Statistical analysis of the velocity and scalar fields in reacting turbulent wall-jets
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Abstract

The concept of local isotropy in a chemically reacting turbulent wall-jet flow is addressed using direct numerical simulation (DNS) data. Different DNS databases with isothermal and exothermic reactions are examined. The chemical reaction and heat release effects on the turbulent velocity, passive scalar and reactive species fields are studied using their probability density functions (PDF) and higher order moments for velocities and scalar fields, as well as their gradients. With the aid of the anisotropy invariant maps for the Reynolds stress tensor the heat release effects on the anisotropy level at different wall-normal locations are evaluated and found to be most accentuated in the near-wall region. It is observed that the small-scale anisotropies are persistent both in the near-wall region and inside the jet flame. Two exothermic cases with different Damköhler number are examined and the comparison revealed that the Damköhler number effects are most dominant in the near-wall region, where the wall cooling effects are influential. In addition, with the aid of PDFs conditioned on the mixture fraction, the significance of the reactive scalar characteristics in the reaction zone is illustrated. We argue that the combined effects of strong intermittency and strong persistency of anisotropy at the small scales in the entire domain can affect mixing and ultimately the combustion characteristics of the reacting flow.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of local isotropy is important for both fundamental and applied problems in turbulence [1–4]. On the one hand, obviously no real flow can be exactly isotropic at all scales, due to the external forcings and boundary conditions that typically break such symmetry. On the other hand, theoretical ideas and experimental observations support the concept of ‘return to local isotropy’, where by local it is meant ‘far enough from the boundaries’ and also for ‘small enough’ scales. The rationale is that any anisotropic effects introduced by the external forcing, the mean flow and/or walls, is overwhelmed by the turbulent fluctuations at sufficiently large Reynolds numbers and in fluid locations where neither the forcing nor the boundaries play a major role (if any). Therefore, all flows in nature have some degree of anisotropy, but also all flows in nature should return to some quasi-isotropic statistical state. Moreover, the return to isotropy should be faster than the decay rate of turbulence. Even though there are many confirmations of this general phenomenology (see e.g. Biferale and Procaccia [2] for a review) there are still some crucial open questions.

Concerning scalar and temperature gradient fluctuations, the available data and discussions are even more diverse and complicated than for the turbulent velocity. Already, the behavior of the passive scalar statistics is non-trivial and still a major field of research [5]. The complex aspects of the scalar characteristics apparently arise from the mixing process rather than from the turbulent velocity field [6] and the scalar field is mainly decoupled from the velocity field itself. The proper way to assess the relative importance of isotropic vs anisotropic fluctuations in turbulent flows, including active or passive scalar advection, is given through the direct measurements of the moments of the increments of the fields for different distances, i.e. the so-called structure functions [7]. Indeed, the behavior of the higher order moments of small-scale fluctuations in turbulent flows has been the subject of both numerical and experimental studies for decades [8–15]. It is crucial to realize that not all possible structure functions are sensitive to anisotropy in the same way. For instance, it is well known that odd moments of scalar fields are always vanishing for perfectly isotropic statistics, while for velocity increments only those built in terms of the transverse components must vanish [1, 3, 16–19]. Therefore, when a stable statistical signal is measured for an odd increment of a scalar field or a transverse velocity component in a turbulent flow,
it is a direct signature of the presence of some kind of anisotropy. On the other hand, the
even moments are less sensitive, being always different from zero already in a fully isotropic
ensemble. From a theoretical point of view, there exists a very clear and systematic way
to disentangle isotropic and anisotropic fluctuations, and to disentangle different kinds of
anisotropic fluctuations among themselves. This is based on the idea to decompose any
observable in a suitable set of eigenfunctions of the group of rotation. As a matter
of fact, it is very difficult to apply this decomposition on experimental data, because of
the need to control the whole field on a spherical volume. This is why systematic studies
of the anisotropic properties of turbulent flows are rare. In addition, in order to better
understand the whole probability density function (PDF) of anisotropic fluctuations, it is
mandatory to also measure high order moments, a task that can only be accomplished with
large statistical samples. This is why the combined assessment of importance of anisotropic
and rare non-Gaussian fluctuations (intermittency) in turbulent flows and scalar fields ad-
vanced by turbulent flows is still considered a state-of-the-art theoretical and experimental
problem. The situation is even more complex when the scalar field is coupled
back to the advecting velocity, becoming an active component in the dynamics, as for
the case of the turbulent Rayleigh-Benard convection, where the temperature preferentially
couples with the velocity fluctuations in the vertical direction only, introducing a strong
large scale anisotropy due to the buoyancy effects.

The reacting flows in general and combustion applications in particular are among the
turbulent flows, where large and small scales of different scalar fields are present and coupled
back to the advecting flow field. Many researchers have studied the characteristics of passive
and active scalars embedded in turbulent reacting flows to detect possible universal/non-
universal behaviors. However, studies on the effects of the combustion heat release on
the small scales of turbulence are limited and need to be further explored. For instance, Tong,
Warhaft and coworkers showed that the PDF of the scalar derivative in the direction of the
mean gradient is strongly skewed. They observed that the skewness of the scalar derivative
in the direction of the mean scalar gradient is nonzero, which implies the existence of the
ramp-cliff-like structure in the scalar signal. They also showed that the ramp-cliff
structure in the mixture fraction field has a strong influence on the reaction zones. Tong,
Barlow and coworkers properly asserted that the understanding of turbulent mixing is
largely based on the Kolmogorov turbulence theory. They discussed that there are certain
deviations from the universal distribution of the scalar at the subgrid-scale (SGS) level. They argued that in both reacting and non-reacting flows, depending on the SGS scalar variance and mean value, the SGS scalar is expected to show either a nearly Gaussian or a non-Gaussian behavior. They categorized the scalar structure at the SGS level to be a ramp-cliff structure. Their finding regarding the SGS scalar properties has many phenomenological parallels with the results of the present study concerning the small-scale characteristics.

In the present work, we analyze the case of an active scalar field in a reacting turbulent wall-jet, which represents a complex canonical flow. The scalar reacts and affects the flow and its influence depends on the position, due to the spatial evolution of the jet and boundary layer in the streamwise direction and the chemical reaction that occurs between the species. We investigate the heat release effects on the turbulent flow, with an emphasis on the anisotropic and/or intermittent aspects. Indeed, the heat-release effects on the anisotropic fluctuations of the wall-jet flow and its impacts on the scalar fields are not yet well understood. We study this by looking at both the large and small-scale statistics at different downstream locations and wall distances.

