ON THE CONTINUUM RADIO SPECTRUM OF CAS A: POSSIBLE EVIDENCE OF NONLINEAR PARTICLE ACCELERATION
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ABSTRACT

The integrated radio spectrum of Cassiopeia A in continuum was analyzed with special emphasis on possible high-frequency spectral curvature. We conclude that the most probable scenario is that Planck’s new data reveal the imprint of nonlinear particle acceleration in the case of this young Galactic supernova remnant.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Planck3 is a third-generation space mission measuring the anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background. It observed the sky in nine frequency bands covering 30–857 GHz with high sensitivity and angular resolution from 5' to 31' (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). The Low Frequency Instrument covers the 30, 44, and 70 GHz bands while the High Frequency Instrument covers the 100, 143, 217, 353, 545, and 857 GHz bands. Planck’s sensitivity, angular resolution, and frequency coverage make it a powerful instrument for Galactic and extragalactic astrophysics as well as cosmology.

Cassiopeia A (Cas A) is a very bright and young supernova remnant (SNR), likely due to a historical supernova around 353–343 years ago (Ashworth 1980; Fesen et al. 2006). Its estimated distance is 3.33 ± 0.10 kpc (Alarie et al. 2014). Recently, this SNR was observed by Planck and the results were published in Planck Collaboration et al. (2014). It was shown that Cas A is a distinct compact source from 30 to 353 GHz but becomes confused with unrelated Galactic clouds at the highest Planck frequencies (545 and 857 GHz). The apparent excess radiation at 217 and 353 GHz is proposed to be due either to a coincidental peak in the unrelated foreground emission or to cool dust in the SNR (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014).

Slightly concave-up forms of the radio spectra have been detected for some young SNRs (Reynolds & Ellison 1992). The main reason for the high-frequency concave-up curvature in radio spectra of young SNRs should be nonlinear diffuse shock particle acceleration (see Urošević 2014 and references therein). Due to positive identification of infrared synchrotron radiation from Cas A, Jones et al. (2003) indicated that the radio spectrum of this SNR should be concave-up.

This paper focuses on the analysis of the origin of the high-frequency curvature in the radio spectrum of Cas A.

2. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

There are various observations of the SNR Cas A (Green 2014). Flux densities at different frequencies for the SNR Cas A were taken from different papers. Different samples for the data analysis were used to account for differences in data gathered from different literature. The first sample includes data from Planck Collaboration et al. (2014), Baars et al. (1977) and references therein, as well as from Mason et al. (1999). The original data (data for the original epochs) are taken from Table 2 of Baars et al. (1977), but not the scaled ones. The second sample is formed by the addition of data from Hurley-Walker et al. (2009) and Liszt & Lucas (1999). The frequency range for both samples is 0.55–353 GHz. The integrated flux density of 52 ± 7 Jy at 353 GHz was adopted in accordance with the discussion presented in Planck Collaboration et al. (2014).

Before the actual analysis, the flux densities were appropriately scaled to account for secular fading. The epoch (2010.0) is chosen to coincide with Planck’s intermediate astrophysics results (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014). Two scaling relations were used in this paper. The first one, commonly used, is taken from Baars et al. (1977) with the following form

\[ d(\nu) = a - b \log \nu_{[\text{GHz}]}, \]

\[ \Delta d(\nu) = \Delta a + \Delta b \ln \nu_{[\text{GHz}]}, \]

\[ a = (0.0097 \pm 0.0004), \quad b = (0.003 \pm 0.0004), \quad (1) \]

where \(d(\nu)\) is the secular decrease in the flux density at a given frequency and \(\Delta d(\nu)\) is an appropriate error estimate.

On the other hand, it has been argued that this scaling relation is not appropriate (O’Sullivan and Green 1999) especially for the lowest radio frequencies (Helmboldt & Kassim 2009 and references therein).

