Background

Radiology plays a critical role in the management of many acute and chronic diseases – such as pneumonia, tuberculosis and HIV – in low-resource settings. However, in these settings, there is limited access to radiological services of acceptable quality, with massive inequalities in service delivery between the public and private sector [1–2].

The standard X-ray technology based on X-ray tube and screen-film is the most commonly used method to acquire X-rays in low resource settings [1]. Nevertheless, in these settings, the quality of screen-film X-ray images is undermined by a variety of factors: a) lack of adequate and equipment and materials (e.g. films, additives) which are costly and difficult to maintain; b) intrinsic nature of the screen-film technology, which requires specialized staff with knowledge and expertise in film developing and processing; c) unavailability of post-processing functions to improve image quality after film development [1–2]. Moreover, screen-film images are not designed to exist as files. Screen-film X-ray can’t be directly saved, reproduced and circulated for the purposes of patient follow up, nor for external consultation and training. This is particularly relevant in settings characterized by high workload and shortage of human resources [1–3]. All these factors may contribute to sub-standard quality in X-ray interpretation, and ultimately result in inadequate case-management, higher patient morbidity and mortality [1–3].

Digital technology may be a future solution for many low-resource countries, eliminating the need for film development and processing, being more simple to use, and enabling instant reporting via teleradiology (Table 1) [1–2]. However, so far digital radiology has been considered too expensive or too sophisticated to use, and its implementation in low resource settings is still very limited, mostly confined to the private sector or to pilot projects [1–3].

The Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo has been supporting the Hospital Divina Providencia (HDP) in Angola since 2001, with a focus on paediatric care. The HDP serves a population of about 1 million, in the suburbs of Luanda. Despite recent economic growth following the end of the civil war, Angola still suffers from very high child mortality (mortality rate for children under 5 years is 160/1000), and a
widespread lack of essential services [4–5]. Only 30% of people in the country have adequate access to healthcare [4–5], there is little equipment and too few trained personnel [4]. Total number of doctors in Angola as estimated in 2011 is about 1 doctor for every 10,000 people (1,659 physicians in total) [5]. There is a lack of specialized radiologists, and even fewer doctors are specialized in paediatric radiology.

Before the start of this project in 2010, the HDP provided radiology services though lacking an in-hospital radiologist. It was up to the doctors in charge of patients to interpret the X-rays, based on their individual experience. No system for external consultation, using teleradiology or other methods, was in place.

Since November 2010 external support has been given to HDP from IRCCS Burlo to improve the radiological services. The objectives of this study were to explore: 1) the feasibility of implementing a digital X-ray device in a second-level hospital in Angola; 2) the quality of digital X-ray images, when this device was in the hands of local technicians; 3) the feasibility of a pilot system for remote consultation (teleradiology) based on digital X-rays and its potential impact on case management.

### Methods

#### Ethics statement

The Hospital Divina Providencia (HDP) Project Review Board gave the consent to the implementation of the system for acquisition of digital images, and this was implemented from November 2010 as a new standard of care. All X-rays were obtained as part of routine health care, purely for diagnostic purposes based on child complaints. All the children and their mothers gave oral consent to the radiology examinations. The HDP Project Review Board did not required a written consent. The HDP Project Review Board approved the use of oral consent,

---

**Table 1.** Advantages of digital X-ray.

| GENERAL ADVANTAGES OF DIGITAL X-RAY                           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Better image quality                                        |
| Lower cost                                                  |
| Timeliness                                                  |
| Increased dynamic range                                     |
| No film-developing                                          |
| No need for water or ventilation for film developing        |
| No hardcopy storage disadvantages                            |
| Real-time transferability over long distances               |
| Remote consultation and training                            |
| Availability of post-processing functions                   |

| ADDITIONAL ADVANTAGES OF POC 130 OR SYMILAR DIGITAL SYSTEMS |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| User-friendly                                              |
| Compact size                                               |
| Robustness                                                 |
| High temperature and humidity resilience                   |
| Self-diagnosis of internal problems                        |

---

Figure 1. The system for acquisition of digital images (POC 130). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073939.g001

Figure 2. A technician during the training. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073939.g002
based on the following considerations: a) the implementation of the digital system does not modify the quantity of X-rays received by the patients, nor does it present any additional health risk for the patient compared to standard radiology; b) radiology examination was performed as part of routine care. Oral consent was documented in the patients' charts. The images were sent from HDF to IRCCS Burlo anonymously, using only patient initials and examination date.

