BACKGROUND

Recent advances in our understanding of facial aging have resulted in significant insights into facial soft tissue and bony volume loss. Lambros documented soft tissue photometric changes showing that the soft tissue of the face deflates with aging. Rohrich and Pessa clarified the anatomy of the superficial and deep fat compartments and recommended that fat be injected into specific deep fat compartments in the face. Kahn and Shaw separately documented how the facial skeleton ages, losing broad surface areas of bone without the corresponding shrinkage of the soft tissue envelope. Advances in genetics have provided a basis for measuring early interventions that have the potential to slow aging of cells and the finding of stem and regenerative cells in fat introduced the possibility of regenerating aging tissues, which was shown by Rigotti et al and supported by recent work by Cohen. Controlled trials of enzymatically derived stromal vascular fraction (SVF) in scleroderma and osteoarthritis have not reached statistical significance but have shown improvement over controls to the range of 20%–30% and in certain cases (ie, diffuse disease in scleroderma hands) statistically significant clinical benefits. There are almost no other therapies in aesthetics other than fat grafting, SVF-enriched fat grafting, nanofat grafting, platelet rich plasma, and growth factors that have demonstrated neoangiogenesis and “trophic” effects to some degree in virtually all subjects.

When a patient comes in for a consult for facial aging, they are evaluated at that particular moment in time. Yet, aging occurs over a lifetime with growth and development primarily occurring during the first 22 years followed by tissue by tissue and cell by cell. Numerous authors have helped us understand the anatomy of facial fat compartments and the effects of aging on our facial tissues such as skin, fat, bone and fibrous ligaments. Injectable tissue replacement and regeneration (ITR2) is a method to replace decayed tissues of the face using “like tissues” in an effort to delay or slow the rate of tissue decay seen in facial aging. Facial topography and proportion analysis are performed to diagnose individual-specific losses of facial fat. The degree of sun damage and skin thinning is noted as is the degree of loss in the superficial fat compartment. Deep compartment fat loss is evaluated as is pyriform aperture, orbital, mandibular ramus, mandibular body, and chin resorption. From this analysis, a detailed treatment plan is formulated. Using a mechanical device, 3 different fat grafts are created: 2 mm (millifat), 1 mm (microfat), and 500 microns (cell optimized, matrix rich nanofat); anatomic replacement of all areas of tissue loss is carried out. Millifat is used for deep compartment and bone losses, microfat for superficial fat losses above the facial musculature and nanofat is used intradermally and as a biological cream for topical application. The rationale behind this standardized approach is explained and the scientific foundations for the idea are presented. Reduction in tissue decay appears to be a valid observation, but awaits others confirmation.

Summary: Aging is a dynamic process that can be modeled and understood tissue by tissue and cell by cell. Numerous authors have helped us understand the anatomy of facial fat compartments and the effects of aging on our facial tissues such as skin, fat, bone and fibrous ligaments. Injectable tissue replacement and regeneration (ITR2) is a method to replace decayed tissues of the face using “like tissues” in an effort to delay or slow the rate of tissue decay seen in facial aging. Facial topography and proportion analysis are performed to diagnose individual-specific losses of facial fat. The degree of sun damage and skin thinning is noted as is the degree of loss in the superficial fat compartment. Deep compartment fat loss is evaluated as is pyriform aperture, orbital, mandibular ramus, mandibular body, and chin resorption. From this analysis, a detailed treatment plan is formulated. Using a mechanical device, 3 different fat grafts are created: 2 mm (millifat), 1 mm (microfat), and 500 microns (cell optimized, matrix rich nanofat); anatomic replacement of all areas of tissue loss is carried out. Millifat is used for deep compartment and bone losses, microfat for superficial fat losses above the facial musculature and nanofat is used intradermally and as a biological cream for topical application. The rationale behind this standardized approach is explained and the scientific foundations for the idea are presented. Reduction in tissue decay appears to be a valid observation, but awaits others confirmation.
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by a continual and gradual decay of tissues until death. The anatomic and histologic changes due to aging can be seen in the skin, fat compartments, and underlying bone and in the dynamic relationships existing between them. Phenotypic shifts such as photodamage, laxity, and volume loss are the most prevalent results of these physical changes, although severity varies per individual. These changes are interrelated, and we can begin to model aging as a continuum of anatomic and physiologic changes occurring in an individual’s tissues and cells. The question we should be asking is: “Can we model the anatomic and physiologic changes in our tissues and cells as they age and replace and/or regenerate the affected tissues as they decay, perhaps earlier in the decay process, thereby reducing the rate of decay and/or reversing or delaying aging in our tissues?” (Fig. 1).

