Protein farnesylation negatively regulates brassinosteroid signaling via reducing BES1 stability in Arabidopsis thaliana
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ABSTRACT
Brassinosteroids (BRs) are a group of steroidal phytohormones, playing critical roles in almost all physiological aspects during the life span of a plant. In Arabidopsis, BRs are perceived at the cell surface, triggering a reversible phosphorylation-based signaling cascade that leads to the activation and nuclear accumulation of a family of transcription factors, represented by BES1 and BZR1. Protein farnesylation is a type of post-translational modification, functioning in many important cellular processes. Previous studies demonstrated a role of farnesylation in BR biosynthesis via regulating the endoplasmic reticulum localization of a key brassinolide (BL) biosynthetic enzyme BR6ox2. Whether such a process is also involved in BR signaling is not understood. Here, we demonstrate that protein farnesylation is involved in mediating BR signaling in Arabidopsis. A loss-of-function mutant of ENHANCED RESPONSE TO ABA 1 (ERA1), encoding a β subunit of the protein farnesyl transferase holoenzyme, can alter the BL sensitivity of bak1-4 from a reduced to a hypersensitive level. era1 can partially rescue the BR defective phenotype of a heterozygous mutant of bin2-1,again-of-function mutant of BIN2 which encodes a negative regulator in the BR signaling. Our genetic and biochemical analyses revealed that ERA1 plays a significant role in regulating the protein stability of BES1.
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INTRODUCTION
Brassinosteroids (BRs) are an essential group of phytohormones that regulate multiple processes during vegetative and reproductive growth (Mitchell et al., 1970; Grove et al., 1979; Clouse and Sasse, 1998). Within the last few decades, significant efforts have been made to understand BR biosynthetic and signal transduction pathways in many plant species, especially in model plants Arabidopsis and rice. Up to date, BR signal transduction pathway is one of the best-characterized hormonal signaling pathways in plants. A series of important regulatory components in BR signaling pathway have been elucidated, from BR perception at the cell surface to gene transcription regulation in the nucleus.

The BR signal transduction pathway starts from the perception of BR by a plasma membrane localized receptor complex containing a major receptor BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 1 (BRI1) or its two paralogs, BRI1-LIKE1 (BRL1) or BRL3, and a major coreceptor BRI1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (BAK1) or its redundant SERK family
Farnesylation and BR signaling members (Li and Chory, 1997; Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002; Caño-Delgado et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004; Gou et al., 2012). BR binding to its receptor and coreceptor triggers their conformational changes which facilitate their reciprocal phosphorylation (Li, 2010; He et al., 2013). The activated BRI1 can phosphorylate a negative regulator, BRI1 KINASE INHIBITOR 1 (BKI1), resulting in its dissociation from the BRI1 kinase domain (Wang and Chory, 2006). A series of phosphorylation-dephosphorylation processes can then be initiated. The kinase activity of a downstream negative regulator, BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 2 (BIN2), is inhibited by the BR signaling (Li et al., 2001; Li and Nam, 2002), allowing non-phosphorylated forms of a six-member group of downstream transcription factors, represented by BRASSINAZOLE RESISTANT 1 (BZR1) and BRI1 EMS SUPPRESSOR 1 (BES1, also known as BZR2), to be accumulated in the nucleus (Wang et al., 2002; Yin et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2002). Phosphorylated BZR1 and BES1 can also be activated via a dephosphorylation process by a group of PP2A protein phosphatases, which can positively regulate the BR signaling pathway (Tang et al., 2011). Non-phosphorylated BZR1 and BES1 are able to mediate the expression of thousands of downstream responsive genes (Sun et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011).

