Benign or physiologic anisocoria (BA) refers to a small difference in the size of the two pupils, which is likely caused by an asymmetry of sympathetic nervous system (SNS) innervation. Here I measure BA in a large sample of young adults and find that its distribution varies with gender. Females presented a small but significant bias to the right (right pupil larger than left), whereas males exhibited a larger and significant bias to the left (left pupil larger than the right). The sex difference was primarily attributable to right-pupil size, which was significantly larger for females than males (no significant difference in left-pupil size). Based on the premise that BA is caused by SNS asymmetry, these results suggest that SNS lateralization is more common in males than females and biased to the opposite side of the brain. Future studies that measure bilateral brain activity in addition to pupil size and other measures of SNS function (e.g., electrophysiological response) are needed to validate the relationship between BA and SNS lateralization.
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Introduction

Pupil-size asymmetry can be a sign of serious medical pathology, but small differences are common in healthy individuals. This condition is called benign or physiologic anisocoria (BA) and has been described in numerous ophthalmology publications [1-6]. [7] presents compelling evidence that this condition is caused by sympathetic nervous system asymmetry, one eye receiving greater SNS activation than the other. Consistent with this thesis, asymmetry has been observed in several functional domains of the SNS, and most results suggest that the right side of the brain is more sympathetically active than the left. For example, stimulating the right-hemisphere with left-eye monocular viewing produces larger pupil dilation than when the left-hemisphere is stimulated [8,9]. Right-hemisphere lateralization has also been found in sympathetic cardiovascular control [10-15]. Likewise, many studies provide evidence that the right-hemisphere plays a special role in sympathetically-driven emotional experience [16-21].

While these studies present convincing evidence of SNS lateralization, the distribution of this asymmetry has not been quantified (owing in part to the relatively small sample sizes in these studies). Do most individuals exhibit right- lateralized SNS function? Are there sex differences in the direction and magnitude of SNS laterality, as there are in other domains of psychological function and brain structure? [22]

The distribution of BA may provide information to help answer these questions. BA is typically measured by subtracting the diameter of the left pupil from that of the right, so its sign indicates which pupil is larger, and thus which side of the brain may be more active with regard to SNS function. If most individuals do in fact exhibit right- lateralized SNS function, then the central tendency of the BA distribution should reflect this laterality and be shifted or skewed away from a value of 0.0. Likewise, if gender, ethnicity, and other participant variables relate to the incidence and direction of SNS asymmetry, then these factors should also influence the BA distribution. One of the advantages of using BA as a marker of SNS asymmetry is that pupil size can be easily and unobtrusively measured in parallel with other physiologic and psychological variables, thus providing a new approach to studying the relationship between autonomic and psychological function.

In this report I present statistical analysis of two sets of BA data, and find evidence of laterality in both sets (i.e., non-zero Mean BA). The first set came from a psychology experiment [27] in which pupillometry data were collected while subjects engaged in tasks that varied in cognitive/attentional load and illumination levels. Thus, BA levels in that study were likely influenced by variations in light-evoked and task-evoked pupillary responses [28]. The second set was measured on a archive of facial photographs taken while...
subjects were at rest, with no cognitive demands, similar in that respect to ophthalmology studies cited earlier [1-6]. Analysis of these data replicate our earlier findings of 1) substantial individual differences in BA (and presumably SNS asymmetry), 2) a small but significant bias in the direction of lateralization (right pupil smaller than left), and 3) a gender difference in the direction and magnitude of Mean BA, suggesting a similar gender difference in SNS asymmetry.

Methods

A total of 397 high-resolution (3008 X 2000) color face photographs were obtained from the Chicago Face Database collection, which can be obtained at:

http://faculty.chicagobooth.edu/bernd.wittenbrink/cfd/download/download.html

[14] describes the purpose of the database, photographic methods, face-feature measurements, etc. Photographs were of relatively young individuals (Mean age = 29.6) posed in well-standardized front-facing posture and neutral facial expression (see Figure 1). The subjects were of 4 ethnic classes (Asian (F = 51, M = 50); Black (F = 48, M = 46); Latino (F = 48, M = 50); White (F = 52, M = 53)). I used a photo-analysis software application (OMAX Toup View) to visually outline the pupil contour and thus measure pupil diameter (Figure 1b). Two measurements were taken on each pupil and the average diameter used in further analysis.

