The tardigrade and associated micrometazoa of the textured lungwort lichen, *Lobaria scrobiculata*, in eastern Newfoundland, Canada
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Abstract

Thirty-eight samples of the textured lungwort lichen, *Lobaria scrobiculata*, were collected from tree trunks in two sites on the Avalon Peninsula of the Island of Newfoundland, Canada. These samples yielded 340 tardigrade specimens the most numerous species being *Hysibius convergens*, *Claxtonia merokensi*, *Echiniscus wendti*, *Macrobiotus cf. hufelandi* and *Milnesium sp.* Several species reckoned to be rare on the island as a result of previous studies of ground growing lichens and mosses were exceptionally common in *Lobaria scrobiculata* demonstrating the importance of tree trunks as an environment supporting tardigrades. Rotifers and nematodes were also recovered but were not as numerous as tardigrades. *L. scrobiculata* is often co-located with the rare boreal felt lichen *Erioderma pedicellatum*, also a foliose lichen, and it is hoped that the information from this present study can also be applied in the conservation of this rare lichen.
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Introduction

The tardigrade fauna of the textured lungwort lichen, *Lobaria scrobiculata*, a foliose lichen, were extracted from 38 samples of the lichen collected in conifer forests at two sites, Long Harbour (47.4 N; 53.8 E) and Lockyer’s Waters (47.3 N; 53.3 E), on the Avalon Peninsula of eastern Newfoundland, Canada, the most easterly part of North America. In these two areas this lichen grows extensively on balsam fir, *Abies balsamea*, in the highly oceanic forests where it is part of a community of cyanolichens typical for old growth forest in Newfoundland (Goudie 2011). The globally endangered boreal felt lichen, *Erioderma pedicellatum*, is also a member of the cyanolichen community in these areas and grows in close association with *L. scrobiculata*. As *L. scrobiculata* and *E. pedicellatum* are both foliose lichens growing on balsam fir, often together, it was hoped that the information on the micrometazoa of *L. scrobiculata* might also provide information on the micrometazoa living in the *E. pedicellatum* which could prove useful in future studies of the conservation of this rare lichen which could not be sampled directly due to its rare status.

1. Method

Lichen samples were provided from two sites in eastern Newfoundland, Canada, namely Lockyer's Waters and Long Harbour, both on the Avalon Peninsula, in the fall of 2014. Intact thalli were removed from host trees, including six samples taken from Lockyer’s Waters between 21 October 2014 (LWSC 01 to LWSC 06), and thirty-two from Long Harbour between 29 October 2014 and 12 November 2014 (LHSC 01 to LHSC 32).

Samples were stored in brown paper bags and were allowed to dry out naturally so that any tardigrades on the lichens would enter the cryptobiotic state and remain in that state until they were examined later in the laboratory. Extraction was undertaken by placing each specimen, or part of the lichen if the whole lichen was too large to fit in a small petri dish, upside down in a 5 cm diameter petri dish and covered with tap water so that any tardigrades would come out of their cryptobiotic state and resume normal activity. The samples were left for at least 24 hours (Kinchin 1994) so that all specimens had enough time to exit cryptobiosis, as different species take different times to resume normal activities. Each thallus was then washed off with water from a squeegee to ensure removal of all the tardigrades, and other micrometazoa, from the sample.
The petri dish was then examined under a Bausch and Lomb stereo dissecting microscope (20-40 magnification) and each specimen removed with a fine pipette and transferred to a microscope slide for more detailed examination under a Carton Optical Binocular Stereoscope. If the specimen could not be identified at this magnification it was then mounted in Hoyer’s solution (Kinchin 1994), covered with a cover slip, and allowed to dry over a three-week period. After this time period, the specimen was examined under high power and viewed on a Toshiba laptop computer screen connected to an Infinity 1 electronic camera on the microscope and images were saved for further examination.

