Moderate Dose Irradiation Induces DNA Damage and Impairments of Barrier and Host Defense in Nasal Epithelial Cells in vitro
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Purpose: Radiotherapy (RT) is the mainstay of treatment for head and neck cancers. However, chronic and recurrent upper respiratory tract infections and inflammation have been commonly reported in patients post-RT. The underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood.

Method and Materials: We used a well-established model of human nasal epithelial cells (hNECs) that forms a pseudostratified layer in the air-liquid interface (ALI) and exposed it to single or repeated moderate dose γ-irradiation (1Gy). We assessed the DNA damage and evaluated the biological properties of hNECs at different time points post-RT. Further, we explored the host immunity alterations in irradiated hNECs with polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid sodium salt (poly [I:C]) and lipopolysaccharides (LPS).

Results: IR induced DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) and triggered DNA damage response in hNECs. Repeated IR significantly reduced basal cell proliferation with low expression of p63/KRT5 and Ki67, induced cilia loss and inhibited mucus secretion. In addition, IR decreased ZO-1 expression and caused a significant decline in the transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER). Moreover, hyperreactive response against pathogen invasion and disrupted epithelial host defense can be observed in hNECs exposed to repeated IR.

Conclusion: Our study suggests that IR induced prolonged structural and functional impairments of hNECs may contribute to patients post-RT with increased risk of developing chronic and recurrent upper respiratory tract infection and inflammation.
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Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT) is the mainstay of malignant tumors’ treatment and has been utilized as the primary treatment in all subsides of head and neck cancer. RT shrink or cure tumors by causing lethal DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) directly or indirectly in targeted tumor cells, resulting in the termination of cell division and proliferation, or even cell necrosis and apoptosis. It affects both tumor cells and adjacent normal cells. After the DSBs’ formation, H2AX, one of the histone H2A family members, is phosphorylated into γ-H2AX at the DSB sites. Many studies have shown that the γ-H2AX foci appear almost immediately post-irradiation and vanish through a time-dependent manner. Since DSB is a critical lesion, failure to restore the chromosome structure can initiate genomic instability and negatively affect cell survival.

Some recent studies demonstrated that ionizing radiation (IR) induced injury on epithelial cells. For example, RT causes radiation-induced enteropathy by increasing intestinal permeability and tight junction disruption. The RT damage and triggered DNA damage response in hNECs. Repeated IR significantly...
generates reactive oxygen species and DNA damage in the oral epithelium and leads to mucositis eventually.\textsuperscript{8} IR also damages the integrity of bronchial epithelium in patients with lung cancer post-RT, posing a potential threat of subsequent radiation-induced lung injury.\textsuperscript{9}

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is the most common head and neck malignant tumor originating in the nasopharynx. Because of its high sensitivity to IR, especially intensity-modulated RT (IMRT), RT serves as the first choice of NPC management,\textsuperscript{10–12} with a five-year overall survival rate of NPC patients post-RT of up to 75\%\textsuperscript{13} According to the typical IMRT protocol, the primary tumor site would receive a total radiation dose of 66 to 70 Gy in 33 to 35 fractions, 2 Gy per fraction, and the frequency is once daily for 5 consecutive days per week for total 6 to 7 weeks.\textsuperscript{14} However, throughout the treatment procedure, the nasal mucosa would inadvertently get exposed to approximately 30 Gy (equivalent to 1 Gy per day),\textsuperscript{15} which might induce DSBs in normal epithelial cells and result in subsequent nasal symptoms. It has been reported that the incidence of rhinosinusitis in NPC patients who received IMRT is up to 73.5\%.\textsuperscript{16} In our previous study, we observed long-term epithelium barrier defects and aberrant morphological changes in the inferior turbinate of NPC patients post-RT,\textsuperscript{15} and the biopsies collected from patients suffered from radiation-induced chronic rhinosinusitis that manifested a more severe epithelial remodeling.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, several studies also found that the mucociliary clearance remarkably decreased in patients who endured RT.\textsuperscript{18,19} Those findings indicated that IR induced damage of airway epithelium and increased the susceptibility of these patients to respiratory tract infections and inflammation.

