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Abstract: Calmodulins (CAMs) and calmodulin-like proteins (CMLs) can participate in the regulation of various physiological processes via sensing and decoding Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. To reveal the characteristics of the CAM/CML family in *Ginkgo biloba*, a comprehensive analysis was performed at the genome-wide level. A total of 26 CAMs/CMLs, consisting of 5 *GbCAM*s and 21 *GbCML*s, was identified on 11 out of 12 chromosomes in *G. biloba*. They displayed a certain degree of multiplicity in their sequences, albeit with conserved EF hands. Collinearity analysis suggested that tandem rather than segmental or whole-genome duplications were likely to play roles in the evolution of the *Ginkgo CAM/CML* family. Furthermore, *GbCAMs/GbCMLs* were grouped into higher, lower, and moderate expression in magnitude. The cis-acting regulatory elements involved in phytohormone-responsiveness within *GbCAM/GbCML* promotors may explain their varied expression profiles. The ectopic expression of a *GbCML* gene (*Gb_30819*) in transgenic *Arabidopsis* led to phenotypes with significantly shortened root length and seedling height, and decreased yields of both pods and seeds. Moreover, an electrophoresis mobility shift assay demonstrated the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding activity of *Gb_30819* in vitro. Altogether, these results contribute to insights into the characteristics of the evolution and expression of *GbCAMs/GbCMLs*, as well as evidence for Ca\(^{2+}\)-CAM/CML pathways functioning within the ancient gymnosperm *G. biloba*.
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1. Introduction

Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) signals that emerge in the form of transiently enhanced calcium concentration within the cytosol are elicited by certain stimuli, and coupled with peculiar cellular responses to developmental or environmental factors [1,2]. Therefore, calcium has been considered as an important secondary messenger in various signaling pathways [3]. The functions of Ca\(^{2+}\) in a multitude of modulatory aspects generally rely on Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins, designed as sensors, which play key roles in both decoding and transducing Ca\(^{2+}\) signals by activating target proteins within the specific pathways [1,4].

The majority of known Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors have been found to have a conserved EF-hand Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding domain [5]. These EF-hand-containing proteins in plants constitute a superfamily, which are predicted to fulfill the versatile modulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) signals, although their functions have not yet been elucidated in most cases [6]. Calmodulin (CAM) is one of the most well-characterized Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors in both animals and plants [7]. In contrast to CAMs, which are widely found in eukaryotes, calmodulin-like proteins (CMLs) seem to be...
plant-specific [3,8,9]. The CAM/CML family in Arabidopsis thaliana has been extensively elucidated [8,10]. The Arabidopsis genome harbors 7 AtCAM and 50 AtCML genes, among which there appears to be a great of range in their sequence identities. The 7 CAMs are classified into four types of protein isoforms based on sequence difference in 1–4 amino acids: AtCAM1 and AtCAM4 in type one; AtCAM2, AtCAM3, and AtCAM5 in type two; and AtCAM6 and AtCAM7 in types three and four, respectively [10]. The comparison of AtCAMs/AtCMLs showed that sequence identities among the AtCAMs were more than 90%, whereas those between each of the AtCAMs and AtCMLs were less than 50%, indicating that AtCMLs have undergone highly structural divergence and adopted novel functions [7,10]. Structurally, all 7 AtCAMs contain two pairs of EF hands. Comparatively, although a majority of AtCMLs (31 out of 50) possess two pairs of EF hands, there are 17 AtCMLs with one pair of EF hands, and AtCML12 with three pairs of EF hands, in addition to AtCML1 without identifiable EF hands [7,10]. Despite their variation in numbers of EF hands, there exist highly conserved motifs of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding loops within the EF hands of Arabidopsis CAMs/CMLs.

With the completion of genome sequencing in several plant species, the characterization of the CAM/CML gene family at the genome-wide level has been carried out in A. thaliana [8], rice (Oryza sativa) [11], Fragaria vesca [12], tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) [13], in cabbage (Brassica rapa L. ssp. pekinensis) [14], grapevine (Vitis vinifera) [15], and apple (Malus domestica) [16]. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that CAM/CML family members are involved in both plant development and responses to environmental stress [17]. One of the Arabidopsis CAMs (AtCAM7) has been proven to control the photomorphogenesis of seedlings [18], while another member (AtCAM2) functions in pollen germination and, thereafter, pollen tube growth [19]. By mediating Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals, certain CAMs may coordinate with other signaling pathways—for instance, a crosstalk network with abscisic acid, auxin, or brassinosteroids [20–23]. One member of the Arabidopsis CMLs (AtCML42) could be a regulator for microtubule organization, leading to defect morphology of trichomes in the event of its knockout mutant [24]. Similarly, AtCML24 has an effect on pollen tube growth by modulating the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton [25]. Due to the sensitive inducibility of cytosolic free [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] by multiple environmental stresses, it is obvious that the CAM/CML family should be coordinated with responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, such as the roles of AtCML43 and pepper CaCAM1 in resistance to pathogens [26,27], AtCML9 in tolerance to drought and salinity stress [21], AtCAM3 in thermotolerance [28], sweet potato SpCAM in salt-stress-mediated leaf senescence [29], Glycine soja GsCML27 in bicarbonate stress tolerance and negative regulation of salt stress or osmotic stress [30], and rice OsCML16 in drought resistance [31].

