Deterministic generation of large-scale hyperentanglement in three degrees of freedom
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Abstract. Entanglement serves as a fundamental resource for quantum information protocols, and hyperentanglement has received an increasing amount of attention for its high-capacity characteristic. Increasing the scale of hyperentanglement, i.e., the number of modes in a hyperentangled system, is crucial for enhancing its capability in quantum information processing. Here, we demonstrate the generation of large-scale continuous-variable (CV) hyperentanglement in three degrees of freedom (DOFs), including azimuthal and radial indices of Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) modes and frequency. In our experiment, 216 pairs of hyperentangled modes are deterministically generated from the four-wave mixing process in an atomic vapor. In addition, we show that the entanglement between coherent LG superposition modes denoted by both azimuthal and radial quantum numbers can also be generated from this system. Such large-scale CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs presents an efficient scheme to significantly increase the information capacity of the CV system. Our results provide a new platform for studying CV quantum information and open the avenue for constructing high-capacity parallel and multiple-DOF CV quantum information protocols.
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1 Introduction

Quantum entanglement, a form of strong correlation in quantum systems, is at the heart of quantum information science and technology. Hyperentanglement, the simultaneous entanglement in more than one degree of freedom (DOF), has attracted widespread attention and is a promising resource for implementing high-capacity quantum information protocols. There are two main branches of quantum information systems, namely, discrete variable (DV) and continuous variable (CV) systems. The current trend is to combine them together to give full play to their respective advantages, establishing the so-called hybrid systems. This study focuses on the CV system, which has the advantage of deterministic generation of entanglement, as all the generated quantum states are fully taken into account without post-selection. Compared with the well-developed DV hyperentanglement, CV hyperentanglement remains relatively unexplored. The scale of the hyperentanglement, i.e., the number of modes in a hyperentangled system, determines its capability of quantum information processing. However, it remains a challenge for CV hyperentanglement to scale to a large number of modes. Multiplexing, the indispensable concept of modern optical communication, can largely improve the information-carrying capacity by integrating multiple channels into one. Multiplexing has also been transplanted to CV systems by using different types of DOFs, including frequency, time, polarization, and spatial mode. By simultaneously multiplexing multiple DOFs, it is promising to develop large-scale CV hyperentanglement, which can enable the implementation of high-capacity parallel and multiple-DOF CV quantum information protocols.

Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) modes, the solution of the paraxial wave equation in cylindrical coordinates, are characterized by azimuthal index ℓ (an integer) and radial index p (a non-negative integer).
integer). These two quantum numbers provide two independent spatial DOFs. The azimuthal index \( \ell \), corresponding to the orbital angular momentum (OAM) of the optical field, has been widely employed in an ocean of physical systems. Meanwhile, OAM finds applications in both DV and CV quantum systems due to the fact that it can form a high-dimensional Hilbert state space. In sharp contrast to the in-depth study of OAM, the radial index of the radial mode is often overlooked and has only attracted attention in the past few years. Due to the self-healing properties of high-radial-index mode and its propagation stability in the graded-index fiber, radial modes can find applications in practical long-distance communications for both free-space and fiber channels. More importantly, the radial index provides another high-dimensional Hilbert state space for greatly boosting the information capacity of quantum systems in addition to OAM. For example, high-dimensional DV entanglement and high-dimensional DV quantum gates have been demonstrated by considering both OAM and radial DOFs. However, such full consideration of the two quantum numbers or DOFs has not yet been demonstrated in the CV system. Combining such full consideration of OAM and radial DOFs with frequency DOF will enable the generation of large-scale CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs.

Here, we demonstrate the experimental generation of large-scale CV hyperentanglement in OAM, radial, and frequency DOFs. 216 pairs of hyperentangled modes defined by the discrete dimensions of these three DOFs are deterministically generated from the four-wave mixing (FWM) process in a hot \(^{85}\)Rb atomic vapor. To the best of our knowledge, hyperentanglement has never been scaled to such a large number of modes in the CV quantum system. Moreover, we show that entangled coherent LG superposition modes with consideration of both azimuthal and radial quantum numbers can also be generated from this system. Such large-scale CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs largely enhances the data-carrying capacity of the CV system.

