A Study of Nitrate Uptake from Aqueous Solutions Using Isotactic Polypropylene-based Anion Exchangers
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Abstract: Two series of efficient and cost-effective anion exchangers possessing biocidal properties are reported for the removal of nitrate ions from aqueous solutions. Isotactic polypropylene (IPP) was modified by graft copolymerization with poly(4-vinyl pyridine) using γ-rays as initiator. The graft copolymers were functionalized further by reaction with sodium 2-bromoethane sulphonate or 2-Chloroethanol to generate, respectively, the zwitterionic or choline-analogous structure on the IPP backbone. The functionalized graft copolymers have exchangeable Cl⁻ or Br⁻ ions and possess antimicrobial properties due to their polycationic character. These exhibited structure-property relationship when evaluated as anion exchangers for NO₃⁻ ions of which the maximum was removed from the feed solution with the graft copolymer having the lowest percent grafting. But the nature of the counter anion present did not exhibit much difference in the nitrate uptake behaviour. A parametric study, to evaluate the effect of different conditions on nitrate uptake, was carried as a function of contact time, temperature, pH of medium and NO₃⁻ concentration. A high maximum exchange capacity of 14.77 mg/g and 13.62 mg/g was observed, respectively for the graft copolymers having Br⁻ and Cl⁻ as the counter anions, at pH 5.0, 35°C, and 20 ppm of the nitrate ions after ten cycles. The materials also exhibited good reusability up to ten cycles. The kinetics and mechanism of nitrate removal was studied and the data was found to fit the pseudo-second order kinetics and Langmuir isotherm.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrate is one of the major pollutants of water. Hence its removal from the ground water is a huge challenge to make wastewater fit for human consumption. The major sources of nitrate in ground or drinking water are fertilizers, sewage or its occurrence in the natural state. Excess intake of nitrate through food and water causes ill-health effects especially in babies or the elderly people. The nitrate concentration in surface water is normally low (0–18 mg/l) but can reach high levels as a result of agricultural runoff, refuse dump runoff or contamination with human or animal wastes [1]. Various techniques such as ion exchange, reverse osmosis or electro-dialysis for the treatment of nitrate removal from wastewater have been reviewed [2-3]. The membrane processes, ultrafiltration [4] or reverse osmosis [5], have been reported to be effective in the removal of nitrate. A two-stage treatment based on the combination of chemical and biological processes has also been reported to be an effective method [6]. Other processes reported include the use of biosorption [7], electrokinetic method [8], reductive removal using zero valent copper or iron [9-10]. However, anion exchange processes are more suitable for making water fit for drinking purposes by designing low cost processes and products [11]. Anion exchange processes have also been re-integrated with the catalytic or with biological processes [12]. Song, et al. [13] reported selective removal of nitrate by using a novel macroporous acrylic anion exchange resin. Zhou et al. [14] reported the use of a magnetic anion exchanger for the selective removal of nitrate. The natural polymers-based functional hydrogels, those offer the advantages of cost effective being of renewable nature, have been used as supports for efficient removal of nitrate [15-20]. These also offer an ease of modification by the polymer analogous reactions.

In view of the above discussion, in the present article two series of the new isotactic polypropylene (IPP)-based anion exchangers have been reported. These have been synthesized in order to combine the benefit of cost-effectiveness and efficiency as an anion exchanger. There are no reports in literature on a similar material for the nitrate removal though derivatized poly(acrylic acid)-grafted-PP has been used as cation exchanger [21] or the antibacterial properties of the nano-silver particles loaded-PP have been explored [22]. In the present case, IPP was modified by grafting with 4-vinyl pyridine (4-VP), a functional monomer, by variation of different grafting conditions and the candidate graft copolymers were further functionalized by quaternization with Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphoante and 2-Chloroethanol to
generate two series of anion exchangers that have quaternary nitrogen with exchangeable Br or Cl. The graft copolymers thus synthesized are bifunctional and possess anion exchange and antimicrobial properties - two most desirable attributes of material for use in wastewater treatment. The properties of the reported materials are attributed to the polycationic nature of poly(4-VP) grafted chains generated by the derivatization reaction with the above-stated two reagents.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

Isotactic polypropylene, IPP (National Rayon Corporation, Mumbai, India), 4-Vinyl pyridine, 4-VP (Merck, Schuchardt, Germany), potassium fluoride, 2-chloroethanol (S.D. Fine, Mumbai), were used as received. De-ionized water was used in all the experiments. Reagents for the nitrate detection were supplied by the Anatech Laboratories, Hyderabad, India.

