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Abstract
Background: Postnatal management of infants with antenatal hydronephrosis (ANH) is still one of the most controversial issues. The majority of infants with ANH are asymptomatic with only few children who develop renal insufficiency. Thus, the biggest challenge for pediatric urologists is to distinguish children who will require further investigations and possible intervention prior to the development of symptoms, complications or renal damage in a cost effective manner without exposing them to the hazards of unnecessary investigations.

Main body: In this review article, literature on ANH were reviewed to present the current suggestions, recommendations, guidelines and their rational for postnatal management of ANH. It is agreed that a large portion of infants with ANH will improve; thus, the protocol of management is based mainly on observation and follow-up by ultrasound to detect either resolution, stabilization or worsening of hydronephrosis. The first 2 years of life are critical for this follow-up as the final picture is mostly reached during that period. Advanced imaging using voiding cystourethrography or renal scintigraphy are required for children at risk. Then, surgical intervention is selected only for a subgroup of these infants who showed worsening of hydronephrosis or renal function.

Conclusions: The protocol of management is based mainly on observation and follow-up by US to detect either resolution, stabilization or worsening of hydronephrosis. Postnatal evaluation should be performed for any neonate with a history ANH at any stage during pregnancy even if it was resolved during third trimester. Exclusion of UTI should be performed by urinalysis for all cases followed by urine culture if indicated. Serum creatinine should be performed especially in patients with bilateral ANH. US is the initial standard diagnostic imaging technique. Other imaging modalities like VCUG and nuclear renal scans may be required according to the results of the US evaluation. The most important items in decision making are the presence of bilateral or unilateral hydronephrosis, presence or absence of hydroureter, presence of lower urinary tract obstruction and degree of hydronephrosis on the initial postnatal US. Then an intervention is selected only for a subgroup of these patients who showed deterioration in renal function or degree of hydronephrosis or were complicated by UTIs. All these recommendations are based on the available literature. However, management of ANH is still a controversial issue due to lack of high evidence-based recommendations. Randomised controlled studies are still needed to provide a high level evidence for different aspects of management.
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1 Background
Antenatal hydronephrosis (ANH), the dilation of the fetal renal collecting system, is reported in 1–5% of all pregnancies on prenatal US [1–8]. The widespread use of ultrasonography (US) during pregnancy has resulted in a high detection rate due to the relatively high incidence of congenital anomalies within the urinary tract (UT) in addition to easy detection of the any dilatation or cystic pathology associated with these congenital anomalies [1].

The majority of infants with ANH are entirely asymptomatic at birth. Only few children (<5% of neonates
with ANH) develop a renal insufficiency or requires renal transplantation. Thus, the biggest challenge is to distinguish children at risk; who will require further investigations and possible intervention prior to the development of symptoms, complications or renal damage, from those who do not [8–10]. Consequently, some children may be managed by just observation while others may require medical or surgical management. Studying the natural history of ANH thoroughly has affected the protocol of management with shift from a primarily surgical approach to an initial active surveillance with possible intervention for only a selected subgroup of infants who showed or were at risk of complications or deterioration of renal function. Current research tries to detect the different parameters that can classify these children into different risk groups. Unfortunately, there is a lack of prospective randomised studies on ANH. Most of studies were retrospective. Thus, most recommendations are not based on high level of evidence [8–10].

In this review article, literature on ANH were reviewed to present the current suggestions, recommendations, guidelines and their rational for postnatal management of ANH.

2 Main text
A literature search of PubMed from 2000 to 2020 was performed for articles in English reporting on children with prenatal hydronephrosis and who had postnatal evaluation. The types of included articles were practice guideline, clinical Study, clinical Trial, guideline, journal article, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trial, review or systematic review. Different terms were searched including (hydronephrosis or similar terms including oligohydramnios, or ureteral dilation) and (antenatal or near terms including prenatal, newborn, fetal, or natural history). We excluded case reports, editorials, letters, and comments. We excluded also any article in another language than English or performed on adults. Animal studies were excluded. We reviewed 152 articles in depth as they fulfilled our criteria. Reference lists of articles were searched to include any relevant article. This recruited additional 67 articles. The findings and recommendations in these articles were summarized with clarification of similarities or controversies between different studies or guidelines as possible.

2.1 Etiology
There is a wide spectrum of conditions that are associated with ANH. These conditions may be pathological or just a transient dilatation. They include transient dilatation of the collecting system (41–88%), upper/lower UT obstructive uropathy including ureteropelvic junction obstruction (UPJ-O) (10–30%), ureterocele/ectopic ureter (5–7%), ureterovesical junction obstruction (UVJ-O) (5%), posterior urethral valve (PUV) and urethral atresia (1–2%), non-obstructive processes such as vesicoureteric reflux (VUR) (10–20%), multicystic dysplastic kidney (MCDK) (4–6%) and megareters (5%). Other rare conditions include prune belly syndrome, cystic kidney disease, congenital ureteric strictures and megalourethra [8].

2.1.1 Transient hydronephrosis
It is a transient dilatation which resolves during follow-up. It may be related to natural kinks and folds that occur during embryological development then disappear with maturation [8]. Mallik et al. [1] conducted a prospective study for 165 infants with ANH to define their natural history. Transient hydronephrosis was detected in 69.69% of all infants. The rate of transient hydronephrosis was 82.4% when the cutoff of fetal anteroposterior renal pelvic diameter (APRPD) in the third trimester was determined as < 10 mm [1]. In another study, the rate of transient hydronephrosis was 40% in children with ANH of < 12 mm during the 3rd trimester [11, 12]. Furthermore, it was also reported that surgical intervention was required in only 19–25% of children with ANH supposed to be due to UPJ-O [13, 14]. Passerotti et al. [15] reported transient hydronephrosis in 52.2% of the infants (62% of renal units) that had an antenatal HN [15]. The rate of transient hydronephrosis decreased from 80.6% in infants with normal first postnatal US to 3.7% in infants with severe hydronephrosis on first postnatal US [15].

