Micromorphology of Paleosols of the Marília Formation and their Significance in the Paleoenvironmental Evolution of the Bauru Basin, Upper Cretaceous, Southeastern Brazil
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ABSTRACT: Deduction of associated paleoenvironments and paleoclimate, definition of the chronosequence of paleosols, and paleogeographic reconstruction have become possible through the application of micromorphology in paleopedology. Micromorphology has also been useful in recognition of weathering processes and definition of minerals formed in succession. In this respect, the objective of this study was to identify the development of pedogenic processes and discuss their significance in the paleoclimate evolution of the Marília Formation (Maastrichtian) of Bauru Basin. Three sections of the Marília Formation (A1, A2, and A3) were described, comprising nine profiles. Micromorphological analysis was carried out according to the specialized literature. In the Marília Formation, the paleosols developed in sandstones have argillic (Btkm, Bt) and carbonate (Bk) horizons with different degrees of cementation, forming mainly calcretes. The evolution of pedogenic processes, in light of micromorphological analysis, evidenced three moments or stages for the genesis of paleosols with Bkm, Btk, and Bt horizons, respectively. In the Maastrichtian in the Bauru Basin, the paleosols with Bkm are older and more arid environments, and those with Bt were formed in wetter weather, but not enough to lead to the genesis of enaulic-related distributions, typical of current Oxisols.
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INTRODUCTION

Micromorphology or micropedology can be defined as the branch of soil science that deals with the description, interpretation and, to some extent, the measure of soil constituents, features, and fabrics at the microscopic level (Bullock et al., 1985). Among its main objectives, micromorphological analysis allows us to formulate hypotheses or statements about the genetic and evolutionary dynamics of soil in an attempt to clarify controversy regarding its origin, evolution, and behavior (Castro et al., 2003). The identification of different constituents of the soil in different fractions, as well as definition of possible interrelationships between them, is another key objective of micromorphology (Castro et al., 2003).

One of the applications of micromorphology is to identify the pedogenetic process and display transfers and concentrations of elements (eluviation, illuviation, nodulation, or concretion) and to follow the development of distinctive features (porosity and pedoturbation, among others). It can also be applied in recognition of the weathering process involved and the mineral succession formed (Delvigne, 1998). The transformation of saprolite to soil, called pedoplasmation, is another topic that can be studied by micromorphology, as it concerns in the first place the transformation of fabrics, initially without mineralogical or chemical changes (Stoops, 2008).

In paleopedology, micromorphology may be useful in deduction of paleoenvironments and associated paleoclimates, the chronosequence of paleosols, and paleogeographic reconstitution (Porta et al., 1999).

Paleosols are defined as soils that were formed on an ancient landscape (Wright, 1986). They may be buried soils and/or soils incorporated into the sedimentary sequences, exhumed soils, or soils developed on ancient relief surfaces (relict soils) (Andreis, 1981; Catt, 1990). Exposed on the surface and influenced by later environmental changes (Retallack, 2001), paleosols reveal ancient environments and contain records regarding the climate, vegetation, landforms, intensity of pedogenesis, and sedimentation rates in effect during their training (Kraus, 1992; Wright, 1992).

Paleosols have been used both in analysis and paleoenvironmental reconstructions (Andreis, 1981; Catt, 1990; Retallack, 2001; Sheldon and Tabor, 2009; Nascimento et al., 2017) by forming themselves into open systems capable of recording environmental conditions during their training, as in stratigraphic correlation (Brown and Kraus, 1988; Wright, 1992; Marriott and Wright, 1993; Kraus 1997; McCarthy and Plint, 2003), indicating a stable surface and representing moments of pause in erosion and deposition.

However, paleosols are often difficult to identify since various physical and chemical properties change as a result of weathering processes and infiltration of solutions. However, micromorphological features are well preserved and allow determination of the type of soil, the environment, and the associated paleoclimate (Stoops, 2008). Thus, micromorphology has become an important tool in studies on global climate change.

In the Marília Formation, the paleosols developed on sandstones have argillic (Btk, Bt) and calcic (Bk) horizons with different degrees of cementation, forming mainly calcretes. Groundmass and coating features, hypocoatings, quasicoatings, and infillings reveal the pedological nature of calcretes as a result of in situ weathering, allowing determination of chronology, intensity of processes, and the climatic conditions of their occurrence.

Studies of micromorphological features reveal pedogenic processes and assist in the interpretation of paleoclimatic environments.

