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Abstract

Background: Dow AgroSciences has been investigating a new family of functionalized 2,6-dihaloaryl 1,2,4-triazole insecticides featuring specifically targeted insecticidal activities coupled with low mammalian toxicity. With broad spectrum control of both chewing and sap-feeding pests in mind, this family of compounds has been under investigation for aphid, mite, and whitefly control in food crop protection as well as ornamental applications. Two specific targets for development have been the 2,6-dihalo 1,2,4-triazoles XR-693 and XR-906, which require a supply of the halogenated 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid derivatives 1, 2, and 3 for assembly of the C-ring portion of the triazole products.

Results: Potential manufacturing routes to three halogenated 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid derivatives 4-bromo-3-methyl-2-thiophenecarboxylic acid chloride 1, 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonyl chloride 2, and 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile 3 from commercially available thiophene raw materials have been developed and demonstrated on a laboratory scale. A one-pot bromination/debromination procedure developed for 3-methylthiophene gave 2,4-dibromo-3-methylthiophene. Carboxylic acid functionality was then introduced either by a Grignard metallation followed by carbonation with CO2, or by a palladium catalyzed carbonylation procedure under CO pressure. The vapor phase chlorination of 2-thiophenecarbonitrile with chlorine gas at 500°C with an average residence time of 6 seconds gave 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxylic acid 1 in a 69% distilled yield, a process that was carried out on a multi-kilogram scale in the laboratory. Finally, a route for the preparation of 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonyl chloride 2 was developed from tetrachlorothiophene via either a lithiation reaction with n-butyllithium in MTBE solvent, or by a previously reported Grignard method using 1,2-dibromoethane as activator, followed by carbonation of the anion with CO2 to give the trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxylic acid, which was readily converted to the acid chloride 2 with SOCl2.
Background
Since the near world-wide ban on agricultural uses of the chlorinated insecticide DDT which began in the 1970’s and 1980’s, [1] the search for effective and safe insecticides that exhibit low bio-persistence and low toxicity to birds, mammals, and aquatic life has intensified. The requirement for safe insecticides is driven by a continuing need to control malaria and to feed a growing world population. Indeed, it has been estimated that up to 15% of annual global food crops are lost to insects. [2] Dow AgroSciences has been investigating a new family of functionalized 2,6-dihaloaryl 1,2,4-triazole insecticides featuring specifically targeted insecticidal activity coupled with low mammalian toxicity. [3-6] With broad spectrum control of both chewing and sap-feeding pests in mind, this family of compounds has been under investigation for aphid, mite, and whitefly control in food crop protection as well as ornamental applications. Two specific target molecules for development have been the 2,6-dihalo 1,2,4-triazoles XR-693 and XR-906, each containing a halogenated thiophene moiety on the C-ring portion of the tri-ring system.

The development of a commercial manufacturing process for these 2,6-dihalo insecticides has required processes for efficient routes to the halogenated 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid derivatives 1–3 (Figure 1), in which the carboxylic acid is functionalized as an acid chloride or nitrile to serve as a handle for attachment to the final triazole ring systems of XR-693 and XR-906 (Figure 2).

In this paper we discuss recent work in the development of potential manufacturing routes to the halogenated thiophene derivatives 1–3 from commercially available and inexpensive thiophene raw materials.

Bromination Studies. Routes to 4-Bromo-3-methyl-2-thiophenecarboxylic chloride 1
Development of a viable commercial route to the insecticide XR-693 required a supply of 4-bromo-3-methyl-2-thiophenecarboxyl chloride 1 as a building block for attachment of the C-ring. However, neither 1 nor its carboxylic acid precursor were available on a commercial scale, although a 5 Kg research sample of 1 was secured from an intermediates supplier during the course of our study (see Experimental section in Additional file 1). The preparation of the acid precursor in modest yield from methyl 3-methylthiophene-2-carboxylate via aqueous bromination, followed by hydrolysis of the methyl ester was reported in 1938. [7] Thus, a commercially viable process to 1 was required that could be carried out on a multi-Kg scale or larger.

Scheme 1: Synthesis and Reactivity of 2,4,5-Tribromo-3-methylthiophene. Initial Bromination Approaches.

