A Cullin1-Based SCF E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Targets the InR/PI3K/TOR Pathway to Regulate Neuronal Pruning
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Abstract

Pruning that selectively eliminates unnecessary axons/dendrites is crucial for sculpting the nervous system during development. During Drosophila metamorphosis, dendrite arborization neurons, ddaCs, selectively prune their larval dendrites in response to the steroid hormone ecdysone, whereas mushroom body γ neurons specifically eliminate their axon branches within dorsal and medial lobes. However, it is unknown which E3 ligase directs these two modes of pruning. Here, we identified a conserved SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase that plays a critical role in pruning of both ddaC dendrites and mushroom body γ axons. The SCF E3 ligase consists of four core components Cullin1/Roc1a/SkpA/Slimb and promotes ddaC dendrite pruning downstream of EcR-B1 and Sox14, but independently of Mical. Moreover, we demonstrate that the F-box protein Slimb forms a complex with Akt, an activator of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, and promotes Akt ubiquitination. Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway is sufficient to inhibit ddaC dendrite pruning. Thus, our findings provide a novel link between the E3 ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway during dendrite pruning.
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Introduction

The selective removal of unnecessary or exuberant neuronal processes without loss of neurons, referred to as pruning, is a central theme in the maturation of the nervous system during animal development [1]. Pruning occurs widely in a variety of neurons of invertebrates [2,3] and vertebrates [4]. In vertebrates, neurons normally extend exuberant branches to multiple targets, such as muscles or partner neurons, and prune away inappropriate or redundant branches to develop mature and functional connectivity [1,5]. One well-characterized example is pervasive synaptic branch removal in the mammalian neuromuscular system at birth [6]. In invertebrates, such as holometabolous insects Manduca and Drosophila, the nervous systems are extensively remodeled via pruning and apoptosis during metamorphosis, a transition stage between larval and adult forms [2,3]. In the Drosophila central nervous system (CNS), mushroom body (MB) γ neurons, serotonergic neurons, and thoracic ventral neurons prune their larval dendrites and/or axons to form the adult neuronal circuits [7–10]. In contrast, in the peripheral nervous system (PNS), a subset of dorsal dendrite arborization (dda) sensory neurons, such as Class I (ddaD/E) and class IV (ddaC) neurons, selectively remove their larval dendrite arbors with their axons intact and subsequently regrow their adult-specific dendrites [11,12], whereas class II (ddaB) and class III (ddaA/F) neurons are eliminated via apoptosis during early metamorphosis [11]. Despite the wide occurrence and key roles of pruning in the maturing nervous systems, the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying pruning remain poorly understood in both invertebrates and vertebrates.

Drosophila MB γ and ddaC neurons have been emerging as appealing systems for unraveling mechanisms of axon pruning and dendrite pruning, respectively. These pruning processes occur in a stereotyped but context-specific manner: ddaC neurons sever their major larval dendrites at the proximal regions, followed by rapid fragmentation and hemocyte-dependent debris clearance (Figure 1A) [11,12], whereas MB γ neurons selectively prune their axon branches within the dorsal and medial lobes via local
Neurons have the ability to engage in selective pruning that eliminates unnecessary axons/dendrites. This process is crucial for sculpting the nervous system during development. During Drosophila development, dendrite arborization sensory neurons (ddaCs) selectively prune their larval dendrites in response to the molting steroid hormone eclysone, whereas mushroom body γ neurons eliminate their axon branches. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms for both of these modes of pruning were not well understood. Here, we conduct a genome-wide screen and identify a conserved E3 ubiquitin ligase that is critical for pruning both ddaC dendrites and mushroom body γ axons. This ligase complex has four core components—Cullin1, Roc1a, SkpA, and Slimb—that promote ddaC dendrite pruning in response to eclysone. We show that this ligase facilitates ddaC dendrite pruning through regulation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway. The substrate-recognition protein Slimb promotes ubiquitination of Akt, an activator of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway. Akt ubiquitination leads to its degradation and activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, which is required for dendritic pruning. Consistent with this, ddaC dendrite pruning is inhibited when the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway is activated. Thus, we identify a link between the Cullin1-based E3 ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway in regulating dendrite pruning. This work represents the first link between neuronal pruning and the insulin signaling pathway, raising interesting questions about how metabolic states may influence the control of such developmental processes.

An E3 Ligase Regulates Two Modes of Pruning
Figure 1. Cullin-1, a core component of the SCF E3 ligase, is required for pruning of ddaC dendrites. (A) A schematic representation of dendrite pruning in ddaC neurons. Soma and axon are shown in purple while dendrites are in black. (B–F') Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-Gal4 at WP and 16 h APF. Red arrowheads point to the ddaC somas, and purple arrowheads point to the ddaF somas that are labeled by two or three copies of ppk-Gal4 (Chr II). While the wild-type neurons eliminated dendrites (B, B'), ddaC neurons overexpressing two copies of cul1 RNAi #2 (C, C'), cul1DN (D, D'), or cul1EX MARCM (E, E') ddaC clones exhibited severe dendrite pruning defects at 16 h APF. Overexpression of the full-length Cul1 protein in the cul1EX background was able to fully rescue the pruning defect (F, F'). (G) Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of the wild-type and mutant ddaC neurons at WP stage and 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. ***p<0.001, n.s., not significant. Dorsal is up in all images. The scale bars are 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g001
Figure 2. The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase components are required for MB axon pruning. (A) A schematic representation of axon pruning in the medial and dorsal lobes of MB γ neurons. (B–H) Confocal images of MB neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by 201Y-Gal4 at wL3, 24 h APF, or adulthood. 201Y-Gal4 labels postmitotic γ neurons and a small subset of later-born αβ neurons. The Anti-FasII (1D4) antibody labels αβ neurons strongly and γ neurons weakly. (B) Wild-type MB γ neurons projected their axons into the dorsal and medial lobe of the brain at wL3. (C–F) cul1EX (C), roca1E (D), skpA1E (E), and slimb2F neuroblast clones that were labeled with 201Y-Gal4 projected their dorsal and medial axons normally but exhibited proliferation defects at wL3, as the axon branches were less dense than the wild-type control. (B′) Wild-type MB γ neurons pruned their dorsal and medial axon branches by 24 h APF, leaving intact αβ axons (GFP negative and FasII positive). (C–F′) cul1EX (C′), roca1E (D′), skpA1E (E′), and slimb2F (F′) MB neuroblast clones displayed notable axon pruning defects at 24 h APF. The right panels in (C–F′) show that many unpruned dorsal γ axons persisted outside the main α lobes and were co-labeled by GFP and FasII (white arrowheads) in single confocal sections of the dorsal lobe. (G) At adulthood, MB γ neurons regenerated their medial branches but not the dorsal branches. Blue arrowheads point to α axon branches. (H) cul1EX MB neuroblast clones retained many GFP-positive unpruned larval γ axons (white arrowheads) outside the FasII-positive main α lobe at the adult stage. However, the GFP-positive homozygous cul1EX mutant α axon branches were absent in this main α lobe (h), suggesting a proliferation defect. Please note that the FasII-positive α lobe is derived from the other heterozygous MB neuroblasts. Dorsal is up in all images. The scale bar is 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g002

Results

Cullin-1, a Core Component of the SCF E3 Ligase, Governs Pruning of ddaC Dendrites

We previously reported high efficacy and specificity of inducible RNAi knockdown in ddaC neurons during the larval-pupal transition [25]. It prompted us to carry out an unbiased genome-wide RNAi screen searching for novel players of dendrite transition [23]. It prompted us to carry out an unbiased genome-wide RNAi screen searching for novel players of dendrite transition [23].



An E3 Ligase Regulates Two Modes of Pruning

Figure 1B′ and 1G). The Cullin proteins serve as scaffold proteins of the SCF E3 ligase and interact with Roc1-Rbx1-Hrt1. We next generated transgenic flies expressing the dominant-negative form of Cull (CullDN) lacking its C-terminal putative Roc1-binding domain and neddylation site [38,39]. The expression of CullDN also resulted in consistent dendrite pruning defects at 16 h APF with an average of 4.5 primary and secondary dendrites (n = 20, 100%; Figure 1D′ and 1G). Development of major dendrite branches appears not to be affected in cull RNAi and CullDN, expressing ddaC neurons, as judged by the number of their respective primary and secondary dendrites at the white prepupal (WP) stage (Figure 1C′-D′ and 1G′). Importantly, cull RNAi (#2) ddaC neurons under one copy of ppp- Gal4 exhibited normal larval dendrite morphology, as shown by the number of dendrite termini at the wandering 3rd instar (wL3) stage (Figure 2A), while these mutant neurons showed notable dendrite pruning defects at 16 h APF (Figure 1A). Further, we made use of the RU486-inducible Gene-Switch system [40] to drive the expression of CullDN from the early 3rd instar (eL3) stage when larval dendrite morphogenesis was largely completed. RU486 treatment did not affect WP dendrite morphology in CullDN-expressing ddaC neurons (Figure S2B) or dendrite pruning in the control ddaC neurons (n = 35; Figure S2B). However, we consistently observed dendrite pruning defects in 67% of CullDN-expressing ddaC neurons at 16 h APF (n = 30; Figure S2B), contrasting with the noninduced controls (0%, n = 12, Figure S2B). The CullDN pruning defect in the Gene-
Switch system was not as strong as those induced by ptk-Gal4 driver (three copies) (Figure 1D), due to weaker expression of the elav-Gal4-Gal4 driver (one copy). Thus, cul1 plays a specific role in dendrite pruning in ddaC neurons.

To further verify the role of cul1 in ddaC dendrite pruning, we generated homozygous MARCM clones [41] using the previously reported null allele, cul1Ex37 [37]. All cul1Ex37 ddaC clones exhibited severe dendrite pruning defects with 15.8% of primary and secondary dendrites attached by 16 h APF (n = 6, 100%; Figure 1E; WP, Figure 1E and 1G), which were fully rescued by the expression of the Cul1 protein (n = 0; Figure 1F and 1G). Likewise, cul1Ex37 MARCM ddaD/E neurons failed to prune their larval dendrites at 16 h APF (n = 9, 100%; Figure S1B), compared to the wild-type neurons (n = 5; Figure S1B). cul3Ex9 ddaF clones survived by 16 h APF (n = 4), whereas the wild-type ddaF neurons were apoptotic (n = 5; Figure S1C). Neddylation of Cul1, in which the ubiquitin-like polypeptide Nedd8 covalently conjugates onto the conserved lysine residue (Lys718), is essential for its activity and function [38]. Consistently, all ddaC clones from the null allele nedd8Kvd5 exhibited dendrite pruning defects (n = 18; Figure S1D), further supporting the requirement of the Cul1-based E3 ligase activity for pruning of larval ddaC dendrites. Loss of nedd8 function also disrupted ddaD/E pruning (n = 3; Figure S1B) and ddaF apoptosis (n = 4, Figure S1C). Thus, Cul1 is required for pruning and apoptosis of sensory neurons during early metamorphosis.

