Psychiatric problems and its contributing factors in children and adolescents with hemophilia: a single centre study in a Turkish sample
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Abstract

Background: We aimed to evaluate the psychiatric symptoms in addition quality of life in children and adolescents with hemophilia and to determine which factors contributed to psychiatric problems of them. A total of 20 children and adolescents with hemophilia A or B and 20 healthy controls, aged 6–16 years old, were included. Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, present and life time version (K-SADS-PL) was applied to parents. Sociodemographic questionnaire, Child Depression Inventory (CDI), The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), and KINDL R Questionnaire was used for children and adolescents in both groups. Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), and KINDL parent form were used for mothers in both groups.

Results: The study results demonstrated higher anxiety scores and increased anxiety disorder diagnosis among children and adolescents with hemophilia. However, mother's anxiety and depression scores are higher than control group, and QoL of their children also shows lower scores in parents' KINDL forms. Mothers' depression and anxiety scores are associated with children's depression, anxiety, and QoL scores.

Conclusion: Psychiatric factors should not be ignored in the treatment and follow-up of children and adolescents with hemophilia and their parents.
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Background

Hemophilia is the most common of the severe bleeding disorders and can be treated most efficaciously and safely among the most prevalent monogenic disorders, but if not diagnosed and treated on early infancy, it can lead to chronic disease and disabilities [1].

The quality of life (QoL) of children with chronic conditions has received increasing attention in recent years especially for frequent pediatric diseases such as asthma, leukemia, and also hemophilia [2–4]. It is showed that QoL in hemophilic was significantly poorer as compared with QoL of general population [5].

Additionally, caregivers of children with hemophilia report reduced health-related quality of life compared with caregivers of healthy children [6]. Managing the mothers’ stress is important for themselves and as well as their children. Therefore, understanding of the contributor factors is important planning of psychological supports for the children and mothers of hemophilic children [7].

However especially in developing countries such as our country, Turkey, psychosocial support is not
included in the general care and treatment for people with hemophilia and their parents mostly because of lack of financial resources, psychological supporting systems, and the emphasis on life-threatening bleeds and other medical complications [8].

This study aimed to determine the psychiatric disorders and life quality in children with hemophilia and mother’s anxiety, depression, and QoL scores and how the effect mother’s psychological status on their children psychological problems in a sample of Turkish population.

Methods

Subjects
This study design is a case-control study. In our country, individuals under the age of 19 years are evaluated and treated in the departments of pediatrics and department of child and adolescent psychiatry according the definition of World Health Organization (WHO) [9].

All patients between the ages of 6–18 years, who were followed up in our hospital, were included in this study. Some patients were excluded from the study because their parents could not fill the scales completely or could not complete the evaluation process \((n = 7)\). As a result, a total of 20 children and adolescents with hemophilia A or B aged were included. All of the patients were boys. All of the children and adolescents who were enrolled in this study had been managed their treatment at the hemophilia centre of children hospital. Additionally, 20 male subjects with typical developing controls (TD) were recruited as the control group. TD group was selected randomly from pediatric outpatient clinics who do not have any chronic illness. Inclusion criteria for both hemophilia group and TDs were (i) age between 6 and 16 years, (ii) intelligence quotient (IQ) score higher than 70, (iii) children living in their own family house and attending a normal primary school, (iv) no history of head injury with loss of consciousness, and (v) no neurological or other serious medical diseases.

Instruments for assessment to children and adolescents

Firstly, to determine psychiatric disorders of children and adolescents, a semi-structured interview: Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, present and life time version (K-SADS-PL) was applied to parents by a specialist of child psychiatry. It screens child and adolescent psychiatric disorders and symptoms. At the end of the interview, it is determined whether there is any disorder according to the diagnostic criteria [10].

Child Depression Inventory (CDI) was used. It includes 27 items and each item scores as 0,1, or 2, and cutoff score of inventory for depression is 19 [11].

The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) consists of two questionnaires of 20 items each. The first questionnaire measures state anxiety (how one feels at the moment) and the second, trait anxiety (how one generally feels). Each item scores between 1 to 4, and the greater scores show the greater anxiety [12].