The human nasal epithelium is composed of basal cells, secretory cells, and ciliated or nonciliated columnar cells with distinct proportions.\textsuperscript{20} Under homeostatic circumstance, the epithelium is not only capable for self-renewal,\textsuperscript{21} but also contributes to physiological barrier and host defense.\textsuperscript{22} However, whether exposure to peripheral doses of below treatment levels radiation-induced would induce DNA damage in nasal epithelial cells leading to long-term defects, remains poorly understood. We have been successful in isolating adult human nasal epithelial stem/progenitor cells (hNESPCs) from nasal biopsies.\textsuperscript{24,25} The hNESPCs are able to differentiate into pseudostratified nasal epithelium in ALI culture, which is composed of both ciliated columnar cells and goblet cells on top, while maintaining an immature phenotype. Therefore, this in vitro epithelium model allows us to study the long-term effect of irradiation on the mechanical barrier and the innate immune response of the nasal epithelium in response to single and repeated exposure (5 days) to moderate dose (1 Gy) of irradiation. This experiment setup aimed at mimicking a post-irradiation epithelium conditions in vivo and investigating the long-term effect of IR on normal nasal epithelial cells. Understanding the molecular events driving the normal tissue injury is important in developing preventions and treatment of IR-induced toxicities.

Materials and Methods

hNECs Culture, Irradiation, and Treatment

The hNECs were differentiated from hNESPCs isolated from inferior turbinate of healthy subjects (n = 9) who underwent septal plastic surgery at the National University Hospital of Singapore. None of them had upper respiratory tract infections or any type of rhinosinusitis. They were not taking any forms of glucocorticoids, anti-inflammatory agents, or antibiotics within 3 months before the surgery. Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the National Healthcare Group Domain-Specific Board of Singapore (DSRB code D/11/228). The hNESPCs were transferred to an ALI system to form a pseudostratified layer within 4 weeks. Methods for culturing hNECs were described in the previous paper.\textsuperscript{24}

On ALI day 1, cells were exposed to 1 Gy irradiation in a \textsuperscript{60}Co source irradiator (Gamma Chamber 4000A, Board of Radiation & Isotope Technology, India) using a dose rate of 0.56 Gy/min. The cells for irradiation were divided into two different groups, the IR1 group was exposed to single time irradiation on ALI day 1, the IR5 group received a repeated exposure from ALI day 1 to day 5. In the meantime, the control cells experienced mock irradiation by being placed outside the room of the irradiator. After finishing the irradiation, both irradiated and control cells continued to culture until they were fully differentiated and harvested on ALI day 14 and ALI day 28.

For the stimulating experiments, the medium containing 25 µg/mL Poly(I:C) or 10 µg/mL LPS was added to the control and IR5 groups on the ALI day 28. After 24 hours of stimulation, we harvested the cells for further analysis.
Cytospin Preparation
Dissociated hNECs with 1×Trypsin/EDTA solution (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, United States) and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min followed by PBS wash. Cytospin (1–2×10⁴ cells/slide) was prepared at 500 rpm for 5 min by using Shandon Cytospin 4 Cytocentrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh PA, United States).

Immunofluorescent (IF) Staining
Cytospin samples and transwell membranes of ALI culture were used to perform IF staining. Briefly, samples were fixed and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X, then blocked with 10% goat serum and subsequently incubated with a primary antibody (Table 1) overnight at 4°C. The next day, samples were washed with 1× PBS three times, followed by 60 min incubation of Alexa Fluor 488- or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:500, Invitrogen, A1029 & A21145 & A10037) in the dark at room temperature. Mounting medium with 4ʹ6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used to stain cell nucleus.

Foci Counting Method
Immunofluorescent images were captured by fluorescent microscope (Olympus IX51, Tokyo, Japan) at 400× magnification. Five individual views from each IR or time-matched control membrane were assessed. The foci were counted manually in at least 500 cells. The foci per nucleus were calculated by the following formula: total foci per view/total DAPI per view. For the foci over 30 pixels, we used FIJI software to distinguish and manually exclude the selected point not overlapped with DAPI.

Western Blotting
The preparation of the cell lysates, SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis were performed according to standard protocols. The PVDF membrane was incubated respectively with primary antibody (Table 1) overnight at 4°C on shaker. After incubating with HRP-linked secondary antibody (1:2000, Invitrogen, 31,430 and 32,460) for 1 hour at room temperature, the protein bands were detected using the iBright 1500 Image system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh PA, United States) and assessed the ratio of target protein against house-keeping protein.

RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)
Total RNA was extracted from hNECs using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, United States). Complementary DNA was synthesized in a 20 µL reaction volume from 1 µg total RNA. Then, relative gene expression was detected using SYBR green gene expression assay on CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, United States) and was normalized to 2⁻ΔΔCt with ribosomal protein L13a (RPL13A) as a housekeeping gene. Details of primer sequences could be found in Table 2.

