A data-driven approach for identification of novel organic ferroelectrics
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Recent advances in the synthesis of polar molecular materials have produced practical alternatives to ferroelectric ceramics, opening up exciting new avenues for their incorporation into modern electronic devices. However, in order to realize the full potential of polar polymer and molecular crystals for modern technological applications, it is paramount to assemble and evaluate all the available data for such compounds, identifying descriptors that could be associated with an emergence of ferroelectricity. In this work, we utilized data-driven approaches to judiciously shortlist candidate materials from a wide chemical space that could possess ferroelectric functionalities. An importance-sampling based method was utilized to address the challenge of having a limited amount of available data on already known organic ferroelectrics. Sets of molecular- and crystal-level descriptors were combined with a Random Forest Regression algorithm in order to predict spontaneous polarization of the shortlisted compounds with an average error of ~20%.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ferroelectric materials are widely employed in a variety of technological applications, including acoustic, nonlinear-optic and electromechanical devices — i.e., sensors, actuators and transducers — as well as in pyroelectric arrays, non-volatile memories, and high-k dielectric components for microelectronics. Perovskite ABO$_3$-type metal-oxide ceramics, such as BaTiO$_3$, PbTiO$_3$, KNbO$_3$, or related solid solutions, such as PbZr$_{1−x}$Ti$_x$O$_3$ (PZT), usually exhibit the strongest spontaneous polarization, as well as piezoelectric, and pyroelectric response. However, the usefulness of oxide ceramics is limited by their substantial weight, brittleness, toxicity (e.g., in lead-containing compounds) and considerable costs of material synthesis and device manufacturing. On the other hand, molecular- or polymer-based ferroelectrics, while displaying more modest polar properties, are usually lightweight, flexible and environmentally friendly. A wide range of practical and inexpensive prescriptions is available for their growth and processing as either bulk materials or nanostructures.

In order to fully realize the potential of polar polymer- and molecular-based materials for modern technological applications, it is paramount to acquire detailed molecular-level understanding of mechanisms governing the emergence of ferroelectricity in them. It is noteworthy that some of the most well-known ferroelectric compounds, e.g., Rochelle salt, in which ferroelectricity was originally discovered, or NaNO$_2$ that exhibits incommensurate structural distortions can be classified as molecular crystals. Compared to the transition-metal oxide counterparts, the origins of ferroelectric behavior in such materials are more diverse and not as exhaustively investigated. Therefore, reliable paths to enhancement and control of their useful properties still largely remain to be charted out.

Despite the existence of various physical mechanisms that could potentially induce ferroelectricity in polymer and molecular-based materials — in addition to the displacive mechanism which dominates in ceramics — it continues to be rare, a ‘one-off’ or spurious effect. Lessons learned by some of the authors when studying DIPA-X and PVDF-based families of electroactive compounds provided some insight into why this may be so. Even though individual molecular units may be highly polar, when they are assembled into a periodic crystal structure, there is no guarantee that it will be ferroelectric: [A] Unit arrangements promoting cancellation of large individual dipole moments are likely to form, resulting only in weak or complete absence of polarization; [B] Built-in energy barriers for dipole reorientation may be too high to allow ‘easy’ polarization switching among multiple possible directions. In the latter case, the material may formally be ferroelectric, but the associated enormous coercive fields make applications impractical.

Although specific pieces of knowledge useful for successful cultivation of ferroelectric properties in polymer and molecular-based systems may remain elusive — unquestionably, because of the structural richness of the involved material families and great complexity of the underlying physical mechanisms — this problem is highly amenable to prob-
ing with generic machine learning (ML) and data mining approaches. The main idea is to utilize the available information for the already known ferroelectric molecular- and polymer-based compounds to uncover any general commonalities in and connections among their structure, chemical makeup, properties and performance, identifying a small number of simple descriptors that ‘point’ to ferroelectric behavior. These descriptors can then be used to predict the likelihood of such behavior in other molecular- and polymer-based compounds, whether fictitious or already synthesized.

