Whipworm genome and dual-species transcriptome analyses provide molecular insights into an intimate host-parasite interaction
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Whipworms (T. trichiura) infect an estimated 700 million people worldwide1. They are one of three major groups of soil-transmitted helminths (STHs), the others being Ascaris and hookworms, that impede the socioeconomic development of entire populations. Trichuris parasites invade the epithelium of the colon of their hosts, particularly the cecum, where they may persist for years and can cause colitis, anemia and Trichuris dysentery syndrome. T. muris is an established laboratory mouse model for human trichuriasis and shares with T. trichiura many aspects of its biology, including the specialized niche within the host. Work on T. muris has been pivotal in defining the crucial role of helper T cell 2 (T\textsubscript{H2}) immune responses and interleukin (IL)-13 in protective immunity to parasitic helminths2, and deliberate infection with whipworm eggs, typically of the porcine parasite Trichuris suis, is emerging as a novel therapy option for patients with immune-mediated diseases3,4. Whipworms have a simple and direct life cycle, and, unlike the related parasite Trichinella spiralis, whipworm larvae do not form cysts in muscle tissue but reside exclusively in the intestine. Bacillary cells and stichocytes are distinctive cell types found only in clade I nematodes5 and are located in the slender anterior part of the adult whipworm that is burrowed within the intestinal epithelium. The bulbous posterior end of the whipworm lies free in the intestinal lumen and harbors the reproductive organs, giving adult Trichuris parasites their characteristic whip-like morphology.

Here we present high-quality genome sequences for T. trichiura and T. muris, the first duo of a major human STH and its mouse counterpart. We resolve chromosomal sequences and infer sex chromosome–specific parasite genes and new potential drug targets. On the basis of high-throughput transcriptomics data, we identify whipworm proteins that are highly expressed in the anterior region of the parasite, that is, in intimate contact with the cytoplasm of host intestinal cells and the immune system. Gene expression data from mice with low-dose whipworm infection provide a detailed description of a regulated T\textsubscript{H1}-like immune response to the infected cecum that is not limited to the immediate site of infection. The availability of these two important whipworm genomes and the integration of parasite and host data presented here will underpin future efforts to control these parasites and exploit their immunological interplay for human benefit.

RESULTS

Genome structure and content
We produced a 75.2-Mb high-quality draft genome assembly from a clinically isolated adult male T. trichiura using Illumina technology.
Table 1  Genome and gene statistics for nine nematodes

| Nematode clade | Trichuris trichiura | Trichuris muris | Trichinella spiralis | Ascaris suum | Brugia malayi | Loa loa | Meloidogyne hapla | Bursaphelenchus xylophilus | Caenorhabditis elegans |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Haploid chromosome number | I | I | I | I | III | III | III | IV | IV | V |
| Genome assembly size (Mb) | 75.18 | 85.00 | 89.31 | 61.15 | 266.07 | 94.12 | 89.7 | 52.96 | 73.10 | 100.29 |
| Number of scaffolds | 3,711 | 1,123 | 1,069 | 3,853 | 2,414 | 9,805 | 3,452 | 3,389 | 7,429 | 7,759 |
| N50 scaffolds (kb) | 71.2 | 1,580 | 8,384 | 7,554 | 419 | 191 | 177 | 37 | 988.2 | 17,494 |
| Longest scaffold (kb) | 263 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 171 | 62 | 126 | 372 | 21 | 3 |
| Mean scaffold length (kb) | 533.8 | 7,990 | 17,505 | 12,041 | 3,795 | 5,236 | 1,325 | 360.4 | 3,612 | 20,924 |
| Number of genes | 6,629 | 6,641 | 6,641 | 6,629 | 6,641 | 6,641 | 6,641 | 6,629 | 6,641 | 6,641 |
| Mean protein length (aa) | 435 | 416 | 318 | 396 | 320 | 344 | 344 | 344 | 344 | 344 |
| Median number of coding exons per gene | 5.7 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| Mean coding exon length (bp) | 228.3 | 164.6 | 178.1 | 149.7 | 143.1 | 149.2 | 171.4 | 229.5 | 201.5 |
| Median coding exon length (bp) | 148 | 117 | 129 | 136 | 131 | 136 | 144 | 184 | 146 |
| Number of introns | 45,506 | 72,454 | 71,473 | 106,156 | 79,886 | 92,154 | 73,537 | 63,478 | 103,107 |
| Mean intron length (bp) | 283.8 | 434.2 | 197.5 | 1,031 | 626.6 | 669.4 | 153.1 | 153.0 | 201.5 |
| Median intron length (bp) | 57 | 58 | 83 | 726 | 246 | 269 | 53 | 69 | 146 |

All genome statistics are based on scaffolds, filtered for a minimum scaffold length of 1,000 bp. NA, not applicable.

*Based on T. trichiura genome assembly v2 and gene set v2.2; this study. *Based on T. muris genome assembly v3.0 and gene set v2.3; this study. *Based on T. muris genome assembly v4.0, which includes superscaffolds that were joined on the basis of optical map data; this study. *Based on genome assembly and gene set release WS236 (WormBase). The size shown is directly measured from the assembly. After taking into account the contribution of collapsed repeats, the true size of the haploid female genome is estimated to be 106 Mb (Supplementary Note).

