H₂ metabolism is widespread and diverse among human colonic microbes
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ABSTRACT
Microbial molecular hydrogen (H₂) cycling is central to metabolic homeostasis and microbial composition in the human gastrointestinal tract. Molecular H₂ is produced as an endproduct of carbohydrate fermentation and is reoxidised primarily by sulfate-reduction, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis. However, the enzymatic basis for these processes is incompletely understood and the hydrogenases responsible have not been investigated. In this work, we surveyed the genomic and metagenomic distribution of hydrogenase-encoding genes in the human colon to infer dominant mechanisms of H₂ cycling. The data demonstrate that 70% of gastrointestinal microbial species listed in the Human Microbiome Project encode the genetic capacity to metabolise H₂. A wide variety of anaerobically-adapted hydrogenases were present, with [FeFe]-hydrogenases predominant. We subsequently analyzed the hydrogenase gene content of stools from 20 healthy human subjects. The hydrogenase gene content of all samples was overwhelmingly dominated by fermentative and electron-bifurcating [FeFe]-hydrogenases emerging from the Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. This study supports that H₂ metabolism in the human gut is driven by fermentative H₂ production and interspecies H₂ transfer. However, it suggests that electron-bifurcation rather than respiration is the dominant mechanism of H₂ reoxidation in the human colon, generating reduced ferredoxin to sustain carbon-fixation (e.g. acetogenesis) and respiration (via the Rnf complex). This work provides the first comprehensive bioinformatic insight into the mechanisms of H₂ metabolism in the human colon.
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Introduction
Harboring more than 10¹¹ organisms per gram of content, the human colonic microbiota is a diverse ecological landscape, with impacts to health and disease that are just beginning to be elucidated. The advancement of molecular based techniques has allowed researchers to explore the residents of the colonic microenvironment, revealing specific microbes and microbial patterns associated with human health and disease.¹⁻³ Reproduction of disease states in gnotobiotic mice upon fecal transplant implicates resident microbiota as significant contributors to disease etiology. As in the case of stomach cancer and Helicobacter pylori, some disease states are the result of specific microbial infection. However, the majority of disease appears to be determined by the compilation of host genetic and environmental impacts to microbial community structure such as diet, medication, and mode of delivery at birth. In short, it is not pathology emanating from a specific organism, but rather the accumulation of microbial metabolites from the resident community that contributes to the etiology of most colonic-related disorders. One especially abundant metabolite in the gastrointestinal tract is molecular hydrogen (H₂), a diffusible gas produced and consumed by resident anaerobic microorganisms.⁴ The dynamics of hydrogen cycling are thought to be central to colonic metabolic homeostasis and shaping of the microbial community. As we have recently reviewed,⁴⁻⁶ there is growing evidence that colonic H₂ metabolism in turn influences inflammatory bowel disease, colorectal cancer, gastrointestinal infections, obesity and associated metabolic disorders.

Most of our understanding of the ecology of anaerobic H₂ cycling is based on studies in aquatic sediments.⁷ In such environments, H₂ is produced
predominantly by fermentative bacteria (hydrogeno-
gens) and is thought to be reoxidised primarily by
anaerobic respiratory microorganisms (hydrogeno-
trophs). H₂ consumption is thermodynamically essen-
tial in any environment to maintain fermentative
processes, and is accomplished in the sediment
through interspecies hydrogen transfer or competitive
hydrogenotrophy.⁷ Interspecies hydrogen transfer is
the syntrophic evolution and consumption of hydro-
gen between organisms in such close proximity that
hydrogen never joins the dissolved hydrogen pool.⁸,⁹
In contrast, competitive hydrogenotrophy pairs the
oxidation of organic substrates by hydrogenogens
with the reduction of terminal electron acceptors by
hydrogenotrophs, which results in a well described
cellular and genetic bases for H₂ cycling are
underexplored.¹¹,¹⁸

