Pathway-Centric Integrative Analysis Identifies RRM2 as a Prognostic Marker in Breast Cancer Associated with Poor Survival and Tamoxifen Resistance$^{1,2,3}$
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Abstract

Breast cancer (BCa) molecular subtypes include luminal A, luminal B, normal-like, HER-2–enriched, and basal-like tumors, among which luminal B and basal-like cancers are highly aggressive. Biochemical pathways associated with patient survival or treatment response in these more aggressive subtypes are not well understood. With the limited availability of pathologically verified clinical specimens, cell line models are routinely used for pathway-centric studies. We measured the metabolome of luminal and basal-like BCa cell lines using mass spectrometry, linked metabolites to biochemical pathways using Gene Set Analysis, and developed a novel rank-based method.
to select pathways on the basis of their enrichment in patient-derived omics data sets and prognostic relevance. Key mediators of the pathway were then characterized for their role in disease progression. Pyrimidine metabolism was altered in luminal versus basal BCa, whereas the combined expression of its associated genes or expression of one key gene, ribonucleotide reductase subunit M2 (RRM2) alone, associated significantly with decreased survival across all BCa subtypes, as well as in luminal patients resistant to tamoxifen. Increased RRM2 expression in tamoxifen-resistant patients was verified using tissue microarrays, whereas the metabolic products of RRM2 were higher in tamoxifen-resistant cells and in xenograft tumors. Both genetic and pharmacological inhibition of this key enzyme in tamoxifen-resistant cells significantly decreased proliferation, reduced expression of cell cycle genes, and sensitized the cells to tamoxifen treatment. Our study suggests for evaluating RRM2-associated metabolites as noninvasive markers for tamoxifen resistance and its pharmacological inhibition as a novel approach to overcome tamoxifen resistance in BCa.

Introduction

In the United States, breast cancer (BCa) is the most common cancer diagnosed in women and the second highest cause of cancer-related deaths among them [1]. Once diagnosed, many important characteristics of BCa are used to determine optimal treatment and prognosis. These characteristics include tumor size, estrogen and progesterone receptor status (ER and PR), HER-2/neu status, histologic subtype, nuclear grade, lymph node status, and margin status [2,3], all of which provide limited insight into the molecular pathways driving disease progression. Breast tumors are clinically stratified into subgroups on the basis of ER and HER-2 expression and the so-called triple-negative tumors (TN: ER, PR, and HER-2 negative) for which currently there is no targeted therapy. Hence, TN subtype tumors are often treated using conventional chemotherapeutics [4,5].

To obtain a better understanding of the pathways associated with estrogen-induced molecular alterations, numerous studies have examined gene and protein expression profiles using high-throughput omics-based technologies [6–18]. However, the application of metabolomics to define pathways associated with BCa has been limited. Unlike the genome and the proteome, the metabolome defines the actual physiological state of the tumor, is computationally tractable, less complex (than the other -omics), and more importantly, reveals potential metabolites that can be measured in noninvasive body fluids in a clinical context. Some researchers have used mass spectrometry to examine the metabolome associated with BCa [19–21] as well as to determine altered metabolites and biochemical pathways associated with the various subtypes of tumors [22–28].

In the current study, using a robust mass spectrometry platform [29–32], we measured metabolic alterations in luminal and basal BCa cell lines [33] and ranked pathways using a Gene Set Analysis (GSA)–based enrichment approach [34]. The enriched pathways were then selected on the basis of their relevance in patient-derived luminal and basal-like BCa tissues, by examining pre-existing gene and metabolic expression data sets. Following this, the selected pathways were further stratified on the basis of their association with survival of patients with BCa using publicly available gene expression data sets containing information on patient outcome. A novel rank-based method was developed that took into account the degree of enrichment of the pathways in each of the molecular data sets, as well as its prognostic potential, to generate a cumulative rank score. This was then finally used to stratify the pathways for downstream validation studies. This systematic stepwise selection enabled us to identify pyrimidine metabolism as a key biochemical pathway associated with aggressive BCa in general and with tamoxifen resistance in patients with luminal BCa. Importantly, using in vitro and in vivo BCa models, the translational and clinical relevance of pyrimidine metabolism and the gene associated with one of its key enzymes, ribonucleotide reductase subunit M2 (RRM2) was established.

Methods

Cell Lines

Breast cell lines (basal-like or mesenchymal breast cancer—BT549, HS578, MDA MB 231, MDA MB 436, and MDA MB 468; luminal breast cancer—BT474, MCF-7, MDA MB 453, and T47D) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA; see Supplementary Table 1 for description of the cell lines). SUM159PT basal BCa cells were kindly gifted by Dr Ethier (Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) Hollings Cancer Center, Charleston, SC). MDA MB 231, MDA MB 453, HS578T, and MCF-7 L [35,36] were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s solutin (DMEM)–GlutaMAX media (Invitrogen Corp, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone Laboratories/Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Hyclone Laboratories). MDA MB 436, and MDA MB 468 were grown in L15 media (Invitrogen Corp, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone Laboratories) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Hyclone Laboratories). MDA MB 436, and MDA MB 468 were grown in L15 media (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone Laboratories). T47D, BT 474, and BT549 cells were grown in RPMI (Invitrogen Corp) media supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone Laboratories) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Hyclone Laboratories). SUM 159 PT was grown in Ham F12, 5% insulin hydrocortisone (Life Technologies). All cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2. Before their analyses, cells were trypsinized, and the pellet was washed thrice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), counted into 25 million aliquots, and stored at –140°C.

