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INTRODUCTION

Cases of colonic prolapsing mucosal polyps associated with diverticulosis have recently been reported in literature[1-3]. These polyps are histologically unclassifiable polyps resembling those in mucosal prolapse syndrome (MPS). Accurate differential diagnosis between neoplastic and non-neoplastic polyps is often difficult in these disease conditions. Many cases of colonic prolapsing mucosal polyps have been diagnosed retrospectively because of the low rate of pathological diagnosis of specimens obtained by the use of usual biopsy forceps. We report herein a case of unique non-neoplastic colonic mucosal prolapsing polyps with diverticulosis successfully diagnosed by using the jumbo biopsy method.

CASE REPORT

A 52-year-old man was admitted to our hospital in April 2003 because of abdominal discomfort, mucous discharge and bloody diarrhea. He had a history of frequent diarrhea from his twenties. Laboratory tests showed an increased number of peripheral leukocytes (13800/mm³). Barium enema showed polyoid lesions and multiple diverticula in the sigmoid colon. Colonoscopy showed multiple congested hyperemic mucosal folds and polyoid lesions with mucus on the top of them in the sigmoid colon. Slightly elevated red round patches were considered to be early minimal lesions of prolapsing mucosal polyps (Figure 1A). The surfaces of the polyoid lesions, considered to be advanced lesions, were smooth, and congested hyperemic mucosa contrasted sharply with the surrounding intact mucosa. Endoscopic examination with indigo-carmine dye spraying showed an intact mucosal pit pattern (Figure 1B). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) of the early minimal lesions showed thickening of the first and second layers (mucosa) and irregular echoic lesions in the third layer (submucosa) with hypertrophy of the fourth layer (muscularis propria) (Figure 2A). EUS of the advanced lesions showed irregular low echoic lesions throughout the colonic wall (Figure 2B). Endoscopic
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biopsy specimens were taken several times. Histology of biopsy specimens revealed no specific findings other than chronic inflammation. In order to obtain accurate diagnosis, we performed endoscopic jumbo biopsy for the polypoid lesions after obtaining informed consent. The jumbo biopsy specimen was taken by using a saline-solution-assisted snare resection technique that involved cutting with an Olympus SD-210-25 snare and an electro-surgical unit, OLYMPUS UES-20. Histology of the polypoid lesion showed mucosal hyperplasia (Figure 3A). Crypt elongation, chronic inflammatory cell infiltration, congested vessels and hemosiderin deposits were observed in the lamina propria (Figure 3B). Immunohistochemistry using a monoclonal antibody against anti-α smooth muscle actin showed smooth muscle fibers radiating from the thickened muscularis mucosa into the lamina propria (x200).

Figure 3 Histological examination of prolapsing mucosal polyp showing mucosal hyperplasia (A) and chronic inflammatory cell infiltration, crypt elongation, congested vessels and fibromuscular obliterations observed in the lamina propria (B) (H&E, a: x40, b: x200).

DISCUSSION
We experienced a patient who was diagnosed by using the jumbo biopsy method as having prolapsing mucosal polyps.
polyps with diverticular diseases. Cases of prolapsing mucosal polyps with diverticular disease have recently been reported by Franzin et al[1] and Mathus-Vliegen et al[2]. These polyps are histologically unclassified polyps resembling those in mucosal prolapse syndrome (MPS). MPS including solitary rectal ulcer syndrome is characterized by the presence of polyoid or ulcerative lesions with the histological changes of glandular epithelium, fibromuscular obliteration and telangiectatic blood vessels in the lamina propria. MPS is thought to result from chronic intermittent ischemia caused by overt or occult mucosal prolapse. Histological features of these polyps are crypt elongation, up-growth of muscle fibers from the muscularis mucosa (fibromuscular obliteration), and mucosal and submucosal vascular congestion, hemorrhage and hemosiderin deposition[3]. These polyps resemble ‘cap polyposis’; however, there is a large amount of granulation tissue formation called fibrin-cap on the surface and less telangiectatic changes in ‘cap polyposis’ than in MPS.

Endoscopy in our case showed slightly elevated red round patches, considered to be initial lesions, and smooth and congested hyperemic mucosal polypoid lesions. Tandler et al[4] reported that all prolapsing polyps occurred in the sigmoid colon usually in association with diverticular disease. Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) in our case showed thickening of the first and second layers (mucosa) and mixed hypoechoic lesions in the third layer (submucosa) with hypertrophy of the fourth layer (muscularis propria) in small patches, and moderate irregular hypoechoic lesions extended into the fourth layer (muscularis propria) with destruction of the first and second layers (mucosa) and the third layer (submucosa) in the polypoid lesion. It has recently been reported that EUS of polypoid prolapsing mucosal folds revealed thickening of mucosal and submucosal layers, demonstrating remarkably hyperemic and thickened mucosal folds[5]. These EUS findings resemble those described in previous reports of MPS[6]. In our case, the mixed hypoechoic pattern of early minimal lesions was thought to reflect mild fibromuscular obliteration and edema in the mucosal and submucosal layers. This irregular pattern was more apparent in advanced lesions throughout the colonic wall. These EUS findings demonstrated changes in the pathological development of these polyps. However, almost all cases of colonic prolapsing mucosal polyps have been diagnosed retrospectively after treatment. Only one case has been diagnosed by EUS findings and a normal surface pit-pattern before treatment[6].

Diagnosis of prolapsing mucosal polyps by examination of biopsy specimens obtained by using biopsy forceps can be difficult because of the sizes and depths of obtained specimens. Results of examination of biopsy specimens obtained several times from our case only showed chronic inflammation without fibromuscular obliteration. Warren et al[7] proposed the usefulness of diagnostic features of ‘diamond-shaped' crypts in biopsy specimens. However, there were no such findings in our case. Jumbo biopsy is known to be a safe and useful method for diagnosis and treatment, and it is often used for not only endoscopic cure of early cancers, but also obtaining specimens for accurate pathologic staging[8]. In some cases, inflammatory change induces a “pseudo-malignant status”. Colitis cystica profunda, a variant of mucosal prolapse syndrome, is sometimes difficult to distinguish from mucin-producing carcinoma because of its formation by an aberrantly located gland-forming epithelium[9].

Treatment of prolapsing mucosal polyps is fundamentally conservative. Mathus-Vliegen et al[2] reported the usefulness of a fiber-enriched diet for treatment of prolapsing mucosal polyps. Improvement was obtained in our case by a fiber-enriched diet and administration of an anti-diarrhetic drug. However, some patients with this disease require surgery because of intractable abdominal pain. It is important for endoscopists and pathologists to be familiar with and recognize the characteristic features of prolapsing mucosal polyps in order to avoid oversurgery for this disease because of its benign nature.
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