The role of complement in the pathogenesis of renal ischemia-reperfusion injury and fibrosis
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Abstract

The complement system is a major component of innate immunity and has been commonly identified as a central element in host defense, clearance of immune complexes, and tissue homeostasis. After ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI), the complement system is activated by endogenous ligands that trigger proteolytic cleavage of complement components via the classical, lectin and/or alternative pathway. The result is the formation of terminal complement components C3a, C5a, and the membrane attack complex (C5b-9 or MAC), all of which play pivotal roles in the amplification of the inflammatory response, chemotaxis, neutrophil/monocyte recruitment and activation, and direct tubular cell injury. However, recent evidence suggests that complement activity transcends innate host defense and there is increasing data suggesting complement as a regulator in processes such as allo-immunity, stem cell differentiation, tissue repair, and progression to fibrosis. In this review, we discuss recent advances addressing the role of complement as a regulator of IRI and renal fibrosis after organ donation for transplantation. We will also briefly discuss currently approved therapies that target complement activity in kidney ischemia-reperfusion and transplantation.

Review

The complement system

The complement system consists of a family of circulating proteins, cell-surface receptors, proteolytic enzymes, and cleaved peptides that play an essential role in first-line host defense against pathogens and in the regulation of inflammation [1]. Complement activation is a tightly regulated process that requires sequential and organized activation of proteins in order to form the effector molecules involved in host defense, pathogen clearance, and modulation of the inflammatory response [2]. This intricate network of proteins can be activated by three distinct pathways: classical, lectin, and alternative, all of which converge in the formation of fraction C3 and ultimately in the downstream formation of the activation products, C3a, C3b, C5a, and the membrane attack complex (C5b-9). The classical pathway is triggered upon binding of antigen to surveillance proteins such as immunoglobulins (IgM or IgG) or C-reactive protein forming immune complexes that bind C1q. In turn, C1q activates fractions C1r and C1s, which are ultimately responsible for cleaving C4 and forming the C3 convertase. The lectin pathway is activated by the binding of complex carbohydrate residues commonly found on the surface of pathogens to circulating mannose-binding lectin (MBL) or ficolins. Both MBL and ficolins circulate in association with MBL-associated proteins (MASPs) which, upon activation, allow auto-activation and formation of MASP2, the protein in charge of cleaving fraction C4 in the lectin pathway. As in the classical pathway, C4 cleaves C2 forming the C3 convertase (C4bC2a). The alternative pathway is activated by direct binding of hydrolyzed C3b to the surface of bacterial membranes.

In addition to the proteins involved in cleavage and activation of the complement cascade, the complement system is also composed of a series of soluble (C4BP, Factor H, and C1-INH) and membrane-bound (CD35, CD46, CD55, and CD59) regulatory proteins that prevent excessive activation and consumption of complement components [3]. These regulators control complement activation mainly by serving as co-factors for Factor I in the proteolysis of the C3a and C5a convertases or by directly accelerating the decay of both of these convertases. Complement receptor 1 (CR1, CD35) is found on the surface of erythrocytes, neutrophils, dendritic cells, and T and B lymphocytes, and controls complement activation by serving as a cofactor for Factor I and by direct inhibition of classical and
alternative pathway convertases. Likewise, CD46 (MCP) has a dual role serving as a cofactor for Factor I and promoting C3 degradation while CD55 (decay-accelerating factor) has only been shown to accelerate C3 convertase decay and CD59 (Protectin) functions by binding to complex C5b-8 and inhibiting membrane attack complex (MAC or C5b-9) assembly [3]. The soluble regulators C4BP and Factor H exert their regulatory function by serving as cofactors for Factor I and accelerating convertase decay [4,5]. Finally, circulating C1 inhibitor (C1-INH) is a serine protease inhibitor that inactivates proteases C1r, C1s, and MASPI and 2 in the complement system preventing mainly the activation of the cascade via the classical and lectin pathways, although recent evidence suggests it may have inhibitory properties over the alternative pathway as well [6] (Figure 1).