Compared to various studies on members of the CAM/CML families from angiosperm lineages, much less investigation has been devoted to those from gymnosperms. With the recent demonstration of calcium playing a role in the early development of Ginkgo biloba L. ovules—i.e., the specific formation of pollen chambers [32]—it is feasible that the calcium signaling mediated by CAMs/CMLs could participate in developmental regulation in such an ancient relict plant. The main objectives of this study were to characterize CAMs/CMLs in G. biloba, together with their spatial and temporal expression profiles, and comparatively assess their conservation and evolutionary relationships with those in Arabidopsis. Moreover, a representative GbCML (Gb_30819) was investigated for its function, through both ectopic expression within the transgenic Arabidopsis and assay of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding activity in vitro. The present study also provides evidence for extensive conservation of calcium signaling throughout the seed-bearing plants.

2. Results
2.1. Identification of CAM/CML Homologs

A total of 26 CAM/CML genes (designated as GbCAMs/GbCMLs) were retrieved from the genome of G. biloba (Table S1). These GbCAMs/GbCMLs consisted of amino acids from 149 (Gb_08148 and Gb_13552) to 286 (Gb_11458), with molecular mass from 16.86 (Gb_13552)
to 32.39 kDa (Gb_11458), and pI from 3.89 (Gb_08148 and Gb_13552) to 5.87 (Gb_22573). As the comparative orthologs, seven *AtCAMs* and 50 *AtCMLs* were identified from the model plant *Arabidopsis*. Correspondingly, they had amino acid numbers from 83 (AT3G03430 and AT5G17480) to 354 (AT5G04170), molecular mass from 9.05 (AT5G17480) to 37.11 kDa (AT5G04170), and pl from 3.61 (AT3G22930) to 7.76 (AT3G10300).

The identified CAM/CML homologs were unevenly distributed in the individual genomes (Table S1, Figure 1). For instance, *GbCAMs/GbCMLs* were mapped among 11 out of 12 chromosomes in the *Ginkgo* genome, except for chromosome no.6 (Figure 1a). Among these chromosomes, No. 4, No. 10, and No. 12 had the highest numbers (four). Each of four *Ginkgo* chromosomes (No. 1, No. 5, No. 8, and No. 11) contained one *GbCAM/GbCML*, whereas both chromosomes no.2 and no.7 had two members, with three *GbCAMs/GbCMLs* on the remaining chromosomes (no.3 and no.9). *AtCAMs/AtCMLs* were distributed with the maximum (20 members) and the minimum (6 members) on *Arabidopsis* chromosomes no.3 and no.4, respectively (Figure 1b), and both chromosomes no.2 and no.5 had 9 *AtCAMs/AtCMLs*, in contrast to chromosome no.1 with 13 members (Figure 1b).

![Figure 1. CAM/CMLs' localization on individual chromosomes: (a) *GbCAMs/GbCMLs*, (b) *AtCAMs/AtCMLs*. Gene density of chromosomes from lower to higher is indicated from blue to red within the bar, respectively.](image-url)

2.2. Phylogenetic and Gene Structural Analysis of CAMs/CMLs

The constructed phylogenetic tree showed that these CAMs/CMLs (totaling 83 members) could be clustered into 10 subgroups, among which the highest numbers were 18 in subgroup I, followed by 12 in subgroup VIII (Figure 2), while subgroups II and X had...
the least members (2 and 3, respectively), all of which were from AtCMLs. As shown in Figure 2, the Ginkgo CAM/CML proteins were grouped into different subgroups rather than a single one. Accordingly, out of 26 GbCAMs/GbCMLs, 7 members were in subgroup IV, 6 in subgroup I, 5 in subgroup VIII, 3 in subgroup III, 2 in subgroups V and IX, and 1 in subgroup VII (Figure 2). The distribution of 57 AtCAMs/AtCMLs was also exhibited in a similar pattern, with varied numbers included in different subgroups. However, all seven AtCAMs (i.e., AT1G66410, AT2G27030, AT2G41110, AT3G43810, AT3G56800, AT5G21274, and AT5G37780) were clustered into subgroup VIII, together with five GbCAMs/GbCMLs (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree constructed with 83 CAMs/CMLs. Ten subgroups are indicated with I–X, respectively. Squares in red, light blue, and light green refer to pairs of EF-hand domains. Arrows in red indicate the 5 GbCAMs (Gb_08148, Gb_13552, Gb_17936, Gb_20553, and Gb_30717) within subgroup VIII. Circles at the individual nodes represent bootstrap support.

