Dietary Factors of blaNDM Carriage in Health Community Population: A Cross-Sectional Study
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Abstract: Aim: There is an ongoing debate as to what extent antimicrobial resistance (AMR) can be transmitted from dietary to humans via the consumption of food products. We investigated this association between dietary and global spreading carbapenem-resistant gene blaNDM Methods: We did a cross-sectional study to assess the risk factors for carrier of blaNDM in health community. Healthy adults were recruited from the residents attending Community Healthcare Service in Shenzhen City (Guangdong Province, China), through 1 February 2018 to 31 December 2019, and 718 pre-participants were included in this study. Questionnaire were obtained and the qualitative food frequency questionnaire (Q-FQ) were used to assess dietary intake. qPCR was applied to confirm the carrier of blaNDM in participants’ fecal samples. Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of each outcome according to each dietary factor before and after prosperity score matching (PSM). Results: we showed that a high intake of coarse grain (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.005, p < 0.01) and root and tuber crops (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.004, p < 0.05) were independent risk factor for blaNDM carrier in health communities, suggesting a possible transfer of AMR between diet and humans. Surprisingly, we also showed an association between a higher intake of poultry as a protective, which may be explained by the beneficial effects on the gut microbiota. Conclusion: Dietary factors such as intake of coarse grain, root and tuber crops and poultry were associated with blaNDM carrier in health communities. The influence of dietary factors on blaNDM carrier in the present study provides insights for the tangible dietary advice with guidelines to the routine of people with the risk of blaNDM carrier. This demonstrates the role of dietary intake in the prevention of blaNDM carrier, since prevention is the best way to control modifiable risk factors. A lower carrier rate of blaNDM is helpful to reduce the possibility of transmission and pathogenicity. Further studies on food, microbiota and antimicrobial resistance are necessary to confirm this possible association and unravel underlying mechanisms.
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1. Introduction

The emergence and spread of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) represents an emergent and serious threat to global public health systems [1]. The main mechanism for CRE is carbapenemases which belong to β-lactamases [2] and divided into three classes as follows: Class A (KPC, SME and so on); Class B (metallo-β-lactamases, including NDM, IMP and VIM); and Class D (OXA-like) [3].

Carbapenem antibiotics have never been licensed for veterinary use in any country worldwide. While a growing number of carbapenemases found from non-human sources have been reported [4–7]. Despite the expansion of the New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-1 (NDM-1) worldwide, recent years NDM has been frequently found from non-human sources such as food animals, vegetables and water in China [8–15]. Since the food chain
has serve as a reservoir for resistance genes, due to the lack of epidemiological data on the role of diet in resistance genes in humans, there is an ongoing debate as to what extent AMR such as carbapenem resistance can be transmitted from dietary intake to humans via the consumption of food products [16].

It has been found a distinct susceptibility pattern such as low antimicrobial resistance in community-acquired CRE isolates compared to healthcare-acquired carbapenem-resistant [17]. The epidemiology and risk factors associated with healthcare-acquired CRE has been widely studied [18,19]. However, the epidemiology as well as risk factors associated with CRE colonization in health community population remain poorly understood. Resistome \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) widely exists in many kinds of strains, and could transfer between different strains through plasmids [20]. Therefore, the carrier of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) increased the risk of its spread in the population. Considering the frequency which \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) were found in food stuff and environment, potential associations between the dietary factors and \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier (among health community population) have not been explored. The primary aim of this study is to investigate the association between dietary factor and \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier, using data from a health community population in Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China, through 1 February 2018 to 31 December 2019.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

We did a cross-sectional study to assess the risk factors for carrier of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) in health community. Fresh fecal samples were collected from healthy volunteers from 1 February 2018 to 31 December 2019, attending Community Healthcare Service in Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China. We compared risk factors for \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier (\( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \)-positive cases from communities) with \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \)-negative attending from communities. We excluded pregnant women, neonates, volunteers with gastroenteritis and patients with gastrointestinal cancer, gastrointestinal surgery, peptic ulcer, gastrointestinal bleeding, inflammatory bowel disease (e.g., Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis), intestinal polyps, intestinal fistula, anal fistula or anal fissure. For the analysis of risk factors of colonization study, we obtained participants’ demographics, ICU admission, residence and proximity to commercial animal farms, education and income, dietary pattern and drinking water and antibiotic use before and during hospital admission from medical records and a survey questionnaire. At first, participants were briefly informed about the objectives of the survey. All participants completed the written informed consent before data collection. Then all required data were collected through face-to-face method by a trained interviewer at Community Healthcare Service. Ethical approval was given by Medical Ethic Committee of Shenzhen Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Individual consent forms were translated into Mandarin and consent was obtained for all volunteers face to face. All participants held the right to withdraw from the study at any stage.

