Human-specific loss of regulatory DNA and the evolution of human-specific traits
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Abstract

Humans differ from other animals in many aspects of anatomy, physiology, and behavior; however the genotypic basis of most human-specific traits remains unknown. Recent whole genome comparisons have made it possible to identify genes with elevated rates of amino acid change or divergent expression in humans, and non-coding sequences with accelerated base pair changes. Regulatory alterations may be particularly likely to produce phenotypic effects while preserving viability, and are known to underlie interesting evolutionary differences in other species. Here we identify molecular events particularly likely to produce significant regulatory changes in humans: complete deletion of sequences otherwise highly conserved between chimpanzees and other mammals. We confirm 510 such deletions in humans, which fall almost exclusively in non-coding regions and are enriched near genes involved in steroid hormone signaling and neural function. One deletion removes a sensory vibrissae and penile spine enhancer from the human ANDROGEN RECEPTOR (AR) gene, a molecular change correlated with anatomical loss of androgen-dependent sensory vibrissae and penile spines in the human lineage. Another deletion removes a forebrain subventricular zone enhancer near the tumor suppressor gene GROWTH ARREST AND DNA-DAMAGE-INDUCIBLE, GAMMA.
(GADD45g)11,12, a loss correlated with expansion of specific brain regions in humans. Deletions of tissue-specific enhancers may thus accompany both loss and gain traits in the human lineage, and provide specific examples of the kinds of regulatory alterations6-8 and inactivation events13 long proposed to play an important role in human evolutionary divergence.

To discover human-specific deletions (hDELs) on a genome-wide scale (Fig. 1a), we identified regions of the chimpanzee genome with a clear macaque ortholog, but no closely related sequence in humans (Supplementary Information). This identified 37,251 ancestral primate sequences lost in humans, spanning 34.0 Mb (1.17%) of the chimpanzee genome. To find deletions most likely to produce functional consequences, we also identified highly conserved sequences spanning 70.0 Mb (2.41%) of the chimpanzee genome, producing a conservative estimate of pan-mammalian sequence under purifying selection in chimpanzee14. The intersection of these deletion and conservation surveys identified 583 regions with high sequence conservation that are surprisingly deleted in humans, which we term hCONDELs (Supplementary Table 2).

Of the 583 predicted hCONDELs, 510 (87.5%) were independently validated by single human sequence reads spanning both sides of the deletions (Supplementary Information). 85% of sequence-validated hCONDELs appeared fixed in human trace archives. Experimental amplifications across human diversity panels confirmed human deletion of 39/39 randomly chosen hCONDELs, and showed fixation of 31/32 hCONDELs also fixed in the trace archives (Supplementary Tables 3-5). 88% of sequence-validated hCONDELs are missing from the draft Neandertal genome15 (Supplementary Information), in agreement with the length of the common lineage between humans and Neandertals following the divergence with chimpanzee.

The 583 hCONDELs cover 3.96 Mb (0.14%) of the chimpanzee genome, remove an average of 95 base pairs (bp) of conserved sequence, and are found on every nuclear chromosome except Y, where macaque sequence is unavailable (Fig. 1b). hCONDELs have a median size of 2,804 bp, and show a skew towards G/C poor regions compared to chimpanzee genome-wide averages (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 2). We find no evidence for enrichment in areas with high recombination (P>0.9) or pericentromeric and subtelomeric regions (P>0.5) (Supplementary Information). Thus, loss of sequences is unlikely to be a secondary consequence of higher mutation rates in such regions, a possibility that has been debated for the accelerated sequence changes seen in other human non-coding elements4,5,16.

Only 1 of 510 sequence-validated hCONDELs removes a protein-coding region, corresponding to a previously known 92 bp deletion in CMAH (ref. 17). All other sequence-validated hCONDELs map to non-protein coding regions of the genome (355 intergenic and 154 intronic). Similar highly conserved non-coding sequences frequently correspond to regulatory elements controlling expression of nearby genes18. While hCONDELs cannot be directly tested for evidence of positive human selection, we can examine whether hCONDELs are preferentially located near genes with particular functions, using the Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool (GREAT)19. We performed enrichment analysis using simulations to explicitly account for the overrepresentation of highly conserved elements near particular classes of genes (Supplementary Information). This
analysis suggests that hCONDELs are significantly enriched near genes involved in steroid hormone receptor signaling and neural function (Table 1), and near genes encoding Fibronectin-type-III or CD80-like immunoglobulin C2-set domains (Supplementary Table 10).