The period of follow-up required for these cases till resolution is not determined as it is variable from case to case. However, the follow-up during the first year is important as most cases of worsening hydronephrosis do that during the first year [1, 8].

2.2 Grading of ANH
Grading of hydronephrosis is essential for prediction of the risk of potential pathology as well as clinical decision-making. There are many systems used for grading of hydronephrosis. Each grading system is assessed by its objectivity, inter- and intra-observer variations in addition to its ability to describe clearly the renal pathology. The most commonly used grading systems are the APRPD and Society for Fetal Urology (SFU) grading system for hydronephrosis.

2.2.1 Anteroposterior renal pelvic diameter (APRPD)
It is the measurement of the APRPD of the renal pelvis as visualized in the transverse plane of the kidney at the hilum. It has a small intra-observer and inter-observer variation [16]. It is the most studied system for assessing ANH in utero [8, 17–19].
The optimal APRPD for diagnosis of ANH is debatable [20]. The incidence of detection of postnatal pathology increases with increasing APRPD that is reported during antenatal evaluation; 11.9%, 45.1% and 88.3% for mild, moderate and severe hydrenephrosis, respectively [21]. Thus, low APRPD cutoffs for diagnosis of ANH will be associated with higher sensitivity in predicting postnatal pathology; however, higher cutoffs will be associated with more specificity [1, 8, 22]. Coplen et al. [23] evaluated retrospectively 257 neonates with ANH and reported that a 15 mm cut off of APRPD was able to predict 82% of cases with obstruction which was defined as the need for surgery due to declining function and increasing hydrenephrosis. Surgery was performed for UPJ-O (55 cases) and obstructed megaureter (7 cases) [23]. This was confirmed in another study on 53 children in which the best third-trimester fetal APRPD cutoff to predict the need for postnatal surgery was also 15 mm (sensitivity, 85.7%; specificity, 94.6%) [24]. In a prospective study on 213 infants, Ismaili et al. [22] reported that a cut off of 10 mm in the third trimester predicted only 23% of renal anomalies after birth. A lower cutoff, 7 mm, was more sensitive with prediction of 68% of abnormalities [22]. Multiple studies reported that a cutoff of 5 mm in the second trimester and 7 mm in the third trimester are the upper limits for normal APRPD and that fetuses presenting with lower APRPD will mostly have no significant pathology in the postnatal evaluation [4, 16, 21, 25–29]. These cutoffs were reported to be 100% sensitive in predicting postnatal surgery as reported by Kim et al. [30] while increasing the cutoff to 10 mm in the third trimester predicted only 25% of UPJ-O cases and 50% of VUR cases [1]. Maayan-Metzger et al. [28] retrospectively assessed 178 newborn infants with ANH. Of 119 infants with mild ANH (APRPD < 10 mm), 116 (97.5%) showed resolved or mild postnatal hydrenephrosis. On the other hand, the rate of detected mild or resolved postnatal hydrenephrosis was 80% (39/49 infants) and 10% (1/10 infants) for infants with history of moderate or severe ANH, respectively [28]. Kim et al. [30] analyzed APRPD cutoff predicting postnatal surgery in 183 children with ANH (279 renal units); unilaterally in 87 and bilaterally in 96 children. Surgery was performed in 57 children (66 renal units [23.7%]) after birth. A cutoff of 10 mm for APRPD during the third trimester had a 97.9% sensitivity and 23.7% specificity in predicting postnatal surgery [30]. Longpre et al. [29] tried retrospectively to detect independent predictors for resolution of ANH on 100 children (118 renal units) after excluding cases with VUR, ureterocele, megaureter, or distal ureteric or bladder outlet obstruction. Hydrenephrosis resolved spontaneously in 62 (52.5%) units while pyeloplasty was done in 29 (24.5%) units after a median follow-up was 34 months.

The remaining 27 (22.88%) units had persistent uncomplicated hydrenephrosis at last follow-up. Multivariate analysis showed larger APRPD and SFU grade 4 to be associated with a significantly lower likelihood of resolution. The mean initial APD in resolved cases was 9.4 mm as opposed to 29 mm in cases requiring surgery. A cut off of 1.93 cm or less was associated with resolution, with a positive predictive value of 83% (sensitivity 100%, specificity 67%) [29]. In a meta-analysis performed by Lee et al. [21] on 1308 children from 17 studies, ANH was stratified as mild if APRPD was ≤ 7 mm in the second trimester and ≤ 9 mm in the third trimester and severe if APRPD ≥ 10 in second trimester and ≥ 15 mm in the third trimester. The APRPD > 15 mm in the third trimester predicted an 88% of postnatal pathology. Taking all of the patients with any degree of ANH, 36% had pathology discovered during postnatal management [21]. Consequently, SFU and Indian Society of Pediatric Nephrology classified ANH as mild if APRPD was 4–6 mm in the second trimester and 7–9 mm in the third trimester and severe if APRPD was > 10 mm and > 15 mm in the second and third trimester, respectively [8, 9]. Similarly, neonatal hydrenephrosis is diagnosed when APRPD ≥ 7 mm [9].

The use of APRPD has some limitations which are partly solved by the SFU grading system in which the calyceal dilation and parenchymal changes are taken into consideration [8, 16]. APRPD is also affected also by the degree of bladder distention, hydration and position of the child [31–37]. Hydration will increase the fluid excretion which will increase both the size of a normal renal pelvis and the bladder volume [32–36]. The APRPD may also decrease when measured in the prone position [38]. Thus, re-evaluation should be performed after bladder emptying. Additionally, follow-up US for each patient should be performed in the same position.

