INTRODUCTION

The ability to tailor laser light on a chip using integrated photonics has allowed for extensive control over fundamental light-matter interactions in manifold quantum systems including atoms [1–3], trapped ions [4–6], quantum dots [7–10], and defect centers [11]. Beams of free electrons, enabling high-resolution microscopy for decades, are increasingly becoming the subject of laser-based quantum manipulation and characterization [12–18]. Using free-space optical excitation and intense short laser pulses, this has led to the observation of free-electron quantum walks [19, 20], the generation of attosecond electron pulses [17, 21, 22], and holographic imaging of electromagnetic fields [23]. Enhancing the interaction with electron beams through chip-based photonics [24, 25] promises unique applications in nanoscale quantum control and sensing, but has yet to enter the realm of electron microscopy. Here, we merge integrated photonics with electron microscopy, demonstrating coherent phase modulation of a continuous electron beam using a silicon nitride microresonator driven by a continuous-wave laser. The high-Q factor (Q0 ∼ 10^6) cavity enhancement and a waveguide designed for phase matching lead to efficient electron-light scattering at unprecedentedly low, few-microwatt optical powers. Specifically, we fully deplete the initial electron state (zero-loss peak) at a cavity-coupled power of 6 µW and create > 500 photon sidebands on the electron spectrum for only 38 mW in the bus waveguide. Moreover, we demonstrate electron energy gain spectroscopy (EEGS). Providing simultaneous optical and electronic spectroscopy of the resonant cavity, the fiber-coupled photonic structures feature single-mode electron-light interaction with full control over the input and output channels. This approach establishes a versatile framework for exploring free-electron quantum optics [26–29], with future developments in strong coupling, local quantum probing, and electron-photon entanglement. More broadly, our results highlight the ability of integrated photonics to efficiently interface free electrons and light.

The rapid advancement of electron microscopy epitomizes our growing ability to characterize the structure and function of nanoscale materials and devices. Based on disruptive improvements in spatial resolution and enabled by a variety of contrast mechanisms, electron beams serve as nearly universal probes in condensed matter research [30, 31] and structural biology [32, 33]. Beyond stationary imaging, the advent of in-situ and time-resolved electron microscopy allow for the observation of transient phenomena and non-equilibrium dynamics [34]. Achieving temporal resolutions in the femtosecond regime [35–39], ultrafast transmission electron microscopy (UTEM) has enabled stroboscopic real-space movies of numerous processes, including strain wave dynamics [40–42], ultrafast demagnetization [43–45], and the evolution of structural phase transformations [46, 47]. Moreover, in the form of photon-induced near-field electron microscopy (PINEM), UTEM permits quantitative, high-resolution imaging of nano-optical fields [12–14, 48, 49]. The underlying process involves energy transfer between localized optical excitations and free electrons, modifying the state of the electron by generating discrete photon sidebands in a process termed stimulated inelastic electron-light scattering (IELS). The corresponding modulation of the electronic wavefunction at optical frequencies is quantum-coherent in nature [15, 19], and thus can be used for the coherent control of electron quantum states in space [16, 18, 50, 51] and time [17, 21, 22]. Recent theoretical work suggest that such modulated electron beams enable an electron-mediated transfer of optical coherence, predicting the generation of coherent cathodoluminescence, the resonant excitation of two-level systems, and superradiance from sequential electrons [52–55]. These developments imply a future close integration of electron microscopy with coherent optical spectroscopy, with opportunities in local quantum control and enhanced sensing. A key obstacle in harnessing coherent electron-light interactions for scientific and technological applications is their present limitation to the ultrafast regime. With very few exceptions in IELS [56–58] and laser phase plates [59], accessing this regime has required timed electrons and high-intensity pulsed lasers to reach sufficient electron-photon coupling strength. Despite the use of phase matching and resonant amplification [60–64], achieving the continuous wave
(CW) regime of electron phase modulation has remained out of reach of regular electron microscopes.

