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A two-hop amplify and forward (AF) relay system is considered where source and destination are each equipped with multiple antennas while the relay has a single antenna. Orthogonal space-time block coding (OSTBC) is employed at the source. New exact expressions for outage probability in Rayleigh fading as well as symbol error rate (SER) expressions for a variety of modulation schemes are derived. The diversity order of the system is evaluated. Monte Carlo simulations demonstrate the accuracy of the analyses presented. Results that can be extended to relay systems with a direct source-destination link are also highlighted. To put the results in context, the two-hop system performance is then compared to that of a MIMO point-to-point system. Finally, the new analysis is applied to evaluate two-hop system performance as a function of relay location.

1. Introduction

Through exploiting spatial diversity, it is well known that MIMO technology can improve the reliability of wireless communication links [1]. Orthogonal space-time block coding (OSTBC) is a key component of MIMO systems that has attracted tremendous attention. First, OSTBC does not require complicated feedback links to provide channel state information at the transmitter (CSIT). Second, OSTBC methods enable maximum likelihood detection to be performed with low computational complexity [2]. As a result of its practicality, OSTBC has been incorporated into emerging MIMO standards [3].

While MIMO systems offer significant physical layer performance enhancements, a significant problem in initial wireless network deployments is obtaining adequate coverage. The concept of relaying signals through intermediate nodes has been shown to be effective at extending the coverage of networks in a power-efficient manner. In addition, very simple relaying systems have been shown to increase diversity through node collaboration. As a result, the provision for relaying has recently been adopted into recent standards [4]. This paper investigates the effect of simple relaying on MIMO system performance.

Previously, end-to-end performance of two-hop relay systems was studied in [5–7], including outage probability and average bit error rate (BER) in a variety of fading environments. However, in [5–7] all assume a single antenna at both source and destination. Recently, a two-hop amplify and forward (AF) relay system in which the source and destination are both equipped with multiple antennas while the relay has a single antenna appears in [8, 9]. In [8], an OSTBC strategy is employed at the source, and end-to-end average bit error rate (BER) was investigated. However, the method in [8] is only suitable for systems with the same numbers of antennas at the source and destination. Moreover, an exact expression for outage probability was not given, and the diversity order of the system was not evaluated analytically. In [10], system performance including outage probability and average SER is determined for the special case of multiple antennas at the source and a single antenna at the destination. Although the method used in [10] has been often used in the literature, it cannot be easily generalized to the case of multiple antennas at the destination.
In this paper, the same system model is assumed as in [8, 9]. First, exact expressions for system outage probability with both exact and ideal relay gain are derived for arbitrary antenna configurations at the source and destination. Exact average SER expressions for different modulation schemes are then derived by calculating the probability density function (PDF) and moment generation method (MGF). Generalizations of results to systems that include a direct link are also briefly indicated where applicable. The diversity order of the system is also evaluated. Monte Carlo simulations confirm the analytical results, compare performance between the proposed relaying system and a MIMO point-to-point system as well as evaluate the two-hop system performance as a function of relay location.

2. System Model

A two-hop relay system is considered where there are \( N_S \) antennas at the source, \( N_D \) antennas at the destination, and a single antenna at the relay, as shown in Figure 1. To make the relay as simple as possible, an AF relaying protocol is employed. It is also assumed that a direct communication link between source and destination is not available, as is reasonable in the case where the communication link between source and destination is in a deep fading state and/or the separation distance between them is large. In addition, half-duplex transmission is assumed; that is, the relay cannot transmit and receive simultaneously in the same time slot or frequency band.

OSTBC transmission containing \( K \) symbols \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K \) and block length of \( T \) is utilized at the source to achieve space diversity. During the first time slot, the \( 1 \times T \) received vector signal at the relay can be written as

\[
y_R = h_{SR}X_S + n_R, \tag{1}
\]

where \( h_{SR} \) denotes the \( N_D \times 1 \) Rayleigh fading channel gain vector from the source to the relay, \( X_S \) denotes a \( N_S \times T \) OSTBC transmission matrix, \( h_{SR} \) denotes the \( 1 \times N_S \) Rayleigh fading complex channel gain vector from the source to the relay, and \( n_R \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2_R) \) is the \( 1 \times T \) independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) complex Gaussian noise vector at the relay. During the second time slot, the \( N_D \times T \) received signal at the destination can be written as