UNDERSTANDING FACIAL AGING

Aging ultimately occurs as a complex interaction between our individual genetic composition and our particular responses to the outside and inside environment. These interactions lead to progressive deterioration of tissues and cells over time, beginning during the stage of growth and development. Our bodies do their best to supply new cells as others die, but this is not sustainable and decay accelerates.

The Skin

The skin is a complex organ that suffers from losses in function and integrity as the intrinsic and extrinsic processes of aging occur. Reduced thickness of the epidermis (about 6.4% per decade on average), decreased fibroblast content leading to slower collagen turnover rates, and increased elastin calcification are core characteristics of age disruptions in the skin. With continual sun damage, the rete pegs that engage the undersurface of the dermis as kind of “a tongue in groove” attachment begin to regress and the dermoepidermal junction becomes flattened. The thinning layers of the skin become more susceptible to separation due to both loss of microcirculation and disengagement of rete pegs, 2 common consequences of sun damage. Aged skin has the histologic appearance of chronic inflammation (Fig. 2).

The Fat Compartments

Fat is separated into compartments by facial musculature and fascial ligaments (Figs. 3 and 4). Morphological changes in these compartments can be understood by analyzing the topography of the face. True ligaments in the face arise from the periosteum, whereas false ligaments do not start at the periosteal surface. As the fascial septae move closer to the skin surface, they progressively arborize, leading to smaller and smaller subcompartments within the superficial fat compartments (Fig. 5). With age, there is a redistribution and loss of fat throughout the face along with changes in the intracellular matrix, decreased proliferation of cells (ie, preadipocytes), and reduced adhesion between tissues and the skin. Tear trough deformities appear as deep fat loss occurs in the periorbital region and medial SOOF. Decay of tissues in the malar fat pad results in ptosis, a phenomenon which enhances the appearance of tear trough deformities. Both the nasolabial folds and perioral lines gradually deepen. The patterns of these changes vary across individuals with most exhibiting combinations of superficial and deep compartment fat loss.

The Craniofacial Bones

Craniofacial growth is thought to be one of continuous expansion throughout life. As the face ages, the orbits and pyriform aperture expand (Fig. 6). There is nonuniform resorption occurring simultaneously in the midface skeleton. The maxillary angle decreases significantly, around 10 degrees when young (age < 30 y) and older patients (age > 60 y) were compared, resulting in a loss of projection. The mandibular body gradually shrinks in height and width. In early adulthood, the facial skeleton reaches a “peak projection” and subsequent site-specific resorption begins to occur, particularly around the orbit, maxilla, pyriform region, and mandible.3

Fig. 1. A 33-year-old patient is shown (A) and (B) 6 years later, just before receiving full facial iTR2 rejuvenation. In (B), the subtle signs of aging around the eyes, marionette basins, cheeks and pyriform region can be appreciated. At this stage, by replacing the lost volume in an anatomically precise manner that addresses all areas of deep and superficial fat loss, bone loss, skin atrophy, and photodamage, tissue mass is increased, blood supply improved, sustaining and replacing lost tissues and reducing or even reversing some elements of decay, and aging. C, Patient is shown 6 months after iTR2. iTR2, injectable tissue replacement and regeneration.
Two interesting and somewhat related theories pertaining to aging of the facial skeleton are Wolff’s Law and the Functional Matrix Hypothesis. Wolff’s Law proposes that bone shape changes in response to load. From this, we can infer the atrophy and intrinsic aging of both the subcutaneous superficial and deep fat compartments may lead to reduced loading on the craniofacial skeleton thus producing patterns of bone loss. Depending on the underlying dentition, these bony changes can be accelerated as masticatory loading is changed thus contributing to the erosion of facial volume. The Functional Matrix Hypothesis suggests that bone growth is primarily stimulated by genetic processes; however, with time a secondary, compensatory response to the expansion of the surrounding soft tissue matrix occurs.

