Mucorales spores induce a proinflammatory cytokine response in human mononuclear phagocytes and harbor no rodlet hydrophobins
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ABSTRACT
Mucormycoses are life-threatening infections in immunocompromised patients. This study characterizes the response of human mononuclear cells to different Mucorales and Ascomycota. PBMC, monocytes, and monocyte derived dendritic cells (moDCs) from healthy donors were stimulated with resting and germinated stages of Mucorales and Ascomycota. Cytokine response and expression of activation markers were studied. Both inactivated germ tubes and resting spores of Rhizopus arrhizus and other human pathogenic Mucorales species significantly stimulated mRNA synthesis and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines. Moreover, R. arrhizus spores induced the upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on moDCs and a specific T-helper cell response. Removal of rodlet hydrophobins by hydrofluoric acid treatment of A. fumigatus conidia resulted in enhanced immunogenicity, whereas the cytokine response of PBMCs to dormant R. arrhizus spores was not influenced by hydrofluoric acid. Scanning electron micrographs of Mucorales spores did not exhibit any morphological correlates of rodlet hydrophobins. Taken together, this study revealed striking differences in the response of human mononuclear cells to resting stages of Ascomycota and Mucorales, which may be explained by absence of an immunoprotective hydrophobin layer in Mucorales spores.

Introduction
Immunocompromised patients are at high risk of acquiring invasive mycoses. Besides the most common opportunistic fungal genera Candida and Aspergillus, new emerging opportunistic molds such as Mucorales account for an increasing share of these infections. Species of the order Mucorales cause life-threatening systemic infections predominantly involving the lung, nasal sinus, and central nervous system. Mucormycoses are often characterized by rapid progression, poor treatment response, and high mortality rates.

The immunocompetent host possesses a vast arsenal of defense strategies to eliminate fungal spores preventing progression to an invasive infection. The mononuclear phagocyte system plays a crucial role in this process. Professional phagocytes destroy fungal pathogens by phagocytosis or release of fungicidal molecules. The presentation of acquired antigens provides T-cells with the required stimulus and serves as an important crosslink between innate and specific immunity. Recognition of fungal pathogens by innate immune cells induces the expression and release of a variety of cytokines and chemokines that regulate cell migration and activity, systemic inflammation, and T-cell differentiation. This results in a well-orchestrated host response ensuring effective elimination of the pathogen while limiting host damage caused by excessive inflammation.

In mold immunopathology, a key concept is the dependence of the virulence and host defense on the fungal maturational stage. During their maturation, conidia of Aspergillus fumigatus and other Ascomycota undergo metabolic and morphologic changes. These alterations are of major importance for the recognition by the host immune system and its response to the fungus. For example, the loss of the rodlet hydrophobin layer during swelling of Aspergillus conidia is associated with a distinctly stronger stimulation of human innate immune cells.
Though similarities in terms of fungal biology, predisposing factors, and clinical presentation can be observed in Mucorales and Aspergillus infections, recent studies indicate differences in host immunity to these fungi.\textsuperscript{16,17} However, the morphotype-dependent impact of Mucorales on the human immune system has not yet been conclusively characterized. In this study we therefore sought to expand our knowledge of the interplay between innate human immune cells and various Mucorales species, focusing on the cytokine response of mononuclear phagocytes to different morphotypes.

**Results**

*Dormant Rhizopus spores induce early and strong inflammatory cytokine gene expression in human mononuclear cells*

