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Clinical effectiveness of health visitor training in psychologically informed approaches for depression in postnatal women: pragmatic cluster randomised trial in primary care
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ABSTRACT

Objective To evaluate benefits for postnatal women of two psychologically informed interventions by health visitors.

Design Prospective cluster trial randomised by general practice, with 18 month follow-up.

Setting 101 general practices in Trent, England.

Participants 2749 women allocated to intervention, 1335 to control.

Intervention Health visitors (n=89 63 clusters) were trained to identify depressive symptoms at six to eight weeks postnatally using the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) and clinical assessment and also trained in providing psychologically informed sessions based on cognitive behavioural or person centred principles for an hour a week for eight weeks. Health visitors in the control group (n=49 38 clusters) provided usual care.

Main outcome measures Score ≥12 on the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale at six months. Secondary outcomes were mean Edinburgh postnatal depression scale, clinical outcomes in routine evaluation-outcome measure (CORE-OM), state-trait anxiety inventory (STAI), SF-12, and parenting stress index short form (PSI-SF) scores at six, 12, 18 months.

Results 4084 eligible women consented and 595 women had a six week EPDS score ≥12. Of these, 418 had EPDS scores available at six weeks and six months. At six months, 34% women (93/271) in the intervention group and 46% (67/147) in the control group had an EPDS score ≥12. The odds ratio for score ≥12 at six months was 0.62 (95% confidence interval 0.40 to 0.97, P=0.036) for women in the intervention group compared with women in the control group. After adjustment for covariates, the odds ratio was 0.60 (0.38 to 0.95, P=0.028). At six months, 12.4% (234/1880) of all women in the intervention group and 16.7% (166/995) of all women in the control group had scores ≥12 (0.67, 0.51 to 0.87, P=0.003). Benefit for women in the intervention group with a six week EPDS score ≥12 and for all women was maintained at 12 months postnatally. There was no differential benefit for either psychological approach over the other.

Conclusion Training health visitors to assess women, identify symptoms of postnatal depression, and deliver psychologically informed sessions was clinically effective at six and 12 months postnatally compared with usual care.

Trial registration ISRCTN92195776.

INTRODUCTION

Postnatal depression is a global problem and an important public health issue. About 13% of women experience depression during the first postnatal year, 1 yet there are problems in recognition because its clinical assessment is complex. There can be serious consequences for the mother, her child, 2 and family and a risk of suicide (the leading cause of maternal death in England and Wales) and infanticide in some severely depressed mothers. 3 Fathers are also more likely to be depressed if their partner is depressed, 4 and the children of fathers who experience depression in the postnatal period are at increased risk of behaviour problems. 5

In primary care, psychological interventions are as clinically effective in the management of depression as routine care from a general practitioner or antidepressants in the short term and might be cost effective. 6-10 There are problems in treating postnatal women with antidepressants 11 and psychological therapies provide a practical alternative 12 and are preferred by women. 13 In the United Kingdom the role of health visitors in managing postnatal depression has been promoted. 14

Cochrane and other reviews covering studies worldwide have examined interventions for postnatal depression. 15,16 They found insufficient good evidence
to recommend treatment, mainly because of inadequacies in design or reporting of random allocation method, sample size, follow-up, or failure to use intention to treat analysis. Psychosocial and psychological interventions might be an effective treatment option, but the long term effectiveness remains unclear.16

In this pragmatic trial we examined outcomes for postnatal women of special training for health visitors compared with usual care. We chose a cluster allocation by general practice to minimise contamination between intervention and control group. We tested the hypotheses that there would be no differences between the groups in outcome for mother, infant, or family nor between the groups randomised to the two different psychological approaches.

METHODS
Setting and participants
The pragmatic cluster trial took place from April 2003 to March 2006 in 101 general practices (clusters) in 29 primary care trusts in the former Trent Regional Health Authority, comprising a blend of urban and rural areas, with a population of about 5.2 million people. Clusters were eligible if they were based in the Trent region. Health visitors recruited eligible women antenatally if they were registered with participating practices, were aged 18 or more, were able to give informed consent, and had no severe mental health problems. In 101 clusters there were 7649 eligible women, and 4084 consented to take part.

Health visitor training
Health visitors in the intervention group were trained to identify depressive symptoms using the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS)12 and to use clinical assessment skills to assess a mother’s mood including suicidal thoughts. The EPDS is a self report measure with a score ranging from 0 to 30 (the highest symptom level). It is widely used in research and clinical practice but on its own is inadequate for confirming depression without a clinical interview. These health visitors were also trained to deliver psychologically informed sessions based on distinct psychological theories, either cognitive behavioural principles29 or on person centred principles.24 We then compared these two approaches.

