Static Compliance and Driving Pressure are Associated with ICU Mortality in Intubated COVID-19 ARDS
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Abstract

Background: ascertaining whether respiratory system static compliance (Crs), driving pressure (DP), and tidal volume normalized for ideal body weight (VT/kg IBW) at the 1st day of controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV) are associated with intensive care unit (ICU) mortality in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

Methods: observational multicenter cohort study. All consecutive COVID-19 adult patients admitted to 25 ICUs belonging to the COVID-19 VENETO ICU network (February 28th-April 28th, 2020), who received CMV, were screened. Only patients fulfilling ARDS criteria and with complete records of Crs, DP and VT/kg IBW within the 1st day of CMV were included. Crs, DP and VT/kg IBW were collected in sedated, paralyzed and supine patients.

Results: 704 COVID-19 patients were screened and 241 enrolled. Seventy-one patients (29%) died in ICU. The logistic regression analysis showed that: i) Crs was not linearly associated with ICU mortality (p-value for non-linearity=0.01), with a greater risk of death for values <48 ml/cmH2O; ii) the association between DP and ICU mortality was linear (p-value for non-linearity=0.68), and increasing DP from 10 to 14 cmH2O caused significant higher odds of in-ICU death (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.06-1.99); iii) VT/kg IBW was not associated with a significant increase of the risk of death (OR 0.92, 95% CI 0.55-1.52). Multivariable analysis confirmed these findings.

Conclusions: Crs <48 ml/cmH2O was associated with ICU mortality, while DP was linearly associated with mortality. DP should be kept as low as possible, even in the case of relatively preserved Crs, irrespective of VT/kg IBW, to reduce the risk of death.

Background

Pathophysiological features of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (COVID-19 ARDS) were indicated being somewhat different from those described in nonCOVID-19 ARDS, because of relatively preserved high compliance of the respiratory system despite marked hypoxemia [1, 2]. These preliminary observations led some authors to question the efficacy of lung protective ventilation in patients with COVID-19 ARDS and suggested that a less tight limitation of volume could be allowed while delivering mechanical ventilation to these patients [2]. Other authors, however, proposed that protective ventilation limiting volume and pressure should be applied also in COVID-19 ARDS [3]. While strong evidence showed that lung protective ventilation improves survival in nonCOVID-19 ARDS patients [4–6], data are still lacking on COVID-19 ARDS patients.

In order to assess whether lung protective ventilation affects intensive care unit (ICU) mortality also in patients with COVID-19 ARDS, we set up a study to test the hypothesis that static compliance of the respiratory system (Crs), driving pressure (DP), and tidal volume normalized for ideal body weight (VT/kg IBW) are associated with ICU mortality.
Methods

This multicenter observational study was coordinated by Padua University Hospital (Italy), and followed the “Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology” statement guidelines for observational cohort studies (Additional File 1) [7]. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of each participating centre (coordinator centre approval reference number 4853AO20, while the full list of all approval reference numbers is available in Additional File 2) and informed consent was obtained according to the national regulation. Data were collected by the COVID-19 VENETO ICU Network, including 25 ICUs [8], and inserted into a pre-designed online data acquisition system (www.covid19veneto.it). Patients’ privacy was protected by assigning a de-identified patient code.

We included all consecutive adult patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and fulfilling ARDS criteria [1], admitted between February 28th and April 28th, 2020, who received controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV) and had Crs, DP and VT/kg IBW measured in supine position, after sedation and paralysis, within the first 24 hours of CMV. We excluded the patients with incomplete records, and those who had been prone positioned prior to data collection. COVID-19 diagnosis was made according to the WHO interim guidance (http://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/clinical-management-of-novel-cov.pdf).

The following variables were collected: i) demographic data (age, gender, body mass index); ii) Charlson Comorbidity Index not adjusted for age; iii) sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score at ICU admission; iv) gas exchange within the first 24 hours of CMV [pH, arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂)]; v) ventilator settings [VT/kg IBW, respiratory rate, positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂)]; vi) plateau pressure, DP, Crs; vii) ICU mortality.

Ventilator settings, plateau pressure, DP and Crs were collected within the first 24 hours after endotracheal intubation in supine position on the basis of the senior attending physician's assessment. The measurements were performed in sedated and paralyzed patients, with no spontaneous breathing activity. Patients were ventilated in volume-controlled mode. Plateau pressure and total PEEP were measured at zero flow point during end-inspiratory and end-expiratory pauses [5, 6]. DP was calculated as the difference between plateau pressure and PEEP, while Crs was calculated as VT/DP [5, 6].

