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Abstract: The massive use of petroleum and its possible exhaustion are driving the current research trend to study alternative raw materials from biomass for organic reactions. In this context, the present article presents a study of the catalytic esterification of levulinic acid, a platform molecule, with ethanol. Metal-organic framework (MOF) type compounds UiO-66-NH₂ have been synthesized. Zirconium was incorporated, using zirconium chloride as a metal precursor, together with 2-aminoterephthalic acid as an organic binding agent. An alternative route of synthesis was proposed using more favorable conditions from an economic and environmental point of view, replacing dimethylformamide by 50 and 75% acetone as substitute solvent. The physicochemical properties of the materials were evaluated by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and microwaves plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES) and N₂ adsorption to understand their morphology, crystalline, chemical and pore structure. The progress of the reaction was followed by gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy. The catalytic activity result of MOF₂₅% showed 100% of selectivity to ethyl levulinate and a turnover number (TON) of 66.18 moles of gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy. The catalytic activity result of MOF₂₅% showed 100% of selectivity to ethyl levulinate and a turnover number (TON) of 66.18 moles of product/moles of Zr. This good catalytic performance obtained by partial solvent replacement in the synthetic material provides a more economical and eco-friendly process for ethyl levulinate generation.
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1. Introduction

The increasing demand for chemicals and energy, together with the decline in oil resources, has led to great efforts to develop renewable replacements for fossil raw materials. The intensive use of fossil-fuel means that future energy demand, associated with increasing world populations, economic development and modernization cannot to be met [1–3].

The high production rate of lignocellulosic biomass and its low cost of raw material promise to be a source for the development of value-added products along with the energy generation [4].

It is well known that lignocellulosic biomass is mainly composed of cellulose (40–50 wt%), hemicellulose (25–30 wt%), and lignin (15–30 wt%) [5–8]. They are highly resistant to chemical and biological attacks due to the lignin coating around cellulose and hemicellulose. A crucial step in many transformation processes is the pretreatment stage so that the lignin coating is broken, allowing for the cellulose and hemicellulose hydrolysis, and the production of sugars.
The transformation of lignocellulosic biomass into platform molecules has become a key objective in the development of products with higher added value, which constitutes a crucial step towards the sustainable development of resources [3]. Levulinic acid derived from biomass is considered a platform building block due to its highly versatile structure for subsequent transformation into different valuable chemicals [9]. In particular, levulinate esters have low toxicity, high lubricity, flash point stability and moderate flow properties under low temperature conditions, which make them suitable additives for fuels [10]. Ethyl levulinate is usually synthesized using homogeneous acid catalysts such as H$_2$SO$_4$, HCl and H$_3$PO$_4$ [11–14] causing inconveniences in catalyst recycling, products separation and environmental problems. Several heterogeneous acid catalysts such as zeolites (HUSY, HBEA, HMOR, HZSM-5, HMCM-22) [15], sulfated oxides like ZrO$_2$ [16] and SnO$_2$ [17], metallic salts [18], mesoporous silicates (SO$_3$H-SBA-15, Sn-TUD-1, ZrAl-TUD-1, WO$_3$-SBA-16, Al-MCM41) [19–26] have been studied for esterification reactions. The solid acid catalysts are an interesting alternative to overcome the drawbacks of homogeneous ones, as they can be easily separated from reaction mixtures and reused for repeated tests [27].

Metal-organic frameworks are porous crystalline organic-inorganic hybrid materials that consist of a regular array of positively charged metal ions surrounded by organic ‘linker’ molecules. The metal ions form nodes that bind the arms of the linkers together to form a repeating cage-like structure. Due to this hollow structure, MOFs have an exceptional large internal surface area [28,29]. The hybrid composition of inorganic/organic nature gives them characteristics and properties of both inorganic materials such as zeolites, and organic polymeric materials, presenting an ordered crystalline structure together with high porosity. An exceptional challenge in MOF synthesis is how to modify the chemical composition, functionality, and molecular dimensions systematically without altering the original topology [30,31].

