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Abstract

We present new observations of the low-mass companion to HD 984 taken with the Gemini Planet Imager (GPI) as a part of the GPI Exoplanet Survey campaign. Images of HD 984 B were obtained in the H (1.50–1.80 μm) bands. Combined with archival epochs from 2012 and 2014, we fit the first orbit to the companion to find an 18 au (70-year) orbit with a 68% confidence interval between 14 and 28 au, an eccentricity of 0.18 with a 68% confidence interval between 0.05 and 0.47, and an inclination of 119° with a 68% confidence interval between 14° and 125°. To address the considerable spectral covariance in both spectra, we present a method of splitting the spectra into low and high frequencies to analyze the spectral structure at different spatial frequencies with the proper spectral noise correlation. Using the split spectra, we compare them to known spectral types using field brown dwarf and low-mass star spectra and find a best-fit match of a field gravity M6.5 ± 1.5 spectral type with a corresponding temperature of 2730±180 K. Photometry of the companion yields a luminosity of log(L/L⊙) = −2.88 ± 0.07 dex with DUSTY models. Mass estimates, again from DUSTY models, find an age-dependent mass of 34 ± 1 to 95 ± 4 MJup. These results are consistent with previous measurements of the object.

Key words: planetary systems – stars: individual (HD 984)
1. Introduction

The search for exoplanets through direct imaging has led to many serendipitous detections of brown dwarfs and low-mass stellar companions (e.g., Chauvin et al. 2005; Biller et al. 2010; Nielsen et al. 2012; Mawet et al. 2015; Konopacky et al. 2016). These surveys tend to target young bright stars whose potential companions would still be warm and bright in the infrared (e.g., Burrows et al. 1997). Brown dwarfs, having higher temperatures than planetary-mass companions of the same age, are significantly brighter and therefore easier to detect. While brown dwarf companions are not the primary focus of direct imaging searches, they are useful in their own right for a better understanding of substellar atmospheres and for comparing competing formation models (e.g., Boley & Durisen 2010; Perets & Kouwenhoven 2012; Bodenheimer et al. 2013).

Meshkat et al. (2015) reports the discovery of a bound low-mass companion to HD 984, a bright nearby (47.1 ± 1.4 pc) F7V star of mass \( \sim 1.2 M_{\odot} \) (van Leeuwen 2007; Meshkat et al. 2015) and a temperature of 6315 ± 89 K (White et al. 2007; Casagrande et al. 2011). With an age estimate of 30–200 Myr (115 ± 85 Myr at a 95% confidence level) derived from isochronal age, X-ray emission, and rotation (Meshkat et al. 2015) that is consistent with previous age estimates (Wright et al. 2004; Torres et al. 2008), HD 984 is ideal for direct imaging campaigns to search for young substellar objects.

The results presented in Meshkat et al. (2015) find HD 984 B at a separation of 0".19 ± 0".02 (9.0 ± 1.0 au) based on the \( L' \) observations with NaCo (Lenzen et al. 2003; Rouset et al. 2003) in 2012 July and the \( H + K \) band observations with SINFONI (Eisenhauer et al. 2003; Bonnet et al. 2004) in 2014 September. These two instruments are both mounted on UT4 on the Very Large Telescope at Cerro Paranal, Chile. Comparing the SINFONI spectrum to field brown dwarfs and low-mass star in the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) library, Meshkat et al. (2015) conclude the companion to be a M6.0 ± 0.5 object (Cushing et al. 2005; Rayner et al. 2009). The paper reports an estimated mass of 33–96 \( M_{\text{Jup}} \), which overlaps the brown dwarf and low-mass star regime. This mass range corresponds to a mass-ratio of \( q = 0.03–0.08 \). Meshkat et al. (2015) note that future observations in the \( J \) band could provide additional constraints on the surface gravity of the companion, which could help place the system in the lower or higher range of the age estimate of 115 ± 85 Myr.

Competing theories of companion formation rely upon observational studies to verify their models. Systems with low mass-ratios like HD 984 B are particularly interesting for understanding brown dwarf and low-mass companion formation. Kraus et al. (2011) find a flat mass-ratio distribution for solar type stars, which they state to be consistent with formation via fragmentation on small scales, but their sample does not extend below \( q = 0.1 \). Furthermore, the long baseline of observations of HD 984 B allows for better determination of the companion orbit. This is necessary for understanding formation scenarios, given their dependence on separation, as some suggest that disk instability is responsible for companion formation at large (\( \gtrsim 50 \) au) and protostellar core fragmentation at small separations (\( \lesssim 50 \) au) (e.g., Bodenheimer & Burkert 2001, p. 13; Boss 2001; Clarke 2009). Finally, characterizing young binary star systems like HD 984 is important for future observations with JWST and/or 30 m class telescopes that could discover lower mass exoplanets, which would provide insight into planet formation in binary systems.

