Field-based estimation and modelling of distributed groundwater recharge in a Mediterranean karst catchment, Wadi Natuf, West Bank
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Abstract. While groundwater recharge is one of the most prominently covered subjects in hydrogeology, the spatial distribution of recharge has been given relatively little attention, especially in semi-arid, karstic aquifers. Under conditions of highly diverse geology, relief, vegetation and land use, the complexity and variability of spatially distributed hydrological processes remains a challenge in many regions around the world. This is particularly true for hitherto ungauged basins, such as Wadi Natuf, a 103 km² large karstic Eastern Mediterranean watershed in the Palestinian upstream mountain and recharge area of the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB), which is shared with Israel in the coastal plain. In this first in a series of two papers, distributed recharge is estimated and represented, based on 7 years of extensive field observations and measurements and based conceptually on observable physical landscape features such as geology, land use and land cover (LU/LC) and especially soil conditions. For the first time in the WAB, a forward calculated soil moisture and percolation model (SMSP) was set up with parameters directly gained from field observations. The model was parameterised in a strictly parsimonious manner, as a one-dimensional model (a.k.a. “tank”, bucket or box model). This is based on dominant hydrological processes, in particular saturation excess in the soil column, and identifying patterns of linkage between different landscape features. Average soil thickness was encountered at the range of decimetres, rarely above one metre. Both soil thickness and LU/LC features, such as terraced olive groves or forests as well as grassland or barren rock outcrops, were found to be highly formation specific. This linkage allowed us to further simplify the model and its requirements in a realistic manner for eight soil moisture stations, chosen at six different geological formations with typical soil and LU/LC representations. The main result of the model was the determination of formation-specific recharge coefficients, spatially ranging between 0 % and almost 60 % of annual rainfall or up to 300 mm a⁻¹ in Wadi Natuf’s climate. The karstified main aquifers showed recharge coefficients (RC) above 40 % and even the less prominent slightly aquitardal local aquifers reached RC values above 30 %. The model was separately tested on two conceptual levels: on the level of basin form (soil moisture) and basin response (signatures of peak recharge and local spring discharge events) under well-controlled conditions in isolated sub-catchments. In principle, our approach is applicable in many of the scarcely gauged karstic groundwater basins around the world with a highly diverse landscape and geology.

1 Introduction

Knowledge about distributed recharge is important for the exploration, sustainable management, protection and equitable allocation of water resources. Acquiring recharge information remains complex and challenging for hydrogeological research, especially in the many ungauged basins around the world and particularly in karstified aquifers, which supply about one quarter of the world’s population (Ford and Williams, 2007). One key issue of water negotiations in shared groundwater basins is the spatial distribution of water
resources in the different zones, such as the recharge zones of an aquifer.

1.1 Global and specific problems in distributed recharge assessment

A general problem in recharge studies worldwide is that most basins remain poorly gauged or ungauged, particularly with respect to basin function, i.e. the description and drivers of hydrological processes that are usually variable, both spatially and temporally. Such a lack of empirical and local knowledge from the field cannot readily be circumvented by evermore sophisticated modelling approaches and mathematical methods. A second general problem is encountered in karstified aquifer formations with their non-Darcian, anisotropic flow fields, where data from the discharge area cannot be readily used to infer process or parameter information for the upstream recharge areas (Hartmann et al., 2012a, b; Dafny, 2009; Dafny et al., 2010).

The study of groundwater recharge and its spatial variability in karst regions ranging from semi-arid to sub-humid can be approached through three research venues: observations of surface water (where available), of the saturated and of the unsaturated zone (Scanlon et al., 2002, 2006). In addition, Dörhöfer and Jesopait (1997) subdivided the field into direct and indirect research approaches or methods (Lerner et al., 1990) – not to be confused with direct and indirect recharge (see also Bredenkamp et al., 1995; Simmers, 1988; De Vries et al., 2002). While direct approaches determine recharge-governing parameters near the surface by observing runoff, interflow and soil moisture, indirect approaches model the basin behaviour balancing integral measurements of the discharge of springs or rivers at the catchment scale with abstraction rates and aquifer storage based on groundwater level measurements. In specific, for the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB; see Sect. 1.3 below), such indirect approaches cannot be employed in the recharge area, where any groundwater access and thus observations in the saturated zone, like drilling monitoring boreholes, are prevented due to the political circumstances of a long-standing water conflict and occupation. In addition, most WAB catchments carry no surface water, except for occasional storm runoff events, particularly in the recharge zone, such as Wadi Natuf (Messerschmid et al., 2018). The research is left only with direct approaches to determine deep percolation from the soil into the bedrock, which is equated with recharge in this study (see also Schmidt et al., 2014).

1.2 Dealing with spatial variability

Hartmann et al. (2013) emphasised the need to focus on process-based approaches; this is especially true for hydrological predictions in ungauged basins (PUB). In the absence of field data describing the dominant hydrological processes and functioning of a basin, it was often recommended to make use of the more readily accessible and measurably physical basin form (Savenije, 2010) and then to differentiate landscape units according to a hydrologically meaningful landscape classification metric to translate form into function (subject of the follow-up paper by Messerschmid et al., 2020). For the link between physical features and basin response, Eder et al. (2003) and Hartmann et al. (2013) suggested the use of so-called hydrological system signatures to describe emergent properties of a system – both quantitatively or qualitatively – such as flow duration curves or spring hydrographs.

Most of the PUB literature, such as Sivakumar et al. (2013) and Hrachowitz et al. (2013), stressed the need for parsimony in hydrological modelling, especially under the presence of equifinality in calibrated parameters. For more information, see also Grayson and Blöschl (2000); Woods (2002); Sivapalan et al. (2003a); McDonnell and Woods (2004); Sivakumar (2004, 2008); Wagener et al. (2007); Young and Ratto (2009) and Olden et al. (2012). Such parsimonious models stick to the description of simple local processes and the identification of a few realistic and observable physical parameters and hydrological drivers governing these processes (Franchini and Pacciani, 1991; Zomlot et al., 2015). Sivapalan et al. (2003b) pointed out that despite the heterogeneity of local parameters and processes, the response at the catchment scale is often characterised by surprising process simplicity. Hence a small number of field observations may describe the main characteristics of a process (Seibert and Beven, 2009), even in ungauged basins and with short time series data only (Juston et al., 2009; Seibert and Beven, 2009; Blume et al., 2008; Nash, 1957; Dooge, 1959; Lambert, 1969).

So far, most studies have focused on runoff rather than on recharge (Sivapalan et al., 2003b; Batelaan and de Smidt, 2001, 2007), but there have been some notable exceptions; for example, Aish et al. (2010) determined recharge distribution in the Gaza Strip; however, here, local data on groundwater depth were available for the recharge zone. The bulk of recharge models worldwide have been using lumped or only partially distributed approaches because they do not require detailed spatial information, but they rather transfer input into output by a set of equations on a basin-wide scale (Jeannin and Sauter, 1998; Hartmann et al., 2013; Abusaada, 2011). This also applies to the Western Aquifer Basin.

1.3 Existing recharge studies in the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB)

The Western Aquifer Basin (Aujah-Tamaseeh in Arabic and Yarkon-Tanninim in Hebrew) is the largest, freshest and most productive groundwater basin in all of Historical Palestine, spanning from the sub-humid Mt Carmel in the north into the Sinai in the south and from the West Bank mountains to the Mediterranean Sea with an area of 14 148 km² (SUSMAQ, 2003; ESCWA-BGR, 2013; see Fig. 1). The WAB is a clas-
sical transboundary water basin, subject to negotiations over equitable allocations under international water law (UN-GA, 1997). The intensive use of the basin dates back to the early 1960s starting with deep well drilling in the coastal plain and in the adjacent foothills area. The basin rapidly became one of the single largest sources of Israeli water and also has the potential to become one of the largest future sources of Palestinian water in the West Bank. On the other hand, the WAB’s recharge and accumulation areas, which are located in the mountains, slopes and foothills of the West Bank, remain relatively untouched, ungauged and unexplored, due in large part to the continued restrictions imposed on Palestinian water sector and infrastructure development (World Bank, 2009). Within this recharge zone lies Wadi Natuf, a 103 km$^2$ large surface watershed stretching from the crest of the West Bank mountains, which is north of Ramallah, down to the Green Line (that separates Israel from the Palestinian West Bank under Israeli military occupation; see Sect. 2).

Also in the Western Aquifer Basin, the preferred choice of most of the existing studies were fully lumped models. This was done primarily to avoid problems with spatial differentiation and over-parameterisation (Goldschmidt and Jacobs, 1958; Gutman et al., 1988; Gutman and Zukerman, 1995; Gutman, 2000; Berger, 1999; Abusaada, 2011; and Abusaada and Sauter, 2017). Some researchers created partially distributed models. Sheffer (2009) and Sheffer et al. (2010) for example applied a distributed basin-wide recharge model to the WAB, which however only distinguished coarsely between two units: permeable carbonate formations and less permeable, chalky or marly rock outcrop. In addition, crucial model parameters, such as soil characteristics, were taken from the literature (Dingman, 1994), not gained from in situ findings in the field (see also Ivory et al., 2016; Weiss and Gvitzman, 2007; Schmidt et al., 2014).

