CYP2D6 Genotype Predicts Tamoxifen Discontinuation and Prognosis in Patients With Breast Cancer
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PURPOSE
To examine the association between CYP2D6 genotype, discontinuation of tamoxifen therapy, and prognosis for breast cancer.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
We conducted a prospective-retrospective study linking data from a clinical breast cancer register, the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register, and self-reported questionnaires. We genotyped CYP2D6 in 1,309 patients with breast cancer who were treated with tamoxifen and were diagnosed from 2005 to 2012; they were categorized as poor, intermediate, normal, or ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers. We investigated whether metabolizer status was associated with tamoxifen discontinuation and prognosis for breast cancer using Cox regression analysis.

RESULTS
The 6-month discontinuation rates of tamoxifen among poor, intermediate, normal, and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers were 7.1%, 7.6%, 6.7%, and 18.8%, respectively. A U-shaped association was found between CYP2D6 metabolizer status and breast cancer–specific mortality, with adjusted hazard ratios of 2.59 (95% CI, 1.01 to 6.67) for poor, 1.48 (95% CI, 0.72 to 3.05) for intermediate, 1 (reference) for normal, and 4.52 (95% CI, 1.42 to 14.37) for ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers.

CONCLUSION
Both poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers of tamoxifen have a worse prognosis for breast cancer compared with normal metabolizers after receiving a standard dose of tamoxifen. This U-shaped association might call for individualized tamoxifen dosage.
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INTRODUCTION
Adjuvant tamoxifen therapy reduces breast cancer mortality by 31%.1 However, tamoxifen effectiveness vary widely between individuals.2 Tamoxifen is a drug that requires metabolic activation by hepatic cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) to elicit full pharmacologic activity because the corresponding metabolites 4-OH-tamoxifen and endoxifen exhibit much higher binding affinity to the estrogen receptor than the parent compound.2-4 Early evidence has shown that poor CYP2D6 metabolism could predict worse clinical outcomes among patients with breast cancer who are treated with tamoxifen.4 Several studies have confirmed6-7 and some have contradicted8,9 this observation. As a result, conflicting recommendations have been made regarding the use of CYP2D6 genotype for individualizing dosages of tamoxifen.10,11 Compared with the controversial but relatively extensive studies on poor CYP2D6 metabolism, few studies have been able to investigate the association between ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism and tamoxifen response. Several lines of evidence have suggested that ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers have a higher endoxifen level than normal metabolizers,11-13 a finding that has raised the hypothesis that ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers may have better (at least no worse) tamoxifen response after receiving a standard dose of tamoxifen.11 On the basis of this hypothesis, the 2018 Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for CYP2D6 and tamoxifen therapies recommends that patients with ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism use a standard dose of tamoxifen (strength of recommendation: strong).11 This recommendation, however, has not considered the possibility that women with ultrarapid tamoxifen metabolism may have more severe tamoxifen-related adverse effects14 and thus be more likely to discontinue tamoxifen.11-13 Our aim is to test the hypothesis that both poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers of tamoxifen have a worse prognosis compared with women who are normal metabolizers.
PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Population

Our study included data from two Swedish Breast Cancer Cohorts namely, Linné-bröst 1 (LIBRO1) and Karolinska mammography project for risk prediction of breast cancer (KARMA). LIBRO1 is a case-only cohort composed of 5,175 women diagnosed with breast cancer between January 1, 2001, and December 31, 2008, in Stockholm, Sweden.15,16 KARMA is a cohort study initiated in 2011 and is composed of 70,877 women who attended mammography screening or received a clinical mammography at 4 hospitals in Sweden.17 Questionnaires, study materials, and follow-up strategy were similar for both studies because LIBRO1 was the pilot study for the KARMA study. The similarities enabled us to combine data from LIBRO1 and KARMA as we had done before.15,18,19

We included all patients diagnosed with stages I to III breast cancer between 2005 and 2012 who had ever used tamoxifen (Fig 1). Only women diagnosed after 2005 were chosen because the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register was established in 2005 and register-based information on tamoxifen use was not available before that year. By linking to the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register, we identified 1,501 patients with breast cancer who initiated tamoxifen treatment without switching to aromatase inhibitors. Among them, we excluded 76 patients with inadequate or no DNA samples, 47 patients for whom CYP2D6 genotyping failed, and 69 patients who had ever filled one or more prescriptions of moderate-to-potent CYP2D6 inhibitors20 (paroxetine, fluoxetine, bupropion, duloxetine, thioridazine, perphenazine, pimozide, quinidine, ticlopidine, terbinafine, or cinacalcet) during their tamoxifen therapy, leaving a total of 1,309 women for the final analyses (Fig 1). Both LIBRO1 and KARMA were approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden. All women provided written informed consent.