### 2 Principles and Methods

The FWM process is based on the double-\( \chi \) energy level configuration in the D1 line of \(^{85}\)Rb. Pumped by a strong beam with a frequency of \( \omega_{\text{pump}} \), this FWM process generates probe (conjugate) beams with a frequency of \( \omega_{\text{pump}} - f \) (\( \omega_{\text{pump}} + f \)), where \( f \) denotes the frequency shifting from the pump beam. Due to the OAM conservation and the phase-matching conditions of the FWM process, multidimensional pairs of LG\(_{\ell,p,f}\) and LG\(_{\ell,-p,-f}\) modes are generated simultaneously, where Pr and Conjugate indicate probe and conjugate beams, respectively. Labeling the creation operators of the probe mode and conjugate mode as \( \hat{a}_{\ell,p,f}^\dagger \) and \( \hat{b}_{\ell,-p,-f}^\dagger \), respectively, and denoting the interaction strength as the real parameter \( \gamma_{\ell,p,f} \), the interaction Hamiltonian of the FWM process can be written as

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{\ell,p,f} i\hbar \gamma_{\ell,p,f} \hat{a}_{\ell,p,f}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\ell,-p,-f}^\dagger + \text{H.c.,}
\]

where H.c. denotes the Hermitian conjugate. Then, the corresponding time-evolution operator \( U = e^{-i\hat{H}/\hbar} \) is applied to vacuum state. The output field state can be expressed as the product of a series of two-mode squeezed vacuum states, i.e.,

\[
|\psi\rangle_{\text{out}} = \prod_{\ell,p,f} |\psi\rangle_{\ell,p,f},
\]

where \( |\psi\rangle_{\ell,p,f} \) is a squeezed vacuum state, or CV entangled state, of two modes with the opposite azimuthal quantum number, the same radial quantum number, and the opposite frequency shifting from the pump beam. These orthogonal two-mode squeezed vacuum states are a series of CV entanglements in three independent DOFs, and each optical mode is defined by the discrete dimensions of all three DOFs. In other words, the generated optical modes are simultaneously entangled in OAM, radial, and frequency DOFs, guaranteeing the generation of large-scale CV hyperentanglement. These three DOFs are equally important in generating CV hyperentanglement.

In our system, the physical quantities of entanglement are amplitude and phase quadratures, which have a continuous spectrum, of the optical modes. Such CV entanglement manifests itself in strong correlation between the quantum fluctuations of quadratures of a pair of optical modes. For the purpose of verifying the existence of CV entanglement, the positivity under partial transposition criterion is used. One can determine if a state is entangled by constructing the covariance matrix \( \sigma \) from quadratures and calculating the smallest symplectic eigenvalue \( \nu \) of the partially transposed covariance matrix. An entangled state is verified if \( \nu \) is smaller than 1. Otherwise, the state is separable.

