ANTIDIARRHEAL POTENTIALS OF SCHWENCKIA AMERICANA L. (Solanaceae): A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE AERIAL PART AND ROOT EXTRACTS
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Schwengkia americana (Solanaceae) is employed as a decoction of either the leaves, roots or the whole plant in the treatment of diarrhoea in most West African countries. Using a comparative study approach, the antidiarrheal potentials of its aerial part and root extracts were evaluated to substantiate its local use in diarrhoea management.

Methods: In vivo effects of the extracts on rodent models of diarrhea, enteropooling and gastric motility induced by castor oil were investigated as well as their effects on acetylcholine and histamine-induced guinea pig ileal contractions in vitro. Phytochemical analyses of both extracts were also carried out for tentative identification of constituents and quantitative estimation of total saponin and phenolic contents using standard methods.

Results: S. americana L gave a significant (P<0.001) reduction in the number of fecal droppings and fecal watery texture over 4 h. Gastrointestinal motility and the volume of intestinal content were also significantly (P<0.001) reduced. In vitro studies revealed potent inhibition of guinea pig ileal contractions induced by acetylcholine and histamine by the extracts. However, the anti-diarrheal potentials in the root extract were higher than the aerial part extract, with the root extract exhibiting complete blockade of acetylcholine and histamine-induced ileal contractions and up to 100% inhibition of diarrheic feces passage at 400 mg/kg. The phytochemical analysis also revealed a higher relative abundance of phenols and saponins in the root extract.

Conclusion: This research has therefore pioneered the establishment of a scientific proof for the acclaimed anti-diarrheal potentials and hence the ethnomedicinal use of this herb. The existence of higher anti-diarrheal potentials in the root has also been established which could possibly be linked to a higher relative abundance of phenols and saponins in this part.
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INTRODUCTION

In most tropical and sub-tropical poor and developing countries, acute diarrhoea remains an important health threat and a principal cause of infant deaths [1-3]. According to WHO, an approximate of 5 million annual deaths have been linked to diarrhoea with about 2.5 million cases occurring in children less than 5 y of age [4-6]. It is a disease condition resulting from altered motility and fluid accumulation in the intestinal lumen often characterized by increased frequency of bowel movement, wet stool and abdominal pain [7, 8]. The use of antimotility agents, antibiotics, electrolyte and fluid replacement therapies are currently the mainstay in acute diarrhoea management. Amongst the existing management approaches, however, the antibiotic therapy which targets importantly the microbes has been associated with adverse effects and emerging resistance issues [9].

In many tropical communities, where diarrhoea is a very common ailment, the use of herbal remedies is a common practice in diarrhoea management. The need for a continued search for relatively safer effective agents from plant origin for the benefit of man has continued to attract keen research interest. Hence, a number of medicinal plants have been reported to be active against diarrhoea containing several phytoconstituents shown to exhibit anti-diarrheal properties.

