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Abstract
The noncircular synchronous belt drive mechanism has demonstrated certain achievements and has been used in special fields. Research regarding noncircular synchronous belt drive mechanisms has focused on optimization design and kinematic analysis in China, whereas two pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmissions have been developed overseas. However, owing to the noncircular characteristics of the belt pulley, the real-time variation in the belt length slack during the transmission of the noncircular synchronous belt is significant, resulting in high probabilities of skipping and vibration. In this study, a noncircular tensioning pulley is added to create a stable three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt driving mechanism and a good synchronous belt tensioning, with no skipping; hence, the non-uniform output characteristic of the driven pulley is consistent with the theoretical value. In the circular noncircular three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt mechanism, the pitch curve of the driving synchronous belt pulley is circular, whereas those of the driven synchronous belt and tensioning pulleys are noncircular. To minimize the slack of the belt length of the synchronous belt and the constraint of the concavity and circumference of the tensioning pulley, an automatic optimization model of the tensioning pulley pitch curve is established. The motion simulation, analysis, and optimization code for a three-belt-pulley noncircular synchronous belt drive mechanism is written, and the variation in belt length slack under different speed ratios is analyzed based on several examples. The testbed for a circular–noncircular–noncircular three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism is developed. The test shows that the three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt drives well. This study proposes an automatic optimization algorithm for the tensioning pulley pitch curve of a noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism; it yields a stable transmission of the noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism as well as non-uniform output characteristics.
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1 Introduction
During one rotation period of a noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism, the required theoretical belt length changes in real time owing to the real-time changes of the noncircular synchronous belt pulley diameters in a transmission cycle. The amount of slack is the difference between the maximum and minimum values of the required belt length. An excessive amount of slack can cause tooth skipping, rendering it impossible to achieve accurate non-uniform output characteristics.

Satchel [1] and Wu et al. [2, 3] presented models for calculating the noncircular belt pulley pitch curve required for the transmission ratio based on different methods, but no discussion regarding tensioning was provided. Konishi et al. [4] and Guo et al. [5] used eccentric circular gears to design a rice transplanting mechanism that can satisfy the specific motion of planting.
Liu et al. [6], Ottaviano et al. [7], and Li [8] investigated many types of noncircular gears, such as elliptical gears, eccentric circular gears, noncircular helical gears, and gears with lobes. Finally, eccentric circular gears were used to design a rice transplanting mechanism that can satisfy the specific motion of planting. Karpov et al. [9] and Freudenstein et al. [10] proposed a method to calculate slack in the noncircular two-pulley synchronous belt transmission process. It was discovered that the two-pulley synchronous belt transmission could not maintain real-time tension during a drive cycle. Zheng et al. [11] used a noncircular belt drive on a punching machine to obtain better quick-return characteristics, satisfying the requirements of a slow operating speed. However, only two noncircular pulleys were discussed. The calculation showed that the amount of slack changed during a drive cycle, and the maximum slack was 114.4 mm. Feng et al. [12] attempted to obtain the minimum theoretical slack of the belt (chain) length when the center distance was 100 mm, and the transmission law involved the driving and driven pulley angles. The parameters of the eccentric circle belt (chain) pulley mechanism were optimized. When the eccentricity was 0.16, a minimum theoretical slack of 2.62 mm was obtained without considering the polygon effect. Yang et al. [13] established a three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission model and regarded the driving pulley as a circle. Using an objective function with the smallest change in the theoretical belt length at any time during the rotation period, the objective function was optimized to obtain a set of optimal parameters. After the optimization was performed, the variance of the length change of the band was 46.91 in one cycle. Cao et al. [14] established a continuous mapping model of two-belt (chain) pulley transmissions, and the speed ratio function was calculated while considering the polygon effect, and the law of belt (chain) transmission was obtained.

In summary, whether it is a two-pulley noncircular synchronous belt drive without a tensioner or a three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt drive with a noncircular tensioner, the slack of the belt length is large when a slight change occurs in the transmission ratio. Furthermore, the amount of slack will not cause real-time tensioning or skipping in the synchronous belt drive, thereby nullifying the predetermined precise non-uniform speed transmission rule.