2.2. Data Collection

Data was obtained by trained personnel, who interviewed all the participants face to face. Participants would undergo a standardized physical examination, anthropometric measurements, blood routine and urine routine test, based on the prepared questionnaire. The following information was also collected: age, gender, weight (kilograms), height (centimeters), blood pressure, education level, dietary habits (dietary pattern, tea drinking, alcohol consumption and so on), dietary supplement such as medical usage (lipid-lowering agents, blood pressure medication, hypoglycemic agents, insulin, pain killer, anticoagulants, soporific, asthma medicine, antitussive, amcinonide and so on), antibiotic usage (penicillin, floxacin, polymyxin, metronidazole, cephalosporins, streptomycin, tetracycline, erythromycin, carbopenems, glycopeptides and so on) and probiotic supplementation, lifestyles (smoking history, level of physical activity, degree of satiety, adopt pets or not) and other items. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided
by height in meters squared. Venous blood samples were collected after an overnight fast (at least 8 h) for measurement of biochemistry indicators.

A qualitative food frequency questionnaire (Q-FFQ) was used to assess dietary data. The Q-FFQ covered the dietary frequency of 24 major food groups and consumption amount of 20 food groups. The following food groups were included: beef, poultry, pork, seafood, fish, dairy products, soybean products, nuts, eggs, yoghurt, fruits, vegetables, potatoes and dessert. Dietary factors were derived from the 20 food groups according to the Chinese balance dietary pagoda.

2.3. Direct Sample Testing with PCR and NDM Genes Quantification with qPCR

Samples were enriched in 50 mL of BHI broth (Land Bridge, Beijing, China) containing 0.25 mg/L meropenem and 30 mg/L vancomycin at 37 °C overnight. Total DNA were extracted from 1 mL of each enriched culture described above via the boiling method and used for a PCR. PCR amplifications were performed using a Biometra thermocycler (Biometra T Gradient, Germany). The total DNA of the fecal samples were extracted via a DNeasePowerSoil Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s manuscript protocol. The concentration and quality of the extracted DNA samples were determined via a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Primers NDM-F (5′-TGGCAGCACACTTCCTATCTC-3′) and NDM-R (5′-ATACCGCCCATCTTGTCTCTG-3′) were used to quantify the abundance of blaNDM in the samples by quantitative PCR (qPCR) via the ABI 7500 sequencer (Life Technologies, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) with PowerUp SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The optimized experimental protocol for qPCR was as follows: 95 °C for 2 min, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 40 s. The data were analyzed with the manufacturer’s software (ABI 7500 software 2.3, Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA). The target genes NDM were obtained by PCR amplification from the DNA extracts, and validated by sequencing (BGI, Beijing, China). The target genes were then cloned into E.coli DH5α using pMD19-T vector. The plasmid containing the target genes were extracted and used as positive controls in PCR and qPCR assays.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The characteristics were expressed as the mean and percentages for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Baseline demographic and laboratorial characteristics were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or a number with percentage. The differences between the groups were examined by the Student’s t-test, or Chi-square test, depending on data types. Logistic regression models were conducted to assess the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for each dietary factor on blaNDM carrier. Specifically, an association model was developed to study the dietary intake that best predicts blaNDM carrier. First, all categorized food groups were univariately tested in the model with absence or presence of blaNDM gene as an outcome. All categorized food groups with p < 0.2 were included in the association model, which also included the previously described potential confounders: sex, age, number of previously prescribed antimicrobial drugs (penicillin, floxacin, polymyxin and metronidazole), diabetes, triglycerideindex, body mass index (BMI). Next, backward selection with p < 0.2 as end point (only for the food groups) was conducted to determine the final model. Spearman’s correlation coefficients for the food groups were calculated. To prevent collinearity, food groups with a correlation >0.7 were not added to the same model. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was calculated to evaluate the multicollinearity of multiple models. A VIF < 10 indicates that the multicollinearity may not affect the estimations [21]. The Hosmere-Lemeshow test was performed to test the goodness-of-fit of the model. Propensity score matching (PSM) was performed using psmatch2 (Figure 1). All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), STATA 12.0 (StataCorp, Texas City, TX, USA) and R 3.3.3 (Lucent Technologies, Murray Hill, NJ, USA). p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
3. Results

3.1. Laboratory Investigations

For the prevalence study, we analyzed 668 fecal samples from health communities living in Shenzhen, Guangdong, China. We found 99 bla<sub>NDM</sub> positive samples through qPCR analysis. While only 35 positives were detected through direct sample testing and only 3 isolates resistant to 0.25 mg/L meropenem and 30 mg/L vancomycin at 37 °C were selected and cultured finally (data not shown).

