When rational sections become cyclic — Gauge enhancement in F-theory via Mordell-Weil torsion
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ABSTRACT: We explore novel gauge enhancements from abelian to non-simply-connected gauge groups in F-theory. To this end we consider complex structure deformations of elliptic fibrations with a Mordell-Weil group of rank one and identify the conditions under which the generating section becomes torsional. For the specific case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsion we construct the generic solution to these conditions and show that the associated F-theory compactification exhibits the global gauge group $[SU(2) \times SU(4)]/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SU(2)$. The subsolution with gauge group $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SU(2)$, for which we provide a global resolution, is related by a further complex structure deformation to a genus-one fibration with a bisection whose Jacobian has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section. While an analysis of the spectrum on the Jacobian fibration reveals an $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory, reproducing this result from the bisection geometry raises some conceptual puzzles about F-theory on genus-one fibrations.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation and summary

It has been well-established that F-theory [1–3] is an efficacious tool for the geometric engineering of non-perturbative string theory vacua in even dimensions. An F-theory vacuum is associated to an elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau variety, $\pi : Y \rightarrow B$, where the complex structure of the torus above each point of $B$ specifies the value of the axio-dilaton
at that point in a Type IIB compactification on $B$. The requirement that the axio-dilaton value at each point can be glued together to form a global elliptic fibration is necessary for the consistency of such a vacuum. Any elliptic fibration [4] can be cast in the form of a Weierstrass equation
\begin{equation}
y^2 = x^3 + f x z^4 + g z^6,
\end{equation}
where $[x : y : z]$ are the coordinates of an ambient $\mathbb{P}_{231}$. The condition that this elliptic fibration is Calabi-Yau is expressed through the bundles that the coefficients $f$ and $g$ are global sections of,
\begin{equation}
f \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O}(-4K_B)), \quad g \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O}(-6K_B)),
\end{equation}
with $K_B$ the canonical class of $B$. One significant sector of the geometric engineering of F-theory vacua involves finding necessary and sufficient conditions on the coefficients $f$ and $g$ such that the vacuum has particular physical features. For instance, the vanishing orders of $f$ and $g$ (and $\Delta = 4f^3 + 27g^2$) along certain divisors in $B$ indicate the presence of singular fibers, by the Kodaira-Néron classification [5–8], which are in direct correspondence with the non-abelian gauge algebra in the F-theory effective physics.

In this paper we are interested in studying under what circumstances the non-abelian gauge group, $G$, in the low-energy physics has a non-trivial fundamental group. As is known from [9–11], $\pi_1(G)$ is associated to the existence of torsion inside of the Mordell-Weil group of the elliptic fibration. The Mordell-Weil group is the group of rational sections of the elliptic fibration, which is a finitely generated abelian group [12],
\begin{equation}
\text{MW}(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times \Gamma,
\end{equation}
and the group structure comes from the fiberwise application of the elliptic curve group law. The free part, $\mathbb{Z}^r$, of the Mordell-Weil group gives rise to a $U(1)^r$ symmetry in F-theory [2, 3], and the torsion part, $\Gamma$, is related to the global structure of the non-abelian gauge group.\footnote{Note that such a global structure arising from torsional sections can never affect the abelian part of the gauge group. Instead, non-trivial gauge group structures including abelian symmetries arise directly from rational sections generating the free part of the Mordell-Weil group [13].}
\begin{equation}
\pi_1(G) \cong \Gamma.
\end{equation}

In order to determine the requirements for the existence of torsion inside of the Mordell-Weil group, we shall explore deformations of geometries such that a free section becomes torsional. In other words, we are considering a family of elliptic fibrations with Mordell-Weil rank $r + 1$ without torsion, and whose central fiber is a fibration of MW-rank $r$ and non-trivial torsion $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_n$. Without loss of generality one can restrict one’s attention to $r = 0$, and we shall do so henceforth. Physically, the complex structure deformation from the generic to the central fiber of the family corresponds to the enhancement of a $U(1)$ into a non-simply-connected gauge group with $\pi_1(G) \cong \Gamma$. Indeed, the enhancement of $U(1)$s into non-abelian symmetries has been studied extensively in recent literature. There, the process involves tuning two rational sections to sit atop one another. This has proven to
be very effective in constructing global F-theory compactifications with higher dimensional representations, most recently SU(3) models with a \(6\) representation \[14\] and SU(2) models with \(4\) representation \[15\].

In this article we provide another approach to enhancement by tuning a rational section not to collide with another, but to sit globally at a specific \(\Gamma\) torsional point of the elliptic fiber. A special case of such a tuning was discussed in \[11\]. This paper puts the idea on a general footing and, by doing so, extends the network of known Higgsing chains of F-theory compactifications. Whether it is possible to construct examples of previously unconstructed representations for F-theory matter in this manner of tuning remains an open question.

The generic elliptic fibration with Mordell-Weil torsion can be generated by the following process.

1. One begins with an elliptic fibration with a rank one torsion-free Mordell-Weil group. It has been shown in \[16\] that any elliptic fibration with a rank one Mordell-Weil group is birationally equivalent to a Weierstrass model (1.1) with specific forms of \(f\) and \(g\).

2. Use the group law on the elliptic curve for this Weierstrass equation to determine the \(\Gamma\) torsional points, as described in appendix A, with rational coordinates

\[
[x_\Gamma : y_\Gamma : z_\Gamma].
\]  

(1.5)

3. Let \([x_Q : y_Q : z_Q]\) be the coordinates of the free rational section in each fiber for the rank one model obtained in step 1, and then solve

\[
[x_Q : y_Q : z_Q] = [x_\Gamma : y_\Gamma : z_\Gamma],
\]  

as a global polynomial relation inside the function field of the base of the fibration.

At this point one has determined the sufficient and necessary conditions on \(f\) and \(g\) such that the elliptic fibration has Mordell-Weil group \(\Gamma\). It now remains to study the physics associated to this generic model, such as the non-abelian gauge group and how \(\pi_1(G)\) acts if \(G\) is not simple. However, one subtlety quickly arises; while every elliptic fibration with a rank one Mordell-Weil group is birationally equivalent to the generic model written down in \[16\], the birational transformation does not necessarily preserve the canonical class. Thus the elliptic fibration that is constructed in step 1 above may not be Calabi-Yau, and hence may not be immediately amenable to F-theory. The effective physics in \[16\], and in most of the subsequent literature, for example \[17\text{-}27\], assumed that the generic model was itself Calabi-Yau, however recent work \[14, 15, 28\text{-}32\] has begun to explore the physics of those models where the generic model is not Calabi-Yau. The difference between the two situations is controlled by the height, or “tallness” \[32\], of the rational section, which we review in section 1.2.

In this paper we compute the generic form of an elliptic fibration with \(Z_2\) torsion that arises from a complex structure deformation of the general elliptic fibration with rank one
Mordell-Weil group. Finding the most general solution to the torsional section condition is done in section 2.1. Specializing the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsion model to a Calabi-Yau threefold, the physics of the F-theory compactification is explored in section 2.2, making use of the structure of the tuned Weierstrass model and the anomaly constraints [33] on the resulting 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1,0)$ supergravity theory. In section 3 we construct an explicit resolution of singularities of a singular elliptic threefold which realizes a subsolution of the generic $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section condition and observe the torsional section explicitly. Furthermore the case of $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3$ is discussed in appendix B.