Initial studies of potential viable routes centered upon bromination strategies of the two commercially available 3-methylthiophenes 4 and 5 (Scheme 1). The preparation of 5 has been reported via reaction of 3-methyl-2-thienylmagnesium halides with CO2. [8-11] The preparation of the tribromide 6 has also been reported from the bromination of 4. [11] Our initial approach was to attempt a bromination/debromination sequence on 5 to prepare the acid precursor of 1, a process that would give 1 from 5 in three chemical steps. However, treatment of 5 with bromine gave tribromide 6 via a bromination/decarboxylation sequence, a procedure that was initially reported in 1953 and used in subsequent synthetic strategies (Scheme 1). [12-15] Tribromide 6 could be reduced somewhat selectively with zinc powder in acetic acid to give a mixture of de-brominated species 8–10, with the desired 2,4-dibromo isomer 8 formed as 89% of the mixture (Scheme 1).
The availability of dibromo intermediate 8 paved the way for the introduction of the carboxylic acid functionality at the 2-thienyl position in three chemical steps from commercially available 3-methylthiophene 4 (see discussion below). Interestingly, formation of the Grignard of tribromodialdehyde 11, which could be readily and cleanly converted to 1 by treatment with thionyl chloride. Scheme 2 shows three potential routes to 19 which were investigated in this study. Initially, the commercially available 3-methylthiophene-2-carboxylic acid 5 was chosen as the starting material. With this approach, the failure of a bromination/debromination scheme to give 19 directly led initially to a more indirect route through the nitrile 16. The 2-thienynitrile 16 was prepared from 5 by conversion to the acid chloride 14 followed by treatment with ammonia to give the amide 15. Dehydration of 15 with POCl₃ then gave 16 in high-purity. The bromination of 16 was carried out with Br₂ in DMF, followed by a basic nitrile hydrolysis to give the dibromo acid 18, which was debrominated with zinc dust in acetic acid to give 19.

Although this route provided small-scale quantities of 1 for sample studies, a shorter process was desired. Thus, a second approach shown in Scheme 2 was investigated. Mono-bromination of commercially available 4 with NBS gave 2-bromo-3-methylthiophene 11 in a 64% yield. [10] This reaction has also been reported using aqueous bromine. [11] The carboxyl functionality was then introduced by formation of the 2-thienyl Grignard reagent [8-11] followed by treatment with dimethylcarbonate (DMC) to give ester 12a. Alternatively, a palladium-catalyzed carbonylation under CO pressure in EtOH gave ester 12b. Unlike 5, the esters 12 could be subjected to a one-pot bromination/debromination sequence with Br₂ in acetic acid, followed by Zn dust treatment, to give the 4-bromoester 13, which was readily hydrolyzed to the acid 19. This resulted in a five-step chemical process to 1 from commercially available 4. A four-step route to 1 was also demonstrated from commercially available acid chloride 14 via esterification with methanol to give 12a [16].

Multi-gram quantities of 1 were prepared on a 5-L laboratory scale using this route (see additional file 1 for full experimental data).

The shortest, most efficient route to 1 utilized the one-pot bromination/debromination of 4 (Schemes 1 and 2) to give the 2,4-dibromo intermediate 8. This proceeded through the unisolated tribromide 6, which was treated with zinc dust to reduce the 5-bromo position. Although 9 and 10 are co-products (Scheme 1), dibromide 8 is a low-melting solid that can be vacuum distilled to improve purity. Introduction of carboxyl functionality in 8 to give 19 was achieved either by metallation with MeMgBr [11] followed by treatment with CO₂ gas, or by a palladium catalyzed carbonylation procedure [17,18] under CO pressure in Et₂N/water using the chelating diphenylphosphine ligand 1,3-bis (diphenylphosphino)propane (DPPP), [19,20] (Scheme 2). The palladium catalyzed carbonylation procedure was also used to prepare esters 12 from 11. This procedure, [17] which used palladium acetate as the catalyst precursor, was successfully modified in our hands [18] to allow for the use of heterogeneous palladium on carbon catalyst. The ability to use a heterogeneous form of catalyst opens the door for catalyst recycle for an industrially viable process, although carbonation rates were found to be slower for the heterogeneous catalyst compared to homogeneous palladium acetate. The use of DPPP was required for successful conversion to carbonation product, even with the use of heterogeneous Pd/C catalyst. Carbonylation of 8 in an Et₂N/H₂O solvent sys-

![Scheme 2: Routes to 4-Bromo-3-methyl-2-thiopheneacetic Acid Chloride, 1, From 3-Methylthiophene, 4.](image)
tem gave acid 19, while carboxylation of 11 in ethanol gave ester 12b.

Thus, the most likely commercial scenario for production of 1 is a three chemical step process from 4 via a one-pot bromination/debromination using bromine followed by reduction with zinc dust. This gives bromine substitution at the desired 4 position of the thiophene ring without isolation of the tribromo intermediate 6. The final low-melting acid chloride 1 was readily purified by vacuum distillation on a 250 g laboratory scale to remove a small amount of tar and gave 1 as a light-yellow colored product.

