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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

An explicit form for the lagrangian of an arbitrary half-integer superspin \( Y = s + 1/2 \) supermultiplet is obtained in 4D, \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) superspace. This is accomplished by the introduction of a tower of pairs of auxiliary superfields of increasing rank which are required to vanish on-shell for free theories. In the massless limit almost all auxiliary superfields decouple except one, which plays the role of compensator as required by the emergent gauge redundancy of the Lagrangian description of the massless theory. The number of off-shell degrees of freedom carried by the theory is \( \frac{8}{3}(s+1)(4s^2+11s+3) \). For \( s = 1 \) our results are in agreement with those obtained in [1].
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1 Introduction

Since the discovery of supersymmetry in the late 1960’s and early 1970’s\(^2\) there has been tremendous progress in the development of supersymmetric theories which undoubtedly influenced a big part of theoretical physics. For 4D higher spin theories, early developments led to the supersymmetric extension of free, massless, irreducible representations with on-shell supersymmetry and their Lagrangian description [4, 5], whereas the off-shell, superspace description of such representations was found later in [6–8] and [9–11]. Furthermore, various consistent interactions among massless higher spin supermultiplets have been discovered recently [12–22].

However, after five decades of intensive investigations, the seemingly simple question of finding the superspace action principle for free, massive, arbitrary superspin, irreducible representation of 4D, \(\mathcal{N} = 1\) super-Poincaré group still remains unanswered. This is a very important and necessary first step in order to even consider exploring manifestly supersymmetric interactions among massive arbitrary spin supermultiplets. The non-supersymmetric Lagrangian description of massive irreducible representations of the Poincaré group was found in [23, 24] almost forty years after the theory of higher spins was first undertaken by Dirac [25]. It was demonstrated that massive higher spins carry a very interesting and rich off-shell structure, because they require a (double) tower of auxiliary bosonic (fermionic) fields of increasing rank.

For the case of supersymmetry such a description and understanding of the off-shell structure of arbitrary higher spin supermultiplet is lacking, nevertheless there has been some progress towards that direction. In [26–30] a Lagrangian description of on-shell massive higher spin supermultiplets was found. This description follows the viewpoint of perceiving the on-shell massive spin degrees of freedom as a collection of on-shell massless helicities\(^3\) and thus, by stitching together a tower of on-shell, massless supermultiplets with increasing spin, one can find a description for the on-shell massive higher spin supermultiplet. However, because the supermultiplets considered only have on-shell supersymmetry, this approach provides no clues regarding the additional auxiliary structures a manifestly supersymmetry description may require. Manifestly supersymmetric descriptions of massive irreducible representations do exist [31–33, 1] but unfortunately not for higher spin supermultiplets.

Nevertheless, the theory constructed in [1] corresponds to the massive extension of one of the formulations of linearized supergravity which can be extended to arbitrary half-integer superspin theories. In this paper we construct the superspace Lagrangian for a 4D, \(\mathcal{N} = 1\) super-Poincaré irreducible representation of mass \(m\) and arbitrary superspin \(\gamma = s + 1/2\). We find that in addition to the real bosonic superfield \(H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}\) which carries the propagating, on-shell degrees of freedom of this representation, the superspace Lagrangian description requires a tower of pairs of auxiliary, fermionic superfields \(\chi_{\alpha(q)\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}\), \(u_{\alpha(q)\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}\) with \(1 \leq q \leq s\). This is in agreement with the results found in [1] for the special case of \(s = 1\) and also is consistent with the structures required by the non-supersymmetric results [23, 24]. The explicit form of the Lagrangian is found by demanding that all these auxiliary superfields vanish on-shell, in the free theory limit and the appropriate constraints

---

\(^2\)The dramatic story of the discovery of supersymmetry and its early years can be found in [2, 3].

\(^3\)The \(2s + 1\) on-shell degrees of freedom of a massive spin \(j = s\) can be viewed as a collection of the \(−j, +j\) on-shell helicities for massless spins \(j = 0, 1, \ldots, s\) if \(s\) is integer or \(j = 1/2, 3/2, \ldots, s\) if \(s\) is half-integer. Moreover, if one trust this analogy to hold off-shell, then one will precisely discover the (double) tower of auxiliary symmetric (spinor) tensor fields appearing in the off-shell Lagrangian description of [23, 24].
on $H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}$ are generated in order to describe the irreducible representation $Y = s + 1/2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section (2) we review basic features of irreducible representations of the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-Poincaré group. In section (3) we construct the superspace action that provides the off-shell description of these irreducible representations for the arbitrary half-integer superspin supermultiplet. In section (4) we illustrate the richness of the off-shell structure, by counting the number of off-shell degrees of freedom of this theory.

2 Massive and massless irreducible representations of 4D super-Poincaré

Often in physics we consider some symmetry (super) group and then ask about its (irreducible) representations. For the majority of the cases, the answer about their existence and their classification almost certainly already exists somewhere in mathematical literature. However, for many applications in physics this is not enough, because we do not just blindly look for any representations but for locally realizable representations that can have a (super) field theoretic description. This tension between knowing the representation and realize it in a field theoretic framework is responsible for the richness of many theories.

In this case we consider the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-Poincaré group but in order to have a finite number of propagating degrees of freedom we focus on its stabilizer, the super-Little group\(^4\). The diagonalization of its two Casimir operators and its Cartan subalgebra determines (i) the type of superfield (number of indices and their symmetries) which realizes the representation and (ii) the type of constraints it must satisfy in order to be irreducible. For a massive, half-integer superspin ($Y = s + 1/2$) representation one must consider a real bosonic superfield $H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}$, with $s$ dotted and undotted indices which are independently symmetrized and satisfies the following conditions

\[
\Box H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)} = m^2 H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)} , \quad D^\alpha_s H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)} = 0 .
\] (1)

These constraints kill most of the components of superfield $H_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)}$ but allow the propagation of the physical degrees of freedom of four massive spins, $j = s + 1, j = s + 1/2, j = s + 1/2, j = s$. Describing an irreducible representation this way is an on-shell statement, since it refers to the physical degrees of freedom. A natural question to ask is what is the off-shell description of this representation. This can be given in terms of an action which will generate equations of motion that give raise to the desired constraints. In this paper, we will answer this question for the case of an arbitrary half-integer superspin supermultiplet described by (1). We will show that the answer includes a hierarchy of pairs of auxiliary, fermionic, superfields $\chi_{\alpha(q)\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}$, $v_{\alpha(q)\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}$ for $q = 1, 2, \ldots, s$.

For a massless, half-integer superspin supermultiplet, the on-shell propagating degrees of freedom are described by the superfield strength $W_{\alpha(2s+1)}$. This is a chiral, fermionic superfield with $2s + 1$ symmetrized undotted indices which must satisfy the constraints

\[
D^\alpha_{2s+1} W_{\alpha(2s+1)} = 0, \quad \bar{D}_\dot{\beta} W_{\alpha(2s+1)} = 0 .
\] (2)

This is analogous to the description of the massless spin one representation by the field strength $F_{mn}$.

---

\(^4\)For a detailed review see [34–38, 9, 39].
It is the field strength that carries the physical degrees of freedom, since if we do an experiment we will measure the electric and magnetic fields. \( F_{mn} \) must satisfy on-shell the constraints 
\[
\partial^m F_{mn} = 0, \quad \partial_{[k} F_{mn]} = 0.
\]
One of them we call the dynamical equations of motion and the other we call the Bianchi identity. In order to construct the off-shell, Lagrangian description of the theory we solve the Bianchi identity in terms of a gauge vector field. The fact that the off-shell description of the theory is given in terms of the gauge vector field, allows for a smooth transition between the Lagrangian description of a massive spin one (Proca action) and the Lagrangian description of a massless spin one (Maxwell’s theory) by taking the massless limit of the Proca action. The discontinuity on the on-shell degrees of freedom is recovered from the emergence of the redundancy of the vector field in the massless limit. This approach can be applied to the case of massless irreducible representations of the super-Poincaré group (see [9]).

