Large Area X-Ray Proportional Counter (LAXPC) Instrument on AstroSat and Some Preliminary Results from its Performance in the Orbit
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Abstract. Large area X-ray propositional counter (LAXPC) instrument on AstroSat is aimed at providing high time resolution X-ray observations in 3–80 keV energy band with moderate energy resolution. To achieve large collecting area, a cluster of three co-aligned identical LAXPC detectors, is used to realize an effective area in excess of ∼6000 cm² at 15 keV. The large detection volume of the LAXPC detectors, filled with xenon gas at ∼2 atmosphere pressure, results in detection efficiency greater than 50%, above 30 keV. In this article, we present salient features of the LAXPC detectors, their testing and characterization in the laboratory prior to launch and calibration in the orbit. Some preliminary results on timing and spectral characteristics of a few X-ray binaries and other type of sources, are briefly discussed to demonstrate that the LAXPC instrument is performing as planned in the orbit.
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1. Introduction

AstroSat is India’s first satellite fully devoted to astronomical studies. It is a multiwavelength observatory which covers 5 decades in energy from ∼1 eV to 100 keV by means of 4 coaligned instruments capable of simultaneous observations in optical, Near-UV (NUV), Far-UV (FUV), soft X-ray (0.3–8 keV) and hard X-ray (3–100 keV) bands. There is also a Scanning Sky X-ray Monitor (SSM) to monitor variability of known and new cosmic X-ray sources in 2–10 keV. An early description of AstroSat instruments and their characteristics can be found in Agrawal (2006) and a more recent account has been given by Singh et al. (2014). Multiwavelength observation capability is a unique feature of AstroSat which distinguishes it from other space observatories. The ability to study a cosmic source simultaneously in several spectral regions can probe origin of radiation in different spectral regions, their emission regions and processes and interrelationship among them. This is especially valuable in the studies of Active Galactic Nuclei and black hole X-ray binaries, which radiate over a broad spectral region and have complex multicomponent energy spectra.
In this article, we focus on the LAXPC instrument, its salient characteristics, performance in orbit and highlight some of the results obtained during the performance verification phase.

2. Science goals of LAXPC instrument

LAXPC instrument was conceived with the following objectives in mind:

- Intensity variations over a wide range of time scales is the most prominent characteristic of accreting X-ray binaries. Neutron binaries exhibit X-ray pulsations with periods of ∼millisecond to about 1000 s. Detection and detailed measurements of pulsations and binary periods, study of pulse profiles and their energy dependence, measurement of spin-up and spin-down rates of the pulsar and their time variations are important to probe details of the accretion process, geometry of the system and accretion torque acting on the neutron star.

- Quasi Periodic Oscillations (QPOs) are a common feature of most black hole and neutron star Low Mass X-ray Binaries (LMXBs). They have so far been studied mostly below 10 keV due to lack of sensitivity at higher energy. LAXPC with its large collecting area at higher energy and time tagging of each photon accurate to about 10 μs or better, will be able to detect low- and high-frequency QPOs (up to a few kHz) in LMXBs and study time lag between QPOs of different energies (Yadav et al. 2016b). Detection of high frequency QPOs in black hole binaries will provide a tool to probe spins of the black holes.

- Due to its wide spectral response (3–80 keV) and fair energy resolution (~12% FWHM at 30 keV) this instrument will measure multi-component continuum spectra of all types of X-ray sources and detect break energy in X-ray pulsars. An important goal is to detect Cyclotron Resonant Scattering Features (CRSF) in the spectra of X-ray pulsars, which typically occur in 10–60 keV region, to probe magnetic fields of the accreting neutron stars. A detailed study of variation in the energy of CRSFs with the X-ray luminosity, pulse phase dependent changes in the line profiles etc are within the capability of LAXPC.

- Binary periods and their evolution during Type I and Type II X-ray bursts, study of thermonuclear bursts and burst oscillations in the rising and decay phase are some additional objectives.

