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Abstract

Background Latinx persons are overrepresented in terms of 2019 Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection rates and constitute a subpopulation at increased risk for COVID-19 related physical ailments. Fatigue and pain are among the most prevalent somatic symptoms among the Latinx population; however, there is little understanding of individual difference factors that are related to fatigue and pain during COVID-19 among this health disparities population. Experiential avoidance (EA) reflects the persistent tendency to avoid aversive internal sensations.

Methods The current study sought to extend past work by exploring EA in relation to fatigue severity, pain intensity, and pain disability among 182 Latinx adult persons during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Results Results indicated that EA accounted for a statistically significant amount of variance across the criterion variables.

Conclusions Overall, the current work provides initial empirical evidence that EA is related to greater fatigue severity and pain severity/disability among Latinx persons during COVID-19.
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Introduction

The 2019 Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has had a devastating global impact, resulting in upwards of 105 million infections and over 2.3 million deaths (February 2021; WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard). Within the United States (U.S.), COVID-19 infection rates have been reported as high as 26 million (CDC, 2020). Importantly, racial, and ethnic disparities have been reported in terms of COVID-19 infection rates and mortality in the U.S. (Resnick et al., 2020). Indeed, despite accounting for approximately 18.3% of the U.S. population, Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) weighted population distributions indicate that Latinx persons comprise 33.8% of COVID-19 mortality cases (COVID-19 Provisional Counts-Health Disparities, 2021). Further, even without COVID-19 infection, pandemic-related stress may be an especially salient source of increased physical distress among Latinx persons (Zvolensky et al., 2020a). Thus, there is an urgent public health need to identify what possible individual difference factors may be related to physical health problems among Latinx persons in the context of COVID-19.

COVID-19 research has identified certain physical symptoms have increased across the general population among those with and without COVID-19 infection (Zerbe, 2020). Fatigue, defined as an overwhelming sense of tiredness and lack of energy, has been identified as one prominent and prevalent symptom among COVID-19 survivors, (Wright & O’Connor, 2014) with approximately 64% of persons within the general population reporting experiencing severe fatigue related to the disease (Morgul et al., 2020). Fatigue irrespective of COVID-19 infection is also related to increased psychological distress (Meichtry et al., 2020; Morgul et al.,
However, little is known about the experience of fatigue among Latinx persons, specifically, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Of the available non-COVID-19 research, studies from community samples have found higher levels of fatigue and chronic fatigue syndrome among Latinx adults when compared to non-Latinx Whites (Jason et al., 1999; Song et al., 1999). Various sociocultural factors have been associated with fatigue severity, including English (versus Spanish) speaking, greater age, lower income, female (versus male) sex, and acculturation among both general Latinx samples (Chen, 1986; Loge et al., 1998) and chronic fatigue samples (Torres-Harding et al., 2008). There is clinical importance in evaluating factors related to fatigue among Latinx persons during COVID-19, as such symptoms can be related to poorer mental and physical health problems (Wright & O’Connor, 2014) and lower the body’s ability to fight infection (McKinney et al., 2015; Too Exhausted to Fight, Immune System May Harm the Body They Are Supposed to Protect—ScienceDaily).

Another prevalent health problem associated with the COVID-19 pandemic is the experience of pain (WHO-China-Joint-Mission-on-Covid-19-Final-Report.Pdf, 2020), as several studies have found elevated rates of myalgia among COVID-19 survivors even among those without prior chronic pain issues (Kemp et al., 2020). Among the general population, COVID-19 pandemic has led to an increase of pain sensations and severity of pain (Rogers et al., 2021). Investigating pain among Latinx persons specifically during COVID-19 is highly relevant to public health, as some non-COVID-19 work suggests that Latinx individuals may be more sensitive to pain than non-Latinx Whites and non-Latinx Blacks (Kwok & Bhuvanakrishna, 2014; Reyes-Gibby et al., 2012). Several factors have been related to greater pain and disability among the Latinx population, including racial/ethnic discrimination (Bakhshaie et al., 2019), and substance use (Paulus et al., 2017). However, no research has focused on better understanding the experience of pain severity and pain disability among the general population of Latinx persons in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. By better understanding factors related to increased pain and pain disability among Latinx persons during COVID-19, there may be opportunity to intervene to reduce pain- and fatigue-related inequities among this group.

