The incidence of feco-oral parasites in street-food vendors in Buea, south-west region Cameroon
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Abstract

Background: The street-food industry lacks legal recognition, it operates in unstable and precarious conditions, involving women and men with minimal or no knowledge of hygienic food handling practices. Infective eggs, bacteria, toxins and cysts of faecal orally transmissible parasites are common agents responsible for food contamination in developing countries.

Objective: To determine the incidence of digestive faecal-oral parasites among street-food vendors in Buea, South-west region of Cameroon,

Methods: From March to May 2009, physical and laboratory analysis of stool samples of 150 randomly selected street-food vendors, residing in four different zones in the municipality were carried out. Information on environmental and personal hygiene was also collected.

Results: Results revealed that 56.7% of the sampled population was infected with faecal-oral parasites. The major faecal-oral parasites detected in stool samples were: Entamoeba coli (14.0%), Entamoeba bistolytica (12.67%), Ascaris lumbricoides (11.33%), Ankylostoma duodenalis (10.67%). Childcare activities, lack of deworming, poor personal hygiene, poor sanitary and toilet facilities, were factors promoting the spread of faecal-oral infections.

Conclusion: Food vendors should be educated on personal hygiene and safe food handling measures. Regulatory procedures on environmental hygiene and regular medical and paramedical follow up of food vendors should be enforced.
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Introduction

Street food is common in countries with high unemployment rates, low salaries, non-frequent availability of work opportunities and social programmes¹. Women are often owners or employers of this business with very low personal knowledge of hygienic food handling practices, and suggesting by inferences the precarious nature of hygienic conditions in their homes². The vendors have spent much of their lives confronting all sorts of hardships including lack of access to health services, so it seems reasonable to suppose that their personal health status is commonly quite poor. They have minimal or no education, do not pay taxes and most do not belong to vendors association. Yet due to family constraints such as playing a double role of both the mother and main provider of the household, they are forced to run such a business since it requires no special qualification or high budget and capital³. In general stalls and charts of street-food vendors are built at the least possible cost using a minimum of construction technology, and the food products sold are prepared, handled and processed according to traditional methods with no observance of even minimal sanitary standards². Typically, toilets and lavatory facilities are not readily available which forces the vendors (and sometimes consumers) to use any available nearby area, without washing their hands properly afterwards. Street food vendors wash and rinse their utensils only once; this water is used repeatedly and replaced only after a dirty appearance⁴.

These are some of the factors that are responsible for food poisoning and rampant outbreaks of faeco-oral parasite infections in the developing world. Several other factors such as temperature, humidity, intermediate hosts, socioeconomic status, and customary nutrition of people each play a role in the distribution of intestinal...
Economic problems may affect availability of safe water and so food and hand contamination with parasites through faecal-oral route may increase. Ingestion of infective eggs and cysts of such parasites has been linked with the level of environmental and personal hygiene.

The food sold on the streets is relatively cheap and readily available. Lack of access to potable water supply and garbage disposal, and unsanitary environmental conditions further exacerbate the public health risk associated with street-food. Though daily diet needs are met, the knowledge, attitudes and practices of street food vendors are primordial to reduce possible risks linked to improper handling of food at both macro and micro levels of food handling by street-food vendors.

A study carried out in Abeokuta, Nigeria revealed that ninety-seven (97%) percent of the food vendors were infected with one or more faecal-orally transmissible parasites; parasites observed were: *Entamoeba histolytica* with a prevalence of 72%, *Ascaris lumbricoides* (54%), *Enterobius vermicularis* (27%), *Trichuris trichiura* (24%) and *Giardia duodenalis* (13%). Toilet facilities available to the vendors were mainly pit latrines and other related structures (75%). The study also revealed that hawkers mostly used dung hills for defecation and the few that washed hands after defecating did not use soap.

A similar study was designed to determine the incidence of faecal-oral parasites in street-food vendors in Buea municipality with regard with activities like childcare, type of toilet facility, and other related structures (75%). The study also revealed that hawkers mostly used dung hills for defecation and the few that washed hands after defecating did not use soap.