The uneven variation in the belt length slack during a noncircular synchronous belt transmission renders it difficult to achieve accurate non-uniform speed transmission in engineering applications. He [15] proposed a circular–eccentric circular–noncircular belt pulley drive mechanism, in which the noncircular belt pulley was the tensioning pulley and the belt length slack was the objective function. The slack value was 10.36 mm when the center distance of the belt pulley was 110 mm after manual optimization. The method involved fitting and backward generation, which necessitated a significant amount of calculation; hence, the results obtained were low in precision.

Following the results of He [15], the author studied the variation in slack in a three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission with a noncircular tensioning pulley, analyzed the characteristics of the three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission, and conducted experimental research.

2 Three-Pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt Drive Belt Length Calculation Model

As shown in Figure 1, a circular–noncircular three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism that included driving pulley 1 with a circular pitch curve, driven pulley 2 with a noncircular pitch curve, and tension pulley 3 with a noncircular pitch curve was considered. The circumferences of the three pulleys were identical. The pitch curve of synchronous pulley 2 was determined based on the transmission relationship between the driving and driven pulleys, and the pitch curve of the synchronous belt tension pulley 3 was determined based on the theoretical optimization of the minimum slack of the synchronous belt in the transmission.

2.1 Tangent Polar Coordinate Equation of Plane Curve

The plane coordinate system $o-xy$ comprised an arbitrary curve $c$. As shown in Figure 2, the tangent at point $C(x, y)$ on the curve is $t$, $ON$ is the vertical distance from the origin.
O to the tangent t, the foot point is N, the vertical distance ON recorded is denoted as p (hereinafter referred to as the tangential diameter), the length of the line segment CN is denoted as q, and the length of vector OC is denoted as r. The angle between the ax-axis and vector OC is \( \phi \), and the angle between the ax-axis and the perpendicular ON is \( \theta \), (hereinafter referred to as the tangential angle). Hence, the tangent polar coordinate equation of the curve is [16, 17]

\[ p(\theta) = x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta. \]  

(1)

Based on Eq. (1), the first derivative of \( p \) with respect to \( \theta \) is expressed as

\[-x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta = p'(\theta). \]  

(2)

Based on the geometric relationship shown in Figure 2 [18], we obtain

\[ q = CN = r\cos u, \]  

(3)

\[ CN = p'(\theta). \]  

(4)

Solving Eqs. (1) and (2), the relationship between the Cartesian coordinates of curve \( c \) and the tangent polar coordinates is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= p(\theta)\cos\theta - p'(\theta)\sin\theta, \\
  y &= p(\theta)\sin\theta + p'(\theta)\cos\theta.
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

2.2 Pitch Curve Calculation Model of Synchronous Belt Pulley in Three-Pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt Drive

As shown in Figure 3, the rotation centers of driving pulley 1, driven pulley 2, and tension pulley 3 are denoted as \( O_1, O_2, \) and \( O_3, \) respectively. We define \( O_1XY \) as the fixed reference frame; and \( o_1 - x_1y_1, o_2 - x_2y_2, \) and \( o_3 - x_3y_3 \) as the moving reference frames attached to pulleys 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The center distance between the two pulleys is \( o_1o_2 = a, \) the transmission ratio between the driving and driven pulleys is \( i_{12}, \) and the initial rotation angle of driving pulley 1 and driven pulley 2 is \( \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0. \) The driving pulley rotates counterclockwise, and the rotation angle between the driving and driven pulley exhibits the following relationship:

\[ \varphi_2 = \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_1} \frac{1}{i_{12}} d\varphi_1. \]  

(6)

Figure 4 shows that, in moving coordinate system \( o_1 - x_1y_1, \) the angle between axis \( x_1 \) and the tangential diameter \( p_1 \) of the driving pulley is \( \theta_1. \) In moving coordinate system \( o_2 - x_2y_2, \) the angle between axis \( x_2 \) and the
tangential diameter \( p_2 \) of the driving pulley is \( \theta_2 \). The relationship between the tangential and rotation angles can be written as

\[
\theta_1 = \theta_2 + \varphi_2 - \varphi_1. \tag{7}
\]

The driving pulley pitch curve is a circle with a fixed radius, and the tangential polar coordinate equation is expressed as follows:

\[
p_1 = p_1(\theta_1). \tag{8}
\]

Based on the relationships shown in Figure 4, Eqs. (7) and (8) yield the following:

\[
\cos(\theta_1 + \varphi_1) = \frac{-p_1(\theta_1) \times (i_{12} - 1)}{a}. \tag{9}
\]