### Table 1 Antibodies and Dilutions Used for IF or Western Blot

| Antibody | Host | Type       | IF Dilution | WB Dilution | Catalog   | Company          |
|----------|------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------------|
| γ-H2AX   | Ms   | Monoclonal | 1:300       | 1:1000      | JBW301    | Millipore        |
| ZO-1     | Rb   | Polyclonal | 1:400       | 1:1000      | HPA001636 | Sigma            |
| FOXJ1    | Rb   | Polyclonal | 1:300       | 1:1000      | HPA005714 | Sigma            |
| MUC5AC   | Rb   | Polyclonal | 1:500       |             | SC-20118  | Santa Cruz       |
| MUC5AC   | Rb   | Monoclonal |             | 1:10,000    | ab198294  | Abcam            |
| GAPDH    | Ms   | Monoclonal |             | 1:10,000    | ab8245    | Abcam            |
| Ki67     | Rb   | Monoclonal | 1:400       |             | ab16667   | Abcam            |
| KRT5     | Rb   | Monoclonal | 1:600       |             | ab52635   | Abcam            |
| p63      | Ms   | Monoclonal | 1:50        |             | ab735     | Abcam            |
| Ace-α-tubulin | Ms | Monoclonal | 1:1000       |             | ab24610   | Abcam           |
Transepithelial Electrical Resistance (TEER)

TEER was measured for control and IR cells using an epithelial volt/ohm meter (EVOM2) (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, United States) at ALI day 28; the value of TEER was calculated by subtracting the blank well measurement and presented as $\Omega \cdot cm^2$.

Ciliary Beat Frequency Assessment (CBF)

Before measurement, cells were washed with 1×dPBS at 37°C. CBF was then analyzed at room temperature using the Sisson-Ammons Video Analysis system (SAVA, Omaha, NE, United States) with an inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at a magnification of 200×. Actively beating ciliated cells from each experiment in ALI culture were assessed, respectively, before harvesting. For all experiments, the predominant frequency of a small group of cilia from each sample was viewed and taken in at least three separate fields every 30 s for up to 3 min. At the same time, they were maintained at a constant temperature ($23 \pm 0.5^\circ C$). All frequencies from each sample are expressed as the mean from each field over 3 min.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, United States). Mann–Whitney two-tailed test was used to analyze the differences among control and treatment groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### Table 2 Primers Used for RT-qPCR

| Target Gene | Sequence (Forward, 5’-3’) | Sequence (Reverse, 5’-3’) |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| RPL13A      | GTCTGAAGCTCACAAGAAAA     | TGTCACATTTCTCTCCAC       |
| FOXJ1       | GTGAAGCCTCCAATCC         | ATGTGACACATGGAATG        |
| MUC5AC      | AATGGTGGAATGTTGACAC     | TTCTGAGGAAAATCG         |
| ZO-1        | TTGCTTCTATAACTCCAC      | GACTCAGCGATGAGTTT        |
| Ki67        | GACAGGATCCCTAAAGAGAG    | ATCTGAGGGAATG           |
| p63         | CAGGCTTCTACATCTG        | CGATTCAGGTCACTG          |
| KRT5        | TGGAGAGCTTCAAGAAGAGAG   | ATTCGAGGTCACTG          |
| DNAI1       | TCAGGTTGGAAGATCTAGG     | ACTCAGGCTTGC            |
| DNAI2       | GAACTGGGAAGAAGTTGAG     | CTTCTGCTGGAAGTTG        |
| DNAH2       | AGATCGAGAGATAACAC       | ATTGAAAATCTCTCCAGC       |
| DNAH3       | AATCTAGCAGTCGAAAATTC    | CACTTHTTGAAGAGTCTG       |
| DNAH5       | ACTGATGCAACTAATGGAAG    | AGTGAAGAAATGCACTC        |
| DNAH6       | CATGGCTCAAAGACCTTATC    | GTCCTGTTAGAAATCTTAGG     |
| DNAH12      | AGTCTCTTACCTGAACTCT     | GTTGATACCAAGAGGCAAC      |
| RSPH1       | GGAAGAGGAGGGAAAGAGAAG   | ATTCGAGGTCACTGAGAGAGG   |
| RSPH4A      | TTTGACACAATTCTCTAGA     | TTGGAGGAATGAGTTGAGAGAGG |
| RSPH9       | GAATATAGAACACTCTGAGT    | CTTGATGAGGCACTTGTG       |
| TLR3        | AGATTTTCAAGTTACATGTC    | CTAATGTGAGGAGGCAAC       |
| CXCL10      | AAAGCAGTGGGAGGAAGAGAG   | TCTGTGCACTTTCCTTAGT      |
| IFNB1       | ATTCTAACGGAACCTGTC      | GTTGAGTCTCATGGAAGAGAGG  |
| IL28A       | AACATACGAGGCTCACTTGC    | GACTCATTGAAAGCACCAGTCAT |
| RSAD2       | GCTTTAAGAGGAAAGCAAGAAAG | CATCCTGATGTCGATTCCAG    |
| TLR4        | GATTTGCAATGTTGAAATCC    | TATAAAGGAGGAGAGGAGAGGA  |
| CCL2        | AGACTAACCCGAACACATCC    | ATGGATGCTGAGTTGAGCT     |
| IL-6        | GCAGAAAAAGGAAGAAGATTCC  | CTACATTGCCGAGGAAGC       |
| IL-8        | GTTTTTGAAGAGGCTTGAG     | TTTCTTGAAGGTTTCACCG     |
| IL-17A      | GTATGAGAAAAAGTTGCCAGCC  | TGGTACAGATGGAACACTTG    |
Results
IR Induces Cell DNA Double Strands to Break and Repair Within 24h Post-Irradiation
In our study, we chose a moderate dose gamma IR on hNECs corresponding to the expected peripheral dose during RT. Our pilot studies carried out at higher doses of 2Gy demonstrated a decrease in cell viability compared to 1Gy (Figure S1A). Therefore, we used 1Gy as the subsequent treatment dose in the following experiments at varying time points on the 0–24h scale for both single (IR1) and repeated (IR5) irradiation. We first assessed the radiation-induced DNA damage and repair kinetics of DSBs by using γ-H2AX immunofluorescence (IF) assay. DSBs’ detection and foci quantification were performed at 1h, 3h, 6h, and 24h (Figure 1A). According to the IF result, we observed that γ-H2AX foci formation peaked at 1h post-irradiation and gradually reduced to baseline by 24h post-irradiation for both IR1 and IR5 groups (Figure 1B). Compared to the time-matched control cells, the IR groups displayed a robust increase in the number of γ-H2AX foci per nucleus (Figure 1C and D), indicating that IR caused hNECs DNA damage. We also performed Western blot to detect the γ-H2AX protein level (Figure S1B). We observed elevated γ-H2AX signal in both IR1 and IR5 groups at 1h post-IR (Figure S1C and D). Although it did not show a time-dependent decrease compared to the time-matched control cells, the result still demonstrated that IR induced DSBs and triggered DNA damage response in hNECs.