Thus, the objective of this study was to identify, through micromorphology, the development of pedogenic processes in paleosols of the Marília Formation and discuss their significance in paleoclimatic evolution of the Maastrichtian of the Bauru Basin, southeastern Brazil.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Characterization of the Bauru Basin and study area

The Bauru Basin, located in southeastern Brazil, covers an area of approximately 330,000 km², including the middle west of São Paulo, northeastern Mato Grosso do Sul, southern Mato Grosso, southern Goiás, and western Minas Gerais (Figure 1). This basin has an elliptical shape, elongated in the N-NE direction, and consists primarily of siliciclastic continental deposits (Batezelli, 2003).

The erosional processes responsible for the current configuration of the Bauru Basin boundaries are relates to tectonic restructuring of the Tertiary. This event is marked on

Figure 1. Lithostratigraphic map and geologic section (A-B) of the Bauru Basin. The red square, circle, and triangle are the outcrop positions in the study area.
the eastern border of the Bauru Basin by the Serra do Mar mountain range and Alto Paranaíba Uplift (Batezelli, 2003, 2015).

The Bauru Basin originated in the Late Cretaceous, was developed over the basalt rocks of the Serra Geral Formation, and was generated by flexural subsidence caused by the weight of thick basalt and by Alto Paranaíba Uplift and Alkaline Province of Goiás (Figure 1) (Riccomini, 1995, 1997; Fernandes and Coimbra, 2000; Batezelli 2003; Batezelli et al., 2007; Batezelli, 2010, 2015).

The Bauru Basin developed in the Upper Cretaceous in a period after breakup of the Gondwana continent, and completion occurred in a climate with variations from semi-arid to arid between the Campanian (83.6 to 72.1 Ma B.P) and Maastrichtian (72.1 to 66 Ma B.P.) (Batezelli, 2015).

By analysis of facies, architectural elements, and Paleocurrent, Batezelli et al. (2007) concluded that the deposits of the Bauru Group were formed from unidirectional and gravitational flows of high energy, associated with proximal and intermediate portions of alluvial system dominated by braided rivers (Stanistreet and McCarthy, 1993) or distributive fluvial systems (Hartley et al., 2010) arising from the Alto Paranaíba Uplift and the Alkaline Province of Goiás (Figure 1).

The Bauru Basin is divided into two groups: the Caiuá Group and Bauru Group (Figures 1 and 2). However, there are two different views on the lithostratigraphic position of these two groups. Authors such as Fernandes and Coimbra (1996, 2000) and Fernandes (2004) argue that the two groups are contemporary. Other authors (Fulfaro and Perinotto, 1996; Paula and Silva et al., 2005; Batezelli, 2010, 2015) put the Caiuá Group in the lower portion of the basin, separated from the Bauru Group with a stratigraphic unconformity, signaled by a very evolved paleosol (Geossol Santo Anastásio) highlighted by Fulfaro et al. (1999). Recent studies by Batezelli et al. (2007) and Batezelli (2010, 2015) showed that the two groups are not contemporary (Figure 2), resolving disputes on the stratigraphy of the basin.

The Bauru Group in the state of São Paulo consists of Araçatuba, Adamantina (Vale do Rio do Peixe according to Fernandes and Coimbra, 2000) and Marília (Echaporã Member) formations, from base to top (Batezelli, 2003, 2010, 2015). For Batezelli (2003, 2010, 2015) the Araçatuba Formation was formed in a lacustrine environment (playa-lake) that served as the base level for the river system (generator of the Adamantina and Marília formations). Filling occurred because of the progradational advance of an alluvial system dominated by a braided river that gave rise to the Marília Formation.

Sedimentary evolution of the alluvial system was marked by periods of fluvial sedimentation and aeolian reworking, interspersed with periods of non-deposition (Batezelli, 2010, 2015). During times without deposition, the floodplain would be covered by vegetation and soil could develop. Thus, the Marília Formation consists of a succession of deposits and paleosols that record sedimentation and pedogenesis during the Maastrichtian of the Bauru Basin.

In the Marília Formation, paleosols are constituted by argillic horizons (Btk and Bt) and a calcic horizon (Bk), with different degrees of cementation, constituting calcretes. The irregular distribution and different thicknesses of the profiles are related to the type of the parent material, hydrology, topography, and biology, as well as the exposure time of deposits to weathering agents.

**Description of paleosols and sampling**

Three sections (A1, A2, and A3) of the Marília Formation (Figure 1) were described, and nine sample profiles collected. Characterization of paleosols was performed according to Retallack (2001) and Santos et al. (2005).
In characterizing the field, ped structure, horizon, and root marks were identified, the three main attributes in recognition of paleosols (Andreis, 1981; Retallack, 1988; Catt, 1990; Retallack, 2001). To define the horizons, the following types of properties were used: texture, structure, color, thickness, and depth of horizons; types of contacts between paleosol horizons and sediment; presence, type, and size of aggregates (peds); presence and type of films (cutans), presence and type of nodules or cementation; bioturbations; presence or absence of mottling; type, shape, and percentage of root marks; and presence or absence of gleization and friction surfaces (slickensides). Calcic (Bkm) and argillic (Btkm and Bt) horizons have been identified in paleosols of the study area.

