Hedgehog Activation Regulates Human Osteoblastogenesis
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SUMMARY

Two genetic diseases, Gorlin syndrome and McCune-Albright syndrome (MAS), show completely opposite symptoms in terms of bone mineral density and hedgehog (Hh) activity. In this study, we utilized human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-based models of the two diseases to understand the roles of Hh signaling in osteogenesis. Gorlin syndrome-derived iPSCs showed increased osteoblastogenesis and mineralization with Hh signaling activation and upregulation of a set of transcription factors in an osteogenic culture, compared with the isogenic control. MAS-specific iPSCs showed poor mineralization with low Hh signaling activity in the osteogenic culture; impaired osteoblastogenesis was restored to the normal level by treatment with an Hh signaling-activating small molecule. These data suggest that Hh signaling is a key controller for differentiation of osteoblasts from precursors. This study may pave a path to new drug therapies for genetic abnormalities in calcification caused by dysregulation of Hh signaling.

INTRODUCTION

Hedgehog (Hh) signaling is a highly conserved pathway between species, acting as a mitogen and morphogen in various developmental processes (Ingham and McMahon, 2001). Mouse genetics studies have highlighted the requirement of Hh signaling to specify skeletal progenitors into osteoblast precursors expressing runt-related transcription factor (TF) 2 (Runx2), a master TF for osteoblast development (Komori et al., 1997; Long et al., 2004). Both Gli activators and repressors affect the Hh function in this context (Joeng and Long, 2009). In adult mice, constitutive activation of Hh signaling by Patched1 (Ptch1) haploinsufficiency led to high bone mass with an acceleration of both bone formation and bone resorption (Ohba et al., 2008).

Dysregulation of Hh signaling has been implicated in human diseases (Mullor et al., 2002). Mutations of PTCH1, which encodes a receptor of Hh, are known to cause Gorlin syndrome (OMIM 109400), a rare autosomal-dominant disorder characterized by multiple tumor formation and skeletal anomalies (Gorlin, 1995; Gorlin and Goltz, 1960). Most individuals with this disease have skeletal anomalies, and ectopic calcification particularly in the cerebral falx is present in more than 90% of the affected individuals. Heterozygous Ptch1-deficient (Ptch1+/−) mice have features that recapitulate those of patients with Gorlin syndrome (Goodrich et al., 1997). They have higher bone mineral density than age-matched controls (Ohba et al., 2008).

Molecular mechanisms underlying the maintenance of human bone mass have attracted much attention due to their fundamental importance for the understanding of bone pathology. From this point of view, it is noteworthy that two different Hh-related syndromes, Gorlin syndrome and McCune-Albright syndrome (MAS) (OMIM 174800), are characterized by completely opposite features of osteoblast differentiation. Gorlin syndrome exhibits activation of Hh signaling and enhancement of mineralization, while MAS is often accompanied with fibrous dysplasia (FD) of immature bones with poor mineralization (Albright et al., 1937; Weinstein et al., 1991). FD is a genetic, non-inheritable rare bone disease caused by a postzygotic mosaic activation mutation (R201H or R201C) of the α-subunit of stimulatory G protein (Gαs) encoded by GNAS, and this mutation is also observed in MAS (Albright et al., 1937; Lichtenstein, 1938; Turan and Bastepe, 2015). FD often leads to wheelchair life due to bone deformation, fractures, and severe pain. Khan et al. (2018) elegantly described that a Gnas (R201H) knockin mouse line showed reduced mineralization in the mutant bones, and the increased osteoid surface demonstrated poor osteoblastogenesis with suppressed Hh signaling and enhanced Wnt signaling.
Despite the opposite status of Hh signaling and osteogenesis in the two Hh-related genetic diseases, few studies have focused on differences in the mechanisms of bone formation, particularly the mechanisms involving osteoblast differentiation, between the diseases. In addition, although human cell models of these diseases would help elucidate their pathogenesis at the cellular and molecular levels and help develop therapeutic strategies, such models remain to be established.

We previously generated induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) derived from patients with Gorlin syndrome (Gorlin iPSCs), who therefore carried heterozygous loss-of-function mutations in \textit{PTCH1}; Gorlin iPSCs showed hypersensitivity to Hh signaling, and Hh signaling may be associated with accelerated osteoblast differentiation (Hasegawa et al., 2017). Gorlin iPSCs also demonstrated enhanced Hh signaling, which in turn suppressed Wnt and BMP signaling (Hasegawa et al., 2017). However, once cultured in a conventional osteogenic differentiation medium, they suddenly acquired steep upregulation of Wnts and BMPs to promote osteoblastogenesis. Therefore, it was necessary to investigate the process of osteoblast differentiation of Gorlin iPSCs in defined culture systems, to examine the direct effect of Hh activation on human osteoblast differentiation. In addition, we generated MAS-specific iPSCs (MAS iPSCs) by introducing GNAS gene mutation with genome-editing techniques. MAS iPSCs had constitutively activated GNAS, which increased the cellular cAMP level under an unstimulated condition (T.A., S.O., K.W., A.S., T.S. and T.N., unpublished data). We reasoned that we would achieve a better understanding of the correlation between intracellular Hh signaling activity and osteogenic capacities by utilizing the two disease-specific iPSC lines.

In this study, we sought to gain insight into the involvement of Hh signaling in human osteoblastogenesis by taking advantage of the two platforms that we developed: the osteoblast induction protocol for human iPSCs, in which small-molecule-mediated activation of Hh signaling contributed to differentiation of human iPSCs (Kanke et al., 2014; Zujur et al., 2017), and the human iPSC-based disease models, Gorlin iPSCs and MAS iPSCs. This study provides a valuable foundation for establishing stem cell-based systems to delineate the mechanism of skeletal abnormalities underlying human genetic disorders. In addition, our results suggest the possibility of drug therapies for FD.

**RESULTS**

**Osteogenic Capacities of Gorlin iPSCs in an Osteoblast Induction Culture**

We previously established two iPSC lines from different patients with Gorlin syndrome (Gorlin iPSCs; see Experimental Procedures) (Hasegawa et al., 2017). We used Sanger sequencing to confirm that the \textit{PTCH1}-loci mutations in each of the two Gorlin iPSC lines were the same as those identified in the tissues of the patients of origin (Figure S1A). Two other hiPSC lines that were not relevant to Gorlin syndrome were also prepared as controls (wild-type [WT] iPSCs; see Experimental Procedures). All four iPSC lines were adapted to a feeder-free condition in Essential 8 medium (see Experimental Procedures). We confirmed that the morphology of the Gorlin iPSC colonies was similar to that of the WT iPSC colonies under the conditions used; both the WT and Gorlin cells demonstrated tightly packed colonies of small cells with defined borders and the high nucleoplasmic ratio (Figure 1A). Both the WT and Gorlin iPSCs expressed markers of pluripotency, including NANOG and SSEA4 (Figure S1B).