Baseline measurement and identification of women with six week score ≥12
Women were sent a postal questionnaire at six weeks postnatally to collect demographic details, measure depressive symptoms using the EPDS, and measure social support and stressful life events using the measure of social relationships20 and list of threatening experiences,21 and previous depression.22 Administration of the questionnaire coincided with an existing health visitor contact. We used the recommended pragmatic EPDS threshold score of 12 to identify women with symptoms of depression.23 24 In the first validation study, this score correctly identified all women with major depression and most with minor depression.23 The sensitivity for identifying depressed women (true positives) was 86% and the specificity for identifying true negatives was 78%. A cut off of 9 or 10 correctly identified all women with definite minor depression but the original researchers considered that there would be an untenable workload for health visitors if health visitors used this lower threshold.23 As some women could simply be unhappy when they completed the six week EPDS, women with a raised score completed a repeat EPDS after two weeks to identify those who needed further support.24

We used the recommended score23 to identify women more likely to benefit from psychologically informed sessions. The main comparison was between women in the two groups with an EPDS score ≥12 at six weeks.

We also followed up all consenting women as some who scored <12 at six weeks might have been depressed at that time and others would have developed depression over the following months. All women whose infants were 6, 12, and 18 months old

![Trial profile of clusters, all women and with six week EPDS score ≥12 in control and intervention groups. Note: 597 women were not sent 12 month questionnaire as their baby was <12 months, and 1879 women were not sent 18 month questionnaire as their baby was not 18 months when follow-up interval ended.](image-url)
within the trial follow-up phase were sent a postal follow-up questionnaire.

**Intervention group**

In clusters in the intervention group the psychologically informed approach comprised a package of health visitor training, combining three main elements of assessing women, identifying depressive symptoms, and delivering either a cognitive behavioural or a person centred approach. A training reference group of experienced academically based trainers in psychological therapy, who represented both the cognitive behavioural and person centred approaches, maximised the rigour, effectiveness, and comparability of the two training programmes. This was to ensure that the trial would be considered by advocates of each approach to have been a credible and fair test of that approach. The manualised health visitor training ensured that unintentional bias supporting either of the two interventions was minimised and prepared the health visitors to provide an appropriate, pragmatic, distinctive, derivative approach, delivering critical elements from cognitive behavioural therapy or person centred therapy, not psychotherapy.

| Baseline characteristics of women with score ≥12 on Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) at six weeks postnatally and all consented women who returned questionnaire at six weeks and six months, for intervention group (specially trained health visitors) versus control group (usual care). Figures are means (SD) or numbers (percentages) of women |  |
|---|---|
| **Women with six week EPDS score ≥12 (n=418)** | **All women (n=2659)** |
| **Age (years):** |  |
| No of women | 147 | 271 | 418 | 913 | 1745 | 2658 |
| Mean (SD) | 30.6 (5.5) | 31.0 (5.4) | 30.9 (5.4) | 32.0 (5.1) | 31.3 (5.0) | 31.5 (5.1) |
| **Age (years) at birth of first child:** |  |
| No of women | 145 | 265 | 410 | 889 | 1714 | 2603 |
| Mean (SD) | 27.5 (6.0) | 27.4 (5.8) | 27.4 (5.8) | 29.0 (5.3) | 28.0 (5.3) | 28.4 (5.3) |
| **No of other children:** |  |
| No of women | 147 | 271 | 418 | 914 | 1745 | 2659 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.7 (1.0) | 0.8 (0.9) | 0.8 (0.9) | 0.7 (0.8) | 0.7 (0.9) | 0.7 (0.9) |
| **EPDS score at six weeks:** |  |
| No of women | 147 | 271 | 418 | 914 | 1745 | 2659 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.4 (3.2) | 15.1 (2.9) | 15.2 (3.0) | 6.8 (5.0) | 6.6 (4.8) | 6.7 (4.8) |
| **CORE-OM score at six weeks:** |  |
| No of women | 146 | 269 | 415 | 906 | 1735 | 2641 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.40 (0.50) | 1.35 (0.49) | 1.37 (0.49) | 0.55 (0.51) | 0.51 (0.49) | 0.52 (0.50) |
| **SF-12 MCS score at six weeks:** |  |
| No of women | 143 | 265 | 408 | 888 | 1719 | 2607 |
| Mean (SD) | 29.4 (9.2) | 29.1 (8.0) | 29.2 (8.4) | 42.7 (9.5) | 42.9 (9.3) | 42.9 (9.4) |
| **SF-12 PCS score at six weeks:** |  |
| No of women | 143 | 265 | 408 | 888 | 1719 | 2607 |
| Mean (SD) | 48.5 (10.9) | 50.1 (9.4) | 49.6 (10.0) | 50.5 (8.7) | 51.4 (8.0) | 51.1 (8.3) |
| Twin births | 2/147 (1.4) | 3/270 (1.1) | 5/417 (1.2) | 5/833 (0.6) | 19/1727 (1.1) | 24/2560 (0.9) |
| Living alone with no adults | 7/146 (4.8) | 19/269 (7.1) | 26/415 (6.3) | 31/912 (3.4) | 58/1706 (3.3) | 89/2618 (3.4) |
| History of major life events | 73/145 (50.3) | 152/269 (56.5) | 225/419 (54.3) | 368/906 (40.6) | 715/1735 (41.2) | 1083/2641 (41.0) |
| White ethnicity | 133/147 (90.5) | 257/271 (94.8) | 390/418 (93.3) | 871/914 (95.3) | 1686/1744 (96.6) | 2557/2658 (96.2) |
| English first language | 136/147 (92.5) | 266/271 (98.2) | 402/418 (96.2) | 877/914 (96.0) | 1699/1744 (97.4) | 2576/2658 (96.9) |

CORE-OM=clinical outcomes in routine evaluation-outcome measure; SF-12 MCS=short form 12 mental component summary; SF-12 PCS=short form 12 physical component summary. Better health represented by lower EPDS and CORE-OM score and higher SF-12 PCS and SF-12 MCS score.

