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On Janowski Type Harmonic Meromorphic Functions with respect to Symmetric Point

Muhammad Ghaffar Khan 1, Bakhtiar Ahmad 2, Maslina Darus 3, Wali Khan Mashwani 1, and Shahid Khan 1

1 Institute of Numerical Sciences, Kohat University of Science and Technology, Kohat, Pakistan
2 Govt. Degree College Mardan, 23200 Mardan, Pakistan
3 Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to Maslina Darus; maslina@ukm.edu.my

Received 21 November 2020; Revised 17 December 2020; Accepted 21 January 2021; Published 27 January 2021

Academic Editor: Sivasubramanian Srikandan

Copyright © 2021 Muhammad Ghaffar Khan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we define a new class of Sakaguchi type-meromorphic harmonic functions in the Janowski domain that are starlike with respect to symmetric point. Furthermore, we investigate some important geometric properties like sufficiency criteria, distortion bound, extreme point theorem, convex combination, and weighted means.

1. Introduction and Definitions

One of the contemporary developments in Mathematics is the solicitations of harmonic analysis in other fields. Like various other fields, it has immensely influenced and nurtured the branch of geometric function theory. Jahangiri et al. [1] defined and studied a subclass of harmonic and univalent functions. Another example of such work would be an article of Porwal and Dixit [2], who used a certain convolution operator involving hypergeometric functions to define a class of univalent functions. As a consequence, many mathematicians generalized many ideas of this field and various important results with the help of some operators; the work of Porwal et al. [3], Porwal et al. [4], and Porwal and Dixit [5] are worth mentioning here. Recently, some subclasses of harmonic functions were investigated by Arif et al. [6] and Khan et al. [7]. To start with, we give preliminaries which will be useful in understanding the concepts of this research.

A real-valued function $u(x,y)$ is said to be harmonic in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ if it has a continuous second partial derivative and satisfy the Laplace’s equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0. \quad (1)$$

A continuous complex-valued function $f = u + iv$ is said to be harmonic in a complex domain $U$ if both its real and imaginary parts are real harmonic in $U$. In any simply connected domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, one can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $U$. The class of such functions is denoted by $H$. The condition $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ is necessary and sufficient for $f$ to be locally univalent and sense preserving in $U$, see [8]. There are different papers on univalent harmonic functions defined in unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, for details, see [9–14]. For $z \in D^* = D \setminus \{0\}$, in the punctured open unit disc and let $M_H$ denote the class of functions.
which are harmonic in \(D^*\) where \(h\) is analytic in \(D^*\) and has a simple pole at the origin with residue 1, while \(g\) is analytic in \(D\). The class \(\mathcal{M}_H\) was studied in [15–17]. Furthermore, denoted by \(\mathcal{M}_H^{**}\), a subclass of \(\mathcal{M}_H\), consisting a functions of the form

\[
f(z) = h(z) + g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n,
\]

which are harmonic univalent in punctured unit disc \(D^*\).

For functions \(f \in \mathcal{M}_H\) given by (2) and \(F \in \mathcal{M}_H\) given by

\[
F(z) = H(z) + G(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n,
\]

we recall the Hadamard product (or convolution) of \(f\) and \(F\) by

\[
(f \ast F)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n B_n z^n (z \in D^*). \tag{5}
\]

In terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), we choose \(F\) as a fixed function in \(H\) such that \((f \ast F)(z)\) exists for any \(f \in \mathcal{M}_H\), and for various choices of \(F\), we get different linear operators which have been studied in the recent past.

Recently, Khan et al. [18] introduced and studied a class of meromorphic starlike functions with respect to symmetric point in circular domain i.e.,

\[
-\frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} < 1 + A z \quad \text{if} \quad 1 + B z.
\] \tag{6}

Motivated from the above discussion on harmonic functions and class of meromorphic starlike functions with respect to symmetric point, we introduced the class of meromorphic harmonic univalent functions as:

Let \(-1 < B < A \leq 1\). Then, the function \(f \in \mathcal{M}_H\) is in the class \(\mathcal{M}_H^{**}[A, B]\) if it satisfies the condition

\[
-\frac{2\mathcal{D}_H f(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} < 1 + A z \quad \text{if} \quad 1 + B z (z \in D), \tag{7}
\]

where the symbol \(\prec\) represent well-known subordination and

\[
\mathcal{D}_H f(z) = zh'(z) - \bar{z}g'(z), \tag{8}
\]

or equivalently

\[
-\frac{2\mathcal{D}_H f(z) + (f(z) - f(-z)/2)}{B \mathcal{D}_H f(z) + A (f(z) - f(-z)/2)} < 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{9}
\]

Furthermore, we denote \(\mathcal{M}_H^{**}[A, B]\) subclass of \(\mathcal{M}_H^{**}[A, B]\) consisting of harmonic meromorphic functions \(f = h + g\) of the form (3).

2. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let \(f = h + g\) be of the form (2) and satisfies the condition

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n |a_n| + \beta_n |b_n| \leq 1, \tag{10}
\]

with

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{|(1 + B)n + (1 + A)(1 - (-1)^n/2)|}{A - B} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\beta_n = \frac{|(1 + B)n - (1 + A)(1 - (-1)^n/2)|}{A - B}, \tag{11}
\]

then \(f\) is harmonic univalent sense-preserving in \(D^*\) and \(f \in \mathcal{M}_H^{**}[A, B]\).

