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Abstract

Water is essential to life. The existence of all forms of life is dependent on an adequate water supply. The exigent need for water supply in homes prompted the construction of water sources and water storage devices in the homes. This however does not guarantee that the water is safe to drink. If the water is safe at the source, it may be contaminated during transportation storage and drawing at home. This study was carried out to determine the microbial counts, antibiotics susceptibility and plasmid profile of bacteria isolates from household water distribution tanks in the Ado-Ekiti metropolis. The total bacteria and coliform counts were determined using the pour plating technique. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the isolates was determined using the disc diffusion technique while the plasmid profile of the isolates was determined using the alkaline lysis method and agar gel electrophoresis. The mean total bacteria count of the water sample was 6.96 log10 CFU/ml, while the mean total of coliform count is 5.50 log10 CFU/ml. The isolates with multiple antibiotics resistance belonged to five bacteria genera namely: Escherichia, Pseudomonas, Klebsiella, Enterobacter and Proteus. The plasmid analysis showed that four of the resistant strains had multiple plasmids, Enterobacter aerogens had 3 plasmids (1kb, 1.5kb and 2kb), Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella aerogens had two plasmids (1kb, 1.5kb) respectively while Proteus vulgaris and Escherichia coli had no plasmid.
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INTRODUCTION

Water is the most essential commodity for human consumption. Adequate supply of potable water is essential for the well-being of all people around the world\(^1\)–\(^2\). Human activities such as agriculture, trading, industries cannot function properly without adequate water supply.

The two most common sources of drinking water are surface water and ground water\(^3\)–\(^4\). Rural communities in Nigeria usually source their water for drinking and domestic purposes from streams and well while those in urban areas source water from well, boreholes and water distribution centers. Due to the inevitable importance of water and scarcity especially during dry season, they store water in buckets, drums, basins and tanks for easy accessibility. Meanwhile, water from these sources have been reported to contain certain pathogens and other contaminants which compromise the aesthetic and microbial quality of the water\(^5\)–\(^7\).

Most homes of middle income earners in Ado-Ekiti pump their water from the source (well, borehole) and store in storage tanks. The tank is piped to all sections of the house for convenience and easy accessibility to water when needed. It is assumed that over time, organic particulates and microorganisms in the water will settle via sedimentation. The pipes also can be coated with organic matter which may serve as nutrient for the growth of microorganisms.

Regular washing and disinfection of tanks, inspection of pipes for leakages, semi-annual testing of water for total coliforms and faecal coliforms which are means of accessing the quality of water are not practiced in the homes. Most of them are usually carried away by the comfort of easy and regular accessibility to water and forget to wash the tanks and take other precaution measures.

Inadequate storage conditions and vulnerable water storage containers have been documented as factors contributing to increased microbial contamination of household water\(^6\)–\(^9\). Increased risks of waterborne diseases from inadequately stored water compared to water stored in an improved vessel have also been reported\(^10\). Loss of disinfectant residual, bacteria re-growth, poor turn over and excessive detention time are the common problems in storage tanks and reservoirs\(^11\).

The deterioration of water quality as a result of anthropogenic activities, indiscriminate discharge of wastes has been reported\(^12\)–\(^14\). Polluted water has been identified as the major cause of water borne disease and epidemics looming the developed and developing countries\(^15\). Waterborne diseases represent major health problem in many parts of the world and reported to cause about 842,000 diarrhoea deaths per year 16. Many diseases such as Cholera, typhoid fever, bacillary dysentery, and others can be transmitted through this route\(^16\). Bacteria genera commonly isolated from water includes; Enterobacter, coliforms and Escherichia coli\(^17\). Their ability to resist the inhibitory effect of antibiotics is of great public health concern.

Microbial contamination of drinking water remains a significant threat to living organisms and therefore constant vigilance is essential because many pathogens can be transmitted through the supply of water\(^18\). Hence, this study aimed at determining the microbial quality of water from household water distribution tanks in Ado-Ekiti metropolis. This will provide baseline information on the quality of the water and create awareness for prevention of waterborne diseases.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection of Samples

Water samples were collected in sterile sample bottles from household distribution tanks from ten different locations in Ado-Ekiti metropolis (7°37'16“ N5°12'17” E). The samples were transported in ice packs to the laboratory for immediate microbiological analyses.

