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Abstract

Aim: We surveyed users of sick child care services about the benefits of and issues regarding such care, in order to obtain an insight into its practice.

Methods: The study subjects comprised a total of 144 parents whose children had used any of the 15 sick child care facilities in Prefecture A in 2015. These parents consented to participate in the study. Using a quantitative and qualitative method, we surveyed them about their usage of sick child care, care-related requests, as well as perceived benefits and difficulties.

Results: Sick child care was used mainly by children from either double-income nuclear families (n=123) or single-mother households (n=12) because of infectious diseases. The systems for reducing care fees were utilized by 58% of the single mothers. Subjects viewed the following factors as the benefits of sick child care: 1) a sense of ease achieved through appropriate and professional childcare, 2) being able to work free from anxiety, 3) a reduced burden on children and their normal development, and 4) child raising support for parents. On the basis of difficulties in utilizing sick child care, parents desired improvement in care services, such as an increase in the number of both care facilities and days such care is available, and the capacity to accept children.

Conclusions: The results of this study suggest that, to facilitate sick child care based on the needs of care service users, there is a need to increase the number of care facilities, and expand the capacity to accept children.
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Introduction

The Japanese government is adopting new systems for child raising in order to respond to changes in the child raising environment resulting from the expansion of women’s societal roles and the trend toward nuclear families [1]. These systems aim to provide a wide range of child raising support, including improvement in parents’ employment situation in a manner enabling them to manage work and child raising. In particular, as sick children may hinder their mothers from managing work and child raising, the government is promoting the systems for sick child care [2]. Sick child care is a form of nursing care provided temporarily for sick children when they cannot be cared for by their parents at home. In 2012, approximately 490,000 sick children used a combined total of 1,102 sick child care facilities as supporters of working mothers [3]. The role of sick child care is not only to fill in for working parents by looking after their sick children, but also to meet the children’s needs [4]. Patents are able to benefit from sick child care in terms of both work and child raising, and such care is also beneficial for their children [5,6]. Most of these parents view this type of care as helpful in child raising [6,7]. On the other hand, there have been reports on dissatisfaction with the sick child care systems and care fees, as well as on parents’ anxiety about infection [7-9].

Against this background, we surveyed users of sick child care about the benefits of and issues regarding such care in order to obtain an insight into its practice.

Methods

Study design: Mixed methods research: The study subjects comprised parents whose children had used any of the 15 sick child care facilities in Prefecture A between October and November 2015. These parents consented to participate in the study. In this prefecture, care fees are reduced for families receiving welfare support, those exempt from municipal tax, and those exempt from income taxes. It is possible for service users to utilize sick child care facilities located in municipalities other than their home municipality in accordance with the specified municipal agreement.

As the demographic variables of the subjects, we investigated their age, type of household, employment situation, and monthly household income. We did not survey their residential areas because many municipalities of the studied prefecture had only one sick child care facility. In addition, we investigated the subjects’ usage of sick child care (e.g., age and diseases of their children, as well as the costs of and reasons for using such services), annual number of times using care services, whether or not they had ever been unable to use sick child care, and actions taken in such circumstances. We used 15 items regarding the benefits of sick child care (assistance for work, child raising support, and benefits for children), and 14 items regarding care-related requests. Each of these items had 4 possible responses. Furthermore, the subjects were asked to freely write down their opinions and requests concerning sick child care.

We obtained written consent from the managers of the 15 above-mentioned nurseries. Staff members of these nurseries then distributed a form describing the study, questionnaire, and self-addressed envelopes to the subjects. A response to the questionnaire was
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Subjects: We distributed a questionnaire to 345 individuals whose children had used any of the 15 nurseries for sick children in Prefecture A, and collected completed questionnaires from 144 of these parents (response rate: 41.74%). All collected questionnaires were analyzed.

