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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: Residency programs must ensure resident competence for independent practice. The coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) pandemic disrupted health care delivery, impacting pediatric residencies. This study examines the impact on pediatric resident education.

METHODS: The authors conducted a mixed methods national survey of pediatric residency program directors (PDs) from May 2020 to July 2020. Data analysis included descriptive statistics, chi-square, and Wilcox rank sum tests. Multivariable modeling identified factors associated with resident preparation for more senior roles. Thematic analysis was performed on open-ended questions about PD COVID-19 pandemic recommendations to peers, Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Pediatrics.

RESULTS: Response rate was 55% (110/199). PDs reported the COVID-19 pandemic negatively affected inpatient (n = 86, 78.2%), and outpatient education (n = 104, 94.5%), procedural competence (n = 64; 58.2%), and resident preparation for more senior roles (n = 50, 45.5%). In bivariate analyses, increasingly negative impacts on inpatient and outpatient education were associated with an increasingly negative impact on resident preparation for more senior roles (P = .03, P = .008), these relationships held true in multivariable analysis. Qualitative analysis identified 4 themes from PD recommendations: 1) Clear communication from governing bodies and other leaders; 2) Flexibility within programs and from governing bodies; 3) Clinical exposure is key for competency development; 4) Online platforms are important for education, communication, and support.

CONCLUSIONS: The COVID-19 pandemic negatively impacted inpatient and outpatient education. When these were more negatively impacted, resident preparation for more senior roles was worse, highlighting the importance of competency based medical education to tailor experiences ensuring each resident is competent for independent practice.
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WHAT’S NEW

The coronavirus disease-19 pandemic negatively impacted inpatient and outpatient clinical education which negatively impacted resident preparation for more senior roles. This highlights the importance of competency based medical education to ensure each graduating resident is competent for independent practice.

The Coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) pandemic has disrupted health care delivery worldwide, impacting graduate medical education (GME). In pediatrics, major disruptions in clinical experiences included dramatic initial decreases in direct resident-patient interactions with a shift to telemedicine, and redeployment to care for adult patients. In response, both the American Board of Pediatrics (ABP) and the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) modified training requirements to allow flexibility, and programs implemented innovations in virtual learning, telehealth, and staffing structure. It is unknown if these disruptions during a critical time in skill-building for pediatric trainees, will impact the ability of residents to take on level-appropriate responsibilities, or the readiness of graduates for independent practice.

The ACGME tasks program directors with administering and maintaining a learning environment conducive to educating residents in each competency domain. Additionally, PDs must submit a summative evaluation,
including a statement about readiness to progress to the next level, for each resident annually. For graduating residents, PDs must submit a final evaluation verifying that each graduate has demonstrated the necessary knowledge, skills and behaviors for autonomous practice. PDs, as stewards of the educational mission were forced to quickly respond to changes in the clinical, and educational environments to assure continued effectiveness of their training programs.

Building on our previous report on the disruptions in direct patient care reported by PDs and resident COVID-19 illness, this work used a mixed methods approach to analyze a survey of pediatric PDs to describe the changes in educational experience for residents, the effects of these disruptions on resident readiness for more senior roles, and PD recommendations for residency training moving forward. We chose a mixed methods approach to allow us to better understand how the COVID-19 pandemic affected resident and residency program experiences including resident preparation for more senior roles and autonomous practice.

METHODS

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION

Using the LimeSurvey platform, we performed a nationally distributed, cross-sectional, electronic survey of pediatric PDs from May 2020 to July 2020. The survey was distributed via the Association of Pediatric Program Directors (APPD) list of member programs, which includes 95% (199/210) of all pediatric programs in the United States. We distributed 8 survey reminders to non-responders. We received institutional review board approval from the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center.

SURVEY DEVELOPMENT

We (current/former PDs and associate PDs [APDs] from 4 institutions) developed the survey after extensive literature review. We conducted cognitive interviews followed by pilot testing of the survey with 7 current/former PDs and APDs. The APPD Research and Scholarship Learning Community and APPD LEARN (Longitudinal Educational Assessment Research Network), comprised of educators with expertise in survey methodology, suggested additional revisions. We did not analyze pilot answers. Resident workforce impacts were reported previously.

