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Hepatocarcinogenesis-resistant DRH rats exhibit few and small preneoplastic hepatocytic lesions during hepatocarcinogenesis, of which traits have been assigned to two major chromosomal regions, Drh1 and Drh2. In this study, hepatocytes from DRH.F344-Drh1, a congenic strain in which the Drh1 chromosomal region was replaced with that of F344 rats, were compared to hepatocytes from Donryu (original strain), DRH, and F344 rats. Although DRH hepatocytes exhibited low proliferation and p38 dephosphorylation after lead nitrate (LN) treatment despite cytokine and Cox2 activation, DRH.F344-Drh1 hepatocytes exhibited high responses, as did Donryu and F344 hepatocytes. Moreover, although DRH hepatocytes were resistant to hepatotoxins, DRH.F344-Drh1 hepatocytes were as sensitive to hepatotoxins as Donryu and F344 hepatocytes. However, DRH.F344-Drh1 hepatocytes like DRH hepatocytes proliferated at lower rates in vitro and contained smaller nuclei than Donryu and F344 hepatocytes. Thus, low responses to LN and resistance to hepatotoxins in DRH hepatocytes were linked to the Drh1 locus, while low proliferation in vitro and small nuclear size were not linked to the Drh1 locus.

1. Introduction

DRH rats were established by the selective mating of Donryu rat progeny that exhibited resistance to hepatocarcinogenesis induced by the feeding of a 3′-methyl-4-dimethylaminobenzene (3′-Me-DAB) diet [1, 2]. DRH rats exhibit hepatocarcinogenesis resistance to 3′-Me-DAB as well as other hepatocarcinogens [3]. In DRH rats, preneoplastic hepatocytic lesions are smaller in size and fewer in number than those of ordinary rats [4, 5]. Genetic analysis has demonstrated that resistance is a dominant trait in a cross of DRH and Donryu rats [4] and that the number and size of preneoplastic hepatocytic lesions and the expression levels of glutathione-S-transferase-placental form (GST-P) mRNA and protein, a marker for preneoplastic hepatocytes, are strongly affected by highly significant quantitative trait loci (QTL) on rat chromosomes 1q (Drh1) and 4q (Drh2) [5, 6]. It has also been shown that the small size of preneoplastic hepatocytic lesions might be dependent mainly on the tissue environment; that is, transplanted DRH preneoplastic hepatocytes were observed to form large colonies in the livers of Donryu rats treated with dietary 2-acetylaminofluorene (2-AAF) plus partial hepatectomy (PH), which enables the selective growth of preneoplastic hepatocytes [7], and transplanted Donryu preneoplastic hepatocytes formed small colonies within the livers of DRH rats treated with the same protocol [8].

DRH hepatocytes have a number of unique properties compared to hepatocytes of ordinary rats. First, DRH hepatocytes exhibit less proliferation after treatment with lead nitrate (LN) [4, 9], a nonnecrogenic hepatocyte proliferating agent [10], which is thought to be mediated, at least in part, by the cytokines generated by activated Kupffer cells [11, 12]. Second, DRH hepatocytes are resistant to various hepatotoxic chemicals, including carbon tetrachloride (CCl4),
diethylnitrosamine (DEN), thioacetamide, 2-AAF, and 3′-Me-DAB [8]. Third, in primary culture, DRH hepatocytes exhibited less apoptosis and lower activation of p38 and Jun terminal kinase (JNK) than Donryu hepatocytes, although the expression levels of 8-hydroxyguanine and p53/p21 proteins that reflect DNA damage [13, 14] were comparable to those of Donryu hepatocytes [15]. Fourth, DRH hepatocytes are smaller in size than Donryu hepatocytes [15]. If some of these properties of DRH hepatocytes are linked to the Drh1 or Drh2 locus, then the properties might also be related to the mechanism(s) of hepatocarcinogenesis resistance in DRH rats.

