Renin Inhibition with Aliskiren: A Decade of Clinical Experience
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Abstract: The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) plays a key role in the pathophysiology of arterial hypertension as well as in more complex mechanisms of cardiovascular and renal diseases. RAAS-blocking agents like angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers, have long been key components in the treatment of essential hypertension, heart failure, diabetic nephropathy, and chronic kidney disease, showing benefits well beyond blood pressure reduction. Renin blockade as the first step of the RAAS cascade finally became possible in 2007 with the approval of aliskiren, the first orally active direct renin inhibitor available for clinical use and the newest antihypertensive agent on the market. In the last decade, many clinical trials and meta-analyses have been conducted concerning the efficacy and safety of aliskiren in comparison to other antihypertensive agents, as well as the efficacy and potential clinical use of various combinations. Large trials with cardiovascular and renal endpoints attempted to show potential benefits of aliskiren beyond blood pressure lowering, as well as morbidity and mortality outcomes in specific populations such as diabetics, heart failure patients, and post-myocardial infarction individuals. The purpose of this review is to present the currently available data regarding established and future potential clinical uses of aliskiren.
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1. Introduction

Elevated blood pressure, defined as SBP > 140 mm Hg or DBP > 90 mm Hg or pharmacologically achieved normal BP, has an estimated prevalence of 40% in adults over 25 years old [1] and is among the leading risk factors for disease burden [2]. As it is a well-established risk factor for CVD, the leading cause of mortality around the globe [3], prompt treatment is essential both for the patient and the health care system. Recent data also suggest that intensive elevated BP treatment to lower goals (<120 mm Hg) may have a beneficial role by reducing cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality [4].

The renin angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) (Figure 1) plays a pivotal role in BP regulation, thus drugs targeting steps in the cascade—such as ACEIs and ARBs—are widely used as antihypertensive agents. Renin is the first and highly regulated rate-limiting step of the system, and its inhibition has been a target for nearly 60 years. Recently, a new receptor was discovered, able to bind renin and prorenin and increase the conversion of angiotensinogen to Ang I [5], but its role in hypertension and pharmacological renin inhibition remains to be clarified [6]. Although ACEIs and ARBs block Ang II biologic actions, they increase plasma renin activity (PRA), the rate of conversion
of angiotensinogen to Ang I by renin, by interrupting the negative feedback loop of renin release. Elevated PRA levels seem to be predictive of higher mortality and major cardiovascular events [7,8], but a recent large retrospective cohort study supported those findings only among individuals with SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg [9]. Also, the reactive rise in Ang I levels by the ACEIs leads to Ang II formation in the tissues by ACE-independent pathways, like chymase and chymotrypsin, and consequently loss of their BP-lowering efficacy, a phenomenon known as ACE-escape [10].

Aliskiren (ALI) is the first non-peptide, orally active, highly potent, and selective inhibitor of human renin [10–12] approved for use in the treatment of hypertension. ALI has a 2.5% oral bioavailability, a long half-life of approximately 40 h, and is mainly excreted through bile via the fecal route [13]. Significant drug interactions have not yet been identified [14], and dosing adjustment is not required in patients with liver disease [15] or in patients with renal impairment [16]. The pharmacokinetics of ALI show a high inter-subject variability [17]. ALI shows a dose-dependent decrease in Ang II levels [18], and BP-lowering effects in doses between 75–600 mg. The small efficacy of the 75 mg dose and higher incidence of adverse events (AEs) with no additional benefit of the 600 mg dose [19] resulted in the clinical use of 150 and 300 mg dosing regimens. Although ALI shows a great reactive rise in plasma renin concentration (PRC)—higher than the elevation caused by ACEIs and ARBs—it greatly suppresses PRA, unlike the other RAAS-blocking agents, and this elevation in PRC is not associated with paradoxical increases in BP in patients with hypertension [20]. Also, renin inhibition with ALI reaches above 99% in the first hours following administration and remains above 95% 24 h later. The reactive rise in PRC caused by ALI is much lower than the 20- to 100- fold rise required to overcome those percentages of inhibition [21].

