Consumerism at work and its relationship to employees’ personal goals, self-concept clarity, well-being and growth mindset

BACKGROUND
Consumerism at work is a new concept. It is linked to materialism and consumption experience, which is why its relations with people’s well-being, self-concept clarity, life aspirations and growth mindset are not obvious. The phenomenon can be manifested as obtaining documents confirming acquired qualifications and professional experience by frequent changes of workplace not motivated by a real need, but rather a desire of collecting. Consumerism at work consists of three dimensions: external attestation of competence, orientation towards self-improvement and exploratory orientation, which are related to extrinsic motivation. It is a phenomenon observed contemporarily and may be attributable to changes in the labor market.

PARTICIPANTS AND PROCEDURE
The aim of the article is to explore consumerism at work and to examine the relationship between the phenomenon, employees’ personal goals, self-concept clarity, well-being and growth mindset. For that purpose, two studies were conducted among employees using a paper-pencil survey. There were 206 participants in the first study and 91 in the second one. In the new method, the Consumerism at Work Scale, the Aspirations Index, the Self-Concept Clarity Scale, the Growth Mindset Questionnaire and Ultra-Short Scales for measuring subjective well-being were used.

RESULTS
The study results show that consumerism at work is negatively related to self-concept clarity and positively related to extrinsic goals. Nonetheless, there are no relations between consumerism at work, well-being and a growth mindset.

CONCLUSIONS
It can be concluded that consumerism at work is a negative phenomenon in the context of employee’s self-concept clarity, and that it is associated with materialistic goals, while it is not related to growth mindset and well-being.
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BACKGROUND

The culture of consumerism concerns the impact of mass consumption on everyday life, which leads to focusing all social activities on the collection and consumption of an increasing number and type of commodities and experiences (Featherstone, 1983). Gathering and consuming goods and experiences is considered to be a way to enhance well-being in life (Stanca & Veenhoven, 2015). In the culture of consumption, the value of an individual is determined by what he owns and consumes (Kasser, 2002); the ability to sell and impress others, as well as the need for continuous change, is esteemed as well (cf. Cushman, 1990). With the consolidation of the consumerism culture there arise more and more questions about its consequences in various areas of human life, including work. One of those questions concerns correlates of the phenomenon resulting from the impact of the culture of consumption on work, known as consumerism at work. Consumerism at work manifests in concentrating on a continuous search for new experiences and collecting experiences related to work as well as gathering props from those experiences in a professional setting (cf. Godlewska-Werner et al., 2014). It results from intergeneration research that with consolidation of the culture of consumption, the approach of employees to work and the type of objectives valued by them, as well as the working conditions change. Younger generations, which have grown in a strengthened consumption culture, have less appreciation for work ethics (Twenge, 2010), and want to work less than older generations and receive high wages (Twenge & Kasser, 2013) and are more driven by extrinsic, materialist goals at work (Godlewska-Werner et al., 2020; Twenge et al., 2010). Collaterally to strengthening consumeristic attitudes in subsequent generations, there are unceasing transformations of the labor market and changes of expectations towards employers (Frese & Fay, 2001). These shifts mean that the employee’s identity is permanently altered and can be difficult to determine.

Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to answer the following questions: how does consumerism at work relate to life goals, and how does it relate to the employee’s self-concept clarity, his/her attitude to development and his/her well-being?

To answer these questions, two studies were conducted. The theoretical foundations of the research and the methodology as well as the results and conclusions are described below.

WORK, CONSUMPTION AND CONSUMERISM AT WORK

The previous era of modernism was based on industry and stability. Work was a value and an obligation, and employees were involved with organizations for many years. Instead, postmodernism relates to liquidity, elasticity, a lack of routine, constant decision-making and, most of all, striving for joy and pleasure enabled and achieved by the consumption of goods and services (Grabowski, 2012). These social and cultural factors have significantly influenced the labor market and workplace, causing an erosion of traditional values, emergence of unrestrained economic competition, desire of an ever-increasing diversity of choice, constant change and a commercialization of many areas of life (Mróz, 2015). In the postmodern societies people spend their free time and money on consumption and are bombarded with advertisements claiming that the good life is ‘the goods life’ (Kasser, 2004). Consumerism influences the evaluation of work, in the postmodern times evaluated from the point of view of providing positive and pleasurable experiences (Bauman, 2006). A change of job can offer a new, fertile environment and an alternative set of duties which allows the employee to acquire new skills. The market also enhances the need for education and vocational training. New courses and postgraduate studies emerge at universities (Szczuczka et al., 2012), often without a link to a professional career, by any means facilitating making a choice regarding one’s further commitment or employment. Open access to unpaid higher education in Poland resulted in a devaluation of the university degree, hence the seeking of other defining qualifications in the labor market (Klimczak, 2004). In the light of information chaos and ubiquitous uncertainty it is necessary to acquire competencies that allow career planning, appropriate not only to individual abilities and knowledge, but also to the market demand.

