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Monascus spp. are traditional medicinal and edible filamentous fungi in China, and can produce various secondary metabolites, such as Monascus pigments (MPs) and citrinin (CIT). Genetic modification methods, such as gene knock-out, complementation, and overexpression, have been used extensively to investigate the function of related genes in Monascus spp.. However, the resistance selection genes that can have been used for genetic modification in Monascus spp. are limited, and the gene replacement frequency (GRF) is usually <5%. Therefore, we are committed to construct a highly efficient gene editing system without resistance selection marker gene. In this study, using M. ruber M7 as the starting strain, we successfully constructed a so-called markerlessly and highly genetic modification system including the mutants ΔmrpyrGΔmrlig4 and ΔmrpyrGΔmrlig4::mrpyrG, in which we used the endogenous gene mrpyrG from M. ruber M7 instead of the resistance marker gene as the screening marker, and simultaneously deleted mrlig4 related to non-homologous end joining in M. ruber M7. Then, the morphology, the growth rate, the production of MPs and CIT of the mutants were analyzed. And the results show that the mutant strains have normal mycelia, cleistothecia and conidia on PDA+Uridine(U) plate, the biomass of each mutant is also no different from M. ruber M7. However, the U addition also has a certain effect on the orange and red pigments yield of M. ruber M7, which needs our further study. Finally, we applied the system to delete multiple genes from M. ruber M7 separately or continuously without any resistance marker gene, and found that the average GRF of ΔmrpyrGΔmrlig4 was about 18 times of that of M. ruber M7. The markerlessly and highly genetic modification system constructed in current study not only will be used for multi-gene simultaneous modification in Monascus spp., and also lays a foundation for investigating the effects of multi-genes modification on Monascus spp..
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Introduction

*Monascus* spp., a group of traditional medicine and edible filamentous fungi in China, can produce abundant benefit secondary metabolites (SMs) such as *Monascus* pigments (MPs), γ-aminobutyric acid, monacolin K, and ergosterol (Endo, 1979; Feng et al., 2012; Patakova, 2013; Wu et al., 2013). But some *Monascus* strains may also yield a kind of mycotoxin, citrinin (CIT) (Blanc et al., 1995; Lin et al., 2008) knocked out the frontiersin.org. The OMP decarboxylase can also (Shimizu et al., 2006). Among them, the genetic modification method such as gene knock-out, complementation, and overexpression is considered as one of the most significant approaches to control benefit SMs and CIT production (Liu et al., 2014, 2016, 2021; Zhang et al., 2019).

However, as we know, up to now, there are limited antibiotic selection marker genes available for the genetic modification of *Monascus* spp., such as the genes of hygromycin (hph), neomycin (neo) (Li, 2011), pyrithiamine (pyr) (Cui and Li, 2012), and aureobasidin A (aba) (Shimizu et al., 2006). Therefore, it is very difficult to simultaneously modify multiple genes in the same *Monascus* strain. Moreover, the antibiotic resistance marker genes remaining in the *Monascus* mutants may affect their growth and metabolism, and when the mutants are used in the production of foods and food additives, there also exist potential food safety hazards (Tuteja et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2015). So, the development of the genetic modification method without antibiotic screening marker gene residues is requisite for *Monascus* gene modification.

The uridine (U) auxotroph has been exploited widely in the genetic transformation system for many filamentous fungi (Wang et al., 2010; Arentshorst et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020). In fungi, orotidine 5′-phosphate decarboxylase (OMP decarboxylase) encoded by *pyrG* gene is a key enzyme involved in the pyrimidine biosynthesis, which can catalyze the decarboxylation of OMP to form uridine monophosphate (UMP) (Caroline and Davis, 1969; Garavaglia et al., 2012). OMP decarboxylase can also transform the pyrimidine analog 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) to the toxic compound to fungi, 5′-fluoro-UMP, to kill the fungal cells with *pyrG* (Ying et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2020). Therefore, U combined with 5-FOA can be used to screen a markerless knockout strain, ΔpyrG, which does not contain the foreign gene including any antibiotic selection marker gene. The strains containing *pyrG* can synthesize U by themselves and can grow on the media without U, so they are called as U prototrophic or U independent strains, while the strains without *pyrG* such as ΔpyrG cannot synthesize U by themselves and also cannot grow on the media without U, so they are known as U auxotrophic or U-dependent strains. Therefore, taking ΔpyrG as the starting strain, replacing the target gene with *pyrG*, and combining U with 5-FOA, the markerless modifier of the target gene can be achieved. Wang et al. (2010) obtained a pyrG gene point mutant strain of *M. aurantiacus* by ultraviolet mutagenesis, and successfully transferred *pyrG* back to the *pyrG* mutant strain. However, there is no report about their subsequent application research of this system.

