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Mucosal Immunity and the Intestinal Microbiome in the Development of Critical Illness
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The intestinal community, including the commensal microbial flora as well as the host tissues, represents a functional whole in vivo. Under physiological circumstances, this symbiosis brings great benefit for the host; however, critical illness induces profound disturbances in the intestinal ecosystem affecting both procaryotic and eucaryotic members. Today, 25 years after the gut was first described as a motor of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome, the role of the injured splanchnic compartment in the pathomechanism and development of critical illness is still in the first line of research. Multiple mechanisms have been identified by which the stressed gut may affect host homeostasis, and how external intervention might help to rebalance physiology. This paper provides a brief overview of the present of this field.

1. Introduction

The gastrointestinal system represents an enormous surface to the internal world of the gut microbiome and nutrient antigens. Mucosal immunity faces the challenge to establish a balance between tolerance and immunity, elimination of pathogenic microbes and preservation of the crucial cooperation with normal flora members. Furthermore, commensal enteral bacteria share many epitopes with self-structures, making the situation even more complex, as revealed by recent investigations [1, 2]. The intestinal microbiome, tightly interacting with the mucosal immune network, may be considered together as a special organ that has a clear impact on host physiology and pathology, including autoimmunity, atopic disorders, and even obesity [3–8]. The splanchnic immune apparatus also has an impact on host-pathogen interactions. B-1 lymphocyte-derived natural autoantibodies were shown to be involved in the first-line defence against pathogens, as well as in the early T-cell recruitment during initiation of delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) responses [1]. The intestinal modulation of host homeostasis becomes, however, even more obvious in critical illness (definitive or imminent organ failure).

The intestinal community with both eucaryotic and procaryotic members is partially separated from the systemic compartment and represents an unique symbiosis, which is recently being discovered in details. Since the majority of commensal species is not culturable under conventional circumstances [9], their exact nature yet remains obscure despite intensive research. Faced with the enormous amount of bacteria and nutrient antigens, the mucosal immune network consists of the intestinal epithelium, intraepithelial lymphocytes, and the immune apparatus of the lamina propria, Peyer’s patches, and mesenteric lymph nodes. Lymphoid elements of the splanchnic immune system frequently belong to unconventional lymphocyte populations that possess a restricted repertoire and often show self-reactivity [1]. The close proximity of these cells and intestinal antigens
is, however, turned safe via multiple barriers and regulatory ways. As for nondangerous antigens that cross the intestinal barrier, there are numerous mechanisms that avoid local or systemic immunization. For example, TCRγδ+ intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes secrete keratinocyte growth factor at the sites of mucosal injury, which helps to restore the epithelial layer. In turn, the intact epithelial cells are involved in the priming of CD8+ TCRγδ+ regulatory T cells that secrete relevant amounts of IL-10 and mediate significant anti-inflammatory effect [1]. The fine spatial organization of the intestinal network is also noticeable under physiological circumstances. Obata et al. have discovered intratissue colonization of the intestinal mucosa by commensal bacteria, which does not show accidentality, but rather a strict spacial structure under physiological circumstances [10]. In a mouse model, the surface of Peyer’s patches (PP) is mainly (98%) colonized by segmented filamentous bacteria while the bacterial composition of PP interior consists of Alcaligenes spp. (72%), Ochrobactrum spp. (10%), Serratia spp. (6%), Burkholderia spp. (4%), and a minor percentage of others. Undiscriminated species were present throughout the surface layer of the lamina propria. The bacterial composition of dendritic cells (DCs) in Peyer’s patches and mesenteric lymph nodes was also different with Alcaligenes spp. dominating in the former and Pseudomonas spp. dominating in the latter case in mice. The ability of Alcaligenes to colonize human and nonhuman primate (monkey) Peyer’s patches was also shown [10]. Besides intestinal microbes, members of the mucosal immune apparatus as well have a strictly determined niche to live. A distinct pattern of intestinal intraepithelial lymphocyte (iIEL) populations in different regions of the gut was shown to be present both in human and rodents [11–13]. The activation and homing properties of peritoneal B-1 lymphocytes are also strictly regulated [1]. Intestinal dendritic cells, which are able to hold live commensal bacteria for several days, are as well retained to a well-determined niche via mesenteric lymph nodes, that function as a filter for these cells as shown by a mouse model [14]. This way, the mucosal immune responses are kept partially separated from the systemic compartment, allowing local IgA production but avoiding inadequate immunization with enteral bacteria and potential consequent enterocolitis or autoimmune complications.

