Blumgart method using LAPRA-TY clips facilitates pancreaticojejunostomy in laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy
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Abstract

The modified Blumgart method for pancreaticojejunostomy has been shown to reduce the rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula (POPF) in open surgery. We describe a modified Blumgart method using LAPRA-TY suture clips to facilitate laparoscopic pancreaticojejunostomy.

We prepared a double-armed 4-0 nonabsorbable monofilament, which was ligated using the LAPRA-TY clip at the tail end, 12-cm in length. Next, the U-suture was placed through the pancreatic stump and the seromuscular layer of the jejunum. We performed duct-to-mucosa suturing with a 5-0 absorbable monofilament. After completing the duct-to-mucosa suturing, as a final step we placed the sutures through the seromuscular layer of the jejunum on the ventral side and tightly secured the thread with the LAPRA-TY clips. We performed laparoscopic Blumgart pancreaticojejunostomy during pancreaticoduodenectomy in 39 patients. We compared the surgical outcomes of 19 patients who underwent Blumgart pancreaticojejunostomy using the LAPRA-TY clips (LAPRA-TY group) with 20 patients undergoing surgery not using the LAPRA-TY clips (conventional group).

The rate of clinically relevant postoperative pancreatic fistula in the LAPRA-TY group was 21.1%, which did not differ significantly from the rate of the conventional group. However, the mean time of pancreaticojejunostomy in the LAPRA-TY group was 56.2 min (range, 39–79 min), which was significantly shorter than that of the conventional group (69.7 min; range, 53–105 min, P < .001).

Although the modified Blumgart pancreaticojejunostomy using LAPRA-TY suture clips did not improve the pancreatic fistula rate, it allowed for shorter operative times. Thus, this procedure lends itself to positive surgical and patient outcomes.

Abbreviations: ISGPF = International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula, LPD = laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy, POPF = postoperative pancreatic fistula.
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1. Introduction

Although laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy (LPD) has recently been used as a novel minimally invasive surgery,[1–6] it requires advanced laparoscopic skills.[7,8] Safe and reliable reconstruction is needed to prevent postoperative complications. However, laparoscopic pancreaticojejunostomy requires a long operative time to complete the procedure. Therefore, techniques that reduce procedural times are needed.

Recently, it has been reported that the Baumgart method reduced the incidence rate of postoperative pancreatic fistula (POPF) by increasing adhesion between the pancreatic parenchyma and the intestine.[9–13] In this method, the pancreatic parenchyma and the jejunal seromuscular layer are sutured in a U-shape with appropriate adhesion. It is important to tightly secure the U shaped-thread under proper tension; however, it is more difficult to reliably ligate threads in laparoscopic surgery than in open surgery. Here, we attempted a modified Blumgart method to tightly secure the structures with appropriate adhesion using LAPRA-TY suture clips. This study aimed to investigate the feasibility of a modified Blumgart method using LAPRA-TY clips in laparoscopic pancreaticojejunostomy.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients

We first began performing LPD for pancreatic head tumors in November 2011 at the Department of Gastrointestinal and Pediatric Surgery at Tokyo Medical University; 46 patients underwent LPD up to September 2016. Subsequently, we introduced laparoscopic Blumgart pancreatojejunostomy in October 2016, and a total of 39 patients underwent Blumgart pancreatojejunostomy until March 2019. Of the latter 39 patients, 18 had an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm, 11 had an ampullary tumor, 5 had a solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm, 2 had a distal cholangiocarcinoma, 2 had a pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, and 1 had a pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Table 1). From October 2016 to December 2017, a total of 20 consecutive patients underwent laparoscopic Blumgart pancreatojejunostomy without the LAPRA-TY clips (conventional group). In this group, U-shape suturing for the Blumgart method was secured by tying. From January 2017 to March 2019, a total of 19 consecutive patients underwent Blumgart pancreatojejunostomy using LAPRA-TY (LAPRA-TY group).

2.2. Surgical procedures

Five 12-mm trocars were used, including 2 trocars positioned at the right and left midclavicular lines, 1 trocar at the umbilical level, and 2 trocars at the level of the right and left anterior axillary lines. The procedure was performed as follows. The surgeon stood on the left side of the patient while performing the pancreatojejunostomy reconstruction and the camera was inserted through the trocar in the right anterior axillary line. Pancreatojejunostomy was performed using the trocar placed at the level of the right midclavicular line and the trocar placed at the umbilical level. When the axis of the needle driver did not align with the pancreatic duct, a 3-mm port was added to the upper part of the umbilicus (Fig. 1A). Pancreatojejunostomy was performed using the 3-mm needle driver. Pancreatojejunostomy was performed duct-to-mucosa with pancreatic-jejunum seromuscular layer suturing using the modified Blumgart method. We previously prepared a double-armed 4-0 Nespiren (nonabsorbable monofilament; Alfresa Pharma Corporation, Osaka, Tokyo), which was ligated using LAPRA-TY clip (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Cincinnati, OH) at the tail, 12 cm in length, for Blumgart anastomosis (Fig. 1B).