CYP2D6 Genotype and Phenotype

DNA was extracted from peripheral blood, and we geno-typed 14 single nucleotide variants representing the most common CYP2D6 alleles (*2, *2A, *3, *4, *6, *7, *8, *9, *10, *14, *17, *29, *35, *41A) to maximize phenotype prediction by using polymerase chain reaction–based TaqMan allelic discrimination assays on a custom TaqMan OpenArray Genotyping Plate (CYP2D6 alleles, variants, and assays are listed in Appendix Table A1, online only). CYP2D6 gene deletions (*5), duplications, or multiplications were determined by using TaqMan gene copy number assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). When no allele-defining sequence variation was identified, CYP2D6*1 was assigned as the wild-type (reference) allele. All variants

FIG 1. Flowchart of study participants.
were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (except CYP2D6*4, *6, *10) and matched the frequencies expected in a white population (Appendix Table A1).\textsuperscript{21}

CYP2D6 activity score was determined for each patient according to the methods introduced by Gaedigk et al.\textsuperscript{22} In short, a value was assigned to each allele, and the activity score was the sum of the values assigned to each allele.\textsuperscript{11}

CYP2D6 activity score was then used to classify patients with breast cancer as poor (PM, activity score = 0), intermediate (IM, activity score = 0.5 or 1.0), normal (NM, activity score = 1.5 or 2.0), or ultrarapid (UM, activity score > 2.0) CYP2D6 metabolizers.\textsuperscript{11}

**Definitions of Covariates**

Variables that may have potentially affected tamoxifen discontinuation and mortality were selected as covariates. Information on age, menopausal status, tumor size, lymph node involvement, progesterone receptor status, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, tumor grade, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy was retrieved from the Regional Quality Register for Breast Cancer (2005-2006) and the National Quality Register for Breast Cancer (2007-2012). Information on comorbidities used to calculate the Charlson comorbidity index was retrieved from the Swedish Patient Register. Information on education, body mass index, tobacco use, and parity was retrieved from questionnaire surveys. Detailed information about the questionnaire survey can be found in our previous publications.\textsuperscript{16,23,24}

**Outcome Definitions**

**Use of symptom-relieving drugs.** Use of symptom-relieving drugs was defined as filling at least one prescription of the corresponding drugs within 90 days of tamoxifen initiation, including antinauseants (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical [ATC] code A04), anxiolytics (ATC code N05B), analgesics (ATC code N02), and hot flash medications (ATC codes N06AB, N06AX16, N03AX12, C02AC01, N02CX02, and S01EA04).\textsuperscript{25,26} A window of 90 days after tamoxifen initiation was selected because tamoxifen-related adverse effects, if present, usually occur during this time period.\textsuperscript{27} Women who used the corresponding symptom-relieving drugs within 90 days before tamoxifen initiation were excluded from the analyses.

**Tamoxifen discontinuation.** Tamoxifen discontinuation was defined as having any interval between 2 consecutive tamoxifen dispenses exceeding 180 days during the follow-up.\textsuperscript{23} In Sweden, a 3-month supply is the maximum that is allowed to be dispensed. Therefore, an interval of more than 180 days indicates that at least 2 dispenses have been missed, thus resulting in a shortage of tamoxifen for the patient. More information regarding tamoxifen discontinuation can be found in our previous publications.\textsuperscript{18,23,24,28}

Patients were observed from the time they received their first prescription for tamoxifen, and they were censored at death, emigration, contralateral breast cancer, local recurrence, distant metastasis, end of study period (December 2018), or completion of 5-year follow-up, whichever came first.\textsuperscript{23} Date of tamoxifen discontinuation was defined as the date on which the last prescription of tamoxifen was filled plus the days of supply corresponding to the last prescription. Time to tamoxifen discontinuation was defined as the time interval between the date of tamoxifen initiation and the date of tamoxifen discontinuation.

