Comparison of Emotional Intelligence Levels and Problem Solving Skills of Prospective Teachers According to Different Variables
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Abstract
IQ is considered as a true criterion of intelligence while emotional intelligence is considered as a decisive in order to be happy and successful in life. It is of interest to the educational system that emotional intelligence can be developed at the same time. Emotional intelligence gained in the family will help to improve the school life, overcome the obstacles that people will encounter in their lives and solve the problems. In this study, emotional intelligence levels and problem solving skills of the prospective teachers were examined according to different variables. In this study, the cross sectional survey design was used to investigate the research questions with 1033 prospective teachers, 813 of whom were women and 220 were men, who agreed to participate in the study. The study group was chosen from the students of education faculty of the public university located near the Black Sea region of Turkey. As a means of collecting data, the Bar-On Emotional Intelligence Scale, the Problem Solving Scale, and the Personal Information Form were used to obtain data from the participants. As a result of the study, the problem solving skills of prospective teachers don’t differ according to gender and the class level; It was also found that emotional intelligence did not differ according to gender and the class level, but it had a significant difference according to age and department variables. In line with these results, in order to educate teachers with high level of emotional intelligence and problem solving skills, attention should be paid to the emotional characteristics of the teacher candidates. The change of emotional intelligence with different factors should be examined in follow up studies.
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1. Introduction
Having a high level of IQ as the most important condition of human life success has lost its importance in the 1990s with the emergence of the concept of emotional intelligence. People’s ability to recognize, understand and manage their emotions are important to be happy and successful. However, one of the important points of education is that students can express themselves, manage their emotions and solve their problems correctly. At this point, the leadership of teachers is very important. It is thought that teachers who have high level of emotional intelligence and problem solving skills will make a significant contribution to gaining this behavior to their students. Based on this research, both emotional intelligence levels and problem solving skills of teacher candidates were examined based on different variables.

According to Özdemir (2015), it is easier for human beings to adapt to the environment by adding reason and willpower to being a social being. Together with cognitive intelligence, emotions have an important place in the process of adaptation and survival. Emotions have an important role in life interpretation and value-added, decision-making and implementation processes. It is based on the ability of one to realize his emotions, to direct his emotions, to understand other people’s feelings, to transfer himself/herself to his/her emotions and to have social skills to express one’s feelings in the right place and time (Cingisiz & Murat, 2010). The important thing is not to have these emotions, but to control and control the emotional reactions that occur in the direction of these emotions, including emotional intelligence skills (Karaca, 2014). While the concept of emotional intelligence was first introduced to the work life, it became a center of interest for academics. The inclusion of the concept of emotional intelligence in the field of education has gained importance with the success of some individuals who have succeeded in academic
achievement tests in real life (Durdu & Şahin, 2017).

To date, many studies have been carried out in the field literature on emotion, emotional intelligence and problem solving. It can be said that the concept of emotion is both effective in directing human behaviors as well as in every aspect of social life and it has a significant share in the problems that may occur in interpersonal relations and solution of these problems (Güçlü, 2003). Bar-On (2005), in his study, found that older people had higher levels of emotional intelligence than young people, women were more successful in understanding feelings than men and men were more successful in controlling their feelings than women. In addition, Özmen (2008) reported that the emotional intelligence levels of primary school teachers did not differ according to the department and gender; as age and seniority increase, emotional intelligence has increased, meaning that emotional intelligence varies according to age and seniority.

Erdoğan (2008) examined the relationship between emotional intelligence scores and some socio-demographic characteristics of university students. At the end of the study, it was observed that the emotional intelligence levels of the female students were significantly higher than the male students and the emotional intelligence levels of the outward-oriented students were higher than the inward-oriented ones. According to the democratic attitude of the mother and father and the departments they read, emotional intelligence was significantly differentiated and these variables supported the development of emotional intelligence. Babelan and Moenikia (2010) in their study showed that emotional intelligence and dimensions affect students' academic achievement statistically significantly. In another study, Pilis and Özbaş (2014) compared the emotional intelligence skills of the school administrators in terms of some variables according to their teachers' perceptions. As a result of the study, they stated that emotional intelligence did not change according to gender and changed according to teaching level. Durdu and Şahin (2017) examined the perceptions of the teachers working in the secondary school on their emotional intelligence in terms of some variables. According to the results of the study, the perception of emotional intelligence differed according to gender, age, duration of service and branches.