Truly spatially distributed and field-based approaches so far are restricted to studies on the local scale of less than 1 km$^2$ (Frumkin et al., 1994; Lange et al., 2003, 2010; Arbel et al., 2010; Steinmann, 2010; Grodek et al., 2011). However these studies mainly focussed on runoff rather than recharge. Sprinkler tests on a plot scale (10 m × 18 m) by Lange et al. (2003) pointed to the importance of soil saturation excess for surface runoff and recharge. Similar observations were published by Ries et al. (2015, 2017) in the neighbouring Eastern Aquifer Basin (EAB) that drains towards the Jordan Rift Valley and the Dead Sea.

The so-called “object-oriented” recharge model of the WAB by Hughes and Mansour (2005) and Hughes et al. (2008) separated runoff, spring flow, soil infiltration and deep percolation (recharge) into individually calculated compartments. At first, each compartment had a high spatial resolution but then was lumped into an integrated basin-wide model. Moreover the entire model input was based on a priori estimated parameters, not local field knowledge, which has been found to often result in little consistency (Kapangaziwiri et al., 2012). Such simplified, lumped water bud-
landscape and climate controls of hydrological processes as stressed by Sivapalan (2003) and Hrachowitz et al. (2013). A parsimonious soil and epikarst reservoir or tank model for laterally disconnected one-column elements (Schmidt et al., 2014; Hrachowitz and Clark, 2017) was performed in daily steps and based on observable physical features and empirical field measurements for core parameters of the soil water budget, thus avoiding the need for retrofitting. The model was examined and tested in a novel combination of both quantitative and semi-qualitative basin observations. On the one hand, at the level of basin form (physical soil parameters) the modelled soil moisture content was compared to the field measurements. On the other hand, on the level of basin response, the signatures of measured spring flow events (peak discharge periods) were compared to the modelled periods of deep percolation (DP). Finally, the model results of spatially distributed annual recharge coefficients were compared to literature results from former recharge studies in the WAB.

2 Study area

Wadi Natuf is a 103 km$^2$ catchment stretching from the mountain plateau at the crest of the West Bank at 816 m above sea level (a.s.l.) down to its foothills at 138 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1). In the eastern mountain region, the topography is characterised by rocky mountain crests and high plains with steep terraced slopes towards the central Wadi Natuf further west. Here, in the midstream catchment, undulating hills with deeply incised ephemeral rivers (wadis) dominate, descending further down to the gentle slopes and plains in the lower Natuf region near the outlet of the main wadi bordering the coastal plain. Within the Natuf catchment, all sub-aquifer formations of the WAB and some of the overlying cover series crop out (Fig. 2a, b) and are therefore exposed to direct infiltration. The climate is typically Eastern Mediterranean with precipitation occurring from November to April, monotonously rising from the semi-arid western foothills (500–600 mm a$^{-1}$) to sub-humid conditions in the eastern mountains (above 600 mm a$^{-1}$). Wadi Natuf drains westwards towards the Mediterranean Sea and has a remarkably low overall runoff coefficient of approximately 0.11%, mainly due to high percolation rates into the bedrock under shallow soils and due to considerable transmission losses (Messerschmid et al., 2018) into the karstified carbonate materials underlying the wadis.

Conventionally and on a regional scale, the WAB is subdivided into two main regional aquifer units of mostly karstified carbonates. The “Lower Aquifer” is of Lower Albian age (ca. 113–106 million years), and the “Upper Aquifer” is of Upper Cenomanian–Turonian age (ca. 95–89 million years). Together they make up around two thirds (64.4%) of the outcrops in Wadi Natuf (Fig. 2b). The remaining third of the catchment consists of aquitards from marl and chalk. According to this conventional view, the regional aquifers are divided by some 100 to 150 m thick marly, chalky and carbonatic series of the so-called “Middle Aquitard” (Bar-tov, 1981; SUSMAQ, 2002; ESCWA-BGR, 2013). However, closer scrutiny reveals that both the regional aquifers can be further subdivided into more permeable and more impermeable sections (Fig. A3a, A3c). Also the regional Middle Aquitard can be subdivided into an aquitard or even aquiclude section of yellow soft marl (upper Yatta formation or u-Yat) and a more carbonatic, partly karstified intermediate perched aquifer horizon (lower Yatta formation or l-Yat; see Fig. 2a) (Messersschmid et al., 2003a, b). Lower Yatta is underlain by another perched aquifer (upper Upper Beit Kahil formation or u-UBK), which consists of a cliff-forming very permeable reefal limestone series (Fig. A3b). The third perched aquifer on a local scale is formed by the top of lower
Upper Beit Kahil formation (1-UBK), a more chalky and marly limestone series that is underlain by an impermeable twin marl band (Fig. A3c). The three perched local aquifers can be found in central Wadi Natuf where they give rise to over 100 small local contact springs (Fetter, 1994), as shown in Fig. 2b. Together, their outcrops account for about 13% of the catchment area. On a regional scale, the two perched aquifer series within Upper Beit Kahil formations are considered part of the Lower Aquifer. By contrast to the perched aquifers, most other parts of the regional Lower Aquifer as well as the entire Upper Aquifer within Wadi Natuf are void of springs.

The soil cover in Wadi Natuf consists of terra rossa, mostly with thicknesses in the decimetre range (Fig. A2, Appendix A). Although regional maps (Dan et al., 1975) indicate small portions of rendzina in the central part (near Ras Karkar, Fig. 2b), no such types of soils were found during intensive field reconnaissance and measurement campaigns. The soils were found to have high silt and clay contents. In the dry season, the soils disintegrate into fist-size crumbs and form desiccation cracks. These cracks can act as preferential pathways for infiltration during the early half of the winter season (as also found by Gimbel, 2015). Soil thickness > 1 m was only found at small agricultural plains overlying the aquitard series such as the upper Yatta formation (u-Yat; see Fig. A1, Table 1).

An important and recurring observation during the field work was a pattern where soil thickness is associated to certain types of both underlying bedrock lithology of formations and to the type of land use and land cover (LU/LC); carbonates show thin soils, while over argillaceous rock, thicker soil covers develop. Equally, soil cover over grassland and terraces were found thin, whereas agricultural cultivated fields and garden plots were located over thick soil stratum (see Fig. A2 and Table 1). In other words, typical land forms and soil depths can be identified for the different geological formations in Wadi Natuf, such as the perched aquifers (l-Yat, u-UBK and top l-UBK) and the Hebron (Heb) and Jerusalem formations (Jerus) of the Upper Cenomanian–Turonian main regional aquifer (see also Appendix D). This association of soil depths with other land features will be sub-
ject to a follow-up paper on regionalisation, Messerschmid et al. (2020).

A prevailing feature in Wadi Natuf, as in most of the Mountain Aquifer (Schmidt et al., 2014), was the finding of a thick unsaturated zone in the karstified aquifers up to hundreds of metres thick underlying thin soil cover; hence, all percolation from the soil into the underlying bedrock was considered as deep percolation.

3 Methodology

This study presents a distributed groundwater recharge model for a karst catchment based on extensive hydrological field measurements of three types, namely climatic drivers (like precipitation, radiation and temperature), hydrological processes (such as soil moisture saturation and spring flow) and physical features (soil characteristics, geology and land use/land cover), as shown in Fig. 3. The forward-calculating model is parsimonious, i.e. based only on daily precipitation and evapotranspiration (ET; as well as temporally stable location-specific soil parameters) in order to translate soil moisture saturation into deep percolation, which here is equated with recharge (Schmidt et al., 2014). The one-dimensional soil water balance model was designed as a soil tank with an upper boundary towards the atmosphere and a lower boundary towards the underlying karstified bedrock.

3.1 Climatic drivers: rainfall and evapotranspiration

The measurement period for precipitation and evaporation spanned over nine seasons, from 2003–2004 until 2011–2012. A complete set of weighted area rainfall data for the five sub-catchments (Fig. 4) was obtained from Messerschmid et al. (2018), originally measured in 5 min steps at 10 stations distributed evenly in upper, central and lower Wadi Natuf. The automated rain gauges (tipping buckets) with Eijkelkamp loggers were run in event mode. The model in this study used the weighted area rainfall precipitation at the five sub-catchments in daily steps (see Table 2 and Fig. 4).

Daily minimum and maximum temperature and solar radiation data were collected at two automatic weather stations (AWSs) for the calculation of potential evaporation (Fig. 4). The readings were taken with two automatic weather stations from Campbell Scientific with a CR10X data logger and an HMP45D (Y3520067) sensor. One station was installed upstream at Birzeit University at the mountain crest; the downstream station at Shibteen well no. 4 was located at the transition between the foothills and coastal plain to the west. Missing records were filled up with corrected temperature data from Jerusalem (IMS, 2017), which represented intermediate values between the relatively cool conditions in Birzeit and the relatively warm conditions downstream in Shibteen.