A study carried out in Buea, Cameroon revealed that ninety-seven (97%) percent of the food vendors were infected with one or more faecal-orally transmissible parasites; parasites observed were: *Entamoeba histolytica* with a prevalence of 72%, *Ascaris lumbricoides* (54%), *Enterobius vermicularis* (27%), *Trichuris trichiura* (24%) and *Giardia duodenalis* (13%). Toilet facilities available to the vendors were mainly pit latrines and other related structures (75%). The study also revealed that hawkers mostly used dung hills for defecation and the few that washed hands after defecating did not use soap.

### Methods

#### Study area

Buea is the capital of the South-West Region of Cameroon with a population of two hundred thousand. The population has doubled within the last ten years, influenced by the presence of the University of Buea that was created in 1993, and numerous professional, secondary and high schools. The town is located 15kms away from the seashore, and 60 kilometres away from Douala, the economic capital of Cameroon. It is a fertile volcanic area lying on the slope of Mount Cameroon, the second highest mountain in Africa. Inhabitants are principally engaged in agriculture as the main economic activity, conditioned by the two seasons: the dry and wet seasons. Almost all the 254 ethnic groups found in Cameroon are represented in the area, attracted by the Cameroon Development Corporation (CDC), a giant agricultural corporation. Hygiene and sanitation within the municipality has been largely compromised by the sudden increase in population, thus the generation of huge amounts of domestic waste.

#### Study design

This was a prospective cross sectional study, carried out in four separate zones in the municipality of Buea: Molyko, Muea, Bolifamba and Soppo. Two rural zones (Muea and Bolifamba) and two urban zones (Molyko and Soppo) were chosen for this purpose. The study covered a period of three months extending from March to May 2009, a transitional period between the dry and wet seasons. Sixty percent (60%) of the total number of vendors in each zone participated in the study. Stool samples were collected from 150 randomly selected street-food vendors (who consented to be included in the study) and examined physically and microscopically (Wet preparation and formol ether concentration).

Personal and environmental hygiene statuses were also considered. This involved questions on their level of education, economic background, health habits and status, source of drinking water, type of toilet facility, child care activities as well as their opinions and knowledge on faeco-oral parasites transmission, prevention and control. Field observations were also used to assess handling of food items and the activities of consumers. The Dummy marks grading was used (ranging from 1.0 for poor grade to 0.0 for very good grade). The personal sanitation indexes were summed up to get the zonal sanitation index. Indexes of 0.6 and above showed poor sanitation statuses while those of less than 0.4 to 0.0 showed high sanitation statuses.

#### Data analyses

A two-tailed Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse the results obtained. The level of significance was set at $p<1\%$.

#### Results

A participant was defined as a street-food vendor who took part in the research once during the twelve weeks period. From the weekly random selection, week one recorded the highest number of participants (47.33%) and week ten the least (26.67%). Those who did not participate in the first week, participated in any of the following weeks, from week two (W2) to week twelve (W12). A total of...
150 street food vendors participated. They were aged between 14-57 years old. The majority of participants (40%) were found in the age group 21-30, followed by participants aged between 31-40 (36%). Females (84.0%) were more represented than males (16.0%) (table 1). Soppo zone had the highest percentage of street food vendors (30%), followed by Molyko (26.67%), then Muea (22.67%) and lastly Bolifamba (20.67%).

Table 1: Distribution of enrolled participants according to age and sex

| Age range (years) | Participants | Total (%) |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|
| 11-20             | 4 (2.7)      | 14 (9.3)  | 18 (12.0) |
| 21-30             | 11 (7.3)     | 49 (32.7) | 60 (40.0) |
| 31-40             | 9 (6.0)      | 45 (30.0) | 54 (36.0) |
| 41-50             | 0 (0.0)      | 15 (10.0) | 15 (10.0) |
| ≥50               | 0 (0.0)      | 3 (2.0)   | 3 (2.0)   |
| Total             | 24 (16.0)    | 126 (84.0) | 150 (100.0) |

The prevalence of faecal-oral parasites in street food vendors in Buea municipality was 61.33%. In each zone, faecal-oral parasites had the following prevalence: Muea-76.47%, Molyko-67.50%, Soppo-51.11% and Bolifamba-54.83%.

The parasite species found in Buea municipality in order of decreasing incidence included: *Entamoeba coli* (14.0%), *Entamoeba histolytica* (12.67%), *Ascaris lumbricoides* (11.33%), *Ankylostoma duodenalis* (10.67%), *Blastocystis hominis* (4.67%), *Giardia lamblia* (3.33%), *Enterobius vermicularis* (2.00%), *Balantidium coli* (1.35%), and *Trichuris trichiura* (1.33%) (table 2).