Together, Cul1 is identified as the core component of the SCF E3 ligase that regulates pruning and apoptosis of sensory neurons during early metamorphosis.

The RING-Domain Protein Roc1a, But Not Roc1b, Is Required for ddaC Dendrite Pruning

We next attempted to identify other components of the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex that are required for ddaC dendrite pruning. The Cullin proteins, via their C-terminal regions, bind to the RING-domain protein Roc1-Rbx1-Hrt1, which recruits an ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 [31]. In Drosophila, two closely related Roc1 proteins, Roc1a and Roc1b, were reported to play differential roles during development [42,43]. We observed a strong dendrite pruning defect in rocl1a mutants, but not in rocl1b mutants, suggesting a specific requirement of Roc1a for pruning. MARCM analysis of rocl1Ad, a null allele [42], revealed a severe cul1-like pruning defect in all ddaC neurons: about 10.3% primary and secondary dendrites were attached by 16 h APF (n = 9; Figure 3B′ and 3F). Furthermore, RNAi knockdown of Roc1a, via independent v106315 (#1) and v92390 (#2) lines, also resulted in pruning defects (n = 22 and n = 24, respectively; Figure S3). Loss of rocl1a function also led to severe defects in ddaD/E pruning (n = 2; Figure S4A) and ddaF apoptosis (n = 6; Figure S4B). In contrast, rocl1b null mutants showed no defects in dendrite pruning of ddaC (n = 9; Figure S3) and ddaD/E neurons (n = 8; unpublished data) or ddaF apoptosis (n = 8; unpublished data). Consistent with the preferential binding between Roc1b and Cul3 [43], MARCM ddaC clones for cul3Ex9, a null cul3 allele, did not exhibit apparent pruning defects (n = 14; Figure S3). These results underscore the specific roles of Cul1 and Roc1a in governing dendrite pruning. Thus, Roc1a, like Cul1, plays an essential role in dendrite pruning of ddaC sensory neurons.

The Adaptor Protein SkpA and the F-Box Protein Slimb Govern ddaC Dendrite Pruning

The N-terminal region of the Cullin proteins interacts with the adaptor subunit Skp1, and via it with an F-box protein to recruit protein substrates in proximity to the E2 enzyme, thereby promoting substrate ubiquitination [31]. We first examined the potential involvement of SkpA, a Drosophila homologue of Skp1 [44], in ddaC dendrite pruning. Knockdown of SkpA with three independent RNAi lines, BL20974 (#1), v32790 (#2), and v107815 (#3), resulted in consistent dendrite pruning defects in most of ddaC neurons (Figure 3C′ and 3F, and unpublished data). The expression of v32790 (#2) with two copies of ptk-Gal4 driver resulted in moderate ddaC dendrite pruning defects with full penetration: an average of 6.9% primary and secondary dendrites remained attached (n = 21, 100%; Figure 3D′ and 3F). Attenuation of skp4 function also inhibited ddaD/E pruning (n = 13; Figure S4A) and ddaF apoptosis (n = 15; Figure S4B). No MARCM clones for skp41, a skp4 null allele, could be recovered in ddaC neurons, presumably due to its essential functions during cell division [44]. Hence, SkpA, like Cul1 and Roc1a, appears to govern ddaC dendrite pruning.

Given that the substrate specificity of the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex is conferred by an F-box protein, we further performed a RNAi screen to examine the potential roles of 31 putative F-box proteins [45] in dendrite pruning. Among them, Supernumerary limbs (Slimb), which when knocked down via RNAi, exhibited dendrite pruning defects (Figure S3). Slimb, a Drosophila homologue of the mammalian β-TrCP proteins, acts to ubiquitinate Cubitus interruptus (Ci) and Armadillo, two key effectors of Hh and Wg signaling pathways, respectively [36,45]. Knockdown of Slimb with two independent RNAi lines, v107825 (#1) and v34273 (#2), caused mild pruning defects (37.5%, n = 32 and 66.6%, n = 12, respectively; Figure S3). These phenotypes have been confirmed by generating MARCM ddaC clones of the null allele slimb2, as stronger pruning defects were observed; 4.4% primary and secondary dendrites were attached by 16 h APF (n = 16, 50%, Figure 3E′ and 3F). Loss of slimb function also inhibited ddaD/E pruning (n = 8, 75%; Figure S4A) and ddaF apoptosis (n = 6, 50%; Figure S4B). Compared to those of cul1, roc1a, and skp4a, the phenotypes of slimb mutants are less severe, presumably due to the perdurance of the wild-type protein. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the possible existence of other F-box proteins involved in ddaC dendrite pruning and ddaF apoptosis.

Thus, SkpA and Slimb appear to play important roles in regulating ddaC/D/E dendrite pruning and ddaF apoptosis.

The Cul1-Based SCF E3 Ligase Complex Regulates Axon Pruning of MB γ Neurons

We next examined the potential requirements of Cul1, Roc1a, Skp4a, and Slimb for MB axon pruning. In wild type, MB γ neurons selectively eliminated their larval axon branches by 24 h APF (n = 5; Figure 2A and 2B-B΄) and regenerated the medial branches in the adulthood (n = 6; Figure 2G). Importantly, cul1Ex2 mutant MB γ neurons retained many larval axons, which were labeled by FasII were located outside the major FasII-positive branches (Figure 2C). These unpruned larval axons co-localized with the major FasII-positive branches (Figure 2C), and persisted in the adult brains (n = 5, 100%; Figure 2H). In addition to the pruning defect, we also observed a neuroblast-proliferation defect, as adult cul1 MB clones lacked late-born α/β neurons (Figure 2H). Moreover, the cul1Ex2 axon pruning defects were fully rescued by reintroducing the Cul1 protein (Figure S6A). Thus, Cul1, a core component of the SCF E3 ligase, also plays a critical role in regulating axon pruning of MB γ neurons. The MB axon pruning and proliferation defects in cul1Ex2 mutant resemble those in mutants of uba1, a single E1
gene in fly, as reported previously [13]. Interestingly, roc1aMARCM analyses also revealed a notable axon pruning defect in MBc neurons. Unpruned axon branches, positively labeled by 201Y-Gal4-driven mCD8GFP expression, remained at 24 h APF (n = 13, 62%; Figure 2D). The axon pruning defects were rescued by expression of the full-length Roc1a protein (n = 11; Figure S6A). In contrast, roc1b exhibited normal pruning of MBc axons at 24 h APF (Figure S6B). We were able to generate skpA1MARCM clones in MBc neurons, all of which exhibited strong axon pruning defects at 24 h APF (n = 8, 100%; Figure 2E). Likewise, MB axon pruning was also inhibited at 24 h APF in slimbb (78%, n = 14; Figure 2F) and slimb8 (57%, n = 14; unpublished data) MARCM clones. Finally, dendrite pruning of MBγ neurons failed to occur in cul1+/ (n = 9, 100%) or skpA1 (n = 4, 100%) MB neuroblast clones at 24 h APF (Figure S6C). Taken together, Cul1, Roc1a, SkpA, and Slimb govern both ddaC dendrite pruning and MB axon pruning, presumably as a Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex.

The Cul1-Based SCF E3 Ligase Complex Acts Downstream of EcR-B1 and Sox14

We next demonstrated the physical association among Cul1, Roc1a, SkpA, and Slimb. To this end, we first performed co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments in nontreated and ecdysone-treated S2 cells transfected with Myc-tagged Slimb. Endogenous unmodified Cul1 and Neddylated-Cul1 (Nedd8-Cul1 [37]), together with endogenous SkpA, were detected specifically in the immune complex when Myc-Slimb was immunoprecipitated from nontreated or ecdysone-treated protein extracts using an anti-Myc antibody (Figure 4A), suggesting that Cul1, SkpA, and Slimb form a protein complex, independently of ecdysone. Moreover, Roc1a was specifically co-immunoprecipitated using the protein extracts of S2 cells co-transfected with Flag-tagged Roc1a and Myc-Slimb (Figure 4B), also supported by a previous report that Roc1a preferentially associates with Cul1 in embryos [43]. We next performed co-IP experiments using larval brain extracts expressing Myc-Slimb. Slimb was able to specifically co-
**Figure 4. The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase components interact with each other and cul1 expression is dependent on EcR-B1 and sox14.** (A) Endogenous SkpA and Cul1 associated with Slimb in an ecdysone-independent manner. Nontreated (−) and ecdysone-treated S2 cells were transfected with Myc-Slimb. (B) Roc1a and Slimb associated in a complex with Cul1 and SkpA in S2 cells co-transfected with Myc-Slimb and Flag-Roc1a. (C) Endogenous SkpA and Cul1 co-immunoprecipitated with Myc-Slimb in the protein extracts from the wandering larval brains. (D) Expression profile of EcR-B1, sox14, mical, cul1, and uba1 in the MB γ neurons at el3, WP, and 6 h APF. mRNA level of cul1, like sox14, mical, and uba1, was upregulated upon white prepupal formation. (E) Expression profile of EcR-B1, sox14, mical, cul1, and uba1 in MB γ neurons from wild-type or mutant animals at 6 h APF. mRNA level of cul1 was significantly reduced in EcR<sup>DN</sup> expressing or sox14<sup>1413</sup> mutant MB γ neurons. **p<0.001, ***p<0.001, n.s., not significant. See genotypes in Text S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g004

immunoprecipitate with endogenous Cul1 and SkpA (Figure 4C), suggesting the in vivo association in postmitotic neurons.

To investigate whether the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase expression is upregulated during the larval-pupal transition, we utilized Laser Capture Microdissection (LCM) technique to microdissect MB γ neurons from wild-type, EcR<sup>DN</sup>-, and sox14 brains, subject to total RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR experiments (Q-PCR). Importantly, mRNA levels of cul1, like mical, were significantly upregulated from el3, WP, and 6 h APF (Figure 4D). Upregulation of cul1 transcription at 6 h APF, like mical, was strongly inhibited by EcR<sup>DN</sup> expression or loss of sox14 function (50.4% and 48.9% reduction, respectively; Figure 4E), suggesting that cul1 upregulation is dependent on EcR-B1 and Sox14. Therefore, ecdysone signaling appears to regulate the abundance, but not assembly, of the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase complex. mRNA levels of the E1 gene uba1 were also increased upon pupal formation (Figure 4D), in agreement with a previous microarray analysis showing that the UPS genes including cul1 and uba1 were upregulated in MB γ neurons during the larval-pupal transition [46]. Moreover, knockdown of cul1 did not enhance the dendrite pruning defects in sox14 null mutant ddaC neurons (n = 41; Figure S8). We also made MARCM ddaC clones for sox14<sup>1413</sup> and cul1<sup>EX</sup> double mutant and observed an average of 15.2 primary and 1G) or sox14<sup>1413</sup> (14.5, n = 6; Figure 5F and 5J) null MARCM neurons, supporting a linear relationship between sox14 and cul1. Thus, cul1 appears to act downstream of sox14 during dendrite pruning.

Taken together, Cul1, Roc1a, SkpA, and Slimb function as the components of the SCF E3 ligase complex, among which the expression of cul1 is dependent on EcR-B1 and sox14 during dendrite pruning.

