Delegating Sex: Differential Gene Expression in Stolonizing Syllids UnCOVERS the Hormonal Control of Reproduction
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Abstract
Stolonization in syllid annelids is a unique mode of reproduction among animals. During the breeding season, a structure resembling the adult but containing only gametes, called stolon, is formed generally at the posterior end of the animal. When stolons mature, they detach from the adult and gametes are released into the water column. The process is synchronized within each species, and it has been reported to be under environmental and endogenous control, probably via endocrine regulation. To further understand reproduction in syllids and to elucidate the molecular toolkit underlying stolonization, we generated Illumina RNA-seq data from different tissues of Syllis magdalena and characterized gene expression during the stolonization process. Several genes involved in gametogenesis (ovochymase, vitellogenin, testis-specific serine/threonine-kinase), immune response (complement receptor 2), neuronal development (tyrosine-protein kinase Src42A), cell proliferation (alpha-1D adrenergic receptor), and steroid metabolism (hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2) were found differentially expressed in the different tissues and conditions analyzed. In addition, our findings suggest that several neurohormones, such as methyl farnesoate, dopamine, and serotonin, might trigger stolon formation, the correct maturation of gametes and the detachment of stolons when gametogenesis ends. The process seems to be under circadian control, as indicated by the expression patterns of r-opsins. Overall, our results shed light into the genes that orchestrate the onset of gamete formation and improve our understanding of how some hormones, previously reported to be involved in reproduction and metamorphosis processes in other invertebrates, seem to also regulate reproduction via stolonization.
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Introduction
Annelids in the family Syllidae have a remarkable reproductive strategy, which has attracted the attention of many biologists (e.g., Nygren 1999 and references herein). Syllids exhibit epitoky, which largely implies morphological changes associated with reproduction (Malaquin 1893), and can be further divided into a variety of reproductive modes. In all epitokous modes, there are two states: the sexually immature worm, called an “atoke,” and the sexually mature worm, or “epitoke. after “ Among the epitokous types of reproduction,
one of the commonest is epigamy, which is not exclusive to syllids, where the entire atoke transforms into the epitoke, developing swimming chaetae, enlarging its eyes and undergoing changes in musculature (Wissocq 1970; Daly 1975; Garwood 1991). One of the most extreme types of epitokous reproduction is squizogamy or stolonization, where only a part of the individual transforms into an epitokal sexual stage, either by generating new segments or by differentiating pre-existing ones (Franke 1999). When the breeding season approaches, the syllid atoke (or stock) starts to develop a peculiar structure at the end of its body, that resembles the adult and is known as the stolon (Agassiz 1863) (fig. 1). The stolons possess several features similar to the stock, such as eyes and antennae, but are filled with gametes (figs. 1 and 2A–E), as their brief existence is exclusively devoted to mating, followed by death (Franke 1999). The stock produces and transfers the gametes to the stolon, which is released from the stock when mature (with developed eyes and antennae) (figs. 1 and 2E), and swims to the surface to spawn (Potts 1911; Mesnil and Caullery 1919). The pelagic stolon releases gametes into the water column, via the nephridiopores in the case of sperm, and through rupture of the body wall for the eggs (Okada 1937; Durchon 1951, 1952, 1959; Wissocq 1966, 1970; Schroeder and Hermans 1975; Franke 1980). Finally, before or after stolon detachment (depending on the species), the stock regenerates the lost final segments (e.g., Marion and Bobretsky 1875; Michel 1898; Okada 1929) (figs. 1 and 2F). The molecular toolkit involved in annelid reproduction is still far from being understood, although studies in several annelid species have shed some light into the matter. For instance, genes involved in pheromone production that are essential for mate recognition and spawning, such as Temptin and Attractin, and those involved in gametogenesis or fertilization, such as Fertilin or Acrosin, have been identified in Spirobranchus (Pomatoceros) lamarckii, Hormogaster smnatica and H. elisae (e.g., Kang et al. 2002; Rivera et al. 2005; Takahashi et al. 2009; Novo et al. 2013). It is also well-known that the germline specification in the marine annelids Alitta virens, Platynereis dumerilii, Capitella teleta, or Hermodice carunculata involves the expression of several genes including vasa, nanos, and piwi during embryogenesis, and that vitellogenin (Vtg) is required for yolk formation in the oocyte (Hafer et al. 1992; Rebscher et al. 2007; Dill and Seaver 2008; Thamm and Seaver 2008; Giani et al. 2011; Mehr et al. 2015; Schenk et al. 2016). Interestingly, a recent study has reported the potential involvement of the sesquiterpenoid methyl farnesoate (MF), the brain neurohormone that directly regulates Vtg in yolk production of P. dumerilii females, therefore influencing the correct development of oocytes (Schenk et al. 2016). Particularly, a decrease in MF levels in the brain of P. dumerilii during reproduction allowed oocyte maturation but suppressed normal somatic functions and caudal regenerative capacities (Schenk et al. 2016). In crustaceans, MF has been showed to play essential roles in development and reproduction (Xie et al. 2016), similar to the role of juvenile hormone (JH) in insects (Riddiford 1994; Wyatt and Davey 1996). Other hormones have also been proposed to play essential roles in annelid reproduction, such as the prostomium (i.e., first preoral segment of the animal) hormone 8, 11, 14-eicosatetraenoic acid, which seems to be responsible for sperm maturation and spawning in Arenicola marina males (Bentley 1985; Bentley et al. 1990; Pacey and Bentley 1992). Similarly, it has been proposed that the stolonization process in syllids is under hormonal control, following endogenous circadian and circalunar rhythms influenced by exogenous factors, including annual photoperiod, temperature, or moon cycles (Franke 1986a, 1999). It has been hypothesized that during the summer time, with long days and high temperatures, a stolonization-promoting hormone produced in the prostomium is secreted to control a second stolonization-suppressing hormone produced in the proventricle (i.e., specialized structure of the digestive tract), allowing the initiation of stolonization (Franke 1999). In contrast, during winter, when days are short and temperatures low at high latitudes, the proventricle is not controlled by the prostomium, and the proventricular stolonization-suppressing hormone then inhibits stolonization (e.g., Abeloos 1950; Durchon 1952, 1959; Durchon and Wissocq 1964; Franke 1980, 1981, 1983a, 1983b, 1985, 1999; Heacox 1980; Heacox and Schroeder 1982; Franke and Pfannenstiel 1984; Verger-Bocquet 1984). Hormonal factors have also been suggested to drive the sexual differentiation of the stolon (Franke 1980; Heacox and Schroeder 1982), in particular the female stolon, given that it seems that male stolon differentiation occurs autonomously, whereas female stolon differentiation may depend on hormone release by male stolons (Franke 1999). However, no candidate hormone has been proposed to control reproduction and regeneration processes in syllids, although it seems clear that there might be several involved, not only in the brain, but also in the proventricle (e.g., Schroeder and Hermans 1975; Franke 1999; Weidhase et al. 2016).
candidate genes with major roles in the reproductive processes of the phylum Annelida; and 4) to investigate if the molecular signal that determines when to divert resources from somatic functions to reproduction is the same across annelids (i.e., synthesis of MF).

