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1. Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a disease with a wide range from a simple accumulation of fat (triglyceride) in liver cells and simple steatosis to the progression to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). About 20% of patients with NAFLD develop liver fibrosis, even cirrhosis and liver failure [1]. The high prevalence and chronic nature of NAFLD affect the patients’ quality of life and impose a heavy economic burden on society. The prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is increasing in the world. In developed countries, about 25% of the total adult population and about 3–10% of children have this disease, and the prevalence of disease among obese children is about 34% [2]. In Iran, at least 30% of the population suffers from this disease [3]. An unhealthy lifestyle is one of the most important risk factors...
for NAFLD. Excessive food and calorie intake and lack of enough physical activity often lead to obesity and liver steatosis. Excessive consumption of saturated fats and carbohydrates induces lipogenesis in the liver, inflammation in adipose tissue cells, and insulin resistance in adipose tissue, liver, and skeletal muscle [4, 5]. Besides, an unhealthy lifestyle, which seems to be the most important risk factor for NAFLD, and other factors such as aging, genetics, and intestinal dysbiosis (altered normal intestinal flora) which is thought to be caused by an unhealthy diet may lead to fat accumulation in the liver and the pathogenesis of NASH [6–8]. Besides, the patients with hepatic fibrosis and NASH are also asymptomatic; they may experience fatigue, boredom, right upper quadrant (RUQ) discomfort, or severe symptoms of chronic liver disease [9].

Although liver biopsy is the most specific test to assess the nature and severity of the liver disease, however, liver ultrasound is the easiest diagnostic method for hepatic steatosis [10, 11]. Different clinical trials for testing modern drug candidates of NASH have failed to reach the major findings or have limited therapeutic efficacy. Several agents like nuclear receptor agonists (obeticholic acid, GFT505, and elafibranor), insulin sensitizers (glitazones, pioglitazone, and metformin), and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists are still in the drug pipeline for NASH [12].

Persian Medicine (PM) has considered a special role for the liver to maintain human health so that the scholars of PM, including Ibn Sina, have considered the liver as one of the chief organs of the body along with the heart and brain [13]. Due to PM, the liver, as the main source of production of humors (phlegm, yellow and black bile, and sanguine) plays an important role in human life and health [14].

Various plants were used to treat liver diseases in PM with different mechanisms. Several herbal remedies show a potential benefit for NAFLD management [15]. Different studies have investigated the efficacy of some of these plants such as turmeric, barberry root, shallot, and rose, on fatty liver [16–19]. *P. major* (Barhang in Persian) is one of the herbs mentioned as a liver tonic in PM literature which can open liver obstructions. *P. major* (plantaginaceae) is a perennial plant that widely grows in the United States, Europe, and Asia and almost throughout Iran [20]. This plant has various pharmacological compounds such as flavonoids, polysaccharides, terpenoids, lipids, iridoid glycosides, and derivatives of caffeic acid which is used to treat various diseases such as constipation, cough, wounds, infection, fever, inflammation, and bleeding [21]. The hepatoprotective effects of *P. major* were confirmed in animal studies [22–24]. Due to our investigations, the efficacy of *P. major* to treat fatty liver has not been studied yet; hence, this clinical trial was designed to evaluate the effects of *P. major* on liver enzymes and ultrasound patterns in NAFLD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Trial Design. This study was a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial with two parallel groups of NAFLD patients. Patients who had the inclusion criteria were included in the study and randomly allocated into two groups through the “block randomization” method. This method was utilized using 4-way blocks and a random number table. Interventions A and B accurately defined six blocks of four. Using random numbers, we carefully selected and typically wrote blocks. Each individual was then assigned to an A or B group. Patients in the intervention group (A) and who were in the placebo group (B) received two capsules, each containing 500 mg of *P. major* seed or placebo, twice a day, 30 minutes before a meal or 2 hours after the meal. Both groups carefully were followed up for 12 weeks.

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences (Reference number: IR.IUMS.REC.1398.412) which was registered in the Clinical Trials Registry (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: IRCT20191006044993N1).

2.2. Participants. Seventy-four patients with NAFLD referred to Behesht Persian Medicine clinic affiliated to Iran University of Medical Sciences in Tehran, Iran, and other Persian Medicine clinics in Tehran were enrolled. The study was started from December 2019 to August 2020, and the follow-up period was ended in October 2020.

