Neonatal overfeeding disrupts pituitary ghrelin signalling in female rats long-term; Implications for the stress response
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Abstract

The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis responses to psychological stress are exacerbated in adult female but not male rats made obese due to overfeeding in early life. Ghrelin, traditionally known for its role in energy homeostasis, has been recently recognised for its role in coordinating the HPA responses to stress, particularly by acting directly at the anterior pituitary where the growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR), the receptor for acyl ghrelin, is abundantly expressed. We therefore hypothesised that neonatal overfeeding in female rats would compromise pituitary responsiveness to ghrelin, contributing to a hyperactive central stress responsiveness. Unlike in males where hypothalamic ghrelin signalling is compromised by neonatal overfeeding, there was no effect of early life diet on circulating ghrelin or hypothalamic ghrelin signalling in females, indicating hypothalamic feeding and metabolic ghrelin circuitry remains intact. However, neonatal overfeeding did lead to long-term alterations in the pituitary ghrelin system. The neonatally overfed females had increased neonatal and reduced adult expression of GHSR and ghrelin-O-acyl transferase (GOAT) in the pituitary as well as reduced pituitary responsiveness to exogenous acyl ghrelin-induced adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) release in vitro. These data suggest that neonatal overfeeding dysregulates pituitary ghrelin signalling long-term in females, potentially accounting for the hyper-responsive HPA axis in these animals. These findings have implications for how females may respond to stress throughout life, suggesting the way ghrelin modifies the stress response at the level of the pituitary may be less efficient in the neonatally overfed.

Introduction

Childhood obesity affects more than 40 million people worldwide and is a significant risk factor for adult obesity and myriad co-morbid diseases and disorders, including hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis dysfunction [1]. We have previously shown that neonatal overfeeding in a rodent model induces an overweight phenotype that is maintained into adulthood in both males and females [2–4], with similar increases in fat mass and circulating leptin between the sexes [2, 4, 5]. Both male and female rats overfed in utero or postnatally show...
similarly exacerbated febrile and pro-inflammatory cytokine responses to an immune chal-
lenge compared with controls [2, 6], and similar changes in hypothalamic microglial profiles
during the neonatal and adult periods [6, 7]. On the other hand, the hypothalamic and beha-
vioural responses to psychological stress are vulnerable in females to early life dietary influ-
cence, while remaining unaffected in males. Thus, females that are overfed in the first three
weeks of their lives have increased open arm exploration as adults in the elevated plus maze
test for anxiety, indicative of reduced anxiety-like behaviour, but have exacerbated paraventri-
cular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) responses to acute restraint stress; effects that are
not seen in males [3].

Understanding the impact of neonatal obesity in female animal models is important given
the psychosocial consequences of childhood obesity can significantly differ between the sexes
in humans. Girls and women are more likely to display stress-related eating than boys [8–10],
with obesigenic consequences. Overweight girls are also more likely to develop depression,
anxiety, and eating disorders than overweight boys [11, 12]. Interestingly, obesity is associated
with abnormally high circulating ghrelin in adolescent girls, but not boys [13], suggesting
ghrelin may be involved differently between the sexes in the obese phenotype.

Ghrelin is an orexigenic 28 amino acid peptide hormone secreted primarily from the stom-
ach that circulates in two major forms; as acylated and des-acylated ghrelin [14]. In healthy
adults ghrelin conveys information on negative energy balance to the brain, stimulating feed-
ing [15, 16]. Recent research, including our own, has demonstrated ghrelin’s important role in
coordinating hypothalamic and pituitary functions additional to feeding, including the HPA
axis responses to stress [17–21]. Ghrelin is elevated by stress [22–24] and acts directly at the
growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHSR1a), the receptor for acyl ghrelin, in the pitui-
tary gland to stimulate adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) release [25–27]. Under condi-
tions of acute stress, ghrelin-induced ACTH release promotes the secretion of glucocorticoids
from the adrenal gland, important for terminating further activation of the HPA axis [18]. We
hypothesise here that the exacerbated HPA axis responses to stress in neonatally overfed
females might be due, in part, to changes in the way ghrelin mediates ACTH release at the
level of the pituitary gland.

This hypothesis is supported by findings that circulating ghrelin and ghrelin signalling, at
least at the level of the hypothalamus, are altered in neonatally overfed male neonates and
adults. In normal neonates, ghrelin acts to regulate the development of hypothalamic connec-
tivity, in particular curtailing over-development of the neuronal connections between the
arcuate nucleus (ARC), lateral hypothalamus (LH) and paraventricular nucleus of the hypo-
thalmus (PVN) that co-ordinate feeding behaviour and metabolism [28, 29]. This particular
influence of ghrelin follows a surge in circulating leptin that begins at P4 and peaks at P7-10 in
rats [30], triggering the development of hypothalamic connectivity [31]. Ghrelin levels then
begin to rise during the second week of life and reach a plateau towards the end of the second
week (P14), limiting excessive connectivity between hypothalamic subregions [31]. At least in
males, this formative role is influenced by neonatal dietary experiences [32–34]. Thus, neona-
tally overfed male mice have suppressed levels of circulating acylated ghrelin early in life and
this is associated with metabolic dysregulation [33]. Neonatally overfed male rats also have
suppressed circulating ghrelin, in this case des-acylated ghrelin, coupled with elevated expres-
sion of GHSR1a in the ARC [34]. This early disruption to the ghrelin system with neonatal
overfeeding is linked to increased neuronal activation in the ARC and the PVN in response to
exogenous acyl, but not des-acyl, ghrelin, suggesting the overfed young male brain is more sen-
sitive to the effects of acylated ghrelin than controls [34]. The effects of neonatal overfeeding
on circulating ghrelin, ghrelin signalling to the hypothalamus, and ghrelin’s capacity to stimu-
late ACTH release from the pituitary in females are currently unknown, however.
In this study we therefore tested if neonatal overfeeding in female rats would cause acute and long-term alterations in ghrelin’s capacity to signal the hypothalamus and pituitary gland. We find that neonatal overfeeding in female rats did not influence circulating ghrelin or hypothalamic ghrelin signalling. It did, however, have lasting effects on pituitary function, leading to diminished responsiveness of the neonatally overfed pituitaries to acyl ghrelin-induced ACTH release. These findings suggest early life obesity may lead to hyperactive HPA axis responses to stress in females at least in part by dysregulating ghrelin signalling at the level at the pituitary.

