Prognostic effect of class III β-tubulin and Topoisomerase-II in patients with advanced thymic carcinoma who received combination chemotherapy, including taxanes or topoisomerase-II inhibitors
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Abstract. Class III β-tubulin (TUBB3) and Topoisomerase-II (topo-II) are considered to be the predictors of therapeutic efficacy and outcome in several types of human neoplasm. However, whether TUBB3 or topo-II may predict the response to combination chemotherapy and prognosis in patients with advanced thymic carcinoma (ATC) remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to investigate the prognostic significance of TUBB3 and topo-II expression levels in ATC. A total of 34 patients with ATC who received combination chemotherapy were enrolled in the present study. Immunohistochemical analysis was used to examine the expression of TUBB3, topo-II and Ki-67 in tumor specimens obtained by surgical resection or biopsy. TUBB3 and topo-II were highly expressed in 38 and 53% of the tumors, respectively. Progression-free survival (PFS) was significantly shorter in patients with high levels of TUBB3 compared with those with low levels of TUBB3 (P<0.01), whereas no significant difference in PFS between patients with high and low topo-II expression levels was observed (P=0.31). Patients with overexpression of TUBB3 or topo-II exhibited significantly shorter overall survival rates (OS) compared with those patients with low levels of expression of these proteins (TUBB3; P=0.01, topo-II; P=0.01). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that a high level of TUBB3 expression was an independent unfavorable prognostic factor for OS, and a high level of topo-II expression tended to correlate with poor prognosis without statistical significance. Additionally, a subset analysis demonstrated that the treatment with taxanes, but not topo-II inhibitors, tended to prolong OS in patients with TUBB3 overexpression and there was significant survival advantage of chemoradiotherapy over chemotherapy in patients with topo-II overexpression. It was revealed that an enhanced expression of TUBB3 or topo-II was clearly associated with clinical outcomes in patients with ATC who received combination chemotherapy, including taxanes or topo-II inhibitors, suggesting the prognostic significance of these markers.

Introduction

Thymic carcinoma (TC) is a rare mediastinal malignancy with an annual incidence of 0.13 cases/100,000 population (1) and accounts for ~5% of all thymic epithelial tumors (TETs) (2). TC has a propensity to invade the surrounding tissues and metastasize, and ~2/3 of all patients with TC are diagnosed with locally advanced or systemic disease (3). These aggressive features result in poor prognoses in the inoperable patients within Japan, with the 5-year survival rate of 24% (4). Although systemic chemotherapy is considered the standard of care for patients with advanced thymic carcinoma (ATC), an optimal regimen has not yet been established due to the disease rarity. Based on the results of a few small phase II trials and retrospective studies, combination chemotherapy, such as carboplatin/paclitaxel (3,5), cisplatin/etoposide (6) and doxorubicin/cisplatin/vincristine/cyclophosphamide (ADOC) (7,8), is a treatment option for patients with ATC in clinical practice.
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These regimens yield modest efficacy, however the response to chemotherapy and outcome vary considerably between patients. Therefore, biomarkers are required that predict the efficacy of chemotherapy and prognosis in patients with ATC receiving combination chemotherapy.

Taxane is a microtubule-stabilizing agent used in the treatment of several malignant tumors. Tubulin heterodimers consisting of α- and β-tubulin are the basic structural components of microtubules (9). Despite the existence of various α- and β-tubulin isotypes, several studies in different types of tumor have highlighted the association of class III β-tubulin (TUBB3) expression with resistance to taxane chemotherapy and poor prognosis (10-22). However, it remains unknown whether TUBB3 expression correlates with clinical outcome in patients with ATC.