Based on Eqs. (5) and (8), the rectangular coordinates of the pitch curve of the driving pulley in coordinate system \( \alpha_1 - x_1y_1 \) are expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= p_1(\theta_1) \cos(\theta_1) - p_1'(\theta_1) \sin(\theta_1), \\
y_1 &= p_1(\theta_1) \sin(\theta_1) + p_1'(\theta_1) \cos(\theta_1).
\end{align*} \tag{10}
\]

According to tangent polar coordinate theory, the relationship between the driving and driven pulley tangential diameters is expressed as

\[
p_2 = p_1(\theta_2). \tag{11}
\]

For a rotation angle \( \varphi_1 \), Eqs. (8) and (9) can be solved to obtain \( p_1 \) and \( \theta_1 \), whereas Eqs. (6), (7), and (10) can be solved to obtain \( p_2 \) and \( \theta_2 \). Hence, we obtain a tangent polar coordinate equation for the driven pulley curve as follows:

\[
p_2 = p_2(\theta_2). \tag{12}
\]

Based on Eqs. (5) and (12), the driving pulley rotation angle is \( \varphi_1 \), and the driven pulley rotation angle is \( \varphi_2 \). Moving coordinate system \( \alpha_2 - x_2y_2 \) can be expressed in rectangular coordinates as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_2 &= p_2(\theta_2) \cos(\theta_2) - p_2'(\theta_2) \sin(\theta_2), \\
y_2 &= p_2(\theta_2) \sin(\theta_2) + p_2'(\theta_2) \cos(\theta_2).
\end{align*} \tag{13}
\]

The initial tension pulley pitch curve is a circle of radius the same as that of the driving pulley. The driving and tension pulley rotation angles are denoted as \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_3 \), respectively. Hence, the tangent polar coordinate equation of the tension pulley pitch curve is as follows:

\[
p_3 = p_3(\theta_3). \tag{14}
\]

Based on Eqs. (5) and (14), moving coordinate system \( \alpha_3 - x_3y_3 \) can be expressed in rectangular coordinates as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_3 &= p_3(\theta_3) \cos(\varphi_3) - p_3'(\theta_3) \sin(\varphi_3), \\
y_3 &= p_3(\theta_3) \sin(\varphi_3) + p_3'(\theta_3) \cos(\varphi_3).
\end{align*} \tag{15}
\]

### 2.3 Three-Pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt Drive Belt Length Calculation Model

The driving, driven, and tension pulleys rotate by \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \), and \( \varphi_3 \), respectively. The tangent points between the synchronous belt and driving pulley 1 and the between driven pulley 2 and tension pulley 3 are shown in Figure 3. The tangent polar coordinate parameters of tangent points \( C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, \) and \( C_6 \) are \( p_1 \) and \( \theta_{12}, p_2 \) and \( \theta_{21}, p_3 \) and \( \theta_{34}, p_5 \) and \( \theta_{56}, \) and \( p_1 \) and \( \theta_{16} \), respectively. The total belt length of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism can be written as

\[
S = C_1C_2 + C_3C_4 + C_4C_5 + C_5C_6 + C_6C_1. \tag{16}
\]

In Eq. (16), \( C_1C_2, C_3C_4, \) and \( C_5C_6 \) are the lengths of the common tangents between the two pulleys, and \( C_2C_3, C_4C_5, \) and \( C_6C_1 \) are the arc lengths corresponding to the wrapping angles formed between the two tangential points for each pulley and the synchronous belt. As shown in Figure 3, the driving, driven, and tension pulleys rotate by \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \) and \( \varphi_3 \), respectively. Inserting Eqs. (9) and (13) into Eq. (15), the pitch curves of driving pulley 1, driven pulley 2, and tension pulley 3 in fixed coordinate system \( \alpha_1 - XY \) are expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 &= x_1 \cos(\varphi_1) - y_1 \sin(\varphi_1), \\
Y_1 &= y_1 \cos(\varphi_1) + x_1 \sin(\varphi_1), \tag{17}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
X_2 &= x_2 \cos(\varphi_2) - y_2 \sin(\varphi_2), \\
Y_2 &= y_2 \cos(\varphi_2) + x_2 \sin(\varphi_2). \tag{18}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
X_3 &= x_3 \cos(\varphi_3) - y_3 \sin(\varphi_3), \\
Y_3 &= y_3 \cos(\varphi_3) + x_3 \sin(\varphi_3). \tag{19}
\end{align*}
\]