The studies published up to February 2017, regarding clinical trials for the use of ALI in the treatment of essential hypertension as a monotherapy or as part of a combined treatment are presented along with their main findings in Table 1.
| First Author       | Year/Country | Study Type | Arms                                                                 | Participants | Main Findings                                                                 |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stanton A.        | 2003 Ireland | RCT        | ALI 37.5, 75, 150, 300; LOS 100                                        | 226 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Dose-dependent reductions in ambulatory BP and decreased PRA were observed with ALI. Changes following 75, 150, and 300 mg of ALI were not significantly different to those observed with 180 mg of LOS. |
| Gradman A.H.      | 2005 USA     | RCT        | ALI 150, 300; 600; IRB 150; Placebo                                  | 652 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Oral ALI showed an effective BP lowering effect. ALI 300 and 600 mg lowered msDBP significantly more than IRB 150 mg. ALI 150 mg had a similar antihypertensive effect to IRB 150 mg. |
| Kushiro T.        | 2006 Japan   | RCT        | ALI 75, 150, 300; Placebo                                            | 455 Japanese Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Once-daily oral ALI provided significant, dose-dependent reductions in msSBP/DBP with placebo-like tolerability in Japanese patients with hypertension. |
| Pool J.L.         | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 75, 150, 300, VAL 80, 120, 360; ALI/VAL, VAL/HCTZ, Placebo       | 1123 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI monotherapy produced dose-related reductions in DBP/SBP similar to VAL monotherapy and placebo-like tolerability. The combination of the two showed additive results with similar tolerability. |
| O’Brien E.        | 2007 Ireland | RCT        | ALI 150, RAM 5; IRB 150; ALI/HCTZ, ALI/RAM, ALI/IRB                | 67 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI combined with HCTZ, RAM, or IRB, demonstrated significantly greater BP reductions than any agent monotherapy and also neutralized the compensatory rise in PRA stimulated by the other antihypertensive agents. |
| Jordan J.         | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/HCTZ, AML/HCTZ, IRB/HCTZ, Placebo/HCTZ                        | 499 Obese Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/HCTZ showed significantly greater BP reductions than HCTZ alone, but similar to AML/HCTZ and IRB/HCTZ in obese hypertensive individuals. The ALI combination also neutralized the compensatory rise in PRA. |
| Strasser R.H.     | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 300, LIS 40; ALI/HCTZ, LIS/HCTZ                                  | 153 Severe hypertension | ALI 300 mg monotherapy or ALI/HCTZ combination demonstrated similar efficacy and tolerability to LIS 40 mg monotherapy or LIS/HCTZ combination respectively in patients with severe hypertension. |
| Verdeccia P.      | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 75, 150, 300, LIS 10 (≥65 years old)                             | 355 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/HCTZ/LOS 150/300 mg and LIS 10mg lowered ambulatory SBP and office msBP with no significant difference between ALI doses and no evidence of dose-related increases in the incidence of AEs. |
| Oh B.H.           | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 150, 300; 600; Placebo                                           | 672 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI 150 to 600 mg once daily, provided significant antihypertensive efficacy and placebo-like tolerability. The lowering effect of ALI 600 mg was greater but not statistically significant of that of ALI 300 mg. |
| Drummond W.       | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | AML 5; 10; ALI/AML                                                  | 545 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/AML 150/5 mg demonstrated greater BP lowering efficacy than AML 5 mg monotherapy but similar to AML 10 mg, although less edema was noted with the combination treatment. |
| Oparil S.         | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 300; VAL 320; ALI/VAL, Placebo                                  | 1797 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/VAL 300/320 mg significantly lowered msDBP as compared to either component monotherapy or placebo. Safety and tolerability profiles were similar in all groups. |
| Uresin Y.         | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 300; RAM 10; ALI/RAM                                            | 837 DM Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI 300 mg provided additional, significant antihypertensive efficacy and placebo-like tolerability: The lowering effect of ALI 10 mg in patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus. |
| Villamil A.       | 2007 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 75, 150, 300; HCTZ 6.25, 12.5, 25; ALI/HCTZ, Placebo             | 2776 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/HCTZ combination produced a greater reduction in BP measurements and achieved better control rates and more responders than either monotherapy. HCTZ monotherapy increased PRA, but PRA decreased in the ALI monotherapy and the combination groups. |
| Andersen K.       | 2008 Iceland  | RCT        | ALI 300; RAM 10; ALI/HCTZ, RAM/HCTZ                                 | 687 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI based therapy, alone or with HCTZ, produced greater msSBP/DBP reductions, better control rates, and more sustained effects after drug discontinuation than RAM based therapy. |
| First Author | Year/Country | Study Type | Arms | Participants | Main Findings |
|--------------|--------------|------------|------|--------------|---------------|
| Dietz R. [35] | 2008 Multicenter RCT | ALI 300; ATEN 100; ALI/ATEN | 694 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/ATEN induced significantly greater msSBP reductions than ALI or ATEN alone, and msDBP reductions were larger with ATEN than with ALI. All three regimens reduced mean PRA from baseline. ALI treatment had lower rates of AEs and was not associated with bradycardia. |
| Nickenig G. [36] | 2008 Multicenter RCT | ALI 300; ALI/HCTZ | 880 Non-responders to ALI monotherapy Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg and 300/12.5 mg produced significantly greater msSBP/DBP reductions from baseline as well as higher BP control rates than ALI 300 mg alone with similar tolerability. |
| Geiger H. [37] | 2009 Multicenter RCT | HCTZ 25; ALI/HCTZ; VAL/HCTZ; ALI/VAL/HCTZ | 641 Non-responders to HCTZ monotherapy; Mild-to-moderate hypertension | The ALI/VAL/HCTZ 300/320/25 mg arm produced statistically significant additional reductions in SBP/DBP and higher control rates than the ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg, ALI/HCTZ 320/25 mg combinations and the HCTZ 25 mg monotherapy. The safety profile of the triple combination was similar to that of the double combinations. |
| Kushiro T. [38] | 2009 Multicenter RCT | ALI 300; ALI/diuretic; ALI/CCB | 345 Japanese Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI monotherapy, as well as combinations of ALI/diuretic and ALI/CCB, achieved clinically significant msSBP/DBP reductions. All regimens were well tolerated, and the overall responder rate was high. |
| Puig J.G. [39] | 2009 Multicenter RCT | ALI 75; 150; 300; Placebo | 642 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Dose-related BP reductions were observed with ALI 75, 150, and 300 mg, but only the reductions achieved with ALI 150 and 300 mg were statistically significant compared to placebo. All doses were well tolerated. |
| Blumenstein M. [40] | 2009 Multicenter RCT | HCTZ 25; ALI/HCTZ; 150/25; 300/25 | 722 Non-responders to HCTZ monotherapy; Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Single pill ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg and 150/25 mg combinations significantly lowered msSBP/DBP with the 300/25 mg combination producing the greater reductions. Responder rates were also significantly higher with ALI/HCTZ combinations. ALI/HCTZ showed similar tolerability to HCTZ monotherapy and a numerically lower incidence of hypokalemia. |
| Littlejohn T.W. III [41] | 2009 Multicenter Non RCT | ALI/AML 300/10 ± HCTZ | 556 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/AML 300/10 mg, with or without add-on HCTZ, effectively reduced BP; especially in patients with stage 2 hypertension. The most common AE was peripheral edema. |
| Schmieder R.E. [42] | 2009 Multicenter RCT | ALI 300; HCTZ 25; Placebo ± AML | 1124 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI based therapy produced significantly greater BP reductions and higher responder rates than HCTZ based therapy. AE rates were similar in all groups with hypokalemia being more frequent in HCTZ based therapy. |
| Duprez D.A. [43] | 2010 USA RCT | ALI 300; RAM 10 ± HCTZ or AML | 901 (≥65 years old) Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI monotherapy in elders with essential hypertension showed greater msSBP/DBP reductions and higher control rates than RAM monotherapy. Also fewer patients required add-on treatment with HCTZ or AML. Tolerability was similar, but the RAM group had a higher incidence of cough. |
| Black H.R. [44] | 2010 Multicenter RCT | ALI 300; ALI/HCTZ | 688 160 mm Hg ≤ SBP < 180 mm Hg | ALI 300 mg monotherapy, as well as ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg, produced substantial BP reductions from baseline with the reductions being significantly greater in the combination therapy. |
| Ito S. [45] | 2010 Japan Non RCT | ALI 75 or 300 | 40 Japanese Renal dysfunction Mild-to-moderate hypertension | 65% of the patients achieved BP response and 30% BP control with ALI monotherapy. The AE profile was low, and similar to studies with hypertensive patients without renal dysfunction. |
| Chrysant S.G. [46] | 2010 Multicenter Non RCT | ALI/VAL 300/320 ± HCTZ | 601 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI/VAL 300/320 mg with or without optional HCTZ addition showed clinically significant BP-lowering effects, high BP control rates and was well-tolerated with a very low incidence of hyperkalemia in patients with hypertension. |
| First Author | Year/Country | Study Type | Arms | Participants | Main Findings |
|--------------|--------------|------------|------|--------------|---------------|
| Palatini P. [47] | 2010 USA | Multicenter RCT | ALI 300; IRB 300; RAM 10 | 654 Mean ambulatory DBP ≥ 85 mm Hg | ALI 300 mg provided similar BP-lowering effects with IRB 300 mg and significantly greater than RAM 10 mg. The maintenance of the mean ambulatory SBP/DBP lowering effect of ALI was significantly greater when compared to both IRB and RAM monotherapies. |
| Fogari R. [48] | 2010 Italy | RCT | ALI 300; LOS 100 | 76 Metabolic syndrome Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Both ALI 300 mg and LOS 100 mg induced a significant and similar SBP/DBP reduction. IPA activity decreased with LOS and did not change significantly with ALI. Insulin sensitivity was also improved with ALI and remained unchanged with LOS. |
| Weir M.R. [49] | 2010 USA | Multicenter RCT | ALI 300 + ≥ 200 mmol/d Na; ALI 300 + ≤ 100 mmol/d Na | 135 mm Hg ≤ SBP < 160 mm Hg | During ALI 300 mg treatment, ambulatory SBP was significantly lower with the low-sodium diet compared to the high-sodium diet. Responder rates were also significantly higher with the low sodium diet. |
| Ferdinand K.C. [50] | 2011 USA | RCT | ALI/AML/HCTZ 300/10/25; ALI/AML 300/10 | 412 US minority Stage 2 hypertension | ALI/AML/HCTZ 300/10/25 mg produced greater msSBP reductions and higher responder rates than ALI/AML 300/10 mg therapy in self-identified US minority patients. Both combinations showed similar tolerability. |
| Fogari R. [51] | 2011 Italy | RCT | ALI 300; AML 10; ALI/AML | 120 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI 300 mg and AML 10 mg monotherapies produced similar SBP/DBP reductions. Their combination induced greater BP reductions than both monotherapies and a lower increase in ankle-volume than AML monotherapy. PRA was unaffected by ALI, and it was reduced by both ALI monotherapy and ALI/AML combination. |
| Basile J. [52] | 2011 USA | RCT | ALI/HCTZ 300/25; HCTZ 25 ± AML | 451 (≥ 55 years old) 160 mm Hg ≤ SBP < 200 mm Hg | ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg therapy provides significantly greater BP reductions and higher control rates than HCTZ 25 mg monotherapy with or without the optional addition of AML in older patients with stage 2 hypertension. |
| Townsend R.R. [53] | 2011 USA | RCT | ALI/HCTZ 300/25; AML 10 | 860 DM 160 mm Hg ≤ SBP < 200 mm Hg | ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg produced greater msSBP reductions and higher control rates than AML 10 mg. msDBP reductions were similar in both groups. Both treatments were well tolerated, although AE incidence and discontinuation were higher in the AML group. |
| Brown M.J. [54] | 2011 Multicenter RCT | | ALI/Placebo; AML/Placebo; ALI/AML | 1254 | ALI/AML 300/10 mg treatment showed higher SBP reductions than either monotherapy. ALI/AML combination could be recommended for initial treatment for BP ≥ 150 mm Hg. |
| Sica D. [55] | 2011 Multicenter RCT | | ALI 150; 300 ± HCTZ | 1955 Mild-to-moderate hypertension | Long-term treatment with ALI with or without additional HCTZ is well tolerated and provides effective BP reductions that are sustained over one year. |
| Ferdinand K.C. [56] | 2011 USA | RCT | ALI/HCTZ 300/25; AML 10 | 332 | ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg and AML 10 mg produced similar msSBP/DBP and 24-h ambulatory SBP reductions. Central SBP reductions, measured in a smaller subgroup, were greater in the ALI/HCTZ arm. BP control rates were similar in both groups. |
| Segura J. [57] | 2011 Spain | Non RCT | ALI/AML/CHLOR 300/10/50 | 76 Treatment-resistant hypertension | ALI/AML/CHLOR 300/10/50 mg treatment showed effective BP lowering effects in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension not responding to spironolactone. |
| Black H.R. [58] | 2011 USA | RCT | ALI/AML 300/10; AML 10 | 443 African American 160 mm Hg ≤ SBP < 200 mm Hg | ALI/AML 300/10 mg produced greater msSBP reductions and higher responder rates than AML 10 mg monotherapy. |
| Krone W. [59] | 2011 Multicenter RCT | | ALI 300; IRB 300 | 141 Hypertension and Metabolic syndrome | ALI 300 mg provided significantly greater BP reductions and higher control rates than IRB 300 mg. Both treatments had similar effects on lipid and glucose profiles. |
| Drummond W. [60] | 2011 USA | RCT | VAL/HCTZ/ALI 160/25/300; VAL/HCTZ/Placebo | 363 DM msDBP ≥ 95 mm Hg inadequately controlled with VAL/HCTZ | BP reductions with ALI 300 mg added to VAL/HCTZ 160/25 mg were numerically greater compared with placebo added to VAL/HCTZ, but not statistically significant. |
Table 1. Cont.

