Flaring radio lanterns along the ridge line: long-term oscillatory motion in the jet of S5 1803+784
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ABSTRACT

We present a detailed analysis of 30 very long baseline interferometric observations of the BL Lac object S5 1803+784 (z = 0.679), obtained between mean observational time 1994.67 and 2012.91 at observational frequency 15 GHz. The long-term behaviour of the jet ridge line reveals the jet experiences an oscillatory motion superposed on its helical jet kinematics on a time-scale of about 6 years. The excess variance of the positional variability indicates the jet components being farther from the VLBI core have larger amplitude in their position variations. The fractional variability amplitude shows slight changes in 3-year bins of the component’s position. The temporal variability in the Doppler boosting of the ridge line results in jet regions behaving as flaring “radio lanterns”. We offer a qualitative scenario leading to the oscillation of the jet ridge line, that utilizes the orbital motion of the jet emitter black hole due to a binary black hole companion. A correlation analysis implies composite origin of the flux variability of the jet components, emerging due to possibly both the evolving jet-structure and its intrinsic variability.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Accretion onto a highly-spinning supermassive black hole (SMBH) powers relativistic outflows, also known as jets, emanating from the compact core of the active galactic nuclei (AGN, Blandford & Znajek 1977, Begelman, Blandford & Rees 1980). The jets are thought to originate in the vicinity of the SMBH, therefore in principle they are able to carry away information about the central engine of the AGN. Understanding the process of the jet-launching is important to probe the AGN and its central black hole (BH). The improved resolution of the forthcoming Event Horizon observations on the shadow of the central black hole of the Galaxy promises important updates on the supermassive black hole-phenomena (e.g. Gold et al. 2016).

Very long baseline interferometric (VLBI) radio observations of jets resolve their surface brightness distribution into several distinct components. The origin of these components is still unclear, whether they are shocks in the plasma (e.g., Marscher & Gear 1985), or strongly Doppler-boosted regions (e.g. Camenzind & Krockenberger 1992). With the advent of large monitoring programs, the structural evolution of many jets is observed on time-scales of years, even decades. A prime example is the Monitoring Of Jets in Active galactic nuclei with VLBA Experiments (MOJAVE, Lister et al. 2009, 2013) programme. The MOJAVE database provides access to radio data of extragalactic jets since 1994.64, obtained with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) at the 15 GHz observing frequency. The
2 LONG-TERM BEHAVIOUR OF THE JET COMPONENTS OF S5 1803+784

2.1 Model-fitting of the VLBI data and component identification

Archival calibrated data of S5 1803+784 at 15 GHz, provided by the MOJAVE programme, cover 18.24 years. We use the model-fit results of B2010 concerning the first 13 epochs (1994.67–2005.68), and supplement it with the next 17 epochs (2005.85–2012.91), model-fitted in the present work. Standard DIFMAP tasks (Shepherd, Pearson & Taylor 1994) were used to perform the model-fitting on the VLBI data, employing Gaussian components to build up the surface brightness distribution of the jet. To decrease the degrees of freedom during the model-fit and to remain consistent through the epochs, we used only circular Gaussian components to fit the brightness profile of the jet. The summary of the 15 GHz image parameters is given in Table 1.

We cross-identified components across different epochs by requiring a smooth change for core separation, flux density, and full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the fitted Gaussian. We identify nine components: Cr, C0, C1, Ca, C2, B3, C4, C8, C12, where the labelling follows the notations of B2010. Increasing numbers indicate larger distances to the VLBI core, Ca being situated between components C1 and C2. The easternmost and brightest component, denoted by Cr, is assumed to be the VLBI core, such as in the earlier works carried out at the 15 GHz observing frequency (Roland et al. 2008; Britzen et al. 2010). The best-fit values of the integrated flux density, core separation, position angle and full width at half maximum (FWHM) size of the fitted jet components are given in Table 2. Error-estimation of these parameters was performed in the same way as in Kun et al. (2014).

2.2 Core separations, proper motions

The integrated flux density, position angle, core separation and FWHM-width of the VLBI jet components as a function of time are presented in Fig. 1. The third panel of this figure clearly shows the jet components maintain quasi-stationary core separations during the observations, rather than exhibiting global outward motion. The average values of the core separations are listed in Table 3. The model-fit results of B2010 proved the presence of one fast moving component (B3) in addition to the stationary components. B3 has been observed between 2002.1 and 2005.7 and shows a kinematical behaviour that differs significantly from the other components. We identified component B3 at two additional epochs (2007.34, 2010.96) based on our component-identification strategy. The fact that this component exhibits outward superluminal motion suggests that the launching scenario and the propagation of B3 might be driven differently than the rest of the jet features. We will discuss its possible nature in Section 6.

