Ameliorative and Safety Characteristics of Argemone mexicana in Indomethacin-Induced Peptic Ulcer
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The disease usually results from an imbalance between gastric aggressive factors (acid, pepsin, reactive oxygen species) and defensive mucosal factors (prostaglandins, bicarbonate, mucin, antioxidant enzymes) induced by factors such as Helicobacter pylori infection, indiscriminate consumption of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, smoking, diet, alcohol, and both physiological and physiological stress.4 The prevalence of PUD differs around the globe. While the prevalence has reduced in developed countries, the disease is still a burden in underdeveloped and developing countries like Africa.5 Poor hygiene capable of promoting the invasion of H. pylori into the gastric lumen of the gastrointestinal tract, low socioeconomic status, poverty,
lack of awareness about the disease as well as ineffective synthetic drugs in treating PUD among other reasons may have contributed to its burden. Antacids (e.g., aluminum and potassium hydroxides), histamine receptor blockers (e.g., famotidine and cimetidine), proton pump inhibitors (omeprazole, pantoprazole, and lansoprazole), prostaglandin analogues (misoprostol), and antibiotics (clarithromycin, metronidazole, amoxicillin) are among the class of synthetic drugs currently available for treating PUD; however, they are reported to produce adverse effects such as headache, male hormone disturbances, pneumonia, osteoporosis, vitamin B12 malabsorption, diarrhea, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and constipation. This therefore necessitated the search for better modalities in treating PUD. Studies on medicinal value of plants which are of natural origin have shown that these plants not only afford gastroprotection but are also capable of accelerating ulcer healing as various plants with different compositions are used either as decoction, concoction, or as food additives to combat PUD in folk medicine by many developing countries. In Nigeria, a West African country with a population of above 180 million, Argemone mexicana is one plant traditionally used to treat PUD in this region. Argemone mexicana is part of the plant family Papaveraceae, generally called prickly poppy in English, Mexican poppy in Mexico, Ghamooya in India, and locally called “Mafowokan-mo-mi” or “Egun-Arugbo,” “Akede,” and “Kwariko” in Southern, Eastern, and Northern parts of Nigeria, respectively. Therefore the antulcer activity of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana used as a folk medicinal herb in Nigeria to treat PUD was studied for the purpose of developing an effective and safer antiulcer drug.

Materials and Methods

Collection of Plant Materials and Authentication
Fresh leaves of A. mexicana were plucked in June 2015 from a tree in Shaki town, Oyo State, South-West, Nigeria. Leaves were identified and authenticated at the Department of Botany, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. A voucher number UIH0011171 was deposited in the herbarium.

Chemicals
5-Methoxy-2-[(4-methoxy-3,5-dimethyl-2-pyridinyl)methyl]sulfinyl)-1H-benzimidazole (omepazole) and 1-(4-chlorobenzooyl)-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1H-indole-3-acetic acid (indomethacin) were purchased from Pauco Pharmaceutical (Anambra, Nigeria). Glutathione (GSH) peroxidase (GSH-px), GSH reductase (GSH-Red), GSH-S-transferase (GST), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) assay kits were procured from Randox Laboratories (Antrim, United Kingdom). All other chemicals commercially purchased were of standard grade.

Extraction of Plant Materials and Preparation of Test Dose
The fresh leaves were rinsed with distilled water, air-dried for 14 days at a temperature of 24 ± 2°C, and were made to a powder form with a mechanical blender (Mazeda Mill, MT 4100, Japan). An amount of 500 g of the powder was macerated with absolute (99%) ethanol (1.2 L) for 72 hours using a cold extraction method. The extract obtained was filtered with Whatman No. 1 filter paper and concentrated in a rotary evaporator (RE-300B model, product of Henan Touch Science, China). The test doses of 100, 200, and 400 mg/kg body weight (b.w.) were adopted in this study, according to the oral acute toxicity test performed by Das et al. on Ethanolic extract of A. mexicana which was in accordance with Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development guidelines. The extract at these doses was dissolved in distilled water and administered to the experimental rats.

