Modified Mini-open Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion

Description of Surgical Technique and Assessment of Free-hand Pedicle Screw Insertion
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Study Design. Retrospective case series.
Objective. To describe a modified technique for mini-open transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) that improves visualization for decompression, fusion, and freehand pedicle screw insertion. Accuracy of freehand pedicle screw placement with this technique was assessed.

Summary of Background Data. Mini-open TLIF is a minimally invasive technique that allows limited visualization of the bone and neural anatomy via an expandable tubular retractor inserted through the Wiltse plane. No significant modification of this technique has been described in detail.

Methods. In this study, 92 consecutive patients underwent one-level modified mini-open TLIF (MOTLIF). MOTLIF modifications consisted of (i) transmuscular dissection through the multifidus muscle rather than intermuscular dissection in the Wiltse plane; (ii) microsurgical detachment of multifidus from the facet rather than muscle dilation; (iii) en bloc total facetectomy (unilateral or bilateral, as needed for decompression); (iv) facet autograft used for interbody fusion; and (v) solid pedicle screws placed bilaterally by a freehand technique under direct vision.

Results. The mean age was 53 years. Mean follow-up was 35 months (minimum 2 yrs). By 6 months, mean Visual Analog Scale for back and leg pain had improved from 51 to 19 and from 58 to 17, respectively, and mean ODI improved from 53 to 16. These improvements persisted at 2 years. Solid fusion, defined by computed tomography at 1 year, was achieved in 88.1%, whereas satisfactory fusion was achieved in 95.2% of patients. Pedicle screws were accurately placed in 335 of 336 imaged pedicles (pedicle breach grades: 91.1% grade 1; 8.6% grade 2; and 0.3% grade 3). Mean fluoroscopy time was 29.3 seconds.

Conclusion. MOTLIF is a safe and effective minimally invasive technique with a high fusion rate. It allows accurate pedicle screw placement by a freehand technique. By eliminating biplanar fluoroscopy, it helps reduce radiation exposure. This is the largest published report of mini-open TLIF to date.
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Mini-open transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (oTLIF), as described by Mummaneni,1 is a minimally invasive fusion technique that utilizes expandable tubular retractors to permit insertion of pedicle screws and TLIF implants under direct vision. Unlike percutaneous TLIF (pTLIF),2–9 there is no need for placement of K-wires, cannulated pedicle screws, or biplanar fluoroscopy, thus making the technique more accessible to surgeons who are accustomed to open instrumented fusion.

Although good clinical and radiographic results have been reported with oTLIF,1,10–15 the accuracy of freehand pedicle screw placement through such limited exposures has not been assessed.

Here we describe a modification of oTLIF, named modified mini-open transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (MOTLIF), in which we have assessed the accuracy of freehand pedicle screw placement guided by direct visualization of anatomical landmarks, lateral fluoroscopy, and electrophysiological monitoring. MOTLIF differs from oTLIF with regard to the location of the skin incision, the trajectory of the surgical corridor, exposure of bone anatomy by direct dissection rather than muscle dilation, en bloc resection of facet processes, and reliance only on
local autograft and bone extenders. The MOTLIF surgical technique is presented in detail.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Ninety-two consecutive patients who had undergone one-level MOTLIF procedures by a single surgeon between 2008 and 2012 were studied retrospectively. Indications for fusion included degenerative or isthmic spondylolisthesis (Meyerding grade I or II) with radiculopathy, symptomatic foraminal stenosis associated with disc degeneration and retrolisthesis, or multiple recurrent disc herniations. All patients had lumbar radiculopathy and back pain refractory to conservative treatment and were required to quit smoking if they were smokers.

**Assessment**

Patient evaluation included completion of pain and disability questionnaires preoperatively and at 6 and 24 months postoperatively. Dynamic radiographs were performed preoperatively, at 3 and 6 months postoperatively, and at 6-month intervals thereafter, until fusion was achieved. Computed tomography (CT) of the lumbar spine was scheduled at 12 months postoperatively to assess fusion. Statistical analysis was performed by a one-way ANOVA.

Accuracy of pedicle screw placement was assessed retrospectively from the available CT data with respect to presence and extent of breach of pedicle wall, according to a modification of previously published criteria, as outlined in Table 1 and Figure 1 (A–D). Screws breaching the lateral pedicle wall more than 2 mm and providing poor bone purchase (grade 3) or screws breaching the medial wall greater than 2 mm or causing neurological symptoms (grade 4) would be considered poorly-placed.

