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Abstract: Water shortage presents a serious problem nowadays. This problem will certainly worsen in the future, and so, improving the irrigation water efficiency by various methods is one of the economically viable alternatives in overcoming the water scarcity. It considers a good solution to overcome the fight against famine especially in the developing countries. A new technique in cultivation by installing plastic membrane sheet below the crop’s root zone named subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) which helped to save irrigation water in the root zone, less farm losses, increasing the field water use efficiency and water productivity. But the difficult of installing SWRT system alienated many farmers from adopting this system. Therefore, in this paper, developed machine was manufactured to install the plastic membrane sheet below the root zone of Faba bean crop during the winter growing season 2018 in open field which cultivated at two systems, the first was ridges system and the second was flat soil system. The total fabrication cost of the study machine was 25000 L. E with 2018 price level. This research was carried out in a Balouza research station in north Sinai governorate. Subsurface drip irrigation system was used for irrigation process and laid by developed machine. Three treatments types were used, cultivated system treatment (ridges and flat soil), aspect ratio treatment (2:1, 3:1 and 4:1) (width to height) and the installing depth of plastic sheet from soil surface (20, 30 and 40) cm. In addition, control treatment was without using the plastic membrane sheet. Actual field capacity, field efficiency, pulling force, fuel consumption rate, total water stored, water consumptive use, stored and consumption water efficiency, Faba bean grain yield, productivity of irrigation water, cost of developed machine and cost of manual process were discussed and compared between the treatments. The obtained results indicated that the machine study succeed in carried out SWRT system and laying subsurface drip irrigation pipes at efficiency of 92% for plastic laying depth, (91% - 95%) for plastic aspect ratio (width - height) and 96% for depth of laying drip pipes. In general, SWRT system achieved the highest total water stored, water consumptive use and Faba bean grain yield about of 49%, 53% and 50% respectively, and achieved the lowest cost about of 74% compared to without using plastic film. The results showed that aspect ratio 2:1 achieved the lowest pulling force, fuel consumption and cost about of 24%, 22% and 7% respectively, and the highest actual field capacity about of 6% compared to 4:1 aspect ratio. While, the aspect ratio 4:1 achieved the highest total water stored, water consumptive use and Faba bean grain yield about of 21%, 26% and 14% respectively, compared to 2:1 aspect ratio. The lowest pulling force, fuel consumption and cost achieved at 20cm plastic laying depth about of 49%, 44% and 8% respectively, and the highest actual field capacity about of 6% compared to 40cm depth. The highest total water stored, water consumptive use and Faba bean grain yield about of 14%, 17% and 11% respectively, at 40cm plastic laying depth compared to 20cm depth. The ridge system achieved the lowest pulling force, fuel consumption and cost about of 33%, 32% and 8% respectively, and the highest actual field capacity, total water stored, water consumptive use and Faba bean grain yield about of 5%, 8%, 9% and 8% respectively, compared to flat soil.
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1. Introduction

