Influence of Glass Phase in Silver Paste on Metallized Contact Resistance between Rear Silver and Aluminum Electrodes of Crystalline Silicon PERC Cells
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Abstract: In the back-side metallization process of the passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC), the contact between the Ag rear electrode and Al rear electrode is an important factor for cell efficiency. In this paper, we report on the effect of Ag paste containing two types of oxide glass frit, V-B-Te and Pb-B-Si, on the Ag/Al contact, owing to their remarkable contrast with regard to the Ag/Al contact resistance. By combining the observation of the Ag/Al interface structure with the investigation on the interaction between glass and Al, glass transition temperature, and the distribution of glass phase in the Ag electrode, the influence of the glass phase on the contact resistance between rear Ag and Al electrodes was clearly elucidated.
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1. Introduction

A passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC) concept with rear surface passivation and local aluminum (Al) rear contacts was first proposed in 1989 [1]. In recent years, PERC solar cells have undergone rapid development and become one of the most important high-efficiency photovoltaic products. Different from the conventional crystalline silicon (c-Si) solar cells, PERC solar cells demand that the rear SiNₓ/Al₂O₃ passivation layer not be corroded by silver (Ag) paste during the sintering process. However, meeting this requirement results in the emergence of other issues. Specifically, the much greater contact resistance between rear Ag and Al electrodes often becomes a critical problem, impairing cell efficiency [2,3].

In general, the metallization Ag paste for c-Si cells is composed of Ag powder, glass frit, and an organic vehicle. The glass frit serves as a solder to bond the fired Ag electrode to the cells, and thus it influences the contact resistance between them. On the front-side of the cells, the Ag/Si contact Ag paste is used, and the firing of this Ag paste results in etching of the SiNₓ passivation layer and formation of Ag/Si ohmic contact by a glass-phase medium [4,5]. In this case, the key role of the glass frit involved and the relevant mechanism of the current transmission through the Ag/Si contact have been extensively studied [6–8]. However, in the rear-side metallization of PERC solar cells, the Ag/Si non-contact Ag paste is employed to form a Si/Al/Ag interconnection for current transmission [2,3]. So far, little attention has been paid to the influence of the glass frit in Ag paste on the contact resistance...
between the Ag and Al electrode. According to our investigation, this influence would be quite marked in terms of the glass frit composition, and thus produces an important effect on the cell efficiency. The PbO-containing glass frit has been widely used in commercial Ag paste for c-Si solar cells due to its desired property of forming an Ag/Si contact \cite{4,5}. Generally, the lead glass frit used in the front-side Ag paste demonstrates good performance with regard to corroding the SiNx passivation layer. However, the glass frit used in rear Ag paste for PERC solar cells is expected to not corrode the SiNx passivation layer. Although up to now, the PbO-containing glass frit has been employed in the majority of rear Ag pastes, there is a potential risk of degrading the cell efficiency due to the corrosion effect of PbO on the SiNx passivation layer. Accordingly, we investigated the application of boro-vanadate glass frit in the rear Ag paste for PERC solar cells.

Herein, we present an investigation on the effect of the glass phase on the formation of the Ag/Al contact in rear Ag paste metallization on PERC solar cells. In this article, the Ag/Al contact resistance is discussed with respect to the dependence of the contact interface structure on the glass frit composition.

2. Experiment

2.1. Materials

The purity of the raw materials used in these experiments were: Vanadic oxide (V_2O_5, 99.5%, Sinopharm, Shanghai, China), boracic acid (H_3BO_3, 99.5%, Sinopharm, Shanghai, China), tellurium oxide (TeO_2, 99.5%, Sinopharm, Shanghai, China), lead oxide (PbO, 99.5%, Sinopharm, Shanghai, China) and silicon dioxide (SiO_2, 99.5%, Sinopharm, Shanghai, China). The Ag powder, with a flaky shape and thickness of around 50–200 nanometers was purchased from Suzhou Smart Surface Material Company (Suzhou, China) Al paste was purchased from Guangzhou Ruxing Technology Development Company (Guangzhou, China).