Several studies have showed that the gene homologous recombination efficiency (GRF) of fungal gene modification is relatively low due to the existence of the non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) pathway in fungal cells (Ishibashi et al., 2006; Shrivastav et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2018; Pannunzio et al., 2018). And usually, the GRF of *Monascus* genetic modification is <5% (Li and Chen, 2020). He et al. (2013, 2014) knocked out the relative genes with NHEJ pathway, including the genes of DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunits of Ku70 and Ku80, and ligase 4 (Symington and Gautier, 2011), leading that GRF of *Monascus* was increased by 2–4 times. However, the obtained high-efficiency strains cannot continue to be used for multi-gene modification of *M. ruber* M7 due to the limitation of resistance screening genes.

In current research, we have developed a marker recycling and highly genetic modification system, including the mutants ΔmpyrGΔmlig4ΔmrpyrG (ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG) and ΔmpyrGΔmlig4 (ΔpyrG+lig4) for *M. ruber* M7, in which we used the endogenous gene *mpyrG* (the homologous gene of OMP decarboxylase gene) in *M. ruber* M7 instead of the resistance marker gene as the screening marker, and simultaneously deleted *mlig4* related to the NHEJ. Then, the morphologies, growth rates, the production of MPs and CIT of the mutants were determined. Finally, we applied the system to delete multiple genes including *mpirG*, *mpirG*, and *mipirG* relative to MPs biosynthesis in *M. ruber* M7 (Chen et al., 2017) separately or continuously without any resistance marker gene, and found that the average GRF of ΔpyrG+lig4 was about 18 times of that of *M. ruber* M7, which shows that the system is suitable for multiple genes modification of *Monascus* spp..

Materials and methods

Fungal strains, culture media and growth conditions

*M. ruber* M7 [CCAM 070120, Culture Collection of State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, which is part of China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC), Wuhan, China] (Chen and Hu, 2005), the model microorganism in our lab, was used as a DNA donor and for transformation (Shao et al., 2009). All the strains used in this study are described in Table 1. All the strains are maintained on PDA slants with/without 10 mmol/ml uridine and 0.75 mg/ml 5-FOA at 28°C (Thai et al., 2021).
Cloning and analysis of the pyrG gene

Amino acid sequences encoded by mrpyrG were predicted using SoftBerry’s FGENESH program (http://www.softberry.com), and the mrpyrG functional regions were analyzed using the Pfam 33.1 program (http://pfam.xfam.org/). Homology of the deduced amino acid sequence was analyzed using the BlastP program on the NCBI website (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Deletion of the mrpyrG and mrlig4 genes

To construct a markerless and highly efficient genetic modification system, the genes of mrpyrG and mrlig4 were deleted according to the homologous recombination strategy as described previously (Liu et al., 2014). Genomic DNA of M. ruber M7 was extracted according to previous description (Shao et al., 2009) for amplification of the entire mrpyrG and mrlig4 gene sequences and their 5’- and 3’-flanking regions. Using these amplified DNA sequences, the mrpyrG deletion cassette was constructed by double-joint PCR (Yu et al., 2004), and the mrlig4 cassette was constructed using the Seamless Cloning and assembly kit (Li et al., 2021). Then, these two cassettes were digested separately by Kpn I/Xba I, Hind III/Kpn I, and then ligated with pCAMBIA3300 vector digested with the same restricted enzymes to form recombinant vectors respectively, which were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 cells that were used to introduce the constructed cassettes region into the hosts (Shao et al., 2009). The construction procedure is showed in Figure 1 (Li et al., 2021). The relative primer pairs are shown in Table 2.