Quantitative data: Of all subjects, 134 were mothers; 92 and 34 were in their 30s and 40s, respectively (Table 1). Single mothers and those from double-income nuclear families numbered 12 (including 1 subject living with their parents) and 123, respectively. Subjects in full- and part-time positions numbered 71 and 55, respectively. The average daily working time was 7.96 hours (SD=1.69). In addition, 84 subjects worked on weekends, and 13 were night-time workers. The percentage of those whose monthly disposable income was less than 300,000 yen was significantly higher for single mothers (91.67% [n=63]) than people from double-income households (28.33% [n=34]) (P<0.0001). The systems for reducing care fees were used by 43 subjects. In addition, the percentage of those using the systems for reducing care fees was significantly higher for single mothers (58.33% [n=7]) than people from double-income households (28.33% [n=34]) (P=0.0477).

The average number of times using sick child care during the previous year was 4.42 (SD=4.34). Most recently, the average number of days using sick child care was 1.89 (SD=1.26), with the average number of hours using it per day being 8.09 (SD=1.32). The mean age of the children using care services was 3.07 years (SD=1.9). Among these children, 89 and 79 were male and female, respectively. In addition, 24 parents each placed 2 children in sick child care at any one time. Common diseases included a cold (n=63), pertussis (n=12), and vomiting or diarrhea (n=11). Most children had infectious diseases. The major reason for using sick child care was because of work (n=142). A total of 97 subjects arrived at their workplace late or had to leave early when using sick child care. Furthermore, 141 subjects desired to continue using such care (Table -2).

During the previous year, 57 subjects had been unable to use sick child care at least once, with the average annual number of times it was unavailable being 2.51 (SD=5.42). The reasons for sick child care being unavailable were the maximum capacity of children had been reached (n=44), and financial issues (n=1). The actions taken when sick child care was unavailable included: 1) taking the day off from work (n=64 [48 mothers and 16 fathers]), 2) placing children in the care of their grandparents (n=15), and 3) making children stay at home alone (n=5).

A polychoric correlation matrix was created for the 15 items regarding the benefits of sick child care in order to facilitate the interpretation of these items. The data obtained from these items were then subjected to exploratory factor analysis (unweighted least squares, promax rotation) (Table 3), which led to the extraction of the following 3 factors: 1) a sense of ease achieved through using sick child care, 2) parents’ relief, and 3) benefits for children. Both the scale used and these 3 factors showed a polychoric ordinal alpha coefficient of ≥0.72, and an item-total correlation coefficient of ≥0.43, which established the scale’s reliability. Significant differences were noted in the scores for these 3 factors (P<0.0001), with Factor 1 showing the highest point.

| Indices                          | Items                                   | n  | %      |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----|--------|
| Age range                       | 20s                                     | 16 | 11.27  |
|                                | 30s                                     | 92 | 64.79  |
|                                | 40s                                     | 34 | 23.94  |
| Respondents’ relationship with their children | Mother                                 | 134| 94.37  |
|                                | Father                                  | 7  | 4.93   |
|                                | Grandmother                             | 1  | 0.7    |
| Type of household              | Parents and their children (double income) | 123| 85.42  |
|                                | Parents and their children (with only the father working) | 1  | 0.69   |
|                                | Grandparents, parents, and their children (double income) | 6  | 4.17   |
|                                | Mother and children                     | 11 | 7.64   |
|                                | Grandparents, mother, and children      | 1  | 0.69   |
|                                | Others                                  | 2  | 1.39   |
| Mother’s employment status     | Full-time worker                        | 71 | 52.21  |
|                                | Part-time worker                        | 55 | 40.44  |
|                                | Dispatched employee                    | 4  | 2.94   |
|                                | Self-employed business                 | 3  | 2.21   |
|                                | Others                                  | 3  | 2.1    |

Table 1. Cont..................
### Table 1. Demographic variables  N=144

Due to missing values, the total number does not represent the total percentage for some items. a

Fisher's exact test, P<0.0001

| Working situation | Item | n | % |
|-------------------|------|---|---|
| Work hours/day (Mean, SD) | 7.96 | 1.69 |
| Working on weekends | 84 | 59.15 |
| Working at night | 13 | 9.42 |

| Monthly disposable income (yen) | Item | n | % |
|---------------------------------|------|---|---|
| ≥100,000 to <150,000 | 6 | 4.32 |
| ≥150,000 to <200,000 | 7 | 5.04 |
| ≥200,000 to <250,000 | 13 | 9.35 |
| ≥250,000 to <300,000 | 11 | 7.91 |
| ≥300,000 to <350,000 | 26 | 18.71 |
| ≥350,000 to <400,000 | 18 | 12.95 |
| ≥400,000 | 58 | 41.73 |