Engaging in such a study involves a number of difficulties, with the most obvious being: (a) Scarcity of the available data on the already known molecular and polymeric ferroelectrics, as there are just not too many of them — less than a hundred, which stipulates the types of ML algorithms that could used; (b) Lack of any standards on how such data is organized and curated, i.e., individual research groups report whatever they feel is important in each particular case, rather than a prescribed set of descriptors, plus different groups have dissimilar quality standards for what is considered ‘reliable data.’ On the other hand, for compound crystal systems that could be readily separated into individual molecule-based structural units, preexisting libraries of numerous chemical descriptors could be readily applied for characterization of these units. Naturally, such chemical descriptors acting on the molecular level can be combined with global, crystal-level descriptors.

Guiding principles for the selection of prospective ferroelectric materials: For the purposes of this, or a similar (more detailed) investigation, the following natural connections between the material structure / properties and the desired ‘ferroelectric propensity’ could be used for shortlisting the most attractive target compounds from a larger pool of possible candidates. (i) Existence of multiple polymorphs for the same compound, some of which are non-centrosymmetric and polar, while others are centrosymmetric and non-polar. (ii) Energetic closeness of the polar and aristotype non-polar phases, meaning that these phases cannot be drastically structurally different. (iii) Existence of well-behaved transformation paths connecting different variants of the polar phases, indicating feasibility of ferroelectric switching among them. While criterion (i) is based purely on symmetry considerations and thus is easy to include into a data-driven workflow, criteria (ii) and (iii) may require deployment of extra and possibly substantial computational efforts, e.g., to evaluate relative energy differences between the phases, as well as probe possible transformation paths connecting them. In this study, we aimed to avoid, whenever possible, doing any heavy atomistic-level calculations of this sort. Although, clearly, for each prospective ferroelectric identified, these (and other) calculations would be necessary to characterize its behavior with confidence, here our goal was to spin out multiple (but not too many!) reasonable guesses for such prospective compounds and provide rough, but computationally cheap estimates of their likely properties.

In what follows, we demonstrate how we have addressed some of the challenges stated above, showcasing an original data-driven and ML approach that can judiciously choose target materials likely to exhibit ferroelectricity from a wide chemical space of different molecular- and polymer-based candidate compounds. In conjunction, we present a number of crystal- and molecular-level descriptors that were recognized as important for predicting ferroelectric behavior. In addition, we highlight the utilization of traditional ML algorithms for constructing a model that can estimate the magnitude of spontaneous polarization in the identified target materials without performing computationally expensive atomistic-level calculations. The flowchart showing connections between the main developmental stages of this study is presented in Fig. [I]

II. METHODS

A. Data Compilation and Curation

As a starting point of this study, a dataset, called in what follows ‘Dataset I,’ was compiled and curated, containing data records for most already known molecular- and polymer-based ferroelectrics reported in the literature, Table I in the Supplementary Material lists stoichiometric formulas and SMILEs (simplified molecular-input line-entry system) strings for molecular units of all of the collected entries, with the latter providing more detailed description for the compound structure and chemical makeup. Other properties collected by curating the literature were lattice parameters for unit cells, molecular weight (g/mol), melting temperature (°C), density (g/cm³), polarization values (C/m²), transition temperature (°C) and space groups. We note that for some
molecular systems present in Dataset I the complete information could not be obtained. Therefore, the initial descriptor space for these compounds was built using only SMILES representations, while assuming cubic unit cells. Ideally, descriptions of the structure of these molecular systems should be clarified (e.g., by using first-principles theory computations for structural optimization), but such efforts are beyond the scope of this work.

