Abstract. The aim of the present study was to determine the role of topoisomerase 1 (TOP1) and topoisomerase 2A (TOP2A) in liver cancer (LC), and to investigate the inhibitory effect of nitidine chloride (NC) on these two topoisomerases. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining and microarray or RNA sequencing data mining showed markedly higher expression of TOP1 and TOP2A at the protein and mRNA levels in LC tissues compared with that in control non-tumor tissues. The prognostic values of TOP1 and TOP2A expression were also estimated based on data from The Cancer Genome Atlas. The elevated expression levels of TOP1 and TOP2A were closely associated with poorer overall survival and disease-free survival rates. When patients with LC were divided into high-and low-risk groups according to their prognostic index, TOP1 and TOP2A were highly expressed in the high-risk group. Bioinformatics analyses conducted on the co-expressed genes of TOP1 and TOP2A revealed that the topoisomerases were involved in several key cancer-related pathways, including the ‘p53 pathway’, ‘pathway in cancer’ and ‘apoptosis signaling pathway’. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction and IHC performed on triplicate tumor tissue samples from LC xenografts in control or NC-treated nude mice showed that NC treatment markedly reduced the protein and mRNA expression of TOP1 and TOP2A in LC tissues. Molecular docking studies further confirmed the direct binding of NC to TOP1 and TOP2A. In conclusion, the present findings indicate that TOP1 and TOP2A are oncogenes in LC and could serve as potential biomarkers for the prediction of the prognosis of patients with LC and for identification of high-risk cases, thereby optimizing individual treatment management. More importantly, the findings support TOP1 and TOP2A as potential drug targets of NC for the treatment of LC.

Introduction
Liver cancer (LC) is the second main cause of cancer-associated mortality threatening global public health, with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) being the main histopathological subtype (1,2). In the United States, the American Cancer Society projects that >30,000 patients will succumb to LC in 2018 (3). Currently, patients with unresectable advanced LC are treated with chemotherapy as the main therapeutic strategy (4,5), and antitumor drug research has made considerable advancements in recent years. However, due to drug resistance, conventional pharmacotherapy often fails to produce satisfactory results for patients with LC (6-8). In addition, the majority of the chemotherapeutic agents currently available for LC treatment are highly cytotoxic and have adverse side effects (9,10). Thus, novel low-toxicity drugs that can provide a high response rate for LC treatment are urgently required.

One class of potentially promising drug targets for LC is the topoisomerases, which are important ribozymes that serve key roles in cell growth by breaking and reconnecting DNA strands to alter DNA topology (11,12). Two topoisomerases are recognized: Topoisomerase 1 (TOP1) and topoisomerase 2 (TOP2); TOP2A is the main isoform of TOP2. TOP1 and TOP2A are tumor drivers in a myriad of malignant tumors (13-15), making them attractive and effective targets for the development of antitumor medicines (16,17). Numerous TOP inhibitors, including etoposide, Adriamycin and camptothecin, are now widely used in the clinical setting (18-20). However, the conventional TOP inhibitors have severe side effects that offset their antitumor potential, necessitating a search for novel TOP inhibitors with fewer side effects.

Natural products are receiving increasing attention as antitumor drugs due to their low tissue toxicity and extensive biological activities. One such product, nitidine chloride (NC), a major active compound of the traditional Chinese herb Zanthoxylum nitidum (Roxb) DC, has proven effective at suppressing the growth of various malignant tumors (21-23), including LC (9,24,25). Previous studies have indicated that the cytotoxic target of NC could be the topoisomerases (26,27),
but the exact action mode of NC with respect to TOP1 and TOP2A activity has not yet been established.

The present study investigated the expression, clinical value and potential pathological role of TOP1 and TOP2A in LC using immunohistochemistry (IHC), data mining and bioinformatic analyses. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), IHC staining and molecular docking were then used to determine the inhibitory effects of NC on TOP1 and TOP2A.

