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Abstract

A reappraisal is made of the *Anthurium* Schott species with palmately divided leaves with 3 or more segments free to the base (i.e. palmatisect leaves), previously recognized as section *Dactylophyllum* Schott (Engler), as well as those species with 5 or more segments united at the base (i.e. palmatifid leaves), formerly placed in section *Schizoplacium* Schott (Engler). New molecular data indicates that several species (*A. pedatum* (Kunth) Schott, *A. pedatoradiatum* Schott, and possibly, *A. podophyllum* (Schldl. & Cham.) Kunth) should be excluded from section *Schizoplacium*, and other species previously placed in that section cannot be separated from section *Dactylophyllum*. Thus, *Anthurium* section *Schizoplacium* is here synonymized within section *Dactylophyllum* and type species are designated for both groups. This paper also provides an updated description of section *Dactylophyllum* as here emended, listing the 24 accepted taxa now included (20 species and 4 varieties or subspecies), along with their geographic distributions.
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Introduction

_Anthurium_ Schott species with palmately divided leaves (as included in Madison 1978) represent a very distinct morphological group within the genus (Fig. 1). In these species, leaf segments (i.e. leaflets) are free to the base, in palmatisect leaves, or leaf segments (i.e. lobes) are united at the base, in palmatifid leaves (Fig. 2). The current sectional classification of _Anthurium_ (Croft and Sheffer 1983) separates these species into two groups, section _Dactylophyllium_ (Schott) Engler (Engler 1879), comprising species with three or more segments (leaflets) free to the base (Fig. 2 A–B), and section _Schizoplacium_ (Schott) Engler (Engler 1879), including species with five or more segments (lobes) united at the base (Fig. 2 C). A recent molecular phylogeny (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) has shown that most of the species of _Anthurium_ with palmately divided leaves belong to a single highly supported clade (Fig. 3, Clade 3), therefore suggesting that previous divisions of the group are unnecessary. Indeed, the newly circumscribed Clade 3 merits sectional rank. Moreover, although all members of Clade 3 share palmately divided leaves, this leaf form has evolved independently at least two more times within _Anthurium_, in Clades 14 and 16 (Fig. 3). The goal of this study is to reevaluate the limits of sections _Dactylophyllium_ and _Schizoplacium_ in the light of the new molecular evidence and provide an updated description of this redefined group of _Anthurium_ species with palmately divided leaves (Fig. 1).

Taxonomic history

In the first comprehensive revision of the genus, Schott (1860) classified _Anthurium_ species with lobed or divided leaves in three groups (Table 1): grex _Semaeophyllum_, comprising species with “hastate-trilobed” blades with segments united at the base; grex _Schizoplacium_, including species with “pedately-partite” blades with five or more leaf segments united at the base (i.e. palmatifid leaves, according to our definition) (Fig. 2 C); and grex _Dactylophyllium_, containing species with “digitisect” leaf blades with three or more segments divided completely (i.e. free) to the base (i.e. palmatisect leaves, in our definition) (Fig. 2 A–B).

Carlsen and Croat (2007) recently revised the 23 species included in _Anthurium_ section _Semaeophyllum_ (Schott) Engler (Engler 1879). The section comprises species with trilobed leaf blades, where leaf lobes are always united at the base, and the lobes can be directed forward (i.e. falcate) or to the sides (i.e. spreading) but never toward the back. On the basis of molecular evidence (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press), section _Semaeophyllum_ appears not to be monophyletic. However, species with trilobed leaves are more closely related to other _Anthurium_ species with cordate leaves than to the species with palmately divided leaf morphology clustered in Clade 3 (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press). Therefore, this paper will only deal with the _Anthurium_ species with palmately divided leaves (Fig. 1), those included in sections _Dactylophyllium_ and _Schizoplacium_.

A reassessment of *Anthurium* species with palmately divided leaves... 43

Schott (1860) included 27 names in his grex # 28 (Table 1), *Dactylophyllum* (Schott) Engler emend. Croat & Carlsen. A Palmatisect leaf with seven leaflets of *Anthurium pentaphyllum* (Aubl.) G.Don var. *pentaphyllum* (M. Leppard 1395) B Palmatifid leaf of *Anthurium longissimum* Pittier ssp. *longissimum* (M. Carlsen 2126) C Palmatisect leaves with three leaflets of *Anthurium trisectum* Sodiro (T.B. Croat 48977) D Palmatisect leaves with more than 9 leaflets of *Anthurium polydactylum* Madison (T.C. Plowman & H. Kennedy 5769).

