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Abstract: This paper describes, based on both numerical and experimental bases, the evolution of chaotic and, in some cases, hyperchaotic attractors within mathematical models of two two-port analog functional blocks commonly used inside radio-frequency systems. The first investigated electronic circuit is known as the cascoded class C amplifier and the second network represents a resonant amplifier with Darlington’s active part. For the analysis of each mentioned block, fundamental configurations that contain coupled generalized bipolar transistors are considered; without driving force or interactions with other lumped circuits. The existence of the structurally stable strange attractors is proved via the high-resolution composition plots of the Lyapunov exponents, numerical sensitivity analysis and captured oscilloscope screenshots.
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1. Introduction

A unique nonlinear phenomenon currently denoted as chaos and hyper chaos has been intensively studied in the lumped electronic circuits for nearly four decades. The motivation of such research is to explain the complex movement of dynamical systems, where extreme sensitivity of system solutions to tiny changes and/or uncertainties of the initial conditions cooperates with some folding mechanism. Therefore, the vector field needs to be arranged such that there are subspaces where nearby trajectories are separated and regions where these are folded back, resulting in a bounded dense attractor.

During this research, chaos turned from preliminary theories into mature knowledge with many successful contributions and problems solved. Let us shorten the extensive list of publications oriented to chaos in the analog circuits and show only a small, but very interesting, number of examples.

The oldest and, at the same time probably one of the simplest, chaotic oscillators is based on connection between the parallel LC resonant tank, timing network and nonlinear active resistor. This two-terminal element can be considered with either piecewise linear [1] or low-order polynomials, usually cubic [2,3] ampere-voltage characteristics. After the discovery of the so-called Chua’s oscillator, many papers were devoted to the analysis of this circuit. Some researchers were focused on providing a rigorous proof of the existence of the chaotic solution [4], some authors were fascinated by the rich gallery of the strange attractors that can be generated by such simple circuits [5]. Formation of the typical chaotic attractors can be explained by showing geometrical aspects of the vector field [6], analysis of eigenspaces associated with equilibrium points [7], revealing various hidden attractors and demonstrate associated methodology [8], and showing topological entropy [9]. In past years, Chua’s circuit received significant attention from the application point of view. For example, a bidirectional secure communication system is described in Reference [10]. Spread spectrum communication via chaos modulation is explained in a comprehensive
The genesis of Chua’s oscillator began in the 1980s with the desire to develop a robust generator of the double-scroll chaotic attractor. Stretching of a state space volume mentioned above is achieved by the unstable fixed points while the folding mechanism is covered by suitable flow transitions between individual regions of the state space (for a piecewise linear case). However, chaos was recognized as the natural behavior of conventional analog building blocks dedicated for continuous-time signal processing. For example, chaotic dynamics within a second-order phase locked loop operating as a frequency modulated demodulator that has realistic parameters is demonstrated in study [12]. The presence of dense strange attractors in a DC-DC buck converter driven by a constant amplitude pulse width modulation signal is the topic of paper [13]. During intensive research on many reputable workplaces, it turns out that chaotic waveforms can be revealed in the global dynamics of almost all power electronic systems. For a comprehensive review focused on this topic, paper [14] can be recommended to interested readers. Robust chaos can be observed within conventional analog building blocks primarily dedicated for a generation of harmonic waveforms as well as relaxation generators. For example, chaos was reported inside the Colpitts oscillator [15], Hartley topology [16], and Wien bridge-based oscillator [17], the structure having only passive RC feedback [18], and other typical configurations of oscillators. It is somehow logical since the limit cycle is a predecessor of almost all strange attractors. Of course, the evolution of chaos is successfully investigated in a large variety of atypical electronic systems, including those having the fractional order (FO) circuit elements, mem-elements, or the combination of both [19]. Quite recently, spiral-type chaos was reported from a static multi-state memory cell; details can be found in work [20]. Driven dynamical systems could be also a subject of chaotic dynamics, as is proved for frequency filters in paper [21].

Chaos and hyper chaos can be effectively distinguished from regular, geometrically much simpler forms of dynamic motion by adopting a suitable flow quantifier. In [22] the author suggests a chaos localization method by considering numerical values of LE established from data sequences obtained during simulation and/or measurement of the Colpitts oscillator. However, the used principle is described very briefly without routine implementation details and threshold specification. The same author proposed an approach for identifying deterministic chaos using the return maps. Again, the topology of the Colpitts oscillator has been adopted, for more details, the short work [23] can be addressed. Reference [24] clearly belongs to the inspirational one, since the authors use a cut-and-try heuristic method to find many original chaotic networks containing only two bipolar transistors. Although still using a stochastic-inspired optimization routine, a much more sophisticated searching-for-chaos algorithm can be found in [25]. The authors use two-step calculation of the objective function; first step check basic requirements for chaos evolution expressed in terms of the fixed points and numerical eigenvalues; second step covers estimation of Kaplan–Yorke dimension (KYD) of the strange attractors generated for the remaining individuals in the population. As an optimization tool, the authors propose a combination of differential evolution and particle swarm optimization. The topology of the strange attractor belongs to key features for finding optimal values of parameters leading to the robust chaotic behavior, as demonstrated in paper [26]. To this end, it should be noted that dozens of other lumped analog circuits exhibit robust chaotic and hyperchaotic motion, many of them with several different sets of the internal parameters. Moreover, some of these sets can be rather close to the common operation in standard application.

This paper can be roughly considered a continuation of three recently published papers providing its significant extension and new results. In work [27], a circuit element marked as the generalized bipolar transistor (GBT) was defined as the two-port device described by a $2 \times 2$ admittance matrix with forward trans-conductance given by a third order polynomial function. Input admittance was considered as a free parameter while output admittance is fixed to zero. Thus, GBT is biased to work as a current source. GBT has been considered
as the only active element in the negative resistance oscillator based on the lambda diode in paper [28]. Using a nature-inspired stochastic optimization technique, three different sets of internal system parameters leading to topologically different strange attractors were discovered, and the robustness of generated strange attractors was numerically analyzed. A two-stage resonant amplifier having a pair of similarly biased GBT was addressed in paper [29]. In this case, a numerical search algorithm reveals several chaotic attractors and parameter regions with associated strong hyperchaotic behavior.

This paper is organized as follows. The next section is focused on the derivation process toward the mathematical models of two conventional analog autonomous signal processing blocks: the first one represents a cascoded interconnection of bipolar transistors with the parallel resonant tank considered as a load, and the second circuit is the resonant amplifier with Darlington-type formation of bipolar transistors. Initial mathematical models are subsequently simplified by considering GBT mentioned above, together with the reduction of internal system parameters which is needed to successfully start the chaos localization routine. The third section provides a thorough numerical investigation of the analyzed dynamical system using standard tools such as high-resolution multi-dimensional plots of LE, bifurcation diagrams, sensitivity analysis, energy distribution, basins of attraction, entropy, and so forth. It is shown that the suitable coupling of two GBT can result in a very complex motion having all fingerprints typical of chaotic behavior: positive largest LE, sensitive dependance of system solution to tiny changes of initial conditions, dense strange attractors, significant entropy of generated chaotic signals and a very low degree of future time predictability. In all cases, smooth polynomial vector fields are addressed. The fourth part of this paper is focused on the transparent, easy-to-follow and reproducible design of a fully analog chaotic oscillator with off-the-shelf circuit elements and dynamics that are flow-equivalent to previously addressed mathematical models. Of course, computer-aided simulation results are supported by true experimental verification, that is, gallery of the oscilloscope screenshots is provided to prove the “long-time” structural stability of observed strange attractors. Undoubtedly, due to the chosen time constants and momentum of the human eye, the captured oscilloscope screenshots cannot be long transients or numerical artifacts. Finally, concluding remarks and future possible research topics are provided.

2. Mathematical Models

In this section, we will consider very fundamental network topologies of two different analog functional blocks that are commonly used in continuous-time radiofrequency systems. The so-called cascoded resonant amplifier is the first interesting case because the cascoding technique is used for the unilaterization of the transistor stage, that is for the elimination of the unwanted feedback. The second circuit to be investigated is the conventional Darlington formation of two bipolar transistors if loaded by the parallel resonant tank. In practice, Darlington’s topology is usually used to significantly boost the amplification factor of the composite transistor since final current transfer ratio $\beta$ is a product of two contributions $\beta_1 \beta_2$ of the respective transistors. Both circuits will be considered as the isolated systems, that is, the driving force will be $i_{in}(t) = 0$. The trans-conductance of first and second GBT can be approximated by the cubic polynomial function without offset and quadratic term (odd-symmetry distortion), that is, by the form:

$$y_{21}(v) = \alpha \cdot v^3 + b \cdot v$$

where $\alpha < 0$, $b > 0$, $\bar{\alpha} < 0$, $\bar{b} > 0$ are real-valued parameters defining the saturation-type shape of a forward trans-conductance of individual GBT.

