A Radiomics Nomogram for Preoperative Prediction of Clinical Occult Lymph Node Metastasis in cT1-2N0M0 Solid Lung Adenocarcinoma
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Background: Clinical occult lymph node metastasis (cOLNM) means that the lymph node is negatively diagnosed by preoperative computed tomography (CT), but has been proven to be positive by postoperative pathology. The aim of this study was to establish and validate a nomogram based on radiomics features for the preoperative prediction of cOLNM in early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma patients.

Methods: A total of 244 patients with clinical T1-2N0M0 solid lung adenocarcinoma who underwent preoperative contrast-enhanced chest CT were divided into a primary group (n = 160) and an independent validation group from another hospital (n = 84). The records of 851 radiomics features of each primary tumor were extracted. LASSO analysis was used to reduce the data dimensionality and select features. Multivariable logistic regression was utilized to identify independent predictors of cOLNM and develop a predictive nomogram. The performance of the predictive model was assessed by its calibration and discrimination. Decision curve analysis (DCA) was performed to estimate the clinical usefulness of the nomogram.

Results: The predictive model consisted of a clinical factor (CT-reported tumor size) and a radiomics feature (Rad-score). The nomogram presented good discrimination, with a C-index of 0.782 (95% CI, 0.768–0.796) in the primary cohort and 0.813 (95% CI, 0.787–0.839) in the validation cohort, and good calibration. DCA showed that the radiomics nomogram was clinically useful.

Conclusion: This study develops and validates a nomogram that incorporates clinical and radiomics factors. It can be tailored for the individualized preoperative prediction of cOLNM in early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma patients.
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Introduction

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the leading cause of cancer-specific death worldwide. Among these patients, the proportion of early-stage NSCLC has risen in recent years thanks to the popularity of low-dose computed tomography (LDCT). Patients with early-stage NSCLC are mainly treated with surgery, showing a 70% 5-year survival rate and a 55% to 75% recurrence rate. For medically inoperable patients, stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) is preferred, as it yields more than 85% local control and 60% 3-year overall survival. However, the rate of local/regional failure (LRF) following definitive operation for early-stage NSCLC is as high...
as 26%.\(^7\) Compared to surgery, SABR achieves worse locoregional tumor control because of more lymph nodal failures.\(^8\) Therefore, it is essential to emphasize the poor prognosis caused by local/regional failure (LRF) in the treatment of early-stage NSCLC. The main reason for the high rate of LRF may be the limited ability of current evaluation methods to detect clinical occult lymph node metastasis (cOLNM), a key deficiency of node-staging techniques.

cOLNM means that the short axis of the hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes is less than 10 mm on axial preoperative computed tomography (CT) images,\(^9\) but postoperative pathology results of lymph node demonstrate to be metastatic. The preoperative prediction of cOLNM comprises invasive and noninvasive methods. Noninvasive methods, such as CT and 18F fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (18FDG-PET/CT),\(^10,11\) play an indispensable role in pretreatment evaluation. Despite improving the accuracy of staging, CT and PET/CT still have defects due to their relatively low resolution. Furthermore, the high false positive rate, possibly caused by lymphadenitis or tuberculosis, prevents PET from becoming the routine preoperative evaluation of lung cancer.\(^12\) Thus, it is crucial to find a novel method to increase the discovery rate of cOLNM.

