Spermiotoxicity of nickel nanoparticles in the marine invertebrate *Ciona intestinalis* (ascidians)
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**Abstract**

Nickel nanoparticles (Ni NPs) are increasingly used in modern industries as catalysts, sensors, and in electronic applications. Due to this large use, their inputs into marine environment have significantly increased; however, the potential ecotoxicological effects in marine environment have so far received little attention. In particular, little is known on the impact of NPs on gamete quality of marine organisms and on the consequences on fertility potential. The present study examines, for the first time, the impact of Ni NPs exposure on sperm quality of the marine invertebrate *Ciona intestinalis* (ascidian). Several parameters related with sperm status such as plasma membrane lipid peroxidation, mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), intracellular pH, DNA integrity, and fertilizing ability were assessed as toxicity end points after exposure to different Ni NPs concentrations. Ni NPs generate oxidative stress that in turn induces lipid peroxidation and DNA fragmentation, and alters MMP and sperm morphology. Furthermore, sperm exposure to Ni NPs affects their fertilizing ability and causes developmental anomalies in the offspring. All together, these results reveal a spermiotoxicity of Ni NPs in ascidians suggesting that the application of these NPs should be carefully assessed as to their potential toxic effects on the health of marine organisms that, in turn, may influence the ecological system. This study shows that ascidian sperm represent a suitable and sensitive tool for the investigation of the toxicity of NPs entered into marine environment, for defining the mechanisms of toxic action and for the environmental monitoring purpose.
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**Introduction**

Over the past decades, the introduction of a series of anthropogenic factors in the marine environment resulted in serious ecotoxicological impacts on the biota (Matranga & Corsi, 2012). It has been well documented that marine pollution, due to xenobiotic compounds, causes reproductive disorders in marine organisms that may affect the offspring development up to threatening species continuance. In particular, a toxic impact of various antifouling agents (Bellas et al., 2013) and metals as copper (O’Brien & Keough, 2014) was shown to pose at risk marine invertebrate embryos and larvae and marine biodiversity (Mieszkowska et al., 2014). Among the marine pollutants, a relevant role is played by nanoparticles (NPs) resulting from the large-scale applications of nanotechnologies and the rapid growth of nano-industry. Recent studies showed that NPs of silver, copper oxide, and zinc oxide severely affect a wide range of organisms from algae to mammalian cell lines (Bondarenko et al., 2013). NPs are nanomaterials characterized by small size (ranging from 1 to 100 nm) and peculiar physical–chemical and mechanical properties. Due to these features, they are employed in hundreds of commercial products, from photovoltaic cells to pharmaceutical and biomedical applications (Weissig et al., 2014). These, in particular, use the ability of NPs to adhere to the cell plasma membrane and/or enter the cells by endocytosis or transport systems to elicit their therapeutic effects. Based on this principle, NPs released into the environment following discharge of products may affect physiological processes of the living organisms representatives of various trophic levels, including bacteria, plants, and multicellular aquatic/terrestrial (Maurer-Jones et al., 2013).

Metallic NPs, including nickel nanoparticles (Ni NPs), are among the most widely employed types of nanomaterials. The increased use of Ni NPs in modern industry, as catalysts and sensors, and in electronic applications, is creating a concern due to the potential risk associated with the toxicity that they may exert once released in the environment. Recent studies have shown a variety of effects of Ni NPs in biological systems. Whereas evidences of a positive cytotoxic impact on mice and human cancer cell lines were provided suggesting possible clinical applications in anticancer therapies (Guo et al., 2008), other showed a carcinogenic potential (Zhao et al., 2009), genotoxic and mutagenic activities (Kasprzk et al., 2003) and
the induction of lung epithelial and respiratory pathologies in human (Ahamed, 2011; Phillips et al., 2010) other than embryotoxicity in zebrafish (Ispas et al., 2009).

