ON ESTIMATES OF COEFFICIENTS OF GENERALIZED ATOMIC WAVELETS EXPANSIONS AND THEIR APPLICATION TO DATA PROCESSING

Discrete atomic compression (DAC) of digital images is considered. It is a lossy compression algorithm. The aim of this paper is to obtain a mechanism for control of quality loss. Among a large number of different metrics, which are used to assess loss of quality, the maximum absolute deviation or the MAD-metric is chosen, since it is the most sensitive to even the most minor changes of processed data. In DAC, the main loss of quality is got in the process of quantizing atomic wavelet coefficients that is the subject matter of this paper. The goal is to investigate the effect of the quantization procedure on atomic wavelet coefficients. We solve the following task: to obtain estimates of these coefficients. In the current research, we use the methods of atomic function theory and digital image processing. Using the properties of the generalized atomic wavelets, we get estimates of generalized atomic wavelet expansion coefficients. These inequalities provide dependence of quality loss measured by the MAD-metric on the parameters of quantization in the form of upper bounds. They are confirmed by the DAC-processing of the test images. Also, loss of quality measured by root mean square (RMS) and peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) is computed. Analyzing the results of experiments, which are carried out using the computer program "Discrete Atomic Compression: Research Kit", we obtain the following results: 1) the deviation of the expected value of MAD from its real value in some cases is large; 2) accuracy of the estimates depends on parameters of quantization, as well as depth of atomic wavelet expansion and type of the digital image (full color or grayscale); 3) discrepancies can be reduced by applying a correction coefficient; 4) the ratio of the expected value of MAD to its real value behaves relatively constant and the ratio of the expected value of MAD to RMS and PSNR do not. Conclusions: discrete atomic compression of digital images in combination with the proposed method of quality loss control provide obtaining results of the desired quality and its further development, research and application are promising.
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Introduction

Images have become an essential part of our life [1]. They are acquired by customer digital cameras [2] airborne and spaceborne remote sensing sensors [3], medical imaging devices [4]. Average size of images and periodicity of their acquiring increase rapidly leading to a great amount of data that have to be stored and transferred via communication lines. This explains why there is an obvious necessity in efficient image compression. Lossless compression is often not able to satisfy the main requirements since compression ratio is too small. Then, one has to apply lossy compression that introduces distortions.

Lossy compression can be based on different principles where the existing standards and other modern compression techniques usually employ orthogonal transforms like discrete cosine transform or wavelets. Type and properties of wavelets have considerable impact on performance of the corresponding compression method [1]. Because of this, selection of a wavelet basis and thorough analysis of its properties is an important task in lossy image compression.

In [5 – 7], infinitely differentiable wavelets with a compact support, which are finite linear combinations of the atomic functions

\[
up_s(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{its} \prod_{k=1}^{s} \frac{\sin^2 \left( \frac{st}{(2\pi)^k} \right)}{(2\pi)^k} dt,
\]

were introduced. These wavelets are called atomic wavelets. Their generalizations, called generalized atomic wavelets, were constructed in [8].

Atomic wavelets and generalized atomic wavelets combine a number of useful properties that makes them a promising tool for data analysis and processing (a detailed discussion is given in [9]). Digital image compression is one of the applications of these functions [10 – 12]. Discrete atomic compression (DAC) of digital images, which was presented in these papers, is based on the following classic compression scheme: discrete transform → quantization → encoding. In Fig. 1, discrete atomic compression of full color digital images is shown.

Application of the quantization procedure provides the possibility of compression, as well as quality loss,
which can be measured using various metrics. The degree of acceptability of these data changes depends directly on the area of application of the compression algorithm. Obviously, the following question is of interest: what parameters of the compression algorithm should be used to provide loss of quality that is acceptable in the sense of some given metric?

It is clear that search for a mechanism, which can be applied to management of quality loss, is not trivial. Among the papers focused on this problem, we note [13 – 15]. Most of them are concentrated on providing a desired mean square error or peak signal-to-noise ratio. However, the use of other criteria is possible. In particular, preservation of spectral signatures is important in near-lossless compression of multichannel remote sensing data [16] and minimal distortions of diagnostically valuable information is acceptable in lossy compression of medical images [17].

**The aim of this paper** is to obtain a technique for managing quality loss that appears when using the DAC algorithm of digital images.

1. Formulation of the problem

Consider the so-called uniform metric or maximum absolute deviation (MAD)

\[
\text{MAD} = \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} |x_i - y_i|,
\]

where \(x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\) and \(y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)\) are the source data and the reconstructed data after their processing respectively.

