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Myristic Acid Produces Anxiolytic-Like Effects in Wistar Rats in the Elevated Plus Maze
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1. Introduction

Odors from amniotic fluid produce calming reactions and attraction in human newborns [1] and also newborns from other species [2–4], suggesting specific effects of amniotic fluid on emotion and anxiety [5]. An artificial mixture of six fatty acids (capric acid, lauric acid, myristic acid, palmitic acid, oleic acid, and palmitoleic acid) identified in pig amniotic fluid, colostrum, and maternal milk (Sus scrofa, Large White breed) exerts appeasing actions in piglets [6]. An anecdotal report suggested that the same artificial mixture also exerted anxiolytic-like effects in children [6].

In human amniotic fluid, eight fatty acids from colostrum and maternal milk were identified, and an artificial mixture of such human fatty acids produced anxiolytic-like effects that were comparable to diazepam in male and female adult Wistar rats [7, 8]. This fatty acid mixture, based on the content and concentration in human amniotic fluid, included C12:0 (lauric acid), C14:0 (myristic acid), C16:0 (palmitic acid), C16:1 (palmitoleic acid), C18:0 (stearic acid), C18:1 cis (oleic acid), C18:1 trans (elaidic acid), and C18:2 (linoleic acid) and produced appetitive responses in human newborns [9]. However, unknown is which of the eight fatty acids contained in human amniotic fluid is mainly responsible for its anxiolytic-like effect.

The present study compared the effects of each of the eight fatty acids against an artificial fatty acid mixture that contained the same eight fatty acids, with diazepam as a reference anxiolytic drug, in Wistar rats subjected to the elevated plus maze [10]. We identified the most potent fatty
acid among those contained in the fatty acid mixture in producing changes in the elevated plus maze and tested three different concentrations of this fatty acid in the same test.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics. All of the rat procedures followed the principles of animal care based on the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [11] and Norma Oficial Mexicana para el Cuidado y Uso de Animales de Laboratorio (NOM-062-ZOO-1999) [12]. The protocol received authorization from the Biomedical Research Institute Ethical Committee (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México).

2.2. Preparation of Fatty Acid Mixture. The fatty acid mixture was prepared according to previous studies that reported its anxiolytic-like effects [7, 8], based on the concentrations found in human amniotic fluid. The mixture consisted of linoleic acid (4.4 mg), palmitoleic acid (7.1 mg), stearic acid (3.7 mg), myristic acid (3.0 mg), oleic acid (8.0 mg), and palmitic acid (15.3 mg) dissolved in 100 mL of vehicle (96% propylene glycol and 4% ethanol) at a temperature <40°C. Each fatty acid that was contained in the mixture was also prepared in the same quantity and individually dissolved in a volume of 100 mL of vehicle, maintaining the same concentration found in human amniotic fluid. All of the fatty acids were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Propylene glycol and ethanol were obtained from J. T. Baker (Xalostoc, MEX, Mexico).

2.3. Animals. The experiment included 149 male Wistar rats obtained from a local strain supplied by Harlan (Mexico City, Mexico). The rats were maintained in local housing facilities at a mean temperature of 25°C with a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 a.m.). All of the rats included in the study were 11-12 weeks old, weighed 200–250 g, and were housed five rats per cage in acrylic boxes (44 cm width × 33 cm length × 20 cm height) with ad libitum access to food (Teklad lab animal diets, Harlan, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and purified water.

2.4. Behavioral Tests

2.4.1. Elevated Plus Maze. The elevated plus maze was constructed of wood and situated in a room illuminated at 40 lux. The apparatus consisted of two opposite open and closed arms set in a plus configuration. The dimensions of the open arms were 50 cm × 10 cm (length × width) and the closed arms were 50 cm × 10 cm × 40 cm (length × width × height). The entire maze was elevated 50 cm from the floor. The rats were individually placed at the center of the maze, facing an open arm, and the time spent on and number of entries into the open arms were recorded [13]. The total number of entries (open arms + closed arms) and percentage of open arm entries ([open entries]/[total entries] × 100) were calculated. Additionally, the anxiety index was calculated according to Cohen et al. [14] as follows: Anxiety Index = 1 – ([([Open arm time/Test duration] + [Open arms entries/Total number of entries])/2].

