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Abstract
Introduction: The global epidemic of obesity concerns children, and monitoring the prevalence is of highest priority. Body mass index (BMI) with age- and sex-specific cutoff values determines weight status in children, although multiple reference systems exist. Our aim was to compare the prevalence for thinness, normal weight, overweight, and obesity in Finnish school-aged children according to national and international reference values, as well as to determine which cutoff values for overweight agree with the criteria for central obesity.

Methods: This study includes 10,646 children aged 9–12 years from the Finnish Health in Teens cohort. Height, weight, and waist circumference were measured in 2011–2014. BMI (weight [kg]/height [m]\(^2\)) and the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR; waist [cm]/height [cm]) were calculated. The WHtR cutoff of >0.5 indicated central obesity. We compared the sex-specific prevalence of thinness, overweight, and obesity using the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF), World Health Organization (WHO) and Finnish (FIN) BMI-for-age reference values, as well as these three against central obesity based on the WHtR.

Results: The prevalence of thinness, overweight, and obesity were 11.0%, 12.7%, and 2.6%, respectively, using IOTF; 2.6%, 15.9%, and 5.2% using WHO; and 5.1%, 11.4%, and 2.2% using FIN. Overweight and obesity were more common in boys than girls using WHO and FIN, while thinness was more common in girls using IOTF and FIN. IOTF versus WHO exhibited moderate agreement (κ = 0.59), which improved for IOTF versus FIN (κ = 0.74). Of those classified as overweight by WHO, 37% and 47% were regarded as normal weight according to IOTF and FIN, respectively. The prevalence of central obesity was 8.7%, and it was more common in boys than girls. WHO provided the highest sensitivity: 95% of individuals with central obesity were classified with overweight or obesity. Using FIN provided the highest specificity (93%).

Conclusion: Our findings show that WHO overestimates the prevalence of overweight Jannina Viljakainen and Rejane Augusta de Oliveira Figueiredo shared authorship.
and obesity, while IOTF overrates thinness. Thus, comparing prevalence rates between studies requires caution. The novelty of this study is the comparison of the cutoff values for overweight with central obesity. The choice of reference system affects the generalizability of the research results.
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Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Childhood obesity is one of the most serious public health challenges. The prevalence of overweight and obesity among children has increased globally in recent decades, although that increase has leveled in many high-income countries [1].

In order to assess the prevalence of overweight and obesity, objective measurements of body size and shape are needed. Anthropometric measures such as height, weight, and waist circumference resulting in body mass index (BMI) and the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) are often used in epidemiological research. BMI is a widely used measurement to determine weight status in children. However, adult cutoff values for BMI cannot be directly applied to children. Age- and sex-specific cutoff values are therefore generated, which correspond with a BMI of 25 (for overweight); 30 (for obesity); and 18.5, 17, and 16 (for thinness grades 1, 2, and 3) at the age of 18 years, often referred to as BMI-for-age or BMI z-scores. The cutoff values for children consider growth at different ages and for both sexes. The child curves are drawn so that they pass the abovementioned, widely used adult cutoffs at the age of 18 years.

Two international datasets are used to classify thinness, normal weight, overweight, and obesity in children based on BMI: the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) [2, 3] and the World Health Organization (WHO) [4] reference values. The IOTF reference system relies on BMI data from six large, nationally representative cross-sectional surveys from Great Britain, the Netherlands, Hong Kong, Singapore, Brazil, and the USA [2]. Using data collected between 1963 and 1993, the total sample size reached approximately 190,000 individuals aged 0–25 years. The 2007 WHO growth reference [4] combines two reference values which include 5–19 year olds: data from the 1977 National Center for Health Statistics/WHO international growth reference (1–24 years) [5] and the WHO Child Growth Standards (18–71 months) [6], comprising a total of approximately 30,000 individuals. The latter dataset originates from Norway, Ghana, Oman, India, Brazil, and the USA collected between 1997 and 2003. Growth patterns depend on genetics and the environment, rendering national, local reference values also necessary. The Finnish BMI-for-age reference values (FIN) are based on a combination of cross-sectional and longitudinal data from more than 73,000 healthy subjects aged 0–20 years [7]. Data were collected between 2003 and 2009.