The Retaining Ligaments

The idea that ligaments, especially the zygomatic retaining ligament, stretch with age remains a supposition. In one study, Lambros suggested that the fibrous network in the face, including the retaining ligaments are relatively immobile and the changes taking place in the aging face are not solely due to gravitational forces, but losses in soft tissue and bone. In surgery, retaining ligaments are difficult to cut, which leads us to think age-related stretching of these ligaments is unlikely unless there is histologic or gross findings of ligamentous tears and disruptions. When we think about a person who has lost substantial weight, the belt does not increase in size, rather, it has a relative appearance of redundancy. The lax appearance of one’s belt after weight loss may be analogous to what has been observed in the retaining ligaments in the face, as the underlying deep and superficial fat compartments begin to atrophy, the ligaments appear redundant. Slack retaining ligaments could potentially transmit less force to the underlying bone therefore potentiating bone atrophy as theorized by Wolff’s Law.
Injectable Tissue Replacement and Regeneration (ITR²) is a new, standardized method of fat grafting which:

1. diagnoses the anatomic components of volume loss by evaluating the surface topography of the face;
2. addresses specific anatomic losses of these different tissues, including skin, facial fat in the deep and superficial compartments, and bone; and
3. replaces these anatomic losses of fat and bone with autogenous or allogeneic fat grafts (and allogeneic bone) that are sized for structural replacement of

---

**Fig. 4.** Cadaver dissections of superficial (A) and deep (B) fat compartments of the midface. Note the deep fat compartments are below the muscles and more “pillowy,” like buccal fat, whereas the superficial fat is more tightly clustered parcels separated by increasingly arborized fascial extensions.

**Fig. 5.** Arborization of the retaining ligaments through the 5 facial layers. SMAS, superficial muscular aponeurotic system.
bone and deep fat losses, superficial fat replacement, and dermal and epithelial replacement and/or regeneration (Fig. 7). (See Video 1 [online], which displays full facial rejuvenation pre-facelift using the Injectable Tissue Replacement and Regeneration technique.)

Regenerative effects may be augmented with Nanofat (primarily a matrix product that, depending on how its processed, contains adipocyte-derived stem cells, SVF cells, and growth factors), Platelet Rich Plasma, and mechanically dissociated SVF. This combination of anatomic fat replacement supplemented with a menu of regenerative ingredients can be tailored to patient-specific needs.

In ITR², the basic principles of the Coleman technique are both preserved and expanded upon through the introduction of an anatomic and regenerative approach, which replenishes fat in the superficial and deep fat compartments and the supramuscleal regions of the pyriform and mandible as well as other areas of bone, fat, and skin atrophy. We believe, as Coleman does, that small microparticles of fat are placed into tissues to optimize their interface. Fat is not uniformly distributed in the face, but separated into compartments both above and below the facial musculature and facial ligaments. Fat is more tightly clustered in the superficial compartments above the muscles and larger and more loosely organized in the deep compartments below the facial musculature. Analysis of facial topography helps us understand and see the anatomic changes occurring in various layers of the face (Fig. 7) (See Video 1 [online], which displays full facial rejuvenation pre-facelift using the Injectable Tissue Replacement and Regeneration technique.)

As surgical artists, it is tempting to use fat as if it were “clay” for the sculptor, to be placed wherever we think it may create an aesthetic outcome. However, with our present understanding of the anatomy of the face and how it ages, it is highly important to place the fat in proper sized grafts into the correct anatomical compartments of loss. ITR² attempts to mimic the parcel sizes seen in the deep and superficial compartments and account for safety by modifying fat into different sizes according to the fat compartment treated, degree of bone loss, and extent of skin damage (See Video 1 [online], which displays full facial rejuvenation pre-facelift using the Injectable Tissue Replacement and Regeneration technique).

The ITR² technique begins with a 14-gauge needle puncture to create an entry site for a 12-holed cannula with openings measuring 2.5 mm in diameter. The harvest results in 2.5-mm fat parcel sizes, referred to as Millifat, which is then rinsed with Ringer’s lactate and decanted. The fat is then processed using the Nanocube (Lipocube, Inc., London, England) resizing device, which creates 2 more parcel sizes: Microfat (1 mm) and Nanofat (>500 microns) (See Video 2 [online], which displays harvested fat is resized from millifat into microfat and nanofat using Nanocube from Lipocube, Inc., London, England). One cleaning is completed with Ringer’s lactate and decanting, a portion of Millifat is set to the side for later use to replenish deep fat compartment loss and bone