To compare sequences lost in other lineages, we used a similar computational approach to identify conserved sequences lost specifically in chimpanzee or mouse (termed cCONDELs and mCONDELs, respectively). We identified 344 cCONDELs and 350 mCONDELs validated by sequence reads spanning predicted deletions (Supplementary Information). cCONDELs and mCONDELs show enrichment for synapse and glutamate receptors (Supplementary Table 11) and metal ion binding (Supplementary Table 12), respectively, but not for the categories found near hCONDELs.

To further explore the possible functions of hCONDELs near steroid hormone signaling genes, we examined a 60.7 kb human deletion flanking the ANDROGEN RECEPTOR (AR) locus (Fig. 2a) in detail. AR is required for response of tissues to circulating androgens, and thus represents a strong candidate locus for characteristic changes of secondary sexual traits known in the human lineage20,21. Within this human-specific deletion lies a ~5 kb region containing highly conserved non-coding sequences. We cloned the corresponding 4,839 bp chimpanzee and 7,654 bp mouse regions and tested their capacity to drive expression of an hsp68 basal promoter-lacZ reporter gene during normal mouse development. Chimpanzee and mouse constructs both drove consistent lacZ expression in the facial vibrissae and genital tubercle of five or more independent transgenic embryos (Fig. 2b-g), and the mouse sequence also drove expression in hair follicles.

lacZ expression was specifically located in the mesoderm surrounding vibrissae follicles, and in the superficial mesoderm within the presumptive glans of the developing genital tubercle (Fig. 2). Four stable mouse lines all showed expression in the superficial tissue underlying epidermal spines of the penis (Fig. 2i). Previous studies have shown that AR is expressed in mesenchyme surrounding developing epithelial structures22 and is required for normal development of vibrissae and penile spines (see below). These results suggest that the human deletion removes a conserved enhancer sequence that directs expression in a spatially-restricted subset of the AR expression pattern. The chimpanzee sequence also drives significant reporter gene expression when tested in human foreskin fibroblasts, suggesting that upstream pathways regulating the enhancer are still intact in humans (chimpanzee activity 2.2-5.9-fold greater than human deletion/basal control vector, P<10^-7).

Interestingly, humans show obvious morphological differences at the anatomical locations controlled by the enhancer. Sensory vibrissae develop in many mammals including chimpanzees, macaques, and mice9. In contrast, humans lack sensory vibrissae9. Vibrissae development is clearly androgen-responsive, as castration shortens vibrissae in mice, and excess testosterone increases growth23 (Fig. 2j).

Profound changes have also evolved in the genitalia of humans compared to other animals. Many mammals have keratinized epidermal spines overlying tactile receptors in the glans dermis10,20. Penile spine growth is androgen-dependent, as primates lose spines upon
castration, and treatment with exogenous testosterone restores spine formation. Mice with AR protein-coding mutations fail to form penile spines, confirming an essential role for AR in penile spine development. Our results show that humans have lost an ancestral penile spine enhancer from the AR locus. Humans also fail to form the penile spines commonly found in other animals, including chimpanzees, macaques, and mice. Simplified penile morphology tends to be associated with monogamous reproductive strategies in primates. Ablation of spines decreases tactile sensitivity and increases the duration of intromission, indicating their loss in the human lineage may be associated with the longer duration of copulation in our species relative to chimpanzees. This fits with an adaptive suite, including feminization of the male canine dentition, moderate-sized testes with low sperm motility, and concealed ovulation with permanently enlarged mammary glands, that suggests our ancestors evolved numerous morphological characteristics associated with pair-bonding and increased paternal care.

Sensory vibrissae and penile spines are examples of morphological structures lost in humans. However, deletion of enhancers could also be associated with tissue expansion, by removing regulatory sequences from genes that control developmental patterns of cell proliferation, death, or migration. One of the most dramatic tissue expansions in human evolution is increased size of the cerebral cortex. Using a curated resource of gene expression domains during mouse development, we find that hCONDELs are significantly enriched near genes expressed during cortical neurogenesis (P=7×10^{-5}, Table 1). Within this set, hCONDELs are preferentially near genes acting as suppressors of cell proliferation or migration (P=0.003) (Supplementary Information).