There is a public health need to investigate individual difference factors that may be related to the experience of fatigue and pain among Latinx persons in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic to inform intervention efforts. Past and current work has highlighted the tendency for individuals to avoid emotional and physical distress symptoms during large scale disasters (Lovell et al., 2020; Siebenhaar et al., 2020; Sprang & LaJoie, 2009). One theoretically relevant individual difference factor to explore during COVID-19 is experiential avoidance (EA). EA reflects an individual’s rigidly attempt to avoid aversive thoughts, feelings, physical sensations, and other internal experiences (Hayes et al., 1996). EA is theorized to lead to increased salience and functional importance of the avoided experiences (Hayes et al., 1996, 2004). In fact, avoidance is related to increased risk of more severe physical symptoms and psychological distress (Aldrich et al., 2000; McCracken & Eccleston, 2003). Research among individuals with chronic pain suggests that attempting to control or avoid aversive internal sensations, such as pain and fatigue, is associated with elevated psychological distress and physical disability (Aldrich et al., 2000; McCracken & Eccleston, 2003). Moreover, higher EA has been related to lower pain threshold and slower recovery from acute pain (Feldner et al., 2008). Among individuals with chronic fatigue syndrome, lower EA has been related to lesser fatigue and psychological distress (Jacobson et al., 2001; Van Damme et al., 2006). Non-COVID-19 research among the general Latinx population has indicated that EA is an important psychological difference factor for increased pain severity and disability (Bakhshaie et al., 2019; Campbell et al., 2009). This work, however, has not yet been extended to experiences of fatigue and pain among Latinx persons during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The current study examined the role of EA in terms of pain severity and disability and fatigue among a general population sample of Latinx adults during the COVID-19 pandemic, after adjusting for theoretically and empirically relevant sociodemographic and COVID-19 related variables. Specifically, we adjusted for the following variables: years living in the U.S. (as this variable has been related to worse psychological functioning among Latinx persons; Velasco-Mondragon et al., 2016); age and education (those of younger age and higher level of education are purported to have better health and psychological functioning than those of older age and lower education; Lawrence, 2017); and, number of health conditions and work and life stress due to COVID-19, to account for general health (as it may impact fatigue and pain symptom severity as well as the exacerbation of physical symptoms due to COVID-19 stress; Koppert et al., 2021; Rogers et al., 2021). We predicted EA would be related to more severe fatigue, pain intensity, and pain disability during the COVID-19 pandemic over and above the variance accounted for by the covariates.

Methods
Participants

The present study included 182 Latinx persons (32.4% female, Mage = 34.1 years, SD = 8.25). In line with social distancing guidelines, participants were recruited via an online survey panel program, Amazon Mechanical Turk (Mturk).
Study eligibility criteria included being 18–65 years old, self-identifying as a Latinx person, currently living in the U.S., and being able to provide informed consent. Exclusion criteria included being younger than 18 years or older than 65 years, being a non-English speaker (to ensure comprehension of the study questions), and inability to give informed, and voluntary consent.

The present sample consisted of predominately Latinx White/Caucasian (52.2%) individuals, followed by 26.9% Latinx Black/African American, 6.6% identified as other, 6.0% as more than one race, 3.3% Latinx Asian, 1.6% Latinx Native American/Alaska Native, and 1.6% declined to state. Most participants (65.4%) identified as heterosexual, 29.7% identified as bisexual, 2.7% identified as gay or lesbian, and 2.2% indicated they preferred not to disclose their sexual identity. Regarding education, 4.9% of the participants indicated some college, 4.9% had an associate degree, 56.0% had a bachelor’s degree, 39.7% had a master’s degree, and 1.1% had a doctoral degree. Most of the sample indicated they were married or living with someone (83.5%). In terms of unemployment, 32.6% of the sample reported being laid-off or let go from their employment since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. Further 84.2% of the sample reported having a child in the home that could not attend school and 73.4% of persons reported having difficulty acquiring childcare when needed.