Schott (1860) included 27 names in his grex # 28 (Table 1), *Dactylophyllum*, but according to the most updated species synonymy for the genus (Govaerts et al. 2012), only seven species are currently recognized: *Anthurium clavigerum* Poepp., *A. digitatum* (Jacq.) Schott, *A. eminens* Schott, *A. kunthii* Poepp., *A. pentaphyllum* (Aubl.) G.Don, *A. sinuatum* Benth, and *A. triphyllum* (Willd. ex Schult.) Brongn. ex Schott. On the other hand, Schott (1860) included seven names in his grex # 27 (Table 1), *Schizoplocium*, but only four species are now recognized, *A. palmatum* (L.) Schott, *A. pedatoradiatum* Schott, *A. pedatum* (Kunth) Schott, and *A. podophyllum* (Schltdl. & Cham.) Kunth. Engler (1879) gave formal sectional ranking
to these, and others, of Schott’s greges, maintaining the species circumscriptions in both groups.

However, Engler (1905) made major modifications in the classification of Schott. He described his newly circumscribed section *Semaeophyllum* as comprising species with “hastate-trilobed or pedatisect or digitisect” leaf blades, and very long and relatively thin (i.e. myosuroideous) spadices. Engler (1905) included in his new version of section *Semaeophyllum*, along with more typical species with trilobed leaves, a pair of species from Schott’s grex *Dactylophyllum* (namely *A. sinuatum* and *A. clavigerum*) and also *A. palmatum*, previously placed by Schott in grex *Schizoplacium*. Alternatively, Engler’s amended section *Schizoplacium* (Engler 1905) included the remaining species of both Schott’s greges *Dactylophyllum* and *Schizoplacium*, along with a few more recently described species, for a total of 17 species, of which only eight are currently accepted (Table 1). Engler’s (1905) new delimitation of section *Schizoplacium* included species with “pedately-partite” leaf blades, with segments either united at the base or completely separated, and thick, conic spadices. He further divided this section into two informal groups, § 1. *Euschizoplacium* Engler, with short stems and internodes, but long peduncles, and § 2. *Dactylophyllum* (Schott) Engler, with scandent stems, elon-
Table 1. *Anthurium* species with palmately divided leaves formerly included in *Dactylophyllum* and *Schizoplacium*, a comparison of previous circumscriptions. This is not an exhaustive list of all species names that have been previously included in these groups, it only contains taxa that were accepted at the time of publication of each work. Names in bold denote species included in the newly redefined section *Dactylophyllum* (Schott) Engler emend. Croat & Carlsen, as proposed here. Species marked with (*) are now formally excluded from this emended section. All other species names are either synonyms or species dubia, fide Madison (1978).

