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Originality and significance statement
This is the first study to examine the enzymatic activity of cyanobacterial and cyanophage MazG orthologues on a range of potential substrates. From the measured kinetic parameters of the purified proteins we rule out a widely assumed hypothesis that suggested a role of the cyanophage-encoded MazG protein in regulating the host stringent response during infection.

Summary
Bacteriophage possess a variety of auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) of bacterial origin. These proteins enable them to maximise infection efficiency, subverting bacterial metabolic processes for the purpose of viral genome replication and synthesis of the next generation of virion progeny. Here, we examined the enzymatic activity of a cyanophage MazG protein – a putative pyrophosphohydrolase previously implicated in regulation of the stringent response via reducing levels of the central alarmone molecule (p)ppGpp. We demonstrate however, that the purified viral MazG shows no binding or hydrolysis activity against (p)ppGpp. Instead, dGTP and dCTP appear to be the preferred substrates of this protein, consistent with a role preferentially hydrolysing deoxyribonucleotides from the high GC content host *Synechococcus* genome. This showcases a new example of the fine-tuned nature of viral metabolic processes.
Introduction

Cyanophage that infect the marine cyanobacterial genera *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* are widespread and abundant in oceanic systems (Suttle and Chan 1994; Sullivan *et al.*, 2003; Baran *et al.*, 2018) where they play important ecosystem roles including releasing organic matter through cell lysis (Suttle, 2007), transferring genes horizontally between hosts (Zeidner *et al.*, 2005) and structuring host communities (Muhling *et al.*, 2005). Cyanophage can also influence ocean biogeochemistry by modifying host metabolism during the infection process, such as the shutdown of CO$_2$ fixation whilst maintaining photosynthetic electron transport (Puxty *et al.*, 2016). This subversion of host metabolism is facilitated by the expression of cyanophage genes that appear to have a bacterial origin, so-called auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) (Breitbart *et al.*, 2007). These include genes involved in photosynthesis (Mann *et al.*, 2003; Lindell *et al.*, 2005; Fridman *et al.*, 2017) and photoprotection (Lindell *et al.*, 2004; Millard *et al.*, 2004; Sullivan *et al.*, 2005; Roitman *et al.*, 2018), pigment biosynthesis (Dammeyer *et al.*, 2008), central carbon metabolism (Millard *et al.*, 2009; Thompson *et al.*, 2011), nucleotide biosynthesis (Enav *et al.*, 2014), phosphorus metabolism (Sullivan *et al.*, 2010; Zeng and Chisholm 2012; Lin *et al.*, 2016) and other stress responses (Sullivan *et al.*, 2010; Crummett *et al.*, 2016).

Amongst the cyanophage AMGs MazG is a core gene in cyanomyoviruses (Millard *et al.*, 2009; Sullivan *et al.*, 2010) and of particular interest since it has been proposed to play a more general role in regulating host metabolism (Clokie and Mann 2006; Clokie *et al.*, 2010). In *Escherichia coli* MazG has been implicated in regulating programmed cell death by interfering with the function of the MazEF toxin-antitoxin system, through lowering of cellular (p)ppGpp levels (Gross *et al.*, 2006).
This latter molecule guanosine 3’5’ bispyrophosphate, together with guanosine pentaphosphate also known as magic spot nucleotides, is a global regulator of gene expression in bacteria (Traxler et al., 2008) synthesised by RelA under amino acid starvation. Since MazG can potentially regulate levels of (p)ppGpp in E. coli, a similar role has been proposed for the cyanophage encoded MazG (Clokie and Mann 2006). This is pertinent given that picocyanobacterial hosts like "Synechococcus" and "Prochlorococcus" occupy oligotrophic conditions (see Scanlan et al., 2009; Biller et al., 2015) where nutrient starvation is likely and (p)ppGpp may be involved in adapting to this stressed state. By regulating (p)ppGpp levels the cyanophage encoded MazG may trick the host into mimicking a nutrient replete cellular state so that host cell physiology is optimised for macromolecular synthesis and hence cyanophage replication. The MazG protein belongs to the all-nucleoside triphosphate pyrophosphohydrolase (NTP-PPase, EC 3.6.1.8) superfamily that hydrolyzes in vitro all canonical nucleoside triphosphates into monophosphate derivatives and pyrophosphate (PPI) (Moroz et al., 2005; Galperin et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2010). Here, we set out to purify the cyanophage S-PM2 MazG protein as well as a "Synechococcus" host MazG to assess their activity and ability to hydrolyse (p)ppGpp, canonical and non-canonical nucleotides.