Grading of interbody and posterolateral fusion on CT was performed according to a modification of previously published criteria, as outlined in Table 2. Successful radiographic fusion was defined as CT grade 1 (Figure 2A–C). Acceptable fusion was defined as CT grade 2 with less than 5 degrees of angulation and no translation on dynamic radiographs. Failure of fusion was defined as CT grade 3 and/or greater than 5 degrees of angulation or greater than 2 mm of translation on dynamic radiographs.

**Surgical Technique**

The MOTLIF surgical technique is demonstrated in detail in Video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/BRS/B121.

**Exposure**

A small skin incision was made in the parasagittal plane between the centers of adjacent pedicles and extended through the lumbar fascia. Rather than using tubular dilators, the attachments of the multifidus muscle to the underlying bone were directly visualized under magnification (with loupes or microscope), bipolar coagulated and cut to expose the facet joint and the pars. This step prevented subsequent muscle creep into the field of view. An expandable miniopen retractor (Spyder retractor by Aesculap Implant Systems, USA) was then inserted. At the end of the procedure, the lumbar fascia and the underlying investing fascia of the multifidus were closed but no attempt was made to suture the muscle fibers together.

**Decompression**

An en bloc facetectomy was carried out to expose the exiting and traversing nerve roots and to provide large amounts of bone graft material. To perform this, the lateral aspect of the pars interarticularis was first identified by the characteristic concavity along its lateral margin. A drill equipped with a diamond burr or an ultrasonic bone scalpel was used to make a transverse cut across the pars, joined by a vertical cut along the lateral aspect of the lamina (Figure 3). The inferior articular process was mobilized and removed. Next, the superior articular process of the caudal vertebra was amputated flush with its pedicle and removed to obtain a pedicle-to-pedicle exposure. The lateral extension of ligamentum flavum was resected to expose the traversing and exiting nerve roots and the lateral dural margin. A wide annulotomy and a thorough discectomy were performed.

**TLIF**

The bone yielded by the en bloc facetectomy was decorticated with a cutting burr and decimated for interbody

| Pedicle Breach Grade | Pedicle Breach Description | Number of Screws | % of Screws |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1                    | 0–2 mm breach of pedicle wall | 306              | 91.1        |
| 2                    | >2 mm lateral breach but acceptable bone purchase | 29               | 8.6         |
| 3                    | >2 mm lateral breach with insufficient bone purchase | 1                | 0.3         |
| 4                    | >2 mm medial breach of pedicle wall or screw-associated neurological symptoms | 0                | 0           |
fusion. Any overhang of the posterior margin of the endplates over the disc space was resected. If the disc space was too narrow to accept an implant, contralateral pedicle screws were inserted and used for disc distraction whereas an osteotome inside the disc space wedged apart the endplates. A banana-shaped PEEK implant was packed with facet bone, and positioned in the disc space under lateral fluoroscopy. The remaining volume of the disc space lateral and posterior to the implant was packed with facet bone pieces. A small piece of beta tricalcium phosphate bone substitute (ChronOS by Synthes, USA) was wedged under the annulus to keep the bone pieces in place. After pedicle screw insertion, the transverse processes lateral to the screw heads were decorticated and covered with strips of the abovementioned bone substitute, impregnated with bone marrow aspirate obtained through a pedicle. If any local autograft remained from the resected facet, it was added to the posterolateral fusion mass.

Pedicle Screw Insertion and Spondylolisthesis Reduction
Bilateral pedicle screw insertion was performed in all cases by a freehand technique using noncannulated screws with extension tabs (S4 screws by Aesculap Implant Systems, USA) exactly as in an open fusion (Figure 4). The exposed margins of the pedicles and the adjacent nerves roots were directly visualized and/or palpated throughout the insertion process that involved drilling a pilot hole, pedicle probing, tapping, and screw insertion. Electrophysiological monitoring and lateral fluoroscopy were used, but AP fluoroscopy was not used.

