1. Introduction. The paper is devoted to semilinear partial differential equations of the form

\[
\text{div}[A(z)\nabla u] = f(u),
\]

in domains \( D \) of \( \mathbb{C} \), where functions \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) are continuous and such that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0,
\]

[2] \( A \in M^{2\times 2}_K(D) \), \( 1 \leq K < \infty \), i.e., symmetric matrix functions \( A(z) = \{a_{ij}(z)\} \), \( \det A(z) = 1 \) with measurable entries satisfying the uniform ellipticity condition

\[
\frac{1}{K} |\xi|^2 \leq \langle A(z)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq K |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\]
Following paper [1], under a weak solution of Eq. (1), we understand a function
\( u \in C(\overline{D}) \cap W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(D) \) such that, for all \( \varphi \in C_0(D) \cap W^{1,2}(D) \),
\[
\int_D \langle A(z) \nabla u(z), \nabla \varphi(z) \rangle \, dz + \int_D f(u(z)) \varphi(z) \, dz = 0.
\] (4)

History comments and other definitions can be found in our previous paper [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2, one can find existence theorems for the semilinear equation (1) without boundary conditions. We study the solvability of the Dirichlet problem with arbitrary continuous boundary data for the quasilinear Poisson equations in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the solvability of the Dirichlet problem with continuous boundary data for the semilinear equation (1). Finally, Section 5 contains some physical applications.

2. On a weak solvability of semilinear equations. We start from the study of the solvability of the semilinear equations (1) without any boundary conditions.

Theorem 1. Let \( D \) be a domain with a finite area that is not dense in \( \mathbb{C} \), \( A \in M^{2 \times 2}_K(D) \), and let a continuous function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfy condition (2). Then there is a weak solution \( u : D \to \mathbb{R} \) of Eq. (1) which is locally Hölder-continuous in \( D \).

Proof. Let us extend \( A(z) \) by the identity matrix \( I \) outside of \( D \). By Theorem 4.1 in [1], if \( u \) is a weak solution of (1), then \( u = U \circ \omega \), where \( \omega := \Omega|_D \) and \( \Omega \) is a quasiconformal mapping of \( \overline{D} \) onto itself, \( \Omega(\infty) = \infty \), agreed with the extended \( A \), and \( U \) is a weak solution of the quasilinear Poisson equation
\[
\Delta U(z) = h(z) \cdot f(U(z))
\] (5)
with \( h = J \), where \( J \) is the Jacobian of the mapping \( \omega^{-1} : D_* \to D \), \( D_* := \omega(D) \).

Note that \( \overline{D} \setminus D \) contains a nondegenerate (connected) component \( C \), because \( D \) is not dense in \( \mathbb{C} \), see, e.g., Corollary IV.2 and the point II.4.D in [3], see also Lemma 5.1 in [4]. Hence, \( \overline{D} \setminus D_* \) contains a component \( C_* := \Omega(C) \) whose boundary is a nondegenerate continuum, see again Lemma 5.1 in [4], and, by the Riemann theorem, there is a conformal mapping \( H \) of \( \overline{C_*} \) onto \( D \).

Setting \( H_* = H|_{D_*} \), we see that \( H_* \) maps \( D_* \) into \( D \). Moreover, the quasiconformal mapping \( \omega_* := H_* \circ \omega_* : D_* \to \overline{D}_* := H_*(D_*) \) is also agreed with \( A \) in \( D \). Thus, again by Theorem 4.1 in [1], \( u = U_* \circ \omega_* \), where \( U_* \) is a weak solution of (5) with \( h = J_* \) in \( \overline{D}_* \subseteq \overline{D} \). Here, \( J_* \) is the Jacobian of the mapping \( \omega^{-1}_* : \overline{D}_* \to D \).

By Remark 4.1 in [1], inversely, if \( U_* \) is a weak solution of (5) with \( h = J_* \) in \( \overline{D}_* \), then \( u = U_* \circ \omega_* \) is a weak solution of (1) in \( D \). The latter implication allows us to reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to Corollary 3 in [2] with the special \( h = J_* \).

Indeed, \( J_* \in L^1(\overline{D}_*) \), because its integral is equal to the area of the domain \( D \), see, e.g., Theorem 3.2 in [5] and Theorem II.B.3 in [6]. Moreover, \( J_* \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\overline{D}_*) \) for some \( p > 1 \), because, by the Bojarski result in [5], the first partial derivatives of the quasiconformal mapping \( \omega_* := \omega^{-1}_* : \overline{D}_* \to D \) are locally integrable with a power \( q > 2 \), and \( J_* = |\omega_*^\ast|^2 - |\omega_*^\ast|^2 \), see, e.g., I.A (9) in [6].

