Cytoprotective Co-chaperone BcBAG1 Is a Component for Fungal Development, Virulence, and Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) of Botrytis cinerea
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The Bcl-2 associated athanogene (BAG) family is an evolutionarily conserved group of co-chaperones that confers stress protection against a variety of cellular insults extending from yeasts, plants to humans. Little is known, however, regarding the biological role of BAG proteins in phytopathogenic fungi. Here, we identified the unique BAG gene (BcBAG1) from the necrotrophic fungal pathogen, Botrytis cinerea. BcBAG1 is the homolog of Arabidopsis thaliana AtBAG4, and ectopic expression of BcBAG1 in atbag4 knock-out mutants restores salt tolerance. BcBAG1 deletion mutants (ΔBcbag1) exhibited decreased conidiation, enhanced melanin accumulation and lost the ability to develop sclerotia. Also, BcBAG1 disruption blocked fungal conidial germination and successful penetration, leading to a reduced virulence in host plants. BcBAG1 contains BAG (BD) domain at C-terminus and ubiquitin-like (UBL) domain at N-terminus. Complementation assays indicated that BD can largely restored pathogenicity of ΔBcbag1. Abiotic stress assays showed ΔBcbag1 was more sensitive than the wild-type strain to NaCl, calcofluor white, SDS, tunicamycin, dithiothreitol (DTT), heat and cold stress, suggesting BcBAG1 plays a cytoprotective role during salt stress, cell wall stress, and ER stress. BcBAG1 negatively regulated the expression of BcBIP1, BcIRE1 and the splicing of BcHAC1 mRNA, which are core regulators of unfolded protein response (UPR) during ER stress. Moreover, BcBAG1 interacted with HSP70-type chaperones, BcBIP1 and BcSKS2. In summary, this work demonstrates that BcBAG1 is pleiotropic and not only essential for fungal development, hyphal melanization, and virulence, but also required for response to multiple abiotic stresses and UPR pathway of B. cinerea.
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INTRODUCTION

Co-chaperones are proteins that assist chaperones in protein folding, oligomeric assembly, and protein transportation and degradation (Hartl, 1996). The Bcl-2-associated athanogene (BAG) family is a group of broadly conserved co-chaperones of 70-kilodalton heat shock protein (HSP70) (Bracher and Verghese, 2015). In mammals, the BAG family was initially identified by screening mouse cDNA library for Bcl-2 interaction proteins (Takayama et al., 1995). Using the ATPase domain of HSC70/HSP70 as molecular bait in yeast two-hybrid screening, additional BAG family members were identified from human, Caenorhabditis elegans and the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Takayama et al., 1999). The human BAGs contain six members, BAG1 to BAG6, and share a conserved BAG domain (BD) of approximately 45 amino acids, located near the C terminus (Takayama and Reed, 2001). As nucleotide exchange factors (NEFs) of HSP70, BAG proteins play a major role in both positively and negatively modulating HSP70 ATP activity via the BAG domain (Gassler et al., 2001). Moreover, BAGs act as scaffolds between HSP70 and target transcription factors or proteins, thus affecting diverse physiological events (Townsend et al., 2003; Kabbage and Dickman, 2008).

The identification and preliminary characterization of plant BAG proteins is still underway. Using a combination of bioinformatics and structural algorithms, seven BAGs have been identified from Arabidopsis thaliana (AtBAG1–AtBAG7) (Doukhchina et al., 2006), several of which have been selected for functional characterization. The structural and biochemical data of AtBAGs demonstrate that the AtBAGs function as NEFs for HSP70/HSC70 and the regulation mechanism of HSP70/HSC7 is conserved in plants (Fang et al., 2013). Our previous work has uncovered that AtBAG4, 6, and 7 exhibit different cytoprotective specificities. Briefly, AtBAG4 appears to protect plants from various abiotic stress stimuli, e.g., salt and drought (Doukhchina et al., 2006). AtBAG6 is activated via proteolytic cleavage by a specific plant aspartyl protease that is required for autophagic cell death in planta and subsequent resistance to the necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea (Kabbage et al., 2016; Li and Dickman, 2016; Li et al., 2016). Consistently, overexpression of AtBAG6 induced programmed cell death (PCD) in both yeasts and plants (Kang et al., 2006). The ER-localized AtBAG7 is an essential component of the unfolded protein response (UPR) and directly interacts with UPR regulator AtBIP2 (Williams et al., 2010). Under heat stress, AtBAG7 is also proteolytically processed in the ER lumen and translocate from the ER to the nucleus, where it interacts with the transcriptional factor WRKY29 for heat tolerance (Li et al., 2017). A number of BAGs have also been reported in other plant species. For example, OsBAG4 from rice interacts with an E3 ubiquitin ligase EBR1 and regulates PCD, which controls plant immunity and broad-spectrum disease resistance (You et al., 2016). Taken together, plant BAGs are multifunctional and modulate numerous physiological and biological processes.

Although the functions of human and plants BAG family members are extensively studied, there is limited knowledge on the roles of the BAGs in fungi. Previous studies showed that SNL1, a mammalian homolog of BAG1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, is functionally linked to the nuclear pore complex and plays a role in promoting both protein biogenesis and translation by recruiting ribosomes and HSP70 to the ER membrane (Ho et al., 1998; Verghese and Morano, 2012). Two other BAG1 homologs from Schizosaccharomyces pombe, BAG101 and BAG102, are co-factors of 26S proteasomes, and play as HSP70 chaperones (Kriegenburg et al., 2014). Overexpression of BAG101 and BAG102 inhibit cell growth by triggering HSP70 to release and activate HSF1 (heat shock factor 1) (Poulsen et al., 2017). To date, the only example in filamentous fungi is BAGA from Aspergillus nidulans, which impacts fungal sexual development and modulates secondary metabolism (Jain et al., 2018).

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the central intracellular organelle for protein translation, protein folding, and protein post-translational modifications, allowing further transport of proteins to the Golgi apparatus and ultimately to vesicles for secretion or display on the plasma surface. Perturbations in ER function, named “ER-stress,” unfolded or misfolded proteins accumulate within the ER and disrupt ER homeostasis to activate an intracellular signaling pathway, known as the UPR eventually culminating in cell death (Malhotra and Kaufman, 2007). As a conserved survival pathway to counteract the lethal effects caused by ER stress, UPR can mitigate accumulation of unfolded proteins and restore ER homeostasis by reducing protein translation and while increasing and misfolded proteins degradation aided by molecular chaperones (e.g., binding immunoglobulin proteins, BiPs) (Sitia and Braakman, 2003; Ron and Walter, 2007). BiPs work as HSP70 chaperones and carry aberrant proteins from the ER to the cytoplasm for degradation by the proteasome (Gething, 1999). The IRE1-HAC1/XBP-1 pathway (HAC1 mRNA in yeast and XBP1 mRNA in metazoans) is a major branch of UPR that is remarkably conserved from yeast to human (Back et al., 2005). UPR is initiated by the activation of the ER stress sensor IRE1, which transmits the signal by removing a non-conventional intron from a transcription factor HAC1/XBP-1 mRNA to produce potent transcriptional activator of UPR targets (Ron and Walter, 2007). Comparing to the extensive studies of UPR in human and plant systems, UPR has only been delineated in small number of fungal pathogens, including Aspergillus fumigatus (Feng et al., 2011), Alternaria brassicicola (Joubert et al., 2011), Ustilago maydis (Heimel et al., 2013; Lo Presti et al., 2016), and all of which demonstrate that UPR regulation is correlated with fungal pathogenicity.

