High resolution X-ray spectroscopy of Supergiant HMXB 4U1700–37 during the compact object eclipse.
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ABSTRACT

We present an analysis of the first observation of the iconic High Mass X-ray Binary 4U 1700–37 with the Chandra High Energy Transmission Gratings during an X-ray eclipse. The goal of the observation was to study the structure/physical conditions in the clumpy stellar wind through high resolution spectroscopy. We find that: a) emission line brightness from K shell transitions, corresponding to near neutral species, directly correlates with continuum illumination. However, these lines do not greatly diminish during eclipse. This is readily explained if fluorescence Kα emission comes from the bulk of the wind. b) The highly ionised Fe xxv and Fe xxvi Lyα diminish during eclipse. Thus, they must be produced in the vicinity of the compact object where log ξ > 3. c) to describe the emission line spectrum, the sum of two self consistent photo ionisation models with low ionisation (log ξ ~ -1) and high ionisation (log ξ ~ 2.4) is required. From their emission measures, the clump-to-interclump density ratio can be estimated to be n_c/n_i ~ 300. To fit the complex He-like Si xii profile, the plasma requires a broadening with v_{bulk} ~ 840 km s^{-1}. Reproducing the observed r ~ f line fluxes requires the addition of a third collisionally ionised plasma. d) Emission lines widths appear unresolved at the herto gratings resolution with exception of Silicon. There is no clear radial segregation between (quasi)neutral and ionised species, consistent with cold wind clumps interspersed in a hot rarefied interclump medium.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Massive stars (M_⊙ ≥ 8 M_⊙) are crucial cosmic engines. Their strong radiation driven stellar winds (responsible for the mass loss of the star) and their final supernova explosion provide a significant input of matter, mechanical energy and momentum into interstellar space, triggering star formation and enriching the interstellar medium with heavy elements that, ultimately, enable Earth-like rocky planets and life. Yet the structure and properties of massive star winds are still poorly understood. The structured and clumped wind paradigm is well established (e.g., Feldmeier et al. 1997). The wind acceleration mechanism is intrinsically unstable and soon develops high density areas (clumps) separated by more rarefied sections (interclump medium), as compared with the original radially smoothly varying wind. However, there are still serious discrepancies between the different model predictions as well as between the models and observations (e.g., Oskinova et al. 2012). The inner parts of OB-star winds (a < 1.25R_⊙) are inhomogeneous and clumped (Puls et al. 2006; Torrejón et al. 2015; Sundqvist et al. 2018), and their complex properties are poorly understood.

In high mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) with supergiant donors, a compact object (neutron star or black hole) is on a relatively close orbit, deeply embedded into the wind of its donor star. The accretion of matter from the stellar wind powers strong X-ray radiation that illuminates nearby wind regions. This radiation excites transitions in the stellar wind that can be used as a unique diagnostic of wind properties (Martínez-Núñez et al. 2017).

In 4U 1700–37, discovered with the Uhuru satellite (Jones et al. 1973), the stellar wind of the 06a supergiant HD 153919 (= V884 Sco), the earliest donor in any Galactic HMXB, is ionised by the strong persistent X-rays from a compact object companion. The latter is on a close orbit deep in the innermost region of the donor
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star’s wind; the best estimates for the parameters of the 4U 1700–37 system are summarized in Table 1. The nature of the compact object is not fully clear yet due to the lack of coherent pulsations at X-rays or any other wavelengths. However, Reynolds et al. (1999) show that the 2–200 keV spectrum of 4U 1700–37 is different from those commonly observed for black hole candidates, such as Cyg X-1, but qualitatively similar to those of accreting neutron stars. They explain the lack of pulsations as due to either a weak magnetic field or an alignment of the magnetic field with the spin axis. The neutron star nature of the compact object has been proposed with indirect evidence based on the X-ray spectra (Seifina et al. 2016) and the X-ray colour-colour behaviour (Boroson et al. 2003). We have provided further strong evidence for the neutron star nature based on the spectral behavior in quiescence and during a flare, as seen with Chandra (Martinez-Chicharro et al. 2018). Additionally, the possible detection on a cyclotron scattering resonance feature has been proposed recently by Bala et al. (2020), that would be a direct evidence of the presence of a strong magnetic field of the order of $10^{12}$ G and thus a neutron star compact object.

4U 1700–37 is located at a distance of only $\sim 1.7$ kpc from Earth (Bailer-Jones et al. 2018) and is fairly bright ($8 - 45 \times 10^{-10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$; Martinez-Chicharro et al. 2018). It has thus been observed with virtually all X-ray telescopes since the dawn of X-ray astronomy. It shows strong flaring activity, with flux increases by a factor of ten and above (Kauflers et al. 2007). These periods last 1–65 hours; the lightcurves during these periods show strong flickering (Boroson et al. 2003). There is no consensus about the origin of these flares yet; one possible explanation is an accretion episode from the magnetotail of the neutron star (Brinkmann 1981).

Studies of 4U 1700–37 at high spectral resolution in X-rays are scarce. van der Meer et al. (2005) reported results from observations of 4U 1700–37 with XMM-Newton at several orbital phases and presented a thorough study with EPIC-MOS at CCD resolution. The spectra show prominent Fe lines and a number of other species in the low energy band. Unfortunately, the reflection grating spectrometer

| Parameter | Symbol | Value |
|-----------|--------|-------|
| Distance  | $d$    | $1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ kpc |
| O star Temperature | $T_e$  | $35^{+5}_{-3}$ KK |
| O star radius  | $R_e$  | $19^{+6}_{-5}$ ($R_\odot$) |
| Clumping factor | $D$    | $20^{+10}_{-15}$ |
| O star mass | $M_{\text{spec}}$ | $34^{+100}_{-25}$ ($M_\odot$) |
| Spectral type |        | Ofadpe |
| Wind terminal velocity | $v_\infty$ | $1900^{+100}_{-100}$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
| Wind mass-loss rate log | $\dot{M}$ | $-5.6^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$ ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) |
| Average orbital distance | $a_2$  | $1.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ ($R_\odot$) |
| Wind velocity law | $\beta$ | $2^{+1}_{-1}$ |
| Interstellar reddening | $E(B - V)$ | $0.50 \pm 0.01$ |
| Orbital period | $P_{\text{orb}}$ | $3.411660 \pm 0.000004$ (d)$^a$ |

$^a$ From Islam & Paul (2016).

Figure 1. To scale sketch of the 4U 1700–37 system. We use the folded Swift-BAT light curve (shown in green) as an indicator of general system brightness and to trace the X-ray eclipse. The Swift-BAT covers the energy range of 15–150 keV that is little affected by absorption. The red line shows the phases of the Chandra ObsID 18951 (this paper; $\phi_{\text{orb}} = 0.85 \pm 0.05$) and ObsID 17630 (Martinez-Chicharro et al. 2018). Blue points represent count rates during Chandra observations in the energy range of 0.8–7.7 keV in counts s$^{-1}$. Short term variability (specially an outburst at the end of ObsID 17630) is visible in the Chandra observations, but it is smeared out in the long term Swift-BAT light curve.

(RGS) spectrum had a poor S/N ratio that prevented any emission line analysis.