3. Dirichlet problem for a quasilinear Poisson equation. Let \( D \) be a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{C} \) without degenerate boundary components, i.e., any connected component of the boundary of \( D \) is
not degenerated to a single point. Given a continuous boundary function \( \varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R} \), let us denote by \( D_\varphi \) the harmonic function in \( D \) that has the continuous extension to \( \overline{D} \) with \( \varphi \) as its boundary data. Such a function exists and is unique, see, e.g., Corollary 4.1.8 and Theorem 4.2.2 in [7]. Thus, the Dirichlet operator \( D_\varphi \) is well defined in the given domains. We need not its explicit description for our goals.

By Theorem 1 in [2], we come to the following result on the existence, regularity, and representation of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation in arbitrary bounded domains \( D \) in \( \mathbb{C} \), where we assume that the charge density \( g \) is extended by zero outside of \( D \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( D \) be a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{C} \) without degenerate boundary components, \( \varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous function, and \( g : D \to \mathbb{R} \) belong to the class \( L^p(D) \) for \( p > 1 \). Then the function \( U := N_g - D_{N_g^*} + D_\varphi \), \( N_g^* := N_g \mid_{\partial D} \), is continuous in \( \overline{D} \) with \( U \mid_{\partial D} = \varphi \), belongs to the class \( W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(D) \), and satisfies the Poisson equation \( \Delta U = g \) a.e. in \( D \). Moreover, \( U \in W^{1,q}(D) \) for some \( q > 2 \), and \( U \) is locally Hölder-continuous in \( D \). Furthermore, \( U \in C^{1,\alpha}(D) \) with \( \alpha = (p-2)/p \), if \( g \in L^p(D) \) for \( p > 2 \).

Moreover, \( U \) is locally Hölder-continuous. Furthermore, \( U \in C^{1,\alpha}(D) \) with \( \alpha = (p-2)/p \), if \( p > 2 \).

**Proof.** If \( \|h\|_p = 0 \) or \( \|f\|_k = 0 \), then the Dirichlet operator \( D_\varphi \) gives the desired solution of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (6), see, e.g., I.D.2 in [8]. Hence, we may assume further that \( \|h\|_p \neq 0 \) and \( \|f\|_k \neq 0 \). Set \( f_\varepsilon(s) = \max_{|t| \leq s} |f(t)| \), \( s \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). Then the function \( f_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is continuous and nondecreasing. Moreover, \( f_\varepsilon(s)/s \to 0 \) as \( s \to 0 \) by (2).

By Theorem 1 in [9] and the maximum principle for harmonic functions, we obtain the family of operators \( F(g; \tau) : L^p(D) \to L^p(D) \), \( \tau \in [0,1] \):

\[
F(g; \tau) := \tau h \cdot f(U(z)) \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in D.
\]

Moreover, \( U \in W^{1,q}_{\text{loc}}(D) \) for some \( q > 2 \) and \( U \) is locally Hölder-continuous. Furthermore, \( U \in C^{1,\alpha}(D) \) with \( \alpha = (p-2)/p \), if \( p > 2 \).

**Proof.** If \( \|h\|_p \) or \( \|f\|_k \) is continuous and nondecreasing. Moreover, \( f_\varepsilon(s)/s \to 0 \) as \( s \to 0 \) by (2).

By Theorem 1 in [9] and the maximum principle for harmonic functions, we obtain the family of operators \( F(g; \tau) : L^p(D) \to L^p(D) \), \( \tau \in [0,1] \):

\[
F(g; \tau) := \tau h \cdot f(U(z)) \quad \text{for a.e. } z \in D.
\]

It satisfies all hypotheses H1-H3 of Theorem 1 in [10]. Indeed:

**H1.** First of all, \( F(g; \tau) \in L^p(D) \) for all \( \tau \in [0,1] \) and \( g \in L^p(D) \), because, by Theorem 1 in [9], \( f(N_g - D_{N_g^*} + D_\varphi) \) is a continuous function. Moreover,

\[
\|F(g; \tau)\|_p \leq \|h\|_p \|f_\varepsilon(2M\|g\|_p + \|\varphi\|_k)\| < \infty \quad \forall \tau \in [0,1].
\]

Thus, by Theorem 1 in [9] and the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, see, e.g., Theorem IV.6.7 in [11], the operators \( F(g; \tau) \) are completely continuous for each \( \tau \in [0,1] \) and even uniformly continuous with respect to the parameter \( \tau \in [0,1] \).

