Multiple sites in the N-terminal half of simian immunodeficiency virus capsid protein contribute to evasion from rhesus monkey TRIM5α-mediated restriction
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Abstract

Background: We previously reported that cynomolgus monkey (CM) TRIM5α could restrict human immunodeficiency virus type 2 (HIV-2) strains carrying a proline at the 120th position of the capsid protein (CA), but it failed to restrict those with a glutamine or an alanine. In contrast, rhesus monkey (Rh) TRIM5α could restrict all HIV-2 strains tested but not simian immunodeficiency virus isolated from macaque (SIVmac), despite its genetic similarity to HIV-2.

Results: We attempted to identify the viral determinant of SIVmac evasion from Rh TRIM5α-mediated restriction using chimeric viruses formed between SIVmac239 and HIV-2 GH123 strains. Consistent with a previous study, chimeric viruses carrying the loop between α-helices 4 and 5 (L4/5) (from the 82nd to 99th amino acid residues) of HIV-2 CA were efficiently restricted by Rh TRIM5α. However, the corresponding loop of SIVmac239 CA alone (from the 81st to 97th amino acid residues) was not sufficient to evade Rh TRIM5α restriction in the HIV-2 background. A single glutamine-to-proline substitution at the 118th amino acid of SIVmac239 CA, corresponding to the 120th amino acid of HIV-2 GH123, also increased susceptibility to Rh TRIM5α, indicating that glutamine at the 118th of SIVmac239 CA is necessary to evade Rh TRIM5α. In addition, the N-terminal portion (from the 5th to 12th amino acid residues) and the 107th and 109th amino acid residues in α-helix 6 of SIVmac CA are necessary for complete evasion from Rh TRIM5α-mediated restriction. A three-dimensional model of hexameric GH123 CA showed that these multiple regions are located on the CA surface, suggesting their direct interaction with TRIM5α.

Conclusion: We found that multiple regions of the SIVmac CA are necessary for complete evasion from Rh TRIM5α restriction.

Background

The host range of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) is very narrow, being limited to humans and chimpanzees [1]. HIV-1 fails to replicate in activated CD4-positive T lymphocytes obtained from Old World monkeys (OWM) such as rhesus (Rh) [2,3] and cynomolgus (CM) monkeys [4,5]. Simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) isolated from sooty mangabeys (SIVsm) and SIV isolated from African green monkey (SIVagm) replicate in their natural hosts [6]. SIV isolated from a macaque monkey (SIVmac) evolved from SIVsm in captive macaques, and replicates efficiently in Rh [2,3] and CM [4,5] monkeys. Human immunodeficiency virus type 2 (HIV-2) is assumed to have originated from SIVsm as the result of zoonotic events involving monkeys and humans [7]. Previous studies have shown that HIV-2 strains vary widely in their ability to grow in cells of OWM such as baboon, and Rh and CM monkeys [8-12].

In 2004, the screening of a Rh cDNA library identified TRIM5α as a factor that confers resistance to HIV-1 infection [13]. Both Rh and CM TRIM5α proteins restrict HIV-1 infection but fail to restrict SIVmac [13,14]. In contrast, human TRIM5α is almost powerless...
to restrict the aforementioned viruses, but potently restricts N-tropic murine leukemia viruses (N-MLV) and equine infectious anemia virus [15-17].

TRIM5α is a member of the tripartite motif (TRIM) family of proteins, and consists of RING, B-box 2, coiled-coil, and SPRY (B30.2) domains [18]. Proteins with RING domains possess E3 ubiquitin ligase activity [19]; therefore, TRIM5α was thought to restrict HIV-1 by proteasome-dependent pathways. However, proteasome inhibitors do not affect TRIM5α-mediated HIV-1 restriction, even though HIV-1 late reverse transcribed products are generated normally [20-22]. TRIM5α is thus supposed to use both proteasome-dependent and -independent pathways to restrict HIV-1.