Inclusion criteria were NAFLD patients aged between 12 and 80 years with liver enzymes rising and ultrasound report grades 1 and 2 of fatty liver. Exclusion criteria were patient disliking to enter this study, pregnancy, lactation, anticoagulant drugs consumption, thyroid diseases, spleen diseases, cirrhosis, viral hepatitis and obstructive diseases of liver, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, being under the treatment of dyslipidemia, lung diseases, malignancy, alcohol consumption, consumption of any drug which affects liver enzymes and liver metabolism like OCP, corticosteroids, salazine, usage of hepatotoxic drugs within the past 6 months, and renal insufficiency (serum creatinine ≥ 1.5 mg/dl).

Patients included in this study should be visited by a gastroenterologist and had a report of ultrasound confirming NAFLD and ruled out other possible causes of liver diseases (alcoholic fatty liver, Wilson, hypothyroidism, infectious, autoimmune, pharmacological and reactive hepatitis, etc.). Patients and investigators were blind to the intervention and control groups.

2.3. Intervention. After signing a written informed consent and taking a complete history of patients at the start of the study, they were randomly divided into two groups of case and control. Patients in both groups were given dietary recommendations due to classical medicine and recommended walking at an average speed for 40 minutes daily. Patients in the treatment group received two capsules (each containing 500 mg *P. major* seed) at 10 a.m. and two capsules at 6 p.m. for a period of 12 weeks. In the control group, patients received placebo capsules (two 500 mg capsules, two times a day) for 12 weeks. *P. major* and placebo capsules were packaged in similar containers and labeled accordingly. Consumption of less than 70% of the drug during the trial was considered as drug intolerance, and the patient was excluded from the trial.
This study’s primary outcome was the evaluation of \textit{P. major} on liver enzymes (AST >38, ALT >40) after the 12th week, focusing on ALT changes as the main enzyme showing liver diseases.

Due to similar studies biochemical tests, complete blood count (CBC), FBS, TG, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and specific gravity (SG) of urine were also measured at weeks 0 and 12th [25].

Blood samples and urine analysis were taken from all patients after 12 h of fasting. All participants were evaluated according to blood pressure, height, weight, and waist circumference, at the beginning and the end of the study. The BMI was calculated with the following formula: BMI = kg (weight)/m² (height) [26].

A standard flexible tape was used to measure waist circumferences in standing position, and with a standard scale (Omron) with ±0/5 kg; body weight of patients was measured. To avoid measurement error, all measurements were accomplished by the same person.

Photometric assay (Pars Azmoun Company) for the measurement of ALT and AST and enzymatic (glucose oxidase) colorimetric method using the standard kits (Pars Azmoun Company, Iran) to measure FBS were used. Secondary outcome measures were changes in fatty liver’s grade (fatty tissue infiltration in the liver by using ultrasound imaging). The severity grade of fatty infiltration is determined by ultrasound due to the standard structure documented in Goldberg textbook:

Grade 0 is considered to be the normal liver echogenicity.

Grade 1 (mild): echogenicity is slightly increased, with normal visualization of the diaphragm and the intrahepatic vessel borders.

Grade 2 (moderate): echogenicity is moderately increased with slightly impaired visualization of the diaphragm or intrahepatic vessels.

Grade 3 (severe): echogenicity is markedly increased with poor visualization of the diaphragm, the intrahepatic vessels, and the posterior portion of the right lobe [27].

2.A. Drug Preparation. \textit{P. major} seeds were purchased from medicinal plants store in Tehran which were authenticated by a botanist from School of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (Voucher no. PMP-1748). Each drug capsule was filled with 500 mg \textit{P. major} seed. In parallel, placebo capsules were filled with 500 mg toasted flour powder and were packaged in similar containers and labeled accordingly under a standard condition.

2.5. Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content of \textit{P. major} Seeds. The total phenolic content (TPC) of \textit{P. major} seed was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu method with some modifications [28].

For the preparation of the calibration curve, 1 ml aliquots of 75, 100, 150, and 200 μg/ml hydroethanolic (50 : 50 gallic acid solutions was mixed with 5 ml Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and 3 ml sodium carbonate (2% w/v). The absorption was read after 2 h at 760 nm and the calibration curve was drawn. One ml of \textit{P. major} seed extract (1 mg/ml) was mixed with the same reagents as described above, and the absorption was measured for the determination of plant phenolic contents. All determinations were performed in triplicate.

