ORIGINAL ARTICLE
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The relationship between tooth loss and nutritional intake is important. As people age, their diminished physical capacity and decreased income adversely affect their ability to maintain their teeth. The aim of the study was to assess and compare the chewing ability, oral health related quality of life and nutritional status before and after fabrication and insertion of complete denture amongst edentulous participants in a dental college.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: Non Randomized Intervention study. The study population consisted of 42 participants (16 females and 26 males), aged 50 years and above. Prior to commencement of the study, informed consent was obtained and validation and reliability test of the questionnaire were done. The data for chewing ability, GOHAI and nutritional status assessment was recorded at baseline, 3rd, 6th and 12th month after denture fabrication and insertion. The statistical comparisons were performed by repeated measure ANOVA and Chi-square test. P value<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS: Chewing ability, GOHAI, BMI (Body Mass Index) and data from Food-intake questionnaire showed statistically significant improvement from baseline to 6th month but no statistically significant improvement was observed from 6th month to 12th month. Nutritive value of food (protein, energy and fat) showed no significant difference over a period of 12 months (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Thus, it was concluded that the intervention (denture insertion) was effective in increasing the chewing ability, body weight, food-intake, and oral health related quality of life.
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INTRODUCTION

Tooth loss is an age related problem. As the age advances, people’s ability to maintain their teeth is affected due to their reduced physical capacity and income (1). Relationship between masticatory function and impaired food intake for fully edentulous patients has been described in literature (2).
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Masticatory ability and food selection are majorly affected by loss of teeth forcing elderly edentulous people to choose soft and easy to chew foodstuff when compared with dentate individuals (3, 4, 5). Edentulous individuals frequently report more chewing difficulties than dentate people, and they therefore constitute the group most likely to change their diet (6).
Loss of teeth can substantially affect oral and general health, enjoyment with food and overall nutrition thereby affecting the quality of life (7). Oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) is a subset of health-related quality of life (HRQoL). The GOHAI (General Oral Health Assessment Index) is a 12-items self-reported index used to assess three dimensions: physical functions, psychosocial functions and pain or discomfort (6,8). The GOHAI has been recommended for use as an outcome measure in the evaluation of dental treatment (9). There is no gold standard for determining nutritional status and no universally accepted criteria to define malnutrition (10). As foods differ in their nutrient content, it is necessary to know about essential nutrients, their food sources and their needs. The booklet of nutritive values of some Indian food preparations provides information of energy, protein and fat values of food preparations as consumed in the communities of middle and lower middle socioeconomic status groups (11,12). Hence, in the present study, the nutritive value assessment was done by calculating the energy, fat and protein values for some Indian food preparations.

India has a large geriatric population of 77 million, comprising 7.7% of its total population. One of the major handicaps among the elderly is loss of teeth, affecting their mastication, dietary intake and nutritional status (13). According to the national oral health survey of 2002-2003, about 29% of the population in the age group of 65 to 74 years requires complete dentures in Maharastra State, while only 3.1% are using it (14). Very few studies have been conducted in India to see the effect of complete dentures on chewing ability, oral health related quality of life and nutritional status i.e. there is need of adequate data in this regard. Therefore, this study was conducted to compare the chewing ability, oral health related quality of life and nutritional status before and after insertion of complete denture among edentulous patients visiting a dental college in Pune.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A non-randomized Intervention study was conducted from Jan 2012-Feb 2013. Before the commencement of the study, approval from the ethical committee of Dr D.Y. Patil Dental College and Hospital, Dr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune, and written informed consent was obtained.