The Cul1-Based SCF E3 Ligase Complex Governs Dendrite Pruning in a Mical-Independent Manner

Given that the EcR-B1/Sox14/Mical pathway governs ddaC dendrite pruning [23], we next investigated how the SCF E3 ligase complex may integrate into this pathway. We first examined the protein levels of Mical, Sox14, and EcR-B1 in various SCF mutant ddaC neurons. Mical protein levels remained largely unchanged in the cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons (n = 12; Figure 5B and 5E) or cul1<sup>EX</sup> MARCM (n = 8; unpublished data) and EcR-B1/WP ddaC neurons (Figure 5C and 5E), compared to those in the wild-type neurons (n = 14; Figure 5A and 5E). Likewise, the Mical levels were not affected in roc1a<sup>G1</sup> MARCM (n = 7; Figure 5G and 5E), skpA RNAi (n = 12; Figure 5D and 5E), as well as slimb<sup>−/−</sup> MARCM (n = 13; unpublished data) ddaC neurons. Using a mical-lacZ reporter that drives upregulation of the LacZ expression under a mical enhancer (Y. Gu and F. Yu, unpublished data), we detected similar LacZ expression in wild-type, cul1 RNAi, and skpA RNAi WP ddaC neurons (Figure S7A). These data indicate that the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase is dispensable for regulation of Mical transcription/expression in ddaC neurons. Moreover, the expression levels of EcR-B1 and Sox14 were unchanged in WP cul1<sup>DN</sup> mutant ddaC neurons, compared with the wild-type controls (Figure S7B). Likewise, the rest of the SCF components are not important for the expression of EcR-B1 and Sox14, as their protein levels were not affected in roc1a<sup>G1</sup>, skpA RNAi, slimb<sup>−/−</sup>, or nedd8<sup>−/−/G13</sup> mutant ddaC neurons (Figure S7B). Thus, these data are consistent with the conclusion that the SCF complex functions downstream of EcR-B1 or sox14 during dendrite pruning.
Given that Mical expression is unaffected by the mutants of the SCF components, we hypothesized that the Cul1 SCF E3 complex might act in parallel with Mical during dendrite pruning. If this is true, we would expect enhancement of dendrite defects of mical null mutants by compromising the SCF components. Indeed, RNAi knockdown of Cul1 in mical mutant ddaC neurons resulted in a drastic pruning defect with the persistence of 10.1 primary and secondary dendrites at 16 h APF ($n = 22$; Figure S8), whereas

Figure 5. The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex governs ddaC dendrite pruning in a Mical-independent pathway. (A–D) Confocal images show Mical stainings (in red) in various genotypes of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-Gal4 at WP. ddaC somas are marked by dashed lines, and ddaE by asterisks. The Mical expression in ddaC neurons of wild type (A), cul1 RNAi (B), roc1aG1 MARCM (C), and skpA RNAi (D) remained largely unchanged. (E) Quantification of immunostaining for Mical was performed as described in Materials and Methods. The graph displays the average values of ddaC/ddaE ratios and S.E.M—n.s., not significant. $n$ is shown on the bars. (E) The scale bar in (A) is 20 μm. (F–I') Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven at WP and 16 h APF. (F and G) Although WP morphology remained largely unchanged, MARCM ddaC clones of cul1Ex and sox14143 double mutant exhibited dendrite pruning defects with an average of 15.2 primary and secondary dendrites attached (G'), similar to either cul1Ex (Figure 1E') and sox14143 (F') single mutant. (H and I) Although WP morphology remained unchanged, MARCM ddaC clones of mical15256, slimb552 and mical15256; slimb552 double mutants exhibited a significant enhancement of ddaC dendrite pruning defects (I'), compared to either slimb552 (Figure 3E') or mical15256 (H') single mutants. (J and K) Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples ($n$) in each group is shown on the bars. **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, n.s., not significant. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. The scale bar in (F) is 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1.

Given that Mical expression is unaffected by the mutants of the SCF components, we hypothesized that the Cul1 SCF E3 complex might act in parallel with Mical during dendrite pruning. If this is true, we would expect enhancement of dendrite defects of mical null mutants by compromising the SCF components. Indeed, RNAi knockdown of Cul1 in mical mutant ddaC neurons resulted in a drastic pruning defect with the persistence of 10.1 primary and secondary dendrites at 16 h APF ($n = 22$; Figure S8), whereas
the mical null ddaC neurons retained 5.3 major dendrites (n = 26; Figure S8). Likewise, knockdown of Roc1a (n = 36; Figure S8), SkpA, or Slimb (n = 22 and 30, respectively; unpublished data) significantly enhanced the mical null mutant phenotypes. Knockdown of cul1 or roc1a in mical mutants exhibited normal elaboration of major dendrites at WP stage (Figure S9). Moreover, MARCM clones of mical02256 and slimb0 double null mutant also exhibited a significant enhancement of dendrite pruning defects (Figure 5K). An average of 9.7 primary and secondary dendrites were attached to the double mutant ddaC neurons (n = 10; Figure S1 and 5K), compared to either mical02256 (3.3, n = 14; Figure 5H and 5K) or slimb0 (4.4, n = 16; Figure 5E and 5F) null MARCM neurons. Thus, these data suggest that the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase facilitates ddaC dendrite pruning in parallel to Mical.

Taken together, our data suggest that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex acts downstream of EcR-B1/Sox14 and governs dendrite pruning in parallel with Mical.

The Cul1-Based SCF Ligase Complex Attenuates the Insulin Pathway to Promote ddaC Dendrite Pruning

During tissue growth and pattern formation, Cul1, Roc1a, and Slimb negatively regulate Hh or Wg pathways by specifically degrading their respective effectors, Ci or Armadillo [45]. We hypothesized that if the Cul1-based SCF complex also attenuates the Hh and/or Wg pathways during ddaC pruning, the dendrite pruning defects associated with loss of the SCF ligase complex would be attributable to hyperactivation of either or both pathways. However, three lines of evidence indicate that the Cul1-based SCF complex acts independently of Hh and Wg pathways during ddaC pruning. First, inhibition of either pathway did not suppress cul1 RNAi dendrite pruning defects. Expression of the Hh repressors, CiC1′ (n = 29; Figure 6B and 6I) or the Patched receptor (unpublished data), did not suppress the pruning defects associated with cul1 RNAi, compared to the nonfunctional MicalN-ter control (n = 30; Figure 6A and 6I) that was unable to rescue the dendrite pruning defect in mical mutant ddaC neurons and its expression alone did not interfere with ddaC dendrite pruning [23]. Likewise, expression of the Wg inhibitors, SggS9A (n = 19; Figure 6C and 6I) or the truncated form of Dishevelled (unpublished data), did not suppress the cul1 RNAi effects. Second, the expression of the nondegradable Hh activator CiD or the Wg activator ArmS10 did not affect normal ddaC dendrite pruning (Figure S10). Finally, forced expression of the Hh activators, CiD (n = 16; Figure S11) or the truncated form of Smoothened (Δ661–818; unpublished data), did not enhance the Cul1DN pruning defects, compared to the MicalN-ter control (n = 25; Figure S11). Similarly, the expression of the Wg activator ArmS10 did not affect the pruning defects in the Cul1DN-expressing ddaC neurons (n = 22; Figure S11).

To further investigate which signaling pathway might be attenuated by the Cul1-based SCF complex during ddaC pruning, we performed a candidate-based screen to systematically examine other important signaling pathways including Notch, Insulin, JNK, JAK/STAT, Hippo, EGFR, PVR, and Dpp. We expressed the dominant-negative repressors of these pathways, such as NotchDN, InRDN, BskDN, DomeAC, YorkieS168A, EGFRDN, PVRDN, and TkvDN, in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons. From this screen, the Insulin pathway was identified as a potential target pathway that is negatively regulated by the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase complex. Notably, the expression of a dominant negative form of the Insulin Receptor (InRDN), via one copy of ppp-Gal4 driver, dramatically suppressed the dendrite pruning defects in the cul1 RNAi-expressing ddaC neurons (n = 43; Figure 6D). On average, only 1.2 primary and secondary dendrites remained attached to these InRDN-expressing ddaC neurons (n = 30; Figure 6A and 6I). In contrast, the expression of NotchDN (n = 24; Figure 6E and 6I) or other repressors did not influence the cul1 RNAi effects on ddaC dendrite pruning (Figure S12A). Expression of either of these dominant-negative transgenes did not affect normal ddaC pruning (Figure S10, unpublished data). The numbers of primary and secondary dendrites were primarily unchanged at the WP stage in various double-mutant

**Figure 6. The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex attenuates the insulin signaling pathway to promote ddaC dendrite pruning.** (A–H) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppp-Gal4 at 16 h APF. Red arrowheads point to the ddaC somas. (A–E) Co-expression of InRDN, but not other dominant-negative repressors of various pathways, significantly suppressed the pruning defects in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons. Co-expression of nonfunctional micalN-ter (A), C1′ (B), sggS9A (C), InRDN (D), and NotchDN (E) with cul1 RNAi in ddaC neurons. Compared to the skp4 RNAi, micalN-ter control (F), co-expression of InRDN significantly suppressed skp4 RNAi-mediated pruning defects (G), whereas co-expression of InRDN significantly enhanced Skp4 RNAi-mediated pruning defects in ddaC neurons (H). (I and J) Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. ***p<0.001, n.s., not significant. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. The scale bar is 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g006
combinations, except an apparent reduction in the Sgg^{SA} cul1 RNAi combination (Figure S12B).

To verify the specific effect of InR, we further conducted the genetic enhancement experiments in which InR^{CA} or Notch^{CA}, known to activate Insulin or Notch pathways, respectively, was expressed in cul1^{DN} ddaC neurons. The expression of InR^{CA} (n = 20; Figure S11) but not Notch^{CA} (n = 10; Figure S11) resulted in a significant enhancement of the cul1^{DN}-associated pruning defects with full penetrance. Moreover, the InR^{DN} expression significantly mitigated either cul1^{DN} (n = 22, Figure S13) or 3p4 RNAi (n = 15; Figure 6G and 6J) effects on dendrite pruning. Conversely, the expression of InR^{CA} significantly enhanced the ddaC dendrite pruning defects caused by 3p4 RNAi knockdown (n = 19; Figure 6H and 6J). The numbers of primary and secondary dendrites remained similar at the WP stage in these double-mutant combinations, compared with single mutants (Figure S12B).

Collectively, these genetic suppression/enhancement results indicate that the Cul1-based SCF complex promotes ddaC dendrite pruning primarily through attenuation of the Insulin pathway.

