Bioindication of Heavy Metals in a Waterfall Outflow Using a Bryophyte Community
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Abstract

Huay Pah Lahd stream in Doi Suthep-Pui National Park, Thailand, is potentially vulnerable to nearby anthropogenic activities. In this study, we determined heavy metal accumulation in bryophyte tissue and their growth substrates. Enrichment factors (EFs) of heavy metals were employed to monitor concentrations in bryophyte tissue. Of eight bryophyte taxa investigated, *Scopelophila cataractae* showed the highest capacity to accumulate metals in tissue, particularly Fe, Zn, Cd and Cu in protonemata (8,026.7, 1,187.2, 16.9 and 530.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively). Furthermore, the endangered and rare bryophyte taxa *S. cataractae* and *Porella acutifolia* were found intermingled with other urban and common aquatic bryophytes. These taxa might be considered sensitive warning organisms for heavy metal stress in stream ecosystems induced by environmental pollution. Because EFs of all heavy metals were < 2, this suggests that natural processes are the key source of heavy metals; furthermore, the environment of this National Park was identified as being heathy, and an important ecosystem buffer and biodiversity haven.

Introduction

A number of heavy metals in soil and water (e.g., zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), etc.) are essential for growth and reproduction of biota. However, other metals and metalloids (e.g., cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), mercury (Hg) etc.), even when present in low quantities in the growth medium, can adversely affect organism development, homeostasis, metabolism and reproduction. Numerous studies have found that hazardous metallic elements have the ability to affect biota in terrestrial ecosystems via the food chain and bioaccumulation\(^1\).

In freshwater habitats, exposure to heavy metals and other contaminants results in a range of negative impacts, including loss of aquatic biota and ecosystem alterations\(^2\). On the other hand, many output streams from waterfalls have been reported to have low heavy metal contamination as they are located primarily in highland national parks or other parcels of land designated as protected by governments. The physical condition of a waterfall habitat, which has a high velocity and volume of water, can dilute heavy metal concentrations. In many locations, however, rapid development in the tourism industry and various anthropogenic activities along waterfall streams have resulted in elevated concentrations of heavy metals in waterfall ecosystems in recent years\(^3\).

Bryophytes are significant species in aquatic and related terrestrial ecosystems, especially those where heavy metal concentrations have been elevated from anthropogenic sources. Heavy metals can be absorbed and accumulated by bryophytes through their surfaces from growth media such as soil and rock. *Scopelophila cataractae* (Mitt.) Broth. (Pottiaceae), for example, experiences high tolerance and accumulation of Cd and Cu\(^4\). Mosses and liverworts have been identified as ecological bioindicators of a variety of pollution sources and environmental changes\(^5\). This implies that the presence of certain bryophyte taxa may be used to monitor the ecological status of harsh environments.

In this study, we explore bryophyte communities and heavy metal accumulation capabilities of bryophytes found in the outflow from the Pah Lahd waterfall, Doi Suthep-Pui National Park, Thailand. This National Park is adjacent to the city of Chiang Mai, and is likely subject to anthropogenic activities such as stream pollution. To evaluate heavy metals potentially available to plants from surface soil and to determine the contamination level of each metal for use in monitoring anthropogenic pollution in the study site, enrichment factors (EFs) were used.

