Hepatectomy for spontaneous rupture of hepatocellular carcinoma without portal triad clamping
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Abstract. Hepatectomy without portal triad clamping may decrease the incidence of liver injury; however, the effects of hepatectomy without portal triad clamping in the treatment of spontaneous rupture of hepatocellular carcinoma (SRHCC) remain unclear. The aims of the present study were to evaluate the therapeutic value of hepatectomy without portal triad clamping in the treatment of patients with SRHCC. The present study retrospectively reviewed patients with SRHCC who received hepatectomy without portal triad clamping (non-clamping group) and the therapeutic efficacy was compared with that of 20 patients with SRHCC undergoing the same surgery in the presence of portal triad clamping (clamping group). Following hepatectomy, the non-clamping group exhibited a significantly lower incidence of acute liver failure compared with the clamping group (P<0.05). No significant differences in operative time, intra-operative blood loss, disease-free or overall survival times between the two groups were identified (all P>0.05). At 1 week and 2 weeks after surgery, the non-clamping group exhibited significantly lower alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase and total bilirubin serum levels compared with the clamping group (all P<0.05). Hepatectomy without portal triad clamping may decrease the incidence of liver injury and liver failure in patients with SRHCC, suggesting that it may be a safe and effective therapeutic strategy.

Introduction

Spontaneous rupture of hepatocellular carcinoma (SRHCC) is a rare but fatal complication observed in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The incidence of SRHCC in patients with HCC is between 3 and 15%, and such patients exhibit a poor therapeutic outcome. In addition, the mortality rate of patients with SRHCC is currently between 50 and 80% (1,2). A previous study of 12 patients with SRHCC who received a hepatectomy, observed that 1 patient (8.3%) with Child-Pugh class B survived for only 4 days and succumbed to liver failure [the diagnosis of liver failure was according to the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (3)]; the remaining 11 patients (91.7%) had a median survival time of 16.5 months (4). Hepatectomy for patients with SRHCC prolongs their mean survival time; however, there have been instances of post-operative liver failure leading to mortality. Potential reasons for this may be: First, patients with SRHCC experience various extents of blood loss prior to surgery, which may result in hemodynamic changes or even decreased hepatic blood supply; secondly, liver ischemia/reperfusion as a result of surgery and portal triad clamping may further aggravate liver injury; and lastly, concomitant hepatic cirrhosis also predicts a poor prognosis (5). The prognosis of patients with SRHCC may therefore be improved if liver injury is attenuated and the risk of liver failure following surgery is minimized. Between January 1970 and February 2010, a total of 38 patients with SRHCC received a hepatectomy at Xiangya Hospital and Xiangya Third Hospital (Changsha, China), and 18 patients received a hepatectomy without portal triad clamping which resulted in attenuation of liver injury and decreased short-term mortality.

Patients and methods

Patients. A total of 38 patients with SRHCC underwent a hepatectomy at Xiangya Hospital and Xiangya Third Hospital between January 1970 and February 2010. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Third Hospital and informed written consent was obtained from each patient. Patients that were treated with sorafenib, transcatheter arterial embolization (TAE) or transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) were excluded. The sample comprised 31 male and 7 female patients ranging between 22 and
68 years of age. Non-coagulable blood was observed following abdominal paracentesis, and postoperative pathology revealed HCC, confirming the diagnosis of SRHCC. The following criteria were used for the selection of patients with SRHCC for emergency hepatectomy: i) Patients were in a good general condition of health prior to SRHCC; ii) shock [systolic blood pressure ≤70 mmHg or systolic blood pressure between 71 and 90 mmHg with heart rate ≥108 beats per min (6)] was rapidly controlled shortly following admission; iii) hepatic cirrhosis was mild during the surgery; iv) cancer was confined to a single lobe and clinicians had no difficulty in performing the hepatectomy; and v) there were no metastatic foci.

**Grouping.** In the present retrospective study, patients were divided into two groups on the basis of hepatic portal triad clamping during surgery (the clamping group and non-clamping group).

The portal triad clamping maneuver was routinely used with cycles of clamp/unclamp times of 15/5 min. There were 20 patients in the clamping group including 16 males and 4 females. The median age was 51 years (range, 22-60 years). A total of 14 patients in this group (70%) had a history of hepatitis B and 2 patients had a concomitant Schistosoma infection. All 20 patients exhibited abdominal pain, 14 patients (70%) had generalized peritonitis and 7 patients (35%) had shock. Notably, 16 patients (80%) had serum AFP >200 U/l. A total of 11 patients received computed tomography and 16 patients received ultrasonography. The two procedures revealed space-occupying lesions in the liver. Concomitant hepatic cirrhosis was noted in 14 patients (70%), Child-Pugh class A in 16 patients (80%) and Child-Pugh class B in 4 patients (20%) according to the Child-Pugh classification (7). A total of 19 patients (95%) exhibited a single cancer and 1 patient (5%) exhibited multiple foci in the liver. The diameter of tumors ranged between 6.0 and 10.5 cm (mean, 8 cm).