**Equipment**

The equipment consisted of: i) a computerized radiography system, that “reads” the X-ray through photosensitive screens and translates them into digital images (i.e. DICOM files, the standard format for digital images); ii) an adequate number of photosensitive phosphor screens.

Among the systems for computed radiography available on the market, POC 130 (Carestream®) was chosen for its simplicity, robustness (it can be parachuted into war-zones), low maintenance needs, and cost (26,660 US$) ([Figure 1](#)). POC 130 is of manageable dimensions (100×50×80 cm), and weight (45 kg). It can work efficiently at a wide range of temperatures (10–40°C) and humidity (90% at 35°C) and can withstand environmental stress such as wind and sand. Compared to standard radiology, it requires neither water nor ventilation to print images. It can self-diagnose and address internal technical problems and it conforms to the International safety and effectiveness technical standards for electrical medical equipment (EN 60601-1, 60825-1, 60601-1-2).

In order to operate, the system needs a basic computer (Pentium 4, 2.8 GHz minimum, 1 GB RAM, 80 GB Hard-disk, Microsoft Windows XP operating system) to install the software, which is...
very simple and user-friendly (Figure 2). A 20-inches HP S2031a, with a resolution of 1600×900 dpi was used as monitor.

Image visualization time is shorter than with traditional X-ray (i.e. 60 seconds, compared to 2 minutes), and the maximum throughput reaches 41 images per hour. Images have a high resolution (12 bits/pixel) and can be printed on white paper.

The hospital already had a flat-rate ADSL internet connection. A secured VPN (Virtual Private Network) was set up between the servers of HDP and IRCCS Burlo, to ensure safe data transfer in line with European privacy regulations. The internet line has a speed of 512/256 Kbps and the transmission of a file of about 10 MB usually takes about 2–5 minutes.

The technology was implemented locally by a team composed of an external radiologist (FZ), a radiology technician (PP), and local IT engineer (DG). In Italy an IT technician established the VPN (AC).

Training

A training course for local staff was organised, with teaching materials in the local language (Figure 3). The training included on-site practical sessions.

The total time needed to set up the system and train all the local staff (four radiology technicians) at HDP was ten days.

### Table 2. Quality of X-Rays.

|          | VERY GOOD | GOOD  | SUFFICIENT | POOR |
|----------|-----------|-------|------------|------|
| SCREEN FILM X-RAYS | 0         | 15    | 43         | 2    |
| DIGITAL X-RAYS     | 79        | 21    | 0          | 0    |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073939.t002

In addition, during the first two months of the study, feedback on the quality of the X-ray images was provided by the external radiologist, and advice was given on how to fully exploit the potential of the digital system, in particular regarding post-processing functions. An example of how the quality of digital X-ray could be enhanced by local technicians by post-processing is provided in Figure 4. An example of tutorial for technicians is provided in Figure 3.

Remote consultation

For remote consultation, priority was given to the children cases. All paediatric X-rays judged as “complex” by local paediatricians were sent for external consultation. The staff involved included two out of the five embedded paediatricians working at HDP (ML and MS, routinely in charge of the paediatric ward) and one senior paediatric radiologist at IRCCS Burlo (FZ).

A digital database containing all the images received through teleradiology and child characteristics was prospectively filled in, using FileMaker Bento 4.1.2. A teleradiology report was returned by the radiologist from Burlo to the paediatricians at HDP, free of charge, by e-mail. The local paediatricians, after receiving the external consultation, decided how to manage the cases. Paediatric management was in line with WHO guidelines.

