Telomere shortening is a hallmark of genetic cardiomyopathies
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This study demonstrates that significantly shortened telomeres are a hallmark of cardiomyocytes (CMs) from individuals with end-stage hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) or dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) as a result of heritable defects in cardiac proteins critical to contractile function. Positioned at the ends of chromosomes, telomeres are DNA repeats that serve as protective caps that shorten with each cell division, a marker of aging. CMs are a known exception in which telomeres remain relatively stable throughout life in healthy individuals. We found that, relative to healthy controls, telomeres are significantly shorter in CMs of individuals with genetic HCM and DCM patient tissues harboring pathogenic mutations: TNNT2, MYBPC3, MYH7, DMD, TNNI3, and TTN. Quantitative FISH (Q-FISH) of single cells revealed that telomeres were significantly reduced by 26% in HCM and 40% in DCM patient CMs in fixed tissue sections compared with CMs from age- and sex-matched healthy controls. In the cardiac tissues of the same patients, telomere shortening was not evident in vascular smooth muscle cells that do not express or require the contractile proteins, an important control. Telomere shortening was recapitulated in DCM and HCM CMs differentiated from patient-derived human-induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) measured by two independent assays. This study reveals telomere shortening as a hallmark of genetic HCM and DCM and demonstrates that this shortening can be modeled in vitro by using the hiPSC platform, enabling drug discovery.

We first implicated telomere attrition in DCM in studies of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) (7, 8). We found that, although the mdx transgenic mouse, like patients with DMD, lacks dystrophin, it does not exhibit cardiac symptoms typical of HCM or premature aging, a major conundrum for testing therapeutic strategies for DMD. We postulated that mice are protected from the disease by the lengths of their telomeres, which, for unknown reasons, are substantially longer than in humans. In support of this hypothesis, we “humanized” mdx mice to have shortened telomeres by breeding with the mTR-KO mouse that lacks telomerase activity as a result of the absence of the RNA component TERC (TR). Strikingly, the humanized mdx mice developed the severe skeletal muscle phenotype and heart failure seen in patients with DMD (7). We corroborated the findings in our mouse model (mdx^ko/mTR^G2) in CMs of the hearts of patients with DMD, which exhibited, on average, a 48% decrease in telomere | dilated cardiomyopathy | hypertrophy cardiomyopathy | hiPSC-CM

Significance

We find that telomere shortening, which usually accompanies cell division in the course of aging, occurs in cardiomyocytes (CMs) of individuals with genetic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) or dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). HCM and DCM CMs differentiated from human-induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) also exhibit significant telomere shortening relative to healthy controls. By contrast, no telomere shortening was detected in vascular smooth muscle cells in tissue or hiPSC-derived cells, a cell type that does not express the mutant proteins. Our findings provide evidence for accelerated aging in CMs with familial cardiomyopathy. The potential to monitor the dynamics of telomere attrition in hiPSC-CMs over time will enable future mechanistic studies and screens for novel therapeutic agents to arrest telomere shortening and disease progression.
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in average telomere lengths relative to healthy controls. Notably, in contrast to CMs, contractile vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) that do not express dystrophin had telomere lengths similar to control VSMCs in the mouse DMD model and Duchenne heart tissues, suggesting that the observed telomere shortening was specific to CMs and caused by the stress of contraction in the absence of the structural protein dystrophin (7, 8).

In this report, we test the hypothesis that telomere shortening is a general hallmark of genetic HCM and DCM as a result of mutations in contractile proteins essential to cardiac function. We measured telomeres in CMs from end-stage patient heart tissues at the time of heart transplantation and CMs derived from patient-induced pluripotent stem cells. Patient mutations included three genes associated with HCM (troponin I (TNNI3), cardiac myosin binding protein C (MYBPC3), and myosin heavy chain 7 (MYH7)) and three genes associated with DCM [dystrophin (DMD), cardiac troponin T (TNNT2), and titin (TTN)] (9–11). Relative to controls, in each case, significant telomere attrition was observed in diseased CMs from patients with DCM and HCM of diverse etiology.

