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Abstract
Maternal effects—where an individual's phenotype is influenced by the phenotype or environment of its mother—are taxonomically and ecologically widespread. Yet, their role in the origin of novel, complex traits remains unclear. Here we investigate the role of maternal effects in influencing the induction of a novel resource-use phenotype. Spadefoot toad tadpoles, Spea multiplicata, often deviate from their normal development and produce a morphologically distinctive carnivore-morph phenotype, which specializes on anostracan fairy shrimp. We evaluated whether maternal investment influences expression of this novel phenotype. We found that larger females invested in larger eggs, which, in turn, produced larger tadpoles. Such larger tadpoles are better able to capture the shrimp that induce carnivores. By influencing the expression of novel resource-use phenotypes, maternal effects may play a largely underappreciated role in the origins of novelty.

Introduction
A central goal of evolutionary biology is to understand how novel, complex traits arise [1,2]. An organism's external environment often plays a critical role in directing both the development and evolution of novel traits. Specifically, the environment may promote the development of novel traits through phenotypic plasticity [see recent review in [3]], and it may promote the evolution of novel traits through genetic accommodation [sensu [1]]. Thus, clarifying how the environment influences the expression and evolution of complex traits is crucial for understanding how new traits arise.

Here, we explore the role of the maternal environment in influencing the expression of a novel resource-use phenotype. Understanding how resource-use phenotypes originate is important, because their evolution may permit populations to invade and persist in novel or changing environments [4,5]. Moreover, the evolution of resource polymorphism – in which alternative morphs showing differential resource use occur in the same population [4] – may represent a critical, early phase in the formation of a new species [1,4,6,7].

We specifically consider how the phenotype of an individual's mother, independent of the effects of her genes, influence which resource-use morph the individual will ultimately express. Little is known about whether and how such maternal effects [sensu [8]] mediate resource polymorphism [4], (but see [9,10] for possible examples). Yet, maternal effects may play a key role in the development and evolution of resource polymorphism, especially in species, such as amphibians, where egg provisioning often constitutes the only maternal investment [11,12], and where a mother's environment can influence the amount (and, possibly, quality) of provision she allocates to each egg [13–16]. Such differential investment can, in turn, profoundly affect her offspring's phenotype [reviewed in [12]]. For example, larger females often produce larger eggs [14–17], which develop into larger, faster developing tadpoles [15,18–20]. Furthermore, differential investment may mediate plasticity in the expression of offspring traits [9,19,21,22]. In particular, in species where individuals facultatively express alternative resource-use morphs depending upon their environmental circumstances (e.g., see [23–25]), offspring that receive greater maternal investment may induce a different resource-use morph than offspring that receive less maternal investment (e.g., see [9,26]). Thus, maternal effects may often be critical in the expression of resource polymorphism.

Previous research by Pfennig and Martin [10] suggests that a condition-dependent maternal effect mediates differences in the expression of resource polymorphism in different populations of an amphibian. In the present study, we examine the proximate mechanisms underlying such a maternal effect. We also speculate on the role maternal effects may play in the evolution of novel resource-use phenotypes. Before outlining our specific objectives, we first describe our study system in more detail.

Study System
Mexican spadefoot toads (Spea multiplicata: Family Pelobatidae) occur in Mexico and the southwestern U.S. [27]. Their tadpoles are unusual in that they exhibit considerable variation in resource use, morphology, and life history, even within a single clutch. In
particular, these tadpoles may develop into either a small, slowly developing tadpole with normal sized jaw muscles used for feeding on detritus at the pond bottom (the “omnivore” morph), or a larger, more rapidly developing tadpole with greatly enlarged jaw muscles used for feeding on anostracan fairy shrimp in open water (the “carnivore” morph), [24,29–30]. (For photos of both morphs, see [31]). The carnivore morph is a novel phenotype within the family Pelobatidae that has arisen only in the genus Spea [5].

