Assessment of Tissue Viability Using Diffusion- and Perfusion-Weighted MRI in Hyperacute Stroke

Won-Jin Moon, MD1, 2
Dong Gyu Na, MD1, 3
Jae Wook Ryoo, MD1, 4
Hong Gee Roh, MD1
Hong Sik Byun, MD1
Yong Hwan Jeon, MD1
Eun Chul Chung, MD2

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between the diffusion and perfusion parameters in hyperacute infarction, and we wanted to determine the viability threshold for the ischemic penumbra using diffusion- and perfusion-weighted imaging (DWI and PWI, respectively).

Materials and Methods: Both DWI and PWI were performed within six hours from the onset of symptoms for 12 patients who had suffered from acute stroke. Three regions of interest (ROIs) were identified: ROI 1 was the initial lesion on DWI; ROI 2 was the DWI/PWI mismatch area (the penumbra) that progressed onward to the infarct; and ROI 3 was the mismatch area that recovered to normal on the follow-up scans. The ratios of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), the relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV), and the time to peak (TTP) were calculated as the lesions’ ROIs divided by the contralateral mirror ROIs, and these values were then correlated with each other. The viability threshold was determined by using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves.

Results: For all three ROIs, the ADC ratios had significant linear correlation with the TTP ratios (p < 0.001), but not with the rCBV ratios (p = 0.280). There was no significant difference for the ADC and rCBV ratios within the ROIs. The mean TTP ratio/TTP delay between the penumbras’ two ROIs showed a significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). The cutoff value between ROI 2 and ROI 3, as the viability threshold, was a TTP ratio of 1.29 (with a sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 73%, respectively) and a TTP delay of 7.8 sec (with a sensitivity and specificity of 84% and 72%, respectively).

Conclusion: Determining the viability thresholds for the TTP ratio/delay on the PWI may be helpful for selecting those patients who would benefit from the various therapeutic interventions that can be used during the acute phase of ischemic stroke.

In recent years, perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) have become routine examinations for acute stroke patients in many stroke centers. In general, the DWI abnormality represents the severely damaged tissue while the PWI abnormality represents the hemodynamically impaired tissue (1–4). Within the first few hours of stroke, the abnormal perfusion area is usually larger than the DWI lesion (3–6). These diffusion-perfusion mismatch patterns predict the subsequent expansion of an infarct and the so-called “tissue at risk”, which is the main target for delivering thrombolytic therapy during the acute phase of ischemic stroke. However, because PWI is very sensitive for detecting decreased perfusion, the DWI/PWI mismatch region may encompass not only the tissue at risk of infarction, but it may also include oligemic normal tissue (7). Therefore, it is necessary to quantify the severity of the perfusion deficit within the...
follow-up MRI or CT within six days (mean = 2.1 days) after the onset of symptoms. All the patients underwent a follow-up CT 17 days after the initial imaging. MR angiography was also performed in all the patients simultaneously. This study was approved by the review board of our institution, and the study was performed with obtaining an informed consent from each patient or a close relative.

**MRI Protocol**

MRI was performed using a 1.5 T imaging unit (Signa, GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) that had echo-planar capability. In each patient, the study included the DWI, PWI and conventional MR imaging. The conventional MR protocol consisted of 1) axial T1-weighted spin-echo images (467/9 [repetition time msec/echo time msec]), 2) the axial T2-weighted fast spin-echo image (3417/102 [effective echo time]), 3) the axial FLAIR image (10000/400/2200 [inversion time]). The imaging parameters of the conventional MR imaging were a 256 × 192 matrix, a 23-cm field of view, and a 5 mm/1 mm slice thickness/intersection gap. In all patients, three-dimensional time-of flight MR angiography was performed through the region of the circle of Willis prior to the perfusion MR imaging.

**Diffusion-Weighted Imaging**

The diffusion-weighted images were obtained with multisection single shot spin-echo echo planar imaging sequences. Each of the 20 sections was acquired with a b value of 0 and 1000 sec/mm². The diffusion gradients were applied to the three orthogonal directions in space. An isotropic diffusion-weighted image was generated online by averaging the three orthogonal-axis images. The acquisition parameters of the DWI were as follows; 6500/96.8 (repetition time msec/echo time msec), a 128 × 128 matrix, a 28-cm field of view and a 5 mm/1 mm slice thickness/intersection gap. After the MR data were transferred to a workstation, the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps were calculated from the DWI data.

