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Carotenoid dietary intake and their endogenous levels have been associated with a decreased risk of several chronic diseases. There are indications that carotenoid bioavailability depends, in addition to the food matrix, on host factors. These include diseases (e.g. colitis), life-style habits (e.g. smoking), gender and age, as well as genetic variations including single nucleotide polymorphisms that govern carotenoid metabolism. These are expected to explain interindividual differences that contribute to carotenoid uptake, distribution, metabolism and excretion, and therefore possibly also their association with disease risk. For instance, digestion enzymes fostering micellization (PNLIP, CES), expression of uptake/efflux transporters (SR-BI, CD36, NPC1L1), cleavage enzymes (BCO1/2), intracellular transporters (FABP2), secretion into chylomicrons (APOB, MTTP), carotenoid metabolism in the blood and liver (LPL, APO C/E, LDLR), and distribution to target tissues such as adipose tissue or macula (GSTP1, StARD3) depend on the activity of these proteins. In addition, human microbiota, e.g. via altering bile-acid concentrations, may play a role in carotenoid bioavailability. In order to comprehend individual, variable responses to these compounds, an improved knowledge on intra-/interindividual factors determining carotenoid bioavailability, including tissue distribution, is required. Here, we highlight the current knowledge on factors that may explain such intra-/interindividual differences.
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1 Introduction

Carotenoids are natural pigments with a C-30 or C-40 backbone. They can be produced by most plants, bacteria, and fungi, but not by animals or humans, making diet their sole source. Carotenoids have recently been investigated with much interest, as their dietary intake and endogenous concentrations have been associated with a reduced risk of several chronic diseases. For example, carotenoid intake has been positively associated with a reduced risk of cancer [1], type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) [2], cardiovascular diseases [3], and asthma [4], while plasma carotene concentration was shown to be significantly associated with reduced total mortality [5].

In addition, some carotenoids, including α-, β-carotene, and β-cryptoxanthin (Fig. 1), are vitamin A precursors, constituting the predominant source of vitamin A in most developing countries (up to 90% [6]) as well as in Western countries especially with respect to vegetarians. Recently, it has also been suggested that cis-carotenoids are even more beneficial for the prevention of atherosclerosis and T2D than their all-trans isomers [7,8]. Finally, it is now acknowledged that lutein and zeaxanthin play a role in vision by improving contrast sensitivity and visual acuity [9] and participate in the prevention of age-related macular degeneration [10].

However, several intervention trials with carotenoid supplements have not supported these beneficial associations, or even found negative health effects [11,12]. To explain this discrepancy, it is hypothesized that the food matrix (miss-
Table 1. Overview of host (non-dietary) factors proposed to influence (in addition to genetic make-up and malabsorption diseases of the GI) intra-and interindividual differences regarding carotenoid ADME

| Factor               | Type of study                                                                 | Carotenoids investigated and variability                                                                 | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| **Age**              | **Observational, n = 400 adults (males, females)**                            | Younger age correlated with lower serum carotenoids                                                      | [164]      |
|                      | **Observational, n = 946 postmenopausal women**                              | Lower serum lycopene levels associated with higher age                                                   | [30]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 12500**                                                 | Lower serum β-carotene levels with older age                                                             | [272]      |
|                      | **Review**                                                                   | Higher plasma carotenoid levels with older age                                                          | [273]      |
| **Alcohol**          | **Observational, n = 2895 women**                                            | No consistent effect of alcohol consumption on plasma levels of α-carotene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, and lutein-zeaxanthin | [26]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 194 men**                                               | Negative correlations of plasma levels of lycopene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin (not lutein) with units of alcohol/day, Spearman’s rank correlation: -0.27 to -0.51 | [25]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 1198 subjects**                                         | Higher alcohol consumption related to higher plasma lycopene (ca. 20%), no effect on α- and β-carotene, lutein, and β-cryptoxanthin | [28]       |
|                      | **Intervention, n = 12 healthy men**                                         | Consumption of wine, beer, or spirits for 3 weeks reduced plasma β-carotene by 15%, no effect on lycopene, lutein, zeaxanthin, cryptoxanthin, and α-carotene | [27]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 400 adults (male, female)**                             | Higher alcohol consumption correlated with lower serum carotenoids                                      | [164]      |
|                      | **Observational, n = 12500 adults (male, female)**                           | Lower β-carotene levels with alcohol consumption                                                       | [272]      |
| **Asthma**           | **Observational, women with (n = 84) & without asthma (n = 47)**             | Higher plasma total-carotenoids in women with asthma                                                   | [253]      |
| **Body weight, BMI** | **Observational, n = 2895 women**                                            | Obese women had lower plasma levels of α-carotene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, and lutein-zeaxanthin, by ca. 10%, compared to normal-weight women. Plasma lycopene was higher by 10% | [26]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 194 men**                                               | Negative correlation of BMI with serum lutein but not lycopene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, R: -0.12 (Spearman rank correlation) | [25]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 400 adults (males, females)**                           | Higher BMI associated with lower α- and β-carotene and xanthophyll serum levels                          | [164]      |
|                      | **Observational, n = 946 postmenopausal women**                              | Higher BMI correlated with lower plasma lycopene levels                                                  | [30]       |
|                      | **Observational, n = 600 healthy adults**                                    | Higher abdominal obesity related to lower serum carotenoid levels (α-,β-carotene, canthaxanthin)         | [274]      |
|                      | **Observational, n = 55 women**                                              | Similar total adipocyte β-carotene content in lean and obese, β-carotene concentration reduced in obese  | [194]      |
| **Gender**           | **Observational, n = 12,500 adults (male, female)**                          | Women had higher serum β-carotene levels than men                                                       | [272]      |
| **Helicobacter pylori infection** | **Observational, n = 49 anemic patients (male, female)** | Lower gastric mucosal β-carotene reported with increased H. pylori infection (though no effect on plasma β-carotene levels) | [22,275]  |
| **HIV Hyperthyroidism** | **Observational, n = 1669 women**                                           | Lower serum β-carotene levels in HIV subjects                                                           | [276]      |
|                      | **Observational, n = 36 patients**                                           | Lower serum β-carotene in subjects with hyperthyroidism compared to hypo-and euthyroidism               | [254]      |
| Factor                        | Type of study                                      | Carotenoids investigated and variability                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Low zinc status               | Intervention, $n = 12$ males                       | Supplementation with zinc (20 mg/d) improved plasma carotenoid concentration                           | [112]     |
|                               | Observational, $n = 400$ women HIV positive        | Lower serum β-carotene associated with markers of disease progression, univariate regression, $R = 0.083-0.244$ | [24]      |
|                               | Observational, $n = 1665$ men and women, healthy and diabetic | 20% lower plasma β-carotene levels in diabetes subjects compared to healthy ones                      | [277]     |
| Blood lipids, cholesterol     | Observational, $n = 400$ adults (male, female)     | Higher non-HDL cholesterol associated with lower serum carotenoids                                       | [164]     |
|                               | Observational, $n = 12,500$ (male, female)        | Higher total cholesterol and lower triglycerides associated with higher β-carotene in serum           | [272]     |
| Drug intake                   | Intervention, $n = 8$ volunteers                   | Intake of simvastatin (lipid-lowering drug), 40 mg/day for 8 weeks, reduced plasma levels of carotenoids (lycopene, α-and β-carotene) and xanthophylls (β-cryptoxanthin, lutein), by 5 and 21%, respectively | [29]      |
|                               | Intervention trial, $n = 6$ patients (1 male, 5 female) | Intake of orlistat (lipid-lowering drug) decreased levels of α-, and β-carotene in plasma          | [278]     |
|                               | Intervention trial, $n = 228$ obese subjects (male, female) | Intake of orlistat (lipid-lowering drug) decreased β-carotene levels in plasma                      | [279]     |
| Malaria                       | Observational, $n = 100$ malaria and 50 control children (boys, girls) | Lower serum concentration of all major carotenoids compared to control                              | [280]     |
| Menstrual cycle               | Intervention trial, $n = 9$ women                  | Lower plasma carotenoids during early than late follicular phase                                       | [166]     |
| Microbiota                    | Observational, $n = 25$ subjects (males, females)  | *Collinsella* spp. were reduced in subjects with atherosclerosis. These subjects had lower β-carotene in serum and the metagenome showed lower phytoene-dehydrogenase. | [133]     |
| Physical activity             | Observational, $n = 2895$ women                    | Exercising women (>1 time/week) had higher levels of α-carotene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, and lutein-zeaxanthin, by ca. 5–10%, compared to normal-weight individuals without exercise. No effect on lycopene | [26]      |
|                               | Observational, $n = 194$ men                       | Positive correlation of plasma levels of β-cryptoxanthin and lutein with physical activity, rho: 0.12 to 0.17 | [25]      |
| Race/Ethnicity                | Observational, $n = 4231$ children and adolescents (male, female) | African American children had higher β-cryptoxanthin, lutein, zeaxanthin, & lycopene serum concentrations but lower α-carotene conc. than white children (not adjusted for dietary intake) | [281]     |
|                               | Observational, $n = 285$ healthy adolescents (male, female) | African-American participants had lower serum concentrations of α-carotene, but higher conc. of lutein + zeaxanthin compared with Caucasians (not adjusted for dietary intake) | [282]     |
| Smoking                       | Observational, $n = 194$ men                       | No relation of smoking to plasma levels of lycopene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, lutein              | [25]      |
|                               | Observational, $n = 1198$ adults (male, female)    | Increased smoking related to lower plasma lycopene, α- and β-carotene, lutein, β-cryptoxanthin (ca. 10–30%), total carotenoids ca. 50% lower | [283]     |
|                               | Observational, $n = 400$ adults (male, female)     | Smoking correlated with lower serum carotenoids                                                     | [164]     |
|                               | Observational, $n = 12,500$ adults (male, female)  | Smoking correlated with lower β-carotene blood concentrations (not adjusted for dietary intake)     | [272]     |
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absorption, altered tissue distribution, turnover, and excretion as well as possible interactions of individual carotenoids on absorption and bio-activation of other carotenoids [33, 34], are only poorly understood. These factors can result in huge variability of carotenoid absorption and circulating plasma levels (Table 2). In a double tracer study [13] with Δ6-β-carotene (37 μmol), lowest AUC (area under the plasma-concentration-time curve, μmol h/L) versus highest AUC were found to be 0.01 and 30.00, respectively. Major host factors influencing carotenoid ADME patterns are likely to include:

(i) Factors influencing carotenoid release from the food matrix, and their transition from lipid droplets to mixed micelles, i.e. factors impacting bioaccessibility. This includes genes responsible for the expression of digestive enzymes (e.g. gastric lipase, cholesterol esterase, pancreatic lipase, etc.), and bile acid formation aiding in carotenoid micelleization [20, 35];

(ii) Factors altering carotenoid uptake into (or efflux out of) the intestinal epithelium. This encompasses uptake/efflux transporters such as scavenger receptor class B member 1 (SR-BI), cluster of differentiation 36 (CD36), and Niemann-Pick C1 like intracellular cholesterol transporter 1 (NPC1L1), and perhaps other ATP-binding cassette (ABC) proteins such as ABCG5/G8, or ABCA1 [31, 36], but also small intestinal surface available for absorption;

(iii) Factors contributing to intracellular cleavage, especially BCO1/2 (β-carotene oxygenase 1/2), responsible for centric/asymmetric cleavage of carotenoids, respectively, producing a variety of retinoids and potential endogenous occurring apocarotenoids [37–39];

(iv) Factors that impinge on carotenoid intracellular transport in the gut epithelium, i.e. lecithin-retinol acyltransferase (LRAT) for retinol (and perhaps other β-carotene cleavage products), and maybe intestinal fatty acid binding protein (FABP2/I-FABP);

(v) Factors altering the secretion of carotenoid-containing chylomicrons into the lymphatic system, such as apolipoprotein B 48 (APOB48), APOAIV, SAR1B (secretion associated Ras related GTPase1B), and microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTTP) [38];

(vi) Factors influencing carotenoid transport in the blood plasma/serum, such as their further distribution into lipoproteins, e.g. lipoprotein lipase (LPL), APOA-I, APOB, APOE and perhaps APOC3, and low density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) [40]; and the liver such as by hepatic lipase (LIPC);

(vii) Deposition of carotenoids in “target tissues”, e.g. the macula (lutein, zeaxanthin), influenced by SR-BI, glutathione S-transferase P1 isoform (GSTP1), STAR-related lipid transfer domain protein 3 (SStARD3), BCO1, cholesterol transporters (SR-BI, ABCA1, ABCG5/8), retinal pigment epithelial-specific protein (RPE65), elongation of very long chain fatty acids like 2 (ELOVL2), and those involved in visual pigment metabolism [41]. However, also deposition in adipocytes, involving e.g. LDLR, could play a role [42];

(viii) Any factor associated with carotenoid catabolism and excretion (in addition to BCO1/2), possibly those involving cytochrome P450 enzymes or the aryl-hydrocarbon receptor [43];

(ix) The microbiota. This may alter e.g. patterns and concentrations of secondary bile acids [44], or possibly carotenoid absorption or degradation patterns [45], though it is not sure if a significant fraction of carotenoids can be absorbed from the colon [46];

(x) Effects of individual carotenoids on the absorption, binding, transport and bioactivation of other carotenoids as well as selective absorption, binding, transport and bioactivation of individual carotenoids [33].