To test the function of one such hCONDEL, we further analyzed a deletion removing a 3,181bp region located next to the tumor suppressor gene GROWTH ARREST AND DNA-DAMAGE-INDUCIBLE, GAMMA (GADD45g) (Fig. 3a). This hCONDEL removes a forebrain-specific p300 binding site, which predicts enhancer sequences with strong specificity. The chimpanzee version of this sequence, and a smaller 546 bp mouse sequence overlapping the p300 enhancer-binding region, both drove lacZ expression in the developing ventral telencephalon and diencephalon in at least five independent E14.5 transgenic embryos (Fig. 3b-i), confirming that the ancestral sequence corresponds to a conserved forebrain-specific enhancer. The chimpanzee sequence also drives significant gene expression when tested in immortalized human fetal neural progenitor cells, suggesting the enhancer would also affect transcription if still present in humans (1.7-2-fold greater expression than human deletion/basal control vector, P<10^{-7}).

Histological sections of transgenic embryos show that the chimpanzee and mouse sequences drive lacZ expression in the subventricular zone (SVZ) of the septum, the preoptic area, and in regions of the ventral thalamus and hypothalamus (Fig. 3c-e,g-i). The lacZ expression matches a sub-domain of the expression pattern of GADD45g, which is normally expressed throughout the telencephalon SVZ and diencephalon. The preoptic area generates inhibitory interneurons that migrate to the neocortex and ventral telencephalon. The ventral thalamus domain corresponds to a region that generates inhibitory interneurons, which have notably increased in proportion in human thalamus.
Expression in brain subventricular zones is particularly interesting in light of previous suggestions that increased proliferation of SVZ intermediate progenitors underlies the evolutionary expansion of the neocortex in primates. GADD45g normally represses cell cycle and can activate apoptosis, and somatic loss of GADD45g expression is clearly linked with excess tissue growth in human pituitary adenomas. Our results show that species-specific loss of an ancestral SVZ enhancer has clearly occurred in the human lineage, providing a plausible molecular basis for increasing production of particular neuronal cell types by regulatory changes in a tumor suppressor gene.

We cannot exclude the possibility that loss of AR and GADD45g enhancers has occurred because of relaxed selection following other genetic changes that have led to anatomical differences in the human lineage. However, based on the previously established role of AR in vibrissae and penile spine development, and of GADD45g in negative regulation of tissue proliferation, we think it likely that deletions of tissue-specific enhancers in these genes have contributed to both loss and expansion of particular tissues during human evolution. The full set of hCONDELs may contain loci associated with other human-specific characteristics, a possibility that can now be tested by further functional studies of these conserved non-coding sequences that are surprisingly missing from the human genome.

**Methods Summary**

We examined 2,696 Mb (92.67%) of the chimpanzee genome aligning with single regions in humans, removing assembly and alignment artifacts, segmental duplications, and other regions with complex genome histories (Supplementary Information). Chimpanzee sequences aligning to macaque but not human were identified as human-specific deletions. We identified 70.0 Mb (2.41%) of the chimpanzee genome as highly conserved by calculating the fraction of identical base pairs within multiple sequence alignments using a series of sliding window criteria (Supplementary Table 1). hCONDELs were validated by searching for individual human sequence reads in the NCBI Trace Archives spanning predicted deletions; and by testing 39 hCONDELs by experimental amplification from individuals of 23 human populations (Coriell Cell Repositories, Supplementary Information). Gene enrichments were analyzed using GREAT (great.stanford.edu). For each ontology we ran 1,000 simulations over 510 size-matched random deletions overlapping one or more chimpanzee conserved elements to derive a multiple test P value threshold for enrichment. Functional enhancer assays were carried out by injecting chimp and mouse expression constructs into FVB embryos (Xenogen Biosciences and Cyagen Biosciences) and staining for lacZ expression activity at different developmental stages; or by transfecting chimp and mouse enhancer constructs into human foreskin fibroblasts (System Biosciences) or ReNcell CX Human Neural Progenitor cells (Chemicon), and comparing expression to human-deletion/control constructs using Galacto-Light Plus (Applied Biosciences) (Supplementary Information).