**Perfusion-Weighted Imaging**

The perfusion-weighted images were obtained with dynamic gradient-echo echo planar imaging sequences following the administration of a bolus of gadopentetate dimeglumine (0.2 mmol/kg of body weight). The injection was performed at a rate of 4 ml/sec with a magnetic resonance-compatible power injector, and this was followed by a 15-ml bolus of physiologic saline at the same injection rate. Fifty single-shot echo planar images were obtained in each of the 10 sections including the 15 sets of baseline images. The acquisition parameters used are as follows; TR/TE, 2500/50 msec; flip angle 90°, field of view,
For postprocessing, all the perfusion MR data were transferred to a workstation. The perfusion maps of the relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV), and the time-to-peak (TTP) were generated off-line at a workstation. For each voxel, the time-intensity curve for the dynamic images was converted to a time-concentration curve ($\Delta$ R2). After eliminating the contrast agent recirculation by gamma-variate curve fitting, the rCBV was computed by a numeric integration of the curve. A TTP map was generated by calculating the arrival time of the contrast material to the maximum concentration after injecting the contrast agent.

### Data Analysis

For the quantitative analysis, the lesion volumes were measured on the initial DWI, PWI and follow-up MRI or CT scans by the consensus of two experienced neuroradiologists. After the imaging data were transferred to a workstation, the regions of interest (ROI) were delineated by the manual contouring of the DWI and TTP images. The DWI lesion volumes were determined by manually tracing the edge of the hyperintense signal on each slice of the trace DWI scans obtained at $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$. The TTP lesion volumes were determined by manually tracing the area of the prolonged TTP as a hemodynamically disturbed area. We defined the penumbra as the difference between the tissue volume with a reduced blood flow, as shown on the

![Fig. 1. A 60-year-old man with a right-sided weakness, and he was initially imaged at 4.5 hours from the onset of stroke. A–E. The images are diffusion-weighted image (A), apparent diffusion coefficient (B), time to peak (C), the 20-day follow-up T2-weighted image (D) and the diagram showing the three regions of interest (E). Regions of interest on the affected side and on the unaffected side were outlined by a solid line and a dotted line, respectively. Region of interest 1 covers the initial lesion on the DWI (the ischemic core). Region of interest 2 covers the area of the final infarct on the follow-up image with the area of the initial infarct (the mismatch area that progressed to an infarct) subtracted from it. Region of interest 3 covers the area of the perfusion abnormality on the time to peak with the area of the final infarct (the mismatch area that recovered to normal on the follow-up) subtracted from it.](image)
map of TTP, and the ischemic lesion volume on the initial DWI image (i.e. the DWI/PWI mismatch). Three ROIs were placed manually on the TTP maps, as shown in Figure 1. ROI 1 covered the ischemic core, as was detected from the DWI. ROI 2 covered the diffusion/perfusion mismatch volume that (by visual inspection) progressed to an infarction, as was defined by the follow-up FLAIR or CT images. ROI 3 covered the mismatch volume that appeared as normal on the follow-up images. The ROIs were applied to all the affected TTP slices. All 3 ROIs were mirrored to the contralateral unaffected hemisphere. Finally, all the ROIs were copied to the rCBV and the ADC maps. The ratios between the physiological estimates (rCBV, TTP and ADC) of the lesion and the contralateral mirror ROIs were then determined. The value of the TTP delay, which was defined as the difference between the TTP value of the lesion and that of the contralateral mirror ROI, was also obtained.

Statistical Evaluation

All the results are presented as means ± SD. Pearson’s linear correlation was used to examine the relationship of the different types of parameters in the DWI and PWI. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni posthoc test was used to compare the mean ADC ratios within the ROIs of the 12 patients. Kruskal-Wilcoxon tests were used to compare the mean CBV ratios, the TTP ratios and the TTP delays within the ROIs of the 12 patients. Comparisons between the ROIs were performed by the student t-test, with the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests being performed on the same sample. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to define the optimal cutoff value, which was chosen as the value with the highest possible sensitivity and specificity.

RESULTS

Relationships between the ADC Ratios and the TTP and CBV Ratios

Analysis of the Pearson correlation coefficients demonstrated a statistically significant correlation between

| Table 1. Diffusion and Perfusion Parameters Measured in the 3 Different ROIs on the Initial DWI/PWI |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Ischemic Core (ROI 1) | Progressed to Infarction (ROI 2) | Recovered to Normal (ROI 3) |
| TTP delay (sec)          | 16.1 ± 8.6                  | 8.8 ± 6.0         | 5.2 ± 2.5       |
| TTP ratio               | 1.58 ± 0.38                 | 1.29 ± 0.17       | 1.19 ± 0.10     |
| rCBV ratio              | 1.01 ± 0.94                 | 1.64 ± 0.66       | 1.35 ± 0.32     |
| ADC ratio               | 0.67 ± 0.23                 | 0.87 ± 0.17       | 0.93 ± 0.18     |

Note. Values are means ± SD. For the TTP delay and the TTP ratio, all pairwise comparisons of the subgroups are significantly different; and for the CBV ratio and the ADC ratios, all pairwise comparisons of the subgroups are significantly different, except between ROI 2 and ROI 3.

