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We review some aspects of the bipolar molecular outflow phenomenon. In particular, we compare the morphological properties, energetics and velocity structures of outflows from high and low-mass protostars and investigate to what extent a common source model can explain outflows from sources of very different luminosities. Many flow properties, in particular the CO spatial and velocity structure, are broadly similar across the entire luminosity range, although the evidence for jet-entrainment is still less clear cut in massive flows than in low-mass systems. We use the correlation of flow momentum deposition rate with source luminosity to estimate the ratio $f$ of mass ejection to mass accretion rate. From this analysis, it appears that a common driving mechanism could operate across the entire luminosity range. However, we stress that for the high-mass YSOs, the detailed physics of this mechanism and how the ejected wind/jet entrains ambient material remain to be addressed. We also briefly consider the alternative possibility that high-mass outflows can be explained by the recently proposed circulation models, and discuss several shortcomings of those models. Finally, we survey the current evidence on the nature of the shocks driven by YSOs during their pre-main-sequence evolution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Stars of all masses undergo energetic, generally bipolar, mass loss during their formation. While diagnostics of mass loss using optical and near-infrared line emission provide the most dramatic images of the violent birth of stars, molecular flows, predominantly traced by their CO emission lines, provide the strongest constraints on models of cloud collapse and star formation. This is because molecular flows are the massive and predominantly cool reservoir where most of the flow momentum
is eventually deposited and so provide a fossil record of the mass loss history of the protostar. In contrast, the optical and near-infrared emission arises from hot shocked gas which cools in a few years, and hence only traces the currently active shocks in the flow. In addition, since there is negligible extinction at millimeter wavelengths, even the youngest, deeply embedded objects can be studied.

Since the last review of this subject in the previous conference proceedings in this series (Fukui et al. 1993), many breakthroughs in understanding the nature of these objects have been forthcoming, primarily as a result of improvements at millimeter and submillimeter wavelength observatories. (We refer the reader in particular to volume 1 of the conference series Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica and the proceedings of the IAU Symposium 182). More sensitive receivers and focal plane arrays have allowed wide-field imaging of outflows, showing that they often extend to many parsecs in size, sometimes even beyond their natal cloud boundaries (e.g. Padman et al. 1997). In addition, the availability of mosaiced interferometric images of many outflows at 1 – 2″ resolution has led to significant breakthroughs in understanding the small-scale structure of outflows (e.g. Bachiller et al. 1995; Cernicharo and Reipurth 1996; Gueth et al. 1996) and provide strong constraints on viable outflow-acceleration models, in particular on the role of jets and the nature of the entrainment mechanism (Cabrit et al. 1997; Shu and Shang 1997). Finally, multi-line studies (Bachiller and Pérez Gutiérrez 1997) have shown that shocks driven by flows chemically process the ISM at a rapid rate, modifying its composition and having profound implications for chemical and dynamical models of molecular clouds.

In this chapter, we review some of the recent results on outflows from both low and high-mass protostars and focus on a comparison of their physical properties. In section II, we summarize the observed flow morphologies and velocity structures, and look for evidence that a common mechanism could reproduce the observations of all such flows. In section III, the possible entrainment and ejection mechanisms for outflows are addressed, and the evidence for a common mechanism is discussed based on an analysis of the flow energetics. Finally, in section IV, the evidence for, and nature of, shocks in outflows is presented.

II. OUTFLOW STRUCTURE

Molecular outflows come in a wide variety of shapes and sizes. This is hardly surprising given the likely diversity in the mass and multiplicities of the driving protostars, the outflow ages, and molecular environments. Although at least two hundred outflows have been cataloged (Wu et al. 1996), there is still no large homogeneous sample which has been mapped with good resolution and sensitivity, so much of our current understanding is heavily influenced by a few well-studied examples. This is even more true for high-mass systems, where perhaps only 10 or so outflows have been studied in detail, although rapid progress is being made using millimeter-wave interferometers to study flows in the often complex environment of high-mass star formation (Shepherd et al. 1998). Consequently, our current estimates of “typical” outflow properties may be far from accurate.
A. Low-Mass Systems

It is now clear that stellar jets, with speeds of 100-300 km s\(^{-1}\) and densities of order 10\(^3\) cm\(^{-3}\), are responsible for accelerating much of the molecular gas in many of the youngest low-mass outflow systems (e.g. Richer et al. 1992; Padman and Richer 1994; Bachiller et al. 1995). However, there is also good evidence for momentum being deposited into the flows by wind components with wider opening angles, and that this component perhaps becomes relatively more powerful as the flows age (e.g. Bence et al. 1998).

![Figure 1. The HH211 molecular jet mapped with the Plateau de Bure interferometer. The main panel shows the high-velocity CO jet in greyscale superposed on the lower velocity outflowing gas, which forms a cavity around the jet. The thick contours show the 1.3mm continuum emission. The panels top left and lower right show the fast and slow CO emission overlaid on the shocked H\(_2\) line emission. Data taken from McCaughrean and Zinnecker 1996, and Gueth and Guilloteau 1999.](image-url)

Some of the first clear evidence for a jet-dominated outflow origin was identified in the flows from L1448C (Bachiller et al. 1990; Guilloteau et al. 1992; Dutrey et al. 1997) and NGC2024-FIR5 (Richer et al. 1989, 1992). In L1448, a spectacular SiO jet is seen emanating from the driving source, aligned with the large-scale CO flow and unresolved across its width. In the NGC2024-FIR5 flow, the fastest gas is seen
to form an elongated jet-like feature on the axis of nested shells of lower velocity gas. The collimation ratio \( q \), defined as the width of the flow to the distance to the driving source, is as high as 30 for the high velocity (30 \( \text{km s}^{-1} \)) gas in this source, but only 4 or so for the lower velocity (5 \( \text{km s}^{-1} \)) envelope. Many other flows are now known which show this structure, with high-velocity elongated components tracing jet-like activity lying inside cavities of lower velocity gas; examples include L1157 (Gueth et al. 1996), HH111 (Cernicharo and Reipurth 1996; Nagar et al. 1997) and HH211 (Gueth and Guilloteau 1999). All of these flows appear to be driven by very young, low-mass objects, based on their low luminosities, and non-detection at even infrared wavelengths. The CO flow from HH211 (Fig. 1) is perhaps the most striking image to date of this phenomenon, showing an unresolved CO jet with high velocity gas \( (v > 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \) lying within an ovoid cavity of slower moving gas \( (< 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}) \). HH211 is probably also one of the youngest low-mass outflows known, having a dynamical age \( \tau_d = 0.07 \text{pc}/10 \text{ km s}^{-1} = 7000 \text{ years} \); if the source is inclined close to the plane of the sky, as is expected given the clear separation of red and blue outflow lobes and the relatively modest projected flow speeds, the true dynamical age could well be a factor of 5 or so lower. It appears that HH211 is at the very start of its main accretion phase, and this perhaps explains the relative simplicity of the outflow structure. Note that the full opening angle at the base of the flow is only 22°.

