ADV-Based Investigation on Bed Level Changes Over a Meso-Macro Tidal Beach
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Beach intra-tidal bed level changes are of significance to coastal protection amid global climate changes. However, due to the limitation of instruments and the disturbance induced by wave motions superimposed on water levels, it was difficult to detect the high-frequency oscillation of the submerged beach bed level. In this study, an observation, lasting for 12 days and covering the middle tide to the following spring tide, was conducted on a meso-macro tidal beach, Yintan Beach, to simultaneously detect the characteristics and influence mechanism of bed level changes at intra-tidal and tidal cycle scales. The collected data included water depth, suspended sediment concentration (SSC), waves, high-frequency three-dimensional (3-D) velocity, and the distance of the seabed to the acoustic Doppler velocimeter (ADV) probe, which were measured by an optical backscatter sensor, two Tide & Wave Recorder-2050s, and an ADV, respectively. The results showed that the tidal cycle-averaged bed level decreased by 58.8 mm, increased by 12.6 mm, and increased by 28.9 mm during neap, middle, and spring tides in succession, respectively, compared with the preceding tidal regimes. The net erosion mainly resulted from large incident wave heights and the consequent strong offshore-directed sediment transport induced by undertows. Moreover, the variations in the bed level were more prominent during a neap to middle tide than during middle to spring tides, which were jointly caused by the wave-breaking probability regulated by water depth and the relative residence times of shoaling wave, breaker, and surf zones that were determined by relative tidal range. In terms of the intra-tidal bed level, it displayed an intra-tidal tendency of increase during floods and decrease during ebb tides, i.e., the intra-tidal bed level changes were controlled by water depth, which modulated the effects of waves on sediment resuspension and vertical sediment exchange. To be specific, waves and SSC were responsible for the intra-tidal bed level changes under low-energy wave conditions, while mean current and bedform exerted important influences on the variations of the intra-tidal bed level under moderate wave conditions, which broke the foregoing interrelation between bed level, waves, and SSC. This study, therefore, emphasizes the usage of ADV measurement to investigate bed level changes in sandy coasts.
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INTRODUCTION

The beach is a highly dynamic and active morphological system that connects the land and the ocean and is affected by the combined actions of intense coastal forces including waves, tides, and currents, which constitute over 30% of the world’s ice-free coastlines (Luijendijk et al., 2018; Vousdoukas et al., 2020). At the same time, the beach serves as a natural barrier, a soft defense compared with human-made hard structures, to protect the coastal hinterland against flooding and extreme storm surges using wave energy dissipation (Rijn, 2009, 2010; Slobbe et al., 2013; Stive et al., 2013). Hence, more and more concerns have been revealed on the mechanism of beach bed level changes since the twentieth century in the context of the higher frequency and greater intensity of extreme hydrological events (Vellinga, 1982; Rijn, 2011; Li et al., 2014; Masselink and Heteren, 2014; Hu et al., 2015) coupled with global sea-level rise (Nerem et al., 2018; Stive et al., 2013), especially for low-lying coastal and island countries (Nicholls and Cazenave, 2010; Stive et al., 2013; Pucino et al., 2021).

So far, the major researches on bed level changes concerning meso-macro tidal and macro-tidal beaches (mean spring tidal range > 3 m) were reported from the northern coasts of Australia, northeastern coasts of Netherlands, France, and Belgium, northern coasts of New Zealand, and almost all the coasts of England excluding its northern coasts (Kroon and Masselink, 2002; Austin and Masselink, 2006; Sedrati and Anthony, 2007; Masselink et al., 2008; Poate et al., 2014; Biausque and Senechal, 2019). For meso-macro tidal and macro-tidal beaches, which are mainly featured with gentle beach slopes and dissipative beach states, the bed level changes were suppressed and were at the level of < 5 cm for most of the cases over one tidal cycle, even after going through a storm surge event (Aagaard et al., 1998b; Anthony et al., 2004; Masselink et al., 2007; Pulko et al., 2014). Meanwhile, there tend to be no conspicuous patterns in the amplitudes of bed level changes for different tidal regimes (i.e., neap to spring tides), as larger bed level change amplitudes could occur during either spring or neap tides erratically (Brand et al., 2020). Specifically, the subdued bed level changes in response to large tide ranges were associated with larger morphological relaxation times caused by the small residence time of wave-related swash and surf zone processes on account of the larger horizontal tidal translation for meso-macro tidal beaches (Kroon and Masselink, 2002; Anthony et al., 2004; Reichmuth and Anthony, 2007). On the other hand, it was suggested that the limited net bed level changes of meso-macro tidal beaches over one tidal cycle resulted from the compensation of onshore sediment transport-induced accretion, which was derived from swash zone processes during low tides, for the strong offshore sediment transport-induced erosion under surf zone conditions (Aagaard et al., 2005). In contrast, it was reported that the significant bed level changes occurring over micro-tidal beaches were owing to the strong offshore-directed undertows resulting from the sudden release of incident wave energy on steep slopes (Coco et al., 2004; Agredano et al., 2019). Hence, to find out the main factor dominating the mechanism of bed level changes, a comprehensive analysis of intra-tidal bed level changes throughout different tidal cycles and incident wave conditions over a meso-macro tidal beach is needed.

It is generally acknowledged that two different patterns of beach bed level change exist under low-energy waves and stormy conditions (Aagaard et al., 1998a, 2006; Houser and Greenwood, 2007). Under low-energy wave conditions, when bar-trough systems prevailed, the beach face shoreward of the bar crest tended to accrete due to mean onshore flows across the bar surface at relatively shallow water depths (Masselink et al., 2008; Capo et al., 2009). It was suggested that the mechanism of bed level accretion was associated with three-dimensional (3-D) cell circulation (Aagaard et al., 1998a, 2006), during which the onshore sediments transported by incident waves were not returned straightly seaward by weak offshore mean flows, but through alongshore feeders and rip channels, which eventually contribute to the infill of runnels landward of the bar crest and the weld of the bar to the beach face (Kroon and Masselink, 2002; Houser et al., 2006; Fallqué et al., 2008). Under energetic wave conditions or stormy conditions, larger asymmetric incident waves broke at deeper locations once the breaker indices were approached, which lead to a wider and highly dissipative surf zone with high-energy water motions (Gallagher et al., 1998; Vidal-Ruiz and de Alegría-Arzaburu, 2020). As a result, the bed level at upper or whole beaches featured by the bar-trough system was strongly eroded, which was related to vertical undertow circulation (Quartel et al., 2008; Ribeiro et al., 2012; Brand et al., 2019). Specifically, a great amount of eroded sediments stirred up by incident waves and enhanced infra-gravity waves were transported offshore to the lower beach or the subtidal zone by a strong offshore-directed undertow (Beach and Sternberg, 1991; Russell, 1993; Aagaard et al., 2006, 2012, 2013), which led to a relatively plane cross profile. Since mean currents contributed inversely to the bed level changes under different wave conditions, further explorations need to be conducted to evaluate the role of mean currents on vertical sediment exchange and intra-tidal bed level changes.

