SARS-CoV-2 spike protein inhibits growth of prostate cancer: a potential role of the COVID-19 vaccine killing two birds with one stone
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Abstract
To investigate the effects of isolated SARS-CoV-2 spike protein on prostate cancer cell survival. The effects of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein on LNCaP prostate cancer cell survival were assessed using clonogenic cell survival assay, quick cell proliferation assay, and caspase-3 activity kits. RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry were performed to investigate underlying molecular mechanisms. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein was found to inhibit prostate cancer cell proliferation as well as promote apoptosis. Further investigation revealed that anti-proliferative effects were associated with downregulation of the pro-proliferative molecule cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4). The increased rate of apoptosis was associated with the upregulation of pro-apoptotic molecule Fas ligand (FasL). SARS-CoV-2 spike protein inhibits the growth of LNCaP prostate cancer cells in vitro by a two-pronged approach of downregulating the expression of CDK4 and upregulating FasL. The introduction of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein into the body via COVID-19 vaccination may have the potential to inhibit prostate cancer in patients. This potential beneficial association between COVID-19 vaccines and prostate cancer inhibition will require more extensive studies before any conclusions can be drawn about any in vivo effects in a human model.
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Introduction
The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has had devastating consequences worldwide with millions of lives lost since it was first identified in December 2019. A member of the Coronaviridae family, SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped positive-sense RNA virus that attaches and fuses to host cells via a spike protein on its outer envelope [1]. This spike protein has been the target of many vaccines since it is required for the virus to continue to infect host cells via interaction with the ACE2 receptor [2]. The first vaccines approved for emergency use by the US Food and Drug Administration use mRNA as the means of introducing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to the body’s immune system. The effectiveness of the response hinges on the body’s ability to produce the spike protein from the introduced mRNA transcript, recognize the foreign antigen, and produce spike protein-specific antibodies. Evaluation of the COVID-19 vaccines’ long-term effectiveness and safety is ongoing, particularly in light of emerging variants of the virus [3, 4].

A particularly vulnerable subpopulation is cancer patients due to their immunocompromised state which can be attributed to the primary disease process or secondary to the treatment they are receiving [5, 6]. This results in increased susceptibility to contracting COVID-19, increased severity of the disease, and a weaker immune response to vaccines [7, 8]. Most phase 3 clinical trials excluded volunteers with cancer resulting in limited data for this group, but many experts still recommend that cancer patients should receive the vaccine since the potential benefits outweigh the well-established risks of contracting COVID-19 [9]. Prostate cancer accounts for the highest amount of new cancer diagnoses...
in men in the U.S. and it is also estimated to have the second highest mortality rate. It is estimated that in 2021 there will be 248,530 new cases of prostate cancer [10].

As the spike protein is the target of choice for vaccine-induced immunity, it is of particular interest to investigate what potential effects SARS-CoV-2 spike protein may have on prostate cancer cells. Our study set out to determine whether the presence of isolated spike protein had a significant effect on the survival of LNCaP prostate cancer cells grown in vitro and to investigate the mechanism of such action.

Materials and methods

Tumor cell line

The human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP was acquired from Dr. Lubahn (University of Missouri, Columbia, MO) and cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for preservation, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Invitrogen). The LNCaP cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator. Upon reaching 70% confluence the cells underwent the experimental treatment regimens.

Treatment with SARS-CoV-2 SP

For the clonogenic survival assay (CSA) LNCaP cells at 70% confluence were treated with SARS-CoV-2 SP (BioLegend, San Diego, CA) at the concentrations of 20 ng/mL, 50 ng/mL, and 200 ng/mL for 72 h, along with a control group with only medium. All other experiments utilized LNCaP cells treated with SARS-CoV-2 SP at 50 ng/mL for 72 h, and a control that consisted of LNCaP cells in medium alone for the same length of time.

Clonogenic survival assay (CSA)

Clonogenic survival assay was performed as previously described [11–14]. LNCaP cells were retrieved from culture flasks with TrypLE Express (Invitrogen), suspended in phosphate-buffered saline, and tallied with a hemocytometer. 1000 cells were plated in triplicate in 60-mm Corning petri dishes and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator. Upon reaching 70% confluence the cells underwent the experimental treatment regimens.

Quick cell proliferation assay

LNCaP cell proliferation was studied using the quick cell proliferation assay kit (BioVision). An increase in the number of viable cells resulted in increased mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity, leading to increased production of formazan dye which was quantified by spectrophotometer. The detailed procedure can be reviewed in previous studies [11–13].