Briefly, the second constraint in (2) can be solved by expressing superfield strength \( W_{\alpha(2s+1)} \) in terms of a real prepotential superfield

\[
W_{\alpha(2s+1)} \propto \bar{D}^2 D_{(\alpha_2 s + 1)} \partial_{(\alpha_2 s)} \ldots \partial_{(\alpha_{s+1})} H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) .
\]

The prepotential \( H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) \), is now a gauge superfield because it acquires a redundancy, which leaves the superfield strength invariant

\[
\delta H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{s!} D_{(\alpha_s L_{(s-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(s) - \frac{1}{s!} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_s L_{(s-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(s) .
\]

Using the above redundancy as a guiding principle, one can find the superspace Lagrangian description for this supermultiplet. The result is that there are two different off-shell formulations of the same theory based on (4) [6, 9, 11]. Both of them require the presence of an additional, unconstrained, compensating superfield. The one relevant for our discussion is the non-minimal description given by the following action principle

\[
S_{Y=s+1/2} = \int d^8 z \quad H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) D^7 \bar{D}^2 D_{(\alpha_2 s + 1)} H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s)
\]

\[
- 2 H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) \bar{D}_{(\alpha_s L_{(s-1)})} H_{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} + \text{c.c.}
\]

\[
- \frac{s+1}{s} \chi_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) D^2 \chi_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) + \text{c.c.}
\]

\[
+ 2 \chi_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) D_{(\alpha_s \bar{D}^\alpha s \chi_{\alpha(s-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(s)
\]

where the unconstrained compensator has the redundancy

\[
\delta \chi_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) = \bar{D}^2 L_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) + D^{\alpha_{s+1}} \Lambda_{\alpha(s+1)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) .
\]

The equations of motion of the above action will generate the desired condition (2) and thus allow only the propagation of the helicities of spins \( j = s + 1 \) and \( j = s + 1/2 \).

\(^5\)We follow the conventions of Superspace [35].
3 Arbitrary half integer superspin supermultiplet action

The massive extension of $S_{(\gamma=s+1/2)}$, denoted as $S_{(m, \gamma=s+1/2)}$, must be such that

$$\lim_{m \to 0} \left[ S_{(m, \gamma=s+1/2)} \right] = S_{(\gamma=s+1/2)} + S_{(\text{decoupled sector})},$$

$$\delta S_{(m, \gamma=s+1/2)} = 0 \Rightarrow \Box H_\alpha \dot{\alpha}(s) = m^2 H_\alpha \dot{\alpha}(s), \quad D_\alpha^s H_\alpha \dot{\alpha}(s) = 0.$$  

For simple cases, like the vector supermultiplet ($s=0$), the $S_{(\text{decoupled sector})}$ is trivially zero. However, in general we can allow such term. As an example, consider the theory of linearized massive supergravity developed in [1]. It requires the presence of an additional auxiliary superfield $u_\alpha$ which in the massless limit decoupled from the theory. Similar behavior is demonstrated in [23,24] were the supergravity developed in [1]. It requires the presence of an additional auxiliary superfield $u_\alpha$ in general we can allow such term. As an example, consider the theory of linearized massive supergravity developed in [1].

Motivated from the results in [23,24] and [1], we propose the following coupling scheme:

Massless theory $S_{(\gamma=s+1/2)}$

$$H_{\alpha}(s) \dot{\alpha}(s) \quad \chi_{\alpha}(s) \dot{\alpha}(s-1)$$

$$\sim m^2 \quad \sim m$$

Starting from the massless action $S_{(\gamma=s+1/2)}$ we introduce a double tower of auxiliary superfields $\chi_{\alpha \gamma}(q \gamma(q-1))$, $u_{\alpha \gamma}(q \gamma(q-1))$ equipped with two types of interactions. The $q$-th level superfields $\chi_{(q)}$ and $u_{(q)}$ interact via a mass term (vertical dashed lines). This type of interaction dissolves at the massless limit. The second type of interaction is between the $q$-th level superfield $u_{(q)}$ and the $(q-1)$-th level superfield (solid diagonal lines) and is persistant, in the sense that it survives the massless limit. Furthermore, in compliance with the preliminary results of [1], superfield $H_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s)}$ has its own mass term as expected, but the auxiliary superfields $\chi_{(q)}$, $u_{(q)}$ do not have their own mass term. The consistency of this scheme with the massless limit is automatic, because the first vertical dashed line will break, decoupling the entire $\chi$, $u$ sector, except $\chi^{(s)}$ and thus recovers the correct massless action $S_{(\gamma=s+1/2)}$. Also it is easy to check the consistency with the $s=1$ results of [1].

In that case there is only one level $(q = 1)$ and thus, only the mass interaction between $\chi_\alpha$ and $u_\alpha$ participates, exactly as found. The most general action that reflects this coupling scheme is

$$S_{(m, \gamma=s+1/2)} = \int d^8 z \left\{ H_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s)} D_{\gamma} D_{\gamma} H_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s)} + m^2 H_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s)} H_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s)} - 2 H_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s)} D_{\dot{\alpha}} D^2 \chi_{\alpha(s) \dot{\alpha}(s-1)} + c.c. \right\}$$
The coefficient of the mass interaction between $\chi^{(q)}$ and $u^{(q)}$ can be set to one by adjusting the normalization of $u^{(q)}$. Similarly, one of the two $b^{(q+1)}$ coefficients can also be set to one by adjusting the normalization of $\chi^{(q)}$. The equations of motion generated by the above action are

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s)}^{(H)} = 2D^7\tilde{D}^7H_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s)} + 2m^2H_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s)} - \frac{2}{s!}\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}}D^2\chi_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)} + \frac{2}{s!}D_{\alpha(s)}\tilde{D}^2\chi_{\alpha(s-1)\tilde{\alpha}(s)} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(x,s)} = -2D^7\tilde{D}^7H_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s)} - 2\frac{s+1}{s}D^2\chi_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)} + \frac{2}{s!}D_{\alpha(s)}\tilde{D}^2\chi_{\alpha(s-1)\tilde{\alpha}(s)} + m\,u_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)} \tag{11}
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(u,s)} = 2c_1^{(s)}D^2u_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)} + 2c_2^{(s)}D^2u_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)} + \frac{c_3^{(s)}}{s!}\tilde{D}^2D_{\alpha(s)}\tilde{u}_{\alpha(s-1)\tilde{\alpha}(s)} + m\,\chi_{\alpha(s)\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)} + \frac{b_1^{(s)}}{s!(s-1)!}\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}(s-1)}D_{\alpha(s)}\chi_{\alpha(s-1)\tilde{\alpha}(s-2)} \tag{12}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha(q)\tilde{\alpha}(q-1)}^{(x,q)} = -b_1^{(q+1)}D^q\alpha u_{\alpha(q+1)\tilde{\alpha}(q)} - b_2^{(q+1)}\tilde{D}^q\alpha u_{\alpha(q+1)\tilde{\alpha}(q)} \tag{13}
\]
\[ +2d_1^{(q)} \bar{D}^2 \chi_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + 2c_2^{(q)} D^2 \chi_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + \frac{d_3^{(q)}}{q!} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} D_{(\alpha q, \dot{\alpha} \chi_{(q-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(q) + \frac{d_4^{(q)}}{q!} D_{(\alpha q, \dot{\alpha} \chi_{(q-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(q) + m u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) \]