The intact B-box 2 domain is also required for TRIM5α-mediated antiviral activity, since TRIM5α restrictive activity is diminished by several amino acid substitutions in the B-box 2 domain [23,24]. TRIM5α has been shown to form a dimer [25,26], while the B-box 2 domain mediates higher-order self-association of Rh TRIM5α oligomers [27,28]. The coiled-coil domain of TRIM5α is important for the formation of homo-oligomers [29], and the homo-oligomerization of TRIM5α is essential for antiviral activity [30,31]. The SPRY domain is specific for an α-isofrom among at least three splicing variants transcribed from the TRIM5 gene. Soon after the identification of TRIM5α as a restriction factor of Rh, several studies found that differences in the amino acid sequences of the TRIM5α SPRY domain of different monkey species affect the species-specific restriction of retrovirus infection [14,32-39]. Studies on human and Rh recombinant TRIM5αs have shown that the determinant of species-specific restriction against HIV-1 infection resides in variable region 1 (V1) of the SPRY domain [32,33]. In the case of HIV-2 infection, we previously found that three amino acid residues of TFP at the 339th to 341st positions of Rh TRIM5α V1 are indispensable for restricting particular HIV-2 strains that are still resistant to CM TRIM5α [34].

The SPRY domain is thus thought to recognize viral cores. Biochemical studies have shown that TRIM5α associates with CA in detergent-stripped N-MLV virions [40] or with an artificially constituted HIV-1 core structure composed of the capsid-nucleocapsid (CA-NC) fusion protein in a SPRY domain-dependent manner [41]. Ylinen et al. mapped one of the determinants of Rh TRIM5α sensitivity to a loop between α-helices 4 and 5 (L4/5) of HIV-2 [42]. In the present study, we found that the 120th amino acid of HIV-2 CA, which is the determinant of CM TRIM5α sensitivity, also contributes to Rh TRIM5α susceptibility. Furthermore, studies on chimeric viruses between Rh TRIM5α-sensitive HIV-2 and -resistant SIVmac revealed that multiple regions in the N-terminal half of SIVmac CA including L4/5 contribute to the escape of SIVmac from Rh TRIM5α.

**Methods**

**DNA constructs**

The HIV-2 derivatives were constructed on a background of infectious molecular clone GH123 [43]. Construction of GH123/Q, the mutant GH123 possessing Q at the 120th position of CA protein, and SIVmac239/P, the mutant SIVmac239 possessing P at the 118th position of CA, were described previously [44]. The CA L4/5 of GH123 or GH123/Q was replaced with the corresponding segments of SIVmac239 CA using site-directed mutagenesis with the PCR-mediated overlap primer extension method [45], and the resultant constructs were designated GH123/CypS or GH123/CypS 120Q, respectively. The GH123 derivative with L4/5 of SIVmac239, Q at the 120th, and A at the 179th position of CA (GH123/CypS 120Q 179A) was generated by site-directed mutagenesis on a background of GH123/CypS 120Q.

Chimeric GH123 containing the whole region of SIVmac239 CA (GH/SCA) was generated by site-directed mutagenesis. Restriction enzyme sites NgoM IV and Xho I, located in the LTR and p6 cording region, respectively, were used for DNA recombination. To obtain the NgoM IV-Xho I fragment containing the CA region, we performed four successive PCR reactions using GH123 and SIVmac239 as templates. The primers used in these reactions were GH114F (5’-TTGGCGCGGCACTGG-3’), SCA1 For (5’-CCAGTACACAAATAGG-3’), SCA1 Rev (5’-GCTAGATTAATGGCCGAAGCCCTG-3’), SCA2 For (5’-GCTAGATTAATGGCCGAAGCCCTG-3’), SCA2 Rev (5’-CAGGGCTTCGGCCATTATCTAGC-3’), and 2082R (5’-GACAGAGGACTTGCTGCAC-3’).

The first PCR reaction used GH123 as a template and GH114F and GHSCA1 Rev as primers, the second used SIVmac239 as a template and GHSCA1 For and 2082R as primers. The resultant 1st, 2nd, and 3rd fragments were transferred to GH123, and the 4th reaction with GH114F and 2082R as primers. The resultant NgoM IV-Xho I fragment was transferred to GH123. GH/SCA derivatives GH/SCA N-G, GH/SCA VD, GH/SCA CypG, and GH/SCA TE were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis on a background of GH123/CypS 120Q.

