Mapping atomic trapping in an optical superlattice onto the libration of a planar rotor in electric fields
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Abstract
We show that two seemingly unrelated problems—the trapping of an atom in an optical superlattice (OSL) and the libration of a planar rigid rotor in combined electric and optical fields (PR)–have isomorphic Hamiltonians. Formed by the interference of optical lattices whose spatial periods differ by a factor of two, OSL gives rise to a periodic potential that acts on atomic translation via the AC Stark effect. The PR system, also known as the generalized planar pendulum, is realized by subjecting a planar rigid rotor to combined orienting and aligning interactions due to the coupling of the rotor’s permanent and induced electric dipole moments to the combined fields. The PR system belongs to the class of conditionally quasi-exactly solvable problems and exhibits intriguing spectral properties that have been established in our previous work Becker et al (2017 Eur. Phys. J. D 71 149). Herein, we make use of both the PR → OSL mapping and the quasi-exact solvability of the PR system to treat ultracold atoms in an OSL as a semifinite-gap system. The band structure of this system follows from the eigenenergies and their genuine and avoided crossings obtained previously for the PR system as solutions of the Whittaker-Hill equation. These solutions characterize both the squeezing and the tunneling of atoms trapped in an OSL and pave the way to unraveling their dynamics in analytic form. Furthermore, the PR → OSL mapping makes it possible to establish correspondence between concepts developed for the two eigenproblems individually, such as localization on the one hand and orientation/alignment on the other.

1. Introduction

The spatial patterns imprinted upon ensembles of gaseous atoms by optical lattices have served as platforms for quantum simulation of condensed matter systems as well as for quantum information processing, including quantum computation [1–13]. Superimposed commensurate lattices (or superlattices for short) whose spatial periods are in integer ratios have enabled patterned loading key to achieving versatile atom-lattice architectures [14], quantum computing with atom transport [15], atom-pair manipulation [16], and topologically protected transport [17]. The engineering of optical lattices and superlattices has been recently reviewed in [18].

Herein, we show that the translational confinement of atoms in an optical superlattice (OSL) formed by the interference of optical lattices whose spatial periods differ by a factor of two can be mapped onto the libration of a planar rigid rotor (PR) in external fields. The latter system is realized by subjecting a planar rotor to combined orienting and aligning interactions that arise due to the coupling of the rotor’s permanent and induced dipole moments with collinear external electric fields [19–32].

Interestingly, pulsed optical traps had been used earlier to simulate the kicked rotor [33–35] as a way of modelling quantum chaos and Anderson localization [36–40]. In contradistinction, our present study makes
use of the previously established features of the driven rotor to shed light on the behavior of ultracold atoms confined in an OSL. Among these features is the conditional quasi-exact solvability (C-QES) of the former system eigenproblem: under certain conditions (i.e. for particular ratios of the strengths of the orienting and aligning interactions), many (but not all) eigenfunctions and eigenenergies are available in analytic form. These can be then used, *inter alia*, to obtain the analytic form of several lattice band-edge states.

Moreover, the time-independent Schrödinger equation (TISE) for a planar rotor subject to combined orienting and aligning fields (also known as the generalized planar pendulum) is isomorphic with the Whittaker-Hill equation, which is a special case of the Hill differential equation [41]. The spectral characteristics of the Whittaker-Hill equation give rise to a semifinite-gap structure (every second gap is eventually closed) of the OSL system. To our knowledge, the Whittaker-Hill equation has not been considered in the optical lattice literature, unlike the Mathieu equation (another special case of the Hill equation family) which is well-known in the study of atoms in one-dimensional optical lattices consisting of single wells (only a cos term is involved). The spectral properties of the Whittaker-Hill equation provide a new means to control the OSL configurations.

In addition, we have established a relationship between the main physical characteristics of the two eigenproblems such as localization on the one hand and directionality (orientation and alignment) on the other.

We note that also the Hamiltonian for molecular torsion in polyatomics [42], whether or not subject to coherent control [43–45], is isomorphic with the Hamiltonians of the two systems under consideration, see figure 1. However, in what follows we focus on the OSL and PR systems only.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce the Hamiltonian of a single atom subject to an OSL. The isomorphism of this Hamiltonian with that of the planar rotor in combined fields is established in section 3. In section 4, we provide a survey of the C-QES of the Schrödinger equation for either Hamiltonian. In section 5, we make use of the spectral properties of the PR system to investigate the band structure of the atoms trapped in an OSL. The spatial localization of the band-edge Bloch states and its relation to the orientation and alignment of the planar rotor is treated in section 6. Finally, section 7 provides a summary of the present work and outlines prospects for its future applications. Appendix A details the analytically obtainable band-edge states while appendix B outlines the spectral properties of the Hill equation.

2. An atom interacting with a one-dimensional OSL

A one-dimensional (1D) optical lattice, generated by the interference of two linearly polarized laser beams of the same wavelength $\lambda$ counter-propagating along the x axis, produces, via the AC Stark effect, an optical trapping potential for atoms that is proportional to $\cos^2(kx)$, with $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ the wave-number of either of
In this scenario, the atom can be treated as a two-level system whose evolution is described by that of its ground state.

\[ V(x) = V_0 + V_1 \cos(2k_1x) + V_2 \cos(2k_2x - \varphi) \]  

(1)

with \( V_i = d^2 \vec{E}_i^2 / (2\hbar \Delta_i) \) the depth of the 1D lattice \( i \), \( \vec{E}_i \) the amplitude of the corresponding electric vector of the laser field, \( d \) the projection of the atomic dipole moment \( \vec{d} \) on the electric field \( \vec{E}_i \) (note that \( d \) can be different for each lattice based on the atomic states involved), \( \Delta_i \) the detuning of the laser field \( i \) from the nearest atomic resonance, and \( \hbar \) the reduced Planck constant. The relative phase of the two superimposed lattices is characterized by the angle \( \varphi \). The constant AC Stark shift \( V_0 \) between the two constituent lattices \( i = 1 \) and \( i = 2 \) will be omitted.

