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Abstract

Objective: Poor tissue perfusion/cellular hypoxia may persist despite restoration of the macrocirculation (Macro). This article reviewed the literatures of coherence between hemodynamics and tissue perfusion in circulatory shock.

Data sources: We retrieved information from the PubMed database up to January 2018 using various search terms or/and their combinations, including resuscitation, circulatory shock, septic shock, tissue perfusion, hemodynamic coherence, and microcirculation (Micro).

Study selection: The data from peer-reviewed journals printed in English on the relationships of tissue perfusion, shock, and resuscitation were included.

Results: A binary (coherence/incoherence, coupled/uncoupled, or associated/disassociated) mode is used to describe resuscitation coherence. The phenomenon of resuscitation incoherence (RI) has gained great attention. However, the RI concept requires a more practical, systematic, and comprehensive framework for use in clinical practice. Moreover, we introduce a conceptual framework of RI to evaluate the interrelationship of the Macro, Micro, and cell. The RI is divided into four types (Type 1: Macro-Micro incoherence + impaired cell; Type 2: Macro-Micro incoherence + normal cell; Type 3: Micro-Cell incoherence + normal Micro; and Type 4: both Macro-Micro and Micro-cell incoherence). Furthermore, we propose the concept of dynamic circulation-perfusion coupling to evaluate the relationship of circulation and tissue perfusion during circulatory shock.

Conclusions: The concept of RI and dynamic circulation-perfusion coupling should be considered in the management of circulatory shock. Moreover, these concepts require further studies in clinical practice.
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Background

Circulatory shock is a common disease, with high morbidity and mortality rates in clinical practice. In 1971, Weil and Subin defined four typical types of circulatory shock according to the alterations in the macrocirculatory hemodynamics and pathophysiological state. The possibility that normalized microcirculatory parameters might not be parallel to improved tissue perfusion in the resuscitation of circulatory shock has been well recognized. Numerous clinical studies also found that normalized macrocirculatory parameters did not guarantee the restoration of microcirculatory perfusion and cellular O2 metabolism in the resuscitation of circulatory shock, the failure of which is associated with poor outcomes. Therefore, according to the concept of critical hemodynamic therapy, the diagnosis and treatment of circulatory shock should be expanded from macrocirculation (Macro) to microcirculation (Micro) and extended further into the depths of cellular oxygen metabolism.

The assessment and treatment of impaired tissue perfusion present substantial challenges for physicians in clinical practice once the Micro has been restored. Recent clinical studies also questioned the value of hemodynamic data or targets that focused solely on the correction of global circulation parameters in the resuscitation of septic shock. Thus, using microcirculatory targets or other surrogate variables to guide resuscitation (eg, lactate-guided or sublingual PCO2-guided resuscitation) has garnered increasing attention in experimental and clinical studies. Importantly, the interactive relationship between the circulation and tissue perfusion plays a critical role in circulatory shock. Here, we stress that from a holistic perspective of resuscitation, both global and local (macro and micro) parameters should be considered. The alteration of microcirculatory perfusion or oxygen metabolism parameters (eg, poor peripheral perfusion or a high lactate level) might serve as early indicators that demand immediate attention; however, when combined with...
macrocirculatory parameters, they might elucidate the reasons for the alteration and what should be done next. The conceptual framework of hemodynamic coherence (HC) was proposed to describe the relationship between the Macro and Micro,[16] Moreover, the HC concept attracted substantial attention regarding hemorrhagic shock, sepsis, burn, perioperative, and pediatric patients.[17-20] However, the HC concept primarily focuses on using microcirculatory hemodynamics to describe the relationship between the Macro and Micro using handheld vital microscopy.[16] A comprehensive and practical concept is required to further interpret resuscitation coherence during circulatory shock. Therefore, we further introduce a classification of the resuscitation incoherence (RI) based on information regarding the following three domains: Macro, Micro, and cell. Moreover, a dynamic circulation-perfusion coupling (CPC) score was proposed to assess the effects of medical interventions and determine the therapeutic direction for circulatory shock.

**Loss of Resuscitation Coherence in Circulatory Shock**

The early goals of resuscitation are to restore global oxygen delivery (DO₂), global blood flow, and organ perfusion pressure, with the ultimate aim of improving microcirculatory perfusion and cellular oxygen metabolism in circulatory shock. However, the preset expected targets (eg, microcirculatory perfusion, tissue oxygenation, and lactate) might be disassociated from the improved Macro targets, termed the RI. Tissue perfusion is always improved with global circulation in hypovolemic, cardiogenic, and obstructive shock if the Macro is restored at the early stage. In contrast, when tissue hypoxia is not corrected expeditiously and continues for a long time, the ischemia-reperfusion injury may further cause a severe RI. Moreover, the lack of resuscitation coherence is common in sepsis conditions. Many studies demonstrated that the correction of the Macro did not restore the Micro in septic shock patients after early goal-directed resuscitation.[8,21-24] The disordered tissue perfusion is to some extent independent of global circulation in sepsis.

