A MEG Study of Acute Arbaclofen (STX-209) Administration
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Several electrophysiological parameters, including the auditory evoked response component M50/M100 latencies and the phase synchrony of transient and steady-state gamma-band oscillations have been implicated as atypical (to various extents) in autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Furthermore, some hypotheses suggest that an underlying neurobiological mechanism for these observations might be atypical local circuit function indexed by atypical levels of inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA. This study was a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, escalating-dose, acute investigation conducted in 25 14–18 year-old adolescents with ASD. The study assessed the sensitivity of magnetoencephalography (MEG) and MEGAPRESS “GABA” magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) to monitor dose-dependent acute effects, as well as seeking to define properties of the pre-drug “baseline” electrophysiological and GABA signatures that might predict responsiveness to the GABA-B agonist, arbaclofen (STX-209). Overall, GABA levels and gamma-band oscillatory activity showed no acute changes at either low (15 mg) or high (30 mg) dose. Evoked M50 response latency measures tended to shorten (normalize), but there was heterogeneity across the group in M50 latency response, with only a subset of participants (n = 6) showing significant M50 latency shortening, and only at the 15 mg dose. Findings thus suggest that MEG M50 latency measures show acute effects of arbaclofen administration in select individuals, perhaps reflecting effective target engagement. Whether these subjects have a greater trend towards clinical benefit remains to be established. Finally, findings also provide preliminary support for the use of objective electrophysiological measures upon which to base inclusion for optimal enrichment of populations to be included in full-scale clinical trials of arbaclofen.

Keywords: ASD, MEG (magnetoencephalography), arbaclofen, GABA, biomarker
INTRODUCTION

Although the drug arbaclofen (STX-209) is a promising candidate for pharmaceutical therapy for use in autism spectrum disorder (ASD; Veenstra-VanderWeele et al., 2017) and fragile X syndrome (Berry-Kravis et al., 2012, 2017; Henderson et al., 2012; Qin et al., 2015), unsuccessful clinical trial outcomes challenge the excitement/inhibition imbalance hypothesis of ASD (Rubenstein and Merzenich, 2003), that helped motivate the development of arbaclofen. Specifically, arbaclofen, a GABA-B agonist, was expected to restore a balance to putative excitatory-inhibitory neural circuit abnormalities in ASD and thus improve ASD symptoms. A failed clinical trial, however, is not infrequent, and in the present study we adopt the hypothesis that the phenotypic heterogeneity of ASD arises from heterogeneity in the underlying neurobiological basis. Given between-individual differences in the neurobiology of ASD, broad inclusion criteria in clinical trials, as commonly employed, would diminish the ability to resolve positive change if the drug was only effective only in a subset of participants.

To begin exploring the above, it is of interest to demonstrate, in an acute setting, whether a participant who is a potential candidate for inclusion in a clinical trial manifests evidence in support of pharmaceutical target engagement via a single “test” dose administration. This, however, requires an acute readout. With respect to changes in symptoms associated with a disorder, an acute readout is unlikely to be a behavioral measure (e.g., in ASD, changes in repetitive behaviors) as behavioral and symptom changes in ASD likely occur over an extended period of time (weeks to months). If achievable, however, an acute exam (or series of exams) might also provide a rational approach towards optimal dosing, without waiting weeks for behavioral changes. Furthermore, if only a subset of potential participants did exhibit an acute drug-related response, examination of this subgroup might identify candidates distinguished by demographic or other baseline characteristics.

The following report describes a single-center, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, acute “biomarker” study of the pharmaceutical arbaclofen (STX-209) in 25 adolescent males with a diagnosis of ASD. The study examined the possibility that a brief and passive magnetoencephalography (MEG) electrophysiological study consisting of a pure tone auditory exam as well as a 40 Hz auditory steady-state response (ASSR) exam would demonstrate STX-209 associated changes to superior temporal gyrus auditory encoding processes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board and all participants’ families gave written informed consent. When competent to do so, the adolescent participants gave verbal assent to participate.

Twenty-five adolescent males with a diagnosis of ASD were enrolled. Two subjects were excluded from analyses due to an incorrect consenting procedure. One subject withdrew from participation during the study. One other subject was screened out at neuropsychological assessment. Three participants were left-handed and one was ambidextrous.