Excision of the mrpyrG marker by using 5-FOA

Since the U auxotrophs were resistant to 5-FOA in M. ruber M7, positive selection for ΔmrpyrG strains was carried out using 5-FOA. It was expected that the pyrG inserted at the lig4 locus would be excised out by homologous recombination with the direct repeats, in which the flanking regions of the lig4 were directly connected without leaving any ectopic/foreign DNA fragments (Figure 1B). Conidia of the ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG strains (10^5 cfu/ml) were spread onto the agar medium containing 5-FOA and U after 5–8 day cultivation and the resulting 5-FOA resistant strains exhibited U auxotrophy.

Analysis of phenotypic characterization and biomass

M. ruber M7, ΔmrpyrG, ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG, ΔpyrG+lig4, were, respectively, inoculated on PDA, PDA+U, PDA+U+5-FOA plates for 5 days at 28°C to observe the colonial and microscopic morphologies (Huang et al., 2016).

Biomass was determined according to the published paper (Lai et al., 2011) with minor modification. One milliliter freshly harvested spore (10^5 cfu/ml) of each strain was inoculated on PDA and PDA+U plates covered with cellophane membranes, and incubated at 28°C for 11 days, the samples were taken every 2 days from the 3rd day to the 11th day of culture. Then, these samples were vacuum freeze-dried and weighed.

Detection of citrinin and Monascus pigments

In total, 1 ml freshly harvested spore (10^5 cfu/ml) of aforementioned strains was inoculated on PDA and PDA+U plates covered with cellophane membranes, and incubated at 28°C for 11 days, respectively, to detect the intracellular MPs and extracellular CIT. 40 mg freeze-dried media powder was extracted by 1 ml 80% (v/v) methanol, and subjected to 30 min ultrasonication treatment to detect the citrinin content by UPLC (Waters, America) with previous method (Liu et al., 2019), and injection volume was 2 µL. And 20 mg freeze-dried mycelia was extracted by 1 ml 80% (v/v) methanol, and subjected to

---

### TABLE 1  *Monascus ruber* strains used and constructed in this study.

| Strains         | Parents                     | Sources              |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| M. ruber M7     | –                           | Laboratory preservation |
| ΔmrpyrG         | M. ruber M7                 | This study           |
| Δmrlig4 ΔmrpyrG | ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG            | This study           |
| ΔpyrG+lig4     | ΔpyrG+lig4                  | This study           |
| ΔpyrG+lig4+pig4G | ΔpyrG+lig4+pig4             | This study           |
| ΔpyrG+lig4+pig4H | ΔpyrG+lig4+pig4H+pigI       | This study           |
Deletion of mrpyrG and mrlig4 in M. ruber M7. (A) Strategy to construct mrpyrG markerless deletion strain. (B) Strategy to construct mrlig4 markerless deletion strain.

30 min ultrasonication treatment. Dilute the methanol extract to an appropriate amount, use 80% (v/v) methanol as the control (CK), and measure the absorbance at 380 nm, 470 nm, and 520 nm by the ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry. The absorbance value multiplied by the dilution factor is the color value of yellow, orange, and red MPs, respectively. The final contents of Monascus pigments and citrinin were expressed as U/mg and µg/mg, respectively.
### TABLE 2

Primer sequences used in this study.

| Name               | Sequences (5’→3’)                                                                 | Descriptions |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| pyrG-5F            | GGGGCTGCTCCACCATGAACT                                                          | For amplification of 896 bp of 5’ flanking regions of pyrG |
| pyrG-5R            | CAAGGATTCGGTGGGGA                                                             |              |
| pyrG-3F            | ACCCCACGCGAAATCTTGGGCAAGGCGGGTTGGAGTGA                                         | For amplification of 829 bp of 3’ flanking regions of pyrG |
| pyrG-3R            | AGCTGAGCTGTCTTCGCC                                                             | For amplification of 498 bp of a part of pyrG |
| pyrG-F2            | GTGATACCTTACAGAT                                                             |              |
| pyrG-R2            | CCAGAAAGCAAGGAA                                                             |              |
| lig4pyrG-5F        | GATATCGAATTCCCAATACT                                                          | For amplification of 993 bp of 5' flanking regions of lig4 |
| lig4pyrG-3F        | ACCCCAGCACGAAATCCTTG                                                       | For amplification of 1,014 bp of 5' flanking regions of lig4 |
| pyrG-5F            | GGGGCTGCTCCACATGGA                                                          | For amplification of 896 bp of 5' flanking regions of pyrG |
| pyrG-3R            | AGCTGAGCTGTCTTCGCC                                                             | For amplification of 498 bp of a part of pyrG |
| pyrG-F2            | GTGATACCTTACAGAT                                                             |              |
| pyrG-R2            | CCAGAAAGCAAGGAA                                                             |              |
| lig4pyrG-5F        | GATATCGAATTCCCAATACT                                                          | For amplification of 993 bp of 5' flanking regions of lig4 |
| lig4pyrG-3F        | ACCCCAGCACGAAATCCTTG                                                       | For amplification of 1,014 bp of 5' flanking regions of lig4 |
| pyrG-5F            | GGGGCTGCTCCACATGGA                                                          | For amplification of 896 bp of 5' flanking regions of pyrG |
| pyrG-3R            | AGCTGAGCTGTCTTCGCC                                                             | For amplification of 498 bp of a part of pyrG |
| pyrG-F2            | GTGATACCTTACAGAT                                                             |              |
| pyrG-R2            | CCAGAAAGCAAGGAA                                                             |              |
| lig4pyrG-5F        | GATATCGAATTCCCAATACT                                                          | For amplification of 993 bp of 5' flanking regions of lig4 |
| lig4pyrG-3F        | ACCCCAGCACGAAATCCTTG                                                       | For amplification of 1,014 bp of 5' flanking regions of lig4 |