Here, we stress that the RI might occur in different stages and various types of circulatory shock. The early identification of RI might reduce the risk of oversuscitation. In theory, RI always results from impaired autoregulatory mechanisms of the Micro and/or of cellular oxygen metabolism. The potential pathophysiologic mechanisms include unchecked cascade inflammation, cytokine storm, reactive oxygen species generation, glycocalyx degradation and shedding, endothelial dysfunction, capillary leakage, and mitochondrial dysfunction.[15,16] Although the phenomenon of RI has garnered attention, related diagnostic standards remain lacking in clinical practice. Moreover, the concept of RI should be more practical, systematic, and comprehensive.

**Macro, Micro, and Cellular Oxygen Metabolism Parameters in Resuscitation**

Macrocirculatory, microcirculatory, and cellular oxygen metabolism parameters have become potential targets in the circulatory resuscitation of shock. These parameters are also commonly used to help physicians identify the phenomenon of RI in clinical practice. The relevant parameters are summarized in the following subsections.

**Macro parameters**

The primary macrocirculatory parameters during resuscitation focus on global DO₂, blood flow, and perfusion pressure targets, with the aim of restoring tissue perfusion.[10] A cutoff value of central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) ≥70% is used to assess whether the global DO₂ is meeting the oxygen consumption, and a central venous-arterial carbon dioxide difference (P(v-a)CO₂) gap ≤6 mmHg is suggested as an indicator of global flow to assess whether global blood flow is meeting tissue perfusion demands. Moreover, a mean arterial pressure value >65 mmHg and/or an individual value is suggested as a proper perfusion pressure target. In theory, the potential contribution of macrocirculatory factors to poor tissue perfusion should be totally excluded before diagnosing RI. Here, microcirculatory normalization should be guided by normal physiologic ranges in a healthy population, and personalized targets should be considered to optimize the macrocirculatory targets. Moreover, the medical history and current pathophysiologic status are important to determine how to optimize the Macro target. Finally, the “normalization/optimization” of microcirculatory targets should not be viewed as actual “health” given that the “normalization/optimization” of global circulation is usually achieved by ongoing fluid and/catecholamine therapy.

**Micro parameters**

The microcirculatory parameters focus on capillary refill time (CRT), peripheral perfusion index (PI), tissue oxygen saturation, peripheral temperature, skin mottling, transcutaneous partial pressure of oxygen, transcutaneous oxygen challenge test, and sublingual microcirculatory parameters (microvascular flow index, proportion of perfused vessels, and density of perfused vessels). Here, we stress that the critical values of microcirculatory perfusion should not be misconstrued as the normal values of the healthy population. The critical value of tissue perfusion serves as a sensitive indicator of tissue hypoxia and requires immediate attention, whereas the normal value of a healthy population might indicate the cutoff value to exclude “tissue hypoperfusion” and identify the loss of resuscitation coherence of cellular O₂ energy metabolism. For similar physiologic parameters of tissue perfusion, the critical cutoff values in critically ill patients are not always equal to the normal cutoff values in healthy populations. For example, the normal value of CRT is equal to or less than 2 s in healthy populations, whereas the critical value of CRT might be more than 5 s in critically ill patients[21,24]; the normal value of PI is more than 1.4, whereas the critical value might be less than 0.6[19]; and the normal value of tissue oxygen saturation is 87%, whereas the critical value might be less than 70%.[29,30] Moreover, in critically ill states, the sacrifice of peripheral circulation perfusion is a self-protection mechanism; therefore, the impairment of peripheral circulation perfusion might be acceptable to some extent. In contrast, the normalization of tissue perfusion may be an indicator for
Therefore, blindly pursuing a total normalization of microcirculatory perfusion might also induce overresuscitation, and the targets of microcirculatory perfusion might be referred to as the personalized physiological requirement and cellular oxygen metabolism indicators.