Emotional intelligence is considered to be an important factor in the fact that human being and others are aware of their feelings, being a healthy and happy individual in social life and finding a healthy way to solve problems. From this point of view, individuals with good emotional intelligence levels are expected to be able to communicate well with their surroundings, to fight without giving up in the face of problems and to find different and effective solutions. Emotional intelligence (EQ) can be taught and developed at all levels of life. In particular, the development of emotional intelligence increases the importance of education and training environments.

The process of developing problem solving skills requires different skills. The development of this skill is very important in the progress and comfort of humanity. Personal problem solving skills need to be developed. Because human beings have to act according to their own views in the face of difficulties (Akpmar, 2014). Problem solving can be explained as the process of reaching a solution by defeating the difficulties encountered in reaching a goal by using knowledge and adding creativity, originality or imagination (Güçlü, 2003). People with problem solving skills; innovative, responsible, flexible, courageous, adventurous, different thinker, self-confident, logical, objective, comfortable, emotional, energetic, effective, creative and producer has a structure. These characteristics are important for the success of one's life (Germi, 2006).

It is very important that teachers can solve the problems in the school environment. Teachers' perspective on problems, being able to replace oneself, to find fast and positive solutions to problems are very important in terms of society and family life. Teachers with these skills can provide a positive psychological environment. It is decisive that the person has the ability of problem solving in his struggle for life. Teachers who have high skill in contributing to problem solving skills contribute more. It can be said that the people who have this skill in the development of society will make a significant contribution. The fact that this can occur depends on the ability of individuals to fight effectively with the problems they face (Güçlü, 2003).

One of the biggest problems in schools is that children cannot solve the problem they are experiencing themselves or try. Even with the smallest problem, they are waiting for the support of the teacher for the solution and they are enjoying the solutions of the problems they face. Instead of solving the problems of children who will have to struggle on their own with many problems they will face in the future, it is very important to support them, motivate them and prepare them for life by teaching the stages of problem solving (Arkan, 2011). The aim of this study is to investigate the emotional intelligence levels and problem solving skills of pre-service teachers in terms of different variables.

According to Mayer and Salovey (1990), emotional intelligence is a sub-factor of social intelligence, which is associated with the ability of a person to be able to follow his/her own and others' feelings and feelings, to be able to distinguish between them and to be able to use the knowledge, behaviors and thoughts that s/he acquired in this process. Emotional intelligence can be described as the ability to manage emotions in a person's private life and in interpersonal
relationships. Therefore, it is thought that individuals with advanced emotional intelligence can cope with obstacles and problems encountered in personal and social life and find different solutions and have more healthy and successful personal competences. One of the most important roles in the individual being an individual and coping with the environment is problem solving skills. Developing problem solving skills has a very important place in terms of human development and peacefulness (Güçlü, 2003). In all stages of education, the development of emotional intelligence and problem-solving skills is important in every period from kindergarten to higher education. For these reasons, this study will help teachers who have an important role in education process to transfer these skills to education process. Thus, it is thought that healthy and successful individuals will contribute to raising and solving social problems. The aim of this study is to determine whether teacher candidates' emotional intelligence levels and problem solving skills differ in terms of various variables and to support the results obtained with theoretical approaches. Do the emotional intelligence levels and problem solving skills of teacher candidates differ according to some variables? The question was investigated. The following sub-questions were formed to reveal the main question of the study.

1. Do students' problem-solving skills, emotional intelligence levels and sub-factors differ according to gender? 
2. Do students' problem-solving skills and emotional intelligence vary according to age? 
3. Do students' problem solving skills and emotional intelligence levels differ according to the department? 
4. Do the problem solving skills and emotional intelligence levels of students differ according to grade level?

2. Method
In this study, a cross sectional survey design was used to investigate the research questions. The cross-sectional study is used to investigate the current attitudes, beliefs, opinions, or practices. (Creswell, 2002).