In this study, we identified a unique BAG gene in the necrotrophic fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea, the causal agent of gray mold diseases to over 1,400 species of cultivated plants worldwide (Elad, 2016). Target gene replacement of BcBAG1 resulted to defect in vegetative growth, conidiation, sclerotial formation, penetration and attenuated virulence in B. cinerea, ∆Bcbag1 mutants were more sensitive to various stress conditions indicating that BcBAG1 regulates stress tolerance in B. cinerea. In particular, BcBAG1 deletion mutants significantly increased susceptibility to diverse ER stress-inducer including heat, cold, tunicamycin (Tm), and dithiothreitol (DTT). We demonstrated that BcBAG1 binds to the ER chaperone BcBIP1 and negatively regulate UPR components, including
the expression of BcBIP1, BcIRE1 and the splicing of BcHAC1 mRNA, suggesting BcBAG1 is necessary for the maintenance of the UPR in B. cinerea. Collectively, we present the evidence of identification and functional characterization for BcBAG1 a member of BAG family in B. cinerea, which is vital for fungal virulence on host plants and is required for ER stress response with regards to maintenance of UPR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Culture Conditions

BcBAG1 deletion mutant, ΔBcbag1, was generated from the B. cinerea WT strain B05.10 (Quidde et al., 1999). All strains (Supplementary Table S1) were maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium at 22°C. Mycelia used for protoplast preparation, genomic DNA and total RNA extraction were grown in YEFPD (1% peptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 2% glucose, pH 6.7) at 150 rpm, 22°C for 36 h. B. cinerea protoplast was recovered on SH medium (20% sucrose, 0.5 mM hepes, 1 mM NH4H2PO4, pH 7.0) at 22°C for 12–16 h. The selectable marker, 100 μg/ml hygromycin B (VWR) or 100 μg/ml nourseothricin sulfate (Research Products International) was supplemented to PDA containing 1% agar.

Bioinformatic Analysis

Preliminary BAG protein search and DNA sequence downloading were conducted in B. cinerea B05.10 genome database1. The phylogenetic tree was generated through MEGA v7.0 based on the neighbor-joining method (Kumar et al., 2016). Domain is predicted by Pfam2 and InterPro programs3. The multiple alignment of BAG domain sequence were constructed using Clustal X (Larkin et al., 2007).

BcBAG1 Gene Deletion and Complementation

Primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The replacement constructs for BcBAG1 were generated through the split-marker approach as described before (Goswami, 2012). Briefly, the 800-bp upstream and 855-bp downstream fragments of BcBAG1 were amplified with primer pairs AF/AR and BF/BR (Supplementary Table S2), respectively. The resulting amplicons ligated with the hygromycin phosphotransferase (hph) fragments by using Splicing Overlap Extension (SOE)-PCR. The resulting PCR products (20 μg) were transformed into protoplasts of B. cinerea. Protoplast preparation and PEG-mediated transformation of B. cinerea were performed as the established protocol (Gronover et al., 2001). After transformation, hygromycin-resistant transformants were picked individually and PCR analyses with designated primer pairs OF/OR, UF/UR, and AF/BR were performed to identify transformants that carried the insertion of hph at the BcBAG1 locus. Then all positive transformants were confirmed by subsequent RT-PCR and Southern blotting.

The full length of BcBAG1 was amplified from B05.10 genomic DNA, then ligated into pNAH-OGG with Ncol to create BcBAG1-GFP. GFP-fusion constructs were transformed into B05.10 for subcellular localization analysis. For complementation, the CDS of BcBAG1 with native promoter sequence were amplified with relative primer pairs (CF/CR) and cloned into pNAH-OMG harboring nourseothricin acetyltransferase gene (NAT1) with SpeI/Ncol to make BcBAG1-Com, conferring resistance to antibiotics nourseothricin sulfate. Similar method was used to create the truncated BcBAG1A1–141 and BcBAG1B142–298 constructs. These constructs were transformed into ΔBcbag1 mutants. Transformants with resistance to both nourseothricin and hygromycin were selected and confirmed by PCR and RT-PCR.

Southern Blotting and Real-Time PCR

Fungal genomic DNA was extracted as described (Raeder and Broda, 1985). For Southern blotting, the genomic DNAs were digested with PvuI (NEB) for 24 h at 37°C. Probe labeling, hybridization and detection were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions for the Digoxigenin High Prime DNA Labeling and Detection Starter Kit I (Roche Applied Science).

Total RNA was isolated using Eastep™ Total RNA extraction Kit, following the manufacturer recommendation (Corp). The first-strand cDNA was synthesized with the M-MLV (Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus) reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems). The fungal actin gene was used as an internal reference. The relative expression levels were calculated by the 2−ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Mycelial Growth, Conidiation, and Sclerotial Formation Tests

For vegetative growth assays, 5 mm diameter mycelial plugs were cultured on fresh PDA in the dark at 22°C. Radial growth was measured by colony diameters after 3 days. Determination of the sensitivity of ΔBcbag1 to environmental stresses were performed on modified PDA plates with: 1 M NaCl, 1.2 M D-sorbitol, 2 μg/ml Tm, 2.5 mM DTT, 20 mM H2O2, 0.5 mM tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), 15 μM bortezomib (Bort), 200 μM MG132, 600 μg/ml calcofluor white (CFW), 0.02% SDS, 1 μg/ml iprodione (Ipro), 0.1 μg/ml fludioxonil (Flud). The percentage of mycelial radial growth inhibition (RGI) was calculated using the formula RGI% = [(C − N)/C] * 100, where C is colony diameter of the control, and N is colony diameter of the experimental treatment.

For conidiation assays, conidia of WT and mutants were collected from a 10-day-old PDA plate with 5 ml sterile water and spores were counted microscopically with a hemocytometer. For sclerotial formation, 5 mm diameter mycelial plugs were inoculated to PDA and incubated in the dark at 22°C, the number of mature (melanized) sclerotia were counted after 4 weeks.

1http://fungi.ensembl.org/Botrytis_cinerea/Info/Index
2https://pfam.xfam.org/
3https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/
Conidial Germination and Fungal Penetration Assays

Conidial germination was conducted as described by Doelemann et al. (2006). Briefly, conidial suspensions were adjusted to 1.0–1.5 × 10⁵ spores/ml in 10 mM KH₂PO₄ and 10 mM glucose solution and placed in the center of a glass slide. Incubation was kept in a moist chamber at 22°C for 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h. For the onion infection assay, 20 μl droplets (5.0 × 10⁴ spores/ml) were deposited on the hydrophobic epidermis layers of onion and incubated for 48 h in the dark and moist chamber at 22°C (Viefhues et al., 2014).

Pathogenicity Assay

Three-day-old mycelial plugs with 5 mm diameter or 10-day-old conidial suspensions (1.0–1.5 × 10⁵ spores/ml) were inoculated on 4-week-old detached tomato leaves and grape. Inoculated plant materials were incubated in 16 h daylight humid chamber at 22°C. Results were recorded after 4 days and 7 days. The experiment was repeated at least three times.

Yeast Two-Hybrid (Y2H) Assay

The Y2H assay was conducted according to the manufacturer’s standard instructions (Clontech). The cDNA of BcBAG1 was cloned into pGBK7 as the bait vector and the cDNAs of HSP70-type chaperones were cloned into pGADT7 as the prey constructs, respectively. The pGBK7-BcBAG1 and each prey vector were co-transformed into the AH109 yeast strain to evaluate interactions. The positive and negative controls were from the Kit (Clontech).

