MULTIRESOLUTION ANALYSIS IN THE VISIBLE SPECTRUM OF LANDSAT-TM IMAGES THROUGH WAVELET TRANSFORM
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ABSTRACT:
Multispectral satellite images are tools that allow the analysis of phenomena developed on the Earth's surface without being in contact. It is a raster model so it is possible to decompose it into a digital signal. There is a certain data that presents alterations (noise) due to errors caused by the sensors, atmospheric conditions, among others. Such examples affect its use and its derived products. Satellite images by their nature present difficulty in their processing and handling due to the considerable weight they have; whose problem justified the present work. The objective is to minimize white noise and to compress the image with the least possible loss of information through the Multiresolution Analysis (MRA) technique and Wavelet transformation. The images worked belong to the National Recreation Area "El Boliche" (Ecuador) that is next to the Cotopaxi volcano. Through a standard deviation evaluation of the obtained wavelet coefficients, the order of the "Discrete Wavelet Transform" (DWT) was established in the Daubechies (db) and Haar families. With db3 level 4, obtained a compression of 11.268% in respect to the original weight and with Haar level 4 11.288% as the best results. The wavelet db is more effective than the Haar type for the treatment of multispectral satellite images in the elimination of white noise and compression by means of the MRA, with a reconstruction of the signal without loss of information due to the type of wavelet used, which is evidenced in the image.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Satellite technologies depend on using electromagnetic energy and its products such as satellite images or Global Positioning Systems depend on the wavelengths which are not equally effective for all remote sensing applications (González, 2014; Eastman, 2001; Tierra, 2016; Haidu, 2016). The images are captured by sensors; however, often present some type of distortion or redundancy in the data known. This distortion is known as noise which is stochastic variations that "contaminate an image" (Villegas, Puetamán & Salazar, 2007). Noise is produced by factors such as: atmospheric effects (selective and non-selective dispersion) and by the blur of the sensor, which limits its use (Fournier et al., 1997; Ergen, 2012). According to Miano (1999), and Chambolle et al., (1998) elimination of noise, as well as the compression of images are required to digital signals because problems related to information use and processing.

The wavelet is a technique used in the last decades for noise elimination and images compression. Wavelet has been used in different fields such as in medicine (Dalmiya et al., 2012; Weaver et al., 1991; Paz, 2001), in geology (Mohan & Poobal, 2017), natural
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sciences (Rathinasamy et al., 2017; Bachour et al., 2016) and especially with remote sensors (Pipitone et al., 2018; Ansari & Buddhhiraju, 2016; Dheepa & Sukumaran, 2014), among others.

The wavelet technique analyzes image’s content in each pixel decomposing the original signal into different scales with different levels of resolution (Eregen, 2012). Each level of resolution carries required information to reconstruct the original signal to the next level (Ballesteros, Renza & Rincon, 2015). In order to use the Multiresolution Analysis (MRA) we studied mathematical models as a function of signals behavior to reduce non-essential data from the original signal. The wavelet transform allows images processing of with reduced white noise and compression of information (Chambolle et al., 2015).

The objective of this study was to eliminate the white noise and compress a Landsat TM satellite image through the MRA technique and wavelet transform with a comparison between Haar and Daubechies (db) as an alternative for the use of images noise free and storage facility.

2. METHODS

2.1 Landsat TM multispectral images

Landsat TM is a multispectral scanning sensor created to obtain higher image resolution, its data are sensed in seven spectral bands (Table 1). When these bands are combined, they produce a range of tonalities and interpretations which greatly increase their applications. Depending on the satellite and the sensor, panchromatic and thermal multispectral channels can be included (NASA, 2017).

| Characteristics of the Landsat TM sensor |
|------------------------------------------|
| TM Technical Specifications              |
| Spatial Resolution                       | 30 meters                       |
| Spectral Range                           | 0.45 – 12.5 µm                  |
| Number of Bands                          | 7                               |
| Temporal Resolution                      | 16 days                         |
| Image Size                               | 185 km x 172 km                 |

2.2 Noise

It is a defect of unwanted information due to data stochasticity which contaminates or degrades its use (Márquez, 2012). In the specific case of images, generally it represents the isolated pixels that take values other than the "real" ones. There are several types of noise in the treatment of signals such as: Gaussian or white that has reserved to the amplitude distribution with a function of normal probabilistic density, Brownian that has a maximum autocorrelation with increasing frequency and Flicker that decreases each time which doubles the frequency (Márquez, 2012). A recorded signal with corrupted noise can be represented as:

\[ g(x, y) = f(x, y) + n(x, y) \]  

(1)

Where \( g(x, y) \) is the result of the original image distortion \( f(x, y) \) by additive Gaussian noise \( n(x, y) \).
The impulsive or Brownian is generated by digital (or even analog) transmission. It can be modeled as:

\[ g(x, y) = (1 - p) * f(x, y) + p * i(x, y) \]  

(2)

Where \( i(x,y) \) is the impulsive noise and \( p \) belongs to \( \{0, 1\} \). The multiplicative or Flicker presents a granular appearance in the radar and ultrasound images. It is represented as:

\[ g(x, y) = f(x, y) * m(x, y) \]  

(3)

Where \( m(x, y) \) is the multiplicative noise.

