Intracranial tumors of the central nervous system and air pollution – a nationwide case-control study from Denmark
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Abstract

Background: Inconclusive evidence has suggested a possible link between air pollution and central nervous system (CNS) tumors. We investigated a range of air pollutants in relation to types of CNS tumors.

Methods: We identified all (n = 21,057) intracranial tumors in brain, meninges and cranial nerves diagnosed in Denmark between 1989 and 2014 and matched controls on age, sex and year of birth. We established personal 10-year mean residential outdoor exposure to particulate matter < 2.5 μm (PM 2.5), nitrous oxides (NO X), primary emitted black carbon (BC) and ozone. We used conditional logistic regression to calculate odds ratios (OR) linearly (per interquartile range (IQR)) and categorically. We accounted for personal income, employment, marital status, use of medication as well as socio-demographic conditions at area level.

Results: Malignant tumors of the intracranial CNS was associated with BC (OR: 1.034, 95%CI: 1.005–1.065 per IQR). For NOX the OR per IQR was 1.026 (95%CI: 0.998–1.056). For malignant non-glioma tumors of the brain we found associations with PM 2.5 (OR: 1.267, 95%CI: 1.053–1.524 per IQR), BC (OR: 1.049, 95%CI: 0.996–1.106) and NOX (OR: 1.051, 95% CI: 0.996–1.110).

Conclusion: Our results suggest that air pollution is associated with malignant intracranial CNS tumors and malignant non-glioma of the brain. However, additional studies are needed.
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Background

Intracranial tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) are a heterogeneous group of tumors of primarily the meninges, the cranial nerves and the brain. Benign tumors are most often located in the meninges, and glioma is the most common malignant tumor type. The incidence of intracranial CNS tumors types differ by age, sex and race [1, 2]. Around 5% of these tumors are attributable to a range of hereditary syndromes [1]. While the only established exogenous risk factor is ionizing radiation, mounting evidence points toward a protective association with allergic and atopic conditions [1, 3, 4]. A range of medications has been investigated for potential associations [5–7] and some studies have suggested a link with exposures to pesticides or fertilizers [8–11], but the results are inconclusive. At present, no occupational or environmental risk factors for CNS tumors have been conclusively established [2, 12]. One candidate is, however, air pollution, which has been classified as “carcinogenic to humans” by the International Agency for Research on Cancer [13, 14] based primarily on mechanistic studies and epidemiological research.
demonstrating associations with lung cancer. Studies have indicated that ultrafine particles may reach the brain via the olfactory nerve or by crossing from the lungs to the blood stream and then passing the blood-brain barrier [15, 16]. Air pollution exposures have been associated with stroke and negative cognitive effects [17–20] and neuroimaging has shown links with reduced total brain and brain white matter volume [21].

Ambient air pollution consists of a complex array of substances that may be mutagenic/carcinogenic either directly or indirectly via induced inflammation and oxidative stress [16, 22]. As ultrafine particles (UFP) may reach the brain and due to their large number, small size and large surface area, scientific focus with regard to brain tumors has been on UFP and in a recent cohort study from Canada UFP exposure level was associated with risk of malignant brain tumors [23]. However, concentrations of airborne UFP are difficult to model. In Denmark, nitrogen oxides show good temporal correlation with UFP in street canyons [24, 25] and has been used in epidemiological studies [26–28].

Several studies have investigated air pollution in relation to brain tumors with inconsistent results. An ecological study from the US found volatile organic compounds to be associated with county-level incidence of tumors of the CNS [29]. Two studies of a cohort from the US found no association between a range of air pollutants (particulate matter with a diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM2.5) and 10 μm (PM10), sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide or ozone (O3)) and mortality from malignant tumors of the brain [30, 31].

In an exploratory analysis of 54,304 members of the Danish Nurses Health cohort, mean outdoor residential NOx levels since 1971 were associated with an incidence rate ratio of 2.28 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.25–4.19, cases = 95) per 100 μg/m³ increase in NOx and the corresponding OR for non-glioma tumors of the brain was 1.53 (95% CI 1.02–2.29) [32]. The study indicated that increased brain tumor risk may only be detectable at high NOx levels (> 80 μg/m³). The Danish Nurses Health Cohort study investigated intracranial CNS tumors (n = 121) and reported suggestions of a weak association with mean outdoor concentrations of particulate matter < 2.5 μm, PM < 10 μm, NO2 and NOX over past 3 years. Restricting the analysis to meningioma or benign tumors increased risk estimates, but still with wide confidence intervals spanning the null [33]. The same tumor endpoints were investigated in the ESCAPE project that combined cohorts from six European countries, which found a suggestive evidence of an association between malignant tumors and long-term exposure to PM2.5 absorbance, a quantity closely related to elemental carbon and proposed by the authors to be a proxy for traffic related UFP [34].

In summary, some studies have found indications of an association between one or more air pollutants and one or more tumor types, however, the results are inconsistent. Possible explanations may relate to the relatively low number of cases but also to differences in tumor definitions, exposure assessment and the covariates adjusted for. We, therefore, conducted a large register-based case-control study of all intracranial CNS tumors, diagnosed in Denmark over the years 1989 to 2014 with an aim to investigate the different subtypes of tumors in relation to a range of air pollutants from a state-of-the-art exposure model.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted in Denmark (population during the study period, approx. 5.4 million), were all citizens since 1968 have had a unique personal identification number, which allows individuals to be identified and tracked across all health and administrative registers in Denmark [35, 36].