2.1 Study Population
The study was carried out with the participation of 1033 pre-service teachers studying in the education faculty of the state university in Black Sea region of Turkey during the 2017-2018 academic year. Demographic information of the study group are given in Table 1.

| Table 1. Demographic Information |
|-----------------------------------|
| Variables | Group | n | % |
| Gender | Female | 813 | 78,7 |
| | Male | 220 | 21,3 |
| | Total | 1033 | 100,0 |
| Age | Group | n | % |
| 17-20 | 427 | 41,3 |
| 21-24 | 556 | 53,8 |
| 25-28 | 31 | 3,0 |
| 29+ | 19 | 1,8 |
| Total | 1033 | 100,0 |
| Departments | Group | n | % |
| Department of Primary Education | 223 | 22,6 |
| Department of Preschool Education | 178 | 17,2 |
| Department of Science Education | 88 | 8,5 |
| Turkish Language Teaching Department | 159 | 15,4 |
| Department of Social Studies Teaching | 97 | 9,4 |
| Dept. of Elementary Mathematics Education | 102 | 9,9 |
| Psychological Counseling and Guidance Deprt. | 176 | 17 |
| Total | 1033 | 100,0 |
| Class | Group | n | % |
| 1st grade | 295 | 28,6 |
| 2nd grade | 257 | 24,9 |
| 3rd grade | 255 | 24,7 |
| 4th grade | 226 | 21,9 |
| Total | 1033 | 100,0 |
2.2 Data Collection Tools
The data related to the study were obtained by means of the Bar-On Emotional Intelligence Scale. A personal information form was used to determine the gender, age, department and class level of the students. In order to determine the levels of emotional intelligence, Bar-On Emotional Intelligence Scale developed by Reuven Bar-On in 1997 and adapted to Turkish by Acar (2001) was used. The scale consists of five dimensions. These dimensions; personal skills, interpersonal skills, compliance, coping with stress and general mood. Cronbach Alpha coefficient of the whole scale was found to be 0.92. Problem Solving skills were determined by the problem solving scale developed by Güler (2006). In order to determine the reliability of the scale, Cronbach Alpha coefficient was examined and found to be 0.90.

2.3 Data Analysis
Data were analyzed using the SPSS for Windows 20.0 program. When Table 2 is examined, according to Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test, the data of all scale scores and sub-factors did not show normal distribution (p <0.05). According to this, non-parametric tests were used in the analysis. Descriptive statistical methods (frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation) were used to evaluate the data. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the quantitative data and Kruskal Wallis H test was used to compare the parameters between groups.

Table 2. Normality Test

| Factor                          | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistic | Df | Sig. |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|------|
| Problem Solving Scale          | ,042                          | 1033 | 0,000 |
| Emotional intelligence         | ,029                          | 1033 | 0,034 |
| 1-Personal Skills              | ,039                          | 1033 | 0,001 |
| 2-Interpersonal                | ,070                          | 1033 | 0,000 |
| 3-Compliant                    | ,043                          | 1033 | 0,000 |
| 4-Coping with Stress           | ,055                          | 1033 | 0,000 |
| 5-General Mood Status          | 0,65                          | 1033 | 0,000 |

3. Findings and Discussion
3.1 Comparing Problem Solving Skills, Its Sub-Factors and Emotional Intelligence Levels According To Gender Variable
In the first research question whether the problem solving skills, emotional intelligence and its sub-factors change based on the gender is investigated.

Table 3. Comparison of the Factors and Sub-Factors by the Gender

| Factors and sub-factors        | Gender    | n    | Mean Rank | SD  | U    | p   |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|-----|------|-----|
| Problem Solving Skills         | Female    | 813  | 516,70    | 1   | 89185,5 | 0,95 |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 518,11    |     |      |     |
| Emotional Intelligence         | Female    | 813  | 519,02    | 1   | 87785,0 | 0,675 |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 509,52    |     |      |     |
| Personal Skills                | Female    | 813  | 520,72    | 1   | 86409,0 | 0,441 |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 503,27    |     |      |     |
| Interpersonal relationships    | Female    | 813  | 541,19    | 1   | 69765,0 | 0,9*  |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 427,61    |     |      |     |
| Compliant                      | Female    | 813  | 513,63    | 1   | 86687,5 | 0,484 |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 529,47    |     |      |     |
| Coping with Stress             | Female    | 813  | 498,81    | 1   | 86687,5 | 0,9*  |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 584,23    |     |      |     |
| General Mood Status            | Female    | 813  | 522,31    | 1   | 85111,5 | 0,271 |
|                                | Male      | 220  | 497,37    |     |      |     |

When the results of Table 3 are examined, the difference between the arithmetic means of the male and female students was not statistically significant. According to the findings, it can be said that the problem solving skills did not change.
according to gender (U = 89185, 5; p> 0.05). When the results of Table 3 are examined, the difference between the arithmetic mean of female and male students was not statistically significant. According to the findings, it can be said that emotional intelligence skills do not change according to gender (U = 87785, 0; p> 0.05).