**Synthesis and Quaternization Reaction of Graft Copolymers**

IPP was irradiated along with a known amount of 4-VP and water in Gamma Chamber. Different grafting conditions such as irradiation dose, monomer concentration or amount of water were varied one after the other to evaluate the optimum grafting conditions (Table 1). The graft copolymers were extracted with methanol or equal mixture of acetone and water by stirring for 2h to remove any attached homopolymer. The graft copolymer was separated, dried and weighed. It was again subjected to homopolymer removal by the above-stated extraction process. The drying, weighing and extraction process was repeated till constant weight was obtained. The graft copolymers thus obtained were designated as PP-g-poly(4-VP).

The amount of the % poly(4-VP) grafted on the backbone polymer, IPP, is defined percent grafting ($P_g$) and is expressed as:

$$P_g = \frac{\text{Amount of polymer grafted}}{\text{Weight of backbone polymer}} \times 100$$

The graft copolymers, with the highest $P_g$ from each of the grafting condition varied, that is irradiation dose, monomer concentration and volume of water and maximum volume of water, as per the details given in supplementary data, having $P_g$ of 58.00, 128, 150 and 32.0, were taken separately and immersed in an excess, 1:5 weight ratio, of Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate or 2-Chloroethanol and reacted, to quaternize the tertiary nitrogen of grafted poly(4-VP) chains, in a temperature-controlled water.

**Table 1: Effect of Grafting Reaction Parameters on $P_g^*$**

| Sr. No. | $\gamma$-irradiation dose (h) | Monomer (ml) | $H_2O$ (ml) | $P_g$ | $R_g$ (mol L$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) $\times 10^6$ |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|---------------------------------|
| 1.      | 8                             | 1.0          | 10.0        | 10.0  | 1.4247                          |
| 2.      | 16                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 20.0  | 1.4247                          |
| 3.      | 24                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 18.0  | 0.8548                          |
| 4.      | 32                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 20.0  | 0.7124                          |
| 5.      | 40                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 32.0  | 0.9118                          |
| 6.      | 48                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 58.0  | 0.9023                          |
| 7.      | 56                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 16.0  | 0.3257                          |
| 8.      | 64                            | 1.0          | 10.0        | 10.0  | 0.1781                          |
| 9.      | 48                            | 0.5          | 10.0        | 08.0  | 0.1985                          |
| 10.     | 48                            | 1.5          | 10.0        | 78.0  | 1.7756                          |
| 11.     | 48                            | 2.0          | 10.0        | 98.0  | 2.1422                          |
| 12.     | 48                            | 2.5          | 10.0        | 128.0 | 2.6911                          |
| 13.     | 48                            | 3.0          | 10.0        | 120.0 | 2.4301                          |
| 14.     | 48                            | 3.5          | 10.0        | 106.0 | 2.0704                          |
| 15.     | 48                            | 2.5          | 0.0         | 58.0  | 5.1658                          |
| 16.     | 48                            | 2.5          | 5.0         | 150.0 | 5.1028                          |
| 17.     | 48                            | 2.5          | 15.0        | 56.0  | 0.8520                          |
| 18.     | 48                            | 2.5          | 20.0        | 40.0  | 0.4768                          |
| 19.     | 48                            | 2.5          | 25.0        | 32.0  | 0.3135                          |

*Candidate graft copolymers synthesized by variation of the grafting conditions where the entries are shown in the bold and blue font were further functionalized by reaction with 2-Chloroethanol or Sodium 2-Bromoethane sulphonate and used in the nitrate removal studies. The irradiation dose rate was 1.728 k Gy/h.
bath, at 100 °C for 18 h or 50 °C for 36 h, respectively. The quaternized graft copolymers were extracted with excess water to remove the unreacted 2-Chloroethanol or Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate and dried in an air oven. Evidence of grafting, post reaction with 2-Chloroethanol or Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate were obtained from FTIR spectra recorded on Nicollet 5700, or from SEMs recorded on JEOL JSM-6380.