Role of complement in renal ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI)

Ischemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) is a common mechanism of injury in a wide variety of conditions characterized by limited tissue perfusion. During the ischemic period, tissues are deprived of oxygen and nutrients required to maintain normal metabolism and energy homeostasis. As a result, cells in ischemic tissues become necrotic and release a variety of endogenous ligands known to stimulate innate immune responses [7]. Upon restoration of perfusion, endogenous ligands from necrotic and apoptotic cells activate incoming innate immune cells and exacerbate the inflammatory tissue and organ injury [8]. IRI has been consistently shown to promote quick recruitment and activation of neutrophils and macrophages to the injury site. Activated neutrophils migrate from peripheral circulation into the injured site where they become activated and release pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and reactive oxygen species (ROS), both locally and systemically, which have been shown to play a pivotal role in cell apoptosis and necrosis [9,10].

The complement system has been strongly associated with the inflammatory response to IRI [11-13]. Although initially it was believed that the complement system was exclusively involved in responses to non-self-antigens, recent research has provided a novel perspective into its intricate role in the sterile immune response to injury and tissue repair. Following IRI, the release of danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), neo-antigen formation, and

---

**Figure 1 Overview of the complement system.** Activation of the complement system by the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways results in cleavage of the C3 and C5 fractions by the C3 and C5 convertases, which, in turn, generate the opsonin C3b, anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a, and the membrane-attack complex (MAC; C5b–9). Regulation of complement activation and deposition is controlled by fluid-phase (C4BP, Factor H, and C1-INH) and membrane-bound regulators (CD35, CD46, CD55, and CD59).
immune complex formation can activate the complement system by any of the three main pathways [14]. Using animal models of IRI, it has been demonstrated that complement can be differentially activated depending on the organ system being affected and, in some cases, a combination of mechanisms of activation has been observed. It is well established that generation of C3 by all three pathways plays an important role in renal ischemic injury and it is accepted that formation of the MAC (C5b–C9) induces direct cell lysis and tubulointerstitial injury [15]. Traditionally, the classical pathway has been implicated in the pathogenesis of complement driven IRI [16]. However, studies in rodent models of renal IRI indicate a predominant role for the alternative pathway and the classical pathway does not appear to impact reperfusion injury when tested in C4-deficient mice or RAG-1-deficient animals which are unable to generate IgM or IgG [17,18]. On the other hand, Factor B-deficient mice known to be defective in alternative pathway activation, show a marked reduction in functional and morphological injury induced by ischemia and reperfusion [19]. More recently, the lectin pathway has gained attention and has been shown to play a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of ischemic kidney damage [20]. Mice deficient in MBL-A and MBL-C are protected from renal IRI and reconstitution of mutant animals with recombinant MBL led to injury levels comparable to those of wild-type mice used in the study [21]. Furthermore, animals lacking MASP2 are protected from injury following both myocardial and intestinal ischemic injury and a report by van der Pol in a rat model of renal IRI suggests a novel role for MBL in cellular injury independent of complement activation in which internalization of circulating MBL resulted in direct tubular necrosis [22,23]. Taken together, these studies suggest an emerging and important role for the lectin pathway mediating ischemic kidney damage. However, findings in rodent models of IRI are not in complete agreement with those from larger animal models and humans, in which complement activation in the context of IRI appears to behave differently. These studies suggest that activation of complement by the classical pathway and lectin pathway have detrimental effects following renal ischemic injury. Recently, Castellano et al. reported that inhibition of classical and lectin pathways through the use of recombinant human C1 inhibitor (rhC1INH) resulted in attenuated renal dysfunction in a pig model of IRI [24]. Clinically, the role of the lectin pathway in IRI and transplant injury remains controversial. Berger et al. analyzed a cohort of renal transplant patients and found that high pre-transplant MBL correlated with the severity of rejection and the rate of allograft loss [25]. However, analysis of lectin gene profiles of kidney donors and recipients failed to find associations between MBL and MASP2 genotypes with transplant outcome and a recent study by Bay et al. suggests poor graft survival in non-HLA immunized kidney recipients with low MBL serum levels [26,27].

To add complexity to the process, complement activation mechanisms also vary according to different organ systems. Using models of IRI, it is apparent that liver injury is mediated primarily by classical complement activation [28,29], whereas myocardial and intestinal reperfusion injury require both classical and lectin pathways to mediate pathological damage [22,30-32]. Such discrepancy in the findings between animal and human models, different organ systems, and the conflicting results from clinical studies, indicate a diverse role for complement in IRI. Some of the most interesting products of complement activation are the cleaved products C3a and C5a, also termed anaphylatoxins. These potent pro-inflammatory peptides interact with G-protein-coupled receptors on the surface of immune and non-immune cells and promote the activation inflammatory leukocytes, chemotaxis, histamine release, and increased vascular permeability [33]. In addition, recent evidence indicates an important role in antigen presentation to naïve T cells and in the activation and regulation of alloimmune responses [34-36].

