How do tidal waves interact with convective vortices in rapidly-rotating planets and stars?
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ABSTRACT

Context. The dissipation of tidal inertial waves in planetary and stellar convective regions is one of the key mechanisms that drive the evolution of star-planet/planet-moon systems. It is particularly efficient for young low-mass stars and gaseous giant planets, which are rapid rotators. In this context, the interaction between tidal inertial waves and turbulent convective flows must be modelled in a realistic and robust way. In the state-of-the-art simulations, the friction applied on tidal waves is modelled most of the time by an effective eddy-viscosity. This approach may be valid when the characteristic length scales of convective eddies are smaller than those of tidal waves. However, it becomes highly questionable in the case where tidal waves interact with potentially stable large-scale vortices, as those observed at the pole of Jupiter and Saturn. They are potentially triggered by convection in rapidly-rotating bodies in which the Coriolis acceleration forms the flow in columnar vortical structures along the direction of the rotation axis.

Aims. We investigate the complex interactions between a tidal inertial wave and a columnar convective vortex.

Methods. We use a quasi-geostrophic semi-analytical model of a convective columnar vortex, which is validated by numerical simulations. First, we carry out linear stability analysis using both numerical and asymptotic Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin-Jeffreys (WKBJ) methods. Next, we conduct linear numerical simulations of the interactions between such a convective vortex and an incoming tidal inertial wave.

Results. The convective columnar vortex we consider is found to be centrifugally stable in the range \(\Omega_p \leq \Omega_0 \leq 3.62 \Omega_p\), and unstable outside this range, where \(\Omega_0\) is the local rotation rate of the vortex at its center and \(\Omega_p\) is the global planetary (stellar) rotation rate. From the linear stability analysis, we found that this vortex is prone to centrifugal instability with perturbations with azimuthal wavenumbers \(m = \{0, 1, 2\}\), which correspond potentially to eccentricity, obliquity and asynchronous tides, respectively. The modes with \(m > 2\) are found to be neutral or stable when the axial (vertical) wavenumber is sufficiently large. We verify that in the unstable regime, an incoming tidal inertial wave triggers the growth of the most unstable mode of the vortex. This would lead to turbulent dissipation. For stable convective columns, the wave-vortex interaction leads to the mixing of momentum for tidal inertial waves while it creates a low-velocity region around the vortex core and a new wave-like perturbation in the form of a progressive wave radiating in the far field. The emission of this secondary wave is the strongest when the wavelength of the incoming wave is close to the characteristic size (radius) of the vortex. From the linear stability analysis, we found that this vortex is prone to centrifugal instability with perturbations with azimuthal wavenumbers \(m = \{0, 1, 2\}\), which correspond potentially to eccentricity, obliquity and asynchronous tides, respectively. The modes with \(m > 2\) are found to be neutral or stable when the axial (vertical) wavenumber is sufficiently large. We verify that in the unstable regime, an incoming tidal inertial wave triggers the growth of the most unstable mode of the vortex. This would lead to turbulent dissipation. For stable convective columns, the wave-vortex interaction leads to the mixing of momentum for tidal inertial waves while it creates a low-velocity region around the vortex core and a new wave-like perturbation in the form of a progressive wave radiating in the far field. The emission of this secondary wave is the strongest when the wavelength of the incoming wave is close to the characteristic size (radius) of the vortex. Incomplete tidal waves can also experience complex angular momentum exchanges locally at critical layers of stable vortices.

Conclusions. The interaction between tidal inertial waves and large-scale coherent convective vortices in rapidly-rotating planets (stars) leads to turbulent dissipation in the unstable regime and complex behaviors such as mixing of momentum and radiation of new waves in the far field or wave-vortex angular momentum exchanges in the stable regime. These phenomena cannot be modelled using a simple effective eddy-viscosity.
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1. Introduction

Tidal star-planet and planet-moon interactions are one of the key physical mechanisms that drive the evolution of planetary systems (e.g. Laskar et al.\textsuperscript{[2012]} Alhur et al.\textsuperscript{[2021]} Lainey et al.\textsuperscript{[2020]}) In the case of stars and fluid (gaseous/liquid) planetary layers, the tidal force trigger several flows. On the one hand, the tidal potential induces a large-scale non-wavelike flow associated with the tidal deformation (e.g. Zahn\textsuperscript{[1966a]} Remus et al.\textsuperscript{[2012]} Ogilvie\textsuperscript{[2013]}). On the other hand, since this flow is not a solution of the complete hydrodynamical equations, the non-wavelike flow is completed by tidal waves, the so-called dynamical tide (Zahn\textsuperscript{[1975]} Ogilvie & Lin\textsuperscript{[2004]}). The kinetic and potential energies of these flows are then dissipated through different friction mechanisms like turbulent friction in convective layers and heat diffusion in stably stratified regions (e.g. Ogilvie\textsuperscript{[2014]} Mathis\textsuperscript{[2019]}). In this framework, the rate of tidal dissipation in stellar and planetary convective regions has broad consequences for the evolution of star-planet and planet-moon systems. In the case of star-planet systems, the dissipation of
tidal inertial waves, which have the Coriolis acceleration as a restoring force, in the convective envelope of low-mass stars during their pre-main-sequence leads to a strong outward/inward migration if the planet is above/inside the co-rotation orbit (e.g. Bolmont & Mathis 2016). This shapes the orbital distribution of short-period gaseous exoplanets (e.g. Benbakhora et al. 2019). Barker 2020, Ahur et al. 2021). The efficiency of this tidal friction is due to the rapid rotation of low-mass stars during this evolutionary stage (e.g. Gallet & Bouvier 2013, 2015). It leads to an efficient excitation of tidal inertial waves. In addition, in our solar system, a revolution has occurred in our understanding of the tidal evolution of the Jupiter and Saturn systems. Both planets are the seat of a tidal dissipation stronger by one or several orders of magnitude than previous predictions based on scenarios for the formation of their moons (Goldreich & Soter 1966). This strong dissipation is necessary to explain their rapid orbital migration, which has been discovered thanks to high-precision astrometric measurements (Launey et al. 2009, 2012, 2017, 2020). As in the case of rapidly rotating young stars, the dissipation of tidal inertial waves propagating in the convective regions of Jupiter and Saturn, which are also fast rotators, has been proposed as one of the possible mechanisms to explain the observed strong dissipation (Ogilvie & Lin 2004, Wu 2005, Goodman & Lacker 2009, Launey et al. 2020). Therefore, we have to address two fundamental questions: (i) how are tidal flows interacting with turbulent convective flows and how are they dissipated, and; (ii) what is the role of rapid rotation in these processes?

The interaction between tidal and turbulent convective flows is one of the most challenging and debated topics in the theory of stellar and fluid planetary tides. The first proposed modellings have all adopted the vision of convective small-scale eddies acting on tidal flows, which have the largest characteristic length scales. This led Zahn (1966b, 1989) and Goldreich & Keeley (1977) to use the theory of the mixing length to derive an effective eddy viscosity which is applied to the large-scale non-wavelike/equilibrium tide (e.g. Zahn 1966b, Barker 2020) and to tidal inertial waves (Wu 2005). A long debate has occurred on the dependence of this eddy viscosity as a function of the ratio between the tidal period ($P_T$) and the convective characteristic turnover time ($P_c$) (Goodman & Oh 1997, 2001, Penev et al. 2007, Ogilvie & Lesur 2012). The most recent state-of-the-art simulations (Duguid et al. 2020, Vidal & Barker 2020, Penev et al. 2020) favoured the Goldreich & Keeley (1977) proposition with a scaling proportional to ($P_T/P_c$) for high values of the tidal frequency but with a more complex behaviour at intermediate values. Recent work by Terquem (2021) proposed a more refined formalism leading to promising results (Terquem & Martin 2021) but they are challenged by direct numerical simulations (Barker & Astolfi 2021). A key point on these studies is that they do not study specifically the action of rotation on turbulent convective flows.

A first attempt has been done by Mathis et al. (2016) using a mixing length theory for rotating convective flows (Stevenson 1979, Augustson & Mathis 2019), which has been confirmed by direct numerical simulation (Barker et al. 2014, Currie et al. 2020). This theory allows us to derive characteristic convective velocity and length scales that take into account the action of the Coriolis acceleration on convective flows. It decreases the efficiency of the heat transport leading to a decreased effective turbulent eddy-viscosity applied on tidal flows. At the same time, breakthroughs occur in our knowledge of stellar and planetary rapidly rotating convective flows thanks to global nonlinear numerical simulations and space missions JUNO and Cassini exploring Jupiter and Saturn, respectively. First, stable vortices have been discovered at the poles of Jupiter and Saturn (e.g. Adriani et al. 2018, Godfrey 1988, Sánchez-Lavega et al. 2006, Dyudina et al. 2008, Fletcher et al. 2018). Their formation can result from deep-seated rapidly rotating convection (Yadav et al. 2020, Garcia et al. 2020, Cata et al. 2021). At the same time Julienne et al. (2012) and Hindman et al. (2020) provide a systematic classification of convective flows as a function of the rotation rate. These studies lead to columnar convective turbulent structures aligned along the rotation axis direction. This alignment results from the Taylor-Proudman constraint applied by the Coriolis acceleration on convective flows. In the presence of a companion, that would lead to configurations where tidal inertial waves propagate in turbulent rapidly-rotating convective flows with such structured columnar vortices. In this complex configuration, convective eddies can thus have the largest scale than those assumed in the mixing length approach and it becomes necessary to study their complex interactions with tidal inertial waves.

In this article, we will thus study the propagation of a monochromatic (tidal) inertial wave through a columnar turbulent convective vortex as a laboratory of this process. In Sect. 2, we formulate the equations to understand the wave-vortex interaction problem. In this framework, we propose a semi-analytical model for the convective Taylor columnar vortex and describe the regime where centrifugal instability occurs. We formulate the equations for the stability analysis and linear evolution of perturbations for a tidally-forced inertial wave interacting with the vortex. In Sect. 3, we study the stability of the convective column based on numerical stability computation and compare with asymptotic results from a detailed WKBJ analysis reported in Appendix. In the unstable regime, we verify that an incoming radial tidal wave can, with other motions, trigger the most unstable mode. This may lead to turbulence. In Sect. 4, we conduct numerical simulations to investigate how a tidal inertial wave interacts with a stable convective column in the linear regime. In Sect. 5, we propose possible scenarios of the nonlinear interactions between convective vortices and tidally-forced inertial waves. Finally, conclusions, discussions, and perspectives are provided in Sect. 6.

2. Mathematical formulation for the interactions between a convective Taylor column and inertial modes

2.1. Governing equations

To understand how a convective Taylor column interacts with (tidal) inertial waves (Fig. 1), we first investigate an intrinsic property of the convective structure: stability. The stability analysis allows us to examine how a convective column would respond to external forcing and when intense instability would occur because of its interaction with specific perturbations such as linear eigenmodes of basic states or the optimal perturbation represented as the sum of the eigenmodes (Schmid & Henningson 2001, Antokhina 2005). In a local framework rotating with an angular speed $\Omega_p$, the global planetary (stellar) rotation rate, we consider the continuity and momentum equations:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0,$$

(1)
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u + f \times u + f \times (2 \times \frac{f}{\rho}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P + g + \nabla^2 u + F_c, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density, \( u \) is the velocity vector, \( f \) is the Coriolis vector where \( f = f_0 \hat{e}_z = 2 \Omega \hat{e}_\theta \), \( r \) is the position vector, \( P \) is the pressure, \( g \) is the gravity vector, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( \nabla \) is the vector differential operator and \( F_c \) is the vector of external (tidal) forcing. We assume an incompressibility condition by considering the density \( \rho \) as a constant and decompose the pressure \( P \) into \( P = P_0 + p \) where \( P_0 \) satisfies the hydrostatic balance with the centrifugal acceleration taken into account as

\[
\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P_0 = g - f \times \left( \frac{f}{\rho} \right).
\]

Under these assumptions, Eqs. (1) and (2) reduce to

\[
\nabla \cdot u = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u + f \times u = -\nabla \pi + \nabla^2 u + F_c,
\]

where \( \pi = p/\rho \) is the normalized pressure.

