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Abstract

In this paper, we construct odd unimodular lattices in dimensions \( n = 36, 37 \) having minimum norm 3 and \( 4s = n - 16 \), where \( s \) is the minimum norm of the shadow. We also construct odd unimodular lattices in dimensions \( n = 41, 43, 44 \) having minimum norm 4 and \( 4s = n - 24 \).

1 Introduction

Shadows of odd unimodular lattices appeared in [5] (see also [6, p. 440]), and shadows play an important role in the study of odd unimodular lattices. Let \( L \) be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension \( n \). The shadow \( S(L) \) of \( L \) is defined to be \( S(L) = L_0^* \setminus L \), where \( L_0 \) denotes the even sublattice of \( L \) and \( L_0^* \) denotes the dual lattice of \( L_0 \). Note that the norm of a vector of \( S(L) \) is congruent to \( n/4 \) modulo 2 [5].

We define

\[
\sigma(L) = 4 \min(S(L)),
\]

where \( \min(S(L)) \) denotes the minimum norm of \( S(L) \). Elkies [8] began the investigation of odd unimodular lattices \( L \) with long shadows, that is, large
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σ(L). It was shown in [8] that σ(L) ≤ n and \( \mathbb{Z}^n \) is the only odd unimodular lattice \( L \) with σ(L) = n, up to isomorphism. For the case σ(L) < n, we may assume that \( L \) has minimum norm at least 2 (see [9, 15]). Elkies [9] determined all such lattices for the case σ(L) = n − 8.

For the next case σ(L) = n − 16, Nebe and Venkov [15] showed that if there is an odd unimodular lattice \( L \) with minimum norm min(L) ≥ 3 then \( n \leq 46 \). Moreover, in this case, it is not known whether there is such a lattice with minimum norm 3 or not for only \( n = 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43 \) (see [10, p. 148] and Table 1). It follows from [15, Table 1] that there is no odd unimodular lattice \( L \) with min(L) ≥ 4 for the case σ(L) = n − 16 (see also [11, Table 2]).

For the case σ(L) = n − 24, Gaborit [10] showed that if there is an odd unimodular lattice \( L \) with min(L) = 4 then \( n \leq 47 \) (see also [11, Table 2]). Moreover, in this case, it is not known whether there is such a lattice or not for only \( n = 37, 41, 43, 44, 45 \) (see [10, p. 148] and Table 2).

The aim of this paper is to provide the existence of some unimodular lattices with long shadows whose existences were previously not known. These lattices are constructed from self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_k \)-codes \((k = 4, 5)\). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give definitions and some basic results on unimodular lattices and self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_k \)-codes. In Section 3, we give conditions to construct odd unimodular lattices \( L \) in dimension 36 with min(L) = 3 and σ(L) = 20 using self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-codes by Construction A (Proposition 3.2). By finding a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code satisfying these conditions, the first example of such a lattice is constructed. This dimension is the smallest dimension of an odd unimodular lattice \( L \) with min(L) = 3 and σ(L) = n − 16 whose existence was previously not known. A new odd unimodular lattice \( L \) in dimension 36 with min(L) = 4 and σ(L) = 12 is constructed as a neighbor of the above lattice. In Section 4, by considering self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_k \)-codes \((k = 4, 5)\), we construct an odd unimodular lattice \( L \) in dimension \( n \) with

\[
(n, \min(L), \sigma(L)) = (37, 3, n − 16), (41, 4, n − 24), (43, 4, n − 24), \text{ and } (44, 4, n − 24).
\]

The current state of knowledge about the existence of unimodular lattices \( L \) in dimension \( n \) with \((\min(L), \sigma(L)) = (3, n − 16)\) and \((4, n − 24)\) is listed in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. All computer calculations in this paper were done by MAGMA [2].
2 Preliminaries

2.1 Unimodular lattices

A (Euclidean) lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in dimension $n$ is unimodular if $L = L^*$, where the dual lattice $L^*$ of $L$ is defined as \{ $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $y \in L$ \} under the standard inner product $(x, y)$. A unimodular lattice $L$ is even if the norm $(x, x)$ of every vector $x$ of $L$ is even and odd otherwise. An even unimodular lattice in dimension $n$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, while an odd unimodular lattice exists for every dimension. The minimum norm $\min(L)$ of $L$ is the smallest norm among all nonzero vectors of $L$. Two lattices $L$ and $L'$ are isomorphic, if there exists an orthogonal matrix $A$ with $L' = L \cdot A = \{ xA \mid x \in L \}$. The automorphism group of $L$ is the group of all orthogonal matrices $A$ with $L = L \cdot A$.

