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**Abstract:** Increasing accumulation of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere mainly caused by fossil fuels combustion of human activities have resulted in adverse global warming. Therefore, searching for treatment methods for effective utilization of CO$_2$ have received a great attention worldwide. Among various methods (e.g., adsorption, absorption, storage, membrane technologies, etc.) have been developed and applied, the sequestration of CO$_2$ using microalgae has recently emerged as an alternatively sustainable approach. In this work, a green microalgal strain *Chlorella sorokiniana* TH01 was used to investigate its capability in sequestration of CO$_2$ in laboratory scale. Results indicated that the *C. sorokiniana* TH01 grew well under a wide range of CO$_2$ concentration from 0.04% to 20% with maximum growth was achieved under CO$_2$ aeration of 15%. In a single photobioreactor (PBR) with 10 min empty bed residence time (EBRT), the *C. sorokiniana* TH01 only achieved CO$_2$ fixation efficiency of 6.33% under continuous aeration of 15% CO$_2$. Increasing number of PBRs to 15 and connected in a sequence enhanced mean CO$_2$ fixation efficiency up to 82.64%. Moreover, the CO$_2$ fixation efficiency was stable in the range of 78.67 to 91.34% in 10 following days of the cultivation. Removal efficiency of NO$_3$-N and PO$_4$-P reached 82.54 – 90.25% and 95.33 – 98.02%, respectively. Our trial data demonstrated that the *C. sorokiniana* TH01 strain is a promising microalgal for further research in simultaneous CO$_2$ mitigation via CO$_2$ sequestration from flue gas as well as nutrients recycling from wastewaters.
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1. Introduction

Global warming caused by accumulation of billion tons of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere which is mainly attributed to the combustion of fossil fuels from industrial activities [1]. Hence, reducing the emissions of CO$_2$ is an urgently demand. Numerous technologies such as chemical adsorption, chemical absorption and storage have been applied for the purpose of treatment of CO$_2$ mostly discharging from industrial plants [1,2]. However, most of the developed technologies are costly and unsustainable. Biological method of capture CO$_2$ using microalgae have been considering as a promising technology [3]. Microalgae mostly grow via photosynthesis by consuming CO$_2$ and using solar energy at a rate of ten times greater than terrestrial plants with higher daily growth rate [4]. Capturing CO$_2$ by microalgae can be simultaneously integrated with wastewater treatment for nutrient removal while producing high-added value biomass which is promising feedstock for energy-related and bioproducts-related industries [3,5].

Various factors must be considered to successfully apply CO$_2$ sequestration using microalgae in industrial plants. The most important factor is the microalgal strain, which is needed to be screened to find an excellent one based on main criteria such as highly adaptable to high concentration of CO$_2$, high growth, highly resistance to toxics (SO$_3$, NO$_3$, micro and nano dust), nutrient composition, light, pH, as well as reactor type [6]. Microalgae reported for biological carbon fixation include Chlorella sp. [7], Scenedesmus sp. [8], and Dunaliella tertiolecta [9]. Li et al. [10] developed a pilot-scale system for CO$_2$ fixation from actual flue gas using Scenedesmus obliquus, which revealed to tolerate high CO$_2$ concentration of 12% with optimal removal efficiency of 67%. Scenedesmus obliquus and Chlorella pyrenoidosa could grow at 50% CO$_2$ and obtain biomass concentration of 0.69 g/L, although the best growth was observed at 10% CO$_2$ with biomass concentration of > 1.22 g/L [11]. Ho et al. [12] studied CO$_2$ mitigation from gas stream containing 10% CO$_2$ using Scenedesmus obliquus CNW-N via two-stage cultivation strategy for algal biomass production. Carbon dioxide consumption rate was reported as 549.9 mg/L/d, while biomass and lipid productivity were estimated as 292.5 and 78.73 mg/L/d, respectively. In Vietnam, Spirulina platensis has been mainly used for CO$_2$ fixation coupling with high nutritive biomass production for functional foods from pretreated coal-fired flue gas (of tunnel brick factory) [13-15]. The harvested biomass had highly nutritive profile (62.58% protein, 8.72 % fatty acids) and met Vietnam national standard of functional food. The results indicated that CO$_2$ originated from industrial activities in Vietnam (e.g. coal-fired power plants, cement plants, natural gas processing plants, etc.) is a potential carbon source for production of high value algal biomass from cyanobacteria (e.g., S. platensis) and green microalgae (e.g., Chlorella, Scenedesmus). Although good results were achieved for Spirulina with respect to utilization of industrially discharged CO$_2$ for algal biomass production, many microalgae species from natural habitants of Vietnam have yet been explored for CO$_2$ sequestration and biomass production study.