Our study considers a local polar traditional \( f \)-plane centered around the rotation axis of the planet/star, where the rotation vector and the gravity are aligned, with an associated cylindrical coordinate system \((r, \theta, z)\). In this case, we have the following set of equations for the velocity \( u = (u_r, u_\theta, u_z) \), the normalized pressure \( \pi \), and the forcing \( F_c = (F_{u_r}, F_{u_\theta}, F_{u_z}) \):

\[
\frac{1 \partial (ru)}{r \partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial t} + u_r \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + u_\theta \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} + u_z \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial r} + \nabla^2 u_r + F_{u_r},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial t} + u_r \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial r} + u_\theta \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + u_z \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \theta} + \nabla^2 u_\theta + F_{u_\theta},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial t} + u_r \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r} + u_\theta \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial \theta} + u_z \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z} + \nabla^2 u_z + F_{u_z},
\]

where the vertical component of the Coriolis acceleration vanishes. Being in the polar traditional \( f \)-plane allows us to treat a case where the equations for (tidal) inertial waves propagating within a vortex with a radial structure are separable.

While the vortex has a polar nature, the inertial waves can also propagate in a Cartesian, planar manner, as depicted by a schematic in Fig. 1 (left panel) of the wave-vortex interaction in the Cartesian coordinate system. To analyze this planar wave propagation, we need to consider the set of equations for the velocity \( u = (u_x, u_y, u_z) \) in Cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\) on the traditional \( f \)-plane as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + u_z \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial x} + \nabla^2 u_x + F_{u_x},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} + u_z \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y} + \nabla^2 u_y + F_{u_y},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial y} + u_z \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z} + \nabla^2 u_z + F_{u_z},
\]

where the following relations among the horizontal velocity components are satisfied:

\[
u_x = u \cos \theta - v \sin \theta, \quad u_y = u \sin \theta + v \cos \theta.
\]

This polar traditional study constitutes the first necessary step to understanding the complex wave-vortex interaction. It is for instance relevant to study the interactions between tidal inertial waves and large polar vortices as those observed in giant planets. However, one should keep in mind that, in a more general case away from the poles (e.g. a case with the nontraditional \( f \)-plane approximation applicable at a general colatitude, see e.g. [Park et al. 2021]), the propagation equation for inertial waves is not separable anymore (Gerkema & Shrira 2005). This would lead to a more complex situation, where 2D inertial waves attractors (e.g. [Maas 2001; Rieutord et al. 2001]) are interacting with a vertical vortex ([Duran-Matute et al. 2013; Bourney et al. 2021] see also Fig. 1 right panel). We first focus here on the already complex polar problem while the fully 2D problem will be studied in a future study.
2.2. Convective Taylor column

2.2.1. Basics of rapidly rotating flows

Convection plays a fundamental role in stars and planets. Rotation fundamentally modifies the behavior of convection through the action of the Coriolis acceleration (e.g. Davidson 2013) and changes the equilibrium structure of the body because of the centrifugal force (e.g. Wang et al. 2016). This impacts the interactions and energy exchanges with (gravito-) inertial (tidal) waves (Mathis et al. 2014, Augustson et al. 2020).

In rotating convection, one of the fundamental flows that appear even in very turbulent regimes, are columnar structures that result from the interaction of buoyancy-driven convection and the Coriolis acceleration. Rapid rotation tends to cause those structures to align with the rotation axis of the body. Indeed, in an inviscid adiabatic fluid, a steady state solution obeys the Taylor-Proudman theorem where the fluid velocity becomes invariant along the rotation vector (e.g. Davidson 2013). However, the entropy gradient is in general slightly nonadiabatic with a density gradient leading to quasigeostrophic flow in rapidly rotating systems with net heat flux. Such flows can be modelled using asymptotic methods if the scale separation along the direction of the rotation axis and the orthogonal one is large enough. These dynamically rich nonlinear systems have been studied in great detail [Veronis 1959, Gough et al. 1975, Julien et al. 1998]. Their solutions exhibit the formation of columnar flow structures in both laminar and turbulent regimes in numerical and experimental settings [Stellmach et al. 2014, Aurnou et al. 2015, Cheng et al. 2015]. Exact analytical solutions validated on numerical simulations can be found as well [Grooms et al. 2010, Grooms 2015]. These solutions are separable with a fixed horizontal structure and a varying vertical one. They have been discussed by Julien et al. (1998), Sprague et al. (2006), Grooms et al. (2010), Julien et al. (2012), and Grooms (2015). The equations for the vertical structure can be condensed into a nonlinear boundary value problem. This provides a robust analytical description of the structure of the flow with which we will be able to investigate their stability and interactions with incoming tidal inertial waves.

2.2.2. Semi-analytical quasigeostrophic models

Simulations of quasigeostrophic convective flows thus provide us guidance to model coherent structures that may exist in rapidly-rotating planets and stars. These simulations range from near the onset of instability to the most turbulent states that can be reached thanks to supercomputers, which are still far from the real planetary and stellar regimes. One characteristic that appears to bridge laminar and the most turbulent simulations are the formation of large-scale vortical structures that span the vertical domain. In the laminar regime, these vortices are long-lived (even persistent) and they only weakly interact with each other. In turbulent simulations, they are formed by the advection of small-scale vortices into domain-spanning larger-scale structures, representing an inverse cascade of energy into the largest-scale vortex which can be intermittent. An analogy can be made between many of the features of the turbulent and the laminar structures, under the assumption that they weakly interact with each other.

Analytical solutions for such laminar and turbulent structures have been derived by Grooms et al. (2010) and Grooms (2015), respectively. They are solutions to a nonlinear eigenvalue problem that arises from a simplification of the full quasigeostrophic equations. First, it is assumed that nonlinear horizontal interactions between the columnar structures are weak while passive advection is still allowed. In this regard, each columnar structure is assumed to be axisymmetric and time-independent so that there is no horizontal self-interaction, which affects the structure by itself along its temporal evolution. The equations resulting from these assumptions are Equations 9 and 10 in [Grooms et al. (2010)]. One solution of these equations is of the separable form for the poloidal velocity potential \( \phi(r,z) = \hat{\phi}(z) J_0(\kappa r) \) that yields the vertical component of the vorticity \( \zeta(r,z) = -\partial_z \phi \) with \( J_0(\kappa r) \) the zeroth-order Bessel function with a radial wavenumber \( \kappa \). This Bessel function solution is chosen over the better-fitting Hankel function solution examined in [Grooms et al. (2010)] because the latter diverges for \( r \to 0 \) (we refer the reader to Abramowitz & Stegun 1972 for the properties of these functions). However, as stated in [Grooms et al. (2010)], the radial function must be truncated. We choose to do this exponentially so that an infinite radial domain may be studied when looking at the interaction with an incoming tidal inertial wave. Moreover, the vertical structure is taken to be far from the bounding surfaces so that it can be locally approximated as a constant with \( \hat{\phi}(z) = \text{constant} \). In this case, we can define the local vorticity of the fluid such that:

\[
\zeta = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r^2 \Omega)}{\partial r},
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the local angular velocity. A comparison of the approximate solution employed here (solid lines) versus the solution derived in [Grooms et al. (2010)] (dashed lines) is shown in Fig. 2 where the divergence of the Hankel function can be seen as the radius approaches zero but the oscillations are damped requiring the exponential damping of the Bessel function. More specifically, the radial profile of the vorticity \( \zeta(r) \) in terms of the Hankel function is

\[
\zeta(r) \sim \text{Re} \left[ H_0^{(1)}(\kappa R_0) \right],
\]

where \( \text{Re} \) denotes the real part, \( H_0^{(1)} \) denotes the Hankel function of the first kind at the zeroth order, and \( \kappa R_0 = 1.34 \exp(0.153i)/R_0 \) with \( R_0 \) the reference radial length scale of the structure. In contrast, the local angular velocity profile used here is instead

\[
\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 \exp(-\alpha r^2) J_0(\beta r),
\]

where \( \Omega_0 \) is the local angular velocity of the convective column at \( r = 0 \), and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants \( \alpha = 0.09/R_0^2 \) and \( \beta = 0.76/R_0 \) that are chosen to minimize the error between the vorticity \( [\text{Eq. (16)}] \) proposed by [Grooms et al. (2010)] and our model. As shown in Fig. 2a,b, the two profiles \([\text{Eq. (16)}] \) and \([\text{Eq. (17)}] \) match fairly well and there is no singularity at \( r = 0 \) for the second derivative of the angular velocity \([\text{Eq. (17)}] \) as well as the second derivative of the vorticity. Throughout the paper, we use the angular velocity profile given in Eq. \([\text{Eq. (17)}] \) to derive analytic expressions for the dispersion relations, which are crucial for understanding the interaction between a convective column and tidally-forced inertial waves.

2.2.3. Conditions for the centrifugal instability

Hydrodynamics theories on vortices and their instability have been well established, and they are easily applicable to understand the stability of general convective Taylor columns. In rotating fluids, columnar convective structures are prone to centrifugal instability, which occurs due to an imbalance between the centrifugal acceleration and pressure gradient in the radial direction. The centrifugal instability can be predicted using the
Rayleigh’s criterion, initially proposed by [Rayleigh 1917] for an inviscid rotating flow with angular velocity Ω(r) and then generalized by [Kloosterziel & van Heijst 1991] for rotating flows on the traditional f-plane. The criterion states that an inviscid vortex on the f-plane can become centrifugally unstable if there exists a region where the Rayleigh’s discriminant Φ(r) becomes negative, i.e.,

\[ Φ = (f + 2Ω)f < 0. \]  

(18)

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the centrifugal instability were originally derived for axisymmetric perturbations with \( m = 0 \) ([Synge 1933]), but the follow-up study by [Billant & Gallaire 2005] extended the criterion for general perturbations with \( m \geq 0 \) by deriving the dispersion relation using the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin-Jeffreys (WKB) approximation. The Rayleigh’s criterion (18) is also applicable for the centrifugal instability of vortices in rotating and stratified fluids ([Park & Billant 2013]) and for the inertial instability of shear flows in rotating and stratified fluids ([Airobo & Sarkar 2012 [Park et al. 2020]]).

For the convective column with the angular velocity profile (17), examples of Φ(r) normalized by \( f^2 \) are shown in Fig. 2 for different values of the ratio \( Ω_0/f \). For instance, for \( Ω_0 = -f \) or \( Ω_0 = 2f \), there are regions where \( Φ(r) \) becomes negative, thus the convective column can become centrifugally unstable to incoming perturbations like tidal inertial waves. At \( Ω_0 = 0.5f \), \( Φ(r) \) is always positive for all \( r \) so it is centrifugally stable. In this regime, incoming tidal inertial waves will interact with stable vortices and this interaction can promote new radiating waves. This configuration will be investigated in detail in section 4.

Figure 3 displays the sign of \( Φ \) in the parameter space \( (r/R_0, Ω_0/f) \). We see that there are regions of the negative Φ for \( Ω_0 < -0.5f \) and \( Ω_0 > 1.81f \). In terms of the global planetary rotation rate \( Ω_p \), we can divide the regime of \( Ω_0 \) into two regimes as

- \( -Ω_p \leq Ω_0 \leq 3.62Ω_p \) : centrifugally stable,
- \( Ω_0 > 3.62Ω_p \) or \( Ω_0 < -Ω_p \) : centrifugally unstable.  

