**Original Article**

**Anti-inflammatory effects of flavonoids in Citrus jabara fruit peels**
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ABSTRACT

Currently, about half of people in Japan suffer from allergic diseases. Thus, Citrus jabara fruits have been paid attention as one of quite effective anti-allergic functional foods. C. jabara is an endemic species originally grown only in Kitayama village, Wakayama prefecture in Japan. Although genetic characterization and diversity of various Citrus fruits including C. jabara were researched, but there is room for the study on flavonoids characteristics in C. jabara fruit. For the alleviation of allergic symptom, anti-inflammatory effects are also important. In this study, characteristics of flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels, and the anti-inflammatory effects of these purified flavonoids were investigated. Our results revealed that C. jabara is a unique Citrus that almost all of flavonoids in fruit peels was narirutin. There was no Citrus species with a flavanone glycosides content ratio like C. jabara. Although anti-inflammatory effects of narirutin was weak, but its aglycone naringenin exhibited following inhibitory effects: nitric oxide synthesis (IC50 = 105 μM), nitric oxide synthase induction, Interleukin-6 synthesis (IC50 = 65 μM), and inducible soluble epoxide hydrolase activity (IC50 = 267 μM). Since narirutin is deglycosylated to naringenin that is then absorbed by colonocytes, it is considered that narirutin exists like a prodrug and its aglycone naringenin works as an active form of anti-inflammatory effect in a living body at oral ingestion of C. jabara fruit peels.
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**Introduction**

Currently, about half of people in Japan suffer from allergic diseases such as pollen allergy, perennial rhinitis, and atopic dermatitis. So, it is figuratively said that allergic diseases are the current national disease in Japan. Under such condition, the functional foods to alleviate allergic symptoms, such as Citrus unshiu unripe fruit, were focused.[1,2]

In recent years, Citrus jabara fruits has also been paid attention as one of quite effective anti-allergic functional foods. C. jabara is an endemic species originally grown only in Kitayama village, Wakayama prefecture in Japan, and is estimated as a natural hybrid species of Citrus junos, Citrus reticulata, and Citrus kinokuni[3]. C. jabara was recognized as a new variety of citrus by Dr. Tanaka in 1971, and then registered as a new nursery plant in 1979 by Mr. Fukuda (Japan the plant variety protection NO. 10). Concerning with anti-allergic activities of C. jabara fruits, the anti-degranulation activity,[3] the improving effect of its juice on symptoms and quality of life in patients with Japanese cedar pollinosis,[4] and the suppressive effect of its extracts on mucin production in human lung epithelial cells[5] were reported. Although genetic characterization and diversity of various citrus fruits including C. jabara were researched[6-9], but there is room for the study on flavonoids characteristics in C. jabara fruit. For the alleviation of allergic symptom, both anti-allergic effect and anti-inflammatory effects are important. Thus, in this study, we investigated the component analysis of flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels and the anti-inflammatory effects of these isolated flavonoids.
Materials and Methods

Extract and isolation

_C. jabara_ fruits were harvested in Kitayama village, Wakayama prefecture in Japan on 3 November 2010. The residue of _C. jabara_ fruits by commercial roller juice squeezer was used as _C. jabara_ fruit peels. After drying, these peels were chopped and sealed in aluminum pucks until use. Extract and isolation were carried out in 2010.