Results
Picocyanobacterial host and cyanophage MazG proteins are phylogenetically distinct (Fig. 1) and with an origin of the cyanophage MazG outside the cyanobacteria since the closest proposed homologue to date is a Chloroflexus protein (Bryan et al., 2008; Sullivan et al., 2010). Picocyanobacteria encode two genes annotated as MazG, a ‘large’ MazG version similar to that found in most bacteria, and a ‘small’ version which is similar in size to the cyanophage gene (Fig. 2). The ‘large’ MazG version
has two predicted catalytic regions functionally annotated as MazG family domains (IPR004518) whilst the ‘small’ MazG and cyanophage proteins has only one (Fig. 2). In order to assess the hydrolytic activity of the host and cyanophage MazG proteins we cloned into E. coli, over-expressed and purified the host Synechococcus sp. WH7803 MazG, using the ‘large’ MazG version (Syn_WH7803_02449) as a proxy for other host bacterial MazG proteins, and the cyanophage S-PM2 MazG (Fig. 3; for experimental details see Supplementary Information). The activity of the cyanophage and Synechococcus host MazG proteins was assessed using increasing concentrations of a range of nucleotide and deoxyribonucleotide substrates using 1 µg of the purified protein, and the amount of free phosphate resulting from enzyme activity measured using the PiPER pyrophosphate assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific; see Supplementary Information). This allowed determination of $K_m$, $V_{max}$ and $K_{cat}$ values for each protein across a range of substrates (Table 1). $K_m$ values of the Synechococcus sp. WH7803 ‘large’ MazG and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG proteins were generally in the low mM range for a range of nucleotides and deoxyribonucleotides, similar to MazG $K_m$ values reported from other bacteria for these substrates (Lu et al., 2010). The measured $V_{max}$ of the Synechococcus host MazG was highest when incubated with dTTP, whilst the viral MazG exhibited highest activity when incubated with the deoxyribonucleotides dGTP and dCTP (Fig. 4). In addition to these standard nucleotides, the viral MazG protein was also incubated with the “aberrant” nucleotides dUTP, 2-hydroxy-dATP and 8-oxo-dGTP. dUTP is one of the most common of these mutagenic nucleotides, produced as a by-product of thymine biosynthesis (Galperin et al., 2006), whilst 2-hydroxy-dATP and 8-oxo-dGTP are mutagenic nucleotides produced as a result of intracellular oxidative stress (Kamiya and Kasai, 2000; Galperin et al., 2006). Interestingly, the $V_{max}$ values
of the viral MazG when incubated with dUTP, 2-hydroxy-dATP and 8-oxo-dGTP were not significantly different to those of the canonical nucleotides (Table 1; Fig. 4), while the Km values for these substrates were higher (Table 1), suggesting that dGTP and dCTP are the preferred substrates of the cyanophage MazG protein.

In order to directly assess whether the *Synechococcus* and cyanophage MazG proteins play a role in (p)ppGpp metabolism we performed both hydrolysis and DRAcALA binding assays (Corrigan, *et al.*., 2016), using $^{32}$P-labeled GTP, ppGpp and pppGpp. In both assays, neither the *Synechococcus* nor cyanophage MazG showed any binding or hydrolysis activity against (p)ppGpp (Fig 5a), whilst hydrolysis activity was confirmed for both orthologues against $^{32}$P-labeled GTP (Figure 5b).

**Discussion**

Although the presence and identity of AMGs in bacteriophage genomes is widely appreciated (Millard *et al.*, 2009; Sullivan *et al.*, 2010; Crummet *et al.*, 2016) the specific role of many of these genes has not been resolved. Here, we sought to elucidate the activity of the cyanophage MazG protein given its hypothesized role as a more general modulator of the host stringent response, and with previous data suggesting cyanophage can modulate intracellular levels of (p)ppGpp in infected freshwater cyanobacteria (Borbély *et al.*, 1980).

