The effect of processed Tempeh Gembus to triglycerides levels and insulin resistance status in women with obesity
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Abstract
Obesity is an increasing weight that exceeds the limits of physical and skeletal needs due to the excessive backfilling of body fat. Obesity is a predisposing factor for increasing triglyceride levels (TG) and insulin resistance status. Consumption of fiber, such as Tempeh Gembus can be an alternative treatment to lower TG levels and insulin resistance status. This research was aimed to prove the influence of processed Tempeh Gembus to TG levels and insulin resistance status. This research employed a pre-post randomized control group. The participants of this research are 40 women with pre-menopausal obesity which are divided into two groups: the control group which is given the daily standard diet treatment Isocaloric 30 kcal/kg of BW/day and the treatment group which is given the daily standard diet Isocaloric 30 kcal/kg of BW/day with the addition of processed Tempeh Gembus processed as much as 150 g/day for 28 days. The result show, giving Tempeh Gembus to the treatment group can lower the TG level (p = 0.010) and insulin resistance status (p = 0.000) significantly. The decreased levels of TG in the treatment group (-9.3±9.6 mg/dL) were higher compared to the decline in the control group (-2.90±4.43 mg/dL). Decreased insulin resistance status in the control group (-0.60±0.51) is lower than the treatment group (-6.90±4.82). Based on the results above, consumption of 150 g/day of processed Tempeh Gembus for 28 days can lower TG levels...
Afifah et al., 2020; Manullang, 2020).

Fiber is an active substance owned by Tempeh Gembus, the value of protein content is 3 times more compared to soybean Tempeh, which is 3.93 g/100 g (Li et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2013). In addition, the nutritional content profile in Tempeh Gembus has the value of amino acids and fatty acids which is better than soybean does (Damanik et al., 2018). A diet with high fiber (> 25 g of soluble fiber and > 47 g of non-soluble fibers per day) may decrease the risk up to 50% occurrence of stroke in the population (Casiglia et al., 2013). In addition, high fiber intake shows good benefits against obesity. Fiber Intake (15 – 30 grams/day) can increase the sensitivity of insulin and can lower the level of TG in the blood because of the content of hypocholesterolemic that increases absorption and increase the excretion of cholesterol in the intestines (Dodevska et al., 2013). Previous studies have shown that soy protein consumption at a relatively high rate of 20-25 g for 6 weeks has an impact on decreasing triglyceride levels, total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-cholesterol) in the participant of hypercholesterolemia. These results demonstrate an effect which appears to be in part attributable to the suppression of triglyceride fatty acid (TGFA) biosynthesis (Wang et al., 2004; Kusumaningsih et al., 2006; den Besten et al., 2015; Tokede et al., 2015).

Previous research, indicating that Tempeh Gembus is safe to be consumed because it has an antimicrobial activity due to the fermentation process (Noviana et al., 2018). The purpose of this research is to determine the role of Tempeh Gembus in the prevention of diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease that is reviewed from the indicator of triglyceride levels and insulin resistance status in the blood of obese women.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study design and patients

In this study, we included 40 women aged 20-50 years, body mass index ≥ 23 kg/m2, has no history or is experiencing degenerative diseases (derivatives), not smoking, willing to participate in this study by signing informed consent. The participants were recruited based on consecutive sampling method.

The participants are divided into two groups: one control group and one treatment group with each of them consisting of 20 participants. The daily standard diet intervention of isocaloric 30 kcal/kg BW/day provided as feeding package by investigators to participants. The control group (X1) with daily standard diet intervention of Isocaloric 30 kcal/kg BW/day for 28 days. Treatment group (X2) with daily standard diet intervention of Isocaloric 30 kcal/kg BW/day with the addition of processed Tempeh Gembus as much as 150 grams/day for 28 days. Food intake restriction intervention is done by reducing food portions while still paying attention to the nutritional value (Redman and Ravussin, 2011). Limitation of food intake is given in stages as much as 30 kcal/kg body weight/day through food menus provided by the correctional institution which refers to a low-calorie diet for obesity by considering the gender and physical activity of the participant (Wahyuningsih, 2013).

