The Association Between H3K4me3 and Antisense Transcription

Peng Cui 1,#, Wanfei Liu 1,3,#, Yuhui Zhao 1,3,#, Qiang Lin 1, Feng Ding 1, Chengqi Xin 1,3, Jianing Geng 1, Shuhui Song 1, Fanglin Sun 2, Songnian Hu 1,* Jun Yu 1,*

1 CAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China
2 Institute of Epigenetics and Cancer Research, School of Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100080, China
3 Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Received 1 May 2012; accepted 13 May 2012
Available online 9 June 2012

Abstract
Histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) is well known to occur in the promoter region of genes for transcription activation. However, when investigating the H3K4me3 profiles in the mouse cerebrum and testis, we discovered that H3K4me3 also has a significant enrichment at the 3′ end of actively transcribed (sense) genes, named as 3′-H3K4me3. 3′-H3K4me3 is associated with ~15% of protein-coding genes in both tissues. In addition, we examined the transcriptional initiation signals including RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) binding sites and 5′-CAGE-tag that marks transcriptional start sites. Interestingly, we found that 3′-H3K4me3 is associated with the initiation of antisense transcription. Furthermore, 3′-H3K4me3 modification levels correlate positively with the antisense expression levels of the associated sense genes, implying that 3′-H3K4me3 is involved in the activation of antisense transcription. Taken together, our findings suggest that H3K4me3 may be involved in the regulation of antisense transcription that initiates from the 3′ end of sense genes. In addition, a positive correlation was also observed between the expression of antisense and the associated sense genes with 3′-H3K4me3 modification. More importantly, we observed the 3′-H3K4me3 enrichment among genes in human, fruitfly and Arabidopsis, and found that the sequences of 3′-H3K4me3-marked regions are highly conserved and essentially indistinguishable from known promoters in vertebrate. Therefore, we speculate that these 3′-H3K4me3-marked regions may serve as potential promoters for antisense transcription and 3′-H3K4me3 appear to be a universal epigenetic feature in eukaryotes. Our results provide a novel insight into the epigenetic roles of H3K4me3 and the regulatory mechanism of antisense transcription.
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Introduction

Histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) is associated with gene activation and therefore it plays key roles in development and differentiation. H3K4me3, catalyzed by trithorax-group (trxG) proteins, activates transcription through the recruitment of nucleosome remodeling complexes and histone-modifying enzymes [1–3]. Genome-wide studies suggest that H3K4me3 often occurs at the 5′ promoter region of actively transcribed genes and associates with RNA polymerase II binding and transcriptional initiation signals [4–8].

Antisense transcription has been suggested to be widespread in mammalian genomes by transcriptomic studies over the past decade or so. In 2005, RIKEN Genome Exploration Research Group first reported that 50–70% of transcription units (TUs) in mouse contain antisense transcripts based on large-scale cDNA sequencing [9]. The fraction has been increased to more than 80% recently based on next-generation sequencing technologies [10–12]. Antisense RNAs have important functional roles in regulating gene expression and chromatin structure in eukaryotic cells, such as functioning in X-chromosome inactivation (Xist and Tsix) [13], genomic imprinting...
detected obvious enrichment of H3K4me3 intervals at the 3′ end of actively transcribed (sense) genes, involving ~15% of the mouse genes (Table S1). We named such a modification as 3′H3K4me3 to differentiate it from the H3K4me3 enrichment at 5′ promoter regions (5′-H3K4me3). To better characterize 3′-H3K4me3, we classified mouse genes into three groups based on the ways they are modified by H3K4me3-5′, which are H3K4me3-marked alone, 3′-H3K4me3-marked (with and without 5′-H3K4me3), and H3K4me3-unmarked. We aligned ChIP-seq reads to the 3′ end of these genes and observed that there is a considerable increase of H3K4me3 density around the 3′ end of 3′-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure 1). The result of read profiling suggested that modified histones are concentrated both upstream and downstream of transcriptional termination sites (TTS), peaking at ~1 kb upstream of TTS.

