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ABSTRACT: Tin-halide perovskites (THPs) have emerged as promising lead-free perovskites for photovoltaics and photocatalysis applications but still fall short in terms of stability and efficiency with respect to their lead-based counterpart. A detailed understanding of the degradation mechanism of THPs in a water environment is missing. This Letter presents *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations to unravel atomistic details of THP/water interfaces comparing methylammonium tin iodide, MASnI₃, with the lead-based MAPbI₃. Our results reveal facile solvation of surface tin−iodine bonds in MASnI₃, while MAPbI₃ remains more robust to degradation despite a larger amount of adsorbed water molecules. Additional AIMD simulations on dimethylammonium tin bromide, DMASnBr₃, investigate the origins of their unprecedented water stability. Our results indicate the presence of amorphous surface layers of hydrated zero-dimensional SnBr₃ complexes which may protect the inner structure from degradation and explain their success as photocatalysts. We believe that the atomistic details of the mechanisms affecting THP (in-)stability may inspire new strategies to stabilize THPs.

Metal halide perovskites belong to the most promising semiconducting materials for energy conversion from renewable sources in the form of photovoltaics¹ and photocatalysis² thanks to their favorable optoelectronic properties, such as direct and tunable band gaps,³ fast charge transport,⁴ low nonradiative recombination,⁵ and low-temperature processing capabilities from solution.⁶ Thin film solar cells based on lead-halide perovskites (LHPs) have recently reached 25.2% efficiency with significant long-term stability,⁷ rivaling silicon solar cells.

A tremendous drawback which may limit the industrial market potential of state-of-the-art perovskite photovoltaics is the presence of lead in the B-site of the ABX₃ perovskite crystal structure. Recent reports⁸,⁹ have underlined the detrimental impact of lead on the environment and human health, and have further raised the need for lead-free alternatives. Tin-halide perovskites (THPs) have emerged as one of the most promising lead-free alternative for photovoltaics¹⁰−¹² with record efficiencies of 14.6%¹³ in pure THPs and beyond 20% in mixed tin−lead perovskites.¹⁴−¹⁷ Despite having an optimal band gap (∼1.2−1.3 eV) for photovoltaic applications, THP performance and stability are still behind their lead-based counterpart. One of the critical aspects limiting the performance and the stability of THPs is the facile oxidation of Sn(II) to Sn(IV),¹⁸,¹⁹ which may induce a large self-p-doping in the perovskite bulk and deep electron trap states at the perovskite surface.²⁰−²² Experimental reports demonstrate THPs degradation even in well-encapsulated thin films under exposure of light or under elevated temperatures.²³−²⁵

Understanding of the perovskite (in-)stability and associated degradation mechanisms is of utmost importance to achieve reliable and long-term stable THPs. Previous studies have shed light on the degradation of the lead-based counterpart, methylammonium lead iodide, MAPbI₃. Ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations²⁶ were reported on the degradation of MAI-terminated surfaces by a rapid solvation process which occurs by the bonding of water molecules to the Pb atoms with subsequent release of surface iodine atoms.²⁷ On the contrary, the PbI₂-terminated surface is more robust to degradation, while water molecules may enter into the MAPbI₃ bulk and form intermediate hydrated phases,²⁶,²⁸,²⁹ which is a key step of perovskite degradation upon water exposure.³⁰−³² Water-intercalated MAPbI₃ readily forms PbI₂ vacancy
complexes and induces electronic trap states.\textsuperscript{33} The presence of water can be both detrimental or beneficial to the excited state lifetime, depending on the level of humidity exposure.\textsuperscript{34} Light exposure can further drive perovskite degradation by dissociating water molecules to OH\textsuperscript{−}, which may deprotonate the MA cation followed by desorption of CH\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{35−37} Interestingly, partial replacement of iodine with bromine can enhance perovskite stability toward water and oxygen.\textsuperscript{37,38}