The realization of these objectives requires an instrument with wider spectral response having low energy threshold of 2–3 keV, large collecting area at low and high energies (>15 keV), and capability to measure arrival time of each photon accurate to about 10 μs or better. The highly successful Proportional Counter Array (PCA) on Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) had some of these features but its spectral response was essentially limited due to rapid fall in the effective area of the PCA above 15 keV. The Imager on-Board INTEGRAL Satellite (IBIS), a Cadmium Telluride array-based instrument on INTEGRAL and Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) on Swift have large effective area in hard X-ray band (20–200 keV) but their low energy threshold is about 15 keV and timing accuracy is also limited. Due to this they miss detecting most of the photon flux that lies below 15 keV severely constraining their capability for measuring rapid variability like high frequency QPOs, millisecond pulsations, etc. LAXPC was designed to have all these features missing in the earlier missions.

3. LAXPC instrument and its principal features

Yadav et al. (2016a) have presented details of LAXPC instrument and its characteristics (see also Yadav et al. 2017). A detailed account of the detector design and characteristics will be discussed by Agrawal et al. (2017). Complete details of calibration of the three LAXPC units, comparison of the calibration results with those obtained by GEANT4 simulations, derivation of important parameters, background rates and their variations with the orbital parameters, etc. have been comprehensively discussed by Antia et al. (2017).

The LAXPC instrument consists of three identical proportional counters having multilayer geometry. Each detector has independent front-end and event processing electronics units as well as command controllable High Voltage (HV) unit to make three independent detector units to ensure redundancy. The LAXPC units have the following characteristics summarized in Table 1.

- The energy range of LAXPC covers 3–80 keV. The lower energy threshold arises from the cut-off due to negligible X-ray transmission of 50 μm thick aluminized Mylar below 3 keV. The higher energy threshold arises from the detection efficiency which drops rapidly at higher energies. If one takes into account the escape of xenon
Table 1. Summary of the main characteristics of the LAXPC instrument.

| Characteristic                                      | Value                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Number of LAXPC units                                | 3                                         |
| Size of X-ray detection volume                       | 100 cm long × 36 cm wide × 15 cm deep      |
| Number of anode cells                                | 60 arranged in 5 layers each with 12 anode cells |
| Size of each anode cell                              | 3 cm wide × 3 cm deep × 100 cm long        |
| X-ray entrance window                               | 50 μm thick aluminized Mylar               |
| Anode wire                                          | 37 μm diameter gold-coated stainless steel |
| Ground plane cathode wires                          | 50 μm diameter beryllium–copper wires      |
| Detector gas                                        | 90% xenon and 10% methane at a total pressure of 1520 torr |
| Field-of-View                                       | \( \sim 0.9^\circ \times 0.9^\circ \) at \( E < 20 \) keV |
| Energy response                                     | 3–80 keV                                   |
| Typical energy resolution                           | \( \sim 12\% \) FWHM at 22 keV (almost energy independent above 22 keV) |
| Effective area in 3–15 keV                           | \( \sim 6000 \) cm\(^2\)                  |
| Effective area in 33–60 keV                          | \( \sim 5000 \) cm\(^2\)                  |

K-fluorescent photons, a substantial fraction of 80 keV events arise by interaction of \( \sim 110 \) keV incident X-rays.

- It has high counting rate capability to study kHz scale intensity variations. This requires large photon collection area and high detection efficiency over the entire energy range. Depending on the detection efficiency and transmission of the collimators, the effective area of the three units is \( \sim 6000 \) cm\(^2\) at \( \sim 15 \) keV and \( \sim 5000 \) cm\(^2\) at 50 keV (Antia et al. 2017; Yadav et al. 2016b). The high source counting rate capability at 30 keV opens up the possibility of investigating properties of kHz QPOs in black hole binaries at energy \( > 20 \) keV and their time lags vis-a-vis lower energy photons.

- Xenon–methane gas mixture at a pressure of 1520 torr with detection depth of 15 cm provides high detection efficiency up to 80 keV.

