Differences and Similarities of Central Asian, African, and Arctic Dust Composition from a Single Particle Perspective
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Abstract: Mineral dust composition affects a multitude of processes in the atmosphere and adjacent compartments. Dust dry deposition was collected near source in northwest Africa, in Central Asia, and on Svalbard and at three locations of the African outflow regime. Samples were subjected to automated scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray analysis to obtain size and composition of 216,000 individual particles. Results show low temporal variation in estimated optical properties for each location, but considerable differences between the African, Central Asian, and Arctic regimes. No significant difference was found between the K-feldspar relative abundances, indicating comparable related ice-nucleation abilities. The mixing state between calcium and iron compounds was different for near source and transport regimes, potentially in part due to size sorting effects. As a result, in certain situations (high acid availability, limited time) atmospheric processing of the dust is expected to lead to less increased iron solubility for near-source dusts (in particular for Central Asian ones) than for transported ones (in particular of Sahelian origin).
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1. Introduction

Mineral dust is one of the most important and prominent aerosol types and affects many processes in the Earth system [1,2]. In addition to the importance of its microphysical properties [3,4], dust composition plays a crucial role for several processes. With regard to radiative forcing, in the solar radiation spectrum iron oxides are dominating its absorption effects [5,6], whereas in the terrestrial spectrum major mineral groups like calcite, kaolinite, and quartz impact on the optical properties [7,8]. Indirectly, this absorption can change atmospheric stability, which modifies the cloud processes [9]. Moreover, dust composition also directly impacts on cloud microphysics by supplying materials suitable to act as ice-nucleating particles [10–12] and giant cloud condensation nuclei [13]. Certain dust compounds also interact with atmospheric gaseous and liquid phases of particles by providing suitable surfaces for heterogeneous reactions [14,15], acting as a catalyst or promoting photochemical reactions [16,17], or reacting, for example, with atmospheric acids [18,19].
Dust composition also affects the marine and terrestrial biosphere by supplying nutrients, but also supplying substances with adverse health effects [20]. Tropical as well as extra-tropical ecosystems apparently rely in part on atmospheric inputs [21,22]. Ocean surface waters can be depleted in essential nutrients supplied by the dust [23,24], thus composition plays an important role [25,26].

Several of these effects are not only affected by the overall composition, but also by the distribution of the compounds between the particles (i.e., internal or external mixing). For example, optical properties are strongly dependent on the mixing state [27,28]. In addition, chemical processes might be considerably affected by the particle mixing state [29].

Consequently, a more detailed knowledge of dust composition is expected to yield a better understanding and increased model quality. Information on bulk aerosol is available with respect to different properties (e.g., [6,7,30,31]) and finds its way into models [32]. In contrast, detailed properties like the aerosol mixing state are generally not yet regarded, probably due to scarcity of this information.

In the present study, dust from different transport regimes—African near-source and outflow, Central Asian near-source, and a high-latitude source—is analyzed to provide information on its composition and variation. With respect to the importance of the mixing state, a single particle attempt was chosen. A particular focus of this study is the distribution of iron amongst individual particles, and its internal mixture with calcium compounds, as the iron compounds are of high interest for different processes. These processes include radiation absorption, photocatalytic reactions, and ocean fertilization.

2. Experiments

Atmospheric aerosol dry deposition was collected at different places using two types of passive samplers: ‘flat plate’ and ‘Sigma-2’. Both samplers compare well for particle composition measurements in general [33]. For size distribution measurements, differences occur for particles larger than 10 µm in aerodynamic diameter. More details on the sampling techniques can be found elsewhere [33]. Each sample substrate was exposed to the atmospheric flow for 24–72 h, depending on the estimated aerosol concentrations. Particles were collected in the Sahara Desert, the Saharan outflow, in Central Asia, and for comparison near an extra-tropical source on Svalbard, North Atlantic Ocean (see Table 1 and Figure 1 for details).
Table 1. Measurement locations and time periods, collector type, number of samples, number of particles analyzed, and reference/data reference. ‘Alt’ denotes the altitude above sea level in m.