**Fig. 6.** A 54-year-old woman who presented with modest sun damage, superficial and deep compartment fat loss and mild laxity seen in the jowls and neck. Orbital expansion created a subtle appearance of pseudoptosis and “senile” enophthalmos, which according to the patient looked as if her “eyes were falling back into her skull.” The appearance of the aged orbit and periorbital tissues in some patients is analogous to a posttraumatic orbital deformity, where correction of the orbital volume enlargement corrects the pseudoptosis (A). This patient underwent ITR² fat grafting to all areas of tissue loss, including the upper eyelid sulcus beneath the orbicularis, intraorbital fat grafting in the inferior lateral aspect of the orbit, the lips and pyriform, deep and superficial fat compartments of the cheeks, upper and lower eyelids, supraorbital rims, forehead and temples as well as the inferior mandibular border and gonial angle along with “pinch” upper and lower blepharoplasties. B, Patient is shown at 1 year postoperatively. ITR², injectable tissue replacement and regeneration.
recession. The remaining fat is transferred into 20-ml syringes to be further processed into Microfat and Nanofat using the Nanocube kit (See Video 2 [online]).

Millifat is used for deep compartment fat loss, areas of bone loss, and for treatment of the aging nose and senile enophthalmos; microfat is used for superficial fat compartments, intradermal injection in deeper creases, and rhytids and hands; nanofat is used for tear troughs, intradermal injection, microneedling into the epithelium and dermis, and as a biocream compounded with a liposomal transdermal delivery vehicle. This newly standardized fat grafting technique was evaluated after ITR2 and facelift surgery. Improvement in facial volume (measured via 3D photometry) was seen up to 24 months following treatment with no weight gain in any of the patients. These findings need to be validated in

Fig. 7. A, A 67-year-old patient is shown with fat loss in superficial and deep fat compartments, modest sun damage, and modest skin laxity. B, The ITR2 treatment plan is created for each individual patient based on areas of bone and fat loss and skin thinning. The red overlays represent areas of deep fat loss to be treated with millifat, and the orange areas represent areas of bone loss to be addressed with millifat. C, The blue overlays represent areas of superficial fat loss to be treated with microfat and/or nanofat. D, Patient is shown 6 months postoperatively. ITR2; injectable tissue replacement and regeneration.
Generally, fillers are injected based on an aesthetic, but not anatomic approach. Although, Swift and Remington and Mendelson have used a more anatomically and proportion-oriented approach to improve aesthetic outcomes with synthetic fillers; synthetic fillers have very little beneficial effects to the tissues surrounding them. When ITR is performed, anatomic replacement of the lost tissues is addressed and there is a gain of soft tissue mass. Neoangiogenesis occurs to some degree in virtually every patient.

**TREATMENT PLANNING AND FACIAL ANALYSIS FOR ITR**

Different patterns of fat loss and bone can be seen in a patient’s facial topography. For instance, take the 3 women shown in Figures 6A, 7A, and 9A. Figure 6A shows a 54-year-old woman who presented with modest sun damage, superficial and deep compartment fat loss, and mild laxity seen in the jowls and neck. Orbital expansion created a subtle appearance of pseudoptosis and “se-nile” enophthalmos. The appearance of the aged orbit and periorbital tissues in some patients is analogous to a posttraumatic orbital deformity, where correction of the orbital volume enlargement corrects the pseudoptosis. This patient underwent ITR to all areas of tissue loss, including the upper eyelid sulcus beneath the orbicularis, intraorbital fat grafting in the inferior lateral aspect of the orbit, the lips and pyriform, deep and superficial fat compartments of the cheeks, upper and lower eyelids, supraorbital rims, forehead and temples, and the inferior mandibular border and gonial angle along with “pinch” upper and lower blepharoplasties (Fig. 6B).

The other 2 women in Figures 7A and 9A have superficial and deep fat loss as well as dermal and epithelial thinning. Both patients required fat grafting in the superficial and deep compartments as well as in areas of bone loss. A Fractional 1927-nm laser was used and Millifat was placed in the buccal space, deep temporal extension of the buccal fat pad, lips, and supraorbital rims. Microfat was placed in the subcutaneous plane of the forehead and perioral tissues as well as the upper and lower eyelids. Nanofat was microneedled into the skin of the entire face (See Video 3 [online], which displays nanofat combined with a liposomal transport agent and used in conjunction with microneedling).

High SMAS facelifts along with pinch upper and lower blepharoplasties were performed in both of these patients (Figs. 7B and 9B).

Genetics play a significant role in how stem and regenerative cells perform. Harvested cells consist of the same genetic makeup of the host, so we can expect similar behavior of this tissue once engraftment takes place. Some people age more rapidly than others and their tissues and cells will likely be less effective when used for regenerative effects. As with any autologous and allogeneic tissues, patients will need to understand that realistically serial treatments may need to be repeated to reduce tissue decay.