**H2.** The index of the operator \( F(g; 0) \) is obviously equal to 1.
H3). By Theorem 1 in [9] and the maximum principle for harmonic functions, we have the estimate for solutions \( g \in L^p \) of the equations \( g = F(g; \tau) \):

\[
\| g \|_p \leq \| h \|_p f_\tau(2M\| g \|_p + \| \varphi \|_c) \leq \| h \|_p f_\tau(3M\| g \|_p)
\]

whenever \( \| g \|_p \geq \| \varphi \|_c / M \), i.e. then it should be

\[
\frac{f_\tau(3M\| g \|_p)}{3M\| h \|_p} \geq \frac{1}{3M\| h \|_p},
\]

and, hence, \( \| g \|_p \) should be bounded in view of condition (2).

Thus, by Theorem 1 in [10], there is a function \( g \in L^p(D) \) such that \( g = F(g; 1) \) and, consequently, by Theorem 1 in [2], the function \( U := N_g - D_d^* + D_o \) gives the desired solution of the Dirichlet problem for Eq. (6).

4. Dirichlet problem with continuous data for semilinear equations. By the factorization theorem from [1], the study of the semilinear equations (1) in bounded domains without degenerate boundary components \( D \) is reduced, by means of a suitable quasiconformal change of variables, to the study of the corresponding quasilinear Poisson equations (6).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( D \) be a bounded domain in \( \mathbb{C} \) without degenerate boundary components, \( A \in M^{2\times 2}_K(D), \varphi: \partial D \to \mathbb{R} \) be an arbitrary continuous function, and \( f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous function satisfying condition (2).

Then there is a weak solution \( u: D \to \mathbb{R} \) from the class \( C(D) \cap W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(D) \) of Eq. (1) which is locally Hölder-continuous in \( D \) and continuous in \( \overline{D} \) with \( u_{|\partial D} = \varphi \).

**Proof.** Let us extend, by definition, \( A \equiv I \) outside of \( D \). By Theorem 4.1 in [1], if \( u \) is a weak solution of the equation, then \( u = U \circ \omega \), where \( \omega := \Omega_{|D} \), and \( \Omega \) is a quasiconformal mapping of \( \mathbb{C} \) onto itself agreed with the extended \( A \), and \( U \) is a weak solution of Eq. (6) with \( h = J \), where \( J \) is the restriction of the Jacobian of the mapping \( \Omega_{|D}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) to the domain \( D := \Omega(D) \).

Inversely, by Remark 4.1 in [1], we see that if \( U \) is a weak solution of (6) with \( h = J \), then \( u = U \circ \omega \) is a weak solution of our equation. The latter allows us to reduce Theorem 4 to Theorem 3. Indeed, \( \overline{D} := \Omega(\overline{D}) \) is compact. By the Bojarski result in [5], the generalized derivatives of the quasiconformal mapping \( \Omega^* := \Omega^{-1}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) are locally integrable with some power \( q > 2 \). Note also that the Jacobian \( J \) of its restriction \( \omega^* := \omega^*|_{D_o} \) is equal to \( |\omega^*|^{-2} - |\omega^*|^{-2} \), see, e.g., (A.9) in [6]. Consequently, \( J \in L^p(D_o) \) for some \( p > 1 \).

5. On some applications to physical problems. Theorems 3 and 4 can be applied to some physical problems. The first circle of such applications is relevant to reaction-diffusion problems. Problems of this type are discussed in [12], p. 4, and, in detail, in [13]. A nonlinear system is obtained for the density \( u \) and the temperature \( T \) of the reactant. By eliminating \( T \), the system can be reduced to the equation

\[
\Delta u = \lambda \cdot f(u)
\]

with \( h(z) \equiv \lambda > 0 \) and, for isothermal reactions, \( f(u) = u^q \), where \( q > 0 \) is called the order of the reaction. It turns out that the density of the reactant \( u \) may be zero in a subdomain called a dead core. A particularization of results in Chapter 1 of [12] shows that a dead core may exist just if and
only if $0 < q < 1$ and $\lambda$ is large enough, see also the corresponding examples in [1]. In this connection, the following statements may be of independent interest.

**Corollary 1.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, $\varphi: \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, and $h: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function in the class $L^p(D)$, $p > 1$. Then there exists a continuous function $u: \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $u_{|\partial D} = \varphi$ such that $u \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ and

$$\Delta u(z) = h(z) \cdot u^q(z), \quad 0 < q < 1$$

a.e. in $D$. Moreover, $u \in W^{1,\beta}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for some $\beta > 1$, and $u$ is locally Hölder-continuous in $D$. Furthermore, $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ with $\alpha = (p-2)/p$, if $p > 2$.