Boroson et al. (2003) performed the first high-resolution analysis with Chandra-HETG at orbital phases $\phi_{\text{orb}} \sim 0.65 - 0.80$, before eclipse (Fig. 1). This study looks at line variability as the source flares; the plethora of emission lines detected can be used for plasma diagnostics. Hainich et al. (2020) analysed Hubble Space Telescope (HST) UV and Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph (FÉROS) optical spectra of 4U 1700–37 using the PoWR stellar atmosphere code$^2$. The parameters so measured are presented in Table 1.

Studies of HMXBs during the compact object eclipse have been fundamental to probe the emitting plasma properties through emission line analysis (i.e. Nagase et al. 1994; Sako et al. 1999, for Vela X-1 using ASCA) showing the coexistence of different ionisation states within the stellar wind volume. Comparison of eclipse to out-of-eclipse spectra also allows to probe emitting structures within HMXBs (Aftab et al. 2019). In this paper, we present the first high-resolution spectrum of 4U 1700–37 taken during the X-ray eclipse. During the eclipse, the suppression of the continuum (typically to $\sim$ a tenth of the un eclipsed flux, Giménez-García et al. 2015; Aftab et al. 2019) emitted by the compact object allows to observe the emission line spectrum from the back illuminated stellar wind of the O6a star HD153919 with unprecedented detail. The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2, we present the observational details. In section 3, we analyse the IR-optical and X-ray

---

1 Distances based on the Gaia DR2 measurements (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018), calculated by means of a Bayesian approach assuming an exponentially decreasing space density with the distance.

2 [http://www.astro.physik.uni-potsdam.de/~vrh/PoWR/powgrid1.php](http://www.astro.physik.uni-potsdam.de/~vrh/PoWR/powgrid1.php)
light curves. In Section 4, we present a description of the spectral analysis and results. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6, we discuss the parameters obtained in order to understand the origin of emission lines and present our conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS
We performed a pointed observation of 4U 1700–37 with Chandra on 29 June 2017. The High Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer (HETG; Canizares et al. 2005) aboard the Chandra X-Ray Observatory (Weisskopf et al. 2002) acquired data uninterrupted for 58 ks. We scheduled the observation to coincide with the eclipse, at \( \phi_{obs} = 0.848 - 0.044 \), so that we could investigate the excited emission lines with the highest line-to-continuum contrast. There are two sets of gratings available, the High Energy Grating (H EG) which offers a resolution of 0.011 Å in the bandpass of about 1.5 to 16 Å, and the Medium Energy Grating (MEG) which offers a resolution of 0.021 Å in the the bandpass of about 1.8 to 31 Å. Our observations provided significant data in the range between 1.6 and 10–20 Å.

The spectra were reduced and response (arf and rmf) files were generated using standard procedures with the CIAO software (V. 4.11, CalDB 4.7.8). First dispersion orders \( (m = \pm 1) \) from HEG and MEG were extracted and combined into a single spectrum. The peak source count rate both in HEG and in MEG gratings is 0.3 counts s\(^{-1}\), which is much lower than the level at which pileup effects start to become important in the grating spectra\(^3\). We also extracted the 0th order ACIS-I spectrum, but found out it was piled-up, so that we are not using it in this paper. The spectral analysis was performed with the Interactive Spectral Interpretation System (ISIS) v 1.6.1-24 (Houck & Denicola 2000).

As an out-of-eclipse comparison spectrum we use the ObsID 17630 data analysed in Martinez-Chicharra et al. (2018), acquired after egress (\( \phi = 0.16 \)). An sketch of the 4U 1700–37 system is presented in Fig. 1. The two ObsIDs analyzed in this paper are marked in red and their lightcurves shown in blue.

3 LIGHT CURVES
In Fig. 2 we show the HETG X-ray light curve (bottom, black) for the combined HEG and MEG first-order spectra and the strictly simultaneous optical-NIR light curve (top, red) acquired with the on board Aspect Camera, both in 30 s bins. The Aspect Camera is a broadband detector in approximately 4000-8000 Å range. The magnitudes reported are in the photometric system specific to this camera (Nichols et al. 2010). The apparent pulsation seen in the optical light curve is caused by the telescope dithering pattern that has nominal periods of 1 ks and \( \approx 0.7 \) ks in Y and Z-directions, respectively. Thus we also over plot the 1200 s running average.

Both light curves show a remarkable behaviour during the observation. After the eclipse ingress, the X-ray light curve displays flares at the beginning of the eclipse, when the neutron star is already hidden from direct view by the donor star. Such flares are well known in 4U 1700–37 and have been discussed in Islam & Paul (2016). Later, during the second half of observation, the light curve shows less pronounced variability. At the same time, the optical light curve seems to display a dimming (magnitude increase) until the end of the X-ray flaring when the dimming stops and the lightcurve reaches an average value consistent with the donor star photometric \( B \) magnitude.

In order to perform the X-ray spectral analysis we extracted data, separately, from the eclipse ingress (from \( t = 0 \) to \( t \approx 6 \) ks, counting from the beginning of the observation) and the eclipse. We subdivide the eclipse further into eclipse low (\( < 0.1 \) counts s\(^{-1}\)) and eclipse high regimes (\( > 0.1 \) counts s\(^{-1}\)). Since our goal is to explore the back illuminated stellar wind, we will concentrate on the last two. These two regimes are indicated in Fig. 2, respectively, and will be used in the next sections.

4 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS
In the following analysis, we fit the models to unbinned data. We use the C-statistic (Cash 1979), which is appropriate when the bins have few counts (typically less than 20 counts per bin during eclipse), and the xsubpl ex fitting method.

4.1 Continuum modeling
The suppression of the continuum during the eclipse allows us to analyze the emission lines excited in the stellar wind with a high contrast. At the same time, it complicates also the definition of the continuum because the spectrum is dominated by strong emission lines that cannot be ignored during the continuum modelling. We thus proceed as follows to model the continuum in the 1.6 – 20 Å range: first, to find the lines, we use a blind line search where we start with a "test" phenomenological continuum (a simple power law, with partial covering, as described by Eq. 1) and then loop

---

**Table 2. Observations journal**

| ObsID  | Date       | \( T_{Exp} \) | \( \phi_{orb} \) |
|--------|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 18951  | 2017-06-29 22:02:38 | 57.8 ks | 0.848 – 0.044\(^a\) |
| 17630  | 2015-02-22 03:11:16 | 14.3 ks | 0.16\(^b\) |

\(^a\) Mid eclipse time \( T_{0} = 49149.412 \pm 0.006 \) MID, orbital period \( P = 3.416660 \pm 0.000004 \) d (Islam & Paul 2016)

\(^b\) Out of eclipse.
through the data adding one line at a time. The line search is over narrow energy/wavelength bands scanning the whole range of data with any previous lines and continuum fixed. Then we choose the line that changes the statistic the most and re-fit the continuum and all previous lines while limiting the energy of the previously found lines to narrow ranges around the expected values. We use this line identification approach to compare with the next part of the study, in which we use the Bayesian Blocks method (see Section 4.2).