BES1 and BZR1 are the core transcription factors in the BR signaling pathway. Analyses of BES1 and BZR1 target genes led the conclusion that BR signaling can be linked to many biological processes such as protein metabolism, protein trafficking, cell wall biosynthesis, cell signaling, cytokinetic and chromatin assembling, and so on (Sun et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011). One of the most important functions of BRs is the promotion of cell elongation (Mitchell et al., 1970), of which the upregulation of cell elongation-related genes by BES1 and BZR1 is an important mechanism (Kim and Wang, 2010). The transcriptional activities of BES1 and BZR1 are mainly regulated by BIN2 and PP2A-type of phosphatases through altering their phosphorylation status. Meanwhile, the stability of BES1 and BZR1 is another key regulatory node in monitoring BR signaling output. Recent studies suggested that the degradation of BES1 through an autophagy- or a proteasome-dependent pathway is mediated by a ubiquitin-binding receptor protein, DOMINANT SUPPRESSOR OF KAR 2 (DSK2), or E3 ubiquitin ligases including MORE AXILLARY GROWTH LOCUS 2 (MAX2) and SINA of Arabidopsis thaliana (SINATs), respectively (Wang et al., 2013; Nolan et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017). However, additional mechanisms involved in the regulation of BES1 stability are not well understood.

As a post-translational modification process involved in the addition of a 15-carbon farnesyl isoprenoid to a cysteine residue of the carboxyl terminus of a protein, protein farnesylation has been widely studied in animals. However, studies of farnesylation in regulating plant growth and development are very limited (Running, 2014). It was reported that CYP85A2 (also known as BR6ox2), a cytochrome P450 enzyme that catalyzes the last step in the brassinolide (BL) biosynthesis pathway by converting castasterone (CS) to BL, is farnesylated in Arabidopsis (Kim et al., 2005; Northey et al., 2016; Jamshed et al., 2017). The farnesylation of BR6ox2 is required for its endoplasmic reticulum (ER) localization and function. But some BR signaling-related phenotypes seen in a loss-of-function mutant of ENHANCED RESPONSE TO ABA 1 (ERA1), a gene encoding for β subunit of the farnesylation holoenzyme, are not all caused by the loss-of-function of BR6ox2, suggesting farnesylation should have additional roles in regulating the BR signal transduction.

Here we report our discovery that ERA1 is important in modulating BR signaling output in Arabidopsis seedlings. Loss-of-function mutants of ERA1, era1-10 and era1-11, are hypersensitive to the exogenously applied BL. Additional analyses indicated that ERA1 acts as a downstream component of BIN2 in the BR signaling pathway. Moreover, we discovered that the increased BR signaling output in era1 seedlings is due to the increased accumulation of BES1. We found that farnesylation not only mediates the expression pattern of BES1 but also promotes the degradation of BES1. These results indicated that protein farnesylation plays a critical role in modulating BR signal transduction. Our study provides new insights into our better understanding of protein farnesylation in regulating plant growth and development.

RESULTS

ERA1 is an important component in regulating BR signal transduction

As a coreceptor, BAK1 is essential in the perception of BRs and the activation of BRI1 (Gou et al., 2012). A loss-of-function mutant of BAK1, bak1-4 shows reduced elongation and decreased sensitivity to exogenously applied BL (Li et al., 2002). Such a weak bri1-like phenotype suggests that bak1-4 could be a sensitized mutant which can be used to screen for additional BR-related regulatory components by genetic approaches. We generated a large population of T-DNA insertion lines in bak1-4 and screened for mutants displaying either altered responses to BL or increased defective phenotypes compared to that of the bak1-4 single mutant. One of these mutants was identified which was initially named 95-5 bak1-4 according to the pool number from which it was originally isolated. Root growth inhibition analysis indicated that 95-5 bak1-4 recovered the lower sensitivity of bak1-4 to BL compared to that of wild type (WT) (Figure 1). 95-5 bak1-4 was subsequently backcrossed with WT and the bak1-4 mutation was segregated out. The resulting 95-5 single mutant displayed a number of defective phenotypes including delayed development, heart-shaped and dark green leaves, shortened petioles, delayed flowering time, disordered inflorescence, shortened siliques, and increased number of petals (Figure S1). In addition, 95-5 also showed a hypersensitivity to BL based on the root growth inhibition analysis. Due to DNA rearrangements, we failed to determine the T-DNA insertion site by a thermal asymmetric interlaced-polymerase chain reaction (TAIL-PCR) analysis (Liu et al., 1995). Using map-based cloning, we found that the T-DNA responsible for the observed phenotypes was inserted in
s lightly inhibit that of era1-11, suggesting an increased resistance of era1-11 to BRZ (Figure S3). In addition, the expression levels of DET2, CDP and DWF4, three key BR biosynthesis genes, were all down-regulated in era1-11 seedlings with or without the BL treatment (Figure 3D). These results suggested that the BR signaling is enhanced in era1-11 and ERA1 likely plays a negative role in regulating the BR signal transduction.

