Introduction

The detection of multiple cancer-related genes using next-generation sequencing (NGS), such as Foundation One CDx (Foundation Medicine, Inc.) and Oncomine Dx Target Test (Thermo Fisher Scientific), has enabled molecular targeted therapy in patients with tumors expressing EGFR, ALK, ROS1, BRAF, MET or RET. However, a frequent problem encountered is the amount of tumor cells within the specimen, as a high tumor cell content (>20%) is required to perform the panel tests. The recently approved Lung Cancer Compact Panel offers much greater sensitivity than those of other conventional NGS panels. Here, we present a case of pulmonary invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma diagnosed based on KRAS G12D detection using this novel panel.

Case Report

A 79-year-old woman with a 3-year history of lumbago, diagnosed as lumbar spinal canal stenosis, was referred to our hospital for orthopedic surgery. Her chest X-ray findings included an abnormal shadow in the left lower lung field. She had a history of hypertension, but general physical examination did not reveal any significant abnormalities. Her peripheral arterial blood oxygen saturation was 97% under room air conditions. She was a nonsmoker. Her chest computed tomography revealed a tumor in the left lower lobe. During endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-guided transbronchial biopsy (TBB) using a guide sheath (GS), a sufficient specimen for pathological diagnosis could not be obtained because the patient had a severe cough and pulmonary bullae located adjacent to the tumor. In the absence of EBUS transbronchial biopsy findings using a guide sheath, brush cytology was used to categorize the tumor as class II (Papanicolaou classification). However, the wash fluid from the cytological examination contained enough cells to obtain sufficient nucleic acid for use in sequencing analysis. The latter revealed KRAS G12D expression. Although the patient underwent surgery without pathological evidence, the evaluation of the surgical specimen confirmed a diagnosis of pulmonary invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma. Use of the Lung Cancer Compact Panel enabled the detection of KRAS G12D in the wash fluid of a brush cytology sample and thus a diagnosis of pulmonary invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma.
laboratory findings were nearly normal (Table 1). Chest computed tomography (CT) revealed a mass in the left lower lobe (Figure FIGURE 1a) and pulmonary bullae adjacent to the tumor (Figure FIGURE 1b). The CT bronchus sign was detected in the tumor. Diagnostic endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-guided transbronchial biopsy (TBB) using a guide sheath (GS) was performed via an endoscopic ultrasound system (EU-ME1; Olympus) equipped with 20-MHz mechanical radial-type probes measuring 1.4 mm (UM-S20-17S; Olympus) in diameter. A thin bronchoscope (channel diameter: 2.0 mm; BF P290; Olympus) and guide sheath (external diameter: 1.95 mm; K-201; Olympus) were used with a 1.4-mm probe.

Lidocaine (5 ml of 2% (w/v) was sprayed into the pharynx, and another 5 ml was administered through the channel during the procedures. The bronchoscope was inserted orally during conscious sedation of the patient, achieved using fentanyl (80 μg) and midazolam (6 mg). However, despite two biopsies, three brushings, and one wash during EBUS, an amount of specimen sufficient for a pathological diagnosis could not be obtained. This was most probably due to the patient’s severe cough and presence of pulmonary bullae adjacent to the tumor (Figure FIGURE 1b). Histo-pathological examination using hematoxylin–eosin staining revealed few malignant cells. Although the brush cytology specimen was categorized as class II (Papanicolaou classification), the wash fluid from the brushing was assessed using the Lung Cancer Compact Panel because of a sufficient amount of nucleic acid (DNA 16.48 ng and RNA 196.96 ng) for this test and an EBUS view (Figure FIGURE 2a). The results revealed the presence of KRAS G12D, with an allele frequency of 1.3%. Moreover, all negative findings (e.g., EGFR, ALK, ROS1, BRAF, MET, HER2, and, RET) were examined. Based on this finding, the cells in the hematoxylin–eosin- and Papanicolaou-stained samples (Figure FIGURE 2b,c) were suspected to be malignant. Therefore, the patient underwent surgery, despite a lack of pathological evidence, and the surgically resected tumor was diagnosed as invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma. The pathological stage was T4N0M0, and KRAS G12D was also detected in the resected tumor.