The classification of paleosols with Bk horizon in morphological stages of carbonate accumulation followed the method presented by Gile et al. (1966), Machette (1985), Birkeland (1999), and Retallack (2001).

**Micromorphological analysis**

Description of the oriented thin sections was made according to Bullock et al. (1985) and Stoops (2003) using a binocular magnifying glass to separate domains on the thin section, and a petrographic microscope with magnification of 2.5X to 40X for analysis of the groundmass (s-matrix) and pedofeatures.
The grain size class was determined indirectly by optical microscopy, as proposed by Fitzpatrick (1984) and Bullock et al. (1985).

Scanning electron microscopy analyses were performed on four samples, representative of the different horizons of paleosols.

Interpretations of the groundmass and pedofeatures were based on the studies of Delvigne (1998) and Stoops et al. (2010).

The Visilog 5.4 and Image J 1.48 software was used for processing the images.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphological characterization of paleosols

The synthesis of the micromorphological properties of paleosol profiles are summarized in tables 1, 2, and 3.

The identification of pedogenic structures (blocky, prismatic, and laminar) and mark roots, associated with the absence of stratifications, predominance of massive structures, and discontinuity of carbonate cementation on the basis of outcrops, were the main factors used to define the profiles, as being predominantly pedologic. There was a predominance of nodular calcrites, at the expense of powdery (friable), laminar, and hard crust calcrites.

In morphological characterization, paleosols were identified with a Bkm horizon and structure blocks in the Botucatu section (A1), argillic horizons (Btkm and Bt) with prismatic and blocky structure in the Piratininga section (A2), and Btkm and Bkm horizons in the Garça section (A3), with laminar, prismatic, and blocky structures (Figure 3).

Micromorphology

Micromorphology revealed the predominance of porphyric c/f-related distribution in three sections, with some chitonic, enaulic, and gefuric regions.

The paleosols with Bkm horizons showed open porphyric-related distribution with chitonic regions and very few gefuric regions (Table 4, Figure 4a).

Close porphyric-related distribution with chitonic regions predominated in paleosols with Btkm and Bt horizons (Table 4; Figures 4c and 4d).

Table 1. Morphological properties of the paleosols in the Botucatu Section (A1)

| Profile and horizon | Depth (m) | EST | Texture | Colors | B | Nodules | RHCI | TRS |
|---------------------|-----------|-----|---------|--------|---|---------|------|-----|
| P1Bkm1              | 0.00-0.42 | EB  | Sandy (poorly selected) | 10YR 8/1, 2.5YR 5/6, 2.5YR 6/6 | A | Clasts clay | Reactive | Smooth and clear |
| P1Bkm2              | 0.42-0.81 | EB  | Sandy (poorly selected) | 10YR 8/1, 2.5YR 3/6, 2.5YR 5/8 | A | Clasts clay | Strongly reactive | Smooth and gradual |
| P1C1                | 0.81-1.56 | M   | Sandy     | 10YR 8/1, 2.5YR 5/6 | R | Carbonate, clay and quartz clasts | Strongly reactive | Smooth and abrupt |
| P1C2                | 1.56-2.78 | M   | Sandy (poorly selected) | 10YR 8/1, 5YR 4/6, 5Y 8/6 | R | Carbonate, clay and quartz clasts | Reactive | - |
| P2C1                | 0.00-2.65 | M   | Sandy (poorly selected) | 5Y 7/4, 10YR 7/8, 10YR 8/1 | A | - | Reactive | Smooth and abrupt |
| P2C2                | 2.65-3.04 | M   | Sandy (poorly selected) | 10YR 8/1, 10R 3/6, 7.5Y 7/8, 5Y 2.5/1 | R | - | Reactive | - |

EST: structure; EB: blocky; M: massive; B: bioturbations; RHCI: reaction to hydrochloric acid; TRS: transition; A: abundant; R: rare.
Interpretation of micromorphology

The way the fine material, the coarse material, and the voids are distributed provides clues regarding the origin and evolution of calcretes.

Interpretation of secondary processes of carbonate accumulation, such as substitution and recrystallization (Figures 5a, 6a and 7e), pattern diversity and degrees of physical and chemical weathering (Figures 5a, 5c, and 5e), root marks (Figures 6e and 7e), bioturbation (Figure 6c), Microcodium (Figure 7c), different nodules (Figures 6a and 7a), authigenesis of palygorskite (Figures 8a and 9), carbonate coating features (calcans) on quartz grains (Figure 7a and 7e) and clay coatings (Figure 8c and 8e), pisolithic (Figure 10a), pending calcite (Figure 10c), and cytomorphic calcite (Figure 10e) showed the pedogenic origin of profiles.