Morph determination is environmentally induced. Tadpoles are born as omnivores, but they may develop into carnivores if they ingest anostracan fairy shrimp early in life [24,29,32]. However, there is considerable variation in carnivore production, and sibships are more prone than others to produce carnivores, even when tadpoles are reared under common conditions [33,34]. Moreover, because shrimp are limited in most natural ponds [30], competition among tadpoles for the more nutritious shrimp prey [35] critically affects the probability that any particular tadpole will eat shrimp and thus experience the cue that induces the carnivore morph [30,36].

This resource polymorphism appears to be maintained evolutionarily as an adaptive response to variation among natural ponds in longevity and resource availability. Carnivores are favored in highly ephemeral ponds where shrimp are most abundant and where a carnivore’s rapid growth and development increase their likelihood of metamorphosing before their pond dries [24,30]. Omnivores, by contrast, are favored in longer-lasting ponds, where shrimp tend to be scarce [24]. However, both morphs are often present in the same pond [24,28,29]. In such situations, individuals compete for food most with other tadpoles that express the same morphotype [30,37]. As a result, negative frequency-dependent selection favors the rarer morph [30]. Thus, selection to minimize competition for food can maintain both morphs in the same pond.

An additional selective agent influencing the evolution of resource polymorphism in this system is interspecific competition for food. In southeastern Arizona and southwestern New Mexico, USA, S. multiplicita co-occurs with a congener, S. bombifrons [31,38], whose tadpoles outcompete S. multiplicita for shrimp and thereby produce a competitively superior carnivore [31]. Selection to lessen competition between these species has led to divergence in resource-use traits, both between species [39] and between sympatric and allopatric populations within each species [31,38]. In particular, where each species occurs alone, they produce similar, intermediate frequencies of both morphs [40]. However, where they co-occur, S. multiplicita produces mostly omnivores, whereas S. bombifrons produces mostly carnivores [31,38,40]. Experiments reveal that this divergence in morph production reflects selection to lessen interspecific competition for food; i.e., it reflects ecological character displacement [38,39]. Moreover, differences in morph production between sympatric and allopatropic population of S. multiplicita persist even when tadpoles are produced and reared under common conditions, suggesting that they are developmentally canalized [31,38].

Population differences in morph production have also resulted in shifts in adult size [41] and condition [10]. Partly because they produce only the smaller omnivore morph in the presence of S. bombifrons, S. multiplicita mature as smaller adults in sympatry relative to allopatry [41]. In addition, females from sympatry produce smaller eggs than females from allopatry [10]. Because differences in morph production are maternally inherited and disappear once females have been equilibrated in condition [10], morph production may in part be a result of a condition dependent maternal effect. This maternal effect may be driven by differences in adult body size and maternal investment between allopatric and sympatric S. multiplicita [10]. However, the precise mechanism by which a mother’s body size and condition influence the resource-use morph of her offspring was (before the present study) unknown.

Specific Hypotheses and Predictions

The overall goal of our study was to determine the proximate mechanisms underlying a maternal effect influencing the expression of resource polymorphism in S. multiplicita. In particular we hypothesized that, through its effects on egg size and ultimately tadpole size, a female’s overall body size influences the likelihood that her tadpoles will develop into the novel carnivore morph phenotype.

In order to achieve this goal, we asked whether maternal size predicts the time it takes the offspring to capture and consume a standard amount of shrimp. A tadpole’s time to eat shrimp is highly repeatable for individual tadpoles (Spearman correlation between the separate times to eat two consecutive shrimp for 75 S. multiplicita tadpoles = 0.63, P<0.0001). More importantly, the amount of time that a tadpole takes to eat a standard quantity of shrimp reliably predicts its propensity to later develop into a carnivore morph: tadpoles that eat shrimp the fastest are ultimately the most likely to express the distinctive large-headed carnivore phenotype [39] (Spearman correlation between the time to eat three shrimp and the degree to which the carnivore morphology is expressed for 130 S. multiplicita tadpoles = −0.36, P<0.001). Finding that maternal, but not paternal, size predicts offspring shrimp foraging ability would further suggest that a maternal effect influences offspring shrimp foraging ability, and, by extension, their propensity to produce carnivores. Therefore, we also asked whether paternal size predicts their offspring’s time to capture and consume shrimp. In addition, because we hypothesized that females may affect their offspring’s morph determination by influencing offspring size, we asked if tadpole size also predicts shrimp foraging ability.

