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Abstract A smooth plane curve is said to admit a symmetric determinantal representation if it can be defined by the determinant of a symmetric matrix with entries in linear forms in three variables. We study the local–global principle for the existence of symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves over a global field of characteristic different from two. When the degree of the plane curve is less than or equal to three, we relate the problem of finding symmetric determinantal representations to more familiar Diophantine problems on the Severi–Brauer varieties and mod 2 Galois representations, and prove that the local–global principle holds for conics and cubics. We also construct counterexamples to the local–global principle for quartics using the results of Mumford, Harris, and Shioda on theta characteristics.
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1 Introduction

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K$ be a smooth plane curve of degree $n \geq 1$ over a field $K$. If there is a triple of symmetric matrices $(M_0, M_1, M_2)$ of size $n$ with entries in $K$ such that $C$ is defined by the equation

$$\det \left( X_0 M_0 + X_1 M_1 + X_2 M_2 \right) = 0,$$

we say $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $K$. In this paper, we study the local–global principle for the existence of symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves over a global field of characteristic different from two. We prove that the local–global principle holds for smooth plane conics and smooth plane cubics. We also construct counterexamples to the local–global principle for smooth plane quartics using the results of Mumford, Harris, and Shioda on theta characteristics.

The following theorems are the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 5.1) Let $K$ be a global field of characteristic different from two, and $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K$ be a smooth plane conic or cubic (i.e., smooth plane curve of degree $n = 2$ or $3$) over $K$. If $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over the completion $K_v$ for each place $v$ of $K$, the smooth plane curve $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $K$.

**Theorem 1.2** (see Sect. 6.5) Let $K$ be a global field of characteristic different from two. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K$ be a smooth plane quartic (i.e., smooth plane curve of degree $4$) such that the associated mod $2$ Galois representation on the $2$-torsion points on the Jacobian variety $\text{Jac}(C)$

$$\rho_C^2 : \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \text{Sp} \left( \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) \right) \cong \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

is surjective. Then there is a finite extension $L/K$ such that $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $L_w$ for each place $w$ of $L$, and $C$ does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over $L$.

By the results of Harris and Shioda, there are smooth plane quartics with surjective associated mod $2$ Galois representations over $\mathbb{Q}$ and over $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$ for $p \notin \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229\}$ [16, p. 721], [33, Theorem 7]. Hence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3** (see Sect. 6.5) Let $p$ be an integer which is either zero or a prime number different from $2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229$. Then there is a global field $K$ of characteristic $p$ and a smooth plane quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K$ such that $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $K_v$ for each place $v$ of $K$, and $C$ does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over $K$.

**Remark 1.4** In Theorem 1.1, we can replace “for each place” by “for all but one places” when $C$ is a conic. Also, we can replace “for each place” by “for all but finitely many places” when $C$ is a cubic. In Theorem 1.2, the assumption on the surjectivity of $\rho_C^2$ can be weakened when $C$ has a $K$-rational point. (See Theorem 5.1 and Sect. 6.5.)
Remark 1.5 In this paper, we only consider global fields of characteristic different from two. The story is completely different in characteristic two. The local–global principle holds for any smooth plane curves of any degree over a global field of characteristic two [22].

Concerning the local–global principle for the existence of symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves, it seems interesting to study the following problems.

Problem 1.6 (1) Are there counterexamples to the local–global principle for smooth plane quartics over \( \mathbb{Q} \) or \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \) for \( p \neq 2 \)? In other words, can we take \( K = \mathbb{Q} \) or \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \) for \( p \neq 2 \) in Corollary 1.3?

(2) Are there counterexamples to the local–global principle in degree \( n \geq 5 \)?

We expect that the answers to the above problems are “Yes,” but we do not know how to prove them. The reason is that we currently know very little about the images of the associated mod 2 Galois representations of smooth plane curves of higher degree. (See Remark 6.16.)

Historically, finding symmetric determinantal representations of plane curves is a classical problem in algebraic geometry, which goes back to Hesse’s work on plane cubics and quartics [18,19], [11, Chapter 4]. If \( K \) is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, in 1902, Dixon proved the existence of symmetric determinantal representations for generic plane curves of any degree [8]. Since then, this problem has been re-examined by many people [1,6,7,27,30,35–38]. In 2000, Beauville systematically studied minimal resolutions of coherent sheaves on the projective spaces, and proved that all plane curves (including singular ones) admit symmetric determinantal representations when \( K \) is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. The situation is quite different when \( K \) is not algebraically closed. In 1938, Edge studied the field of definition of symmetric determinantal representations of the Fermat quartic and related curves called Edge’s quartics [12]. In 2009, Wei Ho studied, among other things, certain linear orbits of triples of matrices related to symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves over a field of characteristic not dividing \( 3n(n–1) \) [20]. The results of Beauville and Ho were generalized by the first author to include the case of higher dimensional hypersurfaces over arbitrary fields [21]. For symmetric determinantal representations of Fermat curves of prime degree and the Klein quartic over \( \mathbb{Q} \), see [23].

Let us give a sketch of the proof of our results. The existence of a symmetric determinantal representation of a smooth plane curve \( C \) of degree \( n \leq 3 \) is related to more familiar Diophantine problems. When \( n = 2 \), it is related to the existence of a \( K \)-rational point on the conic (Proposition 4.1). When \( n = 3 \), it is related to the existence of a non-trivial \( K \)-rational 2-torsion point on the Jacobian variety \( \text{Jac}(C) \) (Proposition 4.2). We prove Theorem 1.1 using these relations. The proof of Theorem 1.2 depends on a group theoretic lemma on the action of subgroups of \( \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2) \) on quadratic forms over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) (Lemma 6.6). We give a sufficient condition for a smooth plane curve of any degree to violate the local–global principle in terms of the associated mod 2 Galois representation (Proposition 6.10). For a smooth plane quartic whose associated mod 2 Galois representation is surjective, it is not difficult to see that it satisfies the sufficient condition after taking a finite extension of the base field.
The outline of this paper is as follows: In Sect. 2, we recall a relation between symmetric determinantal representations and certain line bundles called non-effective theta characteristics. In Sect. 3, we recall the basic facts on the relative Picard functors and Picard schemes. In Sect. 4, we examine the case of \( n \leq 3 \) in some detail and prove a relation between the existence of symmetric determinantal representations and other Diophantine problems. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Sect. 5. Finally, in Sect. 6, after recalling a relation between theta characteristics and quadratic forms over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), we prove Theorem 1.2.

**Notation**

For a field \( K \), an algebraic closure of it is denoted by \( \overline{K} \), and a separable closure of it is denoted by \( K_{\text{sep}} \). A global field is a field isomorphic to a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \) or \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \), where \( p \) is a prime number, \( \mathbb{F}_p \) is the finite field of order \( p \), and \( T \) is an indeterminate. For a place \( v \) of a global field \( K \), the completion of \( K \) at \( v \) is denoted by \( K_v \). For a morphism of schemes \( X \to S \) and \( S' \to S \), the base change \( X \times_S S' \) is denoted by \( X_{S'} \). When \( S = \text{Spec} \ K \) and \( S' = \text{Spec} \ L \) for a field extension \( L/K \), the base change \( X_{S'} \) is also denoted by \( X \otimes_K L \) or \( X_L \).

