Social/economic costs and health-related quality of life in patients with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) in Spain

Julio López-Bastida¹, Luz María Peña-Longobardo²*, Isaac Aranda-Reneo², Eduardo Tizzano³, Mark Sefton⁴ and Juan Oliva-Moreno²

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to determine the economic burden and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients with Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) and their caregivers in Spain.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional and retrospective study of patients diagnosed with SMA in Spain. We adopted a bottom up, prevalence approach design to study patients with SMA. The patient’s caregivers completed an anonymous questionnaire regarding their socio-demographic characteristics, use of healthcare services and non-healthcare services. Costs were estimated from a societal perspective (including healthcare costs and non-healthcare costs), and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) was assessed using the EQ-5D questionnaire. The main caregivers also answered a questionnaire on their characteristics and on their HRQOL.

Results: A total of 81 caregivers of patients with different subtypes of SMA completed the questionnaire. Based on the reference unitary prices for 2014, the average annual costs per patient were €33,721. Direct healthcare costs were €10,882 (representing around 32.3% of the total cost) and the direct non-healthcare costs were €22,839 (67.7% of the total cost). The mean EQ-5D social tariff score for patients was 0.16, and the mean score of the EQ-5D visual analogue scale was 54. The mean EQ-5D social tariff score for caregivers was 0.49 and their mean score on the EQ-5D visual analogue scale was 69.

Conclusion: The results highlight the burden that SMA has in terms of costs and decreased HRQOL, not only for patients but also for their caregivers. In particular, the substantial social/economic burden is mostly attributable to the high direct non-healthcare costs.
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Introduction

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is an autosomal recessive neuromuscular disorder caused by the degeneration of alpha motor neurons in the anterior horns of the spinal cord. SMA is the second most common severe hereditary disease of infancy and early childhood, with an estimated incidence of 1/5000 to 1/10,000 births and a carrier frequency of 1/35 to 1/50 [1, 2].
systems but also, the costs of formal care, unpaid care provided by relatives and other household costs.

To our knowledge, few studies have delved into the cost of SMA from a social point of view [4]. Therefore, the main aim of this study was two-fold. First, to estimate the economic costs related to SMA from a societal perspective in Spain. Second, to assess the HRQOL of SMA patients and their caregivers. This information provides valuable information on the real economic impact that SMA has in Spain.

Methods
Participants and procedures
This was a cross-sectional and retrospective study of patients diagnosed with SMA who received outpatient care at the time of the study but who had also received inpatient care at some time. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines have been followed in the study [5]. All the patients were living in Spain at the time of the study. The fieldwork was carried out between July 2015 and November 2015, and patient associations provided the careers with access to the online questionnaires by e-mail. Those eligible to participate in this study were children/adolescents diagnosed with SMA and their main caregiver, the latter completing the self-administered questionnaire to provide information on: public healthcare and non-healthcare resource use; the costs of professional private care; informal care required to manage SMA; their health related quality of life (HRQOL); and socio-demographic parameters. Study materials were presented for review by the local experts to ensure the questionnaire was comprehensible, accurate and complete. A pilot study was carried out between May 2015 and June 2015 to further establish the validity of the questionnaire.

The SMA patients were classified into three types based on age at disease onset and the clinical severity of the disease, as defined by the international SMA Consortium [6, 7]. Type I SMA is the most severe form, characterized by generalized muscle weakness and hypotonia at birth or within the first 6 months of life. These children are never able to sit unaided and less than 10% survive for 2 years without invasive respiratory support [8]. Type II SMA is distinguished by the age of onset, within the first 18 months of life. The disease is less aggressive and they survive longer than type I patients, mainly in function of the appearance of severe respiratory, orthopedic and nutritional complications. Type III SMA is the milder form of SMA in which weakness develops in patients who were previously able to sit and walk unassisted [9]. Due to the small overall sample size, it was not possible to carry out an estimate of cost separately for each SMA disease type. However, due to the importance of type II in such disease, it has been included information about HRQOL as well as costs.

All caregivers were informed about the study objectives and the confidentiality of the data, and they were asked to confirm their understanding of the study conditions when they agreed to participate. The survey was completely anonymously as the participants were contacted by their patient organizations and their responses were sent directly to the researchers without including any personal information (such as name, identification, address, or e-mail).