In parallel, multiple technologies and manual finishing were used to produce an 85-Mb reference genome assembly from the more readily available mouse parasite species T. muris (Online Methods and Supplementary Note). More than half of the T. muris and T. trichiura genomes assembled into scaffolds of at least 1,580 kb and 71 kb, respectively (Table 1). Despite their differences in contiguity, both genomes were determined to be 94.8% complete using the Core Eukaryotic Genes Mapping Approach (CEGMA). The T. muris genome comprises two pairs of autosomes and one pair of X and Y sex chromosomes (2n = 6), whereas the karyotype of T. trichiura has so far not been reported. In Trichinella species of the same phylogenetic clade, the XO sex-determination system is used with 2n = 6 for female (XX) and 2n = 5 for male (XO) worms. To explore the architecture of the genome, assembly scaffolds for T. muris were joined into superscaffolds by optical mapping (assembly version 4.0, Table 1). Clustering of one-to-one ortholog patterns between T. muris and T. spiralis identified three distinct linkage groups in each species, providing strong evidence that chromosome-level synteny has been preserved across genera (Fig. 1a). Contrasting with the observed macro synteny, small-scale gene order has mostly been lost among the three species (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1). Such extensive intrachromosomal rearrangements have also been found in other nematode lineages and appear to be a hallmark of nematode genome evolution.

To assign genomic scaffolds to chromosomal locations, we used mapped resequencing data from females (T. muris only) and single males (T. muris and T. trichiura). From the males, one linkage group could be putatively identified as the X chromosome, on the basis of an almost complete lack of heterozygosity and half the relative sequence coverage compared with the other two linkage groups that are presumed to be pairs of autosomes (Fig. 1b, c and Supplementary Fig. 2a–c and Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, the putative X-chromosomal scaffolds from T. muris females showed equivalent read coverage and a similar level of heterozygosity to the putative autosomes. Furthermore, the putative X-chromosomal scaffolds were significantly (P < 1 × 10^-12) enriched for genes that were transcriptionally upregulated in female worms (Supplementary Table 2a).

From differential sequence coverage in males and females (Online Methods, Supplementary Fig. 2e, Supplementary Table 1c and Supplementary Note), putative T. muris Y chromosome sequences were identified, equivalent to 24.4 Mb of sequence when the estimated true copy numbers of the large number of collapsed repeats were taken into account (Supplementary Table 1d). Despite the similar estimated sizes for the T. muris sex chromosomes, the X chromosome (26.9 Mb) contained 2,137 genes, whereas the Y chromosome had non-redundant gene content corresponding to fewer than 70 genes, of which most were of unknown function or were transposon related (Supplementary Table 2b). We further identified putative centromeric repeat sequences on three T. muris scaffolds that together were estimated to constitute 5.33 Mb (Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 1d and Supplementary Note).

In T. trichiura, 9,650 genes were predicted, and in T. muris, aided by deep transcriptome sequencing, 11,004 genes were found (Table 1). Predicted proteins from both species clustered together with sequences from other nematodes and outgroups in a total of 7,354 gene families. Of these, 5,868 families contained genes from both species, that is, 6,629 and 6,641 genes from T. muris and T. trichiura, respectively. Thus, the majority of Trichuris genes are orthologs shared by both species (Fig. 2a, b). Despite the widespread loss of gene collinearity, the usefulness of the mouse model is emphasized by the highly similar proteomes of the two species—5,060 one-to-one protein orthologs exhibited an average amino acid identity of 79%. In this comparison, 2,350 T. trichiura and 3,817 T. muris genes appeared to be species specific and were particularly enriched in hypothetical proteins of no known function (for example, 76% of the T. muris species-specific
genes compared to 35% across the genome). Of the total 3,953 and 2,014 hypothetical proteins encoded by *T. muris* and *T. trichiura*, respectively, 434 (11.0%) and 253 (12.6%) sequences were predicted by Phobius to contain signal peptides, and 517 (13.1%) and 359 (17.8%) sequences were predicted by both the 0.5-fold relative read coverage and the very low apparent heterozygosity of linkage group X are consistent with the corresponding scaffolds representing the sex-specific X chromosome, which is expected to occur in a single copy in the diploid genome of a male *Trichuris* parasite. In box plots, the center line is the median, the box shows 25% to 75% quantiles (interquartile range), the top whisker represents the highest data point below (75% quartile + 1.5 × interquartile range) and the bottom whisker shows the lowest data point above (25% quartile – 1.5 × interquartile range).

Patterns of parasite gene expression
To better understand the parasite's unusual niche within the mucosa of the large intestine, we generated and compared transcriptome-wide gene expression data for several biological samples of *T. muris*, then performing protein domain and GO term enrichment analyses. The stichosome and bacillary band in the whipworm anterior region have been suggested to be involved in nutrient uptake, digestion and host-parasite interactions, for which they would be perfectly placed morphologically. Nevertheless, their exact biological roles are still poorly understood. Our data showed that transcripts in the *T. muris* anterior region were dominated by chymotrypsin A–like serine proteases and by protease inhibitors with similarity to secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor (SLPI) (families S1A and I17, respectively, in the MEROPS peptidase database; *Supplementary Fig. 4* and *Supplementary Tables 4* and 5). Chymotrypsin A–like serine proteases were encoded by over 75 genes in each of the *Trichuris* genomes, at numbers far higher than in other nematodes, making them the single largest group of proteases (*Supplementary Table 4*). In *T. muris*, three-quarters of these genes (63) were transcriptionally upregulated in the anterior region, and, of these, two-thirds (42) are likely to encode secreted proteins (*Supplementary Fig. 4* and *Supplementary Tables 6* and 7). These proteases might,
therefore, serve digestive or host-immunomodulatory functions or degrade host intestinal mucins that act as a physical barrier to the parasite\(^1\)\(^\text{--}^3\). In *Trichuris*, serine proteases are thus more abundant, both in terms of gene number and gene expression, than in other protease families (in particular, cysteine proteases), which is in contrast to the situation in many other nematodes\(^4\)\(^\text{--}^6\) (Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

