Mechanistic insights on the non-innocent role of electron donors: reversible photocapture of CO$_2$ by Ru$^{II}$-polypyrrolyl complexes†
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The ability of [Ru$^{II}$(Butpy)(dmbpy)(MeCN)]$^{2+}$ (1-MeCN) to capture CO$_2$, with the assistance of triethanolamine (TEOA), has been assessed under photocatalytically-relevant conditions. The photolability of 1-MeCN has proven essential to generate a series of intermediates which only differ by the nature of their monodentate ligand. In DMF, ligand photoexchange of 1-MeCN to give [Ru$^{II}$(Butpy)(dmbpy)(DMF)]$^{2+}$ (1-DMF) proceeds smoothly with a quantum yield of 0.011. However, in the presence of TEOA, this process was disrupted, leading to the formation of a mixture of 1-DMF and [Ru$^{II}$(Butpy)(dmbpy)(TEOA)]$^{+}$ (1-TEOA). An equilibrium constant of 3 was determined. Interestingly, 1-TEOA demonstrated an ability to reversibly catch and release CO$_2$, making it a potentially crucial intermediate towards CO$_2$ reduction.

Introduction

The increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration has led many research groups to take an active interest in remediation solutions. Whether to convert CO$_2$ into renewable fuels or to use it as an alternative C1-feedstock in the synthesis of high added-value molecules, different catalytic approaches have been proposed.$^{1-4}$ Among them, artificial photosynthesis has allowed a wide number of transition metal-based electron- and/or photocatalysts to emerge. In particular, Re(i)-diimine$^{5-7}$ and Ru(ii)-polypyridyl$^{8-10}$ complexes have been shown to display remarkable efficiency and selectivity. Whereas the reduction of CO$_2$ assisted by Re complexes usually leads to the formation of carbon monoxide (CO) under a wide range of conditions, Ru-based catalysts are more sensitive to the reaction conditions, generating either CO, formic acid (HCOOH) or mixtures of these two-electron reduction products of CO$_2$. Significant efforts have been made to better understand the mechanisms involved in these families of catalysts and have recently been compiled into a comprehensive review.$^{11}$

Most of the studies that have been interested in understanding the catalytic mechanisms involved in CO$_2$ reduction by polypyridyl ruthenium(ii) complexes have been focusing on the electrocatalytic approach.$^{10,12-20}$ While the exact nature of the reaction intermediates responsible for the formation of CO and HCOOH has not yet reached consensus, two key species have been invoked: a η$_1$-CO$_2$ adduct and a hydride complex.$^{11,12,21-23}$ However, the characteristic times involved in photo-induced electronic transfer processes are singularly different from those involved in heterogeneous electron transfers at the electrode interface. In addition, the nature of the photocatalytic reaction mixture is different from the electrocatalytic mixture (absence of a supporting electrolyte or use of large concentration of sacrificial electron donors) to potentially induce significant differences in the mechanistic pathway followed by the catalyst. In that respect, the involvement of adducts generated by the interaction between unreduced Re(i)- or Ru(ii)-complexes and sacrificial electron donors based on aliphatic amines, such as triethylamine (TEA) or triethanolamine (TEOA), has been previously evidenced.$^{24-26}$

In this study, we describe the synthesis and full spectroscopic characterization of the complex [Ru$^{II}$(Butpy)(dmbpy)(MeCN)]$^{2+}$ (1-MeCN, Butpy = 4,4,4-tri-$^2$Butyl-2,2 : 6,2-terpyridine, dmbpy = 4,4-dimethyl-2,2-dipyridyl). Its ability to undergo ligand photoexchange reactions has been carefully scrutinized under suitable experimental conditions. Under visible light, the remarkable lability of the acetonitrile ligand allows the formation of three intermediates of crucial relevance in the early steps of the photocatalytic process. Amongst
Results and discussion