In terms of preexisting illnesses, 34.1% of persons reported having high blood pressure 33.0% diabetes, 25.8% autoimmune disease, 22.0% respiratory disease, 20.9% asthma, 20.3% gastrointestinal issues, 15.9% cardiovascular disease, 13.2% kidney disease, and 8.8% HIV. Participants reported living in the U.S. an average of 31.14 (SD = 10.74) years and 96% of the sample indicated that they were born in the U.S.

In terms of fatigue severity, the average level of fatigue severity in the sample was 4.78 (SD = 1.43) (Krupp et al., 1989). Previous work has indicated an FSS score above 5 indicates “high level” fatigue (Bakshi et al., 1999; Lerdal et al., 2005; Manning et al., 2019). In addition, the average level of pain intensity was 21.47 (SD = 11.09) and the average level of pain disability was 16.76 (SD = 8.62). These scores are characteristic of high intensity pain using normative population data (Von Korff et al., 1992).

### Measures

#### Demographics Questionnaire

Demographic information was gathered to characterize the sample and included age, gender, sexual orientation, primary language, ethnicity, education (recoded as 0 = less than college degree and 1 = Bachelor’s degree or above), employment status, marital status, years in U.S., COVID-19 impact (i.e., “How much has/did COVID-19 impacted your day-to-day life?”), COVID-19 exposure (i.e., “What is the approximate size of the COVID-19 outbreak in your area?”), as well as preexisting medical conditions. The COVID-19 impact question was scored on a 5-item Likert type scale with the following options: 1 = not at all, 2 = a little, 3 = much, 4 = very much, 5 = extremely.

#### The Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory (EPII; Grasso et al., 2020)

The EPII is a 92-item inventory of pandemic-related experiences that range across five life domains, including work life (12-items), home life (19-items), social activities and isolation (18-items), emotional/physical health and infection (24-items), and positive change (19-items). Each item has the response options of “Yes, Me”, “Yes, Person in the Home”, “No”, and “Not Applicable”. For scoring purposes, the categories that indicated a positive response (i.e., Yes) were consolidated into a value of 1 and the options indicated a negative response (i.e., No, Not applicable) were given a value of 0. A total score was calculated by combining the positive values and a higher score was indicative of worse pandemic-related stress experiences within each domain (Grasso et al., 2020). The current study included work life (e.g., “hard time making the transition to working from home”) and home life (e.g., “increase in physical conflict with a partner or spouse”) as covariates in the current study (work: $\alpha = .88$, home: $\alpha = .89$).

#### Brief Experiential Avoidance Questionnaire (BEAQ; Gamez et al., 2014)

The BEAQ is a 15-item self-report measure that assesses six dimensions of EA including behavioral avoidance, distress aversion, procrastination, distraction/suppression, repression/denial, and distress endurance. All items are rated on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). The total score was used as a predictor variable and demonstrated excellent internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.94$).

#### Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS; Krupp et al., 1989)

The Fatigue Severity Scale is a psychometrically sound 9-item measure of fatigue severity. Items are rated on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (no impairment) to 7 (severe impairment). Scores of 5 or higher indicate clinically significant levels of fatigue (Bakshi et al., 1999). The FSS in the current sample demonstrated excellent internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.95$) and was utilized as a criterion variable.
Graded Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS; Von Korff et al., 1992)

The Graded Chronic Pain Scale is an 8-item self-report measure of pain that encompasses two subscales: (1) pain intensity and (2) pain disability. The pain intensity subscale includes items related to self-reported current pain, worst pain, and usual pain. Pain disability includes items that investigate to what degree the individual’s daily functioning is limited by their pain. Participants are asked to rate their pain intensity on a scale from 0 (No pain) to 10 (Pain as bad as could be) and their pain disability from 0 (no interference) to 10 (unable to carry on activities). With a range from 0 to 30, higher scores indicate a greater pain intensity. In the current study, the pain intensity and pain disability subscales were used as criterion variables and demonstrated excellent internal consistency (α=0.93 and 0.92).

