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**ABSTRACT**

We report the detection of accreting ionized gas at the disk–halo interface of the nearby galaxy M33. We analyze Hubble Space Telescope/Cosmic Origins Spectrograph absorption-line spectra of seven ultraviolet-bright stars evenly distributed across the disk of M33. We find Si IV absorption components consistently redshifted relative to the bulk M33’s ISM absorption along all the sightlines. The Si IV detection indicates an enriched, disk-wide, ionized gas inflow toward the disk. This inflow is most likely multi-phase as the redshifted components can also be observed in ions with lower ionization states (e.g., S II, P II, Fe II, Si II). Kinematic modeling of the inflow is consistent with an accreting layer at the disk–halo interface of M33, which has an accretion velocity of \(110^{+35}_{-20}\) km s\(^{-1}\) at a distance of \(1.5^{+0.0}_{-0.0}\) kpc above the disk. The modeling indicates a total mass of \(\sim 3.9 \times 10^7 M_\odot\) for the accreting material at the disk–halo interface on the near side of the M33 disk, with an accretion rate of \(\sim 2.9 M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\). The high accretion rate and the level of metal enrichment suggest the inflow is likely to be the fallback of M33 gas from a galactic fountain and/or the gas pulled loosed during a close interaction between M31 and M33. Our study of M33 is the first to unambiguously reveal the existence of a disk-wide, ionized gas inflow beyond the Milky Way, providing a better understanding of gas accretion in the vicinity of a galaxy disk.
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**1. INTRODUCTION**

Chemical studies in the solar neighborhood have shown that the star formation rate (SFR) of the Milky Way (MW; \(1.9 \pm 0.4 M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\); Chomiuk & Povich 2011) has been nearly constant for many billions of years (Chiappini et al. 1997, 2001). Since the total molecular gas mass in the disk is only \(\sim 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot\), this reservoir would be exhausted on a significantly shorter timescale without replenishment. Observations of nearby spirals have found that the SFR of a galaxy is tightly related to its molecular gas surface density. This relationship indicates that the molecular gas is consumed at constant efficiency and will be depleted in nearly 2 Gyr (Bigiel et al. 2008). The common inference drawn from these calculations is that there has to be gas replenishment from an external medium in order to sustain the star-forming activity in a galaxy disk. Analytic studies of galaxies at high redshift \(z \sim 2–3\) with high SFRs also show that accretion of additional gas is critical in galaxy formation (Erb 2008).

Observational constraints on the physics of gas accretion onto galaxies are notoriously difficult to obtain. Thus far, the best constraints have been provided by observations of gas in our own MW halo. Absorption- and emission-line studies of the halo reveal complex signatures of multiple-phase gas accretion. H I high-velocity clouds (HVCs; \(v_{\text{LSR}} > 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}\))\(^4\) and intermediate-velocity clouds (IVCs; \(30 < v_{\text{LSR}} < 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) appear to be predominantly falling toward the disk (Wakker 2001; van Woerden et al. 2004; Putman et al. 2012). However, estimates of the total accretion rate of H I complexes find a value below the SFR of the Galaxy even with the inclusion of ionized gas envelopes surrounding H I complexes (Putman et al. 2012). On the other hand, ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy of bright halo stars and distant quasars (QSOs) reveal ubiquitous strong absorption from ionized gas (Sembach et al. 2003; Collins et al. 2009; Shull et al. 2009; Lehner et al. 2012; Wakker et al. 2012). Using the distances to halo stars as upper limits on the foreground absorbing gas cloud distances, Lehner & Hawk (2011) place a lower limit on the mass accretion rate of \(0.4–1.4 M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the MW halo. This accretion rate is comparable to the SFR of the MW. However, having only the radial velocity component along lines of sight with large physical separations (\(>2 \text{ kpc}\)) leads to uncertainties regarding the physical arrangement and three-dimensional motions of halo gas. In addition, mass estimates of the MW halo gas could miss \(\sim 50\%\) of the gas, which has velocities close to the systemic velocity of the disk, as is found in synthetic observations of a MW-mass galaxy from a cosmological simulation (Joung et al. 2012b; Zheng et al. 2015).

In external galaxies, absorption-line experiments using bright background sources, often QSOs, to study diffuse halo gas have established the existence of an extended, ionized, gaseous halo (aka the circumgalactic medium, or CGM; e.g., Lanzetta et al. 1995; Chen et al. 1998, 2001; Prochaska et al. 2011). Recent work indicates that the CGM comprises a massive \((M > 10^{10} M_\odot)\), spatially extended (\(\sim 200 \text{ kpc}\)) reservoir of fuel for future star formation and the byproducts of stellar evolution (e.g., Tumlinson et al. 2011; Werk et al. 2014). Our neighbor, M31, is also likely to have a massive CGM, as is found by Lehner et al. (2015), who studied several QSO sightlines with significant M31-related detections within the virial radii of the Galaxy. One major limitation of these QSO absorption-line studies is their inability to detect gas flowing into and out of galaxies. Another unavoidable shortcoming is that such studies are often limited to a single sightline per galaxy (except, e.g., Keeney et al. 2013; Chen

---

\(^4\) \(v_{\text{LSR}}\) is the velocity in the rest frame of the Local Standard of Rest at the solar circle (LSR).
et al. 2014; Lehner et al. 2015), providing incomplete information on the spatial distribution of gas around galaxies. Recent cosmological simulations of MW-mass galaxies lend further support to this emerging picture of a dynamic, gaseous baryon cycle within galaxy halos. A hydrodynamical cosmological simulation of a MW-mass galaxy finds that within the virial radius the H\textsc{i} accretion rate is $\sim0.2\ M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$ and the ionized gas accretion rate is $\sim3–5\ M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$ (Joung et al. 2012b; Fernández et al. 2012). Broadly speaking, the simulations incorporate a range of feedback prescriptions, yet are unanimous in indicating the presence of ionized gas extending to a galaxy’s virial radius (Joung et al. 2012b; Oppenheimer et al. 2012; Shen et al. 2012; Ford et al. 2013; Hummels et al. 2013; Muratov et al. 2015; Suresh et al. 2015).

To eventually feed star-forming activities in a galaxy’s disk, additional gas fuel needs to be obtained from beyond the disk. Cool gas accretion has been observed in star-forming galaxies in Fe\textsc{ii} and Mg\textsc{ii} at redshift $z \sim 0.35–1$ (Rubin et al. 2012). The gas should be accreted through the disk–halo interface of the Galaxy either radially via the edge of the disk (Stewart et al. 2011) or by directly falling down from above the disk. Therefore, the disk–halo interface serves as a key transition region where the mixing of primordial inflowing gas and metal-enriched outflowing gas occurs. In the MW, it has been observed in multiple phases (e.g., H\textsc{i}, H\textsc{α}, C\textsc{iv}, Si\textsc{iv}, O \textsc{vi}) extending to various heights ranging from a few hundred parsecs to several kiloparsecs (Dickey & Lockman 1990; Reynolds 1993; Haffner et al. 2003; Sembach et al. 2003; Levine et al. 2006; Wakker et al. 2008; Shull et al. 2009; Putman et al. 2012). A hierarchy of increasing temperature and ionization states with increasing scale height has been observed: cold H\textsc{i} mostly dominates at lower $z$-heights while warm and hot ionized gas fills most of the volume at greater distances from the plane (Dickey & Lockman 1990; Gaensler et al. 2008; Savage & Wakker 2009; Putman et al. 2012). The densest ionized component of this interface, observed in emission, is called the Reynolds layer or the warm ionized medium layer in the MW (Reynolds 1993; Rand 1997; Haffner et al. 2003).

Kinematically, gas with lagging rotation has been found at the disk–halo interface of both the MW and other spiral galaxies in which the rate of halo gas rotation decreases with $z$-height (Lockman 2002; Ford et al. 2010; Saul et al. 2012; Putman et al. 2012). Such a lagging component shows a typical velocity drop-off of 15–30 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ as observed in deep H\textsc{i} observations of external galaxies (Sancisi et al. 2001; Fraternali et al. 2002; Oosterloo et al. 2007; Heald et al. 2011). That is to say, gas at the disk–halo interface has been found to move at velocities very close to the systemic velocity of a galaxy. For the MW observations, the fact that we are residing inside the ISM increases the difficulty in separating the low-velocity disk–halo component from the ISM component. For extragalactic absorption-line observations, low spectral resolutions (typically $\gtrsim 50–100$ km s$^{-1}$) is a major problem (Heckman et al. 2000; Weiner et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2012; Rubin et al. 2012); it would be challenging, if not impossible, to detect infalling IVC- and HVC-like clouds such as those found in the MW halo if they exist in external galaxies.

In this paper, we investigate the low- and intermediate-velocity gas flows at the disk–halo interface of M33 with medium-resolution spectra (FWHM $\sim 14–19$ km s$^{-1}$) from the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). M33 is a late-type Sc galaxy at a distance of 840 kpc (Freedman et al. 1991), and it moves toward the MW at $v_{\text{LSR}} = -179$ km s$^{-1}$ (Corbelli & Schneider 1997). Its proximity makes UV-bright stars in the disk of M33 observable in a reasonable amount of integration time using HST/COS. The galaxy has an inclination of 56$^\circ$ (Paturel et al. 2003), ensuring that a fair fraction of the velocity will be projected along the line of sight if vertical gas inflows or outflows exist. The dark matter halo mass of M33 is $5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ while its stellar mass is $3–6 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ (Corbelli 2003). It has an atomic H\textsc{i} mass of $1–3 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (Deul & van der Hulst 1987; Corbelli & Schneider 1997; Putman et al. 2009; Gratier et al. 2010) and molecular mass of $3–4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ (e.g., Corbelli 2003; Gratier et al. 2010). M33 is actively forming stars with an SFR of $0.2–0.5 M_\odot yr^{-1}$ based on a variety of observations (e.g., Engargiola et al. 2003; Gratier et al. 2010). Deep H\textsc{i} observations and galactic chemical evolution models suggest gas accretion could account for its ongoing star formation (Magrini et al. 2007a; Putman et al. 2009). Such gas accretion can be detected with our HST/COS UV absorption-line studies, as has been shown in observations of the MW-ionized HVCs (Collins et al. 2009; Savage & Wakker 2009; Shull et al. 2009; Lehner et al. 2012).

Our HST/COS observations toward M33 provide detailed kinematic information of gas flows on one side of the M33 disk, and thus have the great advantage over typical extragalactic observations. In our study, we use UV-bright M33 disk stars as background sources, which helps to unambiguously determine the relative gas motions with respect to the disk. In the rest frame of the M33 gas disk (see Section 2.4), we define the low-velocity gas as that with observed velocities (i.e., without an inclination correction) of $-40 \lesssim v_d \lesssim +40$ km s$^{-1}$ and the intermediate-velocity gas with $v_d \gtrsim +40$ km s$^{-1}$; $v_d$ shall be defined in Section 2.4. By observing multiple sightlines toward different locations of the disk, we are able to differentiate between various accretion models, obtain a better understanding of how gas is flowing, and assess the overall accretion rate. Similar techniques to study disk-wide gas kinematics have also been applied to the observations of multi-phase gas associated with the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC; Howk et al. 2002; Danforth & Blair 2006; Lehner et al. 2009; Pathak et al. 2011; Barger et al. 2016) and the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC; Hoopes et al. 2002).

The article is structured as follows. Section 2 outlines the sample selection criteria and spectral reduction processes (including continuum fitting and Voigt-profile fitting), and addresses the CaICOS wavelength calibration uncertainty. We calculate the systemic velocity of M33’s ISM along each sightline, define the $v_d$ frame (the rest frame of M33’s ISM), and estimate M33’s hydrogen content along each sightline in this section. Section 3 describes the ion properties. We show that the detected absorbers are indeed associated with M33 in Section 4. In Section 5 we perform kinematic modeling of the consistently redshifted Si \textsc{iv} absorption lines, and in Section 6 we address the metal-enrichment level of detected gas inflow using our absorption-line measurements and photoionization modeling. In Section 7 we discuss the accretion rate, the origin (s) of the inflowing gas, and the possibility of galactic outflows from M33. We conclude with a summary of our main findings in Section 8.
2. OBSERVATIONS AND MEASUREMENTS

2.1. Sample Selection and COS Spectroscopy

We targeted UV-bright stars in massive OB associations in the disk of M33. Each star was required to have Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) spectra available in the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST), and its UV continuum flux at 1300 Å was required to be $>5 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$ to achieve an S/N of 15–20. These criteria limited us to only 14 stars. Among all the candidates, we selected seven stars evenly distributed across the disk of M33. This helps sample the disk gas rotation at different locations and galactocentric radii, and thus distinguish among different accretion models (see Section 5).

The seven targeted stars were observed in 2014–2016 during HST Cycle 22 (proposal ID: 13706) using the G130M FUV grating with a central wavelength of 1291 Å and coverage from 1134 to 1431 Å. The resolving power of COS/G130M centering at 1291 Å is $R = 16,000$–20,000, resulting in a spectral resolution (FWHM) of $\sim$14–19 km s$^{-1}$ (COS data handbook; Fox et al. 2015). The observations were conducted using the FUV XDL detector under TIME-TAG mode with the Primary Science Aperture (2′/5; $\sim$10 pc at the distance of M33). Each star was observed with four exposures with a total integration time of $\sim$11,000 s (see Table 1). We retrieved the calibrated and co-added spectra from MAST, which have been processed by the standard CalCOS (version 3.0) pipeline. To supplement our sample, we also retrieved the HST/COS spectrum of M33-UIT-236—a Wolf–Rayet (WR) star near the disk center. The observation of M33-UIT-236 was carried out in 2011 under the HST Cycle 18 COS Guaranteed Time observation program (proposal ID: 12026), with a similar spectrograph setting to ours (Welsh & Lallement 2013).