| First Author        | Year/Country  | Study Type | Arms                                      | Participants | Main Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Schweizer J. [61]   | 2011 Germany  | Non RCT    | ALI/HCTZ 300/25 ± AML                      | 123          | Patients inadequately controlled with CAN/HCTZ 32/25 mg achieved clinically and statistically significant BP reductions with the single pill combination of ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg and the optional addition of AML 5 mg. |
| Zhu J.R. [62]       | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 75; 150; 300; RAM 5                    | 1316         | ALI in doses of 75, 150, and 300 mg produced greater BP reductions and higher control rates than RAM 5 mg, but only the differences with ALI 300 mg were statistically significant. |
| Flack J.M. [63]     | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/VAL 300/320; VAL 320                   | 451          | ALI/VAL 300/320 mg significantly reduced msSBP than VAL 320 mg alone. Higher mean differences were observed in 24-h ambulatory BP measurements in a small subgroup of 76 patients. |
| Düssing R. [64]     | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 300; TEL 80                           | 822          | ALI/300 mg and TEL 80 mg produced similar mean ambulatory SBP reductions. During a seven-day treatment withdrawal, ALI showed a more sustained BP-lowering effect than TEL. |
| Axthelm C. [65]     | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/AML 300/10 ± HCTZ                      | 342          | ALI/AML 300/10 mg with the optional addition of HCTZ 12.5 mg achieved clinically and statistically significant BP reductions in patients inadequately controlled by OLM/AML 40/10 mg. |
| Fogari R. [66]      | 2012 Italy    | RCT        | ALI 300; AML 10; Placebo                  | 170 DM (50–75 years old) Mild-to-moderate hypertension | ALI and AML both, significantly reduced SBP/DBP with no statistical difference between them. Only ALI reduced QT duration and dispersion. |
| Pfeiffer D. [67]    | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/AML 300/10; 150/10; AML 10             | 847          | ALI/AML 300/10 and 150/10 mg provided significantly greater msSBP/DBP reductions than AML 10 mg monotherapy. Higher control rates were achieved in the ALI/AML 300/10 mg arm over the AML 10 mg arm. |
| Villa G. [68]       | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 75; 150; 300; Placebo                 | 754 (≥65 years old) 150 mm Hg ≤ msSBP < 180 mm Hg | ALI 75, 150, and 300 mg provided significantly greater msSBP/DBP reductions compared to placebo. The estimated minimum effective dose was 81.9 mg. |
| Lacourcière Y. [69] | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/AML/HCTZ, ALI/AML, AML/HCTZ           | 1191         | ALI/AML/HCTZ 300/10/25 mg produced statistically superior msSBP/DBP reductions and higher control rates as compared to the ALI/AML, ALI/HCTZ, and AML/HCTZ dual combinations. |
| Murray A.V. [70]    | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/AML/HCTZ 300/10/25                    | 564          | ALI/AML/HCTZ 300/10/25 mg provided statistically significant msSBP/DBP reductions, high BP control rates and was well tolerated. |
| Glorioso N. [71]    | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/AML 300/10; 300/5; ALI 300            | 818          | ALI/AML 300/10 and 300/5 mg provided significantly greater msSBP/DBP reductions and higher BP control rates than ALI 300 mg alone. |
| Braun-Dullaeus R.C. | 2012 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI/AML 300/10; AML 10                    | 485          | ALI/AML 300/10 mg produced significantly greater msSBP/DBP reductions and BP control rates than AML 10 mg monotherapy. Both groups had a similar incidence of AEs. |
| Kanaoka T. [73]     | 2012 Japan    | Non RCT    | ALI 150–300                               | 21            | ALI 300 mg significantly reduced clinic, ambulatory and central BP measurements. Brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity, a marker of arterial stiffness, also significantly decreased from baseline. |
| Littlejohn T.W. III | 2013 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 150; 300; AML 5; 10; ALI/AML, Placebo | 1688         | ALI/AML (150 or 300 mg) / (5 or 10 mg) combinations provided greater BP reductions than either agent alone. |
| Mazza A [75]        | 2013 Multicenter | RCT       | ALI 150–300                               | 106           | ALI 150–300 mg significantly reduced clinic and ambulatory BP measurements, left ventricular mass index and did not affect eGFR. |
| Bakris G.L. [76]    | 2013 USA      | RCT        | ALI/VAL 300/320; VAL 320                  | 1143 DM Hypertension Stage 1 or 2 CKD | ALI/VAL 300/320 mg provided additive BP reductions and similar tolerability to VAL 320 mg alone in diabetic patients with early stage CKD. |
Table 1. Cont.