Here, we overcome this challenge and demonstrate highly efficient electron-photon interactions in the continuous-wave regime, using an electron microscope and photonic integrated circuits based on Si$_3$N$_4$. Our setup (Fig. 1) allows for an electron beam to interact with the co-propagating evanescent field of a microresonator waveguide in the object plane of a transmission electron microscope (TEM).

THEORY OF CAVITY FREE-ELECTRON-PHOTON INTERACTION

We first briefly review the quantum mechanical description of the electron-photon interaction that takes place [65], adopting notions from cavity quantum electrodynamics (cQED) [66], and applying it to our experiments in which electrons interact with evanescent field at a photonic chip (schematic in Fig. 1). The interaction between the electron beam and the ring cavity photons can be described by the Hamiltonian [26, 27, 65] (see derivation in SI)

$$H_1 = \frac{e}{2m}(\hat{p} \cdot \hat{A} + \hat{A} \cdot \hat{p}) = \hbar g_0 \hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger + \hbar g_0^* \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b}. \quad (1)$$

While the length gauge is usually chosen for localized quantum systems in cQED, the above velocity gauge naturally describes free electrons at finite momentum. The interaction between the optical cavity (described by the annihilation operator $\hat{a}$) and a free electron (described by the electron-energy ladder operator $\hat{b}$, see SI) is described by the vacuum coupling rate

$$g_0 = \eta \sqrt{\frac{e^2 v^2_\text{e}}{2\epsilon_0 \omega V}}. \quad (2)$$

Here $e$ is the electron charge, $v_\text{e}$ the electron group velocity, $\epsilon$ the optical permittivity, $\omega$ the optical frequency, and $V$ the effective optical mode volume. The phase matching condition is manifested in the coefficient
$\eta = \int dz e^{-i\Delta k \cdot z} u(z)/L$ defined by the optical mode profile function $u(z)$ along the electron trajectory and the electron wavenumber change $\Delta k = \omega/v_e$ upon photon absorption and emission [15, 67]. For an empty cavity, the interaction leads to electron-driven photon generation (cathodoluminescence) [68, 69]. When driving the microresonator with a laser, the intracavity state is described by a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ such that the scattering matrix becomes [19]

$$S = \exp \left( g^{\dagger}b - gb^\dagger \right),$$

Introducing a dimensionless coupling constant $g = ig_0 \tau \kappa$, where $|\alpha|^2 = n_c$ is the mean intracavity photon number, and $\tau = L/v_e$ denotes the interaction time set by the transit time of the electron over the interaction region $L$. As a result of the interaction, prominent photonic sidebands in the electron kinetic energy spectrum are generated, separated from the initial energy $E_0$ by integer multiples of the photon energy $N\hbar\omega$ ($N \in \mathbb{Z}$) (Fig. 1b). For a quasi-monochromatic electron, the photon sideband occupation probabilities $P_N$ can be expressed in the form of the $N$th-order Bessel function of the first kind, that is $P_N = J_N(2|g|^2)$. Correspondingly, in the spatial representation of the electron state, the interaction with the field imprints a sinusoidal phase modulation onto the wavefunction. One can therefore view the interaction as phase modulation of electrons operating at optical frequencies. The coupling constant $g$ in principle is a complex number, for simplicity, however, in the following parts of the manuscript we use $g$ in place of $|g|$.