Commensal bacteria as well form an active part of the intestinal community. Besides the production of vitamin K [15], these microbes help to digest complex carbohydrates, thus allowing access to short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), that serve as nutrients for intestinal epithelial cells beyond regulating their differentiation and growth [9, 16]. Microbes also induce the production of intestinal mucus [17, 18]. In the colon, mucus consists of two layers, of which the inner one is densely packed, adhering to the epithelium and physically preventing penetration of bacteria, as demonstrated on a mouse model [19]. Beyond its barrier function, intestinal mucus is believed to shape the composition of the microbial flora via offering special adhesion epitopes [9]. Gut bacteria also play a crucial role in the regulation of mucosal immune responses. Molecules recognizing the intestinal microflora are diverse, including numerous pathways like Toll-like receptors (TLRs), formylated peptide receptors (FPRs), nucleotide binding oligomerization-like receptors (NODs), IL-1β-converting enzyme protease activator factor (IPAF), and C-type lectins with corresponding signal transduction routes. Inflammatory and proapoptotic responses go hand in hand, where NF-κB plays a key role. Many commensal species limit NF-κB-dependent signalling and induce the production of TGF-β, mediating anti-inflammatory and antipapoptotic effects [9]. Certain members of the gut microflora were also reported to shape the Treg/TH17 balance in the mucosa and induce immunity against intestinal pathogens via a TH17-dependent response in mice. TH17 cells represent a special population of CD4+ T lymphocytes, which produce IL-17, IL-17F, as well as IL-22, and play a role in inflammatory responses against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Molecular details of the induction of TH17 cells are recently being discovered [20–22].

Considering the fine organization of the intestinal mucosal tissues, the huge amount of potentially dangerous microbes and the vulnerable balance between inflammation and tolerance as well as symbiosis and bacterial invasivity, the role of mucosal immunity in the pathomechanism of critical illness is most comprehensible. The gut as a motor of systemic inflammation (either of an infective origin or not) and multiple organ failure was first described in the 80s, when an impaired intestinal barrier function along with bacterial translocation in an already immunocompromised host was believed to play a pivotal role [23, 24]. Since then, the growing number of experimental data has refined our knowledge; however, the majority of these come from animal models, eminently rodents, which needs to be taken into account. This review provides a short overview of the field.

2. Changes in the Intestinal Environment in Critical Illness

2.1. Physiological Regulation of the Intestinal Environment. Under physiological circumstances, the composition of the intestinal microbial flora is strictly controlled by mucosal tissues as well as the microbes themselves, which is crucial for the maintenance of a healthy symbiosis. Molecular mechanisms involved in this process are highly diverse and go far beyond the secretion of IgA antibodies. Angiogenin 4, an antibacterial peptide secreted by Paneth cells, is induced by commensal organisms and it is believed to help to maintain the Gram-negative predominance in the gut via its anti-Gram-positive spectrum [24, 25]. Similarly, RegIIIγ, another antibacterial agent, is induced by the microbes themselves [26]. Defensins possessing both direct antibacterial and immunomodulatory potential, along with broad-spectrum antimicrobial product cryptdins also contribute to shaping the composition of the intestinal microflora. Intermicrobial interactions, special adhesion epitopes present on mucin proteins as well as the presence of fucoylated glycans (serving as nutrient for some microbes) were also shown to have an effect on the gut microbiome [9, 27]. Another mechanism involves a special population of T lymphocytes that modulate the NOD2-dependent activation of epithelial
cells in response to bacterial peptidoglycans, as demonstrated by a mouse model. These CD3+CD11c+ T cells express PGLYRP-2 that destroys the NOD2-recognising epitope of peptidoglycans, which is preserved by enteral lysozyme. PGLYRP-2 positive cells belong to a mixed TCRαβ+/γδ+ population, express B220 and CD69 activation markers and reduce NOD2-mediated epithelial cell activation via PGLYRP-2. This effect was reported to affect the composition of the commensal flora [28]. The maintenance of the physiological intestinal environment is also dependent on a heterogeneous population of regulatory T lymphocytes, including CD4+, CD8+, as well as γδT cells, that are engaged with the ability to suppress immunity against nondangerous intestinal antigens. Intestinal epithelial cells along with dendritic cells of the lamina propria and mesenteric lymph nodes are involved in the priming of regulatory lymphocytes [1]. A healthy microbiome, in turn, induces a tolerogenic, antiapoptotic milieu, regulates its own composition, provides access to otherwise inaccessible nutrient factors (e.g., short-chain fatty acids), and suppresses pathogenic species.

