Integration-Free Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Derived from Retinitis Pigmentosa Patient for Disease Modeling
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ABSTRACT

We investigated retinitis pigmentosa (RP) caused by a mutation in the gene rhodopsin (RHO) with a patient-specific rod cell model generated from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) derived from an RP patient. To generate the iPSCs and to avoid the unpredictable side effects associated with retrovirus integration at random loci in the host genome, a nonintegrating Sendai-virus vector was installed with four key reprogramming gene factors (POU5F1, SOX2, KLF4, and c-MYC) in skin cells from an RP patient. Subsequent selection of the iPSC lines was on the basis of karyotype analysis as well as in vitro and in vivo pluripotency tests. Using a serum-free, chemically defined, and stepwise differentiation method, the expressions of specific markers were sequentially induced in a neural retinal progenitor, a retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) progenitor, a photoreceptor precursor, RPE cells, and photoreceptor cells. In the differentiated rod cells, diffused distribution of RHO protein in the cytoplasm and expressions of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress markers strongly indicated the involvement of ER stress. Furthermore, the rod cell numbers decreased significantly after successive culture, suggesting an in vitro model of rod degeneration. Thus, from integration-free patient-specific iPSCs, RP patient-specific rod cells were generated in vitro that recapitulated the disease feature and revealed evidence of ER stress in this patient, demonstrating its utility for disease modeling in vitro.

INTRODUCTION

The retina is essential for vision. The many distinct layers of this tissue include the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and the neural retina with its photoreceptor cells. Photoreceptor cells convert light into neural signals, which are then transmitted to the next order of neurons. The death of photoreceptor cells in retinal degenerative diseases is the leading cause of inevitable and irreversible blindness worldwide. Rescuing the degenerated retina is still a major challenge.

Cell replacement is now one of the most promising therapies for human degenerative diseases [1–3]. Pluripotent stem cells, able to differentiate into a number of cell types, are the best source of cells for cell replacement, since mature cells are not able to sufficiently provide the number necessary [4]. Methods to induce stem cells to a pluripotent condition or induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) technology have become extremely important for regenerative medicine, because the ethical issues pertaining to the use of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are no longer relevant [5, 6]. In addition, patient-specific iPSCs are useful for the study of disease mechanisms and personalized drug therapy [7].
randomly integrate into the host cell genome, leading to the risk of unpredictable and adverse effects on function. Even with careful selection of iPSCs with a low copy number of integrations, the potential risk of genomic interruption remains.

In the present study, integration-free iPSCs were generated from a patient with a known G188R mutation in the rhodopsin (RHO) gene. The disease features of the differentiated patient-specific rod cells (Fig. 1A) were then investigated. This study confirms that, via integration-free iPSCs, patient-specific rod cells may recapitulate retinitis pigmentosa (RP) in vitro and thus are an appropriate cell model to study human retinal degenerative diseases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fibroblast Culture**

Skin tissues were obtained by biopsy from an adult patient with RP and then transferred on ice directly to the laboratory. To establish primary fibroblasts, the tissues were minced and cultured in an MF-start primary culture medium (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan, http://www.toyobo.co.jp/e) on gelatin-coated dishes. After 3–5 days of culture, fibroblasts grew from the skin fragments. The skin tissue was removed, and MF medium (Toyobo) was used to expand the cells. DNA was extracted from the fibroblasts to confirm the genotype.

**Infection Efficiency of Sendai Virus**

The Sendai virus (SeV) infection efficiency was tested by SeV18–green fluorescent protein (GFP)/TSΔF, an SeV vector containing SeV18 with the GFP gene and a functional mutation for temperature sensitivity in the viral F-gene (TSΔF; kindly provided by DNAVEC, Tsukuba, Japan, http://www.dnavec.co.jp). Six days after infection of fibroblast cells, the percentage of cells testing positive for GFP was analyzed by flow cytometry, following the manufacturer’s protocol (FACScanto II; BD Biosciences, San Diego, http://www.bdbiosciences.com).

