Impact of melamine and its derivatives on the properties of poly(vinyl acetate)-based composite wood adhesive
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Abstract
A fire retardant composite adhesive for bonding wood and wood-based elements has been developed and characterized. To obtain the enhanced fire-proof properties of the wood adhesive dispersion based on the poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc), ceramic fillers (17.5 wt% total)—alumina, silica, kaolin and glass fibers were applied. Moreover, fire retardants such as melamine, melamine phosphate and melamine polyphosphate (up to 7 wt%) were also used. Thermal analysis (TG-DSC), strength tests, rheology, pH and flammability measurements (PCFC) were performed. The best properties of the adhesive were achieved for ceramic additives supported by melamine phosphate. A slight improvement of shear strength, shift of the last decomposition step of PVAc (residue degradation) towards higher temperatures by about 50 °C, reduction in mass loss from 100 wt% to less than 70 wt% and about 30–40% improvement of flammability parameters such as heat release capacity, total heat release or peak heat release rate were found compared to the pure poly(vinyl acetate) adhesive.

1 Introduction
Poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) water dispersion has been commonly used as a wood adhesive for decades. It is a thermoplastic polymer, relatively cheap and easily applied. Moreover, it does not contain flammable and environmentally harmful solvents such as formaldehyde because its curing process takes place by water evaporation and subsequent creation of hydrogen bonds. However, its adhesive properties deteriorate at higher temperatures (loss of bonding resistance capacity at over 70 °C) as well as in fire and humid conditions (Tankut et al. 2016; Chiozza et al. 2016; Kaboorani and Riedl 2011; Toxqui-López et al. 2006; Kim and Kim 2006; Stoeckel et al. 2013).

The research conducted on PVAc adhesives focused on improving thermal or mechanical performance, such as shear strength and thermal stability. It is mainly realized by copolymerization of vinyl acetate with different monomers or blending it with other adhesives or hardeners (Kaboorani et al. 2012; Chiozza and Pizzo 2016; Šedivka et al. 2015). This can also be achieved by the addition of suitable additives, for instance nano-clay (Moya et al. 2015), cellulose nanofibers (Chaabouni and Boufi 2017), nano-TiO2 and nano-SiO2 (Bardak et al. 2016). However, there is little information on PVAc adhesives made in the fire protection area.

Generally, the reduction in combustibility and production of smoke or toxic fume is realized by using fire retardants and fillers. Fire retardants are compounds that interfere in the combustion process during heating, pyrolysis, ignition or flame spread by action in the vapour or condensed phase through a chemical and/or physical mechanism. Examples of actions are endothermic decomposition, which reduces the temperature of the pyrolysis zone, interruption of exothermic processes by radical mechanism or formation of char that acts as a barrier against the heat. The fillers dilute the polymer and reduce the concentration of decomposition gases. The fire retardancy of polymers is achieved by applying two approaches: additive—physical incorporation of fire retardants into the polymer, and reactive—copolymerization with a fire retardant (Lu and Hamerton 2002; Dasari et al. 2013). For example, nano-Mg(OH)2 was synthesized and mixed...
with poly(vinyl acetate) to improve its thermal stability (Ghanbari et al. 2013); a fire-retarded PVAc composite was prepared by addition of kaolin, talc, Mg(OH)₂, zinc borate, glass frit for the sealant application (Al-Hassany et al. 2010); poly(vinyl acetate) was blended with melamine isocyanurate (Rimez et al. 2016) or with ammonium poly(phosphate) (Rimez et al. 2015, 2016).

However, the reduction in the poly(vinyl acetate) flammability is also very important due to its wide range of applications. Improved safety in domestic, public or industrial situations is required by fire-safety standards (Lu and Hamerton 2002). Furthermore, wood belongs to rather flammable materials. To ensure the safety of human life in the case of fire, suitable fire protection of wood is necessary (Pajchrowski et al. 2014; Jiang et al. 2014; Arora et al. 2012). A popular way to achieve this is impregnation (Şadiye Yasar et al. 2017; Tsioptsias and Panayiotou 2011; Harada et al. 2009) or application of fireproof coating, mainly intumescent (swelling when exposed to fire or heat) on wood (Li et al. 2017; Harada et al. 2007; Kazmina et al. 2018; Puri and Khanna 2017).