In our clinic, ITR is recommended in patients requesting more than one filler and, in most patients, seek-
ing facial rejuvenation (Fig. 7). Serial resurfacing with fractional lasers in combination with platelet rich plasma (PRP) and/or nanofat provides ongoing stimulation of tissue and may delay decay in the skin and dermis as well as underlying tissue. Facial motion is reduced using neurotoxins. Sun protection and proper nutrition and exercise can help to further delay aging in tissues.

**ITR² COMBINED WITH OTHER REGENERATIVE TREATMENTS**

Although ITR² can be performed with fat alone, to achieve fully individualized treatments for patients, it is usually used in combination with treatments such as SVF-enriched fat, platelet rich plasma, nanofat, and fractional lasers. The layers of the face age through various intertwining processes. To counteract these processes, it makes sense to use multiple treatments which function to enhance and support each other when replacing and regenerating decayed tissue. Depending on the degree of facial laxity, energy-based devices to a range of different lasers and fractional CO₂ laser provides numerous microscopic openings through which vitamins, peptides, cosmecuticals, certain sized growth factors or fragments, and other agents can be delivered (Masters of Aesthetics Meeting, San Diego, Calif., 2018).²⁸⁻⁵¹ We have recently studied a group of 33 patients who had combination resurfacing and regenerative treatments with Nanofat and fractional 1,927-nm lasers and demonstrated substantially more new elastin fibers than fractional lasers alone. It appears that application of Nanofat within a short time after fractional 1,927-nm laser leads to marked improvement in the elastin fibers, and compared with historical controls, more rapid healing and a one- to two-point improvement on a Likert scale for wrinkles and texture across all Fitzpatrick skin types (abstract was presented at Master of Aesthetics August 2018) (Fig. 9).⁴⁸

**PRP ± ITR²**

PRP is defined as plasma with a platelet count above the count in that of peripheral blood.⁵² When activated, platelets release several growth factors making them beneficial to the replacement and regeneration of decayed tissue.⁴⁹,⁵³,⁵⁴ These growth factors stimulate processes such as matrix formation, cell proliferation, cell differentiation, and angiogenesis.⁵² Modarressi⁵² demonstrated that PRP fat grafts results in better overall fat grafting survival as well as a reduction in bruising and inflammation. Studies by Li et al⁵⁵ and Modarressi⁵² demonstrated that the addition of PRP to fat grafts increased fat cell survival rate and adipose stem cell proliferation. The volume of fat grafts was improved and the overall retention was improved.⁵²⁵⁵ PRP is utilized as an injection into the tissues or mixed 20%–80% fat and injected via cannulas. Our preference is to use PRP with all patients undergoing ITR², we recommend its use every 4–6 months as maintenance to prevent or delay tissue decay.⁵²

**CONCLUSIONS**

Aging is a dynamic process that can be modeled. ITR² is a method to replace decayed tissues of the face using “like tissues” in an effort to delay or slow the rate of tissue decay seen in facial aging. Facial topography and proportion analysis are performed to diagnose individual-specific losses of facial fat. The degree of sun damage and skin thinning is noted as is the degree of loss in the superficial fat compartment. Deep compartment fat loss is evaluated as is pyriform aperture, orbital, mandibular ramus, mandibular body, and chin resorption. From this analysis, a detailed treatment plan is formulated.

Using a new mechanical device, Nanocube, 3 different fat grafts are created: 2.5 mm (millifat), 1 mm (microfat), and 800 microns (cell optimized, matrix rich nanofat). Anatomic replacement of all areas of tissue loss is carried out.⁴⁵ Millifat is used for deep compartment and bone losses, microfat for superficial fat losses above the facial musculature, and nanofat is used intradermally and as a biological cream for topical application. In some patients, more fat will survive, and the volume improvement and regenerative effects may be more pronounced. In others, less effect will be noted. In part, this variability is related

---

**ITR² in Combination with Fractional Laser Resurfacing**

Our team has had experience with fractional lasers since their introduction in 2004. The injury that occurs with the fractional 1,927 nm wavelength laser and a fractionated CO₂ laser provides numerous microscopic
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Some people age more rapidly in the same environment as another person with similar exposure. Because we are working with the individual’s cells and tissues, likely these are subject to the same inherent variability. This does not mean a treatment does not work, it means that it works to lesser extent in some individuals.

This special topic paper is a compilation of many ideas, gathered under an umbrella of a new concept, ITR®.
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