Enhancing radiosensitivity of TE1, TE8, and TE 11 esophageal squamous carcinoma cell lines by Hdm2-siRNA targeted gene therapy in vitro
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Introduction
Radioresistance causes failure in the esophageal cancer treatment, also in neoadjuvant therapy.1-3 Therefore, enhancing radiosensitivity may reduce radiation doses, needed in high dose therapy, and also related side effects on normal surrounding tissues.2,4 There was found an extended variation in the gene expression profiles of esophageal cancer.5-7 It was suggested that different responses of tumor cells to radiotherapy is related to variations in the gene expression patterns.4 Human double minute2 (hdm2) acts as inhibitor of the tumor suppressor,8,10 and is enhanced in human malignancies such as breast cancer, melanoma, esophageal cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, leukemia, and sarcoma.10 Analysis of hdm2 level in relation to p53 gene expression has demonstrated direct relation of p53 missense mutations with overexpression of hdm2 protein,11 so that mutation or deletion of the p53 gene has been found in about 50% of human malignancies.12 Meanwhile in others, the function of p53 is effectively reduced by hdm2.12-16 The main activity of hdm2 is interfering with p53 induced apoptosis and growth inhibition of tumor.17,18 Furthermore, the hdm2 induces carcinogenesis by a p53 independent approach.19 Hdm2 also mediates export of p53 by either the nucle-
ar export signal (NES) or the RING finger of hdm2 and the NES of p53.\(^{10,20}\) It was shown that hdm2-siRNA have induced MCF-7 cell apoptosis and reduced reproduction of the cell.\(^{29}\) Finally, the mechanism of hdm2-siRNA-induced apoptosis, as well as the effect of hdm2 inhibition on various p53-dependent and apoptosis-related genes, is not clearly known in human cancers.\(^{10}\)

In the present study, the effects of silencing hdm2 gene on the radiosensitivity of TE1, TE8, and TE11 ESCC (human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma) cell lines were evaluated.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and cell culture

The ESCC cell lines TE1(RBRC- RCB1894), TE8 (RBRC- RCB2098), and TE11 (RBRC-RCB2100) were purchased from cell bank, the RIKEN BioResource Center through the National Bio-Resource Project of the MEXT (RIKEN; Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan). The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 culture medium (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Gibco Inc., Paisley, UK), under the conditions of 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator at 37°C.

Preparation of siRNA

Twenty one-nucleotide siRNAs were synthesized by Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, Texas, USA) manufacturer’s protocol using 20-ACE protection chemistry. The siRNA sequence targeting hdm2 (GenBank: Accession No. NM_002392) was corresponded to the coding regions 5’–AAUAGUGUAUACGGCAUGCdTdT–3’. Twenty-one-nucleotide siRNAs were synthesized by Santa Cruz, CA, Code No. sc-29394). Membranes were separated on 10% SDS PAGE gel, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane and probed with hdm-2 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, Code No. sc-29394). The thermal cycle conditions were included one cycle of 95°C for 5 min followed by 42 cycles of 95°C for 20 s (denaturation) and 60°C for 20 s (annealing) and 72°C for 20 s (extension). Finally, accuracy of reaction was confirmed by the melting curve analysis with Corbett Rotor-Gene 6000 software (Corbett Life Science, Sydney, Australia).

Western blot analysis

Whole cell lysates were prepared as described previously by Lynam-Lennon et al.\(^{22}\) Protein samples (25 µg) were separated on 10% SDS PAGE gel, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane and probed with hdm-2 polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, Code No. sc-29394). Membranes were processed by enhanced chemiluminescence method (Roche, Germany). Protein bands were visualized by autoradiography and were scanned using a Hewlett-Packard Scan jet 5470c (PaloAlto, CA, USA) scanner. Signal intensities were quantified using ImageJ software (1.45s version) (National Institute of Health, USA). Hdm2 expression values were normalized to β-actin and expressed as fold change compared to the control.

Irradiation

The Cells at a seeding density of 1.0 × 10^4/well were cultured in 6-well culture plates and incubated for 16 h at 37°C prior to the infection. After the period, the cells...
were treated as mock-infected or infected with mdm2 siRNA, and irradiated with γ-rays of 60-Co machine under QC procedures, (Teletherapy Unit, THERATRON® 1000E, Canada) at a dose rate of 98.46 cGy/min working at SAD=100 cm, a field size of 15×15 cm and the doses of 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 Gy in a single fraction at room temperature (23 ± 2°C) 48 h after the infection. Then the cultured cells were counted to score the viable cells, 3 days after the irradiation.