Compared to the measured proper motions of the jet components in B2010, all components have smaller proper motion velocities when considering the total sample. In particular, the apparent speed of the inner jet components (C0, C1, Ca, C2) do not exceed 0.01 mas yr⁻¹. The velocity of C4 drops to approximately 10 per-cent of the velocity from...
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Table 1. Summary of the 15 GHz image parameters. (1) epoch of the VLBA observation, (2) VLBA experiment code, (3)-(4) FWHM minor and major axis of the restoring beam, respectively, (5) position angle of the major axis of the restoring beam measured from North to East, (6) rms noise of the image after the model-fit procedure, (7) reduced $\chi^2$ of the DIFMAP model-fit, (8) number of the components in the model.

| Epoch       | VLBA Code | $B_{\min}$ (mas) | $B_{\max}$ (mas) | $B_{PA}$ (deg) | rms (mJy bm$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$ | Comp. Number |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 2005-11-07  | BL123P    | 0.641            | 0.648            | −6.35          | 0.24                | 1.01      | 7            |
| 2006-09-06  | BL137I    | 0.617            | 0.646            | 82.10          | 0.42                | 1.20      | 7            |
| 2007-02-05  | BL137N    | 0.479            | 0.510            | −7.77          | 0.29                | 1.14      | 7            |
| 2007-05-03  | BK134D    | 0.503            | 0.694            | −19.94         | 0.17                | 1.17      | 9            |
| 2007-08-16  | BL149AF   | 0.618            | 0.681            | −31.13         | 0.21                | 1.35      | 7            |
| 2008-08-25  | BL149BB   | 0.579            | 0.612            | −16.69         | 0.22                | 1.35      | 7            |
| 2008-12-05  | BG192     | 0.503            | 0.727            | 11.09          | 0.22                | 2.09      | 7            |
| 2009-03-25  | BL149BJ   | 0.635            | 0.720            | 16.14          | 0.17                | 1.20      | 7            |
| 2009-10-27  | BL149CC   | 0.631            | 0.673            | −1.92          | 0.16                | 1.13      | 7            |
| 2010-02-11  | BL149CH   | 0.627            | 0.701            | 43.02          | 0.20                | 1.10      | 10           |
| 2010-12-18  | BM300     | 0.568            | 0.752            | 22.24          | 0.17                | 0.81      | 10           |
| 2011-03-05  | BL149DD   | 0.756            | 0.833            | 53.78          | 0.17                | 1.22      | 8            |
| 2011-05-26  | BL149DI   | 0.600            | 0.672            | −28.86         | 0.18                | 1.13      | 8            |
| 2011-12-29  | BL178AD   | 0.656            | 0.726            | 20.23          | 0.20                | 1.46      | 6            |
| 2012-03-04  | BL178AH   | 0.685            | 0.711            | 44.68          | 0.16                | 1.44      | 7            |
| 2012-05-24  | BL178AK   | 0.616            | 0.691            | −4.51          | 0.20                | 1.36      | 6            |
| 2012-11-28  | BL178AU   | 0.487            | 0.516            | −15.74         | 0.54                | 1.21      | 7            |

Table 2. Circular Gaussian model-fit results for S5 1803+784. (1) epoch of observation, (2) flux density, (3)-(4) position of the component center respect to the core, (5) FWHM major axis, (6) jet-component identification. The full table is available in electronic format online as Supporting Information.

| Epoch       | Flux density (Jy) | $r$ (mas) | $\theta$ (deg) | $d$ (mas) | CO |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----|
| 2005.85     | 1.33 ± 0.14       | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | Cr |
| 0.17 ± 0.02 | 0.25 ± 0.02       | −75.03 ± 3.00 | 0.23 ± 0.04 | C0      |
| 0.05 ± 0.01 | 0.78 ± 0.02       | −76.93 ± 0.98 | 0.27 ± 0.03 | C1      |
| 0.22 ± 0.02 | 1.43 ± 0.02       | −90.72 ± 0.03 | 0.26 ± 0.01 | Ca      |
| 0.09 ± 0.01 | 1.75 ± 0.03       | −88.40 ± 0.09 | 0.56 ± 0.03 | C2      |
| 0.03 ± 0.00 | 3.81 ± 0.10       | −85.17 ± 0.59 | 1.97 ± 0.18 | C4      |
| 0.06 ± 0.00 | 6.50 ± 0.14       | −96.98 ± 2.25 | 2.69 ± 0.10 | C8      |

B2010, with significance $> 7\sigma$. The proper motion of component C8 of the outer jet changes its sign from positive to negative when all 30 epochs are considered. If the jet is indeed experiencing an oscillatory motion, it is expected that the jet component speed converges to zero when measured over longer periods of time.

2.3 Evolution of the jet width

The intrinsic jet motion can be studied by defining the jet ridge line, a line that connects the centres of all VLBI jet components identified at a single epoch of observations (e.g. Hummel et al. 1992; Britzen et al. 2014; Karouzos et al. 2012a). We plot the jet ridge line across all available epochs at 15 GHz in Fig. 2. We only consider the core and those identified jet-features, that appear at least in 10 epochs (C0, C1, Ca, C2, C4, C8). The ridge line of the inner jet is shown in Fig. 3 (C0, C1, Ca, C2), with three examples of the straight, and three examples of the curved jet-structure. It seems the ridge line of the inner jet evolves between straight and curved shapes, underlining the finding of B2010.