The material UiO-66-NH$_2$ is formed by Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_4$ metal clusters composed of six zirconium atoms linked with the $\mu_3$-O and $\mu_3$-OH groups of the organic ligand 2-amino-terephthalate [32]. This MOF has a three-dimensional porous structure consisting of 9 Å octahedral porous cavities, connected to each other through windows of 6 Å diameter. The high degree of coordination of the metal cluster gives MOF a high chemical stability [33,34]. In this context, Abid et al. have reported the synthesis of amino-functionalized Zr-MOF nanoparticles by using different proportions of precursors and activation processes at various temperatures for their application in CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ adsorption [33]. Katz et al. have also reported a scalable and reproducible method of synthesizing UiO-66- and UiO-67-type MOFs, entailing the addition of HCl to the reaction mixture. This results in exceptional porosities, and works with a variety of linkers [35]. For their part, Cirujano et al. reported that UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH$_2$ are active and stable catalysts for the acid-catalyzed esterification of levulinic acid with EtOH, $n$-BuOH and long-chain biomass-derived alcohols [36].

The modifications or improvements that are proposed in this work, with respect to what has already been published by other authors [37–42], consist in the synthesis of a MOF-type material UiO-66-NH$_2$ with a lower content of traditional N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), which maintains its properties of crystallinity and porosity and that it can be used satisfactorily in esterification reactions of levulinic acid. Through the use of pressure reactors, both the conversion and selectivity to the desired product were improved, reducing the reaction time from 5 h (in batch) to 3 h (under pressure).

The aim of this work is to evaluate the activity of organic-metal frameworks (MOFs) in fine chemistry reactions, such as the esterification of levulinic acid with ethanol. For this purpose, the MOF Zr-UiO-66-NH$_2$ was synthesized by the solvothermal method, replacing part of the conventional solvent DMF with acetone as a less polluting solvent. Improvements were thus made to the synthesis method to obtain a material with high catalytic performance under more eco-friendly conditions.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Analytical grade reagents were used for the preparation of catalysts and catalytic reactions. Zirconium chloride (≥98%, Merck, Darmstadt, Alemania), aminoterephthalic acid (NH$_2$-BDC, 98%, Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, ≥99.8%, Biopack, Bs. As., Argentina) acetone (99.5%, Sintorgan, Bs. As., Argentina), ethanol (≥99.5%, Biopack, Bs. As., Argentina) and levulinic acid (98%, Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) were used as received.

2.2. Synthesis of MOF UiO-66-NH$_2$

MOFs were obtained by a solvothermal method [36,40]. The DMF was replaced by 50 and 75% v/v acetone. The resulting samples were named as MOF$_x$% where “X” is the DMF content. The synthesis consisted of dissolving 1.027 g of ZrCl$_4$ in 50 mL of the solvent (DMF or DMF/acetone). The solution was magnetically stirred for 5 min. Then 0.789 g of aminoterephthalic acid (NH$_2$-BDC) were incorporated. The mixture was kept under magnetic stirring for 30 min. The gel was then transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and kept in an oven at 120 °C for 24 h. The obtained material was immersed for 1 h in DMF and then washed with acetone, to exchange the DMF for a solvent with a lower boiling point, which can be easily removed. The solid was separated by filtration, affording a yellow powdery solid. Finally, the material was dried at 90 °C for 24 h. In the cited bibliography, studies have been carried out with other metal precursors, in this case, the use of ZrCl$_4$, as a source of Zr, is due to the fact that it is an active metal that allows obtaining good catalytic results in the esterification of levulinic acid. This is due to the type of Zr$^{4+}$ coordination vacancy that is created when a linker molecule is missing, generating a good Lewis acid site needed to start the reaction [36,41,42].