Recent studies connected the degradation of THPs with the release of SnO\textsubscript{2} and SnI\textsubscript{4}, while in mixed tin-lead perovskites I\textsubscript{2} formation also was observed.\textsuperscript{24} The presence of oxygen and moisture further accelerates THP degradation by formation of SnI\textsubscript{4}.\textsuperscript{39,40} Density functional theory (DFT) simulations suggest that adsorbed water or oxygen, by formation of H—I or Sn—O bonds, strongly affects the Sn—I bonds at the methylammonium tin iodide, MASnI\textsubscript{3}, surface,\textsuperscript{39,41} which is less significant in the case of MAPbI\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{41} The presence of water molecules in THPs may further reduce light absorption and lead to faster charge relaxation.\textsuperscript{62} Several strategies such as metal doping,\textsuperscript{43} Lewis-base post-treatment,\textsuperscript{44} or capping 3D THP with analogue 2D large-cation perovskites\textsuperscript{45} were proposed to mitigate tin oxidation, which in turn may stabilize THPs.

Despite recent efforts, a clear mechanistic picture of the factors affecting THP stability in a water environment is still missing. Here we report comparative AIMD simulations of MASnI\textsubscript{3} with the prototypical lead-based MAPbI\textsubscript{3} perovskite, Figure 1a,b, thus assessing the impact of the B-site metal on the interaction with water. A comparison with dimethylammonium tin bromide, DMASnBr\textsubscript{3}, Figure 1c, a water-stable perovskite catalyst,\textsuperscript{46} is also reported, which allows us to comparatively evaluate the concomitant impact of the A-site and X-site variation on the perovskite/water interface stability. Note that AIMD results on the water-induced dissolution of MAPbI\textsubscript{3} are partially reproduced from our previous analysis,\textsuperscript{26} together with additional statistical analysis, to provide a direct comparison with the prototypical MAPbI\textsubscript{3}/water interface as representative of the lead-based counterpart. Our results reveal a dissolution of the SnI\textsubscript{2}-terminated MASnI\textsubscript{3} surface under water which is initiated by the formation of Sn—O bonds and the subsequent breaking of axial Sn—I bonds. The MAPbI\textsubscript{3} surface, despite showing an increased amount of adsorbed water molecules, preserves its inorganic Pb—I framework without a substantial difference in the radial pair distribution between bulk and surface. However, water infiltration is observed, which presents the first step of MAPbI\textsubscript{3} degradation. Our results indicate that the high water stability of DMASnBr\textsubscript{3} is due to the presence of an amorphous surface layer made of disconnected, zero-dimensional SnBr\textsubscript{3} complexes, stabilized by strong Sn—Br bonds, which strongly bind water molecules and protect the DMASnBr\textsubscript{3} bulk.

We start our analysis by comparing the interaction of the (001) MI\textsubscript{2}-terminated (M = Pb, Sn) tetragonal MAPbI\textsubscript{3} and MASnI\textsubscript{3} perovskite surfaces with the surrounding liquid water environment (see Computational Details for model setup and AIMD simulation details). The (001) surface belongs to the most stable perovskite surfaces\textsuperscript{47} and has been subject to several studies on the electronic properties of lead and tin-based perovskites.\textsuperscript{21,22,39,45} The radial pair distribution function \(g_{M—O}(r)\) (M = Sn, Pb), averaged over the AIMD trajectories, indicates a strong interaction of the Sn surface atoms with the water oxygen atoms, as shown by the peak located at 2.43 Å; see Figure 2a. The \(g_{Pb—O}(r)\) of the MAPbI\textsubscript{3} surface comparatively shows a substantially broadened peak.

![Figure 1. Structural model of the (a) MAPbI\textsubscript{3}, (b) MASnI\textsubscript{3}, and (c) DMASnBr\textsubscript{3} perovskite/water interfaces. The colors of the atoms are cyan: Pb, yellow: Sn, purple: I, orange: Br, green: C, white: H, blue: N, red: O. Further details on the structural models are provided in the Computational Details.](image)

