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Abstract

Standard model is minimally extended using the unitary group $G' = U(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ of Connes’ color-flavor algebra. In place of Connes’ unimodularity condition an extra Higgs is assumed to spontaneously break $G'$ down to standard model gauge group. It is shown that the theory becomes anomaly-free only if right-handed neutrino is present in each generation. It is also shown that the extra Higgs gives rise to large Majorana mass of right-handed neutrino and the model predicts a new vectorial neutral current.
The most promising idea of explaining small neutrino mass is the sea-saw mechanism.\(^1\) The mechanism assumes the presence of right-handed neutrino with normal Dirac mass and large Majorana mass, the mass eigenstates being Majorana neutrinos. The purpose of the present note is to propose a way of introducing right-handed neutrino and the associated large energy scale in the standard model with a dynamical interpretation of Connes’ unimodularity condition.\(^2\) The latter serves as a mathematical restriction\(^2\),\(^3\) to reduce the unitary group \(G' = U(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)\) of Connes’ color-flavor algebra\(^2\) to the standard model gauge group \(G_{SM} = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)\). Our dynamical interpretation of the unimodularity condition assumes extra Higgs singlet, which triggers the symmetry breakdown, \(G' \to G_{SM}\), and generates an extra massive gauge boson singlet. These singlets are expected not to affect the low energy phenomenology but the extra heavy gauge boson leads to a new vector-like neutral current. It is incidentally shown that right-handed neutrino is necessary to achieve anomaly cancellation.

Let us first summarize Connes’ reformulation of the standard model in a way convenient for later purpose. The total fermion field is represented as (bimodular) \(4 \times 4\) matrix-valued spinor

\[
\psi = \begin{pmatrix} l_L & q_L^r & q_L^g & q_L^b \\ l_R & q_R^r & q_R^g & q_R^b \end{pmatrix},
\]

(1)

where \(l_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L, l_R = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e^R \\ e \end{pmatrix}_R, q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L, q_R = \begin{pmatrix} u^R \\ d^R \end{pmatrix}\) and \(r, b, g\) are color indices. For simplicity we consider only one generation. The gauge group in Connes’ approach is taken as the unitary group of the color-flavor algebra\(^2\)

\[
\mathcal{A}_C = C^\infty(M_4) \otimes (H \oplus C \oplus M_3(C)),
\]

(2)

where \(H\) denotes real quaternions, \(C\) complex field and \(M_3(C)\) is the set of \(3 \times 3\) complex matrices so that

\[
U(\mathcal{A}_C) = \text{Map} (M_4, U(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)) = G'.
\]

(3)

The gauge transformation is induced by the unitary restriction of the algebra representation

\[
\psi \to g\psi = g\psi G,
\]

(4)

where

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix} g_L & 0 \\ 0 & g_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_R = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} u^* & 0 \\ 0 & V^T \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(5)
Here $g_L \in SU(2)_L$, $u = e^{i\alpha}$, $\alpha$ being real, and $V \in U(M_3(C))$ are all local. On the other hand, the gauge transformation of Higgs doublet $h = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0^+ & \phi_0^- \end{pmatrix}$ reads *)

$$h \rightarrow g h = g_L h g_R^\dagger.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The spontaneous breakdown of symmetry, $G_{SM} \rightarrow SU(3) \times U(1)_{em}$, is given by $g_L \rightarrow g_R$ since $\langle h \rangle = (v/\sqrt{2})1_2 \neq 0$.

Since $\det g = 1$ is obeyed, Connes’ unimodularity condition 2) is formulated as

$$\det G = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

which reproduces correct hypercharge assignment of quarks (see below). Putting $V = e^{i\beta} U$ with $\det U = 1$ and $\beta$ being real this implies

$$-\alpha + 3\beta = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

However, the unimodularity condition (7) is put by hand in this level. It is desirable to derive it by a dynamical mechanism. A dynamical derivation would not impose the condition (8) but rather regard $-\alpha + 3\beta$ as an independent gauge parameter. To this end we first write Dirac Lagrangian invariant against the gauge transformation (4):

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \text{tr} \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu [(\partial_\mu + A_\mu) \psi + \psi B_\mu].$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The gauge fields transform like

$$A_\mu \rightarrow g A_\mu g^\dagger + g \partial_\mu g^\dagger,$$

$$B_\mu \rightarrow g B_\mu g^\dagger - G^\dagger G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Putting

$$A_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} -ig_2/2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \tau_i A^i_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & -ig_1/2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} B_\mu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} +ig_1/2B_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & -ig_3/2 \sum_{A=0}^8 \lambda^T_A G^A_\mu \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