Results and Discussion

General Morphology and Ultrastructure of the Stolons in *S. magdalena*

The stolons of *S. magdalena* were dicerous, with two pairs of red eyes and one pair of antennae formed at the beginning of the stolonization process (figs. 2A–E and 3A, 3B), similar to the process observed in *Syllis amica* (see Wissocq 1970) but different from the late formation of head structures in *Syllis gracilis* (see Pettibone 1963) or *Syllis hyalina* (see Malaquin 1893). Natatory capillary chaetae were not developed during the stages in which the stolon was attached to the stock. Before stolon detachment, the stock completely regenerated the final part of the body that was transformed during the stolon formation (fig. 2F). Female stolons were purple, completely full of oocytes arranged around the through-gut (figs. 2A, 2C, 2E, 3A and B). Male stolons were white, completely full of spermatogonia, and also arranged around the gut (fig. 2B and D).

The epithelium of the female and male stolons was columnar, comprised by large epithelial cells (>10 μm in maximum length) with basal non-nucleolated nuclei, and large globular glandular cells with electron-dense material (fig. 3C). In both stolons, below the epithelia, there was a thick layer of muscle fibers, then the germinative epithelium, and finally the digestive epithelium (fig. 3C–F). The muscle fibers of both female and male stolons presented the regular morphology of muscle fibers of the adults, with a double striation and 25–35 myofilaments and clusters of mitochondria near the tips (fig. 3C and E). We did not observe the “stolonal” muscle fibers described in *S. amica* with the mitochondria toward the middle of the fiber (Wissocq 1967) while attached to the stock. It is possible that the reorganization of the muscle fibers takes place later in the stolonization process, but it is improbable, given that it occurs during head formation in the stolon of *S. amica* (see Wissocq 1967), a process that we observed in *S. magdalena*.

In the female germinative epithelium, large yolky oocytes (50 μm approximately) were surrounded by non-nucleolated nurse cells (fig. 3D). Oocytes were connected by microvillar processes (fig. 3D). The male germinative epithelium only contained two large sacs of spermatogonia in the specimens collected (fig. 3E and F). Spermatogonia (ca. 1 μm in diameter) were densely packed and possessed a non-nucleolated nucleus with...
chromatin condensation processes (fig. 3E and F). The digestive epithelium was comprised of large (>10 μm in maximum length) convoluted multiflagellated cells (fig. 3F). We did not observe digestive material in the lumen of the stolon gut (fig. 3F). There were no differences in the developmental stage of gametes between the anterior and posterior parts of stolons (see also differential expression results).

**FIG. 2.**—Light microscopy pictures of Syllis magdalena stolonizing female (A) and male (B). Confocal micrographs of S. magdalena stolonizing female (C), male (D), female stolon (E), and male stolons (F). Arrows in (A)–(D) pointing to the eyes of stolons (e). Arrows in (E) pointing to antennae (a). Arrow in (F) pointing to the regeneration of the final segments in the stock (rfs).

General Characterization of the De Novo Transcriptomes

Out of the 32 libraries generated, we assembled the REFSOM transcriptome (reference transcriptome for somatic parts of reproductive and non-reproductive individuals) using only somatic tissues of non-reproductive (NON-REPRO) and reproductive (REPRO) specimens (23 RNA-seq libraries in total). For the REFTOTREPRO assembly (reference transcriptome for the all
Fig. 3.—Light and electron microscopy pictures of the anterior part of the female and male stolons of *Syllis magdalena*. (A, B) Location of antennae (a) and the two pairs of eyes (e) in the female stolon. (C) Transmission electron micrographs of the epithelium of the female stolon showing the muscle fibers (mf), granular cells (gc), and epithelial cells (ec). (D) Developing oocytes showing nucleolates (nu) nucleus (n), ooplasm filled with yolk platelets, and microvilli (m) contacting close oocytes. Note the muscle fibers (mf), nurse cells (nc), and the digestive epithelium (dc) surrounding the germinal epithelium. (E–F) Germinal epithelium (ge) in the male stolon. The stolonal epithelium is comprised by a layer of epithelial cells (ec) with interspersed granular cells (gc), and a layer of muscle fibers (mf); spermatogonia develop in the germinal epithelium (gc) below. The digestive cells (dc) lay below the germinal epithelium.
the parts in reproductive individuals), we used 18 RNA-seq libraries of both somatic and reproductive tissues of reproductive (REPRO) specimens (further details in Material and Methods). Assembly statistics for both reference transcriptomes are summarized in supplementary file S1, Supplementary Material online alongside read mapping results for each tissue and specimen, but overall they represent well-assembled transcriptomes with N50 values over 750 in both cases (supplementary file S1, Supplementary Material online).

The coverage of our assemblies is similar or slightly higher than those in other studies on marine invertebrates (e.g., Meyer et al. 2009; Riesgo et al. 2012; Pérez-Portela et al. 2016).

A summary of the assessment of both transcriptomes assembles and their annotation completeness (Simão et al. 2015) is shown in supplementary file S3, Supplementary Material online. Out of the 978 metazoan single copy orthologs, our REFSOM assembly is 97% complete (950 complete BUSCOs, 267 single-copy BUSCOs, and 683 complete duplicated BUSCOs), while 2.7% of BUSCOs are fragmented (26 BUSCOs) and only 0.2% are missing (2 BUSCOs). On the other hand, our REFTOTREPRO assembly is 94% complete (918 complete BUSCOs, 316 single-copy BUSCOs and 316 complete duplicated BUSCOs), while 5.6% of BUSCOs are fragmented (55 BUSCOs) and 0.5% are missing (5 BUSCOs). In comparison to other annelid transcriptomes, which found around 80% of complete BUSCOs in *Pygospio elegans* (Heikkinen et al. 2017) and *Urechis unicinctus* (Park et al. 2018), and approximately 60% in *Sabellaria alveolata* and *Phragmatopoma caudata* (Buffet et al. 2018), the completeness of our transcriptomes was exceptionally high (supplementary file S3, Supplementary Material online).