The method proposed here is the application of a fire-retardant adhesive. Burning resistance, integrity of bonded materials (integrity of adhesive with material) at high temperatures, minimization of smoke and release of toxic compounds during degradation are examples of the criteria required from these kinds of adhesive. The last two criteria and also the retention of integrity even with only a modest increase in temperature could be the problem (Lees and Moulds 1995).

Along this line of interest, silicon-based fire-retardant adhesive for plywood (Wang et al. 2018) or water glass-based adhesive for particleboard (Lee and Thole 2018) have been developed, but their application to wood is limited. Adhesives based on silicates have a rather basic pH, while wood adhesives should be acidic due to the structure and properties of cellulose (Zenkteler 1996). Other problems of the adhesive application could include low bonding strength, low moisture resistance (Lee and Thole 2018) or environmentally harmful composition, for example by formaldehyde emission (Khatua et al. 2017).

The motivation of the research is to create a fire-retardant adhesive whose joint forms a protective layer during the fire, which significantly limits the spread of fire. It is hoped that the adequate modification of the PVAc adhesive may improve its fire-retardant properties but also ensures fire protection of wood while maintaining its adhesive features.

Hence, the aim of this study was to obtain a PVAc-based adhesive formula with reduced flammability for bonding wood and wood-based elements. The following ceramic materials were selected as fillers:

- kaolin, silica—formation of mineral residue, improve thermal stability and fire retardancy (Lu and Hamerton 2002; Dasari et al. 2013);
- alumina, glass fibers—improve thermal and strength stability (Kaboorani and Riedl 2012; Rasana et al. 2019).

Melamine, melamine phosphate or melamine polyphosphate were added in variable contents up to 7.0 wt% as the fire retardant. Endothermic processes occur during their decomposition and some part of the heat is absorbed, which results in a temperature decrease. During combustion, melamine polyphosphate may act as a char promoter, which enhances charring of polymer. The formed char could act as a thermal barrier for the heat transfer.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Materials

A commercial poly(vinyl acetate) water dispersion (D2) used in this study was purchased from Dragon, Poland. D2 is a water-resistant wood adhesive with durability class D2. The other raw materials (fire retardants and fillers) were as follows: melamine (EasyChem, Poland), melamine phosphate—Ecoret MP (Alwernia, Poland), melamine polyphosphate—Ecoret MPP (Alwernia, Poland), aluminium oxide (Chempur, Poland), kaolin KOC with mineralogical composition of 84.21 wt% kaolinite, 11.17 wt% quartz and 4.62 wt% mica (Surmin-Kaolin, Poland), glass fibre filler—FG 400/030 (Schwarzwälder Textil-Werke, Germany), silica—Arsil (Chemical Plant “Rudniki”, Poland).

Oak wood panels (thickness of 8.0 mm, density of 690 kg/m³) and beech wood panels (thickness of 1.4 mm, density of 750 kg/m³) were used for joint and flammability tests, respectively.

#### 2.2 Preparation of the adhesive mixtures

Disperlux-Pendraulik laboratory dissolver was used to blend D2 with selected components. Several adhesive mixtures consisting of a constant content of alumina (Al₂O₃), kaolin (KOC), glass fibers (FG), silica (SiO₂) and different loadings of melamine compounds (melamine—M, melamine phosphate—MP, melamine polyphosphate—MPP) from 0 to 7 wt% were prepared. In addition, distilled water was used to improve their rheological properties. The composition of the adhesive mixtures is presented in Table 1. The samples were mixed at 500 RPM for 30 min, and each component was added into the mixture separately and in the following order: water, Al₂O₃, FG, KOC, melamine additive, and SiO₂ at the end because of its high water absorption.
2.3 Viscosity measurement

The viscosity of the adhesive mixtures was examined using an Anton Paar Physica MCR-301 rheometer in a plate–plate configuration (plate diameter was 25 mm). The shear rate was 100 s⁻¹, measuring gap was 0.2 mm, measurement time was 600 s and temperature was 23 °C.

2.4 pH measurement

The pH of the samples was measured at room temperature using a Jenway 3540 pH meter.

