Assessment of the Quality of Soil and Groundwater of the Agricultural Area of Sidi Yahya Region, Morocco
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Abstract. The Gharb plain is the largest agricultural area in Morocco. It is characterized by fertile soils and the availability of water either from surface or groundwater which allowed intensive agriculture. The aim of this research work is to study the impact of this land management on the quality of water and soil. The study was done for the irrigated perimeter of Sidi Yahya belonging to the province of Sidi Slimane, Gharb (Morocco). Depth of water table and water pH were measured in situ while water and soil samples were collected and brought to the laboratory for their physico-chemical analyses. Sampling of 33 water wells and soil samples, from six different zones, was done between May and October 2018. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to check if mean water and soil parameters differed between zones. The results showed that the minimal and maximal water table depth were 6 and 96 m, respectively. Also, slightly more than half of the wells (51.5%) were inadequate for irrigation since they were either highly or extremely saline. Furthermore, soils were poor to moderately poor in organic matter but very rich in potassium and the phosphorus content was highly variable. Based on the statistical analysis, it is clear that the six zones had significantly different means for CaCO3, pH, OM, and exchangeable sodium percentage. Soil degradation like pollution and salinization may occur in this region if poor quality water is used for irrigation and if land is mismanaged. This research work can be considered as a first step towards a program for monitoring the quality of soil and water for adapting the land management with the final objective of securing high productivity and sustainable use of soil and water resources.

1 Introduction

Soil and water are two vital and essential resources for life on the earth. In Morocco, soils and waters are more and more degraded and this degradation is going stronger and speedy [1]. Water quality is becoming polluted leading to the decrease of the potential of the water resources and impacting health risk for rural population [2-3]. Many research studies regarding soil and water quality were done in different regions of Morocco, mainly in irrigated districts [2,4-6]. Also, many other studies have been done all around the world and showed that degradation of soil and groundwater quality is an international environmental issue that can be a major threat to agriculture since it limits crop production and compromises the sustainability of the different agroecosystems [7]. Most of the degradation factors of soil and water quality are anthropogenic, mainly related to inappropriate crop practices like mismanagement of irrigation water and agro-chemical inputs. For example, salinization and sodification of agricultural lands, due to the use of irrigation with marginal and poor-quality water (mainly groundwater), are increasing rapidly [8]. Therefore, around 30% of world irrigated surface is saline. The Mediterranean region registers approximately 16 million hectares [2]. The Sidi Yahya region in the Gharb plain (Morocco) is well known for its intensive agriculture since it has fertile soils and available water. However, the quality of these soils and waters should be assessed after a long-term use of these resources. Therefore, the main aim of this research work is to evaluate some physical and chemical parameters of soil and water and measure how they were affected by the intensive agricultural activities in the region.
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2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

The city of Sidi Yahya is a municipality within the province of Sidi Slimane belonging to the region of Rabat-Sale-Kenitra. It is located about 26 km North East of the city of Kenitra. The study area is located at the South East of the Gharb plain, on the transition zone between the Mââmora and the Gharb water tables. The Gharb plain has a very low altitude but large basin that covers an area of 616000 ha[9]. The geographic coordinates of the study area are 34°18′33″ N for latitude and 6°18′41″ W for longitude. The climate of the region is largely influenced by the Atlantic oceanic, within a sub-humid bioclimatic zone with a moderate temperature and high air humidity in winter and high temperature in the summer.

2.2 Sampling and laboratory analyses

Thirty three soil and water samples were collected, in May and October 2018, from six zones for the whole Sidi Yahya region, Gharb plain (Figure1). The sampling was based on a well developed mesh size using a topographic map of the study area. The samples were conditioned and transported in a cooler at 4°C for laboratory analysis in the Research Unit on the Environment and Conservation of Natural Resources, National Institute of Agricultural Research in Rabat.

Fig. 1. Study area with the 6 zones and soil and water samples.

2.2.1 Soil analyses

Soil samples were taken at the 0-20 cm depth using an auger. They were air-dried, crushed, sieved, and registered for laboratory analysis. Several physical and chemical quality parameters were measured like pH, electrical conductivity, organic matter, available phosphorus, exchangeable potassium, and soil texture. They were analyzed following international standards:

