Burial and thermal history modelling of the Upper Carboniferous strata based on vitrinite reflectance data from Bzie-Dębina-60 borehole (Upper Silesian Coal Basin, southern Poland)
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Abstract
Integrated modeling of the coal rank in the Upper Carboniferous sediments of the Bzie-Dębina-60 borehole from SW of the Upper Silesian Coal Basin was performed based on a new vitrinite reflectance measurements from the Westphalian A. Microscopic investigations of Westphalian samples in the Bzie-Dębina-60 well have shown that organic matter is dominated by vitrinite maceral group. The coal rank in the well shows an increase with depth. The mean vitrinite reflectance values in these samples range from 0.76 to 1.07 %. One-dimensional forward modelling of thermal maturity in the Bzie-Dębina-60 borehole section has been performed in order to explain the burial and thermal history. The maximum temperature (c. 145 °C) causing the coalification occurred in the Latest Carboniferous (c. 300 Ma). These processes were likely related to significant late Variscan burial heating in this area as indicated by previous authors.

Introduction
The processes of coalification in coal-bearing basins are mainly determined by the thermal evolution of a basin, which is directly related to its geological history. Therefore, the thermal maturity pattern of the organic matter (degree of coalification, coal rank) is directly proportional to the burial history of the analyzed lithostratigraphic profile and the heat transport through the rocks (Hantschel, Kauerauf 2009). The Upper Silesian Coal Basin (USCB) is one of the largest coal basins in Europe (Kotas 1995). However, the thermal evolution of the USCB is still a matter of controversy and a number of issues have not yet been clarified. Generally, reconstructions of the thermal history of this basin are traditionally based on geological evidences including organic matter maturity, mineral indices such as illite crystallinity and conodont alteration indices (CAI), but more recently also on K-Ar dating, apatite fission track and helium dating (e.g. Belka 1993; Kotas 1995, 2001; Środoń et al. 2006; Botor 2014; Geršlová et al. 2016). At least two groups of models for the thermal history of the USCB have been proposed. The first has maximum temperatures at the end of the Carboniferous, just prior to or slightly after the tectonic inversions that were related mainly to burial. In the second group scenario, maximum temperatures were related to a regional thermal pulse(s) either (i) in the Mesozoic, in response to unrecognized deep magmatism and/or fluid circulation related to regional tectonic activity, or (ii) additional burial, in the Miocene or Mesozoic (Belka 1993; Kotas 1995, 2001; Środoń et al. 2006; Botor 2014; Geršlová et al. 2016). The aim of
this study was to determine the paleothermal conditions of coalification of the Upper Carboniferous coal-bearing strata in the SW part of the USCB on the example of the lithostratigraphic section of the Bzie-Dębina-60 borehole, which was drilled in 2011.

Geological setting

The Upper Silesian Coal Basin is located in southern Poland and in the Ostrava region in NE part of the Czech Republic (Fig. 1). The USCB occupies the NE corner of the Brunovistulicum Block, the Neoproterozoic crystalline basement of which was consolidated during the Cadomian orogenic cycle (Kalvoda et al. 2008). The northern part of Brunovistulicum is defined as the Upper Silesian Block (Kotas 1985; Bula, Zaba 2005). The western part of Brunovistulicum extends beneath the Moravian-Silesian Fold-and-Thrust Belt that evolved in response to Carboniferous subduction of the Brunovistulian terrane below the Lugodanubian group of terranes (e.g. Fritz, Neubauer 1995; Bula, Zaba 2005). From late Viséan to the Stephanian, the region acted as a peripheral foreland basin of the Moravo-Silesian part of the Variscan mobile belt, in which over c. 8 000 m of Carboniferous molasse sediments were deposited, but have been reduced by erosion mostly after late Westphalian-Stephanian tectonic uplift (Kotas 1985, 1995; Kędzior et al. 2007). The molasse basin displayed asymmetric subsidence with an axis that followed continuously the eastward migration trend. The preserved coal-bearing sequence is 1 500–2 000 m thick in the eastern part of the basin and increases westward to over 4 500 m (Fig. 2). Up to 1 500 m of Upper Viséan to Lower Namurian flysch sediments marked the termination of fully marine deposition in the Moravo-Silesian Basin (Hradec-Kyjovice Formation). During the Early Namurian to Westphalian (Serpukhovian to Kasimovian) times, the basin was filled by paralic and subsequently limnic, fluvial, fluvio-lacustrine and lacustrine siliciclastics with frequent coal seams and volcanoclastic strata, which is subdivided into the Ostrava and Karviná Formations in the Czech Republic. In the Polish part, the USCB is subdivided into the Paralic Series (equivalent to Ostrava Fm.), the Upper Silesia Sandstone Series and Mudstone / Siltstone Series (equivalent to Karviná Fm.) and the Kraków Sandstone Series (see Jirásek et al. 2013 for more details).

