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ABSTRACT

Endophytes are important sources for the discovery of bioactive compounds. They are known as potential producers of novel compounds with antimicrobial activity. In this study, it aimed to study the bioactive metabolites present in the ethyl acetate extract from the endophytic fungus *Aspergillus terreus* MP15 of *Swietenia macrophylla* leaf. The ethyl acetate extract showed promising antibacterial activity against Gram-positive foodborne bacteria (*Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus spizizenii*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) in disc diffusion assay. The extract was then subjected to thin layer chromatography (TLC) using dichloromethane: methanol (9:1) as a mobile solvent system and eleven spots with diverse polarities were obtained. The TLC chromatogram with bioactive spot was localized with bioautography assay and the result showed that the yellow spot with *Rf* value of 0.523 exhibited promising antibacterial activity against the four Gram-positive bacteria tested. Upon partial purification of the yellow bioactive fraction using column chromatography, the fraction 2a exhibited promising antibacterial activity. The GC-MS analysis of the yellow fraction 2a resulted in the detection of a major compound, di-n-octyl phthalate with 80% matching factor. Therefore, this compound may largely contribute to the antibacterial activity of the fraction and has potential to be food preservative and as a colorant. These results indicate that endophytic fungi isolated from medicinal plants could be a potential source for bioactive compounds.

Keywords: Endophytes, *Aspergillus terreus*, Yellow fraction, Antibacterial, Foodborne microorganisms, *Swietenia macrophylla* plant, di-n-octyl phthalate

INTRODUCTION

Foodborne diseases or illnesses are emerging and continue to be a public health issue in the world today. Despite initiatives being done to prevent foodborne diseases, there are major outbreaks and cases reported from time to time. Moreover, many cases of foodborne diseases are left unreported, especially in developing countries (Newell et al., 2010). Besides that, diarrheal diseases alone kill 1.5 million children annually worldwide (Hanson et al., 2012). On the other hand, the emergence of antimicrobial resistance microorganisms is further complicating the eradication of foodborne diseases (Newell et al., 2010). The explanation for this is the ability of microorganisms to acquire resistance against antimicrobial substances such as the emergence of ciprofloxacin resistance *Campylobacter* (Agunos et al., 2013). Therefore, new natural sources of antibiotics to treat foodborne pathogens are seriously needed. In addition to that, medicinal plants have been recognized as potential sources of endophytic fungi having antimicrobial properties (Garcia et al., 2012).

*Swietenia macrophylla* King (Meliaceae) is a tropical plant with significant medicinal value and has been reported to contain various chemical compounds with pharmacological activities (Ahmad et al., 2013). It was reported to exhibit antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-diarrheal, anticancer and anti-diabetic activities (Tan et al., 2009;
Moumita et al., 2011; Soheil et al., 2013). Furthermore, the endophytic fungi residing in the plants reported being potential producers of pharmaceutical products. Darah et al. (2014) found that 68.3% of endophytic fungi from S. macrophylla leaves exhibited antimicrobial activity towards most of the tested microorganisms. Endophytic fungi are the microorganisms that grow inside the plants and are relatively unexplored producers of metabolites useful to pharmaceutical and medicinal industries (Petrini et al., 1992; Ramasamy et al., 2010). Furthermore, fungal endophytes residing within these plants could also produce metabolites similar to or with more pronounced activity than that of their respective hosts (Strobel, 2002). Therefore, in this study, the ethyl acetate extract and its fractions especially the yellow fraction of A. terreus MP15 isolated from the leaf of S. macrophylla (Darah et al., 2014), was studied for its antibacterial activity and to determine the possible antibacterial bioactive compound(s) involved in it.

**Materials and Methods**

**Maintenance of Aspergillus terreus MP15**

An endophytic fungal isolate A. terreus MP15 that was deposited in Industrial Biotechnology Research Laboratory, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia was used in this study. The fungus was one of the endophytes that previously isolated from a healthy old leaf of S. macrophylla King (Darah et al., 2014). It was cultivated on malt extract agar (MEA; Merck, Germany) supplemented with host plant extract (Tong et al., 2012; Darah et al., 2014) and stored as 20% glycerol stock at -20 °C. The stock was subcultured on fresh medium every month to ensure its viability.

