High Anxiety Levels and Growth Hormone Secretion in Children with Short Stature
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Abstract
Growth hormone (GH) provocation test, which includes repeated blood measurements following pharmacological stimuli, were studied during GH provocation tests to determine if the test is associated with increased anxiety and if increased anxiety affects the test results.

Anxiety of the children and their parents was evaluated before and at the end the GH provocation test using State-Trait Inventory (STAI) questionnaire for the parents and State-Trait Inventory Child (STAIC) questionnaire for the children. Pre-test, 61% of the children had moderate anxiety, 27% high anxiety and 12% low anxiety scores. Children with high anxiety state had 47% lower peak GH compared to children with low to moderate anxiety scores. In addition, mean anxiety score was 20% higher among GH deficient compared to GH sufficient participants.

In summary, high anxiety prior to GH provocation test was associated with lower GH secretion. Whether high anxiety interferes with GH secretion and leads to low GH responses is still to be determined.
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Introduction
Short stature is a major concern to many families and one of the leading causes for referral to pediatric endocrinology clinics [1]. Since growth hormone (GH) secretion is pulsatile, the diagnosis of GH deficiency in children is challenging. A single random blood sample for GH level cannot distinguish between healthy or GH deficient child. Thus, GH provocation tests, which include repeated blood measurements following pharmacological stimuli, have been developed [2]. Two abnormal GH secretion tests are needed in order to diagnose GH deficiency.

GH provocation tests require medical care setting, overnight fast, and insertion of intravenous line. As a consequence, the test may be stressful for the children and their parents leading to activation of stress mechanisms. The stress systems consists mainly of two axis the Hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) mediated through Corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) and the brain stem locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system regulating the sympathetic nervous system [3]. The central activation by the stress response leads to glucocorticoid (cortisol) and catecholamine (norepinephrine) release that shifts the metabolism toward catabolism [4]. Although the stress system result in adaptive endocrine and metabolic changes, major stress or chronic activation can have adverse effects such as insulin resistance, obesity, immune dysfunction, hypogonadism reduced GH secretion [4,5].

Previously it has been shown that generalized and social anxiety disorders were more common in children with GH deficiency and GH treatment may reduce the anxiety in these children [6]. The etiology for anxiety in GH deficiency children is not fully understood, it was suggested that GH is involved in neurotransmission and neuromodulation in the central nervous system and might have an effect on neural function including mood and cognition [7]. Whether GH provocation test increase anxiety levels during the test and whether anxiety can effect GH secretion has not been studied. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the anxiety state of both the child and his/her parents using standard questionnaires during GH provocation test.
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Participants

Thirty-three children participated in the study. Anthropometric characteristics of the study participants are summarized in Table 1. Participants were children who were evaluated for short stature and impaired growth rate in the endocrine clinic at the Meir Medical Center, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University and were requested to perform a provocation test for GH secretion. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and appropriate informed consent was obtained from all the participants and their parents.

Anxiety questionnaires

Since short stature is a major concern to the whole family, anxiety of the children and their parents was evaluated before and at the end the GH provocation test using State-Trait Inventory (STAI) questionnaire for the parents and State-Trait Inventory Child (STAIC) questionnaire for the children [8,9].

The questionnaires have two components, the first evaluates general anxiety (trait) and the second evaluates the current anxiety (state). Each component consists of a 20-item scale, rating from hardly ever true to often true. A separate score is given for the State scale and to the Trait scale. Scores range from 20 to 80. The higher scores indicate a greater level of anxiety. Low anxiety trait and state was defined as a score between 20-40 points. Moderated anxiety trait and state was defined as a score between 40 to 60 points and high anxiety trait and state was defined as a score > 60 points.

Physiological measures

The children’s heart rate and blood pressure were measured using Welch Allyn Vital Signs Monitor (VSM 300) every 30 minutes throughout their stay in the pediatric endocrine clinic for the GH provocation test.

GH provocation tests

Each participant performed a commonly used GH provocation test (i.e. clonidine test or glucagon test). In case of an abnormal GH secretion in the first provocation test, a repeat GH provocation test was performed using standard protocols. The anxiety was evaluated only in the first GH provocation test.

Blood sampling and analysis

Tests were performed in the morning, following an overnight fast. However, water was given ad-libitum prior to testing to avoid dehydration. An indwelling venous catheter was inserted 30 min prior to the first blood draw, after allowing subjects to rest and sit quietly. In the clonidine test, blood samples were collected before and 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after the beginning of the test. In the glucagon test, blood samples were collected before and 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 minutes after the beginning of the test. In addition, blood samples for epinephrine, norepinephrine and dopamine were collected before and at 120 min after the beginning of the test. Blood samples were immediately spun at 3000 rpm and at 4 °C, for 20 min. All serum specimens from each individual for each test were analyzed in the same batch by an experienced technician, who was blinded to the anxiety levels of the participants and to the order of the samples.

