How Emergency Physicians’ gender shaped by patients attending emergency departments in culturally conservative society?
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Abstract

Background: Culturally conservative patients may feel uncomfortable when examined by opposite sex of EP (Emergency Physician).

Objective: To determines the preferred EP gender among ED (Emergency Department) patients and investigates which characteristics affect their choice

Design: Observational cross-sectional study.

Setting: Four major emergency departments.

Patients: Adults patients attending emergency departments.

Main outcome measures: Randomly selected patients answered a structured questionnaire detailing socio-demographic information and cultural status. Patients were asked about the preferred gender of EP in critical and non-critical presentation and the diverse characteristics of EP.

Categorical variables were summarized as frequencies, percentages and compared by Chi-square test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the impact of important variables over gender preference. The level of statistical significance was set at \( P < .05 \).

Results: The interviewed 407 patients (56% females) reflected a wide range of age, educational level, marital status, and occupation. In non-critical conditions, 41% of female patients preferred female EP which was statistically significant (\( p < 0.004 \)). In critical conditions, 27% of female patients preferred female EP. Experience, concerning, trustfulness and making the patient comfortable were the major characteristic features among EP.

Using univariate logistic regression in non-critical conditions for female EP preference; the significant factors are: origin (\( P = .02 \)), gender (\( P < .0001 \)), age (\( p = 0.006 \)), and occupation (\( P = .01 \)). While in multivariate logistic regression only gender and age was found to be significant (\( p < 0.0001 \), \( P = .01 \) respectively. Univariate logistic regression in critical conditions for female EP preference found the significant factors are: gender (\( P < 0.0001 \)), occupation (\( P < 0.0001 \)), and religion commitment (\( P = .03 \)). While in multivariate logistic regression only gender and occupation were found to be significant (\( P < 0.0001 \), \( P = .004 \) respectively.

Conclusions: Both genders of EP are needed in emergency departments, however, more female EPs are needed for the delivery of high-quality gender-sensitive healthcare in ED.

Background

Emergency Department (ED) is a highly specialized critical care center and admits, discharge, or refer patients. In critical and highly emergent care situations, rapid communication is key to providing optimum care. Patient reassurance and trust is an important aspect of cultural communication in Emergency situations, especially for culturally conservative patients who may feel uncomfortable when examined by opposite sex [1,2].

Research indicates that physician-patient communication has a significant influence on the outcome of patient care, including patient satisfaction, compliance to treatment, recall and understanding of medical information, coping with the disease and even actual state of health [3-8].

Gender relations in the medical context given cultural ethics concerning cross-gender interaction one can understand how the medical arena may be uncomfortable for some culturally conservative people. The challenge for providers is to understand and recognize when, cultural conceptions of modesty might make patients reticent to change their dress, to expose parts of their body for examination by a member of the opposite sex even in the presence of a chaperone.

Cultural needs in Emergency Medicine is an important concern raised and discussed in the literature [9]. Which in turn might affect diagnosis and treatment and, therefore, awareness and fulfilment of medical needs.
the needs might reduce health disparities. Culture includes values, beliefs, customs, and ways of thinking, the explanation of disease and its progression, and patient compliance. These beliefs range broadly from disease causation, interpretation of symptoms, and appropriate treatment and prevention, to values attached to medical interventions and physical examination.

Women in some culture advised covering their hair, body, arms, and legs at any time she meets stranger men. That is including physical contact with opposite gender medical care providers, except in cases of medical emergencies. This framework explains why many prefer to see a same-sex physician, particularly in consultations necessitating examination of the genitalia despite the standard practice calls for chaperones when conducting opposite sex examinations.

Emergency medicine specialty has traditionally been a male specialty and remains so in spite of increasing female representation. Internationally, female medical school graduates have been increasing, as has the number of females entering emergency medicine. Licensed emergency medicine consultants in Saudi Arabia is less than 1% of all other specialties and the number of female emergency medicine residents, as well as female consultants, licensed to practice emergency medicine in Saudi Arabia is still low (< 1%) [19].

This descriptive study determines the preferred EP gender among ED (Emergency Department) patients and investigates which characteristics affect their choice.