In this work, a green algal strain C. sorokiniana TH01 isolated from wastewater of a coal-fired power plant in Quang Ninh province, Vietnam was used to explore its capability in growth and CO$_2$ sequestration via cultivation under a range of CO$_2$ concentration of 0.04- 20% as carbon sources in a single photobioreactor. To improve CO$_2$ fixation efficiency, a sequence of fifteen photobioreactors connected in a series was also constructed to evaluate stable growth and efficiency of CO$_2$ fixation of the algal under the optimal CO$_2$ concentration. Furthermore, overall removal efficiency of nutrients such as NO$_3$-N and PO$_4^{3-}$-P and algal biochemical compositions were also determined.

2. Methods

2.1. Microalgal strain and media

The microalgal strain used in this study was identified and named as Chlorella sorokiniana TH01 (C. sorokiniana TH01) which was obtained
from microalga collection of Department of Applied Analysis, Institute of Chemistry, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Vietnam. The strain was isolated and purified from wastewater of a Cam Pha’s coal-fired power plant, Quang Ninh province, Vietnam. The strain was maintained on solid agar BG-11 medium which consists of (g/L) NaNO₃, 1.5; KH₂PO₄, 0.04; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.075; CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.036; Citric acid, 0.006; Ferric ammonium citrate, 0.006; EDTA (Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid), 0.001; Na₂CO₃, 0.02; mix A5 solution, 1 mL/L; agar, 10. Mix A5 consists of H₃BO₃, 2.86 g/L; MnCl₂·4H₂O, 1.81 g/L; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.222 g/L; Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 0.39 g/L; CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.079 g/L; Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.0494 g/L) [16] under continuous light intensity of 60 µmol/m²·s at 25°C.

The seed C. sorokiniana TH01 culture was made by transferring solid algal on agar plate into 100 mL flask containing 50 mL sterilized BG-11 medium and culturing in one week to obtained cell concentration of 4.8×10⁵ cells/mL, followed by further growth in 250 mL flaks containing 150 mL BG-11 medium under shaking rate of 150 rpm and light illumination of 110 µmol/m²·s at 25°C for another week to reach cell concentration of 5.7×10⁶ cells/mL. The obtained seed culture of C. sorokiniana TH01 was used for following CO₂ sequestration experiments.

### 2.2. Growth experiments of C. sorokiniana TH01 in single PBR

All experiments were performed under irradiation of LED system (light intensity of 110 µmol/m²·s) at 27-28°C (Fig. 1). Duran glass bottles (D × H = 182 mm × 330 mm, 5 L) containing 4 L BG-11 were used as photobioreactors (PBRs) which were inoculated with 150 mL of the seed culture of C. sorokiniana TH01. The bioreactors were connected with industrial CO₂ tank (99.99%, Indochina Gas JSC, Hanoi, Vietnam) and air pump via a long stainless steel pipe (450 mm × ø 3 mm) to the bottom for gas bubbling in. Carbon dioxide and air flowrates were controlled by flow meters to yield different concentration of CO₂ of 0.04%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% aerating the PBRs. Detail of industrial CO₂ and air flowrate were designed in Table 1. Exactly 400 mL/min mixtures of CO₂ and air of different CO₂ concentrations controlled by a flow meter (DFG-6T, 0.1-0.8 L/min scale, Darhor Technology Co., Limited, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China) were continuously aerated into the inlet of the PBR and flow out into an infrared online CO₂ analyzer (SERVOMEX4100, Servomex, UK) to monitor CO₂ concentration for measurement of CO₂ fixation efficiency (Fig. 1).

| CO₂ concentration (%) | Industrial CO₂ flowratea (L/min) | Air flowrateb (L/min) | CO₂+Air mixture flowratec (L/min) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.04                  | 0                                | 0.4²                  | 0.4                              |
| 5                     | 0.5                              | 9.5                   | 0.4                              |
| 10                    | 0.5                              | 4.5                   | 0.4                              |
| 15                    | 0.5                              | 3.0                   | 0.4                              |
| 20                    | 1.0                              | 4.0                   | 0.4                              |

*aIndustrial CO₂ (99.99%) flowrate controlled with a flowmeter (DFG-6T, 0.1 – 0.8 L/min scale, Darhor Technology Co., Limited, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China)*

*bAir flowrate controlled with a flowmeter (DFG-6T, 2 – 20 L/min scale, Darhor Technology Co., Limited, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China)*

*cCO₂+Air flowrate controlled with a flowmeter (DFG-6T, 0.1 – 0.8 L/min scale, Darhor Technology Co., Limited, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China)*

*dAir flowrate controlled with a flowmeter (DFG-6T, 0.1 – 0.8 L/min scale, Darhor Technology Co., Limited, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China)*
2.3. Growth experiments of *C. sorokiniana* TH01 in a sequence of PBRs

Based on experimental data achieved from section 1.3, the CO$_2$ concentration resulted in maximum growth of *C. sorokiniana* TH01 was applied for further investigation of *C. sorokiniana* TH01’s growth and its stability in CO$_2$ sequestration in a sequence of 15 PBRs connected in a series under the same light and temperature conditions employed in section 1.3 (Fig. 1). The optimal mixture of CO$_2$ and air was continuously aerated the system at a rate of 400 mL/min while biomass growth, pH trend of algal culture and CO$_2$ fixation efficiency were regularly monitored in ten days.