To elucidate the impact of Gorlin syndrome-associated \textit{PTCH1} mutations on human osteoblastogenesis, we

---

**Figure 1. Osteogenic Capacities of Gorlin iPSCs in the Osteoblast Induction Culture**

(A) The morphology of colonies of WT and Gorlin iPSCs adapted to Essential 8 medium. Data of two lines (1 and 2) are shown for each type of iPSC. Scale bar, 100 \( \mu m \).

(B) A schematic of the protocol of the osteoblast induction culture.

(C) Calcification in the osteoblast induction culture of WT and Gorlin iPSCs with or without SAG treatment. von Kossa staining was performed at days 0, 10, and 17 of the culture. Mineral deposition was detected as black staining. Data of two lines (1 and 2) are shown for each type of iPSC.

(D) Quantification of RUNX2-positive cells in the osteoblast induction culture of WT and mutant (MT) iPSCs by flow cytometry (FCM). The cells were cultured under a condition with or without SAG as indicated. FCM analysis was performed for RUNX2 at days 0 and 17 of the culture. Blue dotted lines show signal intensities from staining with the immunoglobulin G (IgG) isotype control. Light blue lines show signal intensities from the staining with an anti-RUNX2 antibody. The positive gate was set to the area where the isotype control was present at around 8%. The FCM analyses were performed in two or three independent experiments using two lines (1 and 2) for each type of iPSC; a representative histogram is shown.

(E) The mRNA expression of osteoblast marker genes in the osteoblast induction culture of WT and MT iPSCs without SAG. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at the indicated days of the cultures. Data are the means \( \pm SD \) from three independent experiments using two lines (1 and 2) for each type of iPSC, \( *p < 0.05 \) versus WT2 iPSCs at the indicated day of the culture. \( **p < 0.05 \) versus both WT1 and WT2 iPSCs at the indicated day of the culture.
Figure 2. Expression of GLI in the Gorlin iPSC-Derived Osteogenic Population

(A) The mRNA expression of GLI1 in the osteoblast induction culture of WT and MT iPSCs without SAG. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments using two lines (1 and 2) for each type of iPSC. *p < 0.05 versus WT1 iPSCs. **p < 0.05 versus both WT1 and WT2 iPSCs. The normalized expressions are shown relative to those of calibrator samples (WT1 iPSCs on day 17).

(B) Protein expression of endogenous GLI3 in the osteoblast induction culture of WT1 and Gorlin-1 iPSCs. The GLI3 expression was analyzed by immunoblotting using specific antibodies at day 17 of the culture. Band intensities were quantified by normalization to those of calibrator samples (WT1 iPSCs on day 17).

(E) Immunoblot analysis of RUNX2 in the osteoblast induction culture of WT1 and Gorlin-1 iPSCs. The RUNX2 expression was analyzed by immunoblotting using specific antibodies at day 17 of the culture. Band intensities were quantified by normalization to those of calibrator samples (WT1 iPSCs on day 17).

(legend continued on next page)
cultured Gorlin and WT iPSCs according to our stepwise osteoblast differentiation protocol, in which 3-day mesoderm induction is followed by 14-day osteoblast induction (Kanke et al., 2014) (Figure 1B). In that protocol, the osteogenic molecule TH (Ohba et al., 2007) and the Smoothened agonist (SAG), which was an Hh signaling activator, are used in the osteoblast induction phase; we confirmed that the protocol achieved the activation of Hh signaling and upregulation of osteoblast marker genes in WT iPSCs by the 17th day of culture (Figure S2). These results suggest that the osteoblast induction is at least partly linked with Hh signaling activation in the protocol.

Both WT iPSCs and Gorlin iPSCs showed decreased expression of the pluripotency marker POU5F1 and increased expression of the mesoderm marker MIXL1 at the end of the mesoderm induction stage (day 3) compared with those at day 0; there was no clear difference in the expression of these genes between the genotypes (Figures S3A and S3B). To induce osteoblast differentiation of the WT iPSC- or Gorlin iPSC-derived mesodermal cells, we then treated them with TH in the presence or absence of SAG for another 2 weeks (Figure 1B). von Kossa staining at day 17 of the culture revealed that Gorlin iPSCs showed increased calcification compared with WT iPSCs even in the absence of SAG (Figure 1C; compare the right four rows). WT iPSCs with SAG treatment showed increases in calcification compared with those without SAG treatment (Figure 1C; compare the left two rows and Figure S3C). In addition, Gorlin iPSCs with SAG treatment showed increases in calcification compared with those without SAG treatment (Figure S3D). These data suggest that Hh signaling activation could enhance the calcification of osteoblasts derived from iPSCs.

To examine whether the increased calcification is related to the enhancement of osteoblast differentiation, we checked the expression of osteoblastic markers in the culture of each iPSC line. Since Hh input is required for the specification of progenitors into Runx2-positive osteoblast precursors (Long et al., 2004; St-Jacques et al., 1999), we analyzed RUNX2-positive cells by flow cytometry at day 17 of the culture. As shown in Figure 1D, Gorlin iPSC cultures showed a higher percentage of RUNX2-positive cells than WT iPSC cultures in the absence of SAG (Figure 1D). In addition, qRT-PCR analysis demonstrated that the expression level of COL1A1, an early marker of osteoblast differentiation, and the expression levels of SP7 and BGLAP, mid- to late osteoblast markers, were significantly higher in Gorlin iPSCs than in WT iPSCs with no SAG treatment (Figure 1E). However, the difference was noticeable at day 10 and became less at day 17. This may represent accelerated differentiation of Gorlin iPSCs at the earlier phase of the culture, and WT iPSCs may reach the similar level to Gorlin iPSCs at the later phase. In addition, Gorlin iPSCs were unlikely to further differentiate or mature at the later phase. Thus, the increased calcification of Gorlin iPSCs in the osteoblast induction culture was accompanied with higher expressions of osteoblast markers, supporting augmentation of the osteogenic capacity in Gorlin iPSCs even without exogenous activation of Hh signaling; Gorlin syndrome-associated PTCH1 mutations are likely to have positive impacts on human osteoblastogenesis. The phenotypes of Gorlin iPSCs support our previous findings that patients with Gorlin syndrome and Ptc1+/− mice exhibited increases in bone mass with accelerated bone formation (Goodrich et al., 1997; Ohba et al., 2008).