2.2. Alteration of the Intestinal Microenvironment in Critical Illness. In life-threatening states, there are numerous factors contributing to the pathological alteration of the intestinal microbial community [29]. Systemic antibiotics, splanchnic ischemia-reperfusion, mucosal acidosis, impaired production of mucus, and appearance of bacterial derivatives in the blood along with the lack of local nutrients (either as a consequence of total parenteral nutrition or highly processed enteral nutrients resulting in distal bowel nutrient deficiency) may occur and contribute to enhanced apoptosis, histological disorganization of the mucosa, and change of the intestinal microbial composition. The above-mentioned regulatory ways which shape the gut microbiome are impaired in injured mucosal tissues, which is augmented by the pathological shift in the microflora itself.

Many widely used broad-spectrum antibiotics were reported to have a profound effect on the composition of the intestinal microbial community even in healthy human volunteers. Intravenously (i.v.) administered cefoperazone dramatically reduced numbers of both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria; however, it induced a massive overgrowth of yeasts. Piperacillin (i.v.) had similar, but less profound effects [30]. Parenterally administered ceftriaxone may also induce Candida overgrowth in humans [31], along with intravenous ciprofloxacin in a part of volunteers [32]. Increased presence of yeasts in the intestinal content may be particularly dangerous in critical illness, since Candida albicans was reported to facilitate the translocation of Escherichia coli through the epithelial barrier in vitro [33].

A profound change in the intestinal milieu was revealed in patients with severe systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). The reduced number of intestinal obligate anaerobes in SIRS compared to normal (among these strains Bacteroidaceae, Bifidobacterium, and Veillonella sp.) along with a decreased occurrence of Lactobacillus was reported, while Staphylococcus and Pseudomonas numbers increased significantly. The total amount of organic acids (particularly butyric acid, propionic acid, and acetic acid) was reduced while the pH was elevated in the intestinal content of patients with SIRS [34]. Furthermore, an altered gut flora (a decrease in total obligate anaerobes and an increase in pathogenic bacteria) might be a prognostic marker in these patients [35]. Critical illness-associated changes in the intestinal flora may occur surprisingly rapidly, as shown by a rat model of pancreatitis, where anaerobic bacteria and Lactobacilli numbers in the colon and the distal small intestine decreased from 6 to 12 hours [36]. Special nutrition protocols also have a marked effect on the composition of the intestinal environment. Fiber-free total enteral nutrition resulted in a decrease of anaerobic and an increase of aerobic bacteria in the fecal flora of human subjects. Total parenteral nutrition was shown to reduce the number of both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, and induced a decrease of short-chain fatty acids compared to the fiber-free enteral nutrition group [37].

2.3. Regulation of Bacterial Virulence. Changes of the gut microbial flora and the intestinal microenvironment in critically ill patients are particularly relevant considering the mechanism of quorum sensing (QS) [29, 38]. QS is a system for the regulation of virulence genes in bacteria that comes into play beyond a critical bacterial density. QS molecules provide opportunity for cell-to-cell communication in bacterial communities to coordinate and synchronize their behaviour, when bacteria sense a threat of elimination under circumstances of high density and the lack of nutrients. In these cases, a synchronized program for overwhelming the host may be profitable for bacteria, even considering the presence of host immunity and antibiotics. Indeed, QS circuits were also found to activate in response to INFγ in this bacterium, resulting in the production of PA-I lectin in P. aeruginosa, which increases tight junctional permeability, giving free way to the systemic dissemination of ribosylating toxin exotoxin A, that induces a lethal septic response [39]. QS circuits were also found to activate in response to LPS in this bacterium, resulting in enhanced virulence, adhesion to the epithelium and disruption of the epithelial barrier [29, 38]. These findings may explain much of the observed discrepancies regarding the theory of “gut as the motor of sepsis.” The original theory was based on the conception that bacteria translocating to the circulation through the injured intestinal barrier in critical illness facilitate systemic inflammatory responses and contribute to the development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS). This model was supported by the fact that germ-free animals improved survival after hemorrhagic shock/intestinal ischemia-reperfusion compared to controls [40, 41]. However, the number of translocated bacteria was not enough to induce sepsis when injected into healthy animals, and similarly, the clinically observed amount of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was not sufficient to induce
a systemic inflammatory response similar to septic states. The presence of quorum sensing, however, may provide an explanation how the activation of virulence genes may endow these bacteria to induce significant changes in the host homeostasis [29, 42].