The PI3K/TOR Pathway Is Inhibited by the Cul1-Based SCF Complex During ddaC Dendrite Pruning

InR functions through the PI3K/TOR signaling pathway to mediate protein translation, metabolism, and ribosome biogenesis [47]. To examine whether the PI3K/TOR pathway could also be inhibited by the Cul1-based SCF complex during pruning, we performed genetic suppression assays by inactivating the PI3K/TOR pathway in cul1 RNAi or cul1^{DN} ddaC neurons. Importantly, the expression of the dominant-negative form of PI3K (PI3K^{DN}) [48] or the Phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) [49], both known to inactivate the PI3K pathway, like InR^{DN}, drastically mitigated the ddaC dendrite pruning phenotypes in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons (n = 32 and 39, respectively; Figure 7B, 7C, and 7K). Compared to 8.1 primary and secondary dendrites in the Mical RNAi or cul1^{DN} control, PI3K^{DN} or PTEN expression resulted in an average of 1.1 or 2.3 primary/secondary dendrites connected to the cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons (Figure 7K), respectively. Moreover, the expression of PI3K^{DN} (n = 22), PTEN (n = 21), or InR^{DN} (n = 22) largely rescued the cul1^{DN}-mediated pruning defects (Figure S13). Thus, these data suggest that Cul1 also attenuates the PI3K pathway during ddaC pruning.

The PI3K pathway is interconnected with the Target of Rapamycin (TOR) pathway via TSC1 and TSC2, which act as negative regulators of the TOR pathway [47]. We next ascertained whether the TOR signaling pathway is also attenuated by the Cul1 SCF complex during ddaC dendrite pruning. Co-expression of TSC1 and TSC2, like PTEN, significantly suppressed the pruning defects in cul1 RNAi (n = 25; Figure 7E and 7K) or cul1^{DN} (n = 30; Figure S13) ddaC neurons. The truncated protein TOR^{TED} lacking its toxic effector domain behaves as the dominant-negative form to disrupt the TOR pathway [50]. The TOR^{TED} expression also strongly suppressed the dendrite pruning defects in cul1 RNAi (n = 47; Figure 7F and 7K) or cul1^{DN} (n = 24; Figure S13) ddaC neurons, respectively. The protein kinase TOR regulates protein synthesis via phosphorylation of the p70 ribosomal protein S6 kinase (S6K) [47] and the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein (4E-BP) [51]. S6K^{AA}, a catalytically inactive version, and 4E-BP (AA), a nonphosphorylated version, are able to repress protein translation and the TOR pathway [51,52]. The expression of 4E-BP (AA) rescued the dendrite pruning defects in almost all cul1 RNAi (n = 55; Figure 7G and 7K) or Cul1^{DN}-expressing (n = 52; Figure S13) ddaC neurons. Likewise, the expression of S6K^{KQ}, also dramatically mitigated the dendrite pruning defects caused by cul1 RNAi knockdown (n = 42, Figure 7H and 7K) or cul1^{DN} expression (n = 18; Figure S13). Moreover, the expression of InR^{DN} (n = 8; Figure 7I) or 4E-BP (AA) (n = 8; Figure 7J) also strongly suppressed the dendrite pruning defects in cul1^{DN} MARCM ddaC neurons, as approximately 4.9 and 4.3 primary and secondary dendrites remained attached at 16 h APF, respectively, compared to 15.8 major dendrites remaining in cul1^{EX} mutant neurons (Figure 7L). To further confirm these genetic suppressions, we attenuated the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway by feeding the 3rd instar larvae with Rapamycin, a pharmacological inhibitor of TOR. Rapamycin treatment did not affect the onset of puparium formation/adult eclosion, larval dendrite development (Figure S14A) or wild-type ddaC dendrite pruning (Figure S14B). However, Rapamycin treatment significantly suppressed the ddaC dendrite pruning defects in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons (n = 58), but not in mical RNAi neurons (n = 48, Figure S14B). Thus, these data further support the conclusion that the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway is inactivated by the Cul1-based SCF complex during ddaC dendrite pruning.

The following lines of evidence indicate the specificity of these suppression effects. First, attenuation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway did not affect normal elaboration of major dendrites in cul1 RNAi or cul1^{DN} mutant ddaC neurons, as the numbers of their primary and secondary WP dendrites were essentially unchanged despite the simplified terminal branches (Figure S15A and S15B). Inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway alone did not affect normal cul1 RNAi dendrite pruning (Figure S10 and unpublished data). More importantly, the severing of major dendrites, a hallmark feature of dendrite pruning, occurred, similar to the wild type, in these suppression experiments (empty arrowheads, Figure S16A), suggesting that inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway restores the severing of proximal dendrites from the cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons. Furthermore, the expression of InR^{DN}, PI3K^{DN}, PTEN, TOR^{TED}, S6K^{KQ}, or 4E-BP (AA) was not able to suppress the dendrite pruning defects associated with mical RNAi (unpublished data) or mical^{f2266} mutant ddaC neurons (Figure S16B), supporting their specific genetic interactions with the SCF components.

Taken together, the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway is specifically inhibited by the Cul1-based SCF E3 complex in order to promote ddaC dendrite pruning during early metamorphosis.

Slmb Forms a Protein Complex with Akt and Promotes Akt Ubiquitination

Since inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway suppresses the dendrite pruning defects in ddaC neurons lacking the Cul1 E3 ligase activity, we next assessed whether compromised E3 ligase function causes hyperactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway. To this end, we examined the expression and activity of Akt, a positive regulator of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, in ddaC neurons and 6 h APF brain lysates. Using an anti-Akt antibody, a weak localization of endogenous Akt was detected in ddaC somas (Figure 8A), but not in dendrites and axons (unpublished data). Endogenous Akt was significantly upregulated in the somas of cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons at the WP stage (2.8 folds, n = 9; Figure 8B and 8D), compared to the wild-type somas (n = 8, Figure 8A and 8D). Akt signals were abolished in akt RNAi ddaC neurons (Figure 8C and 8D). Since overexpressed Akt could be observed weakly in axons and dendrites in addition to its robust localization in ddaC somas (Figure S17), we co-expressed Akt with cul1 RNAi or the control RNAi to examine the Akt protein levels throughout the neurons. Consistently, cul1 RNAi
knockdown also caused a significant increase in Akt protein levels in ddaC somas (2 folds, \( n = 16 \)), dendrites (2.2 folds, \( n = 12 \)), and axons (1.9 folds, \( n = 11 \); Figure 8F–F' and 8G), compared to the RNAi controls (Figure 8E–E' and 8G). As a control, the expression levels of GFP were the same or similar throughout *cul1* RNAi or the control RNAi ddaC neurons (unpublished data). These data suggest that SCF-dependent Akt degradation is not restricted to dendrites of ddaC neurons. Consistently, the Cul1

Figure 7. The PI3K/TOR pathway is inhibited by the Cul1-based SCF complex during ddaC dendrite pruning. (A–J') Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by *ppk*-Gal4 at WP or 16 h APF. Red arrowheads point to the ddaC somas. While ddaC neurons co-expressing nonfunctional *mical* with *cul1* RNAi display strong pruning defects (A), co-expression of PI3K\( ^{DN} \) (B), PTEN (C), akt RNAi (D), TSC1/TSC2 (E), TOR\( ^{TD} \) (F), 4E-BP(AA) (G), or S6K\( ^{A0} \) (H) strongly suppressed a *cul1* RNAi pruning defect at 16 h APF (K). Overexpression of InR\( ^{DN} \) (I and I') or 4E-BP(AA) (J and J') in *cul1* ddaC MARCM clones did not affect the WP morphology but significantly suppressed the *cul1* pruning defect at 16 h APF. (K and L) Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. The number of samples (\( n \)) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. ***\( p < 0.001 \). n.s., not significant. The scale bar is 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g007
SCF E3 complex appears to be localized throughout ddaC neurons as indicated by uniform distribution of exogenously expressed SkpA-RFP in the dendrites, axons, and somas ($n=7$; Figure S17). Moreover, the protein levels of Akt were significantly increased in the cul1 RNAi brain lysates (the upper panel, Figure 8H) where Cul1 proteins were knocked down via the cul1 RNAi line ($\#1$) using a pan-neuronal driver elav-Gal4 (Figure S18A). Concomitantly, Akt activity was also substantially increased, as judged by an increase in active and phosphorylated Akt levels (the middle panel, Figure 8H). Thus, the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase negatively regulates Akt expression and activity in ddaC neurons and prepupal brains. We then investigated whether attenuation of Akt suppresses the dendrite pruning defects in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons. Interestingly, knockdown of Akt with two independent RNAi lines ($\#1$: BL31701 or $\#2$: BL33615) potently suppressed the dendrite pruning defects in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons ($n=26$, Figure 7D; unpublished data). Reduction of akt function resulted in an average of 0.2 primary/secondary dendrites connected to the cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons (Figure 7K), compared to 8.1 in the MicalN-ter control (Figure 7A and 7K). These biochemical and genetic data indicate that compromised SCF E3 ligase function causes hyperactivation of the InR/Pi3K/TOR pathway.

To further examine a potential link between the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase and the InR/Pi3K/TOR pathway, we assessed the physical interaction between Akt and the F-box protein Slimb. The C-terminal region of Slimb contains seven WD40 domains that are responsible for binding to its substrates and targeting them for ubiquitination. Interestingly, Akt was co-immunoprecipitated with Slimb using the protein extracts of S2 cells co-transfected with Myc-Akt and Flag-Slimb (Figure 8I). We confirmed this interaction in postmitotic neurons, as Slimb was specifically co-immunoprecipitated with Akt in the prepup brain extracts expressing Myc-Slimb and Akt (Figure 8J). Furthermore, Akt specifically interacted with Slimb, as Akt was not pulled down by either the truncated Slimb protein lacking its WD40 domains (Slimb$^{\text{DWD40}}$, Figure 8K) or another F-box protein Ago (Figure S18B). We then investigated whether Slimb can mediate ubiquitination of Akt. Notably, Slimb expression strongly increased the amount of polyubiquitinated Akt (lane 7, Figure 8L), compared to the control (lane 6, Figure 8L). In contrast, the expression of Slimb$^{\text{DWD40}}$ failed to facilitate Akt ubiquitination (lane 8, Figure 8L), suggesting that the WD40 domains are responsible for Slimb-mediated ubiquitination of Akt. Thus, Slimb associates with Akt and targets Akt for ubiquitination.

Figure 8. Slimb forms a protein complex with Akt and promotes Akt ubiquitination. (A–C and E–F) Confocal images show Akt stainings (in red) in various genotypes of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-Gal4 at WP. ddaC somas/dendrites/axons are marked by dashed lines. Endogenous Akt level was significantly upregulated in the somas of cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons (B and D) compared to that in the control RNAi somas (A and D). Akt signals were abolished in akt RNAi ddaC neurons (C and D). Overexpressed Akt is upregulated in cul1 RNAi ddaC somas, dendrites, and axons (F–F$'$ and G), compared to the control RNA (E–E$'$ and G). Quantification of Akt immunostaining was performed as described in Materials and Methods (D and G). The graph displays the normalized Akt immunostaining intensity and S.E.M.; $n$ is shown on the bars ***p<0.001.