An overview of the assigned GO terms for each transcriptome [including three different categories: cellular component (CC), biological process (BP), and molecular function (MF)] and GO enrichment analyses using Fisher’s tests are shown in supplementary file S2A, Supplementary Material online. The GO enrichment results for the comparisons of both transcriptomes showed 36 GO terms overrepresented in REFSOM related to cellular organization and regulation, metabolism and binding, among others (supplementary fig. S2B, Supplementary Material online). In contrast, only eight categories appeared enriched in REFTOTREPRO, mainly related to signaling activity (supplementary fig. S2C, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly, one of these enriched categories is the activity of G-protein coupled receptors, which bind light-sensitive compounds, pheromones, hormones, neurotransmitters and other ligands involved in secretory processes or cell development, among other functions (e.g., Li et al. 1999; Iversen et al. 2002; Hauser et al. 2006; Asahara et al. 2013). The results of several of these G-protein coupled receptor expression levels on the different tissues and conditions analyzed are discussed below.

**Differential Gene Expression Analyses**

**Pairwise Comparisons of Somatic Tissues (Anterior Part, Proventricle, Final Segments) between REPRO and NON-REPRO Individuals (REFSOM Transcriptome)**

We detected 792 differentially expressed genes in the comparison between REPRO and NON-REPRO somatic tissues, 494 of them being upregulated in REPRO (178 in females and 316 in males) and 298 in NON-REPRO (fig. 4; supplementary files S4, S5A, and S6, Supplementary Material online). Of these 792 genes, only 292 (~37%) had a BLAST hit and, therefore only the putative annotations for those genes (supplementary file S6, Supplementary Material online) are discussed below. Among the pairwise comparisons of REPRO and NON-REPRO tissues, the final segment tissues are the ones that showed more differentially expressed genes (fig. 4C), with 223 differentially expressed in the comparison of female final segments and NON-REPRO final segments (152 upregulated in female) and 460 differentially expressed genes in the comparison of male final segments and NON-REPRO final segments (304 of those upregulated in male). The pairwise comparisons of anterior part and proventricle between reproductive and nonreproductive individuals showed low numbers of differentially expressed genes (fig. 4A and B).

Among them, the highest number of differentially expressed genes was found in the proventricle, with 7 differentially expressed genes upregulated in both females and males when compared with nonreproductive, and 20 and 36 differentially expressed genes upregulated in the proventricle of nonreproductive individuals (fig. 4B).

In the anterior part and the proventricle of females, the genes upregulated (supplementary file S6, Supplementary Material online) were related mostly to immune processes (complement receptor 2) or food processing (trefoil factor 2, cubilin, serine protease 27 and chitinase). Similarly, in the male anterior part and proventricle (supplementary file S6, Supplementary Material online), most genes were involved in nutrient transport (sugar transporter STL1 and glycogen phosphorylase), as well as development of the nervous system (tyrosine-protein kinase Src42A).

Several genes related to gametogenesis were found differentially expressed in the final segments of female and male REPRO individuals compared with NONREPRO (supplementary file S6, Supplementary Material online), including vitellogenin (Vtg) and ovochymase (OVCH) in females, and testis-specific serine/threonine-kinase (TSSK) in males, which indicates an important role of the final segments during the gametogenesis process in both stolonizing females and males. Vitellogenin has been already reported to be involved in annelid gametogenesis, specifically as a yolk precursor (e.g., Hafer et al. 1992), but OVCH, an ovary-specific gene involved
Fig. 4.—Heatmaps of differentially expressed genes (annotated and not annotated genes) from pairwise comparisons of somatic tissues between reproductive (both female and male) and nonreproductive individuals. Anterior part tissue comparisons (A), proventricle comparisons (B), and final segments comparisons (C). Different colors indicate relative expression levels based on raw read counts (see color key and histogram on each). Similarity in expression patterns between genes and individuals is represented by clustering. A, anterior part; P, proventricle; F, final segments.
in egg development of several animals (e.g., Lindsay and Hedrick 1995; Gao and Zhang 2009; Mino and Sawada 2016), is here reported for the first time in annelids. The same occurs for TSSK, whose expression, confined almost exclusively to testes, has largely been studied in several mammals (Hao et al. 2004), but never in annelids. Remarkably, two hormone receptors for relaxin and follistatin were found differentially expressed in the final segments of reproductive females (supplementary file S6, Supplementary Material online). The insulin-related peptide relaxin is important for the growth and remodeling of reproductive tissues during mammal pregnancy (e.g., Gunnersen et al. 1995; Hsu et al. 2002; Phillips and de Kretser 1998), has been already found in the transcriptome of other annelids such as C. teleta and S. magdalenae (Kenny et al. 2015), and in the starfish Asterina pectiniifera (Mita et al. 2013; Mita et al. 2014), where it takes part in oocyte release from the ovary, but this is the first time that it is described in annelids. Likewise, follistatin, reported as a follicle-stimulating hormone, with several additional regulatory functions both in reproductive and nonreproductive tissues (Phillips and de Kretser 1998), has been already found in the transcriptome of other annelids such as C. teleta and S. magdalenae (Kenny et al. 2015), but without a particular association with any biological process. In our case, it seems that both relaxin and follistatin are important during oocyte development in S. magdalenae, as they are expressed in tissues where oogenesis is taking place before oocytes are transferred into the stolon (see also Results and Discussion).

Pairwise Comparisons of Somatic (Anterior Part, Proventricle, Final Segments) between REPRO Females and Males (REFOTREPRO Transcriptome)

We detected 234 genes differentially expressed in the comparison between female and male somatic tissues, 85 of them being upregulated in female (0 in anterior part, 27 in proventricle, 58 in final segments) and 149 in males (only in final segments) (see details in fig. S4 and B; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). Of these 234 genes, only 84 (~35%) of transcripts were annotated (supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). No differential expression was found in the comparisons of the female and male anterior parts, and in the proventricle comparisons, we only found differentially expressed genes in the females (fig. S4; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). Similar to the previous comparisons (see above), the somatic tissue sample that showed more differentially expressed genes was the final segments, with 149 genes upregulated in males and 58 in females (fig. S8; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online).

As in the previous comparisons (see section above), several gametogenesis-related genes, such as vitellogenin, ovochymase (OVOCH) in females, and TSSK in males, were differentially expressed in F (fig. S8; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). In addition, we also found NOTCH differentially expressed in F of REPRO males (fig. S8; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). This gene has been reported to have a role in segment formation and adult regeneration in annelids (e.g., Thamm and Seaver 2008), and therefore may also be involved in segment formation of stolons and pygidium regeneration of S. magdalenae (fig. 2F). However, the NOTCH pathway has been also reported to be essential for the correct development of gametes in Drosophila melanogaster and mammals (Xu et al. 1992; Hayashi et al. 2001; Murta et al. 2014), and therefore it could also be playing such role during spermatogenesis in S. magdalenae.