Costing methodology
A prevalence approach was used to estimate costs from a societal perspective. Disease prevalence takes into account all existing cases during a given year and all healthcare resources used for prevention, treatment and rehabilitation, as well as the allocation of other resources (formal and informal care) as a consequence of the illness [10–13]. To estimate resource utilization, the questionnaire solicited information covering the 6 month period prior to the study (12 months for hospitalizations). The questionnaire was detailed enough to reduce both exaggeration and underestimation. The resources used were multiplied by the unit costs to estimate the annual cost per patient using 2014 as the reference year.

Direct healthcare costs
Information about the number of hospital admissions, drug consumption, emergency visits, outpatient care (rehabilitation, medical tests, medical visits and home medical care), healthcare-related transportation and the use of devices was obtained from the questionnaires. When the useful life of a device exceeds the period of analysis, an amortization period of 4 years was considered. The cost of drugs used by patients was calculated by determining the daily cost for each of the products used (based on the cost of each pack dispensed and the dose used), multiplying by the duration of use. We applied local reference prices [14–17] to the healthcare resource data (drugs, healthcare, clinical tests, hospitalization and health supplies) to estimate the health cost of the illness.

Direct non–healthcare costs
We also obtained data on formal (social services) and informal care. Informal care was defined as the tasks performed by non-professionals that help maintain or enhance a patient’s autonomy. Therefore, informal services are mainly provided by relatives. Information about informal care was obtained from the questionnaires, specifically from the items concerning the time spent helping the patient with his or her basic ADLs and the time spent helping with necessary instrumental ADLs (recall method). As a conservative criterion, and to prevent
joint production bias, we censored the time of care to a maximum of 16 h per day per caregiver (112 h per week) when the reported time exceeded this figure. The approach used to evaluate the care hours was the proxy good method, which values time as an output [18–20]. This method evaluates the care provided by the informal caregiver, considering that if he/she did not provide these services, they would have to be substituted by another person who could provide them. Therefore, we took into consideration how much said substitution would cost or the cost of hiring a professional caregiver to perform these tasks [15]. Hence, informal caregiving hours were valued using a professional care wage in Spain in 2014 of 13.24€ per hour.

Formal care refers to the community care and home care provided by professionals. It may be publicly or privately funded, or mixed. Information on formal paid care provided by professional caregivers and other social services was obtained from the questionnaires under the category of social services and the unit costs used to value formal services were obtained from public Spanish sources [15]. In the case of other non-healthcare family costs, we applied the same period of amortization used for medical devices to housing and vehicle adaptations (4 years).

Patient and caregiver outcomes
Patient and caregiver outcomes were obtained by means of proxy or self-administered questionnaires, such as the EQ-5D-3 L proxy for patients and the EQ-5D-5 L for caregivers, or the Barthel Index and the Zarit burden interview. These questionnaires have been used in other similar studies of patients with rare diseases [21]. The EQ-5D is a simple generic instrument developed by a multidisciplinary group of researchers [22] and it has been validated in many European countries. It is a commonly used tool in economic evaluation and health technology assessment [23]. HRQOL is defined in terms of five dimensions: mobility, self-care, everyday activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression [24]. The values or utilities are indicated on a scale where 0 corresponds to death and 1 corresponds to perfect health, with negative values also being possible. The second part of the EQ-5D consists of a vertical 20-cm, 0–100 visual analogue scale (VAS), where 0 represents the worst imaginable health state and 100 represents the best imaginable health state. The respondent marks a point on the scale to reflect their overall health on the day of the interview [24].

The EQ-5D-3 L proxy, which has only been validated for adult responders, was used as a proxy-reported HRQOL of all patients (1-17 years), the responses being provided by their primary caregivers. However, some studies have used this scale in children [25, 26] and parent-proxy ratings have been shown to be both feasible and valid [27, 28].

The Barthel Index is a widely used tool to assess disability and it measures the ability of a person to perform 10 basic ADLs, providing a quantitative estimate of the subject’s degree of dependence [29–31]. The Barthel Index is recommended to measure physical disability both in clinical practice and public health research [30].

Caregivers also completed the Zarit burden interview (22-item version), which measures the subjective burden among caregivers. Each item is a statement to which the caregiver is asked to respond on a 5-point scale, with options ranging from 0 (never) to 4 (nearly always) [32]. The total score ranges from 0 to 88, with scores under 21 corresponding to little or no burden and scores above 61 to severe burden.

Statistical methods
Descriptive analysis was carried out using mean and standard deviation (SD) in continuous variables and proportions for dichotomous or categorical variables. All analysis was carried out with Stata SE (v 14.2).