**Cell parameters**

Both lactate and central venous-arterial carbon dioxide difference/arterial-central venous oxygen difference (P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\)) ratio are used to identify the cellular oxygen metabolism in clinical practice. It is important to determine whether the cellular hypoxia is dependent on the increase of DO\(_2\). Studies have questioned whether high lactate levels are markers indicating anaerobic metabolism, which did not originate from cellular hypoxia in circulatory shock. Rimachi et al.\(^{[34]}\) reported the presence of hyperlactatemia in 65% of patients with septic shock; however, only 75% of these patients exhibited an increased lactate/pyruvate ratio, confirming that hyperlactatemia might be not due to hypoxia, particularly during the early stages of shock. Recently, the P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) was suggested as a supplemental indicator to reflect anaerobic metabolism, and studies reported that a high P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) is an independent risk factor for mortality in septic shock patients.\(^{[35,36]}\) Studies also found that the evaluation of the P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) ratio might provide additional information to identify nonhypoxic hyperlactatemia and optimize lactate clearance.\(^{[37,38]}\) Moreover, several factors (hypoxia, hyperventilation, hemodilution, and hypoxemia) might confound the performance of P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) ratio.\(^{[39,40]}\) In Figure 1, we summarized a schematic diagram to interpret P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) ratio in clinical practice.

**Classification of RI**

The Micro is coupled with the Macro, and the cell is coupled with the Micro under normal physiologic regulatory mechanisms. In theory, several combinations of coherence loss may exist among Macro-Micro and Micro-cell in circulatory shock. Here, we define the following four types of RI in clinical practice.

**Type 1: Macro-Micro incoherence + cell hypoxia**

In this type, the Micro is disassociated from the Macro. When tissue hypoperfusion/tissue hypoxia is continuously present after microcirculatory improvement, RI at a microcirculatory level should be suspected. Moreover, the cellular oxygen metabolism was decompensated and impaired. Importantly, the cell oxygen metabolism is dependent on the microcirculatory perfusion and the increase of local DO\(_2\). The uncoupling of Macro-Micro is always caused by the impaired microcirculatory functions of recognition and autoregulation in local blood flow, DO\(_2\), and cellular hypoxia.\(^{[26]}\)

---

**P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) Ratio**

- **Low Ratio value (≤1.6)**
  - Pseudo-low Ratio:
    - A low Ratio value might not indicate the absence of anaerobic metabolism in hemodilution/hypoxemia condition with high VO\(_2\).
  - Absence of anaerobic metabolism. Increase of DO\(_2\) might be invalid.

- **High Ratio value (>1.6)**
  - Pseudo-high Ratio:
    - A high Ratio value might not indicate the presence of anaerobic metabolism in hyperoxia/hyperventilation condition.
  - Presence of anaerobic metabolism. Increase of DO\(_2\) might be helpful.

---

**Figure 1:** Schematic diagram to interpret P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\) ratio in clinical practice. DO\(_2\): Oxygen delivery; P(v-a)\(\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{O}_2}\): Central venous-arterial carbon dioxide difference/arterial-central venous oxygen difference; VO\(_2\): Oxygen consumption.
Type 2: Macro-Micro incoherence + occult cell hypoxia

In this type, a mildly impaired Micro always accompanies normal cellular oxygen metabolism function. Because of the physiologic compensatory mechanisms in the critically ill state, cellular oxygen metabolism might be preserved in type 2. Hence, the lactate level always is normal. Importantly, the potential cellular hypoxia is dependent on the increase of DO$_2$. To some extent, impaired microcirculatory perfusion might be acceptable in the critically ill. Furthermore, the impaired Micro might be considered an early alarm indicator, and attention should be directed toward the potential factors that contributed to the impaired Micro.

Type 3: Micro-cell incoherence + normal Micro

Cellular oxygen metabolism is disassociated from microcirculatory perfusion in this type. When cellular oxygen metabolism dysfunction persists after the restoration of tissue perfusion, the RI of the Micro and cellular oxygen metabolism should be suspected. Profoundly disordered cellular oxygen energy metabolism in type 3 might arise from independent oxygen utilization dysfunction from mitochondrial cytopathy and accelerated aerobic metabolism from stress. Albuszies et al. found that microcirculatory restoration allowed the maintenance of gut and liver microvascular perfusion and an increase of capillary oxygenation after fluid resuscitation, although hepatic metabolic capacity remained impaired in a murine model of septic shock. Moreover, cellular derangements might occur in some toxic conditions such as cyanide poisoning under normal tissue perfusion conditions. Thus, impaired cellular oxygen metabolism might independently occur, despite improved microcirculatory perfusion in the resuscitation. Additionally, nonhypoxic hyperlactatemia indicates the Micro-cell RI. The early identification of nonhypoxic hyperlactatemia may provide information to avoid overresuscitation of Macro and Micro targets.

Type 4: "Macro-Micro + Micro-cell" incoherence

Both the Micro and the cellular functions are impaired in type 4, notwithstanding the restoration of the global circulation. Type 4 is also termed microcirculatory and mitochondrial distress syndrome in conditions of sepsis. However, the diagnostic differentiation of type 1 and type 2 based on clinical parameters is difficult. For example, a poor Micro and cellular oxygen metabolism response might occur in type 1 during resuscitation, which is similar to...
to type 4. Thus, future experimental technology to directly assess the local Micro and cellular functions might aid type 4 identification.