Eighty of the 111 protease inhibitors found in *T. muris* encoded serine protease inhibitors (MEROPS families 11, 12, 115 and 117), with SLPI-like proteins (proteins containing mostly WAP domains) collectively being by far the most abundant protease inhibitor transcripts in the anterior region (Fig. 3a). WAP domains are found in a number of proteins that also include the mammalian whey acidic protein (WAP) and elafins. The *T. muris* genome contained 44 genes encoding between 1 and 9 WAP domains, whereas *T. trichiura* and *T. spiralis* featured 20 and 23 genes encoding such proteins, respectively. Surprisingly, all three trichocephalid species each encoded only a single WAP domain–containing protein (TMUE_s0077003100, TTRE_0000351901 and EFV57447) in which the WAP domain contained the canonical eight cysteine residues that have given this domain its alternative name, 4-disulphide core. These proteins are large and bear some similarity to the mesocentin protein of *C. elegans*, which exhibits RNA interference (RNAi) phenotypes, such as neuropathological defects affecting chemosensory neurons and axons\(^7\)\(^\text{--}^9\). In contrast, other WAP domains in *Trichuris* and *Trichinella* had an apparently novel trichocephalid adaptation of exactly six cysteine residues (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 5). How this modification affects the function of WAP domain–containing proteins is unknown. In addition to their protease inhibitor activity, both mammalian SLPIs and elafins have immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties, with a role in innate immune defense and wound healing\(^10\)\(^\text{--}^12\). Epithelial cells frequently produce these proteins in response to inflammation to modulate the inflammation process, cytokine secretion and cell recruitment and to favor a Th1-type response.

Figure 2 Comparative genomics of *Trichuris* species. (a) Phylogenetic analysis of genome content. The tree shown is a maximum-likelihood phylogeny based on a concatenated alignment of single-copy orthologs. Values on edges represent the inferred numbers of births (+) and deaths (−) of gene families along that edge. The scale bar shows expected births (+) and deaths (−) of gene families on edges represent the inferred numbers of proteins predicted to be either singletons (not members of any gene family; yellow), members of families common to the eight genomes (red), members of gene families present only in a single genome (blue) and members of all other gene families (green). (b) Euler diagrams of shared presence and absence of gene families in clade I nematodes and the model nematode *C. elegans*. Note that the approach in a cannot distinguish the polarity of changes at the base of the tree; thus, for example, the value of 262 gene family gains on the basal branch will include gene families lost on the branch leading to *Homo sapiens*.

Figure 3 Expression and structural characteristics of WAP domain–containing proteins of *T. muris*. (a) Normalized transcript levels of the 44 genes encoding WAP domain–containing proteins in *T. muris*, comparing the parasite anterior region with the posterior region of adult female (F) and male (M) parasites. Indication of significant transcriptional upregulation in a particular pairwise comparison (Up) refers to false discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.01 and FDR > 1 × 10\(^{-5}\) when denoted by one asterisk and to FDR ≤ 1 × 10\(^{-5}\) when denoted by two asterisks. SP, signal peptide; WAP, whey acidic protein (Interpro, IPR008197); WR1, cysteine-rich repeat (IPR006150); TIL, trypsin inhibitor–like (IPR002919). For a full version of this figure, see Supplementary Figure 4a. (b) Sequence logos show the conserved and distinct sequence characteristics of the WAP domains (Interpro, IPR008197) found in proteins from *H. sapiens*, *T. trichiura* and *T. muris*. The four canonical disulfide bonds formed by eight cysteine residues are highlighted at the top of the sequence logos for human WAP domains. The sequence logos representing the different species are aligned around the central CXXDXXC motif (where X is any amino acid). For a full version of this figure, see Supplementary Figure 5.
immune response\textsuperscript{19}. The fact that there are apparently no SLPI-like proteins present in other parasitic helminth lineages, that the corresponding genes are strongly and specifically expressed in the whipworm anterior region and that the majority of SLPI-like proteins are likely secreted suggests that this family carries out nematode clade I–specific functions that may include the inhibition of inflammation in host intestinal epithelial tissue as it is being invaded and wounded by the parasite.

Transcripts for DNase II–like proteins were also particularly highly expressed in the whipworm anterior region (Fig. 4a). \textit{T. spiralis} encodes 166 DNase II–like proteins\textsuperscript{22,23} that include the abundant excretory-secretory protein gp43 (ref. 24). \textit{T. muris} and \textit{T. spiralis} each encoded one ortholog of the metazoan DNase II (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 6), which in \textit{T. muris} exhibited low and uniform transcript levels across the biological samples analyzed. In contrast, all other trichocephalid DNase II–like proteins were so divergent that they were positioned outside the major, well-supported cluster in a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4b). As in other animals\textsuperscript{25}, the three \textit{DNase II–like nuclease} in \textit{C. elegans} (NUC-1, CRN-6 and CRN-7) are involved in apoptosis and development\textsuperscript{26}. A homologous protein in starfish (planchitoxin) has been reported to be able to enter the nuclei of rat liver epithelial cells and cause caspase-independent apoptosis\textsuperscript{27}. One intriguing potential biological role of DNase II–like proteins in trichocephalid parasites is the degradation of host DNA that may be released when the parasites damage host tissue during invasion, thereby limiting inflammatory and immune responses that could be elicited by undigested host DNA\textsuperscript{22}.