Prior to data analysis, two independent investigators and a statistician screened the database for errors against standardized ranges and contacted local investigators for any queries. Validated data were then entered into the final database. Patients transferred from one ICU to another were considered as a single case, while for those readmitted to ICU after discharge, only data from the first admission were considered.

Statistical analysis.
Categorical data are presented as absolute numbers (n) and percentages (%). For continuous data, normality was tested by Skewness and Kurtosis tests. Means and standard deviations are used for normally distributed variables, while medians and interquartile ranges are used for non-normally distributed variables. No imputation for missing data has been planned. Logistic regression models have been estimated to assess the association between ICU mortality and Crs, DP and VT/kg IBW. If the association was nonlinear, restricted cubic splines have been used to estimate the models and the change-point has been identified.

Additionally, multivariable logistic regression models have been used to evaluate the association between Crs and DP and ICU mortality after adjusting for relevant confounders. In each model, the independent predictors have been identified through a stepwise regression approach. This approach combines forward and backward selection methods in an iterative procedure (with a significance level of 0.05 both for entry and retention) to select predictors in the final multivariable model [9]. Independent variables used in the stepwise approach were age, gender, body mass index, SOFA score at ICU admission, Charlson comorbidity index, pH, PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2}, PaCO\textsubscript{2}, ventilator settings (VT/kg IBW, respiratory rate, PEEP, FiO\textsubscript{2}) and plateau pressure at the first day of CMV. Additionally, collinearity has been defined for GVIF(1/(2×Df)) value greater than 2 [10]. Variables with multicollinearity have been removed from the model. Two different multivariable logistic regression models, one for Crs (model 1) and one for DP (model 2), were generated.

All statistical tests were 2-tailed, and statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. All analyses have been conducted using R version 4.0.3 (R foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

During the study period, a total of 704 consecutive COVID-19 ARDS patients from 25 ICUs were included in the database. After excluding 463 patients, who did not receive CMV or did not fulfill ARDS criteria [1] or did not have complete records in supine position, 241 patients from 21 ICUs were deemed eligible for data analysis (Fig. 1).

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are listed in Table 1.
Table 1
Clinical characteristics, respiratory parameters and outcomes of the study population.

| Clinical characteristics | Overall population n = 241 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Age (years)**          | 66 [58–73]                |
| **Gender (male)**        | 189 (78%)                 |
| **SOFA score at ICU admission** | 5 [4–8]               |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**          | 27 [25–30]                |
| **Charlson comorbidity index** | 1 [1–2]                |
| **Onset of symptoms (days)** | 7 [3–9]                |
| **Hospital LOS before ICU (days)** | 2 [1–5]               |
| **Hospital LOS before CMV (days)** | 2 [1–5]               |
| **Gas exchange, at first day of CMV** |                     |
| **pH**                   | 7.41 [7.36–7.46]          |
| **PaO₂/FiO₂ (mmHg)**     | 142 [102–216]             |
| **PaCO₂ (mmHg)**         | 44 [38–52]                |
| **Ventilator settings and variables, at first day of CMV** |                     |
| **Tidal volume (ml/kg of ideal body weight)** | 7.8 [6.9–8.8]          |
| **Set respiratory rates (breaths/min)** | 16 [14–20]            |
| **PEEP (cmH₂O)**         | 12 [10–13]                |
| **FiO₂ (%)**             | 60 [50–70]                |
| **Plateau pressure (cmH₂O)** | 23 [20–26]            |
| **Driving pressure (cmH₂O)** | 11 [9–13]                |

Data are expressed as median and interquartile range [IQR] or number (%).

**Abbreviations**: SOFA: sequential organ failure assessment; ICU: intensive care unit; BMI: body mass index; LOS: length of stay; CMV: controlled mechanical ventilation; PaO₂: partial pressure of arterial oxygen; PaO₂/FiO₂: ratio between partial pressure of arterial oxygen and fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO₂: partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure; FiO₂: fraction of inspired oxygen; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; HFOT: high flow oxygen therapy; V-V: veno-venous; V-A: veno-arterial; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.
On the first day of CMV, median Crs was 48 [39–60] ml/cmH\(_2\)O, DP 11 [9–13] cmH\(_2\)O and VT/kg IBW 7.8 [6.9–8.8] ml/kg. Seventy-one patients (29%) died in ICU.