| Location           | Time Period           | Geogr. Coordinates      | Alt. | Sampler Type | Samples | Particles | Reference(s) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| Tinfou, Morocco    | 12 May–6 June 2006    | 30.2378° N, 5.6079° W   | 680  | Sigma-2      | 13      | 35,200    | [34,35]      |
| Izaña, Tenerife, Spain 1 | 8 July–8 Aug 2005 | 28.3094° N, 16.4992° W | 2390 | Sigma-2      | 9       | 11,800    | [36,37]      |
| Izaña, Tenerife, Spain 2 | 16 July–23 Aug 2017 | 28.3086° N, 16.4995° W | 2370 | Flat plate   | 14      | 37,900    | [33,38]      |
| Santiago, Cape Verde | 13 Jan–10 Feb 2008  | 14.9475° N, 23.4845° W  | 100  | Sigma-2      | 15      | 24,600    | [39,40]      |
| Fogo, Cape Verde   | 28 May–8 Jun 2008     | 14.9759° N, 24.3379° W  | 1630 | Sigma-2      | 5       | 23,100    | this study [41] |
| Ragged Point, Barbados 1 | 14 Jun–15 Jul 2013 | 13.1651° N, 59.4321° W | 50   | Flat plate   | 22      | 22,900    | [42]         |
| Ragged Point, Barbados 2 | 6–27 Aug 2016      | 13.1651° N, 59.4321° W | 50   | Flat plate   | 26      | 26,200    | [42]         |
| Dushanbe, Tajikistan | 28 Aug–7 Sep 2016 | 38.5594° N, 68.8561° E | 870  | Flat plate   | 16      | 18,100    | this study [43] |
| Longyearbyen, Svalbard | 1–24 Sep 2017      | 78.2227° N, 15.6510° E | 30   | Flat plate   | 20      | 16,700    | this study [44] |
Particles were collected on carbon adhesive tabs (Plano Spectrotabs, Wetzlar, Gemany). Samples were stored at dry conditions at room temperature. Without further treatment (e.g., coating), samples were subject to automated scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence analysis (SEM-EDX). As a result, for each particle the projected area diameter and the chemical composition as elemental contribution were available. Particles were analyzed in the size range of 0.7–50 µm projected area diameter. Details on the technique can be found elsewhere [42] and references therein. For the present study, only particles with dust compositions (“dust component”) were regarded. This includes mainly silicates like illite, kaolinite, smectites, feldspars, and quartz, carbonates like calcite and dolomite, gypsum, iron(III) oxide(-hydroxide)s like hematite and goethite, and apatite. This excludes mainly sulfate- (apart from gypsum) and sea-salt-like compositions from further data analysis (“non-dust component”). This was required due to the marine influence at some measurement locations.

Based on the single particle composition quantification, an element index for the element X was introduced [36,42] as

$$|X| = \frac{X}{Na + Mg + Al + Si + P + S + Cl + K + Ca + Ti + Fe}$$

(1)

where the element symbols represent the relative contribution in atom% measured for each particle. Note C, N, and O are disregarded due to their high uncertainty and substrate contributions, so the element index is—without further calculation—no direct measure for the elemental contribution to the particle mass.

Particles are classified according to their composition into mineral analogy classes using rule sets for elemental ratios. As the basis, ideal compositions of the minerals are used, taking into account natural variability and measurement uncertainty [39]. For the present paper, only three particle groups with major clay mineral compositions are selected by this approach. The according rules are given in Table 2.
Table 2. Conditions for element-based major clay mineral identification. The element symbols represent the concentration in atom%. All conditions must be met. In addition, a low contribution of sea-salt-affine elements is required, defined by the additional condition: \((|Na| + |Cl| + 2|Si|)/(|Al| + |Si|) < 0.25\). As no structural mineral analysis was carried out, the particle classes are termed ‘-like’ to express the similarity in chemical fingerprint.

| Class Name    | Conditions for Being Positively Classified                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kaolinite-like| \(0.5 < \frac{Al}{Si} < 1.5; \frac{Fe}{Al+Si} < 0.2; \frac{Mg}{Al+Si} < 0.2; \frac{Na}{Al+Si} < 0.1\)         |
| Illite-like   | \(\frac{Mg}{Al+Si} < 0.2; \frac{Ca}{Al+Si} < 0.2; \frac{Na}{Al+Si} < 0.2; 0.1 < \frac{K}{Si} < 1\)           |
| Chlorite-like | \(0.5 < \frac{Al}{Si} < 1.5; 0.2 < \frac{Fe}{Al+Si} < 1; \frac{Ca}{Al+Si} < 0.3\)                          |