2.5 Shear strength measurement

The effect of ceramic and melamine compounds addition on adhesive bond strength of PVAc was examined by means of a longitudinal tensile shear strength test. Two oak panels (160 mm × 23 mm × 8 mm) were bonded on the tape (bonded surface 23 mm × 23 mm) by an adhesive mixture with 945 g/m² coverage (0.5 g per sample). The panels were pressed at a pressure of 0.38 kg/cm² for 1 min. The samples were dried at room temperature for 1 day, and then at 40 °C for 3 days in a laboratory dryer. After this time, they were conditioned for 7 days in the standard climate until the measurement. The shear test was carried out with a constant crosshead speed of 2 mm/min using a Zwick-Roell Z050 testing machine.

Shear strength τ [MPa] was calculated using the formula: \( \tau = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{A} \), where \( F_{\text{max}} \) [N] is the maximum failure load, and \( A \) [mm²] is the bonded test surface (23 mm × 23 mm). Tests were replicated ten times.

2.6 Thermal analysis

Thermal properties of adhesive mixtures were tested using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG). The measurements were taken in air atmosphere using a Netzsch STA 449 F3 thermal analyzer. The samples were heated from 25 to 740 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min in aluminum oxide crucibles and with an air flow rate of 40 cm³/min.

2.7 Pyrolysis combustion flow calorimetry

The combustibility of adhesive mixtures was evaluated by applying a Pyrolysis Combustion Flow Calorimeter (PCFC) from Fire Testing Technology (FTT). 5 ± 1 mg of adhesive powder sample was heated under nitrogen flow up to 750 °C with a heating rate of 1 °C/s in the pyrolysis chamber. Then, the volatile thermal degradation products were combined with oxygen and completely oxidized in a combustion furnace at 900 °C. The gas flow was a mixture of O₂/N₂ with a flow rate of 20/80 cm³/min.

The material’s fire hazard can be determined by the rate at which heat is released during its burning. Heat release capacity (sumHRC) in J/g K was calculated by a ratio of the sum of peak HRR (pHRR—the maximum heat release rate) to the average heating rate in K/s. The total heat release (THR) in kJ/g was equal to the area below the curve of the heat release rate as a function of the pyrolysis temperature. The residue was obtained by weighing a sample before and after the measurement (Lyon and Walters 2004; Schartel et al. 2007).

2.8 Horizontal burning test

To verify the effect of the tested adhesive on wood flammability, 5 samples for each composition type were prepared for the horizontal burning test (HB). Two plates of beech wood with dimensions of 130 mm × 13 mm and a thickness of 1.4 mm were joined by the glue line (1.5 g). Then, after drying the samples at room temperature and smoothing their edges, they were stabilized for 7 days at 23 °C and 50% relative humidity. The horizontal burning test was carried out according to IEC 60695-11-10 (2014). Each test specimen was marked with two lines perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sample (130 mm), 25 mm and 100 mm from the end, which was to be exposed to the flame from a burner inclined at an angle of 45° to the horizontal axis (Fig. 1). The burner was removed from the sample after 30 s or earlier if the flame front reached the 25 mm mark. Flame propagation time \( t \) in s from the 25 mm mark to the 100 mm mark and damaged length \( L \) in mm (between 0 and 75 mm) were measured. Linear burning rate \( v \) in mm/min was calculated.
using the following equation: \( v = 60 \text{ L/t} \). The samples were classified as HB40 or HB75 material in accordance with the criteria given in Table 2.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Processing part

The chemical reaction of the wood adhesive should comply with cellulose physico-chemical properties and its pH, safe for wood, should be between 3 and 9. Too high (basic) or too low (acidic) pH could destroy the wood, for example by dissolution or hydrolysis of hemicellulose (Zenkteler 1996). The pH values of the prepared adhesive samples were between 3.4 and 5.3 (Fig. 2), so they can be successfully applied to wood. The addition of fillers and melamine to D2 adhesive caused a small increase in pH from 3.63 to 4.29 and to 5.28 respectively. The pH value of the adhesive mixtures with melamine phosphate (samples M3 and M4) was the closest to D2—below 4.

