Histological and immunological differences between zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania major* and sporadic cutaneous leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania infantum*

Thouraya Boussoffara1,4,*, Mohamed Samir Boubaker2,4,6, Melika Ben Ahmed1,4, Mourad Mokni5,6, Ikram Guizani3,4, Afif Ben Salah1,4,7, and Hechmi Louzir1,4,6

1 Laboratory of Transmission, Control, and Immunobiology of Infections, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia
2 Laboratory of Human and Experimental Pathology, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia
3 Laboratory of Molecular Epidemiology and Experimental Pathology Applied to Infectious Diseases, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia
4 University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia
5 Department of Dermatology, Hospital La Rabta, Tunis, Tunisia
6 Faculté de Médecine de Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia
7 Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Arabian Gulf University (AGU), Manama, Bahrain

Received 25 July 2018, Accepted 11 February 2019, Published online 27 February 2019

**Abstract** – Lesion features in cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) depend on the infecting *Leishmania* species as well as on host immune response. In this study, we evaluated the histological and immunological differences between two forms of CL described in Tunisia: zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis (ZCL) caused by *L. major* and sporadic cutaneous leishmaniasis (SCL) caused by *L. infantum*. Histological analysis showed a mild to moderate infiltrate within ZCL lesions. In contrast, massive infiltration of the dermis was observed within SCL lesions. Contrary to ZCL, infiltrates within SCL lesions were organized and showed granuloma composed of macrophages and lymphocytes. In addition, immunohistochemical analysis showed a predominance of CD4+ T cells within both CL forms. Furthermore, expression of interferon-γ, interleukin (IL)-10, IL-8, IL-13 and monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP)-1 was evaluated using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). MCP-1 and IL-10 were expressed at comparable levels in ZCL and SCL lesions. Interestingly, IL-8 mRNA levels were significantly higher in ZCL lesions compared to SCL lesions, but interferon-γ was significantly higher in SCL lesions than in ZCL lesions.
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**Résumé** – Différences histologiques et immunologiques entre la leishmaniose cutanée zoonotique due à *Leishmania major* et la leishmaniose cutanée sporadique due à *Leishmania infantum*. Les caractéristiques des lésions de la leishmaniose cutanée (LC) dépendent de l’espèce de *Leishmania* infectante ainsi que de la réponse immunitaire de l’hôte. Dans cette étude, nous avons évalué les différences histologiques et immunologiques entre deux formes de LC décrites en Tunisie: la leishmaniose cutanée zoonotique (LCZ) causée par *L. major* et la leishmaniose cutanée sporadique (LCS) causée par *L. infantum*. L’analyse histologique a montré un infiltrat léger à modéré dans les lésions LCS. En revanche, une infiltration massive du derme a été observée dans les lésions LCS. Contrairement à la LCZ, les infiltrats au sein des lésions LCS étaient organisés et montraient un granulome composé de macrophages et de lymphocytes. En outre, l’analyse immunohistochimique a montré une prédominance de cellules T CD4+ dans les deux formes de LC. De plus, l’expression de l’interféron-γ, des interleukines (IL)-10, IL-8, IL-13 et de la protéine chimiotactique monocytaire (MCP)-1 ont été évaluées en utilisant une réaction en chaîne de la polymérase quantitative en temps réel (RT-qPCR). MCP-1 et IL-10 étaient exprimés à des niveaux comparables dans les lésions LCZ et LCS. Fait intéressant, les niveaux d’ARNm d’IL-8 étaient significativement plus élevés dans les lésions LCZ que dans les lésions LCS, mais l’interféron-γ était significativement plus élevé dans les lésions LCS que dans les lésions LCZ.
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Introduction

