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Abstract
In this paper we describe the implementation of the QED process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ through a fermion loop into the framework of SANC system. The computations of this process takes into account non-zero mass of loop-fermion. We briefly describe additional precomputation modules used for calculation of massive fermion-box diagrams. We present the covariant and helicity amplitudes for this process and also some particular cases of $D_0$ and $C_0$ Passarino–Veltman functions. Whenever possible, we compare the results with those existing in the literature.
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1 Introduction

The SANC is a computer system for semi-automatic calculations of realistic and pseudo-observables for various processes of elementary particle interactions "from the SM Lagrangian to event distributions" at the one-loop precision level for the present and future colliders — TEVATRON, LHC, electron Linear Colliders (ISCLC, CLIC), muon factories and others. To learn more about available in SANC processes see the description in [1] and look at our home pages at JINR and CERN [2].

Light-by-light scattering is one of the most fundamental processes in QED. It proceeds via one-loop box diagrams containing charged particles. The first results for the low energy limit of this process were obtained by Euler [3]. Then Karplus and Neumann [4] found a solution for QED in general but complicated way. The QED cross sections in the high energy limit were calculated by Ahiezer [5] and even for two-loop QCD and QED corrections by Bern [7].

In this paper we describe the implementation of the QED process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ through fermion loop and corresponding precomputation block into the framework of SANC system. The computations of this process take into account non-zero mass of loop-fermions.

The paper is organized as follows:

First we discuss some notations and common expression for cross section in section 2.1.

In section 2.2 we discuss diagrams for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ process and covariant amplitude tensor structure.

In section 2.3 one obtains compact form for this structure. The idea of form factors is described in section 2.4.

The helicities amplitudes approach and their expressions for light-by-light scattering in general (massive) and in limiting (massless) cases are listed in section 2.5.

In section 3 we shortly describe precomputation strategy [1] and the place of this process on the SANC tree.

At last in section 4 one can find the result in the limiting case and comparisons with those existing in the literature.

Additionally, in Appendix section 5 we list answers for particular cases of $D_0$, $C_0$ and $B_0$ Passarino–Veltman (PV) functions [8] (see also the book [9]). Finally, we present a table of integrals over the scattering angle, which are needed for calculation of light-by-light scattering through massive and massless loop fermions.

2 Light-by-light scattering process

2.1 Notation, cross section

The 4-momenta of incoming photons are denoted by $k_1$ and $k_2$, of the outgoing ones — by $k_3$ and $k_4$. The amplitudes are calculated for scattering of real photons, that is $k_1^2 = 0$, $k_2^2 = 0$, $k_3^2 = 0$, $k_4^2 = 0$. The 4-momentum conservation law reads:

$$k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_4 = 0.$$

(1)

The Mandelstam variables are:

$$s = -(k_1 + k_2)^2 = -2k_1 \cdot k_2,$$
$$t = -(k_1 - k_3)^2 = 2k_1 \cdot k_3,$$
$$u = -(k_1 - k_4)^2 = 2k_1 \cdot k_4,$$
$$s + t + u = 0.$$

(2)

For the $2 \rightarrow 2 \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ process, the cross section has the form:

$$d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{j} |A_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}|^2 d\Phi^{(2)},$$

(3)

1Note, that in SANC we use Pauli metric.
where \( j = 4\sqrt{(k_1k_2)^2} \), is the flux, \( A_{\gamma\gamma\rightarrow\gamma\gamma} \) is the covariant amplitude (CA) of the process, and \( d\Phi^{(2)} \) is the two body phase space:

\[
d\Phi^{(2)} = (2\pi)^4 \delta(k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_4) \frac{d^4k_3d^4k_4}{(2\pi)^3}.
\]

For the differential cross section one gets:

\[
d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma\rightarrow\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{128\pi\omega^2} |A_{\gamma\gamma\rightarrow\gamma\gamma}|^2 d\cos\theta,
\]

where \( \omega \) is the photons energy and \( \theta \) — the scattering angle in the center of mass system (CMS).

### 2.2 Covariant amplitude

The covariant one-loop amplitude corresponds to a result of the straightforward standard calculation of all diagrams contributing to a given process at the tree (Born) and one-loop (1-loop) levels.

