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Waterflooding effectiveness of the structurally complex carbonate reservoir in the Tournaisian-Famennian formation of the Magovskoye field is studied. This formation is characterised with hardened geological conditions, which affects the development efficiency. The work includes analysis of the history and current state of the formation development, production and injection well performance, the reservoir natural energy contents, the reservoir pressure performance across wells, formation geology and lithofacies structure. A correlation was established between the well performance and lithofacies heterogeneity of the formation.

A combination of boundary and marginal flooding systems is arranged at the formation target, which shows low efficiency. The wells located in the edge reservoir areas exhibit low reservoir pressures; these areas feature low reservoir properties. Concurrently, there is a difference between the upper and lower parts of the section. The wells drilled into the lower part of the section show a positive water production performance and positive energy level, which is associated with the aquifer influence. The wells drilled into the upper part of the section show lower reservoir properties, higher compartmentalisation and no aquifer influence. The wells located in the areas with low reservoir pressures were reviewed, the reasons for the depleted content of energy were identified and research proposals were provided. Furthermore, we considered the well intervention operations performed at the formation in question and at formations of similar fields in the corresponding geological field conditions, and identified operations with the highest technological effects.

As a result of the studies, well intervention operations were proposed, subject to the specific structure of the lithofacies zones and the nature of the relationship between production and injection wells. It will result in enhancing the waterflood system effectiveness and affecting the target development efficiency, in general.
Introduction

Currently, the development of carbonate deposits entails a range of complicating factors due to their complex geology. The existing development systems do not ensure effectiveness and need an upgrade, findings and application of advanced oil recovery technologies. The case of the Tournaisian-Famennian deposits of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky dome of the Magovskoye field demonstrates that despite the arranged waterflood system, and supplementary injection points, as well as the high cumulative voidage replacement ratio, there are areas with a very poor content of energy, which results in a decreased well productivity and oil production potential. In this regard, a crucial task is to evaluate the waterflood system effectiveness and elaborate a set of recommendations on stimulating reservoir energy contents and uniform areal recovery of reserves.

This work is intended at identifying the reasons behind the low waterflooding effectiveness and reservoir low content of natural energy, and at elaborating a set of recommendations on its enhancement.

Waterflooding Effectiveness Evaluation

The Magovskoye oil and gas condensate field has eight development targets. Over 40 % of recoverable reserves are concentrated in the Tournaisian-Famennian formation target of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky dome. The formation is in the third stage of development, the withdrawal level of initial recoverable reserves is less than 25 %.

The commercial development of the formation under study was started in 1999 with bringing in well No. 15 with a daily oil flow rate of 40 tons. In 2003, wells No. 16 and No. 13 were put into production with daily oil flow rates of 18.1 and 34 tons, respectively. Prior to 2009, the deposit was exploited with three wells placed in different parts of the area. Well No. 15 exhibits a decline in reservoir pressure and water production, as to wells No. 13 and No. 16, no reservoir pressure readings were taken when brought in (Fig. 1, a).

From 2006 to 2007, there was a surge in watercut from 4.4 % to 26.4 %, associated with a formation water breakthrough into the lower perforation intervals of wells No. 13 and No. 16; the isolation squeeze reduced the watercut to 15.3 % (Fig. 1, b).

From 2009 to 2015, the formation was drilled using a five-point pattern layout (500 × 500 m), with a well spacing of 18 ha. As of the current date, artificial lifting is used for oil recovery.

The waterflood system was formed almost simultaneously with bringing-in the production wells. In 2010, three producer wells were converted to injectors, and in 2011, seven
injection wells from the development drilling were put into production. The applied waterflood system is a combination of boundary and marginal flooding systems. Despite the concurrent bringing-in of production and injection wells, the water production levels dropped by one-third over a relatively short period of time of 3 years (see Fig. 1, b). The current oil recovery factor (ORF) for the entire С1t-D3fm formation of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky dome of the Magovskoye field reached 6.5 % [1].