First, the pancreatic parenchyma 1 cm from the transected surface of the pancreas and the dorsal side of the jejunal seromuscular layer was sutured in a U-shape at 2 places on the superior and posterior side of the main pancreatic duct. Suturing of the pancreatic parenchyma was performed through the posterior side and anterior side and the threads were clipped using bulldog forceps (Fig. 2).

Suturing of the posterior semicircle of the duct-to-mucosa anastomosis was performed at 8, 6, and 4 o’clock positions using 5-0 PDS II C-1 or RB-2 sutures (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Cincinnati, OH). Suturing at the 8 and 6 o’clock positions was performed in the in-out-in-out direction, and at the 4 o’clock position suturing was performed in the out-in-out direction. After ligating the 8 and 6 o’clock position sutures, a pancreatic duct stent was placed, and it was fixed using 6 o’clock position suturing. The 4 o’clock position suturing was clipped using bulldog forceps. Next, suturing of the anterior semicircle of the duct-to-mucosa anastomosis was performed at 10, 12, and 2 o’clock position sutures were secured by ligation (Fig. 3).

Table 1

| Characteristics of patients who underwent laparoscopic Blumgart pancreatojejunostomy with and without the LAPRA-TY clips. | Conventional Blumgart PJ (n=20) | Blumgart PJ with the LAPRA-TY clips (n=19) | P value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age (years) | 62.1±16.8 | 60.4±17.3 | .792 |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 12 (60.0%) | 9 (47.4%) | .423 |
| Female | 8 (40.0%) | 10 (52.6%) | |
| Disease | | | |
| Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm | 8 | 10 | |
| Ampullary tumor | 7 | 4 | |
| Solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm | 2 | 3 | |
| Distal cholangiocarcinoma | 1 | 1 | |
| Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor | 1 | 1 | |
| Pancreatic cancer | 1 | 0 | |
| Pancreatic texture | | | |
| Soft | 19 (95.0%) | 19 (100%) | .323 |
| Hard | 1 (5.0%) | 0 (0%) | |

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation.

PJ = pancreatojejunostomy.
Seromuscular layer sutures were placed along the short axes of the jejunum using 4 needles that were previously sutured. After stitching, each thread was pulled under an appropriate tension and secured using the LAPRA-TY clip while ensuring proper adhesion between the jejunal wall and the pancreatic parenchyma (Fig. 4).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics were examined retrospectively. Surgical results (operative time, POPF rate, postoperative complication rate, and postoperative hospital stay duration) of the LAPRA-TY clip group were compared to those of the conventional technique group. POPF was defined on the basis of the International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF) definition.[14] Other postoperative complications were defined according to the Clavien–Dindo classification.[13] Data were expressed as the mean and standard deviation. Differences between means were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test and chi-square tests. \( P < .05 \) was considered to indicate statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

3. Results

No significant differences were identified in terms of patient characteristics between the groups (Table 1). In this series, 97.4% of patients had a soft pancreas. The overall mean operative time for pancreatojejunostomy was 64.6 min (range, 39–116 min); however, the operative time required to perform the pancreatojejunostomy in the LAPRA-TY clip group was significantly shorter than that of the conventional technique group (56.2 vs 69.7 min, \( P < .001 \)). The mean total operative time of the LPD was 446.0 min (range, 344–624 min); however, the time required in the LAPRA-TY clip group was significantly shorter than that in the conventional group (419.3 vs 446.0 min, \( P = .002 \)). The rate
Figure 3. A, B, Suturing of the posterior semicircle of the duct-to-mucosa anastomosis. C, D, Anterior semicircle of the duct-to-mucosa anastomosis.

Figure 4. A, B, Stitching on the ventral side of the jejunal seromuscular layer. C, D, These sutures are ligated using the LAPRA-TYclip. E, F, The pancreas and the jejunum are tightly secured.
of clinically relevant POPF (ISGPF grades B and C) in the LAPRA-TY clip group was 21.1%, which was not significantly different from that of the conventional technique group. The rate of overall morbidity was 25.6%, with no significant differences between groups (Table 2).

4. Discussion

In our modified Blumgart method using LAPRA-TY, the U-shaped thread was tightly secured under proper tension. The operative time for the pancreaticojejunostomy was shorter using this modified approach than using the conventional method.

When suturing the pancreatic parenchyma during laparoscopic surgery using the Blumgart method, suturing from the pancreatic dorsal side to the ventral side using backhand stitching is easier than suturing from the ventral side to the dorsal side using forehand stitching. Thus, it requires a double-armed needle; yet, a double-armed needle consisting of an appropriate length of monofilament thread is not commercially available. Therefore, we clipped the tail of the thread at a suitable length (12 cm) using the LAPRA-TY clip. Furthermore, the LAPRA-TY clip became a hook preventing the thread from loosening when ligating the pancreatic parenchyma and jejunum.