The turbulent wall-jet consists of a boundary layer and a jet flow, both of which are among the most important and fundamental canonical turbulent flows, see figure I. Inclusion of the chemical reaction allows us to compare the statistics of the active and reactive scalar fields. This is achieved by integrating, simultaneous to the reacting field, also the evolution of another scalar, fully passive, without any feedback on the flow. In a
previous study\cite{38}, we have examined and addressed the heat-release effects on the mixing scales of the flow, which play a role on the large-scale features of the velocity and scalar fields. In the present study, the DNS databases of two different reacting turbulent wall-jets are considered. In one case\cite{38}, the chemical reaction is isothermal while in the other\cite{38}, it is exothermic with a substantial amount of heat release. Since, no heat release is involved in the isothermal case, the reactive scalar is "passive-reactive", while for the exothermic case, the reactive scalar is tagged as the "active-reactive" scalar. Moreover, we also evolve a passive non-reacting scalar in the same flow configuration. Thus, three different types of scalars are present, namely, passive, passive-reactive and active-reactive scalars and the present investigation addresses the differences in their behaviors. The study of the small-scale characteristics of the turbulent flow and the scalar field has interesting outcomes and implications for turbulence modeling applications\cite{40,41}.

It is known that the chemical reaction leads to a strong non-Gaussian behavior at large scales leading to different characteristics of the reactive scalar from that of the passive scalar. Chemical reaction enhances the intermittency level at different locations, e.g. near the wall and at the half-height of the jet, where the reaction rate is maximum. As discussed in Pouransari et al.\cite{39}, the PDFs of fuel species show long exponential tails. Here, we further investigate whether the non-Gaussian behavior caused by the chemical reaction is persistent down to the very small scales. It is observed that, the persistency of anisotropy and the related intense intermittency of both passive and active scalars are greater than that of the velocity field in which it is embedded. We measure the departure from local isotropy at small scales and the degree of intermittency of the various scalars. In order to gain a firm foundation for the understanding of scalar intermittency and anisotropies, the behavior of higher order moments for both velocity and scalar fields and their gradients are examined.

The paper is organized as follows. The governing equations are given in section II and the numerical method and flow configuration are explained in section III. Mean flow statistics are briefly discussed in section IV. In section V, PDFs for the velocity and scalar fields are discussed. The PDFs of two different cases with different Damkohler numbers are compared. Moreover, the conditional PDFs are discussed with a focus on the flame zone. The anisotropy invariant maps are used in section VI for an additional analysis of the anisotropy of the flow field. Furthermore, discussions on the higher order moments of the velocity fields as well as different scalar fields are included in section VII followed by an analysis of the gradient
II. GOVERNING EQUATIONS FOR COMPRESSIBLE REACTING FLOW

The conservation equations of total mass, momentum and energy read

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial \rho u_j}{\partial x_j} &= 0 \\ 
\frac{\partial \rho u_i}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial \rho u_i u_j}{\partial x_j} &= -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \sum \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \\ 
\frac{\partial \rho E}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial \rho E u_j}{\partial x_j} &= \dot{\omega}_T - \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_i} + \sum \left( u_i (\tau_{ij} - p \delta_{ij}) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \rho \) is the total mass density, \( u_i \) are the velocity components, \( p \) is the pressure, \( E = e + 1/2 u_i u_i \) is the total energy and \( \dot{\omega}_T \) is the heat-release term due to the exothermic reaction (\( \dot{\omega}_T^{(i)} = 0 \) for the isothermal case). The summation convention over repeated indices is used. The heat fluxes \( q_i \) are approximated by Fourier’s law \( q_i = -\lambda (\partial T/\partial x_i) \), where \( \lambda \) is the constant coefficient of thermal conductivity and \( T \) is the temperature. The viscous stress tensor is defined as \( \tau_{ij} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{\mu}{3} \left( \sum \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} \right) \delta_{ij} \), where \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity and \( \delta_{i,j} \) is the Kronecker delta. The fluid is assumed to be calorically perfect and to obey the ideal gas law according to \( e = c_v T \), \( p = \rho RT \) and a specific heat ratio of \( \gamma = c_p/c_v = 1.4 \) is used. The viscosity is determined through the Sutherland’s law

\[
\frac{\mu}{\mu_{jet}} = \left( \frac{T}{T_{jet}} \right)^{3/2} \frac{T_{jet} + S_0}{T + S_0},
\]

where \( T \) is the local temperature, \( T_{jet} \) is the jet center temperature at the inlet and \( S_0 = 110.4K \) is a reference value. Conservation of the species masses is governed by

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho \theta_o}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial \rho \theta_o u_j}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho D \frac{\partial \theta_o}{\partial x_j} \right) - \dot{\omega}_o, \\
\frac{\partial \rho \theta_f}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial \rho \theta_f u_j}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho D \frac{\partial \theta_f}{\partial x_j} \right) - \dot{\omega}_f, \\
\frac{\partial \rho \theta}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial \rho \theta u_j}{\partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho D \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \theta_o, \theta_f \) and \( \theta \) are the mass fractions of the oxidizer, fuel and passive conserved scalar, respectively and \( \dot{\omega}_o \) and \( \dot{\omega}_f \) are the reaction rates for the oxidizer and fuel species. An equal diffusion coefficient \( D \) for scalars is used to approximate the diffusive fluxes.

The chemical reaction between the oxidizer \( O \) and fuel species \( F \) is simplified as a single-step irreversible reaction that form the product \( P \) as \( \nu_O O + \nu_F F \rightarrow \nu_P P \), whose rate is proportional to multiplication of the reactant concentrations for the isothermal case and is expressed with the Arrhenius equation for the exothermic case, formulated as

\[
\dot{\omega}_f^{(i)} = -k_r \rho^2 \theta_o \theta_f, \quad (8)
\]

\[
\dot{\omega}_f^{(e)} = -k_r \rho^2 \theta_o \theta_f \exp(-Ze/T), \quad (9)
\]

where \( \dot{\omega}_f^{(i)} \) and \( \dot{\omega}_f^{(e)} \) are the source terms in the fuel species conservation equation for isothermal and exothermic cases, respectively, and \( k_r \) is a constant determining the Damköhler number \( Da = h/U_{jet} k_r \rho_{jet} \). Note the difference in formulation of the reaction rate. In the exothermic case, the chemical reaction is temperature dependent with an exponential term as described in Eq. (9). A corresponding source term as \( \dot{\omega}_T \) appears in the energy equation, which is responsible for the combustion heat-release. This will cause the major part of the thermal coupling between the velocity and the scalar fields, apart from the minor compressibility effects. In the isothermal case, the source term is independent of the temperature, as expressed in Eq. (8) and the energy equation is not affected by the chemical reaction. The combustion heat-release term, \( \dot{\omega}_T \) is related to the species reaction rates by

\[
\dot{\omega}_T = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta h_{f,k}^0 \dot{\omega}_k, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta h_{f,k}^0 \text{ is the formation enthalpy of the } k^{th}\text{-species, for more details on governing equations see Pouransari et al.}(2011) [39].
\]

