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ABSTRACT: A higher-level classification of Nemertea has been updated based on insights from recent phylogenetic studies. According to this classification, the phylum includes two superclasses (Pronemertea and Neonemertea), three classes (Palaeonemertea, Pilidiophora, and Hoplonemertea), and eight orders. The order Arhynchonemertea is considered as an incertae sedis taxon. For all taxa above the family level, diagnoses and synapomorphies are provided.
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Introduction

Nemerteans, or ribbon worms, are unsegmented, vermiform spiralian differentiated by their unique eversible proboscis (excepting Arhynchonemertes axi Riser, 1988) located in the coelom-like rynchocoel. The phylum Nemertea comprises 1340 marine, brackish-water, freshwater, and terrestrial species (Gibson, 1995; Kajihara et al., 2008; WoRMS). Nemerteans are extremely variable in their appearance (Fig. 1), with their body size ranging from 3 mm to 40–50 m. For quite a long time, the nemertean classification strictly followed the modified Stiasny-Wijnhoff division (Wijnhoff, 1913; Stiasny-Wijnhoff, 1936) of the taxon into two classes (Anopla and Enopla) and four orders (Palaeonemertea, Heteronemertea, Hoplonemertea, and Bdellonemertea). In 19th–20th centuries, other classifications of this phylum were also proposed (Bürger, 1892; Gibson, 1982, 1988; Sundberg, 1991; Crandall, 1993; Chernyshev, 1995), but a revision of the traditional system has become possible only in the 21st century, with the use of molecular phylogenetic methods of analysis (Tholleson, Norenburg, 2003; Andrade et al., 2012, 2014; Kvist et al., 2014, 2015; Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2018, 2019). First, the division of nemerteans into the classes Anopla and Enopla has been re-evaluated, with a system consisting of three classes, Palaeonemertea, Heteronemertea, Hoplonemertea, and Bdellonemertea. A classification of the phylum Nemertea on the family level and above, which takes into account the latest views on the phylogeny of this phylum, is presented in this report.

Systematic part

PHYLUM NEMERTEA (=RHYNCHOEOELA)

Superclass PRONEMERTEA supercl.n.
DIAGNOSIS. Middorsal blood vessel absent. Frontal organ absent.

Class Palaeonemertea Hubrecht, 1879
DIAGNOSIS. Epidermis of palaeonemertean type. Brain and lateral nerve cords basiepidermal, subepidermal (located between dermis and body-wall outer circular musculature), or intramuscular (located in body-wall longitudinal musculature). Proboscis biradial or bilateral, with diagonal musculature. Pseudocnidae present or absent. Cerebral organs absent, epidermal, or slightly submerged into body musculature. Spermatheca with one ring-shaped mitochondrion. Planula-like larva.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. No synapomorphies for Palaeonemertea have been identified to date, although the monophyly of this class is confirmed by molecular phylogenetic analyses (Andrade et al., 2014; Kvist et al., 2014). The epidermal ('tubulanid') ring, which is present in Carinidae and Tubulanidae and, apparently, disappeared in Carinidae and Archinertea, can be a probable synapomorphy. Yurchenko et al. (2021) recently suggested that the offset acrosomal complex of the sperm head may be a synapomorphic trait of palaeonemerteans.

Order Cariniformes Chernyshev, 1995
DIAGNOSIS. Epidermal ('tubulanid') ring in nephridial region absent (Carinidae) or present (Carinidae). Body wall consists of outer circular, diagonal, middle longitudinal, and inner circular muscle layers; additional outer longitudinal musculature present in cerebral and foregut regions of Carinidae. Brain and lateral nerve cords located basiepidermally (Carinidae) or between dermis and body-wall outer circular musculature; in intestine region, lateral nerve cords submerged into body-wall longitudinal musculature (Carinidae). Cerebral organs absent or present. Nephridia with glandular mass inside lateral blood vessels and with pair of longitudinal collecting tubules. Proboscis with type I pseudocnidae or pseudocnidae absent. Lateral organs absent. Larva with single midventral eye.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. Single midventral eye in larva.