| First Author       | Year/Country | Study Type | Arms                  | Participants | Main Findings                                                                 |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yoshitomi Y. [77]  | 2013         | Japan Non RCT | ALI 150 add-on       | 43           | ALI 150 mg added to the existing regimen provided greater BP control rates and was effective as a fourth or fifth line agent. |
| Andreadis E.A. [78]| 2014         | Greece RCT  | ALI 300; RAM 5± HCTZ or AML | 154 Naïve or 6 mo untreated | ALI based therapy produced similar SBP/DBP reductions to RAM based therapy. Both therapies reduced the ambulatory arterial stiffness index. |
| Imbalzano E. [79] | 2015         | Italy RCT   | ALI add-on; RAM or LOS add-on | 126 DM Microalbuminuria Uncontrolled BP | ALI addition to optimal therapy provided higher BP and microalbuminuria reductions compared with the addition of RAM or LOS. |
| Mizuno H. [80]     | 2016         | Japan RCT   | ALI/AML 150–300/5; AML 10 | 105 Japanese elderly Essential hypertension | ALI/AML 150–300/5 mg and AML 10 mg produced similar reductions in 24-h SBP, and daytime/nighttime SBP. ALI/AML significantly reduced UACR more than high dose AML, but it was significantly less effective in reducing morning BP surge and early morning BP. |
| Tani S. [81]       | 2016         | Japan Non RCT | ALI add-on           | 79           | ALI addition to existing regimens provided higher control rates and made it possible to reduce the number of drugs in treatment combinations. No renal function worsening was observed in patients receiving other RAAS inhibitors. |