**2 Theta characteristics and symmetric determinantal representations**

We recall the definition of theta characteristics on proper smooth curves and its relation to symmetric determinantal representations. In this section, \( K \) is a field of arbitrary characteristic.

**Definition 2.1** [28] Let \( C \) be a proper smooth geometrically connected curve over \( K \).

1. A theta characteristic on \( C \) is a line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( C \) satisfying \( \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong \Omega^1_C \), where \( \Omega^1_C \) is the canonical sheaf on \( C \).
2. A theta characteristic \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( C \) is effective (resp. non-effective) if \( H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0 \) (resp. \( H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) = 0 \)).
3. A theta characteristic \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( C \) is even (resp. odd) if \( \dim_K H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) \) is even (resp. odd).

**Theorem 2.2** Let \( \iota : C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_K \) be a smooth plane curve over \( K \). Then \( C \) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K \) if and only if there is a non-effective theta characteristic on \( C \).

**Proof** This result is well known when the characteristic of \( K \) is different from two [2, Proposition 4.2], [11, Ch. 4], [20]. Although the proofs in [2,20] are written under the additional assumptions on the base field, it is not difficult to modify the arguments to cover the case of characteristic two [2, Remark 2.2]. For a proof of this proposition which works over arbitrary fields, see [21].

**Remark 2.3** Let \( C \) be a smooth plane quartic over \( K \). It is well known that all even theta characteristics on \( C \) are non-effective. This can be seen as follows. Assume that there
is an effective even theta characteristic $\mathcal{L}$ on $C$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ for an effective divisor $D$ of degree $g(C) - 1 = 2$ and we have $\dim_K H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) \geq 2$. There is a non-constant rational function $f$ with $\text{div}(f) + D \geq 0$. Then $f$ defines a morphism $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_K$ of degree 2. It contradicts to the well-known fact that smooth plane quartics are non-hyperelliptic [17, IV, Exercise 3.2].

3 Relative Picard functors and Picard schemes

We recall the basic definitions and properties of relative Picard functors and Picard schemes [3, 25].

For a morphism of schemes $f : X \to S$, the relative Picard functor $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is the fppf sheaf associated with the functor

$$(\text{Schemes}/S)^{\text{op}} \to (\text{Sets}), \quad S' \mapsto \text{Pic}(X_{S'})$$

where the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X_{S'})$ is the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles on $X_{S'} := X \times_S S'$ [3, Sect. 8.1, Definition 2]. If $f : X \to S$ is quasi-compact, quasi-separated, and $f_* (\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_S$ holds, we have the following exact sequence for each flat $S$-scheme $S'$:

$$0 \to \text{Pic}(S') \to \text{Pic}(X_{S'}) \to \text{Pic}_{X/S}(S') \to \text{Br}(S') \to \text{Br}(X_{S'}),$$

(1)

where

$$\text{Br}(S') := H^2_{\text{fppf}} (S', \mathbb{G}_m), \quad \text{Br}(X_{S'}) := H^2_{\text{fppf}} (X_{S'}, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

are the cohomological Brauer groups calculated in the fppf topology [3, Sect. 8.1, Proposition 4]. Since the sheaf $\mathbb{G}_m$ is representable by a smooth scheme, the cohomological Brauer groups $\text{Br}(S')$, $\text{Br}(X_{S'})$ can be calculated using étale topology [15, Théorème 11.7]. In particular, when $S' := \text{Spec} K$ is the spectrum of a field $K$, the cohomological Brauer group $\text{Br}(\text{Spec} K)$ is isomorphic to the Brauer group of $K$ defined by Galois cohomology [32, Ch. X, Sect. 4], i.e.,

$$\text{Br}(\text{Spec} K) \cong \text{Br}(K) := H^2 \left( \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K), (K^{\text{sep}})^{\times} \right).$$

When $S = S' = \text{Spec} K$ for a field $K$, the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\text{Spec} K)$ is trivial, and the exact sequence (1) becomes

$$0 \to \text{Pic}(X) \to \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K) \to \text{Br}(K) \to \text{Br}(X).$$

(2)

We have $\text{Pic}(X) = \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K)$ if $\text{Br}(K)$ is trivial or $X$ has a $K$-rational point [3, Sect. 8.1, Proposition 4].

When $X$ is a proper scheme over a field $K$, the relative Picard functor $\text{Pic}_{X/K}$ is representable by a scheme which is locally of finite type over $K$ [3, Sect. 8.2, Theorem 3]. The scheme representing the functor $\text{Pic}_{X/K}$ is called the Picard scheme.
When $X$ is a proper smooth geometrically connected curve over $K$, the identity component $\text{Jac}(X) := \text{Pic}^0_{X/K} \subset \text{Pic}_{X/K}$ is called the Jacobian variety. It is an abelian variety whose dimension is equal to the genus $g(X)$ of $X$ \cite[Sect. 9.2, Proposition 3]{Ishitsuka}.\par

**Remark 3.1** For a proper scheme $X$ over a field $K$ whose geometric fiber is connected and reduced, the following are well known.

1. We have $\text{Pic}_{X/L}/K \cong (\text{Pic}_{X/K})_L$ because the formation of Picard schemes commutes with base change \cite[Exercise 9.4.4]{Lang}.
2. The map $\text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_L)$ is injective for any field extension $L/K$.
3. For a Galois extension $L/K$, we have $\text{Pic}_{X/K}(L)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K)$. But $\text{Pic}(X_L)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = \text{Pic}(X)$ does not hold in general.

**Proposition 3.2** Let $X$ be a proper scheme over a field $K$ whose geometric fiber is connected and reduced. Let $L/K$ be a Galois extension which is not necessarily finite. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $X_L$ such that its class $[\mathcal{L}] \in \text{Pic}(X_L)$ is fixed by the action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(a) $\text{Br}(K)$ is trivial,
(b) $X$ has a $K$-rational point,
(c) there is a finite extension $M/K$ and an integer $r \geq 1$ prime to $[M : K]$ such that $X$ has an $M$-rational point and $[\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r}]$ comes from a line bundle on $X$, or
(d) $K$ is a global field and there is a place $v_0$ of $K$ such that, for any place $v \neq v_0$ of $K$ and a place $w$ of $L$ above $v$, $[\mathcal{L}_w]$ comes from a line bundle on $X_K$.

Then $[\mathcal{L}]$ comes from a line bundle on $X$.

