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Abstract. A curve over the field of two elements with completely decomposable Jacobian is shown to have at most six rational points and genus at most 26. The bounds are sharp. The previous upper bound for the genus was 145. We also show that a curve over the field of \( q \) elements with more than \( q^{m/2} + 1 \) rational points has at least one Frobenius angle in the open interval \((\pi/m, 3\pi/m)\). The proofs make use of the explicit formula method.

1 Introduction

The Jacobian of an (absolutely irreducible, projective, non-singular) algebraic curve is said to be completely decomposable if it is isogenous over the base field to a product of elliptic curves. Many examples are known of curves with completely decomposable Jacobian \[\text{[ES93]}, \text{both in characteristic zero and in finite characteristic. For a curve over a finite field } F_q, \text{ the genus of a curve with completely decomposable Jacobian is bounded } [TV97], [Ser97]. \]

For \( q = 2 \), Serre \[\text{[Ser97]} \] gives a first order estimate \( g < 146 \). We use the explicit formula method developed in [Ser83] to obtain \( g \leq 26 \). The upper bound is sharp and is attained by the modular curve \( X(11) \) for which Hecke showed that the Jacobian decomposes as \( E_5^1 \times E_10^2 \times E_11^3 \) \[\text{[Lig77]} \].

For an algebraic curve (absolutely irreducible, projective, non-singular) of genus \( g \) over a finite field of \( q \) elements, the Hasse-Weil bound gives that the number of rational points \( N \) does not exceed \( q + 1 + 2g\sqrt{q} \). For the explicit formula method, the number of rational points is expressed in terms of the Frobenius eigenvalues as

\[ N = q + 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{g} (\alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}_j). \]

By Weil’s theorem, we may write \( \alpha_j = \sqrt{q} e^{i\theta_j} \), for elements \( \theta_j \) in \([0, \pi]\) for all \( j \). The \( \theta_j \) are called the Frobenius angles. Over an extension field of size \( q^m \), the number of rational points \( N_m \) is given by

\[ N_m = q^m + 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{g} (\alpha_j^m + \bar{\alpha}_j^m) = q^m + 1 - r^m \sum_{j=1}^{g} 2 \cos m \theta_j, \]
where \( r = \sqrt{q} \). For curves of large genus, the distribution of the Frobenius angles is restricted by the constraints \( N_{dm} \geq N_m \), for all \( m, d \). This allows one to obtain upper bounds of the form \( N \leq ag + b \) for the number of rational points that are better than the Hasse-Weil bound when the genus is large \[ \text{[Iha81], [Ser83]} \]. Asymptotically, the Drinfeld-Vladuts bound gives \( \limsup_{g \to \infty} \frac{N}{g} \leq \sqrt{q} - 1 \) \[ \text{[VD83]} \], where the limit is over an infinite family of curves of increasing genus. In Section \[ \text{2.1} \] we recall the main steps of the explicit formula method.

Tsfasman-Vladuts \[ \text{[TV97]} \] and Serre \[ \text{[Ser97]} \] study the distribution of the Frobenius angles for families of curves of increasing genus. It is easy to see that any infinite family of curves of increasing genus contains a subfamily for which \( N_m/g \) approaches a limit, for each \( m \), when the genus increases. Such subfamilies are called asymptotically exact in \[ \text{[TV97]} \]. For curves in an asymptotically exact family, the distribution of the Frobenius angles approaches a limit distribution that is given by a continuous measure on \( [0, \pi] \). In particular, the Frobenius angles in an asymptotically exact family are dense in \( [0, \pi] \). This shows that any family of curves for which the Frobenius angles are not dense in \( [0, \pi] \) is finite. We consider the following problem.

**(Problem 1)** Given a discrete subset \( \Theta \) of \( [0, \pi] \), maximize \( N \) and \( g \) for a curve over \( F_q \) with all Frobenius angles in \( \Theta \).

The elliptic curves over the field of two elements have Frobenius angle \( \theta \) such that \( 2\sqrt{2} \cos \theta \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\} \). The corresponding Frobenius eigenvalues are of degree at most two. As a special case of the previous problem we have

**(Problem 2)** Maximize \( N \) and \( g \) for a curve over \( F_q \) with all Frobenius eigenvalues of bounded degree at most \( d \).