The main feature of this metric is its extremely high sensitivity to any local changes. This means that if at least one element \(x_k\) is different from the corresponding value of \(y_k\), then this immediately affects the MAD-metric. Although a high value of MAD does not mean high visual quality loss (see Fig. 2). Nevertheless, if this value is small, then difference between each pair \(x_j\) and \(y_j\) is also small, i.e. loss of quality is small.

**The main task of this paper** is to obtain estimates of quality loss measured by the metric MAD.

Discrete atomic transform, which is a core of the algorithm DAC, is a transform of the source data \(\{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\}\) to the set of atomic wavelets coefficients. In DAC, these coefficients are quantized and then encoded. It is at this stage that the main loss of quality appears. Therefore, to solve the current problem, atomic wavelets coefficients should be investigated and their estimates should be obtained.

2. Solution of the problem

2.1. Generalized atomic wavelets

Consider the set of functions \(\{v_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}\) such that

\[
v_k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ixt} V_k(t) dt,
\]

where

\[
V_k(t) = \sin \left( \frac{2^k t}{N} \right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \cos \left( \frac{2^j t}{N} \right) \cdot F \left( \frac{1}{N} \right),
\]

![Fig. 2. Two 24-bit images: the white pixel instead of the black one in the lower left corner of the black square is the only difference between these images. Visually these images might seem identical, but MAD = 255](image)
$N \neq 0$ and $F(t)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $f(x) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ that combines the following properties:

1) $\text{supp } f(x) = [-1, 1]$;
2) $f(x)$ is an even function;
3) $f(x) > 0$ if $|x| < 1$;
4) $\int f(x)dx = 1$.

Here, $\text{supp } f(x)$ is a support of the function $f(x)$, i.e. $\text{supp } f(x) = \{x: f(x) \neq 0\}$.

It is clear that

$$\text{supp } v_k(x) = \left[-\frac{2k}{N}, \frac{2k}{N}\right].$$

(1)

In the paper [4], generalized atomic wavelets $\{w_k(x)\}$ based on these functions were constructed:

$$w_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} c_{k,j} v_{k-1}(x),$$

where $c_{k,1} = c_{k,5} = -b_{k-1}$, $c_2 = c_4 = a_{k-1} + 2b_{k-1}$, $c_3 = -2(a_{k-1} + b_{k-1})$ and

$$a_{k-1} = \int v_{k-1}^2(x)dx,$$

$$b_{k-1} = \int v_{k-1}(x)v_{k-1}(x)dx.$$

The main properties of $w_k(x)$ are:

1) Compactness of the support:

$$\text{supp } w_k(x) = \left[0, \frac{6k}{N}\right].$$

2) Smoothness, the order of which depends on the choice of the function $f(x)$.

In DAC, atomic wavelets constructed using the functions $u_{p,jm}(x)$ are applied. We note that these functions are infinitely differentiable and non-analytic [18]. These features are important in processing of digital images with smooth color changes. Moreover, non-analyticity means that atomic wavelets are less smooth than trigonometric polynomials $\{\cos(nx), \sin(nx)\}$. Hence, the following hypothesis is plausible: atomic wavelets provide better compression of images with contrast changes of color than trigonometric polynomials. Although this statement requires careful analysis and verification.

The system of functions

$$\left\{w_k(x), v_n(x), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}_{k=0,1,2,...}$$

constitutes a basis of the space

$$L = \left\{f(x): f(x) = \sum_j c_j v_0 \left(x - \frac{2j}{N}\right)\right\}.$$
If we equate this to integral of the right part, we see that \( c \cdot 2^{k+1} = 1 \). Hence, \( c = \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} \). This completes the proof of the property 4).

In particular, we have shown that
\[
\nu_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}}{N} \right) + \nu_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}(j+1)}{N} \right) = \frac{N}{2^{k+1}}
\]
for any \( x \in \left[ \frac{2^{k+1}}{N}, \frac{2^{k+1}(j+1)}{N} \right] \) and \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \). Besides, if \( j = 0 \) and \( x = 0 \), then \( \nu_k (0) = \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} \).

Finally, the last property can be proved as follows:
\[
a_k + 2b_k = 2 \int_0^{\frac{2^{k+1}}{N}} \left( \nu_k (x) + \nu_k (x) \cdot \nu_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}}{N} \right) \right) dx = \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} \int_0^{\frac{2^{k+1}}{N}} \nu_k (x) dx = \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} \int_0^{\frac{2^{k+1}}{N}} \nu_k (x) dx = \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} .
\]

Of course, not all properties of \( \nu_k (x) \) are considered here. The probabilistic properties of these functions are of particular interest, but this is a topic for another research.