Proof. For \(0 < |z_1| \leq |z_2| < 1\), we obtain

\[
\left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| \geq 1 - \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} = 1 - \frac{z_1 z_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (z_1^n - z_2^n)}{(z_1 - z_2) - z_1 z_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (z_1^n - z_2^n)} > 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|} \geq 0,
\]

where we have used (10) and this shows that the function is univalent.

Now to show \(f(z)\) is sense-preserving harmonic mapping in \(D^*\), consider

\[
|h'(z)| \geq \frac{1}{|z|} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \right) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| \geq \left| g'(z) \right|.
\]

This shows that \(f\) is sense-preserving.
The proof is similar to Theorem 1, so omitted.

Theorem 3. Let $f = h + \tilde{g} \in M_{\mathcal{H}}^{*}[A, B]$ and of the form (3), $0 < |z| = r < 1$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{A - B}{2 + A + B} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{A - B}{2 + A + B}.$$  

Proof. Consider

$$|f(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n z^n + b_n \overline{z}^n) \right| \leq \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^n$$

Similarly, proceeding as above we get

$$|f(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n z^n + b_n \overline{z}^n) \right| \geq \frac{1}{r} - r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|)$$

Hence, this completes the result.

Theorem 5. Let $f = h + \tilde{g}$, and of the form (3) then $f \in M_{\mathcal{H}}^{*}[A, B]$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (y_n h_n + \delta_n g_n),$$

where

$$h_n(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \ h_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{A - B}{[(1 + B)n + (1 + A)(1 - (1)^n/2)]} z^n, n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

$$g_n(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \ g_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{A - B}{[(1 + B)n + (1 + A)(1 - (1)^n/2)]} z^n, n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where $1 \geq y_n \geq 0.1 \geq \delta_n \geq 0$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (y_n + \delta_n) = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = y_0 h_0 + \delta_0 g_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_n h_n + \delta_n g_n)$$

with

$$\alpha_n = \frac{|(1 + B)n + (1 + A)(1 - (1)^n/2)|}{A - B}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \frac{|(1 + B)n - (1 + A)(1 - (1)^n/2)|}{A - B}.$$  

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 1, so omitted.
Thus, 
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ a_n \left( \frac{A-B}{(1+B)n + (1+A)(1-(-1)^n/2)} \right) + \beta_n \left( \frac{A-B}{(1+B)n + (1+A)(1-(-1)^n/2)} \right) \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_n + \delta_n) = 1 - y_0 - \delta_0 \leq 1,
\]
hence, \( f \in M^*_{\mathcal{F}}[A,B] \). Conversely, let \( f \in M^*_{\mathcal{F}}[A,B] \). Set
\[
\gamma_n = \frac{|1+(1+B)n + (1+A)(1-(-1)^n/2)|}{|A-B|} |a_n|, 0 \leq \gamma_n \leq 1, \delta_n
\]
Thus,
\[
\frac{(1+B)n + (1+A)(1-(-1)^n/2)}{|B_k|}, 0 \leq \delta_n \leq 1, y_0
\]
\[
= 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n.
\]
Therefore, \( f \) can be written as
\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n |a_n| z^n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n
\]
For \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k = 1, (0 \leq \delta_k \leq 1) \), the convex combination of \( f_k \) is
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\delta_k |a_{k,n}| + \beta_n |b_{k,n}|) z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k |b_{k,n}|} z^n.
\]
Using (10), we have
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k,n}| + \beta_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k |b_{k,n}|
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\delta_k |a_{k,n}| + \beta_n |b_{k,n}|) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k = 1,
\]
thus prove our desired results.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( f_k \in M^*_{\mathcal{F}}[A,B] \), for \( k = 1, 2 \) be of the form (29), then, their weighted \( F_i \) mean is also in the class \( M^*_{\mathcal{F}}[A,B] \), where \( F_i \) is defined below
\[
F_i(z) = \frac{(1-i)f_1(z) + (1+i)f_2(z)}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** From (33), one may easily write
\[
F_i(z) = \frac{1}{z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( (1-j)|a_{k,1}| + (1+j)|a_{k,2}| \right) z^n + \left( (1-j)|b_{k,1}| + (1+j)|b_{k,2}| \right) \bar{z}^n - \left( (1-j)|b_{k,1}| + (1+j)|b_{k,2}| \right) \bar{z}^n}
\]
To show that \( F_i \in M^*_{\mathcal{F}}[A,B] \), it is enough to show that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( (1-j)|a_{k,1}| + (1+j)|a_{k,2}| \right) |a_n| + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1-j)|b_{k,1}| + (1+j)|b_{k,2}| \right) |b_n| \leq 1,
\]
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( (1-j)|a_{k,1}| + (1+j)|a_{k,2}| \right) |a_n| + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1-j)|b_{k,1}| + (1+j)|b_{k,2}| \right) |b_n| \leq 1,
\]
Hence, \( F_i \in M^*_{\mathcal{F}}[A,B] \).
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