New Efficient Numerical Model for Solving Second, Third and Fourth Order Ordinary Differential Equations Directly
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Highlights

• The paper focused on the numerical solution of higher order initial value problems.
• Power series was used as the basis function for the derivation of the method.
• The method solved second, third and fourth order ordinary differential equations concurrently.
• This method satisfied the basic properties of a linear multistep method.
• This method generated more accurate results than the existing numerical methods.

Abstract

This article presents a two-step hybrid linear multistep block method for solving second, third and fourth order initial value problems of ordinary differential equations directly. The derivation of the method was done using collocation and interpolation techniques, while approximated power series was used as an interpolating polynomial. The fourth derivative of the power series was collocated at the entire grid and off-grid points, while the fifth and sixth derivatives of the polynomial were collocated at the endpoint only. The basic properties of the developed method, that is, order, error constant, zero stability, region of absolute stability, convergence and consistency of the method were properly investigated. The numerical results demonstrated that the scheme developed handles: second, third and fourth order ordinary differential equations efficiently and accurately when compared with existing methods. The proposed method takes away the burden of developing a separate method for the solution of second, third and fourth order initial value problem of ordinary differential equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

A numerical method is an approach where difficult problems in mathematics are being solved. This technique provides an approximate solution to differential equations which are ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and partial differential equations (PDEs). Different researchers have worked on the development of numerical methods for solving these differential equations and this includes [1-4].

The direct numerical solution of second, third and fourth order initial value problem of ODEs of the system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddots (x) &= f(x, y, y', y'') y(x_0) = y_0, y'(x_0) = y_1, y''(x_0) = y_2, y'''(x_0) = y_3 \\
\end{align*}
\]

is considered using a single linear multistep hybrid technique in this research.
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There are two approaches to solving Equation (1). The first approach is to reduce Equation (1) above to its equal system of first order ordinary differential equations, and to solve using the appropriate method as highlighted by [5-7]. The second approach for solving (1) is using a direct method as proposed by [8-11]. These methods give the numerical solution one point at a time, but the results are poor in terms of accuracy.

To overcome these challenges and bring improvement on the numerical method, [12-14] developed block procedures for solving higher order ODEs directly. Here, the correctness of the procedures is better than when it is reduced to the arrangement of first order ODEs. Similarly, [14-16] established a hybrid block method for the direct solution of the general second, third and fourth order initial value problem of ODEs respectively. Generalized hybrid technique for solving second order ordinary differential equations directly was also done by [17]. [18] presented a direct two-point parallel block method for solving third and fourth order ODEs. [19] built a 2-point block mode for solving first and second order ODEs using different step size.

In this study, the usage of a lone hybrid block linear multistep method for the solution of second, third and fourth order ordinary differential equations which are uncommon in Numerical Analysis literature will be our focus.

Therefore, we are going to extend the work done in [18] and [19] by implementing a 2-step hybrid technique in block mode to solve second, third and fourth order ordinary differential equations directly.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1. The Development of the New Numerical Technique

We considered power series as an estimated solution to Equation (1) to be the model

\[ y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+9} a_j x^j \]  

(2)

where \( a_j \)'s are parameters to be determined and \( k \) is the step-length.

The fourth, fifth and sixth derivatives of (2) are obtained as:

\[ y''(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+9} j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)a_j x^{j-4} \]  

(3)

\[ y'''(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+9} j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)(j-4)a_j x^{j-5} \]  

(4)

\[ y''''(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+9} j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)(j-4)(j-5)a_j x^{j-6} \]  

(5)