### 2.3. Estimates of wavelet coefficients

Expansion (3) can be expressed as follows:
\[
d(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k (x) + m(x),
\]
where
\[
\lambda_k (x) = \sum_j \omega_{k,j} w_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}}{N} \right)
\]
and
\[
m(x) = \sum_j u_j v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}}{N} \right).
\]

Here, \( m(x) \) is the main value or trend of the data \( d(x) \). We note that the graph of this function looks like a small copy of the graph of \( d(x) \). In other words, the set of coefficients \( \{ u_j \} \) describes a small copy of the source data.

We call \( n \) the depth of generalized atomic wavelets decomposition. The function \( \lambda_k (x) \) is said to be its \( k \)-th level.

Let \( E_k = \{ \sigma_{k,j} : j \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) be bounded set of real numbers for any \( k = 1, 2, ..., n+1 \).

Consider \( \varepsilon_k = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\sigma_{k,j}| \).

Let
\[
m(x) = \sum_j u_j v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}}{N} \right),
\]

where \( u_j = u_j + \sigma_{n+1,j} \).

It follows from the properties of the function \( v_n (x) \) that for any \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( x \in \left[ \frac{2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1}(p+1)}{N}, \frac{2^{n+1}(p+1)}{N} \right] \) the following holds:
\[
| m(x) - m(x) | = \sum_j | \sigma_{n+1,j} v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}}{N} \right) | = | \sigma_{n+1,p} v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}}{N} \right) + \sigma_{n+1,p+1} v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}(p+1)}{N} \right) | \leq \varepsilon_{n+1} \left( v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}}{N} \right) + v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}(p+1)}{N} \right) \right) = \varepsilon_{n+1} \frac{N}{2^{n+1}} .
\]

Using the notation \( \delta_n = \varepsilon_{n+1} \frac{N}{2^{n+1}} \), we get
\[
\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} | m(x) - m(x) | \leq \delta_n . \quad (5)
\]

Hence, if \( \varepsilon_{n+1} \) is the greatest lower bound of the absolute calculation error of \( \{ u_j \} \), then the maximum absolute deviation of the function \( m(x) \) from \( m(x) \) does not exceed \( \delta_{n+1} \).

Consider any \( k = 1, 2, ..., n \).

Let
\[
\tilde{\lambda}_k (x) = \sum_j \omega_{k,j} w_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}}{N} \right),
\]

where \( \omega_{k,j} = \omega_{k,j} + \sigma_{k,j} \).

Then for each \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( x \in \left[ \frac{2^{k+1} + 2^{k+1}(p+1)}{N}, \frac{2^{k+1}(p+1)}{N} \right] \) we get
\[
| \tilde{\lambda}_k (x) - \lambda_k (x) | \leq \varepsilon_k \left( \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} \right) \left( \frac{N}{2^{k+1}} \right) + \varepsilon_k \lambda_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}}{N} \right) + \varepsilon_k \lambda_k \left( x - \frac{2^{k+1}(p+1)}{N} \right) = \varepsilon_k \frac{N^2}{2^{2k+1}} = \delta_k .
\]

This implies that
\[
\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} | \tilde{\lambda}_k (x) - \lambda_k (x) | \leq \delta_k . \quad (6)
\]

Combining this with (5), we obtain that the maximum absolute deviation of the function \( d(x) \) from
\[
d(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k (x) + m(x)
\]
can be estimated as follows:

\[ \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |d(x) - \hat{d}(x)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \delta_k. \] (7)

This means that the maximum absolute deviation of the source data from the data, which were obtained with some errors, does not exceed the sum of errors of all levels.

Note that the estimate (7) significantly depends on the depth of decomposition and not just on the errors on each of level.

In practice, the data function \( d(x) \) is usually considered on the bounded subset of \( \mathbb{R} \). This yields that only finite set of wavelet coefficients is used. Therefore, \( \varepsilon_k = \max_j |c_{k,j}| \).

### 2.4. Quantization of wavelet coefficients

The relations presented in the previous subsection can be used as the basis of the mechanism for managing quality losses that occur during the quantization of wavelet coefficients.

Let \( \{\delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_{n+1}\} \) be a set of levels errors.