To characterize the transitions between the sinusoidally bent and straight shapes of the jet, we calculated the apparent width of the jet (Karouzos et al. 2012a). Characterizing the observed opening angle of the jet, defined as (Karouzos et al. 2012a):

$$dP_i = \theta_{i,\text{max}} - \theta_{i,\text{min}},$$

where $\theta_{i,\text{max}}$ and $\theta_{i,\text{min}}$ are the maximal and minimal position angles measured in the $i$th epoch, respectively. We calculated the apparent width by excluding C4 and C8, in order to focus on the inner jet, where the most violent changes are found.

In Fig. 4 we show the time variation of the jet-width, marking the straight and curved modes. The jet was straight in $t_{s,1} = 1994.67$, $t_{s,2} = 2003.10$, $t_{s,3} = 2008.93$, $t_{s,4} = 2011.99$, and curved in $t_{c,1} = 1998.84$, $t_{c,2} = 2005.30$, $t_{c,3} = 2011.40$. Averaging the differences between the subsequent
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epochs of one mode (straight versus curved), such that:

\[
P_w = \frac{1}{N-2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} (t_{s,i+1} - t_{s,i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} (t_{c,i+1} - t_{c,i}) \right],
\]

where \( N = 7 \) is the number of epochs in question. Substituting epochs \( t_s \) and \( t_c \), we conclude that the inner jet changes its width with a period of \( P_w \sim 6 \) yr. We calculate the apparent half-opening angle of the jet as half the average width of the inner jet, emerging as \( \psi_{\text{obs}} = 6.05^\circ \pm 1.1^\circ \).

3 OSCILLATION

3.1 Characteristic time-scale of the oscillation

The jet of S5 1803+784 has an almost perfectly east–west orientation, therefore the variations of the relative declination (Dec, \( y \)-coordinate relative to the VLBI core) of the components are minor compared to those of the relative right ascension (RA, \( x \)-coordinate relative to the VLBI core). Approximating the separation with the \( x \)-coordinate relative to the VLBI core) only, one can cut the component-errors down to the minimum. The \( x \)-coordinates of the jet-features are represented in Fig. 5 against their observing epochs.

Below we define the local minima of \( x \)-positions, and focus on their respective epoch. The \( m \)th feature has its minimum \( |x| \)-position at the \( i \)th epoch, if the \( |x| \)-position at \( i \)th epoch is smaller than the \( |x| \)-positions measured at the nearest four epochs:

\[
\min x_{m,i} : (|x| \pm \Delta x)_{m,i+2} > (|x| \pm \Delta x)_{m,i} \\
\& (|x| \pm \Delta x)_{m,i+1} > (|x| \pm \Delta x)_{m,i},
\]

where \( \Delta x \) is the \( x \)-positional error. Problems might arise with this definition if the component data are very sparse in time, but for S5 1803+784 the sampling is fairly uniform. We defined the minima with the four nearest neighbouring coordinates in the time axis, in order to dampen the effect of this kind of bias. Due to this definition, the first two and the last two epochs cannot be considered when finding the minima. It has to be also noted, the model built to estimate the errors on the parameters of the VLBI jet-component only reflects the statistical image errors and the error-estimates are assumed uncorrelated, therefore they should be viewed with caution (see references in Kun et al. 2014).

Using the above we identified 10 local minima of \( |x| \)-positions along the jet, marked in Fig. 3. We estimated the average time-shift \( T_{\text{obs}} \) between the two minima-sequences. For this we took into account those features, for which there are minima available from both sequences (C0, C1, C2, C4). Then if \( t_{1,m} \) and \( t_{2,m} \) is the epoch of the minimum \( |x| \)-position of the \( m \)th feature from the first and second sequences respectively, then \( T_{\text{obs}} = \sum_{m} (t_{2,m} - t_{1,m})/N \approx 6.8 \) yr \((N = 4)\). The error on \( T_{\text{obs}} \) was estimated based on the average sampling of the components at those epochs which are used to derive \( T_{\text{obs}} \). Then the time-shift emerged as \( T_{\text{obs}} = 6.8 \pm 0.6 \) yr. In Section 2.3 we concluded that the inner jet varies its width with a period of \( \sim 6.7 \) yr.
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| Date  | y (mas) | Date  | y (mas) | Date  | y (mas) |
|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| 1994.67 | 0.2     | 2004.27 | 0.2 | 2009.23 | 0.2 |
| 1996.38 | 0.2     | 2005.30 | 0.2 | 2009.82 | 0.2 |
| 1997.20 | 0.2     | 2005.68 | 0.2 | 2010.11 | 0.2 |
| 1998.22 | 0.2     | 2005.85 | 0.2 | 2010.96 | 0.2 |
| 1998.84 | 0.2     | 2006.68 | 0.2 | 2011.18 | 0.2 |
| 1999.57 | 0.2     | 2007.10 | 0.2 | 2011.40 | 0.2 |
| 1999.85 | 0.2     | 2007.34 | 0.2 | 2011.99 | 0.2 |
| 2000.46 | 0.2     | 2007.62 | 0.2 | 2012.18 | 0.2 |
| 2002.13 | 0.2     | 2008.65 | 0.2 | 2012.40 | 0.2 |
| 2003.10 | 0.2     | 2008.93 | 0.2 | 2012.91 | 0.2 |

