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Virus-Specific Read-Through Codon Preference Affects Infectivity of Chimeric Cucumber Green Mottle Mosaic Viruses Displaying a Dengue Virus Epitope

Pak-Guan Teoh,1 Aik-Seng Ooi,1 Sazaly AbuBakar,2 and Rofina Yasmin Othman1

1 Center for Research in Biotechnology for Agriculture (CEBAR) and Institute of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia
2 Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to Rofina Yasmin Othman, yasmin@um.edu.my

Received 12 August 2008; Accepted 7 January 2009

A Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus (CGMMV) was used to present a truncated dengue virus type 2 envelope (E) protein binding region from amino acids 379 to 423 (EB4). The EB4 gene was inserted at the terminal end of the CGMMV coat protein (CP) open reading frame (ORF). Read-through sequences of TMV or CGMMV, CAA-UAG-CAA-UUA, or AAA-UAG-CAA-UUA were, respectively, inserted in between the CP and the EB4 genes. The chimeric clones, pRT, pRG, and pCG+FSRTRE, were transcribed into full-length capped recombinant CGMMV transcripts. Only constructs with the wild-type CGMMV read-through sequence yielded infectious viruses following infection of host plant, muskmelon (Cucumis melo) leaves. The ratio of modified to unmodified CP for the read-through expression clone developed was also found to be approximately 1:1, higher than what has been previously reported. It was also observed that infectivity was not affected by differences in pI between the chimera and its wild counterpart. Analysis of recombinant viruses after 21-days-postinculation (dpi) revealed that deletions occurred resulting in partial reversions of the viral population to near wild type and suggesting that this would be the limiting harvest period for obtaining true to type recombinants with this construct.
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1. Introduction

The development of plant virus vectors as in planta expression systems for foreign genes provides an attractive alternative biotechnological approach for peptide expression [1–5]. This method has been exploited in vaccine production, where small foreign peptides are expressed as a fusion with the viral coat proteins. Essentially, an insertion site has to be determined in the virus genome so that the resulting product will be displayed on the surface of the virus particle which is then propagated in plants and consequently isolated and used as antigen presenting vehicles [5, 6]. Modifications that do not interfere with the normal functions of the particular virus are a prerequisite for this peptide fusion approach. One strategy suggests that foreign gene segments could be fused to the terminus of a viral gene in a way that permits the production of both the fusion product and the native viral protein, thus avoiding interference with normal gene functions. The success of this epitope presentation strategy depends on a detailed knowledge of virus structure at the atomic level, which is only available for a limited number of viruses.

We have recently developed Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus (CGMMV) as a candidate for expressing antigenic peptides in plants [7]. CGMMV is a tobamovirus with a genome size of ~6.4 kb which has been well characterized both biologically [8, 9] and structurally [10, 11]. In this study, a truncated dengue virus type 2 envelope (E) protein binding region from amino acid 379 to 423 (EB4) was inserted into the end of the coat protein (CP) open reading frame (ORF) of a previously constructed CGMMV full-length clone, pCGT7X [7]. The antigenic peptide was chosen based on a recent study that suggests its importance in enabling dengue virus to bind to specific host cell receptors (S. Abu Bakar personal communication). The present study explores the possibility of extrapolating the CGMMV antigenic epitope presentation system for developing diagnostics
and potentially therapeutics against dengue. The study was also used to challenge the size limits of foreign gene insertion into the CGMMV vector as in the previous study the hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) used was only 33 amino acids [7].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. The Antigenic Epitope. The 45 amino acid-long EB4 protein used in this study has been previously shown to react with the dengue-specific antibody 3H5-1 (S. Abu Bakar personal communication).

2.2. Construction of Chimeric CGMMV Vector. The EB4 coding sequence was amplified from a pCANTAB 5E vector carrying the virus gene using 3 primer pair sets. The resulting PCR products were purified then digested overnight with HindIII restriction endonuclease, with the same treatment carried out on the full-length clone of CGMMV (pCGT7X, ~9.0 kb) previously constructed [7]. The digested PCR products and the linearized pCGT7X were purified following 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and then ligated to form pRT, pRG, and Forward SRT (5’-CCAACGCTCTCCAATCGCTGT-3’) for clone pRG, and Forward SRT (5’-CCAACGTTCCTCAAATCGCTGTG-3’) and Reverse E (5’-CCAAACGCTTTCCAATCGCTGTG-3’) for clone pCG+FSRTRE.

