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We consider the three-dimensional spin-orbit-coupled Luttinger semimetal of “spin” 3/2 particles in presence of weak attractive interaction in the $l = 1$ ($p$-wave) channel, and determine the low-temperature phase diagram for both particle- and hole dopings. The phase diagram depends crucially on the sign of the chemical potential, with two different states (with total angular momentum $j = 0$ and $j = 3$) competing on the hole-doped side, and three (one $j = 1$ and two different $j = 2$) states on the particle-doped side. The ground-state condensates of Cooper pairs with the total angular momentum $j = 1, 2, 3$ are selected by the quartic, and even sextic terms in the Ginzburg-Landau free energy. Interestingly, we find that all the $p$-wave ground states appearing in the phase diagram, while displaying different patterns of reduction of the rotational symmetry, preserve time reversal. The resulting quasiparticle spectrum is either fully gapped or with point nodes, with nodal lines being absent.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Luttinger semimetals are three-dimensional materials, which due to their strong spin-orbit coupling exhibit band inversion and the concomitant parabolic dispersion at the Fermi level \cite{1}. When undoped, the vanishing density of states leaves the Coulomb interaction unscreened, and the system is expected to exhibit a non-Fermi liquid ground state \cite{2–6}. When the density of carriers is even slightly finite, on the other hand, many Luttinger semimetals become superconductors with sizable critical temperatures \cite{7–9}. The resulting superconducting phases appear to be unconventional, and the pairing interaction, the pattern of broken symmetry, quasiparticle spectrum, topological characteristics, and the behavior in the magnetic field have all been recently under investigation \cite{10–14}. The main conceptual novelty arises from the fact that the effective spin of the Luttinger fermions is 3/2. The total spin of the Cooper pair can therefore assume unusually large values, and be $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Depending on the angular momentum $l$ of the attractive pairing channel, Fermi statistics then constrains the Cooper pairs to assume quantum numbers $(l, s)$ with $l + s$ even. Whereas the $s$-wave state $(0, 0)$ would be quite conventional and fully gapped, the $d$-wave states $(0, 2)$ \cite{10, 12, 13} and $(2, 0)$ \cite{15, 16}, due to their multicomponent nature already are not. In the latter case, for example, one can show that there are two nearly degenerate BCS ground state that both break time-reversal symmetry, but have rather different average magnetization \cite{17}. Competition between various $d$-wave states in related systems has also been studied in the distant \cite{18, 19} and recent past \cite{20, 21}.

In this paper we study the superconductivity of Luttinger fermions with simplest non-local BCS pairing interaction, with the attraction in the channel with $l = 1$. The relevant $p$-wave superconducting states then can have the Cooper pairs with spin $s$ either $s = 1$ or $s = 3$, and allowing for the possibility that the pairing interaction may be spin dependent, we parametrize the interaction in these two channels with two phenomenological parameters $V_1$ and $V_3$, respectively. We assume the $SO(3)$ rotational invariance, for reasons of simplicity, but also since we expect that the effect of long-range Coulomb interaction is in general to make the parabolic dispersion isotropic at low dopings \cite{2, 22}. Total angular momentum of the Cooper pairs with $(l, s) = (1, 1)$ can therefore be $j = 0, 1, 2$, and with $(l, s) = (1, 3)$, $j = 2, 3, 4$. In the weak-coupling approach two immediate questions then emerge: (1) what is the value of $j$ of the superconducting ground state at a given $V_1$ and $V_3$, and then, (2) for that value of $j$, which state in the $2j + 1$-dimensional Hilbert space is the actual ground state? The answer, surprisingly, strongly depends on the sign of the chemical potential, as already noted in Ref. \cite{15}; for $\mu < 0$ (hole doping) the electrons at the Fermi level have the magnetic quantum number $\pm 3/2$, and the computation of the superconducting susceptibility of the normal state in different superconducting channels shows that the com-
FIG. 2: The low-temperature phase diagram for positive chemical potential, when the Fermi level intersects the states with the magnetic quantum number $\pm 1/2$. The two possible values of the total angular momentum of the condensate $j$ is either one or two. If the interaction parameters $V_1$ and $V_3$ are such that the $j = 1$ condensate forms, the superconducting state is the time-reversal-invariant state $|1, 0\rangle$. When the condensate has $j = 2$, two superconducting ground state are possible: the uniaxial nematic state, $|2, 0\rangle$, and the biaxial nematic state, $(|2, -2\rangle + |2, +2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.

The main competition is between the rotationally invariant $j = 0$ state, and the $j = 3$ states, with the phase boundary between the two at $V_3/V_1 = 7/5$. Computing the coefficients of the four independent fourth-order terms in the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) free energy [23] shows then that among the $j = 3$ states the lowest free energy right below the transition temperature belongs to the time-reversal-invariant state $(|3, +2\rangle - |3, -2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ (Fig. 1), in the standard notation $|j, m_j\rangle$, with $m_j = -j, ... j$. The phase diagram of the $j = 3$ superfluid is quite intricate [23] and exhibits eleven different phases. The ground state that we obtained is symmetric under the cubic group, which happens to be the largest discrete subgroup of the $SO(3)$ that is available in its seven-dimensional irreducible representation. The $j = 3$ ground state exhibits six point nodes in the quasiparticle spectrum.

When the system is particle doped and the chemical potential is positive the Fermi level intersects the single-particle states with the magnetic quantum number $\pm 1/2$. The main competition then turns out to be between the $j = 1$ and the $j = 2$ states. The interesting new element is that when the interaction is attractive in both $s = 1$ and $s = 3$ channels, and the parameters $V_1$ and $V_3$ are both negative, $j = 2$ state becomes a superposition of $(1, 1)$ and $(1, 3)$ pairing states [15, 25]. From the susceptibility of the normal state one finds that the $T = 0$ phase transition between $j = 1$ and $j = 2$ states is at $V_3/V_1 = 7/27$. Considering the two independent fourth-order terms in the GL free energy, we find that the lowest energy $j = 1$ state is the time-reversal-invariant state $|1, 0\rangle$, which breaks the $SO(3)$ rotational symmetry down to $SO(2)$, with the quasiparticle spectrum showing two point nodes located at the axis of symmetry. On the $j = 2$ side of the transition the superposition depends on the ratio $V_3/V_1$, and therefore the coefficients of the three independent fourth-order terms that determine the lowest energy state [13, 18] become functions of this ratio as well. The detailed computation of the coefficients of the fourth-order terms implies however that the ground state always preserves the time-reversal symmetry, but leaves the well-known degeneracy of such “real” states, which is resolved only by taking the sixth-order terms in the GL free energy into the account. This finally yields the phase diagram in Fig. 2, where two time-reversal-symmetric states emerge: the uniaxial $SO(2)$-symmetric state $|2, 0\rangle$ with the full but anisotropic gap, and the biaxial, $D_4$-symmetric state $(|2, +2\rangle + |2, -2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, with the point nodes along the $D_4$ axis.

The paper is organized as follows. In the sec. II we define the Kohn-Luttinger Hamiltonian. In sec. III the pairing interaction in the $p$-wave channel is introduced, and in sec. IV the GL free energy for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is presented. In sec. V we set up the one-loop computation of the GL coefficients, and present the results on the second-order terms in sec. VI. The main calculation of the fourth-order terms for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and the sixth-order terms for $j = 2$ is given in the sec. VII. Section VIII is the summary and brief discussion of the main results. Calculational details are presented in the Appendices.

II. KOHN-LUTTINGER HAMILTONIAN

The single-particle Hamiltonian for the electrons in the normal state is given by the Kohn-Luttinger Hamiltonian.
nian [1], which exhibits doubly (Kramers) degenerate, parabolic energy bands that touch each other at the Γ point of the Brillouin zone:

\[ H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{5}{4} p^2 - (\mathbf{p} \cdot \Sigma)^2 \right) + \frac{p^2}{2m_0} - \frac{p^2 \Sigma^2}{2m_1} - \mu, \]

where the summation over index \( a \) runs over \( a = x, y, z \), \( \mu \) is the chemical potential, and the three matrices \( \Sigma = (\Sigma_x, \Sigma_y, \Sigma_z) \) is the spin–3/2 representation of the Lie algebra of \( SO(3) \). (The explicit form of the \( \Sigma \)-matrices can be found in the Appendix A.) The first term of the Hamiltonian is particle-hole and \( SO(3) \) rotationally symmetric. The second term breaks the particle-hole symmetry, and the third term reduces the \( SO(3) \) symmetry. The second term breaks the particle-hole symmetry, and the third term reduces the \( SO(3) \) symmetry down to the discrete cubic symmetry. We will assume a particle-hole and fully rotationally symmetric dispersion, and set \( m_0 = m_1 = \infty \). We will also set the mass \( m = 1/2 \) hereafter, for simplicity. The Luttinger Hamiltonian also has the time-reversal and inversion symmetries. We suppress the terms, which are of the first or the third order in \( \Sigma \) that would break inversion symmetry, and which are believed to be small perturbation [9].

The energy dispersion is shown in Fig. 3. When the chemical potential lies in the particle band with \( \mu > 0 \), i.e., the system is electron doped, the states crossing the Fermi level have the magnetic quantum number \( \pm 1/2 \). If the system is hole doped and \( \mu < 0 \), the states at the Fermi level are with the magnetic quantum number \( \pm 3/2 \). The nature of the single-particle states that are being paired will become important for the superconducting phase diagram, as was already shown by Savary et al. [15].