An important parameter in the adhesion bonding process also is the viscosity of the adhesive. The adhesive needs to form a molecular-level contact with the surface of wood. It should be therefore a liquid in the initial stage of bonding, which can ensure the development of close contact with the substrates. The wetting and flow over a surface of the adhesive, and in some cases also its penetration into the substrate, are required to create the bond. This can be achieved by the appropriate rheological properties of the adhesive, including its viscosity (Rowell 2012). The initial viscosity of pure D2 adhesive was about 4.85 Pa s. Then, this viscosity increased over time up to 8.89 Pa s. It means that the bonding process of the adhesive started. The liquid adhesive slowly converted to solid by loss (evaporation) of solvent (water). The addition of ceramic materials (kaolin, alumina, silica and glass fibers) to the poly(vinyl acetate) did not affect the initial and final viscosity value of the mixture, which was also ensured by the application of water. The viscosity of sample M0 was between 4.80 and 8.86 Pa s. The initial viscosity of sample M3 was also similar to D2 and M0, and was 4.88 Pa s. Further addition of melamine phosphate up to 7.0 wt% (M4) or interchangeably melamine (3.5 wt%—M1, 7.0 wt%—M2) caused its slight increase above 5 Pa s, which was related to the higher content of additives. The deterioration of sample M5 (Fig. 3) was probably the effect of too high water absorption by MPP. The 3.5 wt% content of melamine polyphosphate in the mixture caused a too extreme increase in viscosity, which excluded its use in this composition. The influence of melamine polyphosphate on the adhesive pH was negligible, so it was not correlated with the viscosity changes.

Generally, final viscosities of all prepared adhesive mixtures, except M3, were comparable to D2 and oscillated around 9 Pa s. However, the main difference between them was the change in viscosity over time. In comparison to D2, a faster increase in viscosity over time was observed for the other adhesive mixtures (Fig. 4). It could mean a shorter bonding time of these adhesives through faster water evaporation, which directly affects the shorter open time (Zenkteler 1996; Rowell 2012). The reason for this was the presence of additives which can also absorb water from poly(vinyl acetate) dispersion.

The information about bond strength provides the next important parameter of adhesives—shear strength. The values of bond strength measured on shear samples made of oak are given in Fig. 5. The shear strength decreased by about 0.75 MPa after the addition of ceramic additives and water and, then it started to increase with increasing content of melamine phosphate, from 7.97 ± 0.16 MPa for 3.5 wt% of MP to 8.87 ± 0.58 MPa for 7.0 wt% of MP. An increase in shear strength for the 3.5 wt% melamine addition and its evident decrease for 7.0 wt% content were noted, compared to sample M0. The adhesive mixture with the highest melamine addition has also the lowest bond strength, whereas the one with the maximum load of melamine phosphate has the bond strength with the highest value. The decrease from 8.56 ± 0.25 MPa

### Table 2

| Classification | HB40 | HB75 |
|----------------|------|------|
| A material shall conform to one of the following criteria | It does not burn with a flame after the ignition source is removed | | |
| If the test specimens continue to burn with a flame after removal of the ignition source, the flame front does not pass the 100 mm mark | | | |
| If the test flame front passes the 100 mm mark and it does not have a linear burning rate exceeding 40 mm/min | | | |
| If the test flame front passes the 100 mm mark and it does not have a linear burning rate exceeding 75 mm/min | | | |
to 6.95 ± 0.62 MPa and increase to 8.87 ± 0.58 MPa was recorded for sample M2 and M4, respectively, compared to the D2 base.

These various values of shear strength may be related to the viscosity changes over time. The initial viscosity of samples with melamine addition was higher compared to melamine phosphate and for adhesive M2 was the highest (about 5.90 Pa s). It could cause worse wetting of wood surfaces and combined with a shorter open time resulted in weaker wood-adhesive bonding. Sample M1, which had lower viscosity than M2 in the whole time range, has also a higher shear strength, about 1.23 MPa. However, adhesive mixture M4 had similar viscosity values over time up to 200 s to M1, but its strength was higher, about 0.69 MPa. This can be related to the pH of the adhesive itself, as well as the used wood type. The pH of M4 was 3.83 and was lower than M1 (5.27). Oak wood has pH below 4, which makes this adhesive-wood bond more chemically compatible (Zenkteler 1996; Cagle 1973; Bastani et al. 2016).