It is known in examples that there is an intimate relationship between the torsion subgroup of an elliptic fibration and the multi-section geometry of a certain “dual” genus-one fibration [28], where this notion of duality has mainly been explored when the elliptic fibration can be written as a toric complete intersection [34, 35]. Where it has been studied such a mapping exchanges the Tate-Shafarevich group, which is the group of genus-one fibrations with the same Jacobian fibration and no isolated multiple fibers, and the torsion subgroup of the Mordell-Weil group. In section 4 we apply the understanding of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Mordell-Weil torsion acquired in the rest of the paper to construct a non-toric genus-one fibration with a bisection whose Jacobian elliptic fibration has Mordell-Weil group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. This example raises important questions about F-theory on genus-one fibrations.

1.2 Review of elliptic fibrations with rank one Mordell-Weil group

In [16] a general form for an elliptic fibration with Mordell-Weil rank one was written down. This model, occasionally referred to as the Morrison-Park model, is given by a Weierstrass form (1.1) with specialized coefficients, $f$ and $g$:

$$y^2 = x^3 + \left(c_1 c_3 - \frac{1}{3} c_2^2 - b^2 c_0\right)x z^4 + \left(-c_0 c_3^2 + \frac{1}{3} c_1 c_2 c_3 - \frac{2}{27} c_4^3 + \frac{2}{3} b^2 c_0 c_2 - \frac{1}{4} b^2 c_1^2\right) z^6.$$  

(1.7)

The coordinates $[x : y : z]$ are again the projective coordinates in the fiber of the $\mathbb{P}_{231}$ fibration over $B$ in which this equation cuts out a hypersurface. The coefficients $b$ and $c_i$ are sections of certain line bundles over the base of the fibration. While any elliptic fibration, of any dimension, with Mordell-Weil rank one is birationally equivalent to (1.7), there is no requirement that the canonical class will be preserved under this birational map. Thus one could begin with a Calabi-Yau elliptic fibration and find a birationally equivalent elliptic fibration of the form (1.7) which is not Calabi-Yau. We write the Weierstrass line bundle [36], of which $f$ and $g$ transform as sections of the fourth and sixth powers, of the resulting elliptic fibration (1.7) as

$$\mathcal{O}(-K_B + D),$$

(1.8)

where $K_B$ is the canonical class of $B$ and $D$ is a divisor class on $B$. Up to a choice of twisting bundle $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$, the classes associated to the specialized coefficients can be determined

---

\[ \text{We choose this form for the Weierstrass line bundle to be able to write the Calabi-Yau condition for the elliptic fibration as } D = 0. \]
through the classes of \( f \) and \( g \). First, it can easily be seen that the class of \( c_0 \) must be even, and so it can be fixed via

\[
[c_0] = 2\beta,
\]

and thus the rest of the classes follow, giving

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{Coefficient} & b & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\
\text{Class} & -2K_B + 2D - \beta & 2\beta & -K_B + D + \beta & -2K_B + 2D & -3K_B + 3D - \beta \\
\end{array}
\]

(1.10)

Because the coefficients have to be globally well-defined sections of corresponding line bundles, the classes in (1.10) must not be anti-effective. This constraints the possible choices of classes \( \beta \) and \( D \) for a given base \( B \).

Given the Weierstrass model (1.7) it is easy to see that there are generically two independent rational sections located at

\[
S_0: \quad [x_0 : y_0 : z_0] = [1 : 1 : 0],
\]

\[
S_Q: \quad [x_Q : y_Q : z_Q] = \left[ c_3^2 - \frac{2}{3} b^2 c_2 : -c_3^2 + b^2 c_2 c_3 - \frac{1}{2} b^4 c_1 : b \right].
\]

(1.11)

We note that the generic Weierstrass model in (1.7) is singular, in particular the fiber above the locus \( b = c_3 = 0 \) is a nodal rational curve. One can see the singular nature of the Weierstrass model by observing the discriminant of (1.7):

\[
\Delta = 64b^6c_3^3 + 16c_0^2\left(8b^4c_2^2 + 12b^4c_1c_3 + 36b^2c_2c_3^2 + 27c_3^4\right)
- 8c_0\left(-8c_3^2\left(b^2c_2 + c_3^2\right) + 4c_1c_3c_2\left(10b^2c_2 + 9c_3^2\right) + 3b^2c_1^2\left(6b^2c_2 + c_3^2\right)\right)
+ c_1^2\left(27b^4c_1^2 - 16c_3^2\left(b^2c_2 + c_3^2\right) + 8c_1\left(9b^2c_2c_3 + 8c_3^3\right)\right).
\]

(1.12)

An alternate way to realize the elliptic fibration (1.7) is via a birationally equivalent, singular, hypersurface in a \( \mathbb{P}_{112} \) fibration over \( B \):

\[
w^2 + b v^2 w = u\left(c_0 u^3 + c_1 u^2 v + c_2 u v^2 + c_3 v^3\right).
\]

(1.13)

Here \([u : v : w]\) are the coordinates on the \( \mathbb{P}_{112} \), and the coefficients are the same sections as in (1.10). The two rational sections are now exhibited clearly: setting \( u = 0 \) yields the reducible equation \( w^2 + b v^2 w = 0 \). Therefore the two points

\[
[0 : 1 : 0] \quad \text{and} \quad [0 : 1 : -b],
\]

(1.14)

in the ambient \( \mathbb{P}_{112} \) fiber mark two distinct points on each elliptic fiber, and thus give rise to two distinct rational sections. Indeed such a hypersurface was determined in [16] to be the generic form for elliptic fibrations with two rational sections, using analogous arguments to those in [4] for deriving the generic Weierstrass equation for elliptic fibrations, which have one rational section. Such a construction can then be mapped into the specialized Weierstrass form (1.7) using Nagell’s algorithm [37].
As discussed, for generic choices of coefficients $b, c$, the section $S_Q$ generates a rank one Mordell-Weil (sub-)group. F-theory compactified on this space, or more precisely on a Calabi-Yau space in the same birational equivalence class, therefore has a $U(1)$ gauge factor. The massless spectrum of this theory contains hypermultiplets which are charged under the $U(1)$ gauge group, and the range of charges that arise generically depends on the divisor class $D$ [32].

The requirement for the existence of certain charged states depending on $D$ can be seen through the Néron-Tate height. The height of a rational section is the projection to $B$ of the self-intersection of the divisor associated to the Shioda map, $\sigma(S_Q)$, of the section [38, 39]:

$$h(S_Q) = -\pi(\sigma(S_Q), \sigma(S_Q)).$$

(1.15)

This height, which is a divisor in $B$, is related to the anomaly of the $U(1)$ gauge factor associated to the section, if $B$ is a twofold base, and anomaly cancellation further relates the charges of the $U(1)$ charged hypermultiplets to $h(S_Q)$ [33]. Assuming that the model (1.7) has no codimension-one singularities except the generic type $I_1$ fibers, the height was worked out in [16], and further one can see that it is bounded by the requirement that $\beta$ be an effective divisor class,

$$h(S_Q) \leq -6K_B + 4D.$$  

(1.16)

From the height one can define a notion of so-called tallness [32], which is constrained by the inequality (1.16),

$$t(S_Q) = \frac{h(S_Q) \cdot h(S_Q)}{-2K_B \cdot h(S_Q)} \leq \max_I q_I^2,$$

(1.17)

where the product is understood as the intersection product on the twofold base $B$. Here the index $I$ runs over the charged hypermultiplets, which have charge $q_I$. It is found that the value of $t(S_Q)$ fixes a minimal largest charge that is forced to appear in the model for a consistent, anomaly free theory.