In the two mouse tissues examined, we identified 2519 genes in total associated with 3′-H3K4me3, of which 31% (785 genes) are shared by both tissues while the remaining is specific to either cerebrum or testis (Figure 2A). The majority of the 785 shared genes are primarily involved in the regulation of transcription, metabolic processes and biosynthesis, which are all essential cellular functions (Table S2). In contrast, the tissue-specific genes are related to development. For example, 689 genes that show 3′-H3K4me3 in cerebrum only are associated with cerebrum-specific expression (Table S3), and most of them are involved in neuron differentiation, axonogenesis, channel activity, and cell morphogenesis (Table S4). Similarly, 1045 genes that show testis-specific 3′-H3K4me3 exhibit testis-specific expression (Table S5), and are enriched in cell- and tissue-development related functions and transcription (Table S6), such as transcription factor activity, transcriptional regulation, endocrine system, cell fate commitment, dorsal/ventral pattern formation and gland development. For instance, Wnt1 [22] (cerebrum-specific) and Stox1 [23] (testis-specific) is involved in the Wnt signaling

Figure 1  The enrichment of H3K4me3 at the 3′ end of sense genes
H3K4me3 profiles around transcription termination sites (TTS) of actively transcribed (sense) genes in the mouse cerebrum and testis were obtained from ChIP-seq data. Mouse genes are classified into three groups based on their statuses of H3K4me3: 3′-H3K4me3-marked (including those modified at both 5′ and 3′, and 3′ alone), 5′-H3K4me3-marked (modified at 5′ alone), and H3K4me3-unmarked genes. The tag density of H3K4me3 was plotted within the regions 5 kb upstream and downstream of TTS. H3K4me3 is significantly enriched around TTS of 3′-H3K4me3-marked genes but relatively low in genes that are not marked.
pathway and preeclampsia controlling polypoidization of extravillous trophoblast cells, respectively (Figure 2B). Both genes show tissue-specific 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3. These results suggest that 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 is essentially tissue-specific and most likely associated with tissue-specific development.

We extended the survey to other eukaryotes, such as human [5], fruitfly (Drosophila) (Sun et al., personal communication), and Arabidopsis [24]. We consistently observed the 3\(^{\prime}\) end enrichment of H3K4me3 among their genes (Table S7–S9). Moreover, most 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3-associated genes are enriched among genes for transcription related function, metabolic/biosynthetic processes, and tissue development in human (Table S10), cytosolic ribosomal, enzyme and cytoskeleton in fly (Table S11), and response to stimulus, transcription, gene silencing, and nucleosome assembly in Arabidopsis (Table S12), respectively. Therefore, we speculate that 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 appear to be a universal epigenetic feature in eukaryotes.

**The 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 association with antisense transcriptional initiation**

Since 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 is associated with RNAPII binding and transcriptional activation, we were curious about whether 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 has a similar function in regulating transcription. To test this possibility, we first investigated the binding of RNAPII around TTS using ChiP-seq (RNAPII) data of mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) [4]. We observed an obvious enrichment of RNAPII at TTS of 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure 3A) but such an enrichment is absent for genes without 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3. Moreover, we found that 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 levels are positively correlated with levels of RNAPII binding within ±2 kb regions of TTS according to the correlation coefficient (Figure 3B). The RNAPII enrichment upstream and downstream of TTS correlates with the presence of 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 signals, which suggests that 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 may be able to positively regulate RNA-PPI binding at the 3\(^{\prime}\) end of the sense genes.