Labeled with double wavy line letters are nucleotide sequences of pBLUE-T. Labeled with single underline letters are nucleotide sequences of 5'UTR of *mrpyrG*. Labeled with dotted lines letters are nucleotide sequences of 5'-1 flanking regions of *mrpig*.
Results

Construction of genetic modification system with markerless and highly efficient system

Sequence analysis of mrpyrG in M. ruber M7

Sequence prediction of mrpyrG by Softberry’s FGENESH program has revealed that the putative mrpyrG gene consists only of an 828 bp open reading frame (ORF) which consists of 2 exon and encodes 275 amino acids. A database search with NCBI-Blastp has demonstrated that the deduced 275-amino acid sequence encoded by mrpyrG shares 100% similarity with the amino orotidine-5’-phosphate decarboxylase of M. aurantiacus (GenBank: ADE43948.1), 81.39% similarity with PyrG of Penicillium chrysogenum (GenBank: XP-002 58877.1). Besides, prediction of Pfam has indicated that MrpyrG belongs to the DRE–TIF metallo-lyase superfamily.

Verification of the \( \Delta \)mrpyrG, \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG, \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4 strains

Through genetic transformation mediated by Agrobacterium tumefaciens, 2 putative mrpyrG mutants (\( \Delta \)mrpyrG), 2 putative mutants (\( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG), and 1 \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4 mutant were obtained, respectively. The PCR verification results of these mutants are shown in Figure 2.

The results from Figure 2A reveal that no DNA band was amplified when the genome of the putative \( \Delta \)mrpyrG strain was used as template with the primer pair pyrGF2/pyrG-R2 (Table 2). Meanwhile, amplicons of M. ruber M7 (1.73 kb) and \( \Delta \)mrpyrG (2.3 kb) different in sizes were observed when primers pyrG5F/pyrG3R (Table 2) were used. The results from Figure 2B show that no DNA band was amplified when the genome of the putative \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG strain was used as template with the primer pair lig4F/lig4R (Table 2), while a 2.2 kb product appeared using the genome of the \( \Delta \)mrpyrG strain. Meanwhile, amplicons of \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG (3.8 kb) and \( \Delta \)pyrG (4.37 kb) different in sizes were observed when primers lig4F/lig4G5R (Table 2) were used. The 2.1 kb band in Lane 1 of Figure 2B generated by the primer lig4F/lig4G5F lig4G5R may be the homogenous sequence of 5’UTR and 5’-UTR of the mrlig4 knockout cassette. The results from Figure 2C displays that no DNA band was amplified when the genome of the putative \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4 strain was used as DNA template with the primer pair lig4F/lig4R and pyrGF2/pyrGR2, while a 2.2 kb product and a 0.5 kb product appeared, respectively, using the genome of the \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG strain.

Morphologies and biomasses of M. ruber M7, \( \Delta \)mrpyrG, \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG and \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4

M. ruber M7, \( \Delta \)mrpyrG, \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG and \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4 strains were cultured for 5d at 28°C to observe colonial morphologies on PDA, PDA+U, and PDA+U+5-FOA plates. At the same time, these strains were cultured for 7 d at 28°C to observe microscopic morphologies on PDA and PDA+U plates.