Arabidopsis Complementation Assays

Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 and atbag4 T-DNA knock out mutants were obtained from Arabidopsis Stock Center. Atbag4 homozygous mutants (SALK_027577C) were confirmed by PCR. The BcBAG1 full-length cDNA was cloned into pCB302ES containing the 35S promoter and the HA-epitope tag (Hwang and Sheen, 2002). This construct was transferred into the atbag4 knockout mutants by the floral dipping method (Zhang et al., 2006).

For salt stress assays, seeds were surface sterilized in 70% ethanol for 10 min and in 5% bleach solution for 5 min, and germinated on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Invitrogen) at 23°C for 5 days. The seedlings were transferred to fresh 1/2 MS medium containing 100 mM NaCl and grown at 23°C for 2 weeks to 5 weeks.

RESULTS

Identification and Characterization of BcBAG1

To identify BAG proteins in B. cinerea, we searched B. cinerea B05.10 genome database with “BAG” as a query, and obtained one hit (Bcin10g01250.1/BC1G_05107). Additionally, based on Pfam and SMART programs, we search for all gene with BAG domain (BD domain) in genome database. Results indicated that there is only one gene with a single copy (Bcin10g01250.1/BC1G_05107) containing BAG domain, designated BcBAG1 hereafter. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that BcBAG1 shares low similarity with BAGs in yeast, plants and animals while it is closely related to BAG homologs from other filamentous fungi, e.g., Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (86.53%), Magnaporthe oryzae (57.04%), Fusarium oxysporum (52.01%), and Aspergillus nidulans (45.30%) (Supplementary Figure S1A and Supplementary Table S3). BcBAG1 contains a ubiquitin-like domain (UBL) at the N-terminus and a BAG domain at the C-terminus, encoding a 35 kD protein with 298 amino acids (Supplementary Figure S1B). The alignment also showed that most of the key interaction residues for BAG-HSC70/HSP70 binding are conserved in BAG proteins across filamentous fungi, yeast, Arabidopsis, and human (Supplementary Figure S1C).

To address the function of BcBAG1, we generated knockout mutants of BcBAG1 (ΔBcBag1), in the wild-type (WT) strain B05.10 (Supplementary Figure S2A). Two individual ΔBcBag1 lines, K3-7 and K8-6, were validated and selected for later use (Supplementary Figures S2B,C). We obtained three complemented strains by transforming the full-length BcBAG1 under its native promoter into mutants and all strains equally restored the defects of ΔBcBag1. Thus one complemented strain (BcBAG1-Com) was used in the following studies.

BcBAG1 Is Required for Vegetative Growth, Conidiation, and Sclerotial Formation

To evaluate the role of BcBAG1 during vegetative growth of B. cinerea, we examined hyphal growth on PDA. As shown in Figures 1A,C, the diameters of the ΔBcBag1 colonies were similar to WT strain B05.10 and the complementation strain BcBAG1-Com. However, ΔBcBag1 formed a thick hyphal layer on the surface of plates and the amount of aerial hypha drastically increased in comparison with B05.10 and BcBAG1-Com (Figure 1B). These results indicate that BcBAG1 influences on vegetative growth of B. cinerea.

Given that wind-dispersal of conidia determines the severity of gray mold disease in the field (Lerch et al., 2013), we assessed the role of BcBAG1 in conidial production. Conidiation of B05.10, ΔBcBag1 and BcBAG1-Com from 10-day-old PDA culture was measured using microscopic examination. Although ΔBcBag1 still produced aerial mycelia (Figure 1B), conidiogenesis of ΔBcBag1 is significantly reduced (Figure 2A), in detail, the mutants produced approximately 2.1 × 10⁷ conidia/PDA plate, while the WT produced approximately 2.0 × 10⁸ conidia/PDA plate (P < 0.01) (Figure 2D). However, the conidia exhibited normal morphology between ΔBcBag1 and WT (Figure 2B). These results indicate that BcBAG1 involved in conidial production but do not affect conidial morphology in B. cinerea.

Melanization of sclerotia is considered as a survival strategy of various fungi when encountering harsh environments like over-wintering (Williamson et al., 2007). Here, we examined sclerotial formation following BcBAG1 deletion in B. cinerea.
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FIGURE 1 | BcBAG1 is required for normal mycelial growth. Colonies (A) and aerial hyphae (B) of all strains were photographed after 3 days growth on PDA medium at 22° C. Scale bar: 100 µm. (C) Statistical analysis of the colony diameters of above strains. B05.10: the wild-type strain; K-3 and K8-6: ΔBcbag1 mutant lines; BcBAG1-Com (full-length BcBAG1), C2-8 (C-terminus of BcBAG1) and N3-11 (N-terminus of BcBAG1): complemented strains. Error bars represent the standard deviations from three independent experiments.

After 4-week incubation on PDA in the dark, we observed that ΔBcbag1 mutants were unable to produce sclerotia, while B05.10 and BcBAG1-Com produced abundant sclerotia on PDA (Figures 2C,E), suggesting BcBAG1 is essential for sclerotial formation. Taken together, we reasoned that BcBAG1 plays a crucial role in regulating vegetative growth, conidiation and sclerotial formation in B. cinerea.

BcBAG1 Is Involved in the Regulation of Hyphal Melanization

After incubating on PDA for 7 days, we noticed that ΔBcbag1 displayed increased generation of black pigment when compared to WT (Figure 3A). It has been reported that the dark pigmentation in fungi is due to the accumulation of 1,8 dihydroxynaphthalene (DHN) melanin (Henson et al., 1999). Melanin is a dark durable pigment that protects fungi against diverse environmental stresses, such as UV irradiation and temperature extremes (Bell and Wheeler, 1986; Butler and Day, 1998). We therefore examined whether BcBAG1 participates in melanin biosynthesis. The ΔBcbag1, BcBAG1-Com and the WT strains were cultured on PDA supplemented with 50 µg/ml tricyclazole, a fungicide that specifically inhibits DHN-melanin biosynthesis (Thompson et al., 2000). The result showed tricyclazole was able to repress the massive melanin synthesis in ΔBcbag1 mutants caused by BcBAG1 deletion (Figure 3A).

Additionally, we instituted RT-PCR assays to monitor the expression level of THR1 (1,3,8-trihydroxynaphthalene reductase gene), a key component in melanin biosynthesis pathway (Perpetua et al., 1996). Corresponding results obtained from RT-PCR analysis revealed a significantly up-regulation (about 10-folds) in the expression pattern of THR1 in ΔBcbag1 compared to WT (Figure 3B). These data indicate that BcBAG1 negatively regulates melanin biosynthesis pathway to suppress melanin production in B. cinerea.