2.4. Analysis

2.4.1. Algal growth monitoring and biomass productivity

The growth of *C. sorokiniana* TH01, including dry weight and chlorophyll *a* concentration were simultaneously determined. Dry weight was determined with filter paper (Whatman 0.45 μm, 47 mm, UK). Dry weight (DW, g/L) was calculated using equation (1).

\[
DW = \frac{m_a - m_b}{V}
\]

Where $m_a$ and $m_b$ are the weights of oven-dried filter at 105°C for 24 h after and before filtration, respectively, and V is the volume of the microalgal suspension filtered.

The specific growth rate ($\mu$, day$^{-1}$) was determined from the linear coefficient of the equation modelling (2), which was described in [17] of the exponential phase of the growth curve.

\[
\mu = \frac{(\ln X_2 - \ln X_1)}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

Where $X_2$ and $X_1$ are biomass concentrations (g/L) measured at time slot $t_2$ (day) and $t_1$ (day), respectively.

Pigments were determined using a slightly modified method which was described elsewhere in a recent study [18]. Briefly, Pigments were extracted by pure methanol at 60°C for 30 min, and the amount of chlorophyll *a* (Chl-*a*, mg/L) was calculated using equation (3).

\[
\text{Chl-}a = \frac{(15.65OD_{666} - 7.34OD_{653})V_{\text{MeOH}}}{V_{\text{algal suspension}}}
\]

Where $OD_{666}$ and $OD_{653}$ are optical densities at 666 nm and 653 nm, respectively; $V_{\text{MeOH}}$ and $V_{\text{algal suspension}}$ are the
volumes of methanol and microalgal suspension used for extraction of pigments, respectively.

The biomass productivity was calculated using equation (4):

$$ P = \frac{C}{t} \quad (4) $$

Where C is biomass concentration (g/L), t is cultivation time (day) and P is a real productivity (g/L·day).

The concentration of CO$_2$ was monitored at inlet and outlet of the PBRs by CO$_2$ analyzer (SERVOMEX4100, UK), which was then used to calculated CO$_2$ removal efficiency according to the following equation (5) that was described in [19].

$$ E_{CO_2} = \left( 1 - \frac{C_{CO_2 \text{outlet}}}{C_{CO_2 \text{inlet}}} \right) \times 100\% \quad (5) $$

Where $C_{CO_2 \text{inlet}}$ and $C_{CO_2 \text{outlet}}$ are the CO$_2$ concentration measured at inlet and outlet point of the PBRs.

2.4.2. Nutrients removal efficiency

For nutrient concentration measurement, 250 mL of each sample was filtered by VWR Sterile 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membrane syringe filters (VWR, Radnor, PA) and diluted to concentrations within the reasonable detection range of anions, including nitrate and phosphate. Concentration of NO$_3^-$-N and PO$_4^{3-}$-P were determined using standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater published by American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Environment Federation [20]. The removal efficiency of NO$_3^-$-N and PO$_4^{3-}$-P was determined by equation (6).

$$ H_i = (1 - \frac{C_i}{C_{i0}}) \times 100\% \quad (6) $$

Where, $C_i$ and $C_{i0}$ (mg/L) are concentration of NO$_3^-$-N and PO$_4^{3-}$-P measured at cultivation time (t) and initial time (t$_0$), respectively.

Empty bed residence time (EBRT, min) of CO$_2$ passed through a single PBR was determined by equation (7).

$$ EBRT = \frac{V}{Q} \quad (7) $$

Where, V is working volume of a single PBR (mL) and Q is flowrate of air + CO$_2$ mixture (mL/min).

Total EBRT (T-EBRT) of CO$_2$ passed through PBRs system was determined by the following equation (8).

$$ T-EBRT = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{V_i}{Q_i} \quad (8) $$

Where $V_i$ is working volume of PBR number i in the PBRs system (mL), $Q_i$ is aeration rate of air + CO$_2$ mixture (mL/min).

2.4.3. Harvesting biomass

The C. sorokiniana TH01 biomass was harvested at the end of cultivation by centrifugation method at 4000 rpm for 5 min using a centrifuge (TDL-5A, Zenith Lab Inc., Brea Blvd.Brea, CA92821, USA). The dewatered biomass was dried at 25°C for 24h using a cool dryer (MSL300MT, Mactech Co., Ltd, Vietnam) to obtain flake biomass. The flake form was further ground by a mini grinder (800A, LaLiFa Co., Ltd, Vietnam) to obtain fined algal powder (< 5 µm). The biomass powder was used for analysis of biochemical composition.