2. Aliskiren and Blood Pressure Lowering

2.1. Monotherapy

Several randomized control trials conducted showed significant dose-related BP lowering effects with ALI monotherapy [18,21–30], similar to those observed with losartan (LOS) [22], valsartan (VAL) [25], irbesartan IRB) [47], and lisinopril (LIS) [28], and a placebo-like tolerability profile. A meta-analysis of six double-blind RCTs comparing ALI to placebo supported those findings [82]. Some studies concluded that ALI has superior BP-lowering effects, and higher control rates than ramipril (RAM) [28,30,33,34] but others did not [78]. A pooled analysis of three clinical trials by Verdecchia et al. showed that overall SBP was lower with ALI than with RAM, results were attributed to the ACE-escape phenomenon of RAM-based treatment and the longer half-life of ALI versus RAM (40 h vs. 15 h) [83]. In 2011, two meta-analyses published concluded that ALI is equally effective with ARBs with a similar AE profile [84,85]. Another meta-analysis conducted in 2013 by Chen et al., including 14 studies with a total of 6741 participants, found that ALI is as effective as ARBs although it had higher control rates. ALI was also proven superior to ACEIs in DBP reductions, similar to hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ), and inferior to CCBs in BP reduction and control rates [86].

ALI also shows more sustained BP-lowering effects than telmisartan (TEL) after treatment withdrawal [64] and RAM and IRB after a missed dose simulation [47]. This feature of ALI, partially attributed to the long drug half-life, is of great importance, as patient compliance is a crucial issue in patients trying to achieve BP control and missed doses are quite a common phenomenon in everyday clinical practice.

2.2. Combination

As combination treatment is quite often required by patients to achieve optimal BP targets, many studies conducted tested combinations of ALI with HCTZ, ARBs, CCBs, and beta-blockers. ALI combined with HCTZ produced greater BP reductions and higher control rates than either drug alone [41–44] with similar tolerability and a higher incidence of hypokalemia in HCTZ monotherapy. ALI also neutralizes the reactive PRA increase caused by HCTZ [26].

Studies of ALI/amlodipine (AML) combinations showed that doses of 300–150/10 mg are more effective than AML 10 mg monotherapy and have a significantly lower incidence of peripheral edema [46,47]. Two similar trials testing ALI/HCTZ 300/25 mg and AML 10 mg had slightly
differing results. One of them including patients with DM, concluded that the combination produced greater msSBP reductions [53] and the other found both treatments similar in both msSBP/msDBP reductions [56]. These results might indicate a different efficacy profile of the dual combination in patients with DM. In the ACCELERATE study, Brown et al. concluded that ALI/AML 300/10 mg causes higher SBP reductions than either agent alone and is recommended as the initial therapy if the patient’s SBP is greater than 150 mm Hg [54]. In a meta-analysis of seven randomized control trials by Liu et al., ALI/AML combination was found to be more effective than either component monotherapy [87].

Liu et al., in 2014 published another meta-analysis including 19 trials and 13,614 participants comparing ALI/HCTZ and ALI/AML. The data showed that combination therapies were more efficient than the respective monotherapies and that ALI/AML produced significantly greater SBP/DBP reductions, and higher response and control rates [88]. Triple combinations with ALI/AML/HCTZ 300/10/25 mg have also shown similar tolerability and higher efficacy with significantly larger msSBP/msDBP reductions and higher control rates as compared to the components’ dual combinations in patients with moderate-to-severe hypertension [53–55].