BcBAG1 Is Required for Virulence of B. cinerea

To determine the role of BcBAG1 in pathogenicity and virulence of B. cinerea, we conducted infection assays by inoculating mycelial plugs containing the WT, ΔBcbag1 and BcBAG1-Com, on detached tomato leaves and grapes, respectively. Four days post-inoculation (dpi), ΔBcbag1 only initiated a small localized lesion, whereas the WT and the complemented strains have produced fully expanded lesions that were already at the soft rot stage (Figure 4A). We also performed infection assays on tomato leaves, with conidial suspensions (1.0 × 10^5 spores/ml). Consistently, ΔBcbag1 showed apparently attenuated virulence compared to the WT and BcBAG1-Com (Figures 4A,B). These results indicate that BcBAG1 functions as a virulence factor by enhancing colonization on the hosts.
During infection, *B. cinerea* produces three types of penetration structures, including germ tube apices (GA), appressoria (HA) and infection cushions (IC) (Vandenheuvel and Waterreus, 1983). To investigate whether the weak virulence of Δ*Bcbag1* resulted from penetration defects, we evaluated conidial germination using hydrophobic coverslips and the penetration on onion epidermis cells for microscopic observation (*Figure 5A*). Although all strains initiated germination 12 h post-incubation (hpi), the germination rate of Δ*Bcbag1* was significantly reduced (by 50%) compared to the germination recorded for the WT and *BcBAG1-Com* (*Figure 5C*). Moreover, deletion of *BcBAG1* delayed the onset of appressoria formation at 24 hpi and the development of infection cushions (IC) at 48 hpi (*Figure 5A*). Cotton blue was used to stain the appressorium-like structure, the infection cushion and the hyphae of *B. cinerea* on onion epidermal cells. As shown in *Figure 5B*, the conidia of WT and *BcBAG1-Com* germinated, and the infection cushions surrounded by abundant invasive hyphae were typically developed for penetration on the onion epidermis 48 hpi. In contrast, Δ*Bcbag1* attenuated the ability to form infection cushions and only few germ tubes and invasive hyphae appeared on onion cells. These results suggest that the reduced virulence of Δ*Bcbag1* is correlated with the developmental defects of infection and penetration structures.

**Both UBL and BAG Domains Contribute to BcBAG1 Function**

*BcBAG1* possesses an N-terminal ubiquitin-like (UBL) domain and a conserved C-terminal BAG domain (BD) (*Supplementary Figure S1B*). Human BAG-1 interacts with HSP70 via its BAG domain and utilizes the UBL domain in targeting the chaperone cofactor to the 26S proteasome for degradation (Demand et al., 2001). The UBL/BAG domain proteins in *S. pombe*, SpBAG101 and SpBAG102, display similar interaction pattern to human BAG-1 (Kriegenburg et al., 2014; Poulsen et al., 2017). To validate the functionality of the UBL and the BAG domain of *BcBAG1*, we generated two truncated forms of *BcBAG1*; *BcBAG1−141* and *BcBAG1−142−298* (*Supplementary Figure S1B*), containing UBL and BAG domain, respectively. The *BcBAG1−141* and
Figure 3: BcBAG1 negatively regulates hyphal melanization. (A) Mycelial pigmentation were monitored on PDA for 7 days in darkness without (upper panel) and with (lower panel) tricyclazole (an inhibitor of DHN-melanin biosynthesis) in the wild-type (B05.10), ΔBcbag1 mutants (K-3 and K-8-6) and complemented strain (BcBAG1-Com). (B) Transcription analysis of THR1 (1,3,8-trihydroxynaphthalene reductase gene) by RT-PCR. Total RNAs of corresponding strains grown on PDB medium were extracted and conducted for RT-PCR, asterisks denote statistical significance (*P < 0.01).

BcBAG1 constructs were subsequently transformed into ΔBcbag1 for complementation, designated as N3-11 and C2-8, respectively. Both N3-11 and C2-8 could not effectively rescue defects in conidia production and sclerotia formation (Figure 2). Interestingly, C2-8 could partially restored conidial germination, infection structure formation and pathogenicity to WT levels (Figures 4, 5). These data suggest that both UBL and BAG domains are necessary for integral BcBAG1 function and we further inferred that the BAG domain plays an indispensable role for pathogenicity of B. cinerea.

BcBAG1 Modulates Multiple Stress Responses in B. cinerea

BAG family members are essential in cell protection during variable biotic and abiotic stress responses (Doukhanina et al., 2006; Behl, 2016). To investigate the function of BcBAG1 in response to environmental stress, we examined the sensitivity of ΔBcbag1 to various abiotic stress stimuli. As shown in Figure 6, ΔBcbag1 was more sensitive to salt stress (1 M NaCl) than WT, but no different with WT to another osmotic stress inducer 1.2 M D-sorbitol. Mycelial growth in response to cell wall stress inducers (0.6 mg/ml CFW; and 0.02% SDS) was measured. ΔBcbag1 showed dramatically increased sensitivity to both CFW and SDS compared to WT (Figure 6). In contrast, when exposing to oxidative stimuli, 20 mM H2O2 and 0.5 mM TBHP, ΔBcbag1 led to an average ~7% (20 mM H2O2) and ~8% (0.5 mM TBHP) lower growth inhibition rate than the WT strain (Figure 6), indicating that disruption of BcBAG1 is more resistance to oxidative stress.

Human BAG-1 is a coupling factor between HSP70 and 26S proteasome (Luders et al., 2000). BAG3, as a co-chaperone, forms a complex with HSP70 to facilitate the degradation of ubiquitinated proteins via the proteasome or autophagy pathways (Gamerdinger et al., 2011; Minoia et al., 2014). Thus, we examined the role of BcBAG1 in proteasome degradation by testing the sensitivity of ΔBcbag1 to proteasome inhibitors, MG132 and Bort (Huang and Chen, 2009). Unexpectedly, results showed that the growth rate of ΔBcbag1 is not statistically different from WT and BcBAG1-Com (Figure 6), implying that BcBAG1 is not involved in response to proteasome inhibitors, MG132 and Bort. Although human BAG3 mediates the responses to Bort and MG132 (Judge et al., 2017), BcBAG1 does not share the same role in this perspective as the human counterpart.

BcBAG1 Negatively Regulates Unfolded Protein Response (UPR)

Previous work revealed that Arabidopsis BAG7 (AtBAG7) functions as an ER stress co-chaperone to maintain the UPR and protect plants from ER stress-induced cell death (Williams et al., 2010). Except AtBAG4, BcBAG1 shares relative higher identity to AtBAG7 in comparison to other Arabidopsis BAGs (Supplementary Table S3), we therefore examined whether BcBAG1 plays a role in ER stress signaling pathway. ER stress can be induced by chemical compounds, e.g., Tm or DTT (Olsowski and Urano, 2011). Besides, environmental/abiotic stress including excessive heat and cold also trigger ER stress (Williams et al., 2010). Accordingly, we cultured the WT, ΔBcbag1 and BcBAG1-Com on media supplemented with 2 µg/ml Tm and 2.5 mM DTT for 3 days at 22°C. The results showed that the growth of ΔBcbag1 was strongly inhibited by Tm and DTT with a much higher inhibition rate comparing to WT and BcBAG1-Com (Figure 6). In addition, after incubating on PDA under heat (30°C) and cold (4°C) conditions for 7 days, ΔBcbag1 was more sensitive than the WT and BcBAG1-Com to both heat and cold treatments (Figures 7A–C). Quantitative real-time (qRT-PCR) analysis demonstrated that the transcription of BcBAG1 was highly induced upon above ER stress conditions, including heat treatment (50°C for 30 min), Tm (2 µg/ml for 1 h), or DTT (20 mM for 1 h) (Figure 7C), indicating that BcBAG1 is responsible for ER stress tolerance.