At the time of this writing, Dataset I contains information for a total of 76 molecular systems, with reported origins for the emergence of ferroelectricity including electronegativity differences between atoms, secondary interactions such as hydrogen bonding, as well as lattice-distortion driven structural instabilities. The chemical nature and structure of the dataset entries are extremely diverse and include molecular and polymeric crystals, oligomers, co-crystals, clathrates, charge-transfer complexes and derivatives of metal-organic frameworks. Only systems that have been reported by multiple research groups and could be verified by comparison with common databases — in particular, Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) — were included in Dataset I. CSD utilizes the R-factor metric to determine the quality of structural data, with the average value of considerable R-factor for small organic molecules (like ones used in Dataset I and for shortlisting potential organic ferroelectrics) being < 0.05.

B. Descriptors

For each of the Dataset I compounds, we have computed sets of molecular- and crystal-level descriptors. For the former, standard computational Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) 2D chemical descriptors were used, while descriptors relying on a three dimensional shape of the investigated molecule were excluded to avoid adding any potential ambiguities to the descriptor space. Crystal-level descriptors, as implemented in a Python library, included atomic orbital information, i.e., energies of highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), orbital energies, types of and distances between atomic sites, fractions of nearest neighbors for each atomic and bond type. Statistical data on electronegativity differences between anions and cations, oxidation states of all atoms, valence orbital attributes, such as mean number of electrons in each shell, and orbital field matrix descriptions of the chemical environment of each atom in the unit cell, based on the group numbers, row numbers, distances between coordinating atoms, and Voronoi polyhedra weights were also included.

C. ML Models for Predicting Polarization

A family of ML models was constructed utilizing the computed sets of molecular- and crystal-level descriptors. Initial models included all the descriptors, while consecutive ones were built on only 10 most important descriptors (combined from both sets) as identified by the ML algorithm. The main target property to be predicted was the magnitude of spontaneous polarization in the compound / system of interest. The Random Forest Regression (RFR) algorithm was employed as implemented in R

D. Descriptor Ranking for Polarization Prediction

An analysis of the relative importance of each descriptor (belonging to either molecular- or crystal-level descriptor set) was performed utilizing the RFR algorithm feature importance ranking to shortlist the descriptors useful for predicting polarization. The feature importance score produced by RFR represents the decrease in the weighted impurity (variations for regression type of algorithm) over all trees for every feature. As determined, top ten ranked molecular- and crystal-level descriptors provide some physical insight into the structural and chemical properties that may influence polarization behavior.

For the crystal-level descriptors, it was observed that descriptors representing total fractions of C-F and Cl-O bonds, as well as bonds involving nitrogen and hydrogen atoms contributed greatly to predicting the polarization of molecular compounds (see Table I). This is expected due to highly electronegative nature of fluorine and chlorine. Furthermore, descriptors connected to the presence of nitrogen, hydrogen and oxygen bonds with metals, such as rhenium and bromine, were also ranked as highly useful for predicting polarization, which could be related to the propensity of these particular elements to exhibit a variety of highly electropositive oxidation states. Finally, descriptors representing the average number of s and fraction of p valence electrons in a molecular crystal were shown to be relatively important to predicting polarization.

Relative importance of the molecular-level 2D descriptors was also determined in the same fashion. As shown in Table II, descriptors representing topological distribution of mass, van der Waals volumes, and probabilities (associated with Broto-Moreau and Geary autocorrelation of these parameters) were identified as important for predicting polarization. Other important features identified by this analysis included electronic states related to C-H and I bonding, and molecular propensity to form hydrogen bonds. This indicates that the presence of excess H atoms, as well as the presence of iodine (which is highly electronegative), contributes to the polar strength of molecular units comprising the crystal.

When considering systems that possess ‘high’ spontaneous
TABLE I. Top ten crystal-level descriptors important for predicting polarization as determined by the RFR algorithm feature importance ranking of the descriptor set. Descriptors are ordered in descending order of importance.

| Descriptor                  | Extended Definition                                           |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| C-F Bond fraction           | Fraction of C-F bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| Cl-O Bond fraction          | Fraction of Cl-O bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| average $s$ valence electrons | Average number of $s$ valence electrons in composition       |
| fraction $p$ valence electrons | Fraction of $p$ valence electrons in composition           |
| N-Re bond fraction          | Fraction of N-Re bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| O-Re bond fraction          | Fraction of O-Re bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| Br-H bond fraction          | Fraction of Br-H bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| H-N bond fraction           | Fraction of H-N bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| C-H bond fraction           | Fraction of C-H bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| average $p$ valence electrons | Average number of $p$ valence electrons in composition       |