Etoposide and anthracycline function by targeting topoisomerase II (topo-II), which serves an essential role during mitosis by generating transient DNA double-strand breaks and changing DNA topology and by controlling decatenation checkpoints and regulating sister chromosome segregation (23). Although previous studies have demonstrated the implication of topo-II expression in chemoresistance and poor prognoses in several malignancies (24-28), the clinical significance of topo-II expression in patients with ATC remains unknown. Therefore, immunohistochemical analysis of TUBB3 and topo-II expression was performed to elucidate whether the level of expression of these markers correlates with chemoresistance and clinical outcomes in patients with ATC.

Materials and methods

Patients and clinical outcome. A total of 40 patients with advanced or recurrent TC receiving combination chemotherapy at three Japanese institutions (Gunma Prefectural Cancer Center, Gunma University Hospital and National Hospital Organization Nishigunma Hospital, Gunma, Japan) were enrolled between April 1998 and April 2014. There were six patients excluded from the analysis as patient information or tumor specimen was not available for three, and the other three patients did not receive combination chemotherapy. As a result, 34 patients were eligible for the final analysis.

Baseline patient characteristics, data on antitumor effect of chemotherapy and survival data were retrospectively collected from the medical records of the enrolled patients. The clinical stage of each TC case was determined according to the 2004 World Health Organization classification. The clinical stage of each TC case was determined according to the 2004 World Health Organization classification. Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated until the date of mortality or the last follow-up consultation. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board of each institution (Gunma Prefectural Cancer Center, Gunma University Hospital and National Hospital Organization Nishigunma Hospital) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Immunohistochemical staining. Tumor specimens were obtained by surgical excision or biopsy. Immunohistochemical staining procedure has been previously described (31,32). Antibodies used in the present study were as follows: TUBB3 monoclonal antibody (cat. no., MMS-435P; dilution, 1:100; Covance, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA), topo-II rabbit polyclonal antibody (cat. no., ab180393; dilution, 1:100; Abcam, Tokyo, Japan), and MIB-1 mouse monoclonal antibody, specific for human nuclear antigen Ki-67 (cat. no., MT2740; dilution 1:40; Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA).

Cells were considered positive for TUBB3/topo-II/Ki-67 if the staining was present in the cytoplasm or the nuclei. The proportion of TUBB3/topo-II-positive cells was assessed by a semi-quantitative scoring method where samples were assigned a score based on the percentage of positive cells: 1, ≤10%; 2, >10-≤25%; 3, >25-≤50%; 4, >50-≤75%; 5, >75%. Samples with scores of 1 and 2 were considered to exhibit low levels of expression, whereas those with scores of 3, 4 and 5 were considered to exhibit high levels of expression. Expression of Ki-67 was evaluated using Ki-67 labeling index (KI), which was defined as the proportion of positive cells among ~1,000 tumor cells in each sample. As the samples used in the analysis also included biopsy specimens, only the presence of the staining, but not the intensity, was considered. Sections were examined by light microscopy in a blinded fashion by at least two investigators. In case of discrepancies, the two investigators simultaneously evaluated the slides until a consensus was reached. The investigators were blinded to patient outcomes.

Statistical analysis. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The association between immunohistochemical staining and patient characteristics was examined using Fisher's exact test. The correlation between different variables was analysed using the nonparametric Spearman's rank test. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate survival, and the survival differences were analysed by the log-rank test. Multivariate analyses were performed using the Cox proportional hazards model to identify independent prognostic factors. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 software (Graph Pad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan) for Windows.

Results

Patient characteristics. Patient characteristics were summarized in Table I. The study included 21 males (68%) and 13 females (32%) with a median age of 62 years, range, 36-75 years. Prior to the enrollment, 10 patients (29%) experienced recurrence subsequent to curative resection of the primary tumor. According to the Masaoka-Koga staging, 5 patients (15%) were stage III, 11 (32%) were stage IVA, and 18 (53%) were stage IVB. The main histological types were squamous cell carcinoma (SqCC), 19 patients (56%) undifferentiated carcinoma, 6 patients (18%) and carcinoid tumor, 4 patients (12%). A total of 21 patients (62%) received chemotherapy alone and 13 (38%) received chemoradiotherapy (CRT) as the initial treatment. The main first-line chemotherapy regimens included etoposide-based doublet, 14 patients (41%) taxane-based doublet, 13 patients (38%) and ADOC, 4 patients...
Table I. Baseline characteristics of 34 patients with advanced thymic carcinoma treated with chemotherapy.

