Inhibition of AMP-activated Protein Kinase α (AMPKα) by Doxorubicin Accentuates Genotoxic Stress and Cell Death in Mouse Embryonic Fibroblasts and Cardiomyocytes

ROLE OF p53 AND SIRT1*

Received for publication, October 20, 2011, and in revised form, January 14, 2012 Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 20, 2012 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M111.315812

Shaobin Wang‡§, Ping Song‡¶, and Ming-Hui Zou*¶†

From the ‡Division of Molecular Medicine, §Department of Biochemistry and Medicine, ¶Department of Physiology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104

Background: Doxorubicin produces genotoxic stress and p53 activation in both carcinoma and non-carcinoma cells.

Results: AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) inhibition by doxorubicin causes p53 accumulation and SIRT1 dysfunction.

Conclusion: AMPK regulates p53.

Significance: Pharmacological activation of AMPK might alleviate the side effects of doxorubicin.

Doxorubicin, an anthracycline antibiotic, is widely used in cancer treatment. Doxorubicin produces genotoxic stress and p53 activation in both carcinoma and non-carcinoma cells. Although its side effects in non-carcinoma cells, especially in heart tissue, are well known, the molecular targets of doxorubicin are poorly characterized. Here, we report that doxorubicin inhibits AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) resulting in SIRT1 dysfunction and p53 accumulation. Spontaneously immortalized mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) or H9C2 cardiomyocyte were exposed to doxorubicin at different doses and durations. Cell death and p53, SIRT1, and AMPK levels were examined by Western blot. In MEFs, doxorubicin inhibited AMPK activation, increased cell death, and induced robust p53 accumulation. Genetic deletion of AMPKα1 reduced NAD+ levels and SIRT1 activity and significantly increased the levels of p53 and cell death. Pre-activation of AMPK by 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside or transfection with an adenovirus encoding a constitutively active AMPK (AMPK-CA) markedly reduced the effects of doxorubicin in MEFs from Ampkα1 knock-out mice. Conversely, pre-inhibition of Ampk further sensitized MEFs to doxorubicin-induced cell death. Genetic knockdown of p53 protected both wild-type and Ampkα1−/− MEFs from doxorubicin-induced cell death. p53 accumulation in Ampkα1−/− MEFs was reversed by SIRT1 activation by resveratrol. Taken together, these data suggest that AMPK inhibition by doxorubicin causes p53 accumulation and SIRT1 dysfunction in MEFs and further suggest that pharmacological activation of AMPK might alleviate the side effects of doxorubicin.

Doxorubicin is an anthracycline antibiotic that is used widely in treatment of cancers, including leukemia, prostate cancer, and breast cancer. The significant side effects to non-carcinoma cells, especially cardiomyocytes, limit its clinical utilization (1). The pathophysiological mechanism of doxorubicin-induced tissue injury is poorly understood. Reactive oxygen species have been reported to play an important role in doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy (2, 3), and several antioxidants have been shown to reduce doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in animal experiments (2, 4). However, antioxidant supplementation has only a very limited protective effect on doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in clinically relevant chronic animal models or clinical trials (5, 6). These results suggest that other mechanisms may be involved in doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity, and recently, researchers suggest that toxicity associated with doxorubicin is mediated by the p53 protein (7, 8).

p53 is well known as a tumor suppressor that acts as a sequence-specific transcription factor to control cellular proliferation or apoptosis by regulating wide variety of genes, including p21/WAF1, BAX, PUMA, and NOXA (9, 10). p53 also plays a key role in regulating cellular stress responses (11) and in cell survival under stressful conditions. p53 facilitates the transient adaptation of cells to multiple stresses, including UV-induced DNA damage, by increasing DNA repair on cell cycle arrest (12, 13). In the cytoplasm, p53 has a transcript-independent role in regulating cellular apoptosis and metabolism (14). The p53 protein has a fast turnover rate and is maintained at low levels at normal physiological conditions. Under conditions of cellular stress, such as genotoxic stress, p53 is stabilized and accumulates. Consistent with its broad and sometimes contradictory functions, the regulation of p53 activation and stabilization is complex. In addition to protein stability, p53 regulatory mechanisms include phosphorylation, methylation, and acetylation (15). Multiple modifications of p53 are thought to be required for its transcript regulation function (16). Recent research has highlighted the importance of acetylation modification on p53 function, stabilization, and subcellular localization, and the class III NAD+–dependent deacetylase SIRT1 has been...
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reported to play a key role in p53 acetylation levels (17). The outcomes of p53 induction or stabilization are determined by the cell type, intensity of stress, and the genetic background (18).