- Gas gain and energy resolution of the detectors should not degrade in the orbit. Laboratory calibration shows the typical energy resolution of the detectors is about 12% between 20 and 60 keV. Each LAXPC unit has an integrated on-board gas purifier unit consisting of a DC motor-driven bellow pump that circulates the detector gas, on activation of the pump by command, through an Oxisorb gas purifier to remove \( O_2, H_2O, CO_2 \) molecules and restore the energy resolution of the detectors. The gas gain and energy resolution of the detectors are constantly monitored by shining 59.6 X-rays from a highly-collimated Americium-241 source, only on one of the end veto layer.

- Cosmic ray interactions and Compton scatterings of diffuse cosmic X-rays and gamma-rays, leaking from all the sides of the detectors and depositing energy in 3–80 keV, mainly contribute to the non-cosmic X-ray background. To minimize this background the 60 X-ray detecting anode cells are arranged in 5 layers, as shown in Fig. 1. The odd and even anode cells in the top two layers are linked together to provide two outputs from each cell. In the remaining 3 anode layers, all the 12 anode cells of each layer are connected to bring one output from each layer as illustrated in the figure. Thus there are 7 signal outputs from the X-ray detection cells of each detector and they are all operated in mutual anticoincidence to reject events that produce signals in more than one anode simultaneously except when one of the two event is due to detection of Xe–K-fluorescent photon of 30 keV. Additionally, a veto layer of 46 anode cells surrounds the X-ray detection volume on 3 sides, shown in Fig. 1, to reject interactions of cosmic rays and gamma-rays in the 3 side walls and anode frames. This scheme ensures that most of the non-X-ray produced events are rejected. A photograph of a fully wired and integrated anode frames ready for installation in the detector housing is shown in Fig. 2.

- The collimator assembly of LAXPC consists of two parts: (i) a Window Support Collimator (WSC) made from 0.25 mm thick aluminium slats of 7.5-cm depth, with laser cut slits. This is assembled to make square-shaped honeycomb structure that supports the 50 μm thick Mylar film, and is housed in the lower part of the collimator housing as shown in a schematic view of LAXPC detector assembly in Fig. 3. The WSC has Field-of-View (FoV) of \( 5^\circ \times 5^\circ \). The main FoV Collimator (FoVC)
is made from a multilayer of tin, copper and aluminum glued together to make a 5 layer sheet (50 μm Al + 12.5 μm Cu + 50 μm Sn + 12.5 μm Cu + 50 μm Al) of 175 μm thickness and 37.0 cm width. Laser-cut slits are made with a pitch of 7.0 mm and collimator is assembled to a square cell honey comb geometry to provide FoV of $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$. Each square-shaped cell has an open area of 6.5 mm × 6.5 mm. The FoVC sits on top of the WSC in the collimator housing and the two are aligned carefully. A combination of WSC and FoVC results in LAXPC FoV of $0.9^\circ \times 0.9^\circ$ at energy <20 keV. A detailed description of the detector housing, collimators and other mechanical structures is given in Roy et al. (2016). The FoVC provides collimation at 90% level at 80 keV but effectively blocks almost fully X-rays of lower energy entering from outside the FoV.

Figure 1. LAXPC anode configuration, mutual anticoincidence and veto scheme.

Figure 2. A photograph of the fully wired anode frame assembly of a LAXPC ready for installation in the detector housing.

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of LAXPC detector with major detector parts.
5 sides of the LAXPC to prevent leakage of X-rays from the detector walls. Photograph of a fully assembled LAXPC detector without tin shield is shown in Fig. 4. The purification system was checked in the lab before final calibration and improvement of detector energy resolution is shown in Fig. 5 after purification of detector gas for 3 h for LAXPC30 unit.