Results

By using quantitative FISH (Q-FISH), we measured telomere fluorescence intensity per nucleus [in telomere fluorescence units (TFUs)] specifically in CMs expressing the CM-specific marker Troponin-T. Quantification entailed measurements with the use of a PNA probe against the telomere repeat normalized to nuclear DAPI in individual CMs in patient cardiac tissues for three HCM genotypes (TNNI3, MYBPC3, and MYH7) and for three DCM genotypes [DMD (7, 8), TNNT2 (10), and TTN (12); Fig. 1 and Table 1]. In accordance with our findings in CMs in Duchenne tissues (7), the telomere levels of Troponin-T+ CMs in HCM and DCM hearts were significantly reduced by, on average, 25% and 40%, respectively, compared with age- and sex-matched healthy controls (HCM, 4.64 ± 0.46 TFU, P < 0.05; DCM, 3.70 ± 1.05 TFU, P < 0.0001; control, 6.21 ± 0.16 TFU; Fig. 1C). Notably, VSMCs, a control cell type that neither expresses nor requires these contractile proteins, exhibited no telomere shortening in cardiac tissues of patients with HCM or DCM compared with healthy individuals (HCM, 4.91 ± 0.43 TFU; DCM, 4.52 ± 0.70 TFU; control, 4.68 ± 0.19 TFU, P = 0.37; Fig. 2). These data demonstrate that telomere shortening occurs in contractile CMs that have mutations in structural genes (TNNI3, MYBPC3, MYH7, DMD, TNNT2, and TTN).

To investigate whether the telomere shortening seen in CMs in tissues also occurs in a disease model of HCM and DCM in vitro, we compared telomere lengths in human-induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) differentiated into CMs (hiPSC-CMs). We generated hiPSCs from HCM and DCM patients’ peripheral blood mononuclear cells using the four Yamanaka factors (13) and used a sequential regimen of growth factors to differentiate these cells into beating CMs (Fig. 3 A and B) by using well-established protocols (13, 14). Control hiPSC lines were from a healthy individual (Con#1), healthy family members (Con#2, Con#3 for TNNT2#1–3; Con#5 for TTNT2#2), and a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated corrected isogenic line (Con#4 for TTN#1; Table 1). Importantly, in all cases, we measured telomere lengths in hiPSC-CMs at day 30 of differentiation. The five HCM hiPSC-CMs (6.16 ± 0.65 TFU, P < 0.0005; Table 1, blue) and eight DCM hiPSC-CMs (5.70 ± 0.65 TFU, P < 0.0001; Table 1, red) exhibited significant 52% and 58% decreases in telomere levels, respectively, compared with the control hiPSC-CMs of diverse etiology (5.83 ± 0.65 TFU; Fig. 3C, gray triangle and gray squares; Table 1, gray). These data are also shown for each patient line separately (Fig. 3C).

To validate the telomere attrition by a second method, we employed a previously established quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay (15, 16). We enriched for hiPSC-CMs in the highly heterogeneous cell cultures by FACS for mitochondrial content assessed by tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRE; per-chlorate) staining as previously described (Fig. 3D) (14). We used RT-qPCR to verify that the TMRM+ population was enriched for CM markers NKKX2.5, TNNT3, and TNNT2 (Fig. 3E). By contrast, the TMRM– population was enriched for smooth muscle, endothelial, and fibroblast markers VIM, CDH5, and FSP1, respectively (Fig. 3E). Relative telomere amounts (i.e., T/S ratios) were determined by qPCR, quantified as the telomere amplification cycle (T) relative to the amplification cycle of a single copy gene (S) (15, 16). The T/S ratios in HCM and DCM TMRM+ CMs were significantly reduced by, on average, 21% and 39%, respectively, compared with control CMs (HCM, 2.67 ± 0.18, P < 0.05; DCM, 2.06 ± 0.16, P < 0.0005; control, 3.40 ± 0.24; Fig. 3F). As a control cell type, we analyzed telomere lengths in hiPSCs differentiated into VSMCs (hiPSC-VSMCs) by using an established protocol (17). In accordance with the VSMCs in patient tissues, no significant difference in telomeres were detected between control, HCM, and DCM smooth muscle actin-positive hiPSC-VSMCs (control, 4.93 ± 0.43; HCM, 4.84 ± 0.48; DCM, 4.29 ± 0.15, P = 0.35; Fig. 4).
Discussion