Co-culturing PBMCs, monocytes, and moDCs with ethanol-inactivated germ tubes of *Rhizopus arrhizus* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* germ tubes led to significantly increase of proinflammatory cytokine mRNA (Fig. 1A–C). Interestingly, resting spores of *R. arrhizus* also induced a strong upregulation of *IL1B* and *TNFA* transcription compared with unstimulated control cells or those co-cultured with dormant conidia of *A. fumigatus*. The expression levels of *IL1B* and *TNFA* mRNA in PBMCs peaked after 3 h co-culture with resting *R. arrhizus* spores, and declined subsequently (Fig. 1D). However, significantly upregulated cytokine expression was found compared with unstimulated cells at all studied time points. Elevated *IL1B* and *TNFA* gene expression was dependent on the multiplicity of infection (Sup. Fig. 1A), whereas sterile-filtered supernatants of fungal preparations did not cause induction of *IL1B* and *TNFA* (Sup Fig. 1B). Upregulated transcriptional activity of *IL1B* and *TNFA* was paralleled by markedly elevated secretion of *TNFA*, *IL1B*, *IL6*, *IL8*, GM-CSF, and MCP-1 in PBMCs co-cultured with inactivated dormant spores and germinated stages of *R. arrhizus* (Fig. 2). Importantly, stimulation of PBMCs with vital spores resulted in a similarly strong induction of *IL1B* and *TNFA* expression (Sup. Fig. 2). Though the peak of *TNFA* transcription was observed after 6 hours of co-culture, even a 20 min stimulation period resulted in a slight, but significant induction of *IL1B* and *TNFA* transcription. Taken together, these observations demonstrate that dormant spores of *R. arrhizus* are a potent stimulus of an early and strong proinflammatory cytokine response by human mononuclear phagocytes.
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**Figure 1.** Dormant *R. arrhizus* spores induce an early and strong upregulation of inflammatory cytokine genes in human mononuclear cells (A) 2 \( \times \) 10^6 PBMCs, (B) 1 \( \times \) 10^6 monocytes, and 5 \( \times \) 10^5 (C) moDCs from healthy donors (n = 5) were co-cultured with an equivalent amount (MOI 1.0) of ethanol-inactivated spores (Sp) and germ-tubes (GT) of *R. arrhizus* (Rar) and *A. fumigatus* (Afu) for a period of 6 hours. The expression of important proinflammatory cytokines genes was analyzed by RT-qPCR. Gene expression is shown as relative expression levels compared with unstimulated cells. The errors bars represent the standard deviation. (D) Relative gene expressions of *TNFA* and *IL1B* after a 3 to 12 hour co-culture of 2 \( \times \) 10^6 PBMCs from 5 healthy donors with an equivalent amount of ethanol-inactivated resting spores of *R. arrhizus* compared with unstimulated cells. Med. indicates “immune cells stimulated with medium without fungal cells;” horizontal bars indicate the mean values. ns: not significant; p-values: \( \dagger \): 0.05 < p < 0.1; \( \ddagger \): 0.01 < p < 0.05; \( \ddagger\ddagger \): 0.001 < p < 0.01.
Immunogenicity of dormant spores is observed for various Mucorales species

Further we assessed whether dormant spores of other human pathogenic Mucorales species were capable of stimulating an inflammatory cytokine response in mononuclear cells. All Mucorales species assessed in this study induced an at least 10-fold upregulation of TNFA and IL1B mRNA synthesis in PBMCs after 6 h co-culture (Fig. 3A–B), whereas the presence of Ascomycota, A. fumigatus, and F. solani did not result in significant elevations of proinflammatory cytokine gene expression. A similar pattern was observed for the IL1B release into the culture medium (Fig. 3C). These data suggest that spores of human-pathogenic Mucorales species share common structural or metabolic features triggering a proinflammatory cytokine response by mononuclear cells.

Resting spores of R. arrhizus induce the upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on dendritic cells

Apart from orchestrating the host defense against fungal pathogens by release of cytokines, mononuclear phagocytes serve a crucial role in triggering specific immune response with their ability to acquire, process and present fungal antigens to naïve T cells. The upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules and maturation markers stimulating on moDCs exposed to dormant spores and germ tubes of A. fumigatus and R. arrhizus was assessed (Fig. 4). Germ tubes of both fungi and resting spores of R. arrhizus stimulated CD83 and CD86 upregulation on moDCs. In contrast, coculture with dormant conidia of A. fumigatus only led to slightly increased CD83 and CD86 expression (Fig. 4A+B). This suggests that dormant spores of R. arrhizus induced the maturation of moDCs.

T-helper cells specifically responding to Rhizopus spores can be detected in healthy subjects

To evaluate whether a specific T-cell response to Mucorales spores can be observed in healthy subjects, a described previously assay was used to quantify the frequency of T-helper cells responding to inactivated spores and germ tubes of A. fumigatus and R. arrhizus by CD154 upregulation (Fig. 5). After overnight stimulation with germ tubes of A. fumigatus and R. arrhizus, mean frequencies of 0.29% (+/- 0.15%) and 0.39% (+/- 0.19%) CD154+CD4+ T-cells were measured, respectively. Stimulation with dormant spores of R. arrhizus resulted in a proportion of 0.35% (+/- 0.18%) specific T-helper cells, whereas only 0.08% (+/- 0.05%) T-cells were CD154 positive in response to resting A. fumigatus spores, which only slightly exceeds the unspecific background frequencies in unstimulated cells.