III. \( p \)-WAVE PAIRING

We define next the general rotationally invariant Hamiltonian with the BCS pairing interaction between Luttinger (spin=3/2) four-components fermions \( \psi \):

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) H_0 \psi(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} V(\theta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}) \sum_{s=0}^{3} \sum_{m=-s}^{s} \left[ \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) S_{s,m} U \psi^*(\mathbf{-p}) \right] \left[ \psi^T(\mathbf{q}) U^\dagger S_{s,m} \psi(\mathbf{-q}) \right],
\]

where \( U \) is the unitary part of the time-reversal operator \( T \), and its explicit form can be found in Appendix A. For a fixed spin quantum number \( s \), \( S_{s,m} \) are the \((2s+1)\)-dimensional Hermitian matrices, which under \( SO(3) \) transform as an irreducible tensor of rank \( s \). \( \lambda_s \) are coupling constants. (The precise form of the matrices \( S_{s,m} \) can be found in Appendix B.) \( \theta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \) is the angle between the momenta \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{q} \), and the interaction can be decomposed as

\[
V(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^\infty v_l P_l(\cos \theta),
\]

where \( P_l(x) \) are the Legendre polynomials. The interaction is symmetric under \( SO_L(3) \times SO_S(3) \), i.e., under separate rotations in the orbital and in the spin space.

The Fermi statistics implies that for even \( l \) only the terms with \( s = 0 \) and \( s = 2 \) in the above sum are finite. The \( l = 0 \) and \( l = 2 \) channels were studied earlier [13, 17, 25]. In this paper we are interested in terms with odd \( l \), and in particular with \( l = 1 \). Only the terms with the first rank \((s = 1)\) and third rank \((s = 3)\) tensors then contribute. One can then rewrite the Hamiltonian in terms of the pairing matrices that describe the total angular momentum of the Cooper pair:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) H_0 \psi(\mathbf{p}) + \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \sum_{s=1,3} \sum_{j=s-1}^{s+1} \sum_{m_j=-j}^{j} V_s \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left[ \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) J_{j,m_j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) U \psi^*(\mathbf{-p}) \right] \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left[ \psi^T(\mathbf{q}) U^\dagger J_{j,m_j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{q}) \psi(\mathbf{-q}) \right],
\]

where \( V_s = v_1 \lambda_s \), and \( J_{j,m_j}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) \) denotes the pairing matrix of the total angular momentum \( j \) which consists of

the orbital angular momentum \( l = 1 \) and the spin \( s \). The pairing matrix is defined as
where \( \langle l = 1, m_1, s, m_s | j, m_j \rangle \) are the standard Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and \( Y_{l,m_1}(p) \) are the three \( l = 1 \) spherical harmonics. We choose the normalization of the pairing matrices so that when the angular integration is performed, we find

\[
\int d\phi \text{Tr}[J_{j,m_j}^{(s)}(p)J_{j,m_j}^{(s)}(p)] = \frac{4\pi}{3},
\]

where no summation over the indices is implied and \( \int d\phi = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi \sin(\tau)d\tau \) [27]. The explicit form of the spherical harmonics and the pairing matrices can be found in Appendix B.

\section{IV. Ginzburg-Landau Free Energy}

The interaction term introduced in Hamiltonian (4) leads to the development of the following order parameters:

\[
\Delta_{j,m_j}^{(s)} = \sum_q \langle \psi^T(q)U^{j,m_j}(q)\psi(-q) \rangle .
\]

\( \Delta_{j,m_j}^{(s)} \) form a complete set of \( p \)-wave superconducting orders. Although the pairing interaction has the enlarged \( SO_L(3) \times SO_S(3) \) symmetry, the kinetic energy (Luttinger) term has only the \( SO_L + S(3) \) symmetry, and therefore the order parameters with different values of total angular momentum \( j \) do not mix in the ordered phase. We can therefore study the GL free energy for each value of the angular momentum \( j \) separately. The expansion of the GL free energy for the order parameter with given \( j \) has the form:

\[
F^j(\Delta) = F^j_2(\Delta) + F^j_4(\Delta) + F^j_6(\Delta) + O(\Delta^8),
\]

where the quadratic term is defined as

\[
F^j_2(\Delta) = r^{(s_1s_2)}_j \sum_{m_j} \Delta_{j,m_j}^{(s_1)} \Delta_{j,m_j}^{(s_2)} .
\]

In general the coefficient \( r^{(s_1s_2)}_j \) is a single number, except for \( j = 2 \) when it becomes a two-dimensional matrix. In the later case we diagonalize this “mixing matrix” and monitor the lower eigenvalue as a function of temperature. The winning superconducting order sets in at the highest temperature at which some quadratic coefficient, including the lower eigenvalue for \( j = 2 \), \( r^{(s_1s_2)}_j \) becomes negative. This determines the total angular momentum of the ground state \( j = j(V_1, V_2, \mu) \).

For each specific \( j \) of the Cooper pair, there is \( 2j + 1 \)-dimensional Hilbert space of states with different residual symmetries competing for the ground state. To determine the ultimate superconducting state one needs to study the higher-order terms in the GL free energy. The structure of these and even their number, however, depends on the value of \( j \). The quartic terms may be written as [23]

\[
\begin{align*}
F^j_4 = & \quad \lambda_1 \langle \Delta|\Delta\rangle^2 + \lambda_2 \langle \Delta_j|\Delta_j\rangle^2, \\
F^j_4 = & \quad q_1 \langle \Delta|\Delta\rangle^2 + q_2 \langle \Delta_j|\Delta_j\rangle^2 + q_3 \langle \Delta|\tau|\Delta\rangle^2, \\
F^j_4 = & \quad c_1 \langle \Delta|\Delta\rangle^2 + c_2 \langle \Delta_j|\Delta_j\rangle^2 + c_3 \langle \Delta|\tau|\Delta\rangle^2 + c_4 \sum_{M_j} \langle \Delta|\tau T^{M_j}_{j=2}|\Delta\rangle^2,
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \Delta|\Delta\rangle &= \sum_{m_j} \Delta_{j,m_j}^* \Delta_{j,m_j}, \\
\langle \Delta_j|\Delta_j\rangle &= \sum_{m_j} \Delta_{j,m_j}^* \Delta_{j,m_j}, \\
\langle \Delta|\tau|\Delta\rangle &= \sum_{m_j} \langle j,m_j,j,m_j|0,0\rangle \Delta_{j,m_j} \Delta_{j,m_j}, \\
\langle \Delta|\tau T^{M_j}_{j=2}|\Delta\rangle &= \sum_{m_j} \langle j,m_j,j,m_j|2,M_j\rangle \Delta_{j,m_j} \Delta_{j,m_j}.
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficients \( a_1 \) with \( a \in \{\lambda, q, c\} \) multiply the square of the absolute value of the norm of the superconducting condensate. The coefficients \( a_1 \) are positive, so that the free energy is bounded from below. The signs of other coefficients can vary. The coefficients \( a_2 \) multiply the square of the average magnetization of the superconducting state: if \( a_2 < 0 \), the coexistence of the superconductivity and magnetization is preferred, whereas
if $a_2 > 0$ it is not. Similarly, the term with $a_3$ that appears when $j \geq 2$ governs the preference for time-reversal symmetry breaking of the superconducting ground state: if $a_3 < 0$, a “real” state which preserves time-reversal symmetry is preferred, whereas for $a_3 > 0$ time-reversal symmetry breaking is advantageous. Note that for $j \geq 2$ time-reversal symmetry breaking is not synonymous with magnetization, and states which are orthogonal to their time-reversed copies but nevertheless have zero average magnetization also exist [13, 18, 23]. The term that multiplies $c_4$ describes the “nematicity” of the $j = 3$ state [23]. The signs and magnitudes of the coefficients $a_{2,3,4}$ together determine the superconducting ground state of the condensate with the total angular momentum $j$. The phase diagram for $j = 2$ in terms of the quartic coefficients of the GL free energy was first obtained by Mermin [13, 18], and for $j = 3$ by Kawaguchi and Ueda [23].

V. ONE-LOOP COMPUTATION

The GL free energy is obtained by integrating out the fermionic degrees of freedom for a constant superconducting-order parameter, and then by expanding the resulting expression in powers of the order parameter to the fourth (or, if necessary, the sixth) order. At the second order in expansion the following expression

\[
K^{(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)}_{abcd} = \text{Tr} \int_Q G_0(-\omega, -p)J^{(s_1)}_{j, mb}(p)G_0(\omega, p)J^{(s_2)}_{j, ma}(p)G_0(-\omega, -p)J^{(s_3)}_{j, mb}(p)G_0(\omega, p)J^{(s_4)}_{j, ma}(p),
\]

By inserting different superconducting states in Eq. (20) we find matching conditions to extract the coefficients $a_i$ [13, 20] (see also Appendices C and D).