Phytochemical Screening
Standard methods were adopted to screen the ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana for secondary metabolites.

Experimental Animals
A total of 36 Wistar rats of both sexes (male and female) aged approximately 4 months weighing 162 ± 1.45 g were procured from the Animal Holding Unit, Biochemistry Department University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. They were housed in a cage under standard conditions (temperature: 22 ± 3°C, light periods of 12 hour light and 12 hour darkness, and relative humidity of 45%), fed with commercial feed (Topfeed Mills Company, Ilorin), and given tap water ad libitum. Full committee approval guiding experimental animals’ use with an ethical number UERC/ASN/2015/120 was issued by the University of Ilorin Ethical Committee.

Experimental Procedure
The rats were randomly grouped and treated as follows: group I: control (un-ulcerated) rats administered distilled water only; group II: ulcerated rats administered distilled water only; group III: ulcerated rats administered 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprazole (reference drug); groups IV–VI: ulcerated rats administered 100, 200, and 400 mg/kg b.w. of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana, respectively. Rats were fasted for 12 hours before the commencement of the experiment with access to water but later withdrawn 3 hours to the experiment. Rats were made ulcerated by 25 mg/kg b.w. indomethacin administered at a single dose orally and ulcer was confirmed on the third day by serum pepsinogen estimation. This was followed by the administration of omeprazole and the extract and lasted for 7 days.

Preparation of Tissue Homogenates
Rats were anesthetized under diethyl ether and the stomach ligated at both openings of the sphincter (lower esophageal and pyloric sphincters) and the gastric juice was collected for biochemical analysis. The stomach and duodenum were excised and separately homogenized in ice-cold sucrose solution (0.25 M), and spun at 1,000 × g for 10 minutes with a centrifuge (Model SM8 B, Surgirriend Medicals, Essex, England). The supernatant was carefully removed with Pasteur pipettes into sample bottles and stored until further analysis.

Macrosopic Examination for Ulcer Index Determination
The procedure highlighted in Gregory et al. was employed to estimate the ulcer index (UI). The gastric juice in the stomach...
was first collected by ligation and the stomach was rinsed with normal saline. It was stretched and pinned on a board and examined macroscopically for gastric lesion using hand lens ($\times 20$). The Ul was calculated in mm² using the expression:

$$ \text{Ulcer index (UI)} = \text{Length (mm)} \times \text{Breadth (mm)} \text{ of lesion.} $$

**Determination of Ulcer Indices**
The gastric juice was spun at 850 × g for 10 minutes and the content poured into a graduated measuring cylinder; this was taken as the volume of the gastric juice. The pH of the gastric juice was measured by placing a digital pH meter into the supernatant. The gastric acidity was determined according to a reported method. Briefly 0.5 mL of gastric content was titrated against 0.01 N sodium hydroxide, with the use of phenolphthalein as the indicator. The gastric acidity was expressed in milli-equivalent per liter (mEq/L).

$$ \text{Gastric acidity} = \frac{\text{Volume of NaOH} \times 0.01 \text{ N} \times 100}{\text{Volume of gastric juice}} $$

**Pepsin Activity in Gastric Juice**
The procedure highlighted in Hirohashi et al. was adopted to determine pepsin activity in the gastric juice. The pepsin activity was calculated from standard protein curve extrapolation.

**Determination of Glycoprotein Concentration**
Glycoprotein concentration in the gastric juice was expressed as a ratio of total carbohydrate (Tc) to total protein (Tp) as described by Nair.

