Genetic transformation of gentian *Gentiana tibetica* (King) leaf explants with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58C1
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**Abstract** Experiments were carried out on transformation of leaf explants derived from the axenic culture of *Gentiana tibetica* (King) using a co-culture of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *A. tumefaciens* octopine strain C58C1 carried neomycin phosphotransferase (*nptII*) and \(\beta\)-glucuronidase (*uidA*) genes. The influence of plasmid helper pCH32 on transformation efficiency was stressed. After co-cultivation, explant was cultured on three different regeneration media (RM1-3) at the presence of the timentin and callus formation and plants regeneration occurred. The transgenic character of the selected tissue and transformants T0 in the presence of kanamycin has been confirmed by the histochemical analysis of reporter enzyme activity (\(\beta\)-glucuronidase), polymerase chain reaction, and southern hybridization detecting *uidA* and *nptII* genes. L-glutamine used during inoculation period had a significant influence on the transformation efficiency in relation to its concentration and time of treatment.
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**Abbreviations**

- PCR: Polymerase chain reaction
- **nptII** gene: Neomycin phosphotransferase gene
- **uid A** (*gus*) gene: Glucuronidase gene
- MS medium: Murashige and Skoog medium
- Kin: Kinetin
- NAA: Naphthaleacetic acid
- BAP: Benzylaminopurine
- DNA: Desoxyribonucleic acid
- 2,4-D: Dichlorophenoxy acetic acid
- Dicamba: 3,6-Dichloro-2-methoxybenzoic acid
- AS: Adenine sulfate
- GA\(_3\): Gibberellic acid

**Introduction**

We have been presenting a series of papers describing experiments carried out on gentian transformation paying attention on the morphogenic potential of the organ, tissue, cell suspension, and its protoplasts in post-transformation cultures (Wójcik and Rybczyński 2015). This paper focuses on *G. tibetica* (King) leaf explants transformation with the help of *A. tumefaciens*. Out of 1600 species of the family Gentianaceae, only 12 *Gentiana* species were investigated with the help of biotechnological methods and there are not many papers concerning *G. tibetica*. For the species, the first publication focused on vegetative propagation (Skrzypczak-Pietraszek et al. 1993) and showed the process of shoot multiplication from hypocotyl explants in the presence of B5 agar medium (Gamborg et al. 1968) supplemented with 0.5 mg/l Zeatin with the multiplication ratio reached over 10 buds per explant. The somatic embryogenesis was developed on an MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/l 2,4-D +1.0 mg/l Kinetin (Wesołowska et al. 1985), when the most responsive explant appeared to be hypocotyls derived...
from axenic seedlings (Hosokawa et al. 1997; Quing et al. 2006; Ishimaru et al. 1990; Mikula et al. 1996). The hypocotyl explants, as the most embryogenic among others, gave over 100 embryos, on average. Switching the type of culture from agar solidified to liquid medium resulted in the establishing of an embryogenic cell suspension culture of several gentian species including *G. tibetica* and unlimited number of somatic embryos was produced during few year-long cultures (Mikula and Rybczyński 2001).

With the help of *A. rhizogenes*, transformation experiments have been described for some species of the family Gentianaceae: *Blecstonia perfoliata*, *Centaurium erythraea*, *Eustoma grandiflorum*, *Gentiana acaulis*, *G. cruciata*, *G. lutea*, *G. macrophylla*, *G. purpurea*, *G. punctata*, *G. scabra* Line TP1, *G. scabra* cv. Polano-White and cv. Polano Albireo (Takahashi et al. 1998; Chen et al. 2010; Semeria et al. 1999; Nishihara et al. 2006).

In the case of *A. tumefaciens*, the following gentians were the objective of transformation: *E. grandiflorum*, *G. cruciata*, *G. dahurica*, *G. kurroo*, *G. punctata*, and *G. trifora × G. scabra* cv. Polano-White and cv. Albireo (Takahashi et al. 1998; Chen et al. 2010; Semeria et al. 1999; Hosokawa et al. 2000; Nishihara et al. 2006).

In addition, for gentian transformation, two non-vectorial methods such as biolistic and electroporation were employed. The particle bombardment of seedling explants of *E. grandiflorum* (Takahashi et al. 1998) and cell suspension of *G. trifora × G. scabra* was successfully used (Hosokawa et al. 2000). The electroporation of *G. kurroo* protoplasts derived from embryogenic cell suspension resulted in transformant regeneration via somatic embryogenesis (Wójcik and Rybczyński 2015).

An earlier improved morphogenic potential of gentian leaves and their mesophyll cell protoplasts (Fiuk and Rybczynski 2008a, b; Tomiczak et al. 2015, 2016) forced us to develop transformation system of leaf blade explants of axenic cultured *G. tibetica* with *A. tumefaciens* strain C58C1 carried neomycin phosphotransferase (*nptII*) and β-glucuronidase (*uidA*) genes (Fig. 1 and Map of plasmids). Because of very rich secondary gentian metabolite production characterized by aseptic value, the helper plasmid pCH32 carrying *virG* and *virE* genes and various concentrations of L-Glutamine were used for improving transformation efficiency.

### Materials and methods

The experiments were carried out according to the diagram presented in Fig. 1.

