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Abstract: Explorative solid-state chemistry led to the discovery of the two new compounds Ga$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) and In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH). Extreme synthetic conditions within the range of 12 GPa and a temperature of 1450°C realized in a Walker-type multianvil apparatus resulted in the formation of an unprecedented tetragonal structure with the exclusive presence of condensed BO$_4$ tetrahedra, forming cuboctahedral cavities. Doping of these cavities with Er$^{3+}$ in In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) yielded an orange-red luminescence. Photocatalytic tests of In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) revealed a hydrogen production rate comparable to TiO$_2$ but completely co-catalyst free.

The transition from limited fossil fuels to renewable energy sources is one of the main challenges of humankind. In our timescale, the sun presents an eternal and plentiful source of energy. Ways of direct solar to chemical energy conversion sources is one of the main challenges of humankind. In our timescale, the sun presents an eternal and plentiful source of energy. Ways of direct solar to chemical energy conversion have been investigated since the first findings of Fujishima and Honda in 1972. Although hydrogen is a conveniently usable fuel, for example, in hydrogen fuel cells, the storage of the volatile gas is an unresolved issue. A possible solution may be the storage in form of a hydrocarbon, for example, methanol. Hydrogen is then retrieved from the storage molecule through a reformation process. To enable this hydrogen liberation, metal borates gained significance in the recent years as possible photocatalysts, a result amongst other things of their great stability. Especially triel borates not only shifted into our research focus, but have been examined for their photocatalytic activity lately by other groups as well.

Unlike most other compounds, our newly discovered indium borate In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) produces hydrogen quite effectively without a co-catalyst as explained herein.

Apart from its interesting properties, In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) is a member of the new borate structure type $M_5B_{12}O_{25}$(OH), which is (besides MBO$_3$) the second known borate structure incorporating both gallium and indium as metal cations. In the system of In-B-O-H borates, three other compounds (H$_3$InB$_{10}$O$_{30}$, In$_3$B$_2$O$_{24}$(OH)$_3$, and In$_2$B$_2$O$_{16}$(OH)$_6$) have been published in the years 2010, 2016, and 2018 indicating the actuality of this research field. For the system Ga-B-O-H, Ga$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) is now besides Ga$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH)$_5$.H$_2$BO$_3$.H$_2$O and Ga$_3$B$_2$O$_7$(OH)$_2$ the third known hydroxylated borate.

Herein we elucidate the discovery of the two new compounds M$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) ($M$ = Ga, In) synthesized via high-pressure/high-temperature syntheses, their crystal structures, Raman and IR spectroscopic investigations, and the surprising results of the photocatalytic experiments with In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH). Additionally, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and luminescence measurements of the europium-doped indium compound are presented.

M$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) ($M$ = Ga, In) crystallizes in the tetragonal space group $I4_1/acd$ (no. 142, origin choice 2) with eight formula units ($Z$ = 8) per unit cell. The lattice parameters of the quite large unit cells of both isotypes are shown in Table S2 (see Supporting Information). Interestingly, these borates share great structural similarities with a high-pressure oxinitridophosphate synthesized by Marchuk et al. in 2014. A brief comparison of the compounds is given in the Supporting Information.