Marine environment is expected to represent the ultimate sink for NPs, where their chemical properties and consequent fate may be critical in determining the biological impact. NPs may enter marine ecosystems either directly, through aerial deposition, effluents, dumping and run-off, or indirectly via river systems (Baker et al., 2014). Reproductive success is crucial for population maintenance, fitness, and survival and strongly dependent on gamete quality. In free spawning marine species, gametes can be exposed to NPs that may alter their quality and/or quantity, which in turn may affect fertilization success, embryo development, larval viability and, eventually, species fitness and survival. To date, little is known on the impact of NPs on gamete quality and, in particular, on the consequences on male fertility. Studies concerning the sensitivity of marine invertebrate sperm toward NPs exposure demonstrated a spermototoxic effect that, consequently, impairs fertilization success and embryo development. In the specific case of iron NPs serious disruption of development, consisting of 30% mortality among spermatozoa, 20% decline in fertilization rate and a developmental delay was reported (Kadar et al., 2011, 2013). Similarly zinc NPs induced larval skeletal abnormality in the sea urchin (Manzo et al., 2013) and in same species neurotoxic damage, and skeletogenic aberrations were also observed after exposure of sperm to titanium oxide, silver, and cobalt NPs (Gambardella et al., 2013).

Information on ecotoxicological effects are limited to few types of NPs, and, to our knowledge, studies on Ni NPs effect on marine invertebrate reproduction and, in particular, gamete quality are not yet available.

The ascidian Ciona intestinalis is a hermaphroditic broadcast spawner, whose reproductive physiology is well known (Satoh, 1994). This species has been recognized as a suitable biological model for ecotoxicological studies (Zega et al., 2009), since, gametes, fertilization, and embryo development have been proved to be sensitive to pollutant impact (Bellas et al., 2003; Franchet et al., 1998; Gallo et al., 2011; Gallo & Tosti, 2013, 2015). Previously, it was shown that the oocyte activation events also depend on the physiological status of the spermatozoon (Tosti & Dale, 1992).

Therefore, this study investigates the potential toxicity of Ni NPs on C. intestinalis sperm quality by evaluating their effects on some parameters crucial for sperm functionality, such as plasma membrane lipid peroxidation, mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), intracellular pH (pH), DNA integrity, and sperm-fertilizing capability.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Nickel (Ni) nanopowder (Product No.: 577995, APS: <100 nm and purity ≥99% trace metal basis), nickel chloride (NiCl2), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ferrous sulfate, vitamin C, carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP), nigericin, parafor-acetoxymethyl ester (BCECF-AM), 4,4-difluoro-5-(4-phenyl-1,3-buta dienyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene-3-undenoic acid (C11-BODIPY 581/591), 5,5’,6,6’- tetrachloro-1,1’,3,3’-tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide (JC-1), 2’,7’-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-carboxyfluorescein acetoxymethyl ester (BCECF-AM), 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) were bought from Life Technologies (Milan, Italy).

In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit and DNase I were obtained from Roche Diagnostics (Milan, Italy).

Chemical exposure

Each experiment was repeated 10 times. The sperm samples collected from the same animal were analyzed in triplicate.

According to Zhou et al. (2016), NP suspension was prepared by dissolving Ni NPs dry powder in deionized water at a concentration of 1 mg/ml, and followed by sonication at room temperature for 30 min with two consecutive pulses at 100 Watt. The toxicity of NPs is often attributed to metal ion release. To test this hypothesis, we compared the Ni NPs toxicity with that of the metal salt NiCl2 considered as the non-nano control and stock solution corresponding to a measured value of 10 ± 0.09 mg/L, analyzed with ICP OES 720 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), was prepared; three true replicates with three analytical controls were performed. Characterization of the Ni NPs, used in the present study, was performed and is presented in a previous study by Zhou et al. (2016), which showed that Ni NPs dissolved in seawater appeared included in colloidal agglomerates with a change of morphology respect to the Ni NP dissolved in ultrapure water. Dynamic light scattering analysis revealed that Ni NP size did not change over time at all the concentrations tested (146.9 ± 51.4, 151.4 ± 25.8, and 128.8 ± 22.4 nm) for NP solutions at the concentrations of 1, 5, and 10 mg/L NiNP, respectively. Total Ni released in the water increased with NPs concentration and at 1 mg/L a range of 33 to 24% of Ni was released. Ni NPs have a positive Z-potential in ultrapure water and negative in sea water with the stability of the solution, occurring later in the time (>48 h), was independent by Ni NP concentrations.

Freshly Ni NPs sonicated suspension or NiCl2 solution was added to the sperm suspension to yield final nominal concentrations of 0.001, 0.002, 0.005, 0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, and 0.1 mg/ml. To date, we cannot estimate the quantity of Ni NPs released in the environment, however, it is possible to compare the impact of Ni released by NP in seawater with those recorded at sea.

Two control groups were prepared; the former consisted of an untreated negative control (natural filtered seawater) and, since deionized water was used as solvent, the second was prepared by adding an equivalent volume to a final concentration of 0.1% (i.e. the higher concentration in the test solutions).

