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Abstract

Objective: Although the plasma B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) level is a marker of heart failure, it is unclear whether BNP per se plays a pivotal role for pathogenic mechanisms underlying the development of ischemic heart disease (IHD). In this study, we retrospectively examined the plasma BNP levels in stable patients with IHD and compared to stable patients with cardiovascular diseases other than IHD.

Methods: The study population was 2088 patients (1698 males and 390 females) who were admitted to our hospital due to IHD (n = 1,661) and non-IHD (n = 427) and underwent cardiac catheterization. Measurements of the hemodynamic parameters and blood sampling were performed.

Results: The plasma BNP levels were significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group (p < 0.001). The multiple regression analysis examining the logBNP values showed that age, a male gender, low left ventricular ejection fraction, low body mass index, serum creatinine, atrial fibrillation and IHD per se were significant explanatory variables. When the total study population was divided according to gender, the plasma BNP levels were found to be significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group among males (p < 0.001), but not females (p = NS). Furthermore, a multiple logistic regression analysis of IHD showed the logBNP value to be a significant explanatory variable in males (regression coefficient: −0.669, p < 0.001), but not females (p = NS).

Conclusions: The plasma BNP levels were relatively low in stable patients with IHD compared with those observed in stable patients with non-IHD; this tendency was evident in males. Perhaps, the low reactivity of BNP is causally associated with IHD in males. We hope that this study will serve as a test of future prospective studies.
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Introduction

A-type natriuretic peptide and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), also known as atrial and brain natriuretic peptides, respectively, are cardiac hormones with a wide range of potent biological effects, including vasodilation, natriuresis and inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone and sympathetic nervous systems [1–8]. BNP is selectively secreted from the ventricles, and the magnitude of secretion also varies as a function of the severity of heart failure. The BNP value is thus used as a biochemical marker of heart failure.

In addition, we previously reported that, in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI), the time course of the changes in the plasma BNP levels just after the onset of AMI exhibited a biphasic pattern, with the first peak occurring approximately 24 hours after the onset and the second peak 3–5 days after the onset. The second peak of plasma BNP was more marked in severe cases of AMI with heart failure [9].

The plasma immunoreactive BNP level is a sensitive biochemical marker of heart failure; although the precise molecular forms of BNP and its precursors have been discussed from different angles [10,11]. BNP increases the cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) levels [1]. cGMP activated by BNP is protective against cellular injury as it increase particulate guanylate cyclases [12]. BNP may also be beneficial for suppressing the progression of heart failure and atherosclerosis [13,14]. If the plasma BNP level is insufficiently increased for any reason, heart failure and atherosclerosis are likely...
| Table 1. Baseline characteristics and the past medical history and medication regimen of the study subjects. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Non-IHD (n = 427)** | **IHD (n = 1,661)** | **P-Value** |
| **Non-IHD (n = 144)** | **IHD (n = 246)** | **P-Value** |
| **Non-IHD (n = 283)** | **IHD (n = 1,415)** | **P-Value** |

| **Variable**                          | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** | **Female** | **Male** |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| **Age (yrs ± SD)**                   | 63.1 ± 13.1| 85.1 ± 10.5| 65.2 ± 13.5| 85.4 ± 10.3| P = 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| **Total Body mass index (kg/m² ± SD)**| 23.2 ± 3.4 | 23.4 ± 3.4 | 23.5 ± 3.4 | 23.6 ± 3.4 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Current smoker (%)**               | 21.8       | 22.8      | 22.3       | 23.6      | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Current + past smoker (%)**        | 53.4       | 66.3      | 53.8       | 85.1      | P = 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| **Hypertension (%)**                 | 64.6       | 66.3      | 66.0       | 76.5      | P = 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| **Diabetes Mellitus (%)**            | 28.8       | 34.1      | 27.1       | 42.7      | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Dyslipidemia (%)**                 | 45.7       | 75.9      | 43.1       | 74.0      | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **s-Cr (mg/dl ± SD)**                | 1.6 ± 2.4  | 1.6 ± 2.4 | 1.6 ± 2.4  | 1.6 ± 2.4 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **HbA1c (% ± SD)**                   | 5.8 ± 1.1  | 6.2 ± 1.1 | 5.7 ± 1.1  | 6.2 ± 1.1 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **BNP (pg/ml ± SD)**                 | 310.2 ± 650.0| 310.2 ± 650.0| 310.2 ± 650.0| 310.2 ± 650.0| 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| **HD & CAPD (%)**                    | 10.8       | 9.3       | 10.8       | 9.3       | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **LVEF (± SD)**                      | 56.0 ± 14.4| 57.1 ± 14.4| 56.0 ± 14.4| 57.1 ± 14.4| 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| **LVESVI (ml/m² ± SD)**              | 36.5 ± 25.1| 36.5 ± 25.1| 36.5 ± 25.1| 36.5 ± 25.1| 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| **Prior MI (%)**                     | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Prior PCI (%)**                    | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Prior CABG (%)**                   | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Cardiac rhythm (%)**               | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Calcium-channel blockers (%)**     | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **ACE-inhibitors (%)**               | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Angiotensin Receptor blockers (%)**| 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| **Nitrate (%)**                      | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
to advance; therefore, low plasma BNP level, even in patients with high risk factors, may play a causative role in the pathogenetic mechanisms underlying the development of IHD and heart failure. However, the discussion regarding this issue has been insufficient to date, although genetic variations of BNP and related molecules have previously been reported [15–21]. It is also unclear whether the plasma BNP level actually varies according to the individual and whether individual changes in this parameter are associated with disease. Nevertheless, there were a few early reports showing dysregulation of ANP and BNP in patients prone to developing hypertension and other cardiovascular disease [22–24].