Provided the laser fields are sufficiently far detuned from any atomic resonance, i.e. \( \Delta_i \gg \Gamma \), with \( \Gamma \) the spontaneous emission rate, we can invoke the adiabatic approximation \([46]\) and write the effective Hamiltonian for atoms in a 1D superlattice as\(^6\)

\[ H_{\text{OSL}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \]  

(2)

with \( m \) the atomic mass. Furthermore, as long as the scattering length of the atoms is small compared to the interatomic distance, we can treat the system as a non-interacting quantum gas.

In what follows, we consider a superlattice generated by the interference of two optical lattices whose spatial periods differ by a factor of two, i.e. \( k_i \equiv k_i = 2k_0 \), where the subscript \( s \) labels the lattice with the shorter wavelength, \( \lambda_s \). Herein, we set the relative phase \( \varphi = 0 \). The effect of a non-zero relative phase on the properties of the superlattice and the solvability of the corresponding eigenvalue problem is the subject of our forthcoming work. Thus, equation (1) can be recast in the form

\[ V(x) = V_s \cos^2(k_s x) + V_r \cos(k_r x) \]  

(3)

which is suitable for establishing the mapping of the OSL onto the planar rigid rotor under the orienting (\( \propto \cos \)) and aligning (\( \propto \cos^2 \)) interactions, i.e. onto the PR system. Note that in equation (3), we have neglected a constant shift of \( V_s / 2 \) due to the transformation from \( \cos \) to \( \cos^2 \). The amplitudes \( V_s \) and \( V_r \) are determined by the linear combination of the 'short' lattice, \( V_1 \), and 'long' lattice, \( V_2 \), via

\[ V_s = 2V_1 = \frac{\hbar \Omega_i^2}{4\Delta_i} \]  

(4)

and

\[ V_r = V_2 = \frac{\hbar \Omega_i^2}{8\Delta_i} \]  

(5)

respectively, wherein \( \Omega_i = -2d \cdot \vec{E}_i / \hbar \) is the Rabi frequency.

The optical potential (3) due to the superlattice is a periodic function with period (or 'lattice constant') \( a = 2\pi / k_0 \) whose shape depends on the relative magnitude and sign of the amplitudes \( V_s \) and \( V_r \). As shown in figure 2, for \( V_s < 0 \) the shape of the OSL potential can be varied from a single-well (SW) potential in the case \( |V_s| > |V_r| \) to an asymmetric double-well (DW) potential when \( |V_s| < |V_r| \) over the unit cell of the superlattice. For \( |V_s| = |V_r| \), the potential has a flat maximum where the first, second, and third derivatives of the potential are zero. Hence the shape of the OSL potential for a given atom can be tailored by changing the ratio of the laser intensity to the detuning of the two constituent optical lattices.

The choice of the sign of \( V_r \) is arbitrary since it is equivalent to a shift of \( V(x) \) by half a period (\( = \pi / k_0 \)) in \( x \). Thus, without a loss of generality, we can assume \( V_r > 0 \), although the results and discussion presented below apply to both cases: for a blue-detuned \( (\Delta_2 > 0) \) as well as a red-detuned long lattice \( (\Delta_2 < 0) \). In contrast, the lattice geometry and its band structure are qualitatively different depending on whether \( V_r \) is positive or negative, as illustrated in figure 3. Hereafter, we consider the short lattice to have a red detuning, \( \Delta_s < 0 \) (\( V_r \) negative), giving rise to an OSL potential consisting of an asymmetric double well with a local minimum, \( (V_r + V_s) \), a global minimum, \( (V_s - V_r) \), and a maximum, \( -V_r^2/(4V_s) \), as shown in panel (a) of figure 3. Panel (b) shows what the OSL potential looks like for \( V_r \) positive.

\(^6\) In this scenario, the atom can be treated as a two-level system whose evolution is described by that of its ground state.
3. Comparing an atom subject to an OSL with a planar rotor subject to combined orienting and aligning interactions

The Hamiltonian of a planar (2D) rigid rotor subject to collinear orienting and aligning interactions is given by [47–49]

\[ H_{PR} = -B \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \eta \cos \theta - \zeta \cos^2 \theta \]  \( (6) \)

where \( B = \hbar^2 / (2I) \) is the rotational constant, with \( I \) the moment of inertia, and \( 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \) is the polar angle between the axis of the rotor and the direction of the external collinear fields. It is the couplings of the permanent and induced dipole moments, fixed to the axis of the rotor, with the external collinear fields that give rise to the orienting and aligning interactions, see panel (b) of figure 1. The strengths of the orienting and aligning interactions are characterized, respectively, by the parameters \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \). For either a vanishing \( \eta \) or \( \zeta \), the TISE pertaining to the resulting Hamiltonian becomes isomorphic with the Mathieu equation, which satisfies different boundary conditions for the purely orienting and purely aligning interactions, cf table III of [20]. When both \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \) vanish, the eigenproblem becomes that of a planar rotor.
In order to establish the isomorphism of Hamiltonians (2) and (6), we introduce a dimensionless variable $\theta \equiv k_s x (= 2\pi x/a)$ whose substitution transforms Hamiltonian (6) into

$$H_{\text{OSL}} = -E_R \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + V_s \cos^2 \theta + V_t \cos \theta$$

with $E_R = \hbar^2 k_s^2 / (2m)$ the atomic recoil energy. Note that the recoil energy is related to the lattice constant $a$ via $E_R = 2\pi^2 \hbar^2 / (ma^2)$. Comparing Hamiltonians (6) and (7) makes it possible to establish a correspondence between the interaction parameters of the OSL and PR eigenproblems, see table 1.

Note that, unlike the polar angle $\theta$, the variable $\theta_{sa}$ in equation (7) is not defined on a circumference but on a line$^7$. In particular, the interval $0 \leq \theta_{sa} < 2\pi$ describes a unit cell consisting of an asymmetric DW with a local minimum at $\theta_a = 0$ or $2\pi$ and a global minimum at $\theta_a = \pi$.

In order to explore the spectral properties of the two Hamiltonians given by equations (2) and (6), we divide the Schrödinger equation pertaining to each Hamiltonian through its characteristic energy, $E_R$ or $B$. Thus, for the OSL system we obtain

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{OSL}} \psi(\theta_a) = E\psi(\theta_a)$$

with $H_{\text{OSL}}/E_R \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\text{OSL}}$. Hence the eigenvalues $E$ of $\tilde{H}_{\text{OSL}}$ pertaining to eigenfunctions $\psi(\theta_a)$ are rendered in units of recoil energy $E_R$.