To construct GH/NSCG, a GH123 derivative containing the N-terminal half (from 1st to 120th) of SIVmac239CA, we performed three successive PCR reactions. The first used GH/SCA as a template and GH114F and NSCA Rev (5’-GGGATTTTTGTGTCTGTACATCC-3’) as primers, the second used GH123 as a
template and NSCA For (5'-GGATGTACAGACAAA-
CAAAATCCC-3') and 2082R as primers. The resultant 1st and 2nd fragments were used as templates in the third reaction with GH114F and 2082R as primers. The resultant NcoI IV-XhoI fragment was transferred to GH123. The GH/NSCG derivative GH/GSG was constructed by site-directed mutagenesis on a GH/NSCG background.

Cells
The 293T (human kidney) and FRhK4 (Rh kidney; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). MT4, a human CD4 positive T cell line immortalized by human T cell leukemia virus type 1 [46], was maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS.

Viral propagation
Virus stocks were prepared by transfection of 293T cells with HIV-2 GH123 derivatives using the calcium phosphate co-precipitation method. Viral titers were measured with the p27 RETROtek antigen ELISA kit (ZeptoMetrix, Buffalo, NY). Recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) carrying Rh, CM, or CM SPRY(-) TRIM5α was described previously [14,34]. Green fluorescence protein (GFP) expressing HIV-1 carrying SIVmac239 L4/5 (HIV-1-L4/5-GFP) was prepared as described previously [47].

Viral infection
MT4 cells (2 x 10^5) were infected with SeV expressing each of the TRIM5αs, at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 plaque-forming units (pfu) per cell and incubated at 37°C for 9 h. Cells were then superinfected with 20 ng of p25 of HIV-2 GH123 or derivatives, or 20 ng of p27 of SIVmac239 or derivatives. Culture supernatants were collected periodically, and the levels of p25 or p27 were measured with the RETROtek antigen ELISA kit.

Particle purification and Western blot analysis
Culture supernatant of 293T cells transfected with plasmids encoding HIV-1 NL43 and HIV-2 GH123 derivatives was clarified using low-speed centrifugation. The resultant supernatants were layered onto a cushion of 20% sucrose (made in PBS) and centrifuged at 35,000 rpm for 2 h in a Beckman SW41 rotor. After centrifugation, the virion pellets were resuspended in PBS and applied to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Virion-associated proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane. CAs and cyclophilin A (CypA) were visualized with the serum from SIV-infected monkeys or the anti-CypA antibody (Affinity BioReagents, Golden, CO), respectively.

Saturation assay
HIV-2 or SIVmac derivative particles were prepared by co-transfection of the relevant plasmids with one encoding vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) into 293T cells, and culture supernatants were collected two days after transfection. One day before infection, FRhK-4 cells were plated at a density of 2 x 10^4 cells per well in a 24-well plate. Prior to GFP virus infection, the cells were pretreated for 2 h with 800 ng of p25 of each of HIV-2 or SIVmac derivatives pseudotyped with VSV-G. Immediately after pretreatment, cells were washed and infected with 10 ng of p24 of the HIV-1-L4/5-GFP virus. Then, 2 h after infection, the inoculated GFP viruses were washed and the cells cultivated in fresh media. Two days after infection, GFP-positive cells were counted with a flow cytometer.