Table 2. Morphological properties of the paleosols in the Piratininga Section (A2)

| Profile and horizon | Depth  | EST  | Texture                      | Colors                  | B                  | Nodules                              | RHCl       | TRS |
|--------------------|--------|------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-----|
| P3C 0.00-4.50      | M      | M    | Very fine sand to fine (BS)  | 5YR 7/4, 5YR 6/8, 2.5YR 4/8, 10YR 8/1 | A                  | Sandy clasts with oxide films, nodule CaCO₃ | RSR        | -   |
| P4-1C 2.30-2.90    | M      | M    | Fine sandy bar to the average (MS) | 5YR 7/4, 10YR 8/1       | C                  | Clasts of quartz and clay            | LRN SC     |     |
| P4-2C 2.90-3.50    | M      | M    | Fine sandy bar to the average (MS) | 5YR 8/3, 10YR 8/1, 2.5YR 5/8 | C                  | Few clay clasts                      |            |     |
| P4-3C 3.50-4.50    | M      | M    | Fine sandy bar to the average (MS) | 5YR 8/3, 10YR 8/1, 5YR 7/6 | C                  | Few clay clasts                      |            |     |
| P4-4C 4.50-4.90    | M      | M    | Fine sandy bar to the average (MS) | 5YR 8/3, 10YR 8/1, 5YR 7/6 | C                  | Clay clasts                          |            |     |
| P4-5C 4.90-5.67    | M      | M    | Fine sandy bar to the average (MS) | 5YR 7/4, 2.5YR 4/8, 10YR 8/1 | C                  | Clay clasts                          |            |     |
| P4-6C 5.67-6.64    | M      | M    | Fine to coarse sand (SM)      | 5YR 8/3, 10YR 8/1, 5YR 7/6, 5YR 4/6 | A                  | Carbonate, few clay clasts           | R SC       |     |
| P4Bt1 6.64-7.42    | P      | P    | Fine to medium sand (SMB)     | 5YR 7/4, 5YR 5/8, 10YR 8/1 | CP                 | Clay clasts and carbonate nodules    | R SG       |     |
| P5C1 0.00-3.20     | M      | M    | Fine to medium sand (SMB)     | 5YR 7/4, 2.5YR 4/8, 10YR 8/1 | A                  | Few clay clasts                      | R SG       |     |
| P5Bt1 3.20-3.56    | PB     | PB   | Fine to coarse sand (SM)      | 5YR 7/4, 2.5YR 5/8, 10YR 8/1 | A                  | Few clay clasts                      | LR SG      |     |
| P5Bt2 3.56-4.18    | PB     | PB   | Fine to coarse sand (SM)      | 5YR 7/4, 2.5YR 6/8, 10YR 8/1 | A                  | Clay nodules                         | RSR SG     |     |
| P5Btc 4.18-4.59    | PM     | PM   | Fine to coarse sand (SM)      | 5YR 7/4, 10YR 8/1       | A                  | Few clay clasts                      | R -        |     |
| P6C1 0.00-1.19     | M      | M    | Medium sand (SM)              | 5YR 7/4, 5YR 6/8, 10YR 8/1 | A                  | Few clay clasts                      | LR -       |     |
| P7C1 0.00-0.28     | M      | M    | Fine to medium sand (SMB)     | 5YR 6/6, 5YR 5/6, 2.5YR 4/8, 10YR 6/4, 10YR 8/1 | C                  | Few clay clasts                      | LR AS      |     |
| P7Btkm1 0.28-0.72  | P      | P    | Fine to coarse sand (SM)      | 5YR 7/4, 2.5YR 5/6, 10YR 8/1 | A                  | Abundant carbonate, clay clasts      | R SC       |     |
| P7Btkmc 0.72-1.35  | PM     | PM   | Fine to coarse sand (SM)      | 5YR 7/4, 5YR 8/4, 2.5YR 6/8, 10YR 8/1 | F                  | Abundant carbonate, clay clasts      | RSR -      |     |

