High Return Performance of Calcium Phosphonate (Ca-DTPMP) Colloidal Inhibitors for Squeeze Treatment in Shale Formations
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The objective of this work was to develop nanomaterial inhibitor with long squeeze life for oil and gas production. Different surfactants, such as trisodium citrate (Na3Cit), cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide, benzethonium chloride (Hyamine), and bipyridinium dibromide (Gemini), were added to synthesize nanoparticle Ca-DTPMP inhibitor at pH 9.0. The inhibitors were characterized by scanning electron microscope and dynamic light scattering. All these surfactants significantly decreased the particle size, but Gemini was the most effective one to control the calcium phosphonate salt particle size to be about 500 nm. Long-term squeeze experiments (∼3000 PV) saturated with calcite at 70°C and 75 psi back pressure through a glass column packed with Fayetteville shale rock show that the return DTPMP concentration was as high as 3 ppm for the Gemini–Ca-DTPMP colloidal inhibitor. This is an unprecedented improvement in comparison with the squeeze return of DTPMP only inhibitor where the inhibitor return concentration dropped to below 1 ppm within 400 pore volumes. The Ca-DTPMP speciation, potential field application, and the SqueezeSoftPitzer model prediction are also discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Scale formation has been a continuous challenge associated with the oil and gas industry. Scale formation can cause severe blockage problems in downhole equipment, wellbore, production tubulars, pump, separator, and so on, resulting in production decline, formation damage, increased cost, and even well shut-in (Guo et al., 2012; Sutherland et al., 2013; Veisi et al., 2018).

Squeeze treatment is one of the most effective and long-lasting approaches for scale inhibition and has been widely applied in the oilfield (Fleming et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). In a squeeze treatment, scale inhibitor is injected (pill injection) into the well and retained on the formation rock by precipitation and/or adsorption during the well shut-in period. Once the well starts production, the inhibitor will gradually desorb, release, and flow back with the production water. If the inhibitor return concentration is higher than the required minimum inhibitor concentration (MIC), the scale
formation will be inhibited (Vazquez et al., 2012). Therefore, one significant objective in squeeze research is to extend the squeeze lifetime, i.e., to maintain the return concentration above MIC as long as possible. Several methods have been attempted including (1) enhancers addition in preflush (Ghosh and Li, 2013; Sutherland and Jordan, 2016) or pill injection (Jordan et al., 2001; Selle et al., 2003), such as divalent metal ion Fe$^{2+}$ and polyaspartic acid; (2) newly developed inhibitors such as γ-AlO(OH) nanoparticle in which the squeeze life time improved 60 times (Poynton et al., 2004; Vazquez et al., 2006; Yan C. et al., 2014); and (3) optimization of modeling design (Mackay and Jordan, 2003; Kan et al., 2005) such as SQUEEZE V and SqueezeSoftPitzer.

Previous results have shown that Ca$^{2+}$ ion and DTPMP (diethylenetriamine pentamethylene phosphonic acid) can precipitate (denoted as Ca-DTPMP) and form a slurry solution. This Ca-DTPMP slurry inhibitor has a much longer squeeze life than the normal liquid DTPMP inhibitor (Ruan et al., 2016).

The later DTPMP return concentration is below 1 ppm after 400 pore volumes (PV) flow back tested in Ottawa sand, while that is still above 1 ppm after 1500 PV using Ca-DTPMP inhibitor (Ruan et al., 2016). This was attributed to the large surface area to volume ratio and adsorption rate of the Ca-DTPMP nanomaterial inhibitor; the release kinetics was therefore favored. The particles were well developed, in other words, aged by successive dissolution to remove the high solubility phosphonate materials before use in the squeeze. It could gradually release inhibitor (long-term flowback). However, under certain conditions, 1 ppm is still not high enough especially at higher temperature conditions and brine higher saturation index values (SI) (Zhang et al., 2014). To better control the solubility and dissolution thermodynamics of the Ca-DTPMP inhibitor, one of the simplest and cost-effective approaches is to add different surfactants. Surfactants can greatly reduce surface tension and inhibit aggregation by providing electrostatic double-layer repulsions. Surfactants can also self-assemble to micelles and supply a soft template for formation of different nanostructures (Zargartalebi et al., 2015; Kamal, 2016).