| Species name | Year published | Schott (1860) | Engler (1905) | Madison (1978) | Croat & Sheffer (1983) |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|
| *A. aemulum* Schott | 1859 | Dactylophyllum Schizopolium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *bombacifolium* | | |
| *A. andersonii* Schott | 1857 | Dactylophyllum Schizopolium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. palmatum* | | |
| (*) *A. angustisectum* Engl. | 1898 | | Group 6 | Schizoplacium | |
| *A. araliæfolium* Regel | 1869 | Schizoplacium series Euschizoplacium | species dubium, probably a hybrid | | |
| *A. arisaemoides* Madison | 1978 | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. aubletii* Kunth | 1841 | Dactylophyllum synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* | | |
| *A. bombacifolium* Schott | 1858 | Dactylophyllum synonym of *A. aemulum* | *A. pentaphyllum* var. *bombacifolium* | | |
| *A. brevipedunculatum* Madison | 1978 | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. buchtienii* K. Krause | 1910 | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. clavigerum* Poepp. | 1845 | Dactylophyllum Semaeophyllum | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. clavigerum* var. subpedatipartitum Engl. | 1905 | Semaeophyllum | not mentioned | | |
| *A. croatti* Madison | 1978 | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. digitatum* (Jacq) Schott | 1829 | Dactylophyllum Schizopolium series Dactylophyllum | *A. pentaphyllum* var. *digitatum* Group 7 Schizoplacium | not mentioned | |
| *A. elegans* Engl. | 1881 | Schizopolium series Euschizopolium | synonym of *A. palmatum* | | |
| *A. eminens* Schott | 1855 | Dactylophyllum Schizopolium series Dactylophyllum | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. expansum* Gleason | 1929 | | Group 6 Schizoplacium | | |
| *A. fissum* K. Koch | 1864 | Semaeophyllum | synonym of *A. palmatum* | | |
| *A. ghiesbrechtii* Linden ex Schott | 1860 | Schizopolium | synonym of *A. podophyllum* | not mentioned | |
| *A. grosse* Schott | 1859 | Dactylophyllum *A. pentaphyllum* var. *grossum* | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* | | |
| *A. heliophorifolium* Schott | 1862 | Schizopolium series Euschizopolium | synonym of *A. pedatoradiatum* | | |
| Species name | Year published | Schott (1860) | Engler (1905) | Madison (1978) | Croat & Sheffer (1983) |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|
| *A. hoffmannseggii* Schott | 1857 | Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* | synonym of *A. kunthii* | |
| *A. holtonianum* Schott | 1857 | Dactylophyllum | Semaephyllicum | synonym of *A. clavigerum* | |
| *A. holtonianum* var. cohaerens Engl. | 1905 | Semaephyllicum | not mentioned | | |
| *A. kalbreyeri* Mast. | 1881 | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. clavigerum* | | |
| *A. karwinskii* Schott | 1859 | Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. aemulum* | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. bombacic folium | |
| *A. kunthii* Poepp. | 1845 | Dactylophyllum | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | Group 7 Schizoplacium Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. longissimum* Pittier | 1947 | | | | Schizoplacium |
| *A. martini* Schott | 1857 | Dactylophyllum | Semaephyllicum | synonym of *A. sinuatum* | |
| *A. ottonianum* Kunth | 1841 | Dactylophyllum | *A. variabile* var. *ottonianum* | not mentioned | |
| *A. pachirifolium* Schott | 1855 | Dactylophyllum | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* | |
| *A. pachirifolium* var. angustifolium Engl. | 1881 | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* | | |
| *A. palmatum* (L.) Schott | 1829 | Schizoplacium | Semaephyllicum | Group 6 Schizoplacium | |
| *A. panduratum* Mart. ex Schott | 1855 | Dactylophyllum | Semaephyllicum | synonym of *A. clavigerum* | |
| *A. panduratum* var. burchellianum Engl. | 1905 | Semaephyllicum | synonym of *A. clavigerum* | | |
| (*) *A. pedatoradiatum* Schott | 1859 | Schizoplacium | Schizoplacium series Euschizoplacium | Group 4 Schizoplacium | |
| (*) *A. pedatum* (Kunth) Schott | 1829 | Schizoplacium | Schizoplacium series Euschizoplacium | Group 5 Schizoplacium | |
| *A. pentaphyllum* (Aubl.) G. Don | 1839 | Dactylophyllum | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | Group 7 Schizoplacium Dactylophyllum | |
| *A. pentaphyllum* var. *bombacic folium* (Schott) Madison | 1978 | | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum |
| (*) *A. podophyllum* (Schltdl. & Cham.) Kunth | 1841 | Schizoplacium | Schizoplacium series Euschizoplacium | Group 4 Schizoplacium | |
| *A. polystactylum* Madison | 1978 | | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum |
| *A. polychristum* R.E. Schultes & Idrobo | 1959 | | | Group 7 Schizoplacium | Dactylophyllum |
| *A. polytomum* Schott | 1859 | Schizoplacium | synonym of *A. podophyllum* | synonym of *A. podophyllum* | |
| *A. pseudopodophyllum* Schott | 1859 | Schizoplacium | synonym of *A. podophyllum* | synonym of *A. podophyllum* | |
| *A. repandum* Schott | 1857 | Dactylophyllum | Semaephyllicum | synonym of *A. clavigerum* | |
| *A. sinuatum* Benth ex Schott | 1857 | Dactylophyllum | Semaephyllicum | Group 7 Schizoplacium | not mentioned |
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| Species name                     | Year published | Schott (1860)          | Engler (1905)          | Madison (1978)          | Croat & Sheffer (1983) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| *A. smilaciforme* K. Koch        | 1855           | Dactylophyllum         | synonym of *A. undatum* | not mentioned           |                         |
| *A. sonderianum* Schott          | 1858           | Dactylophyllum         | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* |                         |
| *A. sylvestre* S. Moore          | 1895           | Semaeophyllum          | synonym of *A. sinuatum* |                         |                         |
| *A. thrinax* Madison             | 1978           | Group 7 Schizoplacium  | Dactylophyllum         |                         |                         |
| *A. triphyllum* (Willd. ex Schult.) Brongn. ex Schott | 1860           | Dactylophyllum         | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | Group 7 Schizoplacium Dactylophyllum |                         |
| *A. trisectum* Sodiro            | 1905           | Group 7 Schizoplacium  | Dactylophyllum         |                         |                         |
| *A. undatum* Schott              | 1832           | Dactylophyllum         | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* |                         |
| *A. undatum* var. undulifolium* K. Koch ex Ender Engl. | 1878           | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum |                         | not mentioned           |                         |
| *A. undulatum* K. Koch & C. D. Bouché | 1854           | Dactylophyllum         | synonym of *A. undatum* var. undulifolium |                         | not mentioned           |
| *A. variabile* Kunth             | 1841           | Dactylophyllum         | Schizoplacium series Dactylophyllum | synonym of *A. pentaphyllum* var. *pentaphyllum* |                         |
| *A. warscewiczii* K. Koch        | 1855           | Dactylophyllum         | synonym of *A. sinuatum* | not mentioned           |                         |
| *A. wendlandii* Schott           | 1858           | Dactylophyllum         | Semaeophyllum          | synonym of *A. clavigerum* |                         |