3.2. Demographic Characters

The general demographic characteristics of participants are summarized in Table 1. The mean age was 57 years. No significant difference between the bla<sub>NDM</sub> carrier and bla<sub>NDM</sub> non-carrier was observed with respect to age, gender, medical contact in recent three months, gastrointestinal disorders (including intestinal inflammation, peptic ulcer, irritable bowel syndrome, gastrointestinal bleeding), hypertension, hyperlipemia and smoking status (p > 0.05). Compared to the non-carrier, participants carrying bla<sub>NDM</sub> were reported greater prevalence with BMI, dietary factor (meat-based, vegetarian-based or balanced diet), degree of satiety, blood triglyceride and were more likely to have mental illness at the same moment (p < 0.05). Otherwise, the prevalence between bla<sub>NDM</sub> carrier and antibiotic usage in recent three months (including penicillin, floxacin, polymyxin and metronidazole), drinking status, physical exercise and diabetes were reported marginally significant (p < 0.1). Other demographics were summarized in Supplementary Material, Table S1.
| Variables                                      | Rank   | All Participants (n = 515) | bla\textsubscript{NDM} Carrier (n = 99) | bla\textsubscript{NDM} Non-Carrier (n = 416) | p-Value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                                     |        | 57.16 ± 12.157              | 57.19 ± 12.193                       | 57.02 ± 12.063                              | 0.953   |
| BMI                                            |        | 24.26 ± 3.146               | 25.51 ± 7.868                        | 24.27 ± 3.448                               | 0.038 * |
| Gender, n (%)                                   | Male   | 335 (65.0)                  | 39 (39.4)                           | 141 (33.9)                                  | 0.302   |
|                                                | Female | 180 (35.0)                  | 60 (60.6)                           | 275 (66.1)                                  |         |
| Medical contact in recent three months, n (%)   | No     | 31 (6.0)                    | 6 (6.1)                             | 25 (6.0)                                    | 0.985   |
|                                                | Yes    | 484 (94.0)                  | 93 (93.9)                           | 391 (94.0)                                  |         |
| Antibiotic usage in recent three months, n (%) | No     | 466 (91.4)                  | 94 (95.9)                           | 372 (90.3)                                  | 0.075   |
|                                                | Yes    | 44 (8.6)                    | 4 (4.1)                             | 40 (9.7)                                    |         |
| Smoking, n (%)                                  | No     | 421 (81.7)                  | 82 (82.8)                           | 339 (81.5)                                  | 0.725   |
|                                                | Yes    | 42 (8.2)                    | 9 (9.1)                             | 33 (7.9)                                    |         |
|                                                | Quit   | 52 (10.1)                   | 8 (8.1)                             | 44 (10.6)                                   |         |
| Drinking, n (%)                                 | No     | 411 (79.8)                  | 76 (76.8)                           | 335 (80.5)                                  | 0.078   |
|                                                | Yes    | 73 (14.2)                   | 20 (20.2)                           | 53 (12.7)                                   |         |
|                                                | Quit   | 31 (6.0)                    | 3 (3.0)                             | 28 (6.7)                                    |         |
| Physical exercise, n (%)                        | No     | 89 (17.3)                   | 23 (23.2)                           | 66 (15.9)                                   | 0.081   |
|                                                | Yes    | 426 (82.7)                  | 76 (76.8)                           | 350 (84.1)                                  |         |
| Dietary pattern, n (%)                          | Meat-based | 31 (6.0)                   | 4 (4.0)                             | 27 (6.5)                                    | 0.008 **|
|                                                | Balanced diet | 372 (72.2)                | 66 (66.7)                           | 306 (73.6)                                  |         |
|                                                | Vegetarian-based | 110 (21.4)                | 27 (27.3)                           | 83 (20.0)                                   |         |
| Degree of satiety, n (%)                        | Full   | 45 (8.8)                    | 7 (7.1)                             | 38 (9.2)                                    | 0.046 * |
|                                                | <90 percent | 165 (32.1)                 | 21 (21.2)                           | 144 (34.7)                                  |         |
|                                                | <80 percent | 218 (42.4)                 | 56 (56.6)                           | 162 (39.0)                                  |         |
|                                                | <70 percent | 78 (15.2)                 | 14 (14.1)                           | 64 (15.4)                                   |         |
|                                                | <60 percent | 6 (1.2)                   | 1 (1.0)                             | 5 (1.2)                                     |         |
| Pet                                            | No     | 482 (94.0)                  | 96 (97.0)                           | 386 (93.2)                                  | 0.161   |
|                                                | Yes    | 31 (6.0)                    | 3 (3.0)                             | 28 (6.8)                                    |         |
| Hypertension, n (%)                             | No     | 388 (75.3)                  | 71 (71.7)                           | 317 (76.2)                                  | 0.352   |
|                                                | Yes    | 127 (24.7)                  | 28 (28.3)                           | 99 (23.8)                                   |         |
| Hyperlipemia, n (%)                             | No     | 411 (80.0)                  | 82 (82.8)                           | 329 (79.3)                                  | 0.428   |
|                                                | Yes    | 103 (20.0)                  | 17 (17.2)                           | 86 (20.7)                                   |         |
| Obesity, n (%)                                  | No     | 467 (90.7)                  | 91 (91.9)                           | 376 (90.4)                                  | 0.637   |
|                                                | Yes    | 48 (9.3)                    | 8 (8.1)                             | 40 (9.6)                                    |         |
| Diabetes, n (%)                                 | No     | 472 (91.7)                  | 95 (96.0)                           | 377 (90.6)                                  | 0.085   |
|                                                | Yes    | 43 (8.3)                    | 4 (4.0)                             | 39 (9.4)                                    |         |
| Gastrointestinal disorders, n (%)               | No     | 435 (84.5)                  | 80 (80.8)                           | 355 (85.3)                                  | 0.264   |
|                                                | Yes    | 80 (15.5)                   | 19 (19.2)                           | 61 (14.7)                                   |         |
| Mental illness, n (%)                           | No     | 511 (99.4)                  | 97 (98.0)                           | 414 (99.8)                                  | 0.037 * |
|                                                | Yes    | 3 (0.6)                     | 2 (2.0)                             | 1 (0.2)                                     |         |
| Blood urine acid                                | <202 µmol/L (male) | 6 (1.2)                    | 3 (3.0)                             | 3 (0.7)                                     | 0.150   |
|                                                | <142 µmol/L (female) | 202–416 µmol/L (male) | 351 (68.2)              | 65 (65.7)                                   | 286 (68.8) |
|                                                |       | <142–339 µmol/L (female) | 65 (65.7)                           | 286 (68.8)                                  |         |
|                                                |       | >416 µmol/L (male)          | 158 (30.7)                          | 31 (31.3)                                   |         |
|                                                |       | >339 µmol/L (female)        | 127 (30.5)                          |         |
Table 1. Cont.