DRH.F344-Drh1 rats represent the congenic DRH strain in which the chromosome 1 segment that includes the Drh1 locus is substituted with that of F344 rats [9]. These rats exhibit a high incidence of GST-P(+) foci in response to treatment with 3′-Me-DAB/PH and high liver cell proliferation after LN treatment, comparable to that in F344 rats, but formed intermediate-sized GST-P(+) foci and exhibited intermediate GST-P mRNA expression levels between the levels of F344 and those of DRH rats after treatment with DEN followed by dietary 3′-Me-DAB/PH [9]. This finding indicates that the Drh1 locus contributes to the low incidence of GST-P(+) foci formation and the low level of liver cell proliferation in response to LN treatment, but it does not fully explain the smaller size of the GST-P(+) foci. In the present study, we investigated which properties of DRH hepatocytes are linked to the Drh1 locus by comparing the hepatocytes of DRH.F344-Drh1 rats with those of DRH, Donryu and F344 rats in vivo and in vitro.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals and Treatments. Male 8- to 10-week-old DRH.F344-Drh1 (kindly provided by Dr. Hiai, Medical Center of the Shiga Prefecture, Japan), DRH (Seak Yoshitomi, Fukuoka, Japan), Donryu (Charles River, Tokyo, Japan), and F344 rats (Charles River) were used. The Drh1 locus on chromosome 1 of these rats is illustrated in Figure 1. The animals were maintained in 12 h light/dark cycles and given laboratory rodent pellet chow (Oriental, Tokyo, Japan) and water ad libitum. One group of rats was intravenously administered LN at a dose of 100 mmole/kg body weight, given bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) on day 1, 2, or 3 after LN treatment, and sacrificed 1 h after BrdU treatment. The liver tissues were perfusion-fixed with a 10% buffered-formalin solution, immersed in fixative solution for 24 h, and then processed for paraaffin embedding. The tissue sections were immunostained using an anti-BrdU antibody (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, Calif, USA), and the BrdU labeling index (LI) of hepatocytes was determined microscopically. Other groups of rats were administered DEN dissolved in physiological saline at a dose of 200 mg/kg body weight by intraperitoneal injection or CCl4 dissolved in olive oil at a dose of 5 mL/kg body weight by gastric tube and sacrificed 24 h later. Blood samples were taken from each rat, and serum was isolated to measure alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels. All animal procedures were approved by the Asahikawa Medical College committee according to the guidelines for humane care of laboratory animals and conducted according to the Asahikawa Medical College committee of animal care and use.

2.2. Primary Hepatocyte Culture and Cell Proliferation Assay. Hepatocytes were isolated using a collagenase perfusion method [16] and plated on 12-well plates. They were first cultured in Williams’ E medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for 24 h and then cultured in hepatocyte growth medium (HGM) [17] supplemented with 5 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and various concentrations of EGF (0, 1, 10, or 50 ng/mL) or HGF (0, 5, 20, or 100 ng/mL) for 3 days. The cultured hepatocytes were treated with [3H]-thymidine for 3 days after beginning culture with HGM, and [3H]-thymidine incorporation into DNA was determined using a liquid scintillation counter (Beckmann-Coulter, Palo Alto, Calif, USA).

2.3. Nuclear Size Analysis. Freshly isolated hepatocytes were plated on a 15 mm diameter cover glass coated with Cellmatrix Type 1-A (Nitta Gelatin, Tokyo, Japan) in Williams’ E medium supplemented with 10% FBS, cultured for 2 h to allow attachment to the cover glass, fixed in 1% buffered-formalin solution and stained by DAPI. The cells were observed under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a cool-scanning digital camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). Nonfluorescent and DAPI-stained nuclear images of hepatocytes were saved as Photoshop files, and each cell was divided into small single- or double-, medium single- or double- or large single- or double-nuclear cells using ImageJ software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Md, USA). At least 500 hepatocytes were measured for each rat, using three rats from each strain.

2.4. Western Blot Analysis. Protein lysates were prepared from liver tissue samples, run on 8–13% polyacrylamide gels containing 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and transferred to PVDF membranes (Amersham, Uppsala, Sweden). The membranes were probed with primary antibodies and then hybridized with horseradish peroxidase- (HRP-) conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (Amersham). The bound antibodies were detected using an ECL-plus kit (Amersham).
Figure 2: Proliferation of hepatocytes in Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 rats after LN treatment. (a) Day 2 after LN treatment. BrdU(+) hepatocyte nuclei occurred frequently in Donryu, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 livers but occurred much less frequently in DRH livers. (b) The BrdU LI in hepatocytes was most strongly increased on day 2 after LN treatment in Donryu, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 livers but was much lower in DRH livers. *P < 0.05 in comparison with DRH (n = 5) against Donryu (n = 5), DRH.F344-Drh1 (n = 4), or F344 (n = 8).

2.5. Electromobility Shift Assay (EMSA). EMSA was performed for nuclear extracts of LN-treated liver tissues, and the DNA-protein complexes were resolved on a 6% polyacrylamide gel. A biotin-labeled oligonucleotide representing an NFκB consensus site (5′-AGTTGAGGGGACTTTCCCAGG-3′) and a Stat3 consensus site (5′-TTCTGGGAATT-3′) was used as a probe.