In North Africa, cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is caused by three *Leishmania* (L) species: *L. major*, *L. tropica*, and *L. infantum*. These three species prevail under different bioclimates and differ by the nature of their vectors and reservoir hosts [4]. They are responsible for three CL forms, which exhibit different epidemiological and clinical features, and need specific control measures. In Tunisia, the most prevalent CL form is zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis (ZCL), caused by *L. major*. ZCL is endemic in several rural areas of the southern and central regions of Tunisia [39]. Transmission of *L. major* by the phlebotomine sand fly vector, *P. papatasi*, occurs during summer and active lesions in humans emerge during the autumn and winter months [6]. The disease is pleomorphic in its clinical presentation and course. In North Tunisia, sporadic cutaneous leishmaniasis (SCL), caused by *L. infantum*, is described [3]. Its distribution overlaps with visceral leishmaniasis, with an incidence of approximately 30 cases per year [21]. SCL is characterized by single ulcerated dermal lesions that localize mostly in the face. The third form is chronic cutaneous leishmaniasis (CCL) caused by *L. killicki*, an enzymatic variant of *L. tropica* which occurs within microfoci in south-eastern Tunisia [7]. New foci recently emerged in the central and south-western parts of the country [10].

The outcome of *Leishmania* infection depends on the parasite, host and sand fly [34]. It depends on a complex set of interactions between several factors triggered by host innate and acquired immune responses [40]. In fact, the most important line of defense against parasite infection is cell-mediated immune response, which participates actively in granuloma formation, which will eventually limit the expansion of the infectious agent and thus control the disease [25]. Accordingly, several studies have focused on intralesional cytokine and chemokine gene expression, especially in human New World leishmaniasis [20, 26, 33, 43], but few studies have been conducted concerning Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis [23]. During *L. braziliensis* infection, Th1 cytokine mRNAs (IFN-γ and lymphotixin) are present in localized cutaneous lesions, whereas IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10 mRNAs are abundant in mucosal lesions [33]. Similarly, mRNAs of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-8 were found to be expressed in all forms of American cutaneous leishmaniasis (ACL), whereas IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10 were expressed in mucosal and diffuse forms of the disease [12]. Th2 cytokines were weakly expressed in localized cutaneous leishmaniasis (LCL) caused by *L. braziliensis* or *L. major* compared to Th1 cytokines [12, 23, 26, 33]. However, we showed in a previous study that the presence of Th1 cytokines (IL-12 and IFN-γ) is not correlated with the healing process of the ZCL lesions due to *L. major* infection. High levels of these protective cytokines were detected in lesions with a protracted clinical course compared to those showing clinical improvement, indicating that the pathogenesis of the disease is not related to inadequate Th1 cell response [23]. In addition, in localized ACL caused by *L. mexicana*, intralesional expression of IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, and IL-5 was minimal or absent, whereas IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10, TGF-β, IFN-γ, and TNF-β mRNAs were abundant [26]. However, it was shown that in cutaneous leishmaniasis due to *L. guyanensis*, Th2 cytokines, and particularly IL-13 are produced locally at the site of infection [8]. Authors demonstrated that Th2 response precedes the development of a Th1 response at the local site of infection in LCL patients [9].

In the present study, we first evaluated whether the two forms of LCL (ZCL and SCL) showed any differences in histopathological and immunohistochemical features. Then, using an RT-qPCR method, we compared the intra-lesional expression of certain cytokines (IFN-γ, IL-10 and IL-13) and chemokines (IL-8 and monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP)-1) in an attempt to evaluate immune response within lesions.

Materials and methods

Ethical issues

Skin lesion specimens examined in this study were collected between 1996 and 2000 within the framework of several projects conducted at the Pasteur Institute of Tunis. The protocols were approved by the Bio-Medical Ethics Committee (BMEC) of the Pasteur Institute of Tunis.

Skin lesion specimens

Skin lesion specimens were obtained from two groups of patients. The first was composed of 20 patients with active ZCL (age range 7–14 years, mean age 9.9 years with a sex ratio equal to 0.66) living in an endemic focus of *L. major* transmission (governorate of Kairouan in the center of Tunisia). Patients with multiple lesions had two to three biopsies done. The second group was composed of 32 patients with active SCL (age range 10–76 years, mean age 26.5 with a sex ratio equal to 1.28) living in an endemic focus of *L. infantum* transmission (governorate of Beja in northern Tunisia). Serous dermal fluid was first collected from the border of the lesion and was used in parasitological tests, as described below. Thereafter, a 3 mm diameter skin punch biopsy was taken from each patient after local anesthesia at the border of the lesion. Lesion specimens were divided into two parts: one was immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and the other was fixed in 10% neutral formalin saline for paraffin embedding. As negative controls, skin biopsies were obtained from eight donors suffering from other diseases (age range 27–59 years, mean age 39.4 years) with a sex ratio equal to 0.66.