2.3. Characterization of MOF UiO-66-NH$_2$

The XRD powder patterns were collected on an X’pert diffractometer (PANanalytical, Malvern, United Kingdom) using monochromatized Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å) at a scan speed of 0.25° min$^{-1}$ in 2θ.

Infrared analyses were carried out on a Smartomi-Transmission Nicolet iS10 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in a range of 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$.

Micrographs of the mixed oxides were obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a model JSM-6380 LV instrument (JEOL, Lireweg, The Netherlands) equipped with a Supra 40 device (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Samples were metallized with chromium.

The specific surface area (SSA), analysis was carried out in an ASAP 2020 instrument (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA) and was calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. Prior to the determination of the adsorption isotherms, the samples were treated at 200 °C during 60 min under 1.0 × 10$^{-5}$ mbar vacuum. Regarding the analysis of adsorption-desorption isotherms, it was carried out by treating the sample with degassing at 200 °C under vacuum. At temperatures above this, a collapse of the structure would occur due to the removal by calcination of the organic binder (aminoterephthalic acid) as analyzed by Hussein et al. [33].

X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded with a PHI VersaProbe II Scanning XPS Microprobe (Physical Electronics, Chanhassen, MN, USA) with scanning monochromatic X-ray Al Kα radiation as the excitation source (100 µm area analysed, 52.8 W, 15 kV, 1486.6 eV), and a charge neutralizer. The pressure in analysis chamber was maintained below 2.0 × 10$^{-6}$ Pa. High-resolution spectra were recorded at a given take-off angle of 45° by a multi-channel hemispherical electron analyser operating in the constant pass energy mode at 29.35 eV. Spectra were charge referenced with the C 1s of adventitious carbon at 284.8 eV. Energy scale was calibrated using Cu 2p$_{3/2}$, Ag 3d$_{5/2}$, and Au 4f$_{7/2}$ photoelectron lines at 932.7, 368.2, and 83.95 eV, respectively. The Multipack software version 9.6.0.15 was employed to analyze in detail the recorded spectra. The obtained spectra were fitted
using Gaussian–Lorentzian curves to more accurately extract the binding energies of the different element core levels.

The elemental analysis was carried out by microwave plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES) on an Agilent 4200 instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Prior to elemental analysis, the samples were dissolved in nitric acid (65%) for 10 min in a microwave oven (SCP Science, Baie-D’Urfe, QC, Canada).

To assess surface acidity, the CO adsorbed during 2 h at room temperature was measurement by FTIR analysis at 50 and 100 °C on the Nicolet iS10 instrument. The material was previously treated under vacuum.

2.4. Catalytic Esterification Reaction

The performance of the Zr-MOF catalysts was then evaluated in the esterification reaction (Scheme 1). Ten mL of ethanol (E) mixed with levulinic acid (LA, molar ratio 1:15) were put in contact with 0.05 g of the prepared catalyst. Two reaction systems, a batch reactor with reflux condenser and a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, were employed in this study and compared for LA conversion efficiency. The reaction mixture in reactor with reflux condenser was magnetically stirred at 78 °C [17,19,36,41–44]. On the other hand, autoclave was sealed and purged three times with high purity N₂, and pressurized to 30 bar, before to reaction mixture was heated at 180 °C inside a conventional oven with magnetic stirring at 400 rpm.

Scheme 1. Esterification Reaction.

The reaction was allowed to occur for 5 h and 0.15 mL of samples were collected from the reaction medium at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h. Time t = 0 h was the time at which the temperature of the reaction medium reached 78 °C or 180 °C. Samples were collected using a microsyringe equipped with a filter (Whatman paper filter n° 5) to remove catalyst particles. The reaction mixture was analyzed by gas chromatography on an Agilent Technologies 7820A instrument equipped with a HP-20M column and a FID detector, and by mass spectroscopy on a Clarus 560 instrument (Perkin Elmer, Hopkinton, MA, USA).

After the reaction was finished, the catalyst was separated from the reaction medium by centrifugation; then it was washed again with acetone and dried at 90 °C overnight, for further use.