The detailed experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(a), where seeded and unseeded FWM processes happen in the same \(^{85}\)Rb vapor cell. The unseeded one is for generating large-scale CV hyperentanglement, and the seeded one is for generating local oscillators (LOs) of the balanced homodyne detections (BHDs). A cavity stabilized Ti:sapphire laser produces a beam whose frequency is around 377.1102 THz (\( \omega_{\text{pump}} \)). The beam is divided into two, one of which is further split, serving as respective pump beams of the two FWM processes. The other beam is red-shifted by \( f \) through an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) and then transformed into the desired spatial modes by a spatial light modulator (SLM), serving as probe beam of the seeded FWM process. Strong pump beams with a radius of 475 \( \mu \)m are focused at the center of the aforementioned \(^{85}\)Rb vapor cell whose temperature is stabilized at 118°C, and the probe and conjugate beams are symmetrically crossed with the pump beam at about 7 mrad. To measure the covariance matrix elements and verify the hyperentanglement from the unseeded FWM process, two BHDs are employed: one for detecting the probe beam and the other one for detecting conjugate beam. It is noteworthy that the generated fields will be projected onto the LG\(_{\ell,p,f}\) mode if the LO carries the LG\(_{\ell,-p,-f}\) mode, which ensures the perfect extraction of the desired mode (see Sec. S3 in the Supplemental Material). In the experiment, extraction efficiency depends on the visibility of the BHD. The photocurrent from each BHD gives the variance of the single-beam quadrature, while the two BHDs together with their photocurrent subtraction and addition give the covariance of the two-beam quadratures. Then, these photocurrents are recorded by two spectrum analyzers (SAs), which are set to 300-kHz resolution bandwidth, 300-Hz video bandwidth, zero span, and 1.5-MHz center frequency. In this way, all the elements of the covariance matrix can be obtained.
3 Results
For demonstrating CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs, we perform measurements with varying \(l\), \(p\), and \(f\) by changing the hologram displayed on the SLM and the input radio-frequency signal of the AOM. The images of the FWM output field for different modes are captured by a charge-coupled device (CCD) and shown in the upper panel of each subfigure of Fig. 2, where each subfigure stands for different frequency modes with \(f = 3.04 \text{ GHz}\) [Fig. 2(a)], \(f = 3.045 \text{ GHz}\) [Fig. 2(b)], and \(f = 3.05 \text{ GHz}\) [Fig. 2(c)], as indicated by the lower panel. The intensity profiles from top to bottom in each image are the amplified probe beam, pump beam, and newly generated conjugate beam, respectively. It can be seen that the higher the LG mode order is, the bigger the beam size will be. Such increment in beam size results in the reduced overlap with the pump beam and thus weaker nonlinear interaction strength, leading to smaller intensity gain. The smallest symplectic eigenvalues \(\nu\) of the partially transposed covariance matrices for the different modes are shown in the middle panel of each subfigure of Fig. 2. As can be seen, with the LG mode order getting higher, the value of \(\nu\) increases due to the decreasing of nonlinear interaction strength, indicating the weakening of the entanglement degree. For high-order LG modes, \(\nu\) being not smaller than 1 indicates the vanishment of the CV entanglement. It can also be found that the larger the value of radial index \(p\) is, the narrower the azimuthal index range of the OAM modes possessing entanglement will be. For example, as shown in Fig. 2(a), when \(f = 3.04 \text{ GHz}\), for radial index \(p = 4\), only the OAM modes with azimuthal index \(\ell\) from \(-3\) to \(3\) possess entanglement, while for radial index \(p = 0\), the range of azimuthal index \(\ell\) of OAM modes possessing entanglement is from \(-11\) to \(11\). The mutual restriction of these two quantum numbers in terms of entanglement generation is due to the fact that the increase of either one of these two quantum numbers can lead to an increase in beam size. In addition, the symplectic eigenvalue \(\nu\) for OAM entanglement in the case of radial index \(p = 0\) is smaller than the corresponding value with a nonzero radial index, which shows that the quality of hyperentanglement in OAM and radial DOFs is less than the quality of entanglement in OAM DOF. Nevertheless, under our experimental condition, for maintaining entanglement, the maximal range of \(\ell\) is from \(-11\) to \(11\), while the maximal range of \(p\) is from \(0\) to \(5\).

