Self-truncated ionization injection and consequent monoenergetic electron bunches in laser wakefield acceleration
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The ionization-induced injection in laser wakefield acceleration has been recently demonstrated to be a promising injection scheme. However, the energy spread controlling in this mechanism remains a challenge because continuous injection in a mixed gas target is usually inevitable. Here we propose that by use of certain initially unmatched laser pulses, the electron injection can be constrained to the very front region of the mixed gas target, typically in a length of a few hundreds micro meters determined by laser-driven bubble deformation. Under some optimized conditions, the injection region is well limited within 200µm and the electron beam with central energy of 383 MeV, energy spread of ΔE/FWHM/E = 3.33%, normalized emittance of 3.12 mm·mrad and charge of 14.58 pC can be obtained according to particle-in-cell simulations. Both multi-dimensional simulations and theoretical analysis illustrate the effectiveness of this scheme.

PACS numbers: 41.75.Jv, 52.38.Kd, 52.65.Rr

The exploiting of the high acceleration gradient in a laser wakefield accelerator (LWFA) leads to a possible way towards compact and low cost high energy accelerators [1–4]. To compete with the radio-frequency accelerators, the LWFA requires studies pursuing high injection qualities. Injection means placing certain amount of electrons into the accelerating and focusing phase with proper velocities that they can be accelerated continuously. It can be either pre-accelerating some electrons to the phase velocity of the wakefield such as the colliding pulse injection scheme [5–7], or slowing down the wake so that some electrons can catch up with the accelerating phase such as the density ramping or transition injection scheme [8–12]. Recently a new injection scheme called the ionization-induced injection was proposed [13, 14]. This scheme is attractive due to its simple experimental setup and has been experimentally demonstrated by several groups recently [15–17]. Generally the ionization-induced injection scheme utilizes the higher ionization threshold of the K-shell of a high-Z gas (such as nitrogen, oxygen or argon) mixed with a low-Z gas (usually hydrogen or helium) to control the initial phase of the electrons ionized from the K-shell.

Although the ionization-induced injection has the advantage of relatively easy operation, it has large energy spread because the ionization-induced injection usually occurs continuously until the end of the mixed gas or beam loading effects occur [18, 19]. Efforts have been devoted to reduce the mixed gas length. In 2011, Liu et al. reduced the mixed gas length to 1mm by the two-stage accelerating configuration [20, 21]. In this experiment the first stage, called injector which filled with mixed gas, is about 1mm long. The following stage, called accelerator which filled with pure He, provides longer distance acceleration without injection. By this injector-accelerator scheme, a final absolute energy spread about 50MeV is observed. To further reduce the absolute energy spread one needs to reduce the mixed gas length further. However, the up-to-date minimum size of the mixed gas jet is about millimeter scale, which is still longer than satisfactory. Xia et al. demonstrated the quasi-monoenergetic beam generation by controlling the amount of charge from ionization-induced injections by using the laser power close to the ionization threshold of the inner shell of oxygen [22]. In their work, the injected electron energy spread is optimized to about 50MeV by adjusting the laser peak intensity. The process is both self-focusing and pump-depletion controlled and thus the optimization is not satisfactory. Other efforts such as using separated laser pulses to cut the gas profile are also devoted [23]. Although these experiments can improve the
final beam quality, usually complicated experimental configurations are required. All optical cutting in ionization based electron injection in LWFA and low energy spread beam production has not been reported.

In this letter, we propose a simple optical method to cut the injection length down to a few hundred micron meters with single stage only, which is much shorter than the mechanical limits obtained so far. This method utilizes the self-focusing process of an initially unmatched laser pulse which can automatically truncate the injection process due to bubble deformation before the mixed gas ends. Other than in Ref [22] which use self-focusing to start the ionization-induced injections, the self-focusing of the driver pulse in our work leads to a strong wakefield evolution which later breaks the ionization-induced injection condition and suppresses the injection process. The resulted injection length is shown to be determined by the period of the laser spot shrinks to the minimum size, which is usually a few hundred microns. This provides a simple way for high quality (low energy spread) ionization-induced injections.