![Figure 2. (a) M—O (M = Sn, Pb) and I—H\textsubscript{2}O radial pair distribution, \(g_{M—O}(r)\) and \(g_{I—H\textsubscript{2}O}(r)\), and the integrated distribution, int[\(g_{M—O}(r)\)] and int[\(g_{I—H\textsubscript{2}O}(r)\)], for MAPbI\textsubscript{3} (red) and MASnI\textsubscript{3} (blue). (b) Time evolution of the number of adsorbed H\textsubscript{2}O molecules per surface metal atom, identified by M—O bonds below 3.0 Å.](image)
centered at around 2.53 Å. The interaction at the perovskite/water interface mainly occurs via the O lone pair electrons and the M\(^{2+}\) metal atoms forming M–O bonds (M= Sn, Pb), while the contribution of I–H\(_W\) bonds between the surface iodine atoms and the water hydrogen atoms is less pronounced, Figure 2a. Fitting the tail of the g\(_{I–H_W}(r)\) at low distances with a Gaussian distribution, we may estimate an average I–H\(_W\) bond distance of 2.73 Å for MAPbI\(_3\) and 2.91 Å for MASnI\(_3\), indicating a stronger interaction at the MAPbI\(_3\)/water interface. Both perovskite slabs show a lack of long-range correlation between the surface atoms and the water environment. Note that, within feasible AIMD time scales, the interaction of the perovskite slabs and the water environment mainly occurs at the surface, as visible in the M/O (M= Sn, Pb) g(r) in Figure S1, Supporting Information. Despite the pronounced Sn–O bond structure, the amount of water molecules adsorbed at the perovskite surface via M–O bonds is higher for MAPbI\(_3\), on average 0.88 ± 0.09 adsorbed water molecules per surface Pb compared to 0.69 ± 0.05 adsorbed water molecules per surface Sn atom, Figure 2a. Previous DFT studies\(^{36}\) showed a substantial increase in the ionic contribution to the M–O and I–H\(_W\) bonds at the PbI\(_2\)-terminated MAPbI\(_3\) surface compared to the SnI\(_2\)-terminated MASnI\(_3\) surface. This should lead to an increased attraction of the water oxygen atoms at the MAPbI\(_3\) surface and may explain the g\(_{I–H_W}(r)\) shift toward lower distances, Figure 2a, and finally in the increased number of adsorbed water molecules at the MAPbI\(_3\) surface.

We now move on to study the structural properties at the hydrated perovskite surfaces and bulk for MAPbI\(_3\) and MASnI\(_3\). We observe substantial differences in the surface structure when considering the time average for each atom over the AIMD trajectory, Figure 3a. Surprisingly, despite the substantial interaction of the MAPbI\(_3\) surface atoms with the water environment, the time-averaged MAPbI\(_3\) surface seems only weakly distorted. In contrast, the MASnI\(_3\) surface shows a strong structural distortion in the inorganic Sn–I framework and indicates broken Sn–I bonds in the surface planes and in between the layers. In the time-averaged MAPbI\(_3\)/water interface, we observe a water molecule which has entered the MAPbI\(_3\) bulk being located in the first MAI layer, as reported in our previous study.\(^{26}\)

To quantify these visual observations, we compare the radial pair distributions for the individual components in both MAPbI\(_3\) and MASnI\(_3\). Note that we distinguish between surface atoms, given by the atoms located within the outer PbI\(_2\) and SnI\(_2\) layers, and identify the remaining atoms as bulk. The Pb/I bulk g(r), Figure 3b, shows a sharp peak at 3.17 Å, being near the experimental bond Pb–I length of ∼3.19 Å,\(^{39}\) and its integral reaches the expected coordination number of 6 within 3.8 Å. At the surface, the peak is shifted to 3.14 Å and slightly broadened; the Pb/I coordination number of surface Pb atoms reaches the expected value of 5 within 4.1 Å. The bulk and surface Pb/Pb g(r) both reach the maximum at 6.30 Å, while the surface Pb/Pb g(r) is slightly broadened, Figure 3d. Unlike for MAPbI\(_3\), we observe substantial differences between the surface and the bulk g(r) for MASnI\(_3\). The bulk Sn/I g(r),
Figure 3c, is substantially broadened with a maximum at 3.07 Å, and the int[g(r)] reaches the expected Sn/I coordination number of 6 within 4.31 Å; surface Sn/I g(r) substantially differs from the bulk and shows a sharp peak at 2.99 Å, representing the equatorial Sn−I bonds, and a broadened contribution at large distances centered at around 3.48 Å, representing the axial Sn−I bonds between surface Sn and I from the first MAI layer. The Sn/I coordination number slowly increases with distance and reaches the expected value of 5 after 5.11 Å, which clearly points to elongated and potentially even broken bonds as observed in Figure 3a. The interaction of the water environment with the MASnI₃ surface further broadens the surface Sn/Sn g(r), while the bulk Sn/Sn g(r) remains at the expected Sn−Sn distance of 6.21 Å. Interestingly, the I/I g(r) do not show substantial differences between the hydrated surfaces and the bulk for both of the considered perovskites, Figure S2, Supporting Information.