KINDL R Questionnaire for Measuring Health-Related Quality of Life in children and adolescents was used. It could be completed both by children/adolescents and by their parents. Three versions of the KINDL R questionnaires are available as self-report measures for different age groups: Kiddy-KINDL R for children aged 4 to 7, Kid- KINDL R for children aged 8 to 12, and Kiddo- KINDL R for adolescents aged 13 to 16. The KINDL R questionnaire consists of 24 likert-scaled items associated with six dimensions: physical well-being, emotional well-being, self-esteem, family, friends, and everyday functioning (school or nursery school/ kindergarten). All versions of the KINDL R contain an additional sub-scale entitled “Disease”, whose items can be completed in case of prolonged illness or hospitalisation [13].

Instruments for assessment to mothers

Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was used. It is a 21-item self-report questionnaire in which each item consists of four statements indicating different levels of severity of a particular symptom experienced over the past week. Participants rate each item on 4-point scale ranging from 0 (absent) to 3 (severe), and total scores range from 0 to 63 [14].

Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) is a 21-item self-report measure that assesses level of anxiety and evaluates physical, emotional, and cognitive aspects of anxiety as well as fear of losing control. Total scores range from 0 to 63 and high scores indicate more severe anxiety symptoms [15].

The Burden Interview is a 22-item instrument to assess carers’ burden associated to the patient’s functional and behavioral disability and to the situation at home. The 22 items reflect respondent’s areas of concern such as health, social and personal life, financial situation, emotional well-being, and interpersonal relationships. The greater the total score, the greater the burden [16].

The last scale for mothers was KINDL parent form. There are two parents’ versions: Kiddy-KINDL R (4–7 years) and parents’ version of the KINDL R for 8 to 16 years old children and teenagers [13].

Procedure

The interviews were performed during the usual visits of the patients to the hemophilia clinic. Firstly, the patients and their parents were interviewed together, and socio-demographic data was collected. Then, while children and adolescents were completing their scales, a child and adolescent psychiatry specialist applied to parents
with the K-SADS-PL. After the KSADS-PL, the mothers completed their scales.

The Burden Interviews are especially related to caregiving for disabled persons so we did not give for control groups’ parents different from the patient group.

This investigation was approved by the Ethical Committee of Erciyes University (2016/501). Written consent was obtained from parents, and verbal assent was requested from children and adolescents to participate.

Statistical analysis
In the two-way paired t test, it was calculated to include 16 people that is enough to determine the differences between the scales at the level of 0.05 significance (α) with 80% power. In our study, it was planned to include 20 patients in the appropriate age range with follow-up in our department. Calculation was made with G*Power 3.1.9.2, package program. We used Shapiro-Wilk test to analyze homogeneity of variables. Among-group differences on demographic variables were analyzed using independent samples t tests. Mann-Whitney U test were used for non-homogenous variables. Associations between categorical variables were examined using chi-square analysis. Spearman and Pearson correlation tests were used for assessment of correlation. Logistic and multiple regression analyzes were also used. Data analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0, and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Sociodemographical variables of children and adolescents
The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Most of the patients suffered from hemarthrosis (80%) and other types of bleeding (70%). Fortunately, joint impairment (10%) and chronic pain (10%) were seen rarely in our patient sample, and viral infections including HBV, HCV, and HIV were negative in our all patients. Psychopathology was detected 30% of patients, and attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is the most common diagnose in our patient sample (20%).

Comparison of sociodemographic variables between groups was shown in Table 3.

Outcomes of children’s scales
The outcomes of scales of children and adolescents can be found in Fig. 1. It was found that patient group showed higher scores than controls on State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Total (p < 0.05). There was no significant differences between groups on KINDL-scales.

The outcomes of scales of mothers
Comparing patient group with control group, significant findings were shown in Fig. 2.

The outcomes of correlation analyses
Severity of illness has positive correlation only with prophylaxis ($r = 0.54$, $p = 0.01$), and there was no correlation with any scale of children.

The correlations of the outcomes of scales of children and adolescents with outcomes of mothers were analyzed and summarized in Table 4.

Correlations of the outcomes of KINDL with other scales of children and adolescents were also analyzed (Table 5).

Logistic regression analyzes were used to determine the effect of independent variables on presence of psychopathology. First model was factors related to disease (severity, inhibitor, prophylaxis, hemophilia type) that could be explain 60% (nagelkerke r square) of the variance in the presence of psychopathology. The presence of psychopathology could not be predicted significantly in any of these factors alone. Second model was mothers’ scales model (Beck depression, Beck Anxiety, and Burden Interview), and it could be explain 83% (nagelkerke r square) of the variance in the presence of psychopathology ($p = 0.001$). However, the presence of psychopathology could not be predicted significantly in any of these factors alone.

