Effect of Chitosan Treatments and Vacuum Packaging on the Shelf Life of Spangled Emperor Lethrinus nebulosus Fillets Stored in Refrigerator
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Abstract The effect of vacuum packaging (VP) on the quality changes of Spangled emperor (Lethrinus nebulosus) treated with or without chitosan during refrigerated storage of 12 days was investigated. Treatments included the following: control (untreated, control samples stored in air), VP (untreated, stored under vacuum packaging), FV (treated with chitosan film, stored under vacuum packaging) and CV (treated with chitosan coating stored under vacuum packaging). Chitosan coated and wrapped samples prior to VP can remarkably delay the growth of total viable count and psychrotrophilic count. Production of total viable bases nitrogen and trimethylamine for FV and CV Spangled emperor samples was significantly lower than for untreated samples at day 12 of storage. The coincidental lowered rate of increase thiobarbitoric acid and free fatty acid were obtained in Spangled emperor coated chitosan stored under vacuum packaging. Vacuum-packaged samples coated with chitosan showed significantly (P < 0.05) lower changes in a color value than uncoated samples. Therefore, Spangled emperor treated with chitosan stored under vacuum packaging had the lowest losses in quality during refrigerated storage. In addition, there was no significant difference between coating and film in reducing bacteriological, physicochemical and color parameters.

Keywords Spangled emperor · Vacuum packaging · Chitosan · Coating · Film · Shelf life

Introduction

The Spangled emperor (Lethrinus nebulosus) is one of the valued fish species in Persian Gulf, which due to its high nutritional quality and excellent sensory properties, is preferred by the customers in the south of Iran. Because this species is consumed domestically, it is very important to extend its shelf life, which is normally quite limited when kept refrigerated.

In this case, correct methods of packaging can help to preserving food for longer time. Vacuum packaging (VP) is one of the methods of the natural preservation in order to delay the degradation and maintain the quality of the products longer [26]. VP is widely used as a supplement to ice or refrigeration to decrease the supply of oxygen to the aerobic bacteria in the flesh to extend the shelf life of product [2]. Chitosan have been used in seafood products to inhibit the growth of bacteria in stored fish in refrigerator and retarded the oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids in fish muscle before vacuum packaging [10, 19, 24, 33]. Chitosan, a linear polysaccharide of randomly distributed β-(1–4)-linked D-glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, is a biocompatible polysaccharide obtained from deacetylation of chitin. Edible coating is a thin layer of edible material formed as a coating on a food, while an edible film is a preformed thin layer which once formed can be placed on or between food components [6]. In food industry, Chitosan coatings have been used successfully because of some advantages such as edibility, biodegradability, aesthetic appearance and barrier properties, being non-toxic and non-polluting, as well as carrier of foods additives (i.e.: antioxidants, antimicrobials). Therefore, these coatings can retain quality of raw, frozen and processed foods including fish items by preventing bacterial growth and delaying lipid oxidation.
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Major changes occur in proximate, microbiological, chemical and sensory composition of fish fillets during storage in the refrigerator [30]. Because the Spangled emperor is consumed domestically and exported in large quantities, it is very important to extend its shelf life of this fish during refrigerated storage. There has not been research to determine the shelf life of *Lethrinus nebulosus* during chilled storage. Though the shelf life of *Lethrinus nebulosus* could be extended by VP, VP is still questionable to ensure quality and safety of the foods. Such a limited success of VP has led to the processing of seafood and seafood products prior to packaging. Thus the aim of this study was to assess the effect of chitosan (coating and film) under VP condition on the quality of *Lethrinus nebulosus* fillets during refrigerated storage.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample Preparation and Storage Condition**

*Lethrinus nebulosus* with an average weight of 500 g was caught with gill net in the Persian Gulf, Khorramshahr, Iran in July 2016. Fishes were placed in crushed ice with a fish/ice ratio 1:3 (w/w) and transported to the fish processing laboratory with 2–3 h after catch. They were washed with tap water and two fillets were obtained from each fish after removing the head and gutted.