VI. SECOND-ORDER TERMS OF GL FREE ENERGY

In this section we determine the coefficients of the quadratic terms of the Ginzburg-Landau free energy, $r_j^{(s_1 s_2)}$, and the critical temperature for all possible condensates with $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

After performing the finite-temperature Matsubara sum one can expand the integrand around the Fermi surface of the normal state, [13] and find the following result for $K^{(s_1, s_2)}_{j, ab}$ (Appendix C):

\[
r_j^{(s_1, s_2)} = \frac{c_j^{(s_1, s_2)}}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{V_c} + \sqrt{|\mu|} \log \frac{|\mu|}{T},
\]

where $c_j^{(s_1, s_2)} = c_j^{(s_1, s_2)} \delta_{ab}$ are numerical coefficients corresponding to different superconducting channels. One is found:

\[
r_j^{(s_1, s_2)} = \frac{\delta_{ab} \delta_{s_1 s_2}^{(s_1, s_2)}}{V_c} - 2K^{(s_1, s_2)}_{j, ab},
\]

where we consider the case of attractive interaction $V_s < 0$. $K^{(s_1, s_2)}_{j, ab}$ is given by the expression

\[
K^{(s_1, s_2)}_{j, ab} = \text{Tr} \int_Q G_0(-\omega, -p)J^{(s_1)}_{j, mb}(p)G_0(\omega, p)J^{(s_2)}_{j, ma}(p),
\]

where $G_0(\omega, p) = (i\omega - H_0)^{-1}$ is the Green’s function with the fermionic Matsubara frequency $\omega = (2n + 1)\pi T$ and the temperature $T$. Its exact form can be found in Appendix A. The measure of the integral is given by

\[
\int_Q := T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_p := T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_p \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3},
\]

with the ultraviolet cutoff $\Lambda \gg \mu, T$.

Similarly, the one-loop integral that defines the quartic order of the Ginzburg-Landau free energy is given by

\[
F_4^{j}(\Delta) = 4K^{(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)}_{abcd} \Delta_{j, a}^{(s_1)} \Delta_{j, b}^{(s_2)} \Delta_{j, c}^{(s_3)} \Delta_{j, d}^{(s_4)},
\]

with

\[
T_{c, j} = |\mu| \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi^2}{2V_c |c_j^{(s_1, s_2)}| \sqrt{\mu} \left( 1 - \frac{c_j^{(s_1, s_2)}}{\pi^2 V_c} \right) } \right].
\]

The order parameter with the largest value of the coefficient $c_j$ will therefore have the highest critical temperature, and will be the one that would form below at $T < T_{c, j}$. The list of the different values of $c_j$ is shown in Table I, which is in full accordance with the ref. [15]. For the condensate with the quantum number $j$ the value of the critical temperature crucially depends on the sign of the chemical potential $\mu$. Consequently, completely different superconducting phases are found for positive and negative chemical potentials.

In the special case of $j = 2$ there exists a mixing of two different pairing channels, since both spin values $s = 1$ and $s = 3$ can yield a Cooper pair with $j = 2$. The
\[ r_2^{(s_1s_2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|V_1|} - \frac{3}{50} x & \frac{3}{50\sqrt{14}} x \\ \frac{3}{50\sqrt{14}} x & \frac{1}{|V_3|} - \frac{3}{700} x \end{pmatrix} \] (24)

with \( x = (\sqrt{|\mu|/\pi^2}) \log(|\mu|/T) \). For the 1/2-energy band

\[ r_2^{(s_1s_2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{|V_1|} - \frac{7}{150} x & \frac{11}{50\sqrt{14}} x \\ \frac{11}{50\sqrt{14}} x & \frac{1}{|V_3|} - \frac{59}{700} x \end{pmatrix} \] (25)

\[ T_{c,j=2} = |\mu| \exp \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{2|V_1||V_3|\sqrt{|\mu|}} (98|V_1| + 177|V_3| - \sqrt{9604|V_1|^2 + 26292|V_1||V_3| + 31329|V_3|^2}) \right]. \]

By comparing \( T_{c,j=2} \) with the critical temperature of the \( j = 1 \) condensate defined in Eq. (23) we find the phase boundary between the two phases to be at

\[ V_3 = \frac{7}{27} V_1, \] (27)

as can be seen in Fig. 2.

### VII. FOURTH-ORDER TERMS OF GL FREE ENERGY

In the previous section we found that when \( \mu < 0 \), depending on the values of \( V_1 \) and \( V_3 \) either the condensate with the quantum number \( j = 0 \) or with \( j = 3 \) forms at low temperatures. In contrast, when \( \mu > 0 \), we find either the superconducting phase with \( j = 1 \) or with \( j = 2 \).

For all the superconducting phases with \( j > 0 \) there is a \( 2j + 1 \)-dimensional Hilbert space of macroscopic quantum states that compete for the minimum of the GL free energy. The winner depends strongly on quartic terms order in the GL free energy, i.e., on the coefficients \( a_i \) defined in Eq. (10), (11), and (12).

A. \( j = 1 \)

The fourth-order term in the GL free energy is defined in Eq. (10), where the term multiplying \( \lambda_1 \) denotes the norm and the term multiplying \( \lambda_2 \) describes the average magnetization of the condensate. For \( j = 1 \), there exist two (modulo rotations) possible states that minimize the free energy [23]; when \( \lambda_2 > 0 \), the state \( |j, m_j \rangle = |1, 0 \rangle \) with minimal (zero) average magnetization is the minimum, whereas if \( \lambda_2 < 0 \) the state \( |j, m_j \rangle = |1, +1 \rangle \) with maximal (unity) average magnetization minimizes the free energy. Both states break the normal state’s symmetry \( S O_{L+S}(3) \) down to \( S O(2) \). The former state preserves the time-reversal symmetry, whereas the latter breaks it maximally.

We find the following one-loop expressions for the coefficients \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) (Appendix C):

\[ \lambda_1 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \left\{ -7p^8 - 16p^6 \mu + p^4 \left( 30\mu^2 - 38\omega_n^2 \right) + 80p^2 \mu \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right) + 41 \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right)^2 \right\} \]

\[ 375\pi^2 \left( p^8 - 2p^4 \left( \mu^2 - \omega_n^2 \right) + \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right)^2 \right)^2 \] (28)
and

\[
\lambda_2 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2 (53p^8 + 104p^6 \mu + p^4 (30\mu^2 - 38\omega_n^2)) - 40p^2 \mu (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) - 19 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2}{750\pi^2 \left(p^8 - 2p^4 (\mu^2 - \omega_n^2) + (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2\right)^2}. \tag{29}
\]

Since the \( j = 1 \) states appear in the phase diagram only when the chemical potential intersects \( \pm 1/2 \)-band, we evaluate the above one-loop integrals for \( \mu > 0 \). In the weak-coupling limit, to the leading order in small parameter \( T_c/\mu \) we find \[24\]

\[
\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2 = \frac{4}{375} \frac{0.10657 \sqrt{\mu}}{\pi^2 T_c^2}, \tag{30}
\]

and both coefficients therefore positive. In the portion of the phase diagram at \( \mu > 0 \) with the \( j = 1 \) state, the actual superconducting ground state is the time-reversal-preserving state \([1, 0]\). The energy spectrum of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes quasiparticles exhibits two point nodes at the axis of the residual \( SO(2) \) symmetry of the state.

### B. \( j = 2 \)

In this section, we determine the superconducting ground state when \( j = 2 \), which appears in the phase diagram when \( \mu > 0 \). The quartic terms in the GL free energy are given by Eq. (11), and the signs and the magnitudes of the coefficients \( q_2 \) and \( q_3 \) determine the minimum of the free energy as in Fig. 4 \[18, 20, 23\].

The general phase diagram for \( j = 2 \) condensate can be understood in the following way. If \( q_3 > 0 \) and \( q_2 = 0 \), a state that breaks time-reversal symmetry maximally, i.e., a state that is orthogonal to its time-reversed copy, is favored. There are then two candidate superconducting ground states, namely the ferromagnetic state \( |2, \pm 2\rangle \) and the cyclic state \( \frac{1}{2}(|2, -2\rangle + |2, +2\rangle) + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |2, 0\rangle \). Since the coefficient \( q_2 \) multiplies the average magnetization of the state, for \( q_3 > 0 \) and \( q_2 < 0 \) the ferromagnetic state with maximal average magnetization (two) wins, whereas for \( q_3 > 0 \) and \( q_2 > 0 \) the cyclic state with minimal average magnetization (of zero) wins.

Similarly, if \( q_2 > 0 \) and \( q_3 = 0 \), a state with minimal (zero) average magnetization minimizes the quartic term. For small \( q_3 > 0 \) such a state should also exhibit maximal breaking of the time-reversal, and the cyclic state therefore minimizes the free energy for all \( q_2 > 0 \) and \( q_3 > 0 \). For \( q_3 < 0 \), on the other hand, the state should be invariant under time reversal, so that the term that multiplies \( q_3 \) is maximized. There is a multitude of such “real” states, and to determine which of the real states is the ground state the sixth-order terms in the GL free energy need to be invoked. Finally, when both coefficients \( q_3 < 0 \) and \( q_2 < 0 \) there is a phase transition at \( q_3 = 20q_2 \) between theferromagnetic state and the (sixth-order-term-selected) real state.

