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The Distribution of Blastocystis Subtypes among School-aged Children in Mugla, Turkey
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Abstract

Background: Blastocystis is a common protozoon that inhabits human intestinal tract and has a worldwide distribution. This study aimed to determine subtype (ST) distribution of Blastocystis among school-aged children in a western city of Turkey between Mar and Jun 2014.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among primary school children in Mugla between Mar and Jun 2014. Overall, 468 stool samples from children were examined by direct microscopy and inoculated into Jones medium. Blastocystis partial small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (SSU-rDNA) was amplified and sequenced from culture positive isolates. Subtypes were determined according to closest or exact match at GenBank and Blastocystis ST (18S) database.

Results: The positive rate of Blastocystis was 7.4% (n=35) with xenic in-vitro culture (XIVC). The subtypes could be identified for 33 (94.2%) isolates; 12 (34.2%) were ST3, 11 (31.4%) were ST1, 9 (25.7%) were ST2, one was (2.8%) ST7. No relationship was found between Blastocystis infected and non-infected cases in terms of gastrointestinal symptoms. Additionally, none of the possible risk factors was related to Blastocystis infection.

Conclusion: Subtypes in children was similar to those reported in most of the studies that found ST3 as the most common subtype.

Introduction

Blastocystis is a common enteric protozoon with a worldwide distribution. High infection rates of Blastocystis have been reported in developing/undeveloped countries as compared to industrialized ones (1). Additionally, similar to...
other intestinal parasitic infections, children in underdeveloped countries have more susceptibility to Blastocystis infection because of poverty-related factors (2).

Recently, researchers have shown an increased interest in pathogenesis of Blastocystis; however, offers contradictory findings of the pathogenesis of Blastocystis (3). Blastocystis has a long-term host colonization and might be common and diverse member of healthy intestinal flora (4). A link was revealed between Blastocystis and diseases such as irritable bowel syndrome and urticaria (5, 6). Moreover, Blastocystis may be an opportunistic pathogen in immune-compromised patients (7). Microscopy, xenic in-vitro culture (XIVC), and molecular biology-based techniques are used commonly used for the detection of Blastocystis diagnosis in routine parasitology laboratories and epidemiological studies (8). Molecular methods have gained importance in recent years as they have highest sensitivities and allow identifying subtypes (STs) (9). Despite the increased information on subtype distribution of Blastocystis, there is still lack of data from many parts of world.

To date, 17 subtypes of Blastocystis have been identified from different hosts and nine of them are known to cause infection in humans (1). The most diverse Blastocystis subtypes in humans all around the world are ST1-ST4 which accounts for 90% of total isolates (10). Currently, there are three main approaches as the method of choice for Blastocystis subtyping sequencing of small subunit rRNA gene (SSU-rDNA) PCR products called as “barcoding”, PCR with subtype-specific sequence-tagged-site (STS) primers STS-PCR and real-time PCR (9, 11).

Several studies deal with Blastocystis subtypes in Turkey, ST1, 2,3,4,6 and 7 was isolated from humans in different populations in Turkey (12, 13). However, to date, no previous study has investigated the ST distribution of Blastocystis in Mugla.

The aim of the present study was to determine the subtypes of Blastocystis isolated from school-aged children in Mugla and to investigate the possible risk factors and symptoms related to Blastocystis infection.

Materials and Methods

Samples

Local Ethical Committee of Mugla Sitki Kocman University (17.10.2014) approved the present study. The written informed consents were received from all participants and their parents.

This cross-sectional study was conducted among primary school children in Mugla between Mar and Jun 2014. The schools were selected by simple random sampling. Mugla is located in southwest of Turkey, with a population of 866,665. Tourism and agriculture are the main sources of income. Mediterranean climate reigns in this region characterized by mild winters and hot, dry summers (14).

A single stool sample was collected from each student in clean, plastic screw-cap tubes without any fixatives. A questionnaire was developed for acquiring the demographic characteristics of patients’ factors which included: gender, age, residence, income, number of children and rooms at their home, homeownership, education of parents, occupations of mother, drinking water supply, and hygiene habits. Furthermore, we also investigate the following symptoms: lack of appetite, salivation during sleeping, headache, perianal itching, history of parasitic infections, teeth grinding, constipation, abdominal pain and nausea/vomiting in the questionnaire.

