Characterization of chitosan/xanthan gum polyelectrolyte complexes as carriers for ibuprofen: influence of drug encapsulation procedure on complex formation
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Introduction

Ibuprofen (IBU) is commonly used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug. It is a weak acid (pKa ~4.5) with low pH dependent aqueous solubility (46 μg/mL at pH 1.5 and >300 μg/mL at pH>7, at 25 °C). The most commonly used oral dose is 200–600 mg/6h. Drug solubility, which affects the dissolution and absorption from the formulation is a common problem in developing efficient formulation for oral IBU delivery (Ćirić et al., 2020; Irvine et al., 2018).

Chitosan (CH) and xanthan gum (XG) polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) already demonstrated improved drug solubility, permeability, pH sensitivity and controlled drug release. Polycationic CH can interact electrostatically with negatively charged compounds (Sogias et al., 2012), such as XG, for the development of PEC-based drug carriers.

IBU encapsulation procedure could influence the drug-polymer interactions and the PECs formation. The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of IBU encapsulation procedure on the formation and properties of CH/XG PECs as drug carriers.

Materials and methods

Three different procedures of IBU (BASF, Germany) encapsulation were performed. In the procedure A, IBU was mixed with formed PEC hydrogel consisting of medium molecular weight CH (Sigma Aldrich, USA) and XG (Jungbunzlauer, Switzerland) (4.6A). In the procedure B, IBU was dispersed in the XG solution before mixing with the CH solution and PEC formation (4.6B). In the procedure C, IBU was added into the aqueous medium after the complexing of CH and XG and allowed to diffuse into the PEC (4.6C). The concentration of both polymers in the aqueous solutions was 0.65% w/v, and their volume ratio 1:1. The pH of CH solutions was adjusted to 4.6 with acetic acid. Mixing was performed on laboratory propeller mixer RZR 2020 (Heidolph, Germany). IBU-to-polymers mass ratio was 1:1.

The evaluation of PECs formation and the strength of interactions between the polymers and IBU was done by pH (HI 9321, Hanna Instruments, USA), conductivity (CDM 230, Radiometer, Denmark) and rheological measurements (Rheolab MC 120, Paar Physica, Austria) by increasing the shear rate from 0 to 100 s⁻¹ and back to 0 s⁻¹ at 20±0.2 °C, in triplicate.

PEC hydrogels were dried under ambient conditions, grindned and sieved. Then, the yield (%Y), the IBU encapsulation efficiency (%EE) and the drug loading (%DL) were calculated. The total amount of CH, XG and IBU, the initial amount of IBU used for PEC preparation and the IBU/polymers...
ratio were considered 100% for %Y, %EE and %DL, respectively. The calculation of %EE and %DL: 20 mg of each PEC was dissolved in 100 ml of methanol/phosphate buffer pH 7.2 (80:20 V/V) by sonication (Sonorex RK1024, Bandelin, Germany). IBU concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at 224 nm (Evolution 300, Thermo Scientific, USA).

Results and discussion

After the complexing of CH and XG and the encapsulation of IBU, the pH values of 4.33±0.05 for 4.6A, 4.49±0.05 for 4.6B and 4.11±0.03 for 4.6C were measured. The lowest pH of 4.6C can be explained by the diffusion of hydrogen ions into the hydrogel from the PEC preparation medium, due to IBU dissociation. The highest pH for 4.6B could be explained by the dispersion of IBU in XG solution at high drug concentration. That suppresses its dissociation, resulting in lower concentrations of hydrogen ions into the hydrogel. The ionization ability of IBU, responsible for its non-covalent interactions with CH and XG, may accelerate the dissolution of this crystalline drug by partial disruption of its crystal lattice, which could potentially influence the release kinetics of IBU from PEC-based carriers (Sogias et al., 2012).

The conductivity decreased during the formation of PECs, confirming the establishment of interactions between the polymers and IBU. The final conductivity of 4.6A was 920±1 μS/cm, of 4.6B was 615±3 μS/cm, and of 4.6C 833±67 μS/cm. The differences between the samples were expected since only free ions are responsible for the conductivity of samples (Ćirić et al., 2020).

All PECs showed pseudoplastic flow behavior with thixotropy. Thixotropy was evaluated based on the hysteresis area (H) values. The highest value of 1019.10±297.01 Pa/s was detected for 4.6B, while the lowest, 68.20±47.39 Pa/s, was measured for 4.6A. The H of 4.6C was 784.06±143.63 Pa/s. Higher H values are correlated with stronger interactions between IBU and polymers (Ćirić et al., 2020; Djekic et al., 2016). The strength of the interactions was also evaluated by measuring the apparent viscosity (maximal at 11.1 s⁻¹ – ηmax, and minimal at 100 s⁻¹ – ηmin) of PECs. The highest ηmax was measured for 4.6B (4.97±0.43 Pa·s), and the lowest for 4.6A (3.92±0.36 Pa·s). The ηmax for 4.6C was 4.30±0.23 Pa·s. The ηmin for all samples was 0.64±0.08 Pa·s. These results are in accordance both with thixotropy and the conductivity of the samples.

The highest %Y and %EE had 4.6B (54.14±3.14% and 59.05±3.14%, respectively), and the lowest 4.6C (18.70±4.23% and 0.89±0.05%, respectively). For 4.6A, %Y was 48.04±2.08%, and %EE 53.19±3.07%. %DL ~50% for 4.6A and 4.6B and ~2.5% for 4.6C indicated that the PEC 4.6B resulted in the best characteristics as a carrier of poorly soluble, highly dosed drug, such as IBU.

Conclusion

IBU encapsulation by dispersion in the XG solution before mixing with the CH solution (PEC formation) could be considered optimal to prepare PECs as promising drug carriers with strongest interpolymer interactions, the highest %Y, and %EE.
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