This study was conducted “double blind.” That is, drug and placebo were identically packaged (by the supplying source) and handled by the institutional investigational drug service (IDS). For the three visits, dose was administerd in two oral pills (drug was 15 mg/pill, so DD, DP, PP, where D = 15 mg drug and P = placebo). The IDS devised a randomization structure that was not released to the investigators until after data was acquired and analyzed. The only constraint on randomization was imposed by the FDA that, while placebo could occur 1st, 2nd or 3rd in the series, 30 mg should never precede 15 mg. As such there were three randomization options: P,15,30 or 15,P,30 or 15,30,P. Since subjects received two identical-appearing pills on each occasion they were blinded. Since the randomization scheme was not made known to the investigators until after data analysis was complete, they too were blinded.

Participant demographics are shown in Table 1 and the study design depicted in Figure 1. At the first visit, a full neuropsychological evaluation was conducted including Autism Diagnostic Observation-2 (ADOS-2; Lord et al., 2012), Social Responsiveness Scale 2 (SRS-2; Constantino and Gruber, 2012), Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ; Rutter et al., 2003) for diagnostic confirmation and the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence-II (Wechsler, 2011) and the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals—Fifth Edition (CELF-5) for characterization of cognitive (full scale intelligence quotient, FSIQ) and language abilities (core language standard score).

On three subsequent visits, at weekly intervals, participants underwent a protocol of baseline MEG followed immediately by MRI/MRS. Participants then received either placebo or arbaclofen at 15 mg or 30 mg dose (in each case, two identical-appearing oral tablets). After approximately 1 h, MRS was repeated, followed by a MEG protocol identical to the baseline MEG exam. The entire imaging-drug-imaging process lasted approximately 3 h. Since the half-life of arbaclofen is reported as 4–5 h (Berry-Kravis et al., 2017), residual effects are considered unlikely after a 1-week interval.
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head-position indicator coils were attached to the scalp to provide continuous specification of the position and orientation of the MEG sensors relative to the head (Roberts et al., 2010). To minimize fatigue and encourage an awake state, subjects viewed a silent movie projected on to a screen positioned at a comfortable viewing distance. To aid in the identification of eye-blink activity, the electro-oculogram (EOG, bipolar oblique, upper right and lower left sites) was collected. To later co-register MEG and sMRI data, three anatomical landmarks (nasion and right and left preauricular points) as well as an additional 200+ points on the scalp and face were digitized for each participant using a probe position identification system (Polhemus, Colchester, VT, USA). MEG data were recorded at a sample rate of 1,200 Hz per channel using 3rd order synthetic gradiometer noise reduction and DC offset correction.

For both auditory exams, to coregister MEG and sMRI data, an affine transformation matrix that involved rotation and translation between the MEG and sMRI coordinate systems was obtained via a least-square match of the probe position identification points to the surface of the scalp and face. For both auditory exams, to correct for eye blinks, a typical eye blink was manually identified in the raw data (including EOG) for each participant. The pattern search function in BESA Research 6.1 (BESA GmbH, Germany) scanned the raw data to identify other blinks and computed an eye-blink average. An eye blink was modeled by its first component topography from principal component analysis (PCA), typically accounting for more than 99% of the variance in the eye-blink average. Scanning the eye blink corrected raw data, epochs with artifacts other than blinks were rejected by amplitude and gradient criteria (amplitude > 300 fT, gradients > 25 fT/cm).

For the pure auditory exam, non-contaminated epochs were averaged (~100 ms to 500 ms) and a 1 Hz (24 dB/octave, zero-phase) to 40 Hz (48 dB/octave, zero-phase) band-pass filter applied. Using all 275 channels of MEG data, determination of the latency of M50 sources in the left and right STG was accomplished by applying a standard source model to transform each individual’s raw MEG surface activity into brain space (MEG data co-registered to each subject’s T1-weighted 3D MRI) using a model with multiple sources (Scherg and Picton, 1991; Scherg and Ebersole, 1993; Scherg and Berg, 1996). In particular, the standard source model applied to each subject was constructed by including left and right STG dipole sources (placed at left and right Heschl’s gyri) and the eye-blink source vector derived for each participant (Lins et al., 1993; Berg and Scherg, 1994). This source model served as a source montage for the raw MEG (Scherg and Picton, 1991; Scherg and Ebersole, 1993). As such, the MEG sensor data was transformed from channel space into brain source space where the visualized waveforms were the modeled source activities. To obtain left and right M50 latency measures, for each participant, left and right superior temporal gyrus, and with TR/TE = 1,500/80 ms.