Moreover, we noticed that the foci varied from one another in size. Thus, we further investigated the foci number over 30 pixels. In both IR1 and IR5 groups, the number of foci >30 pixels decreased in a time-dependent manner. The number of >30 pixels foci reached a higher level at 1h post-IR in the IR1 group and ultimately went down to baseline level at 24h post-IR. However, the number of >30 pixels foci at 24h post-IR in the IR5 group remained detectable (Figure 1E and F). These findings indicated a reduced repair efficiency in the IR5 group.

IR Disrupts the Proliferation of hNECs
In order to investigate the influence of moderate dose irradiation on hNECs proliferation and differentiation, both irradiated and control cells were continually cultured until fully differentiated (Figure 2A). According to the cytospin IF staining result, the ratio of p63 and keratin 5 (KRT5) double-positive cells, representing basal cells, significantly decreased in the IR5 group in comparison to the control on ALI day 28 but not on ALI day 14. We found no significant reduction in the ratio of basal cells between the IR1 group and the control group on both ALI day 14 and day 28 (Figure 2B and C). The ratio of Ki67 positive cells (proliferation marker) also significantly decreased in the IR5 group in comparison to the control on ALI day 28 but not on ALI day 14. The ratio of Ki67 positive cells in the IR1 group did not show a significant reduction in comparison to the control on both ALI day 14 and day 28 (Figure 2D and E). In contrast with the protein level, the mRNA levels of Ki67, p63, and KRT5 showed an increasing trend on both day 14 and day 28 in comparison to the control (Figure S2A–C), which indicated a compensatory transcription of damaged proliferation.

Collectively, a reduced ratio of proliferative basal cells indicated that repeated exposure to moderate dose irradiation might affect the differentiative ability of hNECs function.

IR Leads to an Altered Differentiation of Goblet Cells and Ciliated Cells in hNECs
The normal epithelium can differentiate into ciliated and goblet cells under ALI culture conditions. We investigated whether IR affected the differentiation of hNECs. IF staining was performed for phenotyping goblet cells and ciliated cells (Figure 3A). From IF, we could observe a significant reduction in the positive area of goblet cell marker MUC5AC in both IR1 and IR5 groups (Figure 3B). MUC5AC was significantly elevated in mRNA expression at day 28 in the IR5 group (Figure 3C). However, in contrast to the increased mRNA level, the Western blot results revealed a down-regulation of MUC5AC in both IR1 and IR5 groups (Figure 3D). The IF staining result showed a significant reduction in the cilia area in the IR5 group compared with the untreated control group, while the IR1 group maintained a similar cilia density as the control group (Figure 3E). Then, we further investigated the ciliated cell marker FOXJ1. The mRNA level of FOXJ1, however, increased significantly in the IR5 group (Figure 3F), but we found a significant reduction in the protein level in the IR5 group (Figure 3G).

