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Magnetic impurities deposited on topological superconductor candidate PbTaSe$_2$ can introduce a nonsplitting zero-energy state inside the superconducting gap, which has been proposed as a field-free platform for topological zero modes. However, it is still unclear how robust the topological state in PbTaSe$_2$ is against magnetic impurities, which is related to the topological nature of the zero-energy state as well as its potential for quantum computation. In this paper, we use scanning tunneling microscopy to study the topological surface state in the normal state of PbTaSe$_2$ under the perturbation of magnetic impurities. We visualize the quasiparticle interference (QPI) arising from the topological surface state. We then deposit Fe impurities on the surface to form atomic Fe adatoms. We find that each Fe adatom sits at a unique interstitial position on the surface and features a local state at high energies, both of which are consistent with our first-principles calculation that further reveals its large magnetic moment. Our systematic Fe deposition and subsequent measurements show that the arclike QPI pattern at the Fermi energy is robust with up to 3% Fe coverage, where the atomic nature of Fe adatoms still holds. Our results provide evidence that the topological surface state at the Fermi energy in PbTaSe$_2$ is robust against dilute magnetic impurities.

The interplay between magnetism, topology, and superconductivity is at the quantum frontier with many open questions [1–4], where inducing magnetism in a superconductor with a topological band structure is a promising research direction [5]. PbTaSe$_2$ is a noncentrosymmetric superconductor [6–12] with the space group of $P6_3m2$ (No.187) and superconducting transition temperature of 3.8 K [Fig. 1(a)]. Theoretical calculations indicate a nonzero $Z_2$ topological invariant in the band structure [9], featuring a spin-helical surface state near the Fermi level, as shown in Fig. 1(b). We observe this pronounced surface state in angle-resolved photoemission consistent with previous measurements [8], and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements have also demonstrated such topological surface states with fully gapped bulk superconductivity [10]. Moreover, deep in the superconducting state, STM has shown a robust zero-energy peak in both the magnetic field-induced vortex core [10] and Fe adatom through atomic deposition [12], making it a tantalizing topological superconductor platform for visualizing Majorana zero modes. However, while the production of Majorana zero modes requires the coexistence of magnetism, topological surface state, and superconductivity, it is largely unclear how robust the topological surface state is against magnetic perturbations. In this paper, we provide experimental evidence to show that the topological surface state is robust against deposited atomic magnetic impurities of up to 3% surface concentrations.

PbTaSe$_2$ has a layered crystal structure consisting of hexagonal lattices [Fig. 1(c)]. Cryogenic cleaving produces either a Pb surface or a Se surface [10]. As the Se surface is found to have certain reconstructions, we therefore focus on the unreconstructed Pb surface in this paper [Fig. 1(d)]. Single crystals of PbTaSe$_2$ were cleaved at 77 K in ultrahigh vacuum. Our STM measurements were all performed at 4.2 K. Topographic images were taken with bias voltage $V = -100$ mV and tunneling current $I = 0.1$ nA. Differential conductance $dldV$ maps for different Fe concentrations were taken at $V = -100$ mV and $I = 0.3$ nA with bias modulation of 5 mV. The $dldV$ map for imaging the wider dispersion of the pristine sample was taken at $V = -1$ V and $I = 1$ nA.
FIG. 1. (a) Transport measurement of PbTaSe\textsubscript{2} showing a large residual-resistance ratio of 130, indicating the high quality of the sample. Inset left: Enlarged resistivity curve near the superconducting transition temperature. Inset right: The optical image of single crystal used in the experiment. (b) Upper: Calculated band structure along a high-symmetry direction with bulk states (orange) and surface states (red) and spin orientation of the surface states are marked. Lower: Photoemission data with photon energy 120 eV, showing the pronounced surface states. (c) Three-dimensional (3D) view of the crystal structure of PbTaSe\textsubscript{2} with a Pb terminating surface. (d) Topographic image of the Pb surface. (e) Topographic image of Pb surfaces with Pb vacancies, which induce anisotropic standing waves. (f) Topographic image of the Pb surface with native impurities. (g) $\frac{dI}{dV}$ map taken at 0 meV for the same area. The inset is the Fourier transform of the map showing arclike quasiparticle interference (QPI) signals. The white solid lines in the inset image connect the six Bragg peaks. (h) QPI dispersion along the dashed line direction marked in the inset of (g), showing a Dirac cone near 1 eV.

