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Abstract
As the demand for livestock products continues to increase in China, so too does the challenge of managing increasing quantities of manure. Urgent action is needed to control point source (housing, storage and processing) and diffuse (field application) pollution and improve the utilization of manure nutrients and organic matter. Here, we review strategies to improve management at each stage of the manure management chain and at different scales. Many strategies require infrastructure investment, e.g., for containment of all manure fractions. Engineering solutions are needed to develop advanced composting systems with lower environmental footprints and design more efficient nutrient stripping technologies. At the field-scale, there is an urgent need to develop a manure nutrient recommendation system that accounts for the range of manure types, cropping systems, soils and climates throughout China. At the regional scale, coordinated planning is necessary to promote recoupling of livestock and cropping systems, and reduce nutrient accumulation in regions with little available landbank, while minimizing the risk of pollution swapping from one region to another. A range of stakeholders are needed to support the step change and innovation required to improve manure management, reduce reliance on inorganic fertilizers, and generate new business opportunities.
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1 Introduction

Livestock production continues to intensify in China to meet the increased demand for livestock products by a more affluent and growing human population and like many developed countries production is becoming more specialized[1]. This specialization has become extreme in some regions of China, where the approach is to concentrate intensive landless livestock farms in peri-urban regions to provide fresh meat to the urban population. This requires importing feed from outside of the locality (even region and country), and results in concentration of manures and their nutrients, and some of their undesirable constituents (e.g., heavy metals, antibiotics, antibiotic resistant genes and odor), in areas with little or no available land. In contrast, traditional backyard and small livestock production systems still represent a significant contribution to the total livestock numbers in China. Both non-intensive (traditional animal feeding operations; TAFOs) and intensive systems (concentrated animal feeding operations; CAFOs) have significant shortcomings in terms of manure management that result in huge losses of nutrients to the environment with impacts on ecosystems[2,3] and human health[4,5]. It is thought that livestock manures contribute around 30% of the N and 60% of the P found in the Yangtze River, and around 60% of the N and 60% of the P in the Yellow River in China[6].

Fortunately, this situation has not gone unnoticed, and recent national policy has recognized that manure nutrient losses are a current acute problem that needs to be addressed. Effective manure nutrient management can
offer part of the solution to reducing the overall inputs of nutrients to agricultural systems by reducing N surpluses and the subsequent environmental and human health impacts. For example, as part of the 2015 Zero Fertilizer Increase Input Policy\cite{7}, there is a requirement to recycle at least 60% of manure to land and replace inorganic fertilizers with manure nutrients, with the recent Recycling of Livestock Manure Policy target for manure recycling being 75% by 2020\cite{8}. This has led to the implementation of the 100 demonstration county project where local government supports innovative management practices to improve the sustainable recycling of manure nutrients, which has been recently extended to another 200 counties\cite{9}.

When considering improved manure nutrient management, it is essential to design strategies that complement each other through the manure management chain, i.e., the livestock housing, manure storage, manure processing and field application stages\cite{10} (Fig. 1), as the management practice at one stage may have indirect effects further along the chain and indeed, could lead to the complete loss of any benefit that had accrued at the earlier phase. This is particularly so for NH$_3$ emissions and mitigation strategies to conserve NH$_3$-N at any stage of the manure management chain\cite{11}. Numerous recent international collaborative research programs with China have addressed various aspects of improved manure management, providing clear guidance and direction for the future research, policy and practice\cite{1,10–12}.

There are opportunities to reduce losses and improve nutrient use efficiency at all stages of the manure management chain, with some strategies being more applicable to certain scales of operation (Table 1). In the following sections we summarize these opportunities for housing, manure storage, processing and field application. We also summarize opportunities for improving manure nutrient use efficiency at local, regional and national scales, identifying the different stakeholder groups needed to support and contribute to the step change in innovation that is needed (Table 2).