(19)

2.3. Linear stability equations

While the regime (19) identifies where the base flow is centrifugally stable or unstable, we need to perform the linear stability analysis to compute quantitatively the growth rate of the centrifugal instability. To our knowledge, this is the first time that
First, we will examine the intrinsic stability of the convective Taylor column by considering no external tidal forcing (i.e., \( F_x = 0 \)). This problem is closely related to the case with free waves in a sheared region (Astoul et al. 2021), where the inertial wave enters and perturbs the base shear flow. The response of the base flow to (tidal) inertial waves strongly depends on its stability. Therefore, it is crucial to investigate the stability beforehand to understand the wave-vortex interaction. In the stability analysis, we apply the formulation of the normal mode to perturbations as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{u} \\
\dot{\psi} \\
\dot{w} \\
\dot{\theta}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\dot{u}(r) \\
\dot{\psi}(r) \\
\dot{w}(r) \\
\dot{\theta}(r)
\end{pmatrix}
\exp[i(k_c z + m \theta - \omega t)] + \text{c.c.},
\tag{25}
\]

where \( \dot{u} = (\dot{u}, \dot{\psi}, \dot{w}) \) and \( \dot{\theta} \) are the mode shapes of velocity and pressure, and \( k_c \) is the vertical wavenumber, \( m \) is the azimuthal wavenumber, \( \omega \) is the complex frequency, and \( i \omega = \omega_x + i \omega_y \) denotes the frequency and the imaginary part \( \omega_y \) denotes the temporal growth rate, respectively. The use of the normal mode leads to the following linear stability equations:

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d}{dr} \right) \dot{u} - \frac{im}{r} \frac{d}{dr} k_c \dot{w} + \dot{\theta} = 0,
\tag{26}
\]

\[
is \dot{\psi} - (f + 2 \Omega) \dot{\psi} + \frac{4 \rho}{d \nu} \dot{\psi} + \nu \left( \nabla^2 \dot{\psi} - \nu \frac{d}{dr}^2 \right) + 2im \dot{\nu} = 0,
\tag{27}
\]

\[
is \dot{w} + f + \zeta, \dot{u} + i \dot{\theta} = \nu \left( \nabla^2 \dot{w} - \nu \frac{d}{dr}^2 \right) + 2im \dot{\nu} = 0,
\tag{28}
\]

\[
is ik_c \dot{\theta} = \nu \left( \nabla^2 \dot{\theta} - \nu \frac{d}{dr}^2 \right) + 2im \dot{\nu} = 0,
\tag{29}
\]

where \( s = -\omega + m \Omega \) is the Doppler-shifted frequency and \( \nabla^2 = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - k_c^2 - m^2 \frac{1}{r^2} \) is the Laplacian operator. Due to the symmetry: \( \omega(m, k_c) = \omega(m, -k_c) = -\omega\gamma(-m, -k_c) \) (Park & Billant 2013) where * denotes the complex conjugate, we consider hereafter only the non-negative wavenumbers (\( m \geq 0 \) and \( k_c \geq 0 \)). The linear stability equations (26) (29) can be solved numerically with the following simplified eigenvalue problem

\[
-\nu \omega \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{q},
\tag{30}
\]

where \( \mathbf{q} = (\dot{u}, \dot{\psi}, \dot{w}) \) and \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) are the operator matrices as

\[
\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} & B_{12} \\
B_{21} & B_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
A_{11} = 1 - \frac{1}{k_c^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right), \quad A_{12} = -\frac{im}{r k_c^2} \left( \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r} \right),
\tag{31}
\]

\[
A_{21} = -\frac{im}{r k_c^2} \left( \frac{d}{dr} \right), \quad A_{22} = 1 + \frac{m^2}{r^2 k_c^2},
\tag{32}
\]

\[
B_{11} = -im \left[ \Omega - \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{Q}{k_c^2} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right) \right) \right]
+ \nu \left( \nabla^2 - \nu \frac{d}{dr}^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right),
\tag{33}
\]

\[
B_{12} = \frac{f + 2 \Omega}{r^2 k_c^2} - \frac{m^2}{d \nu} \frac{1}{r^2 k_c^2} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right),
\tag{34}
\]

\[
B_{21} = (f + 2 \Omega) - \frac{m^2}{d \nu} \frac{1}{r^2 k_c^2} - \frac{im \nu}{r} \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{k_c^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right),
\tag{35}
\]

\[
B_{22} = -(f + \zeta) - \frac{m^2}{r k_c^2} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right) \frac{im \nu}{r} \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{k_c^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right),
\tag{36}
\]

\[
B_{31} = -(f + \zeta) - \frac{m^2}{r k_c^2} \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right) \frac{im \nu}{r} \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{k_c^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \right),
\tag{37}
\]

\[
i \nu \omega \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{q},
\tag{38}
\]

This eigenvalue problem, we use the Chebyshev spectral method for a discretization with collocation points in the radial direction (Antkowiak 2005; Park 2012) to obtain a complete eigenvalue spectrum from the eigenvalue problem (30).

In the inviscid limit \( \nu = 0 \), equations (26)-(29) can be further simplified into a single second-order ordinary differential equation for \( \dot{u} \):

\[
\frac{d^2}{dr^2} \dot{u} + \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{Q}{Q} \right) \dot{u} + \left( -k_c^2 - \frac{m^2}{s r^2} - \frac{m r Q}{s r^2 Q} \right) \dot{u} + \left( \frac{1}{r Q} \right) \dot{u} = 0,
\tag{39}
\]

where \( \Delta \) denotes the radial derivative, \( Q \) and \( \Delta \) are the functions defined as

\[
Q(r) = \frac{m^2}{r^2} + k_c^2, \quad \Delta (r) = 1 - \frac{d}{s r^2}.
\tag{40}
\]

In solving the 2nd-order ODE (38), we consider the boundary conditions in the two limits \( r \to \infty \) and \( r \to 0 \) with analytic asymptotic solutions. For instance, we can find an asymptotic solution for (38) in the limit \( r \to \infty \) as

\[
\dot{u}(r) \sim A_1 H_0^{(1)}(k_r r),
\tag{41}
\]

where \( A_1 \) is the constant amplitude, \( H_0^{(1)} \) is the Hankel function of the first kind and \( k_r \) is the radial wavenumber of the solution in the far field defined as

\[
k_r = k_c \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{\omega^2} - 1}.
\tag{42}
\]

The solution (40) in the limit \( r \to \infty \) behaves asymptotically as an inertial wave solution with an exponential decay if \( |f| > |\omega| \) while the solution is evanescent if \( |f| < |\omega| \). For the solution around the center \( r = 0 \), we apply the Taylor expansion on (26) (29) to have the following asymptotic solutions:

\[
\dot{u} \sim O(r), \quad \dot{\psi} \sim O(r), \quad \dot{w} \sim O(1), \quad \dot{\theta} \sim O(1),
\tag{43}
\]

if \( m = 0 \), or

\[
\dot{u} \sim O(r^{m-1}), \quad \dot{\psi} \sim O(r^{m-1}), \quad \dot{w} \sim O(r^{m}), \quad \dot{\theta} \sim O(r^{m}),
\tag{44}
\]

if \( m \geq 1 \) (see also, Saffman 1992).
3. Stability of the convective column

This section will focus on analyzing the stability of the convective column model [17] that is prone to centrifugal instability. The stability analysis is the first step to understand how a convective Taylor column responds to external perturbations. Using numerical and asymptotic methods, we compute the dispersion relation (i.e., the expression of the growth rate and frequency in terms of the wavenumbers) of the modes of the convective column. Such analyses can identify the most unstable mode, which is most likely to be observed in the interaction process between the convective column and inertial waves, as well as its characteristic temporal and length scales. In this section, we will consider the inviscid case where $\nu = 0$. This allows us to perform an asymptotic analysis with the WKBJ method for the centrifugal instability in the large-$k$, limit. Here, we present the stability analysis results for our convective column model and the asymptotic expressions of the dispersion relations for neutral and unstable modes. The details of the WKBJ method are presented in Appendix A. We also note that the viscosity stabilizes modes of the convective column, but it is expected that the dynamics of unstable or stable modes will not change significantly in the presence of the viscosity, which is weak in the interiors of stars and giant planets. The linear evolution of neutral or unstable modes will be discussed in the later part of the section.

3.1. Linear stability analysis results

Figure 3 displays spectra of the eigenvalues $\omega = \omega_i + i\omega_f$ at $\Omega_0/f = 3$ and $k_R_0 = 10$ for various azimuthal wavenumbers $m$. The $m = 0, 1, 2$ modes correspond to the eccentricity, obliquity, and asynchronous tides, respectively. Dynamical tides with $m > 0$ are particularly interesting to see how they can potentially destabilize a convective column leading to turbulence and dissipation. For the axisymmetric case ($m = 0$), eigenvalues are symmetrically distributed with respect to the origin and we have neutral modes with the zero growth rate (i.e., $\omega = 0$) in the frequency range $|\omega_f/f| < 6$, and stable/unstable modes with the zero frequency (i.e., $\omega_f = 0$) in the growth-rate range $|\omega_f/f| < 1$. These eigenvalues are numerically well converged at a relatively low resolution $N_{\text{cheb}} = 100$. In Fig. 3b, we see that eigenvalues for $m = 1$ are no longer symmetric with respect to the line $\omega_f = 0$ and we have neutral modes in the frequency range $-4 < \omega_f/f < 8.5$ and stable/unstable modes in the growth-rate range $|\omega_f/f| < 0.85$ with a non-zero frequency $\omega_f/f \neq 0$. While some of these modes are well converged at a resolution $N_{\text{cheb}} \geq 200$, some modes with a non-zero growth rate in the frequency range $0.2 < \omega_f/f < 0.8$ are not well converged even at a high resolution $N_{\text{cheb}} = 400$. We verified that these unconverged modes possess singular points (e.g., the critical layers at which the incident wave exchanges its angular momentum with the convective column) and much higher resolutions are required to resolve these modes correctly (see also the numerical treatment by Astoul et al. [2021]). In this paper, we will not investigate the dynamics of these singular modes but we will focus on converged modes that have high frequency or growth rate. The spectral characteristics for $m = 2$ shown in Fig. 3c are similar to those for $m = 1$, but we only observed two unstable modes that are numerically converged at $N_{\text{cheb}} = 400$. For the parameters $\Omega_0/f = 3$ and $k_R_0 = 10$ considered in Fig. 3 we found that the growth rate of the most unstable mode decreases with $m$ and no unstable mode is observed after $m \geq 3$.

Figure 4 displays the mode shape $\hat{u}(r)$ of the most unstable modes and the first neutral modes with the highest frequency for various azimuthal wavenumbers $m$, which are picked up in the eigenvalue spectra in Fig. 3. We also plot the gray-shaded area to demonstrate where the Rayleigh discriminant $\Phi(r)$ is negative. The unstable modes have an oscillatory shape inside the region $\Phi < 0$ while they are evanescent such that they increase exponentially from $r = 0$ and decrease exponentially as $r \rightarrow \infty$. It is also found that the number of zero crossings for the unstable modes increases with $m$. The neutral modes, on the other hand, have different features; for instance, they have a peak around $r \approx 0.5$ below the region $\Phi < 0$ and decrease exponentially as $r \rightarrow \infty$. From these solution behaviors, we can expect that the wavelike behavior is observed in the region $\Phi < 0$ when it is centrifugally unstable. According to the definition by Le Dizès & Lacaze (2005), a mode that has a wavelike behavior far from the core $r = 0$ is called the ring mode, while a mode with the wavelike behavior around $r = 0$ is called the core mode. Therefore, we can distinguish that the unstable modes in Fig. 5 are the ring mode while the neutral modes in Fig. 5 are the core mode.