Due to the lack of accuracy of the abovementioned relation, the scaling proposed in Vinyaikin (2014) was also used in the following form

\[ d(\nu) = a - b \ln \nu_{[\text{GHz}]} - c \nu_{[\text{GHz}]}^{-2.1}, \]

\[ \Delta d(\nu) = \Delta a + \Delta b \ln \nu_{[\text{GHz}]} + \Delta c \nu_{[\text{GHz}]}^{-2.1}, \]

\[ a = (0.0063 \pm 0.0002), \quad b = (0.0004 \pm 0.0001), \]

\[ c = (0.0151 \pm 0.0016) \times 10^{-5}. \quad (2) \]

The results obtained by analyzing the data from these two scaling relations are compared.
The flux density for the desired epoch and the appropriate error estimate are calculated by

\[ S_t(\nu) = S_0(\nu)(1 - d(\nu))^T, \quad T = t_2 - t_1, \]

\[ \Delta S_t(\nu) = S_0(\nu) \left( \frac{\Delta S_0(\nu)}{S_0(\nu)} + T \frac{\Delta d(\nu)}{1 - d(\nu)} \right). \tag{3} \]

It is worth noting that the radio spectrum of Cas A shows a low-frequency cut-off due to thermal absorption (Vinyaikin 2014) or possibly synchrotron self-absorption. As the main interest of this paper involves analysis of the high-frequency part of the Cas A radio spectrum, first, only data at frequencies higher than around 550 MHz were analyzed (for both scaling relations).

To show that the observed high-frequency radio spectrum of Cas A is actually curved, principal component analysis (PCA) was first applied (Babu & Feigelson 1996). The principal components are the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix. Original data are represented in the basis formed by these vectors. The first principal component accounts for as much of the variability in the data as possible, i.e., it has the largest possible variance. The second principal component has the highest variance possible under the constraint that it is orthogonal to the first one. In that sense, PCA includes calculation of the highest data variability direction, which can be used to detect departures from the pure power-law spectra (linear fit in log–log scale).

In Figure 1, the results of PCA on logarithmically transformed data (\( \log \nu, \log S_\nu \)) from the first sample are presented. PC1 and PC2 correspond to the first and second principal components, respectively. The plotted values represent the original data in the basis of the principal components (zero centered data multiplied by the rotation matrix whose columns contain the eigenvectors). The covariance of these values represents a diagonal matrix with the squares of the standard deviations of the principal components eigenvalues as its elements. In the upper left panel are the results of PCA on the radio data between 550 MHz and 30 GHz. Departure from the linear relationship is obvious when the observation at 30 GHz is added (upper right figure), both data at 30 and 32 GHz are added (bottom left figure), and when the whole range of frequencies up to 353 GHz is used (bottom right figure).

Figure 1. Results of PCA on data from the first sample. Scaling relation from Baars et al. (1977) was used. PC1 and PC2 correspond to the first and second principal component, respectively. In the upper left figure are the results of PCA on the radio data between 550 MHz and 30 GHz. Departure from the linear relationship is obvious when the observation at 30 GHz is added (upper right figure), both data at 30 and 32 GHz are added (bottom left figure), and when the whole range of frequencies up to 353 GHz is used (bottom right figure).
was used. Diamond symbols indicate varying spectral index model. Scaling relation taken from Vinyaik.

In the above relations, $\alpha$ is the standard synchrotron spectral index and $a$ is the parameter of the spectral curvature, which should be positive due to the nonlinear behavior of DSA (Houck & Allen 2006; Vinyaik 2014 and references therein). Of course, a more general relation applied to the larger range of energies would include appropriate high-frequency synchrotron spectral cut-off, which we do not take into account in this analysis.

The results of weighted least-squares fits to data for this model applied to both samples and for different scaling relations are summarized in Table 1. Fit properties are given in the form of $\chi^2$ and $R^2$, defined as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (y_i - f(x_i; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p))^2, \quad w_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2},$$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\chi^2}{TSS},$$

$$TSS = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i (y_i - \overline{y})^2, \quad \overline{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}.$$  

In the above relations, $f$ is the predicted value from the fit, $\beta_j$ are fit parameters ($j = 1, \ldots, p$), and $\overline{y}$ is the weighted mean of the observed data $y_i$ at particular $x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$. $w_i$ are the weights applied to each data point. TSS is the so-called total sum of squares. It is worth stressing that in the case of nonlinear models, the number of degrees of freedom (dof) is generally unknown, i.e., it is not possible to compute the value of the reduced $\chi^2$ or adjusted $R^2$ (Andrae et al. 2010). In Table 1, the parameter $k = N - p$ is given for convenience. In nonlinear models, $k$ does not always represent the exact number of dof (Andrae et al. 2010).

