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Abstract

We study the time evolution of spherical, excited (i.e. nodeful) boson star models. We consider a model including quartic self-interactions, controlled by a coupling $\Lambda$. Performing non-linear simulations of the Einstein-(complex)-Klein-Gordon system, using as initial data equilibrium boson stars solutions of that system, we assess the impact of $\Lambda$ in the stability properties of the boson stars. In the absence of self-interactions ($\Lambda = 0$), we observe the known behaviour that the excited stars in the (candidate) stable branch decay to a non-excited star without a node; however, we show that for large enough values of the self-interactions coupling, these excited stars do not decay (up to timescales of about $t \sim 10^4$). The stabilization of the excited states for large enough self-interactions is further supported by evidence that the nodeful states dynamically form through the gravitational cooling mechanism, starting from dilute initial data. Our results support the healing power (against dynamical instabilities) of self-interactions, recently unveiled in the context of the non-axisymmetric instabilities of spinning boson stars.

1 Introduction

Elementary quantum mechanics describes how the hydrogen atom has different states, or orbitals, for an electron - see e.g. [1]. There is, however, only one ground state, which is dynamically stable: the $1s$ orbital. All other orbitals are excited states and if the electron is excited into one of the latter, it ends up decaying to the ground state, unless an external forcing is present. Some excited states ($ns$ orbitals, with $n > 1$) are also spherical, just as the ground state, but, unlike the latter, the wave function has radial nodes.

Boson stars (BSs) [2, 3] are static, horizonless, localized solutions of the Einstein-(complex, massive) Klein-Gordon system - see also e.g. [4–8]. There is some parallelism between these boson stars and atomic orbitals [9], but with some key differences. Firstly, whereas the Schrödinger orbitals emerge due to the potential well created by the Coulomb potential of a nucleus with the opposite electric charge of the electron, boson stars create their own potential well, due to their self-gravity. This is possible due to the non-linearities of General Relativity. Secondly, whereas the Schrödinger orbitals are rightfully interpreted as a probability amplitude of a single (fermionic) particle, boson stars are superpositions of many bosons in the same state (i.e. with the same frequency and multipolar distribution), so that a classical description is justified. Thirdly, each hydrogen orbital has a single frequency, whereas boson stars with a certain symmetry (say, spherical) have an interval range of possible frequencies.

Similarly to atomic orbitals, there are different types of boson stars, one of which is the fundamental ground state, hence analogous to the $1s$ hydrogen orbital. This is the spherical solution for which the scalar field has no nodes; for a certain frequency range, hereafter dubbed the stable branch, such boson stars are dynamically stable against small perturbations and remain dynamically robust in fully non-linear numerical evolutions [10]. Then, there are different types of excited states [9], the simplest of which are also spherical boson stars but for which the scalar field has radial nodes, which are counted by an excitation integer number $n$, hence analogous to the hydrogen $ns$-orbital ($n > 1$). Such stars decay, when slightly perturbed, to the fundamental state (or
black holes) [11]. The goal of this paper is to inquire whether self-interactions can stabilize such excited (i.e. nodeful) spherical boson stars.

The motivation for the last question comes from recent studies of spinning boson stars, where it was shown that a certain non-axisymmetric instability of these stars found in [12] can be mitigated [13], or even quenched [14], by introducing appropriate self-interactions in the scalar field model. Since spinning stars can be faced as an excited state, within the family of boson stars [15], where the excitation quantum number is \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), the azimuthal harmonic index, then, one may ask if, by the same token, such self-interactions could improve the dynamical robustness of nodeful excited states, for which the excitation number is \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the number of radial nodes.

In this paper we provide numerical evidence that this is indeed the case, and that self-interactions can stabilize radially excited boson stars. By performing numerical evolution of the fully non-linear Einstein-(complex)-Klein-Gordon system, and using as initial data equilibrium boson stars solutions (with nodes) of that system, we assess the impact of a parameter \( \Lambda \), that controls the self-interactions, in the stability properties of the nodeful boson stars. In the absence of self-interactions (\( \Lambda = 0 \)), we recover the result first discussed in [11] that the excited stars in the (candidate) stable branch decay to a non-excited star without a node. Cranking up \( \Lambda \), however, we observe that for large enough values these excited stars do not decay (up to timescales of about \( t \sim 10^{4} \)).