Two different transcripts of ovochymase were differentially expressed in final segments (OVOCH1) and proventricle (OVOCH2) female tissues (fig. 5A; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). Ovochymases are involved in the oogenesis in other invertebrates, where they help avoid self-fertilization and are localized in the vitelline coat of oocytes (Mino and Sawada 2016). We found 3 ovochymases (two DE, OVOCH1 and OVOCH2, and one non-DE, OVOCH3) in S. magdalenae, none of them containing a signal peptide and all containing significantly fewer trypsin-like serine protease and CUB domains (supplementary file S8, Supplementary Material online). The trypsin-like serine protease domain is not exclusive to ovochymases, because it also occurs in chymotrypsins (supplementary file S8, Supplementary Material online), which are digestive enzymes. Given the digestive function of the proventricle in syllids, OVOCH1 and OVOCH2 may be performing different functions in S. magdalenae F and P tissues, respectively. Our molecular phylogeny of ovochymases and chymotrypsins in animals confirmed that OVOCH1 and OVOCH3 are homologs of other animal ovochymases, whereas OVOCH2 (the one differentially expressed in the proventricle) is, in fact, homolog of mollusk chymotrypsin (supplementary file S8, Supplementary Material online). OVOCH1 in S. magdalenae could be assisting in the maturation of the oocyte, creating an envelope that could further prevent self-fertilization during gamete release in the water column.

Pairwise Comparisons of Stolons between REPRO Females and Males (REFOTREPRO Transcriptome)

We detected 1,150 differentially expressed genes in the comparison between reproductive tissues of female and male individuals, 872 upregulated in female stolons and 278 in male stolons (fig. 5C; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). This comparison showed the largest differences, with ~75% of genes upregulated in females (872) and...
~25% in males (278) (fig. 5C; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). In addition, we also compared the anterior and posterior halves of stolons, finding only seven genes upregulated in the anterior half (fig. 5D; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online), most of them related to eye (rhabdomeric opsin, retinal-binding protein) or brain (TRPC channel protein) functioning.

Among the most upregulated Biological Process categories in female stolons, we found Nicotinamide metabolism (fig. 6). Cells need to accommodate the bioenergetic demands during oogenesis, nicotinate and nicotinamide are essential for organisms as the precursors for generation of the coenzymes NAD$^+$ and NADP$^+$, which are fundamental in redox reactions and carry electrons from one reaction to another, being
the pillars of many metabolic pathways. The gene nicotinamide mononucleotide adenylytransferase 1-like, which catalyzes the formation of NAD, was upregulated in the female stolon when compared with the male stolon (supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). Other metabolic pathways upregulated in the female stolons include both fructose and carbohydrate metabolism, illustrating the high energetic requirements of oogenesis (fig. 6). In male stolons, the major upregulated process related to the high energetic demands of spermatogenesis is Purine metabolism, a pathway required for nucleotide biosynthesis (fig. 6). Interestingly, the MAPK cascade (included in the category “Styrene catabolism”), which is central to cell proliferation, is upregulated in female stolons (fig. 6). Similarly, the gene alpha-1D adrenergic receptor-like, which also regulates cell proliferation is upregulated in female stolons.

As in the case of final segments (see section above), Vtg and OVOCH in females, and TSSK and NOTCH in males, were also differentially expressed in stolons of females and males (fig. 5C; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online). These results indicate an important role of the stolons in the maturation of gametes, in contrast to what has been
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triedly suggested, where the stolons are thought to be only a place to keep and later spread the gametes. However, no genes related to gamete maturation were found differentially expressed in the comparison between the anterior and posterior halves of stolons, which suggest that there is no sequential anteroposterior maturation of gametes within the stolons (fig. 5D; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online), in agreement with our results from the morphological and ultrastructural study.

Relaxin was also found differentially expressed in female stolons, reinforcing the hypothesis of its implication in annelid oogenesis and its potential role in the release of oocytes into the water column, as it has been suggested for relaxin in A. pectinifera (Mita et al. 2014). Other genes involved in gametogenesis of annelids (e.g., Rebscher et al. 2007; Dill and Seaver 2008; Novo et al. 2013) were also found differentially expressed in female stolons (supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online), including the member of the DEAD-box helicase protein family, vasa. We found two paralogs of the gene vasa (the DE vasa1 and the non-DE vasa2) among our transcripts, in contrast to what is found in other annelids that only present one (see supplementary file S9, Supplementary Material online). While vasa2 grouped with all vasa orthologs obtained in annelids, vasa 1 branched out from the annelids and appeared basal to other vasa orthologs from metazoans (supplementary file S9, Supplementary Material online), being more similar to ATP-dependent RNA helicase vasa-like proteins in arthropods than to vasa proteins of annelids when blasted. These results may suggest that different paralogs may be performing different functions in S. magdalenae (supplementary file S9, Supplementary Material online). While vasa2 could be playing a role in the female germline determination localized in the oocytes of S. magdalenae, vasa1 could be participating in the maintenance of totipotency of the stem cells (Juliano and Wessel 2010), although ATP-dependent RNA helicase vasa-like proteins are also known to be involved in oogenesis. Interestingly, we also found the category Steroid biosynthesis upregulated in female stolons (fig. 6). In addition, our study shows the upregulation of the gene hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2 isoform X2, that could potentially mediate steroid hormone metabolism (Seckl and Walker 2001), and suggests hormonal control over the final stages of stolonization in S. magdalenae.

In male stolons, most of the upregulated genes were involved in the construction of the flagellar apparatus (Inaba 2011), including dyneins, cilia- and the flagella-associated proteins, ropporin, radial spoke 3, and kinesins). This is unsurprising, given the presence of sperm in these tissues, but is an excellent positive control.