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Fig. 1. Hundreds of sequences highly conserved between chimpanzee and other species are deleted in humans

a. Computational approach used to discover human-specific deletions of functional DNA: identification of ancestral chimpanzee genomic sequences deleted in human; discovery of chimpanzee genomic sequences highly conserved in other species; and detection of human-specific deletions that remove one or more chimpanzee conserved sequences. Total chimpanzee sequence identified in each step is displayed beneath each graphic. 

b. Human genomic locations of the 583 hCONDELs. hCONDELs are displayed as blue ticks above the many locations where they are missing. 

c. Size distribution of hCONDELs.
Fig. 2. Transgenic analysis of a chimpanzee and mouse AR enhancer region missing in humans

**a. Upper panel:** 1.1 Mb region of the chimpanzee X chromosome. The red bar shows the position of a 60.7 kb human deletion removing a well-conserved chimpanzee enhancer between the AR and OPHN1 genes. **Lower panel:** Multiple species comparison of the deleted region, showing sequences alignable between chimpanzee and other mammals. Blue and orange bars represent chimpanzee and mouse sequences tested for enhancer activity in transgenic mice. The chimpanzee sequence drives lacZ expression in, b, facial vibrissae (arrows); and c, genital tubercle (dotted line) of E16.5 mouse embryos. Histological sections reveal strongest staining in superficial mesenchyme of d, the prospective glans of the genital tubercle; and e, dermis surrounding the base of sensory vibrissae. The mouse enhancer also drives consistent expression in f, facial vibrissae; g, genital tubercle; and hair follicles of E16.5 embryos. h, Endogenous AR is expressed in the genital tubercle (dotted line) as demonstrated by in situ hybridization. i, Histological section of a 60-day-old transgenic mouse penis showing postnatal lacZ expression in dermis of penile spines. Vibrissae and penile spines are androgen-dependent, as shown by, j, changes in vibrissae length in
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castrated and testosterone-treated mice and, k, loss and recovery of penile spines of a castrated and testosterone-treated primate (Galago crassicaudatus) (modified from refs. 23 and 24). l. Model depicting multiple conserved tissue-specific enhancers (colored shapes) surrounding AR coding sequences (black bars) of different species. Loss of an ancestral vibrissae/penile spine enhancer in humans is correlated with corresponding loss of sensory vibrissae and penile spines.
Fig. 3. Transgenic analysis of a chimpanzee and mouse forebrain enhancer missing from a tumor suppressor gene in humans

**a. Upper panel:** 1.3 Mb region of the chimpanzee chromosome 9. The red bar illustrates a 3,181 bp human-specific deletion removing a conserved chimpanzee enhancer located downstream of *GADD45g*. **Lower panel:** Multiple species comparison of the deleted region, showing sequences alignable between chimpanzee and other mammals. The green bar represents a mouse forebrain-specific p300 binding site18, and the blue and orange bars represent chimpanzee and mouse sequences tested for enhancer activity in transgenic mice. The chimpanzee (b,c,d,e) and mouse sequence (f,g,h,i) both drive consistent lacZ expression in E14.5 mouse embryos in the ventral thalamus (c, g), the SVZ of the septum (d, h), and the preoptic area (e, i). Increased production of neuronal subtypes from these regions may contribute to thalamic and cortical expansion in humans27-30. All sections are sagittal with anterior to right. Th, thalamus; Se, septum; POA, preoptic area.
Table 1

Summary of annotation enrichments of hCONDELs.

| Ontology: Term                          | Expected # of hCONDELs | Observed # of hCONDELs | Fold enrichment | Binomial p-value19 |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| GO Molecular Function: Steroid hormone receptor activity | 4.7                    | 14                     | 2.96            | 3.7×10⁻⁴         |
| Entrez Gene: Neural genes              | 141.3                  | 180                    | 1.27            | 1.1×10⁻⁴         |
| MGI Expression in Theiler Stage 21: Hindbrain | 49.9                  | 79                     | 1.58            | 3.4×10⁻⁵         |
| Cerebral cortex                        | 42.1                   | 68                     | 1.62            | 7.0×10⁻⁵         |
| Brain; ventricular layer               | 29.9                   | 52                     | 1.74            | 9.4×10⁻⁵         |
| Midbrain                               | 30.5                   | 52                     | 1.70            | 1.6×10⁻⁴         |
| InterPro Protein Domains: Fibronectin, type III | 16.7                  | 34                     | 2.03            | 1.0×10⁻⁴         |
| CD80-like, immunoglobulin C2-set       | 2.1                    | 8                      | 3.84            | 1.4×10⁻³         |

Showing only non-redundant terms that are enriched after accounting for both multiple testing and for the tendency of conserved elements to be found near particular classes of genes (Supplementary Information).