New craniodental remains of *Wakaleo alcootaensis* (Diprotodontia: Thylacoleonidae) a carnivorous marsupial from the late Miocene Alcoota Local Fauna of the Northern Territory, Australia
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New jaws and teeth referable to the rare thylacoleonid marsupial *Wakaleo alcootaensis* are figured and described. The species is the geologically youngest known member of the genus and is only known from the late Miocene Alcoota Local Fauna of the Northern Territory, Australia. A revised diagnosis of the species is presented which is found to be morphologically distinct from its congeners. *W. alcootaensis* can be distinguished from other species of *Wakaleo* by its greater size, deeply recessed masseteric fossa, more steeply angled I₁, loss of P₂, greater P₃ to M₁ ratio and loss of M₃. Several characters of *W. alcootaensis*, including the increase in size, steeply angled I₁, increase of the relative size of P₃, and reduction of the molar row are present in at least some species of *Thylacoleo*. Phylogenetic analysis suggests that these character states are convergences and that there was parallel evolution in these two thylacoleonid lineages.
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Introduction

Thylacoleonids, or ‘marsupial lions’ are a group of small to large-bodied diprotodontian marsupials that range from the size of small house cat in *Priscileo roskellyae* (Wroe et al. 2003) up to the size of a lion in *Thylacoleo carnifex* (Wroe et al. 1999, 2003). They are characterised by the development of the third premolar pair into large shearing blades. Although there has been much debate about the diet of these creatures in the past, it is now largely accepted that Sir Richard Owen was correct in 1859 when he described the eponymous *Thylacoleo carnifex* as “one of the fellest and most destructive of predatory beasts” (Owen 1859, pg. 319).

*Wakaleo* is a genus of thylacoleonids that ranges from the late Oligocene through to the late Miocene (Gillespie 2007). It differs from the Plio-Pleistocene genus, *Thylacoleo*, by having highly reduced to absent anterior premolars, a P$_3$ that broadens posteriorly, a cuspule-like anterolingual crest on the P$_3$, less reduced molars, lack of a fronto-squamosal suture and no postorbital bar amongst other features (Murray, Wells and Plane 1987; Gillespie et al. 2014).

The described species of *Wakaleo* form an apparent evolutionary sequence that matches their stratigraphic occurrence (Archer and Dawson 1982, Murray and Megirian 1990) and it has been suggested that the genus is one of the more usefull mammalian lineages for biochronolgy of Australian mammal-bearing deposits (Megirian et al. 2010, Arena et al. 2015).

The oldest of the described species currently placed in *Wakaleo* is the type species, *W. oldfieldi* Clemens and Plane, 1974 which was based on specimens from the Kutjamparpu Local Fauna of the Wipijiri Formation at Lake Ngapakaldi, South Australia. The Kutjamarpu Local Fauna has had various age estimates but it is most securely correlated with Faunal Zone B local faunas from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area which have recently produced radiometric dates of 16.5 to 18.2 ma, i.e. early Miocene. *W. vanderleueri* Clemens and Plane, 1974 is a younger and slightly larger species based on remains from the Bullock Creek Local Fauna of the Northern Territory. Apart from its larger size it can be distinguished from *W. oldfieldi* by its larger P$_3$ to M$_1$ ratio (Clemens and Plane 1974) and the loss of the talonid shelf on M$_3$ (Gillespie et al. 2014). The Bullock Creek Local Fauna has been consistently regarded as middle Miocene in age and correlated with Faunal Zone C local faunas of Riversleigh. Recent radiometric dating of Faunal
Zone C sites has produced dates of 13.5-15.1 ma, confirming their middle Miocene age. Both of these species have recently been reported from the Riversleigh World Heritage area. Some of the *W. oldfieldi* fossils are from Faunal Zone B sites, as is to be expected, while the bulk of the *W. vanderleueri* specimens come from the even younger Faunal Zone D Encore Site, upholding the stratigraphic separation of the two species. However both species have been found in Riversleigh Faunal Zone C sites. Nevertheless they do not co-occur in the same local faunas. The biochronologically important diprotodontid genus, *Neohelos*, also displays a species turnover within Faunal Zone C, indicating that the zone spans a biochronologically significant length of time (Arena et al. 2015). Indeed, Arena et al. (2015) were able to divide System C site into three faunal intervals based on mammalian lineages. The sites bearing *W. oldfieldi* belong to the older two intervals while the only Faunal Zone C site that bears *W. vanderleueri*, Golden Steph Site, belongs to the youngest of the three intervals (Arena et al. 2015).

The youngest, and largest, known member of *Wakaleo* is *W. alcootaensis* Archer and Rich, 1982, from the late Miocene Alcoota Local Fauna of central Australia (Murray and Megirian 1992; Fig. 1). This species has measurements that exceed *W. vanderleueri* by up to a third (Archer and Rich 1982, this paper). Given that Wroe et al. (1999) estimated the size range of 44 – 56 kg for *W. vanderleueri* we can tentatively estimate a weight range of up to 96 – 123 kg for *W. alcootaensis* assuming geometric similitude between the two species. The Alcoota Local Fauna is dominated by large browsing herbivores, both mammalian and avian (Murray and Megirian 1992), whereas mammalian carnivores are exceptionally rare. At approximately the size of a small lioness, *W. alcootaensis* was the largest of these. Unfortunately the species has remained extremely rare and poorly known. Indeed, anatomical knowledge of the species is so poor that it could only be diagnosed by its larger size relative to other species of *Wakaleo*, leaving open the question of its validity as a distinct taxon even though it has not been raised in the literature. The species was established for a single cranial fragment that was unfortunately badly damaged while trenching around a plastered block of dense bone bed material (Archer and Rich 1982). Very few other specimens of this species have been found. One of them is a dentary fragment bearing two molars (UCMP 65621) that was recovered during an initial investigation of Alcoota in 1962 (Fig. 2). This specimen was initially described as a possible giant perameloid (Woodburne 1967). Gavin Prideaux (pers. comm. 2012) first suggested that this specimen was actually a misidentified *Wakaleo* specimen, a reidentification that is supported in the present work. The
only other positively attributable specimens that came to light prior to 2013 are a few isolated teeth and a few postcranial elements, none of which have been described in the scientific literature.

During the 2013 field season a new pit was opened at Alcoota, on the same stratigraphic level as the other pits that quarry the Alcoota Local Fauna. This new pit, named ‘Shattered Dreams’, proved to be exceptionally densely packed with fragmented bones, interspersed with occasional complete, or near complete specimens. Not only was the volume of fossil bone extraordinarily high but so was the diversity, with virtually all known taxa from the Alcoota Local Fauna recovered from an area of less than two square meters. Included among these was a dentary belonging to *W. alcootaensis* (Fig. 3, 4, 5). This is the first substantial cranial specimen of this species found since the holotype was recovered 39 years previously. *W. alcootaensis* is now known from all of the main quarries of the Alcoota Local Fauna.

In this paper all craniodental material of *W. alcootaensis* identified subsequent to the description of the holotype is described and illustrated. The diagnosis of *W. alcootaensis* is revised and new morphological character traits identified that place the diagnosis on a firmer footing.

### Geological Setting

The known fossils of *W. alcootaensis* all come from a dense bone bed in the lower part of the Waite Formation, cropping out on Alcoota Station, 110 km NE of Alice Springs in south central Northern Territory (Woodburne 1967). The Waite Formation is a late Cenozoic sequence of fluvialite beds filling the Waite Basin, a small intermontane basin, surrounded by crystalline rocks of the Arunta Block (Woodburne 1967). The Waite Formation consists of a basal series of overbank silts that were previously interpreted as lacustrine sediments (Woodburne 1967) with interspersed and discontinuous carbonate-rich beds. The lower overbank beds are overlain by a coarser sequence of channel deposits, consisting of calcareous sandstones grading up into coarse red sandstones that contain a localised, silty, incised channel fill that is notable for containing the Ongeva Local Fauna (Megirian, Murray and Wells 1996). The entire sequence is capped by a layer of silcrete. The bone bed that has produced the Alcoota Local Fauna and the *W. alcootaensis* fossils occurs in the lower overbank deposits, within a greyish-yellow silt unit that
is interpreted as a crevasse-splay. The bone bed covers an area of approximately 25000 m$^2$,
although its density and thickness varies considerably within that area (Megirian 2000). The bulk
of the known fossil material has been obtained from four pits: Paine Quarry, South Pit, Main Pit
and Shattered Dreams (Fig. 1). The bone bed usually lies 90 cm below the present soil surface,
underneath a reddish, weathered horizon (Murray and Megirian 1992). It contains the unsorted
but disarticulated and jumbled remains of many hundreds, if not thousands, of animals that
appear to have perished in a mass death event, probably caused by severe drought (Murray and
Vickers-Rich 2004). Most of the in situ bones appear to be complete and show no signs of
weathering prior to burial. Nevertheless the bones have undergone extensive fracturing due to the
movements of the unconsolidated, clay-rich sediment that hosts them (Murray and Megirian
1992).