There are no significant differences in the fit results for both samples and for different scaling relations. Again, the exclusion of the data point at 353 GHz does not change the results significantly. It must be noted that the smoothly curved spectral shape represented by Equation (4) gives a better fit than the model that assumes two power laws.

In Figure 2, the weighted least-squares fit to the data from the first sample for the varying spectral index model is presented. A scaling relation taken from Vinyaik (2014) was used. Diamond symbols indicate Planck’s data.

It is also appropriate to check if the obtained values of the abovementioned parameters, $\alpha$ and $a$, are consistent with the ones obtained when the low-frequency cut-off is taken into account. To this end, the previous model was adjusted in the following way

$$S_{\nu}(\nu(a) = \frac{\nu}{\nu(a_0) - \nu(a)},$$

where $\nu(a)$ is the optical depth at 1 GHz.

For this analysis new data samples were formed and analyzed. The third sample includes data from Tables 3 and 4 from Vinyaik (2014) for the epoch 2015.5 as well as the Planck data from Planck Collaboration et al. (2014) appropriately scaled to match the same epoch. The frequency range for this sample is 0.0056–353 GHz. The fourth sample includes all the data from Table 2 presented in Baars et al. (1977) with the addition of data from Planck Collaboration et al. (2014), Helmboldt & Kassim (2009), and Mason et al. (1999). Finally, the fifth sample incorporates the fourth one with the addition of data from Hurley-Walker et al. (2009) and Liszt & Lucas (1999). Data in the fourth and fifth samples are scaled to the epoch of Planck’s observations and the frequency range for these samples is 0.01005–353 GHz. In all these cases, only the scaling relation from Vinyaik (2014) was used.

The results of the weighted least-squares fits to data in this case are summarized in Table 2. There are no significant differences in the fit results for all samples. In Figure 3 the weighted least-squares fit to the data from the fourth sample, for the varying spectral index model with low-frequency cut-off, is presented. Diamond symbols indicate Planck’s data.

The results are not significantly different than estimated in Vinyaik (2014). On the other hand, the estimated values for the spectral index around 1 GHz as well as for the curvature

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Varying Power-law Best Fitting Parameters (0.55–353 GHz)}
\label{tab:1}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Sample (Scaling) & $\alpha$ & $a$ & $\chi^2 (k)$ & $R^2$ \\
\hline
1 (Baars et al. 1977) & 0.790 ± 0.016 & 0.056 ± 0.008 & 23.11 (34) & 0.997 \\
2 (Baars et al. 1977) & 0.806 ± 0.016 & 0.062 ± 0.008 & 38.55 (42) & 0.995 \\
1 (Vinyaik 2014) & 0.841 ± 0.014 & 0.065 ± 0.007 & 27.33 (34) & 0.997 \\
2 (Vinyaik 2014) & 0.854 ± 0.013 & 0.069 ± 0.007 & 50.54 (42) & 0.995 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
parameter are less than the ones obtained using Equation (4) on the high-frequency part of the radio spectra alone.

The apparent excess radiation at 353 GHz, discussed in Planck Collaboration et al. (2014), is also present in this analysis (see Figure 3). It may be due to the presence of cool dust in northern and western parts of Cas A (Dunne et al. 2009; Vinyaik 2014). It should be noted that images at 600 GHz observed with the Herschel Space Observatory (Barlow et al. 2010) at much higher angular resolution than Planck (6′–37″) show that the non-synchrotron microwave emission may be a combination of both cold interstellar dust and freshly formed dust. Planck Collaboration et al. (2014) stressed that this excess could potentially be due to a coincidental peak in the unrelated foreground emission or to cool dust in the SNR, which is marginally resolved by Planck.

The spinning-dust emission (electric dipole radiation from the rapidly rotating dust grains) could generally contribute significantly at high radio frequencies, especially around 10–100 GHz (Draine & Lazarian 1998a, 1998b; Scaife et al. 2007; Ali-Haïmoud et al. 2009; Silsbee et al. 2011; Stevenson 2014). On the other hand, in the case of Cas A this emission component is negligible.