Parasitological evaluation

For the detection of *Leishmania* parasite, we used the protocol previously described [23]. Briefly, serous dermal fluid was collected from the border of the lesion and used to prepare May-Grünwald-Giemsa (MGG)-stained smears and to inoculate Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle (NNN) medium or coagulated rabbit serum (CRS) medium. The intralesional parasite burden was estimated by counting amastigotes on MGG-stained smears. SCL lesions were selected on the basis of the positivity of one of these tests. Otherwise, the *Leishmania* parasites that had been isolated in NNN or CRS medium were then expanded in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum and used for the purpose of typing strains either
by isoenzyme electrophoresis or molecular techniques [5, 21]. Species identification was carried out by our collaborators in the framework of other projects aiming to characterize the geographical distribution of Leishmania species in Tunisia [3, 5]. The main Leishmania species isolated from SCL lesions is L. infantum zymodemes MON-24 and that isolated from ZCL lesions is L. major MON-25 [21].

**Histopathological analysis**

Tissue sections 3–4 µm thick were cut from formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks and were used to prepare routine histopathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC) slides. Inflammatory reactions in samples were based on the infiltrate density, presence or absence of plasma cells, macrophages (epithelioid cells and giant cells), unorganized or organized granulomas, and type of epithelial hyperplasia in stained slides. Cells were assessed using a semi-quantitative procedure (slight to intense). Evaluation of the lymphocytic infiltrate, plasmocytes and polynuclear density was performed as previously described by Mokni et al. [28], according to the following six-stage classification: absent (0), slight (+/−), moderate (+), slight intense (++), intense (+++), and very intense (++++). The type of epithelioid granuloma was evaluated according to the following three-stage classification: 0 = absent, 1 = disorganized granuloma, and 2 = organized granuloma. Epithelial hyperplasia was evaluated according to the following four-stage classification: 0 = none, 1 = slight, 2 = moderate, and 3 = pseudo EOA (pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia).

**Immunohistochemical study**

Immunohistochemical staining was performed by the labeled streptavidin biotin visualization system (LSAB) using an LSAB-HRP kit (DakoCytomation, Denmark A/S), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The following primary monoclonal antibodies were used for immunohistochemical staining: rabbit anti-human CD3 and mouse anti-human CD4, CD8, CD56 from Becton Dickinson (San Jose, California). Staining: rabbit anti-human CD3 and mouse anti-human CD4, CD8, CD56 from Becton Dickinson (San Jose, California). For all antibodies, positively stained mononuclear cells were considered negative and a minimum of two copies of the KMP-11 gene encoding for a protein abundantly expressed by promastigotes [22].

**Quantification of gene expression by quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from frozen lesion specimens using the TRizol® reagent (Gibco BRL, Life Technologies, Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using reverse transcriptase M-MLV RT (Murine-Moloney Leukemia virus reverse transcriptase, Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD). mRNA quantification was performed for IFN-γ, IL-10, IL-13, IL-8 and MCP-1 using quantitative PCR (q-PCR) according to the TaqMan procedure and using the “Universal PCR Master Mix” and “Pre-developed assay reagent Kit” (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). For each PCR reaction, target samples were tested with three negative controls. Following activation of the AmpliTaq Gold for 10 min at 95 °C, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing and extension at 62 °C for 1 min were carried out. Quantity of mRNA was firstly normalized referring to expression of an endogenous control, the 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), given by the formula 2−ΔCT (ΔCT is the difference in threshold cycles for target and reference), and then relative to a calibrator (Mean ΔCT of each gene mRNA expression within biopsies from normal skin) given by the formula 2−ΔΔCT (ΔΔCT is the difference in ΔCT for target and normal skin).

