Synthesis and characterization of electrospun polyvinyl alcohol nanofibrous scaffolds modified by blending with chitosan for neural tissue engineering
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Abstract: Among several attempts to integrate tissue engineering concepts into strategies to repair different parts of the human body, neuronal repair stands as a challenging area due to the complexity of the structure and function of the nervous system and the low efficiency of conventional repair approaches. Herein, electrospun polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)/chitosan nanofibrous scaffolds have been synthesized with large pore sizes as potential matrices for nervous tissue engineering and repair. PVA fibers were modified through blending with chitosan and porosity of scaffolds was measured at various levels of their depth through an image analysis method. In addition, the structural, physicochemical, biodegradability, and swelling of the chitosan nanofibrous scaffolds were evaluated. The chitosan-containing scaffolds were used for in vitro cell culture in contact with PC12 nerve cells, and they were found to exhibit the most balanced properties to meet the basic required specifications for nerve cells. It could be concluded that addition of chitosan to the PVA scaffolds enhances viability and proliferation of nerve cells, which increases the biocompatibility of the scaffolds. In fact, addition of a small percentage of chitosan to the PVA scaffolds proved to be a promising approach for synthesis of a neural-friendly polymeric blend.
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Introduction

Generally speaking, the chemical composition and structure of tissue engineering scaffolds should be optimized for supporting the reparative process in a particular tissue and for attachment and proliferation of particular cells.¹ Among different available scaffolds, those recently developed with nanofibrous structures seem to be promising substrates for tissue engineering applications due to their high structural similarity to native extracellular matrix.²⁻⁵

Fiber-based porous scaffolds, which structurally mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM), have been synthesized from numerous natural or synthetic biopolymers. These scaffolds have been specifically engineered by electrospinning platform technology, and were successfully used for nerve tissue engineering applications. Electrospin scaffolds could be optimized to closely mimic the chemical, physical, and biological properties of the extracellular matrix of a particular tissue by fine-tuning its fabrication method and modifying the components of the composites. For most tissues, the biological properties of the scaffolds, including promotion of cell adhesion, proliferation, and...
differentiation, are more important than its microstructure. However, for neural tissues, the microstructure of the scaffold, especially its three-dimensionality, is equally as important as its biological properties.6–9

Electrospinning is a unique technology which can produce non-woven fibrous structures with fiber diameters ranging from nanometers to microns. This range of fiber size is difficult to achieve by other fabrication methods.10,11 The scaffolds fabricated by these nanofibers possess an extremely high ratio of surface to volume, have adjustable porosity, and could easily be customized over a wide range of sizes, shapes, and mechanical properties, which makes them very suitable candidates for neural tissue engineering.12,13 In electrospinning, polymer blending is one of the most effective methods for preparation of composites with specific properties.14 The key issue in this method is adjustment of the ratio of the components, which influences the diameter and morphology of the structure of the fibers and scaffolds and the biological properties of the scaffolds.15 Fine-tuning of nanostructured topographical cues such as grooves, ridges, pores, and nodes is also important as they influence cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation.5

So far, neural scaffolds have been synthesized from several natural and synthetic materials using different fabrication techniques, including electrospinning. The electrospun biodegradable polymers were successfully tested for their efficacy to stimulate axonal regeneration and neural stem cell differentiation, taking into account their different structural properties such as the diameter and alignment of the nanofibers.16–22 The biodegradable polymers used for this purpose mostly include polylactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), collagen, and chitosan.23,24 Of these, PVA is a non-toxic, hydrophilic, and biocompatible material which has also been used for other tissue engineering applications.25,26 Chitosan has been widely used in this field as well.27,28 However, most of the previous studies focus on a single polymer for fabrication of electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds.

The high biocompatibility of chitosan has led several groups to use different techniques for scaffold fabrication, such as different three-dimensional shapes including tubular conduits, using chitosan as the base material.29,30 However, the mechanical properties of these scaffolds are still not optimal for application in nervous tissue. It has been shown that chitosan has quite positive effects on nerve tissue regeneration.31–33 Here, we tried to modify the physicochemical and biological properties of PVA polymer by blending it with chitosan, and used electrospinning for fabrication of a neurocompatible scaffold.

Materials and methods
Materials
PVA (98% hydrolyzed, average molecular weight of 72000 gmol⁻¹), acetic acid (AA), and glutaraldehyde (GA) (25% aqueous solution) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Chitosan (medium molecular weight of 190,000–310,000) was purchased from Orbital Pharma Co (Hebei, China).