Table 1 lists the position (R.A., decl.), rotation velocity of the gas disk at the position of a given sightline $v_{rot}$ (Section 2.4), projected galactocentric distance $R$, and inclination-corrected galactocentric distance $R_{G}$, total exposure time, and spectral type. For clarity, we assign to each sightline an ID S1–S7 in the order of increasing $R_{G}$, with S1 being the closest to the disk center and S7 the most distant. S8 (M33-OB-2-4) does not follow this rule since it is not included in our analysis (see below for explanation).

| Name         | S-ID | R.A. (J2000) (hh mm ss) | Decl. (J2000) (dd mm ss) | $v_{rot}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $R$ (kpc) | $R_{G}$ (kpc) | Exp. T. (s) | Sp. Type | Note |
|--------------|------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|----------|------|
| M33-UIT-236 | S1   | 01 33 53.60             | +30 38 51.60             | $-170.7$                | 0.2       | 0.4           | 10,651     | Ofpe/WN9  | WR   |
| M33-FUV-350  | S2   | 01 33 56.00             | +30 45 31.00             | $-233.7$                | 1.5       | 1.5           | 11,172     | A8-F0Ia  | Late A type supergiant$^c$ |
| M33-FUV-444  | S3   | 01 34 09.90             | +30 39 11.00             | $-194.7$                | 1.2       | 1.7           | 11,200     | O6III    | Star  |
| NGC592       | S4   | 01 33 12.30             | +30 38 49.00             | $-160.1$                | 2.4       | 3.4           | 11,168     | WN3+neb  | H II region; WR2$^f$ |
| NGC604       | S5   | 01 34 32.50             | +30 47 04.00             | $-240.3$                | 3.1       | 3.6           | 11,221     | WN10     | H II region; WR12$^f$ |
| M33-OB-88-7  | S6   | 01 34 59.40             | +30 42 01.10             | $-210.6$                | 4.2       | 5.9           | 11,156     | O8Iaf    | blue supergiant |
| M33-FUV-016  | S7   | 01 32 37.70             | +30 40 06.00             | $-157.6$                | 4.5       | 6.6           | 11,220     | Ofpe/WN9  | WR   |
| M33-OB-2-4   | S8   | 01 33 58.70             | +30 35 27.00             | $-147.0$                | 1.1       | 1.5           | 11,222     | Ofpe/WN9  | WR + B supergiant |

Notes.

$^a$ S-ID is in the order of increasing $R_{G}$, with S1 being the closest and S7 the most distant. S8 does not follow this convention since it is not used in our analysis. See Section 2.1 for the explanation.

$^b$ $v_{rot}$: the rotation velocity of the gas disk of M33 at the position of a given sightline. See Section 2.4 for the derivation of $v_{rot}$.

$^c$ $R$: the projected galactocentric distance. $R_{G}$: the inclination-corrected galactocentric distance.

$^d$ Spectral type. S1, S4, S5, S7: Neugent & Massey (2011); S2: Humphreys et al. (2013); S3, S6, S8: Massey et al. (2006).

$^e$ Humphreys et al. (2013).

$^f$ Several WR stars are reported in these two H II regions; based on coordinate coincidence, we find sightline S4 is likely pointing at NGC592-WR2 and S5 at NGC 604-WR12 as identified in Drissen et al. (2008).

5 Other HST filters are chosen when F170W is not available.
not represent a non-detection in our sample. Our final target list includes seven sightlines that are listed as S1–S7 in Table 1. 
In addition, we retrieved the FUSE spectra for our sightlines from MAST. The spectra span a wavelength range of 905–1187 Å within which an important absorption line O VI λ1032 lies. Since O VI is not the focus of this work and we only use it for a comparison with Si IV, a simpler spectral reduction was performed. The stellar continuum within ±1000 km s$^{-1}$ of 1032 Å was normalized using first- and second-order polynomial functions. We did not attempt to run Voigt-profile decomposition for O VI λ1032 given the low S/N of the FUSE data. The normalized O VI absorption lines and relevant discussion are presented in Section 6.1.

All HST, FUSE, and GALEX data used in this paper can be found in MAST: 10.17909/T9FG6R.

### 2.2. Wavelength Calibration and Spectral Co-addition

As mentioned in Section 2.1, each sightline was observed with four exposures which produce four spectra that need to be co-added. The standard CalCOS pipeline provides data reduction for spectral co-addition and wavelength calibration with an uncertainty of nearly one resolution element. We show the original CalCOS-processed stellar spectra in Figure 2. In the following work, we process and present the spectra in their original resolution; we do not perform any binning to the spectra unless otherwise specified.

Several authors have pointed out that problems may arise with CalCOS wavelength calibration and spectral co-addition, and thus have written their own pipelines to process HST/COS spectra in order to minimize the uncertainties. To justify that CalCOS products are reliable for our scientific analysis, we used three other pipelines to calibrate and co-add the original spectra and compared the results with those from CalCOS. The three pipelines we investigated are (1) the IDL routine x1d_coadd.pro by Danforth et al. (2010), (2) the spectral co-add code by Wakker et al. (2015), and (3) the PyCOS pipeline by Liang & Chen (2014 and via private communication). We discuss the details of these pipelines and compare them with CalCOS in Appendix B. All the co-added spectra processed using these three methods can be found in Zheng et al. (2016, data set: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.168580).

In brief, our investigation shows consistency between CalCOS products and those from other pipelines. A couple of lines using the method of Wakker et al. (2015) have minor wavelength shifts with respect to the CalCOS spectra but all within one resolution element. We note that such good agreement between CalCOS and the other three pipelines is mainly due to the straightforward setup of our observations. For each of our sightlines, the observation was completed with four exposures in one single visit and the spectra were taken under the same setting. The background stars are all UV-bright to ensure high S/N. Thus the possibility of spectral misalignment is largely reduced. CalCOS pipeline is most likely to become problematic in situations where faint QSO observations and multiple spectra are obtained at different epochs. We proceed with our analysis using the CalCOS co-added spectra that we have tested to be scientifically reliable by direct comparisons with three other different methods.

### 2.3. Line Identification, Continuum Fitting, and Voigt-profile Fitting

Our observations include interstellar absorption lines of S II λλ1250, 1253, Fe II λλ1142, 1143, 1144, P II λ1152, Si IV λλ1393, 1402, Si II λλ190, 1193, 1304, Si III λ1206, and C II λ1334. In Figure 2, we highlight these lines in gray. Due to
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6 S II lines are in fact triplet: 1250, 1253, and 1259 Å. However, S II λ1259 is blended with the v1SR component of Si II λ1260, which is blocked in Section 7.3 and Appendix A. We do not include S II λ1260 in our analysis. We also exclude Si II λ1260 and only use Si II λλ190, 1193, 1304.

7 In each of our COS spectra, Si II λ1304 is strongly affected by nearby airglow emission O I λ1302, 1304 due to oxygen atoms in the exosphere of the Earth. For this line, we retrieve night-only photons from the spectra, the process of which is described in detail in Y. Zheng et al. (2017, in preparation).

---

**Figure 1.** Left: distribution of the target stars (S1–S8) in the disk of M33. The background image is from the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX). S8, indicated by an open circle, is not used in our analysis (see Section 2.1). Right: number of stars within the COS aperture along each sightline. The background HST/WFPC images were retrieved from the MAST archive. Images taken with the F170W filter are used when they exist. The red cross indicates the center of the COS aperture, and the blue circle shows its size (2"5 in diameter). At the distance of M33 (840 kpc), 2"5 is ~10 pc.
the broad wavelength span, M33 and MW absorption components of the same line are very close to each other in this figure. Please see Figures 12–15 for a better illustration of the line structures.

Line identification is made according to wavelength coincidence at the rest frame of the gas disk at the position of the corresponding sightline. For each absorption line, we confirm its detection in each of the four exposures to ensure that it is not an artifact due to spectral co-addition or fixed pattern noise (COS data handbook; Fox et al. 2015). Our spectra also cover NV λ1238, 1242, but we do not detect related absorption features with reliable significance in any of our sightlines.

The narrow interstellar absorption lines of interest are found superimposed on stellar features that have much broader line widths and commonly have P Cygni profiles. We treat the stellar features as continua such that interstellar line profiles can be normalized. First, we model the continua using Legendre polynomials within ±1000 km s⁻¹ from the centroids of the lines of interest. The fitting is evaluated such that the polynomial order is kept as low as possible while the reduced χ² (χ²_red) is minimized to ~1.0. For ions (Fe II, S II, Si IV) that have multiple transition lines available and the lines are not saturated, we use their oscillator strengths f to scale their normalized profiles and compare the f-scaled line shapes. This f-scale matching helps to simultaneously constrain the continuum fitting of different transition lines of the same ion and ensures that we have filtered out the stellar features plaguing the interstellar lines of interest at different wavelengths.

We note that unresolved saturation may exist in the unsaturated lines even though their minimum fluxes have not yet reached zero, which will make the f-scale matching not valid. To evaluate the level of unresolved saturation, we perform another independent continuum fitting for each unsaturated line of S II and Si IV. We use a different pipeline,
Table 2
Voigt Profile Fitting

| S-ID | $v_0$  | $b$ | log $N^*$ | $\chi^2$/dof |
|------|--------|-----|-----------|-------------|
| S II: 1250.58 Å, 1253.81 Å |
| S1  | $-15.0 \pm 5.7$ | $41.3 \pm 4.5$ | $15.07 \pm 0.07$ | 0.94 |
| S2  | $20.0 \pm 1.0$ | $17.0 \pm 2.3$ | $14.87 \pm 0.10$ | 0.62 |
| S3  | $-10.7 \pm 5.5$ | $35.0 \pm 4.9$ | $15.18 \pm 0.16$ | 0.71 |
| S4  | $51.2 \pm 17.6$ | $52.6 \pm 14.7$ | $15.15 \pm 0.18$ | 0.92 |
| S5  | $-18.6 \pm 0.5$ | $30.2 \pm 0.7$ | $15.34 \pm 0.01$ | 0.71 |
| S6  | $29.2 \pm 0.8$ | $31.5 \pm 1.3$ | $15.24 \pm 0.02$ | 0.54 |
| S7  | $-20.6 \pm 9.5$ | $52.1 \pm 3.8$ | $15.14 \pm 0.18$ | 0.92 |
| S8  | $-20.4 \pm 1.9$ | $35.5 \pm 3.4$ | $14.33 \pm 0.17$ | 0.71 |
| S9  | $58.2 \pm 4.1$ | $51.3 \pm 3.4$ | $14.01 \pm 0.18$ | 0.71 |
| S10 | $-2.3 \pm 4.5$ | $39.4 \pm 7.6$ | $15.14 \pm 0.08$ | 0.71 |
| P II: 1152.82 Å |
| S1  | $-37.0 \pm 29.8$ | $26.4 \pm 23.7$ | $13.10 \pm 0.86$ | 0.64 |
| S2  | $10.9 \pm 7.6$ | $73.5 \pm 9.4$ | $13.66 \pm 0.11$ | 0.54 |
| S3  | $-18.8 \pm 2.9$ | $34.0 \pm 4.0$ | $13.62 \pm 0.04$ | 0.91 |
| S4  | $23.2 \pm 3.4$ | $37.9 \pm 4.7$ | $13.77 \pm 0.05$ | 0.57 |
| S5  | $-40.8 \pm 1.2$ | $40.9 \pm 2.1$ | $13.69 \pm 0.02$ | 1.00 |
| S6  | $-90.800^a$ | $<13.3^a$ |  |  |
| S7  | $19.9 \pm 3.3$ | $25.9 \pm 4.7$ | $13.47 \pm 0.06$ | 0.72 |
| Fe II: 1142.37 Å, 1143.23 Å, 1144.94 Å |
| S1  | $-41.1 \pm 9.8$ | $31.2 \pm 7.4$ | $14.34 \pm 0.20$ | 0.81 |
| S2  | $10.7 \pm 10.4$ | $34.6 \pm 8.1$ | $14.42 \pm 0.16$ | 0.76 |
| S3  | $-10.9 \pm 6.2$ | $39.2 \pm 6.4$ | $14.56 \pm 0.08$ | 0.86 |
| S4  | $68.3 \pm 4.8$ | $31.0 \pm 2.9$ | $14.51 \pm 0.08$ | 0.86 |
| S5  | $-25.6 \pm 3.8$ | $18.5 \pm 9.7$ | $13.96 \pm 0.39$ | 0.71 |
| S6  | $-23.4 \pm 2.6$ | $46.2 \pm 7.6$ | $14.52 \pm 0.11$ | 0.71 |
| S7  | $42.2 \pm 2.9$ | $24.2 \pm 4.0$ | $14.31 \pm 0.06$ | 0.71 |
| S8  | $-39.9 \pm 2.5$ | $12.9 \pm 5.9$ | $13.37 \pm 0.29$ | 0.11 |
| S9  | $89.8 \pm 1.8$ | $40.4 \pm 4.2$ | $14.63 \pm 0.04$ | 0.11 |
| S10 | $74.6 \pm 4.8$ | $32.5 \pm 3.9$ | $14.11 \pm 0.09$ | 0.11 |
| S11 | $-7.2 \pm 1.1$ | $30.0 \pm 1.8$ | $14.39 \pm 0.21$ | 0.80 |
| S12 | $39.5 \pm 10.5$ | $23.7 \pm 10.4$ | $14.23 \pm 0.30$ | 0.80 |
| S13 | $96.0 \pm 8.1$ | $14.2 \pm 12.6$ | $13.42 \pm 0.27$ | 0.80 |
| S14 | $9.9 \pm 1.3$ | $18.6 \pm 1.8$ | $14.34 \pm 0.04$ | 0.87 |
| Si IV: 1393.76 Å, 1402.77 Å |
| S1  | $3.0 \pm 0.8$ | $32.8 \pm 1.0$ | $14.17 \pm 0.01$ | 0.84 |
| S2  | $67.9 \pm 1.8^a$ | $22.3 \pm 2.0$ | $13.38 \pm 0.04$ | 0.69 |
| S3  | $10.4 \pm 20.3$ | $44.8 \pm 14.6$ | $13.33 \pm 0.54$ | 0.69 |
| S4  | $75.6 \pm 32.4$ | $60.9 \pm 21.7$ | $13.57 \pm 0.31$ | 0.69 |
| S5  | $-14.9 \pm 2.3$ | $44.3 \pm 3.2$ | $13.67 \pm 0.03$ | 0.96 |
| S6  | $75.9 \pm 3.1$ | $34.2 \pm 4.4$ | $13.35 \pm 0.05$ | 0.96 |
| S7  | $-29.3 \pm 3.5$ | $14.7 \pm 3.8$ | $12.94 \pm 0.13$ | 0.65 |
| S8  | $8.4 \pm 23$ | $20.4 \pm 4.5$ | $13.43 \pm 0.14$ | 0.65 |
| S9  | $-35.6 \pm 2.0$ | $34.1 \pm 2.2$ | $13.47 \pm 0.03$ | 0.92 |
| S10 | $5.0 \pm 1.5$ | $12.9 \pm 2.8$ | $12.78 \pm 0.12$ | 0.92 |
| S11 | $-39.1 \pm 2.8$ | $11.3 \pm 4.5$ | $12.29 \pm 0.12$ | 0.92 |
| S12 | $-8.9 \pm 5.4$ | $19.2 \pm 7.4$ | $13.20 \pm 0.14$ | 0.35 |
| S13 | $70.6 \pm 4.0$ | $17.5 \pm 5.7$ | $13.22 \pm 0.12$ | 0.35 |
| S14 | $-106.2 \pm 3.9$ | $14.5 \pm 4.4$ | $13.13 \pm 0.14$ | 0.70 |
| S15 | $-19.8 \pm 2.0$ | $19.8 \pm 1.9$ | $13.52 \pm 0.05$ | 0.70 |
| S16 | $13.8 \pm 2.0$ | $15.6 \pm 3.4$ | $13.25 \pm 0.14$ | 0.70 |
| S17 | $-48.2 \pm 15.0$ | $30.6 \pm 15.4$ | $12.80 \pm 0.28$ | 0.70 |

Notes:

* ($v_0$, $b$, log $N$) are the centroid velocity, Doppler width, and logarithmic column density of the Voigt-profile fits. $\chi^2$/dof is the reduced $\chi^2$ of the fit that is calculated within $[-150, 150]$ km s$^{-1}$ of the respective spectral line.

