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Demographic population structure and fungal associations of plants colonizing High Arctic glacier forelands, Petuniabukta, Svalbard

Jakub Těšítel,1 Tamara Těšítelová,1 Alexandra Bernardová,1 Edita Janková Drdová,2 Magdalena Lučanová3,4 & Jitka Klimešová1,5

1 Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Braníšovská 31, CZ-370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic
2 Institute of Experimental Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ-165 02 Praha 6, Czech Republic
3 Faculty of Science, Charles University, Benátská 2, CZ-128 01 Praha 2, Czech Republic
4 Institute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Zámeček 1, CZ-252 43 Průhonice, Czech Republic
5 Department of Functional Ecology, Institute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Dukelská 145, CZ-379 82 Třebon, Czech Republic

Keywords
Colonizer; deglaciation; endophyte; High Arctic; Olpidium; succession.

Abstract
The development of vegetation in Arctic glacier forelands has been described as unidirectional, non-replacement succession characterized by the gradual establishment of species typical for mature tundra with no species turnover. Our study focused on two early colonizers of High Arctic glacier forelands: Saxifraga oppositifolia (Saxifragaceae) and Braya purpurascens (Brassicaceae). While the first species is a common generalist also found in mature old growth tundra communities, the second specializes on disturbed substrate. The demographic population structures of the two study species were investigated along four glacier forelands in Petuniabukta, north Billefjorden, in central Spitsbergen, Svalbard. Young plants of both species occurred exclusively on young substrate, implying that soil conditions are favourable for establishment only before soil crusts develop. We show that while S. oppositifolia persists from pioneer successional stages and is characterized by increased size and flowering, B. purpurascens specializes on disturbed young substrate and does not follow the typical unidirectional, non-replacement succession pattern. Plants at two of the forelands were examined for the presence of root-associated fungi. Fungal genus Olpidium (Fungus incertae sedis) was found along a whole successional gradient in one of the forelands.

Large areas of Arctic and alpine regions have been deglaciated and thus have become open to plant colonization since the end of the Little Ice Age (LIA) about 120 years ago. The boundaries of the last maximum development of glaciers are usually easily distinguished in the field (Rachlewicz et al. 2007), making glacier forelands an outdoor laboratory for the study of plant strategies in cold regions. Colonization of glacier forelands is hindered by a cold and short growing season, small and variable seed production, rough substratum, scarcity of nutrients and organic matter and disturbance by runoff, solifluction, cryoturbation and permafrost (Svoboda & Henry 1987; Chambers 1995; Hodkinson et al. 2003; Moreau et al. 2005; Moreau et al. 2008; Yoshitake et al. 2010).

Plants that are especially successful in primary succession of deglaciated areas are recruited from a pool of stress-tolerant species of cold regions (Caccianiga et al. 2006). These colonizers are dispersed to the deglaciated areas, where seeds germinate at low temperatures and become established (Marcante et al. 2009; Schweinbacher et al. 2012). In contrast to succession on new substrates in temperate regions (e.g., Řehounková & Prach 2010), the early stages of succession in deglaciated areas of the Arctic lack ruderal species characterized by a
short lifespan and large investment into fecundity (Moreau et al. 2008; Moreau et al. 2009; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012). That is, in the temperate alpine regions, pioneer plants rapidly colonize recently deglaciated areas within a few years and are replaced with late-successional plant assemblages in the following few decades of succession (Whittaker 1993; Raffl et al. 2006). In the Arctic, in contrast, pioneer plants take decades to colonize and the colonizers often persist in old tundra communities (Svoboda & Henry 1987; Hodkinson et al. 2003; Moreau et al. 2008; Mori et al. 2008; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012). Owing to a reduced species pool and environmental conditions, early successional specialist species are sparse in the High Arctic (Svoboda & Henry 1987) and/or they persist in old growth tundra on disturbed microsites, so their successional status is blurred.