### 2 Housing and storage

Nutrient losses from the housing plus storage stages (excluding direct discharge) are 49% (for N) and 12% (for P) of the total losses from the current manure management in China\cite{13} with both CAFOs and TAFOs representing significant sources of point pollution of water courses and emission to air\cite{2,14}. The current level of direct discharge of the liquid fraction of excreta and manures is a matter of concern, e.g., Bai et al.\cite{13} estimate that 5.4 Tg·yr$^{-1}$ N (24%) of excreted N and 1.9 Tg·yr$^{-1}$ P (41%) of excreted P are directly discharged into watercourses from housing and storage facilities in China. It is estimated that direct discharge of manure accounted for >65% of nutrients in the northern rivers and for 20%–95% of nutrients in central and southern rivers in 2000\cite{2}. So, an immediate focus on
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**Fig. 1** Schematic representation of strategies to conserve nutrients and reduce losses to water and air at each stage of the manure management chain in China, adapted from Chadwick et al.\cite{10}, with permission from Elsevier.
Table 1  Management strategies for different scales of manure generation enterprises to improve nutrient retention at the different stages of the manure management chain and improve manure nutrient utilization

| Farm scale       | Housing  | Storage                                      | Processing                                                                 | Field application                                                   |
|------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Smallholder (TAFO) | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Not practical  | ● Small-scale machinery to transport manure to own or neighbors’ land  |
|                  | ● Remove manure frequently | ● Cover manure store |                        | ● Pay contractor to transport and spread manure                        |
|                  |           | ● Sell manure to composting factory           |                        | ● Use a manure recommendation system                                   |
| Community (TAFO)  | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Small-scale machinery to transport manure to own or neighbors’ land  |
|                  | ● Remove manure frequently | ● Cover manure stores |                        | ● Pay contractor to transport and spread manure                        |
|                  |           | ● Manure acidification                        | ● Separation              | ● Use a manure recommendation system                                   |
|                  |           | ● Sell manure to composting factory or centralized anaerobic digestion plant | ● Slurry aeration           |                                                                     |
| Large farm        | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Use own machinery to transport manure to own or neighbors’ land  |
|                  | ● Remove manure frequently | ● Cover manure stores |                        | ● Pay contractor to transport and spread manure                        |
|                  |           | ● Manure acidification                        | ● Separation              | ● Contracts with local farmers to receive manures                      |
|                  |           | ● Sell manure to composting factory or centralized anaerobic digestion | ● Composting solid fraction | ● Use a manure recommendation system                                   |
| Intensive farm (CAFO) | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Use own machinery to transport manure to own or neighbors’ land  |
|                  | ● Remove manure frequently | ● Cover manure stores |                        | ● Pay contractor to transport and spread manure                        |
|                  |           | ● Manure acidification                        | ● Separation              | ● Contracts with local farmers to receive manures                      |
|                  |           | ● Sell manure to composting factory or centralized anaerobic digestion | ● Liquid fraction for fertigation | ● Transfer production to new region with available landbank            |
|                  |           |                                                 | ● Anaerobic digestion      | ● Sell own compost or liquid fraction with adequate labeling           |
|                  |           |                                                 | ● Poultry litter incineration   | ● Use a manure recommendation system                                   |
| Composting factory | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Use own machinery to transport manure to own or neighboring farmers’ land  |
|                  |           | ● Cover manure stores                         | ● Separation              | ● Pay contractor to transport and spread manure                        |
|                  |           | ● Manure acidification                        | ● Composting solid fraction | ● Contracts with local farmers to receive manures                      |
|                  |           | ● Sell manure to composting factory or centralized anaerobic digestion | ● Liquid fraction for fertigation | ● Transfer production to new region with available landbank            |
|                  |           |                                                 | ● Anaerobic digestion      | ● Sell own compost or liquid fraction with adequate labeling           |
|                  |           |                                                 | ● Poultry litter incineration   | ● Use a manure recommendation system                                   |
| Centralized AD plant | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Containment  | ● Sell digestate with adequate labeling                              |
|                  |           | ● Cover digestate and feedstock stores        | ● Separation              | ● Use own machinery to transport digestate to own or neighboring farmers’ land  |