A convenience sampling of 42 individuals (16 females and 26 males), aged 50 years and above, visiting a dental college for complete dentures were recruited for the study. All the subjects aged 50 years and above, reporting to the Out Patients Department of the Department of Prosthodontics with the chief complaint of complete edentulness were recruited for the study till the desired sample size was obtained. Sample size was calculated based on mean and standard deviation of a previous study (4) in which nutrition status was assessed by calculating dietary parameter i.e. fat, among first and second time denture wearers. Sample size was calculated using this information in nMaster1.0 (Biostatistics Resource and Training Centre, Christian Medical College, Vellore, India) with power of 80% and alpha error of 5% (2 sided). Before insertion of denture, i.e. pretest mean and standard deviation for fat was 34.85±8.94, after 6 months i.e. Post-test mean and standard deviation was 41.63±20.12 for fat. The minimum sample size was calculated to be 38. The sample size was increased by approximately 25% to account for loss to follow up. Forty eight patients were included in the study. Six patients did not respond at the follow up visits; hence; they were excluded and the remaining 42 patients were finally assessed.

Nine experts were consulted to test the face and content validities of the questionnaire for chewing ability, GOHAI and nutritional status, and wherever necessary, changes were made in the questionnaire. Questions having content validity ratio (CVR) of 0.7 were included in the final questionnaire. After validation, the questionnaire was translated into Marathi, the local language, for the convenience of participants. The Marathi version was translated back into English by an English translator who had not seen the original version. The equivalence between the original questionnaire and the back translated version was supported by an expert committee consisting of two experts in both English and Marathi languages. A pilot study on ten patients following the inclusion and exclusion criteria was conducted. For Test-retest reliability purpose, the patients were given the questionnaire at two different occasions to check the reproducibility of the questionnaire. Internal consistency
(Cronbach’s alpha) for questionnaire was excellent (1.0). A single examiner underwent training and calibration procedure after validation of the questionnaire. The examiner was calibrated for recording height and weight of the study population which showed 80% agreement.

The study tools used were: 1) chewing ability questionnaire, 2) GOHAI questionnaire, 3) nutritional assessment questionnaire (Eight questions and 24 hour diet chart) and 4) Height and weight measurement for calculation of Body Mass Index. Data collection was carried out in two phases. Phase one included collection of data before insertion (baseline) of complete denture (January - March 2012) followed by insertion of complete denture, and phase two included collection of data after insertion and use of complete denture at 3rd, 6th and 12th months. The chewing ability questionnaire had 16 food items (Rice, Paratha, Chapatti/Pulka, Biscuits, fruits and vegetables, Bhakri (jowar, bajra), Meat/soya bean,) which patients had to rate as not able to chew or able to chew. A summative score of 0 to 16 was calculated for each patient. The 11 items of GOHAI was administered using a 6-point scale (never = 0, Seldom =1, Sometime =2, Often=3, Very-often = 4, Always = 5). GOHAI scale scores at baseline, 3rd, 6th and 12th months were calculated as a simple summation of the 11 item after reversing the response set three items (item 2: able to swallow comfortably; item 4: eat anything without feeling discomfort; item 6: happy with looks). Reversing for the questions no 2, 4, and 6 indicated the higher the score the better oral health. A simple summative score ranging from 0 to 55 was calculated for each patient, with a higher score indicating better Quality of life. Nutritional status assessment consisted of food intake questionnaire (eight questions) and one day diet chart which was recorded at baseline and at the end of 3rd, 6th and 12th months along with Body Mass Index.

Data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for windows version 16.0. Changes in chewing ability, Oral Health Related Quality of Life and nutritional status before and after insertion of complete denture were analyzed using repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Post hoc test. Change in food intake over a period of 12 months was assessed using Mc Nemars test. Statistical significance was set at the level P<0.05.