2.3. Production of Infectious RNA. The templates used in the in vitro transcription reactions were synthesized through long-distance PCR (LD-PCR) in 50 μL PCR cocktails containing 1X HF Buffer of Phusion DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes, Espoo, Finland) with 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (Finnzymes, Espoo, Finland), 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.5 μM forward primer, CGT7dG (5’-CCAGCGCTCTGTAATAC-GACTCATACTAGGTTTTA-3’), 0.5 μM reverse primer, CGMMV 3’-UTR (5’-TGGGCCCTACCGGGGGAAAGG-GGGGGAAT-3’), 10–20 ng of DNA template, and 1 U of Phusion DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes, Espoo, Finland). The reaction was set up in 0.2 mL tubes, and the thermal cycling was conducted with initial denaturation at 98°C for 60 seconds, followed by 30 cycles of 98°C denaturation for 10 seconds, annealing at 63°C for 20 seconds and elongation at 72°C for 1 minute and 50 seconds, and finally an extension step at 72°C for 5 minutes. The amplified product was purified through phenol–chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation. The pellet was dissolved in an appropriate volume of RNase-free distilled water to 1 μg/μL and stored at −20°C till further use. The in vitro transcription was carried out using the (Ambion, Calif, USA) High Yield Capped T7 RNA Transcription Kit according to the manufacturer protocol. Aliquots of the in vitro-synthesized transcripts were denatured and electrophoresed alongside RNA markers showing its integrity and the expected transcript size of approximately 6.5 kb. Since no DNase I treatment was done, traces of DNA template of the transcription reactions were detected.

2.4. Maintaining the Host Plants. Muskmelon (C. melo) plants were used as host plants for virus propagation. Plants used in this study were maintained in a growth room at 25°C with 16 hours of light and 8 hours of darkness. Healthy 10-day-old plantlets with cotyledons were used for inoculation.

2.5. Inoculation with RNA Transcripts. One transcription reaction was used to inoculate 2 plantlets by gently rubbing the reaction mixture over carborundum-dusted first leaf and cotyledons of 10-day-old plantlets. Mock inoculation was done by gently rubbing distilled water onto carborundum-dusted first leaves. The excess inoculum was rinsed off using distilled water from the leaf surfaces 60 minutes after inoculation.

2.6. RT-PCR Detection of Chimeric Virus Infection. Total RNA was isolated from the new leaf of the inoculated and
healthy plants using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN). RT-PCR was performed using AccuPower RT/PCR PreMix (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea) with primers CGMMV 3’UTR (5’-TGGGCCCTACCGGGAAAAGGGGGGAT-3’) paired with PstI sense (5’-TAGAAAAACCAAGAGATCTGAGGAATTTTTCTC-3’) or C5500F (5’-GTGCGTACAACTACCTATTATCAAAAGGGTGC-3’). Reactions were carried out according to the manufacturer protocols. Infected plants will give a PCR-amplified product of approximately 2.2 kb (with PstI sense and CGMMV 3’UTR primers) or 0.85 kb (with C5500F and CGMMV 3’UTR primers). RT-PCR reactions were carried out for plants at 14, 21, and 30 day-postinoculation (dpi).

2.7. Virus Purification. Plant virus isolation procedures used in this study were modified from [8]. Infected plants showing typical symptoms were harvested, weighed, and homogenized in ice-cold 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0 containing 1% of β-mercaptoethanol) at 1 mL/g of plant material for 10 minutes using a mechanical blender. The homogenate was filtered through 2 layers of cheesecloth and then mixed with equal volume of chloroform:butanol (1:1). The mixture was then stirred for 1-2 hours at room temperature and then the organic phase was separated from the mixture through centrifugation at 8000 g for 15 minutes. The aqueous layer was transferred to a beaker, 100 mL of NaCl (4 g/L) and PEG6000 added, and the mixture stirred on ice for 1 hour. The precipitated virus was separated from the solution through centrifugation at 10 000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The resulting pellet was reconstituted in 10 mL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.0. Any undissolved material was cleared by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 30 minutes at 12°C. Then 0.2 M EDTA (pH 7) (50 mL/L) was added to the supernatant and the mixture subjected to centrifugation at 110 000 g for 90 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was left to air dry. The virus pellet was then reconstituted in 100 μL of distilled water and stored at 4°C until used.