Shale reservoirs have grown rapidly in recent years (Horner et al., 2016; Kilian, 2016). Fracking is frequently required in shale oil production. Unlike conventional carbonate formations, shale consists of not only carbonate but also large amount of quartz, clay, and some minor minerals. However, limited squeeze research has been conducted in shale formation (Yan et al., 2015). Whether the colloidal Ca-DTPMP inhibitor can be applied in shale oil well and the return behavior remain unknown.

In this study, different frequently used surfactants were used to synthesize Ca-DTPMP nanomaterial inhibitors. The particle morphologies were characterized by SEM and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Long-term (up to 3000 PV) squeeze tests were carried out in glass column packed with Fayetteville shale. The work shows very promising potential application to squeeze treatment for the oil and gas industry using Ca-DTPMP nanomaterial inhibitors.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

Ca-DTPMP particles were prepared by following the same procedure described previously (Ruan et al., 2016). First, 1.10 g (0.25 M) calcium chloride dihydrate (CaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O) was added into 30 ml deionized water H$_2$O. Four surfactants, trisodium citrate (Na$_3$Cit), cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), benzethonium chloride (Hyamine), and bipyridinium dibromide (Gemini), were then added in each separate experiments, respectively. Their formula and structures are listed in Table 1. The molar concentration for all surfactants was kept at 0.05 M (mass per liter: 0.44 g Na$_3$Cit·2H$_2$O, 0.55 g CTAB, 0.67 g Hyamine, or 0.81 g Gemini). The solution was stirred until clear which typically took 10 min. The next step was to add 6.75 g of 15.9 wt.% DTPMP solution dropwise (Ca/DTPMP = 4) to each of the aforementioned solutions. White milky Ca-DTPMP precipitates were observed immediately. The pH was adjusted to

| Name                  | Abbreviation | Formula          | Structure (Takeoka et al., 2005; Sydam et al., 2013; Thottoli and Unni, 2013; Tsagkaropoulou et al., 2019) |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Trisodium citrate     | Na$_3$Cit    | Na$_3$Cit$_2$H$_5$O$_7$ | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure.png)                                                      |
| Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide | CTAB      | C$_{16}$H$_{33}$N(CH$_3$)$_3$Br | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure.png)                                                  |
| Benzethonium chloride | Hyamine     | C$_{27}$H$_{42}$NO$_2$ | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure.png)                                                  |
| Bipyridinium dibromide | Gemini      | C$_{20}$H$_{22}$Br$_2$N$_2$ | ![Structure](https://example.com/structure.png)                                                  |

**FIGURE 1 | SEM image of Fayetteville shale rocks (106–250 μm).**
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FIGURE 2 | XRD patterns of Fayetteville shale: (A) 106–250 μm, washed by 1% acetic acid; (B) <106 μm, no wash.

9.0 (Franco-Aguirre et al., 2018) with 1 M NaOH. The solution was then placed in a water bath at 90°C for 24 h. Finally, the solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm (g factor = 1968) for 4 min. The supernate was poured out and disregarded. DI H₂O was added to the solid, then stirred, centrifuged, and disregarded for three times. The final solid was topped to 15 ml with DI H₂O. ICP measurement shows the Ca²⁺ and DTPMP concentration were 0.21 and 0.74 g/L, respectively, giving the stoichiometry of the final colloidal slurry close to Ca₄H₂DTPMP, which is similar to previous results (Zhang et al., 2017). According to mass balance calculation, 70% yield was achieved using this preparation method.

SEM images were obtained from FEI Quanta 499 ESEM FEG at 15 keV for morphology characterization. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were measured from a Rigaku D/max Ultra II Powder Differactometer equipped with Cu Kα radiation source at 40 kV and 40 mA. TEM image was recorded from JEOL 2010 transmission electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. The prepared sample was dispersed in deionized water and then deposited on a copper grid with carbon film followed by slow solvent evaporation. The particle size distribution was measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS; Brookhaven Instruments 90Plus). Samples were diluted 30 times to avoid aggregation before the measurement.

Fayetteville shale was ground and sieved to 106–250 μm, then washed by 1% acetic acid and DI water to remove fines and high-energy particle edges, and finally dried in the oven. Figure 1 shows the morphology of the particles. The XRD patterns shown in Figure 2A indicate that quartz (SiO₂, 71.5%) is the main component, followed by Muscovite (KAl₂(Si₃AlO₁₀)(OH)₂, 28.1%), and some other minor impurities such as Perovskite and Birnessite. It is necessary to point out that the sieving or washing process had no obvious effect on the shale rock composition. As demonstrated in Figure 2B, the XRD quantitative analysis shows that the composition is almost the same for the rock particles size below 106 μm and without washing.