We found male-specific expression (Supplementary Table 8) of transcripts encoding proteins with major sperm protein (MSP) domains, which likely have a role in the amoeboid locomotion of nematode sperm\textsuperscript{28}, and proteins with casein kinase–related and epidermal growth factor (EGF)–like domains, which might be involved in male mating–associated functions\textsuperscript{29}. In contrast, transcripts for proteins with chitin-binding domains were upregulated in female whipworms. As nematode eggshells commonly contain chitin, it is likely that these proteins are associated with the formation of the eggshell or a related function\textsuperscript{30}. The transcriptional landscape of \textit{L2} and \textit{L3} larvae is similar to that of the anterior region of adult worms, likely owing both to the shared intraepithelial location and the shared absence of the reproduction- and sex-specific transcripts that dominate the posterior region of adult worms (Supplementary Table 8). In addition, larval stages showed high expression of transcripts for ribosomal proteins and collagen- and fibronectin-related proteins, likely reflecting the fast growth and associated protein and cuticle synthesis in whipworms during the larval stage (Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Tables 8 and 9).

**Host intestinal response to infection**

Low-dose infection of mice with \textit{T. muris} leads to chronic infection, whereas high-dose infections are typically cleared in the majority of inbred mouse strains. Therefore, low-dose infection of C57BL/6 mice with \textit{T. muris} is used as a model of natural chronic infection of humans with \textit{T. trichiura}, which usually presents with a low parasite burden\textsuperscript{31}, and may also provide a model for inflammatory immune diseases of the gut\textsuperscript{32,33}. A \textit{T}H2 response is required for the resolution of infection and the acquisition of immunity\textsuperscript{34}, but chronic infections are typified by a regulated \textit{T}H1 response\textsuperscript{35,36}. This combined response favors the parasite, counteracting a protective \textit{T}H2 response, resulting in slower epithelial turnover and leading to pathology with features of colitis while preventing severe intestinal pathology\textsuperscript{37}. To investigate the precise nature of these responses, we characterized gene expression changes in mouse cecum and mesenteric lymph node (MLN) upon infection, using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Changes in host gene expression were shared by the precise site of worm occupation and worm-free areas. We found only five genes differentially expressed in these tissues in infected mice compared to control mice, suggesting broad regulatory activity within the cecum.

Within infected cecum, we found 868 genes upregulated and 590 downregulated compared to the same tissue in naive mice. Upregulated genes were enriched for a variety of functional terms associated with the immune system, suggesting that these factors are the primary mediators of interaction with the worm during chronic infection. As expected, these changes were consistent with a \textit{T}H2 response: \textit{Cd4} expression was upregulated by 4-fold, whereas proinflammatory cytokines \textit{Ifng} (encoding interferon (IFN)–\(\gamma\)) and \textit{Tnfa} (encoding tumor necrosis factor (TNF)–\(\alpha\)) were upregulated by 26- and 12-fold, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 7). Conversely, \textit{Il4}, \textit{Il5}, \textit{Il9} and \textit{Il13}, encoding cytokines typical of a \textit{T}H2 response, were not differentially expressed. IL-18 has been suggested to have a role in promoting susceptibility by inhibiting the \textit{T}H2 response\textsuperscript{38}; however, at this stage of infection, we saw a downregulation of \textit{Il18} levels in susceptible mice, suggesting instead that this cytokine has a role in downregulating an excessive type 1 response. We observed upregulation of \textit{Il16} and \textit{Ccr5}, which have been implicated in \textit{T}H1 cell migration\textsuperscript{39} but have not previously been associated with \textit{T. trichiura} infection. There was strong upregulation of immunoglobulin classes G2B, G2C, A and M but not E in the cecum after
infection, reflecting changes seen in MLN (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 10). These changes in the upregulation of immunoglobulin classes likely arise as a result of IFN-γ stimulation, but a role for these isoforms in chronic infection is unknown. Certainly, antibody per se is thought to be dispensable for protection against primary infections. In chronic infections, the antibody response seen here might be a non-functional byproduct of a parasite-induced Th1 response or might instead be a response to bacterial infection associated with parasite-induced intestinal damage. Support for the latter hypothesis is provided by the observed secretory IgA response, which is commonly associated with commensal bacteria. The full extent of differential expression of cytokines and chemokines in infected cecum is shown in Supplementary Table 11. In MLN, 381 genes were upregulated and 176 genes were downregulated after infection. The gene set was dominated by genes related to the cell cycle and IgG, suggesting prolonged production of B cells. Whether these changes in expression simply indicate a response to chronic intestinal infection or increased exposure to microflora or suggest that these B cells or isotypes have specific or new functional roles remains to be determined.

In a chronic infection, it is essential that the parasite limits damage to the host. In particular, IL-10 has been proposed to control tissue repair during chronic infection. Furthermore, IL-22, another member of the IL-10 superfamily, has been implicated in therapeutic T. trichiura infection. We observed that IL10 and IL22 levels were upregulated in infected cecum. The source of these cytokines could be the classically induced FoxP3+ regulatory T (Treg) cells; however, we did not observe upregulation of mRNA for the associated markers FOXP3 and CD25, suggesting that the source might be other IL-10–producing cell subsets. Mucosal mast cells have previously been observed in acute infection with T. muris but do not appear to be responsible for parasite resistance. Evidence from the AKR mouse model of chronic infection and here from the low-dose C57BL/6 model suggests that these cells increase in number during chronic infection and therefore might have a role in tissue repair or immunoregulation, perhaps through the production of IL-10 (Supplementary Fig. 7). We observed expression of several markers of M2 (alternatively activated) macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 7), which have also been shown to be dispensable for resistance to Trichuris infection. These macrophages are thought to be specific to a Th2 response, and, although we did not detect upregulation of IL4 or IL13, very low levels of these cytokines could be present, as we detected no reads for their transcripts in uninfected samples and low numbers of reads in infected samples. M2 macrophages are known to have roles