A schematic diagram of the classification of RI is shown in Figure 2. Here, we stress that this classification might help describe the coupled relationship among Macro, Micro, and cell according to data from a single timepoint after resuscitation; moreover, it might provide a simple and relevant evaluation of RI in circulatory shock. Characteristics of Macro, Micro, and cell of RI classification are summarized in Table 1. Moreover, some potential standards for determining the status of Macro, Micro, and cell are proposed in a clinically practical perspective. As the standards used to identify the severely/normal/mildly impaired status of Macro/Micro/cell function remain controversial and undetermined in clinical practice, additional studies are required to validate the efficacy of the RI classification.

**Dynamic CPC**

Coherence always is defined in a binary (coherence or incoherence) mode regarding the resuscitation of circulatory shock.[16] However, because different degrees of tissue perfusion response to improved global circulation exist in clinical practice, using a binary (coherence or incoherence) method to classify resuscitation coherence might be

| Table 1: Characteristics of Macro, Micro, and cell in conceptual classification of resuscitation incoherence. | Type 1 | Type 2 | Type 3 | Type 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Macro** | | | | |
| Normal | MAP≥65 mmHg (or usual level), ScvO2≥70%, Pv-aCO2≤6 mmHg, and CI>2.2 L/m² | MAP≥65 mmHg (or usual level), ScvO2≥70%, Pv-aCO2≤6 mmHg, and CI>2.2 L/m² | MAP≥65 mmHg (or usual level), ScvO2≥70%, Pv-aCO2≤6 mmHg, and CI>2.2 L/m² | MAP≥65 mmHg (or usual level), ScvO2≥70%, Pv-aCO2≤6 mmHg, and CI>2.2 L/m² |
| Micro | Normal | – | – | CRT≤2 s, PI≥1.4, PPV>80%, MFI≥3, mottling score 0, warm extremities Urine output >0.5 mL·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹ | – |
| Mildly impaired | – | CRT 2–5 s, PI 0.6–1.4, PPV 60%–80%, MFI 2–3, mottling score 0–2, cold extremities Urine output 0.3–0.5 mL·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹ | – | – |
| Severe | CRT<5 s, PI<0.6, PPV<60%, MFI<2, mottling score>2, cold extremities Urine output <0.3 mL·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹ | – | – | CRT<5 s, PI<0.6, PPV<60%, MFI<2, mottling score>2, cold extremities Urine output <0.3 mL·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹ |
| Cell | Perfusion-dependent hypoxia | Lactate>2 mmol/L, P(v-a)CO2/C(a-v) O2 ≥1.6, etc. | Lactate<2 mmol/L, P(v-a)CO2/C(a-v) O2 <1.6, etc. | – | Lactate>2 mmol/L, P(v-a)CO2/C(a-v) O2 ≥1.6, etc. | – |
| Perfusion-dependent occult hypoxia with compensation | – | – | – | – | – |
| Perfusion-independent hypoxia of cytopathic effect | – | – | – | – | – |
| Combined cell hypoxia | – | – | – | – | – |

CI: Cardiac output index; CRT: Capillary refill time; Macro: Macrocirculation; MAP: Mean arterial pressure; MFI: Microvascular flow index; Micro: Microcirculation; P(v-a)CO2/C(a-v)O2: Central venous-arterial carbon dioxide difference/arterial-central venous oxygen difference; PI: Peripheral perfusion index; PPV: Proportion of perfused vessel; ScvO2: Central venous oxygen saturation; Type 1: Macro-Micro incoherence + impaired Cell; Type 2: Macro-Micro incoherence + normal Cell; Type 3: Micro-Cell incoherence + normal Micro; Type 4: “Macro-Micro + Micro-Cell” incoherence; “–”: None.
inadequate. While “coherence” might easily be misinterpreted negatively, “coherence” might instead be a neutral term. For example, a poor Macro accompanied by a poor Micro is a condition that is coherent from a technical perspective. Thus, the concept of dynamic CPC is proposed to assess the effect of resuscitation, which might provide insights into the dynamic interaction of circulation and tissue perfusion. To evaluate dynamic CPC, global circulation is used as the independent variable, and the expected tissue perfusion targets are the dependent variables. Importantly, circulation and tissue perfusion data from two timepoints are required to determine the dynamic CPC. A dynamic CPC degree scale is summarized in Table 2 and Figure 3.