2.4.2. Locomotor Activity Test. To evaluate the effects of the treatments on spontaneous locomotor activity and discard the possibility of hypoactivity or hyperactivity attributable to the treatments that could influence performance in the elevated plus maze, a 5 min locomotor activity test was performed after the elevated plus maze test in another room (40 lux). We used an automated locomotor activity monitor (Acti-Track v2.7.10, PanLab, S.L., Instrument, Barcelona, Spain) constructed of a Perspex box (45 cm × 45 cm) with 35 cm high walls. The apparatus was elevated 3 cm above the box frame floor. A total of 32 infrared beams, 16 on each perpendicular wall, were connected to an interface (LE 8811, LSI Letica Scientific Instrument, Barcelona, Spain) and subsequently to a computer. For data analysis, the floor of the cage was virtually divided into five zones (four peripheral and one central), and we measured the number of entries into each zone (crossings), total resting time, and total activity time during the 5 min test as indicators of locomotion. No other behaviors, such as rearing or grooming, were evaluated. Because of the relatively small cage, we did not compare central versus peripheral exploration.

After each experimental session, the elevated plus maze and locomotor activity box were carefully cleaned and deodorized with a 5% ethanol cleaning solution. Approximately 5 min elapsed between each test to allow the scent of the substances to dissipate.

2.5. Experimental Groups and Treatments. The rats were assigned to 11 experimental groups: (i) vehicle (n = 10), (ii) fatty acid mixture (FAT-M; n = 9), (iii) linoleic acid (44 µg/rat, n = 10), (iv) palmitoleic acid (71 µg/rat, n = 10), (v) stearic acid (37 µg/rat, n = 10), (vi) myristic acid (30 µg/rat, n = 10), (vii) elaidic acid (15 µg/rat, n = 10), (viii) lauric acid (4 µg/rat, n = 10), (ix) oleic acid (80 µg/rat, n = 10), (x) palmitic acid (153 µg/rat, n = 10), and (xi) diazepam (2 mg/kg, n = 10). All of the injections were administered subcutaneously in a volume of 1 mL/rat, with the exception of diazepam, which was administered intraperitoneally.

The rats were first tested in the elevated plus maze (5 min) and then in the locomotor activity test (5 min). One hour elapsed between the injections of substances and the beginning of the behavioral tests.

In another group of rats, we evaluated three concentrations of the fatty acid that produced significant anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze. Four experimental groups were included: vehicle (n = 10), one-third the concentration of the fatty acid found in human amniotic fluid (n = 10), the full concentration of the fatty acid found in amniotic fluid (n = 10), and double the concentration of the fatty acid found in human amniotic fluid (n = 10). All of the injections were administered subcutaneously in a volume of 1 mL/rat, 1 h before the behavioral tests. The rats were tested first in the elevated plus maze (5 min) and then in the locomotor activity test (5 min).
Table 1: Number of open arm entries, percentage of open arm entries, and total number of entries into open and close arms in elevated plus maze.