Materials and methods

In vivo LC xenografts in nude mice. A total of 32 BALB/c nude mice (16 males and 16 females; 6 weeks old) with an initial body weight of 18-20 g were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The mice were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions, using a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle at a temperature of 24°C. All animal experiments were conducted according to the international ethics guidelines and the National Institutes of Health Guide Concerning the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University (Nanning, China) approved the study. HepG2 cells (1x10^10 cells/l) of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions, using a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle at a temperature of 24°C. All animal experiments were conducted according to the international ethics guidelines and the National Institutes of Health Guide Concerning the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University (Nanning, China) approved the study. HepG2 cells (1x10^10 cells/l) were subcutaneously injected into the right armpit of each nude mouse. The drug administration began 7 days after xenograft implantation. Mice were randomized into four groups of 8 mice: the negative control group with a daily intraperitoneal injection of saline for 14 days, and the high-, medium- and low-NC groups, with a daily intraperitoneal injection of 10, 5 or 2.5 mg/kg NC, respectively, for 14 days. The tumor volume was determined by the following formula: Tumor volume = π/6 x length x width^2. The maximum tumor volume did not exceed 1,600 mm^3 in either group. At the end of the experiment, the mice were euthanized and then the tumors were excised and stored at -80°C prior to reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) experiments. The tumor tissues were fixed in 10% formaldehyde at room temperature for 12 h and paraffin-embedded prior to use in further IHC experiments.

Immunohistochemistry staining. Two tissue microarrays containing a total of 261 HCC samples and 33 non-tumor tissues were purchased from Pantomics, Inc. (Richmond, CA, USA). All tissues were treated according to appropriate applicable laws to protect the privacy of individual patients. Three pairs of NC-treated and NC-untreated tumor tissues were also obtained from the aforementioned LC nude mouse xenografts. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining was performed on deparaffinized 4-µm thick sections according to the manufacturer's protocols. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 10 min. Rabbit monoclonal anti-TOP1 antibody (1:100 dilution; catalog no. ab109374) and rabbit monoclonal anti-TOP2A + TOP2B antibody (1:100 dilution; catalog no. ab109524) (both from Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) were used for the tissue microarrays. Mouse monoclonal anti-TOP1 antibody (1:50 dilution; catalog no. sc32736) and monoclonal anti-TOP2B antibody (1:50 dilution; catalog no. sc365916) (both from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) were used for the tumor tissues from the nude mouse LC xenografts. Two pathologists examined all immunostained tissues by microscopy and scored them independently according to the following two criteria: i) The staining intensity was determined as 0 (no staining), 1 (weak staining), 2 (moderate staining) and 3 (strong staining); ii) the staining percentage of tumor cells was scored as 0 (<5%), 1 (5-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%) and 4 (76-100%). The percentages of positively stained cell nuclei were calculated for >1,000 cells in five successive and representative high-power fields (x400 magnification). An immunoreactive score (IRS) was calculated to determine the final staining score by multiplying the intensity score by the percentage score. All samples were allocated to a positive (IRS≥6) or negative (IRS<6) group.

RT-qPCR. Total RNA was isolated and purified from three NC-treated LC tissues and three control groups. RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR-Green with an iCycler iQ RT-qPCR system (Bio-Rad, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). GAPDH served as the endogenous control. The primer sequences synthesized by Takara Bio, Inc., (Otsu, Japan) were as follows: GAPDH forward, 5’-AAGAAGTTGTTGAAGCCGC-3’ and reverse, 5’-TCC ACCACCCTGTTCGCTGA-3’; TOP1 forward, 5’-GGTAGG AAGACTGGCAAGAAT-3’ and reverse, 5’-CTTGTCGATG AAGTACAGGCTA-3’; and TOP2A forward, 5’-CGGAATG ACAAGCGAAGAAAGATAA-3’ and reverse, 5’-GCATGGTAAG ATGTACGGTGACC-3’. All samples were run in triplicate, and the mean of the three assays was used as the quantitation cycle (Cq) value. The relative expression of TOP1 and TOP2A was determined with the 2^-ΔΔCq method (28).