The scale bars are 5 μm. (H) Akt expression and activity were upregulated in the cul1 RNAi brain extracts. (I) Slimb and Akt associated each other in S2 cells cotransfected with Flag-Slimb and Myc-Akt. (J) Akt associated with Myc-Slimb in brain extracts expressing Myc-Slimb and Akt. (K) The mutant F-box protein, Slimb$^{\text{DWD40}}$, lacking its substrate-recognition WD40 domains, did not associate with Akt in S2 cells co-transfected with Myc-Slimb$^{\text{DWD40}}$ and Flag-Akt. (L) In vivo ubiquitination assay, Slimb but not Slimb$^{\text{DWD40}}$ enhanced ubiquitination of Akt in S2 cells overexpressing HA-Ubiquitin, Myc-Akt, and Flag-Slimb or Flag-Slimb$^{\text{DWD40}}$. * indicates a nonspecific band. See genotypes in Text S1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g008
Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR Pathway Alone Is Sufficient to Inhibit ddaC Dendrite Pruning

We have demonstrated that inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway by the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex is required to facilitate ddaC dendrite pruning during early metamorphosis. To further substantiate it, we examined whether constitutive activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway alone is sufficient to inhibit normal progression of ddaC dendrite pruning. Notably, the expression of InR CA, or PI3KCA, both known to constitutively activate the InR/PI3K pathway [48,53,54], via two copies of ppk-Gal4 driver, caused consistent dendrite pruning defects in the vast majority of ddaC neurons (InR CA, n = 25, 92%; and PI3KCA, n = 23, 91%; Figure 9B and 9C). On average, 5.2 (InR CA) and 4.3 (PI3KCA) primary/secondary dendrites retained the attachment to their respective ddaC neurons at 16 h APF (Figure 9I). The dendrite pruning defect caused by InR CA expression was fully suppressed by akt RNAi knockdown (n = 20; Figure S19D), suggesting that expression of InR CA likely activates residual Akt to inhibit dendrite pruning. Supportively, using two PTEN null/strong alleles, PTEN C494 and PTEN 1, activators of the TOR pathway [47,52], led to dendrite pruning defects in the majority of ddaC neurons (77%, n = 25 and 63%, n = 24, respectively; Figure 9D). Loss of PTEN function also inhibited ddaD/E dendrite pruning, but not ddaF apoptosis or MB axon pruning (Figure S19B and S19C, unpublished data). Moreover, the expression of the small GTPase Rheb (Ras homologue enriched in brain) or the constitutively active form of S6K (S6KSTDETE), activators of the TOR pathway [47,52], led to dendrite pruning defects in the majority of ddaC neurons (77%, n = 25 and 63%, n = 24, respectively; Figure 9D). Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway appears not to affect the morphology and numbers of their major WP dendrites (Figures 9A–D and S19A). Further, we co-expressed InR CA, PI3KCA, Rheb, or S6KSTDETE with Cul1DN (Figure S20) or roc1a RNAi (unpublished data) in ddaC neurons, resulting in more severe dendrite pruning defects.

Figure 9. Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway alone is sufficient to inhibit ddaC dendrite pruning. (A–H) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-Gal4 at WP or 16 h APF. Red arrowheads point to the ddaC somas. Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway through overexpression of InR CA (B, B'), PI3KCA (C, C'), or pten MARCM (D, D') led to prominent pruning defects at 16 h APF. While mical ddaC neurons displayed an obvious pruning defect at 16 h APF (E), activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway by InR CA (F), PI3KWT (G), or Rheb (H) significantly enhanced the pruning defects in the mical ddaC neurons. (I and J) Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. ***p < 0.001, n.s., not significant. The scale bar is 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1. (K) A model for the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway during ddaC dendrite pruning. The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase acts downstream of Ecr-B1 and Sox14 but in parallel to the Mical pathway during ddaC dendrite pruning. The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex facilitates ddaC dendrite pruning primarily through inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway. The InR/PI3K/TOR pathway negatively regulates ddaC dendrite pruning.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001657.g009
Similar to the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase, the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway regulates ddaC dendrite pruning in a Mical-independent manner. First, the protein levels of Mical, Sox14, or EcR-B1 were unaffected upon activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, via InR\textsuperscript{CA}/PI3K\textsuperscript{CA} expression or loss of PTEN function (Figure S21A–B). The upregulation of the Mical expression during the larval-pupal transition was also unaffected upon inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway (unpublished data). Second, inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, via expression of InR\textsuperscript{DN}, PI3K\textsuperscript{DIN}, PTEN, TOR\textsuperscript{ED}, S6K\textsuperscript{KO}, or 4E-BP\textsuperscript{AA}, did not suppress the dendrite pruning defects in mical null mutant ddaCs (Figure S16B). Finally, activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, via expression of InR\textsuperscript{CA} \((n=27, \text{Figure 9F}), \text{PI3K} \((n=21, \text{Figure 9G})\), or Rhee \((n=20, \text{Figure 9H})\), significantly enhanced the mical null mutant pruning phenotypes (Figure 9J).

Local caspase activation in dendrites was shown to be required for elimination of dendrites in ddaC neurons \([24,25]\). We therefore assessed whether SCF and InR/PI3K/TOR govern dendrite pruning through local caspase activation. Using the genetically encoded caspase reporter CD8::PARP::Venus \([25]\), we observed no or negligible caspase activity in dendrites of cul1 RNAi \((n=9)\) or InR\textsuperscript{CA}-expressing \((n=6)\) ddaC neurons at 6 h APF, in contrast to strong caspase activity in wild-type ddaC dendrites \((n=6); \text{Figure S22}\). Therefore, the SCF ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway regulate dendrite-specific pruning in ddaC neurons at least in part by promoting local caspase activation in the dendrites.

In summary, our data indicate that activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway alone is sufficient to inhibit ddaC dendrite pruning in a Mical-independent manner. Thus, we demonstrate that during early metamorphosis, the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex facilitates ddaC dendrite pruning primarily through inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway.

**Discussion**

Previous studies showed that the UPS activity plays an intrinsic and essential role in governing both modes of pruning in ddaC \([12]\) and MB \(\gamma\) neurons \([13]\). However, little is known about the E3 ubiquitin ligase that is able to direct two distinct modes of neuronal pruning in *Drosophila*. Moreover, it is also unknown which downstream pathway is inactivated by the E3 ligase in order for ddaC neurons to prune their dendrites. Here, we report the identification of the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex that plays a critical role in both modes of pruning of ddaC and MB \(\gamma\) neurons. In a genome-wide RNAi screen, we first isolated Cul1, a core scaffold protein of the SCF E3 ligase, which is required for ddaC dendrite pruning and MB axon pruning during early metamorphosis. We further identified the other components of the E3 ligase complex including the RING domain protein Roc1\(\alpha\), the adaptor protein SkpA, as well as the F-box protein Slimb. These molecules, like Cul1, are all required for pruning of ddaC and MB \(\gamma\) neurons.

We show that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase acts downstream of Ec-R-B1/Sox14 and promotes ddaC dendrite pruning in a Mical-independent manner. Moreover, via a candidate-based screen, we observed that during ddaC dendrite pruning, the Cul1 E3 ligase negatively regulates the InR/PI3K/TOR signaling pathway but not other major developmental pathways examined. We demonstrate that inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway leads to strong suppression of dendrite pruning defects in ddaC neurons deficient in the Cul1 E3 ligase activity, whereas activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway alone is sufficient to inhibit ddaC dendrite pruning. Thus, the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase promotes dendrite pruning in ddaC neurons primarily through inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway (Figure 9K).

The Cul1-Based SCF E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Regulates Pruning of ddaC Dendrites and MB Axons in *Drosophila*

Previous studies indicated that UPS activity is cell-autonomously required for both MB axon pruning and ddaC dendrite pruning in *Drosophila* \([12,13]\). First, the expression of a yeast ubiquitin protease, which eliminates ubiquitin from substrates and inhibits UPS-mediated degradation \([55]\), causes severe pruning defects in MB \(\gamma\) and ddaC neurons \([12,13]\). Second, loss of the E1 enzyme Uba1 leads to strong pruning defects in both types of neurons. Third, removal of the proteasome subunits, Mov34 or Rpn6, also results in uba1-like pruning defects in MB and ddaC neurons. The selectivity of the UPS machinery for pruning is mainly conferred by a specific E3 ligase. Given the existence of a large number of E3 ligases in the *Drosophila* genome, it is challenging to identify the specific one that directs these two modes of neuronal pruning. It was reported that DIAP1, an RING E3 ligase, antagonizes the Dronc caspase activity and inhibits ddaC dendrite severing \([24]\). A model had been proposed that autoubiquitination and degradation of DIAP1 allows for the activation of the Dronc caspase in ddaC dendrites and thereby prunning of ddaC neurons. However, recent studies reported that all ddaC neurons sever their dendrites normally in DIAP1 gain-of-function mutants \([26]\) and overexpression condition \([25]\). Nevertheless, Dronc caspase and DIAP1 appear not to be important for axon pruning of MB \(\gamma\) neurons, as analyses of Dronc MARCM clones \([24]\), expression of the caspase inhibitor p35 \([13]\), or gain of DIAP1 function (Wong and Yu, unpublished data) revealed no axon pruning defects, thus, the question of which specific E3 ligase directs both modes of pruning remains open for a long time. In this study, we demonstrate that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex plays key roles in regulating both ddaC dendrite pruning and MB axon pruning during Drosophila metamorphosis.

Several lines of evidence indicate that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase complex is the specific one that governs both ddaC dendrite pruning and MB axon pruning. First, while Cul1 and its binding partner Roc1\(\alpha\) are critical for pruning of ddaC and MB neurons, Cul3 and Roc1b that preferentially bind each other are not important. Second, the Cul4-based E3 ligase, which regulates TSC2 protein stability and TSC1/2 complex turnover in *Drosophila* \([56]\), is dispensable for ddaC dendrite pruning. MARCM analyses of the null allele cul-4\(11L\), exhibited no ddaC dendrite pruning defect \((n=5); \text{Figure S5}\). Consistently, overexpression of TSC1/TSC2 complex did not inhibit ddaC pruning (unpublished data). Third, among 31 fly F-box proteins, Slimb was identified, which when knocked down via RNAi, resulted in apparent dendrite pruning defects in ddaC neurons. Furthermore, Slimb appears to be important for both ddaC dendrite pruning and MB \(\gamma\) axon pruning. Another F-box protein, Archipelago (Ago), is not required for ddaC pruning, in contrast to the essential role of its worm homologue SEL10 in synapse elimination \([35]\). MARCM analyses with ago\(\alpha\), a null allele \([57]\), revealed no pruning defect in ddaC neurons \((n=3); \text{Figure S5}\), supporting the selectivity of the E3 ligases for pruning. Finally, Nutcracker, the F-box protein of an SCF ubiquitin ligase (E3) required for caspase activation during sperm differentiation \([58]\), appears not to be essential for ddaC pruning \((n=9, \text{unpublished data})\).