No detection of P II along this sightline. We derive a 3σ upper limit by integrating the spectra within $[-80, 80]$ km s$^{-1}$.

The * sign indicates the intermediate-velocity/non-disk S IV component. See Section 3 for description.

8 https://github.com/linetools/linetools
9 http://purl.org/net/mpfit
Continuum- and Voigt-profile fitting of specific lines.

2.4. Rotation Velocity of M33’s ISM $v_{\text{rot}}$ at the Position of Each Sightline

Throughout this paper, we use a velocity frame, $v_{\text{rot}}$, which is defined as the velocity in the rest frame of M33’s ISM at the position of each sightline: $v_{\text{rot}} = v_{\text{LSR}} - v_{\text{rot}}$, where $v_{\text{LSR}}$ is a spectral line’s LSR velocity with respect to the Sun and $v_{\text{rot}}$ is the rotation velocity of the M33 gas disk at the position of each sightline in the LSR frame. We emphasize that the gas kinematics we investigate is with respect to M33’s ISM, the rotation of which will be determined from H I 21 cm spectra as shown in the following paragraphs. The exact positions of the background stars in the gas disk do not change our determination of gas inflows and outflows.

To compute $v_{\text{rot}}$, we use the H I 21 cm emission-line observation from the Very Large Array (VLA; Gratter et al. 2010); the spectral resolution is 1.29 km s$^{-1}$ and the angular resolution is 25$''$ (≈100 pc at the distance of M33). The H I 21 cm spectrum at the position of each sightline is shown in the first row of Figure 3. We fit a single Gaussian function to each spectrum to decide the centroid velocity of the line, which we adopt as $v_{\text{rot}}$ (Table 1). Note that we do not attempt to shift the UV absorption-line centroids to line up with the H I 21 cm peak emission, since it is not clear whether the neutral and the ionized gas associated with M33’s ISM are co-spatial and/or kinetically connected.

In addition, we make use of the H i 21 cm spectra from the Arecibo Galaxy Environment Survey (AGES; Auld et al. 2006; Keenan et al. 2015), which has a spectral resolution of ≈5 km s$^{-1}$ and angular resolution of ≈4′. The AGES data reaches a column density limit of $\sim$1.5 $\times$ 10$^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ over 10 km s$^{-1}$. Its large beam size and high sensitivity helps to map the diffuse gas pervading M33’s ISM and its disk–halo interface. We also examine the H I 21 cm spectra obtained from the GALFA-H I survey (Peek et al. 2011), which has the same angular resolution as the AGES data. Similar H I 21 cm emission-line profiles are found. We use the AGES spectra for later analysis since they have better column density sensitivity than GALFA-H I. The AGES spectra are shown in the second row of Figure 3. We discuss the use of these spectra in Section 6.2.

2.5. Hydrogen Column Density in Front of the Stars

To assess the metallicity of M33’s ISM and the disk–halo interface as discussed in Sections 6.2 and 6.3, here we calculate the total column density of neutral hydrogen (both in atomic and molecular forms) along the lines of sight in front of our target stars. We cannot pursue this hydrogen measurement with H I 21 cm emission since it would include all of the H I both in front of and behind the stars. In addition, the H I 21 cm spectra were obtained with a larger beam size than that of the COS aperture, and thus may introduce up to a factor of 10 uncertainty into the H I column density due to unresolved substructures within the beam (e.g., Tumlinson et al. 2002; Welty et al. 2012). On the other hand, estimates based on the Ly$\alpha$ λ1215 absorption line are not reliable since this line is saturated and distorted due to stellar P Cygni profiles and the dominant Galactic emission (see Figure 2).

Here we use a method first introduced by Bohlin et al. (1978), which correlates the column density of neutral hydrogen $N_H (=N_{\text{HI}} + 2N_{\text{H_2}})$ in front of the corresponding stars with their color excess $E(B - V)$—the gas-to-dust ratio. The relation is established linearly as

$$N_H = f \times 5.8 \times 10^{21} E(B - V) \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ mag}^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

where $f = 1.0$ for the Galactic value (Bohlin et al. 1978) and $f = 2.8$ (5.0) for the LMC (SMC) gas-to-dust ratio (Welty et al. 2012; Tumlinson et al. 2002).

Using $E(B - V)$ to infer $N_H$ relies on the assumption that local environment does not change dramatically from sightline to sightline. This is reasonable as the gas-to-dust ratio is relatively constant over a galaxy’s disk (Sandstrom et al. 2013) and the dust extinction curve only weakly varies across kiloparsec scales (Schlafly et al. 2016). Since the metal content of M33’s ISM is not yet well understood, in Table 3 we provide $N_H$ values in three cases assuming M33 is MW-, LMC-, or SMC-like. The MW-like $N_H$ has an uncertainty of $\pm 0.2$ dex (Bohlin et al. 1978), while the LMC- and SMC-like $N_H$ have rms deviations of 0.22 and 0.34 dex, respectively (Welty et al. 2012). We suggest the LMC-like values are likely to be the closest to M33’s values since these two galaxies are similar in galaxy mass and metallicity (Crockett et al. 2006; D’Onghia & Fox 2015). The MW-like and SMC-like values serve as the metal-rich and metal-poor guesses, respectively; they provide lower and upper log $N_H$ estimates, which are consistent with the quoted LMC-like $N_H$ rms deviation. In Section 6.3 and Table 5, we provide metallicity estimates using the LMC-like $N_H$ values. We note that the total H I column density (integrated from $v_d = -30$ km s$^{-1}$ to $+30$ km s$^{-1}$ for each sightline) is log $N_{\text{HI}} \sim 21.0$ cm$^{-2}$, consistent with the ones derived from $E(B - V)$. Overall, the LMC-like scenario is an approximation given the current limited knowledge of the actual metal content of M33’s ISM. The reader should interpret our provided $N_H$ and metallicity values (Section 6.3) with caution.

We find the $E(B - V)$ values from a number of sources. For S2, which was named B324 in their paper, Humphreys et al. (2013) found a V-band total extinction of $A_V = 0.9$ mag. Assuming $R_V = 3.2$ mag as suggested (Humphreys et al. 2013), we obtain a total color excess of 0.28 mag, which gives $E(B - V) = 0.24$ mag for S2 after correcting for foreground Galactic extinction ($\sim$0.04 mag; Schlegel et al. 1998; Schruba et al. 2010). For S3 and S6, their color excesses are directly calculated using $E(B - V) = (B - V) - (B - V)_0$.0.4 mag. For S4, its $E(B - V)$ is from Ubeda & Drissen (2009) who provided the measurement of E(4405-5495), which is the monochromatic equivalent of $E(B - V)$ assuming 4405 Å and 5495 Å are the central wavelengths of the B and V filters. For S5, it is Bruhweiler et al. (2003) who measured the $E(B - V)$ of two O-type stars (690A and 690B) in the same COS aperture as S5.

For S1 and S7, neither a direct measurement of $E(B - V)$ nor an intrinsic color ($B - V_0$) can be found in the literature. We calculate their $E(B - V)$ as follows. We first infer $(B - V)_0$ for S1 and S7 by searching for WR stars in LMC that have the same spectral type WN9 as S1 and S7. We found two WN9-type stars (BR18 and BR64) with color excess of $E(b - v) = 0.12$ mag and 0.31 mag, respectively (Breslauer 1981; Torres-Dodgen & Massey 1988). Using $E(b - v)$...
Table 3

| ID  | (B − V)' | (B − V)' | E(B − V)' | log N_H |
|-----|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
|     | (mag)    | (mag)    | (mag)     | (cm⁻²)  |
|     | (MW)     | (LMC)    | (SMC)     |         |
| S1  | −0.21    | −0.32    | 0.07      | 20.6    |
| S2  | 0.43     | N/A      | 0.24      | 21.1    |
| S3  | −0.16    | −0.30    | 0.10      | 20.8    |
| S4  | 0.48     | N/A      | 0.20      | 21.1    |
| S5  | 0.24     | N/A      | 0.20      | 21.1    |
| S6  | −0.14    | −0.30    | 0.12      | 20.8    |
| S7  | −0.13    | −0.32    | 0.15      | 20.9    |

Notes.

a Observed B − V color including the foreground Galactic extinction. S1, S2, S3, S6, S8: Massey et al. (2006); S7: Neugent & Massey (2011); S4, S5: B mag is from Drissen et al. (2008) and V mag from Neugent & Massey (2011).

b Intrinsic color. S3, S6: Wegner (1994). S1, S7: see Section 2.5 for the derivation.

c E(B − V) corrected for the foreground Galactic value.

d For S5, L06 found log N_H = 20.75 ± 0.3 (log N_H = 21.07 ± 0.17) by fitting the damping wing of the Ly_β (Ly_α) line. These numbers are consistent with our MW-like value, given that the molecular gas content is negligible along S5 as indicated by L06. See Section 2.5 for detailed discussion.

(B − V) = 1.21 × E(b − v) (Turner 1982; Torres-Dodgen & Massey 1988), we obtain E(B − V) = 0.15 (0.38) mag for star BR18 (BR64). Knowing that the observed color (B − V) of these two stars is −0.16 mag and 0.06 mag, respectively, we find the intrinsic color (B − V)_0 = −0.32 mag for S1 and S7. Then taking into account the observed (B − V) values of S1 and S7, and correcting for foreground Galactic extinction, we find their (B − V) = 0.07 mag and 0.15 mag, respectively.

We note that the log N_H values along S5 have been determined by Lebouteiller (2006, henceforth L06) in a study of the neutralISM of M33. L06 observed Ly_β (Ly_α) with the FUSE (IUE) instrument, and found log N_H = 20.75 ± 0.3 (log N_H = 21.07 ± 0.17) by fitting the damping wing of the saturated Ly_β (Ly_α) along S5. These values are similar to our MW-like value for S5 (log N_H = 21.1) derived using the E(B − V) method, but significantly lower than the LMC- and SMC-like numbers, as shown in Table 3. The difference is not likely due to a significant presence of H_2, since L06 found only a small contribution from molecular gas along S5, log N_H = 16.86, from H_2 absorption lines in the FUSE spectra. We suggest that the log N_H estimates from L06 may be lower limits due to (1) contamination by complicated O VI (N V) P Cygni profiles contaminating the Ly_β (Ly_α) damping wings and (2) saturated Ly_β (Ly_α) absorption due to MW’s ISM being separated from M33’s ISM absorption by only ~200 km s⁻¹. Although our MW-like value is consistent with their estimates within the uncertainty, such a comparison may not be overly informative due to the unavoidably large systematic uncertainties inherent in both methods.

3. GENERAL ION PROPERTIES

In this section, we discuss the ion properties as inferred from the Voigt-profile fitting. In rows 3–7 of Figure 3, we show the continuum-normalized absorption lines of P II, Fe II, Si II, and Si IV. Among these transition lines, P II, Fe II, Si II, and Si IV are not saturated, and thus they are fitted with Voigt profiles as discussed in Section 2.3. Si II λ1304 is not Voigt-profile fitted since this line has a saturated core at v_d ∼ 0 km s⁻¹ along each sightline. We do not show other Si II lines or C II; Si III lines in Figure 3 because they are all heavily saturated and blended both at the velocity of M33’s ISM as well as MW’s ISM; therefore, they are not informative in evaluating the ion properties at intermediate velocities as we show in the following. For an inspection of these saturated lines, please see Figures 10 and 16.

Since Si IV requires ∼33.5 eV to ionize from Si III, while S II, P II, Fe II, and Si II only need ∼10 eV to be produced from their neutral forms, hereafter we call Si IV as the “warm ion” and, S II, P II, Fe II, and Si II the “cool ions.” Both the warm and cool ions show strong absorption lines from MW’s ISM at v_d ≥ 150 km s⁻¹ (v_LSR ∼ 0 km s⁻¹), as shown by the dotted lines in Figure 3. The M33-associated absorption lines are at v_d ∼ 0 km s⁻¹ (v_LSR ∼ −150 km s⁻¹), consistent with the peaks of the corresponding H I 21 cm emission from M33’s ISM (rows 1–2). In the following, we summarize the main properties of the M33-associated absorption lines:

1. On all sightlines, apart from the v_d ∼ 0 km s⁻¹ components associated with M33’s ISM, we fit additional velocity component(s) at intermediate velocities to the warm and cool ion lines. We find that the warm ion Si IV consistently shows additional absorption components along each sightline, with v_d ranging from +39 km s⁻¹ to +106 km s⁻¹ (indicated by “+” sign in Table 2). The mean value is v_d = +67 km s⁻¹. Once corrected for inclination, this corresponds to a warm ionized inflow toward M33 at +67 (cos(56°) ∼ +120 km s⁻¹ (shown by the dotted line in the left panel of Figure 4; see point 7). In Figure 3, we highlight these Si IV components with intermediate velocities in blue. We also find absorption features at similar intermediate velocities in cool ions, such as those ions along S6 and Fe II along S5, although they do not consistently exist in all of the sightlines. Since these intermediate-velocity absorption components have mean v_d(= +67 km s⁻¹) noticeably separated from...
the M33’s ISM absorption ($v_d \sim 0$ km s$^{-1}$), hereafter we call these features the “non-disk” components, and we call the absorption components at $v_d \sim 0$ km s$^{-1}$ the “disk” components. The consistently present non-disk components in Si IV suggests that there exists disk-wide, warm ionized gas accreting toward M33. This accreting flow is most likely multi-phase, as the non-disk components can also be observed in the cool ions (Fe II, S II, and P II) along some sightlines, and may well be commonly present in saturated C II, S iII, and Si III lines (also see point 3).