In our previous study, we found that abnormal ciliogenesis is associated with ultrastructural abnormalities in ciliated cells. Thus, we further investigated the expression of motile cilia assembly markers, such as the outer dynein arm genes.
and radial spoke head genes. We found that the outer dynein arm heavy chain genes DNAH5, DNAH6, DNAH12 decreased significantly in both IR1 and IR5 groups. The outer dynein arm heavy chain genes DNAH2, DNAH3, and intermediate chain genes DNAI1, DNAI2 were also significantly downregulated by irradiation in the IR1 group (Figure 4A–G). However, the mRNA level of radial spoke head protein showed no significant difference between the IR and the control group (Figure S3A–C). The irradiation resulted in a downregulation in the outer dynein arm genes, and the ciliary beating frequency slightly decreased in the IR1 and the IR5 groups compared to the control group, which indicated that the remaining cilia in the IR cells may have defective motility (Figure 4H).

Figure 1 Decreasing γ-H2AX foci in irradiated cells over time. (A) Schematic for ALI culture hNECs irradiation timeline. (B) IF staining images showed γ-H2AX foci (red) within the nucleus (blue) decreased in a time-dependent manner, (×400 magnification, scale bar = 20µm). (C–D) Foci per nucleus were counted manually (counted at ×400 magnification, 5 different views of same donor at each time point). (E–F) The number of foci > 30 pixels per nucleus (counted at ×400 magnification, 5 different views of same donor). Error bars represent mean with standard deviation from 4 different donors. P > 0.05 is presented as “ns”; P < 0.05 is presented as “*”. IR1: hNECs that exposed to single irradiation; IR5: hNECs that exposed to repeated irradiation; CTRL: the untreated hNECs.
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Since IR altered differentiation of hNECs suggests disruption of epithelial integrity and may impair barrier function, we next compared the mRNA level and morphological pattern of tight junction marker ZO-1 in different groups. As shown, IR5 strongly affected cell morphology as observed from ZO-1 staining displaying an irregular pattern, which was less continuous along the cell boundary compared with the control and IR1 groups (Figure 5A). However, the mRNA level of ZO-1 had no significant difference between the control and IR groups (Figure 5B). Immunoblot data showed a decreased level of ZO-1 protein in the IR5 group (Figure 5C). As expected, the transepithelial electrical resistance measurement revealed that the IR5

Figure 2 Effect of irradiation on hNECs proliferation. (A) Schematic for post-IR hNECs culture and harvest timeline. (B) Representative IF staining images show decreased p63 and KRT5 double-positive cells (white arrow pointed cells, ×400 magnification, scale bar = 20 μm) in IR5 group on ALI day 28. (C) Representative IF staining images show decreased Ki67 positive cells (×200 magnification, scale bar = 50 μm) in IR5 group on ALI day 28. (D–E) The ratio of p63 and KRT5 double-positive cells and Ki67 positive cells decreased significantly in IR5 group in comparison to the control on ALI day 28 but not on day 14, while the IR1 group did not show a significant reduction in comparison to the control on both ALI day 14 and day 28. (The number of positive cells was counted at ×200 magnification, 5 views per treatment; day 14, n = 3; day 28, n = 6). Error bars represent median with interquartile range. P > 0.05 is presented as "ns"; *P < 0.05 is presented as "*"; **P < 0.01 is presented as "**". IR1: hNECs that exposed to single irradiation; IR5: hNECs that exposed to repeated irradiation; CTRL: The untreated hNECs.

IR Induces Epithelial Cell Barrier Function Defects

Since IR altered differentiation of hNECs suggests disruption of epithelial integrity and may impair barrier function, we next compared the mRNA level and morphological pattern of tight junction marker ZO-1 in different groups. As shown, IR5 strongly affected cell morphology as observed from ZO-1 staining displaying an irregular pattern, which was less continuous along the cell boundary compared with the control and IR1 groups (Figure 5A). However, the mRNA level of ZO-1 had no significant difference between the control and IR groups (Figure 5B). Immunoblot data showed a decreased level of ZO-1 protein in the IR5 group (Figure 5C). As expected, the transepithelial electrical resistance measurement revealed that the IR5
group reduced significantly in TEER values in comparison to the control on both ALI day 14 and day 28, while the TEER values of the IR1 group showed no difference on both ALI day 14 and day 28 in comparison to the control (Figure 5D).