SURVEY CONTENT

Our survey included questions about the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on various residency program areas (eg, inpatient and outpatient clinical education, procedural competence, resident preparation for more senior roles), changes in educational modalities (eg, shifting to virtual programming), and use of ABP waivers for inpatient, outpatient, and continuity clinic experiences. We also asked PDs this open-ended survey question: “What COVID-19 pandemic specific recommendations would you like to share with other program directors, the ABP or the ACGME?” COVID-19 disease burden was measured using the New York Times website reported cumulative number of cases per 100,000 population in the county where the residency program is located on the date the survey was returned. Several questions about the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on specific aspects of pediatric residency programs were measured using Likert-type response scales ranging from very negative to very positive. For some questions, for ease of reporting, the very negative and negative responses were combined and are reported as negative and the very positive and positive responses were combined and are reported as positive. Inpatient and outpatient volume was measured using a Likert-type scale. The question asked about volume in specific areas (appendix 2 survey question 6), and we assigned numerical values from −2 (corresponding to very negative) to 2 (corresponding to very positive) to each response to determine the mean for each clinical area. Traditionally inpatient areas like the neonatal and pediatric intensive care units, inpatient wards, emergency department, and inpatient subspecialty care were all included in the hospital-based volume variable while outpatient subspecialty care, continuity clinic sick and well visits were included in the outpatient volume variable.

QUANTITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS

We present group statistics as count and percent for categorical measures and median for continuous measures unless stated otherwise. We used Pearson chi-squared tests for categorical measures and Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous measures for group comparisons. We examined bivariate relationships between demographic variables like region, program size, setting, pandemic emergency stage declared, COVID-19 impact on various residency program areas including inpatient and outpatient education, resident redeployment, patient volume, and resident preparation for more senior roles. Beginning with variables with \( P < .1 \) in the bivariate analyses and those predetermined as possibly relevant factors, we used stepwise generalized linear regression to build a minimal best-fit model to determine factors associated with resident preparation for more senior roles with a threshold of a likelihood ratio \( P \) value \(< .05 \) to remain in the model. We used R for calculations (version 4.0.3).

QUALITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS

We analyzed open-ended survey responses using thematic content analysis, an inductive qualitative method used to provide a descriptive presentation of the data. We used open-coding to develop an initial code list by identifying commonly used phrases and significant statements. We reviewed codes as a group, using an iterative process of reviewing, labeling, and re-labeling codes into categories to inform emergent themes. Themes were then named and defined based on the research team’s
We selected final themes through consensus.

**Results**

We received responses from 55% (110/199) of programs. Over half (55%) of responses were received May 26, 2020 to June 9, 2020; we closed the survey on July 27, 2020. We previously published demographics of responding programs and impact of COVID-19 on clinical volume of patients in pediatric residency programs including resident redeployment. Briefly, we found no differences between responding and nonresponding programs regarding program size, geographic location, or program setting, except no military programs (of 6 possible) participated. Timing of survey completion did not differ by program size or geographic location. At the time of survey completion, the majority (63%; n = 69) of programs reported being more than a week past their initial peak COVID-19 burden, with another 20% reporting they were at the initial peak or plateau. Cumulative disease burden ranged from 9.5 to 360/100,000 with a median of 78/100,000 (Supplementary Figure 1).

**Impact on Pediatric Resident Education**

We found that the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic varied across domains of resident education (Figure). We previously reported that hospital-based and outpatient patient volume decreased in most clinical areas except newborn/neonatal intensive care units. In our current study, most PDs reported clinical education was negatively affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in both inpatient (n = 86, 78.2%) and outpatient (n = 104, 94.5%) areas. PDs also reported the COVID-19 pandemic negatively affected procedural competence (n = 64, 58.2%) and residents’ preparation for more senior roles (n = 50, 45.5%). Most PDs reported quality of patient care was unchanged (n = 87, 79.1%), and had mixed opinions on effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the balance of service and education, resident scholarly activity and educational conferences. Most PDs (n = 65, 59.1%) felt that the COVID-19 pandemic had no effect on compliance with clinical and educational work hours, with a significant minority (n = 45, 40.9%) feeling that it positively affected compliance.