The total flavonoid content (TFC) of \textit{P. major} seed was determined using AlCl₃ reagent. Briefly, 1 ml of each sample (and/or catechin as the standard), previously dissolved in 90% ethanol, was mixed with 0.2 ml of NaNO₂ 5%. After 5 minutes, we added 0.3 ml of AlCl₃ solution 3% and 2 ml of NaOH 2M. After 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 510 nm [29].

2.6. Sample Size. The final sample size was calculated using a similar study [30], considering a power of 80% and α = 0.05. By the expectation of potential loss to follow-up, 74 patients (37 persons in each group) were enrolled.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The data were analyzed by SPSS software (version 17). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test confirmed the normal distribution of variables. The mean ± standard deviation or number and frequency percentage were used to describe the variables. Comparing qualitative variables among groups was made using the Chi-square or Fisher exact test. Quantitative variables were compared among groups using a \(t\)-test or Mann–Whitney \(U\) test. \(P\) values less than 0.05 (\(P < 0.05\)) were considered statistically significant.

2.8. Safety Assessment. At the beginning of the study, a form was provided to patients in each group for recording possible drug side effects such as gastrointestinal symptoms, constipation, diarrhea, and the effect on libido.

3. Results

3.1. Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents. TPC of \textit{P. major} seed was calculated as mean ± standard deviations of μg of gallic acid (GAE) equivalents/mg extract \((y = 0.4393x + 0.0181, r^{2} = 0.9928)\). TPC of \textit{P. major} seed was 4 ± 0.2 mg/gram (mean ± SE \(n = 3\)).

TFC (as μg catechin equivalents/mg of a sample) for the sample was calculated on the basis of a linear calibration curve obtained using catechin (\(Y = 0.0169 \times +0.3526, r^{2} = 0.999\)). TFC of \textit{P. major} seed was 60 ± 0.22 mg/gram (mean ± SE \(n = 3\)).

3.2. Clinical Trial Results. 180 patients were enrolled and 106 subjects who had not met the inclusion criteria were excluded (Figure 1).

Seventy-four patients who met the inclusion criteria and agreed to participate in the study were divided into two groups. Thirty-seven patients were assigned to the intervention group and 37 patients to the control group. Six
individuals were excluded from the intervention group for reasons (three patients due to COVID-19, two patients due to personal reasons, and 1 patient due to the decrease of libido) and five individuals left the placebo group (three patients due to COVID-19 and two patients due to personal reasons). Finally, 31 individuals in the intervention group and 32 individuals in the placebo group completed the study which was assessed after 12 weeks.

Baseline characteristics of study groups are summarized in Table 1. Due to demographic characteristics, the mean age of participants was 43.3 (±8.927) and 38.7 (±8.48) years in the intervention and control groups, respectively. There were no significant differences in baseline demographic data and age, gender, and BMI between the two groups (Table 1).

Regarding laboratory indices, there were no significant differences in FBS, grade of NAFLD in ultrasound, ALT and AST, TG, cholesterol, and urine specific gravity levels between the two groups at the beginning of the study (Table 2). Significant differences were observed due to baseline HDL (P value = 0.029), LDL (P value = 0.036), and platelet (P value = 0.038) (Table 2).

Following the patients in both groups, compliance with lifestyle did not show any significant differences between groups. We followed up the patients in both groups in the terms of compliance with lifestyle modification every week, and reminded them to do the diet and exercise instructions. Weight loss between three to 5% during 12 weeks was considered to assess the compliance with lifestyle and exercise modification between the two groups; at the end of the study, the weight change in the participants of the two groups was about three to 5% (Table 1). The analysis of results after 12 weeks reflected that liver enzymes (ALT and AST) decreased in both groups; however, the percent changes of liver enzymes in the intervention group were significantly higher than the placebo group (ALT, P value = 0.021; AST, P value = 0.004), and TG decreased significantly in the intervention group (P = 0.001) (Table 2).

In both groups, anthropometric factors such as weight, WC, and BMI reduced after 12 weeks; especially WC was decreased significantly in the intervention group (P value = 0.027). There was a significant reduction in the grade of NAFLD over the study period in the intervention group compared with the control group (P value = 0.038) (Table 2).

