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Abstract

Gut microbiota imbalances play an important role in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), but no single pathogenic microorganism critical to IBD that is specific to the IBD terminal ileum mucosa or can invade intestinal epithelial cells has been found. Invasive Escherichia coli (E. coli) adhesion to macrophages is considered to be closely related to the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Further study of the specific biological characteristics of adherent invasive E. coli (AIEC) may contribute to a further understanding of IBD pathogenesis. This review explores the relationship between AIEC and the intestinal immune system, discusses the prevalence and relevance of AIEC in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients, and describes the relationship between AIEC and the disease site, activity, and postoperative recurrence. Finally, we highlight potential therapeutic strategies to attenuate AIEC colonization in the intestinal mucosa, including the use of phage therapy, antibiotics, and anti-adhesion molecules. These strategies may open up new avenues for the prevention and treatment of IBD in the future.

Key Words: Adherent invasive Escherichia coli; Inflammatory bowel disease; Crohn's disease; Ulcerative colitis; Prevention

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.
Core Tip: Gut microbiota imbalances play an important role in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), but no single pathogenic microorganism critical to IBD, which is specific to the IBD terminal ileum mucosa or can invade intestinal epithelial cells, has been found. Invasive Escherichia coli (E. coli) adhesion to macrophages is considered to be closely related to the pathogenesis of IBD. Further study of the specific biological characteristics of adherent invasive E. coli (AIEC) may contribute to a further understanding of IBD pathogenesis. This review explores the relationship between AIEC and the intestinal immune system, discusses the prevalence and relevance of AIEC in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients, and describes the relationship between AIEC and the disease site, activity, and postoperative recurrence. Finally, we highlight potential therapeutic strategies to attenuate AIEC colonization in the intestinal mucosa, including the use of phage therapy, antibiotics, and anti-adhesion molecules. These strategies may open up new avenues for the prevention and treatment of IBD in the future.

Citation: Zheng L, Duan SL, Dai YC, Wu SC. Role of adherent invasive Escherichia coli in pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. World J Clin Cases 2022; 10(32): 11671-11689
URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i32/11671.htm
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i32.11671

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic disease with spontaneous pathogenesis. The interaction between the intestinal flora and intestinal immune system is an important part of IBD pathogenesis, and adherent invasive Escherichia coli (E. coli) (AIEC) is attracting increasing attention as a potential IBD pathogen. Studies have shown that the colonization rate of AIEC in the intestinal mucosa is higher in IBD than in other digestive diseases, and colonization in the intestinal mucosa is related to the incidence of Crohn's disease (CD) in children, indicating that this pattern of colonization may aggravate CD activity and increase the severity of recurring postoperative CD as identified by endoscopy[1]. Animal experiments have shown that AIEC infection in mice caused chronic intestinal inflammation similar to the gross and microscopic pathological changes observed in IBD, indicating that AIEC caused intestinal fibrosis, a common complication in IBD[2]. Therefore, an exploration of the relationship between AIEC and intestinal mucosal immunity is important to advance the understanding of IBD pathogenesis and to identify new therapeutic targets. In this paper, we review details on the special biological characteristics of AIEC and the potential pathogenesis of IBD.

Adherent invasive E. coli

AIEC is one of the most concerning possible pathogens in IBD. The cause of E. coli overgrowth in IBD patients is not clear, but it may be related to the increase in reactive nitrogen, which allows rapid digestion, providing favorable conditions for E. coli proliferation[3]. AIEC, a unique pathogenic species, is believed to be related to human IBD and is considered to be one of the most active pathogenic bacteria.

AIEC attached to differentiated Caco-2 cells and undifferentiated I-407 intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) and survived attachment to J774-A1 macrophages, in which they replicated[4]. AIEC bound and invaded IECs through microtubule polymerization and actin recruitment, thereby inducing inflammatory cytokine secretion. When surviving and replicating in macrophages, AIEC induced tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) secretion and promoted granuloma formation in vitro[5]. AIEC induced interleukin-1β (IL-1β) production through an NLRP3-dependent mechanism, and macrophages cleared AIEC through an NLRP3-independent mechanism. The aggressiveness of E. coli in IECs and secretion of inflammatory factors such as IL-1β may promote CD and ulcerative colitis (UC)[6]. Studies have shown that AIEC induced granulomatous lesions similar to those formed in early epithelioid granuloma in vitro . AIEC has type I pili and therefore binds to carcinoembryonic antigen-associated cell adhesion factor 6 (CEACAM6), a host adhesion receptor[7]. CEACAM6 is overexpressed in ileal tissue in patients with CD but not in normal individuals. In vitro experiments showed that the upregulation of CEACAM6 expression in IECs was caused by AIEC infection and the simultaneous stimulation of the proinflammatory cytokines interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and TNF-α[8]. In addition, AIEC crossed the mucosal barrier into lymphocytes through long pili.

AIEC LF82, isolated from the ileum of patients with CD, intensified inflammatory mucosal immune responses; for example, it triggered the upregulated expression of Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5) and international powered access federation flagellin receptor. In CD, CEACAM6 is a receptor for AIEC in the intestinal mucosa, and increased CEACAM6 expression on the apical membrane of ileum cells promoted the abnormal colonization of AIEC in the ileum mucosa[9]. Furthermore, AIEC LF82 type I pili binding to CEACAM6 and TLR5 induced hIF-1A production and activated the classic NF-κB pathway, resulting in clinical colitis symptoms[10]. To mimic the high level of CEACAM6 expression in...
CD, transgenic CEABAC10-expressing mice were used to establish models expressing human CEACAMs. Experiments with this model demonstrated that AIEC LF82 induced clinical colitis symptoms in the transgenic mice by binding to the type I pili of CEACAM6[11].

AIEC NRG857c has been reported to colonize the intestinal mucosa of mice treated with streptomycin, resulting in chronic inflammation involving T helper (Th) 1 and Th17 reactions and intestinal fibrosis. A recent report showed that abnormal colonization by AIEC may be related to the ability of intestinal cells to secrete antimicrobial-resistant peptides. Notably, compared with those in normal mice, the intestinal microbiota composition and host mucosal homeostasis in transgenic CEABAC10 mice were changed[12].

**Different AIEC strains**

*E. coli* is a Gram-negative aerobic bacterium that can colonize within a few hours of initial replication. This species is important to the intestinal microecology and plays a key role in maintaining intestinal homeostasis[13]. Generally, it does not cause disease. However, some of *E. coli* strains are intestinal pathogens because they show certain pathogenic characteristics (e.g., outer membrane proteins), and five categories have been identified: Pathogenic *E. coli*, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, enteroinvasive *E. coli*, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, enteroaggregative *E. coli*, and enteroaggregative *E. coli*[14].