Vapor Phase Chlorination Studies. Routes to 3,4,5-Trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile, 3

Our investigation of synthetic routes to the 1,2,4-triazole insecticide XR-906 required a supply of the chlorinated thiophenes 2 or 3 as building blocks for attachment of the C-ring portion. Preparation of trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile 3 has been reported starting from 2-chloromethyl-3,4,5-trichlorothiophene. [21] However, since 2-thiophenecarbonitrile 20 was commercially available, we investigated the vapor phase chlorination of this starting material as a potential convenient manufacturing route to 3 that could be carried out on a multi-Kg scale (Scheme 1). [22,23] The vapor phase chlorination of nitrogen heterocycles has been reported. [22] The analogous vapor phase chlorination of thiophene 20 was carried out in the present study using a down flow quartz reactor tube heated with an electric furnace to high temperatures, and filled with an inert support of grade 03 silica gel, which generated a high surface area reaction zone. By feeding a 20% perchloroethylene solution of 20 to the reactor with an excess of chlorine gas at an average reactor residence time of approximately 6 seconds, 3 was obtained as the major product along with minor amounts of the chlorine addition product, thiolane 21, identified by its mass spectrum. Also present in the crude product were dichlorinated isomers of 20, a small amount of tetrachlorothiophene, and some hexachloroethane arising from the chlorination of perchloroethylene solvent. A small amount of heavier tars were also formed.

An initial screening program was carried out in which the effect of reaction temperature on the yield of 3 and on the product composition was determined. The results are summarized in Table 1, in which GC analysis of the products obtained at each temperature are compared. A reaction temperature range of 500–630°C was investigated, and the results show that operating the reactor at a lower temperature of 500°C gave a 93% yield of 3 while suppressing the formation of impurities such as 21. This was a dramatic yield improvement over those obtained at the higher temperatures. The formation of hexachloroethane from perchloroethylene solvent chlorination was more pronounced at lower temperatures, presumably due to longer reactor residence times.

With preliminary studies completed (Table 1), a 4.7 Kg sample of raw material 20 was converted to 8 Kg of crude 3 over a 4-week period using a 1” diameter lab scale chlorination reactor. The average residence time in the reactor was 5–6 seconds. The crude reactor condensate, after neutralization with bicarbonate and solvent evaporation, was vacuum distilled to give 6.4 Kg of 3 having a purity of > 99% by GC area % analysis, representing a 69% distilled yield. The product could also be purified by recrystallization from warm heptane.

The chlorination of 20 was found to be exothermic as noted from internal thermocouples placed inside the reactor tube. An internal exotherm of 20–30°C near the top of the reactor zone was observed. At the end of an extended run, the inert silica gel packing in the reactor tube was blackened, but could easily be poured from the 1” tube.

Chemistry of Tetrachlorothiophene, 22. Routes to 3,4,5-Trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxylic Chloride, 2

The preparation of tetrachlorothiophene 22 from tetrachloroethylene and H2S or from hexachlorobutadiene and sulfur has been reported. [24-26] The synthesis of 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxylic acid 23 has been reported in an 87% yield from 22 by CO2 carbonation of trichloro-2-thienylmagnesium halide, prepared in high yield by the use of a stoichiometric quantity of 1,2-dibromoethane (DBE) as an activator of the magnesium metal (Scheme 4). [19,20] The preparation of 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxyl chloride 2 from the acid 23 has been

Table 1: Vapor Phase Chlorination of 2-Thiophenecarbonitrile

| Temp (°C) | 630 | 600 | 550 | 500 |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Dichloro isomers | <5% | 6.3% | 5.3% | 1.7% |
| 22 | 1.1% | 1% | 1% | <0.5% |
| 3 | 84.9% | 83.7% | 89.0% | 90.5% |
| 21 | 5.7% | 3.4% | 1.6a | 0.6% |
| HCE | 1.0% | 3.5% | 4.0% | 7.8% |
| Yield 3b | 73% | 69% | 81% | 93% |

*aHexachloroethane
*bBased on a GC assay method using 3,4,5,6-tetrachloro-2-pyridinenitrile as internal standard.
reported using an excess of SOCl₂, followed by the purification of 2 by vacuum distillation. [27]

![Scheme 4: Synthesis of 3,4,5-Trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxylic Chloride](image)

We have found that the preparation of 23 could also be carried out from 22 via metallation with n-butyllithium in an ether solvent with sub-zero cooling, followed by quenching of the 2-thienyllithium species with CO₂. We have found that the choice of solvent for the metal-halogen exchange reaction is important. Diethyl ether was effective, but the preferred choice of solvent for this reaction was found to be methyl n-butyl ether, owing to its simplification of reaction work up from the ease of separation of organic and aqueous phases. Interestingly, reaction of 22 with n-butyllithium in THF solvent led to a black reaction mixture consisting of undesired byproducts. With n-ButLi, the metallation of 22 in THF at -60°C followed by hydrolysis with water led to a mixture of products that consisted mainly of the chlorothiophene reduction product 24 arising from protonation of the desired 2-thienyllithium species. However, also present were the butylated thiophenes 25 and 26 (Scheme 5), identified by GC/MS. Thus, in THF the n-butyllithium reagent was too reactive, leading to butyl addition products rather than clean metallation to the 2-thienyllithium intermediate.