**Preparation of Coating Solution and Chitosan-Based Edible Films**

Chitosan solution was prepared with 1% (w/v) chitosan (Sigma Chemical Co., medium molecular weight, viscosity 200–800 cP) in 1% v/v acetic acid [21]. To achieve complete dispersion of chitosan, the solution was stirred at room temperature to dissolve completely. Glycerol was added at 0.75 mL/g concentration as a plasticizer and stirred for 10 min [21]. All films were obtained by casting 100 mL film forming solution on a nonstick surface (16 × 27 cm), dried at ambient temperature (20 °C) until a firm surface but still with adhesive properties was obtained. After evaporation the films were peeled off from the plates.

Fillet samples were randomly assigned into four treatment lots consisting of: one control lot (un-coated), second lots packaged with VP, third lots wrapped with films prior to VP, fourth lots immersed for 30 s in chitosan solution, then the fish fillets were removed and allowed to drain for 2 h at ambient temperature (20 °C) in order to form the edible coating. All samples were stored at 4 ± 1 °C for 12 days. Microbiological, physicochemical, color and sensorial analyses were performed at 3-day intervals to determine the overall quality of fish.

**Bacteriological Analysis**

Bacteriological counts were determined by homogenizing 10 g sample in 90 mL of 0.85% NaCl solution. Other decimal dilutions were prepared from this dilution and plated in the appropriate media. Total viable aerobic bacterial counts were determined by the pour plate method, using plate count agar (PCA, Merk, and Darmstadt, Germany). The inoculated plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 days for total viable counts, and at 10 °C for 7 days for psychrotrophic counts. All counts were expressed as log_{10} CFU/g [27].

**Chemical Analyses**

**Determination of Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVB-N)**

TVB-N muscle was determined according to the method proposed by Goulas and Kontominas [9]. Ten grams of meat was homogenized with 2 g MgO and 300 mL distilled, and seven drops of anti-foam and some boiling stones were added. The blend was heated for 45 min until the volume of boric acid solution reached 150 mL. Boric acid containing methyl red reagent, which initially due to its acidity was red, gradually became alkali and turned green. Finally, the solution obtained from the accumulation of distillation gases by 0.1 N sulfuric acid to reach the onion skin color was titrated.

**Determination of pH**

The pH measurement was carried out using a Metrohm model 713 pH meter. Fish muscle (2 g) was homogenized thoroughly with 10 mL of distilled water and the homogenate was subjected to pH determination according to the method of Masniyom et al. [17].

**Determination of TMA**

One hundred grams of fish muscle were deproteinized as described previously and the filtrate was collected. TMA was assayed as the picrate salt by colorimetry. One milliliter of filtrate and 3 mL of water were added to a test tube. Three other test tubes received 1, 2 and 3 mL of a standard TMA solution (concentration = 0.01 mg/mL) and 3, 2 and 1 mL of distilled water, respectively. The TMA stock solution was prepared by adding 1 mL of HCl to 0.682 g of TMA and making up to a final volume of 100 mL with distilled water. To prepare the TMA standard solution, 1 mL of the stock solution was mixed with 1 mL of HCl and diluted to 100 mL with water. A 5th tube containing 4 mL of water was used as a blank for colorimetry. One milliliter of a 20% formaldehyde solution, 10 mL of...
toluene and 3 mL of saturated potassium carbonate solution were placed in the 5 tubes. The 20% formaldehyde solution was prepared as follows: 100 g of magnesium carbonate and 1 L of commercial formaldehyde (40%) were shaken until dehydrated. The 5 milliliters of the dehydrated toluene phase were mixed in another tube with 5 mL of picric acid solution prepared by diluting 1 mL of a picric acid stock solution to 100 mL with toluene. The picric acid stock solution was prepared by dissolving 2 g of picric acid in 100 mL of toluene and 3 mL of saturated potassium carbonate solution by boiling water for 35 min. After cooling, the absorbance of the pink solution was measured at 538 nm following the method of Siripatrawan and Noipha [32]. The free fatty acid (FFA) content was determined in the lipid extract by Woyewoda’s method according to the Eq. (2). Results were expressed in % of oleic acid [35]:

\[ FFA = \frac{N \times (V_2 - V_1) \times 2.82}{W}, \]

where N = normality of NaOH, V_2 = mL NaOH, V_1 = mL NaOH for blank, W = weight (g) of lipid.