Case ascertainment

From the Danish Cancer Register, which holds nearly complete records of all cancer diagnoses in Denmark since 1943 [37, 38], we identified all Danes, aged 20 years or above, with a primary intracranial tumor (i.e. a tumor of the meninges, the cranial nerves, or the brain) in the period 1989 to 2014 Cases were not allowed to have other cancer diagnoses, except non-melanoma skin cancer, prior to their brain tumor.

Sampling of controls

For each case we sampled two random controls, alive and without a cancer diagnosis at the date of diagnosis for their matched case (index-date), from the Danish Civil Registration System [39]. Controls were matched on sex and birth year and month.

Exclusion criteria

Cases and controls were excluded if they had: 1) No recorded address in Denmark (excluding Greenland and the Faroe Islands) at index-date. 2) Less than 80% geocodable address history for the ten-year period preceding index-date. 3) Missing information on marital status, employment status, household disposable income or area of residence (parish). Information for some of these exclusions was available only after initial matching. Therefore, cases ending without controls or vice versa after full matching and exclusions were excluded.
Exposure assessment
We extracted address histories for all cases and controls since 1979 from the Danish Civil Registration System [39] since air pollution modeling was possible from this year onwards. We geocoded all addresses, and front door air-pollutant concentrations at 2 m height were estimated for each of these addresses using the Danish DEHM/UBM/AirGIS modelling system [40, 41]. This integrated air pollution model system incorporates detailed time-varying information based on three air pollution contributions: 1) regional background, modelled with the DEHM model [42] on 150 km × 150 km scale covering the northern hemisphere and increasing resolution towards a 5.6 km × 5.6 km scale over Denmark, based on historical international and national emission data (including natural emissions); 2) urban background modelled with the UBM model [43] on 1 km × 1 km scale, based on high resolution emission data for Denmark for all emission sectors, land-use data and building heights; and 3) address-level local air pollution calculated with the OSPM model [44], based on street-level data on traffic type and intensity combined with emission factors and taking into account meteorology as well as street and building configuration. The model system is described in detail elsewhere [45, 46]. The model system provided yearly mean values of PM$_{2.5}$, black carbon (BC – a primary component of particulate matter), as well as the gases: O$_3$, and NO$_x$. The level of the latter correlates well with ultrafine particulate matter and has previously been utilized as a proxy for these [26–28].

For each individual, we calculated a time-weighted average (TWA) concentration over residential addresses during the 10 years preceding the index-date.

Covariates
From Statistics Denmark, we obtained yearly individual-level information on disposable income, marital status, employment status and country of origin. For all Danish parishes we obtained yearly information on percentage of the adult population: in lowest income quartile, unemployed, retired, doing manual labor, owning their own dwelling, living in social housing, being of Danish origin, previously convicted (theft, robbery, vandalism or violence), single parent families and having basic education as highest attained education level. In 1996, a total of 2160 parishes existed with a median number of 1032 inhabitants (range 33–35,979) and a mean area of 16.2 km$^2$ (range 0.1–126.2). From the Danish National Prescription Register, which holds information on all prescription drugs redeemed in Denmark since 1995 [47], we obtained information on prescriptions for asthma or allergy conditions (ATC: R03, R06A) [47], prescriptions for hormone replacement therapy (HRT) (ATC: G03C, G03D, G03F, G03HB01), NSAIDs (ATC: B01AC06, B01AC30, N02BA01, N02BA51), non-aspirin NSAID (ATC: M01A except: M01AX), and antidiabetics (ATC: A10A, A10B). We identified all subjects who within a year redeemed at least two separate prescriptions for each drug to increase the likelihood that they were actually using the drug (NSAIDs and HRT) and/or that the indication was diabetes, asthma or allergy.

Statistical methods
We used conditional logistic regression to calculate ORs for all intracranial CNS tumors (International Classification of Diseases, version 10 (ICD10): C70.0, C71.0-C71.9, C72.2, C72.5, D32.0,D33.0-D33.3,D42.0,D43.0-D43.3) and for the following subgroups: malignant tumors (prefix C), non-malignant tumors (prefix D), cranial nerves (C72.2, C72.5,D33.3,D43.3), meningioma (C70.0,D32.0,D42.0), glioma (morphology codes 9380/0–9480/9 within ICD10: C71.0-C71.9, D33.0-D33.3, D43.0-D43.2), malignant non-glioma brain tumors (all other morphologies within ICD10: C71.0-C71.9) and non-malignant non-glioma brain tumors (all other morphologies within ICD10: D33.0-D33.3, D43.0-D43.2). All pollutants were analyzed categorically as well as linearly since previous studies had suggested that effects may only be apparent among the highly exposed. In the categorical analyses, we used categories based on percentiles of exposure among controls: <50th percentile (reference), 50–94th percentile, 95–99th percentile and >99th percentile. These categories provided a separate risk estimate for the few people with very high exposure, since a previous study found associations only in this group [32]. For all linear analyses, we tested for deviations from linearity by likelihood ratio testing comparing our model with a model including also the second-degree polynomial of the pollutant and with a model dividing the pollutant in 20 equal sized groups. We found no consistent signs of deviations (Supplement Table 1).