ERA1 acts downstream of BIN2 in the BR signaling pathway

To further investigate the function of ERA1 in the BR signaling pathway, we compared the era1 seedlings with WT and a BR receptor mutant bri1-301 for their root growth responses to bikinin and LiCl, two chemical inhibitors of GSK3 kinases (Klein and Melton, 1996; Stambolic et al., 1996; Vert et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2008; De Rybel et al., 2009). Interestingly, unlike WT and bri1-301 which showed similar root growth inhibition phenotypes, era1-11 showed a significantly increased sensitivity to 6 μmol/L bikinin and 10 mmol/L LiCl, respectively (Figures 4A, B, S4). Since BIN2, one of the GSK3 kinases, is a target of bikinin and LiCl, we conclude that ERA1 should affect a step downstream of BIN2 in the BR signaling pathway.

To examine the genetic interrelationship between ERA1 and BIN2, we crossed era1-11 with bin2-1, a gain-of-function allele of BIN2, exhibiting characteristic BR defective phenotypes. Because a bin2-1 homozygous line is male sterile and displays a severely dwarfed stature, we used a heterozygous version of bin2-1, bin2-1 (+/–), for further analysis. We obtained an era1-11 bin2-1 (+/–) double mutant line and compared its phenotypes with the single mutants of era1-11 and bin2-1 (+/–), respectively. Surprisingly, root growth inhibition assay showed that, different from bin2-1 (+/–) which is almost completely insensitive to exogenous BL, era1-11 bin2-1 (+/–) showed partially restored BR sensitivity. In other words, loss-of-function of ERA1 in bin2-1 (+/–) can partially relieve its resistance to BL (Figure 4C, D). In addition, the compacted rosettes observed in bin2-1 (+/–) can be significantly suppressed in era1-11 bin2-1 (+/–) (Figure 4E, F). These results further confirmed that ERA1 regulates a step downstream of BIN2.

ERA1 is involved in BES1 degradation

As key transcription factors in the BR signaling pathway, BES1 and its homologs act downstream of BIN2. It was reported that BIN2 interacts with and phosphorylates BES1 to prevent its nuclear accumulation and promote its degradation, consequently inhibiting BES1 target gene expression. We therefore performed immunoblot analyses to test whether the protein level of BES1 was altered in era1-11. In comparison with WT, both non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated BES1 were significantly accumulated in the era1-11 seedlings (Figure 5A, B). Consistently, 2-h treatment with 10 nmol/L BL resulted in a higher level of accumulation of non-phosphorylated BES1 in era1-11 compared to that in
WT, suggesting an elevated BR signal output in era1-11. To further verify the accumulation of BES1 in the era1-11 seedlings, we generated an era1-11 pBES1::BES1-YFP homozygous transgenic line by crossing a single T-DNA inserted homozygous transgenic pBES1::BES1-YFP line with era1-11. Confocal microscopic analysis showed that BES1-YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) signals were significantly accumulated in the nuclei of the era1-11 root cells compared to those in WT (Figure 5C, D). These results indeed demonstrated that era1 mutation leads to the accumulation of BES1 in the nucleus.