The phylogenetic ML tree was constructed based on amino acid sequences (Figure 2); therefore, the sequence identity among these identified CAMs/CMLs was further compared (Table S1). It was found that the sequence identity among all 83 CAMs/CMLs was 18.15%, whereas those among the 50 AtCMLs and 26 GbCAMs/GbCMLs were 18.57% or 23.49% on average, respectively. Comparatively, seven AtCAMs presented significantly higher sequence identity, with a value of 98.85% on average. Furthermore, when compared with a typical CAM (i.e., AtCAM2, with gene ID AT2G41110), five GbCAMs/GbCMLs—including Gb_13552 (93.96%), Gb_08148 (93.29%), Gb_17936 (67.74%), Gb_30717 (65.44%), and Gb_20553 (51.02%)—displayed more than 50% sequence identity (Table S1). Moreover, they were clustered into subgroup VIII together with seven AtCAMs in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2). Therefore, they could be classified as CAMs in G. biloba, i.e., GbCAMs. As a result, the identified
GbCAMs/GbCMLs should be composed of 5 GbCAMs and 21 GbCMLs. The 21 GbCMLs had lower identity, with divergent values ranging from 18.62% (Gb_11458) to 42.08% (Gb_30819), compared with the Arabidopsis CML AtCML5 (AT2G43290, Table S1).

The existence of a certain degree of multiplicity of GbCAMs/GbCMLs was also revealed with respect to the composition of the EF-hand domains. Most of them (17 out of 26) had two pairs of EF hands, with the remaining having at least one pair of EF hands (Figures 2–4). Varying numbers of EF hands were also observed within the 57 AtCAMs/AtCMLs (Figures 2–4). Together with 7 AtCAMs, 31 out of 50 AtCMLs contained two pairs of EF hands, and another 17 AtCMLs contained pair of EF hands, compared to one member (AtCML12, gene ID AT2G41100) with three pairs of EF hands. The sole exception was AtCML1 (AT3G59450), which contained no detectable EF hands (Figures 2–4).

Based on their amino acid sequences, both the first and the second pairs of EF hands from individual Ginkgo and Arabidopsis CAMs/CMLs were aligned (Figures 3 and 4). It was found that the amino acids responsible for Ca$^{2+}$ binding within the loop structure of individual EF hands were highly conserved, such as the 1st (aspartate D), 3rd (D or asparagine N), 5th (D or N), and 12th (glutamate E) amino acids. Additional conservation within the loop structure was represented by two amino acids at the 6th (glycine G) and 8th (isoleucine I) positions, which were predicted to play roles in the loop stability (Figures 3 and 4). However, certain variants of amino acids were present within the loop of the #1 EF hand, such as position 1 of L (leucine) from Gb_05638, Gb_05639, and Gb_34519, or M (methionine) from Gb_28442, instead of D; and position 12 of D within the loops of the #1 EF hand (Gb_05638 and Gb_05639), the #2 EF hand (Gb_13855, Gb_13856, and Gb_22573), and the #3 EF hand (Gb_11457, Gb_11458, and Gb_15095), rather than E (Figures 3 and 4). Similarly, members of the 50 AtCMLs also contained some variations in their EF hands (Figures 3 and 4).

To characterize their gene structural diversity, the exon–intron patterns of the 83 CAMs/CMLs were analyzed (Figure 5a). The results revealed that 60 out of 83 CAMs/CMLs contained a single exon without introns, including the majority (21/26) of the GbCAMs/GbCMLs. These intronless CAMs/CMLs were generally classified into subgroups I to VI, with exception of three genes (AT3G24110, AT4G26470, and AT3G59450) that possessed four exons. In contrast, those CAMs/CMLs with a number of exons > 1 were composed of 2–6 exons per gene, and distributed into subgroups VII to X, respectively. Two AtCAMs (AT4G37010 and AT3G50360) had the maximum number of six exons. Generally, the CAM/CML gene structures of a specific clade were similar to one another (Figure 5a). This similarity was also demonstrated by MEME analysis (Figure 5b). Among 10 of the conserved motifs of CAM/CML proteins, the motif combinations—i.e., motif01 + motif02/07 + motif01—representing individual EF hands appeared in most of the clades (Figure 5b).
Figure 3. Sequence alignment of the first pair of EF-hand domains among the 83 CAM/CMLs identified. The two EF hands are marked with #1 and #2 EF hands. The absence of EF hands is represented with dots. Blue arrowheads indicate the amino acids responsible for Ca$^{2+}$ binding within the loop structure. Green arrows indicate amino acids responsible for loop stability. Letters with different background are the conserved amino acids between these sequences.
Figure 4. Sequence alignment of the second pair of EF-hand domains among the 83 CAM/CMLs identified. The two EF hands are marked with #3 and #4 EF hands. Symbols refer to the descriptions in Figure 3. Letters with different background are the conserved amino acids between these sequences.
Figure 4. Sequence alignment of the second pair of EF-hand domains among the 83 CAM/CMLs identified. The two EF hands are marked with #3 and #4 EF hands. Symbols refer to the descriptions in Figure 3. Letters with different background are the conserved amino acids between these sequences.

Figure 5. (a) Exon–intron and (b) MEME patterns of 83 CAMs/CMLs.