It can be seen from Figure 3I that M. ruber M7 with mrpyrG can synthesize U and transform 5-FOA into the toxic compound 5-fluorouracil, so it can grow on PDA plate but not on PDA+5-FOA plate. U auxotrophic strains (\( \Delta \)mrpyrG and \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4) cannot synthesize U and cannot transform 5-FOA into 5-fluorouracil, and cannot grow on PDA plate, but can grow on PDA+U and PDA+U+5-FOA plates. The colonial morphologies of U prototrophic strain (\( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG) on PDA, PDA+U, and PDA+U+5-FOA plates is consistent with M. ruber M7. These results once again show that the construction of each mutant is right. Meanwhile, the microscopic results in Figure 3II shows that mrpyrG-deficient strains (\( \Delta \)pyrG and \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4) have normal mycelia, cleistothecia, and conidia on PDA-U plate, which are different from M. ruber M7 at the same time. The U prototrophic strain (\( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG) also normal mycelia, cleistothecia and conidia on PDA and PDA-U plates, which is also no difference from M. ruber M7. Moreover, the biomass of each mutant on PDA and/or PDA-U plate is not obviously different from that of M. ruber M7 (Figure 3III).

MPs and CIT production analysis of \( \Delta \)mrpyrG, \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4::pyrG, \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4 and M. ruber M7

Previous studies (Chen et al., 2017) have demonstrated that M. ruber M7 can produce MPs and CIT, but no MK, so the yields of MPs and CIT produced by M. ruber M7 and its mutants, were analyzed to uncover the effect of mrpyrG on them (Figure 4).

Compared with M. ruber M7, the yellow pigment production of all the mutant strains changed to some extent, but it showed an irregular trend (Figure 4). And for the U auxotrophic strains \( \Delta \)mrpyrG and \( \Delta \)pyrG+lig4, the addition of U affected the production of orange and red pigments to a certain extent (Figures 4II,III). In addition, it can be found that the U addition also has a certain effect on the orange and red pigments yield of M. ruber M7.
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With regard to CIT, the results (Figure 4IV) show that CIT produced by ΔmpryG, ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG, and ΔpyrG+lig4 was not apparently different from those of M. ruber M7 on different media, which indicates that mpryG and mrlig4 have no effect on the CIT production.

Application of gene markerless and highly efficient modification system

Taking M. ruber M7 and ΔpyrG+lig4 as the starting strains to knock out mpryG and mrlig1 in the MPs gene cluster of M. ruber M7 (Chen et al., 2017), respectively, and calculate the number of transformants and the number of disruptants (knockouts) via PCR verification with related primers shown in Table 2 from both starting strains. And the GRFs, referred as the number of disruptants divided by the number of transformants, are shown in Table 3. Furthermore, taking ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG as a starting strain, mprigH and mrlig1 genes were continuously deleted, and successfully got the multi-gene mutants ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG+ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG+ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG+ΔpyrG+lig4::pyrG. As shown in Table 3, when mprigG was knocked out using the ΔpyrG+lig4 strain as the starting strain, the GRF reached to 46.7% (21/45), while using M. ruber M7 as the starting strain, the GRF of pigG was only 2.6% (3/115). Meanwhile, when mrlig1 was knocked out, the GRF was 44.4% (4/9) in the ΔpyrG+lig4 strain and 2.4% (2/85) in M. ruber M7. In general, the average GRFs for mprigG and mrlig1 in ΔpyrG+lig4 were about 18 times of that of M. ruber M7.