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a heterotrimeric serine/threonine protein kinase complex that includes a catalytic α-subunit and regulatory β- and γ-subunits (19). AMPK is a well known energy sensor whose activity is regulated by energy status (20, 21). Several upstream kinases, including LKB1, CaMKKβ, and TAK1, activate AMPK by phosphorylation of a threonine residue (Thr172) in the kinase domain of the α-subunit (22). Beside its role in maintaining cellular or whole body energy homeostasis, AMPK is reported to play important roles in the genotoxic stress response and in the regulation of apoptosis (23). Activated AMPK is reported to directly phosphorylate p53 at Ser15 in response to glucose deprivation (24). However, the role of AMPK activation in the induced genotoxic stress response and its underlying mechanisms are not clear.

We previously reported that AMPKα1 is required for erythrocite survival (25) and that AMPK is important for the survival of endothelial cells under conditions of hypoxia and energy deprivation (26). Here, we use a genetically modified cellular model to investigate the roles of AMPK in the genotoxic stress response. We report that doxorubicin inhibited AMPK activation, resulting in accumulation of p53. We find that AMPK activation protects non-carcinoma cells from genotoxic stress, and NAD+/NADH ratio were determined using Bio-Vision’s NAD+/NADPH quantification kit (Mountain View, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Mitochondria Labeling and Immunocytochemical Staining— Mitochondria were labeled with BacMam 2.0 CellLight Mito-RFP (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The day after Mito-RFP labeling, cells were trypsinized, seeded on sterilized glass coverslips, and fixed by 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS. After rinsing, cells were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100. After blocking the coverslips with 10% goat-serum, cells were incubated with mouse-anti p53 primary antibody at 4 °C overnight. Cells were then incubated with Dylight 488 anti-mouse IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), and the nucleus was stained with DAPI. The coverslips were mounted and images were captured using an Olympus BX51 microscope and DP-2BSW software.

mRNA Isolation and Semiquantitative RT-PCR—Total mRNA was isolated and purified using the RNasy mini kit from Qiagen (Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized from isolated mRNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad), as described by the manufacturer. RT-PCR was performed using 1 μl of first-strand cDNA as template with specific primers for p53 (5’-GGGA AAT TTG TAT CCC GAG TAT CTT-3’, 5’-GTC TTC CAG TGT GAT GAT GGT AA-3’) and β-actin (5’-CCT GAA CCC TAA GGC CAA CC-3’, 5’-GCA ATG CCT GGG TAC ATG GT-3’). Amplification was performed using the Mastercycler Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf) in a 20-μl mixture under following conditions: 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 2 min. Expression of the β-actin housekeeping gene was used as a control. PCR products were analyzed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.
Adenoviral Infection—A replication-defective adenoviral vector expressing green fluorescent protein (Ad-GFP) served as a control for all adenoviral experiments. AMPK-CA adenoviral vector was generated as described previously (30). MEFs were infected with Ad-GFP or Ad-AMPK-CA overnight in medium supplemented with 10% FBS. These conditions typically produced an infection efficiency of at least 80% as determined by GFP expression.

siRNA Transfection in MEFs—Mouse p53 siRNA and control siRNA were obtained from Santz Cruz Biotechnology, and transient siRNA transfection was carried out according to the Santa Cruz Biotechnology protocol. Briefly, p53 siRNA and control siRNA were dissolved in double distilled H2O to prepare a 10 μmol/liter stock solution. Wild-type and Ampk−/− MEFs grown in six-well plates were transfected with siRNA in Opti-MEM (Invitrogen) containing RNAiMax (Invitrogen). For each transfection, 250 μl of transfection medium containing 5 μg of siRNA was gently mixed with 250 μl of transfection medium containing 5 μl of transfection reagent. After a 20-min incubation at room temperature, the mixture was added to cells in 2 ml of culture medium and cultured for 48 h before treatment.

p53 Stable Knockdown Cell Line Set Up—Mouse-specific p53 shRNA and control shRNA lentiviral particles were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The lentivirus vector-based RNAi approach was used to knockdown p53 in wild-type and Ampk−/− MEFs according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, MEFs seeded in 24-well plates were infected with p53-shRNA lentiviral particles and control-shRNA lentiviral particles. Multiple independent clones were isolated and expanded in the complete growth medium containing puromycin (2.5 μg/ml). shRNA expression efficiency was analyzed by Western blot.