Potential evapotranspiration (ET\(_p\)) was calculated by the formula of Hargreaves and Samani (1985). The Hargreaves approach was developed and applied for similar semi-arid conditions (e.g. Ryu et al., 2008) and in comparable areas, such as the adjacent EAB (Schmidt et al., 2014). ET\(_p\) is calculated by Eq. (1).

\[
ET_p = 0.0023 \cdot Ra \cdot (T_{\text{mean}} + 17.8) \cdot (T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}})^{0.5} \cdot \lambda^{-1}, \tag{1}
\]

where Ra is the daily sum of extra-terrestrial solar radiation (MJ d\(^{-1}\)), T\(_{\text{mean}}\) is the daily mean air temperature (calculated as average of daily minimum and maximum temperature in °C), T\(_{\text{max}}\) – T\(_{\text{min}}\) is the daily temperature range, and \(\lambda\) is the latent heat of vaporisation in order to obtain ET\(_p\) in mm d\(^{-1}\).

3.2 Hydrological measurements: soil moisture and springs

Soil moisture (SM) content was monitored at eight different stations over a period of 7 years (see Table 1). Due to budget limitations and reduced field accessibility (particularly during the height of the Second Intifada), the measurements were kept at a minimum (as recommended by Beven, 2002, and by Seibert and Beven, 2009; see Sect. 1.2, 1.4). It was therefore decided to increase the number of different SM locations and to decrease the duration of measurements at each station (ranging between 1 and 4 years, see Table 1). All in all, 13 years of soil moisture measurements were carried out at the eight locations. The locations were chosen at places with minimum slope and without lateral water input from upslope overland runoff.

The target of the soil moisture measurements was daily time series data of the overall available water content (\(\theta\)). SM was measured at different depths by each sensor and then normalised to total water content of the respective soil column. Initially two sets of frequency domain reflectometry soil moisture sensors (ML2-Theta probes, Delta-T Devices Ltd.) were used. Due to malfunction and vandalism they were replaced by two ECH2O loggers (EM50, Decagon Devices Inc.), each connected to two or three sensors (5TM, Decagon Devices, Inc.) that measured volumetric water content (as a percentage) based on the difference of dielectric permittivity between water and soil matrix. The loggers recorded in 30 min intervals. The readings were averaged into daily values for the purpose of the model. The sensor installation depth varied between a minimum of 5 cm and a maximum of 75 cm at the thickest soils (Table 1). Technical problems with the equipment are discussed in Appendix B (Tables B1–B3).

In order to better relate the spatially discrete SM stations to the overall catchment area, soil samples (150–550 g) were collected randomly at different depths and different times; the absolute water content of the samples was determined by oven weighing at the Birzeit laboratory. These results also served to reconfirm and calibrate the sensor readings.

Each of the sensor readings of volumetric water content (as a percentage) from different soil depths was multiplied with the thickness of the individual soil sections (in metres) and then combined to an overall water content of the soil.
The continuous soil moisture hydrographs were then analysed separately for each location, resulting in a typical and annually returning minimum (SM$_{\text{min}}$) and maximum water content (SM$_{\text{max}}$) as temporally stable but spatially distributed parameters. The representativeness of each SM station was further confirmed by the many hand-collected SM samples from the same formations but at other locations. The maximum soil water storage capacity or storage capacity at saturation ($\theta_s$) was then calculated for each SM station and according to Eq. (2).

$$\theta_s = \frac{(\text{SM}_{\text{max}, 1} - \text{SM}_{\text{min}, 1}) \cdot b_1 + (\text{SM}_{\text{max}, 2} - \text{SM}_{\text{min}, 2}) \cdot b_2 + (\text{SM}_{\text{max}, 3} - \text{SM}_{\text{min}, 3}) \cdot b_3)}{b_{\Sigma}}$$

(2)

whereby SM$_{\text{max}}$ and SM$_{\text{min}}$ were used as the measured water content per soil segment volume (m$^3$ m$^{-3}$), SM$_{\text{max}, 1}$ indicates the maximum water content at the first near-surface soil section and deeper sections are indicated by successive numbers (2 and 3), and $b$ indicates the soil thickness (in metres) of the respective soil sections (1, 2 and 3) or the overall soil thickness ($b_{\Sigma}$). $\theta_s$ represents the normalised effective maximum storage content in metres (or millimetres) of the whole soil column (see Sheffer, 2009; Sheffer et al., 2010). It should
be noted that $\theta^s$ was derived directly from the field-measured values of $\text{SM}_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{SM}_{\text{min}}$, the range of which indicates the maximum amounts of soil water available for evapotranspiration before percolation sets in (see also Sects. 3.4 and 5.1 and Fig. 5).

The sensor readings included brief periods of water storage beyond field capacity, such as water in desiccation cracks (especially in heavy storm events during late autumn and early winter); these peak levels can be noticed as brief spikes of SM readings (here indicated as $\text{SM}_{\text{peak}}$) above the otherwise stable levels of annually recurring $\text{SM}_{\text{max}}$. It should however be emphasised here that the model in its daily budget fully accounts for these additional water amounts (see Sect. 4.1 and Appendix B).

Daily spring flow measurements were used as a model check. This high-resolution spring flow record, the first for the WAB mountains, enabled the detection of signaatures, i.e., the temporal patterns of low and peak discharge for comparison with simulated recharge by the soil moisture saturation and percolation (SMSP) model. From the large number of over 100 springs discharging from the perched aquifers, five springs from two spring groups (Fig. 4) were selected that can be considered representative for the total spring group discharge, due to their position and proximity to the soil moisture stations. At these springs, daily flow measurements were carried out continuously by metering (by hand) throughout almost the entire period (spring data coverage; see Appendix C, Table C1). Three of these springs belong to the so-called “Beitillu spring group”; the two other springs belong to the “Wadi Zarqa spring group” (near the Wadi Zarqa SM station, see Figs. 2b, 4). Their representativeness is discussed in Appendix F.

### 3.3 Model parameterisation (soil thickness)

The soils in Wadi Natuf were found to consist only of one prevailing soil type, terra rossa (see Sect. 2); field investigations, sampling and granulometric lab tests showed that the soil was mostly silty clayey, with some samples also showing higher clay contents (Messerschmid et al., 2018). This allowed for an important simplification for the soil moisture saturation and percolation model to only account for one uniform soil type throughout the study area. By contrast, soil thickness ($b$) plays an important role as a quantifiable parameter in the SMSP model. Therefore it was necessary to determine the typical soil thicknesses at the different geological formations and LU/LC types and to ensure that the selected soil moisture measurement plots were representative for the typical conditions of a given formation. The spatial distribution of soil thickness was established by digging up the soil down to the soil–rock interface. The soil thickness differed between sampling locations, which led to variable sampling depths down to the respective horizon, where in situ lithology prevailed. The results of the soil depth survey are shown in a soil thickness matrix for different LU/LC types and lithostatigraphic units (see also Appendix D and Table D1).

### 3.4 Parsimonious soil moisture saturation and percolation modelling: design and processes

The SMSP model implies a classical soil moisture balance approach; soils dry up to minimum water content during summer and then accumulate and store water with successive rainfall during autumn and winter. SM content above $\text{SM}_{\text{min}}$ is subject to direct evaporation and plant transpiration and has to be deducted in daily steps from the SM content accumulated successively by precipitation. When soil conditions reach saturation (full effective storage capacity; $\theta^s$), deep percolation into the bedrock is triggered, here equated with groundwater recharge (Fig. 5). $\text{ET}_a$ is limited downwards by available water content ($\theta_i$) and upwards by $\text{ET}_p$.

$$\text{ET}_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \text{ET}_{p,i+1} & \text{if } (\theta_i + P_{i+1}) \geq \text{ET}_{p,i+1} \\ \theta_i + P_{i+1} & \text{if } (\theta_i + P_{i+1}) < \text{ET}_{p,i+1} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_i$ is available soil moisture (in millimetres), $P$ is daily rainfall (in millimetres), $\text{ET}_a$ is the daily actual evapotranspiration (in millimetres) and $\text{ET}_p$ is the daily potential evapo-transpiration (in millimetres).

All additional rainfall infiltrating from the surface and beyond the daily evapotranspiration losses can either be added to the available water storage or, when limits of $\theta^s$ are exceeded, considered to percolate into the bedrock and repre-
sent recharge.

\[
DP_{i+1} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } (\theta_i + P_{i+1} - ET_{a_{i+1}}) \leq \theta_{\text{max}} \\
\theta_i + P_{i+1} - ET_{a_{i+1}} - \theta_{\text{max}} & \text{if } (\theta_i + P_{i+1} - ET_{a_{i+1}}) > \theta_{\text{max}}
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\theta_{\text{max}}\) is the effective maximum soil water storage and DP is daily deep percolation, i.e. groundwater recharges (in millimetres). The testing of the model was reached in two independent ways, by a quantitative comparison of modelled and observed SM values and by a semi-qualitative comparison of recharge periods (DP events) with times of observed spring discharge peaks. In other words, one examination was done on the reliability of the model to produce the observed physical feature of SM, and the other was done by using the hydrologic response signature of spring flow responding to recharge.