Methods

**Study site.** Doi (Mountain) Suthep, Doi Suthep-Pui National Park, Thailand, has several waterfalls. Tourist activities have grown recently in the area of the Huay Pah Lahd waterfall. On the eastern slope of Doi Suthep at c. 580-660 m elevation (18°47¢ 56.0¢ N, 98°55¢ 52.0¢¢ E), the waterfall is surrounded by mixed evergreen/deciduous forest, small villages and tourist activities. The water depth in the stream ranges from 0.2 to 0.6 m. In 2020 total annual rainfall, mean relative humidity and mean temperature in Chiang Mai Province were approximately 1,085.1 mm., 63.4% and 27.6 ºC, respectively. Anthropogenic activities are thought to be a major cause of water pollution in the waterfall streams.
Collection of plant, sediment and water samples. The field excursion was done on June 15, 2020, after receiving authorization from Department of National Parks, Wildlife, and Plant Conservation of Thailand. All bryophyte specimens were collected in Huay Pah Lahd streams with a plastic spatula on wet rocks and the thin soil layer above the rock, which are the substrates for all bryophyte taxa. Individual plant samples were stored in clean plastic bags, labeled, and transported to the laboratory in ice-filled box as quickly as possible. Plant tissue was rinsed with deionized (DI) water for 30 s to remove excess soil, visible debris, fine stones and pebbles, loosely attached mineral particles, and tiny organic materials, then air-dried at room temperature and stored at 4°C until required. The plant material used in this study was formally identified by Narin Printarakul. Dried plant specimens were preserved and deposited in the Chiang Mai University (CMUB) Herbarium, which is a publicly accessible herbarium at Chiang Mai University, i.e. H. involuta (Printanakul N. 15062020_1); S. cataractae, (Printanakul N. 15062020_2); Bryum sp. (Printanakul N. 15062020_3); F. crispulus var. crispulus (Printanakul N. 15062020_4); C. prionophyllum (Printanakul N. 15062020_5) E. zollingeri (Printanakul N. 15062020_6); M. emarginata var. emarginata (Printanakul N. 15062020_7); P. acutifolia var. birmanica (Printanakul N. 15062020_8).

Bryophyte taxa identification and morphological characteristics were investigated using Olympus stereo (SZ-30) and compound (Eclipse E-200) microscopes. The distinctive characteristics of bryophyte taxa were illustrated with light microscope (LM) photographs by using a Nikon (D7000) camera. Taxonomic identification of bryophyte taxa was via Eddy24, Eddy45, Gradstein46, Hattori23, Li et al.20, Wu et al.29 and Zhang and He47.

Soil samples were collected under bryophyte patches with a plastic spatula. A water sample was collected from a stream near the plant specimens in a 1 L polyethylene bottle and stored in an ice-filled container (4°C). In the field, pieces of rocks under bryophyte patches (only S. cataractae and P. acutifolia var. birmanica) were crushed to small sizes using a hammer. The rock materials were stored in self-locking polythene bags and sealed in double bags before being transported to the laboratory. All the plant experiments were carried out in accordance with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation.

Physicochemical properties of water sample. During the sampling period, selected environmental parameters in the water sample were analyzed using the methodologies provided in APHA, AWWA, and WEF48, e.g., total solids, total hardness, NO₃-N, NH₃-N, TKN, PO₄, S²⁻, and BOD₅. Furthermore, TOC was determined using a TOC analyzer (multi N/C 2100/2100s, Analytik Jena, Germany), fluoride (F⁻) concentration with an ion selective electrode (Thermo Scientific, ORION STAR A324), and chloride (Cl⁻) with a Dionex ICS-900 ion chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Inc., Japan). Fifty mL of the water sample were passed through a cellulose membrane filter, 0.45 mm pore size, and then acidified with 0.05 mL double-distilled hydrochloric acid (HCl, Merck®) to pH < 2. Heavy metals (i.e., Cd, Cu, Ni, Cr, Zn, Pb, Fe, and Mn) were determined by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry (FAAS; AAnalyst200, PerkinElmer®).

Water temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) level were determined with a DO meter (HI 9147, Hanna Instruments, USA), pH with a LAB 850 set pH meter (Accumet® AP115, USA), and water depth with a wooden ruler (2 m). Air temperatures at the sampling site were determined at the same time of water sampling with a digital thermometer.