Before Ni NPs exposure, spermatozoa were loaded with different fluorescent probes in order to evaluate various sperm quality parameters. After 2 h of Ni NPs exposure, plasma membrane lipid peroxidation, MMP, pH, and DNA integrity were assessed by spectrofluorometric analysis (Shimadzu RF-5301PC spectrofluorophotometer) using a quartz microtube (10 × 4 mm, high precision, Hellma Analytics, Mullheim, Germany).

Moreover, aliquots of sperm suspension exposed to the same concentrations of Ni NPs were used for fertilization to assess fertilizing capability.

Animals and gamete collection

Adults of C. intestinalis sp. A were collected from Gulf of Naples (Italy) and transported to the laboratory, where they were maintained in aquaria with running seawater at 18°C for at least two days until the experiments. This ascidian is not protected by any environmental agency in Italy and this study was carried out in strict accordance with European (Directive 2010/63) and Italian (D. Lgs. N. 116/1992) legislation for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes.

After anesthetization of animals on ice, oocytes, and spermatozoa were collected by dissection from the oviduct and sperm duct, respectively. Sperm concentration and motility were
evaluated by using a Makler counting chamber and, then, diluted to the desired concentration in filtered (Millipore 0.22 µm; Milli Q, Medford, MA) natural seawater (FNSW) (30 mg/L salinity, pH 8.2 ± 0.1).

**Plasma membrane lipid peroxidation**

The fluorescent membrane probe C11-BODIPY581/591 was used to evaluate lipid peroxidation. This is an oxidation-sensitive fluorescent fatty acid analog, which is easily incorporated into membranes and sensitive to oxidation shifting from red (~590 nm) to green (~510 nm) fluorescence upon oxidation. Sperm suspensions were incubated for 30 min in the dark at RT with 10 µM C11-BODIPY581/591 in DMSO. After staining, spermatozoa were centrifuged for 15 min at 1000 g, the pellet was resuspended in FNSW and aliquots (2 × 10^7 spermatozoa/ml) were exposed to different concentrations of Ni NPs. Following exposure, spermatozoa were centrifuged, the pellet was resuspended in FNSW and transferred to quartz cuvette for spectrofluorimetric analysis. A positive control was also prepared by incubating the samples with two peroxidation promoters namely ferrous sulfate (150 µM) and vitamin C (750 µM). The fluorescence intensity was measured at 488 nm excitation and 500–650 nm emission wavelengths. Then, a ratiometric analysis was performed by relating fluorescence emission peak values at 510 nm to the sum of fluorescence emission peak values at 510 and 590 nm.

**Mitochondrial membrane potential**

MMP was assessed using the vital mitochondrial dye JC-1, a useful tool for investigating mitochondrial functional activity. This dye undergoes a reversible change in fluorescence emission from red (~590 nm) to green (~525 nm) as MMP decreases. This property is due to the reversible formation of JC-1 aggregates upon mitochondria membrane polarization that causes shifts in emitted light from 530 nm (i.e. emission of JC-1 monomeric form) to 590 nm (i.e. emission of J-aggregate form). Consequently, the red/green ratio is an indication of MMP.

Sperm suspensions were incubated for 30 min in the dark at RT with 5 µM JC-1, diluted from a 7.7 mM stock solution in DMSO. After staining, spermatozoa were centrifuged for 15 min at 1000 g, the pellets were resuspended in FNSW and aliquots (2 × 10^7 spermatozoa/ml) exposed to different concentration of Ni NPs. Following exposure, spermatozoa were centrifuged, the pellet was resuspended in FNSW and transferred to quartz cuvette for spectrofluorimetric analysis using 488 nm excitation and 500–650 nm emission wavelengths. The ratio between red and green fluorescence emission peak values was calculated. Controls were prepared by loading sperm suspensions with JC-1 and then exposing to the mitochondrial uncoupler CCCP (5 µM) that disrupts MMP resulting in a shift from red to green fluorescence.

