Vector role and human biting activity of Anophelinae mosquitoes in different landscapes in the Brazilian Amazon
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Abstract

Background: Environmental disturbance, deforestation and socioeconomic factors all affect malaria incidence in tropical and subtropical endemic areas. Deforestation is the major driver of habitat loss and fragmentation, which frequently leads to shifts in the composition, abundance and spatial distribution of vector species. The goals of the present study were to: (i) identify anophelines found naturally infected with *Plasmodium*; (ii) measure the effects of landscape on the number of *Nyssorhynchus darlingi*, presence of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae, human biting rate (HBR) and malaria cases; and (iii) determine the frequency and peak biting time of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes and *Ny. darlingi*.

Methods: Anopheline mosquitoes were collected in peridomestic and forest edge habitats in seven municipalities in four Amazon Brazilian states. Females were identified to species and tested for *Plasmodium* by real-time PCR. Negative binomial regression was used to measure any association between deforestation and number of *Ny. darlingi*, number of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae, HBR and malaria. Peak biting time of *Ny. darlingi* and *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae were determined in the 12-h collections. Binomial logistic regression measured the association between presence of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae and landscape metrics and malaria cases.

Results: Ninety-one females of *Ny. darlingi*, *Ny. rangeli*, *Ny. benarrochi* B and *Ny. konderi* B were found to be infected with *Plasmodium*. Analysis showed that the number of malaria cases and the number of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae were more prevalent in sites with higher edge density and intermediate forest cover (30–70%). The distance of the drainage network to a dwelling was inversely correlated to malaria risk. The peak biting time of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae was 00:00–03:00 h. The presence of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes was higher in landscapes with > 13 malaria cases.

Conclusions: *Nyssorhynchus darlingi*, *Ny. rangeli*, *Ny. benarrochi* B and *Ny. konderi* B can be involved in malaria transmission in rural settlements. The highest fraction of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae was caught from midnight to 03:00 h. In some Amazonian localities, the highest exposure to infectious bites occurs when residents are sleeping, but transmission can occur throughout the night. Forest fragmentation favors increases in both malaria and the
Background

Human malaria is mainly caused by the protozoan parasites Plasmodium falciparum Welch, 1897 and P. vivax (Grassi & Feletti, 1890). Four additional species have also been identified [1]. Approximately 70 species of the subfamily Anophelinae Grassi, 1900 are vectors of Plasmodium Marchiafava & Celli (1885), of which 41 are considered to be dominant vectors [2, 3]. In Brazil, Nyssorhynchus darlingi (Root, 1926; formerly Anopheles darlingi), Ny. marajoara (Galvao & Damasceno, 1942; formerly Anopheles marajoara) and Ny. aquasalis (Curry, 1932; formerly Anopheles aquasalis) are the primary vectors of human malaria [4, 5].

Despite major progress in the global control and elimination of malaria, the World Health Organization reports show alarming increases in the incidence of malaria from 2014 to 2018. In Brazil, after a 6-year period of successive reduction, the incidence of malaria increased from 117,832 cases in 2016 to 174,522 in 2017 [6]. A reduction of 19% in malaria incidence was reported from 2018 (193,837) to 2019 (156,918) [7]. Most cases (99.5%) occurred in the Amazon, with > 90% of infections caused by P. vivax. Malaria hotspots occur in the Brazilian Amazon where both P. vivax and P. falciparum transmission is continuously high [8]. Such hotspots represent a challenge in terms of achieving the control and elimination of Plasmodium infection [9]. Some of these hotspots are found in the westernmost municipalities of Cruzeiro do Sul and Mâncio Lima (Acre state), where the proportion of malaria cases due to P. falciparum and P. vivax + P. falciparum can be as high as 25% of the overall estimated cases in Acre [7].

Environmental factors, including temperature, precipitation and humidity, can affect the risk of malaria infection [10–15]. Minor variations in temperature can increase or decrease the extrinsic incubation period of Plasmodium, which in turn affects the vector competence and vectorial capacity of populations of a vector species [16]. In addition, increased density of mosquito habitats in human-dominated landscapes can lead to augmented abundance of mosquito vectors, resulting in increased malaria incidence. An interrelated chain of ecological events has been shown to lead to alterations in mosquito species composition in environments that are impacted by habitat loss and fragmentation associated with anthropogenic activities [17, 18]. An increased abundance of generalist and opportunistic species [19], including vectors and infectious pathogens [20], has been shown to have a potential effect on mosquito communities. Also, changes in the spatiotemporal distribution of larval habitats can influence vector abundance and human–mosquito contact rate [21, 22]. Furthermore, changes in rural infrastructure that alter standing freshwater distribution, such as the construction of dams, reservoirs and irrigation networks, may increase malaria incidence unless they are coordinated with measures to minimize the risk of disease outbreaks [23]. In a study aimed to understand factors affecting the increase and decrease of malaria risk and the dynamics of transmission in areas that are currently experiencing ecological change and human occupation, Baeza et al. [24] demonstrated that the ecological changes that promote increases in mosquito abundance and Plasmodium dispersion occur at a faster rate than the socioeconomic factors that can prevent malaria transmission.