2. The AGES HI 21 cm spectra in row 2 show extended HI wings at the intermediate velocities where the non-disk Si IV are detected, also supporting the multi-phase scenario of the accreting gas. The VLA spectra on the top row indicate there may be some HI emission within the highlighted ranges; however, after fitting baselines and checking the sensitivity of the VLA data, we find there is no signal above the noise level given by Gratier et al. (2010).

3. The saturated ions (i.e., Si II, S iII, and C II) are consistent with the accreting flow being multi-phase. In row 6, we show the continuum-normalized profiles of Si II λ1304 (night-only data). We do not Voigt-profile fit this line as the core is saturated. Along some sightlines (e.g., S1, S3, S6) where the non-disk velocity ranges (blue shades) fall out of the core, we find significant Si II absorption, consistent with the non-disk Si IV components.

4. The line profiles and line widths of the non-disk Si IV components vary from sightline to sightline. Such discrepancy is unlikely due to contamination by stellar winds since those stellar features are much broader and have been fitted as continua (see Section 2.3). In addition, the continuum-normalized Si IV λ1393, 1402 show...
similar line profiles despite their different wavelengths (see Figure 16), which also suggests that local stellar features are unlikely to cause the variation seen in the data.

5. S6 shows two non-disk Si IV components. With limited S/N ratio (=6), it is less certain whether the line has one broad component or two narrower ones but, in general, both components are consistent with the non-disk components seen along other sightlines. S6 is of particular interest since within the Si IV non-disk velocity range, its H I 21 cm spectrum shows the least contamination from the dominant disk emission. We make use of this sightline to calculate the H I column density of the non-disk component in Section 6.2.

6. In Table 2, we show the Voigt-profile-fitted \((v_d, b, \log N)\) for each sightline. For the warm ion Si IV, the non-disk component has mean \(\langle N_{\text{Si IV}} \rangle = 10^{13.24 \pm 0.21} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), and the disk component has \(\langle N_{\text{Si IV}} \rangle = 10^{13.55 \pm 0.08} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). For comparison, we find that MW IVCs have \(\langle N_{\text{Si IV}} \rangle = 10^{13.17 \pm 0.07} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (Shull et al. 2009), which suggests that the non-disk Si IV components of M33 are consistent with IVC-like clouds. For the cool ions, we find mean column densities of \(\langle N_{\text{S II}} \rangle = 10^{15.07 \pm 0.04} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), \(\langle N_{\text{Fe II}} \rangle = 10^{14.34 \pm 0.04} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), and \(\langle N_{\text{P II}} \rangle = 10^{13.55 \pm 0.06} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), respectively, taking into account both the disk and non-disk components. The Voigt-profile fits of these cool ions show considerably large \(b\) values along a few sightlines, which might result from the COS spectral resolution failing to resolve underlying narrower components.

7. Specifically, we show the radial distribution of \(v_d\) and \(\log N\) of the warm ion Si IV in Figure 4. Each data point is color-coded in gray scale according to its \(\log N\): darker color means higher \(\log N\). For example, at \(R_G \sim 0.5\) kpc, a Si IV component with \(v_d \sim 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) in the left panel can be found with \(\log N \sim 14.2 \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in the right. Clearly Si IV shows a significant asymmetric distribution of \(v_d\) toward positive (inflow) velocities. Along each sightline, the disk component generally has a larger column density than the non-disk component. We also examine the radial distribution \(v_d\) and \(\log N\) for the cool ions S II, P II, and Fe II. Their centroid velocities \(v_d\) mostly concentrate near \(v_d \sim 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), and there is no obvious radial trend in column density.

Apart from the disk-wide accreting flow detected in the warm ion Si IV, our sightlines show some low-velocity, blueshifted components with \(-40 \lesssim v_d \lesssim 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) in both warm and cool ions, indicating a tentative detection of slow winds or disk turbulence in M33; we discuss this in Section 7.3.1. In addition, we find a very high-velocity absorption feature with \(-200 \lesssim v_d \lesssim -100 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (or \(v_{LSR} \sim -350 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) in C II, Si II, and Si III. This could potentially be the outflows from M33; however, the scenario is complicated by other origin possibilities as discussed in Section 7.3.2 and Appendix A.

We note that the same COS spectrum of S1 was analyzed by Welsh & Lallement (2013, henceforth WL13), finding multiple narrow components in cool ions for which we are only able to fit broad Voigt profiles. In their work, the multiple narrow-component fits were achieved by restricting five components at fixed velocities (varying by only \(\pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) as indicated from Ca II lines (Welsh et al. 2009). Since it is unclear whether the Ca II-bearing gas and the low-ion-bearing gas are kinematically similar, this fixed-velocity-fitting procedure is likely to lead to artificial components. Therefore, we do not compare our component fits to the results shown in WL13. In addition, we note that their continuum fittings for O I \(\lambda 1302\) and Si II \(\lambda 1304\) are inaccurate due to the omission of recognizing the airglow emissions at a nearby location. We provide in Figure 10 the night-only spectra of Si II \(\lambda 1304\), which are weaker than those shown in WL13.

4. ASSOCIATION OF THE NON-DISK COMPONENTS WITH M33

As we show in Section 3, we consistently find redshifted non-disk components in Si IV, suggesting that there exists a disk-wide, warm ionized accreting flow toward M33. The accreting flow is most likely multi-phase as non-disk components can also be seen in cool ions (Fe II, S II, and P II) along some sightlines and may commonly exist in saturated C II, Si II, and Si III lines as well. The non-disk components follow the overall rotation of M33 and are almost certainly associated with this galaxy; however, given the Local Group spatial and kinematic environment, other sources should be considered. Apart from M33’s disk–halo accreting layer and M33’s halo cloud scenarios modeled in Section 5, here we focus on the possibilities of ion absorbers from MW’s ISM, MW HVCs, the Magellanic Stream, or M31’s CGM.

First, MW’s ISM and the Magellanic Stream are unlikely to have created the absorption lines. We show in Figure 5 that, in the LSR frame, MW’s ISM is at only a few tens of \(\text{km s}^{-1}\) and the Magellanic Stream is at \(-310 \sim 450 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) in directions near M33 as shown in Figure 5 (Fox et al. 2014; Lehner et al. 2015). Our M33 absorption lines fall in between these two velocity ranges.

Second, absorbers from MW HVCs are unlikely intercepting our sightlines toward M33 at the intermediate velocities. We compare our spectra with those from a nearby MW halo star, PG0122+214, which is at a distance of \(\sim 10\) kpc from us. In the right panel of Figure 5, we display the S II and Si IV lines.\(^{12}\)

---

\(^{12}\) We use S II \(\lambda 1253\) and Si IV \(\lambda 1393\) because (1) they generally have higher S/N than other ion lines, and (2) they have higher oscillator strengths among their available multiplets.
from PG0122+214 in the top row, and those from sightline S5 toward M33 in the bottom row; clearly the MW halo star does not have S II and Si IV absorption lines at $v_{\text{LSR}} < -150$ km s$^{-1}$ where M33-S5 shows strong absorption. Since the angular separation between PG0122+214 and M33-S5 is only $\sim 9^\circ$, if there exists an HVC within 10 kpc of the MW halo that covers all our M33 sightlines but not PG0122+214, the HVC’s physical size should only be $\lesssim 1.6$ kpc. This is contrary to current knowledge of classic MW HVCs, which finds them to be large H I complexes (Wakker & van Woerden 1991) with extended ionized gas (e.g., Fox et al. 2004; Lehner & Howk 2011). Thus, within 10 kpc there are no MW HVCs with $v_{\text{LSR}} < -150$ km s$^{-1}$ in the direction toward M33. In addition, MW HVCs beyond 10 kpc are unlikely as we do not find relevant strong absorption from nearby QSOs (shown as open circles in Figure 5).

Third, we investigate the situation that the non-disk warm and cool absorbers are from M31’s CGM. We examine the QSO sightlines that are within 300 kpc of M33. These sightlines were studied by Lehner et al. (2015), who found three QSO sightlines (solid circle in Figure 5) with M31-related Si IV absorption within 50 kpc of M31’s disk, while others beyond this radius (open circles) do not have significant detection. In the middle row of the right panel, we plot the S II and Si IV lines from HS0058+4213—one of the three QSOs with M31-related Si IV detection. The S II line does not show absorption at M31’s velocity while the Si IV line does indicate some overlap at $v_{\text{LSR}} \sim -240$ km s$^{-1}$. The Si IV column density of the overlapped portion along this QSO sightline is $\sim 10^{13.1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Lehner et al. 2015), lower than the mean non-disk Si IV value ($10^{13.24}$ cm$^{-2}$) that we have found toward M33. Given that our M33 sightlines are $\geq 200$ kpc from M31, we expect that the Si IV column density of M31’s CGM should be lower than $\sim 10^{13.1}$ cm$^{-2}$ at M33’s position, as inferred from other CGM studies (e.g., Werk et al. 2013). Our strong detection of the non-disk Si IV toward M33 suggests that the M31 origin is highly unlikely. In addition, the fact that our Si IV lines clearly follow the rotation of the M33 disk as modeled in Section 5 also shows their association with M33.
To conclude, we find that the non-disk warm and cool absorption lines we have observed are unlikely to be associated with MW’s ISM, MW HVCs, the Magellanic Stream, or the M31 halo. In the next section, we perform kinematic modeling and study whether the ions could be related to the M33 disk–halo interface or a gas cloud in the M33 halo.

5. KINEMATIC MODELING

In this section, we model the kinematics of the accreting flows onto M33 as observed in warm and cool ions. Since the redshifted, non-disk components are consistently found in Si IV, they provide a complete picture of the flows across the disk and we hereafter focus our analysis on Si IV lines. We consider two scenarios: (1) an accreting layer on top of M33’s gas disk, representing the disk–halo interface, and (2) a cloud in the halo of M33 that intercepts all of our sightlines. Hereafter, we refer to these two models as the “Accreting Layer” model and the “M33 Halo Cloud” model.

5.1. The Accreting Layer Model

The Accreting Layer model is a layer of warm ionized gas at the disk–halo interface of M33 moving toward the disk. It starts with an H I tilted-ring model (Corbelli & Schneider 1997), simulating the H I disk of M33 as a set of concentric tilted rings, with each ring gradually differing in inclination, position angle, and rotation velocity. The model recovers the velocity field of the H I disk. We then lift the whole set of tilted rings to some height above the disk to represent the accreting layer.

Using polar coordinates that originate from the disk center, the line-of-sight velocity \( v(r, \theta) \) of a parcel of ionized gas at position \((r, \theta)\) in the accreting layer can be written as

\[
v(r, \theta) = v_r(r) \cos \theta \sin \phi(r) + v_{\text{acc}} \cos \phi(r)
\]

\[
v(r) = (v_c + v_{\text{lag}} z_{\text{acc}} \tanh(r/\Delta_r))
\]

where \(v_c\) is the rotation velocity of the gas disk at infinity, \(\Delta_r\) is the difference of the rotational velocity between adjacent tilted rings, and \(\phi(r)\) is the inclination of each tilted ring. We adopt \(\phi(r) \approx \phi_{\text{M33}} = 56^\circ\), since \(\phi(r)\) varies very little within the star-forming disk. We refer the reader to the work by Corbelli & Schneider (1997) for a detailed discussion of each of these parameters.

The Accreting Layer model differs from the tilted-ring model in that it includes three additional parameters, \(v_{\text{acc}}\), \(z_{\text{acc}}\), and \(v_{\text{lag}}\). \(v_{\text{acc}}\) is the inflow velocity of the accreting layer perpendicular to the gas disk. We do not model \(v_{\text{acc}}\) faster than \(\sim 180\) km s\(^{-1}\) as beyond this velocity the absorbers would be obscured by absorption from MW’s ISM. Note that we use a positive sign for the accretion velocities in our modeling. \(z_{\text{acc}}\) is the height of the layer above the disk. \(v_{\text{lag}}\) is the drop-off of the rotation velocity above the disk plane (halo lagging). Here, we model the accreting layer with \(v_{\text{lag}} = 0\) km s\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-1}\) (non-halo-lagging) and with \(v_{\text{lag}} = -15\) km s\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-1}\) (halo-lagging), which is a typical value found from observations of the MW and external edge-on galaxies, as well as from numerical simulations (Levine et al. 2008; Marasco & Fraternali 2011; Marasco et al. 2012).

The model predicts the line-of-sight velocity \(v_{l,i}\) of the layer at the position of each sightline with \(i\) representing the sightline.
ID 1−7. We compare $v_{\text{fit}}$ with the observed centroid velocity $v_{\text{obs}}$ of the non-disk SiIV components along each sightline, and use $\chi^2$ minimization to find the best-fit parameters ($v_{\text{acc}}$, $z_{\text{acc}}$, $v_{\text{lag}}$). The $\chi^2$ is computed as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M=7} \frac{(v_{\text{fit}} - v_{\text{obs}})^2}{\sigma_{\text{fit}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{d}i}^2}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{fit}} = 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is the COS velocity calibration uncertainty, and $\sigma_{\text{d}i}$ is the uncertainty of the velocity centroid from the Voigt-profile fitting.\(^\text{13}\) We find that for the non-halo-lagging case ($v_{\text{lag}} = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$), the minimum $\chi^2$ is reached when $v_{\text{acc}} = 110 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5 \text{ kpc}$; its reduced $\chi^2$ value is $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.3$, indicating a good fit. The halo-lagging case ($v_{\text{lag}} = -15 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$) finds similar results, suggesting our models are not sensitive to velocity drop-off with $z$-height at the disk–halo interface of M33. Hereafter we only discuss the non-halo-lagging case.