Many programs changed how they conducted educational activities (Table 1). For most programs, bedside teaching (n = 94, 85.5%), and direct observations (n = 95, 86.4%) were decreased or completely cancelled, with some reporting increased remote direct observations (n = 54, 49.1%). Similarly, in-person resident educational conferences were either completely cancelled or decreased (n = 102, 92.7%) and remote live didactics were increased (n = 103, 93.6%).

When asked to quantify their use of ABP waivers for required rotations, the majority (66.4%; n = 73) of PDs reported at least 1% to 10% of their residents would finish the 2019–2020 academic year completing fewer than the required 36 continuity clinics and nearly one quarter (22.7%, n = 25) reported that more than 75% of their residents would finish the year completing fewer than 36 continuity clinics. In contrast, the vast majority of PDs reported they did not make use of waivers for other clinical rotation requirements, including the required outpatient rotations in adolescent medicine and developmental and behavioral pediatrics (92.7%, n = 102) or required hospital-based rotations like neonatal intensive care units, Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, and Emergency Department (92.7%, n = 102).
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Table 1. Changes in Educational Modalities and Program Administration Activities

| Educational Modality                                    | Completely Cancelled | (Very) Decreased | Unchanged | (Very) Increased |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Bedside teaching                                        | 6 (5.5%)             | 88 (80%)         | 14 (12.7%)| 2 (1.8%)        |
| In-person direct observations                           | 20 (18.2%)           | 75 (68.2%)       | 12 (10.9%)| 3 (2.7%)        |
| Remote direct observations (via telemedicine)           | 7 (6.4%)             | 8 (7.2%)         | 32 (29.1%)| 54 (49.1%)      |
| In-person, resident educational conferences (noon        | 71 (64.5%)           | 31 (28.2%)       | 7 (6.4%)  | 1 (0.9%)        |
| conference, morning report)                             |                      |                  |           |                 |
| In-person departmental educational conferences          | 83 (75.5%)           | 21 (19.1%)       | 6 (5.5%)  | 0 (0.0%)        |
| (such as grand rounds)                                  |                      |                  |           |                 |
| Online prerecorded lectures                             | 5 (4.5%)             | 4 (3.6%)         | 71 (64.5%)| 30 (27.3%)      |
| Online live didactics (eg, Zoom, Microsoft Teams)       | 2 (1.8%)             | 4 (3.6%)         | 1 (0.9%)  | 103 (93.6%)     |
| Mock code style medical or trauma simulations           | 50 (45.5%)           | 31 (28.2%)       | 16 (14.5%)| 13 (11.8%)      |
| Skills/procedure workshops                              | 60 (54.5%)           | 28 (25.5%)       | 18 (16.4%)| 4 (3.6%)        |

**Factors Associated With Pediatric Resident Preparation for More Senior Roles**

Overall, PDs reported resident preparation for more senior roles was negatively impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (Figure). Bivariate analyses showed resident preparation for more senior roles was associated with region and pandemic emergency stage declared, inpatient and outpatient clinical education and outpatient clinical volume, and mandatory redeployment (Table 2). In our multivariable analysis, we found increasingly negative impacts on inpatient ($P = .03$) and outpatient clinical education ($P = .009$) were associated with increasingly negative impacts on resident preparation for more senior roles (Table 3). Inpatient and outpatient volume, though not significantly associated with resident preparation for more senior roles in our multivariable model, were correlated with inpatient ($r = 0.1$) and outpatient ($r = 0.5$) education, respectively.