Figure 4 shows how eigenvalues change with the vertical wavenumber $k_z$ for neutral and unstable modes at $\Omega_0/f = 3$. For the neutral modes, there are countless branches depending on the number of zero-crossings but we only display the first four upper branches in Fig. 4a that have the highest frequencies and the four lower branches with the lowest frequencies. In Fig. 4h, we see that the frequency of the upper branches begins at $\omega_f = m\Omega_0$ at $k_z = 0$, increases with $k_z$ and reaches an asymptote as $k_z \rightarrow \infty$. The asymptotic behaviors in the limit $k_z \rightarrow \infty$ can be understood by applying the Wentzel-Krammers-Brillouin-Jeffreys (WKBJ) approximation (Froman & Froman 1965). Referring the readers to Appendix for detailed technical details at intermediate steps, we provide here the final analytic expressions of the dispersion relation for neutral and unstable modes obtained by using the WKBJ approximation. For upper branches, we found the expressions of the dispersion relation for the neutral modes in the form of Taylor expansion with $1/k_z$ as

$$\begin{align*}
\omega &= m\Omega_0 + \sqrt{\Phi_0} - \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{-\frac{\Phi_0}{2} - m\Omega_0^2 \sqrt{\Phi_0}}, & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\
\omega &= m\Omega_0 + \sqrt{\Phi_0} + \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{-\frac{\Phi_0}{2} - m\Omega_0^2 \sqrt{\Phi_0}}, & \text{if } m = 1,
\end{align*}$$

(44)

where $n$ denotes the branch number and the subscript 0 implies that the variables are evaluated at $r = 0$. We see a good agreement between the numerical results and asymptotic predictions on the frequency of the upper branches, especially as $k_z \rightarrow 0$. The frequency of the lower branches, on the other hand, decreases as $k_z$ increases and reaches an asymptote as $k_z \rightarrow \infty$. From the WKBJ analysis, we found the analytical expressions of the dispersion relation for the lower branches as

$$\begin{align*}
\omega &= m\Omega_0 - \sqrt{\Phi_0} + \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{-\frac{\Phi_0}{2} + m\Omega_0^2 \sqrt{\Phi_0}}, & \text{if } m \neq 1, \\
\omega &= m\Omega_0 - \sqrt{\Phi_0} - \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{-\frac{\Phi_0}{2} + m\Omega_0^2 \sqrt{\Phi_0}}, & \text{if } m = 1.
\end{align*}$$

(45)

A good agreement is also obtained between the numerical and asymptotic results for the lower branches, as shown in Fig. 4b.

In Fig. 6(c,d), we plot the frequency $\omega_f$ and growth rate $\omega_i$ of the most unstable mode versus $k_z$. The axisymmetric mode Article number, page 7 of 20 V. Dandoy, J. Park, K. Augustson, A. Astoul, and S. Mathis: How do tidal waves interact with convective vortices in rapidly-rotating planets and stars?
Chebyshev collocation points $N$ as Taylor expansion of the complex frequency $\Omega$.

Fig. 4. Eigenvalue spectra for an unstable case at $\Omega_\omega / f = 3$ and $k_R_0 = 10$ for (a) $m = 0$, (b) $m = 1$, and (c) $m = 2$. Different numbers of the Chebyshev collocation points $N_{\text{cheb}}$ are tested for convergence.

Fig. 5. Real (solid) and imaginary (dashed) parts of (a) the most unstable mode and (b) the first neutral mode with the highest frequency at $\omega_\omega / f = 3$ and $k_R_0 = 10$ for different azimuthal wavenumbers: $m = 0$ (black), $m = 1$ (blue), and $m = 2$ (red). The gray-shaded region denotes where the Rayleigh discriminant $\Phi$ is negative.

$(m = 0)$ has zero frequency and its growth rate $\omega_0$ increases with $k_c$. The frequency and growth rate of non-axisymmetric modes $(m \geq 1)$ increase with $k_c$, and they approach their asymptotes as $k_c \to \infty$. From the WKBJ analysis, we found the following Taylor expansion of the complex frequency $\omega$:

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_1}{k_c} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right), \quad (46)$$

where

$$\omega_0 = m\Omega(r_0) + i\sqrt{-\Phi(r_0)}, \quad (47)$$

and $r_0$ is a double turning point where the radial derivative of $m\omega(r) + i \sqrt{-\Phi(r)}$ becomes zero (for more details, see Appendix A and Billant & Gallaire 2005). It is clearly shown in Fig. 6(c,d) that the numerical and asymptotic results are in good agreement.

We verified numerically that there is no longer instability for higher azimuthal wavenumber $m \geq 3$ for the convective column with the angular velocity profile given in Eq. (17). Furthermore, we found an instability at $k_c = 0$ only for $m = 2$. This zero-vertical-wavenumber instability is reminiscent of the vortex shear instability reported for other vortex profiles (see e.g., Billant & Gallaire 2005).

3.2. Linear evolution of unstable modes

In addition to the stability analysis, we also investigate how inertial waves entering from the far field interact with a convective column. To understand the interaction, the first step is to perform linear simulations with a tidally-forced inertial wave incoming from the far field. Considering the cylindrical coordinates, the mathematical formulation is similar to that of the stability analysis when the following ansatz is considered:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{u} \\
\hat{v} \\
\hat{w} \\
\hat{\theta}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{u}(r, t) \\
\tilde{v}(r, t) \\
\tilde{w}(r, t) \\
\tilde{\theta}(r, t)
\end{pmatrix} \exp\left[i(k_c z + m\theta)\right] + c.c., \quad (49)$$

where $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ are the time-dependent mode shapes of velocity and normalised pressure. Applying the ansatz (49) to Eqs. (21)-(24) leads to the following linear time-evolution equation

$$\mathcal{A} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} = \mathcal{B} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \quad (50)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ and $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are the same operator matrices as in the eigenvalue problem (30). To impose the boundary conditions around the center $r = 0$, we consider the conditions (42)-(43) and use the proper differentiation matrices to match the boundary conditions (for details, we refer to Antkowiak 2005).

To impose the boundary conditions in the far field, we consider the time-periodic solution as

$$\tilde{u} = A e^{i\omega_1 t}, \quad (51)$$
where $A_\infty$ is the constant amplitude and $\omega_f$ is the forcing frequency. For the time-marching of Eq. (50), we use the implicit Euler method. While the stability analysis uses the Chebyshev spectral method for discretization in the radial direction $r$ to facilitate the imposition of boundary conditions [Park 2012], we use the 4th-order finite difference method for the radial discretization to have a uniform spacing. This allows us to have more collocation points in the far field and thus we can properly resolve the incoming inertial waves.

Panels a and b in Fig. 7 display the spatio-temporal diagrams of the real part of the radial velocity $\text{Re}[\tilde{u}_r(r,t)]$ as the inertial wave is forced at $r = 20R_0$ with the amplitude $A_\infty = 10^{-6}$, frequency $\omega_f = 0.3f$, wavenumbers $(k_x, R_0, m) = (5, 1)$ for two base flows: unstable and stable convective columns with $\Omega_0 = 3f$ and $\Omega_0 = 0.5f$, respectively. On the one hand, the unstable case in Fig. 7a shows that the tidally-forced wave propagates inwards in the radial direction. This incoming cylindrical wave has a characteristic such that the front has a longer wavelength and a smaller amplitude than the rear part. Although the front of the wave has a very small amplitude, it can still trigger the most unstable mode, which grows the fastest in time. If the nonlinearity is taken into account in this unstable case, strong interaction with the convective column can be induced and turbulence can be triggered as a consequence. On the other hand, we see in Fig. 7b for the stable case that the tidally-forced inertial wave propagates inwards but there is no sign of the strong interaction between the wave and the convective column. At a much later time $ft > 140$, we verified indeed that no unstable mode is triggered for the stable case.

To quantify the difference between the two regimes, we define the energy of perturbations:

$$E(t) = \int_0^\infty \left| \tilde{u}_r \right|^2 + \left| \tilde{u}_\theta \right|^2 + \left| \tilde{u}_z \right|^2 \, dr.$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

It is clearly shown in Fig. 7 that the perturbation energy for the unstable case increases significantly after $ft > 120$ and grows exponentially in time, while the energy for the tidal inertial wave interacting with the stable convective column does not increase significantly in time. We found that the sudden increase of the perturbation energy for the unstable case corresponds to the emergence of the most unstable mode with the growth rate $\omega_{i,\text{max}}$. This is verified by comparing the slope of the two curves: the unstable perturbation growth in black solid line and the exponential growth $\exp(2\omega_{i,\text{max}} ft)$ of the most unstable mode in blue line. In comparing these two cases, we must consider the exponent $2\omega_{i,\text{max}}$ which can be easily obtained by putting $\tilde{u}_r(r,t) = \tilde{u}_r \exp(-i\omega t)$ into the energy equation (52). It is not shown here but we also verified that a similar appearance of the unstable mode occurs when the incoming inertial waves with different forcing frequencies are considered for unstable convective columns.

These results are coherent with what is expected from the stability analysis. Once the instability occurs and triggers turbulence, the vortex will be destroyed and turbulent dissipation will follow as a consequence (see for instance the work by Pizzetti et al. 2022 for the case of the precession instability-driven turbulence). It is important here to underline that the trigger of unstable modes is not necessarily from tidal inertial waves but any low-amplitude noise is likely to cause the instability leading to turbulence. Especially for the case where the tidal period scaled as $|\omega_f|^{-1}$ is larger than the characteristic time of the instability scaled as $|\omega_0|^{-1}$ (i.e., the case where $|\omega_f| < |\omega_0|$), one can expect that the instability develops fast before the incoming tidal wave starts to interact with the vortex, thus other types of low-amplitude perturbations would be the main trigger of the vortex instability. A more important configuration is the one where tidal inertial waves interact with stable convective vortices as the numerous ones observed for instance at the surface of giant planets. What is less known is whether stable vortices would interact with the tidal waves. The current case only considers cylindrical inertial waves propagating in the radial direction. However, we must also study the general case where a large-scale stable vortex in planets or stars interacts with an incoming tidally-forced wave that goes through the center of the vortex as depicted in Fig. 7 (left panel). To understand this regime, we examine in the following section the interaction between a stable vortex and a tidal inertial wave in the Cartesian coordinate system.

### 4. Interaction between a planar inertial wave and a stable convective column

In the previous section, we examine the interaction between the convective vortex and inertial wave, both of which have a cylindrical nature. A problem that draws more attention from astrophysicists working on tidal interaction and inertial-wave propagation (see e.g. André et al. 2017, 2019) is how the tidally-forced inertial wave interacts with complex fluid flow structures. In the case of convective vortices, this motivates us to consider inertial waves propagating in a plane and going through the vortex as described in Fig. 1 (left panel). To investigate this, we formulate the problem in the Cartesian coordinate system and conduct two-dimensional linear simulations. This allows us to be able to examine the vortex interaction with any incoming tidal waves,
not necessarily restricted to the 1D cylindrical waves as in the previous section. A similar configuration is considered in [McIn-tyre 2019] who examines analytically the wave-vortex interaction by considering a vortex, which has an irrotational velocity field outside the vortex core, and a wave travelling through the vortex center.