**Proof** Since the image of $[\mathcal{L}]$ in $\text{Pic}_{X/K}(L)$ is fixed by $\text{Gal}(L/K)$, it comes from an element $\alpha_K \in \text{Pic}_{X/K}(K)$. We shall show that the image of $\alpha_K$ in $\text{Br}(K)$ is trivial. (a) is obvious; (b) and (c) are standard; and (d) follows from the injectivity of the map

$$\text{Br}(K) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \neq v_0} \text{Br}(K_v).$$

in global class field theory \cite[Sects. 9, 10]{Tate}, \cite[Theorem 8.1.17]{Serre}.

We give an application of Picard schemes to theta characteristics.

**Proposition 3.3** Let $C$ be a proper smooth geometrically connected curve over a field $K$, and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on $C$. Let $L/K$ be an extension of fields, and $\mathcal{L}_L$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{L}$ to $C_L$.

1. $\mathcal{L}$ is a theta characteristic on $C$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_L$ is a theta characteristic on $C_L$.
2. $\mathcal{L}$ is effective (resp. non-effective) if and only if $\mathcal{L}_L$ is effective (resp. non-effective).

**Proof** (1) The element $[\mathcal{L}_L]$ (resp. $[\Omega_{C_L}^1]$) is the image of $[\mathcal{L}]$ (resp. $[\Omega_{C}^1]$) by the canonical map $\text{Pic}(C) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(C_L)$. Since this map is injective by Remark 3.1(2), we see that $2[\mathcal{L}] = [\Omega_{C}^1]$ holds if and only if $2[\mathcal{L}_L] = [\Omega_{C_L}^1]$ holds.

(2) This follows from the equality $\dim_K H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) = \dim_L H^0(C_L, \mathcal{L}_L)$.
4 Symmetric determinantal representations in degree $n \leq 3$

We examine the existence of symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves of degree $n \leq 3$ in some detail. In this section, we fix a field $K$ of arbitrary characteristic. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K$ be a smooth plane curve of degree $n \leq 3$.

4.1 Lines ($n = 1$)

Obviously, every line over $K$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $K$. In order to illustrate the methods of this paper, let us confirm it using Theorem 2.2. The plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K$ of degree 1 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_K$ over $K$. The Picard group of $\mathbb{P}^1_K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_K}(1)]$. Since $\deg \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_K} = -2$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_K}(-1)$ is a unique theta characteristic on $\mathbb{P}^1_K$, up to isomorphism. It is non-effective because its degree is negative. Hence $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $K$ by Theorem 2.2.

4.2 Conics ($n = 2$)

There is a natural map from the set of smooth plane conics over $K$ to the set of elements of $\text{Br}(K)$ killed by 2. There are several ways to construct it. The following method seems most suitable for our purposes: since $C$ is smooth over $K$, the curve $C$ has a $K^{\text{sep}}$-rational point [3, Sect. 2.2, Corollary 13]. Hence $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ over $K^{\text{sep}}$. The Picard group of $\mathbb{P}^1_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by a line bundle of degree 1. Since the action of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ on $\text{Pic}_{C/K}(K^{\text{sep}})$ does not change the degree of line bundles, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\text{Pic}_{C/K}(K) = \text{Pic}_{C/K}(K^{\text{sep}})^{\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)} = \text{Pic}_{C/K}(K^{\text{sep}})^{\deg} \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

(See Remark 3.1(3) for the first equality.) There is a unique element $s \in \text{Pic}_{C/K}(K)$ of degree 1. We define $\alpha_C \in \text{Br}(K)$ to be the image of $s$ in $\text{Br}(K)$ by the following exact sequence (cf. (2)):

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/K}(K) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(K).$$

Since $\deg \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_K} = -2$, we see that $\alpha_C$ is trivial if and only if $C$ has a line bundle of odd degree. The element $\alpha_C \in \text{Br}(K)$ is killed by 2.

Proposition 4.1 The following are equivalent:

(a) $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $K$.
(b) $C$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_K$ over $K$.
(c) $C$ has a $K$-rational point.
(d) $C$ has a line bundle of odd degree.
(e) $\alpha_C \in \text{Br}(K)$ is trivial.
The equivalence \((d) \iff (e)\) follows from the construction of \(\alpha_C \in \text{Br}(K)\) recalled as above. The implications \((b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d)\) are obvious. If there is a line bundle \(L\) on \(C\) of odd degree, there is a line bundle \(L'\) on \(C\) of degree 1 because \(\deg \Omega_C^1 = -2\). The complete linear system of \(L'\) gives an isomorphism \(C \cong \mathbb{P}^1_K\) by Riemann–Roch [26, Proposition 7.4.1]. Hence \((d) \Rightarrow (a)\) follows. Finally, by Proposition 3.3, a line bundle \(L\) on \(C\) is a theta characteristic if and only if \(\deg L = -1\). It is non-effective because \(\deg L\) is negative. By Theorem 2.2, the existence a line bundle \(L\) with \(\deg L = -1\) is equivalent to the existence of a symmetric determinantal representation of \(C\) over \(K\). Hence \((d) \iff (a)\) follows.

\[\Box\]

### 4.3 Cubics \((n = 3)\)

Since \(C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K\) is a smooth plane cubic, the Jacobian variety \(\text{Jac}(C)\) is an elliptic curve over \(K\). It is well known that \(C\) is isomorphic to \(\text{Jac}(C)\) if and only if \(C\) has a \(K\)-rational point.

**Proposition 4.2** The following are equivalent:

1. \(C\) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \(K\).
2. \(\text{Jac}(C)\) has a non-trivial \(K\)-rational 2-torsion point.

**Proof** Since \(\Omega_C^1\) is trivial, by Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.3, \(C\) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \(K\) if and only if there is a non-trivial line bundle \(L\) on \(C\) satisfying \(L \otimes L \cong \mathcal{O}_C\).

\((a) \Rightarrow (b)\) If \(L\) is a non-trivial line bundle satisfying \(L \otimes L \cong \mathcal{O}_C\), its class \([L] \in \text{Jac}(C)(K)\) is a non-trivial \(K\)-rational 2-torsion point.

\((b) \Rightarrow (a)\) Let \(\alpha \in \text{Jac}(C)(K)\) be a non-trivial \(K\)-rational 2-torsion point. There is a finite extension \(M/K\) of odd degree with \(C(M) \neq \emptyset\) because \(C\) has odd degree. Hence \(\alpha\) comes from a line bundle \(\mathcal{L}_\alpha\) on \(C\) by Proposition 3.2(c) for \(r = 2\).

\(\Box\)

### 5 The local–global principle for conics and cubics

The following theorem is slightly more general than Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 5.1** Let \(K\) be a global field of characteristic different from two, and \(C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K\) be a smooth plane curve of degree 2 or 3 over \(K\).

1. Assume that \(C\) has degree 2. If there is a place \(v_0\) of \(K\) such that \(C\) admits symmetric determinantal representations over \(K_v\) for all places \(v \neq v_0\) of \(K\), the smooth plane curve \(C\) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \(K\).
2. Assume that \(C\) has degree 3. If \(C\) admits symmetric determinantal representations over \(K_v\) for all but finitely many places \(v\) of \(K\), the smooth plane curve \(C\) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \(K\).