Changes of GLI TFs in Gorlin iPSCs upon the Osteoblast Induction

Since previous findings showed ectopic activation of Hh signaling in Ptc1+/− mice (Goodrich et al., 1997), we next explored whether downstream mediators of the signaling were affected in Gorlin iPSCs upon the osteoblast induction. The mRNA expression of GLI1, a readout of Hh signaling as well as a transcriptional activator acting downstream of the signaling (Ingham and McMahon, 2001), was significantly upregulated in Gorlin iPSCs compared with WT iPSCs in the osteoblast induction culture (Figure 2A). This result suggests that the Gorlin syndrome-associated PTCH1 mutation leads to constitutive activation of Hh signaling in human osteoblastogenesis. This is consistent with the previous notion that heterozygous germline loss-of-function mutations of PTCH1 release PTCH1-mediated SMO suppression and thereby activate Hh signaling (Alman, 2015; Briscoe and Thérond, 2013; Fujii and Miyashita, 2014).

of β-actin in each group. GLI3FL and GLI3rep indicate their relative intensity levels to WT1; GLI3rep/GLI3FL indicates the ratio of intensity levels of GLI3rep to those of GLI3FL in each group. (C) The mRNA expression of GLI1 in the osteoblast induction culture of WT1 and Gorlin-1 iPSCs with or without cyclopamine (Cyc). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05. The normalized expressions are shown relative to those of WT1 iPSCs on day 17. (D) Calcification in the osteoblast induction culture of WT1 and Gorlin-1 iPSCs with or without cyclopamine (Cyc). von Kossa staining was performed at day 17 of the culture. (E) Quantification of RUNX2-positive cells in the osteoblast induction culture of WT1 and Gorlin-1 iPSCs without SAG, in the presence or absence Cyc. FCM analysis was performed for RUNX2 at day 17 of the culture. Blue dotted lines show signal intensities from the staining with the IgG isotype control. Light blue and green lines show signal intensities from the staining with an anti-RUNX2 antibody.
Hh signaling has also been demonstrated to regulate the expression of GLI3 as well as GLI1; the signaling suppresses processing of Gli3 into its truncated form in mouse limb bud cells (Wang et al., 2000), and mouse genetics and biochemical studies support the idea that Gli3 exerts repressive actions on osteoblast differentiation (Ohba et al., 2008). Based on these reports, we investigated the protein expression of GLI3 in WT and Gorlin iPSCs. The truncated repressor form of GLI3 (GLI3rep) was detected in both WT and Gorlin iPSCs at a similar level (Figure 2B). Although the full-length form of GLI3 (GLI3FL) was the minor form in both WT and Gorlin iPSCs, the amount of GLI3FL was much increased in Gorlin iPSCs (Figure 2B). As a result, the ratio of GLI3rep to GLI3FL was decreased in Gorlin iPSCs compared with WT iPSCs. These data suggest that GLI3 processing is suppressed by the Gorlin syndrome-associated PTCH1 mutation in human osteoblastogenesis, probably due to the activation of Hh signaling demonstrated by GLI1 upregulation (Figure 2A).

To clarify the involvement of Hh signaling activation in the augmented osteogenic capacity of Gorlin iPSCs, we investigated the effect of Hh inhibition on osteoblast differentiation by cycloamine, an Smo antagonist that suppresses the Hh signaling activity. Treatment with cycloamine resulted in neither an increase in GLI1 expression nor an enhancement of calcification in Gorlin iPSCs at day 17 of the osteoblast induction culture (Figures 2C and 2D). Treatment with cycloamine alone did not cause any change in GLI1 expression or calcification in WT iPSCs at day 17 (Figures 2C and 2D). We also examined the percentage of Runx2-positive cells in WT and Gorlin iPSCs with or without the cycloamine treatment. Consistent with the above results, cycloamine treatment reduced the ratio of Runx2-positive cells only in Gorlin iPSCs (from 55.9% to 39.1%) (Figure 2E). Thus, these data suggest that Hh signaling activation plays an important role in the enhancement of osteoblastogenesis and mineralization in Gorlin iPSCs.

**Expression Profiling of Gorlin iPSCs in the Osteoblast Induction Culture**

To examine which signaling pathways and genetic networks were affected by Gorlin syndrome-associated PTCH1 mutation in human osteoblastogenesis, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis in the osteoblast induction culture (without SAG treatment) of WT and Gorlin iPSCs (Gorlin 1). The triplicate samples of each genotype were clustered in the same group (Figure S4A), and the average expression values of the triplicate were used for further analysis. We identified 753 genes that were differentially expressed between the Gorlin iPSC- and WT iPSC-derived osteoblastic populations at day 17 of the culture, according to the criteria shown in Figure S4B; 559 genes were upregulated and 194 genes were downregulated in Gorlin iPSCs compared with WT iPSCs (Figures 3A and 3B; Table S1). Genes related to osteoblast differentiation and calcification, such as ASPN, BMP7, RSP02, and DKK1, were included as the differentially expressed genes (Figure 3B). A pathway enrichment analysis using DAVID identified multiple gene ontology (GO) categories that were significantly enriched in the differentially expressed genes (Table S2). It is notable that the enriched GO terms derived from the 193 downregulated genes were related to the cell cycle, including nuclear division (GO:0000280), mitosis (GO:0007067), and the M phase of the mitotic cell cycle (GO:0000087). This result may reflect acceleration of
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expressions of PTCH1 treatment significantly downregulated the expression was not altered in Gorlin-2 iPSCs; however, CDX1 was not upregulated in Gorlin-2 iPSCs. We next attempted to gain insight into transcriptional networks underlying the positive impacts of PTCH1 mutations on human osteogenesis. We analyzed differentially expressed TFs between Gorlin and WT iPSCs using PANTHER, a web-based enrichment analysis, and found 14 upregulated and 3 downregulated TFs in Gorlin iPSCs (Figure 3C). Among the 14 upregulated TFs, we selected 4 TFs, FOXD1, ID4, FO XO1, and CDX1, because their GO terms were related to bones, mineralization, and osteoblast differentiation (Figure 3D). We also examined these TF expressions in another Gorlin iPSC line (Gorlin 2) derived from a different individual. FOXO1, FOXD1, and ID4 were upregulated in both Gorlin-1 and Gorlin-2 iPSCs; however, CDX1 was not upregulated in Gorlin-2 iPSCs (Figure 3E).

