Added Value of SPECT-CT Imaging in the Diagnosis of Unilateral Active Mandibular Hypercondylia in Adult: A Case Report and Review
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Abstract

Planar bone Scintigraphy has been frequently used to assess the active or inactive nature of mandibular hypercondylia. We report here the case of a patient whose diagnosis of active mandibular hypercondylia was retained after a complement with SPECT/CT imaging, the planar bone scan being negative. This case confirms the use of SPECT/CT as the examination of choice for full assessment of mandibular hypercondylia in adults. Using bone Scintigraphy SPECT/CT improves both sensitivity and specificity of diagnosing this condition.
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1. Introduction

Mandibular hypercondylia is a rare and autonomous bone disease due to the excessive growth of the condylar cartilage induced seemingly by a disruption of the feedback loops between the different histological layers leading to a persistence of the multiplication of prechondroblastic cells. Mandibular hypercondylia affects the overall development of the mandible and this condition is characterized clinically by atypical facial asymmetry, with a pronounced mandible anatomy, a disturbed occlusion, and a tilted occlusal plane [1]. Planar bone scintigraphy has been frequently used to assess the active or inactive nature of this situation [2] [3].
2. Patient and Method

The patient is a 43-year-old female, with no significant pathological history, who has had mandibular asymmetry for 10 years. She is directed to the nuclear medicine department for a scintigraphic evaluation of condylar activity following the diagnosis of left mandibular hypercondylia, which was revealed after completing dental panoramic examination supplemented by a craniofacial diagnostic CT scan.

Bone scintigraphy was completed in two stages: Acquisition of early images, followed by late static images focusing on the skull along with the anterior and posterior face. A tomoscintigraphy scan then focused on the skull and was coupled with a low dose CT scan (SPECT/CT) between 0 - 10 min, then 2 hours after IV injection of 740 MBq (20 mCi) of metastable Technetium 99-labeled Methylene Diphosphonate (MDP-99mTC).

The acquisition of images was performed with a Siemens gamma camera model Symbia T6 2010. The analysis was done using a visual and quantitative approach. A relative bone fixation of 55% (or more) was considered pathological.

3. Results

The planar bone scintigraphy did not show any asymmetry with radio tracer uptake in the early times (vascular and tissue), nor any abnormality in bone fixation in the late stage between the two mandibular condyles (Figure 1).

The complement by SPECT CT revealed an asymmetry of visual and quantitative fixation depending on the left mandibular condyle with an enlargement of left condyle in transversal, coronal and sagittal reconstruction images respectively thus allowing to conclude to an active left unilateral mandibular hypercondylia (Figure 2).

**Figure 1.** Planar bone scan: Static images focused on the skull performed between 0 - 10 min, then 2 hours after IV injection of 740 MBq (20 mCi) of MDP-Technetium 99 m.
Figure 2. SPECT CT focused on the pathological area. The complement by SPECT CT revealed an asymmetry of visual and quantitative fixation depending on the left mandibular condyle with an enlargement of left condyle.

4. Discussion

Mandibular hypercondylia was first described by Robert Adams in 1836, while describing a case of rheumatoid arthritis [4]. It is a disease of the temporal-mandibular joint resulting in excessive mandibular growth, most often unilateral. It affects the overall development of the mandible and results clinically in an unsightly and functional problem.

Mandibular hypercondylia usually occurs between 10 and 30 years, with a female-dominated sex ratio of 69.6% according to Vernex-Boukerma. Cases between the ages of 9 and 80 have been reported in the literature, and this discrepancy could be explained by delays in diagnosis [1] [4].

The exact pathophysiology of mandibular hypercondylia is unknown, but several etiological factors have been reported, including hyper remodelling of post traumatic mandibular condyle, joint infection, hormonal disorders, and hypervascularization of the mandibular condyle, intrauterine changes and genetic factors [5].

Although the diagnosis can be formally established on histopathological exam, the radiological assessment following clinical examination remains essential to the diagnostic orientation. Information provided from a dental panorama and teleradiographic assessment, or diagnostic CT scan mostly allow the diagnosis of mandibular hypercondylia to be established.
The place of bone scintigraphy has a different interest from other imaging methods because it allows assessing the osteoblastic activity of the condylar cartilage [2] [3]. In a context of clinical and radiological diagnosis of hypercondylia, a positive bone scan shows the active nature of the disease and allows therapy to be adapted.

The molecular imaging techniques commonly used are planar bone scintigraphy and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) which can be analysed quantitatively and qualitatively [5].

For most authors, SPECT can guide surgical management [6] and for others SPECT/CT could be used to measure residual activity after a condylectomy [7]. Condyleectomy consists of a surgical excision of the cartilaginous cap, seat of the prechondroblastic cells, resulting in a definitive cessation of the pathological process. It is a key stage in the management of active hypercondylia [8].

In our study, all the modalities of bone scintigraphy were used. Planar imaging was negative at early and late stages but the complement by SPECT/CT made it possible due to fusion images to correlate the hyperfixation area of the tracer with the area of hyperplasia on the CT scans, increasing thus the sensitivity of the bone scintigraphy [9] [10] allowing the retained diagnosis of active unilateral mandibular hypercondylia.

5. Conclusion

This case confirms the fact that the SPECT/CT should be the examination of choice for the assessment of mandibular hypercondylia in adult; it improves both the sensitivity and the specificity of bone scintigraphy with a low irradiation rate and good value for money.
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