Paradigms and Stimuli

Two auditory exams were administered. The first auditory exam ("M50 Exam") consisted of simple sinusoidal tones of 500 Hz frequency and 300 ms duration played binaurally at 45 dB Sensation Level (SL) corresponding to a pleasant conversational level (note SL loudness presents an equivalent sensory sensation, after determining individual hearing thresholds). Stimuli were presented through piezoelectric transducers and ear tip inserts (ER3A, Etymotic, IL, USA), with the inter-stimulus-interval (ISI) randomly varying between 600 and 2,000 ms, and with 520 trials collected over approximately 14 min. The second auditory exam ("40 Hz ASSR Exam") consisted of a 500 Hz stimulus modulated at 40 Hz, with the modulation depth 100%. Stimuli of 1 s duration (note SL loudness presents an equivalent sensory sensation, after determining individual hearing thresholds). Stimuli were presented with a 4 s offset-to-onset ISI (± 2 s), with 100 trials collected over approximately 17 min.

MRI/MRS

MRI/MRS was performed on a 3T Siemens Verio MR scanner. A 3D isotropic T1-weighted structural MRI (sMRI) was acquired for the purposes of MEG source modeling. A single voxel edited MRS MEGAPRESS sequence was also administered (Mescher et al., 1998), with a voxel of 4 × 3 × 2 cm placed in the left superior temporal gyrus, and with TR/TE = 1,500/80 ms. To minimize the impact of coedited macromolecules (widely acknowledged in the conventional MEGAPRESS sequence), a modification was implemented in which the "off" pulse was delivered at 1.5 ppm frequency (symmetric about 1.7 ppm with the traditional "on" pulse at 1.9 ppm). This achieves a level of macromolecule suppression, while only extending the echo time moderately from 68 ms to 80 ms (Edden et al., 2012).

MEG Recording and Analysis

MEG data were obtained in a magnetically shielded room using a 275-channel whole-cortex CTF magnetometer (CTF MEG, Coquitlam, BC, Canada). At the start of the session, three head-position indicator coils were attached to the scalp to provide continuous specification of the position and orientation of the MEG sensors relative to the head (Roberts et al., 2010). To minimize fatigue and encourage an awake state, subjects viewed a silent movie projected on to a screen positioned at a comfortable viewing distance. To aid in the identification of eye-blink activity, the electro-oculogram (EOG, bipolar oblique, upper right and lower left sites) was collected. To later co-register MEG and sMRI data, three anatomical landmarks (nasion and right and left preauricular points) as well as an additional 200+ points on the scalp and face were digitized for each participant using a probe position identification system (Polhemus, Colchester, VT, USA). MEG data were recorded at a sample rate of 1,200 Hz per channel using 3rd order synthetic gradiometer noise reduction and DC offset correction.

Paradigms and Stimuli

Two auditory exams were administered. The first auditory exam ("M50 Exam") consisted of simple sinusoidal tones of 500 Hz frequency and 300 ms duration played binaurally at 45 dB Sensation Level (SL) corresponding to a pleasant conversational level (note SL loudness presents an equivalent sensory sensation, after determining individual hearing thresholds). Stimuli were presented through piezoelectric transducers and ear tip inserts (ER3A, Etymotic, IL, USA), with the inter-stimulus-interval (ISI) randomly varying between 600 and 2,000 ms, and with 520 trials collected over approximately 14 min. The second auditory exam ("40 Hz ASSR Exam") consisted of a 500 Hz stimulus modulated at 40 Hz, with the modulation depth 100%. Stimuli of 1 s duration (note SL loudness presents an equivalent sensory sensation, after determining individual hearing thresholds). Stimuli were presented with a 4 s offset-to-onset ISI (± 2 s), with 100 trials collected over approximately 17 min.

MRI/MRS

MRI/MRS was performed on a 3T Siemens Verio MR scanner. A 3D isotropic T1-weighted structural MRI (sMRI) was acquired for the purposes of MEG source modeling. A single voxel edited MRS MEGAPRESS sequence was also administered (Mescher et al., 1998), with a voxel of 4 × 3 × 2 cm placed in the left superior temporal gyrus, and with TR/TE = 1,500/80 ms. To minimize the impact of coedited macromolecules (widely acknowledged in the conventional MEGAPRESS sequence), a modification was implemented in which the "off" pulse was delivered at 1.5 ppm frequency (symmetric about 1.7 ppm with the traditional "on" pulse at 1.9 ppm). This achieves a level of macromolecule suppression, while only extending the echo time moderately from 68 ms to 80 ms (Edden et al., 2012).