(xi) Any factor associated with vitamin A/retinoid storage and metabolism.

Thus, as individual responses can depend on many varying factors, it is paramount to understand these and their influence on the biological variability of carotenoid ADME.

In this review, it is aimed to highlight known host-related factors that predispose for variations in carotenoid metabolism, such as genetic factors (e.g. single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)), though additional ones (disease state, body weight, smoking, physical activity etc.) are also briefly reviewed. The manuscript structure is oriented around the metabolic path of carotenoids, from digestion (chapter 2) to intestinal absorption (chapter 3) and further transport to the liver (chapter 4) and distribution to target tissues (chapter 5) to storage and excretion related pathways (chapter 6). Searched database included Pubmed and Scopus, for all years, in English language, employing the following search terms (abstract and title) to start with: “Human* AND (lutein OR lycopene OR xanthophyll OR carotene*) AND (bioavailab* OR pharmacokinetic* OR kinetic* OR absorption OR postprandial OR metabol* OR microflora OR microb* OR biliary OR enterhohepatic*) OR chylomicron OR plasma OR tissue OR metabolism OR entero-cyte OR lipoproteins OR transporters OR Single nucleotide polymorphism* OR genetic varia* OR SNP OR catabolism OR enzyme* OR intestine) AND (intra* OR inter*) NOT (drug interaction OR in-vitro)”, though additional literature following the primary search results were surveyed.

2 Host factors influencing digestion aspects – from matrix release to bioaccessibility

2.1 General aspects and oral phase of digestion

Bioavailability of carotenoids depends on their bioaccessibility, i.e. the release from the food matrix and subsequent availability for absorption. As carotenoids are apolar, with octanol/water partition coefficients of 8–12 [47], their
Table 2. Studies investigating the variability of carotenoids in blood and target tissues, following intervention trials and observational studies

| Study design                                                                 | Carotenoid(s)                                      | Tissue/Compartment | Variability                                      | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Observational: 901 adult subjects during 4 years (male, female)              | Lycopene, lutein, α-carotene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin | Plasma conc.       | Intraindividual variance:                         | [284]     |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | Lutein/zeaxanthin: 20.7%                          |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | β-carotene: 21.0%                                |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | α -carotene: 21.9%                               |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | Lycopene: 36.0%                                  |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | β-cryptoxanthin: 27.1%                           |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | Interindividual variance:                        |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | Lutein/zeaxanthin: 70.5%                         |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | β-carotene: 70.7%                                |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | α -carotene: 67.5%                               |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | Lycopene: 61.0%                                  |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | β-cryptoxanthin: 66.6%                           |           |
| Observational: 381 adult women, 4-month intervals, 4 visits                  | Lutein                                            | Plasma conc.       | Intraindividual: 44% RSD                         | [243]     |
|                                                                               | β-carotene                                       | Plasma conc.       | Interindividual: 4% RSD                          |           |
|                                                                               | Lycopene                                         | Plasma conc.       | Interindividual: 41% RSD                         |           |
| Observational: 21 adult subjects over 1 year (male, female), 6 measurements | β-carotene                                       | Plasma conc.       | Interindividual: 100% RSD                         | [244]     |
|                                                                               | Lycopene                                         | Plasma conc.       | Interindividual: 21% RSD                         |           |
| Double stable isotope to 11 healthy men (37 μmol β-carotene)                | β-carotene                                       | Plasma AUC         | Interindividual: 137% RSD                         | [13]      |
|                                                                                                           |                                                   |                    | 300 fold differences in AUC                        |           |
| Intervention: 8 adult subjects (4 males, 4 females), 0.5 μmol/kg bw.        | β-carotene                                       | Plasma AUC         | Intraindividual: 68% RSD                         | [159]     |
| Intervention: 8 adult subjects, 0.5 μmol/kg bw.                             | Lutein                                            | Plasma AUC         | Intraindividual: 43% RSD                         |           |
| Administration of isotonically labelled lycopene (10.2 mg) to 8 subjects (4 | Lycopene                                         | Absorption % based| Interindividual: 504% RSD                         | [15]      |
|   males, 4 females)                                                          |                                                   | on plasma AUC      | for all trans-lycopene                           |           |
| Administration of lycopene (10-120 mg) in a tomato beverage (5 male adults) | Lycopene                                         | Absorption (%)     | Interindividual: 77% RSD                         | [160]     |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | for highest dose, 53% RSD                         |           |
|                                                                               |                                                   |                    | RSD for lowest dose                               |           |
| Administration of soup, juice or tablets to 6 adult males (ca. 20 mg lycopene)| Lycopene                                         | Plasma AUC         | Interindividual: <28% RSD                         | [285]     |
| Feeding trial (5 weeks, 9 mg lutein/d) to young males                        | Lutein                                            | Plasma conc.       | Interindividual: ca. 70% RSD                      | [286]     |
| Administration of tomato puree, spinach (12 mg β-carotene, 8 mg lutein), and | Lycopene, β-carotene                              | Plasma              | Interindividual: 40 % RSD                         | [147]     |
|   pills containing β-carotene and lutein (20 young females)                  |                                                   | TRL AUC            |                                               |           |
| Administration of tomato puree to 33 adult men (0.4 mg β-carotene)           | β-carotene                                       | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: 105% RSD                         | [32]      |
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Table 2. Continued

| Study design                                                                 | Carotenoid(s)          | Tissue/Compartment | Variability          | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| β-carotene in oil within a meal (120 mg), 80 males                           | β-carotene             | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: 61% RSD | [287]     |
| Administration of tomato sauce (17 mg β-carotene) to 12 adults (male, female)| β-carotene             | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: 64% RSD | [149]     |
| Administration of tomato puree, 33 adult men, 10 mg lycopene                 | Lycopene               | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: 70% RSD | [73]      |
| Administration of tomato juice to n = 18 adults (male, female). Ca. 22 mg lycopene | Lycopene               | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: <67% RSD | [288]     |
| Administration of tomato sauce to 12 adults (male, female). Ca. 47 mg lycopene | Lycopene               | TRL AUC            | Interindividual fractional absorption: 2.4% (RSD: 83%) | [148]     |
| Administration of tomato preparations to 30 adult men, 25 mg lycopene       | Lycopene               | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: 96% RSD for tomato paste | [289]     |
| Administration of supplement(s) or tomato puree (tp) to 39 healthy men, ca. 5 mg lutein | Lutein                | TRL AUC            | Interindividual: RSD of 75% and 137% for s and tp, respectively | [14]      |
| Administration of salad and avocado oil to n = 11 healthy subjects (male, female). 12 mg β-carotene, 6 mg lutein, 7 mg α-carotene | Lutein               | TRL AUC             | Interindividual RSD: 54% | [290]     |
| Administration of tomato puree, carrots, spinach, intragastrically to 10 adult males. 10 mg of each carotenoid | Lycopene               | Duodenum, micellar phase | Interindividual RSD: 32% | [66]      |
| Lycopene/Lutein/β-carotene                                                  | Duodenum, micellar phase | Interindividual RSD: 32% | [66]      |
| Observational, 20 ceased subjects (male, female), 0.4 months-86 years of age| Lycopene               | Liver              | Interindividual RSD: 123% | [173]     |
| β-carotene                                                                  | 124%                   |                    |                      |           |
| α-carotene                                                                  | 149%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Lutein                                                                      | 123%                   |                    |                      |           |
| β-cryptoxanthin                                                             | 243%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Observational, 15 ceased adults (male, female), 0.4 months-86 years of age  | Lycopene               | Kidney             | Interindividual RSD: 100% | [173]     |
| β-carotene                                                                  | 132%                   |                    |                      |           |
| α-carotene                                                                  | 132%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Lutein                                                                      | 234%                   |                    |                      |           |
| β-cryptoxanthin                                                             | 234%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Observational, 13 ceased adults (male, female), 0.4 months-86 years of age  | Lycopene               | Lung               | Interindividual RSD: 196% RSD | [173]     |
| β-carotene                                                                  | 125%                   |                    |                      |           |
| α-carotene                                                                  | 117%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Lutein                                                                      | 136%                   |                    |                      |           |
| β-cryptoxanthin                                                             | 180%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Intervention: 30 mg β-carotene/d for 43 days in patients with adenomatous polyps (n = 7, male, female) | Lutein/zeaxanthin       | Colon              | Interindividual RSD: 71% | [291]     |
| β-cryptoxanthin                                                             | 126%                   |                    |                      |           |
| Lycopene                                                                     | 68%                    |                    |                      |           |
| α-carotene                                                                  | 76%                    |                    |                      |           |
| β-carotene                                                                  | 66%                    |                    |                      |           |

Incorporation into mixed micelles is necessary prior to their cellular uptake, which is assumed to take place predominantly in the small intestine. Mastication during oral digestion results in enhanced surface area and the breakdown into smaller particles. In addition, saliva appears to contain some lipase activity [48], though not necessarily lingual lipase (a triacylglycerol-lipase, EC 3.1.1.3) [49, 50] (Table 3). As exposure in the oral cavity is rather short (usually less than 1 min), the enzymatic effect on carotenoid bioavailability is presumably small, though smaller particle size has been related to improved carotenoid bioavailability [51]. To our knowledge, no mutagenesis on or
Table 3. Host factors influencing carotenoid release from food matrix and bioaccessibility

| Phase of digestion | Factor | Study description | Carotenoids investigated | Possible role in bioavailability | Reference |
|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Oral               | Lingual lipase, other lipase | No data available | n/n | Low | n/n |
|                   | α-amylase | No data available | n/n | Low | n/n |
|                   | Non-dietary phospholipids/mucin | No data available | n/n | Low compared to dietary phospholipids | n/n |
|                   | Gastric lipase (GL) | GL from fungi (Rhizopus oryzae), pH optimum 5–9, in-vitro | β-carotene | No effect of gastric lipase detected | [64] |
|                   | Pepsin | No effect in in vitro trials | Lutein, β-carotene, lycopene | Presumable low effect in most carotenoid rich foods | [57,58] |
|                   | Pepsin | Tomato puree in vitro | Lycopene | Enhancing effect on lycopene micellization | [59] |
|                   | pH | Digestion of spinach, in vitro | β-carotene, lutein | Presumably negligible\(^b\), though extreme pH may facilitate degradation | [55,292] |
| Duodenum           | Pancreatic lipase | Digestion of spinach, in vitro | β-carotene, lutein, zeaxanthin | Low micellization (<5% original conc.) without pancreatin\(^b\) | [57] |
|                   | | Digestion of carrots+spinach+tomato, in vitro | Total carotenoids | Micellization drop to 50% without pancreatin | [58] |
|                   | Pancreatic amylase | Intake of amylase inhibitor ascorbate reduced vit. A levels in blood | Only vit. A | Low | [293] |
|                   | Pancreatic pro tease, PLRP2 | No data available | n/n | Low | n/n |
|                   | Pancreatic colipase | Tomato puree, in vitro digestion | Lycopene | Reduced intestinal recovery without colipase, no effect on micellization | [59] |
|                   | Carboxyl-esterase | Digestion of wolfberry, pepper, squash in vitro | Zeaxanthin-esters | Enhanced xanthophyll bioavailability | [77] |
|                   | Bile salts | Digestion of spinach, in vitro | β-carotene, lutein, zeaxanthin | Micellization drop to 30% original conc. without bile salts | [57] |
|                   | Bile salts | Digestion of carrots+spinach+tomato, in vitro | Total carotenoids | Low micellization (<2% original conc.) without bile extract | [58] |
| Colon              | Microbiota | Lower circulating carotenoids in subjects with higher Collinsella and atherosclerosis | β-carotene | Unclear | [133] |
|                   | Microbiota | Higher liver storage of α-and β-carotene in germ-free rats | α-, β-carotene | Prevention of breakdown products? Transit time? Bile-salts? | [45] |

n/n: no data available.

a) though containing also other enzymes, pancreatic lipase is presumably the enzyme most important for carotenoid digestion.
b) except for epoxy-carotenoids (violaxanthin, neoxanthin).

Polymorphisms with effects on oral lipases and lipid digestion has been reported to date.

As salivary alpha-amylase (EC 3.2.1.1) participates in the break-down of starch, food matrices rich in both starch and carotenoids, such as sweet potato, may be influenced by alterations in alpha-amylase levels. It has been reported that in populations traditionally exposed to high levels of starch, more copies of the salivary amylase gene (AMY1) and higher enzyme levels were found [52], though its influence on the digestion of carotenoids has never been investigated.
2.2 Gastric phase of digestion

In the stomach, the primary digestion enzymes include pepsin (3.4.23.1) and gastric lipase (3.1.1.3), though orally secreted lipases may still be active. In addition, a small amount of phospholipids [53] is released from the mucus layer [54], aiding in the emulsification of lipophilic constituents. The pH may have an influence, as low pH can result in the degradation of epoxy-carotenoids (e.g., violaxanthin, neoxanthin), resulting in epoxide-furanoid transitions [55]. Human gastric pH is influenced mostly by meal, with a complex meal increasing the pH from initially 2 to 3–5, though interindividual differences in fasting pH exist [35].