To provide a different test to this stabilization mechanism of the excited stars, for large enough self-interactions, we also analyse the possible formation of nodeful states dynamically, through the gravitational cooling mechanism, starting from dilute initial data. Again we observe a sharp contrast depending on \( \Lambda \). For \( \Lambda = 0 \), nodeful initial data collapses by gravitational cooling to form a boson star that has no nodes; but for large \( \Lambda \) we observe that the compact star that forms, albeit still undergoing an asymptotic relaxation process, retains the nodeful structure.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the excited states of spherical boson stars, as solutions of the appropriate Einstein-Klein-Gordon model. In Section 3 we discuss the framework for the numerical evolutions, and their main results both in the stability and formation scenarios. Finally, in Section 4 we provide our conclusions and final remarks.

2 The model

2.1 The action and field equations

We consider a model with the following action, for Einstein’s gravity minimally coupled to a massive, complex, self-interacting scalar field \( \Phi \)

\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{R}{16\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \Phi^{\ast} \partial^{\mu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} U(|\Phi|^2) \right].
\]  

(1)

The resulting field equations are:

\[
R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 8 \pi T_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Box \Phi = \frac{dU}{d(|\Phi|^2)} \Phi,
\]  

(2)

where

\[
T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \Phi^{\ast} \partial_{\nu} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\alpha} \Phi^{\ast} \partial^{\alpha} \Phi + U(|\Phi|^2),
\]  

(3)

is the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field. In this work we choose the scalar field potential to have the following form

\[
U(|\Phi|^2) = \mu^2 |\Phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |\Phi|^4,
\]  

(4)

where \( \mu \) is the scalar field mass and \( \lambda \) is the parameter controlling the quartic self-interactions. This model has been considered, in the context of boson stars, in a number of previous works, including [16][18].
2.2 The ansatz

We are interested in spherical boson star solutions with radial nodes. These are described by static, spherically symmetric geometries and represent excited states of the fundamental (nodeless) spherical boson stars. The quartic term, moreover, generalizes the usual mini-boson stars, found for $\lambda = 0$.

The spacetime geometry is described by the following metric ansatz in isotropic coordinates

$$ds^2 = -e^{2F_0(r)}dt^2 + e^{2F_1(r)}(dr^2 + r^2 [d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2]), \quad (5)$$

in terms of two metric radial functions $F_{0,1}$. Also, $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ are spherical coordinates with the usual range (in particular $0 \leq r < \infty$) and $t$ is the time coordinate.

The scalar field $\Phi$ has the standard form for boson stars

$$\Phi = \phi(r)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (6)$$

where $\omega > 0$ is the field’s oscillating frequency. Here, $\phi$ is the field’s amplitude and it is a real function. One can easily shown that the field ansatz (6) leads to a spherically symmetric energy-momentum tensor, thus compatible with the line element (5).

Below we shall sometimes use the areal radius $R$, defined as $R \equiv re^{F_1(r)}$, that has a clear geometrical significance, and therefore it is a more meaningful coordinate when comparing radial profiles of different solutions.

2.3 The boundary conditions

In this setting, obtaining boson star solutions reduces to solving a set of three ordinary differential equations (ODEs), for the metric functions $F_{0,1}$ and the scalar amplitude $\phi$. These ODEs are subject to the following set of boundary conditions. At $r = 0$ one imposes (from an expansion of the equations of motion near the origin),

$$\partial_r F_{0,1} = 0, \quad \partial_r \phi = 0. \quad (7)$$

At infinity, asymptotic flatness requires that all functions vanish,

$$F_{0,1} = \phi = 0. \quad (8)$$

The numerical evaluation of the equations of motion (via a shooting method) is done in units with $\mu = 1$, such that the only input parameter of the model is $\lambda$. Also, following [18], we define

$$\Lambda := \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}. \quad (9)$$

In the remaining of this paper, $\Lambda$ is the parameter that shall be used to discuss the impact of the self-interactions.

2.4 The solutions

Solving the equations of motion, a discrete set of families of solutions are found, label by $n$, the number of nodes of the scalar amplitude $\phi$. For $n = 0$ we have the fundamental family - see e.g. [19] for a description of its properties. Here, let us briefly discuss the properties of the first excited state - the one-node solutions, $n = 1$, for different values of $\Lambda$.