Statistical Analysis—The two-tailed Student’s t test or two-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni post hoc analysis was used to determine statistical differences between various experimental and control groups. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

AMPKα1 Is Predominant Isoform in Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast Cells—AMPKα1 is reported to be the predominant isoform of AMPKα in major cell types and tissues, whereas AMPKα2 is reported to be the predominant isoform expressed in skeletal muscle and cardiomyocytes (31). The expression of AMPKα1 and AMPKα2 in MEFs has not been reported. Here, we report that AMPKα1 is the predominant isoform of AMPKα in murine embryonic fibroblast cells, as demonstrated by Western blot. Using antibodies specific for either AMPKα1 or AMPKα2, we confirmed that both AMPKα1 and AMPKα2 are...
expressed in MEFs (Fig. 1A). We proceeded to use an antibody that recognizes both AMPKα1 and AMPKα2 isoforms. As shown in Fig. 1A, AMPKα was largely absent, as expected, in MEFs from Ampkα1−/− mice, whereas there was no significant difference in the expression of total AMPKα between Ampkα2−/− and wild-type MEFs. Quantitative analysis (Fig. 1B) indicated that AMPKα2 and AMPKα1 account for ~20 and 80% of AMPKα MEFs, respectively. These results indicate that AMPKα2 is a minor AMPKα isoform in MEFs. Our subsequent experiments were mainly focused on the Ampkα1−/− deletion in MEFs.

**Prolonged Doxorubicin Treatment Inhibits AMPK in MEF**—Next, we determined the effects of doxorubicin on AMPK in MEFs. As depicted in Fig. 1, C and D, doxorubicin inhibited AMPK phosphorylation at Thr172 after 16 h of treatment. The Ser79 phosphorylation level of acetyl-CoA carboxylase, a well-characterized downstream target of AMPK, was concomitantly reduced (Fig. 1, C and D).

**AMPK Activation Protects from Doxorubicin-induced Apoptosis**—As expected, doxorubicin induced cellular apoptosis in wild-type MEFs (Fig. 1E). Pre-activation of AMPK by the pharmacological activator 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside protected wild-type MEFs from doxorubicin-induced apoptosis, whereas inhibition of AMPK by compound C, a specific pharmacological inhibitor, further enhanced doxorubicin-induced apoptosis (Fig. 1E).

**Ampkα1 Deletion Sensitizes MEFs to Genotoxic Stress-induced Cell Death and Increased p53 Levels**—Consistent with the above observation, genetic deletion of Ampkα1 led to higher cell apoptosis even under normal growth conditions (Fig. 2A). Ampkα1−/− MEFs were much more sensitive to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis as indicated by increased levels of the apoptosis marker caspase-3 in Ampkα1−/− MEFs (Fig. 2B). The morphology changes after doxorubicin treatment (Fig. 2C) further confirmed that Ampkα1−/− MEFs were much more sensitive to doxorubicin-induced genotoxic stress than wild-type MEFs.

**Activation of AMPK in MEFs Protects Cells from Doxorubicin-induced Cell Death and p53 Induction**—Because the p53-p21 pathway plays an important role in controlling cellular proliferation, the genotoxic stress response, and cellular survival (32), we examined the expression of p53 and p21 in MEFs. As expected, p53 protein was detected at very low levels in wild-type MEFs under normal conditions but showed dramatic accumulation, associated with significant induction of p21, when cells were treated with doxorubicin (Fig. 3A). In Ampkα1−/− MEFs, even under normal culture conditions, there was a higher amount of p53 expressed and much lower p21 expression compared with wild-type control cells (Fig. 3A).

To confirm these results, we transfected MEFs with the AMPK-CA adenovirus. Our results confirmed that activation of AMPK increased p53 phosphorylation at Ser15 (Fig. 3C) and
that AMPK-CA transfection lowered p53 protein levels and protected both wild-type and Ampkα−/− MEFs from doxorubicin-induced apoptosis (Fig. 3, C and D). Taken together, these results indicate that AMPK inactivation by doxorubicin might play a causative role in doxorubicin-induced cellular apoptosis in normal, non-carcinoma cells.

*p53 Half-life Is Increased in Ampkα−/− MEFs—*Because no significant difference in p53 gene expression levels was found between Ampkα−/− MEFs and wild-type MEFs (Fig. 4A), we hypothesized that p53 should be stabilized in Ampkα−/− MEFs. We used cycloheximide to block protein synthesis and measure the half-life of p53. The half-life of p53 in wild-type MEFs was 15 min, which is consistent with previous findings (33). However, the half-life of p53 in Ampkα−/− MEFs was prolonged dramatically to 12 h (Fig. 4, B–E).