3.5 Annual and mean recharge coefficients

First, the annual DP rates (mm \(a^{-1}\)) are divided by annual station rainfall delivered annual recharge coefficients (as a percentage) for each modelled SM station. Secondly, DP rates from the model for the different stations representing different formations (Table 1) were referred to the outcrop sizes of the respective formations in Wadi Natuf to obtain annual recharge amounts (\(R\); in m\(^3\) \(a^{-1}\)). Then, thirdly, at each station (or for each formation) the seven different annual RC values were transformed into an average recharge coefficient (\(RC_{\text{avg}}\)). This simplified approach assumes that our 7-year rain observation period fairly represented the long-term averages and inter-annual distribution patterns of precipitation (see Sect. 3.1 and Appendix E). In order to verify the representativeness of our 7-year observation period for long-term conditions (> 30 years) and since no long-term precipitation record for Wadi Natuf was available, the temporal precipitation patterns were compared on the level of the entire WAB and based on long-term records from HSI (2016). The comparison and its results are documented in Appendix E (Table E1 and Fig. E1).

4 Results

4.1 Seasonal soil moisture patterns

Table 1 presents the eight locations of soil measurements, used for the percolation models, with their respective bedrock formations and soil depths as well as the recurring maximum and minimum soil moisture levels, from which the maximum water storage capacity (\(\theta^s\)) of each location was derived.

The thin soils in Wadi Natuf showed a low water retention capacity (Sect. 4.2, Fig. 6); in summer, soil usually dried up rapidly to an SM content between 5% and 10%. Table 1 also

| Land use | Symbol | Formation | No. of years measured | Hydr. seasons measured | Soil depth (mm) | Sensor depth (mm) | SM max (mm) | SM min (mm) | SM max (%) | SM min (%) |
|----------|--------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Shuqbah, garden | Shu Bet | Top of l-UBK | 2003–2004 | 2004–2005 | 320 | 320 | 119 | 119 | 10.5 | 0.5 |
| Wadi Zarqa, fields | WZ-gh | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 770 | 770 | 232 | 232 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Ras Karak, terraces | Ras E | Top of U-BK | 2004–2005 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| KF-W | KF-E | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| KF-W | KF-E | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| KF-W | KF-E | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| KF-W | KF-E | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| KF-W | KF-E | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| KF-W | KF-E | WZ-upT | 2005–2006 | 2006–2007 | 110/110/190 | 320/320/190 | 112 | 112 | 3.5 | 3.5 |

\(\theta^s\) is the effective maximum soil water storage and \(\theta_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum water storage capacity. Table 1 also presents the eight locations of soil measurements, used for the percolation models, with their respective bedrock formations and soil depths as well as the recurring maximum and minimum soil moisture levels, from which the maximum water storage capacity (\(\theta^s\)) of each location was derived.
shows two exceptions: in one case, the plot near the greenhouses in Wadi Zarqa (WZ-gh), some summer irrigation of crops cannot be excluded. However, it was assured that no irrigation took place during the crucial winter modelling period. In the second case, the extremely low moisture content (SMmin of 0.5 %) at Kufr Fidiah (KF-E), was a result of vandalism (a dug-up measurement pit with artificially reduced soil moisture at the then-exposed deeper sections of the soil cover). In any case, such low soil moisture is not representative and the data of this station had to be taken with caution. In addition, the soil depth of almost 1 m encountered at KF-E, an abandoned agricultural plot, overgrown with bushes, is a rare exception, and according to our soil depth survey it is not representative for usual conditions at the top of I-UBK formation (compare soil depth matrix, Appendix D, Table D1).

In contrast to minimum recorded SM values, maximum moisture levels showed a more consistent pattern, which is important because soil saturation is crucial for the SMSP model. In line with our saturation excess model (Sect. 3.4), it should be noted that once this maximum soil moisture level was reached, it remained stable for days and weeks and did not rise any further. Throughout the measured period and at almost all stations, it was found that maximum soil moisture is spatially highly variable and can be associated with the local soil depth, as apparent from Table 1 and discussed below. Another special case however is the occurrence of brief periods with extreme moisture above saturation storage capacity. They only occurred during extreme storms or during the early winter months, when desiccation cracks enabled preferential flow and rapid infiltration into deeper soil layers (see also Appendix B) and lasted usually less than 1 d.

Spatially, the distribution of seasonal soil saturation can be differentiated as follows: at locations with thinner soil cover, such as Ras Karkar (RK), Wadi Zarqa greenhouses and especially in Shuqbah (Shu), full saturation (θ95) was usually reached by mid or late November. In the thicker soils, such as in Kufr Fidiah and the Wadi Zarqa upper terrace (WZ-upT), saturation was usually reached later, by mid-December. In most years, full saturation conditions prevailed during January and February, on rare occasions until April. The season 2005–2006 experienced the most intensive rain event of the entire measurement period, occurring very late in winter, between 1 and 5 April 2006 (Messerschmid et al., 2018). Figure 6 gives an example for the time series of observed and modelled soil moisture (station RK-W). As can be seen, recharge (deep percolation) only occurred when full saturation was reached and in the form of relatively distinct events of a few days per year, depending on the high-resolution temporal rain distribution of a given season.

In each year the level of calculated soil moisture was the result of a unique set of different climatic drivers and of location-specific parameters and physical features (and thus a result of different overlapping processes). Yet, it could be observed that the levels of available soil moisture (i.e. the water content above SMmin), usually dropped to zero within 1 or 2 months after the last major rainfall event of a given season (effectively ending evapotranspiration during summer; see Fig. 6).

4.2 Quantitative examination of the model (by physical parameters)

Figure 6, which presents modelled and observed soil moisture contents, indicates the performance of the soil moisture percolation model. It should be stressed here that no calibration of the model was performed.

Some of the readings show a mismatch between observed and modelled SM values; these periods are indicated as a dashed green line, where recorded soil moisture exceeded modelled SM values. In some cases, recorded moisture levels even surpassed accumulated seasonal rain (which clearly hinted to equipment failure of the SM sensors). Both issues are further discussed in Sect. 5 and Appendix B. However, a comparison between observed and modelled soil moisture (Fig. 6) showed similar performance, with an average Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) coefficient of 0.73 for all stations, except RK-E (which had a negative coefficient of −0.35 and was excluded from further analysis). In Shuqbah and Beitillu, NSE was found between 0.8 and 0.87; in Wadi Zarqa it was 0.63; at KF-W and KF-E it was between 0.79 and 0.96; and at the RK-W station it was at 0.7 (Appendix B, Table B3; note that the stations names ending with “-W” and “-E” are the western and eastern regions of the area).

4.3 Semi-qualitative examination of the model (by spring flow signatures)

For an additional check, we compared periods of deep percolation with the signature periods of peak spring discharge, as shown in Fig. 7. In most stations, recharge occurred during a period between 11 and 21 d per year. During very wet winters it occurred at a maximum of up to 31 d per year (e.g. in WZ-gh); during very dry winters a minimum of only 5 d per year (e.g. KF-E) were recorded. Precipitation thresholds that triggered DP varied between different years and SM stations, e.g. from 74 mm of accumulated seasonal precipitation as a minimum (in Shu) to 470 mm as the highest threshold (in KF-E). For the different years, the gaps between average station thresholds ranged between 206 mm (in 2004–2005) and 396 mm (in 2008–2009), with a multi-annual mean of 290 mm.

Figure 7 shows a very close match of temporal patterns. Observed spring flow in the local perched aquifers responded with almost no delay, usually within 1 d after the first modelled recharge event. This demonstrates both the karstic nature of the aquifers with rapid flow connections and the very local recharge conditions on the isolated hillsides.

The two most productive springs of the respective groups were Salem (Wadi Zarqa spring group) and Al-Qos (Beitillu spring group). Average spring flow was between 1.3 (Bibi)
Comparison of observed and modelled available soil moisture at Ras Karkar West (RK-W) from 2005–2006 to 2008–2009. Maximum water storage capacity ($\theta_m$; at 112.5 mm) of the modelled moisture level (red) matched well with the observed maximum moisture (green). Brief periods of dashed green lines show questionable and erroneous soil moisture readings (see also Sect. 5 and Appendix B). Deep percolation (triggered above $\theta_s$) is indicated as a dark-blue column (bottom of the graph); daily rainfall is indicated as blue columns (at the top).

and 12.4 (Qos) m$^3$ d$^{-1}$. The smallest spring (Bibi) had a maximum discharge of 9 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$, while the largest (Al-Qos) had one of 78 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$. Both values were approximately 6 times larger than annual averages. Every slight rise of the observed daily spring flow (by as low as 8% of the amount of average discharge) was connected to simulated deep percolation or recharge (Fig. 7). Comparing the dates of peak spring flow with that of DP events, a complete congruence of the respective dates was apparent. This analysis was performed for the period until September 2009, during which all springs had been measured reliably (see Appendix C, Table C1). All days with more than 1 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ of increase in discharge at the Al-Qos spring were found to show simulated percolation; at Salem spring, the strongest spring in the Wadi Zarqa spring group, this was true for all days with more than a 0.7 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$ rise and at the ‘Akkari spring for all days with an increase larger than 0.66 m$^3$ d$^{-1}$.

Finally, annual recharge coefficients were calculated and compared to literature values (see also Appendix H, Table H1). Table 2 shows annual DP rates, annual recharge coefficients and the average of these annual RC values (between 30% and 57%) for the entire period, according to the soil thickness at each station, ranging between 30 and 90 cm.