In the Amazon, deforestation is the major driver of changes in the forest landscape and also a major driver of increased malaria incidence [25]. However, the relationship between landscape change and malaria is complex and bidirectional, i.e. increased incidence of the disease in the early stages of deforestation can lead to a decrease in forest clearing [26]. Recently, Souza et al. [8] showed that the spatial pattern of malaria transmission linked to the economic expansion is primarily associated with extractive activities, human movement and agricultural settlements. In rural settlements, particularly those located in areas neighboring the forest fringe, environmental changes can increase the number of partially shaded larval habitats, thus favoring increased Ny. darlingi abundance [27]. In addition, socioeconomic factors impact malaria because poor housing, poor management of the environment and inadequate sanitary conditions facilitate human–mosquito contact and, thereby, can increase the human–mosquito contact rate and malaria transmission [28–30].

The dynamics of malaria are influenced by several factors linked to vector ecology, Plasmodium infection rate in both mosquito and human populations, human behavior and the environment. Malaria transmission in rural settlements affects the socioeconomic development, human well-being, quality of life and health of
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found strong evidence of outdoor transmission of present as challenges to vector control. For example, we in Brazil, we identified additional components that can components were used to achieve the following aims: (i) ident-
tification of Plasmodium infection in anopheline species; (ii) measurement of the effects of landscape on the num-er of local malaria cases, the number of Ny. darlingi in peridomestic habitats, human biting rate (HBR) and number of Plasmodium-infected Anophelinae in perido-
metric habitats; and (iii) determination of the frequency and peak biting time of Plasmodium-infected mosquitoes
and Ny. darlingi.

Taking into account the malaria elimination policy in Brazil, we identified additional components that can present as challenges to vector control. For example, we found strong evidence of outdoor transmission of Plasmodium by Ny. darlingi and other anophelines. An interest-
ing and yet intriguing result was that we detected P. falciparum in sylvatic anopheline species at the forest edges of Acre state. One of the novelties of this study was to show that the incidence rate ratio (IRR) of Plasmodium was greater after midnight, when the number of bites per human from Ny. darlingi was lower, in comparison with that in the crepuscular period.

Methods

Study area, mosquito collections and species identification

Mosquitoes of the subfamily Anophelinae were collected in rural settlements in the municipalities of Acrelân-
dia (Acre state), Cruzeiro do Sul (Acre state), Mâncio Lima (Acre state), Itacoatiara (Amazonas state), Lábrea (Amazonas state), Pacajá (Pará state) and Machadinho D’Oeste (Rondônia state), Brazil (Fig. 1). These areas are characterized by a wet season, a dry season and wet–dry transition months. The mean annual regional rainfall is > 2000 mm, and the mean temperature is approximately 26 °C. The mean annual relative humidity is approximately 59% but varies substantially with rainfall and surface water [32].

Field collections were conducted from January 2015 to November 2016 in Acrelândia, Cruzeiro do Sul and Mâncio Lima, collections were conducted from 18:00 to 06:00 h, whereas in Machadinho D’Oeste, Rondônia, Pacajá, Pará, Itacoatiara and Lábrea, collections were conducted from 18:00 to 00:00 h (Table 1). Two data sets were employed for the statistical analyses. One data set comprised the 12-h collections and the second data set included the 6-h collections at all locations. Mosquitoes were sampled outdoors for 42 houses in 23 locations. In the peridomestic habitats, mosquitoes were col-
lected using the human landing catch (HLC) and bar-
rrier screen sampling (BSS) methods. At the forest edge, mosquitoes resting on the walls of a Shannon trap (ST) were collected [33] using both a light source and human attraction. HLC and ST collections were carried out on one night at each of the 42 houses, whereas BSS collec-
tions were conducted in peridomestic habitats of 13 houses. The selection of field localities, houses in which HLC trapping was carried out and collection protocols are described in detail in Sallum et al. [32] and Massad et al. [34]. BSS was performed by two to four collectors in each of the 13 peridomestic habitats on the day after the HLC and ST collections (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Female mosquitoes were euthanized at hourly intervals with ethyl acetate (C₄H₈O₂) vapors in the field and stored in silica gel; each set was marked with the date, location, house, collection method and hour of collection. All field collections were carried out under permanent per-
mit number 12583–1 from the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio, SISBIO) granted to one of the authors (MAMS). The collection locations were not privately owned or protected, and the field studies did not involve protected or endangered spe-
cies [35].

Specimens were identified to species level using the morphological key of Forattini [36], then labeled and stored individually in silica gel at room temperature for subsequent analysis. Specimens from species complexes were molecularly identified using a 658-bp fragment of the barcode region of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene (COI) using the protocol described in Bourke et al. [37]. The taxonomic nomenclature adopted in this study is that proposed by Foster et al. [38].