2.1. Cascoded Class C Amplifier

For starters, let us assume the principal schematic of the cascoded resonant amplifier provided in Figure 1a. The primary task of the cascoding (local feedback) transistor stage
is to minimize its reverse transfer, which is commonly marked as the unilaterization of two-port. However, in further text, two GBT instead of the conventional bipolar transistors will be considered. As mentioned before, GBT can be modelled using a two-port $2 \times 2$ admittance matrix where both input admittance $y_{11}$ and backward trans-conductance $y_{12}$ are positive real constants, that is, value independent on the amplitude of the processed signal. Forward trans-conductance $y_{21}$ is a scalar nonlinear function allowing the evolution of complex dynamics. The initial set of the first-order ordinary differential equations that describe the cascoded resonant amplifier (see Figure 1b) where both bipolar transistors are modelled by full admittance matrix can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}v_1 &= -y_{11} \cdot v_1 - y_{12} \cdot v_2 + i_{in}(t), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_2 &= y_{21} \cdot (v_1) - \left(y_{22} + y_{11} + y_{12} + y_{22}\right) \cdot v_2 + \left(y_{12} + y_{22}\right) \cdot v_3 - y_{21} \cdot (v_2), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_3 &= y_{22} \cdot v_2 - y_{22} \cdot v_3 + y_{21} \cdot (v_2) - i_L, \quad L \frac{d}{dt}i_L = v_3,
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

where a state vector is $x = (v_1, v_2, v_3, i_L)^T$. Single and double lines placed above individual symbols indicate parameters associated with first and second bipolar transistors respectively. Obviously, all independent node voltages are oriented towards zero, that is, ground potential. For our autonomous situation and GBT mentioned above, this mathematical model simplifies into the dynamical system that will be addressed in this paper, namely:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}v_1 &= -y_{11} \cdot v_1 - y_{12} \cdot v_2, \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_2 &= y_{21} \cdot (v_1) - \left(y_{22} + y_{11} + y_{12} + y_{22}\right) \cdot v_2 + \left(y_{12} + y_{22}\right) \cdot v_3 - y_{21} \cdot (v_2), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_3 &= y_{22} \cdot v_2 - y_{22} \cdot v_3 + y_{21} \cdot (v_2) - i_L, \quad L \frac{d}{dt}i_L = v_3.
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

Figure 1. Simplified schematic of analyzed networks: (a) concept of driven cascaded resonant stage with non-ideal generalized bipolar transistors, (b) isolated circuit dedicated for calculations with equivalent models of generalized transistors.

Note that the parasitic base-emitter capacitances of both GBT are considered significant, working accumulation elements. For the upcoming analysis, the normalized values of all accumulation elements were chosen as unity. Equilibrium structures are all real solutions of nonlinear equation $dx/dt = 0$. This calculation can be carried out easily, and we obtain either single or three solutions:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{eq}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & x_{eq}^{2,3} &= \pm \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-y_{12}}{y_{11}} \cdot \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \gamma \cdot \gamma^3 + b \cdot \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \end{align*}
\]  

(4)
depending on the signum of square root argument inside auxiliary variable:

$$\gamma = y_{11} \sqrt{\frac{-b \cdot y_{11} - b \cdot y_{12} + y_{11} \cdot (y_{11} + y_{12})}{a \cdot y_{12}^2 - a \cdot y_{11}^2}}.$$

For upcoming search-for-chaos procedure, only characteristic polynomial associated with fixed point located at origin should be evaluated symbolically,

$$\det \left[ \lambda \cdot E - J \left( x_{eq} \right) \right] = \lambda^4 + \left( y_{11} + y_{12} + b \right) \cdot \lambda^3 - \left[ y_{11} \cdot (y_{11} + y_{12} + b) - y_{12} \cdot b - y_{12} \cdot b + 1 \right] \cdot \lambda^2 + \left[ b \cdot (1 - y_{11} \cdot y_{12}) + y_{11} + y_{12} + b \right] \cdot \lambda + y_{11} \cdot (y_{11} + y_{12} + b) - y_{12} \cdot b = 0,$$

where \( E \) represents a square unity matrix and linearization matrix \( J \left( x_{eq} \right) \) is calculated near origin. A deeper analysis of polynomial (6) will reveal that a non-zero backward trans-conductance of GBT are mandatory since \( y_{12} = 0 \) leads to the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = -y_{11}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\left( y_{11} + b \right), \quad \lambda_3,4 = \pm j,$$

and gives rise to stable and center manifolds associated with the equilibrium point at origin.

Obviously, dynamical system (3) can be easily modified for a non-resonant load of the cascoded amplifier. This is close to the situation where cascoded building blocks interact with a power amplifier that forms a final stage. Doing so, the number of state variables is reduced by one such that we are experiencing a third-order autonomous dynamical system of the form:

$$\frac{dv_1}{dt} = -y_{11} \cdot v_1 - y_{12} \cdot v_2, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = -y_{12} \cdot v_2 + y_{12} \cdot v_3 - y_{21} \cdot v_2, \quad \frac{dv_3}{dt} = y_{21} \cdot v_2 - \frac{v_3}{R},$$

where \( R \) represents a normalized value of the load resistor. In this case, \( y_{12} > 0 \), \( y_{12} > 0 \) are essential for a self-excited attractor driven by the unstable fixed point located at the origin. For zero backward trans-conductance, the origin becomes a stable node characterized by the eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_1 = -y_{11}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\left( y_{11} + b \right), \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{R}.$$
\[ \frac{d}{dt} v_1 = -y_{11}v_1 + (y_{11} + y_{12})v_2 - y_{12}v_3 + i_{in}(t), \]
\[ C_{be} \frac{d}{dt} v_2 = y_{11}v_1 - (y_{11} + y_{12} + y_{13})v_2 + (y_{12} - y_{13})v_3 - y_{21}(v_1 - v_2), \]
\[ C_{dc} \frac{d}{dt} v_3 = y_{22}v_2 - (y_{22} + y_{23})v_3 - y_{21}(v_1 - v_2) - i_L, \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt} i_L = v_3. \tag{10} \]

\[ x_{eq}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{eq}^{2,3} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{y_{11}}{y_{12}y_3}, \quad \sqrt{-\frac{y_{12}}{y_{11}y_{13}} - \frac{y_{21}}{y_{11}y_{12}} - \frac{y_{22}}{y_{11}y_{13}}} \]. \tag{13} \]

Figure 2. Simplified schematic of analyzed networks: (a) concept of driven resonant Darlington’s stage with non-ideal GBT (non-integrated, discrete form of implementation), (b) isolated circuit with equivalent models of generalized transistors.

Because of uniform circuit denotation, the state vector is again \( x = (v_1, v_2, v_3, i_L)^T \).

Note that the parasitic base-emitter capacitances are large and contribute to the main time domain process. Now let us consider the autonomous case of this circuit and two-port admittance parameters associated with both GBT. The starting set of ordinary differential Equation (10) transforms into:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} v_1 = -y_{11}v_1 + (y_{11} + y_{12})v_2 - y_{12}v_3, \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt} v_2 = y_{11}v_1 - (y_{11} + y_{12} + y_{13})v_2 + (y_{12} - y_{13})v_3 - y_{21}(v_1 - v_2), \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt} v_3 = y_{22}v_2 - (y_{22} + y_{23})v_3 - y_{21}(v_1 - v_2) - i_L, \]
\[ \frac{d}{dt} i_L = v_3. \tag{11} \]

Note that all accumulation elements, including parasitic ones, are considered as working and their normalized values equal unity. In practice, this situation can be actual in high-frequency applications or if \( C_{be}, C_{dc} \) are utilized to set-up the biasing point. This dynamical system possesses one or three fixed points, that is, solutions of \( dx/dt = 0 \), namely:

\[ x_{eq}^{1,2,3} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \]

where auxiliary variable

\[ \gamma = \frac{y_{11}}{y_{12}y_3}, \quad \sqrt{-\frac{y_{12}}{y_{11}y_{13}} - \frac{y_{21}}{y_{11}y_{12}} - \frac{y_{22}}{y_{11}y_{13}}} \].
\[
det \left[ \lambda \cdot E - J \left( x^1_{eq} \right) \right] = \lambda^4 + \left( 2 \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{b} \right) \lambda^3 + \left[ 1 + \bar{y}_{11} \cdot \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{y}_{12} \left( \bar{b} - \bar{b} \right) - \bar{y}_{12} \left( 2 \bar{b} + \bar{y}_{11} \right) \right] \lambda^2 + \left[ 2 \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{b} \right] \left[ 1 - \bar{y}_{12} \left( \bar{b} + \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{y}_{12} \right) - \bar{b} \bar{y}_{11} \left( \bar{y}_{12} + \bar{y}_{12} \right) \right] \lambda + \bar{y}_{11} \left( \bar{y}_{11} - \bar{y}_{12} \right) - \bar{y}_{12} \bar{b} = 0 ,
\]

where \( E \) and \( J \left( x^1_{eq} \right) \) represent the unity matrix and linearization of the flow respectively. For this moment, assume that the backward trans-conductances of both GBT are zero, \( \bar{y}_{12} = \bar{y}_{12} = 0 \) S. In this specific case, eigenvalues associated with fixed point \( x^1_{eq} \) are

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = -\bar{y}_{11} - \frac{\bar{y}_{11}}{2} - \frac{\bar{b} - \frac{\bar{b}}{2} + \sqrt{4 \bar{y}_{11} \bar{b} + \bar{b}^2 + 2 \bar{b} \bar{y}_{11} + 4 \bar{y}_{12}^2 + \bar{y}_{11}^2}}{2}, \quad \lambda_{2,3} = \pm j .
\]

By definition, parameters \( \bar{y}_{11}, \bar{y}_{11}, \bar{b}, \bar{b} \) are greater than zero. Therefore, origin is the non-repelling fixed point and cannot generate self-excited complex attractors.