The utilization modern technology that named subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) led to improve the cultivation in the soils that have light texture by installation the membrane in the root zone. This membrane keeps the water and nutrient over the membrane and prevents the lost water by deep percolation. Increasing frequencies of drought coupled with increasing populations require more water for irrigated agriculture. As global populations approach 9 billion by 2050, even more water will be required to produce an estimated 60% to 70% more food [1]. Production of these greater quantities of food requires, at current water use efficiency rates, 50% more water [2]. The main problems of sandy soil are moisture holding capacity and nutrients deficiency and clay soils holding high moisture content, but water use efficiency at the interface of Field Capacity and Permanent Wilting Point is maintained with little suction in sandy soils. Therefore, the sandy soils can be very productive if the water is to be managed wisely [3]. Subsurface trickle irrigation water provision of 35% to 55% were probable compared to conventional irrigation forms like sprinkler and furrow irrigation systems. Also using subsurface trickle irrigation system together with the soil water retention technology (SWRT) improving crop yield, field water use efficiency of cucumber plant and the value of saving in applied of irrigation water [4]. As the population increases and increases the use of water in urban areas, irrigated cultivation is being called to output more nourishment utilizing least water, and implementing it without deterioration the soil and the water resources [5]. Plastic films buried 40 cm below the soil surface achieved significantly increased spring wheat yield by improving the soil water content and temperature in the topsoil [6]. Installing SWRT below the root zone resulted in increased water-holding capacity when applied in sandy soil by 23% to 95% depending on the amount of water processed. Improving the efficiency of irrigation water by different methods is one of the economically viable alternatives in overcoming the water scarcity [7]. Sandy soils will be very productive when water is wisely managed both above and within the soil. Consequently, subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) is a promising solution to overcome the fight against hunger especially in the developing countries [8]. The development of non-traditional new technologies to conserve water is becoming important for attaining a sustainable economic growth, especially in agricultural countries [9]. This is not only crucial for the sustainable agricultural yield but also to meet the challenges of current environmental issues and justice, financial problems and physical barriers in the developing countries [10]. SWRB system showed that low frequency drainage holes in polyethylene (PE) membranes within sand columns retained much more water than control treatments yet drained gravitational water when soil became saturated [11]. The influence of soil water retention barriers (SWRB) and irrigation levels effect on soil moisture content, perennial ryegrass moisture consumption and on fresh yield. They installed SWRT at two various soil depths 30 and 40 cm, and three various irrigation levels of 100, 66 and 33% of available water-holding capacity are used in sandy soil. The results show that when SWRT installed at depth 40 cm together with 34% water deficit save 52% of irrigation water compared with no SWRT is used [12]. One of the utilizing methods and saving the applied irrigation water is the use of soil water retention technology (SWRT) along with the surface and subsurface trickle irrigation system. SWRT can keep water and nutrients, and it saves up to 50% of the water and fertilizers added in agricultural land [13]. SWRT is a water-saving membrane made of low-density polyethylene (LDPE), and that is installed as U-shaped beneath the root area of the plant with a particular aspect ratio (the ratio of width to height), leaving a proper space for root growth and ease of movement with internal drainage through excessive rainfall. A novel subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) dramatically reduced irrigation requirements by retaining at least 50% or more soil water in the plant root zone. Water-saving membranes reduced drought stress events even during the driest years. The SWRT water saving membranes also is designed to prevent flooding in the root zone of sandy soils [14]. The new subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) transforms lives and landscapes by retaining both soil water and nutrients in the root zone of food and cash crops in an environmentally sustainable manner that increases productivity, local economies while reducing soil erosion, input costs and environmental contamination of groundwater, and reduces soil salinity, increase irrigation efficiency, decrease irrigation frequency, improve crop yield, and reduce labor [15]. The performance of using membranes below the soil surface in sandy soil planted with corn. The result indicates an increase in corn production of about 238%. The objectives of this study were to evaluate and compare the effects of subsurface water-saving membranes, installed at depth 35 cm below ground surface in a sandy loam soil, on yield (Y) and water use efficiency (WUE) of eggplant inside the greenhouse. Subsurface soil water retention membranes, installed within plant root zones, comprise a self-regulating type of technology that improves the production of food and cellulosic biomass and increases water use efficiencies for the dramatic expansion of food, fiber, and cellulosic biomass production needed by the rapidly expanding global populations. It is anticipated that these water savings will also diminish the growing competition for water among regional and economic sectors [16]. Subsurface soil water retention membranes installed within plant root zones, comprise a self-regulating type of technology that improves the production of food and cellulosic biomass and increases water use efficiencies for the dramatic expansion of food and fiber production [17]. The optimal geometric parameters of the SWRT membranes and the most accurate irrigation rates for corn production in sandy soil studied. They setup subsurface water retention membrane in three depths: 20 cm, 40 cm, and 60 cm, in large sand-filled lysimeter, with aspect ratios: 2:1, 3:1, 5:1 and 10:1 controlled by aspect ratios of
SWRT membranes. Moreover, SWRT membrane with an aspect ratio of 2:1 basically increased soil moisture content at 20 cm soil layer above the membrane. The overall conclusion was that SWRT appeared to be an encouraging technology for precision water content in the plant root zone and for minimizing water and nutrient losses during deep infiltration [18]. Subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) is a new, long-term approach to improve water storage capacities especially in coarse soil texture for sustainable crop production, increasing in yield and water use efficiency. They found that the SWRT controls the soil water content in sandy soils at optimal levels for corn growth, diminish water loss through deep drainage and minimize total irrigation depths. SWRT consists of subsurface polyethylene membrane installed within the crop’s root zone with a specific aspect ratio that prevents the loss of irrigation water via deep percolation [19]. The experiment is conducted in a lysimeter inside a greenhouse using six membrane of different geometry polyethylene sheet installed at four depths. Membrane with 2:1, 3:1 and 5:1 aspect ratio installed at depth 20 to 40 cm in sand, sandy loam and loamy sand soil textures. The results show that the highest reduction in water losses for most soil texture in the study case are achieve with a 2:1 aspect ratio (width to depth of the U shape) membrane installed at depth 20 cm. Moreover, the SWRT is sensitive to uncontrolled irrigation process. Additional tests are required for membrane performance in a field for different crops across a range of different climates conditions. They conclude that the increasing in crops yield by using SWRT film is due to double the soil moisture contents in the root zone of plants in coarse textured soil as shown in Figure 1 [20].