We analyzed data in three models: a crude model, only taking into account the matching factors; a model additionally adjusting for individual-level covariates, and a final, main model, which also included parish-level covariates. Individual-level covariates included personal income in deciles (calculated annually based on the distribution among controls), marital status (currently living together, formerly married or never married) and employment status (retired, unemployed, blue collar, low-level white collar, high-level white collar). The main, final model also included percentages of adult parish population in the lowest income quartile, unemployed, retired, doing manual labor, owning their own dwelling, living in social housing, being of Danish origin, previously convicted of a crime, single parent families and having basic education as highest attained education
level. To minimize potential effects of prodromal symptoms, we assessed covariates 1 year before index-date. We also conducted sensitivity analyses using the TWA exposure over 1 year and over 5 years. Finally, in an analysis restricting to cases diagnosed after 1995, we adjusted for ever-use of HRT [1, 6] NSAID and non-aspirin NSAID [5], which some studies have associated with CNS tumors and Danish administrative data suggest higher usage in more urbanized regions. We also adjusted for use of antidiabetics, and medication for asthma or allergy conditions since studies have suggested that both diabetes and allergy could be associated with a decreased risk for types of CNS tumors and some studies also associate these conditions with air pollution [48, 49].

Statistical analysis was performed in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results
We identified 22,454 adult cases diagnosed with a primary intracranial CNS tumor in the period 1989 to 2014 and 44,908 matched controls. We excluded cases (45 / 0.2%) and controls (3567 / 7.9%) not living in Denmark at the time of diagnosis, as well as cases (865 / 3.9%) and controls (2318 / 5.2%) who had less than 80% geocodable address history in Denmark for the period 10 years before index-date. We also excluded 42 cases (0.2%) and 126 controls (0.3%) due to missing data on one or more covariates. Finally, we excluded 425 cases (2.0%) and 1529 controls (3.4%) with no remaining controls and 1529 controls (3.4%) with no case, after the above exclusions. The resulting population comprised 21,057 cases and 37,368 controls. Of these cases, 7465 were glioma and 5657 were meningioma. Malignant tumors comprised 46% of the cases.

Table 1 shows virtually no differences between cases and controls for any covariate. Similarly, Table 2 shows similar distributions of the air pollutants for cases and controls below the 99th percentile. However, the maximum observed concentrations of BC, PM$_{2.5}$ NO$_2$ and NO$_x$ were higher among cases. The correlation coefficients between air pollutants were in the range between $-0.98$ and 0.94 (Table 3).

In linear analysis, the ORs for association with total intracranial CNS tumors was 0.967 (95%CI: 0.934–1.002) for O$_3$, 1.011 (95%CI: 0.992–1.030) for NO$_x$, 1.031 (95%CI: 0.997–1.066) for NO$_2$ and 1.016 (95%CI: 0.996–1.037) for BC. For BC, the categorical results showed an exposure response pattern. Malignant tumors of the intracranial CNS was associated with BC (OR: 1.034, 95%CI: 1.005–1.065 per IQR), NO$_2$ (OR: 1.042, 95%CI: 0.992–1.095 per IQR) and NO$_x$ (OR: 1.026, 95%CI: 0.998–1.056 per IQR). Except for

### Table 1

| CASES  | CONTROLS |
|--------|----------|
| TOTAL  | 21,057   | 37,368   |
| Individual level factors: | | |
| FEMALE | 53%      | 54%      |
| Age at index date* | | |
| median | 62       | 63       |
| 10th pctl | 39     | 39       |
| 90th pctl | 80     | 80       |
| Region of origin | | |
| Denmark | 96%      | 95%      |
| Non-Western | 2%     | 2%       |
| Western | 3%       | 3%       |
| Marital status | | |
| Living together | 61% | 59% |
| Previously married | 25% | 26% |
| Never married | 14% | 14% |
| Occupational status | | |
| Unemployed | 4% | 4% |
| Low skill level | 23% | 22% |
| Medium skill level | 16% | 16% |
| High skill level | 8% | 8% |
| Retired | 49% | 50% |
| Disposable income (dKK) | | |
| Median | 125,757 | 122,812 |
| 10th pctl | 61,311 | 58,995 |
| 90th pctl | 254,411 | 254,053 |
| At least 2 prescriptions within a year (data only available since 1996) | | |
| Non-aspirin NSAID | 28% | 27% |
| Aspirin | 12% | 13% |
| Antidiabetics | 4% | 4% |
| HRT | 13% | 12% |
| Allergy medication | 8% | 8% |
| Parish level factors: | | |
| % of population with only basic education | | |
| Median | 27 | 27 |
| 10th pctl | 15 | 15 |
| 90th pctl | 41 | 42 |
| % of population in manual labor | | |
| Median | 29 | 29 |
| 10th pctl | 18 | 18 |
| 90th pctl | 38 | 38 |
| % of population retired | | |
| Median | 6 | 6 |
| 10th pctl | 3 | 3 |
NO₂, the highest risk estimates were observed for the 95-99th percentile of exposures in the categorical analysis (Table 4).

Meningioma was inversely associated with O₃ (OR: 0.923, 95%CI: 0.862–0.988), which was also indicated by the categorical analysis. For NO₂ there was a positive linear association (OR: 1.083, 95%CI: 1.016–1.154) this was not apparent in categorical analysis. For the other pollutants, there was no evidence of association in linear analysis. Neither was there evidence of associations in categorical analysis, except for the highest percentile of PM₂.₅ exposure where the OR was 0.65 (95%CI: 0.43–0.99). In linear analysis, the corresponding OR was 1.088 (95%CI: 0.955–1.239) (Table 4).