2. Results

2.1 Tardigrades

In all, some 340 tardigrades were extracted and identified from these lichen samples (the two Echiniscids specimens not identified to species were simplex forms and probably either E. merokensis or C. wendti). Two specimens were lost in the transfer from the petri dish to a microscope slide and so were not identified, leaving 338 identified specimens. The most commonly found species was Hypsibius convergens (Urbanowicz, 1925), accounting for 28.5% of all the identified specimens recovered. The second most commonly extracted species was Echiniscus merokensis Richters, 1904, (18.8%) followed by Macrobiotus cf. hufelandi C.A.S. Schultze, 1834 (16.2%), and Milnesium sp. (14.4%). C. wendti Richters, 1903 was also quite common (13.8%) in these samples.

**Table 1. The species and numbers of tardigrade specimens recovered from the samples of lichens in eastern Newfoundland, Canada**

| Species                        | Number | % of total |
|--------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Diphascon (Diphascon) nodulosum | 3      | 0.9        |
| Echiniscus merokensis          | 64     | 18.8       |
| Claxtoniavendti..              | 47     | 13.8       |
| Echiniscusspp.                 | 2      | 0.6        |
| Hypsibius convergens           | 97     | 28.5       |
| Hypsibius djadjardini          | 1      | 0.3        |
| Isohypsibius prosostomus       | 1      | 0.3        |
| Macrobiotus crenulatus         | 9      | 2.7        |
| Macrobiotus cf. hufelandi      | 49     | 16.2       |
| Mesobiotus harmsworthi         | 1      | 0.3        |
| Milnesium sp.                  | 49     | 14.4       |
| Minibiotus intermedius         | 9      | 2.7        |
(Not including the two lost specimens)

All of these eleven species had been recorded previously for the island (Bateman & Collins 2001) in ground lichens and mosses. M. cf. hufelandi, (n = 49), commonly found in these lichen samples, was also the most commonly found tardigrade in the previous studies of ground mosses and lichens in Newfoundland (Bateman & Collins 2001, Collins & Bateman 2012).

H. convergens was the most commonly found tardigrade in the samples, being found in 60% of all samples. M. cf. hufelandi was almost as frequently (57.9%) found in samples as H. convergens. While E. merokensis was found in larger numbers than C. wendti, each was likely to be found in the same percentage of samples (39.4%). Milnesium was located in just over one third of all the samples.

**Table 2. Frequency of occurrence of commonly extracted species in the 38 lichen samples**

| Species                        | Number of samples in which species found | % of samples in which species found |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Echiniscus merokensis          | 15                                     | 39.4                               |
| Claxtoniavendti                | 15                                     | 39.4                               |
| Hypsibius convergens           | 23                                     | 60.5                               |
| Macrobiotus cf. hufelandi      | 22                                     | 57.9                               |
| Milnesium sp.                  | 13                                     | 34.2                               |

While tardigrades were extracted from all 38 samples, both the number of species and the total number of specimens in each sample varied widely. Eleven species were identified in this study but no individual sample had more than five
different tardigrade species (Table 3). Ten of the thirty eight samples contained just one species while just two samples showed five species, the most observed in any sample.

Table 3. Numbers of Tardigrade species in the lichen samples

| Number of species | Number of samples |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1                 | 10                |
| 2                 | 8                 |
| 3                 | 9                 |
| 4                 | 7                 |
| 5                 | 2                 |
| **Total**         | **36*  **         |

*Note: not including the two samples in which a specimen was lost and therefore not identified to species.

The actual number of tardigrades in each sample also varied tremendously from just one (seven samples) to as many as 44 (one sample). Half of the samples had between one and five specimens. The mean number of tardigrades in the samples was 9.0.

Table 4. Numbers of Tardigrade specimens in the lichen samples

| Number of specimens in sample | Number of samples |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 - 5                         | 19                |
| 6 - 10                        | 7                 |
| 11 - 15                       | 6                 |
| 16 - 20                       | 1                 |
| 20 +                          | 5                 |
| **Total**                     | **38**            |

2.2 Other micrometazoa and protozoa

While the primary aim of this investigation was to extract and identify any tardigrades found in the lichen samples, note was taken of other micrometazoa also extracted from the lichen samples. Rotifers and nematodes, which are also often found in lichens and mosses (Kinchin 1992), were found in most of the samples. While tardigrades were found in all thirty eight samples, rotifers were recovered in 32 samples and nematodes in twenty seven. Euplotes-like protozoans were encountered in all 38 samples usually in very large numbers.