In Figure 6, we show the performance of the best-fit model that has $v_{\text{acc}} = 110 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5 \text{ kpc}$. The figure visualizes the model-predicted velocity $v_{\text{fit}}$, of each sightline in comparison with the respective Voigt-profile-fitted non-disk component of Si IV. The model shows a good match with the observed spectra, except that along S6 the model favors the lower velocity component and along S5 the model indicates an absorption component with velocity $\sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ higher than that of the observed.

The top panel in Figure 7 shows the distribution of $\chi^2$ as a function of $v_{\text{acc}}$ and $z_{\text{acc}}$ for the non-halo-lagging case. Contours indicate the confidence limits ($P = 0.68/0.95/0.99$) for the best-fit model with $v_{\text{acc}} = 110 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5 \text{ kpc}$. The probability $P$ that the minimum $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ has a certain increment $\delta_{\chi}$ can be expressed as

$$\text{Probability}(\chi^2_{\text{red}} - \chi^2_{\text{red,min}} \leq \delta) \equiv P.$$ 

At confidence limits of $P = 0.68$ (1σ), 0.95 (2σ), and 0.99 (3σ), the increment $\delta$ is 2.30, 4.61, and 9.21 for a model with two parameters (Avni 1976). The top panel in Figure 7 shows that at 1σ confidence level, the best-fit parameters are $v_{\text{acc}} = 110^{+15}_{-10} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \text{ kpc}$. We use the Leave-One-Out Cross Validation (LOOCV) to evaluate the robustness of our model fitting. The method is performed by training the model parameters ($v_{\text{acc}}$, $z_{\text{acc}}$) using all the sightlines except for one that will be treated as a validation set. When the best-fit parameters are found, a prediction is made for the sightline in the validation set; a good set of parameters will predict a velocity similar to the observed one of the validating sightline. In general, this method tests whether the best-fit parameters are sensitive to certain sightline(s). We perform LOOCV seven times, each with six sightlines in the training set and the remaining one in the validation set. Every time a $\chi^2_{\text{red,min}}$ value is found at a certain ($v_{\text{acc}}$, $z_{\text{acc}}$), we check whether these parameters predict a velocity that matches the observed velocity of the validating sightline.

The result of LOOCV is shown in panels 2−8 of Figure 7. It is shown that when S1/S2/S3/S4/S6/S7 are individually used as the validation set, the model prediction and its $\chi^2$ distribution are very similar to the result ran with all the sightlines (the top panel), and for each experiment the predicted velocity and the observed of the validation sightline show a good match. However, when adopting S5 as the validation set (panel 5), the $\chi^2$ distribution changes dramatically. It predicts two possible minimum $\chi^2$ values: one is similar to that in the other panels while the other indicates some fast-moving medium at higher $z$-height. We find that the former can reproduce the centroid velocity as observed in S5, while the latter one fails. This indicates that S5, and its clear non-detection of Si IV above $v_d \sim 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, is critical for our model fitting in distinguishing different scenarios, and it justifies that the original best-fit parameters are robust.

To conclude, we find that the observed centroid velocities of the non-disk Si IV components can be well reproduced by assuming an accreting layer at the disk–halo interface of M33. The Accreting Layer model finds the best-fit parameters at $v_{\text{acc}} = 110^{+15}_{-20} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \text{ kpc}$.

5.2. The M33 Halo Cloud Model

Alternatively, we consider that a halo cloud may exist in M33 and cause the non-disk absorption features. We assume the cloud is large enough to cover all of the sightlines and that there is no velocity variation across the cloud. If the cloud is at a distance of 10 kpc from the M33 disk, its size should be $\sim 7.5$ kpc; if it is 100 kpc away in M33’s halo, its size reduces to $\sim 6.5$ kpc.

We vary the velocity of the halo cloud toward M33 ($v_{\text{hc}}$) and calculate the model-predicted absorption velocity at the position of each sightline. Since the cloud is far from the M33 disk, its velocity is not influenced by the disk rotation; therefore, the potential absorption lines along different sightlines created by this halo cloud should have the same velocities in the LSR frame. We derive the $\chi^2$ value between the predicted and the observed velocities using Equation (3) and study the overall $\chi^2$ distribution. The best-fit model is found at $v_{\text{hc}} = 45^{+5}_{-10} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with a minimum $\chi^2$ of 27.07 and the corresponding $\chi^2_{\text{red,min}}$ of 5.41. This indicates that an M33 halo cloud with a uniform velocity does not yield a good fit to the non-disk Si IV component absorption lines. This is because the velocities of our detected non-disk Si IV components consistently indicate the influences of the M33 rotation, a different, disk-wide velocity pattern that an M33 cloud in the distant halo with only uniform velocity would not be able to reproduce.

With our limited number of sightlines, we are unable to place further constraints on whether a non-uniform cloud would cause the observed absorption lines. Different velocity fields across the cloud surface and potentially arbitrary turbulent velocities may cause the variation of centroid velocity seen in the non-disk Si IV components. In addition, future models that incorporate the clumpiness of the halo cloud would be helpful since density variation has been intentionally excluded in our kinematic modeling.

6. Ionization Condition and Gas-Phase Abundances

In this section, we investigate the ionization sources and the gas metallicity of the accreting flow. Specifically, we study the properties of the non-disk Si IV components since they are consistently found among all the sightlines and their accreting
The non-disk Si IV components

Figure 8. Si IV spectra from HST/COS (top) compared to the O VI lines observed by FUSE (bottom). The absorption lines from M33’s ISM with $v_d = 0$ km s$^{-1}$ are shown by dashed lines, while those from MW’s ISM with $v_{LSR} = 0$ km s$^{-1}$ are indicated by dotted lines. The O VI spectra have been binned by five pixels to increase the S/N. The Si IV spectra and the fitted Voigt profiles are the same as those in Figure 3, but binned by five pixels here to keep the consistency with the FUSE O VI spectra. The blue patch in each panel highlights the non-disk Si IV components.

Figure 9. Observed $\log N_b$ correlation of the non-disk (disk–halo) components of Si IV. The colored curves show four CIE models derived using Equation (5) assuming a metallicity of $Z = 0.1 Z_\odot$ and at $\log T = 4.6$ K (blue dotted), 4.8 K (red solid), 5.2 K (green dashed–dotted), and 5.4 K (yellow dashed).

velocities are well reproduced by the Accreting Layer model. In Section 6.1 we assess the role of shocks and collisional ionization in creating the non-disk Si IV components. In Sections 6.2 and 6.3 we put constraints on the metallicity of the non-disk gas at the disk–halo interface and the ISM of M33, respectively. This helps to determine whether the disk–halo gas has been metal-enriched.

6.1. The Ionization Mechanism of Si IV

The accreting layer discussed in the previous section reproduces well the observed velocities of the non-disk Si IV components. In this model, shocks could be induced as the disk–halo gas accretes at a velocity of $v_{acc} = 110$ km s$^{-1}$ onto the disk, and Allen et al. (2008) showed that slow radiative shocks moving at $v_s \gtrsim 170$ km s$^{-1}$ would produce collisionally ionized gas behind the shocks (see also Dopita & Sutherland 1996). This is of particular interest as we find that the Si IV absorption-line profiles are similar to those of O VI despite the difference in aperture size of HST/COS and FUSE data (see Figure 8). The similarity between the profiles may suggest some physical connection. Since Si IV requires 34 eV to be produced while O VI needs 114 eV, it is unlikely both ions are created under the same ionization conditions; however, it is worth considering whether these two ions are created by collisional ionization behind shocked gas as the accreting layer plummets toward the disk.

In their models, Allen et al. (2008) predicted ion column densities as a function of shock velocity, gas metallicity, gas density, and magnetic field strength. Their models show that for $v_s \lesssim 170$ km s$^{-1}$, the O VI column density is $\lesssim 10^{14.0}$ cm$^{-2}$ while the Si IV column density is $\lesssim 10^{13.30}$ cm$^{-2}$. As a comparison, we calculate the Si IV and O VI column densities of the non-disk gas, which are highlighted in blue in Figure 8. For Si IV, the column densities can be found in Table 2; for O VI, the column densities are integrated over the highlighted velocity ranges using the AOD method$^{14}$ (see Appendix A; Savage & Sembach 1996). The mean values are $\langle N_{\text{Si IV}} \rangle = 10^{13.24}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\langle N_{\text{O VI}} \rangle = 10^{14.16}$ cm$^{-2}$, both larger than those predicted by shock models, suggesting that shocks are not the dominant mechanism in creating these two ions.

From a broader point of view, we investigate whether general collisional ionization processes could be the dominant producer of Si IV at the disk–halo interface. It has been suggested that if an ion is collisionally ionized in radiatively cooling flows, its total column density is proportional to the Doppler width $b$ of the corresponding absorption lines (e.g., Heckman et al. 2002; Lehner et al. 2011). Following Heckman et al. (2002) and Lehner et al. (2011), the column density of an ion $X_i$ in a cooling flow is $N_{X_i} = v_{cool} n_{cool} T_{cool}^{1/2}$, where $v_{cool}$ is the velocity, $T_{cool}$ the cooling time, and $n_{cool}$ the number density. Assuming the ideal gas law and introducing the cooling function $\Lambda$ to solve for $v_{cool}$ and $n_{cool}$, one would find $N_{X_i} = 4.34 \times (3/2 + s) k_B T_{cool} Z_i N_e / \Lambda$, where $s$ equals 0 (1) for isochoric (isobaric) cooling, and $f_e$ is the gas fraction of the corresponding ion and Z the metallicity. Heckman et al. (2002)

$^{14}$ The quality of the FUSE spectra does not allow for a reliable Voigt-profile decomposition of the O VI line profiles.
suggested that $v_{\text{cool}}$ could be identified as the non-thermal broadening $b_{\text{nth}}$ such that the Doppler width is $b^2 = b_{\text{nth}}^2 + b_{\text{th}}^2 = 2k_b T/A m_p + v_{\text{cool}}^2$, where $A$ is the mass number and $m_p$ the proton mass. Combining the above equations to solve for $N_X$ and $b$, an analytical relation can be laid out as

$$N_X = 4.34 \times (3/2 + s) k_b T \sqrt{b^2 - \frac{2k_b T Z f_i}{A m_p} \Lambda} \quad (5)$$

To test whether the non-disk Si IV can be produced under collisional ionization, we compare the observed log $N_{\text{SiIV}}$ and $b$ with the theoretical relation from Equation (5) in Figure 9. The $\Lambda$ and $f_i$ values are from Gnat & Sternek (2007) assuming collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) and $Z = 0.1 Z_{\odot}$, which is within the metallicity range we derive in the following section, is adopted. Figure 9 shows that in both cases, log $N_{\text{SiIV}}$ increases as $b$ becomes larger. For the CIE models, Si IV is mostly produced when log $T$ is 4.8 K, the temperature at which the Si IV collisional ionization fraction reaches its maximum (Gnat & Sternek 2007). However, even the highest production of Si IV from CIE modeling is still ~0.5 dex less than the vast majority of the observed data points.

Our investigations indicate that shocks and collisional ionization under CIE are unlikely to be the dominant mechanisms of producing Si IV at the disk–halo interface of M33. Photoionization is necessary to explain the large column density of Si IV. We proceed in the following section to discuss the role of photoionization in producing the observed Si IV. Note that non-equilibrium collisional ionization processes, such as turbulent mixing layers (Slavin et al. 1993; Kwak et al. 2011) and conductive interfaces (Borkowski et al. 1990; Gnat et al. 2010), could contribute in producing Si IV, although current data is unable to distinguish among these scenarios.

### 6.2. Photoionization Modeling and the Metallicity of the Disk–Halo Interface

In this section, we consider the Si IV at the disk–halo interface being created by radiation from M33’s starlight and extragalactic UV background (EUVB). We run two photoionization models for the M33 disk–halo interface using CLOUDY (v13.03; Ferland et al. 1998, 2013), which is a radiative transfer code assuming the gas is in a plane-parallel slab with uniform density and in thermal equilibrium. We refer to the first model as “pureEUVB,” in which the gas is photoionized only by the assumed EUVB (Haardt & Madau 2001). It has an ionizing photon flux of $\Phi_\gamma = 1.52 \times 10^5 s^{-1} cm^{-2}$ (Haardt & Madau 2001), representing the minimum incident radiation field received by the disk–halo interface.\(^{15}\)

The second model is called the “EUVB+star” model, with ionizing flux from both EUVB and M33’s starlight (SFR = 0.5 $M_\odot$ yr\(^{-1}\)). We assume a photon escape fraction of 10% and a gas slab distance of 5 kpc. The model has $\Phi_\gamma = 7.86 \times 10^5 s^{-1} cm^{-2}$, which is a combination of the EUVB (Haardt & Madau 2001) and the stellar radiation using an $L_*$ galaxy’s spectral energy distribution (SED) from Starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999) as in Werk et al. (2014). In this EUVB+star framework, the extent to which the Galaxy’s SED contributes to the photoionization rate depends on the escape fraction of ionizing photons $f_{\text{esc}}$, the SFR of the Galaxy, and the distance of the slab from the Galaxy. The integrated flux from the EUVB+star model thus scales as ($f_{\text{esc}} \times \text{SFR}$)/$d^2$. Given the uncertainties in estimating $f_{\text{esc}}$ for M33 (Hoopes & Walterbos 2000), the SFR (Engargiola et al. 2003; Gratier et al. 2010), and the height of the accreting layer (Section 5.1), the EUVB+star model provides a rough but reasonable evaluation of the ionization condition of the disk–halo interface of M33.