Microscopy and cultivation of Blastocystis

Stool samples were examined by direct microscopy (DM) of native (0.9% Serum physiological) and Lugol’s iodine preparations upon arrival at the laboratory. Approximately 50 mg of fresh samples were inoculated into 3 ml of Jones medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and incubated at 37 °C in standard bacteriological incubator. The cultures were checked by DM on third day and Blastocystis
positive samples were subcultured in order to reduce faecal materials.

**PCR and sequencing**

After third day of inoculation, subcultures were centrifuged at 12000 gr for one minute and genomic DNA was isolated with DNAzol kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) from pellets according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The *Blastocystis* barcode region of SSU-rDNA gene was amplified in a single PCR with the primers RD5 and BhRDr (15) and with a gel documentation system (Infinity, Vilber Lourmat). The reaction was set in a 30-μl volume containing: 1-2 μl of template DNA, 0.4 pmol of each of the primers, 0.3 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas), 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Fermentas), 1× Taq buffer with (NH₄)₂SO₄ (Fermentas). PCR amplifications were purified and sequenced by a commercial facility (MedSanTek, Istanbul) by using Applied Biosystems 377 DNA Sequencer.

**Identification of Blastocystis STs**

The sequences were compared to *Blastocystis* SSU-rDNA sequences in GenBank nucleotide database using BLAST tool at the National Center for Biotechnology Information website (16). Additionally, the sequences were queried against the *Blastocystis* Sequence Typing website database (https://pubmlst.org/blastocystis/), developed by Keith Jolley and sited at the University of Oxford (17). The STs of isolates were determined according to the exact or closest matches (18).

Sequences were aligned with references by using ClustalW algorithm in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0 (MEGA) and a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Neighbor-Joining method in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates), the evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (19, 20). *Proteromonas lacertae* 18S ribosomal RNA gene partial sequence (AY224080) was used as out-group in phylogenetic analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS (ver. 13.0 Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The categorical variables were compared with Fisher’s exact and Pearson chi-square tests and significance level was set as two-sided *P*-value <0.05.

**Results**

Overall, 468 students (ages varying from 6 to 11, mean 8.4±1.2) participated in the present study from four different schools in Mugla. The frequency of *Blastocystis* among children was 5.5% (26 out of 468) by DM and 7.4% (35 out of 468) by XIVC. The “barcode region” of *Blastocystis* SSU-rDNA gene was amplified in all of 35 culture positive samples and sequenced. The sequences were deposited to GenBank with accession numbers: KU361300-16.

After alignment and phylogenetic analysis of 35 sequences, the STs could be identified in 33 (94.2%) samples; 12 (34.2%) were ST3, 11 (31.4%) were ST1, 9 (25.7%) were ST2 and one (2.8%) ST7, remaining 2 (5.7%) sequences, nonspecific to *Blastocystis*, were eliminated. The phylogeny of *Blastocystis* isolates inferred from SSU rRNA gene sequences was shown in the Fig. 1. Finally, any of risk factors and symptoms was statistically related to *Blastocystis* infection (Table 1 and 2).

**Discussion**

Since children are most vulnerable group to acquire parasitic infections, they are primarily the main targets of control programs and epidemiological studies (21). In the present study, 7.4% of students were infected with *Blastocystis*. The frequency of *Blastocystis* in child age groups ranged from 1.4% to 23.6% according to recent publications in Turkey (22, 23). However, most of these studies relied on the findings with DM of stool samples and suffered from the fact that it was the least sensitive method for diagnosis of *Blastocystis* in comparison with XIVC, conventional and real-time PCR methods (24-26).
Table 1: The descriptive and statistical analysis of possible risk factors for Blastocystis infection