The dried of _C. jabara_ fruit peels (1 Kg) were chopped into small pieces and extracted with n-hexane (3 L x 5), EtOAc (3 L x 3) and acetone (3 L x 3) using a ultrasonic extraction. The combined n-hexane, EtOAc and acetone extracts were concentrated to dryness in vacuo, respectively. The n-hexane residue (11.7 g) was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel and ODS, and eluted successively with a n-hexane – EtOAc and MeOH – H2O solvent system to afford fourteen compounds, eight flavones: 3, 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-heptamethoxyflavone (FLV1, 265.8 mg), natsudaidain (3-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavone) (FLV2, 130.3 mg), 5-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavone (FLV3, 29.3 mg), 3, 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-hexamethoxyflavone (FLV4, 14.6 mg), 8-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-pentamethoxyflavone (FLV5, 7.1 mg), 4’, 5-dihydroxy-3’, 6, 7, 8-pentamethoxyflavone (FLV6, 2.9 mg), retusin (5-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 7-tetramethoxyflavone) (FLV7, 1.9 mg), nobiletin (3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavone) (FLV8, 1.9 mg), three flavonones: 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavanone (FNN2, 32.1 mg), 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-pentamethoxyflavanone (FNN3, 6.7 mg), 4’, 5, 6, 7-tetramethoxyflavanone (FNN4, 1.4 mg), three chalcones: 2’-hydroxy-3’, 3’, 4’, 4’, 5’, 6’-hexamethoxychalcone (CHL1, 31.7 mg), 2’-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 4’, 5’, 6’-pentamethoxychalcone (CHL2, 8.2 mg), 2’-hydroxy-4’, 4’, 5’, 6’-tetramethoxychalcone (CHL3, 7.8 mg). The EtOAc and acetone residues were filtered to obtain an amorphous powder (narirutin: FNN1, 17.7 g). These compounds were determined by comparison of physical data and NMR spectral data with articles.[9–13]

Chemical structures of isolated compounds were shown in Figure 1, and their names and amounts were listed in Table 1. Among these compounds, FLV3, 5, 6, 7 and CHL1-3 are the compounds which were isolated for the first time from _C. jabara_ fruit peels[14]. Seven compounds that were obtained more than 10 mg (FLV1 - 4, FNN1 - 2, and CHL1) were evaluated in cell-based assays.

Materials

Naringenin was purchased from LKT Laboratory (St. Paul, MN, USA). Hesperidin, neohesperidin, and naringin were purchased from Nacalai Tesque.
(Kyoto, Japan). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) and penicillin-streptomycin mixed solution (stabilized) were from Nacalai Tesque. Fetal bovine serum was obtained from Biowest (Nuaillé, France). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from *Escherichia coli* O55 was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan). Griess reagent system was obtained from Promega (Fitchburg, WI, USA). Cell Counting Kit-8 was from Dojindo Laboratories (Kumamoto, Japan). RIPA buffer and protease inhibitor cocktail were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical. BCA Protein Assay Kit was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane was obtained from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). Blocking one, a blocking solution for blot membrane, was obtained from Nacalai Tesque. Rabbit antibody to inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked whole antibody was from GE Healthcare. Monoclonal antibody to β-actin HRP conjugate was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries. Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent, chemiluminescent reaction reagent, was obtained from GE Healthcare. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for Interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) were obtained from BioLegend (San Diego, CA, USA). Human recombinant soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and its substrate, (2S,3S)-trans-3-phenyl-2-oxiranylmethyl-4-nitrophenyl carbonate (S-NEPC), was from Sigma-Aldrich. Human recombinant chymase was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and its substrate, N-Succinyl-Ala-His-Pro-Phe-p-nitroanilide, was from Peptide Institute (Osaka, Japan). Hyaluronidase from bovine testes and Compound 48/80 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Hyaluronic acid sodium salt from Rooster Comb was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries.