The wells were brought in with high water production rates followed by a sharp downward trend in productivity, which eventually resulted in a failure to meet the design oil production rates and led to the elaboration of an addendum to the reservoir management plan for the Magovskoye oil and gas condensate field in 2017 (Fig. 1, c). It follows from the obtained data that the directional wells show the highest decline rate. During the first 12 months of operation, the water production rate dropped on average by 60 % for the directional wells and by 47 % for the horizontal wells. This fact indirectly indicates depleted energy contents in the deposit areas with the highest water withdrawals [2, 3].

Difficult geological conditions of the development is the primary reason of the dramatic drop in water production rates, i.e. low flow properties (permeability of 0.004 mD), high compartmentalisation (41.4 units) and the presence of vertical fractures [4-8].

The decline in well production rates occurred with various intensities depending on a well location in the area. There are four facies zones in the area of the Tournaisian-Famennian reef mass: bioherm core, upper part of the back apron, lower part of the back apron and reef crest (Fig. 2) [9-19].

The maximum productivity loss from the initial level was in the reef slope facies zone (85 %). The loss of productivity in the lower part of the back apron was 80 %; the most stable in operation are the wells of the upper part of the back apron and the bioherm core, with productivity loss rates of 46 % and 53 %, respectively. Figure 3, a, shows a correlation of the average daily oil flow performance across the facies zones, where a value of specific yield per meter of productive thickness entered by perforation, was used.

Fig. 2. Map of the current operation of the Tournaisian-Famennian formation target with facies zones

Fig. 3. Trends: a is oil production performance in the first year of wells operation by facies zones; b is oil yield performance in the first year of wells operation by formations D3fm and D3fr; c is reservoir pressure performance by wells that entered the upper and lower section parts
Following the comprehensive analysis data, we also determined differences between the upper and lower parts of the reef reservoir. The lower part of the reservoir is most productive, with an average permeability value of 6.75 mD for the porous reservoir, and 4.73 mD for the fractured reservoir. The upper part of the reef reservoir shows lower reservoir properties, higher compartmentalisation and no aquifer influence, with an average permeability value of 0.79 mD for the porous reservoir, and 2.02 mD for the fractured reservoir.

Figure 3, b, plots a trend in oil yield performance for the lower and upper parts of the reef reservoir. The reservoir pressure in the deposits of the lower part of the section is higher than that in the upper part of the section, which signifies high heterogeneity of flow properties of the organogenic mass; Figure 3, c, shows the reservoir pressure readings. The over-pressure in the lower part of the section was surveyed before the injection wells were brought in, which indicates the aquifer’s strength [20].

Thus, the above factors control the low oil withdrawal rates, the uneven recovery of oil reserves, reduced well productivity and low energy contents.

The average daily well production rate is 4.8 tons for oil and 11.6 tons for water. For most of wells, the daily oil flow rate is below 5 tons, while for the half of them, the daily flow rate is below 1 ton. The low flow rates are due to low reservoir properties, depleted energy content and high producing watercut. More than one third of the well stock (41.2 %) feature less than 10 % watercut, while a high watercut (over 60 %) is typical of four wells. The wells located in the low-pressure areas show low mean daily flow rates and low withdrawal oil reserves.

The charts of the current and cumulative withdrawals, pressure and recovery of reserves were analysed to evaluate the effectiveness of the waterflood system.

The arrangement of the injection process contributed to stabilisation and increase of the energy content for a number of production wells located near the injection points. Most of the injection volume (74 %) is performed in the central part of the deposit into the Fr reservoir (wells nos. 109, 118, 127, 117 and 114). Despite the positive impact of the waterflood system, the wells located in the edge reservoir areas of the deposit show critically low pressure values.

Pressure buildup above the bubble point (saturation) pressure is observed in the wells located in the central part of the deposit. Pressure decline in the wells located in the edge reservoir areas, in generally, has a negative impact on the overall indicator performance.

Areas with the abnormally high reservoir pressure (above the initial reservoir pressure) are identified in the area of injection wells in the central part of the formation target (Fig. 4, a). In general, the energy content of the deposit in question is poor; the current reservoir pressure (17.75 MPa) exceeds the bubble-point (saturation) pressure (16.2 MPa), yet there are areas with the pressure below the bubble-point.