**PD Recommendations**

Thematic analysis of PD recommendations to their peers, the ACGME and ABP revealed 4 themes related to resident competence (Table 4): 1) Increased communication and transparency, including in guidance from accrediting bodies (ABP and ACGME) and national organizations (APPD), is vital for PDs: “Understanding and clear direction from these regulatory bodies has been very appreciated as it allows us to see a clear path forward and plan accordingly.” 2) Flexibility is important both within residency programs and especially from governing bodies, including in meeting ACGME/ABP requirements: “I would truly encourage flexibility and trust that an accredited program can evaluate competencies in all residents without the need for a possible full 36 months.” Some responding PDs used this opportunity to make suggestions for permanent increased flexibility and a move towards competency-based education. 3) Pediatric residency program leadership must ensure sufficient, in-person clinical exposure to the typical breadth and depth of pediatric care to achieve competence. Simulation, telemedicine, and care for adult patients may supplement clinical learning, but are not adequate replacements for traditional in-person pediatric experiences: “Keep residents involved in learning opportunities and patient care opportunities at all cost!” 4) Continue to use online platforms to facilitate education, communication, and support: “Changing educational curricula to virtual format, . . ., making virtual social connections.”

**Discussion**

Early in the COVID-19 pandemic, nearly all participating pediatric program directors reported a negative impact on resident preparation for more senior roles. This was especially true when the PD reported that inpatient and outpatient clinical education were more negatively affected. During the pandemic, decreases in the number of both inpatient and outpatient visits in hospitals and clinics were widely reported in pediatrics. While our multivariable analysis did not find an association between decreases in hospital based and outpatient volume with a negative impact on resident preparation for more senior roles, decreases in volume were associated with a negative impact on inpatient and outpatient education. Assessment of the effect on education likely included other factors in addition to volume, making volume alone a less sensitive predictor.

Changes in the clinical environment with a resultant negative effect on resident preparation for their next phase of practice during the COVID-19 pandemic have been described in other subspecialties, such as anesthesia and surgical specialties. Many studies describing resident experiences during the pandemic mention loss of typical experiences and increases in alternative learning experiences including virtual education and education around public health measures and critical care. Pediatrics may be similar in some ways to these surgical specialties as it is difficult to learn pediatric specific care in the absence of pediatric patients.

We found that most programs (66%) requested ABP waivers for some of their residents and that continuity clinic was significantly disrupted in most pediatric programs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Continuity clinic has long been considered a vital part of residency training in general pediatrics, with a minimum of 36 clinic sessions required annually. Pediatrics, like internal medicine, has many subspecialty training programs, including most recently pediatric hospital medicine. The primary goal of pediatric residency training is to train general,
outpatient pediatricians who typically practice in an outpatient office setting, making a robust continuity clinic experience key. Maintaining resident outpatient clinic experiences or quickly shifting to offer telehealth experiences for short periods of time will be key in case of future disruptions.

The long-term effect of so many missed core experiences remains to be seen, but if it recurs, PDs may need to focus on creative augmentation or replacement of some of these experiences with alternative activities such as simulation or alternative practice sites. In response to such unprecedented disruptions to residency training, PDs must lead educational change to ensure resident competence.\(^{[16]}\) In our qualitative analysis, PDs described the importance of residents continuing in person clinical care for patients, emphasizing that there is no real substitute for in person encounters. Interestingly, Columbus et al\(^{[25]}\) described a survey study of colorectal surgery residents that found while residents were concerned about a number of missed operative opportunities, the vast