\[
Y_D = h_{RD}^T n_R + E_D, \tag{2}
\]

where \( h_{RD}^T \) denotes the \( N_D \times 1 \) Rayleigh fading channel gain vector from the relay to the destination, \( E_D = \{n_D^j\}_{N_D \times T} \) is the \( N_D \times T \) i.i.d noise matrix at the destination where \( n_D^j \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2_D) \) denotes the noise at the \( j \)th receive antenna during the \( j \)th symbol period, and \( x_R = G y_R \) denotes the \( 1 \times T \) signal vector sent by the relay where \( G \) is a relay gain. As categorized in the literature, relay gains may be fixed or variable. In this paper, variable relay gain is considered. Relay gains can be further classified as exact or ideal. We denote \( G = \sqrt{P_R} \left( \frac{h_{SR}h_{DR}^*}{\| h_{SR} \|^2} + \sigma^2_D \right) \) as the exact relay gain, where \( P_R \) and \( P_S \) are average power constraints at the source and relay, respectively. If we ignore the noise at the relay, \( G = \sqrt{P_R} \left( \frac{h_{SR}h_{DR}^*}{\| h_{SR} \|^2} \right) \) which is denoted as the ideal relay gain [5] and is amenable to mathematical manipulation. As in most of the literature, the ideal relay gain provides a tight lower bound on outage probability and average SER in the case of medium-to-high SNR. Substituting \( x_R = G y_R \) into (2) leads to the received signal at the destination given by

\[
Y_D = h_{RD}^T G h_{SR} X_S + h_{RD}^T G n_R + E_D. \tag{3}
\]

Using maximum likelihood (ML) detection of OSTBCs for the case of spatially colored noise given in [11], the received SNR is obtained as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Using the exact relay gain, the received SNR of two-hop AF OSTBC transmission is given by

\[
y_{\text{tot}} = \left( \frac{P_S \| h_{SR} \|^2}{(N_S \sigma^2_R)} \right) \left( \frac{P_R \| h_{RD} \|^2}{\sigma^2_D} \right) \frac{1}{R_s} = \frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 + y_2 + 1} \frac{1}{R_s} = y_1 \frac{1}{R_s}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( y_1 = \frac{P_S \| h_{SR} \|^2}{(N_S \sigma^2_R)}, \ y_2 = \frac{P_R \| h_{RD} \|^2}{\sigma^2_D} \), and \( R_s = K/T \) denotes the OSTBC code rate.

**Proof.** It can be observed from (3) that the noise at the destination is temporally white but spatially colored; that is, the columns of noise matrix \( n_{RD} \) and \( E_D \) are independent and Gaussian with covariance matrix \( \Sigma = G^2 \sigma^2_D h_{RD} h_{RD}^* + \sigma^2_R I \). Also, note that system (3) under consideration is equivalent to a conventional MIMO system with an effective channel gain matrix \( H = h_{RD}^T G h_{SR} \). We first whiten the colored noise and then employ the ML method given in [11, 12] to decode...
each symbol in the OSTBC. The noise-whitening process is given by
\[
\tilde{Y}_D = \tilde{H}X_S + \tilde{E}_D,
\]
where \( \tilde{Y}_D = \Sigma^{-1/2}Y_D, \tilde{H} = \Sigma^{-1/2}H \) and \( \tilde{E}_D \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, I) \). After ML detection, the MIMO system in (6) can be transformed into the following \( K \) parallel and independent single-input and single-output (SISO) systems:
\[
\tilde{x}_k = \| \tilde{H} \|_F^2 x_k + n_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K,
\]
where \( n_k \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, \| \tilde{H} \|_F^2) \) and \( \| \tilde{H} \|_F^2 \) denotes the Frobenius norm of \( \tilde{H} \). Following similar arguments to [11], the received SNR for each symbol can be written as
\[
y_{ot} = \text{Tr}\left[ \frac{1}{\| \tilde{H} \|_F^2} \right] \text{E}\left[ |x|^2 \right],
\]
where \( \text{Tr}(\cdot) \) denotes trace of a matrix, \( \Sigma^{-1} \) is inverse of matrix \( \Sigma \), and \( E[|x|^2] \) denotes average power of a symbol where \( E[|x|^2] = E[|x_k|^2] = \cdots = E[|x_K|^2] \) is assumed for OSTBCs. By substituting for \( H \) and \( \Sigma \) defined above, \( y_{ot} \) can be written as
\[
y_{ot} = \text{Tr}\left\{ \left( h_{RD}^H h_{SR} \right) H \left( G^2 \sigma_R^2 h_{RD}^H h_{RD} + \sigma_D^2 I \right)^{-1} \right\} \times \left( h_{RD}^H h_{SR} \right) \text{E}\left[ |x|^2 \right].
\]
Using the Matrix Inversion Lemma \( (A + \mu H)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{\mu H}{1 + \mu H A^{-1} (1 + \mu H A^{-1})} \) [13], (9) can be written as
\[
y_{ot} = \text{Tr}\left\{ \left( h_{RD}^H h_{SR} \right) H \left( G^2 \sigma_R^2 \right)^{-1} \right\} \times \left( h_{RD}^H h_{SR} \right) \text{E}\left[ |x|^2 \right].
\]
Using \( \text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA) \), (10) can be simplified to
\[
y_{ot} = \frac{\| h_{RD} \|^2 \| h_{SR} \|^2 E\left[ |x|^2 \right]}{\sigma_D^2 / G^2 + \sigma_D^2 / h_{RD}^H h_{RD}}.
\]
Using the fact that \( N_SKE[|x|^2] = P_S T \) and \( R_S = K/T \) in OSTBCs, substituting the exact relay gain into (11) yields (4), and substituting the ideal relay gain into (11) yields (5).