Hormonal Control of Stolonization

Because MF was discovered to be produced by mandibular organs of numerous crustaceans, this form of the insect JH (JH III), has been commonly considered as the crustacean equivalent of insect JH (Lafer and Biggers 2001; Miyakawa et al. 2013). Comparably to JH in insects, MF regulates many aspects of crustacean physiology, including reproduction (Xie et al. 2016). In this context, MF is more actively synthetized by females during vitellogenesis, and higher levels of MF are associated with large reproductive systems and aggressive mating behavior in males of the spider crab Libinia emarginata (Lafer and Biggers 1992). In the annelid C. teleta, exogenous extracts of MF were found to affect larval metamorphosis and settlement (Lafer and Biggers 2001), and MF has been recently demonstrated to be directly involved in P. dumerilii regeneration and female sexual maturation (Schenk et al. 2016). This latter study not only showed that the decrease of MF levels in the brain induces reproduction and suppresses regenerative capacities in P. dumerilii, but it also reported an ortholog of the MF receptor of arthropods (bHLH-PAS-domain-containing transcription factor methoprene-tolerant receptor, MTr) in the eleocytes (coelomic cells that synthesize yolk via production of Vtg protein), demonstrating that this hormone is not restricted to arthropods, as it was assumed (Schenk et al. 2016). Because detection of MF is not possible in RNAseq data, in order to assess whether S. magdalenae could use a similar molecular signal to determine when to divert resources from somatic functions to reproduction, we investigated if S. magdalenae also possessed an ortholog of MTr, identified as the arthropod and lophotrochozoan sesquipenoid receptor (e.g., Konopova and Jindra 2007; Miyakawa et al. 2013; Jindra et al. 2015; Schenk et al. 2016). In our de novo transcriptomes, we identified two transcripts encoding bHLH-PAS-domain-containing transcription factor that showed strong similarity to P. dumerilii MTr. In fact, our molecular phylogeny of MTrs revealed that the S. magdalenae ortholog is closely related to MTr orthologs of P. dumerilii and C. teleta (fig. 7A). In agreement with Schenk et al. (2016), our results also confirmed that annelid MTr is clearly an ortholog of insects and crustaceans MTrs (fig. 7A). These findings allow us to suggest that MF may be one of the hormones responsible for syllid stolonization. If the MF is involved in syllid reproduction, we would expect to find differences in the levels of expression of MF receptors (MTr) among the stolonizing and nonstolonizing syllid samples (higher in the latter), similar to what has been reported during oocyte maturation and male reproductive behavior in crustaceans and other annelids (e.g., Lafer et al. 1992; Schenk et al. 2016). Surprisingly, higher expression levels (albeit not statistically significant) of MTr were found only in anterior and posterior tissues of female, therefore REPRO individuals (fig. 7B), but not in the NON-REPRO specimens as it was postulated by Schenk et al. (2016). In addition, we also found high expression levels (albeit not statistically significant) of the Farnesoid nuclear X receptor (FXr) (Forman et al. 1995) in the anterior tissue of females and in the anterior and the proven-tacle of males (fig. 7B; supplementary file S10, Supplementary Material online).
Fig. 7.—(A) Phylogenetic reconstruction of the protein alignment for methoprene-tolerant receptor (MTr) found in our samples. (B) Heatmap showing the relative levels of expression in the different tissues and conditions analyzed of the transcripts that putatively may be involved in the synthesis of the neurohormone methyl farnesoate (MF): MTr, Farnesol oxidase/dehydrogenase (SDR11), Farnesal dehydrogenases (ALDHE3), the differentially expressed transcript Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (FPPS) and putative methyl transferase (Mtase). Different colors indicate relative expression levels based on raw read counts (see color key and histogram on each). (C) Phylogenetic reconstruction of the differentially expressed Mtases in the female stolon. (D) Synthesis pathway of MF and JH in arthropods. A, anterior part; P, proventricle; F, final segments; AS, anterior half of stolon; FS, posterior part of stolon.
Material online). Thus, in contrast to what was found in *P. dumerilii* but similar to what has been reported for arthropods, an increase in MF (or a similar putative sesquiterpenoid) may be necessary to initiate the reproductive process in stolonizing syllids (fig. 7B) (Laufer et al. 1992; Gäde et al. 1997; Wyatt 1997; Hansen et al. 2014). The fact that the differences between conditions are not statistically significant can be explained because the NON-REPRO specimens were collected only one week before the beginning of the stolonization process, and therefore they might have already entered the initial stages of reproduction without visible morphological changes. On the other hand, as in the case of *A. marina* (e.g., Pacey and Bentley 1992), it is also possible that a non-identified hormone, sesquiterpenoid or otherwise, is orchestrating the important metamorphic changes that occur during syllid stolon development, similarly to what MF and JHs do in arthropods (e.g., Hui et al. 2010; Maruzzo et al. 2012; Wen et al. 2015). However, the presence of sesquiterpenoids is further suggested by other DE gene results, as discussed further below.

Interestingly, other neurotransmitter receptors were found to be upregulated in the posterior end of NON-REPRO specimens: dopamine receptor (DAr), belonging to the large family of G-protein coupled receptors, was downregulated in the final segments of females, and serotonin transporter (SERT or 5-HTT), which terminates the action of serotonin, was downregulated in the final segments of males (supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online; fig. 8A). Our molecular phylogeny corroborates that these proteins are orthologs of the C. teleta DAr type 2 (DAr2, fig. 8B) and C. teleta and Helobdella robusta SERT genes (fig. 8B). Dopamine (DA) and Serotonin (SER) are biogenic amines that act as a neurotransmitters and hormones, regulating an array of important physiological functions both in vertebrates and invertebrates (e.g., Winberg et al. 1997; Neckameyer, 1998a; Gingrich et al. 2000; Wicker-Thomas and Hamann 2008; Dufour et al. 2010; Giang et al. 2011). In *D. melanogaster* DA and SER control a wide range of behavioral processes such as circadian rhythms, sleep, mating behavior, learning or aggression (e.g., Nichols 2007; Giang et al. 2011), and also stimulate fertility and female receptivity (Neckameyer 1998b; Marican et al. 2004). In *C. elegans*, male mating behavior and egg deposition are also induced by DA and SER (Sulston et al. 1975; Weisnhenker et al. 1995; Dempsey et al. 2005). In addition, both hormones have been reported to be involved in larval metamorphosis in cnidarians, molluscs, and echinoderms (Couper and Leise 1996; McCauley 1997; Matsuura et al. 2009). In annelids, dopaminergic and serotonergic systems have been found in several species (Grothe et al. 1987; Dietzel and Gottmann 1988; Schlavny et al. 1991; Sporhase-Eichmann et al. 1998; Krajiani and Klohr 1999; Zaccardi et al. 2004; Lawrence and Soame 2009; Helm et al. 2014; Rimsky-Korsakova et al. 2016; Bauknecht and Jékely 2017; Verasztó et al. 2017). However, the participation of DA and SER in annelid reproduction has only been demonstrated in a handful of studies. Although it was thought that DA played an important role in sexual differentiation in *Ophyrophroca puerna* (Grothe and Pfannenstiel 1986; Grothe et al. 1987; Pfannenstiel and Spiehl 1987), it was later demonstrated that the catecholaminergic system of this species was involved in mecano- and/or chemoreception (Schlawny et al. 1991). In contrast, both SER and DA in ner-eids seem to have a positive effect on oocyte development, the first by directly inducing their maturation and the second by switching off the action of the JH (Lawrence and Soame 2009). Similarly, in the decapod *Penaeus merguiensis* SER induces ovarian maturation through MF production (Makkapan et al. 2011). In this sense, increased levels of both hormones, as indicated by the upregulation of their receptors and/or transporters (DAr and SERT) just before the beginning of stolonization (NON-REPRO individuals), could be the stimulus required to initiate oocyte and sperm development during syllid stolonization, with a decrease in the levels afterwards during the course of gametogenesis. In addition to this suggested putative direct role in gametogenesis per se, DA could also be the putative hormone in the brain and/or proventricle inducing the production of MF (or other sesquiterpenoid) to regulate stolonization in *S. magdalena*, as found for DA and the JH of nereids and decapods (Lawrence and Soame 2009; Makkapan et al. 2011). Our results thus indicate a possible role of several hormonal factors in the sexual differentiation of stolons, in agreement with previous studies (Franke 1980; Heacox and Schroeder 1982).