MEG Recording and Analysis

MEG data were obtained in a magnetically shielded room using a 275-channel whole-cortex CTF magnetometer (CTF MEG, Coquitlam, BC, Canada). At the start of the session, three head-position indicator coils were attached to the scalp to
right dipoles were oriented at the maximum M50 response. Thus, estimates of left and right M50 activity were obtained using an individualized anatomical constraint, with an orientation of the M50 dipoles optimized for each participant. Left and right M50 (50–125 ms) peaks were defined from the source waveforms, given appropriate magnetic field topography (ensuring the consistent orientation of neuronal current dipoles), and the latency at the left and right peak recorded.

For the ASSR exam, after artifact rejection, a band-pass filter (Butterworth) was applied with a center frequency of 40 Hz and a 20 Hz width (a band-pass filter is superior to using separate low- and high-pass filters for extracting MEG activity in narrow frequency bands) with 100% of the activity passed at 40 Hz and 50% amplitude cut off at 30 Hz and 50 Hz. For modeling the 40 Hz steady-state response, data −500 to 1,000 ms post-stimulus were selected, with a 300 ms starting point as the amplitude-modulated 40 Hz steady-state response does not fully develop until after 250–300 ms (Ross et al., 2002). In particular, left and right STG 40 Hz steady-state dipole orientations were obtained from the 300–1,000 ms ASSR interval. Once the source model was created, the calculation of single-trial phase for the left and right STG sources used procedures outlined in Hoehstetter et al. (2004), where for each participant the derived source model was applied to the raw unfiltered data. The transformation from the time domain to the time-frequency domain used the complex demodulation technique (wavelet transformation) procedures (Papp and Ktonas, 1977) implemented in BESA 6.0, using frequencies between 4 and 60 Hz in steps of 2 Hz. Forty hertz steady-state Phase-locking (PL) was examined. PL measures were extracted from the single-trial complex time-frequency matrix. In particular, a measure of PL referred to as intertrial coherence (ITC) was computed. ITC is a normalized measure with $\text{ITC} = 1$ reflecting no trial-to-trial phase variability and $\text{ITC} = 0$ reflecting maximal phase variability across trials. For each participant, a single left and right ITC value was obtained as the average ITC within a 300–1,000 ms and 38–42 Hz interval.

**Statistics**

For each dependent variable (left and right M50 latency, left and right 40 Hz ASSR ITC, and GABA/Cr), and separate for each dose, a linear mixed model (LMM) examined fixed effects of pre/post-drug, hemisphere and age, along with their interactions, and with subject as a random effect. Additionally, for each parameter, a “baseline” pre-drug/placebo standard deviation (SD) was computed from the three baseline recordings. A population SD was then estimated as the average of this deviation (SD) was computed from the three baseline recordings. This was then used to recast a subgroup of participants were defined as “M50 Responders” if their latency shortening exceeded a Z-threshold of −2.57 (equivalent to the 99% percentile). Analyses comparing six “M50 Responders” and 15 “M50 non-Responders” showed the expected finding of the “M50 Responders” having greater pre- to post- 15 mg dose M50 shortening than the “M50 non-Responders” with an interaction term of $F_{(1,57)} = 16.02, p < 0.001$ (see Table 3). Of note, however, 40 Hz ASSR ITC also differed between “M50 Responders” and “M50 non-Responders” (interaction term: $F_{(1,57)} = 5.496, p = 0.023$). There was no “M50 Responders” vs. “M50 non-Responders” group difference for GABA (interaction term: $F_{(1,25)} = 0.061$,
TABLE 2 | Group effects of Arbaclofen administration on MEG/MRS measures.

| Placebo       | Pre-administration | Post-administration | Statistics/p-value |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| M50 latency   | 93 ms ± 4 ms       | 93 ms ± 4 ms        | F(1,54.2) = 0.006, p = 0.941 |
| Steady state phase locking (ITC) | 0.24 ± 0.11 | 0.34 ± 0.12 | F(1,58.1) = 0.369, p = 0.55 |
| 15 mg dose    | 0.151 ± 0.017      | 0.153 ± 0.015       | F(1,27) = 0.004, t = −0.065, p = 0.95 |
| M50 latency   | 94 ms ± 4 ms       | 88 ms ± 4 ms        | F(1,56.0) = 7.48, p = 0.008 |
| Steady state phase locking (ITC) | 0.28 ± 0.03 | 0.28 ± 0.03 | F(1,55.0) = 0.089, p = 0.77 |
| GABA/Cr       | 0.153 ± 0.017      | 0.155 ± 0.019       | F(1,27) = 0.004, p = 0.95 |

Bold face values achieve statistical significance as indicated in the far right column.