Heavy metals analysis. Plant and soil samples were dried at 70°C for 3 days. Each sample was finely powdered to pass through a 250-mm mesh using an IKA mill. Rocks were dried at 110°C for 24 h and then ground to a powder using an abrasion testing machine. The crushed rocks were then sieved using a 75-mm mesh sieve. Plant material was placed in a vessel tube and digested with aqua regia (conc. 70% HNO₃: 37% HCl = 1:3); the soil sample was digested with conc. 70% HNO₃ and 30% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂); and fine crushed stones were digested with nitric acid (HNO₃, Merck® Germany, TraceMetal™ grade) at different temperatures following the methods of APHA, AWWA, and WEF48. Digests were filtered through Whatman number 42 filter paper and brought to 25 mL with 1% HNO₃ (TraceMetal™ grade). The water sample was filtered with a 0.45-mm membrane filter. Heavy metals (i.e., Cd, Cu, Ni, Cr, Zn, Pb, Fe, and Mn) were determined using FAAS. All standards were prepared with deionized (DI) water (resistivity 18.2 mW cm at 25°C, Simplicity UV system, Millipore®). Each sample was tested in triplicate and blank solutions were analyzed using identical methods in order to evaluate errors in analytical measurements. Standard test solutions were also analyzed after every 20 samples in order to obtain accurate, precise and reproducible results. NIST SRM®
2710a Montana soil, JB-3 (basalt), and NIST SRM® 1515 apple leaves were used as soil, rock and plant standard reference materials, respectively, for method validation. Percentage recoveries for the soils and plant materials were in the range of 98.2–108.3%, 94.3-100.7%, and 101.4–103.3% for different heavy metals, respectively. The relative standard deviation (RSD) ranged from 1.13–4.03%, 1.32-4.01% and 1.23-3.98% for soil, rock and plant materials, respectively.

**Data analyses.** Enrichment factors (EFs) are used to assess the levels of an element potentially available to bryophytes from soil, and also to evaluate the contribution to metal content in bryophyte tissues from anthropogenic sources. It is calculated as follows, using the example of Fe:

\[
EFs = \frac{C_n \text{ (plant)}}{C_\text{Fe} \text{ (soil)}},
\]

Where \(C_n\) is the concentration of the metal ‘n’ in bryophyte or soil samples, and \(C_\text{Fe}\) is the concentration of Fe determined before exposure. As proposed by Macedo-Miranda et al.49, \(EF\) is classified into four categories, \(EF \leq 1\), no contamination; \(3 < EF < 5\), slight contamination; \(6 < EF \leq 9\), moderate contamination; and \(EF \geq 10\), highly contamination.

On a Windows-based PC, statistical analysis was performed using SPSS® (SPSS, Chicago, IL). To identify significant differences in mean values, a one-way ANOVA and least significant difference (LSD) post hoc comparison were employed.

**Results And Discussion**

**Physicochemical properties of waterfall stream.** Table 1 shows the results of the environmental parameters of the Huay Pah Lahd stream. Rainfall strongly affects physicochemical properties of the water because velocity, depth, and level increased, and nutrient run-off (and/or pollution run-off) changed during the sampling period in the rainy season. The water at the sampling site is shallow (0.4-1 m). Temperatures in water samples range from 20°C to 33°C, while water temperature along the bank of Phapharadorn waterfall, located downstream of Romklao waterfall in Phu Hin Rong Kla National Park, is 25°C. Low water temperature and low light intensity are important for bryophyte development and primary productivity; however, nutrient enrichment in plant media (e.g., soil, sediment) is the most important component for bryophyte growth7.

The quantities of nutrients such as ammonia-nitrogen (NH\(_3\)-N), nitrate-nitrogen (NO\(_3\)-N), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and phosphate (PO\(_4\)) were below detectable levels, i.e., 1.01 mg L\(^{-1}\), < 4.0 mg L\(^{-1}\), and 0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Increased concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen compounds, which are limiting factors and essential nutrients for aquatic life, can cause eutrophication. However, dilution effects during the rainy season in lotic ecosystems is a key factor in causing low nutrient concentrations in water bodies8. Heavy metal concentrations (Cu, Cd, Zn, Fe, Cr, Pb and Mn) in the water sample were relatively low to undetectable except for Ni, which was detected at 0.056 mg L\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, F\(^-\) and Cl\(^-\) contents were < 0.15 and 6.2 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are considered low according to the permissible limits set by the World Health Organization (WHO) at 0.6-1.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 250 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively9. Total organic carbon (TOC) content of the water sample is below the detection limit (< 0.05 mg L\(^{-1}\)), which is due to the low content of contaminants in the water sources10.

Total solids is a direct measurement of the total mass of organic and inorganic particles suspended in water, as well as total dissolved ions in the water11. A high total solid content in waters is the most likely cause of increased total hardness. Other possible sources of increased hard water content include Ca, Mg and other heavy metals widely distributed in rocks and sediments12. Pah Lahd stream is naturally soft in this study (31.7 mg L\(^{-1}\)) because it has very low amounts of total solids and minerals. Soft water is defined as having a low amount of calcium carbonate in the water sample13.