3. Gut-Microbe Interactions and Intestinal Barrier Function in Critical Illness

3.1. Bacterial Contribution to the Intestinal Barrier. The intestinal barrier consists of multiple mechanisms including mucus production, epithelial layer junctional complexes, as well as the mucosal immune apparatus including IgA antibodies along with cellular components. Under physiological circumstances, commensal microbes actively contribute to the maintenance of the gut barrier function through multiple ways. They competitively antagonize epithelial adherence and suppress growth of pathogenic species, induce the production of IgA antibodies and mucus, digest macromolecules to decrease antigenic load, and provide access to SCFAs [9, 43]. Short-chain fatty acids were reported to serve as nutrients for epithelial cells, regulate their growth and differentiation, decrease the in vitro permeability of intestinal tight junctions, and induce production of cytoprotective heat shock protein 25 (HSP25) as well as mucin (MUC2) [9, 16, 44–47]. The induction of protective heat shock proteins (HSPs) was also reported directly by Bacillus subtilis derivatives [29]. Another effect of SCFAs is the induction of ornithine-decarboxylase, the enzyme catalyzing the rate-limiting step of polyamine synthesis. These mediators have been demonstrated to play an important role in normal cell growth and repair of injuries in the mucosa [48].

3.2. Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms Involved in the Alteration of Physical and Immunological Barrier Function. Intestinal epithelial cells, intraepithelial lymphocytes, Peyer’s patches, mesenteric lymph nodes and the lamina propria immune apparatus are all involved in physiological immune barrier functions in the gut. Intestinal ECs are polarized cells, possess the ability to present antigens as nonprofessional antigen presenting cells (APCs), and are shown to express classical MHC I, MHC II, as well as nonclassical MHC I. The secretion of MHC-containing, antigen-loaded microparticles was also reported [56–58]. The latter mechanism may provide information to bystander cells on the antigenic composition of the luminal content. Intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes contribute to host protection both as regulators of the inflammatory response [59] and via the induction of mucosal repair: TCRγδ+ iIELs were reported to secrete keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), required for restoring intestinal epithelia [60]. However, critical illness is characterized by major changes in the function of the mucosal immune barrier. Polymicrobial sepsis as well as intestinal ischemia-reperfusion were reported to induce a dramatic loss of lymphocytes in the intraepithelial and lamina propria compartments as well as in Peyer’s patches. Although critical illness seems to affect effector sites more profoundly than inductive sites, it is important to note that the number of lymphocytes in the lamina propria recovers more quickly than in Peyer’s patches. Increased apoptosis among iIELs and lamina propria B cells was considered to be Fas-FasL but not endotoxin mediated. [24, 61–63]. A mouse model has shown that TCRγδ+ iIELs seem to play an important role in the pathomechanism of polymicrobial sepsis, since their percentage becomes higher within the intraepithelial compartment of the small intestine in sepsis, and γδ−/− mice show an increased early mortality following cecal ligation and puncture [59].