We investigated the involvement of Hh signaling activation in the induction of these TFs in Gorlin iPSCs by treating them with or without GANT61, a direct inhibitor of GLI1. Treatment with GANT61 resulted in neither increase in GLI1 expression nor enhancement of calcification in Gorlin iPSCs, but not in WT iPSCs, whereas CDX1 expression was not altered by the treatment in either of the cell lines (Figure 3G), which might reflect the difference in transcriptional networks between different types of PTCH1 mutations. Overall, these data suggest that FOXO1, FOXD1, and ID4 can be targets of canonical Hh signaling (i.e., the Hh-PtcH-Smo-Gli axis) and may underlie the enhancement of osteoblastogenesis and calcification in Gorlin iPSCs. FOXO1 has been reported to provide an intracellular environment congenial to osteoblast differentiation (Kode et al., 2012; Teixeira et al., 2010). CDX1 and FOXD1 take part in development, malignant transformation, and ectopic bone formation coordinately with WNT and BMPs (Regard et al., 2013).

Comparison of Osteogenic Capacities between Gorlin iPSCs and Their Isogenic Control iPSCs

To eliminate the influence of the different genetic backgrounds of the WT and Gorlin iPSCs, we set out to generate a reliable control iPSC clone for Gorlin-1 iPSCs, in which the mutation in exon 9 (c.1338-1341del CTCA; Figure S5A) was corrected under the same genetic background, i.e., an isogenic control. We utilized a Cas9 nickase-based genome-editing technique in combination with a targeting vector containing a 2-kb homology arm at the 5′ side and a 1.7-kb homology arm at the 3′ side (Figure S5B). We identified five clones showing correct insertion of the pgk-neo cassette (Figure S5C) and selected one clone (clone 9), in which the mutation in the PTCH1 gene was corrected to the WT sequence, as an isogenic control for Gorlin-1 iPSCs (Figure S5D). The morphology of clone 9 was indistinguishable from that of the original Gorlin-1 iPSCs (Figure S5E). We then checked the WT and mutated PTCH1 mRNA expression using locked nucleic acid-labeled probes in Gorlin-1 and isogenic control iPSCs. The expression pattern of wild and mutated allele in isogenic control showed similar to another type of control iPSC (WT3). The WT PTCH1 expression level was lower in Gorlin-1 iPSCs than in the isogenic control, whereas the mutated PTCH1 level was higher in Gorlin-1 iPSCs (Figure 4A). Gorlin-1 iPSCs showed higher expression levels of GLI1 and HHIP than the isogenic control (Figure 4B).

Figure 4. Comparison of Osteogenic Capacities between Gorlin iPSCs and Their Isogenic Control iPSCs in the Osteoblast Induction Culture

(A) The mRNA expression of WT and mutated PTCH1 alleles under a serum starvation condition (DMEM supplemented with 0.5% fetal bovine serum) in Gorlin-1, their isogenic control iPSCs, and WT3. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 2 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(B) The mRNA expression of GLI1 and HHIP under the serum starvation condition in Gorlin-1 and their isogenic control iPSCs. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 2 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(C) The mRNA expression of GLI1 and HHIP in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 and their isogenic control iPSCs. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05. The normalized expressions are shown relative to those of isogenic control iPSCs on day 17.

(D) Quantification of RUNX2-positive cells in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 and their isogenic control iPSCs. FCM analysis was performed for RUNX2 at days 10 and 17 of the culture. Blue dotted lines show signal intensities from the staining with the IgG isotype control. Light blue and green lines show signal intensities from the staining with an anti-RUNX2 antibody.

(E) The mRNA expression of osteoblast marker genes in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 and their isogenic control iPSCs. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at the indicated days of the cultures. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(F) Calcification in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 and their isogenic control iPSCs. von Kossa staining was performed at day 17 of the culture.

(G) The mRNA expression of CDX1, FOXO1, ID4, and FOXD1 in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorin 1 and their isogenic control iPSCs. qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.
We compared the osteogenic capacity between Gorlin iPSCs and the isogenic control iPSCs in the osteoblast induction culture. qRT-PCR analysis demonstrated that the expressions of Hh signal-targeting genes, such as GLI1 and HHIP were significantly higher in Gorlin iPSCs than in the isogenic control (Figure 4C). Fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis at days 10 and 17 showed more RUNX2-positive cells among Gorlin iPSCs than among the isogenic control cells (Figure 4D); expressions of the osteogenic markers COL1A1, SP7, and BGLAP showed significant upregulation only in Gorlin iPSCs at days 10 and 17 (Figure 4E). Gorlin iPSCs demonstrated more calcification than the isogenic control cells at day 17 (Figure 4F). We also compared the expression of the four TFs identified by RNA-seq analysis (Figure 3) between Gorlin iPSCs and the isogenic control iPSCs. All four factors, CDX1, FOXO1, ID4, and FOXD1, were upregulated in Gorlin iPSCs compared with the isogenic control cells (Figure 4G). Thus, these findings were consistent with the data obtained from the comparison between Gorlin iPSCs and the irrelevant control iPSCs (Figures 1–3), supporting the positive impact of the Gorlin syndrome-associated PTCH1 mutation on Hh signaling activity and human osteoblastogenesis, and providing clues to the possible mechanisms underlying the impact.

Given that cyclopamine treatment did not completely repress the mineralization in Gorlin iPSCs (Figure 2D), the enhanced mineralization of Gorlin iPSCs cannot be explained by Hh signaling alone. The four TFs that we found here may also be involved in the phenotype of Gorlin iPSCs. We think that non-canonical Hh pathway and/or other pathways, which are secondarily activated or inhibited, may underlie phenotypes caused by PTCH1 mutations.

**Hh Signaling Activation Restores the Impaired Osteoblast Formation in GNAS-Mutated iPSC-Derived Osteoblasts**

In addition to the osteogenic capacities, osteoblastogenesis was prominently enhanced in Gorlin iPSCs. Two genetic diseases associated with osteoblastogenesis, FD and the related disease MAS, have been intensely studied. We recently established an R201H-GNAS-mutated iPSC line, N14 (MAS iPSC) by genetic manipulation using a CRISPR/Cas9 system (T.A., S.O., K.W., A.S., T.S. and T.N., unpublished data). In this study, we set out to analyze the calcification of the MAS iPSCs, in addition to Gorlin iPSCs, to gain insight into the involvement of Hh signaling in the mineralization following osteoblastogenesis.