Natural infectivity

Genomic DNA was extracted from all Anophelinae female mosquitoes using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Hilden, Germany). All DNA samples were tested for Plasmodium spp. infection as described by Sallum et al. [32]. DNA pools of up to five females con-
taining equal amounts of genomic DNA (gDNA) were tested initially. Samples with a DNA concentration of < 1.0 ng/µl or > 15 ng/µl were tested individually and not pooled. Each real-time PCR was carried out in a final vol-
ume of 20 µl containing 1× PerfeCTa qPCR ToughMix, uracil N-glycosylase (UNG), ROX (Quanta BioSciences Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA), 0.3 µM each primer [39], ultrapure H₂O and 2 µl of genomic DNA. The PCR
thermal conditions were as follows: 5 min of UNG activation by holding at 45 °C; denaturation at 95 °C/10 min, followed by amplification at 95 °C/15 s, 60 °C/1 min for 50 cycles. When the species of *Plasmodium* could not be detected with the triplex assay, conventional PCR was performed using primer pairs for *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* [40].

**Malaria cases**

The numbers of malaria cases and human population at risk by epidemiological week and annual parasite incidence (API) for both *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* were requested from the Ministry of Health, Sistemas de Informações de Vigilância Epidemiológica (SIVEP)-Malaria [41], through the Electronic System of the Citizen Information Service (Sistema Eletrônico do Serviço de Informações ao Cidadão [SIC]) (https://esic.cgu.gov.br/sistema/site/index.aspx), protocols #25,820,001,316,201,742, #25,820,003,892,201,813, #25,820,004,426,201,847 and #25,820,004,717,201,835) (Table 1).

**Landscape analysis**

Deforestation was measured as the amount of forest cover (FC), the sum of forest edges (i.e. a proxy for forest fragmentation, edge density [ED]) and the distance of the house from the drainage network (DW) in each location sampled. For each collection location, the percentage of FC in a 1-km radius (i.e. 3.14-km² landscape) around the
Table 1  Municipality and locality collection, mosquito collection date, local malaria cases and local population

| Collection point | State | ID_SIVEP | Municipality | Locality | Collection date | Local malaria cases | Population |
|------------------|-------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|
| P1               | RO    | 373      | Machadinho D’Oeste | Linha TB 14-Galo Velho | Oct 2015 | 5 | 129 |
| P2               | RO    | 374      | Machadinho D’Oeste | Linha TB 13-Galo Velho | Oct 2015 | 9 | 111 |
| P3               | RO    | 371      | Machadinho D’Oeste | Linha 10-Galo Velho | Oct 2015 | 13 | 180 |
| P4               | RO    | 371      | Machadinho D’Oeste | Linha 10-Galo Velho | Oct 2015 | 13 | 180 |
| P5               | RO    | 376      | Machadinho D’Oeste | Linha 09-Galo Velho | Oct 2015 | 13 | 90 |
| P6               | RO    | 376      | Machadinho D’Oeste | Linha 09-Galo Velho | Oct 2015 | 13 | 90 |
| P1               | AM    | 16       | Lábrea        | Boa Água-[PA. Umari] | Aug 2015 | 33 | 163 |
| P2               | AM    | 16       | Lábrea        | Boa Água-[PA. Umari] | Aug 2015 | 33 | 163 |
| P3               | AM    | 138      | Lábrea        | PA Paciá     | Aug 2015 | 26 | 286 |
| P4               | AM    | 21       | Lábrea        | Apairal-[PA. Umari] | Aug 2015 | 19 | 68 |
| P5               | AM    | 21       | Lábrea        | Apairal-[PA. Umari] | Aug 2015 | 19 | 68 |
| P6               | AM    | 21       | Lábrea        | Apairal-[PA. Umari] | Aug 2015 | 19 | 68 |
| P1               | AC    | 22       | Cruzeiro do Sul | Saboeiro     | April, May 2015 | 138 | 2239 |
| P2               | AC    | 8        | Cruzeiro do Sul | Cohab        | April, May 2015 | 58 | 1258 |
| P3               | AC    | 37       | Cruzeiro do Sul | Canela Fina  | April, May 2015 | 69 | 426  |
| P4               | AC    | 42       | Cruzeiro do Sul | Igarapé Preto| April, May 2015 | 52 | 634  |
| P5               | AC    | 76       | Cruzeiro do Sul | Humaitá      | April, May 2015 | 37 | 127  |
| P6               | AC    | 76       | Cruzeiro do Sul | Humaitá      | April, May 2015 | 37 | 127  |
| P1               | AC    | 2        | Mâncio Lima   | Guarani      | May, June 2015  | 56 | 1174 |
| P2               | AC    | 2        | Mâncio Lima   | Guarani      | May, June 2015  | 56 | 1174 |
| P3               | AC    | 71       | Mâncio Lima   | Colônia Normando | May, June 2015 | 8 | 73   |
| P4               | AC    | 52       | Mâncio Lima   | Paraná Pentecoste | May, June 2015 | 189 | 544 |
| P5               | AC    | 52       | Mâncio Lima   | Paraná Pentecoste | May, June 2015 | 189 | 544 |
| P6               | AC    | 52       | Mâncio Lima   | Paraná Pentecoste | May, June 2015 | 189 | 544 |
| P1               | AC    | 110      | Acrelândia    | Reserva Porto Dias | Jan 2015 | 6 | 544  |
| P2               | AC    | 110      | Acrelândia    | Reserva Porto Dias | Jan 2015 | 6 | 544  |
| P3               | AC    | 110      | Acrelândia    | Reserva Porto Dias | Jan 2015 | 6 | 544  |
| P4               | AC    | 110      | Acrelândia    | Reserva Porto Dias | Jan 2015 | 6 | 544  |
| P5               | AC    | 127      | Acrelândia    | Reserva Porto Luiz | Jan 2015 | 0 | 209  |
| P6               | AC    | 127      | Acrelândia    | Reserva Porto Luiz | Jan 2015 | 0 | 209  |
| P1               | PA    | 332      | Pacajá        | Invasão (Cururu) | April 2016 | 5 | 112  |
| P2               | PA    | 332      | Pacajá        | Invasão (Cururu) | April 2016 | 5 | 112  |
| P3               | PA    | 332      | Pacajá        | Invasão (Cururu) | April 2016 | 5 | 112  |
| P4               | PA    | 343      | Pacajá        | Cururú–Núcleo G | April 2016 | 2 | 123  |
| P5               | PA    | 334      | Pacajá        | Cururú–Núcleo F | April 2016 | 3 | 189  |
| P6               | PA    | 332      | Pacajá        | Invasão (Cururu) | April 2016 | 5 | 112  |
| P1               | AM    | 375      | Itacoatiara   | Ramal do Incra | Nov 2016 | 1 | 106  |
| P2               | AM    | 375      | Itacoatiara   | Ramal do Incra | Nov 2016 | 1 | 106  |
| P3               | AM    | 374      | Itacoatiara   | Ramal do Minério | Nov 2016 | 11 | 333 |
| P4               | AM    | 374      | Itacoatiara   | Ramal do Minério | Nov 2016 | 11 | 333 |
| P5               | AM    | 93       | Itacoatiara   | Estr. Vila de Novo Remanso I | Nov 2016 | 0 | 268 |
| P6               | AM    | 93       | Itacoatiara   | Estr. Vila de Novo Remanso I | Nov 2016 | 0 | 268 |