### Plant material and its culture

The axenic culture of *G. tibetica* was maintained in a plant growth chamber at a temperature of 20 ± 1 °C with light intensity 150 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ and photoperiod 16/8 h (day/night). The culture of transformed leaf explants was carried out in the darkness.

### Explant origin and medium

Explants were derived from a leaf blade of axenic culture of *G. tibetica* (16-week-old). Leaves of third whorl were perpendicularly excised (to main vascular bundle) giving the explants. Explants were implanted on agar MS medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962) supplemented with various ingredients depending on the carried on experiments (Table 1), and pH 5.6 was adjusted before autoclaving. Each petri plate was poured with 20 ml of media.

### β-Lactam antibiotics (timentin and cefatoxime) effect on leaf explant response

For the Agrobacterium elimination from cultures, following concentrations 0, 100,150, 200, 250, and 300 mg/l of studied antibiotics were tested. Their effect on the morphogenic potential of explants was also investigated. Eighty leaf explants (with 16 explants per plate) were implanted on AIM1 and AIM2 media supplemented with a particular antibiotic concentration. The cultures were maintained in the dark at 20 ± 1 °C during 4 weeks. After that period, they were evaluated paying attention to callus proliferation and necrosis observed on the explant surface.

### Kanamycin concentration testing for leaf explants

The following kanamycin concentrations 0; 25, 50, 75, and 100 mg/l (medium KSCM2) were tested to point out the selection concentration for explants. The effect of particular concentrations was evaluated with the help of the morphological changes observed in the explant. For establishing transgene selection, an optimal kanamycin concentration was later applied.
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Fig. 1 Diagram of procedure involved in vector transformation of *G. tibetica* leaf explants derived from axenic culture carried on hormone-free MS medium
**Agrobacterium tumefaciens culture**

The culture of *A. tumefaciens* was held on an agar medium at \( +4 \, ^{\circ} \text{C} \), when stored for long time, bacteria were immersed in glycerol and kept at \(-80 \, ^{\circ} \text{C}\). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells carrying pDraGON-G:GFP was constructed on the basis of pCB 301 plasmid having in the region of T-DNA GUS int and *gfp* genes under 35S promoter of cauliflower mosaic virus, and *nptII* gene under napolin promoter. The bacteria were additionally supplemented by plasmid pCH32 (helper plasmid containing *virG* and *virE* genes). The bacteria cultures were maintained on a YEB agar medium (5.0 g/l Peptone, 5.0 g/l Yeast extract, 1.0 g/l Beef extract, 0.49 g/l MgSO\(_4\) with or without 15 g/l Agar, pH 7.2) and before the experiments were commenced, 2-day fresh subculture was done. From this culture, individual bacteria colonies were transferred to a fresh liquid YEB medium for multiplication. These cultures were maintained during 24 h in the dark at 28 \( ^{\circ} \text{C} \) on a rotary shaker with 180 rpm. Just before the experiment, the bacteria were collected and washed three times using sterile 10 mM MgSO\(_4\). Finally, the bacteria pellet was transferred into an inoculation medium IMI with OD = 0.8 at \( \lambda = 600 \, \text{nm} \). Table 1 presents the media used in the Agrobacterium transformation procedure.

**Bacteria inoculation and co-culture**

Freshly excised leaf explants (totally in all experiments 11,700 pieces) originated from axenic culture were immersed in the bacteria suspension in IM1 medium (immersion medium). Medium was dispersed into petri dishes and inoculation was carried out during the following periods of time: 15 or 30 min, and 1, 2, 4, 24, 48, 72, and 120 h at 25 \( ^{\circ} \text{C} \) in the darkness in the presence of L-glutamine. Later, an explants were washed by three times immersion in sterile distilled water supplemented with 150 mg/l of timentin, dried, and transferred on the Whatman paper no. 3 located over CM4 agar medium (co-culture medium) for 48 h. After that time, plant material was implanted on the surface of agar EM2 medium (bacteria elimination medium) devoted to bacteria elimination, and next 7 days, explants were maintained on selection agar SM3 medium (selection medium) supplemented with kanamycin at the presence of timentin.

### Table 1  Media used for establishment elimination of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, selection, and regeneration of transformed material

| Name of medium | Content | Medium application |
|----------------|---------|-------------------|
| KSCM1          | MS + 1.0 mg/l NAA + 0.25 mg/l KIN + 30 g/l sucrose + agar + 0; 25; 50; 75; 100 mg/l KAN | Media used for evaluation of kanamycin concentration for *uid* gene transformants selection |
| KSCM2          | MS + 2.0 mg/l NAA + 1.0 mg/l CPPU + 30 g/l sucrose + agar + 0; 25; 50; 75; 100 mg/l KAN | Media used of timentin and cefatoxime concentration evaluation on the effect on morphogenic potential of leaf explants |
| AIM1           | MS + 30 g/l sacharozy + agar + 0; 100; 150; 200; 250; 300 mg/l CEF either TIM | Incubation of leaf blade explants in bacterium suspension |
| AIM2           | MS + 2.0 mg/l NAA + 1.0 mg/l CPPU + 30 g/l sacharozy + agar + 0; 100; 150; 200; 250; 300 mg/l CEF either TIM | Co-culture of leaf blade explants with bacterium |
| IM1            | MS + ACET | Medium for bacteria elimination from tissue of explants |
| CM3            | MS + 30 g/l sucrose + agar | Medium used for selection of transformed material carrying *nptII* gene |
| EM3            | MS + 30 g/l sucrose + TIM + agar | Media used for plant regeneration from leaf explants transformed by *A. tumefaciens* strain C58C1 |
| SM5            | MS + 30 g/l sucrose + TIM + KAN + agar | |
| RM1            | MS + 1.0 mg/l KIN +0.5 mg/l GA\(_3\) + 80 mg/l SA + 30 g/l Sucrose + KAN + agar | |
| RM2            | MS + 1.0 mg/l KIN +0.5 mg/l 2,4-D + 30 g/l Sucrose + KAN + agar | |
| RM3            | WPM +30 g/l Sucrose + KAN + agar5 | |
Map of plasmids.

