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Abstract

Background: Chlamydia and genital human papillomavirus (HPV) are the two most common sexually transmitted infections (STIs) among teens and young adults in industrialised countries. The majority of adolescents, however, have limited or no knowledge of these infections. Within the context of a cross-sectional survey on awareness and knowledge of sexually transmitted infections, secondary school students attending the 8th grade and above in Bremen and Bremerhaven, two cities in northern Germany, were asked to rate the risk of peers to get infected with HIV, HPV or chlamydia.

Methods: Between October and December 2011, students aged 12–20 years completed an anonymous, self-administered questionnaire at their school. In addition to answering questions on awareness and knowledge of sexually transmitted infections, all students were also asked to rate the risk of peers to get infected with HIV, HPV or chlamydia. Furthermore, those reporting ever having sexual intercourse were asked to rate their own risk of getting infected with each of the three infections.

Results: 1,148 students, 55% female, completed the questionnaire. 27% of the students reported having had sexual intercourse. 68% of all students rated the risk of same-aged students to get infected with HIV/AIDS as high/medium. The corresponding proportions for HPV and chlamydia were 19 and 25% respectively. Those reporting ever having sexual intercourse generally perceived their own risk of getting infected with HIV, chlamydia or HPV as lower than that of their peers.

Conclusion: Generally, the risk of getting infected with HIV was perceived as being higher than that of getting infected with HPV or chlamydia, most likely due to the fact that the students were more aware of HIV than of the other two infections. Efforts should be made to improve awareness and knowledge of HPV and chlamydia among school going adolescents, and to make them realize that these are common infections that are preventable.
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Introduction

The average age of first sexual intercourse in western European countries is reported to be decreasing [1] and adolescents are said not to use condoms consequently [2–4], placing them at risk of unplanned pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections (STIs). According to recent data, an increase in STIs such as chlamydia, syphilis and gonorrhea has been observed in several western European countries, particularly among young people aged 16–19 years [1], [5–9]. Although the HIV epidemic is reported to be generally stable in this region, increased rates of transmission have been observed in a number of countries, especially among men who have sex with men [10]. Heterosexual intercourse is however currently presumed to be the most common mode of transmission [11]. Thus, there is a non-negligible risk of adolescents to be infected with a sexually transmitted infection if they do not take preventive measures [12].

Chlamydia and genital human papillomavirus (HPV) are the two most common sexually transmitted infections (STIs) among teens and young adults in industrialised countries, affecting mostly females [13]. Similar to HIV, both infections can be asymptomatic; hence most people are not aware that they are infected. If not treated, chlamydia can lead to pelvic inflammatory diseases in women and painful infection in men, and possibly to reduced fertility or infertility in both sexes. Some HPV types lead to genital warts, while others can lead to cancer of the cervix, penis, anus or oropharynx [14–17]. Although both diseases are more prevalent than HIV/AIDS among adolescents in industrialised countries, awareness of these STIs in this population group is lower than that of HIV/AIDS [18], [19]. Awareness and knowledge of a risk, such as an STI, is closely linked to risk perception, and, together with other influencing factors such as perceived severity, benefits or barriers, assumed to shape health behavior [20]. To be able to perceive the personal risk for a particular STI, one needs to be
equipped with basic information on the infection, such as how prevalent it is, how it presents itself, how one can be infected, and where one can go for testing or for help [21]. Awareness of a potential risk leads to it being better perceived, and to more concern being shown [22]. According to the Health belief Model, a high perception of risk leads to reduced risk taking and/or encourages protective health behavior [23]. This assumption, however, has been challenged by studies that find only a weak positive or even a negative association between perceived risk and subsequent preventive behavior [24], [25]. Likewise, the effect of knowledge and awareness on changing attitudes and behaviour appears limited [26–29]. Nevertheless, the three aspects, awareness, knowledge and risk perception, which are interlinked, are crucial components of sex education which help promote informed, healthy choices [30–32].

We assessed the awareness and knowledge of sexually transmitted infections among secondary school students attending the 8th grade and above in Bremen and Bremerhaven, two cities in northern Germany, using an anonymous questionnaire. The students were also asked to rate the risk of peers to get infected with HIV, HPV and chlamydia. Those reporting ever having sexual intercourse were asked to rate their own risk of getting infected with each of the three named infections. The results of the analyses on awareness and knowledge have been published elsewhere [33]. In this paper we focus on STI risk perception. We were interested in assessing the adolescents’ appraisal of the risk of peers to get infected with an STI, as well as the appraisal of those already sexually active of their own risk to get infected with an STI.