**All-cause and breast cancer–specific mortality.** Patients were observed from their first prescription for tamoxifen until death, emigration, or end of study period (December 2018), whichever came first, to define all-cause and breast cancer–specific mortality. Time to death was defined as the time interval between the date of tamoxifen initiation and the date of death. Information on cause of death was retrieved from the Swedish Cause of Death Register.

**Statistical Analyses**

$\chi^2$ tests were used to assess whether baseline characteristics and the use of symptom-relieving drugs differed by CYP2D6 metabolizer status. Kaplan-Meier analyses were used to investigate the association of CYP2D6 metabolism with treatment discontinuation. The Schoenfeld residual test was used to check the proportionality assumption of the Cox regression analysis. A violation of the assumption was indicated for the association between ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism and tamoxifen discontinuation. The time-dependent hazard ratios (HRs) for ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism were therefore estimated by using flexible parametric survival models.\textsuperscript{29}

We used the delayed-entry Cox regression analysis to investigate the association of CYP2D6 metabolism with all-cause and breast cancer–specific mortality. The delayed-entry model was used to adjust for the fact that women had their blood drawn at a median time of 2.1 years (25% to 75% interquartile; 1.34 to 2.98 years) after their first date of tamoxifen use.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the robustness of our findings. We stratified our analyses by menopausal status, we conducted additional analyses to investigate tamoxifen discontinuation rates by use of symptom-relieving drugs, and we conducted additional analyses to investigate breast cancer mortality rates by tamoxifen discontinuation. We used SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and STATA, version 15.1 (STATA, College Station, TX), for all of the analyses. All statistical tests were two-sided, and statistical significance was defined as $P < .05$. 
**TABLE 1.** Baseline Characteristics for Patients With Breast Cancer Receiving a Standard Dose of Tamoxifen, by CYP2D6 Metabolizer Status

| Characteristic                        | Poor     | Intermediate | Normal  | Ultrapid |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|----------|
|                                       | No. %    | No. %        | No. %   | No. %    | P        |
| Age, years                            |          |              |         |          |         |
| 25-49                                 | 39 34.5  | 161 32.0     | 200 31.0| 18 37.5  | .300     |
| 50-59                                 | 25 22.1  | 93 18.5      | 156 24.2| 11 22.9  |          |
| ≥ 60                                  | 49 43.4  | 249 49.5     | 289 44.8| 19 39.6  |          |
| Menopausal status                     |          |              |         |          | .606     |
| Premenopause                          | 41 38.0  | 182 38.1     | 233 37.8| 22 47.8  |          |
| Postmenopause                         | 67 62.0  | 296 61.9     | 383 62.2| 24 52.2  |          |
| Unknown                               | 5 25     | 29           | 25      |          |          |
| Hormone replacement therapy           |          |              |         |          | .805     |
| Never                                 | 29 44.6  | 115 40.6     | 142 39.9| 11 47.8  |          |
| Ever                                  | 36 55.4  | 168 59.4     | 214 60.1| 12 52.2  |          |
| Unknown                               | 48 220   | 289          | 25      |          |          |
| Family history of breast cancer       |          |              |         |          | .498     |
| No                                    | 93 85.3  | 372 79.7     | 490 81.5| 34 77.3  |          |
| Yes                                   | 16 14.7  | 95 20.3      | 111 18.5| 10 22.7  |          |
| Unknown                               | 4 36     | 44           | 4       |          |          |
| Education, years                      |          |              |         |          | .217     |
| ≤ 12                                  | 51 47.2  | 183 38.0     | 246 40.0| 12 26.1  |          |
| > 12                                  | 48 44.4  | 231 48.0     | 286 46.5| 28 60.9  |          |
| Other                                 | 9 8.3    | 67 13.9      | 83 13.5 | 6 13.0   |          |
| Unknown                               | 5 22     | 30           | 2       |          |          |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                |          |              |         |          | .888     |
| < 25                                  | 57 52.8  | 254 52.6     | 327 53.3| 22 46.8  |          |
| 25-29                                 | 37 34.3  | 168 34.8     | 211 34.4| 21 44.7  |          |
| ≥ 30                                  | 14 13.0  | 61 12.6      | 76 12.4 | 4 8.5    |          |
| Unknown                               | 5 20     | 31           | 1       |          |          |
| Cigarette smoking                     |          |              |         |          | .116     |
| Never                                 | 52 53.1  | 199 45.6     | 257 47.6| 26 63.4  |          |
| Ever                                  | 46 46.9  | 237 54.4     | 283 52.4| 15 36.6  |          |
| Unknown                               | 15 67    | 105          | 7       |          |          |
| Charlson comorbidity index            |          |              |         |          | .237     |
| 0                                     | 95 84.1  | 424 84.3     | 562 87.1| 43 89.6  |          |
| 1                                     | 15 13.3  | 46 9.1       | 53 8.2  | 2 4.2    |          |
| ≥ 2                                   | 3 2.7    | 33 6.6       | 30 4.7  | 3 6.3    |          |
| Parity                                |          |              |         |          | .206     |
| 0                                     | 17 15.6  | 74 15.4      | 105 17.3| 5 10.9   |          |
| 1-2                                   | 66 60.6  | 286 59.6     | 372 61.4| 36 78.3  |          |
| ≥ 3                                   | 26 23.9  | 120 25.0     | 129 21.3| 5 10.9   |          |
| Unknown                               | 4 23     | 39           | 2       |          |          |