The most frequently encountered parasites varied from one zone to another: Muea zone- *E. histolytica* (41.18%), Molyko zone- *E. coli* (22.50%), Soppo zone- *A. lumbricoides* (15.56%) and *B. hominis* (15.56%), and Bolifamba zone- *A. duodenalis* (19.35%). Some street food vendors (8.24%) were infected with two or more species of parasites (table 3).

Table 2: Prevalence of Parasite species

| Zones (%) | Grand total |
|-----------|-------------|
| Muea      | Molyko      | Soppo      | Bolifamba |
| Total vendors | 34 | 40 | 45 | 31 | 150 |
| *E. histolytica* | 14 (41.18) | 0 | 2(4.44) | 3 (9.68) | 19 (12.67) |
| *E. coli* | 4 (11.76) | 9 (22.50) | 5 (11.11) | 3 (9.68) | 21 (14.00) |
| *Ankylostoma duodenalis* | 4 (11.76) | 4 (10.00) | 2 (4.44) | 6 (19.35) | 16 (10.67) |
| *A. lumbricoides* | 2 (5.88) | 4 (10.00) | 7 (15.56) | 4 (12.90) | 17 (11.33) |
| *G. lamblia* | 2 (5.88) | 3 (7.50) | 0 | 0 | 05 (3.33) |
| *E. vermicularis* | 0 | 3 (7.50) | 0 | 0 | 03 (2.00) |
| *T. trichiura* | 0 | 2 (50.00) | 0 | 0 | 02 (1.33) |
| *B. coli* | 0 | 2 (50.00) | 0 | 0 | 02 (1.33) |
| *Blastocystis hominis* | 0 | 0 | 7 (15.56) | 0 | 07 (4.67) |
| Total parasites | 26 (76.47) | 27 (76.50) | 23 (51.11) | 17 (54.83) | 92 (61.33) |

All participants with multiple infections came from the urban areas (Molyko and Soppo). 81.18% of the infected street-food vendors were involved in childcare activities. Childcare activities were significantly associated with a greater percentage of the infected persons in the study. Only 7.06% of the infected food vendors had access to water system toilets while 92.94% used pit toilets. 11.76% of the street food vendors who claimed to have taken worm medication (less than 6 months ago) were still infected. 88.24% of infected persons had not taken worm medication within a period of two years (table 4).

Table 3: Multiple Infections in some street food vendors

| Zones   | Infected | Multiple identified | Infections (%) |
|---------|----------|---------------------|----------------|
| Muea    | 26       | 26                  | 00             |
| Molyko  | 27       | 21                  | 06 (85.71)     |
| Soppo   | 23       | 22                  | 1 (14.29)      |
| Bolifamba | 16     | 16                  | 00             |
| Total   | 92       | 85                  | 07 (8.24)      |
Table 4: Zone distribution of infected vendors according to childcare activities, type of toilet facilities used, worm medication and sanitation index

| Parameters               | Muea | Molyko | Soppo | Bolifamba | Total |
|-------------------------|------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|
| No of street food vendors | 34   | 40     | 45    | 31        |       |
| No Infected             | 26   | 21     | 22    | 16        | 85    |
| No & (%) Infected WCA   | 22 (84.62) | 16 (76.19) | 17 (77.27) | 14 (87.50) | 6.9   |
| No & (%) Infected WNCA  | 04 (15.38) | 05 (23.81) | 05 (22.73) | 02 (12.50) | 1.6   |
| Type of toilet facility used |      |        |       |           |       |
| No Infected             | 26   | 21     | 22    | 16        | 85    |
| No & (%) with flushing toilet | 2 (7.69) | 2 (9.52) | 0     | 2 (12.50) | 0.6   |
| No & (%) with pit toilet | 24 (92.31) | 19 (90.48) | 22 (100) | 14 (87.50) | 79    |
| Worm medication         |      |        |       |           |       |
| No Infected             | 26   | 21     | 22    | 16        | 85    |
| No & (%) IWM            | 4 (15.38) | 4 (19.05) | 2 (9.09) | 0     | 10    |
| No & (%) INM            | 22 (84.62) | 17 (80.95) | 20 (90.90) | 16 (100) | 75    |
| Sanitation               |      |        |       |           |       |
| No of vendors           | 34   | 40     | 45    | 31        | 150   |
| No Infected             | 26   | 21     | 22    | 16        | 85    |
| Infection percentage (%)| 76.47 | 52.50  | 48.89 | 51.61     | 56.67 |
| Sanitation Index         | 0.7  | 0.5    | 0.3   | 0.6       | 0.6   |