Methods

The study was conducted in 8 secondary schools in two cities in northern Germany, Bremen and Bremerhaven, among 12–20 year old students attending the 8th grade and above. Approval to conduct the study was received from the ethics commission of the University of Bremen, the Senator for Education, Science and Health and the Data Protection Officer for the State of Bremen.

As described in our manuscript on knowledge and awareness of STIs [33], the principals of 18 randomly selected secondary schools in Bremen and Bremerhaven were contacted and requested for permission to conduct the survey in their school. Each school was sent a flyer with information about the survey, including details on issues concerning informed consent and data protection. Eight schools, 6 in Bremen and 2 in Bremerhaven, agreed to cooperate with us. Both school types offered in the study including details on issues concerning informed consent and data protection were pre-tested on a sample of school-going adolescents aged 13–15 years. The pre-test participants were recruited from a school in Bielefeld, a city about 180 km from Bremen. The completed questionnaires were assessed for ambiguity, clarity, comprehensi-bility, and completion times required, and were then modified accordingly.

The students were asked which of the following infections, listed in the questionnaire, they had ever heard of: HIV/AIDS, human papillomavirus (HPV), chlamydia, herpes, syphilis, gonorrhoea and hepatitis B. This was followed by several questions on awareness and knowledge of STIs, covering specific issues on HPV awareness and general knowledge on sexually transmitted infections. The latter included questions on whether the students knew that using a condom protects against getting an STI, that infections such as HIV and HPV are treatable, but not curable, and that there is no vaccine against HIV/AIDS and chlamydia. Therafter, the students were asked to rate the risk of same-aged adolescents to get infected with HIV/AIDS, HPV or chlamydia. The following response possibilities were offered: high, medium, low or don’t know. In the last section students were asked if they had ever had sex, and if yes, their age at sexual debut, and the method of contraception they had used then, and the method they used now. Those reporting ever having sex were asked to rate their own risk of getting infected with HIV/AIDS, HPV or chlamydia. Again the following response possibilities were offered: high, medium, low or don’t know.

Analysis

Data analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.2. Variables included. We used maternal educational status as an indicator of socioeconomic status. The variable father’s education was excluded from the analyses as there were many missing values. Responses to the outcome variables peer and own risk perception for HIV, HPV and chlamydia were categorised as ‘high/medium’, ‘low’ and ‘don’t know’. Students who did not responded to the questions on rating were excluded from all analyses.

Statistical methods. We performed descriptive statistics and calculated frequencies for all variables. Chi-squared tests were used to assess bivariate relations between the independent variables age, gender, migrant background, school education of mother, ever had sex, and type of school and the outcome variables peer and own risk perception for HIV, HPV and for chlamydia. For those reporting ever having sex, additional tests for use of condoms at sexual debut and currently and a comparison between indicated peer risk perception and own risk perception were done. As the outcome variables were polytomous, multivariable analyses were conducted using standard ordinal regression analysis (PROC LOGISTIC) with backward selection, including all independent variables. Ordinal logistic regression assumes that the relationship between each pair of outcome groups is the same. In our case this would mean that the coefficients describing the relationship between the category ‘don’t know’ versus the category low is the same as that which describes the relationship between the category ‘low’ and the category ‘medium/high’. To assess the appropriateness of the ordinal regression model, the proportional odds assumption was tested and the score test (chi square) was not significant.
Table 1. Results of bivariate analyses for STI risk perception for peers and various predictor variables.