### Table 2: Highly ranked targets with available approved drugs as chemical leads

| Gene ID     | Gene annotation                  | Drugs                                           |
|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 016011100  | Fatty acid synthase              | Cerulenin<sup>a</sup>, orlistat<sup>b</sup>    |
| 022000400  | Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase α subunit 1 | Digitoxin<sup>c</sup>, almitrine<sup>d</sup>, bepridil<sup>e</sup>, bretlyrium<sup>f</sup>, diazoxide<sup>g</sup>, ethacrynic acid<sup>h</sup>, hydrourethrazide<sup>i</sup>, others<sup>*</sup> |
| 186000500  | Serine/threonine protein kinase mTOR | Everolimus<sup>k</sup>, pimecrolimus<sup>j</sup>, sirolimus<sup>l</sup>, temsirolimus<sup>m</sup>, topotecan<sup>n</sup> |
| 016005900  | DNA topoisomerase 1<sup>*</sup>  | Irinotecan<sup>a</sup>, lusacanthone<sup>l</sup>, sodium stibogluconate<sup>mm</sup> |
| 217000500  | Receptor type tyrosine phosphatase | Alendronate<sup>n</sup>, etidronic acid<sup>o</sup> |
| 010006100  | Calmodulin                       | Aprindine<sup>a</sup>, bepridil<sup>b</sup>, dibucaine<sup>c</sup>, felodipine<sup>c</sup>, fluphenazine<sup>d</sup>, loperamide<sup>d</sup>, pimecrolimus<sup>j</sup>, sirolimus<sup>l</sup>, temsirolimus<sup>m</sup>, topotecan<sup>n</sup>, others<sup>*</sup> |
| 069002800  | Ribonucleoside diphosphate reductase subunit | Cladribine<sup>k</sup>, gallium nitrate<sup>l</sup> |
| 117003600  | Adenosine deaminase              | Dipyridamole<sup>k</sup>, nelarabine<sup>e</sup>, theophylline<sup>f</sup>, Vidarabine<sup>e</sup> |
| 023008600  | Dual-specificity mitogen-activated protein | Bosutinib<sup>k</sup>, trametinib<sup>e</sup> |
| 029000100  | LDL receptor and EGF-domain-containing protein | Gentamicin<sup>m</sup> |
| 170000300  | Integrin α pat 2                 | Antithymocyte globulin<sup>n</sup> |
| 123000500  | Tyrosine protein kinase Src42A    | Dasatinib<sup>b</sup> |
| 0130002700 | NADPH cytochrome P450 reductase   | Benzphetamine<sup>b</sup>, daunorubicin<sup>b</sup>, ethylmorphine<sup>e</sup>, nirotrofuranizin<sup>e</sup>, others<sup>*</sup> |
| 061001100  | DNA polymerase ε catalytic subunit A | Cladribine<sup>k</sup> |
| 025000100  | Tubulin γ1 chain                 | Vinblastine<sup>a</sup> |
| 092002800  | DNA ligase 1                     | Bleomycin<sup>la</sup> |
| 022003300  | Amidophosphoribosyltransferase   | Fluouracil<sup>f</sup> |
| 229000090  | V proton ATPase subunit A        | Alendronate<sup>n</sup>, others<sup>*</sup> |
| 012007400  | ADP, ATP carrier protein         | Clodronate<sup>n</sup> |
| 304000500  | Phenylalanine 4 hydroxylase      | Droxidopa<sup>p</sup> |
| 001008300  | Proteasome subunit β type 2      | Bortezomib<sup>k</sup>, carfilzomib<sup>k</sup> |
| 076002300  | Proteasome subunit β type 5      | Bortezomib<sup>k</sup>, carfilzomib<sup>k</sup> |
| 064003100  | DNA polymerase α catalytic subunit | Cladribine<sup>k</sup>, others<sup>*</sup> |
| 225000200  | Short/branched chain-specific acyl CoA | Valproic acid<sup>cd</sup> |
| 106001600  | Histone deacetylase              | Aminophylline<sup>ld</sup>, lovastatin<sup>em</sup>, vorinostat<sup>em</sup>, others<sup>*</sup> |
| 050001800  | Cytochrome c                     | Minocycline<sup>a</sup> |
| 060007700  | Proteasome subunit β type 1      | Bortezomib<sup>k</sup>, carfilzomib<sup>k</sup> |
| 016000600  | NADH dehydrogenase ubiquinone Fe S protein | Doxorubicin<sup>k</sup> |

Listings of approved drugs obtained from DrugBank<sup>14</sup>. Drug targets have been filtered for predicted essentiality in <i>C. elegans</i>, a ChEMBL Ensemble score of ≥0.5, transcript expression of >100 edgeR-normalized RNA-seq reads per kilobase of gene length in adult parasites and inferred availability of an approved and possibly suitable drug (excluding, for example, hydroxocobalamin (vitamin B12); Supplementary Tables 13 and 14). Drug purposes are indicated by footnote. Asterisks indicate other drugs (with similar activities to those listed), which are not shown.