We will be principally interested in computing the spectrum in the case (1.7) itself defines a Calabi-Yau elliptic fibration, in which case $D = 0$, and the bound (1.16) is saturated when

$$h(S_Q) = -6K_B,$$

(1.18)

for which the tallness of the section is

$$t(S_Q) = 2.$$  

(1.19)

As such, the theory is required to contain a hypermultiplet of charge at least 2, but not necessarily of any higher charge. Indeed if one studies the generic model (1.7) then one can observe that the matter spectrum of this theory, with $D = 0$, consists of charge 1 and 2 hypermultiplets [16]. In [28] it was argued that given any Weierstrass model with non-trivial Mordell-Weil generator $S_Q$, the union of all singlet matter loci is given by the complete intersection

$$V(y_Q, 3x_Q^2 + f z_Q^4) = V(\partial_y p_{W|Q}, \partial_x p_{W|Q}).$$

(1.20)
For the generic single U(1) model (1.7) that we consider, this is in agreement with the results in [16]:

\[ V(I) := V(y_Q, 3x_Q^2 + f z_Q^4) = \left( \begin{array}{l}
-c_3^3 + b^2 c_2 c_3 - \frac{1}{2} b^4 c_1 = 0 \\
-c_3^3 - 2b^2 c_2 c_3^2 + b^4 c_2^2 - b^6 c_0 = 0
\end{array} \right). \quad (1.21) \]

The ideal \( I \) has two associated primes, \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 = (b, c_3) \), with the charge 2 singlets localized at \( V(p_2) \), and the charge 1 singlets sit at \( V(p_1) \). It can be shown that in terms of cycle classes, we have

\[ [V(I)] = [V(p_1)] + 16[V(p_2)]. \quad (1.22) \]

Thus, the multiplicities of the charged singlets are given in terms of intersection numbers as:

- **Charge 1:** \( x_1 = [V(p_1)] = [c_1^4] \cdot [c_3] = 12K_B^2 - 8K_B \cdot \beta - 4\beta^2 \),
- **Charge 2:** \( x_2 = [V(p_2)] = [b] \cdot [c_3] = 6K_B^2 + 5K_B \cdot \beta + \beta^2 \). \quad (1.23)

### 2 Gauge enhancement via \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) torsion

In this section we will determine a Weierstrass fibration that is birationally equivalent to any elliptic fibration with Mordell-Weil torsion \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \). For the construction we assume, as discussed in section 1, that the elliptic fibration fits into a family of elliptic fibrations with a U(1) gauge group, however we do not require any constraints on the dimension of the elliptic fibration, or on its canonical class.

We begin by utilizing that a generic element of such a family of elliptic fibrations is birationally equivalent to a Weierstrass equation of the form (1.7). If the rational section, \( S_Q \), located at the point \((1.11)\) in the Weierstrass model is to be situated globally at the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) torsion point of the elliptic fiber, then one must, as has been determined in appendix A, satisfy

\[ y_Q = -c_3^3 + b^2 c_2 c_3 - \frac{1}{2} b^4 c_1 = 0 \quad (2.1) \]

as a globally valid equation.

From the ideal (1.21) giving the codimension two loci in the base at which the degenerate fibers, and thus the matter hypermultiplets, are located in the U(1) model we can see that one of the two generators is \( y_Q \). As such, it is evident that, after solving (2.1) the second equation of the ideal,

\[ 3x_Q^2 + f z_Q^4 = 0, \quad (2.2) \]

defines a codimension one locus of degenerate fibers, which will in turn give rise to a non-abelian gauge algebra. We point out that the compensation for the loss of a U(1) gauge group by a non-abelian gauge group, \( G \), is expected as the F-theory gauge algebra must have a center which contains a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) such that it is consistent to have \( \pi_1(G) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \). In the following, we will explicitly determine the non-abelian gauge group of the enhanced theory, which turns out to be more intricate than, perhaps, naively expected.
2.1 Deforming to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsion

To solve the tuning condition $y_Q = 0$ as a globally valid equation, we examine $y_Q$ — which is a global section of some line bundle — locally, through the restriction of $y_Q$ to local rings of function germs $O_{B,p}$. The assumption of a smooth base $B$ implies that for any point $p \in B$, this local ring is a unique factorization domain (UFD) [40]. Intuitively, one can think about a UFD as a polynomial ring, in which every polynomial can be factorized uniquely (up to units, i.e., constant pre-factors) into powers of prime, or irreducible, elements. Over a UFD, the equation $y_Q = 0$ can be solved by systematically by determining common factors $r_i$ of individual terms until the equation can be linearly satisfied. For the solution to be valid globally, one has to check that there exist appropriate line bundles with global sections that restrict to $r_i$ at the local rings $O_{B,p}$.

For the case at hand, where we wish to solve (2.1), we observe that

$$y_Q = 0 \iff b^2 \left( c_2 c_3 - \frac{b^2 c_1}{2} \right) = c_3^3,$$

which implies that $b$ must divide $c_3^3$. One can begin the process of solving this particular global polynomial equation over the unique factorization domain by writing the coordinates $b$ and $c_3$ in terms of their coprime decomposition

$$b = \sigma s, \quad c_3 = \sigma r,$$

where now $r$ and $s$ are coprime over the UFD. By direct substitution the polynomial (2.3) becomes

$$\sigma^3 \left( s^2 \left( c_2 r - \sigma s^2 c_1/2 \right) - r^3 \right) = 0.$$  

(2.5)

The first solution of the tuning condition that would give rise to a torsional section would be if $\sigma$ vanished globally, however in such an eventuality one can see that the discriminant, given in (1.12), also vanishes globally, and thus the putative elliptic fibration is everywhere degenerate. We must thus only consider solutions with the vanishing of the second factor in (2.5). The form of the second factor requires $s^2$ to divide $r^3$, however since $r$ and $s$ are coprime this is only possible if $s$ is globally constant. As such the first requirement that (2.3) holds as a global equation is that

$$c_3 = b r,$$

(2.6)

and the remnant equation that must be solved is

$$r \left( c_2 - r^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} b c_1 = 0.$$  

(2.7)

Solving this equation generically over a unique factorization domain yields the solution (see the appendices of [24, 41] for details)

$$b = s_1 s_3, \quad c_1 = 2 s_2 s_4, \quad c_2 = s_3 s_4 + s_1^2 s_2^2, \quad c_3 = s_1^2 s_2 s_3,$$

(2.8)

where $(s_1, s_4)$ and $(s_2, s_3)$ are coprime pairs.
The solution is generic in that this solution is the most general way to solve the equation (2.3) over a UFD. The classes of the $s_i$ are determined by (2.8) and the classes (1.10). They can be expressed in terms of $K_B$, $\beta$, $D$, and a further, a priori arbitrary, class $\Sigma$:

$$
[s_1] = \Sigma, \quad [s_2] = -K_B + D - \Sigma, \quad [s_3] = -2K_B + 2D - \Sigma - \beta, \quad [s_4] = \Sigma + \beta. \quad (2.9)
$$

These classes must not be anti-effective in order for the $s_i$ to be globally well-defined. For a fixed choice of base $B$, $\beta$, and $D$ this requirement constrains $\Sigma$ in terms of $K_B$, $\beta$, and $D$.