In the mouse kidney, both resident innate immune cells as well as parenchymal tubular cells express receptors for C3a (C3aR) and C5a (C5aR) [37,38]. A recent study by Peng et al. provides compelling evidence of the deleterious role of C5aR, and to a lesser extent C3aR, signaling in renal IRI [37]. Mice harboring C5aR or combined C3aR/C5aR deficiency were protected from ischemic injury at 24 and 48 hours post-reperfusion and showed significant reductions in BUN serum levels, pro-inflammatory cytokine and chemokine mRNA expression, and tissue infiltration by activated immunocytes. Moreover, they showed that tubular epithelial cells and macrophages cultured under hypoxia/reoxygenation conditions respond to C3a and C5a stimulation by expressing cytokines, such as IL-6, TNF-α, KC (IL-8), and KIM-1, suggesting this to be a central mechanism involved in the process of early neutrophil recruitment, degranulation, and tissue inflammation in the post-ischemic period. These observations are supported by earlier studies in which the use of either C5a receptor antagonist or siRNA silencing of the C5aR was effective in attenuating renal damage and down-regulating the inflammatory response in rodent models of IRI [38-40] (Figure 2).

Animal models of IRI constitute a practical approach to the study of transplant reperfusion. However, renal IRI provides a limited approach in the study of transplant reperfusion injury as many factors play a role in the complex interplay of graft acceptance and rejection. As an example, in models of IRI, the contribution of T and B cell responses to alloimmune tissue are overlooked. In this context, the complement system is involved in the pathogenesis of acute and chronic rejection leading to graft loss in the setting of antibody-mediated rejection (AMR) and formation
of donor specific antibodies (DSA) that trigger the complement cascade. Complement activation has been shown to correlate with DSA levels as indicated by C4d deposition in the peri-tubular capillaries. Mechanistically, HLA present on the allograft endothelial cell is bound by DSAs and activate the C1 complex. Downstream cleavage of C1 leads to cleavage of C4 forming C4d, which then binds covalently to vascular endothelium in the renal allograft and provides a marker for complement identification by immunohistochemistry [41]. Another possible mechanism of DSA-induced acute allograft damage may involve direct tubular injury by MAC; recent evidence suggests that the use of Eculizumab decreases the incidence of acute AMR in sensitized renal transplant recipients, suggesting an important role for C5a formation in this process [42].

In chronic AMR, complement activation requires deposition of DSA and the presence of donor-specific HLA antibodies correlates well with outcomes after kidney transplantation [43]. Subsequent activation of complement leads to C3a and C5a formation and, as described above, these anaphylatoxins promote activation and recruitment of inflammatory cells into the graft [44]. Chronic stimulation by migrating activated protein C is likely to induce cellular injury in response to continuous signaling by infiltrating inflammatory immunocytes and from the sustained expression of cytokines, chemokines, and pro-thrombotic/pro-fibrogenic factors [45]. However, chronic AMR may also be primarily complement independent in the absence of C4d deposition by activation of DSAs that could lead to progressive glomerular injury and transplant glomerulopathy.

Complement activation in the brain-dead organ donor
Brain death is the irreversible loss of function of all parts of the brain, including the brain stem. Loss of brain stem function and the autonomic storm that follows catastrophic brain injury have been associated with tissue hypoperfusion, dysregulated metabolism, and generalized inflammation, all detrimental to organ quality and function [46-48]. In recent years, several reports have highlighted an emerging role for complement in the pathogenesis of tissue injury in the context of organ donation [49]. Early
studies by Kusaka et al. indicate that as early as 1 hour post brain death induction, C3 is detectable in the glomeruli and vascular endothelium of brain-dead rats [50]. This increased expression of renal C3 has been widely associated with poor allograft function and an increased rate of cellular rejection in animal models of kidney transplantation [51-53]. Furthermore, using a mouse model of brain-death, Atkinson et al. showed that C3 deficient animals had a significant decrease in cardiac troponin levels, reduced immunocytic infiltration to the heart, and markedly reduced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines compared to wild-type controls [54]. More recent evidence suggests that inhibition of complement activation in rodent models of brain-death is effective in protecting renal and cardiac grafts from inflammatory injury and prolonging graft and animal survival in the post-transplant period [54,55].