Figure 2(a) gives the results of hyperentanglement in OAM and radial DOFs with the generation of 78 pairs of LG modes when \(f = 3.04 \text{ GHz}\). To realize CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs, we utilize the frequency mode by changing the amount of frequency shifting \(f\) and repeating the above measurements. Similar results for \(f = 3.045 \text{ GHz}\) and \(f = 3.05 \text{ GHz}\) are shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively. Altogether, 216 pairs of hyperentangled modes in OAM, radial, and frequency DOFs are deterministically generated. For a fixed frequency, the possible number of pairs of entangled spatial modes possibly created by this system in the ideal case is estimated to be around...
According to the Schmidt number,\textsuperscript{67–69} which is roughly the number of mode pairs coupled in the gain region (see Sec. S8 in the Supplemental Material). However, various experimental imperfections are unavoidable, such as atomic absorption, propagation losses, imperfect homodyne visibilities, non-unity quantum efficiency of photodiodes, and scattered pump light, which introduce excess noise and deteriorate the entanglement. Therefore, the number of experimentally accessible entangled spatial mode pairs is lower than the theoretically predicted Schmidt number. Taking into account experimental parameters and these aforementioned experimental imperfections, the theoretical predictions of the smallest symplectic eigenvalue agree well with the corresponding experimental results, and the fidelities between the theoretically predicted and experimentally generated hyperentangled states are all above 0.9 (see Sec. S8 in the Supplemental Material).

Going a step further, it is interesting to investigate the entanglement properties of coherent LG superposition modes by considering both quantum numbers $\ell$ and $p$, which shows the potential advantage of our system for demonstrating parallel quantum information processing. Here, we fix $f$ at 3.04 GHz. First, the LOs are tailored by seeding the LG$_{1,3}$ + LG$_{2,1}$ mode into the FWM process [see Fig. 3(a) for the theoretical intensity profile and phase pattern and Fig. 3(b) for the experimentally observed intensity profile], and the covariance matrix of LG$_{1,3}^p$ + LG$_{2,1}^p$ and LG$_{1,3}^{conj}$ + LG$_{2,1}^{conj}$ modes can be measured. The smallest symplectic eigenvalue $\nu$ is calculated to be 0.691 ± 0.013, indicating the existence of entanglement between these two LG superposition modes. Secondly, we turn to study another type of coherent LG superposition mode LG$_{\ell,p}^{conj}$ ± LG$_{\ell,p}$, the intensity profile of this sort of mode is constituted of $p + 1$ radial circles and $2\ell$ angularly symmetric petals in each radial circle, as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), making it useful for free-space communication under turbulent conditions.\textsuperscript{70} Specifically, we investigate the entanglement between LG$_{\ell,2}^p$ + LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$ [LG$_{\ell,2}^p$ − LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$] and LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$ + LG$_{\ell,2}$ [LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$ − LG$_{\ell,2}$] modes with $\ell$ from 1 to 8, and the corresponding FWM output images and smallest symplectic eigenvalues $\nu$ are shown in Fig. 3(e) [Fig. 3(f)] and Fig. 3(g) [Fig. 3(h)], respectively. With the increase of azimuthal index $\ell$, the beam size gets bigger, resulting in weaker nonlinear interaction strength. As a consequence, the intensity gain decreases, and $\nu$ increases. For $\ell$ in the range from 1 to 7, these coherent LG superposition modes remain entangled. These experimental results are consistent with the ones in Fig. 2 and clearly verify the entanglement between coherent LG superposition modes with both azimuthal and radial quantum numbers involved.

Fig. 2 Experimental results for verifying large-scale CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs. 91 pairs of LG modes are measured at different frequencies with (a) $f = 3.04$ GHz, (b) $f = 3.045$ GHz, and (c) $f = 3.05$ GHz. The upper panel of each subfigure shows the CCD captured images of LG modes generated from the FWM process with varying quantum numbers of azimuthal index $\ell$ and radial index $p$. Labeled columns represent the azimuthal index $\ell$ of the probe beam, while labeled rows represent the radial index $p$ of the probe beam. The entangled LG modes are enclosed inside the orange frame. The middle panel of each subfigure shows the smallest symplectic eigenvalue $\nu$ of the partially transposed covariance matrix as a function of the two quantum numbers $\ell$ and $p$, respectively. The lower panel of each subfigure indicates the frequency of hyperentangled LG modes.
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297 according to the Schmidt number,\textsuperscript{67–69} which is roughly the number of mode pairs coupled in the gain region (see Sec. S8 in the Supplemental Material). However, various experimental imperfections are unavoidable, such as atomic absorption, propagation losses, imperfect homodyne visibilities, non-unity quantum efficiency of photodiodes, and scattered pump light, which introduce excess noise and deteriorate the entanglement. Therefore, the number of experimentally accessible entangled spatial mode pairs is lower than the theoretically predicted Schmidt number. Taking into account experimental parameters and these aforementioned experimental imperfections, the theoretical predictions of the smallest symplectic eigenvalue $\nu$ agree well with the corresponding experimental results, and the fidelities between the theoretically predicted and experimentally generated hyperentangled states are all above 0.9 (see Sec. S8 in the Supplemental Material).