It is also interesting to investigate the structural properties of the MA cations in terms of the metal/MA g(r) and the orientation of the MA within the inorganic cages. The apparent stability of the MAPbI₃ surface is also reflected in the Pb/MA g(r), Figure S2c, Supporting Information. We observe a broad distribution for both the bulk and the surface, which, however, follow the same shape. In contrast, the Sn/MA g(r) of the surface Sn atoms varies from the bulk and shows a flattened distribution, which indicates a lack of correlation between the surface Sn atoms and the MA cations. Interestingly, the MA cations in the MAI layers near the SnI₂-terminated surface are highly oriented at ±30° (layer 1 and layer 4 in Figure S3b, Supporting Information), while MA orientation in the hydrated MAPbI₃ is strongly perturbed in comparison to the bare MAPbI₃ slabs. Despite the strong structural disorder in the hydrated MASnI₃ surface, the I/MA g(r) of surface I atoms follows the bulk g(r) closely, Figure S2f, Supporting Information, which may explain the high orientation of the MA cations in MASnI₃.

To establish the main water-induced degradation mechanism of the MASnI₃ surface, we now analyze the temporal evolution of the surface bonds in terms of the Sn/I coordination number in comparison to MAPbI₃, Figure 4a. The Pb/I coordination number in the MAPbI₃ bulk remains close to 6 throughout the trajectory; at the surface, it remains approximately 5 until 5.2 ps and then drops to ~3.5 for a short time. This is caused by a breaking of equatorial Pb−I bonds at the hydrated surface, while the axial Pb−I bonds between the surface and the first MAI layer remain stable, Figure S4, Supporting Information. The equatorial Pb−I bonds, however, are restored after a short time period such that the coordination number approaches a value of 5 again. As shown in our previous study, the fundamental degradation mechanism of the MAI layers is initiated by the infiltration of the water molecule, Figure 4b. Recent classical
molecular dynamics simulations underlined that water infiltration into the perovskite bulk is a crucial step to initiate the degradation of PbI₂-terminated surfaces. Within the AIMD trajectory, we did not observe the infiltration of water molecules for the MASnI₃ surface. The Sn/I coordination number of the surface Sn decreases slowly in time from ~4.5 after the thermalization period (first ~2 ps) to ~3.5 at 8 ps, Figure 4a. The origin of this change in coordination number is the breaking of axial bonds between the surface Sn atoms and the I atoms in the first MAI layer. The average Sn–I interlayer distance increases from ~3.5 Å at 3.7 ps to a maximum of ~4.0 Å at 6.8 ps, Figure 4c, while individual Sn–I distances above 5.4 Å can be observed, Figure 4d. In contrast, the Pb–I interlayer distance remains stable at around 3.2 Å as the main effect of the water is on the equatorially terminated Pb–I bonds, without strongly changing the axial Pb–I bonds.

The presented observations give clear indication of a facile solution of the SnI₂-terminated surface by water. As a consequence, we may expect an enhanced surface defect density in humid conditions which can introduce deep trap states and strongly suppress the device performance of THP solar cells. On a longer time scale, water may easily enter the MASnI₃ bulk through the dissolved surface to accelerate the degradation and hamper the electronic properties. This emphasizes the need for perovskite synthesis under a controlled moisture-free environment and additionally may require passivation of MASnI₃ using hydrophobic protection layers. Note that we previously observed the rapid solvation of the MAI-terminated MAPbI₃ surface from AIMD simulations, which occurs by the release of I atoms under the attack of water molecules at Pb sites. Further DFT studies reported comparable water adsorption energies on MAI-terminated MAPbI₃ and MASnI₃ surfaces. We thus are confident that the degradation process of the MAI-terminated MASnI₃ will follow a similar mechanism as in MAPbI₃.