For a blind line search as we have performed, determining the statistical significance of a given line that takes into account both the change in fit statistic and the multiplicity of the line searches is still a matter of research (i.e. Bonamente 2018). Even these efforts do not take into account systematic uncertainties (e.g., from using a phenomenological continuum model, as well as uncertainties from the detector responses, Xu et al. 2014). For our purposes, we have kept track of the statistical order in which the lines have been added and we have only kept lines where the change in fit statistic from adding a given line was $\Delta \chi^2 > 0.005$.

Once we complete this procedure, we change the continuum model to a more physically motivated one, namely the continuum model used to describe ObsID 17630 performed out of eclipse (Martínez-Chicharro et al. 2018, see also Fig. 1). The spectra are described by the Bulk Motion Comptonization model or bnc (Titarchuk et al. 1997). In this model, soft photons with a characteristic color temperature $kT_{\text{col}}$ are upscattered to high energies. The efficiency of the Comptonization is measured by the spectral parameter $\alpha$ (higher efficiency for lower values of $\alpha$).

This continuum is modified at low energies by a partial absorber modeled as:

$$\text{abs}(E) = \epsilon \exp(-\sigma(E)N_{\text{H}1}) + (1 - \epsilon) \exp(-\sigma(E)N_{\text{H}2})$$

(1)

using the model TBnew with cross sections by Verner et al. (1996) and Wilms et al. (2000) abundances. $\epsilon$ is the covering fraction by the local plus interstellar medium (ISM) absorber with total column density $N_{\text{H}1} = N_{\text{local}} + N_{\text{ISM}}$. Actually, the local absorption is graded, and even the scattered component will be seen through a variety of density columns, that we model here as a single one. $N_{\text{H}2} = N_{\text{ISM}}$ describes absorption by the interstellar medium. In our fits, it has been fixed to the ISM value deduced from the optical and UV observations using the value for $E(B-V)$ from Table 1 and $N_{\text{H}2} = 0.65E(B-V)$ as defined in Valencic & Smith (2015). $N_{\text{H}1}$ is left to vary freely.

This model describes the spectrum well overall (Fig. 3), but residuals remain at low energies. This soft excess is commonly seen in the spectra of HMXBs and its exact nature is still unclear (Hickox et al. 2004). One possibility is that it is formed by unresolved Fe L emission lines grouping in this wavelength range (Brown et al. 2002) but the lack of resolution prevents any further analysis in this respect. We thus empirically model it with a black body of $kT_{\text{bb}} \approx 0.1$ keV modified by its own absorption $N_{\text{H}3}$. The absorption column turns out to be compatible with the ISM value. The best fit parameters are presented in Table 3 and the data (strongly rebinned for plotting purposes), the model (red) and the residuals are presented in Fig. 3. Although the spectra in and out of eclipse show differences (i.e. different photon indexes) owing, for example, to the energy dependence of the scattering (Aftab et al. 2019), the obtained spectral parameters are in line with those deduced out of eclipse by Martinez-Chicharro et al. (2018), albeit with a different spectral index $\alpha$.

For a distance of 1.7 kpc, the bnc fluxes in Table 3 correspond to X-ray luminosities of $L_X = (0.5^{+0.9}_{-0.3}) \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (eclipse low) and $L_X = (2.5^{+4.1}_{-0.3}) \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (eclipse high), in the 1.5 – 20 Å range. Thus the average is a factor about 10 times lower than that observed out of eclipse during single XMM-Newton observations (Giménez-García et al. 2015; Aftab et al. 2019) and 20 times lower than during ObsID 17630 (quiescence) reported by Martinez-Chicharro et al. (2018). When comparing these results it must be taken into account that the source was intrinsically brighter during ObsID 17630. Indeed, the Swift-BAT telescope count rate was $\approx 0.04$ c s$^{-1}$ which is twice that of ObsID18951 and the long term average. At the same time, they are two orders of magnitude higher that those displayed by O supergiants that are not in a binary system with a compact object (Nebot Gómez-Morán & Oskinova 2018).

### Table 3. Model bnc + bb continuum parameters.

| Parameter | Eclipse Low | Eclipse High |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| $N_{\text{H}1}$ [10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 21.5$^{+2.2}_{-1.6}$ | 21.1$^{+1.3}_{-1.4}$ |
| $\epsilon$ | 0.79$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | 0.89$^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ |
| $N_{\text{H}2}$ [10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 0.3 | 0.3$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$ |
| Norm [×10$^{-4}$] | 1.9$^{+1.0}_{-0.1}$ | 21.1$^{+9.9}_{-0.5}$ |
| $kT_{\text{col}}$ [keV] | 1.5$^{+0.04}_{-0.01}$ | 1.49$^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$ |
| $\alpha$ | 0.90$^{+0.12}_{-0.08}$ | 0.19$^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ |
| $f$ | 10 | 10 |
| Flux [×10$^{-11}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 1.1$^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$ | 5.71$^{+0.24}_{-0.13}$ |

a Unabsorbed 1.5 – 20 Å flux
b Numbers without errors have been fixed at the quoted values

### 4.2 Emission line spectrum

Once the continuum has been modeled, we start the investigation of the emission line spectrum. Each line is modeled by adding a Gaussian component. In order to perform a blind search of spectral features we use the Bayesian blocks algorithm$^4$ (Scargle et al. 2013). The Bayesian Blocks approach for line detection in high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy is introduced, discussed, and benchmarked against other methods in Young et al. (2007). To assess the reliability of emission line detection, we list the parameter $\alpha_{\text{sig}}$ that can be roughly related the significance of the feature detection as $P \approx 1 - \exp(-2\alpha_{\text{sig}})$, as discussed previously in Grinberg et al. (2017). We refer to Young et al. (2007) and Grinberg et al. (2017) for a more extensive discussion of the method.

The Bayesian Blocks based search is complemented by a conventional, manual approach, where we utilize our knowledge of

---

$^4$ As implemented in the SITAR package and included in the isisscripts http://www.sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de/isis/.
To reduce the free parameters during the fits, we further link the wavelength of the \( f/vr \) components of the He-like triplets to their theoretical differences and fit one line shift for the whole triplet. The intercombination \((i)\) lines of the He-like ions typically consists of two transitions\((i1, i2)\). These two transitions are unresolved in our spectra and we thus use their average wavelength, weighted by a factor 1:1\(^5\). For He-like Si \( xvi \), for example, we so obtain 6.686 Å using the wavelength of individual transitions as obtained from AtomDB. The lines of the Ly series of H-like ions typically also consists of two strong transitions. These two transitions are unresolved in our spectra and we thus use their average wavelength, weighted by a factor 2:1 according to their statistical weight. For H-like Si \( \alpha \) Ly\( \alpha \), for example, we so obtain 6.1821 Å using the wavelength of individual transitions as obtained from AtomDB.

The line fit parameters are presented in Table A1. Lines where \( \sigma_{\text{sig}} \) is not quoted have \( \sigma_{\text{sig}} < 1.5 \). Specially interesting sections are shown in Fig. 4 (Fe line complex), Fig. 5 (S and Si regions) and Fig. 6 (Mg region).