### 3.2 The fire retardancy part

#### 3.2.1 Thermal analysis

TG-DSC curves of D2 and its prepared modifications are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. Figure 8 presents the 1st derivative of TG curves (DTG). Table 3 presents the mass loss of samples in different temperature ranges compared to PVAc.

Three main degradation steps of PVAc were observed. The first one at 300–400 °C, second at 400–480 °C and the last one at 480–555 °C. Pure poly(vinyl acetate) is thermally stable up to about 190 °C (Grassie 1952), which confirms the obtained result. The mass loss of D2 up to 200 °C was 5 wt% and then another 5 wt% up to 300 °C. The result
was generally similar to the other adhesive mixtures in this temperature range.

The largest mass loss of D2 (about 64 wt%) occurs at a temperature of 300–400 °C, which is related to the small endothermic and slightly larger exothermic peak on the DSC curve at 314 and 349 °C, respectively. This stage of PVAc thermal degradation is associated with the elimination of acetic acid (gas) from the polymer backbone and production of a highly regular unsaturated backbone or polyene (solid) (Al-Hassany et al. 2010; Rimez et al. 2015). The addition of ceramic fillers to poly(vinyl acetate) dispersion caused a decrease in its mass loss to 41 wt% mainly due to the PVAc content reduction. Aluminum oxide, silica, kaolin and glass fibers are nonflammable fillers and their presence can reinforce the residue (Dasari et al. 2013; Feng et al. 2014).

Melamine phosphate did not affect significantly the mass loss up to 400 °C, while melamine caused slightly faster degradation of adhesive compared to sample M0. Due to evaporation and condensation of melamine in the temperature range 250–380 °C, the formation of melam, melem and melon with the elimination of ammonia and carbon dioxide occurs (Isbasar and Hacaloglu 2012; Wang et al. 2009). This is manifested in the form of an endothermic effect that is observed at 303 °C on the DSC curve for samples M2–7 wt% of the melamine addition. However, the onset of MP decomposition occurs in a similar temperature range to poly(vinyl acetate) through two dehydration processes at about 250 °C, leading to the formation of melamine pyrophosphate (MDP) and then melamine polyphosphate (MPP). The first process occurs through a very small endothermic effect around 230 °C for samples M3 and M4, the second one is overlapped with the degradation processes of PVAc. Above 340 °C, NH₃ is eliminated from melamine phosphates, which is accompanied by heat absorption. An endothermic effect is observed at 401 °C for M4. As a result, melam pyrophosphate or melam polyphosphate is formed (Wang et al. 2009; Balabanovich 2005).

The next exothermic peaks associated with reorganization of polyene into an aromatic solid residue or char (the 2nd degradation step of PVAc) and with the final degradation of char into CO₂ (the 3rd degradation step of PVAc) are observed at 427 °C and 520 °C on the DSC curve of D2 (Rimez et al. 2015), which was accompanied by a mass loss by about 14 and 12 wt%, respectively. Dehydroxylation of kaolinite, the main component of kaolin, occurs at 400–700 °C (\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2\)).
(metakaolinite) + 2H₂O(g)), which manifests itself as a strong endothermic effect (Ptáček et al. 2013; Gasparini et al. 2013). Therefore, the observed disappearance of exothermic thermal effects in the range of 400–480 °C (sample M0) may be related to the overlap of this phenomenon with PVAc degradation processes. The positive effect of ceramic fillers on the mass change in this temperature range was also noted. A reduction in mass loss from 14.48 to 7.87 wt% was observed. The endothermic processes of decomposition of M and MP caused a higher heat absorption in this temperature range. In addition, their condensation products are more thermally stable and undergo further degradation at higher temperatures. For example, melam is stable up to 350 °C, melem up to 450 °C and melon up to 600 °C in the case of melamine products (Isbasar and Hacaloglu 2012; Wang et al. 2009; Balabanovich 2005).

Moreover, the final degradation of the residual poly(vinyl acetate) to CO₂ occurred at higher temperatures with an increase in the melamine/melamine phosphate content, compared to D2. The shift of the exothermic peak from 520 to 529 °C (sample M2) or to 574 °C (sample M4), lower mass loss in individual degradation stages and their shift to higher temperatures on DTG curves, were observed. However, a better result of MP than M was noted. In addition, a reduction in total mass loss from 100 to 70 wt% can be observed for all samples.