EST: structure; M: massive; P: prismatic; PB: prismatic tending to block; PM: prismatic tending to massive; TRS: transition; BS: well selected; MS: poorly selected; SM: moderately selected; SMB: well to moderately selected; RHCl: reaction to hydrochloric acid; B: bioturbations; A: abundant; C: common; CP: common to few; F: few; RSR: reactive to strongly reactive; LRN: little reactive to nothing; NR: non-reactive; R: reactive; LR: little reactive; SC: smooth and clear; AS: smooth and abrupt; SG: smooth and gradual.
The microcodium structures consist of cell aggregates composed of individual crystals of calcite (Kosir, 2004). Generally, microcodium is associated with the rhizogenic horizon (Figure 7c). Plant roots and microorganisms associated with the rhizosphere produce significant accumulations of calcium carbonate near the surface (Kosir, 2004). Microcodium occurs in petrocalcic horizons and calcretes, unlike rhizoliths, which normally occur in soil and sediments not completely cemented by calcium carbonate (Durand et al., 2010). This feature is associated with Cretaceous paleosol flood plains, lacustrine deposits, and paludal deposits (Durand et al., 2010). Microcodium structures (Figure 7c) are evidence of calcretes of pedogenic origin and indicate biologically controlled precipitation of calcium carbonate. Accumulations of microcodium probably reflect specific types of vascular plants of a pioneer community that had the ability to colonize carbonate substrates during the early phases of subaerial exposure (Kosir, 2004).

Groundmass features and pedofeatures have shown the predominance of beta type microfabrics in calcretes of the Marília Formation. Beta type microfabrics (biogenic/microbial related to the presence of roots, carbonates with alveolar fabric, fibrous calcite, Microcodium) and the presence of meniscus structures and pending cementation (pendant) are typical and striking features in pedogenic calcretes (Pimentel et al., 1996).

The calcite pendants (pending calcite) were another striking features in calcretes of the Marília Formation, manifesting their pedogenic origins. The pendants are laminated and composed of micritic and microsparitic calcite, with color ranging from light to dark brown (Figures 7e and 10c). Laminated pendants can reflect climate variations (Manafi and Poch, 2012). According to the authors, lighter colored blades, composed of relatively pure calcium carbonate, indicate dry periods, not suitable for biological activity. However, in more humid periods, climatic conditions become suitable for biological activity and the development of vegetation, increasing the production of organic matter, mixed with the calcium carbonate, resulting in darker stained

| Profile and horizon | Depth (m) | EST | Texture | Color | B | Nodule | RHCl | TRS |
|---------------------|----------|-----|---------|-------|---|--------|------|-----|
| P8C1                | 0.72-2.72| Massive | Medium to coarse sand (poor selection) | 5YR 7/4, 10YR 8/1, 2YR 7/6 | Common | Sparse | Little reactive | AS |
| P8Bkm1              | 3.10-3.75| Laminar | Fine sand (moderate selection) | 2.5YR 8/1, 2.5YR 7/4 | Abundant | Abundant carbonate, few clay clasts | Reactive to strongly reactive | WC |
| P8Bkm2              | 3.75-4.36| Laminar | Fine sand (moderate selection) | 10YR 5/4, 2.5YR 7/4, 10YR 8/1, 10YR 7/8 | Abundant | Common carbonate, few clay clasts | Reactive | SC |
| P8Bk/Ck             | 4.36-4.63| Laminar | Fine sand (moderate selection) | 2.5YR 4/8, 5YR 7/4, 10YR 8/1 | Rare | Few carbonate | Reactive | AS |
| P8Ckm1              | 4.63-5.31| Massive | - | - | Rare | - | - | AS |
| P9C1                | 0.00-1.18| Massive | Fine to coarse sand (poor selection) | 5YR 7/3, 10YR 8/1, 2YR 7/6 | Common | Rare carbonate, few clay clasts | Reactive | AS |
| P9Btkm              | 1.29-1.82| Prismatic | Fine sand (moderate selection) | 5 YR 4/6, 5YR 7/4, 5YR 7/1, 7.5YR 8/6 | Abundant | Few carbonate, scarce clay clasts | Reactive to strongly reactive | SC |
| P9Btkm/C            | 1.82-2.27| Prismatic | Fine to medium sand (moderate selection) | 5 YR 4/6, 5YR 7/4, 5YR 7/1, 7.5YR 8/6 | Rare | - | Reactive | AS |

EST: structure; B: bioturbations; RHCl: reaction to hydrochloric acid; TRS: transition; AS: smooth and abrupt; WC: wavy and clear; SC: smooth and clear.
Figure 3. Facies association and macromorphology of paleosol profiles. (a) Column with the lithofacies (Gc, Gt, Gm) pedofacies (P1, P2), and paleosol profiles of the Botucatu section (A1) with its horizons; (b) Column with the lithofacies (Gm), pedofacies (P3, P4, P5, P6, P7), and paleosol profiles of the Piratininga section (A2) with its horizons; and (c) Column with the lithofacies (Gm, Fm), pedofacies (P8, P9) and paleosol profiles of the Garça section (A3) with its horizons.
In the paleosols of the Marília Formation, the amount of pending calcite increased in the Btkm horizons in relation to the Bkm of profiles 8 and 9.