To gain a better understanding of H₂ metabolism in
the colon, we identified the classes of hydrogenases in
human gastrointestinal tract. H₂ is formed in large vol-
umes in the colon as an end product of carbohydrate fer-
mentation, for example by Bacteroidetes.¹¹ There are at
least 3 major pathways for disposal of the H₂ produced,
namely methanogenesis, sulfate reduction, and acetogen-
esis.¹² The dominance of these pathways appears to vary
among subjects, possibly as a result of competitive hydro-
genotrophy.¹³⁻¹⁵ However, there are also many reports of
methanogens and sulfate-reducers co-existing in the
colon.¹²,¹⁶,¹⁷ We have hypothesized that this is due to the
large spatial and temporal variations in the chemical
composition of the human colon, which would enable
the formation of specific microhabitats dominated by dif-
ferent types of hydrogenotrophs.⁴ Interspecies hydrogen
transfer has been proposed to occur in the hydrogen-rich
human colon, though microenvironmental niches in this
mucosal ecosystem have not been studied and both
the cellular and genetic bases for H₂ cycling are under-
explored.¹¹,¹⁸

This assortment of functions is supported by the
great phylogenetic diversity of the hydrogenases.¹⁹
Hydrogenases can be subdivided into 3 distinct classes
based on their metal site, the [NiFe],²⁰ [FeFe],²³ and
the [Fe]³⁰ hydrogenases. Whereas the [Fe] hydroge-
nases form a small homogenous group,¹⁹ the [NiFe]
and [FeFe] hydrogenases are highly diverse in their
direct donors, associated subunits, and wider cellular
integration.¹⁹,³¹ We recently developed a comprehensive
classification scheme that correlated the primary phylo-
geny of these enzymes with their functions. This
showed there were at least 4 groups and 22 subgroups
of [NiFe]-hydrogenases, and 3 groups and 6 subtypes
of [FeFe]-hydrogenases, each with distinct functions.¹⁹
This enabled the identification of the major classes of
enzymes responsible for hydrogenotrophic respiration
[NiFe Groups 1a, 1b, 1c], hydrogenogenic respiration
[NiFe Groups 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e], hydrogenogenic fer-
mentation (FeFe Groups A1, B; NiFe Group 4a), electron-
bifurcation (FeFe Group A3, A4; NiFe Group 3c), and
sensing (FeFe Group C) in anoxic environments. In turn, these enzymes are likely to be dominant in the human colon. The aim of this work is to use these new sequence-structure-function relationships to explore the dynamics and ecology of H2 metabolism in the human colon.

**Materials and methods**

This work analyzed the hydrogenase content of the public genomes and metagenomes represented in the Human Microbiome Project. We analyzed the capacity of the 343 microbial species to metabolize H2 by retrieving their hydrogenase protein sequences using BLAST searches against reference [NiFe], [FeFe], and [Fe] hydrogenases. The amino acid sequences encoding the hydrogenase catalytic subunits (for NiFe hydrogenases) or domains (for [FeFe]-hydrogenases) were aligned with ClustalW. The relationships between these sequences were visualized in neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees bootstrapped with 500 replicates using MEGA6.

**Results**

**Two thirds of sequenced human gut microbes harbour hydrogenases**

We analyzed the capacity of the 343 microbial species listed in the Human Microbiome Project Gastrointestinal Tract (HMP GI) reference genome database to metabolize H2. Some 71% of these microorganisms encoded hydrogenases. In total, 60% of organisms encoded [FeFe]-hydrogenases, 21% encoded [NiFe]-hydrogenases, and one organism (the methanogen Methanobrevibacter smithii) encoded an [Fe]-hydrogenase. The hydrogenase sequences were distributed unevenly at the taxonomic level. All sequenced human gut representatives of Clostridiales and Bacteroidaceae harbor [FeFe]-hydrogenase genes, as do some Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Synergistetes (Fig. 1A). [NiFe]-hydrogenase genes were present in all microbial phyla relevant to the human gut, with the exception Fusobacteria, but were unevenly distributed within many of these groups (Fig. 1A). Hydrogenases were entirely absent from both the Bacilli and Bifidobacteria (Table S1).