Procedure

Participants were recruited from across the U.S. via Amazon Mechanical Turk (Mturk) between June 2020 and November 2020; a time during the COVID-19 pandemic. Mturk is an online survey management system that has been found to yield valid and representative data (Kim & Hodgins, 2017). Interested and eligible participants provided informed consent and completed the approximately 60-min online survey. Participants were compensated a total of $4.00 for completing the survey with credit through their Mturk account. Mturk allows researchers to specify the quality criteria for their sample. In the current study, participants were shown the study information if they: (1) had never been blocked from a study, (2) performed at more than 90% accuracy on other studies, and (3) passed a Human Intelligence Test (HIT), such as identifying pictures or filling in a CAPTCHA test. To further ensure quality of the data, IP addresses were obtained to prohibit duplicate responses and responses were evaluated based on nonsensical answers. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the supporting institution.

Analytic Strategy

Analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25. Sample descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations among study variables were examined. Three separate, two-step hierarchical regressions were conducted for each of the criterion variables: (1) fatigue severity; (2) pain intensity; and (3) pain disability. For all analyses, step 1 covariates were used as criterion variables and demonstrated excellent reliability and representativeness of statistical significance was adjusted to .017 (i.e., .05/3).

Results

Zero-order relations and descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. Regression results are presented in Table 2. In terms of fatigue severity, step one of the model with covariates was statistically significant [R²=.28, F(8, 167)=7.54, p<.001] with COVID impact and number of health conditions emerging as statistically significant predictors. In step two, EA resulted in a statistically significant model (ΔR²=.32, p<.001).

In terms of pain intensity, step one of the model with covariates was statistically significant [R²=.43, F(8, 167)=17.03, p<.001] with COVID impact, home distress, and number of health conditions emerging as statistically significant predictors. In step two, EA resulted in a statistically significant model (ΔR²=.11, p<.001).

In terms of pain disability, step one of the model with covariates was statistically significant [R²=.36, F(8, 167)=11.20, p<.001] with COVID impact, gender, health conditions, and home distress emerging as statistically significant predictors. In step two, EA remained a statistically significant predictor (ΔR²=.15, p<.001).

Discussion

The current study examined EA as an individual difference factor of potential importance to better understand fatigue severity, pain intensity, and pain disability during COVID-19 among Latinx adults. In line with expectation, EA was significantly related to fatigue severity, pain intensity, and pain disability. These significant associations were evident over and above the variance accounted for by years living in the U.S., COVID-19 impact, gender identity, age, education, number of health conditions, work life distress, and home life distress. Further, examination indicates the magnitude of these relations were clinically meaningful, with EA accounting for 11–32% of the (incremental) variance across criterion variables.

The current findings help bridge previous studies that highlight the importance of EA in terms of behavioral and mental health among the Latinx population in pre-pandemic research (Bakhshaie et al., 2017; Raines et al., 2018; Zvolensky et al., 2020b). These results provide initial empirical
Table 1  Bivariate correlations and descriptive statistics