**Figure 2.** xy-coordinate maps of the jet of S5 1803+784 at 15 GHz, taking the core and components C0, C1, Ca, C2, C4, C8 into account. Observing epochs can be found in the upper right corner of the maps.
Table 3. Linear proper motions and apparent velocities. (1) jet-component identification, (2) average core separation between 1994.67 and 2012.91, (3) proper motion between 1994.67 and 2005.68 (B2010), (4) proper motion between 2005.85 and 2012.91, (5) apparent speed between 1994.67 and 2012.91.

| Comp. ID | \(r_{av}\) (mas) | \(\mu_{r}^{B2010}\) (mas yr\(^{-1}\)) | \(\mu_{r}^{B2010}_{\text{all}}\) (mas yr\(^{-1}\)) | \(\beta_{\text{app,r}}\) (c) |
|----------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| C0       | 0.28 ± 0.02      | 0.017 ± 0.001       | -0.000 ± 0.002      | -0.02 ± 0.08       |
| C1       | 0.80 ± 0.03      | -0.037 ± 0.003      | -0.009 ± 0.006      | -0.34 ± 0.23       |
| Ca       | 1.43 ± 0.02      | 0.007 ± 0.004       | -0.001 ± 0.002      | -0.05 ± 0.08       |
| C2       | 1.76 ± 0.06      | 0.017 ± 0.007       | -0.008 ± 0.006      | -0.32 ± 0.23       |
| C4       | 3.53 ± 0.16      | 0.145 ± 0.017       | 0.018 ± 0.022       | 0.68 ± 0.82        |
| C8       | 7.29 ± 0.23      | -0.182 ± 0.005      | 0.141 ± 0.033       | 5.33 ± 1.23        |
| C12      | 10.35 ± 0.56     | -0.715 ± 0.113      | -0.186 ± 0.165      | -7.03 ± 6.25       |
| B3       | 3.59 ± 0.13      | 0.807 ± 0.151       | 0.669 ± 0.139       | 25.34 ± 5.26       |

Figure 3. xy-position variability of the components of the inner jet. We show three examples of the straight (black lines and symbols) and of the sinusoidally bent ridge lines (red line and symbols), superposed on xy-positions from all observing epochs (light blue lines and filled circles).

Figure 4. Apparent width of the jet taking components C0, C1, Ca, C2 into account. The epochs when the jet was in curved (straight) mode are marked by red circles (green boxes).

Figure 5. Time variability of the x-coordinate of components detected in at least 10 epochs (C0, C1, Ca, C2, C4, C8). Red empty circles denote \(x\)-minima from the 1st sequence, and blue empty triangles denote \(x\)-minima from the 2nd sequence.

value is consistent with the period \(T_{\text{obs}}\) determined from the minima, suggesting they have the same underlying cause.

3.2 Positional variability

A stationary process is one for which the statistical properties (such as mean, variance etc.) do not depend on the time. In statistics the variance measures how much a stochas-

\(\sigma^2\)
tic variable spreads out from its average value; the large variance suggesting significant changes. The excess variance \( \sigma_{\text{XS},j}^2 \), which is the variance after subtracting the expected measurements errors, is a commonly employed quantity to characterize the variability properties of AGN (e.g. of their X-ray light curves [Vaughan et al. 2003]). We characterize the intrinsic variability of the jet components by using the excess variance of their position-dependence on time. For this purpose we consider \( x_{i,j} \)-coordinates of \( j \) components, \( C_0, C_1, C_4, C_8 \), measured at the \( i \)-th epoch. Then the excess variance \( \sigma_{\text{XS},j}^2 \) of the \( j \)-th jet component is (Nandra et al. 1997; Edelson et al. 2002):

\[
\sigma_{\text{XS},j}^2 = S_j^2 - \sigma_{\text{err},j}^2 = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} (x_{i,j} - \bar{x}_j)^2 - \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \sigma^2_{\text{err},j},
\]

where \( S_j^2 \) is the variance, \( \sigma_{\text{err},j} \) is the mean square of the error of the \( x_{i,j} \)-positions of the \( j \)-th jet component with mean value \( \bar{x}_j \), and \( N_j \) is the total number of epochs for the respective component. We define the normalised excess variance as

\[
\sigma_{\text{NXS},j}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{\text{XS},j}^2}{\bar{x}_j^2}.
\]

The results for \( \sigma_{\text{XS},j}^2, \sigma_{\text{NXS},j}^2 \) are plotted in Fig. 6. The excess variance clearly shows the positional variability is function of the average position of the respective jet component, the components being farther from the core showing larger positional variability. It suggests a geometrical effect drives the evolution of the positional variability of the jet-components.

The fractional root mean square variability amplitude \( F_{\text{var}} \) (Edelson, Krolik & Pikel 1993; Rodriguez-Pascual et al. 1997) can be used to measure whether a measured quantity (e.g. brightness/15-GHz radio flux density of the source/components etc.) show time variations. We use this quantity to characterize the positional variability of the jet components of S5 1803+784 as function of time. We split the \( x_{i,j}(t) \) curves in three-year bins (\( n = 6 \) bins in total), and calculate \( F_{\text{var}} \) as:

\[
F_{\text{var}} = \sqrt{S^2 - \sigma^2_{\text{err},j,n}},
\]

where \( S^2 \) is the total variance of the \( x_{i,j}(t) \) curve, \( \sigma^2_{\text{err},j,n} \) is the mean square of the error of the \( x_{i,j} \)-positions of the \( j \)-th jet component in the \( n \)-th bin with mean value \( \bar{x}_{j,n} \). Its error is calculated as:

\[
F_{\text{var},\text{err}} = \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j,n} \sigma^2_{\text{err},j,n} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{err},j,n}}{\bar{x}_{j,n}} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\]

The results for \( F_{\text{var}} \) are plotted in Fig. 7. The fractional variability amplitude shows slight changes in 3-year bins of the component’s position, indicating the variable nature of the source.

