Relationships Between Circles Inscribed in Triangles and Related Curvilinear Triangles
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Abstract. If $P$ is a point inside $\triangle ABC$, then the cevians through $P$ extended to the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ create a figure containing a number of curvilinear triangles. Each curvilinear triangle is bounded by an arc of the circumcircle and two line segments lying along the sides or cevians of the original triangle. We give theorems about the relationships between the radii of circles inscribed in various sets of these curvilinear triangles.
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1. Introduction

A curvilinear triangle is a geometric figure bounded by three curves. The curves are typically line segments and arcs of circles, in which case there is a unique circle tangent to each of the three boundary curves. This circle is called the incircle of the curvilinear triangle.

Wasan geometers loved to find relationships between the radii of circles inscribed in curvilinear triangles. An example is shown in Figure 1 which comes from an 1841 book of Mathematical Formulae written by Yamamoto [12]. It is also given as problem 5.3.9 in [3]. In the figure, $AH \perp BC$ and $BA \perp AC$. There are three curvilinear triangles of interest in the figure. The first curvilinear triangle is bounded by $BH$, $HA$, and arc $\widehat{AB}$. The second curvilinear triangle is bounded by $AH$, $HC$, and arc $\widehat{CA}$. The third curvilinear triangle is bounded by $CA$, $AB$, and arc $\widehat{BC}$. The radii of the circles inscribed in these curvilinear triangles are $r_1$, $r_2$, and $r_3$, respectively. Then the nice relationship that was found is $r_1 + r_2 = r_3$.
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In this paper, we will find some other nice relationships between the inradii of curvilinear triangles.

If a curvilinear triangle is convex and bounded by two straight line segments and one circular arc, then we will call the resulting figure a skewed sector (see Figure 2).

Anatomy of a skewed sector.

- The two straight line segments are called the sides of the skewed sector.
- The point of intersection of the two sides is called the vertex of the skewed sector.
- The angle between the two sides is called the vertex angle.
- The circular arc is referred to as the arc of the skewed sector.
- The circular measure of the arc of a skewed sector is called the arc angle.
- The circle to which the arc belongs will be called the circle associated with the skewed sector.
- The triangle formed by the vertex of a skewed sector and the endpoints of its arc will be referred to as the triangle associated with the skewed sector. This would be \( \triangle APB \) in Figure 2.
- When naming a skewed sector, the vertex will always be the middle letter. Thus, the skewed sector in Figure 2 is named skewed sector \( APB \).
• When the vertex of a skewed sector lies inside the associated circle, if the sides of the skewed sector are extended back through the vertex, they will intercept an arc of the associated circle. This arc is called the opposite arc of the skewed sector. It is shown in red in Figure 3.

• The vertex of a skewed sector and the opposite arc form another skewed sector called the opposite skewed sector. This is skewed sector $A'B'PB'$ in Figure 3.

\[
\text{Figure 3. opposite arc}
\]

A segment of a circle is the figure bounded by an arc of a circle and the chord joining the endpoints of that arc. The height (or sagitta) of the segment is the distance from the midpoint of the chord to the midpoint of the arc.

If $P$ is a point inside $\triangle ABC$, then the cevians through $P$ extended to the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ create a figure containing a number of skewed sectors. We will find relationships between the radii of the circles inscribed in some of these skewed sectors.

**Notation.**

• If $X$ and $Y$ are points, then we use the notation $XY$ to denote either the line segment joining $X$ and $Y$ or the length of that line segment, depending on the context.

• A cevian of a triangle is a line segment from a vertex to the opposite side.

• We use the notation $\angle XYZ$ to denote either the angle between $XY$ and $YZ$ or the measure of that angle, depending on the context.

• The notation $[XYZ]$ denotes the area of $\triangle XYZ$.

• The notation $O(r)$ refers to the circle centered at point $O$ with radius $r$. The circle may sometimes also be referred to as circle $O$.

• If $XY$ is an arc of a circle, then $m(\overset{\frown}{XY})$ denotes the circular measure of that arc. The arc extends counterclockwise along the circle from $X$ to $Y$.

• Typically, we use $r$ for the inradius of a triangle and $w$ for the inradius of a skewed sector.
Formulas for the radius of the circle inscribed in a skewed sector and in a triangle are known. Since these are not well-known, we review them here.