TABLE II. Top ten molecular-level descriptors important for predicting the polarization as determined by the RFR algorithm feature importance ranking of the descriptor set. Descriptors are ordered in descending order of importance.

| Descriptor                  | Extended Definition                                           |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ATS1m                       | Broto-Moreau autocorrelation weighted by mass                 |
| GATS1v                      | Geary autocorrelation weighted by van der Waals volumes       |
| ATSC1p                      | Centered Broto-Moreau autocorrelation weighted by probabilities |
| maxssCH                     | Max atom type electronic state -CH-                          |
| ETA_dPsi_B                  | Measure of hydrogen bonding propensity                        |
| ATSC2p                      | Centered Broto-Moreau autocorrelation weighted by probabilities |
| maxI                        | Max atom type electronic state for I                          |
| SpMax3_Bhm                  | Largest absolute eigenvalue of Burden modified matrix weighted by relative mass |
| AAATSC2m                    | Average centered Broto-Moreau autocorrelation weighted by mass |
| AATS1m                      | Average Broto-Moreau autocorrelation weighted by mass         |

polarization (that we set above 5 $\mu$C/cm$^2$), it was observed that, in addition to the already identified descriptors, features such as presence of singly-bound carbon atoms bound to one other carbon, number of basic groups, as well as number of 6 member fused rings — including those that contain N, O, P, S or halogen atoms — were rated as important for predicting polarization (see Table III). Furthermore, descriptors related to the electronic state of the constituent elements were also shown to be important.

E. Data Analysis and Improvement of the ML Models

A histogram plot of reported spontaneous polarizations exhibited by the compounds comprising Dataset I is shown in Fig. 2. From this data, it is evident that in addition to being limited in size, Dataset I is strongly skewed or biased towards systems with rather low polarization magnitudes. That is not entirely unexpected, considering that molecular- or polymer-based ferroelectric compounds usually have smaller polarization, compared to their ceramic counterparts. In this situation, an infusion of a substantial number of additional data entries representing systems possessing high spontaneous polarization is required to remove the bias in the data. In general, training predictive ML models using well-balanced data produces better prediction accuracy, compared to using unbalanced data.

Since the list of already known ferroelectric compounds has been greatly exhausted in the process of assembling Dataset I, we employed an importance sampling based approach to generate additional synthetic data points with high polarization values — which was accomplished by assigning greater importance to high-polarization instances that have low occurrences in Dataset I. Such statistical strategies, allowing for an infusion of additional samples around low occurrence training data instances, are widely used to address the problem of unbalanced training data in different ML modeling strategies.

To generate a balanced version of Dataset I using the importance sampling approach, we had to define a range of polar-
TABLE III. Ten most important descriptors chosen from a combined set of crystal-level and molecular-level descriptors for predicting polarization of systems with high (> 5 µC/cm²) spontaneous polarization. Descriptors are ordered in descending order of importance.

| Descriptor | Extended Definition |
|------------|---------------------|
| C1SP3      | Singly bound carbon bound to one other carbon |
| C-F Bond fraction | Fraction of C-F bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| Cl-O Bond fraction | Fraction of Cl-O bonds in structure based on nearest neighbors |
| average s valence electrons | Average number of s valence electrons in composition |
| fraction p valence electrons | Fraction of p valence electrons in composition |
| nBase      | Number of basic groups |
| maxsssN    | Max atom type electronic state |
| nF6HeteroRing | Number of 6-membered fused rings containing heteroatoms (N, O, P, S, or halogen) |
| nF6Ring    | Number of 6-membered fused rings |
| minsssN    | Min atom type electronic state |

Polarization magnitudes that is considered important for our analysis. We set the lower boundary of this range to 5 µC/cm², as Fig. 2 clearly shows that there are few data instances present in Dataset I with polarizations greater than this value.