The half-height \( y_{1/2} \) for the plane wall-jet is defined as the distance from the wall to the position in the outer region, i.e. \( y_{1/2} > y_m \), where the mean velocity reaches half of the maximum excess value, i.e. \( U(y_{1/2}) = 1/2(U_m - U_c) \), where \( U_m \) is the local maximum streamwise velocity, \( y_m \) is its wall distance and \( U_c \) is the coflow velocity, see figure [I]. With the spatial evolution of the turbulent jet flow, \( y_m \) varies and thus \( y_{1/2} \) will grow accordingly as is inherited in its definition. The growth rate of the \( y_{1/2} \) for the wall-jet flows is linear [39] and is affected by the combustion heat release. As described in Pouransari et al. (2013) [38], \( y_{1/2} \) decreases moderately with introduction of heat release. However, it can still serve as a valuable outer scaling parameter, when quantities in the outer region are discussed. There-
fore, throughout this study, \( y_{1/2} \) is used for the outer scaling, except where the combustion heat release affects the particular parameter and the changes in \( y_{1/2} \) with heat release need to be avoided. Thus, in some of the illustrations, the jet inlet height \( h \), which is a constant geometrical parameter, is used as the normalization parameter for the two cases.

Two types of averaging have been employed in the paper. The Reynolds averaged of \( f \) is denoted by \( f \) using \( f = \overline{f} + f' \), where \( f' \) is the fluctuation about the mean value. Accordingly, when Favre averaging is used, \( f \) is decomposed as \( f = \tilde{f} + f'' \), where \( f'' \) is the fluctuation about the density-weighted average and \( \tilde{f} = \rho f / \bar{\rho} \) denotes the Favre-averaged mean value.

III. NUMERICAL METHOD, RESOLUTION AND LENGTH SCALES

A fully compressible Navier-Stokes solver \cite{42, 43} is employed for numerical simulation of the wall-jet flow. The code uses a sixth-order compact finite difference scheme for spatial discretization and a third-order Runge-Kutta method for the temporal integration. A schematic of the plane wall-jet is shown in figure\[1\] and snapshots of the simulation results are illustrated in figure\[4\] where \( h \) is the jet inlet height and \( x, y \) and \( z \) denote the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise directions, respectively.

The computational domain is a rectangular box. The extents of the geometry in terms of the inlet jet height \( h \), and number of grid points together with other simulation specifications are given in Table I. Details for case I can be found in Pouransari \textit{et al.} (2011)\cite{39} and for case II and case III in Pouransari \textit{et al.} (2013)\cite{38}. Note that computation of the averaged statistics and PDFs are carried out using both \( N_T = 1087 \) snapshots and the data points in the spanwise homogenous direction with \( N_z = 128 \) points. Thus, in total \( N_z \times N_T \) datasets are used for calculation of the averages, see Table I.
The reactants enter the domain in a non-premixed manner. At the inlet of the computational domain, both fuel species and passive scalar are injected through the jet stream, within the height $h$. The remaining part of the inlet boundary consists of a coflow containing 50% of oxidizer species, while the jet flow consists of 100% of fuel species. At the wall, the no-slip condition is fulfilled for the velocity and a no-flux condition, \( \left( \frac{\partial n}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} = 0 \), is applied for the scalars. Periodic boundary conditions are used in the spanwise direction. The ambient flow above the jet has a constant coflow velocity of $U_c = 0.10U_{jet}$, where \((jet)\) is used to denote properties at the inlet jet center and $U_{jet}$ is the jet inlet velocity. At the top of the domain an inflow velocity of $0.026U_{jet}$ is used to account for the entrainment. To prevent the reflection and generation of waves, sponge zones are implemented at the inlet and outlet boundaries.

The Reynolds number is defined as $Re = U_{jet}h/\nu_{jet}$, where $\nu_{jet}$ is the jet inlet viscosity. Mach and Schmidt numbers are defined as $M = U_{jet}/a$ and $Sc = \nu/D$, respectively, where $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity and $a$ is the speed of sound; and their numerical values are specified in Table 1. For the heat fluxes a constant Prandtl number $Pr = \mu c_p/\lambda = 0.72$ is used. The Schmidt number of the scalars is also constant and equal to the Prandtl number, i.e. $Sc = \mu/\rho D = 0.72$. For details concerning the resolutions, the boundary conditions and the numerical methodology, see Pouransari et al. (2011,2013)\cite{38,39}. In order to take into account the compressibility effects on the statistics, Favre averages are computed. However, most of the Favre averaged statistics do not significantly differ from their Reynolds-averaged values.

In the streamwise direction, grid stretching is used to give a higher resolution in the transition region. At the downstream position $x/h = 25$, where most of the statistics presented in the following sections are taken, the streamwise and spanwise resolutions in wall units are $\Delta x^+ \approx 10$ and $\Delta z^+ \approx 6$, respectively. In the wall-normal direction, the grid is made finer near the wall, using a tangent hyperbolic distribution, to provide sufficient resolution for resolving the inner layer structures.

A Taylor micro scale in the $i^{th}$ direction can be defined as

$$\lambda_i = \sqrt{\frac{15 \nu}{\epsilon} u_{i,rms}^2}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad (10)$$
where $\epsilon$ is the viscous dissipation rate. The Kolmogorov length scale is defined as

$$\eta = \left( \frac{\nu^3}{\epsilon} \right)^{1/4}.$$  

Figures 2(a) and (b) illustrate variation of these scales for the current simulations in the wall-normal direction at $x/h = 25$. In this figure, a line with solid symbols is used for case II that includes the combustion heat release and a line with empty symbols for the isothermal case I. Note that these line styles are used throughout the paper unless otherwise stated. Figure 2 shows that $\lambda$ and $\eta$ become larger due to the combustion heat release, both in the inner and outer regions of the flow, which is consistent with the increase in viscosity and the other length scales of the wall-jet flow, reported in Pouransari et al. (2013)\textsuperscript{38}. Considering, the value of Taylor micro scale $\lambda_y$ for case I at the downstream position $x/h = 25$, knowing that the half height of the jet is approximately $y_{1/2}/h = 2.5$\textsuperscript{38}, gives $\lambda_y/y_{1/2} \approx 1/5$. This relatively large ratio is due to the low Reynolds number of the flow and gets even larger for case II with heat release. However, the friction Reynolds number of the flow is about $Re_\tau = 230$, which is comparable with that in typical low Reynolds number
FIG. 3: Streamwise variation of the normalized streamwise Taylor micro-scale $\lambda_x/h$ at the wall-normal position $y/h = 4$. Here, $h$ is the jet inlet height. Black unfilled squares, isothermal case I and black filled squares, exothermic case II.

turbulent channel flow simulations\cite{44}. The local Reynolds number of the flow decreases with increasing viscosity due to the heat release. This is the primary reason for both the micro scale and Kolmogorov scale to become larger in the exothermic case compared to the isothermal case\cite{45}. Considering wall-normal variations of the streamwise and spanwise Taylor micro scales $\lambda_x$ and $\lambda_z$, as defined in Eq. (10), a similar behavior is observed in all three directions of the flow. From figures 2(a) and (b), we also observe that the small scales of the flow are smaller at almost all wall-normal distances for the isothermal case. However, further away from the wall, this reduction in the scale sizes is less accentuated.