**pHi**

pHi was measured by using the pH sensitive fluorescent chromophore BCECF-AM, a neutral lipophilic form of bis-carboxylfluorescein freely diffusing through the plasma membrane. In the cell, it is hydrolyzed by esterases, releasing the intracellularly trapped indicator, BCECF, which is retained within the cytoplasm and its fluorescence intensity is dependent upon the pH. The ester form of BCECF (5 µM BCECF AM) was added to the sperm suspensions that were incubated in the dark at RT for 30 min. Then, the suspensions were centrifuged for 15 min at 1000 g, the sperm pellet was resuspended in FNSW and aliquots (2 × 10^7 spermatozoa/ml) were exposed to different concentrations of Ni NPs. Following exposure, spermatozoa were centrifuged, the pellet was resuspended in FNSW and transferred to quartz cuvette for spectrofluorometric analysis. pH was measured by alternately exciting BCECF at 440 nm and 490 nm and expressing as a ratio the variation of fluorescence emission peak values at 535 nm. Subsequently, the excitation ratio was converted to its respective pH by using a calibration curve. This was constructed by incubating sperm suspension in a calibration buffer solution (153 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, 290 mM NaCl) at pH 6.5, 7.0, 7.5 in presence of 5 µM nigericin, which acts as a potassium ionophore promoting K+/H+ exchange.

**Sperm DNA fragmentation**

DNA fragmentation was evaluated by using In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Following the exposure to different concentrations of Ni NPs, aliquots of sperm suspension (2 × 10^7 cells/ml) were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 1 h, and then permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1% sodium citrate for 2 min on ice. After centrifugation, the elongation reaction was performed by incubating sperm pellets in 50 µl of TUNEL reaction mixture (containing the TdT enzyme and the fluorescein-dUTP label solution) for 1 h at 37°C in the dark. Negative controls were prepared by omitting the label solution from the reaction mixture. Fixed and permeabilized sperm treated with 3 IU DNase/ml were used as a positive control. Before spectrofluorometric analysis, sperm were counterstained with 1 µg/ml DAPI. Fluorescein and DAPI fluorescence intensity peaks were measured at 488 excitation and 520–530 nm emission wavelengths and 358 nm excitation and 461 emission wavelengths, respectively. DNA fragmentation was calculated as a ratio between fluorescein and DAPI fluorescence emission peak values.

**Fertilizing capability**

To evaluate the effects of Ni NPs on fertilizing capability, fertilization rate, and the induction of transmissible damages to the offspring were assessed. Aliquots of sperm (1 × 10^7/ml), previously exposed to a range of Ni NPs concentrations, were added to 10 ml of FNSW containing approximately 200 oocytes randomly collected from a different animal. As control, an untreated aliquot of the sperm suspension was used for fertilization. The dishes were incubated in a culture chamber at 18°C and, after 50 min, the fertilization rate was determined by the occurrence of the first cleavage. To evaluate the offspring quality, the dishes were incubated up to 24 h and the percentage of larvae with normal morphology was calculated.

**Ultrastructural analyses: scanning and transmission electron microscopy**

Following exposure to Ni NPs, sperm were fixed for 1 h at RT in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution in 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer and 20% FNSW. Samples were washed for 10 min once in sodium cacodylate buffer and twice in distilled water and then, postfixed for 1 h at RT in 1% osmium tetroxide in distilled water. After dehydration in an ascending ethanol series (30, 50, 70, 90, and 100%), for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), samples were mounted on stubs, then coated with palladium and examined under a JEOL JSM 6700F microscope. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), samples were treated for 15 min in propylene oxide, infiltrated in 1:1 propylene oxide/Epon 812 overnight and then embedded in fresh resin at 60°C for 48 h. Ultrathin sections were cut on a Leica Ultracut ultramicrotome, stained with 4% uranyl acetate for 30 min and 3% lead citrate, collected on 200 mesh thin bar copper grids, and observed with a LEO 912AB.
microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). Three true replicates for either control or treatment were performed; 10 sections for each replicate were imaged.

Statistical analysis

Data were reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Data were tested for normal distribution by performing the Shapiro–Wilk test and for variance homogeneity by using Leven’s test. Since the two assumptions were accepted, the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) test was performed to test for significant differences between the control group and test concentrations and among the test concentration groups. In the case of values expressed as percentages, data were analyzed after arcsine transformation to achieve normality. For the pH, whose values are not characterized by a continuous distribution, a transformation in H⁺ concentration was applied. The significance level was set at $p = 0.05$.

Results

The controls, consisted of an untreated control (natural filtered seawater) and a solvent control with deionized water, were not significantly different from each other in any of the assays; therefore, they were grouped in a single control group.

