding Neuroform (n = 14) and Enterprise (n = 17) stents (17 women; mean age, 56 years). The angle (Δ) between the stented mother and daughter vessels at the bifurcation was measured by using multiplanar imaging of reconstructed rotational conventional angiography volumes and was compared by using matched-pair statistics. Neuroform and Enterprise longitudinal stent stiffness was measured in vitro at an increasing bending angle (θ) (θ = 180° − Δ).

RESULTS: Stent deployment increased the bifurcation angle Δ from 101.5° to 119.8° postoperatively and to 137.3° (P < .0001) at latest follow-up, resulting in effective straightening; the angular remodeling was greater in distal-versus-proximal arteries (anterior cerebral > MCA > BA > ICA), inversely proportional to mother-vessel diameter and proportional to pretreatment bending angle θ. At follow-up, angle Δ continued to significantly expand, with remodeling being greater in the early period (1–6 versus > 7 months) and more pronounced with the longitudinally stiffer closed-cell Enterprise compared with the open-cell Neuroform stent.

CONCLUSIONS: Stent placement across bifurcation aneurysms leads to a significant biphasic angular remodeling related to stent type and vessel caliber, altering morphology to mimic sidewall lesions, a phenomenon needing consideration during procedural planning. Future work is needed to uncover the hemodynamic implications of this structural change and any possible effect on aneurysm-recurrence rates.

ABBREVIATIONS AcomA = anterior communicating artery; BA = basilar bifurcation aneurysm; SEM = standard error of the mean, 3DRA = 3D rotational angiography

The stent-assisted coiling technique has gained increased utility in the endovascular treatment of wide-neck cerebral aneurysms that pose a challenge to conventional coiling because of poor dome-to-neck ratio.1-7 The deployment of an intracranial microstent serves as a metal scaffold to prevent coil herniation through the neck of these wide-neck aneurysms and can also increase the treatment—coil packing attenuation.8 Since the introduction of stent-placement techniques for aneurysm treatment, significant progress has led to their use in a variety of methods, including sole stent placement for side wall or fusiform aneurysms,4,9-11 “kissing” stents for wide fusiform aneurysms,12 overlapping stents with or without coiling for ruptured dissecting aneurysms or small wide-neck aneurysms,13,14 stents deployed in “Y”15,16 or “waffle-cone”17 configurations, and transcirculation18 horizontal stent placement across the neck of a bifurcation aneurysm.19,20

Despite the availability of intracranial stent placement for >7 years, there remains little information regarding the effect of the deployment of self-expanding microstents (Neuroform, Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts; Enterprise, Cordis, Miami Lakes, Florida) on cerebral vascular architecture. In-stent stenosis has been documented as a low-risk phenomenon in certain cases.21 Data on other structural changes following the stent-mediated coiling procedure remain sparse. Little information exists on the effect of the deployment of self-expanding stents across vascular bifurcations during stent-mediated coiling of bifurcation aneurysms and specifically on immediate and long-term bifurcation angular configurations. Bifurcation aneurysm stent-mediated coiling with a single stent is a multistep process. It involves the deployment of the intracranial stent across the bifurcation over the aneurysm neck from the mother vessel to 1 of the daughter vessels that is most likely to result in best neck coverage and facilitate aneurysm coiling with the least chance of coil herniation or impingement of the other daughter vessel. Recent work has suggested an important contribution to vessel angle with respect to aneurysm inflow.22,23 After noting striking cerebral vascular deformation with stent placement in a preliminary analysis,24 we sought to investigate the geometric consequences of intracranial stent coiling at bifurcations harboring aneurysms.
Materials and Methods

Patient Population and Treatment Method
Between March 2004 and January 2011, 30 patients (17 females and 13 males) with age range of 13–82 years (mean, 56 years) underwent adjunctive coiling to treat 31 wide-neck bifurcation aneurysms by using intracranial nitinol self-expanding stent assistance with the open-cell design, Neuroform in 14 and the closed-cell design Enterprise in 17. Stent-mediated coiling was offered instead of simple coiling only when endovascular treatment could not be performed without stent assistance and the patient declined surgical clipping of an aneurysm thought to constitute a good risk–benefit ratio for protection from rupture. Patients presented with headache in 5, acute subarachnoid hemorrhage in 7, recurrence of previously treated aneurysms in 2, vertigo in 1, and ischemic stroke in 1 case. The aneurysm was found incidentally in 14 patients. There were no cases of hydrocephalus in these patients. One patient with a high-grade subarachnoid hemorrhage had a large hematoma in the right Sylvian fissure, for which he underwent evacuation followed by stent-mediated coil embolization of the aneurysm. The aneurysms were at the following locations: the BA bifurcation (n = 13), the MCA bifurcation (n = 7), the ICA bifurcation (n = 3), and the anterior cerebral artery bifurcation or AcomA (n = 8). Aneurysm size ranged from 2 to 19 mm (mean 8.6 mm), and neck, from 3 to 10 mm (mean, 6 mm).