4. LAXPC electronics

Each detector has separate front-end electronics, a signal processing electronic unit, command controlled HV unit to control gas gain of the detector and a common time stamping electronic box called STBG to tag arrival time of each valid X-ray event. Only those single events that originate only from one of the five X-ray detecting anode layers (except those simultaneous signals that come from K-fluorescent X-ray) that have energy in 3–80 keV, not coincident with any veto signal, are processed for pulse height analysis. Such genuine events take 43 $\mu$s to process before the electronics is ready to analyse another event (Yadav et al. 2016b). This is the dead time of each LAXPC. The pulse height of each valid X-ray event is analysed by a 1024 channel analyser and it is also time-tagged. This information along with the layer of origin of the valid event, whether accompanied by a K-fluorescent event and various count rates viz veto layer rates, rate of below 3 keV events, 3–80 keV events and above 80 keV events, rate of more than 2 simultaneous signals, etc. are recorded and telemetered to the ground.

Each detector has an independent HV DC–DC converter unit whose output can be changed by command from zero to about 3000 V in coarse or fine steps of about 10 V. The satellite passes through South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) region which has a high concentration of protons and electrons. On an average the satellite spends about 30 min in the SAA region. To prevent damage to the detector by high fluxes of the charged particles, the HV of the three detectors is reduced by command to zero value when the satellite enters the SAA region based on the adopted SAA model (Antia et al. 2017). The HV is restored to normal value when the satellite exits the SAA zone. In each orbit during the pointing, when declination of the target source is $<60^\circ$, the Earth blocks the view axis direction for a duration
that depends on the declination of the source. The usable source data per orbit is available for only about 45 min on average.

5. Long term stability of LAXPC detectors

All the LAXPC units successfully completed space qualification tests during the year 2014. Each LAXPC detector was calibrated with monoenergetic X-rays from three radioactive sources in a thermovac chamber after the completion of the space qualification tests. We have studied long-term stability and performance of the LAXPCs and the results are shown in Fig. 6. The final laboratory calibration of LAXPC10 unit was done in December 2013 and that of LAXPC30 was completed in October 2014. Here results for the three LAXPCs are shown for a period of about two years covering the time of final calibration in the laboratory to the first observation after activation and gas purification in the orbit on 28th November 2015. The date of final lab calibration is marked for three detectors in the figure. The 30 and 59.9 keV peaks are quite stable for LAXPC20 and LAXPC30 (within changes due to the impurity and purification of the detector gas). The LAXPC10 shows a very slow rise in gain which is also observed in post-AstroSat launch data (Antia et al. 2017). This is most likely due to a very minor leak of gas from the detector. However, the leak rate is so small that the detector should function for the full life of 5 years with periodic adjustment of HV. LAXPC 30 has developed a significant leak in January 2016 due to which HV is corrected every week to restore the gas gain. This detector pressure may drop below 500 torr by the end of 2017 (Antia et al. 2017).

6. Performance of the LAXPC instrument in orbit

AstroSat was launched from Satish Dhawan Space Center in India on 28th September 2015 and placed in a 650 km circular orbit of 6° inclination to the equator. A low inclination near equatorial orbit was chosen to achieve a stable background and minimize time spent in the SAA region. The low voltage of LAXPC processing electronic units and LAXPC detectors were activated on September 30 and October 1, 2015 respectively but the HV for the LAXPCs were turned on only on October 19, 2015 when the LAXPC instrument became fully operational. AstroSat was first pointed at the well known supernova remnant Crab Nebula; a bright hard X-ray source in the sky often used as a standard source for instrument calibration. The X-ray pulse profile of 33 ms pulsations from the Crab pulsar obtained from observations on the very first day, is shown in Fig. 7 for the three LAXPC detectors. All the known features of the Crab X-ray pulses viz. main pulse and interpulse, their phases, etc. are seen in the figure validating the performance of the LAXPC instrument.

