A Derivatives BLBQ Hamiltonian

We start from the classical BLBQ Hamiltonian:

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} J_{ij} \left[ \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + \beta_{ij} (\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j)^2 \right]. \quad (A.1) \]

The first derivative of Eq. A.1 respect to \( \mathbf{S}_m \) is given by

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{S}_m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} J_{ij} \left( \delta_{im} \mathbf{S}_j + \delta_{jm} \mathbf{S}_i + 2\beta_{ij} (\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j) [\delta_{im} \mathbf{S}_j + \delta_{jm} \mathbf{S}_i] \right) =
\sum_{j(j \neq m)} J_{jm} \left[ \mathbf{S}_j + 2\beta_{jm} (\mathbf{S}_m \cdot \mathbf{S}_j) \mathbf{S}_j \right], \quad (A.2)
\]

and the second derivative is given by

\[
\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mathbf{S}_m \partial \mathbf{S}_n} = J_{mn} \left( 1 + 2\beta_{mn} (\mathbf{S}_n \cdot \mathbf{S}_m) \right) \delta \mathbf{S}_n \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_m. \quad (A.3)
\]

where \( \delta \) is the dyadic product. Notice that the interaction energy between \( \mathbf{S}_n \) and \( \mathbf{S}_m \) is given by

\[
\delta E^{(2)}_{nm} = \delta \mathbf{S}_m \cdot \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mathbf{S}_m \partial \mathbf{S}_n} \delta \mathbf{S}_n =
J_{mn} \left( (\delta \mathbf{S}_m \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_n) + 2\beta_{nm} [(\delta \mathbf{S}_m \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_n) (\mathbf{S}_m \cdot \mathbf{S}_n) + (\delta \mathbf{S}_m \cdot \mathbf{S}_m) (\mathbf{S}_m \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_n)] \right), \quad (A.4)
\]

where \( \delta \mathbf{S}_m \) is the infinitesimal variation of \( \mathbf{S}_m \). In a collinear model \( \mathbf{S}_n \parallel \mathbf{S}_m \) we get that for transversal spin fluctuations \( \delta \mathbf{S}_n \cdot \mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{S}_n \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_m = 0 \) it is obtained

\[
\delta E^{(2)}_{nm} = J_{nm} \left[ 1 + 2\beta_{nm} (\mathbf{S}_n \cdot \mathbf{S}_m) \right] \delta \mathbf{S}_n \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_m. \quad (A.5)
\]
B Singlet-Triplet Splitting

The energy splitting $\Delta E_{ST} = E(S = 1) - E(S = 0)$ of the singlet and triplet states lying deep in the Haldane gap can be obtained by quantizing the spin Hamiltonian (A.1). As we argue in the main text introducing a coupling $J_{1N}$ between the spins on the edge of the chain a transition between the singlet and triplet state can be evoked. In Fig. 1 we show the energy splitting $\Delta E_{ST}$ in terms of $J_{1N}$ for various chain lengths. It can be observed that the splitting is linear in the coupling. Also it is evident that longer chains require weaker coupling in order to drive them through the singlet-triplet transition. The energy scale of the singlet/triplet splitting can be tuned in the order of a couple of meVs, i. e., the splitting is easily resolved in experiments.

Figure 1: Singlet triplet energy-splitting as a function $J_{1N}/J$ for $N = 5, 9$ and $11$ chain lengths, all having $J = 19.75$ meV and $\beta = 0.05$. 
C  Electric Dipole on the Junction

In the main text we state that the electric tunability of the coupling constant $J_{1N}$ is proportional to the dipole moment associated with the junction. In Fig. 2 we corroborate this statement by calculating the coupling constant in terms of the applied electric field and the calculated electric dipole. As it can be observed there is, to a good approximation, a linear relation between $J_{1N}$ and the induced dipole moment $P_y$. Performing a linear fit to the data points as function of $P_y - P_y^{(0)}$ where $P_y^{(0)}$ is the electric dipole at zero external field, we obtain $J_{1N}/J = -0.038 \text{ (e\cdot Å)}^{-1} (P_y - P_y^{(0)}) + 0.081$.