Positive biotic interactions—for example, mycorrhizal symbioses (Nakatsubo et al. 2010; Fujiyoshi et al. 2011), amelioration of substrate by cyanobacterial crusts (Yoshitake et al. 2010) and accumulated organic matter (Hodkinson et al. 2003)—play an important role in early stages of the succession in this low energy environment. It has been suggested that facilitation and competition are rare due to low plant cover (Nakatsubo et al. 2010). During succession in Arctic deglaciated areas substrates change over time. Initially they can be relatively nutrient rich, then reach a quasi-steady-state of development during the fourth or fifth decade due to low precipitation, which limits the mineral weathering (Kabala & Zapart 2012). Only after 60 years do soils develop (Hodkinson et al. 2003). In conjunction with this substrate succession, several studies have reported changing diversity and frequency of root-associated fungi (e.g., Jumpponen 2003; Cazarés et al. 2005; Fujiyoshi et al. 2011). While arbuscular mycorrhiza is generally considered rare at higher latitudes (Newsham et al. 2009), being only rarely reported from Svalbard (Väre et al. 1992; Öpik et al. 2013), it has been suggested that diverse functional groups of fungi (e.g., dark septate endophytes) could influence nutrient uptake and growth in Arctic plants (Newsham et al. 2009). Ectomycorrhiza and association with dark septate endophytes have repeatedly been observed in the Arctic regions (Kohn & Stasovski 1990; Väre et al. 1992; Fujimura & Egger 2012).

Most pioneer species in the High Arctic are regarded as generalists capable of colonizing young substrate, in addition to other habitats, resulting in the unidirectional, non-replacement succession pattern (Svoboda & Henry 1987; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012). Here, we argue that in addition to these generalists, some pioneer species are specialists restricted to young substrate. The generalist species can be expected to display an increase of size and proportion of flowering individuals (i.e., an ageing pattern) along the successional gradient of time since deglaciation. By contrast, an early-succession specialist should depend more on local conditions and population structure should be more or less independent of the time elapsed since deglaciation. To identify these patterns, we investigated demographic population structures of two pioneer species—a widely spread generalist, Saxifraga oppositifolia, and an assumed specialist, Braya purpurascens—along the successional gradients of four glacier forelands in the Petuniabukta area, northern Billefjorden, Spitsbergen (Fig. 1). Further, to contribute to our understanding of the role of fungal symbioses in succession in the High Arctic, we examined roots of the two species for the presence of root-associated fungi on two of the forelands.

Materials and methods

Study species

Saxifraga oppositifolia (Saxifragaceae) and Braya purpurascens (Brassicaceae) have been reported as pioneer species in glacier foreland succession in Svalbard (Hodkinson et al. 2003; Moreau et al. 2008; Nakatsubo et al. 2010; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012). Saxifraga oppositifolia holds its position up to late stages of succession and is common in old growth tundra. In contrast, B. purpurascens is frequent in initial stages of succession, but its abundance decreases in later stages, becoming limited to disturbed places in old growth tundra (Moreau et al. 2008; Prach et al. 2012).

Saxifraga oppositifolia is a long-lived perennial clonal species, which regenerates both from seeds and vegetative diaspores. In the study area, young plants are prostrate, non-clonal and have a main root. Older plants produce adventitious roots on branches and start to disintegrate from old parts (Klimešová et al. 2012). Branches can be deposited by runoff water and re-root (Hagen 2002). Saxifraga oppositifolia produces a large number of tiny, well-dispersed seeds forming a long-term persistent seed bank (Cooper et al. 2004; Schweinbacher et al. 2010; Müller et al. 2012). The species is facultatively mycorrhizal, forming arbuscular mycorrhiza or can be non-mycorrhizal (Harley & Harley 1987).

Saxifraga oppositifolia displays great morphological, genetic and ploidy variability through its circumpolar Arctic and alpine distribution, including Svalbard (Müller et al. 2012). There are two main morphological forms: prostrate and cushion (Kume et al. 1999; Kume 2003). Most plants in this study were growing on young substrate (less
than 15–20 years) and were too young and small (Fig. 2)—often just a single unbranched shoot—to be classified into a growth form. Nevertheless, the vast majority of older plants (ca. 95%) clearly belonged to the prostrate form, with only five clear cushion-type plants found at sites 2–5 of the Sven foreland.