### 2.2.2 SFU grading system for hydrenephrosis (http://www.uab.edu/images/peduro/SFU/sfu_grading_on_web/sfu_grading_on_web.htm)

It is another commonly used grading system which was recommended by SFU for postnatal assessment of hydrenephrosis. It integrates multiple measurements including the degree of dilatation of renal pelvis and calyces and the renal parenchyma status [39]. If there is no dilatation of the collecting system, it is grade 0. If there is a slight splitting of renal sinus (central renal complex, renal pelvis), it is grade 1. More splitting of the central renal complex with filling of the intrarenal pelvis, filling of the extrarenal pelvis or dilatation of major calyces is graded as 2. If minor calyces are dilated, it is grade 3. If this is associated with thinning of the renal parenchyma, it is grade 4 [39]. Thinning of renal parenchyma is defined as a thickness < 50% of the contralateral side. For bilateral cases, it
is defined as a thickness < 4 mm. SFU grading system has good intra-rater and moderate inter-rater, reliability [40]. It was reported that the inter-rater reliability and clinical correlation can be improved by sub-classifying SFU grade 4 hydronephrosis into segmental (4A) and diffuse (4B) cortical thinning [41].

2.2.3 Urinary tract dilation (UTD) classification
SFU in association with other societies; American College of Radiology, the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine, the American Society of Pediatric Nephrology, the Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine, the Society for Pediatric Urology, the Society for Pediatric Radiology and the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasounds, constructed the UTD classification on 2014, which merges both the APRPD and SFU grading systems trying to abolish their limitations and to unify the description of UT dilation [42]. The consensus panel recommended the use of the term urinary tract dilation instead of other terms including hydronephrosis or pyelectasis [42].

This system can be used for prenatal or postnatal evaluation of hydronephrosis but we will focus here on the postnatal part. It is based on six findings in US. The first point is APRPD which is described as normal if < 10 mm postnatally. Two findings in UTD classification system are similar to SFU grading which are the parenchymal thickening; described as normal or abnormal, and calyceal dilatation; divided into central [major calyces] and/or peripheral [minor calyces] dilatation. Additionally, there are three other new parameters which are the renal parenchymal appearance; described as normal or abnormal regarding the echogenicity, corticomedullary differentiation and presence of cortical cysts, bladder abnormalities; described as normal or abnormal regarding the wall thickness, presence of ureteroceles and presence of dilated posterior urethra, and ureteral condition; described as dilated or not [42]. Based on these findings, UTD classification stratify infants postnatally into three risk categories; UTD P1, P2, and P3. The low risk category (UTD P1) is identified by APRPD 10 to < 15 mm and/or central calyceal dilatation. The intermediate-risk category (UTD P2) is defined as APRPD ≥ 15 mm, peripheral calyceal dilatation and/or dilated ureter. Finally, the high-risk category (UTD P3) which is similar to P2 but with parenchymal abnormality (thinning, echogenic and/or decreased corticomedullary differentiation) and/or bladder abnormality (thickened, ureteroceles or posterior urethral dilation) [42]. A multivariate analysis reported that the severity of renal pelvic dilation, ureteral dilation, parenchymal thinning, renal hyperechogenicity, and thickened bladder were independent risk factors for significant pathology in children with ANH. An APRPD > 16 mm; 99.8% sensitivity and 89.5% specificity, was predictive for the need for surgery [43]. UTD classification system was reported to be reliable, [44, 45] but was reported to be less reliable than the SFU system in other studies [46]. Hodhod et al. [44] retrospectively compared the reliability of UTD classification system and SFU grading system for postnatal hydronephrosis predicting hydronephrosis resolution and surgical intervention in 490 patients (730 renal units). Hydronephrosis resolved in 357 units (49%), while a 86 units (12%) were managed by surgical intervention. The remainder of renal units demonstrated stable or improved hydronephrosis. They reported that UTD system was reliable for evaluation of postnatal hydronephrosis [44]. Multivariate analysis revealed that surgical intervention was predicted by UTD classification system, while SFU grades were predictive of resolution [44]. Rickard et al. [46] compared the intra/inter-rater reliability of SFU and UTD grading systems for hydronephrosis. SFU (1–4) and UTD (1–3) grades were independently assigned by 13 raters (9 pediatric urology staff, 2 nephrologists, 2 radiologists), twice, 3 weeks apart, to 50 sagittal postnatal ultrasonographic views of hydronephrotic kidneys. Overall inter-rater reliability was high for SFU (α = 0.842 in session 1; and α = 0.808 in session 2) and moderate for UTD (α = 0.774 in session 1 and α = 0.679 in session 2). Overall reliability was significantly higher with the SFU grading system than the UTD classification system. Reliability for intermediate grades (SFU 2/3 and UTD 2) of HN was poor regardless of the system, highlighting the limitations of both classifications in regards to properly segregating moderate HN grades. Intra-rater reliability was considered to be moderate to high with the UTD classification system (α = 0.862 and 0.723) for sessions 1 and 2, respectively and high for the SFU grading system for both sessions (α = 0.923 for session 1 and α = 0.904 for session 2). Inter- and intra-rater Reliabilities for SFU and UTD classifications among Urology, Nephrology, and Radiology, as well as between training levels were not significantly different [46].