| Monthly disposable income a | Item | n | % |
|-----------------------------|------|---|---|
| Parents and their children (double income) | 23 | 19.33 |
| Single mothers, or single mothers with their parents | 11 | 91.67 |

| Variable | Item | n | % |
|----------|------|---|---|
| Diseases | Cold | 63 | 44.06 |
| | Pertussis | 12 | 8.39 |
| | Vomiting or diarrhea | 11 | 7.69 |
| | Hemolytic streptococcus infectious disease | 8 | 5.59 |
| | Chickenpox | 7 | 4.90 |
| | Mumps | 7 | 4.90 |
| | Influenza | 4 | 2.80 |
| | Bronchitis, pneumonia | 4 | 2.80 |
| | Hand-foot-and-mouth disease | 4 | 2.80 |
| | Exanthema subitum | 1 | 0.70 |
| | Conjunctivitis | 3 | 2.10 |
| | Others | 19 | 13.29 |

| Subjects using the systems for reducing care fees a | Item | n | % |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|---|---|
| Total | 43 | 30.5 |
| Parents and their children (double-income household) | 34 | 28.33 |
| Single mothers, or single mothers with their parents | 7 | 58.33 |

| Experiences that had ever been unable to utilize sick child care | Item | n | % |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|---|---|
| Number of times that had ever been unable to utilize sick child care during the previous year (Mean, SD). | 2.51 | 5.42 |

| Reasons for unavailable sick child care | Item | n | % |
|----------------------------------------|------|---|---|
| The facility reached its maximum capacity. | 44 | 78.57 |
| It takes time to transport my child to and from the nursery, and accompany them for a medical consultation. | 2 | 3.57 |
| Financial reasons | 1 | 1.79 |
| Others | 9 | 16.07 |

Table. 2 Cont................
| Actions taken when sick child care was unavailable (Multiple answers allowed [n=57]) |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| The child’s mother took the day off from work | 48 | 84.21 |   |   |   |   |
| The child’s father took the day off from work | 16 | 28.07 |   |   |   |   |
| The child was placed in the care of their grandparents | 10 | 17.54 |   |   |   |   |
| The child’s grandparents took the day off from work | 5 | 8.77 |   |   |   |   |
| The child was left at home alone | 5 | 8.77 |   |   |   |   |
| Babysitters (e.g., child raising helpers or volunteers) were hired | 1 | 1.75 |   |   |   |   |

Table 2. Usage of sick child care  N=144

Due to missing values, the total number does not represent the total percentage for some items.

*a Parents and their children (double-income household) vs single mothers, or single mothers with their parents, Fisher's exact test, P<0.0477n*

| Factor | Item | Factor1 | Factor2 | Factor3 | Communarity | Mean | SD | Item-Total correlation | Alpha b |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| bSense of ease achieved through using sick child care (Factor 1) | Because my child was taken care of by professionals, I was able to work free from anxiety | 0.96 | 0.07 | -0.17 | 0.917 | 3.79 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
| | As I did not have to worry about leaving my workplace early, I could focus on my job free from anxiety | 0.80 | -0.15 | 0.11 | 0.598 | 3.74 | 0.57 | 0.44 |
| | Because specialists cared for my child, my child recuperated from the illness | 0.67 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.670 | 3.62 | 0.63 | 0.61 |
| Parents' relief (Factor 2) | I was relieved because I was able to care for my child together with the staff of the sick child care center | -0.09 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 0.830 | 3.06 | 0.93 | 0.67 |
| | I did not have to worry about causing trouble for my relatives or acquaintances | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.459 | 3.41 | 0.83 | 0.57 |
| Benefits for children (Factor 3) | My child did not need to receive medical consultation at night or after hours | -0.06 | -0.09 | 0.92 | 0.775 | 2.54 | 0.98 | 0.62 |
| | My child did not need to have to refrain from playing because of illness | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.495 | 2.56 | 0.89 | 0.67 |