The star HD 984 was observed as one of the targets of the Gemini Planet Imager Exoplanet Survey (GPIES, Macintosh et al. 2014b). This is an ongoing survey of over 600 nearby young stars with nearly 900 hr dedicated time on the 8 m Gemini South Telescope using the Gemini Planet Imager (GPI, Macintosh et al. 2014a), which is a coronagraphic adaptive optics integral field spectrograph and imaging polarimeter. Using near-infrared imaging spectroscopy (0.9–2.4 \( \mu m \)) and advanced imaging and post-processing techniques, GPI detects thermal emission from exoplanets and brown dwarfs at angular separations of 0".2–1"0 from their parent star.

We present new spectroscopic observations of HD 984 B at \( J (1.12–1.35 \mu m, R \sim 34) \) and \( H (1.50–1.80 \mu m, R \sim 45) \) bands with GPI, from which we derive the first orbit estimate and update luminosity, magnitude, and mass measurements. In Section 2 we describe the GPI observations. Basic reductions are explained in Section 3. Section 4 details the point-spread function (PSF) subtraction technique. Astrometry is discussed in Section 5, orbital fitting in Section 6, and spectral and photometric analyses are presented in Section 7. Finally, we conclude in Section 8.

2. Observations

The star HD 984 was observed with the GPI integral field spectrograph (IFS, Chilcote et al. 2012; Larkin et al. 2014) on 2015 August 30 UT during the GPIES campaign (program GPIES-2015B-01, Gemini observation ID: GS-2015B-Q-500-982) at Gemini South. The GPI IFS has a field of view (FOV) of 2.8 × 2.8 arcsec\(^2\) with a plate scale of 14.166 ± 0.007 milli-arcseconds/pixel and a position angle offset of 0°.10 ± 0°.13 (Macintosh et al. 2014a; De Rosa et al. 2015). Coronographic images were taken in spectral mode in the \( J \) and \( H \) bands. Observations were performed when the star was close to the meridian at an average airmass of 1.1 so as to maximize FOV rotation for angular differential imaging (ADI, Marois et al. 2006) and minimize the airmass during observations. Twenty-three exposures of 60 s of one coad each were taken in the \( H \) band, and 23 exposures, also of 60 s and one coadd, were followed up in the \( J \) band; the \( J \) band data was acquired with the \( H \)-band apodizer because of an apodizer wheel mechanical issue. Two \( H \)-band and five \( J \)-band exposures were rejected because the data quality was unusable. The total FOV rotation for \( H \) band was 15°2, and a total rotation of 11°9 was acquired with \( J \) band. The average DIMM seeing for \( H \) and \( J \)-band sequences was 1°14 and 0°82, respectively, which is higher than the median seeing of 0°65. The windspeed averages for \( H \) and \( J \) bands were 2.5 and 1.9 m s\(^{-1}\). Images for wavelength calibration were taken during daytime at zenith, and short-exposure arc images were acquired immediately before the sequences at the target elevation to correct for instrument flexure (Wolff et al. 2014).

3. Reductions

The images were reduced using the GPI Data Reduction Pipeline (Perrin et al. 2014) v1.3.0.\(^{32}\) Using primitives in the pipeline, we subtracted raw images, argon arc image comparisons were used to compensate for instrumental flexure (Wolff et al. 2014), the spectral data cube was extracted from the 2D

\(^{32}\) http://docs.planetimager.org/pipeline
images (Maire et al. 2014), bad pixels were interpolated in the cube, and distortion corrections were applied (Konopacky et al. 2014). A wavelength solution was obtained using arc lamp images taken during the day before data acquisition. Four satellite spots, PSF replicas of the star generated by the pupil-plane diffraction grating (Marois et al. 2006; Sivaramakrishnan & Oppenheimer 2006), were used to measure the location of the star behind the coronagraphic mask for image registration at a common center, and to calibrate the object flux to star flux (Wang et al. 2014).

4. PSF Subtraction

After the initial data reduction, the slices of each data cube, which were each flux normalized using the average maximum of a Gaussian fit on the four calibration spots, were spatially magnified to align diffraction-induced speckles using the pipeline-derived spot positions to determine the star position. The images were then unsharp masked using a $11 \times 11$ pixel kernel to remove the seeing halo and background flux, and were PSF subtracted using the algorithm TLOCI (template locally optimized combination of images; Marois et al. 2014). TLOCI uses a priori planet spectral information to optimize the least-squares-based subtraction algorithm to maximize planet detection when using data acquired with the simultaneous spectral differential imaging (SSDI, Racine et al. 1999; Marois et al. 2000) and the ADI (Marois et al. 2006) techniques. T8 and L0 templates created from the Brown Dwarf Spectroscopic Survey (McLean et al. 2003) were used for these reductions. The TLOCI pipeline was run in a 6-pixel ($85\text{ mas}$) width annulus of increasing size with an inner gap to avoid the coronagraph focal plane mask. The IDL invert.pro algorithm, which uses a Gaussian elimination method, was used to invert the correlation matrix with the single-value decomposition cutoff algorithm, which shows limited gains in the signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) for GPI data. Two annuli, one with a width of 5 pixels interior to the inner annuli of the subtraction zone, and another of a 10-pixel width exterior to the outer annuli of the subtraction zone, are used for the reference pixels to derive the correlation matrix (pixels in the subtraction zones are not included in the correlation matrix to prevent the algorithm from fitting the planet). When all the data cubes had been PSF subtracted, a final 2D image was obtained by performing a weighted-mean of the 37 slices, using the input template spectrum and image noise to maximize the $S/N$ of the object. Final images for $J$ and $H$ bands shown in Figure 1. While the initial discovery was obtained by performing both SSDI and ADI subtractions, only a less aggressive ADI-only subtraction (reference images are selected if they have less than