The study analyzed the relationship between factors related to disease (severity, inhibitor, prophylaxis, hemophilia type) and mothers’ scales (BDI, BAI and Burden Interview). In the regression analyzes, we found that Beck anxiety scores were predicted by severity of hemophilia ($p = 0.05$).

Discussion
The study results demonstrated higher anxiety scores and increased anxiety disorder diagnosis among children and adolescents with hemophilia. However, mothers’ anxiety and depression scores are higher than the control group, and lower QoL scores of children also found in parents’ KINDL forms. Mothers’ depression and anxiety are associated with childrens’ KINDL scores, CDI scores, and STAI scores. Presence of psychiatric disorder negatively correlated with KINDL-FF-subcales and positively correlated with BAI scores and BDI scores, and presence of psychiatric disorder was predicted by mothers’ scales. Additionally, anxiety scores of mothers were predicted by severity of hemophilia.

Hemophilia does not predispose to any mental illness directly, but psychosocial factors affecting QoL include coping, social support, and overcome the difficulties caused by chronicity that may influence both as resources and stress factors the person with hemophilia.
and his environment [17]. In the literature, several studies found that anxiety problems and depression were significantly seen much more frequently in hemophiliac patients compared with the control group [18, 19]. However, in a study, there was no differences between the hemophilic subjects and healthy controls in depression scores, and they concluded that although a higher distress and psychological problems could occur in the patients, hemophilic patients could show a good adjustment to this disease, like many other chronic diseases, and it can be accepted as a “life condition” that they can live with [20].

Significant differences between groups on all of STAI scores and although depressive symptoms were higher in the hemophilia group that were found, it did not reach statistical significance. Being in a developing country makes our patients at higher risk for complications such as hemarthrosis, muscle hematomas, and other bleedings and was showed frequently in our study. Also, this result might be attributed to small sample, or anxiety might be more a consistent psychological problem associated with hemophilia.

As in previously published studies [21–23], patients presented a good QoL in this study, and no significantly difference between groups on KINDL scores were found. However, we showed that QoL scores of patients were higher than the control group in school, self-esteem, and family subscales. Failure to detect such QOL scores in

---

**Table 1** Sociodemographic variables of children and adolescents with hemophilia

| Age (years) (n [%]) | 6–7 | 10 (50) |
|---------------------|-----|---------|
|                     | 8–12| 7 (35)  |
|                     | 13–16| 4 (20) |
| Place of residence  | Urban| 16 (80) |
|                     | Rural| 4 (20)  |
| Mean of mother’s age| 36.05 ± 4.09 | |
| Mother’s educational status (n [%]) | No | 2 (10) |
|                     | Primary school | 11 (55) |
|                     | High school | 1 (5) |
|                     | University | 6 (30) |
| Mother’s profession (n [%]) | House wife | 14 (70) |
|                     | Public servant | 4 (20) |
|                     | Self-employed | 2 (10) |
| Mean of father’s age (mean ± SD) | 39.15 ± 4.19 | |
| Father’s educational status (n [%]) | Primary school | 8 (40) |
|                     | High school | 5 (25) |
|                     | University | 6 (30) |
|                     | Post university | 1 (5) |
| Father’s profession (n [%]) | Worker | 8 (40) |
|                     | Public Servant | 5 (25) |
|                     | Self-employed | 5 (25) |
|                     | Other | 2 (10) |
| Family type (n [%]) | Mother and father together | 20 (100) |
| Kinship between parents (n [%]) | No | 14 (70) |
|                     | Yes | 6 (30) |
| Other hemophilic relatives (n [%]) | None | 8 (40) |
|                     | Brother | 4 (20) |
|                     | Uncle | 4 (20) |
|                     | Grand father | 1 (5) |
|                     | Cousin | 1 (5) |
|                     | More than one relative | 2 (10) |
| Mothers’ Burden Interview total score (mean ± SD) | 38.05 ± 17.81 |
our study might be related to the several different factors such as personal characteristics (self-esteem, self-concept, and self-perception, etc.), ethnicity, and parenting styles. Because of higher scores in self-esteem subscale in the patient group, it could be a reason for higher KINDL scores. Although it was not previously assessed in relationship with hemophilia, previous studies suggested that Arab society tends to perceive illness and disability as God’s will, which may promote acceptance and adjustment, and it is similar with religious context of our country. Also, it is known that overprotected pattern is usual especially at the beginning of the illness; therefore, higher KINDL family scores in hemophilia group than the control group might be related to this parenting style [24, 25].