Here, we hypothesized that BNP contributes to a pathogenetic mechanism of IHD, and retrospectively examined a possible contribution by comparing the BNP levels between stable patients with IHD and stable patients with cardiovascular diseases other than IHD.

**Methods**

**Study design**

The study population was 2088 consecutive patients admitted to the Jikei University Hospital from January 2008 through January 2012 in whom cardiac catheterization, including left ventriculography, and blood sampling for the plasma BNP levels were performed and reviewed. The baseline patient characteristics, including the clinical parameters and the biochemical data, were collected retrospectively from the medical records. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Jikei University School of Medicine (24–355; 7121).

**Recruitment of stable IHD patients and non-IHD patients in this study**

The stable IHD patients consisted of 1,661 patients who had coronary stenosis newly diagnosed by angiography, or who had a medical history of coronary artery disease, such as previous myocardial infarction, post-percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft. A total of 762 patients underwent only one cardiac catheterization, while 359 patients underwent catheterization twice, 50 patients three times, five patients four times, one patient five times and one patient underwent catheterization six times. In order to avoid a selection bias by choosing among the plural data in one patient, we used all consecutive data in this analysis (n = 1661). Patients with coronary spastic angina were included in the stable IHD group if the disease activity of coronary spasm was not clinically high. Coronary spasm was diagnosed by the acetylcholine provocation test. Patients with previously diagnosed coronary spastic angina were also included in the stable IHD group. In contrast, patients requiring urgent catheter intervention for AMI were excluded from this study because the plasma BNP levels are rapidly and extensively changes during the acute phase of AMI [9]. Similarly, patients with acute coronary syndrome were excluded from the stable IHD group in this study. In addition, Also, patients with chest pain syndrome of unknown origin and those with suspected microvascular angina were excluded from the stable IHD group because we were unable to appropriately define cardiac ischemia in such patients. Detailed information of the patients in the stable IHD group were summarized in Table 1.

The non-IHD group consisted of 427 patients; all of those had organic heart diseases such as valvular heart diseases, cardiomyopathy, congenital heart disease and others. All non-IHD patients were clinically stable and admitted to the hospital for evaluation of their underlying cardiac disease. A total of 406 patients underwent cardiac catheterization one time, while nine patients were
catheterized twice and one patient was catheterized three times. We used all consecutive data in this analysis just as we did in the IHD group (n = 427). We excluded patients suffering from acute heart failure at the time of cardiac catheterization and blood sampling. Precise information including underlying cardiac disorders was also summarized in Table 1.