Isolation and Identification of Isolates

Total heterotrophic bacteria and coliforms were isolated from the samples using ten-fold serial dilution and pour plate method as described by Oluvege\(^6\). Pure culture was stored on nutrient agar slants and stored at 4°C. The isolates were subjected to morphological and biochemical tests such as Gram staining, motility test, catalase test, coagulase test, oxidase test, indole test, citrate test, urease test, methyl red test and their identities were determined according to Bergey’s Manual of Determinative Bacteriology\(^19\).

Antibiotic Susceptibility test of the Isolates

The antibiotics susceptibility of the isolates was determined by the disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hilton agar according to Cheesbrough\(^20\). The isolates were tested against ten ABTEK antibiotic discs which comprised of ceftazidine (CAZ) 30µg, tarivid (10µg), gentamycin (GEN) 10µg, Septrim (30µg), ofloxacin (OFL) 5µg, augmentin (AUG) 30µg, ofloxacin (OFL) 5µg, ciprofloxacin (CPR) 5µg, Perfloxacin (5µg) and Sparfloxacin (10µg). The inoculums were standardized by adjusting their densities to the turbidity of a Barium sulphate (BaSO\(_4\)) (0.5 McFarland turbidity standard). One milliliter of each of the standardized broth cultures of the test isolates were swab on the Mueller Hinton agar plates, the antibiotic discs were placed firmly on solidified plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Un-inoculated agar plates with antibiotics served as the
The diameter of the zone of growth inhibition was measured to the nearest whole millimeter and interpreted on the basis of CLSI guideline.21

Plasmid Profiling of Antibiotic-resistant Isolates

Plasmid analysis was performed on representative isolates selected on the basis of their antibiotic resistance phenotypes.

Extraction of Plasmid

Plasmid extraction was carried out using Fast and Easy Plasmid Mini-prep Kit as described by Olowomofe.22,23. The extracted plasmid DNA was separated using agarose gel electrophoresis. The plasmid DNA was loaded into pre-cast wells in the gel and electric current (100V) was applied for 1 hour. The agarose gel was stained with 0.5ug/ml ethidium bromide for 20 minutes and visualized by UV-trans illumination according to Robins-Browne.24

Statistical analysis

Standard deviation of the mean of data obtained from this study were determined using 2010 Microsoft Excel.

REsUlTs

Water storage and sanitation practices

A total of fifteen households were examined in the study. Sixty percent sourced their water from well while remaining forty percent sourced water from borehole. Forty-seven percent of the households do not engage in regular cleaning of their water tanks while fifty-three percent clean their tanks once in a year. Water guard and chlorination were the methods of disinfection used in these households. Sixty percent of the households had their septic tanks in less than 50 feet to their water source while the septic tanks and water source of the remaining forty percent were 50 to 100ft apart (Table 1).

Mean total bacteria and total coliforms counts

The enumeration of heterotrophic and coliforms in water samples from household water distribution tank in Ado-Ekiti is shown in Table 2. Substantial count of bacteria was recovered from water samples from all the household examined. The mean total bacteria count and total coliforms were 6.96 CFU/ml and 5.50 CFU/ml respectively.

Based on the cultural and biochemical characteristics, the most frequent bacteria isolated from household water distribution tanks were Escherichia coli (20%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (14%), Proteus vulgaris (16%), Klebsiella aerogenes (19%), Enterobacter aerogenes (9%), Serratia marcescens (8%), Bacillus sp. (8%) and Enterococcus sp. (6%) as indicated in Table 3.

Antibiotics susceptibility pattern of the isolates

The antibiotics susceptibility test of the bacteria isolates reflects variation in their response to the different antibiotics examined as shown in Table 4. Their average percentage resistances to antibiotics are as follows: Amoxyllin (92%), Gentamycin (81%), Augmentin (80%), Sparfloxacn (78%), Chloraphenicol (75%), Perfoxacin (29%), Ofloxacin (24%), Streptomycin (53%), Cotrimoxazole (42%), and Ciprofloxacin (46%).