2.2. Synthesis of Glass Frit Powder

The melt-quenching method was used to prepare the glass frit used in this work. Uniform stoichiometric mixtures of oxide powders were mixed in an agate mortar. After tempering at 1300 °C for 1 h in an aluminum crucible, the sample was cooled rapidly to room temperature by removing the aluminum crucible from the furnace and pouring the melt into de-ionized water. After grinding in a planetary mill for 3 h at 660 rpm, two types of glass frit, as shown in Table 1, with D_{50} of around 2.26 µm were obtained.

| Glass Frit | Composition (mol%) |
|-----------|--------------------|
|           | V_2O_5  | TeO_2  | H_3BO_3 | PbO | SiO_2 |
| G-1       | 25      | 50     | 25      | –   | –     |
| G-2       | –       | –      | 20      | 40  | 40    |

2.3. Preparation of Ag Paste and Metallization

Ag powder, glass frit, and organic medium in the ratio of 60.8:1.2:38 wt% were mixed using a three-roll mill. Two kinds of Ag paste (here denoted as P-1 and P-2) which respectively contained G-1 and G-2 glass frit were synthesized.

The pastes were screen-printed onto SiN_x/Al_2O_3 passivated mono-crystalline wafer using a two-step printing process. Firstly, Ag paste was screen-printed into a discontinuous line pattern with a width of 3 mm, height of around 4 µm, and total length of 35 mm on a mono-crystalline wafer. Secondly, Al paste was screen-printed into 5 x 5 square pads to interconnect the pre-printed Ag paste. After drying in a belt furnace at 200 °C for 1 min to volatilize the organic phase, the samples were then
sintered in an infrared furnace in which the Si wafer was in contact with the thermocouple at a peak temperature of 700 °C for 6 s to form the Ag/Al contact. The contact pattern between the Ag and Al electrode is shown in Figure 1.

To test the effect of Ag paste composition on finished devices, PERC solar cells were fabricated using the following sequence. Firstly, the wafer went through cleaning using deionized water, texturing by NaOH aqueous solution, diffusion using a POCl₃ source, edge etching, Al₂O₃ passivation layer deposition by atomic layer deposition (ALD), SiNx antireflection coating by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD), and rear laser contact opening. Then, prepared Ag rear paste was deposited on the back surface of the wafer by screen printing. For all the Si wafers, the same commercial Al rear paste and front-side Ag paste were screen-printed to form a rear Ag/Al contact and a front Ag/Si contact. Finally, the Si wafer was fired in a nine-temperature-region belt furnace (Despatch, Miami, FL, USA) at a set peak temperature of 875 °C and a belt speed of 600 cm/min.

Figure 1. The contact pattern between the Ag and Al electrode. Ag paste was screen-printed into a discontinuous line on the SiNx/Al₂O₃ passivated Si wafer, and then Al paste was printed into 5 x 5 square pads to interconnect the Ag paste. In order to magnify the contact effect, this model contains 48 Ag/Al joints with an overlap of 4.5 square millimeters for each contact.

2.4. Measurements

The Ag/Al contact resistance, R, was measured by a digital micro-ohmmeter (PC9A, Agilent, PaloAlto, CA, USA). The Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) curve of the glass frit was recorded using a DSC instrument (Q20, TA, Wilmington, DE, USA) in air and a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The surface morphology of the Ag electrode and the interface microstructure between the Ag electrode and Al electrode were observed by a Field-Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM, Hitachi, S-4800, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS, Tokyo, Japan). The electrical parameters of PERC solar cells were measured on a photovoltaic I-V test system under AM1.5 spectrum at the temperature of 25 °C.

3. Results and Discussion

In the currently exemplified Ag paste for rear-side metallization of c-Si PERC solar cells, the content of the glass frit is 1.2% of the total mass, while the Ag powder accounts for nearly 60%. For comparison, two Ag pastes were prepared using different glass frits labeled as G-1 and G-2, respectively. Both the two glass frits can meet the requirement of the rear Ag paste for PERC solar cells, the two pastes resulted in significantly different performances. Table 2 presents the I-V cell characteristics of the two pastes. Ta Table 2 presents the I-V cell characteristics of the two pastes.
solar cells, making a firm and non-corrosive interconnection between the fired Ag electrode and the rear SiNx/Al2O3 passivation layer, see Supporting Information Figure S1. When used with PERC solar cells, the two pastes resulted in significantly different performances. Table 2 presents the I-V cell characterization results. It is shown that the two cells had similar open circuit voltages ($V_{oc}$) and short circuit currents ($I_{sc}$) but differed remarkably in Fill Factor (FF). Clearly, the lower FF gained with the G-1 glass frit was attributed to the greater series resistance ($R_s$), which was 98.68% larger than when the G-2 glass frit was used. As a result, the cell efficiency with the G-1 glass frit had a 0.33 percentage point decrease compared to what was achieved with the G-2 glass frit.