**Methods**

**Aim and hypothesis**

We hypothesized that ED patients chose the same of their gender, hence the aim of this study was to examine their gender preference. A structured survey was distributed to patients attending four major tertiaries and teaching emergency departments (EDs) in an urban big city. These emergency departments are representing a range of socioeconomic strata. Participants were randomly selected to match the investigators’ schedule, which was randomly distributed, including morning, evening, and night shifts. The permission of the ED director and consent of the participant were obtained verbally, emergency medicine residents and pediatric emergency fellows administered the surveys.

**Development of questions**

A suitable survey was constructed and the questions were developed on the following steps.

1. Literature had been searched for existing surveys on EP gender preference.
2. A survey of a culture similar to the study population has not been found.
3. A self-reported 14-item questionnaire was developed.
4. The first part of the questionnaire gathered basic sociodemographic data (age, country of origin, family status, cultural status, education, and employment).
5. The culture conservative status of the respondents was classified into one of three subgroups; secular, conservative and extremely conservative. The classification was measured according to their self-definition and estimation (the self-estimation has evaluated their intensity of belief and accordingly life habits).
6. The second part, the patients were asked about their gender preferences for EP, and in the last part, they were asked about diverse characteristics they sought in their preferred EP.
7. The survey was written in two versions Arabic and English.
8. The survey was pre-tested on trial at one of the hospitals included on the study for accuracy and ease of administration, and to identify problematic questions and edit them appropriately.
9. No ambiguous questions had been found after a trial of pre-testing for validity and feasibility.

**Timeline and sample size**

The study was conducted over 6 months. The calculated sample size needed to be 367 subjects based on 95% confidence level and probable error of 5%. Given that some participants may have withdrawn; the sample size was increased to 400 participants.

**Inclusion and exclusion**

Adults’ patients attending EDs with Canadian Acuity Triage Acuity Scale (CTAS) level II were included. A participant with Canadian Acuity Triage Acuity Scale (CTAS) level I, Intoxicated, Geriatric, Demented, Major psychiatric disorders and participants not providing informed consent were excluded.

Non-Arabic-speaking respondents were aided by translators.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using SAS, version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Descriptive statistics for the categorical variables were summarized as frequencies, percentages and compared by Chi-square test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the impact of important variables over gender preference. The level of statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

**Participants Information and Consent**

Participants were informed that this study aimed to assess patients’ preference of EP gender in Saudi Arabia. Participants were asked about their burn experience, use of first aid, and the best means of conveying the initial management and prevention program. At the beginning of the interview, one of the investigators obtained verbal informed consent from the participants. The Office of Research Affairs approved the study, and confidentiality of data collection was protected.

**Work plan**

The participants were interviewed over 4 months by the coinvestigator. The collected data were managed in the fifth month by the principal investigator. Then, the data were statistically managed by the statistician and the principal investigator.

**Results**

Four major tertiary care and teaching hospitals were sites of recruitment with a total of 407 participants being interviewed (hospital A 101, hospital B 101, hospital C 101, and hospital D 104). (Figure 1). The participating hospitals were the base training centers for the emergency medicine residency training program, with no major socioeconomic variation found among them.

The participant (56% females) reflected a wide range of age, educational level, marital status and occupation (Table 1). Using Chi-square test the data showed a significant association between the four
Table 1. Patients characteristics.

| Characteristic          | Number (%) | Total = 407 |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| **Origin**              |            |             |
| Middle East             | 315 (77)   |             |
| Asia                    | 65 (16)    |             |
| Africa                  | 14 (3)     |             |
| Europe                  | 8 (2)      |             |
| South, North America, and others | 5 (2) |         |
| **Sex**                 |            |             |
| Female                  | 226 (56)   |             |
| Male                    | 181 (44)   |             |
| **Age**                 |            |             |
| <30                     | 174 (42)   |             |
| 31-40                   | 122 (30)   |             |
| 41-50                   | 49 (12)    |             |
| 51-60                   | 39 (10)    |             |
| >60                     | 23 (6)     |             |
| **Marital status**      |            |             |
| Married                 | 213 (52)   |             |
| Single                  | 140 (34)   |             |
| Separated               | 37 (9)     |             |
| Divorced                | 11 (3)     |             |
| Widowed                 | 6 (2)      |             |
| **Occupation**          |            |             |
| Government employee     | 157 (39)   |             |
| Housewife               | 74 (18)    |             |
| Private sector employee | 66 (16)    |             |
| Student                 | 59 (15)    |             |
| Unemployed              | 29 (7)     |             |
| Retired                 | 24 (6)     |             |
| **Education level**     |            |             |
| Primary                 | 62 (15)    |             |
| Intermediate            | 56 (14)    |             |
| Secondary               | 95 (23)    |             |
| University              | 179 (44)   |             |
| Higher education        | 15 (4)     |             |
| **Cultural Commitment** |            |             |
| Committed               | 322 (79)   |             |
| Extremely committed     | 43 (11)    |             |
| Secular                 | 42 (10)    |             |