An important point to notice is how the Kolmogorov scale compares to the grid spacing in the vertical direction. The jet flame burns mostly below $y/h = 4$, where most of the flame-turbulence interactions take place\cite{46}, see figure 4 for an overview of the field. In this region, the grid spacing is either smaller than or in the order of the Kolmogorov scale for both cases and the resolution is finer in case II, which includes the heat release. A similar trend is observed in the axial direction, see figure 3 where $\lambda_x$ increases with the heat release in general and the grid spacing is comparable with the Kolmogorov scale. However, $\lambda_x$ reaches a maximum value in both cases I and II, close to the transition region at about $x/h = 15$. Further downstream, $\lambda_x$ gradually decreases and at $x/h = 25$, where it is well inside the self-similar region\cite{39}, the difference between the two cases is smaller and the heat release effects on the size of the small scales are minimum.
IV. DIRECT NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF TURBULENT WALL-JET

To provide an overview of the turbulent wall-jet flow, visualizations of the instantaneous passive scalar concentration for an $x - y$ plane and streamwise velocity fluctuations for an $x - z$ plane at $y^+ \approx 8$ for the isothermal reacting case I are shown in figures 4(a) and (b), respectively. The illustrations for the corresponding quantities of the exothermic case II are qualitatively similar and are not presented. Note that figure 4(a) shows a typical $x - y$ plane, which is similar to other planes due to periodicity in the spanwise direction. As observed in figure 4(a), there exists a turbulent/non-turbulent interface at the edge of the wall-jet flow, which is highly inhomogeneous. The inhomogeneity at this interface is similar to that of the turbulent boundary layer flow; however, this inhomogeneity exists similarly in both isothermal and exothermic cases. Therefore, we can still compare the two cases in the entire domain and consider the heat release effects on the characteristics of the flow at the interface region as well.

The near-wall streaky structures in figure 4(b) indicate low-speed and high-speed regions in the fluctuation field. The visualizations show that the jet is fully turbulent beyond
Most of the statistics presented in this paper are taken at the downstream position $x/h = 25$, where it is well inside the fully developed region and also is far enough from the outlet boundary.

Figures 5(a-c) show the mean streamwise velocity, Reynolds shear stress and the streamwise fluctuation intensity profiles, respectively, for the two cases. Some of the particularly interesting wall-normal positions for the isothermal case I are indicated with horizontal lines. Though the corresponding horizontal lines for the exothermic case II are not shown in this figure, the discussion is valid for that case as well. Note that, the position of the maximum streamwise velocity and the position of zero shear stress in the turbulent wall-jet flow do not exactly coincide. This behavior has some similarities to what has been previously reported for jet flows. We observe in figures 5(a) and (b) that in the self-similar region ($x/h > 20$), the latter lies below the former, and a region with negative mean production exists between them. The zero crossing in the skewness of the streamwise velocity gradient fluctuations also lies close to these positions, which will be discussed later in the discussion of figure 14(a).

Figures 6(a-c) show the cross-stream profiles of mean scalar concentrations, wall-normal flux and the fluctuation intensities of both passive and reacting scalars for the two cases. The filled and empty symbols are used for the exothermic and isothermal cases, respectively. From these plots, it is deduced that both the chemical reaction itself and addition of the combustion heat release to the reaction have significant effects on the statistics of the scalars.
FIG. 6: Cross-stream profiles of the Favre-averaged (a) mean, (b) wall-normal fluxes, and (c) fluctuation intensities of scalars at downstream position $x/h = 25$ in outer units; black squares, passive scalar; red circles, fuel. Unfilled and filled symbols denote the isothermal case I and exothermic case II, respectively. Normalization by the local maximum values $U_m$ and $\Theta_m$ of each case is used and $\Theta_{inlet}$ is the inlet scalar values.

That is to say, comparing the passive scalar statistics in the isothermal reacting case I with that in the exothermic case II, i.e. considering the heat release case, and then comparing the passive scalar with the reacting scalar within the same simulation, i.e. considering the reaction effects, the changes due to both effects are substantial. Moreover, the influence of these two effects on the mean statistics seems to be of the same order.

V. PROBABILITY DENSITY FUNCTIONS

Probability density functions (PDFs) of the velocity components and different types of scalars are examined at various wall-normal planes at downstream position $x/h = 25$ and for different observables. We will mainly use the PDF of single point quantities to assess the large-scale fluctuations and the PDF of gradients of the different fields to assess the small-scales properties. In both cases, we will focus both on isotropic and anisotropic quantities. The shape of the PDFs of the velocities and scalars and their derivatives can assist us for the evaluation of these fields [47, 48]. For instance, the long tails of the PDFs is a measure for the intermittency and the number of local peaks indicates different modes of the reacting flow. The presence of an exponential tails can be of particular importance in practical applications for combustion modeling [49, 52].
FIG. 7: Probability density functions of (a) the streamwise velocity, (b) the wall-normal gradient of the streamwise velocity, (c) the passive scalar, and (d) the wall-normal gradient of the passive scalar for the isothermal case I at the downstream position $x/h = 25$. Unfilled circles, $y/h = 0.65$; dashed curves, $y/h = 1.3$; dotted-dashed curves, $y/h = 2.0$; and solid curve, $y/h = 2.65$. Arrows point in the direction of decreasing $y/h$.