For studies to characterize the role of RRM2 in tamoxifen resistance, MCF-7 parental without treatment (MCF-7 L, parental) or either treated with tamoxifen for 48 hours when cells are still sensitive, TAM sensitive (TAM-S) or for long term until cells became resistant and resumed growth (TAM resistant, TAM-R) as described earlier by Morrison et al. [35,36] were used. The parental
cells were grown in RPMI medium as described above. Tamoxifen-treated cells (TAM-S or TAM-R) were grown in phenol-red-free RPMI medium (Media Tech, Manassas, VA) containing charcoal stripped Fetal Bovine Serum, with 10 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). In addition, xenografts generated using MCF parental cells (MCF-7 L) that were either untreated (termed parental) or treated with tamoxifen for ~2 weeks (short-term treatment and TAM-S) or ~3 months (long-term treatment and TAM-R), as described by Massarweh et al. were used.

Mass Spectrometry

Unbiased mass spectrometry–based methods were described earlier [30]. Sample preparation for mass spectrometry–based examination of metabolome is described in Supplementary Methods. The mass spectrometry portion of the unbiased profiling platform is based on a 1200 SL Rapid resolution LC and a 6520 Quadrupole Time of Flight mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). For unbiased profiling studies, real-time mass correction during mass spectrometry was achieved by infusion of a standard mixture of reference ions using an independent 1200 SL Rapid resolution LC isocratic pump equipped with 100:1 splitter to output a flow rate of 5 ml/min. The samples were independently examined in both positive and negative ionization modes using a dual electrospray ionization source. Detailed description of chromatographic methods used for separation of metabolites is given in Supplementary Methods.

The mass spectrometry portion of the targeted profiling platform was based on a 1200 SL Rapid resolution LC and a 6430 triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies). The multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)–based measurement of levels of 76 metabolites was done using four different methods, which used either a reverse-phase or normal aqueous-phase chromatographic separation, before mass spectrometry. Details of the methods, associated chromatographic conditions, metabolites measured, and their corresponding MRM transitions are given in Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Methods.

Metabolomic Library, METLIN

METLIN (Agilent Technologies) was used to search the unbiased mass spectral data. METLIN was created using approximately 1800 commercially available compounds whose retention time was defined using the Reverse Phase (RP) chromatographic method described above.

Metabolomics Data Analysis

All the downstream processing and data analyses were performed using R statistical programming software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) [37]. After removing the compounds with more than 40% of missing values, the missing values in the remaining metabolites in the BCa cell lines were imputed using the K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN) algorithm (“imputation” package [38], K = 5). Following the preprocessing, of 673 compounds, the BCa cell line has 76 were unique metabolites, whereas our recently published BCa tissue metabolome data set [39] had a total of 219 compounds of which 168 metabolites were named.

Imputed data were median centered and Inter Quartile Range (IQR) scaled following log2 transformation. Two-sided t tests were performed to identify differential metabolites by comparing luminal and basal subtypes coupled with False discovery rate (FDR) adjustment (adjusted P values < .2) using the Benjamini Hochberg (BH) method [40] along with estimated fold change using the Differential Expression via Distance Summary (DEDS) package [41].

Analysis of Microarray Gene Expression Data

In this study, we used this gene expression data set (termed National Cancer Institute data set, GSE37751) for 46 matched BCa tissues derived from (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) GeneChip Human Gene 1.0 ST arrays, followed by Robust Multichip Average (RMA) normalization [39,42] found in the R bioconductor [43] package and differential expressions analysis using FDR-adjusted (Benjamini Hochberg) two-sided t tests (limma (linear models for microarray data) package). Heat maps with average linkage based hierarchical clustering of z-score transformed differentially expressed compounds and genes, were generated using the gplots [44] package.

Identification of Key Pathways Based on Enrichment Scores Describing Differential Expression and a Prognostic Value in BCa Subtypes

Selection of key pathways was accomplished using a two-step process that considered both the relative enrichment scores on the basis of a modified enrichment analysis, GSA (proposed by Tibshirani et al. [http://www-stat.stanford.edu/~tibs/GSA/], [45,46]) and their prognostic potential on both the set of metabolites and their Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/)–derived associated gene sets across multiple publicly available BCa gene expression data sets (refer to Supplementary Table 3 for the list of metabolites and their associated genes and Supplementary Table 4 for the description of the data sets).

In the first step, the selection of top significant (enrichment P value) pathways included a group of 11 pathways that were altered between basal and luminal subtypes. Next, the gene sets associated with these 11 enriched pathways were obtained from KEGG to derive their pathway-specific score (averaged gene expression) and examined for their prognostic value in the publicly available gene expression data sets (refer to Supplementary Table 4 for the list of data sets used) [47,48]. This was done by examining the association between pathway scores and years of metastasis-free patient survival using a Cox proportional hazards model. For selected pathway-associated gene sets or individual genes, correlation with metastasis-free survival was visualized using Kaplan-Meier (KM) plots. As a comparative reference for the prognostic analysis, samples in the Kessler compendium and van de Vijver data sets were also stratified using (GEO data set GEICAM9906) PAM50 and other known prognostic marker panels [49].