Consider the following wavelet coefficients quantization approach:

\[ \xi_{k,j} = \text{Round} \left( \frac{\omega_{k,j}}{\delta_{k,2^{k+1}}} \right) \] (8)

for any \( k = 1, 2, ..., n \), \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) and

\[ \eta_j = \text{Round} \left( \frac{\nu_j}{\delta_{n+1,2^{n+1}}} \right) \] (9)

for each \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \).

These values can be used to reconstruct wavelet coefficients as follows:

\[ \omega_{k,j} = \xi_{k,j} \cdot \frac{\delta_{k,2^{k+1}}}{N^2}, \] (10)

\[ \nu_j = \eta_j \cdot \frac{\delta_{n+1,2^{n+1}}}{N}. \] (11)

Then the estimate (7) is satisfied, i.e.

\[ \text{MAD} \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 + ... + \delta_{n+1}. \] (12)

We note that this relation is an upper bound and the value of MAD can be significantly less than the sum of levels errors.

A similar approach can be used in the multidimensional case. The main difference is described below.

One-dimensional data \( d(x) \) is presented by one-dimensional array of wavelets coefficients (see Fig. 3).

![Fig. 3. One-dimensional data presentation](image)

Description of two-dimensional data \( d(x,y) \) is provided by the matrix of blocks \( B_{ij} \) corresponding to wavelet levels with respect to \( x \) and \( y \) (see Fig. 4).

![Fig. 4. Two-dimensional data presentation](image)

Each element of the block \( B_{ij} \) is quantized using formulas that are similar to (8) and (9). In this case, we get

\[ \text{MAD} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \delta_{ij}, \]

where \( \delta_{ij} \) is an error of block \( B_{ij} \), \( n \) and \( p \) are depths of wavelet decomposition with respect to each variable. We call the right part of this inequality an upper bound of maximum absolute deviation and denote it by UB-MAD. In this notation, we obtain

\[ \text{MAD} \leq \text{UBMAD}. \] (13)

where

\[ \text{UBMAD} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \delta_{ij}. \] (14)

We see that using the quantization method described above, we guarantee that quality loss measured by MAD does not exceed the predetermined value.

In discrete atomic compression of full color digital images, the DAT-procedure is applied to processing of the matrices \( Y \), \( Cr \) and \( Cb \). Obviously, wavelet coefficients, which are obtained at this stage, describe \( Y \), \( Cr \) and \( Cb \), but not the RGB-matrix of the source image. Nevertheless, if we apply the proposed approach to quantization, then we get the following estimates:

\[ \text{MAD}[Y] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \delta_{ij}[Y], \]

\[ \text{MAD}[Cr] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \delta_{ij}[Cr], \]

\[ \text{MAD}[Cb] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \delta_{ij}[Cb]. \]
where \( \text{MAD}^{[Y]}, \text{MAD}^{[Cr]}, \text{MAD}^{[Cb]} \) are maximum absolute deviations of \( Y, Cr,Cb \) from the reconstructed after quantization matrices \( Y, Cr,Cb \) respectively. Here, \( \delta_{ij}^{[Y]}, \delta_{ij}^{[Cr]}, \delta_{ij}^{[Cb]} \) are the corresponding block errors. It can be easily shown that maximum absolute deviation of RGB-matrix \( A \) of the source image from RGB-matrix \( A \) of the reconstructed image satisfies the following inequality:

\[
\text{MAD} \leq \text{UBMAD},
\]

where

\[
\text{UBMAD} = \max \left\{ \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ij}^{[Y]}, \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ij}^{[Cr]}, \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ij}^{[Cb]} \right\}.
\]

We note that

\[
\text{MAD} = \max \left\{ \left| a_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ij} \right|, \left| a_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ij} \right|, \left| a_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ij} \right| \right\},
\]

where \( a_{ij} = \left( a_{ij}^{[R]}, a_{ij}^{[G]}, a_{ij}^{[B]} \right) \) and \( \bar{a}_{ij} = \left( \bar{a}_{ij}^{[R]}, \bar{a}_{ij}^{[G]}, \bar{a}_{ij}^{[B]} \right) \) are elements of RGB-matrices \( A \) and \( \bar{A} \) respectively.

### 2.5. Experiments

In this subsection, we illustrate the approach proposed above. Atomic wavelets constructed using the function \( \psi_{32}(x) \) are applied in discrete atomic compression of grayscale test images "Baboon", "Boats", "Frisco", "Lenna" and their full color versions (see Fig. 5, 6). These images were downloaded from the USC-SIPI images database [20].

The computer program "Discrete Atomic Compression: Research Kit" is applied [21].