For malignant non-glioma tumors of the brain we found an association with PM₂.₅ (OR: 1.267, 95%CI: 1.053–1.524 per IQR), and all PM₂.₅ exposure categories above the median were associated with elevated risks with some suggestion of exposure-response. For BC, NO₂ and NOₓ the corresponding ORs in the linear analyses were 1.049 (95%CI: 0.996–1.106), 1.267(95%CI: 0.983–1.194) and 1.051 (95% CI: 0.996–1.110), respectively (Table 4).

There was no consistent evidence of any associations in either the linear or the categorical analyses for subgroups not mentioned above (Table 4).

Adjusting for area-level covariates generally attenuated risk estimates (Table 5). This was particularly notable for meningioma, where all pollutants were significantly associated in the crude and individual level adjusted models but only the associations with NO₂ and O₃ remained statistically significant after additional adjustment for parish level covariates. An exception from this pattern was malignant non-glioma tumors of the brain, for which the risk estimates increased both when including individual and area level factors in the model.

We also analyzed air pollutant exposure averaged over 1 year and 5 years prior to diagnosis (Supplement Table 2). For malignant tumors, there were indications that the risk estimates were lower in association with shorter averaging periods.

Adjusting for use of NSAIDs, HRT, antidiabetic medication and allergy medication left ORs virtually unchanged in a sensitivity analysis of cases diagnosed after 1995 (Supplement Table 3).

**Discussion**

In this nationwide study, the largest to date, with more than 20,000 intracranial CNS tumor cases, we found PM₂.₅ air pollution NOₓ and BC to be associated with malignant non-glioma tumors of the brain. BC and NO₂ were weakly associated with increased risk for malignant intracranial CNS tumors and O₃ was inversely associated with risk for meningioma. Total intracranial CNS tumors were associated with BC air pollution in an exposure-response manner. ORs for benign tumors were sensitive to adjustment.

Some previous studies on air pollutants and tumors of the brain or CNS found positive associations, whereas others did not. When accounting for the difference of scale, the results have confidence intervals that overlap, indicating that they are compatible. In general, this is also the case when comparing the present study with previous studies (For the reader’s convenience, results from our previous studies, rescaled to the IQR of the present study, can be found as supplement Table 4).

In a Danish cohort, cancer of the brain was associated with NOₓ (rescaled to IQR of the present study: HR
1.17, 95% CI: 1.04–1.31 per 18.88 μg/m³) [26]. The present study could not confirm such an association for the wider group of intracranial CNS tumors. The present study, however, suggested that NO₂ and BC may be associated with a small increased risk for malignant intracranial CNS tumors. No other study has investigated BC in relation to intracranial CNS tumors. However, in the multinational ESCAPE study, the authors found suggestive evidence that PM₂.₅ absorbance, a proxy similar to BC, was associated with malignant CNS tumors, although with a wide confidence interval spanning the null [34]. For NO₂ the HR in that study were near identical to the ORs of the present study. A recent Canadian study found no evidence of an association between PM₂.₅, NO₂ and O₃ and malignant brain tumors [23]. Turner et al. [30] reported on malignant tumors of the brain, adjusted for a comprehensive array of personal and area-level confounders, and found no significant association with PM₂.₅, NO₂ or O₃. When accounting for the different unit scales, the HRs in that study were very similar to those observed in our study.

In a Danish register-based investigation of tumors of the brain, non-glioma tumors were associated with NOₓ (rescaled to the IQR of the present study: OR 1.08, 95%CI: 1.004–1.169 per 18.88 μg/m³) [32]. The cases of that study were also part of the present study, and we found risk estimates of similar magnitude although not statistically significant for NOₓ and BC. For PM₂.₅ we found a stronger association.

We found inverse associations between ozone and meningioma. We cannot provide a plausible explanation for a causal inverse association and it could reflect the inverse relationship between O₃ and other pollutants, although chance is also a possible explanation. Two previous studies, conducted on subsets of the cases in the present study, have found some indication of associations between other air pollutants and benign brain tumors. Small sample size and lack of confounder adjustment (in one study) mean that these observations may result from chance or confounding [32, 33].

Use of the comprehensive and virtually complete registers on the Danish population [35, 36] was a major

