Integrated cooling channels in position-sensitive silicon detectors
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ABSTRACT: We present an approach to construct position-sensitive silicon detectors with an integrated cooling circuit. Tests on samples demonstrate that a very modest liquid flow very effectively cool the devices up to a power dissipation of over 10 W/cm². The liquid flow is found to have a negligible impact on the mechanical stability. A finite-element simulation predicts the cooling performance to an accuracy of approximately 10%.
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1 Introduction

Micro-Channel Cooling is studied extensively in industry to cool devices with a large power density that are to be employed in areas where the material involved in removing the power must be reduced to the minimum. An obvious application in particle physics are the pixel detectors used in collider experiments to reconstruct the creation vertices and trajectories of charged particles. The requirement of highly granular detectors (and thus large channel density) and fast read-out (requiring larger power in the Front-End) lead to typical power densities of several 100 mW/cm$^2$. At the same time the detector material — and that in supports and services in the detector acceptance — must be reduced to the bare minimum to avoid the effect of multiple scattering on the track parameter resolution. Detector concepts [1] for future electron-positron colliders [2–5] aim for a total material budget of 0.12–0.2% $X_0$/layer, where $X_0$ is the radiation length. This is equivalent to only 100–200 µm of silicon. State-of-the art sensors can be thinned to well below this. One of main challenges is therefore to reduce the material involved in services and supports to below the 0.1% $X_0$ level.

Micro-cooling channels integrated in the detector itself provide a very effective means of removing heat. Bringing the cooling circuit to within hundreds of microns of the heat source and removing thermal barriers (material interfaces, glue layers) reduces the temperature gradient between the heat source and the cooling liquid. The minimal extra material in cooling fluid and circuits helps to reduce the material involved in removing the heat from the detector. Compared to other low-mass proposals (in particular cooling via an air flow in the detector volume) micro-channel cooling is expected to provide a much tighter control over the local temperature.
Several groups have produced micro-cooling circuits in (non-active) silicon wafers for application in high energy physics experiments [6–10]. Micro-channel cooling is part of the detector concepts for LHCb, NA62 and ALICE. In all these concepts the micro-channel circuit is implemented in a low-mass silicon cooling plate that is brought into thermal contact with the detector that is to be cooled, typically through a thin glue layer.

In this paper we take the integration of cooling and active detector elements one step further by monolithically integrating the micro-channel cooling circuit in a silicon sensor. Our approach fits in a low-pressure, low-mass, mono-phase cooling solution for applications with a relatively modest power density and room-temperature operation, in combination with very strict requirements on the material budget. We validate a production process that combines commercial micro-electronics processing with wafer thinning and the etching of a micro-cooling circuit. We develop a custom connector and a finite element simulation to allow rapid feed-back from laboratory measurement and simulation. Finally, we characterize the thermo-mechanical performance of prototypes.

In section 2 we present an extension of the process that is used to produce the thin, self-supporting silicon DEPFET sensors for Belle-II [11] and the ILC [12], with an extra step to etch a cooling manifold into the wafer. The custom interface of the micro-channel cooling circuit to commercial high-pressure laboratory equipment is presented in section 4. Section 5 introduces a finite element simulation of the micro-channel circuit and section 6 gives an overview of the setup in the laboratory. We present results from a characterization of the local cooling performance of prototypes in section 7, comparing the laboratory results to a finite-element simulation. The impact on the mechanical stability is reported in section 8. Finally, the conclusions are presented in section 9.

2 Integration of the cooling circuit

The approach we follow is based on the silicon-on-insulator thinning concept [13] developed for DEPFET active pixel detectors, the technology chosen for the Belle II vertex detector [11] and a candidate for the linear collider experiments [12]. The process uses two silicon wafers: the top (sensor) wafer forms the active detector material, while the bottom (handle) wafer forms the supporting frame of the all-silicon ladder and, in this implementation, hosts the micro-channel cooling circuit.