It is natural to identify these so-called “molecular jets” as the deeply-embedded counterparts of the Herbig-Haro (HH) jets seen in less obscured systems and as the neutral counterparts of the ionized jets seen in the radio (see chapters by Eisloffel et al., and by Hartigan et al., this volume). Important work by Raga (1991) and Hartigan et al. (1994) demonstrated that HH jets were denser and hence more powerful than initial estimates suggested (e.g. Mundt et al. 1987), so that the total momentum flux in HH jets integrated over the lifetime of a typical source is sufficient to drive the observed CO flows (e.g. Richer et al. 1992; Mitchell et al. 1994). This unified picture of jet-driven outflows is entirely consistent with the observed CO structures discussed above. (Masson and Chernin 1993; Cabrit et al. 1997; Smith et al. 1997; Gueth and Guilloteau 1999). However, we caution that the actual composition of the driving jet, whether primarily atomic or molecular, is unknown. It is still unclear whether the CO jets seen in sources such as HH211 and NGC2024-FIR5 arises (1) from the body of a jet where molecules have formed in the gas phase (Glassgold et al. 1989; Smith et al. 1997); (2) from molecules formed in the post-shock region of shocks in the jet; or (3) from ambient molecular gas turbulently entrained along the jet's edge and at internal working surfaces (Raga et al. 1993; Taylor and Raga 1995).
Figure 2. CO 2-1 image (in greyscale) of the RNO 43 molecular outflow (Bence et al. 1996); the driving FIR source is at the origin, marked with a cross. The solid white patches show the extent of the Hα emission. Note the large flow extent and correlation of the Hα emission with the CO hotspots. The panel to the right shows a detail from the northernmost Hα emission patch, showing the bow-shock shaped Hα structure in greyscale, and CO 2-1 contours overlaid.

RNO43-FIR is another low-luminosity outflow source, and is probably a flow in middle-age (Bence et al. 1996; Cabrit et al. 1988): the driving source, with \( L_\ast = 6 \ L_\odot \), is a heavily embedded Class 0 protostar invisible even at 2\( \mu \)m, but the flow extends over a total size of 3 pc. The outflow axis is close to the plane of the sky, so the image of CO integrated intensity shown in Fig. 2 shows both blue and redshifted sides of the flow. The dynamical age is \( \tau_d \sim 1.5 \text{ pc/10 km s}^{-1} = 1.5 \times 10^5 \) years which is an upper limit due to the small but unknown inclination angle. The CO flow is much more complex than HH211 and this most likely reflects the clumpy
nature of the molecular gas through which the driving jet has passed; nonetheless, a very approximate S-shape symmetry can be seen about the driving source, both in the spatial and velocity data, suggesting that the jet direction has changed over time (Bence et al. 1996). A precessing jet model, with a full cone angle of $27^\circ$ and a precession timescale of order $3 \times 10^4$ years, provides a reasonable description of the overall flow properties (Bence et al. 1996); such precession could be driven by a binary companion in an inclined orbit.

The evidence that this flow is jet-driven even at a distance of 1.5 pc from the driving source is demonstrated by the H$\alpha$ images of the object, which show strong emission coincident with the brightest CO points (see Fig. 2). In addition, at the northernmost CO feature in the flow, bright H$\alpha$ coincident with a bow-shock shaped CO feature suggests that the whole of the RNO43 outflow can be explained by the gradual sweeping up of a clumpy molecular cloud by a powerful stellar jet whose ejection axis varies slowly with time. It is also interesting to note that parsec-scale flows such as RNO43 are the natural counterparts to the parsec-scale Herbig-Haro flows (Reipurth et al. 1997) seen in wide-field optical imaging: if there is molecular gas in the jet’s path, then flows such as RNO43 result, whereas jets in essentially empty space such as HH34 (Devine et al. 1997) show only optical emission. This points strongly to the jets being primarily atomic in composition. However, in the HH111 outflow system, CO “bullets” associated with the optical jet and having similar velocities to the optical gas have been detected far beyond the molecular cloud boundary (Cernicharo and Reipurth 1996). This suggests that in some cases the jets may have a molecular component.

Not all low-mass flows are jet-dominated: many of the older flows show CO emission dominated by low-velocity cavities with little evidence for elongated high-velocity CO jet features. Examples include L43 (Bence et al. 1998), L1551 ( Moriarty-Schieven et al. 1988) and B5 (Velusamy and Langer 1998). These flows are typically $10^5-6$ years old, and associated with nebulosities visible in the optical or at $2\mu$m, suggesting they are Class I or II protostars, older than the Class 0 objects responsible for the HH211, RNO43 and NGC2024-FIR5 outflows. The lack of high-velocity CO and obvious jet-like features in these flows, in contrast to their younger counterparts such as HH211, and the presence of much wider cavities at the base of the flows, suggests that the jet power has declined over time, and that a wider opening angle wind is now primarily responsible for driving the outflow. However, even in these older sources there is usually some evidence for weak jet activity: L1551 has an optical jet and extended Herbig-Haro emission apparently on one of its cavity walls (Davis et al. 1995; Fridlund and Liseau 1998), as well as fast CO emission suggestive of a jet-origin (Bachiller et al. 1994), and B5 has optical jets stretching 2.2 pc away from the driving source. However, the L43 flow shows no signs of shocks, jets or very fast CO, and this may be a true “coasting” flow, which is no longer being accelerated by a stellar wind or jet.

The recent interferometric images of the B5 outflow (Velusamy and Langer 1998) reveal a beautiful example of wide, hollow cavities at the base of the outflow, much like the reflection nebulosities seen in the near-infrared in many of these systems; in order to reproduce the clearly separated red- and blue-shifted emission
lobes, the CO must be flowing along these cavity walls, presumably being accelerated by a poorly-collimated radial wind from the star. The entire outflow driven by B5 has a dynamical age of $10^6$ years, and a collimation factor of about 5. The cavity opening angle at the protostar is extremely large, in the range $90 - 125^\circ$, and Velusamy and Langer (1998) suggest that if this angle further broadens with time it may ultimately cut off the accretion flow. This idea is consistent with most of the available data: the young flows such as HH211, RNO43 and L1157 have opening angles less than $30^\circ$ or so, while the older flows such as L1551, L43 and B5 are significantly greater than $90^\circ$. With maps at good resolution of a larger sample of outflows, it will be possible to test the hypothesis that flow opening angle is a measure of the source age.

B. High-Mass Systems

Our understanding of massive flows is beginning to change because we are starting to find a few isolated systems that can be studied in depth. However, we are still observationally biased toward older flows that are easier to identify and study. This bias is likely to diminish in the future as more massive young flows are identified (e.g. Cesaroni et al. 1997; Molinari et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998).

