Prevalence and risk factors of vertebral compression fractures in female SLE patients
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Abstract

Introduction: Our objective was to determine the frequency of and factors associated with prevalent vertebral compression fractures in female systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients attending rheumatologists in western Sweden.

Methods: In this cross sectional study 150 women were included. They were examined with x-ray of thoracic and lumbar spine (Th4 to L4). A reduction of at least 20% of any vertebral height, assessed by Genant’s semiquantitative method, was defined as a fracture. Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

Results: Median patient age was 47 years (20 to 82) and disease duration 11 years (1 to 41). Only 6 (4%) women had a history of clinical compressions whereas 43 (29%) had at least one radiological fracture each. The patients with at least one fracture at any site were characterized by older age (P<0.001), being postmenopausal (P<0.01), higher Systemic Lupus International Collaborative Clinics Damage Index (P<0.05), lower BMD total hip and femoral neck (P<0.05), more peripheral fractures (P<0.01), medication with bisphosphonates (P<0.05) and calcium and vitamin D3 (P<0.05). There were no significant differences regarding current or cumulative glucocorticosteroid dose between the groups. In logistic regression analyses high age remained as a risk factor of at least one vertebral fracture at any site whereas low BMD in total hip was associated with vertebral fracture in the lumbar spine.

Conclusions: Radiological compression fractures are common but seldom diagnosed in SLE patients. High age and low BMD in total hip, but not in spine, was associated with vertebral fractures.
Sweden. We also wanted to look for risk elements associated with these fractures.

Material and methods

Patients

All patients with SLE attending the rheumatology clinics in Göteborg and Borås, Sweden, were identified from administrative registers and invited to participate in this cross-sectional study. The procedure of enrolment has previously been described in detail [14]. In short, 339 patients, 298 women and 41 men, were identified. There was a 70% reply frequency among the female patients. The main reason for women not to participate were not wanting or not being able to participate (n = 30) and not meeting the inclusion criteria of at least four of the 1982 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for SLE [15] (n = 18). One hundred sixty-three female patients were included in the study. Thirteen were excluded in this analysis because they lacked radiographs. Data regarding 150 patients were included and analysed. For each patient data on demographic and disease related variables like age, duration of disease, weight and height, medication, dietary calcium intake, smoking habits, physical activity and clinical fractures after the age of 25 were assessed by self administered questionnaires. Both low and high energy peripheral fractures were reported. Dietary calcium intake was calculated from information on average intake of cheese and milk. Exercise was recorded as “times per week with regular physical exercise” and it is therefore possible to get a median value of zero.

The Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2 K (SLEDAI-2K) [16] was used to score disease activity. Disease damage was recorded according to Systemic Lupus International Collaborative Clinics/American College of Rheumatology Damage Index (DI) [17]. Cumulative corticosteroid intake was calculated by a thorough reading of all patients medical records. The same rheumatologist assessed all patients (KA). Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was predicted using the Cockcroft and Gault equation [18]. GFR (ml/min) = (140-age) × weight (kg) × 1.04/S-creatinine(μmol/l).

Assessment of vertebral compression fractures

Each patient underwent two lateral conventional radiographs, one of the thoracic and one of the lumbar spine. Due to a shift of radiology equipment during the study period, approximately half of the patients had analog and the other half had digital radiographs taken. The same radiologist (SH) evaluated all radiographs for prevalent vertebral fractures using Genant’s semiquantitative method [19]. Thirteen vertebrae per patient (Th4 to L4) were assessable for all patients but one where 11 vertebrae were assessable. In short, the vertebrae were visually graded as normal (grade 0), mildly deformed (grade 1, approximately 20 to 29% reduction in anterior, middle and/or posterior height and a 10 to 20% reduction in an area), moderately deformed (grade 2, approximately 30 to 45% reduction of any vertebral height and a reduction in an area of 20 to 40%), and severely deformed (grade 3, >45% reduction in any vertebral height and >40% reduction in an area). For each patient the number of fractured vertebrae was counted. A vertebral severity sum was calculated by addition of the Genant grades (0 to 3) from 13 vertebrae for each patient.

A vertebra was considered fractured when it was mildly deformed, grade 1.

Laboratory tests

Venous blood samples were taken after a one-night fast and analysed consecutively using standard laboratory techniques in the Department of Clinical Chemistry at Sahlgrenska University Hospital and at Borås Hospital.