There were 18 patients in the non-clamping group, including 15 males and 3 females. The median age was 56 years (range, 26-68 years). A total of 15 patients (83.3%) had a history of hepatitis B (HBV) and 1 patient had a concomitant Schistosoma infection. All 18 patients exhibited abdominal pain, 13 patients (72.2%) exhibited signs of generalized peritonitis and 7 patients (38.9%) had shock. Notably, 15 patients (83.3%) had serum AFP >200 U/l. A total of 11 patients underwent ultrasonography and 16 patients received computed tomography scans. The two procedures revealed space-occupying lesions in the liver and ascites. Concomitant hepatic cirrhosis was noted in 14 patients (77.8%), Child-Pugh class A in 12 patients (66.7%) and Child-Pugh class B in 6 patients (33.3%). A total of 16 patients (88.9%) had a single cancer, whereas 2 patients (11.1%) had multiple foci in the liver. The diameter of tumors ranged between 7.0 and 12.5 cm (mean, 9 cm). There were no marked differences in clinical characteristics, Child-Pugh classification or cancer diameter between the two groups (Table I).

**Therapeutic protocol**

**Clamping group.** The hepatic portal triad was clamped at room temperature for a mean of 9 min (range, 2-22 min). Of the 20 patients, 19 received an emergency hepatectomy and peritoneal lavage. Of the patients who received an emergency hepatectomy, 8 patients received a left lateral lobectomy, 2 patients received a left inner lobectomy and 1 patient received a left hepatectomy. A total of 3 patients received a regional HCC resection and 5 patients received a partial right hepatectomy. All 20 patients had hemostasis with gauzes and peritoneal lavage due to lack of a blood source, with a second left hepatectomy performed 36 h later. Intra-operative pathology revealed that the surgical margin was negative in patients who received regional HCC resections. Oxygen inhalation, fluid supplementation and administration of albumin and broad-spectrum antibiotics were performed post-operatively. Patients treated later than the year 2000 received oral lamivudine (100 mg per day) following surgery when HBV DNA levels were >500 copies/ml.

**Non-clamping group.** Hepatectomy was performed without portal triad clamping. All 18 patients received an emergency hepatectomy and peritoneal lavage. A total of 6 patients received a left lateral lobectomy, 2 patients received a left inner lobectomy and 2 patients received a left hepatectomy. A total of 4 patients received a regional HCC resection and 4 patients received a partial right hepatectomy. Intra-operative pathology revealed that the surgical margin was negative in patients who received regional hepatectomy. Intra-operative peritoneal lavage and other post-operative therapies were identical with those in the clamping group.

**Observations and clinical evaluations.** The intra-operative blood loss, volume of blood transfusion, surgical time, incidence of post-operative complications and mortality were compared between the two groups.

Fresh liver specimens were obtained during surgery and examined immediately by pathologists with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining. Hemoglobin (Hb), platelets (PLT), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin (TBil), fibrinogen (FBI) and α-fetoprotein (AFP) levels were determined, and prothrombin (PT) and kaolin partial thromboplastin (KPTT) times were recorded prior to, and at 1 week and 2 weeks after, hepatectomy. Ultrasonography and/or computed tomography scans were used for imaging the liver prior to, and at 2 weeks after, hepatectomy. The liver tissue samples collected during surgery were processed for pathological examination.

**Follow-up.** All the patients received follow-up examinations. The disease-free and overall survival times were determined.

**Statistical analysis.** Quantitative data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation. Quantitative data obtained were compared among the groups by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's post-hoc test. Qualitative data were analyzed using a χ² test and survival analysis was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier estimator method with a log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Evaluation of intra-operative blood loss, blood transfused, surgery time, mortality and incidence of post-operative complications.** In the clamping group, intra-operative blood
Blood levels of Hb, PLT, ALT, AST, TBil, PT, KPTT, FBI and AFP. No significant differences were observed in Hb, PLT, ALT, AST, TBil, PT, KPTT, FBI or AFP between the two groups prior to surgery (all P>0.05). Serum ALT, AST and TBil levels in the non-clamping group were significantly decreased at 1 week and 2 weeks after surgery compared with the clamping group (all P<0.05), but no significant differences in Hb, PLT, PT, KPTT, PFIB or AFP levels between the two groups were observed (all P>0.05; Fig. 1).