Figure 1. The population of participants recruited for the gender study.

**Discussion**

This unique study in ED setting showed half of the patients have a gender preference. Female patients preferred female ED physician especially during internal examinations in non-critical situations. However, this preference might be ignored in case of critical situations (Figure 2). Though no similar ED studies, however, this attitude has not really changed half a century ago, neither where women preferred female gynecologists nor recently as demonstrated by Bashour et al. when reported that more than 85% of Syrian preferred their obstetrician to be a female [22-36]. Similar results found by Lafta et al. where 74%
of Iraqi female patients preferred female gynecologists, 8% preferred male gynecologists and 18% had no gender preference [37]. In 2005, Rizk et al. carried out a survey on gender preference and other factors in gynecologist/obstetrician preference in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) in which the majority of participants (95%) were culturally conservative (Muslims). They found that gender ranked as one of the important factors for selection, regardless of other relevant factors [38].

The current study found trust, showing concerns and making the patient comfortable determines physician gender selection, which was consistent with what Deorase et al found [39]. However, the culture commitment was a major factor related to patient decision toward their physician gender selection, which is matching with some other studies [33,36,37]. Several studies have demonstrated variation in communication patterns between physician and patient’s gender, which influence healthcare outcomes and cost savings [40-43]. Some studies showed female physicians to be more empathetic in communication style and spend longer time with patients, gather information about psychosocial issues and involve patients in decision making than their male colleagues [44,45].

Other studies have shown that female physicians adhere to clinical guidelines as our finding showing that women trusted female physicians more than they trusted male physicians is important, since recent research found that patients’ trust in their physicians was a major correlate of patient adherence, satisfaction, provide preventive care more often and improved health status [46-49].

Though few of our male patients preferred female physicians, studies showed either they rated them equally or they were more satisfied [26,34,39].

As more ED physicians are needed, teams should include women physicians not only because more emergency physicians are needed within the medical community but because the ideas, skills, interests, and creativity women bring are essential to the ongoing success of the EM specialty [50].

Given the tendency towards women's greater satisfaction with female physicians, male clinicians should be sensitized to the possibility that female patients may have different preferences in their interactions with physicians. It is possible that with attention to the communication skills during training, male physicians may be able to learn how to better communicate with their female patients. There also may be a component of the female-female dyad that is not transferable, meaning that no matter how hard male physicians try, female patients will still prefer female physicians. The study will help in the development of appropriate strategies for the implementation of knowledge about physician and patient gender differences, which will be crucial for the delivery of high-quality gender-sensitive healthcare [51,52].

Conclusions

Both genders of EP are needed in emergency departments, however, more female EPs are needed for the delivery of high-quality gender-sensitive healthcare in ED.

Limitations

This study is limited by lack of objective measures of patient-physician interaction in order to calibrate patient perspectives like time spent with the patient. Though the spent time by physicians was not a major determinant factor for choosing physician gender as would expect that all ED physicians, regardless of their gender, would be under similar time constraints. This is inconsistent with previous studies that showed same gender dyads tend to have longer visits than opposite gender dyads (longest between female physicians and female patients and shortest between male physicians and female patients [50].

Second, we didn’t control for several other potential confounders: triage, waiting time before seen by a physician, laboratory and radiological turnaround times. We don’t expect a lack of these data may affect the patient selection of the gender type.
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