4 HELICAL JET OF S5 1803+784

4.1 Characteristic spatial orientation of the jet

Hovatta et al. (2009) calculated the variability Doppler boosting factors, Lorentz factor, and inclination angle for S5 1803+784 to be \( \delta \approx 12.2, \gamma \approx 9.5, \alpha_0 \approx 4.5 \), respectively. A Lorentz factor \( \gamma \approx 9.5 \) indicates jet particles moving outward from the core close to the speed of the light (\( \beta_5 \approx 0.994c \)). These values were derived using radio interferometry and optical polarization measurements, occurred before the MOJAVE observations started. As the source is variable, we did not intend to use these values to fix the jet speed and the orientation of the jet flow, instead we calculate these from the MOJAVE measurements employed in this paper, as follows.

For VLBI components, the brightness temperature is calculated as (e.g. Condon et al. 1982):

\[
T_b = 1.22 \times 10^{12} (1 + z) \frac{S}{d^2 f^2} \text{K},
\]

where \( S \) (Jy) is the flux density, \( d \) (mas) is the FWHM diameter of the circular Gaussian component, and \( f \) (GHz) is the observing frequency. We calculated the average brightness temperature of the core as \( T_{\text{VLBI}} \approx 1.84 \times 10^{12} \) K with standard deviation \( 1.60 \times 10^{12} \) K. The large relative value of the latter reflects the variable flux density of the core. Assuming that the intrinsic temperature is equal to the equipartition temperature \( 5 \times 10^{10} \) K (Readhead 1994), the average Doppler factor of the core is \( \delta_0 = 36 \pm 29 \). Assuming that the jet velocity is constant and that B3 reflects its value, then combining \( \delta_0 \) with the apparent superluminal speed of B3 (\( \beta_{3,\text{app}} \approx 25.4c \)) gives a characteristic jet speed as \( \beta \approx 0.999c \) and characteristic inclination as \( \alpha_0 \approx 1.7^\circ \).

4.2 Characterization of the jet-shape

Now we characterize the shape of the jet of S5 1803+784. The previous works motivated the fitting of a helical shape to the component positions (Britzen et al. 2001; Tatevama et al. 2002; Britzen et al. 2005a, 2010).
Karouzos et al. 2012a). Conservation laws for the kinetic energy, momentum and jet opening angle lead to a set of equations, that describe how the components move along the jet in cylindrical coordinates (Case 2 of Steffen et al. 1995b):

\[
r(t) = v_z t \tan \psi + r_0,
\]

\[
\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \frac{\omega_0 r_0}{v_z \tan \psi} \ln \frac{r(t)}{r_0},
\]

\[
z(t) = v_z t,
\]

where \(r\) is the radial distance from the core, \(r_0\) is its initial value, \(\phi\) is the polar angle, \(\phi_0\) is its initial value, \(v_z\) is the jet velocity along the \(z\)-axis which is parallel to the jet symmetry axis, \(\psi\) is the half-opening angle of the helix, \(\omega_0\) is the initial angular velocity. The kinematics described by this model is equivalent with the hydrodynamic isothermal helical model of the jet, when the helical mode is not dampened (Harder, 1987). The model results in an increasing spatial wavelength \(\chi(z) := z(\phi + 2\pi) - z(\phi)\) (measured along the symmetry axis of the jet) and period \(P(t) := t(\phi + 2\pi) - t(\phi)\) of the helix, such that:

\[
\chi(z) = \left( z + \frac{r_0}{\tan \psi} \right) \left( e^{2\phi \tau} - 1 \right),
\]

\[
P(t) = \left( t + \frac{r_0}{v_z \tan \psi} \right) \left( e^{2\phi \tau} - 1 \right),
\]

where \(\tau = v_z \tan \psi \omega_0^{-1} r_0^{-1}\) is a constant, and depends just on the initial parameters.

In the following, we deduce the helical shape of the jet of S5 1803+784 based on the component positions. The parametrization of the intrinsic jet shape is done as described by Eqs. 3 4, Steffen et al. (1995a) noted that there is a small overall curvature in the jet-shape of S5 1803+784. We determined this curvature by fitting a function \(f(x) = Ax^B\), yielding \(A = -0.0003 \pm 0.0008\) mas, \(B = 3.48 \pm 1.17\), where \(x\) is the relative right ascension of the components. We subtracted the best-fit curvature from the original positions to remove this large-scale curvature. Its presence may indicate a reorientation in the jet that happens on far longer time-scales than the VLBI observations, and is therefore not implemented in the model presented here.