Plasma membrane lipid peroxidation

Ni NPs exposure induced a concentration-dependent increase in lipid peroxidation of the sperm plasma membrane. The fluorescence intensity ratio (FIR) value for lipid peroxidation in the control group was 28.7 ± 3.1 and did not change significantly after treatment with Ni NPs concentrations up to 0.005 mg/ml. After incubation in 0.01 and 0.025 mg/ml Ni NPs lipid peroxidation significantly increased in comparison to the control (40.4 ± 2.3 FIR and 44.7 ± 4.0 FIR, respectively; $p < 0.01$), but there was no significant difference between these two tested concentrations. By increasing Ni NPs concentration (0.05 mg/ml), lipid peroxidation further significantly increased in comparison to the control and

Table 1. Effects of NiCl₂ exposure on sperm quality parameters and fertilizing ability.

| NiCl₂ concentration (mg/ml) | Lipid peroxidation (FIR ± SD) | Mitochondrial membrane potential (FIR ± SD) | DNA fragmentation (FIR ± SD) | Fertilization rate (%) | Normal larvae (%) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 0                         | 25.61 ± 2.8                   | 7.89 ± 0.8                               | 10.89 ± 1.6                  | 93 ± 2.8               | 90 ± 2.1          |
| 0.001                     | 24.72 ± 3.1                   | 7.56 ± 1.2                               | 9.66 ± 1.8                   | 89 ± 2.1               | 86 ± 1.9          |
| 0.002                     | 23.96 ± 2.5                   | 8.07 ± 0.7                               | 10.23 ± 2.1                  | 91 ± 3.6               | 88 ± 2.9          |
| 0.005                     | 25.41 ± 3.3                   | 9.11 ± 1.1                               | 11.12 ± 2.3                  | 97 ± 2.5               | 93 ± 1.8          |
| 0.01                      | 25.33 ± 3.0                   | 6.97 ± 0.9                               | 11.06 ± 1.7                  | 93 ± 2.3               | 92 ± 2.3          |
| 0.025                     | 23.88 ± 2.7                   | 7.44 ± 0.6                               | 9.97 ± 2.2                   | 76 ± 2.0               | 74 ± 2.2          |
| 0.05                      | 26.01 ± 2.6                   | 7.68 ± 0.8                               | 10.55 ± 1.6                  | 78 ± 1.9               | 77 ± 3.1          |
| 0.075                     | 24.22 ± 3.5                   | 8.32 ± 1.1                               | 10.64 ± 1.8                  | 80 ± 2.8               | 79 ± 2.7          |
| 0.1                       | 25.69 ± 2.9                   | 8.25 ± 0.5                               | 11.36 ± 1.9                  | 75 ± 3.3               | 73 ± 2.2          |

FIR, fluorescence intensity ratio; SD, standard deviation.
the other tested concentrations (56.9 ± 2.5 FIR; p < 0.01). At concentrations of 0.075 and 0.1 mg/ml, lipid peroxidation significantly increased again in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (64.5 ± 2.4 FIR and 65.45 ± 3.1 FIR, respectively; p < 0.01) even if there was no significant difference between these two tested concentrations (Figure 1). Non-nano NiCl₂ exposure did not show any statistically significant effect on sperm plasma membrane lipid peroxidation at all test concentrations (Table 1).

**MMP**

Sperm exposure to Ni NPs significantly affected mitochondrial activity inducing a mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarization. The FIR for MMP value in the control group was 8.7 ± 0.2 and did not change significantly after treatment with Ni NPs concentrations up to 0.005 mg/ml. After incubation in 0.01 mg/ml Ni NPs MMP significantly increased in comparison to the control (12.6 ± 0.7 FIR; p < 0.01). By increasing Ni NPs concentration (0.025 mg/ml), MMP further significantly increased in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (14.7 ± 0.8 FIR; p < 0.01). At concentrations between 0.05 and 0.1 mg/ml, MMP significantly increased again in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (18.15 ± 0.9 FIR, 17.9 ± 1.1 FIR, and 19.1 ± 0.6 FIR respectively; p < 0.01) even if there was no significant difference between these tested concentrations (Figure 1).

Non-nano NiCl₂ at all tested concentrations did not show any statistically significant effect on MMP (Table 1).