2.4.4. Biochemical composition and lipid characterization of C. sorokiniana TH01

The major biochemical compositions of C. sorokiniana TH01 biomass include carbohydrates, proteins and lipids. Moisture of C. sorokiniana TH01 was determined by drying the biomass at 105°C overnight that was weighed against the original weight of biomass [21]. The amount of total carbohydrate of C. sorokiniana TH01 was measured by phenol-sulfuric acid assay [22]. Total protein was determined following procedure which was described in [23]. The total fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) derivation content of C. sorokiniana TH01 was derived using in situ transesterification method of the algal biomass with HCl/methanol (5% v/v) as homogeneous catalyst at 85°C for 1 h and quantified using gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) as described in [21].
2.5. Statistical analysis

The experiments carried out in duplicate with two replicates measurements and the results were presented as mean ± S.D. of all four biological replicates (n = 4). Statistical analysis was done using one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey’s test (Graph pad V7) and a p-value of <0.05 was taken as significant. The statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of CO₂ concentration aeration on the algal growth in single PBR

Fig. 2A shows that BG-11 medium inoculated with C. sorokiniana TH01 was saturated with CO₂ after 2-3 days aeration. The initial pH of BG-11 medium was 7.74±0.17 which is preferable for the most of C. sorokiniana TH01 growth. The pH of the culture increased from 7.74 to about 8.6 under aeration of air with 0.04% CO₂. The increasing CO₂ concentration by mixing air with industrial CO₂ from 0.04% through 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% resulted in decreasing of pH of the algal culture. The decrease of pH was due to the increase HCO₃⁻ and H⁺ production via reaction of CO₂ + H₂O → HCO₃⁻ + H⁺ when concentration of CO₂ increased. The higher concentration of CO₂ the faster and deeper decreased of pH of the algal culture. However, dissolution of CO₂ in the liquid media tends to reach equilibrium (depend on temperature and pressure) which is controlled by Henry’s Law. Thus, under a specific CO₂ concentration, pH of the algal culture tended to reach a specifically stable value. In practice, the stable pOH values of the algal culture measured under aeration of CO₂ concentration of 0.04%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% were 8.6, 7.0, 6.6, 6.5 and 5.8, respectively.

It is observed that C. sorokiniana TH01 adapted well under CO₂ concentration range of 0.04-20%. The increasing biomass concentration was recorded when CO₂ concentration increased from 0.04 to 15%. Particularly, maximum CO₂ concentration was achieved at 2.04±0.21 g/L when 15% CO₂ was applied. The increasing biomass production when CO₂ concentration aerated from 0.04 to 15% was attributed to addition of inorganic carbon source for enhancement of photosynthesis process of the C. sorokiniana TH01. However, further increase CO₂ concentration to 20% caused significant decrease of the pH of the algal culture (from 7.74 to 5.8) which inhibited the algal growth leading to decreasing of biomass concentration (Fig. 2B). Thus, it was summarized that optimal CO₂ concentration for the C. sorokiniana TH01 growth is 15%, which is a popular proportion of CO₂ in flue gas, whereas pH of the algal culture should be maintained between 6 and 9 for better algal growth.

Table 2 summaries that C. sorokiniana TH01 is ranked among the superior strains in adaption with high concentration of CO₂. The maximum CO₂ tolerance of C. sorokiniana TH01 is comparable to tolerant degrees of Chlorella PY-ZU1 (15% CO₂ after domestication period of 7 days) [19], but significantly higher than 10% CO₂ reported for Scenedesmus obtusiusculus [24] and 10% CO₂ for Scenedesmus obtusiusculus CNW-N [25]. It is also noted that although the maximum biomass concentration of C. sorokiniana TH01 of 1.0-2.04 g/L is lower than 2.65 g/L, 2.7-6.0 g/L and 3.51 g/L reported for Chlorella PY-ZU1, Scenedesmus obtusiusculus and Scenedesmus obtusiusculus CNW-N, respectively, the specific growth rate of C. sorokiniana TH01 determined as 0.99 -1.4 day⁻¹ was notable higher than 0.18-0.38 day⁻¹ determined for Scenedesmus obtusiusculus and 1.19 day⁻¹ reported for Scenedesmus obtusiusculus CNW-N. However, the biomass productivity of C. sorokiniana TH01 of 0.23-0.49 g/L·day were comparable to 0.68 g/L·day, 0.25-0.52 g/L·day and 0.29 g/L·day reported for Chlorella PY-ZU1, Scenedesmus obtusiusculus and Scenedesmus obtusiusculus CNW-N, respectively.
Fig. 2. Trend of pH of algal culture (A) and biomass concentration of *C. sorokiniana* TH01 (B) measured under aeration of different CO$_2$ concentration.

