Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation of the Medial Prefrontal Cortex Affects Judgments of Moral Violations
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Previous studies show that neural activities in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) are correlated with moral processing during picture viewing tasks. In this study, we applied transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) to determine whether this non-invasive brain stimulation technique could modulate the evaluation of moral violations. Sixty-four subjects were randomly recruited, separated into different groups and tested with 42 pairs of pictures depicting moral violations. Each subject was required to rate the pictures two separate times, i.e., before and after tDCS intervention. We found that anodal tDCS (atDCS) increases cortical excitability over the mPFC (between the Fpz and Fp1 positions) as well as the sense of morality and emotional arousal of the subjects. In conclusion, this study indicated that the mPFC plays an important role in moral judgments while modulating ratings of moral violations under tDCS intervention conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Morality is a principle of social behaviors commonly recognized and followed by the majority of society (Graham et al., 2011). Attention to morality has increased as the number of people disobeying this rule has increased. For example, refusal to help elders when they fall has become bizarrely commonplace among Chinese citizens. People have chosen to avoid elders who are falling instead of trying to help them to stand up. Moreover, this behavior is contrary to the rule of morality and thus calls for increased attention and focus on moral processing.

Most recent studies related to morality have focused on correlations between the modulation of the temporal-parietal junction (TPJ) cortex and belief attributions in moral judgments. However, different types of moral judgments, including those related to responsibility, wrongness, belief and blame, recruit distinct brain areas (Guglielmo, 2015). For example, modulating the activation of the right TPJ affects moral judgments when participants read moral scenarios that present conflicting information about the outcome of an action and the intention of the actor (Young et al., 2007; Sellaro et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2015). Moreover, the activity of the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) cortex, another area of the brain, strongly correlates with the judgment of images depicting moral violations (Harenski and Hamann, 2006; Decety et al., 2012; Fumagalli and Priori, 2012). Several studies suggest that the mPFC is recruited in general evaluative judgments (Zysset et al., 2002) and...
integrates emotion into decision making, thereby contributing to moral sensitivity (Damasio, 1994/2015; Decety et al., 2012). Furthermore, solid evidence supports the notion of the recruitment of the mPFC in the process of moral judgment (Greene et al., 2001; Greene and Haidt, 2002; Decety et al., 2012; Fumagalli and Priori, 2012). However, whether the activation of the mPFC affects moral judgment has not been directly confirmed by these studies, and none of these studies have focused on causal relationships.

Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) is a tool that applies a micro-electric current in the brain capable of modulating cognitive brain functions. Specifically, the magnitude of the micro-current is controlled within 1–2 mA of a value suitable for human beings. The application of an anodal tDCS (atDCS) stimulates greater higher excitability in the target cortex, whereas a tDCS has an effect similar to that of a placebo (Nitsche and Paulus, 2000). Several studies demonstrate that tDCS affects cognitive processes, including motion control (Nitsche et al., 2008), executive function and verbal ability (Boggio et al., 2011).

However, research regarding the application of tDCS interventions during the performance of moral image tasks, especially those addressing real-life moral issues, remains extremely limited. Thus, this study will examine whether the application of the non-invasive brain stimulation technique of tDCS is able to modulate the evaluation of moral violations.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

A total of 64 volunteers were recruited from Southwest University and randomly divided into two groups, i.e., an atDCS group and a
FIGURE 2 | Experimental task design. During the initial rating session, the subjects viewed moral and immoral pictures. In the re-rating session, the subjects rated the same pictures again without being reminded of their prior ratings.
0.057 mA/cm²). As shown in Figure 3, the electrodes were placed in the centers of Fp1 and Fp2 based on the EEG 10-20 system. On the basis of previous studies, the ‘reference’ electrode was fixed extra-cephalically on the right arm to avoid any ‘reference’ electrode interference with the mPFC cortex (Civai et al., 2015; Nakamura and Kawabata, 2015).

**Statistical Analysis**

In this study, the ratings were analyzed by 3-way ANOVA using time (initial rating vs. re-rating) and image type (immoral vs. normal) as within-subject factors and treatment (tDCS: anode vs. sham) as a between-subject factor. We conducted two 3-way ANOVAs, i.e., one for moral violation and one for emotional arousal.

**RESULTS**

The primary effect of image type on moral violation rating was significant (Figure 4A). The immoral images evoked a greater moral sense than the normal images in general \( F(1,62) = 376.50, p < 0.001 \). Moreover, a noticeable interaction was observed between treatment and time \( F(1,62) = 15.028, p < 0.001 \).