In the current experiments, we consider two cases \( n = p = 1 \) and \( n = p = 5 \), where \( n, p \) are depths of wavelet expansions. The value of UBMAD, which is defined by (14) and (16) in the cases of grayscale test images processing and full color test images processing respectively, is varied. In a similar way, other values of \( n \) and \( p \) can be used. Actually, each of these parameters can be any natural number.

Test images processing results are presented in Tables 1 – 4. In these Tables, values of root mean square (RMS) and peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) are given. Also, dependence of MAD-metric on UBMAD is visualized in Figures 7 – 10 (note that values of UBMAD are given on the x-axis).

### 2.6. Discussion of the results

Analyzing the results, we see the following:

1. Upper estimates (13) and (15) are confirmed by DAC-processing of test images.

2. Equality between MAD and UBMAD is achieved only in few cases (see Table 2: UBMAD = 4, test images "Boats" and "Lenna"; UBMAD = 7, test image "Lenna"). In all other cases, \( \text{MAD} < \text{UBMAD} \).

---

Fig. 5. Grayscale test images: a – "Baboon", b – "Boats", c – "Frisco", d – "Lenna"

Fig. 6. Full color test images: a – "Baboon", b – "Boats", c – "Frisco", d – "Lenna"
### Table 1

DAC-processing of grayscale test images: \( n = p = 1 \)

| UBMAD | Baboon | Boats | Frisco | Lenna |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| MAD   | RMS    | PSNR  | MAD    | RMS    | PSNR  | MAD    | RMS    | PSNR  |
| 4     | 2      | 0.703 | 51.2   | 2      | 0.697 | 51.27  | 2      | 0.686 | 51.4   |
| 5     | 2      | 0.739 | 50.75  | 2      | 0.734 | 50.82  | 2      | 0.689 | 51.36  |
| 7     | 3      | 0.891 | 49.13  | 3      | 0.885 | 49.2   | 3      | 0.874 | 50.25  |
| 8     | 3      | 0.964 | 48.45  | 3      | 0.961 | 48.47  | 3      | 0.864 | 49.4   |
| 9     | 4      | 1.061 | 47.62  | 4      | 1.058 | 47.64  | 4      | 0.876 | 49.28  |
| 11    | 5      | 1.236 | 46.29  | 5      | 1.235 | 46.3   | 4      | 0.967 | 48.43  |
| 12    | 5      | 1.33  | 45.65  | 5      | 1.325 | 45.69  | 5      | 1.096 | 47.34  |
| 15    | 6      | 1.591 | 44.1   | 6      | 1.585 | 44.13  | 5      | 1.179 | 46.7   |
| 19    | 7      | 1.982 | 42.19  | 7      | 1.98  | 42.2   | 8      | 1.393 | 45.25  |
| 23    | 10     | 2.365 | 40.65  | 9      | 2.356 | 40.69  | 8      | 1.616 | 43.96  |
| 27    | 11     | 2.762 | 39.31  | 10     | 2.76  | 39.31  | 10     | 1.836 | 42.85  |
| 31    | 13     | 3.14  | 38.19  | 12     | 3.136 | 38.2   | 12     | 2.088 | 41.74  |
| 35    | 14     | 3.551 | 37.17  | 14     | 3.534 | 37.17  | 13     | 2.289 | 40.94  |
| 39    | 15     | 3.903 | 36.3   | 16     | 3.908 | 36.29  | 13     | 2.596 | 39.85  |
| 43    | 17     | 4.276 | 35.51  | 17     | 4.32  | 35.42  | 16     | 2.751 | 39.34  |
| 47    | 18     | 4.64  | 34.8   | 19     | 4.697 | 34.69  | 16     | 3.016 | 38.54  |
| 51    | 20     | 4.999 | 34.15  | 21     | 5.084 | 34.01  | 17     | 3.149 | 38.17  |
| 59    | 24     | 5.703 | 33.01  | 23     | 5.843 | 32.8   | 23     | 4.097 | 35.88  |