Results
A total of 95 completed questionnaires were collected related to individuals with SMA, of which 14 were excluded because the patients did not fulfill the inclusion criteria. Thus, a total valid sample of 81 patients was studied. The main characteristics of the participants and their caregivers are shown in Table 1. The average age of the children was 7.22 years (SD = 5.47) and 42% were boys. In this sample, 10% of patients had type I SMA, 74% of patients had SMA type II and 16% type III SMA. It is noteworthy that it seems to take a long time to obtain a diagnosis for this condition, perhaps because the average age of the first symptom is 4.32 years (SD = 2.5).

Regarding the patient’s caregivers, the weight of female relatives in this role should be noted. Caregivers in Spain spent around 8.22 h per day providing care to the children due to the limitations caused by the disease. The average Barthel Index score for patients reflected severe dependence (39), while the burden for caregivers was mild to moderate as the average Zarit Burden Interview score was 35.

The health-related quality of life of the patients and caregivers was assessed (time trade off -TTO- social tariff, as well as the VAS: Table 2). The proxy EQ-5D social tariff show a score of 0.16 (max. of 1) for patients, while the EQ-5D VAS produced a score of 54.1. For caregivers, the mean EQ-5D social tariff score was 0.49 and the mean EQ-5D VAS score was 69.1. However, when considering only patients type II, it is observed that the mean EQ-5D social tariff decreased significantly, obtaining a score equal to −0.012.
The average annual cost associated with SMA reached €33,721 (SD = 38,700) in Spain (Table 3). While 32.2% of this total cost was attributed to direct healthcare costs, which amounted to €10,882, the average direct non-healthcare costs were €22,839 (representing 67.7% of the total cost). Within the category of direct healthcare costs, the largest component was that of the visits to medical specialists, valued at €7,732. The next most significant direct healthcare cost was hospitalizations, estimated at €1,297. The family caregiving costs represented the largest

Table 1 Demographic characteristic of the study participants (N = 81 patient-caregiver pairs)

| Characteristic                          | n (%), N = 81 |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| No. of Patients (%)                    | 81 (100)      |
| Type I (9.87)                          | 8             |
| Type II (74.07)                        | 60            |
| Type III (16.06)                       | 13            |
| Gender                                 |               |
| Male (50.02)                           | 47            |
| Female (49.98)                         | 34            |
| Age (mean) 72.22 (5.47)                |               |
| Age from the first symptom, mean (SD)  | 4.32 (2.50)   |
| Type I (1.06)                          | 1.55          |
| Type II (2.35)                         | 4.26          |
| Type III (2.79)                        | 5.35          |
| Education                              |               |
| Educated at an ordinary school         | 21 (25.93)    |
| Educated at an ordinary center with special sessions | 39 (48.15) |
| Educated at a special needs education center | 3 (3.70) |
| Home schooled                         | 5 (6.17)      |
| Nursery school                         | 0.00          |
| Not received education                 | 10 (12.35)    |
| Missing                                | 3 (3.70)      |
| Caregivers                             |               |
| No. of caregivers (N)                  | 81 (100)      |
| Gender                                 |               |
| Male (8.64)                            | 7             |
| Female (44.44)                         | 36            |
| Missing                                | 38 (46.92)    |
| Age 40.29 (7.30)                       |               |
| Working situation                      |               |
| Employed                               | 25 (30.87)    |
| Retired or pensioner                   | 0             |
| Housewife/house husband                | 16 (19.75)    |
| Missing                                | 40 (49.38)    |
| Caregiving time (daily hours)          | 4.05 (8.22)   |
| Barthel Index, median                  | 39.1 (22.50)  |
| Zarit-caregiver burden, median         | 34.53 (13.41) |

Source: own elaboration; Standard deviation. Number of daily hours reported by the person of reference in the household (mother/father). Number of daily hours of informal caregiving conditioned to hours of informal caregiving is higher than 0

The average annual cost associated with SMA reached €33,721 (SD = 38,700) in Spain (Table 3). While 32.2% of this total cost was attributed to direct healthcare costs, which amounted to €10,882, the average direct non-healthcare costs were €22,839 (representing 67.7% of the total cost). Within the category of direct healthcare costs, the largest component was that of the visits to medical specialists, valued at €7,732. The next most significant direct healthcare cost was hospitalizations, estimated at €1,297. The family caregiving costs represented the largest