![Figure 1. HD 984 B GPI $H$ band (a and b) $J$ band (c and d) final 2D images, post TLOCI using only an ADI subtraction. Two contrast levels are shown to highlight the companion (left) and the image noise (right). North is up and east is left. Each image has a diameter of $2\arcsec.23.$](image-url)
Data from the 2012 and 2014 epochs are from Meshkat et al.

\[ \text{Figure 2. Orbits drawn from the posterior fit to the NaCo, SINFONI, and GPI epochs. The color in the left panel corresponds to the epoch that the companion reaches at a given location. The small square box in the left panel shows the range of the panel to the right. The resulting fit has a median 18 au (70-year) orbit, with a 68% confidence interval between 14 and 28 au, an eccentricity of 0.18 with a 68% confidence interval between 0.05 and 0.47, and an inclination of 119° with a 68% confidence interval between 114° and 125°.} \]

30% of the substellar object flux in a 1.5 \( \lambda / D \) diameter aperture centered at the object position was used for spectral and astrometric extractions to avoid spectral cross-talk bias.

### 5. Astrometry and Spectral Extraction

Using the TLOCI ADI-only subtracted final combined data cube, the flux and position of the companion were measured relative to the star. As TLOCI uses a training zone that differs from the subtraction zone, the companion is not fitted by the least-squares subtraction algorithm, thus removing one bias. To further take the self-subtraction bias for both spectral and astrometry extraction into account, the companion signal was fitted using a forward model derived from the median-average PSF of the four calibration spots for the entire sequence. For each slice of each data cube, a noiseless image was created with the calibration spot PSF at the approximate location of the companion. These simulated images were then processed using the same steps as for the science images to produce the companion forward model. The forward model was then iterated in flux and position to minimize the local residual post subtraction in a 1.5 \( \lambda / D \) circular aperture, where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength and \( D \) is the telescope diameter. Error bars were derived by adding and extracting the forward model flux at the same separation as the companion, but at nine different position angles. The standard deviation in flux and position of the nine simulated companions are adopted as the spectral and astrometric errors. A correction is applied to account for forward model errors. Instead of adding the simulated companions that have the same flux as the recovered flux, they are added after normalizing the companion signal by the ratio of the local residual noise inside a 1.5 \( \lambda / D \) aperture after the best subtraction of the forward model relative to the noise at the same angular separation calculated away from the companion.

In the \( H \) band, the separation was 216.3 \( \pm \) 1.0 mas, and in the \( J \) band it was 217.9 \( \pm \) 0.7 mas in the \( J \) band. The position angles for \( H \) and \( J \) bands were 83.3 \( \pm \) 0.3 and 83.6 \( \pm \) 0.2, respectively. The uncertainty is a combination of quadrature with plate scale, north angle error, and star position error (0.05 pixels, Wang et al. 2014). The astrometry is summarized alongside the previous measurements from Meshkat et al. (2015) in Table 1.

Since TLOCI does not directly yield the spectrum of the companion but rather the ratio of the companion to the spectrum of the primary star, the stellar spectra need to be divided out. This calibration uses the known stellar spectral shape to recover the true shape of the object spectra and was made using a custom IDL program and the Pickles stellar spectral library (Pickles 1998). First, spectra for the F5V and F8V models in \( J \) and \( H \) bands were degraded to GPI wavelength channels. The zero-points were computed with a Vega spectrum and the \( J \) and \( H \) filter transmission curves, and were used to calibrate the Pickles template to the \( J \) band.

\[ \text{Table 1} \]

| Band | Date         | Separation (mas) | PA (°)   | Instrument | Note |
|------|--------------|------------------|----------|------------|------|
| \( L' \) | 2012 July 18 | 190 \( \pm \) 20 | 108.8 \( \pm \) 3.0 | NaCo       | APP data |
| \( L' \) | 2012 July 20 | 208 \( \pm \) 23 | 108.9 \( \pm \) 3.1 | NaCo       | direct imaging |
| \( HK \) | 2014 Sep 9  | 201.6 \( \pm \) 0.4 | 92.2 \( \pm \) 0.5 | SINFONI   | ... |
| \( H \)  | 2015 Aug 29 | 216.3 \( \pm \) 1.0 | 83.3 \( \pm \) 0.3 | GPI        | ... |
| \( J \)  | 2015 Aug 29 | 217.9 \( \pm \) 0.7 | 83.6 \( \pm \) 0.2 | GPI        | ... |

Note. Data from the 2012 and 2014 epochs are from Meshkat et al. (2015).