The Namurian to Westphalian coal-bearing sediments are overlain by Stephanian Kwaczała Arkose and by up to 100 m of Lower Permian rocks, which are restricted to the narrow zone along NE margin of the USCB. Whereas, in the northern and the eastern part of the USCB the Carboniferous rocks are discordantly overlain by Triassic deposits, which range in thickness from 100 to 200 m and the southern part of USCB is covered up to 400 m by Miocene sediments (Kotas 1995).

The Moravian-Silesian Belt overrides the western margin of the USCB along a system of thrusts. The USCB forms a broad synclinorium, which is differentiated in deformation style. Along both main overtrusts there is a narrow zone of E-facing folds, which delimits the Variscan front of the
Moravo-Silesian mobile belt. To the east, the basin has been primarily affected by brittle deformation. The main Variscan tectonic movements that resulted in the inversion of the basin occurred during Latest Westphalian to Stephanian time (Kotas 1985, 1995; Bula et al. 1997). More comprehensive discussion of USCB geology can be found in Kotas (1995), Bula et al. (1997) and Kędzior et al. (2007).

Methods

The Bzie-Dębina-60 borehole (maximum depth of 1341 m) penetrated the succession of the Mudstone Series (Westphalian), overlain by Miocene deposits of the Carpathian Foredeep (Figs. 1, 2). The rock samples were cut perpendicular to the bedding and from these rock pieces polished slides were prepared for microscopic investigations. Vitrinite reflectance was measured to determine the coal rank of the samples, using a Zeiss Axioscope 2mM microscope for incident light, a 50×/0.85 Epiplan–Neofluar oil immersion objective and a 546 nm filter, Zeiss immersion oil n_e = 1.518, at a temperature of 23 °C. Mineral standards of known reflectance were used for calibration: sapphire (0.590%), yttrium-aluminum garnet (0.901%), gadolinium-gallium garnet (1.718%). The applied microscopic investigations closely followed the guidelines published by e.g. the usual International Committee for Coal and Organic Petrology (ICCP) procedures (ISO 7404-5, 2009), and further discussed by Pusz et al. (2014) and Hackley et al. (2015).

Computer modeling of the degree of coalification was carried out using the 1-D PetroMod software (Schlumberger). The modelling employed data defining the burial history, comprising the stratigraphy and thickness of the distinguished intervals as well as petrophysical parameters of rocks, the contemporary thermal regime and the present thermal maturity (Hantschel, Kauerauf 2009). The maturity was modelled using the forward method, i.e. first the initial state of the system and definite geological process were assumed, and then its effect on the contemporary distribution of thermal maturity in the profile was calculated. In the case of a discordance between calculated and measured maturity values, the procedure was repeated for other parameters until an optimum calibration was obtained (Hantschel, Kauerauf 2009). The backstripping method including corrections for decompaction of sediments was used to reconstruct the burial history. Petrophysical parameters were used based on default PetroMod library according to lithology types identified in the Bzie-Dębina-60 well. Models were calibrated using present-day corrected borehole temperature data (Karwasiecka 1996, 2001) and measured values of R_o. The basic parameter used to build the model of coalification was the average vitrinite reflectance using the algorithm according to Sweeney and Burnham (1990). A broader discussion of the applied maturity modeling method is provided by Botor et al. (2013).
Results and discussion

Microscopic investigations of Westphalian samples in the Bzie-Dębina-60 well have shown that organic matter is dominated by vitrinite group (Tab. 1). The coal rank in the well shows an increase with depth. The mean vitrinite reflectance values range from 0.76 to 1.07% (Tab. 1), which is similar to the data from the adjacent Bzie-Dębina-57 well (Probierz, Kwaśny 2014). In the Czech part of the USCB (SV4, NP824, NP522 wells, Bábek, Franců 2004), the vitrinite reflectance values range from 2.0 to 0.5% (Sivek et al. 2003; Bábek, Franců 2004; Kandarachevová et al. 2009). Further to west in the Moravo-Silesian Basin, the vitrinite reflectance values quickly increase to > 5% (e.g. Dvořák 1989; Franců et al. 1999; Bábek et al. 2005, 2006).