Most luminous YSOs have relatively wide-opening angle outflows as defined by their CO morphology. Although the statistics are poor because few massive flows have been studied with sufficient resolution to adequately determine the morphology, collimation factors $q$ for 7 well-mapped flows produced by YSOs with $L_{bol} > 10^3 L_\odot$ range from 1 to 1.8 (NGC 7538 IRS1: Kameya et al. 1989; HH 80-81: Yamashita et al. 1989; NGC 7538 IRS9: Mitchell et al. 1991; GL 490: Mitchell et al. 1995; Ori A: Chernin and Wright 1996; W75N: Davis et al. 1998; G192.16: Shepherd et al. 1998). The dynamical time scales for these outflows range from 750 years to $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ years and there is no obvious dependence of flow collimation on age. In comparison, collimation factors in low-mass outflows range from $\sim 1$ to as high as 10 with a typical value being $\sim 2$ or 3 (Fukui et al. 1993 and references therein). It appears that more luminous YSOs do not in general produce very well-collimated CO outflows and this result is independent of outflow age, unlike outflows produced by low-luminosity YSOs. This may be due to the fact that outflows from luminous YSOs tend to break free of their molecular cloud core at a very early stage in the outflow process. Hence, massive molecular flows are frequently the truncated base of a much larger outflow that extends well beyond the cloud boundaries (e.g. HH 80–81: Yamashita et al. 1989; DR21: Russell et al. 1992; G192.16: Devine et al. 1999).

The outflow from Orion A is perhaps the best known and best studied high-mass outflow system. The driving source is believed to be an O star, and the estimated age of the flow is $\sim 750$ years which makes this one of the youngest known outflows. The flow differs significantly from those produced by low-luminosity sources and represents a spectacular example of the outflow phenomenon. The CO outflow is poorly collimated at all velocities and spatial resolutions and the $H_2$ emission is dispersed into a broad fan shape that is unlike any other known outflow. Its morphology is highly suggestive of an almost isotropic, explosive origin. McCaughrean
and Mac Low (1997) model the H$_2$ bullets as a fragmented stellar wind bubble using the fragmentation model of Stone et al. (1995) and suggest that the bullets are caused by several young sources within the BN-KL cluster. Chernin and Wright (1996) argue that the flow is driven by a single massive YSO, source I. The estimated opening angle, corrected for inclination, is approximately $60^\circ$ for the blue lobe and $120^\circ$ for the red lobe.

![Figure 3. The G192.16 outflow mapped with the OVRO interferometer. Contours of redshifted CO (thick lines) and blueshifted CO (thin lines) delineate the bipolar flow emanating from a dense core traced by the central dust continuum emission represented in greyscale.](image)

The outflow from G192.16 is perhaps more typical of outflows from B stars. Figure 3 shows an interferometric CO J=1-0 image of the outflow together with a closeup of the 3 mm continuum emission showing a flattened distribution of hot dust (Shepherd et al. 1998; Shepherd and Kurtz 1999). The 3 pc long molecular flow represents the truncated base of a much larger flow identified by H$\alpha$ and [SII] emission that extends almost 5 pc from the YSO (Devine et al. 1998). The CO flow is $\sim 10^5$ years old and appears to be driven by an early B star. Despite the very different masses and energies involved, the CO morphology looks very similar to L1551-IRS5: the extent of the G192.16 CO outflow is $2.6 \times 0.8$ pc, approximately twice that of L1551, but the mass in the outflow ($\approx 95 M_\odot$) is much greater. The opening angle at the base of the flow is $\sim 90^\circ$.

The present lack of well-collimated CO outflows with $q > 3$ from YSOs with $L_{\text{bol}} > 10^3 L_\odot$ does not mean that jets and well-collimated structures are not present
in these massive sources. For example, the central source in HH 80-81 ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 2 \times 10^4 L_\odot$) powers the largest known Herbig-Haro jet with a total projected length of 5.3 pc, assuming a distance of 1.7 kpc (Martí et al. 1993, 1995 and references therein). However, the CO flow appears poorly collimated with $q \sim 1$ when mapped at moderate resolution (Yamashita et al. 1989). Also, the biconical thermal radio jet from Cepheus A HW2 ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^4 L_\odot$) appears to be responsible for at least part of the complicated molecular flow seen in CO and shock-enhanced species such as $\text{H}_2$, SiO, and SO (e.g., Doyon and Nadeau 1988, Martín-Pintado et al. 1992, Hughes 1993, Torrelles et al. 1993, Rodríguez et al. 1994, Rodríguez 1995, Garay et al. 1996, Hartigan et al. 1996, Narayanan and Walker 1996). The HH 80-81 and Cepheus A HW2 systems demonstrate that high-luminosity YSOs can produce well-collimated jets like those found in association with less luminous stars, even though the CO flow may appear chaotic or poorly collimated. Other examples of possible jets in massive outflows include IRAS 20126 and W75N IRS1. IRAS 20126 ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 1.3 \times 10^4 L_\odot$) appears to drive a compact jet seen in SiO and $\text{H}_2$ (Cesaroni et al. 1997). W75N IRS1 ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 1.4 \times 10^5 L_\odot$) shows $\text{H}_2$ 2.12$\mu$m shock-excited emission at the end and sides of the CO lobe, with a morphology and emission characteristics highly suggestive of a jet bowshock (Davis et al. 1998). However, this “bowshock” is 0.3 pc wide, i.e. 30 times larger than the $\text{H}_2$ 2.12$\mu$m bowshock at the end of the HH211 flow (cf. Fig. 1). It is not fully clear whether such wide bows are created by protostellar jets, or by a low collimation wind component. Scaling up from current hydrodynamical jet simulations (e.g. Suttner et al. 1997), one would need a jet radius of $\sim 0.03$ pc at 1.3 pc from the star, hence a jet opening angle $\sim 2.6^\circ$.

C. Outflow Velocity Structure

From the above discussion, we conclude that jet activity, bow shocks, and CO cavities are common to outflows from low and high-mass systems. There is some evidence that high-mass systems are less well collimated than low-mass ones, but this may be due to selection effects — young, high-mass systems with small opening angles may simply be missing from the small sample currently known (although the Orion outflow does appear to be very young). This conclusion suggests it is sensible to consider the possibility that a common driving mechanism is responsible for all outflows.