Synthesis

The complex [Ru^{II}([Butpy](dmbpy)(MeCN))]^{2+} (1-MeCN, Fig. 1) was synthesized through a typical stepwise procedure (detailed synthetic procedures are presented in the ESI).\textsuperscript{19} In a first step, [Ru^{II}([Butpy]Cl)] precursor was prepared by reacting the derivatized-terpyridine ligand with RuCl\textsubscript{3}·3H\textsubscript{2}O in EtOH. Further introduction of the bidentate ligand was then realized by heating both reactants in the presence of LiCl and NEt\textsubscript{3} in an EtOH/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture, to yield the chloride derivative, 1-Cl (Fig. 1). Substitution of the chloride ligand was finally achieved by refluxing the complex in a CH\textsubscript{3}CN/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture. 1D and 2D \(^1\)H-NMR spectra were recorded in poorly-coordinating deuterated acetone, which allowed an optimal dispersion of the well-resolved signals, thus facilitating complete assignment (Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI). Chloride ligand exchange by an acetonitrile molecule is easily monitored thanks to dramatic shielding of the signal associated with monodentate ligand-directed proton on the bipyridil derivative (from 10.14 ppm in 1-MeCN to 9.70 ppm in 1-Cl). ESI-MS spectrum confirmed the calculated mass-to-charge ratios of the expected molecular fragments. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of 1-MeCN and 1-Cl were recorded in acetonitrile, displaying typical features of ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes. In particular, intense absorption bands around 300 nm, attributed to ligand-centred \(\pi-\pi^*\) transitions and a broad metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transition in the visible region were observed.

Electrochemical properties

The electrochemical behaviour of 1-MeCN and its chloride counterpart 1-Cl were assessed by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) in acetonitrile under inert atmosphere (Fig. 2, Fig. S3 and S4 in the ESI).\textsuperscript{19} The use of acetonitrile solutions as electrolytes allowed direct comparison with literature data. In the anodic domain, CVs feature a quasi-reversible, one-electron electrochemical process assigned to the Ru^{II/III} couple. The potential of this couple is strongly reversible, one-electron electrochemical process assigned to the Ru^{II/III} couple. The potential of this couple is strongly reversible, one-electron electrochemical process assigned to the Ru^{II/III} couple. The potential of this couple is strongly reversible, one-electron electrochemical process assigned to the Ru^{II/III} couple.

Fig. 1 Molecular structures of 1-Cl and 1-MeCN.

Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammograms at 50 mV s\(^{-1}\) of 0.75 mM solution of 1-Cl (red trace) and 1-MeCN (black trace) recorded in MeCN (containing 0.1 M n-Bu4NPF\textsubscript{6} as the supporting electrolyte) under an inert atmosphere. Setup: glassy carbon working electrode, Pt counter-electrode and Ag/AgNO\textsubscript{3} reference electrode.

These results suggest Ru–Cl bond labilization induced by one-electron reduction. The similarity of the potentials associated with the second reduction process in 1-Cl and 1-MeCN is a strong evidence in favour of MeCN coordination upon further applied negative bias, the only two-electron

Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammograms at 50 mV s\(^{-1}\) of 0.75 mM solution of 1-Cl (red trace) and 1-MeCN (black trace) recorded in MeCN (containing 0.1 M n-Bu4NPF\textsubscript{6} as the supporting electrolyte) under an inert atmosphere. Setup: glassy carbon working electrode, Pt counter-electrode and Ag/AgNO\textsubscript{3} reference electrode.

Fig. 1 Molecular structures of 1-Cl and 1-MeCN.
shift of the 1MLCT band from 459 nm to 494 nm (Fig. 3A).

**Photolability investigations**

Ishitani and coworkers have opened new perspectives in the understanding of the mechanism of photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction by demonstrating that constituents of the typical three-components photocatalytic system could have a role exceeding their original purpose. In particular, the tertiary amine TEOA has been shown to displace the acetonitrile ligand of $[\text{Re}^{II}(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3(\text{MeCN})]$ or to react with $[\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{dmppy})_2(\text{CO})_2]^{2+}$ to form stable adducts, even in the absence of any photo- and/or electro-activation.\textsuperscript{25,26} Blue-light irradiation of $[\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{tpy})(\text{bpy})(\text{DMF})]^{2+}$ in THF in the presence of triethylamine (TEA), on the other hand, induce the formation of $[\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{tpy})(\text{bpy})\text{H}]^{+}$ through a light-elusive TEA-bearing intermediate, $[\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{tpy})(\text{bpy})(\text{TEA})]^{2+}$.\textsuperscript{24} Building on this knowledge, it therefore appeared important to pay a special attention to the nature of the molecular species that could be generated when 1-MeCN was irradiated in the presence of sacrificial electron donors. Due to its relevance in photo-driven CO$_2$ reduction, DMF/TEOA (5:1) mixture was selected as the medium.\textsuperscript{25,29}