| Table 2 | Descriptive data on air pollutants among intracranial CNS tumor cases and controls in Denmark, 1989–2014 |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10 year time-weighted mean exposure | min | 1st pctl | 5th pctl | 10th pctl | 25th pctl | median | 75th pctl | 90th pctl | 95th pctl | max | Inter quartile range |
| BC (μg/m³) | Controls | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.55 | **0.72** | 0.94 | 1.21 | 1.49 | 2.42 | 8.00 | 0.39 |
| | Cases | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.56 | **0.73** | 0.94 | 1.21 | 1.50 | 2.43 | 13.64 | 0.38 |
| PM₂.₅ (μg/m³) | Controls | 9.91 | 11.83 | 12.81 | 13.41 | 14.67 | **16.87** | 20.07 | 22.45 | 23.53 | 26.30 | 37.09 | 5.39 |
| | Cases | 9.93 | 11.82 | 12.83 | 13.40 | 14.63 | **16.76** | 19.95 | 22.43 | 23.52 | 26.06 | 41.46 | 5.31 |
| NO₂ (μg/m³) | Controls | 5.68 | 8.12 | 10.35 | 11.79 | 14.57 | **25.35** | 19.18 | 31.06 | 35.72 | 48.33 | 73.86 | 10.78 |
| | Cases | 5.66 | 8.20 | 10.39 | 11.85 | 14.79 | **25.60** | 19.35 | 31.22 | 35.74 | 48.26 | 79.00 | 10.81 |
| NOₓ (μg/m³) | Controls | 6.13 | 8.84 | 11.42 | 13.17 | 17.06 | **24.83** | 35.92 | 51.01 | 67.33 | 116.69 | 270.31 | 18.86 |
| | Cases | 6.15 | 8.93 | 11.48 | 13.26 | 17.34 | **25.15** | 36.35 | 51.35 | 67.22 | 115.37 | 330.91 | 19.01 |
| O₃ (μg/m³) | Controls | 15.82 | 37.5 | 47.04 | 50.70 | 55.58 | **60.92** | 65.30 | 68.44 | 70.26 | 73.81 | 77.45 | 9.72 |
| | Cases | 15.72 | 37.05 | 47.08 | 50.63 | 55.43 | **60.78** | 65.12 | 68.38 | 70.33 | 73.56 | 77.68 | 9.69 |

| Table 3 | Pearson correlations between pollutants |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| | 10 year mean BC (μg/m³) | 10 year mean PM₂.₅ (μg/m³) | 10 year mean NOₓ (μg/m³) | 10 year mean NO₂ (μg/m³) | 10 year mean O₃ (μg/m³) |
| 10 year mean O₃ (μg/m³) | −0.85 | −0.62 | −0.88 | −0.98 | 1.00 |
| 10 year mean NO₂ (μg/m³) | 0.88 | 0.57 | 0.92 | 1.00 | |
| 10 year mean NOₓ (μg/m³) | 0.94 | 0.55 | 1.00 | |
| 10 year mean PM₂.₅ (μg/m³) | 0.54 | 1.00 | |
| 10 year mean BC (μg/m³) | 1.00 | | | | |
Table 4: Fully adjusted, categorical and linear associations between time-weighted average air pollution (10 years before index date) and risk of intracranial CNS tumors, Denmark 1989–2014

| Exposure levels (a) | NOx | Cases OR (95%CI) | NO2 | Cases OR (95%CI) | BC | Cases OR (95%CI) | PM2.5 | Cases OR (95%CI) | O3 | Cases OR (95%CI) |
|---------------------|-----|------------------|-----|------------------|----|------------------|-------|------------------|----|------------------|
| Intracranial CNS tumors | | |   | | | | | | | |
| < median            | 18674| 10367 | 18674| 10367 | 18674| 10367 | 18674| 10367 | 18674| 10367 |
| 50-94pct            | 16823| 9631  | 16962| 9605  | 16692| 9605  | 16812| 9763  | 16806| 9288  |
| 95-99pct            | 14951| 847   | 14871| 840   | 14811| 850   | 14941| 865   | 14961| 885   |
| ≥ 99pct             | 373  | 210   | 374  | 214   | 373  | 182   | 373  | 188   | 373  | 188   |
| per IQR             | 1.011| 0.992 | 1.031| 1.016 | 1.010| 1.010 | 0.967| 0.994 | 0.962| 0.913 |
| Malignant           | | | | | | | | | | |
| < median            | 10016| 5400  | 10042| 5499  | 10561| 6080  | 9889| 5749  | 9889| 5749  |
| 50-94pct            | 7841 | 4391  | 7772 | 4373  | 8335 | 4560  | 8121| 4665  | 8801| 4945  |
| 95-99pct            | 691  | 415   | 681  | 424   | 766  | 470   | 7122| 411   | 685 | 414   |
| ≥ 99pct             | 166  | 102   | 168  | 101   | 175  | 90    | 159 | 98    | 1072| 1040  |
| per IQR             | 1.026| 0.998 | 1.042| 1.034 | 1.021| 1.021 | 0.962| 0.913 | 0.972| 0.926 |
| Non-malignant       | | | | | | | | | | |
| < median            | 5608 | 3745  | 6004| 3500  | 6309| 3703  | 6512| 3734  | 6512| 3734  |
| 50-94pct            | 5876 | 3369  | 5816 | 3352  | 6101| 3379  | 6029| 3101  | 6029| 3101  |
| 95-99pct            | 491  | 288   | 477  | 294   | 561  | 327   | 501 | 313   | 501 | 313   |
| ≥ 99pct             | 118  | 67    | 114  | 65    | 120  | 56    | 124 | 80    | 124 | 80    |
| per IQR             | 1.017| 0.983 | 1.026| 0.989 | 1.028| 0.993 | 0.938| 0.966 | 0.973| 0.916 |
| Gloma               | | | | | | | | | | |
| < median            | 6606 | 3741  | 6684| 3754  | 6309| 3703  | 6512| 3734  | 6512| 3734  |
| 50-94pct            | 5876 | 3369  | 5816 | 3352  | 6101| 3379  | 6029| 3101  | 6029| 3101  |
| 95-99pct            | 491  | 288   | 477  | 294   | 561  | 327   | 501 | 313   | 501 | 313   |
| ≥ 99pct             | 118  | 67    | 114  | 65    | 120  | 56    | 124 | 80    | 124 | 80    |
| per IQR             | 1.017| 0.983 | 1.026| 0.989 | 1.028| 0.993 | 0.938| 0.966 | 0.973| 0.916 |
| Meningioma          | | | | | | | | | | |
| < median            | 5163 | 2627  | 5163| 2627  | 5163| 2627  | 5446| 3099  | 4966| 3010  |
| 50-94pct            | 4450 | 2475  | 4429| 2478  | 4210| 2326  | 4943| 2755  | 4569| 2736  |
| 95-99pct            | 410  | 239   | 394  | 225   | 340  | 195   | 445 | 226   | 445 | 226   |
| ≥ 99pct             | 102  | 53    | 108  | 57    | 108  | 57    | 114 | 45    | 114 | 45    |
| per IQR             | 1.009| 0.972 | 1.083| 1.016 | 1.016| 1.057 | 1.088| 0.955 | 0.923| 0.862 |