Several authors have noted that molecular flows seem to be characterized by a power-law dependence of flow mass $M_{\text{CO}}(v)$ as a function of velocity. The power-law exponent $\gamma$ (where $M_{\text{CO}}(v) \propto v^\gamma$) is typically $\sim -1.8$ for most low-mass outflows, although the slope often steepens at velocities greater than 10 km s$^{-1}$ from $v_{\text{LSR}}$ (e.g., Masson and Chernin 1992; Rodríguez et al. 1982; Stahler 1994; Chandler et al. 1996; Lada and Fich 1996; Gibb, personal communication).
Figure 4. The slope $\gamma$ of the mass spectrum $M(v)$ plotted as a function of (a) source bolometric luminosity and (b) flow dynamical age $\tau_d$. Triangles represent $\gamma$ for gas with projected speeds less than 10 km s$^{-1}$ relative to the source, and squares are for gas moving at more than 10 km s$^{-1}$. The dashed, horizontal line separates the low and high-velocity $\gamma$'s for clarity. Sources with $L_{\text{bol}} < 10^3 L_\odot$ are plotted with open symbols, those with $L_{\text{bol}} > 10^3 L_\odot$ with filled symbols. Representative error bars are displayed in the lower left corner of each plot. The solid line in Fig. 4b is a linear least squares fit (slope $-1.7 \pm 0.6$) to high-velocity $\gamma$'s in luminous sources versus log($t_{\text{dyn}}$). The sources plotted here are VLA1623, IRAS03282, L1448-C, L1551-IRS5, NGC2071-IRS1, and Ori A IRC2 (Cabrit and Bertout 1992); TMC-1 and TMC-1A (Chandler et al. 1996); L379-IRS1-S (Kelly and MacDonald 1996); NGC2264G (Lada and Fich 1996); G5.89-0.39 (Acord et al. 1997). CephE (Smith et al. 1997) HH251-254, NGC7538-IRS9, W75N-IRS1 and NGC7538-IRS1 (Davis et al. 1998); G192.16 (Shepherd et al. 1998); and HH26IR, LBS17-H, G35.2-0.74, HH25MMS (Gibb, personal communication).

Figure 4 plots (a) $\gamma$ versus $L_{\text{bol}}$ and (b) $\gamma$ versus the dynamical time scale $t_{\text{dyn}}$ for a new compilation of 22 sources with luminosities ranging from 0.58 $L_\odot$ to $3 \times 10^5 L_\odot$. Triangles represent slopes derived from gas moving less than 10 km s$^{-1}$ relative to $v_{\text{LSR}}$ while squares represent slopes derived from gas moving more than 10 km s$^{-1}$ relative to $v_{\text{LSR}}$. Sources with $L_{\text{bol}} < 10^3 L_\odot$ are plotted with open symbols while those with $L_{\text{bol}} > 10^3 L_\odot$ are plotted with filled symbols. Both well-collimated and poorly-collimated outflows are represented in the sample.

The most striking result from Fig. 4a is that $\gamma$'s for low-velocity gas are similar in sources of all luminosities. This suggests that a common gas acceleration mechanism may operate over nearly six decades in $L_{\text{bol}}$. In addition, there is a clear separation between $\gamma$'s in high and low-velocity gas which supports the interpretation that
there are often two distinct outflow velocity components, perhaps corresponding to a recently accelerated component and a slower, coasting component.

Hydrodynamic simulations of jet-driven outflows from low-luminosity YSOs predict such a change of slope at high velocity. They also predict that $\gamma$ should steepen over time possibly due to the collection of a reservoir of low-velocity gas (Smith et al. 1997). Figure 4b reveals marginal evidence that the mass spectrum in flows from luminous YSOs does becomes steeper with time, both in the low and high velocity ranges. The solid line in Fig. 4b is a linear least squares fit (slope $-1.7 \pm 0.6$) to high-velocity $\gamma$’s in luminous sources versus log($t_{dyn}$). There is no indication of time evolution of the mass spectrum slopes in outflows from low-luminosity sources.

The decrease of $\gamma$ with time in more luminous sources may be due to a difference in the driving mechanism, or it may simply be more prominent because the mass outflow rate is several orders of magnitude greater than in outflows from low-luminosity YSOs (thus allowing more precise determination of $\gamma$) and because their flow ages cover a broader range, from 750 to $2 \times 10^5$ yrs.

III. TESTS OF PROTOTESTELLAR WIND AND ACCRETION MODELS

A. Flow Energetics

It is well known that outflow energetics correlate reasonably well with $L_{bol}$ over the entire observed luminosity range. In Fig. 5a, we show a recent compilation of the mean momentum deposition rate $F_{CO}$ as a function of bolometric luminosity of the driving star. It must be remembered that $F_{CO}$ is the time-averaged force required to drive the CO outflow: $F_{CO} = M_{CO} v_{CO}/\tau_d$, where we assume $\tau_d$ is a good approximation to the flow age. If the CO-emitting material is accelerated by a separate stellar wind (or jet), the wind momentum flux $F_w$ may be quite different from $F_{CO}$, depending on the nature of the wind-cloud interaction. There are clearly large uncertainties in the measured flow properties, primarily due to difficulties in estimating the inclination angle of the outflow, the optical depth and excitation of the CO, and the correctness of the assumption that the dynamical timescale is a good estimate of the flow age (Cabrit and Bertout 1992; Padman et al. 1997). However, as seen in Fig. 5a, the correlations are roughly consistent with a single power law (here of slope $\sim 0.7$) across the full range of source luminosities. It has been suggested that this correlation argues for a common entrainment and/or driving mechanism for molecular flows of all masses; but this is by no means a compelling argument, given that we would surely expect most physically reasonable outflow mechanisms to generate more powerful winds if the source mass and luminosity are increased.

Regardless of the details of how the stellar wind or jet entrains material, if the shock cooling times are short compared to flow dynamical timescales (as we expect for wind speeds less than $300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$: Dyson [1984], or if there is efficient mixing at the wind/molecular gas interface: Shu et al. [1991]), then the wind and molecular flow momenta will be equal. This is often called the momentum-conserving limit. Then, molecular outflows represent a good opportunity to test proposed protostellar
ejection mechanisms. In particular we show in this section that they can be used to estimate the ratio $f$ of ejection to accretion rates that would be necessary if the wind is accretion-powered.