Despite the apparently complete condition of most of the in situ bones, the known remains of $W.$
alcootaensis are highly fragmented. In the case of the holotype the damage can be explained by
the unfortunate circumstances of its discovery (Archer and Rich 1982). In the case of the two
dentary specimens it appears that both had weathered out of the primary bone bed and were
broken up during their passage through the mobile cracking clays of the soil horizon that overlies
the site.

It is thought that the fauna is late Miocene in age based on stage of evolution correlation using
diprotodontid marsupials, (Stirton, Woodburne and Plane 1967; Murray and Megirian 1992), and
its age lies between 5 and 12 ma (Megirian et al. 2010).

**Institutional abbreviations**

CPC, Commonwealth Palaeontological Collection, Geoscience Australia, Canberra; NTM,
Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin and Alice Springs; SAM, South
Australian Museum, Adelaide; UCMP, Museum of Paleontology, University of California,
Berkeley.

**Methods**
Terminology

Serial designation of the cheek dentition follows Flower (1867) and Luckett (1993). Standard nomenclature for mammalian tooth cusp anatomy is followed. Standard abbreviations for teeth are used: I, incisor; P, premolar; M, molar, with superscripts or subscripts representing upper or lower dentitions, respectively. Anterior and posterior are used as anatomical directions in the description of the dentition (instead of mesial and distal, respectively).

Measurements

Linear measurements were made with digital vernier callipers. Angular measurements were made with a protractor on a two-dimensional image taken normal to the plane of the angle being measured. The angle of the posterodorsal wall of the alveolus for I₁ was measured by affixing a wooden splint flush against this wall with a small amount of petroleum jelly and measuring the angle of the protruding section.

Cladistic Analysis

The broader intrafamilial relationships of Thylacoleonidae, particularly its basal branches are not examined here as the question has been comprehensively examined by Gillespie (2007) and will form the basis of a future publication. The present analysis is designed solely to test whether the new data provided here are enough to affect the position of *W. alcootaensis*, particularly in light of several derived conditions that are shared with the genus *Thylacoleo*. Only a single basal thylacoleonid, *Priscileo roskellyae*, is included to help polarise character states that vary between *Wakaleo* and *Thylacoleo*. Character state scores for this taxon were restricted to those that could be determined from available published descriptions and illustrations (Gillespie 1997). The three named and currently accepted species of both *Wakaleo* (*W. oldfieldi*, *W. vanderleueri* and *W. alcootaensis*) and *Thylacoleo* (*T. hilli*, *T. crassidentatus*, and *T. carnifex*) form the rest of the ingroup (data sources in Table 1).

Three taxa were chosen to serve as serially distant outgroups: *Namiladeta albivenator*, *Nimiokoala greystanesi* and *Pseudocheirus peregrinus*. *Namiladeta albivenator* was chosen as a reasonably well-known, basal, non-thylacoleonid vombatimorphian (the sister group of Thylacoleonidae, Aplin and Archer 1987). *Nimiokoala greystanesi* was selected as a basal member of Phascolarctimorphia, the sister group of Vombatimorphia. *Pseudocheirus peregrinus* is selected as a representative of Phalangerida, the sister group of Vombatiformes.
All ten terminal taxa were scored for 34 characters that were found to vary informatively within the restricted ingroup (Appendix 1). Characters were taken from Archer and Dawson (1982) and Gillespie (2007), with the addition of two novel characters.

Multistate characters that form obvious transformation series, such as the progressive enlargement of $P_3$, were treated as ordered.

The resulting matrix was subjected to a maximum parsimony analysis in PAUP 4.0b (Swofford 2002) using the following settings: heuristic search; random addition sequence with 500 replicates; and TBR branch-swapping algorithm. The strength of the internal nodes was tested with a decay analysis using the same settings.

**Systematic palaeontology**

DIPROTODONTIA Owen, 1866

VOMBATIFORMES Woodburne, 1984

THYLACOLEONIDAE Gill, 1872

*Wakaleo alcootaensis* Archer and Rich, 1982

*Holotype.* NTM P1, a fragment of a left maxilla, with $P_3$ and fragments of $M^1$ and $M^2$. Found adjacent to Paine Quarry (Archer and Rich 1982).

*Referred material.* NTM P4325, incomplete right dentary with broken $P_3$ from Shattered Dreams that was originally assigned the unofficial field number BHP 12 (Fig. 3-5); NTM P4462, isolated right $C^1$ from Main Pit (Fig. 6); NTM P4463; isolated right $C^1$ from South Pit (Fig. 7); NTM P4328, isolated right $M^2$ from Main Pit (Fig. 8); UCMP 65621, right dentary fragment with $M_1$ and $M_2$ from Paine Quarry (Fig. 2).

**Emended diagnosis**

A species of *Wakaleo* distinguished from all others by: larger size (dental dimensions between 16 and 35% greater than the next largest species, *W. vanderleueri*, Table 2); anterior end of the
masseteric fossa deeply recessed; long axis of I1 inclined at an angle greater than 50° to the horizontal ramus of the dentary; loss of P2; P3:M1 ratio of approximately 1.5; loss of M3.

**Description**

*Dentary.* NTM P4325 (Figs. 3, 4, 5) is similar in size and shape to the larger dentaries of *W. vanderleueri* (e.g. NTM P87108-6). The horizontal ramus deepens anteriorly to reach a maximum depth under the midlength of the P3. The ventral border forms a straight line for its entire length. It is moderately thick buccolingually, with a midlength width of 14.1 mm and a mild dorsoventral convexity on the buccal surface. Species of *Wakaleo* bear a large anterior mental foramen on the buccal surface of the dentary adjacent to the incisor, and one or two smaller accessory mental foramina posterior to it. The external opening of the anterior mental foramen in NTM P4325 is missing due to damage but a single small posterior mental foramen is present, ventral to the posterior root of P3. The lingual surface of the dentary bears a shallow, narrowly triangular, digastric fossa impressed upon the posterior half of the horizontal ramus. Only the posterior end of the symphyseal surface is present, it extends posteriorly to the level of the middle of P3. In occlusal view the longitudinal axis of the horizontal ramus is inclined at an angle of 20° to the symphyseal plane.

Although the anterior end of the dentary is missing, the posterodorsal wall of the alveolus for I1 is preserved. It indicates that the incisor projected at an angle of 54° from the longitudinal axis of the dentary. A very short diastema separates this alveolus from the alveolus for P3. There is no alveolus for any rudimentary teeth between I1 and P3. The P3 dominates the dentary, occupying 45% of the total length of the cheek tooth row, or 35% of the distance from the anterior margin of the masseteric fossa to the anterior end of the P3. Unfortunately the crown is largely broken away, preventing description of this tooth beyond its size. In occlusal view the anterior end of the P3 can be seen to be angled lingually so that the distance between the symphyseal plane and the anterior end of P3 is less than the distance from the symphyseal plane to the posterior end of P3 (8.2 mm vs. 12.8 mm). Four alveolar sockets follow the P3 in a linear row without any diastemata. Since the lower molars of *Wakaleo* are known to be double rooted, it is clear that there were only two molars present behind P3. Thus, M3 was absent in this species. Although the buccal alveolar margin of NTM P4325 is partially eroded, it is clear that the lingual margin was higher and the alveoli were canted to face slightly buccally. This is reflected in the molar crowns
of UCMP 65621 which are angled so that the occlusal surfaces face buccodorsally. In lingual view the alveolar margin slopes ventrally from posterior edge of P$_3$ to the second root socket of M$_1$, after which it levels out and becomes roughly horizontal. The only known lower molars of _W. alcootaensis_ are the two present in UCMP 65621 (Figure 2). These are heavily worn and present few details. M$_1$ resembles the M$_1$ of other _Wakaleo_ species in having a subrectangular occlusal outline, with a weak constriction separating the trigonid from the talonid. The lingual half of the trigonid is missing, including the large anterior cusp present in other _Wakaleo_ species. However the buccal side of the trigonid bears a transverse crest that rises lingually to meet this cusp as in the M$_1$ of other species of _Wakaleo_. The anteroposterior length of the talonid is approximately equal to that of the trigonid. It has a squared-off posterior margin in occlusal view like other _Wakaleo_ species. Although heavily worn, there is a small well of enamel remaining in the centre of the talonid basin (Woodburne 1967). It is smooth but may be too small and worn to accurately determine if crenulations were present or absent. The trigonid and talonid are supported by a single root each. The exposed trigonid root is anteroposteriorly compressed and buccolingually expanded in cross section. The partially obscured talonid root has an anteroposteriorly thicker cross-section than the trigonid root.

M$_2$ is smaller with a more rounded occlusal outline. The trigonid is less strongly raised than in M$_1$. It bears a low transverse ridge. Unlike M$_1$ the talonid of M$_2$ has a rounded posterior margin. The talonid is narrower than the trigonid although its length is approximately the same as that of the trigonid. As in M$_1$, the talonid basin bears a small remnant well of enamel with a smooth surface.