In von Kossa staining, the level of calcification in MAS iPSC-derived cells was much lower than that in control parental iPSCs at day 17 of the osteoblast induction culture (Figure 5A). Although some reports have described that Wnt activation promotes osteoblastogenesis and mineralization (Kato et al., 2002; Rodda and McMahon, 2006), MAS and FD are characterized by poor osteoblastogenesis with immature mineralized bone with high Wnt activity and low Hh activity (Khan et al., 2018). This suggests that

---

**Figure 5. Hedgehog Activation Rescued a Calcification of GNAS-Mutated MAS iPSC-Derived Osteoblasts**

(A) Calcification in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 iPSCs, their isogenic control iPSCs, MAS iPSCs (N14), and their parental cells (WT3) with or without SAG treatment. von Kossa staining was performed at days 0 and 17 of the osteoblast induction culture. Mineral deposition was detected as black staining.

(B) The mRNA expression of BGLAP in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 iPSCs, their isogenic control iPSCs, MAS iPSCs (N14), and their parental cells (WT3). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(C) The mRNA expression of AXIN2 and TCF7 in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 iPSCs, their isogenic control iPSCs, MAS iPSCs (N14), and their parental cells (WT3). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(D) The mRNA expression of HHIP in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 iPSCs, their isogenic control iPSCs, MAS iPSCs (N14), and their parental cells (WT3). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(E) The mRNA expression of HHIP in the osteoblast induction culture treated with or without SAG of MAS iPSCs (N14) and their parental cells (WT3). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(F) The mRNA expression of AXIN2 and TCF7 in the osteoblast induction culture treated with or without SAG of MAS iPSCs (N14) and their parental cells (WT3). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(G) The mRNA expression of CDX1, FOXO1, ID4, and FOXD1 in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin-1 iPSCs, their isogenic control iPSCs, MAS iPSCs (N14), and their parental cells (WT3). qRT-PCR analysis was performed at day 17 of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05.

(H) Schematic illustration of possible molecular mechanisms underlying phenotypes of osteoblasts derived from MAS iPSCs (top), MAS iPSCs with Hh activators (middle), and Gorlin iPSCs (bottom).
Wnt activation does not always correlate with high osteoblastogenesis and mineralization. Rather, we hypothesized that the low Hh signaling activity might be responsible for the poor osteoblastogenesis and immature bone phenotype of MAS or FD. To test this hypothesis, we treated MAS iPSCs with the SAG to upregulate Hh signaling; SAG treatment enhanced mineralization to the same extent not only in the parental cells, but also in MAS iPSC-derived cells (Figure 5A), supporting the above hypothesis. MAS iPSC-derived cells showed a poor response to the osteogenic induction compared with Gorlin iPSCs, as shown by the expression of BGLAP (Figure 5B). Regarding the mechanistic aspects of the differential response, MAS iPSC-derived cells showed significant upregulation of the canonical Wnt signaling target genes AXIN2 and TCF7 compared with the parental cells in the induction culture, which was consistent with previous findings (Xu et al., 2018), whereas the Gorlin iPSC-derived cells did not (Figure 5C). In contrast, HHIP, an Hh signaling target gene, was upregulated in Gorlin iPSC-derived cells, not in MAS iPSC-derived ones (Figure 5D). The SAG treatment, which restored mineralization, upregulated HHIP and downregulated AXIN2 and TCF7 in MAS iPSC-derived cells (Figures 5E and 5F), suggesting that changes in both Hh and Wnt signaling activities underlie the effect of SAG on osteoblastogenesis.

Next, we investigated the expression levels of the four TFs (CDX1, FOXO1, ID4, and FOXD1) that we found in the earlier experiments, to examine whether any common factors acting downstream of Hh signaling underlay the opposite phenotypes of mineralization in Gorlin iPSCs and MAS iPSCs. CDX1, ID4, and FOXD1, but not FOXO1, were upregulated in MAS iPSC-derived osteoblasts (Figure 5G); the absence of upregulation of FOXO1 may be consistent with low Hh activity caused by GNAS activation in MAS iPSCs, suggesting that FOXO1, the expression of which may be regulated by Hh signaling activity, commonly underlies the mineralization phenotypes following osteoblastogenesis of MAS iPSCs and Gorlin iPSCs.

**DISCUSSION**

Hh signaling is a vital pathway for formation and maintenance of bone tissues. Disruption of Hh signaling virtually disables osteo-chondral tissue formation (Long et al., 2004). In human, PTHC1 mutations cause constitutive Hh signaling activation and give rise to Gorlin syndrome characterized by neoplastic lesions and skeletal abnormalities (Gorlin, 1995; Gorlin and Goltz, 1960). Gorlin syndrome patients often have hyper-mineralization of bones. In contrast, FD, which has constitutive activation of GNAS with GNAS gene mutation (GNAS R201H), often presents poor mineralization. This GNAS mutation is also known as a causative mutation of MAS. We generated two of these genetic disease-specific hiPSCs and attempted to elucidate the central roles of Hh in bone pathophysiology. Here, we present three major findings (Figure 5H).

First, Gorlin iPSCs showed enhanced mineralization with upregulation of Hh activity and specific TFs (full-length GLI3 and FOXO1). Second, MAS iPSCs showed poor mineralization with reduced Hh activity and no upregulation of FOXO1 expression; distinctive TFs acting downstream of Hh signaling, particularly FOXO1, may commonly underlie the phenotypes of the two diseases. Third, Hh activator SAG treatment normalized premature calcification in MAS iPSCs. These data suggest that Hh signaling may play a central role in osteoblast formation and subsequent bone mineralization, and Hh activator SAG can be an effective therapeutic agent for FD found in solitary FD or MAS.

We found that regulations of GLI3 processing from GLI3FL to GLI3rep mediate the biological outcome of Hh signaling in osteoblasts. The biological function of GLI3 processing has been intensively discussed in mouse limb digit patterning. The ratio of GLI3FL to GLI3rep or their gradient balance was proposed to determine the Shh-mediated numbers and specifications of limb (Wang et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2007; Littingtung et al., 2002). Our data showed that GLI3FL, activator of Hh pathway, was dominant and might further have enhanced Gorlin iPSCs osteoblast differentiation.

