To expand substantially and spread malignantly, a cancer must induce the formation of new blood vessels (Bergers and Benjamin, 2003; Ferrara et al., 2004). In the pivotal angiogenic switch, the tumor acquires the ability to produce or increase the bioavailability of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A; herein referred to as VEGF), which is essential for endothelial cell (EC) growth and survival in the tumor vasculature (Bergers and Benjamin, 2003; Ferrara et al., 2004). Novel anticancer agents such as bevacizumab, a humanized mAb against VEGF, that block VEGF signaling and trigger EC apoptosis and vascular regression preferentially within tumors, but how the ECs die is not understood. In this study, we demonstrate that VEGF-A deprivation, provoked either by drug-induced tumor shrinkage or direct VEGF-A blockade, up-regulates the proapoptotic BH3 (Bcl-2 homology 3)–only Bcl-2 family member Bim in ECs. Importantly, the tumor growth inhibitory activity of a VEGF-A antagonist required Bim–induced apoptosis of ECs. These findings thus reveal the mechanism by which VEGF-A blockade induces EC apoptosis and impairs tumor growth. They also indicate that drugs mimicking BH3–only proteins may be exploited to kill tumor cells not only directly but also indirectly by ablating the tumor vasculature.

Although VEGF blockade is known to kill ECs within the blood vessels of solid tumors, how the ECs die has been unknown. Because the prosurvival protein Bcl-2 is up-regulated in ECs after stimulation with VEGF (Gerber et al., 1998), we reasoned that a proapoptotic BH3 (Bcl-2 homology 3)–only member of that family (Youle and Strasser, 2008) might initiate the EC death provoked by falling VEGF levels when solid tumors shrink during cancer therapy. The BH3–only protein Bim (O’Connor et al., 1998) is critical for the apoptosis induced by cytokine deprivation in several cell types (Bouillet et al., 1999; Youle and Strasser, 2008), and notably, in early development of the eye, Bim is critical for the programmed cell death of the ECs comprising the vessels of the tunica vasculosa lentis, a residual embryonic vasculature (unpublished data). We therefore hypothesized...
that Bim might be responsible for the EC death that is induced by deprivation or blockade of VEGF in tumor-associated blood vessels.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Novel experimental system to examine the impact of tumor shrinkage and the resulting VEGF reduction on tumor vasculature

We wanted first to explore whether the tumor shrinkage that accompanies chemotherapy impairs the tumor vasculature. However, conventional anticancer drugs can complicate studies of the relationship between the tumor and its vasculature by directly killing the ECs (Miller et al., 2001). Thus, we developed an experimental system that reveals how tumor shrinkage affects ECs in the absence of direct toxicity to the tumor vasculature. Mouse B16.F1 melanoma and 3LL–Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) cells were rendered sensitive to the otherwise nontoxic prodruk ganciclovir (GCV) by introduction of a vector allowing expression of a hyperactive form of thymidine kinase (TK; Black et al., 2001). After confirmation of their sensitivity to GCV in vitro (Fig. S1, A–C), these cells were injected subcutaneously into syngeneic WT or Bim−/− mice to establish solid tumors. In these animals, GCV treatment should only directly kill the tumor cells.

We first assessed how tumor shrinkage affected VEGF levels. Three daily injections of GCV produced a comparable drop in B16.F1 tumor weight in WT and Bim−/− mice (Fig. S1 D). Daily examinations showed that the reduction in the intratumoral levels of VEGF within GCV-treated tumors coincided with the reduction in tumor growth and tumor volume (Fig. S1, E and F). This is consistent with the notion that the B16.F1 cancer cells are major producers of VEGF within the tumors. Fractionation of nontumor (GFP−) and tumor cell populations (GFP+) confirmed that both populations expressed appreciable levels of VEGF (Fig. S1 G). However, as tumor cells comprise the bulk of the tumor mass, tumor cell–specific killing mediated by GCV should account for most of the reduction in intratumoral VEGF levels. VEGF120 and VEGF164 isoforms predominate in both mouse and human tumors (Ferrara et al., 1991), and it was revealed that in the majority of GCV-treated B16.F1 tumors, at least one of these VEGF isoforms was substantially reduced (Fig. 1 A). Indeed, ELISA readings confirmed that GCV treatment provoked a precipitous drop in intratumoral VEGF levels: ~91% in WT and ~85% in Bim−/− animals (Fig. 1 B). Accordingly, immunohistochemical staining of B16.F1 tumors showed that the GCV–induced tumor shrinkage led to large areas devoid of VEGF (Fig. 1 C).

