Effects of 3-Bromo-4,5-dihydroisoxazole Derivatives on Nrf2 Activation and Heme Oxygenase-1 Expression
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1. Introduction

The Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2) transcription factor plays a key role in cellular stress response mechanisms, controlling the transcription of more than 1000 genes involved in detoxification, anti-oxidant, metabolic and anti-inflammatory activities.[1–3] Under unstressed conditions, Nrf2 is retained in the cytoplasm in a silent form by its repressor protein, Keap-1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1), which contains a subset of 27 highly reactive cysteine (Cys) residues in Human. Oxidative and environmental stimuli modify the cysteine residues of Keap-1, thus enabling translocation of Nrf2 to the nucleus where it binds to the antioxidant responsive element (ARE) located in the promoter region of detoxifying and protective genes. Keap-1 also controls Nrf2 by mediating its ubiquitination. Among Nrf2 dependent genes, Heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) is one of the enzymes controlled by Nrf2 that converts the heme to biliverdin, iron and carbon monoxide (CO), important antioxidant and signaling molecules active during stress conditions.[4] Therefore, the Nrf2/HO-1 axis has been shown to be very important for tissue protection and is currently the target of drug discovery approaches.

A successful strategy used to disrupt the Keap1-Nrf2 interaction consists in using electrophiles from synthetic or natural sources. Bardoxolone methyl (CDDO-Me, Figure 1) is a potent

![Figure 1. Electrophilic modulators of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway.](image-url)
activator of Nrf2 and like the fumarates is thought to act via reaction with Cys151 of the Keap-1 protein. In addition to these compounds, other electrophilic modulators of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway are known including curcumin, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, and ebselen (Figure 1). In this context, one of the most studied compounds is sulforaphane, a naturally occurring isothiocyanate isolated from cruciferous vegetables.

Numerous electrophiles (e.g., acrylamides and other α,β-unsaturated groups, boronic acids, and α-halogen-substituted ketones) have been widely employed to design enzymatic covalent inhibitors. Some functional groups such as epoxides, aziridines, halomethyl- and acyloxymethyl-ketones are often regarded as too reactive for potential drug applications. Nonetheless, examples of selective and relatively safe inhibitors bearing those functionalities exist (e.g., Fosfomycin). The 3-halo-4,5-dihydroisoxazole warhead can be considered a rare electronophile in therapeutics since it reacts solely with cysteine residues activated by surrounding amino acid residues present in the catalytic site of a number of enzymes. Such a peculiarity has already been used to design efficacious inhibitors of different enzymatic targets, ranging from parasites to human targets involved in the modulation of neuronal metabolic pathways or in tumor cell metabolism. In this context, we tested different isoxazoline-based electrophiles (Figure 2) to examine their effect on the Nrf2/HO-1 axis.

Figure 2. Isoxazoline-based electrophiles.

Here the influence of different leaving groups in position 3, i.e., bromine, chlorine and nitro (compounds 1–3, Figure 2) and of the substituents in position 4 and 5 of the isoxazoline ring have been investigated (compounds 4–5, Figure 2). The more interesting derivatives in terms of cytotoxic activity, i.e., compounds 1 and 5, and other three para-phenyl substituted analogues, i.e., compounds 6–8, have been submitted to a mass spectrometry analysis to get the proof of concept of the covalent addition to Keap1-BTB domain (Broad complex, Track, and Brich–Brac domain). Subsequently, in order to determine the covalent addition of the ligands to Cys151 of Keap1-BTB domain, the structure of the complex between Keap1-BTB and 5 was solved by X-ray crystallography. Cysteine-151, in fact, represents one of the major sensors in KEAP1 and its modification is sufficient for robust activation of NRF2.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

The synthesis of derivatives 1, 2 and 4–8 was based on the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of bromonitrile oxide, generated in situ by dehydrohalogenation of the stable precursor dibromoformaldoxime (DBF) to the suitable dipolarophile, affording in all cases the 5-substituted 3-bromo-isoxazole derivative in very high yield. Derivatives 1, 2, 4 and 7 were previously described by us, compound 3 was obtained following a literature procedure whereas derivatives 5, 6 and 8 were obtained according to Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) NaOAc, Ac2O, AcOH; b) DBF, NaHCO3, EtOAc, room temperature.