A few native foods are rich in both proteins and carotenoids, including egg yolk, salmon, and some types of cheese, and protein digestion could contribute to the release of carotenoids. In addition, (partly) digested proteins may aid in emulsifying carotenoids [51]. Expression of pepsin has been reported to depend on the pepsinogen genes PGA3, PGA4, PGA5, and progastricsin (PGC) [56]. However, varying the amount of pepsin in in vitro trials did not appear to have measurable effects on carotenoid bioaccessibility from leafy vegetables [57], and at least for such and similar sources, variations in pepsin are not expected to contribute to plasma level variability. Similarly, using a test meal composed of meat, carrots, spinach and tomato paste, gastric digestion (in vitro) had no significant influence on carotenoid bioaccessibility [58], suggesting rather small effects on carotenoid bioavailability at this step, though in these trials, gastric lipase was not involved. By contrast, Periago et al. [59] reported a positive effect of pepsin on lycopene micellization from a puree in vitro. It is possible that for this very apolar carotenoid, protein degradation products added to the emulsifying effect, or aided in matrix breakdown.

The genes related to the production and secretion of mucus containing phospholipids, which could aid in the emulsification process, are not clearly identified. Concentration variations of phospholipids between 0.03 and 0.6 mM have been reported, [60] and may be expected to have some influence on carotenoid micellization. However, their influence and strengths of effect are unknown and would also be superseded by dietary phospholipids, which are expected to play a more important role. This would be true especially following ingestion of lipid-rich meals (a mean intake of 2–8 g/d of phosphatidylcholine has been reported [61], which would translate into ca. 8 mM (if taken within 1 out of 3 major meals per day, and dissolved in 1 L gastric fluid).

Gastric lipase, encoded by the LIPF (lipase F, gastric type) gene [62] and secreted by gastric chief cells, can digest up to 25% of the ingested lipids [35]. It thus could be expected to influence the accumulation of carotenoids in lipid droplets, and their degradation, important for the following transition of carotenoids from lipid droplets to mixed micelles. This occurs mostly in the small intestine. Unfortunately, gastric lipase cannot, at present, be studied in vitro, due to the unavailability of human gastric lipase. Other sources, such as those from fungi, have different cleavage kinetics, differing in their pH optimum and also the type sequence of cleavage [63]. Rabbit lipase would be an interesting option, but is not commercially available. Some, such as lipase from the fungus Rhizopus oryzae have been tested (cleavage optimum pH 5–9), though no significant improvement in bioaccessibility was found [64].

2.3 Small intestinal phase of digestion

The most crucial step influencing carotenoid bioaccessibility is the small intestinal phase. Here, micellization occurs or is completed, following the secretion of bile salts, in addition to pancreatic lipase, and additional enzymes (pancreatic amylase, nucleosidases, trypsinogen, chymotrypsinogen, carboxypeptidase, elastases, phospholipases, and carboxyl ester lipase). Bile salts aid in the emulsification process and formation and stability of the mixed micelles, while pancreatic lipase produces free fatty acids and monoglycerides, fostering emulsification. Thus, it can be expected that modifications of both bile-acid and pancreatic lipase secretions have strong effects on the micellization of carotenoids, a pre-requisite for their diffusion to the unstirred water layer prior to absorption [65]. This has been confirmed by several in vitro studies, where micellization and resulting bioaccessibility was very much compromised when either bile salts or pancreatic lipase were missing. Without bile, bioaccessibility of total carotenoids fell to 30%, and without pancreatic lipase or both, to below 5% of their original value [57]. Similar strong effect were found by Garret et al. [58], where total carotenoid micellization dropped below 5% of the original values without bile salts. The effect of pancreatin was less drastic (reduction by approximately 50%), possibly due to differences between test meals. In order to study factors influencing lycopene bioaccessibility, tomato puree was digested under various conditions, testing among other factors gastric pH, gastric digestion time, pepsin concentration, intestinal pH, pancreatin concentration, bile salt concentration, colipase addition and intestinal digestion time [59]. It was found that only pepsin positively influenced micellization, while olive oil had a slightly negative effect, likely due to entrapment of lycopene by non-hydrolysed olive oil.

Following intragastric in vivo administration of carotenoid rich meals, duodenal fluid was aspirated, and micellization determined [66]. Variability between subjects’ micellization efficacy (fractional bioaccessibility) was considerably lower compared to plasma or triacylglycerol-rich lipoprotein (TRL) carotenoid variability following interventions, being 20, 23, and 32%, respectively for β-carotene, lutein and lycopene, vs. typically 50–80% for plasma, though variations between studies can be considerable (Table 3). This may point out that, although enzyme or bile salt concentrations surely play a role in interindividual variation, an additional and about equal portion of variability is added during and after absorption.
Bile acid production by the liver is governed by a variety of genes, involving for instance bile acid synthetic enzyme (CYP7A1), activators of CYP7A1 expression such as HNF4α (hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha, encoded by HNF4A), and PGC1α (encoded by PPARGC1A), repressors of CYP7A1 (farnesoid X receptor (FXR, encoded by NR1H4)), short heterodimer partner (SHP, encoded by NR0B2). G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS 2, encoded by GPS2), pregnane X receptor (PXR, encoded by NR112), fibroblast growth factor 19 (FGF19; encoded by FGF19), fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFR4; encoded by FGFR4), G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS 2, encoded by GPS2), klotho B (encoded by KLβ), and forkhead box O1 (FOXO1; encoded by FOXO1) [67], however, their role in carotenoid absorption and tissue variability has not been examined.

At least three lipases are secreted from the pancreas, including pancreatic triglyceride lipase (encoded by PN-LIP), which is the most abundant lipase (producing sn2-monoacylglycerol and free fatty acids), but also two homologues, pancreatic lipase-related proteins 1 (not apparently active regarding lipolysis) and 2 (PLRP1 and PLRP2) [68]. PLRP2 possesses a broader substrate specificity, also cleaving, unlike PN-LIP, phospholipids and galactolipids. The frequency of a SNP in the PLRP2 gene (rs4751995) has been associated with populations historically consuming a diet rich in cereals, and may have repercussions on lipid digestion [69].

Though pancreatic triglyceride lipase activity is usually reduced by bile-salts, this effect is offset by colipase, also secreted by the pancreas [70]. Formation of colipase preprotein is regulated by the CLPS gene, and mice deficient for CLPS showed lower survival and weight gain on a high-fat diet, suggesting the inability to cope with lipids on a high-fat diet [71]. A polymorphism for the gene encoding procolipase has been related to lipid metabolism and diabetes risk [72], and would be an interesting candidate also regarding carotenoid metabolism. In a recent study, a SNP in PN-LIP (rs11197742) was found in a combination of SNPs associated with chylomicron secretion of lycopene [73], although its contribution was rather low and did not reach statistical significance when investigated individually (p = 0.086). Several SNPs in PN-LIP have been reported in children, and the latter was related to altered plasma lipoprotein and total cholesterol concentrations [74], as well as with lycopene bioavailability (Table 4).

Carboxyl-ester lipase (CEL), also termed cholesterol-esterase, typically cleaves cholesterol esters in the gut, and its ability to cleave carotenoid esters, such as of lutein, present in many leafy vegetables, has been controversially discussed [75]. At least five types of CEL are known, though human carboxylesterases CES1 and CES2 may play the most important role during digestion [76]. These are situated on the gut mucosa (brush border enzymes), and have shown to cleave carotenoid esters [77]. Its origin (pancreatic vs. enterocyte) remains somewhat unclear. However, this cleavage is expected to influence bioavailability, as the more apolar esters are characterized by lower micellization efficiency and absorption than the cleaved carotenoids [78]. In fact, in plasma and circulating chylomicrons, free xanthophylls are almost exclusively found, suggesting that cleavage is in fact quite complete [79], though reduced absorption of the esters could play a role. A number of SNPs have been described in humans for CES1 and CES2 [80], though not in relation to carotenoid or lipophilic phytochemical/micronutrient metabolism.

Certain diseases such as pancreatitis may also result in lower secretion of digestion enzymes [81]. Also during older age reduction of lipid absorption has been reported, perhaps also due to reduced epithelial surface [82], which may thus be expected to correlate with lower carotenoid absorption, as suggested by some, though not all studies (Table 1).

### 3 Host factors determining aspects of intestinal absorption

#### 3.1 Factors influencing cellular uptake and cleavage

Following their extraction from the food matrix and incorporation, at least in part, into mixed micelles, carotenoids are taken up by enterocytes. This process is not only passive, as previously thought [83], and several apical membrane proteins have been shown to facilitate carotenoid uptake [36]. SR-BI, encoded by SCARB1, is involved in the uptake of β-carotene [84,85], lutein [86] and lycopene [87]. CD36 facilitates β-carotene [84] uptake and could facilitate lycopene uptake [88], while NPC1L1 participates in the uptake of lutein [89]. All of these proteins have SNPs in their encoding genes associated with carotenoid plasma concentrations (Table 4), and their contribution to carotenoid uptake has been confirmed in cellular models (e.g. human Caco-2 cell line), but also in models employing transfected kidney (HEK) cells. After enterocyte uptake, carotenoids can be metabolized by BCO1 [90] and BCO2 [39]. BCO1 catalyses the oxidative cleavage of provitamin A carotenoids (chiefly β-carotene, α-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin), apo-carotenals, and lycopene, but not that of lutein [91]. BCO1 is presumably the main cleaving-enzyme for β-carotene [92]. Lycopene was suggested to be predominantly cleaved by BCO2 [93], while recently lycopene cleavage by BCO1 was also reported [94]. However, until now no lycopene derived BCO1-products were determined [95,96] and were only postulated [97,98]. BCO2 has also been shown to be involved in lutein metabolism [99]. Most β-carotene conversion (>70%) is thought to occur in the intestine; by using stable isotope techniques it was estimated that about 20–30% occurs after absorption [100], contributing to overall vitamin A homeostasis. In addition, a controlled temporal and spatial conversion of carotenoids to bioactive retinoids is also of physiological importance, indicated by a specific pattern of BCO1 expression in various tissues [101]. This expression is linked to RAR-mediated signaling [39,102].

The involvement of several proteins in the intestinal absorption of carotenoids (apical uptake) suggests that variations in the genes encoding these proteins could modulate carotenoid absorption efficiency. This has been confirmed in an association study by Borel et al. [103] where the influence
Table 4. List of SNPs known, or speculated, to influence carotenoid metabolism