![Figure 1. Double soil water content in plants root zone. Guber et al. (2015).](image)

One of the modern techniques for developing water productivity is the use of soil water retention technology (SWRT) with trickle irrigation system (surface or subsurface). SWRT is a new technology to increase plant productivity by applying as little irrigation water as possible and thus improving water productivity [21]. The field experiment conducted to study the effect of the membrane sheet installed below the soil surface and under the root zone of the crop on yield and water use efficiency (WUE) of maize. The experiment was conducted inside a greenhouse and lysimeter was constructed. Sandy soil was used cultivated with maize. The results indicated that the soil water content above the membrane sheet was doubled the water holding capacity, increased maize production by 240% and increasing water use efficiency by 77% [22]. The field experiment was carried out to study the effect of installing the membrane sheet below the root zone on WUE of hot pepper and tomato crops in greenhouses. The experimental sites were located in Diyala and Najaf governorates utilize SWRT treatment plot, organic treatment plots, tillage treatment plots and no tillage treatment plots. The obtained results showed that the value of WUE of hot pepper in Diyala site with SWRT was more than other plots by 233. Additionally, the value of WUE of hot pepper in Najaf site was more than other plots by 165% [23]. The influence of SWRT on soil moisture content, frequency of watering and heat of the soil was studied. SWRT was set up on various depth in sand texture of soil. The plant which utilized name the maize and utilized straw mulches cultivated on soil surface and without. The search was carried out in Kerman of Iran during the second month of 2013 to the last of eleventh month of 2014. The water and heat of soil was improved via using SWRT sheet and the irrigation frequency was calculated. Furthermore, SWRT sheet was appeared to be good influence on water retention for plant at all depths. They suggest using SWRT sheet with mulch to improve the condition of sandy texture soil [24]. The effect of using polyethylene sheet, organic matter, tillage and no-tillage on irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) of hot pepper studied. The experiment was conducted in two fields sites located in Diyala and Najaf Cities. The results indicated that in Diyala field site, the IWUE value of hot pepper for SWRT plot is the highest value among organic matter; tillage and no-tillage by 106, 167 and 135%, respectively, and with saving in water almost have of the quantity applied. Additionally, the IWUE for hot pepper in Najaf field site is also the highest value by 38, 79 and 89%, respectively, and with saving in water almost 33% [25]. The using of the membrane sheet below hot pepper and okra crop’s root zone on water use efficiency and water productivity evaluated. The experimental work was carried out in two different sites in the growing seasons 2016 and 2017, in Sadat Al-Hindyia, Babylon of Iraq. Surface trickle irrigation system was used in the irrigation process inside the greenhouses. The obtained results indicated that clear increasing values of WUE and WP in the treatment plot with membrane sheet were observed. The value of WUE of hot pepper and okra was more than other plots by an average value of 54% and 25%, respectively. Moreover, the economic WP of hot pepper and okra in the plot of membrane sheet was more than other plots by an average value of 89% and 108%, respectively [26]. The field water use efficiency of eggplant was increased when membrane sheet was installed below the root zone of eggplant. The experiment was conducted inside greenhouse and in open field of the growing seasons 2016 and 2017. The research work was located north east of Baghdad. Two treatment plots were used; plot T1 with
Installing membrane sheet and plot T2 without using the membrane sheet. Sandy loam soil was used. The obtained results showed that FWUE of eggplant in the first and second season by 50 and 41%, respectively [27]. Applying of SWRT below the ground surface on yield and water use efficiency of eggplant cultivated inside the greenhouse. The obtained results showed that yield and water use efficiency were improved by 6% and 52% in plot with applying the membrane trough comparing with plot without installing the membrane trough. Moreover, saving in the total of applied water was 44%. Additionally [28]. Effect of SWRT on water use efficiency and economic water productivity for hot pepper cultivated inside the greenhouse in the growing season 2016-2017 evaluated. Three plots were used T1 (with SWRT), T2 (organic material) and T3 (tillage) in City of Babylon. The obtained results showed that the increasing value of yield and water use efficiency in plot T1 was more than T2 and T3 by 19.42%, 26.87% and 50%, 59%, respectively. Accordingly, economic water productivity in plot T1 was more than plots T2 and T3 by 81.7% and 97.1%, respectively. Moreover, water use efficiency and water productivity for okra crop cultivated inside the greenhouse was also improved when membrane trough was installed within the root zone. The obtained results showed that the water use efficiency and water productivity was increased well in plot with installing the membrane trough by 148% and 170%, respectively comparing with plot without applying the SWRT [29]. The effect of installing the membrane trough below the crop’s root zone on field water use efficiency and economic water productivity of zucchini during summer season 2017 in open field studied. Two plots were used for the comparison T1 (with the membrane) and T2 (without the membrane). The obtained results showed that an increasing value of field water use efficiency in plot T1 was 30.2% comparing with plot T2. Additionally, increasing value in economic water productivity in plot T1 was 36.5% comparing with plot T2 [30].

Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is one of the most important food legume crops as a source of plant protein and for its constitution in different popular delicious Egyptian food. Also, faba bean could be harvested in on immature condition to be eaten and cooked as green bean, in addition, straw yield of faba bean is national interest since great quantities are needed for animal feeding [31]. Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is considered one of the most important pulse crops in Egypt. It has become one of the most important strategic crops due to its net income to the farmers. Also, cultivation of faba bean is important for soil fertility, human nutrition and as a good source of protein, for animal feeding and industry purposes [32]. Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is the most important legume crop in Egypt, due to its high nutritive value for human being food, also it plays an integral part in animal feeding and its role break crop in cereal rotation system. The cultivated area was about 216,000 feddans in the last five seasons with an average seed yield of 9.0 ardeb/fed. In Northern part of Egypt, the planted area represents about 85% of the total planted faba bean area [33, 34]. The objectives of this study were manufacturing a machine to install plastic membrane sheet below the root zone of crops. Also, implement the field experiment to evaluate the both of machine performance and effect of subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) on improving the values of Faba bean grain yield and field water use efficiency.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Field Conditions and Site of the Research Study

The research study was carried out on sandy soil at Balouza research station of desert research center, north Sinai governorate Egypt. The latitude was equal 31° 03' N, longitude 32° 36' E and 22 m altitude. Texture, physical and chemical properties of the studied soil presented in Tables 1 and 2.

| Particle size distribution (%) | Texture of soil |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Coarse sand                   | 42.26          |
| Fine sand                     | 43.28          |
| Silt                          | 13.28          |
| Clay                          | 1.18           |
| Sand                          |                |

2.2. Treatments, Experimental Design and Crop Material

This experiment included eighteen treatments, which were the combinations of two types of Faba bean cultivated systems (ridges and flat soil), three levels of plastic aspect ratio (2:1, 3:1 and 4:1) (width to height) and three levels of installing depth of plastic sheet from soil surface (20, 30 and 40) cm as shown in Figures 2 and 3. In addition, control treatment without using the plastic membrane sheet. The treatments were arranged in a split-split plot design with three replicates. The plastic membrane sheet of thickness 100 µm was installed below the soil surface under the root zone of Faba bean crop as U shape. Subsurface drip irrigation pipes laying at 15 cm depth from soil surface by study machine. Faba bean (Vicia faba L. Giza 716) was obtained from field crops research institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt. Faba bean seeds were sowed in winter season (November 2018 to April 2019) at a rate of (140 kg seeds/ha). Faba bean plants were harvested after 140 days.

2.3. The Specifications of Manufactured Machine

The machine designed and manufactured to perform a number of operations at the same time as follows:

1. Digging the soil to the required depth and forming the bottom of the digs with the required dimensions.
2. Installing the plastic films on the bottom of the digs.
3. Extending drip irrigation pipes to the required depth below the soil surface.
4. Filling digs and leveling the soil surface to cultivate Faba bean crop on flat soil.
5. Filling the digs and forming the ridges to cultivate Faba bean crop on it.
Constructional details of the machine for laying both plastic film and drip irrigation pipes under soil surface and seed-bed preparation of the soil to cultivate Faba bean crop on flat soil and ridges discussed as the following:

2.3.1. Main Frame
The main frame manufactured from 100mm L shapes iron. The overall dimensions of the main frame were 2000 mm in length, 1500 mm in width and 1000 mm height. All parts of machine like, three points hitching system manufactured from 20mm thickness iron at height of upper hitch point of 600 mm and lower hitch point spread of 600 mm, one digger with shank (20 mm thickness and 70 mm width) and side board to format the bottom of the digs, press wheel, plastic roll carrier unit and drip irrigation pipes roll carrier unit were mounted on the main frame by welding or by using nuts and bolts. The dimensions and parts of developed machine presented in Figure 4.

2.3.2. Digger
The machine is equipped with one a changeable side board to form the digs at the required dimensions. The height of the digger is adjustable. as shown in Figure 5.
2.3.3. Press Wheel

The operation of press wheel is to stretch the laid plastic film on the bottom of the digs so that it will not get displaced while in operation. The height of the press wheel is adjustable as shown in Figure 6.

2.3.4. Board Wing

A board wing at the end of the machine as shown in Figure 7 control the cultivation system to be applied (flat soil or ridges) by closing it to make the flat soil surface or opening it to make ridges as shown in Figures 8 and 9.
2.3.5. Plastic Roll Carrier Unit

The plastic roll has to be carried by a horizontal M. S. shaft perpendicular to direction of travel provided. A shaft was mounted in between the two bearing, which is used to roll the plastic film. The shaft was supported on the main frame. The plastic sheet has to be placed under the press wheel manually before starting of the plastic film laying operation. The plastic film rotated and started to unwind automatically when the machine moves forward and plastic film was laid under the soil surface as shown in Figure 10. The plastic film used is of 100-micron thickness and (400 mm, 500 mm and 600 mm) width to make U shapes in different aspect ratio (2:1, 3:1 and 4:1) respectively.