The case \( d = 2 \) corresponds to curves with completely decomposable Jacobian. In Section \[ \text{2.3} \] and Section \[ \text{2.4} \] respectively, we show that a curve over \( F_2 \) with completely decomposable Jacobian has \( N \leq 6 \) and \( g \leq 26 \), respectively. Similarly, the family of curves with no Frobenius angle in a given interval is finite. And we can ask for the largest number of rational points or the largest genus for curves in the family.

**(Problem 3)** Given a (small) subset \( I \) of \( [0, \pi] \), maximize \( N \) and \( g \) for a curve over \( F_q \) with all Frobenius angles outside \( I \).

In Section \[ \text{3} \] we prove that any curve over \( F_q \) with \( N > q^{m/2} + 1 \) has a Frobenius angle in the open interval \( (\pi/m, 3\pi/m) \). We formulate one other problem along the same lines. It will not be considered in this paper however.

**(Problem 4)** Given \( \delta \), maximize \( N \) and \( g \) for a curve over \( F_q \) such that \( [0, \pi] \not\subset \bigcup_j (\theta_j - \delta, \theta_j + \delta) \).
2 The explicit formula method

We first recall the explicit formula method and its use in obtaining general upper bounds for the number of rational points on a curve \cite{Ser83, Han95}. Then we present three variations of the method that yield better bounds for curves whose Frobenius angles are restricted to a subset $\Theta$ of $[0, \pi]$. In particular, curves that exceed one of the latter bounds, necessarily have at least one Frobenius angle outside $\Theta$.

2.1 General upper bounds for the number of rational points

For an algebraic curve $X$ of genus $g$ over the finite field $F_q$ of $q$ elements, let the Frobenius angles be $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_g$. So that the number of rational points $N_n$ over $F_q^n$ satisfies

$$N_n = q^n + 1 - q^{n/2} \sum_{j=1}^{g} 2 \cos n\theta_j.$$ 

With $r = \sqrt{q}$, we rewrite the equation as

$$N_1 r^{-n} + (N_n - N_1) r^{-n} = r^n + r^{-n} - \sum_{j=1}^{g} 2 \cos n\theta_j.$$  

(1)

Let $f$ be an auxiliary cosine polynomial with real coefficients $u_n$,

$$f(\theta) = u_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} u_n \cos n\theta.$$  

(2)

Define

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} u_n x^n.$$  

(3)

The equations (1) scaled by $u_n$, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, add up to

$$N_1 \psi(r^{-1}) + \sum_{n \geq 2} u_n (N_n - N_1) r^{-n} =$$

$$= 2u_0 g + \psi(r) + \psi(r^{-1}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g} f(\theta_j).$$  

(4)

The equation (4) leads to upper bounds for the number of points. As in \cite{Ser83}, choose \{\{u_n\}\} such that $u_0 = 1$, and

(a) $u_n \geq 0$, $\forall n \geq 1$

(b) $f(\theta) \geq 0$, for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. 


Then Equation (4) yields
\[ N\psi(r^{-1}) \leq 2g + \psi(r^{-1}) + \psi(r). \]

As an example, the choice
\[
f(\theta) = \cos^2 \theta (1 - \cos \theta / \cos(\frac{5\pi}{6}))^2
\]
\[
= 1 + \sqrt{3} \cos \theta + \frac{7}{6} \cos 2\theta + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \cos 3\theta + \frac{1}{6} \cos 4\theta
\]
gives, for \( q = 3 \), the upper bound
\[ N \leq 54 \cdot g - 15 + 28 < 1.317g + 8.244. \]

This is better than the Hasse-Weil bound \( N \leq 2\sqrt{3} g + 4 \) for all \( g \geq 2 \). A curve attains the upper bound above only if \( N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N_4 \) and if all its Frobenius angles are among \( \{\pi/2, 5\pi/6\} \). The unique such curve is the Deligne-Lusztig curve associated to \( ^2G_2(3) \) [HP93]. The curve is of genus \( g = 15 \) and has \( N = 28 \). Its zeta function \( Z(T) = P(T)/(1 - T)(1 - 3T) \) has numerator \( P(T) = (1 + 3T^2)^7(1 + 3T + 3T^2)^8 \).