We calculate the coefficients \( q_{1,2,3} \) by evaluating the appropriate one-loop integrals defined in Eq. (20). Special attention has to be given to the pairing matrices \( J_{2,mj} \), since when the condensate with \( j = 2 \) is the ground state, the pairing matrices \( J_{2,mj} \) is a superposition of two different channels \((l,s,j) = (1,1,2)\) and \((l,s,j) = (1,3,2)\):

\[
J_{2,mj} = zJ_{2,mj}^{(1)} + yJ_{2,mj}^{(3)}, \tag{31}
\]

where the coefficient \( (z, y)^T \) is the zero eigenstate of the mixing matrix in Eq. (25) at \( T = T_c \). One finds that

\[
y = \frac{66|V_3|}{\sqrt{(14|V_1| - \frac{177}{7} |V_3|)\sqrt{9604|V_1|^2 + 26292|V_1||V_3| + 31329|V_3|^2 + 1372|V_1|^2 - 600|V_1||V_3| + \frac{61821}{7} |V_3|^2}}}, \tag{32}
\]

and \( z = \sqrt{1 - y^2} \). In the special case where \( |V_1| = |V_3| \), for example, we find that \( J_{2,mj} = 0.59J_{2,mj}^{(1)} + 0.81J_{2,mj}^{(3)} \).

[15]. Using the pairing matrices in Eq. (31), we find the following expressions for the coefficients \( q_1, q_2, \) and \( q_3 \):
\[ q_1 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2}{385875 \pi^2 (2 \omega_n^p (\mu^2 - p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2)} \left[ 2p^4 \left( \mu^2 (45251y^4 + 42936\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 149604y^2 z^2 + 21924\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 36456z^4) - 5\omega_n^2 (2283y^4 - 6696\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 3780y^2 z^2 - 3276\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 392z^4) \right) + 8\mu p^2 \left( 9858y^4 + 7623\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 24262y^2 z^2 + 6762\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 - 1862z^4 \right) \right] (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) \]
\[ + 7 \left( 4393y^4 + 1248\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 17122y^2 z^2 - 168\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 4508z^4 \right) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 + 5p^8 (3335y^4 + 1968\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 11074y^2 z^2 + 336\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 1372z^4) + 8\mu p^6 \left( 7302y^4 + 5757\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 28238y^2 z^2 + 3318\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 - 98z^4 \right) \right], \]

\[ q_2 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2}{771750 \pi^2 (2 \omega_n^p (\mu^2 - p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2)} \left[ 2p^4 \left( 8y^4 (1337\mu^2 + 717\omega_n^2) \right) \right.
\[ + 16\sqrt{14} y^3 z (761\mu^2 - 129\omega_n^2) + 14y^2 z^2 (2171\mu^2 + 1701\omega_n^2) + 1344\sqrt{14} y^3 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 49z^4 (69\mu^2 - \omega_n^2) \right)
\[ + 40\mu p^2 \left( 1172y^4 + 338\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 196y^2 z^2 + 105\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 - 49z^4 \right) \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right)
\[ + 35 \left( 628y^4 + 32\sqrt{14} y^3 z - 56y^2 z^2 + 49z^4 \right) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 + p^8 (-5996y^4 + 384\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 27636y^2 z^2 + 5376\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 - 5341z^4) + 8\mu p^6 \left( -1188y^4 + 1542\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 9828y^2 z^2 + 483\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 637z^4 \right) \right], \]

\[ q_3 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2}{154350 \pi^2 (2 \omega_n^p (\mu^2 - p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2)} \left[ 2p^4 \left( 13321\mu^4 + 2\omega_n^2 (13321\mu^2 + 20013p^4 + 8256\mu p^2) \right) \right.
\[ + 13321\omega_n^4 - 31823p^8 - 77376\mu p^6 - 41714y^2 p^4 + 16512\mu p^2 \) - 48\sqrt{14} y^3 z (133\mu^4 + 2\omega_n^2 (133\mu^2 + 654p^4 + 363\mu p^2) \right)
\[ + 133\omega_n^4 + 71p^8 + 452\mu p^6 + 1028\mu p^2 (4 + 726\mu^3 p^2) - 14y^2 z^2 \right)
\[ + 9149\omega_n^4 + 1813p^8 + 4856\mu p^6 + 5634\mu p^2 + 15528\mu^3 p^2 \) - 168\sqrt{14} y^3 z \right)
\[ + 7\omega_n^4 + 9p^8 + 5\mu p^6 + 312\mu^2 p^4 + 54\mu^3 p^2 \) - 98z^4 \right]
\[ - 217\mu^4 - 2\omega_n^2 (217\mu^2 + 181p^4 - 88\mu p^2) - 217\omega_n^4 + 71p^8 \]
\[ + 752\mu p^6 - 222\mu^2 p^4 + 176\mu^3 p^2 \) \right]. \]

At low temperatures we then find \( q_1 > 0 \) and, with the functions \( f_i(y) \) of the mixing as

\[ q_i = \frac{0.106 f_i(y)}{154350 \pi^2 T_c^2} \] (36)

\[ f_1(y) = 34401y^4 + 26436\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 111804y^2 z^2 + 15624\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 11956z^4 , \] (37)

\[ f_2(y) = 8(584y^4 + 404\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 1351y^2 z^2 + 126\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 49z^4), \] (38)

\[ f_3(y) = -\frac{27}{2}(3027y^4 + 2892\sqrt{14} y^3 z + 13188y^2 z^2 + 1848\sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 + 1372z^4). \] (39)

Evidently, \( q_2 > 0 \) and \( q_3 < 0 \), and the real states minimize the free energy for any mixing. This is also illus-
study the sixth order terms in the GL free energy. To determine the real superconducting ground state we therefore there is a further transition between the uniaxial and biaxial nematic states (see Fig. 2).

FIG. 4: The phase diagram and the actual values of the coefficients of the fourth order terms in the $j = 2$ GL free energy $q_2$ and $q_3$, for various values of the interactions $V_1 < 0$ and $V_3 < 7V_1/27$, and for $\mu > 0$. The coefficients were calculated assuming $\mu = 1$ and $T_c = 0.1$.

In search for the time-reversal-preserving $j = 2$ ground state we may first note that all real states can be rotated into a superposition of the biaxial and uniaxial states as

$$\Delta_1 \sqrt{2} |2, +2 \rangle + \Delta_2 |2, 0 \rangle,$$

(40)

where $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ are both real, and $\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2 = 1$ [13]. To determine the real superconducting ground state we study the sixth order terms in the GL free energy. Restricting ourselves to the real states we find it to be a sum of two terms:

$$F_6^{J=2,real} = v_1 |\Delta| \Delta |^3 + v_2 \frac{4}{3} \Delta^2_2 (\Delta^2 - 3\Delta^2_1)^2. \quad (41)$$

The sign of the coefficient $v_2$ decides on the real superconducting ground state. If $v_2 > 0$, the biaxial nematic state with $\Delta_1 = 1$ and $\Delta_2 = 0$ has the lowest free energy. If $v_2 < 0$, on the other hand, the uniaxial nematic state with $\Delta_1 = 0$ and $\Delta_2 = 1$ minimizes the free energy. These two states also differ in their quasiparticle energy spectrum: in the case of the uniaxial nematic state we find point nodes along the $z$-axis, while the biaxial nematic state is fully gapped.

To establish the sign of $v_2$ one needs to calculate the sixth-order term

$$F_6(\Delta) = -\frac{32}{3} K_{abcdef} \Delta^*_a \Delta_b \Delta^*_c \Delta_d \Delta^*_f,$$

(42)

with the one-loop integral as

$$K_{abcdef} = \text{Tr} \int Q \mathcal{G}_0(-\omega, -\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}^{\dagger}_{2,m_a} \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_b} \times \mathcal{G}_0(-\omega, -\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}^{\dagger}_{2,m_c} \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_d} \times \mathcal{G}_0(-\omega, -\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}^{\dagger}_{2,m_e} \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_f}. \quad (43)$$

At low temperature we find (see Appendix C)

$$v_2 = \frac{0.00773 f_\nu(y) \sqrt{\eta}}{2\pi^2 T_c^4},$$

(44)

with

$$f_\nu(y) = -\frac{4595157 y^6 + 3369438 \sqrt{14} y^5 z + 12731670 y^4 z^2 + 1076040 \sqrt{14} y^3 z^3 - 2081520 y^2 z^4 - 508032 \sqrt{14} y z^5 - 406112 z^6}{5793913125}. \quad (45)$$

Unlike the functions $f_i(y)$ in Eqs. (37)-(39), the function $f_\nu(y)$ changes sign. The change of sign occurs at $y = 0.4547$, which corresponds to the ratio of the interaction parameters $|V_2|/|V_1| = 0.38445 > 7/27$, at which therefore there is a further transition between the uniaxial and biaxial nematic states (see Fig. 2).

C. $j = 3$

In this section we assume $\mu < 0$, and determine the superconducting ground state when $j = 3$ by using the previously derived general phase diagram for $j = 3$ GL free energy of Ref. [23].