We first recall that optically thin, line-driven radiative winds (such as those present in main-sequence O and B stars) are insufficient to drive the flows if the flows are momentum driven. The solid line in Fig. 5a shows the maximum momentum flux available in stellar photons in the single-scattering limit, $L_{\text{bol}}/c$. It falls short of the observed amount in molecular flows by one to three orders of magnitude. If flow lifetimes have been underestimated, the discrepancy is reduced, but not by a sufficient amount. In principle, higher momentum flux rates could be reached with multiple scattering. Wolf-Rayet stars ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim \text{a few } 10^5 L_\odot$) have a wind force reaching $20 - 50 L_{\text{bol}}/c$, similar to the momentum rate in molecular flows from sources of comparable luminosity (cf. Fig. 5a). Such high values are attributed to multiple scattering of each photon by many lines closely spaced in frequency (e.g. Gayley et al. 1995 and refs. therein). However, excitation conditions in protostellar winds are very different from those in hot, ionized Wolf-Rayet winds. The dashed line in Fig. 5a shows the typical momentum flux in the ionized component of protostellar winds, inferred from recombination lines or radio continuum data (Panagia 1991): the values lie a factor of 10 below $F_{\text{CO}}$, implying that the driving winds must be 90% neutral. If dust grains instead provide the dominant opacity source in protostellar winds, comparison with winds from cool giants and supergiants might be more appropriate. Their wind velocities are $\simeq 5-30 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ and mass-loss rates do not exceed $10^{-4} \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$, hence $F_w \leq 0.5 - 3L_{\text{bol}}/c$ for a typical $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 5 \times 10^4 L_\odot$. Calculations by Netzer and Elitzur (1993) show that 10 times larger mass-loss rates could in principle be achieved in oxygen-rich stars, where silicates dominate the opacity curve. The wind force could then become comparable to the molecular outflow momentum rate for $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 5 \times 10^4 L_\odot$. Hence it is just possible that luminous stars ($L_* > 5 \times 10^4 L_\odot$) could drive their flows by radiative acceleration if dust opacity plays a significant role; further work is needed to investigate if such models are viable. In lower luminosity sources, however, the opacity required to lift material above escape speeds largely exceeds typical values for circumstellar dust. Therefore, molecular outflow sources with $L_* < 5 \times 10^4 L_\odot$ must possess an efficient non-radiative outward momentum source.

It thus appears most likely that both low and high-mass systems possess an efficient non-radiative wind-generation mechanism in their embedded protostellar phase. If energetic bipolar winds are the chief means of angular momentum loss during the main accretion phase for stars of all mass, this is not surprising. However, the details of the wind ejection mechanism could differ; in particular massive accreting stars are likely to have thinner convective layers and probably rotate faster, so that the magnetic field and accretion geometry close to the star may be very different from that in low-mass stars.

There exist quite a number of efficient accretion-powered wind mechanisms from the stellar surface, disk, or disk-magnetosphere boundary. The most efficient models use a strong magnetic field in the star or disk to drive the wind and to carry off angular momentum from the accreting gas (see e.g. reviews by Königl and
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Pudritz, and Shu et al., this volume). This wind is further collimated by magnetic or hydrodynamic processes (e.g. Mellema et al. 1997), generating a high-Mach number wind and/or jet with a speed of order 200-800 km s\(^{-1}\). A fraction \( f < 1 \) of the accretion flow \( \dot{M}_a \) is ejected in the wind: \( \dot{M}_w = f \dot{M}_a \).

A different scenario recently explored by Fiege and Henrichsen (1996a, 1996b) is that molecular flows are not predominantly swept-up by an underlying wind, but represent infalling gas that has been deflected into polar streams by magnetic forces. Only a small fraction of the infalling gas actually reaches the star to produce accretion luminosity. In that case, \( f > 1 \). This model has been invoked in particular to explain the large flow masses \( M_{\text{CO}} \sim 10 M_\star \) observed in flows from luminous sources. In the following we use molecular flow observations to set constraints on these two classes of proposed models.

B. The Ejection/Accretion Ratio in Protostellar Winds

Two simple, independent methods have been used in the literature to estimate \( f \), assuming that the driving mechanism is steady over the source lifetime. First, in low-mass protostars where the luminosity is accretion-dominated, it is possible to use the observed correlation of flow force with \( L_{\text{bol}} \) (Fig. 5a) to derive the ejection fraction \( f \). The source bolometric luminosity is \( L_{\text{bol}} = GM_\star \dot{M}_a / R_\star = \dot{M}_a v_K^2 \), where \( v_K \) is the Keplerian speed at the stellar surface. The flow and wind force (assuming momentum conservation) is \( F_{\text{CO}} = f \dot{M}_a v_w \). Hence \( F_{\text{CO}} / L_{\text{bol}} = f v_w / v_K^2 \).

A value \( f \sim 0.1 \) is inferred in both Class 0 and Class I low-luminosity objects (Bontemps et al. 1996). Alternatively, if one estimates \( M_\star \) from \( L_{\text{bol}} \) via the ZAMS relationship (which is probably only valid for sources with \( L_{\text{bol}} > 10^3 L_\odot \)), one can use the accumulated flow momentum to infer \( f \), using: \( P_{\text{CO}} = v_w M_w = f M_\star v_w \). Values of \( f \) ranging from 0.1-1 are inferred for a wind speed of 150 km s\(^{-1}\) (Masson and Chernin 1994; Shepherd et al. 1996). However, we point out that wind speeds are unlikely to remain constant over the whole \( L_{\text{bol}} \) range. There is evidence for higher wind velocities in luminous flow sources: for example, proper motions up to 1400 km s\(^{-1}\) are seen in HH80-81 (Martí et al. 1995) and Z CMa shows optical jet emission with speeds up to 650 km s\(^{-1}\) (Poetzel et al. 1989). These are significantly higher than the 100-200 km s\(^{-1}\) typically seen in low-mass sources.

To re-examine this issue in a homogeneous way over the whole luminosity range, we plot in Fig. 5b the values of \( f v_w / v_K \) obtained using a new combination of the above two methods (Cabrit and Shepherd, in preparation). The solid circles are derived assuming the luminosity is accretion dominated, while the open circles (for sources more luminous than \( 10^3 L_\odot \)) assume the ZAMS relationship given above. Typically, \( v_K \) ranges from 100 km s\(^{-1}\) in low-luminosity sources to 800 km s\(^{-1}\) in high-luminosity sources. A rather constant value \( f v_w / v_K \sim 0.3 \) seems to hold over the whole range of \( L_{\text{bol}} \). This value is in line with both popular MHD ejection models. In the X-wind model (Shu et al. 1994, and this volume), the wind is launched close to the stellar surface \( (v_w \sim v_K) \) and a large fraction of the accreting gas is ejected \( (f \sim 0.3) \). In the self-similar disk-wind models (Ferreira 1997; Königl and Pudritz, this volume), less material is ejected \( (f \sim 0.03) \) but the long magnetic lever arm accelerates it to many times the Keplerian speed \( (v_w \sim 10v_K) \). Thus
we conclude that the energetics of the flows over the entire luminosity range are broadly consistent with a unified MHD ejection model for all flow luminosities, but we reiterate that given the very different physics involved around high and low-mass protostars, the details of such a model for high-mass systems are still unclear.

Figure 5. (a) The average momentum flux in the CO flows as a function of source luminosity. The solid line shows the force available in stellar photons (assuming single scattering), and the dashed line the force available from the ionized wind components (Panagia 1991). (b) The factor $f v_w/v_K$ derived for the same set of sources is plotted as solid circles (small open circles assume that luminous sources are on the ZAMS). Large open circles show the required $\langle U(\theta) \rangle$ factors for the same objects if the circulation models are applicable (see text for details).