3.2 Comparing Problem Solving Skills and Emotional Intelligence Levels According to Age Variable

In the second research question, whether the problem solving skills and emotional intelligence change based on the age variables is investigated.

### Table 4. Comparison of the Factors by the Age Variable

| Factors                  | Age     | n     | X    | SD  | X²  | p       | Fark Puanlar |
|--------------------------|---------|-------|------|-----|-----|---------|--------------|
| Problem Solving Skills   | 17-20 (A) | 427   | 478.29 | 1   | 26,059 | 0,0 | B-A         |
|                          | 21-24 (B) | 556   | 531,88 |     |      |       | C-A         |
|                          | 25-28 (C) | 31    | 633,71 |     |      |       | D-A         |
|                          | 29+ (D)   | 19    | 761,16 |     |      |       | D-B         |
| Emotional Intelligence   | 17-20 (A) | 427   | 493,76 | 3   | 15,795 | 0,001 | D-A         |
|                          | 21-24 (B) | 556   | 524,52 |     |      |       | D-B         |
|                          | 25-28 (C) | 31    | 554,98 |     |      |       | D-C         |
|                          | 29+ (D)   | 19    | 757,50 |     |      |       |            |

Table 4 shows that: According to the results of the Kruskal-Wallis H Test conducted in order to determine whether the problem solving skill scores of the students participating in the study showed a significant difference according to the age variable; problem solving skills vary according to age ($X^2 = 26,059$, p <0.05). Accordingly, the problem solving skills of 17-20 year old students are lower than the other age groups. In addition, the problem solving skills of 29 years old and 21-24 years old students are compared; A statistically significant difference was found in favor of over 29 years. When the Table 4 is examined; According to the results of the Kruskal-Wallis H Test conducted to determine whether the emotional intelligence level scores of the students participating in the study showed a significant difference according to the age variable; emotional intelligence levels differ according to age ($X^2 = 15,795$, p <0.05). According to this, the emotional intelligence level of the 29+ group students is higher than the other age group students.

3.3 Comparing Problem Solving Skills and Emotional Intelligence Levels According To Department Variable

In the third research question, whether the problem solving skills and emotional intelligence change based on the department is investigated.

### Table 5. Comparison of the Factors by the Department Variable

| Factors                  | Department                  | n     | X    | SD  | X²  | p       | Difference |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----|-----|---------|------------|
| Problem Solving          | (1) Primary School          | 233   | 524,83 | 6   | 37,990 | 0,00     | 1-7,       |
|                          | (2) Preschool               | 178   | 602,29 |     |      |         | 2-1, 2-4, 2-5, |
|                          | (3) Science                 | 88    | 593,70 |     |      |         | 2-6, 2-7,  |
|                          | (4) Turkish Language        | 159   | 488,45 |     |      |         | 3-4, 3-6, 3-7 |
|                          | (5) Social Studies          | 97    | 523,02 |     |      |         | 5-7        |
|                          | (6) Mathematics Teaching    | 102   | 453,13 |     |      |         |            |
|                          | (7) Guidance and Psyc. Coun | 76    | 441,51 |     |      |         |            |
| Emotional Intelligence   | (1) Primary School          | 233   | 524,11 | 6   | 20,027 | 0,003    | 2-1, 2-4, 2-5, |
|                          | (2) Preschool               | 178   | 595,85 |     |      |         | 2-6, 2-7  |
|                          | (3) Science                 | 88    | 533,82 |     |      |         |            |
|                          | (4) Turkish Language        | 159   | 494,64 |     |      |         |            |
|                          | (5) Social Studies          | 97    | 485,74 |     |      |         |            |
|                          | (6) Mathematics Teaching    | 102   | 459,36 |     |      |         |            |
|                          | (7) Guidance and Psyc. Coun | 76    | 490,26 |     |      |         |            |

Table 5 shows that; According to the results of Kruskal-Wallis H Test conducted to determine whether the problem solving skill scores of the students participating in the research showed a significant difference according to the department variable; problem solving skills vary according to the department ($X^2 = 37.990$, p <0.05). The problem
solving skills of primary school students are higher than the students of psychological counseling and guidance department. Again, the problem solving skills of Preschool Teaching department students are raised more than the students of Science Education Department. Moreover, the problem solving skills of Science Education department students are higher than Turkish Language, Mathematics Teaching departments and Guidance and Psychological Counselling department students. Finally, the problem solving skills of the students of Social Studies Education Department are higher than the students of Guidance and Psychological Counselling department.