The dominant culture in the contemporary world can be defined as one of consumption, since material assets, wealth, fame, image constitute an object of desire, and at the same time become a value and objective (Zawadzka, 2014). It is widely believed that they are a source of happiness, although this has not been confirmed in research (Kasser, 2002). The market establishes new needs, which leads to a feeling of permanent deficiency (Bauman, 2006). People are guided by the principle of immediate gratification, leaning towards what is easy and simple from what is difficult and complex, preferring to have more and as technologically advanced as possible (Zawadzka, 2014). It emerges that a manifestation of consumerism must not necessarily be only the accumulation of goods, but also the purchase of experiences (Golka, 2004; Görnik-Durose, 2010). A sign of our time has become self-marketing/personal branding associated with creating one’s own image using available tools (Mróz, 2015). It also leads to building a suitable image of oneself as an employee and shaping the identity of the individual. Work is to be a source of pleasant experiences (Bauman, 2006), which is why it is so easy
for us to make decisions regarding the change of employer. Other than that, the labor market raises educational needs related to professional development (Szczucka et al., 2012), which is why so many people are looking for other qualifying features (Klimczak, 2004).

The socio-cultural and technological changes described above have contributed to the recognition of a phenomenon described as consumerism at work. Consumerism at work is a concept introduced by Godlewska-Werner et al. (2014) to define an amassing of work experience unjustified by genuine needs that can manifest itself in an excessive acquisition of evidence of participation in courses, training or other activities for developing particular competencies and frequent job changes, seeking new opportunities not only for a higher salary, but also for the sake of change itself. Fortuna (2018) defines a very similar phenomenon when people attend different courses without specific needs, as in the case of educational tourism.

Its severity is influenced by the level and dynamic of the social and economic transformations, values, attitudes, and aspirations either on the broader or individual level and can be expressed in the expectations towards work and the organization, as well as a specific mode of action. The accumulation of work experience and completed training, courses, studies, can bring most advantage if applied in an appropriate context. The implications of a thoughtless career path may be maladjustment to the market demand, despite possessing numerous proofs of competences, skills and knowledge in multiple domains. This happens due to the limited utility of superficial or not well-grounded knowledge, which devalues with time. Frequent job changes as a way to gain substantial experience dominate over longer employment and patience towards expected results. Such attitude undermines loyalty between the companies and workers and weakens their mutual trust. What is more, taking further training and educational opportunities may produce a persistent dissatisfaction of achievement, since the market is continuously generating new needs. All these factors negatively influence the individuals’ well-being and their growth potential.

Hitherto little attention has been paid to the described object of study. Karczewska (2016) indicated globalization and consumerism as determinants of changes and risk at work, underlining opportunities and threats. Significant consequences included the obligation of continuous development, the pressure of being always informed and the destructive influence of work-life imbalance on one’s physical and mental health.

Grabowski (2012; Grabowski & Rachwaniec-Szcześcińska, 2016) in his publications links the changes in work ethic with the values central to the working environment and the contemporary consumer culture. He analyzed the relationships between work engagement, the attitudes towards occupation and work ethic, and distinguished four types of employees with regard to work ethics, the level of centrality of work in one’s life, valuing hard work, self-fulfillment, aversion to wasting time, self-reliance and realization at work prosocial values.

In an explorational study conducted among university students, Godlewska-Werner et al. (2014) demonstrated that the participants perceive that gathering of experiences (internships, volunteering) increases their chances of finding an interesting job. It also plays a moderate role in creating one’s professional image.

PERSONAL GOALS AND SELF-CONCEPT CLARITY

There are theoretical and empirical grounds to investigate the relationship between consumerism at work, personal goals and self-concept clarity (SCC).