To cope with ER stress, eukaryotes utilize UPR to alleviate the detrimental effects (Schroder and Kaufman, 2005). In S. cerevisiae, UPR is sensed by transmembrane protein kinase and ribonuclease (RNase) IRE1 and initiated with IRE1-mediated splicing of an unconventional intron (252-nucleotide) from the HAC1 transcript (Cox and Walter, 1996; Ruegsegger et al., 2001). HAC1 encodes a basic leucine zipper-type (bZIP) transcription factor, and the splicing of HAC1 regulates the expression of UPR target genes thus to mitigate ER stress (Mori et al., 1996). To explore the underlying mechanism within the sensitivity of ΔBcbag1 to ER stress, we detected the presence of the spliced and unspliced form of BcHAC1 mRNA by RT-PCR. Spliced form of BcHAC1 (BcHAC1^S) in B05.10 was significantly increased upon ER stress, suggesting B. cinerea shares the similar HAC1 splicing process with other organisms during UPR (Figure 8A). Notably,
FIGURE 4 | BcBAG1 deletion mutants attenuated pathogenicity. (A) Inoculation assays were implemented on different host plants. Mycelial plugs from PDA after 3 days growth were inoculated on detached tomato leaves (Moneymaker) (upper panel) and wounded grapes (middle panel). The disease phenotype was recorded 4 days post inoculation. Conidial suspensions (1.0–1.5 × 10^5 spores/ml) were inoculated on detached tomato leaves (Moneymaker) (lower panel) and the disease symptom was recorded 7 days post inoculation. (B) Quantification of lesion diameters of above inoculation. MT, mycelial plugs were inoculated on tomato leaves; MG, mycelial plugs were inoculated on grapes; CT, conidial suspensions were inoculated on tomato leaves. Wild-type strain (B05.10), Bcbag1 mutant lines (K3-7 and K8-6), and complemented strains (BcBAG1-Com, C2-8 and N3-11); CK, negative control. Error bars represent the standard deviations from three independent experiments and asterisks indicate statistical significance (P < 0.01).

under normal conditions, the amount of BcHAC1^S was drastically more abundant in ΔBcbag1 than the WT (Figure 8A, upper panel). More interestingly, BcHAC1 was constitutively spliced in ΔBcbag1 under both conditions that are with/without stress (Figure 8A). DNA sequencing confirmed that a 20 nucleotide of the fragment was absent in the spliced form compared to the unspliced form (Figure 8A, lower panel). Meanwhile, we examined the expression of UPR-related genes in B. cinerea, BcBIP1 and BcIRE1, homologs of ER chaperone KAR2/BIP1 and ER stress sensor/transducer IRE1 in S. cerevisiae, respectively (Pincus et al., 2010). Both BcBIP1 and BcIRE1 were induced following ER stress in the WT (Figure 8B). Without stress, the expression levels of BcIRE1 and BcBIP1 were increased by threefold and fivefold, respectively, in ΔBcbag1 (Figure 8B). All these results indicates that, BcBAG1 deletion causes constitutive activation of UPR through negatively regulating the expression of BcBIP1, BcIRE1 and the splicing of BcHAC1 mRNA. We speculate that BcBAG1 effectively repress the harmful and excessive constitutive activation of UPR, thus to maintain the proper UPR level during ER stress signaling pathway.

BcBAG1 Binds HSP70-Type Chaperones
The heat shock proteins HSP70 family play crucial roles in assisting a variety of protein folding processes (Mayer and Bukau, 2005). Human BAG-1 binds the ATPase domain of Hsc70 to stimulates Hsc70 ATP hydrolysis which results in the
FIGURE 5 | BcBAG1 is required for conidial germination and penetration. (A) Time course of conidial germination on glass slides when conidia were suspended in 10 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ and glucose solution (pH 6.0). CO, conidia; GT, germ tubes; HA, appressoria; IC, infection cushions. Scale bar: 20 µm. (B) Penetration assay on onion epidermal cells. Onion epidermal cells were inoculated with conidial suspension (5.0 × 10$^4$ spores/ml) and the penetration was observed 48 h post incubation (hpi). External fungal structures are stained blue with cotton blue, whereas penetrated hyphae remain unstained. Scale bar: 20 µm. (C) Quantification of conidial germination rates after 12 hpi on hydrophobic glass slide. Error bars represent the standard deviations from three independent experiments (more than 100 conidia per strain). Asterisks denote statistical significance (P < 0.01).

release of ADP from Hsc70, thereby regulates specific protein folding and maturation pathways (Hohfeld and Jentsch, 1997). To ascertain whether BcBAG1 bind HSP70-type chaperone in B. cinerea, we performed the yeast two-hybrid assay to establish possible interaction between BcBAG1 and the HSP70 family members, including BcBIP1, BcSSC1, BcSKS2, BcSSA1, BcPSS1, and BcLHS1, which are homologs of HSP70 family in the fission yeast. Results showed that BcBAG1 only interacted with BcBIP1 and BcSKS2 (Figure 8C), demonstrating BcBAG1 does function as a co-chaperone of HSP70 proteins, in accordance with that has been reported in other systems (Gassler et al., 2001; Sondermann et al., 2002; Poulsen et al., 2017).

**BcBAG1 Restores Salt Stress Tolerance to atbag4**

Using NCBI blastp and blastn programs, we found BcBAG1 is predicted to be the closest homolog of Arabidopsis BAG protein AtBAG4, in light of the amino acid sequences of the BAG domains between BcBAG1 and AtBAG4 share 33% identity and 50% similarity (Supplementary Figures S1A,C and Supplementary Table S3). To determine whether BcBAG1 is able to functionally complement the AtBAG4 T-DNA mutants, full-length BcBAG1 was overexpressed using the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter in the atbag4 T-DNA mutants (atbag4::BcBAG1). Expression levels of BcBAG1 in atbag4 was confirmed by RT-PCR (Figure 9A). Previous studies indicated that atbag4 mutants were more susceptible to salt stress (100 mM NaCl) compared to the WT Col-0 (Doukhanina et al., 2006). Here, we performed the salt tolerance assay for atbag4::BcBAG1, taking Col-0 and atbag4 as the positive and negative controls, respectively. Arabidopsis seedlings were cultured on 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 100 mM NaCl. After 2 weeks treatment, no difference was observed from seedlings. However, 5 weeks later, atbag4 mutants displayed massive chlorosis and bleaching of leaves, while Col-0 and atbag4::BcBAG1 plants grew well and exhibited nearly the same growth tendency under salt conditions (Figure 9B). These observations demonstrate that BcBAG1 can be ectopically expressed in Arabidopsis and fully restore salt tolerance in atbag4.

**DISCUSSION**

As an evolutionarily conserved group, BAG proteins from yeast to plants and mammals have been associated with...
**FIGURE 7** | BcBAG1 knock-out mutants are susceptible to ER stress conditions. (A) Wild-type B05.10, ΔBcbag1 (K3-7 and K8-6) and BcBAG1-Com strains were grown on PDA medium at 30°C and 4°C, respectively, for 7 days. (B) Statistical analysis of the colony diameters of above strains. (C) The expression of BcBAG1 is induced by heat, Tm, and DTT treatments. Quantitative RT-PCR was used to evaluate the BcBAG1 transcript levels in the wild-type B05.10 following heat treatment (50°C for 30 min), tunicamycin (Tm, 15 µg/ml for 1 h) and DTT (20 mM for 1 h). Error bars represent the standard deviations from three independent experiments. Asterisks denote statistical significance ($P < 0.01$).

regulation of PCD and cell protection. Recently, they have also been found to play an important role in autophagy, UPR and ubiquitin-proteasome system (Kabbage et al., 2017). However, the identification and characterization of BAG proteins in phytopathogenic fungi is rare. In this study, we explored the *B. cinerea* genome and identified a unique BAG gene, *BcBAG1*. Targeted deletion of *BcBAG1* exerted strong adverse effects on vegetative growth, conidiation, sclerotia formation, hyphal melanization, stress response, conidial germination, penetration and virulence, suggesting that the pleiotropic function of BAGs delineated in mammals and plants appears to be maintained in *B. cinerea*. The BAG domain of BcBAG1 shares highest similarity with *AtBAG4* in Arabidopsis, also an ectopic expression of BcBAG1 fully restored salt tolerance of *atbag4* mutants (*Figure 9*). In addition, deletion of *BcBAG1* resulted in increased sensitivity to salt, cell wall stressors, ER stress inducers, and heat or cold treatments. These results parallel plant AtBAG4 studies in which AtBAG4-overexpressing transgenic tobacco plants confer tolerance to a wide range of stresses such as UV light, cold, salt treatments (Doukhanina et al., 2006). From this perspective, the cytoprotective function of BAGs in response to diverse stresses is relatively conserved between the fungi and plants.