Anion Exchange Studies

Four candidate functionalized graft copolymers of different $P_g$, weighing 0.1g each, from both the series were separately immersed in 25 mL of a known concentration of KNO$_3$ solution for different time interval (30, 60, 90, 120 or 150 min) at a fixed temperature and solution pH. After specific time interval, the graft copolymers were removed from the respective solutions. The concentration of the nitrate ions left out in the solution was measured by adding the reagent. The procedure is based on the DMP method which is akin to the ISO 7890-1:1986 method. The principle of the method is based on the reaction of nitrate with 2,6-Dimethylphenol to generate in situ 4-Nitro-2,6-dimethylphenol. That instantaneously develops colour and the resultant direct concentration of nitrate was measured by colorimetric determination at 324 nm in Photolab 6600 UV-visible spectrophotometer. Percent uptake ($P_u$) and other parameters were calculated as shown below:

$$P_u = \frac{\text{Amount of NO}_3^- \text{ removed}}{\text{Total amount of NO}_3^- \text{ in solution}} \times 100$$

Partition Coefficient ($K_d$) = $\frac{\text{Amount of NO}_3^- \text{ removed}}{\text{Total amount of NO}_3^- \text{ in feed solution}} \times \frac{\text{Volume of solution in mL}}{\text{Weight of dry polymer (g)}}$

Exchange Capacity ($Q$) mg g$^{-1}$ = $\frac{(C_o - C_t)V}{m}$

$Q$ is the amount of NO$_3^-$ exchanged onto unit dry mass of the graft copolymer; $C_o$ and $C_t$ are the concentrations of ions (mg L$^{-1}$) in the feed solution initially and after treatment for time $t$, and $V$ is volume of solution (L) and $m$ is the weight of dry graft copolymer used (g).

The graft copolymer from each series exhibiting the best results was used for the further studies to optimize conditions for the maximum nitrate uptake (Table 2). The effect of the temperature, pH and concentration was also varied, one at a time, over a range as per details presented in Table 3. At the best conditions so obtained the maximum exchange capacity (MEC) of the selected materials was studied by using the same sample repeatedly for ten cycles. A single cycle was carried for 90 min at 35 °C and in pH 5.0 and 20 ppm of NO$_3^-$ ions and 0.1g of the sample. MEC was calculated by the following expression [17].

$$\text{MEC} = \frac{C_o \times V}{m}$$

Where $C_o$ and $C_t$ are the concentrations of nitrate in the feed initially and after time $t$, $m$ is the weight of dry

| S. No. | $P_g$ (ppm) | NO$_3^-$ (ppm) | pH | TDS (ppm) | Conductance (µS) | $P_u$ |
|-------|-------------|----------------|----|-----------|-----------------|------|
|       | PP-Br       |                |    |           |                 |      |
| 1.    | 150.0       | 2.5            | 3.60| 102.0     | 208.0           | 26.47|
| 2.    | 128.0       | 2.2            | 3.64| 110.0     | 220.0           | 35.29|
| 3.    | 58.0        | 1.4            | 3.70| 135.0     | 270.0           | 58.82|
| 4.    | 32.0        | 1.0            | 3.72| 141.0     | 281.0           | 70.59|
|       | PP-Cl       |                |    |           |                 |      |
| 1.    | 150.0       | 2.4            | 3.56| 229.0     | 456.0           | 29.41|
| 2.    | 128.0       | 2.1            | 3.50| 238.0     | 476.0           | 38.24|
| 3.    | 58.0        | 1.4            | 3.42| 252.0     | 504.0           | 58.82|
| 4.    | 32.0        | 0.8            | 3.32| 288.0     | 576.0           | 84.00|

*Conditions = graft copolymer 0.1g, time 60 min, pH5, NO$_3^-$ 5 ppm and at 20 °C, dose rate = 1.728 k Gy./h.
Table 3a: Effect of Variation of Conditions on Resultant Physical Parameters of Solution Post Anion Exchange (PPBr)

| Entry No. | Time (min)* | Pₐ | Conductivity µs | solution pH | Q (mg/g) | Partition Coefficient (Kd, mL/g) |
|-----------|-------------|-----|----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 1.        | 30          | 56.0| 165.0          | 3.60        | 0.70     | 318.18                          |
| 2.        | 60          | 80.0| 281.0          | 3.70        | 1.00     | 1000.00                         |
| 3.        | 90          | 82.0| 316.0          | 3.72        | 1.03     | 1138.89                         |
| 4.        | 120         | 82.0| 328.0          | 3.79        | 1.03     | 1138.89                         |
| 5.        | 150         | 82.0| 332.0          | 3.82        | 1.03     | 1138.89                         |