Personal goals described by Kasser and Ryan (1993), grounded in self-determination theory, are types of aims for which people strive and aspire. The major distinction based on their content is the division into intrinsic and extrinsic aspirations. Intrinsic goals are congruent with the need for relatedness, autonomy and competence, i.e. universal needs, whose realization brings satisfaction and fulfillment. The goals situated at the opposite end of the continuum are concerned with obtaining social approval and rewards, and are often means to realizing other plans; they include financial success, image and popularity (e.g. Sheldon et al., 2004). If the pursuit of self-acceptance, affiliation and community is associated with higher well-being, while financial success is associated with the opposite. The more that materialistic values are at the center of aspirations, the poorer is the quality of life (Kasser, 2002, 2004, 2016; Kasser & Kanner, 2004), and the more frequent are social comparison and violating one’s principles or sensibilities (Sheldon et al., 2004). Moreover, individuals who favored financial success over other goals demonstrated higher control orientation, i.e. influencing and attuning to extrinsic factors in the organization of their motives (Kasser & Ryan, 1993). This can lead to superficial satisfaction and denial of intrinsic needs, and therefore hinder self-actualization. The meta-analysis proves that materialism described by materialist values, beliefs and goals correlates significantly and negatively with well-being, and the relationship is stronger than the investigated correlation between well-being and materialism described by the desire for money or financial success (Dittmar et al., 2014). However, its relationship depends on types of well-being outcomes. It has the largest impact on risky health and consumer behaviors and negative self-appraisals, and the weakest one on life satisfaction and negative affect.
In research conducted in Poland, Czerw (2013) found a strong relationship in the hedonic-autotelic attitude which is negatively correlated with the drive to prosperity, indicating that the stronger the satisfaction at work and its intrinsic value, the weaker the attention to a higher salary, promotion or other external well-being attributes coming from the job role or position.

Self-concept clarity is a construct introduced by Campbell that describes the extent to which self-beliefs are clearly defined and temporally stable. It references a structural component of self-concept clarity, i.e. how knowledge components and self-beliefs are organized. In one of the early studies it was demonstrated that individuals with low self-esteem have a less clearly defined and temporally stable self-concept than those with high self-esteem (Campbell, 1990). In terms of adjustment, SCC correlates negatively with neuroticism, negative affectivity, anxiety and depression (Campbell et al., 2003). Mittal (2015) investigated the role of SCC in consumer behavior, and he points out that low SCC promotes susceptibility to interpersonal influence, materialism, use of shopping as escape, use of products as bolsters and postpurchase doubt. The link between SCC and career choice has been identified by Arnold and Masterson (1987), but after almost 40 years and a lack of continuity in this field of research, the conclusion that career exploration fosters self-concept certainty should be treated with caution, given the current excess of degree and career choices and other opportunities.

The consumer culture has an impact on transmitting the strive for extrinsic aspirations and it happens to the detriment of intrinsic needs. One can predict that if associated with the lack of clear, consistent and stable self-beliefs, the former values will also be applied in the working environment and when projecting one’s career path.

**TYPES OF MINDSETS AND EMPLOYEE WELL-BEING**

People vary in their approach to tasks, success and failure. The assumption of some is that the characteristics given to us once and for all define how we act and how we are perceived and judged, while others believe that the outcomes are dependent on the input of labor and resulting effort (Dweck, 2019). The model developed by Dweck and Leggett (1988) presents two response patterns related to achievements: the helpless pattern and the mastery-oriented pattern. The helpless pattern is typical of avoiding challenges with a noticeable quick surrender in case of failure and hence the feeling of negative emotions associated with it. These are characteristics typical of people with a fixed mindset (Dweck, 2019). Such individuals focus on their unique features and do not feel the need to nurture or develop them. In their judgment, it is the world and others who should change. Of course, the results are important to them, since that is how they strengthen their belief in their superiority and value. However, they limit their actions to a minimum and avoid difficult tasks, so as not to undermine their competences in the event of failure (Ehrlinger et al., 2016).

In turn, the mastery pattern is associated with focusing on the challenge, which is characteristic for people oriented on development. People with a growth mindset are characterized by satisfaction from the activity itself as well as great determination, persistence and diligence manifested in actions, which effectively brings them closer to success (Yeager & Dweck, 2012; Dweck, 2019). The main impulse for development is the discrepancy between the point at which one is currently and the point where one would like to be. This discrepancy is a reason for tension that can be minimized by developing one’s own competences (Keating & Heslin, 2015).