**Test microorganisms**

The foodborne test microorganisms used were provided by Industrial Biotechnology Research Laboratory (IBRL), Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. Four Gram-positive (B. cereus, B. spizizenii, B. subtilis and S. aureus) and three Gram-negative (Klebsiella pneumoniae, Yersinia enterocolitica and Proteus mirabilis) bacteria were used as test microorganisms and subcultured every two weeks on fresh nutrient agar slant (Oxoid, England).

**Fungal cultivation and extraction of metabolites**

The cultivation medium was prepared by introducing two actively growing pure mycelial agar plugs (10 mm in diameter × 4 mm thickness and were excised from the periphery of 7 days old fungal culture) into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL yeast extract sucrose (YES; which consisted yeast extract, 20 g/L; sucrose, 40 g/L; MgSO₄ 0.5 g/L) broth amended with host plant water extract (Tong et al., 2011). The host plant water extract was prepared by boiling 5 g powdered plant material in 1000 mL distilled water for 30 min and filtered with Whatman No.1 filter paper. Subsequently, the cultures were cultivated at 25 °C under a dark condition for 14 days prior to the separation via filtration of fermented broth and fungal biomass using sterile Whatman No. 1 filter paper. Following that, the fungal biomass was freeze-dried, ground into powder form and later macerated in methanol (1:50, w/v) for overnight at room temperature. After maceration, the mixture was filtered using Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The filtrate, which was the methanolic extract was then concentrated to dryness by a rotary evaporator and dried in a fume hood until dried crude paste formed. The filtered broth was extracted thrice with an equal volume of ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v) and shaken vigorously for 10 min using liquid-liquid partitioning method. The upper organic phase was concentrated under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator and also dried in a fume hood until dried crude paste formed.

**Disc diffusion susceptibility test**

The assay was performed according to Tong et al. (2011) with slight modifications. The inocula were prepared by transferring a few single colonies of fresh bacterial culture into five mL of sterile distilled water and mixing well to obtain cell suspensions. Subsequently, the inocula of each test bacteria (approximately 1 × 10⁸ CFU/mL) were prepared by comparing them to 0.5 McFarland standards. The test bacterial inocula were then seeded on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) (Hi-media, India) using a swab streaking method. Fungal extract with a concentration of 50 mg/mL was prepared by dissolving 50 mg of extract in 0.2 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), subsequently added with 0.8 mL sterile distilled water. Twenty microliters of extract was then pipette onto 6 mm sterile Whatman antibiotic disc and placed on the surface of the MHA medium seeded with test microorganisms. One percent DMSO was applied as a negative control whereas 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol was used as a positive control. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hrs. Subsequently, the diameters of zones of inhibition were measured in millimeter. The experiments were done in triplicates for three separate occasions.

**Thin layer chromatography**

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed according to protocols mentioned by Atalla et al. (2008) with slight modifications. An aluminium sheet with pre-coated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (Merck, Germany) was measured and subsequently cut (1 cm width × 10 cm length). Following that, the ethyl acetate extract was then spotted at 1 cm from the bottom of the TLC plate. Subsequently, the TLC plate was then put in a glass jar previously saturated with
solvent system dichloromethane: methanol (9:1) (v/v). The glass jar was covered tightly. The solvent system was left to rise on the TLC plate until it reached the solvent front. The solvent front was then marked with a pencil. Following that, for the detection of bioactive compounds, the developed chromatogram was examined visually and also observed under short (254 nm) and long (366 nm) wavelength ultraviolet light. Besides that, it was exposed to iodine vapour in a closed jar containing iodine crystals. The Rf values of the separated spots were determined subsequently.

Contact bioautography

Contact bioautography was done according to the protocols explained by Choma and Grzelak (2010) with slight modifications. The inoculums of test bacteria were prepared (approximately 1 x 10^8 CFU/mL) and inoculated on the agar media, respectively. The developed TLC plate was then placed on the agar previously seeded with test bacteria for overnight to allow diffusion of bioactive compounds. Following that, the TLC plate was removed, and the agar layer was incubated at 37 °C for overnight. The zone of inhibition was then observed on the agar plate. The spots that exhibited antibacterial activity were located by comparing to the TLC plate previously removed.

