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Metallic glasses have vast potential applications as components in microelectronics- and nano-electronics-type devices. The design of such components through computer simulations requires the input of a faithful set of continuum-based constitutive equations. However, one long-standing controversial issue in modeling the plastic behavior of metallic glasses at the continuum level is the use of the most appropriate plastic yield criterion and flow rule. Guided by a series of molecular dynamics simulations conducted at low-homologous temperatures under homogeneous deformations, we quantitatively prove that the continuum plastic behavior in metallic glasses is most accurately described by a von Mises-type plastic yield criterion and flow rule.
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from 300 K to 2500 K and then cooled down at a constant cooling rate of $\dot{\theta}_c$ to the testing temperature of $\theta_t \ll \theta_c$. The MD simulations were performed within an NPT ensemble, and the temperature was controlled using the Nose-Hoover thermostat.

It is more convenient to determine the true state of stress at an arbitrary material point within a sample if the sample is deforming homogeneously. Since metallic glass specimens have a strong propensity to form shear bands during testing at low-homologous temperatures, special steps were taken to prepare the metallic glass samples so that they will only undergo homogeneous deformations in the simulations, and these include: (a) choosing an appropriate sample cooling rate $\dot{\theta}_c$ so that prior to testing, the samples have an initial free volume which is significantly higher than the fully annealed free volume at test temperature $\theta_t$, and (b) deforming the samples at a temperature $\theta_t$ which is not too low. For our numerical experiments, we have chosen $\dot{\theta}_c = 1 \text{ K/ps}$ and $\theta_t = 300 \text{ K}$. Appropriate periodic boundary conditions are also applied on the samples so that shear offsets would not develop in the samples.

Uniaxial tensile/compression loading is applied at a constant absolute strain rate of $\dot{\varepsilon} = 4 \times 10^7 \text{s}^{-1}$. For plane-strain loading, the deformation is applied at a constant absolute strain rate of $\dot{\varepsilon} = 2\sqrt{3} \times 10^5 \text{s}^{-1}$ in order to ensure that the same rate effect is studied under both types of deformation. In the case of plane-strain loading, the deformation is additionally constrained in one direction. For more information regarding the MD simulations and sample preparation technique, please refer to the supplementary material.

Figure 1 shows the initially undeformed cube-shaped amorphous metallic glass sample after the cooling process used in the MD simulations of the mechanical testing, and it comprises of 700 000 atoms. The sample has initial dimensions of 23 nm by 23 nm by 23 nm. The MD simulations were performed until a perfectly plastic stress-strain response is obtained, i.e., steady-state conditions are achieved.

Note that previous studies8,12 use the yield point in their stress analysis to determine the appropriate yield criterion for metallic glasses in which the yield point is determined by the use of the strain offset method.16 This method is generally used to determine the yield point of metallic glasses because the transition from elastic to elastic-plastic deformation is very smooth and not very discernable from the stress-strain response. To circumvent the shortcomings of the strain offset method, we choose to use the stress data at steady-state flow in our subsequent analysis because its value can be very easily determined from the stress-strain data. Furthermore, unlike the yield point or peak stress level, the steady-state stress value is insensitive to sample preparation conditions such as annealing time, cooling rate, etc., cf. the experiments in Ref. 17 and supplementary Figure S2.15

Figure 2 shows the absolute-valued stress-strain curves for the Cu46Zr47Al7 metallic glass system in uniaxial tension/compression and plane-strain tension/compression at 300 K under isothermal conditions. For the uniaxial tension/compression simulations, only the applied (or loading) stress response is shown, whereas the loading and constraint stress responses are shown for the plane-strain tension/compression simulations. From the perfectly plastic (or steady-state) stress-strain responses shown in Figure 2 (whose values are tabulated in Table I as well as in detail in supplementary Table SII15 for convenience), two very important trends are observed:

- **Trend 1**: The loading stress in plane-strain tension/compression is markedly different and higher than the loading stress in uniaxial tension/compression.
- **Trend 2**: The constraint stress in plane-strain tension/compression is very close to one-half of the loading stress in plane-strain tension/compression.