Table 2. Comparisons between female and male with and without IHD.

|                    | Non-IHD | IHD          |                    | Non-IHD | IHD          |                    |
|--------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------|
|                    | Female  | Male         | P-Value            | Female  | Male         | P-Value            |
| Age (yrs ± SD)     | 67.5 ± 1.35 | 60.9 ± 1.23 | P < 0.001          | 70.4 ± 1.02 | 64.4 ± 1.03 | P < 0.001          |
| Body mass index (kg/m² ± SD) | 21.9 ± 1.32 | 23.7 ± 1.36 | P < 0.001          | 23.0 ± 1.35 | 24.5 ± 1.34 | P < 0.001          |
| Current smoker (%) | 8.3     | 28.6         | P < 0.001          | 12.6    | 25.1         | P < 0.001          |
| Current+past smoker (%) | 19.4    | 70.7         | P < 0.001          | 28.5    | 77.0         | P < 0.001          |
| Hypertension (%)   | 66.0    | 64.0         | NS                 | 77.6    | 76.3         | NS                 |
| Diabetes Mellitus (%) | 27.1   | 29.7         | NS                 | 42.7    | 45.5         | NS                 |
| Dyslipidemia (%)   | 43.1    | 47.9         | NS                 | 74.0    | 76.3         | NS                 |
| s-Cr (mg/dl ± SD)  | 1.6 ± 2.5 | 1.6 ± 2.3    | P < 0.001          | 1.5 ± 2.3 | 1.6 ± 2.3  | P < 0.001          |
| HbA1c (%)          | 5.7 ± 1.1 | 5.8 ± 1.1    | NS                 | 5.9 ± 0.8 | 6.1 ± 1.1   | P < 0.005          |
| BNP (pg/ml ± SD)   | 319.5 ± 260.4 | 309.5 ± 260.9 | P < 0.001         | 303.2 ± 268.2 | 132.3 ± 267.4 | P < 0.001          |
| HD & CAPD (%)      | 13.2    | 9.5          | NS                 | 13.0    | 8.7          | P < 0.005          |
| LVEF (%)           | 59.3 ± 12.3 | 54.4 ± 15.1  | P < 0.001          | 59.5 ± 11.6 | 57.4 ± 10.7 | P < 0.01           |
| Heart rate (beat/min ± SD) (At LVG) | 73.3 ± 14.0 | 74.4 ± 17.6  | NS                 | 72.5 ± 14.3 | 70.3 ± 13.4 | P < 0.05           |
| LVEDP (mmHg ± SD)  | 16.4 ± 7.0 | 16.6 ± 7.3   | NS                 | 16.1 ± 6.9 | 15.5 ± 6.1  | NS                 |
| LVESVI (ml/m² ± SD) | 31.7 ± 19.8 | 39.0 ± 27.2  | P < 0.01          | 27.3 ± 17.0 | 29.1 ± 16.2 | NS                 |
| Prior myocardial infarction (%) | 0     | 0            | 35.8  | 38.9         | NS               |
| Prior PCI (%)      | 0       | 0            | 35.8  | 46.1         | P < 0.01          |
| Prior CABG (%)     | 0       | 0            | 15.9  | 12.0         | NS               |
| Coronary spastic angina | 0       | 0           | 12.6  | 7.8          | P < 0.05          |
| Prior valve repair (%) | 7.6    | 3.5          | NS                 | 1.6     | 0.5          | P < 0.05           |
| Valvular heart disease (%) | 38.2 | 26.1         | P = 0.01          | 6.9     | 2.8          | P = 0.001          |
| Congenital heart disease (%) | 8.3    | 3.5          | P < 0.05          | 0.4     | 0.4          | NS                 |
| Cardiomyopathy (%) | 20.8    | 23.7         | NS                 | 3.3     | 1.8          | NS                 |
| AF (%)             | 19.4    | 21.2         | NS                 | 4.9     | 5.7          | NS                 |
| Calcium-channel blockers (%) | 43.1 | 37.1         | NS                 | 59.8    | 60.8         | NS                 |
| ACE-inhibitors (%) | 14.6    | 15.2         | NS                 | 18.3    | 21.8         | NS                 |
| Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (%) | 44.4 | 34.6         | P < 0.05          | 42.7    | 40.7         | NS                 |
| Nitrates (%)       | 8.3     | 9.5          | NS                 | 28.5    | 26.2         | NS                 |
| Nicorandil (%)     | 4.2     | 4.2          | NS                 | 23.2    | 19.9         | NS                 |
| Beta-blockers (%)  | 20.8    | 17.7         | NS                 | 28.9    | 35.3         | P < 0.05           |
| Statins (%)        | 29.9    | 19.4         | P < 0.05          | 57.7    | 59.6         | NS                 |
| Fibrates (%)       | 1.4     | 4.2          | NS                 | 1.2     | 3.8          | P < 0.05           |
| Diuretics (%)      | 38.2    | 29.0         | NS                 | 28.5    | 17.5         | P < 0.001          |
| Oral Hypoglycemic Agents (%) | 9.7  | 12.4         | NS                 | 18.3    | 24.5         | P < 0.05           |
| insulin (%)        | 6.9     | 4.2          | NS                 | 10.6    | 9.8          | NS                 |
| Number of vessels disease (0–3) | 0       | 0            | 0.96 ± 0.96 | 0.95 ± 0.97 | NS               |

