The cell receptor level is reduced during persistent infection with influenza C virus
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Summary. Persistent influenza C virus infection of MDCK cells perpetuates the viral genome in a cell-associated form. Typically, virus production remains at a low level over extended periods, in the absence of lytic effects of replication. In this study, we demonstrate that persistently infected cells are very restricted in permissiveness for superinfection. By reconstitution experiments, using bovine brain gangliosides as artificial receptors, the degree of superinfection was markedly increased. Analysis of cellular receptor expression revealed reduced concentrations of sialoglycoproteins in general and a limited presentation of the major receptor gp40. Cocultures of persistently infected and uninfected cells (the latter carrying normal receptor levels) initiated a transient rise in virus titers. This kind of induction of virus synthesis appeared to be mainly receptor-linked, since a receptor-deprived subline, MDCK II, did not give rise to a similar effect. Susceptibility of MDCK II cocultures could be partly restored by ganglioside treatment. In accordance to related virus systems, these findings on influenza C virus suggest a role of cell receptor concentrations in the regulation of long-term persistence.

Introduction

A persistently infected cell line, MDCK-pi, producing an infectious variant of influenza C/Ann Arbor/1/50 virus, has been stabilized over a course of 12 years. The state of infection combines a number of characteristics, some of which have also been reported for in vitro systems with other persistent RNA viruses, e.g. Sindbis virus [9], poliovirus [2], paramyxovirus SV5 [4], measles virus [5] and influenza A virus [17]. Certain findings appear to be striking for our model:
(i) Survival of infected host cells is ensured by the suppression of cytolytic effects, (ii) virus replication is an insteadiely regulated process, including nonproductive and productive phases, (iii) viral genomes are detectable in every single cell of a long-term persistent culture, and (iv) a transfer to secondary persistently infected cells can be accomplished by the viral particles released ([12], summarized in [11]). Biochemical peculiarities of the persistent variant (C/AA-pi) in comparison to its parental wild-type (C/AA-wt) have been documented, in particular an increased receptor binding affinity: low-receptor type cells were shown to be exclusively susceptible to the C/AA-pi variant [10], a fact which raised the question whether the persistently infected cells retain their susceptibility to infection by the virus shedded into the culture medium. This being the case, the superinfection of MDCK-pi cells might happen continuously. Alternatively, if viral particles were inefficient in superinfection, viral genomes might be passively distributed towards daughter cells through the vertical way via mitotic division. We addressed this point by testing the availability and functionality of sialoglycoprotein receptors in the MDCK-pi culture. The results obtained suggest a rate-limiting step for virus production, which is given by the restricted level of cellular receptors.

**Materials and methods**

**Cells and virus**

MDCK I, MDCK II and MDCK-pi cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum by replacing the culture medium twice a week. Of note, the persistently infected MDCK-pi line was grown at virus-permissive (33°C) or nonpermissive temperatures (37°C). Single cell clones were generated as described earlier [12]. Stocks of persistent virus C/AA-pi and wild-type virus C/AA-wt were grown in the allantoic cavity of embryonated chicken eggs for 3–5 d at 33°C. Virus titers, in allantoic fluids or in cell culture supernatants, were determined by the hemagglutination microtiteration method using 1% chicken erythrocytes in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). All titers higher than 1 HAU/ml were considered to be specific.

**Infection, superinfection and cocultivation**

Infection of MDCK cells and superinfection of MDCK-pi cells were performed in 12-well plates. For superinfection, the productivity of endogeneous persistent virus in MDCK-pi cells was suppressed by cultivating these cultures at the nonpermissive 37°C temperature for approximately 1 week prior to superinfection. Monolayers were rinsed twice with PBS and overlayed with 500 μl of virus inoculum (containing 32–128 HAU/ml). After a 1 h incubation at 33°C under occasional shaking, the inoculum was removed by rinsing twice with PBS. Freshly infected and superinfected cells were cultivated in medium containing 2% fetal calf serum at 33°C. For cocultivation, nonproductive MDCK-pi cells were trypsinized and freshly seeded in a mixture of equal volumes with MDCK I or MDCK II cells. Cell mixtures were cultivated in 50 ml flasks or 12-well plates with fresh medium containing 10% fetal calf serum. After an initial incubation at 37°C (approximately 6 h) for optimal cell adsorption, all cocultures were grown at 33°C and finally tested for total virus production by hemagglutination tiration and in situ esterase staining.
**Immunofluorescence double staining**

MDCK I cells were grown on Lab-Tek slides (Nunc) and fixed in original morphology by a 15 min treatment with 3% formaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.2) at room temperature without permeabilization. Blocking occurred by preincubation of the slides with nonspecific horse serum for 30 min at 37°C. As primary antibodies, a monoclonal antibody with specificity for the viral glycoprotein HEF (MAb-HEF FCDD41) and a rabbit antiserum raised against a synthetic gp40-peptide (amino acids 26–40) were incubated simultaneously for 90 min at 37°C. After rinsing, the secondary antibodies (anti-mouse IgG-rhodamine and anti-rabbit IgG-fluorescein isothiocyanate) were applied for 45 min at 37°C, rinsed again and covered in the dark before analysis by fluorescence microscopy.