**Proof** (1) Let \(\alpha_C \in \text{Br}(K)\) be the element associated with \(C\) in Sect. 4.2. Since the image of \(\alpha_C\) in \(\text{Br}(K_v)\) is trivial for each \(v \neq v_0\), we see that \(\alpha_C\) is trivial by the structure of the Brauer group of \(K\) [34, Sects. 9, 10], [29, Theorem 8.1.17]. Hence \(C\) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \(K\) by Proposition 4.1.
(2) The non-trivial 2-torsion points on Jac(C) are defined by a cubic polynomial
\[ f(X) \in K[X]. \]
The assertion follows from the well-known fact that \( f(X) = 0 \) has a solution in \( K \) if it has a solution in \( K_v \) for all but finitely many \( v \) by Chebotarev’s density theorem [31, I.2.2].

Remark 5.2 Theorem 5.1(1) is optimal in the following sense: for any global field \( K \) and any finite set \( S \) of places of \( K \) of cardinality \( \geq 2 \), there is a smooth plane conic \( C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2_K \) such that \( C \) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K_v \) for each \( v \notin S \), and \( C \) does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K \).

To see this, let \( \alpha \in \text{Br}(K) \) be a non-trivial element killed by 2 satisfying \( \text{inv}_v(\alpha) = 0 \) for all \( v \notin S [34, \text{Sects. 9, 10}], [29, \text{Theorem 8.1.17}], \) and consider the conic (the Severi–Brauer variety) associated with it [32, Ch. X, Sect. 6].

Remark 5.3 The following argument seems instructive to understand how to construct counterexamples to the local–global principle for quartics in Sect. 6 (see also Remark 6.8). The proof of Theorem 5.1(2) can be rephrased in terms of mod 2 Galois representations. Choose an \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-basis on \( \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) \), and consider the mod 2 Galois representation on it:
\[ \rho_{C,2} : \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2). \]

Let \( v \) be a finite place of \( K \) such that \( C \) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K_v \) and \( \text{Jac}(C) \) has good reduction at \( v \). By Proposition 4.2, the image of the geometric Frobenius element \( \rho_{C,2}(\text{Frob}_v) \) has a non-zero fixed vector. Let
\[ G := \rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)) \subseteq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \]
be the image of \( \rho_{C,2} \), which is generated by \( \rho_{C,2}(\text{Frob}_v) \) for all but finitely many \( v \) by Chebotarev’s density theorem [31, I.2.2]. By an easy group theoretic lemma (see Lemma 5.4 below), there is a non-zero vector fixed by all elements of \( G \). Hence \( \text{Jac}(C) \) has a non-trivial \( K \)-rational 2-torsion point, and \( C \) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K \) by Proposition 4.2.

The proof of the following lemma is easy and omitted.

Lemma 5.4 Let \( G \subseteq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \) be a subgroup such that, for each element \( g \in G \), the action of \( g \) on \( \mathbb{F}_2^{\otimes 2} \) has a non-zero fixed vector. Then there is a non-zero vector \( v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\otimes 2} \) fixed by all elements of \( G \).

6 Counterexamples to the local–global principle for quartics

We recall the basic results on quadratic forms over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) in Sect. 6.1. Then we recall the Mumford’s results on a relation between theta characteristics and quadratic forms over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) in Sect. 6.2. In Sect. 6.3, we prove a group theoretic lemma on the action of a subgroup of \( \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2) \) on quadratic forms over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) (Lemma 6.6). In Sect. 6.4, we give
a sufficient condition for a smooth plane curve over a global field to violate the local–
global principle in terms of the associated mod 2 Galois representation (Proposition 6.10). In Sect. 6.5, we prove Theorem 1.2 and construct counterexamples to the local–
global principle for quartics.

6.1 Quadratic forms over $\mathbb{F}_2$

We recall the basic results on quadratic forms over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_2$ of order two. (For
more details on the group theoretic properties of the action of $\text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on quadratic
forms, see [10], [9, Sect. 7.7], [14].)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ be the $2m$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Let

$$\{ e_1, \ldots, e_m, f_1, \ldots, f_m \}$$

be the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$, and define the alternating bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ by

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0, \quad \langle f_i, f_j \rangle = 0, \quad \langle e_i, f_j \rangle = \langle f_j, e_i \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j, \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

The symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is defined to be the group of $\mathbb{F}_2$-linear automor-
phisms of $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ preserving the alternating form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a map $Q : \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ satisfying

$$Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$.

There are $2^{2m}$ quadratic forms on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ acts on the set of quadratic forms with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by

$$(g \cdot Q)(x) := Q(g^{-1}x)$$

for $g \in \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$. This action has two orbits $\Omega^+, \Omega^-$ of size $2^{m-1}(2^m + 1), 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$, respectively. These orbits are distinguished by the Arf invariant. There are several equivalent definitions of the Arf invariant. One definition of the Arf invariant of a quadratic form $Q$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q(e_i)Q(f_i) \in \mathbb{F}_2,$$

which is shown to be independent of the choice of the symplectic basis. Another
impressive definition is this: the Arf invariant of $Q$ is $a$ ($a \in \{0, 1\}$) if and only if the
number of elements $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with $Q(x) = a$ is equal to $2^{m-1}(2^m + 1)$ [14, Corollary
1.12].
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6.2 Theta characteristics and quadratic forms over $\mathbb{F}_2$

We recall a relation between theta characteristics and quadratic forms over $\mathbb{F}_2$ due to Mumford [28].

Let $C$ be a proper smooth geometrically connected curve of genus $g$ over a field $K$. Assume that the characteristic of $K$ is different from two. The Jacobian variety $\text{Jac}(C)$, which is the identity component of the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_{C/K}$, is an abelian variety of dimension $g$ (cf. Sect. 3). The multiplication-by-2 isogeny

$$[2] : \text{Pic}_{C/K} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/K}$$

is étale because 2 is invertible in $K$. The group scheme $\text{Jac}(C)[2]$ is finite and étale over $K$ of order $2^{2g}$. All $\overline{K}$-rational points on $\text{Jac}(C)[2]$ are defined over $K^{\text{sep}}$. We see that

$$\text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) = \text{Jac}(C)[2](\overline{K})$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of dimension $2g$. We have the Weil pairing

$$e_2 : \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) \times \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) \rightarrow \{ \pm 1 \} \cong \mathbb{F}_2,$$

which is an alternating bilinear form over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Here the multiplicative group $\{ \pm 1 \}$ is isomorphic to the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_2$. Mumford proved that, for a theta characteristic $L$ on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$, the map

$$Q_L : \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

defined by

$$[M] \mapsto (\dim_{K^{\text{sep}}} H^0(C_{K^{\text{sep}}}, L \otimes M) + \dim_{K^{\text{sep}}} H^0(C_{K^{\text{sep}}}, L)) \pmod{2}$$

is a quadratic form with polar form $e_2$. Any quadratic form on $\text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}})$ with polar form $e_2$ can be written as $Q_L$ for a theta characteristic $L$ on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$, and the isomorphism class of $L$ is uniquely determined by its associated quadratic form. The Arf invariant of $Q_L$ is 0 (resp. 1) if and only if $L$ is even (resp. odd) (see Definition 2.1).