Once the enrichment scores for both expression levels and prognostic values were obtained for each pathway, they were combined to generate a combined rank (CR) score, details of which are described in Supplementary Methods.

Analysis of RRM2 Expression by Immunohistochemistry on Tissue Microarray of Patients with BCa

Tissue microarray construction. RRM2 protein expression was measured using a previously developed tissue microarray (TMA) (Pathology Resource Network, Roswell Park, NY) containing 192 biopsy specimens (each having three replicates). Tissues from patients who had surgeries performed between 1995 and 2008 at Roswell Park Cancer Institute (Buffalo, NY) were included in the TMA. Specimens for controls within the TMA consisted of multiple cores of normal tissue from 10 different organs including heart, colon, kidney,
adrenal, ovary, myometrium, brain, thyroid, lung, and prostate, thereby representing more than 20% of all the cores in a TMA. Appropriate Institutional Review Board approval consistent with federal, state, and local requirements was obtained for this project, and clinical and outcome data were deidentified.

Of the 192 patients whose tissues were arrayed on the TMA, 185 were ER positives, 5 were ER negatives, and the remaining 2 were not defined for their subtype. Further among the 192 tissues, 132 were PR positive, 58 were PR negative, and the remaining two patients were not defined for their PR status. Patients were administered with tamoxifen (156), anastrozole (21), or letrozole (2) or not treated (5). The treatment was given either in an adjuvant systemic setting (165) or neoadjuvant systemic setting (16). Two patients received systemic treatment for metastasis, one received for local recurrence, four did not receive any systemic treatment, one patient refused treatment, and three patients were not documented for the treatment modality. In addition, a subset of patients were also subjected to chemotherapy with the following distribution: AC (Adriamycin+ Cyclophosphamide (AC) (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA)) (29), CMF IV (Cyclophosphamide+ Methotrexate+ Fluorouracil /SFU (CMF) (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA)) cytoxan (6), AC/Taxol (48), Adriamycin/Taxotere (4), A-CMF (Adriamycin+Cyclophosphamide+ Methotrexate+ Fluorouracil /SFU(ACMF) (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA)) (3), Taxotere (1), CEF (Cyclophosphamide + Epirubicin, + 5-Fluorouracil (CEF) (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA)) (Epirubicin, 1). Seventy-eight patients did not receive any chemotherapy. Importantly, in this study, the inclusion criteria for evaluating RRM2 expression in the TMA were that the patient should have ER+ tumors, be treated with tamoxifen in an adjuvant setting and without chemotherapy. Using these criteria a total of 45 patients were selected, of which recurrence was seen in 19 patients whereas the rest of the 26 patients were followed for recurrence-free survival for a median time of 8 years. Furthermore, among these 45 patients, 25 patients were reported to have died by the end of 8 years of median follow-up, of which 13 died of the disease, 6 died due to other complications, and 6 died of unknown causes.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Paraffin sections were cut at 4 μm, placed on charged slides, and dried at 60°C for 1 hour. Slides were cooled to room temperature, deparaffinized in three changes of xylene, and rehydrated using graded alcohols. For antigen retrieval, slides were heated in the steamer for 10 minutes and washed with PBS/T (Tween-20). Slides were loaded on a Dako (X0909, Dako, CA) autostainer, serum-free protein block (Dako No. X0909) was applied for 5 minutes and blown off, and the RRM2 antibody (SC-81850, Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz (Dallas, TX)) was applied at 1:500 dilution for 1 hour. PBS/T was used to wash slides between each reagent application. Dako Mouse Envision (K4007) was applied for 30 minutes, followed by DAB (Dako No. K3468) for 10 minutes. Finally, the slides were removed from the autostainer, counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, cleared, and coverslipped. Isotype-specific nonimmune IgG was used as a control to examine the specificity of RRM2 staining.

**Aperio Slide Scanning and Image Analysis**

TMA slides were digitally scanned using Aperio ScanScope (Aperio Technologies, Inc, Vista, CA) with ×20 bright-field microscopy. These images were then accessible using Spectrum (Aperio Technologies, Inc), a web-based digital pathology information management system. Slide images were automatically associated to a digital slide created in the Digital Slide table in Spectrum.

Once slides were scanned, Aperio ImageScope version 11.2.0.780 (Aperio Technologies, Inc) was used to view images for analysis. Slide image data fields were populated and images were examined for quality and were amended as necessary. Care was taken to avoid including areas of carcinoma in situ and regions with staining artifacts. When possible, representative areas of tumor were selected for analysis with a minimum target of 30 tumor cells per TMA core.