**RESULTS**

The demographic characteristics of 42 patients are as follows. There were 21 patients in 50-60 years age group, 14 in 61-70 years age group, 5 in 71-80 years and 2 in 81 and above years of age. The data showed that the majority of the participants were from the age group of 50-60 years. Mean age was 62 years. Twenty-six males and 16 females were included in this study. The Kuppuswamy’s socio-economic status (15) scale was modified for June 2011, out of which 4% were from lower middle class, 69% participants were from upper lower class and 26% were from lower class.

| Chewing ability | Mean | SD | 95% confidence Interval | N  | F     | P value a |
|-----------------|------|----|-------------------------|----|-------|----------|
| Able to chew    |      |    |                         | 42 | 376.4 | 0.00     |
| Baseline        | 2.71 | 1.33| 2.30 - 3.12             |    |       |          |
| 3rd month       | 11.14| 2.29| 10.42 - 11.85           |    |       |          |
| 6th month       | 11.54| 2.13| 10.88 - 12.21           |    |       |          |
| 12th month      | 11.54| 2.13| 10.88 - 12.21           |    |       |          |

| Post-Hoc b      |      |    |                         |    |       |          |
| Able to chew    |      |    |                         |    |       |          |
| Baseline        |      |    |                         |    |       |          |
| 3rd month       |      |    |                         |    |       |          |
| 6th month       |      |    |                         |    |       |          |
| 12th month      |      |    |                         |    |       |          |
| 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.00|                         | 1.00|       |          |
| 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.00|                         | 1.00|       |          |
| 0.00            | 0.00 | 0.00|                         | 1.00|       |          |

a: Repeated measure ANOVA used for intra-group comparison at different time intervals
b: Post-hoc testing of ANOVA: Bonferroni, P value significant at 5% level of significance (p<0.05)
Table 1 shows the within-group comparison of chewing ability scores of patients at baseline, 3rd, 6th and 12th month. There was a highly statistically significant increase in chewing ability among patients from baseline to 12 months (p=0.00). However, from the 3rd month to the 12th month statistical significance was not seen.

Table 2 shows the within-group comparison of GOHAI scores in patients at baseline, 3rd, 6th and 12th month. Intensity of GOHAI scores showed a highly statistically significant increase in patients wearing complete denture from baseline to 12 months, but no statistically significant difference was seen from 3rd month to 12th month. On the other hand Table 3 shows before and after comparison of food intake amongst patients at baseline and 12 months which was found to be statistically significant.

Table 2: Comparison of change in GOHAI among participants between the baseline, 3rd, 6th and 12th months after insertion of complete denture

| GOHAI      | Mean | SD   | 95% confidence Interval | N  | F       | P valuea |
|------------|------|------|-------------------------|----|---------|----------|
|            |      |      |                         |    |         |          |
| Baseline   | 21.11| 4.47 | 19.72 - 22.51           | 42 | 592.0   | 0.00     |
| 3rd month  | 39.26| 2.20 | 38.57 - 39.95           |    |         |          |
| 6th month  | 40.04| 1.16 | 39.68 - 40.41           |    |         |          |
| 12th month | 40.19| 1.15 | 39.83 - 40.55           |    |         |          |
| Post-Hoc   |      |      |                         |    |         |          |

| N | F | P valuea |
| 3rd month | 6th month | 12th month |
|----------|------------|------------|
| 0.00     | 0.00       | 0.00       |
| 0.35     | 0.23       | 0.00       |
| 0.35     | 1.00       |            |

Table 3: Percentage distribution of change in food intake among participants from baseline to 12th months after insertion of complete denture

| Questions                                      | Baseline pre-insertion | 12th month post-insertion | P valuea |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Current appetite.(Good)                        | 41 (97%)               | 42 (100%)                 | 0.00*    |
| Food intake Less than usual.(Yes)              | 36 (85%)               | 9 (21%)                   | 0.01*    |
| Mouth or swallowing problem that makes it hard to eat.(Yes) | 41 (97%)               | 9 (21%)                   | 0.01*    |
| Skip meal(Yes)                                 | 37 (88%)               | 34 (80%)                  | 0.50     |
| Allergies or intolerance to food.(Yes)         | 7 (16%)                | 4 (9%)                    | 0.50     |
| Change in kind of food due to illness.(Yes)    | 37 (88%)               | 13 (30%)                  | 0.01*    |
| Consumption of protein                         | 36 (85%)               | 42 (100%)                 | 0.03*    |
| Consumption of Fruits and vegetables. (Yes).   | 20 (47%)               | 29 (69%)                  | 0.01*    |

*aStatistically significant difference by McNemar

Table 4 shows the within-group comparison of nutritive value of food preparations for the patients at the baseline, 3rd, 6th and 12th months, which was not statistically significant over time for energy and fat, whereas, protein showed slightly significant difference from baseline to 12 months respectively. However, post hoc test for protein does not reveal significant difference.