Column chromatography

The fungal extract was subjected to fractionation using silica gel column chromatography (0.030 - 0.200 mm, 60 A) (Acros Organics, US) with 2 cm in diameter and 45 cm in height, as a stationary phase according to the protocols reported by Ezhil et al. (2014) with slight modifications. About 35 to 40 g of silica gel was dissolved using solvent system dichloromethane: methanol (9:1, v/v) as a movable phase and mixed into slurry. The slurry was then poured into the column and left for overnight. On the following day, the fungal extract was dissolved in few drops of solvent system, dichloromethane: methanol at ratio 9:1 (v/v) and transferred into the column using a clean Pasteur pipette. The column was then left to develop and following that fresh solvent was loaded in the column from time to time to prevent dry-off of the mobile phase. The bioactive fraction was collected and the compounds present were checked with TLC.

Broth microdilution assay

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of yellow fraction collected from column chromatography was determined regarding to the protocols reported by Jorgensen and Ferraro (2009). This assay was done in 96 wells microtitre plates (HmbG, USA). The fungal extract was two-fold diluted with sterile Muller Hinton broth (MHB) (Himedia, India). Subsequently, 100 µL of the extract was pipette into each well of the 96-wells plate. Meanwhile, the bacterial inoculum (2 x 10^7 CFU/mL) was prepared and then five µL of the bacterial suspension was dispensed into the wells. Finally, 95 µL of MHB was added into the well to give a final volume of 200 µL and the final concentration of bacteria was 5x10^5 CFU/mL. On the other hand, sterility control consisted of 200 µL MHB broth, whereas, for growth control, the fungal extract was substituted with 1 % DMSO. Subsequently, the plate was incubated overnight at 37 °C. On the following day, 40 µL of 0.2 mg/mL p-iodonitrotetrazolium violet salt (INT) (Sigma, USA) dissolved in ethanol was dispensed in each well to examine the microbial growth. The MIC was recorded as the lowest concentration of extract used to inhibit the growth of test pathogens. Besides that, the viable cells were enumerated with serial dilutions and plating on NA (Oxoid, England) to determine the MBC. The MBC was recorded as the lowest concentration of extract which caused 99.9 % reduction in growth compared to the control.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis

The bioactive fraction was subjected to Hewlett-Packard 6890N Network gas chromatography system equipped with Hewlett-Packard 5973 inert mass selective detector mass spectrophotometer to detect the possible chemical compounds present. The column used was HP-5MS (Agilent, USA). The cycle was as follows: oven temperature at 70 °C for 2 min; rose to 250 °C for 30 min and held at 250 °C for 20 min. Subsequently, the carrier helium gas was supplied in a constant rate at 1.2 mL/min. The separated chemical compounds from the extract were identified by comparing to the NIST02 library by computer matching.

RESULTS

Antibacterial activity

Antibacterial activity of the crude ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts of A. terreus MP15 isolated from S. macrophylla leaf are shown in Table 1. The results showed that the ethyl acetate extract that contained the compounds secreted extracellularly into the cultivation medium by the fungal isolate only inhibited the growth of four Gram-positive bacteria tested (B. cereus, B. spizizenii, B. subtilis and S. aureus). The diameter of zones of inhibition produced by the extract from the cultivation medium without the addition of host plant extract against B. cereus, B. spizizenii, B. subtilis and S. aureus were 13.3 ± 0.1, 13.7 ± 0.6, 12.7 ± 0.6 and 13.0 ± 1.0 mm, respectively; compared to the one with the addition of host plant extract with diameter 10.3 ± 0.4, 13.3 ± 0.6, 11.3 ± 0.6 and 12.7 ± 1.2 mm, respectively.
Furthermore, there were no inhibition zones produced by the methanolic extract against the four test bacteria, indicating that the biomass (intracellular) did not possess antibacterial compounds. The results also revealed that Gram-negative bacteria were resistant to both of the extracts.