A. Probability density functions of the velocity and scalar fields

The PDFs of the streamwise velocity fluctuation and its wall-normal gradient are shown in figures (a) and (b), where a good collapse of the PDFs in a large portion of the domain for both the velocity and its gradient is observed. Similarly, the PDF of the passive scalar and its wall-normal gradient are shown in figures (c) and (d). Nevertheless, rather different characteristics for the PDFs of the velocity and their gradients are observed in the inner region, i.e. $y/y_{1/2} < 0.4$ or $y/h < 1.0$. The PDFs of the gradients have longer tails in the near-wall region than those of the velocity. Note that, when a quantity under consideration
has an intermittent nature, its PDF is very sensitive to the choice of the range for the horizontal axis. For the PDFs of the velocity and scalar derivatives in figure 7, the horizontal range is chosen such that outside this range, the scatter is very large and the probabilities are lower than $10^{-5}$ indicating extremely rare events. This makes the conclusions of the present investigation confined to this particular range. Moreover, the PDF tails for the spatial derivatives are known to depend on the resolution of the computation. In the present simulations, as shown in figure 2(a), the resolution for the wall-normal distances below $y/h = 2.5$ compares well with the Kolmogorov scale and is better for the exothermic case. For the wall-normal distances smaller than $y/h = 1.5$ the grid spacing is equal or smaller than the local Kolmogorov scale. The fine grid spacing is a clear and robust indication that the PDFs are well represented and the results are thereby reliable. It is interesting to compare the PDF of the velocity field to that of the passive scalar field. At the extreme tails of the PDFs, a large difference between the velocity gradients and scalar gradients are observed. This is clear if we compare the absolute value of the PDFs’ tail for large excursions from the mean. These observations are better quantified by examining the difference in the higher order moments at these particular positions. In Table II, it is observed that the flatness factors are substantially higher for the passive scalar compared to the velocity components at the near-wall position, while the differences are small at $y_{1/2}$. This is indeed consistent with the formation of much sharper gradients observed in the scalar field, which is accentuated in the high-shear region near the wall. The PDF of different species for the exothermic case is qualitatively similar to the isothermal case. This is due to the fact that the chemical reaction is the dominating effect regarding the difference between fuel and passive scalars. The occurrence of a double peak close to the wall for the fuel PDF and other characteristics are almost the same \cite{38}, which shows that the modes of the reacting scalars are similar in the two cases with and without heat release. Thus, for comparison of passive and reactive scalars, we may focus on PDF shapes of only one simulation case, but at several wall-normal positions. A comparison between the PDFs of the passive and reactive scalars for different wall-normal positions, see figure 8(a), shows that the PDFs of the two species are very different at large scales and the chemical reaction plays an important role in the shape of the PDFs. It can already be understood that the reaction effects on the species are much larger than the heat release effects.

In figure 8(a), the peaks of the fuel PDFs are clearly shifted towards the lower concen-
trations and are sharper compared to those of the passive scalar. It is observed that the PDFs for the two species hardly collapse at any particular wall-normal position. Similar to the argument in relation to figure 7, the horizontal range in figure 8 is chosen such that outside this range, the scatter is very high and events are extremely rare. The PDFs of the scalar gradients, the passive and reactive scalars, are barely distinguishable throughout the entire wall-normal levels and a very good collapse for all curves is obtained using the $rms$-values for normalizations, see figure 8(b). Thus, the turbulent mixing is overwhelming at the small scales and the reaction does not play the key role at this level and universality of the small-scales is recovered. This is confirmed further with the good collapse achieved for the derivatives, see figure 8(b). This is an important observation made in the present study, the fact that the PDFs of the gradients recover a fairly similar shape for the passive and reactive scalars, is a clear indication of recovery of universality going from large to small scales and is certainly true for the bulk of the gradient fluctuations. However, a small deviation between the different cases can still be observed in the left tail of the PDFs in figure 8(b). This indicates a residual anisotropy (notice that for purely isotropic statistics the PDF should be symmetric) and is connected to the fact that the anisotropy might well be non-universal, depending on flow-specific features. This important issue is addressed in the following part of the paper.

FIG. 8: Probability density functions of (a) different species and (b) the wall-normal gradient of the scalars at $x/h = 25$ at several wall-normal distances; black color is used for the passive scalar and the red color for the fuel species. Unfilled circles, $y/h = 0.65$; dashed curves, $y/h = 1.3$; dotted-dashed curves, $y/h = 2.0$; solid curves, $y/h = 2.65$. Arrows point in the direction of decreasing $y/h$. 
FIG. 9: Probability density functions of (a) the passive scalar and (b) reactive scalars at \( x/h = 25 \) at several wall-normal distances; red color is used for the higher Damköhler case II and the green color for the lower Damköhler case III; line styles are as following: unfilled circles, \( y/y_{1/2} = 0.25 \); dashed curves, \( y/y_{1/2} = 0.5 \); dotted-dashed curves, \( y/y_{1/2} = 0.75 \); and solid curves, \( y/y_{1/2} = 1.0 \). Arrows point in the direction of decreasing \( y/y_{1/2} \).

It is important to note that the reaction zone structure and the PDF of the reactive scalars also depend on the Damköhler number and conclusions cannot be drawn without considering its influence. Thus, to distinguish between the heat release and the Damköhler number effects, a comparison between two DNS cases with different Damköhler numbers is performed. Figure 9 shows the PDFs of both the passive and reactive scalars for these two cases. The Damköhler numbers are equal to \( Da = 500 \) and \( 1100 \) for cases II and III, see Table 1. Four different wall-normal positions in terms of the local half-height of the jet \( y_{1/2} \) are considered. As expected, the turbulent flame becomes thinner with increasing Damköhler number [38], however, we can expect a similar dynamical behavior for the flame at the same wall-normal distance in terms of \( y_{1/2} \). The two cases have similar characteristics at almost the entire wall-normal direction, except in the near-wall region at \( y_{1/2} = 0.25 \). This is where the Damköhler number effects are dominant and the PDF of case II with a higher Damköhler number is more skewed toward the negative side and the peak of PDF for high Damköhler number case obtains a higher value. This can also be associated with the isothermal wall cooling effects in the near-wall region.

Furthermore, reactive scalars are better characterized using conditional statistics, in particular those conditioned on the mixture fraction. The ramp-cliff contribution to the reactive scalar statistics in the reaction zone is much larger than the rest of the mixture fraction.
FIG. 10: Probability density functions of (a) the reacting species and (b) the wall-normal gradient of the same scalars, conditioned on the mixture fraction at $x/h = 25$ at several wall-normal locations; black color is used for the isothermal case I and the red color for the exothermic case II; line styles are as following: dashed curves, $y/y_{1/2} = 0.5$; dotted-dashed curves, $y/y_{1/2} = 0.75$; and solid curves, $y/y_{1/2} = 1.0$. Arrows point in the direction of decreasing $y/y_{1/2}$, i.e., moving closer to the wall.

field. Thus, to better reflect the differences between the reactive scalars in the isothermal and exothermic cases, the conditional PDFs on the mixture fraction are given in figure 10. Three different wall-normal positions in terms of the local half-height of the jet are chosen for the two cases. Note that the passive scalar concentration is used as the representative of the local mixture fraction. The PDFs of the reactive scalars are conditioned on the local mixture fraction. Around ten percent of the stoichiometric mixture fraction value $Z_{st} = 1/(1+\phi r) = 0.33$, where $\phi = \theta_{F,inlet}/\theta_{O,inlet}$ is the equivalence ratio and $r = \nu_O/\nu_F = 1$ as is used in the reaction equation. It is observed that if a narrower range is chosen for the conditioning, then the PDF tails become more damped and the corresponding peaks become sharper. It is notable that the PDFs of the reactive scalar derivatives, as seen in figure 10 (b) are more skewed toward the negative side in the conditional plots than the corresponding unconditional PDFs in figure 8 (b). In particular, this is observed in the reaction zone and in the center of the flame at $y/y_{1/2} = 1.0$, which is similar to what is found in the anisotropic ramp-cliff like structures.
VI. ANISOTROPY INVARIANT MAPS