| Characteristic                        | Number |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Age, median years (range)            | 62 (36-75) |
| Gender, male/female                  | 21/13  |
| Smoking, yes/no                      | 17/17  |
| Post-operation recurrence            | 10     |
| Stage (Masaoka-Koga classification), III/IVa/IVb | 5/11/18 |
| Long diameter of primary tumor, median (range) | 70 mm (26-120 mm) |
| PS, 0/1/2                            | 22/11/1 |
| Metastatic site                      |        |
| Pleural dissemination                | 14     |
| Pericardial dissemination            | 8      |
| Lung                                 | 12     |
| Lymph node                           | 8      |
| Bone                                 | 3      |
| Liver                                | 3      |
| Others                               | 4      |
| Histology                            |        |
| Squamous cell carcinoma              | 19     |
| Carcinoid tumor                      | 4      |
| Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma | 2      |
| Undifferentiated carcinoma           | 6      |
| Others                               | 2      |
| Unknown                              | 1      |
| Initial treatment                    |        |
| Chemoradiotherapy                    | 13     |
| (seq. 9, conc. 4)                    |        |
| Chemotherapy                         | 21     |
| First-line chemotherapy regimen      |        |
| CBDDCA+PTX                           | 11     |
| CDDP/CBDDCA+DOC                      | 2      |
| CDDP/CBDDCA+ETP                      | 14     |
| ADOC                                 | 4      |
| Others                               | 3      |
| Post-progression therapy             |        |
| Single agent chemotherapy            | 7      |
| Combination chemotherapy             | 12     |
| Surgery                              | 2      |
| Palliative radiation                 | 12     |
| Others                               | 2      |
| Best supportive care alone           | 6      |
| **Characteristics according to the expression level of TUBB3/topo-II** |
| High expression levels of TUBB3, topo-II and Ki-67 were evaluable in 32, 34 and 34 patients, respectively. Fig. 1 demonstrates representative images of TUBB3 and topo-II staining. KI ranged from 0 to 68% with the median value of 20%, and the cutoff value was set at 20%. High expression levels of TUBB3, topo-II and Ki-67 were detected in 20 (62%), 18 (53%) and 17 tumors (50%), respectively. The mean scores of TUBB3 and topo-II were 2.09±1.28 and 2.59±0.99, respectively. |

**Immunohistochemical analysis.** Immunohistochemical analysis was performed on specimens from 24 primary lesions and 10 metastatic lesions. The expression levels of TUBB3, topo-II and Ki-67 were evaluated in 32, 34 and 34 patients, respectively. Fig. 1 demonstrates representative images of TUBB3 and topo-II staining. KI ranged from 0 to 68% with the median value of 20%, and the cutoff value was set at 20%. High expression levels of TUBB3, topo-II and Ki-67 were detected in 20 (62%), 18 (53%) and 17 tumors (50%), respectively. The mean scores of TUBB3 and topo-II were 2.09±1.28 and 2.59±0.99, respectively. |

**Patient characteristics according to the expression level of TUBB3/topo-II.** Detailed data on patient characteristics according to the expression level of TUBB3 and topo-II are summarized in Table II. Although TUBB3 expression and any clinicopathological factors were not significantly associated, topo-II expression significantly correlated with age and KI (P<0.01 and P=0.02, respectively). Additionally, Spearman’s rank test demonstrated that topo-II expression positively correlated with age (r=0.57, P<0.01) and KI (r=0.42, P=0.02). |