In addition, if DA and SER were the neurohormones regulating stolonization in syllids, our results do not support the traditional view in which male stolons differentiate autonomously and female stolons differentiate upon hormone release by the male stolon (Franke 1999). We found upregulation of the receptors of these two neurohormones in both female and male individuals at the beginning of stolonization. DA and SER have been reported to be under the influence of photoperiodic and circadian rhythms, which are essential for synchronizing several processes in animals (Andretic and Hirsh 2000; Doyle et al. 2002; Lawrence and Soame 2009). Therefore, we suggest that that both female and male stolon differentiation are triggered by environmental cues regulating the production of DA and SER. As in other annelids, the main external signals that may be controlling the synchronicity of the reproductive period in syllids are light and seawater temperature (e.g., Franke 1986b). In the Adriatic Sea, the breeding season of *Syllis prolifera* is restricted from late March to early October, when the temperature ranges from 14 to 19 °C, and there are around 12–13 h of light per day (Franke 1986b). Similar results were observed in *S. magdalena*, which seems to breed during the southern hemisphere summer (see sampling methods) with a mean seawater temperature around 15 °C and around 13 h of light per day.
**Fig. 8.**—Phylogenetic reconstruction (A) and heatmap of relative levels of expression in all the tissues and conditions (B) of the genes dopamine receptor (DAr) and serotonin transporter (SERT). Different colors indicate relative expression levels based on raw read counts (see color key and histogram on each). A, anterior part; P, proventricle; F, final segments; AS, anterior half of stolon; FS, posterior part of stolon.
FIG. 9.—Phylogenetic reconstruction of the protein alignment for the different opsin genes (rhabdomeric and ciliary) found in our samples (A) and levels of expression of all of them in the different tissues and conditions analyzed (B). Rhabdomeric opsin 5 appeared differentially expressed in the anterior part of stolons. A, anterior part; P, proventricle; F, final segments; AS, anterior half of stolon; FS, posterior part of stolon. Different colors indicate relative expression levels based on raw read counts (see color key and histogram on each).
**Fig. 10.**—Proposed multihormonal model for stolonization control. During the breeding season, DA and SER levels increase in response to external stimuli triggering gamete production in the final segments (up-regulation of DAR and SER) (A). Once stolonization has begun, a variety of other hormones and proteins are produced for the correct development and maturation of gametes (up-regulation of Vtg, OVOCH Relaxin, Follistatin, and TSSK) (B). Finally, when gametes are completely mature and also as a response to external stimuli (up-regulation of r-opins), MF or a similar hormone (up-regulation of FPP and Mtransf) is produced to allow stolon release (C). Dashed lines represent hypothesized involvement of molecules, whereas solid lines represent molecule expression results observed in our study.
In addition to steroid hormone control, we found some differentially expressed genes in the female stolons, potentially involved in the production of pheromones (specifically the sesquiterpenoid MF, see section above): Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (FPPS) and several methyl transferases (MTases) (fig. 7B and C; supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online), which could synthesize sesquiterpenoids similar to MF and JHII in arthropods (e.g., Tobe and Bendena 1999; Hui et al. 2010). Specifically, FPPS is required at the beginning of the process to catalyze the reaction, generating Farnesyl Diphosphate, the raw material for sesquiterpenoid production, which is then transformed into Farnesol (through Farnesol phosphatase, FPP), then Farnesal (via the Farnesol oxidase/dehydrogenase, SDR11), later into FA (through Farnesol dehydrogenases, ALDHE3), and, in the canonical pathway, finally into MF in crustaceans (through Farnesoic acid methyl transferase, FAMeT), or into JH in insects (through an epoxidase, FAMeT and Juvenile hormone acid O-methyltransferase, JHAMT) (e.g., Hui et al. 2010) (fig. 7D).

Following Schenk et al. (2016) and given our results (including those for methoprene-tolerant receptor, and Farnesoid X receptor, above), a similar pathway seems to occur in annelids, with the synthesis of some form of sesquiterpenoid regulating reproduction, as occurs in arthropods (Xie et al. 1999). Specifically, MF is also synthesized in annelids, with the synthesis of some form of sesquiterpenoid regulating reproduction, as occurs in arthropods (e.g., Tobe and Bendena 1999; Hui et al. 2010). Specifically, the upregulation of orthologs found in our samples (supplementary file S10, Supplementary Material online) revealed that the differentially expressed rhabdimeric opsins found in S. magdalenai and S. magdalenii (fig. 9A) are of a variety of spiralian opsins, which are found in other marine invertebrates (e.g., Kaniewska et al. 2015; Siebert and Juliano 2017). We have identified several opsins homologs in S. magdala., including a rhabdimeric opsin previously characterized in other annelids (e.g., Arendt et al. 2004; Randel et al. 2013; Gühmann et al. 2015), that was found differentially expressed in the anterior part of stolons (supplementary file S7, Supplementary Material online), but not in the anterior part of the stock. Our molecular phylogeny including all opsins found in S. magdala (fig. 9A) revealed that the differentially expressed rhabdimeric opsins (r-opsin 5 and 2) and two other non-differentially expressed opsins (r-opsins 3 and 4) are homologs of the P. dumerilii opsin found in larval eyes (Arendt et al. 2002). Differences on expression levels among tissues and conditions were observed in the different opsins found in our samples (fig. 9B), which suggest several roles of opsins at different stages of syllids development, as it has been already established in other marine annelids (e.g., Arendt et al. 2004). Specifically, the upregulation of r-opsin 5 in the anterior part of the stolons, where the stolon eyes are located (figs. 2A and 3A) suggests that this opsin copy in particular might be responsible for detecting the light changes that would trigger MF production, and the subsequent synchronous stolon release and spawning in S. magdala. A similar mechanism has been recently demonstrated in the hydrozoan jellyfish Clytia hemisphaerica, in which spawning is mediated by oocyte maturation-inducing neuropeptide hormones, whose release is triggered as a response to blue-cyan light detected by a gonad photosensitive pigments (Artigas et al. 2018).