| Aspect of bioavailability | Gene | SNP | Carotenoid/other | Function | Reference |
|--------------------------|------|-----|------------------|----------|-----------|
| Digestion                | PNLIP| rs11197742 | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Pancreatic lipase | [73] |
|                          |      | 96A/C<sup>o</sup> exon 3 | | | [74] |
|                          |      | 486C/T exon 6 | | | [74] |
|                          | CLPS | 1359C/T exon 13 | Plasma lipoproteins | | [74] |
|                          |      | Arg92Cys (rs370885215) | Cholesterol, apolipoproteins<sup>d</sup> | Colipase | [72] |
| Absorption               | LIPF | unknown | unknown | Gastric lipase | [46] |
|                          |      | rs11057820 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [294] |
|                          |      | rs10773109 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [294] |
|                          |      | rs11057830 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [294] |
|                          |      | rs11608336 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [294] |
|                          |      | rs12581963 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [294] |
|                          |      | rs10846744 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [295] |
|                          |      | rs11057841 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [294] |
|                          |      | rs61932577 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [84] |
|                          |      | rs5888 | Lutein<sup>d</sup> | | [84] |
|                          | CD36 | rs4112274 | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Transporter | [73] |
|                          |      | rs1524598 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [295] |
|                          |      | rs1761667 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs13230419 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs1761667 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs1984112 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs1761667 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs7755 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs1984112 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs1761667 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs1527479 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          | NPC1L1| rs17725246 | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Transporter | [73] |
|                          |      | rs217430 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [295] |
|                          |      | rs217428 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs17656652 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs217434 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          | ABCG5| rs2278257 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | Transporter | [32] |
|                          |      | rs10205816 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [295] |
|                          | ABCG8| rs13405698 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [295] |
|                          |      | rs4953028 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [295] |
|                          |      | rs4148211 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs4148217 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          |      | rs6544718 | Lutein<sup>zeax</sup>d<sup>≤</sup> | | [215] |
|                          | ABCG2| rs17731631 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | Transporter | [14] |
|                          |      | rs6532059 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          |      | rs1871744 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          | ABCA1| rs2791952 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | Transporter | [32, 73] |
|                          |      | rs1331924 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [73] |
|                          |      | rs10991408 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [32] |
|                          |      | rs3887137 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [32, 73] |
|                          |      | rs390253 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [73] |
|                          |      | rs4149316 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          |      | rs4149299 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          |      | rs9919066 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          |      | rs2020926 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          |      | rs2274873 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
|                          |      | rs1331924 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | | [14] |
| Aspect of bioavailability | Gene | SNP                  | Carotenoid/other | Function                        | Reference |
|---------------------------|------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Intracellular cleavage    | ABCB1| rs10248420           | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [73]      |
|                           |      | rs10280101           | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [73]      |
|                           | ISX<sup>(g)</sup> | rs137252          | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  | Regulates BCO1                  | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs5749706            | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  |                                 | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs137269             | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  |                                 | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs137238             | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  |                                 | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs5755368            | β-carotene<sup>c,l</sup>, lutein<sup>c</sup> | [14, 32] |
|                           |      | rs202313             | β-carotene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [32]      |
|                           |      | rs16994824           | β-carotene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [32]      |
|                           |      | rs2056983            | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [73]      |
|                           |       | rs7196470            | β-carotene<sup>c</sup> | Cleavage enzyme                | [32]      |
| Intracellular transport (gut epithelium) and other functions | BCO1 | rs4889286           | β-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs12934922           | β-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs4889293            | α-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs4889286            | α-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs12918164           | β-cryptoxanthin<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs4889293            | β-cryptoxanthin<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs56389940           | Lutein/zeaxanthin<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs10048138           | Lutein/zeaxanthin<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [297]     |
|                           |      | rs7501331            | Lutein<sup>(d,e)</sup> |                                 | [215]     |
|                           |      | rs12934922           | β-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [298]     |
|                           |      | rs7501331            | β-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [298]     |
|                           |      | rs12934922           | β-carotene<sup>d</sup> |                                 | [298]     |
|                           | BCO2 | rs12796114           | Association with AMD | Cleavage enzyme                | [295]     |
|                           |      | rs2250417            | Association with AMD |                                 | [295]     |
|                           |       | rs9468304            | β-carotene<sup>d</sup>, lutein<sup>d</sup> | Fatty acid elongase, precursor membrane | [14, 32] |
| Blood, liver metabolism, lipoprotein distribution | ELOVL2 | rs3798709           | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Lipids                          | [73]      |
|                           |      | rs911196             | β-carotene<sup>c</sup>, lutein<sup>c</sup>, lycopene<sup>c</sup> | [14, 32, 73] |
|                           |      | rs17006621           | Lutein<sup>c</sup>, lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Sterol binding                | [73]      |
|                           |      | IFABP-Thr            | Lycopene<sup>d</sup> | Fatty acid transport            | [103]     |
|                           | SLC27A6 | rs10053477         | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Fatty acid transport            | [73]      |
| Chylomicron secretion     | MTP  | rs17029213           | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  | Triglyceride                    | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs17029173           | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> | Transporter                     | [73]      |
|                           |      | rs1032355            | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [73]      |
|                           |      | rs745075             | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [73]      |
|                           | Blood, liver metabolism, lipoprotein distribution | LPL  | rs10096561           | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  |                                 | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs1441778            | Lutein<sup>c</sup>  |                                 | [14]      |
|                           |      | rs7841189            | Lycopene<sup>c</sup> |                                 | [73]      |
| Aspect of bioavailability | Gene/ SNP | Carotenoid/other | Function | Reference |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|
|                           | rs7005359 | Lycopene c)     |          | [73]      |
|                           | rs17482753| Lycopene c)     |          | [73]      |
|                           | X447 allele | Lutein, β-carotene, α-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin b) |          | [162]     |
| APOA1                     | rs2070665 | Lutein f)       | Protein of HDL | [14]     |
| APOA4                     | Ser-347   | Lycopene  d)    | Chylomicron protein | [103] |
| APOE                      | ε4        | AMD             | Chylomicron protein | [295] |
| APOB                      | rs1042031 | β-carotene c), lycopene c) | Protein of LDL, VLDL, chylomicrons | [32,73] |
|                           | rs4643493 | β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | rs35364714| β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | rs2854725 | Lutein  f)      |          | [14]      |
|                           | 516       | β-carotene d)   |          | [103]     |
|                           | 516       | Lycopene d)     |          | [103]     |
| LDLR                      | rs6511720 | Tocopherol      | Lipoprotein receptor | [104] |
| LIPC                      | rs1869138 | β-carotene c)   | Hepatic lipase | [32]     |
|                           | rs11857380| β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | rs12185072| β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | rs12591216| Lutein  f)      |          | [14]      |
|                           | rs12593880| Lutein  f)      |          | [14]      |
|                           | rs8035357 | Lycopene e)     |          | [73]      |
|                           | rs12914035| Lycopene e)     |          | [73]      |
|                           | rs493258  | Zeaxanthin d)   |          | [299]     |
|                           | rs493258  | Lutein  f)      |          | [299]     |
|                           | HL C-480T | α,β-carotene    |          | [103]     |
| CYP26B1                   | rs2241057 | Retinol         | Degradation of retinol | [182] |
| CETP                      | rs708272  | Lutein/zeaxanthin d) | Cholesteryl and perhaps carotenoids ester transfer | [295] |
| Tissue incorporation      | GSTP1     | Pi (isoform)    | Uptake into retina | [300] |
|                           | STARD3    | rs9892427       | Lutein/zeaxanthin d) | Lipid transfer, binding to retina | [295] |
|                           | RPE65     | rs12139131      | β-carotene c) | [32] |
|                           | rs4926340 | β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | rs1924546 | Lutein f)       |          | [14]      |
|                           | rs12744671| Lutein/zeaxanthin d) |          | [295] |
| Other functions           | SOD2      | rs2501175       | β-carotene c) | Antioxidant enzyme | [32] |
|                           | COBLL1    | rs9365046       | Lycopene e) |          | [73] |
|                           | rs3769877 | Lutein f)       | Insulin metabolism | [14] |
|                           | CXCL8     | rs1247620       | β-carotene c) | IL-8 precursor | [32] |
|                           | rs1356584 | β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | rs6834586 | β-carotene c)   |          | [32]      |
|                           | TCF7L2    | rs946199        | β-carotene c) | Transcription factor related to diabetes | [32] |
|                           | PKD1L2    | rs8043708       | β-carotene c) | Related to pore channels? | [32] |
|                           | rs12596941| Lutein f)       | Ion channel? | [14] |
|                           | rs935933  | Lycopene c)     |          | [73]      |
of candidate SNPs of genes involved in lipid metabolism on the fasting blood concentration of several carotenoids was investigated. More specifically, SNPs in SCARBI were associated with β-carotene but not with lycopene concentrations. These SNPs explained differences in β-carotene plasma concentrations by up to 50%. Several additional SNPs have meanwhile been identified, including several in BCO1 in genomewide association studies [31, 104, 105]. Three recent studies have reported associations of combinations of SNPs involved in interindividual variability of the bioavailability of lutein [14], lycopene [73] and β-carotene [32], employing a candidate gene approach in postprandial studies. In these, plasma-TRL carotenoids, representing newly absorbed carotenoids, were measured in healthy male adults. These combinations were associated with 73, 72, and 69% of the interindividual variability of the bioavailability of lutein, lycopene and β-carotene, respectively. While some SNPs were located in genes expressed in other tissues or were closely involved in plasma-TRL metabolism, others were involved with carotenoid transport or metabolism at the enterocyte level. These included ABCA1, ABCG5, BCMO1, CD36, ELOVL2, and ISX (intestine specific homeobox). Interestingly, one SNP in ELOVL2 (rs9468304) was very strongly associated with all three phenotypes, possibly due to the inhibitory effect of eicosapentaenoic acid, which is further elongated to docosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid by ELOVL2, on carotenoid absorption, as has been shown with β-carotene [106].

3.2 Influence of nutritional status

Host vitamin A status has been linked with β-carotene absorption variability. Lobo et al. [107] demonstrated that the intestinal transcription factor ISX acts as a repressor of SCARBI and BCO1 expression following retinoic acid induction. This mechanism is thought to serve as a negative feedback loop regulating retinal and further retinoic acid, retinyl esters and retinol status through modulation of provitamin A carotenoid absorption and cleavage efficiencies. Interestingly, the same team has reported the existence of an SNP in the ISX binding site in the BCO1 promoter (rs6564851) which was associated with decreased conversion rates by 50% and increased fasting blood levels of β-carotene [108].

Though the mechanisms are not fully elucidated, low iron status was suggested to interact with retinol homeostasis, resulting in decreased mobilization of liver vitamin A and thus low serum concentrations [109], possibly involving altered BCO1 activity [110]. Also a low zinc status appears to reduce β-carotene absorption from the gut [111], perhaps as phospholipase A2 can bind zinc and may be more active. These effects were confirmed in human studies, where supplementation with iron and zinc following a vitamin A deficient diet improved retinol and carotenoid plasma appearance, respectively [112]. Also low protein status appears to hinder conversion of β-carotene to vitamin A, contributing to carotenoid variability [113].

BCO1 and BCO2 were also described to be controlled by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) – retinoid X receptor (RXR) mediated signaling [114, 115]. The endogenous ligands of the PPARs α, β/δ and γ are ranging from free fatty acids to various eicosanoids such as prostaglandins, leukotrienes and mono-hydroxylated fatty acids [116]. The RXR was described to be activated by 9-cis-retinoic acid (9CRA) [117], as well as the newly found endogenous relevant ligand 9-cis-13, 14-dihydro-retinoic acid/9CDHRA [118]. It is debated whether 9CRA occurs endogenously [119]. Currently, 9CRA is considered mainly as a ligand that is present after high non-physiological and non-nutritional relevant vitamin A intake, leaving 9CDHRA as the principal endogenous and the nutritional relevant RXR ligand. PPAR ligands are mainly food derived [116], while the nutritional precursors of the endogenous RXR ligand 9CDHRA were not yet identified. The PPAR-regulatory pathway of BCO1/2 expression and further carotenoid bioactivation is thus controlled by the

| Aspect of bioavailability | Gene | SNP | Carotenoid/other | Function | Reference |
|--------------------------|------|-----|-----------------|----------|----------|
|                          | MC4R | rs11873337 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | Obesity | [14] |
|                          | IRS1 | rs2178704 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | Signal transduction | [14] |
|                          | SETD7 | rs1316328 | Lutein<sup>e</sup> | Insulin metabolism, inflammation | [14] |
|                          |      | rs7680948 | Lycopene<sup>d</sup> |           | [296] |

a) base-pairs: A: adenine, C: cytosine, T: thymine, G: guanine.
b) in animals, not humans.
c) Measured by chylomicron response.
d) Related to AMD, Measured as macula pigment optical density (MPOD).
e) also involved in uptake in other tissues.
f) Intestine Specific Homeobox.
g) Question mark indicating assumed influence.

Table 4. Continued
amount and fractional distribution of lipids present in the food matrix. In addition to genomic regulation of BCO1/2 expression, carotenoid cleavage can also be modulated by inhibitory effects of lutein on β-carotene cleavage [120]. This indicated that not just the individual carotenoid concentration is of relevance to bioactivation towards retinoic acid and further transcriptomic regulation, but also the concentration of carotenoids inhibiting this metabolic step, as well as their concentration relative to β-carotene. The consequences of BCO1/2 mediated regulation of retinoic acid synthesis and further transcriptional signaling by additional factors and its consequences for our health will be discussed later (chapter 7), highlighting the special importance of BCO1/2 on explaining interindividual variability, likely related to the beneficial health effects of carotenoids.

3.3 Colonic fermentation as an interindividual source

To date, it is unclear to what extent the microbiota contributes to carotenoid metabolism, and whether carotenoids/their metabolites can be taken up in the colon. It is known that a large proportion of carotenoids reaches the colon, as only 5–50% are absorbed in the small intestine. It is also known that carotenoids are partly bioaccessible in the colon [121]. However, only 10–50% of the carotenoids remain intact after fermentation, while the remainder reacts to unknown compounds [121–123]. This was supported by carotenoid standards as the only fermentation source in vitro, as >98% losses for β-carotene and zeaxanthin were reported [123].

Very little is known on carotenoid interaction with the microbiota [124]. Unlike polyphenols, which are heavily metabolized, no carotenoid degradation products/bacterial metabolites have been identified. In general, bacteria in the colon are able to deglycosylate, hydrolyse, deglucuronidate, demethylate, and cause ring-fission in some molecules, among other [46, 125]. However, in germ-free rats, higher carotenoid utilization (of α- and β-carotene) as measured by their liver levels, has been reported compared to rats with intact microbiota [45]. It was suggested that indirect effects, such as decreased intestinal transit time and an altered bile pool in the absence of bacteria could have played a role, though a reduced level of bacterial breakdown products and more remaining native compounds could have been involved. In support of a potential absorption of carotenoids in the colon, a study in mice found BCO1 to be expressed in many cells including mucosal, glandular cells in the stomach, small intestine, and the colon [126]. BCO2 is known to be expressed in almost all cell types known to express BCO1. However, BCO2 was not found in the colon, suggesting that only symmetric cleavage of carotenoids may happen in the mucosal cells in the colon.