| Variables                  | Rank          | All Participants (n = 515) | blaNDM Carrier (n = 99) | blaNDM Non-Carrier (n = 416) | blaNDM Carrier vs. Non-Carrier, p-Value |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Triglyceride, n (%)        | <1.7 mmol/L   | 346 (67.2)                 | 58 (58.6)                | 288 (69.2)                  | 0.043 *                                |
|                            | ≥1.7 mmol/L   | 169 (32.8)                 | 41 (41.4)                | 128 (30.8)                  |                                        |
| Fast blood sugar (FBS), n (%) | <3.9 mmol/L  | 6 (1.2)                    | 3 (3.0)                  | 3 (0.7)                     | 0.109                                  |
|                            | 3.9~6.1 mmol/L| 443 (86.0)                 | 81 (81.8)                | 362 (87.0)                  |                                        |
|                            | >6.1 mmol/L   | 66 (12.8)                  | 15 (15.2)                | 51 (12.3)                   |                                        |

ANOVA was used for statistical comparisons between quantitative variables, and chi-square test was used for statistical comparisons between qualitative variables. Weighted values are means and their SD (continuous variables) or percentages (categorical variables). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 significantly associated with prevalence of blaNDM carrier.

3.3. Dietary Factor

Of all participants in this study, 515 had a fecal culture collection, total DNA extraction and completed an FFQ. The associations between FFQ and blaNDM carrier are shown in Table 2. The blaNDM carrier was significantly associated with greater intake of root and tuber crops and coarse grains. The blaNDM carrier was significantly associated with less intake of poultry, fruit and yogurt (p < 0.05). Since participants carrying blaNDM were reported greater prevalence of BMI, the p value was adjusted by BMI quartile. As shown in Table 2, the additional adjustment for different food intake did not substantially change the magnitude of these associations. All categorized food groups were univariately tested in the model with absence or presence of blaNDM gene as an outcome (Table 3). Most FFQ were low correlated with each other, and the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients ranged from −0.007 to 0.402 (Table S2). A higher intake of root and tuber crops was associated with blaNDM carrier (OR 1.001; 95% CI 1.000–1.003). Furthermore, a higher intake of coarse grains was associated with blaNDM carrier (OR 1.002; 95% CI 1.001–1.004). In contrast, a recommended intake of fruit was associated with lower prevalence of blaNDM carrier (OR 0.337; 95% CI 0.142–0.801) (Table 3).
Table 2. Association between dietary intake of the participants and the carriage of bla<sub>NDM</sub> gene.