Electrospinning procedures
The electrospinning setup utilized in this study consisted of an adjustable high DC voltage power supply, two syringe pumps (SP-500; JMS, Tokyo, Japan) and a ground electrode (a stainless steel drum with an external diameter of 50 mm, length of 10 cm, and variable rotating speed). Polymer solution was placed into metal capillaries (an internal diameter of 0.8 mm and length of 20 mm) with a constant mass flow rate of 0.6 mL/hour. PVA was dissolved in distilled water (DW) at a concentration of 10 wt% and chitosan was dissolved in acetic acid-water (AA-water) solution (2 wt%) at a concentration of 2 wt%. The PVA-DW solution 10 wt% was mixed with the chitosan-AA solution 2 wt% at a weight ratio of (PVA/chitosan) 90/10. Then, the mixed solution and PVA-DW solution (10 wt%) were subjected to the electrospinning experiment separately. The distance between the tips and the ground electrode was 15 cm, while the positive voltage applied to the polymer solutions was 20 kV. The drum was continuously rotating at 250 rpm throughout the course of electrospinning.

Finally, samples were soaked in a cross-linking bath with GA vapor for 24 hours to cross-link the polymeric chains, reduce degradation, and enhance the biomechanical properties of the scaffolds for tissue repair. After soaking in the bath, the samples were carefully washed with 2% glycine aqueous solution several times to remove the remaining amount of GA.

Characterization
Viscosity measurement
Before the electrospinning process, the viscosity of solutions was measured by Brookfield Model DV-III viscometer (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories Inc, Stoughton, MA).

Morphology and microstructure analysis
The morphology and microstructure of the synthesized samples were evaluated using SEM. The electrospun fiber samples were coated with a thin layer of gold (Au) by sputtering (Emitech K450X, Ashford, UK) and their
morphologies were observed under a scanning electron microscope (AIS2100; Seron Technology, Uiwang-si, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea) that operated at the acceleration voltage of 15 kV.

Image analysis program ImageJ (US National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD), which uses grayscale level processing based on image structure, was used to characterize the SEM micrographs in the original magnification of 30×. The average diameters of fibers and the porosity of various layers were calculated by this software package. Using different thresholds, the SEM micrographs were converted to binary images, and porosity of scaffolds were calculated in various layers by a recently developed method described by Ghasemi-Mobarakeh et al.35

FTIR analysis

The samples were examined by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis with a Nicolet 17DSX FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). For IR analysis, 1 mg of the scraped samples was carefully mixed with 300 mg of KBr (infrared grade) and pelletized under vacuum. Then, pellets between 500 and 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) were analyzed with 120 scans averaging 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution. The FTIR analysis was used to characterize the presence of specific chemical groups of PVA and chitosan.

Swelling test

The prepared electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds were placed in a 24-well plate. Each well contained 1 mL of a phosphate buffered solution (PBS; pH 7.4). The scaffolds were incubated in vitro at 37°C for different periods of time (1, 3, 7, and 10 days). After immersion of the scaffolds in PBS solution for these different periods, the amount of fluid uptake was determined by careful removal of samples from the medium after wiping off excess fluid with filter paper. The swelling value (S) was calculated using equation 1:

\[
S = \frac{(W_w - W_d)}{W_d} \times 100
\]  

For this test the samples were weighed for determination of the wet weight (\(W_w\)) as a function of immersion time. \(W_d\) is the dried weight of the samples.

Degradation test

The degradation study of the scaffolds was carried out in vitro by incubating the samples in PBS at pH 7.4, 37°C for different periods of time. After each degradation period, the samples were washed and subsequently dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature for 24 hours. In order to find out the degradation index (\(D_i\)), the weight of the samples (\(W_t\)) and the degradation index was calculated based on the mass loss using equation 2:

\[
D_i = \frac{(W_0 - W_t)}{W_0} \times 100
\]  

In vitro study in contact with PC12 nerve cell line

The in vitro cytotoxicity of the prepared scaffolds was tested using the PC12 nerve cell line. The line was kept in continuous culture in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and streptomycin/penicillin 100 U/mL (1%). The cells were detached with trypsin/EDTA before seeding on samples. For seeding, the cells were trypsinized, centrifuged, and resuspended in complete culture medium. In the 24-well plate, 90,000 cells were seeded in each well. Then, 6 mg/mL of synthesized scaffolds were added to each well. After 72 hours of incubation, MTT solution (5 mg/mL) (Sigma, Munich, Germany) was added into each well and incubated for 90–120 minutes.

Then, all the media was discarded and 600 µL DMSO was added to each well. The OD (optical density) values were measured after 30 minutes by an ELISA reader at 590 nm with a reference filter of 620 nm.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the cells were harvested after 3 days of culture. The samples were fixed with 3% GA for 2 hours. Specimens were rinsed in water and dehydrated with graded concentrations (50%, 70%, 90%, 100% v/v) of ethanol. Subsequently, the samples were treated with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) and kept in a fume hood for air drying. Finally, the samples were coated with gold to observe cell morphology.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in fifth replicate. The results were given as mean ± standard error (SE). Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s test, with significance reported when \(P < 0.05\). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used for assessment of distribution of data.

