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Abstract

An optical coherent control scheme has been proposed and theoretically investigated where an extreme ultraviolet single attosecond pulse (SAP) propagates through dense helium gas dressed by a time-delayed femtosecond laser pulse. The laser pulse couples the $2s2p^3(1P)$ and $2s^2(1S)$ autoionizing states when the SAP excites the $2s2p$ state. After going through the gas, the spectral and temporal profiles of the SAP are strongly distorted. A narrowed but enhanced spike in the spectrum shows up for specific intensities and time delays of the laser, which exemplifies the control of a broadband photon wave packet by an ultrashort dressing field for the first time. We analyse the photon and electron dynamics and determine the dressing condition that maximizes this enhancement. The result demonstrates new possibilities of attosecond optical control.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

Single attosecond pulses (SAPs) were produced in the laboratory for the first time in 2001 [1]. The synchronized pair of an SAP and an infrared (IR) pulse in the so-called pump–probe scheme has become an indispensable tool over the past decade to time resolve the electron motion in quantum processes such as photoionization [2], Auger emission [3], tunnelling [4], molecular dissociation [5] and autoionization [6]. In most of these experiments, to retrieve the dynamics, the SAP photoionizes the atoms or molecules, and the ‘probe’ IR pulse ‘streaks’ the photoelectrons with different time delays between the pulses. The streaking model is based on electron emission to a structureless continuum energy band. In reality, there are abundant excited states in atoms and molecules, which can be strongly coupled by the IR field. This coupling scheme is not different from that in the electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) effect [7, 8], which has been studied extensively since first discovered in the 1990s [9, 10] using two laser pulses. In fact, the EIT effect has been studied recently in extreme ultraviolet (XUV) [11–14] and x-ray [15, 16] energies in tens to hundreds of femtoseconds.

Using light to control quantum systems has long been of great interest not only for the fundamental understanding of light–matter interactions, but also for applications in quantum information [17], light source generation [18], ultracold atoms [19] and precision spectroscopy [20, 21]. The EIT effect has been a powerhouse for optical coherent control where photoabsorption of light in matter in a certain energy range can be reduced by a precisely configured dressing laser. As a prominent application in quantum information, photon storage is achieved by EIT where the refraction index is tuned to transfer information between photonic and atomic states [22–24]. To achieve efficient coherent transitions, this type of optical control is carried out in nanosecond to microsecond timescales and under perfect resonance conditions between long-living quantum states.

Very recently, after thecolour shifting of a light pulse was proposed [25] and realized [26] using crystals, an alternative approach using the EIT technique with time-delayed pulses was reported [27]. The same approach is also capable of tuning the bandwidth of a propagating light [28]. In the time
domain, a transient ‘transmission gain’ has been observed in nanoseconds when the strong driving field is rapidly switched on or off [29–31]. All of these efforts not only elucidate the EIT mechanism, but also promote the level of manipulation of light from the traditional EIT effect to higher degrees of control. However, these techniques are established for narrowband lasers and unable to treat the dynamics initiated by a broadband SAP in attosecond timescales.

The present theoretical work aims to control an SAP going through a laser-dressed gaseous medium by utilizing the state-of-the-art ultrafast technology. We simulate the propagation of a 1 fs XUV pulse (bandwidth 1.8 eV) in helium gas where the of-the-art ultrafast technology. We simulate the propagation of the laser-dressed gaseous medium by utilizing the state-SAP in attosecond timescales.

However, these techniques are established for narrowband from the traditional EIT effect to higher degrees of control. mechanism, but also promote the level of manipulation of light nanoseconds when the strong dressing field is rapidly switched through a laser-dressed gaseous medium by utilizing the state-SAP in attosecond timescales.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of a three-level atom in two light fields. The arrows represent coupling between the states, labelled by the transition amplitudes. The green arrows are autoionizations, the thin purple arrows are XUV couplings and the thick red arrows are laser couplings. Only first-order transitions are considered.

For a given set of XUV and laser pulses, the wavefunction $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ and the dipole response $\mu(t)$ are adequate to describe the electron emission and photoabsorption in a dilute gas [37, 38]. However, in a dense gas, the fields are altered by the response of the atomic medium. By pairing a weak XUV and a moderately strong laser that are different by two orders of magnitude in intensity, we assume that the laser propagates without modification in the medium. The ionization is weak so the plasma effect is disregarded. The spontaneous decay is much slower than the AIS decay and dismissed in the model.