**Ganglioside treatment**

Bovine brain gangliosides (Sigma) were suspended in PBS by vortexing for 1 min at room temperature. Confluent monolayers or cells pelleted after trypsinization were pretreated with an overlay of 100 mU/ml neuraminidase from *Clostridium perfringens* (Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C. The enzyme was removed and cells were washed three times with PBS. Ganglioside solutions were applied in various concentrations (1–25 mg/ml) and incubated for 90 min at 37°C. Subsequently cells were washed with PBS and utilized for infection or cocultivation as described above.

**In vitro virus binding assay**

Cellular sialoglycoproteins, containing Neu5, 9Ac2, the receptor determinant for influenza C virus, were detected by an in vitro virus binding assay as described by Zimmer et al. [19]. In brief, about 1×10⁷ cells of each cell clone were lysed with 1 ml of RIPA buffer. Glycoproteins were isolated by affinity chromatography using wheat germ agglutinin agarose. Following SDS-PAGE, the glycoproteins were immobilized on nitrocellulose. For detection of proteins containing 9-O-acetylated sialic acid, the blot was incubated with a mutant of influenza C/Johannesburg/1/66 virus. This mutant recognizes 9-O-acetylated sialic acid more efficiently than does the parental virus [15]. Bound virus was detected using a chromogenic esterase substrate which is cleaved by the viral O-acetyesterase.

**In situ esterase staining**

In situ staining of the viral acetyesterase activity, as displayed by the HEF glycoprotein, was basically performed according to Wagaman et al. [18] with minor modifications [12]. Infected cells were fixed, incubated with the esterase substrate analogue α-naphthyl acetate-pararosanilin and analyzed microscopically for the red colour reaction, marking HEF-expressing cells.

**Results**

Persistently infected MDCK-pi cells in the nonproductive state (see Materials and methods) and virus-free MDCK I cells were both infected with two different dilutions (1:8 and 1:2) of egg-grown wild-type C/A-wt virus (256 HAU/ml). In MDCK I cells, both virus inoculum concentrations produced detectable titers of progeny within three days (Table 1). In the parallel setup, however, MDCK-pi cells did not respond to superinfection by either of the two
virus concentrations, which was reproduced in further experiments testing different inoculum. It should be mentioned that reactivation of the suppressed endogeneous virus was not observed within one week in these experiments. Similar results were obtained when fresh MDCK I cells were infected successively with persistent and wild-type virus. After having been used for a transfer of C/AA-pi virus to establish a new state of persistence over 1 month, the cells were subsequently superinfected with C/AA-wt virus. Here again, the second inoculum did not produce measurable amounts of progeny virus (not shown). These observations suggest that persistently infected MDCK cells are resistant against secondary infectious inoculums of influenza C virus.

The influence of virus receptors on the susceptibility of persistently infected cells to superinfection by influenza C virus was assayed by a receptor reconstitution experiment (Table 2). Bovine brain gangliosides are known to contain the receptor determinant Neu5, 9Ac2 and have been successfully used to enhance virus uptake by cells deficient in surface receptors [7]. In this study we performed ganglioside treatment of MDCK-pi cells in the nonproductive phase. As described for Table 1, the cells were subjected to superinfection with

| Host cell | Inoculum virus | Progeny virusa |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
|           |                | 1 | 2 | 3 days |
| mock      | 0              | 0 | 0 | 0       |
| MDCK I    | C/AA-wt: low m.o.i. | 0 | 1 | 2       |
|           | C/AA-wt: high m.o.i. | 3 | 16| 16      |
| MDCK-pi   | C/AA-wt: low m.o.i. | 0 | 0 | 0       |
|           | C/AA-wt: high m.o.i. | 0 | 0 | 0       |

aDetermined by hemagglutination tests from the culture supernatant [HAU/ml]

| Host cell | Gangliosides [mg/ml] | Inoculum virus | Progeny virusb |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|
|           |                      |                | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 10 days |
| MDCK-pi   | 0                    | C/AA-wt        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1       |
|           | 0                    | C/AA-pi        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1       |
|           | 1                    | C/AA-wt        | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4       |
|           | 1                    | C/AA-pi        | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4       |
|           | 5                    | C/AA-wt        | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8       |
|           | 5                    | C/AA-pi        | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8       |