Bim drives the EC apoptosis evoked by the drop in VEGF levels

Because the tumor vasculature in these animals is host derived, comparison of tumors grown in Bim-deficient and WT mice allowed us to determine whether the destruction of the tumor vasculature relies on Bim. Importantly, in the Bim-deficient hosts, the loss of tumor vasculature and EC apoptosis was markedly reduced, as assessed by both analysis of microvessel density (Hasan et al., 2002) and flow cytometry using CD31 as a marker of ECs. As CD31 is also expressed on a small subset of leukocytes, we sought to confirm the identity of the CD31+ structures in our microvessel density analysis (Fig. 2, A and B). Immunolabeling for both F4/80 (macrophages) and von Willebrand factor (vWF; ECs) revealed that macrophage infiltrate was minimal and that vWF+ ECs coalesced to form luminal structures consistent with blood vessels and identical to that observed with
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CD31 staining (Fig. S2 A). As anticipated, there was little overlap between the localization of F4/80+ and vWF+ immunoreactivity, indicating that few macrophages were associated with blood vessels. Consistent with these data, the vast majority of F4/80+ cells (ranging between 73 and 86% for all tumors and treatment conditions) did not express CD31 (Fig. S2 B). Thus, the CD31+ structures identified by immunohistochemistry and the CD31+ cells detected by flow cytometry represent ECs. In WT mice, the GCV-treated tumors exhibited fewer CD31+ vessels than the controls (Fig. 2 A and B) and accordingly contained more large areas of necrosis (Fig. 2 A). In contrast, the tumors in GCV-treated Bim−/− mice maintained significantly greater vascularity (Fig. 2 B); thus, their vascular architecture was preserved, and they had fewer necrotic areas (Fig. 2 A). Notably, the

GCV-induced tumor shrinkage caused an ~50% loss in viable tumor-associated ECs (CD31+ propidium iodide− [CD31+PI−]) in WT mice (P = 0.01), whereas the corresponding tumors from Bim−/− mice exhibited no such depletion (Fig. 2 C). This effect appears to be specific for the proapoptotic activity of Bim, as tumors from GCV-treated mice lacking the BH3-only protein Bmf, which like Bim has been implicated in the apoptosis induced in certain cell types by growth factor deprivation (Labi et al., 2008), exhibited numbers of viable ECs comparable with WT controls (Fig. S3).

We inferred from these results that Bim was required for EC apoptosis during tumor regression. Indeed, combined staining for CD31 and DNA double-strand breaks (TUNEL), a hallmark of apoptosis, identified abundant dying ECs in

Figure 2. Bim is required for the tumor shrinkage–induced apoptosis of tumor-associated ECs. (A) TK-expressing B16.F1 melanomas were grown subcutaneously in WT or Bim−/− mice until they reached a volume of ~50 mm3, whereupon the animals were treated with three daily injections of GCV or saline. On day 4, sections of tumors were stained for CD31 (brown). N, necrosis. (B) Quantification of microvessel density in tumor tissue sections described in A. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 4 per genotype and treatment condition). (C) FACS analysis of single-cell suspensions of tumors from saline- or GCV-treated WT or Bim−/− mice. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 5 per genotype and treatment condition). (D) Tumors were subjected to TUNEL and CD31 staining to identify apoptotic ECs (yellow). Bars: (A) 100 µm; (D) 40 µm.
GCV-treated tumors from WT animals but few in equivalent tumors from Bim−/− animals (Fig. 2 D). In support of the results from the melanoma tumor model, experiments with the lung carcinoma cells confirmed that Bim is essential for the intratumoral EC apoptosis provoked by the drop in VEGF levels elicited by GCV treatment (Fig. S4).