On the other hand, in order to compare the line intensities during eclipse with their values out of eclipse, we will also use the spectral analysis from ObsID 17630. Details on the data extraction are given in Martinez-Chicharro et al. (2018). During the second half of the observation, the source flared, increasing its overall flux \( \sim 6 \) times. Consequently, the spectral extraction was divided into quiescence and flare. The line analysis has been carried out in the same way as described above. However, as the continuum here was significantly brighter, the significance of the Bayesian Blocks line

\(^5\) The statistical weights are \( \sigma_y = 2 \times 1 + 1 = 3 \) and \( \sigma_x = 2 \times 2 + 1 = 5 \), yielding 3:5 and not 1:1. \( y \) is an electric dipole (E1) while \( x \) is a magnetic quadrupole (M2) transition. For the He-like triplet, the line ratios depend strongly on the excitation mechanism. For photo-excitation from the ground, it is not expected to see the M2 transition at all. For collisional excitation from the ground, the cross sections of these two upper levels would be more important than the statistical weights. However, with strong UV fields present or low-temperature, high density plasmas, the upper levels of \( x \) and \( y \) can be excited from the upper level \( 1s2s^1S \) of the forbidden line \((f \text{ or } e)\) instead of from the ground, while still preferentially decaying to the ground; the transition from the upper level of \( e \) to either of the upper levels of \( x \) and \( y \) are E1 transitions, i.e., in this case the statistical weights would apply again. However, for low-Z elements the wavelength difference between \( x \) and \( y \) is fairly small, so the approximation adopted here is fairly good.
A number of Kα fluorescence transitions from several elements (Fe, Ar, Ca, S and Si) are detected in the spectrum of 4U 1700–37 (Fig. 4 and 5). Fe Kα is the most prominent line in all our extractions for which the Bayesian Block algorithm obtains $\alpha_{\text{Bayes}} > 100$ (Fig. 4). Fe Kβ is found by the Bayesian blocks algorithm only during eclipse high. However, we include and fit this line in eclipse low, as well.

All Kα fluorescence line transitions respond directly to the continuum illumination so that their intensities grow with higher continuum fluxes (Table A1). The line centroids, in turn, remain constant, within measurement uncertainties. For Fe Kα, $\lambda = 1.9404 \pm 0.0010$ Å in eclipse low and 1.9409 $\pm 0.0009$ Å in eclipse high, compatible with Fe $v$-viii. The ratio between the line fluxes Fe Kβ/Fe Kα is 0.15$^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$ in eclipse high and 0.11$^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$ in eclipse low, consistent with the theoretical value of 0.13 for low optical depth lines (Kaastra & Mewe 1993). The Fe Kα line shows hints of asymmetrical profile in the high flux data. We attempt to describe the asymmetry by adding a second Gaussian component that could be interpreted as the Compton shoulder. However, the component is not significant, with an F-test resulting in 0.38$^6$. Consequently, we do not include this component into further analysis and do not list it in Table A1. We point out that future high microcalorimeter resolution missions such as XRISM (XRISM Science Team 2020) and Athena (Nandra et al. 2013) would allow a much more stringent test on the presence of a possible Compton Shoulder component. The Kα lines appear very narrow as their widths are not resolved at the Chandra $\approx 100$ resolution (0.011 Å). The exception is Si xiv Kα, showing a $\sigma = 0.018 \pm 0.002$ Å (eclipse low) and $\sigma = 0.026 \pm 0.005$ Å (eclipse high). These correspond to plasma velocities $v = 760$ km s$^{-1}$ and 1100 km s$^{-1}$ respectively. In fact, Silicon seems to be the only element whose width appears resolved, in all its detected ionisation states, as we will see in the next section.

### 4.3 Fluorescence Lines

The Kα emission lines from the highly ionised species are clearly seen in the eclipse spectrum. Of particular interest is the triplet consisting of the transitions $1s^21S_0 \rightarrow 1s2p^1P_1$ (resonance, $r$), $1s^21S_0 \rightarrow 1s2p^3P_{2,1}$ (intercombination, $i$), and $1s^21S_0 \rightarrow 1s2s^3S_1$ (forbidden, $f$). Note, that the intercombination line splits up in two lines with upper levels $1s2p^3P_1$ and $1s2p^3P_2$ but this splitting cannot be resolved in the observation. The Fe xxv $r$ and $f$ transitions...
are detected at low flux albeit with very low significance. No trace of Fe xxiw is seen. This is in contrast with the observation out of eclipse (Table A2) where both species are clearly detected during quiescence.

The most prominent lines are those of Si (Fig. 5 right) with the He-like Si xiii triplet at $\lambda \sim 6.7$ Å, being the strongest. Bayesian Blocks finds all three $r$, $i$, $f$ transitions, as one block with an $\alpha_{sig} = 23$ in eclipse high and $\alpha_{sig} = 30$ in eclipse low.

Within the uncertainties, the fluxes of the lines $r$ and $f$ are comparable. This is not expected in a purely photoionised plasma which would have $f > r$ and, instead, suggests a low-density hybrid photoionised and/or collisionally ionised gas. Wojdowski et al. (2003) suggest that photoionisation equilibrium still holds but that resonance scattering of the continuum by the $r$ lines adds to their flux during eclipse, when the direct continuum is suppressed. In any case, the forbidden transition $f$ is clearly detected, as seen in other HMXBs: Vela X-1 (Schulz et al. 2002; Grinberg et al. 2017), Cygnus X-1 (Hirsch et al. 2019), 4U1538-52 (Torrejón et al. 2015) and isolated O type stars (Leutenegger et al. 2006; Waldron & Cassinelli 2007; Corcoran et al. 2015).

The presence of highly charged ions points to a very hot plasma. The kinetic energy of the continuum electron has to exceed the ionisation potential in order to be able to ionise the atom/ion in a collision. The ionisation potential to make H-like ions is fairly high. The electron temperature of the plasma has to be high enough to provide a sufficient number of electrons with kinetic energies above this threshold. Similarly, for collisional excitation of transitions, the kinetic energy of the colliding electron needs to exceed the excitation threshold energy.

Although the S/N is low (Fig. 5), we can obtain the parameters $G = (i + f)/r$ and $R = f/i$ for the Si xiii triplet which provide direct estimations of plasma temperature and density (Porquet & Dubau 2000). In O stars, though, the strong photospheric UV continuum populates $f$ into $i$ thereby changing the ratios above and the corresponding deduced plasma properties (see Section 5.2.2). We obtained $R$ and $G$ implementing the formulas above as functions directly into the fitting programme, so that we could also directly obtain the errors. The corresponding parameters are presented in Table 4. The plasma temperatures are of the order of several million degrees.

### 4.5 Photo ionised plasma models

Apart from the above phenomenological approach, we also tried to model the spectrum with a self consistent photoionised plasma emission model. We focus on the Silicon region for this study, from $6.0$ to $7.4$ Å because it has the highest significance among the triplets. To that end, we use photemis based on xstar (Bautista & Kallman 2001; Kallman & Bautista 2001). photemis is the “thermal” (i.e. recombination and collisional excitation) emission which comes from the analytic plasma model that allows the use of warm absorbers and photoionised emitters, as well as for coronal equilibrium absorbers and emitters and employs the most recent updates to xstar. We further add a simple powerlaw to model the local continuum. We note that photemis models are calculated for a power law illumination with $f = 2$ and do not include the influence of the strong UV emission from the star, that may change the contribution between the components of the triplet (see Sec. 5.2.2). We have shown the influence of UV emission in the HMXB Vela X-1 (Lomaeva et al. 2020).