The fourth-order terms in the GL free energy for $j = 3$ are given by Eq. (12). The coefficients $c_i$ are given by the following one-loop expressions (Appendix D):

$$c_i = \frac{\text{Tr} \int Q \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_a} \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_b} \times \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_c} \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_d} \times \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_e} \mathcal{G}_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{J}_{2,m_f}}{2\pi^2 T_c^4}.$$
As before, after performing the sum over Matsubara frequencies and the momentum integral, at low temperatures we find

\[ c_1 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon_0^2} \left( 14p^8 - 175p^3 + 715p^4 (5\mu^2 - \omega^2) - 3003p^2 \mu (\mu^2 + \omega^2) + 1001 (\mu^2 + \omega^2)^2 \right) \left( \frac{\mu^2 + \omega^2}{T} \right)^2 \]  

\[ c_2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{p^2}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon_0^2} \left( 10337p^8 - 45006p^3 + 11440p^4 (7\mu^2 + 3\omega^2) - 68354p^2 \mu (\mu^2 + \omega^2) + 20673 (\mu^2 + \omega^2)^2 \right) \left( \frac{\mu^2 + \omega^2}{T} \right)^2 \]  

\[ c_3 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{8p^2}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon_0^2} \left( 479p^8 - 2067p^3 + 175p^4 (5\mu^2 + 3\omega^2) - 3003p^2 \mu (\mu^2 + \omega^2) + 1001 (\mu^2 + \omega^2)^2 \right) \left( \frac{\mu^2 + \omega^2}{T} \right)^2 \]  

\[ c_4 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda \frac{dp}{2\pi} \frac{8p^2}{\epsilon^2 - \epsilon_0^2} \left( 9977p^8 - 38454p^3 + 13156p^4 (5\mu^2 + 3\omega^2) - 55770p^2 \mu (\mu^2 + \omega^2) + 19019 (\mu^2 + \omega^2)^2 \right) \left( \frac{\mu^2 + \omega^2}{T} \right)^2 \]  

As before, the feature common to all is the preservation of the time-reversing symmetry, and the absence of nodal lines in the quasiparticle spectrum.

When the pairing interaction is spin independent, the two interaction parameters are equal; \( V_1 = V_3 \), and the superconducting ground state is \( |0,0 \rangle \) on the hole-doped, and \( |2,0 \rangle \) on the particle-doped side. In either case the quasiparticle spectrum features the full gap, albeit an anisotropic one in the latter case. This may be contrasted with the condensate with \( s = 2 \) that results from the attraction in the \( l = 0 \) channel \[13\], which at \( \mu = 0 \) at least has the same quantum numbers \( j = 2 \) and \( m_j = 0 \), and the same symmetry, but as all other real states in that case exhibits lines of gapless excitations. Furthermore, when the weak attraction is in the \( l = 0 \) (or \( l = 2 \)) channel, the phase diagram is independent of the sign of chemical potential, and the \( s = 2 \) \( (s = 0) \) ground state at a finite chemical potential breaks time-reversal symmetry \[13, 17\]. All of these features stand in stark contrast to the \( p \)-wave ground states we discussed in this paper. On the other hand, the preservation of the time reversal and the concomitant full gap in the excitation spectrum was found before in the \( SO_L(3) \times SO_S(3) \) - symmetric GL free energy for the \( l = 1, s = 1 \) matrix-order parameter that pertains to \( ^3He \), when the order parameter is restricted to \( j = 2 \) \[19\]. This result resembles what we find quite generally for the \( p \)-wave states in the Luttinger semimetal.

While we find the quasiparticle spectra to be either gapless or with a full gap, depending on the particular \( p \)-wave ground state, no ground state showed a line of gapless points. Our explicit computation of the energy spectra is in agreement with general arguments of the ref. \[16\]. At weak coupling there is in general always
just one particular value of \( j \) that becomes favored below the critical temperature, i.e. the condensate is never a linear combination of states with different \( j \), unless the system is accidentally right at the boundary between two different phases. We have not checked the quasiparticle spectrum at such special cases of attractive interaction. It is not inconceivable that some such linear combinations may yield lines of gapless points, as suggested by the penetration depth data in YPtBi \([9]\) for example, but this would seem to require special tuning. Generic minima of the weak-coupling GL free energy, both in the cases \( (l = 0, s = 2, j = 2) \) and \( (l = 2, s = 0, j = 2) \) \((d\text{-wave})\) studied earlier, and in the present case of general attraction in the \( l = 1 \) case \((p\text{-wave})\) do not show this feature, however.

We expect our results for the competition between states with different \( j \) to be typically in agreement with the weak-coupling RG flows, as it is the case with many other weak-coupling mean-field treatments of competing instabilities. There could be exceptions, however, such as in the case of mixing of two \( j = 2 \) channels, for example.
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**Appendix A: The Luttinger Hamiltonian and the Green’s function**

The celebrated Luttinger-Kohn Hamiltonian is \([1]\):

\[
H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{5}{4} p^2 - (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{\Sigma})^2 \right) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{p_\alpha^2 z_\alpha}{2m_1} - \mu, \quad (A1)
\]

where the \( \mathbf{\Sigma} = (\Sigma_x, \Sigma_y, \Sigma_z)^T \)-matrices are the spin–3/2 representation of the Lie algebra of \( SO(3) \) and have the form

\[
\Sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A2)
\]

This Hamiltonian can be rewritten in terms of the real \( \ell = 2 \) spherical harmonics \( d_\alpha (\mathbf{p}) \), and the Dirac matrices \( \gamma_\alpha \), which obey the Clifford algebra \( \{ \gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\beta \} = 2 \delta_{\alpha \beta} \): \([2]\):

\[
H_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^5 \frac{d_\alpha (\mathbf{p}) \gamma_\alpha}{2m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{p^2}{2m_1} + \frac{d_1 (\mathbf{p}) \gamma_1 + d_2 (\mathbf{p}) \gamma_2}{2m_1} - \mu. \quad (A5)
\]

The spherical harmonics are given by

\[
d_1 (\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (p_x^2 - p_y^2), \quad d_2 (\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} (2p_x^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2), \quad d_3 (\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{3} p_x p_z, \quad d_4 (\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{3} p_y p_z, \quad d_5 (\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{3} p_z^2,
\]

while the corresponding Dirac matrices are:

\[
\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A6)
\]

One advantage of expressing the Hamiltonian in terms of the Dirac matrices and spherical harmonics is that the Green’s function has a simple analytic expression, which
is
\[
G_0(\omega, \mathbf{p}) = (i\omega - H_0)^{-1} = \frac{i\omega - d_\mu(\mathbf{p})\gamma_\mu + \mu}{(i\omega + \mu)^2 - p^2}.
\] (A8)

where we set \(m_0 = m_1 = \infty\), and \(2m = 1\).

Kohn-Luttinger Hamiltonian commutes with the \(\pi\)-unitary time-reversal operator \(T = UK\), which consists of the unitary matrix \(U\) and complex conjugation \(K\). The unitary part of the time-reversal operator in the above representation is defined as [10]
\[
U = i\tau_4\gamma_5.
\] (A9)

Evidently, \(T^2 = -1\), and the Kohn-Luttinger Hamiltonian describes a fermion with half-integer spin.

**Appendix B: Pairing matrices**

In this section we provide explicit expressions of the pairing matrices \(J^{(k)}_{j,mj}\). The pairing matrices are defined as
\[
J^{(k)}_{j,mj}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{m_i+m_k} \langle L_{1m_i}, S_{k}m_k | jm_j \rangle L_{1,mj}(\mathbf{p}) S_{k,m_k},
\] (B1)
where \(\langle L_{1m_i}, S_{k}m_k | jm_j \rangle\) are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and \(L_{1,m_i}\) are the spherical harmonics of \(L = 1\). The spherical harmonics are given by
\[
L_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{p_x + ip_y}{p}, \quad (B2)
\]
\[
L_{1,0} = \frac{p_x}{p}, \quad (B3)
\]
\[
L_{1,-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{p_x - ip_y}{p}. \quad (B4)
\]
The matrices \(S_{k,m_k}\) denoting the spin \(s = 1\) or \(s = 3\) of the Cooper pair are defined in the following subsection.

1. **s = 1 and s = 3 matrices**

To find the matrices for \(s = 1\) and \(s = 3\) spin, we define first the ladder operators [26]:
\[
S_+ = (\Sigma_x + i\Sigma_y), \quad (B5)
\]
\[
S_- = (\Sigma_x - i\Sigma_y). \quad (B6)
\]

With the help of the ladder operators, the three matrices for \(s = 1\) can be defined as:
\[
S_{1,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S_+ \quad (B7)
\]
\[
S_{1,0} = \Sigma_z \quad (B8)
\]
\[
S_{1,-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S_- . \quad (B9)
\]

The seven matrices for \(s = 3\) can be then obtained by using the fact that they transform as a third-rank irreducible tensor under \(SO(3)\):
\[
[S_-, S_{j,m}] = \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)}S_{j,m-1}, \quad (B10)
\]
which yields
\[
S_{3,3} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} (S_{1,1})^3, \quad (B11)
\]
\[
S_{3,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [S_-, S_{3,3}], \quad (B12)
\]
\[
S_{3,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} [S_-, S_{3,2}], \quad (B13)
\]
\[
S_{3,0} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} [S_-, S_{3,1}], \quad (B14)
\]
\[
S_{3,-1} = -S_{3,1}^\dagger, \quad (B15)
\]
\[
S_{3,-2} = -S_{3,2}^\dagger, \quad (B16)
\]
\[
S_{3,-3} = -S_{3,3}^\dagger. \quad (B17)
\]

We then find the following expressions for the pairing matrices:

2. **(1,1,j)-channel**

\[
\begin{align*}
J^{(1)}_{0,0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} (L_{1,-1}S_{1,1} + L_{1,1}S_{1,-1} - L_{1,0}S_{1,0}). \quad (B18) \\
J^{(1)}_{1,0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} (L_{1,1}S_{1,0} - L_{1,0}S_{1,1} - L_{1,-1}S_{1,-1}) \quad (B19) \\
J^{(1)}_{1,-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} (L_{1,0}S_{1,1} - L_{1,-1}S_{1,0}) \quad (B20)
\end{align*}
\]