| Glass Frit | $V_{oc}$ (mV) | $I_{sc}$ (mA) | $R_s$ (mΩ) | FF (%) | Efficiency (%) |
|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------|
| G-1        | 664          | 9.72          | 3.02       | 79.48  | 20.96         |
| G-2        | 667          | 9.71          | 1.52       | 80.45  | 21.29         |

To ascertain the reason for the difference in $R_s$ between the two cells, the line resistance of the fired Ag electrode and the contact resistance between the rear Ag and Al electrode were studied. The two Ag paste electrodes had almost the same line resistivity, see Supporting Information Figures S2 and S3, implying that the difference in $R_s$ between the two cells resulted from the difference in the contact resistance between the rear Ag and Al electrode. As presented in Figure 2, the Ag/Al electrode contact resistance was tested to be 36 Ω for P-1 paste and 2 Ω for P-2 paste, respectively. This remarkable difference can be attributed to the significant influence of the glass frit on the Ag and Al paste metallization because P-1 and P-2 paste differed only in the glass composition.

The Ag/Al electrode contact interface was observed by using a FE-SEM. Figure 3a1,a2 show Ag/Al electrode cross-sectional images between P-1 paste and Al paste. The surface of Al particles was relativity smooth, as shown in Figure 3a1, and the FE-SEM image at higher magnification shows that there was an inter-miscible zone between the Ag electrode and the Al electrode, see Figure 3a2. We observed different results when P-2 paste was printed and sintered with Al paste, see Figure 3b1,b2. The surface of Al particles was quite rough, as shown in Figure 3b1. In addition, from the higher magnification FE-SEM image, shown in Figure 3b2, we observed that no inter-miscible zone formed at the Ag/Al electrode contact interface and the surface of Al particles was covered with some large aspect ratio metal precipitate films which resulted from the inter-diffusion between Ag and Al during the sintering process [9,10]. Our previous research revealed that the inter-miscible zone formed between the Ag electrode and Al electrode was glass layer dissolved metal particles [11]. Therefore,
the high resistance and non-conductive glass layer could hinder the current transmission between the Ag electrode and Al electrode, causing high Ag/Al contact resistance.

The above results indicate that a significant difference in the Ag/Al contact resistance is caused by the different Ag/Al contact interfaces. Therefore, the different Ag pastes, especially, the contained glass frit, have a significant effect on the Ag/Al contact resistance and interfacial morphology.

Figure 3. FE-SEM images showing contact interface between Al electrode and Ag electrode. (a1) and (a2): Low and high magnification images for P-1 paste. (b1) and (b2): Low and high magnification images for P-2 paste.

To reveal the interaction between glass frit and Al in co-sintering, we investigated the reaction of glass with Al by firing a glass frit coated in Al foil in an open electric furnace at 700 °C for 1 min, as shown in Figure 4. The interaction between G-1 glass frit and Al was evident, and Al foil changed into a quasi-transparent thin film after sintering, see Figure 4a. However, different results occurred when using the G-2 glass frit and results showed that the G-2 glass did not react with Al, see Figure 4b. The different reaction degree between glass frit and Al foil indicated that the glass frit in Ag paste leads to a different Ag/Al electrode contact interface by reacting with Al paste during sintering metallization, thus causing a remarkable difference in the contact resistance.
To further reveal the interaction between glass and Al, the mixture of glass frit and Al powder was heated at 700 °C for 1 min on the Si wafer after mixing them in an agate mortar at the mass ratio of 1:1. Figure 5a shows a FE-SEM cross-sectional image obtained when using the G-1 glass frit. It is clearly seen that the molten glass phase is totally coated in the Al particles, forming a non-conductive layer. However, when using the G-2 glass, we observed that some areas of the Al particles were not coated with glass frit, see Figure 5b. Glass, as a non-conductive phase, can hinder the current transmission. Therefore, the glass layer coated on Al particles forms a barrier between the Ag electrode and Al electrode, obstructing the current transmission between Ag and Al and causing a high Ag/Al contact resistance.

