Peak apical recoil rate is a simplified index of left ventricular untwist: validation and application for assessment of diastolic function in children
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Abstract

The use of untwisting rate as a novel index of LV diastolic function in clinical practice has been limited due to its tedious and time-consuming analysis. Therefore, we simplify the untwist measurement by only measuring the LV apex’s recoil rate and validating and applying peak apical recoil rate (PARR) as an index of diastolic dysfunction (DD) in pediatric subjects during increased and decreased lusitropic states. We recruited 153 healthy subjects (mean age 13.8 ± 2.9 years), of whom 48 performed straight leg raising exercise and an additional 46 patients (mean 8.4 ± 5.6 years) with documented pulmonary capillary wedge pressures (PCWP) (validation cohort). In addition, we studied 16 dilated cardiomyopathy patients (mean age 9.5 ± 6.3 years) (application cohort). PARR and isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT) were compared to PCWP. Both PARR and PARR normalized by heart rate (nPARR) were excellent in detecting patients with PCWP ≥ 12 mmHg and greatly superior to IVRT in this respect (AUC: 0.98, 95% CI [0.96, 1.0] vs. AUC: 0.7 95% CI [0.54,0.86]). In DCM patients, PARR and nPARR were greatly decreased compared to controls (− 38.6 ± 18.6º/s vs − 63.1 ± 16.3º/s, p < 0.001) and (− 0.43 ± 0.20 º/s/min vs − 0.83 ± 0.28º/s/min, p < 0.001) but increased with straight leg raising exercise (− 59.4 ± 19.4º/s vs − 97.8 ± 39.0 º/s, p < 0.01) and − 0.85 ± 0.36 vs − 1.4 ± 0.62 º/s/min (p < 0.0001) respectively. PARR and nPARR successfully detected increased and decreased lusitropic states and superior to IVRT in correlation with PCWP. This highly reproducible parameter offers incremental value over traditional indices of DD and may potentially serve as a useful index of elevated PCWP in children.
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Abbreviations

- PARR: Peak Apical recoil rate
- nPARR: Normalized Peak Apical rate with heart rate
- PARo: Peak apical rotation
- DD: Diastolic Dysfunction
- IVRT: Isovolumic relaxation time
- LV: Left Ventricle
- PCWP: Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure

Introduction

Conventional techniques for evaluating left ventricular (LV) diastolic function in adults are often imprecise in children. Dragulescu et al. had demonstrated that the adult diagnostic algorithms of the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) incorrectly classified up to 30% of children having overt and often severe cardiomyopathy as having normal diastolic function, even when the adult cutoff values were replaced with pediatric reference values [1].

LV diastolic function is influenced by LV relaxation, early diastolic recoil, and myocardial stiffness, all of which determine LV filling pressures directly or
indirectly. LV diastolic dysfunction occurs as result of impaired LV relaxation with or without reduced restoring forces (and early diastolic suction), and increased LV chamber stiffness, which increase cardiac filling pressures [2]. Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) measurement with the Swan-Ganz catheter has become the gold standard for determining LV filling pressure [2, 3]. Currently, noninvasive echocardiographic pulsed-Doppler indices of LV filling and pulmonary venous flow together with tissue Doppler mitral annular velocities have been used as the main parameters for assessing LV diastolic dysfunction [2, 3]. In adult, LV untwisting rate is a novel index of early diastolic function that has been investigated extensively as a surrogate marker for early diastolic recoil [2]. However, similar studies are infrequent in children.

The helical arrangement of LV myofibers results in a twisting motion during systole and an untwisting or recoil motion during diastole. Due to the dominant rotation of the LV apex versus the base, potential energy is stored in the apical region of the LV when it contracts (restoring force). The release of this potential energy in early diastole may be responsible for the rapid untwist phenomenon, generating enough suction that initiates LV filling [4]. At the cellular level, giant protein titin acts as an elastic spring that is compressed during systole, and its recoil during diastole plays an important role in LV expansion [5]. LV elastic recoil during isovolumic relaxation contributes to the decline in LV pressure [3]. Therefore, LV untwisting rate may serve as a useful marker in evaluating LV relaxation abnormalities. However, the measurement of untwisting by 2D echocardiography (2DE) involves evaluating both apical and basal rotations by performing off-line measurements. This may prove complicated and burdensome in busy clinical laboratories, thereby, explaining its limited use in clinical practice [2].