Literature reveals that boys with hemophilia face some challenges in their peer interactions, such as awareness of being different from their same-sex peers, make efforts to conceal differences from these peers, and attempt to connect with peers in various ways [26]. All of this social traits may be caused to disfunction in social subscale. Although the children did not show any difference in KINDL subscales, mothers reported lower QoL scores especially in family and friends’ subscales.

Parents play a significant role in the care of a child with hemophilia and take a large responsibility for management of hemophilia at home [27]. Most of the studies identified that parents whose child with hemophilia have more anxiety, depression, physical problems, cognitive problems and more parental attitude problems, and

### Table 2 Clinical variables of children and adolescents with hemophilia

| Variable                        | Category 1 | Category 2 |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| **Haemophilia type (n [%])**    | Hemophilia A | 16 (80)   |
|                                 | Hemophilia B | 4 (20)    |
| **Severity (factor level) (n [%])** | Mild        | 4 (20)    |
|                                 | Moderate    | 6 (30)    |
|                                 | Severe      | 10 (50)   |
| **Age at diagnosis (months) mean ± SD** | 10.18 ± 12.24 |            |
| **Inhibitor (ever)**            | No          | 18 (90)   |
|                                 | Yes         | 2 (10)    |
| **Prophylaxis (n [%])**         | No          | 3 (15)    |
|                                 | Transient   | 8 (40)    |
|                                 | Constant    | 9 (45)    |
| **Haemarthrosis (ever) (n [%])** | No          | 4 (20)    |
|                                 | 1–3         | 8 (40)    |
|                                 | 4–5         | 3 (15)    |
|                                 | More than 5 | 5 (25)    |
| **Muscle hematomas (ever) (n [%])** | No          | 11 (55)   |
|                                 | Yes         | 9 (45)    |
| **Other bleeding (ever) (n [%])** | No          | 6 (30)    |
|                                 | Yes         | 14 (70)   |
| **Joint impairment (ever) (n [%])** | No          | 18 (90)   |
|                                 | Yes         | 2 (10)    |
| **Chronic pain (ever) (n [%])** | No          | 18 (90)   |
|                                 | Yes         | 2 (10)    |
| **Hepatitis B/C and HIV (n [%])** | Negative    | 20 (100)  |
| **Psychopathology (n [%])**     | No          | 14 (70)   |
|                                 | Yes         | 6 (30)    |
| **Psychiatric diagnosis (n [%])** | ADHD        | 2 (10)    |
|                                 | ADHD+ODD    | 1 (5)     |
|                                 | ADHD+ODD+GAD| 1 (5)     |
|                                 | Depression  | 1 (5)     |
|                                 | GAD+adjustment disorder | 1 (5)  |

**ADHD** attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder, **ODD** oppositional deficit disorder, **GAD** generalized anxiety disorder, **SD** standard deviation
| Group | Patient group, mean ± SD (n [%]) | TD group, mean ± SD (n [%]) | Group differences |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Mean of age | 10.95 ± 2.94 | 10.55 ± 2.45 | \( p = 0.69 \) |
| Gender | | | |
| Male (n [%]) | 20 (100%) | 20 (100%) | \( p = 1 \) |
| Education class | 4.95 ± 2.94 | 5.05 ± 3.74 | \( p = 0.92 \) |
| Mean of mother’s age | 36.5 ± 4.09 | 38.6 ± 5.75 | \( p = 0.11 \) |
| Mother’s educational status (n [%]) | | | |
| No | 2 (10) | -- | \( p = 0.4 \) |
| Primary school | 6 (30) | 3 (15) | |
| Secondary school | 5 (25) | 6 (30) | |
| High school | 1 (5) | 2 (10) | |
| University | 6 (30) | 9 (45) | |
| Mother’s profession (n [%]) | | | |
| House wife | 14 (70) | 11 (55) | \( p = 0.54 \) |
| Public servant | 4 (20) | 6 (30) | |
| Self-employed | 2 (10) | 2 (10) | |
| Worker | -- | 1 (5) | |
| Median of father’s age | 39.15 ± 4.19 | 40.9 ± 4.75 | \( p = 0.22 \) |
| Father’s educational status (n [%]) | | | |
| Primary school | 5 (25) | 1 (5) | \( p = 0.21 \) |
| Secondary school | 3 (15) | 3 (15) | |
| High school | 5 (25) | 4 (20) | |
| University | 7 (35) | 12 (60) | |
| Father’s profession (n [%]) | | | |
| Worker | 8 (40) | 4 (20) | \( p = 0.04^* \) |
| Public servant | 5 (25) | 12 (60) | |
| Self-employed | 5 (25) | 3 (15) | |
| Other | 2 (10) | 1 (5) | |
| Family type (n [%]) | | | |
| Mother and Father together | 20 (100) | 19 (95) | \( p = 0.31 \) |
| Divorced- living with a single parent | -- | 1 (5) | |