Results and discussion

Viscosity behavior

Before electrospinning, the viscosities of the solutions were measured by Brookfield Model DV-III viscometer. The viscosity of PVA solution was 557 centipoise and that of PVA/chitosan solution (with the weight ratio of 90/10) was 1726 centipoise. This is in agreement with the data published by Paipitak et al37 who reported a linear increase in viscosity
of PVA solution after blending with increasing amounts of chitosan. The high viscosity increases the interaction of two polymers, mainly through hydrogen bonding, and decreases the effects of surface tension. This will result in formation of fibers with uniform morphology after electrospinning.  

In recent years, polymer blending has become a method for providing polymeric materials with desirable properties for practical applications. In particular, chitosan blended with PVA has been reported to have good mechanical and chemical properties and, as a topic of great interest, has been extensively studied in the biomedical field. The enhanced property has been attributed to the interactions between chitosan and PVA in the blend through hydrophobic side-chain aggregation and intramolecular and intra-molecular hydrogen bonds as shown in Figure 1.

**Morphology and porosity of nanofibrous scaffolds**

Electrospun PVA and PVA/chitosan scaffolds were observed by SEM in 3000 magnification. Figures 2 and 3 show the SEM micrographs of the electrospun PVA and PVA/chitosan scaffolds, respectively. As can be seen in Figure 3, in PVA/chitosan blend (weight ratio 90/10), the average fiber diameter was found to be 221 nm with a range of 94–410 nm; while in PVA alone, the average fiber diameter was 744 nm with a range of 395–1105 nm (Figure 2). Similar observations have been made by Lin et al and Ignatova et al who investigated a series of PVA/chitosan blend nanofibrous membranes at different weight ratios and found a decrease in the average diameter of the nanofibers with increasing the chitosan content.

It should be noted that in electrospinning, the fiber diameter is dependent on the viscosity and charge of the solution. Typically, when the viscosity is increased, the diameter is increased proportionally. Chitosan affects not only the viscosity but also the charge density at the surface of the jet through its cationic polyelectrolytic property. It increases the charge density at the surface of the jet which in turn increases the elongation force and decreases the diameter of the fiber.55

When the grayscale image was converted to binary form by ImageJ software, various layers of nanofibers could be seen by applying different thresholds. Figure 4 shows the configuration of histograms of PVA and PVA/chitosan nanofibrous scaffolds. As previously described by Ghasemi-Mobarakeh et al, the results are not dependent upon the magnification or histogram of images. Various layers in most image magnifications and histograms allow calculation of porosity.

Analysis was performed on various layers of nanofibers by application of thresholds (see Figure 5). Threshold 1 eliminated the upper layer, and so, the surface layers were captured, a representative sum of surface and middle layers was captured using threshold 2, and threshold 3 was used for capture of all of the visible layers.

After converting the original image to various binary images, the porosity of each binary image was calculated using the mean intensity of micrographs using equation 3:

\[
P = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \times 100
\]  

where \(n\) is the number of white pixels, \(N\) is the total number of pixels in binary image, and \(P\) is the porosity of binary image. The porosity of binary images with thresholds of 1, 2, and 3 is presented as P1, P2, and P3, and the results of porosity calculations of various binary images with different thresholds are shown in Table 1.

Interestingly, it was shown that the porosity of the same layers in the scaffolds fabricated with PVA and PVA/chitosan blend did not differ significantly. However, the pore morphologies were different. As mentioned above, PVA solution yielded fibers with relatively large diameters, which formed pores larger than those formed by small-diameter PVA/chitosan fibers. The numbers of pores were also different. PVA/chitosan scaffolds had a higher number of pores.

It should be noted that scaffold porosity and pore morphology are important for many tissue engineering applications as they allow migration of the cells and growth of blood vessels across the scaffold and ensure effective exchange of nutrients and waste products between the cells and their microenvironment. Here, we proposed and used an effective method for measurement of porosity at different layers of a scaffold.

**Figure 1** The schematic of intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonds that occurred after blending PVA with chitosan. **Abbreviation:** PVA, polyvinyl alcohol.
Chemical bonding