Posterior to the molar tooth row the dentary rises quickly into the ascending ramus, with almost no postalveolar shelf. The buccal surface of base of the ascending process is deeply excavated by a sharply defined masseteric fossa. The anterior end of the masseteric fossa is recessed for several millimetres under the anterior rim forming a blind pocket. This recess is deeper than in the holotype of _W. oldfieldi_. The anterior margin of the ascending process is supported by a spar-like rib that is transversely broader than it is anteroposteriorly deep. This rib forms the anterodorsal margin of the masseteric fossa.

*Upper dentition.* Two isolated upper canines are known (Figs. 6, 7). Each has a single root and a unicuspid crown. The crown is angled lingually relative to the root so that the apex lies level
with the lingual side of the crown in occlusal view (NTM P4463, Fig. 7) or overhangs it (NTM P4462, Fig. 6). The long axis of the crown in buccal view is angled posteriorly relative to the root, it is lingually inclined in anterior and posterior views. The root is complete in NTM P4463 (Fig. 7). It is roughly banana-shaped and is approximately four times longer than the crown. It tapers to point at its base and expands to a maximum thickness of 10 mm, at 17 mm from the base. It is gently constricted below the base of the crown, forming a neck that is slightly narrower than the base of the crown in buccal and lingual view.

The crown is spade-shaped in buccal view with a bluntly-rounded apex. The height of the crown is approximately equal its anteroposterior basal length. The anterior and posterior margins bear carinae that extend from the base to the apex, meeting at its tip and dividing the crown into distinct buccal and lingual faces. The buccal face is more distinctly convex in transverse section than the flattened lingual face. The measurements of these canine crowns (Table 4) match those reported for *W. vanderleueri* (Gillespie 2007), and are smaller than the canine alveolar dimensions of CPC 26604. Given that all other dental specimens of *W. alcootaensis* show that it had dimensions in excess of those of *W. vanderleueri*, it would appear that the canines of *W. alcootaensis* were reduced relative to its other teeth in comparison to the former species.

The second upper molar of the holotype is only represented by broken roots in the alveolus but an isolated left M2 is now known (Fig. 8). It is slightly larger than the M2 of the holotype. Note that the anteroposterior length of the buccal side appears to be significantly greater than the measurement reported in Archer and Rich (1984), but this is because the crown is wider than the roots. The crown of M2 is missing in the holotype and the length measurement was obtained from the distance between the anterior and posterior roots. When the same measurement is taken on NTM P4328, the difference in length between the two specimens is less than 12% (Table 3). The crown is distinctly trigonal and tritubercular. The occlusal outline of the tooth is nearly equilateral with its buccolinguinal width similar to its anteroposterior length. This differs from the M2 of *W. vanderleueri* in which the width is distinctly greater than the length. Note that because of the equilateral nature of NTM P4328, no side is significantly longer than any other and this difference cannot be explained by a misinterpretation in the orientation of the tooth. A very weak flexus causes a slight emargination on the anterolingual side of the crown in occlusal view, possibly where the posterior margin of M1 impinged upon M2 as it does in *W. vanderleueri*.
(Murray, Wells and Plane, 1987, fig. 8). A small depression, containing some grains of adherent matrix lies close to the anterobuccal margin, just anterior and slightly buccal to the metacone, and is interpreted as a vestigial stylar basin. A low cusp is developed at each corner of the crown. These three cusps are the paracone, metacone and protocone. There is no trace of a metaconule. Of these three cusps the paracone is the tallest, represented by a low peaked ridge. The peak is inset from the buccal margin, and the crown is expanded laterally between the roots and the peak of the paracone. However abrasion of the enamel along the buccal margin means that it is not possible to see if the lateral bulge above the paracone is as well developed as it is in \textit{W. vanderleueri} (Gillespie 2007). The height of the cusp is not as great relative to the other cusps as it is in in \textit{W. vanderleueri} where the paracone forms a tall peak, even in worn specimens (e.g. NTM P87103-9). Indeed the entire buccal margin is of a similar depth to the lingual margin, unlike the condition in \textit{W. oldfieldi} and \textit{W. vanderleueri} where the buccal margin is distinctly deeper than the lingual margin (Gillespie 2007). Both the protocone and the metacone of NTM P4328 have been worn virtually flat. Low rounded crests connect each cusp and define a triangular, smooth trigon basin that dominates the occlusal surface of the tooth. No crenulations are present in this basin. The deepest point of the basin is slightly off-centre and located closer to the posterolingual rim than the other two sides. A poorly defined shallow trough that extends along the inside of the anterolingual rim of the trigon basin is interpreted as a feature caused by wear. The crown is supported by three subequal roots developed at each of the corners of the trigon. The roots are directed posteriorly and lingually relative to the plane of the crown as in \textit{Wakaleo vanderleuri} (NTM P87103-9). The root orientation and presence of a vestigial stylar shelf indicate that this equilateral and almost triradially symmetric tooth has been correctly oriented.

**Discussion**

**Referral of the new material to \textit{Wakaleo alcootaensis}**

The dentaries described here can be referred to Thylacoleonidae on the basis of the enlarged P\textsubscript{3} (NTM P4325), strong reduction in the size of the posterior molars (UCMP 65621 and NTM P4325) and posterior narrowing of the lower molars (UCMP 65621). Within Thylacoleonidae the specimens can be referred to \textit{Wakaleo} by the loss of the anterior premolars (NTM P4325). Both of these specimens exceed the size of \textit{W. vanderleueri} and \textit{W. oldfieldi} and have apparently lost
M₃, excluding them from either species. They can be referred to *W. alcootaensis* on the basis of matching large size and co-occurrence with the holotype.

The simple, flattened tritubercular and triangular upper molar (NTM P4328), lacking a metaconule and with only a vestigial trace of a styler shelf, strongly resembles the more posterior upper molars of *Wakaleo* as opposed to the more rectangular molars of *Priscileo* and *Thylacoleo*. As in the dentaries, the matching size of this specimen (Table 3) and its co-occurrence with the holotype of *W. alcootaensis*, indicate that it can be referred to this species.

As yet, no descriptions of the canines of other *Wakaleo* species have been published. Nonetheless canines are known for an unnamed, primitive species of *Wakaleo* and *W. vanderleueri* both of which have been described in an unpublished PhD thesis (Gillespie, 2007). Those specimens agree with the canines described here in all salient features, including the rounded apex, gentle recurvature and carinate anterior and posterior edges dividing a more convex buccal face from a flatter lingual face. No other mammal known from the Alcoota Local Fauna has a tooth with this combination of features. Thus these isolated specimens can be referred to *Wakaleo*. They can be referred to the species *W. alcootaensis* on the basis of co-occurrence.

It remains a far simpler explanation of the data that all of the large-sized *Wakaleo* fossils at Alcoota belong to a single species rather than to posit multiple large-bodied thylacoleonid taxa for which there is no evidence. In other assemblages where there are two co-occurring thylacoleonid species there is always a large size difference between them. For example the small house cat sized *Priscileo roskellyae* co-occurs with the leopard sized *Wakaleo oldfieldi* in the middle Miocene Cleft of Ages Local Fauna of Riversleigh (Archer et al. 2006, Gillespie 2007) and *Thylacoleo hilli* has dental dimensions half those of *T. crassidentatus* with which it co-occurs in the Pliocene Bow Local Fauna (Archer and Dawson 1982). Furthermore, most of the specimens discussed here show characteristics that are diagnostic of the genus *Wakaleo*. Since no more than one *Wakaleo* species is ever present in any one local fauna (Gillespie 2007), this observation adds further support to the hypothesis that the entire sample belongs to a single species.
Diagnostic characters of *W. alcootaensis*

*Wakaleo alcootaensis* was originally diagnosed as distinct from *W. oldfieldi* and *W. vanderleueri* on the basis of size, with the P\(^3\) reaching approximately twice the length of *W. oldfieldi* (Archer and Rich 1982) and maxillary dimensions that are about 30% larger than those of *W. vanderleueri* (Murray and Megirian 1990). Murray and Megirian (1990) suggested that apart from its larger size, *W. alcootaensis* lacks any significant morphological differences from the smaller, older species of *Wakaleo*. With the addition of further specimens, including lower jaws, the diagnosis of *W. alcootaensis* can be expanded. Several characters can now be seen to differentiate the admittedly meagre *W. alcootaensis* material from the other two named species of the genus (Fig. 9). These are listed briefly above and, given that some interpretation is required, discussed in more detail here:

**Larger size.** As can be seen from the measurements in Table 2 and the discussion on variation below, the size of all known specimens of *W. alcootaensis* exceeds the known range of *W. vanderleueri* and *W. oldfieldi* in almost all dimensions. The only measurement for which NTM P4325 falls within the range of *W. vanderleueri* is dentary height (measured as the dorso-ventral height of the dentary at the posterior end of M\(_2\)), indicating that the species, or at least this individual, was somewhat slender jawed when compared to the most robust individuals of *W. vanderleueri* (e.g. NTM P87108-6).