In many instances, grade I spondylolisthesis was found to have spontaneously reduced after facetectomy and TLIF. To reduce residual spondylolisthesis, the persuasion capabilities of the threaded extension tabs of the screws were used. A straight rod was first affixed to the caudal screw head such that its cranial end sat proud of the cranial screw head. The cranial screw was then persuaded back toward the fixed rod as the set screw was tightened in screw head’s extension tab. In cases of grade II spondylolisthesis or narrow disc spaces, spondylolisthesis reduction was performed on the contralateral side before ipsilateral TLIF implant insertion. The contralateral side was handled either by a MOTLIF exposure with facetectomy (when contralateral decompression was needed) or MOTLIF exposure with facet decortication and fusion. Bilateral posterolateral fusion was performed in all cases.

RESULTS
The characteristics of the patient population, the indications for fusion, and clinical outcomes are summarized in Table 3. Of the 92 patients in this series, 40 (43.5%) were males and 52 (56.5%) were females. The mean age was 53 years (range 32–75 yrs). The indications for surgery are summarized in Table 3 and consisted mostly of spondylolisthesis (70.7%).

Mean operating time was 148 minutes (range 111–182 min). Mean fluoroscopy time was 29.3 seconds (21.5–40.2 s). Mean hospital stay was 1.2 days (range 1–3 ds). Mean estimated blood loss was 65.2 mL (range 30–100 mL).

Mean follow-up was 35 months with a minimum follow-up of 2 years. At 6 months postoperatively, mean Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for back pain improved from 51 (range 20–100) to 19 (range 5–40), mean VAS for leg pain had improved from 58 (range 25–100) to 17 (range 0–35), and the mean ODI had improved from 53 (range 37–83) to 16 (range 5–29). Three patients were lost to follow-up after the 6-month time point. At 2 years postoperatively, the mean scores were: VAS-back (21; range 6–43), VAS-leg (16; range 0–36), and ODI (13; range 4–26). The differences between 6-month and 2-year scores were not statistically significant, but the differences between preoperative scores and both postoperative time points were significant ($P < 0.01$).

No instances of neurological worsening occurred. Three patients (3.2%) had incidental durotomies that were

---

**TABLE 2. Computed Tomography Grading Criteria for Interbody and Posterolateral Fusion**

| Grade | Fusion Criteria |
|-------|-----------------|
| 1     | Bridging interbody bone through or around the cage and/or bridging posterolateral fusion mass |
| 2     | Incomplete bridging of interbody bone and posterolateral fusion mass with presence of radiolucent line(s) but no evidence of screw loosening |
| 3     | Resorption of interbody and posterolateral fusion mass and/or radiolucent halo around screws |
repaired intraoperatively: None had a postoperative cerebrospinal fluid leak. Five patients (5.4%) had wound erythema, which was treated with oral and topical antibiotics. One patient (1.1%) had a deep wound infection, which was successfully treated surgical debridement and 4 weeks of intravenous antibiotic therapy without removal of the hardware. No patient required a blood transfusion.

Eighty-four (91.3%) of the 92 patients had a follow-up CT at 12 to 15 months after surgery. The reasons for failing to have a follow-up CT consisted of insurance refusal because of lack of justifying symptoms (3 patients), patient refusal caused by absence of symptoms (2 patients), and inability to reach the patient to schedule CT (3 patients). In the 84 patients who had CT, solid fusion (CT grade 1) was achieved in 74 patients (88.1%). Within this group (CT grade 1), all patients had bridging interbody bone, but only 72% had bridging posterolateral bone. An additional 6 patients had acceptable fusion (CT grade 2 with less than 5 degrees of angulation and no translation on dynamic radiographs), yielding a satisfactory fusion rate of 95.2%. None of these patients developed hardware loosening during the minimum 2-year follow-up period. All of the patients who did not have a CT had satisfactory fusion by dynamic radiographic criteria.
TABLE 3. Patient Demographics, Indications, and Clinical Results