High dimensionality of the involved descriptor space (~1,000) introduces difficulties in generating coherent samples from such large multivariate distributions. We note that this large space was initially constructed by combining all possible descriptors and then filtered down at the ML model building stage to contain only descriptors that were highly ranked by their importance. However, during the sample generation stage, we kept all descriptors in their original form to avoid missing any relevant information. To reduce the dimensionality of the descriptor space, we applied Principal Component Analysis (PCA) that projects high-dimensional features (descriptors) to orthogonal, mutually independent dimensions called principal components (PC) by performing an eigen-decomposition of the covariance matrix in the descriptor space. The resulting eigenvectors correspond to the orthogonal PC dimensions. An advantage of using a linear transformation model like PCA is that an inverse transformation can be performed to move from the mutually independent PC space to the high-dimensional descriptor space.

After transforming the high-dimensional descriptor space to low-dimensional PC space with PCA, we generated multiple random samples for the independent PC dimensions. On that sampling step, we first used a kernel density estimate (KDE) model (with gaussian kernels) to approximate the individual distributions of the independent PCs. We then produced 100 new samples from this KDE distribution and projected them back to the original high-dimensional descriptor space, utilizing the inverse transformation property of PCA. This resulted in 100 new data points that are likely to have polarization values above 5 µC/cm². Orange colored bars in Fig. 3 represent the distribution of polarization magnitudes corresponding to these new 100 synthetically created data instances. These new samples were combined with the (unbalanced) Dataset I to create a relatively balanced dataset, called in what follows 'Dataset II,' that includes more data instances possessing high polarization values. Note that fictitious samples generated by this procedure were used exclusively to train the ML models, while any test sets consisted only of unaltered original data collected for the actual materials.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Predicting Ferroelectric Polarization

The first iteration of ML models for predicting ferroelectric polarization was built on Dataset I, with one model constructed utilizing molecular-level descriptors only and the other using only crystal-level descriptors. The average RMSEs of these ML models for predicting spontaneous polarization were close to 4 μC/cm². On the next iteration, an improved model utilizing 10 most important descriptors taken from the combined set of molecular- and crystal-level descriptors was constructed, with the average RMSE of 3.3 μC/cm². The range of polarizations was 1–15 μC/cm², with most falling below 5 μC/cm². A learning curve for this model is shown in Fig. 4 — confirming that the model prediction quality is indeed not very high — while the predictive accuracy estimates for the model are compiled in Table IV. We note that such learning-curve analysis representing average errors as a function of the training set size is appropriate for datasets where standard deviations in the predicted values for test sets may be as large as 5–10%. The difference between $E_{\text{Test}}(N)$ and $E_{\text{Train}}(N)$ represents the overfitting of the RFR model.

To improve the predictive accuracy of the original ML models, we constructed the third iteration of models employing Dataset II. Table IV lists average RMSEs obtained for these models. It is evident that utilization of the importance sampling approach to un-bias Dataset I improved the predictive quality of the models, reducing the average test set RMSE error to 1.84 μC/cm², while also decreasing the overfitting.

We note that it is possible to create different variants of Dataset II by changing the lower boundary of the ‘important polarization’ range to a value that is higher than 5 μC/cm². However, due to much smaller number of reported structures with polarization > 10 μC/cm², samples generated for datasets with larger ‘important polarization’ cut-offs will be too similar in nature, therefore not contributing any substantial new information to the dataset. Consequently, any ML models constructed using such datasets will not have their predictive accuracy significantly improved. A different strategy may involve building ML models on datasets that aggregate only those compounds that have the same mechanism for developing ferroelectricity. That approach can further improve prediction accuracy, but at present such partitioning reduces the original dataset to subsets of less than 20 entries in size.