(continued on following page)
RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics by CYP2D6 metabolizer status. The prevalence of poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers was 8.6% and 3.7%, respectively. There were no significant differences in baseline and tumor characteristics between CYP2D6 metabolizer status groups. The median age at cancer diagnosis was 58.1 years (range, 25.2 to 88.7 years), and the median follow-up period was 10.4 years (range, 1.1 to 13.4 years).

Tamoxifen Metabolism by CYP2D6 Metabolizer Status and Use of Symptom-Relieving Drugs

Figure 2 shows the association between CYP2D6 metabolizer status and the use of symptom-relieving drugs within 3 months after receiving the standard dose of tamoxifen. Ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers were significantly more likely than normal metabolizers to use symptom-relieving drugs, including antinauseants, anxiolytics, and medications for relief from hot flashes. No significant difference was found for poor or intermediate metabolizers compared with normal metabolizers for the use of symptom-relieving drugs.
Tamoxifen Metabolism by CYP2D6 Metabolizer Status and Tamoxifen Discontinuation

Figure 3 shows the association between CYP2D6 metabolizer status and tamoxifen discontinuation. The 6-month tamoxifen discontinuation rates among ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers was 18.8% (95% CI, 10.2% to 32.9%), which was significantly higher than the 6.7% (95% CI, 5.0% to 8.9%) observed among normal metabolizers. Flexible parametric survival analysis (with adjusting for all the variables listed in Table 1) shows that, compared with normal metabolizers, ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers were more likely to discontinue their treatment within the first 6 months after tamoxifen initiation (HR at month 6, 2.06; 95% CI, 1.11 to 3.82), but not afterward. No significant difference was found for poor or intermediate metabolizers compared with normal metabolizers for tamoxifen discontinuation.

Tamoxifen Metabolism by CYP2D6 Metabolizer Status and Mortality

Table 2 shows the association between CYP2D6 metabolizer status and mortality. Ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers had a significantly higher breast cancer–specific mortality (HR, 4.52; 95% CI, 1.42 to 14.37) compared with normal metabolizers after adjusting for all variables listed in Table 1. When comparing poor CYP2D6 metabolizers to normal metabolizers, a more than twofold increased breast cancer–specific mortality rate was seen (HR, 2.59; 95% CI, 1.01 to 6.67) after adjusting for all the variables listed in Table 1.

Sensitivity Analyses

Stratified analyses by menopausal status provided results similar to those in the main analysis (Appendix Table A2, online only). Additional analyses revealed higher tamoxifen
### TABLE 2. All-Cause and Breast Cancer-Specific Mortality Among Patients With Breast Cancer Receiving a Standard Dose of Tamoxifen, by CYP2D6 Metabolizer Status