Collocating the fourth derivative at all the grid and off-grid points \( x = x_{n+j} \), where \( j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2 \) and the fifth and sixth derivatives are collocated at \( x = x_{n+j} \), where \( j = 2 \). The base function is being interpolated at \( x = x_{n+j} \), \( j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2} \). These equations were then combined to generate a structure of non-linear system of equations which were solved using Gaussian Elimination Method. The resulting values generated were substituted back to the power series to give a continuous hybrid formula of the form in Equation (6)

\[ y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+4} a_j(x) y_{n+j} + h^4 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j(x) f_{n+j} + \beta_r(x) f_{n+r} \right) + h^5 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} r_j(x) g_{n+j} \right) + h^6 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k} \tau_j(x) m_{n+j} \right) \]  

(6)
where \( y(x) \) is the numerical solution of the initial value problem, \( v = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \) are the hybrid points and 
\( \alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j \) and \( \tau_j \) are constants. \( f_{n+j}, g_{n+j} \) and \( m_{n+j} \) are expressed in Equations (7), (8) and (9) as follows:

\[
f_{n+j} = y\left(x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}, y'_{n+j}, y''_{n+j}\right) \quad j = 0(1)k \tag{7}
\]

\[
g_{n+j} = y\left(x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}, y'_{n+j}, y''_{n+j}\right) \quad j = 0(1)k \tag{8}
\]

\[
m_{n+j} = y\left(x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}, y'_{n+j}, y''_{n+j}, y'''_{n+j}\right) \quad j = 0(1)k \tag{9}
\]

It should be noted that \( \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0 \) and \( \tau_0 \) are not zero since (3), (4) and (5) are continuous and differentiable; hence it is evaluated along with its derivatives at all the grid and off-grid points. This will produce a block method for general higher order ordinary differential equation of the type in Equation (10) below:

\[
A^{(0)}Y_m = A^{(i)}Y_{m-1} + h^\mu \left[B^{(i)}F_m + B^{(0)}Y_{m-1}\right] \tag{10}
\]

where

\[
Y_m = [y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \ldots, y_{n+r}]^T, \quad Y_{m-1} = [y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, \ldots, y_{n}]^T,
\]

\[
F_m = [f_n, f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}, \ldots, f_{n+k}]^T, \quad F_{m-1} = [f_{n-1}, f_{n-2}, f_{n-3}, \ldots, f_n]^T, \mu
\]

is the order of the differential equation.

This gives the independent solution \( \{y_{n+j}\}, i = 1(1)k \) without overlapping.

Using the transformation,

\[
t = \frac{(x-x_{n+1})}{h}, \quad dt = \frac{1}{h} dx
\]

the coefficients of \( y_{n+j}, g_{n+j} \) and \( m_{n+j} \) are gotten in terms of \( t \) as follows:

\[
\alpha_0(t) = \left(-\frac{11}{3}t + 4t^2 - \frac{4}{3}t^3 + 1\right)
\]

\[
\alpha_1(t) = \left(4t^3 - 10t^2 + 6t\right)
\]

\[
\alpha_2(t) = \left(-4t^3 + 8t^2 - 3t\right)
\]

\[
\alpha_3(t) = \left(\frac{2}{3}t - 2t^2 + \frac{4}{3}t^3\right)
\]

\[
\beta_0(t) = h^4 \left\{\frac{131}{40320}t^8 - \frac{31}{720}t^5 - \frac{1}{2016}t^9 + \frac{1}{24}t^4 - \frac{7}{576}t^7 + \frac{1}{30240}t^6 - 1397t^5 - 15893t^4 + 41t^3 + 30973t^2\right\}
\]

\[
\beta_1(t) = h^4 \left\{-\frac{73}{420}t^7 - \frac{1}{5}t^5 - \frac{2}{189}t^8 + \frac{33}{560}t^6 - 103t^2 + \frac{19}{17920}t^5 - 1260t^1 - 299t^0 + \frac{11}{53760}t^3 + \frac{5}{18}t^2\right\}
\]

\[
\beta_2(t) = h^4 \left\{\frac{116}{315}t^7 + \frac{5}{315}t^9 - \frac{43}{315}t^8 + \frac{1259}{24192}t^2 + \frac{16}{45}t^5 - \frac{2}{5760}t^7 - 7579t^3 - \frac{8}{15}t^6 - \frac{2}{945}t^10\right\}
\]