4.4 Summary of model results on annual levels

The annual percolation rate did not only depend on the annual precipitation, but it also depended on its seasonal distribution. For most years, a rise in annual recharge coincided with a rise in total annual rainfall, as shown in Fig. 8. The wettest winter (2004–2005 with a rainfall of 742 mm a$^{-1}$) showed the highest recharge in each of the stations. However, the driest year (2007–2008 with 496 mm a$^{-1}$) did not generate the lowest recharge, due to the seasonal distribution of very strong precipitation events that triggered high rates of percolation. This disconnection demonstrated the necessity to first calculate and model recharge in daily time steps in order to account for the event character of temporal rainfall distribution (Sheffer et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2017) before these results can then be used for annual recharge calculations. It is also important to note the strictly reciprocal relationship between soil thicknesses and recharge coefficients. At all stations except for one (WZ-upT), the thinnest soils showed the highest recharge, and the thickest soils showed the lowest recharge (see Fig. 8). This general pattern confirmed our conceptual approach that closely linked soil depth with recharge.

Figure 8 plots different measurement stations with ascending soil depths on the x axis against annual recharge rates on the y axis with a marked and almost consistent linkage between the two (except for WZ-upT). This pattern of association forms the basis of our extrapolation and attribution approach for entire formations and their recharge coefficients (for the representativeness of the soil depths at our SM stations, see Appendix D, Table D1).

5 Discussion

5.1 General approach of process representation

Our results demonstrate that even in ungauged basins a realistic model of distributed groundwater recharge can be obtained through limited field observations of key parameters of the complex percolation process. Unlike previous studies in the WAB, the direct and location-specific assessment of water storage capacity from long-term soil moisture readings was generated as robust and realistic “locally knowledge” to determine recharge because the rate of modelled percolation (recharge) was a direct function of SM storage, which was highly location specific. Since distinct land forms of LU/LC and typical soil depths could be related to each geological formation (Table D1), the SM plots and their RC values were formation specific. Existing literature often only provides values for permanent wilting points (pwp) and field capacities (Fc), which are similar but not identical with our measured maximum and minimum SM values. The $\theta_s$ value is a direct measure of the mobile, available water inside the soil column, subject to accumulation, evapotranspiration, satura-
tion and deep percolation (recharge). In reality, the processes of soil moisture accumulation, deficit and saturation are complex and depth dependent. Indeed our sensors installed at different depths indicated slightly different temporal SM dynamics. Deeper soil segments reacted later in the season but arrived at a relatively stable maximum plateau value at about the same times as the shallower sensors. On the other hand, they dried up at a slower pace in summer. This was in line with the generally known and expected dominant processes of accumulation, storage, and loss through evaporation and transpiration from roots. It should be noted here that most of our soils were only about half a metre thick or less and that even the lowermost soil segments were within the reach of most plants (Mediterranean trees, bushes and shrubs), resulting in a low retention capacity. Our calculation method of adding up the measured water contents of all sections ac-
Figure 8. Annual recharge coefficients, annual precipitation and soil depth (stations ordered by increasing RC). The coloured lines present annual recharge coefficients by station (x axis), increasing from left to right (as a percentage). Average annual RC values (red numbers) are reciprocal to the respective soil depths (as brown bars, with the exception of WZ-upT as a beige bar). The blue-shaded column at the right indicates annual precipitation – shown as $P$ (Natuf) – as the area average (in m a$^{-1}$).

counted for the different conditions and processes at varying soil depths, and by this they provided a simplified yet realistic representation of total soil moisture throughout the soil column.

Adhering to the PUB goal of parsimony, our SM saturation and percolation model only accounted for two climatic drivers ($P$ and $ET_p$) and one spatially distributed physical parameter ($\theta_s$), each set up in daily time steps. The model is a simple one-dimensional tank or combined-reservoir model as already used by Sheffer et al. (2010) and Schmidt et al. (2014) (see Fig. 5). This parsimonious modelling approach is based on two conceptual but field-observed assumptions of dominant processes. Firstly, the model only accounts for direct recharge (as DP) from in situ soil infiltration. Whereas some local lateral water movement on and inside the soils and within the range of a few metres distance has to be expected, this nonetheless is conceptually included here under local processes and therefore quantitatively accounted for as direct recharge. This particularly applies to epikarst outcrops with soil pockets. Moreover, as discussed in Sect. 2, no signs of indirect recharge processes were observed in the field (with the notable exception of transmission losses; discussed below). Ponding as a sign of lateral surface accumulation was restricted to small puddles of a few metres in diameter at their maximum and within clearly discernible, very small topographic depressions. Lange et al. (2003) in their runoff generation sprinkler and tracer tests close to Wadi Natuf also observed such near-surface runoff–runon processes and found them to occur in a radius of decimetres, at maximum metres (see also Ries et al., 2015). This lead to surface runoff generation on hillslopes, which was largely lost by the aforementioned transmission losses through the gravel beds of the wadis. While this point and line infiltration along wadi beds could be considered as indirect recharge, previous studies by Messerschmid et al. (2018) had shown that overall runoff generation in Wadi Natuf was very low (< 1% of annual area precipitation). Hence, runoff, though important during single high intensity events (see also Ettinger, 1996), could be neglected as a significant part of the catchment’s overall water budget, thus also adhering to the PUB goal of simplification and parsimony. Secondly, our model accounts for only one dominant recharge generation process: deep percolation from the soil into the bedrock under SM saturation excess. This is in line with the already discussed findings from other studies in the WAB and adjacent basins (Ries et al., 2015, 2017; Sheffer, 2009; Messerschmid et al., 2018; Lange et al., 2003) that agreed that deep percolation or recharge, as much as surface runoff, must be described as a process of soil moisture saturation excess (see Sect. 1.3). For further discussions on the applicability of soil moisture balance models in the WAB, see Hughes and Mansour (2005), Hughes et al. (2008).
5.2 Spatial and temporal variability of precipitation and recharge

The main focus of our approach was the spatial differentiation of recharge, although our model also considered temporal patterns. Our annual recharge coefficients were based on a model which was run in daily steps and therefore fully accounted for the temporal distribution of rainfall, especially storm events. The model results of annual recharge coefficients suggested a relationship between spatial and temporal variability. When the standard deviations for annual recharge and annual precipitation were plotted against each other, we found that standard deviations for rainfall increased with annual rainfall (except for the year 2005–2006), whereas spatial variability of recharge diminished (see Appendix G, Fig. G1).

Although 7 years of modelling may not be enough to draw far-reaching conclusions, we can still conclude that the question of spatial variability of recharge deserves greater attention than that given in most recharge studies, particularly in the Western Aquifer Basin. Within this study, average RC values were calculated from the individually calculated annual values (Sect. 3.5). This somewhat extrapolative approach does not fully reflect the temporal variability and seasonal distribution of rainfall, which may lead to different recharge rates even in years with identical annual rainfall amounts. However, since our study focussed on spatial rather than temporal recharge variability, this inaccuracy seems justified and is in line with the PUB recommendations for simplification (Seibert and Beven, 2009). While our long-term average RC values thus remain somewhat of an approximation, it can already be stated here that it appears likely that a true reflection of seasonal rainfall distribution would lead to a slight but not significant change in the overall average of multi-annual recharge coefficients.

5.3 Annual recharge coefficients: comparison with other studies

As observed by Lerner et al. (1990), recharge is a temporally highly variable process that is most adequately investigated on the event level (in the WAB a time span of 0.5 to 5 d). While the model with its daily steps fully reflects the event character during the measurement period, the generalisation of annual recharge coefficients always carries some range of uncertainty. However, as already presented, the individual recharge coefficients calculated for the different formations cropping out in Wadi Natuf ranged between a minimum of 0 % (for non-recharging aquitards like the upper Yatta formation) and a maximum of 57 % for highly permeable and intensively karstified limestone aquifers such as the Jerusalem formation (see Table 1). These overall recharge values fall well within the range usually quoted for the WAB. Table H1 in Appendix H lists the regional and other reported recharge coefficients both for annual and event-based calculations and together with the methods applied therein.

6 Conclusions

This study provided formation-specific distributed recharge coefficients in a Mediterranean karst area with a highly variable lithostratigraphy from a one-dimensional soil water balance model, relating percolation to SM saturation excess (SMSP model) applicable in comparable basins all over the world. The parsimonious forward-calculating model was restricted to key factors driving recharge with observed spatially distributed formation-specific maximum water storage capacities. The analysis was solidly grounded in intensive field measurements, and no calibration of model parameters was performed. The observed formation-specific correlation with soil depth and land form ensured the representativeness of the maximum water storage capacity over each formation and for long-term conditions of wet and dry years.

The model was based on the observed key process understanding, namely that groundwater recharge can be simplified and described as a soil saturation process in a variety of local conditions with a wide range of land features. Hereby, daily modelling steps were found to be appropriate to respond to the typically Mediterranean variability of meteorological events (storms) driving recharge. Equally, it was found that our 7 years of observation covered the entire range and variability of long-term climatic conditions (30-year maxima and minima). The model was tested by a comparison between modelled and observed soil moisture and by a temporal overlay of peak spring discharge and deep percolation events.