*p53 Is Stabilized in Ampkα−/− MEFs Due to Increased Acetylation and Decreased Degradation—*The stability of p53 is regulated by multiple post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation and acetylation (34). Phosphorylation of p53 at serine 15 is not only critical for p53 activation but also is reported to disrupt the MDM2 and p53 interaction to stabilize p53 during the DNA damage response (35). The ataxia-telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) protein kinase has a fundamental role in the DNA damage response and is rapidly and specifically activated in response to DNA double-strand breaks (36). As shown in Fig. 3B, when cells were treated with doxorubicin, the phosphorylation level of ATM increased, and the Ser15 phosphorylation level of p53 decreased in Ampkα−/− MEFs compared with wild-type controls. These findings indicate higher DNA damage levels are associated with defective p53 function in Ampkα−/− MEFs. However, we have shown that phosphorylation of Ser15 of p53 is actually decreased in Ampkα−/− MEFs and that p53 was stabilized. Thus, there must be another mechanism(s) leading to the increased stability of p53 when AMPKα is absent.

Modification by acetylation is another important mechanism to regulate the stability of p53 (37) and is regulated by NAD+-dependent SIRT1 activation (38, 39). We tested the acetylation levels of p53 using a specific antibody for Lys379 in p53. As shown in Fig. 5A, acetyl-p53 levels were dramatically increased in Ampkα−/− MEFs. Inhibition of AMPK by compound C also caused an increase in p53 acetylation levels in wild-type cells treated with doxorubicin (Fig. 5B).
Decreased NAD+/NADH Ratio in Ampkα−/− MEFs—Activation of SIRT1 is dependent on intracellular NAD⁺ content and NAD⁺/NADH ratios (40), and AMPK activation is reported to increase NAD⁺ content and change intracellular NAD⁺/NADH ratios (41). Our experimental results support this relationship as the NAD⁺ content and NAD⁺/NADH ratio were decreased significantly in Ampkα−/− MEFs compared with wild-type MEFs (Fig. 5, C–E).

SIRT1 Activation with Resveratrol Decreases p53 Levels in Ampkα−/− MEFs—We also treated cells with the SIRT1 activator resveratrol, which significantly decreased p53 levels in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 5, F and G). More importantly, pretreatment of cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 strongly blocked the resveratrol-induced decrease in p53 levels in Ampkα−/− MEFs (Fig. 5 H).

Cytoplasmic Localization of p53 in Ampkα−/− MEFs—Because the cytoplasmic localization of p53 is reported to play an important role in the induction of cellular apoptosis by a mechanism that is independent of its role as a transcription factor, we investigated the subcellular distribution of p53. As shown in Fig. 6A, immunocytochemistry staining indicated that some p53 was localized in cytoplasm even in mitochondria in Ampkα−/− MEFs, whereas in wild-type MEFs, p53 was not detectable under normal culture condition (data not shown). Western blot analysis of subcellular fractions further confirmed the cytoplasmic localization of p53 in Ampkα−/− MEFs (Fig. 6B).

Knockdown of p53 in Ampkα−/− MEFs Protects Cells from Genotoxic Stress-induced Cell Apoptosis—To investigate the role of p53 accumulation in cellular apoptosis, we knocked down p53 expression in MEFs using a specific siRNA. As shown in Fig. 6, C and D, p53 knockdown partially protected Ampkα−/− MEFs from genotoxic stress-induced apoptosis when compared with control siRNA-transfected cells. We also generated p53 stable knockdown cells using shRNA lentivirus infection followed by puromycin (2.5 μg/ml) selection, and similar results were obtained with silencing of p53 by shRNA (Fig. 6, E and F). Morphology changes in wild-type and Ampkα−/− MEFs transfected with control shRNA or p53 shRNA provide further support for the role of p53 (Fig. 6G).