*Braya purpurascens* (syn. *Braya glabella* subsp. *purpurascens*) is restricted to the Arctic. It is a non-clonal plant with a perennial main root and one to several leaf rosettes and flowering stems (Klimešová et al. 2012; Fig. 3). Bledsoe et al. (1990) found no fungal colonization on roots for this species.

**Data collection**

Data on both study species were collected on forelands of four glaciers—Ferdinand, Hørbye, Ragnar and Sven, in Petuniabukta, northern Billefjorden, central Spitsbergen, Svalbard—in late July through early August 2011. A transect was established on each glacier foreland beginning at the closest occurrence of either of the studied species to the glacier front. The transects were ca. 1500 m long in the cases of the Ferdinand, Hørbye, Ragnar forelands, while the transect on the Sven foreland ended on the oldest LIA moraine. Using a global positioning system device, series of plots were placed along each time-since-deglaciation gradient at distances of 100, 300, 700 and 1500 m from the starting point (Fig. 1b). Plants were sampled from central parts of those plots until about 20 plants were sampled. Therefore, the sampled area was affected by the number of plant individuals on a site and plot size varied from about 100 m$^2$ to several thousand m$^2$. For each foreland, the age since deglaciation was derived from dates of glacier retreat presented by Rachlewicz et al. (2007; Fig. 2).

Up to 20 plants of each species were sampled at each site to determine the demographic structure of the populations. *Saxifraga oppositifolia* was the most frequent species and 20 individuals were sampled at most sites. In contrast, *Braya purpurascens* was in low abundance and rather scattered at most sites. Therefore, the *B. purpurascens* data set does not cover the whole glacier forelands in most cases and less than 20 individuals were sampled at many sites.

The following traits of *S. oppositifolia* individuals were measured: size of plant (product of length of the longest axis of a tuft and that orthogonal to it) and reproductive status of the plant (flowering/fruiting vs. sterile). For *B. purpurascens*, the reproductive status of the plant (flowering/fruiting vs. sterile) and number of sterile and fertile rosettes per individual were counted. The tuft size, number of rosettes or proportion of flowering individuals...
represent the demography of the population integrating age of plant individuals and variation in growth rates at different sites (Gatsuk et al. 1980).

Roots of five randomly chosen individuals of both species were collected at Ragnar and Hørbye forelands at the youngest inhabited site, at 300 m and 1500 m distant sampling sites. While *S. oppositifolia* individuals occurred at all the sampling sites, *B. purpurascens* was missing from the initial point and the 300 m distant site at Ragnar. Roots were washed, silica gel-dried for transport, and subsequently re-hydrated and stained by Chlorazol Black E following the procedure in Šmilauerová et al. (2012). Ten randomly chosen roots per species and sampling site were inspected by light microscopy.

### Statistical analyses

Patterns of demographic population structure (i.e., size and reproductive status of plant individuals) of both study species along the gradients of the age since deglaciation were assessed by a series of generalized linear and non-linear models. Due to different shapes of the gradients on the four forelands, each foreland was analysed separately, though the data and graphical representation of the models were subsequently embedded in single figures. *Saxifraga oppositifolia* size was analysed by non-linear hyperbolic models with the following equation:

\[
\text{size} = \frac{a}{b + \text{age}} + c,
\]

where \(a\), \(b\) and \(c\) are parameters defining the shape of the relationship and age is the site age since deglaciation as derived from Rachlewicz et al. (2007). The size was log_{10} transformed prior to the analysis. This model assumes a greater size difference between plots in the young part of the age gradient than in the old part and an asymptote to which size will converge with the age. The asymptote is represented by the parameter \(c\) of the model. In addition, the projected intercept of the hyperbola with the x-axis (i.e., estimated age of the colonization start; back-transformed size \(10^\frac{a}{c}/b\)) is fitted by the model and corresponds to \(\text{age}_0 = -\frac{ac}{b}\). Reproductive status of both *S. oppositifolia* and *B. purpurascens* was analysed by binomial generalized linear models. The demographic population structure of *B. purpurascens*, based on numbers of plants differing in reproductive status (flowering vs. sterile) and number of rosettes (single vs. multiple), was visualized by bar-plots and analysed by goodness-of-fit test as contingency tables where possible. All statistical analyses were conducted in R version 2.13.2 (R Development Core Team 2011).
Results