We now analyze the results on the (001) SnBr₂-terminated orthorhombic DMASnBr₃/water interface to gain a deeper understanding of the origin of the high water stability of DMASnBr₃. The g Sn–O(r) of the DMASnBr₃ surface shows a maximum at 2.37 Å, close to the Sn–O peak of MASnI₃ (2.43 Å), while the g Br–Hw(r) is substantially shifted with respect to MASnI₃ to shorter distances, Figure 5a. Additionally, the intensity of the DMASnBr₃ g Sn–O(r) is less pronounced, which we may attribute to Sn–Br bonds being stronger than Sn–I ones. The overall amount of adsorbed water molecules per surface Sn atom does not substantially vary between the considered THPs, Figure S5, Supporting Information. Furthermore, the DMASnBr₃ inf[g(r)] follows closely the one of MASnI₃, suggesting a comparable interaction of the perovskite surface and water environment. In contrast to the substantial distortion of the Sn–I bonds at the MASnI₃ surface, however, the Sn/Br g(r) indicates highly stable metal-halide bonds at the DMASnBr₃ surface, Figure Sb. Interestingly, the short-range correlation, given by the first peak in the Sn/Br g(r), is nicely preserved, but the second peak is flattened, which points to a rather disordered surface structure. Also, the Br/Br g(r) is preserved at the surfaces, Figure S6, Supporting Information. The Sn/Br coordination number does not strongly change with time, with an average of 3.61 and 2.99 of the bulk and of the surface Sn atoms, respectively, Figure S7, Supporting Information. In contrast to MASnI₃, the interlayer distance between the surface Sn atoms and the Br atoms in the first DMAc layer remains relatively stable but shows larger fluctuations, Figure S8, Supporting Information. The most significant difference to MASnI₃ is the highly disordered Sn/Sn g(r) at the DMASnBr₃ surface, Figure 5c. While the bulk Sn/Sn distribution shows a well-pronounced peak at 5.97 Å, the surface Sn/Sn g(r) lacks both short- and long-range order. Such a distribution is atypical for a crystalline solid and points to a rather amorphous phase.

Further inspection reveals, indeed, that the DMASnBr₃ surface consists of disconnected, zero-dimensional SnBr₃ complex, which form a surface layer possibly protecting the inner bulk structure. Surface Sn atoms form three stable Sn–Br bonds with well-preserved Sn/Br and Br/Br g(r) and lack of Sn/Sn correlations, which are strong signatures of such OD-SnBr₃ complexes, Figure S9, Supporting Information. A comparison of the crystal structures of DMASnBr₃ and MASnI₃ (Figure S10, Supporting Information) shows equal Sn–Br bond lengths along the (001) direction for MASnBr₃ and alternating short (~2.74 Å) and long Sn–Br bonds (~3.45 Å) for DMASnBr₃. Consequently, the presence of SnBr₃ complexes within DMASnBr₃ can be attributed to the large DMA cation. Recent AIMD simulations also observed the
presence of partially decoupled SnBr$_3$ complexes within
formamidinium tin bromide, FASnBr$_4$,$^{31}$ which form instantaneously caused by the large size of the FA cation. Water molecules form strong Sn–O bonds with such surface complexes, which is supported by DFT calculations of the water adsorption energy, with $E_{\text{ads}}$(DMASnBr$_3$) = −0.72 eV to $E_{\text{ads}}$(MASnI$_3$) = −0.55 eV, Figure S11, Supporting Information. Relaxing the DMASnBr$_3$ slab at $t = 8$ ps after removal of the water molecules does not lead to a more stable structure, Figure S12, Supporting Information, which indicates that the amorphous surface layer is formed upon interaction with water molecules at finite temperatures. Within the simulated time scales, water molecules neither enter into the perovskite nor are able to dissolve the surface. The 0D-SnBr$_3$ complexes can easily rearrange at the surface to bond with water molecules and consequently to prohibit water from entering the surface. Moreover, the water environment cannot easily break the strong Sn–Br bonds, which limits the solubility of the DMASnBr$_3$ surface by water. As a final remark, DMASnBr$_3$ is far more stabilized by the relatively strong hydrophobic nature of the DMA cation with respect to MA. As a consequence, higher stability of DMABr-terminated surfaces compared to MASnI$_3$ may be expected due to their reduced solvation of DMA cations, and water infiltration into the SnBr$_2$-terminated DMASnBr$_3$ surface may be further suppressed. The high band gap (~2.8 eV)$^{50}$ in combination with the amorphous surface layer may prevent the applicability of DMASnBr$_3$ in photovoltaics. On the contrary, this inherent surface stability can be the crucial aspect for exploitation of DMASnBr$_3$ as water-stable THP in photocatalytic applications.