### 3.3 Pyrolysis combustion flow calorimetry

Heat release rate curves of D2 and its adhesive mixtures are shown in Fig. 9 as a function of the pyrolysis temperature. Results such as the peak heat release rate (pHRR) and its temperature (Tₚ), residue content and calculations of heat release capacity (sumHRC) and total heat release (THR) are summarized in Table 4.
The heat release rate profiles of all samples, except M1 and M2, demonstrated three HRR peaks, which could be related to the individual degradation steps of pure poly(vinyl acetate). The first (the smallest) one at 110–280 °C connected with the initial decomposition processes of PVAc occurred at low temperatures. The second (maximum) one at 280–400 °C was related to the elimination of acetic acid from the polymer backbone and production of a highly regular unsaturated backbone or polyene. The last (medium) one at 400–550 °C was connected with the reorganization of polyene into an aromatic solid residue. A peak at the highest temperatures in DSC (between 500 and 600 °C) is not observed in PCFC due to the atmosphere in which the process occurs—char decomposed under air (DSC-TG) but not under nitrogen in PCFC.

The obtained results demonstrated that the maximum heat release (pHRR$_2$ = 260 W/g) at 352 °C is mainly associated with the combustion of deacetylation products—an autocatalytic reaction (Rimez et al. 2017). The heat release was equal to 11.8 kJ/g for these processes; this is more than half of the THR during the entire measurement. The further combustion caused a release of 9.5 kJ/g of heat (smaller half of THR) despite the third peak having a smaller maximum value—pHRR$_3$ = 110 W/g. In total, THR amounted to 22.6 kJ/g. When compared with other results for PVAc (Schartel et al. 2007), this value is higher by about 3.4 kJ/g, most likely because D2 is a commercial adhesive and can contain some additives.

In the case of melamine addition, a partial overlapping of 2 peaks in the temperature range of 250–400 °C was found. This can be related to the earlier release of pyrolysis products of M than in the case of MP. However, the shift of maximum HRR (pHRR$_2$) to lower temperatures by about 10 °C was observed for melamine phosphate samples. Pyrolysis of M and MP occurred earlier than for D2 but the effect of their action was visible at higher temperatures through reduction of heat released above 400 °C compared to sample M0.

Generally, additives, which were applied, have a beneficial effect on the flammability of D2 adhesive. The addition of kaolin, alumina, silica and glass fibers initiated significant improvement of some flammability parameters. About 30% decrease in pHRR, sumHRC and THR values and increase in residue from 0% to above 30%, were obtained (Fig. 10). Then, an additional incorporation of a fire retardant (M or MP) caused a further reduction in some values but to a small extent (less than 10%). The effect of melamine phosphate addition on flammability was slightly better than melamine due to lower THR and sumHRC. Moreover, an increase in the M and MP content from 3.5 to 7.0 wt% was not beneficial. Other parameters, such as THR, increased.

Certainly, the final residue is mineral from mineral additives with a small amount of char. The residue was slightly higher (maximum about 4 wt%) compared to thermal analysis results, due to the absence of char decomposition. The decrease in THR, sumHRC and the increase in residue were more or less equal to the filler content (i.e. 17.5–24.5 wt%). However, some important effects as dilution of gases by the release of inert gases and barrier effect from char cannot be measured in PCFC, which limits the performances resulting from this method.

### Table 4 Results of the PCFC measurements

| Sample | pHRR$_1$ [W/g] | T$_{p1}$ [°C] | pHRR$_2$ [W/g] | T$_{p2}$ [°C] | pHRR$_3$ [W/g] | T$_{p3}$ [°C] | sumHRC [J/g K] | THR [kJ/g] | Residue [wt%] |
|--------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-------------|
| D2     | 11             | 182           | 260            | 352           | 110            | 471           | 422            | 22.6      | 0.0         |
| M0     | 10             | 190           | 160            | 350           | 84             | 466           | 280            | 15.5      | 32.2        |
| M1     | 8              | 182           | 30, 156        | 310, 350      | 74             | 464           | 290            | 14.5      | 32.8        |
| M2     | 9              | 172           | 65, 145        | 323, 351      | 68             | 465           | 314            | 14.9      | 27.1        |
| M3     | 7              | 174           | 185            | 337           | 61             | 461           | 279            | 14.0      | 35.7        |
| M4     | 8              | 173           | 179            | 339           | 63             | 462           | 273            | 14.2      | 32.8        |