**Response to first-line chemotherapy.** Overall response rate (ORR) in all 34 patients was 35%. ORRs in patients with high and low TUBB3 expression were 36% (5 in 14 patients) and 35% (7 in 20 patients), respectively (P=1.00). In patients with high and low topo-II expression, ORRs were 39% (7 in 18 patients) and 31% (5 in 16 patients), respectively (P=0.73). Amongst patients treated with taxanes, ORRs were 25% (1 in 4 patients) and 29% (2 in 7 patients) in patients with high and low TUBB3 expression, respectively (P=1.00). Finally, amongst patients treated with topo-II inhibitors, ORRs in patients with high and low topo-II expression levels were 56% (5 in 9 patients) and 33% (4 in 12 patients), respectively (P=0.40). |

**Survival analysis and clinicopathological factors.** During the median follow-up period of 27.5 months, range, 1.3-119.7 months, 33 patients experienced disease progression and there were 23 patient mortalities. The median PFS was 7.4 and the median OS was 37.1 months. The two-five-year OS rates were 62.5 and 25.3%, respectively. Patients with high TUBB3 expression exhibited a shorter median PFS compared with patients with low TUBB3 expression, 6.4 vs. 10.5 months, respectively (P<0.01; Fig. 2A), whilst no significant difference was observed in the length of median PFS was observed between patients with high and low topo-II expression, 6.6 vs. 7.7 months, respectively (P=0.31; Fig. 2B). Similarly, patients with high TUBB3 expression exhibited shorter median OS compared with patients with low TUBB3 expression, 14.4 vs. 52.3 months, respectively (P=0.01; Fig. 2C), and the median OS in patients with high and low topo-II expression was 23.9 and 58.9 months, respectively (P=0.01; Fig. 2D). Amongst patients with initial treatment consisting of chemotherapy alone, subjects with TUBB3 overexpression tended to achieve shorter OS compared with those with TUBB3 low expression, although this difference did not appear statistically significant.
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(P=0.051), whereas high topo-II expression significantly correlated with poor OS (P=0.02).

Univariate analysis demonstrated that older age, histological type, SqCC vs. others, and high levels of TUBB3 and topo-II expression were significant prognostic factors associated with decreased OS (Table III). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that age (HR, 2.95; P=0.04) and TUBB3 expression (HR, 3.05; P=0.04) were independent factors for predicting OS (Table III). High topo-II expression tended to correlate with poor prognosis without statistical significance (HR, 2.13; P=0.17; Table III).

Survival analysis according to treatment and TUBB3/topo-II expression. A survival analysis was conducted in patients with TUBB3 overexpression who received taxanes (n=12) or topo-II inhibitors (n=12) at any time during the treatment. Taxane treatment demonstrated a tendency to prolong OS (P=0.08; Fig. 3A), whereas treatment with topo-II inhibitors tended to shorten OS (P=0.15; Fig. 3B).

A survival analysis was also performed in patients initially treated with CRT (n=13) or chemotherapy alone (n=21). A trend toward prolonged OS was observed in all patients treated with CRT in comparison with those treated with chemotherapy alone (P=0.05; Fig. 4A). OS did not differ significantly between patients treated with CRT or chemotherapy alone with regard to TUBB3 status (low TUBB3, P=0.19; high TUBB3, P=0.37; Fig. 4B and C, respectively). In contrast, the survival benefit of CRT over chemotherapy alone was statistically significant in patients with high topo-II expression (P=0.01; Fig. 4E), whereas in patients with low levels of topo-II, OS was equivalent in the two treatment groups (P=0.32; Fig. 4D).