2.2 Restricted upper bounds for the number of rational points \((u_0 = 1)\)

The upper bound in the previous subsection generalizes as follows. Choose \( \{u_n\} \) in Equation (4) such that
(a) \( u_0 = 1 \) and \( u_n \geq 0, \forall n \geq 2 \).
(b) \( f(\theta) \geq 0 \), for all \( \theta \in \Theta \subset [0, \pi] \).

Then, for a curve that has all its Frobenius angles contained in \( \Theta \),
\[ N\psi(r^{-1}) \leq 2g + \psi(r^{-1}) + \psi(r). \]

The converse yields that a curve with
\[ N\psi(r^{-1}) > 2g + \psi(r^{-1}) + \psi(r). \]
has a Frobenius angle outside \( \Theta \). For \( 0 < \alpha < \beta < \pi \), let
\[
f_2(\theta) = (\cos \theta - \cos \alpha)(\cos \theta - \cos \beta),
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} + \cos \alpha \cos \beta - (\cos \alpha + \cos \beta) \cos \theta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta.
\]

Then \( f_2(\theta) \) is non-negative on \( \Theta = [0, \pi] \setminus (\alpha, \beta) \). For \( q = 2 \), and for \( \alpha = \pi/3 \) and \( \beta = 3\pi/4 \), we obtain
\[ N > 8 - 2\sqrt{2} \cdot g - 1 + 5 \Rightarrow \exists \theta_j \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{4}). \]
The inequality on the left applies in the range $2 \leq g \leq 38$. In that range the inequality holds for a curve that meets the Oesterlé upper bound for the number of points. For another example, let

$$f(\theta) = (1 + \sqrt{2} \cos \theta)(1 - 2\sqrt{2} \cos \theta)^2;$$

$$= 1 + 3\sqrt{2} \cos \theta + 2\sqrt{2} \cos 3\theta.$$

We obtain, for a curve over $F_2$,

$$N > \frac{1}{2}(g - 1) + 5 \implies \exists \theta_j \in \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi\right].$$

2.3 Uniform upper bounds for the number of rational points ($u_0 = 0$)

By choosing $u_0 = 0$, we obtain upper bounds for the number of rational points that are independent of the genus $g$. Choose $\{u_n\}$ in Equation (4) such that

(a) $u_0 = 0$ and $u_n \geq 0, \forall n \geq 2$.

(b) $f(\theta) \geq 0$, for all $\theta \in \Theta \subset [0,\pi]$.

Then the number $N$ of rational points on a curve with all Frobenius angles contained in $\Theta$ satisfies

$$N\psi(r^{-1}) \leq \psi(r^{-1}) + \psi(r).$$

If, moreover, the coefficients $u_n$ have the following symmetry property, for some positive integer $m$ with $m > \deg(\psi)$,

(c) $u_n = u_{m-n}$, for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, m$,

then the upper bound becomes

$$N \leq 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{m} u_n r^n = r^m + 1.$$

The function

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \cos \theta(1 - 2\cos^2 \theta)(1 - 8\cos^2 \theta)$$

$$= \frac{7}{10} \sqrt{2} \cos \theta + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} \cos 3\theta + \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{2} \cos 5\theta$$

cancels at the Frobenius angles of the five different elliptic curves over $F_2$. It leads to the bound $N \leq 6$ for any curve $X$ over $F_2$ with completely decomposable Jacobian. The bound is tight only when $N_1 = N_3 = N_5$. The
smallest feasible zeta function is of genus 3 with uniquely determined zeta polynomial $P(T) = (1 + 2T + 2T^2)^2(1 - T + 2T^2)$. It is realized by the curve

$$y^2 + y = \frac{x^2 + x}{(x^2 + x + 1)^3}.$$ 

We give two examples that use Condition (c). The choice $f(\theta) = \cos \theta$ yields that a curve with $N > r^2 + 1$ has a Frobenius angle in $(\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ (indeed the Frobenius trace can only be negative if at least one Frobenius angle has $\cos \theta < 0$). The choice $f(\theta) = \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta$ yields that a curve with $N > r^3 + 1$ has a Frobenius angle in $(\pi/3, \pi)$. In both cases, the bound on $N$ is sharp. The projective line with $N = r^2 + 1$ has no Frobenius angle in $(\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$, and the Hermitian curve (see [RS94]) over $F_2^r$ with $N = r^3 + 1$ has no Frobenius angle in $(\pi/3, \pi)$. The latter example confirms that the Hasse-Weil bound is not sharp for curves with $N > r^3 + 1$. In Section 3 we show more generally that a curve with $N > r^m + 1$ has a Frobenius angle in $(\pi/m, 3\pi/m)$.