The models require an input of $N_{\text{HI}}$ as a stopping condition. For the disk–halo gas, we obtain $N_{\text{HI}}$ values by fitting Gaussian components to the AGES H I 21 cm spectra within the integrated velocity ranges as indicated by the non-disk Si IV components. For each sightline, we show the non-disk Si IV and the corresponding H I velocity ranges in blue in Figure 3. S6 shows the minimum contamination from the main disk emission while the others all have substantially large disk H I wings entering the disk–halo velocity ranges. Given the importance of the H I value, we only apply our pureEUVB and EUVB+star models to S6. The integrated non-disk H I column density along S6 is $N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{20.90}$ cm\(^{-2}\). This value may have uncertainties due to (1) the potential unresolved gas moving at similar velocities from behind star S6, and (2) H I substructures within the beam of the radio observations (Wakker et al. 2001; Tumlinson et al. 2002; Welty et al. 2012). We repeat our CLOUDY modeling on a set of varying input log $N_{\text{HI}}$ values from 17.0 to 21.0 cm\(^{-2}\) (in step of 1.0 dex), and find that our derived [Si/H] (described below) values do not vary significantly for a range of log $N_{\text{HI}}$ from 18.0 to 21.0 cm\(^{-2}\). Therefore, the uncertainties related to $N_{\text{HI}}$ do not affect our assessment of gas metallicity at the disk–halo interface of M33.

The models predict Si IV column densities on $f_{\text{SiIV}} - \log U - [X/H]$ grids, where $f_{\text{SiIV}}$ is the gas fraction of Si IV, log $U$ the logarithm of the dimensionless ionization parameter $U$, and $[X/H]$ the logarithm of the metallicity $Z$. $[X/H]$ is also called the gas-phase abundance, which is normalized to a solar value: $[X/H] = \log (N_X/N_H) - \log (N_X/H_\odot)$. For silicon, we adopt $[Si/H]_{\odot} = 10^{-4.49 \pm 0.04}$ from Asplund et al. (2005), which is

\(^{15}\) But see Kolfenbeek et al. (2014), Shull et al. (2015), and Emmerick et al. (2015) for discussions of the discrepancy in photon production between the Haardt & Madau (2001) model and the Haardt & Madau (2012) model.
Table 5
Metallicity of M33’s ISM from the Absorption Line

| ID | [S II]/H \(^a\) | [P II]/H \(^b\) | [Fe II]/H | [Fe II]/S II \(^b\) | [P II]/S II \(^b\) |
|----|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| S1 | −1.0           | −0.8           | −1.9     | −0.9 ± 0.2     | 0.1 ± 0.4     |
| S2 | −1.3           | −1.1           | −2.2     | −0.9 ± 0.2     | 0.1 ± 0.2     |
| S3 | −1.0           | −0.9           | −2.0     | −1.0 ± 0.1     | 0.1 ± 0.1     |
| S4 | −1.4           | −1.1           | −2.6     | −1.2 ± 0.1     | 0.3 ± 0.1     |
| S5 | −1.2           | −1.2           | −2.2     | −1.0 ± 0.1     | 0.0 ± 0.1     |
| S6 | −1.2           | < −1.4         | −2.1     | −0.9 ± 0.2     | < −0.1        |
| S7 | −1.5           | −1.3           | −2.5     | −1.0 ± 0.1     | 0.2 ± 0.1     |

Notes.

\(^a\) Gas-phase abundance. \([X/H] = \log(N_X/N_H)−(X/H)_0\), where \(X\) represents species S II, P II, and Fe II, respectively.

\(^b\) For the relative abundance ratio, defined as \([X/S] = \log(N_X/N_S)−(X/S)_0\), where \(X\) represents Fe II and P II, respectively.

the abundance reference of CLOUDY. The log \(U\) and \(f_{\text{Si IV}}\) parameters of the disk–halo interface are solved by matching the predicted log \(N_{\text{Si IV}}\) with the observed one of S6, log \(N_{\text{Si IV}}\)\(^{16}\) = (13.50 ± 0.09 cm\(^{-2}\)). We apply the gas fractions \(f_{\text{Si IV}}\) and \(f_{\text{H I}}\) to the observed log \(N_{\text{Si IV}}\) and log \(N_{\text{H I}}\) values to make ionization correction and calculate the metallicity \([SII]/[H I]\).

Table 4 shows the CLOUDY modeling results. The EUVB +star model predicts a higher log \(U\) than the pureEUVB by \(\sim 0.4\) dex. The hydrogen volume density \(n_{\text{H I}}\) of the EUVB +star model is 0.7–1.7 cm\(^{-3}\), which is typical of H II gas in the disk, while \(n_{\text{H I}}\) = 0.003–0.01 cm\(^{-3}\) from the pureEUVB model represents typical values of diffuse coronal gas (Draine 2011).

Both models show small values of \(f_{\text{H I}}\), indicating that the hydrogen at the disk–halo interface is mostly ionized. The EUVB +star model shows \([SII] = [−1.08, 0.04]\) and the pureEUVB model finds a similar range. Although the EUVB +star model has a much higher photon flux than the pureEUVB field, their SED slopes between the H I ionization potential (1 Ryd) and \(S\) IV’s (2.5 Ryd) are similar (see Figure 13 in Werk et al. 2014). This causes similar values of \(f_{\text{H I}}/f_{\text{Si IV}}\) and thus similar \([SII]/[H I]\) values despite the different \(f_{\text{H I}}\) and \(f_{\text{Si IV}}\) given by each model at a particular log \(U\).

The \([SII]/[H I]\) value we derive for the disk–halo interface of M33 is similar to that found by Lebouteiller et al. (2006) for the H II region NGC 604 (i.e., S5) using S II \(\lambda 1020.7\). Their value is \([SII]/[X] = [−1.2, \geq −0.2]\). Since they use S II \(\lambda 1020.7\) with line centering \(v_{\text{LSR}} = −245.4\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Lebouteiller et al. 2006), consistent with the systemic velocity of the gas disk at the position of S5 \(v_{\text{LSR}} = −240.3\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Table 1), their measurement represents a \([SII]/[H I]\) value for M33’s ISM. This indicates that the disk–halo interface of M33 may have a metallicity similar to that of its ISM. In the next section, we calculate the metallicity of M33’s ISM using the S II abundance ratio. It should be noted that studies on MW’s ISM show that Si is normally depleted by at least a factor of five in the ISM, and the depletion level may change as varying gas phases (Savage & Sembach 1996). Overall, it is clear that M33’s disk–halo interface has been previously enriched since its Si IV column density \((N_{\text{Si IV}}) = 10^{13.24}\) cm\(^{-2}\) is comparable to both the disk’s and the disk–halo interface gas of the MW that is known to be enriched \((N_{\text{Si IV}}) = 10^{13.17}\) cm\(^{-2}\); Shull et al. 2009).

6.3. The Metallicity of M33’s ISM

To assess the relative enrichment level of the disk–halo interface gas, we can compare the disk–halo metallicity with that of the ISM. Since the current metallicity measurements of M33’s ISM are mostly based on emission-line observations (e.g., Crockett et al. 2006; Magrini et al. 2007b; Rubin et al. 2008), here we decide to derive the ISM abundance from our absorption-line data to avoid any systematic difference. We do not perform CLOUDY modeling for the ISM, which would involve additional assumptions on SFR and incident radiation fields; instead, we use the abundance ratio [S II]/[H I] as S is not readily depleted onto interstellar dust (Savage & Sembach 1996) and the multiple transitions we detect are not saturated in our data.

The total hydrogen column \(N_{\text{H I}}\) in front of each star is obtained from the color excess \(E(B−V)\) as we have calculated in Section 2.5. Here we use the LMC-like log \(N_{\text{H I}}\) values since M33 and LMC are more similar in terms of galaxy mass and metal content than the MW or SMC (Crockett et al. 2006; D’Onghia & Fox 2015). The reader should interpret the provided values with caution given the current limited knowledge of the actual metal content of M33’s ISM. If M33 is MW-(SMC)-like, the provided abundance ratios in Table 5 would be higher (lower) by \(\sim 0.5\) (0.2) dex, while the relative abundance ratios [Fe II]/S II and [P II]/S II would remain the same. Since the \(N_{\text{H I}}\) value includes all the neutral hydrogen in front of a given star, we use the total ion column density of those lines that were fit with multiple kinematic components. This may slightly increase the derived ISM metallicity if some components are non-disk; however, this effect should be minor as ISM components usually dominate the absorption lines.

The [S II]/[H I] and [P II]/[H I] values in Table 5 show that the metallicity of M33’s ISM is \(\sim 0.1\) solar if M33 is LMC-like. This is consistent with the derived metallicity range that we have found at the disk–halo interface with \([SII]/[H I] = [−1.08, 0.04]\), indicating that the disk–halo interface of M33 is similarly metal-enriched like the ISM. [Fe II]/H shows a mean value \(\sim 1.0\) dex lower than the other two, suggesting Fe is strongly depleted in M33’s ISM. We additionally calculate the [P II]/[S II] and [Fe II]/[S II] abundance ratios. These values do not require the input of \(N_{\text{H I}}\), and thus provide unbiased measurements of the abundance pattern of M33’s ISM. Fe again shows a relative depletion with respect to S.

We note that our S and P metallicity is consistently lower than those values based on emission-line measurements. For example, Rubin et al. (2008) found [S/H] \(\sim −0.5\) dex and Crockett et al. (2006) indicated [O/H] \(\sim −0.4\) dex, both based on emission-line observations of H II regions in M33. This may reflect a systematic difference between emission-line- and absorption-line-based metallicity measurements. We do not attempt to analyze M33’s ISM further as the focus of this work is the disk–halo gas. However, we tabulate the data in Table 5 for researchers who are interested in the metal content of M33’s ISM.
7. DISCUSSION

Using UV-bright M33 stars as background targets, we detect an unambiguous accreting ionized gas flow toward the disk of M33. The ionized gas flow is multi-phase, as it is consistently seen in the warm ion Si IV and it is also found in the cool ions (Fe II, S II, and P II) along some sightlines. It is also possibly present in the saturated Si III, S III, and C II lines. Section 5 shows that the inflow can be well explained by an accreting layer with an inflow velocity of $10^{3.7} \pm 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and at a $z$-height of $1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ kpc}$ from the galactic plane. In Section 6, we find that the disk–halo interface gas of M33 is metal-enriched. An estimate of the metallicity of this accreting layer is $[\text{Si}/\text{H}] = [−1.08, 0.04]$, consistent with the metallicity of M33’s ISM measured via similar absorption-line methods. In this section, we calculate the mass and the accretion rate of the accreting layer and discuss its origin(s). We discuss the potential existence of outflows from M33 in the final part of this section.

7.1. Accretion Mass and Gas Accretion Rate

The kinematic modeling in Section 5 predicts an accreting layer with an inflow velocity of $v_{\text{acc}} = 110 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at a height of $z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5 \text{ kpc}$. Assuming a cylindrical geometry for the accreting layer with height $R_{\text{acc}}$, we adopt $N_{\text{Si IV}}$ as the mass density. Since large uncertainties are associated with the estimation of $N_{\text{H}}$ for the disk–halo gas, here we substitute $N_{\text{H}}$ with the measured $N_{\text{Si IV}}$, $N_{\text{H}} = N_{\text{Si IV}} (\text{Si IV})^{-1} Z^{-1} f_{\text{Si IV}}$. We adopt $N_{\text{Si IV}} = 10^{13.2} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which is the mean Si IV column density of the disk–halo gas, $Z \sim 0.2 Z_{\odot}$, which is within the metallicity derived in Section 6. Note that our calculation is conservative and the actual accretion mass and the accretion rate could be higher if we use a smaller gas fraction, $f_{\text{Si IV}}$. If a symmetric accreting layer exists on the other side of the disk, the total mass of accreting material will double from the value above to $\sim 7.8 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$.

The closest observed analogs to this accreting layer are the denser H I IVCs ($d \leq 3−4 \text{ kpc}$; Albert & Danly 2004) and the H II Reynolds layer ($1−2 \text{ kpc}$; Reynolds 1993; Haffner et al. 2003) in the MW, or the H I extraplanar gas (e.g., Fraternali et al. 2002; Oosterloo et al. 2007; Gentile et al. 2013) and diffuse ionized gas (e.g., Collins et al. 2000; Heald et al. 2006b) in external galaxies. The M33 accreting layer is much less massive than these (at least a factor of 10 less than the H I extraplanar gas in other galaxies; e.g., NGC 2403; Fraternali et al. 2002) and is clearly infalling, while these observed analogs are only known to be lagging in rotation. It is interesting that our Accreting Layer model (Section 5.1) is not sensitive to halo lagging since the lagging rotational velocity is found along the vertical direction out to a few kiloparsecs in both H I and H II in the MW and external galaxies (e.g., Heald et al. 2006a; Levine et al. 2008). Future observations that are capable of observing more direct analogs to our accreting layer would be useful, in particular, observations that can kinematically resolve the extraplanar gas and identify inflow motions.

We derive the accretion rate for the accreting layer on the front side of the disk:

$$M = M_{\text{acc}} v_{\text{acc}} / z_{\text{acc}}$$

$$\approx 2.9 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \left( \frac{M_{\text{acc}}}{3.9 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}} \right) \times \left( \frac{v_{\text{acc}}}{110 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{z_{\text{acc}}}{1.5 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{-1}.$$  (7)

Again, if there is a symmetric layer on the other side of the disk, the total accretion rate will be $\sim 5.8 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This accretion rate is much higher than the M33’s SFR ($\leq 0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$; Engargiola et al. 2003; Gratier et al. 2010). In the next section, we discuss two potential scenarios that may account for the large accretion rate. We note that the covering fraction of the gas may play a critical role in evaluating the accreting mass and the accretion rate. In our calculation, we assume a 100% coverage since all seven sightlines have detected Si IV inflow. However, since our sightlines are all targeted at star-forming regions, we do not have the comparison with non-star-forming regions in M33’s disk. $M_{\text{acc}}$ and $M$ would be lower or higher if the accreting inflow has lower or higher column density in non-star-forming regions. Future observations using QSO sightlines through non-star-forming regions may help to evaluate the structure of the accreting gas.

7.2. The Origin of the Ionized Gas Inflow

As discussed in Section 6, the disk–halo gas of M33 is metal-enriched. This enrichment could result from some disk-wide gas mixing processes as we find a 100% detection rate of infalling Si IV and our sightlines have sampled the disk rather evenly, including both the very center of the disk and its star-forming outskirts. We propose two scenarios that could account for the detected gas inflows at the disk–halo interface of M33.