Liver imaging examinations. Prior to surgery, computed tomography scans of the liver in the two groups revealed space-occupying lesions surrounded by multiple high-intensity hematomas and hemoperitoneum (Fig. 2A). At 2 weeks after surgery, computed tomography scans of the liver revealed no space-occupying lesions in the liver and surrounding hematomas, and hemoperitoneum were not observed (Fig. 2B).

Pathological examination. Pathological examination of specimens from the two groups revealed HCC with hemorrhagic necrosis and hematoma (Fig. 3A), as well as venous rupture and thrombi at the site of rupture (Fig. 3B).

Disease-free survival and overall survival. Of the 38 patients with SRHCC (97.4%), 37 received an emergency hepatectomy, these patients did not exhibit intra-operative peritoneal dissemination. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 67.6 (25/37), 24.3 (9/37) and 16.2% (6/37), respectively. In addition, 2.6% (1/38) of the patients with SRHCC received a two-stage liver resection and exhibited no intra-operative peritoneal dissemination. However, these patients succumbed to peritoneal dissemination of liver cancer 9 months later (Fig. 4A).

In the clamping group, the survival time ranged from 4 days to 309 months (median, 17.0 months) and the mean disease-free survival time was 12.5 months. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 65.5 (13/20), 25.0 (5/20) and 15.0% (3/20), respectively. In the non-clamping group, the median survival time was 28.5 months (range, 1.5-294 months) and the mean disease-free survival time was 18.25 months. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 6.7 (12/18), 22.2 (4/18) and 16.7% (3/18), respectively. There were no significant differences in disease-free or overall survival rates between the two groups (P>0.05; Fig. 4B).

Discussion

Surgical therapy of SRHCC remains contentious and certain clinicians recommend non-surgical therapy including TAE.
and TACE. The hemostasis rate of TAE was as high as 97% (32/33) and the therapeutic efficacy of TAE suggests that it may be an emergent strategy for hemostasis. However, patients receiving TAE have a median survival time of 9 weeks (8). TACE has been demonstrated to effectively inhibit the growth of HCC cells and decrease tumor size; the hemostasis rate was as high as 100% in the short term (24/24) and patients receiving TACE may survive for longer than 110 days (9). Although TAE and TACE are minimally invasive and exhibit a high hemostasis rate, the survival time of patients receiving TAE or TACE was decreased when compared with patients receiving hepatectomy. The presence of SRHCC does not reflect that the cancer is in an advanced stage and HCC not progressing into the advanced stage is associated with the risk of spontaneous rupture (5). Additionally, since a number of clinical studies have suggested that hepatectomy for SRHCC may prolong the survival time, certain clinicians preferentially perform a hepatectomy to treat patients with SRHCC (10-14).

When to surgically intervene in SRHCC treatment remains controversial and it remains unclear whether emergency hepatectomy has an improved outcome compared with TAE followed by two-stage hepatectomy. Clinicians who support TAE followed by hepatectomy propose that TAE is effective for hemostasis in the acute stage of SRHCC and may subsequently allow more time for evaluation of HCC, and improvement of hepatic functional reservation before two-stage hepatectomy (15,16). A previous study revealed that patients receiving TAE for hemostasis and subsequent two-stage hepatectomy exhibited 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates of 88.5, 64.4 and 55.2%, respectively (17). Clinicians supporting emergency hepatectomy suggested that SRHCC may cause abdominal dissemination of HCC cells due to bleeding (18). SRHCC has been revealed to cause abdominal metastasis (19-21) and multiple metastatic foci have been observed 3 months after SRHCC (22). However, intra-operative peritoneal lavage may effectively prevent abdominal metastasis of HCC, delay the recurrence of HCC following surgery and improve the survival rate (23). In addition, the progression of HCC may cause metastasis due to a long interval between TAE and hepatectomy, and the untreated hemoperitoneum may increase the risk of ankyleneteron and sepsis. It has been reported that 10% of patients with SRHCC receiving two-stage hepatectomy developed intra-operative abdominal dissemination and 33.3% developed recurrence during the postoperative follow-up. However, no abdominal dissemination was observed in patients with SRHCC receiving emergency hepatectomy and 20% of these patients exhibited recurrence during the postoperative follow-up. Investigating clinicians therefore recommended that hepatectomy and peritoneal lavage be performed as early as possible to decrease the incidence of abdominal dissemination (24). In the present study, 97.4% of patients received emergency hepatectomy and 33.3% had abdominal dissemination confirmed by intra-operative pathological examination. Intra-operative peritoneal dissemination was not noted, and the 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 67.6 (25/37), 24.3 (9/37) and 16.2% (6/37), respectively. The survival rates in these patients were consistent with those of previous studies (1,23). Emergency hepatectomy may achieve definite hemostasis, treat HCC and decrease abdominal dissemination. Emergency hepatectomy, subsequent peritoneal lavage and negative surgical margins, confirmed by intra-operative pathological examination, may