| Treatment     | Open arms entries (n) | Open arms entries (%) | Total entries to arms (n) |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Vehicle       | 4.10 ± 0.69           | 30.08 ± 3.60          | 12.80 ± 1.71             |
| FAT-M         | 7.88 ± 0.92*          | 59.53 ± 6.25*         | 13.55 ± 1.83             |
| Linoleic acid | 2.00 ± 0.61           | 19.14 ± 4.76          | 7.50 ± 1.55              |
| Palmitoleic acid | 4.30 ± 1.49       | 24.22 ± 5.66          | 10.90 ± 2.27             |
| Stearic acid  | 3.10 ± 0.88           | 21.83 ± 5.03          | 11.50 ± 1.66             |
| Myristic acid | 5.30 ± 1.01           | 44.72 ± 2.23          | 12.00 ± 2.25             |
| Elaidic acid  | 3.60 ± 0.71           | 25.52 ± 4.67          | 12.80 ± 1.20             |
| Lauric acid   | 5.10 ± 0.97           | 35.96 ± 4.40          | 13.10 ± 2.26             |
| Oleic acid    | 4.10 ± 0.78           | 36.04 ± 4.36          | 10.50 ± 0.92             |
| Palmitic acid | 2.60 ± 0.60           | 26.07 ± 4.87          | 8.30 ± 1.33              |
| Diazepam      | 9.70 ± 1.00*          | 69.07 ± 4.95*         | 14.30 ± 0.85             |

Values are expressed as mean ± standard error from each variable. * P < 0.05 versus vehicle group. FAT-M: fatty acid mixture group. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett post hoc test.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. To analyze the treatment data, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used. Significant effects in the ANOVA were followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test. The results are expressed as mean ± standard error. Values of P ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Eight Fatty Acids

3.1.1. Elevated Plus Maze. Significant differences were found between treatments (F(10,98) = 8.435; P < 0.001) in the time spent on the open arms. The post hoc test showed that the time spent on the open arms was longer (P < 0.05) in the diazepam, fatty acid mixture, and myristic acid groups compared with the vehicle group. The time spent on the open arms was similar in the vehicle group and individual fatty acid groups, with the exception of the myristic acid group (Figure 1).

Table 1 illustrates the number of open arm entries, percentage of open arm entries, and total number of entries into the open and closed arms. The number of open arm entries was significantly different between treatments (F(10,98) = 6.160; P < 0.001). The post hoc test showed that the number of open arm entries was higher (P < 0.05) in the fatty acid mixture group and diazepam group than in the vehicle group, but none of the individual fatty acids produced changes compared to vehicle. Likewise, the treatments produced significant changes (F(10,98) = 11.579; P < 0.001) in the percentage of open arm entries. Only the fatty acid mixture group and diazepam group exhibited a higher percentage of open arm entries than the vehicle group, but none of the individual fatty acids significantly modified this variable. The treatments did not produce any significant changes (F(10,98) = 1.592; P = 0.120) in the total number of entries into either the open or closed arms.

The analysis of the anxiety index in the elevated plus maze revealed significant differences between treatments (F(10,98) = 5.160; P < 0.001). The post hoc test showed that the anxiety index in the diazepam, fatty acid mixture, and myristic acid groups was significantly smaller (P < 0.05) than in the vehicle group. No other significant differences were observed (Figure 2).

3.1.2. Locomotor Activity Test. The number of crossings, activity time, and resting time in the locomotor activity test are presented in Table 2. Significant differences were found between treatments in the number of crossings (F(10,98) = 2.115; P < 0.03), activity time (F(10,98) = 3.812; P < 0.001), and resting time (F(10,98) = 3.807; P < 0.001). The post hoc test revealed that oleic acid significantly (P < 0.05) reduced the number of crossings and activity time compared with all of the other groups.
Table 2: Number of crossing, activity time, and resting time in the locomotor activity test.