**Dynamic CPC-III**

Dynamic CPC-III is defined as strong coupling between the Macro and tissue perfusion. The change in the tissue perfusion is consistent with the change in the Macro in dynamic CPC-III. That is, an improvement of macro-hemodynamic variables might result in beneficial effects on the tissue perfusion, and vice versa. Here, dynamic CPC-III is divided into dynamic CPC-IIIa and dynamic CPC-IIIb, according to the direction of the change of the Macro and tissue perfusion. In dynamic CPC-IIIa, the Macro and tissue perfusion are both restored from an impaired status after the resuscitation, and the patients with dynamic CPC-IIIa have a successful resuscitation. Moreover, once dynamic CPC-IIIa is achieved after early resuscitation, the deresuscitation also warrants consideration. Dynamic CPC-IIIb is another outcome of the consistent relationship between the Macro and tissue perfusion. Both the Macro and Micro are disordered after the initial resuscitation. A poor Macro is well known to always result in poor tissue perfusion, organ function, and outcome. A clinical study showed that the patients with a low PI (<0.6) and a low ScvO2 (<70%) after 8 h of resuscitation had the highest mortality rate (80%) at day 30. Therefore, dynamic CPC-IIIb reflects patients who require immediate attention and focuses on how to restore Macro, and those who might have a high risk of circulatory collapse. Moreover, some life-saving interventions warrant implementation, depending on the pathophysiologic condition (e.g., emergency surgery to control bleeding for hemorrhagic shock, pericardial drainage, antibiotic adjustment for sepsis, and mechanical support for cardiac shock).

**Dynamic CPC-II**

Dynamic CPC-II (moderate coupling) indicates an acceptable tissue perfusion response (the improvement of tissue perfusion >15% over baseline or an increased absolute value above the lower end of the standard deviation (SD) of the healthy population) to the improvement of the Macro. Dynamic CPC-II indicates that the current therapeutic intervention might be corrected and maintained with careful monitoring. A 5% variation of clinical parameters might be within the range of physiological variation. More than a 15% variation of a parameter might warrant a physician’s attention considering that a 15% increase of cardiac output or oxygen consumption has clinical relevance. Moreover, variation below the 2SD for blood pressure of health population has been used as a diagnostic standard of

---

| Items                          | Timepoint at baseline | Timepoint after early resuscitation | Degree scale of dynamic CPC                  | Clinical relevance                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Global circulation            | Abnormal              | Normal                        | Dynamic CPC-IIa, robust coupling            | Successful resuscitation of Macro, Micro, and cell, and therapy that need to shift to deresuscitation |
| Tissue perfusion              | Abnormal              | Normal                        |                                             |                                                                                     |
| Global circulation            | Abnormal              | Abnormal                      | Dynamic CPC-IIb, robust coupling            | Unsuccessful resuscitation of Macro, and continually focus on how to correct global circulation |
| Tissue perfusion              | Abnormal              | Abnormal                      |                                             |                                                                                     |
| Global circulation            | Abnormal              | Normal                        | Dynamic CPC-II, moderate coupling           | Maintain current treatment, and dynamically evaluate the ongoing tissue perfusion with caution |
| Tissue perfusion              | Abnormal              | Significant improvement       |                                             |                                                                                     |
|                               |                       | (>15% or 2 SD of healthy population) over baseline |                                             |                                                                                     |
| Global circulation            | Abnormal              | Normal                        | Dynamic CPC-I, mild coupling                | Optimize treatment, and exclude other potential factors contributing to poor tissue perfusion |
| Tissue perfusion              | Abnormal              | Mild improvement              |                                             |                                                                                     |
|                               |                       | over baseline                 |                                             |                                                                                     |
|                               |                       | (<15% or 2 SD)                |                                             |                                                                                     |
| Global circulation            | Abnormal              | Normal                        | Dynamic CPC-0, uncoupled                    | Current treatment might be ineffective for perfusion, and therapeutic direction is required to adjust |
| Tissue perfusion              | Abnormal              | Worsened                      |                                             |                                                                                     |

CPC: Circulation-perfusion coupling; Macro: Macrocirculation; Micro: Microcirculation; SD: Standard deviation.
hypotension in septic shock.\textsuperscript{[48]} Therefore, we believe that a cutoff value of 15\% or 2 SD of the expected perfusion parameter might be reasonable and relevant in clinical practice. Additionally, the time interval is an important factor to determine the dynamic CPC degree. A study showed a different threshold value of the lactate clearance rate related to outcome at different time intervals in critically ill patients.\textsuperscript{[49]} Further study is required to define precise cutoff values for different expected perfusion targets during the preset time period. Here, we suggest a dynamic CPC evaluation frequency of 3 to 6 h for typical circulatory shock resuscitation, with an increased frequency of 1 to 2 h for critical conditions. Lastly, the response time and kinetics of expected tissue perfusion targets should be considered in the evaluation of dynamic CPC.