ROI = region of interest, TTP = time to peak, rCBV = relative cerebral blood volume, ADC = apparent diffusion coefficient

Fig. 2. A. The scatterplot showing the relationship between the apparent diffusion coefficient ratios and the time to peak ratios. The apparent diffusion coefficient ratios showed a weak negative correlation with the time to peak ratios ($r^2 = 0.092, F = 17.84, p < 0.001$).
B. The scatterplot showing the relationship between the apparent diffusion coefficient ratios and the cerebral blood volume ratios. The apparent diffusion coefficient ratios showed no significant correlation with the relative cerebral blood volume ratios ($r^2 = 0.007, F = 1.17, p = 0.280$).
the ADC ratio and the TTP ratio of the initial diffusion and perfusion-weighted images. The scatterplot (Fig. 2A) shows that the ADC ratios displayed significant negative correlation with the TTP ratios ($r^2 = 0.092$, $F = 17.84$, $p < 0.001$). There was no statistically significant correlation between the ADC ratios and the CBV ratios ($r^2 = 0.007$, $F = 1.17$, $p = 0.28$) (Fig. 2B).

**Quantification of Perfusion Parameters**

The mean ratios for the 3 ROIs determined from the different perfusion and ADC maps are shown in Table 1. All the lesion/contralateral ratios of the ROIs for the rCBV, TTP and ADC maps are shown in Figure 3. The mean rCBV ratio of 1.01 ± 0.94 was found for the ischemic core. The rCBV ratio of 1.64 ± 0.66 was found for the penumbra that progressed to an infarction, and the rCBV ratio of 1.35 ± 0.32 was found for the penumbra that recovered to normal. There was no significant statistical difference between the two penumbral regions ($p = 0.107$).

Because of the wide overlap of the calculated rCBV ratios within the subgroups, the cutoff value for the ischemic penumbra could not be obtained.

The mean ratio of the TTP for the 3 ROIs showed a significant difference, with the lower value being observed for the less ischemic area and the higher value being observed for the more ischemic area ($p < 0.001$). The mean TTP ratio was 1.58 ± 0.38 for the core (ROI 1), 1.29 ± 0.17 for the penumbra that progressed to an infarct (ROI 2), and 1.19 ± 0.10 for the penumbra that recovered to normal (ROI 3). The mean TTP ratio was significantly different between ROI 2 and ROI 3 ($p < 0.001$). The cutoff value between the two parts of the penumbra was a TTP

---

**Fig. 3.** Box plots of the mean values of the lesion-contralateral normal regions’ ratios for all the patients. 
**A.** The relative cerebral blood volume ratios, **B.** The time to peak ratios, and **C.** The apparent diffusion coefficient ratios. The line through the center of each box represents the mean value. The ends of the boxes represent the 1st and 3rd quartiles. The end points of each graph represent the smallest and largest values. Region of interest 1 covers the initial lesion on the diffusion-weighted image (ischemic core). Region of interest 2 covers the area of the final infarct on the follow-up image with the area of the initial infarct (the mismatch area that progressed to infarct) subtracted from it. Region of interest 3 covers the area of the perfusion abnormality on the time to peak with the area of the final infarct (the mismatch area that recovered to normal on follow-up) subtracted from it.
ratio of 1.29, with a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 73.4%. For the TTP delay, the cutoff value between the two parts of the penumbra was 7.8 sec with a sensitivity of 83.9% and sensitivity of 72%.

**Quantification of the Diffusion Parameters**

This result showed a lower ADC ratio for the more severe ischemia. The mean ADC ratio for the core was 0.67 ± 0.23, and the mean ADC ratios for the parts of the penumbra that progressed to an infarction and the parts of the penumbra that recovered were 0.87 ± 0.17 and 0.93 ± 0.18, respectively. The mean ADC ratios for all three regions were significantly different ($p = 0.01$). However, the $p$-values for the penumbra that progressed to an infarction versus the penumbra that recovered were equal to 0.11.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the ADC ratios had a linear correlation with the TTP ratios, but not with the CBV ratios. This result suggests that the TTP can represent the degree of tissue ischemia as well as tissue perfusion if an accurate cutoff value for the TTP of the ischemic penumbra is known (13–16). Although ischemic stroke is characterized by a decrease in the CBF and CBV, initial compensatory vasodilatation causes a temporary increase in the CBV (8, 17, 18). Therefore, there is a certain point when the CBV is increased despite the decreased perfusion pressure. This bimodal pattern of the CBV ratio might explain its poor correlation with the ADC ratio, which is a marker of ischemic injury.