The absence of rodlet hydrophobins contributes to the immunogenicity of dormant Mucorales spores

We hypothesized that differences in outer cell wall composition may contribute to the immunogenicity of dormant Mucorales spores. Particularly, the existence or absence of a hydrophobin layer associated with immunoprotective properties in Ascomycota has not been demonstrated in Mucorales yet. Consistent with previous findings, treatment of A. fumigatus conidia with 48% hydrofluoric acid for cleavage of phosphodiester bonds in GPI anchors connecting the hydrophobins with other cell wall components led to an upregulation of IL1B, TNFA, and further pro-inflammatory cytokines in PBMCs exposed to these conidia, whereas a slight downregulation of IL10 was observed (Fig. 6A–B, Sup. Fig. 3). Conversely, the immunogenicity of dormant R. arrhizus spores was not enhanced when treated with hydrofluoric acid. Though there was a tendency toward a slightly less pronounced cytokine response to hydrofluoric acid treated R. arrhizus spores, significant pro-inflammatory cytokine gene induction and a specific T-helper cell to these spores by CD154 upregulation were observed.

Figure 2. R. arrhizus spores induce the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines (A–B) A total of 2 × 10⁶ PBMCs from healthy donors (n = 4) were co-cultured with 2 × 10⁶ R. arrhizus spores (Sp) and germ tubes (GT) for 9 hours. (A) Cytokine secretion into the culture medium was quantified by bead-based luminex analyses (H-CYTOMAG 60K, Merck-Millipore). ns: not significant; p-values: *: p < 0.1; **: p < 0.05; ***: p < 0.01, ****: p < 0.001. (B) TNFA and IL1B concentrations were quantified using ELISA Max™ deluxe sets (Bio-Legend).
In a time-course analysis using different durations of hydrofluoric acid treatment, neither shorter nor longer hydrofluoric acid treatment resulted in an increased immunogenicity of dormant Rhizopus spores (Fig. 6C–D).

High-resolution SEM was performed to obtain a direct morphologic image of the cell wall surface morphology of Mucorales. While A. fumigatus conidia exhibited the described previously fibrillary rodlet surface pattern, a morphologic correlate to these structures was not observed in resting spores of R. arrhizus, C. bertholletiae, and R. pusillus (Fig. 7A). Treatment of R. arrhizus spores with hydrofluoric acid did not lead to a significantly altered morphology in the outermost cell wall layer, whereas the A. fumigatus spores lost their typical rodlet surface pattern (Fig. 7B).

In accordance with these findings, pBLAST analyses of the A. fumigatus RodA and RodB sequences against the Joint Genome Institute MycoCosm database (634 organisms including 19 Mucoromycotina) did not reveal any homologues of these proteins in Mucorales, while significant homologies to proteins in numerous Ascomycota species were detected (Table S1). Taken together, the immunological data, morphological findings, and in silico data do not provide any evidence for the existence of immunoprotective rodlet hydrophobins in the cell wall of the studied Mucorales spores.

Discussion

Opportunistic infections caused by molds are a major threat for patients with impaired innate or specific immunity. Mucorales have emerged as increasingly important pathogens in these patients, but the immunopathology of mucormycoses is poorly understood. Here we provide evidence that human mononuclear phagocytes exposed to both resting spores and mature stages of R. arrhizus and other opportunistic Mucorales species respond with an early and strong proinflammatory cytokine expression and release.

The data presented here are in accordance with previous findings demonstrating that co-culture of human monocytes with heat-inactivated Rhizopus spores results in a robust induction of IL6 and TNFA secretion. Our data further support previously published results demonstrating that dormant conidia of A. fumigatus and other airborne fungal spores induce little inflammatory response. Though different immune cell subsets, effector-target ratios, and methods for inactivating fungal cells were used in our study and the cited work, we share the conclusion of an inflammatory immune response caused by resting Mucorales spores and corroborate these data via a direct comparison of different Mucorales species and morphotypes. The observed immunogenicity of resting Mucorales spores contrasts the findings in Ascomycota.