Table 2. Growth of microalgae under different CO$_2$ concentration aerated

| Algal strain          | CO$_2$ concentration (%) | Maximum specific growth rate ($\mu$, day$^{-1}$) | Maximum biomass concentration (g/L) | Maximum biomass productivity (g/L·day$^{-1}$) | References |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|
| *C. sorokiniana* TH01 | 0.04                     | 0.99±0.07                                        | 1.0±0.14                           | 0.23±0.02                                     | This study |
| *C. sorokiniana* TH01 | 5                        | 1.26±0.11                                        | 1.53±0.17                          | 0.29±0.04                                     | This study |
| *C. sorokiniana* TH01 | 10                       | 1.40±0.09                                        | 1.79±0.15                          | 0.44±0.02                                     | This study |
| *C. sorokiniana* TH01 | 15                       | 1.36±0.12                                        | 2.04±0.21                          | 0.49±0.03                                     | This study |
| *C. sorokiniana* TH01 | 20                       | 0.81±0.05                                        | 0.85±0.08                          | 0.29±0.05                                     | This study |
| *Chlorella* PY-ZU1    | 15                       | -                                                | 2.65                                | 0.68                                          | [19]       |
| *C. pyrenoidosa*      | 6                        | -                                                | 1.59                                | -                                             | [19]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obtusiusculus$^a$ | 5     | 0.37                                              | 6.0                                 | 0.5                                           | [24]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obtusiusculus$^a$ | 10    | 0.38                                              | 5.7                                 | 0.52                                          | [24]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obtusiusculus$^b$ | 5     | 0.23                                              | 3.12                                | 0.32                                          | [24]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obtusiusculus$^b$ | 10    | 0.34                                              | 2.7                                 | 0.26                                          | [24]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obtusiusculus$^c$ | 5     | 0.18                                              | 3.37                                | 0.25                                          | [24]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obtusiusculus$^c$ | 10    | 0.18                                              | 3.1                                 | 0.26                                          | [24]       |
| *Scenedesmus* obliquus CNW-N | 10   | 1.19                                              | 3.51                                | 0.29                                          | [25]       |

$^a$Light intensity irradiated at 134 µmol/m$^2$·s

$^b$Light intensity irradiated at 94.4 µmol/m$^2$·s

$^c$Light intensity irradiated at 54.7 µmol/m$^2$·s
3.2. Nutrients removal efficiency

Nitrate and phosphate are two essential nutrients of microalgae to synthesize protein, DNA and ATP in microalgal cells. The rate of uptake of these two nutrients depends on cultivation conditions (light, temperature, CO₂ concentration). In this study, the initial concentration of NO₃⁻-N and PO₄³⁻-P in BG-11 medium were determined as 247 mg/L and 7.13 mg/L, respectively. Under different CO₂ concentration, uptake rates of these nutrients are illustrated in Fig. 3. Data shown in Fig. 3A indicates that at CO₂ concentration aeration of 10% and 15% NO₃⁻-N concentration was sharply dropped from 247 mg/L to 87.68 mg/L and 83.17 mg/L in the 1st day, followed by gradually decreased to 27.68 mg/L and 24.08 mg/L at 8th day of the cultivation. Although the reduction of NO₃⁻-N concentration by the C. sorokiniana TH01 grown under CO₂ concentration of 0.04%, 5% and 20% were similar, the reduction magnitudes were different. The final NO₃⁻-N concentrations measured at 8th day were 43.13 mg/L, 35.61 mg/L and 32.86 mg/L for the CO₂ concentration supplied of 0.04%, 5% and 20%, respectively. The overall removal efficiency of NO₃⁻-N by C. sorokiniana TH01 determined at 8th day of the cultivation under CO₂ concentration of 0.04%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% were 82.54%, 85.59%, 88.80%, 90.25% and 86.70%, respectively (Fig. 3B).

The variation of PO₄³⁻-P concentration is shown in Fig. 3C. Data reveals that PO₄³⁻-P concentration was dramatically dropped from initial concentration of 7.13 mg/L to 3.5 - 3.9 mg/L during the 1st day of all cultivations with CO₂ aeration at 0.04 – 20%. The deepest reduction of PO₄³⁻-P was observed when C. sorokiniana TH01 was grown under 15% CO₂, which is consistent with biomass growth as described in Fig. 2B. Concentrations of PO₄³⁻-P measured at 8th day of the cultivation under CO₂ concentration of 0.04%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% were 0.33 mg/L, 0.32 mg/L, 0.17 mg/L, 0.14 mg/L and 0.36 mg/L, corresponding to PO₄³⁻-P removal efficiency of 95.33%, 95.38%, 97.57%, 98% and 95%, respectively (Fig. 3D). The high NO₃⁻-N and PO₄³⁻-P removal efficiency representing that the C. sorokiniana TH01 is a promising strain to grow in nitrogen- and phosphorous-rich wastewater for simultaneous pollutants removal and biomass production.