\[ + \frac{c_4^{(q)}}{q!} D_{(\alpha q)} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} \bar{u}_{\alpha(q-1)} \dot{\alpha}(q) + m \chi_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + \frac{b_1^{(q)}}{q!(q-1)!} \bar{D}_{(\alpha q, \dot{\alpha} \chi_{(q-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(q-2)) + \frac{b_2^{(q)}}{q!(q-1)!} D_{(\alpha q)} \bar{D}_{(\alpha q-1) \chi_{(q-1)}} \dot{\alpha}(q-2)) \]

\[ E^{(u,q)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} = 2c_1^{(q)} \bar{D}^2 u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + 2c_2^{(q)} D^2 u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + \frac{c_3^{(q)}}{q!} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} D_{(\alpha q, \dot{\alpha} u_{\alpha(q-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(q) \]

\[ + \frac{c_4^{(q)}}{q!} D_{(\alpha q)} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} \bar{u}_{\alpha(q-1)} \dot{\alpha}(q) + m \chi_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + \frac{b_1^{(q)}}{q!(q-1)!} \bar{D}_{(\alpha q, \dot{\alpha} \chi_{(q-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(q-2)) + \frac{b_2^{(q)}}{q!(q-1)!} D_{(\alpha q)} \bar{D}_{(\alpha q-1) \chi_{(q-1)}} \dot{\alpha}(q-2)) \]

for \( q = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1 \). Our strategy is to use these equations of motion with an appropriate choice of coefficients in order to show that on-shell all auxiliary superfields vanish and we dynamically generate constraints (1):

\[ u_{\alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow \chi_{\alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow \ldots \Rightarrow u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) = 0 \Rightarrow \chi_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) = 0 \Rightarrow \ldots \]

\[ \Rightarrow u_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) = 0 \Rightarrow \chi_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s-1) = 0 \Rightarrow D^{\alpha s} H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) = 0 , \quad \square H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) = m^2 H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) . \]

We will do that in steps and recursively. For example, if we assume that \( u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0 \) for \( r = 1, 2, \ldots, s \) then we can easily generate (1)\(^6\). The next step is to assume that \( u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0 \) for \( r = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1 \) and show that there is a choice of \( c^{(s)} \) coefficients such that \( u^{(s)} \), \( \chi^{(s)} \) both vanish on-shell. We will show that this approach can be iterated until we reach the bottom of the sequence (15) and in the process we determine all coefficients. In every iteration we assume that all auxiliary superfields up to some level can be set to zero and then prove that there is an appropriate choice of parameters that will make the next level auxiliary superfields vanish too.

A tool that will be used often in this method is the following. Notice that \( \chi^{(q)} \) superfield appears algebraically in \( E^{(u,q)} \). Hence, we can use this to eliminate all \( \chi^{(q)} \) dependence in \( E^{(u,q)} \), for all values of \( q \). One way of doing that is to define the following quantity:

\[ T^{(q)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} = m E^{(\chi,q)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} - 2d_1^{(q)} \bar{D}^2 E^{(u,q)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} - 2d_2^{(q)} D^2 E^{(u,q)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} \]

\[ - \frac{d_3^{(q)}}{q!} D^{\dot{\alpha} q} D_{(\alpha q, \dot{\alpha} E^{(u,q)}_{\alpha(q-1)})} \dot{\alpha}(q) - \frac{d_4^{(q)}}{q!} D_{(\alpha q)} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} E^{(u,q)}_{\alpha(q-1)} \dot{\alpha}(q) . \]

plugging equations (13) and (14) we find that

\[ T^{(q)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} = -m b_1^{(q+1)} D^{\alpha q+1} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} u_{\alpha(q+1)} \dot{\alpha}(q) - m b_2^{(q+1)} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} q} D^{\alpha q+1} u_{\alpha(q+1)} \dot{\alpha}(q) \]

\[ + m^2 u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d_3^{(q)} c_3 \\ \end{array} \right\} D^7 \bar{D}^2 D_{\gamma} u_{\alpha(q-1)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) \]

\[ + \left\{ -4d_4^{(q)} c_2 - d_3^{(q)} c_4 \right\} \bar{D}^2 D^2 u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) + \left\{ -4d_4^{(q)} c_1 - d_4^{(q)} c_3 \right\} \bar{D}^2 D^2 u_{\alpha(q)} \dot{\alpha}(q-1) \]

\(^6\)If \( u^{(s)} = \chi^{(s)} = 0 \) we get via (11) that on-shell \( D^2 \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} s} H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) = 0 \). This will lead to \( D^{\alpha s} H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) = 0 \) based on \( D^{\alpha q} E^{(H)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} = 0 \) and \( E^{(H)}_{\alpha(q) \dot{\alpha}(q-1)} = 0 \) will give \( \square H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) = m^2 H_{\alpha(s)} \dot{\alpha}(s) \).
\[
+ \left\{ -2d_{1}^{(q)}c_{4}^{(q)} - 2d_{3}^{(q)}c_{2}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!} \bar{D}^{2} D_{(\alpha_{q}} D^{\beta_{q}} u_{\alpha(q-1)\dot{\alpha}(q)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -2d_{2}^{(q)}c_{3}^{(q)} - 2d_{4}^{(q)}c_{1}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!} D^{2} \bar{D}^{\alpha_{q}} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{u}_{\alpha(q-1)\dot{\alpha}(q)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ d_{3}^{(q)}c_{4}^{(q)} - d_{4}^{(q)} \left( \frac{q + 1}{q} c_{4}^{(q)} - c_{3}^{(q)} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{q!} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}^{2} D^{\beta} u_{\beta\alpha(q-1)\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \frac{q - 1}{q} d_{3}^{(q)}c_{3}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{(q - 1)!} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} D^{2} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} u_{\alpha(q-1)\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}(q-2)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -\frac{q - 1}{q} d_{4}^{(q)}c_{3}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q - 1)!} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} D^{\dot{\beta}} D^{\dot{\beta}} u_{\beta\alpha(q-1)\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}(q-2)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -\frac{q - 1}{q} d_{3}^{(q)}c_{4}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q - 1)!} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} D^{\dot{\beta}} u_{\beta\alpha(q-1)\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}(q-2)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -2d_{1}^{(q)}b_{2}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q - 1)!} \bar{D}^{2} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} \chi_{\alpha(q-1)\dot{\chi}(q-1)\dot{\alpha}(q-2)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -2d_{2}^{(q)}b_{1}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q - 1)!} D^{2} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} D_{(\alpha_{q})\dot{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}(q-1)\dot{\chi}(q-1)\dot{\alpha}(q-2)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -d_{3}^{(q)}b_{2}^{(q)} - d_{4}^{(q)} \left( b_{1}^{(q)} - \frac{q + 1}{q} b_{2}^{(q)} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{q!} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}^{2} D_{\alpha_{q-1}} \bar{\chi}_{\chi(q-2)\dot{\chi}(q-1)\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \frac{q - 1}{q} d_{4}^{(q)}b_{1}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q - 1)!} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} D_{\alpha_{q}} \bar{\chi}_{q-1)\dot{\chi}(q-2)\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ \frac{q - 1}{q} d_{3}^{(q)}b_{2}^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q - 1)!} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}_{q-1}} D_{(\alpha_{q}} \bar{D}^{2} D_{\alpha_{q-1}} \bar{\chi}_{(q-2)\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}(q-1)}
\]