Table 5 shows that according to the results of the Kruskal-wallis H Test conducted in order to determine whether the scores of emotional intelligence scores of the students participating in the study showed a significant difference according to the department variable; Emotional intelligence levels differ according to the section ($X^2 = 20,027$, $p < 0.05$). According to this, the emotional intelligence levels of Pre-School Teacher students are higher than the students in other departments except for Science Education Department students.

3.4 Comparing Problem Solving Skills and Emotional Intelligence Levels According To Grade Level Variable

In the fourth research question, whether the problem solving skills and emotional intelligence change based on the grade level is investigated.

| Factors        | Grade level | n     | $\bar{x}$ | SD  | $X^2$ | p     |
|----------------|-------------|-------|-----------|-----|-------|-------|
| Problem Solving| 1st grade   | 295   | 497,46    | 3   | 6,04  | 0,094 |
|                | 2nd grade   | 257   | 492,51    |     |       |       |
|                | 3rd grade   | 255   | 541,57    |     |       |       |
|                | 4th grade   | 226   | 542,64    |     |       |       |
| Emotional      | 1st grade   | 295   | 517,72    | 3   | 4,562 | 0,207 |
|                | 2nd grade   | 257   | 485,88    |     |       |       |
|                | 3rd grade   | 255   | 525,64    |     |       |       |
|                | 4th grade   | 226   | 541,71    |     |       |       |

According to the results of the Kruskal-wallis H Test conducted in order to determine whether the problem solving skill scores of the students participating in the study showed a significant difference according to the class level variable; problem-solving skills do not differ according to age ($X^2 = 6,04$, $p> 0.05$) (Table 6). According to the results of the Kruskal-wallis H Test conducted to determine whether the scores of emotional intelligence scores of the students participating in the study showed a significant difference according to the class level variable; Emotional intelligence levels do not differ according to class level ($X^2 = 4,562$, $p> 0.05$) (Table 6).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this section, the findings of teacher candidates’ emotional intelligence levels and problem solving skills are discussed. In this study, it was observed that teacher candidates’ problem solving skills did not differ significantly in terms of gender. There are also studies that do not support these results as well as supporting studies. Hatay Polat and Tümkaya (2010) found that problem solving skills differed significantly in favor of female students. Heppner and Petersen (1982) found that men are more aware of problem-solving processes than women as a result of their study of personal differences related to problem solving skills. Bülut, Serin and Derin (2008), Cenkseven and Akar Vural (2006) observed that in their studies, the problem-solving skill according to gender differs in favor of women. Similarly, Ülger (2003), Güler (2006), Tümkaya and İflazoğlu (2000), Başar (2011), Elkin and Karadağlı (2015), Özkütük et al. (2003), Bącucu and Kinay (2013), Saracaloglu, Yenice and Karasakaloglu (2009) showed that the problem solving skills according to gender did not differ in their studies. The majority of studies examining whether the problem solving skills differ according to gender variable did not find any difference. In addition to this, it is necessary to carry out meta-analysis studies in order to examine the change of problem-solving skills in terms of gender, given that there are studies in favor of female students or in favor of male students.