We next obtained 5\(^{\prime}\)-CAGE-tags (generated by capturing the 7-methylguanosine cap at the 5\(^{\prime}\) end of RNAPII transcripts in the Fantom3 project from mouse multiple tissues) that mark transcriptional start sites (TSS) and examined their distribution around TTS [25]. We found a noticeable enrichment of 5\(^{\prime}\)-CAGE-tags (relative to sense genes) provides direct evidence to support antisense transcriptional initiation from the TTS in 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure 3C). In contrast, the tag coverage was relatively poor for genes without 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3. The enrichment of 5\(^{\prime}\)-CAGE-tags (relative to sense genes) provides direct evidence to support antisense transcriptional initiation from the 3\(^{\prime}\) end of 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3-marked genes. Furthermore, we found that the density of 5\(^{\prime}\)-CAGE-tags in the antisense direction from TTS is positively correlated with 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 levels. These results suggest that 3\(^{\prime}\)-H3K4me3 may be directly involved in controlling antisense transcriptional initiation (Figure 3D).
Figure 3  Biological features associated with 3'-H3K4me3 in the two tissues
(A) RNAPII binding profiles around the TTS of sense genes. RNAPII data is from mouse embryonic stem cells. The tag density of RNAPII binding was plotted within the 5 kb regions upstream and downstream of TTS. RNAPII binding shows obvious enrichment around TTS of the 3'-H3K4me3-marked genes but is relatively low in 3'-H3K4me3-unmarked genes. (B) The correlation between 3'-H3K4me3 and RNAPII binding. Among 3'-H3K4me3-marked genes, 3'-H3K4me3 levels are positively correlated with the density of RNAPII binding. (C) 5'-CAGE-tag profiles around TTS of sense genes. 5'-CAGE tags data is from multiple mouse tissues published by the Fantom3 project. Antisense 5'-CAGE-tag profiles were plotted within the regions 5 kb upstream and downstream of TTS. 5'-CAGE-tags show obvious enrichment around TTS of 3'-H3K4me3-marked genes but are relatively low in 3'-H3K4me3-unmarked genes. (D) The correlation between 3'-H3K4me3 and 5'-CAGE-tag density. Among 3'-H3K4me3-marked genes, 3'-H3K4me3 levels show positive correlation with 5'-CAGE-tag density. (E) Sequence conservation of 3'-H3K4me3-marked regions. Cumulative distribution of sequence conservation values are shown across mammals for the known promoters (5' promoter), 3'-H3K4me3-marked regions (3' promoter), and intergenic regions (intergenic). The conservative values are derived from an alignment of 29 vertebrate genomes to the mouse genome.
Since 3’-H3K4me3 is associated with antisense transcriptional initiation, we speculated that 3’-H3K4me3-marked regions may serve as potential promoters for antisense transcription. We further investigated sequence conservation for potential promoters using conservation scores from an alignment of 29 vertebrate genomes to the mouse genome, and found that these potential promoter sequences are highly conserved and essentially indistinguishable from known promoters (Figure 3E). This evolutionary conservation indicates that these potential promoters may undergo strong selection to maintain their functional roles.

We also examined the tag distribution of antisense transcripts across sense genes. We found that antisense transcripts show more pronounced tag distribution within gene body regions but not outside the 5’ and 3’ boundaries among 3’-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure S1). This phenomenon is more obvious for genes that only have 3’-H3K4me3 but do not have 5’-H3K4me3 (3’-H3K4me3 alone, ~2% of total genes). These clear boundaries and the distribution of antisense transcripts for genes with 3’-H3K4me3 only further supported the idea that antisense transcription may be initiated from the 3’ end of sense genes.

The correlation between 3’-H3K4me3 and antisense transcriptional level

Since 3’-H3K4me3 is associated with antisense transcriptional initiation, we investigated the relationship between 3’-H3K4me3 and antisense expression level of the associated sense genes. We obtained strand-specific gene expression profiles from the mouse cerebrum and testis using the RNA-seq method. We measured the antisense expression levels by calculating the density of uniquely-mapped reads as “reads per kilobase of gene model per million mapped reads” (RPKM). We found that 3’-H3K4me3-marked genes have much higher antisense transcription activity than those without 3’-H3K4me3 (Figure 4A). Moreover, there is a positive correlation between the levels of antisense expression and 3’-H3K4me3 among 3’-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure 4B). Genes with higher 3’-H3K4me3 levels show significantly higher antisense expression.

The correlation between sense and antisense expression

To investigate the potential role of antisense activation, we investigated the relationship between sense and antisense expression...
expression among 3′-H3K4me3-marked genes. We found that there is a positive correlation between sense and antisense expression among 3′-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure 5). This result suggested that antisense activation might play a role in promoting sense expression of the associated genes, which is also consistent with previous reports that expression levels of antisense transcripts can be positively correlated with their sense transcripts [9,26].

