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ABSTRACT

Background: The objective of the study was to identify the socio demographic and clinical factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia among primigravida attending a tertiary care center in South India.

Methods: A quantitative research approach with case control design was used. Non-probability convenience sampling was used to select two groups of primigravida mothers i.e. one group with 108 mothers having preeclampsia or eclampsia and one group with 108 mothers not having preeclampsia or eclampsia. A structured questionnaire was employed to collect the data.

Results: The study found that preeclampsia and eclampsia was significantly associated with maternal age (p=0.026), hemoglobin level (p=0.003), body mass index (p=0.001), weeks of gestation (p=0.016), age at menarche (p=0.003), age at first pregnancy (p=0.007), nutritional education (p=0.001), history of thyroid disorder (p=0.025), family history of hypertension (p=0.016), salt consumption (p=0.001), consumption of fried fatty food (p=0.008) and processed sugar (p=0.045), and intake of green leafy vegetables (p=0.001), pulses and beans (p=0.031) and activity (p=0.015). In the multiple regression analysis, body mass index (Adjusted Odds Ratio [OR] 2.28 [1.40-3.71] p=0.001), thyroid disorder (adjusted OR 2.756 [1.135-6.645] p=0.025) and intake of green leafy vegetables (adjusted OR 1.69 [1.223-2.360] p=0.002) were found to be independent risk factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia.

Conclusion: The study concluded that the risk factors should be identified and evaluated during the antenatal visits for early detection so as to reduce maternal and neonatal mortality.
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INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is a joyful experience of a woman’s life and she undergoes exaggerated physiological responses and hormonal disarray which may lead to complications in pregnancy. Some pregnancies end tragically with maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. More than one and half million women suffer from pregnancy related complications every year.1,2 One such complication which occurs in the second trimester is preeclampsia and eclampsia which leads to approximately one third of total maternal deaths worldwide. Preeclampsia and eclampsia (PE) are two of the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy that has become a significant public health risk in both the developed and developing countries contributing to maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity.3 The incidence was estimated to be between 3 and 10% of all pregnancies.4

Preeclampsia is a disorder of unknown etiology which affects multiple systems and is categorized by the development of blood pressure of 140/90 mm hg or more
with presence of protein in urine in a woman who was previously normotensive and non-proteineuric after 20th week of gestation. Eclampsia refers to the manifestation of generalized tonic-clonic seizures or occurrence of coma in women with preeclampsia. They are associated with intrauterine growth retardation, preterm birth, maternal and perinatal morbidity and death.

World health organization reports 70,000 cases of maternal morbidity and mortality occurred due to preeclampsia every year worldwide. In a study conducted among the population of India, the prevalence of hypertensive disorders was found to be 7.8% and preeclampsia 5.4% respectively. Over the years, the studies reveal that the prevalence of preeclampsia and eclampsia ranges from 33% (Haryana) to 87.5% (Tripura) in India. The prevalence of preeclampsia in South India was Andhra Pradesh (36.4%), Karnataka (37.3%), Kerala (77.1%) and Tamil Nadu (48.1%). In Puducherry 6.2% pregnancy induced hypertensive cases detected at institution and 0.2% eclampsia cases.

It is often referred to as ‘Disease of theories’ because the causes and risk factors for the same remain unclear. Diabetes, obesity, multiple pregnancy, primiparity, personal or family history of preeclampsia and chronic hypertension are some of the factors which are thought to increase the risk to preeclampsia. In another study which was conducted in Karnataka, pre-pregnancy body mass index, history of chronic hypertension, diabetes, renal disease, family history of hypertension and history of preeclampsia in earlier pregnancy were some of the risk factors that were identified.

Since the etiology remains unclear in spite of several efforts to find potential risk and perinatal and postnatal outcome associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia is not good, so early detection and prevention is the key to reduce maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. Proper and timely antenatal care remains imperative part of prevention. Determining these risk factors in antenatal period will help in identifying the high-risk women and in providing them with prompt treatment and thus, reducing maternal morbidity and mortality.

