Evolution of ARDS biomarkers: Will metabolomics be the answer?
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INTRODUCTION

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a serious cause of morbidity and mortality in the intensive care unit (ICU; 9). ARDS is a frequently encountered condition with an incidence of 78.9 cases per 100,000 person years (56). According to the recent Large Observational Study to Understand the Global Impact of Severe Acute Respiratory Failure (LUNG SAFE), worldwide ARDS represents 10.4% of all ICU admissions with an overall mortality rate of 35.3% (5). In Canada, the average total hospital cost per patient with ARDS was $128,860 (2002 Canadian dollars), with the majority of this cost generated in the ICU ($97,810; 6, 27). Despite decades of ongoing ARDS research, there is still a battery of unmet challenges that are contributing to the perplexity of the condition. A better mechanistic understanding of ARDS may enable further improvement in the diagnosis, classification, and management of this complex syndrome. This will be potentially achieved by identification of biomarkers early in the disease process that reflect the underlying alveolocapillary membrane injury, whether the pattern is epithelial injury, endothelial injury, or a combination.

Recently, there has been a growing interest in addressing ARDS heterogeneity, and consequently, several studies have adopted the approach of subgrouping patients with ARDS on the basis of clinical characteristics and the associated levels of a panel of blood biomarkers (10, 14, 15, 23). The identified phenotypes have generally been reproducible and correlated well with clinical aspects of ARDS such as ventilator-free days, organ failure-free days, response to fluid management, and mortality (14, 23). However, some of the limitations encountered in these studies include the broad variations in the populations recruited, variable timing of the measurement of the biomarkers from one study to another, and very few biomarkers being longitudinally compared in the same patients (32). Additionally, the subgrouping biomarkers and their consequent ARDS subtypes have not focused on pathophysiologic changes, and they need to be prospectively studied for biomarker validation (36, 37).
Interestingly, research findings suggest that the differences between direct lung injury-induced ARDS and indirect lung injury-induced ARDS are not just limited to clinically observable characteristics, but rather extend to the core histopathology (17, 29, 46, 50). In light of such evidence, other holistic methodologies capable of highlighting the full complement of changes that occur at a molecular level may be better suited for studying ARDS. These include systems biology approaches, most notably, metabolomics, for example. In this review, we will shed light on the potential of using metabolomics in ARDS to identify biomarkers of disease and demonstrate the rich details this technology can reveal about the biological mechanisms involved in ARDS.

WHAT IS METABOLOMICS?

Metabolomics is an emerging field of “omics” studies that uses a systems biology approach to examine metabolism and metabolites. Contrary to routine clinical chemistry, which relies on the measurement of single metabolites (such as glucose or lactate), metabolomics allows for the simultaneous evaluation of a large set of metabolites/biological compounds in a single sample (2), thus providing an integrative snapshot view of biological systems (38). Although the use of biological fluid metabolites for diagnosis of medical conditions has been in existence since ancient times, modern metabolomics analysis began in the mid-1980s with the development of higher-sensitivity nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopes (47). Metabolites are small molecules up to ~1,000 Da and include a spectrum of compounds such as organic acids, amino acids, carbohydrates, peptides, vitamins, steroids, and xenobiotics, among others (24). The fundamental premise in metabolomics analyses is that changes, whether physiological or pathological, cause alterations in the metabolome that are detected as variations in metabolite concentrations. Commonly used metabolomics platforms include nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), and increasingly, studies tend to incorporate multiple techniques. A brief explanation of the typical workflow of a metabolomics study is provided in Fig. 1. Data analysis is the most challenging step in metabolomics experiments, and this is further compounded by the increasing complexity of data sets generated as the machinery improves (45). Detection, quantification, analysis, and careful interpretation of a combination of small molecules generates a metabolic “biopattern,” “profile,” or “fingerprint” that can potentially serve as a biomarker for the underlying condition. Given the scope of this review, only a cursory overview of metabolomics has been provided. More comprehensive information can be found elsewhere in the literature (2, 4, 40, 41).