aGanglioside treatment of the cell layers was performed prior to infection
bDetermined by hemagglutination tests from the culture supernatant [HAU/ml]
C/AA-wt or C/AA-pi virus, respectively. In a concentration-dependent manner, ganglioside supplementation increased the susceptibility of these host cells to virus infection. Interestingly, the C/AA-pi variant showed slower kinetics of progeny production than wild-type virus (possibly due to defective virus in the egg-grown inoculum), but reached the same quantitative end points. This experiment provides evidence that the low concentration of receptor determinants on the MDCK-pi cell surface is a limiting factor for virus uptake.

The quantity of sialoglycoproteins containing receptor determinants for influenza C virus binding was determined for MDCK-pi cells. Single cell cloning was performed in order to investigate the degree of heterogeneity within the culture. The glycoproteins of individual clones were isolated by wheat germ agglutination and used for an in vitro virus binding assay (Fig. 1).

With MDCK I cells a number of sialoglycoproteins are recognized by influenza C virus. Among these, only a protein of 40 kDa is expressed on the cell surface [19]. This protein, termed gp40, appears to be heterogeneous in quantity on uninfected MDCK I cells, as determined by immunofluorescence staining (G. Zimmer, unpubl. obs.). In the clonal analysis of MDCK-pi cells, neither the gp40 nor any other glycoprotein was recognized by influenza C virus, indicating a lack of receptor determinants on these proteins. The results demonstrate that the amounts of Neu5, 9Ac2 in all MDCK-pi cell clones tested were drastically reduced with respect to uninfected MDCK I cells.

In order to address the question of colocalization between gp40 and the viral receptor-binding glycoprotein (HEF), double staining by indirect immunofluorescence was performed (Fig. 1). Bound virus was detected by the colour substrate reaction of the viral HEF acetylesterase. Note: Uninfected MDCK I cells (U) are stained in a marked pattern – the lowest band representing gp40 (see arrowhead) – while no binding of virus to any glycoprotein is detectable with single cell clones (SCC) 1–8 of MDCK-pi cells. M Marker (200, 97, 69, 46, 30kDa)
fluorescence was carried out. MDCK I cells were freshly infected with the persistent virus variant and analyzed 10 days postinfection. Both antigens were mainly detected in the form of a ring-shaped membrane staining. The intensity of labelling was variable for both antigens, i.e. clearly positive signals were restricted to a subset of cells. Hereby gp40 detection was quantitatively reduced in comparison to uninfected cells. Typically, the cell distribution of gp40 and HEF showed an inverse proportion: cells expressing high amounts of HEF were devoid of gp40 and, in opposite, those cells remaining brightly gp40-positive postinfection did not produce viral antigen (not shown). This behaviour reflects the tendency of exclusive surface presentation of either cell receptor or viral receptor-binding protein on each specific cell.

Given these informations, the significance of receptor levels for virus production during persistence was illustrated by cocultivation tests (Table 3). Uninfected MDCK I cells were freshly seeded together with an equal number of nonproductive MDCK-pi cells (the latter again having been suppressed in virus production by incubation at nonpermissive temperature). In contrast to MDCK-pi cells alone, which remained in the nonproductive state for the test period, the coculture showed a clear shift to enhanced virus synthesis. Apparently, undetected amounts of virus, still disseminated from MDCK-pi cells, were sufficient to be readily multiplied in the MDCK I cells added. This aspect confirms the down-regulation of the viral replication cycle in persistently infected cells.

A connection between virus production in cocultures and the availability of cellular receptors was clarified by the use of MDCK II cells. This subline had been reported to be resistant to infection by influenza C/JHB/1/66 virus and other strains due to the low receptor phenotype of its cell surface [7]. C/AA-pi virus, on the other hand, was shown to be capable of infecting these cells to a certain degree [10]. Taken this information, we performed cocultivations of

### Table 3. Virus release from cocultures

| Cultures tested | 2 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 36 days |
|-----------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| MDCK-pi (1)     | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0      |
| (2)             | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0      |
| MDCK I/MDCK-pi (1) | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0      |
| (2)             | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0      |

a Determined by hemagglutination tests from the culture supernatant [HAU/ml]
b Two MDCK-pi cultures (1 and 2) were compared to the derived cocultures using uninfected MDCK I cells which were started at day 14 applying equal volumes of both cell types
c Cells were split after 5, 14, and 31 days and freshly seeded
d Permissive and nonpermissive temperatures (33/37°C) were alternated to induce/reduce virus production
MDCK II cells with the MDCK-pi line and quantitated virus-producing cells in the microscopic field (Fig. 2). Virus induction by MDCK II cocultivation was very weak. In comparison to the distinct effect seen with the high-receptor type MDCK I cells (induction to 10.1% positive cells from a basal level of 3.7%) the MDCK II subline offered a low suitability as viral hosts (induction to 4.8% from 3.7%). By pretreatment of MDCK II cells with ganglioside-receptor substitutes, however, the induction in cocultures was increased stepwise to 8.3%. Thus, the difference between the two MDCK coculture hosts may reflect the linkage of cell surface receptor densities with persistent virus production.