**Quantitative real-time PCR assay for parasite load**

Parasite load within CL lesion specimens was evaluated by quantification of the KMP-11 (Kinetoplastid Membrane Protein) gene encoding for a protein abundantly expressed in promastigotes [22]. The PCR reaction was performed using a Taq-Man PCR kit (Applied Biosystems). The upstream and downstream primer sequences of KMP-11 were 5′-CGCCAAAGTTCTTGTGGAGACA-3′ and 5′-CATGATCACGGAGACACA-3′, respectively. A fluorogenic probe 5′-FAM-CGCCCGAGATGAAGGAGCACTACG-TAMRA3′ was synthesized by PE Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). Each q-PCR reaction was set in a total of 25 µL each, containing 50 ng of template gDNA, 0.3 µM of each forward and reverse primer, 0.1 µM fluorogenic probe, 12.5 µL of q-PCR master mix and 5.75 µL nuclelease-free water. In addition, a “no template” control in duplicate was included on each plate to prove absence of contamination. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min and 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 60 s. Parasite number within LC lesion specimens was determined using a standard curve developed by serial dilutions of Leishmania genomic DNA (4 × 10^2 L major promastigotes). All samples with Ct > 37 were considered negative and a minimum of two copies of the KMP-11 gene was detected. Ct values from skin specimens were plotted on the standard curve and the quantity of DNA was calculated. To determine the parasite number within CL lesion specimens, we assumed that the amount of DNA per Leishmania parasite equals 0.1 pg [29]. To calculate cell numbers in analyzed samples, we assumed that the amount of DNA per human cell equals 6 pg [38]. Results were expressed in number of parasites per 10^7 human cells.
Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using statistical software Graph-Pad Prism 5.03 for Windows. Nonparametric statistical tests were used. Comparison between ZCL and SCL lesions was done using a Mann–Whitney test and differences were considered statistically significant when \( p < 0.05 \). Correlations between continuous variables were evaluated using the Spearman’s rank correlation test.

Results

Clinical, histopathological and phenotypic characterization of lesions

The age of ZCL lesions ranged from 10 to 360 days (mean ± SD, 84.28 ± 84.28 days) with a surface area ranging from 78.5 to 1963.5 mm\(^2\) (mean ± SD, 677.9 ± 545.7 mm\(^2\)). The lesions were ulcerated and crusted in 56.5% and nodulo-ulcerative in 43.5%. In contrast, all SCL patients presented a single lesion with a surface area ranging from 19.6 to 7853.9 mm\(^2\) (mean ± SD, 816.25 ± 1670.6 mm\(^2\)). Lesion age ranged from 60 to 1080 days (mean ± SD, 279.28 ± 263.86 days) and the majority (21/30) were nodulo-ulcerative.

The semi-quantitative results of the histopathological study of ZCL and SCL lesions are summarized in Table 1. A significant statistical difference was found between the aspects of the dermal infiltrate within ZCL and SCL lesions \( (p < 0.0001) \). While massive infiltration of lymphocytes (+++, ++++) was observed within most (96%) SCL skin lesions, the majority of ZCL lesions (87%) showed moderate infiltration of the dermis (+/−, +) \( (p < 0.0001) \). In SCL lesions, the cell infiltrate contains few plasmocytes contrary to ZCL lesions but the difference was not statistically significant \( (p = 0.37) \). Interestingly, in SCL lesions, the infiltrates consisted of granuloma composed of macrophages and lymphocytes. Except for five SCL lesions, in which the granuloma was disorganized, the other lesions showed an organized granuloma. Foci of epithelioid and Langerhans’ giant cells were seen, suggesting the end of the process of intracellular parasite destruction. Interestingly, 68.75% of ZCL lesions showed a moderate to intense infiltrate of polynuclear cells (+ to +++). In contrast, these cells were absent or moderate within SCL lesions (0 to +). Plasma cells were often seen in dense clusters at the periphery of the granuloma. In contrast to ZCL, which showed slight to moderate epithelial hyperplasia, no epithelial hyperplasia or slight hyperplasia was observed in SCL lesions, and the difference between the two forms of leishmaniasis was statistically significant \( (p = 0.0175) \).