Fig. 1. Typical workflow cycle of a metabolomics study (steps 1–6). 1) Metabolomics studies start with the selection of adequate samples. 2) Commonly used analytical methods include NMR, GC-MS, and/or liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). These methods may be employed for either global screening of all possible metabolites (untargeted approach) or selected measurement of specific metabolites (targeted approach). 3) Analysis of resultant spectral data. 4) Putative metabolite identification using compound libraries. NMR results are quantitative whereas GC-MS/LC-MS results can be quantitative only in targeted approaches. 5) Univariate and multivariate statistical analysis. The most commonly used multivariate analyses include principal component analysis and partial least squares analysis, random forest analysis, support vector machines analysis, and K-means clustering. 6) Interpretation and, if applicable, identification of relevant pathways involved. Instruments shown in step 2 from top down are as follows: Bruker Ascend 900 Aeon NMR (courtesy of Bruker BioSpin Group), Agilent 7200B GC/Q-TOF (© Agilent Technologies, 2014; reproduced with permission, courtesy of Agilent Technologies Incorporated), and Hitachi ChromasterUltra Rs Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatograph (courtesy of Hitachi High-Tech Science Corporation).
WHY IS METABOLOMICS WELL SUITED FOR STUDYING ARDS?

Metabolomics and other multidimensional omics technologies make no assumptions about what is important in a particular disease and therefore are generally unbiased tools for the discovery of old and new disease pathways and processes (18). However, unlike other omics technologies, there are significantly fewer metabolites (~5,000–10,000 identified in humans) than proteins (~1,000,000), transcripts (~100,000), or genes (~25,000; 55). This renders metabolomics data more readily analyzable and compilable into unique biopatterns compared with other omics fields (33, 55). Additionally, metabolomics targets a level downstream in the biological cascade (55), and thus it is closer to phenotype, more reflective of the real-time perturbations in the biological processes, and more responsive to environmental influences among all the omics technologies (38). On the other hand, the inherent nature of ARDS, which requires an environmental trigger for the condition to manifest, is suboptimally suited for certain omics studies. For example, in ARDS genomic studies, individuals with a potential ARDS genetic susceptibility who have not sustained an adequate environmental trigger would not manifest ARDS and could very well be assigned to the control group thereby reducing the statistical power (53).

Furthermore, a vast array of biological samples are amenable to metabolomics analysis without the need for special preparations, unlike other omics, e.g., epigenomics (41); thus it is more technically feasible to use metabolomics for studying ARDS. Serum, plasma, urine, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), tissue samples, and even exhaled breath condensate (EBC) can be used for metabolomics detection after simple preparation (41). The sample types commonly utilized in metabolomics studies of ARDS, their recommended preparation, and their advantages and disadvantages are summarized in Table 1. It can be argued that circulating metabolites (e.g., obtained from serum or plasma) represent the summation of a complex interplay of diverse biological systems and thus fall short of local lung metabolites (e.g., obtained from BALF or EBC) in studying ARDS. Although this argument may be intuitively sound, the local lung sampling techniques provide samples that are scarce in metabolites, often diluted with high concentration of salt, less standardized, and less suitable for prospective follow-up, and the sampling technique (i.e., bronchoscopy) can be overly invasive in the context of critically ill patients. Moreover, as a patchy disease that occurs in consequence to both pulmonary and extrapulmonary causes, ARDS may be more amenable to systemic compartment sampling (i.e., serum/plasma) that allows monitoring of extrapulmonary processes and provides an average estimate of processes occurring in the lungs.

Classically, the interpretation of metabolomics data was fraught with obstacles that hampered the utilization of this technology. Metabolomics results are characterized by high dimensionality (the number of measured metabolites is multiple orders of magnitude larger than the number of observations/samples), multicollinearity (several metabolites can be highly correlated because of both technical reasons, where a metabolite may have more than one signature, and biological reasons, where metabolites belonging to the same biological network are interconnected; 8), and high variability (due to analytical deviations; for example, deviations in LC-MS may be related to column degradation, sample carryover, or small fluctuations in room temperature and mobile phase pH; 49). Such challenges explain the extreme uncertainty that once shrouded metabolomics results; however, the growing computational power in the past decade coupled with bioinformatics advances have made tangible progress in this regard (49).

Our judgement of the potential of metabolomics as an ARDS research tool does not rely solely on the theoretical capabilities of metabolomics but also, similarly, on the practical success metabolomics has proven in other related lung diseases. Metabolomics has been increasingly used for studying a number of lung conditions, especially asthma (21, 26, 66) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (44, 64, 65). Similar to ARDS, these conditions are heterogenous, and the application of metabolomics research helped in redefining their phenotypes (1, 19). Additionally, the application of metabolomics in pneumonia, whether in animal models (59, 60) or clinical studies (39), demonstrated the ability of this technology to provide early diagnosis of inflammatory lung conditions (12).