It should be taken into account that low reservoir pressure in a number of wells occurs against the annual voidage replacement of 202 %; the volume of the injected water exceeds by far the water withdrawals. The current water breakthrough rates are low, indicating that the injected water partially discharges into the aquifer.

Most of the reservoir area is water-swept. The recovery of the reserves through the section is
non-uniform. Figure 4, b shows a chart of cumulative withdrawals, clearly indicating that the wells located in the edge areas have a low level of the reserve recovery. High and low withdrawal areas are defined across the deposit, showing varying waterflooding effectiveness. The high oil withdrawal areas are mostly covered by the injection wells and are associated with the lower part of the deposit section. Low waterflooding effectiveness is observed in the upper part of the section and in the edge areas. The upper part of the formation with low permeability intervals remains unswept by drainage. The wells located in these areas show a low level of oil withdrawal [21-35].

The analysis identified the areas of low reservoir pressure and reserve recovery. Let us consider the wells located in these areas: injection point of well No. 114 and horizontal well No. 108GS (Fig. 5, a).

Well No. 108GS has a low downhole pressure of 7.7 MPa, resulting from no influence of injection well No. 114 due to a mismatch between oil withdrawal and water injection intervals. In 2019, re-perforation and additional perforation of the upper part of the formation was performed in well No. 108GS, the daily rate having increased by 9.9 tons (however, there was a sharp drop in oil production: the flow rate decreased by 29 % over five months). The section also shows production well No. 128GS and injection well No. 127, the withdrawal and injection intervals are matching, the production well reservoir pressure is above the bubble-point pressure (17.7 MPa). The surrounding wells No. 13_2 and No. 15 entered the upper part of the section, while well No. 114 did not enter the upper part of the section (Fig. 5, b).

All production wells near the injection point of well No. 114 entered the upper part of the section. The following well intervention operations are proposed: additional perforation of the upper part of the section in the injection well No. 114, flow rate measurement and matching the intervals of the withdrawal and injection of water for wells No. 114 and No. 108GS [36].

Let us consider injection wells No. 109 and No. 118: the situation with the injection distribution through the section is similar, the lower part of the section is active, while water discharge into the water-saturated area is observed (Fig. 5, c, d).

Production well No. 122 did not enter the upper part of the section by perforation, and the reserves were recovered nonuniformly through the section (see Fig. 4, a).

A similar situation is observed in injection well No. 131: there is no influence on production well No. 115, and the upper part of the section was not entered. Well intervention
operations are proposed including additional perforation of the upper part of the section in production and injection wells, as well as the isolation squeeze of the perforation intervals in injection wells where water is discharged into the water-saturated area.

Injection well No. 123 produces in two formation targets $C_{2b}$–$C_{1s}$ and $C_{1t}$–$D_{3fm}$. It is located in a close proximity to production well No. 115, yet has no influence on the production well due to its low injectivity (10 m$^3$/day). Since the near-bottomhole location of well No. 115, there is a risk of the injected water breakthrough and producing watercut. Perforation interval isolation squeeze is proposed at formation $C_{1t}$–$D_{3fm}$.

Injection well No. 130 produces with an injectivity of up to 5 m$^3$/day and has no influence on production well No. 135. Injection is inefficient under conditions of low-permeability, highly compartmentalised reservoir with low injectivity. The applicable design engineering documentation provides for the drilling of horizontal wells for oil production in 2021 as well as from the production and injection wells nos. 116, 118, 126 and 130.

As a positive example, the injection point of well No. 117 can be held up: the upper and lower parts of the section were entered by perforation; as a result, wells No. 116 and No. 125 show positive performance in reservoir pressure (Fig. 5, e). In 2019, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) procedures were performed in the wells (acid hydraulic fracturing with a propping agent), which resulted in the daily rate increase by 4.0 and 8.6 tons, respectively (however, well No. 116 showed a decline in oil production: the flow rate decreased by 84 % over eight months). Well No. 126 showed a low reservoir pressure of 8.8 MPa, and no influence is probably due to the well spacing.