**Remark 6.1** A cautious reader might note that Mumford worked over an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of $K$ rather than a separable closure $K^{\text{sep}}$ of $K$ [28]. It is easy to see that his results are valid over $K^{\text{sep}}$ as well. To see this, it is enough to note the following:

1. $\text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}}) = \text{Jac}(C)[2](\overline{K})$ because 2 is invertible in $K$.
2. For a theta characteristic $L$ on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$, we have $Q_L = Q_L_{\overline{K}}$.
3. Every $\overline{K}$-rational (resp. $K^{\text{sep}}$-rational) point on the Picard scheme $\text{Pic}_{C/K}$ comes from a line bundle on $C_{\overline{K}}$ (resp. $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$) because the Brauer group $\text{Br}(\overline{K})$ (resp. $\text{Br}(K^{\text{sep}})$) is trivial [3, Sect. 8.1, Proposition 4].
4. The set of isomorphism classes of theta characteristics on $C_K$ (resp. $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$) is identified with $\left(\left[2\right]^{-1}(\Omega_{C}^{1})\right)(\mathcal{K})$ (resp. $\left(\left[2\right]^{-1}(\Omega_{C}^{1})\right)(K^{\text{sep}})$). Since $\left[2\right]$ is an étale isogeny, these two sets are equal. Hence the set of isomorphism classes of theta characteristics on $C_K$ and on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ are canonically identified.

From the above remarks, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.2** For each $a \in \{0, 1\}$, the association $\mathcal{L} \mapsto Q_{\mathcal{L}}$ gives a bijection between the following sets:

- The set of quadratic forms on $\text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}})$ of Arf invariant $a$ whose polar form is the Weil pairing $e_2$.
- The set of isomorphism classes of theta characteristics $\mathcal{L}$ on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ satisfying $\dim_{K^{\text{sep}}} H^0(C_{K^{\text{sep}}}, \mathcal{L}) \equiv a \mod 2$.

The bijection is equivariant with respect to the action of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$.

From Proposition 3.2, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.3** Let $Q$ be a quadratic form on $\text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}})$ whose polar form is the Weil pairing $e_2$. If $Q$ is fixed by the action of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$, there is a theta characteristic $\mathcal{L}$ on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ with $Q = Q_{\mathcal{L}}$ by Proposition 6.2. Then the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $C_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ comes from a theta characteristic on $C$ if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(a) $\text{Br}(K)$ is trivial,
(b) $C$ has a $K$-rational point,
(c) there is a finite extension $M/K$ of odd degree such that $C$ has an $M$-rational point, or
(d) $K$ is a global field, and there is a place $v_0$ of $K$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ comes from a theta characteristic on $C_{K_v}$ for any place $v \neq v_0$ of $K$.

### 6.3 Group theoretic lemmas

We prove a group theoretic lemma on the action of subgroups of $\text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on quadratic forms over $\mathbb{F}_2$ (Lemma 6.6). The case of $m = 3$ of Lemma 6.6 will be used to construct counterexamples to the local–global principle for quartics (cf. Sect. 6.5).

In the following, we use the same notation as in Sect. 6.1.

**Lemma 6.4** Fix an integer $m \geq 1$, and a quadratic form $Q$ on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ of Arf invariant 1 whose polar form is the standard alternating bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle$.

Let $O(Q) \subset \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the orthogonal group associated with $Q$, which is the group of $\mathbb{F}_2$-linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ preserving $Q$. We denote the identity element by $e \in O(Q)$. Then there are elements $\sigma, \tau \in O(Q)$ satisfying all of the following conditions:

(a) $\sigma \neq e$,
(b) $\tau^2 = e$,
(c) $\tau \sigma \tau = \sigma^{-1},$
(d) $\sigma^i$ has no non-zero fixed vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ for any $i$ with $\sigma^i \neq e,$ and
(e) $\tau \sigma^i$ has a non-zero fixed vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with $Q(x) = 1$ for any $i.$

Note that, in the condition (e), the fixed vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ of $\tau \sigma^i$ may depend on $i.$

Remark 6.5 The subgroup of $O(Q)$ generated by $\sigma, \tau$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order $2n(\sigma),$ where $n(\sigma)$ is the order of $\sigma.$

Proof Since $Sp_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ acts transitively on the set of quadratic forms with Arf invariant 1, it is enough to prove the assertion for a particular quadratic form with Arf invariant 1.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ be the finite field of order $2^m.$ Let us consider $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of dimension $2^m.$ We shall construct an alternating bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and a quadratic form $Q$ with Arf invariant 1 as follows. In order to shorten the notation, we put $\mathbb{F}((k)) := \mathbb{F}_2(k).$ For $x \in \mathbb{F}(2m),$ the conjugate of $x$ over $\mathbb{F}(m)$ is denoted by $\bar{x}.$ For $x, y \in \mathbb{F}(2m),$ we define $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $Q(x)$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}(2m)/\mathbb{F}(1)}(x \bar{y}),$$
$$Q(x) := \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}(m)/\mathbb{F}(1)}(N_{\mathbb{F}(2m)/\mathbb{F}(m)}(x)).$$

It is a routine exercise to check that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an alternating bilinear form on $\mathbb{F}(2m),$ and $Q$ is a quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}(2m)$ with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$

We shall show that the Arf invariant of $Q$ is 1. We count the number of elements $x \in \mathbb{F}(2m)$ with $Q(x) = 1$ as follows. Since $\mathbb{F}(m)/\mathbb{F}(1)$ is a separable extension, the trace map

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}(m)/\mathbb{F}(1)} : F(m) \longrightarrow F(1)$$

is surjective. The number of elements $t \in F(m)$ with $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}(m)/\mathbb{F}(1)}(t) = 1$ is $2^{m-1}.$ The norm map

$$N_{\mathbb{F}(2m)/\mathbb{F}(m)} : F(2m)^\times \longrightarrow F(m)^\times$$

is surjective [32, Ch. X, Sect. 7]. Hence the number of elements $x \in F(2m)$ with $Q(x) = 1$ is equal to

$$2^{m-1} \cdot [F(2m)^\times : F(m)^\times] = 2^{m-1}(2^m + 1),$$

and the Arf invariant of $Q$ is 1.

Let $O(Q)$ be the group of $\mathbb{F}_2$-linear automorphisms of $F(2m)$ preserving $Q.$ We shall construct two elements in $O(Q)$ satisfying all of the conditions of this lemma. Since $F(2m)^\times$ is a cyclic group, the kernel of the norm map

$$N_{\mathbb{F}(2m)/\mathbb{F}(m)} : F(2m)^\times \longrightarrow F(m)^\times$$

is surjective [32, Ch. X, Sect. 7]. Hence the number of elements $x \in F(2m)$ with $Q(x) = 1$ is equal to

$$2^{m-1} \cdot [F(2m)^\times : F(m)^\times] = 2^{m-1}(2^m + 1),$$

and the Arf invariant of $Q$ is 1.
is also cyclic. We take a generator \( s \in F(2m)^\times \) of the kernel of \( N_{F(2m)/F(m)} \). We define \( g \in O(Q) \) by \( g(x) := sx \). We define \( h \in O(Q) \) by \( h(x) := \overline{x} \).