The initial data showed that energy resolution of LAXPC10 detector had degraded due to prolong exposure to the atmosphere before launch caused by removal
Figure 7. The X-ray pulse profile of Crab pulsar observed on 19th October 2015 (1st day of observation) in the three LAXPC detectors.

of the top cover several times for tests and after integration of the LAXPC instrument with the satellite bus. The top cover normally keeps the collimator volume under vacuum preventing exposure of the Mylar window to the ambient atmosphere. Therefore, gas purifier unit of each LAXPC was activated sequentially during October and November 2015 to remove contaminants and restore the resolution of each detector. This is illustrated in Fig. 8 where resolution of LAXPC10 is shown before and after purification of the gas. The LAXPC10 energy resolution improved from about 16% to about 11% at 60 keV. At 6 keV, energy resolution of 20% is achieved as measured from iron line (6.4 keV) seen in Cas-A supernova remnant (Yadav et al. 2016a).

After the activation of the LAXPCs, the background rates of the detectors were measured by pointing at the blank sky positions. The GEANT 4 simulations of the LAXPC detector show that dominant contribution to the background arises from Compton scattering of higher energy (>100 keV) diffuse gamma-ray background in and around the detector as well as by interaction of cosmic rays in the material around the detector. Details of this have been described by Antia et al. (2017). During the Earth occultation, the count rates drop to levels similar to that of the background but may include a small contribution of the Earth X-ray albedo. To obtain the correct detector background rates, blank sky background observations were made during March–October 2016. The ratio of blank sky background and the earth occult counts (in the same orbit) is shown in Fig. 9. The data is binned in 256 channels. There is a significant difference in the count rates below 40 channels (<15 keV) and hence the Earth occultation counts can not be used as background for faint sources. Figure 9 also shows temporal variations (see for blank sky 9 position) as well as significant differences in the count rates for different blank sky positions. The development of a background model that can be used to compute the background rates from the latitudes and longitudes of the satellite during source observation or alternately from the ULD (Upper level Discriminator set at 80 keV) count rates, has been described in detail by Antia et al. (2017).

The FoVC of each LAXPCs was calibrated by scanning slowly across Crab Nebula from −3° to +3° along RA and DEC. Scanning was done with a speed of 0.01° per second from −3 RA to +1 RA as shown in Fig. 10. Such scans were repeated and offset of three detectors were estimated. Details of the offset angles of the 3 LAXPCs are presented in Antia et al. (2017).

7. Highlight of some initial results

Soon after the full activation of the LAXPC instrument, there was an announcement (ATel 8279) about occurrence of a major Type II outburst in the Be X-ray binary pulsar 4U 0115+63 which has 24-day binary period and 3.62 s pulsations. The AstroSat was, therefore, pointed at this source and LAXPC observations of this binary were made on October 24, 2015 when it was near its peak intensity (Yadav et al. 2016a). Light curves of 4U 0115+63 for three detectors are shown (for 3–80 keV energy band) in Fig. 11. It is apparent from the light curves that Quasi-Periodic Oscillations (QPOs) with a period of ∼1000 s (∼1 mHz frequency) are present. Similar low-frequency QPOs were also detected by the NuSTAR in 3–79 keV from this outburst 1 day prior and 4 days later to the LAXPC observations (Roy et al. 2017). This is the lowest frequency QPO reported so far from this binary. A QPO of 1.46 mHz has been detected by Sidoli et al. (2016) in the High Mass X-ray Binary (HMXB) IGR J19140+0951 from XMM-Newton observation. The intensity oscillations with a
period of $\sim$100 s to 2.5 h have been reported in the black hole nova V404 Cygni from optical and X-ray observations during its giant outburst in 2015 (Kimura et al. 2016). The strong X-ray intensity oscillations in GRS 1915+105 have been observed frequently. Taam et al. (1997) have first reported such oscillations ($\rho$ class) with period of $\sim$100 s. Paul et al. (1998) and Yadav et al. (1999) have reported such oscillations with a period of 25–200 s and Belloni et al. (1997) have reported such strong oscillations with a period of $\sim$1000 s. Neilsen et al. (2012) have attempted to explain $\rho$ class oscillations which are also referred to as ‘heartbeat’, in terms of a thermal-viscous radiation pressure instability. Altamirano et al. (2011) have reported detection of $\rho$ class in another black hole binary JGR J17091-3624 (for comparison, see Pahari et al. 2014). The origin of these oscillations is still not well understood but likely to be due to thermal-viscous instability in the inner accretion disk from which the matter accretes onto the neutron star or black hole. It was thought that the instability sets in when the accretion rate is close to the Eddington limit but detection of low-frequency QPOs by Kimura et al. 2016 in V404 Cygni, when the accretion rate was much lower, is not consistent with this idea. They suggested the thermal instability in the inner accretion disk may be correlated to the long orbital period of the binary. A detailed discussion of the origin of $\sim$1 mHz QPOs in 4U 0115+63 has been given by Roy et al. (2017).
Figure 9. Ratio of background to the Earth occultation counts for background observations during March to October 2016 for LAXPC20 detector.