As we have seen in the previous sections, highly ionised species coexist with low ionisation or near neutral ones. Thus, logically, a single photemis can not satisfactorily reproduce the whole spectrum (Fig. 7, upper panel), yielding $C_{\text{photemis}}^2$ of 2.43 and 2.01 for eclipse low and high, respectively. Two plasmas are needed, one with low ionisation ($\log \xi \sim -1$) and other with high ionisation ($\log \xi \sim 2.4$), where log $\xi$ is the ionisation parameter (see Discussion). However, although they fit well the spectrum overall, some line profiles can not be reproduced. This is clearly seen in Fig. 7 (middle panel). The Si xiii triplet shows a particularly complicated profile. It appears to be formed by four narrow lines none of which is neither at the lab rest frame $\lambda$ (marked by vertical lines below the $rif$ label transitions) nor are they shifted, all together, in a particular direction. The fit then requires broadening the lines with a bulk plasma velocity $v_{\text{th}} \sim 840$ km s$^{-1}$. In any case, the photoionisation models predict $f > r$ whereas the data shows $f \approx r$ (Fig. 5, right panel and Table A1).

Adding a third photoionised plasma does not help. In fact, the only way of approaching the observed ratio is by adding a third collisionally ionised plasma. For this purpose we use bvapsec, a velocity and thermally-broadened emission spectrum from collisionally-ionised diffuse gas calculated from the AtomDB atomic database. Its temperature turns out to be $kT \approx 1$ keV (Table 5). Although the resulting statistic is now acceptable ($C_{\text{bvapsec}}^2$ equal to 1.11 and 1.04 for eclipse low and high respectively), significant residuals still remain, particularly during the low state, thus demonstrating the complexity of the Si xiii triplet and, possibly, the multiple origin of plasmas contributing to the observed spectrum.

---

**Table 5. photemis model best parameters.**

| Parameter               | Eclipse Low | Eclipse High |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| photemis 1              |             |              |
| Norm                    | 31 ± 2      | 40 ± 1       |
| log $\xi$               | 2.38 ± 0.01 | 2.34 ± 0.01  |
| $v_{\text{th}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 830 ± 2    | 845 ± 1      |
| photemis 2              |             |              |
| Norm (x10$^3$)          | 1.6$^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ | 2.3 ± 1      |
| log $\xi$               | -1.0 ± 0.1  | -1.04 ± 0.04 |
| $v_{\text{th}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 830 ± 2    | 845 ± 1      |
| $C_{\text{photemis}}^2$(d.o.f.) | 1.44(234)  | 1.18 (234)   |
| bvapsec                 |             |              |
| Norm (x10$^{-3}$)       | 10$^{+1}_{-3}$ | 9$^{+4}_{-2}$ |
| $T_e \times 10^6$ K     | 11$^{+2}_{-3}$ | 11 ± 2       |
| $v$ (x10$^2$ km s$^{-1}$) | 11 ± 3     | 8 ± 3        |
| $C_{\text{bvapsec}}^2$(d.o.f.) | 1.11(217)  | 1.04 (217)   |

---

$^a$ Low flux: $F$-test=9.0e-07; High Flux: $F$-test=0.04.

---

7 https://space.mit.edu/cxc/analysis/xstardb/index.html
Figure 7. *Chandra* emission lines in the 6–7.4 Å range for low (left, blue) and high flux (right, black) periods during the eclipse. The first and second panels shows the fit using only one or two photoionised plasma (photemis) components, respectively. The model is shown in red. Second panel: (Eclipse low) contribution to the model from photemis with log ε ~ 2.38 (black) and with log ε ~ −1.0 (yellow); (Eclipse high) contribution to the model from photemis with log ε ~ −2.34 (blue) and with log ε ~ −1.04 (yellow). Third panel shows the best fit for the above two photemis plus a collisionally ionised plasma bvapec (green).

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Light curve variability

As we have seen in Section 3, the optical-NIR and the X-ray lightcurves show significant variations throughout the observation. On one hand, the X-ray lightcurve displays a series of flares at the beginning of the eclipse, when the neutron star is completely hidden from view. In fact, such flares can be observed over the entire eclipse duration (Islam & Paul 2016, Fig. 2). The flares must be produced at the X-ray source (e.g. the hot spots and the accretion columns on the neutron star surface). Since these are blocked from direct view, they cannot be observed directly. In fact, such flares can be observed over the entire eclipse duration. The flare parameters normal for the spectral type. Dedicated observations will be needed to verify these hypotheses.

5.2 Emission line spectra

5.2.1 Fluorescent Lines

The K shell fluorescence lines from (near) neutral species directly correlate with the X-ray source brightness. These transitions can arise in the dense cold clumps of the stellar wind (Sako et al. 1999) and/or in accretion stream structures (i.e. Aftab et al. 2019). Their intensities are compatible, within the uncertainties, for the eclipse (ObsID 18951) and out of eclipse (ObsID 17630) observations. For example, the intensity of the Fe Kα line is (330±120)×10^-6 ph s^-1 cm^-2 out of eclipse (quiescence, Table A2) and (270 ± 40) × 10^-6 ph s^-1 cm^-2 during eclipse low (Table A1). The same is true for Si and Si Kα. However, if we compare our eclipse low value with the out-of-eclipse ObsID 657, namely, (870±81)×10^-6 ph s^-1 cm^-2 (Torrejón et al. 2010), the ratio turns out to be ~ 0.3, in line with those obtained using *XMM-Newton* (Giménez-García et al. 2015; Aftab et al. 2019) and also with other HMXBs with supergiant donors with later spectral types. For example, in QV Nor (B0.5Ib), the donor of the X-ray pulsar 4U1538–52, the Fe Kα line is reduced to 0.3 of its original intensity during an
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eclipse (Torrejón et al. 2015)\(^8\). A similar behavior is seen in Vela X-1 (Goldstein et al. 2004; Torrejón et al. 2010). Thus it is intriguing that comparing source states, within each observation, that should be, in principle, similar, in the sense that they are out of flaring (quiescence and eclipse low), the Fe K\(\alpha\) lines show similar intensities.\(^9\) In fact, Boroson et al. (2003), also from ObsID 657, quote an intensity for Fe K\(\alpha\), during quiescence, of \((170 \pm 60) \times 10^{-6}\) ph s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) lower than, but compatible, with our measurements.

Photons emitted in fluorescence lines can not be resonantly scattered in the wind because they do not have enough energy to induce further extractions from the inner K shell of the atoms. Thus, all fluorescence emission must be produced at sites directly in the line of sight of the observer (and the compact object). This means that, while in QV Nor the vast majority of Fe K\(\alpha\) photons were produced close to the donor’s photosphere, facing the neutron star, that comparing source states, within each observation, that should induce further extractions from the inner K shell of the atoms. Thus, all fluorescence transitions be excited by X-ray photons from the compact object, in the whole wind. The wind velocity compatible with the narrow width of Fe K\(\alpha\) is attained at \(r < 2.12 R_\odot\). The wind in 4U 1700–37 (O6.5Ia) is thicker than in QV Nor (B0.5Ib), with a density radially decreasing at much lower rate (since here \(\beta = 2 \pm 1\)). Thus, it is expected that transitions corresponding to neutral species will not decrease much during eclipse.

