A case of *Helicobacter pylori*-negative early gastric adenocarcinoma with gastrointestinal phenotype

**Introduction**

*Helicobacter pylori* infection is an important factor in the occurrence of gastric neoplasms; it induces atrophy and intestinal metaplasia of the gastric mucosa, and these changes increase the risk of gastric cancer [1]. Some reports have suggested that gastric cancer occurs in *H. pylori*-negative patients, although most gastric cancers develop in *H. pylori*-infected patients [2]. We report a case of early gastric adenocarcinoma with a gastrointestinal phenotype in a patient without *H. pylori* infection.

**Case report**

A 40-year-old man with tetralogy of Fallot (TOF) tested negative for antibodies and antigens for *H. pylori* in the blood and stool, respectively. Moreover, he did not have a history of eradication. He underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy because pre-operative screening for tetralogy of Fallot and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) and was tested for *Helicobacter pylori* antigens and antibodies. Both tests were negative. He did not have a history of eradication. Pathological diagnosis of ESD showed a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma. The tumor was CD10-positive, MUC5AC-negative, and MUC6-confocal positive; it showed differentiation with gastrointestinal phenotype. Moreover, the tumor cells were lysozyme-positive, resembling Paneth cells. Mucosal glands exhibited intestinal metaplasia on the anal side of the tumor lesion. On the oral side of the tumor, metaplasia was non-existent, with normal pyloric glands present in the mucosal layer. The patient was not infected with *H. pylori*; however, intestinal metaplasia existed around the early gastric cancer. This suggested that the intestinal metaplasia occurred due to bile reflux, and the gastric neoplasia arose with the metaplasia without an *H. pylori* infection. This case may potentially help explain gastric cancer development in the absence of *H. pylori* infection.
non-atrophic mucosa on the oral side surrounding the depressed lesion (▶Fig.1d) and a fine ridge and villous structure, different from that on the oral side, on the anal side mucosa (▶Fig.1e). Biopsies were performed and the histopathological diagnosis was well-differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma. We diagnosed intra-mucosal gastric cancer and performed endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) 2 months later. Histopathological results revealed that the well-differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma was confined to the mucosa of the depressed area (▶Fig.2a). Some parts of the tumor cells possessed aerophilic fine granules (▶Fig.2b) and were positive for lysozyme on immunohistochemical and phosphotungstic acid-hematoxylin staining (▶Fig.2c and ▶Fig.2d). Immunohistochemical staining showed that the tumor cells were positive for CD10 (▶Fig.2e) and MUC2 (▶Fig.2f), negative for MUC5AC (▶Fig.2g), and positive for MUC6 (▶Fig.2h). These results suggested that the tumor cells had characteristics of the gastrointestinal phenotype. On the anal side of the tumor, in the mucosal layer of the non-tumorous region, the metaplasia contained intestinal-like cells with characteristics of goblet and Paneth cells (▶Fig.3a). Immunohistochemical staining showed that the mucosa cells were positive for CD10 (▶Fig.3b). In contrast, on the oral side of the tumor, there was no metaplasia and the mucosal layer had normal pyloric glands (▶Fig.3c).

**Discussion**

We diagnosed that this patient was not infected with *H. pylori*, which was further supported by negative results for the antibody and antigen tests for *H. pylori* and the absence of a history of eradication. However, we did not evaluate the patient according to the Updated Sydney System for the classification and grading of gastritis. This assessment may offer further evidence for *H. pylori*-negativity. Moreover, a history of *H. pylori* infection could not be excluded because of the intestinal metaplasia in this case. The diagnosis in the present case was *H. pylori*-negative, intramucosal, well-differentiated adenocarcinoma with gastrointestinal phenotype. However, the neoplastic tissue was diagnosed as intestinal-type adenoma with high-grade atypia according to the World Health Organization classification [3]. Kato et al. reported that many cases of *H. pylori*-negative gastric cancer exhibit the gastrointestinal phenotype [4]. Paneth cells are characterized by acidophilic granules in the cytoplasm and are normally found in the small intestine region [5, 6]. However, Paneth-like cells are found in the stomach in intestinal metaplasia and adenomas [6,7]. We concluded that the tumor cells in the present case had the gastrointestinal phenotype and the characteristic differentiation of Paneth cells.

Kotani et al. and Ozaki et al. reported that an *H. pylori*-negative intramucosal, well-differentiated, gastric adenocarcinoma...
with intestinal phenotype in the antral mucosa was a 0-IIc type lesion, and that neither atrophy nor intestinal metaplasia was present around the lesion [8,9]. These two reports did not mention whether the tumor cells were positive for lysozyme. Our case suggests that lysozyme-positive tumor cells arise from intestinal metaplasia of the gastric mucosa. Moreover, we suggest that in H. pylori-negative cases, the development of gastrointestinal phenotype adenocarcinoma occurs through a different mechanism than that of intestinal type adenocarcinoma, even though the morphological features of the tumors are similar.

Correa et al. proposed a series of processes as a hypothesis for gastric cancer development: normal mucosa $\rightarrow$ superficial gastritis $\rightarrow$ atrophic gastritis $\rightarrow$ intestinal metaplasia $\rightarrow$ dysplasia $\rightarrow$ gastric cancer [10]. H. pylori infection of the normal gastric mucosa causes superficial gastritis, which then progresses to atrophic gastritis. It is thought that the atrophic mucosa develops into intestinal metaplasia due to the involvement of environmental and host genetic factors; hence, differentiated gastric cancer may develop from intestinal metaplasia [11, 12]. In patients who are H. pylori-negative, many factors, such as embryological factors, genetic factors, and the molecular biology of intestinal metaplasia, can affect the gastric mucosa. Other reports propose that there is a relationship between bile acid reflux in the stomach and the risk of atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia [13, 14]. Therefore, in this patient, who was H. pylori-negative, it was hypothesized that intestinal metaplasia occurred in the pyloric region due to bile regurgitation and gastric tumor development. However, the mechanism of carcinogenesis in this case is only hypothetical and may not be the actual mechanism underlying uninfected gastric cancer because a history of H. pylori infection could not be excluded.

**Conclusions**

The present case is of interest as it can help expand understanding of gastric carcinogenesis in patients who are not infected with H. pylori.
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