2.3. Collinearity Analysis of CAMs/CMLs

Using the software MCScanX, a total of 12 CAM/CML gene pairs with collinearity relationships were identified, all of which were composed of the intraspecies pairs from *Arabidopsis* (Figure 6a), without any intraspecies pairs from *Ginkgo* (Figure 6b) or interspecies pairs across *Arabidopsis* and *Ginkgo* (Figure 6c), indicating no synteny relationships among the *GbCAMs/GbCMLs*, or between the *GbCAMs/GbCMLs* and *AtCAMs/AtCMLs*. Subsequently, the tandem duplicated genes were screened against each genome of *Arabidopsis* and *Ginkgo*. As a result, three gene pairs ascribed to tandem repeats within 26 *GbCAMs/GbCMLs* (i.e., Gb_05638-Gb_05639, Gb_13855-Gb_13856, and Gb_11457-Gb_11458) and 57 *AtCAMs/AtCMLs* (i.e., AT1G76640-AT1G76650, AT2G41100-AT2G41110, and AT3G59440-AT3G59450) were identified (Figure 6a,b).
GbCAMs/GbCMLs. Pattern III consisted of the remaining 12 CAMs/CMLs that were differentially expressed (at the four developmental stages. A gene from pattern I (Gb_30819) was significantly higher expression levels (pattern I), in contrast to 9 (i.e., GbCAMs/GbCMLs) presenting with extremely low or no detectable expression at the transcriptional level (pattern II). Pattern III consisted of the remaining 12 GbCAMs/GbCMLs, including Gb_05638, Gb_09202, Gb_13855, Gb_13856, Gb_15095, Gb_16484, Gb_17936, Gb_20553, Gb_22573, Gb_28442, and Gb_30717, whose expression levels were between those of patterns I and II.

With regard to the tissue-specific expression of the 26 GbCAMs/GbCMLs, it was found that all of them were constitutively expressed in the vegetative tissues tested, albeit with differential expression levels (no significance for each gene, p > 0.05) between the roots, stems, and leaves. In the tissues of roots and leaves, the gene Gb_03898 showed maximum relative expression of 12.38 and 10.51, respectively, while in stems, Gb_35180 presented the highest value, at 10.98. On the other hand, throughout the four developmental stages of the ovules, expression levels of five GbCMLs from pattern II (i.e., Gb_09531, Gb_30178, Gb_03898, Gb_15581, Gb_35180, Gb_05639, Gb_09202, Gb_13855, Gb_13856, Gb_15095, Gb_16484, Gb_17936, Gb_20553, Gb_22573, Gb_28442, and Gb_30717) were not detectable (Figure 7). Within ovules, the GbCAMs/GbCMLs from patterns I and III showed apparent differences in expression levels at the four developmental stages. A gene from pattern I (Gb_30819) was significantly differentially expressed (p < 0.05) in a trend of gradual elevation from stages I to III, then decreased at stage IV (Figure 7). Moreover, the expression levels of Gb_30819 appeared
higher than those of other GbCMLs at the four developmental stages of early ovules, with peak expression of 43.02 at stage III. Interestingly, five GbCAMs (i.e., Gb_08148, Gb_13552, Gb_17936, Gb_20553, and Gb_30717), together with seven AtCAMs within subgroup VIII of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2), had constitutive expression patterns in both vegetative tissues and ovules of G. biloba (Figure 7). It was notable that each of six paralogous gene pairs (Figure 2)—including Gb_03898-Gb_05531, Gb_05638-Gb_05639, Gb_08148-Gb_13552, Gb_13855-Gb_13856, Gb_15575-Gb_15581, and Gb_17936-Gb_20553—displayed differential expression, with higher magnitude in one than in its paralogous gene (Figure 7).

2.5. Analysis of Cis-Acting Regulatory Elements in GbCAM/GbCML Promoters

Due to apparent divergence in the expression levels of GbCAMs/GbCMLs in various tissues and ovule developmental stages, the promotors of individual GbCAMs/GbCMLs were predicted for cis-acting regulatory elements. The results demonstrated two main classifications of regulatory elements with varied counts in the 26 GbCAM/GbCML promotors: one was involved in phytohormone-responsiveness, including abscisic acid, ethylene, gibberellin, MeJA, and salicylic acid; the other was associated with the responsiveness to environmental factors—such as light, defense, or stress—and transcription factors such as Myb or Myc binding (Figure 8). Two of these cis-acting regulatory elements—i.e., Myb or Myc binding, and light responsiveness—were mapped within all 26 GbCAM/GbCML promotors, followed by abscisic acid responsiveness (22 promotors). The minimal mapping element was that of defense or stress responsiveness, found within nine promotors. The promotor of Gb_30819 contained seven types of the predicted cis-acting regulatory elements, with the exception of gibberellin responsiveness, whereas those of other GbCAMs/GbCMLs lacked several elements, varying from two to four (Figure 8).
eight (0.58 cm on average), compared to WT plants.

Within the transgenic plants, containing 11.18 pods per plant and 26.78 seeds per pod, in contrast to 16.81 pods per plant and 37.68 seeds per pod within WTs (Figure 9d,e). As shown in Figure 9b,c, the transgenic seedlings saw a significant shortening in root length (i.e., 0.75 cm on average) and height (0.58 cm on average), compared to WT plants, with root length of 3.29 cm on average and height of 1.05 cm on average. When these plants were grown into the fruiting period, it was observed that the yields of both pods and seeds were significantly decreased within the transgenic plants, containing 11.18 pods per plant and 26.78 seeds per pod, in contrast to 16.81 pods per plant and 37.68 seeds per pod within WTs (Figure 9d,e).