The Cul1-Based SCF E3 Ligase Acts in Parallel to Mical to Promote ddaC Dendrite Pruning

We previously reported that a transcriptional hierarchy, consisting of Ec-R-B1, Sox14, and Mical, is commonly induced in both ddaC neurons and MB \(\gamma\) neurons, and essential for ddaC dendrite pruning \([23]\). How is the Cul1 E3 ligase integrated into
this linear pathway? We propose that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase likely acts downstream of the transcriptional activators EcR-B1 and Sox14, however, in parallel to Mical, during ddaC dendrite pruning (see the model in Figure 9K). First, previous microarray analyses showed that the UPS genes, involved in all steps of the UPS pathway including cul1 and skpA, appear to be upregulated in remodeling MB γ neurons during the larval-pupal transition [46]. Upregulation of these genes is abolished by the expression of EcRΔN [46]. Second, our Q-PCR data further verify that cul1 is a downstream effector of EcR-B1 and sox14, suggesting edcsyne signaling regulates the abundance, but not assembly, of the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase complex. Third, ddaC neurons devoid of the Cul1 E3 ligase retain strong expression of Sox14. Reduction of the cul1 function does not enhance sox14 mutant phenotype, supporting that the Cul1 E3 ligase acts downstream of sox14 during ddaC pruning. Finally, the Cul1-based E3 ligase is dispensable for the transcription and translation of mical in ddaC neurons, suggesting that it likely exerts its roles in a Mical-independent pathway. This is further supported by the fact that reduction of the E3 ligase function in mical null mutant ddaC neurons results in additive effects on dendrite pruning. Taken together, our data support the model in which the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase acts in parallel with Mical to govern ddaC dendrite pruning. Together, our data support the model in which the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase acts in parallel with Mical to govern ddaC dendrite pruning.

The Cul1-Based E3 Ligase Inactivates the InR/PI3K/TOR Pathway During ddaC Dendrite Pruning

During pattern formation, the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase degrades G or Arm to negatively regulate Hh or Wg pathways, respectively [45]. Importantly, our data demonstrate that the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, rather than Wg or Hh pathways, is inactivated by the Cul1-based E3 ligase in order for ddaC neurons to prune their dendrites. First, we show that inactivation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway suppresses the dendrite pruning defects in ddaC neurons lacking the Cul1 E3 ligase activity. Second, compromised cul1 function causes enhanced expression/activity of Akt, a positive regulator of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway. These data suggest that compromised E3 ligase function causes activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway. Consistently, a reversal of the UPS pathway by a debiquitinating enzyme also leads to enhancement of the insulin signaling in mammals [59]. Finally, activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway alone is sufficient to inhibit ddaC dendrite pruning. In contrast, activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway by loss of PTEN function (n = 11) or InRCA expression (n = 7) is dispensable for MB axon pruning (Figure S19C). Given the distinct architecture and morphology of ddaC dendrites and MB γ axons, it is conceivable that the SCF E3 ligase regulates differential target pathways during these two types of pruning. Like the Cul1 E3 ligase, the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway acts downstream of EcR-B1/Sox14 and regulates dendrite pruning in parallel to Mical (see the model in Figure 9K).

It has been reported that edcsyne can inhibit the release of insulin-like peptides from insulin-producing cells during the larval-pupal transition, systemically inactivate the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, and thereby terminate larval growth [60]. We show that during dendrite pruning, edcsyne signaling increases abundance of the Cul1-based SCF complex, which in turn inactivates the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway (Figure 9K). These regulations with different mechanisms together would ultimately ensure lower levels of InR/PI3K/TOR activity/function in ddaC neurons, thereby resulting in dendrite pruning in these neurons during early metamorphosis. How does the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase inactivate the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway during ddaC pruning? This negative regulation may occur through direct degradation of positive regulators of the pathway, such as IRS (fly Chico), Akt, and TOR. Several lines of evidence indicate that Akt is a good candidate as a substrate of the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase. First, the F-box protein Slimb, a component of the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase required for substrate recognition, specifically interacts with Akt. Second, Slimb promotes ubiquitination of Akt in a WD40-dependent manner. Third, Akt levels are significantly increased in cul1 RNAi mutant ddaC neurons and brains. Finally, knockdown of Akt strongly suppresses the cul1 RNAi dendrite pruning defects. Since the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway and Akt are important for ddaC dendrite pruning but not for MB axon pruning, it is conceivable that the targets of the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase are divergent in these two modes of neuronal pruning. Interestingly, the SCF-Fbxo40 ligase targets the mammalian IRS for degradation and limits the insulin/InR signaling in skeletal muscle [61], whereas the RING E3 ligase ZNRF1 ubiquitinates Akt to promote Wallerian degeneration of injured dorsal root ganglia neurons, a pruning-like process [62]. Finally, our results also show that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway regulate local caspase activation in ddaC dendrites during pruning. Attenuation of the insulin pathway can downregulate IAP, an inhibitor of caspases, during the differentiation of embryonic chicken lens epithelial cells [63]. It will be of great interest to determine whether global attenuation of InR/PI3K/TOR pathway can similarly downregulate DIAP1, a Drosophila homologue of IAP, to locally activate caspases during dendrite pruning.

In summary, we demonstrate that the Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase plays crucial roles in directing two distinct modes of pruning in ddaC and MB γ neurons in Drosophila. We further show that the Cul1 SCF E3 ligase inactivates the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway, a key signaling pathway, in order for ddaC neurons to prune their unnecessary larval dendrites during metamorphosis. This study provides a novel link between the SCF E3 ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway in regulating neuronal pruning. Thus, we open up new avenues for further studies of the E3 ligase in the remodeling and maturation of the developing nervous system, as well as their implications in the pathogenesis of many neurodegenerative diseases.

Materials and Methods

Fly Strains

The following fly stocks were used in this study: cul1ΔT (C.T. Chien) [37], UAS-Flag-Cul1 (C.T. Chien) [37], UAS-cul1ΔN (generated in this study) [37], nedd8Δn/a72 [A. Cho] [42], ncl1ΔT (R. J. Duronio) [43], slimbα (B. Limbourg-Bouchon) [64], slimbβ, tub-Myc-slimbκ (J. Jiang) [36], Df(3R)segp1618ΔM,t (S. Cohen) [51], UAS-mical−/− (A. Kolodkin) [65], micalΔm60 (the Yu lab), ppk-Gal4 (on Chr II or Chr III; Y; Jan) [66], UAS-C18G (K. Basler), UAS-Cα (K. Basler) [67], UAS-DhhRPT (N. Perrimon) [68], UAS-Sox14Δs-18 (J. Jiang) [69], UAS-NotchΔN (d.n.N), UAS-NotchΔE (act.N) (S. Artavanis-Tsakonas) [70], UAS-DomeΔC/T (J. Castelli-Gair Hombria) [71], UAS-PI3KΔ (P. Rorth) [72], UAS-Tsc1, UAS-Tsc2 (M. O’Connor) [73], UAS-PTE (T. Xu) [49], UAS-TS1, UAS-TS2 (T. Xu) [74], UAS-4E-IPA4 (S. Cohen) [51], ago1 (I. Hariharan) [57], sox14Δ10 (the Yu lab), mical-lacZ (the Yu lab), PTEVΔN (T. Xu) [49], PTEV° (C. Wilson) [75], UAS-CDS::FARP::Venus (25), elan-Genetic Switch-Gal4 [40], and UAS-skpA-RFP (generated in this study).

The following stocks were obtained from Bloomington stock centre (BSC): skpAΔ4, skpA RNAi #1 (BL29874), FRT40A Cal4ΔX, UAS-pet, FRT40A Cal4ΔX, UAS-aggΔ, UAS-BokΔ, UAS-Fr,R, UAS-InRΔN (InRΔN), UAS-InRΔN (InRΔN), UAS-ArmΔ, UAS-yrkΔN, UAS-EGFRΔN, UAS-Pi3K, UAS-Pi3KΔN (Pik3D954A), UAS-EGFRΔN, UAS-Pi3K, UAS-Pi3KΔN (Pik3D954A),...
UAS-cul1DN and UAS-skpA-RFP Transgenes

The GATEWAY p1TW vector containing a fragment of the cul1 cDNA (encoding aa 1–532; Cul1DN) or GATEWAY pTRW vector containing a fragment of the skpA cDNA were constructed and several transgenic lines were established by the Bestgene Inc.

Mical Antibody Production

The cDNA fragment corresponding to the last 359 aa of Mical was amplified by PCR and verified by DNA sequencing. The product was expressed using the GST expression vector (pGEX 4T-1, Pharmacia) and the purified protein was used to immunize guinea pigs to generate antibodies against Mical. The specificity of the guinea pig anti-Mical antibody was verified using mical mutants.

MARCM Analysis and Dendrite Imaging/Quantification

We carried out MARCM analysis, dendrite imaging, and quantification as previously described [23].

Immunohistochemistry and Antibodies

Larval and pupal fillet samples for each set of experiments were processed simultaneously, stained in the same tube, and imaged with the same parameters using Leica SPE confocal microscope. The following antibodies were used for immunohistochemistry at the indicated dilution: guinea pig anti-Mical (1:500), mouse polyclonal anti-Sox14 (1:200), mouse anti-EcR-B1 (1:50, DDA2.7, DSHB), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000, A11222, Invitrogen), mouse anti-FasII (1:100, BD, DSHB), rabbit anti-Akt (1:500, #4691L, Cell Signalling), and rabbit anti-cleaved PARP (1:500, 2317-50, Abcam). Cy3- and Cy5-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Laboratories) were used at 1:400 dilution.

S2 Cell Culture, Ecdysone Treatment, Transfection, and Western Blotting

We carried out S2 cell culture, ecdysone treatment, and Western blotting as described [23]. For brain extracts, mutant brains were dissected in cold PBS and lysed in 2× SDS protein loading dye and boiled for 3 min, before Western blot analyses. We used mouse anti-Myc (1:2,000, ab32, Abcam), rabbit anti-Flag (1:1,000, F-3165, Sigma), rabbit anti-Cullin-1 (C.T. Chien), rabbit anti-Skpa (T. Murphy), rabbit anti-Akt (1:1,000, #4691L, Cell Signalling), rabbit anti-Akt P-Ser505 (1:1,000, #4054S, Cell Signalling), and rat anti-HA (1:1,000, 1186742301, Roche). Flag-Slimb, Flag-SlimbAWTD10, Myc-Slimb, Myc-SlimbAWTD10, Myc-Akt, Flag-Akt, and Flag-Roc1a expression vectors were generated by Gateway cloning and were transfected into S2 cells using Effectene Transfection Reagent (Qiagen). The specificity of all the antibodies was examined in the individual RNAi S2 cells.

Co-Immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

Transfected S2 cells or prepupae brains were homogenized with lysis buffer (25 mM Tris pH8/27.5 mM NaCl/20 mM KCl/425 mM sucrose/10 mM EDTA/10 mM EGTA/1 mM DTT/10% (v/v) glycerol/0.5% Nonidet P40) with protease inhibitors (Complete, Boehringer; PMSF 10 μg/ml, Sodium orthovanadate 10 μg/ml) in presence or absence of ecdysone (20E). The supernatants were used for immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc, anti-Flag, or anti-Akt overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with protein A/G beads (Pierce Chemical Co.) for 2 h. Protein A/G beads were washed four times with cold PBS. Bound proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blotting with anti-Myc, anti-Flag, anti-Cullin1, anti-Skpa, and anti-Akt.