**Human iPSC Generation**

The four F-deficient Sendai-virus (SeV/ΔF) vectors (SeV18+ Homo sapiens [HS]-POUSF1/TSΔF, SeV18+HS-SOX2/TSΔF, SeV18+HS-KLF4/TSΔF, and SeV(HNLC)c-MYCQC/TS15ΔF) were kindly provided by the DNAVEC Corporation [14]. All fibroblasts were infected with all four of these SeV/ΔF vectors containing the reprogramming gene factors Pou5f1 (Oct3/4), Sox2, Klf4, or c-Myc, respectively, twice within 48 hours. The cells were then trypsinized and transferred onto murine embryonic fibroblast layers (1 × 10^4 cells per 100-mm dish). Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium and Ham’s F-12 nutrient mixture (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, http://www.invitrogen.com) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, http://www.gibco.com) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and then in differentiation medium for 12 days with Lefty-A (or SB-431542) and Dkk-1 (CKI-7) treatment [11]. On day 21, the cells were plated en bloc on poly-L-lysine/laminin/fibronectin-coated eight-well culture slides (BD Biocoat; BD Biosciences) at a density of 15–20 aggregates per cm^2. The cells were cultured in 10% KSR-containing differentiation medium until day 60. Cells were further treated with 100 nM retinoic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com) and 100 μM taurin (Sigma-Aldrich) in photoreceptor differentiation medium.

**Immunocytochemistry**

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at 4°C and then permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 45 minutes. Subsequently, the cells were subjected to an overnight incubation with primary antibodies at 4°C after blocking for 1 hour in 5% horse serum. Washed cells were then treated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were sandwiched between the slides and coverslips and viewed under a confocal microscope.

**Cell Counting and Analysis**

As described previously, rod cells derived from normal ESCs or iPSCs and positive for rhodopsin (RET-P1 clone) always appeared at approximately differentiation day 120, and the cell number increased slightly by day 150. In this study, we counted the rod cells at these two time points. Analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni analysis was used to determine statistical significance (GraphPad Prism; GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, http://www.graphpad.com). A probability value less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Genotyping of the Patient-Derived Fibroblasts**

Mutation in an autosomal dominant RP patient has been identified previously with denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography sequencing [16]. To confirm the mutation in the
Figure 1. Generation of patient-specific iPSCs. (A): Schematic diagram of this study. Compared with patient-iPSCs generated by the retroviral method, nonintegrative Sendai virus (SeV)-iPSCs from the same retinitis pigmentosa patient with a rhodopsin (RHO) mutation are more appropriate for disease modeling. (B): Sequence chromatogram of the RHO gene G188R mutation identified in patient-derived fibroblasts. (C): Representative result of SeV infection efficiency. The SeV infection efficiency was tested using SeV18 + green fluorescent protein (GFP)/TSΔF. Six days after infection of fibroblast cells, 10.6% of cells were positive for GFP (red histogram). Black histogram indicates a negative control. (D): The colony morphology of the patient-specific iPSCs. (E): Specific expression of the pluripotency marker Oct3/4 in the patient-derived iPSCs. Scale bar = 20 μm. (F): The results of karyotype analysis. (G–I): Histology of three germ layer derivatives in a teratoma formed by patient-derived iPSCs. (J): Ectopic expression of the Sendai viral Oct3/4 gene in the early passage iPSCs. Lane 1, positive control; lanes 2 and 3, 2nd passage iPSC lines of Sev3 and Sev9; lanes 4 and 5, 3rd passage Sev3- and Sev9-iPSC lines; lane 6, 10th passage Sev9-iPSC; lane 7, differentiated (day 40) Sev9-iPSCs. Abbreviations: ACTB, housekeeping β-actin gene; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; iPSCs, induced pluripotent stem cells; Sv, Sendai virus; Sv-Oct3/4, Sendai viral Oct3/4 gene.
patient-specific fibroblast cells, RHO gene exon 3 was amplified and read by direct sequencing. As shown in Figure 1B, the c.562G>A mutation was identified, indicating that the fibroblasts were derived from the same patient.