In another approach, the particles are classified according to their Fe index. For \(|Fe| > 0.2\), only the Fe index is used as a classification criterion, whereas for \(|Fe| < 0.2\), an additional condition is used for ruling out the classification of particles dominated by non-silicate components \((|Mg| + |Al| + |Si| + |P| + |Fe| > 0.3)\), for which this approach would lead to high uncertainty. In addition, from the iron content of the single particles, the imaginary part of the index of refraction at 470 nm wavelength \((k_{470})\) is estimated following an empirical approach from Di Biagio et al. \([51]\), who established a relationship between the iron content and the optical properties. To estimate the single particle iron content, the dust compounds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ti, and Fe are assumed to exist in their oxidized form. Ca was assumed to be present as CaCO\(_3\); the small amounts of S as SO\(_4^{2-}\). At the end, the sample average refractive index is then calculated with a volume mixing rule \([52]\).

A third approach focuses on the feldspar abundance. Feldspar and K-feldspar indices are defined (Appendix A), showing the similarity of the measured particle composition to a feldspar composition. As it was found that index values \(>0.8\) identify a feldspar particle with high probability \([42]\), this threshold value is used here to assess the contribution of feldspar particles to the total deposition. Note that for example a layer of clay minerals covering a feldspar grain might therefore prohibit the classification as (pure) feldspar.

Finally, the inter-particle mixing state of Ca and Fe compounds is assessed by classifying the particles into a matrix spanned by the Ca and Fe index. Note that this approach might misinterpret Ca-Fe-bearing minerals (e.g., certain groups of amphiboles) as internally mixed particles. However, these are usually trace to minor species \([31,53]\). As an estimate for Ca atmospheric processing, single particle apparent relative ion balances can be defined \([42]\). Here, we use the Ca-Mg-S relative ion balance, defined as

\[ IB_{rel} = \frac{2|Ca| + 2|Mg| - 2|Si|}{2|Ca| + 2|Mg| + 2|Si|} \]  

This relative ion balance is calculated for each particle. For example, in the case of unprocessed calcite it would become 1, for gypsum 0, and for ammonium sulfate \(-1\).

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the fingerprint of the deposition samples in terms of the clay mineral class compositions. Clearly, different geographical regions and atmospheric measurement regimes can be distinguished in the ternary diagram. The Saharan/Sahelian western outflow is dominated by kaolinite-like particles. This is the case for all measurements even though there are different transport patterns for altitudes above and below the trade wind inversion \([54–56]\). The dust observed near the arid source regions in Morocco shows a considerably higher contribution of illite-like particles. This is most probably linked to the composition of the more arid conditions in the Saharan region in comparison to...
the Sahel [57], which is frequently the source for more southerly transport observed at the other Atlantic Ocean locations [42,58–60]. All Saharan/Sahelian dusts show a comparatively low contribution of chlorite-like particles. The dust observed in Tajikistan, in contrast, has higher illite-like and chlorite-like contributions, potentially caused by the similarity to Morocco with respect to arid source regions close by and the geological basement [31]. Interestingly, Svalbard dust has even higher chlorite-like contributions. When looking at the variability of the Western Saharan/Sahelian outflow across the Atlantic Ocean, despite the separation distance of several thousands of kilometers for the measurement locations, it is comparatively low, demonstrating a relatively constant average composition.

![Figure 2](Image)

**Figure 2.** Ternary diagram of the number abundances of major clay mineral compositions in dust dry deposition. Each data point represents one sample. Averages for the different locations are symbolized by the larger triangle. For Tenerife (orange), Cape Verde (rose), and Barbados (olive), data for two campaigns with according campaign averages are shown.