It is worth noticing that the obtained tension-compression asymmetry of 7%–9% in the molecular dynamic simulations of the Cu46Zr47Al7 metallic glass system agrees well with experimental observed tension-compression asymmetries in the range of 7%–10% for different metallic glass systems.18–20

Generally, the plastic flow rule associated with the pressure-sensitive von Mises yield criterion is called the von Mises plastic flow rule, whereas the plastic flow rule associated with the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion is referred to as the double-shear plastic flow rule.10

![FIG. 1. Initial MD Cu46Zr47Al7 sample. Initially undeformed cube-shaped Cu46Zr47Al7 metallic glass sample consisting of ~700,000 atoms used in the molecular dynamics simulations (color code: green = Cu atoms, red = Zr atoms, and blue = Al atoms).](image)

![FIG. 2. Stress-strain curves. The absolute-valued stress-strain curves in simple tension, simple compression, plane-strain tension, and plane-strain compression for a Cu46Zr47Al7 metallic glass system at 300 K. A perfectly plastic stress-strain response is obtained, i.e., steady-state conditions are achieved.](image)
TABLE I. The steady-state stresses obtained from the MD simulations. Further, the theoretical steady-state stresses determined from the von Mises plastic yield criterion and flow rule and the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion and the double-shear plastic flow rule are listed. The former predicts the stress results obtained from MD simulations very well, whereas the latter does not map them. Notation: \( L \): loading stress; \( C \): constraint stress; \( r = C/L \): ratio of constrained to loading stress; NA: not applicable; and ID: indeterminable.

|                  | Molecular dynamics | von Mises | Mohr–Coulomb |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------|
|                  | \( L_{\text{MD}} \) | \( C_{\text{MD}} \) | \( r_{\text{MD}} \) | \( L_{vM} \) | \( C_{vM} \) | \( r_{vM} \) | \( L_{MC} \) | \( C_{MC} \) | \( r_{MC} \) |
| ST               | 1.36 GPa           | NA        | NA           | 1.36 GPa    | NA        | NA        | 1.36 GPa    | NA        | NA        |
| SC               | −1.46 GPa          | NA        | NA           | −1.46 GPa   | NA        | NA        | −1.46 GPa   | NA        | NA        |
| PST              | 1.56 GPa           | 0.80 GPa  | 0.51         | 1.53 GPa    | 0.76 GPa  | 0.5       | 1.36 GPa    | ID        | ID        |
| PSC              | −1.70 GPa          | −0.83 GPa | 0.49         | −1.73 GPa   | −0.87 GPa | 0.5       | −1.46 GPa   | ID        | ID        |

In the next paragraph, the suitability of a pressure-sensitive von Mises plastic yield criterion and a von Mises plastic flow rule versus a Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion and a double-shear plastic flow rule, in quantitatively verifying trends 1 and 2, is discussed.

The material parameters in the von Mises and Mohr–Coulomb yield criteria are fitted to the uniaxial tension and compression data from the molecular dynamic simulations, whereas the data obtained from the plane-strain tension and compression simulations are used for independent prediction.

With integers \( x = 1, 2, 3 \), let \( T_x, D_x, \) and \( D_x^0 \) denote the principal values of the Cauchy stress, total stretching rate, and plastic distortion rate, respectively, along principal axis \( x \). Principal axis 1 represents the loading direction. For the plane-strain tension/compression cases, principal axes 2 and 3 represent the constraint and free directions, respectively. For simplicity, we assume that the deformation is isochoric, i.e., \( D_1 + D_2 + D_3 = 0 \). The analysis performed is restricted to homogeneous deformations of the sample.

From experimental data, it is ascertained that the deformation behavior of metallic glasses at temperatures well below the glass transition temperature is virtually rate-insensitive over a broad range of strain rates. Therefore, we obtain the following pressure-sensitive von Mises (or Drucker–Prager) plastic yield criterion by taking the rate-dependent plastic shear strain kinetic equation of Thamburaja and Bargmann for the rate-independent limit

\[
\tau - \zeta \dot{\rho} = s, \tag{1}
\]

where the equivalent shear stress

\[
\tau = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} \left[ (T_1 - T_2)^2 + (T_2 - T_3)^2 + (T_3 - T_1)^2 \right]}, \tag{2}
\]

and the hydrostatic pressure \( \dot{\rho} = -1/3 \left[ T_1 + T_2 + T_3 \right] \). The quantity \( s = \dot{s} \) (free volume) \( > 0 \) denotes the total resistance to plastic deformation, and \( \zeta \) is the free volume creation coefficient. Equation (1) reduces to the classical von Mises yield criterion if \( \zeta = 0 \).

For the simple tension/compression cases, \( T_2 = T_3 = 0 \) holds. Substitution into Eq. (1) yields

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |T_1| + \frac{\zeta}{3} T_1 = s. \tag{3}
\]

The values for the free volume creation coefficient \( \zeta \) and the total resistance to plastic deformation \( s \) at steady-state are obtained by substituting the values of the MD-determined steady-state stresses in simple tension and compression (see Table I) into Eq. (3), leading to \( \zeta = 0.062 \) and \( s = 0.812 \) GPa.