No significant difference was found between gender and emotional intelligence. In the study conducted in favor of women in terms of gender interpersonal skills of prospective teachers; In terms of coping with stress, it was observed that there was a significant difference in favor of men. In general scoring, although the emotional intelligence levels of
women were higher than the emotional intelligence level of men, this difference was not significant. Doğan (2009) found that the level of emotional intelligence only showed a significant difference in favor of males in the dimension of stress management. In 2008, Noland's study showed that the scores of men were higher than women's scores in stress management factor. According to these results, male students cannot be considered as despair, who do not lose their control, can cope with stress, are cold-blooded, and work better under pressure. This difference can be caused by personal or sexual identity and environmental factors, ie peer group factors (Doğan, 2009). Pılis and Özbaş (2014) emphasized that emotional intelligence does not differ according to gender, and that both gender and emotional intelligence levels are generally similar. Ekici and Tıtrek (2011), Gülər (2006), Tunca (2010), Delikoyun (2017), Babağlağan (2010), Deniz and Yılmaz (2005) are the studies that are parallel to the study. Durdu and Şahin (2017) found that emotional intelligence differed significantly in favor of women according to gender variable. According to researchers, women's emotional intelligence levels are often higher than men's emotional intelligence levels. According to the researchers, women are more successful than men in reading emotions. İkiz and Görmez (2010), Erdoğan (2008), Bender (2006), Göçet (2006), Taşlıyan, Mum and Harbaloğlu (2014), Üzel and Hangıl (2011), Özmen (2008), Mayer, Caruso, and Salovey (1999) observed significant differences in favor of women in their studies.

When the studies investigating whether the level of emotional intelligence differ according to the gender variable, the majority of the studies have found a difference especially in favor of women. If we look at the way in which women and men grow up in our society, we can observe that women cannot and cannot fully experience certain emotions. In this study, although the difference between women and men is higher, it can be caused by variable group. A significant difference was found between age variable and problem solving skills. It was observed that the students' problem solving skills differed significantly in terms of age. Accordingly, the problem solving skills of 17-20 year old students are lower than the other age groups. In addition, a statistically significant difference was found in favor of the students over the age of 29 in comparing the problem solving skills of students aged between 29 and 21-24. Pakaslahtı et al. (2002) found that the younger age group used problem solving skills more effectively (Yıldırım et al., 2011). Korkut (2002) found that problem solving skills were significantly different in favor of the younger group. Yerli (2009) found that problem solving skills on different age groups did not differ significantly, and that managers of different ages perceived problem-solving skills on an equal level. Özkütük et al. (2003), Basar (2011), Elkin and Karadagli (2015), Yılmaz et al. When the studies examining the differentiation of the problem solving skills according to the age variable, it is observed that there are studies in favor of both the younger group and the older age group, but most of the studies show that the problem-solving skills according to age do not differ.

A significant difference was found between age variable and emotional intelligence level. Emotional intelligence levels of the students differed significantly in terms of age. Multi Health System Inc. In a study conducted on 3830 people in America, it has been emphasized that as people age, emotional intelligence increases and cognitive intelligence decreases as they age (Tunca, 2010). Özmen (2008) found differences in emotion management, emotion motivation, empathy, social skills sub-factors and total emotional intelligence scores. According to this difference, as the age increases, the level of emotional intelligence is increasing. Similarly, Gülər (2006), Tunca (2010), Sevindik, Uncu and Dağ (2012), Kızıl (2014) are the other studies in which the difference is observed. Yılmaz and Sahın (2001)'s studies showed that emotional intelligence did not differ according to age. Similarly, the studies conducted by Yerli (2009), Karaca (2014), Delikoyun (2017), and Özcan (2012) are the studies in which no significant differentiation was observed in the levels of emotional intelligence in terms of age. Stein and Book (2003), teachers, counselors, health experts, as a result of their work on people from various branches, using all proven methods of cognitive and behavioral therapy and psycho-dynamic theory by showing that the degree of emotional intelligence can be increased by supporting. As a result, when individuals are educated by scientific techniques, emotional intelligence levels increase (Güler, 2006).

The number of studies with differentiation has been found more frequently in studies investigating whether the level of emotional intelligence varies according to the age variable. According to the results of the research, the level of emotional intelligence increases with the experience gained as the age progresses. Scientists have stated that 50% of their emotional intelligence skills are learnable even if they are born, that everyone can learn emotional skills regardless of their innate abilities (Güler, 2006). It was observed that the problem solving skills of the students differed significantly in terms of the department. It was found out that the problem solving skills of Pre-School Teaching students were higher than the other departments except Science Education. Genç and Kalafat (2007) found that problem solving skills differed significantly according to the department variable. It was observed that the pre-service teachers in the Department of Primary School Education differed significantly from the pre-service teachers in Turkish, Science and English Language Teaching departments. This difference may arise from the elective and compulsory courses in the program, the development of problem-solving skills of some courses. Because the education of
individuals can make the problem solving processes easier and more effective. Demirtaş and Dömmez (2008) observed that problem solving skills did not differ significantly according to the department variable. Similarly, Özkütük et al. (2003), BAğçı and Kınıy (2013), Elkin and Karadağ (2015) observed that their problem solving skills did not differ significantly according to the department. According to the studies examining the differentiation of problem solving skills according to the department variable, the majority of the studies did not differ according to the department. In this study, the difference in favor of pre-school teaching may be due to the elective and compulsory courses in this section, the development of problem-solving skills of the content of these courses or the more conscious selection of the teacher candidates.