Table 3 Cont..................
My child did not need to go to nursery / school by pushing himself too much, in spite of illness

| Factor                        | Item                                                                 | Factor1 | Factor2 | Communarity | Mean | SD  | Item-Total correlation a | Alpha b |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|------|-----|--------------------------|---------|
| Care fee-related requests     | I wish that care fees were fully subsidized                          | 0.92    | -0.11   | 0.821       | 2.71 | 1.06| 0.80                    | 0.89    |
|                               | I wish that care fees are covered by insurance                       | 0.84    | 0.10    | 0.745       | 3.07 | 0.97| 0.75                    |         |
|                               | I desire financial support from my workplace                         | 0.81    | -0.05   | 0.643       | 2.73 | 1.06| 0.73                    |         |
|                               | I desire lower care fees                                             | 0.73    | 0.08    | 0.567       | 2.99 | 0.99|                       |         |
| Desires for an increase in   | I desire an increase in the number of care facilities                 | 0.03    | 0.99    | 0.995       | 3.46 | 0.69| 0.41                    |         |
| the capacity to accept       | I desire an increased capacity to accept children                    | -0.03   | 0.66    | 0.425       | 3.27 | 0.79| 0.34                    |         |
| children (Factor 2)          |                                                                      |         |         |             |      |     |                          |         |
|                               |                                                                      | 3.04    | 0.64    | 0.80        |      |     |                          |         |

Exploratory factor analysis was conducted using unweighted least squares with promax rotation.

- a Spearman's correlation coefficient; all of the probability values showed P<0.0001.
- b Polychoric ordinal alpha coefficient.

Table 3. Benefits of sick child care  N=144

---

Exploatory factor analysis was conducted using unweighted least squares with promax rotation.
Its mean factor score significantly differed among 2 factors (P<0.0001) (Paired t-test).
- a Spearman's correlation coefficient; all of the probability values showed P<0.0001.
- b Polychoric ordinal alpha coefficient.

The mean factor score significantly differed among all 3 factors both in the odd number group and even number group, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, Odd number group vs Even number group.

Table 4. Parents' requests regarding sick child care  N=144

---

| Core categories               | Categories         | Subcategories                                                 | Labels                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Benefits of sick child care   | Parents' relief    | Sense of relief because of being able to work                 | Sense of ease because of being able to work through using sick child care |
|                               |                    |                                                               | Being able to manage work and child raising                           |
|                               |                    |                                                               | No need to feel reluctant to take time off from work                  |
|                               |                    |                                                               | No need to feel anxious about losing one's job or trust from people at the workplace |

Table 5 Cont..............
| Sense of relief due to the expertise of sick child care | Treatment provided by pediatricians |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| High-quality nursing care and childcare               |                                   |
| Actions taken by sick child care staff               |                                   |
| Sense of relief achieved through using the same nursery every time | |
| Feeling comfortable about using sick child care because of its high quality | |
| Gratitude for support | Absence of people to rely on |
|                                    | Relatives living far from home |
|                                    | Both parents working |
| Sense of relief due to the presence of a nearby care facility | Presence of a nearby sick child care facility |
|                                             | Presence of a sick child care facility at the workplace |
| Children's positive reactions | Children's positive reactions |
| Children enjoy staying at care facilities | Children are happy about going to care facilities |
| Children are in a good mood at care facilities | |
| Parents’ sense of mental peace achieved through their children’s positive reactions to sick child care | Parents feel a sense of ease because their children enjoy spending time at nurseries |
| Difficulties in utilizing sick child care | Difficulties perceived through experience |
| Arriving at the workplace late and leaving early | Late starting time of care services |
|                                                                 | Early ending time of care services |
| Systems for utilizing sick child care | The systems for making a reservation are not efficient |
| Whether or not a reservation has been made is clarified late | |
| It is necessary to receive a medical consultation at a medical center in the same location as the care facility | |
| Care fees | Burden of paying fees for both nursery school and sick child care |
| Income is only barely sufficient for care fees | |
| It is a heavy burden to pay fees for sick child care | |
| The reduction of care fees is not available | |
| Long distance to sick child care facility | Nurseries located in the opposite direction to the workplace |
| Due to traffic jams or other causes, it takes time to go to a nursery located far from home | |
| Potential difficulties | Number of care facilities and the capacity to accept children |
| Unavailable sick child care due to the limited number of care facilities | |
| Unavailable sick child care due to an insufficient capacity to accept children | |