On the other hand, the reduced eigenvalue problem for the PR system becomes

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{PR}} \phi(\theta) = \epsilon \phi(\theta)$$

with $H_{\text{PR}}/B \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\text{PR}}$. The eigenvalues $\epsilon$ of $\tilde{H}_{\text{PR}}$ then come out in units of the rotational constant $B$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are $\phi(\theta)$.

Despite the above similarity of the OSL and PR eigenproblems, the physically meaningful boundary conditions on the two systems lead to different structures of the energy levels of equations (9) and (8).

Due to its spatial periodicity, equation (8) can be treated via Floquet’s theorem (or equivalently Bloch’s theorem), with solutions obeying the boundary condition

$$\psi(\theta_a + 2\pi) = \mu \psi(\theta_a)$$

where $\mu = \exp(i2\pi q)$ is the Floquet multiplier and $2\pi\hbar q/a$ is the quasi-momentum. Consequently, the eigenvalues of equation (8) are energy bands $E \equiv E_n(q)$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the band index. Note that the parameter $q$ is continuous and confined to the first Brillouin zone (in the reduced-zone scheme), i.e. $-1/2 \leq q < 1/2$. For physically meaningful solutions, the modulus of $\mu$ must be equal to one, i.e. the parameter $q$ must be real (see appendix B).

In the case of the planar rotor interacting with combined fields, the TISE (9) may be solved either for a periodic boundary condition,

$$\phi(\theta + 2\pi) = \phi(\theta)$$

or an antiperiodic boundary condition$^8$,

$$\phi(\theta + 2\pi) = -\phi(\theta).$$

Given that equations (11) and (12) are equivalent to equation (10) for $\mu = 1$ and $\mu = -1$, respectively, the (pendular) eigenstates of the planar rotor in combined fields correspond to Bloch waves for atoms in an OSL with integer and half-integer wave numbers. In other words, the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the PR Hamiltonian are equivalent to those at the edges of the first Brillouin zone: the periodic solutions to $q = 0$ and the antiperiodic ones to $|q| = 1/2$.

---

7 In classical mechanics, the dynamics of a particle subject to a linear periodic potential is identical to that of a rotor, which is not the case for its quantum mechanical counterpart.

8 We include these $2\pi$-antiperiodic (or, equivalently, $4\pi$-periodic solutions as they may prove useful for problems involving Berry’s geometric phase or systems with $4\pi$ rotational symmetry.
The parameter $\kappa$ by making use of the definitions of the angular variable analytically. In \cite{15}, apply to the Mathieu equation, it has no analytic solutions. Antiperiodic solutions (i.e. band-edge states with $q = 0$, or integer wavenumbers) are analytically obtainable. If $\kappa$ is an even integer, the $\kappa$ lowest antiperiodic solutions (i.e. band-edge states with $|q| = 1/2$ or half-integer wavenumbers) can be obtained analytically. In \cite{48, 49}, analytic expressions for forty PR eigenenergies $\epsilon(\beta)$ have been found. Those obtained for $\kappa = 1$ to $\kappa = 4$ are listed in table 2 as band-edge energies $E(\beta) = \epsilon$. In addition, more details, including the analytic expressions for the band-edge eigenfunctions, are given in appendix A.

We note that the QES conditions equation (16) only apply to the OSL with $\lambda_\epsilon = \lambda_\epsilon/2$. It is also worth noting that if $\beta \to 0$ as $\kappa \to \infty$ and, at the same time, $\kappa \beta$ remains finite, then the Whittaker-Hill equation (13) reduces to the Mathieu equation. As the above conditions of quasi-exact solvability do not apply to the Mathieu equation, it has no analytic solutions.

### 4. C-QES of the TISE

The solvability of the TISE (9) as well as its spectral properties have been studied by means of supersymmetry and Lie-algebraic methods in our previous work \cite{31, 47–50}. In this section, we make use of the results obtained therein to study the trapping of atoms in an OSL. Based on the relation between Hamiltonians equations (9) and (8), we provide analytic insights into the band-gap structure of the OSL.

The TISE (9) for the PR system can be mapped onto the Whittaker-Hill differential equation \cite{51, 52} (a special case of the Hill differential equation \cite{41}),

$$\frac{d^2 f(y)}{dy^2} + \left[\lambda + 4\kappa \beta \cos(2y) + 2\beta^2 \cos(4y)\right] f(y) = 0 \quad (13)$$

by making use of the definitions of the angular variable $y \equiv \theta/2$, the eigenvalues $\lambda \equiv (4\epsilon + 2\zeta)$, and the real parameters $\kappa$ and $\beta$ via

$$\eta/B = \kappa \beta \quad \zeta/B = \beta^2. \quad (14)$$

In the same way, we can map equation (8) for the OSL system onto the Whittaker-Hill differential equation by setting $y \equiv \theta_\epsilon/2$, $\lambda \equiv (4E - 2V_\epsilon)$, and

$$V_\epsilon/E_R = -\kappa \beta \quad V_\epsilon/E_R = -\beta^2. \quad (15)$$

The parameter $\kappa$ has been termed the topological index \cite{31, 47}.

For $\zeta > 0$, the PR system belongs to the class of conditionally quasi-exactly solvable (C-QES) eigenproblems. This means that it is possible to obtain a finite number of its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions analytically (quasi-exact solvability, QES) \cite{53–56}), but only if the interaction parameters $\eta$ and $\zeta$ satisfy a particular condition (conditional exact solvability, CES) \cite{57–59}). Specifically, analytic solutions of equation (9) for the PR system only obtain for integer values of the topological index $\kappa$. In addition, the integer values of $\kappa$ specify the number of obtainable analytic solutions.

Due to the PR $\to$ OSL mapping, we see that the band-edge wavefunctions and energies of the OSL with TISE (8) are analytically obtainable only for integer ratios

$$\kappa = \frac{|\eta|}{\sqrt{\zeta}} = \frac{|V_\epsilon|}{\sqrt{\epsilon V_\epsilon}}. \quad (16)$$

Note that this statement is only valid for $V_\epsilon < 0$ as TISE (8) is not C-QES if the short-lattice is blue-detuned.