The process is schematically represented in figure 1 and can be summarized as follows:

- As the first step both top and handle wafer are thermally oxidized yielding a SiO$_2$ isolation of several 100nm. For sensors requiring an active backside, the backside implantation is done after an optional photolithography step for the definition of the implanted areas.

- A photolithography step on the handle wafer defines the micro-channels. The SiO$_2$ layer is etched acting as a hard mask for the etching dry reactive ion etching (DRIE) of the handle wafer silicon. This DRIE step yields the micro-channels. The typical depth of the channels is a few 100 µm, determined by the etching time of the DRIE process. In the present case the result of the process are rectangular channels with a depth of about 380 µm and varying width. After this step, the photoresist and the SiO$_2$ layer are removed.
Figure 1. The process sequence for production of thin silicon sensors with electrically active back side implant and integrated cooling channels starts with the oxidation of the top and handle wafer and the back side implantation for the sensor devices; cooling channels are etched into the handle wafer before bonding (a). After direct wafer bonding, the top wafer is thinned and polished to the desired thickness (b). The processing of the devices on the top side of the wafer stack is done on conventional equipment; the openings in the back side passivation define the areas where the bulk of the handle wafer will be removed (c). The bulk of the handle wafer is removed by deep anisotropic wet etching. The etch process stops at the silicon oxide interface between the two wafers (d). The cooling channels are only accessible after dicing of the wafers.

- After etching the micro-manifold the top and the handle wafer are joined utilizing a direct wafer bonding process. The two wafers are initially held by van-der-Waals forces. After a high-temperature step beyond 1000° the bond between the wafers is transformed to Si-O-Si bonds. The two wafers are electrically separated by the SiO₂ of the top wafer. The top wafer is now thinned down by grinding and a chemical-mechanical polishing (CMP) process to the desired thickness of the sensor material. While this is basically a free parameter, practical considerations (signal-to-noise ratio, mechanical stability) suggest a thickness between 30 µm and 75 µm.

- The SOI wafers with integrated cavities in the handle wafer (CSOI) can be processed on a standard semiconductor processing line. In this step the sensor part on the top wafer is finished including the metal layers yielding a fully functional thin sensor material directly bonded to the handle wafer.

- Finally, at the end of the process sequence the oxide at the backside of the handle wafer is opened where the support material is not required. In a wet chemical etching step, the silicon is removed in a Tetramethyl Ammonium Hydroxid (TMAH) solution. The etch process stops at the buried SiO₂ layer between handle and top wafer. After cutting the buried micro-channels are exposed and ready to be connected to the cooling circuit.

This process is fully compatible with use of the silicon as the active material in a position-sensitive device. The sensor wafer can be fully depleted through application of a bias voltage. All steps except the insertion of the micro-manifold are identical to the DEPFET manufacturing process for Belle II.
3 Microchannel circuits

Figure 2. Design of the micro-channel manifold. The expected velocities in the center of the cooling channels are indicated for a total flow rate of 1 l/h. The colour code represents velocities up to 3.4 m/s in inlet and outlet, and approximately 1 m/s in the cooling channels.

To evaluate the cooling performance of a micro-cooling circuit integrated in the sensor we produced a number of mechanical samples. The design is shown in figure 2. The inlet and outlet are shown on the leftmost side of the design. Both have a length of 5 mm and an approximately rectangular cross-section of $340 \times 380 \mu m^2$. A manifold with multiple cooling lines in parallel is formed by fanning out the inlet in the $\Delta$ area. The single channel is divided into 11 separate channels. Circular pillar structures are present in the $\Delta$ area to support the thin silicon layer spanning a distance of up to 500 $\mu m^2$.