A Cytoplasm Algorithm (Aperio, Leica Microsystems, IL) that was calibrated to analyze DAB staining intensity and calculate the percentage of cells containing the stain within their cytoplasmic compartment was used. Staining thresholds were set for calling out positive stains, and the scores for average cytoplasm intensity for the selected regions were calculated on the basis of these thresholds. The staining intensity was stratified into the following four score values: 0, none; 1+, weak; 2+, moderate; and 3+, strong. Concomitantly, for each of these staining scores, the percentage of cells that stained was also calculated. The staining intensity and the percentage of cells in each of the staining intensity bins were together used to calculate the H score that reflects the proportion of cells in the cytoplasm of the tumor that express RRM2 at the various staining intensity thresholds described above. In other words, the H score was calculated as follows: 1*(%1+) + 2*(%2+) + 3*(%3+) + 4*(%4+), and ranged from 0 to 300 with a score of 300 reflecting 100% of the cells having a staining intensity of 3+. All the slides were counterstained with hematoxylin to reveal the morphologic detail of the surrounding tissue and to help identify nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments of the cells for analysis.

**TMA Data Analysis**

In this study, we selected tissues from the TMA for RRM2 analysis that met the following inclusion criteria: ER+ treated with tamoxifen in the adjuvant setting and no chemotherapy. A total of 45 tissues passed these criteria among which 19 recurred and the rest did not show recurrence for a median follow-up time of 8 years. Furthermore, among the 45 patients, 25 patients were reported to be dead by the end of the follow-up period either due to cancer (13) or other causes (12). For the analysis, the median H score value for each of the samples was calculated using data from replicate cores. The median H score was then compared using nonparametric rank sum test for RRM2 expression among patients who were reported to be dead versus those reported to be alive for the 8-year median follow-up time period. Furthermore, KM plots using H score were used to examine the association of RRM2 with recurrence-free survival.

**Genetic and Pharmacological Inhibition of RRM2 in TAM-R Cells and Associated Characterization**

TAM-R cells were transfected using either negative control siRNA (ctrl-siRNA) small interfering Ribo Nucleic Acid (siRNA) or Smart pools of siRNA against RRM2 (RM2-siRNA, Thermo Scientific/ Dharmacon RNAi Technologies, Chicago, IL) using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent as described earlier [50]. At 48 hours of posttransfection, whole-cell extracts were made, and knockdown of RRM2 was verified using both Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (QPCR) and immunoblot analysis. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling assay (Calbiochem/Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to assess the proliferation rate per manufacturer’s instructions. For cell-cycle gene
analysis studies, TAM-R cells were transfected with ctrl-siRNA or RRM2-siRNA as described above. After 48 hours, total RNA was isolated from TAM-R cells, and cDNA was prepared from total RNA using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Transcript levels of cyclin genes were measured by performing qPCR using SsoAdvanced universal qPCR supermix and gene specific primers as per manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad Laboratories). For 5-azacytidine (aza)–based studies, TAM-R cells were plated onto 96-well and six-well plates for assessing cell survival and RRM2 protein levels, respectively. The next day, cells were treated with control vehicle or aza at 25, 50, and 100 nM concentrations. Following this, after 72 hours, cells were subjected to MTT (Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI)) assay and immunoblot analysis.

**Results**

Figure 1A shows the overall approach used in this study. Mass spectrometry was used to measure the relative levels of 673 compounds (includes 60 metabolites measured using targeted assays) across 10 BCa cell lines (luminal n = 4 and basal n = 6; see Supplementary Table 1 for description of cell lines), each analyzed as biologic triplicates. Metabolites from predefined liver pools (n = 26) were extracted in parallel and examined as process controls. Internal standards were spiked in during the extraction.

**Figure 1.** Metabolomic profiling of BCa cell lines. (A) Overview of metabolomic profiling and the integrative methodology. Mass spectrometry–based measurement of the cell line metabolome was followed by GSA-based identification of enriched biochemical pathways. These were compared with GSA-enriched metabolic pathways derived from an independent set that consisted of matched metabolome and transcriptome data derived from ~50 BCa tissues (46 specimens for transcriptome data). Pathway enrichment results from each of the three data sets were integrated using a novel rank-based method to nominate a set of 11 commonly enriched pathways. These were further curated using a similar rank-based approach for their clinical significance, i.e., association with time to metastasis-free survival. Overall, results of this stepwise enrichment were used to nominate pathways on the basis of both their biologic and clinical relevance. (B) Heat map overview of levels of named metabolites across luminal and basal/mesenchymal BCa cell lines. Shades of maize and blue represent elevated and reduced levels of metabolites, respectively (see color scale).
process to assess process variation. Mass spectral data were used to calculate differential metabolites that distinguish basal and luminal BCa cell lines. To obtain a pathway perspective, the metabolite data were enriched using GSA [34]. In parallel, the same strategy was used on matched pre-existing BCa tissue–derived gene expression and metabolic data to determine clinically relevant biochemical pathways. Subsequently, commonly enriched pathways in both the cell line and patient data sets were determined and evaluated for their association with BCa-specific survival. A novel rank-based scoring method incorporating information on both the biologic (enrichment in clinical tissue data sets) and clinical (prognostic) significance of the pathways was developed and employed to select key biochemical pathways for downstream validation studies. This method allows us to define key biochemical pathways associated with cancer progression, by integrating data from diverse omics data sets containing well-annotated clinical data.