The theta series $\theta_L(q)$ of $L$ is the formal power series $\theta_L(q) = \sum_{x \in L} q^{(x, x)}$. The kissing number is the second nonzero coefficient of the theta series, that is, the number of vectors of minimum norm in $L$. Conway and Sloane [5] showed that when the theta series of an odd unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension $n$ is written as

\[
\theta_L(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/8]} a_j \theta_3(q)^{n-8j} \Delta_8(q)^j,
\]

the theta series of the shadow $S(L)$ is written as

\[
\theta_{S(L)}(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/8]} \frac{(-1)^j}{16^j} a_j \theta_2(q)^{n-8j} \theta_4(q^{2^j})^8,
\]

where $\Delta_8(q) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2m-1})^8(1 - q^{4m})^8$ and $\theta_2(q)$, $\theta_3(q)$ and $\theta_4(q)$ are the Jacobi theta series [6].

2.2 Self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_k$-codes and Construction A

Let $\mathbb{Z}_k$ be the ring of integers modulo $k$, where $k$ is a positive integer greater than 1. A $\mathbb{Z}_k$-code $C$ of length $n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_k$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_k^n$. We shall exclusively deal with the case $k = 4$. Two $\mathbb{Z}_k$-codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) changing the signs of certain coordinates. The dual code $C^\perp$ of $C$ is defined as $C^\perp = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_k^n \mid \}$
A code $C$ is self-dual if $C = C^\perp$. Let $C$ be a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_k$-code of length $n$. Then the following lattice

$$A_k(C) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid (x_1 \mod k, \ldots, x_n \mod k) \in C\}$$

is a unimodular lattice in dimension $n$. This construction of lattices is called Construction A.

### 2.3 Self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes

Let $C$ denote a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of length $n$. The Euclidean weight of a codeword $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of $C$ is $n_1(x) + 4n_2(x) + n_3(x)$, where $n_\alpha(x)$ denotes the number of components $i$ with $x_i = \alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$). The minimum Euclidean weight $d_E(C)$ of $C$ is the smallest Euclidean weight among all nonzero codewords of $C$. Every $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ of length $n$ has two binary codes $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ associated with $C$:

$$C^{(1)} = \{c \mod 2 \mid c \in C\} \text{ and } C^{(2)} = \{c \mod 2 \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n, 2c \in C\}.$$

The binary codes $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ are called the residue and torsion codes of $C$, respectively. If $C$ is self-dual, then $C^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even code with $C^{(2)} = C^{(1)\perp}$ [4]. It is easy to see that $\min\{d(C^{(1)}), 4d(C^{(2)\perp})\} \leq d_E(C)$, where $d(C^{(i)})$ denotes the minimum weight of $C^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2$). In addition, $d_E(C) \leq 4d(C^{(2)})$ (see [12]). Also, $A_4(C)$ has minimum norm $\min\{4, d_E(C)/4\}$ (see [11]). Therefore, if $A_4(C)$ has minimum norm 3 (resp. 4), then $C$ must have minimum Euclidean weight 12 (resp. at least 16) and $C^{(2)}$ has minimum weight at least 3 (resp. at least 4).