The aforementioned pathogenic bacteria do not specifically adhere to or invade the epithelial cells of IBD patients. However, bacteria without any of the aforementioned pathogenic characteristics can adhere to the surface of epithelial cells and enter the cytoplasm to infect eukaryotic cells[15]. Moreover, these invasive *E. coli* replicate within epithelial cells and macrophages. Therefore, these bacteria have been separately classified and defined as “AIEC strains”, and these strains have been reported to be involved in the pathogenesis of IBD in many countries.

**Pathogenic features of AIEC**

It is currently unclear whether AIEC causes intestinal inflammation and leads to IBD or whether AIEC successfully colonizes the mucosa of patients with IBD and is thus an aggravating factor. Factors involved in AIEC damage to the intestinal mucosa have been identified. For example, deletions in traditional pathogenic genes play important roles in the pathogenesis of AIEC infection, such as mucosal immune abnormalities (e.g., in CD) or intestinal barrier dysfunction (e.g., in UC)[16]. AIEC virulence genes promote cell motility, and capsule and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) gene expression; increase serum resistance and iron uptake; and promote epithelial cell adhesion, invasion, and biofilm formation[17]. AIEC strains contain bacterial components and pathways that regulate these key pathogenic determinants. For example, lipoprotein, histone-like protein, ribonucleotide reductase NrdR, the flagellar transcriptional regulator FliH2C2, sigma factor FliA, and the second messenger cyclodimer GMP are involved in interactions with AIEC with epithelial cells, while the sigma pathway is involved in biofilm formation[18]. In addition, the ability to form biofilm on epithelial cells and long polar fimbiae are pathogenic features of AIEC.

The toxicity of AIEC is related to its survival and replication in macrophages. For example, the LF82 strain does not enter the cytoplasm; in contrast, it replicates in the acidic environment of mature phagosomes, where oxidative stress-inducing compounds, proteolytic enzymes, and antibacterial compounds reside[19]. In macrophages, survival and replication of AIEC are mediated by combined actions of the stress protein HtrA, mercaptly-disulfide REDOX enzyme DsbA, RNA-connexin Hq, and RpoD-dependent REDOX enzyme IbeA, which play roles in reactive oxygen species production[20]. In AIEC infection, TNF-α and other proinflammatory cytokines are released, further enhancing the imbalance and proliferation of AIEC without inducing host cell death. AIEC can evade host immune responses by inhibiting IFN-γ-mediated signal transduction and activation of transcription-1 phosphorylation in IECs, thereby preventing appropriate antimicrobial responses[21].

**AIEC AND GUT IMMUNE SYSTEM**

AIEC can colonize, invade, and then survive in the intestinal mucosa by affecting the function of intestinal immune cells. Moreover, the abnormal function of immune cells leads to an excessive inflammatory response to other intestinal symbiotic bacteria in the intestinal mucosa, resulting in intestinal inflammation.

**Interaction with intestinal epithelial barrier**

Dendritic cells, macrophages, innate lymphocytes, and neutrophils complement the physical barrier formed by IECs and are the first line of defense of the innate immune system in the human intestinal mucosa. The intestinal barrier controls mucosal absorption and protects the mucosa from endotoxins, invasive microorganisms, and antigens. IECs connect to each other to form a physical barrier, and cell-to-cell contact is regulated by various proteins, including adhesion and tight junctions[22]. The epithelium, which is a barrier throughout the intestinal mucus layer, is not only involved in the synthesis of antimicrobial peptides but is also an integral part of natural immunity. An effective
Intestinal mucosal barrier is crucial to prevent exposure to the external environment. Therefore, dysfunction of the barrier system can increase intestinal permeability, an important characteristic in IBD [25]. Barrier disorders include a reduction in intestinal mucus layer thickness and composition, changes in tightly connected complexes, and disruptions to antimicrobial peptide synthesis. The presence of host intestinal barrier defects of genetic or environmental origin in the intestinal barrier may affect AIEC ability to colonize and be translocated into the intestinal tract[24]. Host defects in many patients with CD are associated with enhanced pathogenicity of AIEC LF82. In the context of inflammation, abnormal expression of IEC-specific receptors CEACAM6 and Gp96 reduces the ability of epithelial cells to resist adhesion and invasion by intestinal bacteria. Furthermore, defects in autophagy are associated with the function and expression of NOD2, ATG16L1, and IRGM, impairing the ability of host cells to resist external infections. Bacterial adhesion and colonization of IECs are considered to be key steps in the pathogenesis of IBD, which is evident before bacterial spread into the submucosa. Evidence suggests that AIEC can alter epithelial barrier function by replacing and redistributing the zO-1 protein, an essential component of tight apical junctions[25]. The reduced integrity of the barrier system makes it easier for AIEC to cross the epithelial barrier, increasing the pathogenicity of AIEC. Intestinal barrier damage and inflammatory responses can also exacerbate inflammatory symptoms in the gut[26].

The small intestine is covered with a thin layer of mucus, and the colon and stomach are covered with two layers of mucus. The mucus layer of the small intestine is similar to the thinner mucus layer in the colon (50 to 200 μm) and is closely connected to the intestinal epithelium, reinforcing the protection conferred by the epithelial layer[27]. This mucus layer provides the substrate for maintaining antimicrobial peptides, including alpha-defensins secreted by Paneth cells, which create a barrier between microbes and intestinal mucusal tissue[28]. The inner mucosa blocks direct contact between the epithelial cells and gut microbes, and the outer mucosa is colonized by many symbiotic bacteria that are difficult to eliminate. In IBD, decreased mucus production and antimicrobial peptide secretion provide favorable conditions for symbiotic bacteria to become opportunistic pathogens[29]. Studies have shown that the VAT-AIEC protease secreted by AIEC can promote the degradation of mucin and decrease the viscosity of mucus, thereby facilitating the colonization and invasion of AIEC into the intestinal mucosa.

IBD patients reported present with greater colonization of Ruminococcus torques and Ruminococcus gnavus, which have mucus-dissolving abilities, in their intestines[30]. As a result, the overall number of mucosa-associated bacteria in the intestines of IBD patients is increased. These abundant bacteria can degrade human secretory MUC2, enhancing the adhesive and invasive abilities of opportunistic pathogens in contact with IECs.

In the transgenic CEABAC10 mouse model, dietary components rich in fat and sugar reduced the expression of mucin 2, Klf4, and Tff3, as well as the thickness of the mucus layer and the number of goblet cells in the colonic mucosa, thereby altering barrier function[31]. In these mouse models, AIEC showed a greater ability to colonize the intestinal mucosa and trigger intestinal inflammation due to changes in barrier function and increased TNF-α secretion[32]. In addition, some AIEC strains have been shown to resist the effects of antimicrobial peptides, which allows invasive bacteria to survive in the inner mucin layer. A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying increased intestinal permeability in patients with CD may lead to the development of new drugs that target AIEC and prevent it from undermining the integrity of the intestinal barrier[33].