---

\[ \text{http://www.stsci.edu/hst/observatory/crds/calspec.html} \]
and $H$ magnitudes of HD 984. For each band, the normalized F5V and F8V spectra were interpolated to compute a F7V since the Pickles library did not contain a model spectrum for an F7V star. Finally, the calibrated F7V model spectrum was multiplied by the planet-to-star spectra for each band extracted from the GPI data to obtain the final $J$- and $H$-band spectra of HD 984 B.

6. Orbital Fitting

We determined the orbital parameters consistent with the full astrometric record of HD 984 using the rejection-sampling method previously presented in De Rosa et al. (2015) and Rameau et al. (2016). Orbital parameters were drawn from priors that are uniform for eccentricity ($e$), argument of periastron ($\omega$), and epoch of periastron passage ($T_0$), and uniform in $\cos i$ for inclination angle. Semimajor axis ($a$) and position angle of the nodes ($\Omega$) are assigned initial values of $a = 1$ au and $\Omega = 0^\circ$. These values are then adjusted so that the orbit matches one of the epochs of data, which has the effect of imposing a prior that is uniform in position angle of nodes and uniform in $\log a$. Observational errors are taken into account by scaling to Gaussian distributions in separation and position angle, centered on the measurements from the reference epoch with the standard deviations of the Gaussians equal to the errors. The period is not fit independently but is instead derived from Kepler’s third law and the mass of the star of $1.2 M_\odot$. The fitting gives a median semimajor axis of 18 au (70-year) orbit, with a 68% confidence interval between 14 and 28 au and an eccentricity of 0.18 with a 68% confidence interval between 0.05 and 0.47 and inclination of 119$^\circ$ with a 68% confidence interval between 114$^\circ$ and 125$^\circ$. The highest-probability orbit has parameters of $a = 23.17$ au, $e = 1.74$, $i = 115^\circ.26$, $\omega = 49^\circ.92$, $\Omega = 208^\circ.48$, $T_0 = 2105.80$, and $P = 101.80$ years, with $\chi^2 = 9.80$. Fits to the orbit are shown in Figure 2 and posterior

Figure 3. Posterior probability distribution for the fit by our rejection-sampling method with six orbital parameters, and the period derived using Kepler’s third law and the mass of the star of 1.2 $M_\odot$. For the off-diagonal panels, 1 (red), 2 (blue), and 3 (green) $\sigma$ contours enclose 68.27%, 95.45%, and 99.70% of all orbital elements.
probability distributions and covariances of the orbital parameters are shown in Figure 3. Meshkat et al. (2015) do not perform an orbital fit in their analysis, but from their two epochs assume the system to have a non-zero inclination, which we have confirmed here.

7. Photometric and Spectroscopic Analysis

We first calculate the absolute magnitudes for HD 984 B by integrating the companion-to-star spectra and correcting for the GPI filter transmission profile and Vega zero-points (De Rosa et al. 2016). The J- and H-band apparent magnitudes were calculated to be $13.28 \pm 0.06$ and $12.60 \pm 0.05$, respectively. When the distance to the star is assumed to be $47.1 \pm 1.4\,\text{pc}$ (van Leeuwen 2007), the absolute magnitudes of the object in J and H bands are $9.92 \pm 0.09$ and $9.23 \pm 0.08$, respectively. The H-band magnitude is consistent with the H-band magnitude reported in Meshkat et al. (2015). A rudimentary spectral type can be ascertained using the J- and H-band magnitudes as compared to other brown dwarfs and low-mass stars via a color-magnitude diagram (see Figure 4). When compared with literature brown dwarfs and low-mass star from Dupuy & Liu (2012), these magnitudes further corroborate the result of Meshkat et al. (2015) of a late-M-type object.

For further characterization of the companion, we discuss the spectral analysis here. A more detailed analysis of the spectral type requires attention to spectral noise covariance, which arises from the coupling of neighboring wavelength channels in the spectra and is a result of the finite resolution of GPI. This type of correlation correction is necessary for proper error calculation. IFS instrument observations often produce spectral noise covariance (e.g., Greco & Brandt 2016), and GPI data cubes are also known to suffer from this effect, especially at small separations close to the focal plane mask. Before any comparisons to field objects can be made, this spectral noise covariance needs to be characterized to avoid biasing any analysis with improper error calculations. Given the high $S/N$ of detections, it may be possible to fit higher frequency structures (e.g., spectral lines) in the spectrum independently to the low-frequency envelope (the overall shape of the spectra). The noise characteristics, especially the spectral noise correlation, may differ with spectral frequencies, with the low frequencies mostly limited by highly correlated speckle noise slowly moving over the object as a function of wavelength, while higher frequencies could be mainly limited by read or background noises, thus being weakly correlated between wavelength channels.