Figure 1 shows the within-group comparison of BMI scores of patients wearing denture increasing from baseline to 3rd and 6th month respectively.
Table 4: Comparison of change in nutritive (energy, protein, fat) value of food preparations among participants at baseline, (before insertion) 3rd, 6th and 12th months (after insertion of complete denture).

| Nutrition | Mean  | SD    | 95% confidence Interval | F    | N  | P value<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------------|------|----|---------------------|
| Energy    |       |       |                         |      |    |                     |
| Baseline  | 1075.0| 259.09| 994.2                  | 1155.7|    |                     |
| 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 1028.0| 229.53| 956.4                  | 1099.5| 2.16| 0.12                |
| 6<sup>th</sup> month | 1119.8| 215.53| 1052.6                  | 1186.9|    |                     |
| 12<sup>th</sup> month | 1083.9| 206.63| 1019.5                  | 1148.2|    |                     |
| Protein   |       |       |                         |      |    |                     |
| Baseline  | 29.73 | 7.784 | 27.30                   | 32.15|    |                     |
| 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 27.87 | 6.01  | 26.00                   | 29.75| 3.45| 0.03                |
| 6<sup>th</sup> month | 31.56 | 8.70  | 28.85                   | 34.27|    |                     |
| 12<sup>th</sup> month | 30.19 | 7.77  | 27.77                   | 32.61|    |                     |
| Fat       |       |       |                         |      |    |                     |
| Baseline  | 21.44 | 7.61  | 19.07                   | 23.82|    |                     |
| 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 18.93 | 5.14  | 17.32                   | 20.53| 1.96| 0.35                |
| 6<sup>th</sup> month | 21.30 | 6.44  | 19.29                   | 23.31|    |                     |
| 12<sup>th</sup> month | 20.88 | 6.34  | 18.90                   | 22.86|    |                     |

Post-Hoc<sup>b</sup>

| Protein(gm) | 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 6<sup>th</sup> month |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Baseline   | 0.56                 | 0.56                 |
| 3<sup>rd</sup> month | 0.65               | 0.08                 |
| 12<sup>th</sup> month | 1.00               | 0.59                 |

<sup>a</sup> Repeated measure ANOVA used for intra-group comparison at different time intervals
<sup>b</sup> Post-hoc testing of ANOVA: Bonferroni

P value significant at 5% level of significance (p<0.05)

Figure 1: Comparison of BMI (Body Mass Index) at baseline, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> months
Repeated measure ANOVA used for intra-group comparison at different time intervals
P value significant at 5% level of significance (p<0.05)
DISCUSSION

The present study was carried out to compare the chewing ability, oral health related quality of life and nutritional status before and after insertion of complete denture amongst edentulous patients visiting a dental college in Pune.

Free dental treatment is provided by the dental college at reasonable rate, or probably free. This could be the reason that people who report to the dental college belong to lower socioeconomic status. This may have led to misrepresentation of all the socioeconomic classes in this study. Hence, the cohort studied represented those with lower education and poor income. A study done among Brazilian and Canadian independently living elderly people showed the number of remaining teeth was related to greater education and higher income status for that population (1).

The chewing ability questionnaire was found useful in evaluating the chewing ability of patients after wearing the denture. Hirai T et al has also suggested that food intake questionnaire was useful in evaluating masticatory functions in complete denture wearer (16).