Discussion

In this study, we discovered that H3K4me3, which is highly represented in promoter regions, tends to occur at the 3′ end of actively transcribed (sense) genes. Modified histones are concentrated upstream and downstream of transcriptional termination sites (peaking ~1 kb upstream of TTS). Such 3′ end enrichment of H3K4me3 is observed not only in the mouse, but also in the human, Drosophila, and Arabidopsis, suggesting that it represents a universal epigenetic feature of eukaryotes. Further analyzes suggest that 3′-H3K4me3 is associated with antisense transcriptional initiation and activation. Therefore, we hypothesize that 3′-H3K4me3 plays a key role in the regulation of antisense transcription.

Antisense transcription initiating at the end of genes is an important molecular process. In fact, by examining the distribution of antisense transcripts across genes, we found that antisense transcripts show more pronounced tag density within body regions but not outside the 5′ and 3′ boundaries among 3′-H3K4me3-marked genes (Figure S1). The phenomenon is more obvious for genes that only have 3′-H3K4me3 but do not have 5′-H3K4me3. Since 5′-H3K4me3 is often associated with the enrichment of promoter-associated small RNAs [27–29], a clear boundary of antisense transcripts may not be observed at the 5′ end if the genes have 5′-H3K4me3. These clear boundaries and the distribution of antisense transcripts for genes with only 3′-H3K4me3 further supported the idea that antisense transcription may be initiated from the 3′ end of sense genes. Moreover, this result implies that antisense transcription may be elongated and eventually terminated at their 5′ end. However, there are two concerns about this implication. First, the enrichment of antisense transcripts within gene body regions and the weak signals beyond the 5′ and 3′ gene boundaries seem to contradict previous reports that antisense transcripts are enriched in both promoter and terminal regions of the sense genes [27–32]. The promoter-and terminus-associated antisense transcripts belong to a small RNA class [31,32]. For promoter-associated antisense RNAs that have been well-characterized, they are thought to be produced by divergent transcriptional activity, and overlap with paused RNA polymerase II and active chromatin marks, such as H3K4me3, and the observation suggested a role for local RNA accumulation in maintaining the dynamic chromatin state that is required for promoter activity [29,30]. In fact, the enrichment of promoter-associated antisense RNAs can be found in the present datasets, and is also well associated with genes possessing 5′-H3K4me3. However, the presence of these antisense RNAs leads to difficulty in observing enrichment of antisense transcripts within gene body regions. Therefore, our finding is consistent with previous studies, but also indicates that antisense transcripts are indeed enriched across gene body regions. The second concern is that the enrichment of antisense transcripts within the gene body is obvious in mouse testis, but unclear in the cerebrum. Currently, we speculate that this inconsistency may be tissue-specific. In addition, some data used for this analysis are not from the mouse cerebrum and testis, such as RNAPII binding data from ESCs and 5′-CAGE-tags from multiple mouse tissues. Since these biological processes are expected to vary among cell types or tissues, it is reasonable to expect that there are discrepancies between our calculations and those made from the same cell type or tissue. The finding reported here should therefore be considered as a lower bound of the actual results.

Conclusion

In summary, based on an integrated analysis of chromatin signatures, including H3K4me3, antisense RNA profiling,
RNAPII binding, and 5'-CAGE-tagging in mouse tissues, we proposed that H3K4me3 is involved in the regulation of antisense transcription initiated from the 3' end of the sense genes. This study significantly advances our understanding of the molecular processes of antisense transcription and its epigenetic regulation.