Bone mineral density (BMD) measurements

The lumbar spine (L2 to L4), non dominant hip (femoral neck and total hip) and non dominant distal forearm were measured by DXA, Lunar Prodigy densitometer, 12165 (GE Medical Systems GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). The precisions for duplicate measurements were 0.9% for the lumbar spine, 0.5% for the left total hip and femoral neck and 2.8% for the radius.

Ethical aspects

All patients gave informed written consent prior to participation and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee at Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Sweden.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using SPSS version 12.0.1 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics are presented as median and range or mean and standard deviations (SD). All variables in Table 1, including serum ionized calcium, ESR and CRP, were tested with Kolmogorov-Smirnov’s normality test. A T-test was used for comparison of normally distributed demographic and disease related variables and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for not normally distributed variables between patients with and without vertebral fractures. A χ²-test was used to compare categorical variables. Significant variables were then entered in a logistic regression analyses as covariates and having one or several vertebral fractures scored 1 to 3 according to the Genant’s method as dependent variable. A forward conditional method was used. A receiver operating
characteristic (ROC) curve was then calculated with vertebral fracture as the state variable. All tests were two-tailed and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

### Table 1 Demographic and disease related variables in 150 female patients with SLE

| Demographic variables          | Value               |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Patient age (years)           | 47 (20 to 82)       |
| Weight (kg)                   | 67 (43 to 96)       |
| Height (cm)                   | 166 (145 to 182)    |
| BMI (kg/m2)                   | 24.5 (17.2 to 35.3) |
| Exercise/week                 | 0 (0 to 7)          |
| Smoking status                |                     |
| Nonsmoker (n (%))             | 59 (39)             |
| Previous smoker (n (%))       | 48 (32)             |
| Current smoker (n (%))        | 43 (29)             |
| Years of smoking, current or previous | 24 (0.5 to 48)     |
| Menopausal status             |                     |
| Premenopausal (n (%))         | 67 (45)             |
| Postmenopausal (n (%))        | 81 (55)             |
| Dietary calcium intake (mg/day)| 467 (0 to 1,510)    |

### Disease variables

| Disease variables             | Value               |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Disease duration (years)      | 11 (1 to 41)        |
| SLEDAI-2K                     | 5 (0 to 31)         |
| DI                            | 2 (0 to 11)         |
| Haemoglobin (g/l)             | 131 (80 to 156)     |
| ESR (mm/1 hr)                 | 19 (2 to 125)       |
| CRP (mg/l)                    | 5 (3 to 79)         |
| Creatinine (μmol/l)           | 88 (49 to 291)      |
| Calcium, ionized (mmol/l)     | 1.22 (1.07 to 1.42) |
| Glucocorticosteroid (Prednisolone) user (n (%)) | 78 (52) |
| Prednisolone dose (mg)        | 5 (2.5 to 35)       |
| Glucocorticosteroid (Prednisolone) user ever (n (%)) | 129 (86) |
| Cumulative Prednisolone dose (g) | 11 (0.1 to 97.5)  |
| Immunosuppressive drug user (n (%)) | 80 (53) |
| Calcium and vitamin D (n (%)) | 81 (54)             |
| Bisphosphonates (n (%))       | 21 (14)             |
| Postmenopausal                |                     |
| HRT (n (%))                   | 4 (5)               |
| BMD lumbar spine (g/cm²) mean (SD) | 1.12 (0.18)   |
| BMD total hip (g/cm²) mean (SD) | 0.93 (0.14)     |
| BMD femur neck (g/cm²) mean (SD) | 0.89 (0.14)    |
| BMD radius total (g/cm²) mean (SD) | 0.51 (0.08) |
| Patients with vertebral fracture (n (%)) | 43 (29) |
| Vertebral fractures/patient   | 0 (0 to 11)         |
| Sum of Genant’s grading per patient | 0 (0 to 22) |
| Patients with peripheral fracture (n (%)) | 22 (14) |

Values are medians and (range) when not indicated otherwise.

BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; DI, Systemic Lupus International Collaborative Clinics/American Collage of Rheumatology Damage Index; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; SERM, selective estrogen receptor modulator; SLEDAI-2K, SLE disease activity index-2K.