Figure 3. Photographs of the micro-channel cooling manifold etched into the support wafer before bonding the sensor wafer that closes the circuit. The photograph (a) zooms in on the position where the inlet (on the left of the image) fans out into several cooling channels. The circular structures are pillars that support the thin layers of silicon covering the triangular area. The photograph (b) shows a detail of several of the cooling channel. X-ray images of the same structures in the micro-channel manifolds after sealing the circuit with the top (sensor) wafer are shown in (c) and (d).
The 11 cooling channels have a cross-section of $200 \times 340 \, \mu\text{m}^2$ (width $\times$ height). The lengths of the channels vary according to their location; the length of the inner loop is 20 mm, that of the outer loop 40 mm. The expected liquid flow in the manifold is indicated with colours in figure 2 (the Finite Element simulation used to obtain this information is discussed in section 5). Clearly, the liquid velocity is highest in the inlet and outlet pipes, where it is expected to reach over 3 m/s for a total water flow of 1 l/h.

The manifolds are etched into a 450–525 µm thick silicon handle wafer. The photographs of figure 3 present two details of the circuit. The photograph on the left zooms in on the position where the inlet (on the left of the image) fans out into several cooling channels. The circular structures are pillars that support the thin layers of silicon covering the triangular area. The photograph on the right shows a detail of several of the corner of four cooling channels. The X-ray images in figure 3 (c) and (d) present detailed views of the same areas of the circuit after sealing the circuit with the top wafer.

To tackle the connectivity problems and assess the thermo-mechanical performance a test structure was designed. Several tens of samples were produced at the MPG HalbleiterLabor for characterization in the laboratory. The production process follows closely the steps outlined in section 2, but simplifies the steps not directly related to the thermal performance. The back-side processing mentioned in the first step is omitted. The top-side processing is limited to the implementation of a single metal layer. For these first samples the handle wafer thinning step is not performed.\(^1\) Figure 4 presents a photograph of these mechanical samples.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{A 540 µm thick silicon ladder with an integrated micro-channel cooling circuit. The micro-manifold is located in the end-of-ladder area, indicated with a red box in the image. The arrows indicate the approximate locations of the inlet and outlet channels. The resistive circuit are visible as grey areas. The dimensions of the ladder are 6 × 1 cm$^2$.}
\end{figure}

These thermo-mechanical samples are equipped with resistive circuits implemented in an Aluminium layer deposited on the surface, that mimic the heat-load from the electronics. Narrow traces are laid out in a serpentine geometry to maximize the length of the trace and thus achieve a resistance of 10–100 Ω. Three independent circuits correspond to the main heat sources of the DEPFET ladder design for Belle II and a future linear collider.

- The read-out chips are located in the end-of-ladder area, immediately on top of the cooling circuit. All chips together have an instantaneous power dissipation of up to 6 W in an area of 0.8 cm$^2$.

- Six steering chips installed on balcony that runs along the length of the sensor in the lower edge of the image dissipate a total of less than 0.5 W.

\(^1\)These steps have been performed successfully for a large number of prototypes and are part of the production of the Belle II vertex detector that is in progress at the time of writing.
• The sensor itself (the six grey areas that cover the full width of the sensor) has a maximum power consumption of 1 W.

In the remainder we focus on the use of micro-channels to remove the heat generated by the read-out chips in the end-of-ladder area. The area and its nominal power consumption of 6 W are indicated with a red rectangle in figure 4. The cooling manifold is located immediately underneath the heater circuit.

4 Connectors

To connect the micro-channel manifold to a laboratory cooling circuit a custom interface is used that connects the inlet and outlet to standard commercial high-pressure connectors. This connector consists of plastic piece that slides over the silicon sensor, as shown in figure 5. The silicon joint is sealed with a glue layer (Araldite2020 or Araldite2011). On the opposite of the connector there are two threaded holes to connect the standard fittings of the 6 mm outer diameter tubes, visible in the upper right corner of figure 5. The channel in the connector gradually reduces the circular cross section of the tube fittings to a square cross section of $400 \times 400 \, \mu m$.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Photographs of the silicon ladder with the integrated cooling circuit and the 3D-printed connector to the cooling circuit. Both interface the micro-channel cooling circuit to commercial fittings (they are visible in the upper right corner of the upper image).