Apart from orchestrating the host defense against invading fungi via the release of cytokines, mononuclear phagocytes serve a crucial role in triggering specific immune response with their ability to acquire,
process, and present fungal antigens to naïve T cells. For this reason, we compared the upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules and maturation markers on moDCs exposed to dormant spores and germ tubes of *A. fumigatus* and *R. arrhizus*. Confirming previous data, T-cell response is limited to the germinated stages of *A. fumigatus*, whereas a significant proportion of T-cells specifically respond to resting spores of *R. arrhizus* by upregulating CD154 (Fig. 4B).

Immunogenicity of Mucorales spores may be explained by differences in outer cell wall composition. The cell wall of dormant spores of *A. fumigatus* is covered by a pigmented rodlet hydrophobin layer. These conidial rodlet hydrophobins form highly insoluble complexes in the outermost cell wall layer facilitating aerosolic dispersion of airborne fungal spores and their growth at air-liquid-interfaces. Several studies highlight an additional role of rodlet proteins in mediating a stage-specific immune response to fungal spores. During maturation, conidia of *A. fumigatus* and other Ascomycota swell and lose their hydrophobin layer. The structures within the cell wall are composed primarily of β-glucans, galactomannan and chitin are exposed to the innate immune cells,
leading to an inflammatory response of human and murine phagocytes.\textsuperscript{22}

Paris and colleagues showed that RodAp, the main rodlet hydrophobin of \textit{A. fumigatus} spores, mediates resistance to host alveolar macrophages. Spores of a rodletless mutant (\textit{DrodA-47}) were significantly more sensitive to killing by macrophages than wild-type conidia.\textsuperscript{19} Amaniananda and colleagues described that surface hydrophobins prevent immune recognition of spores of \textit{A. fumigatus} and other Ascomycota species due to the resistance of rodlet proteins to lysosomal proteolytic degradation resulting in a lack of antigenic peptides.\textsuperscript{15} In another study, this group found that RodA hydrophobins mask the immunogenic cell wall components $\beta1,3$ glucan and $\alpha$-mannose of \textit{Aspergillus} and \textit{Fusarium} impairing Dectin-1 and Dectin-2 mediated recognition by macrophages, leading to reduced cytokine secretion. In a corneal murine infection model, \textit{DrodA Aspergillus} spores induced significantly stronger cytokine release and neutrophil recruitment to the site of infection than wild-type conidia reducing fungal survival in infected mice.\textsuperscript{27} On the other hand, rodlet-mediated masking of

Figure 5. (A) Subset of T-helper cells specifically upregulating CD154 after exposure to \textit{R. arrhizus} spores can be identified in healthy donors (A) Proportion of CD154$^{+}$/CD4$^{+}$ T-lymphocytes after an 18 h co-culture of PBMCs with ethanol-inactivated resting spores (Sp) and germ tubes (GT) of \textit{A. fumigatus} (Afu) or \textit{R. arrhizus} (Rar). Samples from 5 healthy donors, pre-screened for a proportion of at least 0.2\% CD154$^{+}$/CD4$^{+}$ T-cells after 18 h stimulation with 5 mg of a commercially available crude mycelial lysate of \textit{A. fumigatus} (+ 0.1 $\mu$g $\alpha$CD28), have been analyzed. Horizontal bars indicate the mean values. (B) Representative flow cytometry data of one donor (black symbols in Fig. 5A) after stimulation of $1 \times 10^6$ PBMCs with $1 \times 10^6$ inactivated spores of \textit{A. fumigatus} or \textit{R. arrhizus}. 0.1 $\mu$g $\alpha$CD28 antibody were used for co-stimulation. Medium control contains the co-stimulatory antibody, but no fungal cells.
the host response is assumed to provide a protective mechanism against tissue damage caused by an excessive inflammatory response to non-invasive fungal stages.28,29