**Theorem 2.1** (Inradius of skewed sector). Let $APB$ be a skewed sector and let $C$ be the circle associated with arc $\widehat{AB}$. Suppose $P$ lies inside $C$. Let $R$ be the radius of $C$, let $w$ be the radius of the circle inscribed in the skewed sector, and let $r$ be the radius of the circle inscribed in $\triangle APB$. Extend $BP$ to meet the circle $C$ at $C$ and draw $AC$. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta,$ and $\epsilon$ be the measures of five angles associated with the skewed sector as shown in Figure 4. Then

$$w = \frac{4R \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \cos \frac{\delta}{2} \sin \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{\left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2},$$

$$r = \frac{4R \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cos \frac{\epsilon}{2}}{\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

**Figure 4.** angles associated with a skewed sector

Proof. See [4, pp. 96–97] or [11, p. 26].

An immediate consequence of this theorem is the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $APB$ be a skewed sector and suppose $P$ lies inside the associated circle. Let $w$ be the radius of the circle inscribed in the skewed sector, and let $r$ be the radius of the circle inscribed in $\triangle APB$. Let $\alpha$ be the vertex angle of the skewed sector, let $\theta_1$ be the arc angle of the skewed sector, and let $\theta_2$ be the arc angle of the opposite skewed sector. See Figure 5. Then

$$\frac{w}{r} = \frac{\cos(\theta_2/4)}{\cos(\alpha/2) \cos(\theta_1/4)}.$$

We can also express $w/r$ without using $\theta_2$ as follows.
Figure 5.

**Theorem 2.3.** Using the same notation as in Theorem 2.2

\[ \frac{w}{r} = 1 + \tan(\alpha/2) \tan(\theta_1/4). \]

*Proof.* See [11, pp. 26–27]. □

3. **Relationship Between Incircles of Skewed Sectors and Incircles of Triangles**

To prove a relationship between skewed sector inradii, Theorems 2.1, 2.2, or 2.3 could be used to find the length of each radius. This is a brute force technique and better methods are available. One strategy for finding relationships between the radii of circles inscribed in skewed sectors is to relate these circles to circles inscribed in triangles, for which results are already known.

Here are some theorems that relate circles in skewed sectors to circles in triangles.

The following theorem appeared on a tablet in 1781. See [4, problem 4.0.3], [3, problem 2.2.8], [5], and [9].

**Theorem 3.1** (Ajima’s Theorem). Let \( AB \) be a chord of a circle and let \( C \) be a point inside the circle, not on the chord. See Figure 6. Let \( W(w) \) be the incircle of skewed sector \( ACB \) (the red circle) and let \( O(r) \) be the incircle of \( \triangle ACB \) (the yellow circle). Then

\[ w = r + \frac{2d(s-a)(s-b)}{cs}, \]

where \( d \) is the height of the segment formed by \( AB \), \( a = BC \), \( b = AC \), \( c = AB \), and \( s \) is the semiperimeter of \( \triangle ABC \).

*Proof.* See [4] pp. 96-97. A more detailed proof can be found in [10] pp. 40-49. □

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( D \) be any point on side \( BC \) of \( \triangle ABC \). Cevian \( AD \) extended meets the circumcircle of \( \triangle ABC \) at \( D' \). Let \( W_1(w_1) \) be the incircle of skewed
sector \(BDD'\) and let \(W_2(w_2)\) be the incircle of skewed sector \(CDD'\). Let \(O_1(r_1)\) be the incircle of \(\triangle ADB\) and let \(O_2(r_2)\) be the incircle of \(\triangle ADC\) (Figure 7). Then

\[
\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{w_2} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{w_1}.
\]

Figure 7. \(1/r_1 + 1/w_2 = 1/r_2 + 1/w_1\)

**Proof.** We give names to the various angles as shown in Figure 8.

Note that \(\angle CDA = \alpha_3\) is supplementary to \(\angle BDA = \alpha\), so \(\cos(\alpha_3/2) = \sin(\alpha/2)\). Four applications of Theorem 2.1 gives

\[
w_1 = \frac{4R \sin(\beta/2) \cos(\gamma/2) \sin(\delta/2) \sin(\epsilon/2)}{\sin^2(\alpha/2)},
\]

\[
w_2 = \frac{4R \sin(\beta/2) \sin(\gamma/2) \sin(\delta/2) \cos(\epsilon/2)}{\cos^2(\alpha/2)},
\]