*Conditions = polymer 0.1g, pH 5, 5 ppm and 20 °C.

| Entry No. | [NO₃⁻] ppm* | Pₐ | Conductivity µs | solution pH | Q (mg/g) | Partition Coefficient (Kd, mL/g) |
|-----------|--------------|-----|----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 1.        | 5            | 82.0| 316.0          | 3.72        | 1.03     | 1138.89                         |
| 2.        | 10           | 90.0| 327.0          | 3.74        | 2.25     | 2250.00                         |
| 3.        | 20           | 86.5| 370.0          | 3.79        | 4.33     | 1601.85                         |
| 4.        | 30           | 58.0| 504.0          | 3.81        | 4.35     | 345.24                          |
| 5.        | 40           | 43.0| 602.0          | 3.83        | 4.30     | 188.60                          |

*Conditions = polymer 0.1g, 20 °C, pH 5, and 90 min.

| Entry No. | Temperature° (°C) | Pₐ | Conductivity µs | solution pH | Q (mg/g) | Partition Coefficient (Kd, mL/g) |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 1.        | 20                | 86.5| 370.0          | 3.79        | 4.33     | 1601.85                         |
| 2.        | 30                | 88.5| 381.0          | 3.78        | 4.43     | 1923.91                         |
| 3.        | 35                | 89.5| 477.0          | 3.76        | 4.48     | 2130.95                         |
| 4.        | 40                | 67.5| 506.0          | 3.72        | 3.38     | 519.23                          |
| 5.        | 45                | 55.5| 539.0          | 3.68        | 2.78     | 311.80                          |

*Conditions = polymer 0.1g, 20 ppm, pH 5 and 90 min.

| Entry No. | pH | Pₐ | Conductivity (ms) | solution pH | Q (mg/g) | Partition Coefficient (Kd, mL/g) |
|-----------|----|----|------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| 1.        | 2  | 76.5| 16.08            | 2.37        | 3.83     | 813.8298                        |
| 2.        | 5  | 89.5| 477.00 µs        | 3.76        | 4.48     | 2130.952                        |
| 3.        | 7  | 74.5| 4.14             | 6.70        | 3.73     | 730.3922                        |
| 4.        | 9  | 71.0| 4.32             | 5.80        | 3.55     | 612.069                         |
| 5.        | 11 | 52.5| 8.50             | 9.85        | 2.63     | 276.3158                        |

*Conditions = polymer 0.1g, 35 °C, 20 ppm and 90 min.

Table 3b: Effect of Variation of Conditions on Resultant Physical Parameters of Solution Post Anion Exchange (PP-Cl)

| Entry No. | Time (min) | Pₐ | Conductivity µs | pH | Q (mg/g) | Partition Coefficient (Kd, mL/g) |
|-----------|------------|----|----------------|----|----------|---------------------------------|
| 1.        | 30         | 68.0| 452.00         | 3.46| 0.85     | 531.25                          |
| 2.        | 60         | 84.0| 576.00         | 3.32| 1.05     | 1312.50                         |
| 3.        | 90         | 86.0| 708.00         | 3.29| 1.08     | 1535.71                         |
| 4.        | 120        | 86.0| 722.00         | 3.27| 1.08     | 1535.71                         |
| 5.        | 150        | 86.0| 730.00         | 3.26| 1.08     | 1535.71                         |

*Conditions = polymer 0.1g, pH 5, 5 ppm and 20 °C.
polymer (g). \( C_m \) is the anion concentration sorbed by the polymer, and \( V \) is the total volume of the solution (L).