A. pCB301 (Xiang et al. 1999) and vector pDraGON-G:GFP,
B. Helper plasmid pCH (Hamilton 1997) acc. http://www.biotech.cornell.edu,
C. Fragment of T-DNA plasmid pBI 121 (Jefferson et al. 1987).

(RB/LB left/right border, MCS polylinker (multiple cloning site), gus—β-glucuronidase coding gene, nptII and nptIII—neomycin phosphotransferase coding genes (kanamycin resistance), gfp—green fluorescence protein coding gene, 35S-pro (CaMV 35 S pro)—Cauliflower Mosaic Virus promoter, NOS-pro/NOS-ter—promoter/terminator of nopaloin synthase gene, oriV and trfA—part of ori replication of RK2 plasmid, pSa—ori replication of PSa plasmid, Tet R—resistance for tetracycline, vir V and vir G genes—Agrobacterium genes responsible for protein coding connected with vir activation and T-DNA transfer).

The effect of L-glutamine on transformation efficiency

To describe the role of the L-glutamine in transformation experiment, the following concentration ranged from 0.0 to 2.0 g/l was employed. Time of an explant treatment was correlated with the time of incubation of plant material with bacterium. IM1 medium was supplemented with filter (Sartorius pore size 02 μm) sterilized L-glutamine.
Transformant regeneration

After 7-day-long selection, culture explants were transferred on three regeneration media RM1, RM2, and RM3 media which differ in mineral salts content and PGR concentration supplemented with timentin at the presence of kanamycin. Finally, transformants were cultured in glass jars containing TPM medium supplemented with kanamycin (Table 1).

Assay of GUS activity

The GUS transient and in transformants uidA gen expression was assayed with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylglucuronide (X-Gluc) as a substrate. Tissues were incubated in the reaction mixture, including 1.0 mM X-Gluc in DMSO, 20.0 mM phosphate buffer pH = 7.0, 0.25 mM Triton X-100, and 3.12 mM methanol. In the reaction mixture, the plant material was left for 24 h at temperature 37 °C in the dark. After that, three washes with 70% ethanol helped to extract chlorophyll from tissues to show blue (dichlorobromindigo) coloration of GUS reaction. Finally, the tissues were fixed with Carnoy’a fixative (acetic acid:- ethanol in proportion 1:3).

PCR analysis of transgenesis

To confirm transgenesis, one pair of primers for marker npt II gene (selection gene) and one pair of primers for uid A gene (reporter gene) were used for testing. For PCR reaction, the following starters have been applied for npt II gene first—(5′-GAGGCTATTCGGCTATGACTG-3′) and second (5′-ATCGGGAGCGGCGATAACGTGTA-3′) with amplification of 700 bp fragment (Dong and McHughen 1993). Following thermal profile was adopted: pre-denaturation at 95 °C 15 min, 30 cycles of denaturation 94 °C 20 s, annealing at 56 °C 30 s, and synthesis at 72 °C 60 s. It was followed by final extension 72 °C 5 min and pause at 4 °C. For uid A gene, following starters were tested: 1—(5′-TTATCTCTATGAACTGTGCGTCA-3′) and 2—(5′-TTGGACATACCATCCGTAATATAA-3′) with amplification of 679 bp. Fragments Primers were designed according to the Primer3 program on the basis of uidA gene sequences found in data base NCBI (2008a). Following thermal profile was adopted: pre-denaturation at 95 °C 15 min, 30 cycles of denaturation 94 °C 30 s, annealing at 51 °C 1 min, and synthesis at 72 °C 2 min. It was followed by final extension 72 °C 10 min and pause at 4 °C.

Southern blot analysis of transgenic regenerants

An analysis was carried out for two fragments of genes nptII and uidA. Isolated DNA from randomly selected plants, the transgenic character of which was early confirmed with the help of a PCR analysis, underwent Southern blot hybridization. DNA of non-transformed, wild type of plants constituted negative control. DNA plasmid isolated from strain C58Cl of A. tumefaciens was positive control. Each DNA sample was digested by EcoRI/BamHI 65 μl reaction mixture contained 10 μg DNA (diluted in 30 μl H2O), 1× NEBuffer 3 + one of the studied restriction enzyme. The sample was supplemented with 200u of enzymes of BamHI and EcoRI (New England Biolabs). The digestion was carried out at temperature 37 °C during about 24 h. Digested DNA was separated on 0.9% agarose gel in 1× TEB buffer at the presence of voltage: 7 V/cm during 5 h. Later, sample was transferred on the nitrocellulose filter and the hybridization was made according Sambrook et al. (1989).