A number of studies have shown that the Hh and Wnt signaling pathways are functionally antagonistic (He et al., 2006; Katoh and Katoh, 2006; Xu et al., 1998). Wnt activation was reported to upregulate GLI3, a transcriptional repressor, which in turn suppresses Hh signaling (Alvarez-Medina et al., 2008). In addition, GNAS activates protein kinase A (PKA), and PKA activation promotes GLI3 processing to increase GLI3rep (Orellana and McKnight, 1992; Wen et al., 2010). Based on these findings, we propose the following mechanism to explain the impaired calcification in MAS iPSC-derived osteoblastic cells. The cells with constitutively activated GNAS may have more GLI3 expression due to the activation of Wnt signaling; the increased GLI3 may be progressively processed into GLI3rep by GNAS-mediated PKA activation, causing low Hh signaling activity.

Our results also suggest that FOXO1 expression may underlie the functional relationship between Hh and Wnt signaling. Mouse models have highlighted profound influences of FoxOs on bone development. Among the four isoforms, FoxO1 is highly expressed in the skeleton and is also known as a major regulator of osteoblast function and bone metabolism (Ambrogini et al., 2010). FoxO1, 3, and 4 deletion using the Mx1-cre driver line (Ambrogini et al., 2010)
and FoxO1 deletion using the Colla1-Cre driver line (Rached et al., 2010) caused osteoporotic phenotypes in mice. FoxOs promoted maintenance and differentiation of the progenitors into the osteoblast lineage by activation of Runx2 (Ambrogini et al., 2010; Rached et al., 2010). Consistent with these studies, bone volume and bone formation rate were decreased in Bglap-Cre-driven FoxO1-deficient mice, which were related to a decreased number of osteoblasts (Zhang et al., 2018). On the other hand, FoxO1, 3, and 4 deletion in Osterix1 (Sp7)-expressing osteoblast progenitors led to high bone mass phenotypes accompanied with increased proliferation of the progenitors in mice; activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling was observed in the mutants’ progenitors (Iyer et al., 2013). These results suggest that FOXOs have diverse functions at different stages of osteoblast differentiation. In addition, a molecular link between FOXOs and Wnt/β-catenin signaling is also reported in colon cancer cell models, in which FOXOs divert β-catenin from TCF- to FOXO-mediated transcription (Hoogeboom et al., 2008).

Previous studies have revealed an association between the activation of canonical Wnt signaling in osteoblasts and increased bone formation (Day and Yang, 2008). It has been reported that deletion of the murine Wnt antagonist, secreted frizzled-related protein 1, increased the trabecular bone mineral density, volume, and mineral apposition rate due to Wnt activation (Bodine et al., 2004). In contrast, our present study and previous reports showed that constitutive activation of GNAS resulted in poor mineralization despite high Wnt activity, indicating that Wnt activation alone is not sufficient for mineralization. Our results further support the idea that activation of Hh signaling at an early stage of osteogenesis is indispensable for subsequent osteoblast maturation and mineralization, and insufficient Hh signaling is likely to be a major cause of the poor bone maturation of FD observed in MAS. The present results also highlight Hh signaling as a potential therapeutic target to promote maturation of MAS osteoblasts (Figure 5H). Thus, this study is an important first step in the consideration of Hh activators as therapeutic agents for the treatment of FD. Our approach may help not only to investigate the pathogenesis of Gorlin syndrome and MAS, but also to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying tissue development and homeostasis, including the essential roles played by Hh in osteoblast maturation and mineralization.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Ethics**

This study was approved by the ethics committees of Tokyo Dental College (approval no. 533) and the University of Tokyo (approval no. 15-3). Written informed consent was obtained from patients whose cells were isolated and subjected to reprogramming for this study. Data were analyzed anonymously in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Osteoblast Differentiation**

Osteoblast differentiation of hiPSCs was performed as described previously, with minor modifications (Kanke et al., 2014). B27 + ITS medium was used as the basal medium during the differentiation and was supplemented with small molecules for each step. The B27 + ITS medium consisted of DMEM/F12 (no. 11330-032; Gibco) containing 1× MEM non-essential amino acids (no. 11140-050; Gibco), 1× B-27 serum-free supplement (no. 17504-044; Gibco), 1× ITS + 1 liquid media supplement (no. I2521; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (no. 21985-023; Gibco), and penicillin/streptomycin (no. P4458; Sigma-Aldrich). After washing the cells with PBS, the 3-day induction protocol was initiated to differentiate the cells toward a mesoderm lineage. In the induction, cells were cultured on vitronectin-coated dishes in B27 + ITS medium supplemented with 20 μM CHIR99021 (no. 4423; Torcis Bioscience, Bristol, UK) and 5 μM cyclopamine (no. C9710; LKT Laboratories, St. Paul, MN). To induce osteoblast differentiation, we then cultured the hiPSC-derived mesodermal cells for 14 days in B27 + ITS medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL ascorbic acid phosphate (no. A4034; Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (no. G9422; Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 μM dexamethasone (no. 041–18861; Wako, Osaka, Japan), and 1 μM of the heliosxanthin derivative TH (4-(4-methoxyphenyl)pyridol[4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxamide; synthesized by Tokyo Chemical Industry, Tokyo), in the presence or absence of 1 mM SAG (no. 566660; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). The culture medium was replaced daily. For the inhibition of Hh signaling, 0.5 μM cyclopamine was added to the medium.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data are expressed as the mean ± SD. When ANOVA indicated differences among the groups, multiple comparisons among each experimental group were performed using Bonferroni’s method. Statistical significance was defined at p < 0.05.

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

The RNA-seq data obtained in this work are available at the National Bioscience Database Center (NBDC) hum 0107 (JGAS00000000218).
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Figure S1. Confirmation of *PTCH1* mutations in iPSCs derived from patients with Gorlin syndrome. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Heterozygous mutations of the *PTCH1* gene identified in Gorlin-1 iPSCs (1338 del CTCA, frameshift) and Gorlin-2 iPSCs (codon: 652 G>A, missense) by sequencing analysis. Sequencing data of the control WT1 and WT12 iPSCs showed a wild-type *PTCH1* gene sequence.