2.2 Laboratory, anthropometric and clinical data collection

The data of the body mass index is obtained based on weight and height measurements. Nutrient intake data are obtained through interviews directly using food recall form and the Comstock method to see the rest of Tempeh Gembus, then they were analyzed by using NutriSurvey software. The data of physical activity level were obtained through interviews directly using the International Physical Activity Questionnaires (IPAQ) form. Then the data were calculated by using the formula of PAL (Physical Activity Level) WHO with the category of physical activity level is lightweight (1.40-1.69 units), moderate (1.70-1.99 units), and weight (2.00-2.40 units). Triglyceride levels (TG) are measured by laboratory personnel using the glycerol phosphate oxidase-p-aminophenazone (GPO-PAP) method. While insulin resistance status is measured by using the homeostasis method, a model of assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). This study was a pre-post randomized control group design. The research was conducted in March 2019. This independent variable of this research is a restriction of feeding intake, while the dependent variables are TG levels and insulin resistance status. Test results of blood analysis were conducted by certified phlebotomist from clinical laboratory CITO Semarang Indraprasta (Clinical Laboratory Accreditation Committee (KALK) of the Ministry of Health.

2.3 Preparation period

2.3.1 Restriction of intake

The restriction of intake is adjusted to the individual needs of each participant by taking into account the body condition and activity of the participant so that the decrease in calorie gradually with a 30 kcal/kg BW/day Isocaloric diet. This restriction is carried out as a form of controlling the occurrence of improper diet, improvement of the BW, increased levels of TG value and the status of insulin resistance that will eventually exacerbate the condition of the participant.
2.3.2 Preparation of Tempeh Gembus treatment ingredients

Tempeh Gembus that will be given as treatment materials made by researchers and teams, in the Laboratory of Food Technology Department of Nutrition Poltekkes Semarang, the Ministry of Health (Kemenkes), using tofu pulp obtained from tofu craftsmen in the region of Cinde-Lamper City Semarang. The yeast of Tempeh used is the yeast Raprima.

Processed Tempeh Gembus as treatment material is processed in the Culinary Nutrition Laboratory Department of Nutrition Poltekkes Kemenkes Semarang. Tempeh Gembus is processed with 5 kinds of cooking (Wati et al., 2020) recipes including Bacem, Oseng Cabai Hijau, Sambal Kacang Bumbu Sate, Pepes Kuning dan Balado.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The data obtained will be analyzed by statistical test using SPSS version 16. Each of the data was analyzed to see the distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test due to the number of samples of < 50 people. Test the average difference between each group before and after the intervention using the test paired T-Test if the data is normal distribution and Wilcoxon test if the distribution data is abnormal. Test the average rate between groups using the independent T-test if the data is normal distribution and Mann Whitney test if the data is not normal distribution.

2.6 Ethical clearance

Request Ethical clearance has been reviewed and approved by the ethical clearance with the certificate number 32/I/2019/Bioetic Commission.

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of participants

Participant characteristics consisting of age, level of physical activity, Body Mass Index before and after treatment are presented in Table 1. All participants in the study were in the age group of 21-50 years. The mean age in the control group (35.05±8.54 years) was lower than in the treatment group (36.50±9.37 years). The Mann Whitney test showed that there was no significant difference in age between groups (p = 0.64), so age was not a confounding variable in the study. The mean level of physical activity during the study in the control group (1.52±0.17 units) was higher than the treatment group (1.48±0.10 units). The Mann Whitney test showed that there was no difference in the average level of physical activity between groups (p = 0.84), so the level of physical activity was not a confounding variable in the study. Based on WHO (2011), the level of participant activity in this study was included in the mild category (1.40-1.69 unit). Table 1 also shows that the average Body Mass Index (BMI) before and after the study in the control group (30.00±5.61 kg/m²; 29.63±5.42 kg/m²) than the treatment group (28.22±2.49 kg/m²; 27.32±2.50 kg/m²). The Mann Whitney test showed that there was no difference in mean Body Mass Index before (p = 0.51) and after research (p = 0.16), did not become confounding in the study.

3.2 Dietary intake before intervention

Table 2 shows data on energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate intake patterns before the study. The mean energy intake before the study in the control group...
(2306.96±545.24 kcal) was higher than in the treatment group (2057.70±241.06 kcal), the Mann Whitney test showed that there were significant differences in energy intake between groups (p = 0.01) so that energy intake before the study becomes confounding variable. The mean protein intake before the study in the control group (84.86±19.08 g) was higher than the treatment group (72.59±19.16 g), the independent sample test showed that there were significant differences in energy intake between groups (p = 0.04) so that protein intake before it can become confounding variables. The mean fat intake before the study in the control group (86.8±31.84 g) was higher than the treatment group (60.57±18.75 g), the independent sample test showed that there were significant differences in energy intake between groups (p = 0.00) so that fat intake before the study can be a confounding variable. The mean carbohydrate intake in the control group (296.79±77.45 g) was higher than the treatment group (276.42±35.50 g), but the independent sample test showed no difference in carbohydrate intake before the inter-group study (p = 0.29) so that carbohydrate intake study before the study did not become a confounding variable.