### Table 2

DAC-processing of full color test images: \( n = p = 1 \)

| UBMAD | Baboon | Boats | Frisco | Lenna |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| MAD   | RMS    | PSNR  | MAD    | RMS    | PSNR  | MAD    | RMS    | PSNR  |
| 4     | 3      | 0.733 | 50.83  | 4      | 0.716 | 51.03  | 3      | 0.731 | 50.85  |
| 5     | 4      | 0.912 | 48.93  | 4      | 0.836 | 49.68  | 4      | 0.909 | 48.96  |
| 7     | 6      | 1.229 | 46.34  | 6      | 1.123 | 47.13  | 6      | 1.226 | 46.36  |
| 8     | 7      | 1.381 | 45.32  | 7      | 1.282 | 45.97  | 7      | 1.378 | 45.35  |
| 9     | 8      | 1.584 | 44.33  | 7      | 1.345 | 45.55  | 8      | 1.54  | 44.38  |
| 11    | 9      | 1.878 | 42.66  | 9      | 1.596 | 44.07  | 9      | 1.858 | 42.75  |
| 12    | 10     | 2.047 | 41.91  | 10     | 1.785 | 43.1   | 10     | 2.026 | 42     |
| 15    | 13     | 2.541 | 40.03  | 13     | 2.021 | 42.02  | 12     | 2.438 | 40.39  |
| 19    | 16     | 3.204 | 38.02  | 14     | 2.414 | 40.47  | 16     | 2.96  | 38.7   |
| 23    | 19     | 3.863 | 36.39  | 15     | 2.793 | 39.21  | 19     | 3.424 | 37.44  |
| 27    | 26     | 4.517 | 35.03  | 18     | 3.163 | 38.13  | 21     | 3.877 | 36.36  |
| 31    | 28     | 5.146 | 33.9   | 21     | 3.568 | 37.08  | 25     | 4.289 | 35.48  |
| 35    | 30     | 5.764 | 32.92  | 23     | 3.977 | 36.14  | 28     | 4.61  | 34.86  |
| 39    | 33     | 6.302 | 32.06  | 26     | 4.379 | 35.3   | 32     | 5.076 | 34.02  |
| 43    | 38     | 6.946 | 31.3   | 27     | 4.76  | 34.58  | 35     | 5.535 | 33.56  |
| 47    | 42     | 7.505 | 30.62  | 33     | 5.164 | 33.87  | 35     | 5.979 | 32.6   |
| 51    | 44     | 8.066 | 30     | 39     | 5.6   | 33.17  | 39     | 6.056 | 32.49  |
| 59    | 48     | 9.099 | 28.95  | 34     | 6.365 | 32.06  | 45     | 7.119 | 31.08  |
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Table 3

DAC-processing of grayscale test images: n = p = 5

| UBMAD | Baboon | Boats | Frisco | Lenna |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|       | MAD    | RMS   | PSNR   | MAD   | RMS   | PSNR   | MAD   | RMS   | PSNR   |
| 36    | 4      | 0.908 | 48,97  | 5     | 0.915 | 48,9   | 5     | 0.881 | 49,23  |
| 39    | 4      | 1.019 | 47,96  | 5     | 1.025 | 47,91  | 4     | 0.951 | 48,57  |
| 46    | 5      | 1.136 | 47,03  | 6     | 1.14  | 46,99  | 6     | 1.053 | 47,68  |
| 57    | 6      | 1.317 | 45,74  | 6     | 1.32  | 45,72  | 7     | 1.17  | 46,76  |
| 66    | 7      | 1.422 | 45,07  | 7     | 1.426 | 45,05  | 7     | 1.281 | 45,98  |
| 71    | 7      | 1.487 | 44,68  | 8     | 1.492 | 44,65  | 7     | 1.352 | 45,51  |
| 77    | 8      | 1.752 | 43,26  | 10    | 1.757 | 43,24  | 8     | 1.437 | 44,98  |
| 91    | 12     | 2.017 | 42,04  | 11    | 2.021 | 42,02  | 9     | 1.62  | 43,94  |
| 113   | 12     | 2.408 | 40,5   | 12    | 2.405 | 40,51  | 12    | 1.846 | 42,81  |
| 125   | 14     | 3.033 | 38,49  | 14    | 3.027 | 38,51  | 13    | 1.97  | 42,24  |
| 161   | 21     | 4.298 | 35,47  | 20    | 4.389 | 35,28  | 17    | 2.286 | 40,95  |
| 189   | 24     | 4.513 | 35,04  | 21    | 4.597 | 34,88  | 20    | 2.585 | 39,88  |