For the studies about bed level changes concerning different geomorphic units over a meso-macro tidal beach, a portion of them focused on the measurement and prediction of intertidal bar evolution under different wave conditions (Vousdoukas et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2017; López-Dóriga and Ferreira, 2017; Brand et al., 2020), as the intertidal bar is a common feature of meso-macro tidal beaches, which are commonly short of other secondary morphological features such as beach cusps, low-tide terraces, skew bars, and rip morphology (Wright et al., 1987; Short, 1991; Masselink et al., 2007). Additionally, in recent years, more and more studies have attempted to distinguish the respective features of bed level changes within different wave zones (i.e., shoaling wave zone, surf zone, and swash zone). Specifically, net bed levels tended to increase persistently as a result of onshore-directed sediment transport by incident shoaling waves within the shoaling wave zone, where incident wave components dominate the net sediment transport direction (Russell et al., 1995; Tinker et al., 2009). At the surf zone, where wave break-induced turbulent eddies and undertows prevail, beach face erosion occurred persistently due to significant offshore-directed sediment transport induced
by strong offshore-directed mean currents coupled with large amounts of suspended sediments resulting from turbulence-enhanced bed shear stresses (Sauter et al., 2003; Masselink, 2004; Russink et al., 2016). Hence, the surf zone is the most dynamics-sensitive zone when it comes to bed level changes at the beach profile (Aagaard et al., 2002; Mariño-Tapia et al., 2007). Furthermore, in the swash zone, which is featured by cycled asymmetric uprush and backwash processes (Pritchard and Hogg, 2005), the net bed level changes were vitally determined by incident wave strength, during which the bed level gradually increased due to the uprush-dominated onshore-directed pre-suspended sediment transport during relatively low-energy wave conditions, while the beach face was eroded as backwashes became more efficient transporters of local sediments under energetic waves or stormy conditions (Masselink et al., 2005; Russink et al., 2016). Hence, a study analyzing the features of intra-tidal bed level changes within the surf zone under different wave conditions is also necessary.

Although much attention has been paid to beach bed level changes, only a few researchers accurately obtained the intra-tidal variations of bed levels as their data on bed levels were commonly obtained during low tides (beach face was exposed in the air) or the way that the bed levels were measured using inserted rods would, to some extent, affect the accuracy of the bed level (Arnaud et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2017; Agredano et al., 2019; van Bemmelen et al., 2020). Hence, in this study, we carried out an observation to measure the intra-tidal variations of bed levels using an alternative way, i.e., acoustic Doppler velocimeter (ADV) measurement, within the surf zone from shoaling wave conditions to surf conditions on a meso-macro tidal beach, Yintan Beach, with the aims (1) to detect the characteristics of bed level changes at intra-tidal and tidal cycle scales, respectively and (2) to analyze the influence mechanism of intra-tidal bed level changes under different wave conditions.

METHODOLOGY
Field Sites Setting
Comprehensive field observation was conducted at Yintan Beach (Figures 1A–C), Beihai City, China, from May 28 to June 9, 2016. The beach is defined as a meso-macro tidal beach with a mean spring tidal range of 3.6 m, and it experiences diurnal tidal conditions during middle and spring tides, whereas semidiurnal tides operate during neap tides (Huang et al., 2011). According to Huang et al. (2011), the mean values of the flood and ebb tidal currents in the spring tide within Lianzhou Bay are 0.13 and 0.31 m/s, respectively. The mean wave height in the nearshore of Yintan Beach is 0.5 m and varies significantly in different seasons (Zhou et al., 2015). In addition, the wave direction is northward during winter and southwest during summer under the impacts of the southwest monsoon. Especially, strong southwest wind waves attack the beach periodically for consecutive days each month during summer, according to the local inhabitants.

Bar-trough systems develop in both the intertidal and subtidal zones of Yintan Beach (Ge et al., 2017). An obvious and straight sandbar exists in the middle tide zone during the observation with an angle of 28° between the sandbar and latitude direction. The sediments here mainly consist of unconsolidated quartz sands, and the median grain size varies from 0.125 to 0.233 mm (Ma et al., 2019) across the intertidal zone, which leads to a whole averaged slope of 8% over the intertidal zone of Yintan Beach (Pang et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the beach face would suffer from tropical cyclones (typhoons) from May to November each year, which lead to the significant morphological changes of the intertidal beach (Ji, 2007; Ge et al., 2017).

Instrument Setup
The field measurement was conducted at the middle part (surf zone) and subtidal area of Yintan Beach synchronously (Figures 1C,D), which lasted from middle tide to neap tide, and finally, to spring tide (Figure 2A). During the observation, for the instrument site at the middle part of Yintan Beach (Site A, Figures 1D,E), an aluminum frame was equipped with an ADV (6-MHz vector current meter, Nortek AS, Rud, Norway) to record the distance from the seabed to the ADV probe (DSP) (at an accuracy of ±1 mm, Andersen et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2019) and the high-resolution 3-D flow velocity (u, v, and w), an optical backscatter sensor (OBS-3A) (D&A Instrument Company, Port Townsend, Washington, USA) was used to measure the water depth (h) and turbidity (transferred to suspended sediment concentration, SSC), and a Tide & Wave Recorder-2050 (TWR-2050) (Company, RBR Ltd., Ottawa, Canada) was used to obtain wave heights. For the instrument site at the subtidal area (Site B, Figures 1D,F) which was ~180 m seaward from Site A, another TWR-2050 was fixed within an aluminum quadripod to measure the wave heights.

To be specific, at Site A, two vertical rods of the aluminum frame were inserted into the ground for ~1.2 m. Hence, it was assumed that the aluminum frame equipped with the ADV was stable and that the measurement of the bed level was hardly influenced by gravity-induced sink over the short-term 12-day observation. The ADV was fixed onto two horizontal rods with the sensors facing downward and the measurement volume located 7 cm above the seabed at the beginning of the observation (Figure 1E). Meanwhile, the ADV recorded 19,200 measurements at a frequency of 64 Hz every 10 min for the first three tidal cycles (Table 1). In the remaining tidal cycles, the ADV was sampling at 2 Hz for consecutive 1,024 s per 30 min. At the same time, the distance between the seabed and the DSP was recorded at the start and end of the real-time measurement. For the convenient analysis of bed level changes and velocity throughout the time series, the velocity data from the ADV for the first three tidal cycles were resampled at 2 Hz. It is worth noting that the way the bed level was obtained using ADV measurement in our study was acoustic and non-intrusive, which would hardly affect the natural characteristics of the bed level changes. Thus, it was deduced that using ADV measurement on bed levels is a better way than the classical methods (e.g., inserted rod method) with better accuracy.

