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Abstract—Semantic scene segmentation plays a critical role in a wide range of robotics applications, e.g., autonomous navigation. These applications are accompanied by specific computational restrictions, e.g., operation on low-power GPUs, at sufficient speed, and also for high-resolution input. Existing state-of-the-art segmentation models provide evaluation results under different setups and mainly considering high-power GPUs. In this paper, we investigate the behavior of the most successful semantic scene segmentation models, in terms of deployment (inference) speed, under various setups (GPUs, input sizes, etc.) in the context of robotics applications. The target of this work is to provide a comparative study of current state-of-the-art segmentation models so as to select the most compliant with the robotics applications requirements.

Index Terms—Semantic Scene Segmentation, Robotics, Lightweight, Real-Time, Low Power GPUs, TX-2, Xavier, CPU, Deployment.

I. INTRODUCTION

Semantic scene segmentation describes the task of assigning a class label to each pixel of a given image, and thus it is also referred to as pixel-level classification. It constitutes a challenging step towards comprehensive scene understanding accompanied by many robotics applications [1]–[4]. Recent advances in Deep Learning (DL) provided effective models for addressing the problem of semantic scene segmentation [5], [6]. However, most of the existing state-of-the-art DL segmentation models are usually computationally heavy, obstructing their deployment on robotics scenarios, where the deployment speed is critical. That is, in such scenarios, the segmentation models should be able to operate at sufficient speed on low-power GPUs, and also considering high-resolution input.

The performance reported by the existing segmentation methods refers to different setups (GPUs, input sizes, etc.), and mainly considering high-power GPUs. Thus, in this paper we first discuss current state-of-the-art DL algorithms for semantic scene segmentation, and then we extensively evaluate them under different setups. That is, extensive evaluation of the existing segmentation models on different embedded and mobile platforms have been conducted, e.g., NVIDIA TX-2, AGX Xavier, and also for various input resolutions, ranging from lower ones, i.e., $512 \times 512$, to higher ones, i.e., $1024 \times 2048$. The objective of this work is to provide a comparative study of current segmentation models considering the inherent computational restrictions in the context of robotics applications.

The remainder of the manuscript is structured as follows. Section II discusses recent efficient segmentation models, considering the deployment speed and the segmentation accuracy. The extensive evaluation of the most successful real-time segmentation models is provided in Section III and finally conclusions are drawn in Section IV.

II. STATE-OF-THE-ART SEGMENTATION MODELS

During the recent years, several DL segmentation models have been proposed [7], [8], achieving considerable performance on several image segmentation benchmark datasets, e.g., Cityscapes [9], Camvid [10], COCO-Stuff [11], ADE20k [12], PASCAL-S [13], and PASCAL-Context [14].

More specifically, an efficient hierarchical multi-scale attention mechanism that allows the model to learn how to combine predictions from multiple inference scales is proposed in [15] achieving state-of-the-art performance in terms of mean Intersection Over Union (mIOU) in the most widely used dataset for evaluating the performance of semantic segmentation methods, that is the Cityscapes test set. Subsequently, a method for improving the semantic segmentation performance by decoupling features into the body and the edge parts to handle inner object consistency and fine-grained boundaries jointly is proposed in [16] achieving considerable segmentation performance. Furthermore, a method that proposes object-contextual representations to characterize a pixel by exploiting the representation of the corresponding object class is proposed in [17], and achieves high performance in the aforementioned segmentation datasets. However, the aforementioned approaches focus on the segmentation accuracy achieving state-of-the-art performance, without addressing the issue of deployment speed. That is, they are computationally heavy, and thus inappropriate for robotics applications.

On the other hand, in the recent literature there are works that also focus on the deployment speed providing real-time segmentation models, mainly considering high-power GPUs (e.g., 1080Ti, 2080Ti). For example, a multi-resolution neural architecture search framework is proposed in [18] achieving high segmentation accuracy and deployment speed at the same time. In addition, a fast segmentation model on high resolution input which proposes a learning to downsample module for
computing low-level features for multiple resolution branches simultaneously is proposed in [19] achieving high performance in terms of deployment speed, however comparatively lower performance in terms of segmentation accuracy, while the so-called Harmonic Densely Connected Network proposed in [20] achieves high performance in terms of accuracy however it runs at considerably fewer Frames Per Second (FPS) as compared to the competitive methods.

Subsequently, a Bilateral Segmentation Network (BiseNet) consisting of spatial path so as to preserve the spatial information and generate high resolution features, and a context path with a fast downsampling strategy so as to obtain sufficient receptive field is proposed in [21] achieving considerable performance both in terms of segmentation accuracy and deployment speed. Subsequently, the second version of the aforementioned method, proposing a detail branch so as to capture low-level details and a lightweight semantic branch so as to capture the high-level semantic context, as well as a booster training strategy for improving the segmentation performance without affecting the inference cost, is proposed in [22]. Furthermore, a method that proposes to re-design the commonly used residual layers so as to make them more efficient without affecting the learning performance is proposed in [23], while a model namely ESPNet is proposed in [24] which based on an efficient spatial pyramid module, achieves high performance in terms of deployment speed with sufficient segmentation accuracy.