**Deeply recessed masseteric fossa.** The anterior margin of the masseteric fossa of *W. vanderleueri* varies from a gentle change in slope of the buccal surface of the dentary resulting in a bevelled margin (e.g. NTM P87108-6) to a sharply impressed fossa with the anterior margin forming low walls perpendicular to the buccal surface. In NTM P4325 the nature of the fossa resembles the latter condition but the anterior end of the fossa is recessed under its rim, forming a blind pocket (Fig. 3A). The same condition was described in the holotype of *W. oldfieldi* (Clemens and Plane 1974), although inspection of this specimen by the author reveals that the recess is barely developed and much shallower than it is in NTM P4325.

**Steeply-angled I\(_1\).** The basal section of the lower incisor of the holotype of *W. oldfieldi* projects anterodorsally at an angle of 27° from the long axis of the horizontal ramus of the dentary before the apical region of the tooth curves dorsally (Fig. 10). The only I\(_1\) of *W. vanderleueri* in place in a jaw (NTM P87108-5) is similarly procumbent with an angle of 31°. In other specimens where
the I₁ is missing (NTM P9273-3, P85553-4, P8695-97, P87108-6) the angle of the posterodorsal wall of the alveolus can be measured. This angle ranges from 30 to 38° in these specimens with a mean of 34.8°, indicating the posterodorsal wall of the alveolus is an acceptable proxy for the angle of procumbency of I₁. In contrast the posterodorsal wall of the alveolus for I₁ of W. alcootaensis (NTM P4325) is far more steeply-angled at close to 54° from the long axis of the horizontal ramus (Fig. 10).

Loss of P₂. The holotype of W. oldfieldi and all specimens of W. vanderleueri that preserve the bone between I₁ and P₃ retain a rudimentary single cusped tooth, or an alveolus for such a tooth (Clemens and Plane, 1974; Megirian, 1986; NTM P927-3, NTM P8695-97, NTM P-87108-6). This tooth is usually identified as P₂ (e.g. Megirian, 1986; Murray, Wells and Plane, 1987) although other identifications, such as P₁ or a canine, are possible. Although the presence of an upper anterior premolar is variable within W. vanderleueri (Murray and Megirian, 1990) it would appear that a lower tooth in this position is invariably present. The Alcoota dentary lacks any alveolus between I₁ and P₃ (Fig. 3A, C) indicating the complete loss of all lower cheek teeth anterior to P₃.

Larger P₃ relative to M₁. The P₃:M₁ length ratio for W. oldfieldi is 1.19 in the holotype and 1.16 in a specimen from Riversleigh (Gillespie et al. 2014). This ratio ranges from 1.18 to 1.40 in the Bullock Creek sample of W. vanderleueri (SAM P17925, NTM P2970-26, NTM P87108-5, NTM P87108-6, NTM P85553-4). The precise ratio in NTM P4325 cannot be obtained because M₁ is missing and its length has to be taken from that of its alveolus. If this is done, a ratio of 1.50 is obtained. Thus, even allowing for estimation errors, it is clear that W. alcootaensis has a distinctly larger P₃ to M₁ ratio than W. oldfieldi and one that lies outside the range of variation seen in W. vanderleueri. Although the length of M₁ has to be estimated in NTM P4325 it is possible to compare the size of P₃ with a measureable proxy for total jaw size. If P₃ is compared to the distance from the anterior margin of the alveolus for P₃ to the anteriormost point of the masseteric fossa, similar results to the comparison of P₃ and M₁ are obtained. P₃ is 30.7% of the jaw size proxy in the holotype of W. oldfieldi, while it ranges from 31.5% to 36.0% in W. vanderleueri and is 36.4% in NTM P4325. Thus W. alcootaensis has a distinctly enlarged P₃ in comparison with W. oldfieldi and a slightly enlarged P₃ in comparison with W. vanderleueri.
The lower jaws of *W. oldfieldi* and *W. vanderleueri* bear three double-rooted molars behind the enlarged P₃ (Clemens and Plane 1974; Megirian 1986; Gillespie et al. 2014). The new Alcoota dentary (NTM P4325) bears just four sockets (Fig. 5), indicating only two double-rooted molars. An alternative interpretation was suggested during the review of this paper. In this interpretation the tall peak of alveolar bone observed at the anterior end of NTM P4325 is taken to mark the boundary between the last premolar and the first molar. This allows a linear row of five sockets for the roots of the molar teeth which would presumably be interpreted as receiving two double rooted molars and a posterior single rooted molar. However such an interpretation can be dismissed because the broken roots in the first two alveolar sockets form a contiguous broken surface over the peak of alveolar bone (Figs 4C, 5, 11), indicating conclusively that they are the anterior and posterior roots of the same large premolar. Furthermore the tall peak of alveolar bone matches precisely the peak that occurs between the anterior and posterior roots of P₃ in *W. vanderleueri* (Fig. 11). That the four remaining alveolar sockets equate to two double rooted molar teeth is supported by the presence of two roots in all known *Wakaleo* lower molars, including the reduced M₃ of *W. vanderleueri* (Clemens and Plane 1974, Gillespie et al. 2014). This interpretation is further supported by the dentary fragment UCMP 65621, which preserves its last two molars. These molars would appear to be homologous with M₁ and M₂ of *W. vanderleueri*, indicating that M₃ was absent in this specimen as well. The posterior molar of UCMP 65621 is identified as M₂ rather than M₃ because it is much larger than the reduced M₃ of other *Wakaleo* species both in terms of absolute size and relative size compared to the preceding molar. It also retains distinct trigonid and talonid moieties unlike the M₃ of *W. oldfieldi* or *W. vanderleueri*. In *W. oldfieldi* the talonid basin occupies most of the occlusal surface of the tooth, with the trigonid reduced to a raised anterior edge or absent altogether, while in *W. vanderleueri* reduction of M₃ has proceeded to the point that it is a simple basinless nubbin.

**Variation within *Wakaleo alcootaensis***

As there is very little overlap between the new specimens and the holotype any discussion of variation within *W. alcootaensis* is restricted to size variation. The holotype has dental measurements that are about one third larger than those of *W. vanderleueri*. Similarly the new dentary has dental measurements that range from 16 to 35 % greater than the mean value for *W. vanderleueri* (Table 2). However the dentary fragment UCMP 65621 is not so large, with the combined length of M₁ and M₂ only exceeding the mean value for *W. vanderleueri* by just over
10% (Table 2). In contrast, the isolated M\(^2\) is slightly larger than that of the holotype of \(W.\) 
\textit{alcootaensis}, although the difference is less than 15% of the linear measurements. These 
observations indicate that, like \(W.\) \textit{vanderleueri}, \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} displayed a modest range of 
size variation.

**Evolution within Thylacoleonidae**

Members of the genus \textit{Wakaleo}, including \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis}, display several derived features not 
seen in species of \textit{Thylacoleo} such as loss of the first premolar in the upper and lower jaws, 
presence of an anterolingual cuspule on the third upper premolar and triangular upper molars 
(Gillespie 2007). These suggest that \textit{Wakaleo} forms a clade to the exclusion of \textit{Thylacoleo} as 
suggested by Clemens and Plane (1974). Nonetheless \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} displays several derived 
states not present in earlier \textit{Wakaleo} species but are present in \textit{Thylacoleo}. These include: larger 
size; steeply-angled lower incisors; increased size of P\(_3\) relative to other teeth; and reduction in 
the number of molar teeth. To test whether or not these character states are sufficient to remove 
\(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} from \textit{Wakaleo}, or to nest \textit{Thylacoleo} within \textit{Wakaleo} as the sister taxon of \(W.\) 
\textit{alcootaensis}, a cladistic analysis was performed. The search produced three most parsimonious 
trees with a length of 63 steps. The strict consensus of these trees upholds \textit{Wakaleo} as a clade 
including \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} (Fig. 12).

Of the features shared between \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} and \textit{Thylacoleo} that were included in the analysis 
(that is all except absolute size) were optimised as convergences between \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} and a 
subset of \textit{Thylacoleo} (\(T.\) \textit{crassidentatus} + \(T.\) \textit{carnifex}), or \(T.\) \textit{carnifex} alone, at least in delayed 
transformation optimisation. None of them were found to be synapomorphies linking \(W.\) 
\textit{alcootaensis} to \textit{Thylacoleo}. The enlargement of P\(_3\) and the loss of M\(_3\) were interpreted as 
synapomorphies of Thylacoleonidae that were reversed in \(W.\) \textit{oldfieldi} and \(W.\) \textit{vanderleueri} when 
acctran optimisation was in place. This optimisation, although equally parsimonious within the 
narrow parameters of the present analysis, is incongruent with stratigraphy and is almost 
certainly an artefact of the high amounts of missing data for basal thylacoleonids. As new data 
for \textit{Priscileo roskellyae} and other basal thylacoleonids become available it is likely that the 
ambiguity will be resolved in favour of the deltran optimisation. Thus the interpretation that the 
similarities between \(W.\) \textit{alcootaensis} and \textit{Thylacoleo} are convergent is supported by the analysis, 
although the strength of this support is lessened by missing data. This indicates that there has
probably been a certain amount of iterative evolution in Thylacoleonidae with some character
traits evolving in the late Miocene of the *Wakaleo* clade and again, independently, in the Plio-
Pleistocene *Thylacoleo* clade. What selective force may be driving this convergence is unknown,
although the increased size of both *W. alcootaensis* and later *Thylacoleo*, relative to other
thylacoleonids hints that it may be a specialisation towards hypercarnivory and increasing prey
size.