The LA conversion, selectivity, yield and TON of products are calculated based on a mass balance at the reactor outlet as follows:

\[
\% \text{ Conversion} = \frac{n_{\text{f LA}} - n_{\text{i LA}}}{n_{\text{i LA}}} \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{ Selectivity} = \frac{n_{\text{main product}}}{n_{\text{i LA}} - n_{\text{F LA}}} \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{ Yield} = \frac{\text{Conversion} \times \text{Selectivity}}{100}
\]

\[
\text{TON} = \frac{\text{mole of EL}}{\text{mole of Zr}}
\]

where \(n_{\text{i LA}}\) and \(n_{\text{f LA}}\) are the initial and final concentrations of levulinic acid, \(n_{\text{main product}}\) is that corresponding to the concentration of ethyl levulinate.
3. Results

3.1. Physicochemical Characterization

3.1.1. X-ray Diffraction

Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of the MOFs with different DMF contents (25, 50 and 100%). Two peaks located near 2θ of 7.4 and 8.5° are associated to the diffraction by (111) and (200) planes characteristic of the MOF UiO-66-NH₂. It was observed that all the materials present a good order and crystallinity, except the MOF 50% sample that presents a lower peak intensity, which is related to the crystalline defects of the material that provide its acidity. This will be discussed in Section 3.1.6 acid analysis by FTIR-CO. The diffraction patterns show similar behaviors, independently of the substitution of the DMF solvent by acetone [45–50].

![Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns for MOFs with different DMF contents.](image_url)

3.1.2. FTIR Spectroscopy

The MOFs FTIR spectra are shown in Figure 2. The signals at 3447 and 3354 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the symmetric and asymmetric stretching bands of the amine moiety, while the signals at 1257 and 1385 cm⁻¹ are attributed to C-N binding absorptions. The COO⁻ group presents bands at 1571 and 1436 cm⁻¹, assigned to the corresponding symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations and the benzene ring C=C bonds showed a band at 1494 cm⁻¹. The two lowest frequencies at 573 and 475 cm⁻¹ were assigned to Zr-O stretching in the MOF clusters [51–53]. Regarding the series of materials, it can be noted that the MOF 50% shows a higher intensity in the peaks corresponding to the N-H and Zr-O bond, both functional groups necessary to generate the dual acid-base mechanics for the esterification reaction. This is also related to the higher contribution of these elements seen through in the XPS analysis (Section 3.1.5).
Figure 2. FTIR spectra for MOFs with different DMF contents.

3.1.3. SEM-EDS Analysis

The SEM images of UiO-66-NH₂ (Figure 3) show randomly aligned spherical particles, with an average particle size from ~153 nm (MOF₁₀₀%) to ~224 nm (MOF₂₅%, Figure S1). The MOF₁₀₀% crystals are smaller and more dispersed than those obtained by replacing DMF for acetone, in which the small crystals agglomerate constituting a well-defined spheric topology [54–56].

Figure 3. SEM image of the MOF₁₀₀% (A), MOF₅₀% (B) and MOF₂₅% (C).
The elemental mapping verified the presence and dispersion of Zr atoms that belong to the metal salt and C, O and N atoms contributed by the organic ligand. Figure 4 shows images corresponding to the homogeneous distribution of these elements on the surface in MOF100%. In the rest of the samples, the dispersion of the elements on the surface was similar.