| Mortality Type                    | Poor               | Intermediate          | Normal              | Ultrarapid         |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                                  | No. | %    | HR     | 95% CI | No. | %    | HR     | 95% CI | No. | %    | HR     | 95% CI | No. | %    | HR     | 95% CI |
| All-cause mortality              |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Patients                         | 113  | 503  | 645    | 48     |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Deaths                           | 15   | 13.3 | 42     | 8.3    | 39   | 6.0  | 9      | 18.8   |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years) | 18  | 11 to 30 | 13 | 10 to 17 | 8  | 6 to 11 | 24  | 13 to 47 |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Adjusted HR*                     | 2.59 | 1.39 to 4.83 | 1.86 | 1.20 to 2.87 | 1.00 Ref | 4.92 | 2.27 to 10.64 |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Breast cancer-specific mortality |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Patients                         | 113  | 6.2  | 503    | 3.4    | 645  | 2.6  | 48     | 10.4   |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Deaths                           | 7    | 4    | 17     | 3      | 17   | 2     | 5      | 10.4   |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years) | 8  | 4 to 18 | 4  | 3 to 7 | 3  | 2 to 5 | 14  | 6 to 33 |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |
| Adjusted HR*                     | 2.59 | 1.01 to 6.67 | 1.48 | 0.72 to 3.05 | 1.00 Ref | 4.52 | 1.42 to 14.37 |      |      |        |        |      |      |        |        |

Abbreviations: CYP2D6, Cytochrome P450 2D6; HR, hazard ratio.

*Adjusting for all variables listed in Table 1.
discontinuation rates among users of symptom-relieving drugs (except for painkillers; Appendix Fig A1, online only) and higher breast cancer mortality among tamoxifen discontinuers (compared with matched continuers; Appendix Fig A2, online only).

DISCUSSION
Both poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers of tamoxifen have a worse prognosis for breast cancer compared with normal metabolizers. In contrast to normal metabolizers, ultrarapid metabolizers were significantly more likely to use symptom-relieving drugs and discontinue tamoxifen use.

Ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers can have an endoxifen level of around 80 nmol/L at standard doses of tamoxifen,30 which is higher than the accepted therapeutic level of 35 nmol/L or more.12 Whether a higher endoxifen level translates into a better prognosis is debatable.31 Previous studies have hypothesized that the concentration of endoxifen should be within a therapeutic range to balance tamoxifen efficacy and adverse drug reactions.32-35 Exceeding this range may have negative therapeutic effects, leading to life-threatening or intolerable adverse effects.33,32-35

Our study showed that ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers are more likely than normal metabolizers to discontinue tamoxifen use in an early phase of therapy. The result is novel but not surprising because previous studies have shown that treatment-related adverse effects are more likely to cause early rather than late tamoxifen discontinuation.36

Patients with breast cancer who are ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers are usually recommended to use a standard dose of tamoxifen.11 However, ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers cannot benefit from tamoxifen if they do not take it. The high 6-month discontinuation rate of 18.8% could translate to one in six ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers discontinuing treatment within the first 6 months after tamoxifen initiation. This suggests that the current CPIC guideline, without considering treatment discontinuation of ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers, may be misleading.

The quality of evidence for the association between poor CYP2D6 metabolism and mortality—which is deemed necessary to practice truly evidence-based medicine—received a grade of weak in the 2018 CPIC guideline.11 This weak evidence may be partially a result of the fact that most previous studies on poor CYP2D6 metabolizers have defined their comparison (reference) group by combining ultrarapid metabolizers and normal metabolizers into one group.8,9,11 Such a combination dilutes the association between poor CYP2D6 metabolism and tamoxifen outcomes. In this study, classifying ultrarapid metabolizers as normal metabolizers reduced the HR for breast cancer mortality among poor metabolizers from 2.59 to 2.15. This decreased HR consequently increased the power needed to detect a statistically significant association, a problem further exacerbated by the fact that many CYP2D6 studies have been underpowered because of a limited number of patients and events.11 Failure to discriminate ultrarapid from normal CYP2D6 metabolizers, which is common because copy number variations were not commonly determined in previous CYP2D6 studies8,9,11 might thus partially explain the previous inability to detect an association between CYP2D6 and tamoxifen response.11

Failure to adjust for tamoxifen adherence has been hypothesized as another factor that may explain the mixed results on poor CYP2D6 metabolism.32-35 It has been suggested that poor CYP2D6 metabolizers may have better tamoxifen adherence.38 However, we did not see a difference in adherence or use of symptom-relieving drugs among poor, intermediate, and normal metabolizers.