Using the transformation, 
\[
t = \frac{(x-x_{n+1})}{h}, \quad dt = \frac{1}{h} dx
\]

and

\[
Y_m = [y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \ldots, y_{n+r}]^T, \quad Y_{m-1} = [y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, \ldots, y_{n}]^T,
\]

\[
F_m = [f_n, f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}, \ldots, f_{n+k}]^T, \quad F_{m-1} = [f_{n-1}, f_{n-2}, f_{n-3}, \ldots, f_n]^T, \mu
\]

is the order of the differential equation.
\[ \beta_2(t) = h^4 \left( -\frac{45107}{181440} t^9 + 1198717 \frac{1}{34836480} t^8 - \frac{499}{2160} t^7 + \frac{3055}{163296} t^6 + \frac{11423}{120960} t^5 + \frac{13693}{38880} t^4 + \frac{83}{54432} t^3 + \frac{41893}{11612160} t^2 + \frac{4231973}{52254720} t \right) \]

\[ \tau_2(t) = h^5 \left( -\frac{1}{1296} t^9 + \frac{5}{1344} t^8 + \frac{1}{15120} t^7 + \frac{13}{92160} t^6 + \frac{1681}{580608} t^5 + \frac{7}{540} t^4 + \frac{2431}{1935360} t^3 - \frac{1}{120} t^2 + \frac{19}{2016} t \right) \]

\[ \gamma_2(t) = h^6 \left( \frac{517}{6048} t^7 + \frac{181}{27216} t^5 - \frac{19}{576} t^3 - \frac{5}{972} t - \frac{349}{276480} t^5 - \frac{1741824}{149612} t^3 - \frac{155}{1296} t^2 + \frac{13535}{1161216} t^2 + \frac{7}{90} t \right) . \]

Evaluating the continuous method at the endpoint i.e. at \( y_{n+2} \) yields equation (11)

\[ y_{n+2} = 4y_{n+3} + 4y_{n+2} - 6y_n + y_{n+1} + \frac{h^4}{5806080} \left[ 9871f_{n+2} + 48096f_{n+3} + 244296f_{n+4} + \frac{11612160}{181440} \left( 1 - 750h_{n+2} + 450h_{n+2} \right) \right] . \]

Evaluating the first, second and third derivatives of the continuous scheme at all the points give:

\[ y_n' = \frac{1}{11612160h} \left( 1638h^6m_{n+2} - 14658h^5g_{n+2} - 12573h^4f_n - 6458h^4f_{n+1} + 41893h^4f_{n+2} - 269152h^4f_{n+3} \right) \]

\[ y_n'' = \frac{1}{69672960h} \left( 4068h^6m_{n+2} - 41178h^5g_{n+2} + 1143h^4f_n + 434808h^4f_{n+1} + 129809h^4f_{n+2} + 385216h^4f_{n+3} \right) \]

\[ y_n''' = \frac{1}{34836480h} \left( -225216h^4f_{n+1} - 46448640y_{n+1} + 139345920y_{n+2} - 69672960y_{n+1} - 23224320y_{n+2} \right) \]

\[ y_n'''' = \frac{1}{7741440h} \left( 2358h^6m_{n+2} - 23466h^5g_{n+2} - 873h^4f_n + 290088h^4f_{n+1} + 7321h^4f_{n+2} + 123424f_{n+3} \right) \]

\[ y_n''''' = \frac{1}{34836480h} \left( -1229h^6m_{n+2} - 11612160y_n - 34836480y_{n+1} + 69672960y_{n+2} - 23224320y_{n+1} \right) \]

\[ y_n'''''' = \frac{1}{7741440h} \left( 738h^6m_{n+2} - 6942h^5g_{n+2} - 339h^4f_n + 187848h^4f_{n+1} + 20363h^4f_{n+2} + 54976h^4f_{n+3} \right) \]