2.3 Postnatal evaluation

2.3.1 Aim
Any neonate with ANH should be assessed for the overall health, renal condition, lower UT function, the presence of complications including urinary tract infections (UTIs) in addition to determination of the etiology of ANH. All of these items are important to determine those who will be kept under observation and those who will require intervention [8–10]. The diagnostic strategy should achieve its purpose at a minimal cost and morbidity by avoiding exposure of the infant to unnecessary investigations and interventions but at adequate follow-up that will preserve the renal parenchyma and prevent
complications. In most cases, the infants will initially be followed-up regularly then an intervention could be performed for selected patients who showed deterioration in renal function or degree of hydronephrosis or were complicated by UTIs [8–10].

### 2.3.2 Indications of postnatal evaluation

Postnatal evaluation should be performed in any neonate with a history ANH at any stage during pregnancy even if it was resolved during third trimester [8–10, 27, 47]. This recommendation is stronger if the degree of ANH was moderate to severe (i.e., fetal pelvic APRPD more than 10 mm in the 3rd trimester) or increasing in the 3rd trimester compared to the 2nd trimester [1, 21, 47]. This is because the reported incidence of postnatal pathology was higher with the increase in the APRPD that was reported during antenatal evaluation; 11.9%, 45.1% and 88.3% for mild, moderate and severe hydronephrosis, respectively [21].

### 2.3.3 Components

The diagnostic work-up should involve a detailed history and physical examination including blood pressure measurement [8–10]. The degree of ANH is an important prognostic factor [21]. The presence of a history of oligohydramnios is associated with a poor prognosis and predicts chronic renal failure or even death [48]. Exclusion of UTI should be performed by urinalysis for all cases followed by urine culture if indicated. The urine analysis will discover also the presence of proteinuria [8–10]. Serum creatinine should be performed especially in patients with bilateral ANH [8–10]. For cases with impaired renal function or impaired electrolyte balance (serum bicarbonate, sodium, and potassium), they should be followed twice daily until they plateau [8].

The initial creatinine and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels of the newborn may be artificially low due to the effects of maternal renal function mediated through the placenta. Thus, these serum levels should be measured after 48 h to accurately represent the child’s intrinsic renal function [8–10].

Additionally, imaging tests should be performed selectively. US is the initial standard diagnostic technique that should be performed for all infants with history of ANH. However, other imaging modalities like VCUG and nuclear renal scans may be required according to the results of the US evaluation [8–10].

### 2.3.4 Timing of US evaluation (Fig. 1)

US evaluation is better to be delayed to the end of the first week following birth when normal urinary output is established as the physiological neonatal dehydration

---

**Fig. 1** Timing of first postnatal ultrasound for infants with antenatal hydronephrosis. ANH antenatal hydronephrosis, HN hydronephrosis, LUT-O lower urinary tract obstruction.
and oliguria lasts 48 h after birth [1, 8–10, 47, 49–52]. This is accepted for cases with unilateral dilatation in the presence of a normal contralateral kidney. However, an urgent postnatal sonography within 1–3 days is recommended in more severe cases including solitary kidney, bilateral moderate to severe hydrenephrosis or when lower UT obstruction is suspected (e.g., oligohydramnios, thick-walled bladder, dilated posterior urethra, urethral obstruction) [1, 8–10, 47, 49, 50, 53, 54]. In cases with mild ANH, the postnatal US can be delayed up to 3 weeks following birth [1, 15, 47, 51]. Wiener et al. [50] compared US within 48 h of birth and at 7 to 10 days of life for infants with ANH and found an increase in hydrenephrosis severity in 44% of renal units on the second US. An increase of two or greater grades was found in 25% of renal units. They concluded that the initial US mostly underestimated the degree of hydrenephrosis and recommended the initial postnatal sonogram to be performed at 7 to 10 days of life for routine prenatal hydrenephrosis but earlier scans may be appropriate in select cases [50].

2.3.5 What to evaluate in postnatal US?
All parameters of the different grading systems for ANH; APRPD, SFU grading and UTD classification system, should be evaluated. In order to standardize evaluations, it is recommended to use one of the previously mentioned grading systems [8–10].

2.4 Further management according to the results of the initial postnatal US evaluation
These recommendations for management of ANH are derived mainly from the guidelines of the Society for Fetal Urology, the Indian Society of Pediatric Nephrology and Canadian Urological Association [8–10]. Additionally, more recent studies were also included.

The results of the initial postnatal US will help to take the decision of performing a more advanced diagnostic techniques/surgical interventions or just a further follow-up is required. The most important items in decision making are the presence of bilateral or unilateral hydrenephrosis, presence or absence of hydroureter, presence of lower urinary tract obstruction and degree of hydrenephrosis on the initial postnatal US.

2.4.1 Specific situations
2.4.1.1 Moderate to severe hydrenephrosis; bilaterally or in a solitary kidneys, or suspected lower UT obstruction (history of oligohydramnios, thick-walled bladder, dilated posterior urethra) In these cases, prophylactic antibiotics should be given based on prenatal Us and continued until the imaging studies are completed [1, 8, 9, 53, 55]. The preferred antibiotics include cotrimoxazole (1–2 mg/kg/d) or nitrofurantoin (1 mg/kg/d) [9, 10, 55]. Amoxicillin or Cephalexin (10 mg/kg/d) should replace them in the first 3 months of life [9, 10].

Urgent US should be performed within 1–3 days following birth [1, 8–10, 53].

VCUG should be performed within 7 days to rule out VUR [1, 8, 10, 55, 56]. The Indian society for pediatric nephrology recommended to perform VCUG within 3 days for neonates with suspected LUTO due to their higher risk for progressive renal disease and UTI [9]. If VCUG revealed PUV, intervention should be done [8]. If VCUG revealed no reflux, a diuretic renogram is recommended [8, 9].