For example, if $B = \mathbb{P}^2$, and thus $-K_B = 3H$, where $H$ is the hyperplane class, and we further choose $\beta = nH$, $D = 0$, and $\Sigma = kH$ then the effectiveness requirement is satisfied if either

$$
0 \leq n \leq 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \leq k \leq 3, \\
3 < n < 6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \leq k \leq 6 - n, \\
n = 6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad k = 0.
$$

(2.10)

Note that the range of $n$ is dictated by the effectiveness of the classes in (1.10).

There are a multitude of specialized solutions for the tuning of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section that arise when the generic solution (2.8) is applied with non-generic $s_i$. One relevant specialized tuning, which will be explored in more detail in section 3, is

$$
[s_2] = 0.
$$

(2.11)

After such a tuning one can see that the coefficients $b$ and $c_2$ are now simply written in terms of their coprime decomposition, with common factor $s_3$. One can in addition seek the constraint that $b$ and $c_2$ be generic divisors in $B$, which requires that the intersection of the two divisors be in codimension $\geq 2$; this implies that there is no common component, or that $s_3$ does not vanish anywhere along $B$. For $s_3$ to be a constant function on $B$ it is necessary that it transform as a section of $O_B$. This is fixed, in addition to $s_1$ and $s_4$ being of the same classes as, respectively, $b$ and $c_2$, by imposing

$$
\Sigma = -2K_B - \beta.
$$

(2.12)

This particular specialization, whose explicit resolution will be studied in section 3, can be said to correspond to the generic solution (2.8) with the additional conditions that

$$
[s_2] = 0, \quad s_3 = 1.
$$

(2.13)

As such this specialized solution merely corresponds to setting

$$
c_1 = 0, \quad c_3 = 0,
$$

(2.14)

with $b$, $c_2$, and $c_0$ generic. There are many further specializations which change the structure and configuration of the singular fibers in the elliptic fibration whilst retaining the required $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section, however as they are all specialized solutions of (2.8) they shall not be explicitly considered further here.
2.2 F-theory of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional model

In this section we elaborate on some of the effective physics of an F-theory compactification on the generic elliptic fibration with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Mordell-Weil torsion, as given through the Weierstrass elliptic fibration (1.7) with (2.8). In this we are hampered if the elliptic fibration has non-trivial canonical class, and thus for simplicity, we consider F-theory compactifications to 6D on elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds of the specified form. The restriction to Calabi-Yau is equivalent to taking the divisor class $D$ to be trivial in (1.10) and (2.9). The advantage of 6D compactifications is that there are strong anomaly conditions [33] with which we can bootstrap the spectrum without an explicit resolution.

If we plug the generic solution (2.8) into the expressions for $f$ and $g$ in (1.7), we obtain:

\begin{align*}
  f &= -c_0 s_1^2 s_3^2 + 2 s_1^2 s_2^2 s_3 s_4 - \frac{1}{3} (s_1^2 s_2^2 + s_3 s_4)^2, \\
  g &= \frac{1}{27} (s_1^2 s_2^2 - 2 s_3 s_4) (2 s_1^4 s_4^2 - s_2^2 s_1^2) (s_1^2 s_3^2 + 9 c_0 s_3 - 8 s_2^2 s_4), \\
  \Delta &= -(c_0 s_1^2 - s_1^2)^2 s_3^4 s_1^2 (s_1^2 s_2^2 + 4 c_0 s_3^2 - 4 s_2^2 s_3 s_4). 
\end{align*}

The factorization of the discriminant and the form of $f$ and $g$ indicate the following codimension one singularity types and the corresponding gauge algebras:\footnote{We often write $\{p\}$ as a shorthand for $\{p = 0\}$, the divisor in $B$ cut out by the polynomial $p$.}

\begin{align*}
  \{t\} := \{c_0 s_1^2 - s_1^2\} : I_2 \text{ fiber } \Rightarrow \text{su}(2) \text{ gauge algebra } (\text{su}(2)_A) \\
  \{s_3\} : I_4 \text{ fiber } \Rightarrow \text{su}(4) \text{ gauge algebra} \\
  \{s_1\} : I_2 \text{ fiber } \Rightarrow \text{su}(2) \text{ gauge algebra } (\text{su}(2)_B). 
\end{align*}

Finally, we also have the residual discriminant $\Delta_{\text{res}} = s_1^2 s_2^2 + 4 c_0 s_3^2 - 4 s_2^2 s_3 s_4$, which supports $I_4$ fibers, but no gauge symmetry. We note that the $I_4$ fiber may, at the level of the vanishing orders, not give rise to an $\text{su}(4)$ gauge algebra but instead contribute an $\text{sp}(2)$ gauge group from monodromy effects along the divisor $s_3$ [42-44].

Potential matter sits at codimension two loci where irreducible components of the discriminant intersect each other or self-intersect, and consequently the singularity type of the fiber enhances. Explicit computations reveal the irreducible codimension two loci, with corresponding vanishing orders of $f$, $g$, and $\Delta$, summarized in table 1. The table also contains the matter representations, the origin of which we will discuss now in more detail.

From the types of singularity enhancement in table 1, one can deduce most of the matter representations right away. In particular, the enhancements $(I_2, I_4) \rightarrow I_6$, $I_4 \rightarrow I_6^*$, and $I_2 \rightarrow I_3$ for the loci $\{t\} \cap \{s_3\}$, $\{s_3\} \cap \{s_2\}$, and $\{s_1\} \cap \{c_0 s_3 - s_2^2 s_4\}$ respectively are standard indicators of bifundamental, anti-symmetric and fundamental matter. Also, the enhancement $I_2 \rightarrow III$ is well-known to not support any localized matter. This explains the representations $(2, 4, 1)$, $(1, 6, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 2)$ in table 1.

More exotic are the enhancements $(I_4, I_2) \rightarrow I_7^*$ over $\{s_3\} \cap \{s_1\}$, and especially $(I_2, I_2) \rightarrow I_0^*$ at $\{s_4\} \cap \{s_1\}$. Note that the latter locus is also the ordinary double point singularity of the $\text{su}(2)_A$ divisor $\{t\}$. To infer more information about the representations without resolution, we study the branching rule for the adjoint representation of the gauge
algebra associated with the enhanced singularity type into the product algebra of the colliding codimension one divisors. Hence, at the intersection locus \( \{s_3\} \cap \{s_1\}\) of the \(su(4)\) and \(su(2)\) divisors we locally have an \(so(10)\), and thus we expect matter in the \((1,6,2)\) representation.