This expression holds for all values of \( q = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1 \). However, the validity of \( (16) \) can be expanded to include the \( q = s \) case as well. In that case, expression \( (17) \) remains valid if we assign to the \( d^{(s)} \) constants the corresponding values coming from the massless theory \( (5) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_{1}^{(s)} &= 0 , & d_{2}^{(s)} &= -\frac{s + 1}{s} , & d_{3}^{(s)} &= 0 , & d_{4}^{(s)} &= 2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

and replace the first line with the correct \( H_{a(s)\dot{a}(s)} \) terms

\[
T_{a(s)\dot{a}(s-1)}^{(s)} = -2m \bar{D}^{2} \bar{D}^{\dot{a}s} H_{a(s)\dot{a}(s)}
\]
\[
+ m^{2} u_{a(s)\dot{a}(s-1)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -4d_{1}^{(s)}c_{1}^{(s)} - d_{4}^{(s)}c_{3}^{(s)} \right\} \frac{1}{s!} \bar{D}^{2} D^{2} u_{a(s)\dot{a}(s-1)}
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -2d_{2}^{(s)}c_{3}^{(s)} - 2d_{4}^{(s)}c_{1}^{(s)} \right\} \frac{1}{s!} D^{2} \bar{D}^{\dot{a}s} D_{(a(s-1)\dot{a}(s-1))} \dot{a}(s)
\]
\[
+ \left\{ -d_{4}^{(s)} \left( \frac{s + 1}{s} c_{4}^{(s)} - c_{3}^{(s)} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{s!} D_{(a(s-1))} \bar{D}^{2} D^{\dot{a}s} u_{\beta a(s-1)\dot{a}(s-1)}
\]

\[\text{Note that for } q = 1, \text{ there are no } b_{1}^{(q=1)} \text{ and } b_{2}^{(q=1)} \text{ coupling constants, as can be seen in } (9). \text{ That is because the lowest rank } \chi \text{ superfield is } \chi^{(1)} \text{ and there is no } \chi^{(0)}. \text{ Hence for that case the last five lines of } (17) \mid_{q=1} \text{ drop out. To simplify, things we can keep using the same expression with the convention the } b_{1}^{(q=1)} = b_{2}^{(q=1)} = 0.\]
3.1 Linearized massive supergravity and $s$-th level auxiliary superfields

The term in the first line of (19) can also be generated by $D^2\hat{D}^s \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}^{(H)}$ using the mass term of $H_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}$

$$D^2\hat{D}^s \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}^{(H)} = -2D^2\hat{D}^2\hat{D}^s H_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)} + 2m^2 D^2\hat{D}^s H_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}$$

Unfortunately, we also generate additional, unwanted $H$ and $\chi^{(s)}$ terms. However, notice that all these additional terms can be canceled by $D^2\bar{D}^2 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(\chi^{(s)})}$. If we consider the combination

$$K_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(s)} = D^2\hat{D}^s \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}^{(H)} + f_{1(s)}^1 D^2\bar{D}^2 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(\chi^{(s)})}$$

then obviously the choice $f_{1(s)}^1 = -1$ is the appropriate one because it cancels all the unwanted terms and we are left with terms that are proportional to $m$ and $m^2$. These cancellations are not an accident but a consequence of the gauge invariance of the massless action (5). Because of (4) and (6) the massless theory equations of motion must satisfy the following Jacobi identity

$$D^2\hat{D}^s \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}^{(H,m=0)} = D^2\mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(H,m=0)}$$

hence, the specific combination $K_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(s)} = D^2\hat{D}^s \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)}^{(H)} - D^2\bar{D}^2 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(\chi^{(s)})}$ must include only terms proportional to $m$ and $m^2$ which identically vanish in the massless limit

$$K_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}^{(s) \hat{\alpha}(s-1)} = 2m^2 D^2\hat{D}^s H_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s)} - m D^2\bar{D}^2 u_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}.$$
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Now we can combining (19) with (23) in order to cancel the common $H$-terms

$$\mathcal{J}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} = \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{K}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} + \mathcal{I}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}$$

$$= m^2 u_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} + \begin{cases} -4d_2^{(s)}c_1^{(s)} - d_4^{(s)}c_3^{(s)} - 1 \end{cases} D^2 \bar{D}^2 u_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}$$

$$\begin{cases} -2d_2^{(s)}c_3 - 2d_4^{(s)}c_1 \frac{1}{s!} D^2 \bar{D}^\hat{\alpha} \bar{D}_{(\alpha} \bar{u}_{\alpha(s-1))} \hat{\alpha}(s) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -d_4^{(s)} \left( \frac{s+1}{s} c_4^{(s)} - c_3^{(s)} \right) \frac{1}{s!} D_\alpha D^\beta \bar{D}^\hat{\beta} u_{\beta(\alpha(s-1))} \hat{\beta}(s-2) \right) + \begin{cases} -2d_2^{(s)}b_1^{(s)} \frac{1}{s!(s-1)!} D^2 \bar{D}_{(\alpha s} \bar{D}_{\alpha(s-1)\hat{\alpha}(s-2))} 

\begin{cases} -d_4^{(s)} \left( b_1^{(s)} - \frac{s+1}{s} b_2^{(s)} \right) \frac{1}{s!} D_\alpha D^\beta \bar{D}_{\alpha(s-1) s \chi^\alpha(s-2)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) 

\begin{cases} \frac{s-1}{s} d_4^{(s)}b_1^{(s)} \frac{1}{s!(s-1)!} D_\alpha \bar{D}_{(\alpha s} \bar{D}_{\alpha(s-1)\hat{\alpha}(s-2))} \hat{\alpha}(s-1)) \end{cases}

This gives an equation that depends only on $u^{(s)}$ and $\chi^{(s-1)}$ and includes an algebraic term for $u^{(s)}$. On-shell the left hand side of the equation vanishes, because $\mathcal{J}^{(s)}$ is constructed by equations of motions. Therefore by tuning the various coefficients we can use the algebraic term in order to make $u^{(s)}$ vanish.

For the special case of $s = 1$ (linearized supergravity), the last three lines drop out and the fourth one identically vanish

$$\mathcal{J}^{(1)}_\alpha = m^2 u_\alpha + \begin{cases} -4d_2^{(1)}c_1^{(1)} - d_4^{(1)}c_3^{(1)} - 1 \end{cases} D^2 \bar{D}^2 u_\alpha$$

$$\begin{cases} -2d_2^{(1)}c_3^{(1)} - 2d_4^{(1)}c_1^{(1)} \end{cases} D^2 \bar{D}^\hat{\alpha} \bar{u}_{\hat{\alpha}}$$

$$\begin{cases} -d_4^{(1)} \left( 2 c_4^{(1)} - c_3^{(1)} \right) \end{cases} D_\alpha \bar{D}^\beta \bar{D}^\hat{\beta} u_\beta$$.

Therefore, in order to have $u_\alpha$ vanishing on-shell we must select the coefficients to satisfy

$$\begin{cases} 4d_2^{(1)}c_1^{(1)} + d_4^{(1)}c_3^{(1)} = -1 \\
2d_2^{(1)}c_3^{(1)} + d_4^{(1)}c_1^{(1)} = 0 \\
2c_4^{(1)} - c_3^{(1)} = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow c_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}, c_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6}, c_4^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12}.$$

These are precisely the results found in [1].