Next, because we found that the largest females produced the most carnivore-like offspring and larger tadpoles were more carnivore-like than smaller tadpoles (see Results), we sought to determine if this result reflected differences among females in maternal investment. We specifically sought to determine if larger females made a greater investment in egg size than smaller females, and if larger eggs produced correspondingly larger tadpoles. Larger tadpoles may be more likely to develop into carnivores, in part, because their greater size gives them an advantage when competing with smaller tadpoles for the shrimp that induce carnivore-morph expression [36].

Materials and Methods

Spea multiplicita bred for these experiments were collected from four breeding aggregations (and from the road nearby) near Rodeo, New Mexico. All animals were transported to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where they were housed and maintained on identical diets for at least six months before use in the experiments below. The variation in female body size (SVL) used in the experiments described below (49.73±3.23, n = 41) was similar to the variation in female size found in nature (44.30±3.67, n = 260; Penning and Penning 2003).

This study was conducted in compliance with the Public Health Service (PHS) policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, the Amended Animal Welfare Act of 1985, and the regulations of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) under the supervision of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill under application #06-047.0-A. Field collections were conducted under New Mexico collecting permit 1857.
Relationship between Maternal Size, Paternal Size, Offspring Size and Offspring Foraging Behavior

We generated tadpoles to examine the relationships between maternal size, paternal size, offspring size and offspring foraging behavior by breeding 20 pairs of *S. multiplicata*, which were randomly paired with their mate with respect to body size (SVL). We chose females with fully developed eggs for breeding, by visually inspecting each female’s clutch through her skin. Immediately before breeding, we measured each toad’s snout-vent length (SVL) and injected each with 0.07 ml of 0.1 mM gonadotropin releasing hormone to induce breeding. We then placed each male-female pair in an 11.3 L plastic tank, keeping tadpoles from the same clutch together, and fed them crushed fish food *ad libitum* (Wardley cichlid floating pellets).

Twelve days after the breeding, we measured the ability of 21 tadpoles from each of 10 randomly chosen families to capture and consume shrimp (two tadpoles died prior to the end of the experiment and were therefore not included in the subsequent analysis). We used tadpoles from only 10 families to maximize the number of tadpoles we could test from each family. We placed each tadpole separately in an individually numbered round, opaque, plastic container (12 cm in diameter and 6 cm deep) filled with 600 mL of de-chlorinated water (kept at 23 °C). We arranged the cups on a table randomly with respect to family. We allowed the tadpoles to acclimate to their new surroundings for twenty-four hours, during which time they were fed crushed fish food (Wardley cichlid floating pellets) to ensure that all tadpoles were equally satiated. The next day, we placed into each container three, live brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*, 10 mm total length; brine shrimp are similar to the fairy shrimp on which *S. multiplicata* tadpoles prey in natural ponds and can induce carnivore-like morphology; [39]). We then observed the tadpoles continuously and recorded the time each took to capture and consume all three shrimp. To determine if tadpole size, maternal size, and paternal size predicted offspring foraging ability we fit a linear mixed effect model to the data with maternal size (mother’s SVL), paternal size (father’s SVL) and tadpole size (SVL) as fixed effects. The response variable, the time for each tadpole to capture and consume three shrimp, was natural log transformed to meet the assumptions of normality. In addition, we included the random effect of family identity, to account for non-independence among tadpoles from the same family, nested within the random effect of population, using restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Statistical analyses were performed in the nlme package in R version 2.8.1.