Figure 6 shows the DSC curve of glass frit powder prepared by the traditional melting route. It was noticed that the glass transition temperatures $T_g$ of G-1 and G-2 glass frit powder are 259.89 °C and 513.31 °C, respectively. The $T_g$ of glass frit plays an important role in the physical and electrical properties of thick-film Ag contacts [12]. For the contact between the front-side Ag electrode and Si emitter surface, increasing the current transmission path at the Ag/Si interface is beneficial for achieving low Ag/Si contact resistance and high cell efficiency. It was reported that the glass frit with low $T_g$ in front-side Ag paste flows easily during the sintering process, allowing the glass to react with Ag for a long time and, consequently, dissolves more Ag particles, resulting in a great number of Ag precipitates distributed on the Si emitter surface and improving the possibility of tunneling, eventually achieving a low Ag/Si contact resistance and a high cell efficiency [13,14]. Many previous
studies [12–14] focused on the effect of the $T_g$ of the glass frit on the Ag/Si contact formation but ignored the $T_g$ of the glass frit on the Ag/Al contact resistance. In general, for the contact between the rear Ag and Al electrodes, low Ag/Al contact resistance is favorable to achieve low series resistance ($R_s$) and high cell efficiency. In this study, we found that a glass frit with lower $T_g$ in the rear Ag paste easily flowed and wrapped Al particles during the sintering process, causing a non-conductive barrier between the Ag electrode and Al electrode and resulting in a higher Ag/Al contact resistance.

The influence of the glass frit on Ag/Al contact resistance was further investigated by using a field-emission scanning electron microscope, as shown in Figure 7. From the top SEM image, see Figure 7a, of the Ag electrode with P-1 paste, it was found that Ag precipitates with a diameter size of around 10 nm emerged and tended to be distributed in the grain boundaries. Some different results occurred in the case of using P-2 paste and no precipitates existed on the sintered Ag grain boundaries and surface of Ag grains, see Figure 7b. These Ag nanoparticles were formed from recrystallization of the Ag element, which was dissolved in the glass melt during the sintering of Ag paste. Accordingly, the location of Ag nanoparticles can mark the distribution of the glass phase. Basically, the lower the glass $T_g$, the earlier the glass starts to flow during the firing cycle [12]. Thus, in comparison with G-2 glass, the melt of G-1 glass frit had the larger distribution at the surface of the sintered electrode, causing a non-conductive glass layer on the Ag electrode. This non-conductive glass phase can hinder the current transmission between the Ag electrode and Al electrode and causes a high Ag/Al contact resistance.

![Figure 6. DSC curve of the as-prepared glass frit.](image)

![Figure 7. Surface morphology of sintered Ag electrode. (a) P-1 paste; (b) P-2 paste.](image)
4. Conclusions

The interfacial contact resistance of Ag/Al electrodes and the relationship between the glass frit in Ag paste and the Ag/Al contact were examined. By investigating the interface morphology of Ag and Al electrodes and the interaction between glass frit and Al, the results showed that low T_g glass with a strong reaction with Al could cause a non-conductive glass layer at the Ag/Al contact interface and a high Ag/Al contact resistance. However, when a high-T_g glass frit which has no reaction with Al was used, the current transmission between Ag and Al was easier and eventually resulted in a low Ag/Al contact resistance. Therefore, in order to obtain a lower contact resistance, the composition of glass frit in Ag paste should be reasonably designed and controlled.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/9/5/891/s1, Figure S1: Photographs showing the SiN_x/Al_2O_3 passivation layer after the glass frit was coated on SiN_x/Al_2O_3 passivated mono-crystalline wafers and fried in an open furnace at 700 °C for 10 s, and then removed by 30 % HNO_3 for 3 h. (a) G-1 glass frit; (b) G-2 glass frit, Figure S2: The line resistivity pattern of the sintered Ag electrode, Figure S3: The line resistivity of the sintered Ag electrode.
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