| HIV risk perception for peers | HPV Risk perception for peers | Chlamydia risk perception for peers |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                              | High/med (n/%) | Low (n/%) | *DK (n/%) | *p value | High/med (n/%) | Low (n/%) | *DK (n/%) | *p value | High/med (n/%) | Low (n/%) | *DK (n/%) | *p value |
| Age in years                 |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |
| ≥14                          | 366 (71)        | 131 (25)  | 18 (4)    | 0.09     | 84 (17)        | 74 (15)   | 341 (68)  | <0.01    | 98 (20)        | 63 (13)   | 339 (68)  | <0.01    |
| ≧15                          | 414 (67)        | 191 (31)  | 15 (2)    |           | 136 (23)       | 109 (18)  | 277 (59)  |          | 187 (31)       | 114 (19)  | 246 (50)  |          |
| Gender                       |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |
| Female                       | 474 (76)        | 135 (22)  | 13 (2)    | <0.01    | 138 (23)       | 85 (14)   | 382 (63)  | <0.01    | 176 (29)       | 76 (13)   | 356 (59)  | <0.01    |
| Male                         | 306 (60)        | 187 (36)  | 20 (4)    |           | 82 (17)        | 98 (20)   | 314 (64)  |          | 109 (22)       | 101 (20)  | 287 (58)  |          |
| School education of mother   |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |
| High                         | 255 (63)        | 135 (34)  | 12 (3)    |           | 71 (18)        | 63 (16)   | 254 (65)  |          | 101 (26)       | 58 (15)   | 230 (59)  |          |
| Middle                       | 236 (74)        | 79 (25)   | 3 (1)     | <0.01    | 72 (24)        | 56 (18)   | 178 (58)  | 0.14     | 70 (23)        | 63 (21)   | 172 (56)  | 0.12     |
| Low                          | 70 (66)         | 33 (31)   | 3 (3)     |           | 24 (24)        | 20 (20)   | 57 (56)   |          | 27 (26)        | 19 (19)   | 57 (55)   |          |
| Unknown                      | 219 (71)        | 75 (5)    | 15 (5)    |           | 53 (17)        | 44 (14)   | 207 (68)  |          | 87 (28)        | 37 (12)   | 184 (60)  |          |
| Migrant background           |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |
| no                           | 523 (68)        | 229 (30)  | 18 (2)    | 0.15     | 138 (18)       | 127 (17)  | 482 (65)  | 0.14     | 190 (25)       | 128 (17)  | 434 (58)  | 0.40     |
| yes                          | 246 (71)        | 87 (25)   | 13 (4)    |           | 79 (36)        | 54 (18)   | 200 (60)  | 0.14     | 88 (26)        | 46 (14)   | 200 (60)  | 0.40     |
| Ever had sex                 |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |
| No                           | 560 (69)        | 224 (28)  | 27 (3)    | 0.36     | 139 (18)       | 124 (16)  | 525 (67)  | <0.01    | 166 (21)       | 118 (15)  | 507 (64)  | <0.01    |
| yes                          | 213 (68)        | 94 (30)   | 6 (2)     |           | 79 (26)        | 56 (19)   | 166 (55)  |          | 117 (39)       | 56 (18)   | 131 (43)  |          |
| School type                  |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |                 |           |           |          |
| up to 10th grade             | 244 (68)        | 105 (29)  | 12 (3)    | 0.77     | 64 (18)        | 64 (18)   | 221 (63)  | 0.45     | 86 (25)        | 57 (16)   | 205 (59)  | 0.85     |
| Up to 12th/13th grade        | 536 (69)        | 217 (28)  | 21 (3)    |           | 156 (21)       | 119 (16)  | 475 (63)  |          | 199 (26)       | 120 (16)  | 438 (58)  |          |

*DK = Don’t know.
**p-value Chi-square.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061636.t001
The study population and the findings on knowledge and awareness of sexually transmitted infections have already been reported [33]. Here the study population will be briefly described, as will observations regarding STIs adolescents had heard of.

1148 students, (632 girls and 516 boys), aged 12–20 years, participated in the study (response rate 28%). 31% of the students had a migrant background (i.e. they themselves, or one/both of their parents were born abroad) and 27% reported ever having sexual intercourse. The average age of first sex was 15 years and the average number of lifetime sexual partners was two. Among those who reported ever having sex, 81% (76% of the girls and 86% of the boys) reported using a condom at sexual debut and 65% (79% of the girls and 51% of the boys) reported currently using condoms.

Almost all students (99%) had heard of HIV/AIDS, 91% of herpes, 83% of hepatitis, 23% of chlamydia, 17% of gonorrhea and 13% of HPV. Gender differences were observed for HPV, chlamydia, syphilis and gonorrhea, with significantly more girls than boys reporting having heard of HPV and chlamydia, and significantly more boys than girls reporting having heard of syphilis and gonorrhea.

