Effect of ginsenoside-Rg1 on experimental Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of animal studies
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Abstract. Previous studies have reported that ginsenoside-Rg1 (G-Rg1) was able to mitigate the loss of dopaminergic neurons in animal models of Parkinson's disease (PD). The present study provided a systematic review and meta-analysis of preclinical studies to pool current evidence on the effect of G-Rg1 on neurogenesis in the treatment of PD. Eligible studies were identified through a search from six databases: PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, VIP, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and the Wanfang database. Primary outcomes were tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-positive cells in the nigra, Nissl staining-positive cells in the nigra, pole test time and dopamine (DA) levels in the striatum. A total of 18 eligible studies were identified, involving 343 animals. Of these, 13 reported a significant relationship between G-Rg1 and improved TH-positive cells in the nigra compared with the control group (P<0.00001). Furthermore, 3 studies reported a significant relationship between G-Rg1 and improved Nissl-positive cells in the nigra compared with the control group (P<0.00001). In addition, 4 studies reported a significant effect of G-Rg1 to reduce the total pole test time compared with that in the control group (P=0.001). A total of 3 studies indicated a significant association between G-Rg1 and improved DA levels in the striatum compared with the control group (P<0.00001). These results suggested that G-Rg1 has positive effects in attenuating damage in models of PD, and thus, it is a potential candidate neuroprotective drug for human PD.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most prevalent neurodegenerative disorder after Alzheimer's disease (1). PD is characterized by progressive loss of nigral dopamine neurons and decreased dopamine levels in the striatum of the basal ganglia. Patients with PD present with symptoms such as tremor at rest, rigidity, bradykinesia, postural abnormalities and the freezing phenomenon (1). Studies have reported a prevalence of PD of 0.5-1% among individuals aged 65-69 years and 1-3% among those aged 80 years and above (2). Despite nearly 50 years of research, no effective treatment has been developed for PD (3). L-DOPA has been the most widely used PD treatment, however, its therapeutic effects decrease with long-term therapy. Furthermore, numerous alternative therapies produce severe side effects during therapy (4). The current pharmacological treatments for PD only treat symptoms and cannot stop the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in patients with PD (5). Therefore, it is essential to discover other potential therapeutic agents with better efficacy for PD. Furthermore, reports of the failures of candidate drugs for PD suggest the need for strategies to enhance the probability of effective translation into animal research, therefore providing improved clinical benefits (6). Numerous preclinical systematic reviews have been proposed to promote candidate drug development and discovery as well as clinical drug development. For centuries, ginseng has been used in Traditional Chinese Medicine as a tonic for vitality and stamina. The major active components of ginseng are ginsenosides (7), which exert beneficial effects in humans, including alleviating learning and memory impairment as well as reversing pathological and physiological changes induced by stress and aging. Ginsenoside-Rg1 (G-Rg1) is the most significant bioactive component responsible for the pharmaceutical actions of ginseng (8). It has a wide range of neurotrophic and neuroprotective effects and low toxicity (9). In vivo studies have reported that G-Rg1 protects dopaminergic neurons against glutamate, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) and rotenone toxicities (10-12). Chen et al (13) demonstrated...
the protective effect of Rg1 against MPTP-induced nigral neuronal loss. Heng et al (14) reported that Rg1 improved animal survival rates, dopamine loss, motor neuron deficits and abnormal induced ultrastructural changes.

However, to date, only a small number of systematic reviews have established the effects of G-Rg1 in animal models of PD. Song et al (15) published a systematic review using tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-positive cells in the nigra as the outcome, which is insufficient to judge dopamine neuron loss (16). Therefore, in the present study, further outcomes were included in a meta-analysis, including the number of Nissl stain-positive cells. The majority of the published experimental studies have small sample sizes. Systematically reviewing and meta-analyzing all of these studies in an objective manner is likely to offer reliable and credible evidence on whether a G-Rg1 therapy is effective in experimental PD, allowing for the selection of optimal drug administration requirements for clinical trials. Therefore, a systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to provide evidence supporting the role of G-Rg1 as a neuroprotectant in experimental PD. TH-positive cells, pole test times, Nissl-positive cell counts and DA levels were integrated to perform the meta-analysis.