Materials and methods

Animals

In these experiments, we used time-mated pregnant Wistar rats, obtained from the Animal Resources Centre, WA, Australia. On arrival at the RMIT University Animal Facility at day 16 of gestation, they were housed at 22°C, 12 hr light/dark cycle (0700–1900 hr). We provided them with ad libitum pelleted standard rat chow and water. All procedures described here were in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council Australia Code of Practice for the Care of Experimental Animals and the RMIT University Animal Ethics Committee approval. While pregnancy transport stress is a potential factor in long-term physiology, we do not believe it will have affected one of our experimental groups differently to the others. In designing our experiments, we ensure that control and experimental animals are included in all shipments of rats. We assume a priori that any litter born that contains fewer than seven live pups is compromised and we do not use this litter or dam in our experiments. Our design of fostering all the pups on the day of birth counterbalances for the possibility that some dams are more stressed during pregnancy than others. Further, pregnancy transport stress while contributing to a number of outcomes, would be expected to elevate glucocorticoids and program exacerbated HPA axis responses to stress [35, 36]. It is noteworthy then that HPA axis responses to psychological stress are not affected in neonatally overfed male rats. We are thus confident that transport stress is unlikely to have affected only one of our groups [3]. Similarly, fostering can have significant long-term effects [37]. However, we deliberately choose to foster all our pups to control for in utero environment, including things like pregnancy transport stress affecting dams differently and number of pups conceived. All pups are fostered, no dam receiving any of her biological pups and it is thus unlikely that the neonatally overfed and control groups are differentially affected by any stress of fostering.

Litter size manipulation

As we and others have previously described [3, 4, 38–40], on postnatal day (P) 0 (the day of birth) we removed all the rat pups from their dams and randomly fostered them to new dams in litters of 12 (control litter; CL) or 4 (small litter, SL, neonatal overfeeding). No dams received any of their own pups. Each new litter was made up of 50% males and 50% females. Sex was determined by a larger genital papilla and longer anogenital distance in male pups than in female pups [41]. Excess pups were culled. This manipulation leads to SL pups being significantly heavier by approximately P7 and heavier throughout life than CL [3, 4, 38].

We used the pups in experimentation at either P7, P12, or P14 or allowed them to grow into adulthood; approximately P70. For those assigned to adult experiments, we separated the pups into same-sex littermate pairs when weaned at P21 and left them undisturbed, expect for the usual animal husbandry. We used only females in the experiments described here. Data from the males of the same litters have been used for other publications [34]. We derived all
experimental groups from three or more litters, using a maximum of two pups from the same litter for an experimental treatment.

Effects of neonatal overfeeding on circulating ghrelin, corticosterone and ACTH

On P7, P14, or approximately P70 we deeply anesthetized a cohort of the rats with Lethabarb (150 mg/kg pentobarbitone sodium, i.p.), decapitated them and collected trunk blood for later assessment of serum ghrelin, as well as plasma corticosterone and ACTH. On P7 and P14, pups remained with the dam until cull and were not fasted. On P70, we removed the food from the rats at lights-on on the day of cull, ensuring each rat was fasted for at least 2 hr prior to cull to standardise satiety levels without inducing negative energy balance. For ghrelin, blood was treated with Pefabloc (1 mg/mL final concentration) in a tube that contained no anticoagulant. Blood was left to clot at room temperature for 30 min then centrifuged at 2500 g for 15 min at 4 ± 2˚C. Serum (top layer) was transferred into a fresh tube then 0.5 M HCl was added (final concentration 0.05 M HCl). The samples were mixed, aliquoted and stored at -20˚C avoiding freeze-thaw cycles until use.

To determine ghrelin concentrations in our samples, we performed standard commercial ghrelin ELISAs following the manufacturer’s instructions (total ghrelin and “active” (acylated) ghrelin: Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Intra-assay variability for the total and acylated ghrelin ELISAs were 0.3–7% and 0.7–1.3% CV, inter-assay variability 1–10% and 1.8–4.5% CV, and lower limits of detection 0.8 pg/mL and 0.04 ng/mL. Samples were assayed in duplicate and all compared samples were processed in the same assay. Acyl ghrelin concentrations were substracted from total ghrelin concentrations to derive a value for serum des-acyl ghrelin [42].

For the assessment of corticosterone and ACTH we collected blood into EDTA-coated tubes, centrifuged at 1000 g for 15 min at 4˚C. We then collected plasma and stored the samples at 20˚C until assayed. We used standard corticosterone and mouse/rat ACTH ELISA kits (Abnova Corp., Taipei, Taiwan). ACTH was assessed in samples obtained from adult animals only due to high volume sample requirement for this assay. The inter-assay variability for the corticosterone and ACTH assays were, respectively, 10.6% and 11.5% coefficient of variation (CV), intra-assay variability 5.3% and 7.6% CV, and lower limit of detection 0.28 ng/mL and 0.05 pg/mL.

Effects of neonatal overfeeding on hypothalamic and pituitary gene expression

To assess whether neonatal overfeeding alters hypothalamic or pituitary expression of the ghrelin receptor Ghsr, or the expression of Mboat4 (ghrelin O-acyl transferase, GOAT, the acylating enzyme), we collected brains and pituitaries from the animals described above and conducted quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Mboat4 expression in the hypothalamus and pituitary is modified in response to changes in the metabolic status in vivo and in response to direct stimulation with acyl ghrelin in vitro [43]. This evidence suggests that hypothalamic and pituitary Mboat4 expression may be regulated by different states of energy balance, and potentially modulated by neonatal overfeeding as we have previously seen in males [34]. We also assessed whether neonatal overfeeding affects gene expression of pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC), the ACTH precursor [44], and growth hormone (GH), release of which is robustly stimulated by ghrelin [45], in adult pituitary. We collected whole pituitaries and dissected the hypothalamus. These samples were immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20˚C until use. We isolated total RNA using QIAzol reagents and RNeasy Mini Kits (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA). We determined RNA concentrations using a spectrophotometer,
NanoDrop 2000/2000c (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE USA) and 1 μg RNA was transcribed to cDNA using iScript cDNA synthesis kits (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

We performed qRT-PCR using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems, Mulgrave, VIC, Australia) on a Rotor-Gene Q instrument (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). We compared a relative quantitative measure of the target gene expression with an endogenous control, 18s. See Table 1 for primer details. We analysed mRNA expression using the equation 2^ΔΔC(t), where C(t) is the threshold cycle at which fluorescence is first detected significantly above background [46], as described in our previous publications [5, 7, 34, 47, 48]. Data are presented as a fold increase relative to P7 CLs (neonates) or adult CLs (adults).