Table 5 Cont............
| Sick child care-related requests | Infection | Business hours |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Requests that parents wanted to make to care facilities | Anxiety due to the possibility that an individual's child may be infected by other children | Desire for the earlier starting time of care services |
| | Anxiety due to the possibility that an individual's child may spread their infectious disease to other children | Desire for the later ending time of care services |
| Number of days sick child care is available | Desire for the provision of care services on weekends and holidays | Desire for no regular holidays on weekdays |
| Capacity to accept children | Desire for an increase in the number of care staff members | |
| Reservation systems | Desire for efficient reservation systems | |
| | Desire for the earlier notification of whether or not a reservation has been made | |
| Facility systems | Desire for care facilities to provide lunch according to care service users' physical conditions | |
| | Desire for care facilities to provide snacks | |
| | Desire for the isolation of children with confirmed or suspected infection from other children | |
| | Desire for no age limit for using sick child care | |
| Requests that parents wanted to make to the government | Desire for an increase in the number of care facilities | |
| Number of care facilities | Desire for sick child care facilities to be set up in the same location as nursery schools | |
| | Desire for the environment around sick child care facilities to improve | |
| | Desire for the establishment of new sick child care facilities in an even closer location | |
| Care fees | Desire for reduced care fees | |
| | Desire for subsidies for care fees | |
| | Desire for no additional charge for sick child care | |
| | Desire for the reduction of care fees according to the numbers of accepted children and days using care services. | |

Table 5. Benefits of sick child care  N=144
A polychoric correlation matrix was created for the 14 items regarding sick child care-related requests, in order to facilitate the interpretation of these items. The data obtained from these items were then subjected to exploratory factor analysis (unweighted least squares, promax rotation) (Table 4), which led to the extraction of the following 2 factors: 1) care fee-related requests, and 2) desire for an increase in the capacity to accept children.

Both the scale used and these 2 factors showed a polychoric ordinal alpha coefficient of ≥0.79, and an item-total correlation coefficient of ≥0.34, which established the scale’s reliability. The score for Factor 2 was significantly higher than that for Factor 1 (P<0.0001).

Qualitative Data

The free descriptions were divided into the following 3 core categories: the 1) benefits of sick child care, 2) difficulties in utilizing sick child care, and 3) sick child care-related requests (Table 5). These core categories comprised 6 categories consisting of 19 subcategories and 52 labels. In this article, the core categories, subcategories, and subjects’ descriptions are shown as { }, [ ], « », and “ ”, respectively.

Benefits of sick child care

The {benefits of sick child care} comprised [parents’ relief] and [children’s positive reactions]. Through utilizing sick child care, parents showed a sense of relief due to being able to work as well as the expertise and convenience of such care, and were grateful to care staff as child raising supporters. In addition, they felt a sense of mental peace resulting from children’s positive reactions to sick child care.

«Sense of relief because of being able to work»
“I need sick child care to manage child raising and work, and to develop my career.”
“Due to sick child care, I am able to continue balancing life and work”

«Sense of relief due to the expertise of sick child care»
“I am relieved that my child is able to receive appropriate treatment and medical consultations at the nursery.”

«Gratitude for support»
“I could not ask my parents to look after my child as they live in a different prefecture. However, due to sick child care, I was able to return to work.”

«Parents’ sense of mental peace achieved through their children’s positive reactions to sick child care»
“I am relieved that my child is not reluctant to go to the nursery when I cannot look after them. I am very grateful to the nursery staff.”

Difficulties in utilizing sick child care

Parents’ {difficulties in utilizing sick child care} comprised [difficulties perceived through experience] and [potential difficulties]. Parents felt difficulty utilizing such care, for reasons such as the possibility of arriving at the workplace late or having to leave early, slowness in being able to clarify whether or not care services are available, inefficient systems for making a reservation for such services, a prolonged time required to go to care facilities far from home, financial burden (fees for both nursery school and sick child care), or their low income which was only barely sufficient for care fees. Regarding the future usage of sick child care, parents were concerned about infection risks, and the possibility of being unable to use such care due to the limited number of care facilities or an insufficient capacity to accept children.