Materials and methods

Search strategy. The search strategy was designed according to the criteria of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses statement and with no language restrictions (17). An independent search of studies on the effects of G-Rg1 therapy on PD was performed in the following databases from their inception to 2019: PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, VIP, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and Wanfang databases. References of articles and reviews of interest were also scanned for additional relevant studies.

The literature search for the meta-analysis was restricted to published animal studies. In addition, references of relevant original papers and review articles were screened. Using the grouped terms, the PubMed search strategy was as follows and was altered to suit other databases: i) ‘Paralysis agitans’; ii) ‘idiopathic Parkinson’s disease’; iii) ‘Parkinsons disease’; iv) ‘Parkinson’s disease’; v) ‘Parkinson disease’; vi) ‘Parkinsonism’; vii) or/ i-v; viii) ‘ginseng ginsenoside’; ix) ‘ginsenoside-Rg1’; x) ‘G-Rg1’; xi) ‘Rg1’; xii) or/vii-xi, vi and xii.

Selection criteria. The included studies assessed the effects of G-Rg1 in animal models of PD, with the outcomes measured being TH-positive cells in the nigra, Nissl-positive cells in the nigra, and pole test time and/or dopamine (DA) level in the striatum. The following inclusion criteria were established: i) Studies testing the effect of G-Rg1 in animal models of PD; ii) in the treatment group, the TH-positive cells, pole test times, and/or DA levels were compared with vehicle-treated or untreated model animals; and iii) in the treatment group, G-Rg1 was not tested in combination with other neuroprotective agents. The pre-specified exclusion criteria were as follows: i) Reviews, case reports, abstracts, letters or comments, as well as clinical trials; ii) studies not measuring TH-positive cells and/or pole test times and/or Nissl-positive cell counts and/or DA levels as the outcome; and iii) studies not reporting the effect of G-Rg1 in PD. TH-positive cell counts and DA contents are commonly used to measure dopaminergic neurons in the nigra and the striatum, respectively, in animal models of PD (18,19). The pole test is an effective method of estimating bradykinesia and motor coordination in animal models (20). The PD models used have yet to predict the efficacy of a single effective treatment, although they have been useful in selecting certain symptomatically beneficial drugs (21).

Data extraction. The following information was extracted from the studies: i) Name of first author and year of publication, and the method of generating the animal model; ii) sample size, sex, species and body weight of the animals; iii) timing and dosage of treatment as well as the treatment procedure; iv) outcome measures. If the outcome was evaluated at several time-points, the time-point of the last sacrifice was also extracted. The authors were requested to provide additional information if the data required for the review were incomplete or only presented graphically. When no response was received, digital ruler software was used to measure the data from the graphs. Data on the mean value and standard deviation were extracted for each treatment and control group. The time-point of lesion and drug administration were both set at zero.

Definitions of subgroups. It was expected that the numbers of TH-positive cells in the nigra would vary based on different animal strains and PD models. In the present review, the animals were classified into two groups. In one group, C57BL mice were injected with MPTP, while in the second group, Wistar rats were injected with 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA).

Quality assessment. Methodological quality was assessed based on an eight-item modified scale from the STAIR list (21). The modified scale included the following items: i) Peer-reviewed publication; ii) sample size calculation; iii) randomization; iv) allocation concealment; v) report of animals excluded from analysis; vi) blinded assessment of the outcome; vii) compliance with animal welfare regulations; viii) report on potential conflicts of interest and funding sources. For calculation of the quality assessment aggregate score, each item on the eight-item scale was equal to one point.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using RevMan v.5.3 software (https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-cochrane-reviews/revman). Publication bias was analyzed using STATA/SE 12.0 software (StataCorp). P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Data on TH-positive cells, pole test time and DA levels were considered continuous data. These indicators were used to estimate the combined effect size using the standardized mean difference (SMD). The SMD is utilized as a summary statistic in a meta-analysis when all studies assess the same outcome but measure it in different ways (22). Publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot and Egger’s test (23). The I² statistic was used to assess heterogeneity. The fixed-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) was used if heterogeneity was negligible and the random-effects model (DerSimonian and Laird method) was used if heterogeneity was significant. To examine the robustness of the results, a sensitivity
analysis was performed by omitting each study in turn from the total and reanalyzing the quality of the remaining studies. Furthermore, the impact of factors influencing the outcome was evaluated using a pre-specified subgroup analysis based on the following features: Quality score, G-Rg1 dosage and animal weight. The difference between groups was measured by partitioning heterogeneity and using the $\chi^2$ distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, where $n$ equals the number of groups. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test was used to determine significance between groups by using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