Discussion

In the present study, it was revealed that a high level of TUBB3 expression was a significant predictive marker for shorter PFS and OS, and a high level of topo-II expression was also correlated with poor OS in patients with ATC receiving combination chemotherapy including taxanes or topo-II inhibitors. Additionally, it was noted that treatment with taxanes, but not topo-II inhibitors, tended to prolong OS in patients with TUBB3 overexpression, and a survival advantage of CRT compared with chemotherapy was detected in patients with topo-II overexpression. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in patients with ATC to demonstrate the prognostic significance of TUBB3 and topo-II expression. A significant association between TUBB3 expression level and response to taxane-based regimen has been observed in patients with NSCLC (10-13) and gastric cancer (21,22). Similar results have been demonstrated in patients with TET receiving carboplatin/paclitaxel chemotherapy (33). These results indicated that TUBB3 expression may be a potential candidate for a predictive marker of response to taxane-based chemotherapy. Conversely, the significance of TUBB3 expression for predicting response to chemotherapy was not confirmed. Results consistent with those of the present study have been demonstrated in patients with unresectable ovarian cancer (14) and advanced NSCLC (15,16). Therefore, whether TUBB3 expression predicts effectiveness of taxanes remains debatable. Previous preclinical studies have indicated that TUBB3 promotes tumorigenesis, metastases, and anoikis resistance (34-36). Immunohistochemical analysis of TETs has suggested that TUBB3 overexpression correlates with tumor aggressiveness, regulation of cell cycle and angiogenesis (33).
Thus, TUBB3 may contribute to the intrinsic aggressiveness of ATC as opposed to the resistance of ATC to chemotherapy. Validation of the prognostic significance of TUBB3 overexpression and additional investigations of biological mechanisms underlying clinical effects of TUBB3 overexpression are required.

The guidelines recommend less toxic carboplatin/paclitaxel chemotherapy compared with anthracycline-based regimens (37). However, conventional anthracycline-based regimens remain frequently administered as they appear more effective compared with carboplatin/paclitaxel combinations (3, 5, 7, 8). Notably, the data of the present study

Table II. Patient characteristics according to biomarkers.

| Parameter                      | TUBB3<sup>b</sup> | Topo-II | P-value | TUBB3<sup>b</sup> | Topo-II | P-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|
|                               | High (n=12) | Low (n=20) | P-value | High (n=18) | Low (n=16) | P-value |
| Age, years                   |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| ≤61                          | 7           | 10       | 0.73    | 5           | 13       | <0.01<sup>c</sup> |
| ≥62                          | 5           | 10       |         | 13          | 3        |         |
| Gender                       |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| Male                         | 6           | 14       | 0.29    | 13          | 8        | 0.29    |
| Female                       | 6           | 6        |         | 5           | 8        |         |
| Smoking status               |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| Smoker                       | 7           | 10       | 0.73    | 10          | 7        | 0.73    |
| Non-smoker                   | 5           | 10       |         | 8           | 9        |         |
| Stage (Masaoka-Koga)         |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| III                          | 0           | 5        | 0.13    | 2           | 3        | 0.65    |
| IV                           | 12          | 15       |         | 16          | 13       |         |
| Histology                    |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| Squamous                     | 4           | 14       | 0.07    | 11          | 8        | 0.73    |
| Non-squamous                 | 8           | 6        |         | 7           | 8        |         |
| Tumor size                   |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| <70 mm                       | 7           | 11       | 1.00    | 10          | 9        | 1.00    |
| ≥70 mm                       | 5           | 9        |         | 8           | 7        |         |
| PS                           |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| 0                            | 7           | 13       | 0.72    | 13          | 9        | 0.48    |
| 1/2                          | 5           | 7        |         | 5           | 7        |         |
| Initial treatment            |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| CRT                          | 3           | 9        | 0.45    | 7           | 6        | 1.00    |
| Chemotherapy                 | 9           | 11       |         | 11          | 10       |         |
| Post-progression chemotherapy|             |          |         |             |          |         |
| Yes                          | 7           | 11       | 1.00    | 12          | 7        | 0.30    |
| No                           | 5           | 9        |         | 6           | 9        |         |
| Ki-67 labeling index         |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| <20                          | 6           | 10       | 1.00    | 5           | 12       | 0.02<sup>c</sup> |
| ≥20                          | 6           | 10       |         | 13          | 4        |         |
| TUBB3<sup>a</sup>            |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| High                         | -           | -        |         | 9           | 3        | 0.08    |
| Low                          | -           | -        |         | 8           | 12       |         |
| Topo-II                      |             |          |         |             |          |         |
| High                         | 9           | 8        | 0.08    | -           | -        | -       |
| Low                          | 3           | 12       |         | -           | -        | -       |