We found that the native Pb vacancies on the Pb surface can induce standing waves [Fig. 1(e)]. The standing waves propagate anisotropically instead of simple ringlike signals, indicative of nontrivial scattering processes [4]. We further performed $\frac{dI}{dV}$ mapping on a large Pb surface with native Pb vacancies, as shown in Figs. 1(f) and 1(g). By performing a Fourier transform of the $\frac{dI}{dV}$ map data, we obtained the quasiparticle interference (QPI) signal. The QPI data at Fermi energy manifested themselves as disconnected arcs with six-fold symmetry [Fig. 1(g) inset]. This distinct feature has been identified as a fingerprint for the topological surface states in this material as well as others, including topological insulators [4,18–24]. It arises from the warping of a closed Fermi surface with helical spin texture [25], where the quasiparticle scattering is allowed only along the arc directions. Compared with previous QPI studies [10], we did not observe a clear splitting of the arc feature. The QPI dispersion shown in Fig. 1(h) also confirms that it arises from a Dirac-like band with the Dirac cone energy $\sim 1$ eV, consistent with the first-principles calculation [9,10].

Our previous experiments have shown that, deep in the superconducting state, each Fe adatom locally induces a zero-energy bound state, with each Fe adatom being a strong magnetic impurity [12]. We further investigated its magnetic nature and its impact on the topological surface state.

nA with bias modulation of 15 mV. Magnetic fields were applied under zero-field cooling. We deposited Fe (99.999% purity) while holding the temperature of the cleaved crystal at 77 K. By controlling the deposition rate, we can obtain various adatom Fe surface concentrations, ranging from 0 to 3%, where the atomic nature of the deposited Fe still holds (no clusters). We heated a Fe wire (diameter of 0.5 mm) by applying a direct current of 2 A as the deposition source, which is located 10 cm away from the surface of the sample cooled at 77 K. The deposition rate was found to be $\sim 2\%$ surface concentration per minute, and we controlled the surface concentration of Fe by controlling the deposition time. We estimated the concentration of Fe adatoms by counting the numbers of Fe adatoms relative to the lattice atoms for an area of $200 \times 200$ Å. The error bar of the concentration was estimated to be $\pm 0.2\%$. To determine the exact location and configuration of the Fe atoms, we computed electronic structures using the projector augmented wave method [13,14] as implemented in the VASP package [15–17] within the generalized gradient approximation schemes [18]. The in-plane $4 \times 4$ supercell lattice structures with 4-unit-cell symmetric slabs were used to simulate the single Fe impurity effect. A $5 \times 5 \times 1$ Monkhorst Pack k-point mesh was used in the computations. The spin-orbit coupling effects were included self-consistently.
Figure 2(a) shows a typical atomically resolved topographic image of the deposited Fe atoms on Pb surface, with 0.5% coverage. The deposited Fe atoms form randomly scattered atomic adatom impurities, which appear in the STM scan as bright spots. Each Fe adatom sits in the interstitial position around the center of three Pb surface atoms [Fig. 2(b)]. Moreover, owing to the noncentrosymmetry of the crystal, there should be two inequivalent interstitial positions, which can be noted as α and β. One should be just above the underlying Ta atoms, while the other is not. Analysis of the atomic positions of the Fe adatoms in Fig. 2(c) reveals they are all located in the same position, which we note as α. The fact that all Fe adatoms sit at α suggests that this configuration has the lowest energy, although the experimental data by themselves do not determine whether or not that site is the one above the underlying Ta atoms. Moreover, through the wide-energy $dI/dV$ spectroscopy, we found each Fe adatom induced additional states $\sim -2.5$ eV [Fig. 2(d)]. The atomic position and induced states of an Fe adatom observed here offer constraints for the first-principles study of its magnetism.