In human deceased donors, there is substantial evidence of complement activation in the pre-transplant period. A study comparing whole genome expression found a significant up-regulation of complement-related genes (C1q, C1s, C1r, C2, C3, C4, CFB, and CR1) in kidneys from deceased compared to those recovered from living donors. Overexpression of complement components in brain-dead donors correlated directly with length of cold-ischemia time and inversely with early and late graft function [56]. In a similar study, Damman et al. reported that high levels of the terminal MAC in the plasma of brain-dead donors was strongly associated with acute rejection within the first year post-transplantation [57]. Additionally, activation of the C5a-C5aR axis in kidney donors plays an important role in the amplification of the inflammatory response to brain death [58].

Complement activation has also been suggested as a potential tool to determine the degree of donor injury and predict graft function after transplantation. Elevated donor MBL levels have been associated with suboptimal graft function after transplantation [25]. Furthermore, a recent study analyzing 75 kidney transplant recipients showed that increased levels of circulating MAC (C5b-9) in the peri-transplant period and early after reperfusion, strongly correlated with poor allograft function and suggested the use of MAC as a clinical marker in the prediction of delayed graft function (DGF) [59]. In a similar study, de Vries et al. detected a transient release of soluble MAC in post-reperfusion samples from deceased donor kidney grafts but not from those obtained from living donors. However, sMAC release did not correlate to C5b-9 deposition in biopsied kidney grafts analyzed 45 min after reperfusion [60]. Collectively, both animal and human studies highlight the importance of pre-transplant activation of the complement system in the pathogenesis of tissue damage after brain death and suggest potential therapeutic targets for the improvement of organ quality and function.

**Complement system and the progression to fibrosis**

The complement system has been linked to a wide variety of non-immunological processes, including modulation of stem cell biology, tissue regeneration, and progression to tissue fibrosis after injury [61-64]. There is ample evidence suggesting a role for complement activation in experimental fibrosis and repair. Using a model of liver regeneration, Strey et al. clearly showed how C3a and C5a are pivotal to the early priming stages of hepatocyte regeneration and a more recent report from DeAngelis confirms these observations and expands by showing a regulatory feedback mechanism involving NK cells, complement, and IL-4 modulating liver repair [65,66]. Using a similar approach, He et al. evidenced an effect of low-dose CR2-Crry in liver protection and enhanced regeneration by controlling IL-6 expression and STAT3 activation, reduced hepatic ATP depletion, and attenuated oxidative stress [67].

In the kidney, alterations in complement activation have been implicated in multiple disease processes leading to renal fibrosis, such as polycystic kidney disease, glomerulonephritis, hemolytic uremic syndrome, and renal transplantation [68-71]. However, the role of complement activation in the modulation of immunity and pathogenesis of renal fibrosis in the context of IRI remains a work in progress. IRI of the kidney is a well-established cause of renal fibrosis [72]. Factors such as sustained innate immune activation, endothelial cell dysfunction, hypoxia, and chronic microvascular injury have all been implicated in the maladaptive response that results in fibrogenesis and progression to chronic kidney disease [73-75]. Both complement activation and endothelial cell activation are hallmarks of IRI, DGF, and allograft injury early after kidney transplantation. IRI is an inflammatory process initiated at the endothelial surface of the vasculature and is associated with increased susceptibility to subsequent acute rejection episodes and the vascular changes associated with chronic rejection [76]. Experimentally, C5a has been shown to induce P-selectin expression and induction of neutrophil rolling upon binding to C5a receptors (C5aR) on the surface of endothelial cells (EC) and polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) [77]. This is followed by release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which further amplify endothelial injury, endothelial gap formation with leak of plasma, inflammatory cell migration, and NF-κB translocation.