2.6. Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants Overexpressing Gb_30819

Based on the expression patterns of individual GbCAMs/GbCMLs, Gb_30819 was selected for further investigation of its functions in plant growth and development. Following the gene cloning and construction of the expression vectors pCAMBIA1301a-Gb_30819, the target gene was transformed into the wild-type Arabidopsis (WT). The T3 generation of transgenic plants with homozygous Gb_30819 was examined for ectopic expression using qRT-PCR. It was found that, driven by the CaMV35S promoter, the relative transcriptional magnitude of Gb_30819 within four lines (A1, A2, D1, and D2) of transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings varied from 0.27 (line D1) to 1.63 (line A1) (Figure 9a). Thereafter, due to the overexpression of Gb_30819, the transgenic Arabidopsis line A1 was prepared for analysis of plant phenotypes.

The Gb_30819 transgenic plants (T3 generation) exhibited several aspects of variant phenotypes at both the seedling and fruiting periods (Figure 9b–e). As shown in Figure 9b,c, the transgenic seedlings saw a significant shortening in root length (i.e., 0.75 cm on average) and height (0.58 cm on average), compared to WT plants, with root length of 3.29 cm on average and height of 1.05 cm on average. When these plants were grown into the fruiting period, it was observed that the yields of both pods and seeds were significantly decreased within the transgenic plants, containing 11.18 pods per plant and 26.78 seeds per pod, in contrast to 16.81 pods per plant and 37.68 seeds per pod within WTs (Figure 9d,e).

2.7. In Vitro Ca$^{2+}$-Binding Activity of Gb_30819 Determined by Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay

After purification through glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads with affinity for GST-tagged proteins, the fusion protein GST-Gb_30819 was assayed for its Ca$^{2+}$-binding activity in vitro. The predicted molecular weight of GST-Gb_30819 was approximately 51.2 kDa, composed of a 25.0 kDa GST and a 26.2 kDa Gb_30819. When the fusion protein GST-Gb_30819 was run in SDS–PAGE, a band corresponding to its molecular weight (51.2 kDa) was detected (Figure 10, lane 1). Comparatively, the fusion protein GST-Gb_30819 in a status of Ca$^{2+}$ binding showed a shift in its electrophoretic mobility, with a band of faster movement (Figure 10, lane 3) than those from GST-Gb_30819 alone (Figure 10, lane 1) or the application of EDTA to GST-Gb_30819 (Figure 10, lane 2).
Figure 9. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing Gb_30819: (a) Relative transcriptional magnitude of Gb_30819 within four lines of transgenic seedlings (Lines A1, A2, D1, and D2); Wild type indicates wild-type Arabidopsis seedlings. (b) Phenotypes and (e) statistical plotting of root length and seedling height in transgenic (T3) and wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis; white arrowheads indicate root tips. (d) Phenotypes and (e) statistical plotting of pod and seed numbers in transgenic (T3) and wild-type (WT) Arabidopsis in the fruiting periods; white arrows indicate pods. * \( p < 0.05 \) and ** \( p < 0.01 \), Student’s \( t \)-test, compared with Line D1 in panel (a) and WT in panels (c,e).

|       | M  | 1  | 2  | 3  |
|-------|----|----|----|----|
| EDTA  | -  | +  | -  | -  |
| Ca\(^{2+}\) | -  | -  | +  | -  |
| Gb_30819 | +  | +  | +  | -  |

Figure 10. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay in SDS–PAGE. Line M, molecular mass markers of protein; Line 1, fusion protein Gb_30819; Line 2, fusion protein Gb_30819 + EDTA; Line 3, fusion protein Gb_30819 + Ca\(^{2+}\). Symbols + and – refer to with and without the corresponding components in each reaction system, respectively.
3. Discussion

CAMs/CMLs play essential roles in modulating a variety of developmental processes and stress responses, by mediating Ca$^{2+}$ signatures [1,3,4]. Although CAMs are widely distributed in animals and plants, their homologous proteins (CMLs) are specifically presented in plants [3,8,9]. In this study, a total of 83 candidate CAMs/CMLs was identified, all of which were validated with only one type of conserved domain, i.e., EF hand (Figures 2–4). Among these identified CAMs/CMLs, the numbers of EF hands within 7 AtCAMs and 50 AtCMLs in Arabidopsis were consistent with those in previous reports [8,10]. One of the Arabidopsis AtCMLs (AtCML1, with gene ID AT3G59450) had no identifiable EF hand (Figures 3 and 4). However, it was retained in this study for taking into consideration consistency with previous research on Arabidopsis CAMs/CMLs [10].