### Table 1. Flavonoids isolated from dried *C. jabara* fruit peels (1 kg).

| Compound | Amount (mg) |
|----------|------------|
| **Flavones** | |
| FLV1 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-heptamethoxyflavone | 265.8 |
| FLV2 natsudaian (3-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavone) | 130.3 |
| FLV3 5-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavone | 29.3 |
| FLV4 3’, 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-hexamethoxyflavone | 14.6 |
| FLV5 8-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-pentamethoxyflavone | 7.1 |
| FLV6 4’,5-dihydroxy-3’, 6’, 7, 8-pentamethoxyflavone | 2.9 |
| FLV7 retusin (5-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 7-tetramethoxyflavone) | 1.9 |
| FLV8 nobiletin (3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavone) | 1.9 |
| **Flavanones** | |
| FNN1 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7, 8-hexamethoxyflavanone | 17,700.0 |
| FNN2 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-pentamethoxyflavanone | 32.1 |
| FNN3 3’, 4’, 5, 6, 7-pentamethoxyflavanone | 6.7 |
| FNN4 4’, 5, 6, 7-tetramethoxyflavanone | 1.4 |
| **Chalcones** | |
| CHL1 2’-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5’, 6’-hexamethoxychalcone | 31.7 |
| CHL2 2’-hydroxy-3’, 4’, 5’, 6’-pentamethoxychalcone | 8.2 |
| CHL3 2’-hydroxy-4’, 5’, 6’-tetramethoxychalcone | 7.8 |

Prior to the sample preparation, dried *C. jabara* fruit peels were powdered. Amounts of hesperidin, neohesperidin, naringin, and naringenin were quantified as reported by Tosa et al. with some modifications. Briefly, 50 mg of *C. jabara* powder were taken into a 10 mL vial and extracted with 50% methanol for 20 min using an ultrasonic extraction. Then, extract was passed through a 0.45 μm syringe
filter into a glass vial, and 5.0 μL was injected into the HPLC. Chromatographic separation was performed on a COSMOSIL 5C18-AR-II column (φ 4.6 x 250 mm) with a following condition: temperature, 40 °C; mobile phase, 20% acetonitrile containing 0.8% acetic acid; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min. Quantitative analysis was performed with purchased standard samples of hesperidin, neohesperidin, naringin, and naringenin. Peaks were detected at 280 nm. The limits of detection of each flavanone were follows: hesperidin, 40 ng/mL; neohesperidin, 92 ng/mL; naringin, 65 ng/mL; naringenin, 10 ng/mL, respectively. The quantification limits of each flavanone were follows: hesperidin, 120 ng/mL; neohesperidin, 280 ng/mL; naringin, 200 ng/mL; naringenin, 30 ng/mL, respectively.

**Cell culture**

The murine macrophage-like cell line, RAW 264 (RCB0535), was provided from the RIKEN BioResource Center (Ibaraki, Japan). RAW 264 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. RAW 264 cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere.

**Cell viability assay**

The cytotoxicity of flavonoids on RAW 264 cells were measured by Cell Counting Kit-8. Briefly, 1.0 x 10^5 cells/well were seeded on a 96 well plate in DMEM with 10% FBS and antibiotics for 2 h. Next, cells were cultured with or without flavonoids for 2 h, then, stimulated with 1 μg/mL of LPS for an additional 24 h. After treatment, cells were washed and lysed with RIPA buffer and centrifuged at 20,600 xg for 30 min at 4 °C. Protein concentrations of supernatants were estimated by BCA protein assay kit, and stored at -80°C until analysis. Equal amounts of protein (2.5 μg) of the cell lysates were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were pre-incubated in blocking solution at room temperature for 1 h. For iNOS protein detection, a membrane was incubated with a 1:2,000 dilution of a primary antibody against iNOS at room temperature for 1 h. The blot was washed with T-PBS (-) and incubated with a 1:4,000 dilution of HRP-conjugated IgG secondary antibody. In case of β-actin, the transferred membrane was incubated with a 1:8,000 dilution of a primary antibody against β-actin at room temperature for 1 h. The target proteins on the membranes were detected using a chemiluminescent reaction and LAS-3000 Imaging System (Fuji film, Tokyo, Japan).