Radiomics, an emerging method to extract high-throughput data and analyze many quantitative features from medical images, has good prospects in precision medicine,\(^13-16\) thus improving the detection of OLN. Recently, several studies have taken advantage of the radiomics approach to predict occult lymph nodes in lung cancer.\(^17-18\) Zhong et al\(^18\) found that the radiomics features extracted from unenhanced chest CT can predict OLN. Nevertheless, they brought approximately 49.7% ground-glass opacity (GGO) nodules covering minimally invasive adenocarcinoma and lepidic predominant adenocarcinoma into the including criteria, which had been demonstrated no tendency of lymph node metastasis.\(^19\) It was unclear that the actually predictive value of radiomics features for patients with solid nodules who have the tendency of lymph node metastasis.\(^19\) Yang et al\(^17\) found that their radiomics nomogram derived from CT images of clinical stage I–IIIB NSCLC patients could predict lymph node metastasis, but it was unclear how many early-stage NSCLC cases were included. Accordingly, to detect the occult lymph nodes of early NSCLC patients before treatment, we only included cT1-T2N0M0 solid lung adenocarcinoma patients in this study to develop and validate a radiomics nomogram for predicting OLN.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute (Jinan, China) and Linyi Cancer Hospital (Linyi, China) for this retrospective study, and written informed consent was waived. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients with histologically confirmed NSCLC who underwent surgery from October 2014 to April 2018 were reviewed. cOLNM was defined according to the fact that the short axis of the hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes was less than 10 mm on axial images of preoperative CT scans, but postoperative pathology results of lymph nodes demonstrated metastasis. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with clinical T1-2N0M0 stage NSCLC diagnosed by preoperative CT scans (according to the 8th AJCC edition staging); (2) patients who underwent lobectomy, segmentectomy or wedge resection with systematic lymph node dissection; (3) patients who underwent standard contrast-enhanced CT less than 2 weeks before surgery; and (4) patients with postoperative biopsy-proven adenocarcinoma. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) preoperative therapy (chemotherapy, radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy); (2) incomplete clinical data or postoperative pathology; (3) unsatisfactory image quality because of other diseases, such as pulmonary emphysema or inflammation; and (4) enlargement of lymph nodes on CT (identified as the short axis of a lymph node ≥10 mm on axial imaging).\(^9\) Patients treated between April 2014 and May 2018 from Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute comprised the training cohort, and patients treated between April 2015 and August 2018 from Linyi Cancer Hospital constituted the validation cohort. The patient recruitment pathways are shown in Figure 1.

Baseline data, such as age, gender, smoking status, CT reported tumor size, T stage, histological grade, tumor location, tumor type, pleural traction, visceral pleural invasion, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and lymph node dissection results were collected from medical records. For smoking status, patients were divided into nonsmokers and smokers, the latter including former smokers and current smokers. The CT-reported tumor size was defined as the longest diameter of the primary tumor. Tumor location was categorized as upper location or middle-lower location, and tumor type was categorized as central or peripheral. Laboratory analysis of CEA was done by blood tests within 2 weeks before surgery. The positive threshold value for CEA was >5 ng/mL, according to the ranges routinely utilized at our institute.
**CT Image Acquisition**

Contrast-enhanced CT was performed with a 64-MDCT scanner (Definition AS+, Siemens SOMATOM) in the training cohort and a 64-MDCT scanner (Definition AS 64-Slice; Siemens, Germany) in the validation cohort. Generally, the enhancement method is taking 60–100 mL (1.5–2 mL/kg) of injected iopromide (300 mg/mL), and it is quickly injected with a high-pressure syringe (injection speed: 2 mL/s). The acquisition parameters were as follows: slice thickness, 5.00 mm; tube voltage, 120 kVp; tube current, 160 mAs for the training cohort and 220 mAs for the validation cohort; field of view, 385 × 385 mm; and matrix, 512 × 512. All images were displayed with standard lung (width 1600 HU; level, –600 HU) and mediastinal window settings (width, 400 HU; level, 50 HU).

**Segmentation and Radiomics Feature Extraction**

Segmentation of the entire region of interest (ROI) of the tumor was contoured independently and manually by two radiologists with 20 and 6 years of experience in venous-phase CT images, respectively. They reached a consensus by discussion. A total of 851 2 dimensional (2D) radiomics features were extracted from images using pyRadiomics software, a flexible open-source platform for extracting large amounts of features from medical images. These features were categorized into groups of shape, first-order features, grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM), grey-level run-length matrix (GLRLM), grey-level size zone matrix (GLSZM), neighbor grey-tone difference matrix (NGTDM) of the original, and wavelet-based features. All the features are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Then, 30 patients were randomly chosen for the assessment of interobserver reproducibility of the extracted radiomics features using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), and the independent-samples t-test was utilized to assess the differences between the features generated by the different radiologists. Finally, 490 features with satisfactory consistency (ICC > 0.75, P > 0.05) were selected.

**Selecting Features and Building the Radiomics Score (Rad-Score)**

The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) approach, which reduces the data dimensionality, was utilized to select the potential features that would predict OLNM in the training cohort. A radiomics score was obtained for each patient through a linear combination of selected features weighed by their respective LASSO coefficients.