FIGURE 2 | (A) An example of the M50 scalp magnetic field topography (over the right hemisphere) modeled by the anatomic source depicted in (B) and corresponding to the M50 peak deflection (dashed line at 71 ms post-stimulus) of the pre-dose source activity waveform (blue) of (C), which shows the STX-209 related shortening (arrow) of the M50 latency in the modeled source waveform for a single individual pre- (blue) vs. post (red) 15 mg STX209 administration. (D) A corresponding example of auditory evoked waveforms from a non-responding individual pre and post a similar dose. Dotted black line marks the stimulus onset, while dotted blue (and red) lines mark the M50 response pre and post 15 mg STX209 administration. Note, by convention and for ready comparison to the ERP literature, in which negativities are shown as positive excursions from baseline and positivities are shown below the x-axis, we show the M50 response as negatively-signed and the later M100 response as positively-signed.

Examination of the baseline parameters of the six “M50 Responders” compared to the 15 “M50 non-Responders” revealed significant baseline prolongation of M50 latency (“M50 Responders” 112 ms ± 8 ms vs. “M50 non-Responders”: 87 ms ± 5 ms, p < 0.05). A significant interaction between hemisphere and response status (p = 0.05), prompted evaluation of group baseline M50 latency differences in each hemisphere. Whereas right-hemisphere group differences were significant (“M50 Responders”: 120 ms ± 8 ms vs. “M50 non-Responders”: 87 ms ± 5 ms, p = 0.004), only a trend level group finding was observed in the left hemisphere (“M50 Responders”: 104 ms ± 8 ms vs. “M50 non-Responders”: 87 ms ± 5 ms, p = 0.09). Baseline 40 Hz ASSR ITC values did not differ between groups (“M50 Responders”: 0.157 ± −0.014 vs. “M50 non-Responders”: 0.153 ± −0.026, p = 0.62). Baseline GABA levels also did not differ between groups (“M50 Responders”: 0.159 ± −0.022 vs. “M50 non-Responders”: 0.140 ± −0.034, p = 0.65).

Examination of “M50 Responders” and “M50 non-Responders” group differences on demographic measures (two-sample t-test) showed no group difference in age, ADOS-CSS, SRS, SCQ, full-scale IQ, or CELF-5 core language index (Table 4).
FIGURE 3 | Z-score graphs for the imaging target variables for all participants and all measures. Interval changes post- vs. pre-administration of drug/placebo are represented in terms of Z-scores, where a $Z = 1$ for any measure equals a change equivalent to the population average SD of that measure across the three pre-drug/placebo baseline scans. Circled are the participants with high negative M50 latency Z-scores at 15 mg. The selection of responders vs. non-responders was based on a $|Z| > 2.57$ (equivalent to the 99th percentile). In each plot, subjects are identified by their subject ID (STX###) and ranked in order of their post vs. pre-effect size for each measure as a Z-score based on the SD derived from the three baseline scans for each measure averaged across all subjects. Hemisphere is noted as LH vs. RH. SSPL, steady state phase locking; M50, M50 latency. Increasing dependent variable values are depicted in red, and decreases in blue, with the strength of the color indicating the magnitude of the change.

TABLE 3 | Changes in target parameters with 15 mg dose, separated according to “M50 Responsiveness”.

| M50 latency (ms) | Pre-administration | Post-administration | p-value |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| non-Responder    | 87 ms ± 4 ms       | 86 ms ± 4 ms        | $F_{(1,57)} = 0.143, p = 0.711$ |
| Responder        | 112 ms ± 7 ms      | 92 ms ± 7 ms        | $F_{(1,31)} = 25.315, p < 0.001$ |
| Steady state phase locking (ITC) |                 |                     |         |
| non-Responder    | 0.286 ± 0.036      | 0.284 ± 0.035       | $F_{(1,57)} = 1.063, p = 0.307$ |
| Responder        | 0.253 ± 0.054      | 0.325 ± 0.054       | $F_{(1,31)} = 4.524, p = 0.038$ |
| GABA             |                    |                     |         |
| Non-Responder    | 0.159 ± 0.022      | 0.156 ± 0.023       | $F_{(1,26)} = 0.007, p = 0.934$ |
| Responder        | 0.140 ± 0.034      | 0.152 ± 0.038       | $F_{(1,26)} = 0.058, p = 0.812$ |

Bold face values achieve statistical significance as indicated in the far right column.