AC Acre state, AM Amazonas state, PA Pará state, RO Rondônia state

*ID_SIVEP: SIVEP location. SIVEP: database of the Brazilian governmental program Sistema de Informação de Vigilância Epidemiológica da Malária (SIVEP-Malaria) that provides epidemiological and surveillance information, with registration of all information and compulsory reporting of detected cases of malaria by all medical units and medical practitioners

b Number of cases of malaria in the month of collection and the previous month (Source: SIVEP-Malaria)

c Number of human inhabitants in the year the collection was performed (Source: SIVEP-Malaria)
HLC house was calculated (see details in [32]). FC and other landscape metrics were calculated using European Space Agency (ESA) Sentinel 2A satellite imagery from the closest possible date to the field collection, minimizing cloud coverage. Radiometric and atmospheric corrections were performed using ESA’s Sen2Cor software v2.4.0 [42]. Maximum likelihood supervised classification was used to assign each pixel as forest or non-forest using spectral bands 2, 3 and 4. The percentage FC was calculated for each collection site as described in Prussing et al. [35]. The ED is a measure of the total perimeter of the edge of each remaining fragment of forest present within the same area used to calculated percentage FC. The DW was built from digital elevation models (DEMs) available on the ESA website, as well as from the satellite images used for classification. Thus, through processes in a geographic information system (GIS) environment, it was possible to design the DW for the collection areas. The DW was used to calculate the distance from each HLC collection point to the nearest body of water. All landscape metrics were calculated from circular images of a 1-km radius centered on the house in which the HLC collection was performed. For each of the 42 locations, a circular image and the three above-mentioned metrics were obtained. There was no overlap between locations sampled.

**Association between landscape, malaria cases and mosquitoes**

Negative binomial regression as follows:

\[
\ln(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FC_i + \beta_2 ED_i + \beta_3 DW_i + \epsilon
\]

was used to estimate the association between each response variable: the number of local malaria cases, the number of *Ny. darlingi* in the peridomestic habitat, HBR and the number of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae in the peridomestic habitat and the independent variables FC, ED and DW.

As response variables: the number of *Ny. darlingi* corresponded to the sum of specimens collected by HLC from 18:00 to 00:00 h in each peridomestic habitat. The number of infected mosquitoes refers to the total of anophelines positive for *Plasmodium* spp. in each peridomestic habitat and collected by HLC from 18:00 to 00:00 h. Data on total local malaria cases of *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* registered in each locality sampled in the previous month and the collection months were gathered from the national surveillance system (SIVEP) (Table 1). HBR was employed as a proxy for the HLC rate because we assumed that the females collected were seeking a blood meal. Therefore, HBR is the total of *Ny. darlingi* collected in each peridomestic habitat per night (18:00–00:00 h) by one person. The independent variables were grouped in categories as shown in Table 2.