No= number, WNCA = With No Childcare Activity, WCA= With Childcare Activity, IWM = Infected with Medication, INM= Infected with No Medication

Muea zone had the poorest sanitation status with a sanitation index of 0.7 and the highest incidence of faecal-oral parasite infection (76.47%). Bolifamba followed Muea closely, with a sanitation index of 0.6. Soppo had the best sanitation status with a sanitation index of 0.3, followed by Molyko with 0.5.

Discussion

Communicable diseases are responsible for 45% deaths in the developing world, with poor environmental hygiene and sanitation being a major contribution to the propagation of pathogens of bacterial and parasitic origins. A high percentage (56.67%) of street-food vendors in Buea municipality was infected by faecal-oral parasites. Though up to 93% of the street-food vendors have acquired primary education and above, their attitudes, personal and environmental hygiene, including hygienic food handling practices were generally poor. A very small proportion (17.5%) of stationary street-food vendors in Buea municipality has acquired formal training on hygienic food handling practices, but they lack adequate means and an enabling environment in order to effectively and safely serve the population.

The two rural zones with the poorest sanitation indexes (0.7 and 0.6 respectively) and a higher percentage of infected food vendors (64.61%) were Muea and Bolifamba. This may be due to the lack of adequate supply of potable water in these zones. One of the most critical problems in street food vending is the supply of water of acceptable quality, in sufficient quantities for drinking, washing, cleaning and other operations. Stream water of unacceptable quality is used for drinking, cooking and washing of hands and dishes. Since members of the household cover long distances to fetch stream water, maximum economy is made through repeated use or recycling. Water supply is indispensable in the business of street food vending. In the urban zones (Molyko and Soppo), 50.59% of the vendors were infected. These areas which are overpopulated, though with fairly good sanitary indexes; contain the major slumps in the area. Due to high cost of living and high rental cost, most vendors live in shackle houses that are situated in
valleys and slump areas with increased chances of acquiring faecal-oral infections. Costa-Cruz et al13 carried out a similar study in the city of Uberlandia in Brazil and found a higher infection rate of 60% among food vendors, and Entamoeba coli was equally the most prevalent parasite (14.0%), contradicting another research in Abeokuta, Nigeria (2004), in which E. coli was absent and the most prevalent parasite was E. histolytica14. Child-to-mother transmission, or child-to-food transmission through unhygienic manipulations is favoured by the fact that children are the most likely to become infected with faecal-oral parasites, by eating dirt or placing soiled fingers and toys in their mouths. This can be justified by the fact that a reasonable proportion (81.18%) of infected persons was strongly involved in childcare activities. Ankylostoma duodenalis ranked fourth (10.67%) in order of importance, in the studied population. The presence of this hookworm which is also known to be transmitted through feco-oral routes is an indicator that participants were exposed to soil infection.

Regulations governing the functioning of the street-food industry in Cameroon exist, but require draconian measures to enforce them. The situation is aggravated by the fact that none of the vendors had access to safe running water close by their stalls for cooking and washing of dishes, though 7.06% had access to water system toilets. Similar studies also noted that street-food vendors wash and rinse their utensils only once, and the same container of water is used repeatedly until it becomes very dirty4. Since it is practically impossible to guarantee the peoples’ health without safe water, the availability of adequate water is a vital requirement for personal and environmental hygiene. This implies that stationary street-food vendors must guarantee the sufficiency of potable water for drinking, preparation of all kinds of foods and sufficient running water for all washing operations.

Conclusion

Food should be prepared in a place set aside exclusively for that purpose, while the place of preparation should be kept clean at all times and should be far from possible sources of contamination (garbage, waste water, flies, rain, dust and animals). Vending stalls should be well designed and constructed such that they are easily cleaned and maintained. Good food handling practices will alleviate suffering due to ill health, promote the tourism industry and minimise the economic loss of treating illnesses in the community that could have been prevented in the first place.
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