If $\kappa$ is an odd integer, the first $\kappa$ states obeying the periodic boundary condition (i.e. band-edge states with $q = 0$ or integer wavenumbers) are analytically obtainable. If $\kappa$ is an even integer, the $\kappa$ lowest antiperiodic solutions (i.e. band-edge states with $|q| = 1/2$ or half-integer wavenumbers) can be obtained analytically. In \cite{48, 49}, analytic expressions for forty PR eigenenergies $\epsilon(\beta)$ have been found. Those obtained for $\kappa = 1$ to $\kappa = 4$ are listed in table 2 as band-edge energies $E(\beta) = \epsilon$. In addition, more details, including the analytic expressions for the band-edge eigenfunctions, are given in appendix A.

We note that the QES conditions equation (16) only apply to the OSL with $\lambda_\epsilon = \lambda_\epsilon/2$. It is also worth noting that if $\beta \to 0$ as $\kappa \to \infty$ and, at the same time, $\kappa \beta$ remains finite, then the Whittaker-Hill equation (13) reduces to the Mathieu equation. As the above conditions of quasi-exact solvability do not apply to the Mathieu equation, it has no analytic solutions.

### Table 2. Analytically obtained lowest band-edge energies of the optical superlattice (eigenenergies $\epsilon(\Gamma)$) for the first four values of $\kappa$ defined in equation (16). Here $\Gamma$ stands for the irreducible representations of the $C_{2v}$ point group of $H_{PR}$.

| $\kappa$ | $\Gamma$ | $E = \epsilon(\Gamma)$ |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | $A_1$ | $-\beta^2$ |
| 2 | $B_1$ | $-\beta^2 - \beta + 1/4$ |
| 2 | $B_2$ | $-\beta^2 + \beta + 1/4$ |
| 3 | $A_1$ | $-\beta^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{16\beta^2 + 1} + 1/2$ |
| 3 | $A_1$ | $-\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{16\beta^2 + 1} + 1/2$ |
| 3 | $A_2$ | $-\beta^2 + 1$ |
| 4 | $B_1$ | $-\beta^2 - \beta - \sqrt{4\beta^2 + 2\beta + 1} + 5/4$ |
| 4 | $B_1$ | $-\beta^2 - \beta + \sqrt{4\beta^2 + 2\beta + 1} + 5/4$ |
| 4 | $B_2$ | $-\beta^2 + \beta - \sqrt{4\beta^2 - 2\beta + 1} + 5/4$ |
| 4 | $B_2$ | $-\beta^2 + \beta + \sqrt{4\beta^2 - 2\beta + 1} + 5/4$ |
5. Atoms subject to an OSL as a semifinite-gap system

The spectrum of a periodic Schrödinger operator consists of regions of allowed eigenvalues (bands) where the corresponding eigenfunctions are bounded, and forbidden eigenvalues (gaps), where the eigenfunctions do not have a finite norm and, therefore, are not physically meaningful. As shown in section 3, for the TISE (8) of the OSL system, the bands only obtain for \( q \) real (in which case \( q \) corresponds to the Bloch wavenumber). The \( q \) parameter as a function of \( E \) can be determined from the Hill discriminant, \( D(E) \), by making use of the relation,

\[
2 \cos(2\pi q) = D(E).
\]

This procedure is commonly used to describe the band structure of a periodic differential equation such as the Hill equation \([41, 60–62]\). In appendix B, we summarize the procedure resulting in equation (17), which is valid for any real and smooth periodic potential.

By making use of equation (17), it is straightforward to locate the allowed and forbidden energy regions: if \( q \) is real, \( |D(E)| < 2 \), which defines the energy bands; if \( q \) is not real, \( |D(E)| > 2 \), which defines the energy gaps. In particular, the eigenvalues that satisfy \( |D(E)| = 2 \), define the band-edge states whose parameter \( q \) takes integer or half-integer values. In general, the Hill discriminant is an oscillating function of the (real) variable \( E \) that intersects the lines \( D(E) = \pm 2 \) in the course of each oscillation. Consequently, the energy bands implied by the Hill equation obey the inequality \( |D(E)| < 2 \). The bands are separated by forbidden regions (gaps) where \( |D(E)| > 2 \). However, for the TISE (8) of the OSL system, after a few oscillations, the Hill discriminant intersects only one of these two \( \pm 2 \) lines and, eventually, touches but one of them without crossing it, as depicted in figure 4. A system with a spectrum whose every second gap is eventually closed is referred to as a *semifinite-gap system* \([63, 64]\). While the OSL with potential \( V(x) \) of equation (3) represents such a system, a system described by the Mathieu equation does not.

Since the eigenvalues \( E \) of the OSL system satisfying \( D(E) = \pm 2 \) correspond to the spectrum of PR’s TISE with periodic (+2) and antiperiodic (−2) boundary conditions, the knowledge of the PR spectrum provides a new perspective on the band structure of ultracold atoms in an OSL, as encapsulated in figure 4.

As the symmetries of PR system are isomorphic with those of the \( C_{2\nu} \) point group \([48]\), the solutions of the corresponding Schrödinger equation (9) fall into four categories, each corresponding to one of the irreducible representations \( \Gamma \in \{ A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \} \) of \( C_{2\nu} \) \([48, 49]\). The solutions associated with the \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) symmetries are, respectively, even and odd functions (with respect to \( \theta = \pi \)), satisfying the periodic boundary condition on the interval \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \). The solutions corresponding to \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) symmetries are, respectively, odd and even functions (with respect to \( \theta = \pi \)), satisfying the antiperiodic boundary condition. In accordance with Sturm’s oscillation theorem \([41, 62]\), the eigenvalues form a monotonously increasing infinite sequence of real values \( \{ \epsilon_i \} \) is the set corresponding to the periodic solutions (either \( A_1 \) or \( A_2 \)), and \( [\epsilon_i] \) corresponds to the antiperiodic solutions (either \( B_1 \) or \( B_2 \)). Furthermore, the number of nodes of the corresponding eigenfunctions in the interval \( [0, 2\pi] \) is equal to \( 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, \ldots \), where the odd (even) number of nodes corresponds to antiperiodic (periodic) eigenfunctions.