### Neuronal activation in response to ghrelin

To assess if neonatal overfeeding was likely to influence the ability of the neonatal hypothalamus to respond to circulating ghrelin, we examined neuronal activation in response to 1 mg/kg s.c. acylated ghrelin, 1 mg/kg s.c. des-acylated ghrelin, or equivalent volume of 0.9% saline at P12. For immunohistochemical analysis of c-Fos as a marker of ghrelin-induced neuronal activation we deeply anaesthetised the rats with Lethabarb 2 hr after injection and perfused them transcardially with phosphate buffered saline (PBS: 4˚C, pH 7.4), followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (PBS: 4˚C, pH 7.4). We selected the 2 hr time point for the immunohistochemical assessment of ghrelin-induced c-Fos expression based on our [18, 34] and others’ [49–52] previous work indicating that c-Fos is maximally expressed 2 hr after neuronal activation [53]. We have specifically shown that this time point is valid for the assessment of ghrelin-induced hypothalamic c-Fos immunoreactivity in both neonates and adults [34]. Brains were removed and post-fixed for 4 hr in the same fixative before placing them in 20% sucrose in PBS (4˚C). We then cut the neonatal forebrains into 40 μm coronal sections using a cryostat. We cut sections into a one in five series and stored them in sodium azide at 4˚C until use. We conducted all experiments between 0900 and 1300 hr to limit the potential effects of circadian rhythms on any parameters measured.

### Immunohistochemistry

Sections through the hypothalamus were immunolabelled for c-Fos. Randomly selected sections from each treatment group were processed at the same time in batches. We incubated a single one in five series of sections from each animal in primary antibody (overnight; 4˚C; (c-Fos: 1:10 000; rabbit; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), followed by secondary antibody (1.5 hr; 1:500; biotinylated anti-rabbit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and avidin-biotin horseradish peroxidase (HRP) complex (ABC; 45 min; Vector Elite kit; Vector). We then incubated the tissue in diaminobenzidine (DAB) intensified with nickel to visualize the HRP activity. We stopped the reaction when there was optimal contrast between
specific cellular and non-specific background labelling. We air-dried the brain sections, dehydrated them in a series of alcohols, cleared them in histolene and coverslipped. Hypothalamic sections were assessed by an experimenter blinded to treatment groups. We counted c-Fos-positive cells in four sections 120 μm apart between 2.52 and 3.12 (PVN) and 2.76 and 3.48 (ARC) mm caudal to bregma for each animal. We saw no differences between the rostrocaudal levels for any of the regions, so we took the sum of the c-Fos-positive cells across all four sections for each animal as our sampled result for that animal.

Effects of neonatal overfeeding on ghrelin and CRH-stimulated pituitary function in vitro in adulthood

To assess the in vitro effect of acylated ghrelin on the anterior pituitary release of ACTH and GH, we deeply anaesthetised CL and SL adult rats with Lethabarb, excised their anterior pituitaries and stored the pituitaries in ice-cold Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/Nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, Victoria, Australia) containing 0.1% BSA until all tissues were collected. We then bisected each anterior pituitary, weighed and pre-incubated each half pituitary for two x 1 hr in 1 mL of DMEM/F-12 at 37˚C in a 95% O2/5% CO2 atmosphere. After the pre-incubation period, we refreshed the medium and collected samples every 15 min up to 1 hr to obtain basal GH and ACTH release profiles. To assess the pituitary responsiveness to secretagogue stimuli, acyl ghrelin (10^{-6} M) and corticotropic-releasing hormone (CRH; 100 ng/mL)-containing media were added in the second fraction. At the end of each 15 min period the medium was collected and stored in -20˚C until assayed. The protocol was adapted from Cai et al. [47]. ACTH and GH levels were assessed by ELISAs following the manufacturer’s instructions. Intra-assay variability for the ACTH ELISA (MD Biosciences, St. Paul, MN, USA) was 3.1%-4.2% CV, inter-assay variability, 5.8%-6.2% CV, and lowest limit of detection, 0.46 pg/mL. Intra-assay variability for the GH ELISA (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was 1.7–4.3% CV, inter-assay variability, 3.2–4.9% CV, and lowest limit of detection, 0.07 ng/mL. Data are expressed as percentage of the basal ACTH and GH secretion as measured at the end of the first 15 min period, and set to 100%.

Data analysis

We analysed neonatal and adult changes separately. For neonates we compared ghrelin, gene expression, and hypothalamic responses to ghrelin using multi-factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA)s with litter size (CL/SL) and age (P7/14) as between factors. Weights were analysed using a Student’s unpaired t-test for P12, since we have previously reported P7 and P14 weights for females [5]. We also included treatment factors where appropriate (saline/ghrelin). Where significant interactions were found, we then performed Tukey post hoc tests. For the adults we used Student’s unpaired t-tests or two-way ANOVAs. ACTH and GH concentrations were compared using repeated measures ANOVA with litter size and treatment as the between factors and time as the repeated measure. A Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust for multiple comparisons. When the assumption of sphericity was violated, we used the Greenhouse-Geisser correction. Where significant interactions were found, we performed Student’s unpaired t-tests for each timepoint. Area under the curve (AUC) analyses were conducted to assess variations in ACTH and GH levels in the in vitro experiments, using \( \frac{(t_1 + t_2)}{2} + \frac{(t_2 + t_3)}{2} + \frac{(t_3 + t_4)}{2} \), where \( t_n \) is the hormone value at the \( n \) timepoint. Data are presented as the mean + SEM. Statistical significance was assumed when \( p \leq 0.05 \).
Results

Neonatal overfeeding has minimal effects on the neonatal hypothalamic ghrelin system in females

As we have previously demonstrated [3–5, 7, 38], neonatal overfeeding led to significant early weight gain so that the neonatally overfed females were significantly heavier than controls at P12 ($t_{(9)} = 3.89, p = 0.004; n = 5–6$; Fig 1A). Des-acyl ghrelin levels were differentially affected by age in female rats (significant litter size by age interaction: $F_{(1,26)} = 4.48, p = 0.044$), so that there was a significant small increase in des-acyl levels at P14 in CL, but not SL females. There was also a significant effect of age on acyl ($F_{(1,26)} = 14.91, p = 0.001$) and total ghrelin ($F_{(1,26)} = 19.79, p < 0.001$; Fig 1B–1D). Hypothalamic Ghsr and Mboat4 were not affected by neonatal overfeeding (Fig 1E and 1F) but there was a significant effect of age on Ghsr with both groups having more Ghsr at P14 than P7 ($F_{(1,27)} = 42.82, p < 0.001; n = 7–8 per group$).

To test if neonatal overfeeding alters the ability of neonates to respond to a ghrelin signal at this age, we gave them a single injection of acylated ghrelin, des-acylated ghrelin, or saline at P12 and examined hypothalamic c-Fos expression. There was no difference in neuronal activation after acylated or des-acylated ghrelin in the ARC (Fig 2A), despite this dose having a significant effect in males [34]. We did see a significant increase in c-Fos in the PVN in the rats that were given acylated ghrelin (significant effect of drug: $F_{(2,31)} = 16.45, p < 0.001; n = 5–6$; Fig 2B and 2C), but there was no effect of neonatal overfeeding on this response.