In the case of plane-strain tension/compression, we have \( T_3 = 0 \) and \( D_2 = 0 \). During steady-state response, there are no elastic distortions, i.e., \( D_x = D_x^0 \) for \( x = 1, 2, 3 \). For a material which is governed by a pressure sensitive von Mises plastic flow rule, the principal values for the plastic distortion rate under steady-state conditions are given by

\[
D_x^0 = \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2 \tau} [T_x + \dot{\rho}] = D_2, \quad x = 1, 2, 3, \tag{4}
\]

see Refs. 23 and 24. The quantity

\[
\dot{\gamma} = \sqrt{2/3 \left[ (D_T^1 - D_T^2)^2 + (D_T^2 - D_T^3)^2 + (D_T^3 - D_T^1)^2 \right]} \geq 0, \tag{5}
\]

represents the plastic shearing rate. Thus, we obtain

\[
D_T^0 = \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{6 \tau} [2T_2 - T_1] = D_2 = 0 \Rightarrow T_2 = \frac{1}{2} T_1, \tag{6}
\]

for the plane-strain tension/compression cases, since \( \dot{\gamma} > 0 \) and \( \tau > 0 \) during plastic deformation. Hence, under steady-state plane-strain conditions, the constraint stress is always one-half of the loading stress for a material governed by a von Mises plastic flow rule. This agrees very well with the results of our MD simulations for the plane-strain tension/compression cases, cf. Table I.

Finally, the loading stress \( T_1 \) and the constraint stress \( T_2 \) for the plane-strain tension/compression cases at steady-state are calculated by substituting \( T_2 = 1/2 \ T_1 \) and \( T_3 = 0 \) into Eq. (1)

\[
T_1 = \pm \frac{2s}{1 + s}, \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{2} T_1 = \pm \frac{s}{1 + s}, \tag{7}
\]

where the + sign is for plane-strain tension and the − sign is for plane-compression. For the plane-strain tension/compression cases, the values of the loading \( L_{vM} \) and constraint stresses \( C_{vM} \) under steady-state conditions are obtained by substituting \( \zeta = 0.062 \) and \( s = 0.812 \) GPa into Eq. (7): \( L_{vM} = 1.53 \) GPa and \( C_{vM} = 0.76 \) GPa (plane-strain tension), \( L_{vM} = -1.73 \) GPa and \( C_{vM} = -0.87 \) GPa (plane-strain compression).

The values of the steady-state stresses in simple tension/compression and plane-strain tension/compression obtained by the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion and the...
double-shear plastic flow rule are also presented in Table I. Since the intermediate principal stress has no effect on the applied stress required to cause plastic yielding, the value of the steady-state loading stress in the plane-strain tension/compression case \( L_{MC, PST} \) is equal to the value of the steady-state loading stress in the simple tension/compression case \( L_{MC, ST} \) for a material governed by a Mohr–Coulomb plastic yield criterion (see also Ref. 8). Thus, the predictions from the Mohr–Coulomb plastic yield criterion clearly violate trend 1 of the MD simulation results.

Furthermore, the steady-state constraint stress \( C_{MC} \) in the plane-strain tension/compression case is indeterminable by the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion and the double-shear plastic flow rule. This artifact is due to the inherent characteristics of the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion and the double-shear plastic flow rule, i.e., the plastic flow direction in the double-shear plastic flow rule depends on a material parameter termed as the angle of internal friction. Hence, the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion and the double-shear plastic flow rule associated with it are also unable to ascertain the special relationship between the constraint stress and the loading stress for steady-state stress-strain responses under plane-strain conditions, i.e., trend 2 of the MD simulation results. These results are confirmed for two further alloy systems as well. The stress-strain curves are shown in supplementary Figures S3 and S4, and the results are summarized in supplementary Tables S1 and SII. The pressure-sensitive von Mises yield criterion and the von Mises plastic flow rule very accurately predict the level of loading and constraint stresses seen in the plane-strain tension/compression MD simulations quantitatively. In contrast, the Mohr–Coulomb yield criterion does not map the steady-state loading stresses for the plane-strain tension/compression cases. Furthermore, the constraint stresses for the plane-strain tension/compression cases are indeterminable due to the inherent nature of the Mohr–Coulomb-type yield criterion and the double-shearing plastic flow rule.

Hence, from the MD simulations in simple tension, simple compression, plane-strain tension and plane-strain compression, it is ascertained that the use of a pressure-sensitive von Mises yield criterion and a von Mises plastic flow rule is more appropriate to accurately describe the plastic yield and flow behavior in metallic glasses, respectively.
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