Therefore, the study was conducted to evaluate clinical factors of preeclampsia and eclampsia among primigravida for early detection so as to reduce maternal as well as neonatal morbidity and mortality.

Objectives of the study were to identify socio-demographic and clinical factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia among primigravida attending a tertiary health care hospital in South India and to find out the association between socio-demographic and clinical factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia among primigravida attending a tertiary health care hospital in South India.

METHODS

This was a case control study conducted from August 2019 to October 2019 at Women and children hospital, Jawaharlal institute of postgraduate medical education and research, Puducherry, India. Ethical committee clearance was obtained from institutional ethics committee (JIP/CON/2019/033). The data was collected after getting permission from head of the department and study participants. The primigravida attending antenatal OPD, eclampsia ward, high dependency unit and antenatal ward in WCH, JIPMER were included in the study. The questionnaire was validated by experts. Informed consent was taken. Through socio-demographic proforma basic demographic variables was collected from the primigravida. Through structured questionnaire the clinical factors of preeclampsia and eclampsia was collected.

Subject’s characteristics

Case: Primigravida diagnosed with preeclampsia or eclampsia attending eclampsia room, high dependency unit and antenatal ward in WCH, JIPMER.

Control: Primigravida without preeclampsia or eclampsia attending antenatal OPD, WCH two groups of primigravida mothers available during data collection were included as per inclusion and exclusion criteria. Pregnant women with pre-existing co-morbidities like chronic hypertension were not included in the study.

Inclusion criteria included antenatal women with a gestational age of 20 weeks or more, who were pregnant for first time and who were willing to participate.

Exclusion criteria excluded women already diagnosed with chronic hypertension, women having pre-existing obstetrical co-morbidities.

Variable selection

Demographic variables include maternal age, domicile, educational status, employment status, type of marriage, type of family and monthly income. Clinical factors include BMI, blood group, hemoglobin level, weeks of gestation, age at menarche in years, antenatal visit and consultation, nutritional education given, folic acid intake, placental complications, type of conception, thyroid disorder, connective tissue disorder, renal disease, diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, activity, tobacco use, alcohol use and dietary factors like salt consumption, fatty fried food and processed sugar consumption, intake of milk, green leafy vegetables, fish, pulses and beans.

Statistical analysis

Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) for windows version 23.0 was used. The distribution of
categorical variables such as education, educational status, type of marriage, type of family, co-morbidities, antenatal visit and consultation was expressed in terms of frequency, percentage, proportion and odds ratio with 95% confidence interval. Chi-square was used to compare proportion between the groups. The continuous variables such as age at marriage, age at pregnancy, gestational age and BMI was expressed in terms of mean. Independent student’s t test was used for comparing mean between the data. Multiple logical regression analysis was used to identify the clinical factors associated with preeclampsia or eclampsia. All the statistical analysis was carried out at 5% level of significance with p<0.05.

RESULTS

The data collected showed that majority of the women in the group with case (72.2%) and in control group (84.3%) were between 19 and 30 years of age. Majority of the women in the case group and in control group that is 66.7% belonged to the rural area, 40.7% of the women in the case group and 33.3% in the control group were graduates. Most of the women in the case group (93.5%) and in the control group (95.4%) were unemployed. The data collected showed that majority of subjects in the case group (71.3%) and in the control group (66.7%) had non-consanguineous marriage. 56.5% of the women in the case group lived in the nuclear family as compared to the control group in which majority (50.9%) lived in a joint family. Majority of the women in the case group (42.6%) and in the control group (40.7%) had monthly income of less than 2000.