**Table 2** Primary outcome: numbers (percentages) of women with score ≥12 on Edinburgh postnatal depression scale at six months among 418* women with score ≥12 at six weeks, all women (n=2659*), and 2241 women with score <12 at six weeks

| Control | Intervention | % Difference (95% CI) | Odds ratio (95% CI) | Unadjusted | Adjusted† |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Score ≥12 at six weeks** | 67/147 (45.6) | 92/271 (33.9) | 11.7 (0.4 to 22.9) | 0.62 (0.40 to 0.97), P=0.036 | 0.60 (0.38 to 0.95), P=0.028 |
| All women | 150/914 (16.4) | 205/1745 (11.7) | 4.7 (0.7 to 8.6) | 0.67 (0.51 to 0.87), P=0.003 | 0.67 (0.52 to 0.86), P=0.002 |
| **Score <12 at six weeks** | 83/767 (10.8) | 113/1474 (7.7) | 3.1 (0.4 to 5.9) | 0.68 (0.51 to 0.92), P=0.016 | — |

*For adjusted odds ratio, n=409 for women with score ≥12 at six weeks and 2624 for all women.
†Adjusted for score at six weeks, living alone, history of postnatal depression, any life events.
in developing helpful relationships such as positive regard and empathy. The cognitive behavioural training emphasised the identification of unhelpful patterns of behaviours, perceptions, or thoughts in a woman’s life, and that these are common and normal, to help the woman to change these herself. The person centred training used the three principles of the actualising tendency, a non-directive attitude, and the necessary and sufficient conditions of change. Person centred counselling has previously been referred to as non-directive counselling. See bmj.com for further details on the training of the health visitors.

As usual in the application of psychologically informed approaches in the NHS, the health visitors had access to clinical supervision with the trainers by telephone. The health visitors were asked to attend monthly reflective practice sessions to ensure they carried out the sessions according to their training.

**Women eligible for psychologically informed sessions**

In the intervention group the health visitors re-administered the EPDS face to face at eight weeks postnatally to all women with a six week EPDS score ≥12. Those who still had a score ≥12 were offered either cognitive behavioural or person centred sessions according to the cluster randomisation.

The health visitor delivered weekly one hour sessions in the woman’s home for up to eight weeks, focusing on the woman’s needs, starting around eight weeks postnatally.

**Usual care**

In the UK, general practitioners, midwives, and hospital obstetricians meet women early in pregnancy to plan care. Care is then given by a midwife, shared between the midwife and possibly a general practitioner, or otherwise. Consultant led care is based on clinical need. UK health visitors have routine contact with women at a new birth visit and at well baby clinics.

Before random allocation, 47% of all participating health visitors had used an EPDS assessment at six weeks postnatally, according to primary care trust policy, and would typically refer women to a general practitioner but not offer psychologically informed sessions. After randomisation, control health visitors in 38 clusters continued to represent this variability so women in the control group continued to receive the range of usual postnatal care as provided by these health visitors. All health visitors continued to fulfil other aspects of their role.

**Outcomes**

We measured outcomes using a postal questionnaire at six, 12, and 18 months postnatally.

**Primary outcome**—The patient centred primary outcome was the proportion of women with a six week EPDS ≥12, who had a six month EPDS score ≥12.

**Secondary outcomes**—Secondary outcomes included the mean EPDS score at six and 12 months postnatally. The other secondary outcomes had all been used with perinatal women and had good psychometric properties. To capture the broader impact of the intervention we measured women’s general health using the SF-12 mental component summary (SF-MCS) and physical component summary (SF-PCS). We used the clinical outcomes in routine evaluation-outcome measure (CORE-OM) as a measure of global distress. We also measured symptoms of postnatal anxiety using the state-trait anxiety inventory (STAI) because anxiety disorders are as common as

---

**Table 3** Secondary outcomes at six months for women with score ≥12 on Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) at six weeks and all women, for control group versus intervention group

| Outcome                      | Control Mean (SD) | Intervention Mean (SD) | Difference (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted* (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| **Women with score ≥12 at six weeks (n=418):** |                   |                        |                     |         |                   |         |
| EPDS                         | 147 (11.3)         | 271 (9.2)              | -2.1 (-3.4 to -0.8) | 0.002   | -2.1 (-3.3 to -0.9) | 0.001   |
| CORE-OM                      | 146 (1.05)         | 269 (0.82)             | -0.23 (-0.39 to -0.07) | 0.006  | -0.22 (-0.36 to -0.09) | 0.001   |
| STATE anxiety                | 136 (45.5)         | 254 (41.7)             | -3.8 (-6.6 to 1.0)  | 0.008   | -3.9 (-6.1 to 1.4)  | 0.003   |
| SF-12 MCS                    | 142 (37.8)         | 263 (42.3)             | -4.7 (-8.2 to 0.4)  | 0.001   | 5.2 (2.5 to 7.8)    | 0.001   |
| SF-12 PCS                    | 142 (54.3)         | 263 (53.0)             | -1.4 (-3.5 to 0.7)  | 0.204   | -1.7 (-3.6 to 0.1)  | 0.069   |
| PSI-SF total stress          | 106 (136.9)        | 211 (148.9)            | 9.2 (4.8 to 13.7)   | 0.001   | 9.3 (5.2 to 13.4)   | 0.001   |