Using a mouse model of unilateral ureteral obstruction, Boor et al. showed that C5-knockout animals and animals treated with C5aR antagonist had significantly reduced tissue fibrosis at 5 and 10 days post-injury [78]. This protective effect appeared to be mediated by a reduction in C5aR-driven TGF-beta production suggesting a new role for anaphylatoxin C5a in the complex mechanisms involved in tissue repair. In a similar study, Bao et al. reported a significant reduction in inflammation and renal...
fibrosis after transplanting kidneys from Crry(−/−)C3aR(−/−) mice into C3aR and/or C5aR-knockout recipients [79]. They found that in this model it was C3aR deficiency which resulted in the observed protective effect and that the improved renal function and reduced fibrosis levels were the result of inhibited C3a-driven inflammatory injury rather than a direct profibrotic effect of C3a on injured tubular cells.

Although the link between inflammation and fibrosis is well established, the origin and mechanism of activation/differentiation of renal fibroblasts is still a matter of debate. Differentiation of tubular epithelial cells into mesenchymal cells (epithelial to mesenchymal transition) and the functional and phenotypical progression of endothelium to mesenchymal cells (endothelial to mesenchymal transition; EndMT) have been proposed as potential sources of myofibroblasts responsible for renal fibrosis after injury. Further, it has been proposed that endothelial cells which progress to EndMT may play a pivotal role in the early pathogenesis of renal fibrogenesis [80]. To test this hypothesis, Basile et al. used a rodent model of IRI and documented a significant loss of vascular density following injury, which was associated with interstitial expansion of endothelial cells expressing mesenchymal cell markers and suggesting endothelial to mesenchymal transition post-AKI [81]. In agreement with these findings, Curci et al. found a significant reduction in renal fibrosis in pigs receiving C1 inhibitor therapy prior to reperfusion injury. This study postulates that the anti-fibrotic effect of C1-INH therapy is related to reduction in Akt signaling within injured endothelium which led to inhibition of EndMT and prevented vascular rarefaction in injured kidneys [82]. Altogether, these studies highlight a central role for endothelium in the progression to fibrosis and a novel role for complement in the modulation of endothelial cell activation and EndMT. In addition, there is also experimental evidence suggesting complement activation can also induce fibrosis by direct modulation of fibroblast function. Cleavage of C3 and exogenous supplementation of C3a has been shown to induce renal human mesangial cells to convert to the synthetic phenotype by increasing the expression of osteopontin, matrix Gla, and collagen type 1 alpha 1 (collagen IV) mRNA [83]. Also, MAC formation is a promoter of peritubular myofibroblast accumulation and plays a role in the pathogenesis of renal fibrosis in various glomerulopathies [84].

Macrophage phenotype and function are critical determinants of fibrotic scarring and resolution of renal injury [85]. Monocytes from circulation that enter the kidney in response to inflammation undergo separate pathways of differentiation into classically activated M1 macrophages or the alternative M2 phenotype. Activation of M1 inflammatory macrophages may lead to the expression of MHC class II antigens and release of proinflammatory cytokines, further propagating inflammation and activating profibrotic pathways. In contrast, M2 macrophages secrete regenerative trophic factors that promote cell proliferation, reduce apoptosis, and stimulate angiogenesis. In murine models of IRI, M1 macrophages accumulate early in the first hours of reperfusion, through CCR2- and CX3CR1-dependent mechanisms, and produce IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12, and TNF-α [86,87]. Complement activation modulates macrophage differentiation and engagement of C5a-C5aR axis has been shown to promote M1 polarization and progression to inflammatory injury and fibrosis [88]. Moreover, in the kidney, generation of ROS is mediated by the NADPH oxidases (NOX) present in infiltrating PMNs and activated endothelium. Originally named gp91phox, Nox2 is the classical phagocytic NADPH oxidase, an enzyme that is naturally involved in the immune response including the “oxidative burst” [89,90]. It is one of the several currently known Nox isoforms. Complement has been linked to oxidative stress and PMN activation in models of sepsis and inhibition of complement activation resulted in reduced ROS production and attenuation of PMN migration and activation to the site of injury [91,92]. We have demonstrated that Nox2 is an important mediator of renal fibrosis in kidneys undergoing chronic rejection and chronic cyclosporine induced nephrotoxicity [93,94]. Altogether, complement is likely to play a central role in the mechanisms leading to renal fibrosis by preventing inflammatory injury, activation and differentiation of inflammatory leukocytes, reducing the production of ROS and, most importantly, by preventing EndMT following acute ischemic injury. However, more work is required to fully elucidate the specific role of complement activation in the control of fibrotic responses and the progression to chronic kidney disease (Figure 3).