One of such plants with putative anti-diarrheal activity is Schwengkia americana L. (Solanaceae). This thin herbaceous weed found in parts of West Africa is a common ingredient in many herbal remedies used in the region. S. americana is distributed in almost all geographical regions of Nigeria [10] and is an important ingredient of the popular 'Agbo' mixture used in various diseases conditions. The plant is of particular ethnomedicinal relevance in the treatment of chest pains, respiratory diseases like a cough and asthma [10-12], sexually transmitted diseases, frequent abortions [10, 12], conjunctivitis [13], rheumatic pains/swellings and intestinal infections like neonatal diarrhea [10]. In many areas within the West African region, it was noticed that the aerial parts (leaves and/or stem), the roots and even the whole plant are employed in ethnomedicine. The aerial parts are widely used to treat diseases of babies and small children as the leaf decoction is given to pregnant women when the fetus develops too slowly and also taken by breastfeeding women to prevent diarrhoea of the baby [10]. The root decoction is employed as a laxative while the crushed whole plant relieves stomach problems [11]. Some documented preliminary scientific studies are available substantiating the analgesic and anti-inflammatory potentials of this herb [14, 15]. However, there is still no documented research on its anti-diarrheal potentials neither is there any on the particular plant part with this potential. This study has therefore been undertaken with the objective of pioneering the preliminary evaluation of the anti-diarrheal potentials of S. americana (root and aerial parts) with the aims of validating its acclaimed anti-diarrheal potentials in ethnomedicine and possibly compare the extent of the existence of this pharmacological potential in the root and aerial parts of the plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Adult Swiss albino rats (200-250 g) and mice (20-30 g) of either sex were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Facility of the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. The animals were housed in steel cages within the Facility and maintained on standard rodent pellets and water ad libitum. All animal experiments were in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animal (Pub No. 85-23 revised 1985).
and in accordance with the University of Nigeria Ethics Committee on the use of laboratory animals, registered by the National Health Research Ethics Committee (NHREC) of Nigeria, with the number; NHREC/05/01/2013B.

**Chemicals, drugs, reagents and solvents**

All chemicals used were of analytical grade and include methanol, dichloromethane, diethyl ether, n-butanol, atropine, histamine, acetylcholine and Folin-Ciocaltel phenol reagent, all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Others include promethazine (Emzor Pharm Ind. Ltd., Nigeria), castor oil, tragacanth, gallic acid, sodium carbonate (Na2CO3), sodium chloride (NaCl), potassium chloride (KCl), magnesium chloride (MgCl2), (NaHCO3), sodium dihydrogen phosphate (NaH2PO4), glucose, calcium chloride (CaCl2), activated charcoal, tween 80 and distilled water.

**Equipment/instruments**

The equipment used include: electronic weighing balance (Furi; FEJ-600, China), spatula, crucibles, measuring cylinder, separating funnel, milling machine (Lab mill, serial No. 4745; Christy and Norris Ltd., England), water bath, animal weighing balance (B. Braun Scientific and Instruments Co., England), SRI kymograph 7050, rotary evaporator (Buchi, Switzerland), UV-Visible spectro-photo-meter (Easy-Way Medical England 752W, England).

**Collection and preparation of plant material**

Fresh whole plant of *S. americana* L. was collected from March to June 2014 in Umunnachi, Anambra state. The plant was identified and authenticated by Mr. Ozioko, a taxonomist at the International Centre for Drug Development NSuNka, Enugu State in Nigeria, where a voucher specimen (InterCED/066) is maintained. The aerial parts were separated from the roots. The root and aerial parts were thoroughly cleaned, sun-dried and pulverised. About 2 kg each of the root and aerial parts powders were extracted by 48 h cold maceration with intermittent shaking using methanol and methylene chloride: methanol (1:1) respectively. The respective filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator at 40 °C and heated till the concentrate was transferred into a 250 ml separating funnel and 20 ml of diethyl ether was added to it and shaken vigorously. After the solution settled down, the aqueous layer was carefully separated into another flask and the ether layer was discarded. The extraction process was repeated twice. 30 ml of n-butanol was added. The n-butanol extract was re-extracted twice with 10 ml of 5% sodium chloride. The remaining solution obtained after discarding the sodium chloride layer was evaporated in a water bath to semi-dried form. The sample was then dried in an oven at 40 °C to a constant weight and the saponin content calculated.