**Determination of Thiobarbituric Acid (TBA)**

Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) measurement was determined following the method of Siripatrawan and Noipha [32]. Ten grams of homogenized sample were added with 97.5 mL of distilled water and 2.5 mL of 4 N HCl. The mixture was heated with steam distillation. Five milliliters of distillate was added to 5 mL of thiobarbituric reactive reagent containing 0.02 MTBA in 90% glacial acetic acid and incubated in boiling water for 35 min. After cooling, the absorbance of the pink solution was measured at 538 nm using a spectrophotometer. The constant 7.8 was used to calculate the TBA number using Eq. (1).

\[
\text{TBA value} = 7.8 \times \text{Abs}_{538}. \tag{1}
\]

**Determination of Free Fatty Acid (FFA)**

The free fatty acid content was determined in the lipid extract by Woyewoda’s method according to the Eq. (2). Results were expressed in % of oleic acid [35]:

\[
FFA = \frac{N \times (V_2 - V_1) \times 2.82}{W}, \tag{2}
\]

where N = normality of NaOH, V_2 = mL NaOH, V_1 = mL NaOH for blank, W = weight (g) of lipid.

**Color Measurements**

A Minolta Chroma Meter CR400 (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) was used for color measurements. Colors were expressed as CIELab coordinates. In this system, L* represents the color lightness on a 0–100 point scale from black to white; a* is the position between red (+) and green (−); and b* is the position between yellow (+) and blue (−). The color intensity is expressed by a chroma value (C_{ab}), while hue (H_{ab}) corresponds to the name of the color as found in its pure state on the spectrum. These values were calculated according to the formulae:

\[
C_{ab} = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}, \quad H_{ab} = \arctan(b^*/a^*).
\]

**Statistical Analysis**

All measurements were replicated three times for each lot and mean values ± standard error were reported for each case. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Duncan’s test, with confidence intervals set for a level of significance of P < 0.05 on SPSS to evaluate the significance of differences among mean values.

**Results and Discussion**

**Bacteriological Analysis**

The initial total viable counts (TVC) and psychrotrophic counts (PTC) bacteria of control sample were found to be 2.8 \log_{10} CFU/g and 3.93 \log_{10} CFU/g, respectively, indicating a high quality of the fish fillet [31]. TVC and PTC values in all the treated samples with chitosan (coating and film) and VP did not exceed the maximal permissible limit at day 9 of the storage trial (Fig. 1a, b) while that of PTC did not achieve this count to the end of 12 days storage time in FV samples. A microbiological acceptability limit is 7 log CFU/g for fresh water and marine species that is fit for human consumption [12]. The coated and wrapped samples prior to VP had the minimum initial amount of bacteria, might be attributed to the immediate antimicrobial effect of chitosan [13, 15, 21, 23]. Chitosan might show synergistics effect with VP on inhibition of total viable counts and psychrophilic bacteria counts in Lethrinus nebulosus.

The antimicrobial effect of chitosan has been ascribed to the presence of the positively charged on the NH₃⁺ group of glucosamine monomer in chitosan molecules which interact with negatively charged macromolecules on the microbial cell surface, leading to the leakage of intracellular constituents of the microorganisms, moreover, the mechanism of action of chitosan appears to be related to disruption of the lipopolysaccharide layer of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria [23], also to its function as a barrier against oxygen transfer [13]. This result was in agreement with Günlü and Koyun [10] who reported that sea bass treated with chitosan stored under VP had the lowest mesophilic aerobic bacteria, compared with the control and VP during refrigerated storage. Tsiligianni et al. [33] observed that samples treated with chitosan and
stored under VP reduced microbial growth on swordfish. This result suggested that chitosan coated and wrapped prior to VP decreased the microbial count of the FV and CV during refrigerated storage.

**Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVB-N)**

Figure 2 showed the variation of TVB-N value of Spangled emperor during the storage. The initial TVB-N varied from 17/20 mg N/100 g to 24.66 mg N/100 g. The TVB-N level increased gradually along with the time of storage in all samples (P < 0.05), but the increasing rate varied with treatments. TVB-N usually include calculation of trimethylamine, dimethylamine, ammonia and other volatile bases, which impart characteristic off-flavors to fish [9]. TVB-N is products of bacterial spoilage such as *S. putrefaciens* and *P. phosphoreum*, autolytic enzymes and endogenous enzymes, which used as index to assess the keeping quality and shelf life of seafood products [5].

A level of 25 mg N/100 g muscle has been considered the highest acceptable level [14] and above 30–35 mg N/100 g muscle indicate that fish is decomposed and inedible [4]. TVB-N level of CV was less 35 mg N/100 g muscle, indicating that the fillets of fish maintained at a good quality during storage. From the result, it was found that using a coating of chitosan prior to VP resulted in a more rapidly reduced bacteria population or decreased capacity of bacteria or both [33]. At the end of the storage, TVB-N value of control was more than the others (P < 0.05). TVB-N value of FV was higher than CV. It can be concluded, coating is more effective than film in controlling TVB-N of fillets. These effects may be attributed to the inhibitory activity on microbial growth exerted by chitosan. Edible coatings act as antimicrobial materials and affect the TVB-N value. [8, 21, 23, 34] have announced that chitosan antimicrobial properties of coating solution is more than film structure, due to further migration of antimicrobial agents. The longer storage period of chitosan treated samples compared to untreated samples may has been due to a lower microbial counts which breakdown compounds like trimethylamine oxide (TMAO), peptides, amino acids, etc. [11], resulted in a decrease in the basic nitrogen fraction [18]. For the result, chitosan coated samples prior to VP appeared to be the most effective in TVB-N during storage.

**pH**

Changes in pH of *Lethrinus nebulosus* muscle during storage are presented in Fig. 3. The initial pH of fish samples was found between 6.96 and 7.21. During the storage time, the pH values increased gradually, presumably due to accumulation of basic compounds generated from both autolytic processed by endogenous enzymes and microbial enzymatic actions [20], although it could also be associated with the increase in bacterial counts especially psychrophilic bacterial counts. pH values of VP and/or chitosan treated (VP, CV and FV) samples were lower than control, due to its property of inhibiting the growth of bacteria, yeasts and moulds [29]. Furthermore, chitosan pretreatment prior to VP could minimize the microbial growth.
TMA values of fish stored in refrigerator are presented in Fig. 4. The initial TMA value of control samples was 7.25 mg N/100 g sample which increased up to 16.27 mg N/100 g sample at the end of the storage period. The present study revealed that TMA value of control Spangled emperor fillets increased during storage but chitosan-coated and chitosan-film prior to VP of Spangled emperor fillet retarded the decomposition of trimethylamine N-oxide caused by bacterial spoilage and enzymatic activity. This reduction in TMA production when using chitosan-coated and chitosan-film samples in fish has also been reported by [10, 33]. Acceptability limit of TMA for various fish species are different: sea bass (5 mg N/100 g) [16]; sardines (5–10 mg N/100 g) [22]; hake (12 mg N/100 g) and 10–15 mg N/100 g as a general limit for fish [3]. Such variations in the limit values of fish may be related to the fish species, season, initial bacterial count and storage conditions [3].

**Thiobarbituric Acid (TBA)**

The TBA index has been widely used as an indicator of degree of lipid oxidation. TBA values of fish stored in refrigerator are presented in Fig. 5. At 0 day, TBA value of all samples was found between 0.29 and 0.55 mg malonaldehyde/kg muscle. TBA value of all samples increased when the storage time increased (P < 0.05). The increase in TBA during storage may be attributed to the partial dehydration of fish and interacting lipids with air oxygen [14]. There is an increase in TBA values of CV and FV on day 6 and again a dip on day 9. The decline in TBA values was probably due to the reaction of malonaldehyde with various other constituents of muscle. There was no significant difference between control and treatments. This result suggested that oxidation of lipid in fish samples could be minimized by the use of chitosan probably due to the antioxidant activity as well as its low oxygen permeability characteristic of chitosan. It is reported that the antioxidant mechanism of chitosan could be by chelate action of ion metals and/or the combination with lipids of meat during storage [15]. Furthermore, chitosan coating and film have been known to be good barriers to oxygen permeation [28]. In addition, using a combination of chitosan and VP can reduce the degree of lipid oxidation in fish tissue.