| Variables          | No. of examined | No. of infected (%) | χ²  | P-value |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|---------|
| Gender             |                 |                      |     |         |
| Male               | 222             | 17 (7.7)             | 0.20| 1.000   |
| Female             | 246             | 18 (7.3)             |     |         |
| Age (yr)           |                 |                      |     |         |
| 7                  | 130             | 13 (10.0)            |     |         |
| 8                  | 106             | 4 (3.8)              | 3.324| 0.344   |
| 9                  | 116             | 9 (7.8)              |     |         |
| 10                 | 116             | 9 (7.8)              |     |         |
| Residence          |                 |                      |     |         |
| Urban              | 297             | 18 (6.1)             | 2.362| 0.124   |
| Rural              | 171             | 17 (9.9)             |     |         |
| No. of children    |                 |                      |     |         |
| 1                  | 103             | 7 (6.8)              | 1.131| 0.568   |
| 2                  | 288             | 20 (6.9)             |     |         |
| ≥ 3                | 77              | 8 (10.4)             |     |         |
| No. of rooms       |                 |                      |     |         |
| 2                  | 52              | 3 (4.8)              |     |         |
| 3                  | 178             | 12 (6.7)             | 0.653| 0.721   |
| ≥ 4                | 238             | 20 (8.4)             |     |         |
| Home ownership     |                 |                      |     |         |
| Owner              | 282             | 26 (9.2)             | 3.109| 0.54    |
| Renter             | 186             | 9 (4.8)              |     |         |
| Drinking water supply |             |                      |     |         |
| Tap/Bottled        | 428             | 29 (6.8)             | 3.576| 0.059   |
| Well/spring        | 40              | 6 (15)               |     |         |
| Low                | 219             | 16 (7.3)             | 2.614| 0.271   |
| Income*            |                 |                      |     |         |
| Moderate           | 154             | 15 (9.7)             |     |         |
| High               | 95              | 4 (4.2)              |     |         |
| Occupation of mother |            |                      |     |         |
| Worker/civil servant | 134         | 8 (6.0)              | 0.617| 0.432   |
| Housewife          | 334             | 27 (8.1)             |     |         |
| The education of mother |      |                      |     |         |
| Literate/Primary   | 262             | 20 (7.6)             |     |         |
| Secondary school   | 53              | 5 (9.4)              |     |         |
| High school        | 110             | 8 (7.3)              | 0.806| 0.848   |
| University         | 43              | 2 (4.7)              |     |         |
| The education of father |       |                      |     |         |
| Literate/Primary   | 221             | 19 (8.6)             |     |         |
| Secondary school   | 58              | 5 (8.6)              | 2.69 | 0.441   |
| High school        | 122             | 5 (4.1)              |     |         |
| University         | 67              | 6 (9.0)              |     |         |
| Hand washing       |                 |                      |     |         |
| Soap               | 432             | 31 (7.2)             | 0.744| 0.388   |
| Only water         | 36              | 4 (11.1)             |     |         |

*Monthly income of families; low: 0-250$, moderate: 251-500$, high: ≥500$

Table 2: The descriptive and statistical analyses of symptoms

| Symptoms                        | Infected group (n=35) | Non-infected group (n=433) | χ² | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----|---------|
| Lack of appetite                | 9 (25.7)              | 121 (27.9)                | 0.080| 0.777   |
| Salivation during sleeping      | 12 (34.3)             | 130 (30)                  | 0.278| 0.598   |
| Headache                        | 5 (14.3)              | 58 (13.4)                 | 0.022| 0.882   |
| Perianal itching                | 5 (14.3)              | 102 (23.6)                | 1.578| 0.209   |
| History of parasitic infections | 4 (11.4)              | 23 (5.3)                  | 2.229| 0.135   |
| Teeth grinding                  | 3 (8.6)               | 86 (19.9)                 | 2.680| 0.102   |
| Constipation                    | 6 (17.1)              | 61 (14.1)                 | 0.246| 0.620   |
| Abdominal pain                  | 6 (17.1)              | 129 (29.8)                | 2.524| 0.112   |
| Nausea/vomiting                 | 1 (2.9)               | 12 (2.8)                  | 0.001| 1.00    |

Available at: [http://ijpa.tums.ac.ir](http://ijpa.tums.ac.ir)
In the present study, the positive rate of Blastocystis increased from 5.5% to 7.4% when XIVC was used. Thus, our study also highlighted the insensitivity of DM as compared to XIVC. A limitation of our study was that we extracted DNA from positive cultures and did not perform PCR assay directly from stool samples. Thus, the positive rate of Blastocystis might be higher than we detected because there might be some slow-growing Blastocystis that caused overgrowth of one subtype of another in mixed infections (27). PCR-based detection directly from stool was more sensitive than XIVC (28). A similar result was also reported by Stensvold et al. (29).