It is interesting that in our study, a higher CBV ratio was observed for the penumbra that progressed to an infarction than for the penumbra that recovered to normal. According to a combined PWI/SPECT study (15), the increased rCBV had a protective effect on the progression of an infarct, but the rCBV reduction $< 0.70$ predicted irreversible damage. Contrary to the results of our study, Rohl et al. (8) have reported that the mean CBV ratios for the core, for the penumbra progressing to infarction and for the penumbra that recovered were 0.55, 0.84, and 0.94, respectively, and these values were also consistent with other studies (9, 19). However, Schlaug et al. (4) have reported and increased CBV ratio for the penumbra that later progressed to infarction, and this is in good agreement with our study. Schaefer et al. (20) have demonstrated that both the penumbra that progressed to an infarction and the penumbra that recovered to normal displayed individual mean rCBV ratios $> 1.0$. The increased CBV ratio in the penumbra that later progressed to an infarction may be caused by an early compensatory response to a reduced cerebral perfusion pressure (4, 8, 17, 18). As $rCBV = rCBF \times MTT$, an elevated rCBV ratio with a markedly higher MTT or TTP may represent vulnerable tissue that may progress onward to an infarction.

This study found a longer TTP delay/higher TTP ratio in those cases having more severe ischemia. These findings are in accordance with other human studies in which the MTT or TTP was inversely correlated with the perfusion pressure (8, 13–16, 21). In our study, the cutoff value between the two parts of the penumbra was a TTP ratio of 1.29 with a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 73%. For the TTP delay, the cutoff value between the two parts of the penumbra was 7.8 sec with a sensitivity of 84% and a sensitivity of 72%. This result was similar to the findings that a TTP delay of $> 6s$ in the mismatch between the initial and follow-up MRIs was associated with the growth of an infarct (6). Rohl et al. (8) suggested that those patients potentially benefiting from treatment are the patients for whom the MTT ratio exceeds 1.63.

In this study, the mean ADC ratios were not significantly different between the penumbra that progressed and the penumbra that recovered (0.87 ± 0.17 and 0.93 ± 0.18, respectively). Rohl et al. (8) have also found no significant statistical difference in the ADC ratios between the penumbra that recovered and the penumbra that progressed. However, our results are in contrast with those results of other recent studies (20, 22, 23), in which the ADC ratios were significantly reduced in the regions of the penumbra that progressed to an infarction compared to those regions of the penumbra that remained normal. Although it was statistically insignificant, the slightly lower mean ADC ratios might represent an incomplete ischemia or a heterogeneity of ischemia at presentation (20) and an intravoxel inhomogeneity.

There were several limitations of this study. First, only 12 cases of hyperacute stroke were studied, and this is a small number of subjects for generalizing these results. Second, a large ROI instead of voxel-by-voxel analysis was used to determine the thresholds. As Rohl et al. (8) have noted, this is a severe problem when making therapeutic decisions that would be based on the voxel value rather than on the large ROIs. However, in the near future, better technical progress such as coregistration will solve this problem. Third, the initial diffusion abnormality was regarded as a permanent ischemic core. In most cases, the initial diffusion abnormality represents irreversible nonviable tissue. However, a reversible diffusion abnormality has been occasionally reported in animal and human studies (24–26). Even this assumption could be wrong, which is foreseeable because there is no alternative to using a diffusion abnormality to define an irreversible
ischemic core. Finally, ischemic lesions are subject to dynamic processes and not static processes. Stroke progression is related not only to the residual blood flow, but also to the duration of the decreased blood flow (26). Therefore, a single measurement and evaluation of the DWI and PWI may not provide adequate information on the ischemic penumbra.

In conclusion, while the ADC and rCBV did not correlate with each other, the ADC and TTP showed a significant correlation. The TTP ratio and the delay showed a statistically significant difference between ROI 2 and ROI 3. Therefore, the viability thresholds for the TTP ratio/delay on the PWI may be helpful for selecting those patients who are suitable for therapeutic interventions during the acute phase of ischemic stroke.
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