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Abstract

Background: In patients presenting with lymphadenopathy, evaluation of the biopsy specimen of accessible lymph nodes provides specific information to establish an early diagnosis which is important in the management of these patients.

Method: The study is a four-year (2010-2013) retrospective audit of all histologically diagnosed lymph node biopsies of 141 cases received at the Federal Medical Centre Owerri, Eastern Nigeria.

Results: Nearly one third 46(32.6%) of the 141 patients had reactive hyperplasia. Tuberculous lymphadenopathy 40(28.3%) and metastasis 27(19.7%) were other common causes. Non Hodgkins lymphoma was seen in 17(12%), while Hodgkins lymphoma was seen in 7(5%). Other cases seen were onchocerciasis 3(2.1%) and Rosai – Dorfman’s disease 1(0.7%). Acid–fast bacilli was demonstrated in 12/40(30%) of Tuberculous adenitis. All the patients with TB were screened for HIV and 14/40(35%) of them were HIV positive. Six patients had generalized lymphadenopathy and 4/6(66.6%) of them were HIV positive.

Conclusion: The differential diagnoses of lymphadenopathy are many. Tuberculosis and reactive hyperplasia have remained the predominant causes in our environment; followed by malignancy and lymphoma. Accurate diagnosis and early intervention is the key to good treatment outcome. Definitive histological classification using modern techniques like immunohistochemistry and cytogenetics should be made available in our tertiary hospitals.
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Introduction

Lymphadenopathy is a common clinical presentation in both medical and surgical clinics worldwide. The causes may be broadly divided into neoplastic and non-neoplastic. The non-neoplastic vary from infective agents, drug reactions, lipid storage disorders, and non-specific inflammatory conditions [1].

Documented reports have shown that non neoplastic causes predominate with non-specific reactive hyperplasia in the developed countries, while tuberculosis is the main cause in the developing world; especially in Africa where HIV has ravaged the whole continent. HIV apart from directly causing lymphadenopathy also contributes indirectly via several AIDS- defining illnesses [2-8].

A number of diseases are well known to cause lymphadenopathy. Given this numerous causes, it has become necessary to define the diseases presenting with lymph node enlargement in a particular environment. While the pattern of lymphadenopathy in the child is occasionally infective and reactive to a minor stimulus because of the yet developing immune system; the cause in the adulthood has been largely due to metastatic carcinoma [9-13].

Clinical assessment of peripheral lymphadenopathy is easier since they are easily assessable and biopsied 14. On the other hand, assessment of visceral lymphadenopathy is much more difficult since they require imaging assistance or laparotomy [14]. Biopsy of the peripheral lymph nodes in the upper part of the body (cervical, supraclavicular, axillary) is often desired as they give better diagnostic yield. The lymph nodes in the lower region (popliteal, inguinal, femoral) are less biopsied because they are characterized by non-specific or chronic inflammatory fibrotic changes [1,7,14].

Objective of the Study

Data on the spectrum of diseases causing lymphadenopathy in the South-Eastern region are limited. This study therefore aims at investigating the causes of lymphadenopathy and the pattern of lymph node distribution in patients seen at Federal Medical Centre Owerri over a 4- year period. The information obtained from this study will assist the clinician in the clinical practice and also add to the already documented data both in the sub-region and beyond.

Materials and Methods

All cases of lymph node biopsies received at the Department of Pathology at the Federal Medical Centre Owerri, Nigeria from January 2010 to December 2013 were reviewed. The Centre is a referral centre offering histopathology services to the entire Imo State and other surrounding States in the Eastern Nigeria. Both clinical and demographic data regarding age, sex, anatomical site of nodal biopsy were obtained from request forms and case notes. The relevant slides were retrieved from the archives of the Department of Pathology. All the slides were prepared from paraffin embedded blocks, then routine stain
done with eosin and haematoxylin. Special stains like Ziehl Neelson stain were used where necessary. Cytogenetics, immunohistochemistry and molecular diagnostic technique like lymphocyte receptor genes re-arrangements were not employed as these were not available in our laboratory.

**Ethical clearance**

The ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Federal Medical Centre Owerri, Imo State Nigeria.

**Data analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 16 Chicago IL.

**Results**

Table 1 shows A total of 141 lymph node biopsies were obtained which constituted 6% of total biopsies during the 4-year period of study (January, 2010-December, 2013). Out of the 141 cases 60 were males while 81 were females with male to female ratio of 1:1.3. Mean age was 17.6 ± 8.5 while the age range of the patients was 68 years (2 – 70 years). Table 1 shows the age distribution of the patients and the various conditions with significant p value of 0.000 ($\chi^2$ = 43.095).

