Students’ Perceptions and Attitudes After Exposure to Three Different Instructional Strategies in Applied Anatomy
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Background: The advancements of technologies have developed anatomical education into a new era. The study aims to assess medical students’ performance and overall satisfaction who used the anatomage table and plastinated specimens for the teaching and learning anatomy courses.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted on students of the first-year college of medicine at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU). Students were randomly distributed equally into three groups, A, B, and C. All groups were taken two sessions of lectures for one hour each. Each lecture was followed by a practical session of two hours. Group A learned with the “Anatomage” table and Group B learned the same topics on plastinated specimens. Group C was learning on both plastinated specimens and the “Anatomage” table. The objective structured practical examination was given to all students immediately after the practical sessions. A structured questionnaire was given to each group to determine the students’ views on the educational methods.

Results: There was a statistically significant difference between the means of the total scale scores for the three teaching methods, where students expressed a higher attitude towards both strategies for teaching in comparison to the anatomage table and plastinated specimens for teaching, where the means were 18±4.4, 18.3±4.6, 20.4±5.6, respectively, F=12.6 and P=0.0001. There were higher and positive students’ attitudes regarding the five statements in favor of both models teaching compared to anatomage table and plastinated model teaching alone.

Conclusion: The first-year medical students have valued the combination of anatomage table and plastinated prossections in learning and assessing anatomy education at the undergraduate level. The advantages outweigh the limitation of these educational tools.
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Introduction
The science of human anatomy typically focuses on studies the human body structures at various levels, macro, micro, and developmental.1 Undoubtedly, anatomy is a cornerstone of basic science medical education for medical students worldwide.2 All medical students must learn gross anatomy, histology, and embryology; these are essential medical and applied health basic sciences.1,3

The foundation of anatomy education prepares the students for clinical education and future practice of medicine.4 Most medical and health sciences schools use different modern educational strategies in teaching and learning anatomy for
their undergraduate students. Technology has recently played essential roles in developing education in anatomy.\textsuperscript{5,6} Cadavers are indispensable tools that students and educators have used for teaching anatomy since the Renaissance.\textsuperscript{7} The method of formaldehyde fixation usually preserves cadavers. However, there are some disadvantages to the process of fixation by Formaldehyde. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) had classified Formaldehyde as a probable human carcinogen.\textsuperscript{8,9} Health education institutions face many challenges and difficulties associated with providing cadavers for educational purposes. Among the most prominent of these challenges are legal, administrative, cultural, religious, social, economic, and educational issues. Therefore, there were efforts to overcome these obstacles by finding technical and computer alternatives to develop anatomical education.\textsuperscript{10} Anatomical plastination is a well-known, relatively new technique to preserve body or body parts. In plastination, water and fat are substituted by special plastics that lead to unique specimens that can be touched, odorless, decompose, and even maintain most original parts.\textsuperscript{11} Some programs have found plastination specimens similarly applicable to dissection and cadavers management in conveying anatomical lessons. This modality is particularly suitable for a system-based teaching anatomy approach, requiring fewer cadaveric dissection and allowing for similar exposure to information with minimum course time.\textsuperscript{12} With plastination techniques, tissues may be stored for a long time in a non-toxic, dry, durable, and odorless way.\textsuperscript{13} Anatomage Table is a well-developed digital solution designed for anatomy education.\textsuperscript{14} Stereoscopic images have been taken by computerized tomography (C.T.) scan, X-Ray, ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the different human body parts with software to build a 3-dimensional reconstruction of the whole human body. The anatomage technology has been adopted by many of the world’s leading medical and health school.\textsuperscript{15} The study aims to enrich academic knowledge with different anatomy teaching methods and measure students’ performance and overall satisfaction who used the anatomage table and plastinated specimens; We assumed that the diversity of learning resources more effective and increase students’ perception of learning anatomy.