**Metabolic Profiles Associated with BCa Cell Lines**

Before the analysis of the cell line data, the process controls, i.e., matrix-free internal standards and liver pools were evaluated for their variability. The range of coefficient of variation (% CV) for the eight internal standards in liver pool was 0.09% to 6%. A total of 76 named metabolites were measured across the luminal and basal BCa cell lines (Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Of these, 42 metabolites (FDR-adjusted \(P \text{ value} < 0.2\) were differentially altered between the luminal and basal subtypes (Figure 1B). Luminal BCa cell lines showed elevated levels of amino acids, like phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine, and branched chain amino acids like leucine, lysine, and valine, as well as higher levels of lauric acid and oleic acid. In contrast, levels of nucleotides like guanine, adenine, thymine, uracil, xanthine, and guanosine were elevated in basal BCa cells compared to the luminal counterparts.

**Enrichment Analysis to Define Pathways Associated with Cell Line Metabolomics Data**

To obtain better insight into the subtype-specific biochemical pathways distinguishing luminal from basal BCa cell lines, we applied GSA on the cell line–derived metabolomics data as described under the Methods section. As a first step in this process, all the named metabolites identified in cell lines \((n = 53)\) were mapped to 200 biochemical pathways using the KEGG database (Supplementary Table 2) [51]. These pathways were then used for GSA-based enrichment analysis. Following this, we ranked the pathways on the basis of their FDR-corrected enrichment \(P \text{ value}\) and selected 30 pathways \((P \text{ value} < 0.15; \text{Supplementary Table 5})\) for subsequent integrative analysis with pathways obtained from tissue data sets. The enriched pathways in the cell line data include those that describe metabolism of purine, pyrimidine, glutamate, alanine-aspartate, glycine-serine-threonine, butanoate, \(\beta\)-alanine, taurophosphatase, valine-leucine-isoleucine biosynthesis, panthentenate-CoA (Coenzyme A) biosynthesis and lysine degradation.

**Enrichment of Biochemical Pathways in Luminal Basal-Like BCa Tissues**

We used matched transcriptomic and metabolomic data sets for human breast tumors that were generated earlier by our group [39]. Metabolomics data were available for a total of 50 matched benign-tumor pairs that included 33 luminal and 17 basal-like/TN tumors. Here, a total of 219 compounds were measured, of which 98 metabolites were mapped to ~200 biochemical pathways in KEGG. Supplementary Figure 3A shows the overall heat map for the metabolites in luminal and basal-like BCa tissues. A total of 44 pathways were enriched \((P \text{ value} < 0.15)\) using GSA on the tissue metabolic data set that were subsequently ranked on the basis of their \(P \text{ value}\) and used for integrative analysis with cell line–derived biochemical pathways (Supplementary Table 5 lists the enriched pathways).

The transcriptomic data, published by our group earlier [39], contained measurements for ~20,000 genes that were measured using Affymetrix ST arrays across 46 BCa tissues \((\text{ER} + n = 31\) and basal like/TN, \(n = 15\); see Supplementary Figure 3B, GEO Accession No. GSE39004/GSE37751). Among these, ~2100 genes were mapped to the same 200 KEGG biochemical pathways described above and used for GSA-based enrichment analysis. Supplementary Table 5 shows the list of the 69 pathways enriched using the gene expression data \((P \text{ value} < 0.15)\) that distinguish luminal versus basal BCa. These pathways were also ranked on the basis of their FDR-corrected enrichment \(P \text{ value}\) and used for subsequent integrative analysis.

**Selection of Robust Omics-Derived Pathways**

Having determined the enriched biochemical pathways and their respective ranks in the metabolome (cell line and tissue) and transcriptome (tissue) data sets, we next asked which of these metabolic processes were consistently enriched across all the three data sets. A total of 11 pathways distinguishing luminal versus basal BCa were uniformly enriched at a \(P \text{ value} < 0.15\) in each of the three data sets (Figure 2, A–C). These 11 pathways included those that describe metabolism of purine, pyrimidine, glutamate, alanine-aspartate, glycine-serine-threonine, butanoate, \(\beta\)-alanine, taurophosphatase, valine-leucine-isoleucine biosynthesis, panthentenate-CoA biosynthesis, and lysine degradation (Supplementary Figure 2). Supplementary Figure 3 shows the heat maps for the expression of the genes associated with the 11 biochemical pathways in luminal and basal breast tumors from our BCa tissue–derived transcriptomic data set [39].

**Integration of Omics-Based Enrichment and Prognostic Ranks to Select Clinically Relevant Biochemical Pathways**

The biochemical pathways that were consistently enriched across the different omics data sets were further filtered for their clinical relevance by examining their prognostic potential in publicly available BCa gene expression data sets having at least 10 years of clinical follow-up information. An \textit{in silico} analysis was performed to investigate the association of each of the 11 metabolic pathways with patient survival using 10 independent gene expression data sets (refer to Supplementary Table 4). Among these, eight data sets (all on Affymetrix platform) are included in the Kessler compendium [48]. The additional two data sets include the van de Vijver data set (containing gene expression data on 295 patients with BCa [47] and the NCI transcriptome data set (GEO data set GSE39004) (used for integrative analysis above [39]). For the analysis, both the expression value and directionality of expression for each gene associated with the 11 commonly enriched pathways (described in Figure 2, A–C) were obtained from each of the above gene expression data sets. This information was then used to generate an average gene expression score for each pathway, which was then examined for association with years of metastasis-free patient survival using a Cox proportional
hazards model. The prognostic value of the top ranked pathway was visualized using KM plots across all samples in the Kessler compendium. Figure 2D shows the individual as well as integrated P values for each pathway obtained across all BCa tissues using all the 10 data sets described above.