### Risk perception for peers

Of the three infections for which the students were asked to rate the risk of getting infected for same-aged adolescents, HIV/AIDS had the least proportion of students who responded with “don’t know” or with missing responses (4%). The corresponding proportions for HPV and chlamydia were 65% and 60%, respectively. Whereas 68% of the students rated the risk of same-aged students to get infected with HIV/AIDS as high/medium, the corresponding figures were 19% for HPV and 25% for chlamydia. In bivariate analyses, gender was significantly associated with risk perception for all three infections, with a higher proportion of girls rating the risk of getting infected as high/medium (table 1). In comparison to students whose mothers had a low/medium school education, a higher proportion of students whose mothers had a high level school education rated the risk of peer to get infected with HIV/AIDS as low. For HPV and chlamydia, no differences based on maternal school education were observed. In comparison to those reporting no sexual experience, a higher proportion of students who reported ever having sex rated the risk of peers to get infected with HPV and chlamydia as high/medium. In multivariable analyses, age and gender were significant predictors for HIV risk perception for peers, with younger students and females more often rating the risk of getting infected as high/medium (table 2). For HPV risk perception, only the variable ever having sex remained a significant predictor. For chlamydia risk perception, age and ever having sex remained significant predictors (table 2).

### Own risk perception

Of the 314 students who reported ever having sex, 5% responded ‘don’t know’ to the question on own HIV risk perception. The corresponding proportions for HPV and

---

**Table 2. Results of multivariable regression analyses for STI risk perception for peers**

|                          | HIV risk perception for peers | HPV Risk perception for peers | Chlamydia risk perception for peers |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                          | OR: 95% CI                    | OR: 95% CI                    | OR: 95% CI                         |
| Age in years             |                               |                               |                                    |
| ≥15 (ref)                | 1.00                          | 1.00                          | 1.00                               |
| ≤14                      | 1.38: 1.02–1.85*              | 0.77: 0.58–1.02               | 0.59: 0.45–0.78*                   |
| Gender                   |                               |                               |                                    |
| Male (ref)               | 1.00                          | 1.00                          | 1.00                               |
| Female                   | 2.07: 1.59–2.68*              | 1.10: 0.86–1.41               | 1.13: 0.88–1.44                    |
| School education of mother |                             |                               |                                    |
| Low (ref)                | 1.00                          | 1.00                          | 1.00                               |
| Middle                   | 1.38: 0.85–2.26               | 0.91: 0.58–1.42               | 0.94: 0.60–1.48                    |
| High                     | 0.85: 0.53–1.36               | 0.69: 0.44–1.08               | 0.96: 0.61–1.49                    |
| Unknown                  | 1.24: 0.76–2.02               | 0.57: 0.38–0.90               | 0.88: 0.56–0.98                    |
| Migrant background       |                               |                               |                                    |
| yes (ref)                | 1.00                          | 1.00                          | 1.00                               |
| no                       | 0.90: 0.66–1.20               | 0.81: 0.62–1.06               | 1.03: 0.79–1.35                    |
| Ever had sex             |                               |                               |                                    |
| no (ref)                 | 1.00                          | 1.00                          | 1.00                               |
| yes                      | 1.12: 0.81–1.55*              | 1.59: 1.17–2.15*              | 2.01: 1.29–2.70*                   |
| School type              |                               |                               |                                    |
| up to 10th grade (ref)   | 1.00                          | 1.00                          | 1.00                               |
| Up to 12th/13th grade    | 1.10: 0.82–1.48               | 0.89: 0.67–1.18               | 0.74: 0.57–0.98                    |

*ordinal regression models, all scores range from 0 to 2, odds ratios indicate effects per one point difference.
*statistically significant odds ratios.
ref = reference group.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061636.t002
Table 3. Results of bivariate analyses for own risk perception among those reporting having had sexual intercourse (fisher’s exact test).