**Fig. 1** Differences between groups on children’s scales

---

Table 3 Comparison of sociodemographic variables between groups

![Differences between groups on Children’s Scales](image-url)
lower health-related quality of life (HRQOL) than parents of healthy children [18, 27, 28]. In our study, consistent with the literature, we found that in parents of hemophilic children show higher depression and anxiety scores than the control group, and psychological status of children could be predicted by mothers’ psychological status. Additionally, anxiety scores of mothers were predicted by severity of hemophilia that is similar with other studies which showed that the parents of the boys with hemophilia are deeply affected by their child’s condition [27, 29, 30].

“Burden” has become a more popular term in recent years and in studies, parents of children with chronic illness report social, financial, and emotional burden [31]. The results showed positive correlation between burden scores and mothers’ anxiety, depression scores, and children’s anxiety scores. We concluded that mothers’ anxiety and depression could increase burden scores, and this could affect the anxiety levels of children indirectly.

**Study limitations**

There are several limitations in the study. First, the small size of the sample might limit the generalization of the results on Turkish children or in children with

![Fig. 2 Differences between groups on mother's scales](image)

### Table 4 Correlation of the outcomes of scales of children and adolescents with outcomes of mothers

|                          | Beck Anxiety Scores | Beck Depression Scores | KINDL-FF Sum Score | Burden Interview Scores |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|                          | r      | p       | r      | p       | r      | p       | r      | p       |
| Age                      | 0.14   | 0.37    | 0.13   | 0.41    | -0.48 | 0.001   | -0.06  | 0.8     |
| Presence of psychiatric disorder | 0.59   | 0.005   | 0.69   | < 0.001 | -0.58 | 0.005   | 0.34   | 0.13    |
| CDI                      | 0.46   | 0.002   | 0.51   | 0.001   | -0.46 | 0.003   | 0.44   | 0.04    |
| STAI-State               | 0.34   | 0.02    | 0.58   | < 0.001 | -0.33 | 0.03    | 0.46   | 0.04    |
| STAI-Trait               | 0.32   | 0.04    | 0.490  | 0.001   | -0.37 | 0.01    | 0.39   | 0.08    |
| STAI-total scores        | 0.37   | 0.01    | 0.59   | < 0.001 | -0.39 | 0.01    | 0.48   | 0.03    |
| KINDL-Physical Well-being| -0.40  | 0.009   | -0.42  | 0.006   | -0.006| 0.96    | -0.25  | 0.27    |
| KINDL-Emotional Well-being| -0.43  | 0.005   | -0.46  | 0.003   | 0.6   | < 0.001 | -0.32  | 0.16    |
| KINDL-Self Esteem        | -0.26  | 0.09    | -0.31  | 0.04    | 0.69  | < 0.001 | -0.11  | 0.63    |
| KINDL-Family             | -0.29  | 0.06    | -0.28  | 0.07    | 0.46  | 0.002   | -0.26  | 0.26    |
| KINDL-Friends            | -0.21  | 0.17    | -0.28  | 0.07    | 0.37  | 0.01    | -0.11  | 0.63    |
| KINDL-School             | 0.02   | 0.87    | -0.26  | 0.1     | 0.32  | 0.03    | -0.10  | 0.66    |
| KINDL-Sum score          | -0.41  | 0.009   | -0.52  | 0.001   | 0.78  | < 0.001 | -0.30  | 0.19    |