By calculating the energy configurations and magnetic moments for different positions, as illustrated in the lower panel of Fig. 2(e), we find that, when the Fe atom is at the interstitial position that is above a Ta atom, the total energy is substantially minimized [Fig. 2(e)]. This calculation result supports that the experimentally observed α position is that above a Ta atom. Moreover, at this position, Fe carries a large magnetic moment of 4.7 $\mu_B$, much higher than the moment of Fe in bulk of 2.2 $\mu_B$. To understand this unusually strong magnetism, we calculate the spin and orbital-resolved density of states for the Fe atom at this position, the Pb atom nearby, and the Pb atom far away from Fe, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2(f). Consistent with our experiments, the calculated density of states near the Fe impurity has additional states $\sim -2.5$ eV. In our calculation for states of Fe and far away Pb atoms, there are also less pronounced differences at other energies, which are not clearly detected in our experiments. We further find that the charge number of the Pb atom (calculated to be 3.2 based on the integration of occupied density of states) near Fe is larger than other Pb atoms (calculated to be 2.9) on the surface. In addition, the $p$ orbitals of nearby Pb atoms share similar energy levels as the $d$ orbitals of Fe at $-2.5$ eV. These findings suggest that the electrons transfer from Fe to Pb due to the $d$-$p$ hybridization, causing large exchange splitting in the Fe $d$ orbital and consequently a large magnetic moment. The vertical $d$ orbital can hybridize strongly with planar
FIG. 3. (a) Real space $dI/dV$ maps at zero energy for different Fe adatom concentrations. (b) Fourier transform of $dI/dV$ maps. The scattering vectors broaden as Fe impurity concentration increases; however, no clear new scattering channels are introduced, and the sixfold arc pattern persists, indicating the robustness of the topological surface state. (c) Quasiparticle interference (QPI) dispersions taken along the direction marked by the dashed lines in (b).

$p$ orbitals, and the splitting of the $d_{z^2}$ orbital is larger than others. We also evaluate the spin anisotropy energy by calculating the total energy of the system with aligning the spin of the Fe adatom either along the $c$ axis ($S_z$) or the $a$ axis ($S_x$). We find that the energy of spin along $S_z$ is slightly lower than $S_x$, which is $\sim 7$ meV, indicating the spin favors the $z$ direction.

Having determined the atomic and magnetic characteristics of the individual impurity, we now examine the impact of magnetic impurities on the topological surface state. Taking differential conductance maps for each impurity concentration [Fig. 3(a)], we analyze the QPI patterns derived from the fast Fourier transforms. We find that, while the arclike QPI signal broadens with increasing Fe adatom concentration, no obvious new scattering vectors [Fig. 3(b)] or changes in the dispersion pocket [Fig. 3(c)] are introduced by the atomic Fe impurities. These observations suggest that, despite some decoherence, the topological surface states are robust against atomic Fe impurities up to 3% and that the spin-momentum-locked electronic structure around $E_F$ remains unaltered. This observation of robust arclike QPI pattern is like that observed in magnetic topological insulators Bi$_{2-x}$Mn$_x$Te$_3$ [26] but different from that observed in Bi$_{2-x}$Fe$_x$Te$_3$ and Bi$_2$Te$_3$ with surface Mn impurities where new scattering channels are created by magnetic impurities [27,28]. As can be seen in our data, the surface state dispersion is very steep with a large Fermi velocity of 2.5 eVÅ, and the Dirac cone is located far from the Fermi level ($\sim +1$ eV). The magnetism that breaks time-reversal symmetry can strongly affect the spin-orbit structure near the surface Dirac cone but only weakly perturb the state far from it, which is consistent with our observation that the topological surface state near the Fermi level is robust. We also note that our QPI dispersion data in Fig. 3(c) reveal a broad conelike feature, with the cone energy being $\sim 65$ meV for all concentrations. The origin of this feature is unclear to us, and whether it arises from the surface projection of the bulk Dirac-like bands (for instance, the Dirac nodal line) deserves further attention.

The experiments demonstrated here suggest that the low-energy topological surface state with a helical spin texture can be taken as an invariant in studying this superconductor with dilute magnetic impurities. This observation is consistent with the topological interpretation of the non-splitting zero-energy states observed at Fe adatoms in several topological superconductor candidates, including Fe(Te,Se), LiFeAs, and PbTaSe$_2$ [12,29–32], and encourages further exploration of topological zero modes in similar platforms with a combination of topology, magnetism, and quantum ordering [33–35].
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