In addition, at Site A, the OBS-3A was attached to a vertical pod and it obtained h and SSC at intervals of 1 min, with its turbidity probe being 7 cm above the seabed as well (Table 1). Moreover, the TWR-2050 at Site A was attached to the other
FIGURE 1 | Study area and instrument deployment. (A) The location of Beibu Gulf; (B) the location of Yintan Beach and (C) the study area of Yintan Beach with the location of instrument sites. (D) Beach profile of the instrument sites at the beginning of the field observation and the cross-shore location of Site A and Site B (x = 0 indicated the foot of foredune), with the three horizontal, dashed lines representing the mean high water level of spring tides (MHWLS), mean water level (MWL), and mean low water level of spring tides (MLWLS), respectively; (E) instrument deployment upon the aluminum frame at Site A and (F) the deployment of Tide & Wave Recorder-2050 (TWR-2050) upon the aluminum quadripod at Site B.
vertical pod, with its pressure sensor placed 0.1 m above the seabed, and was logged at 4 Hz to record the pressure over a consecutive period of 512 s every 20 min (Table 1). Afterward, the recorded pressure was converted to wave height and wave period by linear wave theory (Gibbons et al., 2005). In addition, at Site B, the TWR-2050 was sampling with the same measurement...
parameters as that of the TWR-2050 at Site A, and its probe was \(~0.4\text{ m}\) above the seabed (Table 1).

**Data Processing**

**Estimate of Turbulent Kinetic Energy**

Turbulent kinetic energy was used to describe the strength of the water turbulency fluctuation and has a potential effect on the sediment suspension in the nearshore area (Alsina and Cáceres, 2011; Yoon and Cox, 2012; Christensen et al., 2019). Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) was calculated following Svendsen (1987):

\[
\text{TKE} = \frac{1}{2} \left( u'^2 + v'^2 + w'^2 \right)
\]

where \(u, v,\) and \(w\) refer to the \(x,\ y,\) and \(z\) components of velocity, respectively, and \(^'\) indicates the turbulent oscillation component. Moreover, the turbulent fluctuations were obtained by separating the turbulent velocity \((u')\), off mean velocity \((\bar{u})\), and velocity due to waves \((u_w)\), since the measured high-frequency velocity \((u(t))\) can be written as:

\[
u(t) = \bar{u} + u_w(t) + u'(t)
\]

where \(\bar{u}\) was the mean cross-shore velocity and the overbar of \(\bar{u}\) indicated the time average, \(u_w\) was obtained by bandpass filtering (with a cut-off frequency of 0.5 and 0.005 Hz) the detrended and mean-subtracted \(u\) time series, wherein initially, the data quality was checked, the outliers were replaced, and the high-frequency noise was removed.

**Calculation of Mean Daily Relative Tide Range and Horizontal Tidal Translation Rate**

The mean daily relative tide range (MDRTR), modified from the relative tide range (RTT), which is a dimensionless parameter to reflect the relative importance of the shoaling wave, surf zone, and swash processes (Masselink and Short, 1993) over the sandy beach, was calculated by the ratio of the daily tide range \((TR_D)\) to the mean breaker wave height \((H_b)\) over a tidal cycle:

\[
\text{MDRTR} = \frac{TR_D}{H_b}
\]

where \(H_b\) was estimated following Komar and Gaughan (1972):

\[
H_b = 0.39g^{0.2}(T_sH_b)^{0.4}
\]

where \(g\) was gravity acceleration, \(T_s\) was significant wave period, and \(H_0\) was the deep-water wave height. In the study, \(H_0\) was replaced with the significant wave height \((H_b)\) from the instrument site at the subtidal area (Site B).

The horizontal tidal translation rate \([V_{\text{tide}}(t)]\), which determined the duration of the wave zone processes and influenced the relaxation time of beach morphological changes, was calculated using the following equation modified from Anthony et al. (2004):

\[
V_{\text{tide}}(t) = \frac{(h(t + \Delta t) - h(t - \Delta t))}{(2\Delta t)}\tan \beta
\]

where \(h(t + \Delta t)\) and \(h(t - \Delta t)\) were the water depth at \((t + \Delta t)\) and \((t - \Delta t)\), \(\tan \beta\) was the local beach slope, which was \(~2.2\text{ \%}\) during the observation.

**Bed Shear Stress Induced by Wave and Current**

Bed shear stress is a characteristic index for the seabed hydrodynamic condition, which is related to the near-bed sediment mobilization (Grant and Madsen, 1979). In the study, the bed shear stress due to current \((\tau_c)\) was estimated following the vertical TKE method (e.g., Kim et al., 2000; Hu et al., 2020), given by

\[
\tau_c = c*\rho u'^2
\]

where \(\rho = 1,025\text{ \text{kg/m}}^3\) was the density of the seawater, \(u'\) was the vertical turbulent velocity referring to the current contribution, and \(c = 0.9\) was the constant of proportionality under diverse conditions.

The bed shear stress due to waves \((\tau_w)\) was obtained by Soulsby (1997):

\[
\tau_w = \frac{1}{2}\rho f_w U_w^2
\]

where \(f_w\) was the wave friction factor and \(U_w\) was the maximum instantaneous horizontal wave-induced orbital velocity, which was calculated by

\[
U_w^2 = u_w^2 + v_w^2
\]

where \(u_w\) and \(v_w\) were the maximum cross-shore and alongshore wave orbital velocity, respectively. Moreover, \(f_w\) was estimated by

\[
f_w = \exp \left[5.213 \left( \frac{k_s}{A} \right)^{0.194} - 5.977\right]
\]

where \(k_s\) was the bed roughness height given by \(2.5D_{50}\) \((D_{50} = 0.125\text{ mm}\) was the median grain size) and \(A\) was the bottom orbital semi-excursion, which was estimated by

\[
A = \frac{U_mT}{2\pi}
\]

where \(U_m\) was the maximum wave orbital velocity over the incident wave cycle, which was determined by wave height, \(h\), and wave period and was roughly equal to \(U_w\) of Equation (8). \(T\) was the individual wave period defined as the interval of two adjacent points by zero-down crossing.