For the general case (arbitrary $s$), we assume that all auxiliary superfields of lower levels vanish on-shell $u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$. Then because of $\mathcal{E}^{(\chi(s-1)}}_{\alpha(s-1)\hat{\alpha}(s-2)} = 0$, superfield $u_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}$
will satisfy the following constraint

\[ b_1^{(s)} D^\alpha_s \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_s-1} u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) + b_2^{(s)} \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_s-1} D^\alpha_s u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) = 0 \]  

(27)

which leads to the identification of various terms in (24)

\[ \mathcal{J}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} = \begin{align*}
&= m^2 u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) + \left\{-4d_2^{(s)} c_1^{(s)} - d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} - 1\right\} D^2D^2 u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) \\
&+ \left\{-2d_2^{(s)} c_3 - 2d_4^{(s)} c_1^{(s)}\right\} \frac{1}{s!} D^2D^\alpha_s D_{(\alpha_s\bar{u}_{\alpha(s-1)}\hat{\alpha}(s)} \\
&+ \left\{-d_4^{(s)} \left(\frac{s+1}{s} c_4^{(s)} - c_3^{(s)}\right) - \frac{s-1}{s} d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} b_2^{(s)}/b_1^{(s)}\right\} \frac{1}{s!} D_{(\alpha_s} \bar{D}^{\beta} u_{\beta\alpha(s-1)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) .
\end{align*} \]

(28)

Therefore, in order to be able to make \( u^{(s)} \) vanish on-shell we must choose the coefficients such that

\[ \begin{align*}
4 d_2^{(s)} c_1^{(s)} + d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} &= f_1^{(s)} = -1 \\
d_2^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} + d_4^{(s)} c_1^{(s)} &= 0 \\
d_4^{(s)} \left(\frac{s+1}{s} c_4^{(s)} - c_3^{(s)}\right) + \frac{s-1}{s} d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} \frac{b_2^{(s)}}{b_1^{(s)}} &= 0
\end{align*} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 
 c_1^{(s)} = -\frac{1}{4} \\ 
 c_3^{(s)} = \frac{1}{2} \\ 
 c_4^{(s)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s^3}{(s+1)(2s+1)} - \frac{s-1}{s} \frac{b_2^{(s)}}{b_1^{(s)}}\right)
\end{cases} \]

(29)

Notice that as an input in the above system of equations are the \( d^{(s)} \) and \( f_1^{(s)} \) coefficients fixed by the massless action and Jacobi identity. This will be a repeating pattern that will become explicit in section (3.3). Moreover, \( c_2^{(s)} \) remains arbitrary and irrelevant to \( u^{(s)} = 0 \) which is consistent with the findings of [1], thus we can set it to zero. Also notice that the coefficients \( b_1^{(s)}, b_2^{(s)} \) have not being fixed yet, however they participate in the combination \( b_2^{(s)}/b_1^{(s)} \) which demands \( b_1^{(s)} \) to be non-zero. Hence, using the freedom to normalize \( \chi^{(s-1)} \) accordingly we can set it to one, \( b_1^{(s)} = 1 \). Finally, the vanishing of \( \chi^{(s)} \) on-shell follows automatically from equation \( \mathcal{E}^{(u,s)} = 0 \).

### 3.2 \( \mathcal{Y} = 5/2 \) supermultiplet and \((s-1)\)-th level auxiliary superfields

Now we repeat the process for \((s-1)\)-th level auxiliary superfields. The main goal is to make \( u^{(s-1)} \) vanish on-shell. If it does then, \( \chi^{(s-1)} \) will automatically follow as demonstrated above. We start with (24) and use it to calculate \( D^\alpha_s \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} \mathcal{J}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} \) and \( \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} D^\alpha_s \mathcal{J}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} \) in order to generate the \( D^\alpha_s \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) \), \( \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} D^\alpha_s u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) \) terms that appear in \( I^{(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)\hat{\alpha}(s-2)} \). After some algebra one can find that

\[ D^\alpha_s \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} \mathcal{J}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} + b_2^{(s)} \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} D^\alpha_s \mathcal{J}^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)} = \]

\[ = m^2 D^\alpha_s \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) + m^2 b_2^{(s)} \bar{D}^{\hat{\alpha}_{s-1}} D^\alpha_s u_{\alpha(s)} \hat{\alpha}(s-1) \\
+ \left\{ \frac{2s - 1}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} c_3\right\} D^2 D^\delta D^\beta u_{\beta\alpha(s-1)\hat{\alpha}(s-2)} \\
+ \left(1 - \frac{s+1}{s} b_2^{(s)}\right) \left\{ \frac{s-1}{s} d_4^{(s)} c_3\right\} D^\beta D^2 D^\delta u_{\beta\alpha(s-1)\hat{\alpha}(s-1)}
\]

\[ \text{That is equation (17) for } q = s-1. \]
Once again, besides the desired terms of the first line, we generated many more \( u^{(s)} \) and \( \chi^{(s-1)} \) terms. However, similarly to (20) and (21), all these terms can be absorbed by appropriate use of \( \mathcal{E}^{(s-1)} \) and leave only terms that depend on the mass parameter. A careful examination of the terms in (30) suggests that we have to consider the following

\[
\mathcal{K}_{(s-1)}^{(s-1)} = D^{\alpha \bar{D}^{\alpha + 1} \mathcal{J}_{(s)}^{(s)} + b_{2}^{(s)} D^{\bar{D}^{\alpha + 1} \mathcal{J}_{(s)}^{(s)}} + f_{1}^{(s-1)} D^{2} \mathcal{E}_{(s-1)}^{(s-1)} + f_{2}^{(s-1)} D^{\bar{D}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{(s)}^{(s)}} + f_{3}^{(s-1)} D^{2} \mathcal{E}_{(s)}^{(s)} + f_{4}^{(s-1)} D^{2} D^{\bar{D}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{(s)}^{(s-1)}} + f_{5}^{(s-1)} D^{2} D^{\bar{D}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{(s)}^{(s-1)}}
\]

for appropriate values of \( f_{1}^{(s-1)}, f_{2}^{(s-1)}, f_{3}^{(s-1)}, f_{4}^{(s-1)}, f_{5}^{(s-1)} \). Notice, that many terms in (30) cancel if we tune \( b_{2}^{(s)} \) such that

\[
1 - \frac{s + 1}{s} b_{2}^{(s)} = 0 \Rightarrow b_{2}^{(s)} = \frac{s}{s + 1}.
\]
With this choice, the contributions proportional to \( f^{(s-1)}_2, f^{(s-1)}_3 \) are not relevant anymore and will be ignored (\( f^{(s-1)}_2 = f^{(s-1)}_3 = 0 \))

\[
\mathcal{K}^{(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)\beta(s-2)} =
\]

\[
= m^2 \, D^{\alpha_s} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-1}} u_{\alpha(s)\beta(s-1)} + m^2 \, b_2^{(s)} \, D^{\alpha_s} u_{\alpha(s)\beta(s-1)} \\
+ m f^{(s-1)}_1 D^2 D^2 u_{\alpha(s-1)\beta(s-2)} + m \frac{f^{(s-1)}_4}{(s-1)!} D_{(\alpha_{s-1} \bar{D}^{\beta} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-2}}) \beta \alpha(s-2)} \\
+ m \frac{f^{(s-1)}_5}{(s-1)!(s-2)!} D_{(\alpha_{s-1} \bar{D} \bar{D}^{\beta} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-2}}) \beta \beta \alpha(s-3)} \\
+ \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
2s - 1 \, d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} - f^{(s-1)}_1 \\
\left( \frac{s - 2}{s} \right)^2 \, d_4^{(s)} b_2^{(s)} - f^{(s-1)}_4 b_2^{(s)} \\
\left( \frac{s - 1}{s} \right)^2 \, d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} - f^{(s-1)}_5 \\
\left( \frac{s - 1}{s} \right)^2 d_4^{(s)} + 2 f^{(s-1)}_1 d_2^{(s)} \\
\left( \frac{s - 1}{s} \right)^2 d_4^{(s)} + f^{(s-1)}_4 d_2^{(s)} \\
\left( \frac{s - 1}{s} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{s + 1}{s} \right) \frac{d_4^{(s)} b_2^{(s)}}{s^2} + f^{(s-1)}_4 \left( \frac{s}{s - 1} d_4^{(s-1)} - d_3^{(s-1)} \right) + f_5^{(s-1)} d_4^{(s-1)} \right\} \times \\
\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{s - 2}{s - 1} \frac{f^{(s-1)}_4}{d_3^{(s-1)}} \\
\frac{1}{(s - 1)!} \frac{1}{D_{(\alpha_{s-1} \bar{D}^{\alpha_s} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-2}} \bar{D}^{\beta} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-3}}) \beta \beta \alpha(s-3)}} \\
\frac{-2 \frac{s - 2}{s} d_2^{(s)} + 2 f^{(s-1)}_5 d_2^{(s)}}{s^2} \\
\frac{1}{(s - 1)!} \frac{1}{D_{(\alpha_{s-1} \bar{D} \bar{D}^{\beta} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-2}} \bar{D}^{\alpha_s} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-3}}) \beta \beta \alpha(s-3)}} \\
\frac{\frac{(s - 2)^2}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} + \frac{s - 2}{s - 1} f^{(s-1)}_5 d_4^{(s-1)}}{s^2} \right\} \times \frac{1}{(s - 1)!} \frac{1}{D_{(\alpha_{s-1} \bar{D} \bar{D}^{\beta} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-2}} \bar{D}^{\alpha_s} \bar{D}^{\bar{\alpha}_{s-3}}) \beta \beta \alpha(s-3)}} \right\}
\]