![Scheme 5: Metal-Halogen Exchange in THF Solvent](image)

After successful metallation of 22 and treatment with CO₂, 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarboxylic acid 23 was isolated and dried prior to its conversion to 2 with thionyl chloride. Thus, 2 can be prepared in two chemical steps from the inexpensive chlorinated thiophene 22 using either lithiation or Grignard chemistry. [28]

A comparison of some key manufacturing issues surrounding the two proposed routes to 2 via 23 is summarized in Table 2. The two routes are dramatically different and lead to significantly different yields. At first glance, the lithiation route is clearly superior due to the 92% isolated yield, and the formation of only one equivalent of lithium salt. However, the lithiation route requires sub-zero cooling, and n-butyllithium has a significantly higher cost per mole than magnesium metal. The requirement of a -60°C reaction temperature for lithium/chlorine exchange will require a significant capital expenditure in refrigeration equipment, whereas the Grignard method can be carried out by using more conventional manufacturing facilities. Also, the waste disposal of lithium salts can sometimes be problematic. On the other hand, the Grignard route, while using inexpensive magnesium metal, also requires the use of stoichiometric 1,2-dibromoethane (DBE). While DBE is relatively inexpensive, this will result in the generation of nearly three moles of magnesium halide salts per mole of 23 produced. Thus, the low cost of reagents will be at least partly offset by higher waste treatment costs, which are often overlooked in cost analyses of organic processes. [29] For larger volumes of production, the higher yield of the lithiation method will likely prove advantageous, as the increased yields will offset the higher initial investment of refrigeration equipment, as well as the benefits of lower waste volumes generated. For smaller pilot scale operations, the Grignard route may be more advantageous, as it can be carried out in conventional equipment, and in some instances equipment already in place. Additionally, the Grignard route may reap the benefit of additional optimization work that may bring the isolated yield of 23 closer to that obtained with the lithiation route. A careful financial analysis, taking into account all costs, including true waste treatment costs, is required to make a proper selection of the most desirable route.

**Conclusion**

Potential manufacturing routes to halogenated 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid derivatives 1–3 from commercially available raw materials have been developed and demonstrated on a laboratory scale. The halogenated thiophenes with an acid chloride or nitrile functional group at the 2-position are key building blocks for a new family of 1,2,4-triazole insecticides. A one-pot bromination/debromination procedure developed for 3-methylthiophene gave 2,4-dibromo-3-methylthiophene, which was a key inter-

| Route | Solvent | Reagent | Temperature (°C) | Yield | Salt Waste |
|-------|---------|---------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Lithiation | MTBE | n-ButLi | -60 | 92% | 1 eq Li salts up to 2.7 eq Mg halide salts |
| Grignard | THF | Mg/1.2-DBE | 36 | 87% | |

*See text reference 28.
mediate for conversion to 4-bromo-3-methyl-2-thiophenecarboxyl chloride 1. Carboxylic acid functionality was introduced either by a Grignard reaction followed by carbonation with CO₂, or a palladium catalyzed carboxylation procedure under CO pressure.

The vapor phase chlorination of 2-thiophenenitrile at 500°C gave 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonitrile 3 in a 69% distilled yield on a multi-kilogram scale, carried out using a 1” diameter lab-scale reactor tube over a four week campaign. Finally, a route for the preparation of 3,4,5-trichloro-2-thiophenecarbonyl chloride 2 was developed from tetrachlorothiophene via either a lithiation reaction with n-butyllithium in MTBE solvent, or by a previously reported Grignard method using 1,2-dibromoethane as activator, followed by carbonation of the anion with CO₂ to give the 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid, which was readily converted to 2 with SOCl₂.

The successful development of efficient synthetic routes to the halogenated thiophene building blocks 1–3 has paved the way for a development program for a new class of 1,2,4-triazole insecticides XR-693 and XR-906. A summation of the process development work of these two new insecticides will be the focus of a forthcoming publication.

**Experimental**

See Additional file 1 for full experimental data

**Additional material**

**Additional file 1**

Development of potential manufacturing routes for substituted thiophenes – Preparation of halogenated 2-thiophenecarboxylic acid derivatives as building blocks for a new family of 2,6-dihaloaryl 1,2,4-triazole insecticides. A detailed experimental section for the synthesis of thiophenes 1, 2, and 3 and their key intermediates is provided. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1860-5397-3-23-S1.doc](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1860-5397-3-23-S1.doc)
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