Finally, Vinyaik (2014) showed that the observed slowing of the secular variations of the radio flux density of Cas A with decreasing frequency at decimeter wavelengths can be explained by a decrease in the optical depth of a remnant H II zone around Cas A with time due to recombination of hydrogen atoms. Due to the presence of the H II region it may be interesting to fit the radio spectrum of Cas A with the following expression

$$S(\nu) = S_1 \nu^{-\alpha} e^{-\tau} + S_2 \nu^2 \left( 1 - e^{-\tau} \right),$$

$$\tau_c = \tau_0 \nu^{-2.1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

![Figure 3. Weighted least-squares fit to the data from the fourth sample, for the varying spectral index model with low-frequency cut-off. Diamond symbols indicate Planck’s data.](image)

This formula incorporates both synchrotron radiation as well as the thermal absorption and thermal bremsstrahlung emission from the zone in front of the synchrotron emitting region. Of course, this is a rather naive model. SNRs are 3D structures, a fact this relation does not take into account. On the other hand, it can serve as an approximate model for qualitative analysis.

If frequencies are in GHz then the (non-thermal) synchrotron flux density at 1 GHz is simply

$$S^{\text{NT}}(1 \text{ GHz}) = S_1$$

but for the thermal component becomes

$$S^T(1 \text{ GHz}) = S_2 \left( 1 - e^{-\tau_0} \right),$$

$$\Delta S^T(1 \text{ GHz}) = S^T(1 \text{ GHz}) \left( \frac{\Delta S_2 + \Delta \tau_0}{S_2} - \frac{1}{e^{-\tau_0} - 1} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

so that the contribution of thermal emission in integral radiation at 1 GHz is given by

$$\xi = \frac{S^T(1 \text{ GHz})}{S^T(1 \text{ GHz}) + S^{\text{NT}}(1 \text{ GHz})},$$

$$\Delta \xi = \xi \left( \frac{\Delta S^T(1 \text{ GHz})}{S^T(1 \text{ GHz})} + \frac{\Delta S^{\text{NT}}(1 \text{ GHz})}{S^{\text{NT}}(1 \text{ GHz})} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{S^{\text{NT}}(1 \text{ GHz})}{S^T(1 \text{ GHz})} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

The results of weighted least-squares fits to data in this case are summarized in Table 3. Again, there are no significant differences in the fit results for all samples. The weighted least-squares fit to the data from the fourth sample, for the test-particle synchrotron model with thermal absorption and emission, is presented in Figure 4. Diamond symbols indicate Planck’s data.

The presence of thermal emission from the H II region associated with this SNR is estimated to be around 1%–2% in the total flux density at 1 GHz. Addition of this component significantly improves fit to the data but discards the curvature due to nonlinear effects of particle acceleration. It is worth noting that addition of the curvature parameter $\alpha$ to the previous model, i.e., varying power-law model with thermal absorption and emission in front of the synchrotron emitting region, does not produce acceptable fits to all samples. Negative, i.e., non-physical, in the sense of the nonlinear DSA effects, values for the curvature parameter $\alpha$ are preferred. Bounding the parameters to appropriate physical ranges of values do not

---

### Table 2

| Sample | Frequency Range (GHz) | $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | $\tau_0$ | $\chi^2 (\nu)$ | $R^2$ |
|--------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|-------|
| 3      | 0.0056–353            | 0.762 ± 0.005 | 0.033 ± 0.003 | (7.738 ± 0.574) x 10^{-3} | 83.05 (29) | 0.994 |
| 4      | 0.01005–353           | 0.760 ± 0.005 | 0.025 ± 0.003 | (8.968 ± 0.869) x 10^{-3} | 75.79 (46) | 0.994 |
| 5      | 0.01005–353           | 0.765 ± 0.005 | 0.026 ± 0.003 | (9.209 ± 0.875) x 10^{-3} | 111.03 (54) | 0.991 |

---

4 When the pressure of cosmic-ray (CR) particles, produced at the shock wave, is included in the analysis, such a modification of shock structure implies changes in the spectrum of accelerated electrons. The energy spectrum of low energy electrons becomes softer (the radio spectrum is steeper) and the spectrum of high energy electrons becomes harder (the radio spectrum is shallower) than that of linear DSA. Due to significant CR production, the concave-up (positively curved) radio spectra is expected in the case of young SNRs (see Urošević 2014 and references therein for more details).
improve fits that are also highly sensitive to initial guesses for the parameters. On the other hand, the simple model represented by Equation (7) fits the data very well (see Figure 4). The flux density estimate at 353 GHz is within the error bars of the measured value at this frequency for data samples 4 and 5 (see Figure 4).