Relationship between Maternal Size and Egg Size

To examine the relationship between maternal size and egg size we collected 10–25 eggs, from each of the 20 clutches generated in the breeding described above, within a few hours of oviposition. We immediately preserved these eggs in 10% buffered formalin. At a later date we used a Leica MZ16 dissecting microscope with a Leica DFC480 digital camera to photograph the eggs at 10x magnification. We determined the Gosner developmental stage of each egg [42] and measured its diameter by using ImageJ 1.37v [43]. We assumed that each egg was spherical and calculated its volume from the formula \(4/3\pi r^3\), where \(r\) is the radius. We only included eggs in the same Gosner stage in our analysis (stage 12, [42]) because egg volumes change during development and are not directly comparable across developmental stages [16,44,45]. In addition, it is important to note that preservation in formalin can affect egg size [45]. Therefore, we likely did not measure the true egg size of our formalin preserved eggs. However, because we were interested in the relationship between relative egg size and maternal size, changes in egg size caused by fixation in formalin were unlikely to qualitatively affect our results.

Because we specifically predicted that larger females would produce larger eggs we employed a one-tailed test. Specifically, we fit a linear mixed effect model to the data to determine if maternal size (SVL) predicted egg volume. We treated maternal size as a fixed effect. In addition, we included the random effect of family identity, to account for non-independence among eggs from the same clutch, nested within the random effect of population, using restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Statistical analyses were performed in the nlme package in R version 2.8.1.

Results

Relationship between Egg Size and Tadpole Size

To examine the effect of egg size on tadpole size (where again, egg size served as a measure of maternal investment), we measured the size of individual eggs from the single cell stage, and again as tadpoles seven days after oviposition. We chose to re-measure the tadpoles seven days after oviposition because carnivores can first be found in natural ponds around this time [46]. We generated four clutches from four pairs of *S. multiplicata*, from separate populations, each on a separate day, using procedures described above. We collected eggs within twenty minutes of their laying, and before they developed into the two-cell stage (Gosner stage 3, [42]). We continued to collect eggs periodically as new eggs were laid. We de-jellied eggs with a 2% L-cysteine solution for no longer than 2 minutes and washed them three times with an isotonic buffer [0.1x Marc’s Modified Ringers (MMR) pH 7.5 (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM HEPES, 2 mM KCl)]. We then placed each egg in a petri dish filled with 40 milliliters of an isotonic buffer (0.1x MMR) and measured each egg at the one cell stage as described above. In addition, we measured each tadpole’s body size (SVL) with handheld digital calipers seven days after oviposition (number of individuals measured per clutch = 26 ± 14). Between measurements, eggs and tadpoles were kept in an environmental chamber at 25 °C on a 14L: 10D cycle. Eggs were reared in 0.1x MMR and transferred, when free swimming, to dechlorinated tap water that was changed daily. Tadpoles were fed crushed fish food (Wardley cichlid floating pellets) and brine shrimp *ad libitum*, starting 36 hours after oviposition.

We calculated egg volume, tadpole size and developmental stage as described above. Because we specifically predicted that larger eggs would produce larger tadpoles, we employed a one-tailed test. Specifically, we fit a linear mixed effect model to the data. Initial egg size was treated as a fixed effect, and tadpole size (SVL) at seven days post-laying was the response measure. We included family identity as a random effect, using restricted maximum likelihood (REML), to control for the possibility that differences among families drove the relationship between egg size and tadpole size. Statistical analyses were performed in the nlme package in R version 2.8.1.

Offspring Size and Offspring Foraging Behavior

Both maternal size (SVL, \(F_{1,18} = 18.079, P = 0.013\)) and tadpole size (SVL, \(F_{1,195} = 55.845, P<0.0001\)) significantly predicted offspring foraging behavior. Indeed, there was a
significant, negative relationship between the time it took to
capture and consume a standard quantity of shrimp and both
maternal size (Fig. 1; slope = −0.14 ± s.e. 0.040) and tadpole size
(Fig. 2; slope = −0.217 ± s.e. 0.031). By contrast, there was no
significant relationship between paternal size (SVL) and offspring
foraging behavior ($F_{1,4} = 1.428, P = 0.298$). In addition, the
random effect of family identity accounted for $<16\%$ of the
remaining variation in offspring foraging behavior. In contrast, the
random effect of population accounted for $<0.1\%$ of the
remaining variation in offspring foraging behavior.