Conclusions

Using Illumina RNA-seq data, we provide the first transcriptomic characterization of the reproductive process in a species of the family Syllidae. Here, we performed a series of pairwise comparisons of gene expression patterns in different tissues.
and conditions that allowed us to identify the molecular mechanisms underlying the stolonization process of *S. magdalenae*. We found an array of differentially expressed genes involved in immune response, neuronal development, gametogenesis, cell proliferation, and steroid metabolism playing different roles in the reproductive process of *S. magdalenae*. Among the most striking results of our study was the continuous gamete maturation occurring in both the final segments and the stolons and the hormonal regulation of the reproduction. Thus, following previous hypotheses proposed for other annelids, including syllids (e.g., Franke and Pfannenstiel 1984; Pacey and Bentley 1992; Franke 1999; Lawrence and Soame 2009; Schenk et al. 2016), we suggest a multihormonal model for the control of syllid stolonization, influenced by environmental signals affecting the anterior part (prostomial) and proventricle of the animal, as it was traditionally hypothesized (e.g., Franke 1999), but also influencing the posterior end of the animals (and thus, the gonads) (fig. 10). When the breeding season approaches, both DA and SER levels increase triggered by photoperiod and circadian rhythms (Andretic and Hirsh 2000; Lawrence and Soame 2009) and they directly influence the gonads of prereproductive individuals (upregulation of DAMER in final segments of NON-REPRO), initiating gamete production (fig. 10A and B). The increase of DA and SER could also positively regulate the production of the putative brain and/or proventricle hormones (such as MF or similar), as in several other invertebrates (Couper and Leise 1996; McCauley 1997; Matsuura et al. 2009) regulating the gamete production (and the metamorphosis to produce stolons), as observed in crustaceans and insects (e.g., Shin et al. 2012; Girish et al. 2015; Toyota et al. 2015). At this point, a variety of other hormones and proteins, such as *Vtg*, *OVCh*, *relaxin*, *follistatin*, and *TSSK*, play their role in the correct development of gametes (fig. 10B) until maturation is completed. During gamete and stolon maturation, high levels of MF may be required for yolk formation (upregulation in female stolon of *Vtg*, *FPPS*, and *MTases*), and the presence of MF could additionally trigger stolon release from the stock as a response to external stimuli (as indicated by the upregulation of photosensitive *r-opsins*) (fig. 10C). We also suggest that the synchronicity of the stolon and gamete release may not only be mediated by exogenous factors such as light and water temperature, but also by chemical cues provided by the female stolons, as demonstrated in other annelids (Hardege and Bentley 1997).

Overall, our results illuminate the process of stolonization in syllids, improving our understanding of how some putative hormones and gametogenesis-related genes regulate the reproduction in stolonizing syllids. However, the transcriptomic approach adopted here does not allow us to locate the specific expression of these genes, and further functional studies are needed to provide a more complete overview of the expression patterns and the proper functioning of specific pathways during reproduction in *S. magdalenae*. In addition, RNAi or CRISPR/Cas9 experiments to inhibit the expression of G-protein coupled receptors and other hormones and neuroptides would provide promising routes to understand their role during stolonization in syllids, allowing us to elucidate once and for all how these annelids delegate sex to their stolons.

### Materials and Methods

#### Sample Collection and Preservation

Eight individuals of *S. magdalenae* were collected in intertidal algal turfs of *Ulva rigida* and *Perumytilus purpuratus* beds, in Las Cruces, Central Chile (33°30'06"S, 71°37'55"W) in January 2014. Four specimens were collected during full moon, two of which were developing female stolons and the other two male stolons (REPRO specimens); the other four specimens were sampled before the full moon and were not engaged in reproduction (NON-REPRO specimens). All samples were immediately fixed in RNAlater and stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction. Two additional male and female stolons were preserved complete in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.4 M PBS for electron and confocal microscopy.

#### Confocal and Transmission Electron Microscopy

Whole specimens preserved in 2.5% glutaraldehyde were mounted in slides to obtain images of autofluorescent tissues during stolonization with a Nikon Eclipse upright with A1–Si confocal microscope and the Image Analysis Center (IAC) of the Natural History Museum of London. No stain was applied, but images were obtained in DAPI 488, 555, and 647 channels, under gentle laser excitation. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), specimens fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde were later postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide and rinsed twice in PBS before dehydration with an increasing series of acetone (from 50% to 100%). Samples were further embedded in epoxy resin, serially sectioned with an ULTRACUT ultramicrotome at 64 nm, poststained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and observed with a JEOL JEM1010 microscope at the Serveis Científico-Tècnics (SCT) at the Universitat de Barcelona and at the Servicio Interdepartamental de Investigación (SIDI) of the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid.

#### RNA Extraction

Our biological replicates (same biologic samples taken from different specimens, *n* = 8, 4 REPRO—two males and two females—and four NON-REPRO) were as follows: three somatic parts were chosen for RNA extraction from all specimens: anterior part (A = prostomial + first two segments), proventricle (P = all segments containing the proventricle), and final part (F = pygidium + two final segments). In addition, we sequenced the stolons (S) from specimens engaged in stolonization (REPRO): both the anterior (AS) and posterior
half parts (FS). Each tissue sample was transferred to a micro-
centrifuge tube containing 500 μl of TRIzol (Invitrogen), and
ground with a RNase-free plastic pestle to break down the
tissue, and isolate RNA and DNA. Then, another 500 μl of
TRIzol and 10 μl of glycogen were added. After 10 min incu-
bating the mixture at room temperature (RT), 100 μl of the
RNA-isolating reagent bromochloroethane was mixed in by
vortexing. After 10 min incubation at RT, samples were cen-
trifuged at 16,000 relative centrifugal force (rcf) units for
15 min at 4 °C to separate the solution into three layers.
The upper aqueous layer, which contained total RNA, was
recovered and mixed with 500 ml of isopropanol, and incu-
bated at –20 °C overnight. Afterwards, the sample was cen-
trifuged at 16,000 rcf for 15 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant
was removed. Total RNA precipitation was performed by
washing the remaining pellet twice by adding 1 ml of 75%
ethanol and centrifuging it at 16,000 rcf at 4 °C for 5 min. The
dried pellet was eluted in 100 μl of RNA Storage solution
(Invitrogen). mRNA purification was performed with a
Dynabeads mRNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen), following man-
ufacturer’s instructions. After incubation of total RNA at 65 °C
for 5 min, the samples were incubated for 30 min with 200 ml
of magnetic beads in a rocker and washed twice with wash-
ing buffer.