**MTT assay**
A number of 8.0×10³ cells/well were seeded in the 96-well plates. After attachment, the cells were treated with hdm2-siRNA and irradiated following 24 h incubation. The cell proliferation rate was measured according to Aghaee el al. 23 Briefly, 20 µL of 5 mg/mL MTT (3-(4, 5-dimetylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltrazolium bromide) (Sigma-Aldrich,St. Louis, MO, US), was added to each well. The cells were incubated in 5% CO₂, at 37°C for 4 h, treated with 200 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide to solubilize the colored formazan product and solubilized by 25 µL Sorenson’s buffer. An ELISA plate reader (BioTeck, Bad Friedrichshall, Germany) was used to read the absorbance at the wavelength of 570 nm.

**TUNEL assay**
In situ cell death detection kit (Roche Diagnostics, Risch-Rotkreuz, Switzerland) was used for TUNEL assay. Briefly, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized in 0.1% Triton-100, 0.1% sodium citrate, incubated with TUNEL reaction mixture then with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-fluorescein antibody, stained with nitro blue tetrazolium/ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-Indolyl phosphate and counterstained with methyl green. A reaction without terminal transferase was used as a negative control. Twenty four hours after irradiation with 1, 1.50, and 2Gy γ-rays, the cells were assayed.

**Clonogenic cell survival assay**
The clonogenic survival assay was used to evaluate the radiosensitivity of the TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells infected with hdm-2siRNA. Briefly, the transfected cells were cultured in six-well plates with an appropriate cell density, allowed 24 h to attach and subsequently exposed to 60-Co γ-rays. After irradiation, the cells with renewed media were incubated for 14 days at 37°C in a humidified incubator. The produced colonies were counted after fixing with a mixture of methanol-acetic acid (3:1) followed by staining with 2% Giemsa (Merck, Germany). The colonies consisting of more than 50 cells were scored as the survivors. Then plating efficiency and survival fraction were calculated and the sensitizing enhancement ratio was defined as the radiation was required to obtain a specific surviving fraction (SF). Each data point was performed in triplicate. The obtained data were normalized to the plating efficiencies of the sham treated control and used to plot the survival curves by means of the GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

**Statistical analyses**
Statistical analyses were performed by two-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed t test, using Prism (version 4.0) from Graph Pad. Data were expressed as mean ±SE and the p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**
**Expression of hdm2 and p53 in TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells**
The mRNA expression profiles of hdm2 and P53 in the cells were analyzed by real-time PCR assay. The transiently knockdown efficiencies of 200 nM hdm2-siRNA in the cells were first evaluated using quantitative RT-PCR. Results are displayed in Fig. 1. Twenty-four hours after transfection of the cells with hdm2-siRNA, the relative levels of hdm2 RNA were decreased compared to the controls (p < 0.05). The expression of B-actin mRNA was not affected in any of the groups (Fig. 1). To ascertain whether the effect is p53-dependent or not, we employed three cell lines of the cancers with different p53 statuses. The results showed a markedly down regulation of hdm2 mRNA levels in TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells transfected with hdm2 siRNA for 24 h, and increased p53 mRNA levels. Western blotting analysis demonstrated that the transfection of siRNAs, directed against hdm2 mRNA, resulted in a decrease in hdm2 protein levels in TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells (Fig. 1). Densitometry analysis of the prepared western blot films showed that hdm2 levels were decreased.

**Cell viability and cell apoptosis**
The hdm2-siRNA in combination with radiation decreased the cells viability (Fig. 2) and also increased the frequencies of apoptosis in TE1, TE8, and TE11 cancer
cells (Fig. 3). Terminal deoxytransferase (TdT) - mediated deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay was used to monitor the extent of DNA fragmentation due to apoptosis.

**Cell radiosensitivity**

The data on the radiosensitivities of the cells, ascertained by clonogenic assay, were presented in Fig. 4. The siRNA-mediated inhibition of the hdm2 resulted in an increased sensitivity to ionizing radiation in siRNA transfected cells compared to the untransfected cells. The increased radiosensitivity (i.e. DRF) was quantitatively about 1.2, 1.3, and 2.75 for TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells, respectively.