**Remark 2.** Using maximal ratio combining (MRC) at the destination, Theorem 1 can be generalized to the case of relay systems with a direct link by including a term corresponding to the SNR of the direct link that increases the SNR by \( P_S \| h_{RD} \|^2 / (N_S \sigma_D^2) \), where \( h_{SR} \) is the \( N_D \times N_S \) Rayleigh fading complex channel gain matrix from source to destination and \( \sigma_D^2 \) denotes the received noise variance during the source-to-destination time slot. It is assumed that relay-to-destination communication occurs in a separate second time slot, that is, half-duplex communication.

### 3. Outage Probability

In this section, analytical expressions for outage probability are derived.

**Theorem 3.** For the exact relay gain, the outage probability of a two-hop AF relay system when an OSTBC strategy is employed at the source is given by
\[
P_{out} = 1 - \frac{2}{(N_S - 1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{N_S-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} \binom{N_S-1}{k} \frac{1}{p!} \times (N_S \alpha)^{(N_S+k+i)/2} \beta^{(2p+N_S-k-i)/2} \times e^{-\gamma_{th}} \gamma_{th}^{(2p+N_S-k-i)/2} \times K_{N_S-k-i} \left( 2 \sqrt{N_S \alpha \beta \gamma_{th}} \right),
\]
where \( \gamma_{th} = (2C - 1)R,S \) in which \( C \) denotes outage capacity and \( K(\cdot) \) denotes the modified Bessel function of second kind and order \( i \). When the ideal relay gain is utilized, the outage probability is given by
\[
P_{out} = 1 - \frac{2}{(N_S - 1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{N_S-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} \binom{N_S-1}{k} \frac{1}{p!} \times (N_S \alpha)^{(N_S+k+i)/2} \beta^{(2p+N_S-k-i)/2} \times e^{-\gamma_{th}} \gamma_{th}^{(2p+N_S-k-i)/2} \times K_{(2p+N_S-k-i)} \left( 2 \sqrt{N_S \alpha \beta \gamma_{th}} \right).
\]

**Proof.** As \( h_{SR}^H, h_{RD}^H \) are complex Gaussian distributed, where \( h_{SR} \) and \( h_{RD} \) are elements of \( h_{SB} \) and \( h_{RD} \), respectively, it is readily found that \( P_S |h_{SR}^H|^2 / \sigma_S^2 \) and \( P_R |h_{RD}^H|^2 / \sigma_D^2 \) are exponentially distributed. Setting rate parameters of \( P_S |h_{SR}^H|^2 / \sigma_S^2 \) and \( P_R |h_{RD}^H|^2 / \sigma_D^2 \) to be equal to \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) and PDF of \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are, respectively, [14]
\[
f_{\gamma_1}(x) = \frac{N_S \alpha x^{N_S-1}}{(N_S - 1)!} e^{-x} \alpha^{N_S} \gamma_{th},
\]
\[
f_{\gamma_2}(x) = 1 - e^{-N_S \alpha x} \sum_{p=0}^{N_S-1} \left( N_S \alpha x \right)^p / p!,
\]
\[
f_{\gamma_1}(y) = y^{N_S-1} e^{-y} \beta^{N_S} / (N_S - 1)! \gamma_{th},
\]
\[
f_{\gamma_2}(y) = 1 - e^{-y} \sum_{p=0}^{N_S-1} \left( \beta y \right)^p / p!.
\]
The CDF of $y$ and $\tilde{y}$, in terms of the constant parameter $t$, is given by