Table 2  Recommendations for the staged improvement of manure management in China indicating the groups affected and the stakeholders needed to generate the enabling environment and innovation to achieve the significant step change required

| Stages of management | Recommendations to improve manure management | Group affected | Enabling stakeholder groups |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Stop point source pollution | Contain all manure effluent, i.e., prevent direct discharge of manure to watercourses | Community livestock farms TAOs CAFOs Composting factories Anaerobic digestion plants | Financiers, via loans or subsidies: provincial government, central government and engineering industry |
|                       | Reduce gaseous losses (ammonia and greenhouse gases) from point sources, e.g., fit acid scrubbers, biofilters to forced-ventilation animal buildings and composting factories. Cover slurry stores. | CAFOs Composting factories Anaerobic digestion plants | Financiers, via loans or subsidies: provincial government, central government and engineering industry |
| Stages of management | Recommendations to improve manure management | Group affected | Enabling stakeholder groups |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| **Stop excessive nutrient applications** | Do not apply more nutrients than can be removed in the crop | Fruit farmers, Greenhouse vegetable farmers, Cropping farmers, Paddy rice farmers | Extension officers, STB programs |
| | Generate an ongoing database of nutrient content of typical manures and separated fractions | Community livestock farms, CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants | Nutrient utilization researchers, Commercial laboratories |
| | Label manure products with individual macro-nutrient contents | CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants | Provincial government, Central government, Commercial laboratories, Agriculture Bureau |
| | Generate a market for all fractions of separated manures, e.g., fertigation of high N availability (low P) liquid fraction | TAFOs, Community livestock farms, CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants | Agriculture Engineering researchers, Industry (business opportunities), Agriculture Bureau |
| **Restore nutrient balances—field scale** | Develop an integrated manure and fertilizer recommendation system | Fruit farmers, Greenhouse vegetable farmers, Cropping farmers, Paddy rice farmers | Nutrient utilization researchers, Agriculture Extension Service, STB programs |
| | Improve infrastructure for transporting manure to fields | Fruit farmers, Greenhouse vegetable farmers, Cropping farmers, Paddy rice farmers | Financiers, via loans or subsidies: provincial government, central government, financial institutions, and engineering industry, Small-scale contractors, CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants |
| | Improve infrastructure for spreading manure to crops | Fruit farmers, Greenhouse vegetable farmers, Cropping farmers, Paddy rice farmers | Financiers, via loans or subsidies: provincial government, central government and engineering industry, Small-scale contractors, CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants |
| **Restore nutrient balances—at larger geographical scale** | Village-county scale: Promote transfer of manure from enterprises that generate manure to farmers that can utilize the manure, i.e., promote recoupling of livestock and cropping systems | Community livestock farms, CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants, Cropping farmers, Fruit farmers, Greenhouse vegetable farmers, Paddy rice farmers | Local planning authorities, Provincial government, Financiers, via loans or subsidies, Engineering Industry, Small-scale contractors, Agriculture Bureau, STB programs, Nutrient utilization researchers |
| | Province-national scale: Develop a coordinated approach to nutrient planning through siting new livestock production enterprises in regions with adequate landbank - Link with policies to improve water and air quality - Ensure adequate infrastructure to limit point source pollution in new zones of production | CAFOs, Composting factories, Anaerobic digestion plants, Cropping farmers, Fruit farmers, Greenhouse vegetable farmers, Paddy rice farmers | Regional planners, Provincial government, National government, Financiers, via loans or subsidies, Nutrient utilization researchers |
reducing discharges to as close to zero is essential and will require all manure and effluent to be contained. This will be more easily achieved and verified in the larger landless production systems than the millions of backyard and small farms. However, this should not be seen as a barrier to implementation. It may require innovative engineering solutions, in terms of designing cheap and easy-to-use containment systems, and subsidies may be required to expedite the adoption within a short time frame. Complete containment will have indirect consequences, i.e., more manure nutrients will be retained\[^{13}\] that will then need safe transfer through the rest of the manure management chain for later field application and recycling for crop growth.