| Resident Preparation for More Senior Roles | (Very) Negative | Unchanged | (Very) Positive | P-Value |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|--------|
| Overall                                  | 50 (45.5%)     | 51 (46.4%)| 9 (8.2%)       | .020   |
| Region                                   |                |           |                |        |
| Northeast                                | 17 (45.9%)     | 13 (35.1%)| 7 (18.9%)      |        |
| Midwest                                  | 17 (54.8%)     | 12 (38.7%)| 2 (6.5%)       |        |
| South                                    | 8 (30.8%)      | 18 (69.2%)| 0 (0.0%)       |        |
| West                                     | 8 (50.0%)      | 8 (50.0%) | 0 (0.0%)       |        |
| Program size                             |                |           |                | .23    |
| Small                                    | 17 (48.6%)     | 15 (42.9%)| 3 (8.6%)       |        |
| Medium                                   | 22 (52.4%)     | 19 (45.2%)| 1 (2.4%)       |        |
| Large                                    | 11 (33.3%)     | 17 (51.5%)| 5 (15.2%)      |        |
| Program setting                          |                |           |                | .051   |
| In a hospital that also cares for adults | 27 (62.8%)     | 12 (27.9%)| 4 (9.3%)       |        |
| In a system that also cares for adults   | 12 (34.3%)     | 20 (57.1%)| 3 (8.6%)       |        |
| Independent children’s hospital          | 7 (36.8%)      | 12 (63.2%)| 0 (0.0%)       |        |
| Community hospital                       | 4 (30.8%)      | 7 (53.8%) | 2 (15.4%)      |        |
| Pandemic emergency stage                 |                |           |                | .042   |
| Stage 1 (business as usual)              | 2 (25.0%)      | 6 (75.0%) | 0 (0.0%)       |        |
| Stage 2 (increased clinical demand)      | 33 (53.2%)     | 27 (43.5%)| 2 (3.2%)       |        |
| Stage 3 (switch to focus on patient care)| 14 (38.9%)     | 15 (41.7%)| 7 (19.4%)      |        |
| Inpatient clinical ed                    |                |           |                | .028   |
| (Very) negative                          | 45 (52.3%)     | 38 (44.2%)| 3 (3.5%)       |        |
| Unchanged                                | 3 (18.8%)      | 11 (68.8%)| 2 (12.5%)      |        |
| (Very) positive                          | 2 (25.0%)      | 2 (25.0%) | 4 (50.0%)      |        |
| Outpatient clinical ed                   |                |           |                | .0008  |
| Very negative                            | 22 (57.9%)     | 15 (39.5%)| 1 (2.6%)       |        |
| Negative                                 | 28 (42.4%)     | 33 (50.0%)| 5 (7.6%)       |        |
| Unchanged, positive, very, positive      | 0              | 3 (50.0%) | 3 (50.0%)      |        |
| Volume                                   |                |           |                |        |
| Hospital based [mean ± SD]              | −1.0 ± 0.3     | −0.9 ± 0.3| −1.0 ± 0.5     | .33    |
| Outpatient [mean ± SD]                  | −1.7 ± 0.5     | −1.5 ± 0.5| −1.1 ± 0.8     | .040   |
| COVID case burden                       | 75 [45-146]    | 70 [50–105]| 92 [92–92]    | .43    |
| Mandatory redeployment                  |                |           |                | .0016  |
| Yes                                     | 9 (50.0%)      | 4 (22.2%) | 5 (27.8%)      |        |
| No                                      | 41 (44.6%)     | 47 (51.1%)| 4 (4.3%)       |        |
| Resident illness                         |                |           |                | .48    |
| None                                    | 16 (43.2%)     | 19 (51.4%)| 2 (5.4%)       |        |
| 1%–10% mild                              | 28 (50.9%)     | 23 (41.8%)| 4 (7.3%)       |        |
| More                                    | 6 (33.3%)      | 9 (50.0%) | 3 (16.7%)      |        |

Table 3. Factors Associated With Resident Preparation for More Senior Roles

| Model term                                | β       | 95% Confidence Interval | Wald Test, P Value | Likelihood Ratio Test, P Value |
|-------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| (Intercept)                               | −0.83   | [−1.07, −0.60]         | < .0001            | < .0001                       |
| Impact on Inpatient Clinical Education    |         |                        |                    |                               |
| Very negative, Negative                   | 0       |                        |                    |                               |
| Unchanged                                 | 0.48    | [0.10, 0.87]           | .016               |                               |
| Positive, very positive                   | 0.84    | [0.33, 1.36]           | .0017              |                               |
| Impact on outpatient clinical education   |         |                        |                    |                               |
| Very negative                             | 0       |                        |                    |                               |
| Negative                                  | 0.31    | [0.01, 0.60]           | .042               |                               |
| Unchanged, positive, very positive        | 1.33    | [0.73, 1.94]           | < .0001            |                               |
Continue to use online platforms to facilitate education, communication, and support

- Educational (Zoom) conferences were a source of wellness in maintaining some semblance of normalcy.
- Re-arranging schedules, changing educational curricula to virtual format, getting updates from 1 person in the leadership, making virtual social connections, being available.