|                      | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | Mean (n) | SD (%) |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|----------|--------|
| (1) Age              | –    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       | 34.13    | 8.25    |
| (2) Gender (% female)| –0.06| –    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       | 59       | 32.4    |
| (3) Years in U.S     | 0.66*** | –0.06 | –    |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |       | 31.14    | 10.74   |
| (4) Education (% ≥ Bachelor’s) | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.10 | –    |      |      |      |      |      |       |       | 158      | 86.8    |
| (5) COVID Impact     | –0.14| –0.09| –0.05| 0.23**| –    |      |      |      |      |       |       | 3.72     | 1.18    |
| (6) EPII-Work        | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.43***| 0.23**| –    |      |      |      |       |       | 6.77     | 3.49    |
| (7) EPII-Home        | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.41***| 0.20* | 0.89***| –    |      |      |       |       | 7.49     | 4.56    |
| (8) Health Conditions| 0.26***| 0.03 | 0.16*| 0.16*| –0.03| 0.33***| 0.34***| –    |      |       |       | 2.19     | 2.69    |
| (9) Experiential Avoidance | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.25**| 0.16*| 0.44***| 0.42***| 0.26**| –    |       |       | 63.46    | 12.55   |
| (10) Fatigue Severity| 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.21**| 0.28***| 0.40***| 0.42***| 0.31***| 0.73***| –    |       | 4.76     | 1.43    |
| (11) Pain Intensity  | 0.05 | 0.08 | –0.01| 0.39***| 0.32***| 0.56***| 0.59***| 0.35***| 0.60***| 0.71***| –    | 21.47    | 11.09   |
| (12) Pain Disability | 0.02 | 0.13 | –0.05| 0.30***| 0.29***| 0.47***| 0.51***| 0.28***| 0.60***| 0.74***| 0.87***| 16.76    | 8.62    |

N=182; Gender: 0 = Male, 1 = Female; Education: 0 = less than Bachelor’s Degree, 1 = Bachelor’s Degree or greater; COVID Impact: 1 = not at all, 2 = a little, 3 = much, 4 = very much, 5 = extremely

EPII-Work The Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory-Work subscale (EPII; Grasso et al., 2020), EPII-Home The Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory-Home subscale (EPII; Grasso et al., 2020), Health Conditions Number of Health Conditions endorsed, Experiential Avoidance Brief Experiential Avoidance Questionnaire (BEAQ; Gamez et al., 2014), Fatigue Severity Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS; Krupp et al., 1989), Pain Intensity Graded Chronic Pain Scale-Pain Intensity subscale (GCPS; Von Korff et al., 1992), Pain Disability Graded Chronic Pain Scale-Pain Disability subscale (GCPS; Von Korff et al., 1992)

***p < .001, **p < .01, *p < .05
evidence that EA may be an important individual difference factor for better understanding the experience of fatigue and pain in the context of COVID-19 among Latinx adults. Theoretically, repeated attempts to escape or avoid aversive somatic symptoms during a large-scale disaster, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, may exacerbate the severity of symptoms and distress related to such bodily perturbation (Aldrich et al., 2000). Thus, while situational specific scape/avoidance of an identified threat is certainly adaptive (Eippert et al., 2007), the current data and past work suggests EA, which reflects a persistent avoidance of distressing internal experiences and contexts, is a dysfunctional psychological process. Indeed, in the current study, EA demonstrated clear incremental predictive utility after adjusting