COMPOSITION. Two families, Carinidae Bergendal, 1900 and Carinidae Chernyshev, 2011.
Fig. 1. Habitus of selected species of nemerteans from different orders. Photographs of live animals. A — *Carinina yushini* (Palaeonemertea: Carinomiformes); B — *Cephalothrix mokievskii* (Korotkevitsch, 1982) (Palaeonemertea: Archinemertea); C — *Tubulanus punctatus* (Takakura, 1898) (Palaeonemertea: Tubulaniformes); D — *Kulikovia manchenkoi* Chernyshev, Polyakova, Turanov et Kajihara, 2017 (Pilidiophora: Heteronemertea); E — *Hubrechtella juliae* Chernyshev, 2003 (Pilidiophora: Hubrechtiiformes); F — *Nectonemertes cf. mirabilis* Verrill, 1892 (Hoplonemertea: Polystilifera); G — *Drepanophoridae gen. sp.* (Hoplonemertea: Polystilifera); H — *Nipponnemertes arenaria* (Uschakov, 1927) (Hoplonemertea: Monostilifera: Cratenemertea); I — *Malacobdella japonica* Takakura, 1897 (Hoplonemertea: Monostilifera: Eumonostilifera).
REMARKS. The name Carinomiformes was proposed as a suborder of Palaeonemertea with the only family Carinomidae (Chernyshev, 1995). Subsequently, the rank of the suborder was raised to the order level (Chernyshev, 1999). The relationship between Carinomidae and Carininiidae has been found recently (Chernyshev et al., 2021) and accepted in WoRMS, where both families are placed in the order Carinomiformes (Norenburg et al., 2021).

Order Archinemertea Iwata, 1960

DIAGNOSIS. Epidermal (‘tubulanid’) ring in nephridial region absent. Body wall consists of outer diagonal, outer circular, middle longitudinal, and inner circular muscle layers. Brain and lateral nerve cords located in body-wall longitudinal musculature. Cerebral organs absent. Nephridia absent or numerous, with each nephridium consisting of mushroom-shaped body and radial efferent duct. Lateral organs absent. Proboscis with type I pseudocnidae. Larva with pair of lateral eyes.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. Position of the brain and the lateral nerve cords in longitudinal body musculature; diagonal muscles located between dermis and outer circular muscle layer.

COMPOSITION. Two families, Cephalotrichidae McIntosh, 1874 and Cephalotrichellidae Chernyshev, 2011.

Order Tubulaniformes Chernyshev, 1995

DIAGNOSIS. Epidermal (‘tubulanid’) ring in nephridial region present. Body wall consists of outer circular, diagonal, middle longitudinal, and inner circular muscle layers. Brain and lateral nerve cords located between dermis and body-wall outer circular musculature; in Carinomellidae, lateral nerve cords submerged into body-wall longitudinal musculature in intestine region. Cerebral organs present or absent. Nephridia with glandular mass inside lateral
blood vessels and with pair of longitudinal collecting tubules. Lateral organs present or absent. Proboscis with type II pseudocnidae. Larva lacks eyes.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. A probable synapomorphy of the order is the presence of lateral organs, which disappear in some species (Chernyshev, 2011). Type II pseudocnidae may be considered another probable synapomorphy (Magarlamov et al., 2021).

COMPOSITION. Two families, Tubulanidae Bürger, 1904 and Carinomellidae Chernyshev, 1995.

**Superclass NEONEMERTEA Thollesson et Norenburg, 2003 emend. Chernyshev, 2011**

DIAGNOSIS. Middorsal blood vessel present. Frontal organ(s) present or lost.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. Middorsal blood vessel.

REMARKS. The name Neonemertea was proposed by Thollesson and Norenburg (2003) without diagnosis or rank. Subsequently, Neonemertea was assigned the rank of subclass with a diagnosis (Chernyshev, 2011).