In this study, the pH value of the stream was near neutral (6.75). Slightly acidic water (~pH 5-6) occurs naturally, enabling some heavy metals that are adsorbed to mineral surfaces to be dissolved in aquatic ecosystems, as well as enhancing mineral dissolution in sediment14. However, in this investigation, slightly acidic water (pH 6.5-6.9) was not shown to be detrimental to aquatic biota or the ecosystem. The DO level of the study site (5.03 mg L\(^{-1}\)) was nearly equivalent to the DO water quality standard in a Thai waterfall stream (6 mg L\(^{-1}\)); nevertheless, a reduction in DO levels might be linked to human activities, i.e., the presence of relatively large numbers of tourists and improper waste disposal15. High flow velocity and turbulence of a waterfall...
increases DO content\textsuperscript{16}. Biological oxygen demand (BOD) is another important indicator of water quality, as it measures the quantity of oxygen required for microbial respiration and biological degradation of organic matter in water. Reduced BOD levels imply that the quantity of organic substances is promoting the growth of microbial populations, thus enhancing the available DO content for aquatic life. The current study revealed that the water sample had a low BOD level (<1 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}), indicating that the waterfall ecosystem had good water quality\textsuperscript{17}.

**Bryophyte taxa in the study site.** Based on their microhabitats and life modes, a total of eight bryophytes were collected from two major taxonomic groupings i.e., (1) moss: epilithic bryophytes or rupicolous (three acrocarpous mosses: \textit{Hyophila involuta} (Hook.) A. Jaeger (Pottiaceae), \textit{S. cataractae} and \textit{Bryum} sp. (Bryaceae); and three aquatic mosses (one acrocarpous moss, \textit{Fissidens crispulus} Brid. var. \textit{crispulus} (Fissidentaceae) and two pleurocarpous mosses: \textit{Claoodium prionophyllum} (Müll. Hal.) Broth. (Leskeaceae), and \textit{Ectropothecium zollingeri} (Müll. Hal.) A. Jaeger (Hypnaceae); and (2) liverworts: one thalloid liverwort (\textit{Marchantia emarginata} Rein. Blume & Nees var. \textit{emarginata} (Marchantiaceae), and one aquatic leafy liverwort (\textit{Porella acutifolia} (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Trevis. var. \textit{bimanic}a S. Hatt. (Porellaceae).

\textit{Scopelophila cataractae} is a rare taxon found in Thailand that is listed as endangered in the IUCN Red List's threatened category\textsuperscript{18}. Few specimens of this moss taxa have been discovered in the forests of Northern Thailand\textsuperscript{19}. \textit{Scopelophila cataractae} is found in various parts of the world including China, Korea, Japan, Papua New Guinea North and South America\textsuperscript{20,21}. A protonemal colony of \textit{S. cataractae} was observed at the same period of time (June, during the rainy season) and at the same study site as in the previous study\textsuperscript{19}. Narrow, light-green patches of the protonemata occur along the stone base (c. 50 cm height) in streams, together with colonies of other bryophytes such as \textit{H. involuta}. The colony consisted of numerous filamentous protonema which produced shoots of \textit{S. cataractae} with numerous gemma-like cells on the axils of younger leaves.