Beyond cellular components, physical and humoral barrier functions were also reported to be impaired in critical illness. The production of intestinal mucus was decreased in a rat model of trauma/hemorrhagic shock, where females had better survival rates associated with a more efficient preservation of the gut mucus layer and the barrier function, suggesting an important role of sexual differences [64–66]. The expression of intestinal trefoil factor 3 (TFF3), which stabilizes the mucus layer and contributes to the repair of mucosal injuries, was reported to decrease in a rat model of polymicrobial sepsis, along with defensin 5 (RD-5), a wide-spectrum antimicrobial product of Paneth cells [67]. The expression of ornithine decarboxylase, a key enzyme of polyamine synthesis, was, however, increased in a rat model of severe burn injury, and this process played a role in mucosal repair [68]. IgA-mediated humoral response is
defective in several rodent models of critical illness including endotoxemia and burn injury, and this state associates with an impaired function of the intestinal barrier. Human subjects with intra-abdominal sepsis also had a reduced IgA-mediated response [69–71], which might be associated with enhanced apoptosis or potentially the sepsis-induced impairment of the mucosal production of IL-5 (known from a murine model), a cytokine that normally promotes IgA class switch [72]. However, others demonstrated an elevated number of IgA secreting lamina propria B cells in septic mice [63]. Besides its well-known adverse effects [73], hyperoxia was shown to enhance barrier function in synergism with IgA in vitro [74, 75]. Beyond immunoglobulin-mediated protection, the epithelium itself also serves as a barrier, and the cytokine milieu has a clear impact on the permeability of this layer: INFγ, TNFα, high-mobility group B1 (HMG1B1), IL-2, IL-4, IL-13, and a RAGE-dependent signal increases permeability while IL-10 and TGFβ act oppositely [76–81]. INFγ may also mediate transcytosis of *Escherichia coli* through epithelial cells in vitro via lipid raft structures [82], which is an additional mechanism independent of tight junctions. The excess production of NO was found to be required for the development of enhanced intestinal permeability after hindlimb ischemia-reperfusion or the administration of LPS. In the latter case, the changes were associated with the altered expression and localization of key tight junction proteins [83, 84]. Inversely, IGF-1 was reported to be protective via the attenuation of sepsis-induced intestinal epithelial apoptosis in mice. Higher IGF-1 levels were associated with reduced bacterial translocation both in human subjects and the mouse model [85].

3.3. Impact of Some Therapeutic Efforts on the Intestinal Barrier Function. Besides microbe-host interactions, therapeutic efforts also influence the intestinal barrier in critical illness, although detailed experimental data are poorly available. The impact of antibiotics on the intestinal community was mentioned above. Considering animal models, steroid drugs seem to impair mucosal barrier function via multiple ways. Intrapерitoneal administration of dexamethasone was found to reduce IgA production, enhance bacterial adherence to the mucosa, and facilitate translocation of bacteria from the intestinal lumen to mesenteric lymph nodes in nonseptic, intact rats. In mice with severe burn injury, prednisone facilitated the translocation of *Escherichia coli* to the intestinal wall as well as mesenteric lymph nodes [86, 87]. In vivo exposure to lidocaine was also associated with bacterial translocation, along with a decreased proliferation and T112 cytokine production of T-cells in Peyer’s patches in mice [88]. Inversely, propofol was shown to mediate a protective effect on the gut via the reduction of intestinal permeability, mucosal TNFα and IL-6 production, NF-kB activity, as well as plasma endotoxin levels in rats with traumatic brain injury [89]. Both selective COX-2 inhibition and the administration of indomethacin (a noneselective COX inhibitor) induced enhanced apoptosis in mesenteric lymph nodes, and attenuated the increase of macrophages in the mucosa and MLNs in septic rats. However, selective COX-2 inhibition was reported to decrease liver injury in a rat model of polymicrobial sepsis [90, 91]. Vasopressor agents like norepinephrine (NE) are widely used in the management of septic shock-related hypotension. Norepinephrine dose was in a direct correlation with lactic acidosis of the rectal mucosa in patients with septic shock; however, it remains obscure if the vasopressor agent itself or a more severe shock requiring higher NE doses is responsible for this observation [92].

4. Interactions between the Intestinal and Systemic Compartments in Critical Illness

The exact way how events in the altered intestinal microenvironment disturb host homeostasis in critical illness is yet unknown in details; however, recent investigation has revealed many relevant pathways. The regulation system of bacterial virulence, which provides a coordinated program for the impairment of the mucosal barrier and systemic spread of bacteria/bacterial products leading to a fatal septic response in the host, was described earlier in Section 2. Like extraintestinal tissues, gastric and intestinal mucosa is engaged with the ability to respond to inflammatory signals via production of different mediators. Endotoxemia in a mouse model resulted in the production of IL-6 in small intestine and colonic mucosa, which was mainly related to enterocytes along with a few cells in the lamina propria. IL-1β induced a similar effect in the small intestine [93]. The widespread apoptosis observed in the intestinal mucosa in critical illness might however mediate immunosuppression via the well-known ability of apoptotic cells to induce immunosuppression [94], in case they gain access to the systemic compartment.