Results

**Characteristics of the included studies.** Following an independent review, 485 papers were identified. After removing duplicates, 213 unique articles were identified and 145 papers were excluded after reviewing the titles and abstracts due to at least one of the following reasons: i) Clinical trial and/or ii) review, case report, letter or theory discussion. After reading the remaining 68 papers, which reported the effect of G-Rg1 on PD models, 18 articles (13,14,24-39) were identified as meeting the eligibility criteria (Fig. 1).

The studies involved a total of 343 animals (G-Rg1 group, n=167; control group, n=176) and they all belonged to two species: Wistar rats (n=18) (34,35) and C57BL/6 mice (n=325). Furthermore, 16 out of the 18 studies used 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) -induced models, whereas the remaining two studies used 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA)-induced models (34,35). The sex of the animals used was male in 14 studies and female in 3 studies (34-36). One study did not report animal sex (25). All-female animals were ovariectomized and chloral hydrate and Euthanal were used in 4 studies and 1 study, respectively. The remaining 13 studies did not report the anesthetic drug used. The publication year of the studies ranged from 2002 to 2019. The sample size used in the studies varied from 10 to 47 animals. The mice used weighed 16-30 g, while the rats weighed 220-250 g. However, only the mean or range of the data in each study was used for this meta-analysis, rather than individual data. The schedule of the MPTP injection differed, as 30 mg/kg/day (d) intraperitoneally (i.p.) for 5 d was used in 13 studies (13,24,33,37,38), 25 mg/kg/d i.p. every 4 d on a 40-d schedule was used in 1 study (14) and 60 mg/kg/d i.p. for 1 d was used in 2 studies (36,39). Treatment regimens included 2.5 and 3 $\mu$l
| First author (year) | Species (sex, n) | Body weight (g) | Model, agent (dose, route) and duration | Anesthetic | Intervention method | Outcomes | P-value | (Refs.) |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Chen, 2002          | C57BL mice (male, 6/6) | 20±2            | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (2.5, 5 and 10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. Nissl/Tunel neuron 3. iNOS/caspase-3/NOS | <0.01   | (13)    |
| Chen, 2005          | C57BL mice (male, 8/8) | 20±2            | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | Euthanal   | Rg1 (5, 10 and 20 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d before MPTP injection | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. Nissl cells/Tunel neuron 3. GSH/T/SOD/ p-Jnk/p-c-Jun/ | <0.01   | (24)    |
| Heng 2016           | C57BL/6 mice (male, 19/28) | 23±2            | MPTP (25 mg/kg/d, i.p.), probenecid (250 mg/kg/d, i.p.) every 4d on a 40-d schedule | 10% Chloral hydrate (400 mg/kg, i.p.) | Rg1 (10, 20 and 40 mg/kg/d, i.p.) from D(-3) to day 49 | 1. MB (rotarod/pole tests) 2. TH⁺ protein 3. GFAP/IBA-1⁺ | <0.01   | (14)    |
| Jiang, 2015         | C57BL/6 mice (NR, 10/10) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) from the first day of MPTP injection until 10 days after the last injection of MPTP | 1. MB (rotarod/pole tests) 2. TH/α-synuclein proteins 3. TH fibers | <0.05   | (25)    |
| Liu, 2008           | C57BL/6N mice (male, 15/15) | 18-23           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2-3 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. p-c-Jun/Tunel⁺ cells 3. p-c-Jun protein | <0.01   | (26)    |
| Shi, 2009           | C57BL/6N mice (male, 5/5) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. iNOS/p-erk cells 3. iNOS/p-erk protein” | <0.01   | (27)    |
| Wang, 2008          | C57BL/6N mice (male, 10/10) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. COX-2⁺/PGE/p38 protein | <0.01   | (32)    |
| Wang, 2009          | C57BL/6N mice (male, 9/9) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2-3 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. TH, COX-2, p-c-Jun protein | <0.01   | (33)    |
| Wang, 2012          | C57BL/6N mice (male, 6/6) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2-3 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. p-P38/NF-κB/COX-2/ TH protein | <0.01   | (31)    |
| Wang, 2013          | C57BL/6N mice (male, 9/9) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d         | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2-3 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells 2. NF-κB/iNOS cells 3. NF-κB/iNOS/TH protein expression | <0.01   | (29)    |
Table I. Continued.