**Total Carbohydrates**
The Tc content in the stomach juice was estimated according to Nair. Briefly, 1 mL of 5% phenol was added to a separate test tube containing 0.15 mL of the juice and the blank (distilled water), respectively, and then shaken vigorously. An amount of 5 mL of 96% H₂SO₄ was later introduced and mixed slowly. After 10 minutes, the test tubes were again shaken thoroughly and placed in a water bath at 30°C for 20 minutes. The absorbance was read at 482 nm. A standard curve with different concentrations of glucose solution was prepared and the Tc in the gastric juice was expressed in micrograms per milliliter.

**Total Protein**
The protein content was measured according to a reported study by Lowry et al. Briefly 1 mL of stomach juice and 9 mL of 95% alcohol were mixed rigorously, and the mixture was spun at 3,000 × g for 15 minutes to get a precipitate. Then to 0.1 mL of the alcohol precipitate, 1 mL of 0.1 N sodium hydroxide and 0.9 mL of distilled water were added. An amount of 0.4 mL was taken out of the mixture into another test tube and 4 mm of Biuret reagent was added and allowed to stand for 10 minutes. Then 0.4 mL of phenol reagent was later introduced and allowed to stand for 10 minutes to ensure color development. Absorbance was measured at 610 nm against a blank made with distilled water. The protein content was extrapolated from a standard protein curve prepared with bovine albumin and expressed in micrograms per milliliter. The glycoprotein concentration was expressed as:

$$ \text{Glycoprotein} = \frac{\text{Total Carbohydrate}}{\text{Total Protein}} $$

**Determination of H⁺/K⁺-ATPase Activity**
The activity of H⁺/K⁺-ATPase in the gastric mucosa was expressed as nmol of Pi liberated/min/mg protein, which was assayed according to the procedure of Reyes-Chilpa et al.

**Adherent Gastric Mucus Content**
The adherent gastric mucus content in the stomach was determined following a reported study. Glandular segments of the stomach were excised and weighed. Each segment was placed into 10 mL 0.1% w/v Alcian blue solution (in 10 mL of 0.16 M sucrose solution, buffered with 0.05 M sodium acetate, pH 5). Following immersion for 2 hours, excess dye was removed by successively rinsing twice with 10 mL of 0.25 M sucrose, first for 15 minutes then later for 45 minutes. The dye complexed with stomach wall mucus was extracted with 10 mL of 0.5 M MgCl₂ with intermittent shaking for 1 minute at 30-minute intervals for 2 hours. Then 4 mL of the Alcian blue extract was added with an equal volume of diethyl ether and shaken vigorously. The emulsion obtained was spun at 725 × g for 10 minutes and the absorbance of an aqueous layer formed was read at 580 nm. Values were compared with the Alcian blue concentration standard curve.

**Determination of Glutathione Concentration and Lipid Peroxidation**
Reduced GSH concentration and lipid peroxidation were determined in the stomach and duodenum of rats by employing the procedures of Ellman and Buege and Aust. respectively.

**Determination of Antioxidant Enzyme Status and Chromosomal Aberration**
SOD, catalase (CT), GSH-Red, GSH-Px, and GST activities in the stomach and duodenum were determined following methods reported in various studies. The method described by Krause was adopted for chromosomal aberration analysis in the kidney and liver of rats.

**Data Analysis**
Data represent the mean of six replicates ± standard error of mean. Data were analyzed statistically with GraphPad Prism 8.0 using one-way analysis of variance. Differences between group means were considered significant at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**
**Phytochemical Screening**
The preliminary phytochemical screening revealed that phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, and saponins were present in the ethanolic leaf extract of *A. mexicana*. Alkaloids was the
most predominant (14.67 ± 0.02 g/100 g) followed by flavonoids (10.77 ± 0.03 g/100 g), phenolics (4.84 ± 0.02), and saponins (4.30 ± 0.01 g/100 g) (Table 1). There was a significant upsurge (p < 0.05) in the UI of ulcerated rats when compared with the control (nonulcerated). Administration of 100, 200, and 400 mg/kg b.w. of the crude extract caused a reduction of UI in rats, with a better reduction of the ulcer lesion in the group treated with 200 mg/kg b.w. when compared with the untreated ulcerated group. This reduction in UI of rats treated with 200 mg/kg b.w. was not significantly different when compared with the reference drug (Table 2).