The pathogenic role of certain gut-derived products in the development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome was demonstrated years ago [23]. However, the level of C3a, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor was nearly identical in simultaneously collected portal and systemic blood samples in patients with major torso trauma [95]. Similarly, portal and systemic levels of IL-1β, TNFα, and IL-6 did not differ significantly in a rat model of polymicrobial sepsis [96]. The gut-origin lymph was, however, demonstrated to serve as a primary route of nonbacterial intestinal products associated with tissue injury in a trauma/hemorrhagic shock model. Lymph derived from the stressed intestine, which gains access to the systemic circulation via the thoracic duct, may induce multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which is otherwise called the "gut-lymph hypothesis." The exact way how gut-origin lymph disturbs homeostasis is yet obscure; however, pathways involving TLR4 signalling as well as NO excess seem to be involved. [97]. Besides gut-origin lymph, gut-origin norepinephrine also seems to be involved in sepsis pathophysiology. A rat model has shown that during early sepsis, gut itself may be a relevant source of norepinephrine, which enters the hepatic circulation via the portal vein, and induces dysfunction of hepatocytes possibly mediated via the upregulation of inflammatory cytokine production [98].
5. The Impact of Nutrition Protocols on Mucosal Immunity in Critical Illness

5.1. The Intestinal Mucosa in Total Parenteral Nutrition. Total parenteral nutrition (TPN), which we mean here as parenteral nutrition with the lack of enteral nutrition, is occasionally inevitable in the management of critically ill patients. However, associated side effects on mucosal immunity and the intestinal microenvironment are worth an exceptional attention, considering the role of the gut in the pathomechanism of various life-threatening states. Alteration in the composition of the intestinal microflora associated with TPN was described earlier in Section 2. Murine experiments have shown that TPN-associated adverse effects included enhanced epithelial cell apoptosis, increased degree of bacterial translocation from the intestinal lumen, histologically decreased height of the villus-crypt complex, as well as alterations in the intestinal intraepithelial lymphocyte population. The numbers of CD4+CD8+, CD4+CD8+, CD8αβ+ thymus-dependent along with CD8αCD44+ mature iIELs were found to decrease in response to total parenteral nutrition. The cytokine profile of iIELs also changed as the levels of IL-2 and IL-10 mRNAs decreased, inverse to the increased expression of IL-6, INFγ, IL-4, TGFβ1, as well as TNFa mRNAs. These changes were demonstrated to associate rather with the lack of enteral nutrition but not the presence of parenteral nutrition [99, 100]. Both the lack of iIEL-derived IL-10 and the overproduction of INFγ contributed to the decreased gut barrier function in mice [101, 102]. TPN as well as fiber-free enteral nutrition was demonstrated to decrease jejunal IgA and insoluble mucin levels as well, along with the increase of intestinal permeability and bacterial translocation in rats [103]. The fall of lymphocyte numbers in Peyer’s patches, intraepithelial space, and lamina propria in response to total parenteral nutrition was also reported in a mouse model [104]. Antigen recognition pattern changes as well, as another murine model revealed upregulated expression of TLR4, TLR5, TLR7, and TLR9 mRNAs in response to total parenteral nutrition, particularly in the distal part of the small intestine [105], which might be consequent to an altered bacterial environment. Studies on the molecular pathways involved in TPN-associated mucosal disturbances have demonstrated the important role of IL-7, a critical factor for the maintenance of several lymphoid tissues. The production of this cytokine by intestinal epithelial cells was found to be impaired when mice were on TPN, which associated with reduced number and altered phenotype of iIELs. External supplementation of IL-7 as well as intestinal overexpression of IL-7 restored much of these changes [106, 107].