Notably, only pyrimidine metabolism had a P value <.1 for the CR Score, indicative of its biologic and clinical relevance in BCa (Table 1). In addition, pyrimidine metabolism was also significantly enriched in a subset of BCAs with documented ER status (Supplementary Table 6). Consistent with all of this, KM plots also showed higher expression of pyrimidine metabolism pathway genes as being associated with shorter metastasis-free survival, across all BCa (Figure 2F, N = 1340, log-rank P value = .0004) as well as within the subset of ER+ tumors (Figure 2F, N = 686, log-rank P value = .003).

In addition, higher expression of pyrimidine metabolism genes, correlated well with PAM50 [52], defined basal-like, Her-2–enriched, and luminal B tumor subtypes whereas being lower in luminal A tumors (Figure 2G). Higher cumulative expression of pyrimidine
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**Figure 2D**

Univariate Cox p-values, correlation with distant metastasis-free survival

| Pathway | Cox | Log-rank | P value |
|---------|-----|----------|---------|
| Pyrimidine | 0.03 | 0.018 | 0.0004 |
| Glutamate | 0.09 | 0.013 | 0.0003 |
| Lysine | 0.05 | 0.007 | 0.0001 |
| Aspartate | 0.02 | 0.003 | 0.0005 |
| S-Adenosylhomocysteine | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.0009 |

**Figure 2E**

Pyrimidine gene set, Kessler tumor compendium
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**Figure 2F**
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**Figure 2G**
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metabolism genes also overlapped with expression patterns of MKI67 [53], Oncotype Dx [54], Mammaprint [55], and genome grade [56] (Figure 2G). In contrast to the above, genes belonging to the methionine and glutathione pathways showed opposing expression patterns in basal-like and Her-2-enriched tumors (Figure 2G).

**Higher Expression of RRM2 is Associated with Tamoxifen Resistance in BCa**

We examined the genes within the pyrimidine metabolic pathway that were most highly altered in basal versus luminal BCa using our tissue-derived transcriptome data [39]. Both uridine pyrophosphorylase 1 (UPP1, Supplementary Figure 4) and RRM2 (Figure 3A) were significantly (P = .0004) elevated in basal-like BCa compared to luminal tumors. Ribonucleotide reductase has three isoforms, M1 (RRM1), M2 (RRM2), and M2b (RRM2b), all of which are key enzymes in the process of DNA replication and catalyzes the conversion of UDP and cytidine diphosphate (CDP) to their respective deoxygenated versions (dUDP/dCDP), which are then used for DNA synthesis. Furthermore, higher levels of RRM2 but not RRM1 (refer to Supplementary Table 7) were associated with poor survival in the Kessler compendium (log-rank P = .002; Figure 3D).

Our analysis using the Loi data set (that contains 149 ER+ node-negative patients treated with TAM), showed that RRM2 by itself was able to distinguish patients who exhibit intrinsic resistance to TAM treatment and hence relapse within 2 to 5 years after starting the therapy (log-rank P value = .002; Figure 3D).

Consistent with this, a KM plot (Figure 3D) showed that about 70% of the patients who had low expression of RRM2 (bottom third) in this data set showed significantly longer metastasis-free survival, compared to about 50% who expressed higher levels of this pyrimidine metabolic gene (top third) and displayed worse outcome. Furthermore, RRM1 was not altered between tamoxifen responders and nonresponders in this data set, whereas RRM2b was not measured. Consistent with all of the above, in the Loi data set, pathway-centric enrichment of gene expression data stratifying patients into TAM responders versus nonresponders also significantly enriched pyrimidine metabolism (Supplementary Table 8).

We next verified the association between RRM2 overexpression and TAM resistance using TMA. Here, we restricted our analysis to ER+ BCa tissues from patients treated with only TAM in the adjuvant setting and followed up for tumor recurrence and survival for a median time period of 8 years. To begin with, the specificity of RRM2 antibody for the protein was established using an isotype nonimmune IgG control (Supplementary Figure 5). Following this, TMA staining using RRM2 antibody revealed significantly higher expression of the protein in patients who did not respond to TAM (Figure 3E). Consistent with this, patients who were reported to have died by the end of the follow-up period of 8 years showed significantly shorter survival (log-rank P value = .0003) than those who were alive and relapse-free at the end of the follow-up period (log-rank P value < .0001).