The new anatomical information and the phylogenetic analysis also allow us to revisit the
position of *W. alcootaensis* within *Wakaleo*. Previous hypotheses had suggested that *Wakaleo*
consisted of a single anagenetic lineage passing from *W. oldfieldi* to *W. vanderleueri* and finally
*W. alcootaensis*. This hypothesis is supported by the stratigraphic succession of these taxa and
the apparent morphoclinal trends that they exhibit. ‘Apparent’ is an appropriate qualifier because
the incompleteness of both *W. oldfieldi* and *W. alcootaensis* meant that no single anatomical
structure could be traced through all three species. With the addition of upper jaw material for *W.
oldfieldi* (Gillespie et al. 2014) and lower jaw material for *W. alcootaensis* (this paper) these
morphoclinal trends can be re-examined. The following character trends are found to be
congruent with an anagenetic lineage: increasing absolute size; increasing $P_3$ to $M_1$ ratio; and
progressive reduction and eventual loss of $M_3$. We might also add an increasingly steeply
inclined $I_1$ if it can be shown that like the holotype other *W. oldfieldi* individuals have a highly
procumbent $I_1$ set at a lower angle to those of *W. vanderleueri*. However other characters are
incongruent with this morphoclinal trend. Incongruent characters include the buccal height of $M^2$
relative to its lingual height and the excavation of the anterior margin of the masseteric fossa. *W.
oldfieldi* and *W. vanderleueri* show increasing height of the buccal side of $M^2$ relative to the
lingual side so there is a steep buccolingual gradient across the tooth. In contrast the buccal side
of the $M^2$ of *W. alcootaensis* is barely any taller than the lingual side (NTM P4328). The
masseteric fossa is recessed under its anterior rim in *W. oldfieldi* and *W. alcootaensis* whereas
there is no recess in *W. vanderleueri*. These characters may be simply represent small-scale
reversals within an anagentic lineage or may be indicative of a more complex branching
arrangement within *Wakaleo*.

Although simple cladistics analysis is incapable of testing for anagenesis, with each operational
taxonomic unit treated as a terminal branch, we can expect that anagenetic lineages appear as a
pectinate arrangement with the constituent taxa appearing in sequence. This does not occur within the *Wakaleo* clade of the present analysis, casting some doubt upon the hypothesis of an anagenetic lineage in this genus. According to the analysis *W. alcootaensis* branched off prior to the split between *W. oldfieldi* and *W. vanderleueri*. This implies a ghost lineage for *W. alcootaensis* extending back to the early Miocene, for which we have no physical evidence. However an examination of the synapomorphies supporting the *W. oldfieldi* + *W. vanderleueri* clade shows that they are mostly plesiomorphic characters that have been optimised as reversals in this analysis. This may well be an artefact of the poor representation of basal thylacoleonids in the analysis and will likely change with the addition of new, currently unpublished, basal thylacoleonid material (Gillespie 2007).

In summary, *W. alcootensis* would appear to be correctly placed in *Wakaleo*, which is supported as monophyletic but an evaluation of evolution within the genus is dependent upon the addition of new data, much of which should be forthcoming.

**Acknowledgements**

The new dentary which form the basis of this paper was discovered in a new pit (‘Shattered Dreams’) which was opened thanks to the generous loan of a backhoe and licensed operator from Central Desert Regional Council, Northern Territory. I am deeply indebted to Glenn Marshall for making this loan possible. I also wish to thank Ben McHenry of the South Australian Museum for allowing me access to thylacoleonid specimens in his care. The photographs in figures 2 to 5 were taken by Steven Jackson. Jay Nair assisted in the location of some literature. The manuscript was greatly improved by thorough reviews from Kenny Travouillon, Maria Amelia Chemisquy, Graciela Piñeiro and an anonymous reviewer.
References

Aplin K and Archer M. 1987. Recent advances in marsupial systematics with a new syncretic classification. In: Archer M, ed. Possums and Opossums: studies in evolution. Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, xv–lxxii.

Archer M, Arena DA, Bassarova M, Beck RMD, Black K, Boles WE, Brewer P, Cooke BN, Crosby K, Gillespie A, Godthelp H, Hand SJ, Kear BP, Louys J, Morrell A, Muirhead J, Roberts KK, Scanlon JD, Travouillon KJ and Wroe S. 2006. Current status of species-level representation in faunas from selected fossil localities in the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, northwestern Queensland. Alcheringa Special Issue 1: 1–18.

Archer M and Dawson L. 1982. Revision of marsupial lions of the genus *Thylacoleo* Gervais (Thylacoleonidae, Marsupialia) and thylacoleonid evolution in the late Cainozoic. In: Archer M, ed. Carnivorous Marsupials. Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, 477–494.

Archer M and Rich TH. 1982. Results of the Ray E. Lemley expeditions. *Wakaleo alcottaensis* n. sp. (Thylacoleonidae, Marsupialia), a new marsupial lion from the Miocene of the Northern Territory with a consideration of early radiation in the family. In: Archer M, ed. Carnivorous Marsupials. Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, 495–502.

Arena DA, Travouillon KJ, Beck RMD, Black KH, Gillespie AK, Myers TJ, Archer M and Hand SJ. 2015. Mammalian lineages and the biostratigraphy and biochronology of Cenozoic faunas from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, Australia. Lethaia, DOI: 10.1111/let.12131.

Bartholomai A. 1962. A new species of *Thylacoleo* and notes on some caudal vertebrae of *Palorchestes azael*. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 14: 33–40.

Black K and Archer M. 1997. *Nimiokoala* gen. nov. (Marsupialia, Phascolarctidae) from Riversleigh, northwestern Queensland, with a revision of *Litokoala*. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 41: 209–228.

Clemens WA, Plane M. 1974. Mid-Tertiary Thylacoleonidae (Marsupialia, Mammalia). Journal of Paleontology 48: 652–660.

Flower, WH. 1867. On the development and succession of the teeth in the Marsupialia. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London 157: 631–641. Gill T. 1872. Arrangement of the families of mammals with analytical tables. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 2: 1–98.

Gillespie AK. 1997. *Priscileo rosckelyae* sp. nov. (Thylacoleonidae, Marsupialia) from the Oligocene-Miocene of Riversleigh, northwestern Queensland. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 41: 321–327.
Gillespie AK. 2007. Diversity and systematics of marsupial lions from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area and the evolution of the Thylacoleonidae. Ph.D. dissertation, University of New South Wales, Sydney. 394 pp.

Gillespie AK, Archer M, Hand SJ, Black K. 2014. New material referable to *Wakaleo* (Marsupialia: Thylacoleonidae) from the Riversleigh World Heritage Area, northwestern Queensland: revising species boundaries and distributions in Oligo/Miocene marsupial lions. *Alcheringa* 38: 513–527.

Luckett, WP. 1993. An ontogenetic assessment of dental homologies in therian mammals. In: Szalay FS, Novacek MJ & McKenna MC, eds. *Mammal phylogeny*. New York: Springer, 182–204.

Megirian D. 1986. The dentary of *Wakaleo vanderleueri* (Thylacoleonidae: Marsupialia). *The Beagle, Occasional Papers of the Northern Territory Museum of Arts and Sciences*. 3: 71–79.

Megirian D. 2000. Report on shallow augering at the MAGNT Alcoota fossil reserve, June and August, 1998. *MAGNT Research Report* 7: 1–19.

Megirian D, Murray PF and Wells R. 1997. The late Miocene Ongeva Local Fauna of central Australia. *The Beagle, Records of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory*, 13: 9–38.

Megirian D, Prideaux GJ, Murray PF and Smit N. 2010. An Australian land mammal age biochronological scheme. *Paleobiology* 36: 658-671.

Murray PF and Megirian D. 1990. Further observations on the morphology of *Wakaleo vanderleueri* (Marsupialia: Thylacoleonidae) from the Mid-Miocene Camfield Beds, Northern Territory. *The Beagle: Records of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory* 7: 91–102.

Murray PF and Megirian D. 1992. Continuity and contrast in middle and late Miocene vertebrate communities from the Northern Territory. *The Beagle: Records of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory* 9: 195–218.

Murray PF and Vickers-Rich P. 2004.. *Magnificent Mihirungs: the Colossal Flightless Birds of the Australian Dreamtime*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

M. 1987. The cranium of the Miocene thylacoleonid, *Wakaleo vanderleueri*: click go the shears-a fresh bite at thylacoleonid systematics. In: Archer M, ed. *Possums and Opossums: Studies in Evolution*. Sydney: Surrey Beatty and Sons and the Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, 433–466.

Owen R. 1859. On the fossil mammals of Australia. 1. Description of a mutilated skull of a large marsupial carnivore (*Thylacoleo carnifex* Owen) from a calcareous conglomerate stratum, eighty
miles s.w. of Melbourne, Victoria. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 149: 309–322.

Owen R. 1866. *On the Anatomy of Vertebrates, Vol. II*. London: Longmans, Green.