No intraprocedural aneurysm rupture occurred during any point in the stent-coiling procedures in any of the patients. All stent-coiled patients routinely underwent high-resolution catheter 3DRA before and after stent-coiling and at each angiographic follow-up. This study was approved by the Tufts Medical Center institutional review board (study 9584, entitled “Retrospective Review of Intracranial Cerebrovascular Lesions”).

Stent-Assisted Coiling Procedure and Angiographic Follow-Up Protocol
Patients underwent interventions under general anesthesia, with dual antiplatelet inhibition with clopidogrel (75 mg/day) and aspirin (acetylsalicylic acid, 325 mg/day) at least 3 days prior and a weight-based intravenous heparin bolus to achieve an activated clotting time of ≥240 seconds before guide-catheter placement. The stents were deployed according to instructions for use of the manufacturer. The targeted aneurysm was accessed with a steam-shaped 150-cm 0.014-inch microcatheter (Excelsior SL-10, Boston Scientific) by using the jailing or sequential technique.

The method of image acquisition for stent-mediated embolizations consists of the following: A preprocedural 3D angiogram is obtained for aneurysm measurement and determination of the working projection. A poststent deployment 3D angiogram is obtained to document appropriate deployment, rule out stent migration after delivery-microcatheter withdrawal, and circumferentially rule out a filling defect indicative of stent thrombosis. Finally, a posttreatment 3D angiogram is obtained to evaluate branch occlusion, to rule out filling defects, to assess the relative position of the coils/stent, and for baseline comparison with subsequent imaging to evaluate recurrence or in-stent stenosis. Patients were followed up both angiographically and clinically at 3–6 months following the stent-deployment procedure, and on the basis of the angiographic findings, the second follow-up angiography was performed at 6 months to 1 year thereafter. The patients also underwent 3T MRA to evaluate the status of the treated aneurysms, and if positive findings were present, digital subtraction angiography was performed for further evaluation or possible retreatment. Follow-up angiography was performed from 1 to 33 months after the initial stent-coiling procedure. Thirty patients completed the first angiographic or MRA follow-up from 1 to 7 months (mean, 3.6 months) after the procedure, 14 patients underwent the second angiographic follow-up from 5 to 31 months (mean, 11.3 months) after treatment, and 5 had a third follow-up from 9 to 33 months after the procedure.

3D Modeling and Vascular Measurement
Biplane 2D digital subtraction angiography and 3DRA imaging were performed on the same flat-panel Axiom Artis system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) in each case to assess any branch occlusion, thrombus formation, degree of aneurysmal occlusion, and stent position. The 3DRA imaging in each patient was reconstructed as per the instructions of the manufacturer. The 3D volumetric datasets were then exported and analyzed by using Amira software (Version 4.1.2, Visage Imaging, San Diego, California) for 3D visualization and angle measurement. The volumetric dataset of each patient was rendered in 3D space and visualized by using multiplanar reconstruction, orienting it so that the target vascular bifurcation angle δ (Fig 1) was measured at pretreatment, post-stent coiling, and at each angiographic follow-up study by using the same cut-plane. Using orthogonal planes, we measured the diameter of the mother and daughter vessels after applying a Sobel edge-detection filter in 3D space to avoid windowing-related measurement errors.25

Force-Angular Deflection Assessment of Stent Longitudinal Stiffness
Enterprise (4.5 × 22 mm) and Neuroform (4.5 × 20 mm) stents were secured on their proximal one-third with a 4.5-mm mounting rod, their distal tip deflected at given angle values from 0° to 65°, and the resulting tangential force measured in grams by using a high-resolution Mettler analytic balance (.0001-g resolution) (Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, Ohio). Orthogonal digital photography was performed at each sample point and was used to measure the effective bending angle θ and corresponding force for each stent by using an Enterprise and a Neuroform stent. Longitudinal bending stiffness was derived by linear-regression fit.