Figure 5 shows the energy levels of the planar rotor in combined fields as a function of the orienting parameter \( \eta \) for a constant value of the aligning parameter \( \zeta = 50 \). The energy levels that lie beyond the C-QES interval, i.e. above the local minimum of the potential (marked by the upper dashed lines), have been obtained numerically by means of the Fourier grid Hamiltonian method \([65]\) as implemented within the WavePacket software package \([66–68]\). For more details regarding the C-QES interval see appendix A and \([48, 49]\). All eigenvalues below the local minimum of the potential, either analytic (integer \( \kappa \)) or numerical (non-integer \( \kappa \)), pertain to singlet states with a specific symmetry \( \Gamma \). However, the energy differences between some pairs of the \( A \) and \( B \) levels in this part of the spectrum are small and hardly discernible on the scale of panel (a), which is why they are shown once more but separately: \( A \) levels in panel (b) and \( B \) levels in panel (c). Note that the ground state always pertains to the \( A_1 \) symmetry.

The most striking feature of the eigenenergies shown in figure 5 is their rich pattern of genuine and avoided crossings. As expected from the Wigner-von Neumann non-crossing rule \([69, 70]\), levels pertaining to the same symmetry (i.e. to the same irreducible representations \( \Gamma \)) exhibit avoided crossings whereas levels of different symmetry exhibit genuine crossings.

For odd integer \( \kappa \) values, all eigenenergies corresponding to the periodic eigenstates \( A \) are two-fold degenerate, see panel (b) of figure 5. These degenerate states cannot be labeled by one of the specific

9 Note that the correlation between the even functions and \( B_1 \) (or odd functions and \( B_2 \)) is valid for \( \eta < 0 \) and will change to the correlation between even functions and \( B_1 \) (odd functions and \( B_2 \)) for \( \eta > 0 \). For more details, see \([48, 49]\)
Figure 4. Schematic diagram of the Hill discriminant for atoms in an optical superlattice treated as a semifinite-gap system for (a) $\kappa = 2$ and (b) $\kappa = 3$. The shaded areas indicate the allowed energy ($E$) regions for $|D(E)| \leq 2$. Note that the widths of the bands and gaps depend on the choice of the values of the $V_s$ and $V_\ell$ parameters.

Figure 5. (a) Energies of the planar rotor interacting with combined fields as functions of $\eta$ for constant $\zeta = 50B$. The vertical blue dotted lines mark the $\eta$ values associated with the integer values of $\kappa$ from $\kappa = 1$ ($\eta = -\sqrt{50}$) to 14 ($\eta = -14\sqrt{50}$). Panels (b) and (c) show the energies of the periodic ($A_1, A_2$) and antiperiodic ($B_1, B_2$) states, respectively. Here, the potential is an asymmetric double well with local and global minima indicated by black dashed lines, and a maximum shown by the black dotted curve.

symmetries, $A_1$ or $A_2$. Similarly, for even integer $\kappa$, the genuine crossings occur for the antiperiodic states $B_1$ and $B_2$, see panel (c) of figure 5. In other words, if $\kappa$ is an odd (even) integer, the TISE (9) has two linearly independent solutions obeying the periodic (antiperiodic) boundary condition. This is referred to as coexistence of two linearly independent solutions with the same periodicity and is a peculiarity of the
The band-gap structure of the optical superlattice with respect to the long-lattice depth when the short-lattice depth is constant $V_s = -5 E_R$. The allowed bands are shaded in grey. Blue vertical dotted lines mark $V_\ell$ corresponding to $\kappa = 1$ to $\kappa = 13$. The black dashed vertical line at $V_\ell = 2|V_s| = 10 E_R$ distinguishes the double-well (left side) and single-well (right side) regimes. For the single-well regime, black dashed lines indicate the maximum and minimum of the potential. For the double-well regime, see figure 5.

Whittaker-Hill equation (arising only for $\zeta > 0$) [41, 71, 72]. We note that the coexistence (degeneracy) of two Mathieu functions has been proved to be impossible [72].

On the other hand, the avoided crossings occur between pairs of states with the symmetry $B_1$ or $B_2$ (i.e. between the energy curves with the same colors in panel (c) of figure 5) for odd integer $\kappa$. For even integer $\kappa$, the avoided crossings occur between pairs of the $A_1$ or $A_2$ levels (i.e. between the energy curves with same colors in panel (b) of figure 5). Note that some of the avoided crossings cannot be discerned on the scale of the figure. Therefore, one may conclude that the energy curves show extrema at even $\kappa$. Although this is valid for the lower energy levels, it is not always true for higher energy levels and larger $\kappa$ values.

The discussion above regarding the energy levels of the PR system can be extended to the case of ultracold atoms in an OSL, completing the picture of its semifinite-gap structure. In particular, the energy bands ($|\mathcal{D}(E)| \leq 2$) of equation (8) are intervals

$$[\epsilon_{0}^{(A)}, \epsilon_{1}^{(A)}], [\epsilon_{1}^{(B)}, \epsilon_{1}^{(A)}], [\epsilon_{2}^{(A)}, \epsilon_{2}^{(B)}], \ldots \tag{18}$$

separated by the gaps ($|\mathcal{D}(E)| > 2$) whose edges correspond to the PR’s eigenfunctions of the same periodicity: $A$-type gaps for periodic ($|q| = 0$) and $B$-type gaps for the antiperiodic ($|q| = 1/2$) boundary conditions, i.e.

$$(\epsilon_{0}^{(B)}, \epsilon_{1}^{(B)}), (\epsilon_{1}^{(A)}, \epsilon_{1}^{(A)}), (\epsilon_{2}^{(B)}, \epsilon_{3}^{(B)}), \ldots \tag{19}$$

Therefore, the genuine crossings in PR’s spectrum correspond to the closed gaps in the OSL band structure. For even integer $\kappa$, all $B$-type gaps are closed except for the first $\kappa/2$. In addition, using the analytic energies (see section 4 and appendix A), it is possible to derive analytic expressions for the widths of these $\kappa/2$ open $B$-type gaps. If $\kappa$ is an odd integer, all $A$-type gaps vanish except for the first $(\kappa - 1)/2$, whose widths can be calculated analytically. The semifinite-gap structure for two examples, $\kappa = 2$ and $\kappa = 3$, are shown, respectively, in panels (a) and (b) of figure 4.