Data mining. Relevant microarray and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets providing expression data for TOP1 and TOP2A were collected from the Gene Expression Omnibus (29), Oncomine (30), ArrayExpress (31) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (32) databases using the following search terms: (hepatocellular OR liver OR hepatic OR HCC) and (cancer OR carcinoma OR tumor OR neoplas* OR malignan*).

Two reviewers independently gathered the following essential information from all available datasets: First author, publication year, region, dataset, platform, sample size of cancer group and normal control group, and expression of TOP1 and TOP2A. Any disagreement was settled by discussion with a third investigator.

All expression data were log2-transformed. The expression levels of TOP1 and TOP2A in each dataset were compared using Student's t-test and presented as scatter plots. The results from individual microarrays were not consistent, necessitating a comprehensive analysis. The combined standard mean deviation (SMD) and its 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to investigate the expression levels of TOP1 and TOP2. A summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve was generated, and the area under the curve (AUC) value was calculated to provide a further estimate of the capability of TOP1 and TOP2A to discriminate HCC patients from normal controls. The inter-study heterogeneity was evaluated by the χ²-based Q test and I² statistic. A random-effects model was selected to pool the SMD if heterogeneity existed (I²>50% or P<0.05); otherwise, a fixed-effects model was selected. Beggs's and Egger's tests were applied to estimate the potential publication bias. All these analyses were performed using STATA 12.0 software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Table I. Essential information of the 22 included microarray and RNA-seq datasets.

| First author (year) | Region         | Dataset  | Platform | Sample size (T/N), n | TOP1 expression (mean ± SD) | TOP2A expression (mean ± SD) | (Ref.) |
|---------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Wurmbach et al (2007) | USA            | GSE6764  | GPL570   | 35/10                | 6.90±0.53                  | 6.53±0.53                     | (40)   |
| Mas et al (2009)    | USA            | GSE14323 | GPL571   | 38/19                | 8.90±0.46                  | 9.15±0.45                     | (41)   |
| Satow et al (2010)  | Japan          | GSE12941 | GPL5175  | 10/10                | 7.70±0.31                  | 7.59±0.17                     | (42)   |
| Roessler et al (2010)| USA            | GSE14520 | GPL3921  | 225/220              | 8.76±0.34                  | 8.59±0.27                     | (43)   |
| Lim et al (2012)    | South Korea    | GSE36376 | GPL10558 | 240/193              | 6.91±0.22                  | 6.76±0.17                     | (44)   |
| Jeng et al (2013)   | Taiwan, China  | GSE46408 | GPL4133  | 6/6                  | 11.05±0.95                 | 10.15±0.54                    | (45)   |
| Wang et al (2013)   | China          | GSE49713 | GPL11269 | 5/5                  | 6.70±0.66                  | 7.04±0.66                     | (46)   |
| Neumann et al (2012)| Germany        | GSE50579 | GPL14550 | 67/10                | 9.45±0.55                  | 9.86±0.83                     | (47)   |
| Kim et al (2014)    | USA            | GSE39791 | GPL10558 | 72/72                | 6.74±0.10                  | 6.70±0.09                     | (48)   |
| Zhang et al (2014)  | USA            | GSE22405 | GPL10553 | 24/24                | 5.37±0.37                  | 5.28±0.17                     | (49)   |
| Villa et al (2016)  | Italy          | GSE54236 | GPL6480  | 81/80                | 9.28±0.54                  | 9.23±0.52                     | (50)   |
| Melis et al (2014)  | USA            | GSE55092 | GPL570   | 49/91                | 9.12±0.49                  | 8.67±0.36                     | (51)   |
| Schulte et al (2015)| France         | GSE62232 | GPL570   | 81/10                | 6.52±0.52                  | 5.80±0.62                     | (52)   |
| Mah et al (2014)    | Singapore      | GSE57957 | GPL10558 | 39/39                | 7.46±0.13                  | 7.49±0.15                     | (53)   |
| Wang et al (2014)   | Taiwan, China  | GSE60502 | GPL96    | 18/18                | 10.78±0.32                 | 10.71±0.31                    | (54)   |
| Tao et al (2015)    | China          | GSE74656 | GPL16043 | 5/5                  | 10.02±0.27                 | 9.63±0.09                     | (55)   |
| Makowska et al (2016)| Switzerland    | GSE64041 | GPL6244  | 60/65                | 9.68±0.37                  | 9.61±0.33                     | (56)   |
| Wijetung et al (2017)| USA            | GSE82177 | GPL11154 | 6/16                 | 6.97±0.31                  | 7.02±0.30                     | (57)   |
| Grinchuk et al (2018)| Singapore     | GSE76427 | GPL10558 | 115/52               | 6.76±0.11                  | 6.72±0.14                     | (58)   |
| Tu et al (2017)     | China          | GSE84005 | GPL5175  | 38/38                | 7.90±1.27                  | 8.65±0.52                     | (59)   |
| Nojima et al (2017) | Japan          | E-MTAB-4171 | None | 15/15             | 10.78±0.26                 | 10.70±0.27                    | (60)   |
| TCGA (2017)         | USA            | TCGA     | None     | 374/50               | 11.31±0.85                 | 11.06±0.58                    | (61)   |