**Table 1. Individual and Combined P Values for Biochemical Pathways Nominated by Integrative Analysis in BCa Data Sets**

| Pathway → | NCI. Trans. | NCI. Meta. | BCL. Meta. | van de Vijver | Desmedt | Chin | Lui | Minn (2005) | Minn (2007) | Schmidt | Wang | Zhang | Combined |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|------|-----|-------------|-------------|----------|------|-------|---------|
| Pathway ↓ | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value | p value |
| Purine | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.0007 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.77 | 0.27 |
| Pyrimidine | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.0007 | 0.17 | 0.001 | 0.89 | 0.39 | 0.003 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.009 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.07 |
| Glutamate | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.006 | 0.04 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 0.35 | 0.59 |
| Alanine and aspartate | 0.003 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.69 | 0.25 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.72 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.59 |
| Glycine and threonine | 0.007 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.008 | 0.26 | 0.92 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.28 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.29 |
| Valine leucine and isoleucine | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.84 | 0.58 |
| Lysine degradation | 0.06 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.76 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 0.002 | 0.03 | 0.23 |
| beta-Alanine | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.0004 | 0.22 | 0.70 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.41 |
| Taurolurine and hypouracil | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 0.17 | 0.007 | 0.51 | 0.46 |
| Butanate | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.64 | 0.81 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.72 |
| Pantothenate and CoA | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.52 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.99 |

Red denote significant correlation with worse outcome.
Blue denote significant correlation with better outcome.

Figure 2. Gene expression signature analysis of metabolism-associated pathways in human breast tumors. (A) Graphical representation of enriched pathways obtained using GSA on cell line–derived metabolomics data. The enrichment P values and pathway ranking are given on the y- and x-axis, respectively. The circumference of each circle in the plot correlates to the number of metabolites in the pathway used for the GSA. (B) Same as in A, but for pathways obtained using GSA on tissue-derived metabolomics data. (C) Same as in A, but for pathways obtained using GSA on tissue-derived transcriptomics data. The circumference of each circle in the plot correlates to the number of genes in the pathway used for the GSA. (D) Associations with distant metastasis-free survival (by univariate Cox P value) involving combined sets of pathway-associated genes, for each of the indicated breast tumor gene expression data sets are presented. (E) KM plots show the association between combined expression of pyrimidine metabolism–associated genes and metastasis-free survival across 1340 BCa tissues in the Kessler compendium (tumors binned by top third, bottom third, and middle third of scores). (F) Same as in E, for pyrimidine metabolism–associated genes and metastasis-free survival across 886 ER+ BCa tissues. (G) Heat maps show average expression of genes associated with the 11 enriched pathways in the Kessler compendium of breast tumor expression profiles (N = 1340). Relative expression for prognostic signatures MKI67, Oncotype Dx, Mammaprint, and Genomic grade are also shown.
period expressed significantly higher RRM2 (Wilcoxon rank sum \( P = .04 \)) compared to those who reported to be alive (Figure 3F). In addition, higher RRM2 expression associated significantly with earlier tumor recurrence (log-rank test, \( P = .04 \); Figure 3G), confirming the prognostic value of RRM2 in patients with luminal BCa treated with TAM.

Next, to determine the role of RRM2 in TAM resistance, RRM2-associated metabolites were measured in MCF-7 L cells that were treated with TAM either for a short-term (TAM-S) or longer time period (TAM-R) [58,59], as well as xenograft tumors [58] generated using MCF-7 L cells that were treated in vivo with TAM for 2 weeks (TAM-S) or 3 months (TAM-R). As expected from our earlier findings, in both cell lines and xenograft tumors, the ratio of the metabolite product:metabolite substrate for RRM2 (dUDP/UDP and dCDP/CDP) were significantly higher in TAM-R cells (\( P < .01 \); Figure 4, A and B, TAM-Cell lines and Figure 4, C and D, TAM-Xenograft), compared to TAM-S controls. A similar profile was also obtained for TAM-R cells when compared with parental untreated controls (MCF-7 L, Figure 4, A and B). Corroborating these findings, both protein (Figure 4E; refer to Supplementary Figure 6 C and D, C and D, for data using untreated parental cells) and mRNA levels (Figure 4F) of RRM2 were higher in TAM-R cells compared to TAM-S controls. Furthermore, transcript levels of RRM2 were also elevated in TAM-R xenograft tumors compared to TAM-S counterparts (Supplementary Figure 7). To further substantiate the association of RRM2 with TAM resistance, siRNA-based knockdown of the gene was carried out (immunoblot for Knock Down (KD) in Figure 4G) in TAM-R cells. As expected, siRNA-mediated KD of RRM2 expression in the resistant cells resulted in a significant decrease in cell proliferation compared to nontarget siRNA controls (Figure 4H). Consistent with this, the transcript levels for cyclins regulating G1-S transition Cyclin D2 (CCND2) and Cyclin E1 (CCNE1) were significantly (\( P < .05 \)) reduced in the KD cells with no change in the mRNA and levels of S-phase– or G2-phase–associated cyclins (CCNA1 and CCNB1) (Figure 4I).

Having garnered substantial evidence on the association of RRM2 with TAM resistance, we next evaluated the ability of the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor aza to sensitize TAM-R cells to TAM treatment. This was motivated by a recent report that showed down-regulation of RRM2 transcript and protein levels by aza in leukemic cell lines [60]. Consistent with the reported findings, treatment with 25 to 100 uM aza completely abolished RRM2 protein expression in
Furthermore, aza-treated TAM-R cells showed a significant reduction in the cell proliferation as assessed using BrdU assay.