- **pH (Hydrogen potential)** was determined using the potentiometric method using a Mettler Toledo Seven Easy-728 Metrohm pH-meter[10].
- **Electrical conductivity (EC)**, in dS/m, was measured on a 1:5 soil: water paste using an ORION conductivity meter, model 162 [11].
- **Organic matter (OM)**, in %, was evaluated using the Walkely and Black method which is based on the oxidation of organic carbon by potassium dichromate (K2Cr2O7 to 1N) [12].
- **Available phosphorus (P2O5)**, in ppm, was determined using the Olsen method where the extraction is made by sodium hydrogencarbonate at pH 8.5 [13]. This method is based on the formation and reduction of a complex of the orthophosphoric acid and molybdic acid (sky blue). The phosphorus content is read using a JENWAY 6405 Visible-model UV spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 825 nm.
- **Exchangeable potassium and sodium**, in ppm, were measured by the extraction using the ammonium acetate CH3CONH2 1N at pH 7 [10]. The K2O and Na+ levels were determined using a flame photometer model CL 378.
• Soil texture was determined by measuring soil particle sizes using sedimentation. The purpose of the manipulation is to determine the texture of the soil by classifying the mineral constituents according to the diameter of their elementary particles: clay (<2μm), fine silt (2-20μm), coarse silt (20-50μm), fine sand (50-200μm), and coarse sand (> 200μm) by sedimentation according to the Stokes law [14].

2.2.2. Water analyses

Water samples were taken from wells that were located, as much as possible, near to soil samples. Some water quality parameters like groundwater depth and pH were measured in situ. The water samples were placed in sealed plastic bottles with the site code (Wx), with W referring to the well and x the site number and stored in a cooler (2 to 4 °C). Maximum 72 hours, then sent to the laboratory for physico-chemical analyzes.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Table 1. Means ± standard deviations and ANOVA results for soil physical and chemical parameters for the 6 zones.

| Parameters | Zones | Signification |
|------------|-------|---------------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | F-value | p-value |
| CaCO₃ (%) | 2.8±3.9 | 7.3±6.1 | 1.1±1.4 | 1.3±1.6 | 12.2±4.9 | 10.2±5.5 | 7.95 | 0 |
| pH | 7.8±0.3 | 8.3±0.3 | 8.0±0.4 | 6.9±0.8 | 8.3±0.1 | 8.1±0.2 | 7.75 | 0 |
| EC (dS/m) | 1.1±1.513 | 1.178±2.7 | 0.9±0.7 | 1.2±1.3 | 1.2±0.9 | 0.7±0.2 | 0.32 | 0.90 |
| OMI(%) | 1.8±1.0 | 3.1±1.0 | 3.0±1.0 | 2.3±0.8 | 2.8±0.7 | 2.4±0.8 | 3.59 | 0.01 |
| P₂O₅ (ppm) | 59.3±30.7 | 19.6±16.9 | 70.3±60.0 | 29.9±29.6 | 28.1±51.1 | 32.8±22.0 | 1.34 | 0.28 |
| K₂O (ppm) | 211.3±172.4 | 360.8±17.8 | 345.0±139.3 | 330.7±390.8 | 351.7±133.0 | 576.2±305.3 | 1.61 | 0.19 |
| EPS (%) | 24.9±21.7 | 58.3±11.3 | 46.0±23.4 | 42.2±13.0 | 74.2±12.9 | 70.0±16.9 | 6.50 | 0 |

3.1. Total limestone

Limestone can have harmful or beneficial effects on plants, depending on the natural environment. Some plants grow in lime-free environments, while others thrive naturally in lime-rich environments. The higher the total CaCO₃ level in the soil, the greater the risk of immobilization of trace element and phosphorus.

One factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the mean values of soil and water parameters for the six zones to check whether they differed significantly or not [15]. Whenever ANOVA showed statistically significant differences between zones, the Duncan posthoc test was used to identify homogeneous groups of zones [16].

3.2. Soil quality

Means, their corresponding standard deviations, and ANOVA results for soil quality parameters are given in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the six zones regarding EC, P₂O₅, and K₂O; these parameters will no longer be discussed whereas parameters for which significant differences were found will be presented in detail below.

3.1. Total limestone

The ANOVA result showed that there was very highly significant difference between the six zones regarding their mean limestone contents (F-value = 7.95 and p-value = 0). In fact, and based on the Duncan test, soils in zones B, F, and E are moderately calcareous with 7.3, 10.2, and 12.29%, respectively whereas those in zones C, D, and A are less calcareous (1.1, 1.3, and 2.8%, respectively) (Table 1 and Figure 2).
3.1.2. Potential hydrogen

Soil pH is a key variable in soils since it controls several chemical and biochemical processes that occur in soil. It is a measure of the acidity or alkalinity of a soil. It is very important to assess soil pH because it regulates the availability of plant nutrients by controlling the chemical forms of the various nutrients and also influences their chemical reactions. Therefore, the productivity of the soil and the crops is intimately linked to the pH value of the soil [17-18].