We reformulate the base flow by considering the irrotational velocity in the Cartesian coordinates as $\mathbf{U} = (U_x, U_y, 0)$ where $U_x(x, y) = -r\Omega \sin \theta = -\Omega y$ and $U_y(x, y) = r\Omega \cos \theta = \Omega x$ are the base velocity in the $x$- and $y$-directions, respectively. Subject to this base flow, we obtain the linearized equations for perturbation velocity $\mathbf{u} = (u_x, u_y, w)$ and pressure $\hat{p}$ as follows:

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} + U_x \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + U_y \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + U_z \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} + f u_y = -\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial x} + \nabla^2 u_x,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial t} + U_x \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} + U_y \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} + U_z \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} + f u_x = -\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial y} + \nabla^2 u_y,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + U_x \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial x} + U_y \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial y} + U_z \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial \hat{p}} + \nabla^2 \hat{p}.
\end{equation}

As the base flow $\mathbf{U}(x, y)$ is homogeneous only in the $z$-direction, we consider the following ansatz for the perturbation in Cartesian coordinates:

\begin{equation}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_x \\
u_y \\
\ \w
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
u(x, y, t) \\
w(x, y, t) \\
\ \w
\end{pmatrix} \exp(ik_z z) + c.c.,
\end{equation}

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ are the two-dimensional time-dependent mode shapes of velocity and normalised pressure, respectively. Applying the ansatz (57) to the linearized equations (53)-(56) leads to the following equations:

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} + i k_z \tilde{w} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial x} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial y} = 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial x} = \nabla^2 \tilde{\rho},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial x} = \nabla^2 \tilde{\rho},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial x} = \nabla^2 \tilde{\rho}.
\end{equation}
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By considering the ansatz dynamical behaviors, mixing and radiation of a wavelike mode, a new radiating progressive wave has a cylindrical nature. These appear as a result of the interaction. More interestingly, we notify a discontinuity when the waves are advected by large-scale flows. However, we notify a difference from the sweeping process such that the wave-vortex interaction creates the low-velocity ring region around $y/R_0 \approx 2.7$ and the structure of the radiating mode, i.e., a perturbation confined within the low-velocity ring region and radiating progressive wave in the far field. For the case in (b) with a larger $k_x R_0 = 1$ (the same case in Fig. 8), the interference between the imposed inertial wave and new radiating mode is more apparent. The shape of perturbation inside the ring region is also more irregular and it seems that the perturbation has a higher azimuthal wavenumber than the mode in (a). A similar tendency, i.e., stronger mixing and radiation, is observed as $k_x$ is further increased to $k_x R_0 = 2$ as shown in Fig. 9(c). The generation mechanism of radiating modes (denoted by the subscript $\text{rm}$ in the following) can be understood mathematically by considering another perturbation $\hat{q}_\text{rm} = \hat{q} - \hat{q}_\text{in}$ that satisfies the following equations:

$$\dot{\hat{V}} - \hat{u}_\text{in} = 0,$$

where $\hat{u}_\text{in}$ is the imposed inertial wave and new radiating mode is more apparent. The shape of perturbation inside the ring region is also more irregular and it seems that the perturbation has a higher azimuthal wavenumber than the mode in (a). A similar tendency, i.e., strong mixing and radiation, is observed as $k_x$ is further increased to $k_x R_0 = 2$ as shown in Fig. 9(c). The generation mechanism of radiating modes (denoted by the subscript $\text{rm}$ in the following) can be understood mathematically by considering another perturbation $\hat{q}_\text{rm} = \hat{q} - \hat{q}_\text{in}$, which satisfies the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{q}_\text{in}}{\partial t} = -i k_x \hat{r}_\text{in} + \nabla^2 \hat{w}_\text{in}.$$  

(67)

By considering the ansatz

$$\hat{q}_\text{in} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_\text{in} \\ \hat{v}_\text{in} \\ \hat{w}_\text{in} \\ \hat{r}_\text{in} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_\text{in} \\ \hat{v}_\text{in} \\ \hat{w}_\text{in} \\ \hat{r}_\text{in} \end{pmatrix} \exp(i k_x x - i \omega t) + c.c.,$$

(68)

we obtain the following dispersion relation for the inertial wave:

$$\omega = \frac{k_x f}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_z^2}} - iv k_z^2,$$

(69)

where $k_z^2 = k_x^2 + k_z^2$, and the corresponding polarization relations as follows:

$$\hat{v}_\text{in} = \frac{f}{\omega - i k_z^2} \hat{u}_\text{in}, \quad \hat{w}_\text{in} = -\frac{k_z}{k_x} \hat{u}_\text{in}, \quad \hat{r}_\text{in} = -\frac{k_x}{k_z} \left( \omega + i k_z^2 \right) \hat{u}_\text{in},$$

(70)

which are the classical solutions for viscous inertial waves when $k_x = 0$. We note that the above inertial-wave solution $\hat{q}_\text{in}$ is not a stationary solution of the equations (53)–(61), as $U \neq 0$ in the equations, thus the perturbation $\hat{q}$ evolves in time as it interacts with the convective vortex.

Figure 8 shows an example of the temporal evolution of perturbation interacting with a stable convective column at $\Omega_0/f = 1$. For indication of the vortex centre, we show by dashed lines a radius $r = 3.16 R_0$ at which the first zero-crossing of the angular velocity (i.e. $\Omega(r) = 0$) occurs. As the simulations consider linear equations, the wave-vortex interaction is one-way, i.e., inertial waves are affected by convective columns but not vice versa. We clearly see that the perturbation velocity $\hat{v}$ of the inertial wave is mixed by the vortex at early stage. The mixing of momentum at this stage is likely due to the advection term $(U \cdot \nabla) \hat{u}$ as the perturbation is advected by the rotating base flow. This mixing process by advection is similar to sweeping (e.g. Clark et al. 2014; Campagne et al. 2015), which occurs when the waves are advected by large-scale flows. However, we notify a difference from the sweeping process such that the wave-vortex interaction creates the low-velocity ring region around the vortex core as the mixing continues. Inside the ring region, a confined perturbation with an azimuthal wavenumber $m = 1$ appears as a result of the interaction. More interestingly, in the far field away from the vortex core, the interaction promotes radiation of a progressive wave. Although we impose the inertial wave in a planar, Cartesian way, it is noteworthy that the new radiating progressive wave has a cylindrical nature. These dynamical behaviors, mixing and radiation of a wavelike mode, are also observed for other wavenumber sets when either a long-wavelength, fast-moving wave (e.g., $k_x R_0 = 1$, $k_z R_0 = 0.25$ and phase speed $c_x = \Re(\omega/(k_x R_0)) = 3.88$) or a short-wavelength, slow-moving wave (e.g., $k_x R_0 = 1$, $k_z R_0 = 2$, $c_x = 0.22$) interact with stable convective columns. We also note that such a new radiating wave is not observed for the cases with 1D cylindrical incoming waves studied in Sect. 3. These waves cannot penetrate the vortex center $r = 0$ due to the boundary conditions (A.9) while the 2D planar incoming waves are not restricted by any boundary conditions but they overlap the vortex core, a situation where a wave scattering (Stone 2000) similar to what we see in Fig. 8 for a wave-induced mean force (McIntyre 2019) can be generated.

The appearance of this radiating mode is also well captured in spatio-temporal diagrams computed by extracting the velocity component $\hat{u}$ at $x = 0$ as shown in Fig. 7. For the case in (a) with $(k_x R_0, k_z R_0) = (0.25, 1)$ where the inertial wave has a long wavelength in the $x$-direction and fast phase speed $c_x = 3.88$, we clearly see the low-velocity ring region around $y/R_0 \approx 2.7$ and the structure of the radiating mode, i.e., a perturbation confined within the low-velocity ring region and radiating progressive wave in the far field. For the case in (b) with a larger $k_x R_0 = 1$ (the same case in Fig. 8), the interference between the imposed inertial wave and new radiating mode is more apparent. The shape of perturbation inside the ring region is also more irregular and it seems that the perturbation has a higher azimuthal wavenumber than the mode in (a). A similar tendency, i.e., strong mixing and radiation, is observed as $k_x$ is further increased to $k_x R_0 = 2$ as shown in Fig. 9(c). The generation mechanism of radiating modes (denoted by the subscript $\text{rm}$ in the following) can be understood mathematically by considering another perturbation $\hat{q}_\text{rm} = \hat{q} - \hat{q}_\text{in}$, which satisfies the following equations:

$$\dot{\hat{V}} - \hat{u}_\text{in} = 0,$$

(71)

where $\hat{r}_\text{in}$ is the forcing term induced by the interaction between the convective column and inertial wave as

$$\hat{f}_\text{rm} = -\left( U \cdot \nabla \right) \hat{u}_\text{in} - \left( \hat{u}_\text{in} - \hat{v}_\text{in} \right) U.$$  

(72)

These equations are obtained by substituting $\hat{q} = \hat{q}_\text{rm} + \hat{q}_\text{in}$ into the linearized perturbation equations (53)–(61) and subtracting the equations by the inertial-wave equations (62)–(71). The interaction term $\hat{f}_\text{rm}$ forces the linear system (72) with a characteristic frequency $\omega$ from the dispersion relation of the incoming inertial wave (69). A stable convective column has neutral or stable modes with frequencies close to $\omega$, thus the forcing can trigger these modes by resonance and new radiating modes appear as the sum of these modes due to the forcing $\hat{f}_\text{rm}$ triggered by the vortex-wave interaction. An example of a radiating mode forced by this interaction is displayed in Fig. 10(a), which clearly shows the structure of cylindrical radiation. If we apply the 2D Fourier transform to the velocity field $(\hat{u}(x, y, t)$ in the spatial directions $x$ and $y$ at a given time $t$, we can obtain, as shown in Fig. 10(b), the wave amplitude $\hat{u}_\text{rm}(k_x, k_y, t)$ in the wavenumber space $(k_x, k_y)$ where

$$\hat{u}_\text{rm}(k_x, k_y, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_\text{in}(x, y, t) \exp[-i(k_x x + k_y y)] dx dy.$$  

(73)

The largest amplitude is obtained at $(k_x R_0, k_y R_0) = (1, 0)$, which corresponds to the wavenumber set of the initially-imposed inertial wave. We note that other wavenumber components also have amplitudes comparable to this largest one especially around the circle $(k_x R_0)^2 + (k_y R_0)^2 = 1$ where the most of the energy of the radiating mode lies seemingly. This implies that the inertial-wave-vortex interaction leads to the energy transfer from the Cartesian wavenumber components $k_x$ and $k_y$ into the cylindrical wavenumber component $k_z = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_z^2}$, thus the nature of the planar wave becomes cylindrical as a consequence. In Fig. 10(c),
we also plot the frequency spectrum $\hat{u}(\omega, x, y)$ for the points at $x = 0$ with different $y$ by applying the Fourier transform to $\hat{u}$ as

$$\hat{u}(\omega, x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{u}(x, y, t) \exp(-i\omega t) dt. \quad (75)$$

We clearly see that the frequency spectra reach their maximum peaks around the frequency $\omega \approx (1/\sqrt{2}) f$, which corresponds to the real part of the inertial-wave frequency $\omega_{iw}$ from Eq. (69). This confirms our above statement that the inertial wave triggers stable vortex modes with frequencies close to $\omega_{iw}$ and the radiating mode appears as the sum of these modes.

It is not shown here but we also verified the excitation of radiating modes by an interaction between inertial waves and unstable convective columns. However, for unstable convective columns, their most unstable modes eventually become dominant as observed in the previous section on the interaction between unstable convective columns and cylindrical tidally-forced incoming waves and they lead to the destruction of the vortex.