The J- and H-band spectra of HD 984 B were split into low and high frequencies by taking the Fourier transform of the spectra (see Figure 5). Any frequencies between $-2$ and 2 cycles per bandwidth are considered low frequencies, and anything outside of that range is designated as high frequencies. This range was selected to incorporate the bulk of the flux in the low-frequency range. The high and low spatial frequency errors were propagated by taking the spectra at nine different position angles, splitting their high and low components, and taking the spread in the noise of each component as the error. The split high- and low-frequency spectra are shown in Figure 6. Testing the spectral noise correlation for the high-frequency spectra separately found correlations that only cover three wavelength channels for both bands, as expected from read and background noises (see Figure 7); this spectral correlation is consistent with the spectral oversampling of the GPI pipeline. The low-frequency spectra were still highly correlated to 15 and 8 wavelength channels for J and H bands, respectively (the correlation reached 50%).

Since the spectral channels at different wavelengths are correlated, each spectrum can be binned accordingly to avoid biasing the comparisons to the spectra of other objects. High-frequency spectra were binned by averaging three adjacent...
channels into twelve groups, with the last group averaging four
canals to incorporate the leftover channel. \textit{H}-band low-
frequency spectra were binned into two groups of 12 channels
each and one group of 13 channels, and \textit{J}-band low-frequency
spectra were binned into five groups, three of 7 channels each
and two of 8 channels each.

The extracted GPI spectra of HD 984 B, its low- and high-
frequency components, and the SINFONI \textit{K}-band spectrum
from Meshkat et al. (2015) were compared to a library of 1600
M-, L-, and T-dwarf near-infrared spectra compiled from the
SpeX Prism Library (Burgasser 2014), the IRTF Spectral
Library Cushing et al. (2005), the Montreal Spectral Library
(e.g., Gagné et al. 2015b; Robert et al. 2016), and the sample
of young ultracool dwarfs presented in Allers & Liu (2013). The
spectrum of each object was convolved with a Gaussian to
degrade it to the same resolution as the GPI data. For the
comparison with the low- and high-frequency components, the
library spectra were processed through the same Fourier
filtering and binning procedure as for the HD 984 B spectrum.
For the fits to the individual bands, the scaling factor between
the HD 984 B spectrum and each comparison object was found
analytically by evaluating the derivative of the \( \chi^2 \) equation
(e.g., Burgasser et al. 2016). The SINFONI \textit{K}-band spectrum
was fit using a similar procedure, with the spectrum of
HD 984 B and the comparison objects degraded to a resolution
of \( R \sim 120 \), similar to the resolution of the majority of the
objects within the spectral library. The SINFONI \textit{K}-band
spectrum was not processed through the same Fourier filtering
and binning steps as the GPI data.

The best fit to the three combined \textit{JHK} spectra—one each with
the unfiltered \textit{JH} spectrum, the low-frequency \textit{JH} spectrum
(\( \textit{JH}_{\text{low}} \)), and the high-frequency \textit{JH} spectrum
(\( \textit{JH}_{\text{high}} \))—was found
by minimizing the goodness-of-fit statistic \( G \) (Cushing et al.
2008), which is similar to the \( \chi^2 \) statistic but with each term of the
summation weighted proportionally to the bandwidth of each
channel within the spectrum (e.g., Burgasser et al. 2010; Gagné
et al. 2015a). This weighting was particularly important for the fit
to the \( \textit{JH}_{\text{low}} \) spectrum, which consisted of eight spectral channels,
compared to the 160 within the \textit{K}-band spectrum. For each fit we
used the same \textit{K}-band spectrum. Each band was fit with an
independent scale factor that accounts for uncertainties in the
absolute flux calibration of the GPI data (Maire et al. 2014),
the differences in flux calibration between the GPI and SINFONI
data, and for the spread in near-infrared colors seen for young
brown dwarfs (Faherty et al. 2016).

The goodness-of-fit statistic for each comparison object is
plotted as a function of spectral type for each fit for the
unfiltered spectrum and the low- and high-frequency compo-
nents in Figure 8. The spectral type of HD 984 B was
estimated by calculating the goodness-of-fit statistic (either
\( \chi^2 \) or \( G \)) of the spectrum of HD 984 B and a template spectrum
from the library created by averaging all objects of a given
spectral type. The weighted average of the spectral type of each
template was adopted as the spectral type, with the individual
weights drawn from the F-test probability distribution function
(e.g., Burgasser et al. 2010). A systematic uncertainty of one
subclass was assumed for all objects within the spectral library
and was incorporated into the uncertainty on the spectral types.

Figure 6. \textit{J}-band (a) \textit{H}-band (b) spectra split between low (dashed line) and high (solid line) frequencies. The solid dark lines show the original spectra.

Figure 7. Spectral correlation of the image noise as a function of wavelength channels for each filter. The low-frequency spectra (dashed lines) show a much higher covariance than the high-frequency spectra (solid lines).
Figure 8. Goodness-of-fit statistic for each object within the spectral library using the spectrum of HD 984 B before filtering (left column), the low-frequency component (middle column), and the high-frequency component (right column). The top three rows show the reduced $\chi^2$ for the fit to the $J$, $H$, and $K$ bands individually, while the bottom row shows the weighted goodness-of-fit statistic ($G$; Cushing et al. 2008) based on a fit to the full spectrum. The symbols denote the surface gravity classification of the comparison object: very low gravity ($\gamma/\delta$/VL-G; yellow star), intermediate gravity ($\beta$/INT-G; green diamond), field gravity ($\alpha$/FLD-G; red square). Objects without a surface gravity classification are plotted as semi-transparent blue circles. The vertical dot-dashed and dashed lines denote the mean and the uncertainty of the spectral type of HD 984 B calculated for each fit.