The identified GbCAMs/GbCMLs in G. biloba displayed multiplicity at several layers. Firstly, the comparison of amino acid sequences between individual GbCAMs and AtCAM2 (AT2G41110, Table S1), showed all five GbCAMs with sequence identities > 50%, including Gb_13552 (93.96%), Gb_08148 (93.29%), Gb_17936 (67.74%), Gb_30717 (65.44%), and Gb_20553 (51.02%), which could be classified as CAM proteins based on other studies [8]. Meanwhile, the 21 GbCMLs were varied, with sequence identities from 18.62% (Gb_11458) to 42.08% (Gb_30819), compared with AtCML5 (AT2G43290). Secondly, 17 of the GbCAMs/GbCMLs harbored two pairs of EF hands, while another 9 GbCAMs/GbCMLs had one pair of EF hands (Figures 2–4). Finally, although the strong conservation of amino acids within the Ca$^{2+}$-binding loops was observed from the alignment of the EF-hand domains among 26 GbCAMs/GbCMLs, 7 AtCAMs, and 50 AtCMLs, some variants of amino acids occurred at key positions in the loops (Figures 3 and 4). Variations of GbCAMs/GbCMLs—in terms of their composition of EF hands and the conserved amino acids for Ca$^{2+}$-chelating in the loops—were likely to result in distinct Ca$^{2+}$-binding activities [7,9].

As shown in Figures 1 and 6, members of the CAM/CML gene family were characterized by uneven chromosomal localization. Maximal numbers of 20 and 4 were localized on the chromosomes of Arabidopsis and Ginkgo, respectively. Accumulated evidence suggests that CAM/CML families in various species are composed of a multitude of members—for instance, 5 CAMs and 32 CMLs in rice [11], 4 CAMs and 36 CMLs in F. vesca [12], 52 CMLs in S. lycopersicum [13], 79 CMLs in B. rapa [14], 3 CAMs and 62 CMLs in V. vinifera [15], and 4 CAMs and 58 CMLs in M. domestica [16]. The formation of multigene families has been associated with gene duplications, which are likely driven by tandem, segmental duplication or whole-genome duplication, as well as transposition duplication [33]. According to the comparative characterization of CAM/CML evolution in the green lineage, Zhu et al. proposed that expansion of the CAM/CML family in plants was associated with efficient processing of environmental signals and promotion of adaptation to land environments [17]. To unravel the mechanisms of duplication of the CAM/CML family of both Arabidopsis and Ginkgo, collinearity relationships among these members were investigated. Collinearity analysis showed that no synteny relationships were present among the GbCAMs/GbCMLs, or between the GbCAMs/GbCMLs and AtCAMs/AtCMLs (Figure 6b,c), suggesting that segmental or whole-genome duplications might not have contributed to the expansion of the CAM/CML gene family in G. biloba. On the other hand, 12 gene pairs with collinearity relationships were demonstrated among the AtCAMs/AtCMLs (Figure 6a). When analyzing tandem duplication, three tandem-duplicated gene pairs were found from Ginkgo and Arabidopsis CAM/CML members (Figure 6a,b). Therefore, it was inferred that tandem duplications were likely to play roles in the evolution of the Ginkgo CAM/CML family, in contrast to both tandem and segmental or whole-genome duplications in that of Arabidopsis.

Within the constructed phylogenetic tree (Figure 2), the identified CAMs/CMLs (totaling 83 members) were dispersed into 10 subgroups, among which 57 AtCAMs/AtCMLs were similarly clustered with the previous tree constructed using these AtCAMs/AtCMLs alone [10]. The results indicated that the degrees of sequence identity were consistent with their phylogenetic relationships (Table S1). The phylogenetic relationships of 83 CAMs/CMLs
were also coordinated with their exon–intron and MEME patterns (Figure 5). It is noteworthy that five GbCAMs were clustered into one subgroup (VIII), together with seven AtCAMs (Figure 2), supporting their close phylogenetic relationship and high sequence identity. Moreover, these GbCAMs (i.e., Gb_08148, Gb_13552, Gb_13836, Gb_20533, and Gb_30717) were constitutively expressed throughout the vegetative tissues and ovules at the early developmental stages (Figure 7). The property of constitutive expression has also been reported for seven AtCAMs [10]. The results suggest that CAMs might function as housekeeping genes involved in various physiological processes.

The identified GbCMLs (21 members) were clustered into subgroups I (6 GbCMLs), III (3), IV (7), V (2), VII (1), and IX (2) (Figure 2). As a result, six paralogous gene pairs—including Gb_03898-Gb_09531, Gb_05638-Gb_05639, Gb_08148-Gb_13552, Gb_13836-Gb_13856, Gb_13575-Gb_13581, and Gb_17936-Gb_20533—were observed (Figure 2). In many cases, the paralogous gene pair presented higher expression levels in one gene than the other (Figure 7). Based on their relative expression levels, 26 GbCAMs/GbCMLs were grouped into three types of patterns: (I) higher, (II) lower, and (III) moderate. One explanation for the varied expression profiles of GbCAMs/GbCMLs is the specific cis-acting regulatory elements in their promoters. Analysis of the promoters of GbCAMs/GbCMLs (Figure 8) revealed that modulation by phytohormones—such as abscisic acid, ethylene, gibberellin, MeJA, and salicylic acid—was likely to account for the temporal and spatial expression of GbCAMs/GbCML genes. In addition, other elements associated with the responsiveness to light, defense, or stress, or to transcription factors such as Myb or Myc binding, may be responsible for the expression features of GbCAMs/GbCMLs exposed to diverse environmental factors, which needs to be experimentally demonstrated in future.