The band structure of atoms in an OSL for constant $V_s = -5 E_R$ and different $V_\ell$ is shown in figure 6. Note that while the energy bands below the maximum of the potential (i.e. below the upper black dashed line) are hardly discernible, those sufficiently above the potential’s maximum exhibit a significant width. However, the gaps shrink with the energy of the band. These differences are more prominent when the OSL has deeper wells, as can be seen by comparing figure 5 with figure 6. Furthermore, guided by the
The band-gap structure of atoms in an optical superlattice with respect to the short-lattice depth when the long-lattice depth is constant $V_\ell = 60 E_R$. Blue dotted lines mark $V_s$ corresponding to $\kappa$ from $\kappa = 5$ to $\kappa = 10$. The black dashed vertical line at $-V_s = V_\ell/2 = 30 E_R$ separates the single-well (left side) and double-well (right side) regimes. In the single-well regime, black dashed lines indicate the maximum and minimum of the potential. For the double-well regime, the local and global minima are shown, respectively, by black dashed lines, and the maximum by the black dotted curve, cf figure 5. The color-coding is the same as in figure 6.

Figure 7 complements the overview of the above phenomena by displaying the band structure for a long-lattice well-depth $V_\ell = 60 E_R$. The rich energy structure in the DW regime ($|V_s| > 30 E_R$, to the left of the red dotted vertical line) compared to the single-well regime ($|V_s| < 30 E_R$) is clear in this figure where the closed gaps located at $V_s = -144 E_R$, $V_s = -(60/7)^2 \approx -73.47 E_R$, and $V_s = (60/9)^2 \approx -44.44 E_R$ (i.e. $\kappa = 5, 7, 9$ are indicated by vertical dotted blue lines).

6. Correspondence between orientation/alignment of a planar rotor and spatial localization (squeezing) of an atom in an OSL

The concept of directionality (orientation and alignment) of a planar rotor subject to orienting and aligning combined fields corresponds to the spatial squeezing of atoms in an OSL (see, e.g. [29, 73]). In order to illustrate this correspondence, we make use of the common measures of orientation and alignment defined, respectively, as the expectation values $\langle \cos \theta \rangle$ and $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$. A fully oriented and fully anti-oriented planar rotor is characterized, respectively, by $\langle \cos \theta \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \cos \theta \rangle = -1$. A fully aligned planar rotor satisfies $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle = 1$ whereas the spatial distribution of the axis of a free planar rotor (when the orienting and aligning interactions are absent) is characterized by the isotropic value $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle = 1/2$.

Therefore, when the planar rotor is oriented, $\theta \approx 0$, whereas when it is anti-oriented, $\theta \approx \pi$. Similarly, we find alignment when $\theta \approx 0$ or $\pi$ and anti-alignment for $\theta \approx \pi/2$. Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of the relationship between orientation and alignment of the rotor and the spatial squeezing of atoms in an OSL with $V_\ell > 0$ and $V_s < 0$. As illustrated in the figure, an oriented planar rotor is equivalent to the case of $\theta \approx 0$, i.e. the spatial localization of the atomic wavefunction (probability density) at the local minimum of
Figure 8. Correspondence between orientation of a planar rotor and spatial localization (squeezing) of an atom in an optical superlattice with $V_\ell > 0$ and $V_s < 0$. Panel (a) shows the oriented rotor ($\theta = 0$) and panel (b) the anti-oriented rotor ($\theta = \pi$). Here, $\hat{z}$ indicates the direction of the collinear external fields.

Figure 9. Plots of the probability density $|\psi(\theta_a)|^2$ in the unit cell of an optical superlattice for $V_s = -49 E_R$, together with the polar plots of a planar rotor subject to external fields, $|\phi(\theta)|^2$ with $\zeta = 49 B$.

(a) $|\phi^{(A_1)}(\theta)|^2$ (ground state) for $\eta = -7 B$ (b) $|\phi^{(A_2)}(\theta)|^2$ for $\eta = -14 B$ equivalent to $V_\ell = 14 E_R$ (i.e., $\kappa = 2$).

As mentioned before, the band-edge state at the closed gaps (i.e. a doubly degenerate state) results from a superposition of two driven rotor’s states with different $\Gamma$ symmetries and hence, different localizations. An example is shown in figure 10, where the probability densities $|\phi^{(A_2)}(\theta)|^2$ and $|\phi^{(A_1)}(\theta)|^2$ corresponding to the lowest closed gap at $\kappa = 1$ are depicted. In this case, orientation and alignment of the state associated with $A_2$ symmetry (the light blue curve localized around the global minimum of the lattice) are $\langle \cos \theta \rangle = -0.886$ and $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle = 0.793$, respectively. However, for the $A_1$ symmetry (the purple curve localized around local minimum of the lattice) we find $\langle \cos \theta \rangle = 0.9603$ and $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle = 0.925$. Consequently, the superposition will be still (nearly) aligned but does have approximately zero orientation (double-arrow like). Note that the discussion given above also applies to the antiperiodic states.

Based on the correspondence between the PR and OSL systems, we introduce the expectation values $\langle \cos \theta_a \rangle$ and $\langle \cos^2 \theta_a \rangle$ as quantitative measures of the spatial localization of the Bloch states in an OSL. This makes it possible to use the Hellmann-Feynman theorem to establish a relationship between the spatial dependence of the band-edge energies, such as those shown in figures 5 and 7, and the spatial localization of the corresponding eigenstates [49, 74]. According to the Hellmann-Feynman theorem, $\langle \psi_n | \partial_\chi H(\chi) | \psi_n \rangle = -V_{\ell} \partial_\chi \langle \psi_n | \gamma | \psi_n \rangle$.
∂χE_n for χ a parameter in the Hamiltonian, which in our case is either V_ℓ or V_s. Hence we obtain (over a single unit cell and for a constant q),

\[ \langle \cos \theta_a \rangle_n = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial V_\ell} \]

\[ \langle \cos^2 \theta_a \rangle_n = \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial V_s} \]

Therefore, the Hellmann-Feynman theorem implies that variations of the band energy in the vicinity of the genuine and avoided crossings will result in significant changes in the spatial localization of the atoms (see [49] for further details in the case of the PR system). From equation (20) and given that the global minimum of the potential V(x) rises whereas the local minimum of the potential drops at the avoided crossings (see section 2), the localization of band-edge states around θ_a = 0 and θ_a = π interchanges, although the symmetry of the states involved remains the same.