![Figure 4. SEM elemental mapping of the MOF100% sample.](image)

### 3.1.4. Microwave Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (MP-AES) and Surface Area by BET

Table 1 shows the content of Zr (wt%) in the synthesized samples. In most cases the Zr content was approximately 54–66 wt% of the theoretical value (31 wt%). While the MOF25% sample presented half of the Zr theoretical content. The main difference between the values of wt% is due precisely to the replacement of DMF by acetone, because DMF is a more polar solvent, it facilitates the complete dissociation of the ZrCl$_4$ and the metal union with the organic binder, allowing it to more easily form the structure of the MOFs [33]. The commonly used DMF has an active role in UiO-66-NH$_2$ synthesis by entering the coordination sphere of the zirconium, steering the formation of the crystal lattice formation [57].

| Catalyst | Zr (wt%) Nominal | Zr (wt%) Experimental | BET (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | Pore Size (nm) | Micropore Area (m$^2$/g) | Mesopore Area (m$^2$/g) |
|----------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| MOF100%  | 31              | 20.5 ± 0.4           | 474 ± 12            | 3.60          | 168 ± 4                | 219 ± 5               |
| MOF50%   | 31              | 21.0 ± 0.4           | 424 ± 22            | 2.34          | 179 ± 4                | 156 ± 4               |
| MOF25%   | 31              | 16.8 ± 0.3           | 407 ± 24            | 1.15          | 216 ± 5                | 151 ± 4               |

The MOF100% sample showed the highest value of surface area (Table 1). A tendency of the surface area and mesopore area to increase with the DMF content was observed. The increased BET area can be observed in the SEM images where the structures become more compact and closed with the increase of the acetone content. When analyzing the pore size, it is observed that it decreases as the DMF is replaced; as the solvent is the one that gives the internal structure of the pore, when changing it for acetone (smaller molecule) its size is reduced, this effect promotes the high number of small sized pores and their micropore area was increased.

From the adsorption data analysis, these materials have been found to be microporous and mesoporous. The physisorption isotherms behavior of the MOFs, according to the IU-PAC classification, can be assigned to type Ib, with H1 for MOF100% and MOF50% while the
MOF$_{25\%}$ shows H4 hysteresis loops, that are often found in micro-mesoporous materials. The pore size presented a wide range values between ~1 and ~3.6 nm and was associated to wider microporosity and narrow mesoporosity in the materials (Figure 5) [58–61]. The MOF$_{100\%}$ and MOF$_{50\%}$ samples show an increase in the uptake in the pressure range ($p/p_0 > 0.9$) and the hysteresis between the adsorption and desorption branches is characteristic of mesopores. The sample MOF$_{25\%}$ which was synthesized with a lower DMF content, shows an increase in adsorption in the low-pressure range ($p/p_0 < 0.3$), suggesting the existence of micropores [62]. These behaviours suggest that increasing the DMF replacement by acetone has a significant influence on pore structures by promoting increased microporosity.

![Figure 5. N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms at −196 °C.](image-url)

3.1.5. XPS-Spectroscopy

The catalysts were studied by XPS to know their surface chemical composition and the chemical state of the different constituent elements. Table 2 lists the surface chemical composition and it can be observed that the MOF$_{50\%}$ sample exhibits the highest Zr content as also it was shown in the bulk above. Another relevant fact is that there is a direct relationship between the N content and the C content. With these data we can see that MOF$_{25\%}$ sample presents the highest N and C percentages indicating a high surface presence of grafted aminoterephthalic moieties, and the MOF$_{50\%}$ sample the lowest one. The higher surface Zr content of sample MOF$_{50\%}$ is a consequence of the lower surface presence of amino compound. All the samples contain some Cl impurities.
Table 2. Surface chemical composition (in atomic concentration %) of the studied catalysts determined by XPS.

| Sample       | C%   | N%   | O%   | Zr%  |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| MOF<sub>100%</sub> | 60.54 | 4.62 | 29.87 | 4.97 |
| MOF<sub>50%</sub>  | 51.95 | 3.85 | 36.33 | 7.87 |
| MOF<sub>25%</sub>  | 67.11 | 7.61 | 22.36 | 2.92 |