**INTEGRATED PHOTONICS FOR ELECTRON PHASE MODULATORS IN THE CONTINUOUS WAVE REGIME**

To facilitate high interaction strengths, we use photonic chip based Si$_3$N$_4$ microresonators. Si$_3$N$_4$ is a CMOS compatible material particularly suitable due to its high power handling capability [70], radiation hardness [71], and ability to achieve exceptionally low propagation losses (below 1 dB/m at 1550 nm) [72, 73]. Moreover, they allow for dispersion engineering and efficient fiber to chip coupling [74]. Si$_3$N$_4$ based integrated photonic circuits have been driving major progress in non-linear optical devices, in particular soliton microcombs [75, 76] that are already used in numerous system level applications ranging from coherent telecommunication [77] to exoplanet astrophysical spectrometer calibration [78, 79]. The chip was fabricated using the photonic Damascene process [72, 73] without top oxide cladding to allow for efficient free-electron-light interaction with the evanescent field. An optical micrograph is shown in Figure 1d, also illustrating that the microresonator is placed close to a triangular edge of the substrate to minimize undesired electron-substrate interactions. The ring microresonator of 20 µm radius is coupled to the bus waveguide with a cross-section of 800 nm × 650 nm to achieve an external coupling rate of $k_{ex} / 2\pi = 130$ MHz in order to operate close to critical coupling where the cavity power buildup is maximum.

Phase matching at different electron energies is accessible by modifying the waveguide geometry (Fig. 1e). In the current study, we design a ring microresonator with dimensions 2.2 µm × 650 nm to provide phase matching at an optical frequency of $\sim 193$ THz (wavelength of $\sim 1549$ nm within the telecommunication band) for a target electron energy of 115 keV. Figure 1f shows a FEM simulation (see Methods) of the fundamental quasi-TM mode profile of the microresonator geometry we use in our study. The field distribution of the major contributing component $E_x$, along the electron propagation direction, is shown for this design. Owing to the small mode area and considerable evanescent field component, we predict a vacuum coupling rate of $g_0 / 2\pi \sim 10^{11}$ Hz over an interaction time of $\tau \sim 3 \times 10^{-14}$ s in our system. The high-Q factor (with internal Q-factor $Q_0 \sim 0.74 \times 10^6$, ) of the optical ring microresonator also helps us to achieve extremely low coupled optical power to reach a unity coupling constant $g \sim 1$ at $P = n_c \hbar \omega \kappa \sim 1$ µW, where $\kappa / 2\pi = 390$ MHz is the measured cavity decay rate inside the electron microscope and $n_c = |\alpha|^2$ is the intracavity photon number. However, we do observe a moderate degradation of the microresonator $Q$-factor (see Methods) upon insertion into the electron microscope, which could be related to some charging, strain or contamination of the resonator chip. As is required when operating in the TEM, the photonic structure is packaged via ultrahigh numerical aperture (UHNA) fibers (see Figure 1c) with a fiber-to-fiber coupling of $\sim 25\%$ (see Methods). The fibers are transferred into and out of the TEM via fiber feedthroughs, and further connected to the continuous-wave (CW) driving laser and the photodiode.

**SIMULTANEOUS OPTICAL AND ELECTRON SPECTROSCOPY OF A PHOTONIC CHIP BASED MICRORESONATOR**

In the experiments, the fiber-coupled microresonator is driven by a 1550 nm continuous-wave laser via the bus waveguide. It is mounted on a custom-designed sample holder and placed in the object plane of the field-emission TEM (Fig. 1a, see Methods). Parallel to the surface, the electron beam passes by the waveguide and interacts with the confined optical mode (Fig. 1a inset). After traversing the structure, the electron kinetic energy distribution is characterized with an imaging electron spectrometer in two different ways. Specifically, high-dispersion electron energy spectra are recorded by positioning a sharply focused electron beam in front of the microresonator. Alternatively, energy-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM) using collimated TEM-illumination is used for imaging the interaction across the entire cavity.
Figure 2. Simultaneous optical and electron spectroscopy of a high-Q resonator mode. a) A continuous-wave (CW) external cavity laser is used to excite the quasi-TM or TE modes of the Si$_3$N$_4$ microresonator by using a polarization controller (PC). The calibration of the relative frequency in the transmission through the photonic chip and the measured $g$ is accomplished by imparting sidebands ($\pm 2$GHz) using an electro-optic phase modulator (EOM). The back-reflected light, originating from backscattering in the microresonator, is measured using an optical circulator (CIR). (PD: photodiode, OSC: oscilloscope, TEM: transmission electron microscope, RF: radio-frequency synthesizer.) b) The normalized transmission scan of the microresonator quasi-TM mode measured outside of the TEM having $Q\sim 0.77 \times 10^9$ with $\kappa_0/2\pi = 112$ MHz and $\kappa_{ex}/2\pi = 139$ MHz. (see Methods: Optical characterization of microresonators inside TEM). The free spectral range (FSR) of the microresonator is $\sim 1.090$ THz. c) Simultaneously measured optical transmission at the output waveguide (top) and $|g|^2$ retrieved from the electron energy spectra (bottom) when the electron-beam is interacting with the evanescent field of the microresonator. A slight splitting is present due to modal coupling. The measured $|g|^2$ trace follows the power coupled to the clockwise mode. d) Example electron energy spectra for low (top) and high (bottom, 38 mW) optical input in the bus waveguide. e) The $|g|^2$ varies linearly with optical power coupled to the clockwise mode of the cavity.