Thus, the bed shear stress under combined wave and current \((\tau_{cw})\) was calculated following Grant and Madsen (1979):

\[
\tau_{cw} = \sqrt{(\tau_w + \tau_c \cos \varphi_{cw})^2 + (\tau_c \sin \varphi_{cw})^2}
\]

where \(\varphi_{cw}\) was the angle between the wave and the current. The current direction \((\varphi_c)\) was obtained by cross-shore and alongshore mean velocity \((u_m, v_m)\):

\[
\varphi_c = \arctan \left( \frac{u_m}{v_m} \right)
\]
The wave direction (\( \varphi_w \)) was derived from the maximum number of direction counts of each measurement burst, where the instantaneous wave direction was acquired by instantaneous cross-shore and alongshore wave orbital velocity (\( u_w \) and \( v_w \)) in the form similar to Equation (12).

**Calculation of Sediment Mobility Number**

The sediment mobility number (\( \psi \)), which was defined as the ratio of the driving force to the resisting force on the sands over the seabed, was usually used to reflect the dynamics of the bottom boundary layer and the bedform morphology (Dingler and Inman, 1976; Nielsen, 1981). The mobility number was defined as

\[
\psi = \frac{U_m^2}{(s - 1)gD_{50}}
\]

where \( s = 2.65 \) was the specific density of the sand, and \( U_m \) and \( D_{50} \) were the maximum wave orbital velocity and median grain size, respectively.

**Normalization of Data Time Series**

To detect the relationship between the intra-tidal variations of SSC, bed shear stress (\( \tau \)), \( h \), and bed level (reflected by the distance of the seabed to the DSP), the data on these parameters were normalized against relative tidal phases, \( \phi \), and then phase-averaged. The obtained normalized data \( (y(k)) \) were expressed as follows:

\[
y(k) = \frac{x(k) - \min(x(k))}{\max(x(k)) - \min(x(k))}
\]

where \( x(k) \) were the original measured data, \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots n \) was the burst sequence of within tidal cycle, and \( \max(x(k)) \) and \( \min(x(k)) \) were the maximum and minimum values, respectively, of the original data of each tidal cycle.

Then, the normalized data mentioned above were divided into 20 ensembles, and the ensembles at the same normalized time \( (\phi) \) were averaged to obtain the relative data set of DSP, SSC, \( \tau_w \), and \( \tau_c \).

**RESULTS**

**Hydrodynamics and Suspended Sediment Concentration Variations**

The measured data went through 13 tidal cycles (marked as T1 to T13, respectively, where one tidal cycle was defined from low tide to the following low tide. **Figure 2A**, during which the highest \( h \) of each tide cycle ranged between 0.75 and 2.81 m throughout the observation. Additionally, \( h \) ranged between 1.12 and 5.55 m at Site B (**Figure 2H**). Accordingly, T1–T3 and T9–T10 were subjected to middle tide regimes, and T4–T8 was under neap tide regimes, while T11–T13 were encountered with spring tide regimes (**Figure 2A**).

At Site A, the \( H_s \) varied between 0.16 and 0.6 m during the first three tidal cycles (T1 to T3), and it did not show a positive relationship with \( h \). The \( H_s \) increased abruptly over the following six tidal cycles due to the breakout of local wind. The maximum \( h \) reached 0.94 m at the high water level of T9. Then, the \( H_s \) began to decrease, and the minimum \( h \) of 0.12 m occurred at a high tide of T13. It was noted that the averaged tidal-cycle \( H_s \) ranged between 0.21 and 0.65 m during the observation (**Table 2**). At Site B, \( H_s \) ranged from 0.10 to 1.01 m and showed a similar variation trend compared with Site A during the observation (**Figures 2B, I**). Thus, we considered that the beach experienced two kinds of wave conditions (Masselink et al., 2006, 2007), i.e., moderate wave conditions (T4 to T9, tidal cycle-averaged \( H_s \) approximately >0.5 m) and low-energy wave conditions (T1 to T3 and T10 to T13, tidal cycle-averaged \( H_s \) <0.5 m). Accordingly, both the significant wave period (\( T_s \)) fluctuated between 2.7 and 6.8 s at Sites A and B. However, the \( T_s \) seemed to display a positive correlation with the \( h \) at Site B (**Figures 2B, I**). During the observation, the incident wave direction ranged between 182 and 240\(^\circ\), and the average of 212\(^\circ\) (**Figure 2C**). Thus, it was considered that Yintan Beach was dominated by southwest waves, which advanced obliquely with the alongshore sandbar (208\(^\circ\), relative to the north direction).

The mean cross-shore velocity \( (\bar{u}) \) and alongshore velocity \( (\bar{v}) \) were weak and showed similar variation trends during the initial three tidal cycles (T1 to T3) and the last two tidal cycles (T12 and T13), during which the corresponding maximum values were negative and no more than 0.25 m/s (oriented northwest and offshore for \( \bar{v} \) and \( \bar{u} \), respectively). However, from T4 to T11, both \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) increased obviously and tended to maintain large levels at low \( h \), with the peaks up to −0.29 and 0.50 m/s, respectively. At Site A, the averaged tidal-cycle \( \bar{u} \) ranged between −0.01 and −0.19 m/s during the observation. The TKEs were relatively small in T1–T3 and T11–T13, and they ranged between 4 \times 10^{-5} and 0.006 m²/s² at Site A (**Figure 2E**). Meanwhile, higher TKEs occurred at a relatively low \( h \). During T4 and T10, the TKEs tended to be generated constantly in the water column, corresponding to large \( H_s \) and

| Tidal cycle | Mean \( H_s \) (m) | Mean \( \bar{u} \) (m/s) | Mean TKE (kg/m²s²) | SSC (kg/m³) |
|------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| T1         | 0.45             | −0.03                  | 1.93              | 0.67       |
| T2         | 0.43             | −0.04                  | 1.91              | 0.75       |
| T3         | 0.33             | −0.03                  | 1.50              | 0.56       |
| T4         | 0.51             | −0.10                  | 6.13              | 1.52       |
| T5         | 0.49             | −0.19                  | 9.49              | 1.65       |
| T6         | 0.52             | −0.14                  | 6.28              | 1.20       |
| T7         | 0.55             | −0.18                  | 6.34              | 0.93       |
| T8         | 0.48             | −0.16                  | 6.02              | 1.34       |
| T9         | 0.65             | −0.14                  | 4.26              | 1.20       |
| T10        | 0.38             | −0.09                  | 4.38              | 1.01       |
| T11        | 0.39             | −0.04                  | 1.85              | 0.69       |
| T12        | 0.23             | −0.01                  | 0.89              | 0.73       |
| T13        | 0.21             | −0.01                  | 0.93              | 0.78       |
neap tides, during which the peak TKE (close to 0.016 m$^2$/s$^2$) occurred at the end of the ebb tide of T4 and the high tide of T5.

