Sacubitril-Valsartan Increases Ultrafiltration in Patients Undergoing Peritoneal Dialysis: A Short-Term Retrospective Self-Controlled Study
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Aim: There are few data about the effectiveness and safety of angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI) sacubitril-valsartan in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis (PD). The present study was conducted to evaluate the association between sacubitril-valsartan treatment and peritoneal ultrafiltration (PUF) in PD patients.

Methods and Results: Forty-seven ESRD patients undergoing PD for at least 3 months without severe congestive heart failure (CHF) were included in this study. Sacubitril-valsartan (generally 100 mg b.i.d) was administered after consultation with the nephrologist. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) were required to be discontinued 36 h before prescribing sacubitril-valsartan. Other treatments and dialysis modality did not change. Baseline demographic and clinical parameters were collected before ARNI administration, and daily PUF, urine volume, total output, blood pressure (BP), and body weight were collected within 7 days before and after ARNI treatment. After treated with sacubitril-valsartan, 30 patients (63.8%) had a significant increase of PUF [up to 150.4 (110.7, 232.1) ml per day], while the remaining 17 (36.2%) had a slight decrease. The overall increase of PUF was 66.4 (21.4, 123.2) ml/24 h within the 7 days after sacubitril-valsartan administration, which was significantly higher than those before ($P = 0.004$). Total output, BP, and body weight also significantly improved. No adverse drug reactions were observed.

Conclusions: Our study indicated that sacubitril-valsartan was associated with the increase of short-term PUF and total output in PD patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Sacubitril-valsartan, a first-in-class angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI), is a sodium salt complex of sacubitril [a neprilysin inhibitor (NEPI)] and valsartan [an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB)] in a 1:1 molar ratio (1–3). This complex may present significant advancement over angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibition or ARB alone, because NEP inhibition
acts synergistically with renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) blockade, which could dilate blood vessels, strengthen diuresis and natriuresis, prevent cardiac remodeling, and support cardiomyocyte survival (4, 5). In PARADIGM-HF (Prospective Comparison of ARNI with ACE inhibitor to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and Morbidity in Heart Failure) study, sacubitril-valsartan led to significantly lower all-cause and cardiovascular mortality of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) compared to enalapril alone (6). Subsequent researches on ARNI also confirmed this (7–9). In addition, sacubitril-valsartan plays an important role in anti-hypertension (10–14) and renal protection, regardless of baseline renal function (15). Therefore, ARNI is recommended by the International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines for patients with HF or hypertension (16). However, the effectiveness and safety of ARNI have not been well evaluated in patients with severe renal insufficiency (those with glomerular filtration rate <30 ml/min/1.73 m²).

Recently, sacubitril-valsartan was applied in advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients (stage IV or V) with HFrEF in a real-world clinical setting, and the positive results were supported by lower overall mortality, cardiovascular death, and re-hospitalization (9). In addition, Tang et al. (8) had undertaken a cohort study focused on peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients with HFP EF (HF with preserved ejection fraction). Of the 21 patients analyzed at last, HF was greatly improved after sacubitril-valsartan administration, not only in clinical signs and symptoms, but also in biochemical indicators. However, data on ARNI treatment in PD patients are still lacking, and the mechanisms of ARNI on HF remain unclear.

Following oral administration, sacubitril-valsartan is rapidly hydrolyzed in vivo by carboxyl esterase 1 to the active NEPI, sacubitril, which could inhibit NEP, enhance natriuretic peptide (NP) system activity, and suppress RAAS activation (2–5). Besides cardiovascular (reducing systemic vascular resistance and ventricular preload) and neuro-endocrine (inhibiting sympathetic nerve input and increasing vagus nerve input) activities, sacubitril-valsartan could enhance diuretic and natriuretic actions, regulate sodium-water balance, and improve blood volume (17–19). However, this might not work in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients due to severe renal injury and anuria. In PD patients, a major way to remove fluid out of the body is peritoneal ultrafiltration (PUF), especially in those without residual renal function (RRF) (20). Failure of PUF is closely related to water retention and overload, hypertension resistance (21), pulmonary edema (22), and acute or congestive heart failure (CHF) (23). Could ARNI affect PUF? To validate it, we conducted this short-term study involving 47 PD patients.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Patients**