\(j = 2:\)
\[
\begin{align*}
J^{(1)}_{2,2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} L_{1,1} \quad (B22) \\
J^{(1)}_{2,1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (L_{1,0}S_{1,1} + L_{1,1}S_{1,0}) \quad (B23) \\
J^{(1)}_{2,0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (L_{1,1}S_{1,0} + 2L_{1,0}S_{1,1} + L_{1,-1}S_{1,-1}) \quad (B24) \\
J^{(1)}_{2,-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (L_{1,0}S_{1,1} + L_{1,-1}S_{1,0}) \quad (B25) \\
J^{(1)}_{2,-2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (L_{1,1}S_{1,0} + L_{1,-1}S_{1,-1}) \quad (B26)
\end{align*}
\]
3. \((1,3,j)\)-channel

\(j = 2:\)

\[ j_{3,2+}^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,-1} S_{3,-3} - \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,-2} + \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,1} S_{3,+1} \]  
(B27)

\[ j_{3,2+}^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,-1} S_{3,+2} - 2 \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,+1} + \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,1} S_{3,0} \]  
(B28)

\[ j_{3,0}^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} (\sqrt{2} L_{1,-1} S_{3,+1} - \sqrt{2} L_{1,0} S_{3,0} + \sqrt{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,-1}) \]  
(B29)

\[ j_{3,-1}^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,-1} S_{3,-2} - 2 \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,-1} + \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,1} S_{3,0} \]  
(B30)

\[ j_{3,-2}^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,-1} S_{3,-3} - \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,-2} + \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} L_{1,1} S_{3,-1} \]  
(B31)

\(j = 3:\)

\[ j_{3,3+}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} (L_{1,1} S_{3,-2} - \sqrt{3} L_{1,0} S_{3,+3}) \]  
(B32)

\[ j_{3,2+}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} L_{1,1} S_{3,+1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} L_{1,0} S_{3,+2} + \frac{1}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,3} \]  
(B33)

\[ j_{3,1+}^{(3)} = - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,-1} S_{3,+2} - \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,+1} + \frac{1}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,0} \]  
(B34)

\[ j_{3,0}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (L_{1,1} S_{3,+1} - L_{1,-1} S_{3,+1}) \]  
(B35)

\[ j_{3,-1}^{(3)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,-2} + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,+1} - \frac{1}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,0} \]  
(B36)

\[ j_{3,-2}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,-3} + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} L_{1,0} S_{3,+2} - \frac{1}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,-1} \]  
(B37)

\[ j_{3,-3}^{(3)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} L_{1,0} S_{3,-3} - \frac{1}{2} L_{1,-1} S_{3,-2} \]  
(B38)

\(j = 4:\)

\[ j_{4,4+}^{(4)} = L_{1,1} S_{3,+3} \]  
(B39)

\[ j_{4,3+}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} (L_{1,0} S_{3,+3} + \sqrt{3} L_{1,1} S_{3,+3}) \]  
(B40)

\[ j_{4,2+}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} L_{1,1} S_{3,+3} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} L_{1,0} S_{3,+2} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} L_{1,1} S_{3,+1} \]  
(B41)

\[ j_{4,1+}^{(4)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,-1} S_{3,+2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,0} S_{3,+1} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,0} \]  
(B42)

\[ j_{4,0}^{(4)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{14}} L_{1,-1} S_{3,+1} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{7}} L_{1,0} S_{3,0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} L_{1,1} S_{3,-1} \]  
(B43)

\[ j_{4,-1}^{(4)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,-1} S_{3,+2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,0} S_{3,+1} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} L_{1,1} S_{3,0} \]  
(B44)

\[ j_{4,-2}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( L_{1,0} S_{3,+3} + \sqrt{3} L_{1,1} S_{3,+3} \right) \]  
(B45)

\[ j_{4,-3}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} (L_{1,0} S_{3,+3} + \sqrt{3} L_{1,1} S_{3,+3}) \]  
(B46)

\[ j_{4,-4}^{(4)} = L_{1,-1} S_{3,-3} \]  
(B47)

Appendix C: Leading-order calculation of the coefficients

1. Second-order coefficient

The one-loop integrals \(K_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)}\) defined in Eq. (18) have the following structure:

\[ K_{j,ab} = T \sum_{\mu} \int_0^\Lambda p^2 dp \frac{f_{j,ab}(p, \omega_n)}{[(p^2 - \mu)^2 + \omega_n^2][((p^2 + \mu)^2 + \omega_n^2]^3} \]  
(C1)

which can be approximated around \(p = \sqrt{\mu}\) and \(\omega_n = 0\) as

\[ K_{j,ab} = \frac{J_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)}}{4\mu^2} T \sum_{\mu} \int_0^\Lambda p^2 dp \frac{1}{[(p^2 - |\mu|)^2 + \omega_n^2]^3} \]  
(C2)

After performing the Matsubara sum, we obtain

\[ K_{j,ab} = \frac{J_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)}}{8\mu^2} \int_0^\Lambda |p| dp \tanh \left( \frac{|p|^2}{|\mu|} \right) \]  
(C3)

and use

\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{y^2}{(y^2 - 1)^2} \tanh \left( \frac{y^2}{2} - 1 \right) \to \log \left( \frac{8e^{-2}}{\pi} \right) \]  
(C4)

for \(y \to \infty\). This leads to Eq. (22)

\[ K_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)} = \frac{e_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)}}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{V_c} + \sqrt{\mu} \log \frac{|\mu|}{T} \right) \]  
(C5)

with the non-universal critical interaction

\[ \frac{1}{V_c} = \Lambda + \sqrt{\mu} \log \frac{8e^{-2}}{\pi} \]  
(C6)

and the numerical coefficient

\[ e_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)} = \frac{J_{j,ab}^{(s_1 s_2)}}{8\mu^2} \]  
(C7)

2. Fourth-order coefficients

All coefficients \(a_i\) have the following structure:

\[ a_i = T \sum_{n} \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{f_i(p, \omega_n)}{(p^8 - 2p^4(\mu^2 - \omega_n^2) + (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^4)^2} \approx T \sum_{n} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon d\xi \frac{f_i(p = \sqrt{|\mu|}, \omega_n = 0)}{2\sqrt{|\mu|} + 16|\mu|^4 (\xi^2 + \omega_n^2)^2} \]  
(C8)

where the change of variable \(\xi = p^2 - |\mu|\) was made. After performing the Matsubara sum for finite temperatures, we find:

\[ a_i = \frac{f_i(\sqrt{|\mu|}, 0) 1}{32|\mu|^{9/2}} \int_{-\epsilon/T}^{\epsilon/T} d\xi g(\xi) \]  
(C9)
with $\xi = \xi/T$ and

$$g(z) = \frac{\sinh z - z}{8z^3 \cosh^3(z/2)}. \quad (C11)$$

At low temperatures the integral converges and one obtains:

$$a_i = \frac{f_i(\sqrt{|\mu|}, 0) 0.10657}{32|\mu|^{9/2} T_c^2}. \quad (C12)$$

### 3. Sixth-order coefficients

The two coefficients $v_1$ and $v_2$ possess the following structure:

$$v_i = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda \frac{f_i(p, \omega_n)}{2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2} \, dp \quad (D1)$$

In the weak-coupling limit, the leading-order result in small parameter $T_c/\mu$ is determined by

$$v_i \approx \tilde{f}_i(\sqrt{|\mu|}, 0) T \sum_n \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \frac{d\xi}{2\sqrt{\xi} 64\mu^6 (\xi^2 + \omega_n^4)^2} \quad (D2)$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{f}_i(\sqrt{|\mu|}, 0)}{64\mu^{13/2}} \frac{1}{2 T_c} \int_{-\epsilon/T}^{\epsilon/T} d\hat{\xi} \tilde{g}(\hat{\xi}) \quad (D3)$$

with $\hat{\xi} = \xi/T$ and

$$\tilde{g}(z) = \frac{3 \sinh(z) - z(z \tanh(\hat{z}) + 3)}{16z^5(\cosh(z) + 1)}. \quad (C15)$$

For small temperatures we therefore find

$$v_i = \tilde{f}_i(\sqrt{|\mu|}, 0) \frac{0.00773}{64\mu^{13/2} 2 T_c^5}. \quad (D4)$$

### Appendix D: Matching conditions

The quartic order of the Ginzburg-Landau free energy is defined by the one-loop integral

$$F_4^J(\Delta) = 4K_{abcd}(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4) \Delta_a^{(s_1)\ast} \Delta_b^{(s_2)\ast} \Delta_c^{(s_3)\ast} \Delta_d^{(s_4)\ast} \quad (D1)$$

with

$$K_{abcd}^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4)} = \text{Tr} \int_Q G_0(\omega, -p) J_{j, m_a}^{(s_1)}(p) G_0(\omega, p) J_{j, m_a}^{(s_2)}(p) G_0(-\omega, -p) J_{j, m_a}^{(s_3)}(p) G_0(\omega, p) J_{j, m_a}^{(s_4)}(p). \quad (D2)$$

In the next section we demonstrate how the above expression is related to Eqs. (10)–(12).