In our simulations, the gas target is composed of nitrogen and helium mixed gas. The mixed gas is initially uniformly distributed except the 200µm up-ramp from the vacuum to the gas. The simulations are performed in OSIRIS 2.0 framework with the moving window scheme so that the gas target can be half-infinite [26]. The simulation box size is 50×100 µm², the cell size is 0.015625 × 0.25 µm² and the time step interval is 0.05 fs. The helium density is kept to be 1.6×10⁻³nₑ in all simulations, where nₑ = 1.745×10⁻¹¹ cm⁻³ is the critical density for the 800nm laser. The nitrogen atom density (nₖ) is relative small and varies from 5×10⁻⁸ to 1×10⁻⁵ nₑ. Due to the small concentration of nitrogen, the beam loading effects of the injected electrons are negligible, which will be explained in details in the following. The laser pulse is S-polarized in two-dimensional (2D) slab geometry simulations with wavelength of 0.8µm and pulse duration of LFWHM = 33 fs. The laser amplitude and focal waist parameters vary either at the so-called matched or unmatched condition for self-guiding [3].

To study the injection process, we first study the charge injection rate along the laser propagation distance in 2D-PIC simulations. The laser beam has a normalized vector potential of a₀ = eA/mc² = 2.0 and the waist of kₑW₀ = 7.594. Fig. 1 shows the injected beam charges vs laser propagation distance from simulations with different nitrogen concentration. One may notice in all the cases the injected beam charge saturates almost over the same laser propagation distance, which is around 400 ~ 450 µm. This phenomenon does not agree with the common understanding of the ionization-induced injection process. Usually ionization-induced injection will be continuous if the mixed gas length is long enough, or it will stop due to beam loading effects. According to the equation of maximum affordable number of electrons in the bubble regime N ≈ 2.5×10⁹ I[µJ/µm]0.8 · √P[TW]/10⁹ [3], the beam loading should occur when the charge approaches 249pC (or 36pC/µm in 2D slab geometry if we assume the width of the beam is about 7µm, which is a common beam width). In our case, the charge is proportional to the nitrogen concentration. This proves that the injected charges (at least for the low nitrogen concentration cases) are lower than that can trigger the beam loading effect. So the only reason for this kind of injection truncation is due to the shortening of the effective injection length by other mechanisms.

In order to see what induces the injection and cutoff processes, we plot the wake, laser field and the pseudo-potential of the wakefield at two different time steps in Fig. 2. From the static model of plasma based accelerators [17, 18, 27], one knows that the ionization-induced injection occurs when the pseudo-potential difference between the electron ionization position and the end of the wake bucket satisfies Δψ ≥ 1. Figure 2(a, c) show that at the first selected time step the laser pulse still holds its gaussian shape and Δψ of the excited wake is large enough for the ionization-induced injection to occur. However at the second selected position (450 µm) the laser is strongly deformed and self-focused, and the profile is transformed to a bell-like shape (front steepened), meanwhile the laser field amplitude is about 45% higher than initial. This larger amplitude laser pulse excites a wake with a larger amplitude (see Fig. 2(d)). The minimum potential increase which makes Δψ smaller and the ionization-induced injection condition (Δψ ≥ 1) is no longer satisfied. This is the reason of the injection truncation. Since the nitrogen concentration in all of our simulations is low, the laser pulse evolution is mainly affected or determined by the background plasmas. The pulse evolution in all of the simulations are almost the same. So the truncation positions of the ionization-induced injections are almost the same regardless of the change of nₖ.