Molecular modeling of hexameric HIV-2 CA
The crystal structures of the HIV-2 CA N-terminal domain at a resolution of 1.25Å [PDB: 2WLV] [48], HIV-1 CA C-terminal domain at a resolution of 1.70Å (PDB code: 1ABO) [49], and hexameric HIV-1 CA at a resolution of 1.90Å [PDB: 3H47] [50] were taken from the RCSB Protein Data Bank [51]. Three-dimensional (3-D) models of monomeric HIV-2 CA were constructed by the homology modeling technique using ‘MOE-Align’ and ‘MOE-Homology’ in the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) version 2008.1002 (Chemical Computing Group Inc., Quebec, Canada) as described [44,52]. We obtained 25 intermediate models per one homology modeling in MOE, and selected those 3-D models which were intermediate with best scores according to the generalized Born/volume integral methodology [53]. The final 3-D models were thermodynamically optimized by energy minimization using an AMBER99 force field [54] combined with the generalized Born model of aqueous solvation implemented in MOE [55]. Physically unacceptable local structures of the optimized 3-D models were further refined on the basis of evaluation by the Ramachandran plot using MOE. The structures of hexameric HIV-2 CA were generated from the monomeric structures by MOE on the basis of the assembly information of hexameric HIV-1 CA crystal structures [50].

Results
The L4/5 loop of SIVmac239 CA and Q and A at the 120th and 179th positions of CA are not sufficient for HIV-2 to evade Rh TRIM5α-mediated restriction
Previously, we evaluated the antiviral effect of CM and Rh TRIM5α and found that CM TRIM5α could restrict
HIV-2 GH123 carrying P at the 120th position of CA, but failed to restrict the HIV-2 GH123 mutant in which P was replaced with Q (GH123/Q) [44] (Figure 1A). In contrast, Rh TRIM5α could restrict both viruses [34] (Figure 2A and 2B). Although CA of HIV-2 GH123 and SIVmac239 share more than 87% amino acid identity (Figure 1B), CM and Rh TRIM5α failed to restrict SIVmac239 (Figure 2C).

Since wild type SIVmac239 possesses Q at the 118th position of CA (analogous to the 120th position of GH123 CA), we constructed mutant SIVmac239 carrying P at the 118th position (SIVmac239/P), and found

---

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of chimeric viral CAs. (A) White and black bars denote HIV-2 GH123 and SIVmac239 sequences, respectively. ++++, ++, +, and - denote more than 1000-fold, 100- to 1000-fold, 5- to 100-fold, and less than 5-fold suppression of viral growth, respectively, compared with viral growth in the presence of negative control CM SPRY(-) TRIM5α on day 6. Peak titers Av. denotes average titers in the presence of CM SPRY(-) TRIM5α on day 6 of two independent experiments. (B) Alignments of amino acid sequences of GH123 and SIVmac239 CAs. Dots denote amino acid residues identical to one of the GH123 CA and dashes denote lack of an amino acid residue present in GH123 CA. Boxes show the regions replaced between GH123 and SIVmac239.
that CM and Rh TRIM5αs could restrict the mutant virus [44] (Figure 2D). These results indicate that Q at the 118th position of CA is required to evade restriction by CM and Rh TRIM5αs, although Rh TRIM5α could restrict GH123/Q. In the case of Rh TRIM5α, it has been reported that Rh TRIM5α sensitivity determinants lie in the loop between α-helices 4 and 5 of CA protein, equivalent to the cyclophilin A (CypA) binding loop of HIV-1 [42]. This conclusion was made after Rh TRIM5α restricted SIVmac-based SIV H2L in which the L4/5 was replaced with that of HIV-2. However, when we constructed a GH123 derivative in which L4/5 was replaced with that of SIVmac239 (GH123/CypS), the reciprocal virus of SIV H2L, we found that Rh TRIM5α still restricted this virus very well (Figure 2E), indicating that SIVmac239 L4/5 alone is not sufficient for HIV-2 to evade Rh TRIM5α restriction.

We then constructed a GH123 derivative with L4/5 of SIVmac239 (CypS) and Q at the 120th position of CA (GH123/CypS 120Q). Contrary to our expectations, Rh TRIM5α still fully restricted this virus (Figure 2F). Since we previously found that the amino acid change at the 179th position of HIV-2 CA correlated with plasma viral load in infected individuals [56], we next replaced P at the 179th position of GH123/CypS 120Q CA with alanine (A) of SIVmac239 CA analogous to the 179th position of HIV-2 CA to generate GH123/CypS 120Q179A. However, Rh TRIM5α also completely restricted this virus (Figure 2G). The peak titers of GH123/CypS 120Q and GH123/CypS 120Q179A in cells expressing Rh TRIM5α were approximately 1000 times (+++ in Figure 1) and 300 times (++ in Figure 1), respectively, lower than those in cells expressing CM TRIM5α lacking the SPRY domain, CM SPRY (-) TRIM5α, a negative control for functional TRIM5α (Figure 2F and 2G). Although this result suggests that the 179th amino acid slightly contributes to evade Rh TRIM5α, it is clear that L4/5 of SIVmac239 CA and Q at the 120th and A at the 179th positions of CA were insufficient to evade Rh TRIM5α-mediated restriction.