In general, a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity has been observed using WHO rather than IOTF reference values in children from various countries across Europe [8–16], North America and Asia [3], South America [17, 18], and Africa [19]. Yet, opposing findings were reported in two Iranian studies [20, 21]. The reference values applied seem to also affect the prevalence of thinness. Some studies reported higher rates using IOTF rather than WHO reference values [13, 21–23], but opposing results have also been reported [3, 10, 12, 16].

Furthermore, the WHtR has gained attention as a measure of central obesity in children [24, 25]. The WHtR may carry an advantage over BMI, which does not provide information about body fat distribution or body shape. In addition, the WHtR is considered a more reliable predictor of cardiovascular disease risk in children than BMI [26]. The WHtR is only weakly associated with age. Thus, the same cutoff value of 0.5 for central obesity has been suggested as useful across all age-groups in children [27, 28]. In German adolescents, a fixed cutoff of 0.5 agreed well with the age- and sex-specific 90th percentile for the WHtR [29]. Population-specific references and age- and sex-specific cutoff values are therefore not necessary, in contrast to BMI.

Our primary objective in this study was to compare the sex-specific prevalence for thinness, normal weight, overweight, and obesity in Finnish school-aged children according to IOTF, WHO, and FIN BMI-for-age reference values. The secondary objective was to describe the prevalence of central obesity based on the WHtR and to determine which cutoff values for overweight agree with the criteria for central obesity.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

This cross-sectional study utilizes data from the Finnish Health in Teens (Fin-HIT) study [30], collected between 2011 and 2014. The total cohort consists of 11,407 children aged 9–12 years from across Finland. The majority of the children participated in the study through schools in Finland’s largest cities and their surrounding areas: Helsinki, Espoo, Vantaa, Turku, Tampere, Jyväskylä, Kuopio, and Oulu. Here, we focus on 10,646 children for whom data are available on age, sex, height, and weight. Data on
children’s age and sex were confirmed through linkage to the National Population Information System at the Population Register Center.

**Anthropometric Measures**

In schools, trained fieldworkers weighed the children and measured their height and waist circumference following a standardized protocol as described elsewhere [30]. The children wore indoor clothes, the weight of which was subtracted from the measured weight. Approximately 13% of children participated from home, receiving a measuring tape and detailed written instructions for reporting their body measurements with an adult’s assistance. We previously established that the self-reported measurements were valid [31]. BMI (weight [kg]/height [m]^2) and the WHtR (waist [cm]/height [cm]) were calculated. Using a WHtR cutoff of 0.5 indicated central obesity [24, 32].

**Cutoff Values for Thinness, Overweight, and Obesity Based on IOTF, WHO, and FIN Reference Values**

IOTF, WHO, and FIN growth references were used to calculate the z-scores for BMI-for-age, which were classified as thinness, normal weight, overweight, and obesity using established cutoff values [2–4, 7]. In summary, the IOTF BMI z-score thresholds for thinness, overweight, and obesity were −1.014, 1.310, and 2.288 for boys and −0.975, 1.244, and 2.192 for girls [3], whereas WHO’s cutoff values for thinness, overweight, and obesity were −2, 1, and 2, respectively, for both sexes [4]. The FIN growth reference cutoff values were approximately −1.834 and −1.648 for thinness, 0.778 and 1.163 for overweight, and 1.702 and 2.107 for obesity in boys and girls, respectively [7].

**Statistical Analyses**

The general characteristics of the study population are reported as means (standard deviations [SD]) or as numbers and percentages. The comparison of characteristics between two groups was performed using the independent samples t test for continuous variables and using the χ² test for categorical variables. Distributions of weight status using the three reference systems were compared between sexes using the χ² test. The kappa coefficient assessed the degree of agreement across the reference systems: (1) IOTF versus WHO, (2) IOTF versus FIN, and (3) WHO versus FIN. Agreement was characterized as suggested by Landis and Koch [33]. The percentage of agreement was calculated using cross-tabulations.