While both species occurred on the glacier forelands there was variability in their abundance, shoot architecture and size (Figs. 2, 3). Observed at all 20 sites, *Saxifraga oppositifolia* was confirmed as an omnipresent pioneer on the glacier forelands in Petuniabukta. We detected a clear relationship between the average size of *S. oppositifolia* plants and the time since site deglaciation (Figs. 4, 5, Table 1). Characterized by an initial steep increase of size but reaching a plateau on sites ca. 20 years older that the youngest occurrence (Fig. 5), this pattern was similar among the forelands but there were notable differences in the projected intercepts of model hyperbolas with the age axis, that is, estimated start of the colonization.

The estimated start of colonization varied among the forelands (Table 1). At young sites (9–26 years since deglaciation), *S. oppositifolia* plants were just simple shoots a few centimetres long. These small seedlings (Fig. 2a; size less than two on the log-scale corresponding to cover ca. 1 cm²) were frequent at most sites younger than 20 years (Fig. 4). Only rarely did these plants also occur at older sites (Ragnar foreland: sites 2 and 3 with two and one individuals, respectively; Sven foreland: site 4 with one individual). Small sterile plants (Fig. 2b; size between two and three on the log-scale, corresponding to cover of ca. 1–10 cm²) were common. They were most frequent at young sites and were absent at the oldest sites of Ferdinand and Hørbye forelands. Plants of intermediate size (Fig. 2c; size between three and four on the log-scale, corresponding to cover of ca. 10–100 cm²) were common along the whole gradients with the exception of Hørbye, Ragnar and Sven youngest sites. Large plants (Fig. 2d, e; size larger than four on the log-scale corresponding to cover exceeding ca. 100 cm²) were common or prevailing at older sites but were still present in low numbers at most of the youngest sites. The proportion of flowering individuals in the populations increased with age since deglaciation (Table 2). Plants growing on the youngest sites were always sterile, with sparse flowering recorded at slightly older sites (ca. 20 years since deglaciation). Approximately 50% of the plants were flowering at sites older than 50 years.

*Braya purpurascens* occurred in scattered populations, and was missing from six of the 20 sampling sites and only one individual was found at three sites. As a result the *B. purpurascens* data set is less comprehensive than that for *S. oppositifolia*. Nonetheless, some inferences can be made. Both species were able to colonize young sites. *Braya purpurascens* did not show clear patterns of demographic structure across the foreland gradients (Fig. 6). The youngest cohort of sterile, single rosette plants (Fig. 3a) and the most advanced flowering, multiple rosette (Fig. 3c) cohort co-occurred at most sites (although the flowering multi-rosette plants were missing from the youngest sites of Ferdinand and Hørbye;
There was a positive relationship between the occurrence of flowering and the age since deglaciation on the Ferdinand and Hørbye gradients; see Fig. 6 for the increasing proportion of the flowering multi-rosette plants (see also Table 2).

No arbuscular mycorrhizal structures were detected in the roots of both species. Both were free from infection at the Hørbye foreland. However, roots of both species contained resting and ripe sporangia typical of Olpidium (Fig. 7) on the Ragnar foreland. These structures were found at the three sampling sites (including the youngest succession stage) in S. oppositifolia roots and also in B. purpurascens roots at the oldest site (B. purpurascens was absent from the other two sampling sites).

**Discussion**

The detected patterns in the demography of the widely distributed generalist Saxifraga oppositifolia support the expected pattern of increasing size and proportion of flowering individuals along the successional gradients. By contrast, no such trends were found in Braya purpurascens. Large flowering individuals of this species could be found at old and young sites (except for the youngest sites). Its scattered distribution on the forelands suggests this short-lived species is influenced by other environmental factors besides age since deglaciation. Together with its absence from mature tundra (our field observations and Moreau et al. 2009), these findings suggest B. purpurascens as a distinct specialized pioneer that deviates from the unidirectional, non-replacement succession pattern in the Arctic (Svoboda & Henry 1987; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012).