Growth hormone: GH serum concentrations were determined by IMMULITE 2000 Siemens Analyzer solid phase, two-site, chemiluminescent immunometric assay using murine mono-clonal anti-GH antibody. Intra-assay CV was 2.9-4.6%, inter-assay CV was 4.2-6.6% and the analytical sensitivity was 0.01 ng/ml. Normal values in our laboratory are 0.1-7.5 ng/ml.

Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I): IGF-I was extracted from IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs) using the acid-ethanol extraction method. Serum IGF-I was measured using immune-chemi-luminescent assay (ICMA) using the Immulite 2000 Siemens Analyzer, with analytical sensitivity of 25 ng/ml.

Plasma catecholamines: Plasma catecholamines were analyzed by the Bio-Rad high-precision liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Bio-Rad laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA). Epinephrine Intra-assay CV was 4.2-7.8%, inter-assay CV was 3.7-7.2% and the sensitivity was 4 pg/ml. Norepinephrine Intra-assay CV was 2.5-4.2%, inter-assay CV was 1.9-3.7% and the sensitivity was 10 pg/ml.

Imaging: Head magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed to all children with GH deficiency.

Statistical analysis

Two-way repeated measure ANOVA with Bonferroni corrections was used to assess the effect of anxiety on GH levels with time serving as the within group factor and type of anxiety level as the between group factor. Unpaired t-tests were performed to compare anxiety state scores between GH defi-
Anxiety trait scores (32.9 ± 6.9 and 29.6 ± 11.8 respectively). There was no significant difference in the anxiety trait score between the children and parents.

Anxiety state:

At the beginning of the test 20 (61%) children had moderate anxiety state and 9 (27%) children had high anxiety state. Only 4 (12%) children had low anxiety state. There were no significant age differences between the groups and no correlation was found between the age of the child and the anxiety state. The average anxiety state of the children was reduced by the end of the GH provocation test compared to the beginning but was still in the moderate range (52.4 ± 12.3 vs. 46.3 ± 11.4 at the beginning and the end of the test, respectively; P = 0.02). The parent’s had low anxiety trait scores (32.9 ± 6.9 and 29.6 ± 11.8 respectively). There was no significant difference in the anxiety trait score between the children and parents.

Results

Thirty-three children completed the GH provocation test and the anxiety questionnaires.

Anxiety questionnaires

Anxiety trait: The children and their parents had a low anxiety trait scores (32.9 ± 6.9 and 29.6 ± 11.8 respectively). There was no significant difference in the anxiety trait score between the children and parents.

Anxiety state: At the beginning of the test 20 (61%) children had moderate anxiety state and 9 (27%) children had high anxiety state. Only 4 (12%) children had low anxiety state. There were no significant age differences between the groups and no correlation was found between the age of the child and the anxiety state. The average anxiety state of the children was reduced by the end of the GH provocation test compared to the beginning but was still in the moderate range (52.4 ± 12.3 vs. 46.3 ± 11.4 at the beginning and the end of the test, respectively; P = 0.02). The parent’s had low anxi-
ety state both before and at the end of the test and their anxiety state score was significantly lower than their children’s (Figure 1).

**Anxiety and growth hormone secretion**

Due to the small number of children with low anxiety state (n = 4), and lack of anthropometric and physiological differences, we combined children with low and moderate anxiety to a single group. There were no statistically significant differences in baseline GH levels between children with low to moderate anxiety and children with high anxiety state. However, children with high anxiety state had significantly lower peak GH secretion during the first provocation test compared to children with low to moderate state of anxiety (Figure 2).

In the high anxiety group, only two children (22%) had normal GH secretion. The mean anxiety state was significantly higher among GH deficient participants compared to GH sufficient participants (59.6 ± 16.4 versus 49.7 ± 9.4 points, respectively; p < 0.04). There was a significant inverse correlation between anxiety level and peak GH secretion (r = -0.3, p < 0.05).

A second GH provocation test was performed only if GH secretion in the first test was low. However, anxiety was evaluated only during the first GH provocation test to avoid learning effect. There were six children (25%) with low GH secretion in the low to moderate anxiety group and six children (66%) in the high anxiety group. In the second provocation test, 4 of the 6 children (66%) from the low-moderate anxiety group had a second test compatible with GH deficiency (3 with severe GH deficiency). In the high anxiety group, 5 of the 6 children (83%) had a second provocation test compatible with GH deficiency (one with severe GH deficiency). There were no significant differences in Insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) levels between the two anxiety groups (143.7 ± 72.3 vs. 198.3 ± 121.8 ng/ml for low to moderate anxiety and high anxiety, respectively). No pituitary abnormality was found in MRI in the children with GH deficiency.

**Physiological measures and catecholamine**

Physiological measures (i.e. heart rate and blood pressure) and catecholamine levels during the GH provocation tests are summarized in Table 2.