Figure 10. Top panel shows plot of RA (red), DEC (blue) and net angle (black). Bottom panel shows the count rate observed (black), count rate corrected for dead time (red) and count rate expected from simulation of FoVC and corrected for dead time (blue).

CRSFs have been reported from 4U 0115+63 and from the measured value of fundamental line, magnetic field of the neutron star has been inferred. The energy spectrum of 4U 0115+63 was determined and spectrum obtained with LAXPC10 is shown in Fig. 12. The presence of fundamental CRSF at $\sim$13 keV and its four higher harmonics can be clearly seen in the spectrum. The model used for the fitting is Ttabs (gaussian+power-law) cyclabs *cyclabs *cyclabs *cyclabs *cyclabs. The power-law photon index is $0.367^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ with reduced chi square 1.4898 (272 degrees of freedom). It may be pointed out that there is about 5% uncertainty in the flux estimation due to systematics and background which may result in rather high chi square. The cyclotron line energy is estimated to be $13.47^{+0.24}_{-0.30}$, $23.22^{+0.82}_{-1.04}$, $37.31^{+0.25}_{-0.29}$, $49.10^{+0.56}_{-0.71}$ and $63.99^{+0.60}_{-0.53}$ keV (Roy et al. 2017). Note that these are preliminary results and energies of fundamental CRSF and its higher harmonics may slightly change after refinement of energy calibration and response matrix.
Figure 11. Light curves of 4U 0115+63 in 3–80 keV band for three LAXPC detectors. Oscillating pattern is clearly visible in all panels.

Figure 12. The 3–80 keV energy spectrum of 4U 0115+63 using LAXPC10 data from observations on October 24, 2015.

Most X-ray binaries with a stellar-mass black-hole are X-ray transients in which matter is accreted from the companion star onto the black hole in a sporadic way: they are characterized by a sudden and rapid rise in intensity (reaching a maximum in about a week) followed by a gradual decline. These outbursts typically last several months; eventually the source becomes too weak to be detected with current X-ray instruments. During an outburst, not only the intensity, but also the X-ray spectrum changes (Remillard & McClintock 2006). In the bright phase of an outburst, the X-ray spectrum is dominated by thermal emission below $\sim 10$ keV, most likely originating from an optically thick and geometrically thin accretion disk that extends down to the marginally stable orbit (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). The energy and timing characteristics change fast with evolution during the outburst. During 5–7 March, 2016, AstroSat observed the black hole system GRS 1915+105 which was in the steep power law (SPL) state (Yadav et al. 2016b). In this state, X-ray flux does not change much at hour scale. In a more recent classification of state, our observation will fall in hard intermediate state (Belloni & Motta 2016). It may be pointed out that this system is not a typical black hole transient but rather a unique source which has been highly active since its first appearance.
calculate the Power Density Spectrum (PDS) for testing LAXPC timing characteristics up to the Nyquist frequency of 50 kHz. The resulting PDS does not show any instrumental effect other than peaks beyond 10 kHz due to dead-time of the detector which is estimated to be 43 μs (Yadav et al. 2016b). Figure 13 shows PDS of GRS 1915+105 taken at an interval of 5 h. Here each panel is for one orbit data which suggests that LAXPC can study fast timing variability.