Results

Chicago Face Database. The difference in diameter of right and left pupil (in millimeters) served as the measure of BA (R - L). Figure 2 presents frequency distributions for females and males. Mean values were significantly different from zero (females: t (197) = 2.3, p = 0.02; males: t (198) = 4.1, p <0.001), and also significantly different from each other (t (395) = 4.95, p <0.001). 30% of females exhibited a positive BA bias and 23% a negative bias; 42% of males exhibited a negative BA bias and 23% a positive bias. Gender differences were consistently observed across ethnicity levels, but ethnicity was not related to BA (Figure 3). Figure 4 shows that the gender difference was primarily driven by right-pupil size, which was significantly different between males and females (F = 2.85; M = 2.75: t (395) = 2.26, p = 0.02). The difference in left pupil size was not significant.
Similar gender differences were observed in [27], but a different BA metric was used there. So, for purpose of comparison, Table 1 presents summary statistics for both studies using the (R-L) metric. Despite substantial demographic differences in the subject samples and context within which subjects were measured (e.g., age and ethnicity mix, illumination levels, subject’s visual/mental activities during measurement), results were quite similar. Data from both studies were combined to provide an overall summary (Table 1 & Figure 5).

![BA frequency distributions for females and males (both databases combined).](Image)

**Figure 5: BA frequency distributions for females and males (both databases combined).**

| Summary Statistic | Chicago Face Database N = 397 | Poynter Database N = 310 | Combined (N = 707) |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| **Mean**          |                              |                          |                   |
| Overall           | -0.016                       | -0.021                   | -0.017            |
| Female            | 0.024                        | 0.008                    | 0.019             |
| Male              | -0.055                       | -0.051                   | -0.054            |
| **Standard Deviation** |                             |                          |                   |
| Overall           | 0.183                        | 0.158                    | 0.173             |
| Female            | 0.163                        | 0.154                    | 0.159             |
| Male              | 0.194                        | 0.15                     | 0.178             |
| **Significant Female-Male Difference?** | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Average Pupil Size | No                          | No                       | Yes Female > Male |
| Right Pupil Size  | Yes Female > Male           | Yes Female > Male        | Yes Female > Male |
| Left Pupil Size   | No                           | No                       | No                |

**Table 1: BA summary statistics.**

### Discussion

Benign anisocoria was measured in two studies (total N = 707). The data were broadly distributed (Figure 2 & 5), and Mean BA for both data sets was slightly negative, as found in previous ophthalmology studies (Loewenfeld (1977): BA = ~ - 0.03, N = 850; Smith, et al. (1979): BA = - 0.06, N=150). So, if BA is in fact a marker of SNS asymmetry, these data suggest SNS activation is on average (across genders) greater for the left pupil than for the right. And since right-hemisphere dominance has been reported in a number of SNS-lateralization studies [8,10,12,14,15,29], greater left-pupil SNS activation paired with right-hemisphere SNS dominance may suggest that the SNS pathways between cortex and pupil are contralateral (i.e., right brain activates left pupil). But more importantly, the present study found that the distribution of BA is shifted to the left of 0.0 for males (left pupil larger than right) and to the right of 0.0 for females (left pupil smaller than right). Moreover, Table 1 shows that the absolute difference of Mean BA from 0.0 was larger for males than females. Thus, SNS function may be more asymmetric for males than females and lateralized to the opposite side of the brain. This speculation is consistent with an extensive body of research indicating that the male brain is less symmetric than the female brain, both in terms of function and architecture [21-23,25,26].

### Conclusion

This study helps to clarify the statistical distribution of benign anisocoria in the human population and may provide new information about the distribution of SNS asymmetry. The gender differences in BA suggest similar differences in SNS lateralization, which may in turn relate to other physiologic, behavioral, and cognitive traits (e.g., personality and attentional function [27]). Future studies that directly measure bilateral brain activity in addition to pupil size and other measures of SNS function (e.g., electrodermal response) are needed in order to validate the relationship between BA and SNS lateralization. Assuming this relationship is confirmed, BA may have value as a convenient, inexpensive, and unobtrusive measure of SNS asymmetry.
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