The low waterflooding effectiveness results from the non-uniform recovery of reserves associated with permeability heterogeneity and high compartmentalisation of the reef reservoir. Not all drilled wells entered the entire productive thickness; there is a mismatch between the intervals of injection and withdrawal of water, which also leads to uneven recovery of reserves. Bottomwater may also contribute to the watercut.

The proposed well intervention operations including the additional perforation of intervals untapped through the section without the use of oil recovery enhancement and stimulation methods will be ineffective. The formation features low permeability, a high degree of compartmentalisation and heterogeneity across the area and through the section, which has a significant impact on the well productivity and intervention effectiveness [37-39].

As a rationale for the application of the oil recovery enhancement and stimulation methods, the effectiveness of the corresponding technologies thereof applied at formation target $C_{1t}$–$D_{3fm}$ of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky uplift of the Magovskoye field was reviewed.

A total of 48 well intervention operations were performed at formation target $C_{1t}$–$D_{3fm}$ of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky uplift of the Magovskoye field over a period from 2010 to 2020. The Table shows the outcome of the well intervention operations performed in the production and injection wells.

A large number of well intervention operations are currently being performed at the fields in Perm Krai, proppant hydraulic fracturing technologies are most commonly applied. In 2019, two operations were performed at the formation in question; this technology brings a positive technological effect that amounted to 6.3 tons per day. The high-rate acid hydraulic fracturing technology was also tried at the comparable formation target, and two operations with an effect of 10.2 tons per day were performed. Thus, high-rate acid hydraulic fracturing is recommended to enhance withdrawal at formation target $C_{1t}$–$D_{3fm}$ of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky uplift, if the wells meet the technology applicability criteria.

Twenty-six well intervention operations were performed in the injection wells. Most of the operations were aimed at increasing injectivity through the use of acid hydraulic fracturing technology and arranging new injection points. During the development of wells for injection, as well as for the injectivity recovery, acid treatments, acid hydraulic fracturing and proppant hydraulic fracturing were also performed. To stimulate injectivity of the injection wells, further testing of the high-rate acid hydraulic fracturing technology is recommended [40-43].

As of the date of the review, drilling of the planned well stock was completed. There was a rapid decline in productivity in the production wells during the initial period of operation.
Well intervention outcome at formation target C₁t–D₃fm of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky uplift

| Well stock                          | Technology                                      | Amount of well intervention jobs | Oil production gain, tons | Specific oil production gain, tons | Mean effect time, days | Average initial gain, tons/day | Average daily gain throughout effect time, tons/day | Operations period, year |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Oil wells                           | Sidetrack drilling                              | 2                                | 17,676.7                  | 8,838.4                           | 2,454                  | 8.3                           | 5.9                                | 2003–2015                |
|                                    | Viscoelastic compositions                       | 1                                | 2,547.5                   | 2,547.5                           | 636                    | 21.9                          | 4.0                                | 2012                    |
|                                    | Additional penetration                          | 4                                | 3,819.9                   | 3,819.9                           | 362                    | 9.5                           | 8.3                                | 2013–2018               |
|                                    | Re-penetration                                  | 2                                | 5,432.7                   | 5,432.7                           | 735                    | 9.3                           | 3.7                                | 2017                    |
|                                    | Hydrochloric acid treatment                     | 3                                | 3,650.6                   | 3,650.6                           | 722                    | 3.9                           | 2.3                                | 2017–2019               |
|                                    | Acid hydraulic fracturing                       | 5                                | 2,303.1                   | 460.6                             | 133                    | 7.1                           | 3.4                                | 2013                    |
|                                    | Acid hydraulic fracturing with a proppant       | 2                                | 637.9                     | 637.9                             | 148                    | 7.6                           | 6.3                                | 2019                    |
|                                    | Acid hydraulic fracturing with a diverting agent| 1                                | 381.8                     | 381.8                             | 55                     | 11.4                          | 6.9                                | 2012                    |
|                                    | Radial drilling                                 | 1                                | 1,920.9                   | 1,920.9                           | 473                    | 10.0                          | 4.1                                | 2006                    |
|                                    | Remedial isolation cementing                    | 1                                | 151.5                     | 151.5                             | 74                     | 9.1                           | 2.9                                | 2008                    |
|                                    | Total for oil wells                             | 22                               | 25,143.7                  |                                    |                        |                                |                                    |                         |