In $M_5B_{12}O_{25}$(OH) ($M$ = Ga, In), the metal cations constitute two crystallographically different octahedra and in accordance with these harsh high-pressure conditions all boron atoms are coordinated by four oxygen atoms each, composing large corner-sharing networks. For the visualization of the metal–oxygen polyhedra and their correlation with the hydrogen bonds, Ga$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH) was chosen, because for this compound the proton could be located via the difference Fourier syntheses. Figure 1 shows the arrangement of the GaO$_6$ octahedra in and throughout the unit cell. Both, the green and orange polyhedra represent the isolated double units of edge-sharing GaO$_6$ octahedra. Along the crystallographic c axis, every second unit is displaced along b or rotated by 90° pertaining to the corresponding double-entity. The distorted GaO$_6$ octahedra with the half-occupied, deflected Ga2 positions in the center are positioned along the 4 inversion axis (light-blue in Figure 1). Each GaO$_6$
octahedron is surrounded by four Ga$_1$O$_{10}$ double-entities and connected to one of these through a hydrogen bond. There are four possible hydrogen atom sites as depicted in Figure 2, but since the hydrogen atom has an occupancy of 0.25, only one of these positions is occupied at a time. It seems likely that when, referring to Figure 2, one of the lower hydrogen bonds is formed, the upper Ga$_2$ atom is occupied and vice versa. Although the hydrogen atom in In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$-(OH) could not be located during the single-crystal structure refinement, a similar situation is expected, as the In$_2$-octahedron shows the same distortion as Ga$_2$. The M–O bond lengths in the octahedra of Ga$_2$ and In$_2$ are hence slightly longer as in the regular Ga$_1$ and In$_1$ octahedra, respectively. With average interatomic distances of 1.97 and 2.15 Å and individual values ranging from 1.909(2)–2.065(2) Å for Ga$_1$ and 2.069(2)–2.247(2) Å for In$_1$, the distances are in good agreement with those reported in the literature. The distorted octahedra exhibit with 2.10 and 2.36 Å larger average distances and also quite uncommon maximal lengths of 2.307(2) Å for Ga$_2$ and 2.748(2) Å for In$_2$. All bond lengths and angles for the metal octahedra as well as for the hydrogen bonds can be found in the Tables S5–S7 in the Supporting Information. The network of corner-sharing BO$_4$ tetrahedra in this new borate structure type is rather complicated as there are 96 BO$_4$ tetrahedra built up of three crystallographically different boron atoms in the unit cell. Alternately, a set of twelve corner-sharing BO$_4$ tetrahedra forms either a cuboctahedral cage or two six-membered curved strings that enlace the distorted In$_2$O$_6$ and accordingly Ga$_2$O$_6$ octahedra. The cuboctahedral cages can be looked upon as a tetrahedral arrangement of four dreier rings which in doing so additionally form four sechser rings. Both of these described structural motifs and their alternative arrangement throughout the unit cell are visualized in Figure 3. Illustrations showing the displacement ellipsoids of all atoms are given in the Supporting Information (Figures S2 and S3). All BO$_4$ tetrahedra show reasonable bond lengths and angles as can be checked in the Tables S5 and S6 in the Supporting Information. All BO$_4$ tetrahedra are. Thus, the cuboctahedral cages are with a diameter of approximately 5.4 Å large enough to accommodate a rare earth cation like Eu$^{3+}$, Sm$^{3+}$, or even Ce$^{3+}$. In Ga$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{25}$(OH), these cavities are empty, whereas in the Eu$^{3+}$-doped Indium analogue, electron density indicating an integration of 2% Eu could be found. Hence, Eu$^{3+}$ was positioned in the center of...
these cuboctahedral cages with a site occupancy factor of 0.02, which means every 50th cavity in In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH):Eu$^{3+}$ is statistically occupied with europium. The refinement of such a small amount of activator ion is remarkable and was only possible because Eu$^{3+}$ is not competing with In$^{3+}$ but fills otherwise empty cavities in the structure.

To our surprise, the compound In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH) showed extraordinary high performance for photocatalytic hydrogen production from methanol. The rate of hydrogen evolution was determined to be 220 ± 20 μmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ ($s = 11$ μmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$, $N = 12$, $p = 95\%$). Although the sample was not phase pure, comparative experiments with the byproduct In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH)$_2$ showed no hydrogen evolution at all on the timescale of interest.$^{[16]}$ Therefore, the photocatalytic activity stems from In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH) and by accounting for the inactive byproduct In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH)$_2$, the rate can be estimated to be even higher. Figure 4 shows the hydrogen production over 12 h of our sample as well as the background measurement of pure methanol.