<sup>a</sup>Antifungal. <sup>b</sup>Anticoagulant. <sup>c</sup>Cardiac glycoside (heart failure and arrhythmia). <sup>d</sup>Respiratory stimulant. <sup>e</sup>Angina. <sup>f</sup>Antiarrhythmia. <sup>g</sup>Vasoconstrictor (hypertension). <sup>h</sup>Diuretic (hypertension and edema). <sup>i</sup>Immunosuppressant. <sup>j</sup>Eszence. <sup>k</sup>Cancer. <sup>l</sup>Schistosomicide. <sup>m</sup>Leishmaniasis. <sup>n</sup>Local anesthetic. <sup>o</sup>Sodium channel blocker (hypertension, angina, migraine). <sup>p</sup>Antipsychotic (schizophrenia). <sup>q</sup>Diarhoea. <sup>r</sup>Anti-adrenergic antagonist. <sup>s</sup>Vasoconstrictor (stroke, heart attack). <sup>t</sup>Aspirin. <sup>u</sup>Antiviral. <sup>v</sup>Antibiotic. <sup>w</sup>Organ transplant. <sup>x</sup>Antirectic. <sup>y</sup>Analgesic. <sup>z</sup>Antiplantar wart (veruca). <sup>aa</sup>Neurotransmitter modulator (hypotension). <sup>ab</sup>Anticonvulsant and mood stabilizer. <sup>ac</sup>Bronchodilator. <sup>ad</sup>Statin (hypercholesterolemia).
in tissue repair\textsuperscript{46}, and their dispensability for parasite clearance and presence during chronic infection indicate that this might be their function during Trichuris infection.

The pathogenesis caused by T. muris infection of C57BL/6 mice is similar to that seen in human ulcerative colitis. Furthermore, by crossing mice resistant and susceptible to T. muris infection, quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been identified that are shared with other experimental models of colitis\textsuperscript{32}. Here we showed that T. muris infection causes changes in the expression of genes associated through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) with ulcerative colitis and several other inflammatory diseases (Supplementary Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 12). These findings provide support for the use of T. muris as a model of these devastating diseases prevalent in countries that are relatively free of intestinal parasites, in addition to its role as a model of T. trichiura infection.

**Insights into new drug targets**

Recent meta-analyses have showed that albendazole and mebendazole, used to treat trichuriasis, may only completely clear about one-third of infections, and high rates of reinfection are commonly encountered\textsuperscript{47,48}. With the unsatisfactory performance of current anthelmintics and the availability of complete genome sequences, new opportunities for target-based approaches to drug discovery need to be explored. The preceding sections of this study highlight parasite processes that could potentially be disrupted by drugs (for example, protease, protease inhibitor and DNase II activities), but, by combining our transcriptome data with results from database searches, we have assigned, on a genome-wide scale, potentially desirable properties for drug target selection. These properties can be weighted and ranked (Supplementary Tables 13 and 14) or simply filtered. For instance, 8,307 genes are expressed in adult whipworms, 4,269 genes have high inferred druggability and, for 600 genes, we inferred evidence of essentiality. We found only 397 putative targets that fulfilled all these criteria. This list was further reduced to 29 genes by filtering for candidate proteins with homologs that are targets of existing approved drugs (Table 2 and Supplementary Tables 13 and 14). Among these, we identified fatty acid synthase, the target of the anti-obesity drug orlistat; DNA topoisomerase, a target for the previously used antischistosomiasis drug lucanthone; and calmodulin, the target for the anti-diarrheal drug loperamide, which has previously been reported to increase the efficacy of mebendazole treatment of Trichuris infection\textsuperscript{49}.

**DISCUSSION**

Whipworms are exquisitely well adapted to their unusual biological niche. They are able to invade and maintain over extended periods of time their position within the epithelium of the large intestine, one of the fastest renewing tissues of the body, often without causing excessive pathology. The anterior end of adult worms appears to be key for host-parasite interactions, immunomodulation and feeding. This is because it is in intimate contact with host tissue and because it is home to two specialized cellular structures—the bacillary band and the stichosome. These adaptations are unique to whipworms and related parasites, that is, organisms that spend part of their life cycle buried in the intestinal mucosa of the host. This study identifies numerous genes with anterior end–specific expression that encode factors including protease inhibitors, DNase II and serine proteases. Many of these molecules are likely secreted from the worm and are plausibly involved in both immunomodulation and feeding. How and where secreted proteins are actually released from adult worms remains a fundamental and unanswered question; they could either be routed via the esophagus and exit via the anus into the intestinal lumen or find their way to the exterior of the worm via the intriguing pores of the bacillary cells that are in direct contact with host cell cytoplasm\textsuperscript{30}. It is also unknown whether feeding actually occurs via the mouth, as Trichuris lacks the muscular pharynx of other nematodes that pump food through the gut. Alternatively, whipworms could both secrete digestive enzymes (together with immunomodulatory molecules) and absorb nutritional molecules via the pores of bacillary cells.

Here we also present the landscape of transcriptomic changes in chronically infected host tissue and suggest aspects of the host immune system that might be involved in reducing pathology. Whether this reduction in pathology is mediated by alternatively activated macrophages, mast cells or other cell populations, determining how the interplay of host and parasite regulates the immune system will also help in gaining a better understanding of diseases such as ulcerative colitis that appear to have much in common with whipworm infection. Intriguingly, the pig whipworm Trichuris suis is licensed as a medicine for treating inflammatory bowel diseases. Comparative immunology of these infections should help in developing more targeted therapies in the future. Finally, this work emphasizes the power of combining genomics and transcriptomics to study an important human pathogen and its well-defined model system in tandem, in not only opening up novel avenues of future therapeutics in human disease but also in providing fundamental biological data toward understanding of the host-parasite relationship.