Table 2 shows the site, distribution and histological diagnosis of diseases and the frequency of enlargement of the different groups of lymph nodes.

### Table 1: Age Distribution of Patients.

| AGE RANGE | TOTAL (%) | REACT. HYPERPL | TB | METAS | NHL | HL | ROSAI DOF | ONCHO |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|----|-------|-----|----|-----------|-------|
| 0 – 9     | 31        | 21 (67.7)      | 8 (25.8) | 2 (6.5) | -   | -  | -         | -     |
| 10 – 19   | 21        | 12 (57.1)      | 8 (38.1) | 1 (4.8) | -   | -  | -         | -     |
| 20 – 29   | 26        | 8 (30.8)       | 3 (11.5) | 4 (15.4) | 2 (7.7) | -  | 1 (3.8)   | -     |
| 30 – 39   | 17        | 3 (17.6)       | 5 (29.4) | 6 (35.3) | 2 (11.8) | -  | 1 (5.9)   | -     |
| 40 – 49   | 14        | 1 (7.1)        | 4 (28.6) | 5 (35.7) | 2 (14.3) | 1 (7.1) | 1 (7.1)   | -     |
| 50 – 59   | 13        | 1 (7.7)        | 3 (23.1) | 3 (23.1) | 1 (7.7) | -  | -         | -     |
| 60 – 69   | 16        | -              | 2 (12.5) | 6 (35.7) | 5 (31.3) | 2 (12.5) | 1 (6.2)   | -     |
| ≥ 70      | 3         | -              | 1 (33.3) | 1 (33.3) | 1 (33.3) | -  | -         | -     |
| TOTAL     | 141       | 46             | 40   | 27    | 17  | 7  | 1         | 3     |

Mean Age = 17.6 ± 8.5 years, Range = 68 years (2-70 years). $\chi^2$ = 43.095; p value 0.000 (significant).

**Discussion**

Palpable peripheral lymph node give an important diagnostic

Regional lymphadenopathy was seen in 135(95.7%) while generalized lymphadenopathy occurred in 6(4.3%) of the patients; with cervical group of lymph nodes being the most frequently affected 64 (45.4%), followed by axillary 28 (19.9%) and supraclaviclar 12(8.5%).

Reactive hyperplasia was the most common condition 46(32.6%), followed by tuberculosis 40(28.3%). Metastatic deposits were seen in 27(19.1%). Non Hodgkins lymphoma was seen in 17(12.1%) while Hodgkins lymphoma was seen in 7(5%). Onchocerciasis was seen in 3(2.1%) and Rosai –Dorfman’s syndrome was seen 1(0.7%).

Table 3 shows histologic diagnosis, sex distribution and sex ratios in patients with lymphadenopathy. Lymphadenopathy was more common in females; with female ratios higher in most of the conditions except non Hodgkins lymphoma where male ratio was higher. Though, we have more female preponderance but the p value of 0.326 was not significant.

Out of the 40(28.3%) of patients with TB adenitis; acid fast bacilli (AFB) were demonstrated in 12/40 (30%). Voluntary testing and counseling was done for all the patients with TB lymphadenopathy; 14/40 (35%) were HIV positive. Of the 6 patients who have generalized lymphadenopathy, 4/6(66.6%) of them were HIV positive.

### Table 2: Site Distribution and Histologic Diagnosis.

| SITE                  | TOTAL (%) | REACTIVE HYPERPLASIA |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Cervical              | 64 (45.4) | 20 (31.3)            |
| Axillary              | 28 (19.9) | 7 (25.0)             |
| Supravclavicular      | 12 (8.5)  | 4 (33.3)             |
| Submandibular         | 8 (5.7)   | 5 (62.5)             |
| Submental             | 4 (2.8)   | 2 (50.0)             |
| Inguinal              | 19 (13.5) | 6 (31.6)             |
| Generalized           | 6 (4.2)   | 2 (33.3)             |
| TOTAL                 | 141 (100) | 46 (32.6)            |

**Discussion**

Palpable peripheral lymph node give an important diagnostic
clue to the aetiology of the underlying medical or surgical condition. Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is more commonly used to establish the aetiological diagnosis; but excision biopsy of the lymph node is the gold standard for diagnosis [2,15,16]. Except for the few patients on admission, biopsy was done as outpatient procedure with minimal morbidity and no mortality attributed to the procedure, thus supporting the fact that it is a simple and efficient method for confirmation of clinical diagnosis.