T, tumor; N, normal control; SD, standard deviation; TOP, topoisomerase; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; NA, not available.
The prognostic roles of TOP1 and TOP2A were also assessed using data from TCGA. The alterations in TOP1 and TOP2A expression were investigated with data from cBioPortal (33). The protein expression of TOP1 and TOP2A was also validated by data from The Human Protein Atlas (34).

**Bioinformatics analysis.** Genes that were co-expressed with TOP1 and TOP2A, and showed correlation coefficients $r\geq0.3$ or $r\leq-0.3$, were acquired from cBioPortal. Gene Ontology (GO) annotation, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway and Panther pathway analyses were implemented using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery 6.7 (https://david-d.ncifcrf.gov/) (35). Disease Ontology (DO) analysis was performed with the R package ‘DOSE’ (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DOSE.html).

**Molecular docking.** Molecular docking studies were conducted using SYBYL 2.0 software (Tripos, Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) to investigate the interactions between NC and TOP1/TOP2A. The crystal structures of the TOP1 and TOP2A proteins were obtained from the Protein Data Bank (36). The three-dimensional structure building and modeling were performed using the SYBYL 2.0 program package. Retrieved hits for docking studies were added to the hydrogen atoms and the charge was given by Gasteiger-Huckel calculations, which optimize the structure of compounds and predict the combination between compounds and a protein. Energy minimizations were performed using the Tripos force field with an energy optimization gradient convergence criterion provided by the system (37-39). A total score $>6$ indicated a favored affinity between NC and TOP1/TOP2A.

**Statistical analysis.** All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuity variable results were represented as the mean ± standard deviation. Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison between groups. A Least Significant Difference analysis was performed if the result of the ANOVA was statistically significant. $P<0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**TOP1 and TOP 2 are highly expressed in HCC tissues based on IHC staining.** IHC staining revealed the expression of the TOP1 and TOP2A proteins in 261 HCC tissues and 33 non-tumor tissues. For TOP1, the positive rate was 25.7% (67/261) in tumor tissues and 3.0% (1/33) in non-tumor tissues (Fig. 1A and B). For TOP2A, the positive rate was 24.9% (65/261) in tumor tissues
and 6.1% (2/33) in non-tumor tissues (Fig. 1C and D). Assessment of the expression patterns of the TOP1 and TOP2A proteins in tumor tissues and corresponding non-cancerous tissues with Student’s t-test and visualization in the form of scatter-box plots revealed a marked upregulation of TOP1 and TOP2A in HCC tissues (TOP1: P<0.0001; TOP2A: P<0.0001) (Fig. 2). The high expression of TOP1 and TOP2A proteins in HCC was corroborated by The Human Protein Atlas (Fig. 3).