This new set of data was used for maturity modelling using the PetroMod software (Fig. 3, 4). The results show a quick increase in burial depths during the late Carboniferous time, immediately after the deposition of the Mudstone Series (which were drilled in the well, i.e. Westphalian A), leading to maximum burial depths in the end of the Carboniferous (Fig. 3). Subsequent burial phases in the Triassic and Miocene did not have any effect on the growth of paleotemperatures and the coalification of the Carboniferous sediments. The calculated values of the average vitrinite reflectance indicate a maximum palaeotemperature of c. 145 °C (Fig. 3). Later burial temperatures were too low and insufficient to significantly increase the coalification of the organic matter (cf. Botor 2014). In the Bzie-Dębina-60 well, the present-day average heat flow, based on borehole temperature values (Fig. 4A), is 70 mW/m², which is similar to the published values from the area (Karwasiecka 1996, 2001). Within the USCB the average present-day heat flow is 70.4 ± 8.5 mW m² (Karwasiecka 1996, 2001), which is in agreement with independent observations from the Ostrava-Karvina area corresponding to 70 ± 8 mW/m² (Kubik, Čermák 1986). In the SW part of the USCB in Poland, the heat flow values range from c. 70 to c. 80 mW/m² (Karwasiecka 1996, 2001). However, coalification in inverted sedimentary basins is mainly controlled by conditions during deep burial, not by parameters of the present-day period (e.g. Hantschel, Kauerauf 2009), especially in the USCB where the degree of coalification at given depths is higher than it should be taking into account the present-day geothermal gradient. In this work, a correct (the best fitted, preferred) thermal model was obtained in accordance with the measured R_o values for this borehole, assuming 2 350 m of post-Variscan erosion of the Carboniferous overburden, and heat flow of
80 mW/m² at the end of Carboniferous (Fig. 4B). Sensitivity analyses of the models have shown that a change in erosions of ± 400 m or a change in heat flow of ± 10 mW/m² result in a significant change in calibration (Fig. 4C–D). Similar thickness of eroded strata was also recently calculated from the Czech part of the USCB (Geršlová et al. 2016).

Moreover, the preferred model is compatible with time-temperature history based on apatite fission track data (Botor 2014). In neighboring wells located in the western part of USCB, the Permian to early Triassic (259 to 214 Ma) apatite fission track ages clearly document that the maximum temperatures in the basin were reached much earlier, i.e. at the end of the Carboniferous. Considering the geological evolution of this area, its origin should be connected with the processes of burial directly before the tectonic inversion in the latest Carboniferous (Botor 2014). In the Moravo-Silesian Basin located to the W, burial paleotemperatures inferred from vitrinite reflectance, illite crystallinity and conodont alteration indices reached 150 to 350 °C (late diagenetic to anchimetamorphic conditions), showing a distinct regional trend of decreasing thermal alteration from NW (interior of the orogene) to SE (foreland). The maximum burial temperatures may have coincided with a distinct thermal pulse at the NE margin of the Bohemian Massif as indicated by the intrusion of the Žulová Massif and 300–310 Ma cooling ages of white micas in the Silesicum domain (Maluski et al. 1995; Schulmann et al. 2014). However, the influence of the Žulová Massif intrusion on the thermal evolution of the USCB was probably low because of a significant distance between these two geological units (Fig. 1). In addition, porosity and bulk density data from the Carboniferous sediments (in particular, low porosity at relatively shallow depths, Botor et al. 2019) provide an indirect evidence for a significant erosion of overlying strata, i.e., much greater ancient burial depth of the sediments particularly in the western part of the USCB.

Conclusions
The diagenesis of Upper Carboniferous sediments in the Bzie Dębina-60 well is not related to the modern geothermal field, but is much older. The coalification occurred in the latest Carboniferous c. 300 Ma, and was likely related to significant burial and mildly higher heat flow compared to present-day. Any hydrothermal processes or tectonic extension associated with the suggested Mid-Mesozoic thermal event did not affect their coalification, presumably due to the lack of a significant increase in temperature above the values already achieved with the end of the Carboniferous.
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