| Parameter | Clamping group n=20 | Non-clamping group n=18 | P-value |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Mean operative time (range), min | 189.5 (90-280) | 194.4 (90-320) | NS      |
| Mean blood loss (range), ml | 989.8 (50-3,625) | 1061.0 (100-2,900) | NS      |
| Mean blood transfusion (range), ml | 921.0 (100-4,200) | 895.0 (0-3,000) | NS      |
| Treatment |                      |                         |         |
| Emergency hepatectomy | 19 | 18 | NS      |
| Staged hepatectomy | 1 | 0 | NS      |
| Type of resection |                      |                         |         |
| Left lateral lobectomy | 8 | 6 | NS      |
| Left lobe resection | 2 | 2 | NS      |
| Left liver resection | 2 | 2 | NS      |
| Limited | 3 | 4 | NS      |
| Partial right hepatectomy | 5 | 4 | NS      |
| Complication |                      |                         |         |
| Total | 13 | 3 | 0.0026  |
| Gastrointestinal bleeding | 1 | 0 | NS      |
| Pulmonary infection | 3 | 1 | NS      |
| Acute liver failure | 5 | 0 | 0.0228  |
| Wound infection | 4 | 2 | NS      |

NS, not significant.
therefore be effective therapeutic strategies for SRHCC and for decreasing abdominal dissemination.

During hepatectomy, hepatic portal triad clamping is usually used to completely or partially block blood supply to the liver at room temperature, aiming to decrease blood loss during surgery and increase safety (25). However, hepatic portal triad clamping has been revealed to cause liver ischemia/reperfusion injury which may induce postoperative...
liver failure or even mortality (26). In certain patients, HCC is derived from chronic hepatic fibrosis and hepatic cirrhosis (27). In the present study, 73.7% (28/38) of patients with SRHCC had concomitant hepatic cirrhosis. However, the cirrhotic liver is susceptible to transient ischemia and patients with SRHCC and hepatic cirrhosis exhibited bleeding and ischemic injury before hepatectomy. The use of hepatic portal triad clamping for hepatectomy therefore comes with a risk of severe complications including liver failure and infection. Additionally, postoperative recovery is often unstable and patients are at risk of mortality after surgery. Attenuating postoperative liver injury and liver failure in patients with SRHCC and hepatic cirrhosis is of pivotal clinical importance. Although there is evidence demonstrating that hepatectomy without portal triad clamping may attenuate postoperative liver injury and decrease the incidence of liver failure (28), to the best of our knowledge, there are no clinical trial data in patients with SRHCC to
confirm this. Patients with SRHCC usually have a poor prognosis and those who decline surgery often succumb within 1 month (29). Hepatectomy in patients with SRHCC may significantly prolong survival time. A study evaluating 60 patients with SRHCC who received hepatectomy revealed that the 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 54.2, 35.0 and 21.1%, respectively. These data indicated that the prognosis of these patients was comparable with that of patients with HCC without SRHCC (72.1, 47.3 and 33.3%, respectively) (1). However, this therapy possesses risks of postoperative liver failure and mortality following surgery. Attenuation of liver failure and decrease postoperative mortality has been a challenge in patients with SRHCC receiving hepatectomy, with portal triad clamping having been used to attenuate liver injury in patients with HCC. Clinical trials exploring the use of hepatectomy without portal triad clamping have not been reported in patients with HCC with SRHCC, a life-threatening complication of HCC.

The aim of the present study was to decrease liver injury and postoperative mortality in 18 patients with SRHCC by treating them with hepatectomy without portal triad clamping. The results of the present study demonstrate that the incidence of acute liver failure and postoperative recovery of liver function in the non-clamping group was superior to that in the clamping group, although there was no marked difference in survival time. Portal triad clamping aggravates liver injury and increases the incidence of acute liver failure. Hepatectomy without portal triad clamping attenuates liver injury and decreases the incidence of acute liver failure. The results of the present study suggest that hepatectomy without portal triad clamping is the preferred strategy to treat patients with SRHCC.

In summary, hepatectomy without portal triad clamping attenuated liver injury and decreased the incidence of acute liver failure in patients with SRHCC with hepatic cirrhosis; intra-operative peritoneal lavage and negative surgical margins confirmed by intra-operative pathological examination may increase the survival rate of patients with SRHCC.
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