A discussion of the mechanism has been given for a comparable borate structure.$^{[8]}$ It has been clearly shown that these borates have semiconductor properties and therefore the conduction band delivers electrons. The photocatalytic conditions were adapted to UV light, since an irradiation above 300 nm does not contribute to the hydrogen production. Therefore, it can be concluded that the band gap is in the region of 4.1 eV. The semiconductor plays the combined role of light absorber and proton reduction catalyst. Methanol delivers electrons as the sacrificial donor and oxidation products thereof have been found via quadrupole mass spectrometry. This means that the band gap and the band edges are suitable for H$^-$ reduction and methanol oxidation, thus no further component is necessary and the system is co-catalyst free.

TiO$_2$ as catalyst for photocatalytic hydrogen production was thoroughly studied and improved in an ongoing effort for many years. Although the comparison of literature is delicate because of different illumination setups, up to recent publications the activity of In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH) is superior or on a level with precious-metal-doped TiO$_2$.$^{[10]}$ Lin and co-workers showed an activity of roughly 30 μmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ for Pt-loaded TiO$_2$.$^{[11]}$ Compared to other recently published borates of the 13th group of the periodic table, the herein described In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH) shows a high hydrogen evolution rate even without commonly employed co-catalysts, such as Ni, Pt, or Ru.$^{[12,4b,5,6,12]}$

Previously published catalysts with hydrogen evolution rates of 2.8$^{[4b]}$ and 120 μmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$$^{[4c]}$ show the development of new photocatalytically active phases. The approach of explorative solid-state chemistry under extreme conditions lets us expect new and interesting catalysts to be prepared in the near future, since there is a huge space for the catalytic fine-tuning of this class of compounds. Potential applications are widespread, from a primary energy source via artificial photosynthesis, to hydrogen production from room-temperature methanol reformation.

To test its luminescence properties, a powder sample containing In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH):Eu$^{3+}$ was excited using a 460 nm laser. The resulting luminescence spectrum exhibits typical peaks for Eu$^{3+}$ emission as shown in Figure 5. The predominant intensity between 580–620 nm confirms the orange–red luminescence impression of the powder sample. Based on literature comparisons, the common $^3D_{0} \rightarrow ^7F_{J}$ transitions for Eu$^{3+}$ were assigned as following: The most intense peaks at 585 and 600 nm can be attributed to $^3D_{0} \rightarrow ^7F_{2}$ transitions, the subsequent weaker peaks most likely stem from $^3D_{0} \rightarrow ^7F_{4}$ transitions, and the little bump at 698 nm can be explained with $^3D_{0} \rightarrow ^7F_{3}$ transitions.$^{[13]}$ The relative intensities of the $^3D_{0} \rightarrow ^7F_{J}$ transitions are significantly higher than those of the $^3D_{0} \rightarrow ^7F_{J}$ transitions, which is characteristic for (pseudo)centrosymmetric Eu$^{3+}$ positions in the crystal structure.$^{[14]}$ In contrast to the sidephase In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH)$_2$,$^{[4c]}$ in the examined powder sample, In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH):Eu$^{3+}$ is centrosymmetric and the Eu$^{3+}$ ion could be located at the symmetric Wyckoff position 8a. Therefore, and because europium could not be

---

**Figure 4.** Hydrogen production: Irradiation of 0.30 mg of an In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH) sample in 5 mL methanol with a 700 W Hg medium pressure lamp.

**Figure 5.** Luminescence spectrum of In$_5$B$_{12}$O$_{32}$(OH):Eu$^{3+}$. 
detected via EDX in a single-crystal of the side phase \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \), originating from the two-phase powder sample, we claim that the luminescence spectrum (see Figure 5) is representative for \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)}\):Eu\(^{3+}\).