We first investigate the strength of the coupling parameter $g$ using a focused electron probe, recording electron spectra while scanning the laser over a photonic microresonator resonance employing the setup depicted in figure 2a. The transmission spectrum, displayed in figure 2, shows the quasi-TM microresonator modes spaced by a free spectral range (FSR) of 1.090 THz. In order to calibrate the optical frequency, an electro-optical modulator (EOM, driven at 2.0 GHz) is used to generate sidebands that can be observed in the transmission spectrum. Figure 2c shows simultaneous optical and in-situ electron spectroscopy of the laser-excited microresonator mode. The laser is tuned to a single optical mode at $\sim 1549.4$ nm ($\kappa/2\pi = 390$ MHz, see Methods) and the focused electron beam (120-keV beam energy, 25-nm focal spot size, 1-mrad convergence semi-angle) is centered just above the surface of the microresonator (see Fig. 3) to record electron spectra for a stationary beam. Harnessing the high-$Q$ intracavity enhancement, we observe strong populations $P_N$ in multiple photon orders $N$, reaching a previously inaccessible regime for a continuous laser light source and electron beam (Fig. 2d). The coupling parameter $g$ is retrieved from the spectra (see Methods), and we find the expected linear increase of $|g|^2$ with the optical power coupled to the clockwise-propagating mode, determined from the recorded optical transmission and reflection data (Fig. 2e).

We achieve a coupling, with $g \sim 1.2$ and $\sim 40$ for about 6$\mu$W and 6 mW, respectively, of optical power $P$ coupled into the interacting microresonator mode. Increasing the power in the bus waveguide to $\sim 38$ mW, the interaction strength can be increased to $g \sim 125$ corresponding to the generation of $> 500$ photon sidebands (see Figure 2d). The spectral line shape of the resonance is analyzed by simultaneously recording the transmitted optical power leaving the chip and extracting $g$ for varying frequency detuning (Fig. 2c). The optical transmission trace, containing power coupled from the clockwise mode interfering with the input light, shows a trace with a full-width-half-maximum of 560 MHz (total line width $\kappa/2\pi = 390$ MHz, see SI). Interestingly, the electron spectra, sensitive only to the intra-cavity power
MODE IMAGING AND PHASE MATCHING

The implementation of photonic chip based high-Q optical microresonators as efficient electron phase modulators requires insights into the real-space scattering distribution. Therefore, we conduct high-resolution, energy-filtered spatial mapping with a collimated electron beam (Fig. 3a). Employing an imaging energy filter with a sharp bi-sided window of 800 meV width, equivalent to the energy of 1549 nm photons, spatial maps in the object plane are recorded for the discrete sideband populations $P_N$ (Fig. 3d, see Methods). This way, at each image pixel a photon-order resolved spectrum is extracted (Fig. 3b) and the coupling parameter $g$ is retrieved. Previously encountered signal-to-noise ratio limitations in PINEM are lifted by the available high current in continuous electron beams (about 3-4 orders of magnitude higher electron flux), enabling a high-fidelity quantitative reconstruction of the local coupling with a 1-nm spatial resolution (Fig. 3a). To account for the large number of spectra comprising an image, systematic data clustering is applied to retrieve large $g$-maps (see Methods). The distance dependent sideband occupations (Fig. 3c) reveal a strong and high-contrast modulation, indicating the absence of spatial and temporal averaging often encountered in PINEM.