Table 4. Synthesis of the fabric of the Bkm, Btkm, and Bt horizons of paleosols of the Marília Formation

| Profile and horizon | Coarse material Composition | Selection | Fine material Composition | GR | Pedofeature | Pedality |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----|-------------|---------|
| P1Bkm               | Qt (md), NF, Cal, Fel       | MP        | CC (d), MAOF              | Ct | PA-Q-F      | AA      |
| P1Bkm2              | Qt (md), PNF, Cal, Fel      | MP        | CC (d), MAOF              | Ct | PA-Q        | AA      |
| P4Bt1               | Qt (md), PNF, FM            | MB        | CC, FMAOF                 | Gt, Pt, Me | PF-Q-E     | ArA     |
| P5Bt                | Qt (md), PNF, Fel           | MB        | CC, FMAOF                 | Gt, Pt | PF-Q       | AA      |
| P5Bt2               | Qt (md), PNF, Fel           | M         | CC, FMAOF                 | Gt, Pt | PF-Q       | AA      |
| P5Btc               | Qt (md), PNF, Fel           | M         | CC, MAOF                 | Gt, Pt | PF-Q       | ArA     |
| P7Btkm1             | Qt (md), PNF, Fel           | M         | CC (d), FMAOF             | Gt | PF-Q       | AA      |
| P7Btkmc             | Qt (md), PNF, Fel, PB       | M         | CC (d), FMAOF             | Gt, Me, Sg | PF-Q       | ArA     |
| P8Bkm1              | Qt (md), PNF, Cal, Fel      | M         | CC (d), MAOF              | Ct | PA         | AA      |
| P8Bkm2              | Qt (md), PNF, Cal, Fel      | M         | CC (d), MAOF              | Ct | PA         | AA      |
| P8Bkm/C             | Qt (md), PNF, Cal, Fel, PB  | M         | CC (d), MAOF              | Pt, Gt, Sg | PF-Q-E   | AA      |
| P9Btkm              | Qt (md), NF, Cal, Fel, PB   | M         | CC (d), FMAOF             | Pt, Gt, Sg | PF-Q-E   | AA      |
| P9Btkm/C            | Qt (md), PNF, Cal, Fel, PB  | M         | CC (d), MAOF              | Pt, Gt, Sg | PF-Q-E   | AA      |

FB: b-fabric; GR: particle size class; Qt (md): quartz very dominant; NF: common iron nodules; PNF: few iron nodules; Cal: calcite; Fel: feldspar fragments; FM: muscovite fragments; PB: very few biotite fragments; M: moderate; MB: moderate to good; MP: moderate to poor; CC (d): calcite cement dominant; CC: calcite cement; MAOF: clay minerals with iron oxides; FMAOF: common clay minerals with iron oxides; AA: sandy clay; ArA: loamy sand; PA: open porphyric; PF: closed porphyric; Q: chitonic; G: gefuric; E: enaulic; Ct: crystallic; Pt: porostriated; Gt: granostriated; Sg: stipple-speckled; Me: monostriated; HtgCe: typical hypocoating grain by sparitic calcite; PDCR: dense infilling of root channel; PD: dense infilling; NTS: nodules typical and septaric; RA: clay coatings; PMBDPA: subangular blocky peds, moderately developed and partially accommodated; PMBFDA: subangular blocky peds, moderately to weakly developed and partially accommodated; PPPFPA: pedal, prismatic, partially to strongly developed and partially accommodated; PLCMP: pedal, complex (prismatic tending blocks), moderately developed and partially accommodated; PCFP: pedal, complex (prismatic tending blocks), poorly developed and partially accommodated; PLMF: pedestal, laminar, moderate to weakly developed and partially accommodated; PLC: pedestal, laminar, poorly developed and partially accommodated; PPMP: pedal, prismatic, moderately developed and partially accommodated.

blades (Manafi and Poch, 2012). In paleosols of the Marília Formation, the multilayer laminate of calcite pendant (Pt), indicated by yellow arrows (Figure 10c), point to improvement in moisture conditions. From this tone of brown, it may be inferred that climate conditions were more suitable for biological activity and development of vegetation, with production of organic matter, or the interpretation that the profile was under hydromorphic conditions.

In the paleosols of the Marília Formation, the amount of pending calcite increased in the Btkm horizons in relation to the Bkm of profiles 8 and 9.