Following the ANOVA results (Table 1 and Figure 3), mean pH values differed significantly between the six zones (F-value = 7.75 and p-value = 0). The Duncan test indicated the presence of two distinct groups of zones: zone D alone with a mean pH value of 6.9 whereas all the remaining zones are together forming a homogeneous group with mean pH values ranging from 7.8 (zone A) to 8.3 (zone B).

3.1.3. Organic matter

Soil organic matter can be considered as one of the most important indicators of soil quality [19] as it contribute to soil stability, increase in soil water-holding capacity, fixing of mineral elements, and constitutes a substrate for
soil microorganisms. Soil organic matter content is influenced by many factors like climate, vegetation, soil texture, topography, irrigation and drainage, cropping practices, etc. [20].

From the ANOVA results (Table 1), it is clear that the six zones have significantly different levels of OM (F-value = 3.59 and p-value = 0.01). Zone F has, by far, the richest soils regarding OM (Figure 4) with a mean value of 4.0±0.8%, followed by zones B, C, and E with 3.1±1.0, 3.0±1.1, and 2.8±0.7%, respectively.

The Duncan test showed that three groups of zones can be identified based on the mean OM content:
- The first group contains zones A, D, and E with the lowest contents (1.8 to 2.8%).
- The second group includes only zone F with the highest content (4.0%).
- The third group includes zones B and C with intermediate contents (3.0 and 3.1%).

![Fig. 4. OM mean values and their standard deviations for the 6 zones.](image)

### 3.1.4. Alkalinity

Soil alkalinity is the result of the accumulation of soluble salts in the soil, especially Na [21]. Soil alkalinity is measured using exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP). According to Badraoui and Merzouk (1994) [22], when ESP exceeds 10%, the soil becomes sodic, and the excess of exchangeable sodium leads to the deterioration of the soil structure by a dispersing effect on the colloids of the soil leading to a decrease in aeration and infiltration rate. Sodicity can originate either from intake of sodium from irrigation water (high sodium adsorption ratio, SAR) or from capillary upwelling of groundwater loaded with Na.

The six zones were significantly different regarding ESP (F-value = 6.50 and p-value = 0) (Table 1). In fact, 12.1% of soil samples are not alkaline and belong to zone A, only 6% can be considered slightly or moderately alkaline and are coming from zones B, C, and D whereas 63.7% of soil samples are highly alkaline and are located in zones E and F (Figure 5).
3.2. Water salinity

Water samples were classified according to the USSLS standards for the classification of irrigation water (Table 2). The results show that 51.5% of the wells belong to classes C3, C4, and C5 with waters considered to be highly to extremely saline and therefore, these waters are unsuitable for irrigation since they have a higher potential for soil salinization which could lead to important yield reduction, in particular for sensitive crops.

| Salinity class    | Symbol | EC (dS/m) | Number of wells | % wells |
|-------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| Non saline        | C1     | <0.25     | 9               | 27.3    |
| Averagely saline  | C2     | 0.25-0.75 | 7               | 21.2    |
| Highly saline     | C3     | 0.75-2.25 | 11              | 33.4    |
| Very highly saline| C4     | 2.25-5    | 4               | 12.1    |
| Extremely saline  | C5     | >5        | 2               | 6.0     |

4 Conclusions

The physical and chemical quality of water and soil of the region of Sidi Yahya (Gharb, Morocco) was evaluated in order to study the impact of intensive agriculture on this quality. The main results of this research work can be summarized as follows. First of all, there was a very low risk of soil salinity in the whole area, whatever the zone. In addition, most of the soils have a basic pH. Moreover, the soils are rich in potassium; therefore, fertilizing should be rationally managed in order to avoid any threat to groundwater and surface water (pollution, eutrophication of water bodies, etc.). In contrast, the soils had low phosphorus levels and 60.6% of them are poor to moderately poor in organic matter, which reflects poor reasoning behind the fertilization of the crops grown.

Regarding groundwater in the downstream zones of the region, more than 51.5% of the water has a higher potential for soil salinization and can lead to an important loss of production, in particular for sensitive crops. The main reasons for this high water salinity are the influence of the waters of the Sebou River, the depth of the water and the geological nature of the aquifer.

In conclusion, it is highly recommended to adapt the current crop management and to adopt best management practices with the final aim of maintaining a sustainable productivity of the Sidi Yahya Gharb lands and preserving water and soil resources from degradation. These best management practices can be related to better management of irrigation through the use of water saving techniques, better reasoning of fertilizing (manure and organic fertilizers), etc.
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