In order to keep only the terms that depend on mass, we must select the three \( f^{(s-1)} \) in the following way

\[
f^{(s-1)}_1 = \frac{2s - 1}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} \tag{34}
\]

\[
f^{(s-1)}_4 = \frac{(s - 1)^2}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} \tag{35}
\]

\[
f^{(s-1)}_5 = - \frac{(s - 1)(s - 2)}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)} \tag{36}
\]
and the $d^{(s-1)}$ parameters must satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1^{(s-1)} d_2^{(s-1)} &= - \frac{2s-1}{s(s-1)} d_2^{(s)} \\
    f_5^{(s-1)} d_2^{(s-1)} &= \frac{s-2}{s} d_2^{(s)} \\
    f_1^{(s-1)} d_4^{(s-1)} &= \frac{2s-1}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} \\
    f_5^{(s-1)} d_4^{(s-1)} &= -\frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} \\
    \frac{(s-1)(s-2)}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} &= \frac{(s+1)(s-1)}{s^2} d_4^{(s)} b_2^{(s)} + f_4^{(s-1)} \left( \frac{s}{s-1} d_4^{(s-1)} - d_3^{(s-1)} \right) + f_5^{(s-1)} d_4^{(s-1)} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (37) are all compatible with each other and have as a solution

\[
d_2^{(s-1)} = -\frac{s}{s-1} \frac{d_2^{(s)}}{d_4^{(s)} c_3^{(s)}} , \quad d_3^{(s-1)} = 0 , \quad d_4^{(s-1)} = \frac{1}{c_3^{(s)}} . \tag{38}
\]

The last step is to combine $K^{\alpha(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)}$ with $T^{\alpha(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)}$ in order to cancel the $u^{(s)}$ term and construct $J^{\alpha(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)} = \frac{1}{m} K^{\alpha(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)} + T^{\alpha(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)}$

\[
J^{\alpha(s-1)}_{\alpha(s-1)} = m^2 u^{(s)} + \left\{ -4d_2^{(s-1)} c_1^{(s-1)} - d_4^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} + f_1^{(s-1)} \right\} \frac{1}{(s-1)!} D^2 \tilde{D}^2 u^{(s-1)} \tag{39}
\]

This equation will determine all the $c^{(s-1)}$ coefficients in order to have $u^{(s-1)}$ vanishing on-shell.

For $s = 2$, $Y = 5/2$ supermultiplet, the last four lines drop out and we are left with three equations fixing the three unknowns. For the general case, we work under the assumption that we have already set to zero all lower level auxiliary superfields ($u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s-2$).
Therefore, using $\mathcal{E}(\chi, s-2)$ we find that $u^{(s-1)}$ on-shell will satisfy the constraint

$$b_1^{(s-1)} D_{\alpha(s-1)}^s \bar{D}_{\alpha(s-2)}^s u_{\alpha(s-1)\bar{\alpha}(s-2)} + b_2^{(s-1)} \bar{D}_{\alpha(s-2)}^s D_{\alpha(s-1)}^s u_{\alpha(s-1)\bar{\alpha}(s-2)} = 0$$

forcing the collapse of various terms in (39)

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha(s-1)\bar{\alpha}(s-2)} = m^2 u_{\alpha(s-1)\bar{\alpha}(s-2)} + \left\{-4d_2^{(s-1)} c_1^{(s-1)} - d_4^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} + f_1^{(s-1)} \right\} D^2 D^2 u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)}$$

$$+ \left\{-4d_1^{(s-1)} c_2^{(s-1)} \right\} \frac{1}{(s-1)!} D^2 D_{(\alpha(s-1)} \bar{D}_s u_{\alpha(s-2))\bar{\alpha}(s-2)}$$

$$+ \left\{-2d_2^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} - 2d_4^{(s-1)} c_1^{(s-1)} \right\} \frac{1}{(s-1)!} D^2 \bar{D}_{(\alpha(s-1)} \bar{u}_{\alpha(s-2))\alpha(s-1)}$$

$$+ \left\{-d_4^{(s-1)} \left( \frac{s}{s-1} c_4^{(s-1)} - c_3^{(s-1)} \right) + f_4^{(s-1)} + \frac{b_2^{(s-1)}}{b_1^{(s-1)}} \left( -\frac{s-2}{s-1} d_4^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} + f_5^{(s-1)} \right) \right\} \times$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(s-1)!} D_{(\alpha(s-1)} D^2 D^2 u_{\beta(s-2)} u_{\bar{\alpha}(s-2))\alpha(s-2)} .$$

Hence we conclude that we must select coefficients $c_1^{(s-1)}$, $c_3^{(s-1)}$, $c_4^{(s-1)}$ as follows

$$4 d_2^{(s-1)} c_1^{(s-1)} + d_4^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} = f_1^{(s-1)} ,$$

$$d_2^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} + d_4^{(s-1)} c_1^{(s-1)} = 0 ,$$

$$d_4^{(s-1)} \left( \frac{s}{s-1} c_4^{(s-1)} - c_3^{(s-1)} \right) + \frac{b_2^{(s-1)}}{b_1^{(s-1)}} \frac{s-2}{s-1} d_4^{(s-1)} c_3^{(s-1)} = f_4^{(s-1)} + \frac{b_2^{(s-1)}}{b_1^{(s-1)}} f_5^{(s-1)} ,$$

$$d_1^{(s-1)} c_2^{(s-1)} = 0 ,$$

$$d_1^{(s-1)} c_4^{(s-1)} = 0 .$$

The solution of the above is

$$c_1^{(s-1)} = \frac{1}{16} \frac{(s+1)s(s-1)(2s-1)}{(2s+1)^2} , \quad c_3^{(s-1)} = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{s(s-1)^2(2s-1)}{(2s+1)^2} ,$$

$$c_4^{(s-1)} = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{s-1}{2s+1} \right)^3 \left( 1 - \frac{s-2}{s-1} \frac{b_2^{(s-1)}}{b_1^{(s-1)}} \right) , \quad d_1^{(s-1)} = 0 , \quad c_2^{(s-1)} = \text{arbitrary} .$$

Equations (38) and (43) fix all the $(s-1)$-level coefficients. Notice that $c_2^{(s-1)}$ remains arbitrary and not relevant to the on-shell vanishing of $u^{(s-1)}$. Similarly to (3.1) we adopt the convention of setting it to zero ($c_2^{(s-1)} = 0$). However, in this case equation (42d) offers an explanation for this freedom. Again the input for the determination of $c^{(s-1)}$ are the $d^{(s-1)}$ and $f^{(s-1)}$ parameters. The conclusion is that the kinetic energy terms of superfield $\chi^{(s)}$ in (9) have the same structure as the kinetic energy terms of $\chi^{(s)}$ which are dictated by the massless limit and gauge redundancy. This has the effect of matching the kinetic energy terms of $u^{(s-1)}$ with those of $u^{(s)}$. Also, once again, coefficients $b_2^{(s-1)}$ and $b_1^{(s-1)}$ appear only in the combination $b_2^{(s-1)}/b_1^{(s-1)}$, which demands $b_1^{(s-1)} \neq 0$. 
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By using the normalization of $\chi^{(s-2)}$ we can set it to one, $b_1^{(s-1)} = 1$. Finally, the vanishing of $\chi^{(s-1)}$ trivially follows from equation $E^{(u,s-1)} = 0$.