\[ y_n'''''''' = \frac{1}{34836480h} \left( -2092h^4f_{n+1} - 5160960y_{n+1} - 46448640y_{n+2} + 23224320y_{n+1} + 28385280y_{n+2} \right) \]

\[ y_n''''' = \frac{1}{34836480h} \left( 810h^6m_{n+2} - 69774h^5g_{n+2} - 5355h^4f_n + 5640840h^4f_{n+1} + 227875h^4f_{n+2} + 1332320h^4f_{n+3} \right) \]

\[ y_n''''''' = \frac{1}{17418240h^2} \left( 43758h^6m_{n+2} - 406050h^5g_{n+2} - 278757h^4f_n + 200232h^4f_{n+1} + 1198717h^4f_{n+2} - 3298912h^4f_{n+3} - 1812960h^4f_{n+4} - 139345920y_n \right) \]

\[ y_n^{(v)} = \frac{1}{17418240h^2} \left( -278691840y_{n+1} + 348364800y_{n+1} + 69672960y_{n+1} \right) . \]
\( y_{m+2} = \frac{1}{34836480h^2} \left( 4194h^6m_{n+2} - 38910h^5g_{n+2} - 18531h^4f_{a} + 59400h^4f_{n+1} + 114779h^3f_{n+2} - 709184h^2f_{\frac{1}{2}} + 172224h^2f_{\frac{3}{2}} + 139345920y_{a} + 139345920y_{n+1} + 278691840y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) 
\)

(18)

\( y_{n+1} = \frac{-1}{5806080h^2} \left( 126h^6m_{n+2} - 1050h^5g_{n+2} - 20h^4f_{a} + 112824h^4f_{n+1} + 2641h^4f_{n+2} + 4640h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} + 1056h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} + 46448640y_{n+1} - 23224320y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + 23224320y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \right) 
\)

(19)

\( y_{n} = \frac{1}{34836480h^2} \left( 11034h^6m_{n+2} - 87990h^5g_{n+2} - 6975h^4f_{a} + 5827464h^4f_{n+1} + 20319h^4f_{n+2} + 1464896h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} + 494784h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} - 139345920y_{n+1} + 557383680y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + 278691840y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \right) 
\)

(20)

\( y_{n+2} = \frac{1}{1741824h^2} \left( 8442h^6m_{n+2} - 111750h^5g_{n+2} - 4527h^4f_{a} + 656472h^4f_{n+1} + 676375h^4f_{n+2} + 144092h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} + 3931488h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} - 139345920y_{n+1} - 557383680y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + 487710720y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + 209018880y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \right) 
\)

(21)

\( y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{8709120h^2} \left( 151290h^6m_{n+2} - 1419690h^5g_{n+2} - 1287333h^4f_{a} + 3041280h^4f_{n+1} + 4231973h^4f_{n+2} - 5969504h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} - 6548256h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} - 6979260y_{n} - 209018880y_{n+1} + 209018880y_{n+1} + 69672960y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \right) 
\)

(22)

\( y_{n} = \frac{1}{8709120h^2} \left( 30690h^6m_{n+2} - 300930h^5g_{n+2} - 37665h^4f_{a} + 1963872h^4f_{n+1} + 929089h^4f_{n+2} + 871316h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} - 1549152h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} + 6979260y_{n} + 209018880y_{n+1} - 209018880y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - 69672960y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \right) 
\)

(23)

\( y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{8709120h^2} \left( 21690h^6m_{n+2} - 218730h^5g_{n+2} - 9477h^4f_{a} + 1921536h^4f_{n+1} + 691589h^4f_{n+2} + 813472h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} - 1239840h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} - 6979260y_{n} - 209018880y_{n+1} + 209018880y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + 69672960y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \right) 
\)

(24)