The multiple antibiotics resistant pattern of the bacteria isolates is shown in Table 5. The isolates displayed different resistance pattern to the antibiotics,

| Households | Total Bacteria Count (Log_{10} CFU/ml) | Total Coliform Count (Log_{10} CFU/ml) |
|------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| A          | 8.17±0.05                              | 6.35±0.07                              |
| B          | 7.45±1.25                              | 5.52±0.02                              |
| C          | 7.31±0.08                              | 7.60±1.21                              |
| D          | 5.10±0.05                              | 4.73±0.08                              |
| E          | 6.71±0.06                              | 4.84±0.02                              |
| F          | 7.35±1.05                              | 5.18±1.12                              |
| G          | 6.84±0.02                              | 4.02±1.05                              |
| I          | 8.25±0.05                              | 5.45±0.07                              |
| J          | 6.16±1.08                              | 4.23±0.04                              |
| K          | 7.08±0.08                              | 6.22±0.07                              |
| L          | 5.32±0.03                              | 4.14±1.21                              |
| M          | 8.01±1.23                              | 6.68±1.05                              |
| N          | 7.79±1.06                              | 7.23±1.11                              |
| O          | 7.15±1.03                              | 6.30±1.31                              |
| P          | 5.89±0.04                              | 4.10±0.04                              |
| Mean       | 6.96                                   | 5.50                                   |

Values are the mean and standard deviation of three replicates control. The diameter of the zone of growth inhibition was measured to the nearest whole millimeter and interpreted on the basis of CLSI guideline.25

Plasmid Profiling of Antibiotic-resistant Isolates

Plasmid analysis was performed on representative isolates selected on the basis of their antibiotic resistance phenotypes.

Extraction of Plasmid

Plasmid extraction was carried out using Fast and Easy Plasmid Mini-prep Kit as described by Olowomofe.22,23. The extracted plasmid DNA was separated using agarose gel electrophoresis. The plasmid DNA was loaded into pre-cast wells in the gel and electric current (100V) was applied for 1 hour. The agarose gel was stained with 0.5ug/ml ethidium bromide for 20 minutes and visualized by UV-trans illumination according to Robins-Browne.24

Statistical analysis

Standard deviation of the mean of data obtained from this study were determined using 2010 Microsoft Excel.
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli and Klebsiella aerogenes were resistant to 70% of the antibiotics examined, while Enterobacter aerogenes, Serratia marcescens and Proteus vulgaris showed resistance to 60% of the antibiotics and Bacillus sp. was resistant to 40%.

Plasmid profiling of the isolates

The plasmid profile of the isolates with multiple antibiotic resistance is shown in Figure 1. The result showed that four out of the resistant strains harbored multiple plasmids, Escherichia coli had three plasmids (1kb, 1.5kb and 2kb), Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella aerogenes and Enterobacter aerogenes had two plasmids with 1kb, 1.5kb each while Proteus vulgaris and Serratia marcescens had no plasmid.

DISCUSSION

The numerous reports about the occurrence of pathogenic microorganisms in drinking water, their ability to resist antibiotics and associated diseases prompted this study, to access the microbial quality of water in storage tanks which serve as drinking and other domestic purpose for majority of homes in Ado-Ekiti.

Total bacteria and total coliform count of all the water samples analyzed in this study revealed high microbial contamination of the water (Table 2). The limit of <500 CFU/ml of heterotrophic bacteria and zero coliform or E. coli per 100ml of water as stipulated by WHO, USEPA, ISI 25,18 was exceeded in all the samples. Non-conformity of these water samples to the WHO standard decreased the water quality and renders them unfit for human consumption. Previous researches on microbial assessment of drinking water sources have also reported high heterotrophic bacteria and coliform counts in different water sources and many isolates.

| Isolates | Percentage of occurrence (%) |
|----------|------------------------------|
| Escherichia coli | 20 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 14 |
| Proteus vulgaris | 16 |
| Klebsiella aerogenes | 19 |
| Enterobacter aerogenes | 9 |
| Serratia marcescens | 8 |
| Bacillus sp | 8 |
| Enterococcus sp | 6 |
| Total | 100 |

Table 3. Percentage Occurrence of Bacteria isolated from Household Water Distribution Tanks in Ado-Ekiti