2.4.1.2 Hydroureter The risk of developing UTI is greater in children with dilated ureter than in children with dilation limited to the kidney. Thus, these patients should also be placed on antibiotic prophylaxis based on prenatal Us and continued until imaging studies are complete [1, 8, 9, 53, 55]. Postnatal US should be performed within the first 7 days [1, 8, 53].

Passerotti et al. [15] evaluated infants with ANH and found a higher rate of urinary tract patholgy in infants with a ureteric dilation (64.4% vs 31.8%) [15]. VCUG is mandatory within 4 weeks [8, 9, 44, 56]. If no reflux is found in VCUG, a diuretic renogram is suggested as there is a possibility of VUJ-O [8, 9].

Lee et al. assessed non-refluxing hydrenephrosis and reported that UTI developed in 47% of children with hydroureter compared to 13% without hydroureter and 59% of children with ureteroceles compared to 18% without ureteroceles. They recommended to use continuous antibiotic prophylaxis (CAP) in these infants with hydroureroteronephrosis [57]. In another study, Braga et al. [58] reported that hydroureroteronephrosis with excluded reflux (primary megaureter) was an independent risk factor for febrile UTI (32% vs 6%) compared with isolated hydrenephrosis in infants and recommended CAP to be given for these categories [58]. This was confirmed in another study that reported febrile urinary tract infection in 34% of infants with primary non-refluxing megaureter [59]. Uncircumcised male (41% vs 19%) and lack of continuous antibiotic prophylaxis (53% vs 21%) were also independent risk factors for febrile urinary tract infection. Number to be treated (NNT) for the CAP variable was 3 (CAP should be prescribe to 3 children to prevent 1 febrile UTI) while it was 4.5 for circumcision (5 circumcisions would need to be performed to prevent 1 febrile UTI in male infants with primary non-refluxing megaureter) [59].
2.4.2 Isolated renal dilatation (no ureteric dilatation, bladder abnormalities or suspected lower UT obstruction) (Fig. 2)

2.4.2.1 Severe Hydronephrosis (SFU 4, APD > 15 mm) In children with severe hydronephrosis, prophylactic antibiotics should be given based on prenatal US and continued until the imaging studies are completed [1, 8–10, 53, 55].

VCUG should be performed within 4 weeks to rule out VUR [1, 8–10, 15, 44, 53, 55, 56]. If VCUG revealed reflux, a DMSA scan may be beneficial especially for high grade reflux as it may confirm the presence of renal dysplasia [8]. If reflux is excluded, a diuretic renogram is recommended as there is a high possibility of significant urinary obstruction that will require surgical intervention [8–10, 44, 47]. If VCUG revealed no reflux, antibiotics may be discontinued [8]. However, some authors recommend giving CAP for these children with severe hydronephrosis even after exclusion of reflux [44, 55]. Lee et al. [57] assessed the relationship between prenatally diagnosed non-refluxing hydronephrosis and UTI in 430 infants. UTI developed in 39% of children with obstructive uropathy compared to 11% without obstruction and in 40% of children with SFU grade 4 hydronephrosis compared to 33% with grade 3, 14% with grade 2 and 4% with grade 1. They recommended to use CAP in these infants with obstructive uropathy or severe hydronephrosis [57]. In another study, Braga et al. [58] reported that high grade hydronephrosis and lack of CAP (27% vs 14%) emerged as independent risk factors for febrile UTI in infants with ANH. Females (34% vs 15%) and uncircumcised males (21% vs 5%) were also risk factors. They recommended CAP to be given for these categories [58]. On the other hand, Easterbrook et al. [60] reported similar UTI rates in infants with ANH regardless of CAP use (9.9% for CAP vs 7.5% for no CAP) in their meta-analysis that included 10 non-randomized trials (3909 children). However, subgroup classification according to severity of hydronephrosis could not be performed. Furthermore, there were no available randomized, controlled trials [60]. Thus, continuation of the antibiotic prophylaxis

---

**Fig. 2** Protocol for follow-up imaging and antibiotic prophylaxis in infants with isolated unilateral hydronephrosis based on results of first postnatal ultrasound. ANH antenatal hydronephrosis, APRPD anteroposterior renal pelvic diameter, CAP continuous antibiotic prophylaxis, HN hydronephrosis, SFU Society for fetal urology, SRF split renal function, UPJ-O ureteropelvic junction obstruction, VCUG voiding cystourethrography, VUR vesicoureteric reflux. *Infants should be re-evaluated if they develop symptoms like urinary tract infection or pain.
and circumcision for these children is still controversial. However, they should be given for symptomatic cases [8]. The AUA Update Series on ANH suggested CAP for SFU Grade 3 and 4 but stated that it was not evidence-based [61].

2.4.2.2 *Moderate Hydronephrosis (SFU 3)* Here, the decision is more controversial. The decision should be made on a case by case basis with parental involvement in the decision making. It is equivocal to perform a VCUG or not except in the presence of absolute indications [8, 10, 21, 62].

These children should be followed by US around the age of 3 months. If the hydronephrosis is persistent or increasing especially in the presence of negative VCUG, a baseline MAG3 is useful to exclude obstruction. The MAG3 results will be helpful in determining the timing and role of further studies [8, 52, 62]. However, the Indian Society of pediatric nephrology and the Canadian Urological association in addition to other authors recommended VCUG to be performed within 4–6 weeks for these children with SFU grade 3 and renography to be performed for these children if reflux is excluded by VCUG [1, 9, 10, 15, 44, 47, 52, 53]. If the renogram was also negative with no pathology requiring intervention, both US and renogram can be repeated at the age of 3 months. If the condition is persistent, follow-up should continue to at least 18 months of age [10].