3.3. Biochemical composition of algal biomass

The major biomolecules accumulated in microalgae are carbohydrates, proteins, and/or lipids. It was commonly reported in the literature that the variation in algal biochemical composition is responding results of the changing of cultivation conditions such as pH, temperature [26], light [26], salinity, metal contents and nutrients availability [27, 28]. Majority of studies investigating growth of microalgae in synthetic media demonstrated that nitrogen and/or phosphorous starvation improves accumulation of lipids and/or carbohydrates [28].

In this study, since phosphorous and nitrogen were exhausted from 6th day of cultivation (Fig. 3D), respectively, the C. sorokiniana TH01 experienced both phosphorous and nitrogen starvation stages and that lipids and carbohydrates content increased.

Data shown in Table 3 reveals that lipids and carbohydrates were accumulated at the largest proportion of 39.26% and 45.21%, respectively, whereas proteins content only reached 12.51% of dry cell weight when C. sorokiniana TH01 grown under 15% CO₂. The content of lipids, carbohydrates and proteins synthesized by C. sorokiniana TH01 grown under 0.04% CO₂, 5% CO₂, 10% CO₂ and 20% CO₂ were determined as 28.63%, 38.01% and 30.5%; 28.84%, 38.28% and 27.97%; 35.32%, 39.41% and 22.29% and 31.36%, 39.52%, and 25.17%, respectively. The chlorophyll a content is only measured at below 1% of the dry cell weight. Our achieved data is well comparable to the lipids (29.92%), carbohydrates (34.80%) and proteins (26.88%) contents measured for Acutodesmus dimorphus grown in BG-11 medium during 2-3 days of nitrogen starvation [29]. The data obtained for C. sorokiniana TH01 is also well agreed with lipids, carbohydrates and proteins determined as 50.12%, < 30%, and 10%, respectively, for
Scenedesmus acuminatus grown in the same BG-11 medium during 9 days of nitrogen starvation [28]. Accumulation of high lipids and carbohydrates contents verify that the C. sorokiniana TH01 is ranked among superior strains for bioenergy production in the literature [30,31]. While lipids are used for biodiesel and bio-jet fuels synthesis, the carbohydrates are promising derived feedstocks for production of gas biofuel (bio-hydrogen) and liquid biofuels (bioethanol and biobutanol) via sequential chemical/biochemical methods [30,31]. Additionally, proteins of the C. sorokiniana TH01 was constituted up to 12.51-30.50% dry biomass (Table 3), revealing a highly promising application in animal feed and food production with potential health benefits [32,33].

![Fig. 3. Variation trend and removal efficiency of NO\textsubscript{3}--N and PO\textsubscript{4}^{3-}--P by C. sorokiniana TH01 under different CO\textsubscript{2} concentration.](image)

Table 3. Biochemical composition of C. sorokiniana TH01 biomass growth under different CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations (n=4)

| CO\textsubscript{2} concentration | 0.04% | 5%  | 10%  | 15%  | 20%  |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|------|
| Chlrophyll a (%)                 | 1.02±0.21 | 0.98±0.17 | 0.91±0.12 | 0.86±0.07 | 0.95±0.15 |
| Lipids (%)\textsuperscript{a}    | 28.63±2.45 | 28.84±2.84 | 35.32±2.78 | 39.26±3.21 | 31.36±2.82 |
| Carbohydrates (%)                | 38.01±3.84 | 38.28±4.59 | 39.41±5.94 | 45.21±4.24 | 39.52±4.07 |
| Proteins (%)                     | 30.5±3.54 | 27.97±3.49 | 22.29±3.38 | 12.51±4.85 | 25.17±2.64 |

\textsuperscript{a}Lipids was determined as fatty acid methyl esters (FAME).
C. sorokiniana TH01 was cultured in BG-11 medium and continuously aerated with 400 mL/min of 15% CO\textsubscript{2} to determine its biomass productivity and CO\textsubscript{2} removal capability in a single and a sequential of 15 photobioreactors. The empty bed residence time (EBRT) of single bioreactor and 15 sequential bioreactors are 10 and 150 min, respectively. Similar mixing of the culture caused by gas bubbles resulted in the same biomass productivities for each bioreactor in the multi-stage sequential bioreactors system.