The coordinates of tangent points \( C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, \) and \( C_6 \) in fixed coordinate system \( \alpha_1 - XY \) are \( X_{12}, Y_{12}, X_{21}, Y_{21}, X_{23}, X_{23}, \) and \( Y_{34}, X_{35}, Y_{35}, X_{16}, Y_{16} \). The lengths of common tangent lines \( C_1C_2, C_3C_4, \) and \( C_5C_6 \) between the driving and driven pulleys, driven and tension pulleys, and driving and tension pulleys are expressed as follows, respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1C_2 &= \sqrt{(X_{12} - X_{21})^2 + (Y_{12} - Y_{21})^2}, \\
C_3C_4 &= \sqrt{(X_{23} - X_{34})^2 + (Y_{23} - Y_{34})^2}, \\
C_5C_6 &= \sqrt{(X_{35} - X_{16})^2 + (Y_{35} - Y_{16})^2}. \tag{20}
\end{align*}
\]
The tangential angles of tangent points $C_0$, $C_2$, $C_3$, $C_4$, $C_5$, and $C_1$ in moving coordinate systems $o_1 - x_1y_1$, $o_2 - x_2y_2$ and $o_3 - x_3y_3$ are $\theta_{12}$ and $\theta_{16}$, $\theta_{21}$ and $\theta_{23}$, $\theta_{34}$ and $\theta_{35}$, respectively. The synchronous belt forms wrapping arc lengths with the driving, driven, and tension pulleys in areas $C_2C_3$, $C_4C_5$, and $C_6C_1$, respectively, which can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{C_0C_1} &= \int_{\theta_{16}}^{\theta_{12}} (p_1(\theta_1) + p_1''(\theta_1))d\theta_1, \\
\widehat{C_2C_3} &= \int_{\theta_{23}}^{\theta_{21}} (p_2(\theta_2) + p_2''(\theta_2))d\theta_2, \\
\widehat{C_4C_5} &= \int_{\theta_{35}}^{\theta_{34}} (p_3(\theta_3) + p_3''(\theta_3))d\theta_3.
\end{align*}
$$

(21)

Substituting Eqs. (20) and (21) into Eq. (16), the belt length of the three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism is expressed as follows:

$$
S = \sqrt{(X_{12} - X_{21})^2 + (Y_{12} - Y_{21})^2} + \sqrt{(X_{23} - X_{34})^2 + (Y_{23} - Y_{34})^2} + \sqrt{(X_{35} - X_{46})^2 + (Y_{35} - Y_{46})^2}
+ \int_{\theta_{16}}^{\theta_{12}} (p_1(\theta_1) + p_1''(\theta_1))d\theta_1
+ \int_{\theta_{23}}^{\theta_{21}} (p_2(\theta_2) + p_2''(\theta_2))d\theta_2
+ \int_{\theta_{35}}^{\theta_{34}} (p_3(\theta_3) + p_3''(\theta_3))d\theta_3.
$$

(22)

3 Tension Pulley Pitch Curve Calculation of Three-Pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt Drive with Minimum Slack

The circular–noncircular–noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism comprises driving pulley 1 with a circular pitch curve, driven pulley 2 with a noncircular pitch curve, and tension pulley 3 with a noncircular pitch curve. The three pulleys had equal circumferences. The rotational relationship between the driving and driven pulleys is denoted as $i_{12}$, and the center distance of the three pulleys is $l_1 = l_2 = l_3$. An automatic optimization algorithm was developed, and the optimal solution was used to obtain the noncircular tension pulley pitch curve with the minimum slack.