**Phytochemical analysis of extracts**

The effect of the extracts on diarrhea was evaluated in rats using the castor oil-induced diarrhea method [19, 20]. Adult rats (selected without sex discrimination) were divided into eight groups (n = 6). Group I received 2 ml/kg of tween 80 (3% v/v) and served as the control. Animals in group II received atropine (3 mg/kg) and served as the standard while groups III, IV, V and VI, VII, VIII received 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of MME and RME respectively. The extracts were suspended in tween 80 (3% v/v). One hour after peroral administration of treatments, the animals received 1 ml castor oil orally and were individually placed in separate cages lined with white sheets of filter paper for observation of the number and consistency of fecal droppings. The number of both wet and dry droppings was counted hourly for 4 h and the white paper changed after each evaluation. The mean number of stools passed by the treated groups were compared with that of the control. The mean number of diarrheic feces pooled by the control group was considered as 100%. The level of inhibition (%) of wetness of feces and defecation was calculated relative to the control using the relation:

\[ \text{Inhibition of defecation (\%)} = \frac{N_Fc - N_{Ft}}{N_Fc} \times 100 \]

Where \( N_{Fc} \) = mean number of feces of control group; \( N_{Ft} \) = mean number of feces of the treated group.

The level of reduction (%) in defecation of watery feces was calculated using the relation:

\[ \text{Inhibition of diarrheic feces (\%)} = \frac{N_{DFc} - N_{DFt}}{N_{DFc}} \times 100 \]

Where \( N_{DFc} \) = mean number of diarrheic feces of control group; \( N_{DFt} \) = mean a number of diarrheic feces of treated group.

**Gastrointestinal motility (charcoal meal transit) test**

The effect of MME and RME on gastrointestinal motility was assessed using the castor oil-induced intestinal motility in mice method [21]. Briefly, adult mice of either sex were fasted for 18 h and divided into eight groups (n = 6). Castor oil (0.3 ml) was administered orally to the animals. One hour later, animals in group I received 0.2 ml/kg tween 80 (3% v/v) and served as control. Group II received atropine (3 mg/kg) and served as the standard while groups III, IV, V and VI, VII, VIII received MME and RME (100, 200, 400 mg/kg respectively. One hour after intraperitoneal administration of treatments, the animals received 0.2 ml of charcoal meal (0.5% of 10% charcoal suspended in 10% gum acacia) orally. One hour later, the animals were sacrificed by an overdose of ether anesthesia and the small intestine was carefully separated from the mesenterum avoiding being stretched. The length of intestine from pyloric sphincter to the ileo-cecal junction was measured and the distance travelled by the charcoal meal was measured. For each animal, gastrointestinal transit was calculated as the percentage distance travelled by the charcoal meal relative to the total length of the intestine. The inhibitory effect of MME and RME on gastrointestinal transit was calculated relative to the control.

**Castor oil-induced enteropooling test**

The effect of MME and RME on pooling of enteric contents was assessed using the castor oil-induced enteropooling method [22].
Briefly, adult mice selected without sex discrimination were fasted for 18 h and divided into eight groups (n = 6). Castor oil (1 ml) was orally administered to these animals. One hour later, animals in group I received 0.2 ml/kg of tween 80 (3% v/v) which served as control. Group II received atropine (3 mg/kg) and served as the standard while groups III, IV, V and VI, VII and VIII received MME and RME (100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) respectively suspended in tween 80 (3% v/v). Two hours after intraperitoneal administration of treatments, animals were sacrificed by overdose of ether anaesthesia and the small intestine removed after tying both ends with ligature and weighed. Intestinal contents were collected by milking into a graduated tube and their volumes were measured [20]. The level of reduction in the volume of intestinal content was calculated relative to the control. The mean volume of intestinal content of the control were considered as 100%.

**In vitro effects on isolated guinea pig ileum**

The isolated tissue preparations according to the technique of Perry [23] and Williamson et al., [24] were employed. A male guinea pig (350g) fasted for 18 h, was sacrificed and a segment of the ileum was isolated and dissected into smaller pieces of about 2 –3 cm long free of adhering mesentery. The intestinal contents were sacrificed by over dose of ether anaesthesia and the small intestine removed after tying both ends with ligature and weighed. Intestinal contents were collected by milking into a graduated tube and their volumes were measured [20]. The level of reduction in the volume of intestinal content was calculated relative to the control. The mean volume of intestinal content of the control were considered as 100%.