**All women (n=2659):**

| Outcome                      | Control Mean (SD) | Intervention Mean (SD) | Difference (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted* (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| EPDS                         | 914 (6.4)         | 1745 (5.5)             | -1.0 (-1.5 to -0.4) | 0.001   | -0.8 (-1.2 to -0.4) | 0.001   |
| CORE-OM                      | 906 (0.53)        | 1736 (0.45)            | -0.09 (-0.15 to 0.04) | 0.001  | -0.07 (-0.11 to 0.03) | 0.001   |
| STATE anxiety                | 858 (34.3)        | 1634 (32.2)            | -1.3 (-2.7 to -0.1)  | 0.042   | -1.3 (-2.5 to -0.1)  | 0.033   |
| SF-12 MCS                    | 885 (47.6)        | 1694 (48.9)            | 1.5 (0.3 to 2.6)    | 0.010   | 1.4 (0.5 to 2.3)    | 0.003   |
| SF-12 PCS                    | 885 (54.5)        | 1694 (54.7)            | 0.2 (0.3 to 0.7)    | 0.469   | 0.0 (0.4 to 0.5)    | 0.871   |
| PSI-SF total stress          | 698 (155.9)       | 1310 (157.9)           | 2.1 (0.3 to 3.9)    | 0.021   | 2.3 (0.6 to 3.9)    | 0.007   |

CORE-OM=clinical outcomes in routine evaluation-outcome measure; SF-12 MCS=short form 12 mental component summary; SF-12 PCS=short form 12 physical component summary; PSI-SF=parenting stress index short form. Better health represented by lower score in EPDS, CORE-OM, and STATE anxiety; higher score in others.

*Adjusted for six week score, living alone, history of postnatal depression, and any life events.
depression after delivery.\textsuperscript{29} Women completed the parenting stress index short form (PSI-SF)\textsuperscript{30} as a measure of adjustment to new parenthood.

**Recruitment and randomisation**

We systematically approached a range of networks to facilitate the recruitment of clusters. Health visitors worked with the general practitioners, were usually based in the same premises, and held a caseload of families registered with the practice. To avoid selection bias in the clusters, health visitors and a general practitioner in each practice signed a consent form before the random allocation. The health visitors aimed to recruit all eligible individual women on their caseload consecutively to avoid bias in the selection of women.

**Sequence generation and random assignment**

An independent statistician generated the allocation sequence using a computer randomisation programme (RANDOM, Southampton University). To minimise imbalance across groups, the clusters were stratified by the number of expected births per cluster per year into three groups (<70, 70-100, >100). Clusters were allocated to either cognitive behavioural or person centred approach (intervention) or the control group in a ratio of 1:1:1. The sequence was concealed to clusters. The principal investigator (CJM) enrolled the general practitioners, were usually based in the same premises, and held a caseload of families registered with the practice. To avoid selection bias in the clusters, health visitors and a general practitioner in each practice signed a consent form before the random allocation. The health visitors aimed to recruit all eligible individual women on their caseload consecutively to avoid bias in the selection of women.

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses were by intention to treat, analysed as randomised, irrespective of receipt of psychologically informed sessions, with \( P<0.05 \) regarded as significant. The primary analysis compared scores at six months for women with a six week EPDS score \( \geq 12 \) in all intervention clusters with those in the control group.

We used a marginal generalised linear model with coefficients estimated using generalised estimating equations\textsuperscript{12} with robust standard errors and an exchangeable auto correlation matrix in Stata v8 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) to analyse the outcomes and adjust for the potential clustering of the data. We have shown estimates of the group coefficients from these regression models with their associated 95\% confidence intervals. In all the analyses we first fitted a simple model and then one to adjust for individual level covariates (living alone, history of postnatal depression, and stressful life events, as the strongest predictors of postnatal depression\textsuperscript{29} and six week EPDS score. For secondary outcomes we compared mean values at six, 12, and 18 months using similar models. We included in the statistical analysis women with EPDS scores at both six weeks and six months and did not impute missing data.

**RESULTS**

**Cluster characteristics**

Figure 1 shows the flow of clusters and participants through the trial. Among 241 interested practices, 101 consented to take part. These recruited practices were in areas representative of Trent and England as a whole according to the index of multiple deprivation.\textsuperscript{33} The 101 clusters yielded 7649 eligible women of whom 4084 (53\%) with a live baby consented to take part.

**Six week response and identification of women with six week EPDS score \( \geq 12 \)**

Of all the women in 101 clusters, 85\% (3449/4084) completed a six week questionnaire: 16\% (191/1172) in the control group and 18\% (404/2277) in the intervention group scored \( \geq 12 \) on the EPDS.

