Different Protein Expression between Human Eosinophilic Leukemia Cells, EoL-1 and Imatinib-resistant EoL-1 Cells, EoL-1-IR
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Chronic eosinophilic leukemia (CEL) is characterized by eosinophilia and organ damage. Imatinib is widely used for treating CEL, chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Unfortunately, the cancer cells gain resistance against the drug after prolonged molecular-targeted therapies. Imatinib-resistant EoL-1 (EoL-1-IR) cells were produced from chronic eosinophilic leukemia cells (EoL-1) after treatment with imatinib for a long duration. Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) analysis revealed numerous protein variations in the EoL-1 and EoL-1-IR sub-types. Compared to the EoL-1 cells, expression levels of TIP49, RBBP7, α-enolase, adenosine deaminase, C protein, galactokinase, eukaryotic translation initiation factor, IFN-γ, and human protein homologous to DROER were increased, whereas core I protein, proteasome subunit p42, heterogeneous ribonuclear particle protein, chain B, and nucleoside diphosphate were decreased in the EoL-1-IR cells. Taken together, these results contribute to understanding the pathogenic mechanism of drug-resistant diseases.
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Chronic eosinophilic leukemia (CEL) is a chronic myeloproliferative neoplasm characterized by a clonal proliferation of eosinophilic precursors that lead to increase eosinophils in the peripheral blood, the bone marrow, and possibly peripheral tissues (Qu et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2017). The blood shows > 1.5 × 10^9/L mature eosinophils and often increases the percentage of blasts in the blood or marrow up to and even exceeding 20%. FIP1L1-platelet-derived growth factor receptor-α (PDGFRA) fusion gene is very significant for the diagnosis and treatment of CEL. If there is no increase in the number of blasts and no evidence of monoclonality, the term hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) is recommended (Antoniu, 2010; Klion, 2015). CEL is also called myeloid/lymphoid neoplasm with eosinophilia and abnormalities of PDGFRα, PDGFRβ, FGFR1 or PCM1-JAK2 based on World Health Organization (WHO) classification (Reiter and Gotlib, 2017). Imatinib is a small-molecule inhibitor of breakpoint cluster region-abl-elson (BCR-ABL) kinase with additional activity against receptor tyrosine kinases such as c-KIT, PDGFRA, and PDGFRB. Although imatinib is highly effective in cancer including CEL, cancer cells can have imatinib-resistant characteristics after a long
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term treatment. Therefore, we investigated whether there are differences between imatinib-sensitive and resistant CEL cells or not.

Human eosinophilic leukemia cells, EoL-1 cells were purchased from RIKEN BRC Cell Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). The imatinib-resistant EoL-1 (EoL-1-IR) cells were established by culturing with increasing imatinib concentration (from 1 to 100 nM) for 6 months (Nishioka et al., 2010). EoL-1 and EoL-1-IR cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 including fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics. The cells were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. For two-dimensional electrophoresis, cell lysates in sample buffer were applied

Fig. 1. Two-dimensional electrophoresis with EoL-1 and EoL-1-IR cells. EoL-1 and EoL-1-IR were analyzed by 2-DE. (A) 2-DE image for comparison between EoL-1 and EoL-1-IR. (B) The arrow marks on EoL-1-IR indicate spots for proteins differentially expressed by more than 2-fold compared with EoL-1. (C) The arrow marks on EoL-1-IR indicate spots for proteins differentially expressed by less than 2-fold compared with EoL-1.
to pH 3-10 nonlinear gradient strips (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) and isoelectric focusing (IEF) was carried out. The second dimension was analyzed on gradient polyacrylamide gel at 40 mA for 5 h. After fixation, the gels were stained with CBB G-250 for 12 h. The gels were destained, scanned in a Bio-Rad GS710 densitometer (Richmond, CA, USA) and converted into electronic files. The spots were analyzed with Image Master Platinum 5.0 image analysis program (Amersham Biosciences). For matrix associated laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/TOF MS) analysis, samples were applied to the R2, R3 column and eluted with an elution buffer. Mass spectra were acquired on a 4800 proteomics analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA) operated in MS and MS/MS modes. Peptide fragmentation was conducted by collision-induced dissociation (CID). For MS and MS/MS analysis, the 800~4,000 m/z mass range was used with 1,000 shots per spectrum, and a minimum of 15 precursors with a minimum S/N of 50 were chosen. The MASCOT algorithm (Matrix Science, Boston, MA, USA) was used for protein identification.