In addition, reducing the high NH\(_3\) losses from housing systems is likely to require changes to floor designs, although many current systems already separate liquid from solid excreta deposited on housing floors in northern China\[^{10}\]. More frequent scraping and flushing of concrete floors will reduce emissions of NH\(_3\)\[^{15,16}\], but care must be taken to continue to conserve the saved N during the storage, processing and field application phases. On large mechanically ventilated installations, air scrubbers provide a potential option for reducing NH\(_3\) and particulate matter (PM) emissions, with the possibility of recapturing the NH\(_3\) as a fertilizer solution product. Evaporation and increasing the size of liquid manure stores will lead to increases in NH\(_3\) emission and contribute to PM formation at the local level.

The Blue Sky Act (2018) in China has set targets to reduce concentration of both SO\(_2\) and NO\(_x\) by 15% between 2015 and 2020, respectively, with the emphasis of increasing manure recycling\[^{17}\], but without a target for reducing NH\(_3\) emissions. This is less comprehensive than the current legislation in the EU\[^{18}\], which requires member states to reduce emissions of SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), non-methane volatile organic compounds and NH\(_3\) to agreed targets, and the requirements for UK farmers to reduce NH\(_3\) emissions from manure stores and field application, as specified in the UK’s recent Clean Air Strategy\[^{19}\]. Guidance documents and Framework Codes of Good Agricultural Practice have been developed to help achieve these targets\[^{20–22}\]. A clear strategy for reducing NH\(_3\) emissions from China’s agricultural systems is urgently needed\[^{23}\].

### 3 Processing

China has adopted a range of technologies for processing livestock manures, including liquid-solid separation, composting, anaerobic digestion and biological nitrification-denitrification treatment. The majority of manure that is collected on CAFOs is composted (especially for poultry), with a much smaller proportion used for anaerobic digestion (mainly pigs and dairy cows)\[^{24,25}\]. In TAFOs, however, the majority of manure that is collected and spread to land is not treated. Separation offers an opportunity to remove the liquid fraction and compost the solid fraction that can then be transported to regions where there is adequate land for application.

Composting technology is developing rapidly in China. While there are different composting techniques, including groove type fermentation, windrow composting and in-vessel composting to treat solid manure, windrow composting is the most common technology used, but results in large losses of NH\(_3\) and N\(_2\)O\[^{26,27}\]. A range of mitigation strategies have been proposed to reduce these losses, including the use of biological, chemical and physical additives\[^{27}\], as well as a controlled aeration rate\[^{28}\]. Forced aeration, coupled with acidification has been shown to be effective in reducing ammonia emissions as well as total greenhouse gas emissions (expressed as kg\(\cdot\)t\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\)e)\[^{29}\].

Windrow composting requires large areas of concrete for efficient operation and there has been recent interest in the development and use of in-vessel composting with a smaller area footprint. Additional benefits of in-vessel composting include a more rapid throughput of feedstocks, more control of the aeration rate and mixing rate, the ability to alter the direction of the air flow and the potential to include technical solutions to recapture N from NH\(_3\) emission. For example, Wang et al.\[^{30}\] used negative pressure aeration to compost pig manure resulting in lower NH\(_3\) emission compared to positive aeration treatments. The negative pressure aeration also reduced total global warming potential, when direct N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\) emissions, as well as indirect N\(_2\)O emissions associated with NH\(_3\) losses, were taken into account from the composting process. Further efforts are needed to reduce the NH\(_3\) and GHG emissions from composting/digestion factories.

To reduce direct discharge of liquid manures into watercourses, some farms have constructed large lagoons and rely on evaporation to concentrate the manure, with subsequent NH\(_3\) emissions and nitrate leaching. The challenge that will have to be met as more manures are contained, will be management of the nutrients in the liquid fraction of separated manures. Recent advances have included nutrient stripping, e.g., via the formation of struvite\[^{31}\], and there are engineering opportunities to scale up nutrient recovery (e.g., NH\(_4^+\), P) from the liquid fraction for reuse as fertilizer products. The use of screw press separators generates a liquid fraction with a low solid content that can be used in fertigation, and there is evidence that this practice is being used in some regions of China at a commercial scale. However, for both high value composts and liquid fractions, adequate labeling is needed of the individual nutrients to enable farmers to determine how much product should be used to deliver the required N, P or K to meet crop demand. Often, current labeling provides only the total combined NPK content.