DISCUSSION

Although the sample size is too small to draw strong conclusions, analyses suggested an effect of STX-209 on brain activity in only a subset of the adolescents, and only at a specific dose. In particular, 6 out of 21 adolescents (~30%) showed a significant shortening of M50 latency in response to 15 mg of arbaclofen. No other pre- to post-treatment effects were observed for any
other brain measure (40 Hz ASSR or GABA) or any other dose (placebo or 30 mg). Of note, however, when the group was divided into “M50 Responders” and “M50 non-Responders,” according to their drug-related changes in M50 latency, significant STX-209 pre- to post-treatment changes were also observed for the 40 Hz ASSR ITC, with significantly higher PL after administration of 15 mg of STX-209. Uptregulation of 40 Hz ASSR PL is consistent with the theorized mode of action of arbaclofen in a model of pyramidal interneuron network gamma (PING; Whittington et al., 2000; Jensen et al., 2014). Finally, no change in GABA level was identified at placebo, 15 mg or 30 mg dose, and GABA levels did not differ between “M50 Responders” and “M50 non-Responders.” The absence of acute response in the MRS parameter “GABA/Cr,” although counterintuitive, may, in fact, reflect the insensitivity of this measure to GABA compartmentalization or activity (on an acute timescale). While tonic GABA decrements have been reported in some cortices in ASD, it is not necessarily expected that such regionally-coarse GABA estimates (24cc) would be responsive to acute changes related to pharmaceuticals like arbaclofen.

Present findings thus suggest superior temporal gyrus M50 latency as a sensitive probe of arbaclofen activity in a subset of individuals and at a specific dose. When comparing “M50 Responder” and “M50 non-Responder,” the “M50 Responder” participants were found to have significantly longer M50 latencies at baseline (pre-drug) than the “M50 non-Responder” participants. Although this suggests that a pre-existing prolonged M50 latency may be a predictor of response to STX-209, it is important to note that some of the responsive individuals would not have been distinguished based on their baseline M50 latency alone given significant overlap between the two groups. As such, relying only on baseline M50 latency assessment and not a “test drug dose” to identify potential responders for a STX-209 clinical trial would diminish sensitivity. It is also of note that there were no baseline differences in any other MEG or MRS variable, or group differences on any of the clinical assessments of ASD severity, or cognitive or language ability. The findings highlight the utility of MEG as a modality providing exquisite temporal resolution as well as sufficient source modeling to reject surface artifact and distinguish hemispheric sources. Of note, pharmacodynamic studies using electroencephalography (EEG) either spontaneous or with stimulation as evoked potentials have been proposed for drug effect monitoring, predicting response and dose optimization for many disorders and phenomena including seizure disorders, mood disorders as well as analgesia and anesthesia—for a review, see Bewernitz and Derendorf (2012). There has, however, been less extensive work in neurodevelopmental disorders.

That the drug response in M50 latency (and also 40 Hz ASSR ITC in the subgroup of “M50 Responders”) occurred only at the 15 mg dose may suggest the need for optimal dose assessments, perhaps achieved via the acute dose-escalating paradigm used in this study. As the 15 mg M50 latency effect was not always observed bilaterally, this also indicates the need to examine left and right auditory activity separately. The basis for a hemisphere-specific effect in some individuals remains to be elucidated.

Two major study limitations are of note. First, although suggesting the biological activity of the drug, there is no guarantee that M50 latency responsiveness predicts a good clinical outcome in an extended clinical trial. Second, and conversely, absence of M50 latency responsiveness in a short monitoring (1 h) acute single-dose administration does not predict absence of clinical response; a single dose may be insufficient drug and a 1 h observation period may be too short.

**CONCLUSION**

MEG measures of auditory sensory processing appear responsive to a particular dose of the GABA-B agonist, STX-209 (arbaclofen) in a subset of adolescents with ASD. It is possible that this responsiveness indicates an observable marker of differential drug biological activity in some individuals vs. others. This phenomenon could potentially be exploited as an inclusion criterion for clinical trial recruitment enrichment. Furthermore, the dose-specificity of this responsiveness could provide a mechanism for rapid determination of biologically-optimal dose. There were no observed differentiating responses in basal GABA level, estimated by MRS, either indicating the insensitivity of the MRS method or the lack of bulk GABA concentration changes associated with single-dose arbaclofen administration. Findings should be treated with caution given the small sample of responders, but indicate the possibility of observing heterogeneous responses to arbaclofen across an ASD population (possibly diminishing statistical power in a clinical trial designed to assess drug efficacy), as well as offering a tantalizing potential approach to biologically-based stratification for clinical trial enrichment and, ultimately, patient management.
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**TABLE 4 | Characteristics of “M50 Responders”**

| Characteristic          | M50 Responders | M50 non-Responders | p-value (t-test) |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)             | 16.2 ± 0.6     | 15.7 ± 0.9         | 0.20            |
| ADOS-CSS                | 7.4 ± 2.6      | 7.3 ± 2.3          | 0.96            |
| SRS                     | 73 ± 11        | 67 ± 13            | 0.33            |
| SCQ                     | 23 ± 11        | 19 ± 6             | 0.37            |
| Full Scale IQ           | 72 ± 18        | 77 ± 20            | 0.58            |
| CELF-5                  | 56 ± 12        | 64 ± 20            | 0.26            |