**Development of Radiomics Nomogram**

Multivariable logistic regression analysis was utilized to identify the predictive factors for OLNM among smoking (yes or no), Karnofsky performance status (KPS), CT-reported tumor size, T stage (T1 or T2), tumor location (upper or middle-lower), tumor type (central or peripheral),...
wedge resection (yes or no), histology grade (poor or moderate/high), pleural traction (yes or no), visceral pleural invasion (yes or no), CEA (normal or abnormal) and Rad-score (per 0.1 increase). Backward step-wise selection was then done by using the likelihood ratio test with Akaike’s information criterion as the stopping rule. On the basis of the results of multivariable logistic analysis in the training cohort, we built a radiomics nomogram to quantitatively predict the individual probability of OLNM.

Performance, Validation and Clinical Use of the Nomogram

The calibration of the nomogram was evaluated by constructing calibration curves, and the Hosmer–Lemeshow test was used to determine how perfectly the models were calibrated. ROC (receiver operating characteristic) was calculated to assess the discriminatory performance of tumor size, radiomics score and the nomogram.

The validation model was performed in the validation cohort. The logistic regression formula established in the training cohort was applied to all patients of the validation cohort. Then, the ROC analysis was performed and calibration curve was plotted.

Decision curve analysis (DCA) was performed to qualify the net benefits for different threshold probabilities and thereby assess the clinical usefulness of the nomogram in both the training cohort and the validation cohort.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted with R software (version 3.5.2), IBM SPSS (version 24.0) and MedCalc (version 15.6.1). Continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD. The Mann–Whitney U-test or Student’s t-test was used to assess the differences of continuous variables, such as age and tumor size. Fisher’s exact or chi-squared test was used to compare the differences in categorical variables, such as gender, smoking status, and histological grade. The chi-squared test was also used to analyze the difference in OLNM prevalence between the primary cohort and validation cohort. The LASSO model was used to reduce dimensionality of the data. Univariable and multivariable analyses were performed by binary logistic regression analysis. The LASSO model was established by the “glmnet” package. Multivariable binary logistic regression, nomograms and calibration plots were handled with the “rms” package. DCA was done using the “rmda” package. ROC analysis was performed by MedCalc software.

Results

Patient Characteristics

The patient recruitment workflow and study workflow are shown in Figure 1. The characteristics of the patients in the training and validation cohorts are given in Table 1. There was no difference in the OLNM rate between the two cohorts: 21.25% (34/160) in the training cohort and 25% (21/84) in the validation cohort (P = 0.505). In the training cohort, there was no significant difference in the clinical characteristics between the OLNM-positive and negative group, except for CT-reported tumor size (P = 0.001), T stage (P = 0.001), tumor type (P = 0.014) and CEA level (P = 0.003).

Feature Selection, Construction and Diagnostic Validation of the Radiomics Signature

A total of 490 2D features, extracted via pyRadiomics, were reduced to 3 potential predictors with nonzero coefficients using the LASSO model. Then, the radiomics signature was constructed. The Rad-score formula was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Rad-score} = 0.08474311 \times \text{wavelet-HHH. first-order. Kurtosis} + 0.01010229 \times \text{wavelet-LHH. gldm. DependenceVariance} + 0.00174351 \times \text{original. gldm. LargeDependenceEmphasis.}
\]

The ROC curve analysis for the predictive efficacy of the radiomics signature in the training cohort and validation cohort is shown in Figure 3A and B and Table 2. For the rad-score, the values of area under the curve (AUC) were 0.767 (95% CI, 0.680–0.855) in the training cohort and 0.767 (95% CI, 0.662–0.853) in the validation cohort. For the tumor size, the values of AUC were 0.730 (95% CI, 0.634–0.827) in the training cohort and 0.807 (95% CI, 0.706–0.885) in the validation cohort.

Development of the Predictive Nomogram

In univariable logistic regression analysis, CT-reported tumor size, T stage, tumor type, CEA level and Rad-score (per 0.1 increase) were significant predictors of OLNM in the training cohort (Table 3). CT-reported tumor size and Rad-score were identified as independent predictors of OLNM in multivariable analysis. A model that combined the above two factors was constructed and is presented as a predictive nomogram in Figure 4.
Calibration, Discrimination and Validation of the Nomogram

The calibration curve of the nomogram for the prediction rate of OLN showed good agreement in the training cohort (Figure 3C), with a nonsignificant result ($P = 0.492$) of the Hosmer–Lemeshow test. The AUC of the model in the training cohort was $0.782$ (95% CI, 0.691–0.874) (Figure 3A and Table 2). In the validation cohort, the Hosmer–Lemeshow test between prediction line and observation line of the calibration curve was not statistically significant ($P = 0.128$) (Figure 3D). The AUC of the nomogram in the validation group was $0.813$ (95% CI, 0.713–0.890) (Figure 3B and Table 2).