While no attempt was made to identify all the various nematodes, a number of them were extracted and mounted on microscope slides. All but one were identified as belonging to the genus Eudorylaimus. This type of nematode is a predator known to feed on mite eggs, although it has been known to feed on blue-green and green algae, as well as protozoa and fungi. The remaining nematode was identified as a member of the Bunorematiae, a group about which little is known (Finney-Crawley, pers com). All the rotifers examined were Bdelloids which are filter feeders on algae and protozoa.

3. Discussion

3.1 Tardigrades of the tree trunk

This is the first investigation of tardigrades on tree lichens on the Island of Newfoundland. Previous collections on the island of Newfoundland have been of tardigrades in lichens and mosses on the ground (Collins & Bateman 2012). Our study demonstrated the importance of including samples of tree lichens (and presumably) mosses in determining the populations of terrestrial tardigrades in an area.

While no new species were recovered in this study it has shown that a number of species previously thought to be rare on the island are actually commonly found in arboreal situations. While all the species of tardigrades identified in this study had been found previously on the island, the most surprising aspect of this study was the number of *H. convergens*, *E. merokensis*, *C.wendti* and *Milnesium* sp. extracted from the lichen samples. Earlier studies of the (ground) mosses and lichens of the Island of Newfoundland considered the two heterotardigrade species to be extremely rare with just one specimen of *C.wendti*, and two specimens of *E. merokensis* (from the same site) having been located. In this present study of tree lichens both species were extremely common. Similarly the previous studies located only eight *Milnesium* sp. specimens from six sites on the island, and just sixteen specimens of *H. convergens* (Bateman & Collins 2001, Collins & Bateman 2012). *M. cf. hufelandi* commonly found in this present study was the most commonly found tardigrade in the previous studies of ground lichens and mosses.
Tardigrades are commonly located on lichens (Argue 1971, Beasley 1978, Hidalgo & Coombs 1985, and Horning et al 1978) while Stubbs (1989), Kinchin(1992), Bartels & Nelson (2007), and Chang et al (2015) have all recorded tardigrades from tree lichens. Stubbs (1989) suggested that lichens are good substrates for tardigrades. Little is known about tardigrade life histories but some species are known to be predatory, and lichens support a wide variety of proteinaceous food items, including protozoa and rotifers (Uetz et al1980). Corticolous lichens (i.e., lichens that grow on bark and which would include *L. scrobiculata*) function as important resources for arthropoda, rotifera and tardigrada according to Stubbs (1989).

### 3.2 Tardigrade feeding relationships

Tardigrade buccal-pharyngeal structure is related to their feeding habits (Hallas & Yeates 1972, Guidetti et al 2012, Guil & Sanchez-Moreno 2013). Guil & Sanchez-Moreno (2013) identified three basic groups of tardigrades namely, carnivores, herbivores and microbivores. Carnivores such as *Milnesium* and *Macrobiotus* have short wide buccal tubes and large pharynxes, while herbivores such as *Echiniscus* and *Hypsibius* have narrow, buccal tubes and weak stylets. Microbivores such as *Diphascon* (and *Pilatobius*, now separated off from the genus *Diphascon*) have long narrow, and partially flexible buccal tubes and feed on bacteria, fungi and/or detritus (Nelson et al 2018). More recently, however, Bryndová et al (2020) have classified tardigrades as carnivores, herbivores or omnivores, the latter differing from Guil & Sanchez-Moreno’s classification. Perhaps then we should classify four different feeding habits of tardigrades namely carnivore, herbivore, omnivore (both carnivorous and herbivorous as the situation requires) and microbivore, the latter having such narrow buccal tubes seemingly specialized for feeding on very small organisms (e.g. bacteria) and which cannot therefore be carnivorous.