The reusability studies were carried for ten cycles using the same sample. After nitrate uptake in cycle 1 the sample was separated from the solution and it was stripped-off \( \text{NO}_3^- \) ions by immersion in the saturated NaCl solution for 1h and sonicated. The regenerated sample was again used for nitrate uptake. The process for the regeneration and nitrate uptake was used for ten cycles as thereafter no nitrate was exchanged.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Radiation grafting by \( \gamma \)-rays initiation is a clean and convenient method to modify natural or synthetic polymers to make these functional and useful for the target-specific applications. PP fibre is otherwise chemically inert and does not have functional groups. Modification or functionalization of PP by incorporation of the targeted functional groups is a desirable strategy to alter its application spectrum. In the present study, grafting of poly(4-VP) resulted in the maximum \( P_g \) of 150% as a result of variation of different grafting parameters (Table 1). The effect of different grafting parameters is as per trends reported earlier. The grafting percent decreases after an optimum value when different parameters were changed. The same may be attributed to increase in the homopolymer formation [23]. The graft copolymers, PP-\( g \)-poly(4-VP), have comb-like structure with pendant poly(4-VP) groups attached to the IPP fibre backbone. To functionalize these graft copolymers by reaction with 2-Chloroethanol or Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate four graft copolymers having the highest \( P_g \) obtained after the variation of a particular grafting parameter were chosen and reacted separately with the above-mentioned two reagents. The quaternization reaction of the poly(4-VP) with 2-Chloroethanol or Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate takes place at the tertiary nitrogen of the pendant poly(4-VP) groups. The resulting polymers are henceforth designated as PP-Br.
or PP-Cl to distinguish the two series on the basis of the exchangeable anions. The graft copolymers PP-Br or PP-Cl are bifunctional with quaternary nitrogen and have Br⁻ or Cl⁻ as counter anions. These materials are non-toxic and have inherent anion exchange, antimicrobial or water softening properties which are most desirable attributes of a material usable in the drinking water treatment [24]. The course of the reaction and the structure of the resultant quaternized graft copolymers with the zwitterionic (PP-Br) or choline analogous (PP-Cl) pendant moieties are presented as Scheme 1.

### Characterization of Functional Graft Copolymers

IPP and its different copolymeric forms were characterized by the elemental analysis, FTIR and SEM to obtain evidence of grafting and reaction with Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate or 2-Chloroethanol. Elemental analysis provides evidence of different graft levels attained by variation of grafting conditions. For example, graft copolymers with P_{g} of 10, 58, and 150 have %N 1.529, 5.05 and 7.392 that correlates with an increase in the grafted poly(4-VP). After the respective quaternization reaction the %N decreased in both PP-Br and PP-Cl with a higher decrease observed for the former. FTIR spectra of PP (and its graft copolymers were compared. PP backbone only has bands due to -CH or C-C stretching or bending vibrations, while its graft copolymers have also the bands of pyridine ring. The latter has bands at 1597.1, 1556.1 and 1492.8 cm⁻¹ which are attributed to the stretching vibrations of the -C=N and -C=C bonds, and these on quaternization shifted to 1644.6/1646.4 cm⁻¹ 1573.3/1571.7 and 1515.9/1517.9, 1455.9/1457.7, for the pyridinium ions of PP-Cl/PP-Br. While there is a band at 820.3 cm⁻¹ due to the substituted aromatic ring, which shifted to 842.3/840.5 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of PP-Br/PP-Cl [24]. In the spectra of the functionalized polymers, absorption peaks of a band attributed to the quaternary ammonium salts absorption is observed in at 2360 cm⁻¹. SEMs of PP-g-poly(4-VP) and its quaternized forms are presented (Figure 1). The change in the morphology of the PP fibres is evident from the SEMs. The fibre diameter is more in the case of graft copolymers with the highest P_{g} (150) than in ones with the lower graft levels (Figure 1a and b). The fibre surface on post-grafting and on quaternization with 2-Chloroethanol or Sodium 2-Bromoethanesulphonate shows changes on the fibre surface as a result of charge generation. SEM-EDS of graft copolymer quaternized by reaction with 2-Chloroethanol are presented as Figure 1c. The presence of Cl⁻ can be evidenced from the EDS of the sample.

### Nitrate Uptake Studies and Selection of Candidate Materials

The graft copolymers behave as anion exchanger a property that emanates from the presence of the Br⁻ or Cl⁻ counter anions present on the quaternary nitrogen. It was observed that graft copolymers exhibited structure-property relationship in the nitrate uptake. The graft copolymer with the low P_{g} was observed to be most effective anion exchanger than the three other candidate graft copolymers studied with higher P_{g} level. The reason for such behaviour, as aforementioned, is due to the comb-like shape of the graft copolymers. The graft copolymers of higher P_{g} are expected to both high grafting density as well as longer pendant poly(4-VP) chains than the graft copolymers of low P_{g}. The former have large number of active sites initially for the quaternization reaction and later for the anion exchange but are not approachable to the nitrate ions.