By multiplying with $\hat{u}^*_{\text{rm}}$ (where $^*$ denotes the complex conjugate) to the equation (72) and averaging it over the domain where $x \in [-L_x, L_x]$, and $y \in [-L_y, L_y]$, we obtain the following equation for the perturbation energy of a radiating mode:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = P_b + D_v + P_f, \quad (76)$$

where $E$ is the perturbation kinetic energy of the radiating mode, $P_b$ is the energy contribution by the direct interaction between the convective column and the mode, $D_v$ denotes the energy loss by viscous dissipation, and $P_f$ is the energy production by the forcing induced by the interaction between the convective col-
unn and inertial wave, all of which are defined as follows:

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{L_0}^{L} \int_{L_0}^{0} \left( |\vec{u}_{\text{rm}}|^2 + |\vec{v}_{\text{rm}}|^2 + |\vec{w}_{\text{rm}}|^2 \right) \, dx \, dz,
\]

\[
P_b = - \int_{L_0}^{L} \int_{L_0}^{0} \left( \frac{\partial U_z}{\partial x} |\vec{u}_{\text{rm}}|^2 + \frac{\partial U_z}{\partial y} |\vec{v}_{\text{rm}}|^2 + \frac{\partial U_z}{\partial z} |\vec{w}_{\text{rm}}|^2 \right) \, dx \, dz,
\]

\[
D_r = - \sqrt{\int_{L_0}^{L} \int_{L_0}^{0} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{u}_{\text{rm}}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \vec{v}_{\text{rm}}}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \vec{w}_{\text{rm}}}{\partial z} \right)^2 + k_{\text{ff}}^2 \left( |\vec{u}_{\text{rm}}|^2 + |\vec{v}_{\text{rm}}|^2 + |\vec{w}_{\text{rm}}|^2 \right) \right) \, dx \, dz,
\]

\[
P_f = - \int_{L_0}^{L} \int_{L_0}^{0} \left( \vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \vec{u}_{\text{rm}} + \left( \vec{u}_{\text{rm}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \vec{U} \right) \, dx \, dz.
\]

Figure 11 show examples of how perturbation energies of different radiating modes change over time. In (a), we plot the kinetic energy \(E_k(t)\) normalized by \(E_k(0)\), the kinetic energy of the inertial wave \(E_{\text{in}}(t = 0)\), for comparison between cases with different wavenumbers \(k_x\) and \(k_y\). These new radiating modes achieve more than 20% of the input inertial-wave energy. The number is non-negligible and thus it highlights the importance of the role of inertial wave-vortex interaction in creating potential extra turbulent dissipation in fast-rotating planets or stars. It is also noteworthy that the new radiating modes gain less energy if the imposed inertial waves have a longer wavelength (e.g. \(k_x R_0 = 0.125\)) or a shorter wavelength (e.g. \(k_x R_0 = 10\)) than the vortex length scale \(R_0\). For the case with \((k_x R_0, k_y R_0) = (1, 1)\), we plot in panel (b) different energy-rate contributions: \(P_b\), \(D_r\), and \(P_f\), and we compare with the time rate of perturbation energy \(\partial E_k/\partial t\).

As expected, the viscous dissipation \(D_r\) is always negative. Therefore it contributes to the decrease of \(E_k\). However, its magnitude is small compared to other contribution terms. The term \(P_b\) indicating the transfer of momentum between the radiating mode and the convective column is oscillatory around zero. The term \(P_f\), on the other hand, is always positive for the case with \(k_x R_0 = 1\), thus the main contribution on the perturbation energy production comes from \(P_f\), the energy injection by the forcing induced by the inertial wave-vortex interaction. It is not shown here but we also verified that the forcing term \(P_f\) is the main source of energy of the new radiating mode for other cases with different wavenumbers.

To summarise the interaction between stable convective columns and planar incoming inertial waves, we verified that the interaction promotes the mixing of momentum around the vortex centre, creates low-velocity ring regions and triggers a new wave-like perturbation radiating in the far field with a frequency close to the characteristic frequency of the primary inertial wave. The energy of this secondary radiating mode is sourced mainly from the inertial wave-vortex interaction and the amount of the energy of the perturbation is a non-negligible fraction of the input inertial wave energy, especially when the length scale of the inertial wave is comparable to that of the vortex radius. This...
They found that the 3D inertial wave becomes stronger as the turbulence and such a turbulent precessional flow contains both precessional instability (Kerswell 1993). The instability triggers a precessional shear flow which is subject to an instability called the centrifugal instability in rotating flows. In the stable case, this can potentially lead to a cycle of creation-destruction of vortices that has been observed in Barker & Lithwick (2013) in their study of the dynamics of the tidal elliptic instability in rotating flows. In the stable case, it is interesting to note that tidal inertial waves can transfer the momentum they carry to the vortex at critical layers as identified in Appendix [E] but also extract energy from the vortex via an over-reflection/mission mechanism. These complex behaviors, which we summarise in Fig. 12 allow us to point out that the modelling of the interactions between tides and rotating convection using effective turbulent friction cannot be applied to describe the interactions between tidal inertial waves and coherent convective vortices in the general case.

The results obtained with the convective column model proposed by Grooms et al. (2010) can also be applied to other vortices triggered by rotating double-diffusive convection (Moll & Garaud 2017) or by the nonlinear interactions of tidal inertial waves (Astoul & Barker 2022). The inertial wave-vortex interaction is also crucial in other configurations. For instance, a recent study by Pizzi et al. (2022) investigated the interaction in the presence of precession-turbulence. They consider a base precessional shear flow which is subject to an instability called the precessional instability (Kerswell 1993). The instability triggers turbulence and such a turbulent precessional flow contains both vortices as 2D structures and inertial waves as 3D structures. They found that the 3D inertial wave becomes stronger as the precession increases and the nonlinear wave-vortex interaction contributes to turbulent dissipation more efficiently. They also reported a cyclic behavior of the flow where vortices appear and disappear as a result of the nonlinear interactions (as in Barker & Lithwick 2013 and de Vries et al. in prep., for the case on nonlinear evolution of the tidal elliptic instability). This promotes our motivation to study in the future the nonlinear interaction between tidally-forced inertial waves and convective columns to better understand the contribution of the wave-vortex interaction to turbulent dissipation along the evolution of rapidly-rotating planets and stars.

6. Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, we investigate how tidally-forced inertial waves interact with a convective columnar vortex in rapidly-rotating planets/stars. In Sect. [2], we studied the convective column using a semi-analytical model proposed by Grooms et al. (2010), and adapted the model without any mathematical singularity around the column center r = 0 to allow us to make a complete theoretical stability analysis. It is found that the convective columnar vortex (17) is centrifugally stable when \(-\Omega_p \leq \Omega \leq 3.62\Omega_0\) (\(\Omega_p\) and \(\Omega_0\) being the global planetary (stellar) rotation and the rotation of the flow at the center of the vortex, respectively) and unstable otherwise.

Since the stability is closely linked to the dynamics of the tidal wave-vortex interaction, we studied in great detail in Sect. [2] centrifugally-unstable modes and neutral modes using linear stability analysis. We find that the convective column is unstable to axisymmetric m = 0 perturbations (which can be induced by eccentricity tides) and weakly non-axisymmetric perturbations with azimuthal wavenumbers m = \{1, 2\} (which correspond to obliquity and asynchronous tides, respectively). The WKBJ analysis detailed in Appendix allows us to derive thoroughly explicit expressions of the maximum growth rate at a given ratio \(\Omega/\Omega_p\) for unstable modes and the frequency of neutral modes. Next, we presented linear simulations of the interaction between convective columns and tidally-forced radial incoming inertial waves. We verified that such an interaction triggers the most unstable mode of an unstable convective column.

In Sect. [4] we studied the interactions between stable convective vortices and tidal inertial waves. We provided key results by conducting linear simulations of tidally-forced incoming planar inertial waves interacting with a stable vortex. It is observed that the wave-vortex interaction leads to the mixing of momentum and the creation of low-velocity regions around the vortex core. The interaction promotes efficient radiation of a new wave-like perturbation in the case where the wavelength of the incoming wave is close to the vortex characteristic length. This secondary wave can be regarded as the sum of neutral or stable modes of the vortex with frequencies close to the characteristic frequency of the primary inertial wave. Since the amplitude of the secondary wave is non-negligible compared to the one of the primary wave it constitutes a supplementary potential source of dissipation. Finally, angular momentum exchanges can occur at critical layers.

As identified in Sect. [5] it would be crucial to understand in the near future the role of the nonlinearities. Moreover, it would be necessary to go beyond the simplified traditional polar f-plane approximation. In particular, the 2D non-separable dynamics of tidal inertial wave attractors should be treated. Finally, if the external convective regions of gaseous giant planets are not the seat of the magnetic field generation through dynamo action, this is not the case of low-mass stars where magnetic fields should thus be taken into account (e.g, Barker & Lithwick 2014, Lin & Ogilvie 2018, Astoul et al. 2019).
Appendix A: WKBJ approximation for large vertical wavenumber $k_z$

Previous studies have revealed that the centrifugal instability of rotating flows reaches its maximum growth rate as $k_z \to \infty$ in the inviscid limit (Billant & Gallaire 2005, 2013; Park & Billant 2013; Park et al. 2017). We also see in Sect. 3 that eigenvalues of the convective Taylor column demonstrate asymptotic behaviors in the large-$k_z$ limit. To understand these behaviors and derive analytic expressions of the dispersion relation, we adopt the WKBJ approximation for large $k_z$ by applying it to $\tilde{u}$ as

\[
\tilde{u}(r) \sim \exp\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n S_n(r)\right],
\]

where $\epsilon$ is a small parameter to be defined. Applying (A.1) to the 2nd-order ODE (38), we find

\[
\epsilon = \frac{1}{k_z}, \quad S_0'^2 = \Delta, \quad S_1' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{Q'}{Q} - \frac{\Delta'}{4\Delta} \right) \quad (A.2)
\]

The leading-order term $S_0$, where $S_0' = \pm \sqrt{\Delta}$, determines the exponential behavior of the solution, and it depends on the sign of $\Delta$. For instance, if $\Delta > 0$, we express $\tilde{u}$ as an evanescent solution:

\[
\tilde{u} = \frac{Q_1}{r^2 \Delta^2} \left[ A_1 \exp\left( k_z \int \sqrt{\Delta(t)} dt \right) + A_2 \exp\left( -k_z \int \sqrt{\Delta(t)} dt \right) \right].
\]

(A.3)

or as a wavelike solution if $\Delta < 0$:

\[
\tilde{u} = \frac{Q_1}{r^2 (-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left[ B_1 \exp\left( ik_z \int \sqrt{-\Delta(t)} dt \right) + B_2 \exp\left( -ik_z \int \sqrt{-\Delta(t)} dt \right) \right].
\]

(A.4)

To comprehend more easily the sign of $\Delta(r) = 1 - \Phi^2 (-\omega + m\Omega)^2$, it is convenient to define the radial epicyclic frequencies $\omega_{\pm}(r)$:

\[
\omega_{\pm}(r) = m\Omega \pm \Re \left( \sqrt{\Phi} \right),
\]

(A.5)

(see also, Le Dizès & Lacaze 2005; Le Dizès 2008; Park & Billant 2013). We also define the critical frequency $\omega_c$ and radius $r_c$ at which the Doppler-shifted frequency $\Delta$ vanishes:

\[
\omega_c = m\Omega(r_c),
\]

(A.6)