Peyer patches (PPs) play important roles in mucosal immunity and can cause intestinal epithelial disorders. The interaction between PPs and intestinal microbiota and species diversity have gradually become research hotspots. Some pathogens have evolved ways to cross the epithelial apical barrier. Studies have shown that certain microorganisms, especially invasive pathogenic bacteria, can access specific microfold (M) cells as the main entry point to invade the host, cross the intestinal barrier, and cause disease[34]. For example, Yersinia enterocolitica and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis cross the intestinal epithelial barrier by adhering to follicle-associated epithelial M cells. Aggregated pyloric lymph nodes may be the initial site of inflammation and play an important role in the early stage of CD[35]. Recent studies have shown that AIEC can leverage their LPF to interact with pyloric lymph nodes and thus gain access to M cells. In addition, the prevalence of an AIEC strain expressing LPF was higher in CD than in a control group. After transport through the epithelium, AIEC can enter immune cells, especially macrophages and dendritic cells; these immune cells release immunomodulators, such as TNF-α, that promote functional changes in the mucosal barrier and reduce mucosal permeability[36].

**Interaction with immune cells**

The intestinal mucosa contains a large number of effector lymphocytes, including Ig-secreting CD4+ effector T cells, such as IFN-γ-producing Th1 cells, IL-17-producing Th17 cells, Foxp3 regulatory T cells (Tregs), CD8+ T cells, and intraepithelial lymphocytes (mainly γδ T cells). Recent studies have shown that naive lymphocytes share the same functional characteristics as T cells[37].

The intestinal immune system must simultaneously sense whether the immune-tolerant microbiome and host defenses against microbial invasion are caused by pathogens or opportunistic pathogens. The inflammatory response is controlled by Fox P3+ Tregs originating in the thymus or from locally differentiated primary CD4+ T cells. Notably, innate immune lymphocytes also play major roles in the regulation of effector T-cell responses to symbiotic bacteria[38]. Under stable conditions, a mucosal firewall consisting of a mucus layer, an epithelial barrier, IgA, and regulatory cells controls microbial-
derived and food-borne antigens and limits inappropriate immune responses. Therefore, microbiological antigens do not normally activate systemic immunity[39]. Any disruption to this mucosal firewall (even local or temporary breach), such as that caused by acute infection, epithelial damage, or increased permeability, causes microbial spread and can transform symbiotic bacteria into opportunistic pathogens[40].

The microbiome has been identified as a major activator and regulator of the mucosal immune system through the direct interactions of the microorganisms with epithelial cells or immune cells. In addition, the microbiota stimulates the regulatory response mainly through the production of active metabolites [41]. Studies have shown that feeding mice probiotics, such as Lactobacillus reuteri, increases the frequency of Tregs. Bacterial fermentation products, namely, short-chain fatty acids (such as butyrate and acetate produced by Bacteroides or Clostridium), inhibit inflammation, and the differentiation and function of colonic Tregs depend on GPR43 facilitation, which protect against colitis. Other types of microbial products, such as bacterial DNA, can lead to Th17 cell activation in a steady state. RORγ T+ cells and CD4+ T cells, which secrete IL-17, are generally thought to be harmful to the host because of their ability to induce recurrence of inflammation and autoimmune diseases[42]. In addition, the Th17 cell response protects against intestinal fungal and bacterial pathogens such as Acidobacteria. Thus, Th17 cells are involved in disease pathogenesis only in specific contexts, such as when the host is susceptible to autoimmune attack or when the immune system is overactivated by a large number of infectious bacteria[43]. Therefore, the gut microbiome plays a dual role in immune regulation. In the intestinal mucosa, the microbiota plays a role by stimulating the acquired immune system and promoting the formation of different T-cell subsets. The normal intestinal microbiota is involved in immune homeostasis. Notably, Tregs in the intestinal mucosa show specific responses to microbial-derived antigens, and any disruption to microbiome components affects the Treg content[44]. Studies have shown that an antibody group changed with changes to the microbiome, with the antibody composition adjusting in response to the latest microbial composition[45].

IBD is considered to be a result of an inappropriate response of the acquired immune system to microbial-derived antigens because, in most cases of IBD-related genetic diversity, the mucosal immune system response to microorganisms is affected. It had been widely believed that the Th1 cell response was the major cause of CD. This idea was supported by experiments showing that Th1 cells produce TNF-α and IFN-γ, and IFN-γ can activate macrophages to produce additional TNF-α, leading to the apoptosis of epithelial cells and inducing CD[46]. Moreover, experiments have shown that many Th17 cells infiltrate the inflammatory gastrointestinal mucosa of IBD patients, and the upregulation of Th17 cell-secreted cytokine expression (e.g., IL-21 and IL-22) can promote the Th1 cell response, thus exacerbating the inflammatory response of IBD. Therefore, Th17 cells are now also recognized as important in the pathogenesis of IBD[47].

Furthermore, acquired functional mutation of the IL23R gene has been reported to predispose humans to CD and UC, while IL-17 secreted by peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients is related to the severity of UC but not CD, suggesting that IL-23 plays an important role in the pathogenesis of IBD, while Th17 cells play a different role in these two diseases[48]. Notably, IL-23 not only maintained the Th17 cell response but also promoted the secretion of IL-17 and IFN-γ by naive immune lymphocytes, leading to colitis in mice. These naive immune lymphocytes are abnormal representatives of the mucous membranes in IBD subjects compared to the membranes in normal control individuals[49]. Therefore, Th1 and Th2 cell responses are considered to be the immunopathogenic components that truly promote CD and UC, respectively, while Th17 cell responses eliminate the limitations of these effector cell responses.

Although the exact nature of antigen stimulation of the immune system remains unclear, evidence of B- and T-cell immunoactivation by inducible microbiota suggests that IBD is not caused by specific pathogenic antigens but by an intolerant microbiota in the gut. Antibodies targeting Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the E. coli membrane protein Omp C, and flagellin have been found in patients with IBD, and these antibodies have been associated with IBD development[50]. In addition, these antibodies have been detected in family members of IBD patients (who show the potential to acquire IBD). Notably, although no correlation between AIEC colonization and the anti-Omp C antibody titer in CD has been reported, both measures have been identified in the ileum and can cause ileum injury[51]. For example, relevant experiments have shown that the concentration of anti-Omp C antibodies is greatly increased in IBD patients. Moreover, same antibodies against the gut microbiota have been also found in healthy subjects who experience brief disruption of gut barrier function, indicating that specific microbiome systemic immunity is not the direct cause of IBD[52].