Cranial Nerves
Table 4  Fully adjusted, categorical and linear associations between time-weighted average air pollution (10 years before index date) and risk of intracranial CNS tumors, Denmark 1989–2014 (Continued)

| Exposure levels (a) | NO<sub>x</sub> | NO<sub>2</sub> | BC | PM<sub>2.5</sub> | O<sub>3</sub> |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----|----------------|----------|
|                     | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) |
| < median            | 2181 1280 Referent | 2167 1276 Referent | 2147 1286 Referent | 2309 1335 Referent | 2121 1192 Referent | 2121 1192 Referent | 2121 1192 Referent | 2121 1192 Referent |
| 50-94pct            | 1943 1104 0.987 (0.869–1.122) | 1960 1108 0.978 (0.856–1.119) | 1974 1096 0.915 (0.804–1.042) | 1823 1045 1.047 (0.854–1.283) | 1989 1156 0.990 (0.864–1.133) |
| 95-99pct            | 159 69 0.833 (0.604–1.149) | 151 69 0.870 (0.622–1.217) | 161 75 0.814 (0.596–1.111) | 146 74 1.047 (0.854–1.119) | 160 102 1.131 (0.840–1.522) |
| ≥ 99pct             | 28 17 1.237 (0.650–2.356) | 33 17 1.052 (0.559–1.978) | 29 13 0.816 (0.408–1.635) | 33 16 0.971 (0.501–1.884) | 41 20 0.849 (0.476–1.517) |
| per IQR             | 0.970 (0.908–1.036) | 0.952 (0.858–1.058) | 0.943 (0.879–1.011) | 0.965 (0.776–1.194) | 1.046 (0.936–1.169) |

Malignant Non-glioma tumors of the brain

|                     | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----|----------------|----------|
| < median            | 2031 1121 Referent | 2040 1142 Referent | 2085 1138 Referent | 1851 1001 Referent | 2235 1184 Referent | 2235 1184 Referent | 2235 1184 Referent | 2235 1184 Referent |
| 50-94pct            | 1977 1021 1.021 (0.977–1.068) | 1969 1000 0.904 (0.786–1.041) | 1913 1000 0.986 (0.842–1.108) | 2156 1128 1.254 (1.019–1.543) | 1793 995 1.059 (0.918–1.221) |
| 95-99pct            | 189 131 1.045 (0.948–1.152) | 191 125 1.153 (0.867–1.534) | 197 125 1.219 (0.933–1.593) | 191 139 2.033 (1.451–2.849) | 184 102 1.003 (0.750–1.342) |
| ≥ 99pct             | 50 28 1.057 (0.884–1.265) | 47 34 1.267 (0.774–2.075) | 52 38 1.374 (0.867–2.177) | 49 33 1.833 (1.093–3.073) | 49 33 2.033 (1.451–2.849) |
| per IQR             | 1.049 (0.996–1.106) | 1.084 (0.983–1.194) | 1.051 (0.996–1.110) | 1.267 (1.053–1.524) | 0.937 (0.846–1.037) |

Non-malignant Non-glioma tumors of the brain

|                     | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) | Cntrl Cases OR (95%CI) |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----|----------------|----------|
| < median            | 2709 1560 Referent | 2729 1600 Referent | 2739 1581 Referent | 2767 1607 Referent | 2856 1574 Referent | 2856 1574 Referent | 2856 1574 Referent | 2856 1574 Referent |
| 50-94pct            | 2587 1433 0.958 (0.854–1.075) | 2568 1397 0.894 (0.793–1.008) | 2560 1409 0.944 (0.840–1.060) | 2526 1385 0.997 (0.828–1.201) | 2501 1423 1.033 (0.915–1.167) |
| 95-99pct            | 258 127 0.875 (0.685–1.118) | 252 126 0.803 (0.618–1.043) | 252 131 0.909 (0.712–1.161) | 256 130 0.895 (0.655–1.222) | 206 142 1.282 (0.955–1.652) |
| ≥ 99pct             | 68 42 1.074 (0.707–1.633) | 73 39 0.864 (0.536–1.329) | 71 41 1.000 (0.659–1.518) | 73 40 1.002 (0.637–1.574) | 59 23 0.743 (0.442–1.250) |
| per IQR             | 0.995 (0.950–1.042) | 0.969 (0.890–1.054) | 1.003 (0.956–1.053) | 0.897 (0.759–1.060) | 1.012 (0.925–1.107) |