The best-fitting parameters of the helical jet model are obtained by iteratively performing non-linear least squares estimates using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm, such that the \(\chi^2\) was minimized during the process. The fitted parameters were \(\omega_0, r_0, \phi_0, \psi\), the fixed parameters were \(\beta_{\text{jet}} = 0.999c, \nu_0 = 1.75\). We obtain \(\omega_0 = 0.11 \pm 0.02\) mas \(yr^{-1}\), \(r_0 = 0.05 \pm 0.01\) mas, \(\phi_0 = 57.3 \pm 31.0\), \(\psi \approx 0.05^\circ\). We plot the best-fit model of the helical shape by a single black line in Fig. 3 where we also mark the position of the components, from which the large-scale curvature is already subtracted.

5 ORBITING BLACK HOLE AT THE JET BASE

In this section we present the kinematical simulation of a helical jet described by Eqs. 3 4, and show how it responds to the orbiting nature of its base. For this purpose we consider two BHs orbiting each other with total mass \(m = m_1 + m_2\), mass ratio \(\nu = m_2 m_1^{-1} \in (0, 1)\), and symmetric mass ratio \(\eta = \nu(1+\nu)^{-2} \in (0, 0.25)\). According to the proposed model, the jet velocity results from a sum
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between the intrinsic jet launching velocity vector and the orbital velocity vector of the jet emitter BH at the ejection time \cite{Roos, Kaastra & Hummel 1993, Kun et al. 2013, 2014}. According to the physical picture, while the general kinematics of the component can be explained by a helical jet, the oscillatory motion around this helical pattern reveals an additional kinematic influence manifesting itself as the oscillatory motion of the jet component, which we explain through an orbiting BBH.

We show the simulation of a helical jet described by Eqs. \( (11) \), and its response to the orbiting nature of its base in 3 dimension (3D) in Fig. 8. The summary of the simulation parameters is given in Table 4. These parameters reflect the superluminal jet of a flat spectrum source, in particular changing direction of the BH velocity vector, and a wave-like pattern appears passing through the jet. The pitch of this structure is constant along the symmetry axis of the jet. According to the proposed model, the final jet ridge line is a vector summation of the intrinsically helical jet and this wave-like pattern. After the jet-ejection, the binary motion has no effect on the jet propagation. Another particular feature of the model is that the width of the jet changes in accordance with the phase of the passing wave.

We call the areas where the jet shows maximum brightness boosting lantern regions. In the case of a non-orbiting jet base, presented in the left panel of Fig. 9, the position and flux density of these lantern regions do not change. For an orbiting jet base on the other hand, presented in the right panel of Fig. 9, the position and flux density of the lantern regions are altered by the passing wave-like perturbation inducing the flaring behaviour of the lantern regions. According to this scenario, as plasma reaches a lantern region, it apparently flares up, than after passing through the region it fades away. This cyclical alternation of the plasma explains why the components do not exhibit outward motion, rather maintain their globally stationary position as manifestation of the lantern regions.

If we assume the wave passing through the jet is the consequence of the orbiting motion of the jet base, then the intrinsic orbital period emerges as

\[ T = T_{\text{obs}}(1 + z)^{-1} \approx 6.8 \text{yr}(1 + 0.679)^{-1} = 4.05 \text{ yr}. \]

Consequently the orbital separation is

\[ r = 0.0057 \times \left( \frac{m}{10^8 \text{m}_{\text{Sun}}} \right)^{1/3} \text{(pc)}. \tag{14} \]

or using the angular scale for S5 1803+784 (6.917 pc mas\(^{-1}\))

\[ r = 0.0008 \times \left( \frac{m}{10^8 \text{m}_{\text{Sun}}} \right)^{1/3} \text{(mas)}. \tag{15} \]

### Table 4. Simulation parameters.

| Set | Parameter | Value |
|-----|-----------|-------|
| Run | component number | \( N = 301 \) |
|     | ejection time difference | \( dt = 0.2 \text{ yr} \) |
|     | total elapsed time | \( t = 60.2 \text{ yr} \) |
| Orientation | position angle of jet axis | \( \theta_0 = 40^\circ \) |
|     | inclination of jet axis | \( \iota_0 = 5^\circ \) |
| Helical jet | half-angle | \( \psi_0 = 5^\circ \) |
|     | jet velocity | \( \beta = 0.9944c \) |
|     | initial angular velocity | \( \omega_0 = 100 \text{ mas yr}^{-1} \) |
|     | initial core separation | \( r_0 = 0.01 \text{ mas} \) |
|     | spectral index | \( \alpha = -0.054 \) |
|     | geometry index | \( n = 2 \) |
| BBH | total mass | \( m = 10^{10} \text{M}_{\odot} \) |
|     | Newtonian orbital period | \( T_N = 10 \text{ yr} \) |
|     | mass ratio | \( \nu = 1/2 \) |
|     | spin angle | \( \kappa = 0^\circ \) 