**Characteristics of women in the comparison groups**

Table 1 compares the baseline characteristics of women with a six week EPDS score \( \geq 12 \) (n=418) and all women (n=2659) who returned questionnaires at six weeks and six months, indicating that the randomisation resulted in well balanced groups.

---

**Table 4** | Primary outcome: numbers (percentages) of women with score \( \geq 12 \) on Edinburgh postnatal depression scale at six months among 418\* women with score \( \geq 12 \) at six weeks and all women (n=2659\*) according to therapeutic approach: cognitive behavioural (CBA) or person centred (PCA)

|          | CBA | PCA | Difference % (95% CI) | Odds ratio (95% CI) |
|----------|-----|-----|-----------------------|---------------------|
|          | Unadjusted | Adjusted |                      |                     |
| Score \( \geq 12 \) at six weeks | 46/140 (32.9) | 46/131 (35.1) | 2.2 (−10.1 to 14.2) | 1.09 (0.64 to 1.88), \( P=0.74 \) |
|          | 1.00 (0.57 to 1.77), \( P=0.99 \) |
| All women | 98/848 (11.6) | 107/897 (11.9) | 0.3 (−2.6 to 3.4) | 1.04 (0.78 to 1.39), \( P=0.80 \) |
|          | 1.09 (0.81 to 1.46), \( P=0.59 \) |

\*For adjusted odds ratio, n=265 for women with score \( \geq 12 \) at six weeks and 1726 for all women.

---

\(^{11} \) CBA = cognitive behavioural, PCA = person centred

\(^{12} \) Odds ratio for adjusted odds ratio, n=265 for women with score \( \geq 12 \) at six weeks and 1726 for all women.
Six month follow-up and analysis for women with six week EPDS score ≥12
Seventy per cent (418/595) of women with a six week EPDS score ≥12 from 86 clusters had a six week and a six month score available for analysis; 77% (147/191) in the control group and 67% (271/404) in the intervention group (Fig 1). For the primary outcome, 46% women (67/147) in the control group and 34% (93/271) in the intervention group scored ≥12 on the six month EPDS (Table 2). The difference of 11.7% (95% confidence interval 0.4% to 22.9%) was significant (P=0.039). This means that we would need to treat nine women who had a six week EPDS score ≥12 for one additional woman to have an EPDS score <12 at six months.

After adjustment for living alone, history of postnatal depression, stressful life events, and six week EPDS score the odds ratio (0.60, 0.38 to 0.95, P=0.002) further indicated that women in the intervention group with a six week score ≥12 were 40% less likely to have a six month score ≥12 than women in the control group.

For women with a six week score ≥12, the mean EPDS score at six months was 11.3 (SD 5.8) in the control group and 9.2 (SD 5.4) in the intervention group (Table 3). The mean difference of -2.1 (-3.4 to -0.8, P=0.002) remained significant after we adjusted for variables at six weeks (P=0.001). Table 3 also shows the differences in the mean scores for the secondary outcomes in favour of the intervention group women with a six week EPDS score ≥12.

Six month follow-up and analysis for all women as randomised
Of all the women who returned a six week questionnaire, 77% (2659/3449) also returned a six month questionnaire (Fig 1): 78% (914/1172) in the control group and 77% (2725/3477) in the intervention group.

At the six month follow-up 16% (150/914) of all women in the control group and 12% (205/1745) of all women in the intervention group had a six week score ≥12, an absolute difference of 4.7% (0.7% to 8.6%, P=0.003). The difference was still significant (P=0.002) after we adjusted for living alone, history of postnatal depression, stressful life events, and six week EPDS score (Table 2). The mean score was 6.4 (SD 5.2) in the control group and 5.5 (SD 4.7) in the intervention group (P<0.001) (Table 3). Table 3 also shows the secondary outcome mean scores for all women favouring the intervention group women.

Intracluster correlation coefficient
The observed intracluster correlation coefficient for the primary outcome at six months was 0.037 (0.000 to 0.114) for the 418 women with a six week score ≥12 in 86 clusters and 0.009 (0.000 to 0.022) for all 2659 women in 100 clusters.

Comparison of the cognitive behavioural approach and person centred approach
Examination of the two intervention groups separately showed that 33% (46/140) of women with a six week score ≥12 in the cognitive behavioural group and 35% (46/131) in the person centred group had a six month score ≥12 (P=0.74) (Table 4). The mean six month score was 9.2 (SD 5.3) for women in the cognitive behavioural group and 9.2 (SD 5.5) for women in the person centred group (P=0.99).

For all women in the intervention group, 12% (98/848) in the cognitive behavioural group and 12% (107/897) in the person centred group had a six month score ≥12 (P=0.80). The mean six month score was 5.5 (SD 4.7) for all women in each of the groups (P=0.94).

Secondary outcomes at 12 and 18 months
Figure 2 shows how the mean score changed over time from six weeks to 12 months for women with a six week score ≥12 and all women by group. Table 5 shows that the differences in the mean EPDS scores at six months and secondary outcome scores were sustained at 12 months for the women with a six week score ≥12 and for all women. Table 6 shows that in some measures, differences in secondary outcomes were maintained at the 18 month follow-up for women with a six week score ≥12 and for all women.