![Scheme 1: Functionalization of IPP by quaternization reactions.](image)
due to the electrostatic as well as steric reasons [25]. Hence, the quaternized graft copolymer from both the PP-Br and PP-Cl series having the lowest $P_g$ (32) exhibited the best $P_u$ (Table 2). This observation has technological potential as the cost effective functional graft copolymers can be designed with the lower $P_g$. These two materials were selected for the further studies. On the contrary, the extent of the anion exchange was not found to be markedly dependent on the nature of the counter anion present on the fibre.

**Effect of Different Parameters on Anion Exchange Capacity**

$\text{NO}_3^-$ uptake as a function of contact time is presented as Figure 2. The exchange of the anion was quite rapid as in the first 30 min more than 50% of anions were removed from the feed solution. $P_u$ was observed to increase with further increase of time and it reached equilibrium within 90 min. Almost similar trends in results are reported for the $\text{NO}_3^-$ uptake on hydrogels. The higher uptake of nitrate by PP-Cl than PP-Br with time variation may be attributed to the zwitterionic structure of the latter. An increase in the feed concentration of $\text{NO}_3^-$ affected the nitrate uptake positively up to 20 ppm. Thereafter it remained constant though in $P_u$ terms it is apparent decrease, but in terms of $Q$ it is the same when concentration was increased over 20 ppm (Figure 3). The effect of temperature on the $\text{NO}_3^-$ uptake was studied from 20°C to 45°C. $P_u$ was observed to increase with an
increase in temperature up to 45 °C and thereafter it decreased with further increase in temperature. An increase in temperature beyond 35 °C though decreased $P_u$ yet it was significantly high, that is, more than 50% at the higher temperature 40 °C (Figure 4). Probably increase in temperature beyond a level result in decrease in ion uptake due to an increase in the kinetic energy of the feed solution resulting in lower ion adsorption. Exothermic behaviour of nitrate uptake at moderately high temperature has been reported previously [20]. Effect on $P_u$ as a function of pH variation over a range from 2 to 11 was studied and
Figure 4: Effect of temperature variation on the nitrate uptake \([\text{PP-Br or PP-Cl} = 0.1\text{g, pH} = 5, \text{time} = 90 \text{min and } [\text{NO}_3^-] = 20 \text{ppm}]\).

Figure 5: Effect of pH variation on the nitrate uptake \([\text{PP-Br or PP-Cl} = 0.1\text{g, time} = 90 \text{min, } [\text{NO}_3^-] = 20 \text{ppm and temperature } = 35 \degree\text{C}]\).

results are presented in Figure 5. There was an increase in \(P_u\) initially with an increase in pH and the highest \(P_u\), around 90, was observed in the acidic at pH 5. However, thereafter it decreased with an increase of
pH up to 11. It is apparent that at the higher pH the other anions of the medium also compete with the NO$_3^-$ for the pyridinium ions on the graft chains.

**Evaluation of MEC and Reusability Studies**

From the above discussion it is apparent that the Pu is affected only marginally by the nature of anion as PP-Cl exhibited slightly higher NO$_3^-$ uptake than the PP-Br when studied as function of various parameters. The best performance of both the materials studied was observed at 90 min, 35 °C, pH 5.0, and 20 ppm of NO$_3^-$ . Hence, these parameters were used to evaluate the MEC of the two anion exchangers. MEC was studied by using repeatedly the same sample in ten cycles. In both the cases a reasonably high MEC of 14.77 mg/g and 13.62 mg/g for PP-Br and PP-Cl was obtained (Figure 6). These values obtained are lower than observed in a single uptake at 20 ppm or higher concentration, but at different temperature as revealed from Figure 2. Both the materials studied are reusable as desorption of NO$_3^-$ was studied up to ten cycles by treating the NO$_3^-$-loaded materials with the NaCl solution under sonication after each uptake cycle. The materials are reusable up to ten cycles in both the cases though PP-Cl exhibited slightly better behaviour than the PP-Br (Figure 7).