**Class Pilidiophora Thollesson et Norenburg, 2003 emend. Kajihara, 2007**

DIAGNOSIS. Mouth located posteriorly of brain. Many species with caudal cirrus. Epidermis of palaeonemertean (Hubrechtiformes) or heteronemertean (Heteronemertea) type. Brain and lateral nerve cords located outside of body-wall outer circular musculature. Proboscis bilateral, with diagonal musculature and without stylet apparatus in middle portion; many species have two or one muscle crosses. Pseudocnidae present or absent. Spermatozoa with 2–6 mitochondria. Pilidial larva.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. Pilidial larva and, apparently, caudal cirrus and spermatozoa with two and more mitochondria. A hypothesis has been proposed that the caudal cirrus in this class emerged as a provisional structure required for juveniles to attach to substrate (Chernyshev, 2011). Chernyshev (2015) considers the bilateral pattern of proboscis musculature with muscle crosses among the possible synapomorphies.

REMARKS. The name Pilidiophora was proposed as clade Hubrechtella + Heteronemertea with the synapomorphy ‘pilidium larva’ (Thollesson, Norenburg, 2003). Kajihara (2007) was the first who suggested considering this clade with the rank of class.

Order **Hubrechtiformes** Chernyshev, 1995

DIAGNOSIS. Body-wall musculature consists of outer circular, diagonal, and longitudinal muscle layers; inner circular layer present in some species (outer longitudinal musculature present in posterior intestinal region of Sundbergia Gibson, 2002). Cutis absent. Brain and lateral nerve cords located between dermis and body-wall outer circular musculature. Monociliary sensory cells of proboscis without apical cylinder.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. No synapomorphies can be found for this order so far. An unusual arrangement of muscular layers in the proboscis, which has two layers of diagonal musculature and lacks outer circular musculature, has been described from Hubrechtella Bergendal, 1902 (Chernyshev et al., 2013); however, the proboscis structure in members of other genera has not been studied by laser scanning confocal microscopy. In Hubrechtella, the pilidium auriculatum larva has a pair of cords (“retractors”) attached to the posterior part of the helmet wall (Chernyshev, 2011), which is a trait probably specific to hubrechtids.

COMPOSITION. Two families, Hubrechtidae Bürger, 1892 and Hubrechtellidae Chernyshev, 2003.

Order **Heteronemertea** Bürger, 1892

DIAGNOSIS. Body-wall musculature consists of outer longitudinal, outer circular, and middle longitudinal muscle layers; inner circular layer present in some species. Cutis present (except Riserius Norenburg, 1993 and Colemaniella Gibson, 1982). Brain and lateral nerve cords located between body-wall outer longitudinal and outer circular musculature. Monociliary sensory cells of proboscis with apical cylinder.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. Cutis and outer longitudinal musculature in the body wall; apical
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Cylinder in the monociliary sensory cells of proboscis.

**COMPOSITION.** To date, several classifications have been proposed for the order on the family level (Gibson, 1982, 1985; Chernyshev, 1995, 2011). Molecular phylogenetic analyses of heteronemerteans do not support segregation of the families Cerebratulidae Gibson, 1985 and Gorgonorhynchidae Gibson, 1985 (Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2019; Hookabe et al., 2021). According to recent data, the genus *Polydendrorhynchus* Yin et Zeng, 1988 belongs to clade *Cerebratulus* (Hookabe et al., 2021), and, therefore, placing the close genus *Polybrachiorhynchus* Gibson, 1977 into the family Polybrachiorhynchidae Gibson, 1985 (=Polybranchiorhynchidae) is unjustified. The family Myxolineidae Gibson, 1985 was erected on the basis of three muscular layers (outer circular, middle longitudinal, and inner circular) in proboscis (Gibson, 1985). Nevertheless, it has been shown that all nemerteans have the inner circular musculature present in proboscis (Magarlamov, Chernyshev, 2015). The genus *Riserius*, placed in the family Riseriidae Chernyshev, 1995, belongs to Lineidae, as evidenced by a molecular phylogenetic analysis (Hiebert, 2016). According to our unpublished phylogenetic data, the genus *Paralineopsis* Iwata, 1993, which has been segregated into the family Paralineeidae Chernyshev, 1995 along with the genus *Paralineus* Schütz, 1911, is close to the *Riserius*. A molecular phylogenetic analysis supports validity of two families: Valenciniidae Hubrecht, 1879 and Lineidae McIntosh, 1874 (Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2018, 2019). Sequences for *Poliopsis lacazei* Joubin, 1890 have not yet been obtained. However, the morphology of this species is very unusual, which suggests that the family Poliopsidae Gibson, 1982 should currently be accepted as valid.