**Human gut microbiota encode a variety of anaerobe-type fermentative, respiratory, and bifurcating hydrogenases**

We subsequently investigated the molecular phylogeny of the hydrogenases present in these organisms using a previously-curated hydrogenase database. The taxonomic distribution of these enzymes is visualised in Figure 1B and their phylogenetic diversity is represented in the phylogenetic trees of Figure 2. The most abundant hydrogenases encoded in sequenced human gut genomes were [FeFe]-hydrogenases that mediate fermentative H2 production (Groups A1, B), flavin-based electron-bifurcation (Group A3), and possibly H2 sensing (Group C) (Fig. 1B; Table S2). These enzymes were especially widespread in the dominant gut phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. A diverse range of [NiFe]-hydrogenase genes were observed. The most abundant are subgroups 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d, which couple H2 oxidation to physiologically-relevant electron acceptors such as sulfate and fumarate, primarily in enterobacteria. Also detected were enterobacteria-type formate hydrogenlyases (NiFe Group 4a), which couple formate oxidation to fermentative H2 production, and methanogenic Eha, Ehb, and Ech hydrogenases (NiFe Group 4d and 4e), which form minimalistic proton/sodium-translocating respiratory chains that reversibly couple ferredoxin oxidation to proton reduction. The other subgroups were present in one to 3 sequenced species each and hence are likely to only have a marginal impact on colonic H2 cycling.

**H2 cycling in the human gut is dominated by [FeFe]-hydrogenases from Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes**

We subsequently surveyed the abundance and affiliations of hydrogenase genes using the metagenomes of stool samples from 20 healthy human subjects. There were major differences in the normalized abundance, classification, and affiliations of the hydrogenase genes.
among the subjects (Fig. 3; Table S3). However, the overall distribution of hydrogenases was similar, with the most abundant hydrogenase genes encoding fermentative (55% Group B, 11% Group A1), bifurcating (20% Group A3), and sensory (6% Group C) enzymes among the samples. [NiFe] enzymes accounted for just 6% of the sequences detected, with the 1d subgroup of O₂-tolerant respiratory uptake enzymes proving the most abundant. Other sequences such as the methanogen-type 4d and Verrucomicrobia-type 1f categories were only found in several samples each, which is consistent with the occurrence of these organisms in only a subset of the human population.3

The vast majority of the genes were affiliated with the Bacteroidetes (73%) and Firmicutes (21%), which is consistent with their genomic hydrogenase content (Figs. 1 and 2) and known dominance in stool samples.3 While Bacteroidetes hydrogenases were generally more abundant than those in Firmicutes, this relationship was reversed in samples O and P (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Publicly available genome and metagenome resources were used in this work to comprehensively analyze the distribution of hydrogenases in the human colon. The data indicate that H₂ metabolism is more diverse and widespread on both the taxonomic and community levels than previously appreciated. Greater than 70 percent of microbial genomes in the HMP GI database harbor the capacity to synthesize hydrogenases, showing H₂ cycling is a dominant mode of
Figure 2. Phylogenetic trees showing the diversity of the human colonic hydrogenases. The trees represent the protein sequences of the [FeFe]-hydrogenase catalytic domains (A) and [NiFe]-hydrogenase catalytic subunits (B) derived from the genomes of human colonic microorganisms. The trees were constructed by the neighbor-joining method, are bootstrapped with 500 replicates, and are color-coded by hydrogenase class.
energy-conservation in this anaerobic ecosystem (Fig. 1). These organisms encoded a wide range of anaerobe-type fermentative, electron-bifurcating, and, to a lesser extent, respiratory [FeFe] and [NiFe] hydrogenases (Fig. 2). The capacity for H₂ sensing was also inferred. The metagenome survey detected a similar diversity of hydrogenase-encoding gene sequences. However, just 3 hydrogenase classes (FeFe Groups B, A3, A1) and 2 microbial phyla (Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes) accounted for > 85% of the genes detected (Fig. 3). This reflects the well-described microbial community structure of the human colon, which is overwhelmingly dominated by Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes.⁴³