Before moving to the discussion on the higher order moments of the velocity and scalar fields at large- and small-scales, let us have a closer look at a more standard indicator of the anisotropic properties of the large-scale velocity components through anisotropy of the second-order Reynolds stress tensor $b_{ij}$ defined as

$$b_{ij} = \frac{\overline{w_i w_j}}{2K} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij},$$

where $K$ is the turbulent kinetic energy and $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta. The second $II$ and third $III$ invariants of $b_{ij}$ are defined as

$$II = b_{ij}b_{ij}, \quad III = b_{ik}b_{kj}b_{ji}. \quad (13)$$

The Lumley flatness factor $\tilde{F}$ defined as $\tilde{F} = 1 + 9 II + 27 III$ is used to study the turbulence characteristics. Its value varies between zero and one with small values indicating a flow with characteristics of quasi two-dimensional turbulence and values close to unity denote the structure of the isotropic three-component turbulence. Figure (a) shows the
value of $\tilde{F}$ for the two cases. As expected, $\tilde{F}$ is small inside the boundary layer for both cases and gradually increases and approaches unity away from the wall. The maximum value $\tilde{F}_{\text{max}} = 0.91$ for the isothermal case I is higher than that for the exothermic case II where $\tilde{F}_{\text{max}} = 0.69$. This indicates that in both cases a quasi two-dimensional characteristic is observed close to the wall for $y^+ < 9$. Away from the wall, around $y/y_{1/2} = 0.25$, the heat release due to the chemical reaction tends to increase the flow anisotropy. Hence gives a smaller peak for the exothermic case II. Further away from the wall, a weak local minimum forms at about $y/y_{1/2} = 1.0$ for the two cases. The local minimum is smaller for the exothermic case II, indicating that the high amount of the combustion heat release, present in the center of the jet flame, has increased the quasi two-dimensional character of the flow.

To further characterize the turbulence behavior of the flow field, the anisotropy invariant maps [53, 54] are presented by cross-plotting the second $\text{II}$ and third $\text{III}$ invariants. The limits in the anisotropy map correspond to the quasi two-dimensional turbulence state when $II = 2/9 + 2III$ and axisymmetric turbulence state when $II = 3/2(4/3|III|)^{2/3}$. These limits define the anisotropy invariant map triangle within which, all the physically realizable turbulence states lie. The edges of this triangle are shown with solid lines in figure 11(b). In the anisotropy invariant map, the lower corner of the triangle corresponds to isotropic turbulence with zero anisotropy and axisymmetric turbulence corresponds to the left and right edges of the triangle. At the left edge, one component of velocity fluctuations is smaller than the other two, while at the right edge, one component of velocity fluctuations dominates the other two. The upper edge of the triangle corresponds to the quasi two-dimensional turbulence.

Figure 11(b) shows the anisotropy invariant map for cases I and II at the downstream position $x/h = 25$. Starting from the wall, the trajectories of the two cases lie somewhere on the upper edge, shown with circle marks in figure 11(b) and turbulence has a quasi two-dimensional characteristic, as is the case close to any solid boundary. However, the degree of anisotropy (invariant II) is larger for the case II with heat release, i.e. signifying a state closer to the one-component limit. The trajectories move along the upper edge before they reach the maximum of the invariant $III$, very close to the wall at $y^+ = 9$ and then return to lower values of the invariants. The position of the maximum for both cases is the same, but again the anisotropy magnitude is larger for the exothermic case. Further away from the
wall, trajectories move toward the lower corner of the triangle and reach there individually at about the same wall-normal level of the position of the maximum streamwise velocity or the local zero shear around \( y/y_{1/2} = 0.25 \), shown with crosses in figure 11(b). This is consistent with the fact that the lower corner of the anisotropy invariant map represents an isotropic energy distribution of the turbulence, and indeed the flow exhibits the most isotropic characteristics at the zero shear location. Moving even further away from the wall, in the region \( 0.2 \sim 0.25 < y/y_{1/2} < 2 \sim 2.5 \), both trajectories remain close to the lower corner of the map, initially with positive values of the invariant \( III \) and then gradually move away from the lower corner towards the left edge. The trajectories reach the left edge of the map at about \( y/y_{1/2} = 3 \sim 3.5 \), not shown in the figure. Away from this position, it is well outside the jet boundary and all the turbulence intensities tend to vanish.

VII. HIGHER ORDER MOMENTS

In order to better quantify the degree of anisotropy of the flow, it is useful to identify a set of observables, which highlight either large- or small-scale field properties, which would exactly vanish for purely isotropic turbulence. For the passive, passive-reactive and passive-active scalars, it suffices to take any odd moment of either the single point scalar or its derivatives.

In order to deal with dimensionless quantities, a normalization with a suitable power of the \( \text{rms} \)-value is used, defining the so-called generalized skewness of order \( n \):

\[
S_{\varphi}^{(n)} = \frac{(\varphi'')^{(2n+1)}}{(\varphi'')^2(2n+1)/2},
\]

where with \( \varphi'' = \varphi - \bar{\varphi} \) we denote the fluctuation of any scalar field around its Favre-averaged mean value. For isotropic statistics, we would have \( S_{\varphi}^{(n)} = 0 \) for any \( n \) (see e.g. Monin & Yaglom\[55\]). In the following, we will limit ourselves to address the first non-trivial case, \( n = 1 \), which is typically called the skewness of a field and we will drop the dependency on the index \( (n) \). Concerning a vector field, the skewness defined in terms of any of its components would be zero in an isotropic field, i.e. \( S_{u,v,w} = 0 \) where \( u, v, w \) are the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise components, respectively. The situation is different if one considers the gradients. For vector fields, only the odd moments of the transverse
FIG. 12: Distribution of third and fourth-order moments of the velocity fluctuations in the cross-stream direction at downstream position $x/h = 25$: (a) skewness and (b) flatness. Black squares, streamwise velocity, $(u^3/u^2)^{3/2}$, $(u^4/u^2)^{2}$; green circles, wall-normal velocity, $(v^3/v^2)^{3/2}$, $(v^4/v^2)^{2}$. Unfilled and filled symbols denote the isothermal case I and exothermic case II, respectively. In order to give the magnitude of error bars, we report the statistical oscillations only for a subset of points for the streamwise component of skewness and flatness factors.