| Figure 515. | Rank    | All Participants (n = 515) | bla<sub>NDM</sub> Carrier (n = 99) | bla<sub>NDM</sub> Non-Carrier (n = 416) | bla<sub>NDM</sub> Carrier vs. Non-Carrier, p-Value | Adjust p-Value |
|-------------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Oil         | Lack    | 111 (21.6)                | 16 (16.2)                         | 95 (22.8)                           | 0.149                                         | 0.145          |
|             | Recommended | 82 (15.9)                | 21 (21.2)                         | 61 (14.7)                           |                                                |                |
|             | Over     | 322 (62.5)                | 62 (62.6)                         | 260 (62.5)                          |                                                |                |
| Vegetable oil |        |                           | 49.70 ± 35.66                     | 49.39 ± 29.07                      | 49.77 ± 37.09                                 | 0.232          |
| Animal fats  |         |                           | 2.60 ± 8.84                       | 3.02 ± 9.57                        | 2.50 ± 8.67                                   | 0.294          |
| Livestock and poultry | | 220 (42.7) | 44 (44.4) | 176 (42.3) | 0.892 | 0.897 |
|             | Lack    | 113 (21.9)                | 22 (22.2)                         | 91 (21.9)                           |                                                |                |
|             | Recommended | 182 (35.3)                | 33 (33.3)                         | 149 (35.8)                          |                                                |                |
|             | Over     | 322 (62.5)                | 62 (62.6)                         | 260 (62.5)                          |                                                |                |
| Pork        |         |                           | 87.84 ± 99.25                     | 89.43 ± 98.27                      | 87.46 ± 99.59                                 | 0.957          |
| Beef        |         |                           | 16.15 ± 31.27                     | 17.18 ± 32.93                      | 15.91 ± 30.90                                 | 0.800          |
| Mutton      |         |                           | 4.22 ± 17.87                      | 5.44 ± 25.34                       | 3.93 ± 15.60                                  | 0.350          |
| Poultry     |         |                           | 41.49 ± 77.00                     | 34.32 ± 58.82                      | 43.19 ± 80.69                                 | 0.043 *        |
| Gamey meat  |         |                           | 0.50 ± 4.71                       | 0.25 ± 1.71                        | 0.56 ± 5.17                                   | 0.399          |
| Animal inards |       |                           | 2.13 ± 7.30                       | 2.36 ± 6.30                        | 2.08 ± 7.53                                   | 0.830          |
| Aquatic product |    |                           | 306 (59.4)                        | 58 (58.6)                          | 248 (59.6)                                    | 0.516          |
|             | Recommended | 98 (19.0)                | 16 (16.2)                         | 82 (19.7)                           |                                                | 0.524          |
|             | Over     | 111 (21.6)                | 25 (25.3)                         | 86 (16.7)                           |                                                |                |
| Freshwater fish |     |                           | 43.48 ± 75.88                     | 48.15 ± 76.55                      | 42.36 ± 75.77                                 | 0.771          |
| Marine fish |         |                           | 15.92 ± 32.24                     | 16.20 ± 28.26                      | 15.85 ± 33.15                                 | 0.731          |
| Egg         |         |                           | 202 (39.2)                        | 41 (41.4)                          | 161 (38.7)                                    | 0.517          |
|             | Recommended | 273 (53.0)                | 53 (53.5)                         | 220 (52.9)                          |                                                | 0.508          |
|             | Over     | 40 (7.8)                  | 5 (5.1)                           | 35 (8.4)                            |                                                | 0.030 *        |
| Vegetables  |         |                           | 196 (38.1)                        | 28 (28.3)                          | 168 (40.4)                                    | 0.030 *        |
|             | Recommended | 206 (40.0)                | 41 (41.4)                         | 165 (39.7)                          |                                                |                |
|             | Over     | 113 (21.9)                | 30 (30.3)                         | 83 (20.0)                           |                                                |                |
| Leafy vegetables |      |                           | 256.62 ± 190.15                   | 268.14 ± 148.09                    | 253.88 ± 198.91                               | 0.293          |
| Root and tuber crops | | 127.18 ± 148.89          | 156.96 ± 207.11                   | 120.09 ± 130.63                    |                                                | 0.002 **       |
| Fruit       |         |                           | 438 (85.0)                        | 92 (92.9)                          | 346 (83.2)                                    | 0.036 *        |
|             | Recommended | 73 (14.2)                | 6 (5.1)                           | 67 (16.1)                           |                                                | 0.033 *        |
|             | Over     | 4 (0.8)                   | 1 (1.0)                           | 3 (0.7)                             |                                                |                |
| Grains      |         |                           | 12 (2.3)                          | 1 (1.0)                            | 11 (2.6)                                      | 0.135          |
|             | Recommended | 70 (13.6)                | 19 (19.2)                         | 51 (12.3)                           |                                                | 0.155          |
|             | Over     | 433 (84.1)                | 79 (79.8)                         | 354 (85.1)                          |                                                |                |
| Rice        |         |                           | 305.71 ± 255.39                   | 294.62 ± 247.09                    | 308.35 ± 257.55                               | 0.461          |
| Wheat       |         |                           | 77.21 ± 86.80                     | 68.70 ± 93.17                      | 79.24 ± 85.21                                 | 0.311          |
| Coarse grains |       |                           | 89.27 ± 114.69                    | 119.38 ± 159.80                    | 82.11 ± 99.97                                 | 0.000 *        |
| Dairy product |       |                           | 456 (88.5)                        | 93 (93.6)                          | 363 (87.3)                                    | 0.061          |
|             | Recommended | 0 (0.0)                  | 0 (0.0)                           | 0 (0.0)                             |                                                | 0.057          |
|             | Over     | 59 (11.5)                 | 6 (6.1)                           | 53 (12.7)                           |                                                |                |
| Milk or milk-products | | 94.38 ± 118.49          | 85.47 ± 104.56                    | 96.51 ± 121.59                     |                                                | 0.129          |
| Yogurt      |         |                           | 29.66 ± 62.64                     | 21.19 ± 41.33                      | 31.67 ± 66.60                                 | 0.010 *        |
| Soybean and its product | | 408 (79.2) | 85 (85.9) | 323 (77.6) | 0.194 | 0.196 |
|             | Recommended | 22 (4.3)                 | 3 (3.0)                           | 19 (4.6)                            |                                                |                |
|             | Over     | 85 (16.5)                 | 11 (11.1)                         | 74 (17.8)                           |                                                |                |