Figure 2: $J_{1N}$ parameter as a function of the applied electric field and the total electric dipole on the junction.
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Recently, graphene triangulene chains have been synthesized and their magnetic response has been analyzed by STM methods by Mishra and coworkers (Nature 598, 287 (2021)). Motivated by this study, we determine the exchange bilinear and biquadratic constants of the triangulene chains by calculating two-spin rotations in the spirit of the magnetic force theorem. We then analyze open-ended, odd-numbered chains, whose edge states pair up forming a triplet ground state. We propose three experimental approaches that enable us to trigger and control a singlet-triplet spin transition. Two of these methods are based on applying a mechanical distortion to the chain. We finally show that the transition can be controlled efficiently by the application of an electric field.

I. INTRODUCTION

Simple spin models have played a key role in the formulation and comprehension of the basic principles of magnetism and statistical mechanics since the early days of quantum theory [1, 2]. The interest in these models and in the systems realizing them persists today due to their connection to many topological properties of matter [3, 4], as well as their potential to become the building blocks of viable and robust quantum computers [5, 6]. Infinite quantum antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin-1 chains have a singlet ground state and a gap in their excitation spectrum [3]. This is because each atomic spin fractionizes into two spin-1/2 states, and each spin-1/2 state entangles with another one at a neighbor site forming a singlet. The spin-1 chain therefore decomposes into a set of singlet dimers [7, 8]. Further numerical work [8–10] on the open-ended bilinear-biquadratic (BLBQ) nearest neighbor model

\[ H_{BLBQ} = J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [\hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_{i+1} + \beta (\hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_{i+1})^2] \]  

(1)

has shown that a spin-1/2 edge excitation appears at each of the two ends, whose energy lies inside the Haldane gap. These edge states entangle into a singlet and a triplet, whereby the singlet/triplet is the ground state for even/odd N-chains, and the singlet-triplet energy splitting \( \Delta E_{GT} = E(S = 1) - E(S = 0) \) decays exponentially with the chain length. Theoretical and experimental works have explored already the potential of the singlet/triplet transition of these edge modes for storage and manipulation of quantum information [5, 11–13]. However, efforts to realize unequivocally quantum spin-1 chains have been hindered by a variety of factors among which the magnetic anisotropy arising from the spin-orbit interaction is possible the most relevant one. Recently, graphene triangulenes (GT) have been synthesized as single molecules [14, 15], or forming chains [16], where due to Lieb’s theorem [17, 18] each triangulene block is characterized by a robust spin-1 magnetic moment. Because the constituent carbon atoms have a negligible spin-orbit interaction, GT chains are faithful realizations of the open-ended spin-1 quantum AFM chain model embodied in Eq. (1).

We propose in this article three experimental approaches to trigger and control a singlet-triplet transition for odd-numbered AFM spin-1 GT chains. The proposals are based on the experimental bottom-up approach of Ref. Mishra et al. [16] that leads to chains of many different lengths and shapes. Specifically, horseshoe-shaped chains of different lengths were synthesized, see the example sketched in Fig. 1 (a). Because increasing the length \( N \) of the chain increases its ductility, the two ends of the...
chain can be brought in close proximity, which in turn introduces an exchange coupling $J_{1N}$ between the two magnetic degrees of freedom localized at the edges. Since the ground state of odd-numbered chains is a triplet, while that of a cyclic chain is a singlet, there must be a critical $J_{1N}^c$ separating the two ground states, as depicted in Fig. 1(b).

The experimental feasibility of the proposals is ensured by the ability to manipulate and measure spectroscopically graphene nanostructures by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM) methods [16, 19–21]. We establish first the requirements for a triplet-singlet level crossing via exact diagonalization. We then use a first principles approach to map GT chains to one-dimensional spin-1 Heisenberg chains and extract the corresponding exchange constants. The main prediction of our study is that the critical inter-edge constant $J_{1N}$ can be reached by experimentally feasible mechanisms, especially, by the application of an external electric field.

II. METHOD

A. Exact Diagonalization

We compute here the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{BLBQ} + J_{1N} \hat{S}_N \cdot \hat{S}_1$$

(2)

for odd-$N$ chains from $N = 3$ to 15. Our calculations for $J_{1N} = 0$ show that a singlet and a triplet edge states lie inside the Haldane gap as expected, the triplet being the ground state.

We search for the critical value $J_{1N}^c$ of the exchange constant, that renders a four-fold degenerate ground state. Fig. 2(a) shows that $J_{1N}^c/J$ decays exponentially with $N$. Fig. 2(b) demonstrates that larger values of $\beta$ facilitate reaching the critical $J_{1N}^c$. All in all, we find that the singlet-triplet crossing happens at reasonably small values of $J_{1N}/J \sim 0.01$ – 0.2 if $N$ is larger than 7, and for values of the biquadratic parameter $\beta$ relevant for the GT chains extracted both experimentally [16] and in the first principles mapping presented below.