A follow-up study will regionalise the formation-specific recharge coefficients presented here to all formations in the entire Natuf catchment (Messerschmid et al., 2020). The gained recharge coefficients can also be used for a basin-wide distributed recharge model of the entire WAB. The methodology presented here can be applied in many of the still ungauged groundwater basins worldwide.
Appendix A

Figure A1. Formation outcrops. Rare outcrops of yellow marls, forming the main regional aquiclude (upper Yatta formation) near Ras Karkar (a); reefal limestone cliff at Wadi Zarqa (u-UBK formation) with high primary porosity and the development of karst and epikarst (b); karstic karren landscape (upper Bethlehem formation) with a relatively rare example of discontinuous soil cover (soil pockets) in Wadi Natuf (c).

Figure A2. Soil cover. Soil covering marly limestone (bottom Hebron/top Yatta formation), with some white caliche-type “Nari” crust (a, b, c); Ras Karkar soil measurement station (RK-W; lower Hebron formation) on natural terraces of thin terra rossa soil (d).

Figure A3. Lithostratigraphic formations. Colourful, thinly plaited limestone of the lower Bethlehem formation (l-Bet) with a more impermeable facies of fine marl intercalations (a) can act as a confining layer beneath upper Bethlehem (u-Bet). Cliff-forming coral-reef limestone of the upper UBK formation at Wadi Zarqa with high primary porosity but also with signs of karstification; the inlet photo shows a 1.5 cm thick remnant of coral branches (b). Twin band of soft yellow marls, located 2.5 km SE of Beitillu (c); it acts as confining layer beneath the local perched aquifer at the top of the lower UBK formation (top l-UBK) and the main part of l-UBK beneath.
Appendix B

Some technical equipment and interpretation problems were encountered at three of the eight SM stations (RK-W, KF-W and WZ-upT), resulting in unreasonable measurement records or misreadings. “Misreadings” here is understood as a general term for strong deviations between SM\textsubscript{obs} and SM\textsubscript{mod} or as unreasonable measurement values, either with alleged SM contents above cumulative rain heights or apparent moisture increase during dry spells. The reasons for such deviations of SM\textsubscript{obs} from SM\textsubscript{mod} are manifold: first, the model could be inadequate; secondly, the interpretation of recorded field data could have been affected by additional factors; or thirdly, the measurement equipment could have malfunctioned. It seemed clear that at least when levels of SM\textsubscript{obs} surpassed preceding seasonal precipitation, technical malfunctions occurred. This interpretation was strengthened by the following field observations: during summer and late autumn, before soil moisture slowly started to accumulate in the soil column, a lumpy-to-blocky aggregate structure was encountered in the silty and clayey terra rossa soils, which had dried up and shrunk during summer. These soil aggregates then formed about fist-size lumps, separated by desiccation cracks. By this, preferential flow paths were formed along these cracks, which are known to enable increased rates of rapid infiltration and soil moisture accumulation, as observed by Gimbel (2015) further north in the West Bank. The temporary presence of free water within the desiccation cracks may have caused unrealistic moisture levels if a sensor happened to cross such a crack. However, it is difficult to assess such a possibility in hindsight since the desiccation cracks are a transient soil pattern and their temporary position during measurements remained unknown. It is however important to note that such unreasonable SM readings did not occur in winter during the recharge events when the swelling soil had already closed the temporary desiccation cracks. In addition, soil samples collected by hand during the peak rainy season with independent control readings in the laboratory confirmed the validity of field readings at the automatic SM gauges. In only one instance were unrealistic SM readings also encountered during the end of the rainy season (April 2008); this was at station RK-W. Equipment failure is the most probable explanation here. However, this isolated late event happened long after the period of SM saturation and recharge and did not affect the results of the model calculations.

In addition to these conceptual interpretations, the overall reliability of SM readings was also assessed and analysed statistically. Table B1 lists the days with erroneous and questionable readings (together 147 d in four stations).

Table B2 compares the days with such misreadings to the total number of days measured and modelled, and it indicates their respective shares. Together, the 147 d of questionable measurement records in three stations represent 8.09 % of all days recorded (147 d out of 1818 d of total SM readings) and 0.72 % of the total model period (20 456 d for the eight stations over a 7-year period).

In WZ-upT, only 6 d of error occurred (November 2005), equivalent to 2.90 % of recording days.

In KF-W, 42 d (or 14.95 %) of error occurred over the entire read-out period.

In RK-W, 55 d of error occurred in early winter 2005 and 2006 (with SM\textsubscript{obs} > P\textsubscript{cumulative}), equivalent to 8.91 % of recorded days, in addition to 44 d (7.13 %) in April 2008 (totalling 16.05 % of failed readings).

Table B3 presents the Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency coefficients for the eight SM stations. All stations (except one, RK-E) show NSE values between 0.40 and 0.96. The one station with a bad match, RK-E (with an NSE of -0.35) was excluded from further calculations; its results were not used for the analysis of annual recharge coefficients. As a result, we can state that such periods of malfunctioning soil moisture probes are extremely short and rare; therefore, modelled SM can be considered a valid representation of actual SM developments over time and for different spatial units. In addition, the quantities of additional soil water above the maximum storage capacity are fully accounted for by the daily budgets of the percolation model.
Table B1. Days with misreadings.

| Soil station | Periods               | No. of misread days | Sum (days) |
|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| WZ-upT       | 31 Oct–5 Nov 2005     | 6                   | 6          |
| KF-W         | 9–20 Nov 2005         | 12                  |            |
|              | 17–25 Dec 2005        | +9                  |            |
|              | 29 Oct–13 Nov 2006    | +16                 |            |
|              | 18–22 Nov 2006        | +5                  |            |
| RK-W         | 6–15 Nov 2005         | 10                  |            |
|              | 22 Nov–25 Dec 2005    | +24                 |            |
|              | 4–24 Nov 2006         | +21                 |            |
|              | **Subtotal**          | **103**             |            |
| RK-W (Apr 2008) | 17 Mar–29 Apr 2008 | 44                  | 44         |
| All stations | **Total sum**         | **147**             |            |

Table B2. Periods and shares of days with misreadings.

| Soil station | Reference period | No. of days misread | Days misread in the 7-year (2557 d) period (%) | Misread days as a share of recorded days (days (%)) |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| WZ-upT       | Without Apr 2008 | 6                   | 0.23 %                                          | 207 (2.90 %)                                     |
| KF-W         | Without Apr 2008 | 42                  | 1.64 %                                          | 281 (14.95 %)                                    |
| RK-W         | Without Apr 2008 | 55                  | 2.15 %                                          | 617 (8.91 %)                                     |
| RK-W         | With Apr 2008    | 99                  | 3.87 %                                          | 617 (16.05 %)*                                   |
|              | **Subtotal**     | **103**             |                                                 | **617 (16.05 %)***                               |

| Soil station | Reference period | No. of days with SM > P | Days misread over a total period of 7 years (20 456 d) and eight stations (%) | No. of all days read (–) and share of misread days (%) |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| All stations | With Apr 2008    | 147                     | 0.72 %                                                                          | 1818 (8.09 %)                                          |
| All stations | Without Apr 2008 | 103                     | 0.50 %                                                                          | 1818 (5.67 %)                                          |
| RK-W         | Only Apr 2008    | 44                      | 0.22 %                                                                          | 0617 (7.13 %)                                          |

Percentages are rounded to the second digit after the decimal point.

Table B3. NSE statistics of SM_{obs} versus SM_{mod}.

| Station | NSE  |
|---------|------|
| BET     | 0.87 |
| Shu     | 0.80 |
| WZ-upT  | 0.63 |
| WZ-gh   | 0.40 |
| KF-W    | 0.79 |
| KF-E    | 0.96 |
| RK-W    | 0.70 |
| RK-E    | −0.35|
| Average of all (without RK-E) | 0.73 |
| Average of all (with RK-E)    | 0.60 |
Appendix C

Daily spring readings were carried out by hand (with a stopwatch and bucket) and by different personnel in the respective spring groups. The Beitillu spring group was fully covered for 3 years. In the first season (2003–2004), measurements started late in the season and then were read most of the days in winter and scarcely in summer. In the season 2009–2010, continuous readings continued until February 2010. The two springs at the Wadi Zarqa spring group (Salem and 'Akkari) had the same coverage as the Beitillu group in 2003–2004 and were almost uninterruptedly read from December 2005 (season 2005–2006) until February 2010. Hence, high coverage was achieved during almost six seasons in Wadi Zarqa and during nearly five seasons in Beitillu.