We have previously shown that the untwist increased substantially at the LV apex during exercise and hardly at the base [6]. This finding suggests that the apex may serve as a functional reserve for LV filling during diastole, which can be called into action during increased physical activity. Like peak untwisting rate, peak apical recoil rate (PARR) can be determined readily from a sharp inflection point, produced during early diastole, which is measurable relatively easily (Fig. 1).

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to validate the use of PARR as an index of early diastolic function, with application in altered lusitropic states and to determine if PARR correlates with PCWP. We hypothesize that PARR can be used as a simplified index of LV relaxation and may provide incremental value as a noninvasive marker of DD in children.

Methodology

Study design and participants

The study consisted of two arms: a validation arm and an application arm. For the validation arm, we retrospectively enrolled patients with documented PCWP in catheterization reports. They were divided into normal mean PCWP (< 12 mm Hg) and elevated mean PCWP (≥ 12 mm Hg) groups [7]. The patients with normal PCWP mainly consisted of patients with underlying congenital or acquired heart disease, undergoing diagnostic catheterizations. The patients with elevated PCWP comprised of patients with restrictive, dilated, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathies and aortic stenosis. The median time between cardiac catheterization and echocardiogram was 16 days. All patients were in sinus rhythm. Patients with mitral stenosis or more than mild mitral regurgitation, bundle branch block, and LV dysynchrony were excluded.

For the application arm, we prospectively enrolled healthy subjects less than 18 years old. They were enrolled from the population of children being evaluated in the echocardiography laboratory for routine indications such as chest pain, syncope, murmur, or family history of cardiomyopathy. The healthy subjects were divided into four groups: less than 1 year (infant), 1–4 years (toddler), 5–10 years (child), and 11–18 years (adolescents).

Exercise testing

Normal subjects > 8 years old were also asked to perform repeated straight, alternate leg raising exercise (80–100 times) immediately after the baseline study, as described in our previous study [6]. In our present study, exercise was used as a form of increased lusitropy as proposed by Cheng et al. [8].

We also tested the effect of "decreased lusitropic state" on PARR by recruiting 18 patients (mean age 9.7 years) with known clinical and echocardiographic diagnosis of dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). There was no hemodynamic data in the application cohorts.

From our main group of healthy subjects, we selected 30 patients matched for age, gender, and body surface area to serve as controls for the DCM group.

Echocardiography

Complete transthoracic 2DE was performed on all subjects using the commercially available iE33 ultrasound system (Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA). Pulsed-wave
Doppler was used to measure mitral valve inflow velocity, aortic outflow velocity, the timing of aortic valve closure (AVC), mitral valve opening (MVO), and isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT). Septal and lateral mitral annular tissue velocities were measured from apical views, and LV ejection fraction (EF) was measured by Simpson’s method as per ASE guidelines. Short axis images of the apex at frame rates > 80 Hz were obtained at the furthest apical extent of the LV cavity, just proximal to the cavity obliteration level.

**Fig. 1** A The peak apical recoil rate (PARR) is the maximum value for the apical recoil rate and is represented by the tip of the prominent inflection point (blue arrow). The peak inflection typically occurs just before the mitral valve opening (MVO). B Typical wave forms derived from speckle tracking imaging from LV apex using Tom Tec imaging software. The cardiac cycle was measured between two QRS waves of the ECG, and ED frames are represented by the vertical red lines.

**Speckle-Tracking Echocardiography (STE)**

STE was performed off-line by the two-dimensional Cardiac Performance Analysis software (Tom Tec Imaging Systems, Munich, Germany). Apical rotation in systole and recoil in diastole were calculated from the LV apex using STE (Fig. 1A and B). PARR was determined as the maximum value for the apical recoil rate and is represented by the tip of the sharp inflection point (blue arrow) noted in the apical recoil rate curve (Fig. 1). PARR was normalized with heart rate at rest to account for differences in heart rate in this varied study.
population. The time sequence was normalized to percentage duration of systole, with the onset of the QRS of the ECG defined as $t = 0\%$ and aortic valve closure (AVC) at end-systole, defined as $t = 100\%$. Therefore, the diastole started after 100%.

Global LV peak longitudinal strain and early diastolic strain rate were calculated by tracing the LV endocardial border using the same software. We ignored the negative signs of PARR and nPARR and utilized the absolute numerical values to describe their higher and lower values.