ANOVA was used for statistical comparisons between quantitative variables, and chi-square test was used for statistical comparisons between qualitative variables. Values shown are based on mean ± SD. Adjust p value, p value adjusted by BMI quartile. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 significantly associated with prevalence of bla<sub>NDM</sub> carrier.
Table 3. Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) for fecal carriage of \textit{bla}_{NDM} gene associated with dietary FFQ.

| Food Groups                        | Crude OR | 95% CI | p     | Model 1 OR | 95% CI | p     | Model 2 OR | 95% CI | p     |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------|-------|------------|--------|-------|------------|--------|-------|
| **Drinking**                       |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| No                                 | 1.000    |        | 0.085 | 1.000      |        | 0.006 **|            |        |       |
| Yes                                | 1.663    | 0.939  | 2.946 | 0.081      | 2.348  | 1.241 | 4.442      | 0.099 **|       |
| Quit                               | 0.472    | 0.140  | 1.594 | 0.227      | 0.264  | 0.056 | 1.247      | 0.093 |       |
| **Antibiotic usage in recent three months** |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| No                                 | 1.000    |        | 1.000 |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Yes                                | 0.396    | 0.138  | 1.134 | 0.084      | 0.302  | 0.097 | 0.937      | 0.038 *|       |
| Quit                               | 0.431    | 0.128  | 1.447 | 0.173      | 0.353  | 0.099 | 1.263      | 0.109 |       |
| **Pet**                            |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| No                                 | 1.000    |        | 1.000 |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Yes                                | 0.623    | 0.365  | 1.064 | 0.083      | 0.503  | 0.277 | 0.916      | 0.025 *|       |
| **Physical exercise**              |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| No                                 | 1.000    |        | 1.000 |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Yes                                | 0.472    | 0.140  | 1.594 | 0.227      | 0.264  | 0.056 | 1.247      | 0.093 |       |
| **Degree of satiety, n (%)**       |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Full                               | 1.000    |        | 1.000 |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| 90 percent                         | 0.792    | 0.313  | 2.001 | 0.621      | 0.749  | 0.269 | 2.085      | 0.580 |       |
| 80 percent                         | 1.877    | 0.793  | 4.441 | 0.152      | 1.895  | 0.728 | 4.955      | 0.192 |       |
| 70 percent                         | 1.188    | 0.440  | 3.203 | 0.734      | 1.058  | 0.351 | 3.188      | 0.920 |       |
| **Dietary pattern, n (%)**         |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| 60 percent                         | 1.086    | 0.110  | 10.758| 0.944      | 2.468  | 0.217 | 28.097     | 0.467 |       |
| <60 percent                        | <0.001   | 0.000  | <0.001| <0.001     | <0.001 | <0.001| 1.000      |       |       |
| Meat-based                         | 1.000    |        | 1.000 |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Balanced diet                      | 1.456    | 0.493  | 4.301 | 0.497      | 1.504  | 0.466 | 4.852      | 0.494 |       |
| Vegetarian-based                   | 2.196    | 0.705  | 6.840 | 0.175      | 2.238  | 0.647 | 7.735      | 0.203 |       |
| Not clear                          | <0.001   | 0.000  | <0.001| >10        | <0.001 | <0.001| 0.999      |       |       |
| Poultry                            | 0.998    | 0.995  | 1.002 | 0.307      | 0.997  | 0.993 | 1.001      | 0.128 | 0.992 | 1.000 | 0.037 *|
| Root and tuber crops               |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Fruit                              |          |        |       |            |        |       |            |        |       |
| Lack                               | 1.000    |        | 1.000 |            |        | 0.048 *| 1.000      |       |       |
| Recommended                        | 0.337    | 0.142  | 0.801 | 0.014      | 0.310  | 0.121 | 0.795      | 0.015 **| 0.208 | 0.081 | 0.539 | 0.001 **|
| Over                               | 1.254    | 0.129  | 12.193| 0.846      | 1.483  | 0.129 | 17.113     | 0.752 | 0.892 | 0.000 | -     | 0.988 |
| Yogurt                             | 1.002    | 1.001  | 1.004 | 0.005 **   | 1.003  | 1.001 | 1.005      | 0.001 **| 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.005 | 0.005 **|