---

a: interquartile range (IQR) and percentiles calculated among all controls. For specific values, see Table 2
b: Matched on age, sex and month of birth and adjusted for marital status, occupational status, personal income, region of origin and area level information on % of parish population with income in lowest quartile, unemployed, manual labor, retired, basic education, living in social housing, owning their own dwelling, single parent families, previously convicted, of Danish origin
Table 5 Effects of confounder adjustment on linear associations between time-weighted average air pollution (10 years before index date) and risk of intracranial CNS tumors, Denmark 1989–2014

| Air pollutant | IQR (µg/m³) | Model 1* | 95%CI | Model 2** | 95%CI | Model 3*** | 95%CI |
|---------------|-------------|----------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|-------|
| Intracranial CNS tumors (Cases: 21055 Controls: 37356) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 1.020 | (1.004–1.036) | 1.025 | (1.009–1.041) | 1.011 | (0.992–1.030) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 1.041 | (1.017–1.066) | 1.052 | (1.027–1.077) | 1.031 | (0.997–1.066) |
| BC | 0.39 | 1.025 | (1.008–1.042) | 1.030 | (1.013–1.048) | 1.016 | (0.996–1.037) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 1.042 | (0.981–1.107) | 1.053 | (0.991–1.119) | 1.010 | (0.944–1.080) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 0.957 | (0.934–0.981) | 0.948 | (0.924–0.972) | 0.967 | (0.934–1.002) |
| Malignant (Cases: 9785 Controls: 17397) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 1.025 | (1.001–1.049) | 1.035 | (1.011–1.060) | 1.026 | (0.998–1.056) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 1.033 | (0.999–1.069) | 1.051 | (1.015–1.089) | 1.042 | (0.992–1.095) |
| BC | 0.39 | 1.030 | (1.006–1.056) | 1.040 | (1.015–1.066) | 1.034 | (1.005–1.065) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 1.022 | (0.936–1.116) | 1.041 | (0.953–1.138) | 1.021 | (0.926–1.126) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 0.968 | (0.934–1.003) | 0.952 | (0.918–0.987) | 0.962 | (0.913–1.012) |
| Non-malignant (Cases: 11270 Controls: 19968) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 1.015 | (0.993–1.037) | 1.017 | (0.995–1.039) | 0.998 | (0.972–1.025) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 1.048 | (1.015–1.082) | 1.054 | (1.020–1.089) | 1.022 | (0.976–1.070) |
| BC | 0.39 | 1.020 | (0.997–1.044) | 1.022 | (0.998–1.046) | 1.000 | (0.972–1.028) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 1.061 | (0.977–1.153) | 1.065 | (0.980–1.158) | 1.000 | (0.912–1.096) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 0.948 | (0.917–0.980) | 0.943 | (0.911–0.975) | 0.972 | (0.926–1.021) |
| Glioma (Cases: 7465 Controls: 13091) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 1.021 | (0.994–1.050) | 1.034 | (1.005–1.063) | 1.017 | (0.983–1.052) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 1.031 | (0.990–1.073) | 1.052 | (1.010–1.096) | 1.026 | (0.969–1.087) |
| BC | 0.39 | 1.029 | (1.000–1.059) | 1.041 | (1.011–1.071) | 1.028 | (0.993–1.063) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 0.964 | (0.868–1.069) | 0.984 | (0.886–1.093) | 0.938 | (0.836–1.053) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 0.968 | (0.929–1.009) | 0.949 | (0.909–0.990) | 0.973 | (0.916–1.033) |
| Meningioma (Cases: 5657 Controls: 10094) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 1.046 | (1.014–1.078) | 1.044 | (1.012–1.077) | 1.009 | (0.972–1.047) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 1.133 | (1.083–1.185) | 1.132 | (1.082–1.185) | 1.083 | (1.016–1.154) |
| BC | 0.39 | 1.058 | (1.024–1.093) | 1.056 | (1.022–1.092) | 1.016 | (0.977–1.057) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 1.211 | (1.076–1.363) | 1.202 | (1.067–1.354) | 1.088 | (0.955–1.239) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 0.878 | (0.837–0.920) | 0.878 | (0.836–0.921) | 0.923 | (0.862–0.988) |
| Cranial Nerves (Cases: 2470 Controls: 4311) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 0.953 | (0.903–1.005) | 0.960 | (0.909–1.014) | 0.970 | (0.908–1.036) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 0.940 | (0.873–1.011) | 0.945 | (0.877–1.019) | 0.952 | (0.858–1.058) |
| BC | 0.39 | 0.941 | (0.889–0.996) | 0.946 | (0.893–1.002) | 0.943 | (0.879–1.011) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 0.966 | (0.799–1.168) | 0.969 | (0.799–1.175) | 0.963 | (0.776–1.194) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 1.057 | (0.979–1.141) | 1.054 | (0.975–1.141) | 1.046 | (0.936–1.169) |
| Malignant Non-glioma tumors of brain proper (Cases: 2301 Controls: 4247) | | | | | | | |
| NO₂ | 18.86 | 1.034 | (0.991–1.080) | 1.038 | (0.994–1.084) | 1.049 | (0.996–1.106) |
| NO₂ | 10.78 | 1.036 | (0.969–1.107) | 1.047 | (0.978–1.120) | 1.084 | (0.983–1.194) |
| BC | 0.39 | 1.035 | (0.989–1.082) | 1.038 | (0.992–1.086) | 1.051 | (0.996–1.110) |
| PM₂.₅ | 5.39 | 1.179 | (0.998–1.393) | 1.191 | (1.007–1.409) | 1.267 | (1.053–1.524) |
| O₃ | 9.72 | 0.975 | (0.910–1.045) | 0.965 | (0.899–1.035) | 0.937 | (0.846–1.037) |
The strength of our study and allowed us to include all cases in Denmark over a 26-year period. In addition, we were able to investigate subgroups of CNS tumors and to identify even weak associations. The Danish registers provided nearly complete address histories for the vast majority of participants. These address histories in combination with a state-of-the-art air pollution model system allowed us to estimate TWA concentration of outdoor pollution at addresses over the ten years prior to diagnosis, but we had no information about occupational exposures. However, previous studies found little or no influence on risk estimates from adjustment for occupation in the petrochemical industry [26, 34]. We estimated exposure with a state-of-the-art integrated air pollution system, which has shown good prediction of both temporal and spatial variation down to the street scale [46, 50, 51]. Even so, some non-differential exposure misclassification is inevitable and may have driven risk estimates towards the null. It is possible that our 10-year TWA metrics may not optimally capture the relevant time window of air pollution exposure. However, for malignant tumors and for malignant non-glioma tumors of the brain, shorter TWA-periods were associated with lower risk estimates, suggesting that an association is better captured by the 10 year TWA-period. It was a major strength of our study that we in a nationwide study, could adjust in detail for personal socioeconomic position, medication use and neighborhood socio-demographic factors. However, we cannot rule out that confounding from unknown risk factors or chance may have affected our findings, particularly since we performed a large number of analyses. It was a potential limitation that we did not have information on BMI, and smoking, which some studies associated with glioma or meningioma. We also lacked information on ionizing radiation, which is an established risk factor for CNS tumors. We, however, consider the potential bias small, as we can think of no obvious route of association with air pollution in a Danish context, beyond what is addressed by socioeconomic covariates. A potential limitation for the benign tumors is that we had no information on scanning procedures prior to diagnosis. It may have affected our results for benign tumors if the quality or likelihood of scanning differed by air pollution level; some benign tumors can be symptom free for many years and may only be detected by chance during routine scanning [52]. We did not account for pre-existing genetic conditions associated with risk for CNS tumors. If families with such genetic syndromes are more likely to live in urban or rural areas, it may have affected risk estimates. However, the size of this potential bias is limited by the low population prevalence of such conditions and the small proportion of CNS tumors related to such syndromes.