Our previous studies have addressed the importance of *AtBAG7* in the maintenance of the UPR and the mechanisms of ER-localized co-chaperone *AtBAG7* in stress protection (Williams et al., 2010; Li et al., 2017). Interestingly, BcBAG1 showed a close evolutionary relationship with *AtBAG7* among plant BAGs (Supplementary Figure S1A and Supplementary Table S3). Moreover, ΔBcbag1 were more sensitive to ER stress stimuli (*Figure 6*) and expression of BcBAG1 was induced under ER stress (*Figure 7B*), suggesting that both BcBAG1 and AtBAG7 are functionally associated with the ER stress. Of note, we found that the deletion of *BcBAG1* gives rise to the constitutive activation of UPR with high levels even without stress (*Figures 8A,B*), indicating that BcBAG1 is necessary for the inhibition of excess UPR under normal condition. Given the defects in fungal development and differentiation caused by deletion of *BcBAG1*, we speculate that under normal conditions, the abnormal activated UPR is actually harmful to the fungus. It has been reported that
moderate activation of UPR is necessary for ER recovery when responding to stress in *S. cerevisiae* (Chawla et al., 2011). Thus, we suggest that constitutive activation of UPR in Δ*BcBag1* results in loss of normal ER protein-folding capacity, which impinges upon the ability to sustain resistance to ER stress. Thus, Δ*Bcbag1* displayed growth defects during ER stress. In addition, as a multifaceted HSP70 molecular chaperone, BIP ensures an appropriate response to restore protein folding homeostasis to the ER by providing a buffer for inactive Ire1 (Pincus et al., 2010). Our result revealed that disruption of *BcBAG1* increases the expression of *BcBIP1* and *BcIRE1* (Figure 8B) and *BcBAG1* interacts with BcBIP1 (Figure 8C). We conclude that the regulation of UPR by BcBAG1 correlates to BcBIP1. The relationship between BcBAG1/BcBIP1/BcIRE1 and how BcBAG1 modulates the ER machinery require further studies.

Previous studies of human BAG-1/HSC70 complex revealed that BAG-1 exploits Glu<sup>212</sup>, Asp<sup>222</sup>, Arg<sup>237</sup>, and Gln<sup>245</sup> residues in the BAG domain to bind with Hsc70 ATPase domain (Sondermann et al., 2001). Multiple alignment showed that these residues, with the exception of Glu<sup>212</sup>, are highly conserved in BcBAG1 BD (Supplementary Figure S1C), implying that BcBAG1 interacts with HSP70 in a similar manner as with human BAG-1. We did examine the interaction between BcBAG1 and HSP70 proteins by Y2H and found that BcBAG1 exclusively interacts with BcBIP1 and BcSKS2 in the HSP70 family, but fails to bind to BcSSC1, BcSSA1, BcPSS1, and BcLHS1 (Figure 8C). Previous studies demonstrated that the *S. cerevisiae* BAG protein SNL1 interacts with HSP70...
family members including SSA1, SSB1, SSB2, SEE1, and SEE2 (Sondermann et al., 2002; Verghese and Morano, 2012; Abrams et al., 2014). While the S. pombe BAG proteins SpBAG101 and SpBAG102 exclusively interact with SSA1, SSA2, and SKS2 (Poulsen et al., 2017). These data suggest BAGs from different origins show different affinity and specificity to HSP70s members. Notably, the interaction between BcBAG1 and BcSKS2 is conserved from that in yeast studies. BcSKS2 is the homolog of fission yeast ribosome-associated chaperone SKS2, and the S. cerevisiae orthologs of fission yeast SKS2, called SSB1 and SSB2. SSB1/2 chaperones play a dual role in de novo protein folding and ribosome biogenesis (Mudholkar et al., 2017). We conjectured that the binding to primary HSP70 chaperone might be responsible for the functional pleiotropy of BcBAG1.

Apart from the BAG domain, BcBAG1 also contains a UBL domain. Human BAG-1 function as a link between HSC/HSP70 and 26S proteasome degradation system via its UBL domain, chaperone-bound substrates are released and degraded (Luders et al., 2000; Alberti et al., 2002). Co-precipitation experiments in fission yeast provide direct evidence that both SpBAG101 and SpBAG102 interact with 26S proteasomes depend on the UBL domain (Poulsen et al., 2017). However, we found that response to proteasome inhibitors, e.g., Bort and MG132, by BcBAG1 deletion was unaffected in fungus (Figure 6). Besides, the result of ubiquitination assay confirmed that deletion of BcBAG1 does not alter the levels of ubiquitination (Supplementary Figure S3). Therefore, we inferred that BcBAG1 is not a key player in the proteasome degradation. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that other proteasome inhibitors may work on BcBAG1 or BcBAG1 can be targeting some proteasome substrate for degradation.

Botrytis cinerea, however, integrates a number of hurdles that must be traversed for successful colonization and defense against the plethora of plant hosts that are encountered (Elad et al., 2007). Therefore, it is relatively difficult to present a precise mechanism for the alteration of pathogenicity and virulence in the host. Based on our data, we supposed that the attenuated pathogenicity of ΔBcbag1 is directly or indirectly related to the following reasons. First, ΔBcBag1 showed a decrease of conidial germination, and delayed the formation of appressoria and infection cushions on an artificial surface and onion epidermis (Figure 6). At the same time, we observed that BcBAG1 resides in the cytoplasm throughout growth stages, but the localization is altered and most likely concentrated at the infection spots during invasion (Supplementary Figure S4), suggesting the expression of BcBAG1 contributes to the formation of penetration structures. Therefore, the impairment of penetration structures in ΔBcBag1 weakens the ability of breaching the host tissues to effectively cause disease. Second, it is reported that cell wall integrity is crucial for B. cinerea virulence and pathogenicity (Soulie et al., 2003, 2006; Cui et al., 2013). ΔBcBag1 increased sensitivity to cell wall stressors, CFW and SDS (Figure 6), indicating BcBAG1 involves in cell wall integrity pathway. Therefore, defect in cell wall integrity is one key to the reduction of virulence. Third, melanin is a factor affecting the virulence of B. cinerea. BcpKS13 and BcBRN1, encoding polyketide synthase and tetrahydroxynaphthalene (THN) reductases, respectively, both are involved in fungal DHN melanin biosynthesis (Zhang et al., 2015). Loss of BcpKS13 and BcBRN1 blocks melanization resulting in enhanced virulence. Conversely, overexpression of BcBRN1 enhances melanization, decreases secretion for virulence factors such as several hydrolytic enzymes and oxalic acid, and attenuated virulence (Zhang et al., 2015). From this perspective, an increment of melanin biosynthesis negatively affects the pathogenesis of B. cinerea. Consistently, our results showed that BcBAG1 negatively regulates melanin biosynthesis (Figure 3), thus the increased mycelial melanin biosynthesis suppress fungal virulence for ΔBcBag1. Fourth, previous studies indicated UPR plays as a central regulator of fungal pathogenesis (Heimel et al., 2013; Guillemette et al., 2014; Krishnan and Askew, 2014a,b). Our study found that BcBAG1 is responsible for proper maintenance of UPR, as a result, abnormal activation of UPR in ΔBcbag1 could cause the attenuated virulence.