3.1 Noncircular Belt Pulley Pitch Curve Concave and Convex Judgment Based on Vector Ipsiilateral

As shown in Figure 5, $n$ discrete points on the noncircular pulley pitch curve were used as $n$ vertices of the constituent polygon, denoted counterclockwise as $P_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. The coordinates of the vertices $P_i$ were $(x_i, y_i) (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. To determine the positional relationship between the edge of the polygon and the discrete point to be measured, i.e., to determine whether the discrete point to be measured is on the left or right of the edge (where the left and right sides are relative to the forward direction, which refers to the starting point to the end point of the line segment), one side of the polygon $P_1P_2$ was assigned to vector $\vec{P_1P_2}$, and the discrete point to be tested was $P_3$. Based mathematical geometry, the area of the triangle formed by three points $P_1$, $P_2$, and $P_3$ is on the plane is expressed as follows [19]:

$$
S(P_1, P_2, P_3) = \left| y_1 x_2 y_3 - y_1 x_2 y_3 - (y_1 y_3)(x_2 - x_3) \right|.
$$

(23)

If $S(P_1, P_2, P_3) > 0$, then $P_3$ is on the left side of the vector $\vec{P_1P_2}$. If $S(P_1, P_2, P_3) < 0$, then $P_3$ is on the right side of the vector $\vec{P_1P_2}$. If $S(P_1, P_2, P_3) = 0$, then $P_3$ is on line segment $\overline{P_1P_2}$. Similarly, if $S(P_1, P_2, P_3) > 0$ and $S(P_1, P_2, P_n) > 0$, then the polygon is convex at edge $P_1P_2$. Similarly, the positional relationship between each edge of the polygon and its two adjacent discrete points is calculated until all edges become convex [20, 21].

If it is determined that the polygon composed of discrete points is a convex polygon, then a convex noncircular pulley pitch curve can be obtained by fitting a cubic non-uniform B-spline [22, 23].

3.2 Three-Pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt Slack Calculation Model

During one rotation period of the driving pulley, at some point $j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, the circumference of the synchronous belt is $S_j$; $\max(S_j)$ and $\min(S_j)$ are the maximum and
minimum of the theoretical belt length in the next transmission cycle, respectively. The slack $F$ is calculated as follows:

$$ F = \max(S_i) - \min(S_j). $$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Figure 6 shows that the initial tension pulley pitch curve is the same as the driving pulley pitch curve, where the radius is $R$. Coordinate system $O_1 - X_1Y_1$ is established based on the initial tension pulley rotation center $O_1$. To ensure the accuracy of the tension pulley pitch curve and minimize the number of calculations, based on the principle of initial point selection of the optimization algorithm, points on the initial tension pulley pitch curve were obtained at every $45^\circ$ and labeled as $A_i(i = 1, 2 \ldots 8)$. $B_i(i = 1, 2 \ldots 8)$ is the point on the extension line toward the diameter $O_1A_i(1, 2, \ldots 8)$. The length $x_i$ (increment in radius) of vector $A_iB_i$ was used as the design variable.

$$ X = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8]. $$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

To minimize the belt slack of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt drive mechanism, the minimum value of the belt slack was obtained directly as the objective function [24, 25]:

$$ \min F(x) = \max(S(i)) - \min(S(i)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 720. $$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

### 3.3 Optimal Calculation of Tensioned Pulley Pitch Curve with Minimum Slack

As shown in Figure 7, to ensure that the algorithm can quickly search for the optimal solution within the optimization region, the value range of the design variable (the optimization region) must be selected, where $X(i)_{\max}$ and $X(i)_{\min}$ are the upper and lower limits of the $i$th variable, respectively. $D(i) = X(i)_{\max} - X(i)_{\min}$ is the allowable change in diameter. The selection of the upper and lower limits of the design variables should be considered comprehensively based on the objective function, design requirements, and algorithm execution time. The expression for the range of the design variables is as follows:

$$ X(i)_{\min} \leq X(i) \leq X(i)_{\max}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8. $$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

To ensure that the noncircular tension pulley pitch curve is convex, i.e., to ensure that the polygon composed of discrete points $B_i(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8)$ on the noncircular tension pulley is convex, the radius of the circular pitch curve of the initial tension pulley is $R$, the coordinates of $B_i(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8)$ are $(M_i, N_i)$, the abscissa $M_i = (R + x_i) \cos(\pi i/4)$, the ordinate $N_i = (R + x_i) \sin(\pi i/4)$, and the constraint equations are as follows [26]:

$$ \begin{align*}
(M_1-M_3)(N_2-N_3) &- (N_1-N_3)(M_2-M_3) > 0, \\
(M_2-M_4)(N_3-N_4) &- (N_2-N_4)(M_3-M_4) > 0, \\
(M_3-M_5)(N_4-N_5) &- (N_3-N_5)(M_4-M_5) > 0, \\
(M_4-M_6)(N_5-N_6) &- (N_4-N_6)(M_5-M_6) > 0, \\
(M_5-M_7)(N_6-N_7) &- (N_5-N_7)(M_6-M_7) > 0, \\
(M_6-M_8)(N_7-N_8) &- (N_6-N_8)(M_7-M_8) > 0, \\
(M_7-M_1)(N_8-N_1) &- (N_7-N_1)(M_8-M_1) > 0, \\
(M_8-M_2)(N_1-N_2) &- (N_8-N_2)(M_1-M_2) > 0.
\end{align*} $$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