3.4 Horizontal burning test

The linear burning rate values of the samples were presented in Fig. 11. This parameter decreased as the content of melamine and melamine phosphate in the adhesive increased, but melamine phosphate was more effective. The reduction in the flammability of wood was also visible through the course of the test itself. The flame front reached the 25 mm mark within 30 s (around 20 s) for all samples, which were joined by the pure D2 adhesive. Wood burned intensely and quickly, the flame was big and turbulent, and the charred parts of the sample were dropping from it. A large release of black smoke was also observed. The addition of ceramic fillers contributed to the reduction in the burning intensity and slower flame propagation, but the 25 mm mark was also reached within 30 s. The presence of melamine resulted in slower ignition of the sample, and more than two times longer time was needed to reach the 25 mm mark (sample M2). The wood burned slower and the flame was less intense. The sample, which was joined by the adhesive with the melamine phosphate in its composition, burned the slowest. The flame was small and stable. It moved over...
the specimen, but the sample was compact and its charred fragments did not drop from it. The highest integration of samples (the wood plates remained glued) during the measurement was observed for sample M4. Extinguishing of the flame before the 100 mm mark occurred for 2 out of 5 measurements and the damaged lengths were 66 mm and 62 mm respectively. Furthermore, the addition of M and MP caused a reduction in the visible black smoke release.
Tested wood composites were classified as HB75 (D2) and HB40 (M0–M4) materials for a sample thickness of 3.0 mm.

4 Conclusions

Tests on the formulation of a fireproof adhesive for bonding wood and wood-based elements were presented. The impact of ceramic fillers, melamine and its derivatives to D2 commercial wood adhesive based on the poly(vinyl acetate) water dispersion was investigated. Ceramic compounds such as alumina, silica, kaolin and glass fibers were added as non-flammable fillers. One of the melamine’s compounds (melamine, melamine phosphate or melamine polyphosphate) was added to the PVAc dispersion as the fire retardant. The impact of melamine, melamine phosphate and melamine polyphosphate was compared.

The ceramic fillers addition (17.5 wt% total) has a positive effect on thermal stability and fire properties of D2 adhesive. Mass loss, heat release capacity and total heat release were reduced by about more than 30%. Kaolin, glass fibers, alumina and silica fulfilled their function as fire retardants.

The additional incorporation of melamine compounds into the adhesive composition caused a further improvement of some parameters. Generally, they influenced THR, HRC, pHRR and linear burning rate. They did not affect the total mass loss but caused a delay in the PVAc degradation. The shift of their third (and last) decomposition step towards higher temperatures was identified. The results indicate a better effect of melamine phosphate on the prepared adhesive mixture than pure melamine because of lower viscosity, total heat release, heat release capacity and linear burning rate, and higher strength and temperature of degradation. However, melamine caused a higher reduction in the maximum heat release rate (pHRR), but one additional peak overlapped this phenomenon. Moreover, melamine polyphosphate could not be used in this composition because of its too high water absorption. Viscosity and consistency of sample M5 were not adequate for the adhesive.

Thanks to the used additives, an improvement of the strength, thermal and fireproof properties of some adhesive mixtures was obtained compared to the pure poly(vinyl acetate) dispersion. Taking all tests into account, the best parameters were obtained for the sample with 7.0 wt% of MP addition—M4. In comparison to D2, a slight improvement in the shear strength of about 0.3 MPa, a temperature shift of the final degradation of PVAc of about 54 °C, and a reduction in total mass loss of about 30.5 wt%, of THR of about 8.4 kJ/g (37%), of sumHRC of about 149 J/g K (35%) and of linear burning rate of about 17 mm/min (41%) were obtained. However, the increased MP content from 3.5 (M3) to 7.0 wt% (M4) only slightly improved the flammability parameters.

The research demonstrated that M3 and M4 adhesives can have a potential application as fire retardant adhesives designed for bonding wood and wood-based elements.
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