This study was performed at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and is approved by its institutional review board.

**Statistics**

The statistical analysis was performed using Stata version 13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX.). Data are expressed as mean values and standard deviation (SD) or median values and interquartile range (IQR) for normally distributed and non-normally distributed variables, respectively. The normality of the continuous data was tested statistically by using Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk statistic. Student’s $t$ test and Mann–Whitney U test were used to assess differences between validation or application cohorts and their control for normally distributed and non-normally distributed variables, respectively. Paired and unpaired Student’s t-tests were used when appropriate. Linear regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship of PARR with clinical and echocardiographic parameters. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to investigate clinical data and echocardiographic parameters that could significantly affect PARR in healthy subjects. This analysis was also used to test for independent associations between PCWP and known echocardiographic parameters of diastolic function, including $e^\prime$, $E/e^\prime$, and IVRT in the validation cohorts. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed to detect cutoff values for PARR, nPARR, and IVRT to detect DD. The interobserver variability of PARR and IVRT were determined from the same stored images from 16 randomly selected patients in the validation group by 2 independent observers (AB and HK). In the DCM group, 8 patients were selected for this purpose. The intraobserver variability was measured by one observer (HK) at an interval of 14 days using stored digital images. For all statistical analyses, a $p$-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Demographics and clinical characteristics**

Two out of 155 healthy subjects were excluded due to poor tracing. The mean age of recruited 153 healthy subjects was $13.8 \pm 2.9$ years. Clinical characteristics and echocardiographic parameters are shown in Table 1.

**Influence of age on peak apical recoil rate**

In healthy cohorts, PARR was inversely correlated with age (Fig. 2A). In infants (< 1 year), the PARR values were numerically higher and dispersed further from the linear line of agreement than older children. In toddlers and older children, PARR had lower numerical values ranging from $-50$ to $-100$ %/s (Fig. 2A). PARR also had a moderate association with the heart rate (Fig. 2B). However, when PARR was normalized with heart rate, there was no difference in the age distribution of PARR. The average value of nPARR was $-0.90 \pm 0.36$ %/s/min (Table 1 & Fig. 2C). The results from Table 1 also show that time to PARR occurred before the MVO in this healthy pediatric population.

PARR was also found to have a moderate negative association with body surface area (BSA) and LV end-diastolic dimension (LVIDd) (Supplemental Fig. 1). However, normalization of PARR with each of these two factors produced a strong correlation with age, suggesting that they are not effective normalizers for PARR (Supplemental Fig. 2). Further analysis by multiple linear regression suggested that heart rate was the only factor that significantly affects PARR (Table 2).

**Validation cohort**

A total of 46 patients [median age 8.5 years, IQR (11)] were evaluated, half of them had a mean PCWP of $< 12$ mmHg and the other half [median age 14 years, IQR(6)] had a mean PCWP of $\geq 12$ mmHg. The underlying cardiac diagnosis of the validation groups with normal and elevated PCWP are depicted in Table 3. The mean filling pressure was $18.4 \pm 5.6$ mmHg. The clinical characteristic and findings of patients in the validation cohort are included in Table 4.

PARR and nPARR were significantly decreased in patients with elevated PCWP of $\geq 12$ mm Hg, $(-41.2 \pm 13.4 \%s$ vs $-87.7 \pm 14.6 \%s; p < 0.001)$ and $(-0.48 \pm 0.12 \%s/min vs−0.98 \pm 0.26 \%s/min; p < 0.001)$, respectively (Table 4 and Fig. 3B).

Figure 4 shows ROC curves for PARR compared with IVRT, both of which were used as noninvasive predictors for PCWP $\geq 12$ mm Hg. AUC: 0.98, 95% CI [0.96,1.0] vs. AUC: 0.7, 95%CI [0.54,0.86] respectively. PARR’s sensitivity and specificity were noted to be excellent and superior to IVRT. A scatterplot was constructed based on the cut-off value of $PARR = -52.4 \%$ and $nPARR = -0.66 \%/s/min$ calculated from the ROC curves (Fig. 5A-C). PARR and nPARR demonstrated a stronger correlation to PCWP compared to IVRT (r: 0.73 vs. 0.2) with excellent segregation of those patients.
with PCWP ≥ 12 mmHg and those with normal PCWP compared with IVRT, into two distinct quadrants.