Doxorubicin Inhibits Phosphorylation of AMPK and Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase, Increases Levels of p53, and Promotes Apoptosis in Cardiomyocytes—Because the major side effect of doxorubicin is cardiomyopathy, we next determined the effects of doxorubicin on AMPK, p53, and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes. To this end, H9C2 cardiomyocytes were incubated with doxorubicin (1 μM) for 1 to 16 h. As depicted in Fig. 7A, short exposure (1 h) of H9C2 to doxorubicin slightly increased the phosphorylation of AMPK at Thr172 and acetyl-CoA carboxylase at Ser79 without altering the levels of p53, cleaved caspase-3, and cleaved poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP). However, increased incubation time to 4 h inhibited the phosphorylation of both AMPK and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Fig. 7A). Prolonged incubation of H9C2 to doxorubicin (>16 h) markedly reduced...
**FIGURE 5. Increased acetylation and decreased degradation of p53 in Ampkα1−/− MEFs due to defective SIRT1 activation.**

A, increased acetylation of p53 (Ace-p53) and total p53 levels in Ampkα1−/− MEFs compared with wild-type MEFs. B, inhibition of AMPK by compound C (CmpC) increased the doxorubicin (Dox)-induced acetylation of p53 in wild-type MEFs. C, intracellular NAD⁺ content (**, p < 0.01; n = 6 in each group). D, NADH levels in MEFs. E, calculated NAD⁺/NADH ratio (**, p < 0.01; n = 6 in each group). F, p53 protein levels after resveratrol treatment in Ampkα1−/− MEFs for 16 h at the indicated dose. G, p53 protein levels after resveratrol treatment in Ampkα1−/− MEFs at 100 μM for indicated time points. H, MG132 blocks the effect of resveratrol in decreasing p53 levels in Ampkα1−/− MEFs. Ctrl, control; p-ACC, phospho-acetyl-CoA carboxylase; AICAR, 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside.
FIGURE 6. Cytoplasmic localization of p53 in Ampkα1−/− MEFs and genetic silencing of p53 partially protect Ampkα1−/− MEFs from doxorubicin-induced apoptosis. A, Ampkα1−/− MEFs were labeled with mito-RFP (red) and then stained with anti-p53 (green) and DAPI (blue). The yellow shading in the merged image indicates mitochondria-localized p53 (phase contrast image; magnification, 40×). B, an obvious increase in cytoplasmic (Cyto) localization of p53 is seen in Ampkα1−/− MEFs compared with wild-type controls. C and D, transient silencing of p53 by siRNA in wild-type (C) and Ampkα1−/− (D) MEFs protects cells against doxorubicin (Dox)-induced apoptosis. E and F, stable knockdown of p53 by shRNA lentiviral particles protect MEFs from doxorubicin-induced apoptosis. G, representative phase contrast images of MEFs after doxorubicin (1 μM) treatment in wild-type and Ampkα1−/− MEFs. Ctrl, control; Nucl, nucleus; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase.
the levels of p-AMPK and phospho-acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Fig. 7A). Consistently, doxorubicin markedly increased the levels of p53 with increased detection of cleaved caspase-3 after 16 h incubation (Fig. 7A).

Next, we examined the dose-dependent effects of doxorubicin on H9C2 cardiomyocytes. H9C2 cells were exposed to doxorubicin (0.25 to 2 μM) for 16 h. Exposure of H9C2 to doxorubicin (0.25 to 2 μM) dose-dependently inhibited both p-AMPK and phospho-acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Fig. 7B). Similarly, doxorubicin (0.25 to 2 μM) markedly increased the detection of p53 in H9C2 (Fig. 7B). This increase of p53 was paralleled with increased detection of cleaved caspase-3 and cleaved poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase in H9C2. Taken together, our results suggest that increased p53 and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes might be linked to doxorubicin-induced AMPK inhibition.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we unveiled a novel mechanism by which AMPKα can modulate p53 accumulation and contribute to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis in non-carcinoma cells. Our results suggest that AMPK activation, via SIRT1 activation, protects non-carcinoma cells from doxorubicin-induced cellular apoptosis by modulation of p53 function and stability.

There are several lines of evidence supporting the key role of AMPK inhibition in the toxicity of doxorubicin in non-carcinoma cells. First, in wild-type MEF cells, we found that doxorubicin had an inhibitory effect on AMPK activation (Fig. 1C), consistent with studies in rat heart tissues (42). Second, the MEFs isolated from Ampkα1−/− mice showed increased apoptosis when exposed to doxorubicin compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 2, B and C), indicating that AMPKα1 is important for a normal genotoxic stress response. Notably, we observed that doxorubicin might activates AMPK at initial stage (≤4 h), but it did not induce cell apoptosis under short time treatment under our current conditions (Fig. 7, A and B), similar results were reported by other groups (43, 44), which might be due to a transient increase in reactive oxygen species levels after adding doxorubicin (44). But the detailed mechanism of this transient activation of AMPK by doxorubicin is unknown, which warrants further investigation. The ATM protein kinase has a fundamental role in the DNA damage response and is rapidly and specifically activated in response to DNA double-strand breaks (36). ATM activation induces a two-phase dynamics of p53 in the DNA damage response, which is believed to play a key role in the fate decision between cell survival and death (45). Fig. 3B shows that Ampkα1−/− cells had a higher level of phosphorylated ATM (phosphorylated at serine 428), which is consistent with the increased sensitivity of these cells to doxorubicin-induced DNA damage. Overall, our results demonstrate a protective role of AMPK against doxorubicin-induced cellular apoptosis in MEFs.