To investigate the causes of the BES1 accumulation in the era1 mutant, we compared the BES1 transcription levels and BES1 protein degradation speed in era1-11 and WT. We first compared the mRNA abundance of BES1 in 7-d-old seedlings of WT and era1-11 at different time points by quantitative RT-PCR analyses. The seedlings were grown in a growth chamber with 16 h light and 8 h dark (lights were on from 6:00 to 22:00 hours). The expression level of BES1 is increased but at slightly different rates in WT and era1-11 after the lights were turned on. At the beginning of the lighting, BES1 level in era1-11 is slightly higher than that of WT, but the situation is reversed at the end of the lighting (Figure S5). This observation indicated that ERA1 modulates the expression pattern of BES1 but does not significantly change its expression level in general. Therefore, the protein stability of BES1 became our main focus. We tested the degradation of recombinant maltose binding protein (MBP)-BES1 using a cell-free degradation system, in which MBP-BES1 protein was incubated with protein extracts from WT and era1-11 mutant plants, respectively. The degradation rate of MBP-BES1 is reduced in the extracts of the era1-11 mutant in comparison with that of WT. Supplementation of MG132, an effective proteasome inhibitor, could significantly reduce the BES1 degradation in WT extracts, indicating that the degradation of BES1 is largely proteasome-dependent (Figure 6A, B). To elucidate the mechanism causing the reduced degradation rate of BES1 in era1-11, we analyzed ubiquitination level of BES1 in era1-11 and WT using an anti-ubiquitin antibody. Immunoblotting analysis showed that the
ubiquitination level of BES1 is strongly reduced in era1-11 (Figure 6C). Taken together, our results indicated that protein farnesylation affects the protein level of BES1 mainly via promoting its ubiquitin-dependent degradation in Arabidopsis.

**Modulation of BR signal output by ERA1 is BES1-dependent**

To further explore the relationships between ERA1 and BES1, we generated a homozygous line of the era1-11 bes1-1 double mutant by crossing the era1-11 with bes1-1, a partial bes1 loss-of-function allele in which the BES1-Long transcript is completely eliminated whereas the BES1-Short transcript is still present (Jiang et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2019). Interestingly, no difference was found in root growth between bes1-1 and WT, possibly due to gene redundancy. However, the root growth of the era1-11 bes1-1 double mutant was significantly inhibited compared to that of the era1-11 single mutant (Figure 7A, B), suggesting that the BES1 level in era1-11 plays a role in root growth. More importantly, the BR hypersensitivity in the roots of era1-11 is significantly suppressed by the bes1-1 mutation (Figure 7A, B). Furthermore, bes1-1 in era1-11 can suppress the increased angle between lateral inflorescence branch and main inflorescence axis observed in the era1-11 single mutant (Figure 7C, D). Previous studies indicated that increased BR signaling leads to increased angle between inflorescence branch and main inflorescence axis in Arabidopsis (Gendron et al., 2012). These results indicated that the effect of protein farnesylation on BR signal transduction depends on BES1.

**ERA1 affects BR signaling through a BR6ox2-independent pathway in Arabidopsis seedlings**

It was previously reported that farnesylation of BR6ox2 is essential for its function in BR biosynthesis. It is therefore reasonable to ask whether the BR-hypersensitive phenotype of era1 identified in this study results from BR6ox2 which is not farnesylated in the mutant. Unlike the significant inhibition of root elongation in era1 by 0.1 nmol/L BL, the root elongation of br6ox2 was inhibited dramatically only when the concentration of BL reached to 10 nmol/L (Figure 8A, B). We also checked the expression levels of several BR biosynthetic genes, including CPD, DET2, and DWF4. In contrast to the transcriptional down-regulation of CPD, DET2 and DWF4 in era1, a significant up-regulation of these three genes was detected in br6ox2
Furthermore, immunoblotting analysis revealed that non-phosphorylated BES1 was significantly less in br6ox2 seedlings than in WT (Figure 8C, D). In contrast, both the non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated BES1 were clearly accumulated in era1-11 seedlings (Figure 8C, D). Taken together, these results indicated that the changes in BR signaling output caused by the loss-of-function of BR6ox2 are different from those caused by the loss-of-function of ERA1, suggesting that BR hypersensitivity of era1-11 is independent of BR6ox2 in Arabidopsis seedlings.
DISCUSSION