The microresonator waveguide is designed to match the phase velocity of the excited optical mode with the group velocity of electrons at an energy around $\sim 115$ keV. Figure 4 shows the spatial pattern of the electron-light coupling near the ring-shaped resonator (Fig. 4a). As described above, quantitative $g$-maps are extracted by energy-filtered imaging for a varying acceleration voltage of the electron microscope (Fig. 4c), revealing an oscillatory modulation of $g$ along the chip surface, as well as an amplitude change with electron energy (Fig. 4b). The interaction region near the outer radius of the ring resonator allows for efficient and spatially extended phase modulation of the electron beam (tangent line trajectory) with an amplitude governed by the electron-energy-dependent phase mismatch. Around the optimum coupling, the spatial distribution of $g$ closely resembles the electric field configuration of the excited quasi-TM mode at 1549 nm (see Fig. 3a). Beam trajectories further to the center of the ring resonator (secant line) are governed by two sequential interactions with the microresonator mode. This results in Ramsey-type constructive or destructive interference and strong spatial modulations of $g$ that depend on the relative phase of both individual interactions [20]. Numerical simulations (Fig. 4d, see Methods) based on the FEM simulation of the optical mode profile and taking into account the electron trajectory through the near-field as well as the resonator power enhancement, closely reproduce the experimental observations and show a good match in the position of the minima in $g$ (white dots in overlay). Furthermore, excellent agreement is achieved for dependence of the electron kinetic energy on the maximal observed...
Figure 4. Phase-matching and Ramsey-type interference. a) Geometry (side view) of the electron beam passing by the photonic microresonator and interacting with the quasi-TM photonic-chip-based microresonator mode. The double interaction and phase-shifts between light and electron wave lead to a characteristic spatial distribution of the coupling constant $g$ due to Ramsey-type interference. b) Maximum coupling parameter $g$ as a function of electron kinetic energy retrieved from experiment (red dots, c)) and numerical simulations (blue line, d)). c) Quantitative $g$-maps retrieved for variable electron energy, showing the varying spatial distribution and amplitude of the electron-light interaction. The radial position of the Si$_3$N$_4$ waveguide is indicated. d) Numerical simulation of $g$ as a function of lateral position (just above the surface) and electron energy. The white dots overlay the experimentally observed minima as retrieved from c) (80-200 kV electron beam energy). The white lines mark the waveguide position.

value of $g$ (Fig. 4b). A steep cutoff in electron-light coupling is found below an electron energy of $\sim$100 keV, because, in contrast to nanoscale-confined optical fields, the optical mode is devoid of higher-momentum electric field components. Besides enhancing the total coupling, an increase in microresonator radius will further sharpen the phase matching condition in energy.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we demonstrate highly efficient, phase-matched and single-optical-mode interaction of free electrons with photonic chip based high-$Q$ microresonators, driving transitions at micro-Watt continuous optical pump power. Based on simultaneous in-situ optical and electron measurement, the cavity QED type setting yields a quantitative understanding of the interaction and electron energy gain spectroscopy at the $\mu$eV level. This approach can facilitate a seamless integration of temporal phase plates and attosecond metrology in future electron microscopy applications, promoting locally driven coherent radiation and excitations, thus defining new directions in coherent electron spectroscopy. We envisage various future architectures featuring coupled resonators for advanced electron state preparation and readout. Furthermore, we believe that the unprecedented degree of control represents a key step towards free-electron cavity quantum electrodynamics. This promises electron-heralded single-photon sources or electron imaging enhanced by photon post-selection, and facilitates cavity-mediated electron-photon and electron-electron entanglement.