**Table 4. Program Director Recommendations to Their Peers and Governing Bodies During the COVID-19 Pandemic**

| Theme                                                                 | Representative Quotes                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Increased communication and transparency, including in guidance from accrediting bodies (ABP and ACGME) and national organizations (APPD) is paramount. | - The ACGME and ABP were rapidly responsive to our needs as programs, and made excellent decisions in the face of what was so unexpected and chaotic. Communication was excellent and well thought out. We are very grateful for that.  
- APPD chats were very helpful, more official guidance from ACGME that was more detailed than the 3 broad characterizations of effect on patient care that came directly from RRC.* Reducing clinic numbers was very useful, providing some additional curricula and learning tools from the ABP would have been helpful as well.  
- Understanding and clear direction from these regulatory bodies has been very appreciated as it allows us to see a clear path forward and plan accordingly. For example, the ABPs support and understanding of modified operations and a means of applying for waivers was tremendously helpful. The AAMCs clear stance on virtual interviews early on has allowed us to start planning for recruitment season. I know that much uncertainty remains, but the support, understanding, and clear direction from these bodies is very appreciated. |
| Flexibility is important both within residency programs and especially from governing bodies, including in meeting ACGME/ABP requirements. | - Be flexible and adaptable. Take the silver linings where they are -- virtual conferences and meetings has really been a plus. I’ve learned that I was much more capable of handling a disaster than I ever thought I was.  
- The leniency the ACGME showed with the decrease in continuity clinics was perfect. They should continue to grant us leeway in meeting requirements.  
- I would truly encourage flexibility and trust that an accredited program can evaluate competencies in all residents without the need for a possible full 36 mo. Many times there is not much difference in a resident that has completed 31 versus 33 versus 36 mo for example. We should adapt requirements to be more flexible just as we are doing for the pandemic. This piece should be a positive permanent outcome.  
- I think we will still need to look at all the program requirements going forward, since some institutions will not be able to return to regular rotations for some time—this particularly effects ability to do procedures, to rotate in subspecialty clinics that might have different rules for trainees and continuity clinic visits.  
- Have a library of online self-study Individualized Curriculum options available for use. |
| Pediatric residency program leadership must ensure sufficient, in person clinical exposure to the typical breadth and depth of pediatric care to achieve competence. Simulation, telemedicine, and care for adult patients may supplement but are not adequate replacements for traditional in person experiences. | - PDs need to advocate for residents to stay involved with patient care during a pandemic. They need to learn how to care for COVID patients, of course with good precautions and safety.  
- Keep residents involved in learning opportunities and patient care opportunities at all cost! Having skilled caring physicians at home was harder than protecting them in the hospital. Especially keep the outpatient clinic setting going, sorry our residents spent so many weeks without outpatient care experience (although there was very little to be had at the time.  
- Resident physicians need to see patients in person. Institutions are cutting funding for residencies (perhaps due to COVID-related losses, perhaps because they are looking at their next quarter, annual report. . . own bonus pool); they are not looking long term at physician shortages and regardless of what they "say", they do not "care" the same as those who are physicians who see patients regularly and teach residents.  
- Although telehealth has been a means to care for children during the pandemic, it in no way offers the same level of excellence in care that face to face patient contact is capable of providing.  
- It would be inappropriate to follow actions making telehealth a mandatory part of our RRC’s requirements, as this has not been a means to provide excellence in care. It is appealing because it is different and utilizes technology, but it is incomplete and not a good substitute for traditional care models. |
| Continue to use online platforms to facilitate education, communication, and support | - The ACGME and ABP were rapidly responsive to our needs as programs, and made excellent decisions in the face of what was so unexpected and chaotic. Communication was excellent and well thought out. We are very grateful for that.  
- APPD chats were very helpful, more official guidance from ACGME that was more detailed than the 3 broad characterizations of effect on patient care that came directly from RRC.* Reducing clinic numbers was very useful, providing some additional curricula and learning tools from the ABP would have been helpful as well.  
- Understanding and clear direction from these regulatory bodies has been very appreciated as it allows us to see a clear path forward and plan accordingly. For example, the ABPs support and understanding of modified operations and a means of applying for waivers was tremendously helpful. The AAMCs clear stance on virtual interviews early on has allowed us to start planning for recruitment season. I know that much uncertainty remains, but the support, understanding, and clear direction from these bodies is very appreciated. |
| *Residency review committee. | |

majority reported feeling they would be prepared for independent practice at the end of their residency. Whether pediatric residents feel similarly and if that translates into competency is unknown.