The CA is being represented in a certain basis, made of strings of Dirac matrices and/or external momenta (structures), contracted with polarization vectors of vector bosons, \( \epsilon(k) \), if any. The amplitude also contains kinematical factors and coupling constants and is parameterized by a certain number of Form Factors (FFs), which are denoted by \( F_i \), in general with an index \( i \) labeling the corresponding structure. The number of FFs is equal to the number of independent structures.

The \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) process in QED appears due to non-linear effects of interaction with vacuum, so this process has no Born or tree level. Corresponding diagrams start from the one-loop level and in QED there are box diagrams with four internal fermions of equal mass. The number of not identical diagrams contributing to a given process at the tree (Born) and one-loop (1-loop) levels. To obtain a compact form of structures of the amplitude we choose 14 auxiliary tensorial strings:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau^\alpha_{1\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{2\beta} &= k_{3\beta}k_{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{3\beta} &= k_{2\beta}k_{3\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{4\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{5\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{3\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{6\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{7\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{8\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{3\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{9\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{10\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{3\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{11\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{12\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{3\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{13\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\tau^\alpha_{14\beta} &= k_{1\beta}k_{4\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{align*}
\]
The complete basis $T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}$ can be presented in a compact form with an aid of the auxiliary strings $\tau_j$: 

$$
T_1^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} = \tau_1^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}, \quad T_2^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} = \tau_3^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}, \quad T_3^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} = \tau_5^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}, \quad T_4^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} = \tau_1^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}.
$$

(8)

Thus, one gets a minimal number of tensor structures of the CA, which contains a lot of terms. It can be written in an explicit form with an aid of scalar FFs. All masses and other parameters dependences are included into these FFs, but tensor structure with Lorenz indices are given by basis (8). It is important to emphasize that each basis elements $T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}$, $i = 1 \div 43$ is four transversal with respect to each external photon: 

$$
T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} k_\alpha = T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} k_\beta = T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} k_\mu = T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu} k_\nu = 0.
$$

(9)

### 2.4 Form Factors

FFs are scalar coefficients in front of basis structures of the CA — projections of CA to complete basis expressions $T_i^{\alpha \beta \mu \nu}$. They are presented as some combinations of scalar Passarino–Veltman functions $A_0$, $B_0$, $C_0$, $D_0$ (8). They do not contain UV poles. The number of terms in FFs equals to thousands in the case of non-zero mass of the loop fermion, but this number reduces greatly for zero loop fermion mass. Full answer for FFs one can find in the computer system SANC on servers (2). For massless loop fermion the FFs are rather compact:

$$
F_1 = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{s^2} + \frac{\pi^2 u t}{2s t} + \frac{\pi^2 u t}{2s t} + \frac{1}{s^2} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{u t}{s^4} + \frac{3}{2s^2} l_1 l_u \right) u t + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{s^2} - \frac{\pi^2 u t}{2s t} - \frac{2\pi^2 u t}{s^2} - \frac{3\pi^2}{4t^2} \right) l_1 l_u + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{s^2} - \frac{\pi^2 u t}{2s t} - \frac{2\pi^2 u t}{s^2} - \frac{3\pi^2}{4t^2} \right) l_1 l_u + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{s^2} - \frac{\pi^2 u t}{2s t} - \frac{2\pi^2 u t}{s^2} - \frac{3\pi^2}{4t^2} \right) l_1 l_u + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{s^2} - \frac{\pi^2 u t}{2s t} - \frac{2\pi^2 u t}{s^2} - \frac{3\pi^2}{4t^2} \right) l_1 l_u
$$

(10)
\[ F_5 = -\frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{s^2} + \frac{2i\pi}{st} + \frac{2i\pi}{su} + \frac{\pi^2 t^2}{2s^4} + \frac{\pi^2 t}{2s^3} - \frac{1}{s^2} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{t^2}{s^3} + \frac{1}{s^2} \right) l_l l_u \\
+ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{2s^2} + \frac{7i\pi}{2su} - \frac{2i\pi}{u^2} - \frac{t}{s^3} - \frac{2}{s^2} - \frac{2}{su} \right) l_t - \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{t^2}{s^3} + \frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{7}{2st} - \frac{7}{2su} - \frac{2}{u^2} \right) l_t^2 \\
+ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{2s^2} + \frac{7i\pi}{2st} + \frac{2i\pi}{t^2} + \frac{t}{s^3} + \frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{2}{st} \right) l_u - \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{t^2}{s^3} + \frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{3}{2s^2} - \frac{7}{2st} - \frac{2}{t^2} \right) l_u^2, \]