### 2.4 Uniform upper bounds for the genus ($u_0 = -1$)

By choosing $u_0 = -1$, we obtain upper bounds for the genus $g$. Choose $\{u_n\}$ in Equation (4) such that

- (a) $u_0 = -1$ and $u_n \geq 0$, $\forall n \geq 2$.
- (b) $f(\theta) \geq 0$, for all $\theta \in \Theta \subset [0, \pi]$.

Then the genus of a curve with all Frobenius angles contained in $\Theta$ satisfies

$$N\psi(r^{-1}) + 2g \leq \psi(r) + \psi(r^{-1}).$$

If, moreover, the coefficients $u_n$ satisfy

- (d) $\psi(r^{-1}) = 0$,

then the upper bound becomes

$$2g \leq \psi(r).$$

The function

$$f(\theta) = -1 - \frac{4}{3} \cos \theta + \frac{7}{9} \cos 2\theta + \frac{26}{9} \cos 3\theta + \frac{16}{9} \cos 4\theta$$

is of minimal degree such that it cancels at the Frobenius angles of the three elliptic curves over $F_1$ that are defined over $F_2$ and such that Condition (d) holds. It leads to the bound $2g \leq 52$ for any curve $X$ over $F_2$ with completely decomposable Jacobian. A previous estimate showed that $g \leq 145$ [Ser97]. The bound is tight only when $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N_4$ for the base field $F_4$. It is attained by the modular curve $X(11)$, which has $g = 26$, $N = 55$ over $F_4$, and zeta polynomial $P(T) = (1 + 4T + 4T^2)^5(1 + 3T + 4T^2)^{10}(1 + 4T^2)^{11}$. 

3 An asymptotic example

Let \( m \geq 4 \) and let \( \alpha = \pi/m \). Conditions (a)-(c) in Section 2.3 hold with \( \Theta = [0, \pi] \setminus (\pi/m, 3\pi/m) \) for coefficients \( \{u_n\} \) that are defined by

\[
f(\theta) = \frac{1 + \cos m\theta}{4(\cos \theta - \cos \alpha)(\cos \theta - \cos 3\alpha)} = \sum_{n=2}^{m-2} u_n \cos n\theta.
\]

So that

\[
u_n = \frac{\sin(n-1)\alpha \sin n\alpha \sin(n+1)\alpha}{\sin \alpha \sin 2\alpha \sin 3\alpha}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, m.
\]

Thus, a curve with number of rational points \( N > r^m + 1 \), for \( m \geq 4 \), has at least one Frobenius angle in the open interval \((\pi/m, 3\pi/m)\). For \( f(\theta) \) we may write

\[
f(\theta) = 2^{m-3} \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \left( \cos \theta - \cos (2k+1)\alpha \right),
\]

which justifies writing the right hand side of (5) as a cosine polynomial. To see that the coefficients of the cosine polynomial are those given by (6), we use a generating function for gaussian polynomials \[And98\]

\[
\frac{1}{(1 - T)(1 - yT)(1 - y^2T)(1 - y^3T)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left[ \begin{array}{c} i + 3 \\ 3 \end{array} \right] T^i,
\]

where

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} i + 3 \\ 3 \end{array} \right] = \frac{(y^{i+3} - 1)(y^{i+2} - 1)(y^{i+1} - 1)}{(y^3 - 1)(y^2 - 1)(y - 1)}.
\]

For \( y \) with \( y^m = 1 \), the right hand side is periodic and, for \( n = i + 2 \),

\[
\frac{T^2(1 - T^m)}{(1 - T)(1 - yT)(1 - y^2T)(1 - y^3T)} = \sum_{n=2}^{m-2} \frac{(y^{n+1} - 1)(y^{n} - 1)(y^{n-1} - 1)}{(y^3 - 1)(y^2 - 1)(y - 1)} T^n.
\]

Let \( x = e^{i\alpha} \), so that \( x^m = -1 \). With \( y = x^2 \) and \( t = x^3T \), we obtain

\[
\frac{(1 + t^m)}{(t + t^{-1} - 2 \cos \alpha)(t + t^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\alpha)} = \sum_{n=2}^{m-2} \frac{\sin(n-1)\alpha \sin n\alpha \sin(n+1)\alpha}{\sin \alpha \sin 2\alpha \sin 3\alpha} t^n.
\]

Now sum the two equations with \( t = e^{i\theta} \) and \( t = e^{-i\theta} \), respectively, and divide by 2.

