INTRODUCTION

Many injectable dermal fillers have been developed in recent years, most of which contain hyaluronic acid (HA). These fillers are commonly used for wrinkle correction or volumizing, especially in the forehead, nose, nasolabial fold, chin, and infraorbital area [1,2].

For over 20 years, bovine and human collagen material was used in injectables with approval from the United States Food and Drug Administration. In December 2003, Restylane (Q-Med AB, Uppsala, Sweden) received approval in the United States and entered the filler market. Restylane has various particle sizes, upon which the characteristics of the material depend.

In the early 2000s, many researchers started to use the word “bi-phasic” to describe particle-containing fillers, and the “mono-phasic” type was also developed. A representative example of a mono-phasic material is Juvéderm (Allergan Inc., Irvine, CA, USA), which has no particles and is controlled by the degree of cross-linking. With high cross-linking, the filler is hard and is suitable for volumizing. However, the terms “bi-phasic,” “mono-phasic,” “size of particle,” and “degree of cross-linking” only describe the characteristics of fillers to a limited extent, and these more nuanced characteristics also contribute to the aesthetic results [3,4].

Instead, to maximize outcomes, it is necessary to have a deep understanding of the properties of various fillers. However, the rheologic properties of fillers can be difficult to grasp. Although some articles have described these properties, the authors would like to explain them in simple and accessible terms to contribute to a broader understanding of this topic among plastic surgeons [5-10].

HYALURONIC ACID

HA is a polysaccharide that consists of D-glucuronic acid and D-N-acetylglucosamine disaccharide units (Fig. 1). These disaccharide units are termed monomers, and the small molecules that are formed by bonding between identical or different monomers are referred to as polymers. In HA polymers, units are linked to each other to form a linear chain through β-1,4 glycosidic bonds. The weight of each monomer is approximately 400 Da, and the polymerized chains can reach about 25 kDa.
HA is an essential component of the extracellular matrix and exists in tissues as a biopolymer [8]. The basic unit of HA is the same regardless of its origin (e.g., animal or bacterial), but its length differs.

**MECHANICAL PROPERTIES**

Before filler is injected into the target tissue, it is important to consider shear deformation and compression/stretching forces as factors that can affect each other inside tissue through a complex interplay. Shear deformation occurs when a force is applied along the surface without a vertical vector, resulting in lateral shearing or torsion on the surface, while compression/stretching occurs when a force is applied vertically, as in compression or stretching (Fig. 2).

**Viscoelasticity**

Viscoelasticity refers to viscosity and elasticity when shear deformation occurs in an HA filler. Imagining a “gummy bear” jelly is a vivid analogy that can help us understand this property. Elasticity is defined as the degree of recovery when a shear force occurs and is then removed. Therefore, a stronger shear force is required to deform a material with high elasticity. On the contrary, if a material has high viscosity, such as honey, its degree of restoration after experiencing a shear force will be low.

Four rheological parameters are used to describe viscoelastic properties: \( G^* \) (overall viscoelastic properties), \( G' \) (elastic properties), \( G^\prime\prime \) (viscous properties), and \( \tan \delta \) (the ratio between viscous and elastic properties).

\( G^* \) is the complex modulus of the total energy that is needed to deform a material with shear force. It also refers to the “hardness” of multiple units of injectable filler, not the hardness of the entire deposited gel. Its formula is \( |G^*| = \sqrt{(G')^2 + (G^\prime\prime)^2} \) [7].

\( G' \) is the elastic modulus of the energy fraction that is restored by the original shape after deformation. It represents the ability of a material to recover its shape after shear deformation. For example, if a “gummy bear” has high elasticity, it can recover its shape completely after a shear force is first applied and then removed. From a practical standpoint, \( G' \) is correlated with \( G^* \). \( G^\prime\prime \) is the viscous modulus of the energy fraction that is lost under shear deformation. \( G^\prime\prime \) reflects the inability of the gel to recover its original shape after a shear force is removed. Clinically, \( G^* \) is related to injectability.

\( \tan \delta \) is simply the ratio \( G^\prime\prime/G' \), which indicates the elasticity of a material. If an injectable has a \( \tan \delta < 1 \), it functions as a material with high elasticity, but if \( \tan \delta > 1 \), it is similar to a viscous liquid such as honey. Clinically, a lower \( \tan \delta \) is related with a high \( G' \), because HA fillers always have a low \( G^\prime\prime \).

The viscoelastic properties of fillers are determined by the manufacturing process and design. The injectable fillers on the market have several types under the same brand name. From a practical standpoint, these properties related to the level of cross-linking or particle size.

**Cohesivity**

Cohesivity is described as the internal adhesion forces among
individual cross-linked HA units within an HA gel, as if the units are grasping each other [11]. Cohesivity can be measured by resistance after undergoing compression or vertical stretching (Fig. 3). It depends on the concentration of HA and some specific steps in the cross-linking process, but is not related to the level of cross-linking. A highly cohesive gel has a higher molding capacity just after injection, but less cohesive gels are more flexible. A previous study has suggested a novel method to measure cohesivity [9]. In that article, the authors proposed a semiquantitative method, with the following steps: dyeing of the filler, extrusion of the colored gel into a beaker of sterile water stirred at a constant rate, and an observation of how much and how fast the gel separates into particles. These results could be measured using a cohesivity scale.

RHEOLOGIC APPLICATIONS FOR FACIAL INJECTION

Forehead
There are two purposes of filler injections in the forehead: volumizing and fixation for fine wrinkles. For volumizing, a filler with a relatively high G’ is needed because the filler must lift up the forehead soft tissue, and the extent of deformation should be lower. In contrast, fine wrinkles need meticulous control using a fine filler. In order to improve transverse wrinkles on the forehead, a botulinum toxin injection is requested in most patients who do not have severe blepharoptosis before the filler injection. The filler should have a smooth behavior and spread under the surface easily with a low G’ and less cohesivity.

Nose and chin
For projection of the nose and chin, it is necessary to overcome the high compression and shearing forces in these areas. Because the result of augmentation should be sustained for as long as possible, the filler should have high cohesivity and a high G’.

Nasolabial fold
Two aspects need to be considered to improve nasolabial fold problems. First, the deep part should be considered because of the premaxillary depression. Therefore, a moderate to high G’ filler is needed for the area to be volumized in order to avoid effects from compression and shear force. However, because it is also necessary to improve superficial wrinkles, a smooth filler should be selected with properties such as moderate cohesivity and a moderate G’. A high G’ or large-particle filler is generally not used in this area due to the risk of visualization on the surface.

CONCLUSIONS

Many procedures in the field of plastic surgery use commercially produced filler materials. Therefore, we must comprehend the characteristics of filler materials, in addition to the need to enhance our skills as surgeons. Since the behavior of filler materials is a major factor contributing to good results, we have to comprehend their rheology— or in simple terms, their properties in terms of deformation and flow. Although rheology is a very difficult subject to understand thoroughly, it can be kept in mind that rheologic characteristics are determined by viscoelasticity and cohesivity, as expressed by various parameters.

Facial filler injections must take into account the various dynamics in each part of the face. Therefore, it is necessary to use fillers with various characteristics. Having a better comprehension of the rheologic properties of fillers will thus help clinicians to select the most appropriate filter to enhance clinical results.
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