\( y_{n} = \frac{1}{8709120h^2} \left( 9090h^6m_{n+2} - 120930h^5g_{n+2} - 81h^4f_{a} - 3811104h^4f_{n+1} + 479473h^4f_{n+2} - 697120h^4f_{\frac{1}{2}} - 2503008h^4f_{\frac{3}{2}} + 6979260y_{n} + 209018880y_{n+1} - 209018880y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - 69672960y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \right) 
\)

(25)
\[
y''_{n+2} = \frac{1}{8709120h^3} \left\{ 13050h^6m_{n+2} - 267690h^4g_{n+2} - 1701h^4f_n + 3704832h^4f_{n+1} + 2399525h^4f_{n+2} + 715168h^4f_{n+12} + 4068576h^4f_{n+3} - 69672960y_n \right\}.
\]

(26)

These schemes were combined in matrix form through matrix inversion; and a block method was produced in the following form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 + h \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 + h + h^4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 + h^4 + h^5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 + h^5 + h^6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 + h^6 + h^7 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & y_{n+1}^1 + h^7 + h^8 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_{n+1}^1 + h^8 + h^9 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y''_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1}^1 \\
y_{n+1}^2 \\
y_{n+1}^3 \\
y_{n+1}^5 \\
y_{n+1}^7 \\
y_{n+1}^9 \\
y_{n+1}^{11} \\
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
1/8709120h^3 \\
1/4320 \\
1/2143 \\
1/12096 \\
1/19143 \\
1/28672 \\
1/158 \\
1/945 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
y''_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1}^1 \\
y_{n+1}^2 \\
y_{n+1}^3 \\
y_{n+1}^5 \\
y_{n+1}^7 \\
y_{n+1}^9 \\
y_{n+1}^{11} \\
\end{pmatrix} +
\begin{pmatrix}
7/8709120h^3 \\
5917/4320 \\
3645/2143 \\
5103/12096 \\
15120/19143 \\
14336/28672 \\
368/158 \\
945/945 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
f_{n+1} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(27)

By writing out the block in Equation (27) explicitly, we have

\[
y''_{n+2} = y_n + \frac{1}{2}hy'_n + \frac{1}{8}h^3y''_n + \frac{1}{48}h^4y'''_n + h^5
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
y''_{n+1} \\
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{7}{4320}f_n + \frac{8}{36452}f_{n+1} - \frac{5917}{1935360}f_{n+2} + \frac{139}{26880}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{5103}{12096}f_{n+1} - \frac{15120}{19143}f_{n+2} + \frac{368}{158}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{14336}{28672}f_{n+1} - \frac{1120}{945}f_{n+2} + \frac{25515}{945}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{368}{158}f_{n+1} - \frac{25515}{945}f_{n+2} + \frac{315}{729}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{14336}{28672}f_{n+1} - \frac{1120}{945}f_{n+2} + \frac{25515}{945}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{368}{158}f_{n+1} - \frac{25515}{945}f_{n+2} + \frac{315}{729}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{14336}{28672}f_{n+1} - \frac{1120}{945}f_{n+2} + \frac{25515}{945}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{368}{158}f_{n+1} - \frac{25515}{945}f_{n+2} + \frac{315}{729}f_{n+3} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(28)

\[
y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{2}hy'_n + \frac{1}{8}h^3y''_n + \frac{1}{48}h^4y'''_n + h^5
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} \\
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2143}{120960}f_n + \frac{218}{5103}f_{n+1} - \frac{709}{15120}f_{n+2} + \frac{74}{945}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{15104}{14336}f_{n+1} - \frac{368}{1120}f_{n+2} + \frac{256}{28672}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{15104}{14336}f_{n+1} - \frac{368}{1120}f_{n+2} + \frac{256}{28672}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{2143}{120960}f_n + \frac{218}{5103}f_{n+1} - \frac{709}{15120}f_{n+2} + \frac{74}{945}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{15104}{14336}f_{n+1} - \frac{368}{1120}f_{n+2} + \frac{256}{28672}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{15104}{14336}f_{n+1} - \frac{368}{1120}f_{n+2} + \frac{256}{28672}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{15104}{14336}f_{n+1} - \frac{368}{1120}f_{n+2} + \frac{256}{28672}f_{n+3} \\
\frac{2143}{120960}f_n + \frac{218}{5103}f_{n+1} - \frac{709}{15120}f_{n+2} + \frac{74}{945}f_{n+3} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(29)
\[ y'_{n+\frac{3}{2}} = y_n' + \frac{3}{2} h y_n'' + \frac{9}{8} h^2 y_n'' + \frac{9}{16} h^3 y_n'' + h^4 \]
\[ y_{n+2} = y_n + 2 h y_n' + 2 h^2 y_n'' + \frac{4}{3} h^3 y_n'' + h^4 \]