Maximum biomass concentrations were 2.89 g/L and 2.53 g/L on 10\textsuperscript{th} day, respectively. The maximum growth rate of C. sorokiniana TH01 in single and 15 sequential bioreactors were 0.29 and 0.25 g/L-day, respectively (Table 4). The CO\textsubscript{2} concentration in single PBR and 15 sequential PBRs were measured at 11-14.1% and 1.3-5.4%, respectively, supporting excellent growth of the microalgal. The obtained data indicates that the most appropriate CO\textsubscript{2} concentration range for C. sorokiniana TH01 is about 1.3-14.1% demonstrating a wide adaptability of the microalgal in industrial CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration. The amount of CO\textsubscript{2} fixation exhibited a linearly proportional with cultivation time. The peak CO\textsubscript{2} fixation rate was increased from 15.82 g/day with EBRT of 10 min to 135.41 g/day with EBRT of 150 min (Table 4).
CO₂ fixation efficiency by \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 cultured with EBRT of 10 min sharply increased to 26.67% as CO₂ quickly adsorbed and saturated in the culturing medium and gradually decreased to 6.67% within first 5 days, and then increased to 10.75% and stabilized at 6.0% to 7.75% within the following 10 days. The mean CO₂ fixation efficiency was calculated as 6.33%. When cultured \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 in a sequence of 15 PBRs with EBRT of 150 min, the similar trend of CO₂ fixation curve was observed as \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 grown in the single PBR, achieving the maximum CO₂ fixation efficiency of 91.34% within 26 h and then stabilizing at 78.67 to 91.34% in the following 10 days (Fig. 4). Although CO₂ removal efficiency achieved by \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 in single PBR is comparable to that of \textit{Chlorella} PY-ZU1 (7.6%) grown in soil extract medium under the same CO₂ concentration (15%), the maximum CO₂ fixation rate of \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 of 15.82 g/day was significantly higher than 0.95 g/day determined for \textit{Chlorella} PY-ZU1 [34] and 1.41-2.91 g/day reported for \textit{Scenedesmus acuminatus} [24]. In sequential PBRs system, \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 obtained CO₂ fixation rate and removal efficiency of 135.41 g/day and 82.64% were both considerable higher than 10.51 g/day and 70.48%, respectively, reported for \textit{Chlorella} PY-ZU1 [34]. This is reasonable because our PBR system are much larger using larger volume of culture medium (13 times larger) than the reactors used by Chen et al. [34]. Moreover, our PBRs system required EBRT of 150 min for CO₂ passed through the system which is higher than the system used by Chen et al. [34] with EBRT of 140 min, therefore \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 had a longer time to utilize HCO₃⁻ produced from CO₂.

4. Conclusion

\textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 was grown well in BG-11 medium under aeration of 0.04 – 20% CO₂ with optimal growth determined at 15% CO₂. Biomass production was peaked at 2.89 g/L and 2.53 g/L under CO₂ concentration of 15% within 8 days of cultivation in single PBR and a sequence of 15 PBRs, respectively. Biomass productivity measured in sequential PBRs system was 0.25 g/L-day, which was similar to that of single PBR (0.29 g/L-day). Increasing of EBRT from 10 min to 150 min considerably enhanced mean CO₂ fixation efficiency from 6.33% to 82.64%. When cultivated in a sequence of 15 PBRs, \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 was stably grown under 15% CO₂ with CO₂ fixation efficiency of 78.67 to 91.34% in the following 10 days, demonstrating that the \textit{C. sorokiniana} TH01 is a promising algal strain for application in industrial CO₂ sequestration. Algal biomass accumulated high content of lipids (28.63–39.26%), carbohydrates (38.01–45.21%) and proteins (12.51–30.50%) which are high-valued biomaterials for bioenergy and food/feed production.

Acknowledgements

This research is funded by National Foundation of Science and Technology of Vietnam (NAFOSTED) under the grant No. 104.99-2017.313.

References

[1] J.D. Figueroa, T. Fou, S. Plasynski, H. McIlvried, R.D. Srivastava, Advances in CO₂ capture technology-The U.S. Department of Energy's Carbon Sequestration Program, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control 2 (2008) 9-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1750-5836(07)00094-1.
[2] D.Y.C. Leung, G. Caramanna, M.M. Maroto-Valer, An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 39 (2014) 426-443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.093.
[3] J. Singh, D.W. Dhar, Overview of carbon capture technology: microalgal biorefinery concept and state-of-the-art, Front. Mar. Sci. 6 (2019) 1-9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00029.
[4] N. Usui, M. Ikenouchi, The biological CO₂ fixation and utilization project by RITE(1)-Highly-effective photobioreactor system-., Energy Convers. Manage. 38 (1997) S487-S492. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(96)00315-9.
from the coal-fired flue gas by catalyst - adsorption method for growing Spirulina having high nutritive value, J. Biol. 35 (2013) 320-327. https://doi.org/10.15625/0866-7160/v35n3.3384.

[15] O.T. Doan, A.K.T. Bui, K.T. Hoang, C.H. Nguyen, T.T. Dang, H.D. Dang, N.T. Vu, K. D. Dang, Utilization of carbon dioxide from coal-firing flue gas for cultivation of Spirulina platensis, American J. Environ. Prot. 5 (2016) 152-156. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajep.20160506.12.

[16] A.K. Sharma, P.K. Sahoo, S. Singhal, A. Patel, Impact of various media and organic carbon sources on biofuel production potential from Chlorella spp., 3 Biotech 6 (2016) 116-116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-016-0434-6.