![Figure 6](image6.png)  
**Figure 6** Selection of design variables for automatic optimization algorithm  

![Figure 7](image7.png)  
**Figure 7** Schematic of search area of automatic search algorithm
One rotation cycle of the driving pulley in the non-circular synchronous belt drive mechanism is considered as one cycle segmented into 720 moments at the \( j \)th time. The belt length of the three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism is \( S(j) \) \[27\]. The minimum (\( \Delta S_{\text{min}} \)) value of the difference between the maximum (\( S_{\text{max}} \)) pulley length and minimum pulley length (\( S_{\text{min}} \)) in a period is used as a fitness function, as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{\text{max}} &= \max(S(j)), \ (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 720), \\
S_{\text{min}} &= \min(S(j)), \ (j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 720), \\
\Delta S_{\text{min}} &= S_{\text{max}} - S_{\text{min}}.
\end{align*}
\] (29)

Based on the objective function and constraint conditions, 720 points around the tension pulley were considered as the optimized individual group. Every 45° point on the circumference of a non-circle was selected, and eight points were used as optimization points. An adaptive evolutionary algorithm was designed to iteratively optimize the initial tension pulley based on the minimum synchronous belt slack. The largest number of iterations was 100, and the convergence criterion was a change of less than \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) in the objective function. The optimized point was interpolated using a B-spline function to fit the curve of the noncircular tension pulley. The algorithm flowchart is shown in Figure 8, and the optimization solution was obtained.

3.4 Transmission Characteristics Analysis Software for Three-pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt with Minimum Slack

As shown in Figure 9, Region 1 is the parameter input area. The basic parameters of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism were input to the fields in this region. The main parameters of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism included the three belt pulley rotation center coordinates, driving pulley radius, driving and driven pulley transmission ratios, maximum allowable synchronous belt slacks, and initial radius of the tension pulley \[28, 29\]. Region 2 is the basic control and optimization control area, which included three basic control buttons for the starting, ending, and equal perimeter calculations as well as two optimization control buttons for tension pulley fitting and automatic optimization. The display area of the basic parameters was used to display the center distance, real-time belt length, and slacks of two adjacent pulleys during the simulation of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism. Region 4 is the pitch curve display area, which was used to display the optimal pitch curve of the tension pulley obtained after an automatic optimization, and the non-circular pitch curve of the tension pulley was calculated using an equal circumference. Region 5 is the motion simulation area, which was used to display the real-time

![Figure 8 Flow chart of automatic optimization algorithm](image-url)
motion simulation process of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism. Region 6 is the calculation result area, which was used to display the calculation results of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt drive mechanism, including the transmission ratio between two adjacent pulleys and the belt length variation curve.

3.5 Comparison and Analysis of Noncircular Three-Pulley Synchronous Belt Drive with Minimum Slack

3.5.1 Analysis of Minimum Slack in Eccentric Circular Three-Pulley Synchronous Belt Transmission Mechanism

Because the pitch curve of the driving pulley was circular, the coordinates of rotation center $o_1$ were $x_{o1} = 0$ and $y_{o1} = 0$. The radius of the driving pulley was $R_1 = 30$. The curve of the driven pulley was an eccentric circle, and the coordinates of rotation center $o_2$ were $x_{o2} = 110$ and $y_{o2} = 0$. The eccentricity $e_2 = 15$ and $R_2 = 30$. The rotation center coordinates of the tension pulley were $x_{o3} = 62$ and $y_{o3} = -102$. The mechanism was a circular eccentric–noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism. A method reported previously [7] was adopted for manual optimization. The belt length change curve obtained is shown in Figure 10; its slack was approximately 10.36 mm. However, the automatic optimization algorithm presented above was adopted for optimization and adjustments. The variation curve of the band length is shown in Figure 11; its slack was 0.03 mm.