Over the past billion years, organisms have developed a range of protein post-translational modifications which have dramatically expanded the functions of proteins. Such evolution has made organisms better adapted to the changing environment, which was also critical to the evolution of higher levels of life, even our human beings (Ambrogelly et al., 2007). One example of such post-translational modifications is protein farnesylation, a type of protein prenylation. This modification makes a significant contribution to the functions of a certain group of proteins that are involved in many biological regulations in eukaryotic cells (Zhang and Casey, 1996; Gelb et al., 2006). Accumulated evidence suggests that disruption of farnesylation is highly associated with various human diseases, especially cancers. More and more researchers therefore are making great efforts to elucidate the biochemical mechanisms of farnesylation in regulating protein functions (Berndt et al., 2011). Compared to medical studies, less attention has been paid to protein farnesylation in plants until recently. Significant progress has been made to elucidate how farnesylation is involved in regulating the functions of a number of important proteins in model plant Arabidopsis. The biggest advantage of studying the importance of farnesylation in Arabidopsis is that we can take advantage of a loss-of-function of ERA1 mutant, which is totally viable. Many biological roles of farnesylation were revealed via the genetic and biochemical analyses of era1. However, the biggest challenge of studying farnesylation is still the identification of target proteins of such modification.

Given the hydrophobicity of the lipids involved in protein farnesylation, it is not surprising that this post-translational modification provides proteins with a hydrophobic C terminus, the consequence of which is to greatly increase the capacity of proteins to interact with cellular membranes. A well-known example is human RAS proteins, including H-RAS, N-RAS and K-RAS, the farnesylation of which is essential to their membrane association and functions (Casey et al., 1989; Hancock et al., 1989; Schafer et al., 1989). The farnesylation of RAS proteins is correlated with many kinds of human cancers. Such modification can therefore be used as a potential anticancer target (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2003). In addition, farnesylation also can affect other characterizations of proteins, including the affinity of protein-protein interactions and protein stability (Wang and Casey, 2003).
For example, the stability of human RHO guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases) and a transcriptional activator YAP/TAZ, a yeast SNARE Protein Ykt6, and an Arabidopsis ROP2 GTPase is regulated by protein prenylation either directly or indirectly (Pylypenko et al., 2008; Stubbs and Von Zee, 2012; Chai et al., 2016, 2020).

In this report, we provide strong evidence to show the stability of BES1 is regulated by protein farnesylation. We identified a mutant which can alter the BL sensitivity of bak1-4, from reduced sensitivity to hypersensitivity relative to WT. Map-based cloning indicated that such a phenotype is associated with the loss-of-function of ERA1, a gene essential for farnesylation. Our genetic and biochemical data further proved that the accumulation of BES1 primarily results from the loss-of-function of ERA1. The accumulated BES1 is the cause of the BL hypersensitivity of era1. In era1, the ubiquitination-based BES1 degradation has been greatly suppressed. These observations indicate that ERA1 and protein farnesylation determine BES1 stability (Figure 9). But due to the lack of a conserved CaaX domain at its C terminus, BES1 can unlikely be modified directly by a farnesyl group. It is the ubiquitination of BES1 regulated by farnesylation that consequently affects its stability. The role of farnesylation in regulating the ubiquitination of a plant protein to affect its stability was not reported previously.

Earlier reports showed that farnesylation of BR6ox2 is essential for its normal subcellular localization and function (Northe et al., 2016; Jamshed et al., 2017). In addition, the levels of a number of BRs, including 6-deoxocastasterone (6-deoxo CS), castasterone (CS), and BL are altered in br6ox2-2 and era1-2. BL levels are significantly reduced in the seedlings and inflorescences of br6ox2-2 and era1-2, whereas 6-deoxoCS is accumulated tremendously in the seedlings or inflorescences of era1-2 (Northey et al., 2016). These results cannot be explained solely by the loss-of-function of BR6ox2 due to its lack of farnesylation in era1-2, suggesting that protein farnesylation may affect BR biosynthesis via an additional manner other than the farnesylation of BR6ox2. Our data demonstrated that protein farnesylation negatively regulates BR signaling output by promoting the ubiquitination-dependent degradation of the key transcription factor BES1. It is known that almost all steps of the BR biosynthesis can