The present study focuses on the Gaussian noise which is usually produced by the sensor electronic components (Ballesteros, Renza & Rincon, 2015). The energy spectrum is constant for all frequencies, affects the entire image and the intensity of all pixels is altered and discontinuous (Villegas, Puetamán & Salazar, 2007). The final value of the pixel is the real value plus a certain amount of error. This can be described as a Gaussian variable following a normal distribution (Acevedo, 2011).

### 2.3 Wavelet transform

A Wavelet is a small wave whose energy is concentrated in time, its characteristic waveform is oscillating with a rapid attenuation that allows it to make analysis in time and frequency (Nieto & Orozco, 2008; Ballesteros, Renza & Rincon, 2015). It is based on the representation of a function in terms of a biparametric family of dilations and translations of a fixed function \( \psi \), the mother wavelet is not sinusoidal, and it is represented as:

\[ W_T(f(x)) = f(x) * \Psi(x) = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)\Psi\left(\frac{x-t}{a}\right)dt \]  

(4)

Where "a" is the scale factor (dilation), "t" is the time (translation) and "x" is the position. The function \( \psi \) is called "mother wavelet"; first, wavelet because it is of an oscillating nature and of finite duration (compact support) and it is called mother for serving as the basis for the generation of the remaining window functions (Ballesteros, Renza & Rincon, 2015).

### 2.4 Discrete wavelet transform in 2D

The Multiresolution Analysis (MRA) method was used to calculate scaling coefficients of the wavelet transform. The data analyzed in the DWT are discrete and not stationary, therefore a methodology that manages to discretize the signals at specific levels is required. Mallat in 1988 proposed an algorithm based on sequence filters to obtain the wavelet transform instantaneously, which was called Mallat tree or decomposition wavelet tree (Mallat, 1989).

The MRA analyzes the content of images at different scales (resolutions) and at each level of resolution. MRA approach and detail signals carry all the information required to reconstruct the signal at the next level (Cadena & Cadena, 2016; Mallat, 1989). The wavelet coefficients calculation to reconstruction the signal should be performed quickly (Ballesteros, Renza & Rincon, 2015). High-pass and low-pass filters, which change the signal resolution, are used with high and low frequency components (Villegas, Puetamán & Salazar, 2007). The scale is changed through upsampling and downsampling (Daubechies,
The MRA main feature is the ability to separate a signal into many components at different scales (resolutions) (Daubechies, 1992). The Haar system is not very appropriate to approximate soft functions, in fact any approximation of Haar is a discontinuous function (Villegas, Puetamán & Salazar, 2007).

The **Fig.1** shows how the DWT in each step (low and high) divides the image and continues to the next level with a new step and subdivides the signal to meet the level of discretization, which is assigned to the transformation. The result is to obtain the wavelet and scale coefficients in this case it is a level 3 discretization:

![Wavelet Decomposition Diagram](image)

**Fig. 1.** Decomposition Wavelet tree of images.

Where \(d_j\) are wavelet coefficients, \(c_j\) are scaling coefficients that together reconstruct the original signal passing through the high pass filters \(G(z)\) and low pass \(H(z)\) (Pérez et al., 2002). The increase in number of samples (\(\uparrow 2\)) is called upsampling and the down-sampling (\(\downarrow 2\)) removes samples of the signal, thus reducing the sample rate (Kingsbury, 2001; González, 2014; Mancero et al., 2017). The letters HH, HL, LH, LL, represent the filters high – high, high – low, low – high and low – low respectively.

For the selection of a wavelet type, following properties must be considered (Fournier et al., 1997):

| Properties that must be considered for the selection of the wavelet |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Properties**                                               |
| Compact support     | Filters must be FIR (finite impulse response), which are a type of digital filters whose response to a pulse input signal will have a finite number of non-zero terms. |
| Rational coefficients | It avoids floating-point operations                               |
| Smoothness         | If the wavelet is not smooth, the error will be easy to detect visually |
| Length of filters  | Preferably short filters. It is related to softness.            |
The filters that meet the condition are known as Quadrature Mirror Filters (QMF) (González, 2014; Gómez et al., 2013). In multispectral images, the correlations between the bands are considerable, so this technique seeks to reduce spectral, spatial correlation and allow high compression radius (Ballesteros, Renza & Rincon, 2015). One step produces 4 subpictures or subbands, one is the approximation of the image, and the other 3 capture the vertical, horizontal and diagonal details of the image (Acevedo, 2011). Figure 2 shows the larger sub-bands V1, H1 and D1 which capture the vertical, horizontal and diagonal details on the finer scale, these types of filters are known as Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt filters respectively (Shrivakshan & Chandrasekar, 2012). The sub-bands V2, H2 and D2 belong to the second fine scale. An approximation of the reconstructed image is the LL band in the upper left corner (Acevedo, 2011).