Slurry acidification is being explored at the research
scale to assess its potential to reduce NH₃ emission during storage[32] and processing, and after field application[33]. The co-benefits of slurry acidification can be reductions in methane emissions during slurry storage[34], possibly because of inhibited methanogenesis in acidic conditions. Slurry acidification is largely implemented and promoted in Denmark, but rarely in other regions. Further efforts are needed to assess at which stage of the manure management chain it is most cost-effective to acidify manure, and what additional benefits there are in combining treatment technologies, e.g., mechanical separation and acidification[35] to reduce NH₃ emissions and improve nitrogen use efficiency. It is also important to consider the choice, availability and safety of using concentrated acidification products, as well as providing adequate training and advice to ensure effective adoption of this treatment technology by farmers and spreading contractors. Current lack of availability of specialist equipment for the acidification of the solid fraction of manures reduces the scale of adoption of acidification[35]. In addition, the application of concentrated acids to livestock slurries in China would need to comply with the standards for liquid organic fertilizers, in terms of e.g., NPK and heavy metal contents.

Anaerobic digestion (AD) offers the opportunity to generate electricity and heat that can be used on farm or sold back to the grid. AD can also be used to co-digest livestock slurry with other organic wastes, before the digestate is returned to the land. Digested slurry can contain a higher proportion of the total N as NH₄⁺ than in raw slurry, but this may not necessarily result in an increase in crop-available N supply because this increase in the NH₄⁺-N is relatively small, and because of the higher risk of NH₃ volatilization from digestate when spread onto land[36]. Digestate stores need an effective cover to reduce emissions of NH₃ or the digestate could be acidified to reduce losses from the store.

Nitrification and denitrification treatment[37] may be an option to reduce N surplus in regions with high livestock density and limited arable land, and also where there are logistical difficulties to transport surplus manure to other regions. The resulting sludge requires storage before application, and the liquid effluent needs to meet the discharge standard before it can be disposed to waterbodies. However, previous research has shown that N₂O losses from such systems can be high[38]. The promotion of nitrification and denitrification treatment for livestock manure can be marginal due to the high investment for installation and the operation cost associated with the energy required for (1) oxygen transfer to achieve nitrification, and (2) separation of raw manure[39]. Finally, incineration of poultry litter offers a potential opportunity to generate electricity from where poultry manure nutrients cannot be used effectively, e.g., in regions of concentrated poultry production units with little land-base, and the transport distances to centralized incineration-electricity generating plants make this economically feasible[40].

4 Field application

A coordinated research effort is needed to develop a manure nutrient recommendation system for China, that provides guidance on the availability of N, P and K from different livestock categories and production systems applied to the range of soil types and cropping systems. Such a system would need to include fertigation of vegetables and fruit using the separated liquid fraction of manures. The MANNER-NPK decision support system in the UK is a good example of this approach[41].

Key steps toward developing a manure nutrient recommendation system include: (1) the development of a continually updated database of manure nutrient composition (dry matter content, pH, total N, total P, total K and total NH₄⁺-N, and NO₃⁻-N for solid manures); (2) the quantification of mineralization rates of different manure types in different soil and climatic conditions as not all of the manure N will be plant available[42]; (3) the collation of data (and new data collection where appropriate) on NH₃, denitrification and NO₃⁻ leaching losses following application of different manure types to the range of soils and climates in China; (4) the collation of data and new data collection for manure fertilizer N replacement rates to validate the decision support system; and (5) the training in integrated nutrient management for farmers and extension workers. However, as a first step to reduce nutrient surpluses and subsequent diffuse pollutant losses, farmers should be incentivized to limit nutrient inputs to balance the nutrient offtake in harvested products (Table 2). The nutrient balance, i.e., nutrient surplus or deficit (kg·ha⁻¹), is a useful indicator of sustainable production[43]. In some countries, e.g., England there is a requirement for farmers to have an annual nutrient management plan based on soil analysis, crop nutrient requirement and an assessment of manure crop available nutrient supply to guide manufactured fertiliser inputs[44]. This nutrient management planning has been introduced in the UK via the ‘Farming Rules for Water’, to reduce excessive nutrient applications and subsequent risk of pollution to water courses, while maintaining adequate nutrient supply for optimum crop yields and quality[44].