[Difficulties perceived through experience]
«Arriving at the workplace late and leaving early»
“I always arrive at my workplace late because of the delay in being able to clarify whether or not care services are available.”

«Systems for utilizing sick child care»
“When I apply for care services the day before, I am often placed on a waiting list. In this case, I have to be prepared for both the sick child care facility being available and unavailable. I am very anxious while waiting for a call from the facility in the morning.”

«Care fees»
“In general, my household income is not sufficient for living costs after paying fees for both nursery school and sick child care.”
“Sick child care may cost more than 7,000 yen when 2 or more of my children use it. My daily salary is only barely sufficient for this cost.”
“It is impossible to make a living unless both my spouse and I work. Sick child care fees are expensive, but we have no choice but to use it.”

«Long distance to sick child care facility»
“The sick child care facility is located in the opposite direction to my workplace. Therefore, when using sick child care, I need to take three-hour leave.”

[Potential difficulties]
«Number of care facilities and the capacity to accept children»
“When my child rapidly develops a fever but I cannot take the day off from work, they are sometimes not accepted by the nursery because the maximum capacity of children has been reached. I have no idea what to do in such circumstances.”

Requests regarding sick child care

The {sick child care-related requests} comprised the following 2 categories: [requests that parents wanted to make to care facilities] and [requests that parents wanted to make to the government]. The requests to care facilities included longer business hours, an increased number of days sick child care is available (weekends and holidays), increase in the capacity to accept children, efficient reservation systems, improved facility systems, the provision of lunch and snacks, isolation of infected children from other care service users, and a higher upper age limit for utilizing care services. The requests to the government included an increase in the number of care facilities, the reduction of care fees, and increased subsidies for these fees.

[Requests that parents wanted to make to care facilities]
«Business hours»
“I wish for the nursery to open half an hour earlier. That way, I would not be late for work.”

«Reservation systems»
“I would appreciate it if there was an online system that shows the currently available sick child care facilities in town, and helps us to make a reservation.”

«Facility systems»
“I would appreciate it if the nursery provided lunch according to children’s disease conditions. I would not mind paying for this.”
“I wish for sick child care to be available until reaching the first year of junior high school.”

[Requests that parents wanted to make to the government]
«Care fees»
“My salary is only barely sufficient for sick child care fees. I desire reduced fees.”
“I would appreciate it if sick child care fees were reduced in the same way as nursery school fees.”

Discussion

Characteristics of the users of care services, and their benefits

As was the case in previous studies [5,10], sick child care was used mainly by children from double-income nuclear families or single-mother households, primarily because of infectious diseases. Concerning the benefits of sick child care, the results of our study suggest that children can benefit from the expertise of such care, and parents can receive support for work and child raising when they have no relative to look after their children. Parents viewed the sense
of relief achieved by utilizing sick child care as the most beneficial factor of such care. Such a sense refers to feeling comfortable about the use of appropriate and professional childcare, and being able to work without worrying about the possibility of leaving the workplace early. In addition, parents considered sick child care to be helpful in that they do not have to rely on relatives, and that they do not need to look after their children by themselves. Furthermore, parents felt a sense of mental peace resulting from: 1) a reduced burden on sick children (no need to attend nursery school or receive a-night-time medical consultation), 2) their normal development, and 3) their positive reactions to sick child care.

These positive views (regarding sick children’s early recovery and normal development, as well as child raising-related advice given to parents) are consistent with those reported in previous studies investigating care provided by nurses and nursery staff [4,11-14]. The results of these studies and the present study suggest that total care for sick children and child raising support for their parents become the basis for work support.

Some of the effective measures to facilitate sick child care are the systems for reducing care fees. In the present study, approximately 60% of the single mothers were using these systems, and only one subject had been unable to utilize sick child care for financial reasons. Sick children are a major risk factor not only for a reduced income, but also for dismissal from work [9].

In particular, such children are a serious issue for single mothers who are nonregular employees, or those with a low income [15]. In the present study, for more than 90% of the single mothers, the monthly disposable income was less than 300,000 yen, which is lower than that earned by households with children (330,000-375,000 yen, which is equivalent to an average annual income of 6.07 million yen) [16]. Therefore, for low-income parents, such as single mothers, the systems for reducing care fees help to ensure a stable income and job security.