2.2. Effects on Nrf2 Activation and HO-1 Expression

Electrophilic compounds can exert cytotoxic activity and we first examined the viability of human monocytic THP-1 cells exposed to increasing concentrations of the different compounds. As shown in Figure 3, compounds 1, 2, 4 and 5 did not cause evident cytotoxicity up to 100 μM. Only compound 3 promoted cell toxicity (34%) at the highest concentration of 100 μM; such a profile could be due to the presence of the nitro group which can be reduced to a toxic hydroxylamine derivative. It is noted that there are many compounds that will induce Nrf2-HO-1 at concentrations lower than those used in the present study but there are also examples of already approved drugs, such as dimethylfumarate (DMF), that can be used in the higher micromolar range (50–100) to affect Nrf2 due to their low cytotoxicity profile. On the contrary, CDDO is very powerful at nanomolar range but it is also very toxic at low micromolar concentrations. Thus, the ability of compounds to induce the Nrf2 system needs to be balanced with their intrinsic cytotoxicity. When tested in Western blot experiments, we observed that compounds 1, 3 and 5 increased Nrf2 and HO-1 protein expression at different extents with compound 1 being the most efficient inducer of both proteins (Figure 4a). In contrast, compound 2 increased Nrf2 but not HO-1 protein (Figure 4b) while compound 4 did not affect Nrf2 or HO-1 exp-
pression (data not shown). The fact that compound 2 activated Nrf2 but did not induce HO-1 expression is not understood at present. The activation of Nrf2 by derivatives 1, 2, 3 and 5 is strictly related to the nature of the leaving group appended at the 3 position of the isoxazoline nucleus. As previously observed on different targets,[16,17,25] the 3-bromo-isoxazoline warhead is more active than the 3-chloro counterparts. Finally, the additional ring present in derivative 4 seems to limit the Nrf2/HO-1 activating properties.

2.3. Mass Spectrometry Analysis

To confirm that the target of our compounds is the BTB domain of Keap1, we purified recombinant protein and investigated by mass spectrometry its covalent modification in the absence or presence of 1, 5, 6, 7 or 8. The BTB domain comprises three of the 27 cysteines of Keap1, including Cys151 as the critical stress sensor.[22] The mass for the protein incubated with DMSO was determined to be 15,190.9 Da in good agreement (within <30 ppm) to its calculated mass of 15,190.4645 Da (Figure 5A). Incubation of Keap1 BTB with our compounds resulted in the emergence of a peak with a higher mass confirming covalent modification of the protein by addition of a single compound molecule. For all compounds but 1, the most abundant species corresponds to the derivatized protein with the expected mass (Figure 5B). For all compounds, however, an additional peak is observed with a mass that fits to a degradation product in which the phenyl substituent in position 5 of the isoxazoline ring was eliminated (Figure 5C). Variable amounts of the unmodified protein, intact protein adduct and protein modified with degradation product are observed with the different compounds (Figure 5B).

Figure 3. Cytotoxicity data of compounds 1–5. Human monocyctic THP-1 cells were exposed for 24 h to increasing concentrations of the different compounds and assessed for cell viability using the LDH assay, as described in the Experimental Section. Results are mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.

Figure 4. Compound 1 is the most efficient Nrf2 and HO-1 activator in THP-1 cells. A) Western blot results of THP-1 cells treated for 6 h with the different compounds. B) Densitometric analysis of Western blots. C+ is the positive control obtained from THP-1 cells incubated for 6 h with 100 μM DMF. Results are mean ± SEM of three independent experiments; * p < 0.05 vs. control.
Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) controls Nrf2 activation by binding Nrf2 in the cytoplasm and inducing its degradation by the proteasome. Keap 1 contains a number of cysteines that react with oxidants and electrophiles, thus inducing modification in the Keap1 protein that prevents the binding to and degradation of Nrf2. As a consequence, Nrf2 accumulates and enters the nucleus to up-regulate its target genes. The Cys151 residue in the Keap1 protein has been shown to be essential for Nrf2 activation by several electrophiles. To confirm Cys151 modification and to identify further interactions and mechanistic consequences, we solved the structure of the Keap1-BTB domain bound to S by X-ray crystallography (Table 1).