$$
P \left( \frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 + y_2 + t} < y \right) = \int_0^\infty P \left( \frac{y_2 x}{y_2 + x + t} < y \right) f_{\tilde{y}}(x) \, dx
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty f_{\tilde{y}}(x) \, dx + \int_0^\infty P \left( y_2 < \frac{x y + cy}{x - y} \right) f_{\tilde{y}}(x) \, dx,
$$

where $t = 1$ denotes the CDF of $y$ with the exact relay gain and $t = 0$ denotes the CDF of $\tilde{y}$ with the ideal relay gain. Setting $\omega = x - y$ and substituting (14) and (17) into (18) yield

$$
1 - \int_0^\infty e^{-b(\omega y + \gamma^2 + t\gamma)} / \omega^p \sum_{i=0}^{N_i-1} \frac{\beta^p (\omega y + \gamma^2 + t\gamma)^i}{p^i} \omega^{p+i} \, d\omega
$$

$$
\times \frac{f_{\gamma}^{\beta N_i(N_i+\gamma)}(N_i+\alpha N_i)}{p!(N_i-1)!} \, d\gamma.
$$

(19)

Moving the constant terms outside the integral and applying the binomial expansion yield

$$
1 - \frac{N_i}{(N_i-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{N_i-1} \frac{(p^i)}{p+i} \sum_{k=0}^{N_i-1} \frac{\beta^p (\omega y + \gamma^2 + t\gamma)^i}{p^i} \omega^{p+i} \, d\omega
$$

$$
\times \int_0^\infty \omega^{N_i-k-1} e^{-a\omega(\omega y + \gamma^2 + t\gamma)} / \omega^p \, d\omega.
$$

(20)

Using [15, Equation (3.324)], substituting $\gamma = y_{\text{th}}$ into (20), and through straightforward mathematical manipulations (12) with $t = 1$ and (13) with $t = 0$ are yielded.

### 4. Exact Average SER Expressions for Different Modulation Schemes

In this section, exact SER expressions for different modulation schemes are derived assuming an ideal relay gain.

(i) First, we consider modulation schemes that have conditional SER $P_e(y) = aQ(\sqrt{b}y)$ [16], for example, BPSK, BFSK, and MPAM.

The average SER is obtained by integrating the conditional SER over the PDF of $\tilde{y}$ [14]

$$
P_e = \int_0^\infty P_e(\tilde{y}_{\text{tot}}) f_{\tilde{y}}(y) \, dy.
$$

(21)

Using integration by parts yields

$$
P_e = \int_0^\infty P_e(\tilde{y}_{\text{tot}}) f_{\tilde{y}}(y) \, dy.
$$

(22)

where $P_e(\tilde{y}_{\text{tot}})$ denotes the derivative of $P_e(\tilde{y}_{\text{tot}})$ and $f_{\tilde{y}}(y)$ denotes the CDF of $\tilde{y}$ for the ideal relay gain. Substituting (13) with $y_{\text{th}} = y$ into (22) yields

$$
P_e = \frac{a \sqrt{b}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{R_s}} \int_0^\infty e^{-b/2R_s} y^{-1/2} dy
$$

$$
- \frac{a \sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2R_s}} \frac{1}{N_i-1} \sum_{p=0}^{N_i-1} \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i=0}^p \frac{\beta^p (aN_i)^N}{\sum_{k=0}^{N_i-1} \left( N_i + k + 1 \right)^{1/2}}
$$

$$
\times \beta \left( 2p + N_i - k - 1/2 \right)
$$

$$
\times \int_0^\infty e^{-aN_i(y + b/2R_s) + \beta \left( 2p + N_i - k - 1 \right) / 2}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Gamma(p + 2N_i - k - i + 1/2)}{\Gamma(p + N_i + 1)}
$$

$$
F \left( p + 2N_i - k - i + 1/2, N_i - k - i + 1/2 \right)
$$

$$
\frac{\beta + aN_i + b/(2R_s) - 2\sqrt{a\beta N_i}}{\beta + aN_i + b/(2R_s) + 2\sqrt{a\beta N_i}},
$$