\[ F_7 = -\frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{i\pi}{st} + \frac{i\pi}{su} + \frac{2i\pi}{u^2} + \frac{\pi^2 t^2}{4s^2} - \frac{1}{su} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{2t}{s^3} + \frac{3}{2s^2} \right) l_l l_u \\
- \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{2i\pi t}{u^2} + \frac{3i\pi}{2u^2} + \frac{2}{s^2} + \frac{2}{su} + \frac{1}{u^2} \right) l_t - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{t^2}{s^3} + \frac{3}{4s^2} + \frac{1}{u^2} + \frac{3}{4u^2} \right) l_t^2 \\
+ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{i\pi}{t^2} + \frac{2}{s^2} - \frac{1}{st} \right) l_u - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{t}{s^3} + \frac{3}{4s^2} \right) l_u^2, \]

\[ F_9 = -\frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{2i\pi}{st} + \frac{2i\pi}{su} + \frac{i\pi t}{u^2} - \frac{\pi^2 t}{4s^2} - \frac{3\pi^2}{4su} + \frac{3\pi^2}{4su} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{s}{t^3} + \frac{3}{2s^2} + \frac{3}{2su} \right) l_l l_u \\
+ \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{i\pi t}{u^2} + \frac{2}{s^2} - \frac{1}{u} \right) l_t - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{2t}{s^3} + \frac{3}{4s^2} + \frac{1}{u^2} \right) l_t^2 \\
- \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{3i\pi}{2s^2} + \frac{3i\pi}{2st} - \frac{2i\pi}{t^2} - \frac{2}{s^2} - \frac{2}{st} + \frac{3}{su} \right) l_u - \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{-t}{s^3} + \frac{3}{4s^2} + \frac{3}{4st} + \frac{3}{2su} - \frac{1}{t^2} \right) l_u^2, \]

\[ F_{10} = 4 \left( \frac{i\pi}{s^2} + \frac{i\pi}{st} + \frac{i\pi}{su} - \frac{\pi^2 t}{2s^4} - \frac{\pi^2 t}{2s^3} - \frac{\pi^2 t}{2s^2} - \frac{2}{s^2} \right) + 4 \left( \frac{t^2}{s^3} + \frac{t}{s^2} + \frac{1}{2s^2} \right) l_l l_u \\
- \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{i\pi}{2s^2} + \frac{i\pi}{su} + \frac{i\pi}{t^2} + \frac{u}{s^2} - \frac{1}{u} \right) l_t - 2 \left( \frac{t^2}{s^4} + \frac{t}{s^3} + \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{u^2} \right) l_t^2 \\
- \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{i\pi}{2s^2} + \frac{i\pi}{t^2} + \frac{i\pi}{u^2} - \frac{1}{st} \right) l_u - 2 \left( \frac{t^2}{s^4} + \frac{t}{s^3} + \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t^2} \right) l_u^2, \quad (10) \]

where

\[ l_t = \ln \left( -\frac{t}{s} \right), \quad l_u = \ln \left( -\frac{u}{s} \right). \quad (11) \]

Also there are equations among six FFs (even for massive case):

\[ F_4 = F_5, \quad F_6 = u^2 \frac{t}{t^2} F_7, \quad F_8 = F_9. \quad (12) \]

The other FFs (namely 11-43) are not zero, but they do not contribute, because of corresponding basis structures for on-mass-shell photons satisfy Ward identity: \( k_i e_i(k_i) = 0 \).

### 2.5 Helicity amplitudes

In SANC we use helicity amplitudes approach.

In the expression for CA as one can see in subsection 2.2 one has tensor structures and a set of scalar FFs. To calculate an observable quantity, such as cross section, one needs to make amplitude square, calculate products of Dirac spinors and contract Lorenz indices with polarization vector. In the standard approach making amplitude square one gets squares for each diagram and their interferences. This leads to a lot of terms.