Microarray and RNA-seq datasets indicate upregulation of TOP1 and TOP2A in HCC tissues. The 22 datasets (40-54) used in this study included 1,603 HCC samples and 1,048 non-tumor specimens, and provided expression data for the TOP1 and TOP2A genes, as shown in Fig. 4. The fundamental information for the 22 studies is listed in Table I.

The expression levels of TOP1 and TOP2A in each dataset were visualized in the form of scatter plots (Figs. 5 and 6). The results from individual microarrays were not consistent, so the 22 datasets were combined. The pooled SMD showed that TOP1 and TOP2A were markedly overexpressed in HCC tissues (TOP1: SMD, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.07-0.55; P=0.012; and TOP2A: SMD, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.51-2.32; P<0.0001) (Fig. 7). Recognizing that a significant heterogeneity arises from differences in RNA extraction, RNA detection and sample source (TOP1: I²=84.6, P<0.0001; and TOP2A: I²=92.6, P<0.0001) (Fig. 7), a random-effects model was selected to combine all the data. No significant publication bias existed in the present study according to the results of Begg’s and Egger’s tests (TOP1: Begg’s P=0.91, Egger’s P=0.36; and TOP2A: Begg’s P=0.28, Egger’s P=0.82; Fig. 8).

The ability of TOP1 and TOP2A to differentiate HCC patients from normal controls was further estimated with the SROC method. The AUC value for TOP1 was 0.69 (95% CI, 0.64-0.72), with a sensitivity of 0.58 and specificity of 0.73 (Fig. 9A). The AUC value for TOP2A was 0.96 (95% CI, 0.94-0.97), with a sensitivity of 0.87 and specificity of 0.92 (Fig. 9B).

The expression of TOP1 and TOP2A was also verified in the HCC cell line using the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (55), as shown in Fig. 10.

TOP1 and TOP2 were biomarkers of unfavorable prognosis in LC. First, the prognostic value of TOP1 and TOP2A was assessed in LC using the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis database (56), an online database that contains and processes data from TCGA. Patients were divided into TOP1 or TOP2A high and low expression groups according to the median expression of the two genes. As shown in Fig. 11, the elevated expression of TOP1 and TOP2A was closely associated with
poor overall survival (OS) (TOP1, P=0.017; TOP2A, P=0.003) and disease-free survival (DFS) (TOP1, P=0.0007; TOP2A, P<0.0001). Further analysis of the prognostic role of TOP1 and TOP2A in different risk groups was then conducted using the SurvExpress online tool (57). A cohort of 361 patients with LC was divided into a high-risk (n=180) and a low-risk (n=181) group according to the prognostic index (Fig. 12A). TOP1 and TOP2A were highly expressed in the high-risk group (TOP1, P<0.0001; TOP2A, P<0.0001) (Fig. 12B andC). For TOP1, although not statistically significant, patients in the high-risk group tended to have a poorer prognosis [hazard ratio (HR), 1.25; 95% CI, 0.88-1.78; P=0.21] (Fig. 12D). For TOP2A, patients in the high-risk group showed a clearly poorer survival outcome (HR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.02-2.05; P=0.04) (Fig. 12E).

The present study also examined the alterations in TOP1 and TOP2A expression in LC samples using the cBioPortal database. TOP1 was altered in 18 (4.1%) and TOP2A was altered in 30 (6.8%) of the 440 patients with LC (Fig. 13A). For TOP1, patients with alteration tended to have a worse survival (P=0.173) (Fig. 13B), while for TOP2A, the survival outcome was similar for patients with and without alteration (P=0.966) (Fig. 13C).