There was no report of severe adverse effects in patients of both groups; only one patient in P. major group complained of decreasing libido, which was excluded from the study.
Table 1: Basement characteristics of P. major and placebo group.

| Variable          | Group        | Baseline | P value | After 12 weeks | P value | Percent change | P value |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Gender (M/F)      | P. major     | M = 28, F = 3 | 0.113   |                |         |                |         |
| Placebo           | M = 32, F = 0 |          |         |                |         |                |         |
| Age (y)           | P. major     | 43.3 ± 9.2 | 0.054   |                |         |                |         |
| Placebo           | 38.7 ± 8.48  |          |         |                |         |                |         |
| WC (cm)           | P. major     | 104.58 ± 8.89 | 0.606   | 101.25 ± 9.27  | 0.978   | 3.2 ± 2.3      | 0.027   |
| Placebo           | 103.65 ± 8.88 |          |         | 101.18 ± 8.63  |         | 2.33 ± 2.7     |         |
| BMI (kg/m²)       | P. major     | 29.68 ± 3.19 | 0.833   | 28.74 ± 3.15   | 0.842   | 3.15 ± 1.8     | 0.262   |
| Placebo           | 30.0 ± 3.85  |          |         | 29.17 ± 3.76   |         | 2.73 ± 3.3     |         |

1: Fisher’s exact test; 2: t-test; 3: Mann–Whitney U test. WC = waist circumference; BMI = body mass index.

Table 2: Comparing biochemical parameters and NAFLD grades at the baseline and after 12 weeks in P. major and placebo group.

| Variables          | Group        | Baseline | P value | After 12 weeks | P value | Percent change | P value |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| ALT (IU/L)         | P. major     | 79.87 ± 36.19 | 0.068   | 47.32 ± 21.77  | 0.474   | 35.94 ± 22.82  | 0.042   |
| Placebo            | 66.37 ± 25.54 |          |         | 50.03 ± 21.99  |         | 19.53 ± 28.72  |         |
| AST (IU/L)         | P. major     | 43.77 ± 17.24 | 0.106   | 28.29 ± 10.49  | 0.39    | 29.59 ± 24.31  | 0.004   |
| Placebo            | 37.93 ± 16.80 |          |         | 32.03 ± 13.30  |         | 12.09 ± 19.97  |         |
| FBS (mg/dl)        | P. major     | 90.0 ± 12.02 | 0.429   | 103.93 ± 14.41 | 0.079   | -5.48 ± 12.85  | 0.67    |
| Placebo            | 100.43 ± 25.8 |          |         | 103.62 ± 41.95 |         | -2.59 ± 15.23  |         |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl)| P. major     | 190.83 ± 42.72 | 0.559   | 183.12 ± 42.86 | 0.726   | 3.27 ± 15.05   | 0.157   |
| Placebo            | 189.65 ± 35.49 |          |         | 185.68 ± 33.84 |         | 1.60 ± 7.65    |         |
| TG (mg/dl)         | P. major     | 230.03 ± 108.02 | 0.063  | 200.93 ± 106.74 | 0.929   | 6.81 ± 36.45   | 0.001   |
| Placebo            | 181.31 ± 77.33 |          |         | 183.79 ± 73.96 |         | -5.07 ± 23.97  |         |
| HDL (mg/dl)        | P. major     | 40.19 ± 7.11 | 0.029   | 42.67 ± 6.71   | 0.05    | -7.73 ± 17.04  | 0.433   |
| Placebo            | 36.12 ± 4.03  |          |         | 39.37 ± 5.03   |         | -9.46 ± 12.74  |         |
| LDL (mg/dl)        | P. major     | 104.90 ± 32.55 | 0.036  | 106.41 ± 33.24 | 0.466   | -4.79 ± 33.39  | 0.326   |
| Placebo            | 114.03 ± 26.68 |          |         | 108.62 ± 25.72 |         | 4.27 ± 8.56    |         |
| Platelet           | P. major     | 218.03 ± 63.50 | 0.038  | 213.09 ± 64.81 | 0.018   | 1.15 ± 14.23   | 0.773   |
| Placebo            | 247.30 ± 73.20 |          |         | 244.28 ± 76.66 |         | -0.84 ± 17.62  |         |
| Urine S. G         | P. major     | 1020.60 ± 5.19 | 0.577  | 1021.40 ± 5.08 | 0.827   | -0.07 ± 0.51   | 0.607   |
| Placebo            | 1021.21 ± 6.90 |          |         | 1021.87 ± 7.80 |         | -0.06 ± 0.44   |         |

1: Mann–Whitney U test; 2: Pearson chi-square; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; FBS, fasting blood sugar; CHOL, cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SG, specific gravity of urine; negative sign, increase.