| Treatment   | Crossing (n) | Activity time (s) | Resting time (s) |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Vehicle     | 41.10 ± 6.02 | 99.36 ± 4.95      | 206.84 ± 6.37    |
| FAT-M       | 47.33 ± 7.33 | 108.63 ± 8.29     | 189.17 ± 8.09    |
| Linoleic acid| 29.30 ± 2.79 | 74.34 ± 10.12     | 225.65 ± 10.12   |
| Palmitoleic acid | 46.00 ± 7.21 | 97.43 ± 9.54      | 202.52 ± 9.54    |
| Stearic acid | 40.60 ± 5.57 | 89.33 ± 6.87      | 210.64 ± 6.87    |
| Myristic acid| 45.90 ± 7.05 | 110.24 ± 13.25    | 189.22 ± 13.09   |
| Elaidic acid | 33.30 ± 4.71 | 85.71 ± 6.90      | 214.27 ± 6.89    |
| Lauric acid | 46.20 ± 11.54| 108.25 ± 11.93    | 191.75 ± 11.93   |
| Oleic acid  | 22.50 ± 3.89*| 54.92 ± 5.84*     | 245.08 ± 5.84*   |
| Palmitic acid| 26.50 ± 3.47 | 76.86 ± 6.99      | 223.10 ± 6.99    |
| Diazepam    | 31.40 ± 2.58 | 100.66 ± 8.77     | 199.33 ± 8.77    |

Values are expressed as mean ± standard error from each variable. *P < 0.05 versus vehicle group. FAT-M: fatty acid mixture group. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett post hoc test.

Figure 2: Elevated plus maze. Anxiety index significantly was reduced in the fatty acid mixture (FAT-M), myristic acid, and diazepam (DZP) groups, compared with vehicle group (VEH). *P < 0.05 versus vehicle group. One-way ANOVA; Dunnett post hoc test.

3.2. Myristic Acid

3.2.1. Elevated Plus Maze. Significant differences were found in the time spent on the open arms between the groups treated with different concentrations of myristic acid (F3,36 = 7.672; P < 0.001). The post hoc test showed that the time spent on the open arms was longer (P < 0.05) in the one-third concentration (10 µg/rat) and full concentration (30 µg/rat) groups compared with the vehicle group (Figure 3), but the double concentration (60 µg/rat) group exhibited a similar action to the vehicle group.

A significant effect of myristic acid on the number of entries into the open arms was observed (F3,36 = 2.838; P < 0.05). The post hoc test showed that the number of entries into the open arms was higher (P < 0.05) in the one-third concentration group compared with the vehicle group. No significant differences were found in the total number of arm entries (open + closed) and percentage of entries into the open arms (Table 3).

Finally, the analysis of the anxiety index in the elevated plus maze revealed significant differences between the myristic acid concentrations (F3,36 = 5.607; P < 0.003). The post hoc test showed that the anxiety index in the one-third and full concentration groups was significantly smaller (P < 0.05) than in the vehicle group. No significant differences in the anxiety index were found between the double concentration group and vehicle group (Figure 4).

3.2.2. Locomotor Activity Test. The number of crossings, activity, and resting time in the locomotor activity test are
Three concentrations of myristic acid were then evaluated. Anxiolytic actions were observed only with the full concentration of myristic acid found in human amniotic fluid and not with lower or higher concentrations.

The elevated plus maze is a widely used behavioral test that assesses anxiety-like behavior and the anxiogenic-like or anxiolytic-like effects of pharmacological agents [13, 15]. Rodents that display anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze usually exhibit a reduction of the time spent on the open arms [16, 17], whereas animals that are treated with γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), agonist anxiolytic compounds, including benzodiazepines (e.g., diazepam), and some neurosteroids (e.g., progesterone and allopregnanolone) exhibit an increase in the total time spent on the open arms [18, 19]. Other variables that are evaluated in the elevated plus maze (i.e., number of entries into the open arms and total number of entries into the arms) are integrated in an anxiety index, with values that range from 0 to 1. An increase in the anxiety index indicates higher anxiety-like behavior. The anxiety index coalesces data for each of the individual parameters of exploratory behavior in the elevated plus maze and indicates an overall tendency [14]. An anxiolytic-like effect of myristic acid was confirmed by calculating the anxiety index, which was significantly lower compared with vehicle and was similar to the fatty acid mixture and diazepam.