The iron distribution among the single silicate particles—commonly the dominating compositional type in dust—as a function of particle size is shown in Figure 3 along with the size distribution observed in the deposition. With respect to the latter, clearly the near-source locations (Figure 3a,e,f) can be distinguished by the transport (Figure 3b,c) and the deposition regime (Figure 3d) by the decreasing median particle sizes, as shown previously [61]. Generally, most of the silicate particles have a Fe index between 0.03 and 0.1, indicating that most of the iron is internally mixed with the silicate phases, either built into the crystal lattice, or as small oxide/oxyhydroxide grains [34,62–64]. Comparing the different regimes, one observes that the near-source samples from Morocco (Figure 3a) and Tajikistan (Figure 3e) are very similar with a relative high contribution of particles with low Fe index. The samples from the African outflow regime (Figure 3b–d) are nearly indistinguishable from each other, but with a slightly higher contribution of particles with higher Fe indices. This is corresponding to the clay mineral composition and probably related to the more iron-rich soils in the respective source regions [31]. The Arctic sample (Figure 3f) has a much higher iron contribution to the single particles, potentially related to the different weathering regime and geological basement. While the total iron content optical properties cannot be derived directly with high accuracy due to the varying contribution of structural iron [51,65], it was shown that estimates of the imaginary part of the refractive index obtained from composition measurements agree reasonably well with optical measurements [6,51]. For the size range of 4–20 μm (transported cross-section maximum), the total deposited aerosol imaginary part of the refractive index for 470 nm wavelength $k_{470}$ is $0.4 \times 10^{-3}$ at Tenerife and $(0.6–0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ at all other locations except for Svalbard, where it is $0.9 \times 10^{-3}$. For particles between 1 μm and 4 μm diameter, values in Africa range between $(0.4–0.8) \times 10^{-3}$. Tajikistan $(1.3 \times 10^{-3})$ and Svalbard $(3.2 \times 10^{-3})$ show considerably higher values, owing to the different iron distribution. Note that due to the empirical
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estimate approach based on the iron content, the values for 370 nm and 660 nm can be estimated from
the given data by multiplication by 1.345 and 0.476, respectively.

| Fe | n.d. | 0.05<Fe<0.1 | 0.03<Fe<0.05 | 0.02<Fe<0.33 | 0.01<Fe<0.2 |
|----|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|

Figure 3. Size-resolved abundance of silicate particles with different iron indices. (a) Morocco, (b) Tenerife, (c) Cape Verde, (d) Barbados, (e) Tajikistan, and (f) Svalbard. On the top, relative size distributions are given for the deposited silicate particles. Black line: number; shaded area: surface/cross-section; blue line: volume. Particle diameter is given as projected area diameter. 'n.d.' means not detected. Note that not all samples for (a) could be analyzed due to overloading of the sampler during dust storms, so the plot does not include dust storm days. Abundance bars are not shown for size intervals with less than 40 particles to avoid the presentation of data with high statistical uncertainty.

The feldspar relative number abundance for the different locations is shown in Figure 4 for total feldspars and for K-rich feldspars. K-rich feldspars were found to be highly efficient in acting as ice nuclei [66,67] and, therefore, are of particular interest for atmospheric processes. For most of the locations, a generally increasing trend of feldspar abundance with increasing particle size can be observed, which is possibly linked to particle mechanical stability and weathering sequence [57]. This trend is much stronger for the Central Asian and Arctic regime than for the African ones. The total feldspar contribution is also higher for these two regimes, indicating a different geological basement. In contrast, the K-feldspar abundance—generally on a low level—shows much less variation between the regimes.
The chemical processing of aerosol in the atmosphere is dependent on environmental conditions and time. Under suitable conditions, for example, iron can be transferred from a structural state into a more bio-available form like oxide grains [25,68]. In Figure 5, a simplified conceptual model is given for the processing of internally versus externally mixed particles between compounds with high and low reactivity. Calcium was chosen as a high-reactivity component, as it is the major constituent of the most reactive calcite and dolomite minerals. Iron was chosen as a low-reactivity compound due to its importance for atmospheric processes. The model should account for atmospheric processing being in part a non-equilibrium process. The axis indicates the amount of available reactive non-particulate species (e.g., acids) and the processing intensity, which can be a function of time or other environmental conditions, like humidity and temperature. For example, if there is plenty of acid available, but the processing intensity is low (e.g., lack of time due to dilution or rain-out), calcium compounds internally mixed with iron compounds in one particle may protect the iron compound from being processed [29]. If there is only a low amount of acid available, but plenty of time, the calcium compound might completely buffer the acid, so the iron compound would be less processed as well; however, in this case, the mixing state is probably of less importance, as the acid in a gaseous state probably follows the gradient to the strongest sinks (the calcium compound particles in our case). With an acid amount beyond the total calcium buffering capacity and a high processing intensity, the effect of the mixing state probably diminishes.