Our results showed however, that neither the *Synechococcus* nor cyanophage MazG protein demonstrated detectable hydrolytic activity towards ppGpp or pppGpp (Fig. 5), suggesting these two proteins do not actively modulate the stringent response via direct hydrolysis of magic spot nucleotides. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out a role for these proteins in regulating the stringent response indirectly through hydrolysis of other nucleotide substrates e.g. GTP. Whilst the role
of the ‘small’ *Synechococcus* host MazG also requires clarification in this respect, it is potentially the predicted bifunctional *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803 SpoT orthologue (SynWH7803_2342) that serves the role of regulating alarmone levels during the stringent response in these organisms, a protein known to both synthesize and hydrolyse (p)ppGpp in other bacteria (see e.g. Murray and Bremer, 1996; Hogg et al., 2004). Interestingly, there were distinct differences in the hydrolytic activities of the *Synechococcus* host and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG proteins towards other canonical and non-canonical nucleotides (Fig.4, Table 1) with much higher $V_{\text{max}}$ values of the viral MazG towards dGTP and dCTP coupled with a much higher affinity of the viral MazG for dGTP compared to its host counterpart. Such different kinetic parameters mirror differences in %GC content between the cyanophage and *Synechococcus* host genomes, with the former possessing a GC content of 37.7% (Mann et al., 2005) and the latter a GC content of 60.2% (Dufresne et al., 2008).

With this in mind, we suggest that the substrate specificity of the viral MazG allows it to preferentially hydrolyse dGTP and dCTP deoxyribonucleotides from the high GC content host *Synechococcus* genome allowing for their recycling and ultimately facilitating replication of the AT-rich cyanophage genome. Whether such a mechanism is applicable to, or modified in, *Prochlorococcus* infecting cyanophage whose genomes generally possess a similar %GC content (Sullivan et al., 2005; Limor-Weisberg et al., 2011) remains to be determined. Certainly, it is well known that following infection with cyanophage, the host genome is rapidly degraded (Doron et al., 2016). Moreover, analysis of viral metagenomes has shown an enrichment of metabolic pathways involved in pyrimidine and purine metabolism as well as in DNA replication (Enav et al., 2014), emphasizing the importance of these pathways during viral infection.
Our work with the viral MazG thus highlights that cyanophage genomes appear exquisitely suited to promote degradation of the host genome in order to reuse its building blocks to replicate the viral genome.
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Table 1 – Kinetic parameters of enzymatic activity of *Synechococcus* WH7803 and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG protein. The values in brackets represent standard error based on three replicates. ND – not detected; - not measured.

|                  | Vmax (nmol/µg/min) | Km (mM)     | Kcat (min⁻¹) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                  | Synechococcus sp. WH7803 | Cyanophage S-PM2 | Synechococcus sp. WH7803 | Cyanophage S-PM2 | Synechococcus sp. WH7803 | Cyanophage S-PM2 |
| dATP             | 1.8 (±0.28)       | 1.62 (±0.19) | 0.3 (+/-0.09) | 1.2 (±0.21)     | 126.12 (±19.35) | 62.97 (±7.44)     |
| dCTP             | 3.81 (±0.36)      | 8.86 (±0.2)  | 0.14 (±0.03)  | 1.16 (±0.04)    | 267.68 (±25.02) | 344.68 (±7.72)    |
| dTTP             | 6.57 (±0.19)      | 5.68 (±0.2)  | ND           | 1.23 (±0.06)    | 461.04 (±13.43) | 221.00 (±7.78)    |
| dGTP             | 0.64 (±0.25)      | 10.29 (±0.25)| 0.85 (±0.07) | 0.14 (±0.01)    | 45.16 (±17.6)   | 400.35 (±9.91)    |
| ATP              | 2.55 (±0.35)      | 2.28 (±0.24) | 0.63 (±0.23) | 1.43 (±0.36)    | 179.27 (±24.4)  | 88.7 (±9.41)      |
| CTP              | 1.96 (±0.14)      | 2.51 (±0.17) | 1.2 (±0.21)  | 0.85 (±0.11)    | 137.81 (±9.81)  | 97.48 (±6.68)     |
| GTP              | 0.7 (±0.13)       | 0.3 (±0.02)  | 0.26 (±0.02) | ND              | 49.46 (±9.19)   | 11.67 (±0.6)      |
| UTP              | 3.02 (±0.2)       | 3.31 (±0.18) | 1.33 (±0.3)  | 0.6 (±0.37)     | 221.07 (±6)     | 128.75 (±7.12)    |
| dUTP             | -                 | 4.22 (±0.34) | -            | 3.24 (±1.55)    | -                | 296.42 (±23.72)   |
| 2-hydroxy d-ATP  | -                 | 1.65 (±0.06) | -            | 4.86 (±1.13)    | -                | 115.65 (±3.95)    |
| 8-oxo-dGTP       | -                 | ND           | -            | ND              | -                | ND                |
Figure legends

