Intraoperative fluorescence imaging during complex urologic pelvic surgery

Abbreviations: ICG, indocyanine green; RC, radical cystectomy

Abstract

Extirpative therapy remains an important treatment for the management of invasive pelvic cancers. Radical cystectomy or anterior pelvic exenteration with urinary diversion has the potential for postoperative morbidity and mortality. Several critical components of these procedures such as delicate anastomoses can be affected by potential complications related to ischemia and are subject to the limitations of visualization with only white light in the operating room. Indocyanine green (ICG) is a fluorescent dye that has several applications in urology. We examine the implementation of ICG within pelvic extirpative therapies as well as review the many uses of ICG within Urologic procedures.
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Indocyanine green and its administration

Indocyanine green (ICG) is a cyanine dye that fluoresces at 830 nm when exposed to incident infrared light of wavelength 780 nm. The dye is primarily retained in the intravascular space where it binds to plasma proteins. Administered intravenously and used in conjunction with a camera and software-imposed pseudo-color, it may be used to identify vessel perfusion and differentiate tissue density. The fluorescence emitted by ICG may be identified utilizing specific scopes and cameras. Thus, further information may be attained regarding anatomy, perfusion, or lymphatic drainage. Standard dosing up to 5 mg/kg intravenously is considered non-toxic with iodine allergy as the only major contraindication. It features a favorable adverse effect profile, with a low complication rate of 0.34% of nausea, vomiting and rarely shock. Cleared via the liver, half-life of the drug is 3-4 minutes with clearance from the system within 10-20 min, allowing for multiple administrations.

ICG may be utilized in both open as well as minimally invasive techniques. Originally developed in 2005 by Novadaq (Mississauga, Ontario) as the Spy Imaging System (Figure 1), it was further improved to incorporate endoscopic versions called the Spy Scope and subsequently rebranded as the Pinpoint system (Figure 2). Generally, the system is comprised of a large camera head on a boom, which is arrayed above the surgical field as well as software to quantify tissue perfusion. Fluorescence imagery is portrayed with poorly perfused tissue appearing almost black in appearance. The Pinpoint system incorporates both white light as well as near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence excitation into a single camera head. Proprietary software displays the near infrared image as an overlay over the white light image in real-time as neon green highlighting, allowing the surgery team access to both throughout the procedure to provide real-time guidance. Implemented in robotic surgery using the da Vinci® robot (Intuitive Surgical Systems, Sunnyvale CA USA), it is known as the Firefly® system. For open procedures, a handheld version exists as well – the SPY-PHI by Novadaq (Figure 3).
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To administer ICG, the solution is first prepared via combining and mixing 25 mg of the dye in distilled water. Just prior to creation of the uretero-enteric anastomosis or other step in question, 10 mL of solution is injected intravenously. Subsequently, the anatomic areas in question are examined via the NIR fluorescence system. In our institution for complex open pelvic extirpative procedures we have used the handheld SPY PHI system for this purpose. The time between ICG injection and visualization of fluorescence is noted. Examination of both the arterial supply as well as the tissues during both the arterial and perfusion phases of ICG is undertaken. It has previously been reported that it takes approximately 30 seconds after injection to assess arterial flow and around 5 minutes to appropriately be able to assess perfusion. Once fluorescence was visualized, it should give a clear demarcation line between well-perfused and non-perfused colon leading to a correct resection line. For difficult to discern situations, the surgeon is then able to toggle between white light and NIR prior to proceeding.

**Applications of ICG within cystectomy**

Within radical cystectomy there are several potential uses for ICG to aid the surgeon in peri-operative planning. Several studies have examined the uretero-intestinal anastomosis related to urinary diversion. Ahmadi et al. in their cohort of 179 patients undergoing robotic cystectomy with urinary diversion found that patients receiving ICG had greater length of ureter being excised during uretero-enteric anastomosis with no uretero-enteric strictures compared to a 10.6% rate of stricture per patient in those who did not receive ICG. Figure 4 demonstrates ICG utilization for the uretero-enteric anastomosis during an open approach, showing slightly decreased perfusion without complete nonviability of the anastomosis. Bowel anastomosis provides another opportunity for ICG implementation. While not described in Urologic reports, ICG has been utilized extensively in colorectal surgery in terms of maximizing performance of bowel anastomosis. Ris et al. found ICG angiography was associated with change in site of bowel division in 5.8% of patients with no subsequent leaks compared to a 2.4% overall leak rate in their Phase II cohort of 504 men undergoing elective colorectal surgery with bowel anastomosis. A potentially nonviable bowel anastomosis segment is appreciated in Figure 5.