Conidial hydrophobins have been identified in several Ascomycota and Basidiomycota species.26,29 The existence of rodlet hydrophobins in airborne human-pathogenic mold fungi has not been demonstrated in other phyla. In this study we have provided first evidence of the absence of a morphologic correlate of rodlet hydrophobins in dormant Mucorales spores using high resolution SEM, supported by in silico data underlining the absence of RodAp and RodBp homologues in Mucorales. The largely unaltered immunogenicity of R. arrhizus spores after hydrofluoric acid treatment further supports the absence of immunoprotective hydrophobins. The trend toward reduced immunogenicity of spores treated with hydrofluoric acid, especially after treatment of prolonged periods, may be attributable to potential off-target effects of hydrofluoric acid including the depletion of immunogenic proteins or polysaccharids in the fungal cell wall. Our data, however, clearly demonstrate, that both hydrofluoric acid treated and untreated R. arrhizus spores induce a robust pro-inflammatory cytokine response and specific T-cell response. Most importantly, in contrast to A. fumigatus, no evidence for an enhanced immunogenicity of R. arrhizus spores by hydrofluoric acid treatment was obtained. Hence, the findings of this study suggest that the missing coverage of immunogenic carbohydrate and protein structures in an immunoprotective rodlet hydrophobin layer in the fungal cell wall may contribute to the immunogenicity of resting Mucorales spores.

Despite of the clinical similarities of mucormycoses and invasive mycoses caused by Ascomycota species, this study highlights the existence of considerable differences in the immunopathology and cell wall architecture of these fungi. Further research is required to gain a comprehensive view of the immunogenic structures and antigens of Mucorales spores as well as the receptors and signaling pathways mediating the inflammatory response to these spores. This may open the field of new prophylactic and therapeutic strategies in mucormycosis targeting the early developmental stages of Mucorales.

Materials & methods

Preparation and culture of leukocyte subsets

Whole blood specimens were collected from healthy volunteers after obtaining written informed consent. The study was conducted in full compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Wuerzburg. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were purified by

Figure 6. Hydrofluoric acid treatment does not increase the immunogenicity of resting R. arrhizus spores (A-B) Dormant spores of A. fumigatus and R. arrhizus were fixed with 2.5% paraformaldehyde for 12 h, followed 48% hydrofluoric acid treatment of 72 h. After extensive washing with dH2O to remove residual hydrofluoric acid 2 × 10^6 spores were co-cultured with 2 × 10^6 PBMCs obtained from healthy donors (n = 4). The expression of TNFA and IL1B was analyzed by RT-qPCR (crosshatched bars). For comparison, the expression of these genes was also analyzed after co-culture of PBMCs with PFA-fixed untreated dormant spores (monochromatic bars). (C-D) Relative TNFA and IL1B mRNA expression levels after a 6 h co-culture of 2 × 10^6 PBMCs with dormant A. fumigatus and R. arrhizus spores treated with 48% hydrofluoric acid for 0 to 120 hours. ns: not significant; p-values: \( \cdot \cdot \cdot 0.05 < p < 0.1; \cdot \cdot 0.01 < p < 0.05; \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 0.001 < p < 0.01. \)
Ficoll gradient centrifugation. Monocytes were isolated using MACS CD14 positive selection (Miltenyi Biotec, #130–050–201). To generate monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDCs), monocytes were incubated with IL4 (Miltenyi Biotec, #130–095–373) and GM-CSF (Sanofi, Leukine® sargramostim) for a period of 6 d. The purified leukocyte subsets were resuspended at a concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml in RPMI 1640 (Gibco / Life Technologies, #72400–021) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FCS, Sigma-Aldrich, #F-7524) and 100 μg/ml gentamicin (Merck Serono, Refobacin®). Cells were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

Fungal strains and preparation of fungal cells

The following fungal strains were used in this study: *Rhizopus arrhizus var. arrhizus* (CBS 110.17), *Rhizopus microsporus* (CBS 53680), *Rhizomucor pusillus* (CBS 245.58), *Lichtheimia corymbifera* (CBS 271.65), *Mucor circinelloides* (CBS 192.98), *Mucor hiemalis* (CBS 200.28), *Cunninghamella bertholletiae* (CBS 187.84), *Fusarium solani* (CBS 181.29), and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (ATTC 46645).

Dormant spores of these isolates were prepared from mature colonies grown on beer wort agar and passed through a 40 μm cell strainer to remove residual mycelium. A total of $1 \times 10^8$ spores were incubated in 20 ml RPMI 1640 under constant shaking at 200 rpm at RT. Germ tubes were obtained after 12–14 hours, and hyphae after 16–18 hours. To prevent further maturation during co-culture with immune cells, the fungi were inactivated by incubation in 96% ethanol for 30 min at RT. Subsequently, fungal morphotypes were washed 5 times with dH₂O and resuspended at a concentration of $2 \times 10^7$ cells/ml in RPMI 1640. Successful inactivation was confirmed by incubation of 10 μl of the spore solution on beer wort agar plates for 7 d.