Neonatal overfeeding does not affect the adult hypothalamic ghrelin system in females

To assess the long-term effects of neonatal overfeeding on the ghrelin system, we examined circulating ghrelin and hypothalamic expression of Ghsr and Mboat4 (GOAT) mRNA of adult

![Fig 1. Effects of neonatal overfeeding on the neonatal ghrelin system. A) neonatal body weight, postnatal day (P) 12; n = 6 CL, 5 SL. B-D) serum ghrelin concentrations in control (CL) and neonatally overfed (SL) rats at P7 and P14; n = 8 for all groups. E) hypothalamic growth hormone secretagogue receptor (Ghsr) mRNA expression and F) ghrelin O-acyl transferase (GOAT; Mboat4); n = 8 CL P7, SL P7, CL P14, 7 SL P14. Data are mean ± SEM. * p < 0.05. There was a significant main effect of age on acyl and total ghrelin, but no post hoc group differences in this measure. Post hoc differences between the groups are indicated by joining lines and *.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173498.g001)
females that had been overfed as neonates. There was no effect of neonatal overfeeding on serum ghrelin levels in these females (Fig 3A). There was also no effect on hypothalamic Ghsr or Mboat4 expression (Fig 3B and 3C), despite a persistent increase in body weight in neonatally overfed females ($t_{(10)} = 4.36, p = 0.001; n = 6; \text{Fig } 3D$), indicating neonatal overfeeding leads to persistent weight gain without disrupting the hypothalamic ghrelin system in female rats.

**Neonatal overfeeding leads to lasting changes in pituitary ghrelin signalling in adult females**

We next assessed whether neonatal overfeeding might affect the potential for ghrelin to influence stress responses by disrupting its ability to modulate ACTH release at the level of the pituitary, either acutely or long-term.

**Pituitary Ghsr and Mboat4 gene expression.** There was a significant increase in Ghsr mRNA in the pituitaries of neonatally overfed compared with control rats at P14 (significant effect of litter size: $F_{(1,25)} = 6.89, p = 0.015$ and age: $F_{(1,25)} = 20.46, p < 0.001; n = 6–8; \text{Fig } 4A$), indicating that pituitary's capacity to respond to ghrelin may be altered at this age. There was no effect on Mboat4 expression in the same animals (Fig 4B). There was also no effect on basal
corticosterone levels to suggest unstimulated HPA axis was affected: \( CL \ P7 = 25.9 \pm 2.2, n = 5; \) SL P7 = 29.7 ± 3.5, n = 6; CL P14 = 34.6 ± 7.8, n = 5; SL P14 = 38.6 ± 7.0 ng/mL, n = 6.

There were notable persistent effects of neonatal overfeeding on pituitary \textit{Ghsr} and \textit{Mboat4} expression long-term, interestingly in the opposite direction to the neonatal effect. Thus, neonatal overfeeding significantly suppressed both pituitary \textit{Ghsr} \((t_{(12)} = 2.29, p = 0.041; n = 6–8)\) and \textit{Mboat4} \((t_{(12)} = 2.61, p = 0.023; n = 6–8)\) in the adult females compared with controls (Fig 4C and 4D). In the adults, basal circulating corticosterone (CL = 55.2 ± 13.7, SL = 41.7 ± 20.0 ng/mL; n = 7 / group) and ACTH (CL = 44.4 ± 7.5, SL = 29.2 ± 4.7 pg/mL; n = 9 CL, 5 SL) were also not affected.

**Unstimulated and stimulated \textit{in vitro} pituitary GH release.** We next considered whether this suppression of \textit{Ghsr} expression in the neonatally overfed adult pituitary would affect the pituitary’s ability to respond to upstream signals. There was a significant effect of time on the levels of GH in the unstimulated media, with no post hoc differences (within-subjects significant effect of time: \( F_{(3,18)} = 6.51, p = 0.004; n = 4; \)) and no effect of time on ACTH (Fig 5B). We found no effect of neonatal overfeeding on the basal \textit{in vitro} release of GH or ACTH over time (Fig 5A and 5B). GH secretion from the pituitary is directly and robustly stimulated by ghrelin [45]. In our neonatally overfed and control rats there was a significant effect of time on acyl ghrelin-induced GH levels, with no further \textit{post hoc} differences (within-subjects significant effect of time: \( F_{(3,27)} = 12.01, p = 0.001; n = 5–6; \)) and no differences between the groups with the AUC analysis (Fig 5D), indicating the GH response to acyl ghrelin is intact in the neonatally overfed females.

![Fig 3. Effects of neonatal overfeeding on the ghrelin system long-term. A) adult serum ghrelin concentrations. B) hypothalamic growth hormone secretagogue receptor (\textit{Ghsr}) and C) ghrelin O-acyl transferase (GOAT; \textit{Mboat4}) mRNA expression; n = 6 CL, 8 SL for A–C. D) adult body weight n = 6 for all groups. Data are mean + SEM. * \( p < 0.05. \) T-test differences between the groups are indicated by joining lines and *. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173498.g003](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173498.g003)

![Fig 4. Effects of neonatal overfeeding on the ghrelin pituitary signalling long-term. A) Neonatal pituitary growth hormone secretagogue receptor (\textit{Ghsr}); n = 8 CL P7, CL P14, 7 SL P7, 6 SL P14, and B) pituitary ghrelin O-acyl transferase (GOAT; \textit{Mboat4}) mRNA expression; n = 7 CL P7, SL P7, CL P14, 6 SL P14. C) Adult pituitary \textit{Ghsr} and D) pituitary \textit{Mboat4} mRNA expression in control (CL) and neonatally overfed (SL) rats; n = 6 CL, 8 SL. Data are mean + SEM. * \( p < 0.05. \) Post \textit{hoc} and t-test differences between the groups are indicated by joining lines and *. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173498.g004](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173498.g004)
Unstimulated and stimulated in vitro pituitary ACTH release. Neonatal overfeeding did, however, affect pituitary ACTH secretion. When stimulated in vitro with acyl ghrelin, the control pituitaries responded with a robust increase in ACTH at 15 min that was still elevated with respect to the neonatally overfed at 30 min after acyl ghrelin (within-subjects significant effect of time: $F_{(3,51)} = 3.38, p = 0.048$; between-subjects significant effect of litter size: $F_{(1,17)} = 5.57, p = 0.031$; $n = 8–11$; Fig 5E). The AUC analysis revealed a significant suppression of the overall production of ACTH in the neonatally overfed pituitaries in response to acyl ghrelin compared with controls ($t_{(4)} = 4.03, p = 0.016$; Fig 5F).