(23)

Using integrals of combinations of Bessel functions, exponentials, and powers, for example, [15, Equation (3.381.4)] and, [15, Equation (6.621.3)], it can be shown that the following expression for average SER can be obtained from (23):

$$
P_e = \frac{a \sqrt{b}}{2 \sqrt{2R_s} (N_i - 1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{N_i-1} \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i=0}^p \frac{\beta^p (aN_i)^N}{\sum_{k=0}^{N_i-1} \left( N_i + k + 1 \right)^{1/2}}
$$

$$
\times \left( \frac{4a\beta N_i}{\beta + aN_i + b/(2R_s) - 2\sqrt{a\beta N_i}} \right)^{N_i-k-i+1/2}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Gamma(p + 2N_i - k - i + 1/2)}{\Gamma(p + N_i + 1)}
$$

$$
\times F \left( p + 2N_i - k - i + 1/2, N_i - k - i + 1/2 \right)
$$

$$
\frac{\beta + aN_i + b/(2R_s) - 2\sqrt{a\beta N_i}}{\beta + aN_i + b/(2R_s) + 2\sqrt{a\beta N_i}},
$$

(24)

where $F(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function, $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ for binary phase-shift keying (BPSK), $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ for binary frequency-shift keying (BFSK), and $(a, b) = (2(M - 1)/M, 6/(M^2 - 1))$ for M-ary pulse amplitude modulation (MPAM).

(ii) Next, we consider the modulation schemes of MPSK and MQAM.

When MPSK or MQAM is employed, we derive exact SER expressions using the well-known MGF-SER relationships given in [17]. For MPSK and MQAM, the MGF-SER relationship can be written, respectively, as

$$
P_e = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{(M-1)\pi/M} M_\theta \left( \frac{d \text{MPSK}}{R_s \sin^2 \theta} \right) \, d\theta,
$$

(25)
where \( g_{\text{MPSK}} = \sin^2(\pi/M) \), and

\[
\tilde{\varphi}_e = \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \frac{\sqrt{M - 1}}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^{n/2} \int_0^{n/4} M_j \left( \frac{3}{2R_s(M - 1) \sin^2 \theta} \right) d\theta
\]

\[
- \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \frac{\sqrt{M - 1}}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2 \int_0^{n/4} M_j \left( \frac{3}{2R_s(M - 1) \sin^2 \theta} \right) d\theta.
\]

(26)

The moment generation function (MGF) of \( \tilde{y} \) is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** The MGF of \( \tilde{y} \) is given by

\[
M_{\tilde{y}}(s) = \frac{2}{(N_s - 1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{N_s-1} \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \left( \frac{N_s - 1}{k} \right) \times \left( N_s \alpha \right)^{(N_s+k+i)/2} \beta^{2pN_s-2k-i/2}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \left( 4 \sqrt{N_s \alpha \beta} \right)^{N_s-k-i}}{(\beta + N_s \alpha s + 2 \sqrt{N_s \alpha \beta})^{p+2N_s-k-i}} \times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \right] \frac{\Gamma(p + k + i + 1)}{\Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)}
\]

\[
\times F \left( p + 2N_s - k - i, N_s - k - i + 1 \right) F \left( p + N_s + 3/2 \right)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \Gamma(p + k + i + 2) \frac{\Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)}{\Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)}
\]

\[
\times F \left( p + 2N_s - k - i, N_s - k - i - 1/2 \right) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \Gamma(p + k + i - 1/2) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

\[
\times F \left( p + 2N_s - k - i, N_s - k - i - 1/2 \right) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \Gamma(p + k + i - 1/2) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

(27)