Antibiotic prophylaxis should be taken until the imaging studies are completed, particularly if a VCUO was decided [8, 9, 21, 53, 55, 62]. If VCUO excludes VUR, antibiotic prophylaxis can be discontinued [8, 9, 21, 62].

2.4.2.3 *Mild unilateral or bilateral Hydronephrosis (SFU 1–2, APD 7–10 mm)* Assessment should be performed by US alone for these children as there is a low incidence of late-occurring progression to significant obstruction [1, 8–10, 15, 22, 23, 47, 53]. Additionally, incidence of reflux in these cases is 10–18.9%, [15, 21, 63–65] and mostly of low-grade with a high rate of subsequent resolution [1, 54, 63]. Madden-Fuentes et al. [66] reported that isolated SFU grade 1–2 hydronephrosis frequently resolves, with infrequent UTI and minimal progression to surgical intervention in 416 children (623 renal units). Resolution/improvement/stabilization rate was 96.7% for grade 1 hydronephrosis (66.7% complete resolution) and 98.7% for grade 2 hydronephrosis (47.6% complete resolution). One patient with SFU grade 1 and another with SFU grade 2 required surgery. For SFU grades 1–2 hydronephrosis, overall resolution rate was 59.9% with improvement/stability in 37.6%, and worsening in 2.6%. Only 0.7% of patients had a febrile UTI. They concluded that antibiotic prophylaxis has a limited role in management of these cases [66]. Similarly, Sencan et al. [67] reported that resolution, improvement, stabilization and worsening rates were 67%,13%, 16%, and 3.3%; respectively during follow-up of 760 children with mild hydronephrosis (SFU Grade 1-2) on the first postnatal US [67]. The rate of developed UTI during follow-up was 3.3%. VUR was identified in only 1.7% out of 475 infants who underwent an initial screening VCUG [67]. Thus, it is recommended to defer VCUG for these cases to avoid its morbidity [1, 10, 67], as VCUG is invasive with potential risk of UTI in addition to exposure to radiation [1, 68, 69]. Additionally, there is no clear benefit for early diagnosis or treatment of VUR in children with mild hydronephrosis in the absence of lower UT pathology [1, 47, 70, 71].

There is no clear evidence to use antibiotic prophylaxis in these children as the incidence of UTI was very low with no clinical benefit of antibiotic use [9, 10, 66, 67, 72–75]. Szymanski et al. [75] evaluated 206 consecutive children with postnatally confirmed prenatally detected hydronephrosis. No UTI was observed in patients with grade 1 hydronephrosis. UTIs in low grade hydronephrosis were only seen in infants who performed VCUG (7 patients) [75]. This low risk of UTI in infants with SFU grade 1–2 was confirmed in a meta-analysis that included 3876 infants from 21 studies. Additionally, it confirmed that there was no benefit of use of prophylactic antibiotics in these children with SFU Grade 1 and 2 or APRPD < 15 mm as there was no significant difference in the risk of UTI in children with and without antibiotic prophylaxis (2.2% vs. 2.8%). However, there was significant difference in the incidence of UTI favoring use of antibiotic prophylaxis in children with SFU Grade 3 and 4 or APRPD ≥ 15 mm (14.6% vs. 28.9%). The estimated number needed to treat to prevent 1 UTI in patients with high-grade hydronephrosis was 7 [76]. Although still debatable, several studies have suggested that circumcision is equally effective alternative to antibiotic prophylaxis in preventing UTI in children with hydronephrosis [42, 62, 76, 77]. The Indian Society of Pediatric Nephrology recommended antibiotic prophylaxis for all infants with documented VUR for the first year of life [9]. This recommendation for use of antibiotic prophylaxis even in low grades of reflux was explained due to the fact that recurrent UTI in children is associated with the risk of new renal scarring in children which increases from 10% with two UTIs to 60% after five UTIs [42, 78].

2.4.3 *No hydronephrosis (SFU grade 0, APD < 7 mm)*

A normal initial postnatal US may be detected in 21–32.8% of children with ANH; [15, 27] however, 45% of these children with an initial normal postnatal scan showed an abnormal US at follow-up [27], and 19.4% diagnosed with pathology during follow-up [15]. In a
systematic review of 29 studies (1910 infants), the risk of postnatal pathology was 10.8% in infants with normal or mild hydronephrosis in postnatal US, compared to 69.7% in infants with moderate-severe hydronephrosis in the postnatal ultrasound [15]. Signorelli reported that out of 51 infants with normalized last antenatal US examination, 8 children (15.6%) showed hydronephrosis at the 1 month postnatal US examination. Out of these 8 children, one child (12.5%) required surgical treatment (vesico-ureteral reimplantation) for VUR [79]. Furthermore, it was reported that surgical intervention was required in 5% of children with a normal US at 1 week of age as it was followed by abnormal US at 1 month of age highlighting the importance of a second check-up at 1 month of life [79]. Clautice-Engle et al. [51] reported that renal obstruction may be underestimated or missed on US obtained 6 days after birth. They found that US obtained 6 weeks after birth is more specific for detecting obstruction in their study on 100 infants (130 kidneys) with ANH. For the 27 kidneys that were obstructed, the mean pelvic diameter increased from 18 mm (range 5–54 mm) on US obtained at 6 days to 22 mm (range 11–60 mm) on US obtained at 6 weeks [51]. Thus, it is recommended to perform a second US at the age of 4–6 weeks if the first postnatal US was normal [8–10, 42, 51, 52, 55]. US at that time is more accurate for detection of hydronephrosis [8–10, 42, 51]. If these 2 successive US within the first 4–6 weeks are normal, significant obstruction is excluded. Additionally, VUR is rare. If VUR is present under these circumstances, it will be of low grade [9, 22, 55], with a rate of resolution as twice as children with abnormal findings in postnatal US [80]. Furthermore, a normal postnatal US was reported to have a negative predictive value for UTI of 98.9% [81]. Lidefelt et al. [55] evaluated 103 infants with ANH by performing two US examinations; the first after 5–7 days and the second after 3 weeks of life. Antibacterial prophylaxis was given to those with APRPD ≥15 mm, VUR grades III–V and suspected obstruction. In 53/103 babies, both US examinations were normal. Of these 53 infants, 3 (5.6%) had VUR grade I. During follow-up, 2 (3.7%) girls developed UTI at 18 and 24 months of age, respectively; both had a normal VCUG. Among the 50 infants with abnormal initial US, 6 (12%) had VUR, of which four were high grade (IV–V). All 4 (8%) infants with high grade (IV–V) VUR developed UTI. The rate of UTI in these 50 infants was 14%. They reported that UTI is uncommon in infants with two normal postnatal US examinations and recommended not to use antibacterial prophylaxis in these infants [55]. Similarly, it was reported that the risk of VUR on VCUG was <6.7% in 74 infants with two normal postnatal ultrasounds (at day 5 and 1 month after birth) compared to 40% in children with detected hydronephrosis in the postnatal US. However, complications of VCUG occurred in 3.4% patients despite antibiotic prophylaxis before doing VCUG [68].