Gradients must vanish in an isotropic ensemble, while the longitudinal ones can be (and indeed are) different from zero also in a purely rotational invariant case. For instance, if we focus on the wall-normal derivatives, we must expect that the skewness of $du/dy$ and $dw/dy$ are genuine measurements of the degree of anisotropy, while $dv/dy$ is not, being affected by both isotropic and anisotropic fluctuations.

Concerning a way to characterize the intense non-Gaussian properties of the field, independently of its isotropic or anisotropic content, it is useful to introduce the generalized flatness factor of order $n$:

$$F^{(n)}_{\varphi} = \frac{(\varphi'')^{2n+2}}{(\varphi'')^2(2n+2)/2},$$

which is a proxy of the degree of departure from a purely Gaussian shape. For instance for the simplest case $n = 1$, we have $F^{(1)} = 3$ for a Gaussian variable.

In the sequel, we will limit ourselves to consider the case $n = 1$ and we will therefore also
FIG. 13: Distribution of the third and fourth-order moments of the scalar fluctuations in the cross-stream direction at $x/h = 25$ for cases I and II; (a) skewness and (b) flatness. Black squares, passive scalar, $(\theta^3/\theta^2)^{3/2}$, and $(\theta^4/\theta^2)^{2}$; red circles, fuel, $(\theta_f^3/\theta_f^2)^{3/2}$, and $(\theta_f^4/\theta_f^2)^{2}$. Unfilled and filled symbols denote the isothermal case I and exothermic case II, respectively.

in this case drop the dependency on $n$ in its symbol. A fluctuating quantity with flatness larger than three will typically have a PDF with long flat tails, with a probability to attain very intense fluctuations that are higher than for a Gaussian distribution. If the flatness factor becomes larger and larger with the change of a control parameter (e.g. the Reynolds number) the variable is said to be intermittent.

In order to investigate the intermittency and the anisotropies at different wall-normal positions, the skewness and the flatness of the scalar concentration fluctuations, of the velocity components and of their gradient fields are analyzed for the two different sets of simulations with and without heat release. Note that, the skewness of the velocity or scalar fluctuations are connected to the large-scale anisotropies and the skewness of the transverse gradients of the velocity or scalar are linked to the small-scale anisotropies of the field.
TABLE II: The skewness and flatness factors at two particular wall-normal positions, deduced from figures 12, 14, 13 and 15. The values are demonstrated at two locations, at $y^+ = 10$ i.e. $y/h = 0.11$, which is a representative of the near-wall region and $y/y_{1/2} = 1.0$ i.e. $y/h = 2.6$, which lies inside the peak of the jet flame. The error bars are of the order of one unit in the first decimal, which means that the skewness of the spanwise component at $y/y_{1/2} = 1.0$ is compatible with zero. The first value is for the isothermal case I and the second for the exothermic case II.

|        | $F(@y^+ = 10)$ | $F(@y/y_{1/2} = 1.0)$ | $S(@y^+ = 10)$ | $S(@y/y_{1/2} = 1.0)$ |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| $u$    | 2.51 / 2.37    | 2.78 / 2.70           | 0.16 / 0.10    | 0.20 / 0.41           |
| $v$    | 5.30 / 5.04    | 2.81 / 3.15           | -0.04 / -0.04  | 0.25 / 0.53           |
| $w$    | 3.34 / 3.34    | 2.89 / 3.11           | 0.06 / -0.06   | -0.04 / 0.07          |
| $du/dy$| 3.41 / 3.19    | 5.21 / 5.55           | 0.46 / 0.33    | -0.68 / -0.98         |
| $dv/dy$| 4.45 / 4.45    | 4.12 / 4.19           | -0.27 / -0.37  | -0.39 / -0.39         |
| $dw/dy$| 4.45 / 4.16    | 4.78 / 4.39           | 0.04 / -0.13   | 0.07 / -0.01          |
| $\theta$| 7.79 / 30.7   | 2.60 / 2.60           | -0.142 / -4.10 | 0.02 / 0.33           |
| $\theta_f$| 6.40 / 30.7  | 4.06 / 5.80           | -1.28 / -4.10  | 1.24 / 1.71           |
| $d\theta/dy$| 9.79 / 25.7  | 5.84 / 6.33           | -1.62 / -3.74  | -1.00 / -1.39         |
| $d\theta_f/dy$| 8.32 / 25.7  | 7.71 / 9.53           | -1.46 / -3.74  | 1.24 / -1.99          |

A. Skewness and flatness factors of the velocity and scalar fields

The skewness and flatness of the velocity fluctuations are shown in figures 12(a) and (b) as a function of the wall-normal direction at a fixed downstream position $x/h = 25$. The positive skewness and high flatness of the streamwise velocity close to the wall are indications of anisotropic large-scale velocities and a sign of the high intermittency in this region. This is a rather universal behavior of all wall-bounded flows, such as in turbulent channel flows [40, 56, 57].

The zero crossings of the streamwise velocity skewness, as shown in figure 12(a), coincide with the two maxima of the streamwise turbulence intensity, see figure 5(c), that indicates these positions for the isothermal case I. The location of the inner maximum at $y^+ \approx 14$ is similar to that in turbulent boundary layers. In the turbulent wall-jet flow, a second zero crossing occurs at $y/y_{1/2} \approx 0.75$ or $y/h \approx 2$, where also the outer maximum of the streamwise turbulence intensity is located. The occurrence of the zero crossing of the skewness factor and
the local minimum in the flatness profiles, see figure 12(b), is an indication that around this region, where turbulence intensity has a local maximum, the PDF shape is most similar to a Gaussian. The skewness of the wall-normal component of the velocity follows a trend similar to that for the streamwise component. However, the magnitudes of the skewness factors are even more affected by the heat release effects for the wall-normal component. Error bars are determined in terms of statistical uncertainty, i.e. by dividing the whole temporal evolution in two subsets and comparing the variations between the two measurements. As a result, error bars become smaller for those regions, where turbulent fluctuations are small. Error bars are shown only for the streamwise component of the isothermal case I, which reflects the statistical uncertainty of the datasets and the limitations of the present results for the other components as well.

As seen in figure 12(b), the wall normal velocity in the near-wall region has a higher flatness factor than the other two components and shows a clear similarity with other wall-bounded flows. In the outer region of the wall-jet, large positive values of the flatness factors are observed for all three components. This is a clear way to quantify the intermittency at the interface between turbulent and laminar regions, just as is the case at the edge of the turbulent boundary layer. The effect of heat release is enlarging the flatness factors and enhancing the intermittency of the velocity field. The occurrence of large flatness factors around $y/h \sim 3$ and beyond this position indicates high intermittency even for large-scales of the velocity field. It implies that a large number of realizations are needed to have a proper statistical convergence in this region. Beyond $y/h \approx 3$ the higher-order moment curves have a non-smooth character, which is associated with the statistical inaccuracies pertaining to the fact that this region falls outside the jet flame and the statistics are quantitatively very small, see figures 5 and 6. However, as shown in figure 12, for the streamwise component of the velocity the magnitude of the error bars are relatively small and for other components the size of error bars are comparable (not shown for clarity of the figure). Comparing the flatness factors of different velocity components among each other shows that the flow field is fairly isotropic in the isothermal case. The differences become larger for the exothermic case. The flatness factors of different velocity components for the two cases are similar in the near-wall region, but further out in the jet, the heat release effects start to show some influences.