### 3.3 Vanishing of $q$-th level auxiliary superfields

The above procedure can be iterated and step by step fix all coefficients such that all auxiliary superfields can be set to zero on-shell. Briefly, for the $(s-2)$ level we start with (39) and use it to find $K^{(s-2)}$ which will include only $u^{(s-1)}$ and $u^{(s-2)}$ terms proportional to $m^2$ and $m$ respectively. Finding it fixes coefficients $f^{(s-2)}$ and $d^{(s-2)}$. Then we use it to find $J^{(s-2)} = 1/m \, K^{(s-2)} + I^{(s-2)}$, which includes only $u^{(s-2)}$ and $\chi^{(s-3)}$ terms. Going on-shell, and assuming that $\chi^{(s-3)}$ can be set to zero\(^9\), we can choose the $c^{(s-2)}$ coefficients so $u^{(s-2)} = 0$. This will also give $\chi^{(s-2)} = 0$.

Here we repeat it for the arbitrary $q$-th level. We will show that there is a consistent choice of parameters such that if one assumes that all auxiliary superfields up to level $(q-1)$ vanish then $u^{(q)}$ and $\chi^{(q)}$ also vanish

$$
\left\{ u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0 , \ r = 1, 2, \ldots, q - 1 \right\} \Rightarrow u^{(q)} = 0 \Rightarrow \chi^{(q)} = 0 .
$$

This result holds for all values of $q = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ and can be used recursively. For the special case of $q = 1$, our assumption $(u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0)$ is trivially satisfied because there are no such superfields\(^10\), hence the on-shell statement $u^{(1)} = \chi^{(1)} = 0$ does not rely on any hypothesis and is a pure consequence of action (9) given the specific values of the parameters. Hence, the assumption for the vanishing of $u^{(2)}$, $\chi^{(2)}$ is justified and so on for all higher auxiliary superfields. As a result, (44) can be applied to prove that all auxiliary superfields indeed vanish on-shell. Moreover, based on the $(s)$ and $(s-1)$ level results we conjecture that

$$
d_1^{(q)} = 0 , \quad d_3^{(q)} = 0 , \quad c_2^{(q)} = 0 , \quad b_1^{(q)} = 1 , \quad b_2^{(q)} = \frac{q}{q+1} , \quad \forall \ q = 1, 2, \ldots, s .
$$

Our staring point is the end result of level $(q+1)$. That means that $J^{(q+1)} = 1/m \, K^{(q+1)}_{q+1} + I^{(q+1)}_{q+1}$ depends only on $u^{(q+1)}$ and $\chi^{(q)}$ superfields, $K^{(q+1)}_{q+1}$ has only terms proportional to $m$ and $m^2$ of the form

$$
K^{(q+1)}_{q+1} = m^2 \, D_{q+2}^{\alpha_{q+2}} \bar{D}_{q+1}^{\hat{\alpha}_{q+1}} u_{\alpha(q+2)\hat{\alpha}(q+1)} + m^2 \frac{q + 2}{q + 3} \, \bar{D}_{q+2}^{\hat{\alpha}_{q+2}} u_{\alpha(q+2)\hat{\alpha}(q+1)}
$$

$$
+ m \, f_1^{(q+1)} D^2 \bar{D}^2 u_{\alpha(q+1)\hat{\alpha}(q)} + m \, \frac{f_4^{(q+1)}}{(q+1)!} D_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \, \bar{D} D u_{\beta\hat{\beta}(q)}
$$

$$
+ m \, \frac{f_5^{(q+1)}}{(q+1)!} D_{\alpha\hat{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\alpha}} \, \bar{D}^2 u_{\beta\hat{\beta}(q-1)}
$$

and $I^{(q+1)}$ is given by (17) for $q \to q + 1$, simplified by (45). The coefficients $f_1^{(q+1)}$, $f_3^{(q+1)}$, $f_4^{(q+1)}$ and $d_1^{(q+1)}$, $d_4^{(q+1)}$ have been determined by eliminating additional $u^{(q+2)}$ and $\chi^{(q+1)}$ terms. Also the coefficients $c_1^{(q+1)}$, $c_3^{(q+1)}$, $c_4^{(q+1)}$ have been fixed such that if $\chi^{(q)} = 0$ on-shell then $J^{(q+1)}_{q+1} = 0$.

---

\(^9\)For the supermultiplet corresponding to $s = 3$ ($Y = 7/2$) no such assumption is needed.

\(^10\)Recall that we encapsulated this information in our convention to assign the following values ($b_1^{(1)} = b_2^{(1)} = 0$) for an automatic drop out of these terms.
Demanding the cancellation of all terms that do not depend on mass fixes \( f^{(q)} \) s as follows

\[
f_1^{(q)} = \frac{2q + 1}{(q + 1)^2} \left( d_4^{(q+1)} c_3^{(q+1)} - \frac{q + 1}{q} f_5^{(q+1)} \right),
\]
\[
f_4^{(q)} = \frac{q^2}{(q + 1)^2} \left( d_4^{(q+1)} c_3^{(q+1)} - \frac{q + 1}{q} f_5^{(q+1)} \right),
\]
\[
f_5^{(q)} = - \frac{q(q - 1)}{(q + 1)^2} \left( d_4^{(q+1)} c_3^{(q+1)} - \frac{q + 1}{q} f_5^{(q+1)} \right)
\]

and the \( d^{(q)} \) s

\[
d_2^{(q)} = - \frac{2q + 1}{q(q + 1)} \frac{d_2^{(q+1)}}{f_1^{(q)}}, \quad d_4^{(q)} = \frac{2q + 1}{(q + 1)^2} \frac{d_4^{(q+1)}}{f_1^{(q)}}.
\]
The last step is to construct \( J^{(q)} = 1/m \mathcal{K}^{(q)} + \mathcal{T}^{(q)} \). The result is

\[
J^{(q)}_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} = m^2 u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + \left\{ -4d_2^{(q)} c_1^{(q)} - d_4^{(q)} c_3^{(q)} \right\} D^2 \bar{D}^2 u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} \tag{50} \]

\[
+ \left\{ -2d_2^{(q)} c_3^{(q)} - 2d_4^{(q)} c_1^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!} D^2 \bar{D} \bar{\gamma} D^2 u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)\bar{\gamma}(q-1)} \]

\[
+ \left\{ -d_4^{(q)} \left( \frac{q+1}{q} c_4^{(q)} - c_3^{(q)} \right) + f_1^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!} D^2 \bar{D} \bar{\gamma} u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)\bar{\gamma}(q-1)} \]

\[
+ \left\{ -\frac{q-1}{q} d_4^{(q)} c_3^{(q)} + f_5^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q-1)!} D^2 \bar{D} D^{(q-1)} u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)\bar{\gamma}(q-2)} \]

\[
+ \left\{ -2d_2^{(q)} \right\} \frac{1}{q!(q-1)!} D^2 \bar{D} D^{(q)} u_{\alpha(q-1)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)\bar{\gamma}(q-2)} \right) \cdot \]