4. Discussion

This is the first clinical trial investigating the effect of P. major supplementation on NAFLD. In this randomized double-blind clinical trial, after 12 weeks, compliance of lifestyle modifications was the same in both groups. There was no significant difference in BMI between the two groups. Due to various studies, it showed that 5–10% weight loss is recommended for the effectiveness of the dietary intake and physical activity at improving NAFLD [31]. The percentage of weight loss was less than 5% in both groups (Table 1).

The mean ALT and AST enzymes, WC, and TG were significantly decreased. Also, P. major leads to a significant reduction in ultrasound grade of fatty liver in patients with NAFLD, compared with placebo. In a study by Ramezani et al., P. major showed a significant reduction in ALT and AST enzymes in mice compared to the control group, which supported the present study’s findings [32]. Waist circumference measurement was used to diagnose and define central obesity. This type of obesity is related to visceral fat, insulin resistance (IR), and increased free fatty acid levels [33]. WC is significantly decreased in both groups; it was more significant in P. major group (P = 0.027). This reduction in WC may be related to the improvement of gastric digestion [34] and the reduction of gastrointestinal bloating [35]. P. major showed efficacy in the regulation of carbohydrate and fatty acids metabolism in an animal study [32]. In another study, some other species of Plantaginaceae, such as P. lanceolata showed effectiveness to prevent obesity in mice by stimulating metabolism throughout visceral fat tissue [36].

Due to PM, as well as in classical medicine, the first step to treat fatty liver disease is lifestyle modification. Both groups were assigned similar diet and physical activity due to...
classical medicine supervised by a gastroenterologist. The placebo group also showed a significant reduction in weight, BMI, and liver enzymes at the end of the study, and this confirms that lifestyle modification is the most important factor in the treatment and improvement of NAFLD indices. Although in the early stages of NAFLD, when the prevalence of NASH and advanced fibrosis is low, 5–8% weight loss and a healthy diet may be sufficient for treatment; in the more advanced stages of liver disease, lifestyle modification with medication may be necessary [2].

Several experimental studies indicated the hepatoprotective effects of P. major and confirmed this study’s findings. P. major showed potent antioxidant activity. Natural products with antioxidant properties showed hepatoprotective activity against some liver toxicity [37]. Turel et al. indicated the protective effects of P. major on liver cells in mice poisoned by carbon tetrachloride [22]. Mello et al. showed that P. major could prevent oxidative damage to mitochondria, known for its hepatoprotective effect against the toxic effects of oxygen radicals [24]. Scarlat et al. showed the protective effects of P. major on liver cells in mice poisoned by diclofenac. P. major leads to a decrease in triglycerides values [38]. In a similar study, Nasr et al. indicated that methanolic extract of P. major had hepatoprotective effects against hepatocyte damage caused by carbon tetrachloride in mice and reduced the levels of liver enzymes and TG in sick mice. In fact, the antioxidants, as well as anti-inflammatory effects of the flavonoid compounds present in P. major reveal its hepatoprotective role. Flavonoids are known as antioxidants, free radical scavengers, and antiperoxidants leading to hepatoprotection [21]. The anti-inflammatory activity of P. major is through an inhibitory effect on lipoxygenase enzyme. This enzyme catalyzes arachidonic acid to produce leukotrienes. Leukotrienes play a role in inflammatory diseases. The anti-inflammatory activity of P. major is exerted by flavonoids such as Baikaline and Hispidoline and iridoid glycosides [39]. These findings are consistent with this study’s findings.

There were some limitations like the short duration of the study and the use of P. major seed as a capsule for better acceptance in patients (in PM its decoction form is recommended). The effect of P. major on liver histology (liver biopsy) was unstudied because it was quite invasive. The prevalence of COVID-19 pandemic prevents patients from participating in the study or causes them to leave the study; also, due to COVID-19 pandemic, the patients (who lived in different parts of the city) preferred to go to the nearest medical center for ultrasound (before and after 12 weeks).

5. Conclusions

This randomized, double-blind controlled clinical trial indicated that treatment with P. major seed could reduce liver enzymes and improve the grade of fatty liver in NAFLD. Regarding cost-effectiveness and availability of P. major and the lack of any reports for serious complications of this plant, P. major can be recommended in patients with NAFLD. Further research with larger sample size, as well as long-term follow-ups of patients, can provide a stronger document about the usage of P. major as complementary medicine to treat NAFLD.
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