Fig. 2. Cocultivation of uninfected MDCK sublines with MDCK-pi cells. A MDCK-pi cells were cocultivated with high-receptor type MDCK I or low-receptor type MDCK II cells. After three days the cells were utilized for in situ esterase staining as a quantitative marker of virus production. Positive cells, as visualized by dark colour reaction (magnification: ×40) were counted in 4 independent fields of the layer. Mean values are indicated in percentages. (Note that in the view presented, excessive staining reflects higher positive values than counted). B MDCK-pi cells were cocultivated with low-receptor type MDCK II cells, after these had been subject to treatment with increasing concentrations of ganglioside treatment (0, 1, 5, 25 mg/ml – from left to right). In situ esterase staining and evaluation was performed as in A.
Discussion

In vitro persistence models with influenza viruses proved the capacity of viral genomes of specialized variants to reside in host cells for extended times. A coselection of spontaneous virus mutants, side by side with adapted cell subpopulations seems suggestive, since the stabilization of infected cells surviving the cytopathogenic stress was reported to be crucial ([3, 16], H. F. Maassab, unpubl. obs.). In this study we describe the loss of cell susceptibility to influenza C virus after persistent infection. Three points of evidence link this effect to reduced receptor concentrations: (i) ganglioside treatment of MDCK-pi cells restores the possibility of superinfection, (ii) binding of influenza C virus to glycoproteins of MDCK-pi cells in a virus overlay assay is undetectably low and (iii) high-receptor type MDCK I cells, in contrast to low-receptor type MDCK II, can function as productive acceptor cells in cocultures.

The impact of differential receptor expression in a persistently infected cell culture was analyzed in detail for murine coronavirus MHV. A dynamic equilibrium of cells, either susceptible (receptor-positive) or resistant (receptor-negative) to infection with MHV, was postulated to regulate the maintenance of persistence [14]. Furthermore in the case of human immunodeficiency virus type 1, a specific down-regulation of the CD4-receptor was found to occur regularly during viral persistence in lymphocytes. The viral NEF factor was identified to induce CD4 endocytosis followed by lysosomal degradation, presumably contributing to the HIV-1 persistence mechanism [1]. In analogy, the recently described receptor for measles virus, CD46, is significantly down-regulated either after MV infection or after transient expression of the viral hemagglutinin in cultured cells [13]. Persistent infection of monkey kidney cells with MV resulted in the lack of CD46 surface expression [8]. Reduced amounts of a second measles virus receptor, moesin, were also detected in persistently infected human monocytes [6]. These examples, in accordance with the data presented here, indicate a specific regulatory function of receptor concentrations in viral persistence. While the influence of receptor down-regulation is poorly explained in vivo, the in vitro situation suggests the importance of limited viral replication for the benefit of host cell survival.

Taken together our observations suggest that in vitro persistence of influenza C virus is established under continuous reduction of the amount of cell surface receptors. As a consequence, virus amplification by superinfection of cells is suppressed and the total virus production of the culture was determined to remain in a restricted range, facilitating host cell survival. In an extreme case, virus transfer within the persistently infected culture is suggestive to be maintained exclusively on the viral RNA genome level by cell division. This statement is supported by the finding that nonproductive phases, even over months, do not terminate the state of persistence. To the contrary, nonproductive phases are characterized by the maintenance of C/AA-pi virus genomes in every single cell and by the possibility of reactivation ([12] M. M., unpubl. obs.). Reactivation of infectious virus production might initiate from
productive centers (as visualized in Fig. 2). Subsequently, enhanced virus spread could be connected with the capability of MDCK I cells to restore their surfaces with sialoglycoproteins, e.g. as reported for the rapid turn-over of gp40 [19]. The mechanism of receptor down-regulation, however, has still to be clarified. The most obvious explanation is the selection of low-receptor type cells, mediated by the permanent presence of viral receptor-destroying enzyme activity. Another possibility is given by the modified intracellular events during long-term viral replication. Presumably viral products interfere with the expression and transport of cellular proteins. Monitoring of the distinct transport pathways for influenza C viral proteins and cellular receptor precursors may provide this information.
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