The structure comprises residues 48 to 180, which adopt a conformation very similar to the previously reported Keap1-BTB structures (root mean square deviations on the position of 121 to 131 Cα range from 0.38 to 0.53 Å). The presence of continuous electron density extending from the Sα atom of Cys 151 shows the presence of covalently bound ligand (Figure 6). Residual difference density extending from Cys151 further suggests that the cysteine side-chain points in at least two different directions, which could either, indicate that the compound induces a change in orientation or that the ligand induces extreme flexibility and is present in multiple conformations rather than adopting a unique one.

The electron density, however, does not cover the ligand completely and the aryl substituent in position 5 of the isoxazoline moiety is only partially defined, the signal fading away from the chiral centre onward. This can be explained by a certain flexibility of this part and/or by the presence of multiple conformations. This is consistent both with the absence of interaction with the protein and with the coexistence of two enantiomers of S. This limited and rather unspecific interaction between Keap1-BTB and a covalent inhibitor of Cys151 is, however, not uncommon since it was observed in most other published complex structures. The major conformation of S that is observed here is consistent with previous Keap1 Cys151

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics. Keap1 BTB domain in complex with S.

|  |  |
|---|---|
| Wavelength [Å] | 0.91814 |
| Resolution range [Å] | 36.0–2.2 (2.28–2.20) |
| Space group | P6₁,22 |
| Unit cell | \(a = 42.491, \ b = 42.491, \ c = 265.213\) |
| Total reflections | 47 296 (4 795) |
| Unique reflections | 7 968 (752) |
| Multiplicity [%] | 5.9 (6.4) |
| Completeness [%] | 98.73 (99.08) |
| Mean (I/σ(I)) | 9.33 (0.57) |
| Wilson B-factor [Å²] | 50.25 |
| R-meas | 0.149 (3.161) |
| CC1/2 | 1.00 (0.33) |
| Reflections used in refinement | 7 946 (750) |
| R-work | 0.2506 (0.4153) |
| R-free | 0.2919 (0.3979) |
| No. non-hydrogen atoms | 1 085 |
| No. macromolecule atoms | 1 052 |
| No. ligand atoms | 15 |
| No. solvent molecules | 18 |
| No. protein residues | 131 |
| RMS(bonds) [Å] | 0.002 |
| RMS(angles) [°] | 0.40 |
| Ramachandran favored [%] | 96.90 |
| Ramachandran outliers [%] | 0.00 |
| Rotamer outliers [%] | 0.00 |
| Average B-factor [Å²] | 71.21 |
| Average macromolecules | 71.63 |
| Average ligands | 59.33 |
| Average solvent molecules | 56.54 |

[a] Values for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.
modifier conformations (Figure 7) and, since the isoxazoline substituent is flexible and not significantly involved in binding, is representative of complexes between Keap1-BTB and our other isoxazoline compounds, including the most potent inhibitor 1 (Sup. Figure 1).

3. Conclusions

Our work demonstrates that certain isoxazoline-based electrophiles, and especially bromo-based derivatives such as compound 1, are good activators of the Nrf2/REL pathway in P. falciparum. This system appears to be dependent on leaving group appended at the 3 position of the isoxazoline nucleus and that an additional ring on the molecule, such as in the case of compound 4, limits the Nrf2/REL activating properties. The ability of compound 5 to covalently bind the Keap1-BTB domain suggests that it is a promising candidate for targeting cysteine target that is critical for allowing the modulation of Nrf2 expression in cells. However, we cannot exclude that other cysteine residues of Keap1 are modified by our compound and contribute to functional effects. It is interesting to note that isoxazoline derivatives have been already shown to inactivate glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from Plasmodium falciparum.[25, 26] Therefore, our findings that these compounds also affect Nrf2/REL suggest that our isoxazolines can be of relevance from therapeutic perspective in inflammation and infection.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

All reagents were purchased from Sigma. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian Mercury 300 (300 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm, and coupling constants (J) are expressed in Hz. TLC analyses were performed on commercial silica gel 60 F254 aluminium sheets; spots were further evidenced by spraying with a dilute alkaline potassium permanganate solution or ninhydrin. Flash chromatography separations were performed on Büchi Pump Manager C-615 and C-601 instruments. Melting points were determined on a model B 540 Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. Microanalyses (C, H, N) of new compounds were within ±0.4% of theoretical values.

General Procedure for the Cycloaddition Reaction

Solid NaHCO3 (417 mg, 4.96 mmol) and DBF (402 mg, 1.98 mmol) were added to a solution of the appropriate alkene (0.99 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (4.2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. Water was added and the organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude material was performed by column chromatography.