In the case of people with a growth mindset, an individual chooses tasks with a higher level of difficulty, tests their abilities and strives at developing their competences, whilst feeling positive emotions (Dweck, 2019). It is observed that in this pattern, failure is a trigger for further self-development. It constitutes motivation for harder work, modification of past actions and introduction of new ones. As a result of such a process, the individual develops his/her skills and enriches his/her experience. A growth mindset is related to achievement motivation, since it is assumed that it depends, among other things, on the manner of interpreting failure and success (cf. Zimbardo, 1999). Realization of an objective is possible when the individual has high expectations towards their competences, and undertaking actions contributes to growth (Elliot & Church, 1997). A growth mindset is not about achieving a goal for itself or about getting comparable or better results than others, nor even about avoiding embarrassment, as described by Elliot and Church (1997). The motive is to exceed one’s abilities (Dweck, 2019), while the focus on sustainability triggers unethical behavior and competition.

**CORRELATES OF CONSUMERISM AT WORK**

Consumerism at work is a new concept. It can be observed as an effect of changes in the labor market and it is linked with unjustified collection of certificates, attending courses and changing workplace (Godlewska-Werner et al., 2014). It is related to materialism and consumption experience, which is why its relations to people’s goals, self-concept clarity, mindset and well-being are not obvious.

The contemporary world demands permanent changes and the ability to impress others by an in-
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mation between some aspects of consumerism at work and life aspirations, self-concept clarity, well-being and growth mindset. In order to describe the phe-

nomenon of consumerism at work in the context of consumerism at work, because they do not seek external con-
firmation of their competences.

As we look at consumerism at work as another ex-

ample of consumerism, it is clear that there are some negative consequences of the consumer culture for the individual, e.g. an increasing level of narcissism (Twenge & Kasser, 2013), experiencing less positive emotions, lower vitality (Górnik-Durose, 2010) or reduced life satisfaction (Zawadzka, 2014; Zawadzka et al., 2013). For all that, if we treat it as an experien-
tial purchase, it can be assumed that it could increase well-being and lead to long-lasting satisfaction (Cart-
er & Gilovich, 2010). That is why deeper exploration of the phenomena is needed for a better definition and comprehension of the construct. According to Golkka (2004), a manifestation of consumerism is the collection of goods of material and nonmaterial ser-
dividual image (cf. Cushman, 1990). Therefore a way of creating an image by changing workplaces or attending courses seems to become more required. Employers have imposed higher expectations, which leads to a constant rivalry also in the area of raising competences (Goszczyńska, 2010). When choosing a job or a form of development, an individual can be guided by extrinsic motives, such as, above all, the desire to be better than others, the satisfaction of other people, e.g. parents, proving their value to others, or prestige, and not intrinsic motives such as doing what they like, pleasure, satisfaction, readiness for development or a sense of happiness (Zawadzka et al., 2020). However, it may negatively impact the sense of identity, which in turn is related to main-
taining physical health (Campbell et al., 2003). This is related to self-concept clarity, a construct denoting the degree of consistency and stability of the individual’s assertions about themselves which positively correlates with self-esteem (Campbell, 1990). Low self-concept clarity can lead to vulnerability to the influence of others, materialism and the purchase of goods to strengthen identity (Mittal, 2015); therefore it can be assumed that an entity characterized by low intensity of the clarity of self will focus on extrinsic factors to strengthen the self and thus pursue extrin-
sic goals also in the professional field.

As we look at consumerism at work as another ex-
developmental goals, because they differ in goals, and therefore it can be assumed that consumerism at work can also be associated with attitudes. A positive mindset and an ac-
tive attitude in the enterprise’s endeavors are among the characteristic features in a development-orient-
ed attitude (Dweck, 2019). Since mastery-oriented people are more persistent in pursuing their goal, as they derive satisfaction from the activity itself, it may mean that they are characterized by lower consumerism at work, because they do not seek external con-
firmation of their competences.

In view of these doubts, the aim of the article it to explore the phenomenon and to evaluate the rela-
tion between some aspects of consumerism at work and life aspirations, self-concept clarity, well-being and growth mindset. In order to describe the phe-

omenon of consumerism at work in the context of self-concept clarity, life goals, well-being and growth mindset, the question was raised whether there is a relationship between them.

STUDY 1

PARTICIPANTS AND PROCEDURE

The study investigates the relationships between con-
sumerism at work, personal goals and self-concept clarity. In study 1, referring to the presented con-
cepts and research results of predecessors indicating the relationship between the culture of consumption and variations in the nature of work, changing re-
quirements for the employee, his/her professional identity (Frese & Fay, 2001) and changes of dominant life goals (cf. Godlewska et al., 2020; Twenge, 2010; Twenge et al., 2010; Twenge & Kasser, 2013), two re-
search hypotheses were formulated:

H1: Consumerism at work will be negatively related to self-concept clarity.