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals. Model 1 adjusted for none. Model 2 adjusted for all covariates \( p < 0.2 \) listed in Table 1. * \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \) significantly associated with prevalence of \textit{bla}_{NDM} carrier.
3.4. Multivariable Logistic Regression Analysis

Multivariable logistic regression analysis was then applied to explore the significance of these food factors. After selecting the food groups and demographics with a cut-off of \( p < 0.2 \) (see Table 1, Table 2 and Supplementary Material, Table S1), an association model was made using backward selection. To prevent collinearity, food groups with a correlation greater than 0.7 and VIF > 10 were not added to the same model. Most of the correlation coefficients between the different food groups as well as demographics were low and none of them was greater than 0.7 (see Supplementary Material, Tables S2 and S3). VIF of different food groups was less than 2.0 (Figure 2). The results (model 1 as shown in Table 3 and Figure 2) revealed that drinkers (OR 2.348; 95% CI 1.241–4.442, \( p < 0.01 \)) and coarse grains (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.005, \( p < 0.01 \)) were independent risk factors for \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier in health communities as shown in Table 3. While antibiotic usage in recent three months (OR 0.302; 95% CI 0.097–0.937, \( p < 0.05 \)) and the intake of recommended amount of fruit (OR 0.310; 95% CI 0.121–0.795, \( p < 0.05 \)) were independent protective factors for \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier in health communities as shown in Table 3 and model 1.

![Figure 2](image-url)  

**Figure 2.** The multiple FFQ model for the associations of FFQ with the risk of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier. OR, odds ratio; VIF, variance inflation factor; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. The dots represent crude odds ratios, and the horizon lines represent 95% confidence intervals. \( p \) values were obtained from the univariate logistic regression models by using the FFQ as a continuous variable.

According to the results of multivariable analysis, we found that several factors would act as confounding factor. Then we applied a PSM by gender, age, BMI, triglyceride, FBS, physical exercise and pet. A ratio of 1:3 PSM was obtained, and a conditional multivariable logistic analysis was applied to explore the association between \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier and dietary factors such as drinkers, antibiotic usage in recent three months, degree of satiety, dietary pattern as well as FFQ. Additionally, drinkers, antibiotic usage in recent three months, degree of satiety and dietary pattern were omitted with the model (model 2 as shown in Table 3) because of no within-group variance. The results conditional logistic analysis after PSM revealed that the intake of coarse grain (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.005, \( p < 0.01 \)) and root and tuber crops (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.004, \( p < 0.05 \)) were independent risk factors.
factor for $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier in health communities as shown in Table 3. While poultry intake (OR 0.996; 95% CI 0.992–1.000, $p < 0.05$) and the intake of recommended amount of fruit (OR 0.208; 95% CI 0.081–0.539, $p < 0.01$) were independent protective factor for $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier in health communities as shown in Table 3 and model 2.

4. Discussion

Anti-Microbial Resistance (AMR) is a pandemic which threatens modern medicine. Antimicrobial ingredients in antibacterial hand sanitizers, hand-wash lotions and chlorine-containing disinfectants would promote genetic mutations in bacteria that lead to antibiotic resistance and accelerate the spread of resistance genes between bacteria. The overuse of these antimicrobial products during the COVID-19 pandemic may speed up these procedures and thereby expedite the onset of a superbug pandemic that seriously threatens future public health [22]. The emergence and spread of CRE carrier and infections are serious threats to public health worldwide. Carbapenem-resistant gene $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ was frequently found from non-human sources [8–15]. There is an ongoing debate as to what extent antimicrobial resistance (AMR) can be transmitted from animals to humans via the consumption of animal products. In order to explore this topic, the present study aspired to investigate the association between $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier and anthropometric, biochemical and dietary factors in health community participants living in Shenzhen, China.

In terms of the prevalence of $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier among health communities, 99, 35 and 3 $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ positive were found respectively via qPCR analysis, direct sample testing and selected isolation. Several reasons may contribute to these differences. First, gene $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ is not necessarily present in living bacteria, but may be present in the environment which might degrade rapidly before detection. Second, we mainly screened Enterobacteriaceae bacteria. These bacteria may not contain the target gene and the bacteria containing $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ gene was omitted by our selecting culture process. Third, the resistance ability between bacteria isolated from health community and hospital was significantly different which might escape the selecting culture process [17].