2.6. Real-Time RT-PCR. Fresh liver samples were isolated at 0, 3, 6, 12, and 24 h after LN treatment, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until further use. RNA was isolated with Sepazol and reverse-transcribed using the generic oligo dT primer and reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif, USA). The following PCR primers were used: for TNF-α, 5′-CCCCATTGGGAACTTCTCCT-3′ (forward) and 5′-AGATGGAGGGCAGGAGG-3′ (reverse); for IL-6, 5′-GCCCTTCAGGAACAGCTATG-3′ (forward) and 5′-CTACGTGAT-3′ (reverse); for Cox2, 5′-AGATGGAGGGCAGGAGG-3′ (forward) and 5′-ACTGATGAGTGAAGTGCTGG-3′ (reverse). Real-time RT-PCR was performed using a LightCycler (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Basel, Switzerland) with LightCycler3 Run software (version 5.32). Fluorescence was generated by a Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix UDG, and data were collected with LightCycler3 Data Analysis software (version 3.5.28).

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Each experiment was performed at least three times, and representative data are shown. Data in bar graphs are given as the mean ± SD. The means were analyzed using Student’s t-test or ANOVA, and P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Hepatocyte Proliferation, Cytokine Activation, and p38 MAPK Hypophosphorylation after LN Treatment. When Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 rats were treated with LN, the BrdU LI markedly increased in DRH.F344-Drh1 hepatocytes on day 2 as reported by Liu et al. [9], similarly to the increases observed in Donryu and F344 hepatocytes, but the BrdU LI did not increase in DRH hepatocytes (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)), indicating that the Drh1 locus is linked to the low response of DRH hepatocytes to LN. It has been suggested that hepatocyte proliferation induced by LN is mediated at least partly by cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6, which are generated by activated Kupffer cells [11, 12]. In addition, Cox2 protein expression in activated Kupffer cells, which leads to the overproduction of prostaglandins, has been shown to play an important role in hepatocyte proliferation [18]. Furthermore, when Donryu rats were treated with indomethacin, a Cox1/2 inhibitor, prior to and after LN treatment, the BrdU LI of hepatocytes decreased to approximately 50% of the values after LN treatment alone on day 2, indicating that prostaglandins at least partly mediate hepatocyte proliferation after LN treatment (unpublished data by M. Yamamoto). We therefore investigated whether
the Drh1 locus plays a role in cytokine and Cox2 activation after LN treatment. As shown in Figure 3(a), TNF-α, IL-6, and Cox2 mRNA expression levels were increased to the same extent from 3 to 12 h after LN treatment, peaking at 6 h in DRH.F344-Drh1, Donryu, and DRH livers, suggesting that the Drh1 locus is not related to cytokine and Cox2 activation after LN treatment. Because TNF-α and IL-6 can activate NFκB and Stat3, respectively, which are transcription factors that play an important role in hepatocyte proliferation [19], we investigated NFκB/Stat3 activation by EMSA using nuclear lysates prepared from LN-treated livers and NFκB/Stat3-specific oligonucleotide probes. Figure 3(b) illustrates that NFκB/Stat3 activation occurred 6–16 h after LN treatment, with a peak at 6 h, in Donryu, DRH, and DRH.F344-Drh1 livers.

It has been reported that when the liver is exposed to toxic chemicals, the phosphorylation status of MAPK pathway proteins, including ERK1/2, JNK, and p38, fluctuates shortly after exposure [20–22]. We therefore investigated whether there was any difference in the phosphorylation status of MAPKs after LN treatment in the livers of Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 rats. ERK1/2, which was hypophosphorylated in normal livers, became hyperphosphorylated 3–12 h after LN treatment, whereas...
3.2. Susceptibility of Hepatocytes to Hepatotoxic Chemicals. DRH hepatocytes have been demonstrated to be resistant to various hepatotoxic chemicals, including CCl₄, thioacetamide, DEN, 2-AAF, and 3′-Me-DAB [8]. To test the role of the Drh1 locus in hepatotoxin susceptibility, Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 rats were administered 200 mg/kg DEN or 5 mL/kg CCl₄ to compare liver injury 24 h after treatment. After DEN treatment, serum ALT and AST levels in DRH.F344-Drh1 rats were as high as those in Donryu and F344 rats, while these levels were significantly lower in DRH rats (Figure 5(a)). After CCl₄ treatment, DRH rats exhibited a lower degree of hepatic injury than Donryu rats as previously reported [8], while F344 rats also showed the lower degree of injury than Donryu rats (Figure 5(b)), presumably because the factor(s) other than the Drh1 locus that was involved to the CCl₄ susceptibility might be different between Donryu and F344 rats. However, DRH.F344-Drh1 rats exhibited a higher degree of hepatic injury than DRH and F344 rats (Figure 5(b)).