In a previous study, β-carotene uptake into human exfoliated epithelial cells of the colon, separated from feces, has been demonstrated [127]. Following the consumption of β-carotene rich spirulina, the concentration of β-carotene in the cells increased approximately 3-fold, demonstrating colonic cellular presence. However, this may have occurred not necessarily through direct cellular uptake via colonic crypts, as carotenoids could have been absorbed via the small intestine and then distributed via the circulatory system to the colonic crypts. Furthermore, the same constituents known to enhance carotenoid bioavailability, namely bile salts, emulsifiers such as lecithin [128, 129], enhanced colonic cellular uptake. Though carotenoids can be taken up by colonic derived Caco-2 cells, direct colonic uptake is not easy to prove, and studies so far have not suggested a strong correlation between dietary intake of carotenoids and colon concentrations [130]. Oshima et al. [131] investigated colonic absorption and distribution of lycopene in rats with or without a colostomy at mid colon that diverted the fecal stream but without resection of the distal colon. In rats given intragastric treatment, lycopene was found in the mucosa in the proximal colon and in the distal colon, also of the colostomized rats, whose distal colon was isolated from the faecal stream, indicating that lycopene may be transported via the blood into the colon. Moreover, lycopene reached the liver to an appreciable extent even when administered into the isolated distal colon, indicating that absorption is possible from the distal colon in rats.

Taken together, these results indicate that carotenoid absorption from the colon could be relevant and contribute to interindividual variation in carotenoid bioavailability, depending on the food matrix and microbiota. Furthermore, as faecal transplants have shown to be able to trigger obesity, at least in animal models [132], and obese subjects having generally lower concentrations of circulating carotenoids (Table 1), a potential direct or indirect link between the microbiota and carotenoid tissue levels may exist. In a study with atherosclerotic subjects, patients showed a metagenome with reduced phytoene-dehydrogenase and lower β-carotene serum levels compared to healthy controls, which was associated with a higher level of Collinsella spp. in diseased subjects [133], highlighting the potential role of the microbiota.

3.4 Diseases and medical intervention effecting the intestine and colon

Any condition reducing the intestinal mucosal surface area can be expected to reduce carotenoid absorption. As most studies do not directly measure carotenoid absorption efficiency but rather look at blood carotenoid levels (or a plasma fraction), it is important to distinguish between direct effects on carotenoid absorption (i.e. through reduced mucosal surface area or limited transport capacity) and indirect effects (through dietary adaptations, e.g. high fiber or low fat diet). This is usually achieved by controlling for carotenoid dietary intake.
A study with 20 Crohn’s disease patients reported lower fasting blood carotenoid concentrations, independent of dietary intake [134], suggesting that malabsorption affected carotenoid uptake, though increased turnover rate and colonic losses via e.g. bleeding could not be excluded. Similar results were obtained by Geerling et al. [135] in a study with 32 Crohn’s disease patients and Gensler et al. [136] with 24 patients. Crohn’s disease usually affects the ileum but only three of the 20 patients in the study had ileal inflammation, indicating the importance of the colonic mucosal integrity for carotenoid absorption. Patients undergoing bariatric surgery (Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and biliopancreatic diversion) also displayed lower blood carotenoid levels [137]. Since fruit and vegetable consumption was apparently normal, the effect was attributed to malabsorption due to reduced mucosal surface area and also due to limited capacity of transport related to decreased lipoprotein concentration. Also reduced gastric digestion (via gastric lipase, or mechanic dispersion), could have played a role, as could have biliopancreatic diversion, affecting bile and pancreatic enzyme concentrations in the gut. In another study, subjects with Celiac disease and Crohn’s disease (n = 22) showed significantly 37% decreased levels of macular carotenoids compared to controls (n = 25 [138]. Short bowel syndrome, usually due to large resections of the small intestine to treat pathologies such as Crohn’s disease or gastrointestinal tumors, have also been associated with carotenoid malabsorption. Edes et al. [139] reported undetectable β-carotene blood levels following supplementation, despite adequate fat absorption, in a patient with extensive small intestinal resection (serum vitamin A levels appeared normal). Perhaps carotenoid absorption occurred in a more limited section of the intestine, or absorbed β-carotene was fully converted to vitamin A. Luo et al. [140] reported no increase in blood carotenoid levels in subjects with short bowel syndrome undergoing intestinal rehabilitation, despite a 12-week-long supplementation with β-carotene, lutein and lycopene. This was attributed to low fat absorption (about 30 versus >95% in healthy subjects) in these patients. However, no estimates of the contribution of the colon to the observed differences in absorption efficiencies were reported. Therefore, it is uncertain if it is the disease affecting the lower gut, the limited length of residual ileum, the presence or absence of the colon, the patient’s lifestyle, or a combination that results in low plasma carotenoids. Similar low levels were observed in 63 patients with total gastrectomy [141], possibly due to duodenal bypass and short interposition of a small intestine loop.

Intestinal parasites and bacterial overgrowth can also damage mucosal cells and result in increased permeability and decreased absorption of nutrients. In Indonesian children receiving red sweet potato, serum retinol concentrations increased to a greater extent when children infected with intestinal helminths were dewormed, than when the intensity of infection was high [142], though the effect may have been also due to improved fat absorption. In tropical countries, also enteropathies, resulting in inflamed epithelium and reduced surface available for absorption, are likely to contribute to low carotenoid and vitamin A status [143].

4 Host factors influencing intracellular transport and transport to the liver

4.1 Intracellular transport within the enterocyte

After their uptake at the apical side of the enterocyte by membrane proteins, which are involved in the uptake of other liposoluble micronutrients, e.g. vitamin E/D [144], carotenoids have to cross the aqueous environment of the cell to reach its basolateral side. As carotenoids are very hydrophobic [21] it is assumed that they need to be associated with intracellular proteins to move through this medium [36]. Though candidate proteins have been suggested, limited evidence of their involvement is available yet. A first one is human retinal lutein-binding protein [145], as it shows a good cross-reactivity with antibodies raised against carotenoid-binding protein, which has been shown to transport carotenoids in the midgut cytosol of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* [146]. However, its expression in the enterocyte should be verified. Other candidates could be the enterocyte FABPs (FABP2/I-FABP and FABP1/L-FABP) that allow the transport of various lipids. Finally, it can be hypothesized that the main enzyme responsible for carotenoid cleavage in the enterocyte, i.e. BCO1 [39,96], could also be involved, as it attracts and binds carotenoids for further cleavage, and it may also function as a non-identified but predicted selective carotenoid-transporter. The involvement of some of these candidate proteins in carotenoid transport within the enterocyte is supported by studies that have observed associations between SNPs in genes encoding these proteins and carotenoid status or bioavailability. This is the case for *FABP* and lycopene [103] and *BCO1* and β-carotene [32], though this second association can also be due to the catalytic activity of this protein. Functional studies employing cell cultures or transgenic mice should be performed to identify the respective proteins. Nevertheless, it can be hypothesized that variations in genes encoding proteins involved in the transport of carotenoids within the enterocyte contribute to the observed interindividual variability in carotenoid bioavailability.

The previously described interaction of lutein and β-carotene was not investigated further in detail, but it was predicted also to be of relevance regarding mutual interferences during absorption [33,120,147]. A different fractional absorption efficacy was also suggested for cis-isomers of lycopene [20,148–150]. Unfortunately, for lutein and β-carotene as well as for lycopene and β-carotene cis-isomers, the mechanism of this altered transport efficiency was not examined further, but it appears to have an important physiological importance due to the different and possibly augmented health beneficial effects of especially 9-cis-β-carotene versus all-trans-β-carotene, at least in respect to atherosclerosis [151].
4.2 Secretion at the basolateral and apical side of the enterocyte

During the postprandial period following the intake of a meal providing carotenoids, the latter are recovered in chylomicrons and their remnants, circulating in the blood [14, 32, 73]. This allows physiologists to conclude that carotenoids are incorporated into chylomicrons within the enterocyte, then secreted into the lymph, and finally transported to the blood. Two observations support this paradigm. First, studies on Caco-2 cell monolayers, an acknowledged model of the human intestinal epithelium, have shown that carotenoids added to the apical side of these cells are recovered in the lipoprotein chylomicron-rich fraction secreted at the basolateral side [152, 153]. Second, clinical studies have shown associations between SNPs in MTP, involved in chylomicron formation within the enterocyte, and APOB (the main chylomicron apoprotein), and carotenoid bioavailability [14, 32, 73]. Secretion via chylomicrons implies that polymorphisms of genes involved in chylomicron formation, such as those involved in cholesterol biosynthesis, may potentially have a role in explaining inter-individual variation in carotenoid uptake or processing, as has been suggested for patients with hypercholesterolemia [154].

Although it is acknowledged that a significant fraction of newly absorbed carotenoids is secreted by the enterocyte via chylomicrons, it should be noted that another fraction is metabolized within the intestinal cell. The size of this fraction depends on several factors such as the carotenoid species and the vitamin A status, affecting provitamin A carotenoid absorption and cleavage [108]. As stated above, BCO1 and BCO2 are responsible for this mechanism. Their action results in several carotenoid metabolites, e.g. retinal, apo-carotenals etc. [155], which may not share a fate similar to that of the parent molecules, and thus are not necessarily incorporated into chylomicrons. As at least some of these metabolites are water soluble (logP-values around 5, such as for retinoic acid · 4.4, http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00982), it can be hypothesized that they may be secreted to the portal vein and then reach the liver.

Another pathway involved in carotenoid secretion at the basolateral side of the enterocyte may be via APOA1. This involves the membrane protein ABCA1, responsible for the lipid transfer from this membrane to APOA1/HDL in the lymph. Though it was shown that ABCA1 is not involved in the efflux of carotenoids to HDL at the basolateral side of Caco-2 cells [153], a recent study demonstrated that a fraction of carotenoids, at least the xanthophylls, is transferred via ABCA1 to APOA1, not directly to HDL [156].

Thus, the complex mechanisms that are involved in the secretion of carotenoids, and of their metabolites at the basolateral side of the enterocyte involve several genes and are likely to be modulated by genetic variations affecting the expression or activity of the proteins encoded by these genes. It was thus hypothesized that SNPs in these genes correlate with interindividual variability of carotenoid bioavailability. This hypothesis was supported by results of three recent human clinical studies. These have shown that SNPs in MTP and in APOB, involved in the APOB-dependent pathway, as well as SNPs in ABCA1, involved in the APOA1-dependent pathway, are associated with lutein [14], lycopene [73], and β-carotene [32] bioavailability. SNPs in APOB were associated with β-carotene concentrations while SNPs in apolipoprotein A4 (APOA4) and APOB were associated with lycopene concentrations [103]. These SNPs explained differences in e.g. β-carotene plasma concentrations by up to 50%.

Finally, carotenoids may also be re-excreted via the apical side into the gut lumen. Results from a human intervention trial (with tomato puree) suggested that the ABCBI gene plays a key role in lycopene transport, possibly by effluxing a fraction of the absorbed lycopene back into the intestinal lumen [73]. This hypothesis needs to be examined further.

4.3 Postprandial chylomicron transport and blood plasma appearance

It is believed that most newly-absorbed carotenoids are postprandially secreted in chylomicrons, and that the role of chylomicrons, among other, is to carry carotenoids and their lipophilic metabolites from the intestine to the liver. During their transport, chylomicron triglycerides undergo hydrolysis by LPL, resulting in the generation of smaller chylomicrons termed chylomicron remnants. After their uptake by the liver, a fraction of carotenoids appears to be stored in the liver, another one is metabolized (e.g. into vitamin A for the provitamin A carotenoids). The remaining fraction is re-secreted into the blood within VLDL. VLDL, via their metabolism into LDL, are thought to be responsible for the further tissue distribution of carotenoids. Due to their hydrophobicity, it is thought that carotenoids stay located within the core of the chylomicron(remnant)s during their transport in blood [157]. Thus, it is hypothesized that chylomicron carotenoids i) are not significantly transferred to other circulating lipoproteins (VLDL, LDL, HDL), and ii) they are not significantly transferred to tissues. However, an in vitro study has suggested that this assumption needs to be revisited because an exchange of carotenoids between VLDL and HDL was found [158].