2.3.6. Drip Irrigation Pipes Roll Carrier Unit

The drip irrigation pipes roll has to be carried by a horizontal M. S. shaft perpendicular to direction of travel provided. A shaft was mounted in between the two bearing, which is used to roll the drip irrigation pipes. The shaft was supported on the main frame. The drip pipes have to be placed under dead weight manually before starting of the drip pipes laying operation. The drip pipes rotated and started to unwind automatically when the machine moves forward and drip pipes laid in the drips under soil surface at depth 15cm (subsurface) as shown in Figure 11.

### Table 3. Growth stages, Reference evapotranspiration, crop coefficient and Crop Evapotranspiration of Faba bean crop.

| Growth stage   | Duration, day | ETc, mm/day | Kc | ETc, mm/day | Depth of water, mm |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|----|-------------|--------------------|
| Initial        | 20            | 1.5         | 0.44| 0.66        | 13                 |
| Dev.           | 50            | 1.8         | 0.7 | 1.26        | 63                 |
| Mid-season     | 55            | 2.4         | 1.1 | 2.64        | 145                |
| Season end     | 15            | 3.2         | 0.6 | 1.92        | 29                 |
| Total          | 140           | 8.9         | 2.84| 6.48        | 250                |

Net irrigation requirement (IRa) is derived from the field balance equation:

\[
IR_a = ET_c - P_{eff} + LR
\]  

Where: \( IR_a = \) Net irrigation requirement (mm/day), \( ET_c = \) Crop evapotranspiration (mm/day), \( P_{eff} = \) Effective dependable rainfall, (mm/day) and \( LR = \) Leaching requirement (mm).

Gross irrigation requirements account for losses of water incurred during conveyance and application to the field:

\[
IR_g = IR_a / E_a
\]

Where: \( IR_g = \) Gross irrigation requirements (mm/day), \( IR_a = \) Net irrigation requirement (mm/day) and \( E_a = \) Overall irrigation efficiency (%).

Therefore, total water applied with leaching requirement for Faba bean crop at drip irrigation system was 3360 m³/ha.

2.4. Tractor

Tractor used in this experiment was 90 hp at 2300 rpm 3192 CC MAHINDRA diesel engine model, 8000 4WD. Power take-off shaft 540-1000 rpm. Tractor weight 3249 kg. Tires front 24 x 11.5 and rear 34 x 16.9. The tractor has 12 forward speed and 12 reverse speed. The tractor pulled developed machine at fixed forward speed 3.6 km/h for all study treatments.

2.5. Crop Water Requirements

Crop water requirements calculated using the reference evapotranspiration (ETo) and the crop coefficients (Kc) by the following equation:

\[
ETc = ET_o * K_c
\]

Where; \( ET_c = \) Crop Evapotranspiration (mm/day), \( ET_o = \) Reference Evapotranspiration (mm/day) and \( K_c = \) Crop coefficients.

Represent the Reference Evapotranspiration (ETo) according to (Center Laboratory for Agricultural Climate), crop coefficients (Kc) for Faba bean according to Andreas P. (2002) [35] as shown in Table 3.

2.6. Measurements

2.6.1. Theoretical Field Capacity, Actual Field Capacity and Field Efficiency

Theoretical field capacity, actual field capacity and field efficiency were calculated by using equations mentioned by kepner et al. (1978) [36].

2.6.2. Fuel Consumption Rate

Fuel consumption per unit time was determined by measuring the volume of fuel consumed during operation time. It was measured using the fuel meter equipment as shown in Figure 12 the length of line which marked by the marker tool on the paper sheet represents the fuel consumption. The fuel meter was calibrated prior and the volume of fuel was determined accurately.

2.6.3. Pulling Force

Pulling force for machine was measured by hydraulic dynamometer, which was, coupled between two tractors with the attaching machine to estimate its draught force. A considerable number of readings taken at a time interval 10 seconds to obtain an accurate average of draught force.