METABOLOMICS STUDIES OF ARDS

Experimental preclinical animal models of ARDS generally have not fared well in representing the disease in humans (62); therefore, there is a scarcity of metabolomics research in animal models. Devising cultured human cell models of ARDS is not feasible given the complex array of biological tissues involved (lung epithelium, vascular endothelium, immune cells, fibroblasts, etc.). However, some of the cellular responses that occur in ARDS can be inferred from studying cultured human cell models. For example, the effect of bacterial infection on the metabolome of A549 cultured human airway epithelial cells (mimics an infection-related direct lung injury) demonstrated an increase in extracellular secretion of glutamate and pyruvate (28). The study also revealed that concentrations of glycine, aspartate, and alanine were increased in the extracellular space suggesting a reduction in the cellular usage of these amino acids with consequent intracellular overflow and secretion (28).

In one of the earliest clinical ARDS metabolomics studies identified in the literature, Schubert et al. used a targeted GC-MS study to compare metabolites in the exhaled breath of 19 ventilated patients with ARDS and 18 ventilated surgical ICU patients (57). Nine metabolites were profiled, and a significantly decreased level of isoprene was present in the patients with ARDS compared with ventilated ICU controls [9.8 (8.2 ± 21.6) vs. 21.8 (13.9 ± 41.4) nmol·m⁻²·min⁻¹, medians (95% confidence intervals), P = 0.04; 57]. Isoprene is a by-product of cholesterol synthesis through the mevalonate pathway (34). Additionally, isoprene is the most prevalent hydrocarbon in breath (34) though it exhibits a highly variable concentration. The exact physiological role of isoprene remains unclear although it is postulated that it may exert a thermoprotective function in cells exposed to heat stress and may have a protective effect against reactive oxygen species (35). Of note, the small sample size, the lack of exhaled breath follow-up validation studies, and the difficulties associated with reproducibility of GC-MS results make it hard to extrapolate the results to the extremely heterogeneous groups of patients with ARDS.
Table 1. Sample types commonly used for ARDS metabolomics studies

| Sample Type      | Preparation                                                                 | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 | Recommendations                                                                 |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BALF/mini-BALF   | • Centrifuge to remove cells and debris (800 g at 4°C for 10 min)          | • Collected from specific area of the lung (BALF only)                       | • Invasive and not well tolerated in patients with severe ARDS                 | • First-cycle lavage is preferred                                              |
|                  | • Remove supernatant                                                        | • Collected under clinical and reproducible conditions                      | • Diluted (typically 100X); thus not all metabolites can be assessed          | • Correct for dilution with urea ratio (e.g., BALF: plasma)                    |
|                  | • Aliquot and freeze (−80°C) until the time of assay                        |                                                                              | • Difficult to accurately normalize for sample dilution                      | • Consider buffer exchange to remove salt and methanol or acetone precipitation for protein separation |
| Exhaled breath   | • Collect during tidal breathing using a nose clip and a saliva trap        | • Noninvasive                                                               | • Ventilated BALF is less standardized compared with BALF                     |                                                                             |
| condensate       | • Define cooling temperature and collection time                            | • Safe                                                                      | • Very diluted                                                                |                                                                               |
|                  | • Use inert material for condenser                                           | • Suitable for analysis of nonvolatile components                           | • Difficult to standardize                                                     |                                                                               |
|                  | • Do not use resistor and do not use filter between the subject and the condenser | • Suitable for longitudinal study                                           | • Often requires concentration steps leading to variability                  |                                                                               |
|                  |                                                                              | • Feasible in children                                                      | • Potential variability due to differences in droplet dilution                |                                                                               |
|                  |                                                                              |                                                                              | • Samples the entire airway, difficult to localize changes                    |                                                                               |
|                  |                                                                              |                                                                              | • Difficult to normalize metabolites for the total content                   |                                                                               |
|                  |                                                                              |                                                                              | • High variability in sample quality                                          |                                                                               |
| Plasma/serum     | • Collect blood by direct venipuncture, if possible, into a Vacutainer tube | • Minimally invasive                                                       | • Plasma is not well suited for NMR especially if filters are used           | • Refrigeration before or during plasma centrifugation is recommended         |
|                  | • For plasma, make sure theVacutainer tube contains either EDTA or sodium heparin; immediately invert the tube several times to ensure mixture with anticoagulant | • Easy to collect with standardized protocols                              | • Lipid composition dominated by lipoproteins, possibly masking minor components |                                                                             |
|                  | • For serum, make sure theVacutainer tube has no additive; allow the blood to clot at room temperature for at least 30 min | • Widely used in metabolomics studies                                       | • Distant from the tissue of interest, so there is potential bias toward systemic changes in disease |
|                  | • After 30 min of blood collection, centrifuge balanced tubes (15 min at 1,300 g) with no brake to ensure proper separation | • Composition relatively well documented                                  |                                                                              |                                                                             |
|                  | • After centrifugation, use the upper layer (clear and pale yellow in color) and avoid disturbing other layer(s) | • Relatively consistent and easy to define protocol in multicenter studies |                                                                              |                                                                             |
|                  | • Carefully aliquot and freeze (−80°C) in Cryovial                          | • Contains a large number of potential targets                              |                                                                              |                                                                             |
|                   |                                                                              |                                                                              |                                                                              |                                                                              |

ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. [Adapted from Bowler et al. (12) and Wheelock et al. (68).]

Bos et al. performed a similar study, using GC-MS analysis of exhaled breath to compare 23 ventilated patients with ARDS and 20 ventilated ICU control patients (11). Significant differences were seen between ARDS and control patients in three volatile organic compounds: octane, acetaldehyde, and 3-methylheptane; however, as a composite biomarker, the diagnostic accuracy was not particularly high (area under the curve = 0.80, 95% confidence interval = 0.66–0.92; 11). Such a metabolomics signature denotes oxidative stress (62), and in fact, octane is the end product of lipid peroxidation (52). The findings were validated using a cohort of 19 patients with ARDS and 27 controls with moderate diagnostic accuracy (area under the curve = 0.78, 95% confidence interval = 0.65–0.91; 11). However, the study was not able to show a difference between patients with mild and moderate/severe ARDS (P = 0.21), and the identified volatile organic compounds were not correlated with the arterial partial pressure of oxygen-to-fraction of inspired oxygen ratio (Spearman’s correlation r = 0.18, P = 0.27; 11). Additionally, the study could not differentiate between direct and indirect ARDS (P = 0.24). Collectively, these findings suggested a limited clinical utility of EBC GC-MS metabolomics analysis in ARDS.

In a pilot study, Stringer et al. used plasma 1H-NMR to assess metabolic differences between 13 patients with sepsis-induced acute lung injury (ALI; mild ARDS) and 6 healthy controls (63). They identified significant increases in the levels...
of total glutathione, adenosine, and phosphatidylserine and a decreased sphingomyelin level in patients with sepsis-induced ALI compared with healthy controls (63). This metabolomics fingerprint reflects the complex array of biological processes involved in ARDS pathogenesis, namely, oxidative stress (glutathione), energy metabolism (adenosine), apoptosis (phosphatidylserine), and disruption of the endothelial barrier (sphingomyelin; 7, 13, 42). The study demonstrated a very weak association between acute physiology score, myoinositol, and total glutathione (r2 = 0.53, q = 0.25, P = 0.05 and r2 = 0.56, q = 0.25, P = 0.04, respectively), yet suggested they may be used for determination of disease severity. Given the research evidence of the impact of mechanical ventilation on metabolites (31, 48) and the fact that the majority of patients with ARDS have some form of respiratory support, the use of healthy nonventilated controls is a potentially important confounder in the Stringer et al. (63) study.

Stringer and colleagues later performed a follow-up serum 1H-NMR study in 2014 comparing 14 patients with ARDS and 33 unventilated patients with sepsis (61). The study showed an association between ARDS and a metabolomics profile of increased concentrations of phosphatidylserine, total lipids, total methylene lipids, and total cholines at the time of presentation to the emergency department (61). The concentrations of identified metabolites further increased in the ARDS group after 72 h of admission (61). Increased methylene lipids (-CH2)n, total cholines, and phosphatidylserine have been linked to apoptosis-induced disintegration of cell membranes (61).