Our data implicate telomere shortening as a hallmark of genetic cardiomyopathies evident in CMs of patient cardiac tissues and patient CMs derived from hiPSCs. This shortening occurs when human mutations are present, e.g., DMD, MYBPC3, MYH7, TNNT2, TNN13, and TTN, that encode proteins crucial to CM function. These findings were unexpected, as adult human CMs are characterized by low cell turnover (4, 6) and telomere lengths do not change in healthy individuals with aging (4). Remarkably, we observed similar telomere shortening in patient hiPSC-CMs, although these cells are known to be relatively immature CMs (14). We corroborated this shortening for patient hiPSC-CMs by two independent methods, Q-FISH analysis of single CMs (telomere signal relative to nuclear signal) and qPCR of FACS-enriched CM populations (telomere signal relative to single-copy gene signal). These two methods provide distinct measures of the reduction in relative telomere lengths. The robustness of our findings is underscored by the fact that the hiPSCs were derived and differentiated in different laboratories, yet remarkably similar, statistically significant results were obtained across genotypes.

Moreover, the control hiPSC-CMs exhibited strikingly similar telomere levels regardless of whether they were from a healthy control subject, unaffected relatives, or isogenic CRISPR/Cas9-mediated corrected counterparts.

Our report suggests that telomere shortening plays a role in the etiology and progression of genetic cardiomyopathies and establishes the hiPSC system as a way to model this shortening in patient CMs differentiated in culture. A prior report demonstrated by Southern blot analysis that telomeres are significantly shorter in cardiac tissues from patients who die of heart failure relative to normal individuals (18). By using Q-FISH, telomere attrition was reported in CMs of HCM patient tissues (19). Additionally, telomere lengths have been shown to be heterogeneous in cells of cardiac tissues of aged mice (20). Finally, in mice with systemic genetically induced short telomeres caused by absence of TERC (mTRG4), CM exit from the cell cycle and expression of p21 was accelerated and evident at birth (21) and the mice died of dilated cardiomyopathy.

Two reports now strongly suggest that mice are protected from human cardiac disease by the length of their telomeres.

Table 1. Patient cardiac and hiPSC lines used

| Classification | Patient/line | Gene | Age, y | Sex | Source | Q-FISH (n) |
|----------------|-------------|------|--------|-----|--------|----------|
| Human cardiac samples | Healthy | Con#1 | NA | 35 | Male | University of British Columbia | 70 |
| | Healthy | Con#2 | NA | 21 | Male | University of British Columbia | 69 |
| | Healthy | Con#3 | NA | 21 | Male | University of British Columbia | 65 |
| | Healthy | Con#4 | NA | 47 | Male | University of British Columbia | 124 |
| | Healthy | Con#5 | NA | 41 | Male | University of British Columbia | 128 |
| | Healthy | Con#6 | NA | 32 | Male | University of British Columbia | 121 |
| | Healthy | Con#7 | NA | 49 | Female | University of British Columbia | 99 |
| | Healthy | Con#8 | NA | 53 | Female | University of British Columbia | 214 |
| | Healthy | Con#9 | NA | 54 | Female | University of British Columbia | 220 |
| | HCM | TNN13 | TNN13 (p.Arg186Gln) | 60 | Male | Stanford University | 72 |
| | HCM | MYBPC3 | MYBPC3 (IVS11-9G > A) | 25 | Female | Stanford University | 36 |
| | HCM | MYH7 | MYH7 (p.Ala26Val) | 56 | Female | Stanford University | 32 |
| | DCM | DMD#1 | DMD | 13 | Male | Harvard University | 42 |
| | DCM | DMD#2 | DMD | 15 | Male | Harvard University | 94 |
| | DCM | DMD#3 | DMD | 17 | Male | Johns Hopkins University | 65 |
| | DCM | DMD#4 | DMD | 19 | Male | University of Wisconsin | 72 |
| | DCM | TNNT2 | TNNT2 (p.R173W) | 15 | Male | Stanford University | 804 |
| | DCM | Titin#1 | TTN (c.92569+1G > C) | 40 | Male | Harvard University | 245 |
| | DCM | Titin#2 | TTN (c.44725+2delT) | 50 | Male | Harvard University | 113 |
| | DCM | Titin#3 | TTN (26211W>*stop) | 50 | Male | Harvard University | 55 |