Functional annotation and pathway enrichment of TOP1 and TOP2A in LC. Based on cBioPortal data, a total of 3,959 genes were co-expressed with TOP1, including 2,448 positively associated and 1,511 negatively associated genes. For TOP2A, 2,485 genes were co-expressed, with 2,083 positively associated and 402 negatively associated genes. Examination of
the intersection between the genes co-expressed with TOP1 and with TOP2A revealed 1,209 overlapping genes, which were selected for further analysis. The top 10 GO terms are displayed in Fig. 14. The co-expressed genes were mainly enriched in nuclear genes and were involved in the regulation of transcription and the cell cycle.

KEGG and Panther pathway analyses revealed that these genes participated in several cancer-related pathways, such as the ‘p53 pathway’, ‘pathway in cancer’ and the ‘apoptosis signaling pathway’ (Fig. 15A and B). DO analysis, conducted to investigate the involvement of these genes in disease, revealed that these genes were associated with ‘hereditary breast ovarian cancer’, ‘autosomal dominant disease’, ‘autosomal genetic disease’, ‘progressive multifocal leuкоencephalopathy’ and ‘monogenic disease’ (Fig. 15C).

NC inhibits the expression of TOP1 and TOP2A. NC exhibited an inhibitory effect on the growth of LC xenografts in the nude mice. When compared with that of the negative control group, the tumor volume in the NC group was significantly and dose-dependently reduced (Table II). For TOP1, the mean mRNA expression in the NC-treated and control groups was 0.073 and 0.236, respectively. For TOP2A, the mean mRNA expression in the NC-treated and control groups was 0.13 and 0.156,

Figure 6. Scatter plot for mRNA expression of TOP1 and TOP2A in normal liver tissues and HCC tissues. (A) GSE62232; (B) GSE57957; (C) GSE60502; (D) GSE74656; (E) GSE64041; (F) GSE46408; (G) GSE82177; (H) GSE76427; (I) GSE84005; (J) E-MTAB-4171; (K) GSE54236; and (L) TCGA. TOP, topoisomerase; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
respectively. These findings suggested that NC could inhibit the expression of TOP1 and TOP2A at the mRNA level (P<0.05). Subsequent IHC staining confirmed a similar inhibition of TOP1 and TOP2A expression at the protein level by NC (Fig. 16).

Table II. Tumor volume of liver cancer xenografts in nude mice following NC treatment.

| Treatment | Dosage mg/kg | Pre-treatment (mean ± SD) | Post-treatment (mean ± SD) | RTV | T/C, % |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-------|
| Saline    | -            | 200.22±89.67              | 1474.4±109.34             | 7.36±1.21 | 100  |
| NC        | 2.5          | 241.23±94.59              | 1313.77±242.41            | 5.44±1.47 | 73.95|
| NC        | 5.0          | 201.38±79.43              | 955.89±54.73              | 4.75±0.30^a | 64.55|
| NC        | 10           | 224.43±62.53              | 842.55±242.18             | 3.75±0.92^b | 51.07|

^aP<0.05 and ^bP<0.01 vs. saline group. TV, tumor volume; RTV, relative tumor volume; SD, standard deviation; NC, nitidine chloride; RTV = TV_{pre-treatment}/TV_{post-treatment}; T/C (%) = RTV_{NC}/RTV_{saline} ×100.

Table III. Results of the molecular docking calculations.

| Protein | PDB ID | Total_Score | Crash | Polar | Cscore |
|---------|--------|-------------|-------|-------|--------|
| TOP1    | 1k4T   | 8.2         | -1.73 | 2.15  | 3      |
| TOP2A   | 5btg   | 14.47       | -2.02 | 1.22  | 5      |

TOP, topoisomerase; PDB ID, protein data bank identity.

Figure 7. Forest plot of the 22 microarray and RNA-seq datasets evaluating TOP1 and TOP2A in HCC tissues. A pooled SMD>0 indicated that the expression of TOP1 and TOP2A was higher in HCC tissues than that in normal liver tissues. (A) TOP1 was upregulated in HCC (random-effects model); (B) TOP2A was upregulated in HCC (random-effects model). TOP, topoisomerase; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing; SMD, standard mean deviation; CI, confidence interval.