A graphical summary of the internal mixing of calcium and iron based on the element indices is shown in Figure 6. The areas of the circles show the total mass of iron and calcium in each class, integrated over all samples. A 1:1 ratio of calcium to iron would be represented by two identical circles covering each other (i.e., only one circle would be visible). In addition, the calcium–sulfate processing is indicated by the color of the calcium circle. Note that nitric acid processing cannot be assessed reliably using the electron microscopy technique. Generally, for all locations (Figure 6a–f), a fraction of calcium and iron co-exist in the same particle. Most of the mass exists in two populations, which are more distinct in some places (Figure 6c,d) and show a gradual transition in others (Figure 6e,f). One population consists of particles with a Fe index between 0.05 and 0.1 and a low Ca index (<0.02) (i.e., silicates with iron and calcium content). The other consists of particles with a Fe index smaller than 0.05 and a high Ca index (>0.3) (e.g., calcite with iron oxide grains). The abundance, however, is different between the measurement locations.
Tajikistan, many calcium-rich particles mixed with a non-negligible total mass of iron exist. In Tajikistan, particles size. Except for Tenerife, it increases from 1 µm to 8 µm in particle diameter by a factor of 3–10 (i.e., for large particles these mixtures are more common). In conjunction with the large particle contribution of high-calcium compounds is low. Interestingly, the abundance of mixed particles is also a function of particles size. Except for Tenerife, it increases from 1 µm to 8 µm in particle diameter by a factor of 3–10 (i.e., for large particles these mixtures are more common). In conjunction with the large particle loss during transport (relative size distributions shown in Figure 3), this might explain partly the differences observed between near-source and transport regimes. Overall, 68% of the iron mass in the African samples are not mixed with calcium, and only 2% are mixed with considerable amounts. Again, Arctic dust is intermediate between the other two regimes, while the sulfur compound contributions. Dust at Barbados is more processed than the one at Tenerife as to be expected due to the longer transport. Cape Verde cannot directly be compared due to its different location. The blue-red transition shows the average relative ion balance of Ca\(^2+\) and Fe\(^2+\) versus SO\(_4^{2-}\), indicating atmospheric processing.

While the African outflow locations are similar in appearance, near-source in Morocco and Tajikistan, many calcium-rich particles mixed with a non-negligible total mass of iron exist. In Tajikistan, even higher abundances of internal mixtures occur, which are present as concretions between silicates containing iron and calcium carbonate (Figure 7). In contrast to the Saharan dust, most of the iron in the Central Asian one is internally mixed with considerable amounts of calcium compounds. For Arctic dust, the situation is intermediate between the other two regimes, while the contribution of high-calcium compounds is low. Interestingly, the abundance of mixed particles is also a function of particles size. Except for Tenerife, it increases from 1 µm to 8 µm in particle diameter by a factor of 3–10 (i.e., for large particles these mixtures are more common). In conjunction with the large particle loss during transport (relative size distributions shown in Figure 3), this might explain partly the
differences observed between near-source and transport regimes. Overall, 68–86% of the iron mass in the African samples are not mixed with calcium, and only 2–4% in the outflow and 11% near the source are mixed with considerable amounts ([Ca] > 0.1). In Central Asia, only 51% of the iron mass are not mixed with calcium and 15% are mixed with considerable amounts. Again, Arctic dust is intermediate (62% and 11%, respectively).

Looking at the sulfate processing state of the calcium component, it can be observed that Tajikistan is least processed, whereas Morocco and the African outflow generally have higher sulfur compound contributions. Dust at Barbados is more processed than the one at Tenerife as to be expected due to the longer transport. Cape Verde cannot directly be compared due to its different transport regime—humid marine boundary layer versus dry Saharan air layer [54,56]—so sulfur compounds are abundant here too [39,69]. The sulfate contribution in Morocco is similarly high, showing its common presence already at source in the arid Saharan regions [70,71]. For Svalbard, the calcium internally mixed with iron shows a low processing grade, whereas calcium without iron is highly processed; the latter might be therefore of marine origin.

4. Conclusions

By the general agreement of the clay mineral fingerprint with literature data [30,31], the capability of the applied technique for distinguishing clay mineral classes based on very small sample amounts is demonstrated. In addition, apparently the clay mineral fingerprint can be used for distinction of different large-scale source regions. In addition, it becomes clear that for the locations under study, the major dust composition is different. Therefore, the use of different optical properties with respect to the real part of the refractive index for African, Central Asian, and Arctic dust should be considered, as for example shown by Stegmann et al. [72]. Similarly, while the variation in the imaginary part is comparatively low for the African transport region, different values should be used for Central Asian and Arctic dust, as well as for near-source situations. In particular when size-resolved optical properties can be regarded, the regions should be treated differently.