Since NH₃ emissions represent a significant agronomic loss of N following manure spreading, a range of mitigation strategies should be explored, including the use of shallow injection, trailing hose/shoe[45] and rapid incorporation. In addition, slurry acidification is known to reduce NH₃ emissions from liquid slurries and digestate[35,36], so further information on the costs, practicalities and attitudes to this technology is needed for production systems in China. Although much of this NH₃ mitigation technology has been developed for large equipment in the EU, there is opportunity for smaller-scale spreading equipment to be developed that would be more suitable for the smaller land areas in China. To enable smallholders
to gain the benefits of farm mechanization, the Chinese government is promoting the development of the farm machine service organizations and providers. According to MARA, by the end of 2012, China’s agricultural machine service organizations reached 167 thousand, and the service providers reached 5.2 million. Indeed, as part of the agricultural green development strategy, the Chinese government has adjusted the agricultural subsidies categories, with machines and facilities for livestock manure recycling (including collection, storage and land application) being eligible for priority subsidies.

Key factors influencing the mismanagement of manure applications in China include the lack of available labor to transport manure from the place of generation to the field and for subsequent field applications. There is also a reluctance to use slurry or the liquid fraction of separated manure on crops or vegetables because of perceived issues with heavy metal and pathogen contamination. However, suitable treatment such as composting and anaerobic digestion can significantly reduce pathogen loads in manures prior to field application. Moreover, to further reduce bacterial pathogens to a significant level, in-vessel composting may be a preferred technology, as it also reduces antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes, as well as ammonia and greenhouse gases.

Off-farm work has contributed to suboptimal use of nutrient inputs and crop agronomy in China. However, this provides an opportunity for new businesses to transport manure to the field and to spread manure to crops. These businesses could also act as nutrient management services, applying manure to crops at the required rate. The small land areas of many smallholder farms mean that mechanization is limited, but there are engineering opportunities to design small liquid and solid manure application equipment. So, with formal and informal land consolidation and transfer increasing, and cropping farmers in the same village growing the same crop and using small-scale contractors to harvest, e.g., maize and other crops, there is great opportunity for mechanization to improve resource use efficiencies in these situations. China is speeding up agricultural mechanization and upgrading farming machines and facilities. Development and dissemination of green mechanization, including manure recycling machines and facilities, as well as machines suitable for small-scale operations are among the priorities.

5 Whole system approaches

Although there is a range of promising strategies to retain nutrients at each stage of the manure management chain (see Fig. 1 and above), there are some strategies that could have an impact at all stages of the chain. Slurry acidification is one strategy that could be implemented in the animal house, with the potential to continue to reduce emissions from the manure store, during processing and following field application—although research would be needed to test the effectiveness of this, and determine if additional acidification might be needed at other stages of the manure management chain.

Bai et al. present an in-depth assessment of nutrient flows in the manure management chain in China, using simulation modeling (NUFER). Total N, P and K excretions by livestock were 22.8, 4.6 and 16.2 Tg in 2010, respectively. About 21% of the manure N, and 50-53% of manure P and K were recycled. The losses of nutrient (including field losses) were high in the manure chain, with 17.8 Tg N, 2.6 Tg P and 8.2 Tg K lost to environment in 2010. Greatest losses occurred from animal housing and manure storage. Mixed livestock production systems and other cattle were the largest contributors to N losses. The business as usual scenario indicated that total losses in 2020 will have increased further by 15% for N, 12% for P and 22% for K, due to increases in animal food consumption. Significant reductions of nutrient losses (27%–56%) and input of fertilizer (27%–100%) can be achieved by the combination of prohibiting manure discharge, improving manure collection and preservation in storage, and improving manure application methods. To achieve such a target, current policies, subsidies and research studies on manure management should shift from the focus solely on composting or digestion to the whole manure management chain approach in China.