The 106–250 μm particles were tightly packed into a glass column (ID 6.6 mm × L 10 mm). The total packed shale solid mass was ~1.28 g with a porosity of 0.48, giving a pore volume (PV) of approximately 1 ml. However, the frits were not placed during pill treatment to inject the colloidal inhibitor smoothly. Squeeze experiment was carried out at 70°C in a water bath in the following steps:

1. Pre-flush: flush the packed column with 10 ml of 1 M NaCl, 0.1 M CaCl₂, pH 6.7, 5 mM PIPES (piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid). A 75-psi backpressure check valve was connected before the effluent;
2. Pill injection: inject 0.9 PV of the as-prepared Ca-DTPMP inhibitor (4 wt.% as DTPMP). It is worth noting that this laboratory squeeze experiment is called “totally contained squeeze” (Kan et al., 2004) to simulate all of the injected inhibitor contained in the column;
3. Over-flush: flush 0.1 PV of the same solution as in pre-flush to push the inhibitor more forward. Two 10 μm PTFE frits were placed at both ends of the column after over-flush;
4. Shut-in: close both the ends and place the column in the water bath for 24 h to let the inhibitor interact with the formation rock;
5. Flow back: the production brine was selected as 1 M NaCl, 0.025 M CaCl$_2$, 0.015 M NaHCO$_3$, pH 5.6, purged with 1 bar CO$_2$ gas at 70°C. Under this condition, Ca$^{2+}$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ concentrations were in equilibrium (SI = 0) with respect to calcite. The flow rate was maintained at 8 ml/h, corresponding to a linear production rate of 37.6 ft/day, in the reverse direction as the pill injection. The effluent was collected by a fraction collector and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Optima 4300Dv; Perkin Elmer 2011) for phosphorus concentration.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Ca-DTPMP Characterization

Figures 3–5 show the Ca-DTPMP particles prepared with and without surfactant. The diameter of Ca-DTPMP particle without surfactant (Figure 3) is close to 3 µm, which is confirmed with DLS measurements, shown in Figure 6. Attempts were made to inject these large crystalline Ca-DTPMP particles into the packed column; however, injection failed as a result of too much pressure building up.

Surfactants were added to reduce the particle size and to better control the dissolution behavior. The morphologies of Ca-DTPMP particles prepared in the presence of Na$_3$Cit, CTAB, Hyamine, and Gemini are shown in Figures 4, 5, respectively. After adding surfactant, all the particle sizes decreased, compared with the particles without surfactant addition shown in Figure 3. For Na$_3$Cit and CTAB, the particle sizes are less than 1 µm. Hyamine and Gemini surfactants showed even better performance and further decreased particle size to ~500 nm. Figure 6 shows the DLS measurements and the average particle sizes are summarized in Table 2. Gemini surfactant shows the best performance as it has two hydrophilic heads and two hydrophobic tails. It can easily form micelles or vesicles and restrict the Ca-DTPMP crystal growth. In addition, the particle morphology also changed from irregular shape to spherical and became more uniform.
after surfactant addition. A TEM characterization of Ca-DTPMP prepared with Gemini is shown in Figure 7. The stability and compatibility of the Ca-DTPMP inhibitor in high temperature and high salinity brine may be required in the future work.