Most of the subjects in the case group (38%) were found to be overweight whereas in the control group majority of them (49.1%) had normal body mass index. Most of the women in the case group (96.3%) and in the control group (94.4%) were Rh positive. Majority of the women in the case group (66.7%) and in the control group (57.4%) had normal hemoglobin level. Majority of the women in the case group (74.1%) and in the control group (87%) were less than 37 weeks of gestation. Majority of the women in the case group (54.6%) and in the control group (65.7%) were of more than 13 years of age at menarche. In case group, majority (52.8%) belonged to more than 25 years at first pregnancy whereas control group, most of them (47.2%) belonged to 21-25 years. Most of the women in the case group (81.5%) and in the control group (89.8%) took folic acid supplementation. Majority of the women in the case group (96.3%) and in the control group (94.4%) did not have any placental complications. Most of the women in the case group (85.2%) and in the control group (95.4%) had spontaneous conception. Women in the case group and in the control group had more than 4 antenatal visits (87%). Blood pressure was monitored for majority of women in case group (91.7%) and in the control group (92.6%). Majority of the women in the case group (52.8%) had not received nutritional education whereas in the control group majority (71.3%) had received nutritional education. Majority of the subjects in the case group and in the control group, had no history of thyroid disorders (74.1%). Majority of the subjects in the case group (97.2%) and in the control group (98.2%) had no history of renal disease. None of the subjects in the case group and in the control, group had connective tissue disorder. Majority of the subjects in the case group (93.5%) and in the control group (97.2%) had no history of diabetes. Majority of the women in the case group (75.9%) and in the control group (85.2%) had no family history of diabetes. Most of the women in the case group (69.4%) and in the control group (83.3%) had no family history of hypertension.

In case of salt consumption, most of the women in the case group and in the control group (47.2%) consumed 1-2 gm of salt. Majority of the women in the case group (42.6%) consumed less than 30 gm of fatty fried food whereas in the control group majority (35.2%) consumed more than 30 grams of fatty fried food. Majority of the women in the case group (41.7%) and in the control group (30.6%) consumed less than 20 grams of processed sugar. Majority of the women in the case group (37%) consumed 300 ml of milk whereas in the control group majority (40.7%) consumed less than 200 ml of milk. Majority of the women in the case group (42.6%) never consumed green leafy vegetables whereas in the control group majority (50%) consumed 1 cup of green leafy vegetables daily. Majority of the women in the case group (54.6%) and in the control group (70.4%) consumed fruits daily. Majority of the women in the case group (46.3%) and in the control group (50%) consumed 40-50 grams of pulses daily. Majority of the women in the case group (37%) consumed fish occasionally whereas in the control group majority (41.7%) consumed fish weekly. Majority of the women in the case group (67.6%) and in the control group (60.2%) engaged in moderate activities. None of the women in case and in the control, group engaged in tobacco and alcohol use.

The Table 1 shows that among the socio-demographic variables, only age in years (p=0.026) and mean age (p=0.018) were found to be statistically significant.

The Table 2 shows that among clinical factors, body mass index (p=0.001), mean BMI (p=0.039), mean hemoglobin level (p=0.003), weeks of gestation (p=0.016), mean age of menarche (p=0.003), age at first pregnancy (p=0.016), mean age at first pregnancy (p=0.007), nutritional education (p=0.001), family history of hypertension (p=0.016), salt consumption (p=0.001), fatty fried food consumption(p=0.008), processed sugar consumption (p=0.045), intake of green leafy vegetables (p=0.001), intake of pulses and beans (p=0.031) and activity (p=0.015) were found to be statistically significant and other variables did not show any statistically significant association.
### Table 1: Association between socio-demographic variables, preeclampsia and eclampsia among primigravida (n=216).

| Demographic variables | Case | Control | Statistical significance |
|-----------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
|                       | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |                          |
| Age (Years) ≤18       | 3 | 2.8 | 5 | 4.6 | $\chi^2=7.269$, df=2, p value=0.026* |
| 19-30                 | 78 | 72.2 | 91 | 84.3 |                           |
| >30                   | 27 | 25 | 12 | 11.1 |                           |
| Mean age (year)       | 27.3 | 24.6 | \[t=3.692, df=214, p value=0.018*\] |