Gentiopicrosides evaluation of transformants and their wild forms

The gentiopicroside content was evaluated on the basis of the external standard of a methanol solution of gentiopicroside (Chromadex) at a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml.

Twelve randomly selected transformants T0 of G. tibetica together with wild form of G. criciata, G. kurroo, and G. lutea derived from field and axenic cultures were considered for the gentiopicroside content evaluation. After collection, all samples of plant material were directly freeze-drying. Three hundred milligram samples were taken for hot methanol extraction. After that, samples were evaporated and diluted in 5 ml of 30% methanol and analyzed with the help of HPLC (High-Performance Liquid Chromatography). Column Lichrospher 100 RP18 (250.0 × 4.0 mm, 5.0 μm), flow 1.10 ml/min, column temperature 40 °C, and schema of elucidation included 0.0–60 min with 90% A + 10% B up to 90% A + 10% B when H3PO4 aq (A) and Acetonitril (B) formed moving faze created conditions of the analysis.

Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis was performed with the application of the Statgraphics Plus4.1 program. The number of samples and their size, and the number of experiment repetition have been presented together with experiment description.

Results

Leaf explant response to β-lactam antibiotics (timentin and cefotaxime)

The leaf anatomy helps the bacteria to penetrate the blade interior via stomata. The treatment of such explants with the
The presence of antibiotics in a hormone-free medium resulted in a very insignificant morphogenic response of explants or, alternatively, in their death. A higher percentage of dying explants occurred in the presence of cefatoxime. In the presence of plant growth hormones, the lack of timentin effects on callus proliferation was noted. A lower number of responding explants were observed when the medium was supplemented with cefatoxime; however, the percentage was higher than 80%. Callus proliferation occurred on the cut surface of the explants and the callus presented a certain level of hydration and a green-yellow color. The most significant difference in the response of the explant was observed in the highest concentrations of the used antibiotic (300 mg/l). The presence of cefatoxime resulted in the regeneration of a small amount of callus which quite early got brown with signs of death. However, the effect of timentin was completely opposite, expressing a yellow-golden callus proliferation without any sign of suppression of the morphogenic character of the culture.

Among the studied A. tumefaciens strains LBA 4404(1) and LBA 4404(2) (data not shown), only C58C1 strains appeared to be a successful vector for the transformation of G. tibetica leaf explants. For improving the efficiency of transformation, various concentrations and durations of L-glutamine treatment were used. After inoculation, the explants were transferred to CM3 medium for co-culture and EM3 medium with timentin for bacteria elimination. In the presence of an SM5 medium supplemented with kanamycin (selection agent), the selection took place and not transformed cells were eliminated as the result of their response on 50 mg/l of antibiotics. After that, the following RM1, RM2, and RM3 media, supplemented with various PGRs, appeared a new environmental culture conditions to stimulate the regeneration processes. To describe the whole procedure and its expression in particular stages of transformat regeneration, the uid gene expression was explored. The blue color, being a tool of the visualization of a chemical reaction of the uid gene products with X-gluc substrate, helps to evaluate the efficiency of the transformation. The following periods of post-transformation culture were explored: 7 days, and 6 and 16 weeks for the evaluation of the transgenesis.

**L-Glutamine effect on the transformation efficiency**

The efficiency of transgenesis, studied after 7 days of culture, indicated an immediate relation between the used L-glutamine concentration and the time of explant incubation. The percentage of transformed explants increased together with the rising concentration of L-glutamine and extension of its incubation time. Two factorial variance analyses indicated a statistically significant effect of the concentration and time of incubation of L-glutamine on the transformation efficiency. The synergy of both studied factors, likewise, significantly affected the obtained results. Only in the case of experiments carried out during 24–120 h, no statistically significant relation between the time of incubation and L-glutamine concentration and the affectivity of transformation was noted. Independently of L-glutamine concentrations, explants incubated from 24 to 120 h presented a positive GUS reaction. However, incubation longer than 24 h resulted in the decay of the result because of the negative influence of bacteria on the living plant tissue. In the case of a shorter incubation time, for example from 15 min to 4 h, the interdependence of L-glutamine concentration and the efficiency of the process were observed. With a rising concentration of L-glutamine, the efficiency of the transformation increased. This phenomenon occurred particularly in the 15th min of the incubation time. Explants incubated during 120 h in the presence of 2.0 g/l L-glutamine showed 30% of β-glucuronidase activity. However, in such a case, the standard error was very high (Fig. 2).
Besides of the intensity of blue colorization of the leaf explant, the area of the responding explant showing gus gene expression could be selected as the second factor of transformation evaluation. The surface of leaf explants with expression of reporter gene β-glucuronidase was different in relation to the arranged variance of experiments. The explant incubation with Agrobacterium for 15 min to 4 h afforded possibilities of blue colorization of only fragments of explants and not stained cells were present next to blue stained fragments.