Owen R. 1871. On the fossil mammals of Australia. 4. Dentition and Mandible of *Thylacoleo carnifex*, with remarks on the arguments for its herbivory. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 161: 213-266, pls. 11–14.

Owen R. 1887. Additional evidence of the affinities of the extinct marsupial quadruped *Thylacoleo carnifex* (Owen). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 178: 1–3, pl. 1.

Pledge NS. 1977. A new species of *Thylacoleo* (Marsupialia: Thylacoleonidae) with notes on the occurences and distribution of Thylacoleonidae in South Australia. *Records of the South Australian Museum* 17: 277–283.

Pledge NS. 2005. The Riversleigh wynyardiids. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 51: 135–169.

Stirton RA, Woodburne MO and Plane MD. 1967. A phylogeny of the Tertiary Diprotodontidae and its significance in correlation. *Bulletin of the Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics, Australia* 85: 149–160.

Swofford DL. 2002. *PAUP* phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Version 4. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.

Woodburne MO. 1967. The Alcoota Fauna, central Australia. *Bulletin of the Bureau of Mineral Resources Geology and Geophysics, Australia* 87: 1–187

Woodburne MO. 1984. Families of marsupials: relationships, evolution and biogeography. In: Broadhead TW, ed. *Mammals: Notes for a short course. University of Tennessee Department of Geological Science, Studies in Geology* 8: 48–71.

Wroe S, Myers TJ, Wells RT and Gillespie A. 1999. Estimating the weight of the Pleistocene marsupial lion, *Thylacoleo carnifex* (Thylacoleonidae: Marsupialia): implications for the ecomorphology of a marsupial super-predator and hypotheses of impoverishment of Australian marsupial carnivore faunas. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 47: 489–498.

Wroe S, Myers T, Seebacher F, Kear B, Gillespie A, Crowther M and Salisbury S. 2003. An alternative method for predicting body mass: the case of the Pleistocene marsupial lion. *Paleobiology* 29: 403–411.
Appendix 1. Character list

1. Number of alveoli between P₃ and I₁: no alveoli (0); one alveolus (1); two alveoli (2)
   (modified from characters 1 and 2 of Gillespie 2007). Character is treated as ordered. Given the
difficulty in determining the homology of the reduced anterior teeth between the first incisor and
the third premolar in diprotodontians, this character is simplified here and simply codes the
variable number of alveoli present between these teeth.

2. Ratio of the length of P₃ to the length of M₁: less than 1.0 (0); between 1 and 1.1 (1); between
   1.1 and 1.5 (2); 1.5 or greater (3) (modified from Clemens and Plane 1974). The character is
treated as ordered.

3. Development of a posterolinguinal crest on P₃: weakly developed to absent (0); well-developed
   (1) (modified from character 7 in Gillespie 2007). The character here is simplified by subsuming
the state of ‘weakly developed’ into the plesiomorphic state.

4. Presence or absence of of a weak anterobuccal crest on P₃: absent (0); present (1) (modified
   from character 9 in Gillespie 2007). The character here is treated as a simple presence or absence
character, rather than distinguishing between weakly and moderately developed derived states.

5. Molar cusp morphology: selenodont (0); bunolophodont (1); bunodont (2) (from Gillespie
   2007).

6. Height of the trigonid relative to the talonid in M₁: trigonid subequal or lower than the talonid
   height (0); trigonid distinctly taller than the talonid (1); trigonid more than twice the height of the
talonid (2) (modified from character 12 in Gillespie 2007). Character is ordered.

7. Width of talonid basin of M₁: width nearly equal to width of the crown (0); width less than
   70% of the width of the crown (1); width reduced to less than 30% of the width of the crown, or
   near absence (2) (modified from character 13 in Gillespie 2007). Character is ordered.

8. Width of the talonid moiety relative to the trigonid moiety in M₁: Talonid wider than the
   trigonid (0); talonid between 70% and 100% the width of the trigonid (1); talonid less then 70%
of the width of the trigonid (2) (character modified from character 14 in Gillespie 2007).

Character is ordered.

9. Height of the trigonid relative to the talonid in M\textsubscript{2}: trigonid slightly taller than the talonid height (0); trigonid greater than 1.3 times taller than the talonid (1) (modified from character 16 in Gillespie 2007).

10. Presence or absence of the talonid in M\textsubscript{2}: present (0); absent (1) (modified from character 17 in Gillespie 2007).

11. Presence or absence of M\textsubscript{3}: present (0); absent (1) (from Archer and Dawson 1982).

12. Presence or absence of M\textsubscript{4}: present (0); absent (1) (from Archer and Dawson 1982).

13. Ratio of the length of P\textsubscript{3} to M\textsubscript{1}: less than 1.0 (0); between 1 and 1.5 (1); between 1.5 and 1.9 (2); greater than 1.9 (3) (modified from character 23 in Gillespie 2007). Character is ordered.

14. Length of the longitudinal blade of P\textsubscript{3} relative to the total length of the tooth: less than 50\% (0); between 50 and 70\% (1); greater than 70 \% (2) (from Gillespie 2007). Character is ordered.

15. Orientation of posterior longitudinal blade of P\textsubscript{3}: steeply inclined (0); gently bowed and horizontal (1); absent (2) (from Gillespie 2007).

16. Presence or absence of a mid crown constriction of P\textsubscript{3}: absent (0); present (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

17. Presence or absence of a posterobuccal crest on P\textsubscript{3}: absent (0); present (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

18. Shape of the prominence below the anterior cusp of P\textsubscript{3} on its lingual side: elongate crest joining anterior cusp (0); cuspule sepparated from anterior cusp (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

19. Posterior width of P\textsubscript{3} relative to anterior width: greater than anterior width (0); less than anterior width (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

20. Occlusal outline of M\textsubscript{1}: square to rectangular (0); triangular (1) (from Gillespie 2007).
21. Development of the metaconule of M$^1$: present and well-developed (0); barely developed or absent altogether (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

22. Presence or absence of P$^1$: present (0); absent (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

23. Presence or absence of P$^2$: present (0); absent (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

24. Shape of longitudinal crest of P$^3$ in occlusal view: straight (0); longitudinally bowed (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

25. Shape of posterobuccal margin of M$^1$ and relationship to M$^2$: not elongated and not overlapping M$^2$ (0); posteriorly elongated and overlapping M$^2$ in lateral view (1) (modified from character 33 in Gillespie 2007).

26. Anteroposterior depth gradient of the buccal side of the crown of M$^1$: no gradient, anterior and posterior ends of the crown of equal depth (0), weak gradient with anterior end slightly taller than the posterior end (1), strong gradient with anterior end much taller than the posterior end (2) (from Gillespie 2007). Character is ordered.

27. Occlusal outline of M$^2$: rectangular (0); subtriangular (1); fully triangular (2) (from Gillespie 2007). Character is ordered.

28. Presence or absence of a metaconule on M$^2$: present (0); absent (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

29. Presence or absence of a lateral bulge of the buccal margin of the crown, adjacent to the paracone of M$^2$: absent (0); present (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

30. Presence or absence of M$^3$: present (0); absent (1) (from Archer and Dawson 1982).

31. Angle of the long axis of I$_1$ to the long axis of the horizontal ramus of the dentary: less than 40° (0); greater than 40° (1). Character is new.

32. Development of the masseteric fossa: fossa is not recessed under the anterior margin (0); fossa is recessed under the anterior margin (1). Character is new.

33. Presence or absence of palatal ridges: absent (0); present (1) (from Gillespie 2007).
34. Depth of buccal margin of $M^2$ in comparison to the lingual margin: buccal and lingual margins of similar height (0); buccal margin raised relative to the lingual margin (1) (from Gillespie 2007).

**Appendix 2. Tree Description**

The second of two most-parsimonious-trees (where *Thylacoleo hilli* is resolved as the sister taxon of *T. crassidentatus* + *T. carnifex*) is described, however only ingroup clades that are present in the strict consensus tree are described. State changes are given in brackets. An asterisk denotes a state change that occurs once, without homoplasy.

**Clade 1. Thylacoleonidae**

*Unambiguous synapomorphies.* Character 5 (1 to 2, or 0 to 2)*: bunodont molar cusps. Acctran optimisation supports the state change from bunolophodont to bunodont (1 to 2), whereas deltran optimisation interprets the state change as selenodont to bunodont (0 to 2). In either case the change to bunodonty is unambiguously tied to this clade and is an unambiguous synapomorphy of Thylacoleonidae. Character 15 (0 to 1)*: posterior longitudinal blade of $P^3$ is nearly horizontal and bowed. Character 24 (0 to 1)*: Main sectorial blade of $P^3$ is longitudinally bowed. Character 26 (0 to 1)*: an anteroposterior gradient on the buccal side of $M^1$ with a taller anterior end. Character 29 (0 to 1)*: presence of a lateral bulge of the buccal margin of $M^2$ adjacent to the paracone.