N-(4-(3-Bromo-4,5-dihydroisoxazol-5-yl)phenyl)acetamide (5)

a) 4-Vinylaniline (200 μL, 1.71 mmol) was dissolved in AcOH (0.5 mL) and NaOAc (34 mg, 0.51 mmol) and Ac2O (157 μL, 1.71 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Water (5 mL) was added and the solution was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 3 mL). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude material by column chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc 7:3) afforded the protected aniline (160 mg, 58% yield). b) Compound 5 was synthesized following the general procedure for the cycloaddition reaction reported above using the acetamide prepared in the previous step.

Yield: 82%; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 2.18 (s, 3H), 3.18 (dd, J = 9.2, 17.3, 1H), 3.59 (dd, J = 10.7, 17.3, 1H), 5.63 (dd, J = 9.2, 10.7, 1H), 7.20 (bs, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.5, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): 24.8, 42.2, 83.2, 120.4, 127.0, 135.0, 137.1, 138.7, 168.8. Anal. calcd for C14H11BrNO2: C, 46.6; H, 3.92; N, 9.89; found: C, 47.00; H, 3.99; N, 9.80.

3-Bromo-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazole (6)

Compound 6 was synthesized following the general procedure for the cycloaddition reaction reported above.

Yield: 95%; white solid; crystallized as white needles from n-hexane: mp = 59.2–59.9°C; Rf = 0.37 (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 9:1); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.18 (dd, J = 9.1, 17.3, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 10.7, 17.3, 1H), 5.66 (dd, J = 9.1, 10.7, 1H), 7.05–7.15 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.40 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 163.1 (d, J = C-F = 247.9), 136.9, 135.3 (d, J = C-F = 3.1), 128.1 (d, J = C-F = 8.3), 116.1 (d, J = C-F = 21.7), 82.8, 49.4; MS(ESI): 243.9 [M+H]+. Anal. calcd for C9H7BrNO2: C, 44.29; H, 2.89; N, 5.74; found: C, 44.50; H, 2.99; N, 5.60.

3-Bromo-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazole (8)

Compound 8 was synthesized following the general procedure for the cycloaddition reaction reported above.

Yield: 80%; solid; crystallized as white needles: mp = 101.1–101.5°C; Rf = 0.41 (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 8:2); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.17 (dd, J = 8.2, 17.3, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 11.1, 17.3, 1H), 5.78 (dd, J = 8.2, 11.1, 1H), 7.50–7.58 (m, 2H), 8.22–8.30 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 148.2, 146.8, 136.9, 126.9, 124.4, 81.9, 49.6; MS(ESI): 270.8 [M+H]+. Anal. calcd for C14H7BrNO3: C, 39.88; H, 2.60; N, 10.33; found: C, 40.00; H, 2.71; N, 9.98.
Cell culture and Determination of Cell Viability

The human monocytic cell line THP-1 was cultured under standard conditions in RPMI medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). One million cells/well were used for viability assay studies. THP-1 cells were incubated for 24 h with increasing concentrations (1–100 μM) of the compounds 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and solvent control (0.1% DMSO). At the end of the incubation the cell supernatant was collected and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity was measured as an index of cell toxicity according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). Only damaged cells release this enzyme in the supernatant and the level of LDH activity correlates with the extent of cellular damage. Triton 2% was used as a positive control. Results were expressed as the percentage of cell viability.