**Squeeze Return Behavior**

Long-term squeeze tests were performed to evaluate the return behavior of Ca-DTPMP inhibitors synthesized with different surfactants. For comparison, liquid DTPMP only inhibitor \((pH \sim 6.6)\) was tested first, as shown in Figure 8. All the initial return values are between 100 and 1000 ppm, then drop to several ppm after a few hundred PVs. At the conditions of these experiments, DTPMP only inhibitor shows poor retention; the concentration quickly decreased below 1 ppm, before 400 PV. This is in contrast with common experience that DTPMP generally squeezes well. The possible reason will be discussed later. While Ca-DTPMP inhibitor prepared in the presence of \(Na_3Cit\) could still remain above 1 ppm for 2000 PVs, return performance was almost five times longer compared with DTPMP only inhibitor. With CTAB and Hyamine surfactants, the DTPMP return concentrations were further improved to \(\sim 2\) ppm up to 3000 PV. In the return curve with Gemini surfactant, the DTPMP concentration is as high as 3 ppm even after 3000 PV. This is an unprecedented improvement compared with a previous study (Ruan et al., 2016). Comparing with other nanoparticle inhibitor studies, the results also show better performance. For example, Guraieb et al. (2019) developed a nanoparticle inhibitor and the squeeze return concentration decreased to below 1 ppm after 400 PV. Yan C. et al. (2014) found that \(\gamma\)-Al(OH) nanoparticle could also improve the squeeze performance, but the concentration trended less than 1 ppm after 1000 PV.

DTPMP mass balance with PV was calculated to better understand the inhibitor retention behavior on the shale rock, as shown in Figure 9. The total mass return of the first 10 PV is defined as the initial loss. For DTPMP
only inhibitor, the initial loss is above 60%, i.e., a large fraction of the inhibitor was non-effective, whereas for Ca-DTPMP inhibitor prepared with surfactants, the initial loss is less than 20% and gradually increased to 60% after 3000 PV. These results demonstrate that liquid DTPMP inhibitor has a poor attachment onto the shale formation and a large amount of the mass flowed out in the initial few PVs, whereas Ca-DTPMP nanomaterial was well attached and efficiently retained onto the shale formation in the presence of surfactant.

The Ca-DTPMP speciation has been established by Tomson et al. (1994). The logarithm of ion activity product [log(IP)] changes during a squeeze test and may be an indication of saturation or phase change. In this study, the DTPMP ion activity is assumed to be the same as the return concentration measured in Figure 8, and Ca$^{2+}$ is 1000 ppm. Figure 10 shows the log(IP) value with number of PV. Basically, the trend is the same as observed in Figure 8 because at a constant Ca$^{2+}$ and pH, only total dissolved DTPMP varies among the ion products. pK$_{sp,1}$ (50.5), pK$_{sp,2}$ (52.9), and pK$_{sp,3}$ (54.0) correspond to amorphous, middle, and crystalline phase of Ca$_4$H$_2$DTPMP, respectively (Zhang et al., 2016b). Amorphous phase has the highest solubility. For DTPMP only inhibitor, pIP values quickly decrease toward crystalline phase which has a much lower solubility than the middle phase. For Ca-DTPMP inhibitors prepared with surfactants, the pIP also decreases but in a relatively slower manner, then stays around pK$_{sp,2}$, of the middle phase, up to 3000 PV. This agreement with the middle K$_{sp,2}$ suggests that the process, as measured, is probably controlled by a thermodynamic process, instead of e.g., desorption kinetics. The surfactants have kinetically prevented its transition from the middle phase to the lower solubility crystalline phase, and consequently the return could be maintained at a higher concentration.
It has been reported that the main interaction mechanism between scale inhibitor and carbonate formation is the precipitation of calcium and inhibitor, whereas in sandstone formation, adsorption is suggested to be the primary mechanism (Yan et al., 2015). Shale formation contains a mixture of quartz, clay, and carbonate minerals. Therefore, the interaction mechanism between Ca-DTPMP inhibitors and shale formations could be both precipitation and adsorption.

After squeeze tests, the shale at different locations in the column was taken out and dissolved in 2% HNO$_3$ to analyze the remaining DTPMP amount, as shown in Figure 11. In the figure, the inhibitor injection direction was from right to left, whereas the squeeze flow back was in the opposite direction. The liquid DTPMP only shows a uniform distribution though the shale formation, whereas for all the Ca-DTPMP colloidal inhibitors, there is accumulation in the injection end, especially when the particle is larger such as in the presence of Na$_3$Cit and CTAB surfactants. More work is required to further reduce the particle size to avoid possible pressure accumulation (Mahmoud, 2014).