Although it is possible that some chylomicron carotenoids can be transferred to other lipoprotein classes or to tissues during lipoprotein metabolism, it is assumed that this transfer is rather limited. Thus, the postprandial blood metabolism of carotenoids embedded in chylomicrons is closely related to lipoprotein metabolism. The metabolism of chylomicrons involves several proteins, starting with the apolipoproteins that are associated with these lipoparticles during their synthesis, i.e. APOB48 and APOA1, followed by the apoproteins that are transferred from other lipoprotein classes during chylomicron blood transport, e.g. APOE, and ending with enzymes that transfer or hydrolyse chylomicron lipids, e.g. cholesterol ester transfer protein (CETP) and
concentrations of carotenoids (Table 4) were associated with lipoprotein assembly), indicating that these may be involved in APOC3 (a component of LDL), and higher body mass; only serum lycopene was not associated with lower non-HDL cholesterol, higher alcohol consumption and age in adipocytes, while smoking may increase the turnover of carotenoids due to enhanced oxidative stress (Table 1). Similarly, in the SU.VI.MAX study (n=12,000 participants), it was found that β-carotene plasma levels correlated (negatively) with smoking status, blood triglycerides, alcohol consumption and age. Again, females had higher β-carotene serum levels than men (Table 1). Menstrual cycle also showed to influence plasma carotenoids. In an intervention trial with nine women consuming standardized diets for two cycles, carotenoid plasma concentration was usually lower in the earlier follicular phase compared to the late follicular phase and in part higher than in the luteal phase, possibly due to hormonal influences on the blood concentration of lipoproteins as carotenoid carriers [166]. In a larger study, higher serum retinol levels were associated with higher serum estradiol and testosterone levels during the menstrual cycle [167].

5 Further transport and biodistribution to potential target tissues

5.1 Introduction

Carotenoids are transported in the blood stream associated with lipoproteins, where carotenes dominate carotenoid pattern in the LDL fraction and xanthophylls are almost equally distributed between LDL and HDL [168]. Especially the potential exchange of xanthophylls between lipoproteins is important in this context and may depend on the activity of CETP and LCAT [158]. Consequently, changes of the lipoprotein pattern, due to external or host-related factors, may modulate tissue distribution of carotenoids [169]. At the site of the target tissue, selective uptake systems may be operative to accumulate particular carotenoids, which are further transported to specific cells of the tissue; or within a cell, directed to subcellular compartments. Uptake might be hindered by tissue barriers (e.g. the blood–brain barrier), permeable only for certain compounds, though the lipophilic carotenoids would be expected to pass. Also, due to their lipophilicity, their volume of distribution (Vd) in the body is quite large [170], and plasma concentrations will only to some extent reflect tissue levels. Thus, plasma concentrations are expected to be influenced if the Vd is altered, which may explain lower circulating carotenoid levels in obese subjects (Table 3). Consequently, this limits measuring plasma carotenoids as the most suitable marker of body status, and assessing additional compartments, such as following biopsies, or estimating various pools following isotopically labelled carotenoids, may constitute alternatives, though being more invasive or costly.
Table 5. Carotenoid levels in liver and adipose tissue (nmol/g wet weight)

| Tissue     | nmol/g wet tissue (range), or ± SD | Reference |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
|            | β-carotene                          | Lycopene  | Lutein/zeaxanthin |
| Liver      | 0.98 (0.21-3.94)                    | 1.31 (0.16-10.3) | 0.29 (0.10-0.66) | [172] |
| Liver      | 4.41 (0-19.4)                       | 5.74 (0-20.7) | 3.22 (0-12.2) | [173] |
| Liver      | 3.02 (0.16-8.62)                    | 1.28 (0.1-4.08) | n.m.          | [175] |
| Liver      | 15.06 (9.1-24.8)                    | 25.46 (10.2-55.1) | 2.94 (0.2-5.8) | [174] |
| Mean across study | 5.9 ± 6.3                          | 8.4 ± 11.5   | 2.2 ± 1.6     |         |
| Total carotenoids in livera) (nmol) | 9.2                                | 13.2       | 3.4           |         |
| Adipose    | 0.2 (0.05-2.37)                     | 0.7 (0.02-3.7) | 0.79 (0.29-2.7) | [172] |
| Adipose    | 0.38 (0.1-0.5)                      | 0.2 (0.02-3.7) | n.m.          | [175] |
| Adipose    | n.m.                                | 0.23 ± 0.16 | n.m.          | [301] |
| Adipose    | 0.37 ± 0.34                         | 0.32 ± 0.35 | 1.58 ± 0.93   | [188] |
| Mean across study | 0.32 ± 0.10                        | 0.36 ± 0.23 | 1.19 ± 0.56   |         |
| Total carotenoid in adipose tissueb) | 4.4                                | 5.1        | 16.6          |         |

Data shows mean values and range or standard deviation.

n.m.: not measured

a) assuming an average adult liver mass of 1561 g [302]
b) Assuming an average weight of body fat in non-obese adults of 14 kg [303]

Unfortunately, only little is known about host related factors such as genetic makeup (e.g. SNPs) or other individual determinants and their impact on carotenoid tissue distribution.

5.2 Liver

Data from animal and human studies provide evidence that the hepatic tissue is a major site of carotenoid accumulation and metabolism [172–175]. β-carotene and lycopene e.g. are found in the nmol range per gram wet tissue, however, individual values widely vary (Table 5). Carotenoids travel with lipoproteins and the liver is a central hub for lipoprotein assembling and release. Hepatic endocytosis of chylomicron remnants, which contain newly absorbed carotenoids, depends on the interaction of the APOE protein with the membrane receptor LRP1 or to some extent with the LDL-receptor. Also involved in the uptake mechanism is hepatic LPL. Carotenoids remain in the liver for storage, alternatively, they are secreted with VLDLs, which are further processed to LDLs. Mechanisms involved in the coordination of storage, cleavage and secretion are however not known yet. It is likely that the regulation of the specific cleaving enzymes plays a major role in carotenoid plasma levels.

As noted in earlier publications, tissues such as the liver (or testes and adrenals) which possess a large number of LDL receptors, exhibit high levels of carotenoids. On the other hand, lipids from circulating HDL are taken up by this organ, too. The central role of the liver in lipid metabolism makes it likely that individual differences (polymorphisms) in proteins affecting this process can influence carotenoid distribution. SNPs in LDL receptors may play a role, as they are critical for the endocytosis of the remnant chylomicron particle into liver hepatocytes, influenced by the binding of APOE to the surface of chylomicrons [176], and may therefore be suspected to play a role in carotenoid distribution. Though carotenoid levels in plasma have been associated with genetic polymorphisms in genes related to lipid metabolism [103], an impact on tissue distribution or uptake has not been proven so far in humans. By contrast, mice expressing APOE4 as compared to APOE3 had lower levels of β-carotene in the bloodstream and lower levels of β-carotene and lutein in adipose tissue [177], while hepatic expression of BCO1/2 was significantly higher, suggesting a correlation of both factors.

In addition to the conversion of provitamin A carotenoids into retinal by BCO1, the liver is also a central tissue for xenobiotic metabolism, mediated by an array of phase I/II enzymes. Especially the metabolism of carotenoids by cytochrome P450-dependent monoxygenases has been topic of research, and an active hepatic P450 dependent metabolism was shown for several carotenoids [178,179]. Several metabolites have been detected [180] and thus a great deal of P450 related genes expressed in the liver including CYPs 3A4, 2C9, 2C8, 2E1, and 1A2, and to a lesser extent 2A6, 2D6, 2B6, 2C19, plus the extrahepatically expressed CYPs 2J2, 1A1, and 1B1, are expected to potentially influence carotenoid tissue levels [181]. Phase I and II enzymes are usually inducible.
and respond to internal and external challenges (stress) a host is exposed to. Thus, in addition to genetic factors, also external factors can influence the extent of metabolism and metabolic pattern. A number of different polymorphisms involved in phase I/II enzymes in humans have already been revealed. They individually affect the metabolism of drugs or endogenous compounds. Little is known with respect to carotenoids, but it was shown that a polymorphism regarding CYP26B1 (rs2241057) influences the degradation of retinoic acid, and is likely related to the risk of Crohn’s disease [182] and atherosclerosis [183].

5.3 Adipose tissue

Adipose tissue and in particular the lipid fraction of adipocytes is an important site of carotenoid accumulation [172, 184, 185]. Vitamin A is stored in adipose tissue primarily as unesterified retinol [186, 187]. Concentrations of carotenoids in adipose tissue have been reported by several groups [188–190] (Table 5). According to these studies, concentrations of β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, lycopene and lutein/zeaxanthin were comparable in variation and concentration to their plasma concentration. Although concentrations of carotenoids per g tissue are higher in some other organs, adipose tissue contains the highest total amounts, and is assumed to contribute to carotenoid storage. Unfortunately, knowledge is scarce on the mechanisms involved in the regulation of carotenoid uptake/release in this tissue.

LPL, expressed in adipose- and other tissues, is the primary enzyme responsible for triacylglycerol lipolysis, provided by chylomicron- and VLDL transport vehicles for carotenoids, and implicated in fatty acid uptake. Thus, it may also play a role in adipocyte carotenoid uptake. Cell culture studies suggest that CD36 is involved in the uptake of lycopene and lutein by adipocytes [88]. Hormone sensitive lipases are implicated in the release of retinol from storage tissue due to cleavage of retinyl esters, and may aid in releasing retinol by hydrolyzing triglycerides of the intracellular fat droplets [191]. However, their impact on carotenoid release from adipose compartments is unclear. Retinol binding protein 4 (RBP4) is synthesized by adipocytes as a signaling molecule. It was shown to coordinate bidirectional retinol uptake in adipose tissue together with its membrane receptor STRA6 (stimulated by retinoic acid gene 6) [192]. Retinol-loaded holo-RBP4 blocked adipocyte differentiation by activating RARs, while retinol-free apo-RBP4 triggered retinol efflux, resulting in reduced cellular retinoids and RARs mediated transcription and enhanced adipogenesis [192].

Several host related factors have been identified in EU-RAMIC (European multicenter case-control study on antioxidants, myocardial infarction and breast cancer), scrutinizing correlations of carotenoid levels in adipose tissue [193]. In another trial, obesity was associated with carotenoid levels in adipose tissue, though it is not quite clear whether this could also be due to decreased dietary intake. A correlation was also observed between higher alcohol intake and lower levels of β-carotene and lycopene in adipose tissue of men and women, respectively. Although the concentration of β-carotene in the adipocytes of obese subjects was lower compared to non-obese, the total amount of β-carotene in all lipid stores was similar [194]. Whether this implies a regulation of total lipid body stores is still controversial. Regarding alcohol consumption, lower intake, altered liver-metabolism, decreased small intestinal uptake, or enhanced consumption due to oxidative stress may play a role. In this context, the activity of β-carotene metabolizing enzymes is likely important and genetic differences but also alcohol intake is expected to affect a balanced distribution in adipose tissues.

Mice in which BCO1 is deleted and receiving marginal vitamin A sufficient diet with β-carotene, accumulate β-carotene in adipose tissue [102]. This illustrates the role of adipose tissue as a storage tissue for lipophilic compounds such as carotenoids. Recently, in yellow rabbits, a triplet deletion was identified in the BCO2 gene, resulting in the absence of an asparagine in BCO2. This was suggested to cause accumulation of carotenoids in adipose tissue [195]. This agrees with an earlier finding in sheep, where a BCO2 mutation was found to be tightly associated with white adipose tissue carotenoid accumulation [196], and in bovines where BCO2 mutations were associated with carotenoid accumulation in adipose tissue and milk [197, 198]. These observations were lately confirmed by BCO2 inactivation in sheep using CRISPR/Cas9 technology, resulting in yellow fat, establishing a causal relationship between BCO2 activity and carotenoid accumulation in white adipose tissue [199]. Together, these findings not only illustrate the importance of white adipose tissue as a carotenoid storage organ, but also show whole body physiological regulation of carotenoid homeostasis, posing another layer of complexity on understanding inter-individual variation (see also 6.4). This is exemplified by sex specific responses resulting from β-carotene accumulation in white adipose tissue, which showed that 4970 genes were affected in WT female mice, while only 407 were affected in male mice [200], with the majority of the commonly affected genes (141 out of 144) showing a strong negative, rather than positive, correlation of expression between males and females. This negative correlation was also seen in BCO1 knockout mice, although the number of genes affected were more similar between the two sexes (1522 gene in females and 1202 in males, 33 overlapping) [201]. In both WT and BCO1 knockout mice, only a minority of genes is commonly affected by β-carotene in females and males. Strikingly, the opposite regulation of genes in response to β-carotene exposure was also prominent in the lung of BCO1 knockout mice, but this was not seen in WT mice in this tissue [202]. On the other hand, WT liver showed a strong positive correlation of β-carotene responsive genes between males and females [201].