**Enzyme inhibitory assay**

sEH activity was measured as reported by Bahl et al. with some modifications. Briefly, samples were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min in a 100 μL volume containing 0.58 U/mL sEH and 0.4 mM s-NEPC. Hyaluronidase activity was measured by the Morgan-Elson reaction as reported by Ratnasooriya et al. Chymase activity was measured as reported by Tani et al. with some modification. Briefly, samples were incubated at 37 °C for 60 min in a 100 μL volume containing 8.8 μg/mL chymase and 0.8 mM N-Succinyl-Ala-His-Pro-Phe-p-nitroanilide. These assays were carried out in 96-well plates and the optical density was measured at 405 nm in case of sEH and chymase, and at 585 nm in case of hyaluronidase, respectively. The inhibitory ratio was calculated by the following formula: Inhibitory ratio (%) = (1-average of treated group/ average of control group) x 100.
Results

Flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels

Amounts of flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels were quantified to clarify the distribution characteristics in C. jabara fruit peels. Eight flavones, 4 flavanones, and 3 chalcones were detected in C. jabara fruit peels, but more than 99% of flavonoids were narirutin (Table 1). Typical flavanone glycosides of Citrus fruit peels, such as hesperidin, neohesperidin, and naringin, were not detected during the isolation and quantification process of C. jabara fruit peels. Furthermore, naringenin, an aglycone of narirutin, was also not detected.

For confirmation, amounts of hesperidin, naringin, neohesperidin, and naringenin in C. jabara fruit peels were quantified by HPLC analysis. Amounts of these flavonoids were below detection limit.

Toxicities of flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels

Prior to investigate the anti-inflammatory effects, toxicities of purified flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels were examined. Cell viabilities of RAW 264 cells cultivated with 100 and 200 µM flavonoids for 24 h were measured with Cell Counting Kit-8. In case of FLV4, toxicity of 200 µM was not evaluated because of its low solubility. At 100µM, no toxicity was observed on FLV4, narirutin, and its aglycone naringenin (Figure 2). Mild toxicity was observed on FLV1-3 and FNN2. Strong toxicity was observed on CHL1. Toxicities of narirutin and naringenin were examined until 500 µM. Narirutin up to 500 µM and naringenin up to 400 µM exhibit no toxicity. At 500 µM naringenin, cell viability was 85.8 ± 6.0 %.

Flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels inhibit LPS-induced NO production and iNOS protein generation in RAW 264 cells

In order to investigate the suppressive effects on NO synthesis and iNOS inhibitory activities, RAW 264 cells were cultivated with 1 µg/mL of LPS and 50, 100, and 200 µM of flavonoids for 24 h. NO concentrations in the culture supernatants were quantified by the Griess reaction. In case of FLV4 at 200 µM, NO was not evaluated because of its low solubility. Expression levels of iNOS protein at 100 µM flavonoids were visualized by immunoblot analysis. All tested flavonoids, except for narirutin, exhibited inhibitory effects equally or more than that of L-NAME (Figure 3A). With a few exceptions, dose-dependently inhibitory effects were observed on each tested flavonoid. These flavonoids, except for narirutin, significantly reduced LPS-induced iNOS protein expression, but did not change β-actin expression (Figure 3B).

Flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels inhibit LPS-induced IL-6 and TNF-α secretion in RAW 264 cells

In order to investigate the suppressive effects on IL-6 and TNF-α secretion, RAW 264 cells were cultivated with 1 µg/mL of LPS and 50, 100, and 200 µM of flavonoids for 24 h. IL-6 and TNF-α concentrations in the culture supernatants were quantified by the ELISA kits. In case of FLV4 at 200 µM, toxicity of 200 µM was not evaluated because of its low solubility. Expression levels of IL-6 and TNF-α protein at 100 µM flavonoids were visualized by immunoblot analysis. All tested flavonoids, except for narirutin, exhibited inhibitory effects equally or more than that of L-NAME (Figure 3C). With a few exceptions, dose-dependently inhibitory effects were observed on each tested flavonoid. These flavonoids, except for narirutin, significantly reduced LPS-induced IL-6 and TNF-α protein expression, but did not change β-actin expression (Figure 3D).