The exponential of \(\beta\) represents the relative risk (IRR). We tested the following null hypothesis (\(H_0: IRR = 1\)) with its alternative (\(H_1: IRR \neq 1\)) considering a significance level of 0.05 (type-I error or \(\alpha\)) and confidence intervals (CIs) of (1−\(\alpha\))%. An IRR > 1 indicates a reciprocal association between the response and independent variables, whereas IRR < 1 indicates that this relationship was non-reciprocal. Lastly, IRR = 1 indicates no association (null effect).

**Peak biting time**

To determine the frequency and the peak biting time of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae and *Ny. darlingi* the negative binomial regression was used as follows:

\[
\ln(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T1i + \beta_2 T2i + \beta_3 T3i + \beta_4 T4i + \epsilon
\]

where the response variables were number of *Ny. darlingi* and number of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae species. Data from 12-h collections in peridomestic habitats by HLC were used. The independent variable was the time interval (TI), stratified into quartiles. Each quartile corresponded to a 3-h time interval: first quartile, 18:00–21:00 h (baseline); second quartile, 21:00–00:00 h; third quartile, 00:00–03:00 h; and fourth quartile, 03:00–06:00 h. Analyses were performed by employing data from Acrelândia, Mâncio Lima and Cruzeiro do Sul, all in western Acre state, because 12-h collections were conducted only in these municipalities. We calculated the IRR and tested the hypothesis as described above.

**Infected mosquitoes and landscape and malaria**

Binomial logistic regression was applied to estimate the relationship between the presence of infected mosquitoes in peridomestic habitats and landscape metrics and local malaria cases. Explanatory variables are shown in Table 3. This model was adjusted by the number of local malaria cases, which was categorized based on median value. For this analysis, data from 39 peridomestic habitats were used, rather than 42, because anopheline mosquitoes were not found in three habitats. All analyses were performed using Stata/IC 16.1 software [43].

**Results**

**Mosquito collection and species identification**

A total of 6962 anophelines of 40 species (Additional file 2: Table S1) were collected in peridomestic and forest edge habitats in localities with active malaria transmission. The ST collections at the forest edge comprised 1492 anopheline mosquitoes. Peridomestic collections accounted for 5470 Anophelinae mosquitoes, of which
4369 were collected by HLC and 1101 by BSS. In the peri-domestic habitats (Additional file 2: Table S1), 90.22% of the collected Anophelinae were *Ny. darlingi*, 2.03% were *Ny. oryzalimnetes* Wilkerson & Motoki, 2009 and 1.86% were *Ny. braziliensis* (Chagas, 1907). The remaining species accounted for 5.89% of the collection. In the forest edge, 27.35% were *Ny. konderi* B, 24.33% were *Ny. trian-nulatus* (s.l.) (Neiva & Pinto, 1922) and 21.45% were *Ny. darlingi*. The remaining Anophelinae species accounted for 26.87% of the collection. Thirteen specimens of the genus *Chagasia* Cruz, 1906 were collected at the forest edge but none were tested for *Plasmodium*.

**Natural infectivity**
A total of 6949 anopheline females were tested for *Plasmodium* infection, of which 69 were *P. vivax* positive, 20 were *P. falciparum* positive and two were *P. vivax + P. falciparum* positive (Table 4). The overall *Plasmodium* infection rate was 1.31%. *Plasmodium vivax* was identified in females collected in Mâncio Lima, Lábrea, Cruzeiro do Sul, Machadinho D’Oeste, Itacoatiara, Acrlândia and Pacajá, whereas *Ny. darlingi* infected with *P. falciparum* were collected in Mâncio Lima, Lábrea and Machadinho D’Oeste. *Nyssorhynchus* darlin-gi specimens with mixed *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* infections were collected by HLC in a peridomestic habitat in Mâncio Lima. At the forest edge habitat, one *Ny. darlingi* collected in Lábrea, Amazonas state, was infected with *P. falciparum* and one *Ny. konderi* B from Acrlândia, Acre state had mixed *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* infections. Most *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes were collected by HLC (*n* = 72), 17 by BSS; two were caught in a ST (Table 4). The hourly distribution of Anophelinae-infected females in the peridomestic habitats of each municipality is shown in Additional file 3: Figure S2 and Additional file 4: Figure S3.