\textit{Porella acutifolia} var. \textit{bimana}ca was firstly discovered in Burma and is mostly distributed in the Indochina regions including Burma, Vietnam, Laos, and Thailand\textsuperscript{22,23}. This taxon has been reported in Doi (mountain) Suthep and Ru See (Hermit) cave in Doi Suthep-Pui National Park, Chiang Mai Province, at elevations of about 1,100–1,200 m\textsuperscript{22}. In open and urban environments, \textit{Hyophila involuta}, \textit{Bryum} sp., and \textit{M. emarginata} may be termed pioneer taxa. \textit{Hyophila involuta} can be located in a variety of habitats including deserts, soil in humid regions, soil, wet rocks, and waterfall stream banks, as well as on concrete buildings in urban settings\textsuperscript{24,25}. Unfortunately, \textit{Bryum} sp. specimen lacked sporophyte materials, thus it was not possible to identify it to the species level. Members of the Bryaceae family, on the other hand, are abundant in urban and disturbed regions across the world, and can be seen growing with potted plants\textsuperscript{26}. \textit{Marchantia emarginata}, a cosmopolitan taxon of thalloid liverwort\textsuperscript{27}, is abundant on soils and rocks near stream banks and other locations in Chiang Mai Province, and is not restricted to National Parks. There were three lithophytic mosses, which are aquatic, semi-aquatic, or found on soil and rock near the Huay Pah Lahd falls seasonally dry streams. \textit{Ectropothecium zollingeri}, \textit{F. crispulus} var. \textit{crispulus}, and \textit{C. prionophyllum}, for example, may thrive on muddy, debris-covered rocks that are inundated during the rainy season and dry during the hot-dry season. Many bryologists have found mosses such as \textit{E. zollingeri}\textsuperscript{28}, \textit{F. crispulus} var. \textit{crispulus}\textsuperscript{20}, and \textit{C. prionophyllum}\textsuperscript{29} in various moist or semi-wet locations including aquariums.

**Heavy metal concentrations in bryophyte tissues and substrates.** Bryophytes do not have true roots, stems, and leaves; rather, they possess multicellular rhizoids at the lowest part of the structure, which are responsible for water and nutrient absorption\textsuperscript{30}. Bryophyte rhizoids facilitate uptake of available minerals and water to the stems through capillary action. Because bryophytes lack roots, they can readily absorb heavy metals throughout their entire surface of rhizoids\textsuperscript{31}. Furthermore, phyllids (leaf-like structure) and thalli of bryophytes have highly absorbent surfaces and an absence of waxy cuticle over the laminal surfaces. As a consequence, cell walls easily absorb moisture and a wide range of minerals and metal ions from the water that flows over the plant\textsuperscript{32}. Heavy metal accumulation in bryophyte tissues in this study appear in Table 2. Copper levels in tissue ranged from 8.5 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{P. acutifolia} var. \textit{bimana}ca gametophyte) to 530.1 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{S. cataractae} protonema); Cd from 4.8 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{E. zollingeri} gametophyte) to 16.9 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{S. cataractae} protonema); Zn from 129.4 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{H. involuta} gametophyte) to 1,187.2 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{S. cataractae} protonema); Fe from 3,962.5 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (\textit{H. involuta} gametophyte) to 8,026.7 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} (S.
cataractae protonema); and Mn from 143.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (S. cataractae gametophyte) to 504.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (C. prionophyllum gametophyte).

In this investigation, gametophytes of S. cataractae had considerably greater Cu accumulation \((p < 0.05)\) or approximately 3.7-59 \(\times\) than did other bryophytes, although protonema of S. cataractae had a slightly higher Cu concentration than gametophytes of S. cataractae \((p > 0.05)\). Because it accumulated Cu primarily in gametophyte tissue, S. cataractae, often known as "rare Cu moss," is categorized as a hyperaccumulator\(^{33}\). Copper is an essential nutrient that is required for plant development and growth. This element plays a significant role in regulating physiological functions such as the photosynthetic and respiratory electron transport chains, nitrogen fixation, protein metabolism, antioxidant production, the ROS defense system, cell wall metabolism, and hormone perception, and acts as an essential cofactor for numerous metalloproteins\(^{34}\). At the cellular level, however, excessive Cu concentrations are harmful to plants because binding to different enzymes results in inactivation and disruption of enzyme activity or protein functions\(^{34}\). Gametophytes of S. cataractae accumulated substantial amounts of Cd, Zn and Fe, with concentrations of 9.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 846.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 5,434.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. S. cataractae has been shown to accumulate substantial amounts of different heavy metals such as Cd, Cu and Zn in contaminated soils (e.g., Cu tailings)\(^{4}\). Remarkably low Cu concentrations were detected in C. prionophyllum and H. involuta (10.3 kg kg\(^{-1}\) and 9.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively).