To the best of our knowledge, there is only one study evaluating size structure of plant population along a successional gradient in an Arctic glacier foreland. Mori et al. (2008) analysed demographic population structure of Salix arctica on a successional gradient spanning 35,000 years on Ellesmere Island, in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. Despite the long time...
span of succession, the vegetation was very sparse, and small individuals of *Salix arctica* prevailed along the whole gradient despite increasing density and mean size of the individuals. Another study with which we can compare our results comes from an alpine area in southern Norway (Whittaker 1993). The author analysed size structure of six pioneer species and four late-successional shrubs. All but one showed ageing populations, where size correlated with age since deglaciation. Smallest plants prevailed in young successional stages with abundance decreasing, or plants were completely absent with increasing competition in later successional stages. The only exception was *Oxyria digyna*, a snow-bed specialist showing a variable size structure due to its special habitat demands (Whittaker 1993).

Our sites in Svalbard have higher precipitation than Ellesmere Island, but are drier and have shorter growing seasons than southern Norway (Serreze & Barry 2005). Therefore, it would be anticipated that successional processes in Svalbard would be shorter than Ellesmere and longer than southern Norway. Indeed, succession to a closed tundra community is estimated to take about 2000 years in Svalbard (Hodkinson et al. 2003). Although the glacier forelands in our study were characterized by sparse vegetation, the species studied were establishing successfully on new substrate whereas on older substrate, we did not find new seedlings (*S. oppositifolia*) or they were restricted to disturbed sites (*B. purpusascens*). Hence, the new substrate seems more beneficial for the establishment of these species than the old substrate, although the seed bank and seed rain increase with age (Cooper et al. 2004).

**Table 1** Summary of non-linear hyperbolic models describing the patterns in size of *Saxifraga oppositifolia* individuals on the gradients of the age since deglaciation on the individual glacier forelands. The hyperbola parameter $c$ corresponds to the asymptote to which size converges with the age; $\text{age}_0 = -\frac{a}{c}$ is the projected intercept between the hyperbolas and the x axis, which corresponds to an estimate of the start of the colonization.

| Glacier | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | age$_0$ | $r^2$ | $F$ | df | p       |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Ferdinand | -27.68 | 4.57 | 5.16 | 0.8 | 0.23 | 9.54 | 3.97 | $<10^{-4}$ |
| Hørby | -7.77 | -5.61 | 4.55 | 7.3 | 0.52 | 35.61 | 3.97 | $<10^{-6}$ |
| Ragnar | -4.8 | -13.86 | 4.15 | 15.0 | 0.50 | 32.74 | 3.97 | $<10^{-4}$ |
| Sven | -7.06 | -23.00 | 4.11 | 24.7 | 0.56 | 41.28 | 3.97 | $<10^{-6}$ |

*a*For this parameter, a minimum limit of $-23.00$ was set manually to prevent an unrealistic behaviour of the model.

---

**Fig. 5** Patterns of *Saxifraga oppositifolia* size on the gradients of the age since deglaciation. Filled points represent mean values within study sites; error bars represent one standard error. See Table 1 for summaries of non-linear models describing the relationship between *S. oppositifolia* size and the years elapsed since deglaciation.
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B. purpurascens have become established (as observed by: Hodkinson et al. 2003, Moreau et al. 2005; Moreau et al. 2008; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012). We could therefore speculate that the two studied species need a fresh substrate without soil crust for seed establishment whereas later colonizing species may be unaffected or

Table 2  Summary of generalized linear models describing proportions of flowering individuals in Saxifraga oppositifolia and Braya purpurascens populations on the gradients of the age since deglaciation on individual glacier forelands. P-values are based on comparisons of the deviance values with a χ² distribution with one degree of freedom.