The exponential decay of $J_{1N}^c$ with $N$ means that the singlet-triplet energy splitting $\Delta E_{ST}$ also decays with $N$. We find that values of $\Delta E_{ST}$ larger than about 1 meV require exchange constants $J_{1N}/J > 0.1$ (calculations with many values of $N$ are shown at [22]). We show in the next section that the energy scale of the singlet/triplet splitting can be tuned in the order of a few meV, so that it should be easily resolved experimentally using spectroscopic methods.

B. Ab initio simulations of GT chains

We have carried out Density Functional Theory (DFT) simulations of GT chains having an odd number of GTs, as shown in Fig. 1 (a). Each GT contains 22 carbon atoms, and has zigzag edges where each edge carbon atom has been passivated with hydrogen. We have used the DFT package SIESTA [23], with the Generalized Gradient Approximation [24]. We have used established pseudopotentials for carbon and hydrogen, and strict accuracy tolerances such as a real-space grid cutoff of 500 Ry. We have first confirmed that the total spin of a single isolated GT is $s = S/\hbar = 1$ via a Mulliken analysis. We have then simulated odd-numbered chains possessing AFM spin alignment. We have found that the total charge and spin of each GT in the chain are the same as those of an isolated GT up to four-five decimal digits. We therefore conclude that charge fluctuations among GTs are frozen, and that the low-energy sector of the Hilbert space of each GT corresponds to that of a quantum spin-1 degree of freedom.

C. Mapping to the BLBQ model

We can extract the bilinear ($J$) and biquadratic ($\beta$) constants that couple the spin-1 degrees associated to GTs, by making use of the fact that in absence of spin-orbit coupling any collinear state is either stable or metastable. The energy cost of infinitesimal rotations of the spins from their collinear reference states at two different GTs ($n \neq m$) in a chain can be expanded to second order as [22]

$$\delta E_{nm}^{(2)} = D_{nm}^{(2)} \delta \mathbf{S}_n \cdot \delta \mathbf{S}_m$$

(3)

where

$$D_{nm}^{(2)} = J_{nm} (1 + 2 \beta_{nm} (\mathbf{S}_n \cdot \mathbf{S}_m)) .$$

(4)

We apply the generalization of the LKAG formula to the case of a non-orthonormal basis set [25] to determine $D_{nm}^{(2)}$, in the spirit of the magnetic force theorem [26–28]. We compute $D_{nm}^{(2)}$ for both the FM and AFM reference
spin configurations to solve for $J_{nm}$ and $\beta_{nm}$. This yields

$$J_{nm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( D_{nm}^{(2), FM} + D_{nm}^{(2), AFM} \right)$$

(5)

$$\beta_{nm} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{D_{nm}^{(2), FM} - D_{nm}^{(2), AFM}}{D_{nm}^{(2), FM} + D_{nm}^{(2), AFM}}.$$ 

(6)

Our results for the nearest-neighbor constants of an infinite GT chain and a GT dimer are shown in Table I. We have also written in the Table the values obtained experimentally in Ref. [16], where STM data were used to fit the spectrum of Eq. (1). Albeit our procedure gives somewhat higher values for both $J$ and $\beta$, the agreement between our parameter-free first-principles approach and the experimental fittings of Ref. [16] is remarkable.

Our method allows us to determine the exchange constants between any two GT sites $n$ and $m$ in the chain. We have therefore computed the next-nearest neighbor constants $J_{n+2,m}$ and $\beta_{n+2,m}$ and found that they are three to four orders of magnitude smaller than the nearest-neighbor parameters $J$ and $\beta$, providing compelling evidence of the accurate realization of open-ended and cyclic nearest-neighbor quantum AFM chains by the GT chains synthesized in Ref. [16].

TABLE I. Nearest-neighbor $J$ and $\beta$ constants for a GT dimer, for an infinite GT chain obtained from Eqs. (6) and from the fit to STM experiments performed in Ref. [16].

|                | Dimer | Infinite Chain | Experiment |
|----------------|-------|----------------|------------|
| $J$ (meV)      | 17.7  | 19.75          | 18         |
| $\beta$        | 0.03  | 0.05           | 0.09       |

III. CONTROL OVER THE SINGLET-TRIPLET TRANSITION

Our first two proposals are based on the assumption that the exchange constant $J_{1N}$ can be modified by manipulating the distance between the ends of the GT chains. To achieve realistic values for $J_{1N}$ we select horseshoe-like chains of lengths in the range $N \in [7, 15]$. We have chosen to demonstrate numerically our proposals for a $N = 11$ chain, because in this case the singlet-triplet splitting is of the order of a few meV, so that it should be measurable by spectroscopic STM methods [16, 19, 20]. We have hence checked whether we can increase $J_{1N}$ by bringing the ends of the horseshoe chain sufficiently close. We have found that $J_{1N} \sim J_{1N}$ requires forces of the order of one hundred meV/Å, which may be realized in STM experiments [16, 20].