### Table 2: Association between clinical factors and preeclampsia and eclampsia among primigravida (n=216).

| Clinical factors | Case | Control | Statistical significance |
|------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
|                  | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |                          |
| Body mass index  |                      |            |
| Underweight      | 2 | 1.9 | 3 | 2.8 | $\chi^2=22.2$, df=4, p value=0.001** |
| Normal           | 26 | 24.1 | 53 | 49.1 |                           |
| Overweight       | 41 | 38 | 38 | 35.2 |                           |
| Obese            | 36 | 33.3 | 14 | 13 |                           |
| Mean HB level    | 10.7 | 10.4 | \[t=2.278, df=214, p value=0.003*\] |
| Weeks of gestation|                      |            |
| Less than 37     | 80 | 74.1 | 94 | 87 | $\chi^2=5.793$, df=1, p value=0.016* |
| More than 37     | 28 | 25.9 | 14 | 13 |                           |
| More than 13     | 59 | 54.6 | 71 | 65.7 |                           |
| Mean Age at menarche | 13.7 | 14.0 | \[t=1.671, df=214, p value=0.003*\] |
| Age at first pregnancy (years) |              |            |
| Less than 20     | 9 | 8.3 | 19 | 17.6 | $\chi^2=8.24$, df=2, p value=0.016* |
| 21-25            | 42 | 38.9 | 51 | 47.2 |                           |
| More than 25     | 57 | 52.8 | 38 | 35.2 |                           |
| Mean age at first pregnancy | 27.2 | 24.4 | \[t=3.857, df=214, p value=0.007*\] |
| Nutritional education given |          |            |
| Yes              | 51 | 47.2 | 77 | 71.3 | $\chi^2=12.963$, df=1, p value=0.001** |
| No               | 57 | 52.8 | 31 | 28.7 |                           |
| Family history of hypertension |              |            |
| Yes              | 33 | 30.6 | 18 | 16.7 | $\chi^2=5.775$, df=1, p value=0.016* |
| No               | 75 | 69.4 | 90 | 83.3 |                           |
| Family history of diabetes |             |            |
| Yes              | 26 | 24.1 | 16 | 14.8 | $\chi^2=2.956$, df=1, p value=0.086 |
| No               | 82 | 75.9 | 92 | 85.2 |                           |
| Salt consumption (daily) (gm) |          |            |
| 1-2              | 51 | 47.2 | 51 | 47.2 | $\chi^2=19.8$, df=4, p value=0.001** |
| 3-4              | 33 | 30.6 | 47 | 43.5 |                           |
| 4-5              | 19 | 17.6 | 4 | 3.7 |                           |
| >5               | 5 | 4.6 | 6 | 5.6 |                           |
| Fatty fried food (daily) (gm) |           |            |
| <30              | 46 | 42.6 | 23 | 21.3 | $\chi^2=11.84$, df=3, p value=0.008* |
| 30               | 20 | 18.5 | 28 | 25.9 |                           |
| >30              | 31 | 28.7 | 38 | 35.2 |                           |
| Never            | 11 | 10.2 | 19 | 17.6 |                           |

Continued.
### Clinical factors

| Processed sugar (daily) (gm) | Case | Control | Statistical significance |
|-----------------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| <20                         | 45   | 33      | $\chi^2=8.048$ df=3, p value =0.045* |
| 20-25                       | 35   | 28      |                         |
| >25                         | 14   | 28      |                         |
| Never                       | 14   | 19      |                         |

| Intake of green leafy vegetables (daily) (Cup) | Case | Control | Statistical significance |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| 1                                            | 35   | 54      | $\chi^2=31.46$ df=3, p value=0.001** |
| 1.5                                          | 18   | 27      |                         |
| 2                                            | 9    | 17      |                         |