**pHᵢ**

pHᵢ was not significantly affected by Ni NPs exposure. Untreated sperm showed a pHᵢ value of 7.8 which was not significantly different (p > 0.05) from Ni NPs-treated sperm groups, showing pHᵢ values of 7.9 at 0.001 mg/ml, 7.8 at 0.002 mg/ml, 7.5 at 0.005 mg/ml, 7.9 at 0.01 mg/ml, 7.4 at 0.025 mg/ml, 7.7 at 0.05 mg/ml, 7.9 at 0.075 mg/ml, and 7.6 at 0.1 mg/ml. The similar results were obtained after non-nano NiCl₂ sperm exposure.

**DNA fragmentation**

Ni NPs exposure significantly affected DNA integrity. The FIR for DNA fragmentation value in the control group was 9.3 ± 1.2 and did not change significantly after treatment with Ni NPs concentrations up to 0.005 mg/ml (8.4 ± 1.8 FIR at 0.001; 9.5 ± 2.2 FIR at 0.002; 10.0 ± 1.6 FIR at 0.005). A statistically significant increase in comparison to the control was observed after 2h exposure to 0.01 mg/ml Ni NPs (15.5 ± 2.4 FIR; p < 0.01). By increasing Ni NPs concentration (0.025 mg/ml), DNA fragmentation further significantly increased in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (16.8 ± 1.7 FIR; p < 0.01). After exposure to 0.05 mg/ml, Ni NPs DNA fragmentation significantly increased again in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (24.6 ± 2 FIR; p < 0.01). The highest Ni NPs tested concentrations (0.075 and 0.1 mg/ml) further significantly increased DNA fragmentation in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (36.8 ± 2.1 FIR and 38.2 ± 3.0 FIR respectively; p < 0.01) even if there was no significant difference between these tested concentrations (Figure 1). No significant variation was observed in sperm DNA fragmentation between different concentrations of non-nano NiCl₂ and the control groups (Table 1).

**Fertilizing capability**

Sperm fertilizing ability was affected by Ni NPs (Figure 1). Sperm exposure to Ni NPs at concentrations between 0.001 and 0.005 mg/ml did not affect fertilization rate (89 ± 2.6%; 92 ± 4.4%; and 89 ± 3.2%, respectively) in comparison to the control (95 ± 3.6%). After exposure to 0.01 mg/ml Ni NPs fertilization rate significant decrease (75 ± 3.1%; p < 0.01) in comparison to the control. By increasing Ni NPs concentration (0.025 mg/ml), fertilization rate further decreased (66 ± 3.3%; p < 0.01) in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations. After exposure to 0.05 mg/ml, Ni NPs fertilization rate significantly decreased again in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (48 ± 2.8%; p < 0.01). The highest Ni NPs tested concentrations (0.075 and 0.1 mg/ml) further significantly decreased fertilization rate in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (21 ± 3.4% and 19 ± 2.1%, respectively; p < 0.01) even if there was no significant difference between these tested concentrations.

Furthermore, the percentage of normal larvae decreased at the increase of Ni NPs concentrations (Figure 1). Sperm exposure to Ni NPs at concentration between 0.001 and 0.005 mg/ml did not affect normal larvae percentage (87 ± 1.8%, 90 ± 3.7%, and 88 ± 2.5%, respectively) in comparison to the control (92 ± 2.9%). After exposure to 0.01 mg/ml Ni NPs fertilization rate significant decreased (67 ± 3.1%; p < 0.01) in comparison to the control. By increasing Ni NPs concentration (0.025 mg/ml), normal larvae percentage further decreased (38 ± 3.2%; p < 0.01) in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations. After exposure to 0.05 mg/ml, Ni NPs normal larvae percentage significantly decreased again in comparison to the control and the other tested concentrations (18 ± 2.1%; p < 0.01). At the highest Ni NPs tested concentrations, (0.075 and 0.1 mg/ml) normal

![Figure 2](image_url) Effects of Ni NPs on the offspring. Representative images of: (A) embryo arrested at different developmental stages and (B) abnormal larvae developed from fertilization performed with sperm exposed to Ni NPs. Insert show (A) normal embryo at the critical stage of eight blastomeres and (B) normal hatched larva. Scale bars are 50 μm.
larvae were not observed. In particular, at concentrations higher than 0.005 mg/ml, we found embryos either arrested at different developmental stages, in particular at the eight blastomere stage, or developed into abnormal hatched larvae showing curled tails and lack of sensory organ pigmentation (Figure 2). Non-nano NiCl$_2$ inhibited fertilization in a concentration-dependent manner at concentration higher than 0.01 mg/ml, but did not induce transmissible damages to the offspring (Table 1).