### 3.3 Dietary intake during intervention

Dietary intake can become confounding variables when there are significant differences between groups (p<0.05). The nutritional intake consists of energy intake, protein, fat, carbohydrate and the intake of Tempeh Gembus (treatment group). Table 3 presents food intake data during the study of each group. There is no difference in energy intake, protein, fat and carbohydrate in both groups and there is a difference in the treatment of the Tempeh Gembus. This is because the differences in interventions conducted during the study, from the treatment group can increase the level of consumption of Tempeh Gembus for 28 days intervention of a minimum value of 35.88% and a maximum value of 94.90%.

#### Table 3. Dietary intake during intervention

| Intake                  | Control          | Treatment        | p    |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------|
|                         | Average±SD       | Median           | Min-Max | Average±SD | Median | Min-Max |      |
| Energy (kcal)           | 1955.07±218.62   | 1545.65-457.00   | 1895.40 | 1512.81-299.05 | 0.53*   |
| Protein (g)             | 97.75±10.93      | 72.83-122.85     | 94.77   | 75.64-114.95 | 0.53*   |
| Fat (g)                 | 43.45±4.85       | 32.37-54.60      | 42.12   | 33.62-51.09  | 0.53*   |
| Carbohydrates (g)       | 283.26±32.75     | 218.50-368.55    | 284.31  | 226.92-344.86 | 0.53*   |
| Tempeh Gembus Intake (%)| 0.00±0.00        | 0.00             | 0.00    | 35.88-94.90  | 0.00*    |

*Independent sample test

#### Table 4. TG levels before and after intervention on control group and treatment group

| TG Levels (mg/dL)      | Control (n=20) | Treatment (n=20) | p    |
|------------------------|----------------|------------------|------|
|                        | Average±SD     | Min - Max        | Median | Average±SD | Min - Max | Median |      |
| Before                 | 144.70±17.84   | 111 – 179        | 146.50 | 143.95±20.01 | 110 – 178 | 146.00 | 0.90**|
| After                  | 141.80±18.38   | 100 – 178        | 136.50 | 134.65±20.72 | 100 – 165 | 129.50 | 0.25**|
| Δ TG Levels            | 2.90±4.43      | -13 – 6.00       | -2.00  | -9.30± 9.63  | 33 – 0.00| -6.00  | 0.01***|

*Paired sample test, **Independent sample test, and ***Mann Whitney test
Table 5. FBG levels and insulin levels before and after intervention control group and treatment group

| FBG Levels (mg/dL) | Control (n=20) | Treatment (n=20) | p |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
|                   | Average±SD    | Min-Max          | Median | Average±SD | Min-Max | Median |
| Before            | 125.95±18.42  | 103-196          | 125.00 | 124.20±9.36 | 101-140 | 124.50 | 0.60** |
| After             | 119.25±16.47  | 110-180          | 116.50 | 82.10±13.61 | 67-120  | 77.50  | 0.00** |
| Δ FBG Levels      | -6.70±6.11    | -20-1            | -4.50  | -42.10±15.00| -62(-5) | -46.60 | 0.00** |
| p                 | 0.00*         |                  |        | 0.00*      |         |        |

| Insulin Levels µIU/L | Before | After | Δ Insulin Levels | Before | After | Before | After | p |
|----------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---|
|                      | 25.81±8.99 | 25.10±8.51 | -0.70±0.96 | 38.18±16.41 | 23.99±9.72 | -14.19±13.80 | 37.54 | 0.023** |
|                      | 13.17-5.18 | 12.10-4.10 | -4.20-0.54 | 12.11-6.15 | 10.15-55.78 | -51.12(-40) | 21.38 | 0.543** |
|                      | 22.61     | 22.13  | -0.60           | 12.34  | 23.60  | -12.34 | 0.000** |
|                      | 0.00*     | 0.00*  |                 |        |        |        |       |   |

*Wilcoxon sample test **Mann Whitney test

summary, there is a significant difference in the reduction of TG post-granting intervention between the two groups (p = 0.01).