The gastric volume, gastric acidity, H⁺/K⁺-ATPase and pepsin activities increased significantly (p < 0.05) while the pH reduced significantly in ulcerated rats compared with the control. However, 200 mg/kg b.w. of the extract was most effective in reducing the gastric acidity and gastric acid volume of the rats. Also the extract at 200 mg/kg b.w. significantly (p < 0.05) increased the gastric pH of rats to pH value similar to the omeprazole-treated group (Table 2). Similarly, it was observed that 200 mg/kg b.w. extract of A. mexicana administered to rats significantly (p < 0.05) attenuated the activities of H⁺/K⁺-ATPase, also known as proton pump (Table 3), and pepsin (Figure 1) close to the values of the control and omeprozole-treated groups. Tc concentration and glycoprotein content (Tc:Tp) significantly (p < 0.05) reduced in the stomach juice of ulcerated rats that received no medical intervention in comparison with the control (Table 4). A similar reduction was also noticed in the adherent mucus content of ulcerated rats when compared with the control (Figure 2); however, the

Table 1 Concentration of secondary metabolites of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana

| Secondary metabolites | Concentration (g/100 g) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Flavonoids            | 10.77 ± 0.03            |
| Phenolic              | 4.84 ± 0.02             |
| Saponins              | 4.30 ± 0.01             |
| Alkaloids             | 14.67 ± 0.02            |

Note: Values are % mean of three determinations ± SEM.

Table 3 Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana on gastric H⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups               | H⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity (nmol/min/mg protein) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Control (distilled water)      | 4.60 ± 0.19a                              |
| Ulcerated rats                 | 9.61 ± 0.24b                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprozole | 4.84 ± 0.11a                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 5.24 ± 0.42c                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 4.79 ± 0.14a                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 7.90 ± 0.62d                              |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; SEM, standard error of mean. Note: Data are mean of six determinations ± SEM. Values having different alphabets down the column for each parameter show significant difference (p < 0.05).

Antioxidant Enzyme Status

The antioxidant enzyme status data in the stomach and duodenum of ulcerated rats are presented in Tables 5 and 6 and Figs. 3 and 4. SOD (Fig. 3) and CT (Fig. 4) activities significantly (p < 0.05) decreased in the stomach and duodenum of ulcerated rats compared with the control. In the same vein, a significant decrease (p < 0.05) in activity of thiol antioxidant enzymes (GSH-Red, GSH-px, and GST) was observed in both tissues of untreated rats when compared with the control (Tables 5 and 6). It was noticed that 200 mg/kg b.w. of the extract effectively ameliorated the enzyme (CT, SOD, GSH-Red, GSH-px, and GST) activity which was initially depleted in both tissues of the ulcerated

Table 2 Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana on gastric juice secretory parameters of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups                  | Gastric juice secretory parameters                  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Ulcer Index (mm²) | Gastric volume (mL) | pH | Gastric acidity (mEq/L) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----|------------------------|
| Control (distilled water)         | –                | 1.86 ± 0.08a       | 4.25 ± 0.10a | 3.36 ± 0.03a           |
| Ulcerated rats                    | 6.45 ± 0.09a     | 5.45 ± 0.25b       | 2.43 ± 0.01b | 8.44 ± 0.39b           |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprozole | 2.79 ± 0.04b     | 1.99 ± 0.07a       | 3.77 ± 0.19a | 3.49 ± 0.05a           |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 4.20 ± 0.14c     | 3.28 ± 0.14c       | 3.58 ± 0.12c | 5.39 ± 0.14c           |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 2.81 ± 0.12b     | 1.90 ± 0.15a       | 3.85 ± 0.27a | 3.33 ± 0.15a           |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 3.07 ± 0.07d     | 2.77 ± 0.22d       | 3.49 ± 0.08b | 4.39 ± 0.20c           |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; SEM, standard error of mean. Note: Data are mean of six determinations ± SEM. Values having different alphabets down the column for each parameter show significant difference (p < 0.05).
untreated rats and this was comparable with the reference drug (omeprazole).