At the \(I_f^3\) enhancement over \(\{s_1\} \cap \{s_1\}\), the local algebra, by observing the vanishing orders, is \(so(8)\). Since this is an ordinary double point of the \(su(2)\) divisor \(\{t\}\), which is also transversely intersected by the \(su(2)\) divisor \(\{s_1\}\), we have locally the inclusion \(su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus su(2) \subset so(8)\). It is well-known \([45, 46]\) that an \(su(n)\) self-intersecting in an ordinary double point gives rise to the symmetric and antisymmetric representations\(^4\) of the \(su(n)\). Since there is an additional transverse \(su(2)\) algebra intersecting the self-intersection point the total matter representation here\(^5\) expected is

\[
2_A \otimes 2_A \otimes 2_B = (1_A \oplus 3_A) \otimes 2_B \cong (1, 2) \oplus (3, 2),
\]

where the symmetric and anti-symmetric representations of \(su(2)_A\) are just the adjoint and trivial representations, respectively.

In order to determine the multiplicities of the matter representations, we will impose the cancellation of all 6D gauge anomalies. It turns out that this uniquely fixes all multiplicities to be those shown in table 2. For more details of the anomaly cancellations in 6D F-theory compactifications see e.g. \([47, 48]\).

If we let \(x_{R_{i,j}}\) denote the number of matter hypermultiplets in a representation \(R\) localized along the codimension two points at the intersection of the divisors \(D_i\) and \(D_j\) then we can make the ansatz

\[
x_{R_{i,j}} = a_{R_{i,j}} D_i \cdot D_j.
\]

In such an ansatz we are careful to distinguish the same representations, for example the two different \((1,1,2)\), that arise from distinct pairs of intersecting divisors, and may have

\(^4\)As explained in \([46]\), depending on the global structure of the self-intersecting divisor, the ordinary double point may instead give rise to the trivial and adjoint representations. This distinction is not relevant for \(su(2)\).

\(^5\)A similar situation arose in \([15]\). There, it was a single \(su(2)\) divisor which had a triple self-intersection. The conclusion is that one expects the trifundamental under the local \(su(2)^{18}\) algebra. In \([15]\), since all three local \(su(2)\) copies were identified globally, the trifundamental decomposes into \(2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2 = 2 \oplus 2 \oplus 4\).
different coefficients \( n_{R_{ij}} \) from each codimension two locus. Furthermore, we have non-localized adjoint matter arising as deformation moduli of the gauge algebra divisors. These are counted by the geometric genus \( p_g \) of the divisor [49]. For a smooth divisor \( D \), the geometric genus agrees with the arithmetic genus\(^6\)

\[
p_a = 1 + \frac{1}{2} [D] \cdot ([D] + K_B).
\]

If \( D \) has singularities at points \( P_k \in D \), then the two genera differ by the delta-invariants associated with the singularities:

\[
p_g = p_a - \sum_k \delta_k.
\]

For an ordinary double point singularity, which is precisely the singularity of the \( \mathfrak{su}(2)_A \) divisor we are considering, the delta-invariant is \( \delta_k = 1 \). The exact multiplicity of the non-localized adjoint matter being the geometric genus follows from anomaly cancellation, and thus there are no \( n_{R_{ij}} \) parameters for this matter.

Inserting the multiplicities into the anomaly cancellation conditions for all three gauge factors, it turns out that the anomalies are canceled (independently of the choices for \( \beta, \Sigma \)) if and only if the localized matter multiplicities have

\[
\begin{align*}
n_{(2,4,1)} &= 1 & n_{(1,6,1)} &= 1 & n_{(1,1,2)} &= 1 \\
n_{(1,1,2)}^h &= \frac{1}{2} & n_{(3,1,2)} &= \frac{1}{2} & n_{(1,6,2)} &= \frac{1}{2},
\end{align*}
\]

where we have used the subscript \( h \) to distinguish the two different origins of \( (1,1,2) \) matter, consistent with table 1. The fact that the coefficients \( n_{R_{ij}} \) are \( 1/2 \) in some instances indicates that these are half-hypermultiplets that are situated at those particular codimension two points. As can be readily observed the representations associated to the half-hypermultiplets are all pseudo-real, and thus the half-hypermultiplet exists as a consistent state. The multiplicities of the matter are summarized in table 2.

Up until now, we have not discussed how the presence of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) torsional section affects the F-theory physics. A perhaps naive expectation, based on models in previous works [9] and [11], is that all non-abelian gauge factors should be affected by the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) section, i.e., the global structure should be \( [SU(2) \times SU(4) \times SU(2)]/\mathbb{Z}_2 \). This would be consistent with the fact that — other than adjoints — only either bifundamentals of \( \mathfrak{su}(2)_A \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4) \), or matter carrying the anti-symmetric \( (6) \) representation of \( \mathfrak{su}(4) \) exist. However, the quotient structure should forbid \( (1,1,2) \) matter states, i.e., pure fundamentals under \( \mathfrak{su}(2)_B \). Given that we have these states, we propose that the global gauge group is

\[
G = \frac{SU(2)_A \times SU(4)}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times SU(2)_B.
\]

In addition to the spectrum in table 2, this observation is also supported by the fact that the torsional section passes through the fiber singularities over the \( \mathfrak{su}(2)_A \) and \( \mathfrak{su}(4) \) divisor.

\(^{6}\) This formula holds of course only for divisors, i.e., curves, on a twofold base \( B \).
Table 2. The matter multiplicities in the F-theory compactification to 6D on the Calabi-Yau elliptic fibration (2.15). The first three rows correspond to the adjoint hypermultiplets arising as deformation modes of the codimension one components of the discriminant; the remaining rows are localized codimension two matter.

| Fiber Type | Matter | Multiplicity |
|------------|--------|--------------|
| $I_2$      | $(3, 1, 1)$ | $1 + (\beta + \Sigma) \cdot (2\beta + K_B + \Sigma)$ |
| $J_4$      | $(1, 15, 1)$ | $1 + \frac{1}{2} (\beta + 2K_B + \Sigma) \cdot (\beta + K_B - \Sigma)$ |
| $I_2$      | $(1, 1, 3)$ | $1 + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \cdot (\Sigma - \beta)$ |
| $I_0^*$    | $(2, 4, 1)$ | $2(\beta + \Sigma) \cdot (-2K_B - \beta - \Sigma)$ |
| $I_0^*$    | $(1, 1, 2)_b \oplus (3, 1, 2)$ | $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \cdot (\Sigma + \beta)$ |
| $III$      | $-$ | $-$ |
| $I_1^*$    | $(1, 6, 2)$ | $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \cdot (-2K_B + \beta - \Sigma)$ |
| $I_0^*$    | $(1, 6, 1)$ | $(-K_B - \Sigma) \cdot (-2K_B - \beta - \Sigma)$ |
| $I_3$      | $(1, 1, 2)$ | $\Sigma \cdot (-2K_B + \beta - \Sigma)$ |

but no through the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ singularity. Indeed, the section $S_Q$ (1.11), which after solving the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional condition $y_Q = 0$ (2.8) sits at

$$[x : y : z] = \left[ \frac{s_1^2 s_2^2}{3} - 2 s_3 s_4 : 0 : 1 \right], \quad (2.23)$$

coincides with the $I_2$ singularity of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ in the Weierstrass model (2.15) at

$$[x : y : z] = \left[ \frac{s_1^2 s_2^2}{3} - 2 s_3 s_4 : 0 : 1 \right] \text{ over } \{c_0 s_1^2 - s_2^2\}, \quad (2.24)$$

and with the $I_4$ singularity of the $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ divisor at

$$[x : y : z] = \left[ \frac{s_1^2 s_2^2}{3} : 0 : 1 \right] \text{ over } \{s_3\}. \quad (2.25)$$