(B) Expression of pluripotency markers (NANOG and SSEA4) in WT1, Gorlin-1 and Gorlin-2 iPSCs adapted to Essential 8 medium. Immunostaining was performed using specific antibodies against the two proteins. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Bars: 100 μm.
Figure S2

(A) A schematic of our original, previously reported protocol for osteoblast differentiation. (B-D) The mRNA expression of pluripotency marker genes (B), osteoblast marker genes (C), and Hh signaling target genes (D) in the osteoblast induction culture of WT iPSCs. RT-qPCR analysis was performed at the indicated days of the cultures. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments.
Figure S3. Expression of pluripotency and mesoderm markers at the mesoderm induction stage of the stepwise osteoblast induction culture of hiPSCs. Related to Figure 1.

The mRNA expression of the pluripotency marker *POU5F1* (A) and the mesoderm marker *MIXL1* (B) in the mesoderm induction stage of WT and Gorlin iPSCs. RT-qPCR analysis was performed at the indicated days of the culture. Data are the means ± SD from three independent experiments using two lines (1 and 2) for each type of iPSCs. (C) Calcification in the osteoblast induction culture of WT3 with or without SAG treatment. von Kossa staining was performed at days 0 and 17 of the culture. Mineral deposition was detected as black staining. (D) Calcification in the osteoblast induction culture of Gorlin 1 and 2 iPSCs with or without SAG. von Kossa staining was performed at day 17 of the culture. Mineral deposition was detected as black staining.
Figure S4. Clustering analysis of expression profiling data obtained by RNA-seq. Related to Figure 3.

(A) The data was analyzed by gene diff data in the Cuffdiff program.

(B) A schematic pipeline of the analysis and criteria for identifying differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between WT1 and Gorlin-1 cells differentiated from iPSCs. RNA-seq data were obtained at day 17 of the culture.
Figure S5. Generation of an isogenic control for Gorlin-1 iPSCs using genome editing. Related to Figure 4.

(A) Design of guide RNA in CRISPR/double-guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting of the PTCH1 gene on chromosome 9.

(B) Targeting strategy to correct the mutation in Gorlin-1 iPSCs by CRISPR-mediated genome editing.

(C) Confirmation of correct targeting in iPSC clones by PCR typing. The presence of the 5.3-kb band indicates correct targeting.

(D) Confirmation of correction of the PTCH1 mutation in clone 9 by sequencing analysis.

(E) Comparison of morphology between Gorlin-1 iPSCs and their isogenic control clone 9 iPSCs.
Table S3. Primers used for detection of mutations. Related to Figure 1 and 4.

| Primer name | Sequence              | Description                                           |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| PTCF2-1     | gaggcagtggaaactgcttc  | PCR typing for case 1                                 |
| PTCF23-2    | acgagacagcataatggc    | PCR typing for case 1                                 |
| PTCF23-1    | gtgctgtgcaggttgg      | Sequencing for case 1                                 |
| PTCF27      | ctattgtgtatccatggcagg | PCR typing for case 2                                 |
| PTCR21      | tggaaacaaacgaatgaaacaa| PCR typing for case 2                                 |
| PTCF20      | gagaatatgttcttgttttca | Sequencing for case 2                                 |
| Neo_F2      | gcggatccggaaaccttaat  | Checking the insert size of the isogenic control (Fig. S5C) |
| Exon12out_rev | tttgccgtcaggaagccat  | Checking the insert size of the isogenic control (Fig. S5C) |
Table S4. Primers used in this study. Related to Figure 1 to 5.

| Gene Name | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| REX1      | cagttgttcttagaacag | ctgcaggtcttgcttgact |
| POU5F1    | gaaggtgaagcctggag | gaagatgtgtagctggcttct |
| MIXL1     | ggatcaggtatggttccag | ggccaggccagttcactata |
| COL1A1    | ttgctaaagtttccattgtt | ctctgctttctctctctt |
| SP7       | aagctgtcttggttgc | gacctccacatgggcagat |
| BGLAP     | ggcagcgagtagtgaaagag | agcagagcagacccctagac |
| GLI1      | ccagccagagaccaacag | cccgcttttgctcaacct |
| PTCH1     | gcaacttcagagacttggttc | agaaagggacactgggctac |
| HHIP      | aagtgtcgctgcacgtcttggtag | cccagtttagatccaaagaccc |
| ID4       | ttgctgctgcttaatttg | tgcatacagacagccact |
| FOXO1     | aaggtgaacacacagacag | ttctcttattcttcagacag |
| CDX1      | acgcctcaaggtgagagag | tgtcttggtcgcagtttac |
| FOXD1     | gactgtccacaggcaggaag | caatgtgaactctagcagtaag |
| TCF7      | cccacactgtgagctgggt | cactcctgtctcctga |
| AXIN2     | gctgtcaggtgatccatgctg | acctgccacagataagag |
| GAPDH     | gaaggtgaagttcggagttca | gaagatgtgtagctggagtct |

| LNA probe PCR | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer | probe |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| PTCH1exon9    | cctctctagtacagctgtca | gacctgagcagtcacctc |  |
| PTCH1 WT allele |                       |                  | egcctactaCTCatgc |
| PTCH1 MT allele |                       |                  | ctcattTGCTctgctta |

Bold characters in the probe sequence are locked nucleotide acids (LNAs).
Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Maintenance of hiPSCs

hiPSC lines derived from patients with Gorlin syndrome were established at Tokyo Dental College as described previously (Hasegawa et al., 2017). Briefly, fibroblasts derived from the patients were reprogrammed by Sendai virus-mediated transduction with Yamanaka’s four factors on feeder cells. hiPSCs with GNAS mutation (N14) were established by genome editing using a CRISPER-Cas9 system as described previously (in preparation). As control hiPSC lines that were not relevant to Gorlin syndrome, we used an hiPSC line established by lentivirally transducing human dermal fibroblasts (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) with human OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and MYC genes (WT1) (Kanke et al., 2014) as well as the cell lines 201B7 hiPSC (WT2) and NiPS (WT3), which were obtained from RIKEN Bioresource Center (Morita et al., 2015; Ono et al., 2012). hiPSCs were maintained in Essential 8 medium (#A1517001; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) or StemFit medium (AK02N; Ajinomoto, Tokyo) on vitronectin-coated culture dishes in 5% CO2 at 37 °C. 0.5 mM EDTA (#15575-020; Gibco, Grand Island, NY) was used for the passage of colonies.