The logistic regression analysis revealed a nonlinear relationship between Crs and ICU mortality (p-value for non-linearity = 0.01), with a significantly greater risk of death for values below 48 ml/cmH\(_2\)O (Fig. 2A).

Contrariwise, a linear relationship between DP and ICU mortality (p-value for non-linearity = 0.68) was observed. An increase of DP from 10 to 14 cmH\(_2\)O was associated with a 45% increment of the risk of
ICU mortality (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.06–1.99) (Fig. 2B).

VT/kg IBW was not associated with ICU mortality. Increasing VT/kg IBW from 6 ml/kg to 8 ml/kg or from 8 ml/kg to 10 ml/kg did not increase the risk of death (OR 0.92, 95% CI 0.55–1.52, for both ranges) (Fig. 2C).

Multivariable analysis confirmed Crs and DP to be independent risk factors for ICU mortality (OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.96-1.00, p = 0.03 and OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.00-1.24, p = 0.04, respectively) (Table 2).

### Table 2
Multivariable models on the association between ICU mortality and static compliance of respiratory system (model 1) and driving pressure (model 2) at the first day of invasive controlled mechanical ventilation.

|                      | OR (95% CI) | p-value* |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|
| **MODEL 1**          |             |          |
| Age (years)          | 1.10 [1.05–1.15] | < 0.01   |
| Static compliance of the respiratory system | 0.98 [0.96-1.00] | 0.03    |
| (per ml/cmH$_2$O increase) |             |          |
| **MODEL 2**          |             |          |
| Age (years)          | 1.10 (1.05–1.15) | < 0.01   |
| Driving pressure (per cmH$_2$O increase) | 1.12 (1.00-1.24) | 0.04    |

Data are expressed as Odds ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI).

*: Stepwise regression approach, combining forward and backward selection methods (with a significance level of 0.05 both for entry and retention), was applied to select predictors in the final multivariable model. Independent variables used in the stepwise approach were age, gender, body mass index, SOFA score at ICU admission, Charlson comorbidity index, PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$, PaCO$_2$, VT/kg IBW, respiratory rate, PEEP, FiO$_2$; while ph and plateau pressure were excluded from the model due to collinearity.

**Abbreviations**: ICU, intensive care unit; HFOT, high flow oxygen therapy; NIV, non-invasive ventilation; CMV: controlled mechanical ventilation; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; DP, driving pressure; Crs, static compliance of the respiratory system.

### Discussion

We found that in COVID-19 ARDS patients i) Crs has a nonlinear relationship with ICU mortality, with a greater risk of death for values below 48 ml/cmH$_2$O; ii) DP is associated with ICU mortality, which increases linearly with DP increment; iii) VT/kg IBW is not a significant risk factor of ICU mortality.
In nonCOVID-19 ARDS patients, Crs failed to predict clinical outcomes, despite being associated with the functional lung size and the severity of the syndrome [5, 11]. A secondary analysis of the LUNG SAFE study, however, showed that lower Crs values, recorded on the first day of ARDS, were independently associated with mortality, even though the Crs–mortality relationship lacked a clear transition point and no useful cutoff could be established [9].

Wide ranges of Crs have been reported in COVID-19 ARDS patients [2, 12, 13], but the relationship between Crs and mortality remains uncertain [14, 15]. Grasselli et al. found that, among patients with COVID-19 ARDS, those who presented ‘low’ Crs within 24 hours from ICU admission, associated with ‘high’ D-dimer concentration, had significantly greater 28-day mortality [14]. However, other studies were not able to confirm these results [13, 15].

We found Crs to be nonlinearly correlated with ICU mortality, with a significant association only for Crs values below 48 ml/cmH\(_2\)O. This nonlinear relationship, which has already been reported in nonCOVID-19 ARDS patients [9], may depend on clinically relevant phenotypic heterogeneity [2, 3, 16, 17], and could explain the inconsistent results of previous investigations testing the association between Crs and mortality using a linear statistical approach [13–15].