### Results

#### Demographic and disease related variables

The SLE patients attending this study did not differ significantly in age from those who were invited but did not participate. The general characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. Fewer than 10 patients were not Caucasian. The participants’ ages ranged from 20 to 82 years. Sixty-seven (45%) were premenopausal. Eighty (53%) were on immunosuppressive drugs, 78 (52%) were treated with glucocorticosteroids and 50 (33%) were treated with both immunosuppressive drugs and glucocorticosteroids. One patient had end-stage renal disease while 17 (11%) had a calculated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) less than 40 ml/minute. Nineteen patients (13%) were treated with thyroid hormones because of low thyroid function. Thirty-one (21%), 13 (9%) and 9 (6%) of the women had osteoporosis according to the definition of WHO [20] in at least one, two and three or more sites, respectively. Osteoporosis in the radius total was diagnosed in 22 (15%) of the patients, in the lumbar spine 16 (11%), in the femoral neck 7 (7%) and in the total hip 9 (6%). Eleven patients had substantial vertebral osteoarthritis.

#### Vertebral fractures

Forty-three women (29%) had at least one and 22 (15%) had at least two prevalent vertebral compressions each. Two patients had 10 and 11 compressions each. Thirteen (30%) patients with vertebral fracture had active treatment against osteoporosis, 11 were treated with bisphosphonates, 1 with hormone replacement therapy and 1 with selective estrogen receptor modulator therapy. Twenty-nine (67%) patients were medicated with calcium and vitamin D3. It was not significantly more common to have at least one vertebral fracture in a patient with current or former corticosteroid medication (36/129) as compared to corticosteroid naïve patients (7/21). Five out of six patients who reported knowledge of clinical vertebral fracture also had one or several radiological fractures. Thus, 38 (25%) patients had one or more asymptomatic or not diagnosed fracture. The proportion of patients with prevalent vertebral compressions increased with age but compressions were present in premenopausal ages as well, Table 2. Mild fractures, grade 1, were most common and represented 75 (79%) of all fractures. The fracture prevalence was highest in mid thoracic spine, Th6 to Th8, whereas compression severity was highest in lower lumbar spine, Figure 1.

#### Peripheral fractures

Twenty-two patients (15%) reported a total of 29 clinical peripheral fractures. There were 13 wrist, 8 ankle, 6 upper arm and 2 hip fractures reported. Seventeen
(40%) of the patients with at least one vertebral compression fracture had experienced one or several peripheral fractures whereas 12 patients (55%) who had experienced a peripheral fracture also had at least one compression fracture.

Risk factors of vertebral fractures

Patients with one or more vertebral compressions, grade 1 to 3, were compared with patients without any compressions regarding all demographic and disease related variables shown in Table 1. Variables significantly associated with compression fractures are displayed in Table 3. Current or cumulative doses of glucocorticosteroid medication were not significantly associated with vertebral fracture, neither was GFR nor thyroid hormone medication. A logistic regression analyses was performed with the significant variables from Table 3 as covariates. Treatment with calcium in combination with vitamin D3 and bisphosphonates were, however, not entered in the analyses since it is likely that the medication was given to patients with known fractures or risk factors of fracture. The dependent variable was a vertebral fracture (yes or no). After logistic regression only age remained significantly associated with vertebral fractures. Area under the ROC curve for age was 0.69, confidence interval (0.59 to 0.78).

Risk factors of thoracic vertebral fractures

Thirteen patients had one or several vertebral fractures in L2 to L4, the area measured by DXA. Presence of vertebral compression fractures in this location may influence the DXA measurements. As a consequence new analyses were made where women with no vertebral fractures \( (n = 107) \) were compared to women with at least one vertebral fracture, but none in L2 to L4 \( (n = 30) \). Only patient age \( (P = 0.006) \) and DI \( (P = 0.04) \) differed significantly between the groups. High age remained associated with vertebral fractures in a logistic regression, area under ROC 0.64, confidence interval (0.53 to 0.76).

Risk factors of lumbar vertebral fracture

Women with vertebral compression fractures in the lumbar spine \( (n = 15) \) were compared with women without fractures in the lumbar spine \( (n = 135) \). BMD in the total hip remained associated with lumbar fracture. Area under ROC was 0.68, confidence interval (0.52 to 0.84).

Two or more vertebral fractures

Regardless of fracture localisation (lumbar, thoracic or both), patients with at least two vertebral fractures were
compared with patients with one or no fracture in logistic regression analyses. Both BMD in total hip and age remained associated with prevalent fractures (two or more). The area under ROC was 0.8, with a confidence interval of 0.7 to 0.9.