**AIEC and cytokine production**

AIEC formed colonies in wild type and TLR5-knockout (KO) mice for only a brief period, but chronic colitis persisted in the TLR5-KO mice for months longer, suggesting that AIEC plays a role in colitis etiology. In contrast, inflammatory environments are conducive to AIEC proliferation and a decrease in the diversity of gut microbes[53]. With the development of a fecal sample bank, the origin of AIEC will be eventually identified. According to knowledge obtained to date, in contrast to an acquired infectious agent, AIEC can only cause disease in a specific host or environmental context. Notably, studies have shown that host factors play major roles in preventing intestinal bacterial infection, especially AIEC.
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AIEC IN CD

Genetic and environmental factors associated with presence of AIEC

Multiple deficiencies in the innate immune response associated with CD contribute to AIEC-induced inflammatory responses. Risk polymorphisms associated with autophagy lead to impaired innate immune system sensing and processing of intracellular bacteria, leading to inflammation. Autophagy is induced in AIEC-infected host cells and is required to inhibit AIEC intracellular replication[61].

Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing 2 (NOD2/CARD15, on chromosome 16) was the first CD susceptibility gene discovered. NOD2/CARD15-encoded proteins are expressed in monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, epithelial cells, and Paneth cells. This protein contains two N-terminal caspase-activating and recruitment domains (CARDs), a central nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) and C-terminal leucine-rich-repeat region (LRR). NOD2/CARD15 is mainly involved in the recognition and inflammatory response of various pathogenic microorganisms in cells, and it can recognize muramyl dipeptide (MDP), the cleavage product of peptidoglycan in the bacterial cell wall [62]. It is an important bridge between innate immunity and acquired immunity. One study suggested that NOD2/CARD15 regulates the release of defensins and antimicrobial peptides by intestinal Paneth cells, thus playing a role in maintaining the normal barrier function of the intestinal mucosa. High expression of CD147 promoted Listeria invasion of epithelial cells, while NOD2/CARD15 formed a complex with CD147, which reduce the level of free CD147, ultimately regulating intestinal tract stability. NOD2/CARD15 was identified through the Kront's method in 2001, and three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in this gene have been associated with IBD[63]. Studies have shown that NOD2/CARD15 mutations are promoters of IBD development. NOD2/CARD15 gene mutations or functional defects led to the impairment of the intestinal immune barrier, resulting in individual intestinal mutations related to the susceptibility to a variety of pathogenic bacteria, accompanied by reduced defensins and antimicrobial peptide levels, increased intestinal mucosal permeability, weakened intestinal bactericidal activity, easy bacterial invasion of epithelial cells, etc. Therefore, NOD2/CARD15 deletion in mice caused typical intestinal pathological outcomes and increased mouse susceptibility to Listeria. With further development of immunological, microbiological, and molecular biology techniques, details on the function of NOD2 in the molecular system through which intracellular bacteria are recognized will be further revealed, and certain factors interacting with NOD and their mechanisms of action will be gradually discovered, possibly revealing the whole picture of this functional system[64]. Advances in methodology will also lay a foundation for in-depth research into the contributions of NOD2 in the pathogenesis of IBD. The discovery that NOD2/CARD15 gene mutation or functional defects led to an impaired intestinal immune barrier was the first finding to link genetic susceptibility to CD, intestinal microbiology, and the body's natural immunity in CD and was a major breakthrough in CD research. Moreover, later studies confirmed that the NOD2/CARD15 gene contains three SNPs (Arg702Trp, Gly908Arg, and Leu1007InsC) and is only a susceptibility gene in Caucasians. Due to regional and ethnic differences, the NOD2/CARD15 mutation sites involved in CD infection. For example, increased expression of CEACAM6, a specific bacterial adhesion factor, in the brush margin of the ileum led to the colonization of pathogenic AIEC in the ileum of CD patients[65]. AIEC adhered to CHI3L1 through the Chi A protein domain, thereby promoting the pathogenic effect of AIEC. The outer membrane protein Omp A interacted with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response glycoprotein Gp96, which is overexpressed in the apical membrane of ileal epithelial cells in CD[55]. Given that ER stress is often associated with inflammatory responses, AIEC may also leverage ER stress to enhance its adhesiveness to the intestinal epithelium in CD. AIEC delays apoptosis of infected macrophages by increasing the protein mercaptosylation and degrading the apoptotic enzyme Caspase-3, enabling AIEC persistence in patients with CD. AIEC also regulates the ubiquitin-proteasome system and downregulates the NF-xb regulator CYLD, leading to degradation of Ik-α and activation of NF-xb[56]. This feature is conducive to the intracellular replication of AIEC LF82, playing a very important role in the pathogenicity of AIEC. Studies have shown that abnormal autophagy contributes to the persistence of AIEC in the intestines of patients with IBD. Indeed, alteration in ATG16L1, IRGM, and NOD2 expression was beneficial to the replication of AIEC in macrophages, thus increasing the secretion of IL-6 and TNF-a[57]. In contrast, the numbers of AIEC cells and proinflammatory cytokines released by macrophages were significantly reduced under pharmacologically induced autophagy. Notably, autophagy was blocked at the autophagolysosome stage, and this inhibitory effect was accompanied by AIEC infection of PLB-985 neutrophil-like cells, which enabled intracellular bacterial survival and increased the IL-8 secretion level[38]. In addition, the microRNAs miR106b and miR93 reduced the expression of ATG16L1 and prevented the elimination of intracellular bacteria by regulating autophagy[59]. This self-phagocytic regulation appears to be disrupted in the colonic mucosa of patients with CD. AIEC infection upregulated the expression of miR30C and miR130A in T84 cells and mouse intestinal cells, resulting in reduced expression of ATG5 and ATG16L1, inhibition of autophagy, an increase in the number of AIEC in cells, and intensification of the inflammatory response[60].
among Chinese patients may be different from those identified in the West[65].

Autophagy-related 16-like gene (ATG16L1) is located on chromosome 2Q37.1. This gene is mainly expressed in IECs, lymphocytes, and macrophages and can encode proteins involved in the processing of intracellular bacterial autophagosome metabolism[66]. The autophagy proteins ATG5 and ATG12 form compounds that play an important role in bacterial clearance from cells. Studies based on a large sample size confirmed that the SNP rs2241880 allele of the ATG16L1 gene is associated with CD susceptibility in European people[67]. This SNP is located in exon 9, which is approximately 103 bp in length. The codon of the 47th allele encodes a mutation in which a threonine residue is replaced by an alanine residue (ACT is mutated to GCT). Mutation of the ATG16L1 gene leads to the invasion of a small number of bacteria and inhibited intracellular responses that reduce the killing effect of macrophages, resulting in the survival of the invading intracellular bacteria, activating the immune response to the expression of a variety of cytokines, and causing tissue damage and chronic intestinal inflammatory reactions. In addition, murine norovirus strains have been found to cause a CD-like condition in mice with ATG16L1 mutations[68]. This pattern of viral cosusceptible gene interaction depends on TNF-α and interferon-γ.