To monitor Bim expression in tumor-associated ECs, we exploited Bim-lacZ (heterozygous) knockin mice, in which a β-galactosidase transcriptional reporter replaces the Bim coding region (Bouillet et al., 2001). Flow cytometric analysis of cells stained for CD31 and incubated with a fluorogenic β-galactosidase substrate revealed that cells with high Bim transcription increased several-fold and peaked after two daily injections of GCV (on harvest day 3; Fig. 3, A and B), probably because the ECs with elevated Bim were then rapidly eliminated. To facilitate protein analysis, CD31+ ECs were isolated from saline- or GCV-treated B16.F1 tumors, and their purity was confirmed (Fig. S5, A–C). The level of BimEL, the most highly expressed isoform of Bim in the majority of tissues (O’Connor at al., 1998; O’Reilly et al., 2000), was ~2.4-fold higher in ECs from GCV-treated than saline-treated tumors (Fig. 3 C and Fig. S5 F).

Bim levels are regulated in multiple ways (Ley et al., 2005; Youle and Strasser, 2008), and pertinent, several proteins implicated in its regulation were altered in tumor-associated ECs after GCV treatment. The phosphorylated (active) forms of Akt and Erk1/2 were reduced (Fig. S5, D and E), and the reduced level of the phosphorylated (inactive) form of FOXO3a (Fig. S5 D) is consistent with the activation and nuclear translocation of this transcription factor, which induces Bim transcription after cytokine withdrawal (Dijkers et al., 2000).

Bim-mediated EC death is essential for VEGF blockade–induced inhibition of tumor growth

To causally link VEGF neutralization, Bim up-regulation, and EC apoptosis, we treated 3LL-LLC–bearing animals with VEGF-blocking mAbs (Liang et al., 2006). As with GCV-induced tumor shrinkage, direct VEGF blockade in WT mice substantially reduced both vascularization (Fig. 4 A) and the number of tumor-associated ECs (Fig. 4 B). In contrast, neither vessel density nor ECs decreased in the tumors of Bim−/− mice (Fig. 4, A and B). Moreover, apoptotic ECs (Fig. 4 D, dashed boxes) were prevalent in the carcinomas from WT animals treated with anti-VEGF antibody but scarce in those tumors from Bim−/− mice. Like the GCV treatment of mice bearing TK-expressing tumors, VEGF blockade augmented Bim expression. The proportion of tumor-associated ECs with elevated Bim transcription increased significantly after anti-VEGF antibody treatment of both the lung carcinoma and melanoma (Fig. 4 C and not depicted). Notably, 3LL-LLC–bearing mice lacking the BH3-only proteins Puma or Bid did not exhibit any significant protection of ECs after anti-VEGF treatment (Fig. 4 E). Thus, Bim is the principal initiator of this apoptotic response in ECs.

As observed with other tumors (Liang et al., 2006), VEGF blockade significantly slowed the growth of the 3LL-LLC in WT mice (Fig. 4 F). In striking contrast, the tumors in anti-VEGF antibody–treated Bim−/− mice grew much faster, in rates comparable with those in control IgG–treated Bim−/− or WT animals (Fig. 4 F). To exclude the possibility that loss of the proapoptotic function of Bim in hematopoietic cells (Bouillet et al., 1999) might have impacted the response of tumors to VEGF blockade in Bim−/− mice, we performed reciprocal hematopoietic reconstitutions (with reconstitution efficiency >85%; unpublished data) and evaluated the response