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The IHC staining protocol used for proliferative cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), Fas ligand (FasL), and cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) has been described in previous studies [11–14]. Antibodies for FasL (catalog number sc-834) and CDK4 (catalog number sc-260) were acquired from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA. Dilutions of 1:200 and 1:500 were used for primary and secondary antibodies, respectively. PCNA-positive cells were manually counted under randomly selected 3–5 high-power fields. MetaMorph 6.3r6 image analysis software was used for counting as well as assessing the average staining intensity for proteins within the LNCaP cell-covered area. Results were expressed as the average integrated immunostaining intensity of 3 slides ± SEM compared to control cell intensity.

Reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

LNCaP cells were prepared for RT-PCR by washing with phosphate-buffered saline, homogenizing in TRizol (Invitrogen), and extracting RNA. Nanodrop was used to determine RNA concentration. 1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed as detailed previously [11–14]. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene was used as an internal control to verify that the same amount of RNA had been amplified. The primer sequences for GAPDH, pro- and anti-proliferative molecules, and pro- and anti-apoptotic molecules are included in Table 1.

TUNEL staining

Apoptosis was measured by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay via a Chemicon ApopTag kit. This procedure was performed as previously described [11–14]. Apoptotic cells were quantified by manually counting all LNCaP cells within 5–6 randomly selected high-power fields at 400X magnification,
utilizing MetaMorph image analysis software. TUNEL-positive cells were expressed as a percentage of total cells.

**Measurement of caspase-3 activity**

Apoptotic activity was also evaluated via caspase-3 activity. A BioVision caspase-3/CPP32 colorimetric assay kit was used to evaluate caspase-3 activity in the LNCaP cells. The procedure was documented previously [11–14].

**Statistics**

All experiments were performed in triplicate to ensure accuracy. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using an unpaired two-tailed t test. A p-value of < 0.05 was used to determine if the data were statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effect of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein on LNCaP cell survival**

In order to evaluate the effect of SARS-CoV-2 SP on the survival of LNCaP cells, the cells were treated for 72 h with SP at varying concentrations alongside a control that consisted of medium alone. The clonogenic survival assay indicated a decrease in LNCaP cell survival in the samples that included SARS-CoV-2 SP. SP concentrations of 20 ng/mL, 50 ng/mL, and 200 ng/mL each showed significant decreases in colony count (Fig. 1A, p < 0.05). The colony count percentages were 49 ± 4%, 41 ± 3%, and 45 ± 11% for the SP concentrations 20 ng/mL, 50 ng/mL, and 200 ng/mL, respectively. This suggests that 20 ng/mL of SP appears to effectively inhibit the growth of LNCaP cells and increasing the concentration to 200 ng/mL does not significantly increase the inhibitory effect. All subsequent assays utilized the 50 ng/mL SP concentration as the treatment group, as this had the most pronounced decrease in cell colony count.

The optical density values obtained from a Quick Cell Proliferation Assay also demonstrated a significant reduction in optical density (OD) values between the control group and the cells treated with SP (50 ng/mL) (Fig. 1B). PCNA expression was also evaluated via IHC as an indicator of LNCaP cell proliferation. The SP (50 ng/mL) group showed a significantly decreased relative intensity compared to the control group (Fig. 1C, p < 0.05). These findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 SP has a significant negative effect on the proliferation of LNCaP cells.

**SARS-CoV-2 spike protein downregulates pro-proliferative molecule CDK4**

The mechanism of decreased LNCaP cell survival was examined using RT-PCR to evaluate the mRNA expression of key molecules involved in the cell cycle. The expression of several pro-proliferative molecules (cyclin B, cyclin D, cyclin E, and CDK4) and anti-proliferative molecules (p18, p21, p27, and p53) were evaluated and the relative levels of expression were compared between the 50 ng/mL SP and control groups. There was a significant decrease in CDK4 expression in the LNCaP cells treated with 50 ng/mL SP compared to the controls (Fig. 2A, p < 0.05). IHC staining was also performed to evaluate the relative intensity of the LNCaP cells in the 50 ng/mL group. A significant decrease in staining intensity was observed in the 50 ng/mL SP group.
versus the control group (Fig. 2B). These findings suggest that suppression of LNCaP cell proliferation by SARS-CoV-2 SP may be through downregulation of CDK4.

**SARS-CoV-2 spike protein promotes apoptosis in LNCaP cells**

Decreased proliferation is only one side of the coin, as cell survival is also determined by the rate of apoptosis. To determine the role of SARS-CoV-2 SP in apoptosis of LNCaP cells, TUNEL staining was performed (Fig. 3A). The TUNEL+ cells in the SP 50 ng/mL group had a significantly higher percentage (Fig. 3B, p < 0.05). These findings support the hypothesis that SARS-CoV-2 SP may promote apoptosis in LNCaP cells.
findings support the notion that SP promotes the apoptosis of LNCaP cells. Additionally, the relative caspase-3 activity of LNCaP cells was evaluated to further investigate the apoptotic activity. Consistent with the previous findings, there was a significant increase of caspase-3 activity in the 50 ng/mL SP group compared to the control group (Fig. 3B, *p* < 0.05). These results suggest that SARS-CoV-2 SP also affects LNCaP cell survival by promoting apoptosis.