When AIEC cells adhere to and colonize IECs, the bacteria begin to invade the epithelium. CD-associated AIEC has been found to invade several human epithelial cell lines in vitro, including HEP-2 cells and the INT 407, CACO-2, and HCT-8 IEC cell lines. AIEC survived and replicated successfully in the cytoplasm of host cells[69]. The main virulence factors in CD-related AIEC are type 1 pili, which induce membrane extension; flagella, which endow bacteria with mobility; effector molecules, which enable AIEC to interact with host cell outer membrane vesicles; and Omp C, which regulates bacterial virulence factors through sigma signaling. Invading AIEC can cross the intestinal barrier and penetrate deep into tissue, where it interacts with macrophages to continuously activate immune cells. In addition, patients with CD are more sensitive to AIEC infection, possibly due to genetic defects in CD-susceptible people[70].

It is currently believed that the process by which E. coli induces CD is as follows: Through type 1 pili, the bacteria bind to the mucosal surface of cells with high expression of the human antigen-related cell adhesion molecule CEACAM6 and further adhere to and invade the intestinal epithelium[71]. The lysis of endocytic vesicles damages the intestinal epithelium, and the bacteria enter cells via pinocytosis. The internalized bacteria survive and replicate in lamina propria macrophages, potentially causing persistent inflammation. In addition, E. coli destroys and passes through the epithelium and penetrates deep into tissue, continuously activating macrophages and inducing the secretion of TNF-α in large quantities, resulting in granuloma formation[72].

Worldwide prevalence of AIEC in CD

AIEC strains are highly correlated with CD ileum mucosa; for example, pathogenic strains were isolated from ileum specimens of 36.4% of CD patients and 6% of control individuals. In colon samples, AIEC strains accounted for 3.7% of CD, 0% of UC, and 1.9% of control sample bacteria[73]. The AIEC strain was first identified in recurrent CD soon after surgery, and therefore, the AIEC strain may play a role in initiating inflammation and may not be merely a secondary invader. The presence of AIEC strains in the colon and ileum of healthy individuals ranged from 0% to 16% and from 6% to 19%; respectively, suggesting that AIEC strains are associated with CD-related factors, such as host genetic susceptibility and environmental stimuli, to induce colitis. Bacterial translocation and mucosal damage are rarely observed in healthy individuals.

Relationship between AIEC and age of onset

Few studies have reported on the correlation between age of CD onset and AIEC. AIEC is less common in children with IBD than in adults with IBD. Two strains of AIEC were identified from a total of 85 lactose-fermented specimen isolated from 34 children with IBD (24 patients with CD and 10 patients with UC) and 23 healthy children[75]. In a recent study, 616 E. coli strains were isolated from the ileal mucosa biopsy samples obtained from 4 children with CD and 4 healthy children, indicating that the relative abundance of the AIEC strain was higher in the CD patients. Therefore, the studies of AIEC prevalence in children and newly diagnosed IBD patients demonstrate the importance of AIEC in the early diagnosis of IBD. However, the incidence of CD and AIEC in elderly people has not been reported [76].

Relationship between AIEC and CD disease location, flare-ups, and postoperative recurrence

AIEC strains are more easily found in the CD ileum than in the CD colon. Specifically, Martinez-medina et al reported a higher incidence of AIEC in the ileum than in the colon in CD[77]. The genetic susceptibility and immune response of the intestinal flora reportedly varied with the site of disease, which means that the composition and distribution of mucosal flora may vary on the basis of the disease phenotype. Most studies fail to report information on the loci of the CD-affected areas, which is needed to determine the role played by AIEC in disease phenotype acquisition[78]. Dysbiosis of the gut microbiome may be caused by changes in the oxygen content of the inflamed gastrointestinal tract, leading to a decrease in probiotics and an overgrowth of proinflammatory bacteria. In the most recently diagnosed cases of untreated pediatric CD, testing of gastrointestinal samples from multiple locations
revealed an increase in the number of bacteria in the Enterobacteriaceae, Veronococaceae, Fusobacteriaceae, and Pasteurellaceae and a decrease in the number of bacteria in the orders Bacteroides, Clostridium, and Erysiphales[79].

Studies have shown that E. coli infection plays a decisive role in disease flare-up and severity, but extensive research on AIEC is lacking. An analysis of the microbiota associated with the rectal mucosa can contribute to a CD diagnosis in the early stages of the disease[80]. Specifically, the composition of the fungal community differs in inflammatory and noninflammatory areas of the intestine. Therefore, studies into intestinal fungal flora can be used to assess disease flare-ups in CD. Biopsy samples of the right colon obtained from 34 adult IBD patients (23 with CD and 11 with UC) were found to contain E. coli types B2 and D, which were associated with inflammation[81]. One study based on fluorescence in situ hybridization confirmed that the number of E. coli in the epithelium and lamina propria of patients with active CD was significantly higher than that of patients with inactive CD[82]. Similarly, other studies have found higher E. coli abundance in patients with active CD than in those in remission. Some scholars have indicated that the mucosal abundance of E. coli is related to the severity of inflammation identified by endoscopy. With E. coli, however, this association has not been found in cells[83].

A number of studies have linked AIEC to ileal recurrence after CD surgery. Studies have shown that 65% of E. coli strains were recovered from patients with chronic inflammatory lesions removed from the ileum, and 100% of the E. coli strains were recovered from recurrent biopsy samples obtained soon after surgery[84]. The number of E. coli colonies in the ileum after early relapse was significantly higher than that in healthy people. These studies suggest that E. coli may play an important role in initiating inflammatory processes in CD. Nevertheless, studies have found the AIEC strain in 22% of patients without recurrence, as determined by endoscopy, suggesting that other factors were needed to initiate CD recurrence. Recent data from 170 patients with surgically treated CD suggested that the AIEC in the surgical site was associated with recurrence 6 mo after endoscopic surgery[85]. These results suggest that targeting AIEC colonization may be a potential adjuvant strategy for preventing postoperative recurrence.

A recent study showed that the bacterial community on the mucosal surface of the human gut can be classified into five highly conserved modules, with two modules presenting unique metabolic functions and a correlation with CD[86]. Based on an analysis of the microbial component modules, a holistic view of the microbial ecology related to CD data for an individual can be obtained. A reduction in Faecalibacterium prausnitzii in patients with ileal mucosa resection has been associated with recurrence of CD[87]. As a beneficial bacterium, Clostridium plasmodium can stimulate peripheral blood monocytes in vitro to induce the secretion of the anti-inflammatory factor IL-10 and inhibit the release of the proinflammatory factor IL-12. The number of C. plasmodium in the feces of UC patients was reported to be decreased. Due to the different proportions of C. plasmodium and E. coli in ileal and colonic CD, this ratio can be considered a promising biomarker for differential diagnoses and personalized treatments [88].