Thirteen microliters of 10 mM Tris–HCl were added to the
eluate and the mixture was incubated at 80 °C for 2 min. The
supernatant was immediately transferred to a 0.5 ml micro-
centrifuge tube and stored at –80 °C. Quality of mRNA was
measured with a pico RNA assay in the Agilent 2100
BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Quantity was measured
with an RNA assay in a Qubit fluorometer (Life Technologies).
Further details about RNA prep protocols can be found in
Fernández et al. (2014).

cDNA Library Construction and Next-Generation
Sequencing

cDNA libraries were constructed from extracted mRNA in the
Apollo 324 automated system using the Prepx mRNA
8 Protocol Kit (IntegenX) set to 200 base pairs (bp) and
stranded mRNA, under the Library Prep Illumina setting. A
polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was run to amplify cDNA
libraries, using the KAPA Library Amplification Kit. PCR was
run as follows: Denaturation (45 s at 98 °C), cycling (15 s at
98 °C, 30 s at 60 °C, and 15 s at 72 °C, for 16 cycles), and
final extension (1 min at 72 °C). During the PCR process, the
samples were marked with a different index to allow pooling
for sequencing. cDNA library quality and size were measured
through a dsDNA high sensitivity (HS) assay in an Agilent 2100
BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies). A quantitative real-time
PCR (qPCR) was run to measure cDNA library concentration
using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit. qPCR settings were
as follows: Initial denaturation (5 min at 95 °C for 1 cycle),
then denaturation (30 s at 95 °C) and annealing/extension/
data acquisition (45 s at 60 °C) combined for 35 cycles.

The libraries were then run on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencing
platform, with output of paired-end reads of 150 bp by the
FAS Center for Systems Biology at Harvard University.

Sequence Processing and De Novo Assembly

Demultiplexed Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencing data sets of
the 30 tissue samples, in FASTQ format, were retrieved; the
quality of the raw reads was assessed and visualized using
FASTQC v. 0.11.5 (www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk).
Adapter sequences and bases with low-quality phred scores
(<30) were trimmed off, and a length filter was applied
retaining sequences of >25 bases using TRIMGALORE v.
0.4.2 (www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk).

Two de novo transcriptome assemblies for S. magdalenae
were constructed with the software Trinity to streamline fur-
ther differential gene expression analyses (Grabherr et al.
2011; Haas et al. 2013): A reference transcriptome
(REFSOM assembly) containing reads from only the somatic
parts (anterior part, proventricle, final segments) of each in-
dividual of both REPRO and NON-REPRO specimens (23 librar-
ies), and a reference transcriptome including the 5 different
parts (anterior part, proventricle, final segments, anterior half
part of stolon, and posterior half of stolon) of each individ-
al (13 libraries) for only the reproductive specimens
(REFOTREPRO assembly). We did not obtain enough RNA
from two of the female tissue samples, proventricle of speci-
men 0 and anterior part of stolon of specimen 1, to build a
library, and therefore conditions “proventricle” and “anterior
half of stolon” were represented by a single library in females.

Given the large number of raw reads obtained in our study
(>500 million reads), we assembled two different reference
transcriptomes, because assembling a single reference tran-
scriptome with the available computational resources would
have proved computationally impossible. Raw reads have
been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (BioProject ID
PRJNA434571; SRA accession: SRP133371).

For further quantitative assessment of the assembly and
annotation completeness we applied the software tool
BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs;
Simão et al. 2015), with default settings using the metazoan
database (metazoan_odb9, dated February 13, 2016). This
method is based on evolutionarily informed expectations of
gene content and is broadly used as a benchmark for testing
completeness of genomes and transcriptomes.

Transcriptome Characterization: Blast and Annotation

Annotation of transcriptome contigs or transcripts (containing
all isoforms) for both de novo assemblies were done sepa-
rately using BlastX against a selection of nonredundant (nr)
database from NCBI containing only proteins from Metazoa,
with an expected value (E-value) cutoff of 1e−5 (Altschul

et al. 1997). BLAST results of the two de novo assemblies were used to retrieve Gene Ontology (GO) terms with BLAST2GO 4.0.2 (Conesa et al. 2005) under the three different categories: CC, BP, and MF. In addition, GO enrichment analyses using Fisher’s test were done in BLAST2GO, to assess which GO terms were significantly overrepresented in pairwise comparisons between both REFSOM and REFTOTREPRO transcriptomes. The P-value for the reciprocal comparisons was adjusted to a 0.05 false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). The Galaxy web-based platform (http://usegalaxy.org) was used to align the RSEM results of each sample with BlastX results for the de novo assemblies for display.

**Estimation of Expression Levels**

In order to obtain expression levels, as read counts, of genes (with all isoforms collapsed) for each tissue type of *S. magdalenae* specimens in both reproductive and nonreproductive conditions, trimmed paired reads after trimming were mapped against the reference transcriptome, using Bowtie2 v. 2.2.1 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012), as implemented in Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011). The software RSEM v. 1.2.11 (Li and Dewey 2011) was used to generate a table containing read counts.

**Differential Gene Expression Analyses**

Differential gene expression analyses were computed in pairwise comparisons of different tissues and conditions using the R package DESeq2, which allows analyses to be performed with low numbers of replicates (Anders and Huber 2010). Before analyzing differential gene expression, read counts were normalized by estimating a scaling factor for each transcript in DESeq2 (Dillies et al. 2013). The significance value for multiple comparisons was FDR adjusted to 0.01 (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). Visualization of the significant outcomes of genes differentially expressed (upregulated and downregulated) between the tissues and conditions was obtained with a heatmap performed with the “GPlots” package of R (http://www.r-project.org/). Using the GO annotation results for the “reference” transcriptome, we obtained the GO terms associated with the differentially expressed isoforms in both pairwise comparisons, which were then implemented together with their P-value (adjusted) associated in REVIGO web server (Supek et al. 2011), and graphically represented with the “TREMAP” function in R. Size of the rectangles was adjusted to reflect the P-value using the abs_log_pvalue option in REVIGO.

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

The evolutionary history of specific genes that could potentially be involved in the stolonization process was also assessed through phylogenetic inference. The translated amino acid sequences of these genes were aligned with ortholog of the same genes in other metazoans obtained from GenBank using MUSCLE ver. 3.6 (Edgar 2004). The G-protein coupled receptors DAR2 and SERT were analyzed together. Both vasa and PL10 are DEAD-box helicases and were analyzed together. Other genes were examined in their individual gene families. We selected the best-fit model of amino acid substitution (LG + I + G, WAG, as indicated in Figure legends) with ProtTest ver. 2.4 (Abascal et al. 2005) under the Akaike Information Criterion (Posada and Buckley 2004) and later fed into the software for phylogenetic reconstruction. Maximum likelihood analyses of all the genes were conducted in RAxML ver. 7.2.7 (Stamatakis 2006) with 500 independent searches and 1000 bootstrap replicates (Stamatakis et al. 2008).

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.
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