The time extension of the inoculation resulted in the increase of the area of leaf blade showing expression of β-glucuronidase. Explants incubated longer than 24 h were completely blue stained. The intensity of β-glucuronidase activity was affected by the L-glutamine concentration in the inoculation medium. Together with the increasing L-glutamine concentration, the blue staining intensity was highly colored. The lack of L-glutamine resulted in individual stained regions of the explant, while in its presence, the area and intensity of stained tissue significantly increased. The presence of the highest concentration—2.0 g/l L-glutamine—resulted in total blue staining of explants as a result of uid gene expression (Fig. 3).

### Morphogenic events in transgenesis

For the evaluation of transformation, morphogenic response of transformed explant is the most important. In this field, the first response consisted on the callus formation that mostly happened on the open cut surface of the explant. Explants incubated with bacteria longer than 24 h did not form a callus tissue, and in the majority of cases, they gradually died. The lack of L-glutamine in the medium stimulated the non-formation of the callus. The highest yielding of callus (87.5% of explants) was observed when cultures were incubated during 30 min in the presence of 2.0 g/l of L-glutamine (Table 2). The initial response of explants occurred after 3 weeks of co-culture. The emerging callus had the following characteristics; its structure was compact and granular with a variation of colors, from yellow to deep green. No differences were observed of the responding explants regardless of the content of PGR in media used for regeneration (media RM1-RM3) (Table 1). Both factors, L-glutamine and its concentration, as well as the length of the incubation time had statistically significant influence on the efficiency of a transgenic callus formation. In addition, a significant joint action of both factors mentioned above was shown.

![Fig. 3](image-url)
Considering the activity of glucuronidase as the factor describing the efficiency of transformation, the lowest results were obtained in the case of 0.25 g/l of L-glutamine with a shorter time of incubation 15 min (Table 2).

After 8 weeks of post-transformation culture, the first symptoms of plant regeneration on the transgenic tissue were recognized. Even though the post-transformation culture was carried out in the presence of an optimal concentration of the selection agent—kanamycin, 7 out of 203 totally regenerated plants did not show transgenesis (lack of positive GUS reaction). The intensity of transformant regeneration was strongly depending on three agents: the incubation time, the concentration of L-glutamine, and the regeneration medium (Fig. 4). The most effective regeneration occurred on explants transformed in the presence of 0.5 and 1.0 mg/l of L-glutamine during 30 or 60 min of the bacterial incubation. Both the extension of incubation time for over 1 h and the increase in L-glutamine concentration higher than 1.0 g/l resulted in a significant reduction of the regeneration activity of the culture. Comparing the number of regenerated transformants to the number of transformed explants, the highest yield occurred in a combination of 1.0 g/l L-glutamine and 1 h of the incubation (22.5%). The increase in both, L-glutamine concentration and the time of incubation, resulted in a drastic reduction of the culture efficiency. The significance of the application of L-glutamine in incubation is shown in Fig. 6, indicating that 51 and 39% of obtained transformants were obtained in the presence of 1.0 g/l and 0.5 g/l L-glutamine, respectively. The application of a lower or higher concentration of that amino acid resulted in a very drastic reduction of the regeneration activity. The most conductive time of regeneration appeared 1 h after incubation, reaching 43% of all transgenic plant lines. The extension and shortening of the incubation time resulted in the reduction of the transformant regeneration (Fig. 5). The regeneration occurred on three studied regeneration media (RM1-RM3). The most effective, however, appeared the hormone-free medium (RM3) with 72% of the total number of transformants (Fig. 6). The medium supplemented with GA3 + kinetin and AS (RM1) created the most uncomfortable regeneration conditions (5%).

**Molecular analysis of transformants**

**PCR analysis**

For the PCR optimization conditions, and the amplification of nptII, uid A, and vir G genes, DNA fragments of the plasmid of the strain C58C1 A. tumefaciens were explored. Two pairs of primers for vir G were tested. Only the second pair of tested primers (5’-CTGGCGGAAAGTC TGAT-3’, 5’-TGTCGTAACCTCTCCTCGT-3’) allowed the amplification of an expected fragment (450 bp) of vir G. On the basis of the reaction that was carried out for temperature

| Time of incubation | L-Glutamine concentration (g/L) | 0.0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | Σ |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 15 min            |                                 | 18/25| 30/32 | 36/39 | 25/25 | 109/121 |
| 30 min            |                                 | 22/30 | 32/35 | 44/46 | 175/179 | 273/290 |
| 1 h               |                                 | 33/33 | 37/38 | 56/57 | 145/145 | 271/273 |
| 2 h               |                                 | 40/40 | 39/39 | 112/113 | 139/140 | 330/332 |
| 4 h               |                                 | 41/41 | 61/61 | 140/141 | 25/25 | 267/268 |
| 24 h              |                                 | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | -  |
| Σ                 |                                 | 154/169 | 199/205 | 388/396 | 509/514 | 1250/1284 |

Values described by the same letters are not significantly various (p > 0.05)
evaluation for further experiments, 54 °C has been selected.

For nptII gene, only one pair of starters was tested 5'-GAGGCTATTCGGCTATGACTG-3' and 5'-ATCGGGAGCGGCATACCGTA-3'. Electrophoresis allowed to show up the set of bends of the expected size 700 bp. Among six temperature gradients analyzed, only 54 °C was chosen for further experiments.