*) The hypercharge of Higgs $\phi$ is normalized to be $+1$, leading to the choice $u = e^{i\alpha}$.  
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where \( \tau_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) are Pauli matrices, \( \lambda_{A=a} \) \((a = 1, 2, \cdots, 8)\) Gell-Mann matrices with \( \lambda_0 = (g'_3/g_3)1_3 \), \(^*\) the gauge transformation law (10) for Abelian gauge fields is cast into the form

\[
B_\mu \rightarrow gB_\mu = B_\mu + (2/g_1)\partial_\mu \alpha, \\
G_\mu^0 \rightarrow gG_\mu^0 = G_\mu^0 + (2/g'_3)\partial_\mu \beta. \tag{12}
\]

The unimodularity condition (7) means the vanishing of the trace:

\[
\text{tr}B_\mu = 0 \rightarrow g_1B_\mu - 3g'_3G_\mu^0 = 0. \tag{13}
\]

Let us now derive (13) as the low energy effective condition. This also means that the hypercharge gauge field is a mixture of \( B_\mu \) and \( G_\mu^0 \). There are three neutral gauge bosons, \( A_\mu^3, B_\mu \) and \( G_\mu^0 \) which can mix. Among them \( A_\mu^3 \) mixes at the electro-weak scale, while \( B_\mu \) and \( G_\mu^0 \) are assumed to mix at much higher energy scale. Hence it is sufficient to consider the neutral coupling involving \( B_\mu \) and \( G_\mu^0 \) for our purpose:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{NC;B,G^0} = \bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu(-ig_1/2) \left( \begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 \\
\end{array} \right) B_\mu L_i + \bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu(-ig_1/2) \left( \begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 \\
\end{array} \right) B_\mu L_i \\
\bar{q}_i \gamma^\mu \left( \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{array} \right) G_\mu^0 \gamma^\mu \left( \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{array} \right) G_\mu^0 q_i.
\]

Writing \( g'_3G_\mu^0 = (1/3)g_1B_\mu + (g'_3G_\mu^0 - (1/3)g_1B_\mu \) we separate the neutral coupling under consideration as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{NC;B,G^0} = \mathcal{L}_{NC;B} + \mathcal{L}'_{NC;Z'}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{NC;B} = \bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu(-ig_1/2)Y(l_L)B_\mu L_i + \bar{L}_i \gamma^\mu(-ig_1/2)Y(l_R)B_\mu L_i \\
\bar{q}_i \gamma^\mu \left( \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{array} \right) B_\mu + \bar{q}_i \gamma^\mu \left( \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{array} \right) G_\mu^0 q_i, \\
\mathcal{L}'_{NC;Z'} = \bar{q}_i \gamma^\mu(-ig_0/2)Z'_\mu q_i, \tag{15}
\]

where we have defined

\[
g_0Z'_\mu = g'_3G_\mu^0 - (1/3)g_1B_\mu \tag{16}
\]

\(^*\) From now on we identify \( G' = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1) \). The case \( g'_3 = g_3 \) recovers the original \( G' \).
and $Y(f)$ is the hypercharge $2 \times 2$ matrix of fermions $f = l_L, l_R, q_L, q_R$. The unimodularity condition (13) being imposed is tantamount to putting $Z'_\mu = 0$ by hand. The resulting Lagrangian leads to the correct hypercharge of fermions with $B_\mu$ being the hypercharge gauge field and $g_1$ being the hypercharge coupling constant. This is what was achieved in Connes’ reformulation of standard model.\(^2\)

In contrast, we demand that both $Z'_\mu$ and its orthogonal field $B'_\mu \propto (1/3) g_1 G^0_\mu + g'_1 B_\mu$ are dynamical and the latter is to be identified with the hypercharge gauge field. We write them as

$$
Z'_\mu = \cos \delta G^0_\mu - \sin \delta B_\mu,
$$

$$
B'_\mu = \sin \delta G^0_\mu + \cos \delta B_\mu,
$$

(17)

where the mixing angle is given by

$$
\tan \delta = \frac{g_1}{3g'_3}.
$$

(18)

They transform like

$$
Z'_\mu \to g Z'_\mu = Z'_\mu + (2/g_0) \partial_\mu (\beta - \alpha/3) = Z'_\mu - (2/g_1 \sin \delta) \partial_\mu (\alpha - \gamma),
$$

$$
B'_\mu \to g B'_\mu = B'_\mu + (2/g'_1) \partial_\mu \gamma,
$$

\gamma = \alpha + 3 \sin^2 \delta (\beta - \alpha/3) \equiv \alpha + \gamma'.
$$

(19)