[17] Y.K. Lee, W. Chen, H. Shen, D.X. Han, Y. Li, H.D.T. Jones, J.A. Timlin, Q. Hu, Basic Culturing and Analytical Measurement Techniques, in: Q. Hu and A. Richmond (2nd Ed.) Handbook of Microalgal Culture, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, West Sussex, UK, 2013, pp. 37-68.

[18] M. Ma, C. Wei, H. Wang, C. Sha, M. Chen, Y. Gong, Q. Hu, Isolation and evaluation of a novel strain of Chlorella sorokiniana that resists grazing by the predator Poterioochromonas malhamensis, Algal Res. 38 (2019) 101429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.101429.

[19] J. Cheng, Y. Huang, J. Feng, J. Sun, J. Zhou, K. Cen, Mutate Chlorella sp. by nuclear irradiation to fix high concentrations of CO2, Bioreaour. Technol. 136 (2013) 496-501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.072.

[20] E.W. Rice, R.B. Baird, A.D. Eaton, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 23rd ed., American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Environment Federation, 2017.

[21] L.M.L. Laurens, T.A. Dempster, H.D.T. Jones, E.J. Wolfrum, S. Van Wychen, J.S.P. McAllister, M. Rencenberger, K.J. Parchert, L.M. Gloe, Algal biomass constituent analysis: Method uncertainties and investigation of the underlying measuring chemistries, Anal. Chem. 84 (2012) 1879-1887. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac202668c.

[22] M. DuBois, K.A. Gilles, J.K. Hamilton, P.A. Rebers, F. Smith, Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances, Anal. Chem. 28 (1956) 350-356. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017.

[23] J.A. Berges, A.E. Fisher, P.J. Harrison, A comparison of Lowry, Bradford and Smith protein assays using different protein standards and protein...
isolated from the marine diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*, Mar. Biol. 115 (1993) 187-193. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346334.

[24] A. Toledo-Cervantes, M. Morales, E. Novelo, S. Revah, Carbon dioxide fixation and lipid storage by *Scenedesmus obtusiusculus*, Bioreour. Technol. 130 (2013) 652-658. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.12.081.

[25] S.H. Ho, W.M. Chen, J.S. Chang, *Scenedesmus obliquus* CNW-N as a potential candidate for CO₂ mitigation and biodiesel production, Bioreour. Technol. 101 (2010) 8725-8730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.112.

[26] C.F. Gonçalves, T. Menegol, R. Rech, Biochemical composition of green microalgae *Pseudoneochloris marina* grown under different temperature and light conditions, Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 18 (2019) 101032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101032.

[27] W. Michelon, M.L.B. Da Silva, M.P. Mezzari, M. Piroli, J.M. Prandini, H.M. Soares, Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on biochemical composition of microalgae polyculture harvested from piggycomediation of piggery wastewater digestate, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 178 (2019) 1407-1419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1955-x.

[28] Y. Zhang, H. Wu, C. Yuan, T. Li, A. Li, Growth, biochemical composition, and photosynthetic performance of *Scenedesmus acuminatus* during nitrogen starvation and resupply, J. Appl. Phycol. 31 (2019) 2797-2809. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-019-01783-z.

[29] K. Chokshi, I. Pancha, A. Ghosh, S. Mishra, Nitrogen starvation-induced cellular crosstalk of ROS-scavenging antioxidants and phytohormone enhanced the biofuel potential of green microalga *Acutodesmus dimorphus*, Biotechnol Biofuels 10 (2017) 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0747-7.

[30] C.Y. Chen, X.Q. Zhao, H.W. Yen, S.H. Ho, C.L. Cheng, D.J. Lee, F.W. Bai, J.S. Chang, Microalgae-based carbohydrates for biofuel production, Biochem. Eng. J. 78 (2013) 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2013.03.006.

[31] M.A. Alam, T. Yuan, W. Xiong, B. Zhang, Y. Lv, J. Xu, Process optimization for the production of high-concentration ethanol with *Scenedesmus raciborskii* biomass, Bioreour. Technol. 294 (2019) 122219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122219.

[32] M.P. Caporgno, A. Mathys, Trends in microalgae incorporation into innovative food products with potential health benefits, Front. Nutr. 5 (2018) 1-10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00058.

[33] Â.P. Matos, Microalgae as a potential source of proteins, in: C.M. Galanakis (Ed.), Proteins: Sustainable Source, Processing and Applications, Academic Press, 2019, pp. 63-96.

[34] J. Cheng, Y. Huang, J. Feng, J. Sun, J. Zhou, K. Cen, Improving CO₂ fixation efficiency by optimizing Chlorella PY-ZU1 culture conditions in sequential bioreactors, Bioreour. Technol. 144 (2013) 321-327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.06.122.