Genomic analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from iPSCs using a Genome DNA mini kit (#K182001; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA from each patient’s tissue and iPSCs were amplified with primers for exons 9 and 4, both of which had responsible mutations in case 1 and 2 (Onodera et al., 2017) (Johnson et al., 1996). Amplified products were cycle-sequenced with a BigDye™ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (#4337455; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in both directions. The sequence was analyzed on an ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). The primer and probe sequences are listed in Table S3.

Flow cytometry

iPSCs and iPSC-derived cells that had cultured for 10 or 17 days under the differentiation condition were treated with 0.5 mg/ml collagenase (#032-10534; Wako) in DMEM/F12 for 20 minutes at 37 °C, and then trypsinized with 0.05% trypsin–EDTA for 15 minutes at 37 °C. The digested cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at 37 °C. For permeabilization of cells, the fixed cells were treated with 90% menthol for 30 minutes on ice. They were then incubated with a phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-human RUNX2 antibody (#98059; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) or a PE-conjugated isotype control (#5742; Cell Signaling Technology) for 60 minutes at room temperature in the dark. After the incubation, the cells were washed three times with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS, passed through a 40-mm mesh filter, and maintained in the dark until flow cytometric analysis. Data were acquired on a BD LSRII (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analyzed using FlowJo ver.10 software (Becton-Dickinson).

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (#15596018; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and was reverse-transcribed into single-strand complementary DNA using a ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix kit (#FSQ-301; Toyobo, Tokyo) according to
the manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR (40 cycles of amplification) was performed using a FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master kit (#4913850; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). mRNA expression from wild-type or mutated PTCH1 allele was analyzed using Ex-Taq polymerase (Takara Bio) in combination with an LNA probe, which was designed to detect the expression in an allele-specific manner. The expression of target genes was normalized to that of the reference gene (GAPDH), and the normalized expressions are shown relative to those of calibrator samples (WT1 or isogenic control iPSCs on day 0) unless otherwise indicated; the data of the calibrator samples represent the 1× expression of target genes normalized to GAPDH. PCRs were run in duplicate. The primer and probe sequences are listed in Table S4.

Detection of calcification
Cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 minutes at room temperature, and washed three times with water. For von Kossa staining, fixed cells were exposed to ultraviolet light for 5 minutes in 5% silver nitrate solution. After rinsing with distilled water several times, the cells were incubated in 5% sodium thiosulfate solution for 2-3 minutes. After staining, the cells were washed three times with distilled water, and the images were analyzed.

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis
Total RNA was isolated from the cells cultured for 17 days as described above. An RNA-seq library was then constructed using a SureSelect Strand-Specific RNA Library Preparation Kit (#G9691; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Adapters were ligated for paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500. We obtained 125-bp mate-paired reads from DNA fragments with an average length of 250 bp. RNA-seq reads from each sample were separately aligned to the human genome (GRCh37/hg19) using TopHat software (version 2.1.1)(Trapnell et al., 2009). Splice junctions were automatically determined by TopHat, with the provided guidance of annotated gene models (GTF file) obtained mainly from Ensembl (http://www.ensembl.org). The resulting alignment data from TopHat were then fed to Cufflinks (version 2.2.1)(Trapnell et al., 2012) to assemble the aligned RNA-seq reads into transcripts. Transcript abundances were measured in Fragments Per Kilobase of exon per Million fragments mapped (FPKM), a unit of measurement based on the concept of RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase per Million)(Mortazavi et al., 2008). Finally, the Cuffdiff program was used to define differential expression to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs). DEGs were analyzed by DAVID(Huang et al., 2008) and PANTHER version 11.0 (http://www.pantherdb.org/)(Mi et al., 2013, 2017) in order to determine the functional enrichment of Gene Ontology (GO) terms(Ashburner et al., 2000) and classify the proteins. The differential expression analysis was presented graphically using the CummeRbund package (version 3.6) included in the Bioconductor project (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/cummeRbund.html).

Cas9 Nickase/sgRNA design and construction
We explored the sgRNA using the CRISPR Design bioinformatics tool against the human PTCH1 locus located in chromosome 9. Complete sequences of the target sites of the sgRNA are provided in Fig. S4A. The targeting donor vector was constructed based on a DT-A/conditional knockout FW plasmid (RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Kobe,
Japan) with several modifications. The wild-type sequence was artificially synthesized by the DNA supplier Genewiz and inserted into the restriction enzyme-digested plasmid.

**Cas9 Nickase/sgRNA transfections for reversion in iPSCs**

Transfection of the Cas9 Nickase/sgRNA plasmid into iPSCs was performed as described previously (Li et al., 2016). Electroporation was performed using a Neon Transfection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, iPSCs were harvested by treating with 0.5 mM EDTA solution. Cells ($1 \times 10^6$) were suspended in 100 μl electroporation buffer containing 5 μg of the donor plasmid and 5 μg of the Cas9 Nickase/sgRNA expression plasmid, and electroporated (condition: 1050 V, 20 ms, two pulses). After electroporation, the cells were plated on a vitronectin-coated 6-well plate and cultured in Essential 8 medium supplemented with 1 X RevitaCell™ Supplement (#A2644501; Thermo Fisher Scientific) until the first passage. Geneticin selection (400 μg/ml) was started at 48 hours after electroporation. Individual colonies were picked up and expanded for 7 days after electroporation. Only 1 out of 105 clones were established as the isogenic control by this method.

**Generation of MAS iPSCs**

The MAS–associated iPSCs were established previously [in preparation]. Briefly, we explored the single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) using the CRISPR Design (http://crispr.mit.edu/) bioinformatics tool against the human GNAS1 locus located on chromosome 20. A pair of sgRNAs was designed within the intron between exons 7 and 8. The targeting donor vector was constructed based on Gateway cloning technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with several modified plasmids (pDONRP3P1R, pDONRP2rP4, pENTR, pDEST) kindly provided by Dr. Sone (TaKaRa, Japan). The left arm of the target vector was designed to contain exon 7 and an intron between exon 6 and exon 7 of the GNAS gene (NG_016194.1). The right arm was designed to contain exons 8–10 with codon 201 substitution with histidine. All fragments were inserted into the individual vectors by BP or LR clonase according to the instructions. We then transfected the CRISPR/sgRNA plasmids and targeting vector into NiPSC-B2 (HSP0223). Electroporation was performed using a Neon Transfection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Puromycin selection (0.25 μg /ml: Thermo Fisher Scientific) was started from day 7 after electroporation. Individual colonies were picked and expanded after 14 days.
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