TUBB3, class III β-tubulin; Toto-II, topoisomerase-II; PS, PS, performance status; CRT, chemoradiotherapy. *Data on long diameter of primary tumor was not available for five patients; <sup>a</sup>Data on TUBB3 status was not available for two patients. *P<0.05. The expression levels of Topo-II were significantly correlated with age and Ki-67 labeling index.
suggest that taxanes, but not topo-II inhibitors, may improve OS in patients with high TUBB3 expression. Additionally, Galmarini et al (38) have demonstrated that patients with advanced breast cancer with high TUBB3 expression exhibited an improved response to docetaxel compared with to doxorubicin. Thus, TUBB3 expression may be a useful marker that provides an indication for taxane-based regimen in patients with ATC. However, as a direct correlation between the type of treatment and tumor response was not observed, these results must be interpreted with caution.

Previous in vitro studies (39,40) and clinical retrospective studies (41-43) have suggested that an increased level of topo-II may predict a better response to topo-II inhibitors. In addition, Liu et al (44) have demonstrated that topo-II overexpression was significantly correlated with chemosensitivity in 20 patients with TET receiving anthracycline-based chemotherapy. In contrast, the data of the present study did not confirm the significance of topo-II overexpression in predicting responses to chemotherapy. This discrepancy may be attributed to the fact that, contrary to the study by Liu et al (44), the present study was restricted to patients with ATC and included various chemotherapy regimens. In agreement with the data of the present study, no obvious association between topo-II expression and response to chemotherapy was detected in lung cancer (24-26,45). Instead of predicting chemosensitivity, high levels of topo-II expression may serve as a specific marker of cell proliferation, invasive behavior and unfavorable prognosis. Topo-II has been revealed to be involved in cell proliferation and tumorigenicity in prostate cancer cells (28). Clinical retrospective studies have demonstrated that elevated levels of topo-II were correlated with increased levels of Ki-67 and metastasis (42,46) and poor clinical outcomes (24-28) in various types of malignancy. These data support the results of the present study that topo-II overexpression was significantly correlated with Ki-67 overexpression and unfavorable prognosis. In accordance with the data of a large-scale randomized trial in breast cancer (27), the present study also demonstrated a significant correlation between topo-II overexpression and old age. This association is consistent with the results of the previous preclinical studies suggesting that topo-II may interact with several DNA metabolism proteins associated with human aging (47). Notably, the present study demonstrated that old age was also an independent prognostic factor for shorter OS. These data indicate that topo-II may confer the propensity to exhibit poor prognoses through a mechanism involved in aging. However, as the present study did not confirm this conjecture and did not exclude the possibility that topo-II overexpression was only a confounding factor, the prognostic value of topo-II and the association of topo-II with Ki-67 and age requires additional investigation in other studies.

The clinical benefit of radiation in ATC has not been evaluated in prospective trials, and the clinicopathological backgrounds in which radiation confers higher effectiveness
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The present study indicated that in patients with ATC, topo-II overexpression may serve as a predictive marker for a survival advantage of CRT compared with chemotherapy alone. In agreement with this result, several preclinical studies have revealed that elevated topo-II expression levels positively correlate with radiation-induced chromatid breaks and increased radiosensitivity in various types of cancer cell lines (48-50). However, additional studies are required to elucidate the role of topo-II in augmentation of radiosensitivity in ATC.

