Two aquaporins, multiple ways of assembly
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Protein oligomerization is a biological relevant event that may provide functional advantages to biological systems.1 The association of aquaporin (AQP) protomers to form hetero-oligomeric assemblies is a current challenging area of research. PIP1 and PIP2, members of the plant AQP subfamily named PIP (for plant plasma membrane intrinsic proteins), have been intensively studied in the recent years particularly due to their ability to hetero-oligomerize.

All AQPs share a common tetrameric structure, where each protomer has 6 membrane-spanning α helices (from 1 to 6) connected by 5 loops (from A to D), and loops B and E fold as half helices inserted in the membrane to form an individual pore; in this way each AQP protomer in the tetramer has a single active pore.2 Members of PIP1 and PIP2 subgroups not only have the conserved tridimensional structure of the AQP family but also display a high degree of amino acid similarity between them. However, while most PIP1 protein is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum unless co-expressed with PIP2, PIP2 is effectively transported to the plasma membrane.3-6 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3 The routing modification of PIP1 from the endoplasmic reticulum after its co-expression with PIP2 is effective transport to the plasma membrane.3

We investigated the full characterization of the biological and biophysical properties of the different hetero-oligomeric configurations formed by red beet PIP1 and PIP2 subunits. Our results showed that PIP1 and PIP2 protomers have the ability to assemble with multiple stoichiometries, giving rise to 3:1, 1:3 or 2:2 heterotetramers, and all these tetramers are localized at the plasma membrane.7 A variable stoichiometry has also been proposed for strawberry PIPs4 and, recently, a similar result was confirmed for maize PIPs8. In the case of red beet PIPs, we showed that although all stoichiometric assemblies can be formed, which one prevails depends on PIP1 and PIP2 relative expression. If one PIP monomer (PIP1 or PIP2) out-numbers the other, the assembly of 1:3 (or 3:1) heterotetramers is favored and even homotetramers of PIP1 or PIP2 can be the predominant species in the cell.

It must be stressed that even though the association of PIP protomers occurs with random stoichiometry, their precise interactions are controlled by specific protomer contacts. The absence of these contacts can affect their biological activity3 and even preclude their packing within heterotetramers.6 In this regard, there are many lines of evidence that minor but critical changes in loop A, loop E and transmembrane domains, i.e. single amino acid mutations, are sufficient to produce changes in protomer interaction and, as a consequence of structural rearrangements, modifications in the functional properties of the PIP tetramers occur.

Interestingly, in the case of red beet PIPs, we found that the water permeability behavior of all PIP1-PIP2 heterotetrameric species is the same. Nevertheless, PIP1-PIP2 heterotetramers display major differences compared to homotetramer activity: i- the water transport of heterotetramers is twice the contribution of PIP2 AQP1.
homotetramers, while the contribution of the PIP1 homotetramer is null as they are not able to reach the plasma membrane, ii- all PIP1-PIP2 heterotetrameric species show the same $P_f$ (osmotic water permeability coefficient) inhibition by intracellular pH, but a clear differential regulation in comparison with PIP2 homotetramers, as the closure of PIP2 homotetramers occurs at more acidic values. The only characteristic shared between PIP1-PIP2 heterotetramers and PIP2 homotetramers is the degree of cooperativity for proton sensing: all red beet PIP tetramers display not only a positive cooperativity response, but also a sigmoidal behavior for pH induced $P_f$ block with a similar extent of cooperativity (Fig. 1).

The results obtained for PIP1 and PIP2 interactions show that the mixture in plasma membrane of different amounts of PIP2 homotetramers and/or PIP1-PIP2 heterotetramers allow variable water permeability and different apparent cooperativity for the opening and closing of these channels. Remarkably, only 2 PIP aquaporins can give rise to a wide spectrum of water transport modes. In this way, the membrane water permeability can be modulated by both the relative amounts of each kind of PIP tetramer confined in the membrane and the intracellular proton concentration. These findings represent a novel regulatory mechanism to adjust water transport across the plant plasma membrane. Moreover it confirms that the biophysical studies of intersubunit protein interactions may shed light on how quaternary structures endow the protomers with specific biological properties in oligomeric channels.
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