| Age in years | HIV risk perception for peers | HPV Risk perception for peers | Chlamydia risk perception for peers |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|              | High/med (n/%) | Low (n%) | *DK (n%) | **p value | High/med (n/%) | Low (n%) | *DK (n%) | **p value | High/med (n/%) | Low (n%) | *DK (n%) | **p value |
| ≤14          | 6 (22) | 18 (67) | 3 (11) | 0.01 *** | 3 (11) | 11 (41) | 13 (48) | 0.22 | 4 (15) | 10 (37) | 13 (48) | 0.05 *** |
| ≥15          | 43 (15) | 228 (81) | 12 (4) | 0.06 | 24 (9) | 162 (58) | 93 (33) | 0.63 | 22 (14) | 95 (60) | 42 (26) | 0.47 |

| Gender       | Female | 22 (14) | 135 (84) | 4 (2) | 0.06 | 16 (10) | 90 (57) | 52 (33) | 0.63 | 22 (14) | 95 (60) | 42 (26) | 0.47 |
|              | Male | 27 (18) | 111 (75) | 11 (7) | 83 (56) | 54 (36) | 16 (11) | 84 (57) | 48 (32) |

| School education of mother | High | 18 (20) | 68 (77) | 2 (2) | 0.02 ** | 11 (13) | 49 (56) | 27 (31) | 0.68 |
|                           | Middle | 12 (15) | 64 (80) | 4 (5) | 0.39 | 5 (6) | 50 (63) | 25 (31) | 0.63 | 9 (11) | 48 (60) | 23 (29) |
|                           | Low | 4 (12) | 25 (76) | 4 (12) | 0.63 | 3 (9) | 16 (50) | 13 (40) | 0.47 | 4 (12) | 15 (45) | 14 (42) |
|                           | Unknown | 15 (14) | 89 (82) | 5 (5) | 8 (7) | 58 (54) | 41 (38) | 12 (11) | 66 (61) | 30 (28) |

| Migrant background | no | 33 (15) | 183 (82) | 6 (3) | 0.02 ** | 19 (9) | 125 (57) | 75 (34) | 0.90 | 28 (13) | 131 (60) | 61 (28) | 0.57 |
|                    | yes | 15 (19) | 58 (72) | 8 (10) | 8 (10) | 44 (55) | 28 (35) | 10 (13) | 43 (54) | 27 (34) |

| School type          | up to 10th grade | 6 (14) | 35 (81) | 2 (5) | 0.95 | 4 (9) | 22 (51) | 17 (40) | 0.70 | 3 (7) | 24 (56) | 16 (37) | 0.33 |
|                      | Up to 12th/13th grade | 43 (16) | 211 (79) | 13 (5) | 23 (9) | 151 (57) | 89 (34) | 35 (13) | 155 (59) | 74 (28) |

| Condom used at sexual debut | no | 9 (16) | 45 (78) | 4 (7) | 0.65 | 3 (5) | 32 (56) | 22 (39) | 0.55 | 6 (10) | 33 (57) | 19 (33) | 0.76 |
|                            | yes | 40 (16) | 201 (80) | 11 (4) | 24 (9) | 141 (57) | 84 (34) | 32 (13) | 146 (59) | 71 (29) |

| Condom currently used | no | 14 (13) | 92 (84) | 3 (3) | 0.23 | 8 (7) | 64 (59) | 36 (33) | 0.71 | 14 (13) | 65 (60) | 30 (28) | 0.88 |
|                       | yes | 35 (18) | 153 (77) | 12 (6) | 19 (10) | 108 (55) | 70 (36) | 24 (12) | 113 (57) | 60 (30) |

*DK = Don’t know.
**p-value - fisher exact test.
***Statistically significant p-vales.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061636.t003
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chlamydia were 34% and 29%, respectively. Among those who rated their own risk of getting infected with HIV, 16% perceived their risk as high/medium (HPV 9%, chlamydia 13%). Results of bivariate analyses showed an association between age and own risk perception for HIV and chlamydia, with a higher proportion of students older than 14 years reporting their risk of getting infected as low. Students younger than 14 years and those with a migrant background more often responded to the question on HIV risk perception with ‘don’t know’ (table 3). We did not observe any significant predictors in multivariable analyses.

**Comparison between peer and own risk perception**

For HIV, 27% of the students reporting ever having sexual intercourse perceived their own risk of getting infected and that of their peers as low, while 51% perceived their own risk as low, but that of their peers as high/medium (table 4). For HPV, 15% of the students reported their own risk and that of peers as low, and 17% reported low own risk but high/medium peer risk. For chlamydia, 15% of the students perceived their own risk of getting infected and that of their peers as low, while 25% perceived their own risk to be low but that of their peers as high/medium. The differences in own and peer risk perception for all three infections were statistically significant.