Substituting the above Equations (28) – (31) into Equations (12) – (26) yield Equations (32) – (43)

\[ y'_{n+\frac{3}{2}} = y_n' + \frac{1}{2} h y_n'' + \frac{1}{8} h^2 y_n'' + h^3 \]

\[ y_{n+1} = y_n + h y_n' + \frac{1}{2} h^2 y_n'' + h^3 \]

\[ y'_{n+2} = y_n' + 2 h y_n'' + 2 h^2 y_n'' + h^3 \]

\[ y_{n+2} = y_n + 2 h y_n' + 2 h^2 y_n'' + \frac{4}{3} h^3 y_n'' + h^4 \]
\[ y_{n+2}^{*} = y_{n}^{*} + 2hy_{n}^{*} + h^2 \left[ \frac{88}{315} f_{n} + \frac{512}{405} f_{n+1}^{1/2} - \frac{32}{105} f_{n+1} + \frac{512}{315} f_{n+2}^{1/2} \right] \]

\[ y_{n+1}^{*} = y_{n}^{*} + h \left[ \frac{8179}{53760} f_{n} + \frac{13277}{22680} f_{n+1}^{1/2} - \frac{1931}{3360} f_{n+1} + \frac{781}{840} f_{n+2}^{1/2} - \frac{860177}{151520} f_{n+1} + \frac{9559}{48384} h g_{n+2} - \frac{337}{16128} h^2 m_{n+2} \right] \]

\[ y_{n+1}^{*} = y_{n}^{*} + h \left[ \frac{493}{3360} f_{n} + \frac{13277}{22680} f_{n+1}^{1/2} - \frac{9}{70} f_{n+1} + \frac{64}{105} f_{n+2}^{1/2} - \frac{12293}{30240} f_{n+1} + \frac{139}{1008} h g_{n+2} - \frac{5}{336} h^2 m_{n+2} \right] \]

\[ y_{n+1}^{*} = y_{n}^{*} + h \left[ \frac{2649}{17920} f_{n} + \frac{643}{840} f_{n+1}^{1/2} + \frac{99}{1120} f_{n+1} + \frac{291}{280} f_{n+2}^{1/2} - \frac{29083}{53760} f_{n+1} + \frac{317}{1792} h g_{n+2} - \frac{33}{1792} h^2 m_{n+2} \right] \]

\[ y_{n+2}^{*} = y_{n}^{*} + h \left[ \frac{31}{210} f_{n} + \frac{2176}{2835} f_{n+1}^{1/2} + \frac{8}{105} f_{n+1} + \frac{128}{105} f_{n+2}^{1/2} - \frac{1193}{5670} f_{n+1} + \frac{25}{189} h g_{n+2} - \frac{1}{63} h^2 m_{n+2} \right]. \]

3. ANALYSIS OF THE PROPERTIES OF THE BLOCK

3.1. Order and Error Constant of the Block

In agreement with authors [5] and [20], we described the local truncation error related to a linear multistep method to be the linear difference operator. That is,

\[ L[y(x); h] = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \{ a_j y(x + jh) - h^q \beta_j y^{(q)}(x + jh) \}. \]  