**Glutathione and Malondialdehyde Concentrations**

The concentration of GSH decreased significantly \((p < 0.05)\), whereas the concentration of the lipid peroxidation product (malondialdehyde [MDA]) increased significantly in the stomach and duodenum of ulcerated rats that received no medical attention when compared with the distilled water group (control). The level of reduced GSH improved significantly \((p < 0.05)\) in both tissues of ulcerated rats treated with the doses of the extract \((-\text{Table 5})\), while the MDA concentration significantly \((p < 0.05)\) reduced after treatment with the different doses of the extract \((-\text{Fig. 5})\) however; 200 mg/kg b.w. of the extract was most effective in ameliorating the alterations in GSH and MDA concentrations.

**Macroscopic View**

The macroscopic view of the gastric mucosa of ulcerated rats administered ethanolic leaf extract of \(A.\ mexicana\) is depicted \((-\text{Fig. 6})\), total ulceration evident with severe injury was seen to have appeared in the gastric pits of ulcerated rats without medical attention \((\text{Fig. 6b})\), but a mild amelioration of the gastric pit was observed in the gastric mucosa of rats that received 100 mg/kg b.w. of the extract as medical intervention \((\text{Fig. 6d})\) when compared with the untreated group \((\text{Fig. 6b})\), whereas 200 mg/kg b.w. of the extract \((\text{Fig. 6e})\) effectively ameliorated the ulcerated gastric mucosa of rats in a manner similar to that observed for the reference-drug-treated group \((\text{Fig. 6c})\) when compared with the untreated group \((\text{Fig. 6b})\). The gastric mucosa of rats that received 400 mg/kg b.w. of the extract as medical intervention also showed a promising ulcer lesion amelioration \((\text{Fig. 6f})\).

**Tables**

\(\text{Table 4}\) Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of \(A.\ mexicana\) on glycoprotein concentration in gastric juice of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups                     | Total CHO (μg/ml) | Total protein (μg/ml) | Total CHO:total protein (glycoprotein) (μg/mL) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Control (distilled water)            | 66.33 ± 0.61\(^a\) | 31.89 ± 0.10\(^a\)   | 2.08 ± 0.02\(^a\)                              |
| Ulcerated rats                       | 35.62 ± 0.10\(^b\) | 41.70 ± 0.26\(^b\)   | 0.85 ± 0.01\(^b\)                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprazole | 65.04 ± 0.21\(^c\) | 32.63 ± 0.23\(^c\)   | 2.01 ± 0.02\(^c\)                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 58.05 ± 0.21\(^d\) | 31.10 ± 0.01\(^d\)   | 1.87 ± 0.01\(^d\)                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 66.93 ± 0.01\(^c\) | 32.84 ± 0.01\(^d\)   | 2.04 ± 0.01\(^d\)                              |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 62.81 ± 0.01\(^d\) | 30.32 ± 0.14\(^d\)   | 2.07 ± 0.01\(^d\)                              |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; SEM, standard error of mean.

Note: Data are mean of six determinations ± SEM. Values having different alphabets down the column for each parameter show significant difference \((p < 0.05)\).
of rats that received the highest dose (400 mg/kg b.w.) of the extract as medical intervention when compared with the control. This increase in frequencies of chromosomal aberration observed in this group was not significantly (p > 0.05) different when compared with the ulcerated group that received no medical attention. Conversely, rats administered 100 and 200 mg/kg b.w. ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana showed no significant alteration or change (p > 0.05) in the frequencies of chromosomal aberration both in the liver (► Table 7) and kidney (► Table 8) of rats when compared with the control.