The case-populations of the three previous Danish studies [26, 32, 33], as well as parts of the multinational ESCAPE study [34] are nested within the population of the present study. Similar errors or random effects may therefore have influenced the results of these studies, which constitute the majority of publications on residential air-pollution and CNS-tumors. In our crude model, ORs were elevated for both benign and malignant tumors. Adjustment for area-level SES brought ORs for benign tumors close to unity whereas the ORs for malignant tumors remained elevated. Benign and malignant tumors are generally different diseases and may have different etiologies. Some benign tumors may go undetected for years or even for life. Our results indicate that the likelihood of receiving brain scans, which could discover benign tumors, is associated with some area level socioeconomic factor that is also associated with higher levels of air pollution. Our results for malignant tumors are more likely to reflect true association, as these tumors will typically be detected due to symptoms and not as chance findings at brain scans.

### Table 5  Effects of confounder adjustment on linear associations between time-weighted average air pollution (10 years before index date) and risk of intracranial CNS tumors, Denmark 1989–2014 (Continued)

| Air pollutant | IQR (µg/m³) | Model 1 | OR pr IQR | 95%CI | Model 2 | OR pr IQR | 95%CI | Model 3 | OR pr IQR | 95%CI |
|---------------|------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| NOₙₓ         | 18.86      | 1.001   | (0.964–1.040) | 1.007 | (0.969–1.047) | 0.995 | (0.950–1.042) |
| NO₂          | 10.78      | 0.990   | (0.933–1.049) | 1.005 | (0.947–1.067) | 0.969 | (0.890–1.054) |
| BC           | 0.39       | 1.006   | (0.996–1.047) | 1.013 | (0.972–1.055) | 1.003 | (0.956–1.053) |
| PM₂.₅        | 5.39       | 0.920   | (0.792–1.068) | 0.947 | (0.815–1.101) | 0.897 | (0.759–1.060) |
| O₃           | 9.72       | 1.000   | (0.941–1.064) | 0.982 | (0.923–1.046) | 0.994 | (0.920–1.073) |

*Crude model, adjusted for age, sex and month of birth by matching

*Model 1 with additional adjustment individual level data on marital status, occupational status, personal income, region of origin

*Model 2 with additional adjustment for area level information on % of parish population with income in lowest quartile, unemployed, manual labor, retired, basic education, living in social housing, owning their own dwelling, single parent families, previously convicted, of Danish origin
On the whole, our results suggest that if any association exists between air pollution and CNS tumors, it is likely weak. For benign tumors, adequate confounder control appears to be particularly important.

Conclusion
The present study is the largest study to date on air pollutants and intracranial tumors of the CNS, and indicates that air pollution is a risk factor for CNS tumors. Our data suggest that, the most likely relationship is with malignant intracranial CNS tumors and malignant non-glioma tumors of the brain. However, additional independent studies are needed.
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