Sensitivity and specificity were used as measures for the agreement of central obesity with overweight and obesity. Sensitivity, or a true positive rate, was calculated by dividing the number of children with either overweight or obesity and the WHtR >0.5 by the number of all children with a WHtR >0.5. Specificity, or a true negative rate, was calculated by dividing the number of children with either thinness or a normal weight and WHtR ≤0.5 by all children with a WHtR ≤0.5.

Data on waist circumference were missing for 24 children. We considered p < 0.05 as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the software package IBM SPSS Statistics version 24.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

The study population included a total of 10,646 children, of whom 52.5% were girls (Table 1). The mean age, height, weight, and BMI did not differ based on sex. The mean BMI z-scores however differed between the sexes across all reference systems: boys exhibited higher mean BMI z-scores than girls using IOTF and WHO, while these were lower using the FIN reference system. The mean BMI z-score using FIN was −0.16 (SD 0.99). Thus, the mean BMI z-score in Fin-HIT participants was 0.16
Table 2. Agreement between weight status reported as the kappa coefficient (κ) and 95% confidence interval across the three reference systems

| Weight status | All (n = 10,646) | Girls (n = 5,594) | Boys (n = 5,052) |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Thinness, n   | 280             | 548              | 280             |
| Normal weight, n | 7,229           | 7,779            | 7,856           |
| Overweight, n | 1,064           | 1,071            | 895             |
| Obesity, n    | 274             | 205              | 233             |
| Total in agreement, n (%) | **8,847 (83.1)** | **9,603 (90.2)** | **9,264 (87.0)** |
| Total in nonagreement, n (%) | 1,799 (16.9) | 1,043 (9.8) | 1,382 (13.0) |

SD lower than in the nationally representative FIN reference data. Waist circumference and the WHtR were larger among boys than girls. In addition, the prevalence of central obesity was 8.7% and was more common in boys than in girls (10.7% vs. 7.1%, \( p < 0.001 \); Table 1).

The prevalence of thinness, overweight, and obesity were 11.0%, 12.7%, and 2.6%, respectively, using IOTF; 2.6%, 15.9%, and 5.2% using WHO; and 5.1%, 11.4%, and 2.2% using FIN (shown in Fig. 1). Based on WHO and FIN, we observed a higher proportion of boys with overweight or obesity than girls, while this did not emerge using IOTF. Thinness appeared more common in girls than boys using IOTF and FIN.

IOTF showed only a moderate agreement with WHO (\( \kappa = 0.59 \); Table 2); 83% of children had the same weight status with both reference systems (Table 3). This agree-
ment was slightly enhanced using WHO and FIN (κ = 0.64; 87% agreement) and even better between IOTF and FIN (κ = 0.74; 90% agreement). Among those classified as overweight using WHO, 37% and 47%, respectively, were regarded as normal weight according to IOTF and FIN. A higher agreement was observed for boys than girls using IOTF versus FIN and WHO versus FIN, while IOTF versus WHO showed an opposing sex difference (Table 2).

WHO exhibited the highest agreement with central obesity, that is, the highest sensitivity: 95% of those with a WHtR >0.5 were classified as with overweight or obesity (Table 4). For IOTF and FIN, the corresponding percentages were 90% and 86%, respectively. The lowest sensitivity was observed among girls using FIN (79%). The results for specificity – that is, the proportion of children with a WHtR ≤0.5 classified with thinness or normal weight – were 93% (FIN), 92% (IOTF), and 86% (WHO).

### Discussion

**Key Findings and Novelty of the Study**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the prevalence of thinness, normal weight, overweight, and obesity among children using IOTF, WHO, and the FIN BMI-for-age reference values. This is also the first study to monitor the performance of these reference systems against an external measure, in this case, central obesity. We compared the three reference systems in an equal manner without highlighting any of them because they are suitable for different purposes.