In the case of CM TRIM5α, viruses carrying P at the 120th position (GH123, GH123/CypS, and SIVmac239/P) were restricted by CM TRIM5α, whereas all other viruses bearing Q (GH123/Q, GH123/CypS 120Q, GH123/CypS 120Q179A, and SIVmac239) were not (Figures 1 and 2). These results are in good agreement.
with our previous conclusion that glutamine at the 120th position of HIV-2 CA alone is sufficient to evade CM TRIM5α restriction [34,44].

The N-terminal half of SIVmac239 CA is sufficient to evade Rh TRIM5α

To confirm that CA contains all determinants for restriction by Rh TRIM5α, we constructed a chimeric GH123 containing the whole region of SIVmac239 CA (GH/SCA). This virus could grow in the presence and absence of Rh TRIM5α (Figures 1 and 3A), clearly excluding the possibility that some of the determinants lie outside the CA. We then generated a chimeric GH123 containing the N-terminal half (from the 1st to 120th) of SIVmac239 CA (GH/NSCG) to further narrow down the determinant for restriction by Rh TRIM5α. Although GH/NSCG grew to lower titers than GH/SCA, even in the absence of Rh TRIM5α, this virus could also grow in the presence of Rh TRIM5α (Figures 1 and 3B). These results suggest that the N-terminal half of SIVmac239 CA is almost sufficient to evade Rh TRIM5α, even though the 179th amino acid of the C-terminal half possessed a slight effect of restriction.

Multiple sites in the N-terminal half of SIVmac239 CA contribute to evasion from restriction by Rh TRIM5α

In the N-terminal half of GH123 CA, 19 amino acid residues differ from those of SIVmac239. We grouped these differences into six regions as shown by boxes in Figure 1B, and evaluated their contribution to evasion from Rh TRIM5α by replacing each region of GH/SCA with the corresponding region of GH123. Rh TRIM5α completely restricted the GH/SCA derivative with the GH123 L4/5 (CypG) (GH/SCA CypG) (Figures 1 and 3C), consistent with a previous study [42]. Rh TRIM5α moderately restricted the GH/SCA derivative with threonine (T) and glutamic acid (E) of GH123 at the 109th and 111th positions, respectively (GH/SCA TE) (Figures 1 and 3D). These results suggest that not only L4/5 but also the 107th and 109th of amino acid residues of SIVmac239 CA (analogous to the 109th and 111th of GH123 CA) contribute to evasion from restriction by Rh TRIM5α.

Moreover, Rh TRIM5α slightly but significantly restricted the GH/SCA derivative with the GH123 N-terminal portion from the 5th to 13th amino acid residues (N-G) (GH/SCA N-G) (Figures 1 and 3E) ($p < 0.05$, t-test, $n = 4$), indicating that the SIVmac239 N-terminal portion from 5th to 12th (N-S) (analogous to N-G) is also important in evasion from restriction by Rh TRIM5α. Consistent with this result, Rh TRIM5α which failed to restrict GH/NSCG, could restrict the GH/NSCG
derivative with N-G (GH/GSG) (Figures 1 and 3F). On the other hand, Rh TRIM5α failed to restrict the GH/SCA derivative with the valine (V) and aspartic acid (D) of GH123 at the 27th and 29th positions, respectively (GH/SCA VD) (Figures 1 and 3G). It should be noted, however, that the growth capability of GH/SCA VD in MT4 cells was extremely low even in the absence of TRIM5α (Figure 3G), and further studies are necessary to address the contribution of this region to viral sensitivity to Rh TRIM5α. Similarly, the GH/SCA derivative with glutamic acid (E) and D of GH123 at the 71st and 75th positions (GH/SCA ED) (Figure 1) did not grow in MT4 cells expressing CM SPRY (-) TRIM5α, thus, we were unable to evaluate the effect of these sites. Taken together, we conclude that multiple sites in the N-terminal half of SIVmac239 CA (N-S, CypS (L4/5), and the 107th, 109th, and 118th amino acid residues) contribute to evasion from restriction by Rh TRIM5α.