Chemical removal of conidial hydrophobins by hydrofluoric acid treatment

As described previously,15 dormant spores were fixed with 2.5% paraformaldehyde (Carl Roth, #0335) for 12 h, followed by 48% hydrofluoric acid (Merck, #100334) treatment of 72 h at 4 °C. After extensive washing with dH₂O to remove residual hydrofluoric acid,
spores were resuspended in RPMI 1640 at a concentration of $2 \times 10^7$ cells/ml.

**Gene expression analysis**

Total RNA from human immune cells was purified using the RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, #74106) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA was eluted in 30 µl of RNase-free water and the concentration was quantified with the NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Peglab). RNA was determined by using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, #4368814). Quantitative PCR was performed on a StepOne™ plus instrument (Applied Biosystems) using the iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Biorad, #1725122). An initial denaturation step (90 °C, 15 s) was followed by 40 cycles of repeated denaturation (90 °C, 3 sec) and extension (60°C, 30 sec). The following primer sequences were used: ALAS1 5’-GGCAGCACAGAT GAATCAGA-3’ and 5’-CCTCCATCGGTTCCTACACT-3’. Primers were confirmed not to cross-anneal nucleic acid sequences of the studied fungal species.

**Analysis of cytokine release**

ELISA Max™ deluxe sets (Bio-Legend, #437004 and #430204) were used to quantify the release of $IL1B$ and $TNFA$ into the culture medium. Bead-based multiplex cytokine assays were conducted using a magnetic Milliplex Human Cytokine Panel (Merck Millipore, HCYTOMAG-60K) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Culture supernatants were pre-diluted 1:2 in fresh RPMI 1640.

**Flow cytometry**

For staining of surface markers moDCs were harvested 120 hours after seeding, washed with HBSS (Sigma-Aldrich, #H6648) and resuspended in RPMI 1640 + 10% FCS at a concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cells per ml. 300 µl ($3 \times 10^5$ cells) were plated in each well of a 48-well plate and co-incubated with ethanol-inactivated fungal spores or germ tubes for 18 h. The cells were then transferred to FACS tubes, washed and stained in 100 µl HBSS containing CD1a-FITC, CD14-PerCP, CD83-PE, and CD86-APC antibodies (Miltenyi Biotec, #130-097–903, #130-094–969, #130-094,876; BD Biosciences, #556855). Analysis was performed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). CD1a$^+$ CD14$^-$ cells were considered as moDCs and geometric mean fluorescence intensity of CD83-PE and CD86-APC was determined in the CD1a$^+$ CD14$^-$ subset.

CD154-positive T-cells were detected after an 18 h coculture of $1 \times 10^6$ PBMCs with 5 µg of an $A. fumigatus$ mycelial lysate (Miltenyi Biotec, #130–098–170) or ethanol-inactivated fungal spores or germ tubes and 0.1 µg CD28 co-stimulatory antibody. Cells were stained using the Inside Stain Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, #130–090–477) as well as CD4-FITC and CD154-APC antibodies (Miltenyi Biotec, #130–092–358 and #130–092–290). Lymphocytes were identified by FSC/SSC properties. The frequency of CD154 positive cells among CD4 positive cells was determined.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

Freeze-drying was performed as described previously [18]. The glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, #G5882) fixed samples mounted on small silicon chips were washed with dH$_2$O. The chips were blotted with filter paper to remove most of the water before freezing by nitrogen cooled propane. The frozen samples were cryo-transferred to a Baf 300 freeze-etching device (Bal-Tec) and partially freeze-dried for 35 min at $–90$ °C. The samples were then rotary coated by electron beam evaporation with 2 nm of platinum and kept cold during liquid-nitrogen transfer to the cryo-stage of the SEM. Specimens were investigated at a temperature of $–100$ °C, using a Gatan cryo-holder 626 in a Hitachi S-5200, in-lens field emission SEM at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV using the secondary electron signal.

**Statistical analysis**

Unless otherwise indicated, cells from 5 different donors were analyzed. Significance testing was defined $p < 0.05$ by using the paired 2-sided t-test.
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