| First author (year) | Species (sex, n) | Body weight (g) | Model, agent (dose, route) and duration | Anesthetic | Intervention method | Outcomes | P-value | (Refs.) |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Wang, 2014          | C57BL/6N mice (male, 9/9) | 25-30           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d          | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d. | 1. TH⁺ cells | <0.01 | (30) |
| Wang, 2009          | C57BL/6 mice (male, 6/6) | 20–22           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d          | 10% Choral hydrate (400 mg/kg, i.p.) | Rg1 (5 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d. | 1. DA/DOPAC/HVA | <0.01 | (28) |
| Yan, 2014           | Ovariectomized C57BL/6 mice (female, 10/10) | 20±2            | MPTP (60 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 1d          | NR         | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d. | 1. DA | <0.01 | (36) |
| Xu, 2008            | Ovariectomized Wistar rats (female,6/6) | 220–250         | 2.5 and 3 µl 6-OHDA injected into MFB per time | 10% Choral hydrate (400 mg/kg, i.p.) | Rg1 (10 mg/kg i.p. q.d.) for 14 days | 1. TH⁺ cells | <0.01 | (35) |
| Xu, 2009            | Ovariectomized Wistar rats (female, 12/12) | 220–250         | 2.5 and 3 µl 6-OHDA injected into MFB per time | 10% Choral hydrate (400 mg/kg, i.p.) | Rg1 (10 mg/kg i.p. q.d.) for 14 days | 1. MB (pole tests, rotarod test) | <0.01 | (34) |
| Zhou, 2003          | C57BL mice (male, 8/8) | 20±2            | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d          | NR         | Rg1 (5, 10, 20 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells | <0.01 | (38) |
| Zhou, 2016          | C57B/6J mice (male, 10/10) | 16-25           | MPTP (30 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 5d          | NR         | Rg1 (5, 10, 20 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d; D4, P-T 2 h before MPTP injection for 5d | 1. TH⁺ cells | <0.01 | (37) |
| Zhu, 2014           | C57BL/6J mice (male, 9/9) | 22-30           | MPTP (60 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 1d          | 60 g/l Choral hydrate (30 mg/kg, i.p.) | Rg1 (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) for 3d before MPTP injection | 1. MB (pole tests) | <0.01 | (39) |

MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; NR, not reported; i.p., intraperitoneal injection; TH⁺ cells, tyrosine hydroxylase-positive cells in the nigra; 6-OHDA, 6-hydroxodopamine; NOS, nitric oxide synthase; MFB, medial forebrain bundle; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; d, days; GSH, glutathione; T-SOD, total superoxide dismutase; MB, motor behavior; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase ½; DMT-1, divalent metal transporter 1; DOPAC, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; HVA, homovanillic acid; DAT, dopamine transporter; NF-κB, nuclear factor κB; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2. D4, on the fourth day; P-T, pre-treated.
6-OHDA (3.6 mg/µl in 0.9% saline containing 0.02% w/v ascorbic acid) unilaterally injected into the medial forebrain bundle per treatment in two studies (34,35). A total of five studies used a dose gradient of G-Rg1. Among them, four studies used 5-, 10- and 20 mg/kg doses (24,36-38) and one study utilized 10-, 20- and 40- mg/kg doses (14), while the remaining studies applied 2.5-, 5- and 10-mg/kg doses (13). A total of 13 studies included a single dose of G-Rg1, 10 mg/kg in 12 studies (25-27,29,36,39) and 5 mg/kg in 1 study (28). The number of TH-positive cells in the nigra was the outcome measure in 13 studies (13,24,26,27,29-35,37,38), Nissl-positive cells were the outcome measure in 3 studies (13,23,37), the pole test time was the outcome measure in 4 studies (14,25,37,39) and DA content in the striatum was the outcome measure in 3 studies (28,34,36).