Specifically, the results of the re-rating scores indicated that the ratings of the anodal group (3.52 ± 0.86) were significantly higher than those of the sham group (2.36 ± 0.89; \( t(1,62) = 1.165, p < 0.001 \)). Additionally, no difference was observed between the anodal and sham groups in the initial rating session \( t(1,62) = 0.19, p = 0.940 \), indicating that the baseline moral sense of the two groups in the initial ratings were not significantly different (Figure 1). Notably, no other effects were found.

In the emotional arousal ratings (Figure 4B), the primary effect of the image type was significant \( F(1,62) = 62.987, p < 0.001 \), indicating the immoral images evoked greater emotional arousal than the normal images. Another primary significant effect was that of time \( F(1,62) = 23.957, p < 0.001 \). These data indicated the re-rating score was lower than the initial rating score, demonstrating the trend of an overall decline in emotional arousal.
Furthermore, a strong connection between treatment and time was observed \( [F(1,62) = 15.028, p < 0.001] \). The rating scores of the anodal group in the re-rating session (4.99 ± 0.12) were significantly higher than the initial ratings (4.27 ± 0.11). However, the opposite trend was observed in the sham group \( [t(1,62) = 2.463, p < 0.001] \), i.e., the re-rating scores of the sham group (2.53 ± 0.09) were lower than the initial ratings (4.31 ± 0.12). This finding indicated an acute practice effect in the sham group. Notably, no difference was observed between the anodal group and the sham group in the initial ratings \( [t(1,62) = 0.37, p = 0.818; \text{Figure 1}] \). No other effects were significant.

**DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to use tDCS to modulate the judgment of moral violation. We concluded that the anodic stimulus over the mPFC induced a greater sense of morality in this study. Specifically, upon the use of tDCS over the mPFC, the subjects tended to rate the severity of the moral violations in the pictures higher than the sham group. In comparison, the re-rating scores were relatively lower than the initial rating scores in the sham stimulation group. Consequently, both findings indicated that the activation of the mPFC produced a noticeable effect on the sense of morality of the subjects.

Theoretically, presenting the same pictures to the subjects during both rating sessions would influence their judgments and cause decreases in the sense of moral violation and emotional arousal in the re-rating session of sham group. Emotion plays an important role in the sense of morality, and moral judgments generally necessitate emotional involvement (Greene et al., 2001; Harenski and Hamann, 2006; Decety et al., 2012). We believe that the regression effect in the sham group was related to a decrease in the level of emotional arousal. However, the study results revealed a significant increase in both ratings (i.e., moral violation and emotional arousal) in the anodal group (Figure 4).

Additionally, the repetition of the same pictures before and after the tDCS intervention might have strengthened the subjects' memories, which would have further affected the re-rating scores. However, the complexity of our task greatly undermined this potential influential impact. First, 84 pictures \( (n = 84) \) were chosen for this experiment. Second, each picture had two rating procedures (emotional arousal and moral violation severity), both of which were rated on a Likert scale that ranged from “1” to “7.” Third, the pictures were randomly presented during the re-rating session. Fourth, the subjects waited for a time period of 30 min between the initial and re-rating sessions, and the subjects were not expecting the re-rating session. Therefore, all these four aspects together made it difficult for participants to remember their initial rating choices. Consequently, the re-rating scores most likely accurately reflected the subjects' attitudes regardless of their memories.

However, this study has several limitations. First, different moral judgment tasks require different types of equipment and the integration of various information from different sources (Guglielmo, 2015). These differences indicate that our results may be limited to tasks involving judgments of moral violations in images. Second, the accuracy of tDCS is rather low and might lead to stimulus generalization that causes activation of the entire prefrontal cortex. Third, the current pathways in the medial cortex remain unclear (Yuan et al., 2015), and the individual differences in cerebral structures may also affect these pathways. Thus, additional effort is needed in this area of study. We believe that future studies should try to verify the effectiveness of the stimulation of the mPFC. Moreover, individual differences should be considered by combining imaging data to customize and optimize the stimulus parameters (Yuan et al., 2015).

**CONCLUSION**

This study indicated that the mPFC plays an important role in moral judgments. Anodic tDCS indeed has enhanced excitability in the mPFC, which increases subjects' sense of morality and emotional arousal. Furthermore, in this study, we updated an old paradigm to create a new paradigm that more closely resembles current existing moral problems.
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