**Dynamic CPC-I (mild coupling)**

Dynamic CPC-I (mild coupling) reflects a perfusion improvement (ie, a range of improved tissue perfusion of 0\%–15\%/0–2 SD over baseline) that is not significant but has not become worse. We suggest that dynamic CPC should be reevaluated with caution after 1 to 2 h. If the expected perfusion targets improve and reach the level of dynamic CPC-II, the current treatment might continue, with careful monitoring. However, if the expected perfusion targets do not change or perhaps worsen, the therapeutic direction should be changed. Moreover, other unchecked factors that result in persistent impaired microcirculatory perfusion should be suspected, such as new-onset sepsis, an occult infection source, profound ischemia-reperfusion injury, or an undetermined etiology of the circulatory shock.

**Dynamic CPC-0 (uncoupled)**

Dynamic CPC-0 (uncoupled) indicates no effect of the improved Macro on tissue perfusion. Importantly, tissue perfusion further deteriorates. Compared with dynamic CPC-I patients, dynamic CPC-0 patients are always more critically ill and require alterations to the current therapeutic direction and a determination of the potential origin (eg, optimize Micro, reassess and retreat the etiology of the circulatory shock). Moreover, the interactive levels of RI should be determined in the dynamic CPC-0 condition.

The dynamic CPC degree is suggested to evaluate the dynamic relationship between the Macro and tissue perfusion in the procedure of resuscitation, and the RI classification is suggested to determine where coherence is lost. It is necessary to evaluate the combined effect of colloids on Macro and Micro for correctly interprete the role of colloids in the shock resuscitation.\textsuperscript{[50]} The first expected aim of the recovery of the Macro is the Micro, and the expected aim of the improved Micro is improved cellular oxygen metabolism. In theory, stepwise targets should be proposed to interpret the dynamic CPC. However, the relationship between the Micro and cellular oxygen metabolism is difficult to quantitatively analyze, and impaired Micro always accompanies poor cellular oxygen metabolism in clinical practice. Thus, it might be difficult in clinical practice to divide tissue perfusion into microcirculatory perfusion and cellular oxygen metabolism using a dynamic CPC degree scale. Recent studies supported the P\textsubscript{v-a}CO\textsubscript{2} might be a potential indicator of sublingual microcirculation.\textsuperscript{[51]} Furthermore,
studies indicated that perfusion-related variables exhibited different normalization rates in septic shock survivors. Here, we emphasize using serial and dynamic parameters in multimodal monitoring strategies for the abovementioned three domain (Macro, Micro, and cell) parameters to assess the loss of coherence and dynamic CPC. We believe that the concepts of resuscitation coherence and dynamic CPC may provide meaningful information to assess the effect of shock resuscitation and determine further therapeutic directions. In summary, a conceptual protocol of the use of dynamic CPC and RI is shown in Figure 4.

**Conclusions**

The concepts of resuscitation coherence and dynamic CPC may provide meaningful information to interpret shock resuscitation. These clinical findings should be considered for the management of circulatory shock. Moreover, these concepts require further study for validation in clinical practice.

**Funding**

This work was supported by a grant from the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 3332018010).

**Conflicts of interest**

None.

**References**

1. Vincent JL, Ince C, Bakker J. Clinical review: Circulatory shock—an update: a tribute to Professor Max Harry Weil. Crit Care 2012;16:239. doi: 10.1186/cc11510.
2. Weil MH, Shubin H. Proposed reclassification of shock states with special reference to distributive defects. Adv Exp Med Biol 1971;23:13–23.
3. Vallée F, Mateo J, Dubreuil G, Poussant T, Tachon G, Ouannounou I, et al. Cutaneous ear lobe PO2 at 37°C to evaluate microperfusion in patients with septic shock. Chest 2010;138:1062–1070. doi: 10.1378/chest.09-2690.
4. Lima A, van Bommel J, Sikorska K, van Genderen M, Klijn E, Lesaffre E, et al. The relation of near-infrared spectroscopy with changes in peripheral circulation in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 2011;39:1649–1654. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182186675.
5. van Genderen ME, Pauw J, de Jonge J, van der Valk R, Lima A, Bakker J, et al. Clinical assessment of peripheral perfusion to predict postoperative complications after major abdominal surgery early: a prospective observational study in adults. Crit Care 2014;18:R114. doi: 10.1186/cc13905.
6. Poeze M, Solberg BC, Greve JW, Ramsay G. Monitoring global volume-related hemodynamic or regional variables after initial resuscitation: is what a better predictor of outcome in critically ill septic patients? Crit Care Med 2005;33:2494–2500.
7. He HW, Liu DW, Long Y, Wang XT. The peripheral perfusion index and transcutaneous oxygen challenge test are predictive of mortality in septic patients after resuscitation. Crit Care 2013;17:R116. doi: 10.1186/cc12788.
8. Sailer Y, Dubois MJ, De Backer D, Creteur J, Vincent JL. Persistent microcirculatory alterations are associated with organ failure and death in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med 2004;32:1825–1831.