Trials testing combinations of ALI with ARBs showed additive BP lowering effects and similar tolerability profiles to each agent monotherapy, and a low rate of potassium elevations [24,56–58]. Also, the reactive PRA rise by the ARB therapy is blunted by the ALI co-administration. Although these studies, as well as a large meta-analysis [85], show the greater BP-lowering potential of ALI/ARB combinations, dual RAAS blockade does not seem to reduce overall and cardiovascular mortality and it is associated with a higher risk of AEs (hypotension, hyperkalemia, renal failure) [89].

In the only randomized control trial including a beta-blocker with 694 participants in 2008, Dietz et al. concluded that ALI/atenolol (ATEN) produced greater reductions than either monotherapy and msDBP reductions with ATEN were significantly higher than with ALI alone. ALI treatment was not associated with bradycardia and had fewer AEs and discontinuations. All three regimens reduced mean PRA (as also expected with beta-blocker monotherapy caused by reduced renin secretion from the juxtaglomerular cells due to beta blockade) [35].

2.3. Special Populations

In the first large trial conducted in 837 patients with DM, Uresin et al. concluded that ALI/RAM 300/10 mg produced significantly greater msDBP reductions than either agent alone. ALI monotherapy was superior to RAM monotherapy for msSBP reduction and non-inferior for msDBP reduction [32]. The addition of ALI 300 mg in the VAL/HCTZ 160/25 mg regimen in diabetic individuals showed greater BP reductions, however this did not achieve statistical significance [60]. In a recent randomized control trial by Imbalzano et al., ALI addition to optimal antihypertensive therapy showed higher BP and microalbuminuria reductions than LOS or RAM addition in patients with uncontrolled BP and DM [79].

Obese individuals also constitute a unique and challenging group of patients, as they have a higher prevalence of hypertension and very low adequate blood pressure control rates [90]. Jordan et al. in a study including 489 participants with a BMI of 30 or greater that had previously failed to reach BP targets with HCTZ monotherapy, found that ALI/HCTZ combination was superior to placebo/HCTZ and similar to AML/HCTZ and IRB/HCTZ in BP-lowering, with a tolerability similar to placebo/HCTZ [27]. In a subgroup analysis of 396 obese patients with hypertension, although ALI-based therapy demonstrated similar mean BP reductions in obese and non-obese individuals, HCTZ-based therapy showed significantly lower mean BP reductions in obese than in non-obese patients, suggesting that ALI is a superior treatment to HCTZ in obese patients with hypertension [91].

When ALI was compared to IRB in patients with metabolic syndrome and hypertension, ALI showed significantly greater mean BP reductions and almost double target BP control rates, with both treatments showing similar effects on glucose and lipid profiles. Also, both treatments showed small, non-statistically significant changes in a panel of inflammatory and cardiovascular risk biomarkers [59]. In another trial with 76 individuals with metabolic syndrome, ALI and LOS produced
similar SBP/DBP reductions, but ALI improved insulin sensitivity and fibrinolytic balance by not changing tPA activity, that decreased with LOS treatment [48].

Blood pressure control in the elderly is also a field of great challenges. In hypertensive individuals aged 65 years or older, ALI in doses of 75, 150, and 300 mg showed significant BP-lowering effects compared to placebo with an estimated minimum effective dose of 81.9 mg [68]. In two randomized, controlled trials with participants over 65 years old, ALI demonstrated no difference compared to LIS in BP efficacy [29] and was found superior to RAM monotherapy in BP reductions as well as in control rates [43]. In the most recent trial in an elderly Japanese population, ALI/AML 150–300/5 mg and AML 10 mg monotherapy showed similar BP-lowering profiles but ALI/AML combination was significantly less efficient in reducing the early morning BP and the morning BP surge compared to high dose AML [80].

2.4. Real Life Data

Although numerous clinical trials and meta-analyses have been conducted to date, the fact that ALI is the newest antihypertensive agent available on the market, raises the need for efficacy and safety data, with uncontrolled conditions in the setting of everyday clinical practice. In the Belgian prospective observational DRIVER study, 1695 patients whose prior treatment was inadequate or not tolerated, completed a 180-day treatment regimen with ALI. At the end of treatment, mean SBP/SDP reductions were 22.9 ± 16.7/10.5 ± 10.9 mm Hg (p < 0.001). Adequate BP control based on 2009 guidelines was achieved by 56.3% of patients (p < 0.001) and 64.2% of eligible patients had a CV risk reduction [92]. In data derived from the Italian web-based drug-monitoring system, ALI prescribed in patients with uncontrolled BP and organ damage or comorbidities produced lower SBP/DBP measurements consistently on follow-up visits, and very few reported AEs [93].

A large observational, multicenter, multiethnic study from 420 centers in Asia and the Middle East included 4826 patients with hypertension receiving ALI or ALI/HCTZ treatment. Both ALI and ALI/HCTZ showed significant msSBP/DBP reductions, 24.1/12.2 mm Hg and 27.6/14.1 mm Hg respectively, and very high response rates [94]. The 3A registry, a prospective cohort study of 13,433 patients from Germany, compared the efficacy in real practice of ALI or ACEI/ARB or a non-RAAS blocking agent alone, or as an addition to an existing regimen. One year outcomes showed no significant differences in BP reduction between the three groups after confounders and baseline BP adjustments. The mean number of antihypertensive agents used was higher in the ALI group but ALI was most often prescribed in patients with higher BP baseline and concomitant diseases (chronic heart failure, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, and renal disease) [95].