The spectral types estimated from the fit to the $JHK$ and the $JH_{\text{low}}K$ spectra are consistent: both are M6.5 ± 1.5 when rounded to the nearest half-subtype, suggesting that the covariance between neighboring wavelength channels within the GPI data did not strongly bias the fit. While the spectral type from the fit to the $JH_{\text{high}}K$ spectrum was also consistent at M7 ± 2, this was almost entirely driven by the $K$ band fit as neither the $J$ nor $H$ band GPI spectra of HD 984 exhibited strong spectral features, as demonstrated by the very large uncertainty on the spectral type from the $JH_{\text{high}}K$ fit (L4 ± 6). While the high-frequency spectrum did not help constrain the spectral type of HD 984 B, a similar analysis may prove more useful for $K$-band spectra, which cover the CO band heads at 2.29 and 2.32 $\mu$m (e.g., Konopacky et al. 2013).

The five objects within the spectral library that best fit the unfiltered $J$- and $H$-band spectra of HD 984 B are shown in Figure 9, and they are consistent with the spectral type estimate from each band given in Figure 8. For the $J$-band fit, two of the objects are known members of young moving groups: DENIS J004135.3-562112 (M7.5/β, Tucana–Horologium 20–40 Myr; Reiners & Basri 2009) and 2MASSI J0019262+461407 (M8/β, AB Doradus 30–50 Myr; Schlieder et al. 2012). Additionally, 2MASS J20491972–1944324 was listed by Gagné et al. (2014) as having indications of youth based on both a red color and fits to model atmospheres. For the $H$-band fit, the best-fit object has no indication of low-surface gravity (Gagné et al. 2015b), and of the five best fits, only one is a candidate member of a young moving group, 2MASS J12271545–0636458 (M8.5/β, TWA 5–15 Myr; Gagné et al. 2015b). The five best-fit objects to the $JHK$ spectrum are shown in Figure 10. The best-fit object was 2MASS J0019262+461407 (M8/β), a likely member of the AB Doradus moving group (Schlieder et al. 2012), consistent with the 115 ± 85 Myr age estimate for HD 984 (Meshkat et al. 2015). Of the remaining four, two are classified as having low surface gravity and are most likely members of the Tucana–Horologium (2MASS J22551153–6811216, M5γ) and Columba (2MASS J05123569–3041067, M6.5γ) moving groups (Gagné et al. 2015b), one has intermediate surface gravity and is a likely member of the AB Doradus moving group (SIPS J2039–1126, M7/β, Gagné et al. 2015b), and one...
Figure 9. GPI J-band (left panel) and H-band (right) spectra of HD 984 B (black points) plotted with the spectra of the five best-fit objects found for each band degraded to the resolution of GPI. The name, spectral type, and reference for the spectra are given in the legend (B04: Burgasser et al. 2004, BG14: Bardalez Gagliuffi et al. 2014, G15: Gagné et al. 2015a, K10: Kirkpatrick et al. 2010). When we consider only the J band, the spectral type of the five best-fit objects ranges between M7.5 and M8, with two having indications of a surface gravity lower than field objects. For the H band, the best-fit objects span a wider range of spectral types, M7 to L0, with two having intermediate surface gravity classification.

Figure 10. GPI JH (black points) and SINFONI HK (blue curve; Meshkat et al. 2015) spectra of HD 984 B compared with the five objects that best fit the unfiltered JHK spectrum ordered by ascending spectral type. We also plot the spectrum of SERC 296A, which is the best fit to the SINFONI HK spectrum identified by Meshkat et al. (2015). The JHK spectrum of each of the six comparison objects was multiplied by the scale factor, which minimized the $\chi^2$ between the comparison object and the GPI spectrum of HD 984 B at H band. As the individual bands were allowed to float independently during the fitting procedure, the GPI J-band and SINFONI K-band spectra of HD 984 B were scaled to minimize the $\chi^2$ within each band. The SINFONI H-band spectrum was scaled by the same factor as the K-band spectrum. The cause of the difference between the GPI and SINFONI H-band spectra at the shortest wavelengths is not yet known. No additional scaling was performed on any band of the comparison object spectra. Comparison spectra were obtained from a number of sources (A13: Allers & Liu 2013, G15: Gagné et al. 2015a).
Figure 11. DUSTY luminosity models for 0.01 Gyr to 0.5 Gyr plotted with HD 984 B data. The luminosities for J- and H-band data were derived through interpolating the models at 30 and 200 Myr. Isochrone models shown were selected to encompass the age range of HD 984 B and are color-coded by age, with blue showing older models and red younger models. J-band models are shown by dashed and H-band models by solid lines. HD 984 B is shown by black points for an age of 0.03 Gyr and open points for 0.2 Gyr. Circles represent the H band and squares the J band.

has a field surface gravity classification (LP 759-17, M7α; Gagné et al. 2015b).