Now, let us suppose the non-resonant amplifier with a Darlington-type cell without an input signal. It means that the resistor instead of the inductor is connected in parallel with the output capacitor. Therefore, a new system has only three degrees of freedom and is described by the following set of ordinary differential equations:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} v_1 = -\bar{y}_{11} \cdot v_1 + (\bar{y}_{11} + \bar{y}_{12}) \cdot v_2 - \bar{y}_{12} \cdot v_3 ,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} v_2 = \bar{y}_{11} \cdot v_1 - (\bar{y}_{11} + \bar{y}_{11} + \bar{b}) \cdot v_2 - \bar{y}_{12} \cdot v_3 + \bar{y}_{21} (v_1 - v_2) ,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} v_3 = \bar{y}_{21} (v_2 - v_1) - \bar{y}_{21} (v_2 - v_2) - \frac{v_2}{R} ,
\]

where \( R \) is a normalized value of load resistor. For this moment only, assume that backward trans-conductances of both GBT are zero, that is, \( \bar{y}_{12} = \bar{y}_{12} = 0 \) S. In this specific case, the eigenvalues associated with the fixed point \( x^1_{eq} \) are given by formula (15) and \( \lambda_3 = 0 \).

Because of non-zero positive values of \( \bar{y}_{11}, \bar{y}_{11}, \bar{b}, \bar{b} \), the origin is the non-repelling fixed point. Therefore, the presence of backward trans-conductances of both GBT are essential for the evolution of chaos and hyperchaos excited by the unstable equilibrium point. However, it is still possible that the analyzed dynamical system exhibits multi-stability and/or generates one or several hidden strange attractors, that is, attractors not connected to the unstable fixed points.

3. Numerical Analysis

In the previous section, mathematical models of analyzed circuits are defined and treated symbolically, that is, without the knowledge of numerical values of internal parameters and initial conditions that leads to the robust chaotic and hyperchaotic oscillations. Both analog functional blocks defined above undergo the searching for chaos algorithm based on a two-step nature-inspired optimization technique. In the initial form, up to fourteen internal system parameters form hyperspace dedicated for optimization, namely the following set: \( \bar{C}_{be}, \bar{C}_{be}, \bar{C}, \bar{L}, \bar{y}_{11}, \bar{y}_{12}, \bar{y}_{22}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{y}_{11}, \bar{y}_{12}, \bar{y}_{22}, a, b \). Clearly, this represents too many possible combinations for a full-grid calculation as well as for the heuristic approach. Let us find how to reduce this dimension and shrink mentioned hyperspace, preferably by introducing some physically reasonable presumptions for numerical values of circuit parameters. However, reduction needs to be chosen about maintaining the possibility of robust chaos and/or hyperchaos evolution.

Of course, all parameters are positive real values. Firstly, since accumulation elements represent the frequency scaling factors, these can be removed from investigated parameters and assumed to be unity. As will be proved later, normalized inductance \( L = 1 \) H and the equivalency of normalized capacitances \( \bar{C}_{be} = \bar{C}_{be} = 1 \) F still allow us to find robust chaotic behavior within this autonomous fourth order circuit. To further reduce this dimension, both GBT provides zero output admittances, that is, \( \bar{y}_{22} = y_{22} = 0 \) S. At this moment, the hyperspace that undergoes the searching algorithm becomes eight dimensional. To
speed-up the searching procedure, the mentioned hyperspace should be further reduced by excluding areas with an inappropriate combination of numerical values of circuit parameters. To be more specific, the sought strange attractors belong to the self-excited, excited by unstable fixed point located at the state space origin. Therefore, the unstable nature of these equilibria (with either one- or two-dimensional unstable manifold) should always be preserved. The latter case, that is the existence of the saddle-focus local geometry of vector field near origin, is tested by the calculation of roots of characteristic polynomials during each iteration step of stochastic optimization. Inappropriate configuration is fully discarded, and the dynamic behavior of the corresponding individual is not quantified. Note that the origin is the equilibrium point for all possible combinations of circuit parameters. Here is the right place to emphasize that these restrictions are not introduced with respect to the physical meaning of the analyzed circuit. The biasing of individual transistors is hypothetical and biasing circuitry is not part of the analyzed electronic system. Finally, the numerical values of the sought system parameters are encoded into the population individual up to two decimal places (without sign definition). Speaking in terms of the optimization routine, subset of the crummy individuals is attracted toward each positive value of the largest LE that occurs within the actual population. Independent numeric evaluation of LE for arbitrary system parameters leaves the place for the parallelization of population calculations. Around local maxima (LM), another population with movement restricted to the vicinity of LM is generated, with a searching procedure that follows the rules of genetics.

Of course, many alternative searching-for-chaos methods can be found in the available literature. Curious readers are recommended to perform a deep internet search. Despite the good results of our method described above, other optimization approaches, even different dynamical flow quantifiers (objective function), can lead to success.

Initial conditions do not form the edges of optimization hyperspace since these are randomly generated in the neighborhood of a state space origin. It is because only the so-called self-excited strange attractors are addressed.

Numerical analysis provided in the upcoming subsections is based on fourth order Runge–Kutta integration method with fixed step size. The normalized numerical values discovered for two GBT-based cascoded as well as Darlington-based resonant amplifier are provided. Each system is subsequently analyzed with respect to LE, KYD, energy distribution, and sensitivity.

3.1. Cascoded Class C Amplifier

The Matlab routine for chaos localization mentioned above and supplemented by a CPU-based multi-core parallel processing recognized the robust chaotic movement of cascoded resonant amplifier for following set of free parameters:

\[ y_{11} = 4 \text{ S}, \quad y_{12} = 6.6 \text{ S}, \quad z = -5.1 \text{ A}^{3} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}, \quad \frac{b}{b} = 9.02 \text{ S}, \quad y_{11} = 4 \text{ S}, \quad y_{12} = 1.5 \text{ S}, \quad a = -4 \text{ A}^{3} \cdot \text{V}^{-1}, \quad b = 3.76 \text{ S}. \]  

(17)

During the search algorithm, two topologically distinct strange attractors located on a plane fragment \( \frac{b}{b} \) vs. \( \frac{b}{b} \) were discovered. By keeping the remaining parameters the same as those provided in group (17), the linear coefficients of the forward trans-conductance can be changed to combination \( \frac{b}{b} = 4.75 \text{ S}, \quad \frac{b}{b} = 0.98 \text{ S}, \) for the second type and \( \frac{b}{b} = 0.6 \text{ S}, \quad b = 4.31 \text{ S} \) for the last case discovered, the third type of a chaotic attractor, respectively. In general, dynamical system (3) turns into simple set of differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dv_1}{dt} &= -4 \cdot v_1 - 6.6 \cdot v_2, \\
\frac{dv_2}{dt} &= -9.02 < 4.75 \cdot v_1 - 9.76 < 6.48 \cdot v_2 + 1.5 \cdot v_3 + 5.1 \cdot v_1^2 + 4 \cdot v_2^2, \\
\frac{dv_3}{dt} &= 3.76 < 0.98 \cdot v_2 - 4 \cdot v_2^2 - i_L, \quad \frac{di_L}{dt} = v_3,
\end{align*}
\]

(18)
where symbol < introduces alternative numerical values for the second and third types of the strange state attractor. In fact, this deterministic dynamical system represents a new chaotic system with an interesting shape of strange attractor.