We stress again that this plot assumes perfect momentum conservation in the wind/flow interaction. There could be strong deviations from this key assumption. First, we should keep in mind the possibility that massive flows enter the energy-driven regime: for high wind speeds, the gas cooling behind the shock will be slow, and the snowplow or momentum-conserving flow will turn into an energy driven one. In this case, the shell momentum can exceed the momentum in the wind itself by a factor of order $v_w/v_{CO}$ (Cabrit and Bertout 1992; Dyson 1994) so reducing markedly
the momentum requirement of the driving wind. Of course, there are objections to energy driven flows, in particular their inability to reproduce the bipolar velocity fields of many flows (Masson and Chernin 1992); but given the apparently poorer collimation of high-mass outflows this issue should perhaps be re-examined. Second, if the flow is entrained in a jet bow-shock, the efficiency of momentum transfer will depend on the ratio of ambient to jet density: it will only be close to 1 if the jet is less dense than the ambient medium. Highly overdense jets will pierce through the cloud without depositing much of their momentum (Chernin et al. 1994), and in that case the ratio \( f v_w/v_K \) plotted in Fig. 5b would have to be increased. We conclude that for current protostellar jet models to apply, we have the additional condition that most of the jet momentum must be in a component that is not significantly denser than the ambient molecular cloud on scales of 0.1-1pc.

The above analysis also provides important constraints on accretion rates in protostellar objects of various masses: if \( f v_w/v_K \sim 0.3 \), then the values of \( F_{CO} \) in Fig. 5a show that \( \dot{M}_a = 3F_{CO}/v_K \) must range from a few \( 10^{-6} \) \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) at \( L_{bol} \sim 1L_\odot \) to a few \( 10^{-3} \) \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) at \( L_{bol} \sim 10^5 L_\odot \). Then, protostellar sources would not be characterized by a single infall rate across the whole stellar mass range, and massive stars would form with much higher infall rates than low-mass stars (Cabrit and Shepherd, in preparation).

The wealth of data available on low-mass systems also allows one to break the samples down by estimated age, and so look for evolution of outflow properties with stellar age. Bontemps et al. (1996) made an important study of low-mass systems in Taurus and Ophiuchus, and found evidence for a secular decline in outflow power with age, while \( f \) remained constant. Intriguingly, the best correlation of outflow power was with circumstellar mass (as measured by the millimeter continuum flux) rather than with source bolometric luminosity; Saraceno et al (1996) also presented a similar correlation between millimeter continuum flux and outflow kinetic luminosity in systems with \( L < 10^3 L_\odot \). These results strongly suggest that the accretion rate and the outflow strength both decline in proportion to the disk and envelope mass.

C. Deflected Infall Models

Although the above analysis shows that \( f \) need not be necessarily higher in high-mass outflows, the very large masses involved, combined with the inefficiency of entrainment and momentum transfer by dense jets (especially once they escape their parent clouds), has led to some discussion of whether the sweeping up of ambient molecular gas by an accretion driven wind is a viable mechanism for these objects (e.g. Churchwell 1997a).

A recent class of outflow models, termed circulation models, can naturally generate outflow masses much greater than the stellar mass. In these, most of the infalling circumstellar material is diverted magnetically at large radii into a slow-moving outflow along the polar direction, while infall proceeds along the equatorial plane (Fiege and Henriksen 1996a, 1996b). The main attraction of these models is that they generate large outflow masses for even small stellar masses and can generally explain the observed opening angles and velocity structure seen in high-mass systems. In particular, self-similar models predict that the velocity and density laws
should take the form \( V(r, \theta) = U(\theta) \sqrt{GM_*/r} \) and \( \rho(r, \theta) = \mu(\theta)M_* r_o^{-3}(r/r_o)^{2\alpha-0.5} \), where \( 0.25 \geq \alpha > -0.5 \), \( r_o \) is an unspecified radial scale, and \( U(\theta) \) and \( \mu(\theta) \) are dimensionless functions. It is then straightforward to show that the force and mass-flux in the outflow are related by \( F_{CO}/\dot{M}_{CO} = \sqrt{GM_*/R_{CO}} \langle U(\theta) \rangle \) where \( \langle U(\theta) \rangle = \int U(\theta)^2 \mu(\theta) d\omega / \int U(\theta) \mu(\theta) d\omega \) is the density-weighted average velocity over the outflow solid angle. The inferred \( \langle U(\theta) \rangle \) (using the same \( M_* \) as for our estimates of \( f v_w/v_K \)) is plotted in the top part of Fig. 5b as open circles. It is clear that high values (between 1000 and 10) are required. Generalized circulation models that include Poynting flux driving yield values of \( \langle U(\theta) \rangle \) between 5 and 200 (Lery, Henriksen, Fiege, in preparation). In model cases where radiation transport is important in setting up the flow, a power-law slope of 0.8 is predicted between \( F_{CO} \) and \( L_{bol} \), which is close to the observed slope \( \sim 0.7 \) (see also Henriksen 1994). Observations seem to indicate a systematic decline of \( \langle U(\theta) \rangle \) with \( L_{bol} \), which would also have to be explained.

There are several concerns about these circulation models. First, there are many unipolar CO outflows known, such as NGC2024-FIR5 (Richer et al. 1992), and the almost-unipolar HH46-47 system (Chernin and Masson 1991). These are naturally explained by swept-up wind models if the protostar is forming on the edge of a cloud or close to an HII region interface: the jet or wind propagating into the cloud will then sweep up a large CO flow, while in the opposite direction little evidence for a CO lobe will be seen. In circulation models, anisotropic solutions may also occur, but it is unclear why the weaker lobe would necessarily be on the side where the large-scale cloud density is low. Second, in some objects such as B5 (Veilusamy and Langer 1998), there is an apparent lack of molecular material in the equatorial plane which can feed a circulation flow. Third, as discussed in section II, in some high-mass systems such as HH80-81 there is direct evidence for fast jets and bow-shock entrainment of molecular gas. Consequently, it seems more probable that even high-mass outflows can be generated by the sweeping up of ambient cloud material by an accretion-driven stellar wind or jet. The details of the MHD driving mechanism in these cases, and of the momentum transfer between the wind and the jet, remain open issues.