Figure 2. Toxicities of flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels on RAW 264 cells. Flavonoids were tested at concentrations of 100 µM (■) and 200 µM (■). The values express the means ± S.D. of three experiments. NRT, narirutin; NGN, naringenin. Other abbreviations of flavonoids are listed in Table 1. N.D., no data.
µM, IL-6 and TNF-α were not evaluated because of its low solubility. Dose-dependently inhibitory effects were observed on each tested flavonoid. Among these flavonoids, FNN2, naringenin, and FLV1 inhibited IL-6 secretion strongly, but narirutin and FLV3 did weakly (Figure 4A). In case of TNF-α, only FNN2 exhibited strong inhibitory activity (Figure 4B).

**Inhibitory effects of narirutin and naringenin on inflammatory related factors**

Inhibitory effects of narirutin and its aglycone naringenin on following inflammatory related factors were examined; secretions of NO, IL-6 and TNF-α in LPS-stimulated RAW 264 cells, and inflammation related enzymes, such as soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH), hyaluronidase and chymase. Dose-dependent inhibitory effects were observed other than chymase (Figure 5). The inhibitory concentration 50% (IC50) values of naringenin on inflammatory related factors were follows; NO production was 105 µM, IL-6 secretion was 65 µM, sEH was 267 µM, and hyaruronidase was 1,470 µM, respectively. On the other hand, narirutin did not exhibit significant inhibitory effects in the range of tested concentrations.

**Discussion**

In this study, we clarified the characteristics of flavonoids in *C. jabara* fruit peels, and investigated the anti-inflammatory effects of these purified flavonoids. Furthermore, we estimated the most
effective anti-inflammatory compound in a living body.

Citrus could be classified by the content ratio of following 4 flavanone glycosides, such as narirutin, hesperidin, naringin, and neohesperidin, in its fruits\(^{[19]}\). Principal component analysis of Citrus fruits flavonoids indicated that distributions of Citrus species belonging to different classes were largely in accordance with Tanaka’s classification system\(^{[20]}\). C. jabara is recognized as a closely-related species to C. sphaerocarpa, C. sudachi, C. junos, and C. reticulate by genetic characterization and diversity research\(^{[6-8]}\). As shown in Table 1, almost all of flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels was narirutin, but hesperidin, naringin, and neohesperidin were below detection limit. There was no Citrus species with a flavanone glycosides content ratio like C. jabara\(^{[19,20]}\). This result indicates that C. jabara is a unique citrus.

Flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels did not exhibit strong toxicity except for CHL1 (Figure 2). Especially, narirutin and its aglycone naringenin were nontoxic. Thus, C. jabara fruit peel is considered as a nontoxic material, because almost all of flavonoids are narirutin in C. jabara fruit peels.

Anti-inflammatory effects of flavonoids were observed on inflammatory mediators in LPS-stimulated macrophage-like cells (Figures 3 and 4). Flavonoids are known as suppressors of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) pathway\(^{[21,22]}\). Among

**Figure 4.** Flavonoids in C. jabara fruit peels inhibit LPS-induced IL-6 (A) and TNF-α (B) in RAW 246 cells. Flavonoids were tested at concentrations of 50 μM( ■ ), 100 μM( ■ ), and 200 μM( ■ ). The values express the means ± S.D. of three experiments. NRT, narirutin; NGN, naringenin; L-NAME. Other abbreviations of flavonoids are listed in Table 1. N.D., no data.
Anti-inflammatory effects of flavonoids in *Citrus jabara* fruit peels

Tested flavonoids, **FNN2** was most effective on NO synthesis, iNOS induction, IL-6 synthesis, and TNF-α synthesis (**Figures 3** and **4**). These results may be affected by the reduction of cell viability, thus, toxicities of each flavonoid should also be taken into consideration. Although anti-inflammatory effects of narirutin was weak, but, its aglycone naringenin exhibited enough inhibitory effects (**Figures 3–5**). The difference in the inhibitory activity of narirutin and naringenin, in other word, glycosides and aglycone, may be partially explained by the difference in cell membrane permeability.[23,24]. In this study, other structure-activity relations of inhibitory effects of tested flavonoids were not clear. For example, Takano-Ishikawa et al. reported that C2-C3 double-bond and 4-oxo functional group of the C-ring in flavonoids are important factors for the high inhibition activities of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) induction by LPS in rat peritoneal macrophages.[23]. In this study, all tested flavonoids have 4-oxo functional group in the C-ring. The C2-C3 double bond of C-ring, in short, flavone (**FLV**) skeletal structure, was not relevant to the inhibition activities in our results (**Figures 2–4**). **FNN2**, the most effective flavonoids, is one of most hydrophobic flavonoids in this study. But, the relationship between hydrophobicity of flavonoids and inhibition activities is considered not so high, because the inhibition activities of other most hydrophobic flavonoids, such as **FLV1** and **FLV4**, were not so high compare to other tested flavonoids.