DISCUSSION
This pragmatic cluster trial provides new evidence of the effectiveness of a package of training for health visitors to identify symptoms of depression postnatally and to provide psychologically informed sessions. In the intervention group we found a reduction in depressive symptoms in postnatal women as measured by the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale and by secondary outcomes at six and 12 months postnatally among women with a six week EPDS score ≥12 as well as among all women as randomised. It is important to note that the comparison was between the intervention group and a usual care control group, rather than a no treatment control group.

There was also some evidence of a benefit in favour of the intervention group for some of the secondary
outcomes at the 18 month follow-up. As fewer women were sent a follow-up questionnaire at 18 months, however, more uncertainty surrounds these outcomes.

**Strengths of the study**

The trial has good internal and external validity and, with more than twice as many participants as the previous largest study, provides more evidence than before of the benefit of psychologically informed approaches for women with postnatal depression. We followed postnatal women to 18 months, whereas the final outcome in most previous studies of postnatal depression was measured at one to three months postnatally, and also incorporated an economic evaluation.

We achieved the required number of clusters. The characteristics of the practices collaborating in the study were representative of those in England, indicating good external validity. The attributes of health visitors are also probably generalisable. We estimated that 50% of all eligible women might consent to take part and 53% consented, even though recruitment relied on another agency (the health visitors) rather than researchers. The similar characteristics of the women with a six week EPDS score ≥12 in the intervention and control clusters indicate that the stratified randomisation process was effective, imparting good internal validity. We believe the women’s characteristics were similar to those for women in England, and women in this trial were representative of women experiencing postnatal depression in real world primary care and typical of women who use the service.

Of all the women, 17.3% (595/3449) scored ≥12 on the six week EPDS, and the mean score for these women was 15.2. It was inevitable that not all women with a six week score ≥12 would be eligible for the psychologically informed sessions as the study was designed to filter out women with transient depressive symptoms by the re-administration of the EPDS at eight weeks postnatally.

Of the intervention group women with a six week EPDS score ≥12 who completed the EPDS at eight weeks, 60% (173/288) scored <12 and therefore did not fulfil the criterion for the psychologically informed sessions. Not all women completed an eight week EPDS according to the protocol, principally because the woman declined or could not be contacted. Some women were not assessed at eight weeks because the health visitor was absent (sick or on holiday). In reality health visitors might not always repeat the EPDS for a range of practical reasons. Also, as in the real world, the “clinical judgment” of health visitors dominated the decision to offer psychologically informed sessions, without the benefit of an eight week score.

We would need to treat nine women with a six week EPDS score ≥12 for one additional woman to have an EPDS score <12 at six months. This number is derived from the absolute risk and is moderately good in this context. A small number needed to treat (NNT)—that is, approaching one—indicates that a favourable outcome occurs in nearly every person who receives the treatment and in few patients in a comparison group. Though a number needed to treat approaching one is possible, they are almost never found in practice, but small numbers needed to treat do occur in some therapeutic trials.

We are aware of no other trials of drug or psychologically informed interventions in a homogeneous group of women that report proportions of

---

**Table 5 | Secondary outcomes at 12 months for women with score ≥12 on Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) at six weeks and all women, for control group versus intervention group**

| Control | Intervention | Unadjusted | Adjusted* |
|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| **Score ≥12 at six weeks:** | | | |
| EPDS | 94 | 10.6 (6.2) | 167 | 8.1 (5.6) | -2.5 (-4.3 to -0.9) | 0.003 | -2.4 (-4.1 to -0.7) | 0.005 |
| CORE-OM | 94 | 1.10 (0.68) | 167 | 0.75 (0.62) | -0.26 (-0.44 to -0.08) | 0.005 | -0.21 (-0.38 to -0.04) | 0.015 |
| STATE anxiety | 93 | 45.0 (13.2) | 162 | 40.7 (12.6) | -4.3 (-8.1 to -0.7) | 0.019 | -3.8 (-7.8 to -0.5) | 0.025 |
| SF-12 MCS | 92 | 40.8 (10.9) | 162 | 44.9 (10.9) | 4.1 (1.3 to 6.9) | 0.004 | 3.8 (1.0 to 6.6) | 0.008 |
| SF-12 PCS | 92 | 54.1 (7.5) | 161 | 53.9 (7.0) | -0.2 (-2.0 to 1.7) | 0.857 | -0.4 (-2.0 to 1.3) | 0.650 |
| PSI-SF total stress | 90 | 140.7 (21.4) | 156 | 148.7 (19.2) | 8.0 (3.1 to 13.0) | 0.001 | 8.1 (3.6 to 12.6) | 0.001 |