Figure 3 provides a numerical analysis of dynamical system (18). Three typical strange attractors were numerically integrated using Mathcad 15 and uniform initial conditions $x_0 = (0.1, 0, 0, 0)^T$, final time 1000 s and time step 10 ms. This figure demonstrates the sensitivity of the system solution to the tiny changes of the initial conditions. Group of $10^4$ uniformly distributed initial states with deviation 0.01 are spread around the origin. Then, the final states after 1 s (green), 10 s (blue), and 100 s (red) of elapsed time are stored and visualized. For each evolution, the time step is set to 10 ms. For a system having a first set of parameters (Figure 3a–c), the initial conditions $x_0 = (1, -0.6, -0.5, -2)^T$ were adopted. For the second set of parameters (Figure 3f–h) the initial conditions are $x_0 = (0.1, 0, 0, 0)^T$. Finally, for the last subset of internal system parameters (Figure 3j–l) initial conditions were chosen as $x_0 = (1.2, -0.7, -9, -12)^T$.

Figure 3. Cascoded resonant amplifier considered as fourth order dynamical system, parameter case $\bar{b} = 9.02$ S and $\bar{b} = 3.76$ S, generated strange attractor projected in: (a) subspace $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (b) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (c) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (d) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (e) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (f) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (g) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (h) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (i) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (j) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (k) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (l) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (m) $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$. 
(b) subspace $v_2$-$v_3$-$i_L$, and (c) subspace $v_1$-$i_L$-$v_3$. Sensitivity analysis: (d) group of $10^4$ initial conditions with uniform distribution, (e) evolution of states for different time scales, see text for details. System case $\vec{b} = 4.75$ S and $b = 0.98$ S, generated the strange attractor projected in: (f) subspace $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (g) subspace $v_2$-$v_3$-$i_L$, and (h) subspace $v_1$-$i_L$-$v_3$, associated sensitivity analysis: (i) evolution of states for different time scales. System case $\vec{b} = 0.6$ S and $b = 4.31$ S, generated strange attractor projected in: (j) subspace $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (k) subspace $v_2$-$v_3$-$i_L$, and (l) subspace $v_1$-$i_L$-$v_3$, associated sensitivity analysis: (m) evolution of states for different time scales.

Figure 4 provides energy distribution over the state space of the investigated dynamical system and all three discovered sets of the internal coefficients. Note that all available plane projections are chosen for visualization. Dynamical energy is defined as:

$$E_d(x_0) = \sqrt{(\phi_x - x_0)^2 + (\phi_y - y_0)^2 + (\phi_z - z_0)^2 + (\phi_w - w_0)^2},$$

(19)

where $E_d(x_0)$ is static energy loss (curved black lines in Figure 4), symbol $| \ . |$ denotes the Euclidean norm, $x_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0, w_0)^T$ is the starting point in state space, $\phi(x_0)$ is the endpoint of a state vector after fundamental time evolution (10 ms, chosen time step 10 µs). In addition to this, divergency of vector field associated with fourth order $F^4$ and third order $F^3$ mathematical models can be calculated as:

$$\nabla \cdot F^4(x_0) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial v_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial v_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial v_3} + \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial i_L} \nabla \cdot F^3(x_0) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial v_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial v_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial v_3},$$

(20)

where $f_k$ is the right-hand side of $k$-th first order differential equation. For negative values of divergence, the vector field is losing its strength in the close neighborhood of the investigated point. Within Figure 4, quantity $E_d(x_0)$ is visualized by using rainbow scaled contour plots. The red color means very large energy and this energy decreases through yellow and green down to the very low dynamic energy represented by blue color. Note that this figure also contains all plane projections of evolved typical chaotic attractors. Zoomed areas shown in the individual plots in Figure 4a,d,e are focused on the central volume cube $v_1 \in (-0.5, 0.5)$ V, $v_2 \in (-0.5, 0.5)$ V, $v_3 \in (-0.5, 0.5)$ V, and $i_L \in (-0.5, 0.5)$ A. Zoomed areas visible inside Figure 4g,i,k are focused on the volume cube given by $v_1 \in (-0.1, 0.1)$ V, $v_2 \in (-0.1, 0.1)$ V, $v_3 \in (-0.1, 0.1)$ V, and $i_L \in (-0.1, 0.1)$ A.

Figure 5 illustrates basins of attraction calculated for the fourth order dynamical system (18). A numerical investigation was performed using uniform steps of the initial conditions $10^{-3}$ (in volts and amperes respectively), final time $10^3$ s and time step 10 ms. Individual plots in Figure 5a-f have edges given by $v_1 \in (-5, 5)$ V, $v_2 \in (-5, 5)$ V, $v_3 \in (-5, 5)$ V, and $i_L \in (-5, 5)$ A. Obviously, only three types of attractors were detected, namely fixed point (marked by yellow in plots), chaotic attractor (green) and unbounded trajectory (blue). However, higher resolution grid of the initial conditions can reveal other types of $\omega$-limit sets.

Figure 6 can be considered as the specification of areas with chaotic behavior associated with the analyzed dynamical system. In reality, the mentioned parameter space is eight-dimensional. This figure shows only a two-dimensional fragment of this hyperspace where the largest LE is calculated. Optimization, that is, the search-for-chaos algorithm contains an area zooming feature as it is visualized by means of individual plots. Up to five “chaotic areas” were localized. Note that “chaotic regions” are surrounded by the limit cycle solution. For specific values of parameters $\vec{b}$ and $b$ movement tends to the infinity, as depicted by the missing color in the first plot. Based on the “most zoomed” plot, dynamical system (18) exhibits a dense strange attractor with KYD approximately 2.022, 2.015, and 2.029 for individual numerically integrated state trajectories in Figure 3.
Figure 4. Cascoded resonant amplifier considered as a fourth order autonomous dynamical system. Kinetic energy distribution over the state space visualized in all available plane projections and for: (a–f) parameter set $\overline{b} = 9.02$ S, $\overline{b} = 3.76$ S, (g–l) $\overline{b} = 4.75$ S, $\overline{b} = 0.98$ S, and (m–q) $\overline{b} = 0.6$ S, $\overline{b} = 4.31$ S. In each plot, only two state variables are swept while remaining state variables are kept zero. See text for the better understanding of the individual plots.
Figure 5. Cascoded resonant amplifier assumed as fourth order dynamical system. Colored basins of attraction, the plane fragments are defined as: (a) $v_1$ vs. $v_2$, (b) $v_1$ vs. $v_3$, (c) $v_1$ vs. $i_L$, (d) $v_2$ vs. $v_3$, (e) $v_2$ vs. $i_L$, and (f) $v_3$ vs. $i_L$. The rest of plots represent zoomed areas focused on the interesting boundaries between different system solutions. Magnified areas have following ranges of the state variables: (g) $v_1 \in (-3, -2)$ V, $v_2 \in (2, 3)$ V, (h) $v_1 \in (-2.35, -2.32)$ V, $v_2 \in (2.34, 2.37)$ V, (i) $v_1 \in (-2343, -2340)$ mV, $v_2 \in (2354, 2357)$ mV, (j) $v_1 \in (0.8, 2)$ V, $v_3 \in (2, 3.2)$ V.
Figure 6. Cascoded resonant amplifier, fourth order system. Rainbow-scaled surface-contour plot of the largest LE, parameter step varies such that each plot contains equally spaced grid of \(101 \times 101 = 10,201\) points. Zooming procedure: (a)-(b)-(c)-(d), (a)-(e)-(f)-(g), (a)-(h)-(m), (a)-(h)-(i)-(j).

Let us remember the important question raised in the previous section: can chaos be detected in the non-resonant case of the cascaded amplifier. This dilemma can be positively answered using the numerical search algorithm addressed within this manuscript. For dynamical system (8), optimal values for first GBT are:

\[ y_{11} = 0.78 \text{ S}, \quad y_{12} = 0.85 \text{ S}, \quad \alpha = -1.53 \, \text{A}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1}, \quad b = 4.4 \, \text{S}, \quad y_{11} = 0.2 \, \text{S}, \quad y_{12} = 1.5 \, \text{S}, \quad a = -0.22 \, \text{A}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1}, \quad b = 1.55 \, \text{S}. \quad (21) \]

A typical strange attractor generated by mathematical model (8) having parameter set (21) is visualized in Figure 7. The numerical integration process was performed for a final time of 1000 s, time step 10 ms, and initial conditions \(x_0 = (2.1, -3, -8)\)^T. For basins provided in Figure 7d,e, ranges of the state variables are \(v_1 \in (-5, 5) \, \text{V}\) and \(v_2 \in (-5, 5) \, \text{V}\), the horizontal plane is defined by \(v_3 = -8 \, \text{V}\) (Figure 7d) and \(v_3 = 8 \, \text{V}\) (Figure 7e). The generated strange attractor belongs to the class of hidden attractors and was revealed accidentally. Due to the vector field symmetry, there are two mirrored chaotic attractors with the same geometrical shape, thence characterized by the same flow quantifiers. The calculated KYD is about 2.054. Neighborhood trajectories diverge very slowly, and the basin of attraction is quite narrow. Figure 7f shows positions of mirrored attractors in the state space, rising for sets of the initial conditions \(x_0 = \pm (2.1, -3, -8)\)^T, namely in \(v_2\) vs. \(v_1\).
(red, blue) and $v_3$ vs. $v_1$ (green, orange) Monge projection. In this plot, the horizontal range is $v_1 \in (-3.5, 3.5)$ V, first vertical axis $v_2 \in (-5, 5)$ V and second axis $v_3 \in (-20, 20)$ V.