2.5 Subsequent follow-up
Long-term follow-up for children with ANH is required. However, there is a controversy on the frequency and appropriate length of follow-up. Late worsening or recurrence of hydronephrosis can occur in the first two years of life and occasionally later up to 5–6 years [82, 83]. Matsui et al. [82] evaluated 344 children (483 kidneys) with ANH for a mean follow-up of 10 years. Only children with isolated hydronephrosis were included. Pyeloplasty was required in 87 children (89 kidneys). Of the remaining 257 children (394 kidneys), only 4 kidneys (1%) showed initial improvement then worsened later in life. Mean age at worsening of hydronephrosis was 40 months (range 22 to 60). All of them presented with clinical symptoms and treated by pyeloplasty [82]. Coplen et al. [23] reported that half of the surgeries required for children with ANH were performed before the age of 6 months. Only 3/62 children underwent surgery after age 18 months. Of these patients one had increasing hydronephrosis at age 2.5 years and two had symptomatic renal colic at age 6 years. Thus, they reported that progression of hydronephrosis and/or a decrease in renal function most commonly occurs in the first 12 months of life [23]. Similarly, Longpre et al. [29] reported that 29 pyeloplasties were performed at a median age of 19 months [29]. Ulman et al. followed non-operatively 104 infants with isolated severe (SFU grade 3–4) hydronephrosis after exclusion of cases with VUR. Pyeloplasty was required in only 22% of infants. All of them were younger than 15 months at the time of surgery. The remaining infants had an improvement or resolution of their hydronephrosis. Mean time to maximum improvement or resolution was 30 and 19 months, respectively [84]. Lee et al. [57] reported that UTI developed in 19% of infants with non-refluxing hydronephrosis. UTI developed before the age 6 months in 84% of these infants [57]. In another study, Braga et al. [58] reported that febrile UTI developed in 19% of infants with ANH at a median of 4 months [58]. In another study, the median time to develop febrile UTI was 3.3 months in infants with primary non-refluxing megaureter. Overall 76% of megaureters resolved during a median follow-up of 19 months [59].

It is generally agreed that those with moderate and severe hydronephrosis (SFU Grade 3 and 4) require earlier and more frequent postnatal US evaluation than those with mild (SFU Grade 1 and 2) UT dilation [1, 8, 23, 42, 52, 55, 83, 84]. Consequently, it is recommended to schedule follow-up visits; at 3–6 months then 6–12 monthly, until resolution for children with detected
obstruction was confirmed in these cases, VCUG will not be required for these children if they are complicated during follow-up by UTI, deterioration of hydronephrosis, or parenchymal progressive thinning [9, 23, 44, 83, 87]. On the other hand, it is recommended by some authors that children with mild hydronephrosis (1–2) on the 1-month US can be discharged from further surveillance [1, 52, 53], but they should be re-evaluated if they develop UTI or pain [52, 53, 82]. In these circumstances, VCUG and even renogram should be considered [1, 9].

2.6 Precautions and recommendations during conduction of imaging techniques

2.6.1 Voiding cystourethrogram

There are absolute indications in which VCUG is recommended for children with ANH. This need for VCUG may be urgent within 1 week following birth or less urgent within 1 month. The urgent indications include the suspected cases of infra-vesical obstruction including (PUV), the presence of bilateral moderate to high-grade hydronephrosis or the presence of ureteric dilatation during US examination [8–10]. The less urgent indications include the detection of a duplex kidney or a ureterocele during US examination due to the high incidence of lower pole reflux in these cases. Other less urgent indications include the presence of thick-walled bladder, bladder diverticula, suspected Neurogenic bladder, cortical thinning or increased echogenicity [54]. VCUG is recommended also for children with ANH who developed UTI during follow-up [9]. The VCUG will help to determine the degree of reflux based on which the further management of reflux will be decided. There is a standard classification for VUR provided by the International Reflux Study Committee in 1985 [88]. If the contrast reaches only the ureter, it is grade 1. If reaching the pelvicalyceal system, it is grade 2. If there is a dilatation of the pelvicalyceal system, it is grade 3. If blunting of fornices occurs (loss of angles of fornices), it is grade 4 which is usually associated with moderate dilatation of pelvicalyceal system. If papillary impressions are no longer visible, it is grade 5 which is usually associated with marked dilatation of the collecting system and ureter [88]. In isolated hydronephrosis, there is a controversy on the necessity for VCUG in SFU grade 3 [8, 10, 21, 62]. There are also emerging studies that prefer to start with radionuclide studies for isolated unilateral asymptomatic SFU grade 4 hydronephrosis in the absence of ureteric dilatation to diagnose PUJ-O and to help the surgical decision. If obstruction was confirmed in these cases, VCUG will not affect the treatment decision, operative outcome or post-operative complications as the incidence of associated VUR is very low and mostly of low grade [89].