Such boson stars exist for $\omega_{\text{min}} < \omega < \mu$, where the value $\omega_{\text{min}}$ depends on the node number $n$ (with $\omega_{\text{min}} \simeq 0.8149$ for $n = 1$). Then, fixing $n$ and $\Lambda$, one finds that the solutions sit on a spiraling curve, when plotted in an ADM mass ($M$) vs. frequency ($\omega$) diagram. Some examples of this spiraling curve are displayed in Figure 1 (left panel), for different values of $\Lambda$. One observes that the picture familiar from the fundamental spherically symmetric mini-boson stars is recovered for general $\Lambda$, with the $(\omega, M)$ curve spiraling towards a central region of the diagram where the numerics become increasingly challenging. In the case of the fundamental $n = 0$ boson stars, the stable branch is the one between the maximal frequency $\omega = \mu$ and the maximum of the

\[1\] There is also one constraint equation which is used to monitor the accuracy of the numerical results.
ADM mass. By analogy we shall call the candidate stable branch the corresponding region for these solutions with \( n = 1 \). In the right panel of Figure 1 we can see both the ADM mass vs. frequency curve as well as the Noether charge vs. frequency curve for the illustrative cases of \( \Lambda = 0, 100 \). The Noether charge \( Q \) is a global charge due to the \( U(1) \) global symmetry of the complex scalar field model, and it can be interpreted as a measure of the number of scalar particles in the boson star (a notion made precise upon quantization). If \( Q < M\mu \) this means that the star has excess energy (rather than binding energy), and therefore the stars become energetically unstable against fission - see e.g. [19]. The plot shows this only occurs, when moving along the spiral starting from the maximal frequency, near the minimum frequency, and therefore away from the candidate stable branch.

Figure 1: The frequency vs. mass diagram is shown for boson stars with one node for the model with: (left panel) \( \Lambda = 100, 75, 50, 25, 0 \); (right panel) \( \Lambda = 100, 0 \), showing also the frequency vs. Noether charge diagram.

In the dynamical analyses of the next section we shall be interested in examining sequences of solutions for fixed \( \omega \) and varying \( \Lambda \). In Figure 2 we show the radial profile of the scalar field amplitude for \( \Lambda = 0, 25, 50, 75, 100 \) and \( \omega = 0.94 \) (inset left panel) and \( \omega = 0.86 \) (inset right panel). One observes that: i) the solutions always have one radial node; ii) for fixed \( \omega \), increasing \( \Lambda \), the radial node occurs at a larger \( r \) coordinate; iii) for fixed \( \omega \), increasing \( \Lambda \), the amplitude of values of \( \phi \) between its maximum and minimum, \( \Delta \phi = \phi_{\max} - \phi_{\min} \), decreases; iv) for fixed \( \Lambda \), decreasing \( \omega \), the solutions become more "compact", with the node occurring at smaller \( r \) and with a higher \( \Delta \phi \).

One can also analyse the Komar energy density profiles, for the same models as in the previous paragraph. Since for a stationary, asymptotically flat spacetime admitting an everywhere timelike Killing vector field \( k = \partial_t \) the ADM mass \( M \) coincides with the Komar mass at infinity, we can write the standard Komar mass expression as

\[
M = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int dS_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha k^\beta = \int drd\theta d\varphi (T - 2T_t^t)\sqrt{-g},
\]

where \( T \equiv T^\alpha_\alpha \). The radial profile of Komar energy density,

\[
\rho_K := T - 2T_t^t,
\]

is exhibited in Figure 2 (main panels) leading to similar conclusions as the analysis of the scalar field amplitude.

Finally, in Figure 3 we exhibit the compactness of the boson stars, defined as

\[
C := \frac{2M_{99}}{R_{99}},
\]

where \( R_{99} \) is the areal radius \( R \) that encloses 99\% of mass of the boson star. One can appreciate that: i) for fixed \( \Lambda \) the compactness increases when decreasing \( \omega \) (within the candidate stable branch); ii) for fixed \( \omega \) the compactness increases when increasing \( \Lambda \). Thus, increasing the self-interactions makes the boson stars more compact in the sequences of fixed \( \omega \) solutions analysed in the next Section.
Figure 2: Radial profile (in terms of the areal radius) of the Komar energy density/scalar field amplitude (main panels/insets) for $\Lambda = 0, 25, 50, 75, 100$ and $\omega = 0.94$ (top left panel) or $\omega = 0.86$ (top right panel).