s-Cr, Serum creatinine; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; HD & CAPD, Hemodialysis & continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDP, Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVESVI, Left ventricular end-systolic volume Index; PCI, Percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, Coronary artery bypass graft; AF, Atrial Fibrillation.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108983.t002
Measurement of the plasma BNP levels and other parameters

Whole blood (5 ml) was collected in tubes containing potassium EDTA (1 mg/ml blood). The plasma BNP level was determined by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (non-extracted) using an antibody against human BNP (Shionogi Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Blood sampling was performed immediately before and after the cardiac catheterization whenever possible. The average interval between catheterization and blood sampling was 5.21 ± 8.94 days; 1384 (66.3%) of patients had blood collected for BNP within 72 hours post catheterization. Of these patients, 830 underwent blood collection at the time of the procedure (during, immediately before or just after cardiac catheterization), 1185 underwent blood collection within 24 hours, and 1342 underwent blood collection within 48 hours.

The BMI was calculated as the body weight (kg) divided by the square of the height (m). Hypertension, diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia were defined as described previously [25].

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as the means ± SD. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Comparisons between groups were performed using Pearson’s chi-square test for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney U test or Student’s t-test for continuous variables, where appropriate. To achieve a normal distribution, the BNP value was log-transformed before the analysis. To assess the dependent determinants of the log BNP, a multiple regression analysis was performed after the simple regression analysis. Age, gender (0 for females and 1 for males), IHD (0 for non-IHD and 1 for IHD), atrial fibrillation (0 for non-AF and 1 for AF), the LVEF, age, BMI, and s-Cr were included as explanatory variables.

Table 3. The results of the simple regression analyses for the log BNP in all patients (n = 2088).

| Explanatory variable | Regression coefficient | Standard regression coefficient | P       | 95%CI       |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------|
| Age                  | 0.016                  | 0.270                          | <0.001  | 0.014–0.019|
| Gender               | -0.348                 | -0.203                         | <0.001  | -0.420–-0.276|
| BMI                  | -0.047                 | -0.247                         | <0.001  | -0.055–-0.039|
| s-Cr                 | 0.119                  | 0.415                          | <0.001  | 0.108–0.130|
| LVEF                 | -0.027                 | -0.478                         | <0.001  | -0.030–-0.025|
| AF                   | 0.597                  | 0.251                          | <0.001  | 0.499–0.696|
| IHD                  | -0.243                 | -0.147                         | <0.001  | -0.314–-0.173|

BMI, Body mass index; s-Cr, Serum creatinine; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; AF, Atrial fibrillation; IHD, Ischemic heart disease.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108983.t003
variables in the multiple regression analysis. In addition, a gender-segregated multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to determine predictive factors for IHD using age, LVEF, BMI, s-Cr, atrial fibrillation (0 for non-AF and 1 for AF), and logBNP. A value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant for all data that were statistically analyzed using the SPSS software package, version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

### Results

#### Clinical characteristics of the study subjects

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristic and the past medical history and medication regimen of the total 2088 patients.

High age, male gender, high BMI, smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia were more frequently seen in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group. The s-Cr was significantly higher in the non-IHD group than in the IHD group. The LVEF was slightly higher in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group. In this study, AF was more frequently detected in the non-IHD group than in the IHD group, which was due to the higher prevalence of valvular heart disease and cardiomyopathy in the non-IHD groups (precise data not shown in the Results).

The clinical characteristics are shown by gender in Table 2. It is important to compare the clinical characteristics between the females and males in the IHD group. For example, the LVEF was lower and the s-Cr was higher in males, whereas the age was lower and BMI values were higher in this group. With regard to the medical treatments, diuretics were used more frequently in females, and beta-blockers were used more frequently in males, while angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) were used equally in females and males. Every patient had been prescribed the classes and doses of drugs based on his or her individual condition; therefore, it is difficult to compare the drugs more precisely. Nevertheless, the frequency in use and the amount used of major drugs such as enalapril, cavedilol or spironolactones was equivalent between females and males with or without IHD, while only the frequency of use and amount used of furosemide was significantly higher in males than in females (precise data not shown).