**Ultrastructural analyses: SEM and TEM**

Following 2-h exposure to Ni NPs at concentrations higher than 0.025, we observed, by SEM that 48% of sperm underwent a range of structural changes at level of plasma membrane going from small concavity, holes formation up to a complete loss of a region of the plasma membrane (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S1). TEM analyses confirmed these results showing a frequent interruption of plasma membrane continuity. On the contrary, sperm treated with NiCl$_2$ did not show any morphological alteration (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

Gamete quality is a key factor in reproductive success. Gamete impairment influences reproductive functions affecting the offspring quality.

Recent literature reports that exposure to metals and NPs causes reproductive and embryo development impairment in diverse species of crustaceans (Mendonça et al., 2011; Seitz et al., 2013), mollusks (Ringwood et al., 2010), echinoderms (Šiller et al., 2013), and fishes (Federici et al., 2007; Shaw & Handy,
These studies revealed that, depending on the species, toxicity could be associated with the particle size (non-nano-scale or nano-scale).

The spermiotoxicity of different NPs have been investigated (Kadar et al., 2011; Manzo et al., 2013); however, to our knowledge, this study reports for the first time the impact of Ni NPs exposure on marine invertebrate sperm quality by analyzing parameters strictly related to fertilizing capability.

Sperm plasma membrane integrity is a requisite for the success of fertilization since it is the location for ligands and receptors involved in the interaction with the oocyte. Furthermore, sperm plasma membrane contains high concentrations of polyunsaturated fatty acids necessary to confer the fluidity for sperm motility and membrane fusion occurring at fertilization, as well as the structural integrity necessary for sperm viability. However, this feature renders sperm also highly susceptible to oxidative stress since polyunsaturated fatty acids could act as substrates for reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Aitken et al., 2004). Oxidant attack on plasma membranes results in lipid peroxidation exerting an adverse effect on sperm quality due to amplification of ROS production, changes in membrane fluidity, loss of plasma membrane integrity, disturbance of ion-gradients, impairment of lipid–protein interactions, modification of DNA and proteins (Tvrda et al., 2011). Previous studies have suggested that the oxidative stress is a common mechanism for cell damage induced by NPs demonstrating the induction of ROS following NPs exposure (Akhtar et al., 2010; Wise et al., 2010; Xia et al., 2006). The mechanisms involved in NPs-induced ROS formation include prooxidant functional groups on the reactive surface of NP and active redox cycling on the surface of NP due to transition metal-based NP (Manke et al., 2013). In agreement with previous studies, which proved that the cytotoxicity of Ni NPs in different cellular lines is mediated by oxidative stress due to ROS generation (Ahamed, 2011), here, we demonstrated that in ascidian sperm Ni NPs exposure caused lipid peroxidation suggesting that the mechanism of NPs spermiotoxicity may be due to ROS generation. Nevertheless, since, at SEM and TEM, we observed the formation of holes in the sperm plasma membrane following Ni NPs exposure, lipid peroxidation may be also induced by the alteration of plasma membrane integrity. The plasma membrane represents the first site of interaction for NPs and the first barrier to overcome to reach intracellular targets. The main mechanism by which NPs enter the cell is endocytosis; however, it has been demonstrated that, in some cases, NPs can apply a physical stress on the plasma membrane resulting in the formation of transient holes and lipid peroxidation (Hussain et al., 2010; Jesus & Kapila, 2014; Kasper et al., 2013; Mukherjee et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2011).

Mitochondrial status is another important trait of sperm quality related to motility, cell viability, and fertility (Cabrita et al., 2005; Garner et al., 1997; Kasai et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 1998). Mitochondria seem to be sensitive targets for NPs toxicity. In several cell lines exposed to different NPs, mitochondrial perturbation was demonstrated suggesting that NPs disrupt the mitochondrial respiratory chain leading to the production of ROS and the interruption of ATP synthesis, which in turn causes apoptosis. In particular, it has been demonstrated that Ni NPs reduce mitochondria function in human and mouse cell lines (El-Ansary & Al-Daihan, 2009; Xia et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2009).