(44)

Assuming that \( y(x) \) is sufficiently differentiable, we can expand the terms in (44) above as a Taylor series about \( x \) to obtain the expression:

\[ L[y(x); h] = C_0 y(x) + C_1 h y' + \ldots + C_q h^q y^{(q)}(x) + \ldots. \]

(45)

where the constant coefficients \( C_q, q = 0,1, \ldots \) are given as follows:

\[ C_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j, \]

\[ C_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{k} j \alpha_j, \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ C_q = \frac{1}{q!} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} j^q \alpha_j -(q-4)! \sum_{j=1}^{k} j^{q-4} \beta_j \right]. \]

According to [18], we say that our block is of uniform order \( p = 7 \) and error constants given by the vector

\[ C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 113437 \quad 653 \quad 27801 \quad 53 \\ 858370867200 \quad 335301120 \quad 3532390400 \quad 532619540 \end{bmatrix}^T. \]
3.2. Zero Stability of the Block Method

Assuming the general form of the block method:
\[ A^{(0)} Y_m = A^{(i)} Y_{m-1} + h^m \left[ B^{(i)} F_m + B^{(0)} F_{m-1} \right]. \]

A block method is assumed to be zero stable, if the roots:
\[ \det [\lambda A^{(0)} - A^{(i)}] = 0 \]

of the first characteristic polynomial fulfil \(|\lambda| \leq 1\), and for the roots with \(|\lambda| \leq 1\), the multiplicity must not surpass the order of the differential equation.

For our block,

\[
A = z^4 - z^4 = 0, z = 0, 0, 0, 0.
\]

This means that the block is zero stable.

3.3. Region of Absolute Stability

The stability nature of the method is found in the spirit of [5] and [21] shown in Figure (1) below:

![Figure 1. Showing the region of absolute stability of our method](image)
3.4. Convergence

**Theorem 1: Convergence** [5] - The necessary and sufficient condition for a linear multistep method to be convergent is for it to be consistent and zero stable. From the theorem above, the new hybrid block method is convergent.

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Here in this section, the performance of the new 2-step hybrid method was observed on some test examples. The results obtained from the test examples are shown in tabular form.

**Example 1.**

We considered the non-homogeneous example:

\[ y'' = -100y + 99\sin x, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 11, \quad h = 0.003125 \]

Exact solution: \( y = \sin(10x) + \cos(10x) + \sin x \)

Source: [22].

**Table 1.** Showing the comparison of the result for test example 1 with the error in method [22]

| \( x \) | Exact Solution | New Scheme Solution | Error | Error in Method [22] \( k = 1 \) |
|-------|----------------|---------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| 0.003125 | 1.03388166738420191 | 1.03388166738426647 | 6.45600E-14 | 7.9800E-11 |
| 0.006250  | 1.06675678785236532  | 1.06675678785236532  | 8.93400E-14 | - |
| 0.009375  | 1.09859628036472113  | 1.09859628036472113  | 2.95440E-14 | 8.3780E-10 |
| 0.012500  | 1.12937207509542852  | 1.12937207509542852  | 8.38010E-13 | - |
| 0.015625  | 1.15905714081343779  | 1.15905714081343779  | 1.47356E-12 | - |
| 0.018750  | 1.18762551124751685  | 1.18762551124751685  | 2.50735E-12 | 3.3600E-09 |
| 0.021875  | 1.21505231041349118  | 1.21505231041349118  | 1.54455E-12 | - |
| 0.025000  | 1.243137768756903     | 1.243137768756903     | 5.31101E-12 | - |
| 0.028125  | 1.26638728691567611   | 1.26638728691567611   | 7.12695E-12 | 7.3481E-09 |
| 0.031250  | 1.29025137660449047   | 1.29025137660449047   | 9.46966E-12 | - |

**Example 2.**

\[ y''' = -e^x, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = -1, \quad y''(0) = 3, \quad h = 0.1 \]

Exact Solution: \( y(x) = 2 + 2x^2 - e^{-x} \)

Source: [23].