The cases \( m = 2 \) and \( m = 3 \) were considered in Section 2.3, so that the claim extends to all \( m \geq 2 \). For \( m = 4 \) and \( m = 6 \) the bounds are sharp, as can be seen by considering curves of Suzuki type or Ree type, respectively. The Suzuki curve over \( F_8 \) has \( N = 65 \) but has no Frobenius angle in \((\pi/4, 3\pi/4)\). The Ree curve over \( F_3 \) has \( N = 28 \) but has no Frobenius angle in \((\pi/6, \pi/2)\).
4 Conclusion

Results by Tsfasman-Vladuts and Serre led us to consider Problems (1)-(4) in the Introduction. For Problems (1)-(3) we have given methods that yield partial results. One result is a sharp upper bound for the number of points ($N \leq 6$) or the genus ($g \leq 26$) for a curve over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with completely decomposable Jacobian. We also showed that a curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $N > q^{m/2} + 1$ has at least one Frobenius angle in the interval $(\pi/m, 3\pi/m)$. No results were obtained towards Problem (4).
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**Addendum : The modular curve $X(11)$ modulo 2**

The main text uses the following claim.

**Claim:** The modular curve $X(11)$ of genus 26 has a model over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with 55 rational points over $\mathbb{F}_4$.

The claim follows from results by Klein together with the following fact about the group $PSL(2,11)$.

**Fact 1:** The subgroups of order 12 in $PSL(2,11)$ divide into two conjugacy classes, each consisting of 55 copies of $A_4$. In each case the group $A_4$ is normally closed in $PSL(2,11)$.

The 55 rational points over $\mathbb{F}_4$ on $X(11)$ are the points above $j = 0$ in the galois cover $X(11) \rightarrow X(1)$ of degree 660 with group $PSL(2,11)$. Let the cover be defined over a finite field $k$ of characteristic 2 such that all automorphisms have their coefficients in $k$. Fact 1 shows that the stabilizer of a point above $j = 0$ is a subgroup $A_4$ and moreover, since $A_4$ is normally closed, that the point is the unique fixed point of the stabilizer. In particular, the points above $j = 0$ are defined over $k$.

With the previous argument it suffices to find a model for $X(11)$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that the automorphisms are defined over $\mathbb{F}_4$. As starting point, we use Klein’s model for $X(11)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

**Fact 2** [Klein, Ges. Math. Abh. III, p.146]: Klein’s model for $X(11)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and has all its 660 automorphisms defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$, where $\rho$ is a primitive 11-th root of unity. The group contains the cyclic automorphism $C(v:w:x:y:z) = (z:v:w:x:y)$. Let $F$ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ with $F(\rho) = \rho^4$. The generators $S$ (of order 11) and $T$ (of order 2) presented by Klein satisfy $F(S) = C^{-1} \circ S \circ C$ and $F(T) = C^{-1} \circ T \circ C$.

A change of variables $(v:w:x:y:z) = Q(v':w':x':y':z')$ leads to a new model in the variables $(v':w':x':y':z')$. The new model is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ if the set $\{Q, C \circ Q, C^2 \circ Q, C^3 \circ Q, C^4 \circ Q\}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. This is achieved by defining $Q$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\rho + \rho^{-1})$ such that $F(Q) = C^i \circ Q$, for some $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. After the change of variables, the automorphisms are generated by $Q^{-1} \circ S \circ Q$ and $Q^{-1} \circ T \circ Q$. For a choice of $Q$ with $F(Q) = C^{-1} \circ Q$, the automorphisms are defined over the fixed field of $F$: $F(Q^{-1} \circ A \circ Q) = Q^{-1} \circ A \circ Q$, for $A = S, T$.

Klein’s model has good reduction modulo 2 and the twisted model is defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that all automorphisms are defined over $\mathbb{F}_4$. 