2.6.4. Machine Efficiency for Laying Plastic Film and Drip Irrigation Pipes Under Soil Surface

The machine efficiency for laying plastic film and drip irrigation pipes under soil surface evaluated by taking randomly selected 1m of soil length after finished of laying plastic film and drip irrigation pipes under soil surface in many places from field as shown in Figure 13 and digs it for measuring average actual depth of plastic film and drip
irrigation pipe under the soil surface and average actual aspect ratio (width to height) of plastic film with the help of measuring scale and using the following experimental formula to calculate the efficiency:

$$\eta_m = \left(\frac{\text{Actual dimensions}}{\text{Theoretical dimensions}}\right) \times 100 \quad (4)$$

Where: $\eta_m$ = Machine efficiency for laying plastic film and drip irrigation pipe under soil surface, (%), $\text{Actual dimensions}$ = Average actual depth of plastic film under the soil, average actual subsurface drip irrigation pipe depth and average actual aspect ratio (width to height) of plastic film, (cm) and $\text{Theoretical dimensions}$ = Theoretical depth (20, 30 and 40) cm of plastic film under the soil surface, subsurface drip irrigation pipe depth (15 cm) and average actual aspect ratio (width to height) (20 cm: 10 cm, 30 cm: 10 cm and 40 cm: 10 cm) of plastic film.

2.6.5. Soil Moisture Content

Soil moisture content in 0-60 cm soil layers at 15 cm was measured using a TDR 300 soil moisture meter (time domain reflector meter).

2.6.6. Total Water Stored in the Effective Root Zone

Water stored in the root zone was determined according to James (1988) [37] as follows:

$$\text{TWS} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\theta_{fc} - \theta_{wp}}{100} \right) D_r \times \rho_b \quad (5)$$

Where: $\text{TWS}$ = Water stored in the root zone, (mm), $\theta_{fc}$ = Soil moisture content at field capacity, (%), $\theta_{wp}$ = Soil moisture content at permanent wilting point, (%), $D_r$ = Effective root depth, (mm), $\rho_b$ = Soil bulk density, (g/cm$^3$) for depth and $i$ = Number of soil layers (1-4).

2.6.7. Water Consumptive Use in Effective Root Zone

Water consumptive use by growing plants was calculated based on soil moisture depletion (SMD) according to Hansen et al. (1979) [38].
2.6.8. Water Efficiency and Productivity of Irrigation Water
(i). Water Stored Efficiency
Water stored efficiency was calculated according to Israelsen and Hansen (1962) [39] as follows:

\[ WAE = \left( \frac{TWS}{TWA} \right) \times 100 \]  

Where: WAE=Water stored efficiency (%), TWS=Total water stored in the effective root zone (m³/ha) and TWA=Total water applied (m³/ha).

(ii). Water Consumptive Use Efficiency
Water consumptive efficiency was calculated according to Israelsen and Hansen (1962) as follows:

\[ ECU = \left( \frac{TCU}{TWA} \right) \times 100 \]  

Where: ECU=Water consumptive efficiency (%), TCU=Total water consumptive use in the effective root zone (m³/ha) and TWA=Total water applied (m³/ha).

(iii). Productivity of Irrigation Water
Productivity of irrigation water (PIW) was calculated according to Ali et al. (2007) [40] as kg yield/m³ water applied.

\[ PIW = \frac{Y}{I} \]  

Where: Y=Faba bean crop yield (kg/ha) and I=Irrigation water applied m³/ha.

2.6.9. The Cost
(i). Cost Analysis and Economical Evaluation
The cost analysis was calculated according to Oida, (1997) [41]. It was performed in two steps. The first step was to calculate the cost of the materials and fabrication. The second step was to calculate the machine operating cost. In order to evaluate the financial viability of the machine, three parameters computed and analyzed. Also, a comparison between the manual operation cost and the mechanical parameters computed and analyzed. Also, a comparison between the manual operation cost and the mechanical operation cost is conducted. These costs include depreciation (D), annual capital interest taxes (I), housing and insurance cost (THI), repair and maintenance cost (R), fuel cost (F), lubrication cost (Lc), and labor cost (L).

\[ Tc = \left( \frac{D}{na} + (IHTD) + (R) + (F) + (Lc) + (L) \right) na \]  

Where: Tc=Total cost for study machine and tractor (L.E/h) and na=Annual working hours=500 (h/year).

\[ Tc = \left( \frac{(PC_{m})}{(Y)} \right) \times \left( \frac{(PC_{s})}{(Y \times 100)} \right) \times \left( \frac{1.02 P_{d}}{1} \right) \times \left( \frac{P_{f}}{(L_{c})} \right) \times (LC) \times (Ni \times L \times n) na \]  

Where: Pe=Machine manufacturing price or tractor price (L. E), Sv=Salvage value=5% from the machine manufacturing price or tractor (L. E), Y=Machine age=5 years for machine and 10 years for tractor, i=Interest rate=14%, rc=Coefficient of repair and maintenance=1 for tractor, 0.6 for the machine, fc=Actual fuel consumption=measured (l/h), fp=Fuel price=6.75 (L. E)=for diesel fuel, Lc=Lubrication cost=14% of fuel cost, Ni=Number of labors=Mulching crew (one labor), L=Labor cost=120 L.E/day, day (7 hours) (L.E/h), n=Annual working days=(500/7) and na=Annual working hours=500, h/year.