The third potential explanation for the mixed results on poor CYP2D6 metabolism is the use of DNA from different sources in different studies.39 Two trials have previously reported a null association between poor CYP2D6 metabolism and breast cancer outcomes.8,9 However, the validity of the two studies has been questioned because those trials used tumor DNA.39

It has not previously been shown, with sufficient power and long-term follow-up, that poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers are more likely than normal metabolizers to die as a result of breast cancer. This is an important message because millions of women globally are prescribed tamoxifen for the treatment or prevention of breast cancer.20,40 The proportion of poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism has been estimated to be 5.4% and 3.1% in Europe,35 1.9% and 4.6% in the Americas, and 0.4% and 21.2% in Oceania, respectively.41 Therefore, the effect of poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism on tamoxifen treatment is unlikely to be negligible; it might be associated with a less optimal therapy in hundreds of thousands of patients with breast cancer.

Our results suggest that the higher mortality observed among ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers may at least in part be a result of tamoxifen discontinuation. However, because of the low proportion of ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers in the population (3.7%), our study is underpowered to determine the underlying causes for the higher mortality observed among ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers. Thus, studies with larger sample size and measurements of serum tamoxifen metabolites are needed.

Our study has several limitations. First, potential misclassification of ultrarapid metabolizers is possible because among patients with more than two CYP2D6 gene copies, the duplicated alleles were assigned on the basis of the most common allele in two-copy carries. However, such misclassification will diminish rather than strengthen the observed association. Our sensitivity analyses, which were restricted to patients with the same activity score for both
alleles (ie, those with homozygous genotype across the gene and thus without potential misclassification because of genotype uncertainty) showed consistent results (HR, 6.16; 95% CI, 1.85 to 20.53 for breast cancer mortality). Second, in our study, survival bias was possible because blood was drawn at a median of 2.1 years (25% to 75% interquartile, 1.34 to 2.98 years) after tamoxifen initiation. However, we believe this bias is very small because 99% of patients with stage I to III breast cancer who received tamoxifen in Stockholm survived the first 2 years after tamoxifen initiation during our study period.

There is currently no agreement on the test for tamoxifen metabolizer status in the clinical setting. Since 2006, oncologists at Mayo Clinic have offered CYP2D6 testing to patients who have been prescribed tamoxifen, although others are hesitating.42 Our results may help accelerate the transition from evidence to guidelines and enable clinicians to make informed recommendations on CYP2D6 genotyping. However, because this is the first study with sufficient power and detailed drug prescription data to demonstrate an effect of ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolism on tamoxifen outcomes, confirmation of our findings in another cohort is warranted.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that both poor and ultrarapid CYP2D6 metabolizers of tamoxifen have a worse prognosis compared with normal metabolizers. Our findings thus strengthen the need to revisit the current guideline that recommends patients with breast cancer use a standard dose of tamoxifen regardless of their metabolizer status. It could be that CYP2D6 genotype-guided tamoxifen dose adjustment, in combination with concentration monitoring of tamoxifen metabolites, will maximize tamoxifen efficacy while maintaining patients’ quality of life and adherence to therapy.
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FIG A1. Tamoxifen discontinuation among patients with breast cancer receiving a standard dose of tamoxifen, by use of symptom-relieving drugs. The use of symptom-relieving drugs was defined as any prescription of corresponding drugs within 90 days of tamoxifen initiation. Women with any prescription of corresponding symptom-relieving drugs 90 days before tamoxifen initiation were excluded from the analyses.
FIG A2. Breast cancer mortality among patients receiving standard dose of tamoxifen designated as those who discontinued tamoxifen therapy versus those who continued tamoxifen therapy (matched). Data from the Swedish Cancer Register, Swedish Prescribed Drug Register, and Swedish Cause of Death Register were linked, and patients were observed until December 2015. The source population is all women diagnosed with stages I to III breast cancer in Sweden from 2005 to 2015. Those who continued therapy were 1:1 matched to those who discontinued therapy on age, calendar year of cancer diagnosis, tumor size, and lymph node involvement. By definition, no death occurred within 180 days after tamoxifen discontinuation.