The $\psi$ mainly varied between 40 and 240 for T1, T2, T3, T10, and T11 ($\psi$ ranged from 3 to 203), while it was primarily lower than 40 for T12 and T13 (0–63) at Site A (Figure 2F). During the rest of the tidal cycles, almost all the $\psi$ were larger than 40 and the $\psi$ were even >240 during T7 and T9, where large Hs and relatively low tidal ranges coincided. The variations of $\psi$ indicate that three kinds of seabed morphology existed during the observation, including vortex ripple, post-vortex ripple, and plane seabed.

The near-bed SSCs showed two kinds of variations in magnitude during the observation; for instance, SSCs mainly ranged between 0.4 and 5.3 kg/m$^3$ during T4 to T10 and varied from 0 to 3.4 kg/m$^3$ for the rest of the tides. It is noteworthy that fewer sediments were suspended into the water column during high tide, while more large SSCs occur at low h (0.4–0.8 m) for the beach face that commonly suffered from relatively strong surf zone processes within such h ranges. In summary, larger sediments were suspended into the water column by larger Hs as larger SSCs (SSC = 0.93–1.65 kg/m$^3$) occurred under moderate wave conditions (Hs = 0.48–0.65 m).

### Bed Level Changes Under Different Tidal Cycles

The bed level changes could be well-reflected by the distances between the seabed and the DSP, which were recorded by the ADV at the beginning and the end of each burst. As shown in Figure 3A, the distances between the seabed and the DSP (note that a larger DSP indicated a lower bed level and vice versa) ranged between 163.4 mm at the high tide of T3 and 330.3 mm at the start of the flood tide of T4. By comparing the tidal cycle-averaged DSP, the temporal variations of bed level changes could be identified. As shown in Table 3, the variations of the adjacent tidal cycle-averaged DSSs ranged between $-45.7$ and $+30.1$ mm throughout the 13 tidal cycles. Reflected by the SD of intra-tidal bed level changes over each tidal cycle (Table 3), the largest amplitude (SD = 23.39) of tidal-cycle bed level changes occurred over T5, which was under the combination of neap tide and moderate wave conditions, while the smallest amplitude (SD = 3.73) of tidal-cycle bed level changes occurred over T13, which was subjected to spring tide coupled with low energy wave conditions.

The variations of tidal-cycle bed levels showed distinctive characteristics in different tidal regimes and wave conditions.
occurred under both neap and middle tides, while the smaller amplitudes (\(SD = 7.11\)) of tidal-cycle bed level changes occurred under spring tides by comparing the SDs of their tidal-cycle bed level changes.

According to the wave conditions, the variations of the tidal cycle-averaged DSPs were mainly classified as three stages (Table 4), and the three stages correspond to T1–T3 (middle tides, mean \(H_s = 0.4\) m, low-energy wave conditions), T4–T9 (neap tides to middle tides, mean \(H_s = 0.53\) m, moderate wave conditions), and T10–T13 (middle tides to spring tides, mean \(H_s = 0.3\) m, low-energy wave conditions), with the mean DSP equal to 193.3, 250, and 214.5 mm, respectively. The time series of the DSPs indicated that the bed levels experienced an overall erosion (\(-56.7\) mm) during T4–T9 (moderate wave conditions) relative to T1–T3 (low-energy wave conditions), followed by a gradual deposition (+35.5 mm) during T10–T13 (low-energy wave conditions) until the end of the observation. Hence, it was suggested that the beach face tended to gain accretion under low-energy wave conditions and erode under moderate wave conditions. Meanwhile, the averaged SD of the tidal-cycle bed level changes over T4–T9, which were subjected to moderate wave conditions and neap to middle tides, was 19.05. In contrast, the averaged SDs over T1–T3 (middle tides) and over T10–T13 (middle to spring tides) were 11.91 and 9.53, respectively, which were merely 0.63 and 0.5 times as large as that over T4–T9 (Table 4). Hence, the comparison of the SDs of bed level changes indicated that bed level changes during relatively smaller tidal ranges (neap tides) were more pronounced and were sensitive to breaking wave and surf zone processes, while the variations of bed level changes under larger tidal ranges (spring tides) were limited (Figure 3B).

### Table 4: The statistics of distances of seabed to ADV probe over tidal cycles (averaged DSPs), standard deviations of intra-tidal bed level changes, and averaged significant wave heights (averaged \(H_s\)) classified by tidal regimes and wave conditions.

| Tidal regime/wave condition | Tidal cycle | Averaged DSP (m) | Averaged standard deviations of intra-tidal bed level changes (m) | Averaged \(H_s\) (m) |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Middle tides               | T1–T3       | 193.3           | 11.91                                                          | 0.40            |
| Neap tides                 | T4–T8       | 252.1           | 18.72                                                          | 0.50            |
| Middle tides               | T9–T10      | 239.5           | 18.72                                                          | 0.52            |
| Spring tides               | T11–T13     | 210.6           | 7.11                                                           | 0.28            |
| Low energy wave condition  | T1–T3       | 193.3           | 11.91                                                          | 0.40            |
| Moderate wave condition    | T4–T9       | 250.0           | 19.05                                                          | 0.53            |
| Low energy wave condition  | T10–T13     | 214.5           | 9.53                                                           | 0.30            |

(Table 4). During T4 and T8, which were subjected to neap tide regimes, the tidal cycle-averaged DSP was 252.1 mm, which meant that the bed level decreased by 58.8 mm compared with the preceding middle tides. During T9–T10, which were in middle tide regimes, the tidal cycle-averaged DSP was 239.5 mm, which indicated that the bed level increased by 12.6 mm compared with the preceding neap tides. Finally, during T11–T13, which were subjected to spring tide regimes, the tidal cycle-averaged DSP was 210.6 mm, which indicated that the bed level further increased by 28.9 mm compared with the preceding middle tides. Noticeably, the tidal cycle-averaged DSP of T13 was 14.4 mm smaller than that of T1, which indicated that the beach face was eroded as a whole throughout the entire field observation. Moreover, larger amplitudes (\(SD = 18.72\)) of tidal-cycle bed level changes occurred under both neap and middle tides, while the smaller amplitudes (\(SD = 7.11\)) of tidal-cycle bed level changes occurred under spring tides by comparing the SDs of their tidal-cycle bed level changes.

**Comparison of Bed Level Variations Between Flood and Ebb Tides**

Figure 4 shows the detailed time series of DSP during the flood and ebb processes of the observed 13 tidal cycles, respectively. For almost all the flood tides, the variations of DSP displayed a significant negative linear correlation (\(R \) ranged from \(-0.73\) to \(-0.99\)) with \(h\), despite of the increasing trends occurring during the low \(h\), except T4 (Figure 4D). The negative linear correlation between DSP and \(h\) still existed during the ebb tides for all the tidal cycles (Figures 4N–Z). However, the correlation between DSP and \(h\) was slightly poorer in terms of the correlation coefficients, which varied between \(-0.54\) and \(-0.91\). The significant negative correlation between DSP and \(h\) during both flood and ebb tides indicated the intra-tidal tendency of bed level changes as the bed level increased during the flood and then decreased as ebb tide proceeded.