The connectors are produced in a 3D printer using the Stereolithography (SLO) process offered by Sicnova. This technique offers a mechanical precision of 15–30 $\mu m$ (depending of the 3D printing speed) and with thin layers of less than 300 $\mu m$. The connector material is a plastic (visijet FX clear) with a flexural (tensile) strength of 52 MPa (82 MPa). The radiation length of such plastics is (typically) 35–45 cm.

An assembly consisting of a connector glued onto a silicon sensor was tested for leaks in the CERN micro-channel cooling laboratory. No detectable increase of the Helium level is observed, which allows to put an upper bound on the leak rate. The maximum pressure that the connector stands is 180 bar.
The low-Z material of the connector has a radiation length of approximately 40 cm. The full thickness of the connectors of the upper panel of figure 5 corresponds to 0.8% $X_0$ for a particle crossing under a 90° angle, clearly prohibitive for applications inside the tracking volume of a particle physics experiment. A miniaturized version of the connector has been designed, that offers a reduction of the material by a factor four, while maintaining compatibility with a commercial fitting standard. The result is shown in the lower panel of figure 5. Even smaller connectors are under development that glue a PEEK micro-tube with a diameter matching that of the micro-channel. These offer a solution with a full thickness equivalent to 0.05% $X_0$ and may be interesting for applications that require the connectors to be in the acceptance. The development of a standard connector for micro-channel cooling is part of Work Package 9 of the AIDA2020 project.

5 Finite element simulation

A finite-element simulation is used to predict the performance of different designs. The geometry presented in section 3 is implemented in the CFD software Ansys 17.0. The meshed geometry has a total of 4 millions elements, which allow to observe local effects in detail. The cooling fluid in the simulation is pure water and the solid element is silicon. The physical properties of both materials assumed in the simulation are shown in table 1. The input parameters of the simulations — the total power applied to the microchannels and the mass flow — are given in the first two columns of table 1. They are as close as possible to the laboratory set-up. The environment temperature is set to 25°, similar to the ambient temperature in the laboratory. The convective heat transfer coefficient used between the sensor and the air is $h = 5 \text{W/m}^2\text{K}$. The temperature of the liquid at the inlet is fixed to 25°. A power of 6 W is dissipated on the silicon sensor surface immediately above the micro-channel cooling manifold.

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of water and silicon used in the simulation: the density $\rho$, the constant pressure heat capacity $C_p$, the initial temperature $T$ and the thermal conductivity $\kappa$.

|            | Water | Silicon |
|------------|-------|---------|
| $\rho$ [kg/m$^3$] | 997   | 2329    |
| $C_p$ [J kg$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$] | 4181.3 | 713     |
| $T$ [K]   | 293   | 293     |
| $\kappa$ [W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$] | 0.58  | 120     |

The temperature distribution over the sensor predicted by the simulation is shown in figure 6. Results from a number of simulations with varying liquid flow are presented in table 2. The liquid temperature at the outlet runs several degrees hotter than the inlet side. This reflects the heating of the liquid as it progresses through the manifold. The fourth column in table 2 presents the simulated outlet temperatures. From these results one can calculate the heat absorbed by the cooling liquid:

$$\dot{Q}_a = \dot{m}C_p\Delta T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where $\dot{Q}$ is the absorbed power, $\dot{m}$ the liquid mass flow, $C_p$ is the constant pressure heat capacity of the liquid (the value for water is given in table 1) and $\Delta T$ is the water temperature difference.
Figure 6. Results of a finite-element simulation of a 540 µm thick silicon ladder with an integrated cooling circuit. A power density of 6.23 W/cm² is simulated in the rectangular area. Water flows at a rate of 1 l/h through the micro-manifold indicated in the image. The inlet is located in the lower part of the image.