Also, the cost was calculated for laying plastic film and drip irrigation pipes under soil surface traditionally. This traditional method includes using a traditional digging machine to dig the soil to the required depths after that laying plastic film and drip irrigation pipes subsurface manually, then backfill these digs by using digging machine. The manual laying of plastic film and drip irrigation pipes under soil surface need seven workers, three workers for spreading the plastic film, one worker for filling plastic bags and put them on the top of the roll to prevent it from fly by wind and three workers to lay subsurface drip irrigation pipes. This process needs about an average of 21 hours/hectare (7 hours work in day) this means that the one hectare needs three days' work.

(ii). Total Cost Per Unit Area
Total cost per unit area was determined as follows:

\[ TCA = \frac{C}{AFC} \]  

Where: TCA=Total cost per unit area (L.E/ha), AFC=Actual field capacity (ha/h) and C=Hourly cost (L.E/h).

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Actual Field Capacity and Field Efficiency
The Figure 14 showed that performance evaluation of developed machine by measuring actual field capacity AFC and field efficiency FE. Both of AFC and FE of machine increased by the seam percentage about of 5% when carried out ridges cultivation system compared to flat soil. Also increasing both of AFC and FE by the seam percentages about of 3% and 6% when decreasing aspect ratio from 4:1 to 3:1 and 2:1 respectively. On the other hand, AFC and FE increased by the seam percentages about of 3% and 7% when plastic laying depth decreasing from 40cm to 30cm and 20 cm respectively. This may be due to the fact that, the laying plastic film at big aspect ratio and high laying depth caused decreasing in the distance covered by the machine per unit time as a result, AFC and FE decreased. In general, the highest values of AFC and FE of machine were 0.194 ha/h and 90% respectively, at treatment ridge system, aspect ratio 2:1 and 20cm plastic laying depth (R-A1-D1). While the lowest values of AFC and FE of machine were 0.166 ha/h and 77% respectively, at treatment flat soil, aspect ratio 4:1 and 40cm plastic laying depth (F-A3-D3).
3.2. Pulling Force and Fuel Consumption

Figure 15 showed the significant effect of study treatments on pulling force PF and fuel consumption FC. Where PF and FC increased with flat system about of 33% and 32% respectively, compared to ridges system. This may be due to the fact that, when carried out the ridges system the board wing of machine was opened so that the soil which cumulative by machine exit in easy to build ridges which decreased soil resistance. But when carried out the flat soil system the board wing of machine was closed so that the soil which cumulative by machine moved with machine to levelling soil surface which increased soil resistance. The aspect ratio of 4:1 caused increasing of PF about of 11% and 24% and FC about of 10% and 22% compared to 3:1 and 2:1 respectively. This may be due to the fact that, when aspect ratio increases the width of plastic membrane increased which lead to increasing stored water. Plastic laying depth of 40cm caused an increasing of TWS about of 8% and 14% and WCU respectively, at treatment flat soil system, aspect ratio 4:1 and 40cm plastic laying depth (F-A3-D3). While the lowest values of PF and FC were 11 kN and 12 l/h respectively, at treatment ridges system, aspect ratio 2:1 and 20cm plastic laying depth (R-A1-D1).

3.3. Total Water Stored and Water Consumption Use

The results in Figure 16 showed that total water stored TWS and water consumption use WCU increased about of 8% and 9% respectively, when applying ridges system compared to flat soil system. This may be due to the fact that, in ridges system the machine gathers the soil and raised it to build ridges which characteristic of good aggregate to lead to increasing of water stored. But in flat soil the machine digs soil to buried plastic film then filling it and levelling soil surface which caused compaction the soil lead to decreasing water stored. Aspect ratio of 4:1 caused increasing of TWS about of 10% and 21% and WCU about of 13% and 26%, compared to 3:1 and 2:1 respectively. This may be due to the fact that, when aspect ratio increases the width of plastic membrane increased which lead to increasing stored water. Plastic laying depth of 40cm caused an increasing of TWS about of 8% and 14% and WCU.
about of 9% and 17% compared to 30cm and 20cm respectively. This may be due to the fact that, when plastic laying depth increases the soil volume between plastic membrane and soil surface increased which lead to increasing stored water. In general, TWS and WCU increased about of 49% and 53% respectively, when using plastic membrane compared to without using plastic membrane. The best treatment which achieved the highest values of TWS and WCU about of 2968 m$^3$/ha and 2434 m$^3$/ha respectively, was ridges system, aspect ratio 4:1 and 40cm plastic laying depth R-A3-D3. While the lowest values of TWS and WCU about of 2017 m$^3$/ha and 1489 m$^3$/ha respectively, was flat soil, aspect ratio 2:1 and 20cm plastic laying depth F-A1-D1.