To make $Z'_\mu$ heavy but leave $B'_\mu$ massless we assume the presence of an extra Higgs transforming like

$$
\Phi \rightarrow e^{2i(\alpha-\gamma)} \Phi.
$$

(20)

Nonvanishing vacuum expectation value $\langle \Phi \rangle \neq 0$ leaves $\alpha = \gamma$ unbroken. The Lagrangian of the extra Higgs singlet is given by

$$
\mathcal{L}_\Phi = (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger D^\mu \Phi - \frac{\lambda'}{4} (\Phi^\dagger \Phi - \frac{v'^2}{2})^2,
$$

$$
D_\mu \Phi = (\partial_\mu + ig_1 \sin \delta Z'_\mu) \Phi,
$$

(21)

which gives rise to the extra gauge boson mass, $M^2_{Z'} = g_1^2 \sin^2 \delta v'^2$, leaving $B'_\mu$ massless as desired. By inserting the inverse of (17)

$$
G^0_\mu = \cos \delta Z'_\mu + \sin \delta B'_\mu,
$$

$$
B_\mu = - \sin \delta Z'_\mu + \cos \delta B'_\mu,
$$

(22)

into the piece $\mathcal{L}_{NC,B}$ and defining

$$
g'_1 = g_1 \cos \delta.
$$

(23)
the piece $L_{NC;B}$ contains a part, $L_{NC}$, of the standard model Lagrangian with the hypercharge gauge field $B'_\mu$ and $g'_1$ being the hypercharge coupling constant. The remaining part can be combined with $L_{NC;Z'}$ to yield an additional neutral coupling, $\Delta' L_{NC}$, which involves only the gauge field $Z'_\mu$. The low energy standard model structure emerges if we let $Z'_\mu$ and $\nu_R$ be heavy enough to be unobservable at low energy. We shall see below that $\Phi$ generates Majorana mass of order $v'$ for $\nu_R$, and, hence, we assume $v' \gg v$ so that the gauge boson $Z'$ effectively decouples from and $\nu_R$ does not appear in the low energy spectrum. In this sense the unimodularity condition (13) is regarded as the low energy condition. Using the standard Higgs mechanism we obtain ($A_\mu^3$ is now included)

$$L_{NC} = eA_\mu^\mu J_{em}^\mu + \frac{g_2}{\cos \theta_W} Z_\mu J_Z^\mu,$$

$$\Delta' L_{NC} = -\frac{g_1}{2} Z_\mu (J_{Z'}^\mu - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{q} i \gamma^\mu Z'_\mu q),$$

where $L_{NC}$ has the conventional form with $B'_\mu$ being the hypercharge gauge field and $g'_1$ the hypercharge gauge coupling constant and

$$J_{Z'}^\mu = i L_l \gamma^\mu Y (l_L) I_L + i r \gamma^\mu Y (r_R) I_R + \tilde{q} L \gamma^\mu Y (q_L) q_L + \tilde{q} r \gamma^\mu Y (q_R) q_R.$$  

Note that we have invoked the standard Higgs mechanism by employing the Lagrangian

$$L_H = \text{tr} \left[ (D_\mu h)^\dagger D^\mu h - \frac{\lambda}{4} (h^\dagger h - \frac{v^2}{2})^2 \right],$$

where Higgs field $h$ is assumed to transform under gauge transformation

$$h \to e^{i g} = g_L h g_R^\dagger \left( \begin{array}{cc} e^{-i \gamma'} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i \gamma'} \end{array} \right) \equiv g_L h g_R^\dagger, \quad g'_R = \left( \begin{array}{cc} e^{i \gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i \gamma} \end{array} \right),$$

with $\gamma' = \sin^2 \delta (3 \beta - \alpha)$ and $\gamma = \alpha + \gamma'$. The covariant derivative $D_\mu h$ is determined from (27) with the gauge transformation property (19). The replacement of $g_R$ with $g'_R$ comes from the requirement that $B'_\mu$ but not $B_\mu$ be the hypercharge gauge field and $g'_1$ but not $g_1$ be the hypercharge coupling constant. The spontaneous symmetry breakdown, $G' \to SU(3) \times U(1)_{em}$, is given by $g_L \to g'_R$ and $\gamma \to \alpha$.