Figure 3. Drug-induced tumor shrinkage causes up-regulation of a Bim transcriptional reporter and elevated Bim protein in tumor-associated ECs. TK-expressing B16.F1 melanomas were grown and treated as described in Generation of B16.F1 and 3LL-LLC cell lines stably expressing TK-SR39 TK. (A) Representative histograms depict EC populations with high β-galactosidase (β-gal) activity. Solid lines depict β-galactosidase activity in ECs from saline-treated tumors, dashed lines depict β-galactosidase activity in ECs from GCV-treated tumors, and closed regions represent a no-FDG negative control. (B) Bar graph depicting the percentages of tumor-associated ECs with high levels of β-galactosidase activity. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3–5 for both saline and GCV treatments). (C) Tumor-associated ECs were purified from >10 WT mice treated twice with saline or GCV and assessed for Bim expression. HSP70 served as a loading control, and the lysate from an Eµ−myc lymphoma served as a positive (+) control. Black lines indicate that intervening lanes have been spliced out.
Figure 4. Bim is required for the death of tumor-associated ECs and inhibition of tumor growth on VEGF neutralization. (A) WT or Bim−/− mice bearing subcutaneous 3LL-LLCs were treated with anti-VEGF antibody or a control Ig isotype–matched antibody when the tumors reached a volume of ~50 mm³. CD31 immuno-histochemistry revealed tumor vascularization at day 6 of treatment. (B) FACS analysis was used to enumerate viable tumor-associated ECs. Data represent mean ± SEM (n = 5–7 for each treatment and genotype). (C) Bar graph depicts the percentages of tumor-associated ECs with high levels of β-galactosidase (β-gal) activity. Mice were treated with two daily injections of control IgG or anti-VEGF antibody and analyzed 1 d after cessation of treatment. Data represent the mean ± SEM (n = 3–5 for both control IgG and anti-VEGF treatments). (D) Day 6 tumors from saline- or anti-VEGF antibody–treated WT or Bim−/− mice were subjected to TUNEL and CD31 staining. Inset shows magnification of a boxed region showing an apoptotic EC (yellow). Boxes highlight regions containing apoptotic ECs. Bars: (A) 200 µm; (D) 40 µm. (E) WT, Bim−/−, Bid−/−, and Puma−/− mice bearing 3LL-LLC were treated with anti-VEGF neutralizing antibody as described in Mice, expression constructs, and tumor models. FACS analysis was used to enumerate viable tumor-associated ECs. Data represent mean ± SEM (n = 3–5 for each treatment and genotype of mice). The data for WT and Bim−/− mice are the same as those shown in B and are reproduced in this panel to facilitate comparison. n.s., not significant. (F) The growth of 3LL-LLC in WT or Bim−/− mice treated with VEGF neutralizing antibody or a control Ig antibody. Mice were sacrificed when tumors exceeded a diameter of 1 cm on any orthogonal parameter. Data represent mean tumor volume and SEs (n = 5–17 per time point and genotype of mice; *, P = 0.047 control Ig–treated Bim−/− mice vs. control Ig–treated WT mice; ***, P = 0.0001 anti-VEGF–treated Bim−/− mice vs. anti-VEGF–treated WT mice). All statistical comparisons were made at day 8 of treatment. Arrows designate the days of treatment. (G) WT CD45.1+ (closed bars) or Bim−/− CD45.2+ (open bars) recipient mice were reconstituted with unfractionated bone marrow from Bim−/− CD45.2+ or WT CD45.1+ donors, respectively. The hematopoietic compartment was reconstituted for 8 wk before inoculation of 3LL-LLC cells, and mice were subjected to treatment as described in Mice, expression constructs, and tumor models. FACS analysis was used to enumerate viable tumor-associated ECs. Data represent mean ± SEM (n = 4–13 for each treatment and genotype of mice).
of tumors grown in these chimeric mice to anti-VEGF antibody treatment. The numbers of viable tumor-associated ECs were reduced if the ECs were WT, as in the WT mice reconstituted with Bim<sup>−/−</sup> bone marrow, but not if the ECs lacked Bim, as in Bim<sup>−/−</sup> mice reconstituted with a WT hematopoietic system (Fig. 4 G). This indicates that the presence of a Bim<sup>−/−</sup> hematopoietic compartment does not affect the response of tumor-associated ECs to VEGF blockade.

