Modal Sensitivity Analysis and Structural Optimization of the Cab of Light Truck
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Abstract—White body finite element model of a light-truck cab is established, with which numerical and experimental modal calculations and analysis are conducted. Based on modal matching principle, a dynamic characteristics analysis is performed, and on the same time, the cab structure optimization is carried out based on the modal first frequency sensitivity analysis. By the structure optimization, the first torsion frequency of the cab is reduced, and the difference between the first torsion frequency and the excitation frequency with the engine idling is increased. To some extent the purpose of reducing vibration and noise is achieved.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the process of moving, due to the excitation of various vibration sources, there is vibration in the cab of car. When the external excitation frequency and the natural frequency of the cab is approaching, resonance will be generated. In order to improve the reliability of the truck and riding comfort, the inherent mode analysis must be carried out, whether the structure of cab is reasonable, it is necessary to evaluate the structure of cab from the point of view of the modal parameters[1]. The cab vibrations come from mode superposition of each mode, where in, the first few steps of the overall mode is the main factor. Because the first order modal frequency of the cab is close to the engine idling excitation frequency, so, an important indicator of the evaluation of the dynamic performance of the cab is the first order overall torsional frequency.

In this paper, through modal sensitivity analysis, the first order modal frequency of the cab of light truck is optimized, we make it avoid engine idling excitation frequency, so as to reduce the vibration and noise, improve the driving comfort and reliability.

II. MODAL ANALYSIS OF THE CAB

A. Establishing Finite Element Model of The Shell Element

Fig.1 is finite element model of the cab, all parts of the entire cab are thin stampings, simulated by shell element. There are 68230 quadrilateral elements and 7125 triangular elements in the model.

B. Numerical Modal Analysis

Do not impose any constraints and force, carrying on pre-processing of the finite element model of the cab and the free numerical modal analysis. Due to the vibration of the cab by the low-level frequency, when in the calculation of 1~100Hz frequency range, the top six order modal frequencies and vibration modes are extracted. The natural frequencies and the corresponding modes (enlarged 20 times) are shown in Fig. 2.
C. Experimental Modal Analysis

In addition to numerical modal analysis, experimental modal analysis is also carried out in this paper. In this test, there are 130 points, taking the single vibration point for vibration, vibration signal using sine sweep signal, sweeping the range of 0~200Hz, sweep frequency speed is 0.2Hz/s, a sweep time about half an hour or so. We take the top six order of 1 to 100Hz frequency range of modal frequencies and vibration modes. Each vibration mode is shown in Figure 3. Numerical modal frequency and experimental modal frequency value comparison as is shown in Table I.

As can be seen from Table I, there is certain error between the numerical modal results and the experimental modal results, the main reasons are we omit some local structure characteristics, as well as errors caused by support error, sensor additional quality error and external interference. But the relative error is less than 10%, it is shown that the finite element model of the cab is accurate, and the overall dynamics of the cab is very good[3].

III. STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION BASED ON MODAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Under idle speed conditions, the frequency of the light truck’s engine can be calculated by the formula \( f = \frac{N}{60} \times M \), where \( N \) is an idling engine speed, \( M \) is a half of the number of strokes. This light truck is four-stroke engine, and speed is about 700r/min under idle speed conditions, so \( N \) takes 700r/min, \( M \) takes 2.Calculated by the above formula, the excitation frequency of the light truck’s engine is 23.3Hz, this value is very close to the first order overall torsional frequency 22.17Hz, so it is easy to cause resonance, thus the riding comfort and the life of the structure are affected[4]. We must optimize the structure of the, and reduce the vibration and noise.

A. Modal Sensitivity Analysis

The cab of light truck is mainly composed of plate and shell structures. We select the thickness of the main parts as the design variables, the variation in the thickness of the plate is less than 20% as the dimension constraints, the second order modal frequency value is unchanged small and cab total mass amount of change is less than 5% as the state constraints. First order modal frequency is selected as the objective function, modal sensitivity analysis is carried out by the OPTISTRUCT solver[5].