---

**Figure 6. ENHANCED RESPONSE TO ABA 1 (ERA1) promotes the ubiquitin-dependent degradation of BES1**

(A) Cell-free degradation analysis showed delayed degradation of the recombinant BR1 EMS SUPPRESSOR 1 (maltose binding protein [MBP]-BES1) in the era1 extract compared to that in wild type (WT) extract. The protein level of MBP-BES1 was determined by an immunoblotting analysis using an anti-MBP antibody. (B) Measurements of relative gray value of the bands for the indicated genotypes and treatments. ImageJ was used for gray value analyses. The data are shown as means of three biological repeats ± SD. Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05. (C) In vivo ubiquitination analysis to show ubiquitination levels of BES1 in WT and in era1. The ubiquitination levels of BES1 were determined by an immunoblotting analysis using an anti-ubiquitin antibody.
be inhibited by the end products, including CS and BL, via a negative feedback loop, which is important to maintain the homeostasis of the BR signal transduction in plants (He et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011; Wei and Li, 2020). Our results indicated that BES1 and p-BES1 are significantly accumulated in the loss-of-function ERA1 seedlings (Figure 5). Our results also showed that many BR biosynthetic genes in era1 mutant were dramatically down-regulated (Figure 3D). This negative feedback loop may explain why there is a decreased 6-deoxoCS in era1 mutant.

Early reports indicated that the non-phosphorylated BES1 is significantly increased in bes1-D, a gain-of-function mutant of BES1. Consequently, the petioles of bes1-D are significantly elongated compared to those of WT (Yin et al., 2002). In era1, non-phosphorylated BES1 is also accumulated. But the petioles of era1 are shorter than those of WT. This inconsistency possibly results from the fact that farnesylation can affect many different processes in addition to its roles in the BR signaling pathway (Zhang and Casey, 1996; Gelb et al., 2006). In other words, the observed phenotype of era1 is a cumulative effect of many abolished farnesylation related processes. Alternatively, it could also be caused by the tissue-specific expression of an undefined farnesylation substrate which is directly involved in the degradation of BES1.

We have not identified a farnesylated substrate which is responsible for the ubiquitination of BES1. Previous reports suggested that the degradation of BES1 is through a proteasome and an autophagy pathway which are mediated by SINAT E3 ligases and ubiquitin receptor protein DSK2, respectively (Nolan et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017). However, the aforementioned proteins related to the ubiquitination of BES1 do not contain the conserved CaaX motifs at their C termini that are required for protein farnesylation, suggesting that there should be other proteins involved in the ubiquitination of BES1. Using a bioinformatic approach, we searched for the potential proteins with a CaaX motif that

Figure 7. Hypersensitivity of era1 to brassinosteroids (BR) requires BRI1 EMS SUPPRESSOR 1 (BES1)
(A) best-1 partially rescues hypersensitive phenotype of era1 to BR and significantly reduces root elongation of era1. Seedlings of the indicated genotypes were grown on the media with various concentrations of brassinolide (BL) (0, 0.1, and 1.0 nmol/L) and incubated in a growth chamber with a 16-h light/8-h dark lighting conditions at 22°C for 10 d. Scale bar represents 1 cm. (B) Measurements of the root length of the indicated genotypes. Data shown are means ± SD (n ≥ 50). Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns, non-significant. (C) best-1 rescues the increased lateral branch angle shown in era1. Scanning electron microscopic analyses was used to show the angle of the first lateral branch with the primary inflorescence axis in 7-week-old wild type (WT), era1-11, best-1 and era1-11 best-1. Scale bars represent 1 mm. (D) Measurements of the angles between the first lateral branch and the primary inflorescence axis of the indicated genotypes. Data are means ± SD (n = 15). Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. **P < 0.01; ns, non-significant.
may relate to protein ubiquitination and stability in Arabidopsis. UBIQUITIN E2 VARIANT 1A and 1B (UEV1A and UEV1B), MEMBRANE-ANCHORED UBIQUITIN-FOLD PROTEINS PRECURSOR (including MUB1, MUB4, MUB5, MUB6), and four F-box proteins (encoded by AT5G36820, AT5G36730, AT4G15475, AT2G03580) were revealed to possess those characteristics, which will be our main research targets in future investigations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and growth conditions
All the Arabidopsis thaliana plants used in this study were in Col-0 background. The plants were grown in a greenhouse with 24 h light at 22°C for general growth and seed harvesting. For seedlings grown on the medium in Petri dishes, the sterilized seeds were grown on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog medium.
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ERA1-HA constructs were transformed to 95-5 mutant. pBES1::BES1-YFP construct was transformed to WT. pBES1::BES1-YFP in era1 transgenic lines were generated by crossing pBES1::BES1-YFP transgenic lines with an era1-11 mutant.