Figure 7. Cascoded resonant amplifier analyzed as third order dynamical system: (a) turbulent chaotic attractor evolved for the initial conditions $x_0 = (2.3, -3, -8)^T$, (b) mirrored attractor for initial conditions $x_0 = (-2.3, 3, 8)^T$, (c) system sensitivity to changes of initial conditions (see text for details). Basins of attraction for both mirrored strange attractors: (d, e), unbounded solution is marked by red color, evolution toward the strange attractor is denoted by blue color. Mutual position between mirrored attractors: (f). For further details, consult corresponding paragraph in main text.

3.2. Resonant Amplifier with Darlington-Type Subcircuit

Let us assume that backward trans-conductance of the second GBT tends to zero, $y_{12} = 0$ S. This fact is in accordance with the available integrated forms of the Darlington composites. Utilization of processor-based parallel processing applied to a discussed optimization routine following a set of optimized parameters was revealed:

$$y_{11} = 0.23 \text{ S}, \quad y_{12} = 15.3 \text{ S}, \quad \bar{a} = -1.7 A^3 V^{-1}, \quad \bar{b} = 0 \text{ S}, \quad \bar{y}_{11} = 0.93 \text{ S}, \quad \bar{y}_{12} = 0 \text{ S}, \quad \bar{a} = -4.8 A^3 V^{-1}, \quad \bar{b} = 2 \text{ S}. \quad (22)$$

By substitution of numerical values (22) into dynamical system (11), we can obtain differential equations normalized to both time and impedance, namely:

$$\frac{dv_1}{dt} = -0.23v_1 + 15.53v_2 - 15.3v_3, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = 0.23v_1 - 1.16v_2 - 1.7(v_1 - v_2)^3, \quad \frac{dv_3}{dt} = -2v_2 - i_L + 1.7(v_1 - v_2)^3 + 2.4v_2^3, \quad \frac{di_L}{dt} = v_3. \quad (23)$$

This configuration of the internal parameters leads to the maximally chaotic dynamical system discovered by the numerical algorithm mentioned above. For these concretized values of admittance parameters of GBT, dynamical system (23) has three unstable fixed points, the single located at origin characterized by eigenvalues $1.893, -1.338, -0.972 \pm j0.6$ and the pair of the mirrored unstable fixed points (due to the vector field symmetry) with local movement determined by eigenvalues $0.272 \pm j4.217, -0.85, -0.435$.

As already mentioned, an interesting case study associated with analyzed electronic circuit (16) includes the replacement of inductor with resistive load. The numerical optimization approach applied throughout this paper returns following values of GBT parameters leading to robust chaotic attractor,

$$y_{11} = \bar{y}_{11} = 1 \text{ S}, \quad y_{12} = 0 \text{ S}, \quad \pi = -1.7 A^3 V^{-1}, \quad \bar{b} = 0 \text{ S}, \quad \bar{y}_{12} = 0 \text{ S}, \quad \bar{a} = -2 A^3 V^{-1}, \quad \bar{b} = 4.6 \text{ S}. \quad (24)$$

Note that additional conditions were adopted for an optimization routine: (a) very large value of the normalized load resistance; (b) equivalency of input admittances of both...
GBT; (c) forward transconductance shaping values $\pi = 0$ and $\bar{\pi} = 0$ were fixed without seriously affecting local vector field geometry near origin and global behavior of the analyzed dynamical system. Each restriction simplifies the final circuitry realization of the chaotic oscillator. In this case, the outer fixed points still exist, as well as equilibrium point located at origin with local geometry defined by the eigenvalues $2.722, -2.861 \pm j1.547$, that is, we are experiencing saddle-focus formation. In the close neighborhood of the outer fixed points, local dynamical movement is the saddle-focus type again, but the stability index changes ($2 \rightarrow 1$). Note that the configuration of eigenvalues is like the famous double-scroll attractor, but the geometrical shape of the evolved chaotic attractor is different. For low values of load resistance, system dissipation grows, and chaos disappears.

Numerical integration of fourth order autonomous deterministic dynamical system (23) results into the typical strange attractor depicted in Figure 8a–c. Numerical integration was performed for the initial conditions $x_0 = (0.1, 0, 0, 0)^T$, final time 1000 s and time step 100 ms. In the frame of the same figure, the sensitivity of the system evolution to the tiny changes of the initial conditions is demonstrated. Up to $10^4$ initial conditions were uniformly generated within the hypercube around fixed point $x_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ with mean deviation $10^{-3}$ (Figure 8d). Then, the final states after 1 s (green), 10 s (blue) and 100 s (red) time evolution are stored and visualized (Figure 8e).

Figure 8. Analysis of isolated resonant amplifier with Darlington-type cell, fourth order dynamical system: (a) subspace $v_1$-$v_2$-$v_3$, (b) subspace $v_1$-$v_2$-$i_L$, (c) subspace $v_2$-$v_3$-$i_L$, (d) hypercube of randomly generated sets of the initial conditions, (e) short-time system evolution. See text for clarification of individual plots.

Figure 9 illustrates distribution of kinetic energy over the state space that provides the fourth order system with (22) and the third order system with (24). For these plots, the visualized portion of the state space is limited to a cube area occupied by the generated strange attractor, namely three voltages $v_1 \in (-550, 550)$ mV, $v_2 \in (-35, 35)$ mV, $v_3 \in (-55, 55)$ mV, and current $i_L \in (-80, 80)$ mA with uniform state variable step size $10^{-2}$. This figure also contains all Monge projections of the dense strange attractor produced by dynamical system (16).
Figure 9. For both Darlington-type composites, calculation of the kinetic energy, the static energy loss and divergency of vector field visualized in $v_1$-$v_2$ plane (rainbow scaling legend is included). Fourth order system (11) with value set (22) we obtained: (a) dynamic energy loss for $v_3 = 0$ V and $i_L = 0$ A, (b) static energy loss for $v_3 = 0$ V and $i_L = 0$ A, (c) divergency for $v_3 = 0$ V and $i_L = 0$ A. For third order system (16) with values (24) we get: (d) dynamic energy for $v_3 = 0$ V, (e) static energy loss for $v_3 = 0$ V, (f) vector field divergency for $v_3 = 0$ V. Energies are related to 1 s time instance.

Figure 10 provides brief high-resolution insight into basins of attraction associated with the analyzed dynamical system. Individual planes are in the close neighborhood of state space origin. The following state attractors were recognized during these calculations: fixed point (black color), limit cycle (blue color), chaos (green color), and unbounded trajectory (red color).

Figure 10. Resonant amplifier with Darlington-type cell, analysis of fourth order system, colored basins of attraction. See text for clarification of the individual plots.

Figure 11 provides the plane fragments of sixth-dimensional hyperspace of internal system parameters considering $b = 0$ S and showing the first two LE (if sorted in descending order). Each plot deals with parameter step 0.01, that is, contains 10,201 points. Within this space, several regions (circled areas) characterized by the weakly hyperchaotic behavior, were discovered, having KYD with a maximal value of 3.137.
Selected results based on the numerical integration process of mathematical model (16) by using the fourth order Runge–Kutta method having a final time of 5000 s and a fixed step size of 10 ms are provided in Figure 12. This plot also shows sensitivity analysis in the sense of Figure 8e. Selected plane fragments associated with calculation of the largest LE within the hypercube of the internal system parameters are also provided within this plot. KYD of “the most chaotic” state attractor observed during the considerably limited parameter space investigated is about 2.199, LE averaged along the trajectory are approximately 0.33, 0, −1.66. The average value of the state variables watched over a long period of time is near zero. This property makes this system a very good candidate for practical implementation using the analog computer approach, as demonstrated in the upcoming section.

At the end of the numerical analysis section, stability issues associated with the Runge–Kutta method should be mentioned. As pointed out in paper [30], the stability of the integration method is related to the eigenvalues, that is, the time step should be chosen
with respect to the abrupt changes of dynamical flow. Throughout the search-for-chaos algorithm, the time step was chosen to be very small such that the stability is achieved for any combination of system parameters, that is, for all possible sets of the eigenvalues.