**Therapies targeting the complement system**

Treatment aimed at blocking or attenuating complement activation during IRI and organ transplantation has gained increasing attention over the last two decades. Many molecules with the ability to control upstream complement activation and specific inhibitors of the terminal end-products of complement activation have been explored with varying degrees of success both in animal models and in the clinical setting. Here, we review two complement inhibitors currently approved by the food and drug administration (FDA) for the treatment of human disease with proven evidence of effectiveness in IRI and potential uses in the prevention of fibrosis and chronic tissue injury.

**C1 esterase inhibitor (C1-INH)**

C1-INH is a soluble regulator belonging to the family of serin-protease inhibitors (serpins). Target proteases such as C1r, C1s, MASP1 and 2 (complement system), Factor XII and plasma kallikrein (contact system), Factor XI
and thrombin (coagulation system) recognize the reactive center loop on the C1-INH and, upon cleavage, both molecules become covalently bound and the target protein is irreversibly inhibited [95]. These diverse regulatory effects on the fibrinolytic, contact, coagulation, and complement system make it an ideal candidate for treatment of IRI, antibody-mediated rejection and hyper-acute rejection after transplantation, and in the progression to fibrosis following acute kidney injury. A recombinant form of C1-INH (rhC1INH) has been approved for the treatment of hereditary angioedema [96]. Numerous pre-clinical studies have demonstrated effectiveness in the prevention of delayed graft function after ischemic injury in pigs and attenuated reperfusion injury in rodent models of intestinal, myocardial, hepatic, and neurological injury [24,97-100]. Moreover, pre-treatment with rhC1INH was shown to prevent the development of fibrosis in pigs subjected to renal IRI [82]. For the treatment of acute AMR, rhC1INH had a beneficial effect when tested in a kidney transplant model using presensitized baboons as recipients [101]. For lung transplantation, C1-INH therapy was recently evaluated in lung transplant recipients exhibiting early signs of primary graft dysfunction (PGD). In this study, C1-INH treatment improved the 1-year survival and reduced length of intensive care unit stay when compared to patients with early signs of PGD not receiving treatment outside the standard of care [102].

**Eculizumab**

Eculizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that inhibits complement fraction C5 preventing formation of terminal activation products C5a and MAC (C5b-9). Eculizumab has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS). However, it has received major interest in the field of transplantation for the treatment of AMR and prophylactically in the prevention of post-transplant aHUS in kidney transplant recipients. A recent review summarizes the use of eculizumab for the prevention AMR and aHUS in kidney transplantation [103].
As described earlier, anaphylatoxin C5a and signaling through the C5aR play pivotal roles in mediating IRI and the dysregulated inflammatory response in the brain-dead donor [38,58]. Furthermore, the use of C5a receptor antagonists has been effective in attenuating the extent of IRI in small animal models and limiting fibrogenic responses after acute kidney injury [39,78,104]. Currently, a clinical trial is being conducted to study the impact of eculizumab in the prevention of DGF in recipients of kidney transplants (NCT01919346). The use of eculizumab for treatment of deceased donors prior to transplantation has yet to be explored.

Conclusions
The multiple interactions between injury, immune response, and tissue repair are still a matter of intense study. It is now accepted that the complement system plays a central role in the pathogenesis of renal IRI and in the mechanisms leading to tissue damage in the deceased organ donor. Current evidence suggests that the formation of the terminal products of activation, such as MAC (C5b-9) and the generation of anaphylotoxins C3a and C5a, are responsible for triggering pro-inflammatory responses detrimental to ischemic tissue and antibody-mediated rejection. Further, a growing body of work suggests an important role in the modulation of adaptive immune responses in a wide variety of pathological conditions. More importantly, recent research indicates a role for complement in the regulation of tissue repair and the progression of fibrosis in models of acute kidney injury. These findings place the complement system as a centerpiece target in the elucidation of the precise mechanisms governing adequate (adaptive) and abnormal (maladaptive) tissue repair. However, the translation of therapies based on complement inhibition from the bench to bedside has been lacking and more research is required to fully understand the role of complement in the context of clinical IRI and transplantation. The development of targeted treatment strategies that lessen the need for immunosuppression of transplant recipients and that also have the ability to reduce inflammatory injury and tissue fibrosis is of paramount importance to maximize the limited organ donor pool and improve current transplant outcomes worldwide.
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