IV. SHOCK CHEMISTRY AND ENERGETICS

The interaction between a supersonic protostellar wind and surrounding quiescent material is expected to drive strong shock fronts. Shocks can be of type C (continuous) or J (jump) depending upon the shock velocity, the magnetic field, and the ionization fraction of the pre-shock gas (Draine and McKee 1993; Hollenbach 1997). In the last few years, spectacular gains in sensitivity in the mm and IR domains have allowed us for the first time to witness the chemical and thermal effects of these shocks in molecular outflows. These observations yield direct estimates of the flow age, energetics, and entrainment conditions which represent an important new step toward a complete description of the outflow phenomenon.
A. Chemical Processing of ISM in Molecular Flows

Theoretical expectations: By compressing and heating the gas, shock waves trigger new chemical processes which lead to a specific “shock chemistry” (see chapter by Langer et al., this volume). The most active molecular chemistry is expected to occur in C-shocks, as they increase the temperature to moderate values of about 2000 K in a thick layer where molecules can survive, and reactions that overcome energy barriers can proceed. In particular, the very reactive OH radical can be formed by O+H$_2$ → OH + H (which has an energy barrier of 3160 K), and will contribute to the formation of H$_2$O by further reaction with H$_2$: OH + H$_2$ → H$_2$O + H (energy barrier: 1660 K). In dissociative J-shocks, molecules are destroyed in the hot (T $\sim$ 10$^5$ K) thin post-shock layer and only reform over longer timescales, in a plateau of gas at $\sim$ 400 K. Since some of these chemical processes are fast, and the cooling times are short, the chemical composition of the shocked regions is expected to be strongly time-dependent.

Shocks also process dust grains. In the most violent J shocks, destruction of grain cores and thermal sputtering inject refractory elements (such as Si and Fe) into the gas phase (e.g. Flower et al. 1996). In slower C-type shocks, non-thermal sputtering will inject refractory and volatile species mainly from the grain mantles into the gas phase (e.g. Flower and Pineau des Forêts 1994). The entrance of this fresh material, together with the high abundance of OH, will produce oxides such as SO and SiO (see Bachiller 1996, van Dishoeck and Blake 1998, and references therein). As the shocked gas cools, the dominant reactions will be again those of the usual low temperature chemistry, and depletion onto dust grain surfaces will reduce the abundances of some of the newly formed molecules (e.g. H$_2$O, see Bergin et al. 1998). However, the chemical composition of both the gas and the solid phases will remain altered with respect to pre-shock ones.

Observations: The chemical effects of shocks have been observed in a number of outflows from low-mass Class 0 objects, which are particularly energetic and contain shocked regions well separated spatially from the quiescent protostellar envelope. Recent examples include IRAS16293 (Blake et al. 1994; van Dishoeck et al. 1995), NGC1333 IRAS4 (Blake et al. 1995), and NGC1333 IRAS2 (Langer et al. 1996; Blake 1997; Bachiller et al. 1998).

A comprehensive study of many different species has been recently carried out on L1157 (Bachiller and Pérez Gutiérrez 1997). The abundances of many molecules (e.g. CH$_3$OH, H$_2$CO, HCO$^+$, NH$_3$, HCN, HNC, CN, CS, SO, SO$_2$) are observed to be enhanced by factors ranging from a few to a few hundred. The extreme case is SiO which is enhanced by a factor of $\sim$ 10$^6$. There are significant differences in spatial distribution among the different species: some molecules such as HCO$^+$ and CN peak close to the central source, while SO and SO$_2$ have a maximum in the more distant shocks, with OCS having the most distant peak. Other molecules such as SiO, CS, CH$_3$OH, and H$_2$CO show an intermediate behavior. Such differences cannot be attributed solely to excitation conditions: an important gradient in chemical composition is observed along the outflow. It is very likely that this strong gradient is related to the time dependence of shock-chemistry. As an example, consider the chemistry of SO, SO$_2$, and OCS, which has been recently modeled.
by Charnley (1997). It is believed that sulfur is released from grains in the form of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \), and that it is then oxidized to \( \text{SO} \) and \( \text{SO}_2 \) in a few \( 10^3 \) yr. The formation of OCS needs a few \( 10^4 \) yr. This is in general agreement with observations, since the \( \text{SO}/\text{H}_2\text{S} \) and \( \text{SO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{S} \) ratios do increase with distance from the source (i.e. with time), and OCS emission is only observed in the most distant position (i.e. the oldest shock). Hence, chemical studies are of high potential to constrain the age and time evolution of molecular outflows.

### B. Shock Cooling and Energetics

Millimeter observations of shock-enhanced molecules trace chemically processed gas that has already cooled down to 60-100 K, as indicated e.g. by multi-line \( \text{NH}_3 \) studies (Bachiller et al. 1993, Tafalla and Bachiller 1995). Emission from hotter post-shock gas, on the other hand, is important to obtain information on the instantaneous energy input rate and pre-shock conditions in outflows.

**Hot \(( T \geq 1000 \text{ K})\) shocked gas:** Hollenbach (1985) suggested that the \( [\text{O I}] 63\mu\text{m} \) line should offer a useful, extinction-insensitive measure of dissociative J-shocks in molecular flows. Because \( [\text{O I}] 63\mu\text{m} \) is the main coolant below \( \sim 5000 \) K, its intensity is roughly proportional to the mass flux into the J-shock, \( \dot{M}_{JS} \), through the relation \( L_{[\text{O I}]} / L_{\odot} = 10^4 \times \dot{M}_{JS} / M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \), as long as the line remains optically thin (i.e. \( n_o V_{JS} < 10^7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), where \( n_o \) is the pre-shock density and \( V_{JS} \) is the J-shock velocity).

First detections of \( [\text{O I}] 63\mu\text{m} \) in outflows were obtained with the Kuiper Airborne Observatory (KAO) toward 3 HH objects and 5 highly collimated Class 0 outflows (Cohen et al. 1988; Ceccarelli et al. 1997). Since the advent of the Infrared Space Observatory (ISO), the Long Wavelength Spectrometer (LWS) has revealed \( [\text{O I}] 63\mu\text{m} \) emission in at least 10 more HH objects and molecular outflows (Liseau et al. 1997; Saraceno et al. 1998). These authors find a surprisingly good correlation between current values of \( \dot{M}_{JS} \) derived from \( [\text{O I}] 63\mu\text{m} \) and time-averaged \( \dot{M}_{ave} \) values derived from mm observations of the outflow assuming ram pressure equilibrium at the shock (i.e. \( F_{\text{CO}} = \dot{M}_{ave} \times V_{JS} \)). Both values agree for \( V_{JS} \sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The dispersion in this correlation, roughly a factor of 3, is of the same order as the uncertainties in \( F_{\text{CO}} \) caused by opacity and projection effects (Cabrit and Bertout 1992). Hence, CO-derived momentum rates in outflows do not appear to suffer from large systematic errors.