2.8. Analyses of Viral Genome. Analyses of sequences of the amplified products were carried using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor Software (version 6.0.5) (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html). The pl and charge values of the coat protein were calculated using the protein calculator developed by Chris Putnam of The Scripps Research Institute (http://www.scripps.edu/~cdputnam/protcalc.html).

3. Results

3.1. Infectivity of Constructed Transcription Clones—Read-Through Sequence Preference. In this study, the chimeric CGMMV vectors pRT, pRG, and pCG-FSRTRE were constructed by inserting the EB4 coding sequence to the end of the CGMMV CP ORF in plasmid pCGT7X. The maps of these constructed clones are shown in Figure 1, which indicates their respective position of the primers during amplification and cloning procedures. Maps of plasmids pCGT7X are carrying the wild-type CGMMV, and pCANTAB 5E are carrying EB4 with their respective priming sites are also as indicated in Figure 1. The genome size of wild-type CGMMV is approximately 6.4 kb (without the plasmid backbone), and the resulting chimeric CGMMV genome would be approximately 6.5 kb in size and contains the EB4 and read-through sequences as well as inserted HindIII restriction recognition sites and additional nucleotides enabling in-frame cloning. The pRT and pRG chimeric clones were constructed with the read-through sequence of TMV (CAA-UAG-CAA-UA). This leaky sequence meets the minimal sequence requirement for effective read-through of the stop codon [12] and had been used successfully in previous reports [14].

The templates for in vitro transcription of these two clones were generated through LD-PCR (data not shown). The resulting amplified products (~6.5 kb) consisted of a T7 promoter fused with the chimeric CGMMV genome carrying EB4. Transcripts produced from the two constructs were separately tested for infectivity by inoculating the host plants. After repeated attempts, both the pRT- and pRG-generated transcripts did not cause infection of the inoculated plants (Table 1). There was no evidence of virus genomic material in the inoculated plant tissues tested (data not shown). It is speculated that the read-through sequence of TMV may not be suitable for the CGMMV chimeric clones, hence contributing to the absence of infectious virus transcripts. To overcome this possibility, another chimeric clone (pCG+FSRTRE) was constructed using the read-through sequence AAA-UAG-CAA-UA of the wild-type CGMMV and pCRT19 Ped-3.0kb DNA ladder (Promega, Wis, USA); M: pCGT7X, ~9.0 kb; D: DNA template, ~6.5 kb. There were traces of DNA template detected in transcripts without DNase I treatment. Figure 2: A representative gel image of pCG+FSRTRE-derived transcripts without DNase I treatment electrophoresed after denaturation showing the expected transcript size (6.5 kb). The band above the transcript band is the DNA template. Lane M: RNA marker (Invitrogen, Calif, USA); 1, 2, 3: produced transcripts; M1: 1 kb DNA ladder (Promega, Wis, USA); N: pCGT7X, ~9.0 kb; D: DNA template, ~6.5 kb. There were traces of DNA template detected in transcripts without DNase I treatment.

3.2. Result of RT-PCR. RT-PCR was performed using AccuPower RT/PCR PreMix (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea) with primers CGMMV 3’UTR (5’-TGGGCCCTACCGGGAAAAGGGGGGAT-3’) paired with PstI sense (5’-TAGAAAAACCAAGAGATCTGAGGAATTTTTCTC-3’) or C5500F (5’-GTGCGTACAACTACCTATTATCAAAAGGGTGC-3’). Reactions were carried out according to the manufacturer protocols. Infected plants will give a PCR-amplified product of approximately 2.2 kb (with PstI sense and CGMMV 3’UTR primers) or 0.85 kb (with C5500F and CGMMV 3’UTR primers). RT-PCR reactions were carried out for plants at 14, 21, and 30 day-postinoculation (dpi).
Figure 3: Symptom appearance at 14 day-postinoculation (dpi) on muskmelon plants caused by pCG+FSRTRE-derived transcripts.