In Fig. 8 we map the ionisation parameter \(\log \xi\), where

\[
\xi = \frac{L_X}{n(r_X)\gamma_X^2}
\]

with \(n(r_X)\) the number density of atoms at radial distance \(r_X\) from the X-ray source and \(L_X\) the X-ray luminosity of the source. To account for wind clumping we use the density contrast \(\Delta = \rho_{cl}/\bar{\rho}\), where \(\rho_{cl}\) is the density of the clumped medium and \(\bar{\rho}\) the average density. The inter-clump medium is assumed to be empty, \(D = 1\) corresponds to smooth plasma.

We also show some iso-ionisation contours. For the calculation of \(\log \xi\), we have used the parameters in Hainich et al. (2020) (Table 1) and a radial density distribution based on the mass continuity equation \(\rho(r) = M/4\pi v(r) r^2\) with \(v(r)\) following a double beta velocity law\(^9\) (see Hainich et al. 2020 for details). The ionisation parameter given by Eq. 2 is reduced by a factor \(D\) for the clumped wind case (Oskinova et al. 2012). For the source luminosity \(L_X\) we have used the out of eclipse quiescence value obtained in Martínez-Chicharro et al. (2018), namely, \(L_X \approx 3 \times 10^{35}\) erg s\(^{-1}\)\(^{10}\). In both cases, the ionisation parameter is rather low (< 2) for most of the wind. Neutral species can exist, and therefore \(\alpha\) fluorescence transitions be excited by X-ray photons from the compact object, in the whole wind. The wind velocity compatible with the narrow width of Fe K\(\alpha\) is attained at \(r < 2.12 R_\odot\). The wind in 4U 1700–37 (O6.5Ia) is thicker than in QV Nor (B0.5Ib), with a density radially decreasing at much lower rate (since here \(\beta = 2 \pm 1\)). Thus, it is expected that transitions corresponding to neutral species will not decrease much during eclipse.

5.2.2 High-Ionisation Lines

The analysis of optical-UV spectra shows that the stellar wind of 4U 1700–37 must be clumped (Hainich et al. 2020). As discussed in Section 4, the Fe K\(\alpha\) fluorescence transitions, produced in the dense cold parts of the stellar, must be coexisting with a highly ionised plasma. While the former is associated with the overdense structures

---

\(^8\) The continuum, however, is reduced to a 0.1 its original intensity which, by contrast, increases the equivalent width of the Fe line.

\(^9\) \(v(r) = v_{\infty} \left[0.6(1 - \frac{R_\odot}{r})^{0.8} + 0.4(1 - \frac{R_\odot}{r})^{1.0}\right]\)

\(^{10}\) for \(d = 1.7\) kpc, \(L_X = [0.1 - 8] \times 10^{36}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) Haberl et al. (1989), = 0.2 \times 10^{36} Boroson et al. (2003), = 3.6 \times 10^{35} van der Meer et al. (2005)
in the stellar wind, a.k.a. wind clumps, the latter must arise, presumably, in the rarefied and hot interclump medium (Sako et al. 1999, for the case of Vela X-1). The fits to photoionisation plasma models allow us to gain insight into the density contrast between both. The normalization of the photoion model is \( N_{\text{phot}} = 10^{-10} EM / 4 \pi d^2 \) where \( EM \) is the plasma emission measure. Using values in Table 5, \( EM_1 = EM_{\text{hot}} = 1.2 \times 10^{56} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) (we associate the hot plasma with the interclump medium) while \( EM_2 = EM_{\text{cold}} = 5.5 \times 10^{57} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). Thus, the ratio \( N_2/N_1 = EM_{\text{clumps}} / EM_{\text{interclump}} = EM_2 / EM_1 \approx 5 \times 10^2 \). Now, \( EM \sim n^2 V \), where \( n \) and \( V \) are the density and volume of the emitting plasma. In a simplified case of two phase media consisting of the clumps and interclump gas (each of constant density), \( EM_c \sim n_c^2 V_c \) and \( EM_i \sim n_i^2 V_i \), and

\[
\frac{EM_c}{EM_i} = \frac{n_c^2 V_c}{n_i^2 V_i}.
\] (3)

Hainich et al. (2020) determined the clumping factor \( D \) which describes by how much density in clumps is enhanced compared to the density of a smooth wind, \( n_w \), with the same mass-loss rate. That is to say, \( n_c = D n_w \). Factor \( D \) is derived from the fitting of UV and optical spectra (Table 1) assuming that the interclump medium is void (thus \( n_i = 0 \)). This is suitable for the analysis since we assume that the interclump medium does not contribute to the emission in UV and optical. By analogy, let's define the parameter \( d \) describing by how much density is reduced in the interclump medium, \( n_i = D n_w \). Recalling that \( V_\text{wind} = V_c + V_i \), the clump volume filling factor becomes (see Eq. (19) in Surlan et al. 2012)

\[
f_v = \frac{V_c}{V_\text{wind}} = \frac{1 - d}{1 - D d}.
\] (4)

Then, the emission measure ratio determined from the observations can be expressed as

\[
\frac{EM_c}{EM_i} = \frac{D^2}{D^2 - 1} \frac{f_v}{1 - f_v}.
\] (5)

Combining Eq. (4) and (5),

\[
\frac{EM_c}{EM_i} = \frac{D^2}{D^2 - 1} \frac{1 - d}{d^2 - d^2}.
\] (6)

Since emission measure ratio and the clumping factor \( D \approx 20 \) are known, we can solve for \( d \) yielding \( d = 0.06 \), and the density ratio between clumps and interclump medium, \( n_c/n_i = D/d \approx 330 \). The clumps volume filling factor \( f_v \) is then \( \approx 0.05 \) (Eq. 4), very similar to that found for Vela X-1 by (Sako et al. 1999, \( f_v = 0.04 \)). In summary, while the wind mass is dominated by the clumps, 95% of the volume is occupied by the hot interclump medium, with a density contrast between them of several hundred.

On the other hand, the normalization of the collisionally ionised component, \( b v_{\text{avpec}} \), is \( \sim 9 \times 10^{-24} \). In this case \( N_{b v_{\text{avpec}}} = (EM / 4 \pi d^2) 10^{-14} \). Therefore, \( EM_{b v_{\text{avpec}}} \approx 3.11 \times 10^{55} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). For typical wind densities of the order of \( n \sim 10^{10-11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the plasma would have a characteristic size \( r \sim 10^{10-11} \text{ cm} = [ \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} ] R_c \). In other words, the collisionally ionised component is very localized within the system. 4U 1700–37 thus displays a hybrid plasma with photo-ionised and collisionally ionised contributions.