In the 13 studies assessing the TH-positive nigra cells, the TH-positive cell count was appraised using diaminobenzidine staining before incubation with a TH polyclonal antibody and biotinylated IgG as the secondary antibody. The plasma and positive cell processes were stained brown and measured using analytical software. In the 3 studies with Nissl-positive cells as the outcome measure, the staining method was as follows: Paraffin sections were deparaffinized and hydrated, stained with methylene blue buffer for 10 min and immersed into an acetic acid buffer for 2 min. The four studies in which the outcome was the pole test time employed a previously reported protocol (40). The total time required to climb down the pole was measured. The 3 studies in which the DA content in the striatum was the outcome measure, DA contents were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection and the results were expressed as ng/mg wet weight of brain tissue (Table I).

Methodological quality. The quality scores of the 18 studies ranged from 3 to 8, out of which three studies had a score of 3, six studies had a score of 4, four studies had a score of 5, four studies had a score of 6 and one study had a score of 8 (Table II). Only one study mentioned the sample size calculation. All studies presented detailed methods for the random allocation to the treatment group. Allocation concealment was performed in 9 studies. In addition, two studies reported conditions under which the animals were excluded from analysis, while 13 studies included a description of the blinded assessment of the outcome. Furthermore, five studies provided a statement of potential conflict of interest and funding sources (Table II).

Effectiveness. The analysis of TH-positive cells in the nigra included 204 animals in 13 studies, out of which 180 animals in 11 studies were included in the subgroup analysis of MPTP-induced mice and the remaining 24 animals in two studies were included in the subgroup analysis of 6-OHDA-induced rats. However, one study (25) was excluded from the pooled analysis because the data were presented in the form of percentages (TH-positive cells/control %), and the means and standard deviations generated from the raw data were inaccessible. The whole data for analysis were
pooled and a significant difference in the G-Rg1 treatment group compared to the control group was determined (n=204, SMD: 4.23, 95% CI: 3.22 to 5.24, P<0.00001; Fig. 2). The 6-OHDA-induced mice exhibited a larger effect size than the MPTP-induced mice (n=24, SMD: 10.38, 95% CI: 6.71 to 14.05 vs. n=180, SMD: 3.76, 95% CI: 2.88 to 4.63, P<0.00001; Fig. 2). Furthermore, there was obvious heterogeneity between studies for the analysis of TH-positive cells in the MPTP-induced group (Tau²=1.19, Chi²=24.61, df = 10 (P = 0.006); I² = 59%)

Test for overall effect: Z = 8.46 (P < 0.00001)

Subtotal (95% CI) 90

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.19; Chi² = 24.61, df = 10 (P = 0.006); I² = 59%

Figure 2. Pooled estimate of improvement in tyrosine hydroxylase-positive cells in the nigra. CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; SD, Std. deviation; Std., standard; IV, inverse variance; G-Rg1, ginsenoside Rg1; MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; OHDA, 6-hydroxydopamine.

Pre-specified subgroup analysis. In the subgroup analysis for the outcome measure of TH-positive cells, the effect size of G-Rg1 in low-quality studies (quality score=3) was much smaller than that in higher-quality studies (Fig. 7A) (P<0.05). The G-Rg1 dose effect on TH-positive cells was then investigated. A high dose of G-Rg1 (20 mg/kg; n=26, 3 studies) was more effective at increasing dopaminergic neurons than a moderate dose (10 mg/kg; n=180, 11 studies) (P<0.05), low dose (5 mg/kg; n=32, 4 studies) or very low dose (2.5 mg/kg; n=6, 1 study; Fig. 7B (P<0.05). Of note, the effect size was observed to be higher in younger mice (body weight, 16-25 g) than in older mice (body weight, 25-30 g) (P<0.05). Based on effect size, rats (body weight, 220-250 g) were preferable to mice (body weight, 16-30 g; Fig. 7C) (P<0.05).