TABLE A1. CYP2D6 Alleles and Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium Analysis

| Allele Name | dbSNP ID | TagMan Assay ID | Homozygous | Heterozygous | Wild-Type | O(HET) | E(HET) | \( \chi^2 \) for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium | P |
|-------------|----------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|--------------------------------|-----|
| CYP2D6*2    | rs16947  | C__27102425_10 | 0          | 11          | 1298      | 0.008   | 0.008  | 0.023                           | .879|
| CYP2D6*2A   | rs1080985| C__32407252_30 | 52         | 393         | 864       | 0.300   | 0.308  | 0.752                           | .386|
| CYP2D6*3    | rs35742696| C__32407232_50 | 1          | 52          | 1256      | 0.040   | 0.040  | 0.368                           | .544|
| CYP2D6*4    | rs3892097| C__27102431_00 | 59         | 374         | 876       | 0.286   | 0.305  | 5.348                           | .021|
| CYP2D6*5    | del      | HS00010001.cn  | 1          | 127         | 1181      | 0.097   | 0.094  | 1.652                           | .199|
| CYP2D6*6    | rs5030655| C__32407243_20 | 3          | 28          | 1278      | 0.021   | 0.026  | 35.91                           | <.0001|
| CYP2D6*7    | rs5030867| C__32398875_40 | 0          | 1           | 1308      | 0.0008  | 0.0008 | 0.0002                          | .989|
| CYP2D6*8    | rs5030865| C__30634117c_0 | 0          | 0           | 1309      | 1       | 0.0000 | 1                               |     |
| CYP2D6*9    | rs5030656| C__32407229_60 | 0          | 77          | 1232      | 0.059   | 0.057  | 1.202                           | .273|
| CYP2D6*10   | rs1065852| C__11484460_40 | 5          | 40          | 1264      | 0.031   | 0.038  | 44.52                           | <.0001|
| CYP2D6*14   | see Cyp2D6*8 | C__30634117d_m0 | 0          | 0           | 1309      | 0       | 0      | 0.0000                          | 1    |
| CYP2D6*17   | rs28371706| C__2222771_40 | 0          | 1           | 1308      | 0.0008  | 0.0008 | 0.0002                          | .989|
| CYP2D6*29   | rs59421388| C__34816113_20 | 0          | 1           | 1308      | 0.0008  | 0.0008 | 0.0002                          | .989|
| CYP2D6*35   | rs769258  | C__27102444_F0 | 5          | 115         | 1189      | 0.088   | 0.091  | 1.502                           | .220|
| CYP2D6*41A  | rs28371725| C__34816116_20 | 8          | 160         | 1141      | 0.122   | 0.125  | 0.844                           | .358|

Abbreviations: CYP2D6, CYP2D6, Cytochrome P450 2D6; dbSNP, Database of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms; ID, identification number; E(HET), expected percentage of heterozygous; O(HET), observed percentage of heterozygous.
| Mortality Type                          | CYP2D6 Metabolic Status |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                       | Poor | Intermediate | Normal | Ultrarapid |
| All-cause mortality                    |      |              |        |            |
| Premenopausal                          |      |              |        |            |
| Patients                               | 41   | 182          | 233    | 22         |
| Deaths                                 | 4    | 9.8          | 13     | 7.1        |
| Mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years)| 13   | 5 to 34      | 9      | 5 to 16    |
| Adjusted HR*                           | 6.63 | 1.74 to 25.22| 3.14   | 1.20 to 8.19 |
| Postmenopausal                         |      |              |        |            |
| Patients                               | 67   | 296          | 383    | 24         |
| Deaths                                 | 11   | 16.4         | 38     | 12.8       |
| Mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years)| 22   | 12 to 40     | 16     | 12 to 22   |
| Adjusted HR*                           | 2.44 | 1.17 to 5.10 | 1.58   | 0.95 to 2.62 |
| Breast cancer–specific mortality       |      |              |        |            |
| Premenopausal                          |      |              |        |            |
| Patients                               | 41   | 182          | 233    | 22         |
| Deaths                                 | 3    | 7.3          | 9      | 4.9        |
| Mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years)| 10   | 3 to 30      | 6      | 3 to 12    |
| Adjusted HR*                           | 4.86 | 1.22 to 19.31| 2.20   | 0.78 to 6.24 |
| Postmenopausal                         |      |              |        |            |
| Patients                               | 67   | 296          | 383    | 24         |
| Deaths                                 | 4    | 6.0          | 8      | 2.7        |
| Mortality rate (per 1,000 person-years)| 8    | 3 to 22      | 3      | 2 to 7     |
| Adjusted HR*                           | 3.37 | 0.92 to 12.30| 1.47   | 0.44 to 4.95 |

Abbreviations: CYP2D6, cytochrome P450 2D6; HR, hazard ratio; Ref, reference.

*Adjusted for all variables listed in Table 1.