Before proving Theorem 4, the probability density function (PDF) of \( \tilde{y} \) is first presented in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** The PDF of \( \tilde{y} \) is given by

\[
f_{\tilde{y}}(y) = \frac{2}{(N_s - 1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{N_s-1} \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \left( \frac{N_s - 1}{k} \right) \times \left( N_s \alpha \right)^{(N_s+k+i)/2} \beta^{2pN_s-2k-i/2}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \left( 4 \sqrt{N_s \alpha \beta} \right)^{N_s-k-i}}{(\beta + N_s \alpha s + 2 \sqrt{N_s \alpha \beta})^{p+2N_s-k-i}} \times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \right] \frac{\Gamma(p + k + i + 1)}{\Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)}
\]

\[
\times F \left( p + 2N_s - k - i, N_s - k - i + 1 \right) F \left( p + N_s + 3/2 \right)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \Gamma(p + k + i + 2) \frac{\Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)}{\Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)}
\]

\[
\times F \left( p + 2N_s - k - i, N_s - k - i - 1/2 \right) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \Gamma(p + k + i - 1/2) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

\[
\times F \left( p + 2N_s - k - i, N_s - k - i - 1/2 \right) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

\[
\times \Gamma(p + 2N_s - k - i + 1) \Gamma(p + k + i - 1/2) \times \Gamma(p + N_s + 3/2)
\]

(28)

Proof of Lemma 5. Differentiating (13), where \( \gamma_{th} = \gamma \) with respect to \( \gamma \) and using the expression for the modified Bessel function derivative in [15, Equation (8.486.12)] yield (28).

Proof of Theorem 4. From Lemma 5, taking the Laplace transform of (28) and using [15, Equation (6.621.3)] yields (27).

Finally, from Theorem 4, substituting MGF (27) for the ideal relay gain into (25) and (26), respectively, we obtain exact average SER expressions for MPSK and MQAM.

Remark 6. The SER expressions for MPSK and MQAM can straightforwardly be generalized to a system with a direct link. The MGF would be multiplied by the factor...
by the definition

\[(\lambda N_s/(\lambda N_s + s))^{N_SD},\]

where \(\lambda\) is the rate parameter of the exponentially distributed source-to-destination gain \(P_0\|H_{SD}\|^2/\sigma_{D1}^2\).

5. Diversity Order Analysis

The diversity order of the system can be determined directly by the definition

\[d = -\lim_{\text{SNR} \to \infty} \frac{\log P_{out}}{\text{SNR}}. \quad (29)\]

**Theorem 7.** The diversity order of a two-hop AF relay system is \(\min\{N_S, N_D\}\) when an OSTBC strategy is employed at the source.

**Proof.** Since the diversity order of the system describes performance at asymptotically high SNR, the ideal relay gain assumption is used. We begin by determining the lower bound on diversity order of the system. Setting \(\alpha = \mu/\text{SNR}, \beta = \nu/\text{SNR},\) and \(x = 1/\text{SNR},\) then when \(\text{SNR} \to \infty, \ x \to 0,\) it can be claimed that \(P(y_1 y_2/(y_1 + y_2) < y_{th}) \leq P(y_1 < 2y_{th}) + P(y_2 < 2y_{th})\) by ([18], Lemma 3). Substituting (15) and (17) into the previous expression yields

\[P\left(\frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 + y_2} < y_{th}\right) \leq 1 - e^{-2N_S y_{th} x} \sum_{p=0}^{N_S-1} \frac{(2N_S y_{th} x)^p}{p!} + 1 - e^{-2N_D y_{th} x} \sum_{p=0}^{N_D-1} \frac{(2N_D y_{th} x)^p}{p!}. \quad (30)\]

According to the appendix in [19], the following expression can be obtained when \(x \to 0:\)

\[1 - e^{-2N_S y_{th} x} \sum_{p=0}^{N_S-1} \frac{(2N_S y_{th} x)^p}{p!} \sim (2N_S y_{th} x)^{N_S} \frac{1}{N_S!}, \quad (31)\]

\[1 - e^{-2N_D y_{th} x} \sum_{p=0}^{N_D-1} \frac{(2N_D y_{th} x)^p}{p!} \sim (2N_D y_{th} x)^{N_D} \frac{1}{N_D!}. \quad (32)\]

Combining (29), (30), and (31), we obtain

\[d \geq \min\{N_S, N_D\}. \quad (33)\]

An upper bound on diversity order can be obtained as follows:

\[P\left(\frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1 + y_2} < y_{th}\right) = P\left(\frac{1}{y_1} + \frac{1}{y_2} > \frac{1}{y_{th}}\right) \geq P\left(\max\left(\frac{1}{y_1}, \frac{1}{y_2}\right) > \frac{1}{y_{th}}\right) = 1 - (1 - P(y_1 < y_{th}))(1 - P(y_2 < y_{th})) = P(y_1 < y_{th}) + P(y_2 < y_{th}) - P(y_1 < y_{th})P(y_2 < y_{th}). \quad (34)\]