Adipose tissue is distributed over various depots in the body. Functional differences between depots exist and visceral adipose tissue is especially associated with adverse
health effects. In a study aiming to identify differences between adipose tissue depots, it was found that many of the genes differentially expressed in subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue were regulated by retinoic acid [203]. The master regulator of adipogenesis, PPARG is functionally active as an obligatory dimer with RXRA, linking adipogenesis with vitamin A metabolism. Retinoic acids are generated from retinaldehyde in adipose tissue by aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1), though this is discussed controversially [187]. Female mice with inactivated ALDH1A1 were resistant to high-fat diet-induced visceral adipose formation. This was not seen in male mice, while subcutaneous adipose tissue was reduced to the same extent in males and females [204]. Together, this underlines a role for vitamin A metabolism in differential adipose tissue (i.e. visceral versus, subcutaneous) formation. It has been suggested that estrogen mediated suppression of ALDH1A2/3 mRNA expression is involved in differential retinoic acid formation between males and females [205]. Although major gaps in our knowledge exist, genetic variation in uptake, storage and processing in adipose tissue of various carotenoids may influence adipose tissue distribution and functionality and associated health outcomes, and, if so, will likely do this in a sex dependent manner. Effects of carotenoids on adipose tissue biology have been reviewed recently [206], while effects of genetic ablation of genes encoding various retinoid metabolism enzymes, including BCO1 and ALDH1A1, but also RBP1, RBP3 and retinol saturase (RESTAT) on adiposity in mice are reviewed elsewhere [203].

5.4 Skin

The carotenoid pattern in human skin comprises carotenes and xanthophylls. Plasma levels of lycopene and less notably β-carotene are correlated with their respective concentration in the skin [207]. However, no such correlation was observed for lutein, zeaxanthin, and β-cryptoxanthin, and thus skin measurements may not be representative of total carotenoid exposure or status. Carotenoids are not equally distributed in the different skin areas. Highest levels occur in skin of the forehead and in the palms of the hands and lower levels in dorsal skin, inside of the arm or back of hand. LDL-receptors are expressed in human skin [208] and may play a role regarding selective uptake. Skin can be divided into epidermis and dermis with underlying subcutaneous adipose tissue. Blood vessels reach the dermis but not the epidermis, and different ways of how carotenoids may be transported and distributed to and within the different layers of our skin have been discussed [209]. Subcutaneous tissue is a storage compartment for carotenoids and part of the balanced distribution system of carotenoids in adipose depots. Thus, host factors already mentioned above are expected to also play a role in carotenoid uptake and storage in the skin. There is some evidence that other host-related factors influence carotenoid skin levels [210]. On the long term, a carotenoid-rich diet may increase carotenoid skin levels. However, smoking and alcohol intake induced a rapid decrease in carotenoid levels of the skin. Skin lycopene levels are sensitive to UV-irradiation [211]. Upon irradiation in vivo, lycopene concentration in the skin is significantly lowered. This effect is less pronounced with β-carotene. Therefore, individual preferences regarding sun or UV exposure (tanning beds) would affect skin carotenoid concentrations.

5.5 Macula lutea

The macula lutea is a small yellow area of the retina. It is the region of maximum visual acuity and its yellow color is due to xanthophylls, mainly lutein, zeaxanthin and meso-zeaxanthin, located in the cone axons of the Henle fiber layer. It was shown that macular pigment density was positively correlated with serum concentrations of lutein and zeaxanthin, and inversely correlated with serum oxidized low-density lipoprotein [212]. Consequently, host related oxidative stress conditions would impact the supply of the retina with o xo-carotenoids. Absolute levels and the patterns of lutein and zeaxanthin differ within an individual retina sample [213]. Large interindividual differences have also been described [214]. In the center of the macula lutea, levels of lutein and zeaxanthin have been reported, with 2.4 and 3.4 pmol/mm², respectively. Much lower concentrations are found in peripheral areas; medial 0.22 pmol lutein/mm² and 0.14 pmol zeaxanthin/mm². Levels further decrease in outer circles while the ratio of lutein:zeaxanthin increases. Since carotenoids are not present in the macula lutea, selective mechanisms of uptake must be operative.

It is likely that host related factors (individual differences) have an impact on the density of the macula pigment. In a 6 months intervention study with a lutein-rich supplement the impact of genetic variances in four genes (ABCG8, BCO1, CD36, and NPC1L1) on lutein plasma levels and macular pigment optical density was evaluated [215]. The results provide evidence that (as with plasma) retina levels, i.e. macula pigment optical density of lutein are affected by SNPs of CD36 and BCO1. The TT variant at the BCO1 rs7501331 locus was associated with a higher macula pigment optical density. Study subjects with GG at the CD36 locus rs1761667 had a higher macula pigment optical density compared to those with an A allele, although the underlying mechanisms remain to be established. Compounds delivered to the retina must pass the blood–retinal barrier, provided by tight junctions between endothelial cells. This barrier is sensitive to inflammatory and oxidative damage often associated with hyperglycemia [216]. HDLs as the transport vehicles of lutein and zeaxanthin have been discussed to play an important role in the transport of macular carotenoids [217]. There is evidence that SR-BI, a tissue receptor for HDL, plays a role in the delivery of carotenoids to this tissue [218].

It was further suggested that the delivery of macular carotenoids involves the inter-phoreceptor-retinoid
5.6 Tissues relevant for cardiovascular diseases

Besides the adipose tissue, other tissues such as the pancreas and various cells of the vascular system, including endothelial cells and macrophages, are important targets of related health beneficial effects of carotenoids. In an older study, carotenoid levels in the pancreas were found to be comparable (1.8-4.5 μg/g wet weight [223] or 4.5-95 μg/g [224]) to those in adipose tissue levels. The beneficial and risk preventive effects of carotenoids on atherosclerosis development were suggested to be mediated in macrophages and the endothelial cells of the blood vessels [225, 226]. Unfortunately, a direct mechanistic connection of carotenoid levels in white blood cells and especially monocytes/macrophages, as well as endothelial cells and further induced biological effects was never examined and confirmed.

As carotenoids were described in relation to the prevention of T2D, a potential target organ is the pancreas as a major regulator for insulin and glucagon production and secretion. Recently, RAR- and RXR-mediated signaling pathways were positively and negatively correlated with insulin and glucagon secretion [227], respectively. As pro-vitamin A carotenoids are the major precursor for the physiological and nutritional ligands of these two receptor subclasses [228], a further genetic regulation related to carotenoids appears plausible, but has not yet fully confirmed and described. This plausible correlation to T2D, starting from beneficial regulatory pathways of retinoids as carotenoid metabolites via RAR- and RXR-mediated signaling pathways seems also to be highly dependent on carotenoid accumulation for substrate availability [229].

5.7 Other tissues

Carotenoids occur in almost all human tissues. However, some were in the focus of research. Based on epidemiological studies, it was proposed that a frequent intake of tomato products rich in lycopene is associated with a decreased risk for prostate cancer [230], though it is unclear whether lycopene is selectively taken up in the prostate and which mechanisms may be relevant in this context. Levels of lycopene in prostate tissue have been reported around 1.7 ng/mg tissue following supplementation [231]; similar to levels in adipose and liver (Table 5).

In the human brain carotenes and xanthophylls were detected and the latter accounted for about 70% of total carotenoids, with lutein and zeaxanthin dominating [232]. Levels were different in different brain areas and ranged from 2.8 to 11.8 pmol/g for lutein, 1.8 to 9.2 pmol/g zeaxanthin, and 7.6 to 15.2 pmol/g β-carotene. A supplementation study with rhesus monkeys has shown that the brain levels of lutein and zeaxanthin are significantly related to their levels in the macula lutea [233], and therefore, macular pigment density may be used as a surrogate biomarker of lutein and zeaxanthin in primate brain tissue. Analyses of human brain tissues revealed a relationship between StARD3 levels and the concentration of lutein [234], with strongest correlations observed in infant (versus. adult or centenarian) brains.

Colostrum contains significant amounts of α- and β-carotene, lycopene, β-cryptoxanthin, canthaxanthin, lutein and zeaxanthin, which are responsible for the yellow color. With ongoing lactation the content of carotenoids in mature milk declines, and the carotenoid pattern changes [235], being correlated with lower lipid content of breast milk [236]. This could suggest that a temporal specific mechanism is involved in the transfer of carotenoids to human milk, though which mechanism is not understood. On the other hand, breast adipose tissue carotenoid concentrations from tumor patients were significantly related to serum concentrations, and were highest for β-cryptoxanthin (3.5 μmol/kg), β-carotene (2.3 μmol/kg) and lutein (1.8 μmol/kg), not indicating a considerable change of patterns [237].

Kidney concentrations, as other organ carotenoid levels, appear more variable than plasma concentrations, and have been reported to be in the range of 0.2–12.7 nmol/g for total carotenoids [173]. However, a significant correlation for total carotenoids was found between liver, kidney and lung, thus additional discriminations of patterns could not be concluded. Again, host related factors especially those regarding the genetic variations of the proteins mention above might have impact on carotenoid distribution in these tissues.
6 Host factors interacting with carotenoid storage and excretion pathways

6.1 Storage and turnover aspects

Very little is known on the metabolism of especially non-provitamin A carotenoids in xenobiotic pathways and on the extent of degradation and elimination of the parent compounds [238]. Lutein and β-carotene may interact with each other during postprandial serum clearance when administered together. Both mutually enhancing and inhibiting actions have been observed in the limited number of volunteers studied. Interindividual differences in the response were studied after a high dose (0.5 μmol/kg body weight) of either or both carotenoids followed by blood sampling [159, 239]. On average, the volunteers showed faster postprandial serum elimination (up to 120 h) of both carotenoids when given together, while subsequent elimination (up to 32 days) was unaffected by the other carotenoid. In line with this trial, the loss of liver vitamin A in rats dosed with β-carotene was not affected by concomitant dosing with lutein; however, the initial storage was enhanced by smaller lutein doses and inhibited by larger doses [240]. Effects during β-carotene absorption appear more likely to explain the inhibitory actions of lutein, whereas the enhanced vitamin A storage following β-carotene dosing by low concomitant doses of lutein is more difficult to explain, and may involve interactions other than at the step of absorption. However, it is apparent from this study that (at least in rats) lutein does not affect subsequent loss of hepatic or renal stores of vitamin A. Whether a similar phenomenon on initial retinol storage exists in humans, which could partially explain interindividual variation in body stores of carotenoids is not known.

Carotenoid kinetic aspects were determined in depletion studies of 70–80 days in females (18–42 years) with and without isotope dilution by Burri et al. [241]. Following a carotenoid controlled diet, carotenoids were measured in blood plasma of 19 healthy adults, and half-lives recorded. Lutein had the longest half-life (76 ± 17 days), followed by α-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, zeaxanthin, β-carotene and lycopene (45 ± 7, 40 ± 5, 38 ± 7, 37 ± 5, and 27 ± 3 days, respectively), with lutein and lycopene differing significantly in half-lives from other carotenoids. Other studies based on postprandial designs have reported other half-lives, such as 2–3 days for lycopene and 5–7 days for β-carotene [242], likely to reflect plasma exchange with deeper compartments, while the longer reported half-lives would reflect losses from those deeper compartments. In the study by Burri et al., all carotenoids followed similar first order kinetic rates, indicating a small variation in plasma kinetics but clear differences in carotenoid half-lives. Concentrations of all carotenoids were highly correlated. The differences in carotenoid half-lives were unexplained, though differences in degradation by acting as antioxidants or transfer to deeper compartments were suspected. Half-lives were unrelated to physical or demographic characteristics (BMI, energy metabolism, cholesterol and triglyceride levels, ethnicity, or age). However, the number of subjects was small and participants in this study rather homogenous. Thus, it is difficult to judge the effect of half-lives on interindividual carotenoid variations regarding blood levels.

In a study by Shvetsov et al. [243], the shorter half-life of lycopene was considered as an explanation for the higher intraindividual variability of plasma lycopene compared to other carotenoids (lutein, β-carotene). In their study, plasma carotenoids of 381 women were measured repeatedly (4 times) with 4 month intervals, and intraindividual variability was approximately half of that of interindividual variability, except for lycopene, where it was equal. Similar higher intraindividual variability for lycopene was also found by Cooney et al. [244]. The source of intra-individual variation is unclear. Seasonal variations appeared to be low (below 3% in the study by Shvetsov, partly due to the constant climate of Hawaii). When adjusted for age, race, alcohol drinking, and tobacco smoking, intraclass correlation coefficients were 0.69, 0.45, and 0.74 for total plasma lutein, lycopene, and β-carotene, respectively. Dietary factors, age, gender, ethnicity, geographic location, and season were employed as main factors to explain intraindividual variability. A slightly better correlation with increased age was also reported, for reasons unknown. Further studies need to investigate the potential effect of diet, lifestyle and additional factors on carotenoid depletion rates.

Not much is known regarding carotenoid excretion pathways. Khachick et al. reported on polar metabolites of lycopene in humans [179], approximately 5% of an isotopically labelled β-carotene (14C) dose was excreted in urine (70% in feces) during 12 d [245]. The minor fraction excreted in urine likely represents polar metabolites. In rat hepatocytes, it was shown that astaxanthin could be metabolized into 3-hydroxy-4-oxo-β-ionol, 3-hydroxy-4-oxo-β-ionone, and their reduced forms, 3-hydroxy-4-oxo-7,8-dihydro-β-ionol and 3-hydroxy-4-oxo-7,8-dihydro-β-ionone, and that this was associated with induction of the cytochrome P450 enzyme (CYP3A4 as well as of CYP2B6) [180]. Thus, alterations in P450 enzyme activity may influence carotenoid metabolism. In human keratinocyte cells, it was shown that various CYP enzymes metabolized all-trans retinoic acid and cis-isomers into water soluble products [246].