A significant difference was found between the department variable and the emotional intelligence level. In the study, it was observed that the emotional intelligence levels of the students differed significantly in terms of the department. It has been observed that the level of emotional intelligence of pre-school teacher students is higher than other departments except Science Education. Göçet (2006) found in his study that Turkish Language Teaching, Social Studies Education, and Classroom Teaching students showed significant differences with respect to digital weighted sections. Kızıl (2014) observed in his study that the level of emotional intelligence of Classroom Teachers is higher than the level of emotional intelligence of Science and Mathematical Science Teachers. Özmen (2008) stated that the level of emotional intelligence of Class Teachers is generally higher than other teachers. Therefore, it is possible for Class Teachers to communicate more closely with the student and his / her family and to get to know the student more closely. Again, Kırınçoğlu, Yeniad and Çoban (2014), Erdöğdu (2008) found that emotional intelligence levels differ significantly according to the section. Özdemir (2015) found that students' emotional intelligence levels did not differ significantly according to departments. Kaymak (2013), Gürol (2008)'s studies in the level of emotional intelligence levels did not differ significantly according to the department. When we look at the studies examining whether the level of emotional intelligence differs according to the department variable, the majority of them have found a difference.

Considering the studies on the differentiation between the sections, there are studies in which there are differentiation especially in favor of verbal-weighted sections. It is a very important issue for the people to progress in the field of education to take into consideration their abilities while choosing the department and to educate the qualified people. However, the absence of differences between departments may be due to similar content or high levels of emotional intelligence among prospective teachers (Özdemir, 2015). In our study, the difference in favor of pre-school teacher candidates may have resulted from the fact that pre-service teachers chose more informed choices. There was no significant difference between grade level variable and problem solving skills. It was observed that the students' problem solving skills did not differ significantly in terms of grade level. Elkin and Karadağlı (2015), Başar (2011), Akin et al., (2007), Tezel et al. (2009), Yılmaz et al. (2009) found similar results to the study. Genç and Kalafat (2007) found a significant difference in favor of 3rd grade pre-service teachers in their study. Tümkaya and İflazoğlu (2000) found that problem solving skills differed significantly in favor of grade 4 according to grade level variable in their study on classroom teaching students. Hatay Polat and Tümkaya (2010) found that problem solving skills differed significantly in favor of grade 4 according to grade level variable in the study they conducted on their class teacher candidates. The majority of the studies examining whether the problem solving skills differ according to the grade level variable have not been found to differ as in this study. When we look at the studies that differ according to the grade level, this difference can be explained to the students who are new to the university; problems in academic, social, personal, emotional and relations with the opposite sex can be shown. In the new life sphere, students can face many problems and this requires students to take responsibility for their lives in a short period of time. As senior students now go beyond the adaptation problem, the results in their favor may be meaningful.

No significant difference was found between the level of the class level and the emotional intelligence level. It was observed that the level of students' emotional intelligence did not differ significantly in terms of grade level. Üzel and Hangül (2011) found that the level of emotional intelligence did not differ significantly according to grade level. Sevindik, Ucnu and Dág (2012)'s study in a similar way is not found in the study. Özdemir (2015), Kaymak (2013), Deniz and Yılmaz (2005)'s studies are similar studies in which the levels of emotional intelligence differ significantly according to class level. According to the studies examining the difference of the level of emotional intelligence according to the class level variable, it was found that the majority of the studies were different. However, there are studies in which there is no difference as in our study. When the literature is examined, it can be said that the emotional intelligence level is generally high due to the fact that the level of emotional intelligence is expected to show development and change from first to fourth year due to the development of emotional intelligence level.
5. Recommendations for Further Researchers

In order to train teachers with high levels of emotional intelligence and problem-solving skills, pre-service teachers should pay attention to the quality of the departments and the emotional characteristics they bring. In this study, sub-factors of emotional intelligence were examined according to gender. The change of emotional intelligence with different factors should be examined in follow up studies.
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