Gated internodes, but peduncles often short (Engler 1905). Engler placed most of the species from Schott’s grex *Schizoplacium* in the *Euschizoplacium* group and the remaining species from Schott’s grex *Dactylophyllum* in the *Dactylophyllum* group (Table 1).

The last taxonomic revision of *Anthurium* species with palmately divided leaves (Madison 1978) recognized 27 species and three varieties divided into seven “natural” groupings based on the author’s understanding of the taxonomy, morphology and growth habit of the species (Table 1). Groups 1–3 included species with trilobed leaves with falcate lobes united at the base now placed in section *Semaeophyllum* (Carlsen and Croat 2007). The remaining groups in Madison’s (1978) revision included typical examples of species in sections *Dactylophyllum* and *Schizoplacium* (Table 1; following Croat and Sheffer 1983). Group 4 contained two terrestrial Mexican species with short stems, elongated peduncles and “pedately divided” (i.e. palmatifid) leaf blades (*A. pedatoradiatum* and *A. podophyllum*). Group 5 consisted only of the Colombian species *A. pedatum*, with deeply dissected leaf blades with 11–15 lobes, and a pendent inflorescence borne on an erect peduncle. Group 6 included climbers with palmately divided leaves with the lobes united at the base (i.e. palmatifid leaves) (Fig. 2 C), and elongated spadices, which range from northern Colombia to the West Indies (*A. an-
The species in Madison’s groups 4, 5 and 6 were placed in section *Schizoplacium* by Croat and Sheffer (1983). His group 7 is a predominantly Amazonian group of species with “digitisect” (i.e. palmatisect) leaf blades, where the leaf segments are free to the base and have a basal pulvinus (Fig. 2 A–B), and spadices are purple to gray. Madison called this group section *Schizoplacium*, apparently following Engler’s (1905) circumscription of that section, but it indeed includes species placed in section *Dactylophyllium* by both Schott (1860) and Croat and Sheffer (1983) (Table 1).

Croat and Sheffer (1983) provided the previously accepted treatment of the sections of *Anthurium* with palmately divided leaf blades. Following Schott’s (1860) original classification system, they separated the species of *Anthurium* with lobed or divided leaf blades into three sections, *Semaeophyllium*, *Schizoplacium* and *Dactylophyllium* (Table 1). They provided a key to the sections, descriptions, and illustrative examples of species belonging to each group.