| Table 2 Hierarchical regression results |
|----------------------------------------|
| **Fatigue Severity**                    |
| Model | $\Delta R^2$ | $b$ | $SE$ | $\beta$ | $t$ | $p$ | $sr^2$ |
| 1     | Gender       | .28*** | 0.31 | 0.21    | .10 | 1.46 | .15 .01 |
|       | Years in U.S | −0.01  | 0.01  | −.05    | −0.56 | .58 .001 |
|       | Age          | 0.01   | 0.02  | .06     | 0.63 | .53 .002 |
|       | Education    | −0.07  | 0.32  | −.02    | −0.22 | .82 .0002 |
|       | COVID-19 Impact | 0.32  | 0.09  | .26     | 3.62 | <.001 .06 |
|       | EPII-Work    | 0.03   | 0.06  | .06     | 0.40 | .69 .001 |
|       | EPII-Home    | 0.08   | 0.05  | .25     | 1.65 | .10 .01 |
|       | Health Conditions | 0.11  | 0.04  | .20     | 2.73 | <.01 .03 |
| 2     | Experiential Avoidance | .32*** | 0.07  | 0.01    | .64 | 11.22 | <.001 .32 |
| **Pain Intensity**                      |
| Model | $\Delta R^2$ | $b$ | $SE$ | $\beta$ | $t$ | $p$ | $sr^2$ |
| 1     | Gender       | .43*** | 2.00 | 1.40    | .08 | 1.43 | .16 .01 |
|       | Years in U.S | −0.16  | 0.09  | −.15    | −1.84 | .07 .01 |
|       | Age          | 0.10   | 0.11  | .08     | 0.93 | .35 .003 |
|       | Education    | 4.17   | 2.14  | .13     | 1.95 | .05 .01 |
|       | COVID-19 Impact | 2.20  | 0.59  | .23     | 3.74 | <.001 .05 |
|       | EPII-Work    | 0.09   | 0.42  | .03     | 0.22 | .83 .0002 |
|       | EPII-Home    | 0.98   | 0.32  | .40     | 3.10 | <.01 .03 |
|       | Health Conditions | 0.82  | 0.27  | .20     | 3.07 | <.01 .03 |
| 2     | Experiential Avoidance | .11*** | 0.34  | 0.05    | .38 | 6.46 | <.001 .11 |
| **Pain Disability**                     |
| Model | $\Delta R^2$ | $b$ | $SE$ | $\beta$ | $t$ | $p$ | $sr^2$ |
| 1     | Gender       | .36*** | 2.54 | 1.19    | .14 | 2.14 | .03 .02 |
|       | Years in U.S | −0.12  | 0.07  | −.15    | −1.66 | .10 .01 |
|       | Age          | 0.06   | 0.09  | .06     | 0.67 | .51 .002 |
|       | Education    | 1.73   | 1.81  | .07     | 0.96 | .34 .004 |
|       | COVID-19 Impact | 1.76  | 0.50  | .24     | 3.54 | <.01 .05 |
|       | EPII-Work    | −0.18  | 0.36  | −.07    | −0.51 | .61 .001 |
|       | EPII-Home    | 0.86   | 0.27  | .45     | 3.21 | <.01 .04 |
|       | Health Conditions | 0.49  | 0.23  | .15     | 2.17 | .03 .02 |
| 2     | Experiential Avoidance | .15*** | 0.31  | 0.04    | .44 | 7.00 | <.001 .15 |

N = 182; Gender: 0 = Male, 1 = Female; Education: 0 = less than Bachelor’s Degree, 1 = Bachelor’s Degree or greater; COVID Impact: 1 = not at all, 2 = a little, 3 = much, 4 = very much, 5 = extremely

EPII-Work The Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory-Work subscale (EPII; Grasso et al., 2020), EPII-Home The Epidemic-Pandemic Impacts Inventory-Home subscale (EPII; Grasso et al., 2020), Health Conditions Number of Health Conditions endorsed; Experiential Avoidance Brief Experiential Avoidance Questionnaire (BEAQ; Gamez et al., 2014), Fatigue Severity Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS; Krupp et al., 1989), Pain Intensity Graded Chronic Pain Scale-Pain Intensity subscale (GCPS; Von Korff et al., 1992), Pain Disability Graded Chronic Pain Scale-Pain Disability subscale (GCPS; Von Korff et al., 1992)

***$p < .001$, **$p < .01$, *$p < .05$
for the emotional impact of COVID-19, suggesting that how Latinx persons respond to internal stimuli (i.e., accepting vs. avoidant) may influence health-related processes relative to the severity of COVID-19 distress. This does not mean in any way that Latinx persons are the only group wherein EA is relevant. In fact, EA should be theoretically relevant to persons of different races/ethnicities. Yet, building from this work, one logical next step in this line of research will be to examine the mediating role of EA in improvements in pain and fatigue for Latinx and other persons seeking treatment for such health problems in context of COVID-19 and its aftermath.

Although not primary study objectives, several additional observations warrant brief comment. First, the sample was characterized by a high degree of physical health problems. Moreover, such health conditions were related to each of the criterion variables, as has been evident in past work (Orwelian et al., 2010; Weijman et al., 2004). Second, COVID-19 impact was associated with the criterion variables in the regression models, adding to past work linking the pandemic experience to worse physical health (Koppert et al., 2021). Third, being female was related to greater pain disability; a finding that is in line with previous research (Bartley & Fillingim, 2013).