We previously reported that a mutant CM TRIM5α possessing TFP instead of Q at the 339th position (CM Q-TFP TRIM5α) potently restricted GH123/Q [34]. In the present study, CM Q-TFP TRIM5α showed nearly the same spectrum of virus restriction as Rh TRIM5α as it completely restricted GH/SCA CypG, moderately restricted GH/SCA TE and SIVmac239/P, and only slightly restricted GH/SCA N-G (data not shown). These results indicate that the virus restriction specificity of Rh TRIM5α is highly dependent on the three amino acid residues 339th-TFP-341st.

CypA was not incorporated into GH123, SIVmac239 or their derivative virus particles

It has been reported that CypA was incorporated into group M HIV-1, but not HIV-2 or SIVmac particles [57]. To confirm that the replacement of CA between GH123 and SIVmac239 did not augment CypA incorporation, we performed Western blot analysis of viral particles from GH123, SIVmac239, and their derivatives. As shown in Figure 4 (upper panel), CypA proteins were clearly detected in the particles of HIV-1 NL43 but not in those of GH123, GH/SCA, GH/SCA CypG, or SIVmac239, although the amount of their CA proteins was almost comparable (Figure 4, lower panel). This result indicates that the replacement between GH123 and SIVmac239 did not augment their CypA incorporation ability.

Rh TRIM5α-resistant HIV-2 derivative virions showed impaired saturation activity to TRIM5α in Rh cells

It is known that TRIM5α-mediated restriction of retroviral infection is saturated when cells are exposed to high doses of restriction-sensitive viral particles [58-61].

To determine whether the amino acid substitutions we generated would affect the viral ability to saturate TRIM5α restriction, Rh FRhK4 cells were pre-treated with equal amounts of VSV-G pseudotyped HIV-2 GH123, SIVmac239, and their derivative viruses. The pretreated cells were then infected with VSV-G pseudotyped GFP expressing HIV-1 carrying SIVmac239 L4/5 (HIV-1-L4/5S-GFP) [47], since we wanted to exclude the effects of endogenous CypA on GFP-expressing virus in FRhK4 cells. The susceptibility of particle-treated cells to virus infection was determined by the percentage of GFP-positive cells.

Cells treated with HIV-2 GH123 particles showed enhanced susceptibility to HIV-1 infection compared with non-treated cells (Figure 5), demonstrating that TRIM5α in FRhK4 cells was saturated by the high dose of the particles. In contrast, cells treated with SIVmac239 particles showed very low levels of enhancement. Cells treated with particles carrying GH123/Q showed similar levels of enhanced susceptibility to HIV-1 infection to those of HIV-2 GH123, while cells treated with particles of GH123/CypS, GH123/CypS 120Q, GH/SCA CypG or SIVmac239/P showed intermediate levels of enhancement (Figure 5).

On the other hand, cells treated with particles carrying GH/NSCG, GH/SCA, and GH/SCA N-G showed similar levels of enhancement of HIV-1 susceptibility to those of SIVmac239 (Figure 5). These results are roughly consistent with our data shown in Figures 2 and 3, but there are two differences. First, Rh TRIM5α could...
completely restrict GH123/CypS and GH123/CypS 120Q (Figure 2), while particles of these viruses showed decreased levels of enhancement compared with those of GH123 or GH123/Q (Figure 5). Second, Rh TRIM5α could slightly restrict GH/SCA N-G (Figure 3E), while particles of this virus failed to saturate Rh TRIM5α (Figure 5). Although the precise reasons for these differences are unclear at present, similar differences were previously reported in HIV-1 CA mutant constructs, and might be due to differences in core stability among mutant viral particles [62]. Nevertheless, our data in Figure 5 clearly indicate the importance of L4/5 (compare GH123 with GH123/CypS, GH/SCA with GH/SCA CypG) and other CA regions (compare GH123 with GH/SCA CypG, SIVmac239 with SIVmac239/P) in the viral ability to saturate TRIM5α in Rh FRhK4 cells, and suggest that the multiple sites in the N-terminal half of GH123 CA affect its binding to Rh TRIM5α.