In their study of the feeding habits of three different tardigrades, Bryndová et al (2020) confirmed that *Milnesiuminceptum* was a carnivore, and *Hypsibiusexemplaris* was an herbivore, feeding on cyanobacteria, algae, and fungi. *Paramacrobiotus* sp. was an omnivore feeding on cyanobacteria, algae, fungi and other animals. While these specific species were not encountered in the present study it would seem to confirm that *Milnesium* species are carnivores, Hypsiibiids are herbivores, while Macrobiotids (*Macrobiotus*, *Mesobiotus*) are perhaps omnivores rather than just carnivores, as also would be *Isohypsibius*. Diphascon and *Pilatobius*, which were not included in the studies of Bryndová et al would best be classified as microbivores based on the classification of Guil & Sanchez-Moreno (2013) because of their long, narrow, flexible buccal tubes. Some species of tardigrades may browse cyanobacteria and other components of the cortex of lichens.

It is highly probable then that *Milnesium* sp. and perhaps also *Macrobiotus cf. hufelandi*, *M. crenulatus*, *M. harmsworthii* and *Isohypsibius* are feeding on the smaller tardigrades (e.g., Echiniscid and Hypsiibiids) as well as nematodes and rotifers, which were also found in most of the lichen samples. It is probable that *Pilatobius* is feeding on protozoa which were visible in large numbers in most of the lichens, and also on bacteria.

The lichen ecosystem, however, is not a closed one, and other animal species may be found on occasion. Kinchin (1992) points out that in addition to the ‘aquatic’ fauna of a lichen (or moss) typically dominated by nematodes, rotifers and tardigrades, there are also the ‘terrestrial’ fauna dominated by arthropods (e.g., mites, collembolans, springtails etc.). However, few arthropods spend their whole life cycles within the lichen thallus. Other ‘visitors’ may include beetles, spiders and molluscs.

On the Island of Newfoundland, studies of the rare *E. pedicellatum* (often co-located with *L. scrobiculata*) reported frequent browsing of thalli, often visible as small (~1 mm) circular depressions in the cortex (Goudie et al 2011) that were presumed to be attributable to mites (C. Sheideggerperscomm) rather than tardigrades. An array of species of oribatid mites was identified in old balsam fir forests on the Island of Newfoundland (Thompson et al 2013). *E. pedicellatum* is part of the Lobarian group of cyanolichens, and certain species of tardigrades (such as Hypsiibiids and Echiniscids) likely forage on the blue-green bacteria of these cyanolichens.

### 3.3 Densities and diversity of tree canopy micrometazoa

Historically, terrestrial tardigrades have been collected within reach of the ground but Kimmel & Meglitsch (1969) documented tardigrades in the forest canopy three meters above ground level in trees in Iowa and Voegtlin (1982) reported tardigrades in the washings of Douglas Fir needles in Oregon. Counts et al (2001) reported tardigrades in the tree canopy in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park.

Miller (2004) speculated that because habitat was available in the tree canopy, tardigrades should be present. Since that time Miller’s hypothesis has been confirmed, and several studies have found a number of tardigrade species to be significantly more abundant in the canopy ecosystem of Eastern Kansas (Spiers et al 2013, Chappell et al 2015).
Additionally, other studies have identified several species new to science in the tree canopy (e.g., Haefke et al. 2014, Young et al. 2016).

As Meyer (2006) ascertained, the variation in tardigrade abundance and diversity among lichen or moss patches of diverse sizes is very high, even when lichens and mosses of the same species from the same site are compared, and the present study reinforces Meyer’s findings.

Young et al. (2018) studied the density and diversity of tardigrades, rotifers, and nematodes in a Douglas Fir forest canopy in Northern California. They analysed samples of bryophytes, as well as foliose and fruticose lichens. They collected a total of 411 rotifers, 231 tardigrades and 68 nematodes in 51 samples of bryophytes and foliose and fruticose lichens, with tardigrade density being higher in bryophytes and foliose lichens. While in Young et al.’s (2018) study rotifers were much more common than either tardigrades or rotifers in the present study tardigrades were far more common than either rotifers or nematodes which were present in roughly equal numbers. Young et al. identified twelve tardigrade species similar to the number (11) in the present study.