Table 4

DAC-processing of full color test images: n = p = 5

| UBMAD | Baboon | Boats | Frisco | Lenna |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|       | MAD    | RMS   | PSNR   | MAD   | RMS   | PSNR   | MAD   | RMS   | PSNR   |
| 36    | 8      | 1,216 | 46,43  | 8     | 1,171 | 46,76  | 7     | 1,213 | 46,46  |
| 39    | 11     | 1,446 | 44,93  | 8     | 1,345 | 45,56  | 9     | 1,439 | 44,97  |
| 46    | 10     | 1,671 | 43,67  | 11    | 1,542 | 44,37  | 11    | 1,661 | 43,72  |
| 57    | 12     | 2,008 | 42,07  | 12    | 1,778 | 43,13  | 13    | 1,925 | 42,44  |
| 66    | 14     | 2,207 | 41,26  | 14    | 1,95  | 42,33  | 13    | 2,086 | 41,74  |
| 71    | 15     | 2,326 | 41,48  | 15    | 2,071 | 41,81  | 15    | 2,197 | 41,3  |
| 77    | 16     | 2,8   | 39,19  | 16    | 2,278 | 40,98  | 16    | 2,645 | 39,68  |
| 91    | 20     | 3,259 | 37,87  | 19    | 2,586 | 39,88  | 21    | 3,016 | 38,54  |
| 113   | 25     | 3,91  | 36,29  | 22    | 2,923 | 38,81  | 23    | 3,35  | 37,63  |
| 125   | 28     | 4,947 | 34,24  | 25    | 3,115 | 38,26  | 26    | 3,94  | 36,22  |
| 161   | 37     | 6,88  | 31,38  | 28    | 3,521 | 37,2   | 44    | 4,643 | 34,79  |
| 189   | 43     | 7,253 | 30,92  | 30    | 4,004 | 36,08  | 45    | 4,975 | 34,19  |

Fig. 7. Dependence of MAD-metric on UBMAD: grayscale test images, n = p = 1
Fig. 8. Dependence of MAD-metric on UBMAD: full color test images, \( n = p = 1 \)

Fig. 9. Dependence of MAD-metric on UBMAD: grayscale test images, \( n = p = 5 \)

Fig. 10. Dependence of MAD-metric on UBMAD: full color test images, \( n = p = 5 \)
Fig. 11. Graphs of the ratio $\frac{UBMAD}{MAD}$ for the case $n = p = 1$

Fig. 12. Graphs of the ratio $\frac{UBMAD}{MAD}$ for the case $n = p = 5$
This is primarily due to how this estimate was obtained. It is obvious that sometimes the difference between the left and right parts of the inequality
\[ |a + b| \leq |a| + |b| \]
is quite significant. In the proof of (13) an (15), replacing of \(|a + b|\) with \(|a| + |b|\) is used.

This is the reason for the inaccuracy of the estimates. Note also that such a fundamental property of the applied functions as the compactness plays an important role. It is clear that
\[
\sum_j \sigma_{n+1,j} v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}j}{N} \right) \leq \sum_j |\sigma_{n+1,j}| v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}j}{N} \right).
\]

Nevertheless, compactness of the function \(v_n(x)\) provides more accurate estimation
\[
\left| \sum_j \sigma_{n+1,j} v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}j}{N} \right) \right| \leq \sum_j |\sigma_{n+1,j} v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}j}{N} \right)| \leq |\sigma_{n+1,j}| v_n \left( x - \frac{2^{n+1}j}{N} \right),
\]
where \(p\) is such integer that \(x \in \left[ \frac{2^{n+1}j}{N}, \frac{2^{n+1}j+1}{N} \right].\)

3. The maximum difference between MAD and UBMAD is observed for in the case of DAC-processing of full color test images and \(n = p = 5\). DAC-processing with \(n = p = 1\) of full color test images provides the minimum difference between MAD and UBMAD. This means that depths \(n\) and \(p\) of the applied wavelet expansion affect the accuracy of the obtained estimates.

4. The following inequality is satisfied:
\[ \text{MAD} \leq c \cdot \text{UBMAD}, \]
where \(c\) is a correction value, which actually depends on a number of factors. From Tables 1, 3 and 4, it follows that
\[ \text{MAD} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{UBMAD} \]
in the case of processing grayscale test images and \(n = p = 1\);
\[ \text{MAD} \leq \frac{5}{36} \text{UBMAD} \]
in the case of processing grayscale test images and \(n = p = 5\);
\[ \text{MAD} \leq \frac{11}{39} \text{UBMAD} \]
in the case of processing full color test images and \(n = p = 5\). Although the search for correction value requires a detailed investigation.

Hence, the following approach can be applied.

Denote by MAD\textsuperscript{desired} the desired loss of quality measured by the MAD-metric.

Let
\[ \text{UBMAD} = \frac{\text{MAD}^{\text{desired}}}{c}, \]
where \(c\) is a correction value defined in Table 5.