Table 2. The results of a number of simulations with different mass flow of cooling liquid. The inlet and outlet temperatures $T_{\text{in}}$ and $T_{\text{out}}$, the power load and the liquid mass flow appear in eq. (5.1). The temperature $T_{\text{sens}}$ indicates the temperature of the hottest point on the sensor surface. The efficiency $\varepsilon$ relates the heat $Q$ absorbed by the coolant to the total applied power.

| Dissipated power $Q_d$ [W] | Liquid mass flow $\dot{m}$ [kg/s] | Inlet $T_{\text{in}}$ [K] | Outlet $T_{\text{out}}$ [K] | Sensor $T_{\text{sens}}$ [K] | Absorbed power $Q_a$ [W] | Efficiency $\varepsilon$ [%] |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 5.82                      | 6.98×10⁻⁵                    | 298             | 315.1           | 322.9           | 5.01            | 86              |
| 5.99                      | 9.70×10⁻⁵                    | 298             | 312.0           | 317.7           | 5.69            | 95              |
| 6.12                      | 1.29×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 303.9           | 313.6           | 5.90            | 96              |
| 6.17                      | 1.68×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 306.5           | 310.7           | 5.96            | 97              |
| 6.19                      | 2.06×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 305.0           | 308.9           | 6.01            | 97              |
| 6.21                      | 2.42×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 304.0           | 307.8           | 6.07            | 98              |
| 6.23                      | 2.72×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 303.4           | 307.0           | 6.10            | 98              |
| 6.25                      | 3.05×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 302.8           | 306.4           | 6.14            | 98              |
| 6.25                      | 3.45×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 302.3           | 305.8           | 6.16            | 98              |
| 6.25                      | 3.83×10⁻⁴                    | 298             | 301.9           | 305.3           | 6.18            | 99              |

between the inlet and the outlet. The efficiency $\varepsilon$ of the simulated heat exchanger is obtained by comparing the absorbed power $Q_a$ with the power absorbed by the liquid flow.

We can now use the simulation to rapidly explore the thermal performance of different designs under conditions that are hard to mimic in the laboratory. As an example we evaluate the effect on the cooling performance of the choice of the coolant. The key measure is the temperature difference between the hottest point on the sensor and the liquid temperature at the inlet. We define a figure-
Figure 7. Finite element simulation results for the temperature difference between the hottest spot on the sensor and the liquid at the inlet. The results are expressed as \( \Delta T \) per unit power density as function of the volumetric flow of the coolant. The lower, red curve represent the situation of the laboratory measurement in section 7, where water is used as a coolant. The upper, blue curve is obtained when the water is replaced with an alcohol-water mixture (PWG6040).

of-merit as the temperature difference divided by power density. In figure 7 the figure-of-merit of several choices are compared. The lower, red curve in figure 7 represent the situation of the laboratory measurement in section 7, where water is used as a coolant. The upper, blue curve in the same figure is obtained when the water is replaced with an alcohol-water mixture (PWG6040).

The cooling performance is somewhat degraded for most other choices for the coolant. The temperature gradient found in the simulation is in approximate agreement with a naive scaling by the ratio of heat capacities.

6 Test set-up

In this section a setup is described to measure the cooling performance of the silicon sensor with embedded micro-channels under a realistic heat load. The aim is to measure the temperature of the sensor surface as a function of the operational parameters, primarily the power consumption and liquid flow. The setup also monitors the position of the sensor to sub-\( \mu \)m precision.

The measurements are performed with deionized water as the cooling fluid. The measurements are representative for any monophase cooling system. In section 5 we extrapolate to other cooling liquids using the Finite Element simulation. The components to control and monitor the inputs, water flow, pressure and temperature at the inlet and outlet, are presented in figure 8.

The water flow is established using a digital, variable-speed peristaltic pump (Stenner, SVP1). The flow can be regulated between a fraction of a liter per hour and several liters per hour. The maximum pressure the system can supply is 6 bars. To assure that there are no microparticles which obstruct the microchannels in the silicon ladder, a filter with a maximum particle size of 15 \( \mu \)m is inserted in the circuit. The liquid flow is measured using a flow meter and temperature sensors and pressure gauges are placed immediately before the inlet and after the outlet of the silicon ladder. All devices use standard SwageLock connectors. The only custom piece is the connector to the micro-channel circuit described in section 4.
Figure 8. On the left, schematic layout of the laboratory set up and on the right real setup ready for measurement, with tube connexions and sensors placed.