By redefining the time coordinate in the moving frame $t' = t - z/c$, where $z$ is along the travelling direction of the light, the Maxwell equation is written as

$$\frac{\partial E(z, t')}{\partial z} = -\rho \frac{\partial \mu(z, t')}{\partial t'},$$

where $\rho$ is the density of the gas. The dependence in the transverse direction has been removed for loosely focused lights. Factoring out the carrier oscillation terms, the propagation of the XUV field is described by

$$\frac{\partial F_X(z, t')}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial u_X(z, t')}{\partial t'} + i\omega_X u_X(z, t').$$

Putting together (3) and (5), one can integrate iteratively to obtain the dipole envelope $u_X(z, t')$ and the XUV envelope $F_X(z, t')$ as functions of $t'$ at each spatial point $z$ until the pulse exits the medium, which is then defined as the transmitted XUV pulse.

The medium in concern is a 2 mm thick gas of noninteracting helium atoms. The pressure and the temperature are 25 torr and 300 K, respectively (density $\rho = 8 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$). An XUV pulse (SAP) and a time-delayed laser pulse are colinearly propagated and linearly polarized in the same direction. The 1.8 eV bandwidth of the XUV pulse (central frequency $\omega_X = 60.15$ eV, duration in full-width at-half-maximum $\tau_X = 1$ fs and peak intensity $I_X = 10^{10}$ W cm$^{-2}$) is much broader than the 37 meV 2s2p resonance. The laser pulse ($\lambda_L = 540$ nm, $t_L = 9$ fs) resonantly couples 2s2p and 2s2. For convenience, the peak laser intensities ($I_L$)
are expressed in terms of \( I_0 = 10^{12} \text{ W cm}^{-2} \). The time delay \( t_0 \) is defined as the time between the two pulse peaks, and it is positive when the XUV peak comes first.

To reproduce the EIT condition as a reference, we apply a 1 ps laser pulse which overlaps temporally with the SAP and calculates the XUV spectra. As seen in figure 2(a), without the laser, the spectrum simply displays the 2s2p Fano lineshape [39]. When the laser intensity increases, the Fano peak splits into two with increasing separations. Note that these intensities correspond to linearly increasing field strengths. The split peaks are the exact reproduction of the Autler–Townes doublet [40], where the peak separation is equal to the Rabi frequency. Although our ‘probe’ in this EIT-like setup is, unconventionally, an SAP, as long as the laser is long in terms of the coupling strength, the dressed-state interpretation is valid, and the Autler–Townes phenomenon is recovered. Note that the split lineshapes are broadened due to the 120 meV resonance width of 2s2.

Next, we shorten the laser duration to \( \tau_L = 9 \text{ fs} \) and keep the overlapping condition \( (t_0 = 0) \). The XUV spectra are plotted in figure 2(b). For \( I_L = 1.1I_0 \), the Fano lineshape that appears in the laser-free case is washed out, and the spectrum is almost flat, which indicates that the ionization path through the ‘bound’ 2s2p vanishes. For \( I_L = 4.5I_0 \), the central region of the spectrum turns to an upside-down mirror image of the original Fano lineshape, implying that the path through 2s2p has gone through a phase shift of \( \pi \), or equivalently, the Fano \( \varphi \)-parameter changes sign after 1 Rabi cycle. What is more surprising is that in 60.0–60.2 eV, the transmission signal is actually higher than the incident signal, i.e. the XUV emission is produced at the resonance by the particular coupling condition. However, by further increasing the intensity to \( I_L = 10I_0 \), the emission signal drops down, and the enhancement feature disappears.

The disappearance of the 2s2p peak in the XUV spectrum for certain laser intensities is clarified by the 2s2p bound state population \( |\psi_0(t)|^2 \). Its value at the moment the laser pulse ends (12.4 fs) is plotted in figure 2(c) along the propagation in \( z \), before it further decays towards the end of autoionization. Under the laser-free condition, the SAP intensity drops exponentially as it is absorbed along \( z \), and thus the excitation of 2s2p decreases accordingly. For \( I_L = 1.1I_0 \), 4.5I0 and 10I0, the Rabi oscillation after the SAP runs for 0.5, 1 and 1.5 cycles, respectively, where the 2s2p population is either dried up (half-integer cycles) or highly populated (integer cycles). For \( I_L = 4.5I_0 \), the 2s2p residue keeps decaying to the resonance peak, while for \( I_L = 1.1I_0 \) and 10I0, the spectra turn to be quite flat.

The comparison in figure 2(b) shows that the resonant absorption turns to emission only for a specific laser intensity. To investigate the trend further, figure 3 plots the XUV signal in a 50 meV energy window centred at 2s2p (60.15 eV) against the time delay. This spectral resolution is easily reachable in typical spectrometers. Without the laser, the incident and transmitted signals are \( 7 \times 10^{-8} \) and \( 1 \times 10^{-8} \), respectively, i.e. 85% of the light in this window is absorbed by the medium. With the presence of the coupling laser, the signal yield builds up with the time delay, reaching a maximum, and then decreases again. As the intensity increases to \( I_L = 4.5I_0 \), the build-up starts faster, and the maximum yield at \( t_0 = 0 \) is about 40% higher than the incident signal. For \( I_L = 10I_0 \), the maximum enhancement increases to about 50% and shifts.