The immunohistochemical study showed that the percentage of CD3\(^+\) T cells among the lymphocytic infiltrate varied from 25% to 97% (46.4 ± 21.8%) and from 38.8% to 59.7% (49.7 ± 5.85%) within ZCL and SCL lesions, respectively (Fig. 1). The percentage of CD8\(^+\) T cells among the mononuclear cells that compose the inflammatory infiltrates was significantly higher in SCL lesions (18.3%–45.5% (32.3% ± 7.9%)) compared to ZCL lesions (5%–19.3% (mean ± SD, 10.7% ± 5.62%)) \( (p = 0.0001) \) (Fig. 1). Similar results were obtained with CD4\(^+\) T cells (Fig. 1). The percentage of CD4\(^+\) T cells was significantly higher in SCL lesions (56%–77% (63.2% ± 9.3%) compared to ZCL lesions (7%–27% (mean ± SD, 14.9% ± 8.4%)) \( (p = 0.002) \). A predominance of CD4\(^+\) T cells over CD8\(^+\) T cells, with a mean value for CD4\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\) ratio of 1.4 and 1.2, respectively, was observed within lesions of ZCL.

Table 1. Semi-quantitative results of the histological study of ZCL and SCL lesions.

| Histological characteristics | Grading score | ZCL lesions \( (n = 16) \) | SCL lesions \( (n = 29) \) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| Granuloma                   | Absent       | 15             | 1              |
|                             | Disorganized | 1              | 5              |
|                             | Organized    | 0              | 23             |
| Density of cells in the infiltrate | | | |
| Lymphocytes                 | 0            | 0              | 0              |
|                            | +/−          | 9              | 0              |
|                            | +            | 5              | 0              |
|                            | ++           | 1              | 1              |
|                            | +++          | 1              | 10             |
|                            | ++++         | 0              | 18             |
| Plasmocytes                 | 0            | 3              | 11             |
|                            | +/−          | 2              | 5              |
|                            | +            | 5              | 8              |
|                            | ++           | 4              | 5              |
|                            | +++          | 2              | 0              |
|                            | ++++         | 0              | 0              |
| Polynuclears                | 0            | 4              | 17             |
|                            | +/−          | 4              | 10             |
|                            | +            | 6              | 2              |
|                            | ++           | 1              | 0              |
|                            | +++          | 1              | 0              |
|                            | ++++         | 0              | 0              |
| Epithelial hyperplasia      | Absent       | 1              | 18             |
|                            | Weak         | 10             | 6              |
|                            | Moderated    | 5              | 3              |
|                            | Pseudo EAO   | 0              | 2              |

\( n \): number of lesion specimens studied.

Figure 1. Proportions of CD3\(^+\), CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cells within ZCL and SCL lesions. Histograms represent results of the immunohistochemical analysis expressed as a percentage of positively stained cells among mononuclear cells. Results are expressed as the mean plus the standard error of percentage mean. Any significance found is indicated by a bar on top of each graph connecting the two comparisons. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.
and SCL. NK cells were not detected within ZCL or SCL lesions.

Parasite load quantification within ZCL and SCL lesions

The number of parasites was significantly higher in ZCL lesions compared to SCL lesions (mean: 151.1 ± 197.4 for ZCL vs. 21.6 ± 87.5 for SCL, p = 0.0001) (Fig. 2A). Accordingly, the Leishmania parasite was detected in 26/27 ZCL lesions with a wide range (2–1162 parasites/10⁵ human cells). By contrast, Leishmania was detected in only 9/32 SCL lesions (the parasite number varied from 8 to 200 parasites/10⁵ human cells) except for one lesion, which contained 879 parasites/10⁵ human cells. A negative correlation was found between the number of parasites and the age of the lesion (Spearman rank correlation r = -0.542; p = 0.0014) (Fig. 2B).

Results obtained using q-PCR were concordant at 72.7% and 58.8% with those obtained by inoculation of serous dermal fluid, collected from the border of the lesion, on NNN or CRS medium, respectively, and at 80% with those of May-Grünwald-Giemsa (MGG)-stained smears.