Finally, we checked viral release and maturation/processing of GH123, SIVmac239, and their derivative viruses by a western blot for the lysate of viral producer cells (Figure 6, upper panel) and viral particles (Figure 6, lower panel), since viral maturation is essential for TRIM5α recognition. CA proteins in the cells and released viral particles were clearly detected. CAs with SIVmac239 L4/5 showed slightly reduced mobility compared with those with GH123 L4/5. Although there were small differences in the amounts of CA among viruses tested, there was no difference in the ratio of intracellular CA to those in the released viral particles. It should be also mentioned that there was no difference in the ratio of Gag precursors to processed CA in the viral producer cells. These results indicated that viral release and maturation/processing of the derivative viruses occurred normally.

Structural model of HIV-2 GH123 CA
To gain a structural insight into the mechanisms by which Rh TRIM5α recognizes HIV-2 CA, three-dimensional (3-D) models of monomeric and hexameric HIV-2 GH123 CA were constructed using homology-modeling based on the crystal structures of the HIV-2 CA N-terminal domain [48], HIV-1 CA C-terminal domain [49], and the hexameric HIV-1 CA [50]. All amino acid residues conferring sensitivity to Rh TRIM5α restriction (N-G, CypG (L4/5), the 109th T, 111th E, and 120th P) are located on the surface of CA.
(Figure 7A, C and 7D), suggesting that these positions are involved in interaction with Rh TRIM5α. On the other hand, amino acid residues that impaired viral growth in the absence of TRIM5α (27th V, 29th D, 71st E, and 75th D) are located on the side of CA (Figure 7A and 7D). Although we were unable to determine the effect of these amino acid residues on viral sensitivity to Rh TRIM5α restriction, the structural models suggest that these sites are buried inside multimerized CA. It is therefore unlikely that they are involved in the direct interaction of CA with Rh TRIM5α.

**Discussion**

A previous study on the recombination between HIV-2 ROD and SIVmac showed that the CA region corresponding to the CypA binding loop of HIV-1 (L4/5) is

---

**Figure 7 Three-dimensional structural models of GH123 CA.** (A) Structure of the N-terminal half of CA monomer. The model was constructed by homology-modeling using “MOE-Align” and “MOE-Homology” in the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) as described previously [73,74]. N-G, dark purple; the 27th V and the 29th D, pink; Cyp G (L4/5), orange; the 71th E, green; the 75th D, light purple; the 109th T, dark blue; the 111th E, light blue; and the 120th P, red. The structure of CA hexamer from the top (B and C) and side (D) is shown.
The determinant for susceptibility to Rh TRIM5α [42]. A subsequent study on HIV-1 and SIVagmTAN showed that the loop between helices 6 and 7 (L6/7) also contributes to Rh TRIM5α susceptibility [63]. In the present study, we showed that the L4/5 and the 120th amino acids located in L6/7 were required but not sufficient for HIV-2 to evade Rh TRIM5α-mediated restriction.

In addition to L4/5 and L6/7, we found that the N-terminal portion (from the 5th to 12th amino acid residues), and 107th and 109th amino acid residues in α-helix 6 of SIVmac239 CA are required for Rh TRIM5α evasion. The 3-D models of CA showed that the analogous regions of GH123 CA are located on the surface of the CA core structure, suggesting that these sites are involved in the direct interaction of CA with Rh TRIM5α. Our results are in good agreement with a previous report in which the HIV-1 derivative with an entire CA and Vif of SIVmac239 could replicate in Rh cells [64]. In addition, we observed that the HIV-1 derivative with L4/5 and L6/7 of CA and Vif of SIVmac239 (NLScA VR6/7S) that replicates in CM cells [47] failed to replicate in Rh cells (Kuroishi et al., unpublished data).