**Generation of SeV-iPSCs**

The fibroblasts transduced with the SeV18+GFP/TSΔF vector were positive for GFP expression, indicating that exogenous GFP had been successfully introduced (Fig. 1C). On the basis of the in vitro reprogramming strategy with SeV, ESC-like colonies appeared 3 weeks later. The colonies were isolated as candidate iPSC lines for passaging (Fig. 1D). Selected SeV-iPSC lines expressed typical pluripotency markers, including Oct3/4 (Pou5f1), Nanog, SSEA3, and SSEA4 (Fig. 1E; data not shown). Ectopic expression of the reprogramming factors was confirmed in the early passage cells. However, the expression levels decreased in both cells that had been passaged 10 times and in differentiated cells, indicating a dilution effect due to successive cultures (Fig. 1J).

For in vivo testing, the cells were injected into SCID mice. Ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm-derived tissues were confirmed in the induced teratoma (Fig. 1G–1I). Karyotype analysis demonstrated the chromosomal integrity of the SeV-iPSCs (Sev9 line; Fig. 1F). Taken together, these results provided evidence that the non-integrative SeV-iPSCs possessed the same pluripotency and chromosomal identity as traditional iPSCs generated by retrovirus.

**Induction of Retinal Progenitor Cells**

For retinal induction, we adopted the SFEB method, as described previously [9, 11, 15]. Using a floating culture in a low-adherent dish, an embryoid-like body was formed by day 20. After transfer to an adherent culture, a few pigmented RPE-like cell blocks (~2%) appeared as early as day 30. Neuroretinal progenitor cells (Pax6+/Rx+) and RPE progenitors (Pax6+/Mitf+) were revealed in ~8% and ~5% of the colonies, respectively. By day 40, the percentage of Pax6+/Rx+ and Pax6+/Mitf+ colonies increased significantly (Fig. 2A, 2B). Differentiated cells, positive for recoverin (a common marker for cone, rod, and cone bipolar cells) and Crx (cone-rod homeobox-containing gene; a specific marker for both cone and rod cells), appeared by day 60 (Fig. 2C, 2D), suggesting the successful induction of the postmitotic photoreceptor precursor. These data demonstrated the successful induction of retinal progenitor cells from SeV-iPSCs of the RP patient.

**Induced Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells**

As described above, RPE-like cells appeared as early as day 30 and displayed a fishnet-like morphology (Fig. 2E, 2F). By day 60, the cells had notably expanded, with typical features (Fig. 2G, 2H). We isolated the RPE cell blocks and replated them onto a laminin-coated dish. The RPE cells proliferated and grew into a monolayer. Besides the characteristic hexagonal shape, pigmentation, domes, and tight-junctions were usually found in the sheet of cells (data not shown), which suggested a water-pump function in the RPE cells.

**Patient-Specific Rod Cells Recapitulate Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in RP**

As reported previously, rod cells can be induced by a stepwise protocol [9, 10]. We adopted the same protocol and examined the differentiation of rod cells using SeV-iPSCs (Sev9) derived from the RP patient. By differentiation day 60, immunocytochemistry revealed that 6% of the colonies were positive for Crx and recoverin appeared. On day 60, cells positive for Crx and recoverin were observed. After differentiation day 110, the cells expressed RHO protein, which was detected by immunostaining. As a transmembrane protein, RHO is typically distributed on the cell membranes [12]. However, SeV-iPSC-derived rod cells of this patient showed a cytoplasmic distribution of RHO staining (Fig. 2J, 2L).
Importantly, the patient-derived rod cells coexpressed binding immunoglobulin protein (BiP) and C/EBP-homologous protein (CHOP) (also known as DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3), both of which are conventional markers of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress (Fig. 3). No significant differences in the number of rod cells were observed among the SeV-iPSCs, retrovirus-derived iPSC clones (retro-iPSCs), and normal iPSCs at day 120 (Fig. 4). Through a long-term culture, the rod cell numbers decreased significantly by day 150. Immunocytochemically, few rod-specific rhodopsin+ cells were observed, whereas cells positive for recoverin and Crx were frequently seen (Fig. 4G).

Taken together, these results demonstrated that patient-derived SeV-iPSCs can be differentiated into rod cells and, in the present study, recapitulated the rod-specific pathogenesis of the RP patient. Importantly, patient-specific rod cells displayed characteristics associated with ER stress, supporting the hypothesis that rod degeneration caused by the RHO mutation involves the ER stress pathway.