The pitch curves of the tension pulley before and after optimization are shown in Figure 12; it is clear that the automatic optimization algorithm used in this study was high in accuracy [30].

3.5.2 Analysis of Minimum Slack under Different Transmission Ratio Laws

Because the pitch curve of the driving pulley was circular, the coordinates of rotating center $o_1$ were $x_{o1} = 0$ and $y_{o1} = 0$. The radius of the driving pulley was $R_1 = 30$. The curve of the driven pulley was an eccentric circle, and the coordinates of rotation center $o_2$ were $x_{o2} = 110$ and $y_{o2} = 0$. The eccentricity $e_2 = 15$ and $R_2 = 30$. The rotation center coordinates of the tension pulley were $x_{o3} = 62$ and $y_{o3} = -102$. The mechanism was a circular eccentric–noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism. A method reported previously [7] was adopted for manual optimization. The belt length change curve obtained is shown in Figure 10; its slack was approximately 10.36 mm. However, the automatic optimization algorithm presented above was adopted for optimization and adjustments. The variation curve of the band length is shown in Figure 11; its slack was 0.03 mm.

Figure 9 Noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission optimization design software

Figure 10 Belt length variation curve after manual optimization of tension pulley pitch curve
The radius of the driving pulley, \( R_1 = 30 \). The pitch curve of the driven pulley was noncircular, and the coordinates of the rotating center \( o_2 \) were \( x_{o2} = 110 \) and \( y_{o2} = 0 \). The eccentricity \( e_2 = 15 \) and \( R_2 = 30 \). The rotation center coordinates of the tension pulley were \( x_{o3} = 62 \) and \( y_{o3} = -102 \). The driving and driven pulleys were rotated by angles \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 + m \cdot \sin(\varphi_1) \), respectively, where \( m \) was set as different values to verify the rationality and validity of the automatic optimization algorithm. The other parameters of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism were the same. For \( m = -0.2, -0.1, 0.1, \) and 0.2, the belt length variation and tension pulley pitch curves are as shown in Figures 12 and 13, respectively. The corresponding slacks were 0.858, 0.379, 0.166, and 0.725 mm, respectively. By setting different \( m \) values, i.e., for different transmission ratios, the automatic optimization algorithm can solve for the discrete data points on the optimal noncircular tension pulley pitch curve; subsequently, the latter can be obtained by non-uniform rational B-splines fitting.

The specific parameters of the automatic optimization algorithm were compared for different transmission ratios, as shown in Table 1. Table 1 and Figures 13 and 14 show that when other conditions remained unchanged, the execution time and slacks of the automatic optimization algorithm differed for different transmission ratios (different values of \( m \)). The “zigzag” fluctuations of the transmission ratio curve were related to the number of data points on the pitch curve and the accuracy in the tangent calculation method. Specifically, the closer \( m \) is to 0 (the driven pulley closer to the circle), the smaller is the slack, as calculated by the allowable variation in the same directional diameter, and the shorter is the execution time of the corresponding algorithm. In general, the automatic optimization algorithm converged, and the convergence speed was faster.

### 3.5.3 Analysis of Minimum Slack Amount under Different Radial Diameter Allowable Changes

To study the effect of the directional diameter (i.e., \( D \) values) on the automatic optimization algorithm, for \( m = -0.1 \), \( D \) was assigned the values shown in Table 2 and substituted into the algorithm. The belt length variation curve obtained after the operation is shown in Figure 15. As shown in Table 2, the allowable variation in the directional diameter \( D \) significantly affected the slack and execution time of the algorithm after automatic optimization. In particular, the larger the range of the absolute
value of variable $D$, the larger was the optimization area that allowed for the maximum slack $\Delta L_{\text{max}}$, which reduced the possibility of obtaining an optimal solution. Furthermore, the possibility of obtaining an exact solution containing a smaller slack became greater, and the smaller the slack, the longer was the execution time of the algorithm. As shown in Figure 14, for different diameter values $D$, the automatic optimization algorithm converged quickly and obtained discrete data points on the optimal noncircular tension pulley pitch curve.

In summary, it is critical to set a reasonable $D$ value for a noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt transmission mechanism using an automatic optimization algorithm to calculate the optimal noncircular tension pulley.