\[
r_1 = \frac{4R \sin(\beta/2) \sin(\gamma/2) \sin(\epsilon/2) \cos(\epsilon/2)}{\cos(\alpha/2)},
\]

\[
r_2 = \frac{4R \sin(\delta/2) \sin(\epsilon/2) \sin(\gamma/2) \cos(\gamma/2)}{\cos(\alpha/2)}.
\]
Now form the expression
\[ S = \frac{1}{w_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} - \left( \frac{1}{w_2} + \frac{1}{r_1} \right). \]
Then substitute \( \alpha = \delta + \epsilon \) and then \( \epsilon = \pi - \beta - \gamma - \delta \). Simplifying the resulting expression (using a computer algebra system), shows that \( S = 0 \).

The result of Theorem 3.2 is so elegant that it is unlikely that it is true only because the complicated trigonometric expression, \( S \), in the proof just happens to simplify to 0.

**Open Question.** Is there a simple proof of Theorem 3.2 that does not involve a large amount of trigonometric computation requiring computer simplification?

The following theorem appeared on a tablet in 1844 in the Aichi prefecture. See [3, problem 1.4.7] and [2, p. 22].

**Theorem 3.3.** Chords \( AB \) and \( CD \) of a circle meet at \( E \). Let \( W_1(w_1) \) be the incircle of skewed sector \( BED \) and let \( W_2(w_2) \) be the incircle of skewed sector \( AEC \). Let \( O_1(r_1) \) be the incircle of \( \triangle BED \) and let \( O_2(r_2) \) be the incircle of \( \triangle AEC \). See Figure 9. Then
\[ \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{w_2} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{w_1}. \]

**Proof.** This proof comes from [11, pp. 26–27]. Let \( \alpha \) be the vertex angle of skewed sector \( BED \). Let \( \theta_1 \) be its arc angle an let \( \theta_2 \) be the arc angle of the opposite skewed sector \( AEC \). By Theorem 2.3 we have
\[ \frac{w_1}{r_1} = 1 + \tan(\alpha/2) \tan(\theta_1/4) \]
which is equivalent to
\[ \frac{w_1}{r_1} - 1 = \tan(\alpha/2) \tan(\theta_1/4) \]
or
\[
\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{w_1} = \frac{\tan(\alpha/2) \tan(\theta_1/4)}{w_1}.
\]
Similarly,
\[
\frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{w_2} = \frac{\tan(\alpha/2) \tan(\theta_2/4)}{w_2}.
\]
But
\[
\frac{\tan(\theta_1/4)}{w_1} = \frac{\tan(\theta_2/4)}{w_2}
\]
by Theorem 4.2 (which will be proved in the next section). Thus,
\[
\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{w_1} = \frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{w_2}
\]
and the result follows. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.4.** Chords \(AB\) and \(CD\) of a circle meet at \(E\), with \(\angle AEC = \alpha\). Let \(W_1(w_1)\) be the incircle of skewed sector \(BED\) and let \(W_2(w_2)\) be the incircle of skewed sector \(AEC\). Let \(O_1(r_1)\) be the incircle of \(\triangle BED\) and let \(O_2(r_2)\) be the incircle of \(\triangle AEC\). See Figure 9. Then
\[
r_1 r_2 = w_1 w_2 \cos^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(m(DB) = \theta_1\) and \(m(CA) = \theta_2\). Applying Theorem 2.2 to skewed sector \(BED\) gives
\[
\frac{w_1}{r_1} = \frac{\cos(\theta_2/4)}{\cos(\alpha/2) \cos(\theta_1/4)}.
\]
Applying Theorem 2.2 to skewed sector \(AEC\) gives
\[
\frac{w_2}{r_2} = \frac{\cos(\theta_1/4)}{\cos(\alpha/2) \cos(\theta_2/4)}.
\]
Multiplying these two equations gives
\[
\frac{w_1 w_2}{r_1 r_2} = \frac{1}{\cos^2(\alpha/2)}
\]
and the result follows by cross-multiplying. □