All women:

| EPDS | 593 | 5.9 (5.2) | 1118 | 5.0 (4.6) | -0.9 (-1.5 to -0.3) | 0.002 | -0.7 (-1.1 to -0.2) | 0.003 |
| CORE-OM | 593 | 0.51 (0.53) | 1120 | 0.42 (0.46) | -0.09 (-0.16 to -0.04) | 0.001 | -0.07 (-0.12 to -0.03) | 0.001 |
| STATE anxiety | 580 | 33.7 (11.7) | 1097 | 32.4 (10.7) | -1.5 (-2.7 to -0.2) | 0.019 | -1.4 (-2.5 to -0.2) | 0.020 |
| SF-12 MCS | 579 | 48.7 (9.8) | 1099 | 49.9 (9.2) | 1.1 (0.2 to 2.0) | 0.013 | 0.9 (0.1 to 1.6) | 0.022 |
| SF-12 PCS | 579 | 55.0 (6.4) | 1099 | 55.0 (6.0) | 0.0 (-0.6 to 0.8) | 0.834 | -0.2 (-0.8 to 0.5) | 0.590 |
| PSI-SF total stress | 558 | 155.6 (17.1) | 1055 | 157.0 (15.6) | 1.43 (0.4 to 3.0) | 0.137 | 1.1 (0.3 to 2.7) | 0.123 |

CORE-OM=clinical outcomes in routine evaluation-outcome measure; SF-12 MCS=short form 12 mental component summary; SF-12 PCS=short form 12 physical component summary; PSI-SF=parenting stress index short form. Better health represented by lower score in EPDS, CORE-OM, and STATE anxiety; higher score in others.

*Adjusted for six week score, living alone, history of postnatal depression, any life events.
women with six month scores ≥12. Two trials reported EPDS scores at 12 and 13 weeks, and a third study (that allocated to group using coded slips of paper drawn from a bag) reported Beck depression inventory and Beck anxiety inventory at 12 weeks after the intervention.

Limitations and potential sources of bias
One limitation in the interpretation of the results arises from the differential loss to follow-up at six months among the women with a six week score ≥12: 23% of women (44/191) in the control group and 33% (133/404) in the intervention group did not complete the six month EPDS. In the control group there was no difference between the mean EPDS scores at six weeks in those women who did (15.4) and did not (15.1) complete a six month EPDS. The corresponding scores in the intervention group were 15.1 and 16.2. The potential impact of this on our results is unclear, although we did adjust the six month scores for the baseline six week score.

Another limitation is our use of the threshold score ≥12 to assess the level of depressive symptoms at six months postnatally. As the sensitivity of the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale at this threshold is 86%, the presence of these self reported symptoms might not necessarily have met the psychiatric criteria for a primary diagnosis of depression. Conversely, some women with a score below the threshold of 12 might have had symptoms of depression not included in the EPDS (specificity 78%) or might have chosen to conceal their symptoms.

The mechanism of action is unclear because of the improvement in the intervention group, despite the unexpectedly low uptake of the psychologically informed sessions. In the intervention group 404 women had a six week score ≥12, but 173 were not eligible for sessions as they had an eight week score <12. However, 49% (199/404) of women were offered sessions and 60% (240/399) accepted. Of the 404 women, 271 (67%) returned a six month questionnaire. Of these, 46% (124/271) were offered sessions and 62% (169/271) accepted. The median number of sessions attended was four (interquartile range two to seven). The women might have had practical reasons, such as lack of time, for not accepting the sessions.

We found a significant reduction in depressive symptoms in all the women in the intervention clusters, including the 2241 with a six week score <12, of whom 11% (83/767) in the control group and 8% (113/1474) in the intervention group had a six month score <12. These results suggest that non-specific effects of the health visitor intervention were operating to generate the improvement extending beyond the women with a six week score ≥12. As this was a pragmatic rather than explanatory trial, we can only speculate about the cause of the positive outcomes.

Because the health visitor intervention combined different training components, it is difficult to disentangle which elements might have been more effective. Importantly, the health visitors used their skills acquired during training to assess women, identify those with postnatal depressive symptoms, and offer support and deliver specific psychologically informed sessions. Health visitors have a unique opportunity to engage with all postnatal women on their caseload. The unexpected effect might have arisen because the training equipped the health visitors with the confidence in their skills, which they were motivated to generalise beyond the original protocol specification for the women with a six week score ≥12. That is, as a result of their training, health visitors in the intervention group might have extended their enhanced relationship skills, such as warmth and empathy,

Table 6 | Outcomes at 18 months for women with score ≥12 at six weeks on Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) and all women, for control group versus intervention group