**Discussion**

Alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, and phenolics, which are the phytoconstituents identified in the ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana, have also been reported by Perumal et al. These secondary metabolites have demonstrated antitumorogenic activities by different mechanisms and are well documented in the literature. 35-38

The ulcer model used in this study has widely been established that gastric ulcer is usually accompanied by an elevated level of gastric acidity, volume, and low pH, and this is evident in this study (► Table 2). The significant increase in UI, gastric volume, acidity, and low pH of ulcerated rats after administering indomethacin is in

**Table 5** Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana on reduced glutathione concentration and glutathione peroxidase activity in the stomach and duodenum of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups                  | Reduced glutathione (nmol/mg protein) | Glutathione peroxidase (nmol/mg protein) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                                   | Stomach | Duodenum | Stomach | Duodenum |
| Control (distilled water)         | 8.86 ± 0.14* | 8.20 ± 0.34* | 0.45 ± 0.03* | 0.37 ± 0.03* |
| Ulcerated rats                    | 2.47 ± 0.19* | 1.46 ± 0.12* | 0.20 ± 0.01* | 0.14 ± 0.01* |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprazole | 6.98 ± 0.15* | 7.61 ± 0.10* | 0.40 ± 0.02* | 0.40 ± 0.03* |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 4.10 ± 0.26* | 3.69 ± 0.13* | 0.18 ± 0.01* | 0.27 ± 0.01* |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 8.40 ± 0.32* | 7.51 ± 0.12* | 0.44 ± 0.03* | 0.41 ± 0.03* |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 5.34 ± 0.13* | 6.80 ± 0.04* | 0.34 ± 0.02* | 0.30 ± 0.02* |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; SEM, standard error of mean.

**Table 6** Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of A. mexicana on glutathione reductase and glutathione-S-transferase activities in the stomach and duodenum of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups                  | Glutathione reductase (nmol/mg protein) | Glutathione-S-transferase (nmol/mg protein) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                   | Stomach | Duodenum | Stomach | Duodenum |
| Control (distilled water)         | 28.42 ± 0.11* | 9.49 ± 0.05* | 14.24 ± 0.18* | 4.49 ± 0.02* |
| Ulcerated rats                    | 13.76 ± 0.28* | 4.63 ± 0.22* | 7.45 ± 0.25* | 2.32 ± 0.02* |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprazole | 26.60 ± 0.39* | 9.30 ± 0.05* | 13.58 ± 0.04* | 4.20 ± 0.22* |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 21.33 ± 0.71* | 6.22 ± 0.18* | 9.56 ± 0.09* | 3.52 ± 0.16* |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 27.98 ± 0.23* | 9.33 ± 0.08* | 14.20 ± 0.15* | 4.32 ± 0.20* |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 25.49 ± 0.18* | 8.49 ± 0.06* | 11.28 ± 0.22* | 3.69 ± 0.14* |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; SEM, standard error of mean.

Note: Data are mean of six determinations ± SEM. Values having different alphabets down the column for each parameter show significant difference (p < 0.05).
consonance with the findings of various studies47–49 where indomethacin caused alterations in the level of these indices. The significant decrease in the concentration of glycoprotein and depletion in mucus content seen in ulcerated rats may also be attributed to the imbalance between the defensive factors and aggressive factors which resulted from indomethacin administration. Gastric H⁺/K⁺-ATPase is an enzyme or proton pump found in the apical parietal cell membrane mainly responsible for acidification of the stomach content. The increase in the activities of the pump and pepsin may indicate that indomethacin caused the activation of the proton pump enzyme leading to excessive gastric acid output and subsequently increasing pepsin activity since acidification is required for activation of pepsin in the stomach.50 The ability of the extract particularly at 200 mg/kg b.w. to effectively attenuate the ulcer indices and enhance the mucus content is an evidence that the extract restored normalcy or equilibrium between the aggressive factors and defensive factors probably facilitated by the phytoconstituents acting either individually or synergistically. The extract was also able to lower the activities of the proton pump and pepsin thus suggesting its inhibitory role in the signaling pathway that leads to the activation of the proton pump and the release of pepsin from the acidification process in the stomach.