6.2 Disease conditions altering carotenoid turnover

Several clinical conditions related to carotenoid status affect carotenoid concentrations in human plasma, in addition to those involved in hampering carotenoid uptake (see chapter 3.4). However, it is often difficult to assess whether this happens by interference with carotenoid uptake, excretion and/or metabolism. In patients with renal failure, plasma β-carotene levels increased [247]. In the same study,
decreased plasma levels of β-carotene were observed in subjects with liver cirrhosis. Similarly, a study measuring carotenoids in tissues by needle biopsies showed much lower hepatic levels at all stages of liver disease [248]. Another study examined β-carotene plasma concentration in 53 Filipino children with cholestatic liver disease and found decreased concentrations in 45 patients [249]. This suggests that liver diseases interfere with storage and excretion of carotenoids. In the same study, six children received a single dose of 10 mg/kg body weight of β-carotene. No increased plasma levels were detectable in 5/6 children, pointing to a main effect of the disease on β-carotene absorption rather than further metabolism (re-distribution or excretion). This was explained by missing bile salt secretion and reduced solubilisation and cellular uptake. However, infections (e.g. helminths) could not be excluded as an additional factor.

The liver, via the bile, also plays an important part in the excretion of carotenoids back into the gut. As many transporters expressed in the intestine are also present in liver cells [250], it can be assumed that genetic differences in e.g. SCARBP1 and CD36 do also influence biliary excretion; however, this constitutes a gap in our knowledge. In a study with the purpose of comparing plasma and biliary concentrations of carotenoids among controls and patients with biliary and pancreatic diseases, both plasma and bile concentrations of β-carotene were significantly decreased in patients with bile duct stones, impairing biliary excretion. Moreover, the plasma/bile ratio was maintained as well as the correlation between them, and plasma β-carotene decreased even more in patients with complete biliary obstruction, probably reflecting malabsorption due to limited carotenoid solubilisation in the gut. A tight correlation between plasma and bile β-carotene still persisted in patients with pancreatic disease, confirming the role of plasma β-carotene in determining bile concentrations [251]. The authors concluded that carotenoids undergo, at least in part, biliary excretion, that biliary concentrations reflect plasma levels in both normal and pathologic states, and that a decreased biliary excretion does not increase plasma concentrations. The study thus mainly highlights the importance of the bile for carotenoid absorption and the consequent tight link between bile and plasma levels. Obesity was associated with circulating plasma carotenoids in several studies (Table 1), however, the amount of carotenoids in the total adipose tissue has been found constant among obese and normal-weight subjects [194]. It is possible that a higher amount of adipose tissue with its high affinity to store carotenoids merely reduces the release of carotenoids into the bloodstream or enhances their uptake from the circulatory system, possibly via LDL receptors. As obesity is related to chronic inflammation, it cannot be excluded that upregulation of nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB) and nuclear factor erythroid-derived 2 like 2 (NRF2) [252] is somehow related to a higher degradation rate of carotenoids, but this remains hypothetical. On the other hand, it is possible that the body may adapt to increased oxidative stress by upregulating circulating plasma antioxidants. For example, in a study with asthmatic women, higher levels of total carotenoids were found compared to non-asthmatic control women [253]. An enhanced conversion of β-carotene to retinol was suggested to explain significantly lower (by approximately 50%) serum levels of β-carotene in hyperthyroid patients compared to those with hypo- and euthyroidism [254]. However, the detailed mechanism remains unclear. Hypothyroidism also led to increased (2-fold) β-carotene absorption in this study, explaining the yellowing of the skin in these patients. Thyroid hormones may thus alter β-carotene absorption, however an effect on its distribution cannot be ruled out.

6.3 Effect of vitamin A status and lifestyle on carotenoid status

It has been demonstrated that vitamin A status modulates β-carotene absorption and cleavage (see chapter 3.2). A study examined the ability of deuterated retinol-dilution to detect changes in the body pool size and status of vitamin A and the effect on the bioconversion of carotenoids to vitamin A [255]. Changes were detected in the body pool size after 3 days. The bioconversion of dietary mixed plant food carotenoids varied inversely with vitamin A status, and improvements in status after intervention were strongly affected by total body stores of vitamin A, which can be explained by feed-back mechanism of vitamin A status and BCO1, highlighting the relation of circulating pro-vitamin A carotenoids and vitamin A status.

Chronic alcohol consumption may perturb vitamin A and carotenoid metabolism. A study in rats given vitamin A or β-carotene examined the effect of chronic alcohol consumption on vitamin A status and found a decrease in hepatic vitamin A storage, which was not due to malabsorption of either retinyl acetate or β-carotene, nor to altered activities of several enzymes involved in ethanol or vitamin A metabolism [256]. However, other studies show inconsistent findings; studies suggested inhibition of BCO1/2 by ethanol [257].

6.4 BCO1/2 aspects with respect to carotenoid tissue levels

As for the intestine, disruption of BCO1 expression is well known to reduce vitamin A and increase β-carotene concentration in tissues, identifying BCO1 as the major enzyme for vitamin A production and for carotenoid cleavage [258]. The role of carotenoid oxygenases involved in the cleavage and storage of carotenoids is confirmed by other studies. For example, expression of BCO1 was documented by RNA blotting and immunostaining methods in a wide selection of human tissues [126,259]. In the study by Lindquist et al. in mice, BCO1 was expressed in virtually all tissues, and the same is assumed for humans. An animal study examined the effect of knockout BCO1/2 in mice given a controlled diet primarily providing β-carotene [39]. Accumulated levels of β-carotene in serum, liver and lungs in BCO1−/−
and BCO1<sup>−/−</sup>/BCO2<sup>−/−</sup> mice were found. BCO1<sup>−/−</sup> mice showed 100 fold higher concentrations of β-carotene in tissues compared to wildtype and BCO2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, confirming the role of BCO1 as the major β-carotene-metabolizing enzyme. This does not negate a role for BCO2, since BCO2 inactivation in sheep resulted in carotenoid accumulation in adipose tissue [199].

Another study in mice investigated the effect of gene expression induced by β-carotene supplementation, knockout of BCO1, and differences in gender on β-carotene levels in lungs, liver and inguinal white adipose tissue [200]. Lungs were mainly affected by knockout, liver by knockout and gender, while the white adipose tissue was mainly affected by gender. Hardly any β-carotene affected genes were in common in the three tissues, suggesting that changes in gene expression are primarily determined by tissue and gender.

β-Carotene exposure increases β-carotene concentration in the lung, but also the concentrations of retinol and retinyl esters [260]. Inactivation of BCO1 increases β-carotene concentrations, and decreases retinol ester concentrations in males and females, while retinol concentration are only decreased in females [261]. Differential regulation of genes involved in vitamin A metabolism in the lung upon β-carotene exposure, for example LRAT (conversion of retinol in retinyl esters) and ADH7 (conversion of retinol into retinal) suggest that polymorphisms in these genes can also have a role in interindividual responses, which could be relevant because these genes can functionally determine retinoid sufficiency [260].

### 7 Bioactivation - from carotenoids to nuclear hormone receptor ligands and further induced transcriptional signaling

The mechanisms of action of carotenoids regarding beneficial health effects comprises two major pathways: a) functioning as direct antioxidants via various pathways [262], which has recently been discussed controversially due of lack of sufficiently high concentrations to transmit these effects endogenously [252] and b) functioning as precursors of various oxidative cleavage products [263]. These can further interact with various nuclear hormone receptors such as the RAR, RXR, PPARs, LXRα, FXR, NF-κB, and NRF2 [252, 264]. This conversion was mainly demonstrated in cellular and mouse studies, while in humans merely individual steps of this activation cascade were confirmed [97, 98, 229, 265, 266].

Assuming that that carotenoids function mainly via precursors of nuclear hormone receptors implies that not the serum and organ levels of native carotenoids are of major importance, but mainly the conversion of these endogenous present carotenoids to oxidative cleavage products. This again highlights the importance of the two carotenoid oxygenases BCO1/2. When focussing on health related effects of carotenoids, the focus should be placed on correlating carotenoid intake with resulting endogenous carotenoid metabolite levels and their effects on nuclear hormone receptor mediated signaling, not merely on the concentrations of the native carotenoids, however, data on these are still scant. In this regard, the retinoic acid receptors (RARs), with the isotypes RARα, β and γ, are activated mainly by the provitamin A carotenoid metabolite all-trans-apo-15′-carotenoic acid (all-trans retinoic acid [267]). In addition, non-well examined pathways with low affinity activation ligands such as all-trans-4-oxo-retinoic acid [268], all-trans-3,4-didehydro retinoic acid [269] and all-trans-13,14-dihydrolinoicoic acid activation were described [270]. The activation of the RAR as well as the RXR (RXRα, β and γ) by 9CRA seems to be of non-physiological relevance, due to low or even non-existing transcriptional activation of both RARs and RXRs by relevant endogenous levels [118, 119].

Recently, in addition to β-carotene functioning as the major precursors for nuclear hormone receptor ligands for RARs and RXRs, the acyclic carotenoid lycopene was described to transmit further RAR-mediated signaling [97, 271]. Alternatively, RXR- and PPAR-mediated signaling was postulated to be mediated via lycopene oxidative metabolites. The detailed mechanisms including the actively involved lycopene oxidative metabolites remains still controversial but it is likely involving apo-15′-lycopenoids for further RXR-mediated signaling [97] and apo-10′-lycopenoids for further PPAR-mediated signaling [98]. Future research should focus on correlating carotenoid intake with carotenoid levels in the individual, further metabolic conversion to bioactive oxidative carotenoid metabolites, and the identification and quantification of marker genes related to beneficial health effects.

### 8 Conclusion and perspective

Interindividual carotenoid variability following intervention studies with dietary carotenoids has been investigated in a number of body compartments, including digesta, chylomicrons, blood, skin, and the retina. Additional variation has been observed in observational studies (Fig. 2). Variability in the carotenoid bioaccessible fraction is approximately half of that of plasma concentrations, approximately 20–30%. This may indicate that about half the variability may be explained by factors influencing carotenoid bioaccessibility, namely digestion enzyme concentrations, bile salts, and intestinal transit time. These are influenced by diseases (e.g. short bowel syndrome), the microbiota, and also gene expression related to enzymes (gastric lipase, PNLIP, CEL, CLPS). Factors influencing absorption also include parasites, such as hookworms, i.e. factors decreasing absorptive surface. Carotenoid absorption itself may be influenced by uptake transporters and associated SNPs (e.g. in the CD36, NPC1L1, and SCARB1 genes). Intracellular transport and chylomicron or also HDL secretion (ABCA1) have been associated with ABCG5/8, FABP2, ELOVL2, INSIG2, SLC27A6, and MTP, and intracellular cleavage with BCO1, likely involving BCO2 for some
Figure 2. Overview of factors likely to contribute to interindividual variation of carotenoid bioavailability and thus tissue concentrations.

carotenoids. Further biodistribution, affecting plasma levels, likely include LPL, LIPC, CETP, and APOA1, APOA4, APOE and APOB. Tissue incorporation is influenced by all these preceding processes, in addition to specific uptake transporters such as GSTP1, STARD3, and RPE65, in case of the retina. Many other SNPs, involved in inflammatory processes and certain diseases have been shown to correlate with carotenoid tissue levels (Table 4), though their exact role and contribution to variability remains to be elucidated. Hormones and gender play a role, as do possibly age and percentage of adipose tissue, though again the mechanisms are not comprehended. Several diseases have also been reported to influence carotenoid turnover and excretion, including hyperthyroidism and diseases of the liver and kidney, though the underlying mechanisms are not understood. While thus many of the potential candidates explaining interindividual variability, especially concerning cellular uptake and cleavage in the epithelium, have been determined, much less is known on their actual contribution to interindividual variability, and less is known on factors affecting intraindividual variability, which appears to be approximately half of interindividual variability according to some studies (Table 3). Influences of season and diet are most likely to contribute. In addition, we tried to emphasize connections between the individual carotenoid levels in the organisms and their bioactivation, resulting in oxidative carotenoid cleavage metabolites, mainly retinoids, and further mediation of transcriptional signaling of health related marker genes.

In the future, studies should aim at identifying additional SNPs related to carotenoid ADME parameters, to increase our knowledge on the contribution of genetic variations to interindividual variability. This may include SNPs in genes encoding for digestion enzymes and proteins involved in further tissue distribution, which so far have received limited attention. Furthermore, the connection between SNPs and health related marker genes should be in the focus of research to scrutinize carotenoid health protective effects. In addition, epigenetic factors and the microbiota are areas which until to date have been mostly overlooked. These will reveal new insights into explaining the variability of carotenoid concentrations in human tissues, but perhaps also explain varying biological responses to dietary intervention, opening the door to personalized nutrition and “food to health” strategies employing carotenoids.
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