Table C1. Five spring data coverage.

| Year       | Abu Sa'efan | Bibi | Qos | Salem | 'Akkari | ∑ Coverage |
|------------|-------------|------|-----|-------|---------|------------|
| 2003–2004  | 57 %        | 35 % | 54 %| 58 %  | 58 %    | 35 %–58 %  |
| 2004–2005  | 2 %         | 2 %  | 2 % | 2 %   | 2 %     | 2 %        |
| 2005–2006  | 5 %         | 5 %  | 5 % | 79 %  | 79 %    | 5 % and 79 %|
| 2006–2007  | 100 %       | 100 %| 100 %| 100 % | 100 %   | full       |
| 2007–2008  | 100 %       | 100 %| 100 %| 100 % | 100 %   | full       |
| 2008–2009  | 100 %       | 100 %| 100 %| 100 % | 100 %   | full       |
| 2009–2010  | 42 %        | 42 % | 42 %| 68 %  | 68 %    | 42 % and 68 %|
## Appendix D

### Table D1. Soil depth matrix.

| Hydrostratigraphy | Upper Aquifer | Yatta | Lower Aquifer |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|--------------|
| Geological formation | Heb, Bet, Jerus | u-UBK, Yatta | LBK, l-UBK |
| (a) Terraces | | | |
| 1. Terraces with olives | 65, 40 cm | 42, 25 cm | (49), 26 cm |
| 2. Terraces with other types cultivation | n/a | (25) | n/a |
| 3. Formerly used but now uncultivated terraces | n/a | 12, 47 | 46, 51, 22 |
| 4. Natural terracing with shrubs and grass cover | (26) 40 | 10 | 22, 29 |
| (b) Plains | | | |
| 1. Arable plains with olive orchards | 63, 67 | 94 | 26 |
| 2. Arable plains with other types of cultivation | 36 | (56), 50, 65, 77 | 40 |
| 3. Arable plains without cultivation | (58) | 56 | n/a |
| 4. Rock plastered plains (karstification) | 32 | n/a | (5), 15 |
| 5. Dry plains with shrubs and grass cover | 19 | n/a | 19 |
| (c) Slopes | | | |
| 1. Non-terraced slopes with olives | n/a | 56 | 49 |
| 2. Non-terraced slopes with other types of cultivation | 58 | 50 | n/a |
| 3. Slopes with shrubs and grass cover | 11, 26, 32 | 40 | 18 |
| 4. Rock plastered slopes | 32 | n/a | 11 |
| (d) Pure rock cover and cliffs | | | |

The soil thickness survey was carried out at representative locations of every outcropping formation, such as different types of vegetation, relief, land use and natural land cover. The table simplifies the results for an overview over different typical soil depths for the regional units of Upper and Lower Aquifers and the regional Middle Aquitard (with the individual formations indicated in line 2). Values that were also encountered at the SM stations are shown in italics. Main land form types are shown in bold. The values for the l-UBK formation are representative of the main body of the formation but not for the top of l-UBK (see second row, right column). Cells marked as n/a represent untypical vegetation and land form types for the respective formations. LBK: Lower Beit Kahil formation.
Appendix E

If the 7 years covered in this study (2003–2004 to 2009–2010) are to be used for calculations of multi-annual averages, they must reflect the range of long-term climatic conditions in the basin. Since no long-term climatic records are available for Wadi Natuf, the representativeness of the 7 years modelled was compared on the level of the entire WAB area. The Hydrological Service of Israel (HSI, 2016) presents records of annual area precipitation for six different sub-basins (cell groups) within the Western Aquifer Basin from north to south (Fig. E1). Wadi Natuf lies at the border between the northern and central cell group of the WAB and near the Jerusalem cell group, and it was found to be best represented by a mixture of the central, northern and Jerusalem cells of the WAB.

The period of documented precipitation records spans 44 years from 1970–1971 to 2013–2014. Only 2 years of these were drier and only 12 years were wetter than the 7 years studied here. Out of these 12 wet years, only 5 years (or 11% of the 44 years) were wetter than the maximum in the range of the 7 years by more than 20%. And if we allow for a 10% margin of rainfall deviation, 82% of all 44 years (36 of 44 years) lie within the total range.

For each cell group (north – 210, centre – 211 and Jerusalem – 212) the arithmetic mean precipitation was calculated over 44 years and over the 7-year period, respectively. Then the average of the three mean values for each cell group was formed for both the entire and the 7-year period. These values were 610 mm a⁻¹ for the entire 44 years and 621 mm a⁻¹ for the 7 years studied here. In other words, the 7 years were slightly wetter than the average of all 44 years (for the spatial averages of three cell groups), but this was only by 1.7% (101.7% of the 44-year average, marked underlined in Table E1).

When the same analysis was done for the median instead of the arithmetic mean, long-term conditions resulted in 600 mm a⁻¹, and the 7-year period had 624 mm a⁻¹. The long-term and short-term median values were also compared in each cell group independently (their averages are indicated as underlined in Table E1). Table E1 shows the two different calculation methods and the end results of the analysis together with the individual results for each cell group.

Thus, it can be shown that the 7 years of rainfall observation in Wadi Natuf cover almost the entire range of long-term annual rain distribution. Only a single year, the winter of 1991–1992 with its century rainfall, clearly lies outside the rainfall range covered by our measurement period.

Figure E1. WAB cells of area precipitation (modified after HSI, 2016). The map indicates area precipitation for two periods: long term (1970–1971 to 2013–2014) and the 7 years of this study. Long-term area rainfall data for Wadi Natuf are not available; the 7-year period was measured by tipping buckets. Precipitation data for the three cells groups, nos. 210, 211 and 212, were taken from HSI (2016) (n.m. represents areas which were not measured.)
Table E1. Comparison of average rainfall in WAB cell groups: long term and the seven years from 2003–2004 to 2009–2010.

| Cell | Type            | Period                  | No. 210 | No. 211 | No. 212 | Average of all three |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|
| Item |                 |                         | North   | Centre  | Jerus   | Cell groups         |
| Average of all years | Long term       | 1970–1971 to 2013–2014 | 619     | 545     | 666     | 610                  |
| Average of 7 years    | 7-year period   | 2003–2004 to 2009–2010 | 557     | 463     | 841     | 621                  |
| Ratio                |                 |                         | 90.0 %  | 85.0 %  | 126.3 % | 101.7 %              |
| Median of all years  | Long term       | 1970–1971 to 2013–2014 | 597     | 504     | 698     | 600                  |
| Median of 7 years    | 7-year period   | 2003–2004 to 2009–2010 | 593     | 460     | 820     | 624                  |
| Ratio                |                 |                         | 99.3 %  | 91.3 %  | 117.6 % | 99.6 %               |

*a The above calculation uses the average between resulting means (610 and 621 mm a\(^{-1}\), respectively). The calculations below show the average of the ratios, formed in each cell group. WAB annual precipitation was based on data from the Hydrological Service of Israel (HSI, 2016). All rain heights are in mm a\(^{-1}\); the ratios are percentages.
Appendix F

When signatures of peak spring flow periods were compared with those of recharge (DP), it had to be assured that the discharge of the selected daily measured springs was representative for the spring groups draining the respective aquifers. This could be affirmed by the analysis shown below. In addition to the five springs that were daily measured, all springs in Wadi Natuf were measured during four key date campaigns. The tables below show that the ratios between the discharge at the combined daily measured springs and the entire spring group discharge were very stable; their relative shares deviated only slightly, as shown by the low standard deviation values in Tables F1 and F2.

Table F1. Comparison of spring discharge (and the standard deviation) between daily measured springs and the entire Beitillu (Harat al-Wad) spring group during key date campaigns.

| Spring flow (m³ d⁻¹)          | 2003 summer | 2003–2004 winter | 2004 summer | 2007 summer | SD  |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| Ein Abu Sa’efan               | 4.1         | 3.8              | 2.0         | 2.0         |     |
| Ein Al-Bibi                   | 3.0         | 1.6              | 1.4         | 1.3         |     |
| Ein Al-Qos                    | 12.4        | 16.7             | 9.6         | 13.2        |     |
| Sum of the Beitillu group     | 98          | 110              | 61          | 73          |     |
| Relative share (%)            |             |                  |             |             |     |
| Ein Abu Sa’efan               | 4 %         | 3 %              | 3 %         | 3 %         |     |
| Ein Al-Bibi                   | 3 %         | 1 %              | 2 %         | 2 %         |     |
| Ein Al-Qos                    | 13 %        | 15 %             | 16 %        | 18 %        |     |
| Sum of the three springs      | 19.9 %      | 20.2 %           | 21.4 %      | 22.4 %      | 1.15 % |

At the four key date campaigns, total spring outflow at the Beitillu spring group (Table F1) was between 61 and 110 m³ d⁻¹. The three daily monitored springs combined made up 20 %–22 % of total spring group flow with a standard deviation of 1.15 %. At the four key date campaigns, the total spring outflow at the Wadi Zarqa spring group (Table F2) was between 165 and 493 m³ d⁻¹. The two daily monitored springs combined made up 6.8 %–8.5 % of the total spring group flow with a standard deviation of 0.72 % (bottom right cell).

Table F2. Comparison of spring discharge (and the standard deviation) between daily measured springs and the entire Wadi Zarqa spring group during key date campaigns.

| Spring flow (m³ d⁻¹)          | 2003 summer | 2003–2004 winter | 2004 summer | 2007 summer | SD  |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| Ein Al-‘Akkari 1              | 13          | 17               | 14          | 7           |     |
| Ein Salem 1 (upper)           | 20          | 25               | 10          | 4           |     |
| Sum of the Wadi Zarqa group   | 414         | 493              | 303         | 165         |     |
| Relative share (%)            |             |                  |             |             |     |
| Ein Al-‘Akkari 1              | 3.1 %       | 3.5 %            | 4.5 %       | 4.3 %       |     |
| Ein Salem 1 (upper)           | 4.8 %       | 5.1 %            | 3.2 %       | 2.4 %       |     |
| Sum of the two springs        | 7.8 %       | 8.5 %            | 7.7 %       | 6.8 %       | 0.72 % |
Appendix G

In most years, the variability of precipitation was reciprocal to the variability of recharge. In other words, the wetter the year was, the higher the spatial variability of rainfall was, but the lower the spatial variability of recharge was. Variability here is expressed as the standard deviation ($\sigma$) for the values of the different stations. Although a single year (2005–2006) plotted far from the trend line, the otherwise quite pronounced pattern of the diagram shows that indeed spatial variability of recharge deserves more attention than it has given so far since in the WAB, most studies focused on temporal recharge variability. The trend is in line with general observations worldwide that basin responses in relatively dry climates are more variable than those in wet climates. A similar response pattern was observed for runoff in Messerschmid et al. (2018), where strong precipitation events triggered runoff in all areas alike, whereas weaker rainfall events showed different responses in different areas.