Note: A study presenting continuous-wave IELS in the context of dielectric laser acceleration was posted at same time as our work. [81]
Methods

I. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The effective refractive index \(n_{ref}\) and the mode profile of the Si₃N₄ microresonator are calculated via finite-element-method simulations (COMSOL Multiphysics) (see Fig. 1f). Mode analysis is performed for the two-dimensional axially symmetric model with the cross-section of the microresonator. The Si₃N₄ core has a rectangular cross-section with width \(W\) and height \(h\). The microresonator has a SiO₂ bottom cladding and an air (vacuum) top cladding. The refractive index of Si₃N₄ used in the simulation is obtained from in-house ellipsometry measurement (J.A. Wooll), and the refractive index of SiO₂ is obtained from ref. [82]. From the electric field distribution in the cross-section \((r, z)\), the complex electric field along the electron trajectory \(E_{tra}(r, z, y)\), related to the physical field by \(E_{phys} = \text{real}[E_{tra}]\), can be determined via \(E_{tra}(r, z, y) = E_{y}(r, z) \cos(y/r) + E_{r} \sin(y/r)\). The coupling constant \(g(r, z, E)\) is then found by evaluating \(g = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{tra}(r, z, y) \exp(-i\frac{\pi}{y}) dy\) [19, 67] for different electron energies \(E\), where \(v_{c} = c\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{(1+E/m_0\mu)^2}}\) is the relativistic electron velocity.

II. FIBER-INTEGRATED SILICON NITRIDE MICRORESONATORS

The designed microresonators are fabricated using the photonic Damascene process [72, 73]. A 4-inch silicon substrate with 4 µm thick thermal wet SiO₂ cladding is used. The substrate is coated with deep-ultraviolet (DUV) photoresist, and microresonators and bus waveguides are patterned on the substrate via DUV stepper photolithography (248 nm KrF excimer laser). The pattern is then dry-etched into the SiO₂ cladding using C₆H₄/O₂/He etchants, to create waveguide preforms. Stoichiometric Si₃N₄ film is deposited on the patterned substrate via low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD), to fill the waveguide preforms and to form the waveguide cores. Afterwards, etchback and chemical-mechanical polishing [73] are used to planarize the substrate and to remove excess Si₃N₄. The entire substrate is further annealed at 1200°C to remove the residual hydrogen content in Si₃N₄, to reduce hydrogen-induced absorption loss in Si₃N₄ waveguides. This high-temperature annealing is critical to achieve high microresonator quality at telecommunication bands around 1550 nm. No top SiO₂ cladding is added on the Si₃N₄ waveguides, such that the electron beam can interact with the optical mode. Finally, photolithography with alignment is used to precisely position the Si₃N₄ microresonator close to the chip edge (within a distance smaller than 10 µm, key for aligning the electron beam to the Si₃N₄ microresonator). Deep reactive-ion etching is used to separate the entire substrate into hundreds of individual dies / chips for the following die-level integration with fibers.

The light is coupled into and out of the Si₃N₄ photonic chip on one edge, while the microresonator is placed on the other edge. To avoid cutting the electron beam by the substrate (see Fig. 1c), the near edge is clipped and forms a tight supporting triangle. A bus waveguide (800 nm × 650 nm) is used to evanescently couple the light into the multimode microresonator (2.2 µm × 650 nm) to achieve a better coupling ideality [83]. The inverse-taper waveguides [74] are used to facilitate efficient light coupling via ultra-high numerical aperture (UAHNA-7) fibers (mode field diameter ~ 3.2 µm at 1550 nm). A 2-3 cm long UHNA-7 fiber having a thermally expandable core is spliced to standard single-mode fiber (SMF-28) with a splicing loss of < 0.2 dB. A chip through coupling efficiency (fiber-chip-fiber) of > 25 % is achieved using the UHNA-7 fiber. The photonic packaging is done by first aligning the UHNA-7 fiber via a custom-built holder to optimize the coupling. Then, a small drop of epoxy (~ 150 µm, Fig. 1b) is dispensed using a precise pneumatic valve and cured using a UV lamp in four small time steps (~ 2 to 5 minutes). A long-term (~1-2 days) coupling stability is performed at low optical power by monitoring the transmitted light. The broadband characterization of the microresonators is done by employing a widely tunable diode laser. The transmission spectrum is calibrated using a self-referenced optical frequency comb and Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI) [84]. The resonance is fitted using models explained in the SI (fitting model) to extract \(k_0/2\pi\) (intrinsic loss rate), \(k_{ext}/2\pi\) (external coupling loss rate), and \(\gamma/2\pi\) (mode coupling rate) for both quasi-TE and quasi-TM mode families. The mean intrinsic linewidth is ~ 110-120 MHz (Q₀ ~ 10⁶) for quasi-TM mode family. The cavity resonance center at ~ 1549 nm is critical coupled with \(k_0/2\pi = 112\) MHz and \(k_{ext}/2\pi = 130\) MHz (Q ~ 0.77 × 10⁶).

III. OPTICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF MICRORESONATORS INSIDE THE TEM

The packaged sample is transferred into the TEM using a custom holder with vacuum fiber feedthroughs. The fibers connected to the microresonator chip are fed through the hollowed pipe part of the holder and the T-shaped base holding the photonic chip is mounted on an adapter that allows for a placement of the entire structure in the sample region of the TEM. The optical setup used to perform the spectroscopy in the TEM is shown in Fig. 2a. The setup is driven by a CW-laser (Toptica CTL 1550), with a maximal power of 40 mW, a tunable wavelength from 1510 nm to 1630 nm, and a linewidth below 10 kHz. The laser is coupled to an electro-optic modulator (EOM) that allows for frequency calibration of the transmission scan and the dependence of \(g\) on the detuning from the resonance frequency. A polarization controller is used to align the input light to either the quasi-TE or TM mode of the microresonator. An optical circulator is used to probe the light reflected from the microresonator due to bulk and Rayleigh surface scattering responsible for the splitting of clockwise and counterclockwise cavity modes. The optical transmission and reflection are measured simultaneously to calibrate intracavity power dissipated in the clockwise mode (see SI). We note that a degradation of the Q-factor from 0.77 × 10⁶ to 0.49 × 10⁶, resulting in an additional increase in total linewidth (~ 150 MHz), is observed when the sample is transferred into the TEM, that might be due to hydrocarbon deposition. Theory predicts that \(|g|^2\) is proportional to the photon number \(n_w\) of the clockwise mode optical cavity mode. The intracavity photon numbers are varied by sweeping the laser frequency through the optical resonance to find \(|g|^2\) dependence on optical power. The \(g(t)\) value is extracted for each time step of the sweep, \(t\), by fitting the measured electron spectrum, and the intracavity photon number \(n_w(t)\) is measured from the optical transmission signal using the steady state Langevin equation. By plotting \(|g(t)|^2\) against coupled optical power in the clockwise mode \(P = k\hbar n_w\omega_{ct}\), we obtain the power sweep curve shown in Fig. 2(e). More detailed data analysis procedure and the analytical expression of various field quantities can be found in the SI.

IV. ELECTRON MICROSCOPE AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The in-situ experiments are performed in the Göttingen UTEM [37], which is based on a thermal field-emission TEM (JEM 2100F, JEOL Ltd.). The electron gun is operated in the extended Schottky regime, yielding a continuous electron beam with an initial energy spread of 0.5 eV at a variable electron energy from 80 to 200 keV and typical beam currents of 10-50 pA in the sample region. Preventing clipping of the electron beam at the extended microresonator chip (~30 µm sample height at the probing
position), a low beam convergence is set by turning off the magnetic objective lens in low magnification (LM) TEM and STEM mode, for high-resolution imaging and spectroscopy, respectively. For analyzing the electron beam passing the microresonator structure, a post-column imaging energy filter (CEFDIC, CEOS GmBH) is equipped, equipped with a scintillator-coupled CMOS camera (TemCam-XF416ES, TVIPS GmbH; used for all measurements, if not mentioned otherwise) and a hybrid-pixel electron detector (EM CheeTah T3, Amsterdam Scientific Instruments B.V.). The sample tilt is adjusted carefully to align the electron beam parallel to the surface of the resonator chip, probing the local electron-light interaction at the microresonator.