---

Roberts et al. MEG Study of Arbaclofen

---

December 2019 | Volume 13 | Article 69

Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience | www.frontiersin.org
informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants’ legal guardian/next of kin.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

TR, JE, LBla, AB and EK contributed to the conception and design of the study. AA and LG managed recruitment, regulatory reporting and compliance. AB was study physician. AB conducted clinical assessment. LBla and EK conducted neuropsychological assessments. MK and MD acquired the data. TR, LBl0, MK and MD performed the data analysis. TR, JE and LBl0 performed the statistical analysis. TR wrote the first draft of the manuscript. JE, LBla and EK wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

TR gratefully acknowledges the Oberkircher Family for the Oberkircher Family Chair in Pediatric Radiology at CHOP. We are grateful to Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany for use of the WIP529 edited MRS sequence. We thank Dr. Paul Wang for insightful comments and discussions.

**REFERENCES**

Berg, P., and Scherg, M. (1994). A multiple source approach to the correction of eye artifacts. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 90, 229–241. doi: 10.1016/0168-5010(94)90094-9

Berry-Kravis, E., Hagerman, R., Visootsak, J., Budimirovic, D., Kaufmann, W. E., Cherubini, M., et al. (2017). *Arbaclofen* in fragile X syndrome: results of phase 3 trials. *J. Neurodev. Disord.* 9:3. doi: 10.1186/s11689-016-9181-6

Berry-Kravis, E. M., Hessl, D., Rathmell, B., Zarevics, P., Cherubini, M., Walton-Bowen, K., et al. (2012). Effects of STX209 (arbaclofen) on neurobehavioral function in children and adults with fragile X syndrome: a randomized, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 4:152ra127. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3004214

Bewernitz, M., and Derendorf, H. (2012). *Electroencephalogram-based pharmacodynamic measures: a review*. *Int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 50, 162–184. doi: 10.5414/cp101484

Constantino, J. N., and Gruber, C. P. (2012). *Social Responsiveness Scale*. 2nd Edn. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.

Edden, R. A., Puts, N. A., and Barker, P. B. (2012). Macromolecule-suppressed GABA-edited magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 3T. *Magn. Reson. Med. Med. 68, 657–661*. doi: 10.1002/mrm.24391

Edgar, J. C., Fisk Iv, C. L., Berman, J. I., Chudnovskaya, D., Liu, S., Pandey, J., et al. (2015a). Auditory encoding abnormalities in children with autism spectrum disorder suggest delayed development of auditory cortex. *Med. Autism* 6:69. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2015.05.055

Edgar, J. C., Khan, S. Y., Blaskey, L., Chow, V. Y., Roy, M., Gaetz, W., et al. (2015b). Neuramagnetic oscillations predict evoked-response latency delays and core language deficits in autism spectrum disorders. *J. Autism Dev. Disorder. 45, 395–405*. doi: 10.1007/s10803-013-1904-x

Gandal, M. J., Edgar, J. C., Ehrlichman, R. S., Mehta, M., Roberts, T. P., and Siegel, S. J. (2010). Validating γ oscillations as translational biomarkers of autism. *Biol. Psychiatry* 68, 1100–1106. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.09.031

Henderson, C., Wijetunge, L., Kinoshta, M. N., Shumway, M., Hammond, R. S., Postma, F. R., et al. (2012). Reversal of disease-related pathologies in the fragile X mouse model by selective activation of GABAB receptors with arbaclofen. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 4:152ra128. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3004218

Hoechstetter, K., Bornfleth, H., Weckesser, D., Ille, N., Berg, P., and Scherg, M. (2004). BESA source coherence: a new method to study cortical oscillatory coupling. *Brain Topogr.* 16, 233–238. doi: 10.1023/b:brat.0000032857. 55223.3d

Jensen, O., Spaak, E., and Zumer, J. M. (2014). “Human brain oscillations: from physiological mechanisms to analysis and cognition.” in *Magnetoecephalography From Signals to Dynamic Cortical Networks*, eds S. Supek, and C. J. Aine (Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), 359–403.