The growth ability of GH123 was higher than that of SIVmac239 in SeV-infected MT4 cells, but that of many GH123 derivatives with SIVmac239 CA sequences was lower than that of the parental GH123 and comparable with that of SIVmac239 (Figures 1, 2, and 3). However, GH/SCA VD replicated very poorly and GH/SCA ED did not replicate at all. These results were reproducible using the viruses produced with independent plasmid clones, after which Gag processing of these viruses occurred normally (data not shown). As shown in Figure 7, the 27th V and 29th D are in α-helix 1, and the 71st E and 75th D are in α-helix 4. It is possible that the amino acid changes at these sites are harmful for the formation of a multimerized viral core. Supporting this notion, the 27th V and 71st E are highly conserved among different HIV-2 strains in the Los Alamos sequence database. Furthermore, the 71st E and 75th D are located on the lateral side of the CA hexameric structure (Figure 7D), and thus it is possible that these amino acid residues associate with the neighboring CA hexamer. It is thus interesting to know the impact of such amino acid changes on viral core formation.

It has been reported that the CypA-CA interaction renders HIV-1 more susceptible to Rh TRIM5α restriction [65-68]. We found that HIV-2 CA L4/5 corresponding to the CypA binding loop of HIV-1 had the biggest impact on Rh TRIM5α susceptibility, although we could not detect CA-CypA binding (Figure 4). Braaten et al. also reported that neither HIV-2 nor SIV recruits CypA into their cores, and that drugs that block CA-CypA interaction have no effect on the titers of these viruses [57]. CA crystal structures of human T-cell lymphotropic virus type 1 [PDB: 1QRJ] [69] and equine infectious anemia virus [PDB: 1EIA] [70] possess an exposed loop directed to the surface of the CA core structure, similar to the HIV-1 CypA binding loop, while retroviruses such as B-tropic murine leukemia virus [PDB: 3BP9] [71] and Jaagsiekte sheep retrovirus [PDB: 2V4X] [72] do not. It is reasonable to assume that this HIV-2 loop would interact with certain host factors other than CypA, and consequently is an attractive target for TRIM5α.

The differences in the L4/5 amino acid sequence among different strains of HIV-2, SIVmac, and SIVsmm are shown in Figure 8. Of these, SIVmac-specific amino acid residues are the 88th A, 90th-QQ-92nd, and 99th S (Figure 8 boxes). Ylinen et al. reported that SIVmac QQ LPA, the mutant SIVmac containing HIV-2-specific LPA instead of QQ at the 90th to 92nd positions, was still not restricted by Rh TRIM5α [42], suggesting that the 88th and 99th amino acids or all amino acid substitutions in L4/5 between SIVmac and HIV-2 are involved in resistance to Rh TRIM5α restriction.

We previously reported that the TFP motif in the SPRY domain of Rh TRIM5α is important in restriction...
of HIV-2 strains that are not restricted by CM TRIM5α [34]. In the present study, we confirmed that this motif is both necessary and sufficient to restrict various HIV-2-SIVmac chimeras that are restricted by Rh TRIM5α. If the TFP motif in the SPRY domain of Rh TRIM5α is directly involved in interaction with viral CA, it is not clear why multiple regions of SIVmac239 are necessary for evasion from TRIM5α with a TFP motif. We previously constructed the 3-D structural model of the SPRY domain [36] using homology modeling. It would therefore be of interest to construct a 3-D binding model of CA and TRIM5α, and to understand how the 339th-TFP-341st motif of Rh TRIM5α affects recognition of the CAs that differ at multiple positions.

Conclusion

We found that multiple regions of the SIVmac CA, not only L4/5 and the 118th amino acid but also the N-terminal portion (from the 5th to 12th amino acid residues), and the 107th and 109th amino acid residues, are necessary for complete evasion from Rh TRIM5α restriction.
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