**Photochemical ligand substitution.** In the absence of any other partners, irradiation at 466 nm of an Ar-degassed DMF solution containing 1-MeCN (20 µM) led to a significant red shift of the 1MLCT band from 459 nm to 494 nm (Fig. 3A). Clear isosbestic points are identified at 470 nm and 392 nm, indicating that no secondary reactions occur over the course of the measurement. Such behaviour is a common feature from this family of compounds and can be attributed to quantitative photochemical substitution of the acetonitrile ligand by a DMF molecule, thus affording $[\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{tpy})(\text{bpy})(\text{DMF})]^{2+}$ (1-DMF).\textsuperscript{24,30} Plotting absorption change at 459 nm and 494 nm as a function of time allowed gaining some kinetics-related information on the ligand exchange process. In particular, a reaction observed half-time of 161 s was determined under the irradiation conditions (9.42 W, 466 nm LED). In good agreement with similar processes on related polypyridyl-based ruthenium(II) complexes, a quantum yield of 0.011 was calculated (Fig. S7 and S8 and related comments in the ESI).\textsuperscript{10–12} Interestingly, the UV-vis spectrum of an irradiated solution kept in the dark for 8 h does not undergo any further change, highlighting the stability of the DMF-bearing complex. In CO$_2$-saturated solution, ligand photosubstitution occurred similarly, with reaction observed half-time (169 s) and quantum yield ($\Phi = 0.010$) being mostly unaffected by the presence of CO$_2$. This process was further investigated using $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy in deuterated DMF. Spectra of 1-MeCN recorded over the course of irradiation showed quantitative conversion of the signals associated with the starting material to those of the DMF-containing complex (Fig. S9, ESI). Polypyridyl protons were typically shifted upfield, from 0.05 ppm for the terpyridine-associated signals to 0.2 ppm for the bipyridine-related ones. When monitoring the electrochemical properties of the system under continuous irradiation, it appeared that MeCN photosubstitution by DMF induced a significant shift of the Ru$^{II/III}$ couple oxidation potential towards more negative potentials (250 mV). Such behaviour is in good agreement with the more donating properties of DMF ligand compared to MeCN and the metal-centred nature of this electrochemical process. In comparison, the ligand-based reduction remained broadly unaffected (Fig. S10, ESI).

A significantly different behaviour is observed when irradiation is performed on an Ar-saturated DMF/TEOA (5:1) solution containing 1-MeCN (20 µM). In addition to the previously characterized spectral features associated with the formation of the DMF-bearing complex, a new broad transition centred at 610 nm built up. The appearance of this new absorption band is clearly related to the concomitant formation of new species under irradiation and prevents the observation of clear isosbestic points (Fig. 3B). In order to clarify whether one or multiple new complexes are generated
under these conditions, this process was followed by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S11, ESI†). Spectra recorded in deuterated DMF displayed the simultaneous appearance of the previously identified signals of 1-DMF and a new set of signals, assigned to the formation of the complex [Ru$^{III}$([Butpy](dmbpy))(TEOA)]$^+$ (1-TEOA). After about 20 minutes of irradiation, the system reaches a steady-state.

While the initially introduced 1-MeCN has been fully converted, the proportion of the two resulting photoproducts (1-DMF and 1-TEOA) no longer evolves. Interestingly, this equilibrium between the two complexes 1-DMF and 1-TEOA was also observed in the dark (Fig. S12, ESI†), with the steady-state reached in 2 to 3 h. This dark process thus appears to be a relevant phenomenon on the timescale of typical photocatalytic experiments. By adding increasing amounts of TEOA to freshly prepared solutions of photogenerated 1-DMF in deuterated DMF, the equilibrium constant for the dark equilibrium between 1-DMF and 1-TEOA was determined to be $K_{eq} = 3$ (Fig. S13, ESI†).