This was a short-term retrospective self-controlled study enrolled ESRD patients who underwent PD more than 3 month, were over 18 years, and received sacubitril-valsartan in the Department of Nephrology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University from June 1st 2020 to June 30th 2021. All patients were treated with continuous ambulatory PD (CAPD) or automated PD (APD). Patients with acute infection, trauma, autoimmune disease, active rheumatic diseases, or complicated with severe HF [New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class III or IV, or serum NT-proBNP ≥11,215.5 pg/ml (24)], or those transferred to hemodialysis or kidney transplantation within 1 month were excluded. Sacubitril-valsartan was administered after consultation with nephrologist. ACE inhibitors and ARBs were required to be discontinued 36 h before prescribing sacubitril-valsartan. The dose of sacubitril-valsartan was usually 100 mg b.i.d. (25) and no patients discontinued the drug during follow-up. Treatments including dialysis modality, frequency, dialysate glucose concentration, or anti-hypertensive drugs other than ACE inhibitors/ARB did not change. Other drugs were also continued. The study protocol was approved by the research ethics committee of Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University (Ethics number NFEC-2019-223), and all participants provided written informed consent.

**Data Collection**

Baseline demographic and clinical parameters prior to sacubitril-valsartan administration, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), PD vintage, dialysate glucose concentration, weekly Kt/V (weekly urea clearance index), primary kidney disease, medical histories, laboratory data and drug use were obtained from medical records and inspection systems. Daily clinical parameters including PUF, urine volume (UV), total output, body weight and blood pressure (BP) were collected within 7 days before and after sacubitril-valsartan treatment. Conventional weekly Kt/V was measured by standard methods (26). Dialysate glucose concentration (%) was calculated as \( \Sigma \) (glucose concentration × input volume)/total input volume. For example, if a patient is treated by CAPD with 1.5% dialysate × 2L × 2 and 2.5% dialysate × 2L × 2, the dialysate glucose concentration equals to 2.0% [(1.5% × 2L × 2 + 2.5% × 2L × 2)/8L]. Total output was calculated as PUF plus UV. Changes of PUF (ΔUltrafiltration) = [ΣPUF after ARNI application (PUF after) – ΣPUF before ARNI application (PUF before)]/7. Changes of UV (ΔUV), total output (ΔTotal output), body weight (Δbody weight), Systolic BP (ΔSBP), and diastolic BP (ΔDBP) were calculated as the same method.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0 for Windows and RStudio software, version 4.0.2. Descriptive results of continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD or medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs), and categorical variables were reported as percentages and numbers. Paired sample t-test (normal distribution data) and Wilcoxon paired signed rank test (non-parametric data) were used to compare the self-matching data of PUF, UV, total output, body weight, and BP. The differences of PUF; UV, total output, body weight, and BP before and after ARNI treatment were shown as pseudo-median or mean and 95% confidence interval (CI). The pseudo-median is calculated through Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity.
Changes of PUF, Total Output, UV and Body Weight After Sacubitril-Valsartan Initiating