*Ambiguous synapomorphies under acctran optimisation.* Character 2 (1 to 3): A $P_3$ to $M_1$ ratio of 1.5 or more. Unknown in *Priscileo rosckellyae* and reversed in *Wakaleo oldfieldi* + *Wakaleo vanderleueri*. Deltran optimisation interprets this character state as a convergence between *Wakaleo alcootaensis* and *Thylacoleo crassidentatus* + *Thylacoleo carnifex*. With the inclusion of new data from basal thylacoleonids this ambiguity will almost certainly resolve in favour of the deltran optimisation. Character 3 (0 to 1): Presence of a well-developed posterolingual crest on $P_3$ (reversed in *Thylacoleo crassidentatus* + *Thylacoleo carnifex*). Deltran optimisation interprets this character as a convergence between *Wakaleo oldfieldi* + *Wakaleo vanderleueri* and *Thylacoleo hilli*. Character 6 (0 to 1)*: trigonids of lower molars taller than the talonids.
Ambiguous due to missing published information on the lower molars of *Priscileo roskellyae*. Deltran optimisation finds this character state change on the branch supporting *Wakaleo + Thylacoleo*. Character 7 (0 to 1)*: talonid basins of lower molars distinctly narrower than the crown. Ambiguous due to missing published information on the lower molars of *Priscileo roskellyae*. Deltran optimisation finds this character state change on the branch supporting *Wakaleo + Thylacoleo*. Character 8 (0 to 1)*: talonid moiety of M$_1$ narrower than the trigonid moiety. Ambiguous due to missing published information on the lower molars of *Priscileo roskellyae*. Deltran optimisation finds this character state change on the branch supporting *Wakaleo + Thylacoleo*. Character 9 (0 to 1)*: Trigonid of M$_2$ much higher than its talonid. Ambiguous due to missing published information on the lower molars of *Priscileo roskellyae*. Deltran optimisation finds this character state change on the branch supporting *Wakaleo* (the state cannot be determined in *Thylacoleo* due to loss of the talonid in M$_2$). Character 11 (0 to 1): loss of M$_3$ (reversed in *Wakaleo oldfieldi + Wakaleo vanderleueri*). Deltran optimisation interprets this character state as a convergence between *Wakaleo alcootaensis* and *Thylacoleo crassidentatus* + *Thylacoleo carnifex*. With the inclusion of new data from basal thylacoleonids this ambiguity will almost certainly resolve in favour of the deltran optimisation. Character 12 (0 to 1)*: loss of M$_4$. Ambiguous due to missing data for *Priscileo roskellyae*, with deltran optimisation finding this character to be a synapomorphy of *Wakaleo + Thylacoleo*. Given that the upper tooth row of *Priscileo roskellyae* retains M$_4$, it is probable that the lower tooth row retained M$_4$. If this is found to be the case then the ambiguity will resolve in favour of the deltran optimisation.

**Clade 2. Wakaleo + Thylacoleo**

*Unambiguous synapomorphies*. Character 13 (1 to 2): P$^3$ to M$^1$ ratio greater than 1.5. Reversed in *Wakaleo oldfieldi*. Character 14 (0 to 1)*: Central longitudinal blade of P$^3$ longer than 50% of total tooth length. Character 21 (0 to 1)*: Metaconule of M$^1$ reduced to the point that it is barely developed or is lost altogether. Character 26 (1 to 2)*: an extremely strong anteroposterior gradient on the buccal side of M$^1$ with a very tall anterior end. Character 33 (0 to 1)*: palatal ridges present.
Ambiguous synapomorphies under acctran optimisation. Character 28 (0 to 1)*: Loss of metaconule on \( M^2 \). Ambiguous due to missing data in *Thylacoleo*. This character state change is a synapomorphy of *Wakaleo* in delayed transformation.

Ambiguous synapomorphies under deltran optimisation. Character 2 (1 to 2): A \( P_3 \) to \( M_1 \) ratio greater than 1.1. See discussion of this character above. Character 6 (0 to 1)*: Trigonids of lower molars taller than the talonids. See discussion of this character above. Character 7 (0 to 1)*: Talonid basins of lower molars distinctly narrower than the crown. See discussion of this character above.

Clade 3. *Wakaleo*

Unambiguous synapomorphies. Character 18 (0 to 1)*: Presence of a lingual cuspule below the anterior cusp of \( P^3 \). Character 20 (0 to 1)*: Triangular occlusal outline of \( M^1 \). Character 22 (0 to 1): Loss of \( P^1 \). The absence of the \( P^1 \) in two of the outgroup taxa (*Nimiokoala greystanesi* and *Namilamadeta albivenator*) renders the optimisation of this character at the base of the tree ambiguous. Nevertheless this tooth is present basally in Thylacoleonidae and its loss can be unambiguously tied to *Wakaleo* within Thylacoleonidae. Character 25 (0 to 1)*: posterobuccal margin of \( M^1 \) lengthened and overlapping \( M^2 \) in lateral view. Character 27 (0 to 1): Occlusal outline of \( M^2 \) subtriangular to triangular.

Ambiguous synapomorphies under acctran optimisation. Character 3 (0 to 1): Well-developed posterolingual crest on \( P_3 \). Convergent in *Thylacoleo hilli*. Ambiguous due to missing data in *W. alcootaensis*. Deltran optimisation places this character state change on the branch supporting *W. oldfieldi* + *W. vanderleueri*. Character 23 (0 to 1): Loss of \( P^2 \). Ambiguous due to lack of data in *W. oldfieldi* and polymorphism in *W. vanderleueri*. Deltran optimisation interprets the loss of this tooth as an autapomorphy of *W. alcootaensis*, convergently acquired in some *W. vanderleueri*. Character 32 (0 to 1): Recessed masseteric fossa. Reversed in *W. vanderleueri*. Deltran optimisation interprets this character state change as convergently evolved in *W. oldfieldi* and *W. alcootaensis*.

Ambiguous synapomorphies under deltran optimisation. Character 9 (0 to 1)*: Trigon of \( M_2 \) much higher than its talonid. See discussion of this character above. Character 28 (0 to 1)*: Loss of metaconule on \( M^2 \). See discussion of this character above.
Clade 4. *Wakaleo oldfieldi + Wakaleo vanderleurei*

**Unambiguous synapomorphies.** Character 1 (0 to 1): Presence of one alveolus between I₃ and P₃.

Although it may seem likely that the single tooth present in this position in *W. oldfieldi* and *W. vanderleurei* is a primitive retention, it is interpreted here as a derived reacquisition due to the lack of teeth in this position in two of the outgroup taxa and the scarcity of data for basal thylacoleonids in this analysis. Character 34 (0 to 1)*: Buccal margin of M₂ much deeper than lingual margin.

*Ambiguous synapomorphies under acctran optimisation.* Character 2 (3 to 2): Reversal to a P₃: M₁ less than 1.5. Character 11 (1 to 0): Reversal to the presence of M₃. See discussion of this character above.

*Ambiguous synapomorphies under deltran optimisation.* Character 3 (0 to 1): presence of a well-developed posterolingual crest on P₃. Convergent in *Thylacoleo hilli*. See discussion of the character above.

Clade 5. *Thylacoleo*

**Unambiguous synapomorphies.** Character 1 (0 to 2): Presence of two alveoli between I₁ and P₃.

Although it may seem likely that the character state displayed by *Thylacoleo* is a primitive retention, it is interpreted here as a derived reacquisition due to the lack of teeth in this position in two of the outgroup taxa and the scarcity of data for basal thylacoleonids in this analysis. Character 4 (1 to 0): Loss of anterobuccal crest on P₃. Convergent in *Pseudocheirus peregrinus*.

Character 14 (1 to 2)*: Very long longitudinal blade of P₃ more than 70% of total tooth length.

Character 15 (1 to 2)*: Loss of the posterior longitudinal blade of P₃. Character 16 (1 to 0): Loss of a mid-crown constriction of P₃ in occlusal view. Convergent in *Nimiokoala greystanesi*.

Character 17 (1 to 0): Loss of a posterobuccal crest on P₃. A reversal of a character that evolved on the branch leading to Vombatimorphia. Character 19 (0 to 1)*: Posterior width of P₃ less than the anterior width.

*Ambiguous synapomorphies under acctran optimisation.* Character 10 (0 to 1)*: talonid of M₂ lost. Ambiguous due to missing data for *Thylacoleo hilli*. Deltran interprets this character as a synapomorphy of *Thylacoleo crassidentatus* + *Thylacoleo carnifex*. 
Map of north-west corner of Alcoota Fossil Reserve showing the principal excavation sites of the Alcoota Local Fauna.
Figure 2 (on next page)

Wakaleo alcootaensis, right dentary fragment (cast of UCMP 65621).

A, Buccal view. B, Occlusal view. C, Lingual view. D, E, F, Interpretive line drawings of A, B, C, respectively. Note that specimen in A-C has been whitened with ammonium chloride. Abbreviations: ew, remnant enamel well; m1, first lower molar; m2, second lower molar; ta, talonid; tc, transverse crest; tr, trigonid. Scale bar = 20 mm.
Figure 3 (on next page)

_Wakaleo alcootaensis_, incomplete right dentary, NTM P4325.

Photographs of the specimen after whitening with ammonium chloride. A, buccal view. B, lingual view. C, occlusal view. Scale bar = 50 mm.
Wakaleo alcootaensis, incomplete right dentary, NTM P4325.