**URLs.** MEROPS peptidase database, http://merops.sanger.ac.uk/.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

**Accession codes.** The Trichuris genome projects have been registered under the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) project ID numbers PRJEB2108 (T. muris) and PRJEB2679 (T. trichiura). Genomic data and the complete genome annotation are available for download from ftp://ftp.sanger.ac.uk/pub/pathogens/Trichuris/GenomePaper2014. Genome sequencing data have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under sample accession numbers ERS016744, ERS016965, ERS056020 and ERS244696 for T. muris and under sample accession number ERS056020 for T. trichiura. RNA-seq transcriptome data have been deposited in ENA under study accession numbers ERP002000 (T. muris) and ERP002560 (mouse) and in ArrayExpress under study accession numbers E-ERAD-125 (T. muris) and E-ERAD-181 (mouse).

**Note:** Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Methods and materials are described here in brief. For further details, see the Supplementary Note. All animal experiments were performed under the auspices of the University of Manchester ethical review committee and under the UK Home Office Scientific Procedures Act (1986).

Genome sequencing. Whipworm genome sequences are based on a single male individual of *T. trichiura* from Ecuador and a pool of *T. muris* parasites of the Edinburgh strain grown in male athymic nude mice that were 6–12 weeks of age and bred in the Biological Services Unit at the University of Manchester. For *T. trichiura*, the study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the Hospital Pedro Vicente Maldonado, Pichincha Province, and Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador, and included appropriate informed written consent. Genome sequencing was performed using both Illumina (*T. trichiura* and *T. muris*) and 454 (*T. muris*) platforms. To generate separate male and female *T. muris* samples (1 male individual and a pool of 11 females), worms were separated by sex on the basis of size and morphology. Sequenced libraries are listed in Supplementary Table 15.

Genome assembly and improvement. Genome assembly was carried out with SGA (v.0.9.17) and VELVET (v.1.2.03) (*T. trichiura*) as well as Celera v.7.0 (*T. muris*). Misassemblies were identified and corrected using REAPR and manual inspection. Genome assemblies were scaffolded and improved using SSPACE, IMAGE, Gapfiller and (for *T. muris*) by incorporating optical map data with tools from OpGen. For *T. muris*, genome assembly v2.1 was used to predict genes, whereas assembly v4 represents the most recent assembly with the best long-range contiguity for which sequence scaffolds were joined also in cases where the corresponding gaps were too large to be spanned by sequencing reads (larger than ~10 kb) but were confidently spanned by optical map contigs.

Transcriptome sequencing—*T. muris* and mouse. High-throughput transcriptome data were generated from the RNA of *T. muris* and mouse tissue (14 male C57BL/6 mice infected at 6–8 weeks of age). For larval stage and adult whipworms, RNA was prepared using TRIzol and lysing matrix D (1.4-mm ceramic spheres) and a Fastprep24 (MP Biomedicals). Mice had been subjected to a low-dose infection with *T. muris* (25 eggs by oral gavage) or were uninfected, and the sampled tissues included mesenteric lymph node, a section of cecum where the worms reside and—as a control—an uninfected section of cecum. RNA-seq libraries were prepared following the RNA-seq protocols of the Illumina miRNA-Seq Sample Prep kit and the Illumina TrueSeq kit. Transcriptome libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2000 machines and are listed in Supplementary Table 16.

Gene predictions. For *T. muris*, the gene predictor Augustus was trained on a gene training set—derived from CEGLA predictions, Augustus predictions and manual curation—and was run by also providing intron hints on the basis of RNA-seq data. Genes of particular interest and genes that appeared incorrect on the basis of semi-automated screens and manual inspection were manually curated. Likely transposon-related genes were removed from the final gene set. For *T. trichiura*, genes were predicted using a Maker pipeline that incorporated ab initio predictions by Augustus, GeneMark-ES v3.31 v2.3a (self-trained) and SNAP v2.12 2013–02-16 and considered gene models on the basis of comparison with other species.

Functional gene annotation. Functional gene annotation including the assignment of gene product descriptions and GO terms was based on Interpro protein domain analysis and BLAST searches against annotated genomes. Pfam protein domain predictions and GO term assignments as provided by InterproScan are listed in Supplementary Tables 17 and 18. Genes lacking both Pfam domain and BLAST hit were labeled ‘hypothetical protein’. For *T. trichiura*, genes with a one-to-one ortholog in *T. muris*, functional annotation was transferred from the *T. muris* ortholog.

Gene family clustering and phylogenetic analysis. Gene families were determined using orthoMCL v2.0, and one-to-one orthologs were identified with Inparanoid v2.1 and OMA v0.99t. Multiple-sequence alignments were created using MAFFT v.6.857 and GBLOCKS v.0.91b, and phylogenetic trees were constructed with RAxMLP v7.2.8. Sequences to be included in the phylogenetic tree for DNase II were selected on the basis of the presence of the corresponding Interpro protein domain IPR004947.

Chromosome-level analysis. The assignment of scaffolds and genes to chromosomes was performed by first clustering the numbers of one-to-one orthologs in the largest genome assembly scaffolds of *T. muris* and *T. spiralis*, which yielded three distinct ‘linkage groups’. More scaffolds of *T. muris* could then be assigned to linkage groups on the basis of the presence of gene orthologs in comparison to the large *T. spiralis* scaffolds that were already assigned to a linkage group. Sequence read coverage was determined by aligning Illumina data against the relevant genome assembly using SMALT v0.7.4 followed by running the command genomcov of BEDTools v2.17.0. Heterozygosity per 10-kb window of genomic sequence was calculated on the basis of a pileup including base and variant calls that was generated by SAMtools mpileup v0.1.18 from the high-throughput sequence read alignment. Genomic scaffolds representing the X chromosome were identified on the basis of both read coverage and heterozygosity. Mean read coverage over parts of the genome assembly with extraordinarily high read coverage was also used to estimate the real extent of DNA content in the parasite represented by such parts of the assembly. Centromeric sequences were putatively identified on the basis of high read coverage and the length of the underlying repeat units (164 bp to 176 bp).