To evaluate the water clearance status, we analyzed the changes of PUF, UV, and total output in these PD patients. After sacubitril-valsartan treatment, 30 (63.8%) patients had a significant increase in PUF, while the left 17 (36.2%) decreased slightly (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1). The pseudo-median daily PUF increase was 66.4 (21.4, 123.2) ml in all PD patients, and 150.4 (110.7, 232.1) ml in the 30 patients (Table 2, Supplementary Table 1). For the total output (PUF plus UV), 31 patients had increased volume with the pseudo-median of 171.4 (114.3, 232.1) ml/24 h, the left 16 patients had decreased slightly [−66.9 (−165.0, −46.3) ml/24 h], and the overall increase was 81.1 (28.6, 139.3) ml/24 h (Table 2, Figure 2, Supplementary Table 1). No significant differences existed in UV (Table 2, Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, the body weight also decreased [−0.4 (−0.7, −0.1) kg/d, P = 0.005, Table 2, Supplementary Figure 5]. Changes of daily PUF, UV, and total output for every patient were shown in Supplementary Figure 3. These data suggested that sacubitril-valsartan could increase PUF and total output in PD patients.

Changes of BP Under Sacubitril-Valsartan Treatment

Hypertension management is another outstanding role of ARNI (10). Then we established the effect of sacubitril-valsartan in BP. After sacubitril-valsartan treatment, the SBP level did decrease with the mean of −5.9 (−8.8, −3.0) mmHg (P < 0.001), and the DBP level also decreased (Table 2, Figure 3). Before receiving sacubitril-valsartan, one patient received ACE inhibitor, 26 patients received ARB, and no patient was treated with ACE inhibitor and ARB combination. Among them, the SBP levels also significantly improved (P = 0.017) (Supplementary Table 1). Detailed BPs within 7 days before and after sacubitril-valsartan treatment were shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

Safety of Sacubitril-Valsartan

We also established the safety of sacubitril-valsartan in this short-term study. None of the PD patients showed adverse drug reactions such as hypotension, hyperkalaemia, or angioedema (Table 3). These data were similar to those reported by Tang et al. (8), but seemed to be lower than those reported in HF population (Table 3) (6).

DISCUSSION

In this short-term self-controlled study, we have evaluated the role of sacubitril-valsartan on PUF in PD patients. After receiving sacubitril-valsartan, 30 patients had a great increase in PUF, reaching 150.4 ml per day. The total output also increased. Both systolic and diastolic BP significantly decreased. These data demonstrated the role of sacubitril-valsartan in water removal and BP control in PD patients.

HF is a major global public health problem that affects more than 64 million people worldwide (29). Substantial data demonstrated that HF is more common in CKD patients compared to those without CKD (30–33), and the prevalence
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**FIGURE 1** | Changes of PUF (ΔUltrafiltration) after sacubitril-valsartan initiating in PD patients. Daily PUF was collected within 7 days before (PUF before) and after (PUF after) sacubitril-valsartan treatment. ΔUltrafiltration = \((\sum \text{PUF after} - \sum \text{PUF before})/7\). After treated with sacubitril-valsartan, 30 patients had obvious increase of PUF (dark blue color), and 17 patients had slight decrease (light blue color). PUF, peritoneal ultrafiltration; PD, peritoneal dialysis.

**TABLE 2** | Comparison of the PUF, Total output, UV, Body weight and BP in PD patients before and after ARNI initiating.

| Variables                     | Before ARNI | After ARNI | Difference      | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| **Abnormal distribution**     |             |            |                |         |
| PUF, ml/24 h                  | 389.1 (255.4, 536.6) | 485.7 (318.6, 647.5) | 66.4 (21.4, 123.2) | 0.004   |
| Total output, ml/24 h         | 836.3 (739.3, 919.3) | 905.7 (807.9, 1,002.9) | 81.1 (28.6, 139.3) | 0.003   |
| UV, ml/24 h                   | 532.1 (418.6, 657.1) | 520.0 (378.6, 670.7) | 20.0 (−41.4, 81.4) | 0.446   |
| **Normal distribution**‡      |             |            |                |         |
| Body weight, kg               | 60.0 (56.3, 63.8) | 59.6 (66.0, 63.2) | −0.4 (−0.7, −0.1) | 0.005   |
| SBP, mmHg                     | 144.6 (139.0, 150.1) | 138.7 (133.5, 143.5) | −5.9 (−8.8, −3.0) | <0.001  |
| DBP, mmHg                     | 91.9 (88.4, 95.5) | 89.5 (85.9, 93.0) | −2.4 (−4.6, −0.3) | 0.030   |

*Abnormal distribution, using Wilcoxon paired signed rank test, a non-parametric 95%CI and an estimator for the pseudo-median of the difference of the location parameters is computed. The calculation of the p-value was based on the range of pseudo-median of the distribution of difference. Accordingly, the data before and after ARNI were expressed as pseudo-median (95% CI).