p53 plays an essential role in cellular stress response pathways (46). It has a dual role in cellular fate decisions, possessing both anti-apoptotic and proapoptotic functions, which can be dependent or independent on its transcriptional function (47, 48). It is believed that p53 accumulation is essential for doxorubicin-induced cellular death (49) and doxorubicin-induced p53 accumulation might be through ATM-dependent pathway (50). Consistent with its multiple functions, the intracellular protein levels of p53 and its activities are regulated tightly by complicated pathways, including transcriptional regulation and post-translational modifications (16). AMPK is activated under stressful conditions and the function of AMPK in cell fate has been linked with the p53 pathway. However, data supporting a relationship between AMPK activation and p53 function are largely unclear and even contradictory. Jones et al. (24) reported that AMPK helps cells survival under energy stress conditions through direct phosphorylation of p53 at Ser15 to regulate its function. In contrast, Kizaki et al. (51) reported that, under similar glucose depletion conditions, AMPK activation

**FIGURE 7.** Doxorubicin inhibits the phosphorylation of AMPK and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), increases the levels of p53, and promotes apoptosis in cardiomyocytes. A, H9C2 cardiomyocytes were treated with doxorubicin at 1 μM for the indicated times. Western blots were performed for indicated target proteins. B, H9C2 cardiomyocytes were treated with doxorubicin at indicated doses for 16 h. Proteins were detected in Western blots by using the specific antibodies (* and #, p < 0.05 compared with control group, respectively). Ctrl, control; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase.
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**FIGURE 8. Proposed mechanisms of AMPK regulation of the genotoxic stress response in mouse embryonic fibroblast cells.** Genotoxic stress inducers, such as doxorubicin, induce DNA damage and inhibit AMPK activation. AMPK inactivation by doxorubicin leads to p33 dysfunction and an altered NAD+/NADH ratio, resulting in decreased SIRT1 activation, which, in turn, leads to the accumulation of p53 and cellular apoptosis.

Induced transcriptional up-regulation of p53 and increased phosphorylation of p53 at Ser46 leading to cellular apoptosis. These apparently contradictory findings could be due to different cell types and different treatment durations. Here, we found that AMPK was important for p53 Ser15 phosphorylation under doxorubicin-induced genotoxic stress in MEFs. AMPK regulates p53 protein levels largely through effects on p53 stability by post-transcriptional modification. No significant change in p53 transcriptional level was observed under those conditions, which is supported by our observations of p53 stability and p53 mRNA expression levels under doxorubicin treatment in AMPK-integrated cells and Ampkα1-deficient cells (Fig. 4, A–E). More importantly, restoration of AMPK function in Ampkα1−/− MEFs by infection with the AMPK-CA adenovirus resulted in a lowering of the p53 protein level in these cells (Fig. 3C).

P53 was the first non-histone protein reported to be acetylated by histone acetyl transferases (52). Our experimental results show that AMPK activation affects p53 stability and function through p53 acetylation. High p53 acetylation results show that AMPK activation affects p53 stability and p53 acetylation by histone acetyl transferases (52). Our experimental findings indicate that AMPK regulates p53 protein levels largely through effects on p53 stability by post-transcriptional modification. No significant change in p53 transcriptional level was observed under those conditions, which is supported by our observations of p53 stability and p53 mRNA expression levels under doxorubicin treatment in AMPK-integrated cells and Ampkα1-deficient cells (Fig. 4, A–E). More importantly, restoration of AMPK function in Ampkα1−/− MEFs by infection with the AMPK-CA adenovirus resulted in a lowering of the p53 protein level in these cells (Fig. 3C).

In conclusion, our research indicates that AMPK regulates responses to genotoxic stress response via novel p53-dependent mechanisms and suggests potential strategies to attenuate doxorubicin-induced toxicity in clinical patients.
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