Difficulties in and requests regarding the use of sick child care

During the previous year, approximately 40% of the subjects had been unable to use sick child care at least once and, for 80% of such individuals, the reason for unavailable care services was because of overcrowded facilities. In such circumstances, as was the case in previous studies [17,18], more than 80% of the mothers took the day off from work. Unavailable sick child care may become a serious problem for parents (e.g., single mothers) who have nobody to look after their children. When sick child care is inaccessible, parents usually have to choose one of the following 3 options: 1) take the day off from work, 2) leave their children at home alone, or 3) take them to their workplace.

The second option was noted in both the present study and previous studies despite a major risk imposed on children [9, 19]. In the present study, the average annual number of days using sick child care was 8.35 (1.89 days/time × 4.42 times/year). Although the annual number of days children aged 0-6 years are absent from nursery school ranges from 10 to 22 [19], parents are not able to take sufficient child care leave (up to 5 days each year when they have one preschool child) [20]. In the U.S. and U.K., it is prohibited to leave children with a health risk at home alone [21,22]; however, Japan does not have such a legal regulation. From the perspective of child safety, it is imperative to increase the capacity to accept children, number of care facilities, and upper age limit for using these facilities.

Approximately 70% of the subjects arrived at their workplace late or had to leave early when using sick child care. Such interference with work is caused by the time required to go to a sick child care facility, and short business hours at the facility. The business hours of sick child care facilities (10 hours) [23] are shorter than those of nursery schools (11.6 hours) [24]. Furthermore, some parents utilize sick child care facilities located far from home, for reasons such as a shortage of such facilities and an insufficient capacity to accept children. It is a heavy burden for sick children to go to a care facility far from home, and stay there for a long time. Moreover, when an individual uses a sick child care facility located in a different municipality without the specified agreement because of overcrowded care facilities in their home municipality, they will not be able to use the systems for reducing care fees.

For parents who suffered from various difficulties, the strongest desire was to increase the number of care facilities and the capacity to accept children. From the perspectives of the safety of and care for sick children, and high-risk households (e.g., single mothers and low-income parents), it is imperative to increase the number of care facilities, the capacity to accept children, and the number of municipalities in which care services are accessible to service users from each municipality.

Concerning the cost of sick child care (standard fee of 2,000 yen per day), subjects reported an increased financial burden due to service utilization by more than one child at any one time, the repeated usage of such care, and fees for nursery school in addition to those for sick child care. In Japan, for households who earn a mean annual income of 6.07 million yen, the standard monthly childcare fee for the first child aged <3 years is 44,500 yen, and that for the first and second child aged <3 years is 66,750 yen [1]. In Prefecture A, the average hourly wage is 769 yen [25]; therefore, the daily wage of part-time workers may be only barely sufficient for daily fees for sick child care (2,000 yen). When a child develops influenza, due to which they will be absent from their nursery school for at least 5 days, a sick child care fee of 10,000 yen is necessary for the child [26]. Thus, for people who are unable to use the systems for reducing care fees, it may be very difficult to pay sick child care fees (repeated usage of such care, and service utilization by more than one child at any one time), in addition to nursery school fees. Care services users may desire the government to provide comprehensive support for sick child care, as is the case with pediatric healthcare and nursery school [27].

In the present study, we investigated only one prefecture employing a system for reducing care fees; hence, due to the small sample size, we were not able to clarify differences according to the type of household or presence/absence of the above-mentioned agreement. To design policies based on the needs of care service users, it is necessary to investigate the characteristics of care facility users, and assess the existing care-related measures.

Conclusion

Sick child care was utilized mainly by children from double-income nuclear families or single-mother households, primarily because of infectious diseases. The systems for reducing care fees were used by approximately 60% of the single mothers. Parents were able to work free from anxiety due to child raising support, and the benefits that their children received from sick child care. On the basis of difficulties in utilizing sick child care, parents desired improved care services. The results of this study suggest that, to facilitate sick child care based on the needs of service users, there is a need to increase both the number of care facilities and the capacity to accept children, improve the system for reducing care fees, and increase the number of municipalities in which such care is accessible to service users from each municipality.
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