‡Normal distribution, Using paired sample t-test, a 95%CI and an estimator for the mean of the difference is computed. The calculation of the p-value was based on the range of the mean of difference. Accordingly, the data before and after ARNI were expressed as mean (95% CI).

PUF, peritoneal ultrafiltration; UV, urine volume; BP, blood pressure; PD, peritoneal dialysis; ARNI, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; CI, confidence interval.

increases with the renal function progression (31, 33, 34). In ESRD patients undergoing dialysis, the HF incidence increases to 12–36 times of the general population (31, 34). Even though PD is a kind of continuous dialysis model, patients are prone to suffer from CHF due to water-sodium retention (35), which are mainly caused by inefficient water removal (36), especially in those with peritonitis and micro-inflammation (37), long term high glucose exposure (38), peritoneal injury, and peritoneal fibrosis (39, 40). Full water removal and proper blood volume control are very important to improve cardiac function in this population (35). The most important way for water removal in PD patients is PUF because of RRF loss. Interestingly, the PUF of our 47 PD patients did increase rapidly after sacubitril-valsartan application. Within the 7 days after ARNI treatment, about 2/3 patients had a significant PUF increase with a pseudo-median daily volume of 150.4 ml. Of the left decreased 1/3 patients, the PUF changed slightly (−75.0 ml/24 h). The UV did not change after sacubitril-valsartan prescription, which might be caused by poor RRF (baseline pseudo-median UV was 532.1 ml, and 12 patients were anuria). Anyway, the total output was increased.
These data suggested that sacubitril-valsartan could improve water removal through increasing PUF, but not urine. Extra fluid removal of ARNI might contribute to cardiac function protection in PD population.

At present, more studies have proved the role of sacubitril-valsartan on cardiac function (6–9), which might be the potential mechanism for better PUF. However, obvious improvement of cardiac function could be generally detected 1–3 months or even longer after ARNI application (41, 42). To ensure the safety, patients with severe HF at baseline have been excluded in our study, including those with NYHA functional class III or IV, or those with serum NT-proBNP ≥ 11,215.5 pg/ml [due to the prolonged half-life and increased plasma concentration of NT-proBNP with the kidney function injury progression (43), and comprehensive consideration of sensitivity and specificity in ESRD patients (24)]. Their mean baseline LVEF score was 66.5 ± 9.0 %. Most importantly, the changes of PUF were observed in a very short term (within 7 days) after sacubitril-valsartan administration. Taken together, although not retested, the effect of sacubitril-valsartan on PUF in PD patients seemed to be associated with ARNI itself, but not with the improvement of cardiac function. How ARNI affects PUF?

Sacubitril-valsartan could rapidly hydrolyze to sacubitril and valsartan after oral intake. Sacubitril could inhibit NEP, enhance NP system activity, and then exerting many biological activities (2–5), including extra water removal though renal tubular NEP-NP activity. NEP, the zinc-dependent enzyme and type II integral membrane protein, could widely express on many kinds of epithelial cells (renal, lung, heart, blood vessels, and so on) (44). Peritoneum, a key functional structure for PD, is mainly composed by capillary endothelial cells and peritoneal mesothelial cells (a special epithelial cell). Even though there were no evidence of NEP on peritoneal expression, inhibiting peritoneal endo-/epithelial cellular, NEP might be a potential mechanism for sacubitril-valsartan on PUF. Further cellular and animal experiments are needed for this hypothesis.