By statistics, the bed level showed a net increase during flood tides for all the tidal cycles except T4, where bed level changes were equal to \(-31.2\) mm (Figure 5). Additionally, the larger net bed level changes occurred at T5 and T8–T10, accompanied by their values over 50 mm. In contrast, the bed level always showed a net reduction during the ebb tide for all the tidal cycles when the seabed was submerged. The maximum net bed level change during ebb tides was \(-41.1\) mm, which occurred...
at T8. All the other net bed level changes during the ebb tides were smaller than $-30$ mm. On the other hand, the larger amplitude of bed level variations occurred more during flood tides (mean SD of bed level variations $= 15.26$ mm) than ebb tides (mean SD of bed level variations $= 8.68$ mm) since the larger SDs of DSP were obtained during flood tides for almost all the tidal cycles except T6. However, the relative magnitude of the net bed level changes between flood and ebb tides did not decide the net bed level changes over an entire tidal cycle, as not all the submerged bed level data were included, but qualitatively indicated the intra-tidal tendency of bed level changes.
DISCUSSIONS

The Factors Affecting Bed Level Changes Under Different Tidal Ranges

Distinct characteristics of bed level changes under different tidal ranges existed widely in the coastal area, which resulted from the coupling tides, wave processes, and beach slope, etc. In this study, it has been found that the bed level changes during neap tides were more pronounced than those under spring tides (Figure 3). To further detect the responsive features of bed level changes under tides and waves, we compared the bed level changes for a successive 30 min (burst time of ADV) against different Hs (Figure 6A) based on the recorded DSP series (Figure 3A). In general, the mean magnitude of the bed level changes at the interval of 0.4 m of h was not significant (<2 mm), and the maximum variation amplitude (SD = 10.28) occurred at a relatively low h (at an h of 0.4–0.8 m). At the same time, the minimum variation amplitude (SD = 3.45) of bed level changes occurred at an h of 1.2–1.6 m which were beyond the high water level of the neap tides. In addition, the secondary minimum variation amplitude (SD = 4.01) of the bed level changes existed at an h of 2.4–2.8 m, which was the highest water depth during the observation and took place only with the arrival of spring tides.

The responsive characteristics of the beach bed level changes under different Hs might be consistent with the aforementioned results that bed level changes during a neap to middle tides were more pronounced than those under middle to spring tides, which was associated with the tide-related horizontal tidal translation rate (V_tide), h, tidal range, wave processes, etc.

The horizontal tidal translation rate, a variable obtained by h and local beach slope, decided the residence times of the different wave processes (shoaling, breaking, and surf processes in this study), which would influence the duration of the wave reworking on the beach seabed and the relaxation time of the bed level changes (Masselink and Anthony, 2001). For spring tides (T11–T13), all the maximum averaged V_tide exceeded 0.6 cm/s and reached 1 cm/s, which were significantly larger than those (smaller than 0.6 cm/s) under neap tides (T5–T8) (Figure 6B). The larger tidal range during spring tides induced a large V_tide during fast flooding and ebbing tides, which led to the rapid shifting of the three kinds of wave processes and enhancing the beach morphological relaxation at the measurement location. As a result, the bed level changes were subdued and limited during the middle tide level of spring tide (1.2–1.6 m of h, Figure 6B) where V_tide was largest, which was consistent with the results depicted in Figure 6A and demonstrated that the bed level changes under spring tides were limited.

Tide-modulated water depth (h) conducted a limitation on the incident wave heights (identified by the red line, y = 1.09x + 0.18) through energy dissipation and wave breaking, etc., by which the tide exerted influence on the beach bed level changes (i.e., Kroon and Masselink, 2002). As shown in Figure 6C, for relatively low h, especially h < 0.8 m, the maximum wave height (H_max) has a high possibility to reach the extremity where the wave breaker occurred. At the same time, the wave height was positively correlated with the h where the wave break occurred (Figure 6C), which was consistent with the relationship between Hs and h during neap tides (T4–T8, Figures 2A,B). In contrast, at an h > 2.2 m, which showed up only at spring tides, the H_max was far from the extremity under non-storm conditions, which meant that, during normal wave conditions, the beach was seldom subjected to breaking wave conditions during spring tides for a fixed location. Hence, at neap tides whose tide-modulated h and tidal ranges were both smaller (largest h was 1.2 m during neap tides in the measurement location) than middle and spring tides, pronounced bed level changes would
take place due to the prolonged wave reworking associated with breaking wave and surf zone processes. Therefore, both the occurrences of the maximum and secondary minimum variation amplitudes of bed level changes in Figure 6A could be reasonably explained.

Wave height played an important role in the bed level changes at the surf zone, which was directly demonstrated by the larger DSP under moderate wave conditions (T4–T9, Table 4). According to the relationship between averaged tidal-cycle Hs and DSP, the larger the Hs was, the larger the mean DSP was over a tidal cycle (Figure 6D). Hence, it was indicated that incident wave height was a decisive factor for the variation tendency of the beach face. Larger Hs would lead to the tendency of the beach face to erode at the surf zone since more SSCs produced by larger Hs were transported seaward by large offshore-directed mean currents (Table 2).
The relative tide range (modified as mean daily relative tide range, i.e., MDRTR in this study) was frequently used to characterize the relative importance of the swash, surf zone, and shoaling wave processes on the beach profiles, which synthesized the influence of tide range (modified as daily tide range, TRD) and breaker height (Hb) on bed level changes (Masselink and Short, 1993; Qi et al., 2010). During the observation, the calculated TRD decreased continually from 3.19 m at T1 to its minimum, 0.86 m, at T5, and then fluctuated over neap tides (0.85 m at T5 to 1.43 m at T7), followed by a gradual increase with a maximum of 4.19 m at T13 (Figure 6E). However, the variations of the mean Hb displayed an opposite tendency relative to TRD and Hb, ranging between 0.34 and 0.95 m. As a result, the synthetic MDRTR varied between 0.97 and 12.52, with a similar variation trend relative to TRD (Figure 6E). During the neap tides (T5–T8) in the observation, the maximum MDRTR was merely 1.51, which is significantly lower than 3, while the MDRTRs were primarily between 3 and 7 during middle tide (T1–T4 and T9–T10) except T9 (MDRTR = 2.26), and that varied between 7 and 13 (7.94–12.52) under spring tides (T11–T13). Thus, it was deduced that, during the neap tides where MDRTR <2, the entire beach profile was dominated by surf wave conditions (Masselink and Short, 1993), and the bed level changes were pronounced due to the wave breaking-induced high SSCs and strong undertows (Longuet-Higgins and Stewart, 1964; Rafati et al., 2021). However, the bed level changes would be limited and subdued during spring tides where 7 < MDRTR <13, for the breaking wave and surf zone processes operated for <50% of the time near the mean sea level where the instruments were located and the bed level changes were mainly subjected to shoaling wave processes and gentle wave reworking.