Alkaloids are known to inhibit lesions in the ulcerative state by altering acid secretion via increasing luminal gastric discharge of basal bicarbonate and pH. Also flavonoids and saponins have been reported to stimulate mucus production and counteract the deteriorating effects of reactive oxidants in the gastrointestinal tract.51,52 Oxidative stress is known to be involved in the pathogenesis of ulcer,53,54 but antioxidant enzymes serve as the first line of defense against oxidative stress in the gastric mucosal membrane, this necessitates the need in this study to check the antioxidant status. The decrease in antioxidant enzyme (SOD, CT, GSH-Red, GSH-px, and GST) activity in the stomach and duodenum of rats is an indication of imbalance between pro-oxidants and antioxidant status caused by the administration of indomethacin since there is a correlation between oxidative stress and ulceration caused by indomethacin,54 or may indicate increased oxidative stress in the tissues of the ulcerated rats. The amelioration of the depleted antioxidant enzymes following treatment of rats with the extract, which was particularly more pronounced in rats treated with 200 mg/kg b.w., may have been facilitated by flavonoids as an antioxidant booster. Therefore, flavonoids among other
components may be responsible for the antiulcerogenic activity exhibited by the ethanolic extract of *A. mexicana*. The microscopic view of the gastric mucosal membrane of rats treated with 200 mg/kg b.w. of the extract which showed completely ameliorated injury or damage of the gastric mucosal membrane could indicate wound healing activity of the extract. This was consistent with Dash and Murthy's earlier suggestions of the wound healing activity of *A. mexicana*.55

**Table 7** Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of *A. mexicana* on chromosomal aberration in the liver of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups                  | TNMA  | MCA      | MI        | % CA     |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Control (distilled water)         | 143.00 ± 11.00* | 2.00 ± 1.00* | 27.80 ± 1.00* | 1.85 ± 0.47* |
| Ulcerated rats                    | 143.00 ± 12.00* | 36.55 ± 1.50* | 29.20 ± 0.70* | 42.10 ± 1.20* |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprazole | 139.00 ± 10.00* | 2.10 ± 2.00* | 26.90 ± 2.20* | 2.20 ± 0.00* |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 142.00 ± 9.00* | 3.00 ± 1.00* | 28.00 ± 1.30* | 2.40 ± 0.20* |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 138.00 ± 10.00* | 2.20 ± 1.50* | 27.70 ± 1.20* | 1.90 ± 1.00* |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 139.00 ± 12.00* | 32.50 ± 1.70* | 29.10 ± 0.90* | 39.57 ± 2.50* |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; % CA, percentage of chromosomal aberration; MCA, mitotic chromosomal aberration; MI, mitotic index; TNMA, total number of mitotic.

Note: Data are mean of three determinations ± SEM. Values having different alphabets down the column for each parameter show significant difference (*p* < 0.05).

**Table 8** Effect of administration of ethanolic leaf extract of *A. mexicana* on chromosomal aberration in the kidney of indomethacin-induced ulcerated rats