Table 3 presents the binding energy values of the constituent elements of the catalysts, and Figure 6 the C 1s, N 1s and O 1s core level spectra. The C 1s core level spectra (Figure 6) can be decomposed into three contributions at 284.8, 286.1 and 288.8 eV. The contribution at 284.8 eV is assigned to adventitious carbon, and -C-C- and -C=C- bonds. The second contribution at 286.1 eV is derived from C-N and C-OH bonds, and finally the contribution at high binding energy to the presence of carboxylate and carboxylic groups [63]. More interesting is the analysis of the N 1s core level spectra (Figure 6). These N 1s spectra can be deconvoluted into three contributions; the first at low binding energy (399.3 eV) is assigned to amide groups, while those at about 400.4 and 401.8 eV to the amino and protonated amino groups from the aminoterephthalic group [64]. The N 1s spectrum of sample MOF<sub>50%</sub> shows, as expected, the lowest relative intensities of the contributions at 400.4 and 401.8 eV derived from the presence of aminoterephthalic. Also, as expected, the N 1s spectrum of sample MOF<sub>25%</sub>, which presents the higher content of aminoterephthalic, presents the highest relative intensities of the contributions at 400.5 and 402.0 eV. The Zr 3d core level spectra of the three samples show the typical Zr 3d<sub>5/2</sub>-Zr 3d<sub>3/2</sub> doublet with Zr 3d<sub>5/2</sub> binding energy values at 182.9 eV assigned to Zr<sup>4+</sup> [65].

![Figure 6. C 1s, N 1s and O 1s core level spectra for samples MOF<sub>100%</sub>, MOF<sub>50%</sub> and MOF<sub>25%</sub>.](image)
Table 3. Binding energy values (in eV) of different elements for the studied catalysts. Area percentages are indicated in parentheses.

| Sample | C 1s  | N 1s  | O 1s  | Zr 3d_{5/2} |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| MOF_{100%} | 284.8 (62) | 399.3 (57) | 530.5 (13) | 182.9 |
| MOF_{50%} | 282.1 (20) | 400.4 (33) | 531 (15) | 182.9 |
| MOF_{25%} | 288.8 (18) | 401.6 (10) | 533.2 (15) | 182.9 |

3.1.6. Acid Analysis by FTIR-CO

Table 4 shows the relative area percentage corresponding to the Lewis and Brønsted acid sites measured by FTIR CO adsorbed at different temperatures (50 and 100 °C). The graphs are attached in the Supplementary Materials (Figure S2). The acid sites measured at low temperature are defined as weak, while those measured at high temperature are defined as medium [37]. It is well-known that the Lewis acid sites in UiO-66-NH$_2$ come from vacancies associated with the thermal elimination of water molecules binding to metallic nodes ([Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_4$]$^{12+}$ clusters to [Zr$_6$O$_6$$^{12+}$]) and the crystalline defect associated to the NH$_2$-BDC binding lack [42]. The Lewis acid sites can be related to pore volume, vacancies and crystalline defects in the structure, this generating a high internal area and enhances the Zr$^{4+}$ availability. Furthermore, as these materials have an amino group (NH$_2$) that come from the organic binder, they present associated basic sites, necessary to generate the acid-base duality in the catalyst [36].

Table 4. FTIR of CO absorbed.

| Sample | % Area (50 °C) | % Area (100 °C) | % Area Total Sites |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|        | Weak Sites     | Medium Sites    | Total Sites        |
|        | Lewis          | Bronsted       | Lewis              | Bronsted |
| MOF$_{100%}$ | 55.38          | 44.62           | 50.23              | 49.77    | 52.81 | 47.19 |
| MOF$_{50%}$  | 69.32          | 30.68           | 50.29              | 49.71    | 59.81 | 40.20 |
| MOF$_{25%}$  | 48.35          | 51.65           | 45.86              | 54.14    | 47.11 | 52.90 |

The higher Zr$^{4+}$ availability and presence of amino group (NH$_2$) on the surface were also corroborated by XPS in the samples MOF$_{50%}$ and MOF$_{25%}$ respectively (see Table 2).