In a plasma strongly influenced by UV radiation, as expected from the hot photosphere of the star, the \( f/i \) ratio decreases due to the depopulation of \( f \) line into \( i \) (Gabriel & Jordan 1969). Thus, the \( R = f/i \) parameter tends to decrease, mimicking a higher density plasma. The Si\text{xii} triplet, by far the one showing the strongest signal in the eclipse spectrum, clearly shows \( f > i \) regardless of continuum illumination. This behavior is less clear for Mg\text{xi} due to the much higher uncertainties. Thus, the density values quoted in Table 4 (\( n_e \sim 3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)) must be taken as upper limits. Additionally, the analysis of the \( HST-UV \) spectra (Hainich et al. 2020), during which an X-ray flare occurred (Martinez-Chicharro et al. 2018), found stellar and wind parameters consistent with the ones expected for the donor’s spectral type. The stellar wind thus does not seem to be modified or perturbed at large scale by the neutron star’s X-ray emission.

Finally, highly ionised Fe\text{xv} and Fe\text{xvi} requires \( \log \xi \gtrsim 3 \), a condition which is only met very close to the neutron star (Fig. 8). Therefore these lines will be greatly diminished during eclipse, as observed\(^{11}\). They will also be more prone to react to changes in the X-ray continuum (Martinez-Chicharro et al. 2018).

We can estimate also the maximum radius of line formation. We have measured, or set upper limits to, the width of the emission lines. Assuming that the line is broadened by the bulk motion of the stellar wind, we can calculate the corresponding wind velocity \( v_w = c \sigma / \lambda \) for each ion. Then, using the parameters in Table 1 (\( v_{\text{wo}} = 1900 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and \( \beta = 2 \)) we can estimate the maximum formation radius \( r_{\text{max}} \), assuming a wind velocity profile type double beta law, as described above. These values are presented in Table 6.

The majority of line widths can not be resolved even at the \( Chandra-\text{HEG} \) resolution, locating the formation region relatively close to the donor’s photosphere. The exception is Si\text{xiv} Ly\alpha which extends up to \( 4 R_c \) while it can reach up to \( r_{\text{max}} = 24 R_c \) during high flux. There is no systematic separation between the neutral species and their highly ionised counterparts. Cold and hot wind phases must coexist at the same radial distances within the wind, consistent with the view of cold dense clumps interspersed in a hot rarefied interclump medium.

\(^{11}\) Some photons will still be visible during eclipse due to resonant scattering in the wind.

Table 6. Radial range formation radius of the different ions. The values correspond to the eclipse low state. For the triplets, only the \( r \) transition is quoted.

| Ion         | \( v_w \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( r_{\text{min}} \) (\( R_c \)) | \( r_{\text{max}} \) (\( R_c \)) |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Fe \( k\alpha \) | 770                       | 1.6                           | 4.1                           |
| Ca \text{xix}r | 1140                      | 2.3                           | 7.1                           |
| Ca \( k\alpha \) | 450                       | 1.3                           | 2.7                           |
| Ar \( k\alpha \) | 360                       | 1.2                           | 2.4                           |
| Si\text{xv} r | 360                       | 1.2                           | 2.4                           |
| S \( k\alpha \) | 280                       | 1.2                           | 2.2                           |
| Si\text{xiv} Ly\alpha | 1260                     | 2.7                           | 8.9                           |
| Si\text{xii} r | 590                       | 1.4                           | 3.2                           |
| Fe\text{xiv} | 360                       | 1.2                           | 2.4                           |
| Si\text{xiv} \( k\alpha \) | 930                      | 1.9                           | 5                             |
| Mg\text{xi} | 210                       | 1.1                           | 1.9                           |
6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an analysis of the first observation of 4U 1700–37 with Chandra High Energy Transmission Gratings during eclipse. This allow us to study in depth the back illuminated stellar wind structure and properties of the O6a star HD153919, the earliest donor in any HMXB, with unprecedented detail. We find that:

(i) emission lines from K shell transitions, corresponding to near neutral species, increase their brightness in response to an increased continuum illumination. However, they do not greatly diminish during eclipse, in contrast with other HMXBs with later type donors. This is readily explained if fluorescence Ka emission comes from the bulk of the wind.

(ii) In contrast, the highly ionised Fe xxv He-like and Fe xxvi Lyα must be produced in the vicinity of the compact object, the only region where the ionisation parameter is sufficiently high, log ξ > 3. Therefore, these lines diminish greatly during eclipse (Fe xxvi Lyα is not detected in eclipse).

(iii) the addition of two self consistent photo ionisation models photoemis, from xstar, with low ionisation (log ξ ~ −1) and high ionisation (log ξ ~ −2.4) degrees respectively, are required to describe the emission line spectrum. From their emission measures, and the clumping factor deduced from the optical-UV spectra, the clump-to-interclump density ratio can be estimated to be n_c/n_i ~ 330. However they are not able to fit the shape of the He-like Si xiii which shows a complex structure. Statistically, the fit requires line broadening with 180 km s^−1. Furthermore, to reproduce the observed r = f fluxes, the addition of a collisionally ionised plasma, with kT ~ 1 keV, is required. The emission measure of this component, however, points to a rather small plasma volume.

(iv) All detected emission lines widths appear unresolved at the Chandra HETC gratings resolution. The exception is Silicon. Assuming that the main broadening mechanism is the bulk plasma velocity, Si Kα shows a range 800–1000 km s^−1. On the other hand, Si xiv Lyα shows a range 1300–1800 km s^−1. There is no clear radial segregation between (quasi)neutral and ionised species. This is consistent with the picture of cold wind clumps interspersed in a hot rarefied interclump medium.
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Table A1. Eclipse emission lines (ObsID 18951).