| Glacier  | Explained deviance (%) | Deviance | Regression slope | p     | Explained deviance (%) | Deviance | Regression slope | p     |
|----------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|------------------------|---------|------------------|-------|
| Ferdinand| 9.1                    | 12.20   | 0.023            | < 0.001 | 9.8                    | 5.88    | 0.008            | 0.015 |
| Harbye   | 10.7                   | 10.74   | 0.060            | < 0.002 | 6.5                    | 6.37    | 0.010            | 0.012 |
| Ragnar   | 10.7                   | 10.42   | 0.029            | < 0.002 | Non-significant         | p = 0.13 | 0.010            | 0.012 |
| Sven     | 13.9                   | 19.27   | 0.038            | < 10⁻⁴ | Non-significant         | p = 0.25 | 0.010            | 0.012 |

*The generalized linear model for B. purpurascens on the Ferdinand foreland is affected by an occurrence of just a single flowering plant at the oldest site of the foreland (Fig. 6). If this plant is omitted from the analysis, the result is still significant (explained deviance = 7.2%, regression slope = 0.057, p = 0.040).

B. purpurascens have become established (as observed by: Hodkinson et al. 2003, Moreau et al. 2005; Moreau et al. 2008; Prach & Rachlewicz 2012). We could therefore speculate that the two studied species need a fresh substrate without soil crust for seed establishment whereas later colonizing species may be unaffected or

Fig. 6  Bar-plots displaying population structure of Braya purpurascens at individual sites on the glacier forelands under study. Each glacier foreland is represented by a row of plots. Braya purpurascens plants were classified to following classes: sterile single rosette (SS), sterile multiple rosettes (SM), flowering single rosette (FS) and flowering multiple rosettes (FM). Reconstructed ages—expressed as years since deglaciation (ysd)—are given for each site. Contingency table-based tests of differences in population structure between sites within forelands: Ferdinand: χ² = 17.4, df = 4, p < 0.002 (sites 1, 2 and 4 were only considered, FS category was omitted due to absence at site), Harbye: χ² = 17.7, df = 9, p < 0.05 (sites 2–4 were considered), Ragnar not tested due to representative population occurring only at site 5, Sven: χ² = 3.7, df = 6, p = 0.71 (sites 2–4 were considered).
positively affected by soil crust. Diverse effects of soil crusts on seedling establishment are documented in the literature from warm desert conditions (Godinez-Alvarez et al. 2012) but only a positive effect is reported or expected from cold desert sites (Bliss & Gold 1999; Cooper 2011). Soil crust changes environmental conditions by increasing concentration of carbon, nitrogen, moisture and temperature, decreasing pH, and preventing soil churning in comparison with crust-free soil (Gold 1998; Hodkinson et al. 2003). Other studies, however, report cooling of the soil surface due to the soil crust and no effect of increasing nutrient availability on vascular plants (Gold et al. 2001). It is apparent that further research on soil crust ecology and vegetation development, along the lines of Yoshitake et al. (2010) and Moreau et al. (2005), in the pioneer stages of succession in Arctic glacier forelands is required.

Symbiotic associations with mycorrhizal fungi and dark septate endophytes are important for plants growing in nutrient-poor ecosystems (e.g., Newsham et al. 2009). However, they are perhaps not essential given the absence of these fungal mutualists in the roots of these pioneer species growing on recently deglaciated soils. Instead, structures of zoosporic fungal genus *Olpidium* comprising biotrophic pathogens were found. The genus *Olpidium*, formerly placed among Chytridiomycota, now has an uncertain phylogenetic position and is likely related to former Zygomycota (Sekimoto et al. 2011). Our finding add to evidence of the frequent occurrence of zoosporic fungi (including former Chytridiomycota) in high elevation or recently deglaciated Arctic soils (e.g., Jumpponen 2003; Schmidt et al. 2012).

Our study shows that *B. purpurascens* specializes on new or disturbed substrate lacking soil crust. While *S. oppositifolia* also establishes itself on new substrate, because of its longevity it persists in old growth tundra. These results imply that different plant strategies in primary succession can be recognized in the High Arctic, although they are probably only represented by a few species.
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