Figure 3: Compactness as a function of $\Lambda$ and $\omega$. The black (red) points correspond to the solutions for which an instability (no instability) is seen in the evolutions of the next Section.

3 Numerical evolutions

Having constructed the $n = 1$ spherical boson stars of the model defined by the action (1) we shall proceed to analyse their dynamical stability. Thus, in this section we discuss the non-linear evolutions of the static models described above and the possible dynamical formation of these excited boson stars.

3.1 Basic equations

The spacetime metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij}(dx^i + \beta^i dt)(dx^j + \beta^j dt),$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta^i$ are the lapse and shift functions, respectively, and $\gamma_{ij}$ the spatial metric. For numerical evolutions, the spatial line element in spherical symmetry is given by

$$dt^2 = e^{\chi}(a(t, r)dr^2 + r^2 b(t, r)d\Omega^2),$$

where $\chi$, $a(t, r)$, and $b(t, r)$ are functions depending on time and radial coordinate $r$. The energy-momentum tensor $\rho_K$ is given as

$$\rho_K = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{\alpha}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \left( r^2 \alpha \beta^2 \right).$$

For the case of $\omega = 0.94$, we have $\Lambda = 0$, and for $\omega = 0.86$, we have $\Lambda = 0$, $\omega = 0.94$, and $\Lambda = 0.1$. The graphs show how the energy density changes with respect to $R\mu$ for different values of $\Lambda$ and $\omega$. The compactness as a function of $\Lambda$ and $\omega$ is shown in Figure 3, where black points indicate instability and red points indicate no instability. The graphs illustrate the dynamical formation of these excited boson stars.
where \( d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^2 \) and \( a(t,r) \) and \( b(t,r) \) are two non-vanishing conformal metric functions. They are related to physical metric by the conformal decomposition \( \gamma_{ij} = e^{2\chi} \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \), with \( e^\chi = (\gamma/\hat{\gamma})^{1/2} \), where \( \chi \) is the conformal exponent, and with \( \gamma \) and \( \hat{\gamma} \) being the determinants of the physical and conformal 3-metrics, respectively. We use the Baumgarte-Shapiro-Shibata-Nakamura (BSSN) formalism to solve Einstein’s equations \([20,21]\) (see \([22–24]\) for further details). The explicit form of the evolution equations for the gravitational field can be found in Eqs. (9)-(11) and (13)-(15) in Ref. [24].

As in previous works \([25,26]\), we solve the Klein-Gordon equation by introducing first-order variables defined as

\[
\Pi := n^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\partial_t \phi - \beta^r \partial_r \phi),
\]

(15)

\[
\Psi := \partial_r \phi.
\]

(16)

with \( n^\alpha = (1/\alpha, -\beta^i/\alpha) \), the future-pointing unit normal vector to the spatial hypersurfaces of the spacetime foliation. We obtain the following system of first-order equations for the scalar field:

\[
\partial_t \phi = \beta^r \partial_r \phi + \alpha \Pi,  \tag{17}
\]

\[
\partial_r \Pi = \beta^r \partial_r \Pi + \frac{\alpha}{ae^{4\chi}}[\partial_r \Psi + \Psi \left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{\partial_r a}{2a} + \frac{\partial_\theta b}{b} + 2\partial_\theta \chi\right)] + \frac{\Psi}{ae^{4\chi}} \partial_r \alpha + \alpha K \Pi - \alpha (\mu^2 + \lambda \phi^2) \phi,  \tag{18}
\]

where \( K \) is the trace of the extrinsic curvature, \( K_{ij} \). The matter source terms contained in the right-hand sides of the gravitational field evolution equations, denoted by \( E \), \( S_a \), \( S_b \) and \( j_r \), are components of the energy-momentum tensor and are given by

\[
E := n^\alpha n^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^2 + \frac{\left|\Psi\right|^2}{ae^{4\chi}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 |\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda |\phi|^4,  \tag{19}
\]

\[
j_r := -\gamma^r_{\alpha} n^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^* \Psi + \Psi^* \Pi\right),  \tag{20}
\]

\[
S_a := T^r_r = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^2 + \frac{\left|\Psi\right|^2}{ae^{4\chi}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 |\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda |\phi|^4,  \tag{21}
\]

\[
S_b := T^\theta_\theta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^2 - \frac{\left|\Psi\right|^2}{ae^{4\chi}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 |\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda |\phi|^4.  \tag{22}
\]