Analysis

To evaluate local feasibility of digital radiology we looked at: a) any difficulty in implementing the new technology; b) the need for on-site training after the first round of training; c) any reported technical difficulties in maintaining the technology, over two years; d) the volume of work, to establish if there was any drop in volumes of X-ray. Volume of work was calculated by comparing the number of screen film X-rays performed in the three years before the implementation of the digital X-ray system, to the number of digital X-rays performed in the two years following the project implementation.

The quality of X-rays was assessed by two external radiologists working at IRCCS BURLO, not otherwise involved in the project. For obvious ethical reasons it was not acceptable to acquire two X-rays from each child and compare images directly, therefore we judged quality on a random sample of 100 screen-film X-ray images acquired before the implementation of digital radiology, versus a random sample of 100 digital X-rays. X-rays were evaluated on a monitor officially certified for radiologic interpretation, with an image resolution of 2 MB. X-rays were scored by the two radiologists with a score ranging from 0 to 10, which represented four categories of image quality: very good (score 9–10); good (score 7–8); sufficient (score 5–6) and poor (score 0 to 4).

The final score was calculated as the mean between the two evaluations from the two assessors. The inter-observers agreement was analysed with kappa statistics (k), where a value of $k > 0.6$–0.8 represents substantial agreement.

We used means and ranges for numerical variables, frequencies and proportions for categorical variables. Unpaired continuous variables were compared using the two sided t-test. Categorical variables were compared using the two sided chi-square.

We carried out a descriptive analysis of data regarding children at HDP whose digital X-ray images were sent through teleradiology. The contribution of teleradiology to the final diagnosis and case management was defined by three categories: a) “no contribution”, when the interpretation of the X-ray between the two teams was completely or nearly completely consistent; b) “major contribution”, when the two interpretations were com-
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1. Feasibility

From November 2010 to December 2012 a total of 20,564 X-rays were taken at HDP by local staff, using the digital system, without technical problems or need for on-site supervision or retraining. Digital x-ray completely substituted traditional X-ray, both for adults and children. The number of X-rays remained stable through the evaluation period: an average of 10,282±1,397 X-rays/year were made after the implementation of the digital X-ray system, compared to 10,270±2,227 X-rays/year before the digital system was introduced (p = 0.99).

2. X-ray quality

There was a significant improvement in quality of X-ray images with the implementation of digital X-rays (Table 2). Screen-film X-rays were rated as of poor quality in 42/100 (42%) of cases, of sufficient quality in 43/100 (43%), and of good quality only in 15/100 (15%). Conversely, digital X-rays were rated as of very good quality in 79/100 (79%, p<0.0001), and as of good quality in 21%. Digital X-rays differed from screen-film X-rays in that they were never rated as sufficient or poor quality (p<0.001). K statistics ranged from 0.67 to 0.84. An example of visual comparison between screen-film and digital X-rays is shown in Figure 6.

3. Teleradiology

Out of 1671 total paediatric X-rays assessed by the two paediatricians involved in the piloting of teleradiology, 127 (7.6%) cases were sent for external consultation. Of these, the vast majority (93.7%) were chest X-rays. Time needed for external consultation never exceeded 24 hours, and ranged from a few minutes to a maximum of 24 hours. A major contribution to clinical management came from external consultation via teleradiology in 49 (38.6%) children, while a partial contribution was given in 58 (45.7%) children (Table 3).

Discussion

Our study has some limitations. It is mainly a feasibility study, and it did not aim at evaluating either the impact of digital radiology on health outcomes, or its cost-effectiveness. The project’s primary objective was to document the feasibility of implementing a device for digital X-ray in a low resources setting, and to evaluate its impact on the quality of the X-ray images. After having tested the feasibility of implementing a new technology, the evaluation of its impact on health outcomes, and, if relevant, its cost-effectiveness, may follow as a subsequent evaluation.

This experience proved that the implementation of a low-cost digital X-ray device similar to what we have used in this project is feasible in low resource settings, and that such a device in the hands of local technicians significantly improved the quality of X-ray images.