Together, the survey infers the predominant routes of H₂ evolution and reoxidation in the human colon (Fig. 4). It indicates that the predominant mechanism of H₂ evolution in this ecosystem is through fermentative processes mediated by Bacteroidetes and Clostridial members of the Firmicutes. Of the major pathways of hydrogenogenesis,²⁴ ferredoxin-coupled H₂ fermentation appears to the predominant; [FeFe]-
Figure 4. Summary of human colon H$_2$ metabolism based on the described genome and metagenome surveys. (A) Summary of the predominant known routes of H$_2$ evolution and reoxidation in the human colon. The microbial phyla and hydrogenase classes mediating these processes are shown. The hydrogenases are sized according to the relative abundance of the genes encoding them in the 20 metagenomes surveyed. The most dominant hydrogenogenic hydrogenases were the Group A1 and Group B [FeFe]-hydrogenases that mediate ferredoxin-dependent H$_2$ evolution. NADPH- or formate-dependent H$_2$ evolution appears to be quantitatively less important. The electron-bifurcating Group A3 [FeFe]-hydrogenases were by far the most abundant hydrogenotrophic hydrogenases identified in our genome and metagenome surveys. These enzymes are linked to acetogenesis, though our metagenomes surveys suggest that many hydrogenotrophs are also capable of oxidising H$_2$ without producing detectable endproducts, i.e. through H$_2$-mediated ferredoxin reduction followed by subsequent ferredoxin respiration. The determinants of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, sulfate reduction, and fumarate reduction were identified, but appear to be comparatively rare. (B) Simplified pathways showing interspecies hydrogen transfer between the 2 most dominant H$_2$-metabolising phyla in the human colon. The H$_2$ evolved by a carbohydrate-fermenting Bacteroides species by the [FeFe] Group B hydrogenase. The H$_2$ is transferred to a hydrogenotroph of the genus Clostridium and is bifurcated at [FeFe] Group A3 to reduce ferredoxin and NADH. The derived reductant sustains respiration through the Rnf complex and CO$_2$ fixation through reductive acetogenesis. Our survey suggests alternative pathways may also occur in the human colon resulting in H$_2$ oxidation in Firmicutes, H$_2$ production in Bacteroidetes, and internal recycling of H$_2$. It is probable that the [FeFe] Group A3 hydrogenase can generate reductant in Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes independently of acetogenesis. Key: Nuo = NADH dehydrogenase, Frd = fumarate reductase, Rnf = ferredoxin:NAD$^+$ oxidoreductase. Modeled based on references.11,27
hydrogenases responsible for this process (Group A1, likely B) were more abundant than [NiFe]-hydrogenases responsible for formate-coupled fermentation (Group 4a, likely 4f), NAD(P)H-coupled fermentation (Group 3b and 3d), and hydrogenogenic respiration (Groups 4b, 4c, 4e).

Of the mechanisms of hydrogenotrophy, the survey strongly implies that the majority of H₂ is reoxidised through electron-bifurcation coupled to ferredoxin respiration. This depends on the highly abundant Group A3 [FeFe]-hydrogenases, which account for 20% of the total hydrogenase genes in the human colon. These trimeric enzymes reversibly bifurcate electrons from H₂ to ferredoxin and NAD.²⁶,³⁵ The reductant generated can be used to sustain anabolic processes, carbon-fixation (e.g., via reductive acetyl-coenzyme A), or further fermentation (via [FeFe]-hydrogenases). However, based on recent models, it is likely that a large proportion is reoxidised through the respiratory Rnf complex, which generates sodium/proton-motive force by coupling Fd_red oxidation to NAD⁺ reduction.²⁶,³⁶ The only quantitatively abundant [NiFe] uptake hydrogenases detected were the oxygen-tolerant respiratory uptake hydrogenases (Group 1d [NiFe]-hydrogenases); such enzymes have been linked to reoxidation of fermentatively-produced H₂ and might also contribute the metabolic flexibility needed for facultative anaerobes such as E. coli to transition between host-associated and free-living states.³⁸

Between them, such processes would enable the majority of H₂ produced in the human colon to be reoxidised without formation of detectable endproducts. We predict that the majority of H₂ produced by fermentative processes is immediately recycled through a combination of internal reoxidation and interspecies H₂ transfer without ever entering the H₂ pool.