**Table 1:** Antibacterial activity of the crude ethyl acetate and methanolic extracts of *Aspergillus terreus* MP15 isolated from *Swietenia macrophylla* leaf

| Test bacteria                  | Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm)* | Ethyl acetate extract | Methanol extract |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
|                               |                                     | Without Plant Extracts | With Plant Extracts | Without Plant Extracts | With Plant Extracts |
| Gram-positive bacteria        |                                     |                       |                  |                  |
| *Bacillus cereus*             | 13.3 ± 0.1                          | 10.3 ± 0.4            | -                | -                |
| *Bacillus spicicienii*        | 13.7 ± 0.6                          | 13.3 ± 0.6            | -                | -                |
| *Bacillus subtilis*           | 12.7 ± 0.6                          | 11.3 ± 0.6            | -                | -                |
| *Staphylococcus aureus*       | 13.0 ± 1.0                          | 12.7 ± 1.2            | -                | -                |
| Gram-negative bacteria        |                                     |                       |                  |                  |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae*       | -                                   | -                     | -                | -                |
| *Proteus mirabilis*           | -                                   | -                     | -                | -                |
| *Yersinia enterocolitica*     | -                                   | -                     | -                | -                |

*Values are means of three replicates ± standard deviation; DMSO was used as negative control; Chloramphenicol was used as positive control; diameter of disc: 6.0 mm.

**Thin layer chromatography**

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) is the easiest method to separate the components in the ethyl acetate extract. In this study, the solvent system optimized was dichloromethane: methanol at ratio 9:1. It is able to separate the crude extract into 11 spots with broad range of polarities (Figure 1). Table 2 summarizes the Rf values and the colour description of the 11 separated spots obtained from the TLC visualized under visible light, short and long wavelengths, as well as after sprayed with iodine vapour. Among the spots, only two were visible yellow spot, seven spots were viewed under exposure to short wavelength (254 nm) and all the spots separated florescent under long wavelength (366 nm). Following that, the spot that exhibited antimicrobial activity was detected with bioautography assay.

**Figure 1:** TLC chromatograms of fungal isolate *A. terreus* MP15 crude ethyl acetate extract viewed under (A) visible light and (B) short wavelength with solvent system dichloromethane: methanol at ratio 9:1
Table 2: Colour descriptions and Rf values of the separated spots on TLC under visible light, short and long wavelengths and after iodine vapour spray

| Spots | Short Wavelength | Long Wavelength | Visible Light | Iodine Spray | Rf values |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| 1     | Dark spot       | Blue           | Yellow        | -            | 0.060     |
| 2     | -               | Yellow         | -             | -            | 0.116     |
| 3     | -               | Yellow         | -             | -            | 0.174     |
| 4     | Dark spot       | Yellow         | -             | -            | 0.233     |
| 5     | Dark spot       | Blue           | -             | -            | 0.291     |
| 6     | -               | Blue           | -             | -            | 0.349     |
| 7     | Dark spot       | Yellow         | -             | -            | 0.407     |
| 8     | -               | Blue           | -             | -            | 0.453     |
| 9     | Dark spot       | Violet         | Yellow        | Brown        | 0.523     |
| 10    | Dark spot       | Fluorescence   | Blue          | -            | 0.616     |
| 11    | Dark spot       | Blue           | -             | -            | 0.919     |

Bioautography assay

After procurement of separated spots on a thin layer chromatogram, the bioactive spots were localized with bioautography assay. The results of the bioautography assay are shown in Table 3. The yellow coloured visible spot was detected to exhibit antibacterial activity against all the tested Gram-positive bacteria (B. cereus, B. subtilis, B. spizizenii and S. aureus). This yellow coloured bioactive fraction was then targeted and collected in column chromatography for further examinations.

Table 3: Bioautography results of A. terreus MP15 fungal ethyl acetate extract and the Rf values of bioactive spots

| Spots | Rf values | Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm) |
|-------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
|       |           | B. cereus | B. spizizenii | B. subtilis | S. aureus |
| 1     | 0.060     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 2     | 0.116     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 3     | 0.174     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 4     | 0.233     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 5     | 0.291     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 6     | 0.349     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 7     | 0.407     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 8     | 0.453     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 9     | 0.523     | *5.0 ± 0.0| 5.0 ± 0.0     | 5.0 ± 0.0   | 10.0 ± 0.0|
| 10    | 0.616     | -         | -             | -           | -         |
| 11    | 0.919     | -         | -             | -           | -         |

Column chromatography

Upon the detection of bioactive spot that contributed to the antibacterial activity of the crude extract, column chromatography was done to procure the partial purified bioactive yellow fraction. Following that, the bioactive yellow fraction was subjected to thin layer chromatography for checking of the fractions present. Table 4 shows the four sub-fractions that were collected from the separation using column chromatography. Among the spots, yellow coloured spot (2a) with the Rf value 0.690 was the most active spot in the bioautography assay. Therefore, the targeted bioactive fraction was further collected and subjected to further tests.