Two pairs of primers were tested for uidA gene. Both pairs allowed amplification of fragments of genes. Starters 5'-CTGATAGCGCGTGACAAAAA-3' and 5'-GGCAGCAGCATCAAAGAGA-3' (the first pair) allowed amplification of 208 bp fragment of gene. The reaction was carried out with six temperature gradients of amplification. Each of the temperatures allowed to have a DNA fragment of an expected number of pair base amplified.

The primers 5'-TTATCTCTATGAACTGTGCCT-3' and 5'-TTGGACATACCATCCGTAATAA-3' (II pair) allowed to have amplification of 679 bp 4 fragments of the gene. The temperature of the starter amplification was settled down at 51 °C. For further experiments, the second pair of starters was selected.

Analyses of transgenic regenerants

PCR DNA analysis of 196 transformats of G. tibetica showing β-glucuronidase activity obtained from transformed leaf explants confirmed the presence of nptII and uidA genes (Figs. 6, 7, 8). Out of all studied regenerants, only three showed the band of 450 bp which confirmed Agrobacterium contamination. That regenerants were not considered for further analysis.
Southern blot hybridization

As a result of a Southern blot analysis, hybridization of a molecular probe to DNA of selected plants carrying nptII gene confirmed by PCR was observed. In the control sample, there were no signals manifesting the adding of the probe to gained restriction fragments. A positive control which confirmed plasmid gave a clear impression after hybridization; however, single band showing the presence of nptII gene was recognized on autoradiographs (Fig. 8).

Gentiopicroside content of G. tibetica transformants

Leaves excised from wild plants growing in the field presented a lower percentage of gentiopicrosides than that one originated from an axenic culture. The content of gentiopicroside in leaves of G. tibetica wild form derived from an axenic culture was evaluated to the level of fresh weight of 9.96%. In transgenic plants, it ranged between 6.66 and 12.41%. In their roots, only very low level was found, about 0.46%. Table 3 shows the percentage of

---

**Fig. 7** PCR analysis of gene expression of eleven free selected T. tibetica T0 transformants. a uidA (679 bp), b nptII (700 bp)

**Fig. 8** Southern blot analysis of five free selected G. tibetica transformants confirms the presence of the nptII and uidA genes. Each DNA sample was digested by EcoRI/BamHI. 65 μl reaction mixture contained 10 μg DNA (diluted in 30 μl H2O), 1× NEBuffer 3 + one of the studied restriction enzyme. (BamHI and EcoRI; New England Biolabs). The digestion temperature: 37 °C and time: 24 h. DNA separation: 0.9% agarose gel in 1× TEB buffer at the presence of voltage; 7 V/cm during 5 h. Later, sample was transferred on the nitrocellulose filter and the hybridization was made according Sambrook et al. (1989)
gentiopicroside of fresh weight of *G. tibetica* transformants in relation to the wild form of three other gentians.

### Discussion

#### Transformation efficiency

Summing up the aim of already published papers of the gentian transformation systems was established for modifying physiological, pharmaceutical, and horticultural traits. The number of papers presenting genetic transformation is much less limited when *A. tumefaciens* is used as the transformation vector (Rybczyński et al. 2015). In this paper, leaf blade explants were selected as the object of experiments based on our earlier obtained results indicating high morphogenic potential of a leaf (somatic embryo regeneration) and green leaf mesophyll protoplasts as its single cell (callus and shoot regeneration) (Rybczyński et al. 2007; Fiuk and Rybczyński 2008a, b; Tomiczak et al. 2015, 2016).

The transformation efficiency is very much depending on the criterion of the evaluation. However, for any other experiments, the positive number of transformants is superior value which in carried out experiments brought to final culture on kanamycin selection medium 196 transformants, out of 1250 calluses, confirmed with the help of gus gene expression. Considering the first stage, the percentage of uidA gene expressing calluses was very high, reaching in many experiment combinations even 100% of total number of calluses regenerated. The transformation efficiency expressed as the total number of regenerants to the number of transgenic callus lines in particular combination of experiments resulted in 0.5–22.5%. With the help of kanamycin selection with 30 and 50 mg/l, the percentage of positive shoot number of PCR reached 3.3 and 5.0%, respectively, for *G. dahurica* (Sun and Meng 2010). In case *G. triflora* × *G. scabra* transformation experiments concerning the effect of constitutive expression of *GtMADS* gene, five binary vectors were introduced into its genome. Approximately 90 bialaphos–resistant calluses were obtained out of 54,000 leaf explants. On regeneration medium, 36 transformants were obtained, each from an independent callus line (Mishiba et al. 2005). Only 17 transgenic plants of cv. Albireo of the same hybrid out of over 10,000 leaf explant were regenerated and grow in vitro until flowering, expressing strong bialaphos herbicide-resistance (Nishihara et al. 2006). The transformation of *E. grandiflorum* showed that the potentially useful transgene (kanamycin resistance) was present in seven plants derived from independent events of transformation and the presence of the transgenic protein was detected in one of them (Semeria et al. 1996). For non-vector gentian transformation, only *G. kurroo* (electroporation) and hybrid (*G. triflora* × *G. scabra*) (particle bombardment) were tested with very low transformation efficiency. Only nine transformants, in the presence of kanamycin selection pressure, derived from electroporated embryogenic protoplast are low in comparison to morphogenic potential of untreated protoplasts of *G. kurroo* (Wójcik and Rybczyński 2015). In the presence of hygromycin selection, only two transgenic hybrid gentian plants were regenerated from each selected callus derived from bombarded suspension cells with plasmid caring gus and hpt genes (Hosokawa et al. 2000).