It has already been reported that medical contacts, including prior antibiotic use, prior hospital stay, exposure to healthcare facilities, biliary drainage catheter, vascular device, tracheostomy, transferring to intensive care unit (ICU), abdominal invasive procedure and so on, were risk factors for patients to have CRE [23–27]. We also showed an association between a high intake of vegetables especially root and tuber crops with $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier. This confirms the results of our previous study, which showed an association between a vegetables-based diet with carbapenem resistance. For poultry, it is hypothesized that contaminated poultry can possibly transfer resistant pathogens [28]. The association between a high intake of chicken and resistome colonization in health community participants suggests a possible transmission of gene $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ via food. Even though alarming, we could not find any direct evidence that this results in carrier of gene $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ and the intake of poultry. Thus, the possibility that poultry products play a direct role in the transmission of antimicrobial resistance from animals to humans is not very likely according to the results of this study.

Certain food groups are able to affect the human gut microbiota [16,29]. The resistome and dysbiosis of the gut microbiota were considered to speed up the horizontal gene transfer of resistance genes in the gut [30,31]. As we all know, the dietary intervention could diminish the gut resistome [32]. Additionally, a potential beneficial effect of diets rich in vegetables and cheese on the gut microbiota has been described [33,34]. These studies were consistent with our results vegetarian turned to get less $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1 and Table S1, physical exercise and BMI were associated with $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier. Specifically, less physical activity and overweight status were associated with higher $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier. Individuals with a less healthy lifestyle were more likely to have less favorable dietary patterns and this might lead to a higher risk of $\text{bla}_{\text{NDM}}$ carrier and obesity.
Furthermore, polysaccharides and fibers which consist of the main potatoes [35], have been proposed to benefit the gut microbiome [36]. While in our result, an association between a high intake of vegetables especially root and tuber crops (including potatoes) and \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier were found. These contrary results need more evidence to uncover the mechanism how dietary pattern influence gut microbiome and AMR colonization.

In conclusion, we showed that a high intake of coarse grain (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.005, \( p < 0.01 \)) and root and tuber crops (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.004, \( p < 0.05 \)) were independent risk factor for \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier in health communities, suggesting a possible transfer of antimicrobial resistance from food animals to humans. Surprisingly, we also showed an association between a dietary pattern as vegetarian, which may be explained by the beneficial effects on the gut microbiota.

4.1. Strengths and Limitation

The strengths of this study included results that would be useful for health professionals to identify the high-risk factors of the fecal carrier of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene. This information would benefit the health authorities and policymakers to develop specific screening guidelines based on dietary indicators that would best identify high-risk individuals of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier in the community for appropriate intervention. As for the implications of the findings for policy and practice, the influence of dietary factors as well as demographic, anthropometric, lifestyle and biochemical characteristic on \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier in the present study also provides insights for the tangible dietary advice, reference lifestyles and primary health care with guidelines to the routine of people with the risk of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier.

However, our study had limitations. First, this is a cross-sectional study, and we cannot draw conclusions about cause and effect between dietary patterns and resistome carriers in health communities. Second, the results may not be generalized to the general population. Because our participants also came from that of a specific region of China and, therefore, may not be representative of the Chinese’s population. Third, self-report of dietary intake by questionnaire used in this study, constitutes a well-known weakness due to recall bias and social desirability bias. This commonly used method due to its convenience and low-cost is considered to be a crude method and means that there is an obvious risk of misclassification of the number of portions consumed.

4.2. Future Research

Generally, our results need more evidence to uncover the mechanism how dietary factors influence gut microbiome and AMR colonization. It is worth to verify whether changes in dietary intake have any effect on \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene carrier as well as the ‘resistome’ and dysbiosis of the gut microbiota. Future research should also aim at developing and evaluating interventions how the increasing intake of vegetable improve the capture of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene in the population, and especially among the overweight population.

Since the protective role of dietary factor in the capture of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene was confirmed in this study, the associations between biochemical parameters and food consumption were also highlighted and drew our attention. Thus, the role of the relationship between food consumption and biochemical parameters in the impact of \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) gene carrier is still in question and needs more research. Furthermore, it seems important to investigate if specific types of food are more protective than others by investigating mechanisms of action. To do that, other food intake surveys such as a food diary and a better monitoring of nutritional biomarkers of intakes such as ideally blood samples would be required.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the results of our study suggest that \( \text{bla}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier were associates with coarse grain (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.005, \( p < 0.01 \)) and root and tuber crops (OR 1.003; 95% CI 1.001–1.004, \( p < 0.05 \)). In order to clarify the mechanisms behind these differential effects of dietary FFQ, more research is needed with a more detailed assessment of the impacts of food intake for this population.
The influence of dietary factors on \( \text{blaN}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier in the present study provides insights for the tangible dietary advice with guidelines to the routine of people with the risk of \( \text{blaN}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier. This demonstrates the role of dietary intake in the prevention of \( \text{blaN}_{\text{NDM}} \) carrier, since prevention is the best way to control modifiable risk factors. A lower carrier rate of \( \text{blaN}_{\text{NDM}} \) is helpful to reduce the possibility of transmission and pathogenicity. Further studies on food, microbiota and antimicrobial resistance are necessary to confirm this possible association and unravel underlying mechanisms.
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