In summary, this paper details the biological functions of BcBAG1. We have shown that BcBAG1 exhibits functional versatility and is involved in fungal development, differentiation, stress response, and pathogenicity. BcBAG1 acts as a co-chaperone of HSP70 and is a key regulator required for maintenance of the UPR. In light of these findings, future studies involving translocation of BcBAG1 during infection and identification of other targets are of interest. Taken together, these results demonstrate the importance of the BAG family in filamentous fungus cell death pathways and cytoprotection.
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
HZ and MD designed the research. HZ performed the experiments. HZ, YL, and MD analyzed the data. HZ, YL, MD, and ZW wrote the article. MD and ZW revised and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING
This work was partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 31471739).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We thank Professor Matthias Hahn (Kaiserslautern University, Germany) for providing vector pNAH-OGG and pNAH-OGM. We also thank the Chinese CSC Scholarship Program for the grant of HZ.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00685/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES
Abrams, I. L., Verghese, J., Gibney, P. A., and Morano, K. A. (2014). Hierarchical functional specificity of cytosolic heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) nucleotide exchange factors in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 13155–13167. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.330014
Alberti, S., Demand, J., Esser, C., Emmerich, N., Schild, H., and Hohfeld, J. (2002). Ubiquitolation of BAG-1 suggests a novel regulatory mechanism during the sorting of chaperone substrates to the proteasome. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 45920–45927. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M204196200
Back, S. H., Schroder, M., Lee, K., Zhang, K., and Kaufman, R. J. (2005). ER stress signaling by regulated splicing: IRE1/HAC1/XBP1. Curr. Biol. 15, 41–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2004.12.027
Bleh, C. (2016). Breaking BAG: the Co-Chaperone BAG3 in health and disease. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 37, 672–688. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2016.04.007
Bell, A. A., and Wheeler, M. H. (1986). Biosynthesis and functions of fungal melanins. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 24, 411–451. doi: 10.1146/annurev.py.24.090186.002211
Bracher, A., and Verghese, J. (2015). GrpE, Hsp110/Grp170, HspBP1/Sil1 and BAG domain proteins: nucleotide exchange factors for Hsp70 molecular chaperones. Subcell Biochem. 78, 1–33. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-11371-7_1
Butler, M. J., and Day, A. W. (1998). Fungal melanins: a review. Can. J. Microbiol. 44, 1115–1136. doi: 10.1139/cjm-44-12-1115
Chawla, A., Chakrabarti, S., Ghosh, G., and Niwa, M. (2011). Attenuation of yeast UPR is essential for survival and is mediated by IRE1 kinase. J. Cell Biol. 193, 41–50. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201008071
Cox, J. S., and Walter, P. (1996). A novel mechanism for regulating activity of the transcription factor that controls the unfolded protein response. Cell 87, 391–404. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81360-4
Cui, Z. F., Wang, Y. H., Lei, N., Wang, K., and Zhu, T. H. (2013). Botrytis cinerea chitin synthase BcChsVI is required for normal growth and pathogenicity. Curr. Genet. 59, 119–128. doi: 10.1007/s00294-013-0393-y
Demand, J., Alberti, S., Patterson, C., and Hohfeld, J. (2001). Cooperation of a ubiquitin domain protein and an E3 ubiquitin ligase during chaperone/proteasome coupling. Curr. Biol. 11, 1569–1577. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00847-0
Dohlemann, G., Berndt, P., and Hahn, M. (2006). Different signalling pathways involving a G alpha protein, CAMP and a MAP kinase control germination of Botrytis cinerea conidia. Mol. Microbiol. 59, 821–835. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04991.x
Doukhchina, E. V., Chen, S., van der Zalm, E., Godzik, A., Reed, J., and Dickman, M. B. (2006). Identification and functional characterization of the BAG protein family in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 18793–18801. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M511794200
Elad, S. F. Y. (2016). Botrytis - the Fungus, the Pathogen and its Management in Agricultural Systems. Berlin: Springer.
Gamerdinger, M., Kaya, A. M., Wolfrum, U., Clement, A. M., and Behl, C. (2011). Elad, Y., Williamson, B., Tudzynski, P., and Delen, N. (2007). Zhang et al. Gronover, C. S., Kasulke, D., Tudzynski, P., and Tudzynski, B. (2001). Hohfeld, J., and Jentsch, S. (1997). Henson, J. M., Butler, M. J., and Day, A. W. (1999). Hoveland, K., Freitag, J., Hampel, M., Ast, J., Bolker, M., and Kamper, J. Judge, L. M., Perez-Bermejo, J. A., Truong, A., Ribeiro, A. J., Yoo, J. C., Jensen, C. L., Kabbage, M., and Dickman, M. B. (2008). The BAG proteins: a ubiquitous family of chaperone regulators. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 65, 1390–1402. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-0753-2 Kabbage, M., Kessens, R., Bartholomay, L. C., and Williams, B. (2017). The life and death of a plant cell. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 68, 375–404. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-040315-115655 Kabbage, M., Kessens, R., and Dickman, M. B. (2016). A plant Bcl-2-associated anathogene is proteolytically activated to confer fungal resistance. Microb. Cell 3, 224–226. doi: 10.15699/mic2016.05.501 Kang, C. H., Jung, W. Y., Kang, Y. H., Kim, J. Y., Kim, D. G., Jeong, J. C., et al. (2006). AtBAG6, a novel calmodulin-binding protein, induces programmed cell death in yeast and plants. Cell Death Differ. 13, 84–95. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4401712 Kriegenburg, F., Jakopec, V., Poulson, E. G., Nielsen, S. V., Rogau, A., Krogan, N., et al. (2014). A chaperone-assisted degradation pathway targets kinetochore proteins to ensure genome stability. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004140. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004140 Krishnan, K., and Ashew, D. S. (2014a). Endoplasmic reticulum stress and fungal pathogenesis. Fungal Biol. Rev. 28, 29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.fbr.2014.07.001 Krishnan, K., and Ashew, D. S. (2014b). The fungal UPR A regulatory hub for virulence traits in the mold pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus. Virulence 5, 334–340. doi: 10.4161/viru.26571 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054 Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., et al. (2007). Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23, 2947–2948. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404 Leroch, M., Kleber, A., Silva, E., Coenen, T., Koppenhofer, D., Shmaryahu, A., et al. (2013). Transcriptome profiling of Botrytis cinerea conidal germination reveals upregulation of infection-related genes during the prepenetration stage. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 92, 614–626. doi: 10.1128/EC.00295-12 Li, Y., and Dickman, M. (2016). Processing of AtBAG6 triggers autophagy and fungal resistance. Plant Signal. Behav. 11:e1175699. doi: 10.4161/psb.1175699 Li, Y., Kabbage, M., Liu, W., and Dickman, M. B. (2016). Aspartyl protease-mediated cleavage of BAG6 is necessary for autophagy and fungal resistance in plants. Cell Plant Biol. 28, 233–247. doi: 10.1007/tc.15.00626 Li, Y., Williams, B., and Dickman, M. (2017). Arabidopsis B-cell lymphoma2 (Bcl-2)-associated anathogene 7 (BAG7)-mediated heat tolerance requires transcription, summolation and binding to WRKY29. New Phytol. 214, 695–705. doi: 10.1111/nph.14388 Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(ΔΔCT) Method. Methods 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1016/meth.2001.12.062 Lo Presti, L., Lopez Díaz, C., Turra, D., Di Pietro, A., Hampel, M., Heimel, K., et al. (2016). A conserved co-chaperone is required for virulence in fungal plant pathogens. New Phytol. 209, 1135–1148. doi: 10.1111/nph.13703 Luders, J., Demand, J., and Hohfeld, J. (2000). The ubiquitin-related BAG-1 provides a link between the molecular chaperones Hsc70/Hsp70 and the proteasome. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 4613–4617. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M105328200 Malhotra, J. D., and Kaufman, R. J. (2007). The endoplasmic reticulum and the unfolded protein response. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 18, 716–731. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2007.09.003 Mayer, M. P., and Bukau, B. (2005). Hsp70 chaperones: cellular functions and molecular mechanism. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 62, 670–684. doi: 10.1007/s00018-004-4464-6 Minoia, M., Boncoraglio, A., Vinet, J., Morelli, F. F., Brunsting, J. F., Poletti, A., et al. (2014). BAG3 induces the sequestration of proteasomal clients into cytoplasmic puncta Implications for a proteasome-to-autophagy switch. Autophagy 10, 1603–1621. doi: 10.4161/auto.29409 Mori, K., Kawahara, T., Yoshida, H., Yanagi, H., and Yura, T. (1996). Signalling from endoplasmic reticulum to nucleus: transcription factor with a basic-leucine zipper motif is required for the unfolded protein-response pathway. Genes Cells 1, 803–817. doi: 10.1002/gcc.1650110103 Mudholkar, K., Fitzke, E., Prinz, C., Mayer, M. P., and Rospert, S. (2017). The Hsp70 homolog Ssb affects ribosome biogenesis via the TORC1-Sch9 signaling pathway. Nat. Commun. 8:9397. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-06635-z Osloski, C. M., and Urano, F. (2011). Measuring er stress and the unfolded protein response using mammalian tissue culture system. Methods Enzymol. 490(Pt B), 71–92. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-385114-7.00004-0 Perpetua, N. S., Kubo, Y., Yasuda, N., Takekano, Y., and Furusawa, I. (1996). Melanin and characterization of a melanin biosynthetic THR1 reducer gene essential for apressorial penetration of Colletotrichum lagenarium. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 9, 323–329. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-9.03.0264 Pincus, D., Chevalier, M. W., Aragon, T., van Anken, E., Vidal, S. E., El-Samad, H., et al. (2010). BiP binding to the ER-stress sensor Ire1 tunes the homeostatic pathway. Mol. Biol. Cell 21, 357–364. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E09-12-0993 Pincus, D., Chevalier, M. W., Aragon, T., van Anken, E., Vidal, S. E., El-Samad, H., et al. (2010). BiP binding to the ER-stress sensor Ire1 tunes the homeostatic pathway. Mol. Biol. Cell 21, 357–364. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E09-12-0993
behavior of the unfolded protein response. PLoS Biol. 8:e1000415. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000415