**Class Hoplonemertea Hubrecht, 1879**

**DIAGNOSIS.** Mouth located in brain region, anteriorly to it, or opens into rhynchodaeum. Caudal cirrus absent. Epidermis of hoplonemertean type. Body-wall musculature consists of outer circular, diagonal, and longitudinal muscle layers; inner circular layer present in some species. Brain and lateral nerve cords located inside body-wall inner longitudinal body musculature. Proboscis radial, without diagonal musculature, and with stylet apparatus in middle portion (except some symbiotic species). Pseudocnidae absent. Spermatozoa with single ring-shaped mitochondrion. Decidula larva.

**SYNAPOMORPHIES.** Hoplonemertean epidermis with basal-cup zone (Norenburg, 1985); submuscular position of the brain and lateral nerve cord; radial proboscis; and stylet apparatus.

**Order Polystilifera Brinkmann, 1917**

**DIAGNOSIS.** Stylet apparatus of polystiliferous type. Bulb region weakly developed, with endothelial circular muscles and without criss-crossed musculature.

**SYNAPOMORPHIES.** Polystiliferous stylet apparatus. The monostiliferous stylet apparatus is usually derived from the polystiliferous stylet apparatus (see Stricker, 1985), but different structure of the musculature, however, indicates the independent origins of the two (Chernyshev, 2015).

**REMARKS.** For more than 100 years, Polystilifera was divided into two groups, Reptantia and Pelagica (Brinkmann, 1917), which have recently been considered as suborders. A recent molecular phylogenetic analysis has shown that clade Pelagica originated from deep-sea Reptantia (Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2019), i.e., Reptantia is a paraphyletic group. In this regard, the previous division of Polystilifera into suborders and infraorders seems phylogenetically unsubstantiated.

**COMPOSITION.** Different authors distinguish from 5 to 10 families of benthic and from 3 to 12 families of pelagic polystiliferous nemerteans (see Chernyshev, 2011). Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Polystilifera does not yet allow a revision of the classification of the order on the family level.

**Order Monostilifera Brinkmann, 1917**

**DIAGNOSIS.** Stylet apparatus of monostiliferous type or reduced. Bulb region well-
developed, without endothelial circular muscles and with crisscrossed musculature.

SYNAPOMORPHIES. Monostiliferous stylet apparatus; bulb region with crisscrossed musculature.

Suborder **Cratenemertea** Chernyshev, 2003

**DIAGNOSIS.** Cerebral organs completely or partly located posteriorly to brain, with their canals bifurcated. Cephalic furrow well-developed, frequently with secondary grooves. Rhynchocoel wall consists of interwoven musculature.

**SYNAPOMORPHIES.** It was previously assumed that the synapomorphy of Cratenemertea is interwoven rhynchocoel musculature (Chernyshev, 2011). However, new data on hoplonemertean phylogeny (Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2019) suggests that interwoven rhynchocoel musculature in Polystilifera is in the plesiomorphous state, and, thus, we cannot yet ascertain which state is plesiomorphous for Monostilifera (see Kajihara, 2021).