Finally, the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints are given by the following two equations:

\[
\mathcal{H} = R - \left(A_a^2 + 2A_b^2\right) + \frac{2}{3} K^2 - 16\pi E = 0,  \tag{23}
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}_r = \partial_r A_a - \frac{2}{3} \partial_r K + 6 A_a \partial_r \chi + (A_a - A_b) \left(\frac{2}{r} + \frac{\partial_\theta b}{b}\right) - 8\pi j_r = 0  \tag{24}
\]

where \( A_{ij} \) is the traceless part of the conformal extrinsic curvature with \( A_a \equiv A^r_a \) and \( A_b \equiv A^\theta_b \).

### 3.2 Stability

We solve the above equations using a numerical-relativity code that assumes spherical symmetry and spherical coordinates, described in \([23,25,27,29]\). The BSSN and Klein-Gordon coupled equations are solved using a second-order Partially Implicit Runge-Kutta scheme \([30,31]\). The evolutions are performed in a logarithmic grid described in \([32]\), with a maximum resolution of \( \Delta r = 0.05 \), a time step of \( \Delta t = 0.3 \Delta r \), and the outer boundary placed at \( r_{\text{max}} = 10000 \).

We study the non-linear stability of excited boson star solutions with different values of the coupling, namely \( \Lambda = \{0, 25, 50, 65, 75, 85, 100\} \). The spirals corresponding to \( \Lambda = \{65, 85\} \) are not explicitly shown in Figure 1 (left panel), but one may easily guess their approximate location by interpolation.

The mini-boson star case (\( \Lambda = 0 \)) has been extensively investigated and found to be unstable (for the excited states) even in the candidate stable branch, which occurs before the maximum ADM mass model \([11]\). Numerical
Figure 4: (Top left panel) Time evolution of the minimum value of the lapse for different models with $\Lambda = \{0, 25, 50\}$ and $\omega = 0.96, 0.92, 0.88$. (Top right panel) Radial profile of the scalar field magnitude at different times for the model with $\omega = 0.96$ and $\Lambda = 75$. (Bottom left panel) Time evolution of the minimum value of the lapse for models with $\Lambda = 75$. (Bottom right panel) Radial profile of the scalar field magnitude at different times for three models with $\Lambda = 75$.

evolutions showed that the excited states decay to the fundamental solution without nodes. Since the maximum mass of the excited states is larger than that of the fundamental mini-boson stars, a large part of the sequence of equilibrium configurations collapse to a black hole after decaying. This behaviour is shown in the top left panel of Figure 4 where we plot the time evolution of the minimum value of the lapse, $\alpha$, for three different values of $\Lambda = \{0, 25, 50\}$ and a sample of three different frequencies ($\omega = 0.88, 0.92, 0.96$). The smallest mass of the models with $\Lambda = 0$ we have considered is $M = 1.024$, corresponding to $\omega = 0.96$, while the maximum mass of the mini-boson stars is $M_{\text{max}} = 0.633$; therefore, taking into account that in the collapse little mass is dispersed away, all these configurations must collapse to a black hole (cannot become a fundamental boson star). Indeed this is verified in the top left panel of Figure 4, which is diagnosed from the fact the minimum value of the lapse becomes zero for all models with $\Lambda = 0$ (red lines).

Increasing the value of $\Lambda$ to 25 or 50 leads to another possible outcome for the same value of $\omega$. Now, only the most compact model (with the highest mass), corresponding to $\omega = 0.88$ collapses to a black hole. But the two models with less mass and less compactness ($\omega = 0.92, 0.96$), do not; rather the minimum value of the lapse oscillates around a non-zero value, diagnosing a relaxation to a new equilibrium state. This is possible because the maximum mass of the fundamental branch also increases with $\Lambda$. The fate of the models that do not
collapse into a black hole, is illustrated in the top right panel of Fig. 4 for the case with $\Lambda = 50$ and $\omega = 0.96$, where we show the radial profile of the scalar field magnitude, $|\phi| = \sqrt{\text{Re}(\phi)^2 + \text{Im}(\phi)^2}$, at different times. One observes that at the end of the simulation (indigo line) there is no node in the radial profile, confirming that the evolution led to the decay of the excited (nodeful) star to a fundamental (nodeless) star.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

A qualitatively different behaviour arises when we further increase the self-interactions parameter to $\Lambda = 75$. Whereas low-compactness models, with $\omega \geq 0.96, 0.94$, are still unstable and quickly decay to the nodeless solution (see bottom left and right panels of Figure 4), fairly compact models with lower $\omega$ ($\omega \geq 0.92, 0.90$) become stable at least up to $t = 10000$; even when explicitly perturbed, such excited solutions remain stable. There is, however, an additional turning point around $\omega \geq 0.88$, which is also unstable, losing the node and collapsing to a black hole. We emphasise all these solutions are in the candidate stable branch, before the maximum mass of the model.