Figure 8. Potential publication bias among the included studies. (A) Funnel plot of the 22 included datasets for TOP1. (B) Funnel plot of the 22 included datasets for TOP2A. SMD, standard mean deviation; TOP, topoisomerase; s.e., standard error.

Verification of the inhibitory effect of NC on TOP1 and TOP2A by molecular docking. The present study further investigated the binding modes and interactions between NC and TOP1/TOP2A with a molecular docking method. The results
of the molecular docking calculations are shown in Table III and Fig. 17. According to these results, the total docking scores of TOP1 and TOP2A were 8.2 and 14.47, respectively, indicating strong interactions between NC and TOP1 and TOP2A.

Discussion

DNA topoisomerases, which are well-known modulators of DNA topology, catalyze the alteration of DNA topological...
Figure 12. Ability of TOP1 and TOP2A to identify high- and low-risk patients with liver cancer using the SurvExpress online tool. Red: high-risk group; green: low-risk group. (A) The low- and high-risk group for the prognostic index (PI) in LC patients. (B) A heatmap indicating higher expression of TOP1 and TOP2A in the high-risk group than that in the low-risk group. (C) A box-plot confirming higher expression of TOP1 and TOP2A in the high-risk group than that in the low-risk group. (D) A Kaplan-Meier survival curve for TOP1 showing that patients in the high-risk group tended to a poorer prognosis. (D) A Kaplan-Meier survival curve for TOP2 showing that patients in the high-risk group had a poorer survival outcome. TOP, topoisomerase; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Figure 13. Genetic alterations of TOP1 and TOP2A in LC determined with the cBioPortal database. (A) The alterations in TOP1 and TOP2A expression in 440 patients with LC. The heatmap represents the mRNA expression of TOP1 and TOP2A. (B) Effects of TOP1 alterations on the OS of patients with LC. (C) Effects of TOP2A alterations on the OS of patients with LC. TOP, topoisomerase; LC, liver cancer; OS, overall survival.
structures by cleaving and reconnecting single or double-stranded DNA (58,59). Depending on whether they make single- or double-stranded breaks, the topoisomerases are divided into TOP1 and TOP2 (60,61), with TOP2A being a subfamily of TOP2. During RNA transcription and DNA replication, TOP1 and TOP2A can relax positive and negative supercoils to regulate key cellular processes (62,63). TOP1 and TOP2A are tumor drivers in a myriad of human cancer types, including LC. The study by Ang et al (64), which employed a multiplatform profiling service method based on 350 LC samples, found upregulation of TOP1 and TOP2A in LC. Wong et al (65) detected TOP2A expression in LC cell lines and tissues. It was also determined that TOP2A was overexpressed in LC, and that the high expression of TOP2A was closely associated with microvascular invasion, advanced histological grading, an early age of occurrence of HCC and a poor survival outcome. Panvichian et al (66) also confirmed the high expression of TOP2A in LC.

In the present study, a high expression level of TOP1 and TOP2A protein was observed based on IHC staining. The combined use of microarrays and RNA-seq data mining also verified higher gene expression levels for TOP1 and TOP2A in HCC tissues than those in normal liver tissues. The gene expression level was much higher for TOP2A in HCC than that for TOP1 (TOP1: SMD, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.07-0.55; P=0.012; and TOP2A: SMD, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.51-2.32; P<0.0001); however, the protein expression levels were similar. Previous studies have demonstrated that TOP2A is regulated at the translational or transcriptional level (67,68), which may result in a discrepancy between protein expression and RNA expression.

Our data from the cBioPortal revealed genetic alterations of TOP1 and TOP2A in human hepatocellular carcinoma. More interestingly, patients with TOP1 alterations showed a lower OS compared to patients without TOP1 mutation. We assumed that the abnormal alterations may be responsible for the ontogenetic role of TOP1 and TOP2A in LC.