### Statistical analysis

Results obtained were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Graph pad Prism version 5.03, followed by Dunnet post hoc test and P<0.05 was considered significant. The results are expressed as Mean±SEM.

### RESULTS

**Phytochemical constituents of extracts**

Qualitative phytochemical analysis show that both extracts tested positive to alkaloids, phenols, tannins, saponins, steroids, glycosides, flavonoids, reducing sugars and terpenoids (table 1). However there was some disparity in the relative abundance of some phyto-constituents which was further confirmed from the quantitative analysis which revealed a relatively higher concentration of phenols and saponins in RME (645.161, 1.745 g/100g) than in MME (580.645, 1.376 g/100 g).

**Table 1: Phytochemical constituents of S. americana L. extracts**

| Phytochemical constituents | Relative presence | MME | RME |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Tannins                    | +                 | +   | +   |
| Reducing sugars            | ++                | ++  | ++  |
| Glycosides                 | +++               | ++  | ++  |
| Phenols                    | ++                | ++  | ++  |
| Terpenoids                 | +                 | ++  | ++  |
| Flavonoids                 | +                 | ++  | ++  |
| Alkaloids                  | +                 | +   | +   |
| Steroids                   | +                 | +   | +   |
| Saponins                   | +                 | +   | +   |

RME = root extract; MME = aerial parts extract; +++ Present in abundant amount; ++ Present in moderate concentration; + Present in trace amount; – Likely not present.

**Table 2: Effect of extracts on castor oil induced diarrhea**

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg) | NFe | NDF     | Mean stool weight (g) | Inhibition of defeation (%) | Inhibition of diarrheic droppings (%) |
|-----------|--------------|-----|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Control   |              |     |         |                       |                           |                                   |
| MME       | 100          | 1.35±0.18 | 6.50±2.05 | 1.01                  | 9.9                        | 55.3                              |
|           | 200          | 1.48±3.14 | 2.83±1.14 | 0.88                  | 44.4                       | 23.7                              |
|           | 400          | 5.67±1.63* | 3.17±1.14 | 0.36                  | 58.0                       | 49.9                              |
| RME       | 100          | 8.00±2.15 | 3.67±1.36 | 0.58                  | 40.7                       | 42.0                              |
|           | 200          | 5.17±1.87* | 0.50±0.34** | 0.39                  | 61.7                       | 92.0                              |
|           | 400          | 2.67±1.52** | 0.00±0.00** | 0.18                  | 80.3                       | 100                               |
| Atropine  | 3            | 9.17±2.01 | 4.17±0.95 | 0.96                  | 44.4                       | 34.1                              |

Values are mean±SEM, n=6, ** Significant difference from control (P<0.05 and P<0.001) respectively, RME = root extract, MME = aerial part extract, NDF= mean number of diarrheic feces; NFe = mean number of feces
Effect of extracts on castor oil induced diarrhea

Pre-treatment of animals with an extract from *S. americana* aerial part and root (MME and RME) significantly (P<0.05) reduced diarrhea induced by castor oil and inhibited defecation within 1 h (table 2) compared to the control.

The methanol root extract was more active than the aerial parts extract. RME exhibited a dose-dependent effect giving the highest percentage inhibition of diarrheic droppings (100%) at 400 mg/kg dose (fig. 1). MME, however, displayed a non-dose dependent inhibition and less anti-diarrheal activity compared to RME. RME also gave the highest percentage inhibition of defecation (80.3%) and the least mean weight of feces (0.18 g) among all the treatment groups.