Figure 8. Helical jet-shape of the VLBI jet of S5 1803+784 at the 15 GHz observing frequency. The original \( x \)y component-positions are marked by gray points, along with the fitted and subtracted curvature, marked by the solid gray line. The corrected \( x \)y-positions of the components are marked by black filled circles with error-bars, while the average \( x \)y-position of a components are marked by red filled circles. The best-fit helical model is drawn with a solid black line.
The spin-orbit precession emerges as

\[ T_{\text{SO}} = 2418 \times \left( \frac{m}{10^8 m_{\odot}} \right)^{-2/3} \frac{(1 + \nu)^2}{\nu} \text{yr}, \quad (16) \]

and the inspiral time as:

\[ T_{\text{merger}} = 4.96 \times 10^6 \times \left( \frac{m}{10^8 m_{\odot}} \right)^{-5/3} \frac{(1 + \nu)^2}{\nu} \text{yr}. \quad (17) \]

Using the independent black hole mass estimation \( m_{\text{BH}} \approx 3.98 \times 10^8 m_{\odot} \) of Sbarrato et al. (2012), for the binary separation to Newtonian order we find \( r \approx 0.009 \text{ pc} \), with the post-Newtonian parameter \( \varepsilon = Gm_r c^{-2} \approx 0.002 \). If we set the low (high)-limit on the typical mass ratio of the SMBH mergers, i.e. \( \nu = 1/30 \ (1/3) \) (Gergely & Biermann 2004), we find a spin-precession period \( T_{\text{SO}} \approx 3.1 \times 10^9 \text{ yr} \ (5.1 \times 10^9 \text{ yr}) \), and an inspiral time \( T_{\text{insp}} \approx 15.9 \times 10^6 \text{ yr} \ (2.6 \times 10^6 \text{ yr}) \).

6 DISCUSSION

In Fig. 1 we presented the integrated flux density, core separation, position angle and FWHM size of components B3, C0, C1, Ca, C2, C4, C8 and C12. We also mark the epochs when minima were found in their \( x \)-positions. The scenario of a passing wave shaking up the jet suggests the position and the brightness of the jet components should be correlated. We performed a Pearson-type correlation analysis between their core separation \( r \), integrated flux density \( S \), position angle \( \theta \), and FWHM size \( d \), in order to explore possible correlations between them. We calculated the correlation-coefficients for those components that appeared at least in 10 epochs (C0, C1, Ca, C2, C4, C8). The bootstrap method has been applied to calculate the expected value and the standard deviation of \( R \) regarding the given component. The results of the correlation analysis are summarized in Table 5, where we marked by boldface the strongest cases. The strongest \( (R \approx -0.64) \) and most significant \( (4\sigma < \alpha) \) correlation emerged between the integrated flux density and the core separation of component C2. Mildly strong \( (0.4 \lesssim |R|) \) and significant \( (2\sigma \leq \alpha) \) correlation is found between the flux density and the core separation of component Ca, the flux density and the position angle of C4 (see Table 5). The absence of stronger correlations might imply the flux variation of the lantern regions have possibly a composite origin due to inhomogeneities of the physical properties of the plasma, and of its Doppler boosting. In the former case the flux variability is not necessarily a consequence of structural evolution of the jet.

We offered a scenario that explains qualitatively the oscillatory motion of the VLBI jet of the BL Lac object S5 1803+784, the orbital motion of the jet base forcing to oscillate its components. While this scenario can explain orientation effects leading to variable apparent flux density, its possible intrinsic origin needs to be further investigated. The possibility of a binary black hole (BBH) lying at the jet base of S5 1803+784 has been also discussed in Roland et al. (2008). They solved first an astrometric problem by using the VLBI coordinates to provide the kinematical-parameters, such that the inclination 5.3° +1.7, −1.8.
and the Lorentz factor $\gamma = 3.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ emerged. Then they fitted their model and provided the BBH parameters giving the best fit to the kinematical data of S5 1803+784, first by assuming equal BH masses, then with variable mass ratio. In the non-equal mass case, with a dominant mass of $7.14 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, the binary period was $T_b = 1299.2$ yr. This is much longer than the intrinsic period $T$ determined from our analysis.

In earlier decades, periodic jet structures were often used to be attributed to the orbital motion of SMBHs. However, hydrodynamic and later magneto-hydrodynamic simulations proved instabilities in the plasma also could lead to a helical structure in the jet (see references for both physical pictures in [Kun et al. 2014]). The purpose of the creation of such a jet kinematical model then the presented one targets this ambiguity, aiming to soften the tension between the different models. According to the presented physical picture, while a helical jet can explain the general kinematics of the jet-components, this helical pattern reveals an additional kinematic influence due to the presence of an orbiting SMBH when its orbital speed is at least several per-cent of the jet speed.

The major difference between our model and the one presented by [Roland et al. 2008] lies in the concepts of the underlying jet kinematics. While in the model of Roland et al. the component-motion was explained by the mixed effect of the precession of the accretion disk and the motion of the two SMBHs, in our model the jet can be naturally helical. If there is no binary SMBH harboured at the centre if the AGN, the jet ridge-line can be still curved, as presented in the left side panel of Fig. 8. In that sense our model does not contradict with the expectations based on the HD/MHD simulations, namely that instabilities in the plasma can cause the jet to bend. Roland’s model lacks this feature, and this is the reason why we updated the binary-concept of S5 1803+784 with the present qualitative model.