CDI: Child Depression Inventory, STAI: The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, KINDL-FF: KINDL - Family Form

Significance indicated in bold
hemophilia in general, and it remains as a preliminary study. This small number did not allow for the determination of differences in QoL. Second, the study data depended entirely on the patients’ subjective assessment of their own quality of life. Furthermore, other confounding factors, such as parenting styles, marriage relationship, and child’s temperament were not taken into account.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, results demonstrate there are high proportions of the psychiatric disorders and symptoms in the children and adolescents with hemophilia and their parents. Most significantly, the study emphasizes that psychiatric factors should not be ignored in the treatment and follow-up of children and adolescents with hemophilia. Additionally, healthcare professionals who were working with such patients must be aware of the warning signs of deeper psychiatric problems, and psychiatric consultation needs to be included in the management of these children. It may be recommended to prepare a range of intervention programs to be applied at hospital for the children with chronic diseases and especially for those with hemophilia. In addition, interventions should include social interventions such as specific peer relationships. These programs can help parents to manage and cope with their children’s chronic conditions more efficiently.

We hope that these findings encourage more research on psychosocial functioning of children and their parents in a larger sample, which is a limitation of this study, and psychological support programs for hemophilia will become available in our country.
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**Table 5** Correlation of the outcomes of KINDL with other scales of children and adolescents

|                    | Beck Anxiety Scores | Beck Depression Scores | KINDL-FF Sum Score | The Level of Expressed Emotion | Burden Interview Scores |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
|                    | r       | p       | r       | p       | r       | p       | r       | p       | r       | p       |
| Age                | 0.14    | 0.37    | 0.13    | 0.41    | -0.48  | 0.001  | 0.18    | 0.44    | -0.06   | 0.8     |
| Presence of psychiatric disorder | 0.59  | 0.005  | 0.69    | < 0.001 | -0.58  | 0.005  | 0.45    | 0.04    | 0.34    | 0.13    |
| CDI                | 0.46    | 0.002  | 0.51    | 0.001   | -0.46  | 0.003  | 0.39    | 0.08    | 0.44    | 0.04    |
| STAI-State         | 0.34    | 0.02    | 0.58    | < 0.001 | -0.33  | 0.03   | 0.15    | 0.5     | 0.46    | 0.04    |
| STAI-Trait         | 0.32    | 0.04    | 0.490   | 0.001   | -0.37  | 0.01   | 0.67    | 0.001   | 0.39    | 0.08    |
| STAI-total scores  | 0.37    | 0.01    | 0.59    | < 0.001 | -0.39  | 0.01   | 0.45    | 0.04    | 0.48    | 0.03    |
| KINDL               |                     |                       |                    |                                |                        |
| KINDL-Physical Well-being | -0.40 | 0.009  | -0.42   | 0.006   | -0.006 | 0.96   | -0.26   | 0.25    | -0.25   | 0.27    |
| KINDL-Emotional Well-being | -0.43 | 0.005  | -0.46   | 0.003   | 0.6    | < 0.001 | -0.45   | 0.04    | -0.32   | 0.16    |
| KINDL-Self Esteem  | -0.26   | 0.09    | -0.31   | 0.04    | 0.69   | < 0.001 | -0.44   | 0.04    | -0.11   | 0.63    |
| KINDL-Family       | -0.29   | 0.06    | -0.28   | 0.07    | 0.46   | 0.002  | -0.17   | 0.43    | -0.26   | 0.26    |
| KINDL-Friends      | -0.21   | 0.17    | -0.28   | 0.07    | 0.37   | 0.01   | -0.13   | 0.59    | -0.11   | 0.63    |
| KINDL-school       | 0.02    | 0.87    | -0.26   | 0.1     | 0.32   | 0.03   | -0.21   | 0.35    | -0.10   | 0.66    |
| KINDL-Sum score    | -0.41   | 0.009   | -0.52   | 0.001   | 0.78   | < 0.001 | -0.42   | 0.06    | -0.30   | 0.19    |

CDI: Child Depression Inventory, STAI: The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, KINDL-FF: KINDL - Family Form

Significance indicated in bold.
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