### Application cohort

#### Effect of increased lusitropy

Fifty out of the 153 healthy subjects agreed to perform a simple exercise protocol. Two subjects were excluded due to poor tracking. PARR and nPARR increased significantly after moderate exercise compared to the resting state (−59.4 ± 19.4º/s vs −97.8 ± 39.0 º/s, p < 0.01) and −0.85 ± 0.36 vs −1.4 ± 0.62 º/s/min (p < 0.0001). The time to PARR shortened (424 ± 60 ms vs. 322 ± 46 ms, p < 0.001) (Table 5).

#### Effect of decreased lusitropy

The clinical characteristic and echocardiographic parameters of DCM patients are shown in Table 6. Two out of 18 patients were excluded because of poor tracking. PARR and nPARR were significantly lower—38.58 ± 18.59 º/s vs −63.07 ± 16.35 °/s (p < 0.001) and −0.43 ± 0.20 º/s/min vs -0.83 ± 0.28 º/s/min (p < 0.0001) respectively. The time to reach PARR was longer in the DCM cohort but statistically not significant. We had demonstrated that time to PARR occurred late at 119.5% of systole, which was occurred after mitral valve opening (MVO) (at 113.7% of systole).

### Traditional diastolic function parameters in children with DCM

Tissue Doppler-derived septal e’ and lateral e’ were significantly lower with a higher E/e’ ratio in the DCM group. However, no differences were noted in the mitral inflow parameters E, A, and E/A ratio. Out of 16 patients with DCM, 7 (43.7%) had a septal or lateral E/e’ ratio of more than 11. Two of all DCM patients had fused E and A waves, and only one patient had reversed E/A ratio.

### PARR vs. traditional diastolic parameters in predicting PCWP

PARR, average e’, E/e and IVRT were correlated with PCWP (r = −0.72, p = 0.001; r = −0.3, p 0.01; r = 0.4, p = 0.003; r = 0.2, p = 0.08 respectively). Further analysis by multiple linear regression revealed that PARR was the only significant independent variable that contributed
significantly to the model ($\beta_1 = -0.19$, 95% CI: $-0.27$, $-0.12$, $p < 0.001$). The results of multiple linear regression are shown in Table 7.

**Reliability**

The inter-observer variability among validation cohorts, ICC coefficient for PARR and IVRT was 0.88 and 0.85, respectively, indicating good agreement between the two observers. The intra-observer correlation coefficient for PARR and IVRT was 0.95 and 0.95, respectively. In DCM patients, the ICC for inter-observer and intra-observer variability for apical recoil rate were 0.74 and 0.88, respectively.

**Discussion**

Current advancements in echocardiographic techniques have provided more significant insights into LV mechanics beyond the traditional measures [4]. Even though LV untwisting rate has been proposed as one of the novel indices of LV diastolic function, its use in the clinical arena has been limited due to the need for measuring recoil at apex and base separately and the need for off-line calculations [2, 4]. In an elegant study using both canine and human models, Opdahl et al. have simplified the measurement of LV twist as an index of ventricular systolic function [9]. The apical rotation that occurs in the systole phase reflects the twist of the entire LV accurately and may be used as a simplified clinical index of the LV twist. However, unlike Opdahl, our focus was on early diastolic function and untwist. Therefore, we focused on the validation and application of PARR as a simplified index of LV recoil, which is an early diastolic event.

In this study, we have demonstrated that PARR reliably and accurately distinguished increased and decreased lusitropic states and may be of incremental value in evaluating early diastolic relaxation in the pediatric population. PARR is superior to IVRT and other traditional diastolic parameters ($e'$ and E/e') in predicting elevated PCWP that can be used as an important noninvasive marker of elevated filling pressure. From a practical standpoint, correction of PARR with baseline heart rate (nPARR) caused minimal variation in nPARR values across all pediatric age groups imparting a degree of robustness that is necessary for everyday use.

**Peak apical recoil rate as an index of early diastolic relaxation**

The time constant of LV relaxation or tau (s) is considered the gold standard for assessing LV relaxation. The measurement can be derived from LV pressure tracings utilizing high-fidelity pressure catheters. However, routine
catheterization would not be clinically practical [7, 11, 12]. There are currently three main noninvasive echocardiographic parameters for assessing LV relaxation, i.e., mitral annular early diastolic velocity by pulsed wave tissue Doppler (e’), LV global isovolumic relaxation time and early diastolic strain rates (EDSR). Of the three, e’ has the highest feasibility, reproducibility, and most consistent association with cardiovascular outcomes [2, 3, 10]. However, these studies have typically been performed in adults and there are many limitations in its use in children.