Table 1 Characteristics of Patients in the Training and Validation Cohorts

| Characteristics | Training Cohort | Validation Cohort |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
|                | OLN (+) | OLN (-) | P     | OLN (+) | OLN (-) | P     |
| Age, mean ± SD (y) | 59.94 ± 8.30 | 62.56 ± 7.78 | 0.109 | 60.42 ± 7.94 | 59.71 ± 9.49 | 0.741 |
| Gender          |          |          | 0.999 |          |          | 0.69  |
| Male            | 16       | 61       | 6     | 23       |
| Female          | 18       | 65       | 15    | 40       |
| Smoking status  |          |          | 0.375 |          |          | 0.276 |
| Smoker          | 7        | 38       | 4     | 22       |
| Nonsmoker       | 27       | 88       | 17    | 41       |
| CT-reported tumor size, mean ± SD (cm) | 3.04 ± 0.93 | 2.25 ± 0.81 | 0.001 | 3.36 ± 0.92 | 2.29 ± 0.82 | 0.001 |
| Clinical T stage|          |          | 0.001 |          |          | 0.001 |
| cT1             | 16       | 99       | 4     | 53       |
| cT2             | 18       | 27       | 17    | 10       |
| Histological grade |        |          | 0.999 |          |          | 0.001 |
| Highly/Moderately differentiated | 31 | 112 | 10 | 61 |
| Poorly differentiated | 3 | 14 | 11 | 2 |
| Tumor location  |          |          | 0.507 |          |          | 0.999 |
| Upper           | 18       | 77       | 11    | 31       |
| Middle- Lower   | 16       | 49       | 10    | 32       |
| Tumor type      |          |          | 0.014 |          |          | 0.012 |
| Central         | 18       | 36       | 11    | 13       |
| Peripheral      | 16       | 90       | 10    | 50       |
| Pleural traction|          |          | 0.873 |          |          | 0.999 |
| Yes             | 18       | 71       | 17    | 51       |
| No              | 16       | 55       | 4     | 12       |
| Visceral pleural invasion |      |          | 0.092 |          |          | 0.115 |
| Yes             | 21       | 55       | 17    | 37       |
| No              | 13       | 71       | 4     | 26       |
| CEA level       |          |          | 0.003 |          |          | 0.112 |
| Normal          | 16       | 95       | 16    | 58       |
| Abnormal        | 18       | 31       | 5     | 5        |
| Wedge resection |          |          | 0.413 |          |          | 0.104 |
| Yes             | 3        | 20       | 0     | 9        |
| No              | 31       | 106      | 21    | 54       |
| Rad score, median | 8.91 | 5.94   | 0.001 | 6.12 | 4.96 | 0.001 |

Note: Significant values are printed in bold.
Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; OLN, occult lymph node; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.
Clinical Use

DCA for the radiomics model applied to the validation cohort is presented in Figure 5. In the decision curve, if the threshold probability of a patient was between 0.22 and 0.83, the nomogram to predict OLNM showed more benefit than the treat-all therapeutic plan or treat-none plan.

Discussion

To develop a preoperative predictive model of clinical OLNM for patients with early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma, a nomogram combined with a radiomics signature was established and validated in this retrospective study. Consisting of both radiomics and clinical factors, the nomogram in our study was tailored to stratify patients on the basis of the risk of clinical OLNM before surgery.

For operable early-stage patients, the finding of cOLNM can determine the choice of lymph node dissection or systematic sampling, because lymph node dissection may cause an unnecessary trauma. The finding of cOLNM can also offer the option to use neoadjuvant therapy for high-risk patients with cOLNM, which could benefit the long-term survival outcomes of these patients. For inoperable early-stage patients, the finding of cOLNM is especially important, as the standard treatment pattern of inoperable early-stage patients is SBRT, but lymph nodes are not be delineated in the target volume of SBRT. Thus, this Radiomics model could increase the accuracy of the current lymph node staging system, and identify patients a high risk of cOLNM who should therefore be offered additional treatment, such as radiation of the cOLNM region, chemotherapy and even immunotherapy.