Other models are used to experimentally study the anxiolytic actions of drugs, such as the light/dark test [20, 21] and defensive burying test [22, 23], among others. The current trend is to use two or more models to determine anxiolytic actions, including the open field test using cage dimensions that are appropriate to the experimental subject [24–27]. However, each test possesses a particular correspondence to some types of anxiety. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of anxiety. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of phobias to open spaces. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of anxiety. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of phobias to open spaces. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of anxiety. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of phobias to open spaces. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of anxiety. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of phobias to open spaces. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of phobias to open spaces. The defensive burying test explores generalized anxiety [23], whereas the open field test may be used to explore neophobia [28]. We selected the elevated plus maze. This test explores the conflict between the natural behavior of rodents to explore new spaces and avoid open/illuminated spaces. Thus, behavior in the elevated plus maze may reflect some types of phobias to open spaces.
myristic acid in the elevated plus maze may be considered an anxiolytic-like effect, which supports at least one clinical study [40], in which a negative correlation was found between anxiety traits and myristic acid levels in adipose tissue (i.e., higher myristic acid levels in adipose tissue were associated with lower trait anxiety).

One-third the concentration of myristic acid in amniotic fluid produced some changes that suggested an increase in locomotor activity, including an increased number of entries in the elevated plus maze. This low concentration also increased activity time and reduced resting time in the open field test. The one-third concentration of myristic acid did not produce an evident anxiolytic-like action in the elevated plus maze but rather produced locomotor hyperactivity. The double concentration of myristic acid clearly reduced activity on the open field test. Therefore, myristic acid seemingly exerts actions that are similar to other central nervous system depressants (e.g., alcohol) that produce motor hyperactivity in the open field test at low doses [41, 42] but motor hypoactivity at higher doses [43–45]. These observations suggest that myristic acid acts as a central nervous system depressant and exerts anxiolytic actions only at the concentration found in human amniotic fluid.

A possible limitation of the present study was that we did not explore the mechanism of action of the anxiolytic-like effect of myristic acid. This fatty acid appears to be an important cellular component because numerous proteins require myristoylation to exert their biological effects on transduction pathways, vesicular trafficking, and structural positioning [46]. Fatty acids have been proposed to be able to produce conformational changes in ion channels and alter ion conductance [47]. However, the main action of some fatty acids (e.g., oleic acid, linoleic acid, ricinoleic acid, and arachidonic acid) appears to occur through the regulation of chloride ion channels [48]. Oleic acid increases the affinity of agonists for the benzodiazepine site of GABA_A receptors [49], thus modulating the opening of chloride channels. This may be related to the observations in the present study, in which oleic acid, although devoid of anxiolytic-like effects, produced hypoactivity in the locomotor activity test, suggesting a GABAergic effect. Myristic acid may also contribute to modulating the opening of GABA_A receptor chloride channels. The anxiolytic actions of an artificial mixture that contained this fatty acid were previously shown to be blocked by picrotoxin, suggesting that the mixture of fatty acids as a whole exerted its actions on GABA_A receptor chloride channels [50]. The possible participation of chloride channels in the effects of myristic acid and oleic acid requires further exploration.

Myristic acid is a saturated fatty acid (C16:0) that has been identified in nutmeg (Myristica fragrans). It is also contained in palm kernel oil and coconut butterfat and in lower amounts in many other animal fats, including bovine and human milk and colostrum [7, 51, 52]. The general profile of myristic acid does not fulfill the profiles of diazepam or the artificial fatty acid mixture. The present results showed that the time spent on the open arms in the elevated plus maze was longer in the myristic acid, fatty acid mixture, and diazepam groups than in the vehicle group. However, the number and percentage of entries into the open arms were increased only by the fatty acid mixture and diazepam and not by myristic acid, indicating the low potency of myristic acid as an anxiolytic agent and strongly suggesting the possibility of interactions among fatty acids to produce a full anxiolytic effect.

In conclusion, myristic acid seemingly exerted anxiolytic-like effects, producing comparable actions to diazepam in some variables of the elevated plus maze without altering spontaneous locomotion.
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