**Mechanism of AIEC action in CD**

AIEC is closely related to CD, but a causal relationship between intestinal colonization of AIEC and CD remains unclear. Clinical trials targeting AIEC are ongoing, with results expected. Recent studies have shown that AIEC can not only induce intestinal inflammation in CD but can also promote the colonization of AIEC in the intestinal tract, thereby increasing the severity of intestinal lesions[89].

The levels of proinflammatory factors in CD with AIEC adhesion were significantly increased, especially the transcriptional levels of TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-8, which were closely related to the involvement of AIEC in the immune response in CD[90]. First, adhesion of AIEC to IECs can activate the NF-κB signaling pathway through phosphorylation of IkB-α and nuclear translocation of NF-κBp65, resulting in the release of downstream proinflammatory factors (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, etc.) and causing intestinal inflammation. AIEC also degrades IkB-α by downregulating the expression of the NF-κB regulatory factor CYLD, leading to abnormalities in the ubiquitin-proteosome system, which is involved in the breakdown of almost all proteins in the body[91]. Second, AIEC flagella can induce secretion of the proinflammatory factor IL-8 and chemokine CCL20, leading to the recruitment of macrophages and dendritic cells to the site of infection and resulting in local infiltration of inflammatory cells. However, macrophages can express TNF-α and IFN-γ after phagocytosis of AIEC, and these proinflammatory factors in turn stimulate the expression of CEACAM6 in IECs, thus enhancing the colonization of AIEC[92].

Intestinal inflammation in patients with CD provides favorable conditions for the specific colonization of AIEC. Studies have shown that engraftment of AIEC through adhesion is realized only in DSS-induced colitis, and broad-spectrum antibiotics destroy the original intestinal flora in mice or induce immune deficiency, such as IL-10 loss, in knockout mice[93]. In CD, the concentration of the intestinal mucosa containing AIEC is far higher than that in the area of inflammation intestinal mucosa. An anomalous inflammatory state can lead to intestinal flora AIEC multiplication and subsequent chronic inflammation-induced injury. An increase in the AIEC engraftment rate in inflamed intestine increases the area of long-term inflammation in the intestines, possibly because IECs are closely connected, and therefore, when the connections between abnormal structures are disrupted, pores form[94]. In this case, the Claudin2 protein content increases significantly, and the content of pore-closing...
proteins decreases significantly. As a result, the permeability of the intestinal epithelium is transformed from 'closed' to 'leaky'. The increase in the permeability of the intestinal epithelium enables AIEC to penetrate the intestinal mechanical barrier, and then, with its antigen exposed to immune cells, the invading AIEC triggers an immune response, resulting in inflammation[95]. Moreover, as mentioned above, inflammatory factors can stimulate the high expression of CEACAM6 in IECs, and CEACAM6 is the most important adhesion molecule receptor involved in AIEC adhesion.

In addition to affecting the immune response of the body and causing intestinal inflammation, AIEC directly induces a certain level of pathogenicity. In studies with mice in which the flagella receptor gene TRL5 was lacking, specific AIEC infecting strains were evident. The results showed that shortly after infection, the AIEC strains were not effectively cleared by the TRL5-KO mice compared with the clearance by the normal mice, significantly increasing the inflammation index and IL-6 levels in the mutant mice[96]. In addition, the proinflammatory LPS and flagella protein levels increased significantly. Other studies have shown that streptomycin-treated mice infected with an AIEC strain exhibit histological features similar to those of CD (mural inflammation and fibrotic changes in the intestinal cavity), demonstrating that AIEC infection induces an immunological response similar to that in CD[97]. In addition, AIEC colonization was found in the healthy intestinal mucosa of CD patients, suggesting that AIEC infection may not depend on a dysregulated inflammatory response or intestinal barrier injury.

AIEC IN UC

Susceptibility factors
The mechanism of AIEC action in the pathogenesis of UC is still unclear. Studies have suggested that the absence of autophagy may facilitate AIEC persistence in the gut. After AIEC infection, autophagy is blocked at the autophagolysosomal stage, during which vacuoles containing pathogens are destroyed and become targets for autophagy clearance. In patients with active UC, reduced levels of chromosomal associated protein-D3 (CAP-D3) are thought to be critical for the reduced autophagy capacity and inhibited intracellular bacterial clearance. AIEC may participate in the regulation of CAP-D3 expression-related amino acid transporters[98].

Prevalence of AIEC in UC patients
The prevalence of AIEC in UC is lower than that in CD patients. Although some studies have shown a high prevalence of E. coli in UC patients, few studies have identified AIEC bacteria in the colon of UC patients. Compared with CD patients, the prevalence of AIEC in adults and children with UC is low, ranging from 0% to 10%[99]. A number of studies have shown that E. coli abundance is increased in active UC lesions compared with inactive UC lesions. E. coli in phylogenetic group B2 with at least one adherence-related gene has been associated with increased disease flare-ups in UC patients. Other studies have shown differences in the adhesion or invasion of fecal strains obtained from UC patients during a flare-up and UC patients with inactive disease[100].

Is AIEC a cause or a transmissible agent in IBD?
Some emulsifiers and food stabilizers provide favorable conditions for AIEC colonization. AIEC LF82 is more likely to generate unique biofilms in the presence of maltodextrin (MDX)[101]. MDX is an amyloid polysaccharide that promotes bacterial adhesion to human IECs and facilitates the expression of type I pili, which is essential for the formation of AIEC biofilms and cell adhesion. However, CEACAM6 is expressed independently, suggesting that AIEC adhesion to intestinal cells involves a unique mechanism[102]. In addition, bacteria isolated from patients with ileal CD showed a higher prevalence of MAL X genes. Since MDX is a ubiquitous dietary component, this finding suggests that MDX-rich diets are more conducive to intestinal colonization with E. coli, leading to dysbiosis in the body. Polysorbate 80, an emulsifier commonly used in processed foods, promotes the passage of AIEC HM605 through M cells and IECs. Experiments with animal models have shown that dietary components rich in fat and sugar can induce dysregulation of the body’s flora and induce low levels of inflammation. Studies have shown that bacterial dysbiosis and low-level inflammation in susceptible individuals increase the AIEC colonization rate, exacerbating inflammatory responses and epithelial barrier disruption[103].