3.5 The effect of processed Tempeh Gembus on fasting blood glucose (FBG) levels and insulin levels

Based on Table 5, the average FBG rate of the control group before the intervention is 125.95±18.42 mg/dL whereas after the average FBG rate intervention becomes 119.25±16.47 mg/dL. In the treatment group, the average FBG rate before the intervention is 124.20±9.36 mg/dL whereas after the average FBG rate intervention becomes 82.10±13.61 mg/dL. Statistically, there is a significant difference between the average FBG rate before and after the intervention between the two groups (p = 0.00; p = 0.00). Although it has not yet reached the optimal value, there is a statistically significant reduction in FBG levels in both groups.

In the control group, the average insulin level before the intervention is 25.81±8.99 µIU/L whereas after the intervention of the average insulin levels becomes 25.10±8.51 µIU/L. In the treatment group, the average level of insulin before the intervention is 38.18±16.41 µIU/L whereas after the intervention of the average insulin levels into 23.99±9.72 µIU/L. Statistically, there is a significant difference in insulin levels in the treatment group before and after the intervention (p = 0.00). Although it has not yet reached the optimal value, there is a statistically significant decrease in insulin levels in both groups.

The average FBG rate prior to the intervention in the control group (125.95±18.42 mg/dL) was higher than the treatment group (124.20±9.36 mg/dL), but there was no significant difference to the average FBG level before the two group intervention (p = 0.60). Average FBG levels after the intervention in the control group (119.25±16.47 mg/dL) is higher than the treatment group (82.10±13.61 mg/dL), there is a significant difference in the FBG rate after the intervention between the two groups (p = 0.00). The decline in FBG levels in the control group (-6.70±6.11 mg/dL) is lower than the treatment group (-42.10±15.00 mg/dL), there is a significant difference in the decline in FBG levels after the intervention between the two groups (p = 0.00).

The average insulin level before the intervention in the control group (25.81±8.99 Miu/L) is lower than the treatment group (38.18±16.41 µIU/L), but there is no significant difference to the average insulin level before the intervention between the two groups (p = 0.02). Average insulin levels after an intervention in the control group (25.10±8.51 µIU/L) is higher than the treatment group (23.99±9.72 µIU/L), but there is no significant difference to the average insulin rate after the intervention between the two groups (p = 0.54). Decreased levels of insulin in the control group (-0.70±0.96 µIU/L) are lower compared to treatment groups (-14.19±13.80 µIU/L), there are significant differences in the decrease in insulin levels after the intervention of the two groups (p = 0.00).

3.6 The effect of processed Tempeh Gembus on insulin resistance status

In the control group (based on Table 6), the average status of insulin resistance before the intervention is 7.89±2.82 while after the intervention the insulin resistance status reaches 7.38±2.56. In the treatment group, the average status of insulin resistance before the intervention is 11.77±5.32 while after the intervention of insulin resistance status reaches to 4.87±2.32. Statistically, there is a significant difference between the average status of insulin resistance before and after intervention in both groups (P = 0.00; p = 0.00). Although it has not yet reached the optimal value, there is a decline in insulin resistance status, which is statistically significant in both groups.

The average status of insulin resistance before the
intervention in the control group (7.89±2.82) is lower than the treatment group (11.77±5.32); so, there is a significant difference to the average status of insulin resistance before the intervention between the two groups (P = 0.02). Average status of insulin resistance after intervention in the control group (7.38±2.56) is higher than the treatment group (4.87±2.32): so, there is a significant difference to the average status of insulin resistance after intervention between the two groups (P = 0.00). Decreased insulin resistance status in the control group (-0.60±0.51) is lower than the treatment group (-6.90±4.82); so there is a significant difference to the decline of the insulin resistance status after giving intervention in both groups (P = 0.00). Although there is a significant decline in each group of treatment, the value of insulin resistance status has not reached the optimal value.

4. Discussion

There is a significant difference in the decline in the level of TG post-granting intervention between the two groups (p = 0.010). Elevated levels of TG or hypertriglyceridemia are influenced by gene factors and dietary consumption such as carbohydrates, fats, and alcohol. The blood TG levels will be able to increase affected by the low activity of the enzyme LPL (Lipoprotein Lipase) which serves to hydrate triglycerides into fatty acids and glycerol (Murray et al., 2012).

