Filter clotting with continuous renal replacement therapy in COVID-19
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Abstract
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) appears to be associated with increased arterial and venous thromboembolic disease. These presumed abnormalities in hemostasis have been associated with filter clotting during continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). We aimed to characterize the burden of CRRT filter clotting in COVID-19 infection and to describe a CRRT anticoagulation protocol that used anti-factor Xa levels for systemic heparin dosing. Multi-center study of consecutive patients with COVID-19 receiving CRRT. Primary outcome was CRRT filter loss. Sixty-five patients were analyzed, including 17 using an anti-factor Xa protocol to guide systemic heparin dosing. Fifty-four out of 65 patients (83%) lost at least one filter. Median first filter survival time was 6.5 [2.5, 33.5] h. There was no difference in first or second filter loss between the anti-Xa protocol and standard of care anticoagulation groups, however fewer patients lost their third filter in the protocolized group (55% vs. 93%) resulting in a longer median third filter survival time (24 [15.1, 54.2] vs. 17.3 [9.5, 35.1] h, p = 0.04). The rate of CRRT filter loss is high in COVID-19 infection. An anticoagulation protocol using systemic unfractionated heparin, dosed by anti-factor Xa levels is reasonable approach to anticoagulation in this population.
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Abbreviations
COVID-19 Coronavirus disease 2019
CRRT Continuous renal replacement therapy
UFH Unfractionated heparin
ICU Intensive care unit
AKI Acute kidney injury
CVVH Continuous venovenous hemofiltration

Highlights
- We reviewed our first 65 patients who received continuous renal replacement therapy with COVID-19 infection to measure rates of filter loss.
- 83% of patients lost at least one filter with a median filter life of only 6.5 hours.
- Initiation of systemic heparin, dosed by anti-factor Xa levels, resulted in improved long term filter life.
Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) frequently leads to critical illness and up to 20% of these patients require renal replacement therapy [1, 2]. Continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) has emerged as the preferred renal replacement modality in the critically ill in severe COVID-19 infection [3]. COVID-19 appears to lead to coagulation abnormalities including thrombocytopenia, prolonged prothrombin time (PT)/partial thromboplastin time (PTT), and increased fibrin degradation products [4–11]. Clotting of the CRRT filter is a major limitation to care, as it leads to inefficient dialysis, causes blood loss, and depletes limited resources (CRRT filters) [12, 13]. These risks can be mitigated via administration of systemic anticoagulation [14]. However, systemic unfractionated heparin (UFH) is associated with increased bleeding events in CRRT [14]. The goal of this study was to characterize the burden of CRRT filter clotting in COVID-19 infection and to describe a CRRT anticoagulation pilot protocol that used anti-factor Xa levels given the unreliability of PTT levels in patients with COVID-19.

Methods

Setting and patient population

This study was conducted at three hospitals in the Mass General Brigham healthcare system. Consecutive patients with COVID-19 infection diagnosed via nasopharyngeal swab/polymerase chain reaction testing admitted between March 16, 2020 and April 27, 2020 and required CRRT were included. CRRT was performed as continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH) using the NxStage One machine (Lawrence, MA, USA) with pre-filter replacement fluid and CAR-500/505 filter sets. CVVH prescriptions were at the discretion of treating clinicians.

Data collection and outcome

All data were extracted retrospectively from the electronic medical record. The primary outcome (filter clotting) was defined as CRRT circuit failure due to thrombosis requiring unplanned time off the machine to replace the filter, not attributed to venous access malfunction, either with or without blood loss. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Partners Healthcare and abided by the Declaration of Helsinki. The need for informed consent was waived.

Pilot anticoagulation protocol

A COVID-specific CVVH anticoagulation protocol was piloted starting April 13, 2020. This protocol dosed systemic UFH by anti-factor Xa levels [15] due to the unreliability of PTT levels in patients with critical illness and COVID-19. The protocol was developed as a quality improvement measure during the COVID-19 pandemic in response to high rates of filter clotting (Fig. 1).

Outcomes and statistical analysis

The primary outcome was time to filter loss. We also measured “Severe Clotting,” defined as experiencing > 2 filter losses in 48 h or a filter loss < 8 h into CVVH. Secondary outcomes included inpatient mortality, renal recovery off dialysis by discharge, and adverse events (major bleeding [16] and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia [HIT]). Non-parametric testing was performed. Two-tailed p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Patient demographics

Sixty-five consecutive patients treated with CVVH were analyzed (Supplement 1). Median Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score was 10 [8 , 12] for all patients. Fifty-seven out of 65 patients (88%) initiated CVVH for AKI, whereas 8/65 patients (12%) had end stage renal disease. At the time of CVVH initiation, 64/65 patients (98%) were mechanically ventilated, 22/65 patients (34%) required prone ventilation, and 59/65 patients (91%) were on intravenous vasopressors. Patients spent a median of 6 [2, 13] days on CVVH. Bicarbonate replacement fluid was used in 54/65 patients (83%).