Infillings and coatings were common features in calcretes (Figures 7e and 8). Coatings, hypocoatings, quasicoatings, and infillings are practically the result of pedological formation, the production of weathering (Stoops, 2008). There is partial alteration and replacement of quartz by micorsparitic calcite coating (calscans), indicated by the yellow arrow (Figure 7e). Coating of quartz by carbonate is a typical feature of soil
profiles (Bedleean, 2004). According to Stoops (2008), the juxtaposition of (hypo) coatings allows chronology to be established, while analysis of the combination of fabric to determine the constituents enables micromorphology to identify the origin of the parent material or distinguish if the clay accumulations were formed by weathering or illuviation. The relative chronology of the paleosols of the Marília Formation (Figure 8e) suggested that there was initially carbonate coating, and then the clay was coated with iron oxide, a superimposing process (second stage). The chronological interpretation of

**Figure 4.** Aspects of groundmass (gm) of paleosols with Bkm, Bt, and Btkm horizons. (a) Groundmass Bkm1 horizon (P1). Coarse material consisting predominantly of quartz (Q) in blocky format, medium sand (200-500 µm), and moderate to poor selection. Fine material mainly composed of micritic calcite (mC). Porphyrhic related distribution (p); (b) Idem with crossed nicols (represented by the abbreviation NC, LP, or XPL); (c) Groundmass (gm) Bt1 horizon (P5) showing close porphyric- (p) and chitonic- (q) related distributions, highlighted in crossed nicols. The coarse material consists predominantly of quartz (Q) in blocky format. The fine material consists of cement CaCO$_3$ and clay minerals with iron oxides; (d) Idem with crossed nicols (NC, LP, or XPL). The red arrows identify granostriated and speckled b-fabric and yellow arrows identify clay coating; (e) Groundmass Btkm Horizon (P9) showing close porphyric-related distribution (p). In the groundmass, the coarse material consists predominantly of quartz (Q) in blocky format, while the fine material consists mainly of micritic calcite (mC) and clay minerals with iron oxides. Yellow arrows identify primary minerals in a physical-chemical weathering process. Iron nodule is indicated by the blue arrow; and clay coating is indicated through the green arrow; and (f) Idem with crossed nicols (XPL). Figures obtained by an optical microscope with a 2.5X objective and 100X eyepiece (250X magnification).
infilling also revealed that palygorskite (P) precipitated in the voids of paleosols, in the case of a secondary mineral (Figure 8a).

Carbonate coatings can be formed by evaporation or mechanical translocation or have their origins related to biological activity, consisting of microbial tubules or needle-like
calcite fibers (Stoops and Schaefer, 2010). These types of carbonate coatings were found in the paleosols of the Marília Formation (Figure 7). The red arrow indicated a product of carbonate reprecipitation around the quartz grain, which was a calcite coating (calcan) in paleosols of the Bkm horizon of the Marília Formation (Figure 7a).

Cytomorphic calcite was identified in profiles 8 and 9. This form of calcite develops under high biological activity and the presence of moisture (Manafi and Poch, 2012). The pedofeatures of carbonate depletion and accumulations of cytomorphic calcite are the result of biological activity in a wet climate, and are relics of a humid climate in the past (Manafi and Poch, 2012). Cytomorphic calcite composed of a sparitic carbonate decalcification zone found in the Btkm horizons of profile 9 (Figure 10e) can indicate transition from a drier climate to a more humid one, improvement in regional or local hydrological conditions, or that the profile was under hydromorphic conditions.

Figure 6. Recrystallization process and bioturbation features in paleosol horizons. (a) CaCO₃ recrystallization process in the C2 horizon (P1), resulting in a crystalline pedofeature (carbonate nodule with 1,768 µm) (yellow arrow); (b) Idem with XPL; (c) Bioturbation in the Bt1 horizon (P5), comprising a loose continuous infilling pedofeature with approximately 2,662 µm, filled with quartz grains (krotovine); (d) Idem with XPL; (e) Crystalline pedofeature, represented by the root mark (rhizolith CaCO₃) in the Bk/Ck horizon (P8); and (f) Idem with XPL. Images A and B were obtained by an optical microscope with a 2.5X objective and 100X eyepiece. Images (c), (d), (e), and (f) were obtained by a binocular loupe 2X (20X magnification).
However, calcification-decalcification pedofeatures associated with impregnating the root tissue is often observed in semi-arid calcareous soils (Durand et al., 2010). This feature, according to the authors, corresponds to infillings of channels by cytomorphic sparitic calcite, surrounded by a zone of non-calcareous material.

Another pedofeature found in Bt horizons was pisolite (Figure 10a). Pisolites are common in highly developed petrocalcic horizons or calcretes (Phases V and VI of Machette (1985) when the calcic horizon is hardened and subject to pedogenesis and alteration by physical and chemical weathering (Durand et al., 2010). Concentric bands of clay in pisolites may result from clay neoformation with Si and Al, and these concentric layers may indicate control by microorganisms associated with roots (Durand et al., 2010).