Effect of extracts on gastrointestinal motility in rats

MME and RME retarded intestinal charcoal meal propulsion in rats compared to the control for an observation period of 2 h. RME showed a dose-dependent and higher inhibitory effect than MME and atropine. RME at 400 mg/kg exhibited the highest (69.1%, 95.1%) while MME at 200 mg/kg produced the least inhibitory and reductive effects (9.4%, 62.7%) on intestinal transit and volume of intestinal contents respectively. The percentage inhibitory effects on intestinal motility by RME at 200 and 400 mg/kg (48.9% and 69.1% respectively) were higher than that of the standard drug, atropine sulphate (48.2%) as depicted in fig. 1. At all doses tested, RME gave a higher inhibitory effect on enteropooling (80, 83, 95.1%) than the reference drug, atropine even at 6 mg/kg (77.5%).

**Fig. 1: Effect of extracts on gastrointestinal motility, values are mean±SEM, n=6, ** Significant difference from control (P<0.05 and P<0.001) respectively, RME = root extract, MME = aerial part extract**

Effect of extracts on enteropooling

The tested extracts showed a significant reduction in intestinal volume with RME exhibiting a higher and dose dependent effect unlike MME. RME at 400 mg/kg exhibited the highest (95.1%) while MME at 200 mg/kg produced the least reduction (62.7%) in the volume of intestinal contents respectively. At all doses tested, RME gave a higher inhibitory effect on enteropooling (80%, 83%, 95.1%) than the reference drug, atropine even at 6 mg/kg (77.5%) as depicted in fig. 2.

**Fig. 2: Effect of extracts on passage of diarrheic feces, values are mean±SEM, n=6, ** Significant difference from control (P<0.001), RME = root extract, MME = aerial part extract**

Effect of extracts on guinea pig ileal contractions in vitro

Atropine and promethazine at 0.2 x 10^-1 µg/ml and 1 x 10^-1 µg/ml respectively completely blocked ileal contractions induced by acetylcholine and histamine at 8 x 10^-4 µg/ml respectively. RME exhibited dose-related inhibitory effects, achieving a complete blockade at 2 x 10^-1 µg/ml and 10 x 10^-1 µg/ml for acetylcholine and histamine (table 3 and 4). MME also exhibited similar inhibitory effects as RME on histamine-induced contractions. However the inhibition observed with acetylcholine-induced ileal contraction was non-dose related and less than that seen with RME (table 3 and 4).
Diarrhea results from hyperperistalsis of the small intestine or colon with large amounts of Na+, K+ and water being washed out of the colon and small intestine in diarrheic stools [30]. These alterations in gastrointestinal motility and fluid accumulation in the intestinal lumen often seen in diarrheic conditions are a consequence of innumerable pathologies like dehydration, hypovolaemia and eventually shock and cardiovascular collapse [30,31]. It may be caused by an increased secretion of electrolytes (secretory diarrhea), an enhanced ingestion of osmotic substances (osmotic diarrhea), or the presence of a virulent microorganism (infectious diarrhea) [32-34].

Though several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the diarrheal effect of castor oil, castor oil-induced diarrhea has been largely attributed to the irritating and inflammatory action of its hydrolytic product, ricinoleic acid on the intestinal mucosa leading to prostaglandins release and increased permeability of mucosal cells which provokes secretion and changes in electrolyte transport and hence diarrhea [36,37]. Hence, the antidiarrheal activity of the plant against the experimentally-induced diarrhea by castor oil may be attributed to such anti-secretory action.

In the evaluation of the effect on gastrointestinal motility and transit, the extracts caused retardation in the intestinal charcoal meal propulsion in rats. The standard drug used, atropine sulphate has also been suggested to be indirectly mediated by the cholinergic system since it is inhibited by atropine, a known anticholinergic agent [40]. It is therefore likely that the extract inhibition of gastrointestinal hypermotility and the observed reduction in intestinal propulsive movement of the charcoal meal may have been mediated through anticholinergic effect.