**Results and discussion**

The current molecular phylogeny of the genus *Anthurium*, based on chloroplast (*trnG* intron, *trnH–psbA* and *trnC–ycf6* intergenic spacers) and nuclear (first intron of *CHS* and partial flanking coding regions) DNA sequences (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) shows that the palmately divided leaf morphology is homoplasious within the genus, having evolved at least three times independently, in Clades 3, 14 and 16 (Fig. 3).

Based on this molecular phylogeny (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) (Fig. 3), some of the *Anthurium* species with palmately divided leaves previously recognized as section *Schizoplacium* (Schott 1860, Engler 1879, Engler 1905, Croat and Sheffer 1983), do not form a monophyletic group and are not even closely related to other palmately divided species. For example, *A. pedatum*, a high elevation Colombian species with a highly divided palmatifid leaf blade, consistently clustered in the moderately supported Clade 14 (Fig. 3), along with *A. furcatum* Sodiro, with trilobed leaves, and *A. tremulum* Sodiro and *A. macleanii* Schott, both with cordate leaves. Clade 14 is not easily characterized morphologically, although most of its species have hooded spathes and pendent spadices (Carlsen and Croat in press). Madison (1978) had pointed out the possible segregation of *A. pedatum* from all other palmately divided *Anthurium* species by placing it alone in Group 5 of his revised classification. Molecular data now suggests that indeed *A. pedatum* is not closely related to other palmately divided *Anthurium* species and therefore does not belong to section *Dactylophyllium* as currently defined here.

*Anthurium pedatoradiatum*, a Mexican species with palmatifid leaves and a member of section *Schizoplacium* (fide Schott 1860, Engler 1879, Engler 1905, Croat and Sheffer 1983), should also be removed from this group. Results of molecular analyses (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) strongly suggest that it is more closely related to other northern Central American species (Clade 16) than to the clade of
Figure 3. A schematic molecular phylogeny of *Anthurium* showing major clades recovered by Carlsen and Croat (in press). This phylogeny was based on combined chloroplast (*trnG* intron, *trnH–psbA* and *trnC–ycf6* intergenic spacers) and nuclear (first intron of *CHS* and partial flanking coding regions) DNA sequences. Clade numbering follow these authors. Species in bold are recognized here as members of *Anthurium* section *Dactylophyllum* (Schott) Engler, emend. Croat & Carlsen. Placement of *Anthurium* species now excluded from grex *Schizoplaucium* Schott is also shown.
Anthurium species with palmately divided leaves (Clade 3) (Fig. 3). The strongly supported Clade 16, although quite variable in terms of leaf morphology, presents very uniform reproductive features, including only species that possess bright orange berries with a mealy mesocarp, characteristics also found in *A. pedatoradiatum*. Madison (1978) previously separated *A. pedatoradiatum* from the rest of palmately divided *Anthurium* species, and grouped it along with the other Mexican species with palmatifid leaves, *A. podophyllum*, in his Group 4. The latter species have not been sampled for the current molecular phylogeny of *Anthurium* (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press). However, geographical affinities and similarities in fruit characteristics with other species of Clade 16 (Fig. 3) have made us consider that *A. podophyllum* is also a member of this clade, and as such, it should be excluded from section *Dactylophyllium* as delimited here.

There are only four currently recognized species names included in the original description of Schott’s grex *Schizoplacium* (Schott 1860), all of which match well the protologue of the section. However, according to molecular studies (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) (Fig. 3), *A. pedatum*, *A. pedatoradiatum*, and very likely *A. podophyllum*, do not belong to the same clade and are not closely related to other palmately divided *Anthurium* species. Therefore, these three species are also excluded from section *Dactylophyllium* according to the circumscription presented here. Thus, of the initial group, only *A. palmatum* remains. This climbing plant with elongated internodes and palmatifid leaves (Fig. 2 C), restricted to the Lesser Antilles, is therefore here selected as the lectotype species for section *Schizoplacium*. Two other *Anthurium* species with palmatifid leaves (*A. expansum* and *A. longissimum*) (Fig. 1 B) also belong to this section under its traditional circumscription (Table 1). *Anthurium palmatum* was not sampled in the current molecular phylogeny of the genus (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) (Fig. 3), but the closely related *A. longissimum*, with which it shares climbing habit, palmatifid leaf morphology, peduncle shorter than the petiole, green spathe, grayish purple spadix and reddish-purple berries, was used as a representative of this group of palmatifid species.