While there are few studies of the numbers of tardigrade species and densities in the forest canopy, these results are similar to those found in studies of ground dwelling lichens and mosses. Degma et al. (2011) in their study of 25 equal sized samples from a growth of the moss Hypnum cupressiforme. Hedwig found that eight of the samples had no tardigrades, while the other samples showed from just one tardigrade to as many as 55 specimens, and the number of different species varied between one and two. In total they found some seven different species/genera, four of which were also found in the present study (M. tardigradum, H. convergens, M. hufelandi and Minibiotus sp.). Jönsson (2003) took 101 moss samples from 24 different species, with tardigrades present in 96% of moss species and 86% of the subsamples. In total there were sixteen different tardigrade species with six most commonly found including M. hufelandi and M. tardigradum. The total number of species recorded was identical to that reported by Dastych (1987) in Polish coniferous forests. Young & Clifton (2015) in their study of the tardigrades in twelve lichen patches and one moss patch in Oregon found 107 tardigrades in seven of the lichen samples, and in the moss patch. Tardigrade abundance varied dramatically between these samples with five of the lichen samples having none while one had 61 specimens. Their results are similar to those of the present study.

Further, it has been shown that tree species can influence the diversity of tardigrade species (Mitchell et al. 2009). Stratification of tardigrade species in the canopy of a single White Pine has been demonstrated by Miller et al. (2013). In a more recent study, Chang et al. (2015) documented the presence of populations of tardigrades in the forest canopy in Kansas, and found that there was an increase in the density of tardigrades with increased height in the tree canopy. Young et al. (2016) have reported finding a new species, Milnesium swansoni, in the forest canopy in deciduous forest in north eastern Kansas. New species of tardigrades have been described recently from the upper tree canopy (Haefke et al. 2014, Young et al. 2016, Schlabach et al. 2018) and species otherwise known only from other continents have been located in North American forest canopies (Spiers et al. 2013, Chappell et al. 2015, and Tibbs et al. 2016).

Miller et al. (2013) have reported greater diversity and density of tardigrades at heights over ten meters in a white pine (Pinus strobus) in Kansas. These studies corroborate other studies of the forest canopy that have confirmed a large portion of global diversity inhabits the forest canopy (Erwin 1982, Lowman & Rinker 2004). Young et al. (2016) conclude that “As evidenced by these results from tree canopies in Kansas, the environment of the tardigrade is three dimensional and the upper levels may harbour greater diversity and abundance than we have found for 200 years hunting on the ground, on rocks, and at the base of trees” (p.567). Clearly future studies of the biodiversity of tardigrades must include collections from the tree canopy to further our knowledge of the tardigrade fauna.

3.4. Distribution of tardigrades to new locations

The question arises as to how tardigrades disperse to new locations such as tree living lichens and mosses. A number of authors have suggested that they are passively distributed mostly likely in the winds and/or in the fur/feathers of animals (Ramazzotti & Maucci 1983, Kinchin 1994, Miller 1997).

Eggs, and even tuns, being so small and light, could easily be carried by winds to distant locations but this has as of yet been unproven although Nkem et al. (2006) have caught tardigrades in open faced traps in Antarctica. More recently Mogle and his colleagues (2018) have provided evidence of avian-mediated long distance dispersal of tardigrades and Villella et al. (2020) have found tardigrades and eggs in the nests of tree voles in Oregon.

The occurrence and dispersal of E. pedicellatum remains an enigma as the species does not exhibit asexual reproduction and diaspores released from fruiting bodies must contact cyanobacteria in order for lichenization to occur. Insects and other animals may act as vectors for diaspores (Maass & Yetman 2002). It is conceivable that tardigrades could indirectly contribute to this process. Diversity and abundance of micrometazoa vary among second growth and old-
growth balsam fir forests (Thompson et al. 2013) which could help explain the absence of some cyanolichens in second growth forests. The large clear-cuts typical of industrial forestry in the range of *E. pedicellatum* may interfere with successful dispersal of the microfauna (Thompson et al. 2013) which could prevent dispersal of rare and ultra-rare cyanolichens and the accompanying micrometazoa, including (rare) tardigrades.

Since *L. scrobiculata* and the rare *E. pedicellatum* are both foliose lichens, and corticolous, and often co-located, it is highly probable that the micrometazoa of *E. pedicellatum* is similar to those of *L. scrobiculata*.
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