In paleosols of the Marília Formation, the carbonate character was confirmed by the predominance of porphyric-related distribution and crystallitic b-fabric in the
groundmass (Figure 4a). Crystallitic b-fabric can develop when fine calcite crystals gradually precipitate in the micromass of clay and when the pore space between the grains is progressively filled by pedogenic carbonate crystals with a particle size between clay and silt (Stoops and Schaefer, 2010). Identification and interpretation of porphyric-chitotic related distribution, speckled-porostriated b-fabric, and clay coatings have shown the features of argillic horizons in the paleosols with a Bt horizon defined in the field (Figures 4c, 4e, 8c, and 8e).

Gefuric-related distributions identified in paleosols indicated aggradation (training) for illuviation and enaulics, an increased pedogenesis process, and stability of the environment. Chitonic-related distributions are results of a poor illuviation process in

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** (a) Chronology and hierarchical aspects of the Bkm horizon (P1). The hierarchy shows quartz grains (Q), microsparitic calcite (C), and palygorskite (P) at the first level, which is in the groundmass (second level). The yellow arrow indicates an altered biotite; (b) Idem with XPL; (c) Pedofeature coating in the Bt2 horizon (P5). The yellow arrow indicates a clay coating with iron oxides around the quartz grains (Q), typical autochthonous pedofeature. You can see the orientation of the illuvial process of the clay product; (d) Idem with XPL; (e) Aspect of the groundmass (hierarchy and chronology) and pedofeatures of the Btkm horizon (P9); and (f) Idem with XPL. Orange arrows show clay coatings with iron oxides (autochthonous pedofeatures), and yellow arrows show a weathered biotite. Around the biotite can be seen pedoplasmation processes with granostriated b-fabric. Figures obtained by an optical microscope with a 10X objective and 100X eyepiece.
Micromorphology of Paleosols of the Marília Formation and...

Paleosols. Porphyric-related distribution indicated initial change and strong cementation of grains per illuviation.

Crystallitic b-fabrics in Bk horizons (Figure 4a) were formed by crystallization of relatively soluble parts of the fine material, while the speckled b-fabrics (Figures 4c, 4e, and 8e) indicated in situ weathering of primary minerals. Porostriated and granostriated b-fabrics (Figures 4c, 4e, and 8e) indicated pressure in the voids and grains.

The evolution of pedogenic processes, in light of micromorphological analysis, evidenced three moments or stages to the genesis of paleosols. In the drier first stage, there is intense cementation of horizons with micritic and microsparitic calcite (generating porphyric c/f-related distribution) and palygorskite precipitation in the voids or filling bioturbations (Figures 4a, 6a, 6e, 7e, 8a, and 9). The second phase is characterized by the destruction of porphyric-related distributions for establishing chitonic and enaulic-related distributions (Figures 4e and 8e). Relative chronology revealed a superimposition of the features of iron oxides on carbonate cementation (Figure 8e). In the third local or regional wetter phase, carbonate cementation no longer exists, giving way to advancement of chitonic- and gefuric-related distributions, resulting in paleosols with Bt (Figures 4c, 8c, and 10a).

Figure 9. Scanning electron microscopy of the C1 horizon (P3). (a) Authigenesis of palygorskite (P), coating grains of quartz (Q) and calcite; (b) Detail of palygorskite (P) in the form of aggregates of entangled fibers coating the quartz grain (Q); (c) Formation of palygorskite (P) through the alteration of the smectite (E); palygorskite in the form of aggregate filling the voids of intertwined fibers can be observed, a typical feature of paleosols (Singer, 2002); and (d) Detail of fibrous aggregate with woven wire and with some guidance from palygorskite.
In the Maastrichtian of the Bauru Basin, the interpretation of micromorphology showed that paleosols with a Bkm horizon are older and more arid environments. After that, the paleosols are coated by clay minerals with iron oxides, culminating in the destruction of porphyric-related distributions (carbonate cementation) and the establishment of chitonic-related distributions in wetter local or regional climatic conditions, generating paleosols with a Bt horizon. However, these climate conditions, although more hot and humid, were not enough to generate enaulic-related distributions, typical of current Oxisols.

The genesis of paleosols with Bt horizons predates the establishment of higher humidity and temperature conditions that were established in the Tertiary Period.
CONCLUSIONS

Analysis and interpretation of the macro- and micromorphology suggested different conditions of weathering and leaching in the evolution of the Marília Formation, resulting in paleosols with Bkm, Btkm, and Bt horizons.

The paleosols with Bkm were formed first, under drier conditions. The second stage was characterized by destruction of porphyric-related distributions and formation of chitonic- and gefuric-related distributions.

The third stage was probably wetter and there was advancement of chitonic- and gefuric-related distributions, and formation of paleosols with Bt.

These results suggest climatic cyclicity or changes in the hydrology of the basin during the Maastrichtian.
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