Statistics
Vascular angles were compared by use of matched paired t tests by using JMP software, Version 5.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Univariate and multivariate linear regression analysis was used to assess the association between angular change and various parameters. Statistical significance was assumed at a value of P < .05.

Results
Stent Placement Alters Intracranial Bifurcation Angle in a Biphasic Manner
During evaluation of angiographic results of aneurysm stent coiling and comparison with follow-up, changes in bifurcation angle δ were detected angiographically (Fig 1), suggesting that stent deployment led to straightening of the vessel bifurcation. The stented angle δ at the bifurcation was measured before and after stent placement and at every follow-up (Table). Stent coiling resulted in a highly significant increase in bifurcation angle δ from a pretreatment value of 103.0° (41°–140°) to 121.0° (52°–155°) posttreatment (P < .0001), further increasing to 137.3° at latest follow-up (P < .0001 versus both
Given this location-dependent change, we measured the diameters of the proximal (mother vessel) and distal (daughter branch) vessels undergoing stent deployment. This showed the proximal diameters of the ICA bifurcation to be greatest (3.8 ± 0.2 mm, P < .0001), followed by the MCA (2.7 ± 0.08 mm) and BA (2.7 ± 0.08 mm) bifurcations, with the AcomA having the smallest diameters (2.2 ± 0.15 mm, P < .01). The distal diameter of the stented vessel segment before stent placement was also largest for the ICA, followed by the MCA, AcomA, and BA, with a highly significant difference (P < .01) between the ICA and BA or AcomA, and significant difference (P < .05) between ICA and MCA bifurcations. No difference existed for the other pairs of vessels. Analysis of the proximal diameter of the stented-vessel segment with respect to the angular difference in δ between pre- and immediately posttreatment demonstrated an inverse relationship (r = 0.48, P < .007): the smaller the proximal vessel diameter, the bigger the angular increase caused by stent placement (Fig 2B). The same trend was noted, though not significantly, when evaluating the long-term angular change with respect to proximal vessel diameter (r = 0.117, P = .060). Analysis of the distal diameter of the stented-vessel segment versus the angular difference between pre-stenting and immediately after stent coiling demonstrated no significant relationship (r = 0.22, P = .22).

**Dependence of Angular Change on Pretreatment Angle**

We hypothesized that the factor responsible for the angular remodeling was the bending force \( F_{\text{bending}}(\theta) \) exerted by the deployed stent, which is dependent on the bending angle (\( \theta = 180 - \delta \)) (Fig 2C). Analysis of the pretenting bending angle versus the angular change between pre- and poststenting revealed a significantly inverse relationship (Fig 2D) (r = 0.411, P = .01).

| Bifurcation | Angles (°) | Location | Post-Stent-Coeiling | Latest Follow-Up | Latest Follow-Up |
|-------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| AcomA       | 104.4 ± 8.8* | Prestenting | 131.5 ± 5.3** | 148.8 ± 7.3** | 148.8 ± 7.3** |
| MCA         | 105.4 ± 6.4* | Post-Stent-Coeiling | 116.8 ± 6.6* | 140.4 ± 6.5* | 140.4 ± 6.5* |
| BA          | 102.2 ± 5.7* | Latest Follow-Up | 120.7 ± 5.2* | 132.6 ± 4.3* | 132.6 ± 4.3* |
| ICA         | 97.4 ± 29.3* | Prestenting | 104.1 ± 27* | 119.6 ± 26.1** | 119.6 ± 26.1** |
| Total       | 103 ± 4.2* | Latest Follow-Up | 121 ± 3.9** | 137.3 ± 3.9** | 137.3 ± 3.9** |

* P < .01, compared with prestenting angle.
* P < .05, compared with prestenting angle.
* P < .001, compared with prestenting angle.
* P < .0001, compared with prestenting angle.