1. $j = 1$

To find the sign and magnitude of $\lambda_{1,2}$ defined in Eq. (10), we evaluate Eq. (D2) for two different states.

$$F_4^J(\Delta_{1,0}) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2 \left( \omega_n^2 (82\mu^2 - 38p^4 + 80\mu p^2) + 41\omega_n^4 - (\mu + p^2)^2 (41\mu^2 + 7p^4 + 2\mu p^2) \right)}{375\pi^2 \left( 2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2 \right)^2} \quad (D3)$$

and

$$F_4^J(\Delta_{1,1}) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2 \left( \omega_n^2 (42\mu^2 - 38p^4 + 40\mu p^2) + 21\omega_n^4 + (\mu + p^2)^2 (21\mu^2 + 13p^4 - 2\mu p^2) \right)}{450\pi^2 \left( 2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2 \right)^2}. \quad (D4)$$
Upon inserting these two states into Eq. (10), we obtain the following matching conditions:

\[ F_4^1(\Delta_{1,0}) = \lambda_1 \] (D5)

\[ F_4^1(\Delta_{1,1}) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \] (D6)

which yield the Eqs. (28) and (29).

2. \( j = 2 \)

To determine the coefficients \( q_i \), choose the states \( |2, 0\rangle, |2, 2\rangle \) and \( |2, 1\rangle \) and define the coefficient \( q_\alpha = q_3/5 \). The matching conditions of these states are given by

\[ F_4^2(\Delta_{2,0}) = q_1 + q_\alpha \] (D7)

\[ F_4^2(\Delta_{2,2}) = q_1 + 4q_2 \] (D8)

\[ F_4^2(\Delta_{2,1}) = q_1 + q_2 \] (D9)

which yields

\[ q_1 = \frac{1}{3}(-F_4^2(\Delta_{2,2}) + 4F_4^2(\Delta_{2,1})), \] (D10)

\[ q_2 = \frac{1}{3}(F_4^2(\Delta_{2,2}) - F_4^2(\Delta_{2,1})), \] (D11)

\[ q_\alpha = \frac{1}{3}[3F_4^2(\Delta_{2,0}) + F_4^2(\Delta_{2,2}) - 4F_4^2(\Delta_{2,1})]. \] (D12)

\[
\begin{align*}
F_4^2(\Delta_{2,0}) &= T \sum_n \int_0^\lambda dp \frac{p^2}{771750\pi^2} \left( 2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^2) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2 \right) \left[ 6\rho^4 \left( \omega_n^2 (-939y^4 + 11856\sqrt{14}y^3z - 7826y^2z^2 + 7784\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 4606z^4) + 5\mu^2(4643y^4 + 4080\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 17178y^2z^2 + 1176\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 5586z^4) + 80\mu\rho^2 (2178y^4 + 1089\sqrt{14}y^3z + 2065y^2z^2 + 1239\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 588z^4) \right) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) \right) \left( 5(1068y^4 + 1584\sqrt{14}y^3z + 15946y^2z^2 - 504\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 12054z^4) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 16272\sqrt{14}y^3z + 85358y^2z^2 + 1848\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 6762z^4 \right) \right] \left( 14\rho^2 + 2(4926y^4 + 8401\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 23989y^2z^2 + 2709\sqrt{14}yz^3 - 4704z^4) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
F_4^2(\Delta_{2,2}) &= T \sum_n \int_0^\lambda dp \frac{-p^2}{385875\pi^2} \left( 2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2 \right) \left[ 6\rho^4 \left( \omega_n^2 (19y^4 + 9784\sqrt{14}y^3z - 9576y^2z^2 + 6356\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 686z^4) + 5\mu^2(6664y^4 + 67288\sqrt{14}y^3z + 210392y^2z^2 + 24612\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 43218z^4) \right) \left( 5(10673y^4 + 1568\sqrt{14}y^3z + 16562y^2z^2 - 168\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 4998z^4) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 10608\sqrt{14}y^3z + 110642y^2z^2 + 12432\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 3822z^4 \right) - 8\mu\rho^2 (21578y^4 + 11003\sqrt{14}y^3z + 26222y^2z^2 + 7812\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 2352z^4) \right) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) \right) \left( 14\rho^2 + 2(4926y^4 + 8401\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 47894y^2z^2 + 4284\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 1176z^4) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
F_4^2(\Delta_{2,1}) &= T \sum_n \int_0^\lambda dp \frac{p^2}{771750\pi^2} \left( 2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2 \right) \left[ 6\rho^4 \left( \omega_n^2 (101198y^4 + 98048\sqrt{14}y^3z + 329602y^2z^2 + 45192\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 76293z^4) - 3\omega_n^2(5698y^4 - 21632\sqrt{14}y^3z + 4662y^2z^2 \right) \left( 5(11926y^4 + 2656\sqrt{14}y^3z + 33964y^2z^2 - 336\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 9261z^4) \right) \left( 7(11926y^4 + 2656\sqrt{14}y^3z + 33964y^2z^2 - 336\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 9261z^4) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 11368\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 1323z^4 \right) \right) \left( 5(11926y^4 + 2656\sqrt{14}y^3z + 33964y^2z^2 - 336\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 9261z^4) \right) \right) \left( 14\rho^2 + 2(7354y^4 + 20064\sqrt{14}y^3z + 138376y^2z^2 + 8736\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 8379z^4) \right) \right) \left( 14\rho^2 + 2(13416y^4 + 13056\sqrt{14}y^3z + 66304y^2z^2 + 7119\sqrt{14}yz^3 + 441z^4) \right),
\end{align*}
\]
For the sextic order, we choose the real states \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(2, +2) + |2, -2\rangle \) and \( |2, 0\rangle \), and find the following matching conditions:

\[
F_6^2(\Delta_1 = 1, \Delta_2 = 0) = v_1, 
\]

\[
F_6^2(\Delta_1 = 0, \Delta_2 = 1) = v_1 + \frac{4}{3}v_2, 
\]

with

\[
F_6^2(\Delta_1 = 1, \Delta_2 = 0) = T \sum_p \int_0^A dp \frac{-p^2}{17381739375\pi^2} \left( 2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (\rho^4 - \mu^2)^2 \right)^3 \left[ 3\rho^8 \left( 65\omega_n^2 (132251y^6 
\]

\[
+ 1818672 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 24967656y^4z^2 + 98280 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 23374470y^2z^4 - 1353576 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 + 2302902z^6 \right) 
\]

\[
+ \mu^2 \left( 377751751y^6 + 73863264 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 6372678585y^4z^2 + 1772998920 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 \right) 
\]

\[
+ 3520792590y^4z^4 - 207035976 \sqrt{14}y^3z^5 + 865354014z^6 \right) + 24\mu p^6 \left( 13\omega_n^2 (3459534y^6 
\]

\[
+ 8171271 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 46236715y^4z^2 + 8805930 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 35585760y^2z^4 + 5678316 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 
\]

\[
- 9390654z^6 \right) + 15\mu^2 \left( 7992198y^6 + 10796899 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 70702135y^4z^2 + 18477410 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 
\]

\[
+ 31885280y^4z^4 + 8335292 \sqrt{14}y^3z^5 + 11292246z^6 \right) + 195p^4 \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right) \left( 11\omega_n^2 (28165y^6 
\]

\[
+ 518688 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 36925y^4z^2 + 795480 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 186690y^2z^4 + 311640 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 + 228438z^6 \right) 
\]

\[
+ \mu^2 \left( 19893187y^6 + 18064800 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 92444835y^4z^2 + 20433000 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 96783330y^2z^4 
\]

\[
- 1007832 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 23677290z^6 \right) \right) + 514\mu p^2 \left( 512558y^6 + 293047 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 1153355y^4z^2 
\]

\[
+ 479010 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 337120y^2z^4 + 377692 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 - 187278z^6 \right) \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right)^2 + 715 \left( 1009801y^6 
\]

\[
+ 244656 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 2270835y^4z^2 + 194040 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 2438730y^2z^4 - 95256 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 + 1352106z^6 \right) 
\]

\[
\times \left( \mu^2 + \omega_n^2 \right)^3 + \rho^2 \left( 27947687y^6 + 55081728 \sqrt{14}y^5z + 1162083195y^4z^2 + 399538440 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 
\]

\[
+ 162521730y^2z^4 - 104354712 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 + 141674778z^6 \right) + 36\mu p^{10} \left( 6703346y^6 + 16793049 \sqrt{14}y^5z 
\]

\[
+ 206611685y^4z^2 + 60932270 \sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 74879840y^2z^4 + 6595204 \sqrt{14}y^5z^5 - 29217426z^6 \right) \]
and