In the helicity amplitudes approach we also derive tensor structure and FFs. But the next step is a projection to helicity basis and as a result one gets a set of non-interfering amplitudes, since all of them are characterized by different set of helicity quantum numbers. In this approach we can distinguish calculations of Dirac spinors and contraction of Lorenz indices from calculations of FFs. We can do this
before making square of amplitude. So, proceeding in this way, we get a profit on calculations time (less amount of terms due to zero interference) and also more clear step-by-step control.

For the process $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ one gets:

$$A_{\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma} = 4e^4 Q_f^4 \sum_{\text{spins}} \mathcal{H}_{\text{spins}},$$

$$|A_{\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma}|^2 = 16e^8 Q_f^8 \sum_{\text{spins}} |\mathcal{H}_{\text{spins}}|^2. \quad (13)$$

Note, the total number of HAs for this process is equal to 16. This corresponds to different combinations of external particles spin projections. For $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ processes there are 4 photons with two polarizations $'+'$ and $'-'$, so the total number is $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 16$. Helicity amplitudes are scalar expressions. They result from an application of the procedure TRACEHelicity.prc:

$$\mathcal{H}^{++} = \mathcal{H}^{--} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ s^2 F_1 + t^2 F_2 + u^2 F_3 + 2s^2 F_5 + 2su F_7 - 2su F_9 + s^2 F_{10} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{++} = \mathcal{H}^{--} = \mathcal{H}^{-+} = \mathcal{H}^{+-} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ -s^2 F_1 - su F_7 + su F_9 - s^2 F_{10} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{+-} = \mathcal{H}^{-+} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ u^2 F_3 - 2su F_9 + s^2 F_{10} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{++} = \mathcal{H}^{--} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ t^2 F_2 + 2su F_7 + s^2 F_{10} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{+-} = \mathcal{H}^{-+} = \frac{1}{4} s^2 \left[ F_1 + 2F_5 + F_{10} \right]. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, at this stage we observe five independent HAs, while in the case of zero loop fermion mass one gets only four independent HAs which are very compact:

$$\mathcal{H}^{+-} = \mathcal{H}^{-+} = -1 + \left( \frac{t}{s} - \frac{u}{s} \right) (l_u - l_t) - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{ut}{s^2} \right) \left( l_u - l_t \right)^2 + \pi^2,$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{-+} = \mathcal{H}^{+-} = -1 - i\pi \left( \frac{t}{u} - \frac{s}{u} \right) - \left[ (1 + i\pi) \left( \frac{t}{u} - \frac{u}{t} + 2i\pi \left( \frac{t}{u} \right)^2 \right) l_t - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{st}{u^2} \right) l_t^2 \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{-+} = \mathcal{H}^{+-} = -1 - i\pi \left( \frac{u}{t} - \frac{s}{t} \right) - \left[ (1 + i\pi) \left( \frac{u}{t} - \frac{t}{u} + 2i\pi \left( \frac{u}{t} \right)^2 \right) l_u - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{st}{u^2} \right) l_u^2 \right]. \quad (15)$$

All the others HAs are equal to +1.

The relations among helicity HAs are due to C, P, T-invariance. Moreover, another relation is due to crossing symmetry:

$$\mathcal{H}^{-+} (s, t, u) = \mathcal{H}^{+-} (s, u, t), \quad (16)$$

but this fact does not mean reducing of the number of independent HAs.

### 3 Process $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ in the SANC tree

For boxes the SANC idea of precomputation becomes vitally important [1]. Calculation of some boxes for some particular processes takes so much time that an external user should refrain from repeating precomputation. Furthermore, the richness of boxes requires a classification. Depending on the type of external lines (fermion or boson), we distinguish three large classes of boxes: $ffff$, $ffbb$ and $bbbb$. 
In this section we briefly describe modules relevant for $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$. The sum of contributions of fermionic loop boxes form a gauge-invariant and UV-finite subset, which is a consequence of Ward Identity Eq. (9).

The precomputation file $\textit{AAAA Box}$ (see SANC tree in Figure 2) contains the sequence of procedures for calculation of the covariant amplitude. At this step we suppose, that all momenta are incoming (denoted by $p$’s) and photons are not on-mass-shell. Therefore, these results can be used for other processes which need these parts as building blocks.