Thinking about the abundance ratio of flavonoids, narirutin is considered to contribute to anti-inflammatory effect at oral ingestion of *C. jabara* fruit peels. Funaguchi et al. also reported that oral administration of narirutin inhibited airway inflammation in allergic model mouse.[25]. On the other hand, in vitro cell assay, narirutin did not exhibited enough anti-inflammatory effects (**Figures 2–5**) nor anti-degranulating effects.[26]. These conflicting results between in vivo and in vitro examinations may be explained by the metabolism of narirutin in a living body. Pharmacokinetics of narirutin after ingestion of orange juice in healthy humans were reported by Silveria et al.[27]. The majority of oral intake-narirutin is considered to reach the colon, and colonic microbiota deglycosylate narirutin to naringenin, that is then absorbed by colonocytes.[28]. Thus, it is considered that narirutin exists like a prodrug, and its aglycone naringenin works as an active form within the body.

Anti-inflammatory effects of naringenin were also observed on inflammation related enzymes, such as sEH and hyaluronidase (**Figure 5D–5F**). sEH is known as a pro-inflammatory factor by metabolizing anti-inflammatory epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs) to less potent dihydroxyeicosatrienoic acids (DHETs).[29]. Relationship of inflammation to hyaluronidase is also reported.[30]. Therefore, naringenin is thought to work as an enzyme inhibitor, too. The wide difference of effective concentration of naringenin

---

**Figure 5.** Inhibitory effects of narirutin and naringenin on inflammatory factors. (A)NO, (B)IL-6, (C)TNF-α, (D)sEH, (E)hyaluronidase, and (F)chymase were examined. The values express the means S.D. of three experiments.
was observed on each inflammatory related parameter (Figure 5), but this phenomenon is also observed in case of other flavonoids.(31)

In this study, we clarified the large difference between narirutin and its aglycone naringenin on anti-inflammatory effects, and suggested the importance of deglycosylation of narirutin to naringenin at oral ingestion of C. jabara fruit peels. In fact, when patients with allergic disease intake C. jabara fruit peels, there are individual differences on anti-allergic and anti-inflammatory effects. Our findings may contribute to dissolve this problem. As shown in Figure 1, narirutin is a flavanone that contains rutinose group (Glc-Rham). Although hydrolysis of rhamnosides was not observed in human small intestinal cell extract(32), but rhamnosyl moiety of flavonoids could be hydrolyzed by strains of intestinal Bacteroides from humans(33). Therefore, intestinal bacterial flora is considered as an important element for C. jabara fruit peels to exhibit anti-inflammatory effects. Now, we are trying to explore most suitable intestinal bacteria for deglycosylation of narirutin in C. jabara fruit peels in order to be more effective in more patients with allergic diseases.

Conclusion

C. jabara was a unique citrus that almost all of flavonoids in fruit peels were narirutin. Although anti-inflammatory effects of narirutin was weak, but, its aglycone naringenin exhibited following inhibitory effects: NO synthesis, iNOS induction, IL-6 synthesis, and sEH activity. Since narirutin is deglycosylated to naringenin that is then absorbed by colonocytes, it is considered that narirutin exists like a prodrug and its aglycone naringenin works as an active form of anti-inflammatory effect in a living body at oral ingestion of C. jabara fruit peels.
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