Recently in 2015, RALLY, a three-month observational study with 566 hypertensive patients treated and followed by 140 physicians, showed the efficacy and tolerability of ALI/AML combination. SBP and DBP were on average reduced from 161 ± 14 to 135 ± 10 mm Hg and 93 ± 9 to 81 ± 6 mm Hg, respectively with 94% of the patients being compliant to therapy [96].

3. Aliskiren and End-Organ Damage

Over the last decade, large-scale, long-term trials have been designed and conducted investigating the possible role of ALI in the prevention of end-organ damage and on morbidity and mortality outcomes beyond its blood pressure lowering effects in specific high-risk populations. Though early data seemed very promising, more recent data published raised many questions and new concerns to be addressed in further trials in the future. To date, 10 concluded trials have published their results, with the latest published in early 2016. A summary of these trials along with their main findings are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Summary of studies.

| Study Type | Arms | Participants | Results |
|------------|------|--------------|---------|
| AVOID (2008) [97] | Multicenter RCT ALI/LOS; Placebo/LOS | 599 with DM and nephropathy. | ALI significantly reduced UACR compared to placebo. Both arms showed similar BP reductions and AEs. |
| ALOFT (2008) [98] | Multicenter RCT ALI 150; Placebo | 302 with NYHA class II-IV HF treated with an ACEI or ARB and a beta blocker. | Significant plasma NT-proBNP reductions were observed in the ALI arm compared to placebo. |
| ALLAY (2009) [99] | Multicenter RCT ALI 300; LOS 100; ALI/LOS | 465 with hypertension, BMI > 25 kg/m², and increased ventricular wall thickness. | In both ALI and LOS arms similar left ventricular mass reductions were observed. Their combination produced similar results with LOS monotherapy. |
| AVANT GARDE-TIMI 43 (2010) [100] | Multicenter RCT ALI; VAL; ALI/VAL; Placebo | 1101 after acute coronary syndrome without evident HF. | All groups showed similar reductions of NT-proBNP levels. Active therapy groups had a higher incidence of AEs and similar clinical outcomes. |
| ASPIRE (2011) [101] | Multicenter RCT ALI; Placebo | 820 post-MI, LVEF ≤ 45%, and regional wall motion abnormalities. | No change in left ventricular end-systolic volume was observed when ALI was added to standard treatment compared to placebo. |
| ALTITUDE (2012) [102] | Multicenter RCT ACEI or ARB/ALI; ACEI or ARB/ Placebo | 8562 with DM, and CKD, and/or CVD. | Discontinued due to higher incidence of AEs and non-fatal strokes in the ALI arm. |
| AQUARIUS (2013) [103] | Multicenter RCT ALI 300; Placebo | 613 with coronary artery disease, prehypertension, and two additional CVD factors. | ALI compared with placebo did not improve or slow the progression of coronary atherosclerosis. |
| APOLLO (2014) [104] | Multicenter RCT ALI/HCTZ or AML; ALI/Placebo; HCTZ or AML/Placebo; Placebo/Placebo | 1759 elders, SBP ≥ 130 mm Hg and < 160 mm Hg. | Discontinued early. There may be a benefit for substantial CVD reduction with the use of multiple BP-lowering drugs in older hypertensive individuals. |
| ASTRONAUT (2013) [105] | Multicenter RCT ALI 150→300; Placebo | 1639 with a LVEF ≤ 40%, [BNP] ≥ 400 pg/mL or [NT-proBNP] ≥ 1600 pg/mL, and fluid overload symptoms. | ALI addition did not reduce cardiovascular death or HF rehospitalization compared to placebo, and had a higher incidence of AEs. |
| ATMOSPHERE (2016) [106] | Multicenter RCT ENA 5 or 10; ALI 300; ENA/ALI | 7016 with HF and a reduced ejection fraction. | Noninferiority was not proved for ALI when compared to ENA for the outcome of death from a cardiovascular cause or hospitalization for HF. |

3.1. Diabetics

In the AVOID study, Parving et al. investigated the possible renoprotective effects of dual RAAS blockade by adding ALI in the maximum recommended dose of LOS 100 mg in a multinational, randomized, controlled, double-blind study, enrolling 599 patients with DM and nephropathy. Treatment with ALI significantly reduced Urine Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio (UACR) compared to placebo, and both groups had a similar incidence of AEs and serious AEs. BP reductions were similar in both groups. Thus ALI’s renoprotective effect was suggested to be independent of its BP-lowering effect [97].

Despite the early promising results from the AVOID trial, the ALTITUDE trial that enrolled 8561 patients with DM and CKD, CVD, or both to test the effects of ALI added to an ACEI or an ARB, had to be prematurely terminated on the basis of futility and safety reasons. An increased number of AEs (renal dysfunction, hyperkalemia, and hypotension) with no added benefit and a higher incidence of non-fatal strokes in the ALI group compared to placebo were the main concerns [107]. In the published results, the authors concluded that the addition of ALI in standard ACEI or ARB treatment is contraindicated in patients with DM and cardiovascular or renal disease [102].

In a study conducted by Bakris et al., before the ALTITUDE trial discontinuation, in 1143 hypertensive individuals with DM and stage 1 or 2 CKD, the ALI/VAL 150/160 mg combination
was found to have additive BP-lowering effects and similar tolerability to VAL 160 mg monotherapy. The authors attributed those different safety findings to the level of kidney function at baseline and the study duration. Bakris et al. did not include patients with eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ or CVD in their trial though, which was the patient profile in ALTITUDE [76].