Based on the expression profiles of 26 GbCAMs/GbCMLs (Figure 7), a GbCML gene (Gb_30819) showed a distinct pattern with significantly differential expression (p < 0.05) between the developmental stages of ovules, in addition to its higher expression levels than those of other GbCMLs. Additionally, the promoter of Gb_30819 contained multiple cis-acting regulatory elements involved in phytohormone-responsiveness, such as abscisic acid, ethylene, MeJA, and salicylic acid (Figure 8). Therefore, the Gb_30819 gene was chosen for the analysis of its functions. The ectopic expression of the gene Gb_30819 in transgenic Arabidopsis conferred a dwarf phenotype to their seedlings, characterized by significantly shortened root length and seedling height compared to those of wild-type plants (Figure 9b,c). Furthermore, another divergent phenotype with significantly decreased yields of both pods and seeds was observed in the flowering period of the Gb_30819 transgenic plants (Figure 9d,e). Investigation of the functions of other CAM/CML genes via ectopic expression has also been reported. For instance, transgenic plants overexpressing AtCAM7 displayed positive effects on photomorphogenic growth [18], while AtCML43 and pepper CaCAM1 overexpressed in transgenic plants could promote pathogen resistance [26,27]. An Arabidopsis CML—AT2G43290 (AtCML5) [10]—is the closest homolog for Gb_30819, with an amino acid sequence identity of 42.08% (Table S1). Previous research has revealed that the AtCML5 gene is varied in its expression levels throughout the full developmental stages of Arabidopsis, with the maximal amount at the late flowering stage [10]. Analogously, Gb_30819 was a transcriptionally active gene with higher expression (Figure 7). Although it was constitutively expressed in both vegetative tissues and ovules in G. biloba, Gb_30819 appeared to show temporal expression with differential levels among the four developmental stages of ovules, with the peak value at stage III (Figure 7). Moreover, the ability of Gb_30819 to interact with Ca^{2+}—as demonstrated from its strong conservation of amino acids within the Ca^{2+}-binding loops of EF-hand domains (Figures 3 and 4) and the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (Figure 10)—suggested that the phenotype in Gb_30819 transgenic plants might be concerned with the modulation of Ca^{2+} signaling. The research by Ruge et al. has proven that AtCML5, functioning as a cytosol Ca^{2+} sensor, could play another role in transporting vesicles between various endomembrane structures [34]. Although it showed relatively closer homology to AtCML5 than other GbCMLs did, the CML protein Gb_30819 in G. biloba showed a great sequence
divergence, with 42.08% identity between them. Thus, further investigations are needed to unravel the functional mechanisms of Gb_30819.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. CAM/CML Gene Identification

The genome data were downloaded from the databases Ensembl Genomes (http://ensemblegenomes.org (accessed on 4 October 2020)) for A. thaliana and GigaDB (http://gigadb.org/dataset (accessed on 10 September 2020)) for G. biloba. To identify CAM/CML gene family members, the specific hidden Markov model (HMM)—i.e., EF-hand_7.hmm (PF13499)—was used as a query to retrieve the individual data using the program BLASTP (E-value < 1 × 10^{-5}). The primary sequences were subsequently validated by removing those EF-hand-domain-containing proteins with other functional HMM motifs, while the remaining sequences containing only EF-hand domains were reserved as the potential CAM/CML members [35].

4.2. Phylogenetic Tree Construction

The amino acid sequences were aligned using the program MUSCLE [36]. The files from the multiple sequence alignment were input to the program FastTree with a model of JJT and a bootstrap value of 1000 to construct a maximum likelihood (ML) tree [37]. Visualization of the constructed phylogenetic tree was carried out via the web server iTOL (https://itol.embl.de (accessed on 12 December 2021)).

4.3. Molecular Features, Gene Structures, and Conserved Motifs of CAM/CML Proteins

The molecular features—including amino acid length of peptides, protein weight, and isoelectric point (pI)—were obtained by online searching via the tools of ExPASy ProtParam (https://web.expasy.org/protparam, accessed on 20 October 2021). After retrieving the information of genes’ structure against their genomic data, the intron–exon patterns of each CAM/CML gene were displayed through the GSDS server (http://gsds.gao-lab.org, accessed on 16 October 2021). To exhibit the degree of conservation, MEME analysis of the identified CAM/CML proteins was performed under the setting of 10 motifs and other default parameters (https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme (accessed on 17 October 2021)).

4.4. Chromosomal Distributions and Collinearity Relationships of CAM/CML Genes

Chromosomal distributions of individual CAM/CML genes were based on their genomic annotation files. Intraspecies or interspecies collinearity relationships between CAM/CMLs were searched using MCScanX [38]. The methods of data preparation and execution of blasting were as described previously [39].