| Intake of pulses and beans (daily) (gm) | Case | Control | Statistical significance |
|---------------------------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| <40                                   | 33   | 41      | $\chi^2=8.891$ df=3, p value=0.031* |
| 40-50                                 | 50   | 54      |                         |
| >50                                   | 5    | 7       |                         |
| Never                                 | 20   | 6       |                         |

| Activity | Case | Control | Statistical significance |
|----------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| Sedentary| 35   | 35      | $\chi^2=8.464$ df=2, p value=0.015* |
| Moderate | 73   | 65      |                         |
| Heavy    | 0    | 8       |                         |

### Table 3: Association between socio-demographic variables and preeclampsia and eclampsia among preeclamptic/eclamptic mothers (multiple logical regression) (n=108).

| Demographic variables | Preeclampsia and eclampsia | P value |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                       | Frequency | % | Adjusted OR 95 % (CI) | |
| Age (years)           |           |   |                        |   |
| ≤18                   | 3         | 2.8 | 0.973 (0.381-2.488) | 0.955 |
| 19-30                 | 78        | 72.2 |                        |   |
| >30                   | 27        | 25  |                        |   |
| Place of residence    |           |   |                        |   |
| Urban                 | 36        | 33.3 | 0.965 (0.434-2.143) | 0.930 |
| Rural                 | 72        | 66.7 |                        |   |
| Suburban              | 0         | 0   |                        |   |
| Educational status    |           |   |                        |   |
| Illiterate            | 4         | 3.7 |                        |   |
| Primary               | 28        | 25.9 | 0.713 (0.479-1.062) | 0.096 |
| Secondary             | 21        | 19.4 |                        |   |
| Graduate              | 44        | 40.7 |                        |   |
| Postgraduate          | 11        | 10.2 |                        |   |
| Employment status     |           |   |                        |   |
| Employed              | 7         | 6.5 | 0.669 (0.115-3.896) | 0.654 |
| Unemployed            | 101       | 93.5 |                        |   |
| Type of marriage      |           |   |                        |   |
| Consanguineous        | 31        | 28.7 | 1.330 (0.612-2.892) | 0.472 |
| Non-Consanguineous    | 77        | 71.3 |                        |   |
| Type of family        |           |   |                        |   |
| Nuclear               | 61        | 56.5 | 0.958 (0.488-1.880) | 0.901 |
| Joint                 | 47        | 43.5 |                        |   |
| Extended              | 0         | 0   |                        |   |
| Monthly income (Rs.)  |           |   |                        |   |
| < 2000                | 46        | 42.6 |                        |   |
| 2000-5000             | 23        | 21.3 | 1.070 (0.753-1.522) | 0.705 |
| 5001-10000            | 25        | 23.1 |                        |   |
| > 10000               | 14        | 13  |                        |   |
Table 4: Association between clinical factors and preeclampsia and eclampsia among preeclamptic/eclamptic mothers (Multiple logistic regression) (n=108).

| Clinical factors                          | Preeclampsia and eclampsia | P value |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|                                         | Frequency (%) | Adjusted OR 95 % (CI) |         |
| **Body mass index**                      |               |                     |         |
| Underweight                              | 2             | 1.9                 |         |
| Normal                                   | 26            | 24.1                |         |
| Overweight                               | 41            | 38                  | 2.28 (1.40-3.71) | 0.001** |
| Obese                                    | 36            | 33.3                |         |
| Over obese                               | 3             | 2.8                 |         |
| **Thyroid disorder**                     |               |                     |         |
| Yes                                      | 24            | 22.2                |         |
| No                                       | 84            | 74.1                | 2.756 (1.135-6.645) | 0.025*  |
| **Intake of green leafy vegetables (daily) (cup)** |               |                     |         |
| 1                                        | 35            | 32.4                |         |
| 1.5                                      | 18            | 16.7                | 1.699 (1.223-2.360) | 0.002*  |
| 2                                        | 9             | 8.3                 |         |
| Never                                    | 46            | 42.6                |         |

(Odds ratio, CI-confidence interval), p<0.001 highly significant  p<0.05 significant

Table 3 shows the association between demographic variables associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia mothers. The demographic variables are not statistically significant to preeclampsia and eclampsia mothers.