#### Strains of Agrobacterium

For plant transformation, the majority of explored *A. tumefaciens* strains derive from two wild isolates possessing one of two types of chromosomes: C58 or Ach5 (Wróblewski et al. 2005). Among the few studied strains (data not show), only transformation with the help of C58C1 (octopin strain) afforded possibility for obtaining transgenic tissues and transformants of *G. tibetica*. It is consistent with the negative results describing the transformation of *G. triflora* × *G. scabra* using the strain LBA4404 of *A. tumefaciens* (Hosokawa et al. 2000). In the case of species from the family Gentianaceae, it seems that strains possessing Ach5 chromosome are ineffective in this matter. Only genus Gentiana was transformed by strain EHA101 and EHA105 descending from C58 isolate (Mishiba et al. 2005; Nishihara et al. 2006). Reports presenting transformations of *E. grandiflorum* that is related to gentians also likewise concern only strains derived from C58 isolate (Ledger et al. 1997; Semeria et al. 1996). We would like to suggest that an additional factor which could influence the obtained results was the presence of pCH32 helper plasmid containing virG and virE genes (Hamilton 1997). The increase in transformation efficiency was noted when the mentioned above helper plasmid was introduced.

### Table 3

| Species                  | Gentiopicroside content (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|                         | Field culture | Axenic culture |
| Gentiana cruciata       | 0.63          | 4.09           |
| Gentiana kurroo         | Not studied   | 7.02           |
| Gentiana lutea          | 4.28          | 11.17          |
| Gentiana tibetica       | Wild form     | 8.23           |
|                         | Genetically modified | 9.96  |

*According to Polish GMO law, we are not allowed to have transformants and other gentians originated from axenic and field cultured formants on the field culture.*
to *A. tumefaciens* used for grapevine (Santos-Rosa et al. 2008), lettuce, potato, and *Arabidopsis* transformation (Wróblewski et al. 2005). For medicinal plants, other than gentians, characterized by very rich secondary metabolism and relative resistance to bacterial material, *Drosera rotundifolia* (Hirsikorpi et al. 2002) and *Artemisia annua* (Vergauwe et al. 1996), the strain C58C1 was successfully applied for the genetic modification, too.

**Time of bacteria incubation and co-culture**

The time of the incubation plays a crucial role in the transformation efficiency. In the case of gentian transformation, the time of bacteria incubation varied greatly and depended on plant material (species) and the strain of Agrobacterium. The dipping of the leaf explants of *G. triflora* × *G. sabra* hybrid into bacteria suspension of EHA101 strain was enough to recognize transformation events (callus proliferation), if the co-cultivation lasted for as long as 1 week (Nishihara et al. 2006). The co-cultivation of *E. grandiflorum* lasted about 2 or 5 min with incubation time taking 1 or 20 min. In that case, the extension of the time significantly affected the efficiency of the transformation procedure (Ledger et al. 1997; Semeria et al. 1996). In our experiments carried out on *G. tibetica*, leaf explants presented a relation between the extension of incubation time (up to 24 h) and the transient expression of GUS activity. The extension of the time up to 120 h resulted in total explant expression of GUS activity; however, this plant material had completely lost morphogenic potential and later exhibited necrosis spread across the explant. We conclude that the dying of the explant could be the result of a stress reaction of leaf cells to the long time effect of bacteria on the immunological system of plant material, even that the species is the one of the richest producers of antibacterial secondary metabolites. Moreover, the cross effect of dying cells on the living ones might have occurred, and the overgrowth and development of the surviving cells had no chance to happen (Belny et al. 1997).

In this paper, the highest number of GM callus lines was produced by explants incubated during 30 min in the presence of 2.0 g/l L-glutamine; however, the highest efficiency of transgenic plant regeneration occurred when explants were incubated for 1 h at the presence of 1.0 g/l L-glutamine. A similar time of incubation and a 5-day-long co-cultivation were successfully used for *Echinacea purpurea* leaf explant transformation (Wang and To 2004). The time of incubation is usually shorter, even though the species belong to plants of rich secondary metabolites characterized by their bacteriostatic or bacteriocide actions. Here are a few examples: 10 min of incubation and 3 days of co-cultivation for *Drosera rotundifolia* (Hirsikorpi et al. 2002), 15 min for incubation and 4-day-long co-cultivation for *Plumbago zeylanica* (Wei et al. 2006), 20 min of incubation and 5-day-long co-cultivation *Camellia sinensis* (Sandal et al. 2007), and 30 min of incubation and 4-day-long co-cultivation for *Coffeea canephora* (Hatanaka et al. 1999). It should be emphasized that cell suspension, regardless of its type, requires a considerably longer time of the bacteria incubation, for example: *Arabidopsis thaliana* 48 h (Gallego et al. 1999) and *Nicottiana tabacum* even 72 h (Rempel and Nelson 1995). Most probably, it is the reason that cell wall of external cells of aggregate is thicker than the internal walls of any explant and what is more important is not damaged, so bacteria virulential action comes cross with obstacles, which takes longer.