Furthermore, in [Roland et al. 2008], the identification of the components was different than in both previous and subsequent papers. For example in its Figs. 4-6, the C0 and C1 components are fitted together, like these two would be actually one VLBI component. Furthermore, we used a model in which the intrinsic period of the oscillation was assumed to be the orbital period. In contrast to [Roland et al. 2008], who incorporated the jet orientation by solving an astrometrical problem, we deduced its inclination from the Doppler boosted light of the core, and the superluminal speed of the only outwardly moving component B3.

We proposed that the jet-components are regions exhibiting flaring behaviour due to the oscillation of the ridge line about Doppler boosted regions. One could ask how B3 fits this physical picture. In B2010 the authors found the spectral behaviour of S5 1803+784 changed significantly after ~1996, where the spectra changed from being flat, and gradually become steeper (at 4.6, 8, 15 GHz). The changes of the spectral evolution occur in the beginning of a bright, prolonged flare C that started in ~ 1992 and ended in ~ 2005 with a peak in 1997. They suggested that this can be explained by the ejection of the jet component B3 at launching time 1999.8. Possibly born in an extremely violent ejection, we speculate that B3 is the only jet-component which is an actual self-consisting bunch of plasma, the brightness of which makes it visible on its full trajectory.

SMBH binaries, coalescing presumably on time scale of several decades, are potential sources of the low frequency gravitational waves (GWs) to be detected by the future LISA space mission. Identification of sources is important for breaking degeneracies in GW’s parameter estimation, as well as to help calibrate the expected abundance of GW sources. Both the study of [Roland et al. 2008] and the present one suggest S5 1803+784 indeed harbours a BBH, its inspiral time is more than one million years. This time-scale is too long to consider this AGN as possible source of strong GW bursts to be detected for example by the future LISA space mission.

### Table 5. $C_{ID}$: component ID, $R(r,S)$: correlation-coefficient between $r$ and $S$, $\alpha(r,S)$: significance of $R(r,S)$, $R(\theta,S)$: correlation-coefficient between $\theta$ and $S$, $\alpha(\theta,S)$: significance of $R(\theta,S)$, $R(S,d)$: correlation-coefficient between $S$ and $d$, $\alpha(S,d)$: significance of $R(S,d)$. 2$\sigma$ significance levels are indicated by boldface.

| $C_{ID}$ | $R(r,S)$ | $\alpha(r,S)$ | $R(\theta,S)$ | $\alpha(\theta,S)$ | $R(S,d)$ | $\alpha(S,d)$ |
|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|---------------|
| C0       | $-0.274 \pm 0.036$ | 0.9           | $0.017 \pm 0.069$ | < 0.75               | 0.315 $\pm 0.049$ | 0.95     |
| C1       | $0.019 \pm 0.047$      | < 0.75        | $0.280 \pm 0.046$ | 0.9                 | $0.284 \pm 0.048$ | 0.9      |
| C2       | $0.019 \pm 0.047$      | < 0.75        | $0.017 \pm 0.069$ | < 0.75               | $0.315 \pm 0.049$ | 0.95     |
| C4       | $-0.033 \pm 0.098$     | < 0.75        | $-0.428 \pm 0.068$ | 0.9                 | $0.438 \pm 0.080$ | 0.99     |

7 SUMMARY

In this work we have studied the jet of the blazar S5 1803+784 based on the VLBI data by the MOJAVE survey at the observational frequency 15 GHz. For that purpose we have model-fitted the calibrated $uv$-data obtained between 2005.85 and 2012.91. We also made use the results of B2010, which were derived based on the observation-period of 1994.67–2005.68, also taken from the MOJAVE survey. Below we summarize our main findings and results.

(i) We have found that the new data supports the oscillatory motion of the components proposed in B2010 based upon 11 years of data (1994.67–2005.68).
(ii) The only superluminal component, B3 slowed down and faded out after 2011.
(iii) We have characterized the time-scale of the oscillation, which emerged to be about six years.
(iv) The excess variance of the positional variability suggests the jet components being farther from the VLBI core have larger amplitude in their position variations.
(v) The fractional variability amplitude shows slight changes in 3-year bins of the component’s position.
(vi) The average inclination of the VLBI jet emerged as $i_0 \approx 1.7^\circ$ at 15 GHz observing frequency, and its average apparent width as $dP_{\alpha} \approx 14^\circ$.
(vii) The jet ridge line can be fitted with the helical jet
The correlation-coefficients between binary parameters.

(viii) Assuming the oscillatory motion of the jet of S5 1803+784 is a consequence of the presence of an orbiting SMBH at the jet-base, we characterized the Newtonian model of Steffen et al. (1995b), which is based on the conservation laws for kinetic energy, momentum and jet opening angle.

(ix) The correlation-coefficients between $r$ and $S$ suggest, that the flux variation of the lantern regions have possibly a composite origin due to inhomogeneities of the physical properties of the plasma, and of its Doppler boosting.

The main findings of the paper are (i) the stationarity of most of the components and the evolution of the jet ridge line; (ii) the identification of special lantern regions along the jet ridge line. Their average position marks the intrinsic jet shape, while their oscillatory motion is a manifestation of a perturbation passing through the jet. The alternation of the plasma flowing through the lantern regions explain why the jet-components do not exhibit outward motion, rather maintain their globally stationary position. All of these findings emphasize the role of orientation effects when studying jets with small inclination.
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