However it does not pass through the $I_2$ singularity of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ at

$$[x : y : z] = \left[ \frac{s_3^2 s_4^2}{3} : 0 : 1 \right] \text{ over } \{s_1\}. \quad (2.26)$$

To explicitly verify the global gauge group structure through homology relation as in [11] would require a global resolution of the model. While we will not attempt a resolution of the full model, we will present a resolution for a specialized case in section 3 that exhibits a global gauge group $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_B$. More precisely, we will consider the resolution of a specialization of (2.15), corresponding to

$$s_2 = 0, \quad s_3 = 1. \quad (2.27)$$

The spectrum of that model will then be determined explicitly, and summarized in table 3. It can be easily seen to match the result in table 2 upon imposition of the condition

$$\Sigma = -2K_B - \beta, \quad (2.28)$$

required for setting $s_3$ to be a constant function.
2.3 Higgsing the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional model to U(1)

One can subsequently use the spectrum of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional model as given in table 1 to break the group $G = [SU(2) \times SU(4)]/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SU(2)$ back to a U(1) with only charge 1 and 2 hypermultiplets — up to an overall normalization — and verify that the multiplicities match those found for the singlets in the Morrison-Park model (1.23).

Using an adjoint field, one can break $G$ to its Cartan subgroup, U(1)$^5$. There is then a large set of possible ways to break four out of the five U(1)s and obtain a spectrum with only the desired charged hypermultiplets. One must give vacuum expectation values to four of the many remaining fields, in such a way as to leave behind only a single U(1) gauge factor and no remnant discrete symmetries after the Higgsing. An effective approach is to make use of the Smith normal form [50] to keep track of these subtleties, as well as being implementable algorithmically. We exhaustively scanned through all of the possibilities, similar in spirit to the analysis performed in [51], and we find that all the Higgsing chains leading to such a spectrum fall into three distinct classes, associated to distinct twisting line bundles, $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$, that characterize the U(1) model.

These three distinct classes of models can be interpreted as different ways of combining the coefficients, $s_i$, in (2.8) to produce, after Higgsing, a Weierstrass model of the form (1.7). Higgsing here means that after the $s_i$ are combined into a coefficient $a(s_i)$ we perform a complex structure deformation which renders $a(s_i)$ generic.

It is evident that we can collect the $s_i$ into $b_i$, $c_i$, by utilizing the solution (2.8) with which the Morrison-Park Weierstrass model was tuned to have $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Mordell-Weil torsion in the first place. The tuned model is characterized by two bundles $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$; the twisting line bundle that characterizes the U(1) model after Higgsing is a tensor product of copies of these bundles, and the canonical bundle. For the Higgsed U(1) model corresponding to the identification in (2.8) the twisting line bundle is just $\mathcal{O}(\beta)$.

There are two further collections of coefficients in (2.15) which give rise to a Morrison-Park model (1.7); these are either

$$b' = s_3, \quad c'_0 = s_1^2 c_0, \quad c'_1 = 2 s_1 s_2 s_4, \quad c'_2 = s_3 s_4 + s_1^2 s_2^2, \quad c'_3 = s_1 s_2 s_3,$$

or

$$b'' = s_1, \quad c''_0 = c_0 s_3^2, \quad c''_1 = 2 s_2 s_3 s_4, \quad c''_2 = s_3 s_4 + s_1^2 s_2^2, \quad c''_3 = s_1^3 s_2,$$

with twisting line bundles $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma + \beta)$ and $\mathcal{O}(-2K_B - \Sigma)$, respectively. If one was to begin with a U(1) model and enhance according to (2.29) and (2.30) then these specialization of the Morrison-Park coefficients are captured in the general solution for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Mordell-Weil torsion in (2.8).

3 Resolution of restricted model and the torsional section

In the previous section the structure of the non-simply-connected gauge group in the effective physics was inferred by observing that the consistency of the matter spectrum would require that only the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ and the $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ could be quotiented by $\mathbb{Z}_2$. One can determine explicitly the action of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by studying the crepant resolution of singularities of
Figure 1. On the left: the toric polygon, referred to as $F_6$ in [28], of the fiber ambient space $\text{Bl}_1\mathbb{P}_{112}$ of the blown-up U(1) model (1.13). On the right: the dual polygon, giving rise to the resolved hypersurface equation (3.1). As pointed out in [16], by assuming a unit coefficient in front of the term ${sw}^2$, the two red monomials can be absorbed by a shift of $w$ by a multiple of $u$.

the Weierstrass model (2.15). Crepant resolutions in F-theory [52–57] allow one to observe physical features which are hidden in the singularities of the Weierstrass model; in this case we will see explicitly the torsional relation in homology that is induced by the torsional section.

In the following, we will present the resolution of the restricted version (2.27) of the generic model (2.15). Setting $s_1 = 0$, $s_3 = 1$ is equivalent to setting $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 0$ in the U(1)-fibration (1.13), which is birational to the U(1) Weierstrass model (1.7). Although being specialized, this solution — leading to an $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ gauge algebra — exhibits the two peculiar features of the full model, namely the presence of the singular point of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ divisor and the fact that the other part of the non-abelian gauge group, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$, is not affected by the torsional section. As we will see, these features can be directly extracted from the resolved fiber structure.

3.1 Toric resolution

It was shown in the appendix of [16] that the codimension two singularities in the U(1) model (1.13) can be resolved torically. The introduced blow-up divisor, denoted $s$, vanishes precisely at the rational section in that model. We can write such a model as a hypersurface $Y$ in a $\text{Bl}_1\mathbb{P}_{112}$ fibration $X$ over the base $B$, given by the equation

$$s \ w^2 + b \ v^2 \ w = c_0 \ s^3 \ u^4 + c_1 \ s^2 \ u^3 \ v + c_2 \ s \ u^2 \ v^2 + c_3 \ u \ v^3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

This involves blowing up the $\mathbb{P}_{112}$ ambient space at the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and taking the proper transform of the hypersurface (1.13). The Stanley-Reisner ideal of this ambient space can be easily read off from the toric polygon, shown in figure 1, and is given by

$$\{v \ s, \ u \ w\}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

The divisor classes corresponding to the two sections generating the U(1) are given by

$$U := [u], \quad S := [s].$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.3)
Upon imposing $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 0$, the hypersurface (3.1) develops a further codimension two singularity at
\[ w = s = b = c_2 = 0. \] (3.4)

Such a singularity of $Y$ can be resolved by further blowing up the ambient space at $w = s = 0$, introducing a coordinate $\gamma$, corresponding to a small resolution of the Calabi-Yau hypersurface. After the blow-up the elliptic fibration $\hat{Y}$ is given by the hypersurface equation
\[ \hat{P} \equiv \gamma^2 s w^2 + b w v^2 - c_0 \gamma^2 s^3 u^4 - c_2 s u^2 v^2 = 0, \] (3.5)
in the blown-up ambient space $\hat{X}$ with SR-ideal
\[ \{ s v, u w, \gamma v, w s, u \gamma \}. \] (3.6)

The discriminant of this fibration will be useful later and is given by
\[ \Delta_{\hat{Y}} = c_0 b^2 (c_2^2 - c_0 b^2)^2, \] (3.7)
where the component $b$ gives rise to the $su(2)_B$ algebra and $(c_2^2 - c_0 b^2)$ to the $su(2)_A$.