The molecular phylogeny of *Anthurium* (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen and Croat in press) clearly shows that most of the palmately divided species sampled in the study (except for *A. pedatum* and *A. pedatoradiatum*), belong in a single clade, Clade 3 (Fig. 3). These species were previously included in either section *Schizoplacium* (e.g. *A. longissimum*, a representative of the group with palmatifid leaves) or section *Dactylophyllium* by Croat and Sheffer (1983). The findings of molecular analyses indicate that the group of species with palmatifid leaf morphology (i.e. *A. longissimum*, *A. palmatum* and *A. expansum*) (Figs 1 B, 2 C), all sharing similar vegetative and reproductive characters, is not distinct from other species with palmately divided leaves. Thus, these two sections are here combined, and the morphological limits of this emended, more inclusive, group are redefined.

In terms of nomenclatural choice, since both names, *Schizoplacium* and *Dactylophyllium*, were published, albeit without a formal rank (i.e. as grex names), at the same time in Schott’s (1860) revision of the genus *Anthurium*, and were later simul-
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taneously formalized as sections by Engler (1879), none of them has priority over the
other. Therefore, in this study, section Schizoplacium, the smaller (probably containing
only three currently accepted species names) and geographically more isolated group
(mainly occurring in the Lesser Antilles and Cordillera de la Costa in Venezuela) has
been placed into synonymy with the larger (probably including a total of 21 species,
some undescribed) and more widespread group, section Dactylophyllium.

Anthurium kunthii (Fig. 2 A) is here chosen as the lectotype for this emended
section Dactylophyllium for several reasons. Anthurium kunthii was among the origi-
nal species included in Schott’s (1860) first delimitation of the group and represents
very well the morphological characters described in the protologue. Also, this species
was sampled in the current molecular phylogeny of the genus (Carlsen 2011, Carlsen
and Croat in press) (Fig. 3), and it clearly belongs to the group of species with pal-
mately divided leaves in Clade 3. Additionally, A. kunthii is among the oldest species
described within the group (in 1845) (Table 1), but unlike A. digitatum (the oldest
described species, from 1829), its taxonomic status as a species has not been previously
questioned.

The following section provides an updated description of Anthurium section Dac-
tylophyllium (Schott) Engler, emend. Croat & Carlsen, and lists all currently recog-
nized species now comprising this group and their known geographic distribution.

**Taxonomic treatment**

Anthurium section Dactylophyllium (Schott) Engler, emend. Croat & Carlsen,
Prodr. Syst. Aroid. 542. 1860. Lectotype (designated here): Anthurium kunthii
Poepp., Nov. Gen. Sp. Pl. 3: 84–85. 1845.
Figures 1, 2

Anthurium grex Schizoplacium Schott, Prodr. Syst. Aroid. 538. 1860. Lectotype (design-
ated here): Anthurium palmatum (L.) Schott, Wiener Z. Kunst 1829(3): 828. 1829.