Two $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes differing by only a permutation of coordinates are called permutation-equivalent. Any self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ of length $n$ with residue code of dimension $k_1$ is permutation-equivalent to a code $C'$ with generator matrix in standard form

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc} I_{k_1} & A & B_1 + 2B_2 \\ O & 2I_{n-2k_1} & 2D \end{array} \right),$$

where $A$, $B_1$, $B_2$, $D$ are $(1,0)$-matrices, $I_k$ denotes the identity matrix of order $k$, and $O$ denotes the zero matrix [4]. In this paper, when we give a generator matrix of $C$, we consider a generator matrix in standard form [4].
of \( C' \), which is permutation-equivalent to \( C \), then we only list the \( k_1 \times (n-k_1) \) matrix \(( A \ B_1 + 2B_2 )\) to save space. Note that \(( O \ 2I_{n-2k_1} \ 2D )\) in (3) can be obtained from \(( I_{k_1} \ A \ B_1 + 2B_2 )\) since \( C''(2) = C''(1)\)⁻¹.

3 Dimension \( n = 36 \) and \( \sigma(L) = n - 16, n - 24 \)

In this section, we give conditions to construct odd unimodular lattices \( L \) in dimension \( n = 36 \) with \( \min(L) = 3 \) and \( \sigma(L) = n - 16 \) from self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-codes by Construction A. By finding a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code satisfying these conditions, the first example of such a lattice is constructed. A new optimal odd unimodular lattice \( L \) with \( \sigma(L) = n - 24 \) is also constructed from the above lattice.

Let \( L_{36} \) be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension 36 having minimum norm at least 3. Using (1) and (2), it is easy to determine the possible theta series \( \theta_{L_{36}}(q) \) and \( \theta_{S(L_{36})}(q) \) of \( L_{36} \) and its shadow \( S(L_{36}) \):

\[
\theta_{L_{36}}(q) = 1 + (960 - \alpha)q^3 + (42840 + 4096\beta)q^4 + (1882368 + 36\alpha - 98304\beta)q^5 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\theta_{S(L_{36})}(q) = \beta q + (\alpha - 60\beta)q^3 + (3833856 - 36\alpha + 1734\beta)q^5 + \cdots,
\]

respectively, where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are nonnegative integers. Then, the following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( L \) be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension 36 having minimum norm 3. Then, the kissing number of \( L \) is at most 960, and the equality holds if and only if \( \sigma(L) = 20 \).

Now, we give a method for construction of unimodular lattices \( A_4(C) \) with \( \min(A_4(C)) = 3 \) and \( \sigma(A_4(C)) = 20 \), using self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-codes \( C \). It is known that a binary \([36, k, 3]\) code exists only if \( k \leq 30 \) (see [3]). Hence, the dimension of the residue code of a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code of length 36 and minimum Euclidean weight 12 is at least 6.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( C \) be a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code of length 36 such that \( C^{(1)} \) is a binary doubly even \([36, 6, 16]\) code and \( C^{(2)} \) has minimum weight 3. Then \( A_4(C) \) is a unimodular lattice with \( \min(A_4(C)) = 3 \) and \( \sigma(A_4(C)) = 20 \).
Proof. Let \( B \) be a binary doubly even [36, 6, 16] code such that \( B^\perp \) has minimum weight 3. The weight enumerator of \( B \) is written as:

\[
W_B(y) = 1 + ay^{16} + by^{20} + cy^{24} + dy^{28} + ey^{32} + (2^6 - 1 - a - b - c - d - e)y^{36},
\]

where \( a, b, c, d \) and \( e \) are nonnegative integers. Since \( B^\perp \) contains a codeword of weight 3, \( 2^6 - 1 - a - b - c - d - e = 0 \). By the MacWilliams identity, the weight enumerator of \( B^\perp \) is given by:

\[
W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + \frac{1}{8}(-216 + 4a + 3b + 2c + d)y + (378 - 6a - 6b - 5c - 3d)y^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{8}(-24024 + 388a + 403b + 386c + 273d)y^3 + \cdots.
\]

Since \( B^\perp \) has minimum weight 3, we have

\[
c = 270 - 6a - 3b \quad \text{and} \quad d = -324 + 8a + 3b.
\]