The above dictates that if we want to make \( u^{(q)} \) vanish on-shell, assuming \( u^{(r)} = \chi^{(r)} = 0 \) for
\[ r = 1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, \]
we must select coefficients \( c^{(q)} \)'s such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
4 d_2^{(q)} c_1^{(q)} + d_4^{(q)} c_3^{(q)} &= f_1^{(q)} \\
3 d_2^{(q)} c_3^{(q)} + d_4^{(q)} c_1^{(q)} &= 0 \\
\frac{q+1}{q} d_4^{(q)} c_4^{(q)} - \frac{2}{q+1} d_4^{(q)} c_3^{(q)} &= f_4^{(q)} + \frac{q}{q+1} f_5^{(q)}
\end{align*} \tag{51}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
c_1^{(q)} &= -f_1^{(q)} \frac{d_2^{(q)}}{[d_2^{(q)}]^2-4 [d_2^{(q)}]^2} \\
c_3^{(q)} &= f_1^{(q)} \frac{d_2^{(q)}}{[d_2^{(q)}]^2-4 [d_2^{(q)}]^2} \\
c_4^{(q)} &= \frac{2q}{(q+1)^2} c_3^{(q)} + \frac{q}{q+1} f_5^{(q)} + \frac{q^2}{(q+1)^2} f_5^{(q)} \frac{f_5^{(q)}}{d_4^{(q)}}
\end{align*}
\]

4 Off-shell degrees of freedom

The conclusion of section (3) is that the off-shell description of an arbitrary half-integer superspin
\( (Y = s + 1/2) \) supermultiplet is given by the following superspace action principle

\[
S_{(m,Y=s+1/2)} = \int d^8 z \left\{ H^{\alpha(s)\bar{\alpha}(s)} D^\gamma D^2 D_\gamma H_{\alpha(s)\bar{\alpha}(s)} + m^2 H^{\alpha(s)\bar{\alpha}(s)} H_{\alpha(s)\bar{\alpha}(s)} \right\} \tag{52}
\]

\[
- 2 H^{\alpha(s)\bar{\alpha}(s)} D_\alpha D^2 \chi_{\alpha(s)\bar{\alpha}(s-1)} + c.c. \\
+ \sum_{q=1}^s \left[ d_2^{(q)} \chi^{(q)(q-1)} D^2 \chi_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + c_1^{(q)} u^{(q)(q-1)} D^2 u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + c.c. \\
+ d_4^{(q)} \chi^{(q)(q-1)} D_{\alpha(q)} D^\alpha \chi_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q)} + c_3^{(q)} u^{(q)(q-1)} D^\alpha D_{\alpha(q)} u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q)} \\
+ d_4^{(q)} u^{(q)(q-1)} D_{\alpha(q)} D^\alpha u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q)} + m \left( \chi^{(q)(q-1)} u_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q)} + c.c. \right) \right] \\
+ \sum_{q=1}^{s-1} u^{(q+1)\bar{\alpha}(q)} \left( D_{\alpha(q+1)} D_{\alpha(q)} \chi_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q)} + \frac{q+1}{q+2} D_{\alpha(q+1)} D_{\alpha(q)} \chi_{\alpha(q)\bar{\alpha}(q-1)} + c.c. \right) \right\}
\]

\[ ^{11} \text{Besides dropping the last two terms of } (50), \text{ it also imposes on } u^{(q)} \text{ the constraint } D^\gamma D^\alpha u_{\beta\alpha(q-1)\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}(q-2)} + \frac{q}{q+1} D^\gamma D^\alpha u_{\beta\alpha(q-1)\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}(q-2)} = 0. \]
where the coefficients $d^{(q)}$ and $c^{(q)}$ are given by the recursive relations (48), (49) and (51) together with the initial conditions fixed by the massless limit of the theory

$$d_2^{(s)} = -\frac{s+1}{s}, \quad d_4^{(s)} = 2, \quad f_1^{(s)} = -1, \quad f_4^{(s)} = f_5^{(s)} = 0.$$  

This action is not unique but only a representative of an infinite family of equivalent actions which are generated by various field redefinitions. For example, because the auxiliary superfields vanish on-shell it would be equivalent if we use the auxiliary superfields \( \hat{u}^{(q)} = Au^{(q)} + B\chi^{(q)} \), \( \hat{\chi}^{(q)} = C\chi^{(q)} + D\chi^{(q)} \) instead of the \( \{u^{(q)}, \chi^{(q)}\} \) pair we used. This redefinition will drastically change the look and the coupling scheme of the action, but the on-shell statements remain the same.

The off-shell structure of the theory is extremely rich, since it requires the presence of two towers of auxiliary superfields with \( s \) members each of increasing rank \( \{u^{(r)}, \chi^{(r)}\} \) \( r = 1, 2, \ldots, s \). By projecting the superspace action to components, we can find the component structure of the theory. It will include the auxiliary fields required by [23, 24] for the off-shell description of irreducible, arbitrary higher spins plus additional auxiliary fields required by off-shell supersymmetry. Because all participating superfields are unconstrained and there is no redundancy, all the components of every superfield will participate in the off-shell component action.

It is straightforward to count the off-shell degrees of freedom of an unconstrained \( (n, m) \) superfield \( \Phi^{(n)}_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha})} \). The answer is \( 16(n+1)(m+1) \) bosons\(^{12}\) and equal number of fermions. An exception is the case were we can impose a reality condition \( (\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \Rightarrow n = m) \). In that case, the real superfield \( \Phi^{(n)}_{\alpha(\dot{\alpha})} \) carries \( 8(n+1)^2 \) bosons and equally many fermions. Therefore the total number of off-shell degrees of freedom of this theory is

$$8(s+1)^2 + 2 \times \sum_{n=1}^{s} 16(n+1)n = \frac{8}{3} (s+1)(4s^2 + 11s + 3).$$  

5 Summary

The supersymmetric Fierz-Pauli program of constructing superspace Lagrangians for higher spin supermultiplets has been a long standing question since the birth of supersymmetry. In this paper we answer this question for arbitrary half-integer supermultiplets. We find that the superspace action formulation requires a tower of pairs of auxiliary superfields \( u_{\alpha(q)}^{(\dot{\alpha}(q-1))}, \chi_{\alpha(q)}^{(\dot{\alpha}(q-1))} \) with \( q = 1, 2, \ldots, s \).

The various coupling constants have been determined such that \( (i) \) on-shell all auxiliary superfields vanish and we generate the appropriate constraints for superfield \( H^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)} \) which carries the propagating degrees of freedom and \( (ii) \) the massless limit of the action gives a smooth transition to the correct description of the arbitrary massless half-integer superspin supermultiplet. This is achieved by decoupling all auxiliary superfields with the exception of \( \chi_{\alpha(s)}^{(\dot{\alpha}(s-1))} \) which becomes the compensator of \( H^{(s)}_{\alpha(s)\dot{\alpha}(s)} \), as required by the gauge redundancy of the massless theory.

Due to the plethora of the auxiliary superfields, the off-shell structure of the theory is extremely rich and the number of off-shell degrees of freedom scale as \( \sim s^3 \). Specifically, the theory carries \( \frac{8}{3} (s+1)(4s^2 + 11s + 3) \) bosons and equal number of fermions. In contrast, the on-shell degrees of freedom

\(^{12}\)For details see [40].
freedom are just the $4(s + 1)$ polarizations of bosonic spins $j = s + 1$, $j = s$ and equal number for the states of the two $j = s + 1/2$ fermionic spins.
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