2.6.2 Renal scintigraphy

A radionuclide study can estimate differential renal function, detect renal scarring, which can result from pyelonephritis or renal dysplasia; and determine the severity of obstruction by analysis of the drainage of the kidney. Based on this invaluable data regarding the diagnosis and follow-up of children with ANH data, a decision can be taken for intervention or follow-up [8, 10, 47, 90]. Radionuclide study can be used for diagnosis and follow-up of suspected UPJ-O in children with moderate to severe hydronephrosis with non-dilated ureter and no reflux on VCUG [1, 8–10]. Development of symptoms like pain and vomiting or complicating UTI are also indications for surgery [44, 53]. Radionuclide study is also useful for diagnosis of other causes of urinary tract obstruction like suspected UVJ-O as in infants with moderate to severe hydronephrosis associated with dilated ureter and no reflux on VCUG [1, 8, 9]. Similarly, it is also useful for postoperative follow-up of pyeloplasty or ureterovesical implantation for these cases [8, 91]. It can also differentiate UPJ-O from multicystic dysplastic kidney by confirming total absence of function in children with multicystic kidney [1]. Radionuclide study can also assess the effect on renal scarring and renal function in some cases with VUR which is important for surgical decision [1]. Radionuclide cystogram is also useful for surveillance of VUR or diagnosis of VUR in siblings. It has a lower degree of radiation exposure compared to VCUG [10, 94].

2.6.2.1 Precautions It is better not to be performed before the age of 6–12 weeks to allow for renal maturatation. Otherwise, the results will be suboptimal [8, 9, 47].

A good drainage on renography excludes obstruction. However, poor drainage curve on diuretic renography cannot be relied upon in young infants as it may only reflect delayed emptying of a dilated system but not an obstruction [13, 29, 47, 54, 84, 91, 92, 95]. A large dilated pelvis is not expected to drain as quickly as a smaller volume pelvis especially in infants. This was confirmed in many studies on ANH in which impaired drainage curves were reported in some children but dilatation and differential function remained stable or improved over time,
with no need for intervention. This is because drainage curve is affected by many factors including degree of dilatation, the degree of maturation of the kidney, the degree of hydration, individual kidney function, urine flow rate, the timing of administration of the diuretic and the timing of post-micturition images. The drainage can also be inhibited by the supine position or the presence of a full bladder [13, 47, 54, 84, 95]. Koff et al. [94] reported that > 50% of infants with improvement of their hydronephrosis having obstructed T1/2 values. Thus, it was recommended by some authors to avoid using T1/2 in deciding the need for intervention [13, 23, 54, 84, 91, 95]. The SFU and the Pediatric Nuclear Medicine Council of the Society for Nuclear Medicine published guidelines; to standardize all aspects of doing diuretic renogram which were revised again on 2008 [96, 97].

### 3 Conclusion

According to the current literature, it is agreed that a large portion of infants with ANH will improve; thus, the protocol of management is based mainly on observation and follow-up by US to detect either resolution, stabilization or worsening of hydronephrosis. The first 2 years of life are critical for this follow-up as the final picture is mostly reached during that period.

Postnatal evaluation should be performed in any neonate with a history ANH at any stage during pregnancy even if it was resolved during third trimester. Exclusion of UTI should be performed by urinalysis for all cases followed by urine culture if indicated. Serum creatinine should be performed especially in patients with bilateral ANH. US is the initial standard diagnostic imaging technique. US evaluation is better to be delayed to the end of the first week following birth when normal urinary output is established as the physiological neonatal dehydration and oliguria lasts 48 h after birth. This is accepted for cases with unilateral dilatation in the presence of a normal contralateral kidney. However, an urgent postnatal sonography within 1–3 days is recommended in more severe cases including solitary kidney, bilateral moderate to severe hydronephrosis or when lower UT obstruction is suspected (e.g., oligohydramnios, thick-walled bladder, dilated posterior urethra, urethral obstruction). In cases with mild ANH, the postnatal US can be delayed up to 3 weeks following birth. Other imaging modalities like VCUG and nuclear renal scans may be required according to the results of the US evaluation. The most important items in decision making are the presence of bilateral or unilateral hydronephrosis, presence or absence of hydroureter, presence of lower urinary tract obstruction and degree of hydronephrosis on the initial postnatal US. Then an intervention is selected only for a subgroup of these patients who showed deterioration in renal function or degree of hydronephrosis or were complicated by UTIs.

All these recommendations are based on the available literature. However, management of ANH is still a controversial issue due to lack of high evidence-based recommendations. Randomised controlled studies are still needed to provide a high level evidence for different aspects of management.

### Abbreviations

- ANH: Antenatal hydronephrosis
- APRPD: Anteroposterior renal pelvic diameter
- CAP: Continuous antibiotic prophylaxis
- HN: Hydronephrosis
- LUT-O: Lower urinary tract obstruction
- MCDK: Multicystic dysplastic kidney
- PUV: Posterior urethral valve
- SFU: Society for fetal urology
- SRF: Split renal function
- UPJ-O: Ureteropelvic junction obstruction
- UT: Urinary tract
- UTI: Urinary tract infection
- UVJ-O: Ureterovesical junction obstruction
- VCUG: Voiding cystourethrography
- VUR: Vesicoureteric reflux
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