While the total feldspar abundance is different between the regimes, the K-feldspars are comparatively constant. If evidence strengthens further the theory that K-feldspar can be dominating the ice-nucleation ability, it might be justifiable using a single size-dependent K-feldspar parameterization for describing the observed dust regimes.
Between African and Central Asian as well as Arctic dust regimes, there exist considerable differences in iron–calcium mixing state. It can be concluded that in near-source situations like in Morocco and Tajikistan, iron processing might be slowed by the calcium available in the same particles, whereas in the African transport regime, this effect is less pronounced. As a result, African outflow dust from the Sahel region when coming into contact with atmospheric acids is expected to show a higher increase in iron bio-availability than, for example, dust from Central Asian outflow would. Overall, most of the iron mass are not mixed internally with calcium. Depending on the processing intensity and state, a buffering effect of calcium carbonate therefore might be smaller than estimated from the overall dust composition (e.g., the bulk carbonate content).

In generalizing the results of this study, however, one has to bear in mind that the measurements were carried out for a couple of weeks during one or two seasons only. For a more robust assessment, therefore, permanent long-term measurements are required.
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**Appendix A**

**Scheme of Calculating the Feldspar Indices as Chemical Fingerprint for Identifying Particles Consisting Probably of Feldspar**

The index values show the similarity of a particle composition to pure feldspar. They are composed of three properties, the overall contribution of feldspar-specific elements to the particle composition and the closeness to the feldspar Al/Si ratio as well as to the K/Si or alkali/Si ratio. The formulas below also correct a previous error [42].

The overall contribution of specific elements is calculated as

\[
\text{r}_{\text{Si}} = \frac{|Na| + |Al| + |Si| + |K| + |Ca|}{|Na| + |Al| + |Si| + |K| + |Ca| + |Mg| + |P| + |S| + |Cl| + |Ti| + |Fe|} \quad (A1)
\]

The closeness with respect to Al/Si is determined as

\[
\text{r}_{\text{fsp, Al/Si}} = \frac{|Al||3Na+3K+2Ca|}{|Si||Na| + |K| + |2Ca|} \quad (A2)
\]

\[
\text{Q}_{\text{fsp, Al/Si}} = \begin{cases} 
  1 - |g(\text{r}_{\text{fsp, Al/Si}}) | & \forall \text{0.1} \leq \text{r}_{\text{fsp, Al/Si}} \leq 10 \\
  0 & \forall \text{r}_{\text{fsp, Al/Si}} < 0.1 \\
  0 & \forall \text{r}_{\text{fsp, Al/Si}} > 10 
\end{cases} \quad (A3)
\]

Vicinity with respect to the K and alkali ratio is calculated as

\[
\text{r}_{\text{fsp, K/Si}} = \frac{3|K|}{|Si|} \quad (A4)
\]
The similarity of a particle’s composition to pure feldspar in expressed then as

\[ Q_{fsp, Al/Si} = \begin{cases} 
1 - \left| \log \left( \frac{r_{fsp, K/Si}}{r_{fsp, Al/Si}} \right) \right| & \forall 0.1 \leq r_{fsp, K/Si} \leq 10 \\
0 & \forall r_{fsp, K/Si} < 0.1 \\
0 & \forall r_{fsp, K/Si} > 10 
\end{cases} \tag{A5} \]

and to pure K-feldspar as

\[ Q_{fsp, NaKCa/Si} = \frac{3|Na| + 3|K| + 2|Ca|}{|Si|} \tag{A6} \]

\[ Q_{fsp, Al/Si} = \begin{cases} 
1 - \left| \log \left( \frac{r_{fsp, NaKCa/Si}}{r_{fsp, Al/Si}} \right) \right| & \forall 0.1 \leq r_{fsp, NaKCa/Si} \leq 10 \\
0 & \forall r_{fsp, NaKCa/Si} < 0.1 \\
0 & \forall r_{fsp, NaKCa/Si} > 10 
\end{cases} \tag{A7} \]

The similarity of a particle’s composition to pure feldspar in expressed then as

\[ Q_{fsp} = r_{Si} Q_{fsp, Al/Si} Q_{fsp, NaKCa/Si} \tag{A8} \]

and to pure K-feldspar as

\[ Q_{fsp, K} = r_{Si} Q_{fsp, Al/Si} Q_{fsp, K/Si} \tag{A9} \]

For example, the \( Q_{fsp} \) value becomes 1 for pure microcline or plagioclase and 0 for sodium chloride or quartz. Comparing with particle morphology, a threshold value of 0.8 was suitable for distinguishing feldspar from non-feldspar components in naturally weathered sieved sediment derived from granite.
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