When we implement the process $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ (see 4A branch), we use this building block several times by replacing incoming momenta $p$’s by corresponding kinematical momenta $k$’s, and calculate FFs by the module $\textit{AA->AA (FF)}$, then helicity amplitudes by the module $\textit{AA->AA (HA)}$ and finally — the differential and total process cross section by the module $\textit{AA->AA (XS)}$.

Also in SANC system one has an opportunity of sending the analytical results to numerical evolution $\textit{[1]}$.

![Figure 2: $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ process in the QED tree](image)

## 4 Results and comparison

Now let us summarize the result.

The differential cross section of $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ process in QED has a form:

$$d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma\to\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^8}{8\pi\omega^2} \sum_{\text{spins}} |H_{\text{spins}}|^2 \, d\cos\theta,$$

where $\omega$ is the photons frequency, $\theta$ is the scattering angle in CMS and helicity amplitudes are expressed in terms of FFs. All dependences on Mandelstam invariants and loop fermion mass, also from Passarino-Veltman functions are included into these FFs. All intermediate results for this process can be found in the SANC system on the project servers $\textit{[2]}$. The final answer for the total cross section in the massless limit after substitutions of helicity amplitudes and angular integration has a form:

$$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma\to\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^8}{2\pi\omega^2} \left( \frac{108}{5} + \frac{13}{2} \pi^2 - 8\pi^2 \zeta(3) + \frac{148}{225} \pi^4 - 24\zeta(5) \right).$$

(18)

This result was compared with $\textit{[3]}$ and the complete agreement was found. Also the limit of helicity amplitudes was compared separately with $\textit{[7]}$ and again full agreement was observed.

One should emphasize that the obtained building block for box diagram in QED (as in $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$) is the first step in the creation of environment for calculations of similar processes in the Standard Model (like $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma \to ZZ$ $\textit{[10]}$) and in QCD (like $gg \to \gamma\gamma$, $gg \to ZZ$, $gg \to W^+W^-$ etc.)
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5 Appendix

To obtain the cross section of the $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ process in an analytic form we have to compute in an explicit form the master integrals, $B_0, C_0, D_0$ — scalar PV functions [8], [9] — for a particular set of parameters. In $D_0$ and $C_0$ functions one can see collinear divergences, but the differential cross section is free of mass singularities which completely cancel in the sum of all terms. The $A_0$ and $B_0$ functions contain UV divergences, which cancel in the sum of box contributions. In the process of computation we face also a problem of the “angular edge” divergences, but they are not physical and also cancel completely.

5.1 $B_0$ function

The $B_0$ function for $\gamma\gamma \to \gamma\gamma$ process reads:

$$B_0 (Q^2; M, M) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 \ln \left( \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \right) - \beta \ln \left( \frac{\beta + 1}{\beta - 1} \right),$$  \hfill (19)

where

$$\beta^2 = 1 + \frac{4\tilde{M}^2}{Q^2},$$

and

$$\tilde{M}^2 = M^2 - i\epsilon.$$  \hfill (20)

In zero limit of fermion mass: $M \to 0$, one gets:

$$B_0 (-s; M, M) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \left[ \ln \left( \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) - i\pi \right],$$

$$B_0 (-t; M, M) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \left[ t + \ln \left( \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \right],$$

$$B_0 (-u; M, M) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \left[ u + \ln \left( \frac{s}{\mu^2} \right) \right].$$  \hfill (21)

5.2 $C_0$ function

The $C_0$ function is:

$$C_0 (0, 0, Q^2; M, M, M) = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \left( Q^2y - Q^2xy + \tilde{M}^2 \right)^{-1},$$  \hfill (22)

After calculations:

$$C_0 (0, 0, Q^2; M, M, M) = -\frac{1}{Q^2} \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{x_1} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{x_2} \right) \right],$$  \hfill (23)

where

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \beta).$$  \hfill (24)
For $M \to 0$:

$$C_0 (0, 0, -s; M, M, M) = -\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{M^2}{s} \right) + i\pi \right]^2,$$

$$C_0 (0, 0, -t; M, M, M) = -\frac{1}{2t} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{M^2}{s} \right) - l_t \right]^2,$$

$$C_0 (0, 0, -u; M, M, M) = -\frac{1}{2u} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{M^2}{s} \right) - l_u \right]^2. \quad (25)$$