**Materials and Methods**

A cross-sectional study, was conducted after approval from the IMSIU IRB committee number 44–2019 dated 2 April 2019. All participated students were informed about the purpose of the study. The urinary system was taken as a topic of learning. Two hundred and eleven students of the first-year college of medicine, IMSIU, have been invited to participate in the study. Students were randomly distributed equally into three groups A, B, and C. All groups were taken two sessions of lectures for one hour each. Each lecture was followed by a practical session of two hours. Regarding the first-year students, Group A learned kidney, ureter, urinary bladder, and urethra with the “anatomage” virtual dissection table during practical hours. Group B learned the same topics on plastinated specimens during the practical session. Group C was learning the same topics on both plastinated specimens and the “anatomage” virtual dissection table during the practical session. Objective structured practical examination (OSPE) was given to all students immediately after the practical sessions. It was designed and consisted of ten practical questions. All questions were validated for construct validity by faculty members of the anatomy department. Groups (A) were tested with the help of the “Anatomage” virtual dissection table Groups (B) were tested with the use of plastinated specimens. Groups (C) were tested with plastinated specimens and the “Anatomage” virtual dissection table The OSPE was planned for ten marks assigned for practical examination was conducted in three batches, on the same exam day using same questions for each patch but demonstrated according to teaching methods. The OSPE exam consisted of 10 questions of one mark each. The time allotted for each question was 2 minutes. The questions were selected to represent the learning objectives from the first term of the anatomy curriculum. A structured questionnaire was given to each group to determine the students’ attitudes on the educational methods. The questionnaire consisted of 15 closed-ended questions. Fifteen questions employed a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 5- strongly agree to 1- strongly disagree. The questionnaire was tested in a pilot study on 15 students, where the cronbach's alpha was 90.2%.

**Statistical Analysis**

One-way analysis of variance was used to compare the mean values of quantitative variables across the three
instructional methods. Then the pair means were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test. The analysis was carried out using SPSS PC 17.0 statistical software.

Results
The total number of students who responded to the questionnaire was 191 (90.2% response rate). Their age is ranging from 19 to 21 years. Most participants were males (85%), and all were in the first-year college of medicine, IMSIU. There was a statistically significant difference between the means of the total scale scores for the three teaching methods, where students expressed a higher attitude towards both strategies for teaching in comparison to the anatomage table and plastinated models for teaching, where the means were 18±4.4, 18.3±4.6, 20.4±5.6 respectively, F=12.9, P=0.0001, Table 1. Post hoc test (Tukey’s) showed that the combined method was significantly different from the other methods. No significant difference was detected between anatomage and plastinated models. No statistically significant difference was detected between the students’ final grades regarding the three teaching methods (F= 1.2 and P=0.3), Table 2. Table 3 illustrates students’ attitudes and perspectives towards the five statements, across the three teaching methods, after combining the two categories strongly agree and agree together. There were higher and positive students’ attitudes regarding the five statements in favor of both models teaching compared to anatomage table and plastinated model teaching alone. No statistical difference was detected regarding the statements related to understanding different body organs’ relations and identifications of varying body structures.

Discussion
Anatomy subject is considering the basic foundation of learning medicine. The teaching of Anatomy plays a vital role in the process of training medical professionals and thereby ensuring safe medical practices. Anatomy remains a core topic for 1st-year medical students. The current study was conducted among first-year medical college students at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University to assess medical students’ performance and overall satisfaction who used the anatomage table and plastinated specimens for the teaching and learning anatomy courses. The present results showed that most students were male (85%). One of the most critical aspects of new teaching methods development is gender difference. This result is consistent with Elkordy et al and Bin Eid et al, and disagreed with another study which reported an equal number of males and females. The current study has shown a statistically significant difference between the three teaching methods’ total scale scores. The students expressed a higher attitude towards teaching strategies than the anatomage table and plastinated models for education but did not significantly differentiate between anatomage and plastinated models. This finding supports that the undergraduate students prefer the combination of anatomage and plastinated in teaching and learning anatomy. Results of previous research confirmed that combining multiple teaching methods is the best way to teach modern anatomy. Virtual reality-based computer technology and 3D learning methods are more effective learning anatomy methods than traditional methods. The finding of another reported study showed that students using online resources to study anatomical material missed the interaction of working in groups around a teaching resource. Several studies on teaching Human Anatomy have already compared new teaching methods to traditional ones. Using the technology to enhance medical education is not an alternative for other learning tools but rather a complementary tool to support the educational process. Additionally, several studies demonstrated the medical student’s perception toward anatomage table alone or plastination alone. Still, there have been no studies showing medical students’ attitude towards teaching strategies, anatomage table, and plastinated models for education. On the other hand, a previous study indicated that more than 80% of medical students are satisfied with learning medicine using virtual reality and