We next examined whether neonatal overfeeding similarly suppresses ACTH production in response to stimulation with CRH, the main stimulator of ACTH secretion. Stimulation with CRH increased ACTH production as compared to baseline levels and as previously shown in studies using similar protocols to assess CRH-stimulated ACTH production in vitro [54, 55]. There were no further post hoc differences between fractions or experimental groups (within-subjects significant effect of time: $F_{(3,21)} = 2.96, p = 0.056$; $n = 4–5$; Fig 5G), and no differences were revealed in the AUC analysis (Fig 5H). Thus, neonatal overfeeding leads to an impairment in ghrelin’s ability to stimulate pituitary ACTH, without affecting the ACTH response to stimulation with CRH. There were no differences in pituitary POMC or GH mRNA to suggest gene expression was affected by neonatal overfeeding (Fig 6A and 6B).

Discussion

Here we showed that in females neonatal overfeeding did not affect circulating ghrelin, in contrast to our previously observed findings in males [34], nor did it influence the hypothalamic
ghrelin system or the hypothalamic ability to respond to exogenous ghrelin, unlike in males [34]. Neonatal overfeeding did, however, alter the pituitary gene expression of GHSR and GOAT in female rats, with an increase in GHSR expression at two weeks of age, followed by a decrease in GHSR and GOAT expression in adult pituitary relative to controls. While the basal GH and ACTH levels, as well as the GH response to ghrelin and ACTH response to CRH remained intact in the neonatally overfed female pituitary, ACTH production was markedly less responsive to ghrelin.

We should note that factors in addition to differences in pre-weaning nutrition are inherent in this model. The model is certainly effective at increasing food consumption by those from small litters. Thus, earlier work shows rat pups raised in small litters receive more milk than those from control litters, despite the dam reducing her milk production to compensate [56]. These pups also have a pronounced increase in their growth trajectory that reflects this extra milk consumption, including earlier eye opening [38]. However, the model has factors additional to the nutritional elements that are likely to contribute to the long-term phenotype. For instance, the neonatally overfed rats may also experience increased maternal attention and care. There appear to be differences in the impact of litter size on maternal care in rats between different studies, with some studies indicating a significant negative correlation between litter size and the quality of maternal care (licking, grooming or arched-back nursing) [57, 58], while others report no such relationship [59, 60]. While we cannot rule out that maternal attention contributes to some of the effects we see in our studies, we should note the outcome of high levels of maternal care (licking, grooming or arched-back nursing) [57, 58], while others report no such relationship [59, 60]. While we cannot rule out that maternal attention contributes to some of the effects we see in our studies, we should note the outcome of high levels of maternal care in the rat is usually increased efficiency of the HPA axis due to histone acylation-mediated enhanced glucocorticoid receptor transcription [61]. If anything, being suckled in a small litter reduces HPA axis efficiency in female rats (and does not affect it in males [3]), i.e. the opposite effect. Differences in body temperature regulation within the nest and differences in specific nutritive contents of the milk, such as ghrelin, might also contribute to long-term phenotypic differences.

Ghrelin is traditionally recognised for its regulatory role in energy homeostasis [62, 63]. Circulating and peripherally-injected ghrelin activates GHSR-expressing NPY and AgRP neurons in the ARC. These neurons project to the PVN stimulating feeding behaviour (reviewed in [15]). At high doses peripherally administered ghrelin induces c-Fos activation in the PVN [49], although GHSR-containing neurons are negligibly expressed in this region [64, 65]. While direct infusion of acyl ghrelin into the PVN stimulates food intake [66], peripheral ghrelin-induced
feeding effects require intact ARC, since ARC-ablated mice do not respond to the orexigenic effects of peripherally administered ghrelin but increase food intake in response to centrally administered ghrelin [49]. Ghrelin also influences HPA axis activity and although GHSR-expressing cells do not co-localise with CRH-producing neurons in the PVN, centrally administered ghrelin increases CRH mRNA [67] and indirectly activates CRH neurons in this region [64]. Previous studies have shown these hypothalamic effects of ghrelin can be altered by varying environmental challenges including early life diet, at least in males. Thus, neonatal overfeeding in males attenuates peripheral ghrelin levels and alters hypothalamic responsiveness to exogenous ghrelin [32, 34]. Our data in the present study indicated neonatal overfeeding in females did not affect the circulating and central ghrelin system as it does in males [32, 34], suggesting sex differences may exist in the way the early life nutritional environment influences ghrelin’s metabolic effects. Several other studies have observed sex differences in circulating ghrelin levels, with increases in basal total ghrelin levels in women [68, 69], as well as exercise- and fasting-induced increases in acyl ghrelin levels in women [70] and total ghrelin levels in women [71, 72] and female rats [73], compared to their male counterparts. Evidence from animal and human studies also indicates increased prevalence of stress-related disorders in females of reproductive age, relative to males [74–78]. Similarly, we have previously demonstrated increases in the stress responsiveness of female neonatally overfed rats, an effect that is not seen in males [3], leading us to investigate the stress-related effects of ghrelin in the context of early life overfeeding.

It is important to note that we did not monitor the estrous cycle in this cohort of animals due to the significant potential for these measurements to cause additional stress. We consider that if cycle-related variability was a substantial contributing factor to our results we would expect to see greater variability in the various measures in females compared to what we have previously seen in males [34], which is not the case. In support of our own observation, a recent meta-analysis of 311 articles in the field of neuroscience reveals that even when female rats are used in neuroscience experiments without controlling for their estrous cycle stage, there is no greater data variability in females than there is in males [79]. In addition, we have previously investigated the impact of neonatal overfeeding on the onset of reproductive maturity and while the onset of estrous cycle occurs earlier in the neonatally overfed [5], there are no differences in the regularity of estrous cyclicity (unpublished observations), suggesting there are no pre-existing differences in the cycle regularity between the groups that could differentially affect one group over the other.

Ghrelin is gaining increasing attention as a mediator of stress, reward, and mood disorders [18, 23, 80–82]. Ghrelin, particularly acyl ghrelin, is acutely elevated by an exposure to stress [22–24], or even in anticipation of stress [82]. A stress-induced increase in acyl ghrelin directly corresponds to the extent of stress, so that men and women with greater glucocorticoid responses to stress also have higher ghrelin levels [22], and women who experience high levels of interpersonal stress have higher levels of total ghrelin than those women who experience fewer interpersonal stressors [83]. We have also previously demonstrated that under conditions of acute stress, acyl ghrelin acts as an anxiolytic factor by acting at the level of the anterior pituitary [18].