Filter outcomes

Fifty-four out of 65 patients (83%) lost at least one filter (median first filter survival time of 6.5 [2.5, 33.5] h). Severe filter clotting was observed in 29/65 patients (44%). Patients with Severe Clotting had a shorter first filter life (4.5 [2, 6] vs. 31 [10, 59] h, p < 0.001) and lost more filters in the first 48 h of CVVH (2.4 ± 1.27 vs. 0.56 ± 0.69 filters, p < 0.001). Few variables were associated Severe Clotting: lower serum potassium (4.3 [4.0, 4.8] vs. 4.7 [4.4, 5.4] mEq/L, p = 0.04), lower aspartate aminotransferase (55.5 [32.5, 100] vs. 100 [45, 170] U/L, p = 0.03), and higher C-reactive protein
The use of citrate replacement fluid was not associated with either severe clotting or increased filter life. Systemic UFH use was associated with longer filter survival time compared with no systemic UFH use (31 [5.5, 59] vs. 7.5 [3.5, 31] h, p = 0.03).

Seventeen patients received protocolized anticoagulation dosed by anti-factor Xa levels, whereas 48 were treated with standard of care anticoagulation dosed by PTT level (Fig. 2). There were no major differences between groups in age, sex, race, ethnicity, body mass index, or baseline medications. There was no difference between groups in percentage who lost their first filter (88% vs. 81%), or second filter (73% vs. 72%). However, fewer patients lost their third filter in the protocolized group (55% vs. 93%) resulting in a longer median third filter survival time (24 [15.1, 54.2] vs. 17.3 [9.5, 35.1] h, p = 0.04). Of note, more patients were treated with systemic UFH over time in the protocolized group than in the standard of care group (Fig. 2).

Other clinical outcomes
At the time of final analysis, 3/65 patients (5%) were still admitted to the hospital, 30/65 patients (46%) died while inpatient and 32/65 patients (49%) survived to discharge. Twenty out of 22 (91%) non-ESRD patients recovered off renal replacement therapy by discharge. Major bleeding occurred in 9/65 patients (14%). Two patients (3%) developed heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.

Discussion
We report CRRT filter clotting in COVID-19 infection and demonstrated that severe filter clotting is an important clinical problem that affects 44% of this population. Our median filter life of 6.5 h was considerably less than what is reported in the literature (~ 20–40 h), suggesting...
that these patients are more prone to clotting than those typically enrolled in ICU clinical trials [14]. Based on early descriptive studies of COVID-19, this was not unexpected, as several studies have noted signs of intense inflammation and coagulopathy, including elevated d-dimer, fibrinogen, and inflammatory markers [6, 9].

After piloting an anticoagulation protocol with a standard algorithm, we observed favorable results using systemic UFH dosed by anti-factor Xa levels. This study serves as proof of concept that a graduated anticoagulation plan may be a reasonable approach in this population, but requires a larger cohort and/or comparative studies to validate the optimal intensity of anticoagulation in this population.

It is important to acknowledge the unique clinical circumstances surrounding this study period that influenced our design and results. Even now, there are no evidence-based guidelines around CRRT in COVID-19 infection, which emphasizes the importance of this work, as we prepare for persistent rise of COVID-19 cases across the country. Interventions that can improve clinical and prevent wasting of potentially limited resources in a disaster setting to prevent the need for rationing care of patients with kidney disease [17]. While there remains uncertainty about the overall risk and benefit of systemic anticoagulation in COVID-19, we have demonstrated that anticoagulation targeting anti-factor Xa levels has potential to decrease filter clotting.

Our study has several limitations. Because our anticoagulation protocol was derived out of clinical necessity during a pandemic, we were not able to draw comparisons across patient populations, rather evaluating the within-patient changes in time to filter loss to determine its effectiveness. The only renal replacement performed in this study was CVVH, and care should be taken when extrapolating these results to other CRRT modalities. Our study was not powered to detect differences in outcomes such as mortality or bleeding events. Despite these limitations, we believe we have provided important observations as to the use of CRRT in this population.

In conclusion, the rate of CRRT filter loss is high in COVID-19 infection. An anticoagulation protocol using systemic UFH, dosed by anti-factor Xa levels is reasonable approach to anticoagulation in this population. These results require confirmation in prospective clinical trials.
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