A real-time PCR assay was reported by far the most sensitive and allowed to ST determination by direct sequencing of qPCR products (9). DM and XIC were showed only 29% and 52% sensitivity, respectively.

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in Blastocystis all around the world, primarily after the identification of STs. Although many studies carried out about the STs in adult age groups, few studies have attempted to investigate Blastocystis STs in children (1). We identified four different subtypes of Blastocystis (ST1, ST2, ST3, and ST7) in children and ST3 was responsible for the great majority of infections. All children in a study were infected with Blastocystis and ST3 was the most abundant genotype followed by ST1, ST2, and ST4 (30). In another study, ST1 was found as the most frequent Blastocystis genotype in Nepali children (31). In Turkey, the frequency of Blastocystis was 31.7% among children and
32.8% among adults, the difference was not statistically significant. In this study, ST3 was the most common ST in both of the groups (32). Blastocystis STs were investigated in different cities of Turkey by STS PCR, sequencing and real-time PCR in different populations including IBS patients, symptomatic and asymptomatic people ST1, ST 2, ST 3, ST 4, ST 6, and ST 7 were identified (12, 13, 33). ST3 was found the predominant genotype in a variety of populations as in the present study (12, 34, 35). In general, despite the variety of study populations, our results were consistent with the other studies in Turkey and other countries.

In the present study, none of the investigated parameters was found to be associated with Blastocystis infection. The factors that influence Blastocystis frequency have been investigated and many factors reported: age, nutritional status, crowded life area, close contact with animals, poor hygiene practices, poverty, consumption of contaminated food or water (8, 27, 36). We found no relationship between gastrointestinal symptoms and the presence of Blastocystis. In a number of studies, Blastocystis was linked to nonspecific symptoms including abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea vomiting, and flatulence (27, 37). It was not possible to investigate subtype related pathogenicity due to the small size of positive cases (35 children).

Conclusion

Our finding on Blastocystis STs in children, the predominance of ST3, was similar to those reported in other studies despite the variety of study populations. Moreover, our findings of symptoms and risk factors also increased the questions about Blastocystis. Therefore, further research dealing with these issues would be worthwhile.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Wawrzyniak I, Poirier P, Viscogliosi E et al. Blastocystis, an unrecognized parasite: an overview of pathogenesis and diagnosis. Ther Adv Infect Dis. 2013; 1(5):167-78.
2. El Safadi D, Meloni D, Poirier P et al. Molecular epidemiology of Blastocystis in Lebanon and correlation between subtype 1 and gastrointestinal symptoms. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013; 88(6):1203-6.
3. Coyle CM, Varughese J, Weiss LM et al. Blastocystis to treat or not to treat. Clin Infect Dis. 2012; 54(1):105-10.
4. Scanlan PD, Stensvold CR. Blastocystis: getting to grips with our guileful guest. Trends Parasitol. 2013;29(11):523-9.
5. Jimenez-Gonzalez DE, Martinez-Flores WA, Reyes-Gordillo J et al. Blastocystis infection is associated with irritable bowel syndrome in a Mexican patient population. Parasitol Res. 2012;110(3):1269-75.
6. Zuel-Falkkar NM, Abdel Hameed DM, Hassanin OM. Study of Blastocystis hominis isolates in urticaria: a case-control study. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2011;36(8):908-10.
7. Tan TC, Ong SC, Suresh KG. Genetic variability of Blastocystis sp. isolates obtained from cancer and HIV/AIDS patients. Parasitol Res. 2009;105(5):1283-6.
8. Stensvold CR, Lewis HC, Hammerum AM et al. Blastocystis unravelling potential risk factors and clinical significance of a common but neglected parasite. Epidemiol Infect. 2009;137(11):1655-63.
9. Poirier P, Wawrzyniak I, Albert A et al. Development and evaluation of a real-time PCR assay for detection and quantification of Blastocystis parasites in human stool samples: prospective study of patients with hematological malignancies. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49(3):975-83.
10. Alfellani MA, Taner-Mulla D, Jacob AS et al. Genetic diversity of Blastocystis in livestock and zoo animals. Protist. 2013;164(4):497-509.
11. Stensvold CR. Comparison of sequencing (barcode region) and sequence-tagged-site PCR for Blastocystis subtyping. J Clin Microbiol. 2013; 51(1):190-4.
12. Dagei H, Kurt Ö, Demirel M et al. Epidemiological and Diagnostic Features of Blastocystis Infection in Symptomatic Patients in Izmir.