Dominance of IFN-γ expression within CL lesions

To ascertain whether the pattern of cytokines in lesions could reflect the clinical course of CL, we examined IFN-γ, IL-10 and IL-13 expression in CL lesions by q-PCR. IL-13 mRNA was detected at low levels within only six ZCL and three SCL lesions (data not shown). In contrast, IFN-γ and IL-10 mRNAs were expressed at high levels within 77.27% (17/22) and 63.6% (14/22) of ZCL lesions, respectively (Fig. 3A). Similarly, these cytokines were detected within 86.36% (19/22) and 95.45% (21/22) of SCL lesions (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, IFN-γ mRNA expression was significantly higher in SCL compared to ZCL lesions (p = 0.002); however, no significant difference was observed for IL-10 mRNA expression. The ratio of IFN-γ to IL-10 mRNA levels indicated a predominance of IFN-γ (ratio > 1) in most of the biopsy samples, suggesting occurrence of a Th1 response (Fig. 3B). In SCL samples, the IL-10 mRNA levels correlate positively (r = 0.6108, p = 0.008) with the number of parasites, and negatively with the age of the lesion (r = -0.610, p = 0.02) (Fig. 3C).

ZCL lesions express higher levels of IL-8 compared to SCL lesions

Expression of pro-inflammatory chemokines, interleukin-8 (IL-8) and monocyte chemotactic factor-1 (MCP-1) was evaluated within the studied lesions. Almost all lesions expressed IL-8 mRNA and MCP-1 mRNA (Fig. 4). MCP-1 was expressed at comparable levels within ZCL and SCL lesions (p > 0.05). In contrast, IL-8 mRNA levels were significantly higher within ZCL lesions as compared to SCL lesions (p = 0.002). Interestingly, a positive correlation was found between levels of MCP-1 mRNA and those of IL-8 within either ZCL or SCL lesions (Table 2). However, no correlation was found between the levels of these chemokines and the lesions’ size, their age, or the number of parasites they contain.

Discussion

In cutaneous leishmaniasis, the severity of the disease is dependent on the parasite species and on the immune responses developed by the host [31]. Herein we analyzed the immune response within lesion of ZCL and SCL, two different forms of human CL described in Tunisia, caused respectively by L. major and L. infantum in an attempt to explore its involvement in the variability of clinical manifestations of the infection. Histopathological analysis showed differences in the dermal infiltrate between both forms of CL. The SCL lesions were characterized by a massive dermal infiltrate with organized granuloma composed of macrophages and lymphocytes. By contrast, the ZCL lesions showed a high density of polymorphonuclear cells recruited to the site of infection. The latter cells can be infected by the parasite, thus playing an important role in
Figure 3. IFN-γ and IL-10 mRNA expression within ZCL and SCL lesions. (A) Dot-plots show individual values of IFN-γ or IL-10 mRNA expression within ZCL (△) and SCL (▲) lesions. A horizontal bar indicates the median for each group. Results are expressed as the ratio between levels of cytokine mRNA in samples studied to those detected in normal skin. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant when $p < 0.05$. Nd: not detected. (B) Dot-plots show the ratio of IFN-γ/IL-10 mRNA levels calculated for each lesion. Horizontal bars indicate mean and the standard error of mean (SEM) for each group. (C) Correlation between IFN-γ or IL-10 mRNA levels and the age of lesions, their size, and the number of parasites they contain. Correlations between different variables were evaluated with the Spearman’s rank correlation test. $r$: correlation coefficient; $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.
Figure 4. IL-8 and MCP-1 mRNA expression within ZCL and SCL lesions. (A) Dot-plots show individual values of IL-8 and MCP-1 mRNA expression within ZCL (Δ) and SCL (▲) samples. Results are expressed as a ratio between levels of mRNA molecules in studied samples to those detected in normal skin. A horizontal bar indicates the median value of each group. (B) Correlation between IL-8 or MCP-1 mRNA levels and the age of lesions, their size, and the number of parasites they contain. Correlations between different variables were evaluated with the Spearman’s rank correlation test, *r*: correlation coefficient; *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
shaping the immune response against infection. Accordingly, as discussed by Scott and Novais [41], uptake of apoptotic neutrophils by macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) after \textit{L. major} infection can limit the activation of phagocytes, leading to better parasite survival. This could explain the higher parasite load within ZCL compared to SCL lesions. Furthermore, as previously described in American CL and Ethiopian CL [27, 30], our results showed that the inflammatory infiltrate of ZCL and SCL lesions is primarily composed of T cells, followed by macrophages, very few B cells, and no NK cells. T cells play a critical role in the clinical presentation and course of leishmaniasis. In our case, a slight predominance of CD4+ T cells over CD8+ T cells was observed within lesions of both forms of CL studied. These results are consistent with those described for CL due to \textit{L. braziliensis}, showing a statistically significant positive correlation between the intensity of the inflammatory infiltrate and the frequency of CD4+, CD8+ T cells, and CD68+ cells [16]. In addition, it was demonstrated that the healing process was associated with a decrease of CD4 and an increase of CD8, leading to similar CD4 and CD8 proportions [11, 15, 18]. These cells are expanded in long-term healed cutaneous leishmaniasis (hCL) patients [15]. Indeed, analysis of their functional characteristics, determined in \textit{ex vivo} blood mononuclear cells, showed a reduction in the percentage of activated \textit{Leishmania}-responder CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in hCL, associated with the time elapsed since clinical cure with evident expansion of effector memory T cells [32]. This is consistent with the results described by Zaph [44] showing that in a mice model, immunity to \textit{L. major} is mediated by at least two distinct populations of CD4+ T cells: short-lived pathogen-dependent effector cells and long-lived pathogen-independent central memory cells.