\[ F_0^2(\Delta_1 = 0, \Delta_2 = 1) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{-p^2}{17381739375\pi^2((\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 - 2p^4(\mu^2 - \omega_n^2) + p^8)^3} \left[ 3p^8 \left( 117y^6(4602891\mu^2 + 574015\omega_n^2) + 144\sqrt{14y^3}z(5708537\mu^2 + 1092065\omega_n^2) + 1365y^4z^2(4847253\mu^2 + 1309465\omega_n^2) \right. \right. \]
\[ + 2520\sqrt{14y^3}z^3(692527\mu^2 + 8515\omega_n^2) + 490y^2z^4(7566047\mu^2 + 2838355\omega_n^2) - 2352\sqrt{14y}z^5 \]
\[ \times (114811\mu^2 + 41015\omega_n^2) + 686z^6(1516423\mu^2 + 266435\omega_n^2) \right) - 8\mu p^6 \left( -54y^6(7616157\mu^2 + 2579083\omega_n^2) - 459\sqrt{14y^3}z(1107053\mu^2 + 736827\omega_n^2) - 315y^4z^2(10222497\mu^2 + 6302023\omega_n^2) \right. \]
\[ - 1890\sqrt{14y}z^3(462369\mu^2 + 186511\omega_n^2) - 8820y^2z^4(151831\mu^2 + 141609\omega_n^2) - 882\sqrt{14y}z^5 \]
\[ \times (461771\mu^2 + 268749\omega_n^2) + 686z^6(875293\mu^2 + 605787\omega_n^2) \right) + 195p^4(\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2(27y^6 \]
\[ \times (75466\mu^2 - 2651\omega_n^2) + 576\sqrt{14y}z^3(32194y^2 + 1065\omega_n^2) + 105y^4z^2(921243\mu^2 + 9911\omega_n^2) \]
\[ + 2520\sqrt{14y}z^3(8207\mu^2 + 3619\omega_n^2) + 490y^2z^4(203135\mu^2 - 4301\omega_n^2) - 2352\sqrt{14y}z^5(1189\mu^2 \]
\[ - 1111\omega_n^2) + 686z^6(39089\mu^2 + 5269\omega_n^2) \right) - 1716\mu p^2(-1512378y^6 - 906237\sqrt{14y}z^5 \]
\[ - 3801105y^4z^2 - 1409310(\sqrt{14y}z^3 - 792820y^2z^4 - 1223922\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 811538z^6) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 \]
\[ + 715(985257\mu^2 + 272592\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 2180115\mu^2 + 264600\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 4254909y^2z^4 \]
\[ - 190512(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 1452262z^6) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^3 + 5p^2(11635299y^6 + 24002784\sqrt{14y}z^5 \]
\[ + 277481295y^4z^2 + 78238440(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 32570790y^2z^4 - 22431024(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 32344214z^6) \]
\[ - 12\mu p^{10}( - 38287782y^6 - 67827123\sqrt{14y}z^5 - 67304659y^4z^2 - 180862290\sqrt{14y}z^3 \]
\[ - 183172780y^2z^4 - 25635918(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 10142990z^6) \]}

which yields

\[ \nu_1 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{-p^2}{17381739375\pi^2(2\omega_n^2(\mu^2 + p^4 + \omega_n^2) + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2)^3} \left[ 3p^8 \left( 65\omega_n^2(1322251y^6 + 1818672\sqrt{14y}z^5 \right. \right. \]
\[ + 24967565y^4z^2 + 98280(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 23374470y^2z^4 - 1353576\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 2302902z^6) + \mu^2(37775175y^6 \]
\[ + 738633264(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 6372678585y^4z^2 + 1772928920(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 3520792590y^2z^4 - 207035976\sqrt{14y}z^5 \]
\[ + 865354014z^6) \right) + 15\mu^2(7992198y^6 + 10796899y^4z^2 + 70702135y^4z^2 \]
\[ + 18477410(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 31885280y^2z^4 + 8335292(\sqrt{14y}z^5 - 11292246z^6) \right) + 195p^4(\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^4(11\omega_n^2^2(28165y^6 \]
\[ + 518688(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 36925y^4z^2 + 795480(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 186690y^2z^4 + 311640(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 228438z^6) + \mu^2(19893187y^6 \]
\[ + 18064800(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 92444385y^4z^2 + 20433000(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 96783330y^2z^4 - 1007832(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 23677290z^6) \]
\[ + 5148\mu p^2(512558y^6 + 293047(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 1153355y^4z^2 + 479010(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 337120y^2z^4 + 377692(\sqrt{14y}z^5 - 187278z^6) \]
\[ \times (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 \right) + 715(1009081y^6 + 244656(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 2270835y^4z^2 + 194040(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 2438730y^2z^4 - 95256(\sqrt{14y}z^5 \]
\[ + 13521065y^4z^2 \times - 104354712(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 141674778z^6) + 36\mu p^{10}(6703346y^6 + 16793049(\sqrt{14y}z^5 + 206611685y^4z^2 \]
\[ + 60932270(\sqrt{14y}z^3 + 74879840y^2z^4 + 6595204(\sqrt{14y}z^5 - 29217426z^6) \] \right) \]
and

\[ v_2 = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{-p^2}{5793913125\pi^2} \left(2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2\right) \left[3p^8 \left(40196624y^6 + 20849016\sqrt{14}y^5 z \right.ight. \\
\left. + 60955440y^4z^2 - 6957720\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 46642610y^2z^4 - 15749874\sqrt{14}yz^5 + 43728041z^6\right) - 65\omega_n^2 (72256y^6 \\
- 150168\sqrt{14}y^5 z - 632800y^4z^2 - 57960\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 494410y^2z^4 + 32634\sqrt{14}yz^5 - 127253z^6\right) \\
\left. + 4\mu p^6 \left(39\omega_n^2 (55752)y^6 + 250308\sqrt{14}y^5z + 2332120y^4z^2 + 116340\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 1780170y^2z^4 + 199773\sqrt{14}yz^5 \\
- 632492z^6\right) + \mu^2 (25811784y^4 + 11138436\sqrt{14}y^5z + 19245320y^4z^2 + 21196980\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 47844090y^2z^4 \\
- 16009941\sqrt{14}yz^5 - 46149964z^6)\right) + 195p^4 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) \left(4y^6 (30011\mu^2 - 23837\omega_n^2) + 72\sqrt{14}y^5z (1663\mu^2 + 1507\omega_n^2) \\
+ 140y^4z^2 (7653\mu^2 + 1133\omega_n^2) + 1680\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 (37\mu^2 + 55\omega_n^2) + 245y^2z^4 (2809\mu^2 - 55\omega_n^2) \\
- 2646\sqrt{14}yz^5 (169\mu^2 + 77\omega_n^2) + 343z^6 (2287\mu^2 + 803\omega_n^2)\right) - 858\mu p^2 (12648y^6 - 13548\sqrt{14}y^5z - 170520y^4z^2 \\
+ 13860\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 109270y^2z^4 - 45423\sqrt{14}yz^5 + 124852z^6) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) - 715 (2y^2 + z^2) (3068y^4 - 3492\sqrt{14}y^3z \\
+ 602y^2z^2 + 3402\sqrt{14}yz^3 - 3577y^4) (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + p^2 (7550452y^6 + 16233048\sqrt{14}yz^5 + 56330820y^4z^2 \\
- 2086560\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 + 83055y^2z^4 - 1950102\sqrt{14}yz^5 + 5011573z^6) + 6p^2 (9088872y^6 + 8723988\sqrt{14}yz^5 \\
+ 26605320y^4z^2 - 967260\sqrt{14}y^3z^3 - 20733370y^2z^4 + 2925153\sqrt{14}yz^5 - 6888812z^6)\right]). \]

3. \( j = 3 \)

Using \( c_\alpha = c_2/7 \) and \( c_\beta = c_3/7 \), we find the following matching conditions:

\[ F_3^2 (\Delta_{(3,3)}) = c_1 + 9c_2, \quad (D22) \]
\[ F_3^2 (\Delta_{(3,0)}) = c_1 + c_\alpha + \frac{4}{3}c_\beta, \quad (D23) \]
\[ F_4^2 (\Delta_{(3,3)+j_{(3,3)}}) = c_1 + c_\alpha + \frac{25}{12}c_\beta, \quad (D24) \]
\[ F_4^2 (\Delta_{(3,2)}) = c_1 + 4c_2. \quad (D25) \]

The functions are given by:

\[ F_3^2 (\Delta_{(3,3)}) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \left[p^2 |17017 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 + 4576p^4 (13\mu^2 + 4\omega_n^2) - 50622\mu p^2 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 6823p^6 - 32370\mu p^4\right] \]
\[ 60060\pi^2 \left(2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2\right)^2 \]
\[ , \quad (D26) \]

\[ F_3^2 (\Delta_{(3,0)}) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \left[p^2 |23023 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 + 286p^4 (285\mu^2 + 71\omega_n^2) - 68640\mu p^2 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 8459p^6 - 43368\mu p^4\right] \]
\[ 375375\pi^2 \left(2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2\right)^2 \]
\[ , \quad (D27) \]

\[ F_4^2 (\Delta_{(3,3)+j_{(3,3)}}) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \left[p^2 |17017 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 + 572p^4 (105\mu^2 + 31\omega_n^2) - 50622\mu p^2 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 6611p^6 - 32370\mu p^4\right] \]
\[ 120120\pi^2 \left(2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2\right)^2 \]
\[ , \quad (D28) \]

\[ F_4^2 (\Delta_{(3,2)}) = T \sum_n \int_0^\Lambda dp \left[p^2 |7007 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2)^2 + 572p^4 (43\mu^2 + 9\omega_n^2) - 20878\mu p^2 (\mu^2 + \omega_n^2) + 2533p^6 - 13026\mu p^4\right] \]
\[ 45045\pi^2 \left(2\omega_n^2 (\mu^2 + p^4) + \omega_n^4 + (p^4 - \mu^2)^2\right)^2 \]
\[ . \quad (D29) \]
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