One type of analysis still seems to be missing: the boundedness of the discovered chaotic attractors. Some interesting papers [31,32] introduce the Lyapunov function to determine the ultimate bound and the globally exponential attractive set for vector fields with quadratic nonlinearities. Instead of theoretical analysis, the boundedness of the particular state attractor was investigated numerically at the start of the calculation of fitness function. The algorithm used here can be marked as an inside-the-sphere rule and its function is evident from its name. The sphere radius was chosen to be much larger than the maximal static energy supposed to be stored by the sought attractor driven by the equilibrium point at origin. For example, the value of radius $r = 50$ was chosen for third-order dynamical systems.

### 3.3. Fractional-Order Modification of Analyzed GBT-Based Functional Blocks

The recent trend in the analysis of chaotic dynamics is to consider one or several differential equations in the mathematical description of a dynamical system of non-integer order. Reasons for this can be seen in the generalization of the investigated problem, and the desire to find new types of the strange attractors or to derive mathematical models that are closer to real situations or natural activity [33]. Several methods for the numerical analysis of FO differential equations have already been published [34]. Few general routines as well as system-specific procedures are available as Matlab code in online software deposits.

From the viewpoint of analog signal processing circuits, FO two-terminal or two-port devices can be found especially in feedback loops as smooth controllers [35,36], to perform arbitrary phase shifting inside generators of the harmonic waveforms, for construction of special frequency responses of filtering structures, for advanced frequency compensation, stability-increasing purposes, and many other practical applications. For analog circuit design engineers, the most important is the answer to the question of how this element behaves looking from the outside. In the ideal case, the FO device has a constant phase shift between response and driving force (both quantities can be either voltage or current) measured from DC up to infinite frequency. Since the immittance function can be easily transformed into an equivalent transfer function only FO, two-terminal elements (sometimes called fractal capacitors) are usually addressed in research works.

The main problem with the design of the FO chaotic oscillator lies in the synthesis of the broad-band FO capacitor itself. Unlike some others, the authors of [37] have properly recognized the necessity to approximate the constant phase shift of the FO capacitor over several frequency decades. To speed up the construction of the FO chaotic oscillator, paper [38] offers fully passive ladder realizations of FO capacitors for all decimal orders between zero and one and all multiples of ten degrees; including $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$. Numerical values of resistors and capacitors are calculated such that phase error is less than 1.5° in the frequency range from 10 Hz up to 1 MHz. Any of these FO capacitors can be directly picked and used instead of the conventional capacitor (either floating or grounded) in upcoming practical construction and measurement of lumped chaotic oscillators. For curious readers, review paper [39] and references given therein can be recommended for further study of problems associated with the design of passive FO circuit elements.

The active realization of 0.9 order FO integrator based on operational trans-conductance amplifiers is suggested in paper [40]. The authors utilize simple bilinear filters to implement the final approximation of the FO integrator using the voltage transfer function with two zeroes and three poles.

Looking from a different circuit design perspective, the linear part of the vector field of the dynamical system can be implemented using frequency filters. Of course, realization of the FO system requires the utilization of at least one FO filter of adequate order. This also applies to FO modifications of dynamical systems (18), (23) and associated FO less-than-third order cases with non-resonant load. Paper [41] nicely presents realizations of FO
filters with an easily reconfigurable transfer function thanks to the FPAA development kit. This approach can significantly speed-up the design process of the final chaotic circuit. Note that an ideal FO integrator can be considered a special case of FO filter. FPGA realizations of chaotic systems can also be found in recent papers, for example [42]. These implementations serve as hardware solvers for given sets of discretized differential equations and form a bridge between numerical analysis and experimental verification.

4. Experimental Results

Among the other problems associated with the presentation of newly discovered chaotic systems [43] (via journal paper), the design of a fully analog oscillator having the flow-equivalent behavior to the investigated mathematical model and its experimental verification through laboratory measurement belongs to the widely accepted proof that the investigated dynamical system generates long-time structurally stable strange attractors of a prescribed geometric shape. Using eye contact, we can ensure that a chaotic circuit having much shorter time constants (lower by many orders) already settles in the chaotic steady state.

The process of lumped circuit synthesis based on a mathematical model is a straightforward task that has many correct solutions. The cookbook [44] provides us with several methods for implementing polynomials as well as piecewise linear vector fields using off-the-shelf active elements as a voltage-mode electronic circuit. For current-mode chaotic oscillators, readers can be referred to paper [45].

The practical realization of dynamical systems (3) and (8) is provided by means of Figure 13a,b respectively. The circuit that implements the fourth order system is described by the following set of differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}v_1 &= - \frac{1}{C_1} \left( \frac{v_1}{R_1} + \frac{v_2}{R_2} \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_2 &= - \frac{1}{C_2} \left( \frac{v_1}{R_1} + \frac{v_2}{R_2} + \frac{v_3}{R_{11}} - \frac{K^2}{R_7^2} v_1^3 - \frac{K^2}{R_{10}^2} v_2^3 \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_3 &= - \frac{1}{C_3} \left( \frac{v_2}{R_2} - \frac{K_6}{R_{10} R_{13}} v_4^3 - \frac{K^2}{R_7^2} v_2^3 \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_4 &= - \frac{1}{C_4} \frac{v_3}{R_3},
\end{align*}
\]

(25)

where \( K = 0.1 \) is an internally trimmed transfer constant associated with four quadrants analog multiplier AD633. To achieve the maximal simplification of the final circuit, a fundamental transformation of coordinates \( v_1 \to v_1, v_2 \to v_2, v_3 \to v_3, i_L \to v_4 \) was introduced. Doing so, of course there is no qualitative change in system dynamics, as documented by Mathcad-aided numerical integration. Similarly, as in the previous section, the build-in fourth order Runge–Kutta method was applied, both on original (orange trajectory) and transformed (blue curve) strange attractor. Results are shown in Figure 13a,b looking from two different 3D perspectives. Both trajectories were numerically integrated using Mathcad and the build-in fourth order Runge–Kutta method with fixed step size, considering dimensionless state variables and parameters. The time step was chosen to be as small as 10 ms and final time \( 10^4 \) s, just to ensure the stability of the integration method.

Note that both circuitry realizations allow all state variables to be easily accessible/measurable as voltages between some nodes and the ground. By considering numerical values (17), impedance norm \( 10^4 \) and frequency norm \( 10^4 \), a complete list of the real values of passive components becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1 &= C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = 10 \text{ nF}, & R_1 &= 2.5 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_2 &= 1 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_3 &= 1.1 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_4 &= 2.7 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_5 &= 25 \text{ } \Omega, \\
R_6 &= 1.5 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_7 &= 20 \text{ } \Omega, & R_8 &= 10 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_9 &= 10 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_{10} &= 25 \text{ } \Omega, & R_{11} &= 6.7 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_{12} &= 10 \text{ k}\Omega, & R_{13} &= 10 \text{ k}\Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

Obviously, the synthesis of a chaotic oscillator based on a prescribed mathematical model offers many different structures. In the case of expected strange attractors that do not evolve around origin chaotic waveforms, they have DC frequency components. Thus, the utilization of lossless inverting integrators is not a good idea because of inevitable saturation. Integrated circuit AD633 itself can be used as a single input single output voltage controlled current source with a trans-conductance value directly controlled by external DC voltage. This is demonstrated in Figure 13b. The proposed circuit is uniquely described by a following set of ordinary differential equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} v_1 &= \frac{1}{C_1} \left( -\frac{v_1}{R_1} + \frac{K V_4}{R_6} v_2 \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt} v_2 &= \frac{1}{C_2} \left( -\frac{v_2}{R_2} + \frac{K V_3}{R_7} v_3 + \frac{K^2 v_1 (v_1^2 + v_2)}{R_8} \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt} v_3 &= -\frac{1}{C_3} \left( k^2 v_2 (v_2^2 - V_3) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( K = 0.1 \) is the transfer constant of AD633 and \( V_k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) are independent DC voltage supplies. Assuming numerical values of system parameters (21), impedance norm \( 10^4 \) and frequency norm \( 10^3 \) the list of real-valued circuit components is:

\[
C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = 10 \text{ nF}, \quad R_1 = 12.8 \text{ k}\Omega, \quad R_2 = 5.9 \text{ k}\Omega, \quad R_3 = 1.2 \text{ k}\Omega, \quad R_4 = 455 \text{ } \Omega, \quad R_5 = 455 \text{ } \Omega, \\
R_6 = 667 \text{ } \Omega, \quad R_7 = 6.5 \text{ k}\Omega, \quad V_1 = 1 \text{ } V, \quad V_2 = x \text{ } V, \quad V_3 = 7.05 \text{ } V, \quad V_4 = 1 \text{ } V.
\]

Selected experimental measurement results associated with a third order cascode type amplifier are provided in Figure 14.
Third-order Darlington’s stage, that is, with resistive load, has several circuit representations, for example, the network depicted in Figure 15a. This oscillator is described by the following set of ordinary differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}v_1 &= -\frac{1}{C_1} \left( \frac{v_1}{R_1} + \frac{v_2}{R_2} + \frac{v_3}{R_4} \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_2 &= -\frac{1}{C_2} \left( \frac{R_{12}}{R_7} \cdot v_2 + \frac{v_1}{R_11} - \frac{R_5}{R_3} \left( \frac{v_1}{R_8} - \frac{R_2}{R_5} \cdot v_2 \right)^3 \right), \\
\frac{d}{dt}v_3 &= -\frac{1}{C_3} \left( -\frac{R_8}{R_6} \cdot R_9 \cdot v_2 + \frac{v_1}{R_11} - \frac{R_5}{R_3} \left( \frac{v_1}{R_8} - \frac{R_2}{R_5} \cdot v_2 \right)^3 + \frac{k^2}{R_5} \cdot \frac{v_3}{R_2} \right). 
\end{align*}
\] (29)

Figure 15. Darlington’s stage with resistive load: (a) analog computer-based circuit realization, (b) plane projection $v_1$ vs. $v_2$ (blue color) and $v_1$ vs. $v_3$ (red color), (c) frequency spectrum of chaotic signal $v_1$ (blue color) and $v_3$ (red color).