**Theorem 3.5.** Cevians $AD$ and $CF$ in $\triangle ABC$ meet at $P$ and $\angle BFC = \angle BDA$. The cevians are extended to meet the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ at points $D'$ and $F'$, respectively, as shown in Figure 10. Let $W_1(w_1)$ be the incircle of skewed sector $BDD'$ and let $W_2(w_2)$ be the incircle of skewed sector $BFF'$. Let $O_1(r_1)$ be the incircle of $\triangle BDD'$ and let $O_2(r_2)$ be the incircle of $\triangle BFF'$. Then

$$\frac{w_1}{r_1} = \frac{w_2}{r_2}.$$

**Figure 10.** $w_1/r_1 = w_2/r_2$

**Proof.** Let $m(\widehat{BD'}) = \theta_1$, $m(\widehat{FB}) = \theta_2$, and $m(\widehat{CA}) = \phi$. Applying Theorem 2.2 to skewed sector $BDD'$ using $\alpha_1 = \angle BDD'$ gives

$$\frac{w_1}{r_1} = \frac{\cos(\phi/4)}{\cos(\alpha_1/2)\cos(\theta_1/4)}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 to skewed sector $BFF'$ using $\alpha_2 = \angle BFF'$ gives

$$\frac{w_2}{r_2} = \frac{\cos(\phi/4)}{\cos(\alpha_2/2)\cos(\theta_2/4)}.$$

But $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ because they are supplementary to the two given angles. Chords $AD'$ and $BC$ intercept arcs of measures $\theta_1$ and $\phi$, so $\alpha_1 = (\theta_1 + \phi)/2$. Similarly, $\alpha_2 = (\theta_2 + \phi)/2$. Thus, $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ because $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. Therefore, $w_1/r_1 = w_2/r_2$. □

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $H$ be the orthocenter of acute triangle $ABC$. The altitudes $AD$ and $CF$ are extended to meet the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ at points $D'$ and $F'$, respectively. Let $W_1(w_1)$ be the incircle of skewed sector $BDD'$ and let $W_2(w_2)$ be the incircle of skewed sector $BFF'$. Let $O_1(r_1)$ be the incircle of $\triangle BDH$ and let $O_2(r_2)$ be the incircle of $\triangle BFH$. See Figure 11. Then

$$\frac{w_1}{r_1} = \frac{w_2}{r_2}.$$
Figure 11. \( w_1/r_1 = w_2/r_2 \)

Proof. Let \( r_1' \) be the inradius of \( \triangle BDD' \) and let \( r_2' \) be the inradius of \( \triangle BFF' \). Since \( \angle BFC = \angle BDA \), by Theorem 3.5 we have \( w_1/r_1' = w_2/r_2' \). Now \( \angle CBD' = \angle CAD' \) since both angles subtend the same arc. But \( \angle CAD' = \angle CBE' \) since both angles are complementary to \( \angle ACB \). Thus, \( \angle BDD' = \angle BDH \). Right triangles \( BDD' \) and \( BDH \) share a common side. Thus \( \triangle BDD' \cong \triangle BDH \). Hence \( r_1 = r_1' \). Similarly, \( r_2 = r_2' \). Therefore, \( w_1/r_1 = w_2/r_2 \). □

Lemma 3.7. Let \( H \) be the orthocenter of acute \( \triangle ABC \), and let the altitudes be \( AD \), \( BE \), and \( CF \) as shown in Figure 12. Circles \( O_1(r_1) \), \( O_2(r_2) \), \( O_3(r_3) \), and \( O_4(r_4) \) are inscribed in triangles \( BHD \), \( BHF \), \( CAF \), and \( ACD \), respectively. Then \( r_1/r_2 = r_4/r_3 \).

Figure 12. \( r_1/r_2 = r_4/r_3 \)

Proof. Note that \( \triangle BHF \sim \triangle CAF \). Therefore the figure consisting of \( \triangle BHF \) and its incircle is similar to the figure consisting of \( \triangle CAF \) and its incircle. Corresponding parts of similar figures are in proportion, so \( r_2/r_3 = BH/CA \). In the same manner, \( \triangle BHD \sim \triangle ACD \) which implies that \( r_1/r_4 = BH/AC \). Therefore, \( r_2/r_3 = r_1/r_4 \) or \( r_1/r_2 = r_4/r_3 \). □

Corollary 3.8. Let \( H \) be the orthocenter of acute \( \triangle ABC \). The altitudes \( AD \) and \( CF \) are extended to meet the circumcircle of \( \triangle ABC \) at points \( D' \) and \( F' \),
respectively. Let \( W_1(w_1) \) be the incircle of skewed sector \( BDD' \) and let \( W_2(w_2) \) be the incircle of skewed sector \( BFF' \). Let \( O_3(r_3) \) be the incircle of \( \triangle AFC \) and let \( O_4(r_4) \) be the incircle of \( \triangle ADC \). See Figure 13. Then \( w_1/w_2 = r_4/r_3 \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.6, \( w_1/w_2 = r_1/r_2 \). By Lemma 3.7, \( r_1/r_2 = r_4/r_3 \). Therefore, \( w_1/w_2 = r_4/r_3 \). \( \square \)