In response to stress, CRH is released from the PVN and acts at the anterior pituitary to stimulate the release of ACTH. ACTH then induces the release of glucocorticoids from the adrenal cortex. These then feed back onto the glucocorticoid receptors in the hypothalamus and the hippocampus to suppress further activation [84, 85]. In mice lacking endogenous ghrelin (ghr−/−), activation of the PVN in response to acute stress is exacerbated, but ACTH and glucocorticoid release are reduced compared with wild-type mice. Exogenous glucocorticoids induce similar activation of the PVN, and exogenous ACTH stimulates similar glucocorticoid release in both groups, suggesting that the hypothalamic and adrenal ability to respond to stress...
is normal in the absence of ghrelin [18]. Since GHSR expression is extensive in the corticotropin cells in the anterior pituitary [18, 86], it is likely that reduced activation of pituitary GHSR in ghr-/- mice is responsible for reduced ACTH levels, diminished negative feedback on the HPA axis and increased anxiety in these animals [18]. Similarly, GHSR null mice demonstrate increased stress-induced depressive behaviours as compared to wild type controls [87], suggesting intact GHSR signalling is necessary to minimise the detrimental effects of stress.

Together, these findings suggest the decreased pituitary gene expression of GHSR we saw in neonatally overfed adult females in the present study may account for the less effective pituitary response to ghrelin in terms of ACTH secretion. Pituitary GOAT expression, that was also decreased in the neonatally overfed females, has been previously shown to be down-regulated in obese animals [43]. Stimulation of mouse corticotroph cells with acyl ghrelin increases GOAT mRNA expression by these cells, indicating that acyl ghrelin may regulate its own production and function at the level of the pituitary [43]. Therefore, reduced gene expression of pituitary GHSR and GOAT in the neonatally overfed adult females conceivably limited acyl ghrelin’s effects on ACTH release and would potentially lead to an impaired ability of the neonatally overfed females to respond to stress in vivo. As such, GOAT deletion has been recently shown to result in an anxiogenic phenotype under both stressed and non-stressed conditions, due to reduced negative glucocorticoid feedback in the PVN [88].

Unlike in previous studies [89], we did not see differences in basal corticosterone or ACTH in the adults to indicate the HPA axis is altered under basal conditions, although it would be interesting to test this with different levels of energy balance. It is interesting, however, that in vivo both neonatally overfed and control female rats respond with elevated corticosterone levels to restraint stress compared with males. There is, interestingly, an effect of time on the release of corticosterone in the neonatally overfed compared with controls, with a tendency for a diminished corticosterone response in neonatally overfed females at 30 min, but not 60 min post-stress, relative to control females [3]. The timing of hormonal and behavioural changes after stress is particularly relevant when examining the effects of ghrelin, since stress-induced increases in ghrelin or administration of exogenous ghrelin in vivo have been shown to exert both anxiolytic and anxiogenic effects. This disparity is likely to be related to the duration of stress, the levels of ghrelin, the timing of assessment after stress or ghrelin challenge, and food availability (reviewed in [17, 90]). Under food-restricted conditions ghrelin promotes food-seeking and increases locomotion, contributing to anxiety-like behaviour, while when food availability is not restricted ghrelin decreases locomotion (reviewed in [17]). Our previous data indicate that under non-stressed conditions ghrelin produces anxiogenic effects, while it acts as an anxiolytic in response to acute stress [18]. These findings highlight the complexity of ghrelin’s role in the regulation of stress responsiveness in vivo, and its investigation in the laboratory setting.

Collectively, our data suggest that, unlike in males [34], neonatal overfeeding in females did not alter the hypothalamic ghrelin system. However, neonatal overfeeding did affect pituitary ghrelin signalling in females. These findings suggest early life obesity potentially alters how females respond to stress throughout life via changes to the way ghrelin modifies the stress response at the level of the pituitary. Further studies are needed to establish these effects in vivo and if these findings are applicable across species.

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by a Discovery Project Grant from the Australian Research Council (ARC) to SJS (DP130100508), an ARC Future Fellowship (FT110100084) and an RMIT University VC Senior Research Fellowship to SJS.
Author Contributions
Conceptualization: LS IZ SS.
Data curation: LS IZ SS.
Formal analysis: LS IZ SS.
Funding acquisition: SS.
Investigation: LS IZ SS.
Methodology: LS IZ SS.
Project administration: LS IZ SS.
Resources: SS.
Supervision: LS SS.
Validation: LS IZ SS.
Visualization: LS IZ SS.
Writing – original draft: LS SS.
Writing – review & editing: LS IZ SS.

References
1. Whitaker RC, Wright JA, Pepe MS, Seidel KD, Dietz WH. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337(13):869–73. Epub 1997/09/26. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199709263371301 PMID: 9302900
2. Clarke MA, Stefanidis A, Spencer SJ. Postnatal overfeeding leads to obesity and exacerbated febrile responses to lipopolysaccharide throughout life. J Neuroendocrinol. 2012; 24(3):511–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2826.2011.02269.x PMID: 22175701
3. Spencer SJ, Tilbrook A. Neonatal overfeeding alters adult anxiety and stress responsiveness. Psycho-neuroendocrinology. 2009; 34(8):1133–43. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.02.013 PMID: 19303720
4. Stefanidis A, Spencer SJ. Effects of neonatal overfeeding on juvenile and adult feeding and energy expenditure in the rat. PLoS One. 2012; 7(12):e52130. Epub 2012/12/20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052130 PMID: 23251693
5. Sominsky L, Ziko I, Soch A, Smith JT, Spencer SJ. Neonatal overfeeding induces early decline of the ovarian reserve: Implications for the role of leptin. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2016; 431:24–35. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2016.05.001 PMID: 27154163
6. Bilbo SD, Tsang V. Enduring consequences of maternal obesity for brain inflammation and behavior of offspring. FASEB J. 2010; 24(6):2104–15. Epub 2010/02/04. doi: 10.1096/fj.09-144014 PMID: 20124437
7. Ziko I, De Luca S, Dinan T, Banwood JM, Sominsky L, Cai G, et al. Neonatal overfeeding alters hypothalamic microglial profiles and central responses to immune challenge long-term. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2014; 41:32–43. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2014.06.014 PMID: 24975992
8. Jaaskelainen A, Nevanpera N, Remes J, Rathkonen F, Jarvelin MR, Laitinen J. Stress-related eating, obesity and associated behavioural traits in adolescents: a prospective population-based cohort study. BMC Public Health. 2014; 14:321. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-321 PMID: 24708823
9. Barry D, Pietrzak RH, Petry NM. Gender differences in associations between body mass index and DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Ann Epidemiol. 2008; 18(6):458–66. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2007.12.009 PMID: 18328984
10. Fowler-Brown AG, Bennett GG, Goodman MS, Wee CC, Corbie-Smith GM, James SA. Psychosocial Stress and 13-year BMI Change Among Blacks: The Pitt County Study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2009.
11. Daee A, Robinson P, Lawson M, Turpin JA, Gregory B, Tobias JD. Psychologic and physiologic effects of dieting in adolescents. South Med J. 2002; 95(9):1032–41. PMID: 12356104
Delahaye F, Breton C, Risold PY, Enache M, Dutriez-Casteloot I, Laborie C, et al. Maternal perinatal Steculorum SM. Disruption of ghrelin signaling during early postnatal development has enduring effects on energy balance regulation Abstract; 7th International Congress of Neuroendocrinology. 2010.