H2: Consumerism at work will be positively related to importance of extrinsic life goals.
The study was conducted among 206 white-collar workers of the business sector aged 20–54 (M = 34.41, SD = 8.75), 112 women (54.5%) and 93 men (45.4%). Participants had at least secondary education (15.5%) and occupied the positions of office and managerial staff at various levels. The selection was random, and the sample size was determined based on the completeness of the filling.

The study was conducted using a paper-pencil survey. The study involved the use of the Consumerism at Work Scale constructed for the needs of the study, the Aspiration Index (Grouzet et al., 2005, in the adaptation of Górnik-Durose et al., 2018) and the Self-Concept Clarity Scale (Campbell, 1990, in the adaptation of Blazek et al., 2019). The Consumerism at Work Scale is a new method, which is still being tested.

The initial version of the questionnaire consisted of 31 statements. The tool was then evaluated by three expert judges. The compatibility indicator expressed by Kendall’s 
\[ W = .722 \]
The current version consists of 18 items (see Appendix 1). The number of items was reduced after consultations with the judges and after statistical analysis. Following the proper study, an exploratory analysis was conducted to distinguish the components of consumerism at work (N = 206). Based on the screen plot, the two-factor model did not fit the data, while 4 dimensions were identified. However, with 4 factors the rotation did not reach sufficient convergence, so their number was reduced to 3. Subsequently, items that presented a weak correlation within the factors were removed from further analyses (\( r < .45 \)), as well as those occurring in two of the components. The value of the measure of sampling adequacy, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) statistic, was .690. The total explained variance of the 3 factors equaled 38.7%. Regarding the reliability statistics, they are \( \alpha = .76 \) for the first factor, \( \alpha = .62 \) for the second factor and for the third: \( \alpha = .65 \).

The analysis conducted on the group of 297 persons showed a good fit of the three-factor model to the dataset (CMIN/DF = 1,565, RMSEA = .044 (LO90 = .032, HI90 = .055), PCLOSE = .814, CFI = .912, GFI = .930). The correlations of the tested model with the factors ranged from .40 to .90.

The questionnaire measures three factors of consumerism at work: 1 – orientation on external attestation of competence (9 items), 2 – orientation on self-development (4 items), 3 – exploratory orientation (5 items). For all factors, the estimated values of Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) are acceptable (orientation on external attestation of competence \( \alpha = .80 \), orientation on self-development \( \alpha = .63 \), exploratory orientation \( \alpha = .64 \)). Two of the factors correspond directly to the consumerism at work definition mentioned at the beginning, but one – orientation on self-development – shows the pressure on continuous development of competences because of clarification requirements and it relates to extrinsic motivation.

Orientation on external attestation of competence is the conviction of value brought by earned certificates and diplomas, reinforcing of one’s own sense of competence through collecting certificates and the pursuit to acquire them, regardless of their substantive value. It means also treating the gained experiences as a resource used in the competitive labor market. It is related to a certain pride of advancement, even if it does not entail an actual change of duty.

Orientation on self-development demonstrates ambitious goal setting, the feeling of being subject to the pressure on continuous improvement, the conviction of necessity of taking additional responsibilities in order to be successful, high self-efficacy, proactive attitude, engaging in the organization and satisfaction of having many duties and being constantly busy.

The exploratory orientation is associated with the sense of an overwhelming abundance of alternatives and an inability to prioritize, and decision-making aligned to one’s professional profile or personal interests. The individual frequently changes jobs in view of new experiences. He also takes part in job interviews despite the lack of actual interest, and explores and exploits the labor and education market.

In the current study, consumerism at work was calculated by adding the results from three subscales, since based on CFA there can be observed one model-fitted latent factor (Consumerism at Work) which consists of observable variables.
The Aspirations Index (Grouzet et al., 2005, in the adaptation of Górnik-Durose et al., 2018). The scale contains 23 items, which concern intrinsic (self-acceptance, affiliation and health), extrinsic (which include money and image) and transcendent (which include community and spirituality) aspirations. The respondents answer on a 5-point scale regarding the extent to which they consider the statement about values and goals to be valid, where 1 means it is not important to me at all, and 5 means it is very important to me. The dependability of individual factors in the presented study ranged from $\alpha = .74$ to $\alpha = .82$.

The Self-Concept Clarity Scale (Campbell, 1990, in the adaptation of Białek et al., 2019). The tool contains 12 questions about beliefs about oneself (e.g. "I can change my mind about myself from day to day"). The respondent provides answers to questions on a 7-point scale, where 0 means I definitely disagree and 6 means I definitely agree. Reliability in the current study was $\alpha = .85$.