We shall prove that the elements \( g, h \in O(Q) \) satisfy the required conditions for \( \sigma, \tau \). The conditions (a), (b) are obvious because \( s \neq 1 \) and \( \overline{x} = x \) for \( x \in F(2m) \). The condition (c) is satisfied because we have

\[
(h \circ g \circ h)(x) = \overline{s^i x} = s^{-i} x = g^{-1}(x).
\]

If \( g^i \) is not the identity element, we see that \( s^i \neq 1 \) and the map

\[
x \mapsto g^i(x) = s^i x
\]

has no non-zero fixed vector. Hence the condition (d) is satisfied. Since \( s^{-1} = \overline{s} \), we have

\[
(h \circ g^i)(x) = x \iff s^{-i} \overline{x} = x
\]

for \( x \in F(2m) \). Since \( F(2m)/F(m) \) is a quadratic Galois extension and

\[
N_{F(2m)/F(m)}(s^{-i}) = 1,
\]

there is an element \( y \in F(2m)^\times \) satisfying \( s^{-i} \overline{y} = y \) by Hilbert’s Theorem 90 [32, Ch. X, Sect. 1]. The condition “\( s^{-i} \overline{y} = y \)” is satisfied if we replace \( y \) by \( ty \) for \( t \in F(m)^\times \). The map

\[
F(m)^\times \to F(m)^\times, \ t \mapsto t^2
\]

is surjective because \( F(m)^\times \) is a finite abelian group of odd order. For an element \( t \in F(m)^\times \), we have

\[
Q(ty) := \text{Tr}_{F(m)/F(1)} \left( N_{F(2m)/F(m)}(ty) \right) = \text{Tr}_{F(m)/F(1)} \left( t^2 N_{F(2m)/F(m)}(y) \right).
\]

The bilinear form

\[
F(m) \times F(m) \to F(1), \ (u, v) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{F(m)/F(1)} (uv)
\]

is non-degenerate because \( F(m)/F(1) \) is a separable extension. Therefore, after replacing \( y \) by \( ty \) for some \( t \in F(m)^\times \), we have \( Q(y) = 1 \). The condition (e) is satisfied.

\( \square \)

**Lemma 6.6** For \( m \geq 3 \), there is a subgroup \( G \subset \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2) \) satisfying both of the following conditions:

(a) there does not exist a quadratic form of Arf invariant \( 0 \) with polar form \((, )\) fixed by all elements of \( G \) and
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(b) for each $g \in G$, there is a quadratic form of Arf invariant 0 with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ fixed by $g$.

**Proof** Let us decompose $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ as

$$\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m} \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m-4} \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2} \cong V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3.$$

We put the standard alternating bilinear form on each $V_i$.

We shall define a quadratic form on each $V_i$ as follows. Let $Q_1$ be a quadratic form on $V_1$ of Arf invariant 1. Let $\{e_2, f_2\}$ (resp. $\{e_3, f_3\}$) be the standard symplectic basis of $V_2$ (resp. $V_3$). For $i = 2, 3$, we define a quadratic form $Q_i$ on $V_i$ by

$$Q_i(ae_i + bf_i) := a^2 + ab + b^2$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$. The Arf invariant of $Q_i$ is 1. Hence the Arf invariant of the direct sum

$$Q := Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus Q_3$$

is 1. We denote the orthogonal group associated with the quadratic form $Q_1$ (resp. $Q_2 \oplus Q_3$, $Q$) by $O(Q_1)$ (resp. $O(Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$, $O(Q)$). The product $O(Q_1) \times O(Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ is a subgroup of $O(Q)$.

Now we shall apply Lemma 6.4 to $Q_1$. We get two elements $\sigma, \tau \in O(Q_1)$. We define $\eta \in O(Q_2 \oplus Q_3)$ by

$$\eta(e_2) := e_3, \quad \eta(f_2) := f_3, \quad \eta(e_3) := e_2, \quad \eta(f_3) := f_2.$$

Let $G := \langle (\sigma, \text{id}), (\tau, \eta) \rangle$ be the subgroup of $O(Q)$ generated by $(\sigma, \text{id})$ and $(\tau, \eta)$.

We shall show that $G$ satisfies the conditions (a), (b) of this lemma. The following are well known [10, Lemma 1]:

- For each vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$, the map

$$Q_v : \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2, \quad x \mapsto Q_v(x) := Q(x) + \langle x, v \rangle$$

is a quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- Every quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with polar form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be written as $Q_v$ for a unique vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$.
- The Arf invariant of $Q_v$ is 0 (resp. 1) if and only if $Q(v) = 1$ (resp. 0).
- For $g \in O(Q)$, we see that $g \cdot Q_v = Q_v$ if and only if $gv = v$ because

$$(g \cdot Q_v)(x) = Q_v(g^{-1}x) = Q(g^{-1}x) + \langle g^{-1}x, v \rangle = Q(x) + \langle x, gv \rangle.$$

In order to check the condition (a), it is enough to prove that there does not exist a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with $Q(v) = 1$ which is fixed by all elements of $G$. Since $\sigma$
has no non-zero fixed vector in $V_1$, a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ fixed by all elements of $G$ is necessarily of the form
\[
v = a(e_2 + e_3) + b(f_2 + f_3)
\]
for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Since
\[
(Q_2 \oplus Q_3)(v) = (a^2 + ab + b^2) + (a^2 + ab + b^2) = 0,
\]
there does not exist a vector $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2m}$ with $Q(v) = 1$ fixed by all elements of $G$.

We shall check the condition (b). Any element $g \in G$ is either of the form $(\sigma^i, \text{id})$ or $(\tau \sigma^i, \eta)$. If $g = (\sigma^i, \text{id})$, all vectors in $V_2 \oplus V_3$ are fixed by $g$. Hence $g$ fixes $e_2$, which satisfies $Q_2(e_2) = 1$. If $g = (\tau \sigma^i, \eta)$, by Lemma 6.4 (e), there is a vector $v \in V_1$ satisfying $Q_1(v) = 1$ and $gv = v$. Hence $G$ satisfies the condition (b). $\square$

**Remark 6.7** There are many subgroups $G \subset \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 6.6. When $m = 3$, a quick search using GAP (version 4.7.5) shows that there are 1369 subgroups of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$, up to conjugacy. Among them, 411 subgroups, up to conjugacy, satisfy the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 6.6. The subgroup $G$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 6.6 is a unique subgroup of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of order 6, up to conjugacy, satisfying the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 6.6.