**REMARKS.** The name Cratenemertea was proposed as a clade without diagnosis (Thollesson, Norenburg, 2003) and, in the same year, as order with diagnosis (Chernyshev, 2003).

**COMPOSITION.** Families Cratenemertidae Friedrich, 1968, Uniporidae Stiasny-Wijnhoff, 1936, and Korotkevitschiidae Chernyshev, 2003.

Suborder **Eumonostilifera** Chernyshev, 2003

**DIAGNOSIS.** Cerebral organs located in brain region or anteriorly to it, with their canals simple or bifurcated. Cephalic furrows developed to various extents, may be absent, without secondary grooves. Rhynchocoel wall consists of two muscle layer or interwoven musculature.

**SYNAPOMORPHIES.** The position of the cerebral organs, which do not extend behind the brain can be a possible synapomorphy of the suborder.

Infraorder **Amphiporina** Chernyshev et Polyakova, 2019 emend. Kajihara, 2021

**DIAGNOSIS.** Rhynchocoel wall with two (inner longitudinal and outer circular) muscular layers (except *Malacobdella* Blainville, 1827). Blood system with single vascular plug or vascular plug absent.

**SYNAPOMORPHIES.** The two-layered muscular rhynchocoel wall is probably a synapomorphy of this infraorder, but, if this interpretation is correct, the same structure of the rhynchocoel wall appeared in the infraorder Oerstediina (Kajihara, 2021).

**REMARKS.** Clade Amphiporina was established without diagnosis, but with indication of the infraorder rank (Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2019: 15). Kajihara (2021) provided a diagnosis for this infraorder.

**COMPOSITION.** Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Amphiporina does not yet allow a revision of the classification of the infraorder on the family level. Most families included in this infraorder (Amphiporidae Oersted, 1843, Emplectonematidae Bürger, 1904, Tetrastemmatidae Hubrecht, 1879, Prosorhocymidae Bürger, 1895, Poseidonemertidae Chernyshev, 2002, etc.) as currently constituted in recent classifications, have been shown to be polyphyletic in recent molecular analyses; some of the families (Prostomatidae Bürger, 1904, Malacobdellidae Blanchard, 1847, and Zygonemertidae Chernyshev, 2005) are not phylogenetically isolated from the other members of the infraorder to an extent great enough to consider them independent (e.g., *Malacobdella* Blainville, 1827 is a sister group to the genus *Geonemertes* Semper, 1863).

Infraorder **Oerstediina** Chernyshev et Polyakova, 2019 emend. Kajihara, 2021

**DIAGNOSIS.** Rhynchocoel wall with two (inner longitudinal and outer circular) or one (interwoven) muscular layers. Blood system with pair of vascular plugs.

**SYNAPOMORPHIES.** Two vascular plugs.

**REMARKS.** Clade Oerstediina was established without diagnosis, but with indication of the infraorder rank (Chernyshev, Polyakova, 2019: 15). Kajihara (2021) provided a diagnosis for this infraorder.

**COMPOSITION.** Families Plectonemertidae Gibson, 1990 s.l. and Oerstediidae Chernyshev, 1993 s.l.

**Nemertea incertae sedis**

Order **Arhynchonemertea** Chernyshev, 1995

**DIAGNOSIS.** Rhynchocoel and proboscis absent. Blood system simple, without middor-
nal vessel. Mouth located in brain region. Brain with single commissura. Brain and lateral nerve cords located inside inner longitudinal musculature. One ventral nephridium behind mouth.

REMARKS. The only species of this order, *Arhynchonemertes axi* Riser, 1888, is distinguished from all other nemerteans by the lack of rhyynchocoel and proboscis, despite the fact that this nemertean is free-living. The position of the nervous system is similar to that of hoplonemerteans, but the structure of its digestive system is similar to that of palaeonemerteans. Chernyshev (1995) segregated *A. axi* into a separate class, Arhynchocoela. No sequence data are available for *A. axi* to date.

COMPOSITION. Family Arhynchonemertidae Chernyshev, 1995.
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