B. Shortlisting potential organic ferroelectrics

The developed ML models for predicting the magnitude of spontaneous polarization in molecular- and polymer-based compounds were integrated into a strategy for shortlisting potential candidate materials (within the same general chemical space) that may exhibit ferroelectricity. The guiding principles outlined in Sec. I were used to identify the most attractive targets from the list of 1,034,175 organic compounds present in the CSD.

In order to be shortlisted as a potential ferroelectric, a compound must have at least two different structural phases that belong to centrosymmetric and polar space groups, respectively. The presence of both centrosymmetric and polar polymorphs within the phase space of same material is a condition that is similar to the well-known cases of ceramic-oxide ferroelectrics, such as BaTiO₃ and PbTiO₃, where spontaneous polarization emerges as a (centrosymmetry breaking) structural distortion of the non-polar high-temperature phase upon cooling into a low-temperature polar phase. On the other hand, this condition cannot be considered as sufficient or even necessary for the development of ferroelectricity.

Utilizing this simple criterion, we identified a total of 8,997 compounds, which is a very large number that needs to be reduced further. In order to accomplish that, we utilized the following constraints:

1. Compounds containing heavy elements, such as Mo, As, Au, Ba, Dy, Eu, Ga, Gd, Ge, Hg, La, Nd, Pb, Rh, Ru, Sb, Se, U, Ir, Os, Pd, Pt, Re, Ta, Sm, Tb, Te, W, Yb, Y, Sb, Tc, Tm, Co, Nb, In, V and Be, were discarded;
2. Compounds containing toxic elements, such as Cd, Pb, Th, Hg and Sb, were discarded;
3. Hydrates and charged complexes were discarded;
4. Only compounds containing elements belonging to the first row of the periodic table were retained, although this step may be skipped in future investigations to include more diverse compounds into the mix.

After the application of these filters, a total of 4,932 compounds remained in the final list. For these compounds, the spontaneous polarization could be quickly predicted by utilizing the previously developed ML models, thus further reducing the number of attractive targets for emerging ferroelectricity. On further downsampling steps, atomistic-level calculations, such as those employing density-functional theory
TABLE V. Potential organic ferroelectrics for which only 2 structural phases are present in the CSD. Molecular formulas, canonical SMILES and predicted spontaneous polarization values (in μC/cm²) are provided.

| Molecular Formula | Canonical SMILE | $|P_s|$ |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| C₉H₁₀N₂O | CC(CCN=NC=NC=CC=NC=NC=CC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=NC=}
OpenBabel. It is also remarkable that polarizations predicted for most of these compounds are rather large, compared to the typical values of 5–10 μC/cm² reported for their already known counterparts comprising Dataset I, with three entries exhibiting spontaneous polarizations above 12 μC/cm². 

Again, at this point, the list entries could be evaluated further on an individual basis, according to criteria (ii) and (iii) of Sec. I, to develop more accurate predictions of their propensity to exhibit ferroelectricity. However, since such activities involve performing DFT-based simulations and thus would be rather computationally expensive, they fall beyond the scope of this investigation. We point out that such computations (including more accurate estimation of spontaneous polarization exhibited by the polar phases and other related properties) are standard for DFT-based computational packages and could be easily carried out when necessary or desired.

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have compiled datasets containing reports on molecular and polymer-based ferroelectric compounds from the available literature. Through various data analytics techniques, we have identified several descriptors that are critical for understanding polar properties of such systems and developed families of ML models that could predict the magnitude of spontaneous polarization in them. We have also applied data mining to shortlist potential organic ferroelectrics based on their polymorphism, symmetry and chemical makeup, and predicted the values of spontaneous polarization for them. Further investigations including checking the stability of the ground-state configurations and height of the energy barriers between structural phases can be easily accomplished and will significantly enhance the presented analysis, but are beyond the scope of this project as it is currently envisioned. Overall, the developed general prescription, employing both data-driven sampling techniques and ML models describing polar properties of organic crystals (but applicable to inorganic systems as well), helps us improve our understanding of mechanisms governing the emergence of such complex material functionalities, as well as identify potential candidates possessing such functionalities for further in-depth investigations.
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