**Mechanism of Anion Exchange and Evaluation of Applicability of Adsorption Isotherms and Kinetic Models**

IPP is a cost–effective hydrophobic material that resists water absorption. It has limited applications unless used as support to the active chains of grafted polymers and their ionic forms. The solution properties such as pH, K$_d$ and conductivity of the feed solution with the extent of anion exchange (Table 3). To evaluate the mechanism of nitrate removal by the graft copolymers Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm equations were tested to calculate Q values at different feed concentration and the values so obtained were plotted along with the experimental values obtained [26]. The former assumes a weak physical binding of the anions on a surface with homogeneous layer formation. The latter, however, is a non-ideal phenomenon as the exchange of anions involves heterogeneous uptake over the active sites. The linear plots of $C_{eq}/Q$ versus $C_{eq}$ from the Langmuir equation 

$$
\frac{C_{eq}}{Q} = \frac{1}{Q_{max}}b + \frac{C_{eq}}{Q_{max}}
$$

in both the anion exchangers matches the experimental data far better than the plot of log $Q_e$ vs. log $C_e$ from the Freundlich equation, 

$$
\log Q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
$$

where $Q_e$ and $C_e$ are, respectively, equilibrium concentration and corresponding adsorption capacity. The consequent correlation coefficient values ($R^2$) obtained from the two relationships are widely different with 0.98476 and 0.5399, in PP-Br and 0.99435 and 0.60352 in P-Cl, respectively, for the Langmuir and Freundlich equations. The comparison of the two isotherms with experimental is shown in Figures 8a and 8b with linear-fit behaviour of the Langmuir isotherm shown in the inset.

The kinetics modeling was carried using the plot of log($q_{e,t} - q_i$) versus $t$ for modelling the pseudo-first-order equation, 

$$
\log (q_{e,t} - q_i) = \log q_{e,1} - (k_1/2.303)t
$$

**Figure 6:** Evaluation of MEC of the nitrate uptake [PP-Br or PP-Cl = 0.1g, time = 90 min, [NO$_3^-$ ] = 20 ppm, temperature = 35 °C and pH = 5].

**Figure 7:** Evaluation of reusability of the nitrate after regeneration of support in different cycles with NaCl solution [PP-Br or PP-Cl = 0.1g, time = 90 min, [NO$_3^-$ ] = 20 ppm, temperature = 35 °C and pH = 5].
Figure 8: Comparison of experimental exchange capacity values with Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms for PP-Br (top) and PP-Cl (bottom) [inset Langmuir linear-fit isotherm].

and plot of $t/q_t$ versus $t$ from the linear pseudo-second order equation $t/q_t = 1/k_2 q_e^2 + (1/q_e^2)t$ was used. The terms $q_e$ and $q_t$ are exchange capacity at equilibrium and time $t$, and $k$ is respective rate constant. The
nitrate uptake followed the pseudo-second order kinetics. It was found to be applicable again in both the cases almost over the whole range of the contact time (Figure 9a and 9b). Similar conclusions have been made elsewhere [27]. The mechanism of the exchange reaction is depicted below:

As aforementioned this mechanism is also supported by the observation that after the completion of the experiments the pH and other parameters of the resultant solution were found to be different than the initial values (Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS

Isotactic polypropylene (IPP) fibres were graft copolymerized with poly(4-vinyl pyridine) by γ-ray initiation method. The grafted IPP was further
functionalized to polyzwitterionic and choline analogous materials having Br\(^-\) or Cl\(^-\) as the exchangeable ions. The resultant materials were evaluated as anion exchangers for the removal of nitrate ions from water. The nitrate uptake was only marginally dependent on the nature of anions, but the low graft level was observed to be far more efficient than the high graft level. A parametric study carried revealed dependence of the nitrate uptake on time, pH, temperature and concentration of nitrate ions. The nitrate uptake by these materials was observed to be rapid as more than half ions were taken up within thirty minutes. It followed anion exchange mechanism. The data generated fits the Langmuir isotherm and pseudo-second order kinetics. Thus, the materials reported are low cost and efficient anion exchangers and have strong technological potential for use in water treatment technologies. Further, the study reported can be used to design low cost efficient anion exchangers.
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