Going a step further, it is interesting to investigate the entanglement properties of coherent LG superposition modes by considering both quantum numbers $\ell$ and $p$, which shows the potential advantage of our system for demonstrating parallel quantum information processing. Here, we fix $f$ at 3.04 GHz. First, the LOs are tailored by seeding the LG$_{1,3}$ + LG$_{2,1}$ mode into the FWM process [see Fig. 3(a) for the theoretical intensity profile and phase pattern and Fig. 3(b) for the experimentally observed intensity profile], and the covariance matrix of LG$_{1,3}^p$ + LG$_{2,1}^p$ and LG$_{1,3}^{conj}$ + LG$_{2,1}^{conj}$ modes can be measured. The smallest symplectic eigenvalue $\nu$ is calculated to be 0.691 ± 0.013, indicating the existence of entanglement between these two LG superposition modes. Secondly, we turn to study another type of coherent LG superposition mode LG$_{\ell,p}^{conj}$ ± LG$_{\ell,p}$, the intensity profile of this sort of mode is constituted of $p + 1$ radial circles and $2\ell$ angularly symmetric petals in each radial circle, as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), making it useful for free-space communication under turbulent conditions.\textsuperscript{70} Specifically, we investigate the entanglement between LG$_{\ell,2}^p$ + LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$ [LG$_{\ell,2}^p$ − LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$] and LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$ + LG$_{\ell,2}$ [LG$_{\ell,2}^{conj}$ − LG$_{\ell,2}$] modes with $\ell$ from 1 to 8, and the corresponding FWM output images and smallest symplectic eigenvalues $\nu$ are shown in Fig. 3(e) [Fig. 3(f)] and Fig. 3(g) [Fig. 3(h)], respectively. With the increase of azimuthal index $\ell$, the beam size gets bigger, resulting in weaker nonlinear interaction strength. As a consequence, the intensity gain decreases, and $\nu$ increases. For $\ell$ in the range from 1 to 7, these coherent LG superposition modes remain entangled. These experimental results are consistent with the ones in Fig. 2 and clearly verify the entanglement between coherent LG superposition modes with both azimuthal and radial quantum numbers involved.
4 Conclusions and Discussion

We have experimentally implemented large-scale CV hyperentanglement in three DOFs. The 216 pairs of hyperentangled modes considering both azimuthal and radial quantum numbers in the case of $f = 3.04 \text{ GHz}$. In parallel and multiple-DOF quantum communication protocols. In parallel quantum communication,\textsuperscript{75,76} multiple quantum communication channels can be constructed without the crosstalk from each other, and various quantum information tasks\textsuperscript{77-79} can be performed simultaneously, which significantly enhances the information capacity and diversity of quantum communication systems. In addition, a complex quantum system cannot be fully described by a single DOF, and a critical issue that must be addressed is how to teleport more than one DOF simultaneously. In this respect, quantum teleportation of multiple DOFs of a single photon has been demonstrated in the CV system using hyperentanglement in both spin angular momentum and OAM.\textsuperscript{80} Such multiple-DOF quantum teleportation in CV systems, the realization of which requires the generation of CV hyperentanglement, has never been reported. Our large-scale CV hyperentanglement provides the possibility to realize such multiple-DOF quantum teleportation in CV systems, even more generally, multiple-DOF quantum communication protocols.
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