In the present study, improvement in the chewing ability was observed during a period of 12 months after denture insertion which was statistically significant but the improvement from 3rd month to 12th month was not statistically significant. This may be due to the fact that patients developed a pattern of functioning and adapting their diet to complete dentures over a period of time and probably good denture fit and stability of complete denture might have also played a role in improving the chewing ability. The type of denture Implant supported / Valplast could have improved the chewing ability between 3rd, 6th and 12th months. Muller K reported in his study that edentulous patients receiving complete denture one year back had difficulty in chewing hard food when compared with the edentulous patients receiving implant-supported over-denture during the same time period (17).

Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index (GOHAI) is an example of a patient-based assessment of oral health problems commonly affecting older adults (6). As GOHAI is able to detect oral health changes over time and to measure the effect of oral treatment (9), GOHAI was used in the present study to evaluate quality of life. In the present study, GOHAI was found to be a simple and effective method of evaluating the oral health related quality of life of the edentulous patients receiving complete denture.

The present study indicated an improvement in quality of life among edentulous patients after complete denture insertion. It has also been demonstrated by Veyrune J L et al (9) that oral health quality of life of edentulous persons is less than that of dentate persons’. Complete denture improves the quality of life of edentulous persons as it contributes to better appearance and improved social and functional comfort. Thus, it was confirmed by the study that edentulous patients with less initial GOHAI score benefitted after placement of new dentures which increased their quality of life over a period of 12 months. The results of the present study are consistent with other studies conducted by Shigli K et al (6) which showed changes in GOHAI, 1 month after placement of denture in completely edentulous patients reporting to the Department of Prosthodontics in a private dental college in Madhya Pradesh. During the study period, high statistically significant changes in GOHAI amongst patients were observed. (6) Koshino H et al showed in his study that insertion of denture enhances the degree of eating satisfaction which in turn contributes to psychological health and improves the Quality of Life (18). Similar results were found in this study amongst participants who showed improved Oral Health Related Quality of Life.

In the present study, the food in-take/eating pattern amongst edentulous patients improved over 12 months period after insertion of complete denture. Edentulous patients reported good food in-take and better ability to chew hard food. The nutritive value of food in-take for energy and fat did not show significant difference, probably because most of the patients belonged to upper lower socio-economic status having poor education and lower income, and most of them were farmers. They reported that breakfast was light with tea and biscuits, lunch consisted of roti (jowar / bajra) and dal and vegetables. In the evening they had tea and at dinner rice, dal, subji (cooked vegetables) and roti (jowar / bajra) was consumed. Probably their energy intake would not have been met as these farmers had to fend for themselves. Though jowar and bajra could provide
The study conducted by Paturu et al showed no significant difference in BMI among first time denture wearer patients after a period of 2 months (4), whereas all the participants in the present study were first time denture wearers, they showed significant change in BMI over 12 months. The nutrition questionnaire evaluated before and after treatment procedure showed a significant difference. There was increase in the frequency of food in-take and in-take of fruits and vegetable in the patients among the present study. However, the study had few limitations. As it was conducted in one dentalcollege, the results cannot be generalized. Moreover, the edentulous patients belonged to low socioeconomic status only. The study also involved 1 day (24 hours) diet chart. Thus, the quantity of food consumed may be over- or underestimated and that food in-take on a single day may not be representative of the usual in-take. Serving unit (katori, bowl, spoon) used for measuring food item might have varied from house to house. The energy, protein and fat value were measured as per serving unit. Hence, the accuracy of nutritive value may be questionable. As the investigator was not blinded during recording the data, it could have introduced bias.

To conclude, this study showed that chewing ability and oral health related quality of life improved after insertion of complete denture amongst edentulous participants visiting a dental college in Pune. BMI (Body Mass Index) and food in-take questionnaire showed statistically significant improvement over 12 months. Significant difference was not seen for energy, fat and protein.
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