| Line     | $\lambda$ (Å) | Line Flux $\times 10^6$ (ph s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\sigma$ | $\alpha$ | Bayesian Blocks | $\lambda$ (Å) | Line Flux $\times 10^6$ (ph s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\sigma$ | $\alpha$ | Bayesian Blocks |
|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|
| Fe kβ    | 1.760±0.008   | 31±22                           | 0.005   | +0.003    |                 | 1.761±0.007   | 100±60                          | 0.008   | +0.002    |                 |
| Fe xvi r | 1.848±0.012   | 13±18                           | 0.005   | +0.003    |                 | 1.876±0.017   | 33±30                          | 0.008   | +0.002    |                 |
| Fe xvi f | 1.865±0.012   | 87±14                           | 0.005   | +0.003    |                 | 1.904±0.010   | 270±40                         | 0.006   | +0.001    |                 |
| Ca xix (single) | 2.636±0.009 | 157±6                           | 0.01    | 2.2       |                 | 3.092±0.009   | 12±9                           | 0.008   | +0.001    |                 |
| Ar xv    | 3.168±0.011   | 3.2±3.7                         | 0.005   | 2.2       |                 | 3.358±0.009   | 6±4                            | 0.005   | -         |                 |
| Ca xix   | 4.197±0.005   | 0.05±9                          | 0.005   | +0.003    |                 | 7±4             | 0.005±0.003         | 4.189±0.009 | 10±6        | 0.007±0.002    |
| S xvi    | 5.044±0.006   | 2.8±4±2                         | 0.006   | +0.002    |                 | 5.091±0.008   | 7±8                            | 0.005±0.003 | 3.715±0.007 | 40±12         |
| S xvi f  | 5.377±0.004   | 16±7                            | 0.006   | +0.002    |                 | 6.190±0.014   | 9±4                            | 0.026±0.019 | 11±0±8      | 0.037±0.015    |
| Si xiv L yα | 6.648±0.001 | 10±4                            | 0.023±0.007 | 30   | 6.644±0.006   | 10±6            | 0.017±0.007 | 2.4±3±0      | 2.9±4±1       |
| Si xii t  | 6.687±0.009   | 2.4±5                            | 0.023±0.007 | 30   | 6.683±0.006   | 10±6            | 0.017±0.007 | 2.3±3±0      | 2.9±4±1       |
| Si xiii f  | 6.741±0.001   | 6.9±7                            | 0.023±0.007 | 30   | 6.736±0.006   | 10±6            | 0.017±0.007 | 2.3±3±0      | 2.9±4±1       |
| Si xiv κ α | 7.119±0.005 | 12.6±3±2                          | 0.018±0.002 | 20   | 7.115±0.007   | 25±0.6           | 0.026±0.004 | 1.9±1.5      | 1.9±1.5        |
| Mg xvi  | 7.212±0.007   | 1.9±1.5                          | 0.005±0.003 | 1.9 |                 | 7.823±0.068 | 1.1±1.5                        | 0.007±0.000 | 7.816±0.075 | 0.016±0.001   |
| Al xii r  | 8.445±0.013   | 2.8±2.1                          | 0.013±0.010 | 1.1 | 8.417±0.020  | 11±5            | 0.040±0.014 | 0.007±0.005 | 0.007±0.003   |
| Mg xii  | 9.151±0.012   | 3.9±3.4                          | 0.007±0.000 | 2.9 | 9.155±0.011  | 5±5             | 0.007±0.008 | 0.007±0.003 | 0.007±0.003   |
| Mg xii t  | 9.212317     | 2.4±3.1                          | 0.008                            | 9.176157  | 3.1±4±5      | 5±4             | 0.007±0.008 | 0.007±0.003 | 0.007±0.003   |
| Mg xii f  | 9.296917     | 2.1±2.9                          | 0.008                            | 9.260757  | 5±5±2       | 5±5             | 0.007±0.008 | 0.007±0.003 | 0.007±0.003   |
| Ne x γ  | 9.799±0.021 | 1.3±4                            | 0.05±0.003                        | 9.700±0.024 | 5±8±3       | 5±8             | 0.014±0.058 | 0.004±0.009 | 0.007±0.003   |
| Fe xx  | 10.216±0.056 | 7±6                             | 0.07±0.006                        | 9.84±0.017 | 2.9±5±2     | 2.9±5±2         | 0.005±0.003 | 0.005±0.003 | 0.005±0.003   |
| Ne x β  | 11.16±0.09 | 5±7                             | 0.017±0.004                        | 11.56±0.04 | 6±12±4     | 6±12±4          | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.003 | 0.007±0.003   |
| Ne x α  | 12.115±0.014 | 6±9                             | 0.005±0.003                        | 12.12±0.012 | 14±15      | 14±15          | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.000   |
| Ne x r  | 13.49±0.00  | 11±5                            | 0.007±0.003                        | 13.847±0.014 | 17±17      | 17±17          | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.000   |
| Ne x i  | 13.52±0  | 3±2                              | 0.00014±0.000                     | 13.56±0  | 0±0±0      | 0±0±0          | 0.002±0.000 | 0.002±0.000 | 0.002±0.000   |
| Ne x f  | 13.66±0  | 0±0                              | 0.00015±0.000                     | 13.70±0  | 0±0±0      | 0±0±0          | 0.02±0.000  | 0.02±0.000  | 0.02±0.000    |
| Ne x r  | 14.79±0.49 | 20±19                           | 0.099±0.004                        | 14.15±0.012 | 14±15      | 14±15          | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.000 | 0.007±0.000   |
Table A2. Out-of-Eclipse emission lines (ObsID 17630).

| Line   | λ (Å)       | Line Flux (10^{-6} cm^{-2}) | σ   | Bayesian Blocks | λ (Å)       | Line Flux (10^{-6} cm^{-2}) | σ   | Bayesian Blocks |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------|
| Fe β   | 1.753 ± 0.003 | 190 ± 180                  | 0.005 |                  | 1.756 ± 0.002 | 251 ± 400                 | 0.005 |                  |
| Fe XXVI α | 1.77 ± 0.006   | 12 ± 10                    | 0.005 |                  | 1.777 ± 0.011 | -240 ± 40                | 0.005 |                  |
| Fe XXV | 1.854 ± 0.004 | 170 ± 100                  | 0.005 |                  | 1.855 ± 0.004 | 170 ± 50                  | 0.005 |                  |
| Fe Kα  | 1.935 ± 0.003 | 330 ± 120                  | 0.005 | 4               | 1.939 ± 0.003 | 970 ± 360                 | 0.005 | 1.7             |
| Ar XXV | 3.363 ± 0.017 | 34 ± 32                    | 0.005 |                  | 3.361 ± 0.008 | 220 ± 120                 | 0.005 |                  |
| Ar Kα  | 4.18 ± 0.002  | 17 ± 18                    | 0.005 |                  | 4.180 ± 0.021 | 30 ± 40                  | 0.005 |                  |
| S XV  r | 5.048 ± 0.005 | 3 ± 3                      | 0.005 |                  | 5.036 ± 0.008 | 60 ± 50                  | 0.005 |                  |
| S XV  i | 5.071 ± 0.003 | 4 ± 4                      | 0.005 |                  | 5.062 ± 0.008 | 0                      | 0.005 |                  |
| S XV  f | 5.108 ± 0.010 | 8 ± 8                      | 0.005 |                  | 5.098 ± 0.008 | 21 ± 21                 | 0.005 |                  |
| S VI-VII Kα | 5.359 ± 0.005 | 20 ± 15                    | 0.005 |                  | 5.323 ± 0.006 | 80 ± 50                  | 0.005 |                  |
| Si XIV Lyα | 6.167 ± 0.023 | 2.7 ± 3                    | 0.005 | 7               | 6.190 ± 0.016 | 10 ± 10                  | 0.005 |                  |
| Si XIII r | 6.644 ± 0.006 | 4 ± 5                      | 0.005 | 9               | 6.647 ± 0.004 | 10 ± 10                  | 0.005 |                  |
| Si XIII i | 6.683 ± 0.006 | 3 ± 4                      | 0.005 | 9               | 6.685 ± 0.004 | 11 ± 10                  | 0.005 |                  |
| Si XIII f | 6.738 ± 0.006 | 2 ± 3                      | 0.005 | 9               | 6.738 ± 0.004 | 17 ± 10                  | 0.005 |                  |
| Si Kα  | 7.106 ± 0.005 | 11 ± 5                     | 0.005 | 10              | 7.109 ± 0.007 | 31 ± 13                  | 0.005 | 3               |
| Mg XI  | 7.738 ± 0.015 | 2.3 ± 2                    | 0.008 |                  | 7.84 ± 0.06 | 2.4 ± 1.2                | 0.005 |                  |

* Numbers without errors have been fixed at the quoted values.