First, the accreting layer may be the cooling stage of the galactic fountain model (Shapiro & Field 1976). The model starts with hot gas in the ISM losing pressure support from its surrounding cool medium and streaming upward and radially outward to a height of a few kiloparsecs (Bregman 1980; Houck & Bregman 1990). Then it condenses into cold clouds via non-linear instability (Joung et al. 2012a). Once the cold clouds can no longer feel pressure support from the hot corona, they fall downward and inward due to gravity and centrifugal forces and can dissolve into the warm ionized medium after
travelling several kiloparsecs (Heitsch & Putman 2009; Joung et al. 2012a).

Bregman (1980) ran a 2D hydrodynamic simulation incorporating the galactic fountain scenario to explain the existence of HVCs in the MW halo. He found that if the cold clouds fall ballistically, their vertical highest velocities could reach $-80\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$ and up to $-150\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$. Similar results are found if we use the terminal velocity equation from Benjamin & Danly (1997) to calculate the terminal velocities of free-falling clouds at the disk–halo interface. This is consistent with the high velocity $v_{\text{acc}} = 110\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$ found in our Accreting Layer model.

A number of authors (e.g., Fraternali & Binney 2006, 2008; Marinacci et al. 2011; Marasco et al. 2012) suggest that the cold fountain clouds would seed the condensation of the hot corona and trigger a net inflow of halo gas. The total inflow includes the fountain return and the ambient halo material that contributes 10%–20% of the inflow mass and feeds the star formation. Taking into account the fountain return and the newly accreted halo gas, the total accretion rate should be $\sim 5$–10 times the SFR of the Galaxy. If we apply this fountain model to M33, which has an SFR $\lesssim 0.5\, M_\odot\, \text{yr}^{-1}$, one would expect a total accretion rate of $M \lesssim 2.5$–5.0 $M_\odot\, \text{yr}^{-1}$, consistent with the number we derive in Section 7.1. The galactic fountain model predicts changes of gas phases at different circulation stages; this is likely our case as warm and cool ions are both found with accreting velocities. However, we are unable to fully address whether the accreting flow consistently bears a cool-phase gas as the non-disk components in Fe II, S II, and P II are only detected along a few sightlines and the S II, Si III, and C II lines are saturated.

Alternatively, the accreting gas could be associated with stripped material raining back down that was pulled from the disk of M33 during a close passage with M31. The M31–M33 interaction is hinted by its large warps, diffuse H I features, and extended stellar disk (van der Marel & Guhathakurta 2008; McGinnick et al. 2009; Putman et al. 2009). Heitsch & Putman (2009) showed that if falling from 10 kpc, the maximum velocity a free-falling cloud could reach is $\lesssim 150\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$, which is consistent with the accretion velocity $v_{\text{acc}} = 110\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$ of our fast-accreting layer. In this case, the large accretion rate of $\sim 2.9\, M_\odot\, \text{yr}^{-1}$ would be temporary and result in an increase in star formation in the disk, but may not have long-term influence.

Apart from these two scenarios, there may exist other kinematic processes that have not been accounted for in order to fully understand M33’s accretion. For future work, more sophisticated kinematic models incorporating radial gas flows (e.g., Schmidt et al. 2016) would be helpful to disentangle the degeneracy of different gas motions. Detailed galactic fountain modeling that takes into account the distribution of local star-forming regions in the disk would shed light upon the influence of stellar activities in shaping the disk–halo interface of M33. Observationally, more sightlines using bright stars in the disk and background QSOs through non-star-forming regions will help to address whether there exists a correlation between gas inflows and local star formation.

### 7.3. Outflows from M33

Galactic outflows driven by stellar and/or AGN feedback are ubiquitously observed in star-forming and starburst galaxies both in the local universe and at higher redshifts (e.g., Heckman et al. 2000; Shapley et al. 2003; Lehner et al. 2009; Weiner et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2012; Rubin et al. 2014). Winds have been observed in multiple phases traced by CO emission, Hβ emission, UV and optical absorption lines (e.g., Mg II, Fe II, NaI), and X-ray (e.g., Martin 1999; Walter et al. 2002; Strickland et al. 2004a, 2004b). Galactic outflows are generally found moving at several hundred km s$^{-1}$ and can be seen out to $\sim 1000\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$ (e.g., Weiner et al. 2009; Coil et al. 2011; Rubin et al. 2014). One may expect the presence of such galactic outflows in M33 since it is actively forming stars (e.g., Engargiola et al. 2003; Gratier et al. 2010) and has a relatively high SFR surface density (Heyer et al. 2004; Magrini et al. 2007a) that potentially could drive winds out of the disk (e.g., Rubin et al. 2014). In addition, the metallicity of M33’s ISM is abnormally low in terms of its stellar mass ($\lesssim 6\times 10^9 M_\odot$; Corbelli 2003) according to the mass–metallicity relation (Tremonti et al. 2004), also suggesting the presence of outflows in M33 to efficiently remove metals from its ISM. Accordingly, we now discuss whether the M33 COS data show any evidence for ionized gas outflows along our seven sightlines.

#### 7.3.1. Tentative Detection of Slow Winds

We detect weak blueshifted absorption components ($-40 \lesssim v_d \lesssim 0\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$) in low and/or warm ions along our sightlines (see Figure 3). As shown in Table 2, the mean column densities for these blueshifted components are $N_{\text{Si II}} = 10^{15.17}\, \text{cm}^{-2}$, $N_{\text{Fe II}} = 10^{13.52}\, \text{cm}^{-2}$, and $N_{\text{Fe II}} = 10^{14.26}\, \text{cm}^{-2}$, and $N_{\text{Si IV}} = 10^{13.35}\, \text{cm}^{-2}$, and their mean Doppler widths are $b \sim 25–40\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$. We find that these blueshifted components could be due to the expansion of local H II regions into M33’s ISM, since both their $b$ values and measured velocities are consistent with the large velocity dispersions found in the ionized gas of H II regions ($\sigma \sim 20–30\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$; Kam et al. 2015) and in the H I 21 cm emission across the M33 disk ($\sigma \sim 18.5\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$; Putman et al. 2009). In particular, the giant H II region NGC 604 (i.e., S5) has been found to be undergoing multiple blowouts (Tenorio-Tagle et al. 2000), consistent with the blueshifted components we detect for S5. We note that these blueshifted components have velocities that are close to our CalCOS spectral uncertainty (14–19 km s$^{-1}$); thus, they only tentatively exhibit evidence for slow winds. In general, these slow-velocity features indicate gas activities that are closely related to M33’s ISM.

#### 7.3.2. Potential Fast Outflows at $v_\text{d} \lesssim -100\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$?

As indicated in Section 3, we find strong absorption signatures in C II, Si II, and Si III along all our available sightlines at negative high velocities of $-200 \lesssim v_d \lesssim -100\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}$ (see Figure 10); however, such absorption is not found in Si IV, P II, Si II, or Fe II. In this section, we discuss the possible origins for these negative high-velocity C II, Si II, and Si III absorbers, while in the following section, Section 7.3.3, we address the non-detection of relevant absorption in Si IV, P II, Si II, or Fe II.

The C II, Si II, and Si III absorbers could potentially be fast outflows that have originated from the disk of M33, as their velocities are broadly consistent with those measured in extragalactic down-the-barrel studies (e.g., Rubin et al. 2014). If such outflows indeed exist in M33, they can only be driven by stellar winds or supernova explosions (such as those in LMC; Lehner et al. 2009) as M33 does not have a central massive black hole.
hole (Merritt et al. 2001). This would be an unusual detection however, as these absorbers generally have narrow line widths (FWHM < 100 km s\(^{-1}\)) compared to the ionized galactic outflows with FWHM values of \(\sim 200-500\) km s\(^{-1}\) found in star-forming regions (e.g., Chisholm et al. 2016).

On the other hand, the C II, Si II, and Si III absorbers may have other origins. First, the absorbers may be part of the northern warp of M33 that folds back over the disk, intercepting our sightlines, given that M33 has a large extended northern H I warp with velocity up to \(v_{LSR} \sim -300\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Rogstad et al. 1976; Corbelli & Schneider 1997; Putman et al. 2009). Second, this low-ionization state absorption may be due to stripped debris from M33 if the Galaxy orbited close to M31 in the past several gigayears (Putman et al. 2009; McConnachie et al. 2009). Third, even though their velocities differ in M33’s \(v_d\) frame, these C II, Si II, and Si III absorbers have nearly constant \(v_{LSR} \sim -350\) km s\(^{-1}\), suggesting that they may come from the ionized extension of a nearby HVC—Wright’s Cloud (Wright 1979; Braun & Thilker 2004; Putman et al. 2009). In a deep H I 21 cm emission map of the cloud (Keenan et al. 2015), the ionized gas absorption appears well-aligned in position space and its velocity matches the observed gradient of the cloud.

In all, we find that the C II, Si II, and Si III absorbers at \(-200 \lesssim v_d \lesssim -100\) km s\(^{-1}\) \((v_{LSR} \sim -350\) km s\(^{-1}\)) could be a mixture of multiple sources given the complex surrounding environments. Their presence certainly helps to provide a more complete picture of ionized gas environment near M33, and thus an intricate analysis on its origin, which is beyond the scope of this work, should be carried out. We will extend our investigation on the origin(s) of these negative high-velocity C II, Si II, and Si III absorbers in a separate paper (Y. Zheng et al. 2017, in preparation).

### 7.3.3. Covering Fraction of the Potential Fast Outflows

If the blueshifted absorption seen in C II, Si II, and Si III has origins other than outflowing gas, then M33 exhibits a lack of fast outflows in low-ionization states. This scenario is also supported by the non-detections of fast outflows in Fe II, a frequently detected ion in down-the-barrel wind studies (e.g., Rubin et al. 2014), as well as in P II, S II, or Si IV. In this section, we discuss the possible reasons for the non-detections in these ions, and use the non-detections to constrain the upper limit of the covering fraction of the potential outflows.

First, we find that the non-detection of outflows among these ions cannot be explained by the potential geometry of the outflows or the inclination of M33’s disk. Generally speaking, outflows having collimated and/or bi-conical geometry are easier to observe in face-on galaxies (e.g., Martin et al. 2012; Rubin et al. 2014); thus, the inclination of M33 of 56° could preclude the detection of outflows in our study. However, we observed seven sightlines that evenly sampled different areas of the disk, three of them within 2 kpc from the galactic center. If such collimated outflows have a large covering fraction (as discussed below), we would have been able to detect them even though M33 is moderately inclined.

Second, the non-detection is unlikely due to the data’s sensitivity limit. Our \(HST/COS\) spectra are sensitive to Fe II column density above \(10^{13.5}\) cm\(^{-2}\) while the typical \(N_{Fe\ II}\) value found for the galactic outflows in star-forming galaxies at redshift \(\sim 0.5\) is \(\gtrsim 10^{14.8}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Rubin et al. 2014). The fast outflows in M33 could potentially be in a hotter phase than we can detect as the disk could blow out hot and diffuse gas as pictured in the galactic fountain model (see Section 7.2). However, this speculation is inconsistent with most observations that commonly find outflows in colder phases traced by cool ions such as Fe II (e.g., Chen et al. 2010; Coil et al. 2011; Rubin et al. 2014).

Third, the small aperture size of our COS observations (\(\sim 10\) pc) would not cause the non-detection if the outflows have covering fraction \(C_f\) of 1.0 over the disk. However, it is possible that the outflows from M33 have a substantially low covering fraction \(C_f\), thus eluding our detections. With the seven non-detections in our COS data, we can assess the upper limit to \(C_f\). In this framework, the likelihood of a non-detection along a given sightline is \(1 - C_f\). Assuming that the seven non-detection events are independent, which is reasonable given the typical projected separation between sightlines of \(\sim 2\) kpc, the probability of seven non-detections is then \((1 - C_f)^7\). To constrain the upper limit to the covering fraction at the 95% confidence level, we then expect \((1 - C_f)^7 \geq (1-0.95)\). Thus, we find that the covering fraction of outflows from M33 with \(N_{Fe\ II} > 10^{13.5}\) cm\(^{-2}\) is constrained to be \(C_f \leq 0.35\) at 95% confidence. This suggests that the covering fraction of outflows (in S II, S II, P II, and Si IV) from M33 have to be less than 35% if they indeed exist.

The derived covering fraction for M33’s outflows lies at the low-end \(C_f\) ranges (0.24–0.98) of the ubiquitous outflows found in star-forming galaxies at \(z \sim 0.5\) (Rubin et al. 2014). In their sample, the galaxies with winds exhibit a mean covering fraction of \(C_f = 0.59 \pm 0.17\). The mean \(C_f\) would be lower (0.37 ± 0.32) if we include those galaxies that do not have wind detection and assign them \(C_f\) values of 0. Similar results are found if we only consider galaxies with inclination of 50°–60° (M33 has \(i = 56°\)). Therefore, the low covering fraction of the potential outflows from M33 is consistent with observations of outflows from star-forming galaxies based on a larger data sample.

Note that this direct comparison may be complicated by the varying column density limits of Rubin et al. (2014) at different covering fractions. Besides the above statistical argument, it is worth noticing that our sightlines are through major star-forming regions in the disk where one would expect to detect galactic outflows if they were present. We suggest that for future work an increased number of sightlines through the disk at different locations or an increase of aperture size will help to increase the outflow detection probability or solidify that M33 does not host galactic outflows.

### 8. CONCLUSION

We present a UV absorption-line study of the ionized gas inflow at the disk–halo interface of M33. In contrast to common approaches of using background QSOs, we choose a sample of seven UV-bright stars in the disk of M33. This allows us to unambiguously identify gas inflow onto the disk. Our main results are summarized as follows.