The excellent anti-hypertensive effect of ARNI has been confirmed recently (9–14). Compared to ACE inhibitor or ARB alone, ARNI acts synergistically with RAAS blockade, which leads additional anti-hypertensive activation (4, 5). In our study, 27 PD patients were converted to sacubitril-valsartan from ACE inhibitor / ARB, and the other 20 patients were added directly. All of them achieved better BP levels. Extra water removal by higher ultrafiltration and special activity of ARNI might be the reasons. However, the amount of anti-hypertensive drugs after sacubitril-valsartan treatment was higher than the original scheme (generally 2 tablets vs. 1 tablet in patients switched from ACE inhibitor/ARB, or 2 tablets vs. 0 tablet in patients added directly), which might be another key factor. Further comparative trails of ARNI vs. ACE inhibitor/ARB in a same dose for BP control are needed.

In existing studies, the common adverse drug reactions of ARNI are symptomatic hypotension, cough, renal impairment, hyperkalemia, and angio-oedema (6). In our study, no PD patients have shown any adverse drug reaction. However, this might be mainly caused by very short-term
FIGURE 3 | Changes of SBP ($\Delta$SBP) and DBP ($\Delta$DBP) after sacubitril-valsartan initiating in PD patients. Daily BP level was collected during 7 days before (SBP or DBP before) and after (SBP or DBP after) sacubitril-valsartan treatment. $\Delta$SBP = $\frac{\sum(SBP \text{ after}) - \sum(SBP \text{ before})}{7}$. $\Delta$DBP = $\frac{\sum(DBP \text{ after}) - \sum(DBP \text{ before})}{7}$. After treated with sacubitril-valsartan, the BP levels of PD patients were markedly decreased (light blue color). SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PD, peritoneal dialysis.
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observation (only 7 days after applying sacubitril-valsartan) and relatively small sample size (47 participants). The real side effects of sacubitril-valsartan in PD patients should be fully evaluated in the subsequent longer-term and larger sample size studies.

To our knowledge, data on ARNI treatment in PD patients are limited. Here we found that sacubitril-valsartan might improve PUF and BP in PD patients, and the self-controlled study setting could remove some confounding factors. However, there were several limitations. The first limitation is the sample size. Even
TABLE 3 | Adverse events during sacubitril-valsartan treatment.

| Events          | McMurray et al.*  | Tang et al.**  | Current study  |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                 | (N = 4,203) (%)   | (N = 21) (%)   | (N = 47) (%)  |
| Hypotension     | 17.6              | 0              | 0             |
| Hyperkalaemia   | 11.6              | 0              | 0             |
| Cough           | 8.8               | 0              | 0             |
| Renal impairment| 10.1              | 0              | 0             |
| Angioedema      | 0.5               | 0              | 0             |

*McMurray et al. (8).
**Fu et al. (8).

though the sample size is larger than reported before (47 vs. 21 patients), it is still relatively small, which might bring us some errors. The second limitation is the observation period. We have only observed 7 days after ARNI administration. This short-term observation gives us some detailed dynamic information and some hints, but could not predict long-term effects. We were unable to evaluate the effect of ARNI on cardiac function in this short observation period. Furthermore, side effects of ARNI in PD population could not be fully established. Third, prescription of ARNI was 200 mg per day according to the HF guidelines (25) and was higher than the original scheme, which might be a key factor of better BP control. Finally, retrospective cohort study did bring us some inevitable confounding factors. It is necessary to conduct larger sample size, longer term, prospective cohort, and randomized double-blinded controlled studies to confirm the effect of ARNI on PUF and cardiac function in PD patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study suggested that sacubitril-valsartan was associated with the increase of short-term PUF and total output in PD patients. This is a first study about the relationship between ARNI and extra water removal in PD patients. If further confirmed, ARNI application might bring us a potential method to improve water retention and cardiac function in PD population.
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