Patient hiPSC lines

| Classification | Patient/line | Gene | Age, y | Sex | Source | Q-FISH (n) |
|----------------|-------------|------|--------|-----|--------|----------|
| Healthy | Con#1 | Healthy control | 45 | Male | Stanford University | 92 |
| Healthy | Con#2 | Family control from TNNT2 | 16 | Male | Stanford University | 40 |
| Healthy | Con#3 | Family control from TNNT2 | 77 | Male | Stanford University | 44 |
| Healthy | Con#4 | Isogenic control of TTN#1 | 62 | Male | Harvard University | 100 |
| Healthy | Con#5 | Family control from TNNT2 | 48 | Female | Harvard University | 210 |
| HCM | MYBPC3#1 | MYBPC3 (R943x) | 29 | Male | Stanford University | 519 |
| HCM | MYH7#1 | MYH7 (R723C) | 32 | Male | Stanford University | 115 |
| HCM | MYH7#2 | MYH7 (R403Q) | 45 | Female | Stanford University | 79 |
| HCM | MYH7#3 | MYH7 (R719W) | 34 | Male | Stanford University | 54 |
| HCM | MYH7#4 | MYH7 (R663H) | 57 | Male | Stanford University | 37 |
| DCM | DMD#1 | DMD (c.3638_3650del) | 6 | Male | Stanford University | 129 |
| DCM | DMD#2 | DMD (c.6599 C > G) | 12 | Male | Stanford University | 48 |
| DCM | DMD#3 | DMD (c.9204_9207del) | 9 | Male | Stanford University | 164 |
| DCM | TNNT2#1 | TNNT2 (p.R173W) | 45 | Male | Stanford University | 117 |
| DCM | TNNT2#2 | TNNT2 (p.R173W) | 39 | Male | Stanford University | 131 |
| DCM | TNNT2#3 | TNNT2 (p.R173W) | 15 | Male | Stanford University | 50 |
| DCM | TTN#1 | TTN (c.N22577fs**stop) | 62 | Male | Harvard University | 184 |
| DCM | TTN#2 | TTN (c.67745delT) | 15 | Male | Harvard University | 133 |

NA, not applicable.
cardiomyopathy was not evident in the murine model of DMD (mdx) until the telomeres were humanized, that is, somewhat shortened ubiquitously by breeding to the mTR-KO mouse (mdx/mTRKO) (7, 8). Similarly, the human aortic valve stenosis caused by calcification in patients with haploinsufficiency for Notch was not apparent in mice until their telomeres were shortened (N1+/c mTRKO) (22).

How telomere shortening impacts cardiac function remains unknown. Mitochondrial dysfunction likely plays a significant role, as mice with systemic critically short telomeres (mTR-G4−/−) exhibit decreased expression of the master mitochondrial regulator PGC1α and have reduced mitochondrial content (23), as does the Duchenne mdx/mTR-G2 mouse model (8). These murine models suggest that a telomere–mitochondrial axis is key to maintaining cardiac function and, in its absence, dilated cardiomyopathy leading to heart failure ensues (22, 23).

The mechanism by which telomeres shorten in nonproliferative CMs is also subject to debate. One possibility is that telomeres end are “deprotected” as a result of mechanical stress. In support of this hypothesis, failing patient hearts exhibit short telomeres and decreased expression of Telomeric repeat-binding factor 2 (TERF2), a shelterin protein that binds to and protects telomeric ends (18). Another possibility is that the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) presages telomere shortening. In Duchenne murine CMs, aberrant contraction has been shown to lead to increased ROS (8, 24). Moreover, elevated ROS levels in confluent nonproliferating fibroblasts exposed to hyperoxia have been shown to correlate with telomere shortening (25). A telomere position effect has been described to account for interactions detected by chromosome capture followed by high-throughput sequencing of distal promoter and telomere regions in cultured vascular endothelial cells. This interaction dictates gene expression and is lost in aged endothelium (26). Conversely, telomerase expression is beneficial. Telomerase activity is induced in mice that exercise (27), and overexpression of telomerase protein (TERT) in mouse CMs protects the animals from myocardial infarction (28, 29) by an unknown mechanism. It is tempting to postulate that the telomere position effect is at play in aortic valve disease, as Notch is located proximal to the telomere in humans (22). For DCM and HCM CMs, to elucidate the effect of short telomeres on cardiac function, gene expression and gain- and loss-of-function studies are warranted. Taken together, these data suggest that telomeres play an important role in cardiovascular homeostasis.