**Association between landscape, malaria cases and mosquitoes**
The results of the negative binomial regression showed the following. First, the number of local malaria cases was positively associated with ED (Additional file 5: Table S2). The malaria IRR was 5.63-fold higher (IRR = 6.63, 95% CI 3.34—13.17, *P* < 0.001) in landscapes exposed to fragmen-tation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fragmentation (ED ranging from 0.0149 to 0.0292) than in those not exposed to fra-

**Peak biting time**
The regression analyses showed that the number of *Ny. darlingi* collected per hour decreased as the time approached 06:00 h, decreasing from 551 collected from

---

**Table 2** Values that were considered to categorize explanatory variables in the negative binomial regression

| Explanatory variables | Exposure | Values          |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------|
| FC                    | 1        | Yes 30–70%     |
|                       | 0        | No 0–30% or 70–100% |
| ED                    | 1        | Yes 0.0149 to 0.0292 (3rd and fourth quartiles) |
|                       | 0        | No 0.0077 to 0.0141 (first and second quartiles) |
| DW                    | 1        | Yes ≤ 138 m (median) |
|                       | 0        | No > 138 m (median) |

**Table 3** Values considered to categorize explanatory variables in binomial logistic regression

| Explanatory variables | Exposure | Values          |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------|
| FC                    | 1        | Yes 30–70%     |
|                       | 0        | No 0–30% or 70–100% |
| ED                    | 1        | Yes 0.0150 to 0.0292 |
|                       | 0        | No 0.0077 to 0.0149 |
| DW                    | 1        | Yes ≤ 138 m (third and fourth quartiles) |
|                       | 0        | No > 138 m (first and second quartiles) |
| Number of local malaria cases | 1 | Yes > 13 (median) |
|                       | 0        | No ≤ 13 (median) |
consistent with the observed results. The number of infected mosquitoes peaked after midnight, as opposed to the early evening peak abundance of collected *Ny. darlingi*. The IRR of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes was 600% greater in the overnight period (00:00–03:00 h) than during the time period when the peak number of *Ny. darlingi* were collected (18:00–21:00 h) (IRR = 7.0, 95% CI 1.04–46.97, *P* = 0.045) (Table 5).

**Infected mosquitoes, landscape and malaria**

In Itacoatiara (Amazonas state), three peridomestic habitats were negative for *Anophelinae* and subsequently excluded from the binomial logistic regression analyses. The presence of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes in the peridomestic habitats was negatively associated with DW (odds ratio [OR] = 0.09, 95% CI 0.01–0.60, *P* = 0.013) and positively associated with the number of local malaria cases (OR = 9.41, 95% CI 1.49–59.65, *P* = 0.017) (Additional file 8: Table S5). No significant association was found with FC and ED. The OR of the presence of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes was 91% lower in sites nearest the DW (DW ≤ 138 m) than in sites more distant from the DW (DW > 138 m). The OR of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes in the peridomestic habitats was 8.41-fold higher in sites where the number of malaria cases was > 13 than in sites with ≤ 13 malaria cases.

**Discussion**

Anthropogenic change in natural environments is a major driver of deforestation, habitat fragmentation and ecological changes that usually favor the emergence, resurgence or proliferation of zoonotic pathogens, reservoir hosts and mosquito vectors [25, 44–46]. Changes in land use and freshwater distribution can lead to the increased abundance and dispersion of mosquito vectors and the propagation of the pathogens they can carry as intermediate reservoir hosts [23, 24]. In addition, poor socioeconomic conditions can increase human exposure to pathogens, thus causing surges in and spreading of vector-borne infections, including malaria [28].

The status of *Ny. darlingi* as a dominant vector of *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* has been reinforced by the findings of this study carried out in rural settlements in the Brazilian Amazon basin. The importance of the exophilic population of *Ny. darlingi* in Amazonian Brazil is strengthened by the fact that one female collected in ST in the forest edge was infected with *P. falciparum* in Lábrea, Amazonas, and a second female infected by both

### Table 4 Species of mosquitoes infected by *Plasmodium* spp., number of infected mosquitoes by environment (peridomestic and forest edge) and collection method

| Nyssorhynchus spp. | *Plasmodium vivax* | *Plasmodium falciparum* | *Plasmodium vivax + P. falciparum* |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
|                     | Peridomestic site | Forest edge           | Peridomestic site              | Forest edge          | Peridomestic site | Forest edge |
|                     | HLC   BS ST       | HLC   BS ST           | HLC   BS ST                    |                     | HLC   BS ST       |
| *Ny. darlingi*     | 60 6 0           | 9 10 1               | 1 0 0                          |
| *Ny. rangeli*      | 1 0 0            | 0 0 0                | 0 0 0                          |
| *Ny. benarrochi* B | 1 1 0            | 0 0 0                | 0 0 0                          |
| *Ny. konderi*      | 0 0 0            | 0 0 0                | 0 0 1                          |

BS Barrier screen, HLC human landing catch, ST Shannon trap

### Table 5 Negative binomial regression analysis on the number of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes captured during the different collection intervals

| Time period (h) | Number of *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes | Incidence rate ratio | Standard error | *P* value | 95% Confidence interval |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|
| 18:00–21:00     | 3                                         | 1.00                |                |           |                        |
| 21:00–00:00     | 8                                         | 2.67                | 2.695          | 0.332     | 0.368–19.323           |
| 00:00–03:00     | 21                                        | 7.00                | 6.800          | 0.045*    | 1.043–46.986           |
| 03:00–06:00     | 9                                         | 3.00                | 3.011          | 0.274     | 0.420–21.447           |
| _cons_          | 0.06                                      | 0.044               | 0.000          |           | 0.120–0.258            |

*Significant difference at *P* < 0.05
*P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* was collected in a peridomestic site in Mâncio Lima. In nearby Amazonian Peru, *Ny. darlingi* collected in peridomestic sites using the HLC method have been detected to be infected with *P. falciparum* in numerous communities in the peri-Iquitos region and Mazan district [47–49].