#### Comparison of the plasma BNP levels between the non-IHD and IHD groups and statistical analysis of the logBNP values in the total study population

The plasma BNP levels were significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group as shown in Figure 1-A. In order to identify factors contributing to the difference in the plasma BNP levels between the IHD and non-IHD groups among the total study population, statistical analyses was performed, as shown in Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 is the results of the simple regression analysis of the logBNP values using clinical factors as explanatory variables. Table 4 shows the results of the subsequent multiple regression analysis. Consequently, age, gender, BMI, s-Cr, LVEF, AF, and IHD were found to be significant determinants of the logBNP value. Among these factors, it is noteworthy that IHD *per se* was significantly and inversely correlated with the logBNP value.

#### Comparison of the plasma BNP levels between the non-IHD and IHD groups based on gender

Figure 1B shows that females with and without IHD had higher levels of plasma BNP compared to males with and without IHD.

### Table 4. The results of the multiple regression analyses for the log BNP in all patients (n = 2088).

| Explanatory variable | Regression coefficient | Standard regression coefficient | P     | 95%CI       | VIF |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|-----|
| Age                  | 0.015                  | 0.249                          | <0.001| 0.003–0.017 | 1.125|
| Gender               | −0.272                 | −0.159                         | <0.001| −0.327–−0.217 | 1.120|
| BMI                  | −0.015                 | −0.081                         | <0.001| −0.022–−0.009 | 1.128|
| s-Cr                 | 0.095                  | 0.333                          | <0.001| 0.086–0.104  | 1.061|
| LVEF                 | −0.024                 | −0.0414                        | <0.001| −0.026–−0.022 | 1.097|
| AF                   | 0.325                  | 0.137                          | <0.001| 0.249–0.401  | 1.107|
| IHD                  | −0.115                 | −0.069                         | <0.001| −0.168–−0.061 | 1.131|

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; BMI, Body mass index; AF, Atrial fibrillation; IHD, Ischemic heart disease; s-Cr, Serum creatinine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108983.t004

### Table 5. The results of the logistic regression analysis for IHD in females.

| Explanatory variable | Regression coefficient | P          | Odds ratio | Odds 95% CI |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Log BNP              | −0.085                 | NS (p = 0.719) | 0.919     | 0.579–1.457 |
| LVEF                 | −0.014                 | NS (p = 0.232) | 0.986     | 0.963–1.009 |
| Age                  | 0.027                  | <0.01      | 1.028     | 1.007–1.048 |
| BMI                  | 0.101                  | <0.01      | 1.106     | 1.034–1.183 |
| AF                   | −1.703                 | <0.001     | 0.182     | 0.086–0.388 |
| s-Cr                 | −0.002                 | NS (p = 0.965) | 0.998     | 0.901–1.105 |

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; BMI, Body mass index; AF, Atrial fibrillation; IHD, Ischemic heart disease; s-Cr, Serum creatinine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108983.t005
The plasma BNP levels were similar in females with and without IHD (p = NS, actually p = 0.725). In contrast, the plasma BNP level was lower in males with IHD compared to males without IHD (p < 0.001).

The results of the multiple logistic regression analysis for the determination of IHD

We finally examined the factors determining the presence of IHD; a multiple logistic regression analysis was performed for the determination of IHD by using the logBNP, LVEF, age, BMI, AF and s-Cr as explanatory variables in females and males. As shown in Tables 5 and Figure 2 (Females), and Table 6, and Figure 3 (Males), the trend between females and males was similar for age (significant in each gender), BMI (significant in each gender), AF (significant in each gender) and LVEF (not significant in each gender); however, the s-Cr was not significant in females (p = NS) but was significant in males (p < 0.05). This result may suggest that chronic kidney disease is a more important risk factor for IHD in males than in females. It is noteworthy that the logBNP was not significantly associated with IHD in females (p = NS, actually p = 0.719), but was significantly and inversely associated with IHD in males (Regression coefficient: −0.669, p < 0.001). Thus, the logBNP may only correlate with IHD in males, and may have a possible causative role, although it is difficult to distinguish between cause and effect based on the present analysis.