Taken together, these results indicate that repeated exposure to moderate dose irradiation plays a role in damaging the epithelial barrier integrity.
IR Modulates the Effect of Epithelium in Host Defense Against Foreign Invasion

To investigate whether the irradiation influences the antiviral and antimicrobial activity of hNECs, we treated the fully differentiated control and IR5 hNECs with Poly(I:C) and LPS, respectively, for 24 hours (Figure 6A).

25 µg/mL Poly(I:C) treatment was conducted to mimic a post-viral infection status. After 24 hours of stimulation, we observed a significant elevation of pathogen recognition receptor Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), antiviral type I interferon (IFNB1), type III interferon (IL-28A), and interferon-stimulated gene (RSAD2) as well as chemokine CXC ligand 10 (CXCL10) in both Poly(I:C)-treated control and Poly(I:C)-treated IR5 group in comparison to the untreated control. In addition, compared to the Poly(I:C)-treated control group, the mRNA level of TLR3 and CXCL10 increased significantly in the Poly(I:C)-treated IR5 group (Figure 6B–F).

We also treated the hNECs with 10 µg/mL LPS. After 24 hours of stimulation, the mRNA level of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and type III cytokine (IL-17A) in the LPS-treated IR5 group significantly increased compared to the untreated control. The mRNA level of IL-8 increased significantly in the LPS-treated IR5 group compared to the LPS-treated control group. No significant difference was observed in the expression of chemokine CCL2 and proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 (Figure 6G–K).

The above results suggested that repeated IR exposure modulated the epithelium host defense and leads to hyperreactive response against viral invasion.

Figure 4 Ultrastructural alteration of ciliated cells exposed to IR. (A–G) mRNA level of outer dynein arm heavy chain and intermediate chain markers DNAH5, DNAH6, DNAH12 are decreased significantly in both IR1 and IR5 groups, while DNAH2, DNAH3, DNAH5, DNAH6 only significantly decreased in the IR1 group (n = 9). (H) Ciliary beating frequency (CBF) was auto analyzed using the SAVA system on ALI day 28, a decreasing trend of CBF was detected in the IR1 and IR5 groups (n = 8). Error bars represent median with interquartile range. P > 0.05 is presented as “ns”; P < 0.05 is presented as “*”; P < 0.01 is presented as “**”. IR1: hNECs that exposed to single irradiation; IR5: hNECs that exposed to repeated irradiation; CTRL: The untreated hNECs.
Radiotherapy is a double-edged sword, although technique improvements have dramatically reduced the irradiation toxicity on normal tissue, there are still a number of patients suffering from associated side effects. Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is one of the major treatment complications of radiotherapy in patients with NPC.\cite{27, 28, 29} Our recent studies on IR-induced CRS show that there was a significant decreased expression level of MUC5AC and MUC5B (secretary proteins of goblet cells), α-tubulin and FOXJ1 (ciliated cells) as well as aberrant proliferation of p63+KRT5+ basal cells in NPC patients after 2–7 years of radiotherapy.\cite{15, 17} These findings indicate radiotherapy may cause long-term defects to the nasal epithelium structure. In the present study, we utilized the ALI organotypic airway tissue system to model nasal epithelial radiation injury in vitro. Our data show that IR induced DSBs appears in hNECs after IR exposure and could be repaired within 24h post-IR. We also demonstrate that repeated IR exposure reduced basal/progenitor cell proliferation, altered differentiation of ciliated cells, and inhibited mucus production, in addition to promoting mucociliary clearance dysfunction. Furthermore, IR induces loss of integrity in epithelial tight junction and compromises nasal epithelial barrier function. Finally, our data provide an evidence that repeated IR exposure increased the sensitivity of antiviral response, which resulted in epithelial host defense dysfunction.