RESULTS

Means, standard deviations and reliabilities for all tested variables are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

| Variables                  | $M$  | $SD$ | $\alpha$ |
|----------------------------|------|------|----------|
| 1. Consumerism at work     | 52.02| 10.62| .63      |
| 2. Self-concept clarity    | 44.69| 7.82 | .85      |
| 3. Intrinsic goals         | 4.21 | 0.44 | .74      |
| 4. Extrinsic goals         | 3.35 | 0.63 | .79      |
| 5. Transcendence goals     | 3.26 | 0.70 | .82      |

Table 2 presents correlations between all tested variables. As it can be seen, consumerism at work was significantly negatively correlated with self-concept clarity and it was also significantly positively correlated with employee’s intrinsic goals and extrinsic goals.

The relationships between consumerism at work and sex, consumerism at work and age and consumerism at work and transcendence goals were not significant.

Linear regression analysis (method: enter) was conducted to test the hypothesis that consumerism at work is predicted by each of the self-concept clarity and workers’ goals. Four variables were entered as predictors (along with sex and age as covariates), 8% of the variance in consumerism at work was accounted for ($R = .32$, $R^2 = .10$, adjusted $R^2 = .08$, $F(6, 198) = 3.76$, $p = .001$). As can be seen in Table 3, consumerism at work was significantly negatively associated with self-concept clarity and positively with workers’ extrinsic goals.

Intrinsic goals and transcendence goals as well as age and sex of worker were not statistically significant predictors of consumerism at work.

STUDY 2

PARTICIPANTS AND PROCEDURE

After conducting study 1, from which it results that consumerism at work is associated with a lowered self-concept clarity and enhanced extrinsic objectives, which is characteristic for materialism (Mittal, 2015), study 2, which was of an explorative nature, was conducted. It was aimed at determining whether the correlates of consumerism at work are growth mindset and well-being. Based on the research of predecessors which showed that consumerism is associated with materialism (Kasser, 2016; Kasser & Kanner, 2004) and lowered personal and social well-being (Bauer et al.,...
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2012; Dittmar et al., 2014; Kasser, 2016; Zawadzka, 2014; Zawadzka et al., 2013) and that material goals are negatively related to orientation on mastery (Ku et al., 2014), it was asked whether there is a relationship between consumerism at work and mental well-being, as well as growth mindset. Because it was an explorative study, no hypotheses were made to assume the correlation between consumerism at work and growth mindset. But according to literature mentioned before, hypothesis H3 may be formulated that consumerism at work will be negatively related to well-being.

The study involved 91 people, including 66 women (72.5%) and 25 men (27.5%). The selection was random, and the sample size depended on the completeness of the filling. The participants were professionally active individuals aged 19-66 (M = 41.00, SD = 13.19), having secondary (47.3%), higher (44%) and vocational (8.8%) education. The respondents included people holding managerial positions (9.9%) and others (90.1%).

The method used in the study was paper and pencil survey. The questionnaires used for the study were the Consumerism at Work Scale described in the previous study, the Growth Mindset Questionnaire (NNR) and the Ultra-Short Scale for measuring subjective well-being in the adaptation of Atroszko et al. (Atroszko et al., 2015a; Atroszko et al., 2015b; Atroszko et al., 2017).

MEASURES

Growth Mindset Questionnaire. The used tool contains 11 items on the approach to difficult tasks, reaction to failure and search for feedback. The scale was constructed for the purpose of measuring orientation on self-development understood as a positive phenomenon, as assuming that mastery-oriented people are characterized by approaching tasks as challenges, deriving satisfaction from the implementation of challenging tasks and determination (Yeager & Dweck, 2012; Dweck, 2019). There is no pressure, only a willingness to be better so intrinsic motivation can be observed. An inspiration for the creation of the scale was the Dweck concept, but the questions were developed based on a mastery orientation without considering the dimension of persistence of Dweck (2019), who assumes that both attitudes occur in every person; therefore the goal was to select only those behaviors that testify to preparedness for development.

The respondents answer the questionnaire on a scale from 1 (I strongly disagree) to 5 (I strongly agree). The analysis conducted on the 399-person group showed a good fit of the two-factor model to the dataset (CMIN/DF = 3.042, RMSEA = .07 (LO = .059, HI = .084), p = .003, CFI = .894, GFI = .936).