Based on the spectral fitting alone, no conclusive result in terms of the surface gravity classification (e.g., Cruz et al. 2009) for HD 984 B is possible. While the global minima of G for both the JHK series and JHKα,κ spectra are populated by field- or intermediate-gravity objects, the low-gravity objects appear to form a sequence that is displaced from field-gravity objects that are hotter by approximately one to two spectral subtypes. Performing the same spectral typing procedure outlined previously on the JHK spectrum of HD 984 B using the subset of field-gravity objects (gravity classification of α or FLD-G), we obtain a spectral type of M7 ± 1, compared with M6 ± 1 when using the low-gravity subset (γ/δ/VL-G). This effect is most pronounced for the H band, where surface gravity has a significant effect on spectral morphology, with the same analysis yielding a spectral type of M8.5 ± 1.5 using field-gravity objects and of M6.5 ± 1.0 using low-gravity objects. As the difference between the minimum goodness-of-fit statistics of the two subsets is small (G = 0.70 and G = 0.81 respectively), it is not possible to assign either field- or low surface gravity classification to HD 984 B based on this analysis.

Photometric and other spectral indicators can also be used to classify the gravity of late-M to early-L-type objects (Allers & Liu 2013; Filippazzo et al. 2015). We test the H-cont index described in Allers & Liu (2013), which uses the blue-end slope of the H-band continuum. A higher index (≈1.0) indicates a straighter slope, which is seen in lower gravity objects. HD 984 B, when compared to other objects, has a comparable H-cont index (0.95 ± 0.01) for its determined spectral type, and there is no indication it is of low gravity. Furthermore, comparisons of the J band magnitude of HD 984 B versus spectral type (see Filippazzo et al. 2015) is consistent with objects from the BDNYC catalog (https://zenodo.org/record/45169#.WNIYWtlrJhE) and does not show low-gravity signatures. Similarly, a color-magnitude diagram of J versus J − K magnitudes shows HD 984 B at a similar location as other late-M types, instead of being shifted redder, which would indicate low gravity. From these indicators, there is no conclusive evidence showing HD 985 B has low surface gravity, which suggests that the true age for the system may be at the high end of the age estimate of 115 ± 85 Myr (Meshkat et al. 2015).

We note a significant difference between the GPI and SINFONI spectra toward the blue end of the H band. The cause of this difference is unknown, but it may arise from differences in data processing and speckle subtraction artifacts. A complete analysis of these differences is beyond the scope of this paper.

In addition to spectral type matching, the photometry can also be analyzed to determine the luminosity, temperature, and mass of the object. These calculations from the new GPI data can be used both to compare to the earlier SINFONI estimates of luminosity, temperature, and mass, and to provide better estimates with the additional bands. Since Meshkat et al. (2015) find no significant difference between evolutionary models, we use DUSTY isochrone models (Chabrier et al. 2000). As these models are highly dependent on age since low-mass objects slowly cool with time, it is necessary to have an accurate age estimate. Using the detailed analysis from Meshkat et al. (2015), who reported a comprehensive age estimate, we adopt the same age range, 30–200 Myr, for HD 984 B. Taking the DUSTY isochrone models, which provide tables of the luminosity and magnitudes for a range of planet masses at particular ages, we first interpolate between model ages to generate new finer grid tables for 10–500 Myr. This is then used to derive the predicted luminosities at 30 and 200 Myr that correspond to the measured values of J and H. Luminosity and mass uncertainties are propagated from uncertainties in the absolute magnitudes. Although the age of the system is inconsequential when computing luminosity (see Figure 11), it is highly influential when estimating mass (see Figure 12). The luminosity, accounting for the age range and both bands, is $\log(L_{bol}/L_\odot) = -2.88 \pm 0.07$ dex, in agreement with Meshkat et al. (2015). We use the same technique to determine the mass, and we find that the H-band absolute magnitude corresponds to a range of masses from $39 \pm 2 M_{\text{Jup}}$ at 30 Myr to $94 \pm 4 M_{\text{Jup}}$ at 200 Myr. The J band yields masses of $34 \pm 1 M_{\text{Jup}}$ and $84 \pm 4 M_{\text{Jup}}$ for the same ages. A temperature analysis, conducted in the same manner as for the luminosity models and using the same DUSTY models, found object temperatures of $2458 \pm 32$ K to $2800 \pm 37$ K for J band over the same age range. The H-band magnitude gives temperatures of $2545 \pm 28$ K to $2896 \pm 31$ K. The low photometric uncertainties correspond to the low quoted temperature errors, but much larger systematic errors are probably present as a result of model uncertainties. Using the spectral type estimated previously (M6.5 ± 1.5) and the spectral type-to-temperature conversion from Stephens et al. (2009), we derive an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 2730_{-120}^{+180}$ K, which is consistent with the results of Meshkat et al. (2015) and intermediate to the temperature estimates from the J- and H-band absolute magnitudes.