The blow-up $\gamma$ can be also engineered torically. In terms of the toric diagram of the fiber ambient space, this blow-up precisely corresponds to introducing an additional ray between the rays of $w$ and $s$, as one can see in figure 2. This removes a vertex of the dual polygon, effectively setting $c_3 = 0$ in (3.1) and defining a new hypersurface $\hat{Y}_{F_8}$. The blow-up $\gamma$ defines a section $\Lambda = [\gamma]$ which generates a U(1) in the F-theory compactification on $\hat{Y}_{F_8}$. Thus, we can understand $\hat{Y}$ as a non-toric restriction of the generic toric hypersurface $^7 \hat{Y}_{F_8}$ by $c_1 \to 0$. It can be easily shown that this tunes the section $\Lambda$ to be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional, thus enhancing the U(1) to a non-abelian symmetry.

### 3.2 Torsional section and global gauge group structure

With the fully resolved elliptic fibration (3.5), we want to explicitly determine the homological relation that leads to the non-trivial global gauge group structure. First, it is straightforward to verify the $su(2)_B$ symmetry localized over $b = 0$. Over this locus, the resolved hypersurface (3.5) factorizes as
\[ \hat{P}|_{b=0} = s (\gamma^2 w^2 - u^2 (c_0 \gamma^2 s^2 u^2 + c_2 u^2)), \] (3.8)
thus showing that the section $S$ which generated the U(1) in the Morrison-Park model (3.1), becomes an exceptional divisor. It is a ruled surface where the $\mathbb{P}^1$ fiber has positive intersection with the zero-section, $U$, and so we refer to this fibral curve as the affine node of the $I_2$ fiber. The Cartan generator of $su(2)_B$ is given by the divisor corresponding to the second component of (3.8), with class
\[ E_B = [b] - S, \] (3.9)
which is by definition the remainder of the total divisor class of (3.8) after the exceptional divisor corresponding to the affine node has been subtracted off.

\[ ^7 \text{That is, generic up to the constant coefficient of the } \gamma^2 s w^2 \text{ term.} \]
Figure 2. On the left: the toric polygon, called $F_8$ in [28], of the $\gamma$-blow-up of $\text{Bl}_1\mathbb{P}_{112}$. On the right: the dual polygon, giving rise to the hypersurface equation for $\hat{Y}_{F_8}$. The $\gamma$-blow-up removes the vertex of the dual polygon corresponding to the $c_3$-term of the $U(1)$ model (3.1). As discussed in [28], this elliptic fibration has an $I_2$ locus above $b = 0$. Were it not for a unit coefficient in front of $2sw^2$ (which allows us to absorb the red terms), there would be another $I_2$ locus present. The non-toric tuning $c_1 \to 0$ enhances the $U(1)$ "torsionally".

The fiber splitting over the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ locus can be described through prime ideals. Specifically, one of the two prime factors of the ideal $(\hat{P}, b^2 c_0 - c_2^2)$ is generated by four polynomials,

$$I = (b^2 c_0 - c_2^2, c_2 s u^2 - b w, b c_0 s u^2 - c_2 w, c_0 s^2 u^4 - w^2). \quad (3.10)$$

This codimension two subvariety $V(I)$ of the ambient space $\hat{X}$ is a divisor of $\hat{Y}$ localized over $\{b^2 c_0 - c_2^2\}$. The intersection of this subvariety with $u = 0$ would require $w = 0$ as well, however, since $u w$ is in the SR-ideal (3.6) this intersection is empty. In other words, the zero-section, $U$, does not intersect $V(I)$, which hence corresponds to the non-affine Cartan divisor of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$. Its homology class can be extracted using prime ideal techniques (see, for example, the appendix of [58]), yielding

$$E_A = [V(I)] = (|b| + |w|) \cdot \hat{X} (|c_2| + |w|) - |b| \cdot \hat{X} |c_2| = -4 (2U + S + \beta) \cdot \hat{X} K_B, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\cdot \hat{X}$ denotes the intersection product in $\hat{X}$, and $K_B$ now abusively denotes the pullback of the canonical class of $B$ to $\hat{X}$. In terms of the ambient space homology, one can now use the linear equivalence and SR-ideal relations to show that

$$[\hat{P}] \cdot \hat{X} (\Lambda - U + K_B) + \frac{1}{2}[V(I)] = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

By another abuse of notation, we will use the same label for (toric) divisors of the ambient space $\hat{X}$ and their pull-backs to the hypersurface. Then, the above equation implies that, in the homology of $\hat{Y}$, we have

$$\Lambda - U + K_B = -\frac{1}{2}E_A. \quad (3.13)$$
This relation is the origin of the non-trivial global structure of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ factor \cite{11}, which we will review briefly for the case at hand. Suppose we have matter states $w$ from M2-branes wrapping a fibral curve $C$ in the elliptic fibration $\tilde{Y}$. Because of the relation (3.13), the Cartan charge $q = E_A \cdot C$ of the state $w$ under $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ satisfies

$$
\frac{q}{2} = C \cdot (\Lambda - U + K_B), \tag{3.14}
$$

where $\cdot$ now denotes the intersection product on $\tilde{Y}$. Since $C$ is a fibral curve (i.e., localized over a point in the base), the intersection with the pullback of a base divisor, like $K_B$, vanishes. We are left with the conclusion that $C \cdot (\Lambda - U)$ vanishes, implying that $q/2$ is an integer. In other words, the global structure of this gauge factor is $\text{SU}(2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \text{SO}(3)$. The torsional homology relation (3.13) does not involve the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ divisor, so we have no such a restriction on the allowed representations of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$. Hence, we find that the geometry of the resolved elliptic fibration (3.5) explicitly accounts for the global gauge group structure $\text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{SU}(2)$.

### 3.3 Matter states and codimension two enhancements

We proceed with analyzing the matter enhancements and confirm the previous results (cf. table 2 with the condition (2.28)) found through anomaly cancellation. From the discriminant (3.7), we immediately see that the singularity enhances over the codimension two loci:

$$
c_0 = c_2 = 0, \quad b = c_0 = 0, \quad b = c_2 = 0. \tag{3.15}
$$

Over the first locus resides a type $\text{III}$ fiber, consisting of two fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$ components intersecting each other in a double point. In F-theory, a codimension two enhancement from $I_2$ to type $\text{III}$ hosts no matter.