Evans et al. performed a metabolomics study using BALF and untargeted LC-MS to assess metabolic differences between 18 patients with ARDS (sepsis-induced, pneumonia-induced, and aspiration-induced) and 8 healthy controls (22). The study found increased levels of guanosine, xanthine, hypoxanthine, and lactate and a decreased level of phosphatidylcholine in patients with ARDS (22). Phosphatidylcholine is the most abundant phospholipid in the lung surfactant, and its level inversely correlates with lung injury caused by inflammatory cells (62). Remarkably, several uric acid precursor metabolites were profoundly increased (hypoxanthine 41-fold, xanthine 19-fold, and guanosine 4-fold). Uric acid further aggravates the lung injury by inducing acute inflammation, let alone its production by xanthine oxidase enzyme, which intensifies the oxidative stress by liberating O2 (62).

Rai et al. used nonbronchoscopic mini-BALF samples analyzed by high-resolution 800-MHz 1H-NMR to compare 21 patients with ARDS (10 ARDS and 11 ALI) and 9 ventilated ICU control patients (51). This pilot study showed significant differences in isoleucine, valine, lysine, leucine, lactate, threonine, alanine, betaine, arginine, choline, ethanol, and proline concentrations between controls versus ALI/ARDS cohort (R2Y = 0.89 and Q2 = 0.84). Increased lactate concentration in the ALI/ARDS cohort (P = 0.001) denotes lung inflammation and anaerobic metabolism (70).

In 2014, Singh and colleagues performed another high-resolution 800-MHz 1H-NMR pilot study to compare the serum of 26 patients with ARDS (sepsis, pneumonia, malaria, chronic alcoholism, and acute pancreatitis) and 19 ICU controls ventilated for nonrespiratory reasons (neuromuscular diseases, Guillain-Barre syndrome, and pancreatitis). The study demonstrated a panel of metabolites composed of lipids, branched-chain amino acids (BCA), alanine, acetate, N-acetyl glycoproteins, glutamate, glutamine, acetocetate, creatinine, histidine, formate, and lactate that could differentiate patients with ARDS from control patients (58). The increase in BCA levels has been attributed to protein catabolism that is associated with lung injury and infections (58).

Rogers et al. sought to prove ARDS metabolic heterogeneity and hypothesized the presence of a subset of ARDS with a distinct metabolic profile (54). They compared the edema fluid of 16 patients with ARDS and 13 controls with hydrostatic pulmonary edema using untargeted metabolomics (ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for basic species, acidic species, and lipids). The study identified 6 patients with “hypermetabolic subtype” ARDS (6/16, 38%) mostly caused by nonpulmonary sepsis who had higher levels of 235 metabolites and were different from the rest of the patients with ARDS (10/16, 62%). The group compared their findings with Calfee et al.’s results (14); however, because of lack of plasma samples a conclusion was not possible (54). The study could not differentiate between patients with ARDS and hydrostatic pulmonary edema controls (54). Pathway analysis of the 235 significant metabolites revealed a statistically significant overrepresentation of a single pathway (alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism). The strong suggestion of ARDS subgroups defined by metabolites makes this study particularly interesting.

Finally, Viswan et al. sought to better characterize ARDS severity using high-resolution 800-MHz 1H-NMR. Mini-BALF samples (n = 36) were collected from patients with mild ARDS (n = 13) and moderate/severe ARDS (n = 23). A total of 29 metabolites were identified in the mini-BALF samples. A final predictive model consisting of 6 metabolites (proline, lysine/arginine, taurine, threonine, and glutamate) was constructed to separate mild and moderate/severe ARDS (accuracy = 0.91, R2Y = 0.72, and Q2 = 0.60; 67). Pathway analysis indicated the dysregulation of arginine and proline metabolism; lysine biosynthesis and degradation; aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis; taurine and hypotaurine metabolism; glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism; d-glutamine and d-glutamate metabolism; and alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism (67). Interestingly, an increased level of taurine has been linked to the severity of lung inflammation (69). Taurine regulates epithelial cells’ osmotic balance and plays a role in the protection against oxidative damage caused by neutrophil myeloperoxidase (16). It is noteworthy that despite the good metrics of the final ARDS severity predictive model, the initial unsupervised principal component analysis scatterplot shows a complete overlap between mild and moderate/severe ARDS.