![FIG. 2.](image-url) (a, b) Electron density and laser electric field distribution at the laser propagation distance of 200 and 450 µm, respectively. (c, d) Laser (red lines) and pseudo-potential (blue lines) line-outs at these two different time steps. Densities are normalized by nₑ = 1.745×10⁻¹¹ cm⁻³ and electric fields are normalized by E₀ = m_ec0⁻¹. The dashed lines show the ionization starting point of nitrogen inner shell and the fall to the bottom of the potential well (Δψ = 1.179 and 0.595, respectively).
The above analysis shows that it is the self-evolution of the pulse that terminates the ionization-induced injection process. As we know the pulse evolution in the plasma depends on its initial power and focus state. A matched laser pulse can have a very stable transverse profile during its propagation. To check our analysis we make a serials of simulations which consider the equation for laser profile evolution with parameters \( \frac{\alpha_0 P}{Z_R} \left( 1 - \frac{P}{P_c} \right) \), where \( R = W_0/W_0 \) is the normalized spot size and \( Z_R = k W_0^2/2 \) is the vacuum Rayleigh length \([28, 29]\). One can obtain the analytic solution \( R^2 = 1 + \frac{1 - P/P_c}{Z_R^2} \), which indicates that the laser spot size shrinks to 0 at \( z = z_{cut} = Z_R (P/P_c - 1)^{-1/2} \). We can estimate the injection length to be \( z_{cut} \). The former laser parameters \( (a_0 = 2 \) and \( k_0 W_0 = 7.594) \) gives \( z_{cut} = 373 \mu m \), which is consistent with previous simulation (Fig. 1). Next, we keep the laser power to be the same \( (P = 39TW) \), thus \( a_0 k_0 W_0 = 15.2 \) and change the initial \( a_0 \). From a series of simulations we found that the ionization-induced injections can be sufficiently reduced if \( a_0 > 2.9 \). Thus if we

![Image](341x667 to 425x736)

**FIG. 3.** (Color on line) (a) Injected charge number vs. laser propagation distance from 2D PIC simulations with different normalized laser vector potential \( a_0 \) and normalized waist \( k_0 W_0 \). The laser energies are kept the same in 2D slab geometry by keeping \( a_0^2 k_0 W_0 \) a constant. (b) Maximum axial laser field evolution of two cases: black line for \( a_0 = 2.4 \), \( k_0 W_0 = 5.27 \) and green line for \( a_0 = 3.2 \), \( k_0 W_0 = 2.97 \). The red dashed lines indicate the region where the injection is suppressed for the black line case.

seen in the same wake bucket. The final spectrum shows one mono-energetic peak with a low energy background, which has been observed in serval former experiments. However, in most of the reports, this phenomenon is explained by regarding the electrons to be in different buckets.

We compare the phase space and energy spectrum for these two cases in Fig. 4. With the unmatched laser pulse, the injected charge is reduced by a half but the energy spread is greatly improved, and mono-energetic electron beam due to ionization injection can be seen (Fig. 4a, c). Simulations prove that slightly increasing the \( n_s \) can recover the final injected charge but keep the low energy spread in unmatched cases. In the range of mixing ratio \( \sim 1\% \), the injected charge increases almost linearly with \( n_s \). In the matched case, due to the continuous injection, accelerated electron distribution in phase space appears continuous. This results in an almost flat spectrum in the final energy distribution (see Fig. 4b, d).