Sub-analysis based on the presence or absence of AF

AF was found to be significantly associated with non-IHD in both females and males, as shown in Table 5 and Figure 2 (Females) and Table 6 and Figure 3 (Males). Therefore, an additional analysis would be necessary, and the study population was subsequently divided based on the presence or absence of AF: the AF (+) group and the AF (−) group. There were no significant differences in the plasma BNP levels between the non-IHD and IHD groups among the AF (+) or AF (−) females; however, the plasma BNP levels were significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group among AF(+) or AF(−) males. The subgroup analysis of AF therefore suggested that the tendency toward a low plasma BNP level in IHD males was not affected by AF.

Discussion

In the beginning of the study, we found the plasma BNP levels to be specifically lower in the stable patients with IHD than in the stable patients with non-IHD among the total study population. In addition, the multiple regression analysis clearly showed for the

Table 6. The results of the logistic regression analysis for IHD in males.

| Explanatory variable | Regression coefficient | P       | Odds ratio | Odds 95% CI       |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------|------------|------------------|
| Log BNP              | −0.669                 | <0.001  | 0.512      | 0.388−0.677      |
| LVEF                 | −0.007                 | NS (p = 0.318) | 0.993 | 0.981−1.006      |
| Age                  | 0.049                  | <0.001  | 1.050      | 1.037−1.064      |
| BMI                  | 0.080                  | <0.001  | 1.083      | 1.039−1.128      |
| AF                   | −1.351                 | <0.001  | 0.259      | 0.172−0.389      |
| s-Cr                 | 0.087                  | 0.01    | 1.091      | 1.021−1.167      |

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; BMI, Body mass index; AF, Atrial fibrillation; IHD, Ischemic heart disease; s-Cr, Serum creatinine. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108983.t006
The present and future studies may provide insights into the plasma BNP levels seen only in male patients with IHD. The need to examine the precise mechanisms responsible for the low clearance of BNP affected the current results. As a next step, it is unknown whether a reduction of the synthesis or an augmented clearance may be linked to the current results. In addition, it is noted that neurohormones or genetic factors associated with gender differences may be causally associated with IHD and heart failure. The reasons for the insufficiently increased, low plasma BNP levels may have a substantial association with gender differences. However, unknown factors likely contributed to the observed gender difference. Next, by a multiple logistic regression analysis conversely performed using IHD as an objective variable and the logBNP as one of the explanatory variables, IHD was inversely determined by the logBNP only in males, but not in females. Hence the statistical analysis clarified that there is a substantial association between IHD per se and low plasma BNP level in males only. It is difficult to distinguish between cause and effect based on the present analyses; however, low plasma levels of BNP may be causally associated with IHD in males. In other words, the factor 'low reactivity of the plasma BNP level' may be a new risk factor for IHD in males. This way of thinking is supported by the previous reports showing that a deficiency of ANP and BNP was causatively associated with hypertension and other cardiovascular diseases [22–24].

BNP is biologically active and increases the cGMP levels. BNP per se is an independent determinant of the plasma BNP level, in addition to other known factors, such as age, male gender, low BMI, s-Cr, low LVEF and AF [26–31]. Furthermore, when the total study population was divided by gender, significant differences were identified between females and males. For example, it is noteworthy that the plasma BNP levels were significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group among males only. In this analysis, the gender-difference observed in the plasma BNP levels in the IHD group was prominent even in consideration of the diverse clinical backgrounds. Therefore, unknown factors likely contributed to the observed gender difference. Next, by a multiple logistic regression analysis conversely performed using IHD as an objective variable and the logBNP as one of the explanatory variables, IHD was inversely determined by the logBNP only in males, but not in females. Hence the statistical analysis clarified that there is a substantial association between IHD per se and low plasma BNP level in males only. It is difficult to distinguish between cause and effect based on the present analyses; however, low plasma levels of BNP may be causally associated with IHD in males. In other words, the factor 'low reactivity of the plasma BNP level' may be a new risk factor for IHD in males. This way of thinking is supported by the previous reports showing that a deficiency of ANP and BNP was causatively associated with hypertension and other cardiovascular diseases [22–24].