The skewness and flatness of the passive and reactive scalar fluctuations are shown in
FIG. 14: Distribution of skewness (a) and flatness (b) factors of the wall-normal gradient of the velocity fluctuation fields at $x/h = 25$. Black squares, streamwise velocity, $S_{du/dy}$, $F_{du/dy}$; green circles, isothermal wall-normal velocity, $S_{dv/dy}$, $F_{dv/dy}$. Unfilled and filled symbols denote the isothermal case I and exothermic case II, respectively.

In the near-wall region and also within the jet center $0 < y/h < 2.5$, the heat release effects substantially dominate and the skewness factors for the two scalars involved in exothermic case are much larger in magnitude than those in isothermal case. On the other hand, the comparison between the skewness factors of the passive and reactive scalars for both the isothermal and exothermic cases, shows that the differences become significant away from the wall and also out of the jet center beyond $y/h > 2.5$. This implies that the effects of fuel consumption on the scalar skewness factors caused by the chemical reaction are comparable in the two cases. The heat release leads to an increase of the largest scales of the flow in the wall-normal direction. Therefore, the effective mixing length of the flow is also increased. This results in a higher transport of fluid elements over a wider range in the cross-stream direction for exothermic case compared to isothermal one. Thus, as seen in figure 13 (c), larger fluctuation intensities form and the skewness values are also significantly larger, as seen in figure 13. Close to the wall, the flatness factor of the passive and reacting scalars are comparable, but at the jet center, where most of the reaction takes place in the peak of the flame, $F(\theta_f)$ deviates significantly from $F(\theta)$. This is indeed due to the fuel
FIG. 15: Distribution of (a) skewness and (b) flatness factors of the wall-normal gradient of the scalar fields at $x/h = 25$. Black squares, passive scalar, $S_{dθ/dy}$ and $F_{dθ/dy}$; red circles, fuel, $S_{dθ_f/dy}$ and $F_{dθ_f/dy}$. Unfilled and filled symbols denote the isothermal case I and exothermic case II, respectively.

consumption, since at the peak of the flame, the passive scalar does not experience any major changes, while fuel species are involved in the chemical reaction and face substantial gradients, and hence obtain a much larger flatness factor and intermittency. For a more quantitative comparison between different components of the velocity and also different types of scalars in the two cases, the values of the skewness and flatness factors are summarized in Table II. Two wall-normal positions are chosen, $y^+ = 10$, representative of the near-wall behavior and $y/y_{1/2} = 1.0$ that lies inside the jet flame.

B. Skewness and flatness factors of velocity and scalar gradients

The skewness and flatness factors of the velocity gradients in the wall-normal direction are shown in figure 14 in order to illustrate characteristics dominated by small scales. The skewness of the streamwise velocity gradient, $S_{du/dy}$ crosses zero close to $y/y_{1/2} = 0.25$, where also the turbulent shear stress is zero, as seen in figure 5(b). For a wide region above this position at $0.4 < y/y_{1/2} < 1.4$ or $0.8 < y/h < 3.3$, there is a plateau with a negative value of
\( S_{du/dy} \approx -0.5 \) for the isothermal case and \( S_{du/dy} \approx -1.0 \) for the exothermic case, indicating a strong small-scale anisotropy. Consistent with what was revealed by the anisotropy invariant maps, heat release effects enhance the anisotropy of the flow field. The anisotropy of the small scales seems to be of the same order as the anisotropy of the large scales, but interesting enough, starting from the wall region up to \( y/y_1 = 0.25 \) or \( y/h = 0.6 \), \( S_{du/dy} \) is positive, which indicates a significant influence of the mean flow inhomogeneity down to the small scales. At the position, where the mean Reynolds shear stress (transversal shear) changes sign, \( S_{du/dy} \) (transversal gradient) also changes sign. The magnitudes of the skewness of the wall-normal and streamwise components of the velocity gradients are comparable for the two cases, see rows four and five in Table II. This indicates a quasi two-dimensional type of the turbulent flow near the wall. All three components of the velocity gradients develop high flatness factors in the outer region, which is a further indication of high non-Gaussian statistics at small-scales and coupled to the intermittency there.

The skewness and flatness factors of the scalar gradients in the wall-normal direction are shown in figure[15]. A comparison between passive and reactive scalar gradients, last two rows in Table II, reveals that in the near-wall region, the effects of the combustion heat release are stronger than the chemical reaction itself. Contrary, in the jet center, the differences between the two cases are small and the main difference is between the two scalars within the same simulation, meaning that the fuel consumption is the governing effect here. It is remarkable that the anisotropy of the small scales is of the same order as the anisotropy of the large scales for the scalar field indicating a strong persistency of anisotropy if measured in this way.

VIII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have addressed the problem of quantifying anisotropic and non-Gaussian fluctuations in a chemically reacting turbulent wall-jet flows at different combustion properties (isothermal and exothermic). Fully passive, passive but reactive and active scalars are examined. The chemical reaction and heat-release effects are found to be significant in different regions of the flow. In the near wall-region, the heat release effects dominate, while in the outer region of the jet, the chemical reaction influences are overwhelming. By analyzing the statistics of the scalar fields, we have illustrated the importance of combustion in the
region far from the wall, where a very high intermittency is measured due to the depletion of the fuel. The statistics of the gradients have been used to highlight the presence of a strong 'persistency of anisotropy' at small-scales affecting both the velocity and scalars even at large distances from the wall. The PDFs of the scalar gradients possess a rather similar shape for passive and reactive scalars, indicating the recovery of universality for small-scale statistics. Intermittent non-Gaussian fluctuations are found to be strong also for isotropic components, with flatness for scalar gradients reaching values around 10 in the bulk (and larger close to the wall). The Damköhler number effects are observed to be influential in the near-wall region. The conditional statistics suggest the influence of ramp-cliff like structures on the anisotropies. Moreover, comparing the anisotropy invariant maps for the isothermal and exothermic shows a clear effect of the heat release throughout the entire wall-normal direction. Besides, the anisotropy levels for the large and small scales are compared using the higher order moments and it is observed that the heat release effects at the small-scale anisotropy are less pronounced. The combined effects of strong intermittent features and strong persistency of anisotropy at small scales have implications for the development of more accurate subgrid-scale models.
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