| Injection wells                    | Bringing a new injection well into production | 3                                | 180.3                      | –                                 | 63.0                   | –                             | –                                 | 2010–2012               |
|                                    | Acid hydraulic fracturing                       | 2                                | 403.3                     | –                                 | 30.5                   | –                             | –                                 | 2012–2013               |
|                                    | Acid hydraulic fracturing with proppant settling| 2                                | 282.2                     | –                                 | 80.0                   | –                             | –                                 | 2016                    |
|                                    | Bringing a new injection well into production with acid hydraulic fracturing | 15                               | 1,817.8                   | –                                 | 58.5                   | –                             | –                                 | 2010–2014               |
|                                    | Additional penetration                          | 1                                | 96.8                      | –                                 | 61                     | –                             | –                                 | 2018                    |
|                                    | Re-penetration                                  | 1                                | 1,767.9                   | –                                 | 67.5                   | –                             | –                                 | 2016–2018               |
|                                    | Application of ‘KSPEO’ acidic compound          | 2                                | 455.6                     | –                                 | 61                     | –                             | –                                 | 2018                    |
|                                    | Total for injection wells                       | 26                               | 3,916.6                   | –                                 | –                      | 8.4                           | –                                 |                         |
|                                    | Total                                           | 48                               | 29,060.3                  | –                                 |                       | 8.4                           | –                                 |                         |

associated with the hindered pressure connectivity to the drainage area under the conditions of poor flow properties and high compartmentalisation. Poor influence of the waterflood system due to limited or no pressure connectivity is observed. The existing development system requires implementation of the following measures:

- introduction of additional drainage zones with the maximum use of the drilled well stock (sidetracking) [44, 45]
- restoration of the operating well productivity
- perforation of intervals with the identified mismatch between the withdrawal and injection intervals
- isolation of intervals where injection is performed into water-saturated intervals
- pilot operations with the use or selection of new oil stimulation technologies in the low-productivity, highly compartmentalised reservoir (with a long-term effect).

The following recommendations were provided to enhance the development and the waterflood system at the Tournaisian-Famennian formation target of the Yuzhno-Rayevsky uplift:

- for wells nos. 114, 131, 109, 118 and 122, it is recommended to perform an additional perforation of the upper part of the section, followed by the high-rate acid hydraulic fracturing. To reduce inefficient injection and increase the energy efficiency, it is recommended to isolate the bottom perforation intervals in wells nos. 109, 118 and 123 and to limit the injectivity in wells No. 109 and No. 118;
- for well No. 130, for the purpose of introducing additional drainage zones, it is recommended to drill horizontal wells for oil production in accordance with the applicable design engineering documentation.

The proposed measures will also lead to a positive reservoir pressure performance trend, uniform recovery of reserves, homogenisation of injectivity profile and improved oil mobility.

Conclusions

The analysis of the deposit development by natural drive has shown that the displacement intensity is significantly higher with the use of the waterflood system. When the deposit is developed by natural drive, there is a decrease in
reservoir pressure, which entails a decrease in well productivity.

The waterflood system arrangement in the first stages allowed to significantly intensify the recovery of reserves. Additional injection points had a positive effect on pressure recovery in certain areas of wells.

Based on the lithofacies analysis results, a correlation was established between the well performance and lithofacies heterogeneity of the formation. Measures were proposed subject to the specific structure of the lithofacies zones, the nature of the relationship between production and injection wells, and the proven effectiveness of the recommended technologies in similar geological field conditions. The proposed measures will enhance the waterflood system effectiveness at the horizontal flow through the section and contribute to an increase in the oil recovery factor, which will increase the asset value.
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