3.2. Left Ventricular Hypertrophy

The ALLAY trial enrolled 465 patients with hypertension, BMI > 25 kg/m$^2$ and increased ventricular wall thickness. Patients received ALI 300 mg, or LOS 100 mg, or a combination. After a nine-month period, left ventricular mass index was significantly reduced in all treatment groups. ALI was non-inferior to LOS in reducing left ventricular hypertrophy, and the reduction in the combination arm was not significantly different from that in the LOS monotherapy arm [99].

3.3. Acute Coronary Syndromes

The effect of ALI, VAL, and their combination was studied in 1101 stable patients after an acute coronary syndrome with no evident HF or left ventricular function ≤40% but with elevated natriuretic peptides 3–10 days after admission in the AVANT GARDE-TIMI 43 trial. The reduction of NT-proBNP levels from baseline to week 8 (primary endpoint) was similar in all groups; 44% in aliskiren, 39% in valsartan, 36% in the combination arm, and 42% in placebo. Patients receiving active therapy had a higher incidence of AEs and serious AEs with no differences in clinical outcomes [100].

The addition of ALI to standard optimal therapy (ACEI or ARB and beta-blocker) compared to the addition of placebo was compared in the ASPIRE study in 820 post-MI patients with the LVEF ≤45%, and regional wall motion abnormalities. The addition of ALI did not produce any change in left ventricular end-systolic volume compared to placebo. The incidence of cardiovascular death and hospitalization for HF were also similar in both groups. The serious AEs were similar in both arms, but the ALI arm had a larger number of AEs (hyperkalemia, hypotension, and creatinine elevation) [101].

3.4. Elderly

The APOLLO trial aimed to follow and test the effects on CVD of ALI 300 mg vs. placebo with the optional addition of HCTZ or AML vs. placebo in 11,000 elderly individuals with SBP ≥130 mm Hg and <160 mm Hg for a five-year period. Due to early termination by the sponsor, a total of 1759 individuals were finally randomized with a median follow-up time of 0.6 years. After the recruitment discontinuation, given the recent results from ALTITUDE, instructions were given to stop ALI or placebo in diabetic patients receiving an ACEI or an ARB. The original study objectives regarding clinical outcomes could not be reached. The data suggested a potential benefit in clinical outcomes with the use of multiple BP-lowering agents in elders with stage 1 hypertension [104].

3.5. Coronary Atherosclerosis

The comparison of ALI vs. placebo in 613 patients with coronary artery disease, prehypertension (125 mm Hg ≤ SBP < 140 mm Hg), and two additional cardiovascular risk factors, was the objective of the AQUARIUS trial. Both primary and secondary efficacy parameters, percent atheroma volume, and normalized total atheroma volume respectively, did not significantly differ between the two groups. The proportion of patients demonstrating regression of percent atheroma volume was also similar in both groups. Thus no benefit was shown by the use of ALI in prehypertensive individuals with coronary atherosclerosis [103].

3.6. Heart Failure

In the ALOFT trial, including 302 patients with HF receiving an ACEI and a beta-blocker, the addition of ALI showed significant plasma NT-proBNP reductions compared to placebo with no important BP differences between the two groups. Urinary aldosterone was also reduced in
The two more recent large randomized, controlled trials regarding ALI in HF patients showed disappointing results. In the ASTRONAUT study enrolling 1639 participants with a LVEF ≤ 40%, elevated natriuretic peptides and symptoms of fluid overload, the addition of ALI to standard treatment did not reduce cardiovascular death or HF rehospitalization compared to placebo and showed a higher incidence of AEs (hyperkalemia, hypotension, and renal dysfunction) [105]. The long-awaited results from the ATMOSPHERE trial were also discouraging regarding the use of ALI in HF patients. After an approximately four-year mean follow-up time, 7016 participants were randomized into three groups, ALI, enalapril (ENA), or both, and the primary outcome was death from a cardiovascular cause or hospitalization for HF. ALI non-inferiority was not proven compared to ENA, and their combination had an increased risk of hypotension, hyperkalemia, and serum creatinine level elevations without any additional benefit [106].

4. Conclusions

Many of the recent findings suggest that there may be an upper limit in RAAS blockade, in terms of benefit versus safety and tolerability, especially in specific higher risk populations. ALI is now a well-established antihypertensive agent, but its optimal use remains to be further tested. Though specific populations seem to benefit more from direct renin inhibition by ALI (e.g., obese, metabolic syndrome and resistant hypertension) for others, it is just another viable option in the armory of clinicians to achieve adequate BP control. As many patients often require multidrug antihypertensive therapy, ALI, the only available direct renin inhibitor in the market, can play an important role as a RAAS-blocking drug option in combination regimens. Yet ALI treatment still has a higher financial cost, when compared to other RAAS-inhibitors and non RAAS-blocking drugs.

The possible benefits of ALI on other physiological targets, such as endothelial function and arterial stiffness, on which recent studies have suggested favorable effects [108–110] warrant further investigation. Also, the exact clinical implications in the role of disease of the (pro)renin receptor and PRA levels should be established, as ALI has an effect on both. Despite the recent discouraging results on several morbidity and mortality endpoints in large prospective trials, there is a need for longitudinal studies assessing ALI alone and in combination to identify the specific subgroups of patients that would benefit more from direct renin blockade and the biomarkers needed to monitor those effects.
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