**Discussion**

In present study, hdm2-siRNAs were used to transfect TE1, TE8, and TE11 ESCC cells before exposure to ionizing radiation, and a significant increase in sensitivity to ionizing radiation was found. Our study showed the using of hdm2-siRNA to augment radiation-mediated killing of ESCC and reconfirmed the potential using of siRNA as an adjuvant gene therapy strategy to radiotherapy. Radiotherapy is the common treatment approach that can potentially provide a cure for ESCC. The curability of radiation can be mediated by the radiosensitivity of the tumor target. The decreased levels of mdm2, as a critical component of the responses to ionizing and UV radiation, sensitize cells to the ionizing radiation. Thus, mdm2 is a potential target for therapeutic intervention because its inhibition may sensitize the subset of human tumors and make radiotherapy more effective. To identify genes involved in radiosensitivity and improve effectiveness of radiotherapy in esophageal cancer, we investigated the radiation sensitivities of three human esophageal cancer cell lines, namely TE1, TE8, and TE11 in presence and absence of hdm2-siRNA.

As TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells displayed different hdm2 gene expression levels, it could be considered to be an appropriate model based on molecular radiobiology techniques to study hdm2 gene silencing and possible increased radiation sensitivity of the cells. Ogawa et al performed a study on radiosensivitiy of esophageal cancer cells in 13

Fig. 2. Viability of TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells in the control and radiation groups that were determined by MTT assay.

Fig. 3. Detection of apoptosis by TUNEL test. Effects of 200 nM of hdm2-siRNA followed by 200 cGy of gamma rays (IR) on cytotoxicity and proliferation of TE1 (A), TE8 (B), and TE11 (C) cells. The treated ESCC cells were evaluated by the cell death ELISA assay after 48 h. The apoptotic cells were shown by arrows on the images.

Fig. 4. Survival curves of TE1 (A), TE8 (B), and TE11(C) cell lines, with (red curve) and without (blue curve) hdm2-siRNA infection, were seeded onto 6-well plates and further irradiated with various doses (0-6 Gy). The cells were cultured for 14 days to allow colony formation.
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categories. They found TE11 cells as the most sensitive cells to ionizing radiation. Our study also confirmed their results on radiosensitivity of TE11 cells, also when the cells were infected with hdm2-siRNA. Further, in some studies, microarray technique has shown to facilitate the simultaneous study of the expression of many genes. The results of oligonucleotide microarray have shown that 54 radiosensitive genes were up-regulated four folds and 17 genes were down-regulated ≥ 4-fold in TE-11 ESCC cell lines when compared with other ESCC cell lines. Radiosensitivity is a complex product of cellular and tissue responses. Among the molecules, p53 was found to have a major role on the cellular responses to radiation, but there are inconsistencies in the reported mechanisms by which p53 affects cell survival. When we compared the expression of p53 mRNA and protein in TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells, there were found no significant differences except in the hdm2-siRNA infected cells (Fig. 1). Our results indicated that the reduction in hdm2 expression and increase in p53 expression levels in the cells led to the cell radiosensitization that was probably related to the induction of apoptosis.

Initial screening of siRNA for its effectiveness showed that target proteins (hdm2) were downregulated from 24 h post-transfection (Fig. 1) with protein levels being comparable with the levels seen in untransfected cells. These findings are consistent with previous data reporting the half-lives of these proteins to be in the time range of 24 – 48 h. In TE1 and TE8 cells, we found an increased radiosensitivity when hdm2 up-regulated and p53 down-regulated. The results of colonogenic and MTT assays also confirmed these findings (Figs. 2 and 4). We found that the cell viability reduction following the treatments had different degrees in the TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells, however, survival of the TE11 cells was significantly changed compared to the control (Fig. 4A-C), so that the DRFs of TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells were calculated to be 1.2, 1.3, and 2.75, respectively.

Hdm2 overexpression can decrease the apoptosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinomas. Our obtained data on apoptosis indicated that the overexpression of hdm2 greatly decreased the apoptotic rate in response to radiation (Fig. 2), and confirmed the finding of Liu et al on MCF-7 breast cancer cells. We found that silencing of hdm2 mRNA directly enhanced apoptosis and decreased cell proliferation in TE1, TE8, and TE11 cells (Fig. 2). In addition, hdm2-siRNA transfection not only reduced the target gene expression specifically, but also induced changes in the expression of p53. Our results provided evidence that siRNA technology may be considered as an effective method for inhibition of oncogene expression and activate apoptotic and tumor suppressor genes.

Conclusion

Improved cancer treatment and increased radiosensitivity of esophageal tumor cells may be provided by silencing the related oncogenes.
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What is current knowledge?

✓ Radiosensitivity is a major complicacy in the esophageal carcinoma treatment.

What is new here?

✓ Treatment with Hdm2-siRNA could reduce the related radiosensitivity.
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