Dietary manipulation strategies, i.e., reducing the crude protein content of livestock diets and supplementation of specific amino acids to the diet, have the potential to significantly reduce N excretion. Reduction in manure N content has the potential to reduce NH3 emissions and other N losses through the entire manure management chain. Lower levels of crude protein were also shown to reduce manure pH, further reducing the risk of NH3 loss. The discharge of P from livestock husbandry to water bodies has contributed largely to eutrophication of water bodies in China. Supplementing diets with phytase or supplements containing high concentrations of water-soluble P can reduce total P excretion. Supplementing diets with phytase or animal-derived feed ingredients can increase P digestibility and reduce total P excretion. Research has indicated that diets supplemented with microbial phytase (1000 U·kg⁻¹) increased P retention in growing pigs by 30% and decreased fecal P by 45%.

6 Regional and national scale strategies

Approaches to improve manure nutrient management at the field and farm scale have been described above. However, coordinated planning at the regional and national scale could reduce the pressure on land (Table 1). Planning for new CAFOs should be based on the availability of land (the available landbank) to safely use the manure nutrients generated, or manures (or fertilizer products resulting
from manure processing) may need to be exported to other regions to balance the large import of nutrients in animal feed\textsuperscript{[58–60]}. Alternatively, local planning should enforce contracts between large, intensive landless livestock producers and local farmers to ensure manure nutrients are recycled to land.

There is opportunity for either livestock producers or small-scale contractors to provide nutrient transport and spreading services, providing integrated nutrient management planning to support optimal crop growth. This recoupling of livestock with cropping farms is a goal shared by the Agricultural Green Development Program in China\textsuperscript{[61]} and has a number of important co-benefits. These include (1) adding organic matter to cropping soils, (2) substitution of chemical fertilizers, (3) reduction in environmental impacts of poor manure management practices, and (4) improving the sustainability of crop production and quality of food products. Recently, national policy has closed pig production enterprises in southern China and is encouraging new pig production facilities in northern provinces\textsuperscript{[62]}. The aim of this national redistribution of pig production is to safeguard vulnerable watercourses in the southern provinces and to site new livestock production systems in regions with sufficient landbank for the effective use of the manure generated. However, this national scale recoupling needs to be carefully coordinated and requires the new livestock production systems to contain all manure, have adequate manure storage facilities and have contracts with neighboring cropping farmers to ensure manures are appropriately recycled back to land. This will ensure that nutrient loadings and pollution is not simply being swapped from one region to another\textsuperscript{[60]}.

Although China already has some key Water Protection Zones, e.g., around Lake Taihu, where livestock production is forbidden, NO$_3^-$ accumulation is of concern in many areas of China\textsuperscript{[62]}. Consequently, designation of nutrient vulnerable zones, similar to the Nitrate Vulnerable Zones designated in the EU, could be used to enforce specific measures for the management of livestock manures\textsuperscript{[1]}. These manure management measures could include (1) closed periods when manure spreading is not permitted, e. g., if excess rainfall causes nutrient leaching, (2) minimum manure storage capacity, to ensure farms can store manure for sufficient time to ensure applications are only made at the time of crop demand, and (3) maximum annual nutrient loadings to soil, to minimize nutrient accumulation in soils.

### 7 Enabling environment

It is clear that there are tremendous opportunities for China to improve manure management at all scales, viz. field, farm (including TAFO, CAFO, composting factory and anaerobic digestion plants), village, province and national (Table 1; Table 2), which will result in significant economic, environmental and human health benefits. However, efforts need to be coordinated and effective solutions cannot be delivered by just one actor, e.g., researchers. Research is key to improving manure management, e.g., in developing innovative technologies to reduce NH$_3$ losses during composting, providing data to underpin the develop a manure nutrient recommendation system.