During the first week of January 2016, AstroSat observed Cygnus X-1, the well-known black hole X-ray binary in the low hard state which shows prominent thermal Comptonization component. The power spectrum can be characterized by two broad Lorentzian functions centered at ~0.4 and ~3 Hz (for details, see Misra et al. 2017). Figure 14 shows PDS power in energy bands 3–10, 10–30 and 30–80 keV. The power in 30–80 keV energy band is significant which suggests that the LAXPC instrument can cover larger energy range to study timing characteristics which was not possible with RXTE/PCA. In conclusion, it may be stated that LAXPC instrument has shown better capability to detect QPOs compared to earlier timing instruments and it can be used to study variation of QPO frequency as source evolves. This improved sensitivity arises from larger effective area of the LAXPC instrument in hard X-rays.
as described by Antia et al. (2017) as well as from the ability to record arrival time of each detected photon to an accuracy of 10 μs without any onboard processing.

Some Low Mass X-ray Binaries (LMXBs) in which the X-ray source is a neutron star with magnetic field <10⁹ Gauss show thermonuclear bursts when accreting at a modest rate. X-ray temperature and flux variation during these bursts is the most commonly used method for measurement of radius of a neutron star. Such studies have so far been carried out in limited energy band and with the assumption of simple black-body type emission during the bursts. However, the non-burst emission from such neutron stars is known to suffer from reprocessing in the surrounding medium. With a wide energy band of the LAXPCs and large photon collection area, the thermonuclear burst spectroscopy will be investigated for signatures of reprocessing. The LAXPC instrument has already observed thermonuclear bursts in two neutron star X-ray binaries: 4U 1728-34 and 4U 1636-536 (Chauhan et al. 2017; Paul et al. 2017). During the PV phase, the neutron star X-ray binary 4U 1728-34 was observed with LAXPC on 8th March 2016. We have detected typical Type-1 thermonuclear bursts in this source. The dynamical power spectrum of the data in the 3–20 keV band, reveals the presence of a high frequency QPO generally referred to as kilo Hertz (kHz) QPO whose frequency drifted from ~815 Hz at the beginning of the observation to ~850 Hz just before the burst (Chauhan et al. 2017). The QPO is also detected in the 10–20 keV band, which was not detected in earlier RXTE/PCA observations of this source.

Several blazar class AGNs have been observed with AstroSat so far. These are subclass of AGNs which include BL Lacs and FSRQs and have jets directed towards Earth within a small angle around the line-of-sight (Urry & Padovani 1995). The emission seen from blazars is Doppler-boosted. These are highly variable sources showing variability on time scales of minutes to years in various wavebands. Their Spectral Energy Distributions (SED) are characterized by double-humped structures. The first hump is attributed to synchrotron emission from energetic electrons whereas the origin of the second hump is not clear. It could be leptonic or hadronic in origin (comprehensive review of these mechanisms can be found in Böttcher (2007)). X-ray observations play an important role in understanding these enigmatic objects. We have preliminary results on some of the blazars by now. One example is Mkn421 which is a nearby \((z = 0.031)\), bright and highly variable blazar. The LAXPC spectrum obtained from analysis of observations carried out in June 2016 is shown in Fig. 15. The spectrum over the energy range of 3–30 keV obtained from about 30 ks of data from top layer of LAXPC20 is shown in the figure. The spectrum is fitted with log parabola continuum along with line-of-sight absorption which is fixed to neutral hydrogen column density of \(1.92 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). Log parabola parameters are \(\alpha = 2.06 \pm 0.08\) and \(\beta = 0.33 \pm 0.04\) which are consistent with the moderate flux level of the source (Sinha et al. 2015). The work on timing information for this source as well as similar work on other objects is underway.

![Energy spectrum of Mkn421, a blazar in the 3–30 keV energy range observed in June 2016 with LAXPC20.](image)
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