Increasing further the self-interaction coupling to $\Lambda = 100$ we find that all models in the candidate stable branch remain in the excited state. This is illustrated in the top panels of Figure 5, where the time evolution of the radial profile of $|\phi|$ and the amplitude of the real part of the scalar field for three values of $\omega$ is exhibited.

![Figure 5](image2.png)

Figure 5: (Top left panel) Radial profile of the scalar field magnitude $|\phi|$ at different times for three different models with $\Lambda = 100$. (Top right panel) Time evolution of the amplitude of the real part of the scalar field extracted at $r = 3$ for three different models. (Bottom left panel) Radial profile of the scalar field magnitude at different times for the unstable model with $\omega = 0.84$. (Bottom right panel) Time evolution of the amplitude of the real part of the scalar field extracted at $r = 3$ and the minimum value of the lapse for the model with $\omega = 0.84$. 
These results indicate that the addition of the self-interaction term can quench the decay to the fundamental branch, complementing previous findings on the stability of rotating boson stars with self-interaction potentials. Moreover, it is interesting to point out the correlation between the self-interaction potentials and the stabilization mechanism described in [14].

For completeness, we have also evolved a couple of models in the unstable branch (beyond the maximum ADM mass) with $\Lambda = 100$ (see bottom panels of Figure 5). As expected these stars collapse to a black hole, but during the process they do not lose the node. Therefore, the collapse is related to the linear instability of the equilibrium configurations and not to the transition to the fundamental state.

As a summary of the result in this Section, Table 1 shows the fate of the excited state of several boson stars with different self-interaction coupling and oscillation frequency $\omega$. As shown above, the larger is $\Lambda$, the larger is the space of parameter in which excited states become dynamically robust. Interestingly, there is not a threshold compactness after which excited boson stars are stable, as it is manifest in Figure 3, but rather a region of the parameter space that grows with increasing $\Lambda$. A different (and approximate) physical criterion for instability will be suggested in Section 4.

### Table 1: Fate of the excited boson stars (BSs) with different values of the self-interacting coupling $\Lambda$ and oscillation frequency $\omega$.

| $\omega$ | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.96 |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| $\Lambda = 0$ | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation |
| $\Lambda = 25$ | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS |
| $\Lambda = 50$ | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS |
| $\Lambda = 65$ | BH formation | BH formation | BH formation | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS |
| $\Lambda = 75$ | BH formation | BH formation | Excited BS | Excited BS | Nodeless BS | Nodeless BS |
| $\Lambda = 85$ | BH formation | Excited BS | Excited BS | Excited BS | Nodeless BS | |
| $\Lambda = 100$ | Excited BS | Excited BS | Excited BS | Excited BS | Excited BS | Excited BS |

### 3.3 Dynamical formation

To complement the stability studies we have just reported, we have also studied the possible dynamical formation of excited (nodeful) boson stars with $\Lambda = 100$. Here, the rationale is to start with a spherically symmetric dilute Gaussian distribution of the scalar field with one node, of the form:

$$\phi(t = 0, r) = \phi_0 \left[ e^{-\left(\frac{r-r_0}{\sigma}\right)^2} - 0.9 e^{-\left(\frac{r-r_0}{(1.2\sigma)}\right)^2} \right],$$

where $r_0$ is the position of the maximum, $\sigma$ the width, and $\phi_0$ is the amplitude at $t = 0$. As illustrative values, we take $r_0 = 0$, $\sigma = 60$, and $\phi_0 = 8.5 \times 10^{-3}$ for $\Lambda = 100$ ($\phi_0 = 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ for $\Lambda = 0$) in the simulations exhibited here.