Research is also vital to improve understanding how to promote adoption of new technologies and management practices, and address the socioeconomic factors influencing farmer decision making. However, many of the strategies identified in Table 1 and Table 2 require additional stakeholder engagement to develop an enabling environment for the innovation and step change required.

Policy support via reallocated subsidies, banks and industry are needed to reduce the financial constraints for improved manure management practices, e.g., purchasing manure containment facilities, establishing new small-scale contractor businesses for transporting and spreading manures, and the development of small-scale manure spreading equipment. Recoupling of livestock enterprises and composting factories and anaerobic digestion plants with local farmers will require local planning authorities to recommend sites for new livestock enterprises based on the need of local cropping farmers for manure nutrients. In addition, manure exchange contracts will need to be set up, reviewed and enforced between the manure provider and manure receivers. While there should be a social obligation of large manure generators (CAFOs, composting factories and anaerobic digestion plants) to ensure that their manures are used properly, there is a tremendous opportunity for these enterprises to increase their commercial activities and act as the manure transport and spreading service in their locality. The Agricultural Bureau may need to have a role in promoting this scale of recoupling between livestock enterprises and cropping farms at the county-provincial scale. At a larger spatial scale, recoupling of livestock enterprises with cropping, fruit, vegetable and rice farmers will need coordinated planning to determine the optimal regions for siting new livestock enterprises, e.g., based on the available landbank for receiving manure nutrients, and taking into account Water Protection Zones, None Livestock Production Zones, Ecological Redline Zones, and ensuring newly sited livestock enterprises and associated composting factories are equipped with adequate infrastructure to ensure that environmental burdens are not just moved from one region to another\textsuperscript{[60]}.

Training and communication of improved technologies and management practices will be necessary. The Agricultural Extension Service coupled with the Science and Technology Backyard (STB) program will be key to delivering guidance and facilitating adoption of best management practices within livestock farms as well as on farms that use the manure nutrients. Mobile phone information service systems, such as the number 112316
from MARA and Nongxintong, offer opportunities to communicate regular guidance on improved manure management practices with different farming groups, increasing the reach of the current STB program, and this could be coupled with future innovation in, for example, micro tasks for micro-payments\(^{63}\), to receive feedback from farmers on persistent constraints to adoption.

8 Conclusions

There is no single solution to improving manure management across the range of livestock and cropping farming systems in China, and different approaches and technologies will be more applicable in different regions and to different scales, requiring a good understanding of the constraints and opportunities available in each case. However, there are a number of recommendations common to most stakeholders (Table 1): (1) reduce point source pollution, e.g., by containment of all manure, (2) restore nutrient balances at field and regional levels by not applying more nutrient than is removed in the crop, (3) develop and implement a manure nutrient recommendation system for the range of manure types, soils, climates and cropping systems in China, (4) coordinate planning to encourage recoupling of livestock and cropping farmers at the local, regional and national scale, (5) provide effective communication of new guidance that encourages adoption, and (6) provide strong governance and policing of new rules and regulations. An increased social responsibility by producers (e.g., CAFOs and composting plants) and users of manure nutrients is needed. A coordinated approach by a range of stakeholder groups and enabling institutions, including research institutions, provincial and central government, agriculture bureaus, industry, financing institutions, agriculture extension services will be needed to generate and maintain the enabling environment to support the step change and innovation to improve manure management at each stage of the manure management continuum, and at multiple scales.

Although manures currently represent a well-documented threat to both human and environmental health, they can and will be part of the solution to the oversupply of nutrients to soil and soil degradation in China. They offer great opportunity to help China meet its Zero Increase Inputs Policy target, by increasing the replacement of fertilizer nutrients with manure nutrients and provide the essential link between the livestock and cropping farms, returning valuable organic matter to degraded soils. In addition, the strategies that are necessary to improve manure nutrient management will create new job and business opportunities, e.g. in developing and delivering engineering solutions, and via small-scale service providers for transporting and spreading manures.
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