4.5. Expression Analysis of GbCAMs/GbCMLs in G. biloba

For qRT-PCR (quantitative real-time PCR) analysis of GbCAM/GbCML expression in the vegetative tissues (i.e., roots, stems, and leaves), four-year-old seedlings of G. biloba were collected to provide corresponding samples. The ovules were sampled from trees of >20-year-old female Ginkgo. To quantify the expression levels of GbCAMs/GbCMLs in ovules, sampling of ovules at four developmental stages was carried out according to the previous definition for specific stages of early ovules in G. biloba by Li et al. [32,40]. In brief, after the RNA extraction (by the RNAprep Pure Plant Kit, Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China) and cDNA synthesis (by the Quantscript RT Kit, Tiangen Biotech), PCR was performed following the procedure of the SYBR Green qRT-PCR Kit (Tiangen Biotech), with corresponding primer pairs for GbCAMs/GbCMLs (Table S2). The GAPDH gene was used as an internal reference to calculate relative expression levels, using the 2^{ΔΔCt} method [41]. Three parallel assays were carried out for quantitative analysis of the expression of each GbCAM/GbCML.
4.6. Analysis of Regulatory Elements in GbCAM/GbCML Promoters

Promoters were considered as 2 kb sequences in length and compiled from upstream of each GbCAM/GbCML gene within the Ginkgo genome data. The files of promotor sequences, in FATSA format, were uploaded onto the web server PlantCARE for online prediction (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare, accessed on 2 March 2021).

4.7. Construction of the Eukaryotic Expression Vector pCAMBIA1301a-Gb_30819 and Arabidopsis Transformation

Full-length cDNA of Gb_30819 was first cloned into pMD18-T with the specific primer pairs containing the recognized sites for double-cutting by the restriction endonucleases of BsmHI and HindIII (Table S2). Subsequently, both vectors—pMD18-T- Gb_30819 and pCAMBIA1301a—were cut with BsmHI and HindIII, followed by recovery and purification of the target fragments. Finally, the purified fragments of Gb_30819 and pCAMBIA1301a were constructed into the eukaryotic expression vector pCAMBIA1301a-Gb_30819 using T4 DNA ligase. Within pCAMBIA1301a-Gb_30819, the transcriptional expression of Gb_30819 was under the control of the constitutive promoter of CaMV35S.

Wild-type Arabidopsis plants (Col-0) were transformed by Agrobacterium tumefaciens (GV3101)/pCAMBIA1301a-Gb_30819, using the floral dip method. After kanamycin-resistance selection and PCR validation, the positive Gb_30819 transgenic plants were propagated via selfing to generate homozygous lines at the third filial generation (T3). For phenotype observation in plants, seeds of wild-type or transgenic Arabidopsis were grown on MS plates or in pots, respectively. Two-week-old seedlings were measured for their root length and height. Fruiting plants were counted for yields of pods and seeds from the eighth week to the natural end of growth.

4.8. Bacterial Expression of the Fusion Protein Gb_30819, and Protein Mobility Shift Electrophoresis Assay

The Gb_30819 cDNA was cloned into the vector pGEX4T1, producing the bacterial expression vector pGEX-Gb_30819, which was subsequently introduced into E. coli BL21. E. coli BL21/pGEX-Gb_30819 was grown in LB liquid medium containing ampicillin for the selection of positive clones. The vectors extracted from the positive clones were further validated via PCR using the Gb_30819-specific primers. Expression of the fusion protein Gb_30819 with a tag of glutathione s-transferase (GST) was induced by isopropyl thiogalactopyranoside. Then, the bacterial cell lysate was obtained by sonication at 4 °C, with its supernatant containing the soluble Gb_30819 protein. The fusion protein Gb_30819 was purified with beads of glutathione–Sepharose 4B according to the manufacturer’s instructions (General Electric Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). To test the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding activity of the protein in vitro, a protein mobility shift electrophoresis assay was performed [42]. For assay of the fusion protein Gb_30819, three sets of parallel systems were prepared: (1) 3 µg of the purified Gb_30819; (2) an incubated mixture with the purified Gb_30819 and 2 mM EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid); and (3) another with the purified Gb_30819 and 2 mM CaCl\(_2\). Electrophoresis was run in a 15% gel of SDS–PAGE.

4.9. Statistical Analysis of Data

Statistical data processing was carried out via Student’s t-test (two-tailed test). Statistically significant differences were considered at \(p < 0.05\) or \(p < 0.01\). Statistical data in figures were marked as mean values ± standard deviation (\(n = 4\) or 5).

5. Conclusions

Compared with those from A. thaliana, 5 GbCAMs and 21 GbCMLs from G. biloba displayed a certain degree of multiplicity in both their sequences and expression profiles, although they possessed highly conserved EF-hand domains, inferring that they could have undergone evolutionary diversification of functions. Collinearity analysis suggested that tandem rather than segmental or whole-genome duplications were likely to play
roles in the evolution of the Ginkgo CAM/CML family. Furthermore, a potential role of the gene *Gb_30819* in developmental modulation was determined from the causative influence of its ectopic expression on the seedling and fruit development of transgenic *Arabidopsis*. Altogether, the present research contributes to insights into the characteristics of the evolution and expression of *GbCAMs/GbCMLs*, as well as evidence for Ca$^{2+}$-CAM/CML pathways functioning within the ancient gymnosperm *G. biloba*.
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