### Table 1. List of proteins increased in EoL-1-IR cells

| Spot ID | NCBI accession no. | Protein name | Nominal mass | MASCOT score | No. of matched peptides | TAMRA-fold change | emP AI   |
|---------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 286     | gi|3132308 | TIP49 (RUVBL1, Pontin) | 50,538 | 878 | 29 (18) | 2.0 | 4.00   |
| 297     | gi|1935049 | RBBP7 (RbAp46) | 66,198 | 827 | 20 (13) | 2.2 | 1.56   |
| 347     | gi|19339  | Alpha-ename | 47,481 | 889 | 26 (19) | 2.2 | 4.01   |
| 394     | gi|28380  | Adenosine deaminase | 41,024 | 411 | 14 (8) | 2.6 | 1.01   |
| 402     | gi|306875 | C protein (ribonuclear protein particle c) | 32,004 | 571 | 20 (10) | 2.3 | 2.84   |
| 421     | gi|1002507 | Galactokinase | 42,702 | 599 | 17 (11) | 2.9 | 2.09   |
| 444     | gi|124200 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor | 36,374 | 791 | 22 (15) | 2.4 | 6.13   |
| 560     | gi|186513 | Interferon-gamma | 28,876 | 545 | 22 (14) | 2.5 | 7.58   |
| 764     | gi|374695 | Human protein homologous to DROER protein | 12,422 | 216 | 9 (2) | 3.3 | 1.14   |

Ions score is -10^*Log(P), where P is the probability that the observed match is a random event. Individual ions scores > 34 indicate identity or extensive homology (P<0.05)

### Table 2. List of proteins decreased in EoL-1-IR cells

| Spot ID | NCBI accession no. | Protein name | Nominal mass | MASCOT score | No. of matched peptides | TAMRA-fold change | emP AI   |
|---------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 321     | gi| 468935 | Core I protein (core I protein subunit of human ubiquinol-cytochrome C reductase) | 53,297 | 622 | 22 (13) | 2.0 | 1.95   |
| 392     | gi|1526426 | Proteasome subunit p42 | 44,418 | 519 | 19 (7) | 3.8 | 1.15   |
| 519     | gi|87651  | Heterogeneous ribonuclear particle protein | 34,289 | 742 | 23 (14) | 2.9 | 4.33   |
| 628     | gi|28252  | Unnamed protein product | 42,052 | 543 | 18 (9) | 3.0 | 1.81   |
| 701     | gi|1025735596 | Chain B | 18,642 | 408 | 16 (10) | 5.0 | 5.05   |
| 721     | gi|127983 | Nucleoside diphosphate | 17,401 | 390 | 19 (11) | 2.1 | 8.08   |
| 740     | gi|34343  | Unnamed protein product | 15,048 | 236 | 14 (6) | 2.1 | 3.89   |
| 772     | gi|34773  | Unnamed protein product | 10,885 | 248 | 10 (5) | 2.1 | 5.8   |

Ions score is -10^*Log(P), where P is the probability that the observed match is a random event. Individual ions scores > 34 indicate identity or extensive homology (P<0.05)
Here, we investigated the different protein expression between EoL-1 and EoL-1-IR cells. Two-dimensional electrophoresis analysis was used for examining different proteins between EOL-1 and EOL-1-IR cells. After separation in the second dimension, 511 spots were routinely detected on two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) gels of EoL-1 cell lysates, and 479 spots were detected on 2-DE gels of EoL-1-IR cell lysates (Fig. 1A). Molecular mass and pH values were also indicated. The arrow marks in 2DE of EoL-1-IR lysate indicate spots that were differentially expressed by more than 2-fold compared to spots in 2DE of EoL-1 cell lysate. This analysis identified an increase (Fig. 1B) or a reduction (Fig. 1C) of more than 2-fold spots with a significant difference in EoL-1-IR cells compared to EoL-1 cells. Table 1 describes the names of the proteins, which increased more than 2-fold in EoL-1-IR compared to EoL-1 cells. TIP49 (RUVBL1, Pontin), RBBP7 (RbAp46), Alpha-enolase, adenosine deaminase, C protein (ribonuclear protein particle C), Eukaryotic translation initiation factor, interferon-gamma and human protein homologous to DROER protein were detected by MALDI-TOF/TOF. Both TIP49 and RBBP7 proteins have been known to be involved in cancer pathogenesis (Si et al., 2010; Yeh et al., 2015). The names of the proteins, which decreased more than 2-fold in EOL-1-IR compared to EOL-1 cells are described in Table 2. Core I protein, Proteasome subunit p-42, Heterogeneous ribonuclear particle protein, Unnamed protein product Chain B, Nucleoside diphosphate were detected by MALDI-TOF/TOF. Resistance was observed in various situations as CEL patients take imatinib for a long time. Although we unveiled the proteins increased or decreased by imatinib resistance, their exact mechanisms remain to be unknown. Further study is required to elucidate the exact relationship of the proteins with drug resistance.
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