4. **Relationships Between the Incircles of Two Skewed Sectors**

**Theorem 4.1.** Chords \( AB \) and \( CD \) of a circle meet at \( E \). Let \( W_1(w_1) \) be the circle inscribed in skewed sector \( DEB \) and let \( W_2(w_2) \) be circle inscribed in skewed sector \( AEC \), as shown in Figure 14. Then

\[
\frac{w_1}{w_2} = \frac{\tan(\theta_1/2)}{\tan(\theta_2/2)}
\]

where \( \angle BCD = \theta_1 \) and \( \angle ADC = \theta_2 \).

**Proof.** See [4, pp. 96–97] or [11, p. 26–27]. \( \square \)
Since the measure of an angle inscribed in a circle is half the circular measure of the intercepted arc, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Chords $AB$ and $CD$ of a circle meet at $E$. Let $W_1(w_1)$ be the circle inscribed in skewed sector $DEB$ and let $W_2(w_2)$ be the circle inscribed in skewed sector $AEC$, as shown in Figure 15. Then

\[
\frac{w_1}{w_2} = \tan\left(\frac{\theta_1}{4}\right) / \tan\left(\frac{\theta_2}{4}\right)
\]

where $m(\widehat{CA}) = \theta_1$ and $m(\widehat{DB}) = \theta_2$.

![Figure 15](image)

The reader may wonder if there is any geometric significance to the angle $\theta_1/4$. If $M$ is the midpoint of arc $\widehat{DB}$, then $\angle BDM = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{MB}$ and $\widehat{MB} = \frac{1}{2} \widehat{DB} = \frac{1}{2} \theta_1$, so $\angle BDM = \theta_1/4$.

There is a related result involving the incircles of triangles.

**Theorem 4.3.** Chords $B_1B_2$ and $C_1C_2$ of a circle meet at $A$. Let $r_1$ and $r_2$ be the inradii of $\triangle B_1AC_1$ and $\triangle B_2AC_2$, respectively, as shown in Figure 16. Let $B_1C_1 = a_1$ and let $B_2C_2 = a_2$. Then $r_1/r_2 = a_1/a_2$.

![Figure 16](image)

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that $\triangle B_1AC_1 \sim \triangle B_2AC_2$. □

The following theorem comes from [11, Problem 21] and is related to Ajima’s Theorem.
Theorem 4.4. Chords $B_1B_2$ and $C_1C_2$ of a circle meet at $A$. Let $W_1(w_1)$ be the circle inscribed in skewed sector $B_1AC_1$ and let $W_2(w_2)$ be the circle inscribed in skewed sector $B_2AC_2$. Let $v_1$ and $v_2$ be the heights of the segments formed by chords $B_1C_1$ and $B_2C_2$ as shown in Figure 17. Then

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2} = \frac{v_1a_2}{v_2a_1}$$

where $a_1 = B_1C_1$ and $a_2 = B_2C_2$.

\[\text{Figure 17. } \frac{w_1}{w_2} = \frac{v_1a_2}{v_2a_1}\]

The following result is due to Pohoatza and Ehrmann, [6].

Theorem 4.5. Let $D$ be the point on side $BC$ of $\triangle ABC$ such that $AB + BD = AC + CD$. A circle is circumscribed about $\triangle ABC$. Let $W_1(w_1)$ be the circle inscribed in skewed sector $ADB$ and let $W_2(w_2)$ be the circle inscribed in skewed sector $ADC$ (Figure 18). Then $w_1 = w_2$.

\[\text{Figure 18. } w_1 = w_2\]

Proof. Extend $AD$ to meet the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ at $D’$. Let $O_1(r_1)$ be the circle inscribed in $\triangle BDD’$ and let $O_2(r_2)$ be the circle inscribed in $\triangle CDD’$ (Figure 19). Then $1/r_1 + 1/w_2 = 1/r_2 + 1/w_1$ by Theorem 3.2 (with points $A$ and $D’$ interchanged). But $r_1 = r_2$ by Theorem 3.4 of [8]. Therefore, $w_1 = w_2$. □

See [1] for another proof.
5. Relationships Between the Incircles of Six Skewed Sectors

Theorem 5.1. Let \( H \) be the orthocenter of acute \( \triangle ABC \). The altitudes through \( H \) extended to meet the circumcircle of \( \triangle ABC \) divide the interior of that circumcircle into six skewed sectors, each with vertex at \( H \), as shown in Figure 20. Let \( W_i(w_i) \) be the circle tangent to two altitudes and internally tangent to the circumcircle as shown. Then \( w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6 \).