Lins, O. G., Picton, T. W., Berg, P., and Scherg, M. (1993). Ocular artifacts in EEG and event-related potentials. I: scalp topography. *Brain Topogr.* 6, 51–63. doi: 10.1007/bf01234127

Lord, C., DiLavore, P. C., and Gotham, K. (2012). *Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule*. 2nd Edn. Torrance, CA: Western Psychological Services.

Mescher, M., Merkle, H., Kirsch, J., Garwood, M., and Gruetter, R. (1998). Simultaneous in vivo spectral editing and water suppression. *NMR Biomed.* 11, 266–272. doi: 10.1002/sjci.1099-1492(199810)11:6<266::AID-NBM530>3.0.CO;2-a

Papp, N., and Ktonas, P. (1977). Critical evaluation of complex demodulation techniques for the quantification of bioelectrical activity. *Biomed. Sci. Instrum.* 13, 135–145.

Port, R. G., Gandal, M. J., Roberts, T. P., Siegel, S. J., and Carlson, G. C. (2014). Convergence of circuit dysfunction in ASD: a common bridge between diverse genetic and environmental risk factors and common clinical electrophysiology. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8:414. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2014.00414

Qin, M., Huang, T., Kader, M., Krych, L., Xia, Z., Burlin, T., et al. (2015). Rabaclofen reverses a social behavior deficit and elevated protein synthesis in a mouse model of Fragile X syndrome. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 18:pyv034. doi: 10.1093/ijn/pyv034

Roberts, T. P., Khan, S. Y., Roy, M., Monroe, J. F., Cannon, K., Blaskey, L., et al. (2010). MEG detection of delayed auditory evoked responses in autism spectrum disorders: towards an imaging biomarker for autism. *Autism Res. 3, 8–18*. doi: 10.1002/aur.111

Rojas, D. C., and Wilson, L. B. (2014). γ-band abnormalities as markers of autism spectrum disorders. *Biomark. Med. 8, 353–368*. doi: 10.2217/ bmm.14.15

Ross, B., Picton, T. W., and Panter, C. (2002). Temporal integration in the human auditory cortex as represented by the development of the steady-state magnetic field. *Hear. Res.* 165, 68–84. doi: 10.1016/s0378-5955(02)00028-x

Rubenstein, J. L., and Merzenich, M. M. (2003). Model of autism: increased ratio of excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2, 255–267. doi: 10.1034/j.1601-183x.2003.00037.x

Rutter, M., Bailey, A., and Lloyd, C. (2003). SCQ: Social Communication Questionnaire. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.

Scherg, M., and Berg, P. (1996). New concepts of brain source imaging and localization. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* Suppl. 66, 127–137.

Scherg, M., and Ebersole, J. S. (1993). Models of brain sources. *Brain Topogr.* 6, 19–31. doi: 10.1007/bf01238700

Scherg, M., and Picton, T. W. (1991). Separation and identification of event-related potential components by brain electric source analysis. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* Suppl. 42, 24–37.

Veenstra-VanderWeele, J., Cook, E. H., King, B. H., Zarevics, P., Cherubini, M., Walton-Bowen, K., et al. (2017). Rabaclofen in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology 42, 1390–1398*. doi: 10.1038/npp.2016.237

**FUNDING**

This study was supported by a grant to TR from the Simons Foundation/Clinical Research Associates. Support was also provided by the Neuroimaging/Neurocircuitry core of the CHOP/Penn IDDRC (National Institutes of Health; NIH U54-HD086984 and R01-DC008871).
Wechsler, D. (2011). *Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence*. 2nd Edn. San Antonio, TX: Pearson.

Whittington, M. A., Traub, R. D., Kopell, N., Ermentrout, B., and Buhl, E. H. (2000). Inhibition-based rhythms: experimental and mathematical observations on network dynamics. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 38, 315–336. doi: 10.1016/s0167-8760(00)00173-2

**Conflict of Interest**: TR declares his position on the advisory boards of, or consulting activity for: (1) CTF MEG; (2) Ricoh; (3) Spago Nanomedicine; (4) Prism Clinical Imaging; (5) Avexis Inc.; and (6) Acadia Pharmaceuticals. TR and JE also declare intellectual property relating to the potential use of electrophysiological markers for treatment planning in clinical ASD.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Roberts, Bloy, Blaskey, Kuschner, Gaetz, Anwar, Ku, Dipiero, Bennett and Edgar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.