We also observed that Bim mediates the killing of intratumoral ECs after anti-VEGF antibody treatment in the mouse mammary tumor virus–polyomavirus transgene (MMTV-PyMT) transgenic model of de novo mammary carcinogenesis. Injection of VEGF-neutralizing antibodies caused a significant reduction in the numbers of viable tumor-associated ECs in MMTV-PyMT transgenic WT mice (Fig. S6 A), which did not occur in the corresponding MMTV-PyMT transgenic Bim<sup>−/−</sup> animals. Collectively, these results show that Bim-mediated apoptosis of ECs is critical for the inhibition of tumor growth elicited by VEGF blockade.

**Key role of Bim in constraining tumor vasculature**

We have clarified in this study two issues regarding the relationship between the tumor and its vasculature. The first is whether the tumor shrinkage that accompanies cancer therapy destroys its vasculature. To avoid direct cytotoxic effects on ECs, we used a GCV-induced model of tumor regression and demonstrated that tumor shrinkage provoked a drop in intratumoral VEGF levels with consequent death of tumor-associated ECs and vascular destruction. Significantly, we established that the VEGF deprivation up-regulated the BH3-only protein Bim in the ECs and that Bim was responsible for their apoptosis in transplants of both a lung carcinoma and a melanoma, as well as in a de novo mammary carcinoma model. Consequently, it seems likely that many anticancer agents provoke damage to the tumor vasculature by up-regulating Bim in ECs.

The second issue is whether the tumor growth–inhibitory effects of agents that directly block VEGF signaling rely upon Bim-induced killing of intratumoral ECs. Indeed, Bim’s killing activity within tumor-associated ECs proved to be essential for the tumor growth inhibitory activity of VEGF blockade. Diverse treatment modalities provoke EC apoptosis in solid tumors (Dhanabal et al., 1999; Park et al., 2005); thus, polymorphisms in genes that control EC apoptosis, such as BIM or its regulators, may affect the therapeutic responses of cancer patients.

Our results make it likely that new antiangiogenesis agents could be identified that act not by modulating VEGF–VEGF-R signaling directly, but instead by functioning downstream to increase the activity of Bim or, alternatively, that mimic its proapoptotic action by engaging multiple Bcl-2–like pro-survival molecules. Pertinently, small molecule mimetics of BH3-only proteins, such as ABT–737, have shown efficacy in preclinical solid tumor models (Oltersdorf et al., 2005; Cragg et al., 2007, 2008). Our findings indicate that their activity may be caused by not only direct killing of tumor cells but may in part also be mediated by their ability to trigger EC apoptosis. These considerations suggest that a multipronged attack on solid tumors and their vasculature might best be achieved by combining a VEGF blocker with a drug that inhibits an oncprotein that maintains the tumor growth (e.g., an epithelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor for lung cancers with EGF-R gene mutations) and a BH3 mimetic, which may promote apoptosis in both the tumor and ECs (Fig. S6 B; Cragg et al., 2009).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice, expression constructs, and tumor models.** All animal experiments followed the guidelines of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research Ethics Committee. The generation of Bim<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Bouillet et al., 1999), now backcrossed for >20 generations onto a C57BL/6 genetic background, and Braf<sup>−/−</sup> (Labi et al., 2008), Puma<sup>−/−</sup> (Villunger et al., 2003), and Bid<sup>−/−</sup> (Kauffmann et al., 2007) mice, all generated on an inbred C57BL/6 background using C57BL/6-derived embryonic stem cells, has been described previously. MMTV-PyMT transgenic mice (Guy et al., 1992) were intercrossed with Bim<sup>−/−</sup> mice to generate MMTV-PyMT transgenic Bim<sup>−/−</sup> mice, which were compared with WT (Bim<sup>+/+</sup>) MMTV-PyMT transgenic controls. Parental B16.F1 melanoma and 3LL-LLC cell lines maintained in DME plus 10% FCS (Bovigen) or MT-RPMI plus 10 mM Hepes and 10% FCS, respectively, were provided by M. Smyth (Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia). Clonal derivatives were made expressing the mutant, hyperactive form of TK (herein referred to as TK-SR39 [Kokoris and Black, 2002]; see next section), and 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> TK-SR39–expressing B16.F1 or 3LL-LLC cells were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of syngeneic C57BL/6 recipients. When tumors reached a volume of ~50 mm<sup>3</sup>, treatment with GCV or anti-VEGF antibodies was initiated. Daily intraperitoneal injections of 50 mg/kg GCV were administered to B16.F1 or 3LL-LLC-bearing animals for a period not exceeding 7 d. For treatment efficacy experiments, 5 mg/kg anti-VEGF mAb G6-31 (Genentech) was administered to 3LL-LLC–bearing animals three times per week for a period not exceeding 21 d. Mice had to be euthanized when tumors reached a diameter of 10 mm.