Thus, the weight enumerators of \( B \) and \( B^\perp \) are written using \( a \) and \( b \):

\[
W_B(y) = 1 + ay^{16} + by^{20} + (270 - 6a - 3b)y^{24} + (-324 + 8a + 3b)y^{28}
\]

\[
+ (117 - 3a - b)y^{32},
\]

\[
W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + (-1032 + 32a + 8b)y^3 + (17649 - 448a - 128b)y^4 + \cdots,
\]

respectively. Then, only \((a, b) = (27, 36)\) satisfies the condition that the above coefficients in \( W_B(y) \) and \( W_{B^\perp}(y) \) are nonnegative integers. Hence, the weight enumerators of \( B \) and \( B^\perp \) are uniquely determined as

\[
(4) \quad W_B(y) = 1 + 27y^{16} + 36y^{20},
\]

\[
(5) \quad W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + 120y^3 + 945y^4 + 5832y^5 + 30576y^6 + 130680y^7 + \cdots,
\]

respectively.

As described in Section 2.3, we have

\[
(6) \quad \min\{d(C^{(1)}), 4d(C^{(2)})\} \leq d_E(C) \leq 4d(C^{(2)}).
\]

Hence, \( d_E(C) = 12 \) and \( A_4(C) \) has minimum norm 3. Let \( e_i \) denote the \( i \)-th unit vector \((\delta_{i,1}, \delta_{i,2}, \ldots, \delta_{i,36})\), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 36 \), where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta. By (4), there are 120 codewords of weight 3 in \( C^{(2)} \), and we denote
the set of the 120 codewords by \( C_3^{(2)} \). Then, \( A_4(C) \) contains the following set of vectors of norm 3:

\[
\{ \pm e_{j_1} \pm e_{j_2} \pm e_{j_3} \mid \{ j_1, j_2, j_3 \} \in S \},
\]

where \( S = \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C_3^{(2)} \} \), and \( \text{supp}(x) \) denotes the support of \( x \). Hence, there are at least 960 vectors of norm 3 in \( A_4(C) \). By Lemma 3.1, the result follows.

It was shown in [16] that there are four inequivalent binary [36, 7, 16] codes containing the all-one vector \( \mathbf{1} \). Such a code and its dual code have the following weight enumerators:

\[
\begin{align*}
1 + 63y^{16} + 63y^{20} + y^{36}, \\
1 + 945y^{4} + 30576y^{6} + 471420y^{8} + 3977568y^{10} + \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. Let \( B_{36,7,1} \) denote the binary [36, 7, 16] code containing \( \mathbf{1} \) with automorphism group of order 1451520 which is the symplectic group \( Sp(6, 2) \). Using an approach used in [13, Section 4], we verified that \( B_{36,7,1} \) contains only one doubly even [36, 6, 16] subcode \( B_{36,6} \) such that \( B_{36,6}^{\perp} \) has minimum weight 3 up to equivalence. The weight enumerators of \( B_{36,6} \) and \( B_{36,6}^{\perp} \) are given by [4] and [5], respectively. We verified by MAGMA that \( B_{36,6} \) has automorphism group of order 51840 containing the symplectic group \( Sp(4, 3) \) as a subgroup of index 2.

Starting from a given binary doubly even code \( B \), a method for construction of all self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-codes \( C \) with \( C^{(1)} = B \) was given in [17, Section 3]. Using this method, we construct a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code \( C_{36} \) with \( C_{36}^{(1)} = B_{36,6} \) explicitly. By Proposition 3.2, \( A_4(C_{36}) \) is the desired unimodular lattice with \( \sigma(A_4(C_{36})) = 20 \), and we have the following:

**Proposition 3.3.** There is a unimodular lattice \( L \) in dimension 36 having minimum norm 3 with \( \sigma(L) = 20 \).