Table 4: Rf values and colour of the spots on TLC chromatogram for yellow fraction using solvent system dichloromethane: methanol at ratio 9:1

| Sub-fraction on TLC | Under visible light | Under short wavelength | Under long wavelength | Rf value |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| 1a                  | Colourless          | -                      | -                     | 0.536    |
| 2a*                 | Yellow              | Dark spot              | Violet                | 0.690    |
| 3a                  | Colourless          | Dark spot              | Fluorescence blue     | 0.774    |
| 4a                  | Colourless          | Blue                   | Colourless            | 0.833    |

Broth microdilution assay

The collected yellow bioactive fraction (2a) was then tested for its MIC and MBC values. Table 5 shows the results of
MIC, MBC and the ratio of MBC/MIC. The MIC values for *S. aureus* and *B. spizizenii* were 500 µg/mL while for *B. subtilis* and *B. cereus* were 250 µg/mL. On the other hand, the MBC values were 500 µg/mL for *S. aureus*, *B. spizizenii* and *B. subtilis*. The MBC/MIC ratio for *S. aureus*, *B. cereus* and *B. spizizenii* were one but two for *B. subtilis*.

**Table 5**: MIC and MBC values of yellow fraction of *A. terreus* MP15 ethyl acetate extract

| Test bacteria   | MIC value (mg/mL) | Yellow Fraction | MBC value (mg/mL) | MBC/MIC ratio |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| *S. aureus*     | 0.50              | 0.50            | 1                 |
| *B. cereus*     | 0.25              | 0.25            | 1                 |
| *B. spizizenii* | 0.50              | 0.50            | 1                 |
| *B. subtilis*   | 0.25              | 0.50            | 2                 |

Bioactive fraction which contributed to the antibacterial activity of extract

**Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis**

GC-MS analysis was done to identify the possible compounds in the bioactive fraction of *A. terreus* MP15 extract. Figure 2 shows the GC-MS chromatogram of the yellow fraction 2a. In addition to that, a total of 11 peaks were observed on the chromatogram. Besides that, Table 6 shows the number of peaks and the retention time, area and matching factor of the compounds present. Two compounds showed matching factor of more than 80%. Among the possible compounds identified, eight major groups of compounds detected were amine, aromatic ketones, carboxylic acid ester, coumarin derivatives, dicarboxylic acid, heterocyclic compounds, hydrazide derivatives and imine. Several of the compounds were known to exhibit antibacterial, antioxidants and other biological activities. Hence, they may be contributing to the antibacterial and antioxidant activities of *A. terreus* MP15 bioactive fraction. Figure 2 shows the major compound of the yellow bioactive fraction was at the peak 10 with area of 51.12 % and retention time 13.05 min. It was identified as di-n-octyl phthalate with matching factor 80%.

**Table 6**: Characteristics of the chemical compounds from GCMS analysis of the yellow fraction of *A. terreus* MP15 ethyl acetate extract. A total of 11 compounds were detected

| No  | Compound                                         | Retention time (min) | Area (%) | Matching factor (%) | Structure |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1   | 4-methoxy-2-Benzothiazolamine                     | 9.33                 | 0.47     | 49                  | ![Structure](image) |
| 2   | 2,5-Dihydroxy propiophenone                      | 9.49                 | 6.87     | 64                  | ![Structure](image) |
| 3   | 6-chloro- quinazoline                             | 9.60                 | 1.49     | 30                  | ![Structure](image) |
| 4   | p-(Dimethylamino) benaldehyde oxime               | 9.67                 | 4.16     | 47                  | ![Structure](image) |
|    | Compound Description                                      | Retention Time | Area (%) | RT (%) |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|
|  5 | 4-(2,6,6-trimethyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl)-3-buten-2-one       | 10.09          |         | 35     |
|  6 | 3,4-dihydro-4,4,7-trimethyl coumarin-6-ol                 | 10.22          | 16.31   | 25     |
|  7 | 3,4,5-Trimethoxy benzhydrazide                           | 10.28          | 11.85   | 35     |
|  8 | 4-Amino-9-fluorenone                                      | 10.43          | 1.90    | 25     |
|  9 | Hexanedioic acid-bis (2-ethylhexyl) ester                 | 12.40          | 2.45    | 95     |
| 10 | Di-n-octyl phthalate                                     | 13.05          | 51.12   | 80     |