The calculation of numerical values of passive circuit components with respect to the system parameters (24) results in:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1 &= C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = 10 \text{ nF}, & R_1 &= 10 \text{ kΩ}, & R_2 &= 1.4 \text{ kΩ}, & R_3 &= 5 \text{ kΩ}, & R_4 &= 1.7 \text{ kΩ}, & R_5 &= 68 \text{ Ω}, \\
R_6 &= 68 \text{ kΩ}, & R_7 &= 10 \text{ kΩ}, & R_8 &= 10 \text{ kΩ}, & R_9 &= 2.1 \text{ kΩ}, & R_{10} &= 5 \text{ kΩ}, & R_{11} &= 1 \text{ MΩ}, & R_{12} &= 10 \text{ kΩ}. 
\end{align*}
\] (30)

Corresponding Orcad Pspice simulation results, namely plane projections in Figure 15b and the frequency spectrum in Figure 15c. Within these plots, ranges of the state variables are $v_1 \in (-4, 4) \text{ V}$, $-v_2 \in (-0.5, 0.5) \text{ V}$, and $v_3 \in (-1.2, 1.2) \text{ V}$. The frequency spectrum is plotted using a linear horizontal scale from DC up to 50 kHz and a logarithmic vertical scale from 1 \(\mu\text{V}\) up to 100 mV. The red resistor in the schematic represents the load of Darlington’s stage and affects overall system dissipation. It can be removed from the final network topology while the chaotic nature of generated signals remains unchanged.

The route-to-chaos scenario can be effectively traced and/or controlled via a continuous change of the black resistor. Note that this circuit parameter does not directly represent a parameter of the mathematical model, it is rather a combination of two of them, namely $y_{11}$ and $y_{12}$. Therefore, to affect only the numerical value of parameter $y_{12}$, blue resistor within Figure 15a should be changed simultaneously (i.e., in the same direction). The common period doubling scenario has been observed, as depicted via Figure 16. For resistor values lower than 1.6 kΩ, the system solution becomes unbounded. The opposite
side of the bifurcation diagram ends with the stable equilibrium point for the chaotic oscillator having a value of the swept black resistor larger than 12 kΩ. Figure 16 also provides a bifurcation diagram for the change of the second GBT parameter $y_{12}$. The left boundary of this plot is limited by value 1.7 kΩ, for higher resistances system solution is unbounded, limited only by the saturation levels of active elements. On the opposite side of the investigated bifurcation diagram, chaotic behavior takes place again for numerical values between 1.1 kΩ and 800 Ω.

Figure 16. Darlington’s stage having output opened (that is infinite load resistance), 1D bifurcation diagram for narrow range of: (a) black resistor, (b) green resistor.

For lower resistances of the swept green resistor, chaos is slowly replaced by the long sequence of various limit cycles. Finally, values below 200 Ω lead to a stable equilibria solution. In both plots, one-dimensional bifurcation diagrams were calculated using a cross section defined by the plane $v_1 = 0$ V. The bifurcation diagram is calculated for resistance step 0.32 Ω and 0.1 Ω in the case of Figure 16a,b respectively. To ensure high resolution, a final time of 500 s together with time step 10 ms were adopted during both calculations.

As regards the expected behavior of the designed chaotic oscillator, there is a question about the susceptibility of the desired strange attractor to changes of circuit elements. From the viewpoint of passive elements, uncertainties, and deviances from the optimal values can lead to the deformation of the desired strange attractor. Of course, for significant differences from calculated values, the full disappearance of the prescribed strange attractor can be expected. The problem of tolerance synthesis can be solved by a circuit simulator such as Orcad Pspice, by Monte-Carlo combined with transient analysis. For each run of Monte-Carlo, the generated waveforms (state variables) undergo the test for the presence of chaotic patterns. In the case of active devices used in the chaotic oscillators, tolerance analyses make sense especially for CMOS implementations of the chaotic system, usually combined with process-voltage-temperature analysis [46].

5. Discussion

From the viewpoint of chaos and hyperchaos generation, the process of the bipolar transistor generalization can cause naturally non-chaotic fully analog building blocks (both isolated and driven) to exhibit irregular, noise-like motion with the broadband frequency spectrum. In this paper, two examples of this situation were thoroughly numerically analyzed: the cascoded amplifier and Darlington’s stage. In both cases, resonant load (leading to a fourth order mathematical model) as well as resistive load (third order model) were investigated. Using the heuristic search-for-chaos algorithm, it turns out that several biasing points essentially lead to the robust unpredictable self-oscillations, that is, they generate chaotic signals without the necessity of introducing an input driving source. In addition to discovering four new chaotic systems, the conditions for the evolution of
the complex dynamic movement are discussed, using a comparison with non-chaotic equivalent circuits with small-signal models of bipolar transistors.

A cascoded amplifier with both resonant and resistive loads can offer several interesting shapes of chaotic attractors, each having a rather low value of KYD. For the third-order version of this dynamical system, the neighborhood of origin does not belong to the basin of attraction for the chaotic limit set. The generated chaotic waveform possesses a significant DC frequency component and is not well suited for circuit design using the analog computer concept. A brief description of the conventional cascode amplifier is given in Appendix A. Obviously, the original and chaotified systems have only a little in common.

Besides chaotic oscillations, Darlington’s topology offers very small parametric regions with associated hyper-chaotic solutions. Based on subsequent analysis, hyper-chaos is weakly characterized by two small positive LE. A mathematical model of this fourth-order dynamical system can be marked as a novel autonomous hyperchaotic dynamical system with a polynomial (smooth) vector field.

This paper leaves room for future investigations. Firstly, the individual dynamical systems presented in this paper were not subject to intensive searching for the hidden attractors \cite{47,48}. Secondly, there are many useful analog building blocks that have multiple transistors. By considering GBT-based modification systems, dynamics can be enhanced significantly. Finally, preliminary studies indicate that, by piecewise linear approximation of polynomial forward trans-conductance, there is a chance to observe chaotic steady states.
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**Appendix A  Common Function of Two-Transistor Cascoded Amplifier**

Both cascoded amplifier and amplifier with Darlington’s pair belong to the favorite circuit topologies. A typical example of a cascoded amplifier with resistive load is provided in Figure A1. It is a specific interconnection of either two bipolar or two unipolar transistors. The first transistor, driven by the input voltage Vi, is connected in either common-emitter or common-source topology. The second (output) stage is formed by either the common-base or common-gate connection of the transistor. The advantage of this structure is the reduction of the Miller effect and, consequently, a higher bandwidth of this circuit. In contrast to the investigated “chaotified cascoded amplifier” the stability of this circuit is significant, simply because little feedback is fed from output to input node.