The highest Cu concentrations were found in sediment substrate of shoot colonies and protonemal colonies of S. cataractae (251.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 239.4 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively) \((p < 0.05)\), whereas substantial Fe concentrations were found in sediment substrate of gametophyte colonies of H. involuta (3,127.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) \((p < 0.05)\) and sediment substrate of protonemal colonies, shoot colonies and decayed moss of S. cataractae (2,345.3, 2,289.4 and 1,963.7 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively) (Table 3). Copper concentrations in substrates of S. cataractae and water were generally in the following order: sediment substrate > rock > water. According to recent research, growth substrate is a key source of heavy metals in stream environments. This may have led to increased absorption and accumulation of Al, Cu and Zn in S. cataractae gametophytes\(^{19}\). Cadmium and Zn concentrations in rock substrates of S. cataractae and P. acutifolia var. birmanica were low (0.5 and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Cd, and 34.9 and 31.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Zn, respectively). Heavy metals (Cu, Fe and Mn) in rock substrates of P. acutifolia var. birmanica were found to be similar to in the rock substrates of S. cataractae. This comparable distribution of heavy metals in rock substrates may be attributed to the fact that they are located in a similar environment and so receive heavy metals from similar sources and mechanisms. This trend is consistent with the findings of the previous study\(^{19}\).

Many bryophyte taxa have been tested for their tolerance and accumulation capabilities at both laboratory and field scales. For example, B. radiculsum Brid. (Bryaceae) grown in industrial areas of Portoscuso (Sardinia, Italy) has been used as bioindicator for trace elements such as Pb, Cd and Zn, with accumulation rates at 61-2141 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 3-40.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 32-2,360 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively\(^{35}\). Bryum radiculsum growing in areas which received heavy metals from Cu-containing pesticides in vineyards, accumulated considerably lower amounts of Cu than Bryum sp. in this study (135.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), or less than 1.4-13.5 fold\(^{35}\). Furthermore, P. acutifolia var. birmanica, C. prionophyllum and Bryum sp. accumulated substantial Fe (6,877.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 6,370 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 5,869.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively), as well as substantial Cd (12 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 8.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 6.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively), and modest amounts of Zn (161.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 226.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 225.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively). Cadmium is a hazardous metal and Cd exposure in moss media at 10 mM inhibited photosynthesis and caused nutrient deficiencies, which can lead to chlorosis in gametophyte tissues of Physcomitrium patens (Hedw.) Mitt. (Funariaceae) and aquatic moss, Fontinalis antipyretica Hedw. (Fontinalaceae)\(^{36}\). Zinc and Fe often occur in high concentrations in the lithosphere. Both are major components of numerous enzymes and proteins in plants and are thus essential for biota. High concentrations of Zn and Fe, on the other hand, can be toxic to moss cells, affecting the entire plant by decreasing moss growth and development\(^{37}\).

In this study, substantial Mn concentrations were detected in gametophytic tissues of C. prionophyllum, Bryum sp., H. involuta and F. crispulus var. crispulus (504.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 482.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 467.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 448.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively). Manganese accumulations in the study bryophytes were much higher (144.3-504.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) when compared to four moss taxa Bryum argenteum Hedw. (Bryaceae), Bryum capillare Hedw. (Bryaceae), Brachythecium sp. (Brachytheciaceae), and Hypnum cupressiforme Hedw. (Hypnaceae) grown in various locations (roadside, populated areas, forests, croplands), with a wide range
of Mn accumulation (0.1-8.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\))\(^{38}\). Excessive Mn concentration in plant tissues can induce oxidative stress, alter enzymatic activity, absorption and accumulation of nutrients, and translocation of certain elements including calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), Fe and phosphorus (P)\(^{39}\).

The rediscovery of \textit{P. acutifolia} var. \textit{birmanica} in Huay Pah Lahd stream after a half-century\(^{22}\) may suggest that the Doi Suthep-Pui National Park still serves as a haven for sensitive bryophytes, or it could indicate that the park is minimally affected by anthropogenic activities and thus can support a suitable habitat for bryophytes. However, recent reports suggest that anthropogenic activities around the sampling location may have introduced heavy metals into sediment and water, resulting in increased heavy metal absorption and accumulation in bryophyte tissue\(^{19}\). Because \textit{P. acutifolia} var. \textit{birmanica} also accumulated substantial heavy metals, particularly Cd, the presence of this leafy liverwort may be used as bioindicator in future research for monitoring changes in environmental patterns of stream ecosystems.