**Potential Field Application**

The efficiency and activity of the returned DTPMP inhibitor was evaluated using the laser turbidity test described previously (Dai et al., 2019). Ca-DTPMP inhibitor prepared with Gemini returned after squeeze test was selected to test its inhibition to barite (BaSO$_4$) scale, as shown in Figure 12. The induction time (when the scale starts to form) without inhibitor is only a few seconds. When adding 1 ppm inhibitor, the induction time increased to ~800 s. More than 2-h induction time was observed using 3.4 ppm inhibitor, which is in good agreement with the 2-h protection model ScaleSoftPizer (SSP 2019) (Dai et al., 2019). Therefore, the returned Ca-DTPMP inhibitor is still efficient and active. According to the SSP 2019 calculation, 3 ppm DTPMP can inhibit CaCO$_3$ scale formation with SI as high as
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FIGURE 10 | The calculated logarithm of ion activity (pIP) during squeeze test, 70°C, 1000 ppm Ca^{2+}.

FIGURE 11 | DTPMP distribution (mg per 1 g shale rock) in Fayetteville shale rock after squeeze test.

FIGURE 12 | Induction time measurement for the squeeze returned Gemini–Ca-DTPMP inhibitor, 70°C, DTPMP, 1 M NaCl, pH 5.1, Si(BaSO₄) = 1.89.

1.8 (70°C, pH 7.3), BaSO₄ scale formation with SI as high as 2.0 (70°C, pH 6.7).

To have a better understanding of the field application, normalized squeeze life (NSL), barrels of brine treated per kilogram of inhibitor added, has been introduced as (Zhang et al., 2016a):

\[
\text{NSL} = \frac{\text{Total effective return vol. (L)}}{\text{Mass of inhibitor injected (mg)}} \times 10^6 \text{ (mg)} \times \frac{1}{\text{kg}} \tag{1}
\]

According to the mass balance calculation, CTAB and Hyamine Ca-DTPMP inhibitor could maintain between 2 and 3 ppm return concentration for about 5 years, which is equivalent to 6.1 × 10³ bbl/kg, assuming 1 PV = 1 production day, 1000 bbl/day production rate, and a formation pore volume equivalent of 500 bbl. That for Gemini Ca-DTPMP inhibitor is 4.7 years and 5.6 × 10⁴ bbl/kg at 3 ppm return concentration. This high return concentration may also serve as a corrosion inhibitor at the same time (Kavipriya et al., 2012). It shows a very promising potential application to the oil and gas industry. However, the aforementioned data are only based on theoretical calculation, so it needs to be carefully evaluated in the various types of brine environments.

For field application, a mechanistic squeeze prediction model, SqueezeSoftPitzer (Yan F. et al., 2014), can be used. This model has been used in several wells to accurately predict the squeeze return. If the inhibitor has been previously characterized, that data can be used to model the expected return data, but for a new inhibitor, the observed return data need to be fitted in the model first. Herein, it is assumed that each “surfactant Ca-DTPMP” should be treated as a new inhibitor that has not been previously studied in a squeeze. Figure 13 shows the comparison of Ca-DTPMP squeeze return data with the model prediction (fitting mode). The parameters of each individual inhibitor can be all well fitted. Once it is applied in another well, the squeeze return can be...
accurately predicted (prediction mode) using the previously fitted parameters.

Current work shows a promising application of Ca-DTPMP inhibitor in shale oil well fracking and production well during fracking. However, for conventional vertical and horizontal wells before fracturing, further study may be required to test the transport behavior through the core formation. In addition, the mechanism of how the surfactants can reduce particle size and how it is involved in squeeze return performance needs more detailed investigation. Further decreasing the particle size can be investigated to avoid possible pressure building up and formation damage in the field application.

CONCLUSION

High return performance was successfully achieved using Ca-DTPMP colloidal inhibitors prepared with different surfactants. The main conclusions that can be drawn are as follows:

- All the surfactants (Na$_3$Cit, CTAB, Hyamine, and Gemini) decreased the particle size, but Gemini was the most effective surfactant to control the Ca-DTPMP particle size to be about ∼500 nm.
- The squeeze life was significantly increased using Ca-DTPMP nano-inhibitors. The smallest Ca-DTPMP inhibitor prepared with Gemini also shows the highest return concentration. The returned DTPMP concentration was as high as 3 ppm up to 3000 PV tested in Fayetteville shale core. The high return performance is consistent with the adsorption and solubility of the intermediate Ca-DTPMP phase.
- Laser turbidity experiments demonstrated that the returned DTPMP is still efficient and active for preventing barite nucleation. The projected squeeze life might be as long as 5 years at 3 ppm. Therefore, the nano-Ca-DTPMP inhibitor shows promise for practical use in oil and gas production.
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