| Category                                    | Value                  |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Number of patients                          | 92                     |
| Mean age (range)                            | 53 yrs (32–75)         |
| Sex                                         |                        |
| Male (%)                                    | 40 (43.5)              |
| Female (%)                                  | 52 (56.5)              |
| Indications for fusion (number, %)          |                        |
| Spondylolisthesis                           | 65 (70.7)              |
| Degenerative                                | 46 (50.0)              |
| Ischmic                                     | 19 (20.7)              |
| Spondylolisthesis grade                     |                        |
| Grade I                                     | 51 (55.4)              |
| Grade II                                    | 14 (15.2)              |
| Retrolisthesis with foraminal stenosis      | 22 (23.9)              |
| Multiple recurrent disc herniations         | 5 (5.4)                |
| MOTLIF level (number, %)                    |                        |
| L5–S1                                       | 33 (35.9)              |
| L4–5                                        | 45 (48.9)              |
| L3–4                                        | 13 (14.1)              |
| L2–3                                        | 1 (1.1)                |
| Mean operating time (range)                 | 148 min (111–182)      |
| Mean fluoroscopy time (range)               | 29.3 s (21.5–40.2)     |
| Mean hospital stay (range)                  | 1.2 d (1–3)            |
| Estimated blood loss (range)                | 65.2 mL (30–100)       |
| Mean follow-up (range)                      | 35 mo (24–42)          |
| Back pain                                   |                        |
| Mean preoperative VAS (range)               | 51 (20–99)             |
| Mean 6-month postoperative VAS (range)      | 19 (5–40)              |
| Mean 2-year postoperative VAS (range)       | 21 (6–43)              |
| Leg pain                                    |                        |
| Mean preoperative VAS (range)               | 58 (25–99)             |
| Mean 6-month postoperative VAS (range)      | 17 (0–35)              |
| Mean 2-year postoperative VAS (range)       | 16 (0–36)              |
| Disability                                  |                        |
| Mean preoperative ODI (range)               | 53 (37–83)             |
| Mean 6-month postoperative ODI (range)      | 16 (5–29)              |
| Mean 2-year postoperative ODI (range)       | 13 (4–26)              |

ODI indicates; VAS, Visual Analog Scale

on last follow-up. Overall, 4 of the 92 patients (4.3%) had fusion failure requiring revision.

Data pertaining to the accuracy of freehand pedicle screw placement is presented in Table 1. Of the 336 pedicle screws that were CT-imaged in this study, 306 (91.1%) were grade 1 insertions and 26 (8.6%) were grade 2. Only one patient had an unacceptable laterally-placed screw (grade 3), which required revision. No screws were inserted too medially into the spinal canal and no patient had a neurological deficit related to screw placement (grade 4).

DISCUSSION

A successful minimally invasive fusion operation is one in which none of the surgical objectives are compromised because of lack of exposure. These objectives include satisfactory decompression of the spinal canal and neural foramina, thorough evacuation and preparation of disc space for TLIF, insertion of an appropriately-sized TLIF implant, safe and accurate pedicle screw insertion, and anatomical spondylolisthesis reduction. Although good results have been reported with percutaneous TLIF through nonexpandable tubes (p-TLIF, also known as MI-TLIF for minimally invasive TLIF), some of these studies rely on the use of bone morphogenic protein (BMP) to augment fusion rates. Mini-open TLIF (oTLIF and its variations) performed through expandable retractors offers superior exposure compared with p-TLIF. Yet in many reported mini-open approaches, surgeons still rely on biplanar fluoroscopy for K-wire and cannulated pedicle screw insertion and use BMP for fusion. We believe that the modified technique presented here enhances the exposure and eliminates the problems with muscle creep into the field of view that hamper other techniques. The enhanced exposure in MOTLIF facilitates identification of anatomical landmarks for pedicle screw insertion and allows accurate insertion of noncannulated pedicle screws by a freehand technique. The need for biplanar fluoroscopy is eliminated, reducing radiation exposure and making the technique more accessible to surgeons unfamiliar with percutaneous technique. The improved exposure is obtained by modifying the location of the skin incision and the approach corridor and performing a systematic en bloc facetectomy.

All previous description of mini-open and percutaneous TLIF have relied on making the skin incisions lateral to the pedicles and following the natural cleavage plane between the multifidus and longissimus muscles. There are two problems with this approach. First and most important, the intermuscular Wiltse approach always directs the surgical corridor too far laterally, as dictated by the muscular anatomy, requiring the surgeon to tilt the retractor medially and fight the mass of the multifidus muscle between the retractor and the spinal process. The multifidus muscle fascicles traverse obliquely from each spinous process to the superior articular process of the vertebra two levels below. The longissimus muscle attaches to the medial aspect of the transverse process. As a result of this anatomical arrangement, the intermuscular cleavage plane always leads to the lateral aspect of the facet joint, between the superior articular process and transverse process. When Wiltse first described this approach in 1973, he intended its use for posterolateral not interbody fusion. Second, the multifidus muscle is thick near the sacrum and gradually thins as it extends cranially. As a result, the location of the intermuscular cleavage plane is variable (more medial in the
more cranial segments), thus making it difficult to find the
dissection plane through skin incisions that are made at an
arbitrary distance from the midline.