In a canine model using tagged MRI, the influence of volume loading and pharmacologically induced increased and decreased lusitropic states on diastolic untwist during the isovolumic phase was measured [11]. Diastolic untwist rates correlated closely with the time constant of LV relaxation, tau (τ) [11]. Therefore, LV untwisting rate has evoked interest as a noninvasive index of early diastolic relaxation.

The conventional diastolic parameter of IVRT was chosen for comparison because IVRT is a well-established index of LV relaxation. Furthermore, PARR typically occurs before the MVO, i.e., during the isovolumic relaxation period. In the canine model, IVRT has shown a fairly good correlation with the time constant of isovolumic relaxation (τ) [12]. Clinical studies in adults have also shown a good correlation of IVRT with PCWP [13]. However, in our study, the correlation of IVRT with PCWP was poor (r = 0.2), and PARR was superior to IVRT in their correlation with PCWP.

Two previous studies using the canine models showed that peak untwist rate measured during isovolumic relaxation (before MVO) was not affected by increased early diastolic load [9, 11]. Because our study was clinical in nature, there was no scope for IV volume loading. Furthermore, our study in healthy subjects found that PARR was not affected by LV diameter or ejection fraction. The only clinical parameter that we found significantly influenced PARR was the heart rate. Therefore, normalization of PARR with heart rate is useful particularly in younger children. At the same time, it should be noted that based on the curve depicting age distribution of PARR (Fig. 2A), normalization of PARR with heart rate may not be necessary beyond eight years of age due to plateauing of the curve. A previous study in children has also demonstrated that heart rate is the primary determinant of the diastolic filling during growth [14]. Our findings are similar to a study on IVRT by Schmitz et al. that found that correction of IVRT with heart rate produced a constant value in healthy children from infants to adolescents [15].

Patients with bundle branch block and interventricular dyssynchrony were excluded as LV rotational mechanics appear strongly related to the sequence of LV depolarization, and the propagation of the electrical cardiac activity is also associated with the spiral architecture and the anisotropic properties of cardiac myofibers [16]. In advanced heart failure, an altered pattern of LV electromechanical activation may cause abnormal rotational mechanics and dyssynchronous contraction of LV apical and basal regions [17]. The impaired myocardial contractility that usually accompanies mechanical dyssynchrony caused an absolute reduction of LV apical and basal rotation and recoil. Therefore, excluding mechanical dyssynchrony will help us eliminate confounding factors that may affect PARR as an index of early diastolic relaxation.

### Peak apical recoil Rate as a parameter for estimation of LV Filling Pressure

Previous experimental studies have shown that a substantial portion of LV filling occurs early while the
myocardium continues to relax for the first 30–40 ms after the MVO and before the LV starts to behave as a passive structure [18]. This study showed that 21% of the stroke volume enters the LV during this phase, which has been labeled as "relaxation filling" and is related to suction. This phase is influenced by two major factors, myocardial relaxation and left atrial (LA) pressure [4]. Therefore, LV relaxation and LA pressure are intimately related. In many disease states, impaired relaxation coexists with increased myocardial stiffness. This combination typically results in increased LV filling pressure, clinically measured as elevated PCWP. Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure measurement with the Swan-Ganz catheter has been widely used to determine LV filling pressure. Therefore, in this study, elevated PCWP was used to confirm the presence of diastolic dysfunction.

We had demonstrated that PARR significantly correlated with PCWP (r = 0.72). PARR is the only significant predictor of PCWP compared to the other selected traditional diastolic parameters from multiple linear regression analysis (Table 7). In addition, the excellent sensitivity and specificity of PARR in detecting patients with elevated PCWP were demonstrated from the ROC curves.