Prevention of thread entanglement is also important in order to obtain reliable pancreatic reconstruction in laparoscopic surgery, and various methods have been reported to prevent thread entanglement.[16,17] In this method, a U-shaped thread sutured on the pancreatic parenchyma and posterior wall of the jejunum was fixed using bulldog forceps before starting the duct-to-mucosa anastomosis, which creates a good surgical field. This fixation facilitates duct-to-mucosa anastomosis while maintaining an appropriate distance between the pancreatic main duct and jejunum. This method can also be applied to robotic surgery for the prevention of thread entanglement.

The incidence of POPF was 21.1% in the LAPRA-TY clip group, and was higher than that reported for Blumgart anastomosis in open surgery.[10,13] In this study, most patients indicated for LPD had periampullary tumors except for patients with pancreatic cancer; the former presented with a soft pancreas without pancreatic duct dilatation. This may explain the high incidence of POPF.

Herein, we described a modified Blumgart method using LAPRA-TY clips which resulted in reduction in the overall time required for laparoscopic pancreaticojejunostomy. However, the incidence rate of POPF did not improve. Thus, further investigation is necessary to reduce the incidence rate.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Hiroaki Osakabe.
Data curation: Yuichi Nagakawa, Chie Takishita, Yosuke Hiiijikata, Hitoe Nishino, Masanori Akashi, Tetsushi Nakajima, Tomoki Shirota, Yatsuka Sahara, Yuichi Hosokawa.
Formal analysis: Yuichi Nagakawa, Tomoki Shirota.
Investigation: Yuichi Nagakawa.
Methodology: Yuichi Nagakawa.
Project administration: Yuichi Nagakawa.
Writing – original draft: Yuichi Nagakawa.
Writing – review & editing: Tetsuo Ishizaki, Kenji Katsumata, Akihiko Tsuchida.
Yuichi Nagakawa orcid: 0000-0003-1169-8160.

References

[1] Kendrick ML, Casati D. Total laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: feasibility and outcome in an early experience. Arch Surg 2010;145:19-23.
[2] Palanivelu C, Jani K, Senthilnathan P, et al. Laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: technique and outcomes. J Am Coll Surg 2007;205:222-30.
[3] Nagakawa Y, Hosokawa Y, Sahara Y, et al. A novel “Artery First” approach allowing safe resection in laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: the uncinate process first approach. Hepatogastroenterology 2015;62:1037-40.
[4] Croome KP, Farnell MB, Que FG, et al. Total laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: oncologic advantages over open approaches? Ann Surg 2014;260:633-8.
[5] Nagakawa Y, Hosokawa Y, Sahara Y, et al. Approaching the superior mesenteric artery from the right side using the proximal-dorsal jejunal vein preisolation method during laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy. Surg Endosc 2018;32:4044-51.
[6] Ashburn HJ, Stauffer JA. Laparoscopic vs open pancreaticoduodenectomy: overall outcomes and severity of complications using the Accordion Severity Grading System. J Am Coll Surg 2012;215:810-9.
[7] Nagakawa Y, Nakamura Y, Honda G, et al. Learning curve and surgical factors influencing the surgical outcomes during the initial experience with laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2018;25:496-507.
[8] Wang M, Meng L, Cai Y, et al. Learning curve for laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: a CUSUM analysis. J Gastrointest Surg 2016;20:924-35.
[9] Araujo RL, Karkar AM, Allen PJ, et al. Timing of elective surgery as a perioperative outcome variable: analysis of pancreaticoduodenectomy. HPB (Oxford) 2014;16:250-62.
[10] Fujii T, Sugimoto H, Yamada S, et al. Modified Blumgart anastomosis for pancreaticojejunostomy: technical improvement in matched historical control study. J Gastrointest Surg 2014;18:1108-15.
[11] Mishra PK, Saluja SS, Gupta M, et al. Blumgart’s technique of pancreaticojejunostomy: an appraisal. Dig Surg 2011;28:281-7.
[12] Wang SE, Chen SC, Shyr BU, et al. Comparison of Modified Blumgart pancreaticojejunostomy and pancreaticogastronomy after pancreaticoduodenectomy. HPB (Oxford) 2016;18:229–35.
[13] Hirono S, Kawai M, Okada KI, et al. Modified Blumgart mattress suture versus conventional interrupted suture in pancreaticojejunostomy during pancreaticoduodenectomy: randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2019;269:243–51.
[14] Bassi C, Dervenis C, Butturini G, et al. Postoperative pancreatic fistula: an international study group (ISGPF) definition. Surgery 2005;138:8–13.
[15] Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg 2004;240:205–13.
[16] Honda G, Kurata M, Okuda Y, et al. Novel device for pancreaticojejunostomy via a pure laparoscopic approach. J Am Coll Surg 2013;216: e73–6.
[17] Edil BH, Cooper MA, Makary MA. Laparoscopic pancreaticojejunostomy using a barbed suture: a novel technique. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2014;24:887–91.