**Remark 6.8** Lemma 6.6 does not hold for $m = 1$. On the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}$ with a standard alternating form, there are three quadratic forms of Arf invariant 0. There is a unique quadratic form of Arf invariant 1. We denote it by $Q$. Quadratic forms on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}$ are written as $Q_v$ for $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}$. Quadratic forms of Arf invariant 0 correspond to non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}$. By Lemma 5.4, we see that no subgroup $G \subset \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfies the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 6.6. This explains why the local–global principle for the existence of symmetric determinantal representations holds true for cubics (cf. Theorem 5.1 (2)), but it does not hold true for quartics.

**Remark 6.9** Lemma 6.6 holds true for $m = 2$. Using GAP (version 4.7.5), we see that there are 56 subgroups of $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathfrak{S}_6$, up to conjugacy. Among them, 12 subgroups, up to conjugacy, satisfy the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 6.6. However, the case of $m = 2$ is not related to the problem of symmetric determinantal representations because the genus of a smooth plane curve cannot be equal to 2.

### 6.4 A sufficient condition to violate the local–global principle

We give a sufficient condition for a smooth plane curve to violate the local–global principle in terms of the associated mod 2 Galois representation.

Let $K$ be a global field of characteristic different from two, and $C \subset \mathbb{P}_K^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree $n \geq 4$. The Jacobian variety $\text{Jac}(C)$ is an abelian variety of dimension $(n - 1)(n - 2)/2$. Since $\text{char } K \neq 2$, the multiplication-by-2 isogeny $[2] \colon \text{Jac}(C) \longrightarrow \text{Jac}(C)$ is étale, and all $\overline{K}$-rational 2-torsion points on $\text{Jac}(C)$ are defined over $K^{\text{sep}}$. Hence $\text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{\text{sep}})$ is an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of dimension...
(n − 1)(n − 2). Since the action of Gal(K^{sep}/K) on Jac(C)[2](K^{sep}) preserves the Weil pairing e_2, by choosing a symplectic \mathbb{F}_2-basis, we have the associated mod 2 Galois representation

\[ \rho_{C,2} : \text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}_{(n−1)(n−2)}(\mathbb{F}_2). \]

We fix an embedding \( \iota_v : K^{sep} \hookrightarrow K^{sep}_v \) for each place \( v \) of \( K \). We consider Gal\((K_v^{sep}/K)\) as a closed subgroup of Gal\((K^{sep}/K)\). The embedding

\[ \text{Gal}(K_v^{sep}/K_v) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K) \]

is unique up to conjugation.

**Proposition 6.10** Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(a) \( C \) has a \( K_v \)-rational point for each place \( v \) of \( K \) or

(b) the degree \( n \) is odd.

Moreover, assume that all of the following conditions are satisfied:

(c) the image of \( \rho_{C,2} \) satisfies the conditions (a), (b) in Lemma 6.6,

(d) the image \( \rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(K_v^{sep}/K_v)) \) is a cyclic group for each place \( v \) of \( K \), and

(e) all even theta characteristics on \( C_{K_v}^{sep} \) are non-effective.

Then \( C \) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K_v \) for each place \( v \) of \( K \), and \( C \) does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K \).

**Proof** Let \( v \) be a place of \( K \). Note that

\[ \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{sep}) = \text{Jac}(C)[2](K_v^{sep}) \]

because \( \text{Jac}(C)[2] \) is a finite étale group scheme over \( K \).

By the conditions (c), (d), there is a quadratic form on \( \text{Jac}(C)[2](K_v^{sep}) \) with Arf invariant 0 fixed by Gal\((K_v^{sep}/K_v)\) (see the condition (b) in Lemma 6.6). Proposition 6.2 applied to \( C_{K_v}^{sep} \) shows that there is an even theta characteristic \( \mathcal{L}_{K_v^{sep}} \) on \( C_{K_v^{sep}} \) such that

\[ [\mathcal{L}_{K_v^{sep}}] \in \text{Pic}_{C/K}(K_v^{sep}) \]

is fixed by Gal\((K_v^{sep}/K_v)\).

If the condition (a) is satisfied, \( C_{K_v} \) satisfies the condition (b) of Corollary 6.3. If the condition (b) is satisfied, there is a finite extension \( M_v/K_v \) of odd degree such that \( C \) has an \( M_v \)-rational point. Hence \( C \) satisfies the condition (c) of Corollary 6.3. In both cases, \( \mathcal{L}_{K_v^{sep}} \) comes from an even theta characteristic on \( C_{K_v}^{sep} \) by Corollary 6.3. It is non-effective by the condition (e). Therefore, \( C \) admits a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K_v \) by Theorem 2.2.

Finally, by the condition (c), there does not exist a quadratic form on \( \text{Jac}(C)[2](K^{sep}) \) with Arf invariant 0 fixed by Gal\((K^{sep}/K)\) (see the condition (a) in Lemma 6.6). Hence there does not exist an even theta characteristic on \( C \). By Theorem 2.2, \( C \) does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over \( K \). \( \square \)
Remark 6.11 When \( n = 4 \), the condition (e) is always satisfied because even theta characteristics on smooth plane quartics are non-effective. (See Remark 2.3.)

### 6.5 Counterexamples to the local–global principle for quartics

**Proof** (of Theorem 1.2) Recall that \( K \) is a global field of characteristic different from two, and \( C \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K \) a smooth plane quartic over \( K \) such that the associated mod 2 Galois representation

\[
\rho_{C,2}: \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)
\]

is surjective.

We first claim that there is a finite separable extension \( K'/K \) such that \( C \) has a \( K' \)-rational point and the restriction of \( \rho_{C,2} \) to \( \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K') \) is still surjective. In some examples (e.g., Remark 6.14), we can find a \( K \)-rational point explicitly, and we can simply take \( K = K' \). But \( C \) might not have a \( K \)-rational point in general.

There is a line \( \ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2_K \) such that \( \ell \cap C \) is smooth over \( K \) by Bertini’s theorem [24, Corollaire 6.11(2)]. Since \( \ell \cap C \) is defined by a separable polynomial of degree 4, there is a separable extension \( K'/K \) of degree \( \leq 4 \) such that \( C \) has a \( K' \)-rational point. Since \( \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K') \) is a closed subgroup of \( \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \) of index \( \leq 4 \), its image

\[
\rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K')) \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)
\]

is a subgroup of index \( \leq 4 \). Since \( \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) has no proper subgroup of index \( \leq 4 \), the restriction of \( \rho_{C,2} \) to \( \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K') \) is surjective. (A proper subgroup of \( \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) of smallest index is conjugate to the orthogonal group of a quadratic form with Arf invariant 1, which has index 28.) Replacing \( K \) by \( K' \), we may assume that \( C \) has a \( K \)-rational point.

Next, we shall replace \( K \) by a finite extension of it as follows. We choose a subgroup

\[
G \subset \rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)) = \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)
\]

satisfying the conditions in Lemma 6.6. There are 411 subgroups of \( \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) with this property, up to conjugacy (Remark 6.7). We may choose any one of them. We take a finite separable extension \( M/K \) such that \( \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/M) = \rho_{C,2}^{-1}(G) \).