Our proposal that electron-bifurcation serves as the primary mechanism of colonic hydrogenotrophy deviates from classical literature emphasizing the roles of pathway necessitating end product secretion. It is probable that the best-characterized pathways of colonic H₂ reoxidation, namely methanogenesis, sulfate-reduction, and potentially acetogenesis,⁴,¹²,¹⁴,³⁹ serve as only fractional sinks in the colonic H₂ budget. These processes have a major influence on the chemical composition of the human colon and its flatus,⁴,¹² enhance the diversity of gut microbiota,³ and, particularly in the case of sulfate-reducers, have been extensively linked to human health and disease.⁴⁰ However, they appear to be quantitatively less significant than electron-bifurcation. This likely reflects that previous studies on colonic hydrogenotrophy have focused on end-product formation rather than molecular mechanisms of H₂ oxidation. HMP studies on the community structure of the human colon indicate the abundance of sulfate-reducers and methanogens is too low and variable for these organisms to be the dominant hydrogenotrophs.³,³² Furthermore, our survey showed the key H₂-oxidising enzymes responsible for methanogenesis (NiFe Groups 3a, 3c, 4d, 4e, [Fe]-hydrogenases) and sulfate-reduction (NiFe Groups 1a, 1b) were either absent or in low abundance in the 100 million sequence reads analyzed. Consistently, the levels of methane and hydrogen sulfide produced in human flatus is many orders of magnitude lower than the H₂ available.⁵ It remains to be debated whether the energetically-constrained process of reductive acetogenesis is an important hydrogenotrophic pathway; the clostridial electron-bifurcating hydrogenases that mediate this process are abundant in the human gut, but have flexibility to support other processes such as fermentation and ferredoxin respiration.

A further surprising finding of our metagenome survey is that it emphasizes a dominant role for Bacteroidetes in H₂ cycling. Genes encoding Bacteroidetes hydrogenases were more abundant than those encoding clostridial hydrogenases in 18 of the 20 samples (Fig. 3). It is established that colonic Bacteroidetes produce H₂ as an end product of cellulolytic fermentation processes⁴¹,⁴² and that this process supports symbiotic interactions with clostridia.¹¹,⁴² Given colonic Bacteroidetes genomes lack classical Group A1 [FeFe]-hydrogenases, such processes are probably supported by Group B [FeFe]-hydrogenase, a large uncharacterized class of enzymes predicted to couple Fd_red oxidation to H₂ evolution.¹⁹,⁴³ The genome and metagenome surveys also detected Group A3 [FeFe]-hydrogenases from members of the genera Bacteroides, Parabacteroides, and Alistipes (Figs. 1 and 3). While the roles for these enzymes in processes such as clostridial reductive acetogenesis is well-resolved,²⁶,⁴⁴ their role in Bacteroidetes is less clear. It is probable that the majority of the reductant formed through this process respires through the Rnf complex, an example
of which was recently characterized in Bacteroides fragilis. It is conceivable that Bacteroidetes also oxidizes internally- and externally-produced H₂ to maintain redox homeostasis and support reductive processes such as CO₂ fixation.

Looking forward, there are now multiple ways to expand on these studies to develop a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of hydrogenogenesis and hydrogenotrophy in the human gut. Firstly, this study only analyses the genetic determinants of H₂ metabolism; it is imperative to test the hypothesis that electron-bifurcation is the dominant mechanism of hydrogenotrophy via expression and activity studies. Secondly, fecal samples do not fully reflect the heterogeneity of the human colon over space and time. Biopsy studies are likely to reveal more about the diversity and distribution of H₂ cycling, including why methanogens and sulfate-reducers are present and active in the human colon despite being outnumbered. As reflected by our findings on the demography of colonic methanogenesis, there is also need for clinical studies to explore how hydrogenase abundance and activity varies with factors such as subject weight, gender, race, and disease states. Our findings that over 70% of sequenced human colonic microorganisms harbor hydrogenases indicates H₂ cycling may be a far more important process in human health and disease than previously recognized. Finally, there is also need for biochemical and physiological studies to resolve the physiological roles of the unexplored Group A2, B, and C [FeFe]-hydrogenases, as well as the wider roles of H₂ cycling in Bacteroidetes. A deeper understanding of phylogeny as it pertains to function is necessary to fully understand the relationship among hydrogenogenic and hydrogenotrophic microbes in the human colon.
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