3.4. Water Stored Efficiency and Water Consumption Use Efficiency

The study treatments had significant effect on water stored efficiency WSE and water consumption efficiency WCE as shown in Figure 17. The WSE and WCE increased about of 8% and 9% respectively, when applying ridges system compared to flat soil system. Aspect ratio of 4:1 caused increasing of WSE about of 10% and 21% and WCE about of 13% and 26% compared to 3:1 and 2:1 respectively. Plastic laying depth of 40cm caused an increasing of WSE about of 8% and 14% and WCE about of 9% and 17% compared to 30cm and 20cm respectively. In general, TWS and WCU increased about of 49% and 53% respectively, when using plastic membrane compared to without using plastic membrane. The best treatment which achieved the highest values of WSE and WCE about of 88% and 72% respectively, was ridges system, aspect ratio 4:1 and 40cm plastic laying depth R-A3-D3. While the lowest values of WSE and WCE about of 60% and 44% respectively, was flat soil, aspect ratio 2:1 and 20cm plastic laying depth F-A1-D1.

3.5. Faba Bean Grain Yield and Productivity of Irrigation Water

Figure 18 showed that Faba bean grain yield FGY and productivity of irrigation water PIW increased by the seam percentage about of 7% for ridges system compared to flat soil system. Also, FGY and PIW increased by the seam percentage, about of 7% when aspect ratio increased from 2:1 to 3:1 and increased by the seam percentage, about of 14%
when aspect ratio increased from 2:1 to 4:1. Data showed that when plastic laying depth increased from 20cm to 30cm FGY and PIW increased by the seam percentage, about of 5% and increased by the seam percentage, about of 11% when plastic laying depth increased from 20cm to 40cm. Applying SWRT system caused increasing of FGY and PIW by the seam percentage, about of 50% compared to no applying SWRT system. The best treatment which achieved the highest values of FGY and PIW about of 4.768 Mg/ha and 1.42 kg/m$^3$ respectively, was ridges system, aspect ratio 4:1 and 40cm plastic laying depth R-A3-D3. While the lowest values of FGY and PIW about of 3.476 Mg/ha and 1.03 kg/m$^3$ respectively, was flat soil, aspect ratio 2:1 and 20cm plastic laying depth F-A1-D1.

3.6. Total Cost

Figure 19 showed that the cost of carried out SWRT system with flat soil increased about of 8% compared to the ridges. When aspect ratio increased from 2:1 to 3:1 the cost increased about of 4% and increased about of 7% when aspect ratio increased from 2:1 to 4:1. The cost increased about of 4% and 8% when increasing plastic laying depth from 20cm to 30cm and 40cm respectively. Installing SWRT system by developed machine decreased the total cost about of 74% compared of traditional method. The best treatment which achieved the lowest cost about of 1030 L.E/ha was using developed machine at ridge system, 2:1 aspect ratio and 20cm plastic laying depth (R-A1-D1). But the highest cost about of 1279 L.E/ha was using developed machine at flat soil, 4:1 aspect ratio and 40cm plastic laying depth (F-A3-D3).

3.7. Machine Efficiency for Laying Plastic Film and Drip Irrigation Pipes Under Soil Surface

Figure 20 presented the efficiency of machine for laying plastic film which expressed about it by 92% depth of plastic film efficiency, 95% width of plastic film efficiency and 91% height of plastic film efficiency. Also, the efficiency of machine for laying irrigation pipes was 96%.

4. Conclusion

Increasing water productivity is a major goal in modern
agriculture and accomplishes to maintain food security and agriculture sustainability. So that using the subsurface water retention technology (SWRT) achieves this goal. But carry out this technology is very difficult. Therefore, the developed machine was manufactured and evaluated by field experiment which, concluded as the following:

1. The ability of machine to implement SWRT system under two cultivation methods (ridges and flat soil).
2. The ability of machine to install plastic membrane at different depths below soil surface and different aspect ratio.
3. The ability of machine to lay subsurface drip irrigation pipes with installing plastic membrane in seam time which, saved the effort, time and cost.
4. The cost of carried out SWRT system by developed machine decreased about of 74% compared to traditional method.
5. The best treatment from field experiment which, achieved the highest total water stored, water consumptive use and Faba bean grain yield about of 49%, 53% and 50% was installing plastic membrane at ridges cultivated system, aspect ratio 4:1 and 40 cm plastic laying depth compared to no installing plastic membrane.
6. Finally, it is suggested that using SWRT membrane is a scientific method to improve condition of sandy soils and arid areas.

Recommendations

For further studies in the same topic the following recommendations were suggested:

1. Study the possibility of utilizing SWRT in strategic crops for example wheat, barley and rice in order to increase the national product and support of agriculture in Egypt.
2. Study the possibility of using SWRT to rain water harvesting in arid areas especially in the northwest coast in Egypt.
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