In summary, we have reported ab initio molecular dynamics simulations to investigate the surface degradation of tin-halide perovskites using two prototypical systems, i.e., MASnI$_3$ and DMASnBr$_3$, and provided a comparison with the prototypical lead-based counterpart MAPbI$_3$. Our results show a facile dissolution of the SnI$_2$-terminated MASnI$_3$ surface under water. Water molecules bond with the surface Sn atoms and induce the breaking of axial Sn–I bonds with the 1 atoms of the adjacent MAI layer. Our AIMD results predict a higher water stability of the PbI$_2$-terminated MAPbI$_3$ surface. Despite showing an increased number of adsorbed water molecules on the MAPbI$_3$ surface, the lead iodine bonds remain stable throughout the trajectory without showing any substantial difference in the radial pair distribution between the bulk and the surface. Still, water molecules may enter the MAPbI$_3$ bulk where they may initiate the solvation of the perovskite.$^{26,32}$

Finally, we reported AIMD simulations to analyze the origin of the experimentally reported$^{46,50}$ surface stability of DMASnBr$_3$ in a liquid water environment. We observed highly stable Sn–Br bonds at the surface and an increased stability of the inorganic framework along the c-axis. Our results show that the DMASnBr$_3$ surface is made of disconnected, zero-dimensional SnBr$_3$ complexes which form an amorphous layer that can easily reorient within the surface and hereby protect the DMASnBr$_3$ bulk. Such amorphous surface structures typically are believed to be detrimental in terms of photovoltaics but may be key for the applicability of THPs in photocatalysis. Overall, we believe that the reported atomistic details of the THP/water interface in this work will inspire novel surface modification and device engineering strategies to enhance the stability of THPs for lead-free perovskite photovoltaics and photocatalysis.

Computational Details. The models of the THP–water interfaces are constructed by five SnX$_3$ (X = I, Br) layers such that the perovskite slabs are terminated by SnX$_3$ layers, Figure 1. The cell parameters in the a and b axes are fixed to the experimental values: MASnI$_3$ a = b = 17.5154 Å, DMASnBr$_3$ a = 12.274 Å, b = 12.071 Å, representing 2 × 2 (001) slabs of the tetragonal MASnI$_3$ and of the orthorhombic DMASnBr$_3$ phases. A vacuum region of 15 Å was added on top of the perovskite slabs, such that MASnI$_3$ c = 47.709 Å and DMASnBr$_3$ c = 47.5 Å. The vacuum region was filled by 155 and 72 water molecules for the MASnI$_3$ and the DMASnBr$_3$ model, respectively, thus giving rise to a liquid water environment characterized by the experimental density of liquid water. We reproduce part of our previous AIMD analysis on the water-induced degradation of MAPbI$_3$, together with additional statistical analysis, to provide a direct comparison with the prototypical MAPbI$_3$/water interface as representative of the lead-based counterpart.

We carried out AIMD simulations on the THP–water interface models using the quickstep module in the CP2K software package.$^{53–56}$ We used a double-$\zeta$ basis set (DZVP-MOLOPT)$^{57}$ combined with the norm-conserving Goedecker–Teter–Hutter (GTH) pseudopotentials$^{58}$ and a cutoff of 800 Ry and REL-CUTOFF = 50 Ry for the expansion of the electron density. The revised PBE (revPBE) parametrization of the PBE functional was used to account for the exchange and correlation potentials. van der Waals corrections are included by means of the rVX10 functional to account for nonlocal electron correlations.$^{59}$ The temperature was controlled by a Nose–Hoover thermostat$^{60}$, with a target temperature of 350 K and a time constant of 16.68 fs, and the volume was kept constant by applying an NVT ensemble. The integration time step of the dynamics was set to 0.48 fs. The AIMD simulations were run for at least 8 ps for both the MASnI$_3$/water and the DMASnBr$_3$/water interface model.
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