Table 2 summarizes all the human ARDS studies discussed above. We have run an integrative pathway analysis using 27 significant metabolites (acetate, alanine, lysine, aspartate, glutathione, formate, arginine, glutamine, acetaldehyde, choline, leucine, histidine, proline, phosphatidylycholine, acetocetate, valine, lactate, threonine, adenosine, hypoxanthine, xanthine, guanosine, isoleucine, ethanol, sphingomyelin, betaine, and phosphatidylserine) identified in the studies that compared ARDS and controls. The pathways were plotted using CytoScape v.3.6.0 software (MetScape plugin v.3.1.3; Supplemental Fig. S1; Supplemental Material for this article is available online at the American Journal of Physiology Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology website). Comprehensive details of
the metabolites used are provided in Supplemental Table S1. Despite the apparent discrepancies between the studies’ results, the plot demonstrates an overall biological relatedness among the identified metabolites. This may be explained by the multicollinear nature of the metabolites along with differences in sample types, sample preparations, and analytical sensitivities that collectively can favor the selection of one or another metabolite among a group of biologically interconnected metabolites. The plot generally reflects a biological profile of deranged energy metabolism (activation of glycolysis and gluconeogenesis), enhanced collagen synthesis and fibrosis (arginine and proline metabolism), negative nitrogen balance (urea cycle), inflammation (glycerophospholipid metabolism), and disturbed cellular turnover (purine and pyrimidine metabolism) that is commonly perceived in patients with ARDS. Yet careful examination of the results reveals discrepancies related to the variability in study population and control group, disease. Yet careful examination of the results reveals discrepancies related to the variability in study population and control group, and the differences in the analytical methods used. This emphasizes the critical need for standardizing the recruitment of patients, inclusion of a proper ARDS study cohort representative of the disease. Yet careful examination of the results reveals discrepancies related to the variability in study population and control group, and the differences in the analytical methods used. This emphasizes the critical need for standardizing the recruitment of patients, inclusion of a proper ARDS study cohort representative of the disease. Yet careful examination of the results reveals discrepancies related to the variability in study population and control group, and the differences in the analytical methods used. This emphasizes the critical need for standardizing the recruitment of patients, inclusion of a proper ARDS study cohort representative of the disease.
spectrum of the condition, inclusion of ventilated ICU controls, careful matching between the controls and the study cohort, and carefully standardizing the sampling and preparation techniques. Additionally, it is crucially important to increase the studies’ sample size in general, verify findings in independent validation studies, and run prospective longitudinal studies to ascertain enough statistical power, ensure the reproducibility of the results, and track the disease development process, respectively. There is a lack of consensus as to which sample type is most appropriate for ARDS metabolomics studies. We think that readily available noninvasive sample types, e.g., plasma and serum, are suitable choices in critically ill patients, though only good-quality studies and time will tell.

On the other hand, the very nature of identified metabolites (which can be highly correlated) together with the variability in data-processing steps (normalization, transformation, and centering) and statistical methods used may also be contributing to the disagreements in results. Again, this stresses the importance of standardization, comparison, and consensus building in this area (12).

In a notoriously heterogeneous condition such as ARDS, devising a disease subclassification system that is deeply ingrained on the underlying pathological molecular mechanisms (so-called “endotyping”) will greatly improve our understanding of the disease. Out of the aforementioned ARDS studies, only that of Rogers et al. (54) followed this approach using metabolomics. Further studies along this line can be improved by applying strategies for increasing statistical power and standardization. Endotyping is a major change in the thought process about ARDS that will potentially reformulate the present definition of the condition and allow proper selection of uniform patient subsets for further research, and it may even help in discovering specific therapies.

CONCLUSIONS

The quest to identify useful ARDS diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers has continued for four decades, yet this endeavor has been constantly hampered by the inherent heterogeneity of the disease, the inclusion of small sample sizes, the suboptimal selection of controls, the lack of validation of findings, and the absence of prospective longitudinal studies. Following major breakthroughs achieved in examining similarly heterogeneous disorders, such as asthma (18, 20), the approach of subclassifying ARDS according to the driving molecular mechanisms rather than empirical clinical features could improve several aspects of care. The nascent field of metabolomics has a promising capability for biomarker discovery as demonstrated in several preliminary small ARDS studies. This type of work should be encouraged and supported in the future. Proper validation of any of the findings is critical in metabolomics-based studies in the future.
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