The results of this investigation reveal that other species other than *Ny. darlingi* can be local vectors of *Plasmodium* in the rural settlements sampled. Specimens of *Ny. rangeli* and *Ny. benarrochi B* were found infected with *P. vivax* in peridomestic habitats, and *Ny. konderi* B collected in the forest edge was found to be infected by *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum*. The importance of *Ny. benarrochi B* as a local vector of *P. vivax* was shown by an earlier investigation carried out in eastern Peru [50] and in Putumayo, Colombia [51]. In the present study, females of *Ny. benarrochi B* infected with *P. vivax* were captured in HLC in Pacajá, Pará state, suggesting a local role for this species in *Plasmodium* dispersion among settlers. *Nyssorhynchus benarrochi* is a species complex composed of *Ny. benarrochi* (s.s.), *Ny. benarrochi B*, *Nyssorhynchus benarrochi G1* and *Nyssorhynchus benarrochi G2* [37]. Whereas *Ny. benarrochi* (s.s.) was identified based on morphology only, the other three members of the complex were defined by DNA sequence data. Thus, further work is necessary to obtain further evidence to separate these species using both morphology and DNA data and to identify those species that are vectors of *Plasmodium*. According to Bourke et al. [37], *Ny. benarrochi B* is found in Cruzeiro do Sul, Mâncio Lima, western Acre state and Peru, *Ny. benarrochi G1* occurs in Acrelândia, Acre state and *Ny. benarrochi G2* occurs in Machadinho D’Oeste, Rondônia state. *Nyssorhynchus rangeli* is a local vector that usually occurs at low frequencies in endemic areas. Females infected with *Plasmodium* spp. have been reported in Amapá state [52], southern Colombia [53] and southern Peru [54], whereas in the present study, *Ny. rangeli* was found infected with *P. vivax* only in Acrelândia, Acre state. *Nyssorhynchus konderi* B belongs to the Oswaldoi-Konderi Complex [55]. Other species of this complex have been found naturally infected with *Plasmodium* infection, such as *Ny. oswaldoi* (s.l.) and *Ny. konderi* (s.l.) [52, 53, 56, 57]. In the present study, *Plasmodium*-infected *Ny. konderi* B was collected by ST at the forest edge in a location with approximately 84% forest cover, where *Ny. konderi B* was a dominant species (334 specimens of *Ny. konderi* B among 506 Culicidae collected).

The positive association between the number of local malaria cases and forest fragmentation, using ED as a proxy of fragmentation, and between the number of *Plasmodium*-infected anophelines and percentage of FC reinforce previous findings that forest clearing is a risk factor for malaria in Amazonian settlements [26, 58, 59]. Undisturbed areas of the Amazon forest do not provide favorable ecological and environmental conditions for the proliferation of *Ny. darlingi* [60]. In previous field collections carried out in reserves and undisturbed forest locations throughout Amazonas state, *Ny. darlingi* was either absent or rare [61–64], compared with sylvatic species of the *Stethomyia*, *Lophopodomia* and *Chagasia* genera of the subfamily Anophelinae. The loss and fragmentation of FC allow sunlight to reach the soil, leading to changes in freshwater conditions (e.g. in the temperature and pH, among other factors) and increasing the suitability of the larval habitat for *Ny. darlingi* [21, 60]. Together with local socioecological factors, a high density of vector species and high prevalence of infection in humans and in the vector populations, malaria transmission becomes endemic and sustainable [28]. Even though the conditions of many Amazonian rural settlements support malaria transmission, disease distribution remains heterogeneous. This uneven occurrence can be explained by rapid urbanization and improved socioeconomic conditions in consolidated settlements, whereas transmission is high in areas where the economic activity is linked to exploitation of forest products and agricultural settlements [8], associated with continuous deforestation [59]. The transmission intensity of *P. vivax* infection in some Amazonian rural settlements can be as high as that for *P. falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa, whereas in other rural settlements the transmission is low [32, 34]. The risk of acquiring a vector-borne pathogen, including malaria linked to habitat fragmentation, decreases when the distribution of mosquitoes is proportional to that of humans [65].

The results of the analyses showed a negative association between the distance of the household to the nearest water DW and the presence and number of *Plasmodium*-infected anophelines in the peridomestic habitat, including *Ny. darlingi*. This finding indicates that residents of houses closer to a DW have a lower risk of being exposed to anopheline bites and *Plasmodium*-infected females—and contradicts previous findings that demonstrated that residents of houses closer to forest edges have a higher risk of acquiring malaria [58]. However, the DW is a landscape metric, not a proxy of the distance of a household from the forest edge. In addition, our findings can be understood in the context of the distribution of the primary larval habitat of *Ny. darlingi* in the sampled areas. Despite our lack of information on local larval habitat distribution, it is not uncommon to detect water redistribution linked to human land occupation for farming development and food production [23]. In rural areas of the Brazilian Amazon, freshwater redistribution has been found to increase the number of *Ny. darlingi* larval habitats and the density of this species in a human-dominated
environment [21, 27]. Fish-farming ponds also result in the redistribution of freshwater and are associated with epidemic and endemic malaria transmission in urban, periurban and rural areas in western Amazonia [66, 67], Peruvian Amazon [68] and western Kenya [69]. Both commercial and non-commercial pisciculture, particularly when either abandoned or situated at the edge of vegetation that is not cleared constantly, lead to higher mosquito abundance, including malaria vector species [35, 66, 67].