Figure 2. (a) XUV spectra near the 2s2p resonance with a 1 ps overlapping \((t_0 = 0)\) laser. (b) Same as (a) but the laser duration is 9 fs. Various peak laser intensities are applied. (c) The 2s2p bound state population at the end of the laser pulse versus the distance inside the gas.

Figure 3. Total XUV yields covering a 50 meV window centred at the 2s2p resonance against the time delay, for different laser intensities.
to \( t_0 = -2 \) fs. These extraordinary oscillatory features for different laser intensities could be revealed in an actual XUV-plus-IR-type measurement.

To fully understand the mechanism behind this signal oscillation, the dynamics in the time domain is analysed. Under laser-free conditions, the SAP prompts the 2s2p state and generates dipole oscillation, which then radiates XUV before the 2s2p decays. The transmitted light consists of the leading SAP (head) and the longer trailing part (tail) due to the emission from the decaying 2s2p. Their coherent sum results in the original Fano line shape seen in figure 2. The head and the tail partially cancel each other in the temporally overlapping region due to the phase shift of the dipole radiation, which is analogous to the study carried out for a rectangular pulse propagating in a two-level atomic system [41] as a form of transient nutation [42]. In the presence of laser coupling, the Rabi oscillation brings the electrons back and forth between the two AISs after the SAP. Every Rabi cycle that brings the electrons back to 2s2p adds a phase shift of \( \pi \) to the tail. Transmission enhancement occurs when there is just 1 Rabi cycle to flip the dip part (the minimum) to a maximum due to the additional \( \pi \) phase added to the interference. Note that regardless of the laser intensity the magnitude of the dipole oscillation initiated by the SAP always declines with time. In other words, the earlier the Rabi cycle is accomplished, the stronger the enhancement appears. This aspect is seen by the peak positions and heights of all three curves in figure 3. This prediction complements the earlier experiment using an attosecond pulse train to enhance the high harmonic signals [43], which was based on the scheme first conducted to study wave packet interference [44]. They were related to our study but in a different nature.

As expressed by the propagation in (5), the XUV pulse is shaped by the electric dipole modulated by the 2.3 eV laser field. The process is a form of spectral redistribution of the XUV pulse caused by the oscillation between the AISs. To illustrate the conservation of the total XUV signal in this process, we integrate each curve in figure 2(b) over a 1 eV range centred at the resonance which covers the essential reshaping region of interest. Without the laser, nearly 40% of the XUV photons are absorbed as the pulse goes through the medium. When the laser is turned on, for all three intensities, the yield decreases only slightly from the laser-free case, by at most about 17%. This extra loss is dissipated via the autoionization of 2s\(^2\), mediated by the coupling laser.

Light travelling in a linear medium attenuates with a constant absorption rate proportional to the medium density, as stated by Beer’s law [45]. However, in a strongly coupled medium, this law does not hold any longer, especially for high densities. The XUV transmission spectra for \( t_L = 4.5 t_0 \), \( t_0 = 0 \), and gas pressures \( P = 25, 50 \) and 75 torr are displayed in figure 4. The figure shows that the background continuum part is weakened as the pressure rises, but the enhanced peak, while distorted and shifted, remarkably maintains the same height. For \( P = 75 \) torr, the highest transmitted light signal is about 20 times larger than the lowest part in the background. The stability of the enhancement peak is due to the balance between two processes: the light is dragged from the pulse head backward in time \( (t') \) to the tail, while the tail keeps being absorbed; both processes are accelerated by higher gas densities.

In conclusion, we have simulated a 1 fs XUV pulse propagating through a dense gas of three-level autoionizing helium atoms coupled by a time-delayed 9 fs laser. By adjusting the coupling laser to preferred conditions, an enhancement appears at the resonance energy in the XUV transmission spectrum, demonstrating up to a drastic 50% increase in the signal count. The transmitted XUV pulse is composed of the attosecond head part and the longer tail part in the time domain, where the phase of the tail can be sectionally shifted by the laser, forming special interference patterns in the frequency domain. This remarkable feature relies on the cutting edge of ultrafast technologies—both the XUV and laser pulses are considerably shorter than the 17 fs decay lifetime of 2s2p—to clearly define the timing of the wave packet dynamics. We find that while higher density obstructs the light surrounding the resonance, the enhancement peak can sustain, so that it spikes up more apparently. Our simulation undertakes reasonable experimental setup and conditions. The unique measurable features and the underlying dynamics could invoke the profound possibilities in the attosecond nonlinear optics.
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