**Distribution of Induced Retinal Cells in the Culture Dish**

Following the formation of the embryoid body in the SFEB floating culture nonadherent dish, the cell aggregates were replated onto a fibronectin/laminin/poly-o-lysine-coated dish suitable for cell adhesion and neural differentiation. By differentiation day 60, RPE cells were usually observed near the photoreceptor cell clusters. Interestingly, it was found that either of these cells might surround the other in the dish (Fig. 5). Optic cup-like structures were usually seen that were positive for recoverin (Fig. 5). These results indicated that retinal neurons during SFEB culture differentiation always adjoined the RPE cells, which were usually identifiable without immunostaining and sometimes displayed optic cup-like morphology.

**DISCUSSION**

RP comprises a group of retinal diseases caused by mutations in a cluster of genes. Mutation in the RHO gene is one of the leading causes of retinitis pigmentosa.
demonstrated that ER stress-mediated apoptosis is involved in and the proapoptotic protein CHOP is upregulated. It has been conditions, the ER chaperone BiP/GRP78 accumulates in the ER activated in response to accumulated unfolded proteins in the ER, known as the unfolded protein response (UPR). Under such pathways that are 

So far, few studies of patient-derived iPSCs used for in vitro modeling of retinal diseases have been reported. We previously generated iPSCs from patients with RP, a disease primarily characterized by degeneration of the sensory retina [12]. Most recently, Meyer et al. [13] successfully established iPSCs from a patient with gyrate atrophy, a retinal degenerative disease affecting the RPE. In both studies, disease modeling and drug screening were successfully accomplished. Howden et al. [18] demonstrated successful gene correction in the iPSCs derived from a patient via bacterial, artificial chromosome-mediated, homologous recombination. Using this iPSC line, Meyer et al. [13] confirmed that the disease phenotype had been corrected. These studies strongly indicate the utility of disease modeling using patient-specific iPSCs.

Because of the unavailability of patient-specific cells, in the past, nonrod cells with forced expression of mutated RHO have been used as a cell model. In the present study, we showed that rod cells derived from an RP patient expressed BiP and CHOP, indicating that ER stress is related to the RHO mutation. It is thus reasonable to hypothesize that misfolded RHO proteins, accumulated in the ER, may trigger UPR-mediated apoptosis in the diseased retina.

Directed differentiation of retinal cells from iPSCs in vitro mimics retinal development during embryogenesis. As both the neural retina and the RPE develop from neural ectoderm via blockade of bone morphogenetic protein, Nodal, and Wnt signals, in vitro induction of retinal cells from iPSCs can succeed using a similar strategy. Osakada et al. [9] established the SFE2 culture method to induce the differentiation of mature rod cells expressing the specific protein RHO. In the present study, we generated patient-specific rod cells derived from nonintegrative iPSCs using this method. Most recently, Eiraku et al. [21] successfully generated an optic cup and laminated retina in a three-dimensional culture from murine ESCs. Meyer et al. [13] isolated retinal progenitor cells from early forebrain populations in a human iPSC culture and also obtained optic vesicle-like structures in a three-dimensional culture dish. Our results also suggested an optic-cup morphogenesis and a hint of retinal neuron localization in the culture dish. These new methods may enable us to evaluate patient-specific, self-organized retinal tissue derived from iPSCs in the near future.

**Figure 5.** Localization of differentiated neuroretinal cells. (A): RPE cells (black area) and optic cup-like organizations (+) on day 60 (phase). (B): Recoverin+ cell clusters. (C): Overlapped. (D): Schema of RPE and neuroretina differentiation in vitro. Scale bars = 200 μm. Abbreviations: NR, neural retina; RPE, retinal pigment epithelial.

In summary, the present study demonstrated, for the first time, that integration-free iPSCs derived from an RP patient with the RHO188R mutation can be generated and proved that patient-specific iPSCs can be differentiated into retinal cells. In addition, the patient-specific rod cells displayed typical ER stress features and recapitulated the disease phenotype in vitro. These results not only support our previous study using the retroviral method, but also indicate a potential role for patient iPSCs as a model of ER stress.
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