Factors on Intra-Tidal Bed Level Variation

The intra-tidal variations of bed levels resulted from a combination of complex hydrodynamics (tide-modulated h, waves, mean current, and turbulent motions) and suspended sediment concentration in the water column. Illustrated by the time series of the DSP during flood and ebb tides, the significant negative correlations between the DSP and h during both flood and ebb tide processes for each tidal cycle except T4, where the incident waves were enhanced abruptly (Figure 4), indicating an intra-tidal tendency of increase during flood tides and decrease during ebb tides in the bed level variations. Hence, it was implied that tide-modulated h might affect the variations of bed levels. As expected, the relative DSPs were highly correlated (R = −0.72, p < 0.001, Figure 7) with relative h in terms of all the tidal cycles not including T4, where the sediment suspension mechanism and sediment transport pattern have been changed, induced by suddenly enhanced wave climates (Grasso and Ruessink, 2011), which is consistent with the results depicted in Figure 5 that overall net accretion and erosion occurred during flood and ebb tides, respectively. The periodic behavior of the overall net accretion followed by the overall net erosion in the bed level, which was controlled by tide-modulated h, could be easily understood since h regulated the temporal variations of bed shear stress, wave motions, currents, TKEs, and SSCs in the near bottom.

To be specific, the wave-induced bed shear stress ($\tau_w$) tended to be bimodal and exceeded critical shear stress ($\tau_{cr}$) for the majority of the time, except at T12 and T13 (Figure 8A), while the current-induced bed shear stress was smaller than the $\tau_{cr}$ for most of the time excluding the moments where h was in extremely low values and the beach was subjected to moderate wave conditions.
FIGURE 8 | (A) Variations of bed shear stress induced by combined wave and current ($\tau_{cw}$, yellow line), induced by wave ($\tau_w$, red line), and induced by current ($\tau_c$, blue line), respectively. The horizontal dashed line indicated the critical bed shear stress (0.16 N/m$^2$); (B) DSP against bed shear stress induced by wave ($\tau_w$) from all...

(Continued)
(T4–T9, Figure 8A). Under this circumstance, the mean current might not be the main factor affecting the bed level changes and $\tau_w$ could be used to stand for the strength of the near-bottom boundary layer. Moreover, the DSPs were positively correlated with $\tau_w$ ($R = 0.59, p < 0.001$, Figure 8B), which meant that wave height was a major dynamic factor driving the occurrence of bed level changes. Thus, the larger $\tau_w$ near the seabed indicated a larger DSP (i.e., lower bed level) and overall erosion of bed level, since the waves acted as a stirring mechanism, separated the sediments from the seabed, and then suspended it into the water column (Dally and Dean, 1984; Winterwerp and van Kesteren, 2004; Pang et al., 2020).

However, the bed level changes were not only affected by hydrodynamics but also by the suspended sediment concentration near the seabed, for the net bed level changes were determined by the balance between the sediment erosion flux induced by bed shear stress and the sediment deposition flux associated with the amount of settling suspended sediments (Winterwerp and van Kesteren, 2004; Shi et al., 2017). In this study, large SSCs tended to occur at a relatively low $h$ (0.4–0.8 m) and maintained a high level during moderate wave conditions (Figure 2G and Table 2), which was in accordance with the variations of DSPs (Figure 3A). Hence, SSC was considered to be a better indicator for the variations of bed levels than waves, for the variation pattern of the SSC was similar to that of DSP (Figures 2G, 3A), which was demonstrated by the better correlation between them ($R = 0.68, p < 0.001$, Figure 8C). Hence, as the SSC gradually increased, more sediments were suspended into the water column along with the seabed erosion, which led to a larger DSP on account of the effect of a lag deposition, associated with turbulent vortices releasing processes (Guillen and Palanques, 1996; Vincent and Hanes, 2002).

Though $\tau_w$ was the primary force driving sediment grain movement and explained parts of suspended sediment concentrations within the water ($R = 0.51, p < 0.001$, Figure 8D), a poor correlation between SSC and $\tau_w$ might occur under moderate wave conditions (Figure 11C) where the mean current was strong (maximum $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ increased up to $-0.29$ and $0.5$ m/s, respectively) and bedforms might have transformed ($\psi$ was over 240 for parts of time, which indicated a plane seabed, Dingler and Inman, 1976). The TKE originating from the wave breaking or friction between the flow and the seabed ejected and pumped sand-laden vortices into higher elevations (Aagaard and Jensen, 2013). Hence, TKE was a direct factor determining the level of SSCs, being the most important dynamic factor affecting the bed level change, and this was illustrated by the high correlation coefficient ($R = 0.71, p < 0.001$) between TKE and SSC, except some moments that surface-generated TKE did not approach the seabed and stirred up the sediments into the measurement elevation at an extremely low $h$ (black circle, Figure 8E). In addition, the distribution of TKE was highly modulated by $h$, regardless of wave conditions (Figure 8F), it provided further evidence to support the deduction that tide-generated $h$ was a controller on the variations of the bed level associated with Figure 7.

**Patterns of Intra-Tidal Bed Level Variation Under Different Wave Conditions**

Though the bed level showed an overall increasing trend during flood tides and a decreasing trend during ebb tides for both low-energy and moderate wave conditions (Figures 4, 9A,B), the influence mechanisms on intra-tidal bed level variation were different. During low-energy wave conditions, where the wave height was seldom broken and reached the limitation for short time (Figure 10A), the relative DSP displayed an overall decreasing trend during flood tides and an increasing trend during ebb tides, along with two maximum values during the flood and ebb tides over the normalized tidal cycle (T1–T3 and T10–T13 were normalized and averaged against relative tidal phases to reflect the variation pattern of the intra-tidal bed level), with the largest relative DSP at 0.15 t/T of the tidal cycle, while the relative DSP maintained a low level at high tide (0.5 < t/T < 0.7). At the same time, the variation pattern of the relative SSC and $\tau_w$ behaved similarly to the relative DSP (Figures 9A,C,E), which indicated that waves and the related SSC were responsible for the intra-tidal variation of the bed level under low-energy wave conditions. Under this circumstance where both $u$ and the horizontal advection process were weak, the similar variation pattern between the relative DSP and SSC might be explained by a lag deposition of suspended sediments, which indicated that, as SSC increased with decreasing water depth, more sediments were stirred up into water from the seabed and a larger DSP and seabed erosion, and vice versa (Guillen and Palanques, 1996; Vincent and Hanes, 2002). Hence, the intra-tidal variation trend of bed levels was explained.