Proof. Let \( \theta_i \) be the arc angle of the skewed sector containing circle \( W_i \). By Theorem 4.2, \( w_1/w_4 = \tan(\theta_1/4)/\tan(\theta_4/4) \). Similarly, \( w_2/w_5 = \tan(\theta_2/4)/\tan(\theta_5/4) \) and \( w_3/w_6 = \tan(\theta_3/4)/\tan(\theta_6/4) \). Consequently,

\[
\frac{w_1w_3w_5}{w_2w_4w_6} = \frac{w_1}{w_4} \cdot \frac{w_3}{w_6} \cdot \frac{w_5}{w_2} = \frac{\tan(\theta_1/4)}{\tan(\theta_4/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_3/4)}{\tan(\theta_6/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_5/4)}{\tan(\theta_2/4)}.
\]

Note that \( \angle BAH = \angle BCH \) since both are complementary to \( \angle ABC \). Therefore, \( \theta_1 = \theta_6 \). Similarly, \( \theta_2 = \theta_3 \) and \( \theta_4 = \theta_5 \). Hence

\[
\frac{w_1w_3w_5}{w_2w_4w_6} = \frac{\tan(\theta_1/4)}{\tan(\theta_5/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_3/4)}{\tan(\theta_1/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_5/4)}{\tan(\theta_3/4)} = 1,
\]

so \( w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6 \). \( \square \)
Theorem 5.2. Let $I$ be the incenter of $\triangle ABC$. The cevians through $I$ extended to meet the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ divide the interior of that circumcircle into six skewed sectors, each with vertex at $I$, as shown in Figure 21. Let $W_i(w_i)$ be the circle tangent to two cevians and internally tangent to the circumcircle as shown. Then $w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6$.

**Proof.** Let $\theta_i$ be the arc angle of the skewed sector containing circle $W_i(w_i)$. By Theorem 4.2, $w_1/w_4 = \tan(\theta_1/4)/\tan(\theta_4/4)$. Similarly, $w_2/w_5 = \tan(\theta_2/4)/\tan(\theta_5/4)$ and $w_3/w_6 = \tan(\theta_3/4)/\tan(\theta_6/4)$. Consequently,

$$\frac{w_1w_3w_5}{w_2w_4w_6} = \frac{w_1}{w_4} \cdot \frac{w_3}{w_6} = \frac{\tan(\theta_1/4)}{\tan(\theta_4/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_3/4)}{\tan(\theta_6/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_5/4)}{\tan(\theta_2/4)}.$$ 

Note that $\angle BAI = \angle CAI$ since $AI$ is an angle bisector. Therefore, $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. Similarly, $\theta_3 = \theta_4$ and $\theta_5 = \theta_6$. Hence

$$\frac{w_1w_3w_5}{w_2w_4w_6} = \frac{\tan(\theta_1/4)}{\tan(\theta_3/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_3/4)}{\tan(\theta_5/4)} \cdot \frac{\tan(\theta_5/4)}{\tan(\theta_1/4)} = 1,$$

so $w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6$. \qed

Theorem 5.3. Let $H$ be the orthocenter of acute $\triangle ABC$. The altitudes through $H$ extended to meet the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ divide the segments of the circumcircle bounded by the sides of the triangle into two skewed sectors each as shown in Figure 22. Let $W_i(w_i)$ be the incircles of the six skewed sectors formed, situated as shown in Figure 22. Then $w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6$.