We solve the Hamiltonian constraint, Eq. (23), as described in previous works [24, 27–29, 33]. The time evolution of the cloud is shown in Figure 6 and, for comparison, we show the case with $\Lambda = 0$ (left panels) and $\Lambda = 100$ (right panels). In both cases, the dilute cloud becomes more compact during the evolutions, approaching an equilibrium boson star solution, and ejecting the excess energy via gravitational cooling. However, whereas in the $\Lambda = 0$ case the initial node of the cloud is lost, and at late times the radial profile of the scalar field is monotonically decreasing, for the $\Lambda = 100$ this is not so. One can see that although the star is still vibrating, as it is relaxing towards equilibrium, and thus it does not show the node at all times, it always keeps the second maximum in its relaxation process. In fact, in the top right panel of Fig. 6 we plot a fiducial static model (thick black line) with similar mass ($M = 1.092$) and $\omega = 0.975$ for comparison, and we observe that the star that is forming from the gravitational cooling mechanism appears to oscillate around the profile of this fiducial model.

Although it is not possible in the evolutions within this formation scenario to get to very final state, one can clearly appreciate the dependence on $\Lambda$ of the evolutions, and the fact that sufficiently high values of $\Lambda$ keep the shell structure of the energy density, even starting far off from an excited equilibrium solution, whereas for $\Lambda = 0$ the scalar field naturally reorganizes itself into a fundamental boson star profile.
4 Conclusions

In this work we have investigated the stability of excited boson stars with quartic self-interaction. We found that, as previously shown in the rotating case, when taking a large self-interaction coupling, the instability of these objects can be quenched up to long computational times. Of course, numerical evolutions as the ones done here cannot demonstrate stability. Yet, there the numerical evidence we have presented clearly shows the “healing” power of self-interactions of the type we have considered. Considering a potential demonstration of stability for large self-interactions, it would be interesting to attempt to tackle it in the large $\Lambda$ limit using approximations such as the ones studied in [16].

Let us comment that another way to stabilize excited spherical boson stars was studied in the literature [34] (see also [29]). Here the idea was to study a multi-field model, with one field being left in the fundamental (nodeless) state, and a second field composing an excited (nodeful) state. The resulting spherical boson stars were shown to be stable if the population of the fundamental state was large enough, for a fixed population of the excited state. On the other hand, in the case of multi-field, but not spherically symmetric solutions in [15], it was found that the criterion for stability was associated to the energy density peak of the composed system becoming shifted towards the origin (see also [35]). Thus, in both cases stability requires some dominance of the central component, either in terms of Noether charge or energy density.
Attempting to find an interpretation, or at least a physical diagnosis of stability/instability, in the study presented here of spherical excited states with \( n = 1 \), it is convenient to face these stars as a central bosonic ball surrounded by an exterior bosonic shell. Then, we have observed a suggestive correlation with the relative energy distributions of the central sphere and the surrounding shell. This is illustrated in Figure 7 where we plot the ratio of the Komar energy density \( (11) \) at the local maximum which occurs away from the origin (at \( r = r_m \)) over the Komar energy density at the local maximum at the origin. Figure 7 suggest that stability (roughly) requires the second radial maximum of the energy to be sufficiently large as compared to the first one. Interestingly, this seems to follow the opposite trend to the one discussed above for the multi-field stabilization: here there must be a sufficiently large energy peak away from the origin for stability.

![Figure 7: Ratio of the Komar energy density at the shell radius \( r_m \) over that at the origin. This ratio increases as \( \Lambda \) increases for each value of \( \omega \). One can see that stable models only occur above a certain threshold.](image)

Bosonic stars have been proposed as dark matter constituents. Moreover, if they do not interact (or interact very weakly) with the electromagnetic field, they could mimic part of the phenomenology of black holes, see e.g. \[36\], and could also change the properties of fermion stars \[28, 29, 37, 38\]. Such objects are still theoretical and have not been observed to date. However, a recent work \[39\] found that the head-on collision of vector boson stars (also known as Proca stars) can fit the real gravitational-wave event GW190521, slightly better than the vanilla black hole binary merger. Studying their non-linear stability is, therefore, important in order to check if they are viable dark objects that could account for part of dark matter, but also may help to understand and make predictions about the stability of similar objects (neutron stars or other exotic compact objects), since interesting parallelisms between neutron stars and boson stars can be drawn, for instance in the development of the bar-mode instability \[13, 40, 41\], despite being described by completely different types of matter.
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