**Enrichment factors.** The EFs of all heavy metals examined (Fig. 1) were very low (< 2). For example, the EFs of Cd and Mn in the study site were lower than 12.4 times and 34.5 times those of mosses grown along a major road in Serbia, suggesting that the sources of these metals are lithologic, i.e., sediment, water, and rock\(^{40}\). Anthropogenic activities are certainly sources of heavy metals, particularly in locations of domestic dwellings, industry, and other human activities\(^{41}\).

Each bryophyte taxon has specific habitat and environmental preferences and a different ecological niche. These factors, in combination with their sensitivity to environmental change, makes bryophyte taxa distribution a useful indicator of vegetation alteration and climate change\(^{42}\). Thus, bryophytes are commonly used to evaluate the health status of a habitat, as they have the propensity to take up and accumulate pollutants from soil and water. Many reports have indicated that certain sensitive bryophyte taxa accumulate trace metals (Cd, Pb, Ni, and Cr) from the atmosphere, soil and water in contaminated areas across many regions worldwide\(^{43}\). Unfortunately, to date, there exist few studies investigating bryophytes as bioindicators of the heavy metals in both terrestrial and aquatic environments in Thailand\(^{19}\).

**Conclusions**

The presence of bryophytes in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems has received increasing attention in recent decades, as they play an important role in healthy habitats including nutrient, water, heavy metal and carbon cycling; soil formation; and successional processes. The EFs (all heavy metals < 2) indicated that bryophytes from the study site were enriched with low concentrations of heavy metals due to natural processes; however, anthropogenic activities, e.g., nearby tourist and community activities, may have an impact on increased heavy metal content in the future. This is the first report to show the heavy metal accumulating capacity of bryophyte communities. Furthermore, \textit{S. cataractae} accumulated more Cu, Cd, Zn and Fe than other bryophyte taxa, suggesting that it might be the best bioindicator in this aquatic environment.
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**Tables**

### Table 1. Physicochemical properties of Pah Lahd stream

| Parameter                        | Unit                  | Concentration |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Total hardness                   | mg L⁻¹ CaCO₃         | 31.7          |
| Total solids                     | mg L⁻¹                | 103           |
| Fluoride (F⁻)                    | mg L⁻¹                | <0.15         |
| Ammonia-nitrogen (NH₃⁻N)         | mg L⁻¹                | ND            |
| Phosphate (PO₄³⁻)                |                      |               |
| Chloride (Cl⁻)                   | mg L⁻¹                | 6.2           |
| Nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃⁻)          | mg L⁻¹                | 1.01          |
| Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN)    | mg L⁻¹                | <4.0          |
| Total organic carbon (TOC)       | mg L⁻¹                | ND            |
| Biological oxygen demand (BOD)   | mg L⁻¹                | <1.0          |
| Dissolved oxygen (DO)            | mg L⁻¹                | 5.03          |
| Temperature                      | °C                    | 25.3          |
| pH                               |                       | 6.75          |
| Depth                            | m                     | 0.4-1         |

For each parameter, values followed by different letters indicate significant difference at 5% probability level