| Control | Intervention | Unadjusted | Adjusted* |
|---------|--------------|------------|-----------|
|         | No of women | Mean (SD)  | Difference (95% CI) | P value | Difference (95% CI) | P value |
| Score ≥12 at six weeks: | | | | | |
| CORE-OM | 47 | 0.95 (0.77) | 88 | 0.78 (0.67) | −0.17 (−0.45 to 0.11) | 0.228 | −0.08 (−0.27 to 0.10) | 0.374 |
| STATE anxiety | 46 | 42.2 (14.5) | 85 | 40.8 (14.5) | −1.9 (−6.2 to 3.5) | 0.521 | −1.3 (−5.8 to 3.2) | 0.578 |
| SF-12 MCS | 46 | 40.2 (7.1) | 86 | 39.5 (5.4) | −0.7 (−2.9 to 1.3) | 0.463 | −0.7 (−2.7 to 1.3) | 0.599 |
| SF-12 PCS | 46 | 52.1 (12.9) | 86 | 56.0 (8.6) | 3.9 (1.1 to 7.1) | 0.007 | 2.9 (−0.1 to 6.0) | 0.062 |
| PSI-SF total stress | 46 | 138.1 (23.2) | 82 | 147.2 (21.2) | 9.1 (1.1 to 17.4) | 0.026 | 9.0 (1.6 to 16.4) | 0.017 |
| All women: | | | | | |
| CORE-OM | 291 | 0.54 (0.55) | 650 | 0.63 (0.49) | −0.11 (−0.18 to −0.03) | 0.005 | −0.07 (−0.13 to −0.02) | 0.011 |
| STATE anxiety | 281 | 34.0 (11.8) | 631 | 32.6 (10.8) | −1.4 (−3.4 to 0.4) | 0.116 | −1.4 (−3.1 to 0.3) | 0.105 |
| SF-12 MCS | 286 | 40.1 (5.7) | 634 | 40.0 (4.8) | −0.0 (−0.6 to 0.5) | 0.875 | −0.0 (−0.5 to 0.4) | 0.846 |
| SF-12 PCS | 286 | 57.2 (10.1) | 634 | 59.1 (7.2) | 1.8 (0.6 to 3.1) | 0.005 | 1.4 (0.2 to 2.6) | 0.022 |
| PSI-SF total stress | 276 | 153.0 (19.4) | 628 | 156.3 (16.5) | 3.3 (0.8 to 5.8) | 0.009 | 3.2 (0.9 to 5.6) | 0.007 |

CORE-OM=clinical outcomes in routine evaluation-outcome measure; SF-12 MCS=short form 12 mental component summary; SF-12 PCS=short form 12 physical component summary; PSI-SF=parenting stress index short form. Better health represented by lower score in CORE-OM and STATE anxiety; higher score in others.

*Adjusted for six week EPDS score, living alone, history of postnatal depression, any life events.
The intervention comprised other components, which might also have affected the emotional status of the new mothers. These were antenatal contact, the early development of the mother-therapy relationship, and emphasis on focusing on the woman rather than solely the baby.

For those women who were offered but declined the psychologically informed sessions, the knowledge that the health visitor was aware of their emotional state and the offer in itself might have been perceived as support. Having someone in whom to confide has been identified as one of the main functional elements of social support for coping with stressful situations, and there is evidence of an association between absence of such a close relationship and symptoms. The health visitors remained in contact with women on their caseload and there were opportunities for observation and support when the women attended baby clinics, baby massage, or postnatal groups. The women could also ask for further follow-up support when they thought they needed it.

The key to the effect of this psychological approach might therefore lie in the generalisation of the training outcomes across all women on their caseload, beyond the scope for which the training was originally developed, providing benefit from the health visitors’ enhanced input and ongoing supportive engagement.

Potential sources of bias
Over a third (46/125) of women in the control group and over a quarter (61/214) in the intervention group said they had been prescribed an antidepressant, suggesting that the greater improvement in the intervention group was not attributable to a higher rate of prescriptions for antidepressants.

Contrasting benefits of psychologically informed approaches
We found no difference in outcome between the two psychological approaches. This finding is consistent with findings of similar research on psychological therapies that found that different models of intervention result in broadly similar outcomes, despite differences in theoretical bases and style of intervention delivered. This is known as the equivalence paradox.

For example, a primary care trial for patients with depression, comparing brief non-directive counselling and cognitive behavioural therapy, found no significant difference in outcomes at four months, leading to the conclusion that they were both equally effective in this setting to this follow-up point. Similar effects have been found in large datasets comparing person centred therapy and cognitive behavioural therapy in routine NHS primary care settings.

Further analysis and research
We report separately the results of further analysis for the women with a six week EPDS score <12; infant, partner, and economic outcomes; the views of women using a qualitative approach; and features associated with greater individual improvement.

The trial was not designed to detect the unexpected non-specific effect of the training intervention on the cohort of all women as randomised. This observation should be tested in a trial focused on this issue to determine the mechanism of the effect.

Conclusion
This large trial of treatment for postpartum depression is unique in the comparison of the cognitive behavioural approach and person centred approach. The trial contributes new evidence to indicate that training in psychologically informed approaches can be recommended for health visitors to enable them to identify postnatal depressive symptoms and enhance the psychological care of postnatal women.
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Postnatal depression is a global problem that can persist beyond the first postnatal year. There are problems in recognising the condition and difficulties with using antidepressants in postnatal women. Psychologically informed interventions provide a practical, acceptable alternative. Health visitors can be trained to develop skills in the assessment of women and the detection of postnatal depressive symptoms and in the provision of psychologically informed interventions based on person centred or cognitive behavioural principles. The training was effective in reducing the proportion of women with postnatal depressive symptoms at six and 12 months postnatally. Both person centred and cognitive behavioural approaches were equally beneficial in bringing about sustained change in postnatal women.

***What is already known on this topic***

Postnatal depression is a global problem that can persist beyond the first postnatal year. There are problems in recognising the condition and difficulties with using antidepressants in postnatal women.

***What this study adds***

The training was effective in reducing the proportion of women with postnatal depressive symptoms at six and 12 months postnatally. Both person centred and cognitive behavioural approaches were equally beneficial in bringing about sustained change in postnatal women.