Clinical factors, such as CT-reported tumor size and tumor markers in laboratory analysis, have been associated with the existence of OLNM in patients with early-stage NSCLC. We found that CT-reported tumor size, T stage, tumor type (central or peripheral) and CEA level were predictors of OLNM in patients with early-stage lung adenocarcinoma, though only CT-reported tumor size was still an independent predictor of OLNM in the multivariate analysis. A similar result for T stage was seen in a retrospective study: Kim et al investigated the predictability of OLNM using metabolic parameters of pretreatment positron emission tomography (PET)/CT in patients with NSCLC and found that T stage was a significant factor in the univariate analysis but not in the multivariate analysis. Several previous studies have found that CT-reported tumor size and central lung cancer were independent predictors of lymph node metastasis in patients with NSCLC. In terms of tumor markers, it was reported in the past decades that tumor-associated markers from plasma or serum could facilitate early screening and treatment monitoring for NSCLC. Among the tumor markers, CEA has proven to be a sensitive tumor marker to predict occult lymph nodes in patients with NSCLC. Other studies have incorporated CEA level into models for lymph node metastasis prediction in NSCLC patients. However, CEA level, central location and T stage were not independent predictors of OLNM in our study. The main reason that these variables were not independently predictive factors...
in the multivariate analysis may be the relatively small sample size of the training cohort. Given the above, it was reasonable that we identified CT-reported tumor size as an element of the predictive model for occult lymph node metastasis in patients with early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma.

Table 2 The Results of ROC Analysis

| Training Cohort | AUC (95% CI) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | F1 Score (%) |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Tumor size      | 0.730 (0.634–0.827) | 76.5            | 61.9           | 35.1    | 90.7    | 48.1         |
| Rad score       | 0.767 (0.680–0.855) | 76.5            | 64.3           | 36.6    | 91.0    | 49.5         |
| Nomogram        | 0.782 (0.691–0.874) | 61.8            | 87.3           | 56.8    | 89.4    | 59.2         |

| Validation cohort | AUC (95% CI) | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | F1 Score (%) |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Tumor size        | 0.807 (0.706–0.885) | 80.9            | 82.5           | 60.7    | 92.9    | 69.4         |
| Rad score         | 0.767 (0.662–0.853) | 80.9            | 68.3           | 45.9    | 91.5    | 58.6         |
| Nomogram          | 0.813 (0.713–0.890) | 80.9            | 84.1           | 63.0    | 93.0    | 70.9         |

**Abbreviations:** ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.
Radiomics, an emerging field in imaging analysis and processing, is able to convert imaging information into high dimensional mineable features that could potentially be tailored to improve disease diagnosis, treatment planning and prognostic prediction. Several studies have identified radiomics features as part of their models for personalized prediction of postoperative occult lymph node metastasis in early-stage patients with NSCLC. However, none of the previous studies has built a radiomics model for OLNM in patients with early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma and validated this model in patients from another hospital. Here, we developed a radiomics nomogram that incorporated CT-reported tumor size and a radiomics signature for the individualized preoperative prediction of OLNM in patients with solid early-stage lung adenocarcinoma and validated this predictive model in patients from another hospital. The major components of the radiomics signature in this study were wavelet features which can identify heterogeneity of primary tumor lesions at different scales and cannot be discerned by the naked eye. Wang et al also found that peritumoral radiomics signatures made up mainly of wavelet features can improve the predictive abilities of lymph node metastasis in patients with T stage (T1 or T2)

| Variable and Intercept          | Univariable Logistic Regression | Multivariable Logistic Regression |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                | OR (95% CI)                     | P value                          | OR (95% CI)                     | P value                          |
| Smoking (yes or no)            | 0.600 (0.241–1.498)             | 0.274                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| KPS score                      | 0.886 (0.361–2.179)             | 0.793                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| CT-reported tumor size         | 2.743 (1.706–4.411)             | **0.001**                        | 1.921 (1.114–3.311)             | **0.019**                        |
| T stage (T1 or T2)             | 4.125 (1.860–9.149)             | **0.001**                        | 0.732 (0.171–3.138)             | 0.675                            |
| Tumor location (upper or middle-lower) | 0.716 (0.334–1.535) | 0.39                             | NA                              | NA                               |
| Tumor type (central or peripheral) | 2.812 (1.294–6.114)           | **0.009**                        | 1.603 (0.657–3.910)             | 0.3                              |
| Wedge resection (yes or no)    | 0.513 (0.143–1.841)             | 0.306                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| Histologic grade (poor or moderate/high) | 1.292 (0.349–4.782)     | 0.702                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| Histologic subtype (acinar or not) | 0.869 (0.385–1.963)           | 0.736                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| Pleural traction (yes or no)   | 0.871 (0.408–1.863)             | 0.723                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| Visceral pleural invasion (yes or no) | 2.085 (0.960–4.531)        | 0.063                            | NA                              | NA                               |
| CEA level (normal or abnormal) | 3.085 (1.401–6.790)             | **0.005**                        | 1.966 (0.801–4.825)             | 0.14                             |
| Rad score (per 0.1 increase)   | 1.438 (1.221–1.694)             | **0.001**                        | 1.318 (1.107–1.571)             | **0.002**                        |