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree comprising 66 bacterial and viral MazG sequences. The tree was generated using the LG+G4 substitution model, automatically chosen by the Iqtree script (Nguyen et al., 2015), with ultrafast bootstrap (Minh, et al., 2013). Bootstrap values of >70% are shown as closed circles (of 1000 iterations). The scale bar represents 0.5 substitutions/amino acid position. Syn: Synechococcus; Pro: Prochlorococcus. The red asterisks indicate the Synechococcus and cyanophage proteins used here.

Figure 2. (A) InterProScan5-predicted (Jones et al., 2014) pyrophosphatase catalytic domains in cyanophage S-PM2 MazG, “small” Synechococcus sp. WH7803 MazG (Syn_WH7803_01219), “large” Synechococcus sp. WH7803 MazG (Syn_WH7803_02449) and E. coli MazG orthologues. Numbers above each domain represent the position of amino acids in each of the domains. (B) ClustalW pairwise alignment of E. coli, "large" Synechococcus sp. WH7803, “small” Synechococcus sp. WH7803, and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG orthologues.

Figure 3. (A) SDS-PAGE analysis of E. coli whole cell lysates expressing Synechococcus sp. WH7803 'large' MazG (lanes 1 and 3) and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG proteins (lanes 2 and 4). L: Protein molecular weight marker ladder. Lanes 1 and 2 un-induced, lanes 3 and 4 IPTG-induced. Arrows indicate the positions of the over-expressed proteins. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis showing purification of the cyanophage S-PM2 MazG protein from E. coli. L: Protein molecular weight marker ladder. UB: The unbound fraction (proteins that did not bind to the column). W1-W5: fractions washed off the column with binding buffer. E1-E6: Fractions eluted with increasing concentrations of imidazole (30mM, 50mM, 100mM, 150mM, 200mM and
300mM, respectively). SB – stripping buffer. The arrow indicates the position of the over-expressed cyanophage S-PM2 MazG protein.

Figure 4: Relative maximal activity ($V_{max}$) of the *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803 ‘large’ MazG and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG proteins against a range of canonical and non-canonical nucleotide and deoxyribonucleotide substrates, normalised to the activity of the cyanophage S-PM2 MazG using dGTP as a substrate. Error bars represent the standard error based on three replicate experiments.

Figure 5. (A) Upper panel: DRaCALA binding assays, using $^{32}$P-labeled GTP, ppGpp and pppGpp incubated with purified *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803 ‘large’ MazG and cyanophage S-PM2 MazG proteins. MBP – maltose binding protein, used as a negative control. RsgA – purified RsgA protein from *S. aureus*, used as a positive control. Syn MazG: *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803 ‘large’ MazG. Viral MazG: cyanophage S-PM2 MazG. Lower panel: Bar chart representation of the fraction of substrate bound to each protein, as measured by densitometry. MazG (S): *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803 ‘large’ MazG. MazG (V): cyanophage S-PM2 MazG. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three experimental replicates. (B) Hydrolysis assay using purified *Synechococcus* sp. WH7803 ‘large’ MazG (Syn MazG), cyanophage S-PM2 MazG (Viral MazG), MBP and RsgA proteins with $^{32}$P-labelled GTP, ppGpp and pppGpp. The arrow highlights the absence of hydrolysis of $^{32}$P-labelled ppGpp and pppGpp substrates.
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