We verified by MAGMA that the unimodular lattice \( A_4(C_{36}) \) has automorphism group of order 1698693120. For the code \( C_{36} \), we give a generator
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matrix in standard form [3], by only listing the $6 \times 30$ matrix:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B_1 + 2B_2
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
000100010111001111100001 & 130113 \\
011001000101000011110111 & 231133 \\
10001001011111001111111 & 00230 \\
110011100011001110111111 & 032133 \\
011110110111001101101001 & 321113 \\
111111111111111100000000 & 222023
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

There are three unimodular lattices containing the even sublattice of $A_4(C_{36})$. We denote the two unimodular lattices rather than $A_4(C_{36})$ by $N_{36}$ and $N'_{36}$. It follows from the theta series of $A_4(C_{36})$ and $S(A_4(C_{36}))$ that both $N_{36}$ and $N'_{36}$ have minimum norm 4 and kissing number 42840, thus, $\sigma(N_{36}) = \sigma(N'_{36}) = 16$. We verified by Magma that the two lattices are isomorphic. We also verified by Magma that $N_{36}$ has automorphism group of order 849346560, which is different to those of two previously known unimodular lattices with minimum norm 4 and kissing number 42840 in [14].

Let $C_{36,0}$ be the subcode of $C_{36}$ consisting of codewords of Euclidean weight divisible by 8. Then $C_{36,0}$ is a subcode of index 2 in $C_{36}$ (see [7, Lemma 3.1]). By Proposition 3.8 in [7], there is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $D_{36}$ containing $C_{36,0}$ with $A_4(D_{36}) = N_{36}$. For the code $D_{36}$, we give a generator matrix in standard form [3], by only listing the $6 \times 30$ matrix:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B_1 + 2B_2
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0001101111001100100110 & 0131310 \\
1101111000101001010010 & 1233122 \\
0111110001111001010001 & 0121203 \\
1110100110001110111011 & 3133300 \\
0101001101100001101101 & 1203301 \\
00000111111111111110 & 0022113 \\
111111111111111110000000 & 2220232
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

We verified that the residue code $D^{(1)}_{36}$ is equivalent to $B_{36,7,1}$. 

Remark 3.4. The remaining three binary [36, 7, 16] codes containing 1 have automorphism groups of orders 10752, 1920 and 672 [16]. We denote these codes by $B_{36,7,2}$, $B_{36,7,3}$ and $B_{36,7,4}$, respectively. We verified that $B_{36,7,2}$, $B_{36,7,3}$ and $B_{36,7,4}$ contain 1, 2 and 1 doubly even [36, 6, 16] subcodes such that the dual codes have minimum weight 3, respectively, up to equivalence, and the four codes and $B_{36,6}$ are inequivalent to each other. By Proposition 3.2, the four inequivalent codes rather than $B_{36,6}$ also give examples of unimodular lattices $L$ with $\min(L) = 3$ and $\sigma(L) = 20$. 
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4 Other cases

4.1 Dimension $n = 37$ and $\sigma(L) = n - 16$

Let $L_{37}$ be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension $n = 37$ having minimum norm at least 3. We give the possible theta series of $L_{37}$ and its shadow $S(L_{37})$:

$$
\theta_{L_{37}}(q) = 1 + (1184 - \alpha)q^3 + (37962 - 2\alpha + 2048\beta)q^4 + (1758240 + 36\alpha - 45056\beta)q^5 + \cdots,
$$

$$
\theta_{S(L_{37})}(q) = \beta q^{5/4} + (2\alpha - 59\beta)q^{13/4} + (8486912 - 70\alpha + 1674\beta)q^{21/4} + \cdots,
$$

respectively, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are nonnegative integers. It turns out that $L_{37}$ has minimum norm 3 and kissing number 1184 if and only if $\sigma(L_{37}) = 21$.

It is known that a binary $[37, k, 3]$ code exists only if $k \leq 31$ (see [3]). Hence, the dimension of the residue code of a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of length 37 and minimum Euclidean weight 12 is at least 6. When $n = 37$, we have a weaker result than Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $B$ be a binary doubly even $[37, 6]$ code such that the dual code has minimum weight 3. Then the weight enumerator $W_B(y)$ of $B$ is given by:

(7) \hspace{1cm} W_B(y) = 1 + 20y^{16} + 42y^{20} + y^{24} \text{ or,}

(8) \hspace{1cm} W_B(y) = 1 + y^{12} + 17y^{16} + 45y^{20}.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.2. Let $B$ be a binary doubly even $[37, 6]$ code such that $B^\perp$ has minimum weight 3. The weight enumerator $W_B(y)$ is written as:

$$
W_B(y) = 1 + ay^4 + by^8 + cy^{12} + dy^{16} + ey^{20} + fy^{24} + gy^{28} + hy^{32} + (2^6 - 1 - a - b - c - d - e - f - g - h)y^{36},
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g$ and $h$ are nonnegative integers. Then the weight enu-
merator of $B^\perp$ is given by:

$$W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + \frac{1}{8}(-271 + 8a + 7b + 6c + 5d + 4e + 3f + 2g + h)y$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8}(4761 - 24a - 49b - 66c - 75d - 76e - 69f - 54g - 31h)y^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8}(-50295 + 1256a + 959b + 830c + 805d + 820e + 811f$$

$$+ 714g + 465h)y^3 + \cdots.$$  

From the condition that $B^\perp$ has minimum weight 3, we have

$$g = 455 - 28a - 21b - 15c - 10d - 6e - 3f,$$

$$h = -639 + 48a + 35b + 24c + 15d + 8e + 3f.$$  

Thus, the weight enumerators are written as:

$$W_B(y) = 1 + ay^4 + by^8 + cy^{12} + dy^{16} + ey^{20} + fy^{24}$$

$$+ (455 - 28a - 21b - 15c - 10d - 6e - 3f)y^{28}$$

$$+ (-639 + 48a + 35b + 24c + 15d + 8e + 3f)y^{32}$$

$$+ (247 - 21a - 15b - 10c - 6d - 3e - f)y^{36},$$

$$W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + (-2820 + 448a + 280b + 160c + 80d + 32e + 8f)y^3 + \cdots.$$  

Then, only

$$(a, b, c, d, e, f) = (0, 0, 0, 20, 42, 1) \text{ and } (0, 0, 1, 17, 45, 0)$$

satisfy the condition that the coefficients in $W_B(y)$ are nonnegative integers. These cases correspond to (7) and (8), respectively.\hfill \Box$

For (7) and (8), $W_{B^\perp}(y)$ is given by:

(9) \hspace{1cm} W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + 132y^3 + 1072y^4 + 6705y^5 + 36324y^6 + \cdots,$

(10) \hspace{1cm} W_{B^\perp}(y) = 1 + 140y^3 + 1080y^4 + 6633y^5 + 36252y^6 + \cdots,$

respectively.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $C$ be a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of length 37 such that $C^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even $[37, 6, 12]$ code and $C^{(2)}$ has minimum weight 3. Then $A_4(C)$ is a unimodular lattice with $\min(A_4(C)) = 3$ and kissing number at least 1120.
Proof. By (6), \( C \) has minimum Euclidean weight 12. It follows from (10) that \( A_4(C) \) has at least 1120 vectors of norm 3.

As a subcode of some binary maximal doubly even code of length 37, we found a doubly even \([37, 6, 12]\) code \( B_{37} \) such that \( B_{37}^{\perp} \) has minimum weight 3. The weight enumerators of \( B_{37} \) and \( B_{37}^{\perp} \) are given by (8) and (10), respectively. We verified by MAGMA that \( B_{37} \) has automorphism group of order 120 which is the symmetric group of degree 5.

Corollary 4.2 only guarantees that \( A_4(C) \) has minimum norm 3 and kissing number at least 1120 for a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code \( C \) with \( C^{(1)} = B_{37} \). Using the method in [17, Section 3], we found a self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code \( C_{37} \) such that \( C_{37}^{(1)} = B_{37} \) and the kissing number of \( A_4(C_{37}) \) is exactly 1184. Therefore, we have the following:

**Proposition 4.3.** There is a unimodular lattice \( L \) in dimension 37 having minimum norm 3 with \( \sigma(L) = 21 \).