In our qualitative analysis, PDs desired more support from governing bodies in the way of online curricula and guidelines for resident participation in clinical care, which might help to ensure basic standards are met across programs, regardless of regional variation in patient care patterns. The ABP in-training exam scores and ABP initial certifying exam pass rates for 2021 were significantly lower than prior years. While the exact cause of this drop is unknown, and is likely multifactorial, limitations in hands-on pediatric patient experiences and disruption to in-person educational sessions for residents throughout much of their residency may have played a role. If
programs continue to have virtual conferences, innovative virtual learning strategies may be necessary to ensure resi-
dents acquire knowledge effectively. In comparing pedi-
atrics to medicine, the American Board of Internal Med-
Drugs also reported an overci increase in pass rates for
first time test takers; however, their rates in 2021 were
higher than those reported for pediatrics (Pediatrics
81% vs Medicine 88% ). This may reflect the fact that
internal medicine residency programs were generally able
to preserve more aspects of adult in-person care (even if it
shifted to COVID related care) compared to pediatrics,
where volumes were so dramatically decreased and some
pediatric residents needed to care for adult patients.

In the face of lost experiences during crucial training
years, PDs will have to carefully consider what constitutes
competence and how to develop and support it going for-
ward. The pandemic has highlighted the importance of
frequent assessment and inclusion of specific activities in
areas where residents are behind. The COVID-19 pan-
demic has accelerated the discussion of competency based
medical education. As the pandemic abates, PDs and
other leaders in pediatric residency education have an
opportunity to re-evaluate the essential components of
effective residency education. While decisions about
promotion have historically been based primarily on
acceptable completion of a specific course of training, it
will likely be essential to shift our focus to defining
acceptable learner outcomes, frequent assessment of
learners, and additional support or targeted learning expe-
riences to ensure competency achievement. Our qualita-
tive data support this shift. PDs appreciated the flexibility
to declare residents competent for promotion or gradua-
tion despite failure to meet the traditionally accepted
course of training. Several PDs suggested a shift to estab-
lishing specific benchmarks for competence that are not
time-dependent. The COVID-19 pandemic has presented
an opportunity to rethink and redesign education to meet
the changing, individualized needs of learners and
patients. This shift to competence based medical educa-
tion may require more frequent, specific resident assess-
ments to identify trainee gaps, tailored experiences to
facilitate skill building in areas of identified gaps, and/or
one-on-one coaching.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Despite a reasonably
robust response rate, we did not have any responses from
military programs that may have unique concerns. Our
survey was conducted early in the course of the pandemic
and does not reflect its longitudinal impact, though does
importantly report PD perceptions at that time and can
give important insight about how to approach future sig-
nificant, disruptive events and challenges us to think about
the ways in which we need to support trainees from this
period in an ongoing way. To that end, we plan to repeat
our survey to assess ongoing impacts of the pandemic and
the development of competency as it evolves. Another
possible limitation is that our survey sought PD percep-
tions of educational components and resident preparation
for more senior roles. While perceptions are subjective, it
is important to remember that the ACGME and the ABP
rely on PDs to assert residents are competent for advance-
to the next level of training and for independent practice at graduation. Finally, while our study could not
directly assess the impact of the changes, program direc-
tors clearly value direct communication and flexibility
from accrediting bodies to address changes in the clinical
environment and needs of learners.

Conclusions

The early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic caused sig-
nificant disruption of both inpatient and outpatient clinical
education that negatively impacted procedural compet-
tence and pediatric resident preparation for more senior
roles. Maintaining high quality educational environments
and assuring continued patient care interactions may help
mitigate these negative effects during future disruptive
events in health care. Tailoring resident assessment and
training to assure competence prior to graduation will be
important. It is possible that the loss of so many typical
training experiences during the pandemic, catalyzed a
shift towards competency based medical education.
Finally, our data suggest a more robust discussion about
what constitutes competence by pediatric educational
leaders is in order.
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