DISCUSSION

In the US, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) samples for genetic testing are usually obtained by core needle biopsy or transthoracic fine needle aspiration biopsy, guided by CT or ultrasound. By contrast, in Japan, biopsy is mainly performed using a bronchoscope. Small peripheral pulmonary

| TABLE 1 Laboratory findings |
|-----------------------------|
| **Hematology**              |
| RBC                         | 399 × 10^6/μl |
| Hematocrit                  | 38.5%        |
| Hb                          | 13.2 g/dl    |
| WBC                         | 5.5 × 10^3/μl|
| Nt.                         | 66.4%        |
| Lym.                        | 28.5%        |
| Eos.                        | 0.7%         |
| Bas                          | 0.4%         |
| Mon.                        | 4.0%         |
| PLT                         | 17.5 × 10^4/μl|
| **Biochemistry**            |
| Na                          | 142 mmol/l   |
| K                           | 3.7 mmol/l   |
| BUN                         | 12 mg/dl     |
| Cr                          | 0.55 mg/dl   |
| T-Bil                       | 0.62 mg/dl   |
| AST                         | 20 IU/l      |
| ALT                         | 17 IU/l      |
| LDH                         | 164 IU/l     |
| Alb                         | 4.0 IU/l     |
| CRP                         | 0.02 mg/dl   |
| CEA                         | 1.7 ng/ml    |
| CYFRA                       | 1.6 ng/ml    |
| Pro-GRP                     | 65.2 pg/ml   |

**FIGURE 1** Chest computed tomography (CT) findings. (a) A nodule is seen in the left lower lobe (axial view). (b) A nodule measuring 35 mm in diameter and pulmonary bullae adjacent to the tumor can be seen (coronary view).
lesions can often be diagnosed using EBUS-GS, which is currently the most effective bronchoscopic method to collect samples from peripheral lung lesions. Nonetheless, the tumor cell content of EBUS-GS biopsy samples may not be high enough to allow NGS using conventional panel tests. The new Lung Cancer Compact Panel permits sample analysis, including the detection of fusion genes, even when the percentage of tumor cells is very low (1%). In the present case, the specimens were classified as class II based on the observation of only a few atypical cells that could not be definitively classified as malignant. The panel analysis, however, revealed the presence of KRAS G12D in the tumor because of a sufficient amount of nucleic acid (DNA: 16.48 ng; RNA: 196.96 ng) from washing fluid specimen.

Pulmonary invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma is a unique variant of lung adenocarcinoma, but it is usually difficult to distinguish from infectious lung disease by imaging or bronchoscopy. Thus, in some cases, it is diagnosed in surgically resected samples. KRAS mutations have been linked to the clinicopathological, immunohistochemical and molecular characteristics of pulmonary invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma. Because metastatic NSCLC harboring KRAS G12C can be treated with KRAS-targeting agents (e.g., sotorasib), the detection of KRAS subtypes using methods such as the Lung Cancer Compact Panel is essential for therapeutic decision-making. We strongly suspected lung cancer because of this finding, and recommended surgical resection.

In our patient, transbronchial or CT-guided transthoracic biopsy posed a risk of severe pneumothorax due to the presence of pulmonary bullae adjacent to the tumor, whereas brushing was considered to be relatively safe. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the use of the Lung Cancer Compact Panel to detect KRAS G12D in the wash fluid from brush cytology. A prospective study on the suitability of NGS in this setting is still needed.

In conclusion, the Lung Cancer Compact Panel was effective in detecting KRAS G12D, and its use in analyzing gene mutations is recommended even when the tumor cell content of the specimen is low. The detection of KRAS mutations supports a diagnosis of pulmonary invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma.
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