Figure 4: Gel image showing presence of amplified band (∼2.2 kb) after RT-PCR using PstI sense and reverse E primer. Lane M: 1 kb DNA ladder (Promega, Wis, USA); 1–8: total RNA extracted from new noninoculated leaves of pCG+FSRTRE-derived transcripts from infected plants; N: total RNA of new noninoculated leaves of wild-type transcript from infected plants (negative control).

Figure 5: SDS-PAGE of purified chimeric virus coat protein (CP). Lane M: protein marker (Fermantas); 1: chimeric virus; 2: wild-type CGMMV. It is clearly shown that the chimeric virus consisted of two different CP species. The higher molecular band shows the EB4-fusion CGMMV coat protein. The ratio of modified to unmodified CP was approximately 1:1.

Table 1: Summary of experiments carried out to assess the infectivity of different transcript clones. (*Total RNA was extracted from new noninoculated leaves (third new leaf) of transcript infected plants.)

| Transcript clones | Infectivity on inoculated plants | Symptom appearance | *Virus detected through RT-PCR |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| pRT               | 0/6                              | Healthy            | No                            |
| pRG               | 0/6                              | Healthy            | No                            |
| pCG+FSRTRE        | 4/8                              | Green mottle mosaic| Yes                           |

Table 2 shows the isoelectric point (pl) and charge of the wild-type CGMMV CP, the read-through recombinant CGMMV CP, and the EB4 insert. The charge of the EB4 insert is positive and potentially suitable for expression on the surface of the CGMMV CP [2]. Hence, the inserted peptide is speculated to be expressed if the pl:charge value of modified virus CP resembles the pl:charge value of unmodified virus CP. Earlier transcripts (data not shown) generated from fusion clones without a read-through sequence, where their pl values deviated significantly (>6.0) from the wild-type CP (5.08), were not able to cause infection in inoculated plants leading to the suggestion initially that pl:charge value

3.2. Effect of pl:Charge Value on Stability of Construct. Apart from the usage of leaky UAG amber stop codons, it has been reported that pl:charge can affect the production of viable recombinant virus [15]. The pl of the epitope is thought to be an important factor as the hybrid coat protein pl:charge value can affect epitope presentation. It was also reported that TMV was more tolerant to positively charged epitopes on its surface. Thus, it was initially speculated that the failure in expression of the foreign peptide was possibly due to the pl:charge value of recombinant CGMMV CP which was different from the wild-type CGMMV CP pl:charge value (Table 2).

Table 2: Isoelectric point (pl) and charge of the wild-type and recombinant CGMMV CP. The charge of the EB4 insert is positive and potentially suitable for expression on the surface of the CGMMV CP. Earlier transcripts (data not shown) generated from fusion clones without a read-through sequence, where their pl values deviated significantly (>6.0) from the wild-type CP (5.08), were not able to cause infection in inoculated plants leading to the suggestion initially that pl:charge value
played an important role in virus particle assembly and infectivity. Thus, the pI value of the recombinant CP constructs was adjusted to more closely resemble the wild-type CP pI value by inserting the acidic amino acid (glutamate) to the 3′ end of CP (Table 2). The experiments (Table 1), however, showed that although the pI was still higher than that of the wild type (Table 2), the construct pCG+FSRTRE remained infectious. This implies that infectivity of the clones was not directly related to the deviation in pI value with the wild-type virus CGMMV CP.

### 3.3. Deletion of Cloned Peptide Sequence.

Sequencing was carried out on RT-PCR-amplified products of viral RNA extracted from putative chimeric virus particles at 30 days postinoculation (dpi) and total plant RNA isolated from putative chimeric virus particles at 30 days postinoculation (dpi). The sequenced alignment shows that EB4 sequence was truncated and not complete after 21 dpi. The putative chimeric CGMMV produced did not express the EB4 and its genome resembled the wild-type CGMMV. Introduced read-through sequences and extra codons are underlined. Complete EB4 sequence is aligned accordingly with the other sequences.