With the development of large format near-IR arrays in the early 1990’s, it has also become possible to map molecular outflows in the 2.12\( \mu\text{m} \) \( \nu = 1 - 0 \text{ S}(1) \) line of \( \text{H}_2 \), a tracer of hot (\( \sim 2000 \) K) shocked molecular gas. In both low-luminosity and high-luminosity outflows, the \( \text{H}_2 \) emission delineates single or multiple bow-shaped features associated with the leading edge of the CO emission (Davis and Eisloeffel 1995; Davis et al. 1998), as illustrated in Fig. 1 for HH211; in a few cases, \( \text{H}_2 \) emission also traces collimated jets and cavity walls (e.g Bally et al. 1993; Eisloeffel et al. 1994). Observed surface brightnesses and rotational temperatures \( \sim 1500-2500 \) K indicate moderate velocity shocks: either J-shocks of speed 10-25 km s\(^{-1}\) or C-shocks with \( V_s \sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and low filling-factor (Smith 1994; Gredel 1994). In particular, the morphology, line profile shapes, intensity, and proper motions of \( \text{H}_2 \)
2.12\(\mu\)m bows are well reproduced by hydrodynamical simulations of jets propagating into the surrounding cloud, where H\(_2\) 2.12\(\mu\)m emission arises mostly in the non-dissociative wings of the bowshock (Raga et al. 1995; Micono et al. 1998; Suttner et al. 1998).

If these bowshocks are also where most of the slow molecular outflow is being accelerated, and if H\(_2\) emission dominates the cooling (as expected e.g. in 2000K molecular gas at densities of \(10^5 - 10^8\) cm\(^{-3}\)), then \(L(H_2)/L_{CO}\) should be of order unity (see e.g. Hollenbach 1997). In the 5 flows studied by Davis and Eislöffel (1995), the observed ratio \(L(H_2)/L_{CO}\) has a median value of \(\sim 0.4\), but it covers a very broad range from 0.001 to 30. The discrepancies could be caused by uncertainties in 2\(\mu\)m extinction (corrections typically amount to 10-100 for \(A_V = 20 - 50\) mag), by the use of unreliable \(L_{CO}\) estimates, or — in the case of very low ratios — by a strong decrease in outflow power over time (see W75N; Davis et al. 1998). Hence the H\(_2\) 2.12\(\mu\)m line alone is not a sufficient diagnostic of the outflow entrainment process.

Warm \((T \sim 300-1000\) K\) molecular gas: The ISO mission has led to the detection of a new component of warm post-shock gas at \(T \sim 300-1000\) K in several outflows. Fig. 6 shows a map of the L1157 outflow in the \(v = 0 - 0\ S(5)\) pure rotational line of H\(_2\) at 6.9\(\mu\)m obtained with ISOCAM (Cabrit et al. 1998). A series of bright emission spots are seen along the outflow axis. They coincide spatially with hot shocked gas emitting in the H\(_2\) 2.12\(\mu\)m line (Eislöffel and Davis 1995), and with the various peaks of shock-enhanced molecules identified by Bachiller and Pérez Gutiérrez (1997; see Sect. IV.A). However, they trace an intermediate temperature regime of \(\sim 800\) K, considerably lower than the 2000 K observed in ro-vibrational H\(_2\) lines. Warm H\(_2\) at 700-800K was also found with the ISO Short Wavelength Spectrometer (SWS) in two outflows from very luminous sources, Cep A and DR 21 (Wright et al. 1996; Smith et al. 1998). Finally, warm CO at \(T \sim 330 - 1600\)K was detected in high-J lines \((J_{up} = 14\) to 28\) with ISO-LWS toward 5 outflows of various luminosities, while H\(_2\)O and OH lines were detected in two cases (Nisini et al. 1996, 1997; Ceccarelli et al. 1998).

The observed emission fluxes and temperatures in H\(_2\) and CO are well explained by non-dissociative J-shocks with \(V_s \sim 10\) km s\(^{-1}\) or slow C-shocks with \(V_s \sim 10-25\) km s\(^{-1}\), and \(n_o \sim 10^4 - 3 \times 10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\) (e.g. Wright et al. 1996; Nisini et al. 1997; Cabrit et al. 1998). One important constraint is the rather low \([H_2O]/[H_2]\) abundance ratio \(\sim 1-2 \times 10^{-5}\) observed in HH54 and IRAS16293 (Liseau et al. 1996; Ceccarelli et al. 1998); steady-state C-shocks would predict complete conversion of O into water. The relatively high \([OH]/[H_2O]\) ratio \(\sim 1/4-1/10\) in these two flows suggests that the shock age is too short for conversion to be complete, and points to the need for time-dependent C-shock models for proper interpretation of the data (e.g. Chièze et al. 1998).

Mid and far-infrared emission from this warm molecular gas component appears more tightly correlated with \(L_{CO}\) than the 2\(\mu\)m H\(_2\) lines. In 4 out of the 5 outflows studied by Nisini et al. (1997), the FIR CO luminosity represents 10-30% of the flow kinetic luminosity, in good agreement with C-shock calculations in the inferred density and velocity range (Kaufman and Neufeld 1996). The only large discrepancy is observed in IC1396N, an object contaminated by PDR emission (Molinari et al. 1998).
In L1157, the H$_2$ luminosity of warm gas is also around 10% of $L_{CO}$ (Cabrit et al., 1999). Hence, these slow shocks seem sufficient to drive the whole outflows. Detailed comparisons between H$_2$ and FIR-CO lines in the same objects are now under way to further narrow the range of possible shock models and perhaps allow us to discriminate between wide-angle wind and jet scenarios for the entrainment of outflows.

Figure 6. The L1157 outflow mapped in the 6.9μm pure rotational line of H$_2$ (adapted from Cabrit et al. 1998) with CO(2-1) contours superimposed (from Bachiller and Pérez Gutiérrez 1997).
V CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the current data on the structure and energetics of molecular outflows suggest broad similarities across the entire luminosity range, from 1 to $10^5 \, L_\odot$. If the flows are swept up by a stellar wind or jet, we find that $\dot{M}_w v_w / \dot{M}_a v_K$ has a value of about 0.3 for all flows, perhaps suggesting that flows have a common drive mechanism. However, it remains unclear if the MHD disk and X-wind models which have been used to explain low-mass outflows are appropriate in the very different physical regime of high-mass YSOs. While observational data continue to improve these constraints, there remains an urgent need for a larger sample of molecular outflows, particularly from high-mass stars, to be fully mapped at high resolution; at the moment it is very possible that our estimates of the properties of high-mass systems are biased by strong selection effects. Single dish data, especially from the new focal plane arrays, plus interferometric images at millimeter wavelengths will continue to accumulate. However, only when the large millimeter interferometer (MMA/LSA) is operational will it be possible to acquire high-resolution data quickly enough to study large samples of outflows in detail.

The nature of the shocks which drive outflows is slowly becoming clearer. We now have diagnostics of all the temperature components in the outflows, from the 2000K gas seen in the $2\mu m$ H$_2$ lines, to the several hundred Kelvin component recently detected by ISO, through to the cool massive component seen in the millimeter waveband where most of the momentum is eventually deposited. The relationship between these components is providing valuable tests of the outflow mechanism, although a fuller understanding will require observations at higher angular resolution than ISO provided. The SOFIA and especially the FIRST missions will provide valuable data in this area.
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