We verified by MAGMA that the unimodular lattice \( A_4(C_{37}) \) has automorphism group of order 7864320. For the code \( C_{37} \), we give a generator matrix in standard form (3), by only listing the \( 6 \times 31 \) matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B_1 + 2B_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
010100101011001110000011 & 003121 \\
011110011101000001110110 & 323200 \\
10100011000100111011111010 & 313301 \\
10000011100011001111110011 & 033031 \\
111001111111101010000000 & 000132 \\
100111001100011111111100 & 220010
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

### 4.2 Dimension \( n = 41 \) and \( \sigma(L) = n - 24 \)

Let \( L_{41} \) be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension 41 having minimum norm 4. We give the possible theta series of \( L_{41} \) and its shadow \( S(L_{41}) \):

\[
\theta_{L_{41}}(q) = 1 + (15170 + 128\alpha)q^4 + (1226720 - 1792\alpha - 524288\beta)q^5 + \cdots, \\
\theta_{S(L_{41})}(q) = \beta q^{1/4} + (\alpha - 79\beta)q^{9/4} + (104960 - 55\alpha + 3040\beta)q^{17/4} + \cdots,
\]

respectively, where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are nonnegative integers. It turns out that \( L_{41} \) has kissing number 15170 if and only if \( \sigma(L_{41}) = 17 \).
Let $C_{41}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code with generator matrix in standard form (3), where $(A \ B_1 + 2B_2)$ is listed in Figure 1. We verified that $C_{41}$ is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of minimum Euclidean weight 16 such that $A_4(C_{41})$ has kissing number 15170. Hence, we have the following:

**Proposition 4.4.** There is a unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension 41 having minimum norm 4 with $\sigma(L) = 17$.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
10111111111101000100010001 & 101031013 \\
11001100111111111100111000 & 112233010 \\
01101100011001101010010001 & 001332012 \\
11010101001100110110101001 & 023102310 \\
11110101101010111111011111 & 033211301 \\
10000101001101101010101001 & 132003201 \\
11100110011001101100111111 & 210211330 \\
01110011111111110100011111 & 230321312 \\
01101110010011001111011011 & 232022022
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Figure 1: Generator matrix of $C_{41}$

The residue code $C_{41}^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even [41, 9, 12] code with a trivial automorphism group and weight enumerator:

\[1 + y^{12} + 89y^{16} + 288y^{20} + 108y^{24} + 23y^{28} + 2y^{32}.\]

### 4.3 Dimension $n = 43$ and $\sigma(L) = n - 24$

Let $L_{43}$ be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension 43 having minimum norm 4. We give the possible theta series of $L_{43}$ and its shadow $S(L_{43})$:

\[
\theta_{L_{43}}(q) = 1 + (9030 + 32\alpha)q^4 + (941184 - 320\alpha - 131072\beta)q^5 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\theta_{S(L_{43})}(q) = \beta q^{3/4} + (\alpha - 77\beta)q^{11/4} + (660480 - 53\alpha + 2883\beta)q^{19/4} + \cdots,
\]

respectively, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are nonnegative integers. It turns out that $L_{43}$ has kissing number 9030 if and only if $\sigma(L_{43}) = 19$.

Let $C_{43}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code with generator matrix in standard form (3), where $(A \ B_1 + 2B_2)$ is listed in Figure 2. We verified that $C_{43}$ is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of minimum Euclidean weight 16 such that $A_4(C_{43})$ has kissing number 9030. Hence, we have the following:
**Proposition 4.5.** There is a unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension 43 having minimum norm 4 with $\sigma(L) = 19$.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
01010011110100111 & 0033202030013 \\
01100001111010111 & 3212001202013 \\
10100011110100010 & 112313032032 \\
00001111111011100 & 1100211211331 \\
01101101000001110 & 3300133330012 \\
00011011001111100 & 221322021123 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[A B_1 + 2B_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0111011110101110 & 1230201103220 \\
00100000101001101 & 012102213003 \\
11000010110100011 & 1012310010101 \\
01011101010110101 & 3321023022100 \\
10001011010111000 & 3021302111320 \\
00001000111100101 & 3231010323110 \\
1111111111111000 & 0222002002232 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Figure 2: Generator matrix of $C_{43}$