The data from TCGA was also used to investigate the prognostic value of TOP1 and TOP2A expression. High expression of TOP1 and TOP2A predicted unfavorable OS and poor DFS. Higher expression levels of TOP1 and TOP2A were also found in high-risk patients compared with those in low-risk patients. These findings indicated that TOP1 and TOP2A could be potential biomarkers for predicting the prognosis of patients with LC, and for identifying high-risk cases and
Figure 15. Top 10 KEGG and Panther pathways and the most enriched DO terms. (A) The top 10 KEGG pathways. (B) The top 10 Panther pathways. (C) The most enriched DO terms (P<0.05). KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DO, Disease Ontology.

Figure 16. Immunohistochemical staining of TOP1 and TOP2A proteins in tumor tissues from liver cancer xenografts in nude mice (magnification, x400). (A) Expression of TOP1 in the control group. (B) Expression of TOP1 in the group treated with NC. (C) Expression of TOP2A in the control group. (D) Expression of TOP2A in the NC group. TOP, topoisomerase; NC, nitidine chloride.
allowing optimization of individual treatment management. Bioinformatics analyses were also conducted to investigate the potential biological processes and signaling pathways in which TOP1 and TOP2A may be involved. Consistent with previous studies (69,70), it was found that TOP1 and TOP2A were located in the nucleus and regulated RNA transcription, chromosome organization, RNA metabolism and the cell cycle. Additionally, it was found that TOP1 and TOP2A were associated with several cancer-related pathways, including the ‘p53 pathway’, ‘pathway in cancer’ and the ‘apoptosis signaling pathway’. The oncogenic role served by TOP1 and TOP2A in LC may therefore involve interactions with these signaling cascades. Further studies are required to verify this speculation.

TOP1 and TOP2A have essential roles in mammalian cells, making them valuable as drug targets for cancer pharmacotherapy (71,72). However, the poor selectivity of traditional TOP inhibitors for tumor cells often results in serious side effects in patients with cancer, so novel medicines with favorable targeting effects are urgently required. Natural products are receiving increasing attention in the treatment of cancer patients due to their low side effects and extensive biological activities (73,74).

NC is a natural bioactive alkaloid derived from a well-known Chinese herbal medicine, Zanthoxylum nitidum (Roxb) DC. This herbal ingredient is considered a promising chemotherapeutic agent for malignant tumors, including LC. Liao et al (9) conducted in vivo experiments and found that NC restrained LC cell growth by inhibiting the Janus kinase 1–signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling pathway. Lin et al (25) also verified the inhibitory effect of NC on LC cell growth, and Ou et al (24) demonstrated that NC induced apoptosis in LC cells by regulation of a pathway that included p53, p21, apoptosis regulator Bax and B-cell lymphoma 2. Several studies have proposed that NC could be a TOP inhibitor (26,27), but the mechanism underlying NC action on topoisomerases required establishing further.

In the present study, IHC and RT-qPCR were used to compare the mRNA and protein expression of TOP1 and TOP2A in hepatic tumor tissues with or without NC treatment. The NC treatment reduced the expression of TOP1 and TOP2A at the mRNA and protein levels. Molecular docking studies also confirmed the direct binding of NC to TOP1 and TOP2A. Taken together, these results indicated that TOP1 and TOP2A could be direct targets of NC. However, further experiments are necessary to verify these findings.

In conclusion, the present study points to an oncogenic role for TOP1 and TOP2A in LC. TOP1 and TOP2A were upregulated in LC at the protein and mRNA levels, indicating their potential use as biomarkers to predict prognosis in patients with
LC and to identify high-risk cases, thereby optimizing individual treatment management. The present findings also increase our understanding of the antitumor effects of NC on LC and reveal its promise as a therapeutic agent for LC treatment.
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