**Proof.** The altitudes of $\triangle ABC$ divide it into six triangles named $T_1$ through $T_6$ as shown in Figure 22. Let $r_i$ be the inradius of triangle $T_i$. By Theorem 3.6, $w_1/r_1 = w_6/r_6$. Similarly, $w_3/r_3 = w_2/r_2$ and $w_5/r_5 = w_4/r_4$. Therefore,

$$\frac{w_1w_3w_5}{r_1r_3r_5} = \frac{w_6w_2w_4}{r_6r_2r_4}.$$ 

But $r_1r_3r_5 = r_2r_4r_6$ by Theorem 3.1 of [7]. Therefore, $w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6$. \qed
Theorem 5.4. Let $M$ be the centroid of $\triangle ABC$. The medians through $M$ extended to meet the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ divide the segments of the circumcircle bounded by the sides of the triangle into two skewed sectors each as shown in Figure 22. Let $W_i(w_i)$ be the incircles of the six skewed sectors formed, situated as shown in Figure 23. Then

$$\frac{1}{w_1} + \frac{1}{w_3} + \frac{1}{w_5} = \frac{1}{w_2} + \frac{1}{w_4} + \frac{1}{w_6}.$$

Proof. A cevian through a point $P$ inside a triangle $ABC$ divides $\triangle ABC$ into two triangles, known as side triangles. There are six such side triangles, named $S_1$ through $S_6$ as shown in Figure 24.
Let\( r_i \) be the radius of the circle inscribed in triangle \( S_i \). When \( P \) is the centroid of \( \triangle ABC \), Theorem 2.2 from \([8]\) states that
\[
\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_3} + \frac{1}{r_5} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{r_4} + \frac{1}{r_6}.
\]
By Theorem 3.2
\[
\left(\frac{1}{w_1} - \frac{1}{w_2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{w_3} - \frac{1}{w_4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{w_5} - \frac{1}{w_6}\right)
= \left(\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{r_3} - \frac{1}{r_4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{r_5} - \frac{1}{r_6}\right) = 0,
\]
so \( 1/w_1 + 1/w_3 + 1/w_5 = 1/w_2 + 1/w_4 + 1/w_6. \)

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( H \) be the orthocenter of acute \( \triangle ABC \). The altitudes through \( H \) divide the triangle into six side triangles, \( S_1 \) through \( S_6 \) as shown in Figure 24. Let \( W_i(w_i) \) be the incircle of the skewed sector associated with \( S_i \). Two of these circles are shown in Figure 25. Then \( w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6. \)

**Figure 25.** \( w_1w_3w_5 = w_2w_4w_6 \)

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 5.3 by applying Corollary 3.8.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \( O \) be the circumcenter of \( \triangle ABC \). The cevians through \( O \) extended to meet the circumcircle of \( \triangle ABC \) divide the interior of that circumcircle into six skewed sectors, each having vertex at \( O \), as shown in Figure 26. Let \( W_i(w_i) \) be the circle tangent to two cevians and internally tangent to the circumcircle as shown. Then
\[
w_1 = w_4, \quad w_2 = w_5, \quad w_3 = w_6.
\]

**Proof.** It suffices to show that \( w_1 = w_4 \). Note that \( \angle BOD' = \angle AOE' \) because they are vertical angles. Also, \( OB = OD' = OE' = OA \) because they are all radii of circle \( O \). Therefore, skewed sectors \( BOD' \) and \( AOE' \) are congruent and thus their incircles are also congruent.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let \( O \) be the circumcenter of \( \triangle ABC \). The cevians through \( O \) are extended to meet the circumcircle of \( \triangle ABC \) at points \( D', E', \) and \( F' \) as shown in Figure 27. The cevians divide \( \triangle ABC \) into six side triangles named \( S_1 \) through \( S_6 \) as shown in Figure 24. Six circles, \( W_i(w_i) \), are inscribed in the skewed sectors.
relationships between circles inscribed in triangles and curvilinear triangles

Figure 26. $w_1 = w_4, w_2 = w_5, w_3 = w_6$

associated with these side triangles. Two of these circles are shown in Figure 27.

Then

\[ w_1 = w_4, \quad w_2 = w_5, \quad w_3 = w_6. \]

Figure 27. $w_1 = w_4$

Proof. It suffices to show that $w_1 = w_4$. Note that $OA = OB$ because they are both radii of circle $O$. Thus, $\angle OAB = \angle OBA$ because they are the base angles of an isosceles triangle. Also, $AD' = BE'$ because they are both diameters of circle $O$. The skewed sectors $BAD'$ and $ABE'$ have side $AB$ in common. Therefore, skewed sectors $BAD'$ and $ABE'$ are congruent and hence their incircles are also congruent.

\[ \square \]
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