**Effect of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein on the pro-apoptotic molecule FasL**

To examine the mechanism of apoptosis of LNCaP cells in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 SP, RT-PCR was performed to measure the expression of various pro-apoptotic molecules (Fas, Fasl, TRAILR1, and TRAIL) and anti-apoptotic molecules (FLIP, Bcl-2, and survivin). A significant increase in Fasl expression was observed in the 50 ng/mL SP group (Fig. 4A, *p* < 0.05). IHC staining was performed to further evaluate the expression of Fasl. Significantly increased Fasl intensity was observed in the 50 ng/mL SP group relative to the control group (Fig. 4B, *p* < 0.05). The IHC results further support that the presence of SARS-CoV-2 SP promotes upregulation of Fasl. These findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 SP induces apoptosis via upregulation of the pro-apoptotic molecule Fasl.
Discussion

This study investigated the effects of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein on prostate cancer growth in vitro. The results indicate that spike protein reduces the survival of prostate cancer cells via a two-pronged approach which simultaneously limits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis. It appears that the reduction in LNCaP cell survival is mediated by mechanisms that suppress the expression of pro-proliferative molecule CDK4 and upregulate the expression of pro-apoptotic molecule FasL.

Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) is one of the key molecules that are involved in regulating the cell cycle. Dysregulation among these molecules often results in inappropriate cell proliferation, which makes dysfunctional CDK-pathways a common finding in many cancers [15, 16]. An emerging therapeutic strategy in cancer treatment is the use of CDK inhibitors which would downregulate the overactive pro-proliferative molecules such as CDK4. A combination therapy that included CDK4/6 inhibitors along with other agents was recently shown to be effective in treating castration-resistant prostate adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine prostate cancer in vitro and in vivo [17]. Given the current understanding of CDK4’s role in many cancers, it seems logical that a downregulation of CDK4 would help arrest the overactive cell cycle in the LNCaP cells.

FasL is a transmembrane protein that is part of the TNF receptor superfamily [18, 19]. FasL interactions with the Fas receptor have been well characterized as a trigger for apoptosis [19, 20]. Past studies have also suggested other non-apoptotic functions for FasL. Kolben et al. found that reverse FasL signaling in an in vitro assay of breast cancer cells resulted in a significant increase in apoptosis [19]. It is unclear which FasL mechanism may be at play in our study, but we did observe a clear correlation in increased apoptosis of LNCaP cells alongside an increase in FasL expression.

Considering the preferential binding SARS-CoV-2 spike protein has for ACE2 receptors, it is interesting to note that in addition to the high expression of ACE2 receptors in the lungs, several studies have found increased expression of ACE2 receptors in the prostate epithelium, kidneys, and testes, showing that the male genitourinary system may serve as a target-rich environment for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binding [21–24]. In their review on the effects of COVID-19 on the male reproductive system, He et al. found damage to testicular cells and impaired spermatogenesis and proposed that the pathology is due to both direct interactions of SARS-CoV-2 and ACE2 receptors, as well as systemic inflammatory and immune responses to infection [22].

This being an in vitro study, there were several inherent limitations. Our study focused only on a single cell line, LNCaP, however our lab continues to conduct ongoing research investigating the effects of SARS-CoV-2 SP in various cell lines that include lung and cervical cancer cell lines. Our lab’s currently unpublished data demonstrates a similar inhibition of cancer growth in the cervical cancer cell line SiHa, via molecular mechanisms that appear to be distinct from what was observed in the LNCaP cell line. Another limitation was our inability to explore the interactions between SARS-CoV-2 SP and prostate cancer cells in the context of the body’s inflammatory response, which has demonstrated widespread implications for the disease process of COVID-19, as well as several forms of cancer. The clinical utility of this data is also tempered by the fact that there still remains uncertainty as to how widely the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein distributes following vaccination, and for how long.

In summary, our study demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reduced the survival of prostate cancer cells through inhibition of proliferation and promotion of apoptosis. Our data indicates that the mechanisms included downregulation of pro-proliferative molecule CDK4 and upregulation of pro-apoptotic molecule FasL. These results suggest a potential additional benefit of COVID-19 vaccination by providing a means of exposing prostate cancer cells to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Though these results appear reassuring in the context of cancer patients and COVID-19 vaccine administration, much more investigation will need to be conducted before any definitive conclusions can be drawn. Nevertheless, our study is another early step to shed further light on the interactions that SARS-CoV-2 has with cancer cells. It is our hope that our findings spark further inquiry into the safety and efficacy of the COVID-19 vaccine for cancer patients, as well as provide further insight on future novel therapeutic approaches for patients with prostate cancer.
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