We note that the abrupt changes in the localization of the wavefunctions at these intersections are characteristic of energy levels well below the maximum of the potential but still above the local minimum. Indeed, for higher excited states, those changes occur more smoothly.

### 7. Conclusions and prospects

We have shown that two seemingly unrelated systems—an atom under the potential of an OSL (formed by two 1D lattices whose spatial periods differ by a factor of two) and a planar rigid rotor under combined orienting and aligning interactions (also known as the generalized planar pendulum in our previous works)—have isomorphic Hamiltonians. We made use of this isomorphism and applied the extensive results obtained previously for the latter case, based in part on the theory of the Whittaker-Hill equation [48, 49], to treat atoms in an OSL. Given that the eigenproblem of a planar rotor in combined fields is conditionally quasi-exactly solvable, we have been able to obtain analytic results for atoms in an OSL as well. These analytic solutions correspond to the edge states (the states with integer and half-integer quasi-momentum) of the OSL band structure. We note that because of the properties of the TISE and its solvability conditions, analytic solutions cannot be obtained for other states (inside the bands).

In particular, we have obtained in analytic form a finite number of eigenstates corresponding to the deep-lying band edges around the global minimum of the superlattice potential. Thereby, we prepared the soil for obtaining exact expressions for tunneling amplitudes between the sites of the superlattice (such as two global minima) and hence the hopping term in the corresponding Hubbard model Hamiltonian or the Landau-Zener tunneling probabilities [3]. By invoking the spectral properties of the Whittaker-Hill equation, we have shown that the motion of ultracold atoms in an OSL gives rise to a semifinite-gap system that can be used to study topological properties of the atoms’ energy spectra [17, 63, 64]. Finally, we have shown how orientation and alignment of the planar rotor in interacting with external fields translate into the localization (squeezing) of the ultracold atoms in an OSL. This treatment of atom squeezing offers itself to studying transport in OSLs [15].

Conversely, the isomorphism between the Hamiltonians of two systems would make it possible to simulate the planar rotor in the presence of external fields by the OSL. In particular, ultracold atoms in an OSL could be used to simulate the semifinite-gap spectrum of the supersymmetric partners of the planar rotor under the orienting and aligning interactions as well as under more involved potentials [31, 47, 75]. Therefore, the present study can be viewed as a proposal for a quantum simulator of a planar rotor subject to external fields.

In future work, the available analytic solutions will be used to develop analytic dynamical models of the trapping of atoms in an OSL. We will also analyze the case of non-zero relative phase, φ ≠ 0, between the two
lattices that constitute the OSL and show that the QES conditions (and the topological index $\kappa$) for that case differ from those presented here for $\varphi = 0$.

We note that ultracold atoms in optical lattices are generally studied via the properties of the Mathieu equation that the TISE for a simple 1D optical lattice $\propto \cos(kx)$ [18] reduces to. However, as we have shown herein, using the Whittaker-Hill equation instead, with its intriguing spectral features as well as its C-QES, reveals new perspectives on the OSL eigenproblem that could prove useful in band structure engineering of ultracold quantum gases. In particular, the solutions of the Whittaker-Hill equation provide a new means to control the lattice configuration by varying the depth of the lattice (for a fixed $\varphi$). Note that this was not the case in previous studies (e.g. [5, 15, 17, 76]), where the main focus is on the relative phase $\varphi$, the relative phase $\varphi$. All this is a further indication that the fields of ultracold atoms, coherent control, and condensed matter physics are coming closer together.
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**Appendix A. Analytically obtainable band-edge states**

The eigenstates of the PR Hamiltonian can be obtained in analytic form by diagonalizing the four finite-dimensional symmetry-adapted matrix representations of this Hamiltonian. The solutions that satisfy the $q = 0$ (periodic boundary condition) can be written as

$$
\psi^{(A_1)}(\theta_a) = \left(N^{(A_1)}\right)^{-1/2} e^{\beta \cos \theta_a} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(\kappa-1)/2} v_\ell \cos^{2\ell} \frac{\theta_a}{2},
$$

$$
\psi^{(A_2)}(\theta_a) = \left(N^{(A_2)}\right)^{-1/2} e^{\beta \cos \theta_a} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(\kappa-3)/2} \tilde{v}_\ell \cos^{2\ell} \frac{\theta_a}{2},
$$

(A.1)

which are normalized by (on the $2\pi$ interval of $\theta_a$)

$$
N^{(A_1)} = 2\pi \sum_{\ell, \ell'} \frac{1}{2\pi} v_\ell v_{\ell'} \left\{ \binom{2L}{L} I_0(2\beta) + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \binom{2L}{j} I_{L-j}(2\beta) \right\},
$$

$$
N^{(A_2)} = 2\pi \sum_{\ell, \ell'} \frac{1}{2\pi} \tilde{v}_\ell \tilde{v}_{\ell'} \left\{ \binom{2L}{L} I_1(2\beta)/\beta + \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \binom{2L}{j} \left[ 2I_{L-j}(2\beta) - \frac{I_{L-j}(2\beta)}{\beta} \right] \right\}.
$$

(A.2)

The constants $v_\ell$ and $\tilde{v}_\ell$ are components of the eigenvectors of the matrix representations corresponding to the $A_1$ or $A_2$ symmetries (see [48, 49]). $I_\rho$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind and $\rho$th order [77, 78], $\binom{L}{j}$ is the binomial coefficient, and $L := \ell + \ell'$.