FTIR spectroscopy was used to assess the chemical groups of the polymers. Figure 6 shows the FTIR spectra of PVA, chitosan, and PVA/chitosan blend. In Figure 6B, for the chitosan sample, the major characteristic peaks around 844 and 1151 cm\(^{-1}\) related to the saccharide structure (as the repeating unit of chitosan) are clearly observable.\(^{46,47}\) In addition, the strong absorption peaks at 1740, 1480, and 1346 cm\(^{-1}\) are shown, which are characteristic of chitosan and have been reported as amide I, II, and III peaks, respectively. The sharp peaks at 1382 and 1417 cm\(^{-1}\) could be assigned to the CH\(_3\) symmetrical deformation mode. Also, the broad peaks at 1382 and 1122 cm\(^{-1}\) indicate the C–O stretching vibration in chitosan, and another broad peak at 3447 cm\(^{-1}\) is caused by amine N–H symmetrical vibration. The peak observed at around 2947 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the typical C–H stretch vibrations.\(^{45}\) In Figure 6A, all major peaks related to hydroxyl and acetate groups are shown in the FTIR spectrum of PVA. More specifically, the broad band observed between 3550 and 3200 cm\(^{-1}\) is associated with the O–H stretch from the intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The vibrational band observed between 2840 and 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) is the result of the C–H stretch from alkyl groups and the peaks between 1730 and 1680 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the C=O and C–O stretches from the remaining acetate groups in PVA (saponification reaction of polyvinyl acetate).\(^{49–51}\)

Moreover, the FTIR spectra of the PVA/chitosan fibers (Figure 6C) are typical of the characteristic peaks of PVA and chitosan except those related to the ionization of the primary amino groups of chitosan. These peaks are shown at 1408 and 1548–1560 cm\(^{-1}\). Formation of the 1552–1558 cm\(^{-1}\) peak is due to the symmetric deformation of \(-\text{NH}_2\) groups.
and the peak at 1408 cm\(^{-1}\) is the result of carboxylic acid. The peaks shown at 1700–1725 cm\(^{-1}\) are characteristic of carboxylic acid dimers.\(^{10}\)

**Swelling behavior**
Fluid uptake is an important parameter, which influences the chemical and physical characteristics of the scaffolds after and prior to cell seeding. Herein, swelling experiments were performed after cross-linking of PVA and PVA/chitosan with GA vapor. A representative fluid uptake behavior is shown in Figure 7 for PVA and PVA/chitosan cross-linked scaffolds. The results revealed that chitosan strongly influences the swelling volume of the scaffold and decreases it from 450% (for PVA scaffold) to 300% (for PVA/chitosan scaffold). The reduced swelling volume could be attributed to a more rigid network formed by the inter- and intrapolymer reactions and also to the reduction of hydrophilic groups in PVA/chitosan blend. The latter is due to chitosan amine groups being more reactive to GA than to hydroxyls of PVA. This observation is in agreement with previous studies which reported that chitosan decreases the swelling rate when blended with PVA; the degree of reduction depends on factors such as weight ratio of the components, pH, temperature, and so on.\(^{36,48–51}\)

**Degradation behavior**
Degradation is the process through which useful physicochemical properties of the polymers are lost. This can include loss of polymer mass through mechanisms such as solvation and depolymerization. Degradation behavior of PVA and PVA/chitosan nanofibrous scaffolds using the PBS immersion method is shown in Figure 8. It was clearly observed that the degradation rate of PVA/chitosan scaffolds was much slower than PVA samples. This could be due to higher density of chemical cross-linking between GA and amine groups of chitosan and leads to slower depolymerization.\(^{52,53}\)

**Table 1** Porosity measurement of binary images of PVA and PVA/chitosan with various thresholds

| Sample            | Magnification | P1     | P2     | P3     |
|-------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| PVA               | 3000          | 89.3333| 61.9190| 34.9492|
| PVA/chitosan      | 3000          | 81.4113| 59.8913| 56.2653|

Abbreviation: PVA, polyvinyl alcohol.
Figure 6 FTIR analysis of (A) PVA; (B) chitosan; (C) PVA/chitosan samples.
Abbreviations: FTIR, Fourier transform infrared; PVA, polyvinyl alcohol.

Figure 7 Swelling behavior of PVA and PVA/chitosan samples in different time intervals.
Abbreviation: PVA, polyvinyl alcohol.

Figure 8 Degradation behavior of PVA and PVA/chitosan samples in different time intervals.
Abbreviation: PVA, polyvinyl alcohol.
Viability of the nerve cells

In this study, MTT assay was performed to evaluate the cell proliferation rate of PC12 nerve cells on the PVA and PVA/chitosan nanofibrous scaffolds. As shown in Figure 9, the proliferation of cells on PVA/chitosan scaffolds was higher than on PVA scaffolds, indicating that the chitosan might have accelerated the proliferation rate of PC12 nerve cells. This could be attributed to the higher surface amine and the lower water contents of the swollen PVA/chitosan scaffold. In addition, it has been shown that fiber diameter can influence cell adhesion, proliferation, and migration. For example, it was shown by Christopherson et al that smaller fiber diameters favor better neural cell attachment and proliferation of cells cultured on non-woven electrospun fiber meshes.54

Conclusion

In this study, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) fibers were modified through blending with chitosan and electrospun to fabricate a nanofibrous scaffold. The PVA/chitosan scaffolds have been found to exhibit physicochemical and biological properties which, compared with PVA scaffolds, better meet the requirements of nerve cells.
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