Table 4 shows the association between clinical factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia mothers. The clinical factors statistically significant were Body mass index (p=0.001), thyroid disorder (p=0.025) and Intake of green leafy vegetables (p=0.002).

DISCUSSION

The risk factors identified in the study were maternal age, hemoglobin level, BMI, weeks of gestation, age at menarche, age at first pregnancy, nutritional education, history of thyroid disorder, family history of hypertension, salt consumption, consumption of fried fatty food and processed sugar, and intake of green leafy vegetables and pulses and beans and activity. The findings of the study were concurrent with the findings of the researcher who conducted a study to evaluate the outcomes of adolescent pregnancy. The study concluded that greater risk for preeclampsia was related to very advance maternal age (≥45) and younger adolescents respectively.

The study findings revealed that there is a significant association between risk factor like maternal age, hemoglobin level, BMI, weeks of gestation, age at menarche, age at first pregnancy, nutritional education, history of thyroid disorder, family history of hypertension, activity and between the occurrence of preeclampsia and eclampsia. The findings of the study were concurrent with the findings of the researcher who conducted a study to identify the risk factors related to preeclampsia and to compare the socio-demographic profile of women with and without preeclampsia and the risk factors identified were increased BMI, young age at menarche, anemia, primiparity, family history of preeclampsia and hypertension and non veg diet.

The study findings revealed that there is a significant association between dietetic factors like salt consumption, consumption of fried fatty food and processed sugar, and intake of green leafy vegetables and pulses and beans between the occurrence of preeclampsia and eclampsia. The study findings were consistent with the findings of the study conducted by Grum et al to assess the dietary factors associated with preeclampsia or eclampsia among pregnant women. The dietary factors associated were vegetable and fruit intake during pregnancy and receiving nutritional counseling during antenatal care. The study findings were also similar with the findings of the study conducted by Frederick et al to find the association between occurrence of preeclampsia and maternal dietary habits.

The study identified maternal age as the only socio demographic variable associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia. The above finding was concordant with the study conducted by Ogawa et al to elucidate the effect of maternal age on pregnancy outcomes and its relationship with parity and contraceptive methods and the study conducted by Kawakita et al to investigate the outcomes of adolescent pregnancy.
Body mass index, thyroid disorder and intake of green leafy vegetables were found to be independent risk factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia.  

Another study conducted by Sardana et al to assess the relationship between thyroid hormone in pregnancy and preeclampsia. The study concluded that identification of changes in thyroid hormones in preeclampsia might be of help in preventing the occurrence of preeclampsia.  

A study conducted by Agrawal on frequency of consumption of specific food items and symptoms of preeclampsia and eclampsia identified intake of green leafy vegetables as one of the food items which substantially lowers the risk of preeclampsia and eclampsia.  

Assumptions  

The study assumes that the responses given by the study participants are true.

CONCLUSION  

The study concluded that there is a significant risk among women less than 18 years and more than 30 years, women with anemia and obesity, women with week of gestation less than 37 weeks, women with age of menarche less than 13 years, women with age at first pregnancy less than 18 and more than 30, women who have not received any kind of nutritional education, positive family history of hypertension, increased consumption of salt, fatty fried food, processed sugar and decreased intake of green leafy vegetables and pulses and beans have increased risk for preeclampsia that may lead to eclampsia. A format containing all the risk factors should be asked routinely in antenatal checkup to pregnant women to identify the high-risk mothers and to provide them with prompt treatment so as to prevent development of preeclampsia and eclampsia.
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