By Remark 6.11, the smooth plane quartic \( C_M \) over \( M \) satisfies the conditions (a), (c), (e) of Proposition 6.10, but it might not satisfy the condition (d). Note that, if \( v \) is an archimedean place or a place where \( \rho_{C,2} \) is unramified, the image

\[
\rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(M_v^{\text{sep}}/M_v)) \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)
\]

is a cyclic group generated by the image of the complex conjugation or the geometric Frobenius element, and the condition (d) of Proposition 6.10 is satisfied at \( v \). Hence the number of places \( v \) where the condition (d) of Proposition 6.10 is not satisfied
is finite. We denote them by $v_1, \ldots, v_r$. Since $\rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(M_{v_i}^{\text{sep}}/M_{v_i}))$ is a finite non-cyclic group, there is a finite extension $M_{v_i}'$ of $M_{v_i}$ such that $\rho_{C,2}(\text{Gal}(M_{v_i}^{\text{sep}}/M_{v_i}'))$ is cyclic. Take a finite separable extension $L/\mathcal{O}$ such that $L$ is linearly disjoint from $(K^{\text{sep}}\mathcal{O})_{\text{Ker} \rho_{C,2}}$ and $(M_{v_i}M)'$ contains all conjugates of $M_{v_i}'$ for all $i$, where $w_i$ is a place of the composite $M'M$ above $v_i$. The existence of such $L/\mathcal{O}$ is a consequence of Krasner’s lemma and the weak approximation theorem.

We put $L := M'M$. The smooth plane quartic $CL$ over $L$ satisfies the conditions (a), (c), (d), (e) of Proposition 6.10. Hence $CL$ is a desired counterexample to the local–global principle by Proposition 6.10.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. □

The above proof shows that the assumption on the surjectivity of the associated mod 2 Galois representation can be weakened if $C$ has a $K$-rational point.

**Corollary 6.12** Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}_K^2$ be a smooth plane quartic over a global field $K$ of characteristic different from two satisfying both of the following conditions:

(a) $C$ has a $K$-rational point and

(b) the image of the associated mod 2 Galois representation $\rho_{C,2}$ contains a subgroup of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 6.6.

Then there is a finite separable extension $L/K$ such that $C$ admits a symmetric determinantal representation over $L_w$ for each place $w$ of $L$, and $C$ does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over $L$.

We shall prove Corollary 1.3 by combining Theorem 1.2 and the results of Harris and Shioda.

**Proof** (of Corollary 1.3) It is known that the associated mod 2 Galois representation of the generic family of plane quartics over a prime field of characteristic different from 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229 is surjective [16, p. 721], [33, Theorem 7]. Since global fields are Hilbertian [13, Theorem 13.3.5], applying Hilbert’s irreducibility theorem, we obtain infinitely many smooth plane quartics over $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$ (for $p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229$) whose associated mod 2 Galois representations are surjective. Hence the assertion of Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2. □

**Remark 6.13** The condition “$p \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229$” comes from the condition in Shioda’s paper [33, Theorem 7]. In [33, p. 68], it is alluded that the restriction on the characteristics can be relaxed. If [33, Theorem 7] holds in characteristic $p \in \{3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229\}$, Corollary 1.3 also holds in that characteristic. The case of characteristic two is completely different [22].

### 6.6 Concluding remarks

**Remark 6.14** It is possible to take explicit smooth plane quartics satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2. When $K = \mathbb{Q}$, we may take $C$ to be a smooth plane quartic defined by the equation

$$X_0X_2^3 + X_2(X_0^3 + X_0^2X_1 + X_1^3) + X_0^4 + X_0^3X_1 + X_0^2X_1^2 + X_1^4 = 0,$$
or the equation

\[ X_0^2X_1^2 - X_0X_1^3 - X_0^3X_2 - 2X_0^2X_2^2 + X_1^2X_2^2 - X_0X_2^3 + X_1X_2^3 = 0. \]

The first equation is taken from [33], and the second one is taken from [5, 4]. Note that the quartics defined by the above equations have \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational points such as \((0, 0, 1)\).

Remark 6.15 In principle, the extension \( L/K \) in the proof of Theorem 1.2 can be taken explicitly if we can calculate the associated mod 2 Galois representations. The required condition on \( M'/K \) can be seen from the ramification of \( \rho_{C,2} \). For example, let us consider the smooth plane quartic \( C \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) defined by the following equation [4, 5]:

\[ X_0^2X_1^2 - X_0X_1^3 - X_0^3X_2 - 2X_0^2X_2^2 + X_1^2X_2^2 - X_0X_2^3 + X_1X_2^3 = 0. \]

The extension \( \mathbb{Q}^{\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,2}}/\mathbb{Q} \) is a Galois extension with Galois group \( \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) of degree 1451520 ramified only at 2, 41, 347 [5, 12.9.2]. If we choose \( G \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) to be the subgroup of order 6 constructed in the proof of Lemma 6.6, \( M/\mathbb{Q} \) is a subextension of \( \mathbb{Q}^{\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,2}}/\mathbb{Q} \) of degree 241920 with \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,2}}/M) = G \). If we take an extension \( M'/\mathbb{Q} \) such that \( M' \) is linearly disjoint from \( \mathbb{Q}^{\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,2}} \) and \( (M'/\mathbb{Q})^{\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{C,2}}/(M'M) \) is unramified at all places above 2, 41, 347, the composite \( L = M'M \) satisfies all the required conditions, and \( C_L \) is a counterexample to the local–global principle. It is possible to reduce the degree \( [M : \mathbb{Q}] \) if you prefer. According to calculations done by \textsc{gap} (version 4.7.5), the largest subgroup of \( \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) satisfying the conditions in Lemma 6.6 is of order 1440. (There are exactly two subgroups of \( \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2) \) of order 1440, up to conjugacy. Both are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_6 \). Only one of them has the required properties.) If we take this group as \( G \), we reduce the extension degree \( [M : \mathbb{Q}] \) to 1008.

Remark 6.16 The degree \( [L : K] \) of the extension \( L/K \) in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is rather large. It is an interesting problem to construct counterexamples to the local–global principle over smaller global fields such as \( \mathbb{Q} \) or \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \) for \( p \neq 2 \). We expect that counterexamples to the local–global principle exist over \( \mathbb{Q} \) or \( \mathbb{F}_p(T) \) for \( p \neq 2 \) in any degree \( n \geq 4 \) because our group theoretic lemma (Lemma 6.6) holds for any \( m \geq 3 \) and Proposition 6.10 holds for any \( n \geq 4 \). Finding counterexamples to the local–global principle in higher degree seems a challenging computational problem because an algorithm to calculate the associated mod 2 Galois representation of smooth plane curves of higher degree is yet to be developed. (See [4, 5] for recent results on explicit calculations for smooth plane quartics.)
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