Obesity and saturated fat consumption can activate JNK. The increase of fat deposition in adipocytes in obesity leads to the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which include TNF-α and IL-6 that further activates the JNK and NFκB lines. Activation of NFκB on obesity increasingly adds to the inflammatory response that weighs insulin resistance (Sah et al., 2016). In women pre-menopause women experience decreased estrogen hormones associated with increased body fat and visceral fat accumulation (Hall, 2015; Mansour et al., 2017), to prevent the occurrence of excessive estrogen deficits need to have an incorrect intake setting the same with consuming Tempeh Gembus. Other studies show that fibers in the Tempeh Gembus provide hypercholesterolemia effects and lipid-lowering effects in hamsters that are fed a high-fat diet achieve 20%/kg BW for 3 weeks with the results of TG (P<0.05) (Villanueva et al., 2011). Decreased levels of TG given to a group have a value of more than 50% with the administration of 5%/kgBW of a group that is given only dietary control diet (Nagata et al., 2016). This is in line with previous research, in the hyperlipidemia group of women divided into 3 groups, namely the control group, 1 treatment group that got Tempeh Gembus 103 g/day and 2 treatment groups that got Tempeh Gembus 206 g/day for 14 days. In the provision of Tempeh Gembus at a dose of 103 g/day, there is no difference in the influence of Tempeh Gembus on total cholesterol levels and TG in the three groups. But, consumption of Tempeh with a dose of 206 g/day has a significant influence to lower the total cholesterol levels and has no significant influence to lower TG levels (Agustina et al., 2018).

Therefore, the food fiber can be concluded, and protein can be a role to lower lipid plasma and cholesterol, Tempeh Gembus can improve the nutrients of other food quality and is a promising functional food that can be used in Fiber-rich food development. The research is in line with the previous research, statistically, there is no significant difference to the decrease in TG levels, but if it was viewed from the average value of TG levels in both groups decreased (144.70±17.84 mg/dL), such as in the control group prior to the study, (133.05±21.83 mg/dL) in the control group after research while the average rate of TG (143.95±20.01 mg/dL) on the treatment group before research, (134.65±20.72 mg/dL) in the treatment group.

Tempeh Gembus is one form of the fermented Okara, which has 3 times higher fiber (4.69%) from soybean Tempeh (1.40%) (Li et al., 2012). The soybean fiber component of the Tempeh Gembus has a major role in lowering cholesterol levels and other lipid profile mechanisms including TG levels. A structurally owned isoflavone antioxidant compounds similar to estrogen. Previous research showed a high level of antioxidant activity with ABTS analysis method (Agustina et al., 2018). The decrease in TG levels also occurred in the control group, this is due to changes in the food intake of participants during the intervention through the restriction of intake with isocaloric 30 kcal/kg BW. The

| Insulin Resistance Status | Control (n=20) | Treatment (n=20) | p |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| Before                    | 7.89±2.82     | 4.13-14.01       | 0.02** |
| After                     | 7.38±2.56     | 3.20-13.07       | 0.00** |
| Δ Insulin Resistance Status | -0.60±0.51    | -1.97-0.07       | 0.00*  |

*Wilcoxon sample test **Mann Whitney test
average energy intake before research, in the control group (2306.96±545.247 kcal) is higher than the treatment group (2057.70±241.062 kcal), while the average energy intake during research in the control group (1924.35±218.624 higher than the treatment group (1883.81±187.897 kcal), there was a decrease in the participants’ intake of meals before and during the study. Low-calorie food restriction leads to decreased lipogenesis activity, and fewer Free Fatty acids (FFA) or free fatty acid are formed. Furthermore, it was the mobilization of FFA from fat tissue to the hepar and bind with glycerol to form triacylglycerol. Thus, the lower the consumption of calories, the lower the synthesis of triacylglycerol in hepar and the lower level of TG in the blood occurs (Hu et al., 2013; Tsalissavrina et al., 2013; Athyros et al., 2018).

Giving Tempeh Gembus is very effective to lower the TG level which will be directly related to the increase in HDL levels. The higher the HDL level, the lower the cholesterol circulating in the blood that is transported back to the liver, and the lower the risk of atherosclerosis will be. Thus, this is in line with this research, where the decrease in TG levels can also be influenced by a decrease in fasting blood sugar and insulin levels.

Previous research conducted was by giving Tempeh directly was able to lower the FBG level, preventing the increase in total cholesterol levels, TG and LDL levels, reduce the damage of β-pancreatic cells. Insulin resistance in Hepar is selective, that is, insulin is not able to suppress gluconeogenesis, but still stimulates the synthesis of fatty acids, which manifests as hyperglycemia and hypertriglyceridemia. Manifestations of insulin resistance in adipose tissue are glucose transport disorder and impaired inhibition of lipolysis (Ismaiel et al., 2017).