T cells operate through production of cytokines and chemokines in shaping the nature of the immune response to \textit{Leishmania} infection in both human and experimental models of the disease [2, 14, 42]. Herein, using RT-qPCR, we showed that IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-10 mRNA were detected within most lesion samples tested. The IL-10 mRNA levels were comparable within both lesions, whereas IFN-\(\gamma\) mRNA levels were higher within SCL lesions. A positive correlation was found between mRNA levels of both cytokines within ZCL lesions, confirming our previous results [23], whereas no correlation was detected within SCL lesions. Interestingly, the ratio of IFN-\(\gamma\)/IL-10 levels indicated a predominance of Th1 response, which may explain the low levels of IL-13 mRNA detected within some of studied lesions. This result is consistent with findings previously described in CL caused by \textit{L. braziliensis} or \textit{L. major}, showing a predominance of Th1 cytokines (IL-2 and IFN-\(\gamma\)) over Th2 cytokines (IL-4) [12, 23, 26, 33]. An association between the mRNA levels of IL-10 and IFN-\(\gamma\) and lesion age and surface (size), as well as the parasite load, was also evaluated. In SCL lesions, IL-10 mRNA levels tend to decrease depending on lesion age, and conversely it increased as a function of the parasite number. A similar result was also observed for the ZCL lesion. Together, these results suggest that IL-10 is produced at the early stage of the development of lesions. This is consistent with data described in LCL lesions due to \textit{L. guyanensis}, in which a Th2 response (IL-4 and/or IL-13) transiently predominates during the early phase of infection, followed by the development of a Th1 (IFN-\(\gamma\)) response during the late course of lesion development [9]. Authors have suggested that healing of lesions requires a T-cell-mediated immune response with IFN-\(\gamma\) production that can enhance the development of Th1 immune response and induce leishmanicidal activation of macrophages. In contrast, this is not found within ZCL lesions, in which the IFN-\(\gamma\) mRNA expression levels tend to decrease depending on lesion age. In our study, most biopsies were taken from late lesions (lesion age ranging from 30 to 360 days), which might explain the discrepancies with results described by Bourreau and colleagues who used biopsies from lesions aging less than 60 days. Furthermore, in SCL lesions, IFN-\(\gamma\) mRNA levels decreased with greater lesion size. This result is contradictory with that described by Antonelli and colleagues showing that inflammatory cytokines (IFN-\(\gamma\) and TNF-\(\alpha\)), produced by PBMCs stimulated \textit{ex vivo} with soluble \textit{Leishmania} antigen (SLA), were associated with greater lesions size, suggesting their importance in the induction of tissue damage [1]. Similarly, in tegumentary leishmaniasis caused by \textit{L. braziliensis}, there is evidence that increased production of IFN-\(\gamma\), TNF-\(\alpha\) and absence of IL-10 is associated with a strong inflammatory reaction and development of cutaneous and mucosal ulcers [17]. This discrepancy may be attributed to the difference in the age of lesions included in each study. Indeed, in our study SCL lesion age is higher than 60 days; however in the study done by Faria and colleagues, the ages of the active lesions ranged from 30 to 45 days for both CL and ML lesions. Nevertheless, these discrepancies could be attributed to the \textit{Leishmania} species causing the disease.