Table 4. Antibiotics Resistance Pattern of Bacteria isolated from Household Water Distribution Tanks in Ado-Ekiti

| No. | Isolates                  | AM  | AU  | CN  | PEF | OFX | S   | COT | CHL | SP  | CPX |
|-----|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n= 12) | 100 | 100 | 92  | 28  | 32  | 98  | 20  | 100 | 100 | 78  |
| 2   | Proteus vulgaris (n= 10) | 100 | 100 | 75  | 20  | 20  | 50  | 35  | 30  | 98  | 50  |
| 3   | Escherichia coli (n= 15) | 100 | 92  | 80  | 25  | 30  | 70  | 45  | 50  | 100 | 45  |
| 4   | Klebsiella aerogenes (n=10 ) | 98  | 72  | 98  | 38  | 28  | 65  | 58  | 100 | 100 | 35  |
| 5   | Enterobacter aerogenes (n=10) | 92  | 62  | 75  | 35  | 22  | 45  | 50  | 98  | 50  | 30  |
| 6   | Serratia marcescens (n=8) | 90  | 75  | 80  | 23  | 15  | 35  | 50  | 58  | 65  | 35  |
| 7   | Bacillus sp (n= 5) | 75  | 68  | 72  | 25  | 25  | 45  | 50  | 100 | 65  | 50  |
| 8   | Enterococcus sp (n=7 ) | 80  | 70  | 75  | 35  | 25  | 30  | 32  | 62  | 45  | 45  |
| Average resistance | 92  | 80  | 81  | 29  | 24  | 53  | 42  | 75  | 78  | 46  |

Key: AMX – Amoxycilin, CPX- Ciprofloxacin, OFL- Ofloxacin, CHL- Chloraphenicol, SP- Sparfloxacin, PEF- Perflaxcin, COT- Cotrimoxazole, S- Streptomycin, CN- Gentamycin, AU- Augmentin.
The presence of Escherichia coli in the water is an indication that the household water has been faecally polluted. It also correlates with past studies which reported Escherichia coli as an organism that is commonly encountered in different water sources such as rivers, streams, rain water, well water, underground water and even pipe borne water. Sixty percent of the households examined in this study sourced their water from well while the remaining 40% source their water from borehole. However, some bacteria have developed mechanisms for resisting the inhibitory effect of this group of antimicrobial agents. Some bacteria build living wall of microorganisms that shield them from and contribute to Amoxyllin (92%), Gentamycin (81%), Augmentin (80%), Sparfloxacinc (78%), and Chloraphenicol (75%). These antibiotics are the commonly prescribed drugs for people diagnosed with water related or other infections. Resistance of these bacteria to the antibiotics used. Also, accumulation of organic matter in the tanks and pipes over time could enhance the growth of these bacteria since the households do not practice regular washing and disinfection of the water and the tanks which could have removed these contaminants. Once the water in the tank is exhausted, they immediately pump another water and over time, the tank become heavily contaminated with organic and microbial contaminants.

The presence of these microbes in the water can present serious wellbeing dangers to consumers in general especially the immunocompromised individuals when the water is distributed.

Table 5. Multiple antibiotic resistance patterns of bacteria isolates from Household Water Distribution Tanks in Ado-Ekiti

| No. | Isolates                  | Resistotype                                      |
|-----|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1.  | Pseudomonas aeruginosa    | AM-AU-CN-S-CH-SP-CPX                            |
| 2.  | Proteus vulgaris          | AM-AU-CN-S-SP-CPX                               |
| 3.  | Escherichia coli          | AM-AU-CN-S-CH-SP-CPX                            |
| 4.  | Klebsiella aerogens       | AM-AU-CN-S-CH-SP-CPX                            |
| 5.  | Enterobacter aerogenes    | AM-AU-CN-S-CH-SP-CPX                            |
| 6.  | Serratia marcescens       | AM-AU-CN-S-CH-SP-CPX                            |
| 7.  | Bacillus sp               | AM-AU-CN-S-CH-SP-CPX                            |