Within the first proposal, we assume that the distance $d_{H-H}$ between the closest hydrogen atoms at the two chain ends can be changed in a controlled way (see the inset in Fig. 3 for a graphical definition of $d_{H-H}$). We have therefore computed $J_{1N}$ as a function of $d_{H-H}$. As expected, $J_{1N}$ increases exponentially with decreasing $d_{H-H}$. Consequently, the energy difference between the triplet and singlet states decreases and, as seen in Fig. 3(b), the $N = 11$ horseshoe GT chain experiences a singlet-triplet level crossing at $d_{H-H} \sim 1.6 \text{ Å}$. The force needed to bring the two dimers in Fig. 3 to $d_{H-H} \sim 1.6 \text{ Å}$ is of about 0.1 eV/Å. To achieve a splitting $\Delta E_{ST}$ of about 1 meV, we need to reduce the distance further to $d_{H-H} \sim 1.45 \text{ Å}$, which require the application of higher forces of about 0.5 eV/Å. This is a lower bound to the full required force that does not take into account the tensile stress caused by the deformation inside the full horseshoe chain. However, we expect that this contribution should not dominate for chains as long as $N = 11$. Linking atoms such as nitrogen, sulfur, phosphorous or oxygen to metallic surfaces or to graphene edges is routinely done in areas such as molecular electronics [29].

Our second proposal is similar to the first one but now both closest hydrogen atoms at the GT ends are replaced by a single sulfur atom that links the ends of the chain as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 4. Our DFT simulations show that the sulfur atom does not change the magnetic moment of the two adjacent GTs. We then compute $J_{1N}$ as a function of the distance $\Delta d$.

![Figure 3](image-url)
relative to the equilibrium distance of the two edges. Our results, depicted in Fig. 4(b), demonstrate that the singlet-triplet level crossing can be triggered by closing the structure by about 0.3 Å. We find forces now of order 0.7 eV/Å for \( \Delta d \sim -0.4 \) Å, where \( \Delta E_{ST} \sim 1.5 \) meV.

The third proposal is based on the observation that the sulfur atom introduces an electric dipole at the chain weak link that renders \( J_{1N} \) susceptible to an external electric field \( E \). Our first-principles simulations confirm that this is the case, \( E \) being most effective when pointing along the symmetry axis of the horseshoe-shaped GT chain. We plot in Fig. 5 the energies of the singlet and triplet states, as well as the exchange constant \( J_{1N} \) as a function of \( E_y \). Indeed, we find that there is a level crossing from singlet to triplet at about \( E_y \sim 0.1 \) V/Å. We find a threshold coupling \( J_{1N} \sim 0.06 J \) that agrees well with the estimates from our exact diagonalization studies for \( N = 11 \) and \( \beta = 0.05 \), shown in Fig. 2(a). Our numerical study provides strong evidence that the singlet-triplet transition can be sensitively controlled by an electric field. To clarify the physical origin of the electric-field based mechanism, we have first checked that the spin of each GT remains equal to 1 and that the exchange constant \( J \) between the GTs in the chain changes only by about 1-2 meV even for the largest simulated fields. We have also checked that the electric field does not affect the chain geometry even around the sulfur atom. We have then investigated the redistribution of atomic Mulliken charges at the terminating GTs when the sulfur atom is present at the junction. We have then found that the combined influence of the sulfur atom and the electric field induces an internal dipole in those GTs. Further calculations in [22] show that \( J_{1N} \) is linearly proportional not only to the external electric field but also to the electric dipole moment at the junction.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

We have demonstrated that GT chains are faithful realizations of the nearest neighbor spin-1 AFM chain by combining spin-model simulations with first-principles calculations. We have advanced three proposals for experiments that may trigger and control the singlet-triplet transition of odd-numbered chains, thus opening the door for their future use as quantum devices. Our calculations indicate that the application of an external electric field is particularly feasible.
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