### 5.3 $D_0$ function

The $D_0$ function for st-channel diagram looks like:

$$D_0 (0, 0, 0, 0, -s, -t; M, M, M, M) = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \int_0^y dz \left( txy - (s + t) xz + syz - tyz + \tilde{M}^2 \right)^{-2} \quad (26)$$

After lengthy calculations:

$$D_0 (0, 0, 0, 0, -s, -t; M, M, M, M) = -\frac{2}{stA_3} \left\{ \right.$$

\begin{align*}
+2\pi i &\int_0^1 dx \left[ \eta \left( A_1 + A_3, \frac{x + A_3}{A_1 + A_3} \right) - \eta \left( A_1 - A_3, \frac{x + A_3}{A_1 - A_3} \right) \right] \\
+2\pi i &\int_0^1 dx \left[ \eta \left( -A_1 + A_3, \frac{x - A_3}{-A_1 + A_3} \right) - \eta \left( -A_1 - A_3, \frac{x - A_3}{-A_1 - A_3} \right) \right] \\
+2\pi i &\int_0^1 dx \left[ \eta \left( A_2 + A_3, \frac{x + A_3}{A_1 + A_3} \right) - \eta \left( A_2 - A_3, \frac{x + A_3}{A_2 - A_3} \right) \right] \\
+2\pi i &\int_0^1 dx \left[ \eta \left( -A_2 + A_3, \frac{x - A_3}{-A_2 + A_3} \right) - \eta \left( -A_2 - A_3, \frac{x - A_3}{-A_2 - A_3} \right) \right] \\
- \left( \ln (1 + A_3) - \ln (A_3) \right) &\left[ \ln \left( \frac{s}{4M^2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{t}{4M^2} \right) + \ln (A_1 - A_3) \\
+ \ln (-A_3 - A_1) + \ln (A_2 - A_3) + \ln (-A_3 - A_2) \right] \\
+ \left( \ln (-A_3 + 1) - \ln (-A_3) \right) &\left[ \ln \left( \frac{s}{4M^2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{t}{4M^2} \right) + \ln (A_1 + A_3) \\
+ \ln (A_3 - A_1) + \ln (A_2 + A_3) + \ln (A_3 - A_2) \right] \\
+ \text{Li}_2 \left( -\frac{1 + A_3}{-A_1 - A_3} \right) &- \text{Li}_2 \left( -\frac{1 - A_3}{-A_1 + A_3} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1 + A_3}{A_1 - A_3} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1 - A_3}{A_1 + A_3} \right)
\end{align*}$$
\[ + \text{Li}_2 \left( - \frac{1 + A_3}{-A_2 - A_3} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( - \frac{1 - A_3}{-A_2 + A_3} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( - \frac{1 + A_3}{A_2 - A_3} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( - \frac{1 - A_3}{A_2 + A_3} \right) \]  

(27)

where

\[ A_1 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M^2}{s}}, \quad A_2 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M^2}{t}}, \quad A_3 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M^2 (s + t)}{st}}. \]

(28)

Veltman eta-function in terms of \( \theta (x) \) functions of Heaviside has a form:

\[ \eta_A, B = 2\pi i \left( \theta(-ImA) \theta(-ImB) \theta(ImAB) - \theta(ImA) \theta(ImB) \theta(-ImAB) \right). \]

(29)

For \( M \to 0 \):

\[ D_0 (0, 0, 0, -s, -t; M, M, M, M) = \frac{2}{st} \left[ \ln^2 \left( - \frac{M^2}{t} \right) + \ln \left( - \frac{M^2}{t} \right) l_t - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + i\pi \ln \left( - \frac{M^2}{t} \right) \right]. \]

(30)