The $2\pi$-antiperiodic solutions can be written as

$$
\psi^{(B_1)}(\theta_a) = \left(N^{(B_1)}\right)^{-1/2} e^{\beta \cos \theta_a} \cos \frac{\theta_a}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(\kappa-2)/2} w_\ell \cos^{2\ell} \frac{\theta_a}{2},
$$

$$
\psi^{(B_2)}(\theta_a) = \left(N^{(B_2)}\right)^{-1/2} e^{\beta \cos \theta_a} \sin \frac{\theta_a}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(\kappa-2)/2} \tilde{w}_\ell \cos^{2\ell} \frac{\theta_a}{2},
$$

(A.3)
Figure A1. The lowest available analytic eigenvalues of planar rotor in the combined fields (band-edge energies in optical superlattice) as functions of $\beta = \sqrt{\zeta/B} = \sqrt{-V_s/E_R}$ for different integer values of the topological index $\kappa$ given by equation (16).

where, the constants $w_\ell$ and $\tilde{w}_\ell$ are components of the eigenvectors of the matrix representations associated with the $B_1$ and $B_2$ symmetries given in [48, 49]. The normalization constants are

$$N^{(d_1)} = 2\pi \sum_{\ell,\ell'} \frac{1}{2L+1} w_\ell w_{\ell'} \left\{ \left( \frac{2L}{L} \right) [I_0(2\beta) + I_1(2\beta)] + \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( \frac{2L}{j} \right) [2I_{L-j}(2\beta) + I_{L-j+1}(2\beta) + I_{L-j-1}(2\beta)] \right\}.$$  

$$N^{(d_2)} = 2\pi \sum_{\ell,\ell'} \frac{1}{2L+1} \tilde{w}_\ell \tilde{w}_{\ell'} \left\{ \left( \frac{2L}{L} \right) [I_0(2\beta) - I_1(2\beta)] + \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( \frac{2L}{j} \right) [2I_{L-j}(2\beta) - I_{L-j+1}(2\beta) - I_{L-j-1}(2\beta)] \right\}. \tag{A.4}$$

A total of 40 analytic solutions are given in [48, 49]. Note that these analytic solutions are limited to the so-called interval of (conditional) quasi-exact solvability. Above this interval all the solutions are only obtainable by means of numerical methods. However, solutions at the loci of integer $\kappa$ are still given by equations (A.1) and (A.3) but with coefficients calculated numerically.

Figure A1 displays 24 of the analytic energy curves as a functions of $\beta$ for different values of $\kappa$. It is important to keep in mind that the superlattice geometry changes from a single-well for $\beta < \kappa/2$ to a DW per site for $\beta > \kappa/2$.

Appendix B. The Hill discriminant

Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2f}{dy^2} + [\lambda + Q(y)]f(y) = 0 \tag{B.1}$$
where \( Q(y + T) = Q(y) \) is a real-valued smooth periodic function and \( \lambda \) is the eigenvalue. This periodic differential equation (often called the Hill equation [41]) has a band-gap structure. Due to the translational symmetry, functions \( f(y) \) should fulfill the following boundary condition

\[
f(y + T) = \mu f(y)
\]

(B.2)

where \( \mu \) is known as the Floquet multiplier.

In order to study its spectrum, we choose a basis set consisting of two linearly independent solutions \( f_1(y, \lambda) \) and \( f_2(y, \lambda) \) corresponding to the same eigenvalue \( \lambda \) and obeying the conditions

\[
f_1(0, \lambda) = f_2(0, \lambda) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_1'(0, \lambda) = f_2'(0, \lambda) = 0 \quad (\text{prime denotes the derivative with respect to } y).
\]

Defining the general \( f \) function corresponding to the eigenvalue \( \lambda \) as

\[
f(y, \lambda) = \alpha f_1(y, \lambda) + \beta f_2(y, \lambda)
\]

(B.3)

and substituting it into equation (B.2) and its derivative, we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix} f_1(T, \lambda) & f_2(T, \lambda) \\ f_1'(T, \lambda) & f_2'(T, \lambda) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \mu \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(B.4)

The 2-by-2 matrix on the left hand side of equation (B.4) is the transpose of the monodromy matrix with a constant (\( y \)-independent) determinant equal to one [41, 62, 63]. It is known that the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix, \( \mu \), are Floquet multipliers and that the trace of the monodromy matrix,

\[
\mathcal{D}(\lambda) = f_1(T, \lambda) + f_2'(T, \lambda),
\]

is the discriminant associated with the Hill equation (B.1), the so-called Hill discriminant or Floquet discriminant [63, 64]. Note that some authors define \( \mathcal{D}(\lambda) \) as half of this value (see e.g. [60, 62]). Thus, from equation (B.4), the characteristic equation for the eigenvalues \( \mu \) reduces to

\[
\mu^2 - \mathcal{D}(\lambda) \mu + 1 = 0.
\]

(B.6)

By substituting \( \mu = \exp(iTq) \) in equation (B.6), we obtain the relation between the Hill discriminant and the \( q \) parameter as

\[
\mathcal{D}(\lambda) = 2 \cos(Tq(\lambda)).
\]

(B.7)

Finally, the spectral properties of equation (B.1) can be explained by using the oscillation properties of its associated Hill discriminant \( \mathcal{D}(\lambda) \) [41, 60, 61, 63]: (i) for \( |\mathcal{D}(\lambda)| \leq 2 \), the parameter \( q \) is real and so the modulus of \( \mu \) is equal to one. Therefore, the solutions \( f(y) \) are bounded and the corresponding \( \lambda \) values are allowed (bands). (ii) for \( |\mathcal{D}(\lambda)| > 2 \), the parameter \( q \) is not real and so the solutions \( f(y) \) do not have a finite norm and thus are not physically admissible. The corresponding \( \lambda \) values are forbidden (gaps). Furthermore, the \( \lambda \) values with \( \mathcal{D}(\lambda) = 2 \) are the spectral edges corresponding to the periodic solutions (\( \mu = 1 \)), and those with \( \mathcal{D}(\lambda) = -2 \) to the antiperiodic solutions (\( \mu = -1 \)). Therefore, these values describe the edges of the allowed regions (band-edges).

We note that the oscillations of the discriminant \( \mathcal{D}(\lambda) \) as a function of real eigenvalues \( \lambda \) and their intersections with lines \( \mathcal{D}(\lambda) = \pm 2 \) depend on the shape of the periodic function \( Q(y) \) in equation (B.1).
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