There are two factors: types of tasks (α = .74) and gathering information about the possibility of improvement (α = .71). The correlations of the tested model with the factors ranged from .60 to .77. The first factor defines types of tasks chosen by individuals, how difficult and demanding they are. The latter shows preferences towards gathering information during task realization and analyzing situations in terms of usability of obtained information to improve the individual’s work. In the current study, growth mindset was calculated by adding the results of two subscales.

Ultra-Short Scales for measuring subjective well-being. Ultra-Short Scales for measuring subjective well-being constructed by Skevington et al. (2004) in the adaptation of Atroszko et al. (2015a, b, 2017) contain 4 questions on various aspects of well-being. Those are: self-esteem, a general sense of quality of life, life satisfaction and the meaning of life.

The self-assessment question measures the strength of the overall level of self-satisfaction (“How satisfied are you with yourself?”) on a 9-point scale from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 9 (very satisfied). The question about the general sense of quality of life (“How much do you enjoy life?”) has a 9-point scale from 1 (very poor) to 9 (very good). Questions about satisfaction with life (“To what ex-

Table 3

| Variables                  | B    | SE  | β   | t    | p     |
|---------------------------|------|-----|-----|------|-------|
| Sex                       | -.01 | .29 | -.004 | -0.05 | .959  |
| Age                       | -.01 | .14 | -.08 | -1.19 | .237  |
| Self-concept clarity      | -.15 | .01 | -.15 | -2.18 | .031  |
| Intrinsic goals           | .08  | .07 | .08  | 1.02  | .311  |
| Extrinsic goals           | .20  | .08 | .20  | 2.57  | .011  |
| Transcendence goals       | -.004| .08 | -.004| -0.06 | .951  |
In the conducted study 1, the formulated hypotheses were confirmed. Consumerism at work is positively associated with both a lack of clarity of self (H1) and a pursuit of extrinsic-materialistic goals (H2). Exploratory study 2, in which the relationship between consumerism at work and well-being and growth mindset were examined, showed no significant relationship between the studied variables. The statistical analyses carried out showed that extrinsic goals are predictors of consumerism at work. There was no significant correlation between consumerism at work and growth mindset, probably because extrinsic-materialistic goals drive the activities of employees, rather than their intrinsic motives.

The obtained results related with a negative dependency between self-concept clarity and consumerism at work are confirmed in the literature in the context of consumerism in the broad sense (cf. Mittal, 2015). This means that an entity with low self-concept clarity demonstrates a lack of knowledge of needs, an absence of crystallized values, and therefore susceptibility to the influence of others. In addition, the research describes a positive relationship between self-concept clarity and goal orientation (Błażek et al., 2019), which means that people characterized by consumerism at work may have a lower skill in constructing clear goals, and therefore they may need support from outside. Consequently, it may be more difficult to achieve intrinsic goals because a person can focus on further specifying the self. Extrinsic goals consist of money and image (Grouzet et al., 2005), which is why the person who builds his/her portfolio is guided by aspirations to be admired also in a material context. The main motive for the individual then becomes securing attractiveness as a potential employee.

To date, research has shown that people accumulate goods and experience to increase their well-being (Stanca & Veenhoven, 2015), but ultimately high materialism is associated with lower satisfaction (see Kasser, 2004, 2016; Kasser & Kanner, 2004; Zawadzka, 2014). However, the results of research conducted by the authors do not indicate this relationship. This may be due to the fact that it seems difficult to compare and value professional qualifications and experience. Moreover, people who acquire items and services overactively can feel happy only in the short term (Golka, 2004). The lack of an assumed relationship between consumerism at work and well-being (H3) can also be explained by the fact that consumerism at work (unjustified actions related to certifying one’s competences, striving for self-improvement and exploratory orientation) can be a way to deal with the situation of volatility and uncertainty in the contemporary labor market (Frese & Fay, 2001; Goszczyńska, 2010).

DISCUSSION

RESULTS

Calculations were performed using SPSS Statistics software. Descriptive statistics for individual variables in study 2 are provided in Table 4.

To obtain answers to the question regarding the relationship between consumerism at work and a growth mindset and physical well-being, Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed. It demonstrated no statistically significant relationship between consumerism at work and other variables, while a positive relationship was found between the growth mindset and well-being, which, however, was not the subject of our considerations (Table 5).
Similarly, the lack of a dependence between consumerism at work and a growth mindset may result from the changes observed in the labor market, which require from employees constant adaptation to new conditions, whereby extrinsic motives are adopted by the individual as their own over time. The relationship may not yet be observable because this process is still ongoing. On the one hand, growing in the consumer culture strengthens the preferences to take more easily achievable actions (Zawadzka, 2014) and less perseverance (Twenge, 2010), which is the opposite of the growth mindset, but on the other hand, striving to obtain qualifying features requires more activity from the individual (Klimczak, 2004) regardless of their motive.