Note: Significant values are printed in bold.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; KPS, Karnofsky performance status; CT, computed tomography; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.

Radiomics, an emerging field in imaging analysis and processing, is able to convert imaging information into high dimensional mineable features that could potentially be tailored to improve disease diagnosis, treatment planning and prognostic prediction. Several studies have identified radiomics features as part of their models for personalized prediction of postoperative occult lymph node metastasis in early-stage patients with NSCLC. However, none of the previous studies has built a radiomics model for OLNM in patients with early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma and validated this model in patients from another medical institution. Here, we developed a radiomics nomogram that incorporated CT-reported tumor size and a radiomics signature for the individualized preoperative prediction of OLNM in patients with solid early-stage lung adenocarcinoma and validated this predictive model in patients from another hospital. The major components of the radiomics signature in this study were wavelet features which can identify heterogeneity of primary tumor lesions at different scales and cannot be discerned by the naked eye. Wang et al also found that peritumoral radiomics signatures made up mainly of wavelet features can improve the predictive abilities of lymph node metastasis in patients with...
clinical stage T1 lung adenocarcinoma. In the training cohort, the AUCs of rad-score, tumor size and nomogram were 0.767 (0.680–0.855), 0.730 (0.634–0.827) and 0.782 (0.691–0.874), respectively. In the validation cohort, the AUCs of rad-score, tumor size and nomogram were 0.767 (0.662–0.853) and 0.807 (0.706–0.885) and 0.813 (0.713–0.890), respectively. The sensitivity analysis demonstrated that when excluding one factor from the predictive model, the remaining factor still showed a good performance of diagnosis. Thus, the results of model proved to be robust based on the AUCs.

Notably, the definition of OLNM in our study was clinical OLNM, which mainly depended on the imaging assessment by radiologists. It should also be considered that pathological OLNM is an indispensable component in the study of OLNM. Pathological OLNM, also called occult metastasis (OM) in lymph nodes, means remaining undetected cancer cells in lymph nodes after the current pathological techniques for biopsy during pulmonary resection.40 The standard technique in surgery to detect cancer cells in lymph nodes is to cut the lymph node serially into 3- to 4-mm-thick slices, which are embedded in paraffin, sectioned by a microtome, stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and tested for malignant cells by light microscopy. However, these techniques cannot identify OM, so immunohistochemistry (IHC) is currently used to find OM in lymph nodes. It was proved that40 the presence of OM by IHC in lymph nodes of patients with NSCLC correlated with prognosis. In addition, none of the previous studies have reported the correlation between radiomics features and OMs in lymph nodes in patients with early-stage NSCLC. Consequently, further work should emphasize the preoperative prediction of both clinical and pathological OLNM combined with radiomics imaging analysis.

There are several limitations to our study. First, although external validation was adopted, the sample size in the validation cohort was relatively small, so larger samples of patients with early-stage lung adenocarcinoma from hospitals other than ours and multi-center trials are required to evaluate the model we built in the future. Second, due to the retrospective design of our study, patient selection bias and even radiomics feature selection bias could exist. Prospective multi-center studies are needed to validate the preliminary findings of the current study. Third, the CT slices were relatively thick. We will validate our results by thin-slice CT when we can enroll enough patients with preoperative thin-slice CT scans in the future. Fourth, recent studies41,42 have revealed a correlation between gene expression and lymph node metastasis in NSCLC. Future studies should establish predictive models incorporating both radiomics features and genetic features. We manually delineated ROIs in our study, as most authors have done. Based on convolutional neural networks,43 contouring the ROIs automatically by a deep learning method could be realized in the near future.

Conclusion
This study developed and validated a nomogram that combines both a radiomics signature and clinical variables. It could be tailored to the individualized preoperative prediction of OLNM in early-stage solid lung adenocarcinoma patients.
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