Table 2 Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients and caregivers

| Category                        | Patients All (n = 81) | Type II (n = 60) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| HRQOL (TTO social tariff score) | 0.158 (0.44)          | −0.012 (0.34)    |
| HRQOL (VAS score)               | 54.09 (26.30)         | 53.03 (25.03)    |
| Caregivers                      |                       |                  |
| HRQOL (TTO social tariff score) | 0.484 (0.44)          | 0.472 (0.47)     |
| HRQOL (VAS score)               | 69.1 (21.96)          | 69.9 (20.03)     |

*Standard Deviation

Table 3 Average annual costs per patient (€, 2014)

| Resource                        | Mean (SD) | % Category of cost | % Total Cost |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|
| Drugs                           | 83 (262)  | 0.76%              | 0.25%        |
| Medical tests                   | 603 (721) | 5.54%              | 1.79%        |
| Medical visits                  | 7732 (11,211) | 71.05%           | 22.93%       |
| Hospitalizations                | 1297 (5856) | 11.92%            | 3.85%        |
| GP & Emergency                  | 244 (956)  | 2.24%              | 0.72%        |
| Health material                 | 920 (1183) | 8.45%              | 2.73%        |
| Healthcare transport            | 3 (138)   | 0.03%              | 0.01%        |
| Direct healthcare costs         | 10,882 (14,974) | 100.00%        | 32.27%       |
| Social services                 | 746 (2511) | 3.27%              | 2.21%        |
| Direct non-healthcare formal costs | 746 (2511) | 3.27%              | 2.21%        |
| Main informal career            | 11,508 (19,855) | 54.47%          | 34.13%       |
| Other informal careers          | 9619 (18,666) | 45.53%            | 28.52%       |
| Direct non-healthcare informal costs | 21,127 (30,253) | 92.50%          | 62.65%       |
| Other non-healthcare family costs | 966 (1240) | 4.23%              | 2.86%        |
| Direct non-healthcare costs     | 22,839 (31,340) | 100.00%         | 67.73%       |
| TOTAL COST                      | 33,721 (38,700) | 100.00%          | 100.00%      |

*Contains costs attributed to non-health transport paid by the family, as well as costs associated with housing adaptation and vehicle adaptation.
component reaching € 21,127 (62.7% of the total cost of the illness in Spain).

When taking into consideration individuals with type II, it was observed the fact that the mean costs are higher compared with those obtained with all patients (Table 4). Concretely, mean total cost of individuals with type II was € 37,670 (€ 33,721 for all individuals). Informal care cost reached € 24,099 (€21,127 for all), formal care cost arrived at € 827 (€ 746 for all) and direct healthcare cost was € 11,580 (€ 10,882 for all individuals). The reason that explains mainly such difference in costs, especially those related to personal care (informal and formal care), might be associated with the poorer quality of life of individuals with type II.

**Discussion**

This study analyzed the social/economic burden of SMA in terms of costs and decreased HRQOL. Specifically, the average annual cost associated with SMA reaches € 33,721, of which 32.2% was attributed to direct healthcare costs and 67.8% to direct non-healthcare costs. Moreover, according to the results obtained, informal caregiving constituted a major cost component.

HRQOL is another source of information that helps define the overall societal impact of a specific health problem. The estimated average EQ-5D social tariff score for patients was 0.16. This score is significantly lower than that for young Spanish people between 16 and 20 years of age (the youngest age range available: 0.987) as estimated from the Spanish Health Survey (2011-2012), or for that of pediatric patients with Type I Diabetes Mellitus (0.94) [33]. Alternatively, the estimated average EQ-5D social tariff score for caregivers was 0.49 while that of the general population of the same age was 0.959 (estimated from the Spanish Health Survey, 2011-2012). These data demonstrate that patients and caregivers experience a strong deterioration in HRQOL relative to the general population. In fact, caregivers have a significantly lower quality of life than the general population after controlling for age [34], mostly due to economic factors and a lack of appropriate support. Our results reveal areas in which improvements can be made, accentuating the need for family support through social care as well as civic, patient and/or organizational support.

| Resource | Mean (SD) | % Total cost |
|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Direct healthcare costs | 11,580 (14,436) | 30.74 |
| Direct non-healthcare formal costs | 827 (2,700) | 2.20 |
| Direct non-healthcare informal costs | 24,099 (33,240) | 63.97 |
| Direct non-healthcare costs | 26,089 (34,366) | 69.26 |
| TOTAL COST | 37,670 (42,579) | 100.00 |

There is currently a lack of publicly driven research into the economic burden of SMA. One previous study on the cost of SMA in Germany estimated the average annual cost per patient to be €70,566 in 2013 [4]. Major cost drivers were proposed to be informal care cost and overall, the results were very similar to those presented here. However, there were important differences in the direct healthcare costs that probably reflect the distinct populations studied. While we carried out a population survey, the German study was a hospital survey, which is also likely to produce an overestimation of these direct costs, in particular for type I patients that place stronger demands on hospital resources. Thus, we feel that our study provides a more representative approach to estimate healthcare costs associated with SMA patients.