Discussion

In 2008, Maruyama and Kitamoto first described that the multiple gene disruptions with marker recycling were done in the highly efficient gene-targeting background in filamentous fungi (Maruyama and Kitamoto, 2008). They generated a ligD(lig4)-disruptant for highly efficient gene-disruption frequency in A. oryzae, then two protease genes( tppA and pepE) were disrupted continuously at very high frequency (∼90%) in ΔligD strain with pyrG as the screening marker. After that, pyrG has been successfully applied to Aspergillus terreus (Huang et al., 2016) and Aspergillus niger (Arentshorst et al., 2015) as a selection marker. But, there are no related reports in Monascus spp.. In recent years, genes involved in the biosynthesis of citrinin, monacolin K (MK), and pigments, and G protein signaling pathway (Sakai et al., 2009; Li, 2011; Shao et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2017, 2019) have been cloned and analyzed, which made an important step forward in understanding the secondary metabolism in Monascus spp.. However, because of the limitation of resistance selection marker genes, multiple-gene editing cannot be performed in the same strain. In this study, based on the issues that there are limited antibiotic screening marker genes available for Monascus gene modification and the low GRF (He et al., 2014), mpryG and mrlig4 genes from M. ruber M7 were knocked out in sequence, leading that a so-called markerlessly and highly efficient gene modification system was successfully constructed without any antibiotic screening marker gene, in which GRF is about 18 times higher than that of M. ruber M7. And single or multiple gene(s) related with MPs of M. ruber M7 was (were) deleted by this gene modification system.
Morphologies and biomasses of *M. ruber* M7 and derivative markerless deletion strains. (I) Colonial morphologies on PDA, PDA+U and PDA+U+5-FOA plates. (II) Cleistothecia and conidia formation on PDA and PDA+U plates. (III) Biomass (dry cell weight).

Although the colonial and microscopic morphologies, biomasses (Figures 3I,III) and CIT production (Figure 4IV) of auxotrophic strains (ΔmrpyrG and ΔpyrG+lig4) and U prototrophic strain (ΔpyrG+lig4:pyrG) on PDA, PDA+U, and PDA+U+5-FOA plates were consistent with those of *M. ruber* M7, U addition could obviously affect the production of orange and red pigments of the U prototrophy strains and some U auxotrophy strains (ΔmrpyrG) (Figures 4I,III). Therefore,
Production of intracellular MPs and extracellular CIT by M. ruber M7 and derivative markerless deletion strains on the PDA supplied with/without uridine. (I) The yield of yellow pigments; (II) The yield of orange pigments; (III) The yield of red pigments; and (IV) The yield of CIT.

TABLE 3 GRF in the wild-type (M7) and ΔpyrG+lig4 strains.

| Target genes | GRF of ΔpyrG+lig4 (Disruptantsa/Transformantsb) | GRF of M7 (Disruptantsa/Transformantsb) |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| mrpigG       | 46.7% (21/45)                                 | 2.6% (3/115)                           |
| mrpigI       | 44.4% (4/9)                                   | 2.4% (2/85)                           |

aThe number of disruptants verified by PCR analyzed. bThe number of transformants.

When the gene markerless modification system constructed in this study is used to investigate the gene(s) function(s) from Monascus spp., especially the function(s) of related gene(s) in the MPs gene cluster, it is necessary to re-introduce pyrG into the mutants without pyrG to avoid the extra addition of U. And why U addition has an effect on the production of the orange and red pigments needs to further be explored.

When the ΔpyrG+lig4 strain from M. ruber M7 was taken as the starting strain, its GRFs for mrpigG and mrpigI reached 46.7 and 44.4%, respectively, due to mrlig4 loss, which is much (18 times) higher than those of M. ruber M7 used as the starting strain (Table 3). However, the positive effect of the mrlig4 mutant of M. ruber M7 on the GRF did not reach the level observed in some other fungi, which GRFs of almost 100% were obtained (Ishibashi et al., 2006; Bugeja et al., 2012). There are also studies that showed inactivation of the ku70 or ku80 genes involved in the NHEJ DNA repair pathway can greatly increase GRFs of filamentous fungi (Zhang et al., 2011; He et al., 2013). Therefore, in the future the GRFs may be further improved if the relative genes, such as mrku70 or mrku80, with NHEJ pathway, is/are knocked out. Moreover, the characteristics of the multi-gene mutants ΔpyrG+lig4+pigG+pigH+pigI and ΔpyrG+lig4+pigG+pigH+pigI::pyrG should be investigated, too.

In this study, we construct a markerlessly and highly efficient gene modification system successfully to knock out endogenous mmpyrG and mrlig4 gene without introducing any antibiotic screening marker gene, in which GRF is about 18 times higher than that of M. ruber M7. Besides, we have successfully applied this system to multiple-gene knock out in Monascus spp.. However, in our study, we also found that the U addition can make an effect on the yield of MPs, and the mechanism is not clear, which requires our further study.
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