Fiber content (Lu et al., 2013) and high protein (Ahmed et al., 2010) in Okara has the ability to reduce the FBG level. These fibers contain bioactive components with high viscosity, these components can directly slow the discharge of the stomach and absorption of glucose due to the hypoglycemic effect on Okara (Sedaghat et al., 2015). The mechanism of fiber to decrease in blood glucose levels is strongly influenced by the absorption of carbohydrates in the intestines. The lower the carbohydrate that is absorbed by the body, the lower the glucosamal levels will be. In this case, fiber can decrease the efficiency of carbohydrate absorption that can cause a decreased insulin response. When the insulin response decreases, the pancreas's work will be lighter so that it can improve the function of the pancreas in producing insulin (Ahmed et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2013; Sedaghat et al., 2015).

Eating arrangement with isocaloric is not only limited to the amount consumed but it is also influenced by food quality and meal frequency that will later affect the metabolic rate (Franz et al., 2010). Some physiological reasons in food settings have an inverse relationship of Anara insulinotropic which relies on FBG and dietary intake (Franz et al., 2010; Mesas et al., 2012; Salehi et al., 2014). This leads to a decline in the control group, isocaloric food restriction is able to lower adipose tissue in the body so that there is no hyperglycemic, glucose intolerance in the state of Dyslipidemia and increased inflammatory response. In addition, isocaloric is able to lower the AKT phosphorylation in the liver, preventing insulin resistance and increasing phosphorylation ratio of IKK-β-total IKK-β in preventing the occurrence of inflammation of the tissues (Samuel, 2012; Bretón et al., 2013; Ye, 2013). This research suggests that food settings are able to help lower the impairment on FBG which is a reduction risk of insulin resistance that triggers inflammation in the muscles and impaired insulin signals in the liver (Jiang and Messina, 2011; Samuel, 2012; Bretón et al., 2013; Ye, 2013; Francisqueti et al., 2017).

There is a decline in FBG levels and insulin levels are able to decrease the occurrence of insulin resistance. Giving Tempeh Gembus has the ability to decrease insulin resistance. According to recent studies, high fiber consumption levels can contribute to the increase in carbohydrate metabolism in non-pharmacological ways. In addition, the fiber consumption from Tempeh Gembus is beneficial reducing postprandial hyperglycemia by inhibiting Digestion and absorption of carbohydrates, as well as enhancing a sense of satiety with the effects of the resultant weight loss (Furukawa et al., 2017). In individual insulin resistance, fiber consumption can increase the sensitivity of the peripheral insulin through short-chain fatty acids, which is produced by the fermentation of fibers in the intestines (Feder and Fonseca, 2017). However, there has been no direct research that proves the influence of Tempeh Gembus against insulin resistance status. Theoretically, the mechanism of Tempeh Gembus affecting the status of insulin resistance can be explained through oxidative stress reduction pathways by suppressing the formation of ROS. Another study proved that the feeding of Tempeh Gembus lowered the MDA levels in the rats that were fed atherogenic (Kurniasari et al., 2017).

Additionally, the decline also occurs in the control group. This is due to the restriction of intake that can suppress the rate of FFA. On the characteristics of female obesity, pre-menopause increased the levels of free fatty acids (FFA) plasma. Research in rats and humans showed the increase of FFA due to triglyceride
lipolysis of adipose tissue in insulin metabolism disorder. Food restriction with isocaloric suppresses the distribution of fats that interfere with metabolic activity, especially in gluteal adipose tissue which is protective against insulin resistance. In contrast, the adipose tissue of the visceral shows a higher inflammatory increase compared to subcutaneous and intramuscular adipose tissues. The visceral adipose tissue rises to the portal vein so that the liver is directly exposed to high FFA levels and Adipokine. This leads to insulin resistance characterized by increased hepatic glucose production and elevated fasting glucose levels. The emphasizing of the rate of FFA through calorie restriction can also lower the deposition of fat in the target tissues of other insulin, such as skeletal muscle, which is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction and insulin resistance, which leads to glucose transport disorder after eating due to the decreased translocation GLUT-4 (Polonsky and Burant, 2016). Overall, Tempeh Gembus effectively decreases insulin resistance. This occurs because of a decrease in the level of TG which is followed by a decrease in FBG and Insulin.

5. Conclusion
The provision of processed Tempeh Gembus is able to lower TG levels and Insulin resistance Status for 28 days. The provision of processed Tempeh Gembus is expected to be applied to pre-menopause women with obesity. Changes in TG and Insulin resistance status also occurred in the control group. This is thought to be due to a standard diet of 30 kcal/kg body BW/day for 28 days.
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