We detect consistently redshifted non-disk Si IV absorption along all of our sightlines that extend evenly across the disk of M33 (Section 3). Their centroid velocities \(v_d\) range from
+39 km s\(^{-1}\) to +106 km s\(^{-1}\) with a mean value of +67 km s\(^{-1}\) (or +67/cos 56° ~ +120 km s\(^{-1}\) if corrected for inclination), suggesting that there exists disk-wide, warm ionized gas accreting toward M33. This accreting flow is most likely multi-phase, since similar non-disk components can also be observed in cool ions (Fe II, S II, and P II) among some sightlines. They may also commonly exist in saturated ion lines (C II, Si II, and Si III). The mean column density of the non-disk ionized gas is \(N(\text{Si IV}) = 10^{13.24±0.21} \text{cm}^{-2}\). We find that shocks and collisional ionization under CIE cannot account for the total non-disk Si IV column, and we conclude that the majority of Si IV is produced via photoionization (Section 6.1).

To interpret the consistently redshifted non-disk Si IV absorption components, we construct two kinematic models, the Accreting Layer model and the M33 Halo Cloud model (Section 5). We find the Accreting Layer model provides the best explanation of the observed non-disk Si IV velocities if the layer accretes toward the disk at \(v_{\text{acc}} = 110^{+13}_{-25} \text{km s}^{-1}\) at a height of \(z_{\text{acc}} = 1.5^{+1.0}_{-1.2} \text{kpc}\). The mass of the accreting layer on the near side of the disk is \(3.9 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}\), and the accretion rate is \(2.9 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}\) (Section 7.1). If symmetric accreting flows exist on both sides of the disk, the total accretion mass is \(7.8 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}\), and the accretion rate is \(5.8 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}\).

We find an abundance ratio of [Si/H] = [−1.08, 0.04] for the accreting gas at the disk–halo interface of M33. Although there are uncertainties that make it somewhat unclear whether M33’s disk–halo gas has a higher or lower metallicity than its ISM ([Si II/H] ~ −1.0 dex), its Si IV column density \(10^{13.24±0.21} \text{cm}^{-2}\) is comparable to the M33 disk gas and the enriched disk–halo interface of the MW \(10^{13.17} \text{cm}^{-2}\) (Shull et al. 2009).

We invoke two processes in the discussion of the origin of the metal-enriched, fast-accreting gas at the disk–halo interface of M33. First, we find that a galactic fountain model is able to explain the metal enrichment via gas recycling, the high infall velocity by ballistic motions, and the large accretion rate by bringing back more material from the hot corona. Second, we speculate that the accreting layer could include free-falling material that was previously stripped from the disk of M33 as it orbited close to M31. In this case, the large accretion rate would be temporary. More sophisticated modeling is needed to better understand the gas accretion at the disk–halo interface of M33.

We detect negative high-velocity absorption in C II, Si II, and Si III. Their origin is so far unclear—M33’s fast outflows, M33’s H I warp, or a nearby HVC could all be possible. We defer the detailed analysis of the origins of these ion absorbers to a future paper (Y. Zheng et al. 2017, in preparation). We do not have detection at negative high velocities in Fe II, S II, P II, or Si IV along any of our sightlines. This is unlikely due to geometric effects with the outflows being collimated and biconical, as our sightlines extend across the star-forming disk. We suggest that the covering fraction of the potential galactic outflows has to be less than 35% at a 95% confidence level (at an \(N_{\text{Fe II}} > 10^{13.5} \text{cm}^{-2}\) sensitivity limit) if M33 indeed hosts such fast-moving winds.

Our study of M33 is one of the first to clearly reveal the existence of an ionized gas inflow onto the disk of a galaxy beyond the MW. Our analysis provides a better understanding of gas accretion in the vicinity of a galaxy disk; however, there are several ways we can further our understanding in the future. (1) The sample of sightlines should be enlarged to assess the clumpiness and substructure of the inflowing gas. (2) It would be ideal to have QSO sightlines that do not go through H II regions and/or spiral arms to determine the effects of local star formation activities. (3) With only COS/G130M grating, a critical ion, C IV, which traces collisional processes, is missed. It would be helpful to use the G160M grating to expand the detected line library and form a clearer picture of the ionization state of the gas. (4) Finally, in the future more detailed models that incorporate different kinematic processes would be of great help in interpreting the observed gas motions.
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APPENDIX A

PROPERTIES OF SATURATED IONS

Here we show the properties of ions C II, Si II, and Si III, which have saturated absorption-line profiles. Figure 10 shows...
the systemic velocity of the M33 disk at the position of the corresponding sightline. The dotted line around gas disk of M33 at the position of each sightline. The velocity on the top absorption, while the dashed-dotted line at normalize the CII, SiII, and SiIII spectra of S6. The highlighted gray shades show the velocity ranges we use to calculate the M33-associated ion column density via the AOD method. Note that we do not attempt to into apparent column density as a function of velocity structures, thus we do not attempt to decompose the M33 absorption lines associated with M33. The AOD method only provides lower limits of the ion column densities, which are shown in Table 6.

In addition to the saturated M33 and MW absorption lines, we find a $v_{\text{LSR}} \sim -350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($-200 \leq v_d \leq -100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) absorption feature that is commonly present in C II, Si II, and Si III lines. Please see Section 7.3.2 for the discussion of the potential origins of this feature.

APPENDIX B

CalCOS VERSUS OTHER SPECTRAL CO-ADDITION PIPELINES

As mentioned in Section 2.2, the CalCOS pipeline yields an uncertainty in the wavelength solution of 14–19 km s$^{-1}$. Other spectral calibration and co-addition routines exist to minimize this wavelength uncertainty. To ensure that CalCOS products are reliable for our scientific analysis, we use three other pipelines to process the original raw HST/COS spectra and compare the results with that of CalCOS (version 3.0). The three pipelines are (1) x1d_coadd.pro by Danforth et al. (2010), (2) a co-add code by Wakker et al. (2015), and (3) the PyCOS pipeline by Liang & Chen (2014 and private communication). All the co-added spectra processed by these three methods can be found in Zheng et al. (2016, data set: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.168580).

First we use x1d_coadd.pro (Danforth et al. 2010) to process the spectral co-adding for each of our seven sightlines. Since each sightline has four exposures, the program randomly chooses one exposure as a wavelength reference while the others are cross-correlated with it using strong ISM absorption lines over a 10 Å spectral region. The code assumes a constant wavelength offset within the whole wavelength coverage. The...
Figure 11. Comparison of spectral alignment and co-addition results of S7 between CalCOS (red) and the other three pipelines (black) developed by Wakker et al. (2015; top), Danforth et al. (2010; middle) and C. Liang & H.W. Chen (private communication; bottom), respectively. The panel on the top row shows the H I 21 cm spectrum from the LAB (red; Kalberla et al. 2005) and the GALFA-H I (black; Peek et al. 2011). The velocity on the x-axis is with respect to the rotation velocity of the gas disk at the position of S7. Note that all of the absorption lines are not continuum-normalized.
Table 6
AODM: Saturated Ions

|   | \(v_{\text{min}}\), \(v_{\text{max}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\log N_{\text{C II}}^{a}\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(\log N_{\text{Si II}}^{a}\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(\log N_{\text{Si III}}^{a}\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) |
|---|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| S1 | –100, 100            | >15.13           | >14.98           | >14.11           |
| S2 | –80, 140             | >14.93           | >14.85           | >13.92           |
| S3 | –100, 120            | >15.24           | >14.85           | >14.25           |
| S4 | –80, 100             | >14.93           | >14.85           | >13.42           |
| S5 | –80, 140             | >15.28           | >14.98           | >14.25           |
| S6 | –80, 80 \(^{b}\)     | >14.97           | ...              | ...              |
| S7 | –80, 70              | >14.75           | >14.62           | >13.79           |

Notes.

\(^{a}\) Since the absorption lines are all saturated, these column densities represent the lower limit. C II column density is calculated using C II \(\lambda\lambda 1334\), Si II column density is calculated using Si II \(\lambda\lambda 1304\), which is the weakest line with the least saturation among other Si II lines, and Si III column density is from Si III \(\lambda\lambda 1206\).

\(^{b}\) See Appendix C for the explanation why C II and Si III are omitted along S6.

final flux array is the exposure-weighted average, and the error array is the exposure-weighted inverse variance average. In Figure 11, we compare the co-added lines for S7 using this program with those from CalCOS. Good agreement is reached between the x1d_coadd.pro-processed spectra and CalCOS products. Similar results are found for other sightlines. In the most extreme case, a \(\sim 10\) km s\(^{-1}\) offset is seen in S4.

B.P. Wakker kindly processed the raw spectra of S7 using his co-addition pipeline (Wakker et al. 2015) and provided us with the final co-added product for comparison. His code is similar to x1d_coadd.pro (Danforth et al. 2010) except that it cross-correlates all available strong ISM and IGM lines and applies a relative offset to each individual line while Danforth et al. (2010) assumes a constant shift for all of the lines. The code aligns weakly ionized ISM metal lines with the corresponding MW H I 21 cm emission lines retrieved from the LAB survey (Kalberla et al. 2005). We show the co-added spectra in Figure 11. Good alignment is found between CalCOS spectra and those by Wakker et al. (2015) except that Si IV \(\lambda\lambda 1393\), 1402 do not match perfectly because Si IV \(\lambda\lambda 1393\) may be slightly saturated.

Third, we process the raw HST/COS data using the PyCOS pipeline developed by Liang & Chen (2014 & private communication). PyCOS provides a user-friendly interface with which one could process spectral alignment for individual lines interactively. The performance of line alignment is based on visual judgement and \(\chi^2\) estimates. We use PyCOS to align and co-add the spectra, and also find good agreement between the PyCOS spectrum and the CalCOS one, as is shown in Figure 11.

In all, our investigation shows the consistent result that the CalCOS pipeline provides reliable spectra for our scientific analysis. We have noted in Section 2.3 that such good agreement between CalCOS and the other three pipelines is mainly due to the simple setup of our observations. For each of our sightlines, the observation was completed with four exposures in one single visit and the spectra were taken under the same setting. The background stars are all bright to ensure high S/N. Thus the possibility of spectral misalignment is largely reduced. The CalCOS pipeline is most likely to become problematic in the case of faint QSO observations and that the spectra are taken at different epochs.1314

APPENDIX C
CONTINUUM FITTING AND VOIGT-PROFILE FITTING

Here we show the continuum fitting of each line for each target in Figures 12–15. Most lines have good S/N, thus their continuum fitting and Voigt-profile fitting are straightforward. The \(f\)-scaled profiles in the right panels of Figures 12–15 show that the continuum fitting of most unsaturated lines are consistent, while some lines do show non-matching \(f\)-scaled profiles, which we explain as follows:

1. Along all of the sightlines the \(f\)-scaled profiles of Fe II \(\lambda\lambda 1433\) is stronger than it is supposed to be due to the blending with the Fe II \(\lambda 1142\) from the MW.
2. For S1, the \(f\)-scaled profiles of the Si IV \(\lambda\lambda 1393\), 1402 lines do not match perfectly because Si IV \(\lambda\lambda 1393\) may be slightly saturated.
3. For the triplets S II \(\lambda\lambda 1250\), 1253, 1259, we only use the first two lines for \(f\)-scaled matching since S II \(\lambda 1259\) is severely blended with the \(v_{\text{LSR}}\sim –350\) km s\(^{-1}\) feature from Si II \(\lambda 1260\) as mentioned in Appendix A and Section 7.3.1. We do not include S II \(\lambda 1259\) in our analysis.
4. For sightline S6, we do not perform continuum fitting for C II \(\lambda\lambda 1334\), Si II \(\lambda\lambda 1190\), 1193, and Si III \(\lambda\lambda 1206\). These lines are affected by strong P Cygni profiles due to stellar activities. We show the original spectra of these lines in the bottom panel of Figure 14. The continuum peaks at \(v_{\text{d}}\sim 300\) km s\(^{-1}\) in these lines are likely to be the emission components of the corresponding P Cygni profiles. These emission peaks can be clearly seen in panel 6 of Figure 2.

In Figure 16, we show all the unsaturated and saturated lines that were observed in our program. These includes those triplets and doublets that we do not show in Figures 3 and 10 for better illustration. In the following, we lay out the details of treatment of Voigt-profile fitting to some specific lines that we do not address in the previous sections:

1. On S3 and S4, the M33 Fe II \(\lambda 1143\) is blended with the MW Fe II \(\lambda 1142\), so a nuisance component is added to the Voigt-profile fitting.
2. On S4, the M33 Fe II \(\lambda 1144\) is blended with the MW Fe II \(\lambda 1143\), so a nuisance component is added.
3. On S6, P II \(\lambda 1152\) has no detection, thus we do not apply Voigt-profile fitting to this line.
4. On all the sightlines S II \(\lambda\lambda 1250\) is blended with a \(v_{\text{LSR}}\sim –350\) km s\(^{-1}\) feature from Si II \(\lambda\lambda 1260\), thus we do not include this line in our analysis. For some sightlines when the blending is less severe, we manage to fit Voigt-profile components to this line simultaneously with S II \(\lambda\lambda 1250\), 1253.
Figure 12. Continuum fitting and f-scaled profiles of S1 (top) and S2 (bottom). The first three columns show the continuum fitting of each line, with black representing the original data, red for the fitted continuum, and blue for the error. The right panels show the f-scaled profiles of Fe II, S II, and Si IV, respectively.
Figure 13. Continuum fitting and $f$-scaled profiles of sightline S3 (top) and S4 (bottom). See Figure 12 for layout explanation.
Figure 14. Continuum fitting and f-scaled profiles of sightline S5 (top) and S6 (bottom). See Figure 12 for layout explanation. In S6, C II λ1334, Si II λλ1190, 1193, and Si III λ1206 are not fitted since they are strongly affected by stellar P Cygni profiles. See Appendix C for a detailed explanation.
Figure 15. Continuum fitting and $f$-scaled profiles of sightline S7 (top). See Figure 12 for layout explanation. S8 is not used in our analysis. Here we show the original profile of each line to indicate the great uncertainty of the continuum fitting due to strong stellar activities. Please see Section 2.1 for a discussion of this sightline.
Figure 16. Continuum-normalized spectra and Voigt-profile fitting for all of the lines. M33's ISM absorption is shown by the dashed line ($v_d = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), and the MW's absorption is shown by the dotted line ($v_{LSR} = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The red curves indicate the Voigt-profile fits, and the blue curves show the overall fitting, including the sum of the red curves and the additional nuisance components (Appendix C). Gray shades indicate the integrated velocity range used in Appendix A.