The extracts also exhibited significant inhibition of ileal contractions induced by acetylcholine and histamine in vitro. Histamine and acetylcholine are important endogenous spasmodens and agents that inhibit their contractions may have good antispasmodic potentials. However, this antagonism is non-specific and could either be through the receptor site such as the muscarinic and histaminic receptors or through other musculotropic route such as influx or outlet of calcium ions [41,42]. The root extract exhibited a more potent dose-dependent inhibition of contractions induced by the spasmodens suggesting that it may possess higher antispasmodic and anti-diarrheal potentials than aerial part extract. Thus the antispasmodic activity of these extracts has further highlighted the potential anti-diarrheal activity of the plant.

Most anti-diarrheal therapies act through reduction in propulsive movement and/or antisecretory effects. The anti-diarrheal activity of some medicinal plants have been linked to phytoconstituents such as tannins [43-45], alkaloids [46], saponins [47], phenols [44], flavonoids, steroids and terpenoids [48]. Anti-diarrheal effects of flavonoids have also been attributed to inhibition of hydro-electrolytic secretion [49,50]; inhibition of intestinal motility and contractions induced by spasmodens [51,52]. Tannins are documented to form protein tannates which inhibit intestinal secretion while terpenoids have been reported to reduce hypersecretion in isolated rat aorta probably via calcium channel blockade [53]. Phytochemical analyses of RME and MME revealed the presence of phenols, terpenoids, tannins, flavonoids, saponins and terpenoids. The anti-diarrheal effects of the studied herb may therefore be attributed to these bioactive constituents.

### Table 3: Effects of extracts on histamine-induced guinea pig ileal contractions in vitro

| Treatment                  | Height of response (cm) | Relaxation (%) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 8 × 10⁻³ µg/ml Histamine   | 2.65                    | -              |
| 8 × 10⁻³ µg/ml Histamine+0.8 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 1.20                     | 54.72         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+1 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 0.60                     | 77.36         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+2 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 0.30                     | 88.68         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+4 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 0.10                     | 96.23         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+8 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 0.00                     | 100           |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+10 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 0.00                     | 100           |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+1 × 10⁻⁵ µg/ml RME | 1.55                     | 41.51         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+2 × 10⁻⁵ µg/ml RME | 0.35                     | 86.79         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+4 × 10⁻⁵ µg/ml RME | 0.15                     | 94.34         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+8 × 10⁻⁵ µg/ml RME | 0.05                     | 98.11         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml Histamine+10 × 10⁻⁵ µg/ml RME | 0.00                     | 100           |

Values are mean heights of response obtained in triplicates, MME = aerial parts extract; RME = root extract. The experiment was performed in three times for confirmation of results.

### Table 4: Effects of extracts on acetylcholine-induced guinea pig ileal contractions in vitro

| Treatment                  | Height of response (cm) | Relaxation (%) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh         | 3.25                    | -              |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.2 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 2.79                     | 14.15         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.4 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 1.95                     | 40.00         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.6 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 1.60                     | 50.77         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.8 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 1.40                     | 56.92         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+1.0 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 1.37                     | 57.85         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+2.0 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml MME | 2.07                     | 36.31         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.2 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml RME | 2.35                     | 27.69         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.4 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml RME | 1.45                     | 55.38         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+0.8 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml RME | 1.05                     | 67.69         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+1.6 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml RME | 0.95                     | 70.77         |
| 8 × 10⁻² µg/ml ACh+2.0 × 10⁻⁴ µg/ml RME | 0.00                     | 100           |

Values are mean heights of response obtained in triplicates, MME = aerial parts extract; RME = root extract. ACh=Acetylcholine.
The anti-diarrheal activities of Schwenkia americana L. is being reported herein for the first time validating its ethnomedical use in the treatment of diarrhea. This potential was found to be higher in the root than the aerial part of the plant which could be linked to disparity in relative abundance of some bioactive constituents. Though the exact mechanism(s) involved are yet to be established, the observed anti-diarrheal activity may have been mediated via anti spasmodic and anti-secretory effects. Further research to elucidate the specific mechanism(s) of action and isolate the bioactive constituent(s) responsible for the anti-diarrheal activity is still ongoing.
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