In Vivo Ubiquitination Assay

S2 cells were transfected with Flag-Slimb, Flag-SlimbAWTD10, Myc-Akt, and pHsp70-hemagglutinin (HA)-ubiquitin (A.Sehgal). At 48 h posttransfection, cells were homogenized with the lysis buffer (25 mM Tris pH8/27.5 mM NaCl/20 mM KCl/25 mM sucrose/10 mM EDTA/10 mM EGTA/1 mM DTT/10% (v/v) glycerol/0.5% Nonidet P40) with protease inhibitors (Complete, Boehringer; PMSF 10 μg/ml, Sodium orthovanadate 10 μg/ml). The supernatants were used for immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc, overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with protein A/G beads (Pierce Chemical Co.) for 2 h. Protein A/G beads were washed four times with cold PBS. Bound proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blotting with anti-Myc, anti-HA, and anti-Flag.

Quantification of ddaC Dendrites

Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-GAL4 were shown at w3L, WP, and 16 h APF. The average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to soma or total dendritic termini was counted from wild-type and mutant ddaC neurons. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error represents S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. The strength of the pruning phenotypes was divided into three levels: mild (less than 5 primary and secondary dendrites), moderate (5–10 primary and secondary dendrites), and strong/severe (>10 primary and secondary dendrites).

Dissection of Brains, Visualization of Mushroom Body Neurons, and MARCM Mosaic Analysis

Larval, pupal, and adult brains were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min. Brains were washed in PBS+1% Triton X for 3 times for 10 min each. For the clonal analysis study (MARCM), embryos were collected at 6 h interval. The clones were induced in the first instar larvae by applying a 1 h heat shock at 38°C. The antibody to FASII (1D4) was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank and used at 1:50. Rabbit anti-GFP antibody was obtained from Invitrogen and used at 1:1,000. 201FL-Gal4 labels postmitotic γ neurons and a small subset of late-born α/β neurons. The Anti-FasII (1D4) antibody labels α/β neurons strongly and γ neurons weakly. The severity of the axon pruning phenotypes was divided into three levels: weak, strong, and complete, according to a previous study [21].

Quantification of Immunolabeling

To quantify the immunolabeling intensities, cell nuclei (EcR-B1/Sox14 immunostaining) or whole cell body (Mical immunostaining) were drawn on the appropriate fluorescent channel based on the GFP channel relative cellular localization in Imagej software. After subtracting the background (Rolling Ball Radius = 30) on the entire image of that channel, we measured the mean grey value in the marked areas in ddaC and ddaE on the
same images and calculated their ratios. The ratios were normalized to corresponding average control values and subjected to statistical t test for comparison between different conditions (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, n.s., not significant). Graphs display the average values of ddaC/ddaE ratios and the standard error of means (S.E.M.). n is shown on the bars. Insets show the ddaC neurons labeled by ppk-GAL4 driven UAS-mCD8-GFP expression. Dorsal is up in all images.

To quantify the immunolabeling intensities of Akt, soma/dendrite/axon regions were drawn on the appropriate fluorescent channel based on the GFP channel relative cellular localization in ImageJ software. After subtracting the background, we measured the mean grey value of Akt in the marked areas. The values were normalized to corresponding average control values and subjected to statistical t test for comparison between different conditions. Graphs display the average values of normalized Akt expression and the standard error of means (S.E.M.). n is shown on the bars. Insets show the soma/dendrite/axon labeled by ppk-GAL4 driven UAS-mCD8-GFP expression (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, n.s., not significant).

Rapamycin Treatment

To avoid any developmental delay, wild-type or mutant embryos were collected at 6 h intervals and were reared on standard food to the 3rd instar stage (96 h after egg laying, AEL) before being transferred to the standard culture medium containing 2 μM of Rapamycin dissolved in ethanol (Sigma Aldrich R0395). Larvae were fed in Rapamycin food for approximately 8 h before cessation of feeding. The onset of puparium formation and adult eclosion was not affected by Rapamycin treatment. wL3 larvae were used to quantify the total dendrite termini.

RU486/Mifepristone Treatment for elav-GeneSwitch System

To avoid any developmental delay, wild-type or mutant embryos were collected at 6 h intervals and were reared on standard food to the c5L stage before being transferred to the standard culture medium containing 240 μg/ml mifepristone dissolved in ethanol (Sigma Aldrich M8046). The onset of puparium formation and adult eclosion was not affected by RU486 treatment.

RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription

Isolation of RNA from MB γ neurons was accomplished using Laser Capture Microdissection (LCM). Ten-micrometer frozen sections were cut from larval or pupal brains. MB γ neurons labeled by 2011-GAL4/ mCD8-GFP were microdissected using the Zeiss PALM microbeam microdissection system. Each capture consisted of ~80 cell bodies, and 30 captures were pooled to obtain each replicate. Total RNA was extracted using the PicoPure RNA isolation kit from Arcturus and subject to reverse transcription using Oligo dT and the SuperScript III First-stand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen). The genomic DNA was digested by RNase-free DNase (Qiagen).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (Q-PCR)

Independent experiments were conducted in triplicates using Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (Fermentas) and 7900HT Fast Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. rp49 (CG7939) was used as an internal control gene. Results were normalized to the controls indicated. Error bars represent standard error of mean (SEM) for four experimental repeats (n = 4).

Primers listed in 5'-to-3' sequence:

| Primer                | Sequence                     |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| EcR-B1                | CTGCTCATAGCCATCCTGGT          |
| DCCGCGCAAGACCTTTGTTAAG |                              |
| sox14                 | GAAAGATCTCCGGAGCCACAG        |
| ATCTGGGCCTCAAACACATGAA |                              |
| mical                 | TTGGTGGGGCTTCATTAGATG        |
| GTTCAACCGAGTGCCGAGAG  |                              |
| cul1                  | CCACATGGCGAAGAGGTCCTTAT      |
| CAAGGATGCGACCTGAGATCTGC |                             |
| uha1                  | GATATCCTCTCTGTCGGGACCTTG     |
| GATATCGCTTCCGTCGAGATAG |                              |
| np49                  | GCTTCAGGGACAGTAGCTGAGATG     |
| GACAATCTCCTCTCGGCGCTT |                              |

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Cul1 and Nedd8 are required for remodeling of dda sensory neurons. (A–D) Live confocal images of dda neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP at WP, 16 h, or 18 h APF. (A) ddaC neurons overexpressing one copy of cul1 RNAi #1 or cul1 RNAi #2 ddaC clones exhibited dendrite pruning defects at 16 h APF. Note: the severity of RNAi phenotype mainly depends on copy number/strength of ppk-Gal4 drivers as well as UAS-De2. Expression level of ppk-Gal4 (inserted on Chr II) is much stronger than that of ppk-Gal4 (on Chr III). Thus, the phenotype of cul1 RNAi #2 in Figure 1C is almost double compared to that in Figure S1A due to the presence of two copies of ppk-Gal4 (Chr II). Please note that the ddaF neuron is occasionally labeled by one copy of ppk-Gal4 (Chr II) but always by two copies of the driver. (B) While wild-type class I ddaD/ddaE neurons pruned normally at 18 h APF, cul1 Ex, and nedd8An015 MARCM ddaD neurons failed to prune their respective dendrites by 18 h APF. Blue arrowheads point to ddaD neurons, and green arrowheads to ddaE. (C) Wild-type class III ddaF neurons underwent apoptosis by 18 h APF, whereas cul1Ex and nedd8An015 MARCM ddaF neurons survived by 18 h APF. Purple arrowheads point to ddaF neurons. (D) Similar to cul1Ex and nedd8An015 MARCM ddaC neurons failed to prune their larval dendrites by 16 h APF. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. Dorsal is up in all images. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. The scale bars are 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIFF)