Through the analysis of the sensitivity, we get the more sensitive components of the cab, the calculation results is shown in Table II.

| Variable number | Sensitivity coefficient | Variable number | Sensitivity coefficient |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| D27             | -0.07                  | D49             | 3.76                   |
| D2              | -0.08                  | D43             | 2.83                   |
| D17             | -0.11                  | D21             | 0.85                   |
| D12             | -0.18                  | D30             | 0.79                   |
| D56             | -0.20                  | D50             | 0.68                   |
| D19             | -0.19                  | D8              | 0.63                   |
| D37             | -0.45                  | D5              | 0.60                   |
| D30             | -1.57                  | D25             | 0.09                   |

As can be seen from Table II, the more sensitive components is D49, D43 and D30, they correspond respectively to the left side wall outer panel, the right side wall outer panel and the roof outer panel, the thickness of the three components is more sensitive by the first order modal frequency. Therefore, we must select the three sensitive...
components for optimization object when performing structural optimization[6].

B. Structural Optimization

According to the left side wall outer panel, the right side wall outer panel, the roof outer panel and so on, we create the design variables, and according to the constraints variables and objective function, the structure of the cab is optimized by the use of OPTISTRUCT solver. Based on sensitivity analysis results and iteration calculation results, each structure optimized thickness is obtained, and then combined with the actual situation in the production process, and thus to re-determine the thickness of each structural of the cab[7]. The optimization results of cab structure are shown in Table III.

| Variable number | Initial value (mm) | Optimized value (mm) | determined value (mm) |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| D27             | 1.60               | 1.76                 | 1.80                 |
| D2              | 0.80               | 0.95                 | 1.00                 |
| D17             | 1.20               | 1.47                 | 1.50                 |
| D12             | 0.80               | 0.96                 | 1.00                 |
| D56             | 0.60               | 0.81                 | 0.80                 |
| D19             | 0.80               | 0.97                 | 1.00                 |
| D37             | 0.80               | 0.96                 | 1.00                 |
| D30             | 0.80               | 0.96                 | 1.00                 |
| D49             | 1.00               | 0.84                 | 0.80                 |
| D43             | 0.80               | 0.64                 | 0.60                 |
| D21             | 1.20               | 0.97                 | 1.00                 |
| D30             | 1.50               | 1.23                 | 1.20                 |
| D50             | 1.50               | 1.18                 | 1.20                 |
| D8              | 1.20               | 0.98                 | 1.00                 |
| D5              | 1.00               | 0.82                 | 0.80                 |
| D25             | 1.20               | 1.03                 | 1.00                 |

After the finally optimization of the cab, the modal analysis is carried out again, the results compare with before optimization results, comparative results are shown in Table IV.

| contrast parameters | before optimization | after optimization | variable quantity |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| total mass (kg)     | 170.8               | 172.5             | 0.99%             |
| first order modal frequency (Hz) | 22.17             | 19.98             | -9.88%            |

As can be seen from Table IV, through the modal sensitivity analysis of structure optimization, although the total mass of the cab increases slightly, but the total mass of the amount of change is less than 5%, the amplitude is relatively small. After optimization, the first order modal frequency is reduced from 22.17Hz to 19.98Hz, compared to the excitation frequency of the engine idling, it stagger 3.32Hz. Thus away from the excitation frequency of the engine, the generation of the resonance can be effectively avoided.

IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the first torsion frequency of the light truck cab is close to the engine idling excitation frequency, the finite element model of the cab is Established, and then modal sensitivity analysis is carried out, the three sensitive components are found, at the same time, the structure of the cab is optimized, making the optimized cab meet other performance conditions, its first order torsion frequency and the engine idling excitation frequency stagger 3.32Hz. In this case, the resonance is avoided, the purpose of vibration and noise reduction is achieved to some extent, and also the ride comfort and safety are improved.
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