**Figure 2:** The GCMS chromatogram of *A. terreus* MP15 fungal ethyl acetate extract
DISCUSSION

Endophytic fungi are relatively unexplored fungal species that are now considered as exciting novel sources for obtaining new bioactive compounds useful to pharmaceutical and agricultural industries (Petrini et al., 1992; Forras-Alfaro and Bayman, 2011). They are the microorganisms that grow inside the plants that allow both (plant and endophytes) to be beneficial. Besides residing-asymptomatically inside the living plant tissues, endophytes could also produce metabolites similar to or with more activity than that of their respective hosts (Strobil, 2002) and it was confirmed when taxol was obtained from an endophytic fungus isolated from Taxus brevifolia (Strobil et al., 1996).

There are many reports about antimicrobial compounds produced by endophytes in cultures that were active against pathogenic microorganisms. Chareprasert et al. (2006) reported an antimicrobial activity exhibited by endophytic fungi isolated from teak and rain trees, whereas Sadrati et al. (2013) highlighted the antimicrobial activity from endophytes isolated from wheat. These fungi were found to produce some metabolites active against bacteria. Tong et al. (2012) and Tong et al. (2014) also found that Penicillium minioluteum ED24 and Phomopsis sp. ED2 isolated from Orthosiphon stamineus Benth produced extracellular antimicrobial compounds that were effective against Gram-positive bacterial cells. In this study, the antibacterial activity of the ethyl acetate extract of the A. terreus MP15 also showed a significant effect on Gram-positive bacterial cells.

The results obtained from this study correlated with the findings of other reports (Ramasamy et al., 2010; Tong et al., 2014; Darah et al., 2014) and they reported the antimicrobial activity of endophytes. However, the reason for the different sensitivity between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria could be ascribed to the morphological and structural differences between these microorganisms. Gram-negative bacteria have an outer polysaccharide membrane that carries the structural lipopolysaccharide components. It makes the cell wall impermeable to lipophilic solutes. In addition to that, it explains why the performance of the extract was poorer in the Gram-negative bacteria.

In the process of isolating and fractionating the ethyl acetate extract of A. terreus MP15, a bioassay-guided technique was used. Starting with thin layer chromatography (TLC) which is easy to carry out, cheap and effective (Kustrin and Hettiarachchi, 2014), 11 spots with a broad range of polarities were obtained. The optimized solvent system used was dichloromethane: methanol at the ratio of 9:1. Nagaraja et al. (2011) also used dichloromethane: ethyl acetate: methanol at ratio 8:1:1 as the solvent system and was able to separate the crude ethyl acetate extract of A. terreus st. 1. into six spots. Therefore, the usage of a proper solvent system enables the separation of A. terreus MP15 crude ethyl acetate into spots with a wider range of polarity. Among the spots, only two were visible yellow spots, seven spots were viewed under exposure to 254 nm (short wavelength), and all the spots separated fluorescent under 366 nm (long wavelength). The fluorescence of the spots may due to the presence of phenolics compounds that can absorb ultraviolet light (Chandrakuntal et al., 2006). Following that, the spot that exhibited antimicrobial activity was detected with bioautography assay.

Bioautography assay is simple, cheap, and it allows target-directed isolation of bioactive constituents for further examination, hence preventing isolation of inactive compounds (Suleimana et al., 2010). The yellow coloured visible spot was detected to exhibit antibacterial activity against all the tested Gram-positive bacteria (B. cereus, B. subtilis, B. spizizenii and S. aureus). The yellow coloured bioactive fraction was then targeted and collected in column chromatography for further examinations. Four sub-fractions (1a, 2a, 3a and 4a) were collected and among the spots, yellow coloured spot 2a with the Rf value 0.690 possessed the bioactive spot in bioautography assay.