3.3. Hepatocyte Proliferation In Vitro. We next addressed whether the Drh1 locus plays a role in the proliferative capacity of hepatocytes. For this purpose, hepatocytes were isolated from Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 rats and cultured in the presence of various concentrations of EGF (0, 1, 10, or 50 ng/mL) or HGF (0, 5, 20, or 100 ng/mL). Because [³H]-thymidine uptake increased beginning on day 1 after growth factor treatment and peaked on day 3 in the hepatocytes of all rats (data not shown), the cells were then incubated with [³H]-thymidine between days 1 and 3. Uptake of [³H]-thymidine into hepatocyte DNA increased after EGF or HGF treatment in all rats, but DRH.F344-Drh1 and DRH hepatocytes exhibited approximately 50–70% of the uptake observed in Donryu and F344 hepatocytes (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)).

3.4. Nuclear Size. The DRH hepatocytes are smaller in size than Donryu hepatocytes [15]. We therefore compared the nuclear sizes of Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 hepatocytes collected from 8-week-old rats. When the DAPI-stained nuclear images of hepatocytes cultured for 2 h on cover glass were analyzed by Image J software, the nuclear size could be categorized into small, medium, and large classes (data not shown). Approximately 60% of DRH.F344-Drh1 and DRH hepatocytes had single- or double-small-sized nuclei, while the remainder had single-medium-sized nuclei (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)). By contrast, 10–15% of Donryu and F344 hepatocytes had double-small-sized nuclei, while the remaining 85–90% had single- or double-medium-sized nuclei (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)).

4. Discussion

In DRH rats, two major genetic loci, Drh1 on chromosome 1 and Drh2 on chromosome 4, have been demonstrated to have strong effects on the number and size of preneoplastic hepatocytic lesions during hepatocarcinogenesis [5, 6]; DRH hepatocytes, on the other hand, have unique properties, including low levels of proliferation after LN treatment [4, 9], resistance to hepatotoxic chemicals [8], small cell size [15] and low levels of p38 and JNK activation in vitro [15]. If some of these properties are linked to the genetic loci, then the properties might be related to the mechanism(s) of hepatocarcinogenesis resistance in DRH rats. By comparing DRH.F344-Drh1 hepatocytes with Donryu, DRH, and F344 hepatocytes, we demonstrated that low levels of proliferation and low levels of p38 dephosphorylation after LN treatment and resistance to hepatotoxic chemicals in DRH hepatocytes...
Figure 5: Liver injury after DEN and CCl₄ treatment. (a) After DEN treatment, DRH.F344-Drh1 rats ($n = 6$) exhibited high AST/ALT values, similar to those of Donryu ($n = 6$) and F344 rats ($n = 5$); these levels were much higher than those of DRH rats ($n = 6$). *$P < 0.05$. (b) After CCl₄ treatment, DRH.F344-Drh1 rats ($n = 10$) also exhibited high AST/ALT values, similar to those of Donryu rats ($n = 9$); these levels were higher than those of DRH ($n = 12$) and F344 rats ($n = 11$). *$P < 0.05$.

Figure 6: Uptake of [³H]-thymidine into hepatocyte DNA after EGF or HGF treatment. Although [³H]-thymidine uptake was increased after either EGF (a) or HGF (b) treatment, it was significantly lower in DRH and DRH.F344-Drh1 hepatocytes than in Donryu and F344 hepatocytes (*$P < 0.05$).
which are expressed in activated Kupffer cells [23, 24], were increased, and NFκB and Stat3, which are transcription factors that can be activated, respectively, by TNF-α and IL-6 to play important roles in hepatocyte proliferation [19], were activated. However, there was no remarkable difference between DRH, Donryu, and DRH.F344-Drh1 livers regarding cytokine and Cox2 mRNA expression and NFκB or Stat3 activation after LN treatment, suggesting that the low level of proliferation of DRH hepatocytes induced by LN might not be due to the lack of factors that mediate hepatocyte proliferation; instead, they might be dependent on the inherent properties of DRH hepatocytes.

It has been reported that, although p38 is hyperphosphorylated in normal livers, it becomes hypophosphorylated after treatment with chemicals such as CCl4, d-galactosamine, and thioacetamide [20–22] and that p38 hypophosphorylation is mediated by activation of the phosphatase MKP-1 [20]. In the present study, we demonstrated that p38 dephosphorylation also occurred after LN treatment, an effect that was dependent on the Drh1 locus. Although the mechanism of p38 dephosphorylation induced by LN is unknown, this phenomenon may be related to the low level of proliferation of DRH hepatocytes induced by LN and to hepatocarcinogenesis resistance in DRH rats.