The temperature of the sensor is measured using a thermal infra-red camera (FLIR Systems ThermaCAM SC500) with a field of view that includes the entire surface of the sensor. A typical infra-red image reflecting the temperature on the upper surface of the mechanical sample is shown in figure 9. The temperature distribution recorded with the thermal camera is used identify the hottest spot on the sensor. It is found on the edge of the heater circuit. The distribution shows a distinct asymmetry, with the side corresponding to the outlet channel (the upper half of the image) running significantly warmer than the side over the liquid inlet.

The temperature readings obtained with the IR camera are calibrated to the readings of sensors in direct contact with the silicon (Pt1000). The contact sensors are only used during calibration runs, so as to avoid any mechanical disturbance. The temperature calibration is applied as a global correction for the average emissivity of the materials (silicon and aluminium). A more precise reading is obtained with a second single-spot infra-red sensor (Optris CTLaser OPTCTLLTFCF1). This sensor is used to measure the temperature of the hottest point of the silicon ladder.

Figure 9. Infra-red image of the mechanical sample dissipating nominal power of 6 W. The sample is cooled with a modest flow of water through the micro-channel circuit. The temperature ranges from 23.5°C (dark blue background) to 31.5°C (red spot).
The mechanical impact of the micro-channel cooling is determined using a triangulating sensor (Micro-Epsilon OptoNCDT ILD2300-10) located above the sensor. This sensor provides readings of the distance to the ladder perpendicular to the sensor surface with a resolution of 0.15 µm. The read-out frequency of 50 kHz is sufficient to monitor vibrations in the most important frequency range. To provide maximum sensitivity to deformations and vibrations, the sensor is supported only on one side, by the connector described in section 4. The distance sensor is placed close to the edge furthest away from the support, for the same reason. The displacement sensor, sensor and support structure are placed on an optical table with pneumatic damping to isolate the system from external vibrations.

7 Thermal performance

The cooling capacity of the micro-manifold integrated in the silicon is characterized in a series of measurements of the maximum temperature on the sensor surface. The measurement is repeated for a number of different settings of the pump speed, corresponding to a liquid flow ranging from a fraction of a liter/hour to 1.5 l/h, and for different values of the power dissipated in the end-of-ladder area. For all measurement the outlet of the cooling circuit is at atmospheric pressure and the ambient temperature between 23–25°C.

In figure 10 the temperature difference between the hottest point on the sensor surface and the coolant at the inlet of the micro-channel circuit is plotted as a function of liquid flow. The temperature difference is divided by the dissipated power because power is not exactly constant (as the resistance of the heaters on the silicon sensor depends on the temperature).

![Figure 10. Temperature difference between the hottest point on the sensor surface and the coolant at the inlet, divided by the dissipated power, as a function of the water flow.](image)

With a series of measurement at different power the maximum power for a given temperature difference can be determined. An example is shown in figure 11, where a maximum difference of 10°C is allowed. At a liquid flow of 3 l/h, the integrated micro-channel circuit removes up to 20 W/cm² while maintaining the temperature difference below 10°C. The curve is nearly linear over the interval of the measurements. While some sign of saturation is visible for the maximum flow rate, the derivative is still two thirds of that at the origin. This implies that the system is still not at its limit: a further increase of the liquid flow can provide further cooling power.
Figure 11. Dissipated power on the silicon ladder that yields a difference between hottest point and coolant at the inlet of 10°C for different liquid flow rates.

The pressure drop over the micro-channel cooling circuit is 1.5 bar when water flows at 3 l/h. The pressure drop is negligible (below 0.1 bar) at the smallest flow rate of 0.2 l/h.