Photographing and microscopy

For phenotypic observation, all plants were photographed with a Canon EOS 70D Camera. For lateral branch angle analyses, stem between first node and second node was removed from the plant at the end of its flowering period and carefully set on the sample preparation platform and quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen. The samples were transferred into the chamber of a Hitachi S-3400N scanning electron microscope for image analyses. For expression analyses of BES1-YFP in different genetic backgrounds, the roots of 4-d-old seedlings were stained in 10 μg/mL propyl iodide (PI) aqueous solution for 10 min, and then the roots were mounted in water for observation of YFP and PI signals under a Leica TCS SP8 laser scanning confocal microscope.

RT-PCR and quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)

RT-PCR was used to determine the expression levels of target genes in mutants. qRT-PCR was carried out to evaluate the expression levels of genes that function in BR biosynthesis. Total RNAs were extracted from rosette leaves for RT-PCR and 7-d seedlings treated with or without 10 nmol/L BL (Sigma Aldrich) for 2 h (for qRT-PCR) using an RNAPrep pure Plant Kit (TIANGEN DP432). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated with a PrimeScript™ 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara). For RT-PCR, genes were amplified from 100 ng total RNA reverse transcripts. For qRT-PCR, genes were amplified from 80 ng total RNA reverse transcripts. All primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S1. SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara) was used in PCR reaction on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems™). All experiments were performed in triplicates.

Immunoblotting analysis

After genotyping, 7-d-old seedlings of different homozygote mutants were treated with or without 10 nmol/L BL for 120 min. Total proteins were extracted with 2 × sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) buffer containing 125 mmol/L Tris (pH 6.8), 4% (w/v) SDS, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 20 mmol/L dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.02% (w/v) bromophenol blue. Protein extracts equivalent to 10 mg seedlings were resolved on 8% (for MBP) and 12% (for BES1) SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis \( \downarrow \) and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. After blocking with 10% non-fat milk solution, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against MBP (1:3,000, ProteinTech, 15089-1-AP) and BES1 (1:5,000, homemade), respectively, and then incubated with the corresponding anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000, Abmart, M21002 for BES1 and MBP). The signals were revealed by a JustGene ECL Plus (CLINX) mixture and were detected by Fuji medical X-ray film.

(MS) medium containing 1% sucrose and supplemented with 1% agar. Plates were placed in a 22°C growth chamber with a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle.

T-DNA insertion mutagenesis and BR-related mutant screening

The bak1-4 plants were transformed with a pBIB-BASTA plasmid using a floral dipping method published previously (Clough and Bent, 1998). T2 seeds were harvested together as a pool made from 500 T1 transgenic plants (177 pools in total). About 4,000 T2 seeds of each pool (average about eight T2 seeds from each T1 transgenic line) were grown on the medium containing 100 nmol/L 24-epiBL in a 22°C growth chamber with a 16-h light/8-h dark lighting cycle. Seedlings with altered root growth sensitivity in the presence of 100 nmol/L 24-epiBL compared to bak1-4 were screened. 95-5 bak1-4 double mutant was identified from #95 pool. The T-DNA position was determined by a map-based cloning strategy.