DP has been extensively studied in nonCOVID-19 ARDS patients and was associated with poor clinical outcomes [5, 6]. Indeed, in a post-hoc observational study including 3562 ARDS patients, DP proved to be the variable that best stratified 60-day mortality risk, irrespective of PEEP, plateau pressure and VT/kg IBW [5]. Furthermore, the LUNG SAFE study, which enrolled 2377 intubated ARDS patients, showed that DP > 14 cmH\(_2\)O on the first day of CMV is a risk factor of poor hospital survival [6]. For patients without ARDS, the effect of DP on the clinical outcome is still controversial [18–20], though a meta-analysis including 2250 patients found that high DP during general anesthesia was associated with more postoperative pulmonary complications [21]. In COVID-19 ARDS patients, some cohort studies reported DP values quite close to those of nonCOVID-19 ARDS patients [12, 22], though the predictive role of DP on mortality remains unclear [13].

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first investigation finding a significant association between DP and mortality in COVID-19 ARDS patients receiving CMV. This linear relationship suggests that every effort should be made to reduce DP as much as possible. If, on the one hand, this is quite easy to accomplish when Crs is relatively preserved, on the other hand, when Crs is low, extracorporeal CO\(_2\) removal may be necessary to achieve this goal. Interestingly, the effect of DP on ICU mortality seems to be independent from VT/kg IBW, whose increment above the traditional cutoff value [4] did not affect patient outcome. Similar results have been recently reported in nonCOVID-19 ARDS patients by a post-hoc secondary analysis of 5 randomized trials, including 1096 classical ARDS patients, which found that the benefit of lower tidal volumes (4–8 ml/kg IBW) on 60-day mortality was related to respiratory system elastance, suggesting that lung-protective ventilation strategies should primarily target driving pressure rather than tidal volume [23].
Our study has limitations. First, like the vast majority of the studies published during COVID-19 pandemic, it is an observational study, suffering the limits of this design. Second, we measured Crs and DP, which include the mechanical properties of the chest wall, in addition to those of the lung. Worth mentioning, however, a recent meta-analysis showed that more sophisticated respiratory parameters did not add important information on the risk of death in comparison to DP [24]. Third, our findings focus only on respiratory variables collected within the first day of CMV, while subsequent measurements during ICU stay were not considered. Although this approach has already been used by several published papers [6, 9, 14], it fails exploring the role of further clinical evolution after the first day. Moreover, the lead-time bias in the form of time period for which patients fulfilled ARDS criteria [1] or had ARDS before the assessment on the first day of CMV remains an unmeasured confounder [9]. Lastly, several patients were excluded for incomplete records, which depended on the overwhelming workload for ICU physicians during the COVID-19 pandemic making data recording problematic, especially in hospitals not included in the residency program.

**Conclusions**

In COVID-19 ARDS patients receiving CMV, ICU mortality is associated with Crs < 48 ml/cmH\(_2\)O and linearly associated with DP. Our results suggest that DP should be kept as low as possible, irrespective of VT/kg IBW, to reduce the risk of death.

**Abbreviations**

- COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019
- ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome
- ICU, intensive care unit
- Crs, static compliance of the respiratory system
- DP, driving pressure
- VT/kg IBW, tidal volume normalized for ideal body weight
- CMV, controlled mechanical ventilation
- SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment score
- PaO\(_2\), arterial partial pressure of oxygen
- PaCO\(_2\), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide
- PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure
- FiO\(_2\), fraction of inspired oxygen
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Figures

Figure 1

Flow chart of enrolled patients. Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; HFOT, high flow oxygen therapy; NIV, non-invasive ventilation; CMV: controlled mechanical ventilation; ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome; DP, driving pressure; Crs, static compliance of the respiratory system.
Figure 2

Solid lines indicate the log-odds of ICU mortality, while grey areas 95% confidence interval. A) Association between static compliance of the respiratory system and intensive care unit mortality. The p-value for non-linearity was 0.01. The nonlinear effect of static compliance of the respiratory system on the intensive care unit mortality risk was modelled using restricted cubic splines. The odds ratio is presented for the interquartile ranges of the study population. B) Association between driving pressure and intensive
care unit mortality. Because p-value for non-linearity was 0.68, non-linearity was not implemented in the model. The odds ratio is presented for clinically relevant range of values, according to published data [5,6]. C) Association between tidal volume and intensive care unit mortality. Because p-value for non-linearity was 0.20, non-linearity was not implemented in the model. The odds ratio is presented for clinically relevant range of values, according to literature data [2,4]. Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; Crs, static compliance of the respiratory system; DP, driving pressure; Vt, tidal volume; IBW, ideal body weight; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
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