Given this location-dependent change, we measured the diameters of the proximal (mother vessel) and distal (daughter branch) vessels undergoing stent deployment. This showed the proximal diameters of the ICA bifurcation to be greatest (3.8 ± 0.2 mm, P < .0001), followed by the MCA (2.7 ± 0.08 mm) and BA (2.7 ± 0.08 mm) bifurcations, with the AcomA having the smallest diameters (2.2 ± 0.15 mm, P < .01). The distal diameter of the stented vessel segment before stent placement was also largest for the ICA, followed by the MCA, AcomA, and BA, with a highly significant difference (P < .01) between the ICA and BA or AcomA, and significant difference (P < .05) between ICA and MCA bifurcations. No difference existed for the other pairs of vessels. Analysis of the proximal diameter of the stented-vessel segment with respect to the angular difference in δ between pre- and immediately posttreatment demonstrated an inverse relationship (r = 0.48, P < .007): the smaller the proximal vessel diameter, the bigger the angular increase caused by stent placement (Fig 2B). The same trend was noted, though not significantly, when evaluating the long-term angular change with respect to proximal vessel diameter (r = 0.117, P = .060). Analysis of the distal diameter of the stented-vessel segment versus the angular difference between pre-stenting and immediately after stent coiling demonstrated no significant relationship (r = 0.22, P = .22).

**Dependence of Remodeling on Bifurcation Location and Vessel Diameter**

Examination of treatment-induced bifurcation remodeling was noted in various locations, including the BA complex, the middle cerebral bifurcation, and, to a lesser degree, the ICA. Analysis of the maximal angular difference between the pretreatment and latest angiographic follow-up (Fig 2A and Table) revealed a rank order of angular change being greatest at the AcomA (44.5 ± 5.9°, P < .0001) > MCA (35.0 ± 4.0°, P < .0001) > BA (30.4 ± 3.2°, P < .0001) > ICA (22.2 ± 3.3°, P = .021).
suggesting a link to the amount of stent deflection. The location dependence of the angular change, however, could not be solely accounted for by differences in the pretreatment angle because the latter was not significantly different among the 4 locations (Table).

**Fig 2.** A, Dependence of immediate and delayed stent-induced angular remodeling on bifurcation location. B, An inverse linear relationship between the proximal vessel diameter and the stent-induced change in angle $\delta$. C, The relationship between the angle $\delta$, the bending angle $\theta (\theta = 180° - \delta)$, and the stent-reactive force $F_{\text{bending}}(\theta)$. D, The inverse linear dependence of ultimate angular remodeling on the pretreatment angle $\delta$, suggesting a link to the stent bending force.

.021), suggesting a link to the amount of stent deflection. The location dependence of the angular change, however, could not be solely accounted for by differences in the pretreatment angle because the latter was not significantly different among the 4 locations (Table).

**Longitudinally Stiffer Enterprise Stent Results in Greater Angular Remodeling**

We estimated the longitudinal stiffness of both types of stents and found the Enterprise stent to generate significantly greater tip force across the tested range of bending angles $\theta$ (Fig 3A), with linear least-squares fitting yielding a 70% greater slope coefficient (0.026 versus 0.015, $P < .001$). Enterprise cases showed greater bifurcation angular remodeling at latest follow-up with greater increase in angle $\delta$ compared with Neuroform stents (39.2° versus 28.3°, $P = .028$) (Fig 3B). A similar but nonsignificant trend was noted when assessing immediate angular change at the time of the procedure (20.8° versus 14.6°, $P = .138$). No significant differences were noted among Enterprise and Neuroform cases in proximal vessel diameter ($P = .302$) or pretreatment angle ($P = .166$).

**Discussion**

Bifurcation stent coiling results in a decrease of the effective neck and straightening of the vascular divider angle, which may effectively convert the morphology of a bifurcation aneurysm closer to that of a sidewall type. This study delineates the presence of a previously undefined immediate and delayed effect of stent deployment on the angular configuration of vascular bifurcations undergoing stent-mediated coiling by using self-expanding intracranial microstents. Previous studies have described the effect of balloon inflation–induced straightening of vessels during balloon-mounted stent deployment,10,11 with Zenteno et al10 suggesting that the resulting change in angulation of the parent artery could facilitate thrombosis of the aneurysm. Nonetheless, those results differ from the remodeling phenomenon reported here, which was seen in self-expanding stents that have a lower radial force and are deployed without use of balloon inflation. Furthermore, unlike the current study that involved the evaluation of bifurcation aneurysms, Zenteno et al mainly studied sidewall and fusiform aneurysms off a parent nonbifurcating vessel.