Generation of constructs and transgenic plants

For complementation, the full-length ERA1 coding sequence with a start codon was cloned into a Gateway™ Entry vector which was then subcloned into a binary destination vector pBIB-HYG-3SS-GWR-GFP and pBIB-HYG-3SS-GWR-HA. For expression analysis, a piece of DNA sequence containing 1.5 kb promoter sequence plus the genomic sequence of BES1 was cloned into a Gateway™ Entry vector which was then subcloned into a pBIB-BASTA-GWR-YFP destination vector. The resulting constructs were transformed into Arabidopsis plants by floral dip (Clough and Bent, 1998). ERA1-GFP and
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In vivo ubiquitination analysis
The pBES1::BES1-YFP in Col-0 and the pBES1::BES1-YFP in era1 transgenic plants were grown on 1/2 MS medium for 10 d. Plant materials were ground to powder in liquid nitrogen and solubilized with an IP buffer (50 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 125 mmol/L NaCl, 0.2% Triton X-100, 5% Glycerol, 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 50 μmol/L MG132, 1 μmol/L Protease Inhibitor) (Kim et al., 2009). After centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 10 min twice at 4°C, the supernatant was incubated for 3 h with the anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) agarose beads at 4°C. The beads were then washed five times with an IP buffer, 5 min each time. The eluted samples were analyzed by immunoblots using anti-ubiquitin (Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-GFP (Roche) antibodies.

Cell-free protein degradation assay
The cell-free protein degradation assay was performed as described previously (Wang et al., 2009). Plants were grown at 22°C in long-day conditions (12 h light/12 h dark cycles) and the 3-week-old leaves were ground to powder in liquid nitrogen. Total proteins were extracted with a cell-free degradation buffer (25 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mmol/L NaCl, 10 mmol/L MgCl2, 4 mmol/L PMSF, 5 mmol/L DTT, and 10 mmol/L adenosine triphosphate) and cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Total protein extracts from each of the plant materials were measured the concentration by Bicinchoninic Acid Kit for Protein Determination (Sigma-Aldrich) and adjusted to equal concentrations with the degradation buffer. Then, 1,000 ng of recombinant MBP-BES1 proteins were added in 1,000 μL plant extracts (containing 100 mg total proteins) for further reaction. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 30°C for different periods, and 200 μL reaction mixtures were taken from the tube at each sample and analyzed by immunoblots with MBP antibody.
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Figure S1. Plant morphology of 95-5 and wild type (WT) in different growth stages

Mutants exhibit a variety of defective phenotypes at different growth stages, including 4 d after germination (A), 3-week-old seedlings and rosette leaves (B) and (C), 8-week-old plants (D); inflorescence (E), mature siliques (F), and flowers (G). Scale bars represent 1 cm.

Figure S2. A T-DNA is inserted in the genetic loci of ENHANCED RESPONSE TO ABA 1 (ERA1). (A) Map-based cloning analysis. (B) Genotypic analysis of ERA1 in bak1-4 and in 95-5 bak1-4. Full-length coding sequence primers are used in polymerase chain reaction amplification.

Figure S3. era1 showed increased resistance to brassinazole (BRZ) than wild type (WT).

(A) Seedlings of the indicated genotypes were grown on the media with or without 100 nM BRZ and incubated in a growth chamber in the dark at 22°C for 5 d. Scale bar represents 1 cm. (B) Measurements of the hypocotyl length of the indicated genotypes. Data are means ± SD (n ≥ 50). Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05.

Figure S4. era1 showed increased sensitivity to LiCl than wild type (WT).

(A) Seedlings of the indicated genotypes were grown on the media with various concentrations of LiCl (0, 1, 10 mmol/L) and incubated in a growth chamber with a 16-h light/8-h dark lighting condition at 22°C for 7 d. Scale bar represents 1 cm. (B) Measurements of the root length of the indicated genotypes. Data are means ± SD (n ≥ 40). Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05.

Figure S5. The expression level of BES1 in wild type (WT) and era1. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to analyze the expression level of BES1 in the indicated genotypes at different times. Seedlings of the indicated genotypes were grown on the media and incubated in a growth chamber with a 16-h light/8-h dark lighting condition at 22°C for 7 d. The light starts at 6:00 and the darkness at 22:00 hours every day. The data are shown as means of three biological repeats ± SD. Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. *P < 0.05; ns, non-significant.

Table S1. The primers used in the experiments