To confirm the presence of europium in \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)}\):Eu\(^{3+}\), EDX was performed on a single-crystal, which had in advance been tested on a single-crystal X-ray diffractometer to be the desired phase by determining its lattice parameters. The analyzed single-crystal of \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)}\):Eu\(^{3+}\) clearly contained europium. However, the semi-quantitative measurement under low vacuum did not really allow to specify quantitatively the amount of Eu. A picture of the examined single-crystal as well as the EDX spectrum and the expected and measured elemental ratios can be found in the Supporting Information (Figure S4, S5 and Table S8).

The single-crystal IR and Raman spectra of \( \text{Ga}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) and \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) can be found in the Supporting Information (Figures S6, S7). Typical vibrations of \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) or \( \text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3 \) octahedra appear at the lowest wavenumbers up to about 800 cm\(^{-1}\)[10-13]. While those peaks in the IR spectra overlap with bands of the \( \text{BO}_3 \) bending and stretching vibrations, usually occurring at 800–1150 cm\(^{-1}\), they can be distinguished in the Raman spectra.[14] In both, the Raman and IR spectra, peaks at high wavenumbers confirm the presence of the protons. According to Hammer et al.[17] the hydrogen bonds, which could be determined for \( \text{Ga}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \), lie with an average D–A distance of 2.74 Å in the crossover between weak and strong hydrogen bonds and should therefore appear around 3200 cm\(^{-1}\).

Herein, we reported on the new borate structure type \( \text{M}_3\text{B}_2\text{O}_5\text{(OH)} \) (\( \text{M} = \text{Ga, In} \)), which could be synthesized under extreme high-pressure/high-temperature conditions with either \( \text{Ga}^{3+} \) or \( \text{In}^{3+} \) as metal cations. In its large unit cell, the structure type comprises various interesting structural motifs, such as cuboctahedral tetrahedra-formations or isolated edge-sharing octahedra double-units. The indium-containing compound could be doped with 2% Eu\(^{3+}\) and showed luminescence when irradiated with a suitable laser. During the refinement, it was possible to locate the Eu\(^{3+}\) ions in the otherwise empty cuboctahedral vacancies built up by the \( \text{BO}_3 \) tetrahedra cages. Furthermore, \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) was tested for its photocatalytic activity and indeed produced significant amounts of hydrogen without a co-catalyst without being degraded itself. In our assessment, the research field of high-pressure indium and gallium borates has only just opened, promising not only further structure types to be discovered, but also possible applications, such as the demonstrated photocatalytic properties.

**Experimental Section**

\( \text{Ga}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) and its indium isotype were both synthesized via solid state reaction in a multianvil press under extreme conditions of 11.0 GPa and 1450°C for \( \text{Ga}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) and 12.2 GPa and 1450°C for \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \). Experimental details of the syntheses can be found in the Supporting Information. Both compounds could not be obtained phase-pure. The best synthesis of \( \text{Ga}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) was carried out with \( \beta\)-\( \text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3 \) (Strem Chemicals, Kehl, Germany, 99.9%) and \( \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \) (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany, 99.5%) in the stoichiometric ratio of \( \text{Ga}:\text{B} = 1:2.4 \) according to Equation (1). The reaction product additionally contained \( \text{GaBO}_3 \)[15] and an unidentified byproduct.

\[
5\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3 + 24\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{Ga}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} + 35\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

(1)

Concerning phase purity—the most successful synthesis of \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)} \) was achieved by a molar ratio of \( \text{In}:\text{B} = 1:1.8 \) starting from \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) (ChemPUR, Karlsruhe, Germany, 99.9%) and \( \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \) encapsulated in gold foil. As side phase, \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)}\):Eu\(^{3+}\) formed. The phase fractions were determined via Rietveld[19] (see Supporting Information). For the synthesis of the europium doped \( \text{In}_2\text{B}_3\text{O}_8\text{(OH)}\):Eu\(^{3+}\), approximately 1.5 weight% \( \text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 \) was added to the eud mixture. Detailed initial weights can also be found in the Supporting Information. All products appeared as clean-colorless powders, the product of the Eu containing synthesis showed orange-red luminescence under UV light excitation.
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