For two other channels we present only limiting case:

\[ D_0 (0, 0, 0, -u, -t; M, M, M, M) = \frac{2}{ut} \left[ \ln^2 \left( - \frac{M^2}{t} \right) - \ln \left( - \frac{M^2}{t} \right) (l_t + l_u) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + i\pi \ln \left( - \frac{M^2}{t} \right) \right], \]

\[ D_0 (0, 0, 0, -s, -u; M, M, M, M) = \frac{2}{su} \left[ \ln^2 \left( - \frac{M^2}{u} \right) + \ln \left( - \frac{M^2}{u} \right) l_u - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + i\pi \ln \left( - \frac{M^2}{u} \right) \right]. \]

(31)

### 5.4 Table of integrals over scattering angle

To calculate the total cross section one needs to integrate differential cross section over the angle \( \theta \). We make substitution \( x = \cos \theta \):

\[ \frac{t}{s} = -\frac{1 + x}{2}, \quad \frac{u}{s} = -\frac{1 - x}{2}, \quad -1 < x < +1. \]

(32)

The result for the total cross section is obtained with an aid of the table of integrals:

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln (x) \ln^3 (1 - x) \left[ -2 + 8x - 16x^2 + 16x^3 - 8x^4 \right] \, dx = \]

\[ -\frac{1}{75} \left( \frac{229351664}{108000} - \frac{14\pi^4}{3} - \frac{18989\pi^2}{180} - 494\zeta (3) \right), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^3 (1 - x) \left[ \frac{8}{x^3} - \frac{12}{x^2} + \ln (1 - x) \left( \frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{4}{x^3} + \frac{4}{x^2} \right) \right] \, dx = -\frac{8\pi^2}{3} + \frac{32\pi^4}{45} + 24\zeta (3), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^2 (x) \ln^2 (1 - x) \left[ \frac{1}{2} - 2x + 4x^2 - 4x^3 + 2x^4 \right] \, dx = \]

\[ + \frac{1}{225} \left( -\frac{12239\pi^2}{180} + \frac{430069869}{324000} - 494\zeta (3) - \frac{7\pi^4}{12} \right), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln (x) \ln^2 (1 - x) \left[ 6 - 24x + 36x^2 - 24x^3 \right] \, dx = -\frac{5\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1253}{144}, \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^4 (1 - x) \left[ 1 - 2x + 4x^2 - 4x^3 + 2x^4 \right] \, dx = \frac{184815041}{8100000}, \]
\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^3 (1 - x) \left[ -4 + 8x - 12x^2 + 8x^3 \right] \, dx = \frac{331}{144}, \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln (x) \ln (1 - x) \left[ 4 - 12x + 12x^2 + \pi^2 (1 - 2x)^2 + 4\pi^2 x^2 (1 - x)^2 \right] \, dx = \frac{1}{9} \left( 35 + \frac{7739\pi^2}{1500} - \frac{7\pi^4}{10} \right), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^2 (1 - x) \left( 8 - 12x + 12x^2 + \pi^2 (3 - 4x + 8x^2 - 8x^3 + 4x^4) \right) \, dx = \frac{1}{9} \left( 125 + \frac{72989\pi^2}{1500} \right), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^2 (1 - x) \left[ \frac{1}{x^4} - \frac{2}{x^3} \right] \, dx + \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln (1 - x) \left[ \frac{2}{x^3} - \frac{3}{x^2} \right] \, dx + \int_{-1}^{+1} \left[ \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{x} \right] \, dx = \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right), \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln (1 - x) \left[ \frac{4}{x} - 4 + 4x + \pi^2 \left( \frac{8}{x} - 3 + 4x - 6x^2 + 4x^3 \right) \right] \, dx = 1 + \frac{11\pi^2}{12} - \frac{4\pi^4}{3}, \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \pi^2 \left( 3 - 2x + 2x^2 \right) + 8 + \pi^4 \left( \frac{1}{4} - x + 2x^2 - 2x^3 + x^4 \right) \, dx = \frac{7\pi^4}{60} + \frac{8\pi^2}{3} + 8, \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} \ln^4 (1 - x) \frac{1}{x} \, dx = 24\zeta (5), \quad \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^3 (1 - x) \frac{1}{x} \, dx = -\pi^4 \frac{15}{15}, \]

\[ \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^2 (1 - x) \frac{1}{x^2} \, dx = \frac{\pi^2}{3}, \quad \int_{-1}^{+1} \ln^2 (1 - x) \frac{1}{x} \, dx = 2\zeta (3). \]
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