Combining (32) and (34), we conclude that the diversity order is \(\min\{N_S, N_D\}\). \(\square\)

6. Numerical Results and Conclusions

In the following Monte Carlo simulations, without loss of generality, we assume equal transmit SNR at the source and destination: \(\rho_s/\sigma_R^2 = \rho_d/\sigma_D^2 = \text{SNR}_T\) and outage capacity \(C = 1.5\text{bit/sec/Hz}\). In Figures 2–4, the variances of Rayleigh fading channels \(1/(a\text{SNR}_T)\) from the source antennas to the relay and the variance of Rayleigh fading channels \(1/(b\text{SNR}_T)\) from the relay to the destination antennas are set to 0.8 and 0.9, respectively. Also, OSTBCs with the highest code rate and minimum decoding delay are employed as given in [2]. Figure 2, showing outage probability for different antenna configurations, reveals that Monte Carlo simulations agree closely with the analysis predicted by Theorem 3. Also, the ideal relay gain provides a tighter lower bound on outage probability even in the medium SNR regime. It is clear that the diversity order, observable by the slope of the outage probability curve, cannot be improved through simply adding transmit antennas only or receive antennas only for the case where an equal number of antennas is installed at the source and destination. This is as expected from Theorem 7, where the diversity order of the system is shown to be equal to \(\min\{N_S, N_D\}\).

Figure 3 compares Monte Carlo simulations and analytical results for modulations with conditional SER \(P_s(y) = aQ_1(b\gamma)\) including BPSK, BFSK, and 4 PAM as well as with QPSK and 16 QAM. Clearly, the simulations very closely match the analyses. Again, the ideal relay gain provides a tighter lower bound on average SER even in the case of medium SNR.

Figure 4 shows average SER with BPSK modulation for different antenna configurations. Here, the diversity order
Average SER can be observed as the slope of the average SER curve. In accordance with Theorem 7, it is observed that the diversity order cannot be improved through simply adding transmit or receive antennas for the case of equal numbers of source and destination antennas.

To assess the impact of relaying, Figure 5 compares the proposed relaying system with an MIMO point-to-point system. The relay is located between the source and destination. The normalized distance between the source and destination is assumed to be unity, so \( d_{RD} = 1 - d_{SR} \). The path loss exponent is set to 4, and it is assumed that shadowing effects are the same for the source-relay and relay-destination links, with a standard deviation \( \delta = 8 \) dB, a value typically assumed in urban cellular environments. For a fair comparison, the transmit SNR as well as the OSTBC transmit strategy is assumed to be identical for both systems.

Specifically, the relay is assumed to be placed halfway between the source and destination in Figure 5. Figure 5 shows how system performance trades off between the relaying and MIMO point-to-point systems. As expected, the results clearly show that the diversity order of the MIMO point-to-point system, which is known to be \( N_S N_D \), is larger than that of the relaying system for the same antenna configuration at both source and destination. In other words, as transmit SNR increases, the MIMO point-to-point system has an advantage over the relaying system. However, it can also be observed that the relaying system outperforms the MIMO point-to-point system in lower SNR regimes: for the case of \( N_S = N_D = 2 \), the relay system outperforms point-to-point MIMO systems at transmit SNRs below 6 dB. Adding a transmit antenna to the MIMO system lowers this threshold to -1 dB, while at the same time, the code rate for OSTBCs becomes 3/4. On the other hand, adding a receive antenna to the MIMO system lowers this threshold to -4 dB. Of course, the MIMO point-to-point system would be able to achieve similar system performance gain by adding transmit or receive antennas, but this would increase complexity.
Figure 6 shows average SER of the system for different source-relay distances with a fixed transmit SNR. It can be observed from the figure that performance is best when the relay is placed halfway between the source and destination. Although not shown here, it is found that a similar trend holds across a wide range of transmit SNR values.

7. Conclusions

The performance of a MIMO system using OSTBC transmission that encounters a simple relay in a two-hop AF configuration has been analyzed, including outage probability, SER and diversity order. These results extend those found in [8, 10]. Monte Carlo simulations are found to agree closely with the analyses. In fact, the numerical results indicate that tight lower bounds are obtainable using the ideal relay gain approximation, even at SNRs as low as 5–8 dB. In addition, Monte Carlo simulations also compare system performance between the proposed relaying system and a MIMO point-point system and assess performance as a function of location of the relay between the source and destination.
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