The hiPSC-CM disease model culture system used here now affords a unique opportunity to resolve the mechanisms by which CM telomeres shorten as a result of protein insufficiency. Moreover, this system enables identification of previously uncharacterized modes of therapeutic intervention. Although a larger cohort is necessary to provide conclusive findings, our data provide tantalizing evidence in support of telomere shortening as a biomarker of premature CM aging in genetic HCM and DCM cardiomyopathies.

Materials and Methods

Statistical differences in primary patient samples and between the hiPSC and hiPSC-CM groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA tests by comparing the mean of each group with the mean of every other group, followed by Holm–Sidak multiple comparison test. Image capture and telomere quantification analyses were performed in a blinded fashion to avoid bias. All data are shown as the mean ± SEM. Significant differences were determined as those with P < 0.05.

Human Cardiac Samples. All protocols that used human samples were reviewed and approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board (no. 13465). Control hearts were isolated <24 h post mortem from deidentified male patients who died of noncardiac disease at University of British Columbia. The TNNT2 DCM patient cardiac tissue sample and the HCM patient cardiac samples were obtained from explanted heart tissues from patients with end-stage cardiomyopathy just before heart transplantation at Stanford University. The TTN DCM patient cardiac tissue samples were from Harvard University (12), and the DMD DCM patient cardiac tissue samples were from Harvard University, Johns Hopkins University, and the University of Wisconsin as previously described (7). All tissue samples were subjected to formalin fixation, pH 7.0, followed by paraffin embedding. Sections (4 μm) from paraffin blocks were placed on ChemMate slides (Fisher Scientific) for telomere Q-FISH analyses as previously described (7, 8).

Culture of hiPSCs and Cardiac and Smooth Muscle Cell Differentiation. All protocols that used human iPSCs were reviewed and approved by the Stanford Stem Cell Research Oversight committee (no. 602). Human hiPSCs were grown on Matrigel-coated plates using chemically defined mTeSR1 or NuRistem medium as previously described (30). The medium was changed daily, and cells were passaged every 4 d by using EDTA. hiPSCs were grown to 70–90% confluence and subsequently differentiated subsequently into beating CMs as described previously (30). Briefly, hiPSC were treated with a Wnt activator CHIR-99021 (4–6 μM; Selleck Chem) for 2 d, followed by a Wnt inhibitor IWR-1 (5 μM; Sigma) for 2 d, both in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with B27 minus insulin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were allowed to recover in fresh RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with B27 minus insulin for 2 d before switching to RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with B27 for 4 d. Beating hiPSC-CMs were purified and maintained in glucose-free conditions by using RPMI 1640 medium without glucose with B27 supplement

Fig. 2. HCM and DCM VSMCs do not exhibit telomere shortening. (A) Paraffin-embedded cardiac samples were used for telomere Q-FISH quantification of VSMCs. (B) Patient VSMCs [smooth muscle actin (green) indicated by white arrowhead] were stained for telomere (red) and for nuclear DAPI (blue) in patient and control cardiac tissue sections. (Scale bars, 10 μm.) Telomere levels were scored in a blinded fashion (n = 30–150 nuclei per patient tissue) within three to four regions of interest in two nonconsecutive sections, and (C) telomere signal intensity per DAPI-stained nucleus is plotted as mean ± SEM.
and 4 mM lactate (Life Technologies) until day 30 to favor metabolic maturation, as previously described (30). For hiPSC-SMCs, hiPSCs were seeded onto collagen IV (BD Biosciences)-coated plates in Nutristem medium and subsequently differentiated into VSMCs by using the PDGF-BB and TGFB1 (R&D Systems) method as previously described (17).