Discussion

The present study provided a systematic review and meta-analysis to explore the effect of G-Rg1 in animal models of PD. A total of 18 studies with the outcomes of TH-positive cells in the nigra, total pole test time and DA contents in the striatum indicated significant improvement in animal models of PD after G-Rg1 treatment. The present meta-analysis revealed that pretreatment with specific doses of G-Rg1 is able to minimize the loss of dopaminergic neurons in both the nigra and the striatum and improve motor function in animal models of PD.

Methodological quality was assessed based on an eight-item modified scale from the STAIR list. The quality scores of the 18 studies ranged from 3 to 8. High-quality papers (quality scores ≥6) were more rigorous in their research design and they generally included sample size calculation, allocation concealment, reporting of animals excluded from analysis, potential conflicts of interest and funding in their study. A total of three studies (27,31,38) had poor quality (quality scores=3) and they were peer-reviewed publications featuring randomization and compliance with animal welfare regulations, but did not describe the sample size calculation, allocation concealment, reporting of animals excluded from analysis, blinded assessment of outcomes, reporting potential
conflicts of interest and study funding, which may decrease the reliability of the results.

Several limitations of the present study should be considered. First, seven papers were included in English-language databases (PubMed, EMBASE and Web of Science) and the remaining 11 papers were published in the Chinese language, which may lead to selection bias. Furthermore, the present analysis focused on animal studies, as no published studies were reporting on clinical trials of G-Rg1 treatment for PD and data from clinical studies are more valuable than those from animal studies. In addition, the included studies in the present meta-analysis did not report any negative results on TH-positive cells in the nigra, DA levels in the striatum or pole test time. There may have been an overestimation of the results because only available data were included in the analysis, and articles with negative results are more difficult to publish. In addition, the treatment regimens of Rg1 in the included studies varied widely (e.g. in terms of pre- and post-treatment to MTPT or 6-OHDA, frequency and duration), which was also a limitation of the present study. As another limitation, only the association, rather than causation, was evaluated, since the present meta-analysis was an observational research study rather than being experimental. None of the studies reported the effect of G-Rg1 in PD in other species, such as primates. Furthermore, there is no standard way to produce and most importantly assess dopaminergic lesions following toxin-induced lesion in rodents and the complexity includes the dose, the method used to assess denervation and the timing of the assessment after intoxication. In the present study, the SMD rather than the normalized mean difference (NMD) was used. However, SMD is more conservative than the NMD (22). Finally, among the studies included in the present meta-analysis, mild publication bias was suggested by the funnel plot and Egger’s test. Studies with non-significant results may remain unpublished because the authors do not submit their manuscripts to journals for publishing, resulting in potential publication bias. Selective publishing and reporting also contribute to this bias, which must be considered. However, in the present study, publication bias was a possible explanation because all of the 18 included studies had positive rather than negative results.