**Table 2.** Showing the comparison of the result for test example 2 with the error in method [23]

| \( x \) | Exact Solution | New Scheme Solution | Error | Error in Method [23] \( k = 4 \) |
|-------|----------------|---------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| 0.1   | 0.91482908192435238 | 0.914829081924317644 | 3.47360E-14 | 2.5080E-13 |
| 0.2   | 0.85859724183983017 | 0.858597241840162860 | 3.32690E-13 | 6.4932E-11 |
| 0.3   | 0.83014119242399990 | 0.83014119242033990 | 3.70910E-14 | 1.6831E-09 |
| 0.4   | 0.8217530235872968 | 0.82175302359308864 | 5.79180E-13 | 3.3668E-09 |
| 0.5   | 0.85127872929987185 | 0.851278729300229951 | 3.58101E-13 | 6.6147E-09 |
Example 3.
We considered the special fourth order problem
\[ y^{(4)} + y'' = 0, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = \left(-\frac{1.1}{72-50\pi}\right), \quad y''(0) = \left(-\frac{1}{144-100\pi}\right), \quad y'''(0) = \left(-\frac{1.2}{144-100\pi}\right), \quad h = \frac{0.1}{32} \]

Exact Solution: \( y(x) = \frac{1-x - \cos x - 1.2\sin x}{144-100\pi} \)

Source: [24].

**Table 3.** Showing the comparison of the result for test example 3 with the error in method [24]

| \( x \) | Exact Solution | New Scheme Solution | Error | Error in Method [24] \( k = 1 \) |
|--------|----------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------------------|
| 0.103150 | 0.0000403745930229973261 | 0.0000403745930229994393 | 2.11320E-18 | 0.38142683E-18 |
| 0.206250 | 0.0000806915800710702613 | 0.0000806915800710702613 | 1.05766E-17 | 0.26822346E-16 |
| 0.306250 | 0.000120950746770959792 | 0.000120950746771004095 | 4.43030E-17 | 0.29384802E-16 |
| 0.406250 | 0.000161151879314058272 | 0.000161151879314125709 | 6.74370E-17 | 0.29384802E-16 |
| 0.506250 | 0.000201294764458497415 | 0.000201294764458612830 | 1.15415E-16 | 0.41813224E-15 |
| 0.603125 | 0.000241379189531230713 | 0.000241379189531382827 | 1.52114E-16 | 0.38734880E-15 |
| 0.703125 | 0.000281404942430110347 | 0.000281404942430323570 | 2.13223E-16 | 0.28714827E-15 |
| 0.803125 | 0.000321371811625958463 | 0.000321371811626220817 | 2.62354E-16 | 0.86740034E-14 |
| 0.903125 | 0.000361279586164632919 | 0.000361279586164968374 | 3.35455E-16 | 0.70802448E-14 |
| 1.003125 | 0.00040112805566983412 | 0.000401128055666983216 | 3.95875E-16 | 0.35121472E-14 |

5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

In table 1, our new scheme was applied on a non-homogenous second order initial value problem (IVP) ODE which had been solved by [22]. Our new method generated more correct results compared to the One-step hybrid block method executed by [22]. The solution of a third order IVP ODE executed by our new hybrid method is shown in table 2 and it is evident that our method performs better than that of [23]’s four-step scheme. Table 3 shows the comparison of the result of our method with [24]’s One-step hybrid block method on a special fourth order IVP ODE. However, our scheme is found to be more accurate than [24]’s.

In conclusion, we propose a two-step scheme with two hybrid points for the direct solution of second, third and fourth order IVPs of ordinary differential equations. From the three test examples solved by the new scheme; it has been established that it is effective in handling second, third and fourth order ordinary differential equations initial value problems directly. This finding is seen from the accuracy of the numerical results presented so far. Hence it is efficient, accurate and reliable.
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