The MIC values of the sub-fraction 2a for S. aureus and B. spizizenii were 500 µg/mL while for B. subtilis and B. cereus were 250 µg/mL. According to Kuete (2010), the cut-off value for MIC is as follows: significant (MIC ≤ 100 µg/mL), moderate (100 ≤ MIC ≤ 625 µg/mL) or weak (MIC > 625 µg/mL). Therefore, the MIC values for the yellow fraction against the test microorganisms were in a moderate range of potency. Furthermore, the ratio of MBC to MIC for all the four test bacteria were less than 4, and the results suggested that the yellow fraction was bactericidal against the bacteria. Pankey and Sabath (2004) stated that if the ratio was more than 4, the extract was noted as bacteriostatic but if the extract was less than 4, it was bactericidal. In addition to that, the yellow fraction can be a promising candidate for food preservative and also possible as a yellow food colorant.

In an attempt to identify the possible bioactive compound(s) of A. terreus MP15 extract that possessed antibacterial activity, GC-MS was applied. The number of peaks, the retention time, area and matching factor of the compounds present were compared with those of in the NIST database. Two compounds showed a matching factor of more than 80%. Among the possible compounds identified, eight major groups of compounds detected were the amine, aromatic ketones, carboxylic acid ester, coumarin derivatives, dicarboxylic acid, heterocyclic compounds, hydrazide derivatives and imines. Furthermore, several compounds were reported in literature to exhibit antibacterial, antioxidants and other biological activities. Hence, they may be contributing to the antibacterial and antioxidant
activities of *A. terreus* MP15 bioactive fraction. In addition to that, 2,5-dihydroxypropiophenone with matching factor 64% was reported in Prasanna *et al.* (2012) as a phenolic compound that exhibit antibacterial, antioxidant, anticancer and antiviral activities. Besides that, another compound, 4-(2,6,6-trimethyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl)-3-buten-2-one was also reported in Petrus *et al.* (2014) to contribute to the antioxidant activity. Furthermore, compound di-n-octyl phthalate identified with matching factor 80 % was reported as one of the major compounds that exhibit antibacterial and antioxidant activities in a study done by Vimalavady and Kadavul (2013). In another study done by Tambekar *et al.* (2014), decanedioic acid-bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester identified was reported to exhibit strong antibacterial activity against test microorganisms. Therefore, those compounds may be the potential producers of antibacterial and antioxidant activities of the bioactive fraction of *A. terreus* MP15.

Moreover, based on the GC-MS chromatogram, the major compound of the yellow bioactive fraction was at the peak 10 with an area of 51.12 % and retention time 13.05 min. It was identified as di-n-octyl phthalate with matching factor 80 %. Based on TRC database, it is a pale yellow solid and utilised as additives and plasticizers. Furthermore, they are also used in aromatics, pharmaceutical and as intermediates (Petersen and Jensen, 2010). In addition to that, it was reported to exert a wide range of biological activities such as antimicrobial, antifungal and antioxidant in a study done by Vimalavady and Kadavul (2013). Besides that, in a study done by Grover and Patni (2003), it was reported to exhibit antibacterial and antifouling activities. Furthermore, in another study done by Ashok and Jayaprakash (2012), it is the major compound that may responsible for the antimicrobial activity of the stem of *Santalum album*. Thus, di-n-octyl phthalate was identified as the major compound in the ethyl acetate extract of *A. terreus* MP15 and it may be the most potential compound that contributes to the antibacterial activities of the extract.

Report from this study supports the growing evidence that bioactive compounds produced by endophytic fungi may not only be involved in the host-endophyte relationship, but may also ultimately have applicability in other industries. Accordingly, because of their role in conferring plants the ability to adapt to stress conditions, and also proven or perceived sources of secondary metabolites with pharmaceutical importance, the study of fungal endophytes is expected to become an important component of fungal biology. Endophytic fungi can be exploited for the bioactive compound since many workers have demonstrated that the endophytes isolated from medicinal plants are excellent producers of strong fungicidal, bactericidal and cytotoxic metabolites (Wang *et al.*, 2007). Endophytes are present in almost all plant species and have been recognized as a potential source of novel medicinal compounds. From this work, we can conclude that the endophytic fungi have a wide variety of bioactive compounds.

**CONCLUSION**

The potential pharmacological bioactive compounds from the endophytic fungus *A. terreus* MP15 extract exhibited promising antibacterial activity against several foodborne microorganisms. The yellow bioactive fraction procured showed that the di-n-octyl phthalate was the major compound with 80 % and together with other several compounds may contribute to the antibacterial activity of the fraction.
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