Figure A1 also shows a resistively loaded amplifier formed by Darlington’s pair of bipolar transistors. This is an interconnection of two common-collector topologies leading to a significant boosting of current amplification. In fact, the resulting current amplification (final beta) is a product of the betas of the individual transistors. An inevitable drawback of the real circuit is the doubled base-emitter voltage required to open Darlington’s pair.
References

1. Matsumoto, T. A chaotic attractor from Chua’s circuit. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.* 1984, 31, 1055–1058. [CrossRef]

2. Huang, A.; Pivka, L.; Wu, C.W.; Franz, M. Chua’s equation with cubic nonlinearity. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 1996, 6, 2175–2222. [CrossRef]

3. Zhong, G.-Q. Implementation of Chua’s circuit with a cubic nonlinearity. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I* 1994, 41, 934–941. [CrossRef]

4. Chua, L.O. Global unfolding of Chua’s circuit. *IEICE Trans. Fundam.* 1993, 76, 704–734.

5. Bilotta, E. *A Gallery of Chua Attractors*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2008; 600p.

6. Guzan, M. Variations of boundary surface in Chua’s circuit. *Radioengineering* 2015, 24, 814–823. [CrossRef]

7. Spany, V.; Galajda, P.; Guzan, M.; Pivka, L.; Olejar, M. Chua’s singularities: Great miracle in circuit theory. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 2010, 20, 2993–3006. [CrossRef]

8. Kuznetsov, N.V.; Kuznetsova, O.A.; Leonov, G.A.; Mokaev, T.N.; Stankevich, N.V. Hidden attractors localization in Chua circuit via the describing function method. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 2017, 50, 2651–2656. [CrossRef]

9. Galias, Z. Positive topological entropy of Chua’s circuit: A computer assisted proof. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 1997, 7, 331–349. [CrossRef]

10. Tsay, S.-C.H.; Huang, C.H.-K.; Chen, W.-T.; Wu, Y.-R. Synchronization of Chua chaotic circuits with application to the bidirectional secure communication systems. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 2005, 15, 605–616. [CrossRef]

11. Itoh, M. Spread spectrum communication via chaos. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 1999, 9, 155–213. [CrossRef]

12. Endo, T.; Chua, L.O. Chaos from phase-locked loops. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.* 1988, 35, 987–1003. [CrossRef]

13. Fossas, E.; Olivar, G. Study of chaos in the buck converter. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.* 1996, 43, 13–25. [CrossRef]

14. Bernardo, M.; Tse, C.H.-K. *Chaos in Power Electronics*; World Scientific Series: Singapore, 2002; pp. 317–340. [CrossRef]

15. Kennedy, M.P. Chaos in the Colpitts oscillator. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.* 1994, 41, 771–774. [CrossRef]

16. Kvarda, P. Chaos in Hartley’s oscillator. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 2002, 12, 2229–2232. [CrossRef]

17. Kilic, R.; Yildrim, F. A survey of Wien bridge-based chaotic oscillator: Design and experimental issues. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 2008, 38, 1394–1410. [CrossRef]

18. Keuninckx, L.; Van der Sande, G.; Danckaert, J. Simple two-transistor single-supply resistor-capacitor chaotic oscillator. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.* 2015, 62, 891–895. [CrossRef]

19. Rajagopal, K.; Li, C.H.; Nazarimehr, F.; Karthikeyan, A.; Duraisamy, P.; Jafari, S. Chaotic dynamics of modified Wien bridge oscillator with fractional order memristor. *Radioengineering* 2019, 28, 165–174. [CrossRef]

20. Petrzela, J. Multi-valued static memory cell with resonant tunneling diodes as natural source of chaos. *Radioengineering* 2015, 24, 814–823. [CrossRef]

21. Petrzela, J. On the existence of chaos in the electronically adjustable structures of state variable filters. *Int. J. Circuit Theory Appl.* 2016, 44, 1779–1797. [CrossRef]

22. Kvarda, P. Identifying the deterministic chaos by using the Lyapunov exponents. *Radioengineering* 2000, 10, 38–40.

23. Kvarda, P. Identifying the deterministic chaos by using the Lorenz maps. *Radioengineering* 2000, 9, 32–33.

24. Minati, L.; Frasca, M.; Owsięcimka, P.; Faes, L.; Drozd, S. Atypical transistor-based chaotic oscillators: Design, realization, and diversity. *Chaos* 2017, 27, 073113. [CrossRef]

25. Silva-Juarez, A.; Telo-Cuautle, E.; Gerardo de la Fraga, L.; Li, R. Optimization of the Kaplan-Yorke dimension in fractional-order chaotic oscillators by metaheuristic. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2021, 394, 125831. [CrossRef]

26. Jafari, S.; Sprott, J.C.; Pham, V.-T.; Reza Hashemi Golpayegani, M. A new cost function for parameter estimation of chaotic systems using return maps as fingerprints. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* 2014, 24, 1450134. [CrossRef]

27. Petrzela, J. New chaotic oscillator derived from class C single transistor-based amplifier. *Math. Probl. Eng.* 2020, 2020, 2640629. [CrossRef]

28. Petrzela, J. Chaotic and hyperchaotic self-oscillations of lambda diode composed by generalized bipolar transistors. *Appl. Sci.* 2021, 11, 3326. [CrossRef]
29. Petzela, J. Hyperchaotic self-oscillations of two-stage class C amplifier with generalized bipolar transistors. *IEEE Access* **2021**, *9*, 62182–62194. [CrossRef]

30. Valencia-Ponce, M.A.; Tlelo-Cuautle, E.; Gerrardo de la Fraga, L. Estimating the highest time-step in numerical methods to enhance the optimization of chaotic oscillators. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1938. [CrossRef]

31. Zhang, F.; Zhang, G.; Lin, D.; Sun, X.-K. Global attractive sets of a novel bounded chaotic system. *Neural Comput. Appl.* **2014**, *25*, 1177–1183. [CrossRef]

32. Zhang, F. Analysis of a Lorenz-like chaotic system by Lyapunov functions. *Complexity* **2019**. [CrossRef]

33. Ul Abadin Zafar, Z.; Sene, N.; Rezazadeh, H.; Esfandian, N. Tangent nonlinear equation in context of fractal fractional operators with nonsingular kernel. *Math. Sci.* **2021**, *10*, 1–11. [CrossRef]

34. Zhou, M.-X.; Ravi Kanth, A.S.V.; Aruna, K.; Raghavendar, K.; Rezazadeh, H.; Inc, M.; Aly, A.A. Numerical solutions of time fractional Zakharov–Kuznetsov equation via natural transform decomposition method with nonsingular kernel derivatives. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2021**, *2021*, 1–17. [CrossRef]

35. Petras, I. Tuning and implementation methods for fractional-order controllers. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, *15*, 282–302. [CrossRef]

36. Kadlecik, L.; Horsky, P. A low-dropout voltage regulator with a fractional-order control. *Radioengineering* **2016**, *25*, 312–320. [CrossRef]

37. Yao, J.; Wang, K.; Huang, P.; Chen, L.; Machado, J.T. Analysis and implementation of fractional-order chaotic system with standard components. *J. Adv. Res.* **2020**, *25*, 97–109. [CrossRef]

38. Petzela, J. Fractional-order chaotic memory with wideband constant phase elements. *Entropy* **2020**, *22*, 422. [CrossRef]

39. Kartci, A.; Herencsar, N.; Machado, J.T. History and progress of fractional-order element passive emulators: A review. *Radioengineering* **2020**, *29*, 296–304. [CrossRef]

40. Valencia-Ponce, M.A.; Castaneda-Avina, P.R.; Tlelo-Cuautle, E.; Carbajal-Gomez, V.H.; Gonzalez-Diaz, V.R.; Sandoval-Ibarra, Y.; Nunez-Perez, J.-C. CMOS OTA-based filters for designing fractional-order chaotic oscillators. *Fractal Fract.* **2021**, *5*, 122. [CrossRef]

41. Kapoulea, S.; Psychalinos, C.; Elwakil, A.S. FPAA-based realization of filters with fractional Laplace operators of different orders. *Fractal Fract.* **2021**, *5*, 218. [CrossRef]

42. Mohamed, S.M.; Sayed, W.S.; Said, L.A.; Radwan, A.G. Reconfigurable FPGA realization of fractional-order chaotic systems. *IEEE Access* **2021**, *9*, 89376–89389. [CrossRef]

43. Sprott, J.C. A proposed standard for the publication of new chaotic systems. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **2011**, *21*, 2391–2394. [CrossRef]

44. Itoh, M. Synthesis of electronic circuits for simulating nonlinear dynamics. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos* **2001**, *11*, 605–653. [CrossRef]

45. Petzela, J.; Gotthans, T.; Guzan, M. Current-mode network structures dedicated for simulation of dynamical systems with plane continuum of equilibrium. *J. Circuits Syst. Comput.* **2018**, *27*, 1830004. [CrossRef]

46. Valencia-Ponce, M.A.; Tlelo-Cuautle, E.; Gerrardo de la Fraga, L. On the sizing of CMOS operational amplifiers by applying many-objective optimization algorithms. *Electronics* **2021**, *10*, 3148. [CrossRef]

47. Dudkowski, D.; Jafari, S.; Kapitaniak, T.; Kuznetsov, N.V.; Leonov, G.A.; Prasad, A. Hidden attractors in dynamical systems. *Phys. Rep.* **2016**, *637*, 1–50. [CrossRef]

48. Pham, V.-T.; Volos, C.H.; Jafari, S.; Kapitaniak, T. Coexistence of hidden attractors in a novel no-equilibrium system. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2017**, *87*, 2001–2010. [CrossRef]