Moreover, extra sediments transported by offshore-directed mean currents from the emersed beach led to the net beach face accretion under low-energy wave conditions, which emphasized the importance of sediment sources for bed level changes. Specifically, at $t/T = 0.15$, where both relative SSC and $\tau_w$ were at maximum level, the lowest bed level (largest relative DSP) resulted from the balance between the bed shear stress (erosion flux) and large settling SSCs (deposition flux) since the measurement location was close to the breakpoint where the relative wave height ($\psi$) was approximate to 0.78 ($t/T = 0.15$, Figure 10C) and strong wave-induced bed shear stress occurred as ascribed to the breaker of highly skewed and asymmetric waves (Elgar et al., 2001). On the other hand, though the variation of relative $\tau_w$ showed two maximums at the start and end of the tidal cycle, mean currents would not play an important role in bed
FIGURE 9 | Normalized time series of the DSP (relative DSP, black lines), SSC (relative SSC, magenta lines), bed shear stress induced by wave (relative $\tau_w$, red lines), and bed shear stress induced by current (relative $\tau_c$, green lines) against normalized tidal cycle ($t/T$) under (A,C,E,G) low-energy and (B,D,F,H) moderate wave conditions, respectively. The vertical segments indicated ± one SD.

level changes under low-energy wave conditions because the $\tau_c$ was lower than the critical bed shear stress ($0.16 \text{ N/m}^2$) for most of the time.

Under moderate wave conditions, where wind waves were fully developed and limited by $h$ ($R = 0.9$, Figure 10B), the relative DSP likewise showed a gradually declining trend followed by a gradually increasing trend all along the tidal cycle (T4–T9 were normalized and averaged against relative tidal phases to reflect the variation pattern of the intra-tidal bed level). Meanwhile, the relative SSC showed a similar but inconspicuous variation trend referring to the relative DSP with the correlation coefficient $R = 0.54$ (Figure 11A), which implied that SSC maintained a good indicator on bed level changes despite wave conditions. In contrast, two maximums occurred along the relative $\tau_w$ at a time of $t/T$ approximately equal to 0.4 and 0.75, which was also ascribed to wave breaking ($\gamma = 0.78$ at $t/T = 0.4$ and 0.75, Figure 10D). Hence, it was implied that wave height was not an indicator for bed level changes in terms of all the wave conditions, based on the inconsistent correlation ($R = -0.50$, Figure 11B) between them under moderate wave conditions, though waves acted as a stirring mechanism for sediment motions.

In fact, under moderate wave conditions (T4–T9), the $\psi$, which originated from the modulation of $h$ on waves, was greater than that under low-energy wave conditions most of the time and even exceeded 240 at T7 and T9. Thus, the dominant way
of vertical sediment exchange between the seabed and higher elevation turned to the diffusion process from the advection process, which was due to the bedform transformation from a steep ripple (vortex ripple) to a less steep ripple (post-vortex ripple) or a plane seabed under strong wave-induced currents, characterized by high reference concentrations in the vicinity of the seabed and limited suspended sediments at higher elevations (Dingler and Inman, 1976; Chalmoukis et al., 2020). At this moment, the lag deposition effect of the suspended sediments and the intensity of vertical sediment exchange was weakened compared with a low-energy steeper ripple environment (Lee and Hanes, 1996; Osborne and Vincent, 1996), which broke the foregoing interrelation between waves, measured SSCs, and bed level (Figure 11) and caused different variation patterns among them (Figures 9B,D,F). During these processes, the bed shear stress induced by mean current (τc) exceeded the critical bed shear stress most of the time (Figure 8A), and the current was capable of altering the bedform and dominating the way of the vertical sediment exchange (Chalmoukis et al., 2020). Hence, the influences of mean currents and bedforms on bed level changes were enhanced and highlighted under energetic wave conditions.

However, though the sediment deposition flux induced by the lag deposition effect of suspended sediments was weak during moderate wave conditions, the wave-breaking processes induced strong offshore-directed mean currents (undertow, Figure 2D), which added a great number of extra sediments from the emersed beach to the measurement site, leading to the gradual accretion of the bed level (i.e., a declining trend of the relative DSP). Throughout the course of the ebb tide, the lack of extra sediments from the emersed beach due to the decline in water level would...
make the strong offshore-directed mean currents (undertows) the main erosive force for the beach face. As a result, the gradual erosion of the bed level (gradual increasing trend of relative DSP) occurred during ebb tides, though weakened $\tau_w$ might bring little deposition flux to the beach face. In summary, $h$ controlled the intra-tidal bed level changes by modulating the effects of waves on sediment resuspension (SSC variation) and vertical sediment exchange (mobility number) under different wave conditions. In addition, the net erosion of bed level over the entire tidal cycle occurred under energetic wave conditions, which mainly resulted from the coupling between larger incident wave-induced strong offshore-directed mean currents (Figure 8G) and large SSCs (Aagaard et al., 2012), associated with the lack of extra sediments from the emersed beach during ebb tides.

CONCLUSIONS

An observation, lasting for 12 days and covering the middle tide to and the following spring tide, was conducted over a meso-macro tidal beach, Yintan Beach, which is north of Beibu Gulf, China. The purposes of the study were to detect the characteristics of bed level changes with ADV measurement at intra-tidal and tidal cycle scales, respectively, and to explore the patterns and influence mechanisms of bed level changes under different wave conditions.

1) The variations of the intra-tidal bed level were more prominent during a neap to middle tides (overall erosion of 56.7 mm) than during middle to spring tides (overall...
accretion of 35.5 mm), which was jointly caused by the wave-breaking probability regulated by h and the relative residence times of the shoaling wave zone, breaker zone, and surf zone that were determined by relative tidal range. The net erosion over the entire tidal cycle mainly resulted from large incident wave heights and the consequent strong offshore-directed sediment transport by mean currents (undertow).

2) The bed level displayed an intra-tidal tendency of increase during flood tides and decrease during ebb tides throughout the course of the tidal cycle. Generally, the variations of the intra-tidal bed level were controlled by h, which modulated the effects of waves on sediment resuspension (SSC variation) and vertical sediment exchange (mobility number) under low-energy and moderate wave conditions.

3) Under low-energy wave conditions, waves and the related SSCs were responsible for the intra-tidal variation of the bed level, and the lowest intra-tidal bed level occurring at 0.15 t/T was ascribed to wave breaking. Under moderate wave conditions, the interrelation between intra-tidal bed level, SSCs, and wave height was broken due to the transformation of vertical sediment exchange from the advection process to the diffusion process, during which the influence of the mean current and bedform on bed level changes was highlighted.
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