V. LOCAL SPECTROSCOPY OF THE RESONATOR MODE

Electron spectroscopy (Fig. 2) is implemented in LowMAG STEM mode (indicated magnification: 1000x) at 120 keV electron energy, achieving an electron focal spot of about 25 nm with a beam semi-convergence angle of 1.1 mrad (100 µm condenser aperture, Fig. 2d) and 0.45 mrad (∼40 µm condenser aperture, Fig. 2e,f), respectively. The STEM focal plane is set to the middle of the ring-resonator and the lateral beam position relative to the chip is software controlled (Filter Control, CEOS GmbH) via an external scan generator (USG, TVIPS GmbH). Single spectra are recorded with an integration time of 100 ms (Fig. 2d). Electron spectroscopy with fast sweeps of the laser frequency is captured by an event-based hybrid pixel detector (EM CheeTah T3, Timepix3 ASIC, 1.56-ns timing precision) and discrete binning in time (100 µs windows, Fig. 2c,e). For each spectrum, the electron-light coupling constant $g$ is extracted by fitting the shape and amplitudes of the individual spectral sidebands $N$ with a comb of Voigt-peaks and normalized occupations $P_N = J_N(2|g|)^2$. For details on the fitting procedure, see [19, 64].

VI. ENERGY-FILTERED IMAGING AND QUANTITATIVE g-MAPS

Quantitative maps of the electron-light coupling constant $g$ are retrieved by energy-filtered transmission electron microscopy (EFTEM) imaging (Figs. 3 and 4), resolving individual photon sidebands with a double-sided energy window of only 800 meV width and typical bi-sided r.m.s. non-isochromaticity (energy window edge sharpness) of about 30 meV (8 mm field-of-view of the spectrometer entrance aperture plane, for technical details, see [85]). By synchronized change of the microscope’s high tension (step size of 0.8 V) relative to the center beam energy (80-200 keV), images $I_N(x,y)$ are recorded that contain inelastically scattered electrons, losing or gaining an integer number $N$ of photon energies $\hbar\nu=0.8$ eV (Fig. 3c). For each spectral sideband an image is recorded with 1-s integration time, resulting in EFTEM datasets containing up to 121 image slices, enabling a quantitative retrieval of the coupling constant $g$ at each image pixel (see Fig. 3d). Accounting for a large number of image positions (up to 4996x4996 pixels in Fig. 3), the data is collocated into 1024 subsets using the $k$-means++ clusters algorithm (implemented in MATLAB 2020b, MathWorks Inc.), with the sideband occupations as the input vector. The resulting centroid locations (metric: squared Euclidean distances) give a set of averaged spectra. For each of these, the coupling constant $g$, relative uncertainty $dg/g$ (with standard deviation $dy$ of Gaussian distribution in $g$) and spectral amplitudes $A$ are fitted to the recorded image stacks $I_N(x,y)$. The averaged intensity spread of the ZLP to neighboring energy windows, $B$, is accounted for by applying a convolution $I_N(x,y) = A(x,y) \cdot P_N(x,y) \ast B$ (at 115-keV beam energy, the 800-meV energy window contains about 82% of the ZLP intensity). Furthermore, remaining ZLP intensity at stronger coupling ($g > 3$), resulting from limited rejection contrast of the spectrometer slits and scattering at the entrance aperture, is disregarded (about 2(0.8)% diffuse background for $N = 0(\pm 1)$). Finally, the retrieved fitting parameters are assigned to the individual pixels before clustering, resulting in a quantitative map of the electron light coupling constant with 1-nm spatial resolution (Figs. 3 and 4).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The code and data used to produce the plots within this work will be released on the repository Zenodo upon publication of this preprint.
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