To overcome these difficulties, in MOTLIF the skin
incision is made precisely in the parasagittal plane bisecting
the pedicles and a transmuscular surgical corridor is developed
through the multifidus muscle to center the exposure
on the facet joint. We have found that the transmuscular
corridor provides superior exposure for facetectomy, lateral
recess decompression, and TLIF, whereas still providing
good exposure for pedicle screw insertion and intertrans-
verse fusion. Furthermore, the retractor remains stable in the
wound in vertical orientation, does not need to be tilted
medially, and is not constantly displaced by the medial mass
of the multifidus muscle. Because the retractor is not
attached to the table at a fixed angle, it readily “floats”
over the target whereas maintaining the exposure, thus
facilitating the introduction of implant inserters and screw-
drivers at optimal trajectories.

Another feature that distinguishes it from oTLIF
and pTLIF is that MOTLIF does not rely on tubular
dilators. The musculotendinous attachments of the
multifidus to the facet joint are too strong to be detached
by tubular dilators. In MOTLIF, these attachments are visualized under magnification, bipolar-coagulated, and
cut flush with the bone before insertion of the expandable
retractor, providing superior exposure and stable retractor
placement.

In MOTLIF, the facet joint is resected en bloc. This
technique yields large pieces of cortico-cancellous bone
from the hypertrophic articular processes that can be
thoroughly cleared of their soft tissue attachments and used
for fusion. By contrast, piecemeal facetectomy with drills
and rongeurs wastes bone and yields a low-quality fusion
substrate contaminated with soft tissue attachments. In the
current study, high fusion rates were achieved with local
autograft, comparable with studies that relied on the use of
BMP or iliac crest bone graft.1–15,21 The cost and potential
complications associated with the use of BMP are well-
known19,20,27–30 and are best avoided, if possible.

The use of stereotactic navigation and intraoperative
computed tomography to enhance the accuracy of mini-
mally invasive pedicle screw placement and reduce radiation
exposure has been extensively described.16–18,31–34 In the
current study, we have demonstrated highly accurate pedicle
screw placement by a freehand technique without image
guidance. We relied only on lateral fluoroscopy, which
reduces radiation exposure compared to biplanar fluoro-
scopy. Our mean fluoroscopy time of 29.3 seconds com-
pares favorably with other studies that report mean times
ranging from 38.7 seconds to 3.7 minutes for one-level
operations.35–38 Recently, a low-dose radiation protocol
has been described that combines direct exposure and visualiza-
tion of pedicle landmarks and avoidance of bila-
 planar fluoroscopy (as in our technique) with pulsed low-dose
fluoroscopy (that produces lower resolution images) to push
mean fluoroscopy time to 10.4 seconds.39

In conclusion, MOTLIF differs from oTLIF in that it uses
a transmuscular surgical corridor, requires manual detach-
ment of multifidus from the underlying bone, and relies on
an en bloc facetectomy to achieve decompression and pro-
vide high-quality local autograft. High fusion rates were
achieved without the use of BMP or iliac crest autograft. The
MOTLIF exposure allowed highly accurate pedicle screw
placement by a freehand technique. It avoids biplanar
fluoroscopy, thereby reducing radiation exposure. MOTLIF
is a safe and effective minimally invasive technique, which
may appeal to spine surgeons who are proficient in micro-
surgery but unfamiliar with percutaneous techniques. This
represents the largest published report of mini-open TLIF
and its variations to date.

Key Points

- A modified technique for oTLIF is presented in
detail.
- MOTLIF provides good exposure for interbody
fusion and freehand pedicle screw insertion.
- Good clinical results and high fusion rates were
achieved with use of local autograft from en bloc
facetectomy.
- Highly accurate pedicle screw placement was
achieved by a freehand technique. Biplanar
fluoroscopy was avoided and low fluoroscopy
times were recorded.
- This minimally invasive technique may appeal to
surgeons who are proficient in microsurgery but
unfamiliar with percutaneous techniques.
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