3.2. Catalytic Activity: Esterification for Ethyl Levulinate Production

The esterification reaction was evaluated for the different MOF$_X$ samples. The main esterification product was ethyl levulinate (EL) and the byproduct was the corresponding β-lactone obtained by the dehydration of levulinic acid. A blank batch reaction was performed without catalyst, the observed conversion value (1.45%) was then used to fit the catalytic activity data. The main product observed, in this case, was β-lactone. This may be because of the acidic character of LA, which can autocatalyze the reaction to a certain extent [36]. For the case of the autoclave reaction, the blank showed a conversion of 20%, with a higher selectivity towards the ester. Autoclave reactor catalytic results showed better performance than the batch system, with 100% of selectivity for all materials (Tables 5 and 6). The MOF$_{50%}$ and MOF$_{25%}$ samples presented the highest conversion and the best yield to EL in a batch reactor, and autoclave reactor, respectively. Pressure and temperature enhance the diffusion of molecules inside the pores and reaching of the internal active sites, improving the catalytic performance in the autoclave reactor [49].
Table 5. Catalytic activity in Batch. T = 78 °C, 0.05 g catalyst.

| Catalyst | Conversion % | Selectivity EL % | TON |
|----------|--------------|------------------|-----|
| MOF100%  | 12.85        | 40.75            | 3   |
| MOF50%   | 20.07        | 46.66            | 5.21|
| MOF25%   | 18.37        | 24.65            | 3.14|

Table 6. Catalytic activity in autoclave. T = 180 °C, p = 30 bar, 0.05 g catalyst.

| Catalyst | Conversion % | Selectivity EL % | TON |
|----------|--------------|------------------|-----|
| MOF100%  | 96.16        | 100              | 54.25|
| MOF50%   | 96.09        | 100              | 53.01|
| MOF25%   | 96.20        | 100              | 66.18|
| MOF25% Reuse | 97.66 | 88.96           | 59.77|

In the bibliography related to reactions to obtain ethyl levulinate using pressure reactors, we can mention the results and contributions of Negus et al. [37], Peixoto et al. [38] and Tukacs et al. [39], where the conditions are 300 °C for 6 h. In this work milder conditions of temperature and pressure are used, obtaining good catalytic yields in shorter reaction times.

A dual acid-base activation mechanism was previously proposed [36], which the Zr sites interact with adsorbed LA while the amino group, close to the Zr, forms a hydrogen bonded adduct with the alcohol, increasing the nucleophilic character of the O atom and thus favoring the first reaction step. By XPS, MOF25 showed a highest N content in -NH2 groups which would indicate more basic sites, while MOF50 contains a highest percentage of surface-available Zr4+, which means a higher percentage of acidic sites. The low performance obtained with MOF100% compared with MOF50% (7.87% Zr) and MOF25% (7.61% N) in a batch system, may be related to the Zr and N at the surface as the XPS data showed. A cooperative action of the (basic) amino groups that are located adjacent to the (acid) Zr sites of UiO-66-H2, leads to a bifunctional acid-base catalyst. Scheme 2 shows a possible esterification mechanism. Levulinic acid increases the electrophilic character of the carboxylic carbon atom, by coordinating with the Zr acid sites. On the other hand, the alcohol forms a hydrogen bond to the amino group, which increases the nucleophilic character of his O atom and promotes a nucleophilic attack on the carboxylic carbon.

Scheme 2. Mechanism of esterification of LA with ethanol on a MOF UiO-66-NH2.

The reusability of MOF25% catalyst was investigated for the esterification of LA. The catalyst was recycled as described by the following procedure. At the end of the LA esterification run, the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture using high-speed centrifugation. The obtained catalyst was thoroughly washed with acetone to remove the adsorbed species. Then the recycled catalyst was dried for 12 h before used for the next run under identical reaction conditions. The results are shown in Table 6, where the conversion was increased to 97.66% while the selectivity decreased to 88.96%. The high TON value obtained with MOF25%, shows that good catalytic results can be obtained with a synthesized catalyst under more environmentally friendly conditions.
The XRD patterns of used catalysts were recorded and compared with the fresh ones to demonstrate that their crystallinity is retained. This is shown in the Supplementary Materials (Figures S3 and S4).