This project presents three main innovative features. First, the project aimed at implementing a “real” digital system, which made digital images directly available for routine day care. The majority of other experiences [6–12], even the very recent ones, used screen-film images converted into digital files by using digital cameras, scanners, or specialized digitizer. Such systems are feasible, but require extra work for converting the X-ray films into a digital file [13]. Moreover, any “digital conversion” system has limited space to improve the image quality if the primary X-ray is not of sufficiently good quality [7–9].

This project showed that, following the implementation of digital radiology, only images of good or “very good” quality were produced by local technicians. Technicians realised that the quality of their work had increased, which made them proud and brought them closer to the new technology. The new technology was simple, easy to manage and quick. There are several supposed advantages of digital radiology (Table 1), however we believe that these characteristics (i.e. capacity to increase the quality of work, simplicity, user-friendliness, timeliness) are probably the key to a successful uptake of any new technology.

Secondly, modern low-cost devices for digital radiology haven’t been tested in Sub-Saharan Africa [1–14]. Thanks to modern technology, devices for digital radiology are now much more affordable that what is commonly believed [1–14]. In our project the cost of purchasing the equipment was 26,660 US$. This initial investment was paid back in two years by eliminating the need for radiographic films and reagents, which are extremely costly. In the middle and long term the implementation of digital radiology may even produce a net cost saving compared to maintenance cost of traditional screen-film radiology (films, developer, fixer), and this is not a minor consideration in low resources settings. A formal economic evaluation would be needed to fully assess the economic implications of introducing such a device in a resource-limited setting.

Lastly, to our knowledge there are no previous published studies reporting on teleradiology using directly acquired digital images (DICOM files), in Sub-Saharan African countries. We performed a search in Pubmed, but we were only able to identify studies that

Table 3. Characteristics of children studied with teleradiology.

| VARIABLE OF INTEREST | N = 127 |
|----------------------|---------|
| Age                  | Years   |
|                      | Mean (± SD) | 2.9 (3.2) |
| Sex                  | Female  |
|                      | N (%)    | 58 (45.6) |
|                      | Male     | 69 (54.3) |
| X-Ray type           | Chest X-ray | N (%) | 119 (93.7) |
|                      | Skeletal | N (%) | 8 (6.3) |
| Contribution of teleradiology to case management | No contribution | N (%) | 20 (15.7) |
|                      | Minor contribution | N (%) | 58 (45.7) |
|                      | Major contribution | N (%) | 49 (38.6) |

For more information, please refer to the source.
used traditional screen film radiology converted into digital files [1,7,9,10,11,14,15]. Digital radiology and tele-radiology is still at the very early stage in Sub-Saharan Africa [1,15]. Compared to traditional screen film radiology, digital radiology requires no additional time and efforts to convert the images in digital files, and in this sense it largely facilitates image exchange and remote consultation.

In our project teleradiology was used in selected children (7.6%), although in these cases it was an important instrument to provide external support on diagnosis and case management. The percentage of cases sent for external consultation in our project was comparable to what reported by other telemedicine projects in Africa [16], but higher than in similar experience in India [17]. In each local context, the number of cases sent for external consultation may be affected by several factors, including case mix, local capacities of the staff involved, the efficiency of the system, and the fact itself that a new “service” is introduced.

As documented by other wider experiences [16–17] and by a recent systematic review [18], ambitious telemedicine projects are not easy to sustain in the long term, as they may be challenged by different factors: (1) legal factors; (2) sustainability factors; (3) cultural factors; and (4) contextual factors. Based both on the background difficulties in implementing ambitious telemedicine service and on the results of this pilot study, we think that, in the setting were this study was performed, the primary role of teleradiology should be facilitating training and capacity building, rather than providing an external opinion on a large number of cases. In order to strengthen knowledge in X-ray interpretation, existing manuals, such as the WHO Manual of Diagnostic Imaging, Paediatric Examination [19], and the WHO manual of diagnostic imaging, radiographic anatomy and interpretation of the chest and the pulmonary system [20], may be used in the future as reference training materials.

Conclusions

The implementation of a low-cost digital X-ray device is feasible in low resource settings, and this can significantly improve the quality of local X-ray images.
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