The extensive spectral covariance prevents a more rigorous characterization estimation through spectroscopy. Instead, we further empirically compare HD 984 B to other objects fitted by color, which are independent of models. For this, we use field objects from Filippazzo et al. (2015). Using the best-match spectrum type for HD 984 B, M6.5, we estimate $L_{bol} = -3.1_{-0.2}^{+0.1}$.
8. Conclusion

Our new observations of HD 984 B with the GPI have built upon the results presented by Meshkat et al. (2015) and provided a more comprehensive understanding of this young substellar companion. Here we summarize the characterization we have achieved in our analysis. All numerical results can be found in Table 2.

With a three-year baseline between the first-epoch astrometry of Meshkat et al. (2015) and the new GPI astrometry presented in this work, we derive the first constraints on all of the orbital parameters for this system using a rejection-sampling technique. Continued astrometric monitoring of HD 984 B with GPI will help to further constrain these orbital parameters and reduce the effects of any systematic biases between measurements obtained from different instruments.

The GPI observations were also used to investigate the photometric and spectroscopic properties of HD 984 B, which were compared to predictions of evolutionary models and to other substellar objects spanning a range of spectral types and ages. From the integrated J- and H-band spectra and knowing the distance to the object, we measured an absolute magnitude that was used with DUSTY evolutionary models to derive a luminosity, mass, and temperature.

Complementing our GPI JH spectrum with the SINFONI K-band spectrum presented in Meshkat et al. (2015), we estimated the spectral type of HD 984 B from spectral templates constructed from a large number of near-infrared spectra of low-mass stars and brown dwarfs. To account for spectral covariances, the spectra were split into high and low spatial frequencies and binned according to the correlation length measured within each spectrum. The best spectrum match was further used to calculate an effective temperature using empirical spectral type-to-temperature relations. While the results from the fit to the unfiltered and low-frequency spectra were consistent in terms of the derived spectral type, the high-

---

### Table 2

| Property          | Unit | HD 984       | HD 984 B<sup>a</sup> | HD 984 B<sup>b</sup> | References |
|-------------------|------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Distance          | pc   | 47.1 ± 1.4   |                      |                      |            |
| Age               | Myr  | 30–200       |                      |                      |            |
| $m_H$             | ...  | 6.170 ± 0.038| 12.58 ± 0.05         | 12.60 ± 0.05         |            |
| $m_J$             | ...  | 6.402 ± 0.023|                      | 13.28 ± 0.06         |            |
| Spectral Type     | ...  | F7V          | M6.0 ± 0.5           | M6.5 ± 1.5           |            |
| Temperature       | K    | 6315 ± 89    | 2777<sup>±127</sup>  | 2730<sup>±120</sup>  |            |
| log($L_{bol}/L_\odot$) | dex | 0.346 ± 0.027| −2.815 ± 0.024      | −2.88 ± 0.07        |            |
| Mass              | ...  | ~1.2 $M_\odot$| 33 ± 6 to 94 ± 10 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ | 34 ± 1 to 94 ± 4 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ |            |
| Semimajor Axis<sup>c</sup> | au  |              |                      |                      |            |
| Period<sup>d</sup> | years |              |                      |                      |            |
| Inclination<sup>e</sup> | degree |              |                      |                      |            |
| Eccentricity<sup>f</sup> | ... |              |                      |                      |            |

**Notes.**

<sup>a</sup> Results from Meshkat et al. (2015).

<sup>b</sup> New results presented in this paper.

<sup>c</sup> Ranges listed encapsulate the 68% confidence interval.

**References.** References for the primary properties from (1) van Leeuwen (2007), (2) Meshkat et al. (2015), (3) Cutri et al. (2003), (4) Houk & Swift (1999), and (5) Casagrande et al. (2011).
frequency component did not help constrain the spectral type because the spectra lack sharp features. This method may prove useful to match spectral features with $K$-band data, however, where narrow spectral features such as CO can be identified and fitted. Splitting the spectra in these cases will allow for better noise statistics and an improved $\chi^2$ analysis.

The surface gravity of HD 984 B was also investigated because a low surface gravity could have been used to provide a further constraint on the age and mass of the system. The five best-fitting objects to the $JHK$ spectrum of HD 984 B had a mix of gravity classifications. With this ambiguous result, several photometric and spectral indicators were also computed to look for additional evidence of low surface gravity. None of these indicators suggested a low surface gravity for HD 984 B, and we therefore do not assign a gravity classification to the spectral type.

The star HD 984 B is one of the latest additions to a growing number of brown dwarf and low-mass companions discovered via direct imaging, often serendipitously during searches for exoplanets. As demonstrated for this object, substellar companions discovered in these campaigns can be rapidly characterized with an integral field spectrograph, and preliminary constraints on orbital parameters can be derived with a relatively short baseline between epochs. With the many ongoing surveys using extreme adaptive optics instruments such as GPI, SPHERE, and SCExAO, there is ample opportunity to discover and characterize new substellar objects in the near future. Although one object alone cannot prove a rule, continued identification and characterization of this class of objects will undoubtedly further our understanding of their formation.
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