AIEC is considered to be a pathobiont, although many AIEC strain genomes encode virulence genes, and pathogenicity of the prototype strain LF82 has been reported[104]. This pathophysiology is supported by clinical data showing that IBD patients have underlying genetic mutations and that inflammation may be caused by a distinct microbiome not an infectious pathogen. Analysis of the AIEC genome revealed that the presence of specific genes may be associated with bacterial virulence, while disease-causing adaptive mutations in many other genes may be associated with the pathogenicity of AIEC in susceptible hosts[105]. For example, the Omp A protein in LF82 interacts with the host molecule Gp96 to allow bacteria to adhere to IECs. Recently, acquired mutations of certain strains were considered to be markers of the evolution of pathogenic adaptability of the bacteria, and the emergence
of Fim H mutants in AIEC strains enables AIEC to achieve greater cell adhesion[106]. Hence, AIEC evolved into a disease-causing adaptive strain with mutant Fim H, which improved the ability of AIEC to colonize the intestine and induce inflammation in genetically susceptible hosts. A SNP analysis of FIM H can be performed to detect virulence of E. coli in IBD patients and can also be used in diagnostic or epidemiological investigations[107]. Interestingly, the protease methylidopa degraded the type I pili of AIEC and prevented AIEC from binding to mannosylated host receptors. Decreased methylidopa expression in patients with CD is associated with the severity of inflammation, suggesting that lack of protective methylidopa allows greater AIEC colonization in the intestinal mucosa.

New treatment strategy for IBD: Targeting AIEC and reestablishing intestinal floral balance

Dietary interventions: Among the factors that affect the composition and structure of the host intestinal flora, diet plays a crucial role. Dietary interventions are considered to be low-cost and easy ways to regulate the intestinal microbiota and treat disorders related to microbiota disorders[108]. In Europe, pure enteral nutrition has been listed as a first-line treatment for CD in children; children taking this treatment show higher disease remission rates than children taking corticosteroids, and the safety profile of the enteral diet is higher. A number of randomized controlled trials have shown that a low fermentable, oligo-, di-, monosaccharide and polyol diet intervention can fully alleviate the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) in patients, accompanied by increases in Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Bifidobacterium longum, and Faecalibacterium. The abundance of Prasuntrizi and Bacteroides spp. decreased, and the level of fecal butyrate decreased[109]. In a crossover trial with patients in remission or with mild UC, researchers found significant improvements in the quality of life after 4 wk of targeted intake of either a low-fat or high-fiber diet. In addition, a low-fat diet significantly reduced patients’ levels of expressed inflammatory markers and attenuated the dysregulation of their gut microbiota. Studies based on IBD animal models have shown that proteolytic diets induce remission associated with decreased abundance of pathogens such as E. coli and Clostridium perfringens, increased abundance of Clostridium hiranois, a secondary bile acid producer, and elevated levels of cholic acid and deoxycholic acid[110].

Among environmental factors, vitamin D plays a key role in maintaining the integrity of the intestinal mucosal barrier through tight junctions. Recent studies have shown that incubation of Caco-2BBe cells with 1,25(OH)2 vitamin D3 protected the cells against AICE-induced destruction of cross-epithelial resistance and tight junction proteins. Vitamin D9-deficient mice treated with DSS showed significant epithelial dysfunction and increased colitis susceptibility, aggravating the damage caused by AIEC colonization. Thus, vitamin D deficiency after AIEC infection may disrupt the mucosal barrier, leading to increased susceptibility to mucosal damage and an increased risk of IBD[111].

Some food ingredients, such as lactoferrin in milk, have antibacterial and/or anti-inflammatory properties that inhibit bacterial adhesion and invasion. Serum-derived bovine immunoglobulin/isolate has recently been extensively used to cause LF82-/DSS-induced attenuated colitis and inhibit the inflammatory cascade leading to IBD (Figure 1)[112].

Antibiotics: The efficacy and effects of antibiotics on IBD are not clear. In general, traditional antibiotics have shown poor efficacy against IBD, and they are currently used only to treat bacterial complications of CD, although antibiotics do provide relief, especially in CD patients with colitis. Antibiotic treatment, however, is no longer the main drug used to treat IBD, as the increase in multidrug-resistant bacteria promotes the growth of pathogenic bacteria[113]. In addition, long-term treatment of IBD with antibiotics, such as metronidazole, leads to profound adverse reactions and poor tolerability. Therefore, treatment with antibiotics may be effective only under certain conditions, such as for patients colonized with AIEC strains with high activity. Antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin and rifaximin induce fewer side effects than immunosuppressants and may be safer options for patients with CD infected with AIEC [114]. Further evaluation of antibiotics in IBD is needed, especially for specific cases, such as CD patients with AIEC colonization. In the near future, antibiotics may be used to specifically defend against invasive bacteria. Therefore, further reducing the side effects of antibiotics and selectively targeting specific bacteria are foci of future research (Figure 2)[112].

Probiotics, prebiotics, and postbiotics: Probiotics are living microbes that provide health benefits for the host when consumed in sufficient quantities. Prebiotics can be selectively utilized by bacteria in the host body and converted to benefit the health of the host. The American Gastroenterological Association recommends that probiotics be considered for the treatment of functional symptoms of IBD[115]. Anti-inflammatory probiotic administration has been validated in CD as a promising modulated microbiome composition. Studies have shown that the use of yeast probiotics can prevent colitis in mice[116]. Therefore, probiotics may be used in a novel approach for the treatment of CD patients with abnormal AIEC colonization in the ileum. In addition, prebiotics that are indigestible can stimulate the growth and metabolic activity of beneficial microbiota species. Recently, the safety of probiotics in CD patients has been questioned due to the breakdown of symbiotic flora tolerance in the context of acute inflammation[117]. In these cases, postbiotics (soluble factors produced by probiotics that trigger an immunomodulatory response) can act as therapeutic agents against CD because they can be administered in a purified intact form, ensuring therapeutic safety.
Immunoregulatory therapy: New approaches are emerging to suppress or manipulate abnormal immune responses in patients with IBD. For example, an anti-integrin α4β7 humanized monoclonal antibody (vedolizumab), which effectively prevents immune cell colonization in the intestinal mucosa, has shown promising results as a CD treatment[118]. In addition, a study has suggested that the injection of activated Tregs into CD patients holds potential therapeutic promise and may lead to the development of personalized immunotherapy. Notably, autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation has been reported to produce lasting remission in patients with CD that has been difficult to treat through conventional methods. Today, methods of manipulating a patient's microbiome through antibiotic therapy, fecal transplantation, nutrient interventions, or probiotics can be used alone or in combination with immunotherapy to alleviate symptoms of the disease or as a postoperative treatment to prevent recurrence[119].