In the present study, the fluorescent dye JC-1 was used, for the first time, to estimate MMP in ascidian sperm showing that Ni NPs induce hyperpolarization of MMP. Contrasting data report either a negative or a positive correlation between MMP and ROS production (Ahamed, 2011; Ma et al., 2015; Ryu et al., 2014; Suski et al., 2012); our results are in agreement with the widely accepted observation that ROS formation in mitochondria occurs at high membrane potentials (Suski et al., 2012) and are consistent with the hypothesis that the MMP increase represents a response to changes in energy demand after Ni NPs exposure and causes the over-reduced respiratory chain leading to an increase in ROS production that causes lipid peroxidation (Fedyaeva et al., 2014).

The regulation of pH$_i$ is of the utmost importance for sperm function. The pH has been established to be involved in the initiation of sperm motility and the acrosome reaction processes (Lee et al., 1983; Nishigaki et al., 2014; Tosti, 1994). Literature shows that the pH$_i$ of cell lines is slightly lowered after exposure to different NPs, however, this variation seems to have no physiological relevance. This effect could be due to the fact that cells regulate their pH$_i$ very efficiently and rapidly (Moersdorf et al., 2010). Since we observed that Ni NPs did not affect pH$_i$, we can hypothesize that C. intestinalis sperm rely on the same mechanism.

Sperm DNA damage assessment has gained special attention as an important sperm quality marker, linked to sperm fitness as well as offspring quality. Exposure to NPs has been associated with genotoxic effects (Ng et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2009). NPs may cause DNA damage indirectly by promoting oxidative stress that, leading to an increase in ROS, can cause single- and double-stranded DNA breaks, base modifications, and DNA cross-links. Alternatively, NPs may pass through cell plasma membranes and gain access to the nucleus where they may interact directly with DNA causing damages (Singh et al., 2009). To date, few studies have assessed DNA damage induction by NPs in marine species (Baun et al., 2008; Reeves et al., 2008; Vevers & Jha, 2008), and only one evaluated the effect of NPs on sperm DNA integrity (Kadar et al., 2011). According to this study, we observed an increase in sperm DNA fragmentation following Ni NPs exposure that may be due to ROS production considered as the main cause of DNA injury. The latter has been related to a reduction in fertilizing ability and/or abnormality in the offspring (Evenson & Wixon, 2006; Kopeika et al., 2004; Pérez-Cerezales et al., 2010; Schulte et al., 2010). In agreement with these authors, we suggest that the decrease of fertilizing ability and damages of the offspring observed here after sperm exposure to Ni NPs may be related to either DNA fragmentation or lipid peroxidation induced membrane damage. Similar effects were previously reported following the exposure of ascidian and mussel sperm to different NPs (Kadar et al., 2013).

It has been suggested that the release of metal ions from NPs play a role in their toxicity since many metal ions used in NPs are well known to be toxic. Consequently, it is crucial to distinguish if the toxicity is exerted by metal NPs or by the released ions. Metal NPs have been found to be less toxic than dissolved ions (Shaw & Handy, 2011). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that susceptibility to metal NPs toxicity differs among species. Filter-feeding invertebrates are more susceptible than larger organisms to metal NP exposure. To date, Ni NPs toxicity has been documented; however, it is still unclear whether this toxicity is caused by released nickel ions or by the NPs themselves. In the present study, by comparing the Ni NPs toxicity with that of the metal salt NiCl$_2$, we demonstrated that Ni NPs toxicity on the filter-feeding ascidian C. intestinalis could be attributed to a particle effect.

Despite the wide application of nanomaterials, there are limited studies available on toxicity of NPs on marine organisms necessary for risk assessment. In the present study, we developed an in vitro assay for the rapid assessment of the spermiotoxicity of NPs on marine invertebrate spermatozoa demonstrating that Ni NPs have the potential to induce spermiotoxicity in the marine invertebrate C. intestinalis representing a threat for reproductive success and a possible decreased recruitment in existing populations. Similar studies on other NPs are needed to explore
their reproptotic potential prior to implementation of nanotechnology use.

Conclusion

To date, the use of sperm in ecotoxicological studies are based on standardized protocols involving the exposure to toxicant followed by the assessment of the fertilizing ability. In this study, we demonstrated, for the first time, that ascidian sperm are highly sensitive to Ni NPs supporting the use of spermmitotoxicity test to evaluate the effects of NPs in marine environments. Moreover, this study suggests that these protocols can be integrated with the evaluation of sperm quality parameters, assessed with rapid assays. In order to protect marine biodiversity and aquatic ecosystem balance, further studies are needed to investigate the mechanism of action and toxic impact of Ni NPs on the reproductive processes and embryo development of marine species exposed to nanomaterial contamination from anthropogenic sources.
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