**Telomere Q-FISH, Immunofluorescence Microscopy, and Image Acquisition.** Cardiac paraffin sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in serial ethanol concentrations (7). All samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS solution for 5 min at room temperature and subsequently maintained in PBS solution at 4 °C. hiPSCs were fixed on day 0; hiPSC-CMs were cultured in differentiation medium, reseeded on day 27 onto Matrigel-coated eight-chamber slides, and fixed on day 30 of differentiation; and hiPSC-VSMCs were reseeded on day 12 and fixed on day 14 of differentiation. Telomere Q-FISH was performed as previously described by using TelC-Cy3 PNA probe (CCCTAACCCCTAACCTAA; F1002; PNA Bio) (7, 8). Tissues were blocked with staining buffer (4% calf serum/0.1% Triton X-100/PBS solution) and stained with prediluted mouse antibody to cardiac troponin-T (ab74275; Abcam) for 2 h at room temperature or rabbit antibody to smooth muscle actin (ab32575; Abcam) overnight at 4 °C in staining buffer, washed with PBS solution, incubated with goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (1:400; Abcam) for 1 h, and counterstained with 1 μg mL⁻¹ DAPI in PBS solution for 5 min, washed with distilled H₂O, air-dried, and mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade (Life Technologies). Images were captured on a Nikon spinning-disc confocal microscope by using a PLAN APO 40× objective. Telomere signal intensity was determined as PNA signal normalized to nuclear DAPI (in TFU) in Troponin-T⁺ CMs or α-smooth muscle actin⁺ VSMCs and captured by using the ImageJ plugin Telometer as previously described (7, 8).

**FACS Purification.** Cell cultures were dissociated by using Accutase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and stained with 50 nM TMRM according to the established protocol (14). Cells were gated for side scatter and forward scatter to avoid debris and doublets, and TMRM⁺ (CMs) and TMRM⁻ (non-CMs) were isolated for downstream characterization.

**Telomere Measurement by qPCR.** Genomic DNA was purified from cell pellets (≥500,000 TMRM⁺ hiPSC-CMs) stored at -80 °C by using a QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen) and quantified by measuring OD₂₆₀. DNA quality control criteria were an OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ between 1.7 and 2.0. The telomere length measurement assay was adapted from the published original method by Cawthon (15, 16, 30). The telomere PCR primer tel1b (5′-CGGTTT(GTTTGG)₅GTT-3′) was used at 100 nM concentration, and tel2b (5′-GGCTTG(CCTTAC)₅CCT-3′) was used at 900 nM concentration. The single-copy gene PCR primer (human β-globin) hbg1 (5′-GCTTCTGACACAACTGTGTTCACTAGC-3′) was used at 300 nM, and hbg2 (5′-CACCAACTTACCCAGTTGCGG-3′) was used at 700 nM concentration. The single-copy gene PCR primer (human β-globin) hbg1 (5′-GCTTCTGACACAACTGTGTTCACTAGC-3′) was used at 300 nM, and hbg2 (5′-CACCAACTTACCCAGTTGCGG-3′) was used at 700 nM concentration. The final reaction mix contained 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 200 μM each dNTP, 1% DMSO, 0.4x SYBR Green I, 22 ng Escherichia coli DNA per
reaction, 0.4 U Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), and 3–6 ng of genomic DNA per 10-μl reaction. Tubes containing 26, 8.75, 2.9, 0.97, 0.324, and 0.108 ng of a reference DNA (cat. no. G152A; Promega) were included in each PCR run as a standard curve to determine the quantity of targeted templates in each research sample. The same reference DNA was used for all PCR runs. All samples were run in triplicate wells. The averages of T and S concentrations from the triplicate wells were used to calculate the T/S ratios. To control for interassay variability, eight control DNA samples were included in each run. The T/S ratio of each control DNA is divided by the average T/S ratio from the same DNA from 10 runs to get a normalizing factor. This was done for all eight control DNA samples, and the average normalizing factor for all eight samples was used to correct the unknown DNA samples to obtain the final T/S ratio. The T/S ratio for each sample was measured twice, and the average of the two values were used as the final data.
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