Available at: http://ijpa.tums.ac.ir
1. Bureau O. Distribution of certain O. Distribution of Blastocystis infections in Turkey. Parasitol Int. 2008;57(3):300-6.
2. Turkish Statistical Institute. Report no. 4200. Secilmis gostergelerle Mugla 2013. TUIK: Ankara, 2014.
3. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W et al. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215(3):403-10.
4. Jolley KA, Maiden MC. BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11:595.
5. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D et al. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(12):2725-9.
6. Harhay MO, Horton J, Olliaro PL. Epidemiology and control of human gastrointestinal parasites in children. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2010;8(2):219-34.
7. Celik T, Daldal N, Karaman U et al. Incidence of intestinal parasites among primary school children in Malatya. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2006;30(1):35-8.
8. Göz Y, Aydin A, Tuncer O. Distribution of intestinal parasites in children from the 23 Nisan Primary School in Hakkari. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2005;29(4):268-70.
9. Moosavi A, Haghhighi A, Mojarad EN et al. Genetic variability of Blastocystis sp. isolated from symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals in Iran. Parasitol Res. 2012;111(6):2311-5.
10. Roberts T, Barratt J, Harkness J et al. Comparison of microscopy, culture, and conventional polymerase chain reaction for detection of Blastocystis sp. in clinical stool samples. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2011;84(2):308-12.
11. Suresh K, Smith H. Comparison of methods for detecting Blastocystis hominis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2004;23(6):509-11.
12. Tan KS. New insights on classification, identification, and clinical relevance of Blastocystis spp. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2008;21(4):639-65.
13. Parker U, Traub RJ, Kumar S et al. Direct characterization of Blastocystis from faeces by PCR and evidence of zoonotic potential. Parasitology. 2007;134(Pt 3):359-67.
14. Stensvold R, Brillowska-Dabrowska A, Nielsen HV et al. Detection of Blastocystis hominis in un-preserved stool specimens by using polymerase chain reaction. J Parasitol. 2006;92(5):1081-7.
15. El Safadi D, Gaayeb L, Meloni D et al. Children of Senegal River Basin show the highest prevalence of Blastocystis sp. ever observed worldwide. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:164.
16. Yoshikawa H, Wu Z, Pandey K et al. A possible link between subtype 2 and asymptomatic infections of Blastocystis hominis. Parasitol Res. 2008;103(3):685-9.
17. Dogruman-Al F, Dagci H, Yoshikawa H et al. Molecular characterization of Blastocystis isolates from children and rhesus monkeys in Kathmandu, Nepal. Vet Parasitol. 2009;160(3-4):295-300.
18. Dogruman-Al F, Yoshikawa H, Kustimur S et al. PCR-based subtyping of Blastocystis isolates from symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals in a major hospital in Ankara, Turkey. Parasitol Res. 2009;106(1):263-8.
19. Stensvold CR. Blastocystis: Genetic diversity and molecular methods for diagnosis and epidemiology. Trop Parasitol. 2013;3(1):26-34.
20. Ertuğ S, Malatyal E, Ertabaklar H et al. Subtype distribution of Blastocystis isolates and evaluation of clinical symptoms detected in Aydın province, Turkey. Mikrobiyol Bul. 2015;49(1):98-104.
21. Pipatsatitpong D, Rangsin R, Leelayoova S et al. Incidence and risk factors of Blastocystis infection in an orphanage in Bangkok, Thailand. Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:37.
22. Dinleyici EC, Eren M, Dogan N et al. Clinical efficacy of Saccharomyces boulardii or metronidazole in symptomatic children with Blastocystis hominis infection. Parasitol Res. 2011;108(3):541-5.