![Sequence analyses of RT-PCR-amplified products from putative chimeric CGMMV RNA at different days postinoculation (dpi).](image)

The sequenced alignment shows that EB4 sequence was truncated and not complete after 21 dpi. The putative chimeric CGMMV produced did not express the EB4 and its genome resembled the wild-type CGMMV. Introduced read-through sequences and extra codons are underlined. Complete EB4 sequence is aligned accordingly with the other sequences.
Table 2: Amino acid sequence, isoelectric point (pI) and charge of wild type, constructed recombinant CGMMV coat protein (CP), and the EB4 insert.

| Clones        | Amino acid sequence | MW (kDa) | pI of CP | Charge of CP |
|---------------|---------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| EB4           | IIGVEPQQLKNWFKKGSSIGQMIETTMGRAKRMAILGDTAWDFG | 5.0      | 9.53     | +1.9         |
| Wild-type     |                     | 17.3     | 5.08     | −3.1         |
| CGMMV         |                     |          |          |              |
| pRT*          | MAYNPITPSKLASFASYYPVVRTLNLNVASQGTAFTQAQGRD SFRSALSALPSVVDINSRFPDAGFYAFNLPGVRPLPILPFLSST DTRNRYEIVDPSNPTTAESLNAVKRTDDAARAEIDNLE SISKGFDVYRASFEEAFSVVWSEATTSKAA | 23.0     | 5.41     | −2.1         |
| pRG*          | MAYNPITPSKLASFASYYPVVRTLNLNVASQGTAFTQAQGRD SFRSALSALPSVVDINSRFPDAGFYAFNLPGVRPLPILPFLSST DTRNRYEIVDPSNPTTAESLNAVKRTDDAARAEIDNLE SISKGFDVYRASFEEAFSVVWSEATTSKAWQQLIGVPEPQQLKNWFKKGSSIGQMIETTMGRAKRMAILGDTAWDFGEA | 23.1     | 5.69     | −1.1         |
| pCG+FSRTRE*   | MAYNPITPSKLASFASYYPVVRTLNLNVASQGTAFTQAQGRD SFRSALSALPSVVDINSRFPDAGFYAFNLPGVRPLPILPFLSST DTRNRYEIVDPSNPTTAESLNAVKRTDDAARAEIDNLE SISKGFDVYRASFEEAFSVVWSEATTSKAWQQLIGVPEPQQLKNWFKKGSSIGQMIETTMGRAKRMAILGDTAWDFGQA | 22.9     | 5.41     | −2.0         |

* With read-through leaky UAG amber stop codon.
The chimeric (carrying the EB4) and putative wild-type CGMMV were shown to coexist in the virus population of the infected plants (Figure 5). Previous reports show that the efficiencies of the leaky UAG codon varied from 0.5% to 5% so that the ratio of modified to unmodified CP would be between 1:200 and 1:20 [12, 19]. However, in this study, relatively high levels of chimeric coat protein was observed (Figure 5) giving a ratio of modified to unmodified CP of approximately 1:1. It has been suggested that muskmelon host plant could be producing higher levels of translation nonsense suppressor tRNA making the application of the translation read-through signal favorable in this host [7].

Due to their relatively higher rate of mutation during replication, RNA viruses are evolving rapidly and this is the basis of their ubiquity and adaptability [20, 21]. In this study, it is shown that the EB4 gene sequence carried by the chimeric CGMMV was systemically removed during the infection process. The order of the removal of the transgene was speculated to be the 5’ to 3’ direction (Figures 6 and 7). This is further supported by the detection of two additional nucleotides together with the read-through sequence “CC-AAA-TAG” downstream from the CGMMV CP ORF. This report shows the temporal in-host truncation of the transgene from a chimeric virus in a natural host. Recent report has shown truncation occurring in transgenic plants expressing the same or similar transgenes as the chimeric virus [22] suggesting targeting by a resistance mechanism or competition with the parental virus as the mechanism involved. The exact mechanism of truncation of the transgene in our study is less clear as a previous study using the same vector and host with a different transgene did not exhibit the same instability [7]. The larger size of the EB4 peptide in comparison to the Hepatitis B epitope, however, suggests that the truncation mechanism or transgene recognition by the virus was size dependent.