TBA value of 5 mg malonaldehyde/kg muscle is an acceptable limit, while the fish may be consumed up to the level of 8 mg malonaldehyde/kg [27]. In the current study,
TBA value for control, VP, FV and CV was 3.07, 2.02, 1.22 and 2.21 mg malonaldehyde/kg sample, respectively, at the end of the storage. This results indicated that chitosan had important role in samples stored under VP.

Free Fatty Acid (FFA)

Both the primary and secondary oxidation products have been assessed to consider the complexity of the lipid oxidation process. The initial FFA value was from 0.95 to 1.56% of oleic acid (Fig. 6). A gradual increase in FFA formation in all samples was observed due to hydrolysis of phospholipids and triglycerides [25]. FFA value of control samples was higher than treated samples, significantly (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference between coating and film in reducing FFA of fillets. As it was concluded from TBA values, chitosan coatings and films protect Spangled emperor fillets so would reduce production of free fatty acids. [25] was shown that FFA are known to undergo further oxidation to produce low molecular weight compounds that are responsible for off-flavor and undesirable taste of fish and fish products. This study showed that VP can reduce FFA content in samples treated with chitosan.

Color

The effect of chitosan and vacuum packaging on the changes of color of Lethrinus nebulosus during refrigerated storage are shown in Fig. 7. The appearance of food
products is an important parameter to consumer, both from the point of view of acceptability and preference. Surface color is influenced by both muscle structure characteristics and pigment concentrations [7]. Color values including lightness (L*) coordinate, redness (a*) value and blueness (b*) value of control and sample stored under VP with and without chitosan pretreatment during storage 4 °C are shown in Fig. 7. The a* and L* value of all samples gradually decreased during the refrigerated storage. On the other hand, b* values of *Lethrinus nebulosus* fillets increased as storage time increased (P < 0.05), reflecting an evolution toward grey–blue tones. The fillets treated with chitosan film had significantly higher blueness (b*) value than fillets treated with chitosan coating. Coating did not affect color redness (a*), lightness (L*), chroma (color intensity) (C_{ab}) and hue values of Spangled emperor fillets. The application of a coating or film during storage barely altered the lightness and a* values (P > 0.05) of the Spangled emperor. Chroma (color intensity) (C_{ab}) and hue values decreased with time in storage, indicating a reduction in color intensity. Color loss in fish fillets during storage might be attributed to the lipid oxidation, oxidation of proteins with haemo groups (haemoglobin and myoglobin), non-enzymatic browning reactions between lipid oxidation products and the amine groups in proteins, and microbial spoilage [32]. The greatest rate of decrease in a* value was found in control sample. The highest increase in L* and b* values was also observed in control sample. From the result, degree of changes in color caused by VP could be lowered with chitosan pretreatment, especially coating fillets in chitosan of *Lethrinus nebulosus*. In the present experiment, however, the better color might have resulted from the well-known antioxidant property of chitosan. No previous study was encountered about the color changes of Spangled emperor fillets containing chitosan during refrigerated storage.

**Conclusion**

Combination of vacuum packaging and chitosan treated samples effectively retarded the TVB-N and TMA values and inhibited the growth of total viable count and psychrotrophic count bacteria during refrigerated storage. Therefore, to extend the shelf life and delay the deterioration of fresh Spangled emperor fillets during refrigerated storage, chitosan coating prior to vacuum packaging is more appropriate. These coatings and films also showed antioxidant effect, since TBA and FFA values was lower than control samples at the end of the storage. There was no significant difference between coating and film in reducing TBA of fillets and bacterial contamination. Therefore, chitosan coating and film prior to vacuum packaging provides a type of active packaging that can be utilized as a safe preservative for fish under refrigerated storage.
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