By estimating the self-focusing length, one can optimize the injection for better beam quality. To do this, we consider the equation for laser profile evolution with \( d^2 R/dz^2 = Z_R^{-2} R^3 (1 - P/P_c) \), where \( Z_R = W_0/W_0 \) is the normalized spot size and \( Z_R = k W_0^2/2 \) is the vacuum Rayleigh length \([28, 29]\). One can obtain the analytic solution \( R^2 = 1 + \frac{1 - P/P_c}{Z_R^2} \), which indicates that the laser spot size shrinks to 0 at \( z = z_{cut} = Z_R (P/P_c - 1)^{-1/2} \). We can estimate the injection length to be \( z_{cut} \). The former laser parameters \( (a_0 = 2 \) and \( k_0 W_0 = 7.594) \) gives \( z_{cut} = 373 \mu m \), which is consistent with previous simulation (Fig. 1). Next, we keep the laser power to be the same \( (P = 39TW) \), thus \( a_0 k_0 W_0 = 15.2 \) and change the initial \( a_0 \). From a series of simulations we found that the ionization-induced injections can be sufficiently reduced if \( a_0 > 2.9 \). Thus if we
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**FIG. 4.** (Color on line) The phase space (a, b) and the energy spectra (c, d) of the injected electrons for the cases (a, c) \( a_0 = 2.4 \), \( k_0 W_0 = 5.27 \) and (b, d) \( a_0 = 3.2 \), \( k_0 W_0 = 2.96 \), i.e. the black dots and the green triangle in Fig. 3(a), respectively. The beam qualities are (a, c) 7.1 pC in charge, 1.05 mm • mrad in emittance and 7.9% energy spread in FWHM with central energy of 160 MeV, (b, d) 15.9 pC in charge, 1.1 mm • mrad in emittance and 100% energy spread with maximum energy of 200 MeV.
choose the condition $a_0 = 2.9$, the minimum injection length is obtained to be 178 $\mu$m. The PIC simulations also confirm this estimation (the injection length is reduced to 187 $\mu$m with this set of parameters). At this condition, the ionization injection leads to the generation of an electron beam with 14.58$pC in charge, 383MeV in the central energy with energy spread of $\Delta E_{\text{FWHM}}/E = 3.33\%$ (shown in Fig. 5 (a)), and normalized emittance of 3.12mm $\cdot$ mrad at the propagation distance 1.4mm. In this optimized case, the initial laser parameters are $a_0 = 2.9$ and $W_0 = 11.69\mu$m, and the gas is $n_{\text{H}} = 2.8 \times 10^{18}$cm$^{-3}$ and $n_{\text{N}} = 8.5 \times 10^{15}$cm$^{-3}$ mixed. Compared with a very recent published work on two-pulse ionization injection [30], our work has the advantages on simpler configuration using one pulse only and larger charge with similar beam emittance.

In a real case, the pulse evolution is actually a three-dimensional (3D) process, therefore 3D simulation is necessary to check if self-truncated ionization injection really exists. The results from the 3D simulation with the same laser parameters as in the 2D optimized case are shown in Fig. 5(b-d). One may notice that in 3D the beam energy spread is larger than in 2D, and the ionization-induced injection is slowed down at 200$\mu$m instead of totally suppressed (Fig. 5(c)). This is because the 3D symmetric laser pulse has different evolution compared with that in 2D-slab geometry. Nevertheless, the quasi-monoenergetic character still confirms the validity of this injection truncation phenomenon. More simulations towards 3D optimization is our future goal.

In summary we have demonstrated a simple method to shorten the effective injection length in the ionization based scheme. The self-evolution of an initially unmatched laser pulse can break the ionization-induced injection condition and make the injection length much shorter than that for matched laser beam, which makes the final accelerated electron beam mono-energetic. Different from the former researches of the self-injection due to the bubble evolution [51, 52], the injection here is ionization-induced. It deserves to point out that since the shortening of the injection is based on pulse evolution, long distance acceleration for achieving high energy acceleration requires matched spot size at further stages. Nevertheless, our study is helpful for the initial injection process, which is vital for final beam qualities.
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FIG. 5. (Color on line) The optimized 2D simulation and its comparison with the 3D simulation. (a) The optimized 2D simulation result with laser parameters $a_0 = 2.9$ and $k_0 W_0 = 7.594$. (b) The 3D simulation beam energy spectrum with the same parameters as in the optimized 2D case. The spectrum is taken at propagation distance of 760$\mu$m. (c) The injected beam charge vs. propagation distance for the 2D simulation shown in (a) and 3D simulation shown in (b). When calculating the propagation distance, the density transition between vacuum and the gas is excluded. The charge zero point is not the distance zero point because it takes a while for the electrons to reach the injection criteria. (d) The pseudo-potential $\psi$ isosurface and its projections for the 3D wake, which evolves to a nut-like shape at propagation distance of a few hundred microns. The 3D simulation has the same simulation box size as 2D simulations, but the displayed region is reduced.
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