![Table 5](image)

| n, p | Type of image       |
|------|---------------------|
| 1, 2 | grayscale           |
| 1, 3 | full color          |

This implies that the inequality
\[ \text{MAD} \leq \text{MAD}^{\text{desired}} \]
is satisfied. In other words, quality, which is not worse than required, is guaranteed.

5. In all cases, \(\text{UBMAD}_{\text{RMS}} \approx \text{const}\), i.e. this ratio behaves relatively constant (see Fig. 11, 12). It is not hard to show that \(\text{UBMAD}_{\text{RMS}}\) and \(\text{UBMAD}_{\text{PSNR}}\) do not have this property. This means that dependence of quality loss measured by RMS and PSNR on UBMAD is more complex.

## Conclusions

Quantization mechanism, which guarantees that quality loss measured by MAD-metric do not exceed the given value UBMAD, is the main result of this paper. It is based on the obtained upper estimates of coefficients of the generalized atomic wavelet expansions. These results have been confirmed by a number of experiments. When processing full color test images using the algorithm DAC with wavelet expansions depths, which are equal to 1, the value of MAD is closest to the corresponding value of UBMAD. In other cases, accuracy of the estimates can be improved by applying of the correction coefficients. Thus, DAC provides the ability to obtain the desired loss of quality.
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зменшити за допомогою використання поправочного коефіцієнту; 4) відношення очікуваного значення MAD до його реального значення поводить себе відносно постійно, а відношення прогнозованого значення MAD до RMS та PSNR – ні. Висновки: дискретне атомарне стиснення цифрових зображень у поєднанні з запропонованим методом керування втратами якості надає можливість отримати результати потрібної якості, що робить його подальші дослідження та застосування перспективним.

Ключові слова: стиснення з втратами якості; дискретне атомарне стиснення; узагальнені атомарні вейвлети; максимальне абсолютне відхилення; управління втратами якості.

ОБ ОЦЕНКАХ КОЭФФИЦИЕНТОВ РАЗЛОЖЕНИЙ ПО ОБОБЩЕННЫМ АТОМАРНЫМ ВЕЙВЛЕТАМ И ИХ ПРИМЕНИЕ В ОБРАБОТКЕ ДАННЫХ

В. А. Макаричев, В. В. Лукин, И. В. Брысина

В работе рассмотрено дискретное атомарное сжатие (ДАС) цифровых изображений. Этот алгоритм является алгоритмом сжатия с потерями качества. Основная цель данной работы — получить механизм управления потерями качества. Среди большого числа метрик, которые используются для оценки потерь качества, выбрана MAD-метрика (maximum absolute deviation). Важной особенностью этой метрики является ее высокая чувствительность к любым изменениям обрабатываемых данных. В алгоритме ДАС основные потери качества получены в процессе квантования вейвлет-коэффициентов атомарных разложений, которые являются предметом данного исследования. Целью является исследование влияния параметров квантования на атомарные коэффициенты. Задача исследования: получить оценки этих коэффициентов. В работе используются методы теории атомарных функций и цифровой обработки изображений. С использованием свойств обобщенных атомарных вейвлетов получены оценки коэффициентов соответствующих разложений. Эти неравенства представляют зависимость в форме верхних оценок потерь качества от параметров квантования. Путем обработки тестовых изображений получено их экспериментальное подтверждение. Кроме того, найдены значения RMS (root mean square) и PSNR (peak signal to noise ratio). Анализируя результаты экспериментов, которые проводились при помощи компьютерной программы "Discrete Atomic Compression: Research Kit", были получены такие результаты: 1) отклонение ожидаемого значения MAD-метрики от реального в некоторых случаях является значительным; 2) точность оценок существенно зависит не только от параметров квантования, но и от глубины преобразования и типа обрабатываемого изображение (полноцветное или в градациях серого); 3) имеющиеся расхождения можно уменьшить с помощью поправочного вспомогательного коэффициента; 4) отношение ожидаемого значения MAD к его реальному значению ведет себя относительно постоянно, а отношение ожидаемого значения MAD к RMS и PSNR — нет. Выводы: дискретное атомарное сжатие цифровых изображений в сочетании с предложенным методом управления потерями качества позволяет получить результаты требуемого качества, что делает его дальнейшее развитие и применение перспективными.

Ключевые слова: сжатие с потерями качества; дискретное атомарное сжатие; обобщенные атомарные вейвлеты; максимальное абсолютное отклонение; управление потерями качества.
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