**Discussion**

In the context of a cross-sectional survey on awareness and knowledge of sexually transmitted infections, secondary school students attending the 8th grade and above were asked to rate the risk of peers to get infected with HIV, HPV and chlamydia. Those reported ever having sexual intercourse were also asked to rate their own risk of getting infected with the three infections. Generally, the students were able to rate the risk of peers to get infected with HIV, with only a small proportion responding with ‘don’t know’. In contrast, the majority of students responded with ‘don’t know’ for chlamydia and HPV. Similarly, the lowest proportion of ‘don’t know’ for own risk perception was observed for HIV. All in all, students rated their peers to be at higher risk of getting infected with HIV than with chlamydia or HPV. Those reporting ever having sex generally rated their own risk of getting infected with each of the three infections to be lower than that of their peers.

There is a lack of epidemiological data on risk perception of infection with sexually transmitted infections among school-going adolescents in Germany and our study helps fill this gap. Results of a number of surveys on awareness and knowledge of HIV/AIDS, chlamydia and HPV among this population group have recently been published [18], [39–42].

As we conducted an observational cross-sectional study, our analyses were of an explorative nature. The estimated p-values can therefore only be regarded as indicative of a relationship between the independent variables and risk perception.

Although the low participation rate observed in our study can be partly explained by the sensitive nature of the research question and the reluctance of parents to allow their children to take part in such surveys, it still remains a limiting factor.

Our observation that only a small proportion of students responded to the question on risk perception for HIV with ‘don’t know’, while the corresponding proportions for chlamydia and HPV are very high, is a further indication that adolescents are more aware of HIV than of the latter infections. As has been noted for Germany [18], [43], the emphasis of STI prevention programs in some industrialised countries is on HIV/AIDS. Consequently, young people perceive their own risk, or that of peers, to get infected with HIV to be higher than that to get infected with chlamydia or HPV, although the latter are more prevalent in these countries, especially among this population group [34], [44], [45].

In bivariate analyses we observed gender differences in risk perception for peers for all three infections, with girls more often than boys perceiving the risk of getting infected to be high/medium. Interestingly, we did not make the same observation for own risk perception. Here the majority of students, irrespective of gender, perceived their own risk of getting infected as low. We also did not observe any statistically significant differences based on use of condoms at sexual debut or currently. The low perception of own risk, particularly for chlamydia and HPV, can be taken as a further indication of the lack of awareness of these infections among the study population. Findings of a chlamydia prevalence study conducted among sexually active school girls aged 14–17 years conducted in 2004 in Berlin, Germany, serve to underline this assumption. In that study, 83% of the participants had never heard of chlamydia. 6% of the participants were found to be infected with chlamydia, with the highest prevalence (10%) being observed among those 17 years old [43].

A comparison of the perceived risk for peers and own perceived risk showed that the students, irrespective of gender, generally perceived their own risk to get infected as lower than that of their peers. This discrepancy in risk perception was particularly observed for HIV, the STIs almost all students had heard of, with 51% of the students perceiving their own risk of infection to be low, but that of their peers to be high/medium. For HPV and chlamydia, the STIs students were less aware of, the discrepancy was less marked. According to literature, individuals generally perceive themselves to be less at risk than others, particularly where the risk is controllable or behavior related [46], [47], a phenomenon known as ‘optimistic bias’. This observation has also been made among adolescents, who during the change from childhood to adulthood believe that negative effects of risky behavior only happen to others [48].

The concept of risk perception is based on the understanding that an individual is more likely to take preventive measures...
when he or she believes to be personally at risk [23]. Although this aspect is debatable, as is the case with the effect of awareness and knowledge on behaviour change [26–29] it is a core component of public health interventions aiming at encouraging the adoption of healthier behavior [49]. For HIV, the intensive prevention and information campaigns, particularly in industrialized countries, led to high awareness and knowledge of the infection, and to a high risk perception. This in turn probably led to the infection not spreading the way it could have otherwise done, with ensuing low factual risk for the broad population. The dreaded aspect of HIV/AIDS also played and continues to play a role, while other STIs do not have the same dreaded potential. Awareness and knowledge are important prerequisites for risk perception, as it is not possible to perceive the risk of something one is not aware of or has no knowledge of [22]. Given this basic fact, remodeling of STI prevention curricula in schools should be considered to support a more realistic and differentiated risk perception on STIs among adolescents, including a focus on preventability.
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