Figure S2 Initial dendrite development is not affected in cul1 RNAi, skpA RNAi, or roc1a RNAi ddaC neurons. (A) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP at w3L. ddaC neurons overexpressing cul1 RNAi #2, skp1 RNAi #2, or roc1a RNAi #2 via one copy of ppk-Gal4 (on Chr II) showed
similar w3L dendrite morphology, compared to the wild-type control. Quantification of the average number of dendritic termini of each genotype. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. n.s., not significant. (B) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-CD4-tdTomato. RU486 treatment did not affect dendrite pruning in the wild-type ddaC neurons (the far left panels). Using the RU486-inducible Gene-Switch system, inducible expression of cul1PPK resulted in dendrite pruning defects in 16 h APF ddaC neurons, compared to 0% of the noninduced controls. WP dendrite morphology of induced versus noninduced ddaC neurons was similar. Please note that weak dendrite signals at 16 h APF are due to less prominent fluorescence of tdTomato. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S3 Roc1a, SkpA, and Slimb are required for pruning of class IV ddaC neurons. Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP at WP or 16 h APF. ddaC neurons expressing one copy of Roc1a RNAi #1, two copies of Roc1a RNAi #2, one copy of skpA RNAi #1, or one copy of slimb RNAi #2 exhibited prominent pruning defects. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. Dorsal is up in all images. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. The scale bars are 50 µm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S4 Roc1a, SkpA, and Slimb are required for pruning of class I ddaD/E neurons and apoptosis of class III ddaF neurons. (A and B) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP at WP, 16 h, or 18 h APF. (A) Wild-type class I ddaD/ddaE neurons pruned normally at 18 h APF, whereas roc1aG1 MARCM, Slimb5 MARCM, and skpA RNAi expressing ddaD neurons failed to prune their larval dendrites by 18 h APF. Blue arrowheads point to ddaD neurons, and green arrowheads to ddaE. (B) Wild-type class III ddaF neurons underwent apoptosis by 16 h APF, whereas roc1aG1 and slimb5 MARCM ddaF neurons survived at 16 h APF. Knockdown of SkpA in ddaF neurons via the da neuronal driver 109/2/00-Gal4 driven skpA RNAi expression also resulted in failure of ddaF to undergo apoptosis at 18 h APF. Purple arrowheads point to ddaF neurons. Dorsal is up in all images. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S5 Roc1b, Cul3, Ago, and Cul4 are not required for pruning of class IV ddaC neurons. Similar to wild-type ddaC neurons, roc1b10-6 homozygous mutant, cul3G075, ago10-3, or cul411L MARCM ddaC neurons pruned their dendrites normally at 16 h APF. Dorsal is up in all images. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S6 The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase is required for MB γ neuron remodelling. (A–C) Confocal images of MB γ neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by 109/2/00-Gal4 at WP. MB γ neuron morphology was not affected in cul1G109/2/00-Gal4 driven cul1G109/2/00-Gal4 MB neuroblasts clones expressing the full-length Cul1. roc1aG1 MB neuroblast clone expressing the full-length Roc1a, and roc1bG1 MB neuroblast mutants. (A) Expression of Cul1 in cul1G109/2/00-Gal4 MB or Roc1a in roc1aG1 MB neuroblast clones fully rescued their axon pruning defects. (B) Roc1b is not required for MB axon pruning. (C) cul1G109/2/00-Gal4 MB neuroblast clones failed to prune their dendrites at 24 h APF compared to the wild-type control. Red arrowheads point to the unpruned dendrites. The scale bars are 50 µm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S7 The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase is not required for EcR-B1/sox14 expression or mical transcription. (A) Confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-CD4-tdTomato. RU486 treatment did not affect dendrite pruning in the wild-type ddaC neurons (the far left panels). Using the RU486-inducible Gene-Switch system, inducible expression of cul1PPK resulted in dendrite pruning defects in 16 h APF ddaC neurons, compared to 0% of the noninduced controls. WP dendrite morphology of induced versus noninduced ddaC neurons was similar. Please note that weak dendrite signals at 16 h APF are due to less prominent fluorescence of tdTomato. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S8 The Cul1-based SCF E3 ligase acts downstream of Sox14 but in parallel to Mical to mediate dendrite pruning. mical ddaC neurons displayed a pruning defect with the average of 5.3 primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma at 16 h APF. Knockdown of cul1 and roca1 in the mical background with their respective RNAi lines significantly increased the mical pruning defects. Knockdown of cul1 with cul1 RNAi #2 in ddaC neurons displayed moderate pruning defect with the average of 4.1 primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma. sox14 ddaC neurons displayed a severe pruning defect with the average of 14.7 primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma at 16 h APF. Knockdown of cul1 in sox14 mutant resulted in no enhancement of pruning defect, compared to sox14 mutant alone. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. ***p<0.001, n.s., not significant. Scale bar is 20 µm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S9 ddaC neurons of various double mutant combinations have a similar number of major dendrites attached to their somas at WP stage. Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-CD4-tdTomato driven skpA RNAi at WP. Knockdown of Cul1 or Roc1a in the mical background did not significantly alter the ddaC WP morphology. sox14 mutant, cul1 RNAi, cul1 RNAi, and sox14 double mutant ddaC neurons displayed similar elaboration of primary and secondary dendrites at WP stage. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. Scale bar is 20 µm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S10 Activation of the Insulin pathway in ddaC neurons results in ddaC dendrite pruning defects. Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppk-CD4-tdTomato driven skpA RNAi at WP. Inactivation of Hh, Wg, Insulin, or Notch pathways via expression of their respective repressors in ddaC neurons did not result in any pruning defects. Activation of the Insulin signaling via InRCA, but not activation of Hh, Wg, or Notch signalling in ddaC neurons, led to a notable pruning defect at 16 h APF. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. ***p<0.001. Scale bar is 20 µm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)
Figure S11 Activation of the Insulin pathway in ddaC neurons enhances dendrite pruning defects in cul1DN expressing ddaC neurons. Co-expression of InRDN, but not other activators of various pathways examined, significantly enhanced cul1DN-mediated pruning defects in ddaC neurons. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images.***p<0.001, n.s., not significant. Scale bar is 20 μm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S12 Attenuation of other signaling pathways is unable to rescue cul1 RNAi-mediated dendrite pruning defect. (A) Attenuation of JNK, JAK/STAT, Hippo, EGFR, PVR, and Dpp pathways in ddaC neurons by co-expression of BskDN [JNK], FosDN [JNK], DomeHET [JAK/STAT], HaS65D (Hippo), EgrDN (EGFR), PesDN (PVR), or TblDN (Dpp) with cul1 RNAi was unable to rescue cul1 RNAi-mediated dendrite pruning defects, similar to the micalN-ter control. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. (B) Quantification of the average number of WP primary and secondary dendrites attached to various genotypes of ddaC neurons in Figure 6A–H. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. **p<0.01, n.s., not significant. Error bars represent S.E.M. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S13 Attenuation of PI3K/TOR signaling pathway is sufficient to rescue cul1DN-mediated ddaC dendrite pruning defect. Inhibition of the PI3K/TOR pathway was also able to suppress cul1DN pruning defects. While ddaC neurons co-expressing nonfunctional micalN-ter with cul1DN displayed apparent pruning defects, co-expression of PI3KDN, PTEN, InRDN, TSC1/TSC2, TORRED, 4E-BP(AA), or S6KDN significantly suppressed cul1DN pruning defects. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images.***p<0.001. Scale bar is 20 μm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S14 Pharmacological attenuation of the InR/PI3K/TOR signaling significantly suppresses the dendrite pruning defects in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons. (A) Rapamycin treatment did not affect initial dendrite development in cul1 RNAi or mical RNAi-expressing ddaC neurons. Quantifications of the total dendritic termini of Rapamycin-treated or non-treated mutant ddaC neurons at w3L. (B) Rapamycin treatment, similar to the effects of InRDN and PI3KDN, significantly suppressed the dendrite pruning defects in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons, but not in mical RNAi ddaC neurons. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars.***p<0.001, n.s., not significant. Error bars represent S.E.M. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S15 Attenuation of PI3K/TOR signaling does not alter the number of major dendrites attached to ddaC somas at WP stage. (A) Co-expression of nonfunctional micalN-ter, InRDN, PI3KDN, PTEN, TORRED, TSC1/TSC2, S6KDN, 4E-BP(AA), or akt RNAi with cul1 RNAi resulted in normal elaboration of primary and secondary dendrites in ddaC neurons at the WP stage. (B) Co-expression of nonfunctional micalN-ter, InRDN, PI3KDN, PTEN, TORRED, TSC1/TSC2, S6KDN, 4E-BP(AA) with cul1DN resulted in normal elaboration of primary and secondary dendrites in ddaC neurons at the WP stage. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S16 Specific effects of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway on ddaC dendrite pruning. (A and B) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by ppp-Gal4 at 12.5 h or 16 h APF. (A) While all ddaC neurons co-expressing nonfunctional micalN-ter with cul1 RNAi failed to sever the proximal regions of their dorsal dendrite branch at 12.5 h APF, proximal severing of dorsal dendrite branches was observed in cul1 RNAi ddaC neurons co-expressing InRDN, PI3KDN, PTEN, TORRED, TSC1/TSC2, S6KDN, or 4E-BP(AA). Empty red arrowheads point to proximal severing of the dorsal dendrite branches. (B) The expression of nonfunctional micalN-ter control, InRDN, PI3KDN, PTEN, TORRED, TSC1/TSC2, S6KDN, or 4E-BP(AA) was unable to rescue the dendrite pruning defects in mical mutant ddaC neurons. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP and 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. n.s., not significant. Dorsal is up in all images. The scale bar is 50 μm. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S17 Overexpressed SkpA-RFP and Akt are localized uniformly throughout the ddaC neurons. SkpA-RFP and Akt were labeled in red, and mCD8-GFP in green. White arrowheads and arrows point to ddaC somas and axons, respectively. The scale bars are 50 μm. Dorsal is up in all images. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)

Figure S18 Specificity of cul1 knockdown in the brain. Akt is unable to associate with another F-box containing protein, Ago. (A) Cul1 protein levels were reduced via cul1 RNAi lines #1 using a pan-neuronal driver elav-Gal4. See genotypes in Text S1. (B) Akt did not associate with another F-box-containing protein Ago in S2 cells cotransfected with Flag-Ago and Myc-Akt. (TIF)

Figure S19 Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway is sufficient to inhibit ddaC dendrite pruning but not MB γ axon pruning. (A, B, and D) Live confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven at WP, 16 h APF, or 18 h APF. (A) Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway by the expression of Rheb or S6KSTDETE in ddaC neurons resulted in ddaC dendrite pruning defects. (B) PTEN” MARCM ddaC neurons exhibited dendrite pruning defects at 18 h APF; similarly, its ddaD neurons also failed to prune at 18 h APF. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP or 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. Dorsal is up in all images. (C) Confocal images of MB γ neurons expressing UAS-mCD8-GFP driven by 2011-Gal4 at 24 h APF. Similar to wild-type MB γ neurons, pten” MB γ neurons MARCM clones and InRΔ3-expressing MB γ neurons pruned their dorsal and medial axon branches by 24 h APF. (D) Expression of akt RNAi in InRΔ3-expressing ddaC neurons resulted in normal elaboration of primary and secondary dendrites in ddaC neurons at the WP stage. (E) Co-expression of nonfunctional micalN-ter, InRDN, PI3KDN, PTEN, TORRED, TSC1/TSC2, S6KDN, 4E-BP(AA) with aktRNAi resulted in normal elaboration of primary and secondary dendrites in ddaC neurons at the WP stage. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at 16 h APF. The number of samples (n) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. See genotypes in Text S1. (TIF)
neurons fully suppressed InR\(^{-}\)-mediated dendrite pruning defect. The scale bars are 50 \(\mu\)m. See genotypes in Text S1.

**(TIF)**

**Figure S20** Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway enhances cul\(^{DN}\)-mediated dendrite pruning defect. Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway by InR\(^{-}\), PI3K\(^{-}\), Rheb, or S6K\(^{ASTDDE}\) in cul\(^{DN}\) ddaC neurons did not affect normal dendrite arborization at WP. Co-expression of InR\(^{-}\), PI3K\(^{-}\), Rheb, or S6K\(^{ASTDDE}\) with cul\(^{DN}\) dramatically enhanced the pruning defects, compared to that of nonfunctional mical\(^{-}\)-control. Quantification of the average number of primary and secondary dendrites attached to the soma of mutant ddaC neurons at WP or 16 h APF. The number of samples (\(n\)) in each group is shown on the bars. Error bars represent S.E.M. \(*\ast\ast\ast <0.001\), n.s.. not significant. Dorsal is up in all images. The scale bar is 50 \(\mu\)m. See genotypes in Text S1.

**(TIF)**

**Figure S21** Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway does not affect EcR-B1, Sox14, and Mical expression. (A and B) Activation of the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway via InR\(^{-}\), PI3K\(^{-}\), ptenc494 MARCM, or ptenc\(^{-}\) MARCM in ddaC neurons did not affect EcR-B1, Sox14, and Mical expression at WP stage. Quantification of immunostaining for EcR-B1, Sox14, and Mical levels was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Graphs display the average values of ddaC/ddaE ratios. Error bars represent S.E.M. \(n\) is shown on the bars. n.s.. not significant. See genotypes in Text S1.

**(TIF)**

**Figure S22** The SCF ligase and the InR/PI3K/TOR pathway regulate dendrite pruning in ddaC neurons at least in part by promoting local caspase activation in the dendrites. Confocal images of ddaC neurons expressing the caspase reporter construct CD6::PARP::VENUS at 16 h APF. While cleaved PARP marked in white bracket was readily detected in the wild-type ddaC neurons at 6 h APF, ddaC neurons expressing cul\(^{DN}\) RNAi or InR\(^{-}\) failed to activate the caspase activity at 6 h APF. White arrowhead points to ddaC somas. The scale bar is 20 \(\mu\)m. See genotypes in Text S1.

**(TIF)**

**Text S1** List of fly strains. Various genotypes were used in the main and supplementary figures.

**(DOCX)**
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