Knowing the proportion of the species involved in the final equilibrium, it was then possible to determine the UV-Vis spectrum of 1-TEOA (Fig. S14, ESI†). This is nicely corroborated by its TD-DFT (Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory) calculated spectrum (Fig. S14, ESI†) and further supported by the resulting UV-Vis spectrum obtained when an Ar-degassed MeCN/TEOA (5:1) solution containing 1-MeCN (20 µM) is irradiated (Fig. S15, ESI†). Under these conditions, where photogeneration of 1-DMF does not occur, the new absorption features are well matching with the calculated Ru-TEOA spectra. On this basis, derivation of the speciation of the mixture under LED irradiation was performed (Fig. 4 and Fig. S16–S18, ESI†).

Interestingly, when TEOA is replaced by TEA in the reaction mixture, the only detectable process occurring is the MeCN/DMF ligand photoexchange, the kinetics of which is almost identical to the system deprived of any amines (Fig. S19, ESI†). This result suggests that coordination of TEOA to the ruthenium(II) centre in 1-TEOA could preferentially occur through a hydroxyl group. Such coordination mode is expected to facilitate the formation of a CO$_2$ adduct (vide infra). It is however interesting to note that, in closely related complexes, photoinduced generation of triethylamine-bearing adducts was observed but in a significantly less-coordinating solvent (THF).25

Reversible CO$_2$ capture. Similarly to the previous experiments, a DMF/TEOA (5:1) solution containing 1-MeCN (20 µM), but this time saturated with CO$_2$, was irradiated at 466 nm. A rapid red shift of the $^1$MLCT band from 457 nm to 510 nm was observed, although no distinct isosbestic point emerged (Fig. S20, ESI†). No absorption arose around 610 nm, excluding the accumulation of any significant amount of 1-TEOA. Further investigations of this photo-induced process were then conducted using $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy in deuterated DMF. Spectra of 1-MeCN recorded over the course of irradiation showed quantitative conversion of the signals associated with the starting material to those of a new species within 30 minutes (Fig. S21, ESI†). Using $^{13}$C-labelled CO$_2$ as the substrate of the reaction allowed the use of $^{13}$C-NMR in order to provide more detailed information on the nature of the newly generated species. In the absence of $^1$H-decoupling, a triplet centred at 160.4 ppm (JC-H = 3.6 Hz) was observed (Fig. S22, ESI†), strongly suggesting the formation of a coordinated carbonate species. This result mirrors the situation described on Re-based CO$_2$ reduction catalysts where insertion of CO$_2$ to metal complexes bearing a TEOA ligand has been shown.25 It thus appears reasonable to propose the formation of a similar compound, 1-CO$_2$-TEOA (Fig. 5) under the experimental conditions explored in this study. TD-DFT calculated spectrum of 1-CO$_2$-TEOA was obtained (Fig. S23, ESI†): the absence of significant transitions in the 600–650 nm range further supports the CO$_2$ capture by 1-TEOA.

Taken together, these data support the existence of complex dark and photo-induced processes resulting in the formation of 1-CO$_2$-TEOA as an interesting intermediate under photocatalytically-relevant conditions (Fig. 5). It should also be noted that this capture process is completely reversible and can easily be repeated. By bubbling argon gas for 5 minutes in a solution of 1-CO$_2$-TEOA, the UV-vis spectral features associated to the equilibrated mixture of 1-DMF and 1-TEOA can be recoverable.

Fig. 4 Concentration profile of the three species 1-MeCN, 1-DMF, and 1-TEOA over the course of the photolysis experiment.

Fig. 5 Relevant intermediates involved in dark and photo-induced processes brought to light as early steps of the photocatalytic reduction of CO$_2$ by 1-MeCN.
Conclusions

The synthesis and full characterization of the complexes 1-Cl and 1-MeCN have been reported. A comprehensive study of the nature of the intermediates that can be generated in the first stages of photocatalytic experiments have been carried out. Ligand photo-labilization processes, associated with a complex equilibrium between multiple ligand-exchanged species, have been evidenced. In particular, the formation of an intermediate involving the coordination at the metal centre of TEOA, a commonly used sacrificial electron donor, has been demonstrated. Its ability to reversibly capture CO₂ is a remarkable feature, that makes it an attractive entry point into photocatalytic processes. This study further enlarges the scope of the previous works from Ishitani and co-workers, highlighting the dramatic role that the choice of solvent or sacrificial electron donor – often-considered as “minor partners” – may play under photocatalytically-relevant conditions.
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