In addition, the stoma site itself can be subject to complications such as stomal stenosis related to undue tension on the conduit pedicle as the stoma is brought through the skin, leading to pressure on the vasculature. Typically, a pink appearance is considered acceptable for the stoma opening and is generally deemed sufficient to indicate healthy tissue, however, ICG provides the potential to confirm viability (Figure 6). While no current reports exist on this aspect,
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theoretically ICG utilization to assess stomal vascularization could have a contributing hand to decrease need for stomal revisions.3,23 Lastly, in certain complex cases of aggressive resection for pelvic disease, vascularized flaps may be required to provide tissue coverage for large defects in the pelvis or perineum. Lack of adequate tissue for primary closure or prior radiation provides challenges to the operating team. To prevent poor or non-healing wounds and provide adequate support which can be obtained via plastic surgery placement of local or distant flaps with or without skin like the vertical rectus abdominis muscle flap (VRAM) or free flaps like the latissimus dorsi flap.3 In these cases, identifying and incorporating well-perfused flaps are crucial to ensure optimal post-operative outcome. As appreciated in Figure 7, ICG can also be implemented to assess perfusion and viability of the flap prior to closure. Thus, there are multiple opportunities during complex pelvic extirpative procedures including radical cystectomy for ICG to assess tissue perfusion and reduce tissue and patient complications.

Figure 6 ICG utilization confirming vascular viability of the ileal conduit stoma during pelvic exenteration.

Figure 7 Fluorescent imaging depicting adequate vascularity and blood flow to the vertical rectus abdominis muscle (VRAM) flap to be utilized for wound coverage during pelvic exenteration. White light imaging appreciated on the left.

Other utilizations of ICG within urology

While already established in other fields like breast and plastic surgery, ICG has been implemented with success in other aspects of urologic surgery, as well, including robotic procedures.3,14 The dye has been used as an angiographic aid with regards to partial nephrectomy to identify the tumor arterial blood supply. In this setting, ICG theoretically allows for selective clamping and limiting ischemia time.15 Even after resection of the tumor, ICG may be utilized to assess the viability of residual renal parenchyma.16 Similarly, ICG angiography may aid in identification of ureteral obstruction related to scarring.17 In prostate and penile cancers, ICG bound to a carrier prior to injection such as 99mTechnetium has been used previously to map and predict patterns of lymphatic drainage and aid in more directed and higher yield node dissections.18,19 Furthermore, there have been efforts to implement ICG as a means of identifying the benchmark artery during robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy to improve preservation of the neurovascular bundle during nerve-sparing approaches.20 ICG has also been considered for intraluminal administration, as it has been suggested that ureteral identification as well as ureteral stricture location may be optimized with intra-ureteral ICG instillation for robotic upper tract reconstructive procedures.17

Future of ICG

As with numerous other types of procedures, both Urologic and non-Urologic, radical cystectomy and other pelvic extirpative procedures provide numerous potential uses for ICG to reduce surgical toxicity. Further development is ongoing to potentially produce enhanced fluorescence-based tools to overcome the limiting effect of tissue attenuation. For example, NIR fluorophores for target-specific staining are currently under development for use in prostate and rectal cancers.71,22 Furthermore, the combination of multiple fluorophores could provide the potential to possibly provide even more detail on anatomical structures peri-operatively. In addition, implementation of ICG into a standardized peri-operative protocol regimen such as an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol provides an attractive means to enhance peri-operative care. ERAS protocol already exists for radical cystectomy, with proven outcomes including significantly shorter hospital stays with shorter time to bowel function than those undergoing standard protocol.23 In colorectal surgery, feasibility and safety of ICG implementation within ERAS has been explored with demonstration of significant reduction in anastomotic leak while maintaining similar operative times.7 Thus, ICG has a potential role to play within expedited peri-operative protocols for Urologic pelvic extirpative surgery. Not only is fluorescence technology safe and easy to use, but it serves as a means to maximize oncologic outcome while reducing comorbidty in the patient requiring pelvic surgical resection.
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