**Generation of B16.F1 and 3LL-LLC cell lines stably expressing TK-SR39 TK.** 10 µg plasmid DNA was linearized with ScaI, precipitated, and electroporated into 2.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in PBS. Mice were maintained on 2 mg/ml KDS/BSS/10% FCS, counted using trypan blue exclusion, and resuspended by limiting dilution and screened on the basis of GFP expression to establish TK-SR39–expressing B16.F1 or 3LL-LLC cells were injected subcutaneously into the flank of syngeneic C57BL/6 recipients. When tumors reached a volume of ~50 mm<sup>3</sup>, treatment with GCV or anti-VEGF antibodies was initiated. Daily intraperitoneal injections of 50 mg/kg GCV were administered to B16.F1 or 3LL-LLC-bearing animals for a period not exceeding 7 d. For treatment efficacy experiments, 5 mg/kg anti-VEGF mAb G6-31 (Genentech) was administered to 3LL-LLC–bearing animals three times per week for a period not exceeding 21 d. Mice had to be euthanized when tumors reached a diameter of 10 mm.

**Hematopoietic reconstitution.** Ablation of the hematopoietic compartment was achieved by exposing mice to two doses of 5.5 Gy of γ irradiation (from a 60Co source) given 2 h apart. Mice were then reconstituted by intravenous injection of a minimum of 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> viable, total bone marrow cells in a 200 µl volume of PBS. Total bone marrow was harvested from two femora into 5 ml KDS/BSS/10% FCS, counted using trypan blue exclusion, and resuspended at a concentration of 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in PBS. Mice were maintained on 2 mg/ml neomycin-supplemented water for a minimum period of 21 d.

**Immunohistochemistry, TUNEL staining, and image analysis.** Tissues were harvested and fixed for 24–48 h in 10% formalin (for VEGF detection) or formalin–zinc fixative (for CD31 detection and TUNEL staining; BD). 5-µm sections were deparaffinized according to standard histological protocols. For CD31 staining, epitope retrieval was achieved by incubating tissue specimens with 20 µg/ml proteinase K for 10 min at 37°C. For VEGF
After Tumor-associated ECs were killed by GCV treatment in vitro. Fig. S2 confirms that CD31+ cells used the aforementioned protocol with streptavidin-FITC as the secondary detection agent. Sections were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 followed by TUNEL staining according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Apoptag; Millipore). All fluorescence images were acquired using a confocal microscope (CTR MIC; Leica). Microvessel density was calculated by manual counting of a minimum of 10 random independent fields of view using a 40X objective. The criteria used to identify a microvessel included strong brown (CD31) staining, the presence of a lumen, and more than one CD31+ cell.

Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay. Tumors were passed sequentially through a fine mesh metal sieve and 23- and 27-G needles to attain single-cell suspensions that were treated with red cell lysis buffer (5 min at 4°C) followed by 100 µl/mouse DNaase I (in BSS and incubated for 5 min at 37°C; Roche). An aliquot of the cells was then incubated with 50 µl primary antibody for 30 min at 4°C (10 µg/ml anti-CD31-APC (MEC 13.3; BD) or, as a control, with rat IgG2a coupled to APC (R35-95; BD) in 2% normal rat serum plus 2.4G2 (5 µg/ml anti-FcγR mAb) to block Fc receptors. From 2 to 4 × 10^5 anti-CD31 antibody-stained cells (in 50 µl) were subjected to FACS-Gal analysis by hypotonic loading with 50 µl FDG (fluorescein di-β-galactopyranoside; Sigma-Aldrich) followed by FACS analysis (Elefanty et al., 1999).

Purification of tumor-associated ECs. Tumor-associated ECs were isolated from homogenized tumor samples using positive magnetic bead selection. In brief, 2 µg anti-CD31 mAb was added per 0.25 g of tumor (suspended in 2 ml 10% FCS/DME) and incubated at 4°C for 30 min, washed once, and then incubated with 2 × 10^6 sheep anti-rat IgG antibody–coated magnetic beads (Dynabeads; Invitrogen). Bead-bound cells were washed once, and then incubated with 2 × 10^7 anti-CD31 mAb coupled to APC (R35-95; BD) plus rat mAb (MEC 13.3; BD) or, as a control, with an Ig isotype–matched (IgG2a/IgG2b) rat mAb (Southern Biotechnology). Bead-bound cells were isolated using a magnetic column and washed three times with DME/10% FCS and once with serum-free DME. For subsequent experiments, cells were released from the beads by incubation with 0.05% trypsin/0.53 mM EDTA for 5 min at 37°C.

ELISA to measure VEGF concentration. Tumors snap frozen at −80°C were thawed and passed through a fine mesh sieve before suspension in 5 ml PBS. Samples were subjected to two freeze–thaw cycles, and debris was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 min. Total protein levels were determined using the Bradford Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and samples were normalized to 25, 50, and 100 µg of total input protein by the addition of assay diluent. VEGF levels were calculated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. VEGF concentration was calculated using a commercially available ELISA kit (Quantikine Mouse VEGF ELISA kit; R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. VEGF concentration was calculated using a standard curve generated by a four-parameter logistic curve fit.

Statistical analysis. In brief, tumors were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min. Total protein levels were determined using the Bradford Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and samples were normalized to 25, 50, and 100 µg of total input protein by the addition of assay diluent. VEGF levels were calculated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. VEGF concentration was calculated using a commercially available ELISA kit (Quantikine Mouse VEGF ELISA kit; R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. VEGF concentration was calculated using a standard curve generated by a four-parameter logistic curve fit.

Flow cytometric analysis of ECs and macrophages. Tumor-derived single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay, but with the addition of a collagenase/hyaluronidase digestion step. Cell suspensions were stained with APC-coupled anti-CD31 mAbs (MEC 13.3; BD) or, as a control, with an Ig isotype–matched (IgG2a/IgG2b) rat mAb coupled to APC (R35-95; BD) plus R-PE–conjugated macrophage surface marker–specific mAbs anti–Mac-1 (M1/70) or F4/80 in 2% normal rat serum plus 2.4G2 (5 µg/ml anti-FcγR mAb) to block Fc receptors. Cells were washed and resuspended in 100 µl PBS plus 1 µg/ml of the vital dye PI and analyzed on an LSR II (BD).

Flow cytometric analysis of FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal. Tumor single-cell suspensions were prepared as described in Flow cytometric analysis and FACS-Gal assay and FACS-Gal.
3LL–LLCs grown in Bim−/− mice contain more viable tumor-associated ECs than GCV-treated tumors grown in WT mice. Fig. S5 provides confirmation of the purity of EC isolates from B16.F1 tumors and shows that the PI3-K–Akt and MAPK–Erk1/2 signaling pathways are modulated in tumor-associated ECs after GCV treatment–induced tumor shrinkage. Fig. S6 shows that Bim deficiency protects tumor-associated ECs from anti-VEGF treatment in a de novo transgenic mouse model of mammary carcinogenesis and provides a model for the multipronged approach to the treatment of solid tumors. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20100951/DC1.
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