Heterogeneous biting behavior of *Ny. darlingi* in terms of blood-feeding inside and outside houses and variations in the peak time of biting have been shown by numerous studies carried out across the Amazon [60]. Although along the Napo River in Mazán District, Peru, *Ny. darlingi* bites outdoors more frequently than indoors [49], in San José de Lupuna and Cahuide in peri-Iquitos state, Peru, the mosquito showed a trend of increased feeding inside houses, but only after the repellent effects of long-lasting insecticide-treated nets were presumed to have worn off [48]. In addition, variation in biting behavior was reported in French Guiana, where *Ny. darlingi* peaks between 20:30 and 22:30 h [70], and in Venezuela, this mosquito bites throughout the night, with minor peaks at 23:00–00:00 h and 03:00–04:00 h [71]. In the northeastern Amazon, in Macapá municipality, Amapá state, *Ny. darlingi* was detected with either a low but continuous biting peak [72] or multimodal biting peaks throughout the night [73]. Changes in biting behavior of *Ny. darlingi* can be associated with deforestation, ecological factors and microclimate conditions, which emerge due to changes in temperature and humidity. In Iquitos, Peruvian Amazon, the biting rate of this vector species was found to be significantly higher in a region that was undergoing massive deforestation associated with road construction than in locations where the forest remained mostly undisturbed [74].

In the present study, the 12-h HLC collections revealed that the peak time of biting of *Plasmodium*-infected Anophelinae was from 00:00 to 03:00 h in Mâncio Lima, Acrelândia and Cruzeiro do Sul, Acre state. In these regions, although infected mosquitoes were collected throughout the 12-h collections, 73% of the infected mosquitoes (30/41) were captured between 00:00 and 06:00 h. Results of previous studies have shown that the frequency of nulliparous females was higher from 18:00 to 22:00 h, whereas parous females were more frequently collected from 02:00 to 06:00 h [75]. With respect to the latter, the likelihood of collecting infected females in our study should be higher in the second period. The peak biting time of *Plasmodium*-infected females may also be linked to a daily rhythmic behavior that protects females from desiccation by flying at night, increases female longevity and increases biting success and capacity to transmit sporozoites to susceptible hosts at a time when they are less defensive [76]. An experimental study employing *P. chabaudi* genotype AS parasites and *Anopheles stephensi* showed that the interaction between increased gametocyte infectiousness at night and increased mosquito susceptibility to infection enhanced parasite transmission [77]. A similar adaptive periodicity and protective behavior from high temperature/low humidity may occur in mosquitoes that are involved in *Plasmodium* transmission to humans.

The results of this study underscore the importance of the dominant malaria vector, *Ny. darlingi*, and other vector species of human *Plasmodium* in the Brazilian Amazon. The involvement of several Anophelinae species in the dynamics of the *Plasmodium* spp. transmission cycle adds complexity to parasite–vector associations, with implications for an effective malaria control in a region that being impacted by extensive anthropogenic disturbances in the forest environment. The delineation of interventions for malaria control in a heterogeneous scenario of transmission that involves distinct species will require continuous investigation, primarily to verify the role of each species in transmission. Investigations focusing on the knowledge of field malariology are required to define the local determinants of malaria transmission, as denoted by Baird [78] and Benelli and Beier [79]. Ecological characteristics, such as variation in mosquito behavior, vector biodiversity, lack of knowledge of the impact of environmental change on mosquito ecology, among others, can impede the success of malaria control and elimination programs [79].

**Conclusions**

*Nyssorhynchus benarrochi* B and *Ny. konderi* B were found to be naturally infected with *Plasmodium*, and our results corroborate the importance of *Ny. rangeli* as a secondary vector of *Plasmodium*, adding complexity to a program targeting the control of multiple vectors. Forest fragmentation favored an increase in malaria occurrence. The likelihood of being exposed to *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes in the peri-domestic environment is lower in households situated closer to a drainage network than in more distantly located houses. The early hours of the morning were found to present greater risk for being bitten by an infected female in the settlements studied. Thus, the findings of this study provide novel ecological knowledge about anopheline vectors of *Plasmodium* in rural settlements in the Amazon basin and reinforce the importance of the use of impregnated mosquito nets and screens in windows and doors. Further studies should be carried out to verify if the period of higher biting activity described here is also found in landscapes of other rural areas.
settlements and to determine the factors contributing to this pattern.
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