Irradiation is known to induce DSBs and trigger DNA damage repair response in diverse mammalian organs.\cite{30} As a common RT-related toxicity, many studies have described IR-induced DSBs’ repairing kinetics in different kinds of cells.\cite{31, 32, 33} Here, we proved that the γ-H2AX foci induced by single or repeated IR exposure followed a similar kinetics of forming and fading in hNECs. After IR exposure, the foci per nucleus increased dramatically at 1h post-IR, and almost disappeared within 24h post-IR, indicating that healthy hNECs can repair the DNA damage in a time-dependent manner. Moreover, further analysis of the number of foci >30 pixels observed a delayed foci disappearance in IR5 group at 24h post-

**Discussion**

Radiotherapy is a double-edged sword, although technique improvements have dramatically reduced the irradiation toxicity on normal tissue, there are still a number of patients suffering from associated side effects. Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is one of the major treatment complications of radiotherapy in patients with NPC.\cite{27, 28, 29} Our recent studies on IR-induced CRS show that there was a significant decreased expression level of MUC5AC and MUC5B (secretary proteins of goblet cells), α-tubulin and FOXJ1 (ciliated cells) as well as aberrant proliferation of p63+KRT5+ basal cells in NPC patients after 2–7 years of radiotherapy.\cite{15, 17} These findings indicate radiotherapy may cause long-term defects to the nasal epithelium structure. In the present study, we utilized the ALI organotypic airway tissue system to model nasal epithelial radiation injury in vitro. Our data show that IR induced DSBs appears in hNECs after IR exposure and could be repaired within 24h post-IR. We also demonstrate that repeated IR exposure reduced basal/progenitor cell proliferation, altered differentiation of ciliated cells, and inhibited mucus production, in addition to promoting mucociliary clearance dysfunction. Furthermore, IR induces loss of integrity in epithelial tight junction and compromises nasal epithelial barrier function. Finally, our data provide an evidence that repeated IR exposure increased the sensitivity of antiviral response, which resulted in epithelial host defense dysfunction.

Irradiation is known to induce DSBs and trigger DNA damage repair response in diverse mammalian organs.\cite{30} As a common RT-related toxicity, many studies have described IR-induced DSBs’ repairing kinetics in different kinds of cells.\cite{31, 32, 33} Here, we proved that the γ-H2AX foci induced by single or repeated IR exposure followed a similar kinetics of forming and fading in hNECs. After IR exposure, the foci per nucleus increased dramatically at 1h post-IR, and almost disappeared within 24h post-IR, indicating that healthy hNECs can repair the DNA damage in a time-dependent manner. Moreover, further analysis of the number of foci >30 pixels observed a delayed foci disappearance in IR5 group at 24h post-
IR. Persistence of unresolved foci beyond 24h post-IR suggests that repair mechanisms or efficiency have been hampered by the cumulative effect of repeated radiation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to discuss the potential relationship between DNA damage repair efficiency and the size of foci in hNECs. Since the severity of nasal epithelium defects post-RT depends on the IR dose, thus, reducing peripheral doses during radiation by employing alternative radiation modalities (eg, proton beam therapy) can minimize radiation-induced side effects. Other approaches include enhancing DNA damage repair or cell renewal capacity, use of radio-protective agents or modulating inflammation. The precise mechanism of DSBs’ repairment requires further studies to provide insight of efficient treatment.

On the other hand, although a substantial amount of this damage may be repaired over time, we were wondering if these early events can stimulate changes in cellular function and contribute the persistent inflammation in the nasal mucosa. We next investigate the influence of IR on hNECs proliferative and differentiative ability during ALI differentiation. We observed poor proliferation dynamics with the ratio of p63/KRT5 double-positive cells and Ki67 positive cells decreased significantly in hNECs of IR5 group. Interestingly, there was no significant difference between
the IR1 and control group, which means healthy hNECs can fully repair the damage of single exposure to moderate dose IR. We also confirmed this in the differentiation stage, when our result showed that there is a dramatic reduction of ciliated and goblet cells in the IR5 group but not in the IR1 group. Furthermore, we also found that defective ciliogenesis leads to abnormal cilia ultrastructure. There was significant downregulation in the mRNA level of several motile ciliary assembly genes accompanied by decreasing CBF. Under homeostatic conditions, effective mucociliary clearance requires coordinated ciliary motility and appropriate mucus secretion. Previous studies indicated that RT damaged the mucociliary transport time,\(^9,37\) which manifested associated clinical symptoms. Our previous study also reported that NPC patients post-RT have nasal and nasopharyngeal mucosal atrophy with decreased mucus secretion and mucosal dryness by anterior rhinoscopy.\(^15\) The mucociliary clearance acts as the first defense mechanism for the normal respiratory system,\(^37,38\) and our findings provided the evidence that IR-induced cellular dysfunction of goblet cells and ciliated cells might be responsible for the long-term or recurrent nasal or paranasal symptoms in patients post-RT. Taken together, IR disrupts the basal cell’s self-renewal potential which then results in impairing the hNECs differentiative ability to form epithelium fully. This vicious cycle of IR-induced damage and aberrant repair process of nasal epithelial cells may lead to progressive nasal epithelium damage and impair its function.