As a result of the authors’ research, an additional result was obtained indicating the relationship between growth mindset and well-being. A growth mindset can be understood as a will to improve oneself and development, and hence it improves well-being (Zawadzka & Szabowska-Walaszczyk, 2014). Well-being can be seen as a kind of reward for providing a variety of experiences (Czapiński, 2005). Furthermore, it may indicate that an individual undertaking an effort related to the development and optimal exploitation of resources can cope with challenging situations, which strengthens the sense of meaning (Ryff, 1989). Happy people view positive events, among others, as a result of the action of their predispositions resulting from the internal locus of control (Argyle & Martin, 1991), which may be characteristic of people with a growth mindset.

Summarizing the conducted research, it can be concluded that consumerism at work is a negative phenomenon in the context of employee’s self-concept clarity, and that it is associated with materialistic goals, while it is not related to growth mindset and well-being.

The presented research was explorative, as far as the authors are aware; to date no research is being conducted on consumerism at work and its correlates. Therefore, there is a need for further investigation on larger groups of employees from different generations and professional groups, and in view of the positions held by them. Moreover, it is worth including other measures of well-being in subsequent studies – measuring both satisfaction but also a sense of meaning at work or social well-being.

Research from the employer’s perspective could be a great contribution to learn more about the essence of consumerism at work. It is worth considering in this study how employers, managers or recruiters perceive the amount of received training, the quality or their compliance with the desired profession. Perhaps in this case, quantity and diversity are also significant, and not quality and cohesiveness. Organizing in-house training alone may prove to be an important area to explore. We should seek answers to such questions as: how participants are selected for training for employees, and whether employers send their employees for training according to individual preferences or generally, regardless of how newly acquired competences will be reflected in the current occupation. In this case, the impact of pressure exerted by the work environment (superiors, HR departments or other) and cultural conditions could be considered. In the case of young individuals who are just entering the labor market, it is worth examining what factors affect overactive gaining of experience and certificates. In addition, satisfaction with life should be regarded in the perspective of its duration, while in the case of self-assessment, further research should separate it into explicit and latent. It would also be worthwhile to consider the intercultural context of consumerism at work in subsequent studies to investigate whether it is a universal or characteristic phenomenon for cultures that have experienced rapid political and economic changes.

A broader definition of consumerism at work could also prove helpful during recruitment. Awareness of this phenomenon will eliminate candidates focused on gaining “collected” experience and will help in selecting those whose activity related to the expansion of competences performs a development function and which is characterized by coherence. The presented attitudes may prove useful in the proper selection of chosen upskill forms and in taking the accurate direction in developing one’s career. A robust approach to the topic of competence development can prevent wasting potential and human resources. It also gives the opportunity to create more experts in given fields, whose quality of services could increase considerably.
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### THREE FACTORS OF CONSUMERISM AT WORK

#### Orientation on external attestation of competence

1. It is good to obtain as many certificates (and other proofs of completed training, courses, voluntary work) as possible, even if I am not sure whether they may be useful in life.
2. I do not mind passing up a good opportunity (i.e., training, educational course) if the offer is not in line with my career path or interests.
3. Each subsequent certified training is valuable, regardless of the knowledge and skills I acquire.
4. I am proud of any kind of promotion, even if my responsibilities and duties remain the same.
5. Having certificates from single trainings/courses that are not thematically related is worthless.
6. Getting a certificate immediately strengthens my sense of competence.
7. Having many certificates and other proofs of completed training indicates an employee’s value on the labor market.
8. If the knowledge gained from a training course is minimal, it does not make sense to include this item in your CV.
9. I include in my CV only the most important of my professional and educational experiences.

#### Orientation on self-development

1. I often feel the pressure of self-development.
2. Taking extra responsibilities and obligations is essential to achieve professional success.
3. In the associations I belong to, I show initiative and undertake tasks that are valuable for these organizations.
4. Being constantly busy and having many responsibilities gives me satisfaction.

#### Exploratory orientation

1. When a wide range of alternatives occurs, I often feel like getting involved in all of them at once.
2. I often change my job/organization because of I want new experiences.
3. In my opinion, frequent job changes (e.g., every six months) are an opportunity for a better use of individual potential.
4. I often participate in job interviews even if I do not feel like changing my job.
5. I often get confused about my priorities when facing a wide range of alternatives.