Vertebral fractures and normal BMD
Seventeen (40%) of the patients with vertebral deformities had normal BMD in all measured locations. There were three peripheral fractures reported from this group which had a median of one (1 to 10) compression, median age of 47 (28 to 59) years and disease duration of 12 (2 to 41) years. Having ever used corticosteroids was statistically equally common ($P = 0.84$) in women with vertebral fractures and normal BMD $14/17 (84\%)$ compared to those with vertebral fracture and low BMD in at least one measured location $22/26 (82\%)$.

**Discussion**
Data from studies in healthy populations suggest that a majority of vertebral compressions are subclinical [8,21]. Twenty-nine percent of the patients in our study had at least one prevalent, radiological, vertebral compression. Eighty-eight percent of these fractures were asymptomatic. This can be compared with a slightly younger Dutch SLE population, mean age 41 years, where 20% of the patients had at least one prevalent vertebral fracture [22] whereas the prevalence was 21% in a premenopausal cohort of SLE women in Brazil [2]. A high prevalence, 20.4%, of asymptomatic vertebral fractures was also found in Chinese women with SLE [23]. The majority of compressions recorded in our study were mild and located in the thoracic spine confirming previous results in SLE studies [22] and in studies on post-menopausal women, with other inflammatory conditions, on long-term glucocorticosteroid therapy [24]. In the general population there are indications of compression fractures being more abundant in the thoracolumbar junction (Th11 to L2) [4,25]. Why fracture location seems to differ between different populations is not clear.

Without possibility of a direct comparison, a study on the Swedish general population showed a 7.2% 10-year probability of morphometric vertebral fracture in women 50 years of age increasing to 26.8% in women 80 years of age [8]. The percentage of SLE patients with prevalent vertebral fractures in our study increased per decade of life from 6% in the third decade to 54% in the eighth indicating that SLE patients are at high risk of developing compression fractures.

Forty percent of the patients with vertebral compressions in our study displayed normal BMD in all measured locations. Li et al. found that 30% of the SLE patients with asymptomatic vertebral fracture had a normal BMD [23]. Similar results have been found in SLE patients by Borba et al. [2] who found no BMD difference between premenopausal SLE patients with or without vertebral fractures. Lee et al. [13] found that 50% of patients with self reported fragility fractures had BMD $z$-score $>-1$ at hip and lumbar spine whereas Yee et al. [26] found normal BMD in few (9%) SLE patients with self reported fragility fractures. In a Swedish study on the general population, women with clinical vertebral fractures had significantly lower BMD in hip, lumbar spine and forearm compared with age-matched controls without a history of fracture [27]. It seems that clinical vertebral fractures more often are osteoporotic than prevalent fractures.

We found that high age was associated with fractures in Th4 to L4 while low BMD in total hip was a determinant of fracture in the lumbar spine. Age and BMD total hip together were strong determinants of repeated prevalent fractures. Mendoza-Pinto et al. [28] showed similar results in a Mexican SLE cohort, age and BMD total hip being associated to vertebral fractures. We believe that low total hip BMD may predict vertebral

| Patient age (years) | No vertebral compression (n=107) | At least one vertebral compression (n=43) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Postmenopausal (%)  | 49 (46) *                        | 32 (76) *                               |
| DI                 | 2 (0 to 8) #                      | 3 (0 to 11) #                           |
| Calcium and vitamin D (%) | 52 (49) * #                  | 29 (67) *                               |
| Bisphosphonates (%) | 10 (9) *                         | 11 (26) *                               |
| BMD Total hip (g/cm²) | 0.94 (0.14) #                  | 0.88 (0.16) #                           |
| BMD Femoral neck (g/cm²) | 0.91 (0.14) #                | 0.86 (0.15) #                           |
| Patients with peripheral fracture (%) | 10 (10) * #                | 12 (28) *                               |

Only significant variables are shown. BMD, bone mineral density, DI, Systemic Lupus International Collaborative Clinics/American Collage of Rheumatology Damage Index.

* $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ vs. women with any compression fracture by t-test.

# $\chi^2$-test used to compare categorical variables.
Vertebral compression fractures are common but seldom diagnosed in patients with SLE regardless of treatment with glucocorticosteroids or not. High age and low BMD in the total hip are the most important risk factors associated with fracture. There may be disease-specific factors interacting and affecting bone strength in SLE. Therefore, in clinical guidance of which patients should be sent to vertebral x-ray or receive anti-osteoporotic therapy, SLE itself should be considered to add risk to already known general risk factors of osteoporosis and fracture.
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