(i.e. the frequency and radius at which the corotation resonance occurs in the inviscid case, see e.g. Astoul et al. 2021). In Fig. A.1, we plot $\omega_+$ and $\omega_-$ for $\Omega_0/f = 3$ and $m = 1$. The epicyclic frequencies $\omega_{\pm}$ depend on $m$ and $\Omega_0$, and their behavior can be upside down for anticyclonic cases with $\Omega_0/f < 0$ (see e.g., Fig. A.1b for $\Omega_0/f = -2$). The gray-shaded area between $\omega_+$ and $\omega_-$ denotes the region where the solution is wave-like (i.e., $\Delta < 0$), while the white area denotes the region where the solution is evanescent (i.e., $\Delta > 0$). This implies that, for a given frequency $\omega$, the WKBJ solution is wave-like if $\omega$ lies in the range $\omega_- < \omega < \omega_+$, while the solution is evanescent if $\omega > \omega_+$ or $\omega < \omega_-$. The crossings of $\omega_+ = \omega_-$ indicate where $\Delta(r)$ is zero (see also, Park 2012). This implies that they indicate the location of the turning point $r_\Delta$ where $\Delta(r_\Delta) = 0$ and where solution behavior changes from wavelike to evanescent, or vice versa. We also display in Fig. A.1 typical frequencies of the neutral modes in the upper and lower branches (cases I, II, IV, and V) and unstable modes (case III). If the frequency $\omega$ lies in the range $\omega_+(0) < \omega < \omega_-(0)$ like in the case I, we have one turning point $r_\Delta$ and the solution is wavelike in the range $0 < r < r_\Delta$ and it is evanescent outside the turning point $r > r_\Delta$. At this frequency, we can construct an eigenfunction as the exponentially decaying solution for $r > r_\Delta$. Waves are trapped between the turning point $r_\Delta$ and $r = 0$ (see also, Le Dizès & Lacaze 2005). For the cases II and V where the frequency lies in the range $\omega_-(0) < \omega < \omega_+(0)$, we can construct the eigenfunction in the same way. For the case IV, the frequency $\omega$ crosses $\omega_c$ implying that there are two turning points. Between the two turning points, the solution is wavelike while it is evanescent elsewhere. This configuration corresponds to the ring mode. The case III of the unstable mode is different; first, it has a frequency in the range $0 < \omega < \omega_c(0)$ implying that there is a critical point $r_{\Delta}$ where $\omega_+(r_{\Delta}) = 0$. The unstable mode also has a positive growth rate $\omega_{\Delta} > 0$ thus $\Delta$ is no longer real but complex on the real $r$-axis. Division of the WKBJ solutions into wavelike and evanescent solutions around the turning point $r_{\Delta}$ is, therefore, not applicable on the real $r$-axis and we need to investigate the solution behavior of the unstable mode in the complex plane.

In the following subsections, we will derive in detail the dispersion relations of the frequency for the upper and lower branches of the neutral modes (cases I, II, IV, and V) using the epicyclic frequencies. And for the case III, we will use the anal-
ysis in the complex plane used by Billant & Gallaire (2005) to
derive the frequency and growth rate of the unstable modes.

**Appendix A.1: Dispersion relations for neutral modes**

We first investigate the dispersion relations of the neutral modes that have a real frequency \( \omega \). In Fig. A.1, we display possible frequencies where we can construct the neutral mode. For instance, in the case I where the frequency lies in the range \( \max(\omega_+), \), we have one turning point \( r \), and the solution is evanescent outside \( r \):

\[
\hat{u} = \frac{Q^{1/2}}{r^{1/2}A^{1/4}} A_1 \exp \left( -k_z \int_{r}^{\infty} \sqrt{\Delta(t)} \, dt \right). \tag{A.7}
\]

In order to impose the decaying boundary condition as \( r \to \infty \), \( A_1 = 0 \) is imposed in Eq. (A.3). Besides, considering the connection around the turning point \( r \) (see also, Olver 1974; Billant & Gallaire 2005; Le Dizès & Lacaze 2005), we obtain the wave-like solution in the range \( 0 < r < r_1 \) as

\[
\hat{u} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad m \neq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d\hat{u}}{dr} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad m = 1, \tag{A.9}
\]

based on the asymptotic relations (42) and (43) (see also, Salzman 1992). Imposing such conditions to (A.8) leads to the following quantization relations at leading order:

\[
k_z \int_{0}^{r_1} \sqrt{-\Delta(t)} \, dt = \left( n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \pi, \quad \text{if} \quad m \neq 1, \tag{A.10}
\]

\[
k_z \int_{0}^{r_1} \sqrt{-\Delta(t)} \, dt = \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \pi, \quad \text{if} \quad m = 1, \tag{A.8}
\]

where \( n \) is an integer indicating the mode number. We see that the right-hand-side terms are always finite thus the integrals on the left-hand side should converge to zero as \( k_z \to \infty \). This implies that the turning point migrates to the center \( r = 0 \) in this limit. It allows us to expand all the functions around \( r = 0 \):

\[
\Phi(r) = \Phi_0 + \frac{\Phi''}{2} r^2 + O(r^3), \tag{A.11}
\]

\[
\Omega(r) = \Omega_0 + \frac{\Omega''}{2} r^2 + O(r^3),
\]

where the subscript 0 represents the fact that the functions are evaluated in \( r = 0 \). Applying the expansions (A.11) to the quantization relations (A.10), we can also expand the frequency in the power of \( k_z \) as

\[
\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_+}{k_z} + O \left( \frac{1}{k_z^2} \right). \tag{A.12}
\]

After having inserted the expansion (A.12) into the quantization conditions (A.10), we find the frequency for upper branches as

\[
\omega = m\Omega_0 + \sqrt{\Phi_0} \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\Phi''}{2} - m\Omega_0^2}, \quad \text{if} \quad m \neq 1,
\]

\[
\omega = m\Omega_0 + \sqrt{\Phi_0} \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\Phi''}{2} - m\Omega_0^2}, \quad \text{if} \quad m = 1.
\]

(A.13)

A good agreement between the asymptotic dispersion relation (A.13) and the numerical solutions can be concluded from Fig. 6a especially for large \( k_z \). Note that \( \omega_1 \) is negative for the upper branches thus the frequency \( \omega \) increases with \( k_z \) and asymptotes to \( m\Omega_0 + \sqrt{\Phi_0} \) as \( k_z \to \infty \).

The quantization relations (A.10) can be similarly applied for lower branches for other core-mode cases II and V in Fig. A.1 where the frequency \( \omega \) now meets the epicyclic frequency \( \omega_+ \). In this case, we found the frequency expansion as follows

\[
\omega = m\Omega_0 - \sqrt{\Phi_0} \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n - \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\Phi''}{2} + m\Omega_0^2}, \quad \text{if} \quad m \neq 1,
\]

\[
\omega = m\Omega_0 - \sqrt{\Phi_0} \frac{2}{k_z} \left( n + \frac{1}{4} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\Phi''}{2} + m\Omega_0^2}, \quad \text{if} \quad m = 1.
\]

(A.14)

For the lower branches, \( \omega_0 \) is now positive, and the frequency \( \omega \) decreases and asymptotes to \( m\Omega_0 - \sqrt{\Phi_0} \) as \( k_z \) increases. We see in Fig. 6b that the asymptotic dispersion relations (45) agree well with numerical results for the lower-branch frequency.

On the other hand, we also have a mode called the ring mode (Le Dizès & Lacaze 2005) where the wave-like solution is confined between the two turning points \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) where \( r_1 < r < r_2 \) (see e.g., the case IV in Fig. A.1b). In this case, the wave-like solution (A.8) is connected around \( r_1 \) by the evanescent solution in the range \( 0 < r < r_1 \):

\[
\hat{u} = \frac{Q^{1/2}}{r^{1/2}A^{1/4}} A_2 \exp \left( -k_z \int_{r}^{r_1} \sqrt{\Delta(t)} \, dt \right). \tag{A.15}
\]

Matching the solutions (A.8) and (A.15) leads to the following quantization condition:

\[
k_z \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \sqrt{-\Delta(t)} \, dt = \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi, \tag{A.16}
\]

(see also, Billant & Gallaire 2005). As \( k_z \) increases, these turning points migrate towards the point \( r_{r_{\max}} \) at which \( \omega_+ \) is the maximum and the derivative of \( \omega_+ \) is zero:

\[
-2m\Omega' \left( r_{r_{\max}} \right) \sqrt{\Phi'(r_{r_{\max}})} = \Phi'' \left( r_{r_{\max}} \right).
\]

(A.17)

Such a behavior around \( r_{r_{\max}} \) leads to the following expression for the frequency:

\[
\omega = \omega_0 - \frac{\omega_+}{k_z}, \quad \omega_0 = m\Omega_0 \sqrt{\Phi'(r_{r_{\max}})}.
\]

\[
\omega_0 = \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{\Phi'' - 3m^2\Omega^2} + \frac{2m\Omega_0^3 \Phi'}{\omega_0 m\Omega_0 + \Omega_0^2} \left| r = r_{r_{\max}} \right.
\]

(A.18)
The ring mode in the upper branches can be constructed when \( \omega_r(0) < \omega_r(r_{\text{max}}) \), i.e.,
\[
m < \sqrt{\Phi_{\text{max}} - \Phi_0} - \Omega_0 \Omega_{\text{max}}.
\] (A.19)

We found numerically that this inequality corresponds to \(-1.2 < \Omega_0/f < 0 \) for \( m = 0 \), \(-2.8 < \Omega_0/f < 0 \) for \( m = 1 \), and \( \Omega_0/f < 0 \) for \( m \geq 2 \).

For lower branches, we can have the same quantization condition as in Eq. (A.16) when \( \omega_r(0) > \omega_r(r_{\text{min}}) \) where
\[
2m\Omega'(r_{\text{min}}) \Phi'(r_{\text{min}}) = \Phi'(r_{\text{min}}) - \Phi(r_{\text{min}}).
\] (A.20)

The inequality \( \omega_r(0) > \omega_r(r_{\text{min}}) \) is equivalent to
\[
m < \sqrt{\Phi_0 - \Phi_{\text{min}}} - \Omega_0 \Omega_{\text{min}},
\] (A.21)

and it corresponds to \(-1.2 < \Omega_0/f < 0 \) for \( m = 0 \), \(-0.8 < \Omega_0/f < 0 \) for \( m = 1 \), \( \Omega_0/f > -0.6 \) for \( m = 2 \), and \( \Omega_0/f > 0 \) for \( m \geq 3 \).

We also have the frequency expression evaluated at \( r_{\text{min}} \) for the ring mode in the lower branches as follows:
\[
\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_1}{k_c},
\]
\[
\omega_0 = m\Omega'(r_{\text{min}}) - \sqrt{\Phi(r_{\text{min}})},
\]
\[
\omega_1 = \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{\Phi - \frac{3}{2} m^2 \Omega^2 + \frac{2m\Omega\Phi' + m\Omega'^2 - \omega_0 + m\Omega}{\Omega_{\text{min}}} - \sqrt{\Phi_{\text{min}}} - \frac{\omega_0 - m\Omega}{\Omega_{\text{min}}}.
\] (A.22)

We also checked that the asymptotic frequencies \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_1 \) are in good agreement with numerical results.

**Appendix A.2: Dispersion relations for unstable modes**

For a general azimuthal wavenumber \( m \) and complex frequency \( \omega \), the function \( \Delta(r) \) is complex on the real \( r \)-axis and the turning points \( r_t \) where \( \Delta(r_t) = 0 \) are in the complex plane. Figure A.2 shows locations of the turning points in the complex plane for \( m = 2 \) and \( \Omega_0/f = 3 \) when the eigenfrequency \( \omega = 0.778 + 0.46i \) at \( k, R_0 = 30 \) from the numerical computation is considered. Due to the oscillatory behaviors of the Bessel function \( J_0 \) of the angular velocity profile \( \int z \Phi \) as \( |r| \to \infty \) for \( |\arg(r)| < \pi/2 \) (see also, Abramowitz & Stegun 1972), there are many turning points in the complex plane. But while turning points are far from the real \( r \)-axis, the two turning points \( r_{t1} \) and \( r_{t2} \) are somewhat close to the real \( r \)-axis and they will influence the WKBJ solution effectively. To better understand the exponential behavior of the WKBJ solution, we also draw in Fig. A.2 the Stokes lines defined as
\[
\text{Re}(\Delta(r)) = 0,
\] (A.23)

(see also, Olver 1974 | Billant & Gallaire 2005). The Stokes lines emanate from the turning points and they delimit the regions of the WKBJ solutions in the same behavior. The points \( r_{t1} \) and \( r_{t2} \) where the Stokes lines cross the real \( r \)-axis also delimit the solutions on the real \( r \)-axis. By the definition \( \text{Re}(\Delta) \), the WKBJ solutions on the Stokes line between the two turning points are wavelike. Furthermore, it is noticeable that a Stokes line emanated from \( r_{t1} \) shows a spiral pattern around the critical point \( c \) since \( \Delta \) is singular.