The residue code $C_{43}^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even $[43, 13, 12]$ code with a trivial automorphism group and weight enumerator:

\[1 + 29y^{12} + 1067y^{16} + 3498y^{20} + 3010y^{24} + 569y^{28} + 18y^{32}.
\]

**4.4 Dimension $n = 44$ and $\sigma(L) = n - 24$**

Let $L_{44}$ be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension 44 having minimum norm 4. We give the possible theta series of $L_{44}$ and its shadow $S(L_{44})$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_{L_{44}}(q) &= 1 + (6600 + 16\alpha)q^4 + (811008 - 128\alpha - 65536\beta)q^5 + \cdots, \\
\theta_{S(L_{44})}(q) &= \beta q + (\alpha - 76\beta)q^3 + (1622016 - 52\alpha + 2806\beta)q^5 + \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are nonnegative integers. It turns out that $L_{44}$ has kissing number 6600 if and only if $\sigma(L_{44}) = 20$.

Let $C_{44}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_5$-code of length 44 whose generator matrix is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_{22} & A & B \\
-AT & B^T & A^T
\end{pmatrix},
\]
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where $A$ and $B$ are $11 \times 11$ negacirculant matrices with the first rows $(1, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 0, 1, 0, 3)$ and $(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 2, 3)$, respectively, that is, $A$ and $B$ have the following form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
    r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots & r_{11} \\
    -r_{11} & r_1 & r_2 & \cdots & r_{10} \\
    -r_{10} & -r_{11} & r_1 & \cdots & r_9 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    -r_2 & -r_3 & -r_4 & \cdots & r_1
\end{pmatrix},
$$

and $A^T$ denotes the transposed matrix of $A$. Since $AA^T + BB^T = 4I_{11}$, $C_{44}$ is self-dual. In addition, it can be checked that $C_{44}$ has the following weight enumerator:

$$1 + 924y^{12} + 1848y^{13} + 19272y^{14} + 91168y^{15} + \cdots.$$

We verified by MAGMA that the unimodular lattice $A_5(C_{44})$ has minimum norm 4 and kissing number 6600. Hence, we have the following:

**Proposition 4.6.** There is a unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension 44 having minimum norm 4 with $\sigma(L) = 20$.

Our computer search failed to discover a unimodular lattice with long shadow using a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code for this case and the remaining cases that the existences are not known.

### 4.5 Summary

As a summary, we list the number $\#$ of known non-isomorphic unimodular lattices $L$ in dimension $n$ with $\min(L) = 3$ and $\sigma(L) = n - 16$ (resp. $\min(L) = 4$ and $\sigma(L) = n - 24$) in Table 1 (resp. Table 2). Both tables update the two tables given in [10, p. 148]. We remark that the existence of a unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension 37 having minimum norm 4 is still unknown for any $\sigma(L)$ (see [14]).
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Table 1: Unimodular lattices $L$ with $\min(L) = 3$ and $\sigma(L) = n - 16$

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
n & \# & \text{References} & n & \# & \text{References} \\
\hline
23 & 1 & (see [15]) & 35 & \geq 1 & [15] \\
24 & 1 & (see [15]) & 36 & \geq 1 & A_4(C_{36}) \text{ in Section 3} \\
25 & 0 & (see [15]) & 37 & \geq 1 & A_4(C_{37}) \text{ in Section 4} \\
26 & 1 & (see [15]) & 38 & \geq 1 & A_4(C_{38}) \\
27 & 2 & (see [15]) & 39 & \geq 1 & A_4(C_{39}) \\
28 & 36 & (see [15]) & 40 & \geq 1 & (see [10]) \\
29 & \geq 1 & [15] & 41 & ? & \\
30 & \geq 1 & [15] & 42 & ? & \\
31 & \geq 1 & [15] & 43 & ? & \\
32 & \geq 1 & [15] & 44 & 0 & [15] \\
33 & \geq 1 & [15] & 45 & 0 & [15] \\
34 & \geq 1 & [15] & 46 & 1 & [15] \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
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