We found that the prevalence of thinness, overweight, and obesity in a representative sample of Finnish children depends on the reference system applied. The combined prevalence of overweight and obesity varied from 13.6% to 21.1%, with FIN exhibiting the lowest and WHO the highest prevalence. Yet, IOTF yielded the highest prevalence of thinness (11.0%), a rate four times higher than that using WHO and twice as high as when using FIN. In general, the strongest agreement between reference systems was found when comparing IOTF and FIN. WHO showed the highest sensitivity with non-BMI-related central obesity, while the highest specificity was achieved using FIN.

**Agreement between Reference Systems**

In our previous studies [30, 34, 35], we used the IOTF reference system since it is preferred in international comparisons [3]. Here, we observed substantial agreement with FIN but only moderate agreement with WHO. Compared with IOTF, WHO both underestimates the proportion of thinness and overestimates the prevalence of overweight (including obesity). Our results agree with previous studies, showing a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity among children when using WHO than when using IOTF [3, 8–19]. Possible explanations

### Table 4. The Fin-HIT cohort: proportion of children with either thinness/normal weight or overweight/obesity without (WHtR ≤0.5) and with central obesity (WHtR >0.5) according to the three reference systems

|                      | WHtR ≤0.5 |          | WHtR >0.5 |          |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
|                      | all (n = 9,697) | girls (n = 5,182) | boys (n = 4,515) | all (n = 925) | girls (n = 397) | boys (n = 528) |
| IOTF                 | Thinness + normal weight, n (%) | 8,913 (91.9) | 784 (8.1) | 8,341 (86.0) | 647 (6.7) | 9,050 (93.3) | 647 (6.7) |
|                      | Overweight + obesity, n (%) | 4,712 (90.9) | 470 (9.1) | 4,526 (87.3) | 266 (5.1) | 4,916 (94.9) | 266 (5.1) |
|                      | WHO       | 4,201 (93.1) | 314 (6.9) | 3,815 (84.5) | 700 (15.5) | 4,134 (91.6) | 381 (8.4) |
|                      |            | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    |
|                      |            | 96 (10.4) | 42 (10.6) | 829 (89.6) | 355 (89.4) | 380 (89.8) | 474 (89.8) |
|                      |            |            |            |            | 880 (95.1) | 370 (93.2) | 510 (96.6) |
|                      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|                      | FIN       |            |            |            |            |            |            |
|                      | Thinness + normal weight, n (%) | 9,050 (93.3) | 4,916 (94.9) | 4,134 (91.6) | 647 (6.7) | 9,050 (93.3) | 647 (6.7) |
|                      | Overweight + obesity, n (%) | 4,916 (94.9) | 470 (9.1) | 4,526 (87.3) | 266 (5.1) | 4,916 (94.9) | 266 (5.1) |
|                      |            | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001    |
|                      |            | 128 (13.8) | 82 (20.7) | 797 (86.2) | 315 (79.3) | 482 (91.3) |
|                      |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| Shown for all children (n = 10,622; 24 missing values) and separately for girls (n = 5,579; 15 missing values) and boys (n = 5,043; 9 missing values). *In the WHtR >0.5 group, no individuals fell into the thinness category. **Comparison between sexes, results from Pearson’s χ² test. †IOTF [2, 3]. ‡Specificity (percentage in bold): the number of children with thinness or a normal weight and a WHtR ≤0.5 divided by the total number of children with a WHtR ≤0.5. §Sensitivity (percentage in bold): the number of children with overweight or obesity and WHtR >0.5 divided by the total number of children with a WHtR >0.5. ††WHO [4]. ‡‡FIN [7].
for the difference between IOTF and WHO lie in the different populations, time periods, and methodologies studied, all of which affect the cutoff values [36]. The main difference between IOTF and FIN however arises from categorizing the lowest BMI values, while only minor differences appear regarding overweight and obesity for the entire cohort. Furthermore, IOTF has received criticism for relying on too broad of a definition for the lowest category – that is, for including all BMIs passing through 18.5 or below at the age of 18 years [7, 37]. However, this category is labeled thinness to distinguish it from being underweight [3].