**Remarks.** Mostly appressed-climbing or scandent plants with internodes usually long-
er than broad, or terrestrial short stemmed plants; roots moderately sparse at each
node on climbing plants, sometimes moderately dense on terrestrial species with short
internodes; cataphylls usually persisting as fibers, sometimes deciduous, rarely persist-
ing intact, the cataphyll fibers typically pale, sometimes dark reddish brown; petioles
typically subterete, usually at least weakly sulcate adaxially, typically drying green-
ish to gray-green, sometimes dark brown; blades palmately divided and deeply lobed
with 5–7 lobes united at the base (i.e. palmatifid leaves) (Figs 1 B, 2 C) (Anthurium
expansum, A. longissimum, and A. palmatum) or palmatisect with segments (leaflets)
divided completely to base and free (Fig. 2 A–B), sometimes 3-sect (Fig. 1 C) (A. arisa-
emoides Madison, A. thrinax Madison, A. triphyllum, and A. trisectum Sodiro), more
commonly 5–11-sect (Fig. 1 A, D) (A. brevipedunculatum Madison, A. clavigerum, A.
croatii Madison, A. eminens, A. kunthii, A. pentaphyllum, A. polyschistum R.E. Schultes & Idrobo, and A. sinuatum), the petiolules of each segment short or long (Fig. 2 A–B), the segments usually entire, sometimes sinuate (A. clavigerum, A. sinuatum) or weakly to strongly pinnately lobed (A. clavigerum); the medial segment or lobe largest; side segments or lobes diminishing in size; juvenile blades simple; leaf surface usually smooth, glabrous, generally drying greenish, sometimes yellow-brown or dark brown; midrib typically raised on both surfaces; primary lateral veins typically conspicuous, usually well spaced, weakly raised or sunken above, usually narrowly rounded and prominently raised below; tertiary veins typically visible, sometimes moderately well-raised beneath. INFLORESCENCE short- (A. brevipedunculatum, A. pentaphyllum) or more commonly long-pedunculate; spathe typically green, spreading, sometimes ovate and erect (A. brevipedunculatum), usually persistent; spadix green to purplish violet, usually long-tapered, sometimes short-tapered. FRUITS purple, violet-purple or reddish-purple berries.

Species of Anthurium included in section Dactylophyllum, under this revised delimitation, are mainly distributed in the Amazon lowlands, with a few widespread species ranging into Central America (A. clavigerum, A. kunthii, and A. trisectum), and into the Atlantic coast of South America to Brazil (A. pentaphyllum). Three taxa have disjunct distributions in the coastal mountain ranges of the Cordillera Central of Venezuela (A. digitatum and A. longissimum) and the Lesser Antilles (A. palmatum).

Presently, 24 accepted taxa (20 species and 4 varieties or subspecies) occur in section Dactylophyllum as emended here. These taxa and their geographic distribution are as follow:

Anthurium arisaemoides Madison (Ecuador, Peru)
A. brevipedunculatum Madison (Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru)
A. buchtienii K.Krause (Bolivia)
A. clavigerum Poepp. (widespread, Nicaragua to Venezuela and Peru)
A. croatii Madison (Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru)
A. digitatum (Jacq.) Schott (Venezuela)
A. eminens Schott var. eminens (Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, French Guiana)
A. eminens Schott var. longispadix Croat & M.Mora (Colombia)
A. expansum Gleason (French Guiana, Guyana, Suriname, Venezuela)
A. kunthii var. cylindricum Croat (Bolivia)
A. kunthii Poepp. var. kunthii (Costa Rica to Peru and Bolivia) (Fig. 2 A)
A. longissimum Pittier ssp. longissimum (Venezuela) (Fig. 1 B)
A. longissimum Pittier ssp. nirguense Bunting (Venezuela)
A. moonenii Croat & E.G.Gonçalves (French Guiana)
A. palmatum (L.) Schott (Lesser Antilles) (Fig. 2 C)
A. pentaphyllum (Aubl.) G. Don var. bombacifolium (Schott) Madison (Belize, Costa Rica, Guatemala)
A. pentaphyllum (Aubl.) G.Don var. pentaphyllum (widespread Costa Rica to the Guianas, Brazil and Peru) (Figs 1 A, 2 B)
A reassessment of *Anthurium* species with palmately divided leaves

*Anthurium* species with palmately divided leaves:

- *A. polydactylum* Madison (Bolivia, Peru) (Fig. 1 D)
- *A. polyschistum* R.E. Schultes & Idrobo (Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru)
- *A. sinuatum* Benth ex Schott (Brazil, French Guiana, Suriname, Venezuela)
- *A. thrinax* Madison (French Guiana, Guyana)
- *A. triphyllum* (Willd. ex Schult.) Brongn. ex Schott (Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru)
- *A. trisectum* Sodiro (Costa Rica to Ecuador) (Fig. 1 C)
- *A. zuloagae* Croat (Colombia)

There are also at least four more currently undescribed species in the section, and at least two more varieties that need formal recognition. A complete taxonomic revision, including identification keys, species synonymy, descriptions and illustrative photographs, of all the species of *Anthurium* with palmately divided leaves comprising the newly amended section *Dactylophyllum* is indeed needed, but beyond the scope of this article.
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