Antiadhesion molecules: Antiadhesion molecules can specifically bind to cellular adhesion molecule-1, which is expressed by lymphoid cells, and block its interaction with SCRAMSCRAM1[120]. Then, lymphocytes are prevented from migrating and homing from the blood to inflammatory tissue, ultimately inhibiting intestinal inflammation. Vedolizumab, a representative anti-adhesion molecular agent, shows intestinal specificity and can specifically antagonize intestinal α4β7 integrin heterodimers, but its effect is relatively slow, with a clinical response time of at least 2 wk[121]. A study has shown that the clinical remission rate of patients with UC was 47.1% and that the mucosal healing rate was 40.9% after 6 wk of treatment with vedolizumab[122]. The clinical response rate of patients with CD was 14.5% 6 wk after treatment with vedolizumab. These results indicate that the efficacy of vedolizumab in the treatment of IBD is slow, which may be related to the vedolizumab mechanism of action. Although vedolizumab can specifically bind the α4β7 integrin heterodimer, its target is an upstream signaling...
pathway in the inflammatory response pathway, and it exerts no inhibitory effect on homing lymphocytes or their secreted cytokines; therefore, it cannot exert an anti-inflammatory effect in the short term[123]. However, these mechanisms of action contribute to the relatively long-lasing anti-inflammatory effect of vedolizumab. In addition, vedolizumab is the only novel biologic agent with high intestinal selectivity, which indicates a better clinical treatment effect for moderate to severe IBD patients with intestinal lesions, and the risk of vedolizumab inducing opportunistic infections is very low[124].

**Fecal microbiota transplantation:** Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) is the transplantation of fecal microbiota from a healthy donor into the gastrointestinal tract of a patient to correct bacterial dysregulation and reshape intestinal homeostasis. As an ancient intestinal microbiota intervention measure, FMT was first used according to The Elbow Reserve Urgent Prescription written by Ge Hong in the Eastern Jin Dynasty. Specifically, stool suspension was applied to treat food poisoning and severe diarrhea. Compared with oral probiotics, FMT has the advantages of a rich variety of transplanted bacteria, at high numbers, and with maximum retention of host functional bacteria. In contrast to applying specific bacteria to regulate the intestinal flora, the key to FMT effectiveness is the reliance on the whole flora to restore homeostasis in patients[125]. FMT is recognized as the most effective treatment for refractory *Clostridium difficile* infections (CDIs). FMT has been written into the US CDI treatment guidelines and has led to an 85%-90% response rate in one treatment and a 100% response rate in two treatments. In addition to CDI treatment, the greatest evidence showing the benefits of FMT has been obtained from studies of patients with IBD. Namely, a meta-analysis showed that the remission rate of patients with CD treated by FMT was 50.5% (42/83), which was significantly better than that of patients with UC [36% (201/555)] [126]. Studies have shown that the choice of FMT transplantation has a direct impact on the efficacy of IBS treatment, and the transplantation of fresh or frozen feces administered by colonoscopy or nasal jejunal tube is superior to fecal bacteria capsules [127]. This approach can repair important components of the gut microbiome and reverse inappropriate immune stimulation in CD, making the gut ecosystem unsuitable for AIEC colonization. Changes in the intestinal flora are an important cause of IBD. Although the safety and efficacy of fecal transplantation are still being assessed, relevant studies have confirmed its efficacy.

**Phage therapy:** Bacteriophages are viruses that host bacteria; therefore, they are also called bacterial viruses. Phages can shape bacterial communities by inducing bacterial cell lysis and influencing bacterial phenotype acquisition. As an antibacterial therapy, phage therapy has the advantage of precise targeting compared with antibiotics and can be used to regulate intestinal flora and kill multiple types of drug-resistant bacteria. Phage therapy is used as an alternative or complementary therapy to antibiotics in parts of Eastern Europe[128]. Although phages have not been approved for the treatment of bacterial infections in Western countries, phage preparations are commercially available as prebiotics (e.g., prophage and prephage) for human use in the United States.

In gastroenterology, phage therapy research has focused on infectious diseases. Young rabbit models showed that a single phage, Phi_1, prophylactically and therapeutically controlled cholera without inducing detectable levels of resistance. AIEC is a common pathogenic bacterium in the ileal mucosa of CD patients, and it can bind to the CEACAM6 receptor expressed on the epithelial cell surface and induce colitis symptoms. Three phage mixtures targeting AIEC significantly reduced the colonization of the AIEC LF82 strain in the intestinal mucosa of CEABAC10 transgenic mice expressing the human CEACAM6 AIEC receptor, alleviating DSS-induced colitis symptoms and providing a potential new option for the treatment of CD by targeting the AIEC strain[129].

Extensive studies on the abundance and diversity of phages in the human gut have revealed some differences between the phages colonized in IBD patients and those colonized in healthy subjects, suggesting that phages may play a role in the development of IBD. Newly developed animal models have been used to investigate the dynamics of phage/bacterial populations in the gut, opening up a new field of research in this area[130].

**Restoration/induction of autophagy:** Autophagy is a conserved catabolic pathway that degrades cytoplasmic components and organelles in lysosomes. The basic function of autophagy is to maintain cell energy and survival by recycling amino acids and fatty acids. Autophagy protects cells by degrading damaged proteins and organelles, as well as pathogens within cells[131]. Therefore, autophagy dysfunction plays an important role in the pathogenesis of IBD, and the autophagy-related gene ATG16L1 and immune-related guanosine triphosphatase gene have been confirmed to be risk genes for CD[132].

The IECs of patients with CD involving the ileum are often compromised by AIEC infection. Autophagy can promote adaptive immune clearance of infection, causing bacteria through the MHC-II presentation pathway, and can directly phagocytose and clear invasive pathogens[133]. Autophagy at the physiological level effectively inhibited the proliferation of AIEC, while IRGM- and ATG16L1-deficient cells showed a large amount of AIEC proliferation. Defects in autophagy led to decreased clearance of intracellular bacteria, resulting in the persistence of intracellular infection and recruitment of more inflammatory cells, as well as excessive secretion of cytokines and the formation of chronic
granuloma. All these effects may be related to the pathogenesis of CD\textsuperscript{[134]}.

**Other possible therapeutic interventions:** Interference of Enterobacteriaceae adrenergic receptor QseC activity through the biochemical inhibitor LED209 alleviated experimental colitis\textsuperscript{[135]}. In pathogenic IBD-associated \textit{E. coli} strains, inactivation of QseC reduced \textit{in vitro} virulence and persistence in a simple microbiome \textit{in vivo}\textsuperscript{[136]}. These results provide insights into the use of antiviral approaches to treat not only pathogens but also colitis-causing bacteria\textsuperscript{[137]}.

**CONCLUSION**

Gut microbiota imbalances play an important role in IBD, but no single pathogenic microorganism critical to IBD, which is specific to the IBD terminal ileum mucosa or can invade IECs, has been found. Invasive \textit{E. coli} adhesion to macrophages is considered to be closely related to the pathogenesis of IBD. Further study of the specific biological characteristics of AIEC may contribute to a further understanding of IBD pathogenesis. This review explores the relationship between AIEC and the intestinal immune system, discusses the prevalence and correlation of AIEC in CD and UC patients, and describes the relationship between AIEC and the disease site, activity, and postoperative recurrence. Finally, we highlight potential therapeutic strategies to attenuate AIEC colonization in the intestinal mucosa, including the use of phage therapy, antibiotics, and anti-adhesion molecules. These strategies may open up new avenues for the prevention and treatment of IBD in the future.
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