Increased lusitropic state

Many studies in adults have demonstrated that intraventricular pressure gradient (IVPG) increases during exercise [19]. Untwisting aids in generating this IVPG. Due to the effects of increased heart rate and sympathetic stimulation accompanying exercise, there is a more rapid fall of minimum LV pressure during isovolumic relaxation without a significant change in the left atrial pressure. It is noteworthy that infusion of dobutamine at rest also mimicked this same phenomenon [18], suggesting the usefulness of exercise in studies evaluating lusitropy in humans. The fall in early diastolic LV pressure results in an increased early diastolic pressure gradient across the mitral valve, producing an increased early diastolic LV filling rate during exercise [19]. This enhanced filling rate helps augment stroke volume despite the reduction in the diastolic duration during exercise. We found that PARR increased significantly with a shorter duration of time to peak apical recoil in response to exercise. This increase in PARR is probably accompanied by a reduction in filling pressure and increased LV suctions, allowing the enhanced filling of the ventricle within a shorter period, as demanded during exercise.
Decreased lusitropic state

To evaluate the decrease in lusitropy, we studied a subgroup of children with a clear-cut clinical diagnosis of DCM. We found that the PARR and nPARR were significantly low. PARR occurred late at 119.5% of systole after mitral valve opening (MVO occurs at 113.7% of systole), suggesting delayed diastolic recoil in the DCM group. However, the time to PARR was not significantly longer in DCM than in controls. Our findings in normal children had demonstrated that PARR almost coincides with or just precedes MVO. These findings indicate less efficient diastolic recoil in DCM that may reduce early diastolic filling. This typically leads to a rise in LA pressure producing symptoms of exercise intolerance, such as shortness of breath [20].
Clinical implications

Many of the noninvasive indices of DD utilized in adults are less reliable in the pediatric population. Even in adults with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, metanalysis studies have shown that E/e' ratio had a poor to mediocre correlation with invasive LV filling pressures [21]. The large Euro-Filling study has shown a weak correlation between single mitral inflow parameters or tissue Doppler velocities and invasive LV EDP. The average E/e' ratio had a modest correlation coefficient (r) of 0.34 with LV filling pressures [22]. In contrast, our study had found that the correlation coefficients (r) comparing PARR and nPARR with LV filling pressure were 0.7 and 0.6, respectively, which were much superior to IVRT (r = 0.2), average e' (r = − 0.3), and E/e' (r = 0.4). Further analyses suggest that PARR is the most significant predictor for the PCWP compared to these traditional diastolic parameters. We found that the cut-off value of PARR = − 52.4 º/s and nPARR = − 0.66 º/s/min were excellent in detecting elevated filling pressure in children.

Limitations

In a canine model, restoring forces and LV relaxation are independent determinants of LV untwisting rate during isovolumic relaxation [9]. In these studies, restoring forces have been approximated from measurements of the systolic twist. However, this was not the focus of our study; therefore, the role of restoring forces and diastolic load on PARR was not investigated.

The validation arm of our study includes a heterogeneous patient cohort. Further validation in larger, more homogeneous patient populations would be useful to assess the applicability in pediatric patients with different acquired and congenital heart disease. We also acknowledge that it may not be possible to fully exclude children with a positive family history of cardiomyopathy, with early but clinically inapparent stages of the disease who were included in the healthy control group. However, their number was small and their echocardiographic findings entirely normal.

The 2–3-week interval between the echocardiogram and cardiac catheterization may not be ideal. However, the validation arm is a retrospective study, and in the real world, echocardiograms are often not performed simultaneously with cardiac catheterization, and many studies on children are based on such non-simultaneous data [23]. Moreover, based on available clinical records, we were reassured that the clinical state of the patients undergoing cardiac catheterization did not change during this period. Since we are proposing a new index in children, more extensive multi-center

Fig. 5 Correlation of PCWP with PARR (A) nPARR (B) and IVRT(C). PARR and nPARR shows superior correlation with PCWP than IVRT. This is characterized by tight segregation of data in the “north-west” and “south-east” quadrants. The blue horizontal lines represent the cutoff values for PARR and nPARR described by ROC analysis. The red vertical lines are positioned at PCWP = 12, the cut-off value describing elevated PCWP in this study.
studies are needed to validate the usefulness of PARR and nPARR in the pediatric population.

**Conclusion**

PARR is a simplified parameter of LV untwisting with good reliability for detecting elevated LV filling pressures. PARR and nPARR are superior to IVRT in their correlation with PCWP in children and offer incremental value over other
traditional indices of DD. Measurement of conventional untwist rate requires analysis of both apical and basal recoil. However, we are proposing that simply apical recoil may be sufficient. Therefore, PARR is a simplified index of LV diastolic relaxation, which may accurately reflect altered lusitropic states.
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