Figure G1. The axes are the scaling of standard deviations ($\sigma$) of $P$ and DP. Note that the linear trend line excludes the year 2005–2006, which deviates from the trend of the other years. In this year, spatial variability of recharge was quite high but nonetheless, spatial variability of recharge was high as well. 2005–2006 was a special year because it had an exceptionally intensive storm event (and the strongest during the entire measurement period) very late in the season (early April 2006). The different stations were affected differently by the relatively long dry period before the event and the high precipitation during the event, depending on the soil thickness and therefore on the speed of the drying of the soils and consequent soil saturation during the event.
Appendix H

Recharge rates (in mm a\(^{-1}\) or calculated as coefficients as a percentage) have been reported in numerous studies within the WAB and adjacent basins of the Mountain Aquifer (such as the Eastern Aquifer Basin). Table H1 presents a collection of findings in the literature from both the WAB as well as other regions of the world and from a large variety of different research methods (highly relevant studies marked in bold). The table shows that with an average area recharge coefficient between 41\% and 47\% (for the WAB portion of Wadi Natuf; see Messerschmid et al., 2020), and with RC values between 30\% and 57\% for the individual aquiferous formations (not the aquitards), the findings of the model match very well with the ranges from the literature. While the lowest figures reported only 9\%–40\% of RC, the highest figures reached over 50\% and up to 60\% of recharge coefficients (in the Mt Carmel basin with a very similar geology and climate as in Wadi Natuf). For a small groundwater catchment overlapping with the Wadi Natuf surface catchment, Weiss and Gvirtzman (2007) found a long-term average of 47\% (see note below Table H1). Both the temporal and spatial variability of reported values are impressive (temporal variability can be detected by comparing the average with maximum RC values; spatial variability emerges from the differences between different basins and sub-basins both within and outside the WAB). In this respect, Wadi Natuf is highly representative of many basins with similar climatic, geological, soil type and landscape conditions.
### Table H1. Recharge coefficients in the literature. Models included in the figure are the following. The MOSST model incorporates climate change scenarios and simulates spring outflow of a karstic spring using an ensemble of five lumped hydrological models that consider karst hydrological processes in various ways and complexities (see Hartmann et al., 2012c). “TRAIN-ZIN is a hydrological model that has been developed for simulating hydrological processes and water balances in semi-arid and arid areas and combines conceptual and physically-based approaches.” (Gunkel and Lange, 2016) TRAIN (TRAnspiration and INterception) describes the vertical flow, and ZIN describes the horizontal flow (Menzel, 2011).

| Author (year)       | Average | Maximum | Area       | Time   | Approach | Manner                                | Method                        | Miscellaneous                                      |
|---------------------|---------|---------|------------|--------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Sheffer et al. (2010) | 9%–40%  | (52%)   | Mountain aquifer | Annual | SM model | Calibrated, numerical water budget model | Daily soil saturation           | Non-empirically assumed field capacity (low) and soil thickness (high) |
| Gunkel et al. (2015) | 30%     | –       | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Model     | Distributed, field-based water balance model | TRAIN-ZIN, MOSST              | EAB, Wadi Fari’a and Jordan Valley, 6-year measurement period, event-based |
| Sanz et al. (2011)  | 34.3%   | 34.3%   | Spain      | Annual | Lithology | Clay (1.3%); silt (3.3%); limestone and dolomite 34.4% | Large areas, soil moisture deficit | As a factor of lithology and hydrologically effective precipitation |
| Cheng et al. (2017) | –       | 42%     | China      | Event  | Soil      | Maximum annual of 32.4%               | > 2 m thick soils              | In one event                                       |
| Bradford et al. (2002) | 39-45% | 45%     | UK chalk   | Annual | Models    | With input data at varying spatial resolutions | Soil moisture and precipitation | UK chalk, 4 years; SM deficit and hydrologically effective precipitation |
| Nativ et al. (1995) | 8%–55%  | –       | Northern Negev | Annual | Bromide   | In boreholes in fractured chalk         | Bromide profiles              | Arid desert climate (P of 200 mm a⁻¹)               |
| Abusaada (2011)     | 36%     | 53.2%   | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Flow model | WAB basin-wide water budget             | Three-dimensional flow model | Maximum recharge in 2003/04                        |
| Dvory et al. (2016) | 34%     | 54.3%   | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Model     | One-dimensional dual porosity model (linear) | Based on rock properties, karst | Local, west of Jerusalem, spatial litho-based differences (absolute maxima of 73.7 %) |
| Schmidt et al. (2014) | 25%–50% | 55%     | Mountain aquifer | Annual | SM model | Rain and ETp (spring flow) data        | Model, spring response        | EAB at Auja spring (spatially distributed); soil moisture deficit and groundwater model |
| Sheffer et al. (2010) | 20%–60% | –       | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Formula   | Guttman and Zukerman (1995), Guttman et al. (1988), and literature quotes on WAB-RC | Single-cell flow model        | Calibrated numerical annual water budget (non-empirical) and linear equation |
| Ries et al. (2015)  | 33%     | 66%     | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Model     | Maximum in wet years (average in Aujah) | SM model, budget              | EAB (mountains and slopes)                        |
| Guttman et al. (1988) | 30.6%  | 75.3%   | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Model     | WAB basin-wide water budget            | Quasi-three-dimensional flow model | Maximum recharge in 1991–1992 (single-cell water balance model of the coastal plain only) |
Table H1. (Continued.)

| Author (year) | Average | Maximum | Area | Time | Approach | Manner | Method | Miscellaneous |
|---------------|---------|---------|------|------|----------|--------|--------|---------------|
| Weiss and Gvirtzman (2007) | 6 %–65 % | – | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Formula | Guttman (2000), Guttman and Zuckerman (1995) | Quasi-three-dimensional flow model |
| Radulović et al. (2011) | 66.3 %–76.2 % | 76.2 % | Montenegro | Annual | Combination | Water budget and other methods (Montenegro) | Ranking system and matrix (Karst, lithology, structure, landform, runoff, plants, climate, soil and slope) |
| Allocca et al. (2014) | 50 %–79 % | 79 % | Southern Italy | Annual | Lithology | Precipitation and air temperature | Empirical model and linear regression |
| Goldscheider and Drew (2007) | – | >80 % | – | Event | Literature | Bare karstified limestones | Summary from the literature for spatially distributed recharge |
| Weiss and Gvirtzman (2007) | <10 %–80 % | 91 % | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Lithology | Maximum in 1988 at the l-LBK formation | Model, budget and spring response |
| Allocca et al. (2015) | 35 %–97 % | 97 % | Apennines | Event | Model | Storm by storm, Apennines | Air temperature, ET and rain |
| Shachor et al. (1965) | 47 % | – | Mountain aquifer | Annual | – | Mt Carmel average (undifferentiated) | – |
| Mero (1958) | 53 % | – | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Literature | Na’aman spring | Quoted after Lerner et al. (1990) for spatially distributed recharge |
| Rosenzweig (1972) | 60 % | – | Mountain aquifer | Annual | Landform | Mt Carmel average (by landform) | Annual on pasture and grassland |
| This study | 40.8 %–47.3 % | – | Mountain aquifer | Annual | SM model | Different formations with typical soil and slope characteristics | Spatially distributed recharge for the WAB in Wadi Natuf (by geology, soil and LU/LC) |

For the groundwater catchment of the Ein Al-Harrasheh spring, which overlaps with the Natuf surface catchment, Weiss and Gvirtzman (2007) reported a 28-year average recharge coefficient of 47.2%.
Data availability. The geological map data can be downloaded as image files from https://www.gov.il/he/departments/general/map-1-50000 (last access: 29 January 2020). The GIS vector files for the geological map data were provided by the GSI upon request. Areal rainfall and soil moisture time series data are partly archived at the database of the author and of the SUSMAQ project follow-up organisation HWE (http://hwe.org.ps/, last access: 29 January 2020). It is required to obtain the data from either of these organisations or authors, and it is not possible to make the data publicly available. Field sampling and measurements were undertaken by the SUSMAQ project and the lead author. Selected data can be requested from the author or SUSMAQ follow-up organisation HWE. Selected AWS data inputs and outputs are archived at the lead author; HWE and PWA and can be made available upon request at PWA (http://www.pwa.ps/page.aspx?id=5W6f7La2541180510a5W6f7L, last access: 29 January 2020). ET_p data for the Jerusalem station can be requested from the Is-
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