The above gauge transformation of standard Higgs modifies that of fermions in order to preserve gauge invariance of Yukawa coupling. The modified gauge transformation is

$$l_L \to g l_L = e^{-i \alpha} g_L l_L, \quad \nu_R \to g \nu_R = e^{-i \alpha + i \gamma} \nu_R, \quad e_R \to g e_R = e^{-i \alpha - i \gamma} e_R;$$

$$\phi \to g \phi = e^{i \gamma} g_L \phi,$$

$$q_L \to g q_L = e^{i \beta} g_L q_L, \quad u_R \to g u_R = e^{i \beta + i \gamma} u_R, \quad d_R \to g d_R = e^{i \beta - i \gamma} d_R.$$  

(28)
This is equivalent to replacing \( g_R \) in (4) and (5) with \( g'_R \). It is apparent that triangle anomaly arising from lepton doublet running in the loop does not cancel that of quark doublet unless we perform the following additional gauge transformation

\[
q \rightarrow^g q = e^{-i(\beta - \alpha/3)}q,
\]

so that the quark sector in (28) is modified as

\[
q_L \rightarrow^g q_L = e^{i\alpha/3}g_L U q_L, u_R \rightarrow^g u_R = e^{i\alpha/3+i\gamma}U u_R, d_R \rightarrow^g d_R = e^{i\alpha/3-i\gamma}U u_R.
\]

The gauge transformation (29) introduces additional gauge interaction which is just negative of \( L'_{NC;Z'} \) in (15).

The gauge transformation of leptons given by (28) and that of quarks given by (30) finally determine the gauge interaction of fermions. By investigating non-safe triangle diagrams, \( B^3, SU(3)B'^2, SU(3)SU(2)B', SU(2)B'^2, [SU(3)]^2B', [SU(3)]^2B \), it can be shown that the theory is anomaly-free. In particular, \( \nu_R \) participates in anomaly cancellation in a non-trivial way.

The neutral coupling involving \( B \) and \( B' \) is now given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{NC;B,G} + \Delta \mathcal{L}_{NC;Z'}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{NC;Z'} = (g_1 \sin \delta/2)Z'_\mu J^\mu_{Z'}, \quad J^\mu_{Z'} = [\bar{l}\gamma^\mu l - (1/3)\bar{q}\gamma^\mu q],
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_{NC;B'} \) is the same as \( \mathcal{L}_{NC;B} \) given by (15) with \( B \rightarrow B' \) and \( g_1 \rightarrow g'_1 \) and the extra coupling \( \Delta \mathcal{L}_{NC;Z'}, \) which comes from non-vanishing \( \gamma' = \gamma - \alpha = 3 \sin^2 \delta(\beta - \alpha/3) \) associated with the gauge field \( Z'_\mu \), see (19), is given by

\[
\Delta \mathcal{L}_{NC;Z'} = (ig_1 \sin \delta/2)i_R i\gamma^\mu Z'_\mu \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} l_R + (l_R \rightarrow q_R).
\]

Comparing (20) and \( \nu_R \) transformation property of (28) we also have the invariant coupling

\[
\nu_R^C \Phi \nu_R + \bar{\nu}_R^C \Phi^\dagger \bar{\nu}_R,
\]

which generates Majorana mass of order \( v' \) for \( \nu_R \). Such Yukawa couplings are absent for other fermions. Since neutrino possesses Yukawa coupling generating normal Dirac mass, the sea-saw mechanism works in this model without invoking GUT.

Unfortunately, the new energy scale \( v' \) is quite arbitrary except that it must be very large compared with \( v \) so as not to conflict with the present experiment. Detailed phenomenological calculations will be reported elsewhere. Moreover, one may argue that standard Higgs couples to the extra
Higgs singlet through \((\phi^\dagger \phi)(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)\). It turns out, however, that the renormalizability is not spoiled by assuming the absence of \(\phi^-\Phi\) coupling since fermions do not couple to the additional Higgs which do not couple to the usual gauge bosons. Hence, one can assume that there exists no \(\phi^-\Phi\) coupling. *)

Only neutral current interaction due to \(Z'\) exchange contributes at yet unknown very high energy. The effective neutral coupling due to \(Z'\) exchange is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{NC}} = -\frac{G'}{\sqrt{2}} J_{Z'} J_{Z'}^\mu, \quad G' = g'_1 \sin^2 \delta \frac{2M_{Z'}}{G} \ll G = \text{Fermi constant.} \tag{34}
\]

We have presented a minimum extension of anomaly-free standard model with the gauge group \(G' = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)\). This is made possible if \(\nu_R\) is assumed to be non-singlet under \(G'\). Consequently, \(\nu_R\) makes an important contribution to anomaly cancellation. A new energy scale is also introduced, which provides mass of new extra gauge boson and Majorana mass of \(\nu_R\).
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