Cu copper, Cd cadmium, Zn zinc, Fe iron, Mn manganese

### Table 2. Heavy metal accumulation in bryophyte tissues (n = 3)

| Families     | Botanical names | Plant part                        | Heavy metal accumulation (mg kg⁻¹) |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Pottiaceae   | S. cataractae   | Gametophyte (without protonema)   | Cu 506.0±0.6b                       |
|              |                 |                                   | Cd 9.2±0.1c                        |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 846.1±48.0b                      |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 5434.3±42.6de                    |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 144.3±3.5d                       |
| Pottiaceae   | S. cataractae   | Protonema                         | Cu 530.1±25.8a                      |
| Porellaceae  | P. acutifolia var. birmannica | Gametophyte                   | Cd 16.9±0.5a                        |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 1187.2±393.6a                     |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 8026.7±164.0a                     |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 144.5±0.0d                       |
| Pottiaceae   | H. involuta     | Gametophyte                       | Cu 9.6±1.9d                         |
| Marchantiaceae| M. emarginata var. emarginata | Thallus                  | Cd 5.2±0.6d                          |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 129.4±4.6c                       |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 3962.5±146.5f                     |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 467.2±12.0ab                      |
| Fissidentaceae| F. crispulus var. crispulus | Gametophyte                  | Cu 24.2±4.0d                         |
|              |                 |                                   | Cd 8.2±0.8c                          |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 197.6±0.2c                        |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 5386.0±171.3e                      |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 448.6±25.2b                       |
| Leskeaceae   | C. prionophyllym| Gametophyte                       | Cu 10.3±3.2d                         |
|              |                 |                                   | Cd 8.2±2.0c                          |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 226.9±26.6c                       |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 6370.0±371.6c                      |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 504.6±22.9a                       |
| Hypnaceae    | E. zollingeri   | Gametophyte                       | Cu 18.2±3.0d                         |
|              |                 |                                   | Cd 4.8±1.0d                          |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 140.9±8.3c                        |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 4391.3±87.5f                      |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 354.2±18.4c                       |
| Bryaceae     | Bryum sp.       | Gametophyte                       | Cu 135.3±21.2c                       |
|              |                 |                                   | Cd 6.2±1.3d                          |
|              |                 |                                   | Zn 225.5±16.7c                       |
|              |                 |                                   | Fe 5869.9±273.6d                      |
|              |                 |                                   | Mn 482.6±66.1ab                      |

For each parameter, values followed by different letters indicate significant difference at 5% probability level

Cu copper, Cd cadmium, Zn zinc, Fe iron, Mn manganese

### Table 3. Heavy metal accumulation in bryophyte substrates (n = 3)

For each parameter, values followed by different letters indicate significant difference at 5% probability level

Cu copper, Cd cadmium, Zn zinc, Fe iron, Mn manganese
| Material                                                                 | Cu            | Cd         | Zn          | Fe                  | Mn            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Decayed Cu moss                                                        | 188.4±8.4b    | 1.9±0.3a   | 80.5±5.7b   | 1963.7±99.7bc      | 246.9±2.3ab   |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *S. cataractae*                  | 251.6±35.2a   | 1.5±0.1bcd | 59.3±4.3c   | 2289.4±363.3b      | 143.9±28.9cd  |
| Sediment substrate of protonemal colony of *S. cataractae*             | 239.4±1.4a    | 1.7±0.3ab  | 65.9±6.3c   | 2345.3±298.4b      | 126.4±3.0d    |
| Rock substrate of *S. cataractae*                                      | 56.3±4.3c     | 0.5±0.2f   | 34.9±1.3d   | 1259.9±16.9d       | 243.4±38.6bc  |
| Rock substrate of *P. acutifolia* var. *birmanica*                     | 51.2±15.6c    | 0.3±0.2f   | 31.2±4.7d   | 1234.9±40.1d       | 202.6±76.6bc  |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *H. involuta*                    | 11.2±0.9d     | 1.7±0.2abc | 122.6±0.7a  | 3127.1±312.0a      | 314.5±8.5a    |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *M. emarginata* var. *emarginata* | 55.6±1.7c     | 1.2±0.0de  | 66.2±1.2c   | 1174.3±2.1d        | 297.7±12.9a   |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *F. crisulus* var. *crisulus*     | 57.5±4.2c     | 1.2±0.1de  | 66.8±3.1c   | 1172.8±8.1d        | 312.0±14.3a   |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *C. prionophyllum*               | 54.5±4.1c     | 1.2±0.1de  | 64.9±3.3c   | 1164.5±16.3d       | 301.4±18.4a   |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *E. zollingeri*                  | 60.8±0.4c     | 1.3±0.0cde | 76.3±5.8b   | 1663.7±99.8c       | 312.0±23.5a   |
| Sediment substrate of shoot colony of *Bryum* sp.                      | 56.5±6.3c     | 1.0±0.1e   | 62.7±0.8c   | 1156.6±12.3d       | 273.3±29.3ab  |
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**Figure 1**

Means for the enrichment factors (EFs) with corresponding standard deviations (SD) for four elements in mosses relative to the sediment substrate