**The effect of β-lactam antibiotics (timentin and cefatoxime)**

Both antibiotics used in this experiment are characterized by a specific mechanism of action; they do not have the effect on metabolism of eukaryotic plant cells. There are numerous evidences giving examples of non-destructive effect of β-lactam antibiotics on eukaryotic organisms (Pollock et al. 1983; Young et al. 1984; d’Utra Vaz et al. 1993; Kaur et al. 2008). However, we should note information on the inhibition action or delayed response of plant explants cultured in the presence of cefatoxime (Cheng et al. 1998; Joersbo et al. 2003; Li et al. 2007). A similar phenomenon was observed in the case of our experiments. In the presence of 250 mg/l of cefatoxime, over 60% of explants presented slow dying and only 5.55% of initial number of explants were capable of callus production. An analogues timentin concentration did not affect the surviving of the explants and callus formation occurred in 35% of the initial number of explants. In the presence of used plant growth hormones, the response of explant was similar in the case of cefatoxime. However, timentin did not bear a statistically significant effect on callus tissue formation. The affectivity of *Populus euphratica* regeneration ability significantly decreased in the presence of the antibiotic and the regenerated shoots were etiolated and albinotic. (Ding et al. 2006). A similar response has been described for *Dianthus caryophyllus* shoot regeneration from leaf blade explants (Estopà et al. 2001).

The last 10 years have witnessed the substituting of cefatoxime by timentin in the plant vector transformation. It has a significant meaning in the case of species in which cefatoxime negatively affects the regeneration processes (Cheng et al. 1998). The timentin may not only stimulate inhibition of the morphogenic response of explant but enhance it as well, which was observed in the case of leaf explants of *Dianthus caryophyllus*, *Lycopersicon esculentum*, or *Platanus acerifolia* (Estopà et al. 2001; Li et al.
tion of the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha of shoots and blooming. Therefore, we do not have next generations. However, we ought to say that regenerants of some Gentianaceae species are able to give precocious flowering in both: axenic and multiplication culture. The phenomenon might occur spontaneously or appears under cytokinin control (Krestić-Milošević et al. 2015). According to our long-term culture experiences with wild form and transformants of G. tibetica, the species is not able to blooming in vitro culture. In references, there is not information about functionality of gametes of gentians flowers obtained in vitro.

L-Glutamine effect on transformation efficiency

L-Glutamine is the one of the organic substances tested for improving the vector transformation efficiency for monocots (Kubota et al. 2013) and for plant species with very rich secondary metabolites: Camellia sinensis (Sandal et al. 2007). It acts into two fields of relation between the bacteria and plant cell. L-Glutamine affects the effectiveness of transformation by protection of the bacterial cell against plant polyphenols and protects bacterial abilities to transfer the fragment of T-DNA (Sandal et al. 2007) into a plant cell. Very efficient Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha thalli was developed with the help of L-glutamine used for co-cultivation medium (Kubota et al. 2013). Additional L-glutamine to Agrobacterium infection medium counteracted the bactericidal effect of Pogostemon cablin and significantly increased the T-DNA delivery to its leaf explants (Paul et al. 2012). In our studies, L-glutamine appeared an essential factor for obtaining callus tissue and plants presenting stable transgenesis. The leaf explants with Agrobacterium without L-glutamine in the medium did not form callus tissue in selection conditions. The highest number of T0 plants was regenerated in the presence of 1.0 g/l L-glutamine; however, the highest number of explants expressing blue staining of X-Gluc reaction was noted with 2.0 g/l of the factor.

Test of transformation stability

We are very sorry that the most interesting part of plant transformation work it means genetic stability of T0 and next generations is out of us. In Poland, according the present law, we are not allowed to do transformation experiments outside of the lab. We received government permission to work on gentian transformation only in laboratory. Our objective of experiments G. tibetica, the plant species only adopted to Polish environmental, is characterized by at least 2–3 years of vegetative growth outside to build proper root system, what insure the growth of shoots and blooming. Therefore, we do not have next generations. However, we ought to say that regenerants of some Gentianaceae species are able to give precocious flowering in both: axenic and multiplication culture. The phenomenon might occur spontaneously or appears under cytokinin control (Krestić-Milošević et al. 2015). According to our long-term culture experiences with wild form and transformants of G. tibetica, the species is not able to blooming in vitro culture. In references, there is not information about functionality of gametes of gentians flowers obtained in vitro.

Conclusions

In this paper, the system of G. tibetica transformation with the help of vector—A. tumefaciens strain C58C—carrying helper plasmid pCH32 was used for production of transformants. The leaf blade explant as the source of relatively uniform cell population responded to co-culture with bacteria by callus tissue formation. The transformation efficiency depended on the L-glutamine concentration and time of the co-culture. The regeneration system based on the application of kanamycin selection and bacteria elimination from explants and later transformant cultures using timentin and cefatoxime has been developed. On molecular level, the transformation was confirmed with the help of PCR and Southern blot hybridization analysis.

Taking into consideration our experiences with established gentian embryogenic cell suspensions in plant regeneration of numerous gentians, we would like to announce a next paper that will describe their transformation with the help of A. tumefaciens.
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