Relationship between Maternal Size and Egg Size
Maternal size (SVL) significantly predicted egg volume ($F_{1,14} = 6.22, P = 0.013$ for a one-tailed test). In particular, there was a
significant, positive relationship between maternal size (SVL) and
egg volume (Fig. 3; slope = 0.022 ± s.e. 0.09). Thus, larger
females produced larger eggs. In addition, the random effect of
family identity accounted for $31\%$ of the remaining variation in
egg size. In contrast the random effect of population accounted for
$<1\%$ of the remaining variation in offspring foraging behavior.

Relationship between Egg Size and Tadpole Size
There was a significant relationship between egg size and
tadpole size seven days after oviposition ($F_{1,97} = 3.175, P = 0.039$
for a one tailed test). In particular, there was a significant, positive
relationship between egg volume and the size (SVL) of tadpoles
emerging from each egg (Fig. 4; slope = 0.829 ± s.e. 0.425). Thus,
larger eggs produced larger tadpoles. In addition, the random
effect of family identity accounted for $36\%$ of the remaining
variation in tadpole size.

Discussion
We evaluated the proximate mechanisms by which a maternal
effect influences the expression of a novel resource-use phenotype,
and thereby, resource polymorphism. Specifically, we asked how a
female spadefoot toad’s body size influences the propensity of her
tadpoles to develop into a distinctive carnivore morph, as opposed
to the normal omnivore morph. Our results suggest that the
expression of the carnivore morph is indeed influenced by
maternal phenotype. Specifically, female size was significantly
negatively correlated with the time it took offspring to capture and
consume shrimp (Fig. 1), which, in turn, is significantly negatively
correlated with an individual’s propensity to become a carnivore
[39]. Thus, large females produced large offspring with a greater
propensity to become carnivores (Fig. 2). In contrast, there was no
effect of paternal size on offspring foraging behavior.

It might be contended that a purely genetic correlation between
female size and tadpole size, rather than a maternal effect, explains
why larger females produce offspring with a greater propensity to
become carnivores. However, such a genetic correlation is unlikely
the main factor explaining variation in shrimp foraging behavior,
because the relationship between female size and offspring morph
determination appears to be condition dependent: altering a
female’s size (through differential feeding in the lab) affects the
propensity of her offspring to develop as carnivores [10]. Both
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short and long term changes in female size and condition are known to affect maternal investment and tadpole phenotype in anurans [13–15,18]. Indeed, the tendency for large mothers to produce tadpoles with a greater propensity to become carnivores appears to reflect differences in maternal investment. Larger females invested in larger eggs (Fig. 3), which produced correspondingly larger tadpoles (Fig. 4). Larger tadpoles, in turn, are able to handle shrimp more efficiently (Fig. 2) and may be able to consume them faster and earlier in development than smaller tadpoles. Larger tadpoles may thereby acquire more of the cue – shrimp ingestion – that induces the carnivore morph [24,29,32]. In natural ponds, larger tadpoles may be especially likely to develop into carnivores by outcompeting smaller tadpoles for shrimp [36], which is a limiting resource [40].

The lack of a significant relationship between offspring foraging behavior and parental size does not rule out environmental or genetic parental effects uncorrelated with parental size. Indeed, the amount of variation accounted for by family identity in offspring foraging behavior, egg size, and tadpole size (see Results), suggests that additional parental effects contribute to these traits and to the expression of resource polymorphism. Further studies are needed to explore the possible contributions (if any) of environmental or genetic parental effects uncorrelated with parental size.

Because our results are correlative, we did not directly test the relationship between maternal phenotype, maternal investment, and offspring morph determination. Therefore, it would be valuable to experimentally test the hypothesis suggested by our study; that maternal size influences offspring morph determination via maternal investment. This hypothesis could be tested experimentally by 1) manipulating the degree of maternal investment to individual eggs through yolk removal [e.g., 47] and 2) through nuclear transplantation [48], where the original nuclear genetic material of an egg is destroyed and replaced with the nuclear genetic material from a donor cell. These two approaches could disentangle the affects of maternal investment on the expression of resource polymorphism from other environmental and genetic parental effects.