**Corollary 2.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, and $\varphi: \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a continuous function $u: \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $u_{|\partial D} = \varphi$ such that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $u \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ and

$$\Delta u(z) = u^q(z), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } D.$$  

Note also that certain mathematical models of a thermal evolution of a heated plasma lead to nonlinear equations of the type (9). Indeed, it is known that some of them have the form $\Delta \psi(u) = f(u)$ with $\psi(0) = \infty$ and $\psi'(u) > 0$, if $u \neq 0$ as, for instance, $\psi(u) = u^{q-1} u$ under $0 < q < 1$, see e.g. [12]. With the replacement of the function $U = \psi(u) = |u|^q \cdot \text{sign } u$, we have that $u = |U|^2 \cdot \text{sign } U$, $Q = 1/q$ and, with the choice $f(u) = |u|^2 \cdot \text{sign } u$, we come to the equation $\Delta U = |U|^q \cdot \text{sign } U = \psi(U)$.

**Corollary 3.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, and $\varphi: \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a continuous function $U: \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $U_{|\partial D} = \varphi$ such that $U \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $U \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ and

$$\Delta U(z) = |U(z)|^{q-1} U(z), \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } D.$$  

Moreover, recall that, in the combustion theory, see, e.g., [14], [15] and the references therein, the following model equation

$$\frac{\partial u(z,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\delta} \Delta u + e^u, \quad t \geq 0, \quad z \in D,$$

takes a special place. Here, $u \geq 0$ is the temperature of the medium, and $\delta$ is a certain positive parameter. We restrict ourselves here by the stationary case, although our approach makes it possible to study the parabolic equation (13), see [1]. Namely, Eq. (6) appears here with $h \equiv \delta > 0$ and the function $f(u) = e^{-u}$ that is bounded.

**Corollary 4.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, and $\varphi: \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a continuous function $U: \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $U_{|\partial D} = \varphi$ such that $U \in C^{1,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $U \in W^{2,p}_{\text{loc}}(D)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ and

$$\Delta U(z) = \delta \cdot e^{U(z)}, \quad \text{a.e. in } D.$$  

Specifying the reaction term $f(u)$ of the semilinear equation (1), we also arrive, by Theorem 4, at the following statements concerning some specific problems of mathematical physics in inhomogeneous and anisotropic media.
The Dirichlet problem for the Poisson type equations in the plane

**Corollary 5.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, $A \in M^{2,2}_K(D)$, and $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a continuous function $u : \bar{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $u|_{\partial D} = \varphi$ which is locally Hölder-continuous in $D$, and it is a weak solution in $D$ for the equation

$$\text{div } [A(z)\nabla u(z)] = u^q(z), \quad 0 < q < 1.$$ (15)

**Corollary 6.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, $A \in M^{2,2}_K(D)$, and $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a continuous function $u : \bar{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $u|_{\partial D} = \varphi$ which is locally Hölder continuous in $D$, and it is a weak solution in $D$ for the equation

$$\text{div } [A(z)\nabla u(z)] = |u(z)|^{q-1} u(z), \quad 0 < q < 1.$$ (16)

**Corollary 7.** Let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ without degenerate boundary components, $A \in M^{2,2}_K(D)$, and $\varphi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a continuous function $u : \bar{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $u|_{\partial D} = \varphi$ which is locally Hölder continuous in $D$, and it is a weak solution in $D$ for the equation

$$\text{div } [A(z)\nabla u(z)] = e^{\alpha u(z)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$ (17)

Finally, we note that the statements given above remain to hold, if the reaction terms in Eqs. (15)-(17) are multiplied by arbitrary functions $C \in L^\infty(D)$.
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ЗАДАЧА ДІРИХЛЕ ДЛЯ РІВНЯНЬ ТИПУ ПУАССОНА НА ПЛОЩИНІ

Запропоновано новий підхід до вивчення напівлінійних рівнянь виду
\[ \text{div}[A(z)\nabla u] = f(u), \]
дифузійний член яких є дивергентним рівномірно еліптичним оператором з вимірними матричними функціями \( A(z) \), тоді як його реакційний член \( f(u) \) є неперервною нелінійною функцією. Доведено теорему про існування слабких розв'язків задачі Діріхле з довільними неперервними граничними даними в довільних обмежених областях \( D \) без вироджених граничних компонент і дано застосування до рівнянь математичної фізики в анизотропних середовищах.

Ключові слова: задача Діріхле, напівлінійні еліптичні рівняння, квазілінійне рівняння Пуассона, анизотропні і неоднорідні середовища, квазиконформні відображення.
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