Clinically, the current findings suggest that intervention strategies aimed at lowering EA (e.g., behavioral skills training to reduce avoidant behavior) may help to reduce the physical distress in the context of COVID-19 among Latinx adults. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT; Hayes et al., 2011) expressly targets EA and is efficacious for problems such as pain and chronic illness (A-tjak et al., 2015; Dindo, 2015; Hann & McCracken, 2014). ACT has been successfully disseminated in primary care settings, which is often the context wherein Latinx persons seek help for physical and mental health problems (Aguilera et al., 2018). To the best of our knowledge, however, ACT has not been evaluated in the context of COVID-19 among the Latinx population. Given the lingering effects of COVID-19 (e.g., long haulers; Rubin, 2020) and new viral variants, future research examining the utility of ACT or culturally adapted versions of ACT for the Latinx population may help persons struggling with elevated pain or fatigue (as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic demands or infection; Rogers et al., 2020; Zerbe, 2020) learn more adaptive ways of responding to aversive internal experiences. Further, in considering the role of EA among Latinx persons, it may be important to address cultural attitudes toward pain and fatigue symptoms (e.g., stoicism, which represents a form of ignoring pain symptoms; Torres et al., 2017).

There are limitations to the current study that warrant comment. First, the data are cross-sectional. Thus, we cannot isolate the directionality or causal nature of relations between the studied variables. Future work could employ prospective designs to more fully explore whether EA predicts greater pain and fatigue symptoms over time. Second, these data were collected via an online platform to comply with social distancing guidelines because of pandemic related restrictions on in person research and all materials were presented in English. Therefore, the sample may represent a Latinx group that has an “average to high” comfort with technology and those with English proficiency. In fact, the sample included more than 50% reporting having attained a bachelor’s degree or higher, which compares to approximately 11% among general population (Latinx samples; Schak & Nichols, 2017). As such, the current sample may not be fully representative of the Latinx population. The generalizability of the current work is further limited as the sample was comprised of 182 individuals and only 32.4% of the cohort were female. Thus, this sample may not be adequately sized nor balanced by sex to fully represent the general Latinx population. Research indicates that while males participate at higher rates than females on informational online platforms, females are more present on social media platforms; therefore, future work would benefit from recruiting on social media platforms as well to include more female participation (Sun et al., 2020; Vasilescu et al., 2014). Third, no behavioral measures of pain or fatigue were included. Future work may seek to implement a multimethod approach to assess fatigue and pain among Latinx persons (e.g., experimental pain assessment). Fourth, no information on pre-COVID fatigue and pain symptoms were measured; thus, it is not clear whether EA may have contributed to onset or exacerbation of these symptoms. Moreover, the current study did not assess whether fatigue and pain were related to COVID-19 infection. The question therefore of whether observed relations hold or are more apparent among those with post or current COVID-19 infection warrants further investigation. Fifth, the current analyses were conducted on a general population sample of Latinx persons. Future work would benefit from evaluating these relations within a sample of persons with chronic pain and clinically significant fatigue. Finally, although we modeled EA and adjusted for the stress related to COVID-19, future research could replicate and extend these findings to independent Latinx samples and adjust for other higher-order negative emotional traits (e.g., negative affectivity). Further, there would be value in exploring other vulnerability factors (e.g., rumination, worry) and protective factors (e.g., adaptive coping, resiliency) for pain and fatigue among the Latinx population in the context of the ongoing pandemic.

Overall, the current findings provide initial empirical evidence for the role of EA as a psychological factor that is related to greater fatigue severity and pain severity and disability among Latinx persons during COVID-19. Future research using a prospective design is needed to understand the interplay between EA and fatigue and pain severity and
disability would be useful for this underserved and over-represented minority group in terms of clinical correlates of COVID-19.
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