Significant differences were observed between high- and low-quality papers based on the outcome measures. Specifically, for TH-positive cell outcomes, low-quality studies indicated the lowest effect of G-Rg1, suggesting that a poor-quality research design may have influenced the outcomes of certain previous studies.
studies (41). High-quality studies may have a lower variance, which increases the effect size. On the other hand, improving the quality of studies may help reduce bias when such trials are included in meta-analyses. However, when the data from lower-quality trials are used in meta-analyses, the treatment efficacy may be statistically exaggerated by 30-50% (42). This may explain why the effect size of moderate-quality studies (quality score=4) is slightly higher than that of higher-quality studies (quality score=5). Certain scholars consider allocation concealment or randomization to be the major factors that inflate estimates of treatment efficacy (43). Consequently, high-quality, well-designed studies are required to determine the efficacy of G-Rg1 in PD. Based on the effect size, a high dose of G-Rg1 (20 mg/kg) was indicated to have the highest efficacy in PD models. However, only three studies used this dosage and 13 studies used a dose of 10 mg/kg to examine the outcome of TH-positive cells in the nigra. Therefore, these results should be interpreted with caution in this subgroup analysis. The effects of different dosages of G-Rg1, including their toxic effects, should be explored in future studies. In the present meta-analysis, according to the effect size, the efficacy of G-Rg1 to improve dopaminergic neurons was better in the 6-OHDA-induced rats than in the MPTP-induced mice. However, these results should be interpreted with caution as, in the present meta-analysis, 16 studies used the MPTP-induced model, whereas only 2 studies used 6-OHDA treatment of rats to induce the model of PD. By now, there is sufficient literature illustrating the neuroprotective effects of G-Rg1 in animal models (15). Therefore, in future research, more evidence should be gathered regarding the efficacy of G-Rg1 in 6-OHDA-induced rats. Only 3 studies included in the present meta-analysis measured Nissl stain-positive cells, which may directly indicate the survival of neurons. Loss of TH expression is not necessarily related to cells dying (16,44), and following MPTP and 6-OHDA treatment,
there is a temporal association of tyrosine nitration or cysteine oxidation with inactivation of TH in vitro, suggesting that this covalent post-translational modification is responsible for the in vivo loss of TH neurons (45-47). Nissl staining may make the conclusions more stable in experimental models of PD and future research should pay close attention to this. Furthermore, no published papers are exploring the joint action of G-Rg1 with other neuroprotectants on PD, which should be investigated in future clinical trials. To the best of our knowledge, there are still no clinical studies reporting the effects of G-Rg1 on PD. However, the above results suggest a potential treatment effect of G-Rg1 on PD suitable for a clinical study.

The studies included in the present meta-analysis that used the MPTP-induced model did not strictly follow the protocol of Jackson-Lewis and Przedborski from 2007 (48). Besides, the studies reported on whether G-Rg1 interfered with MPTP toxicokinetics and pre-treatment or if co-administration with G-Rg1 invalidated the interpretation of the data. It is also uncertain whether G-Rg1 prevented the uptake of MPTP by blocking DAT (DA transporter), preventing the conversion of MPTP to MPP and detoxifying MPTP. Therefore, the method of pretreatment with G-Rg1 may not be scientific (48). Further studies on the pharmacological relationship between G-Rg1 and MPTP are required. Furthermore, all studies in the present meta-analysis counted the cell numbers immediately after the last injection of MPTP. This may have led to the reporting of higher numbers as cells take time to die and the best option is to determine the cell numbers after 15 days of toxin application (16,44).

No obvious toxicity of G-Rg1 toward the animals was observed in the 18 studies analyzed in the present study. However, one study that included toxicity testing reported that the intravenous median lethal dose of the combination of salvianolic acid B (SalB) and G-Rg1 was 1,747 mg/kg. This dose was 100-fold greater than the effective dose (15 mg/mg), suggesting that SalB-Rg1 and G-Rg1 is a safe combination that may be considered for future clinical development (49). However, the safety of intravenous ginsenoside-Rg1 calls for extensive basic research and large-scale clinical trials.

Ongoing research is investigating the proposed mechanisms of G-Rg1, including the stimulation of antioxidants (50), anti-inflammatory (51), anti-apoptotic (52) and immune activities (53), the potentiation of nerve growth factor activity (54), maintenance of cellular ATP levels (55), inhibition of excitotoxicity (56), Ca\textsuperscript{2+} over-influx into neurons (57) and the preservation of the structural integrity of neurons (58). The possible mechanisms underlying the effects of G-Rg1 should be further investigated in future studies.

In conclusion, G-Rg1 was able to attenuate the damage caused by toxicants in the nigra and the striatum, as evidenced...
by increased numbers of TH-positive cells and DA levels in the striatum and of Nissl-positive cells, and improved motor function associated with a reduction in the total pole test time in animal models of PD. G-Rg1 has positive effects in attenuating damage in models of PD, suggesting that it is a possible candidate neuroprotective drug for treating human PD. However, there is potential publication bias in most of the reported studies and the limited quality of the experiments decreases the reliability of these results. Further studies should confirm if the neuroprotectant G-Rg1 is a promising drug candidate for PD.
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