**Sex Differences**

Except for IOTF, the reference systems revealed that school-aged boys had a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity than girls. A higher prevalence of overweight and obesity among boys at this age seems more common globally [1] as well as among Finnish children [38]. In a European study in eight countries, a higher proportion of boys than girls was classified as at least overweight according to WHO, whereas overweight and obesity were more prevalent among girls than boys when using IOTF [8]. Similar findings were reported in a French study [9], while a Swedish study found no statistically significant differences between the sexes [10]. Furthermore, agreement across reference systems depends on sex. Accordingly, we observed the poorest agreement between IOTF and WHO in boys, while the strongest agreement appeared using IOTF and FIN in boys.

Several reasons may explain the higher prevalence of overweight and obesity in preadolescent boys compared with girls. Since a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity is observed particularly among preschool-age girls across Europe [8], it is tempting to assume that the timing of pubertal growth may alter the situation. Sex differences may also relate to lifestyle and other factors [39]. Previously, we showed that unhealthy eating habits were more common [40], and the frequency of consuming sweet treats was higher [34] in boys than in girls. However, boys are generally more physically active than girls [41], which complicates explanations.

**Central Obesity**

The rate of central obesity was 8.7% in our sample, a level similar to that in Norway [42] and Poland [43], while considerably lower than reports among children in Greece (33%) [44], Australia (23%) [45], or Italy (13%) [46]. The novelty of our study was that we examined agreement between the weight status defined using different reference systems with central obesity based on the WHtR. WHO showed the highest sensitivity (95%) with central obesity, while the FIN reference yielded the highest specificity (93%). However, the overall differences in sensitivity and specificity between the reference systems remained small and again depended on sex: across all reference systems, sensitivity was higher in boys than girls. The results for sensitivity appear related to the overall percentage of overweight and obesity. Sex differences might be explained by the fact that overweight and obesity more commonly occurred in boys, while thinness and being normal weight were more common in girls.

**Strength and Limitations of the Study**

A major strength of our study stems from the large sample size of school-aged children from six densely populated areas of Finland. In addition, weight, height, and waist circumference were measured and not self-reported among most of the children in our study. The children were between 9 and 12 years old, given that our focus was on preadolescence. One limitation to this study is that we did not include the pubertal stage in our analysis, although this would have proved informative. At this age, the sexual maturation of boys and girls naturally differs [47]: some girls might be at the mid- to postpubertal stage, while the majority of boys are still at the prepubertal stage, possibly impacting our results.

**Conclusions**

The combined prevalence of overweight and obesity varied from 13.6% to 21.1%, depending upon the reference system applied. Although prevalence varied in our sample using the three reference systems, their impact on the association of interest requires verification through future studies. Presumably with a higher frequency of overweight and obesity, a stronger association may be observed. Nonetheless, weight status falls into four categories, the use of which rather than a dichotomized variable remains an objective means of performing such analyses.

Our results suggest that future studies should use the IOTF reference system allowing for international comparisons, although it might be necessary to limit the lowest BMI category to only those with grade 2 (BMI 17) and 3 (BMI 16) thinness in order to avoid overreporting thinness, which is further supported by the FIN reference system. In addition, we recommend using the WHtR alongside BMI since it illustrates pathological fat accumulation along the central body [32]. Furthermore, the WHtR is

Comparison of Reference Values for BMI- for-Age

Obes Facts 2022:15:240–247
DOI: 10.1159/000521170
relevant when studying weight-related behaviors, such as screen time [35] and sweet treat consumption [34].

Monitoring prevalence and identifying effective prevention strategies for obesity remains the highest priority in order to tackle the global obesity epidemic. However, caution is required when comparing prevalence rates of overweight and obesity across studies which use different reference systems.
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