| Treatment groups                  | TNMA  | MCA      | MI        | % CA     |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Control (distilled water)         | 156.00 ± 2.00* | 2.00 ± 1.20* | 17.20 ± 0.20* | 2.24 ± 1.20* |
| Ulcerated rats                    | 149.00 ± 4.00* | 34.45 ± 2.20* | 15.17 ± 0.60* | 41.26 ± 0.40* |
| Ulcerated rats + 20 mg/kg b.w. omeprazole | 151.00 ± 2.50* | 3.00 ± 2.00* | 16.58 ± 0.35* | 3.02 ± 0.68* |
| Ulcerated rats + 100 mg/kg b.w. extract | 153.00 ± 2.50* | 3.00 ± 2.00* | 16.60 ± 0.20* | 3.00 ± 0.72* |
| Ulcerated rats + 200 mg/kg b.w. extract | 146.50 ± 4.00* | 2.00 ± 1.20* | 15.58 ± 1.54* | 2.20 ± 0.98* |
| Ulcerated rats + 400 mg/kg b.w. extract | 157.00 ± 2.00* | 32.20 ± 2.50* | 17.10 ± 0.40* | 39.53 ± 4.50* |

Abbreviations: b.w., body weight; % CA, percentage of chromosomal aberration; MCA, mitotic chromosomal aberration; MI, mitotic index; TNMA, total number of mitotic.

Note: Data are mean of three determinations ± SEM. Values having different alphabets down the column for each parameter show significant difference (*p* < 0.05).
The concentration of MDA, a product of lipid peroxidation, which increased significantly in ulcerated rats, is also an indicator of increased oxidative stress resulting from production of MDA after lipid peroxidation in the gastric mucosal membrane. It has been reported that free radicals overwhelm antioxidant enzyme activity and activate lipid deterioration, a process in the toxicity mechanism of indomethacin. From this study, ethanolic leaf extract of *A. mexicana* at a dose of 200 mg/kg b.w. was more efficacious against indomethacin-induced ulceration in rats than the other two doses (100 and 400 mg/kg b.w.), investigated by attenuating the gastric acidity, gastric volume, pepsin, and H⁺/K⁺-ATPase activities, improved the gastric mucus and glycoprotein concentrations, and ameliorated the depleted antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, CT, GR, GPx, GST) of ulcerated rats to values relatively close to those of the omeprazole group used as the reference antiulcer drug. This efficacy exhibited by the extract at 200 mg/kg b.w. may be attributed to the interaction effects of the chemical constituents present in the extract which could be synergistic, additive, or antagonistic.

Chromosomal aberration assays are used to detect agents (i.e., clastogens) that cause chromosome damage, which may be chromatid, chromosome breaks, and complex chromosome changes in the form of exchanges, rings, and dicentrics. The induction of chromosomal aberrations in cells may play an essential role in the development of certain tumor and it is believed to be a relevant biomarker for cancer risk in humans. An increase in frequencies of mitotic chromosome breakage may indicate that a chemical has the potential to induce numerical aberrations. In this study, there was no increase in the frequencies of chromosomal aberration in the kidney and liver of rats following the administration of 100 and 200 mg/kg b.w. of the extract, this means that the extract may be considered safe at these doses. On the contrary, the increase observed in the frequencies of chromosomal aberration in the liver and kidney of rats that received 400 mg/kg b.w. of the extract could indicate that at a much higher dose of 400 mg/kg b.w., the extract may not be safe for consumption. This observation may be in connection with the high amount of alkaloids present in the extract. Dalvi and El-Gamal have reported the DNA damage and cytotoxicity associated with alkaloids found in different extracts of *A. mexicana*. Also, Manjamalai et al. in their study reported the deoxyribonucleic acid damage of blood cells and bone marrow in mice administered extract of *A. mexicana*. In addition, sanguinarine, an alkaloid isolated from *A. mexicana* oil, has been reported to cause in vivo damage to the DNA in mice as the rate of chromosomal aberration; micronuclei formation and development of comets were seen to be increased.

**Conclusion**

The study concluded that *A. mexicana* exhibits antiulcer activity in rats and is considered safe at a low dose. Therefore, it may be employed as an alternative source for development of new and safer antiulcer drugs. Further work is ongoing to isolate the bioactive principle(s) responsible for the antiulcer activity of the plant.
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