Discussion

To delineate the biochemical processes altered in BCa, we adopted a strategy wherein we started by defining the metabolic alterations in luminal and basal-like BCa cells that have been well characterized for their subtype gene expression and routinely used in laboratory studies [33]. The metabolic profiles were analyzed to generate pathways that were then examined for their relevance using clinical specimens. The caveats that argue against using cell line models for profiling studies include the alteration in their molecular profiles caused by culture conditions [61] and the lack of the intratumoral heterogeneity in cell lines [62]. In contrast, the challenge of using clinical specimens for translational research lies in interpatient variability as well as the heterogeneous nature of tumor-associated cell types. In spite of these potential confounders, it is encouraging to find a subset of metabolic pathways that were consistent between cell lines and patient tumors and distinguish luminal from basal-like BCa. The novelty of our integrative approach lies in identifying these commonly altered biochemical pathways using a pathway-centric rank-based method that takes into account both the degree of enrichment (which is a reflection of their biologic importance) of the pathway in patient-derived omics data and its association with patient prognosis (reflection of their clinical relevance). In this regard, our methodology is distinct from the ones that

Figure 4. Elevated expression of RRM2 is associated with acquired tamoxifen resistance in BCa and could be targeted to sensitize the tumors to tamoxifen treatment. (A–D) Box plot shows the relative ratios of product:substrate for RRM2, i.e., dUDP/UDP and dCDP/CDP, in TAM-R (n = 3 biologic replicates) and TAM-S (each n = 3 biologic replicates) cell lines (A and B) and xenograft tissues (C and D), (TAM-R, n = 3 biologic replicates; TAM-S, n = 2 biologic, each in n = 2 technical replicates). The relative ratios for the product:substrates of RRM2 were higher in tamoxifen-resistant (TAM-R) cells (P = .04 and .19) and tissues (P = .002 and .001), compared to their parental counterparts. (E) Immunoblot analysis shows levels of RRM2 protein expression in TAM-R and TAM-S cells. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (F) Transcript levels of RRM1, RRM2, and RRM2B relative to 18S RNA in TAM-R and TAM-S cells are presented. (G) Immunoblot analysis to verify RRM2 KD in TAM-R cells. β-actin was used as a loading control. (H) RRM2 KD in TAM-R cells resulted in a significant decrease in proliferation (rank sum P = .0001) compared to control siRNA-treated cells, as assessed by BrdU assay. (I) Transcript levels of CCND2, CCNE1, CCNA1, and CCNB1 were measured after 48 hours of post-RRM2 siRNA or Ctrl siRNA treated cells. (J) Immunoblot analysis of aza-treated TAM R cells shows reduction in RRM2 expression. (K) TAM-R cells were treated with increasing concentrations of aza, and after 72 hours, an MTT-based assay was performed to determine the cell growth and survival.

TAM-R cells (Figure 4). Furthermore, aza-treated TAM-R cells showed a significant reduction in the cell proliferation as assessed using BrdU assay (Figure 4K).
Table 9) that suggest a potential regulation by estrogen receptor 1α (ESR1). Activating mutations of ESR1 have been reported by multiple groups to be associated with endocrine resistance [71,72]. These findings point to the possibility of increased RRM2 expression as being a downstream consequence of activated ESR1 in patients, a hypothesis that needs to be validated. In addition, a recent report in melanoma suggests that RRM2 could induce cellular senescence [73] and hence create a mechanism for the tumors to escape cytotoxic effect of the therapy. This is an interesting possibility that needs to be examined in the context of TAM resistance.

Importantly, a strong association between RRM2 expression and TAM resistance led us to test potential inhibitors whose activity has been reported to reverse RRM2 expression. Azacytidine, a well-known DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, was recently reported to decrease mRNA and protein levels of RRM2 in leukemic cell lines although its mechanism of action was not reported ([60]). Consistent with their findings, RRM2 protein expression in our hands was significantly reduced on treatment of TAM-R cells with aza. In line with this, aza-treated TAM-R cells showed significantly decreased rate of proliferation in the presence of TAM, indicative of potential resensitization to the TAM treatment. Although preliminary, these findings set the stage for additional experiments to determine the optimal concentration of aza required to supplement tamoxifen and to understand the mechanism(s) that drive this synergy.

**Conclusions**

Taken together, we have developed a novel bioinformatics method to integrate metabolomics and gene expression data from cancer cell lines and tissues to nominate key pathways that are altered and have prognostic value. RRM2, a key gene in pyrimidine metabolism, was found to be associated with aggressive breast tumors as well as TAM-R luminal BCAs, and its pharmacological or genetic knockdown sensitized tumors to TAM. In summary, the study nominates RRM2 as a key marker for aggressive BCAs including TAM-R tumors. In light of this finding, RRM2-associated metabolites could be developed as prognostic markers for BCAs. In addition, the combination of aza with TAM could be explored in a preclinical setting to treat TAM-resistant BCAs.

**Appendix A. Supplementary data**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2014.05.007.
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