Interpretive drawings of the photographs in figure 3. A, buccal view. B, lingual view. C, occlusal view. Abbreviations: bcp, base of the coronoid process; i1a, alveolus for first lower incisor; m1a, alveolus for first lower molar; m2a, alveolus for second lower molar; mfo, masseteric fossa; p3, third lower premolar; pmf, posterior mental foramen; s, symphyseal surface. Scale bar = 50 mm.
Wakaleo alcootaensis, right lower tooth row in occlusal view, NTM P4325.

A, photograph. B, interpretive drawing. Abbreviations: i1a, alveolus for first lower incisor; m1ara, alveolus for anterior root of first lower molar; m1pra, alveolus for posterior root of first lower molar; m2ara, alveolus for anterior root of second lower molar; m2pra, alveolus for posterior root of second lower molar; p3, third premolar. Scale bar = 20 mm.
Wakaleo alcootaensis, photographs of isolated right upper canine, NTM P4462.

A, buccal view. B, anterior view. C, lingual view. D, posterior view. Scale bar = 10 mm.
**Figure 7** (on next page)

*Wakaleo alcootaensis*, isolated right upper canine, NTM P4463.

A, photograph in buccal view. B, photograph in lingual view. C, photograph in occlusal view. D, interpretive drawing of A. E, interpretive drawing of B. F, interpretive drawing of C. Abbreviations: ac, anterior carina; c, crown; pc, posterior carina; r, root. Specimen was photographed after being whitened with ammonium chloride. Scale bar = 20 mm.
Wakaleo alcootaensis, isolated left M\(^2\), NTM P4328.

A, photograph (stereopair) in occlusal view. B, interpretive drawing of occlusal view (note that this drawing was based on an earlier photograph and does not precisely match the photograph). C, photograph in buccal view. D, photograph in anterior view. E, interpretive drawing of C. F, interpretive drawing of D. Abbreviations: ant, anterior; ling, lingual; me, metacone; pa, paracone; pr, protocone; sb, vestigial stylar basin; tb, trigon basin. Scale bar = 10 mm.
Comparison of the dentaries of *Wakaleo* species.

A. *W. alcootaensis*. B. *W. vanderleueri*. C. *W. oldfieldi*. Differences between the species illustrated are: the depth of the anterior recess of the masseteric fossa; the presence or absence of P₂ and M₃, the ratio of the length of M₁ to P₃, and the angle of the base of I₁ relative to the horizontal axis of the dentary. A is reconstructed from NTM P4325 and UCMP 65621. B. reconstructed from NTM P87108-5 and NTM P87108-6. C redrawn from Clemens and Plane (1974, fig. 1a, reversed for comparison). Scale bar = 40 mm.
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A
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C

- shallow recess
Inclination of the first lower incisor in *Wakaleo* dentaries.

A, *Wakaleo oldfieldi*, B, C, D, E, F, *Wakaleo vanderleueri*. G, *Wakaleo alcootaensis*. E and G reversed for comparison. Specimens missing the first lower incisor have a splint affixed to the posterodorsal wall of the alveolus. Note the steep inclination in *W. alcootaensis*. Drawings from photographic images, not to scale.
Comparison of *Wakaleo* dentaries showing $P_3$.

A. Anterior end of left dentary of *W. vanderleueri* (NTM P927-3) in buccal view. B. Anterior end of right dentary of *W. alcootaensis* (NTM P4325) in buccal view (reversed for comparison). Long arrows point to tall process of alveolar bone dividing the anterior and posterior roots of $P_3$. Short arrow in B represents continuation of broken dentine over the top of the process demonstrating that the first two sockets belong to the same tooth. Scale bars = 20 mm.
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Strict consensus tree of two most-parsimonious-trees obtained from cladistic analysis of thylacoleonid interrelationships.

Source trees have a length of 63 steps. Numbers with node represent decay index values.
Table 1 (on next page)

Terminal taxa used in the cladistic analysis

Outgroup and ingroup taxa with their sources for character data.
| Taxon                  | Specimens Examined                          | Literature used                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| *Pseudocheirus* peregrinus | NTM U7839, U7840, U7841, U7843, U7846       |                                                                                  |
| *Nimiokoala* greystanesi  |                                             | Black and Archer 1997                                                            |
| *Namilamadeta* albivenator |                                             | Pledge 2005                                                                    |
| *Priscileo* roskellyae    |                                             | Gillespie 1997                                                                  |
| *Wakaleo* oldfieldi       | SAM P17925                                   | Clemens and Plane 1974, Gillespie et al. 2014                                   |
| *Wakaleo* vanderleueri    | NTM P927-3, P8555-3, P8695-97, P87108-5, P87108-6 | Megirian 1986, Murray, Wells and Plane 1987, Murray and Megirian 1990, Gillespie et al 2014 |
| *Wakaleo* alcootaensis    | NTM P1, P4325, P4328, UCMP 65621 (c)         | Archer and Rich 1982                                                            |
| *Thylacoleo* hilli        |                                             | Pledge 1977, Archer and Dawson 1982                                              |
| *Thylacoleo* crassidentatus |                                             | Bartholomai 1962, Archer and Dawson 1982                                         |
| *Thylacoleo* carnifex     |                                             | Owen 1871, 1887, Archer and Dawson 1982                                          |
**Table 2** (on next page)

Measurements of dentaries and lower dentition of *Wakaleo*.

Measurements in mm. Abbreviations: L, anteroposterior length of crown; W, maximum buccolingual width of the crown; DH, dentary height measured at the level of the posterior margin of M<sub>2</sub>; P<sub>3</sub>-MF, distance from the posterior margin of the P<sub>3</sub> to the anterior rim of the masseteric fossa; P<sub>3</sub>-M<sub>2</sub>, length of the tooth row from the anterior margin of P<sub>3</sub> to the posterior margin of M<sub>2</sub>; M<sub>1</sub>-M<sub>2</sub>, combined length of M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>. 

| Measurement | Value |
|-------------|-------|
| L (mm)      |       |
| W (mm)      |       |
| DH (mm)     |       |
| P<sub>3</sub>-MF (mm) |   |
| P<sub>3</sub>-M<sub>2</sub> (mm) |   |
| M<sub>1</sub>-M<sub>2</sub> (mm) |   |
|                            | P₁L | P₃W  | M₁L  | DH   | P₃-MF | P₃-M₂ | M₁-M₂ |
|---------------------------|-----|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| *W. alcootaensis*         |     |      |      |      |       |       |       |
| NTM P4325                 | 19.6| (8.8)| ~13.1| 30.4 | 53.8  | 42.0  | 24.0  |
| UCMP 65621                | -   | -    | 11.5 | -    | -     | -     | 21.3  |
| *W. vanderleueri*         |     |      |      |      |       |       |       |
| NTM P85553-4              | 14.6| -    | 10.4 | 30.7 | 40.6  | 31.3  | 18.4  |
| NTM P8695-97              | ~14.3| -  | ~10.6| 29.5 | 45.4  | 33.5  | 19.6  |
| NTM P87108-6              | 14.5| 7.8  | 11.3 | 34.3 | ~45.7 | 33.7  | 20.0  |
| NTM P87108-5              | 14.1| 7.3  | 12.0 | 29.8 | 40.0  | 34.5  | 19.8  |
| NTM P9969-4               | 14.6| 7.6  | 32.9 | 45.8 | 35.8  | -     |       |
| NTM P2970-26              | ~14.6| -  | ~10.8| -    | 44.2  | 34.3  | 18.5  |
| Mean *W. vanderleueri*    | 14.5| 7.6  | 11.0 | 31.4 | 43.6  | 33.9  | 19.3  |
| *W. oldfieldi*            |     |      |      |      |       |       |       |
| SAM P17925                | 12.5| 7.8  | 10.5 | 26.8 | 39.2  | 31.8  | -     |
Table 3 (on next page)

Measurements of the second upper molar of *Wakaleo alcootaensis* and *W. vanderleueri*.

Measurements in mm. Abbreviations: L, maximum anteroposterior length of the crown; L(roots), minimum anteroposterior length, measured at the constriction below the crown; W, maximum buccolingual width of the crown.
|                | M²L | M²L (roots) | M²W |
|----------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| *W. alcootaensis* |     |             |     |
| NTM P1         | -   | 7.2         | 9.0 |
| NTM P4328      | 9.4 | 8.0         | 9.1 |
| *W. vanderleueri* |    |             |     |
| NTM P87103-9   | 7.5 | 6.7         | 8.4 |
| CPC 26604      | 7.0 | -           | 9.5 |
Table 4 (on next page)

Measurements of the upper canine of *Wakaleo alcootaensis*.

Measurements in mm. Abbreviations: L, maximum anteroposterior length of the crown; W, buccolingual width of the crown at its base; H, height of the crown from base to apex; RootL, length of the root from its tip to the base of the crown.
|      | L  | W  | H  | RootL |
|------|----|----|----|-------|
| NTM P4462 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 7.1 | -     |
| NTM P4463 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 25.9  |