9. He H, Long Y, Liu DW, Wang XT, Zhou X. Clinical classification of tissue perfusion based on the central venous oxygen saturation and the peripheral perfusion index. Crit Care 2015;19:530. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2015.05.078.

10. He HW, Long Y, Zhou X, Wang X, Chai W, Cui N, et al. Oxygen-flow-pressure targets for resuscitation in critical hemodynamic shock. Shock 2018;49:15–23. doi: 10.1097/SHK.0000000000000929.

11. Yealy DM, Kellum JA, Huang DT, Barnato AE, Weissfeld LA, et al. The ProCESS Investigators. A randomized trial of protocol-based care in sepsis. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1683–1693. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1401602.

12. Peake SL, Delaney A, Bailey M, Bellomo R, Cameron PA, Cooper DJ, et al. ARISE Investigators, ANZICS Clinical Trials Group. Goal directed therapy in critically ill pediatric patients with early septic shock. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1496–1506. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1404380.

13. Zhou X, Liu D, Su L, Yao B, Long Y, Wang X, et al. Use of stepwise lactate kinetics-oriented hemodynamic therapy could improve the clinical outcome of patients with septic shock: a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010;182:752–761. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200912-1910OC.

14. Jansen TC, van Bommel J, Schoonderbeek FJ, Sleeswijk Visser SJ, van der Klooster JM, Lima AP, et al. Early lactate-guided therapy in intensive care unit patients: a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2016;264:650–657. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000001921.

15. Xu J, Ma L, Sun S, Liu D, Xu W, Li Z, et al. Fluid resuscitation guided by sublingual salivary lactate in septic shock: a nonrandomized study. Crit Care Med 2013;41:296–303. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828936ea.

16. Ince C. Hemodynamic coherence and the rationale for monitoring the microcirculation. Crit Care 2013;17(Suppl 3):S8. doi: 10.1186/cc12147-013-1378-7.

17. Arntzen P, Seidel L, Ertmer C. Haemodynamic coherence – the relevance of fluid therapy. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2016;30:419–427. doi: 10.1016/j.bpa.2016.11.003.

18. Fuchs C, Frey H, Cribier A, Rebberg S. Effects of vasodilators on haemodynamic coherence. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2016;30:479–489. doi: 10.1016/j.bpa.2016.10.003.

19. Soussy S, Legrand M. Hemodynamic coherence in patients with burns. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2016;30:437–443. doi: 10.1016/j.bpa.2016.10.004.

20. Kuiper JW, Tibboel D, Ince C. The vulnerable microcirculation in the critically ill pediatric patient. Crit Care 2016;20:352. doi: 10.1186/s13054-015-0858-0.

21. Lam C, Tymk K, Martin C, Sibbald W. Microvascular perfusion is impaired in a rat model of normotensive sepsis. J Clin Invest 1994;94:2077–2083.

22. Trzeciak S, Dougall RP, Parrillo JE, Guglielmi M, Bajaj J, Abate NL, et al. Early microcirculatory perfusion derangements in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock: relationship to hemodynamics, oxygen transport, and survival. Ann Emerg Med 2007;49:88–98. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2006.08.021.

23. De Backer D, Creteur J, Preisser JC, Dubois MJ, Vincent JL. Microvascular blood flow is altered in patients with sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;166:98–104.

24. Pan P, Liu DW, Su L, He HW, Wang XT, Yu C. Role of combining peripheral with sublingual perfusion on evaluating microcirculation and predicting prognosis in patients with septic shock. Chin Med J 2017;131:1158–1166. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000004528.

25. Ince C, Sinaasappel M. Microcirculatory oxygenation and shunting in sepsis and shock. Crit Care Med 1999;27:1369–1377.

26. Kanoore Edul VS, Ince C, Dubin A. What is microcirculatory shock? Crit Care Med 2015;43:S19–S25. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000001996.

27. Schriger DL, Baraff L. Defining normal capillary refill: variation with age, sex, and temperature. Ann Emerg Med 1988;17:932–935.

28. Lima A, Bakker J. Clinical assessment of peripheral circulation.Curr Opin Crit Care 2015;21:226–231. doi: 10.1097/MCC.0000000000002023.

29. Hasain A, Mukhtar A, Nassar H. Perfusion indices revisited. J Intensive Care 2015;3:21. doi: 10.1186/s40560-015-0220-5.

30. Colin G, Nardi O, Polito A, AboaJ, Maxime V, Clair B, et al. Masseter tissue oxygen saturation predicts normal central venous oxygen saturation during early goal-directed therapy and predicts mortality in patients with severe sepsis. Crit Care Med 2012;40:433–440. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182329645.

31. Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, Thompson BT, Hayden D, de Boisblanc B, et al. Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury. N Engl J Med 2006;354:2564–2575. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa062200.

32. Levy B, Gobot S, Franck P, Cravyoisy A, Bollart PE. Relation between muscle Na+-K+ATPase activity and raised lactate concentrations in septic shock: a prospective study. Lancet 2005;365:871–875. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71045-X.

33. Levraat J, Ciebiera JP, Chave S, Rabary O, Jambou P, Carles M, et al. Mild hyperlactatemia is poor in critically ill septic patients, after lactate clearance rather than overproduction. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;157:1021–1026.

34. Rimachi R, Bruzzi de Carvalho F, Orellano-Junenez C, Cotton F, Vincent JL, De Backer D. What is shock? Clin Intensive Care 2012;40:427–432.

35. Mallat J, Lemyze M, Meldourd M, Pepy F, Gasan G, Bollaret PE, et al. The ratio of central venous to arterial carbon dioxide content or tension to arteriovenous oxygen content are better markers of global anaerobic metabolism than lactate in septic shock patients. Ann Intensive Care 2016;6:10. doi: 10.1186/s13613-016-0110-3.

36. He HW, Long Y, Liu D, Wang XT, Tang B. The prognostic value of central venous to arterial CO₂ difference/arterial-central venous O₂ difference ratio in septic shock patients with central venous O₂ saturation 80%. Shock 2017;48:351–357. doi: 10.1097/SHK.0000000000000893.

37. Mesquida J, Saludes P, Gruartmonner G, Espinal C, Torrents E, Baigorri F, et al. Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference combined with arterial-to-venous oxygen content difference is associated with lactate evacuation in the hemodynamic resuscitation process in early septic shock. Crit Care 2015;19:126. doi: 10.1186/s13054-015-0858-0.

38. He HW, Liu DW, Long Y, Wang XT. High central venous-to-arterial CO₂ difference/arterial-central venous O₂ difference ratio is associated with poor lactate clearance in septic patients. Crit Care Med 2014;42:2083–2088. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000000196.

39. Bollant T, Carrot D, Vignon P, Lascarrour JB, Desachy A, Botoc V, et al. Prevalence of low central venous oxygen saturation in the first hours of intensive care unit admission and associated mortality in septic shock patients: a prospective multicentre study. Crit Care 2014;18:609. doi: 10.1186/s13054-014-0609-7.

40. Izawa J, Kitauma T, Iwami T, Uchino S, Takimani M, Kellum JA, et al. Early-phase cumulative hypotension duration and severe-stage progression in oliguric acute kidney injury with and without sepsis: an observational study. Crit Care 2016;20:405. doi: 10.1186/s13054-016-1564-2.
47. He HW, Liu DW. Passive leg raising in intensive care medicine. Chin Med J 2016;129:1755–1758. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.185866.

48. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Bion J, Parker MM, Jaeschke R, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012. Crit Care Med 2013;41:580–637. doi: 10.1007/s00134-012-2769-8.

49. Vincent JL, Quintairos E, Silva A, Couto L Jr, Taccone FS. The value of blood lactate kinetics in critically ill patients: a systematic review. Crit Care 2016;13:257. doi: 10.1186/s13054-016-1403-5.

50. Yuan SY, He HW, Long Y. Interpretation of venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference in the resuscitation of septic shock patients. J Thorac Dis 2019. Feb.[Epub ahead of print]. doi:10.21037/ jtd.2019.02.79.

51. Su LX, Liu DW. Personalized critical hemodynamic therapy concept for shock resuscitation. Chin Med J 2019;132:1240–1243. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.231511.

52. Hernandez G, Luengo C, Bruhn A, Kattan E, Friedman G, Ospina-Tascon GA, et al. When to stop septic shock resuscitation: clues from a dynamic perfusion monitoring. Ann Intensive Care 2014;4:30. doi: 10.1186/s13613-014-0030-z.

53. Hernandez G, Pedreros C, Veas E, Bruhn A, Romero C, Rovegno M, et al. Evolution of peripheral vs metabolic perfusion parameters during septic shock resuscitation. A clinical-physiologic study. J Crit Care 2012;27:283–288. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2011.05.024.

54. Su LX, Liu DW. Personalized critical hemodynamic therapy concept for shock resuscitation. Chin Med J 2018;131:1240–1243. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.231511.

How to cite this article: He HW, Long Y, Liu DW, Ince C. Resuscitation incoherence and dynamic circulation-perfusion coupling in circulatory shock. Chin Med J 2019;132:1218–1227. doi: 10.1097/CM9.0000000000000221