30. Delahaye F, Breton C, Risold PY, Enache M, Dutriez-Casteloot I, Laborie C, et al. Maternal perinatal undernutrition drastically reduces postnatal leptin surge and affects the development of arcuate nucleus proopiomelanocortin neurons in neonatal male rat pups. Endocrinology. 2008; 149(2):470–5. doi: 10.1210/en.2007-1263 PMID: 18006626

31. Bouret SG. Organizational actions of metabolic hormones. Front Neuroendocrinol. 2013; 34(1):18–26. doi: 10.1016/j.yfen.2013.01.001 PMID: 23357643

32. Collden G, Ballard E, Parkash J, Caron E, Langlet F, Prevot V, et al. Neonatal overnutrition causes early alterations in the central response to peripheral ghrelin. Mol Metab. 2015; 4(1):15–24. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2014.10.003 PMID: 25685686
33. Soares VM, Garcia-Souza EP, Lacerda-Miranda G, Moura AS. Early life overfeeding decreases acylated ghrelin circulating levels and upregulates GHSR1a signaling pathway in white adipose tissue of obese young mice. Regul Pept. 2012; 174(1–3):6–11. doi: 10.1016/jрегеп.2011.11.002 PMID: 22119755

34. Sominsky L, Ziko I, Nguyen TX, Andrews ZB, Spencer SJ. Early life disruption to the ghrelin system with over-eating is resolved in adulthood in male rats. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2016; 41(13):21–30.

35. Moriyama C, Galic MA, Mychasiuk R, Prittman QJ, Perrot TS, Currie RW, et al. Prenatal transport stress, postnatal maternal behavior, and offspring sex differentially affect seizure susceptibility in young rats. Epilepsy Behav. 2013; 29(1):19–27. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2013.06.017 PMID: 23920381

36. Del Cerro MC, Perez-Laso C, Ortega E, Martin JL, Gomez F, Perez-Izquierdo MA, et al. Maternal care counteracts behavioral effects of prenatal environmental stress in female rats. Behavioural brain research. 2010; 208(2):593–602. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrain.2010.01.003 PMID: 20079763

37. Denenberg VH, Grotta LJ, Zarrow MX. Maternal behaviour in the rat: analysis of cross-fostering. J Reprod Fertil. 1963; 5:133–41. PMID: 14026970

38. Smith JT, Spencer SJ. Preweaning over- and underfeeding alters onset of puberty in the rat without affecting kisspeptin. Biol Reprod. 2012; 86(5):145–1–8. Epub 2012/03/02. doi: 10.1095/bioreprod.111.097758 PMID: 22378761

39. Schmidt I, Fritz A, Scholch C, Schneider D, Simon E, Plagemann A. The effect of leptin treatment on the development of obesity in overfed suckling Wistar rats. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001; 25(8):1168–74. doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801669 PMID: 11477501

40. Lopez M, Tovar S, Vazquez MJ, Nogueras R, Seoane LM, Garcia M, et al. Perinatal overfeeding in rats results in increased levels of plasma leptin but unchanged cerebrospinal leptin in adulthood. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007; 31(2):371–7.

41. Hosoda H, Doi K, Nagaya N, Okumura H, Nakagawa E, Enomoto M, et al. Optimum collection and storage conditions for ghrelin measurements: octanoyl modification of ghrelin is rapidly hydrolyzed to deacyl ghrelin in blood samples. Clin Chem. 2004; 50(6):1077–80. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2003.025841 PMID: 15161728

42. Gahete MD, Cordoba-Chacon J, Salvatori R, Castano JP, Kineman RD, Luque RM. Metabolic regulation of ghrelin O-acetyl transferase (GOAT) expression in the mouse hypothalamus, pituitary, and stomach. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2010; 317(1–2):154–60. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2009.12.023 PMID: 20035826

43. Autelitano DJ, Lundblad JR, Blum M, Roberts JL. Hormonal regulation of POMC gene expression. Annu Rev Physiol. 1989; 51:715–26. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ph.51.030189.003435 PMID: 2653201

44. Howard AD, Feighner SD, Cully DF, Arena JP, Liberatore PA, Rosenblum CI, et al. A receptor in pituitary and hypothalamus that functions in growth hormone release. Science. 1996; 273(5277):974–7. Epub 1996/08/16. PMID: 8688086

45. Schaeffer M, Langlet F, Lafont C, Molino F, Hodson DJ, Roux T, et al. Rapid sensing of circulating ghrelin by hypothalamic appetite-modifying neurons. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110(4):1512–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1212137110 PMID: 23297228

46. Hunt SP, Pini A, Evans G. Induction of c-fos-like protein in spinal cord neurons following sensory stimulation. Nature. 1987; 328(6131):632–4. doi: 10.1038/328632a0 PMID: 3112583
54. Zelena D, Barna I, Pinter O, Klausz B, Varga J, Makara GB. Congenital absence of vasopressin and age-dependent changes in ACTH and corticosterone stress responses in rats. Stress. 2011; 14(4):420–30. doi: 10.3109/10253890.2011.552991 PMID: 21438769

55. Cohen EP, Bruder ED, Cullinan WE, Ziegler D, Raff H. Effect of high-dose total body irradiation on ACTH, corticosterone, and catecholamines in the rat. Transl Res. 2011; 157(1):38–47. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2010.09.007 PMID: 21441649

56. Fiorotto ML, Burdin DG, Perez M, Reeds PJ. Intake and use of milk nutrients by rat pups suckled in small, medium, or large litters. Am J Physiol. 1991; 260(6 Pt 2):R1104–11. PMID: 20587387

57. Pryce CR, Bettschen D, Feldon J. Comparison of the effects of early handling and early deprivation on maternal care in the rat. Dev Psychobiol. 2001; 38(4):239–51. PMID: 11319730

58. Dimantos E, Escorihuela RM, Fuentes S, Armario A, Nadal R. Litter size affects emotionality in adult male rats. Physiol Behav. 2007; 92(4):708–16. Epub 2007/06/30. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.05.066 PMID: 17599368