The resistance of DRH hepatocytes to DEN and CCl4 was also linked to the Drh1 locus. Liu et al. [9] reported that when a 3′-Me-DAB diet was continuously provided, 17.9%, 11.3%, and 5.7% of the total liver tissues were made up of fibrotic areas in F344, DRH.F344-Drh1, and DRH rats, respectively, suggesting that the Drh1 locus may also be related to resistance to chronic hepatic injury induced by 3′-Me-DAB. Hepatic injury of the liver tissue is considered important for the selective growth of preneoplastic hepatocytes during hepatocarcinogenesis [25]. In fact, transplanted DRH preneoplastic hepatocytes proliferate at high rates in Donryu livers treated with 2-AAF/PH, while transplanted Donryu hepatocytes proliferate at low rates in DRH livers treated with the same regimen [8]. Therefore, the tissue environment of the DRH liver may be less effective for the selective growth of preneoplastic hepatocytes during hepatocarcinogen treatment, which may be one of the mechanisms of hepatocarcinogenesis resistance in DRH rats.

The low level of proliferation of DRH hepatocytes in vitro is not linked to the Drh1 locus. Hepatocyte proliferation in vitro is associated with complex changes, including the loss of hepatocyte-specific gene expression (e.g., albumin and cytochrome p4502A1), the gain of bile duct epithelium-specific gene expression (e.g., cytokeratin 19), and the activation of specific transcription factors (e.g., AP1 and NFκB) [17]. In addition, the signals mediated by the extracellular matrix influence proliferation and gene expression in hepatocytes in vitro [17, 26–28]. Although the basis for the low level of proliferation of DRH hepatocytes in vitro remains to be investigated, DRH hepatocytes may have inherently low responsiveness to hepatocyte growth factors. Because the proliferation of preneoplastic hepatocytes is considered to be dependent on extracellular signals rather than on autonomous growth [25], the low level of proliferation of DRH hepatocytes in vitro may be related to the small

**Table 1: Comparison of hepatocytes from Donryu, DRH, DRH.F344-Drh1, and F344 rats.**

| Phenotype | Donryu | DRH | DRH.F344-Drh1 | F344 |
|-----------|--------|-----|---------------|------|
| Proliferation by LN | High | Low* | High* | High |
| P38 dephosphorylation | High | Low* | High* | High |
| Susceptibility to DEN and CCl4 | High | Low* | High* | High* |
| Proliferation by GF | High | Low** | Low** | High |
| Nuclear size | Large | Small** | Small** | Large |

*Phenotype different between DRH and DRH.F344-Drh1. **Phenotype similar between DRH and DRH.F344-Drh1. 1High to DEN as Donryu, but lower to CCl4 than Donryu.

are linked to the Drh1 locus, while low levels of proliferation in vitro and small nuclear size are not linked to this locus (Table 1).

It has been suggested that the hepatocyte proliferation induced by LN is at least partly due to the soluble factors generated by activated Kupffer cells [11, 12]. After LN treatment, the mRNA levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and Cox2,
prenoeplastic hepatocytic lesions present in DRH livers during hepatocarcinogenesis.

The small nuclear size of DRH hepatocytes was also not linked to the Drh1 locus. Although hepatocytes have single-diploid nuclei during development, double-nuclear diploid and single- or double-nuclear tetraploid cells constitute the main populations in the adult liver [29]. Double-nuclear cells may be generated by a lack of cytokinesis after DNA synthesis, while tetraploid cells may be generated by a lack of mitosis after DNA synthesis in diploid cells [29]. On the other hand, polyploid hepatocytes increase in number under various pathophysiological conditions, including liver regeneration after partial heptectomy [30], exposure to hepatotoxic chemicals such as retrorsine [31, 32], and metabolic defects associated with copper or iron deposition [33, 34]. Moreover, polyploid hepatocytes have been shown to express senescence phenotypes [35], including increased expression of p21 and β-galactosidase, which has been demonstrated in senescent cells [36, 37], low replication capacity, and high levels of apoptosis [35]. It is possible that suppressed or delayed tetraploidization in DRH hepatocytes reflects a diminished senescence phenotype, which may be linked to hepatocarcinogenesis resistance in DRH rats.

In summary, DRH hepatocytes possess unique properties, some of which are linked to the Drh1 locus. However, it remains to be investigated whether other properties are linked to the Drh2 locus. Identification of the genes present in these loci may contribute to the clarification of the mechanism(s) and prevention of hepatocarcinogenesis.
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