Poulsen, E. G., Kampmeyer, C., Kriegenburg, F., Johansen, J. V., Hofmann, K., Holmberg, C., et al. (2017). UBL/BAG-domain co-chaperones cause cellular stress upon overexpression through constitutive activation of Hsf1. Cell Stress Chaperones 22, 143–154. doi: 10.1007/s12192-016-0751-z

Quidde, T., Buttner, P., and Tudyński, P. (1999). Evidence for three different specific saponin-detergents activating activities in Botrytis cinerea and cloning and functional analysis of a gene coding for a putative avenacinase. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 105, 273–283. doi: 10.1023/A:1008796006051

Raeder, U., and Broda, P. (1985). Rapid preparation Of DNA from filamentous fungi. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1, 17–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.1985.tb01479.x

Ron, D., and Walter, P. (2007). Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8, 519–529. doi: 10.1038/nrm2199

Ruegsegger, U., Leber, J. H., and Walter, P. (2001). Block of HAC1 mRNA by BAG family proteins. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 143–154. doi: 10.1038/35071569

Sitia, R., and Braakman, I. (2003). Quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum.

Soulie, M. C., Piffeteau, A., Choquer, M., Boccara, M., and Vidal-Cros, A. (2003). Molecular chaperone targeting and regulation of the unfolded protein response. Fungal Genet. Biol. 40, 38–46. doi: 10.1016/S1087-1845(03)0005-3

Takayama, S., and Reed, J. C. (2001). Molecular chaperone targeting and regulation by BAG family proteins. Nat. Cell Biol. 3, E237–E241. doi: 10.1038/nclb0011-e237

Takayama, S., Sato, T., Krajewski, S., Kochel, K., Irie, S., Millan, J. A., et al. (1995). Cloning and functional analysis of BAG-1: a novel Bcl-2-binding protein with anti-cell death activity. Cell 80, 279–284. doi: 10.1002/0092-8674(95)90410-7

Takayama, S., Xie, Z. H., and Reed, J. C. (1999). An evolutionarily conserved family of Hsp70/Hsc70 molecular chaperone regulators. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 781–786. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.2.781

Thompson, J. E., Fahnestock, S., Farrall, L., Liao, D. I., Valenti, B., and Jordan, D. B. (2000). The second naphthol reductase of fungal melanin biosynthesis in Magnaporthe grisea: tetrahydroxynaphthalene reductase. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 34867–34872. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M006659200

Townsend, P. A., Cutress, R. L., Sharp, A., Brimmell, M., and Packham, G. (2003). BAG-1: a multifunctional regulator of cell growth and survival. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1603, 83–98. doi: 10.1016/S1087-1845(03)00002-7

Vandenheuvel, J., and Waterreus, L. P. (1983). Conidial concentration as an important factor determining the type of pre-penetration structures formed by botrytis-cinerea on leaves of french bean (Phaseolus-Vulgaris). Plant Pathol. 32, 263–272. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.1983.tb2833.x

Vergheze, J., and Morano, K. A. (2012). A lysine-rich region within fungal BAG domain-containing proteins mediates a novel association with ribosomes. Eukaryot. Cell 11, 1003–1011. doi: 10.1128/EC.00146-12

Viebues, A., Keller, J., Temme, N., and Tudyński, P. (2014). Redox systems in botrytis cinerea: impact on development and virulence. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 27, 858–874. doi: 10.1094/Mpms-01-14-0012-R

Williams, B., Kabbage, M., Britt, R., and Dickman, M. B. (2010). AtBAG7, an Arabidopsis Bcl2-associated athanogene, resides in the endoplasmic reticulum and is involved in the unfolded protein response. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 6088–6093. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0912671010

Williamson, B., Tudyński, B., Tudyński, P., and van Kan, J. A. (2007). Botrytis cinerea: the cause of grey mould disease. Mol. Plant Pathol. 8, 561–580. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2007.00417.x

You, Q., Zhai, K., Yang, D., Yang, W., Wu, J., Liu, J., et al. (2016). An E3 Ubiquitin Ligase-BAG protein module controls plant innate immunity and broad-spectrum disease resistance. Cell Host Microbe 20, 758–769. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.10.023

Zhang, C. H., He, Y. F., Zhu, P. K., Chen, L., Wang, Y. W., Ni, B., et al. (2015). Loss of bcbnr1 and bcpk13 in Botrytis cinerea not only blocks melanization but also increases vegetative growth and virulence. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 28, 1091–1101. doi: 10.1094/MPmi-04-15-0085-R

Zhang, X. R., Henriques, R., Lin, S. S., Niu, Q. W., and Chua, N. H. (2006). A lysine-rich region within fungal BAG family proteins mediates a novel association with ribosomes. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 105, 273–283. doi: 10.1023/A:1008796006051

Zhang et al.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Zhang, Li, Dickman and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.