Thereby, it is clear that the ability of the host to mount a cell-mediated immune response against the parasite is crucial for the defense against \textit{Leishmania}. The composition of the cell populations recruited in the early phase of the infection seems to be essential for infection outcomes. Thus, we assessed the expression of pro-inflammatory chemokines, interleukin-8 (IL-8), and monocyte chemotactic factor-1 (MCP-1) within ZCL and SCL lesions. IL-8 mRNA was detected at higher levels within ZCL lesions compared to SCL lesions. IL-8 is one of the earliest and most abundant chemokines produced

## Table 2. Correlation between mRNA expression levels of studied cytokines and chemokines within ZCL and SCL lesions.

| Cytokine | ZCL | SCL |
|----------|-----|-----|
| IFN-\(\gamma\) | | |
| IL-10 | | |
| IL-8 | | |
| MCP-1 | | |

| Correlation coefficients | ZCL | SCL |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|
| r = 0.791 | r = -0.034 | r = 0.635 |
| p = 0.005 | p = 0.889 | p = 0.004 |
| r = 0.291 | r = 0.590 | r = 0.528 |
| p = 0.226 | p = 0.004 | p = 0.012 |
| r = 0.040 | r = 0.635 | p = 0.884 |
| p = 0.008 | p = 0.381 | p = 0.196 |
| r = 0.471 | p = 0.015 |
| r = 0.602 | p = 0.002 |

Note. A Spearman rank correlation coefficient near 1 with \(p < 0.05\) reflects a linear relationship in expression of molecules. IL, interleukin; IFN, interferon; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein.
during acute inflammatory responses [24]. It is mainly responsible for neutrophil chemotaxis, which could explain the high number of polymonocytes detected within ZCL lesions compared to SCL lesions. Moreover, this study reported expression of MCP-1 mRNA at similar levels within ZCL and SCL lesions. CCL2/MCP-1 induces the early recruitment of inflammatory monocytes and the leishmanicidal activities in murine monocytes [19]. This effect is enhanced by IFN-γ and abrogated by IL-4 [36]. However, no association was found with age nor with the size of lesions. These results are contradictory to those described by Ritter and colleagues showing high expression of CCL2/MCP-1, CXCL1/MIG, CXCL10/IP-10 and only low amounts of CCL3/MIP-1α within lesions of localized cutaneous leishmaniasis (LCL) due to *L. mexicana*, while lesions of chronic diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis (DCL) are dominated by the expression of CCL3/MIP-1 alpha, suggesting the implication of CCL2/MCP-1 in the healing process [35, 37]. MCP-1 expression described in *L. mexicana* active LCL lesions was found in the delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) skin response to *Leishmania* antigen in subjects with healed lesions or subclinical infection [43].

Altogether, our results showed a clinical and histological difference between ZCL and SCL lesions due to *L. major* and *L. infantum*, respectively. Current data showed a predominance of Th1 within both lesions. Comparable levels of expression of IL-10 and MCP-1 mRNA were found within both CL lesions. However, a significant difference was found on expression of IFN-γ and IL-8 mRNA. ZCL lesions were characterized by high levels of IL-8 associated with a large number of polymonocytes within the dermal infiltrate. More in vivo studies are needed to determine the involvement of these cells in *L. major* infection. However, higher levels of IFN-γ were detected within SCL lesions and such levels decreased according to lesion size. It is not excluded that this discrepancy might be attributed to the difference in the age of ZCL and SCL lesions enrolled in the present study, hence the importance of including groups matched for lesion age. Most of the studies on CL due to *L. braziliensis* or *L. mexicana* suggest that differential gene expression of cytokines and chemokines found in skin lesions from CL patients is associated with the time course of lesions [9, 13, 17, 37]. For Old World CL due to *L. major* and *L. infantum*, further analysis including biopsies from early lesions would be needed to track down the role of theses cytokines and chemokinases in the development or healing of the infection.
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