In summary, we have shown that CGMMV has a read-through codon preference and that the read-through codon for TMV was shown to be not efficient, as the chimeric CGMMV transcripts utilizing this signal were not infectious. The reported limitation of low-modified coat protein yield of this type of read-through transient expression system appears to have been overcome as relatively equal yield of chimeric and wild-type CGMMV coat protein were produced. This report also provides a rational harvesting timeline for the chimeric virus making this system exploitable for implementation in a plantation scale in the future. It can be suggested that once host plants are infected with the chimeric virus carrying the inserted foreign peptides, the optimum harvesting time would be at around 14 dpi or not more than 20 dpi in order to obtain maximum yield of the full-length transgene. Growth of the infected plants for longer periods to obtain higher yields of the chimeric virus may induce unwanted transgene deletions. This and other factors described earlier should be relevant information for the further development of CGMMV or other plant viruses as vectors for medically important peptides such as for dengue (this study) and Hepatitis B [7] viral antigens.

### Table 3: Summary of sequence analyses carried out to confirm the presence of EB4 within the putative recombinant CGMMV. (*A truncated EB4 sequence was detected at 30 dpi according to Figure 7 for pR_P3U4 clone from nucleotide position 702 to 746.)

| Sample | RNA source used for RT-PCR | Presence of EB4 within putative chimeric CGMMV genome |
|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
|        | Transcript-inoculated plant total RNA | Virus particles total RNA |
|        | 14 dpi 21 dpi 30 dpi        | Present in 50% of plants tested | #Not present |
| Chimeric clone (with pCG+FSRTRE transcripts) | Present | #Not present |

The positive results from the initial RT-PCR screening of transcript-inoculated plants at 14 dpi (Figure 4) suggest the presence of EB4. The EB4 was, however, not detectable at 30 dpi, and only present in some (< 50% tested) plants at 21 dpi. These findings strongly suggest that deletion had occurred within the host plants after 14 dpi. The sequence analyses in this section are summarized in Table 3.

### 4. Discussion

Plant virus vectors-based expression systems have been widely studied for their development as antigen presentation systems as well as for the production of pharmaceutically important peptides. The CGMMV has been previously shown to be suitable for expression of foreign peptide [7]. In this study, CGMMV vector was used to express a 45 amino acid EB4 gene. The integration of the EB4 gene into the end of CGMMV coat protein gene was done via a leaky UAG read-through sequence.

Transcripts generated from chimeric clones of pRT and pRG carrying CAA-UAG-CAA-UUA read-through codon sequences were not infectious. This is possibly caused by the failure of self assembly [16], and thus none of the inoculated plants was systemically infected. The assembly of CGMMV into virus particle has been shown to be essential for the viral movement through phloem [17], hence another chimeric clone pCG+FSRTRE was constructed carrying read-through sequence (AAA-UAG-CAA-UUA) from the wild-type CGMMV genome. The clone containing this read-through signal was infectious and produced chimeric virus [22] suggesting targeting by a resistance mechanism or competition with the parental virus as the mechanism involved. The exact mechanism of truncation of the transgene in our study is less clear as a previous study using the same vector and host with a different transgene did not exhibit the same instability [7]. The reported limitation of low-modified coat protein yield of this type of read-through transient expression system appears to have been overcome as relatively equal yield of chimeric and wild-type CGMMV coat protein were produced. This report also provides a rational harvesting timeline for the chimeric virus making this system exploitable for implementation in a plantation scale in the future. It can be suggested that once host plants are infected with the chimeric virus carrying the inserted foreign peptides, the optimum harvesting time would be at around 14 dpi or not more than 20 dpi in order to obtain maximum yield of the full-length transgene. Growth of the infected plants for longer periods to obtain higher yields of the chimeric virus may induce unwanted transgene deletions. This and other factors described earlier should be relevant information for the further development of CGMMV or other plant viruses as vectors for medically important peptides such as for dengue (this study) and Hepatitis B [7] viral antigens.
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