Materials and methods

Datasets

We obtained genome-wide profiles of H3K4me3 and pan-H3 based on ChiP-seq data and defined sense and antisense expression based on rmRNA-seq data for the cerebrum and testis. Both datasets were generated in our lab that can be publicly available at the NCBI (SRA009022, SRA010955 and SRA039962). We collected ChiP-seq data for RNAPII from mouse embryonic stem cells (ftp://ftp.broad.mit.edu/pub/papers/chipseq/) and 5'-CAGE tags from multiple mouse tissues published by the Fantom3 project (http://www.fantom3.gsc.riken.jp/db/). In addition, we obtained the H3K4me3 profiles of human T cells from a public release (http://www.fantom3.gsc.riken.jp/db/). In addition, we obtained the H3K4me3 profiles of human T cells from a public release (http://www.fantom3.gsc.riken.jp/db/). In addition, we obtained the H3K4me3 profiles of human T cells from a public release (http://www.fantom3.gsc.riken.jp/db/).

Identification of H3K4me3-enriched intervals

We defined H3K4me3-enriched intervals using the SICER program (v1.03) [33]. We ran this program with a control library (pan-H3). Significant islands were found with a window and gap sizes of 200 bp as well as 1E-3 for False Discovery Rate (FDR). We also used the default parameters for other organisms (Human, fruitfly, and Arabidopsis) to define H3K4me3-enriched intervals with or without control libraries (200-bp window, 600-bp gap, and 1E-3 FDR for samples with control libraries; 200-bp window, 400-bp gap, and 1E-2 FDR for samples without control libraries).

Definition of 3'-H3K4me3 and 5'-H3K4me3

Initially, we obtained transcription start sites and transcription termination sites based on full-length transcripts deposited in RefSeq. 3'-H3K4me3 was defined as H3K4me3-enriched intervals overlapping with downstream TTS of sense genes (2 kb for mouse and human; 200 bp for Drosophila and Arabidopsis). To make sure that 3'-H3K4me3 does not overlap with flanking genes, we removed genes whose minimal distances between the two flanking genes are less than 4 kb (mouse and human) or 400 bp (Drosophila and Arabidopsis). 5'-H3K4me3 was defined as H3K4me3-enriched intervals overlapping with the upstream TSS regions of sense genes (2 kb for mouse and human; 200 bp for fruitfly and Arabidopsis).

Strand specificity of rmRNA-seq data

We used our rmRNA-seq data to define antisense expression in the mouse testis. We evaluated the strand specificity of rmRNA-seq data using the SOLiD sequencing platform and based on the fact that antisense transcripts undergo fewer splicing events. By mapping the reads to an exon-exon junction database, we verified that ~99.99% of the junction reads were in the sense orientation in both tissues and the result suggested that rmRNA-seq data can be used to determine directionality of the transcripts. The exon-junction database was constructed by extracting 25 nt donor and 25 nt acceptor sequences from all possible exon–exon junction combinations based on RefSeq gene annotation [20]. The junction database not only includes normal junction sequences but also possible exon-exon junction sequences due to exon-skipping events. Furthermore, we estimated the percentage of sense and antisense reads within exon regions, and found that ~98% reads are attributable to sense exons and the rest (~2%) belong to antisense exons. Since antisense transcripts show much lower tag abundance than sense transcripts, this result is also useful for determining the directionality of transcripts based on rmRNA-seq data.

Sense and antisense expression levels

Sense and antisense expression levels were measured by calculating the density of uniquely-mapped reads as “reads per kilobase of gene model per million mapped reads” (RPKM) [12]. To be more accurate, we removed reads within 1 kb regions downstream of TSS for antisense expression since they are associated with divergent transcription from TSS and are highly abundant.

Modification level of RNAPII and 5'-CAGE

The level of RNAPII binding and 5'-CAGE-tag coverage around the 3' end of genes were measured by counting the number of reads within the vicinity of upstream and downstream 2 kb sequences centered at TTS. Moreover, we normalized modification level by calculating RPKM for target regions.

Conservation of 3'-H3K4me3-associated promoters

To estimate the conservation of 3'-H3K4me3-associated promoters, we used conservative scores derived from an alignment of 29 vertebrate genomes to mouse genome from the UCSC database [34]. We calculated maximal scores in a 12 bp window and a step length of 1 bp for every 3'-H3K4me3-associated promoter (from TTS of a sense gene to 2 kb downstream), 5' promoter (2 kb upstream from TSS of a sense gene), and random intergenic region (2 kb length).
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