Western Blot Analysis for Determination of Nrf2 and HO-1 Protein Expression

Based on the toxicity results, the ability of 1, 2, 4 and 5 to induce Nrf2/HO-1 was assessed at concentrations up to 100 or 200 μM. Compound 3, which exhibited significant toxicity at 100 μM, was tested only up to 50 μM. THP-1 cells were incubated with the compounds for 6 h after which induction of Nrf2 and HO-1 proteins by Western blot was assessed using specific antibodies. Briefly, cells were washed in cold PBS before lysis in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA pH 7.4, 1% Triton, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na3VO4, 2 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 1% mammalian protease inhibitor). The homogenates were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. Equal amounts of denatured protein were loaded onto 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred on PVDF membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Les Ulis, France). Membranes were then incubated with antibodies directed against Nrf2 (H-300, Santa Cruz biotechnology, Santa Cruz, USA, 1:1000 dilution in Tris buffer saline tween 0.2%, overnight incubation at 4°C) or HO-1 (Abcam, UK, 1:1000 dilution in 3% bovine serum albumin solution prepared with Tris buffer saline-tween 0.2%, 2 h incubation at room temperature). Secondary antibodies were anti-rabbit-HRP (7074S, Cell Signaling, 1:2500 dilution in 3% bovine serum albumin solution prepared with Tris buffer saline-tween 0.2%) for Nrf2 detection and anti-mouse-HRP (7076S, Cell Signaling, 1:5000 dilution in 3% bovine serum albumin solution prepared with Tris buffer saline-tween 0.2%) for HO-1, incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The wash buffer was Tris buffer saline-tween 0.2%. Immunoreactive bands were detected by chemiluminescence (ECL solution, Amersham Biosciences, Les Ulis, France), β-actin (Santa Cruz biotechnology, Santa Cruz, USA) was used as a loading control. Images were captured using a G:Box F3 imagery station (Syngene, Cambridge, UK).

Recombinant Expression, Purification and Crystal Structure Determination of the BTB Domain of Keap1

The gene encoding residues 48–180 of human Keap1 (Uniprot Q14145) were subcloned in a modified pET19 vector to incorporate a TEV cleavable N-terminal hexahistidine tag. As previously reported, a single point mutation was also introduced at position 172 (S172A), which enhanced protein stability and crystallizability.[1] The protein was expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) and purified to homogeneity as previously described.[2] Prior to crystallization, the BTB domain at 10 mg/mL−1 concentration was incubated for 1 h on ice in the presence of 3 mM 5 (10% final DMSO concentration).

Crystallization was carried out by hanging drop vapour diffusion by mixing equal amounts of protein solution with a crystallization solution composed of 25–28 % PEG 4000, 0.1 m Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 0.2 m lithium sulfate. For cryoprotection, the crystals were briefly soaked in a solution composed of the original crystallization mother liquor supplemented with 25% glycerol. Diffraction data have been collected on BL14.1 operated by the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin (HZB) at the BESSY II electron storage ring (Berlin-Adlershof, Germany)[3] and processed using the XDS package.[4] The structure was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser[5] and the previously published structure of the BTB domain of Keap1 as a model (PDB code 4CXI). Refinement of the structure was performed using phenix.refine[6] until convergence of the R factors. The statistics of the diffraction data as well as of the final model are presented in Table 1. The coordinates of the model as well as the structure factors were deposited to the PDB under accession code 6FMM.

Mass Spectrometry Measurement of the Intact Protein Masses

To analyze covalent modification(s) of the BTB domain, 10 μM BTB 48–180 (S172A) were incubated with 3 mM 1, 5, 6, 7 or 8 (or 3 % DMSO final concentration for control) for 1 h on ice in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 150 mM NaCl. Intact protein masses were determined through HPLC-coupled electrospray ionization (ESI)-MS on an AB sciex TripleTOF 5600+ mass spectrometer. Intact proteins were first concentrated and washed on a Piccolo Proto 200 C4 5-μm 2.5 x 0.5-mm trap column (Higgins Analytical) and subsequently switched in line with, and separated on, a Jupiter C4 5-μm 300-Å 150 x 1-mm analytical column (Phenomenex) mounted onto a Shimadzu Prominence UPLC (Shimadzu) at a 70 μL min−1 flow rate with the following buffers: A - 5% ACN, 5% DMSO, and 0.1% FA; B - 90% ACN, 5% DMSO, and 0.1% FA. Proteins were then eluted over with a gradient of 3 min of 1 % B to 55 % B followed by 1 min of 55 % B to 90% B. Mass analysis was performed by ESI-TOF-MS on an AB Sciex TripleTOF 5600+ mass spectrometer (Sciex) with a DuoSpray Ion Source with the following settings: floating voltage of 5,500 V, temperature of 350°C, declustering potential of 120 with four separate TOF experiments, each, respectively with 4, 12, and 40 time bins summed. Data analysis was performed as follows: spectra were integrated over a retention time period, and the summed TOF experiment with the greatest resolution selected. The raw data were then converted and deconvoluted using the MaxEnt 1 algorithm (Waters) at a resolution of 0.1 Da.
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