There was a significant decrease in blood pressure and norepinephrine levels from the beginning to the end of the test. No statistically significant differences in heart rate, blood pressure or catecholamine levels were found between the different groups.

| Table 2: Physiologic measures (heart rate and blood pressure) and catecholamine levels during the growth hormone provocation tests. |
|---|---|---|
| **Pre-test (mean ± SD)** | **Post-test (mean ± SD)** | **P value** |
| Heart rate (beat/min) | 88 ± 16 | 81 ± 19 | 0.09 |
| Blood pressure (mm Hg) | 99 ± 9/57 ± 8 | 92 ± 11/50 ± 8 | 0.005 |
| Dopamine (ng/ml) | 28.8 ± 10 | 28.6 ± 22 | 0.59 |
| Epinephrine (pg/ml) | 55.93 ± 110 | 37.76 ± 110 | 0.39 |
| Norepinephrine (pg/ml) | 156.96 ± 93 | 85.20 ± 64 | 0.001 |

**Discussion**

The present study examined the levels of anxiety during GH provocation test in children with short stature who were evaluated for GH deficiency, and assessed the effect of anxiety on GH secretion. The main findings of the study were that the majority of children (88%) begin the GH provocation test with moderate to high state of anxiety. This is important since a high anxiety state is associated with higher level of GH deficiency and may attenuate GH secretion during the test, leading to a possible false test failure in many of the children.

A major goal in the evaluation of children with short stature is to identify the small fraction of children with pathologic genetic, systemic and endocrine causes. GH deficiency is an important, treatable, endocrine cause for short stature. Due to the pulsatile nature of GH secretion, the diagnosis of GH deficiency relies on the GH response to provocation tests in addition to auxological documentation, and IGF-I measurements. However, provocative GH testing has several limitations since they rely upon GH assays of variable accuracy and reproducibility. Moreover, most pediatric endocrinologists define a "normal" GH response by a serum GH concentration of > 10 ng/ml, although the most accurate threshold varies with the assay and laboratory used. To overcome some of these limitations, a similar, single monocholal GH assay for GH measurement has been used since 2010 nationwide in Israel [10]. As a result, the cut-off level was changed, and a "normal" response is now defined as serum GH concentration of > 7.5 ng/ml and severe GH deficiency as GH levels < 5 ng/ml.

One of the most striking finding of the present study was the level of anxiety associated with the GH provocation test. Eighty-eight percent of the children with short stature who were evaluated for GH deficiency and were requested to perform GH provocation test came to the endocrine clinic on the day of the test in a moderate to high anxiety state. Moreover, although somatic measurements decreased from the beginning of the test towards its end, as indicated by the significant decrease in blood pressure and circulating norepinephrine levels, anxiety state scores were still elevated in most of the children at the end of the test. This finding reinforces previous reports relating stress, anxiety and depression with altered levels of hormones [11-14]. The anxiety occurred despite a very thorough explanation to the child and family about the whole examination procedure prior to the GH provocation test. In addition, since environments changes may affect hormonal responses [15] the test was performed in an environment that was familiar to the participants (the ambulatory day care of the pediatric endocrinology clinic). Moreover, in
real-life setting, in many cases the motivation for evaluation and treatment in children with short stature comes from the parents. However, the children’s anxiety throughout the test cannot be related to parental influence due to parental anxiety since anxiety state score of the parents were normal. Finally, the children’s anxiety cannot be related also to a general anxiety personality since the children’s anxiety trait scores were normal. The results however indicate that pediatric endocrinologists, nurses and other medical care personnel should be aware of the anxiety that accompany GH provocation tests, and efforts should be made to find appropriate approaches to reduce and mitigate that anxiety.

Clinically, the most substantial finding of the present study was that peak GH secretion was significantly reduced in the children with the high anxiety state. Only two children in the high anxiety group reached the normal cut-off level of 7.5 ng/ml, and GH deficient children had significantly higher anxiety state scores compared to GH sufficient children. Thus, one may argue that GH deficiency leads to a higher anxiety state prior to GH provocation tests [6]. On the other hand, it can be argued that anxiety from the test itself interferes with GH secretion during the test and indeed we found inverse correlation between anxiety state scores and peak GH levels. Further studies including healthy children may answer this question. It is well established that chronic stress may suppress GH secretion as seen in psychological dwarfism [16,17], but the acute effect of anxiety on GH secretion is yet unknown. Whether the blunted GH response is related to acute activation of the sympathetic system and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is yet to be determined. However, we did not find correlation between the GH response to the provocation test and catecholamine levels.

In summary, the present study indicates higher anxiety state in GH deficient children, but also may suggest that children’s anxiety interferes with GH secretion and gives another possible important explanation to the high rate of false low GH responses in GH provocative tests. This may ultimately lead in some cases to incorrect diagnosis of GH deficiency and as a result to unnecessary GH treatment. The anxiety associated with the GH provocation test occurred despite a routine and thorough explanation of the procedures and familiarity with the test location and personnel. This mandates development of better anxiety reduction techniques by the medical staff that will decrease the anxiety related to the GH provocation tests in order to improve test sensitivity and interpretation.
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