### Table 1 Comparison of related objects of automatic optimization algorithm under different transmission ratio laws

| Object                  | $m = -0.2$ | $m = -0.1$ | $m = 0.1$ | $m = 0.2$ |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Slack (mm)              | 0.858      | 0.379      | 0.166      | 0.725      |
| Algorithm execution time (s) | 66862  | 54441      | 54186      | 70817      |
| Iterative convergence of algorithm | Converged | Converged  | Converged  | Converged  |

### Table 2 Comparison of related objects of automatic optimization algorithm under different absolute range values of variables

| Object                  | $D = 30$ | $D = 40$ | $D = 50$ | $D = 60$ |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Slack (mm)              | 0.693    | 0.437    | 0.219    | 0.195    |
| Algorithm running time (s) | 39333  | 46258    | 53936    | 62398    |
| Iterative convergence of algorithm | Converged | Converged | Converged | Converged |

### Figure 14 Tension pulley pitch curve corresponding to different $m$ values

### Figure 15 Band length variation curve corresponding to different directional diameters $D$

### 4 Experimental Research on Three-Pulley Noncircular Synchronous Belt Transmission

#### 4.1 Development of Testbed

The coordinates of the rotation center $O_1$ of the driving pulley of the testbed were $x_{01} = 0$ and $y_{01} = 0$, and the radius of the driving pulley was $R_1 = 30$. The coordinates of the rotation center $O_2$ of the driven pulley were $x_{02} = 110$ and $y_{02} = 0$. The central coordinates of the tension pulley were $x_{03} = 62$ and $y_{03} = -102$, and the rotation angle of the driven pulley was $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1 - 0.1 \times \sin(\varphi_1)$.

The testbed primarily included a transmission mechanism, testbed frame, driving motor, counting equipment, and tension control equipment, as shown in Figure 16.

A direct current motor deceleration motor was connected to the circular driving pulley (the original part) through plum blossom coupling and rotated at a uniform speed, followed by a noncircular driven pulley and
a tension pulley. The other end of the three synchronous pulleys was connected to the encoder, and the encoder was fixed on the testbed frame, which was used to measure the rotational speed of the three synchronous pulleys to obtain the actual transmission ratio of the experiment. The DC deceleration motor was connected to the speed regulation module to adjust the speed of the motor output shaft. To analyze the effect of the load torque on the transmission period of the noncircular three-pulley synchronous belt drive mechanism, a micro magnetic powder brake was installed on the driven pulley shaft, and the load torque loaded on the driven pulley through the current was adjusted by the tension controller.

4.2 Test Results and Analysis

A load torque was applied to the driven wheel shaft through a magnetic powder brake. The rotational speeds of encoders A and B were obtained using an IPAM7404, and the data were transmitted to a computer through an RS485 converter. The fitting curve was compared to the theoretical curve by fitting the test discrete data points in MATLAB.

Figure 17 shows the discrete data point fitting results of the transmission ratio of the driving pulley to the driven pulley, and Figure 18 shows a comparison of the fitted and theoretical curves of the transmission ratio of the driving pulley to the driven pulley. As shown in Figure 17, the discrete data points of the test transmission ratio generally exhibited a regular trend and fluctuated within the minimum error range, and the data exhibited statistical significance. A smooth transmission ratio fitting curve was obtained using MATLAB. As shown in Figure 18, the trend of the actual transmission ratio curve fitted by the test discrete data point was similar to the theoretical transmission ratio curve. The transmission characteristics of a three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism with a minimum amount of slack in the synchronous belt were verified in this study. The change in the transmission ratio obtained from the test was consistent with the theoretical value; therefore, an accurate non-uniform speed and a significant center distance transmission can be achieved.

5 Conclusions

(1) In this study, a model for calculating the slack of a three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism was developed, and an optimal calculation method for the noncircular tensioned pulley pitch curve of a three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism with minimum slack was proposed.
(2) Based on the calculation and optimization models of the three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism, the motion simulation, analysis, and optimization code of the transmission mechanism was written, and the characteristics of the minimum slack of different non-uniform transmission ratio laws were analyzed based on multiple examples.

(3) A circular–noncircular–noncircular synchronous belt pulley transmission mechanism test rig was developed, and experimental research was conducted. It was discovered that the output characteristics of the driven pulley were consistent with the theoretical characteristics. The reliability of the analytical calculation and optimization models of the three-pulley noncircular synchronous belt transmission mechanism was verified.
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