59. Champagne FA, Francis DD, Mar A, Meaney MJ. Variations in maternal care in the rat as a mediating influence for the effects of environment on development. Physiol Behav. 2003; 79(3):359–71. PMID: 12954431

60. Caldiji C, Tannenbaum B, Sharma S, Francis D, Plotksy PM, Meaney MJ. Maternal care during infancy regulates the development of neural systems mediating the expression of fearlessness in the rat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1998; 95(9):5335–40. PMID: 9560276

61. Hellstrom IC, Dhir SK, Diorio JC, Meaney MJ. Maternal licking regulates hippocampal glucocorticoid receptor transcription through a thyroid hormone-serotonin-NGFI-A signalling cascade. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2012; 367(1601):2495–510. Epub 2012/07/25. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0223 PMID: 22826348

62. Tschop M, Smiley DL, Heiman ML. Ghrelin induces adiposity in rodents. Nature. 2000; 407(6806):908–13. Epub 2000/11/01. doi: 10.1038/35038090 PMID: 11057670

63. Nakazato M, Murakami N, Date Y, Kojima M, Matsuo H, Kangawa K, et al. A role for ghrelin in the central regulation of feeding. Nature. 2001; 409(6817):194–8. Epub 2001/02/24. doi: 10.1038/35051587 PMID: 11196643

64. Cabral A, Suescun O, Zigman JM, Perello M. Ghrelin indirectly activates hypothalamic CRF neurons in rodents. PLoS One. 2012; 7(2):e31462. Epub 2012/03/01. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031462 PMID: 22363652

65. Zigman JM, Jones JE, Lee CE, Saper CB, Elmquist JK. Expression of ghrelin receptor mRNA in the rat and the mouse brain. J Comp Neurol. 2006; 494(3):528–48. Epub 2005/12/02. doi: 10.1002/cne.20823 PMID: 16320257

66. Currie PJ, Mirza A, Fuld R, Park D, Vasselli JR. Ghrelin is an orexigenic and metabolic signaling peptide in the arcuate and paraventricular nuclei. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2005; 289(2):R353–R8. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00756.2004 PMID: 15817841

67. Johnstone LE, Srisawat R, Kumarnsit E, Leng G. Hypothalamic expression of NPY mRNA, vasopressin mRNA and CRF mRNA in response to food restriction and central administration of the orexigenic peptide GHRP-6. Stress. 2005; 8(1):59–67. Epub 2005/07/16. doi: 10.1080/10253890500095283 PMID: 16019598

68. Makovey J, Naganathan V, Seibel M, Sambrook P. Gender differences in plasma ghrelin and its relations to body composition and bone—an opposite-sex twin study. Clinical endocrinology. 2007; 66(4):530–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2007.02768.x PMID: 17371471

69. Barkan AL, Dimaraki EV, Jessup SK, Symons KV, Ermolenko M, Jaffe CA. Ghrelin secretion in humans is sexually dimorphic, suppressed by somatostatin, and not affected by the ambient growth hormone levels. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2003; 88(5):2180–4. doi: 10.1210/jc.2002-021169 PMID: 12729793

70. Hagopian TA, Braun B. Physical activity and hormonal regulation of appetite: sex differences and weight control. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2010; 38(1):25–30. doi: 10.1097/JES.0b013e3181c5cd98 PMID: 20016296

71. Espelund U, Hansen TK, Højlund K, Beck-Nielsen H, Clausen JT, Hansen BS, et al. Fasting unmasks a strong inverse association between ghrelin and cortisol in serum: studies in obese and normal-weight subjects. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2005; 90(2):741–6. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-0604 PMID: 15522942

72. Ostergärd T, Hansen TK, Nyholm B, Gravholt CH, Djurhuus CB, Hosoda H, et al. Circulating ghrelin concentrations are reduced in healthy offspring of Type 2 diabetic subjects, and are increased in women independent of a family history of Type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2003; 46(1):134–6. PMID: 12643260
73. Gayle DA, Desai M, Casillas E, Beloosesky R, Ross MG. Gender-specific orexigenic and anorexigenic mechanisms in rats. Life Sci. 2006; 79(16):1531–6. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2006.04.015 PMID: 16697419

74. Ter Horst GJ, Wichmann R, Gerrits M, Westenbroek C, Lin Y. Sex differences in stress responses: focus on ovarian hormones. Physiol Behav. 2009; 97(2):239–49. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.02.036 PMID: 19275910

75. Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Swartz M, Nelson CB. Sex and depression in the National Comorbidity Survey. I: Lifetime prevalence, chronicity and recurrence. J Affect Disord. 1993; 29(2–3):85–96. PMID: 8309081

76. Marcus SM, Young EA, Kerber KB, Kornstein S, Farabaugh AJ, Mitchell J, et al. Gender differences in depression: findings from the STAR*D study. J Affect Disord. 2005; 87(2–3):141–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2004.09.008 PMID: 15982748

77. Iwasaki-Sekino A, Mano-Otagiri A, Ohata H, Yamauchi N, Shibasaki T. Gender differences in corticotropin and corticosterone secretion and corticotropin-releasing factor mRNA expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus and the central nucleus of the amygdala in response to footshock stress or psychological stress in rats. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2009; 34(2):226–37. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.09.003 PMID: 18849120

78. Becker JB, Prendergast BJ, Liang JW. Female rats are not more variable than male rats: a meta-analysis of neuroscience studies. Biol Sex Differ. 2016; 7:34. doi: 10.1186/s13293-016-0087-5 PMID: 27468347

80. Abizaid A, Liu ZW, Andrews ZB, Shanabrough M, Borok E, Elsworth JD, et al. Ghrelin modulates the activity and synaptic input organization of midbrain dopamine neurons while promoting appetite. J Clin Invest. 2006; 116(12):3229–39. Epub 2006/10/25. doi: 10.1172/JCI29867 PMID: 17060947

81. Beckett R, Dutour A, Guillaume V, Achard V, Oliver C, Grino M. Postnatal diet-induced obesity in rats upregulates systemic and adipose tissue glucocorticoid metabolism during development and in adulthood: its relationship with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes. 2005; 54(1):197–203. PMID: 15616029

82. Boullu-Ciocca S, Dutour A, Guillaume V, Achard V, Oliver C, Grino M. Postnatal diet-induced obesity in rats upregulates systemic and adipose tissue glucocorticoid metabolism during development and in adulthood: its relationship with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes. 2005; 54(1):197–203. PMID: 15616029

83. Stengel A, Wang L, Tache Y. Stress-related alterations of acyl and desacyl ghrelin circulating levels: mechanisms and functional implications. Peptides. 2011; 32(11):2208–17. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2011.07.002 PMID: 21782668