BNP is biologically active and increases the cGMP levels. BNP is thus beneficial for suppressing the progression of heart failure, and probably atherosclerosis [13,14]. If the BNP level is insufficiently increased, low plasma BNP levels may have a causative role in IHD and heart failure. The reasons for the comparatively low plasma BNP levels or deteriorated response of BNP in IHD are unknown at present. Various factors such as neurohormones or genetic factors associated with gender differences may be linked to the current results. In addition, it is unknown whether a reduction of the synthesis or an augmented clearance of BNP affected the current results. As a next step, we need to examine the precise mechanisms responsible for the low plasma BNP levels seen only in male patients with IHD. The findings of the present and future studies may be able to provide a new strategy to prevent IHD and heart failure by increasing the endogenous natriuretic peptides using agents such as neutral endopeptidase inhibitors.

It is interesting to note a gender difference in the contribution of the plasma BNP level to the pathogenesis of IHD, as a gender difference has generally been reported to be negligible in patients with heart failure to date [27]. The results of this study may therefore help to answer the remaining questions regarding the impact of gender differences in the setting of IHD [32–37]. At present, it remains unknown whether IHD is the only or one of several cardiovascular diseases associated with the gender difference in the plasma BNP levels.

In general, the measurement of the plasma BNP levels is useful for early monitoring of asymptomatic ventricular dysfunction in patients with high risk factors. A value <18.4 pg/ml is considered to be ideal, and less than 40 pg/ml is considered to be within the normal range for patients without cardiac organic disorders visiting the hospital [27]. However, in this study, a considerable number of patients with a low EF (<55%) and low plasma BNP levels (<40 pg/ml) was seen in the IHD group compared with the non-IHD group among males; 153 patients (10.81%) vs. 16 patients (5.65%), p<0.01. On the other hand, there were few female patients with the same conditions; only two patients (0.81%) in the IHD group and nine patients (6.25%) in the non-IHD group, p<0.01 (precise data not shown in the Results). This indicates that clinicians should take care not to underestimate the degree of heart failure even if the plasma BNP levels are relatively low (less than 40 pg/ml) in male patients with IHD.

It is known that the BNP level increases with inflammation [38]. In this study, we examined the serum C-reactive protein (CRP) levels between 396 patients with IHD and 136 patients with non-IHD via blood samples obtained on the day of the catheterization. The average CRP level was 0.670±1.653 (95%CI: 0.390–0.950) in the non-IHD group and 0.521±1.109 (95%CI: 0.412–0.631) in the IHD group, which was not significantly different (p = 0.239) (precise data not shown in the Results). Therefore, we thought that the inflammation status would not have influenced the present results.

**Figure 3. The Forest Plot displaying the odds ratio about risk of IHD in a males.** BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction; BMI, Body mass index; AF, Atrial fibrillation; IHD, Ischemic heart disease; s-Cr, Serum creatinine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108983.g003
To avoid a selection bias, the study population was consecutively and non-selectively recruited; therefore, all plural data from one patient were used in this analysis. We essentially performed the analyses by using 1,661 IHD patients and 427 non-IHD patients. However, by way of caution, we performed a similar statistical analysis by using only one dataset from each patient (1178 IHD patients and 416 non-IHD patients) who underwent cardiac catheterization for the first time during this protocol. As a result, the plasma BNP levels were found to be significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group (p < 0.001), the same as in the full dataset. In addition, when the total study population was divided by gender, the plasma BNP levels were significantly lower in the IHD group than in the non-IHD group only in males (p < 0.001), but not in females (p = NS). Thus, the findings of the full dataset and the data from just individual cases were the same.

Finally, this is only a pilot retrospective study of the possible contribution of a low plasma BNP level to the pathogenesis of IHD. Therefore, a prospective study is needed to examine whether male patients with very low levels of plasma BNP, even under conditions of high risk factors, are more likely to develop IHD, for example, by comparing the rates of acute ischemic attack, the progression of IHD and the severity of stenosis in IHD patients grouped by the plasma BNP level.

Study Limitation

First, the number of females was small compared with that of males (1698 males and 390 females); thus, it is difficult to draw any definitive conclusions regarding the gender difference in the contribution of the plasma BNP level to IHD. A larger study including more females is required to arrive at an absolute conclusion. Second, while the plasma BNP level was the primary materials/analysis tools: KM MK MY. Wrote the paper: KM TO KK TT S. Matsuo KH MY. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: KM MK MY. Wrote the paper: KM TO KK TT MY.

Conclusions

The plasma BNP levels were relatively low in stable patients with IHD compared with that observed in the stable patients with non-IHD; this tendency was more evident in males. Perhaps, the low reactivity of BNP is causally associated with IHD in males. We hope this study serves as a test of a prospective study in the future.
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