This study represents the first complete and realistic costing to date of the burden of SMA patients in Spain. The main added value of the study lies in the bottom-up approach to costing. In addition, the costs were estimated for a period of 1 year and therefore, they provide a more accurate outlook of the medium-term burden of SMA. Among rare diseases, SMA is a significant health problem with important social consequences in high-income countries. The incidence and prevalence of SMA, and its consequences in terms of mortality, morbidity, economic costs and loss of quality of life justify the attention received from health authorities and society in general. Particularly, we show that the estimated average annual cost per patient in 2014 was € 33,721 for patients in Spain. The estimated cost of SMA is higher than the social costs of other chronic diseases in Spain, such as stroke (€27,711, base year 2012) [35], symptomatic chronic heart failure (€12,995 – €18,220, base year 2012) [36] or HIV/AIDS (€17,300, base year 2010) [37]. In addition, the estimated cost of SMA is higher than the social costs of other rare diseases as ataxia (€18,776, base year 2004) [11] and similar to fragile X syndrome (€31,008, base year 2012) [38], amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (€36,194) [12] and Duchenne muscular dystrophy (€36,970, base year 2012) [39].

The findings in this study have several limitations, in particular regarding the study sample, the recruitment process and the validity of caregivers as proxy. However, other studies on rare diseases have used smaller sample sizes due to the low frequency of these diseases and the refusal rates for participation. Patients with SMA were recruited by the Spanish patient association (FUNDAME, http://www.fundame.net), and although the sample was almost evenly distributed in terms of severity or dependency, we cannot guarantee the absence of selection bias as tends to occur in studies on rare diseases. There is also a potential recall bias given that patient-based data were obtained through a questionnaire. Another potential limitation when assigning health status to children is the fact that this might be a misrepresentation.
As concluded elsewhere, the values for health states when ascribed to adults are higher than when those same states are associated to children [40]. Additionally, due to the information available, the most appropriate technique to be applied in the analysis to estimate the informal care cost was proxy good method. Other alternative methods such as opportunity cost method and contingent valuation [18–20, 41] were not considered in this study.

Finally, our study was based on cross-sectional data, whereas ideally the study would be a prospective longitudinal study of a cohort of people with SMA. However, this type of study was beyond our current means. To our knowledge, no study into the cost of SMA of this nature has been carried out to date and therefore, the challenge to researchers, authorities and patient associations is to carry out such a longitudinal study in the future. It is quite frequent that cost of illness studies are criticized due to the nature of the information they provide, as well as the types of resources included and the way in which they are valued [42, 43]. Nevertheless, cost of illness studies continue to attract the particular interest of policy makers and for society as a whole [44]. Firstly, because they provide information on the real economic burden that some chronic diseases cause (not only including healthcare costs but also, non-healthcare costs), which can be useful when designing policies, programs or strategies. As shown here, the non-healthcare cost of SMA is higher than the healthcare cost (68% vs 32% respectively). Thus, the failure to take into consideration non-healthcare costs might underestimate the real impact that some chronic diseases. Furthermore, cost of illness studies complement epidemiological information and in recent years, several clinical trials on SMA have been performed and others are ongoing (see http://www.clinicaltrials.gov). In particular, advanced therapies such as intrathecal antisense oligonucleotide administration to modify splicing of the SMN2 gene [45] and intravenous gene therapy based on self complementary AAV-SMN1 [46] appear to be very promising approaches to therapy, which will surely change the epidemiological landscape of SMA types and the natural history of the disease. These advances will influence the future healthcare of SMA patients, switching to a more proactive approach as opposed to the reactive measures of complications and palliative care.

Conclusions
In conclusion, SMA produces considerable societal costs in Spain, including very high economic costs and a deterioration in the HRQOL of the patients and caregivers. SMA represents a significant hidden cost that society should be made aware of, and that should be considered in the design, implementation and evaluation of support programs for people who suffer from this disease and their families, as well as in the economic evaluation of new treatments.
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