8 Mechanical impact

Figure 12. The spectral power density of four time series registered with the triangulating sensor: without any cooling (light blue), with a liquid flow of 0.45 l/h (red) and 1.47 l/h (yellow) and with an air flow of 3 m/s (purple).

The mechanical stability of the sensor is monitored throughout the measurements. To magnify the deformations the sensor is only supported on one end and the triangulating sensor is located on the opposite end of the ladder. The sensor measures the distance to the ladder. The measurement is therefore sensitive to deformations and vibrations in the direction perpendicular to the sensor area.
Several time series of the distance measurement are recorded under different conditions. The vibrations introduced by the background are measured without liquid flow. The peak-to-peak interval of all distance measurements for this null experiment is less than 0.7 $\mu$m. The Root Mean Square (RMS) of the readings is 0.3 $\mu$m. When the measurement is repeated for the maximum liquid flow of 1.47 l/h the excursions increases only very slightly: the peak-to-peak interval is approximately 1 $\mu$m, the RMS increases to 0.4 $\mu$m. To check the sensitivity of the setup, the measurements were repeated with an air flow of 3 m/s. This induces a very significant vibrations, with a peak-to-peak interval of 130 $\mu$m, demonstrating the sensitivity of the setup to vibrations.

The readings in the time domain are translated to the frequency domain using a fast Fourier transform. The spectral power density is plotted in figure 12 for frequencies ranging from a fraction of a Hz to several kHz. The eigenfrequency of the ladder (at 150 Hz) is clearly visible in the spectrum corresponding to the air flow. The other spectra are quite close to the null spectrum, without air or liquid flow. The liquid flow seems to induce very subtle vibrations, with sub-$\mu$m magnitude and frequencies in the 1–100 Hz domain.

9 Conclusions

In this paper we have proposed a process to monolithically integrate a micro-channel cooling circuit in position-sensitive silicon sensors. The process allows to combine standard double-sided processing of the sensor with the precise etching of the cooling manifold and a flexible thinning procedure, while minimizing the number of processing steps with delicate handling of thin structures.

We aim for a low-pressure (several bars), mono-phase cooling solution, with a limited liquid flow, which is adequate in room-temperature applications with modest power consumption. A good example is the tracker and vertex detector of a future energy-frontier $e^+e^-$ collider, but this solution is expected to be applicable in a broad range of application.

A small number of prototype structures has been produced with a channel cross section of 100$\times$380 $\mu$m$^2$. The inlet and outlet with an approximately rectangular cross section of 340$\times$380 $\mu$m are accessible from the sensor edge at the narrow end of the silicon ladder. The micro-channel circuit is connected to the main cooling circuit through custom 3D-printed connectors that interface the narrow inlet and outlet to commercial high-pressure connectors. The connection is sealed using Araldite 2011 glue. For the present purpose these plastic structures are found to be sufficiently leak tight. We find they resist a pressure of 180 bars, which is well in excess of the requirement. A future evolution of these connectors could provide a low-Z, low-mass solution in the tracking volume of the experiment.

The cooling performance is measured by applying a power of up to 10 W on the surface of the silicon sensor immediately above the integrated cooling circuit. The absence of thermal barriers, leads to a very efficient evacuation of the dissipated heat. The temperature gradient from the hottest point on the sensor to the liquid can be kept below 2 K for a power density of 6 W/cm$^2$ and a liquid flow of 1 l/h. The results obtained in the laboratory correspond to a thermal figure of merit close to 1. With the integration of the cooling circuit in the sensor a cooling performance is achieved that exceeds that of more traditional systems by approximately an order of magnitude.
A finite-element simulation of the cooling circuit is able to reproduce the observed cooling performance, predicting the temperature gradient as a function of liquid flow and power dissipation to within 10%.

We find the liquid flow has no significant impact on the mechanical stability of the sensor: the amplitude of vibrations is measured to be smaller than 1 μm, compatible with the null result when no liquid circulates.
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