The present study has several limitations. Firstly, it is retrospective and possesses a small sample size. However, notably, the present study is the largest assessing biomarkers for ATC as such evaluation is difficult in large-scale prospective studies. Secondly, biopsy specimens, whenever sufficient and adequate for evaluation, were also included in immunohistochemical examination. Finally, the inclusion of patients who received CRT as initial treatment may confound the interpretation of the results. Therefore, the data from patients initially treated with chemotherapy alone remain unclear. The present study indicated that in patients with ATC, topo-II overexpression may serve as a predictive marker for a survival advantage of CRT compared with chemotherapy alone. In agreement with this result, several preclinical studies have revealed that elevated topo-II expression levels positively correlate with radiation-induced chromatid breaks and increased radiosensitivity in various types of cancer cell lines (48-50). However, additional studies are required to elucidate the role of topo-II in augmentation of radiosensitivity in ATC.

Table III. Cox regression analysis of OS.

A, Univariate analysis.

| Variables                                      | HR   | 95% CI     | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------|------|------------|---------|
| Age (≥62 vs. ≤61, years)                       | 3.28 | 1.79-10.3  | <0.01*  |
| Gender (male vs. female)                       | 0.92 | 0.40-2.15  | 0.85    |
| Smoking (yes vs. no)                           | 0.78 | 0.34-1.77  | 0.55    |
| Stage (III vs. IV)                             | 0.87 | 0.31-2.43  | 0.79    |
| PS (0 vs. 1/2)                                 | 1.13 | 0.50-2.63  | 0.76    |
| Long diameter of primary tumor (<70 vs. ≥70 mm)| 1.03 | 0.43-2.44  | 0.95    |
| Initial treatment (CRT vs. chemotherapy)       | 0.42 | 0.18-1.01  | 0.05    |
| Histology (Sq. vs. others)                     | 0.38 | 0.14-0.80  | 0.02*   |
| Ki-67 labeling index (≥15 vs. ≤15)             | 1.05 | 0.47-2.39  | 0.90    |
| TUBB3 (high vs. low)                           | 2.73 | 1.40-11.2  | 0.01*   |
| Topo-II (high vs. low)                         | 2.62 | 1.31-7.09  | 0.01*   |

B, Multivariate analysis.

| Variables                                      | HR   | 95% CI     | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------|------|------------|---------|
| Age (≥62 vs. ≤61, years)                       | 2.95 | 1.06-8.21  | 0.04*   |
| TUBB3 (high vs. low)                           | 3.05 | 1.04-8.90  | 0.04*   |
| Topo-II (high vs. low)                         | 2.13 | 0.72-6.28  | 0.17    |

PS, performance status; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; Sq, squamous cell carcinoma. *P<0.05. While univariate analysis demonstrated that OS was significantly associated with age, histology, TUBB3 expression and Topo-II expression, multivariate analysis demonstrated that OS was significantly correlated with age and TUBB3 expression.

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrate OS in patients with high TUBB3 expression (n=12) according to administration of (A) taxanes and (B) topo-II inhibitors such as etoposide, doxorubicin and amrubicin. Although the difference in OS between the two groups is not statistically significant, patients treated with taxanes tend to have a longer survival compared with patients treated with topo-II inhibitors. Topo-II, topoisomerase-II; OS, overall survival rate.
were separately analyzed. Results consistent with previous studies were obtained, suggesting that the overexpression of TUBB3/topo-II serves an important role in predicting prognoses regardless of the type of initial treatment. These data propose the prospect of personalized therapy according to molecular markers, such as TUBB3 and topo-II in ATC. In addition, the present study hypothesizes that the identification of TUBB3 and topo-II as the novel prognostic factors may assist in the development of therapeutic agents and treatment strategies for patients with ATC possessing unfavorable prognosis.

In conclusion, a significant correlation between overexpression of TUBB3/topo-II and poor clinical outcomes in patients with ATC receiving combination chemotherapy including taxanes or topo‑II inhibitors was demonstrated. Additionally, these markers may be helpful in determining the optimal chemotherapy regimen and in selecting patients with the indication for CRT. Additional validation studies are required to verify the clinical effect of these markers.
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