Table 6. Plasmid profiling of the multiple resistant Bacteria isolated from Household water Distribution Tanks in Ado-Ekiti

| Isolates            | Number Of Plasmid | Molecular Weight |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Proteus vulgaris    | Nil               | Nil              |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 2                | 1kb, 1.5kb       |
| Escherichia coli    | 3                 | 1kb, 1.5kb, 2kb  |
| Enterobacter aerogenes | 2                | 1kb, 1.5kb       |
| Serratia marcescens | Nil               | Nil              |
| Klebsiella aerogenes| 2                 | 1kb, 1.5kb       |

of these water sources exceeded the permissible limits for quality water. Eight bacteria genera recovered from the water samples: Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris, Klebsiella aerogens, Enterobacter aerogenes, Serratia marcescens, Bacillus sp. and Enterococcus sp. have been isolated from different water sources. The presence of Escherichia coli in the water is an indication that the household water has been faecally polluted. It also correlates with past studies which reported Escherichia coli as an organism that is commonly encountered in different water sources such as rivers, streams, rain water, well water, underground water and even pipe borne water. Sixty percent of the households examined in this study sourced their water from well while the remaining 40% source their water from borehole. However, some bacteria have developed mechanisms for resisting the inhibitory effect of this group of antimicrobial agents. Some bacteria build living wall of microorganisms that shield them from and contribute to Amoxyllin (92%), Gentamycin (81%), Augmentin (80%), Sparfloxacinc (78%), and Chloraphenicol (75%). These antibiotics are the commonly prescribed drugs for people diagnosed with water related or other infections. Resistance of these bacteria to the antibiotics used. Also, accumulation of organic matter in the tanks and pipes over time could enhance the growth of these bacteria since the households do not practice regular washing and disinfection of the water and the tanks which could have removed these contaminants. Once the water in the tank is exhausted, they immediately pump another water and over time, the tank become heavily contaminated with organic and microbial contaminants.

The presence of these microbes in the water can present serious wellbeing dangers to consumers in general especially the immunocompromised individuals when the water is distributed.

Water borne diseases are usually combated with antibiotics. Due to their potency, they have gained global recognition as agents for treating infections. However, some bacteria have developed mechanisms of resisting the inhibitory effect of this group of antimicrobial agents. Some bacteria build living wall in response to exposure to antibiotics creating a physical barrier that shield them from and contribute further to the growing problems of drug resistant infection. Bacteria isolated in this study exhibited similar characteristics of resisting multiple antibiotics examined. Previous studies accounted for the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in surface and ground waters.
isolates also displayed resistance to multiple antibiotics (4 to 7). Bacteria from these genera have been reported to possess multidrug resistance34, 35.

Six (6) multi-drug resistant bacteria isolated from household water samples were analyzed for plasmid out of which 4 harbored more than one plasmid. This conforms with the findings of Atuanya40 who isolated 45 antibiotics resistant bacteria from water samples and detected 31 plasmids in 14 of 45 antibiotics resistant strains with 10 carrying multiple plasmids. All the four (4) isolates containing the plasmids were resistant to Amoxyllin. The result of this study was in accordance with Ash41 who studied antibiotics resistance of gram negative bacteria from ground water in the United States and showed that their resistance to Augmentin, Amoxyllin and Erythromycin were plasmid mediated. The plasmids isolated were between the ranges of 1kb-2kb which was similar to the observation of Smith44.

Plasmids are double stranded extra-chromosomal genetic elements which reproduce autonomously. They have been recognized in numerous microbes however, they are some of the time found in euakaryotic cells45. It is notable that plasmids are quite possibly one of the most important facilitating agents in the fast spreading of antibiotics resistance among bacteria46. The microbial resistance genes frequently carried on plasmids have the ability to replicate and possibly the potential for self-transmission.

The incidence of plasmids among bacteria with multiple resistance to antibiotics in this study is alarming because plasmids have been identified as one of such movable elements through which resistance and foreign genes are being transmitted in niches47, 48. Genes that influence bacterial virulence are also frequently found on plasmids. Consequently, non-pathogenic and antibiotic susceptible bacteria can become pathogenic and resistant to antibiotics over time as a result of transmission of plasmids. This pose a health threat to the consumers because pathogenic bacteria from water sources have been identified as etiological agents of water borne diseases.

CONCLUSION
This study revealed water from household distribution tanks analyzed exceeded the permissible limits for coliforms and heterotrophic bacteria counts. Bacteria from the water samples were resistant to multiple antibiotics and the resistant strains had plasmids which could spread the resistance ability to non-resistant strains. The findings showed the water were contaminated and unfit for consumption. There is therefore need for regular washing of water storage tanks and routine disinfection of stored water to avert outbreak of waterborne diseases.
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