3. METHODOLOGY

The Landsat TM image of the National Recreation Area "El Boliche" - Ecuador was obtained from the official USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) website free of charge. The bands of the visible spectrum red, green and blue (RGB). Bands were separated and analyzed each one using the data and graphs obtained. Up to this moment, Haar approach is widely used in treatment of images as shown in the works of Talukder & Harada (2007), Porwik & Lisowska (2004), Raviraj & Sanavullah (2007) and Lai & Kuo (2000). In addition, the compression of information is lossy, while with another type of wavelet as db is lossless. For this reason, we decided to compare the results between the two approaches. Throughout an exploratory study of wavelet coefficients standard deviation of the image filtering which were obtained in the MATLAB wavelet toolbox package. Subsequently, the order of the wavelet and its level of discretization were determined according to the variation standard deviation in each level (Table 3). The optimal discretization level was
order 4 but 2, 3, 5 and 6 orders were worked with discretization levels 2, 3 and 4 for a better appreciation of results.

**Table 3.**

| Wavelet Type | Discretization Level | Standard deviation of the coefficients of the transform |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| db2          | 2                    | 2.163                                                  |
|              | 3                    | 2.350                                                  |
|              | 4                    | 2.398                                                  |
| db3          | 2                    | 2.118                                                  |
|              | 3                    | 2.307                                                  |
|              | 4                    | 2.356                                                  |
| db4          | 2                    | 2.105                                                  |
|              | 3                    | 2.297                                                  |
|              | 4                    | 2.346                                                  |
| db5          | 2                    | 2.103                                                  |
|              | 3                    | 2.297                                                  |
|              | 4                    | 2.346                                                  |
| db6          | 2                    | 2.105                                                  |
|              | 3                    | 2.298                                                  |
|              | 4                    | 2.347                                                  |

From the MRA, image filtering was performed with db and Haar wavelets for noise reduction, the statistics and percentage of energy retained were obtained. In the same way, the image was compressed with both wavelets. Once obtained the filtration and compression of the image, we proceeded to program an algorithm in the MATLAB software to graph the reconstructed signal in each band of the image. The best results were established for the elimination and compression.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There is presence of noise in the Landsat TM images, determined by the visual analysis of the peaks in the signal expressed in digital levels values of each of the three bands of the visible spectrum (RGB). From the results of **Table 3**, it was determined that the standard deviation of the wavelet coefficients does not vary significantly from level 4, for this reason this level was chosen as the basis for the elimination and compression of the two wavelet families studied.

4.1 Noise filtering

The values of the wavelet coefficients were determined for db4, db3 and Haar with level of discretization 4 after filtering the image. The signal with db had a better reconstruction, due to the proximity to the original value of the frequency of the image in
the red and blue bands, unlike the green band that obtained a better approximation with Haar (Table 4, 5 and 6).

**Table 4.**

| Filtered | Digital level | Frequency value (Hz) |
|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| db3_4    | 85            | 2.370E+05           |
| db4_4    | 2.366E+05     |
| haar_4   | 2.367E+05     |
| Original image | | 2.320E+05 |

**Table 5.**

| Filtered | Digital level | Frequency value (Hz) |
|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| db3_4    | 189           | 1.766E+05           |
| db4_4    | 1.771E+05     |
| haar_4   | 1.788E+05     |
| Original image | | 1.781E+05 |

**Table 6.**

| Filtered | Digital level | Frequency value (Hz) |
|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| db3_4    | 85            | 5.623E+05           |
| db4_4    | 5.632E+05     |
| haar_4   | 5.405E+05     |
| Original image | | 5.840E+05 |

This is shown in signal obtained representation for each band in **Fig. 3, 4 and 5**, in which the 3 bands are represented separately with their frequency value and the digital level, where the noise removal is visually observed but also a notion of the loss of information that is obviously greater in Haar 4.

**Fig. 3.** Reconstructed signal of the blue band
4.2 Compression

The maximum compressions were: Haar level 4 with 11,288% and db3 level of discretization 4 with 11,286% respect to the original weight. The compression had a minimum difference of 0.002% between Haar and db transforms. The percentage of energy retained for Haar 4 was 99.31% and for db3 level 4 it was 99.41%, which showed a better reconstruction of the image with the last transform. The filtered and compressed image with db3 discretization level 4 presented better spatial resolution than the image treated with Haar 4, because it had higher percentage of energy retained as evidenced in Fig. 6.
5. CONCLUSIONS

The level of discretization 4 was chosen as a basis for filtering and compression because from this level the standard deviation of the coefficients of the transform remained constant with respect to higher levels of discretization. The Landsat-TM images of the area of the National Recreation Area "El Boliche" - Ecuador, presented noise, evidenced visually in the "peaks" of the signals of the RGB spectral bands, which were diminished after the application of the transforms. The best result was obtained with db3 level of discretization 4, for noise filtering due to having a greater percentage of retained energy and for compression to be reduced by 11.286% in weight with respect to the original image without loss of information. The highest compression was with Haar 4 with 11.288% (minimum difference of 0.002% between Haar and db3 level 4), however, the spatial resolution with the Haar wavelet is low compared to the results with db3 level 4. The filtering and compression with db3 discretization level 4 is better for use in remote sensors, for conserving a radiometric resolution similar to the original and good spatial resolution.
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