5.2. Immunonutrition and Probiotics in Critical Illness. Supplementation of nutrition protocols with certain immunomodulatory-nutrient agents may have a profound effect on mucosal immunity as demonstrated by several animal models, and the quest for possibilities of human clinical application has been in the focus of research. Glutamine supplementation of total parenteral nutrition in mice was shown to attenuate TPN-induced changes in the intestinal mucosa. Total parenteral nutrition associated with an impaired barrier function, decreased number of intraepithelial lymphocytes, and increased INFγ but decreased IL-10 expression in iIELs. Glutamine-supplementation of TPN resulted in a significant preservation of the barrier function, in which the influence on iIEL cytokine profile (prevention in the overproduction of INFγ and decline in IL-10) may play a role. The way how glutamine affected the cytokine production of iIEL cells was independent on the IL-7 pathway [108]. Glutamine supplementation in a TPN model was also demonstrated to reverse the TPN-induced fall in the intestinal and airway IgA production [109], and restored lymphocyte numbers in the intraepithelial compartment, lamina propria and Peyer’s patches in mice. Glycyl-L-glutamine supplementation had similar effect as glutamine on the TPN-associated atrophy of gut-associated lymphoid tissue [104]. Glutamine was also demonstrated to attenuate the TPN-induced decline of IL-10 and IL-4 mRNA levels in lipopolysaccharide- (LPS-) stimulated lamina propria cells in mice, and the expression of these mRNAs correlated with the amount of intestinal IgA [110]. Glutamine also helped to maintain T-lymphocyte populations in Peyer’s patches and the production of IgA in a rat model of polymicrobial sepsis [111]. An “immunoenhancing” enteral diet supplemented with L-arginine, ω-3 fatty acids, and RNA fragments in septic but not control rats was shown to increase the production of IL-5 and IL-6, cytokines that promote IgA immunoglobulin class switch [72]. IL-5 was also demonstrated to be required for an optimal T-cell-dependent activation of murine B-1 lymphocytes. B-1 cells produce natural antibodies that contribute to the first-line protection against infectious agents [112–114]. Supplementation of TPN with short-chain fatty acids was also found to have a protective effect on the intestinal mucosa via multiple mechanisms. After massive short bowel resection in rats, SCFA supplementation of TPN upregulated the mucosal expression of ornithine decarboxylase as well as proglucagon mRNA levels, that might be the way by which SCFAs facilitate intestinal adaptation [48]. Indeed, both polyamine synthesis catalyzed by ODC and abundance of proglucagon derivatives were shown to associate with an advantageous effect on the intestinal mucosa [68, 115]. SCFA supplementation was also found to enhance ileal proglucagon mRNA expression in intact rats subjected to TPN [116]. Bulk-forming fibers as well proved to be worthy attention, since per os fiber supplementation of fiber-free enteral nutrition or TPN resulted in a decreased intestinal permeability along with a lower degree of bacterial translocation in rats [103]. The management of intestinal dysbacteriosis associated with critical illness includes two plausible solutions: selective digestive decontamination (SDD) or the administration of probiotics. Conclusions from the literature demonstrate that the prophylactic use of SDD may reduce infections, eminently pneumonia, as well as mortality in a mixed population of ICU patients; however, no studies focused specifically on severe sepsis and septic shock [117]. The use of probiotics proved to have a protective effect on the intestinal mucosa in several animal models, eminently rodents. In rats subjected to short bowel resection, oral
treatment with probiotics reduced bacterial translocation from the intestinal lumen and decreased intestinal epithelial cell apoptosis [118]. Another murine model demonstrated that orally administered lyzozyme-modified Lactobacillus sp. improved survival of polymicrobial sepsis, which was associated with an increase in the bacterial clearance in the liver. These lyzozyme-modified probiotic components (LzMPC) were shown to cross the intestinal barrier and get engulfed by liver macrophages. LzMPC stimulated the in vitro production of cathelicidin-related antimicrobial peptide (CRAMP) in these cells and potentiated their bactericidal activity. Polymicrobial sepsis was also shown to decrease the expression of CRAMP in the liver, which was restored by the administration of LzMPC [119]. However, in contrast to these animal models, data on the human clinical application of both immunonutrition and probiotics in critically ill patients remains controversial, furthermore, cases of Lactobacillus sepsis in connection with probiotic
therapy were also reported. It is likely that the administration of the right combination of immunoenhancing supplements or probiotics in the right patient population at the right time might potentially be beneficial; however, further research is required for a better understanding of the biology of MODS [120–123].

6. Concluding Remarks

The intestinal microbiome and mucosal tissues are intertwined by multiple interactions both in health and disease. Under physiological conditions, the splanchnic community—procaryotic and eucaryotic members hand in hand—serves the host via several ways, only one of which is the supply with nutrients. In the critically ill host, however, this advantageous balance is seriously injured, as benign commensals sensing danger rapidly switch to feared pathogens and initiate a coordinated program to invade the succumbed tissues. This way, the intestinal microflora may be regarded as a “shock tissue,” and the microbiome-gut complex as a “shock organ” in a wider sense. Pathogens, as well as bacterial and nonbacterial damaging agents disseminate systemically and contribute to the development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. A brief summary of these events is presented on Figures 1 and 2. Today, 25 years after the first report on the hypothesis of “gut as the motor of multiple organ failure,” the pivotal role of the injured intestine in the development of critical illness is still in the focus of research. Hopefully, a better understanding of the biology of MODS/MOF will lead to the development of more sophisticated therapeutic tools and improved survival of patients.
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