In line with most other studies done within and outside Nigeria, cervical nodes were the most frequently biopsied [2-4,6-8]. This was followed by the axillary and supraclavicular lymph nodes. The finding also showed that the most common aetiologic factors were tuberculosis and reactive hyperplasia. In this study tuberculosis was found to be more common in the submental (50%) followed by axillary (42.9%) and cervical nodes (28%). Reactive hyperplasia was seen to be more common in the submandibular (62.5%) and submental (50%) groups of lymph nodes. The study showed that both conditions were more common in children and young adults and with more female preponderance (Tables 1 and 3).

Generally speaking lymphadenopathy is common in the first three decades of life; with reactive lymphadenopathy more common in the early years of life, TB common in the young adult and malignancy seen more in the adult and elderly people [9,10,12,13]. This is in line with our finding which is quite significant when we look at the age distribution in table 1 with p value of 0.000.

Analysis of lymphadenopathy in the clinical practice in the developing nations of the world has shown that infection remains an important cause with TB as the most common aetiology in most areas [2-6,13,16,18]. Nonspecific causes (reactive hyperplasia) and upper respiratory tract infections due to bacterial and viral agents are also important cause of lymphadenopathy in the developing world, while malignancy and reactive hyperplasia are more commonly implicated in the developed world [3,19,20,21].

In this study, out of 141 patients nonspecific cause (reactive hyperplasia) was found in 46 (32.6%) making it the most common aetiologic factor in our study. Tuberculosis which is the most common aetiologic factor in many studies done within our environment was found to be the second aetiologic factor in our study with 40(28.3%). The percentage of TB lymphadenopathy found here was also smaller than that found in some series [2-5,7,8] with higher prevalence quoted in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh [22,23]. The reason for this lower rate is attributed to the fact that our study included children who have more of reactive hyperplasia while most of the studies done elsewhere were in adult population only. The reason for more common reactive lymphadenopathy in children has been adduced to a reaction to minor stimuli because of the yet developing immune system [12,14]. In the United States of America reactive hyperplasia is more common cause of lymphadenopathy [3,19]. The lower prevalence of tuberculosis and earlier detection of malignancies before the onset of nodal metastasis may be the explanation for the prominence of reactive hyperplasia as a more common cause in the western world [14]. Lymph node hyperplasia was also found to be common in studies done in India 24, South Africa 16 and Zimbabwe [15]. The hyperplasia appears to be a consequence of variety of pathological processes; an important factor being that of HIV infection [24]. The trend of changes in primary HIV lymphadenopathy has been reported to range from mild follicular hyperplasia through diffuse follicular hyperplasia to “burnt out lymph node” [24].

In our study, metastasis comprised of 27(19.1%) of the cause of lymphadenopathy. This is similar to the finding in Kano [14] and some other Nigerian cities but significantly higher than values quoted for other African countries like Zimbabwe [15] and Ethiopia [6]. In the United States metastasis was found in 29% of lymph node enlargement [14,19]. The most common cause of metastasis in our study was breast cancer affecting mostly the axillary groups of lymph nodes. This finding is also in agreement with other Nigerian studies [14,25].

Lymphomas were the most common cause of malignancies in our study accounting for 24(17%) of lymphadenopathy. This is lower than the findings in other Nigerian studies with 23.6% in Kano [14], 23.8% in Ile [26], 28.2% in Ilorin [2] and 28.2% in Jos [4]. In our study non Hodgkins is higher 17(12%) than Hodgkins 7(5%). Most other Nigerian studies also gave a higher preponderance of non Hodgkins lymphoma [2,27,28,29]. Also in the western world non Hodgkins lymphoma is reported to be from three to four times more common than Hodgkins [30,31].

Onchocerciasis is most common in the tropical and Sub Saharan regions of Africa. The microfilarial infection is a known cause of mild to moderate lymphadenopathy. In our study 3(2.1%) of the causes of lymphadenopathy was due to onchocerciasis. The study in Benin City, Nigeria showed 0.9% [13]. It was also more common in the young adult females [13]. Our study also gave similar results with all our three patients being females and also predominantly affecting the inguinal lymph nodes.

The study is a retrospective one with its attendant limitation in that microbiological aspect of the study was not done. This would have certainly revealed some of the aetiologic agents.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the pattern of lymph node histology in the developing world has remained predominantly due to tuberculosis and lymphoid hyperplasia. Malignancy and lymphomas are other common causes. Our study supports these findings. Again, routine staining with haematoxylin and eosin and special staining with Ziehl-Neelson stain have remained the means of diagnosis in these areas over a period of time. There is therefore need to update our pathology laboratories with modern diagnostic facilities such as immunohistochemistry, cytogentetics and molecular diagnostic techniques like lymphocyte receptor gene rearrangements to increase their diagnostic efficiency.
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