Nevertheless, our data suggest that maternal effects may play an important role in mediating resource polymorphism. Although our study focused on how maternal effects influence resource polymorphism through differential parental investment, maternal effects mediated by oviposition decisions, such as where eggs are laid, may also be important. For example, the expression of resource polymorphism can be influenced by numerous environmental cues, such as conspecific density [23,49,50], degree of relatedness among conspecifics [31,33], and the type and quality of prey [24,25,32,53]. How offspring experience each of these factors may depend, in turn, on where a female deposits her eggs. Thus, maternal effects that are manifested as differential oviposition decisions could also influence the expression of resource polymorphism.

Although maternal effects are increasingly viewed as being important in driving rapid phenotypic change within populations (reviewed in [54,55]), they may also promote rapid divergence between populations that differ consistently in exposure to the environmental stimuli that influence the maternal effect [54,55], (e.g., see [56,57]). Indeed, the relationship between maternal size and investment may reinforce character displacement and population divergence in spadefoot toads. Partly because they produce only the smaller omnivore morph in the presence of S. bombyfrons, S. multiplicata mature as smaller adults in sympathy relative to allopatry [see Study System; [41]]. However, S. multiplicata females from sympathy that developed as omnivores when they were young would grow up not only smaller, but – because of the maternal effect – they would also produce mostly omnivores in the next generation (smaller females produce more omnivores; Fig. 1, [10]). This relationship between female size and offspring morph determination – in which smaller females produce mostly omnivores, which likely mature as smaller females that produce mostly omnivores in the next generation – could produce a self-reinforcing, epigenetic cycle that accelerates the evolution of character displacement and population divergence (see [10] for a more detailed discussion).

In addition to mediating ecological divergence between populations and species, maternal effects may also promote the origin of complex traits. Long-standing theory suggests that novel traits may often begin as environmentally initiated phenotypic change [1,58–60]. According to this theory, environmentally triggered variants (such as differential trait expression induced by variation in maternal investment) may, by chance, improve an organism’s fitness under stressful conditions [58,59,61]. If heritable variation exists among individuals in tendency to produce the newly favored trait, then selection should favor those alleles or gene combinations that best stabilize, refine, and extend the new trait’s expression [a process known as genetic accommodation; [1]]. Over evolutionary time, a trait that was initially triggered by the environment may either become canalized or become part of an alternate phenotype controlled by a developmental switch (e.g., see [62,63]).

The resource polymorphism found in spadefoot toads may have evolved under such a scenario [5]. Rapid growth and development is critical for escaping the ephemeral ponds in which S. brevipes breed [24,30]. Ancestral S. brevipes tadpoles that could occasionally consume fairy shrimp would likely have experienced enhanced growth and development. Because they consumed the more nutritious shrimp resource as tadpoles, females would have reached larger body size as adults, and some may have produced larger eggs, resulting in larger tadpoles, which were, in turn, better able to capture and consume shrimp. Genetic accommodation (see above) could have then refined the existing variation in shrimp foraging ability to favor the evolution and elaboration of the distinctive carnivore phenotype. In this way, a maternal effect could have played a role in the evolution of a novel, complex trait.
Traits whose expression is mediated by a maternal effect may be especially prone to undergo genetic accommodation [1,64,65]. Because maternal effects often impinge on many, genetically diverse, offspring, they tend to be tested in numerous genetic backgrounds, thereby increasing the chances of genetic accommodation occurring. Moreover, because they can persist across multiple generations [66,67], maternal effects provide more frequent, recurrent opportunities for genetic accommodation to occur. For example, novel phenotypes may often evolve as an adaptive response to variation in body size stemming from differential maternal investment and/or oviposition decisions. Indeed, many species have evolved novel specializations to variation in body size, such as carnivore/cannibal and omnivore/typical morphs in various larval amphibians species [23,25,29,68], benthic and limnetic morphs in various fish species (reviewed in [69,70]), fighting and nonfighting male morphs in certain insect and fish species (reviewed in [71,72]), and castes in eusocial species (reviewed in [1,73]). In many cases, these alternative morphs may have started out as environmentally induced size variants that subsequently evolved novel resource-use or reproductive specializations through genetic accommodation. Thus, again, maternal effects may promote the evolution of novel phenotypes.
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