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1. Introduction

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques are becoming widespread in personalized cancer treatment [1]. These data are fundamentally important in the context of Molecular Tumor Boards (MTBs), where experts from different fields, like oncology, bioinformatics, and systems medicine, jointly discuss therapy options for cancer patients.
based on molecular data. While these advancements have demonstrated their potential to improve patient outcomes already [2,3], the management, analysis, and interpretation of these data poses a challenge to traditional healthcare systems. In research, however, several software tools supporting data processing and interpretation exist, one of which is the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics [4,5] developed by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC). In order to evaluate the impact of bringing such tools to MTBs and personalized cancer treatment, the MIRACUM Use Case 3 [6] is developing a comprehensive workflow and tool architecture from the sequencer to the clinician providing care to cancer patients. One crucial part of this goal is to deploy an MTB software platform based on cBioPortal and extended with various additional functionalities identified from extensive requirements analysis with real-world users in the clinics [7].

2. Objectives

In this work, we share our experience in extending cBioPortal for use in a clinical setting. This includes the challenges and possible solutions encountered and the cBioPortal extensions deployed together with their architectural details, surrounding tools, and milestone releases' rollout. These developments are compared and evaluated concerning the findings of a previous study from 2018 that described extending cBioPortal in a research setting [8] regarding the heterogeneity of system environments and different levels of integrated data available. The deployment processes are monitored through feedback forms to collect issues encountered during the setup.

3. Development

Having gained the first experience with extending cBioPortal (version 1.11.3) in a research setting in 2018 [8], the development of additional functionalities started in late 2019 with the cBioPortal version 3.1.2, derived from a detailed requirements analysis for the use of cBioPortal as an MTB platform [7]. Since it was expected that not all extensions were suitable for contribution to the main cBioPortal project, the development was carried out on a separate repository, forked from the original codebase but kept up to date alongside updates to the cBioPortal codebase [9]. Forking was only necessary for the cBioPortal frontend project as none of the implemented functionalities needed adaptions to the cBioPortal backend or database. During the 22 months of development, a total of 45 updates releases were also transferred to our forked version. All issues during such updates were caused by refactoring in the cBioPortal codebase or moving reusable parts of the codebase into separate packages. Apart from that, about 15 times, merge conflicts occurred but were easy to solve. This means that updates can usually be applied with little or no effort, and long-term support for features implemented in the fork would indeed be possible.

The most significant extensions were two new tabs in the patient view of cBioPortal. Firstly, one to allow for the search of clinical trials based on genomic and clinical data of the patient achieved with direct integration of ClinicalTrials.gov (publication currently under revision). Secondly, one to enable structured and standardized documentation of therapy recommendations of the MTB as shown in Figure 1. This also included a novel and more detailed authentication and authorization concept and the integration of the
service FhirSpark to provide a FHIR-compliant way to store therapy recommendations [10]. Both extensions were developed using a user-centered design process and evaluated through a usability test.

There were also several minor extensions and adaptations, e.g., the integration of approval status of drugs by the European Medicines Agency directly in the OncoKB annotation already available in cBioPortal, import and display of LoH-mutations, and handling of internal PDF documents by rendering them through the web browser instead of depending on external Google services.
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**Figure 1.** The newly implemented MTB tab offers structured documentation of therapy recommendations by selecting clinical and mutation data for reasoning, drugs as a therapy, and the corresponding evidence level based on approval state and available references. Multiple therapy recommendations can be prioritized.

### 4. Deployment

A major challenge in software deployment was enabling cBioPortal and its connected tools and services, like databases and annotation tools, to be distributed as simply as possible. The official cBioPortal GitHub project site initially provided a solution for the deployment of cBioPortal via Docker [11], requiring manual intervention at multiple points, including a manual setup of the containers. Therefore, we developed a custom workflow [12] for the standardized and simplified deployment of our extended version of cBioPortal, including a MySQL database, session service, an on-premise instance of Genome Nexus [13], and the FhirSpark service described in section 3. This solution also includes the option to deploy the standard cBioPortal in a research setting, which was later used by the team of MSKCC as the basis for the official cBioPortal Docker project [14].

Our Docker-based workflow successfully enabled the deployment of cBioPortal at all ten consortial sites of MIRACUM, along with other partner sites from the HiGHmed [15] and SMITH [16] consortia, as well as sites from the Bavarian Centre for Cancer Research (BZKF) and the German Cancer Consortium (DKTK). The deployment at the consortial sites was accompanied by structured feedback forms to collect comments and problems during the installation. The evaluation of the forms revealed that some sites delegated the deployment to the IT department, while at other sites, domain experts handle the deployment. The only notable difficulties were caused by the integration with...
other tools, like the configuration of the identity provider Keycloak [17] or site-specific barriers like highly restrictive firewalls, incomplete proxy configurations, or issues integrating Transport Layer Security encryption.

As MIRACUM Use Case 3 intends to provide a comprehensive workflow for MTB case preparation, the deployment project builds upon MIRACUM-Pipe [18]. This sequencing pipeline includes advanced variant annotation and generates an interactive PDF report and files needed for importing mutation data in cBioPortal. Using this complete setup, the whole process from the sequencer to visualization in cBioPortal could be successfully tested at three sites with over 370 whole-exome and panel sequencing cases.

Although extensive documentation of the import data format of cBioPortal is readily available, the generation of the required files to import both clinical and molecular data is challenging. Especially when adding new patients to an existing study, file management becomes prone to error and hardly practicable by hand because IDs must be consistent across patient, sample, and case-list files. At the same time, newly added columns must also be populated in existing patients. To aid this process, cpbManager [19] was developed and integrated into the dockerized deployment workflow. The tool features a user interface that allows for easy import file generation and management.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The major challenge on the development side is the long-term support of features like the therapy recommendation that are specific to the described use case as they require a regular merging with the upstream codebase. Even though the codebase structure is significantly improving, like refactoring code into separate reusable packages and separating the backend and frontend project [8], this step requires programming skills and thorough testing. However, a plugin concept in cBioPortal would help overcome this issue, depending on its customization capabilities.

Due to the varying delegation of the deployment mentioned in section 4 and complex domain-specific features, it will be necessary to provide detailed documentation. Especially edge cases like different types of proxy configurations were added to the Docker Compose solution, including test data sets for easier verification of proper functionality.

Even though the development of cpbManager lowers the barrier when managing studies with cBioPortal, it still requires manual curation of data that will also be available in the local data integration centers. Therefore, long-term solutions should use these as primary data sources for automated ETL (extract, transform, load) workflows, requiring less manual interaction.

Currently, all provided tools are intended for research use only and are not approved for the diagnosis or treatment of individual patients. However, future efforts in the project will also cover the tools’ compliance within the scope of the Medical and In Vitro Diagnostic Regulation (MDR and IVDR).

This work demonstrates how cBioPortal can be extended and integrated with other tools to a comprehensive and easily deployable MTB software solution. While the concurrent development and continuous updates of a forked cBioPortal are not trivial, refactoring the original project significantly impacted the maintainability. However, contributing as many features as possible to the main project should remain the primary goal.
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