The Stokes line network in the complex plane in Fig. A.2 is very similar to that of the Carton & McWilliams vortex studied in Billant & Gallaire (2005). We follow their approach by considering the WKBJ solution that decreases exponentially from \( r = r_{t1} \) in the range \( 0 < r < r_{t1} \):
\[
\hat{u} = C_1 \frac{Q^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4}} \exp \left[ -k_c \int_{r_{t1}}^{r} \sqrt{\Delta(t)} \, dt \right].
\] (A.24)

This solution matches with the wavelike solution in the range \( r_{t1} < r < r_{t2} \):
\[
\hat{u} = 2C_2 \frac{Q^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4}} \sin \left[ k_c \int_{r_{t1}}^{r} \sqrt{\Delta(t)} \, dt + \frac{\pi}{4} \right].
\] (A.25)

Furthermore, we have the evanescent solution after the turning point \( r_{t2} \):
\[
\hat{u} = \frac{Q^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} \Delta^{1/4}} \left[ D_1 \exp \left( -k_c \int_{r_{t1}}^{r} \sqrt{\Delta(t)} \, dt \right) + D_2 \exp \left( k_c \int_{r_{t1}}^{r} \sqrt{\Delta(t)} \, dt \right) \right].
\] (A.26)

We note that for \( r > r_{t2} \), there are many turning points in the complex plane as a result of the oscillatory behavior of the vortex profile in Eq. (17). These turning points, like \( r_{t2} \) in Fig. A.2, are far from the real axis. With this characteristic, we can avoid the
multiple-turning-point analysis by choosing a proper progressive path (Le Dizès & Lacaze 2005). More precisely, the idea is to check whether the integral \(\Delta(r) = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \sqrt{\Delta dr} \) is holomorphic (i.e., complex differentiable) and \(\text{Re}(\Delta)\) is monotonic along the progressive path. As we can see in Fig. A.2, the integral \(\Delta\) is monotonically decreasing along the progressive path displayed in Fig. A.4. This implies that we can keep the WKBJ solution (A.26) along the progressive path as \(r \to \infty\), thus we can impose \(D_2 = 0\) to apply the exponentially decreasing boundary condition.

By connecting at \(r = r_2\) the solution (A.25) and the solution (A.26) with \(D_2 = 0\), we obtain the following quantization condition:

\[
k_\ell \int_{r_{i1}}^{r_{i2}} \sqrt{-\Delta} \, dr = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi, \tag{A.27}
\]

where \(n\) is the mode number. It is identical to the quantization condition obtained by Billant & Gallaire (2005). We see from Eq. (A.27) that \(\text{Im} \left( \int_{r_{i1}}^{r_{i2}} \sqrt{-\Delta} \, dr \right) = 0\) (i.e., \(\text{Re} \left( \int_{r_{i1}}^{r_{i2}} \sqrt{-\Delta} \, dr \right) = 0\)), and this implies that the two turning points should be connected by the Stokes lines as seen in Fig. A.2. Also, it is important to note that the right-hand side of Eq. (A.27) is always finite while the integral on the left-hand side should become zero as \(k_\ell \to \infty\). This implies that \(r_{i1}\) and \(r_{i2}\) should collapse to a point as \(k_\ell \to \infty\). From this information, Billant & Gallaire (2005) considered a double turning point \(r_0\) between the two turning points (see also Fig. A.2), where the radial derivative of \(\omega = m\Omega + i\sqrt{-\Delta} \) becomes zero, i.e.,

\[
2m\Omega(r_0) \sqrt{-\Phi(r_0) - i\Phi'(r_0)} = 0. \tag{A.28}
\]

In Fig. A.3 we show how the location of the double turning point \(r_0\) changes in the complex plane for both cyclonic and anticyclical cases for various azimuthal wavenumbers. For cyclonic cases \(\Omega_0 f > 0\), \(r_0\) departs from the real axis at \(m = 0\) and lies in the upper complex plane (\(\text{Im} (r_0) > 0\)) for \(m > 0\), while it lies in the lower complex plane (\(\text{Im} (r_0) < 0\)) for anticyclical cases \(\Omega_0 f < 0\). For both cases, we found that the double turning point \(r_0\) disappears for \(m \leq 3\).

Once the location of \(r_0\) is known, we can apply the Taylor expansion around \(r_0\) in the limit \(k_\ell \to \infty\) to derive the following dispersion relation as

\[
\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1 \frac{1}{k_\ell^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{k_\ell^4} \right), \tag{A.29}
\]

where

\[
\omega_0 = m\Omega(r_0) + i\sqrt{-\Phi(r_0)}, \tag{A.30}
\]

\[
\omega_1 = \left. \frac{2m + 1}{2\sqrt{2i}} \left( \sqrt{\Phi'' - 2m^2\Omega^2 + 2im\Omega' \sqrt{-\Phi}} \right) \right|_{r=r_0}, \tag{A.31}
\]

(see also, Billant & Gallaire 2005). In Fig. 6(c,d), we see that the WKBJ prediction (46) is in good agreement with numerical results for the most unstable eigenvalues (i.e., \(n = 1\)) for various azimuthal wavenumbers \(m\).

### Appendix B: Note on the critical layers

There is a certain range of frequency where neutral, stable, or even unstable modes can possess a critical layer at \(r = r_c\) where \(s = 0\). In the inviscid limit \(\nu = 0\), the critical radius \(r_c\) is a pole of the function \(\Delta\) and thus the second-order ordinary differential equation Eq. (38) becomes singular. This can also be seen from the WKBJ solutions such that the divergence of \(\Delta\) leads to the divergence of the leading-order term of the WKBJ solution (A.4). Therefore, a dedicated analysis of Eq. (38) in the vicinity of \(r_c\) is required to be performed. As \(r\) goes to \(r_c\), Eq. (38) can be approximated at leading order

\[
d^2\hat{u} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \hat{u} = 0, \tag{B.1}
\]

where \(\alpha\) is the shear Rossby number and \(\alpha \equiv \frac{k_r}{m}\). Note that Eq. (B.1) is analogous to the differential equation for inertial waves close to a corotation resonance when using a cylindrical differential rotation profile in a local shear box model in Cartesian coordinates (see in particular Sect. 3.4 of Astoul et al. [2021] when the box is at the south pole of a spherical shell).

As introduced in Astoul et al. [2021] or Alvan et al. [2013] for internal gravity waves approaching a corotation resonance, we define a new parameter \(R\) appearing in Eq. (B.1) as

\[
R = \frac{\rho_0^2(1 + \rho_0)}{\rho_0^2}. \tag{B.2}
\]

Applying the Frobenius series to solve the equation (B.1) leads to the following solutions at leading order:

\[
\hat{u} \approx a_1 (r - r_c)^{i+1} \sqrt{R - R_c} + a_2 (r - r_c)^{i-1} \sqrt{R - R_c}, \tag{B.4}
\]

if \(R \leq 1/4\) where \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) are constants, or

\[
\hat{u} \approx b_1 (r - r_c)^{i+1} \sqrt{R - R_c} + b_2 (r - r_c)^{i-1} \sqrt{R - R_c}, \tag{B.5}
\]

if \(R > 1/4\) where \(b_1\) and \(b_2\) are constants.

The solutions (B.3) in the regime \(R > 1/4\) can experience a strong attenuation as it crosses \(r = r_c\). For instance, if we consider the analytic continuity of Eq. (B.5) for \(r > r_c\) by taking the lower half in the complex plane, we obtain the solution for \(r < r_c\) as

\[
\hat{u} \approx -ic_1 (r - r_c)^{i+1} \sqrt{R - R_c} - ic_2 (r - r_c)^{i-1} \sqrt{R - R_c}, \tag{B.6}
\]

where \(c_1 = b_1 \exp \left( \pi \sqrt{R - 1/4} \right)\) and \(c_2 = b_2 \exp \left( -\pi \sqrt{R - 1/4} \right)\) are the amplitudes of the solution for \(r < r_c\) related to the amplitudes of the solution for \(r > r_c\). To determine in which directions the waves propagate from the corotation, we introduce the wave action flux \(A\), which is an invariant quantity along the radius \(r\) in the inviscid limit. This quantity is conserved in the whole domain except at corotation, and is thus a useful tool to determine the direction of the wave energy propagation and quantify the

---

1. Since \(\text{Im}(r - r_c) \approx -\text{Im}(\omega)/m\Omega\) provided that \(m\Omega > 0\), and with the radiation condition \(\text{Im}(\omega) > 0\) where \(\text{Im}\) features the imaginary part (Miles 1961; Booker & Bretherton 1967; Astoul et al. [2021] for a more detailed description of the mathematical analysis about the connection of solutions).
angular momentum flux exchanges between the waves and the mean flow (e.g. Grimshaw 1975, 1979, Andrews & McIntyre 1978 in the context of (inertial-)gravity waves). In the cylindrical coordinates, this invariant can be derived as

$$ A = \frac{r \langle p u \rangle}{s} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{r \phi u^*}{s} \right\}, $$

(B.7)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\theta,z}$ denotes the average in the $z$- and $\theta$-directions and $\text{Re}$ the real part. By taking a linear combination of the momentum equations (26), (28), and (29) in the inviscid limit, one can get an expression for the pressure:

$$ \hat{p} = -i s \hat{u}' + \hat{u} / r [s - m (f + \zeta)] / Q. $$

(B.8)

Its substitution into the wave action flux Eq. (B.7) yields:

$$ A = r \frac{Q}{Q} \text{Im}[\hat{u}' \hat{u}^*]. $$

(B.9)

Injecting the solutions Eqs. (B.5) and (B.6) into Eq. (B.9) leads to the following wave action flux above and below the corotation:

$$ A = \frac{r_c \mu}{2Q} |b_1|^2 - |b_2|^2, \quad \text{if } r > r_c, $$

(B.10)

$$ A = \frac{r_c \mu}{2Q} |b_2|^2 \exp(-2\pi \mu) - |b_1|^2 \exp(2\pi \mu), \quad \text{if } r < r_c, $$

where $\mu = \sqrt{R-1/4}$, provided again that $mQc > 0$. In this framework, the direction of wave propagation in the region $r > r_c$ is given by the sign of $sA$ since this quantity is related to the group velocity (e.g. Grimshaw 1975, Bretherton & Garrett 1968). From Eq. (B.10) and given that $s \gg 0$ for $r \gg r_c$, the first wave of amplitude $|b_1|$ is travelling outwards since $sA > 0$ (for both $r > r_c$ and $r < r_c$), while the second wave of amplitude $|b_2|$ is travelling inwards since $sA < 0$. This implies that both waves are attenuated as their wave action fluxes are reduced by a factor of $\exp(-2\pi \mu)$ after they get through the corotation, as similarly reported in Astoul et al. (2021) for inertial waves in a shear box model with cylindrical differential rotation when $R > 1/4$. In the regime $R \leq 1/4$, the wave action flux analysis cannot determine the wave direction unambiguously and thus a further numerical analysis is required as it has been done in the aforementioned paper. Depending on the boundary conditions and other internal properties such as wave frequency, wavenumbers or the shear Rossby number, the inertial waves may experience either damping or over-reflection/over-transmission. The latter can ultimately lead to shear instability if the waves interfere constructively and sustain their growth as successive over-reflection (over-transmission) of the waves occurs (Lindzen 1988, Harnik & Heifetz 2007, Astoul & Barker 2022).