Subsequently, a method that employs an asymmetric encoder-decoder architecture where the encoder adopts the residual blocks and an attention pyramid network is employed in the decoder, is proposed in [25]. While a lightweight segmentation model, namely LiteSeg, is proposed in [26]. The LiteSeg model, explores a new version of atrous spatial pyramid pooling, and achieves high performance in terms of deployment speed with sufficient segmentation accuracy.

Subsequently, a method that employs an asymmetric encoder-decoder architecture where the encoder adopts the residual blocks and an attention pyramid network is employed in the decoder, is proposed in [27], while a lightweight segmentation model, namely LiteSeg, is proposed in [28]. The LiteSeg model, explores a new version of atrous spatial pyramid pooling, and achieves high performance in terms of deployment speed with sufficient segmentation accuracy.

However, the performance reported by the aforementioned segmentation methods refers to different setups (GPUs, input sizes, etc.), and mainly considering high-power GPUs. Thus, in this paper, we perform extensive experiments for evaluating the performance of the existing real-time segmentation models considering the deployment speed, with special emphasis on low-power GPUs, since we consider robotics applications.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

#### A. Experimental Setup

The performance of the most successful real-time segmentation models previously presented is tested using the same setup on various GPUs, and also for various input sizes. Specifically, we perform experiments utilizing the BiseNetv1 [21], BiseNetv2 [22], HardNet [20], LEDNet [25], ERFNet [23], ESPNet [23], and LiteSeg [25] models. The speed performance of the aforementioned models is tested on a RTX 2070, a Jetson TX2, a AGX Xavier, and a CPU. Experiments also conducted for various input sizes, that is $512 \times 512$, $512 \times 1024$, $1024 \times 1024$, and $1024 \times 2048$. The deployment speed is measured in terms of FPS.

#### B. Experimental Results

Evaluation results for all the utilized segmentation models and on all the utilized GPUs, considering the four different input resolutions are presented in Tables I-IV. Furthermore, the comparison results considering the low-power GPUs, that is Jetson TX2 and AGX Xavier, and high-resolution input sizes, that is $1024\times1024$ and $1024\times2048$, are presented in Figs. 1 and 2.

As it demonstrated, BiseNetv2 runs faster on all the considered GPUs, except for the RTX 2070, and also for all the input resolutions. ESPNet, and LiteSeg achieve also considerable performance. Furthermore, it can be observed, as it also illustrated in Fig. 3, considering the AGX Xavier case, that for lower input resolution there are higher differences in terms of FPS among the most powerful considered models (i.e., BiseNetv2, ESPNet, and LiteSeg), while for higher input resolution there are slighter differences. Finally, in Fig. 4, the segmentation accuracy-speed (in terms of mIOU and FPS, respectively) trade-off considering the AGX Xavier case for input size $512\times512$ is illustrated, and it can be observed that the BiseNetv2 model is the most successful model considering the aforementioned trade-off, while considerable performance also achieve the LiteSeg and ESPNet models.

### III. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we first discussed existing state-of-the-art DL algorithms for semantic scene segmentation, and then we extensively evaluated them under different setups (on different
TABLE III: Semantic Segmentation Algorithms: Speed Evaluation (FPS) Input Size: 1024 × 1024.

| Method                  | RTX 2070 | TX2   | AGX Xavier | CPU   |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|------------|-------|
| BiseNetv1 (ResNet18)    | 49.11    | 3.03  | 11.02      | 2.02  |
| BiseNetv2               | 85.55    | 5.62  | 19.13      | 3.37  |
| HardNet                 | 21.29    | 1.37  | 4.18       | 0.93  |
| LEDNet                  | 43.06    | 1.80  | 8.38       | 1.69  |
| ERFNet                  | 34.14    | 1.62  | 5.47       | 1.37  |
| ESPNet                  | 92.21    | 7.29  | 13.96      | 1.85  |
| LiteSeg (MobileNet)     | 66.83    | 4.31  | 15.55      | 1.82  |

TABLE IV: Semantic Segmentation Algorithms: Speed Evaluation (FPS) Input Size: 1024 × 2048.

| Method                  | RTX 2070 | TX2   | AGX Xavier | CPU   |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|------------|-------|
| BiseNetv1 (ResNet18)    | 25.07    | 1.50  | 5.44       | 0.94  |
| BiseNetv2               | 44.56    | 2.81  | 9.13       | 1.62  |
| HardNet                 | 10.97    | 0.68  | 2.09       | 0.48  |
| LEDNet                  | 21.97    | 0.96  | 4.20       | 0.83  |
| ERFNet                  | 17.61    | 0.80  | 2.81       | 0.66  |
| ESPNet                  | 47.21    | 1.64  | 8.18       | 0.91  |
| LiteSeg (MobileNet)     | 34.43    | 2.18  | 7.91       | 0.89  |
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