| Method of Teaching      | Mean ± S.D. | F       | P-value |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Anatomy table           | 18±4.4      | 12.9    | 0.0001  |
| Plastinated model       | 18±4.6      |         |         |
| Both models             | 20.4±5.6    |         |         |

| Method of Teaching | Mean ± S.D. | F   | P-value |
|--------------------|-------------|-----|---------|
| Anatomy table      | 69.58±16.4  | 1.2 | 0.3     |
| Plastinated model  | 73.61±13.8  |     |         |
| Both models        | 72.94±18.4  |     |         |

Table 2 Distribution of the Students’ Final Grades Means Across the Three Teaching Methods
Table 3 Attitudes of Students Towards the Three Teaching Methods

| Statements                                                                 | Anatomage Table | Plastinated Models | Both Models | P-value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|
| Using this teaching method has a Significant benefit in learning outcomes?| 107 56.4        | 117 61.3           | 139 72.8    | 0.002   |
| Using this teaching method will prepare you to enter a health care profession.| 83 43.5        | 116 60.7           | 128 67      | 0.002   |
| Using this teaching method gives a better idea about the relations of different body organs? | 123 64.4      | 104 54.5           | 134 70.2    | 0.001   |
| Using this teaching method in the study of sections in different planes helps better understand the relations of varying body organs? | 112 58.6      | 112 58.6           | 125 65.4    | 0.28    |
| Using this teaching method facilitates the identification of different structures in the body? | 118 61.8      | 110 57.6           | 120 62.8    | 0.7     |

anatomage table. It is an excellent complement to other methods with several benefits and advantages, but it is not without flaws.25,26 Despite the technical improvements in the methods of teaching anatomy, there is still a failure in students’ direct learning on the real human organs and tissues. So the best solution is to combine technical and actual means to complement each other.27

A comparative study was done between plastinated and cadaveric specimens; the student’s feedback to various courses showed the spatial orientation of plastinated specimens than the cadaveric one, but there was no awareness of the plastination technique.13 Moreover, the students required more plastinated specimens to understand the complicated structure, such as the arch of the aorta, segmental branch of the renal artery, and bronchial tree. There is no doubt that teaching with multiple techniques is fundamental to make the student understand a vast subject like anatomy. Plastination is a new approach to teach anatomy. It is a technique that allows tissue preservation in a dry, non-toxic, durable and odorless state. It will enable students to have hands-on experiences in this field without exposure to a chemical such as formalin.13 The present results revealed higher and positive students’ attitudes regarding the five statements in favor of both models teaching compared to anatomage table and plastinated model teaching methods. The study participants have particularly stated that both anatomage and plastinated models have a significant benefit in learning outcomes, prepare the student to enter a health care profession, and give a better idea about the relations of different body organs with no statistical difference regarding understanding other body organs’ relations and identifications of varying body structures. Therefore, the first-year medical student valued anatomage table and plastinated specimens as high-quality anatomy learning tools. The current study has to support the combination of both tools in undergraduate education for better graduation and professional health care.

According to the results of the study,14 the anatomage table increases the student’s understanding of the internal locations of the human body’s organs and the relationships between the various internal structures of the human body.28 It also allows the user to view a complete and ideal three-dimensional display of the size, position, and relationships of organs, vessels, nerves, muscles, and spaces inside the human body. Students value learning using the Anatomage Table. This is why students believe that the electronic dissection table is a valuable and effective tool in preparing them for medical practice.29,30 The present study showed no significant difference between the students’ final grades regarding the three teaching methods. The small sample size could explain this particular finding.

Limitation

The teaching strategies were concise to a limited part in anatomy, making it difficult to explore different teaching methods. The exam question was the same in different teaching methods, even though other teaching methods could have shown their advantages and disadvantages with more detailed and specialized questions.
Conclusion
The first-year medical students have valued the combination of anatomy table and plastinated projections in learning and assessing anatomy education at the undergraduate level. The advantages outweigh the limitation of these educational tools.
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