3. DISCUSSION

It is known that some young SNRs, such as Cas A and Kepler exhibit evidence of radio spectral variations from one region to another (Anderson & Rudnick 1996; DeLaney et al. 2002). This can lead to a curved composite spectrum even if the synchrotron spectrum for each region is just a power law (Allen et al. 2008). On the other hand, the results of Jones et al. (2003) indicate that the spectra of particular small features in Cas A flatten with increasing energy and Allen et al. (2008) found that their results can be explained with a model that includes a curvature of particle spectra of $b = 0.06 \pm 0.01$. The curvature of particle spectra $b$ is in fact four times the spectral curvature $a$ so our results, although slightly higher, are not in disagreement with the conclusions of Allen et al. (2008).

For additional support to our claims, we used the same model for synchrotron radiation as in Allen et al. (2008), with the simplification that the non-thermal particle distribution function lacks a high energy cut-off

$$N(E)dE = K \left( \frac{E}{E_0} \right)^{-\Gamma+b} \log \frac{E}{E_0},$$

where $b = 4a$ is the curvature of particle spectra, $E_0 = 1$ GeV, and $\Gamma$ is the particle spectral index at $E_0$. Flux density is a combination of synchrotron radiation from such an ensemble and thermal absorption at low frequencies in a similar manner as in Equation (6). The results, given in Table 4, show that the simplified model based on the one from Allen et al. (2008) is consistent with our previous results.

Finally, Dunne et al. (2009) showed that polarized sub-millimeter emission is associated with the SNR and that the excess polarized sub-millimeter flux at 353 GHz is due to cold dust within the remnant. They noted that there is no currently known way to produce such a polarized sub-millimeter emission from a synchrotron process.

Keeping in mind that inclusion of thermal bremsstrahlung emission (Equation (7)) apparently leads to a better fit to data (Table 3), it must be noted that such an interpretation leads to less contribution of dust emission at 353 GHz, which is in contrast to the conclusion of Dunne et al. (2009). In that sense, we conclude that the more probable scenario is that Planck’s new data actually reveal the imprint of nonlinear particle acceleration in the case of this young Galactic SNR. Of course, we cannot fully dismiss the possible contamination by the adjacent H II region.

The additional attempt to support the nonlinear particle acceleration hypothesis may come from the fit using nonlinear synchrotron model with thermal absorption and dust Planck-like emission (Figure 5 and Table 5). To that end, the Herschel’s total flux densities from Barlow et al. (2010) were added to data samples 3–5 forming samples 6–8. Again, similar results are obtained. Of course, this is a simplified model which does not distinguish between different dust emission components. On the other hand, the spectral curvature due to nonlinear particle acceleration is clearly present in this case, too (see Table 5). These values for $a$ are also more in accordance with the result of Allen et al. (2008).
It is also worth mentioning that Pohl et al. (2015) showed that stochastic re-acceleration of electrons downstream of the forward shock can explain the soft spectra observed from many Galactic SNRs. They also noted that, generally, interiors of the SNRs produce slightly steeper radio spectra than does the shell where re-acceleration occurs.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions that can be drawn from this analysis are as follows.

1. Planck’s data support the observation that the radio continuum of SNR Cas A flattens with increasing frequency. The spectrum becomes positively curved above around 30 GHz.

2. Alternative explanations of curvature are investigated: significant nonlinear effects of particle acceleration, the presence of thermal emission due to the emission of the associated H II region, and the presence of dust emission.

3. Different samples of data were analyzed to account for differences in data gathered from various literature. The presented results are not significantly sensitive to differences between samples.

4. The results presented in this paper agree with the conclusions stated in Vinyaikin (2014), i.e., the observed flattening of the radio spectrum at millimeter wavelengths is most likely primarily due to a flattening of the radio synchrotron spectrum of Cas A itself. In other words, nonlinear effects of particle acceleration are possibly mainly responsible for the apparent high-frequency curvature in the Cas A radio spectrum.
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