The Neuroform and Enterprise stents used in this study are both flexible and self-expanding nitinol stents specially manufactured for use in the cerebral vasculature.5,6,8,26 The deployment of the stents in the vessels at the bifurcation was noted in itself to significantly modify the vascular angles as demonstrated at the end of the stent-coiling procedure. The extent of the vascular modification was inversely related to the vessel proximal diameter and pretreatment angle $\delta$. The great-
bending angle of the vessel against the straightening force exerted by the stent, since the latter reverted to a shape closer to its preferred native straight configuration. Nevertheless, the late-follow-up remodeling of the stented angle was still significantly different \( (P < .05) \) compared with immediate poststenting angles. It can be imagined that when the elasticity of the metal stent is fully released and the straightening force of the stent and the resistance exerted by the 3D structure of the cerebral vasculature reach a balance, the vascular angle will have reached a steady-state (Fig 1).

The apex of bifurcations is the site of maximum hemodynamic stress in a vascular network because of the direct impact, deflection, and separation of the blood flow streamlines and vortex formation at the lateral angles. \(^{27,28}\) The layer with the highest velocity of blood flow moves toward and directly impinges at the bifurcation apex where blood flow is divided. Thus, the arterial bifurcation apex experiences highly variable regions of wall shear stress, characteristic of flow separation. Regions of elevated shear stress are believed to cause injury to the endothelial cells of the vessel wall and predispose the vessel to diseases. \(^{27,29,30}\) The bifurcation angle may affect disease formation at the apex by influencing the tensile or stretching forces at the arterial bifurcation. \(^{31}\) The bifurcation angle may affect the formation of flow turbulence near the bifurcation apex. \(^{32}\)

After studying the characteristics of aneurysms on the ICA bifurcation, Sakamoto et al. \(^{31}\) reported that all the ICA bifurcation aneurysms deviated to the side of the A1 segment of the anterior cerebral artery, which formed a smaller angle with the ICA than that formed between the MCA and the ICA. Their result suggested higher hemodynamic stress experienced on the side of the A1 segment. Aneurysm formation might be related to branching characteristics that locally increase the hemodynamic stresses, \(^{33,34}\) and normal cerebrovascular geometry may be a risk factor in this context.

The studies by Rossitti and Lofgren \(^ {28,35}\) demonstrated that the branching angles of cerebral arteries may vary widely and that the apex of the bifurcation may lie in a nonoptimal position relative to the dividing streamline of the flow in the parent vessel, resulting in turbulence, vibrations, and increased shear stress on the vessel wall at the apical region, despite the fact that the blood flow/vessel radius relation is optimal. If aneurysm initiation or progression is related to the bifurcation angle at the vascular divider, then the balance of hemodynamic forces responsible likely will be altered by the stent-induced remodeling described in the current study. Furthermore, the angular remodeling properties of self-expanding stents, suggested to be at least partly the result of longitudinal stiffness in the current report, should be taken into consideration when evaluating stent design performance.

However, at the current stage, we are not sure what hemodynamic effect is induced by the angular remodeling caused by the longitudinal stiffness of the self-expanding stent. On one hand, this effect may be beneficial in the case of converting an aneurysm from bifurcation to sidewall because the flow would be diverted away from the aneurysm neck. On the other hand, the observed longer-term delayed greater angular expansion and vascular remodeling. Nonetheless, this phenomenon was noted to be more prominent during the earlier time periods (1–6 months) of follow-up, reaching steady-state subsequently, because the potential energy was mostly released by the stent.
it may be detrimental if a bifurcation aneurysm is converted into an endwall aneurysm such as may occur in the use of the waffle-cone technique. These theoretic hypotheses have to be both confirmed by hemodynamic modeling and, then, have to be corroborated clinically with long-term angiographic outcomes.

One additional point of concern raised by the angular remodeling described here relates to the theoretic possibility that the shape change may result in a relative movement of the coil mass, which could slowly impinge on the other branches at the vascular divider, possibly leading to delayed occlusion; this phenomenon was not witnessed in any of the cases herein.

Conclusions
This is the first study documenting a delayed remodeling process of cerebral vessels to the straightening force of self-expanding intracranial stents. Moreover, the smaller the native vessel or the preexisting vascular angle is, the bigger is the angular modification immediately following stent placement. This angular remodeling of the bifurcation may lead to possible alteration of hemodynamics at the vessel divider, an effect that requires further study. Clinical practitioners of intracranial stent placement should be aware of the delayed effect of stent placement at bifurcation aneurysms and its potential consequences on the relative position of the coil mass, both immediately postprocedure and its implications downstream. Stent manufacturers should also consider the long-term effect of longitudinal stiffness on the observed early and delayed cerebrovascular angular remodeling process.

Disclosures: Adel M. Malek has received research support from Codman Neurovascular and Boston Scientific, unrelated to the current study.
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