3.3. Estimation of Kinetic Parameters

The esterification reaction of LA in the presence of E to produce EL and water was shown in Equation (1). A pseudo-homogeneous model was chosen to represent the reaction kinetics, considering an irreversible reaction \([36,66–68]\). The rate equation for this reaction could be thus expressed as follows (Equation (5)). The adjustment was made with the experimental data obtained in the reaction catalyzed by the MOF\(_{50}\%\) material:

\[
r_A = -\frac{dC_A}{dt} = k [\text{LA}] [\text{E}]
\]  

(5)

Furthermore, since ethanol is always in excess, the reaction was assumed to be zero order with respect to it (Ostwald isolation method) and the expression becomes Equation (6), where \(k (\text{min}^{-1})\) is the rate constant for the forward reaction:

\[
\frac{dC_A}{dt} = k[\text{LA}]
\]  

(6)

The integrated form of Equation (6) can be expressed as:

\[
\text{Ln}[\text{LA}_t] = -kt + \text{Ln}[\text{LA}_0]
\]  

(7)

where \([\text{LA}_0]\) and \([\text{LA}_t]\) indicate the initial concentration of LA (mol·L\(^{-1}\)) and at any time \(t\), respectively. On the other hand, \(k\) is the slope and \(\text{Ln}[\text{LA}_0]\) the intercept in a plot of \(\text{Ln}[\text{LA}_t]\) versus \(t\).

The experimental data of the OC reactions were fitted to the model represented by Equation (7), and the analysis performed with pseudo-first order kinetics in the esterification reaction of LA shows very good correlation values. This adjustment gives a kinetic constant value \(k = 7.627 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1}\) with an \(R^2\) of 0.98. Additional data have been included in the Supplementary Materials (Table S1 and Figure S5).

4. Conclusions

The synthesis of the MOF UiO-66-NH\(_2\) was achieved under mild solvothermal conditions, replacing up to 75% \(v/v\) of the traditional dimethylformamide with acetone. XRD and SEM analyses showed good crystallinity and morphology in the synthesized samples. The Zr content in the synthesized samples was \(\sim 65%\) of the theoretical value. A pore size between \(\sim 1\) and \(\sim 3\) nm was measured and associated to micro- and mesoporosity in the materials. The Zr\(^{4+}\) species coordinated in an O-Zr-O environment, the C in the organic matrix and the N in the amino and protonated amino group were observed by XPS analysis. Weak and medium Lewis and Brønsted acidity sites were identified by FTIR of adsorbed CO. The acid site is related to the crystalline structure defects, leading to increased availability of the Zr\(^{4+}\) inside the pore and on the surface. Regarding the kinetic model of the esterification reaction, it can be said that an adjustment was achieved with pseudo first order kinetics, with an \(R^2\) of 0.98 and the value of the reaction constant \(k\) was 7,627 \(\times 10^{-4}\) min\(^{-1}\). Autoclave reactor catalytic results showed better TON values than the batch system for all materials. Pressure and temperature promoted the diffusion of molecules inside the pores and access to internal active sites, improving the catalytic performance, a dual interaction between substrates and the Lewis acid contributed by the available Zr\(^{4+}\) together to the amino group, as well inside the pore as on the catalyst surface, promote the esterification reaction. Therefore, autoclave optimal reaction conditions plus a partial solvent replacement on material synthesis appear as a promising alternative for ethyl levulinate production under more economical and eco-friendly processes.
Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/applnano2040025/s1. Additional information about the particle size, the XRD pattern for the used catalyst, the CO-FTIR absorption spectra, the kinetics of the reaction and the adjustments made has been included in the file supplied as Supplementary Materials.
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