Another important function of nasal epithelium is its physical barrier against the external environment. Early disruption of cell–cell tight junctions may lead to foreign substances invasion and indeed further trigger mucosal inflammation. Several studies have described the negative effect of irradiation on the respiratory\(^9\) and intestinal\(^7\) epithelial barrier, featured by tight junction defects. In this study, we found an irregular staining pattern of typical TJ protein ZO-1 together with reduced protein expression. Moreover, we observed a significant drop of TEER value in the IR5 group on both ALI day 14 and day 28. Our findings suggest that the repeated IR exposure at the initial stage, the irradiated hNECs might not be competent for forming functional barrier. However, in a recent study by O’Sullivan et al, they have reported that moderate dose (1Gy) irradiation provoked unjamming transition in primary human bronchial (HBE) cells but disrupted neither normal differentiation nor the integrity of the epithelial cell layer.\(^39\) The discordant result is likely due to the different experiment setups. In their study, HBE cells were exposed to radiation on Day 7,10 and 14 of ALI culture. However, we performed a five consecutive days exposure from the moment of ALI introduction (ALI day 0) which is closely mimic the clinical radiotherapy on NPC as previously stated. Besides, a couple of studies also show that the proliferation of basal progenitor cell dominant at the first two days of ALI culture while the genes related to the process of cilia and goblet cell differentiation started expression within the first 3–7 days of ALI culture and gradually increased until terminally differentiated.\(^40–42\) Therefore, our model better elucidate the molecular events that underline changes in the proliferation and differentiation of nasal epithelial cell induced by moderate dose radiation exposure.

Since IR has a direct effect on the hNECs, the structural and functional changes of the nasal epithelium may lead to reduce the ability of resist infection and host innate immune system. TLRs are one of the most important pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and play a crucial role in initiating innate immune response.\(^43\) Previous study suggests that IR can induce the activation of innate immune response through TLR-dependent pathway and exaggerate the adaptive immune response.\(^44\) Inflammation is considered as a protective immune response against foreign invaders; however, hyper-inflammation always leads to tissue damage.\(^45\) Our pathogen stimulating experiments revealed a hyperactive response to viral [Poly(I:C)] or bacterial (LPS) surrogates in irradiated cells, especially the significant increase of the pathogen entry receptors TLR3 and TLR4 on epithelial cells. The expression of TLRs would potentially increase susceptibility to particular infections. In addition, we found that TLRs activation induces the secretion of type I and III interferons, such as IFNB1 and IL-28A here which also can activate expression of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-8 and IL-17A) and chemokines (CCL2 and CXCL10). Ultimately, the overactivation of these mediators leads to disruption of immune homeostasis and contribute the development of the pathological process such as chronic airway inflammation. Therefore, management of the inflammatory effectors after exposure to IR may help to reduce the risk of these diseases among individuals to RT.

Our study still has certain limitations. First and foremost, we scored the γ-H2AX foci manually, which might be inaccurate and biased. Because of the limited resolution of our immunofluorescent microscope and the saturation effect (reaching a maximum level of detectable foci due to physical/imaging foci overlapping),\(^5\) we can hardly distinguish whether bigger foci are overlapping foci or a single focus. According to Tanja Bulat’s study, foci was detected using
Cellprofiler software, which automatically picks out foci within 6–30 pixels but did not include the foci over 30 pixels. Thus, a high-resolution or confocal microscope could help to capture a more precise image, and proper counting strategies can provide more credible scores of γ-H2AX foci. Irradiation has more than one way to induce DNA damage. In our study, we focused on detecting DNA DSB since DSBs are considered the most lethal type of DNA damage. However, IR induces multiple types of damage to the DNA, as well as to other biomolecules, which are likely to further impair cell function and might account for some of our observations. Last but not least, we cannot ignore the paradoxical results between the mRNA and protein level. There is research demonstrating that DNA damage suppresses the ribosomal DNA transcription and may potentially inhibit the protein translation. Clarifying these mechanisms needs further explorations.

Conclusion
Our study demonstrates that, even at moderate amounts of radiation akin to peripheral doses hNECs exhibit reduced proliferation and differentiation capability with barrier dysfunction in response to repeated IR exposure. These findings suggest that the pathophysiologic mechanism of chronic and recurrent upper respiratory tract infection and inflammation (e.g., chronic rhinosinusitis) in NPC patients after radiotherapy could be attributed to IR-induced prolonged structural and functional impairments of hNECs. This knowledge deepens our understanding of the extend of normal tissue damage of irradiation and helps in improving precision to minimize radiotherapy-induced toxicities.
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