Gene expression analysis—*T. muris* and mouse. For differential gene expression analysis, paired-end Illumina RNA-seq data were mapped using TopHat v1.4.1 to either the *T. muris* genome v2.1 (for *T. muris* samples) or a combined reference of mouse (mm10) and *T. muris* transcripts (for mouse samples). The number of reads per gene was determined with BEDTools (T. muris) or eXpress (mouse), and analysis of differential expression was carried out using the Bioconductor packages edgeR^33 v3.2.4 (*T. muris*) and DESeq^34 (mouse). GO term enrichment analysis was carried out with TopGO^35 and innateDB^36, and protein domain enrichment analysis was based on the results of Interproscan^37.

Identification of new drug targets. The ranking of all *T. muris* proteins for their suitability as a drug target was based on a number of different types of information: *T. muris* RNA-seq expression data; orthology (as determined by OMA) of *T. muris* protein sequences to those in *T. trichiura*, *C. elegans*, mouse, human and drug targets; protein homolog essentiality in mouse and *C. elegans*; whether a protein was predicted to be an enzyme, on the basis of KEGG orthology; druggability information from ChEMBL; and drug target information from DrugBank and from TTD (Therapeutic Targets Database). Nutraceutical targets were filtered out.

GWAS analysis. A test to identify genes that were both differentially expressed in the cecum of whipworm-infected versus uninfected mice and that were associated with immune-mediated diseases was performed as follows. Mouse genes were filtered for those that had a unique human ortholog, were annotated as protein coding and were located on autosomes. Lists of associated loci from published GWAS were extracted for four immune-mediated complex diseases (Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, celiac disease and type 1 diabetes), as well as for two likely immune-unrelated complex traits (height and body mass index). Testing for enrichment was performed using a Monte Carlo simulation approach that accounts for linkage disequilibrium between associated SNPs and non-random arrangement of functionally related genes within the genome.

52. Simpson, J. T. & Durbin, R. Efficient de novo assembly of large genomes using compressed data structures. *Genome Res.* 22, 549–556 (2012).
53. Zerbino, D. R. & Birney, E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res.* 18, 821–829 (2008).
54. Myers, E. W. et al. A whole-genome assembly of *Drosophila*. *Science* 287, 2196–2204 (2000).
55. Hunt, M. et al. REAPR: a universal tool for genome assembly evaluation. *Genome Biol.* 14, R47 (2013).
56. Boecker, M., Henkel, C. V., Janssen, H. J., Butler, D. & Pirovano, W. Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. *Bioinformatics* 27, 578–579 (2011).
57. Tsai, I.J., Otto, T.D. & Berriman, M. Improving draft assemblies by iterative mapping and assembly of short reads to eliminate gaps. Genome Biol. 11, R41 (2010).

58. Nadalin, F., Vezzi, F. & Policriti, A. GapFiller: a de novo assembly approach to fill the gap within paired reads. BMC Bioinformatics 13 (suppl. 14), S8 (2012).

59. Stanke, M., Schaffmann, O., Morgenstern, B. & Waack, S. Gene prediction in eukaryotes with a generalized hidden Markov model that uses hints from external sources. BMC Bioinformatics 7, 62 (2006).

60. Holt, C. & Yandell, M. MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management tool for second-generation genome projects. BMC Bioinformatics 12, 491 (2011).

61. Ter-Hovhannisyan, V., Lomsadze, A., Chernoff, Y.O. & Borodovsky, M. Gene prediction in novel fungal genomes using an ab initio algorithm with unsupervised training. Genome Res. 18, 1979–1990 (2008).

62. Korf, I. Gene finding in novel genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 5, 59 (2004).

63. Li, L., Stoeckert, C.J. & Roos, D.S. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. Genome Res. 13, 2178–2189 (2003).

64. O’Brien, K.P., Remm, M. & Sonnhammer, E.L. Inparanoid: a comprehensive database of eukaryotic orthology inference among 1000 complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, D476–D480 (2005).

65. Altenhoff, A.M., Schneider, A., Gonnet, G.H. & Dessimoz, C. OMA 2011: orthology inference among 1000 complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, D289-D294 (2011).

66. Katoh, K. & Toh, H. Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. Brief. Bioinform. 9, 286–298 (2008).

67. Castresana, J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17, 540–552 (2000).

68. Stamatakis, A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22, 2688–2690 (2006).

69. Quintan, A.R. & Hall, I.M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26, 841–842 (2010).

70. Li, H. et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079 (2009).

71. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. & Salzberg, S.L. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics 25, 1105–1111 (2009).

72. Roberts, A. & Pachter, L. Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of sequencing experiments. Nat. Methods 10, 71–73 (2013).

73. Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G.K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–140 (2010).

74. Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 11, R106 (2010).

75. Alexa, A., Rahnenfuhrer, J. & Lengauer, T. Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. Bioinformatics 22, 1600–1607 (2006).

76. Lynn, D.J. et al. InnateDB: facilitating systems-level analyses of the mammalian innate immune response. Mol. Syst. Biol. 4, 218 (2008).

77. Quevillon, E. et al. InterProScan: protein domains identifier. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, W116–W120 (2005).