The second locus, $b = c_0 = 0$, lies on the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ divisor $b = 0$. Here, we find an enhancement to $I_3$, and thus we expect fundamentals of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ that are uncharged under $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$. Concretely, setting $b$ and $c_0$ to zero in $\tilde{P}$ yields

$$
\tilde{P}|_{b=c_0=0} = -s (c_2 u^2 v^2 - \gamma^2 w^2) = -s \left( \sqrt{c_2} u v + \gamma w \right) \left( \sqrt{c_2} u v - \gamma w \right). \tag{3.16}
$$

Note that the factorization of the quadratic term into $\mathbb{P}^1$ involves taking the square root of $c_2$ in codimension two, which is generic on a twofold base. It is then straightforward to compute the intersection numbers with the divisor $E_B$ associated with the Cartan generator of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$. One readily finds

$$
E_B \cdot [\mathbb{P}^1] = -1, \tag{3.17}
$$

that is, states which transforms as weights in the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$. Because there are two distinct fiber components at $b = c_0 = 0$ having fundamental Cartan
Figure 3. The structure of the codimension two singular fiber over the locus $b = c_2 = 0$, demonstrating the intersection pattern of the curves in (3.18); the numbers on each node indicate the multiplicity. It is a non-Kodaira singular fiber which is a contraction of the $I_0^*$ Kodaira fiber where one multiplicity one node is removed.

charges, that can be wrapped individually by M2- and anti-M2-branes, the corresponding 6D theory from F-theory compactified on a threefold $Y$ has a full hypermultiplet of states in the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ localized at $b = c_0 = 0$.

Finally, let us examine the third enhancement locus at $b = c_2 = 0$. This locus lies at the intersection of both $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ divisors, so we expect to find matter that potentially carry non-trivial representations under both gauge factors. The hypersurface equation at that point factors as

$$\hat{P}_{b=c_2=0} = \gamma s^2 (w^2 - c_0 s^2 u^4) = \frac{s \gamma^2}{\mathbb{P}^1_{\gamma}} \left( \frac{w + \sqrt{c_0} s u^2}{\mathbb{P}^1_{s}} \right) \left( \frac{w - \sqrt{c_0} s u^2}{\mathbb{P}^1_{s}} \right).$$

(3.18)

Considering the SR-ideal (3.6), it is straightforward to show that the components intersect each other in an affine $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ Dynkin diagram with one external node removed, as can be seen in figure 3. The component $\mathbb{P}^1_{\gamma}$ is the central node with multiplicity two, and $\mathbb{P}^1_{s}$ is the affine node intersected by the zero-section. These kinds of non-Kodaira fibers have been observed before and can be understood by studying the Coulomb branch of the associated M-theory compactification on the resolved geometry [59–62]. In [60], it was noted that the non-Kodaira singular fibers in codimension two have the form of contractions of Kodaira fibers (see also [63]), and this is consistent with what is observed here. A key point is that this particular fiber, where one specific node is deleted is related to the choice of resolution; topologically distinct crepant resolutions give rise to contracted $I_0^*$ fibers with different nodes removed.

In order to compute the Cartan charge of the fiber components under $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$, we will use the result (3.13) of the previous subsection,

$$E_A = 2 (U - K_B - \Lambda).$$

(3.19)

With that, we can easily compute intersection numbers in the ambient space homology to obtain

$$(E_A, E_B) \cdot [\mathbb{P}^1_{\gamma}] = (2, -1),$$

$$(E_A, E_B) \cdot [\mathbb{P}^1_{s}] = (-2, 0).$$

(3.20)
Table 3. Representations and multiplicities of the matter associated to codimension two singularities for the restricted model (2.27).

Therefore, omitting the effective curves whose homology class is not localized in codimension two, the full set of effective genus zero fiber curves that are localized at \( b = c_2 = 0 \) can be summarized as follows:

| Curve | Cartan Charges | Representation |
|-------|----------------|---------------|
| \( \mathbb{P}^1_\gamma \) | (2, -1) | (3, 2) |
| \( \mathbb{P}^1_\gamma + \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1_\gamma \) | (0, -1) | (3, 2) |
| \( \mathbb{P}^1_\gamma + \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1_\gamma + \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1_\gamma \) | (-2, -1) | (3, 2) |
| \( \mathbb{P}^1_\gamma + \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1_\gamma \) | (0, -1) | (1, 2) |

Note that both combinations \( \mathbb{P}^1_\gamma + \bar{\mathbb{P}}^1_\gamma \) have the same Cartan charges, and it is a matter of choice into which representation we put each; however, full gauge invariance requires that one is in \( (3, 2) \) and one in \( (1, 2) \).\(^8\) It can be observed that for each representation, the number of corresponding effective curves is half the dimension of the representation. This reflects the result we found through anomaly cancellation, see tables 1 and 2, namely that each point in \( \{ b = c_2 = 0 \} \) supports only a half-hypermultiplet in the representations \( (3, 2) \) and \( (1, 2) \).

The spectrum arising from singular fibers in F-theory compactified on \( \hat{Y} \) is summarized in table 3. We have included for later convenience the multiplicities of hypermultiplets, which are just the restriction of those in the generic model (2.15) to \( \Sigma = -2K_B - \beta \). Thus, it is also no surprise that the gauge anomalies all cancel.

### 3.4 Cancellation of gravitational anomaly

In section 2.2, we have used gauge anomalies to determine the spectrum. Here, we will discuss the cancellation of the gravitational anomaly; in anticipation of the discussion in section 4 we shall include several explicit details. In contrast to gauge anomalies, the gravitational anomaly is sensitive to uncharged hypermultiplets. For F-theory compactifications on a smooth elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold \( \hat{Y} \rightarrow B \), the number of uncharged

\(^8\)From a different point of view, the \( \mathfrak{su}(2)_A \) Cartan charges can be interpreted as those of states in the tensor product \( 2 \otimes 2 \cong 3 \oplus 1 \), which naturally has two states with zero Cartan charge.
hypermultiplets is $1 + h^{2,1}(\hat{Y})$. To compute $h^{2,1}(\hat{Y})$, we employ the standard relation
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\text{top}}(\hat{Y}) = 2 \left( h^{1,1}(\hat{Y}) - h^{2,1}(\hat{Y}) \right). \tag{3.22}
\end{equation}

The topological Euler characteristic $\chi_{\text{top}}$ can be determined by dividing $\hat{Y}$ into subspaces and using the additive property of $\chi_{\text{top}}$. This simplifies drastically if we can choose the subspaces such that they are all product spaces, in which case the Euler characteristic just becomes the product of $\chi_{\text{top}}$ for the factors. For the elliptic fibration $\hat{Y}$, the singular fibers in table 3 provide a natural division of $\hat{Y}$ into subspaces [48, 64, 65]. The dramatic simplification that occurs when considering an elliptic fibration can be summarized by noting that
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\text{top}}(I_0) = 0, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation}
that is, the generic fiber, which has a smooth torus, or $I_0$ fiber, has vanishing Euler characteristic. Due to this the only subspaces that contribute to the Euler characteristic are those which involve the singular fibers. Specifically, the decomposition of the Euler characteristic is in terms of the following two classes of contributions.

**Codimension two.** Here the subspaces are of the form $pt \times \text{fiber}$, so that
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\text{top}}(pt \times \text{fiber}) = \chi_{\text{top}}(\text{fiber}). \tag{3.24}
\end{equation}

For singular fibers consisting of smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$'s with normal crossing intersections, the Euler characteristic can be computed by adding the contributions of each $\mathbb{P}^1$, which is 2 minus the number of intersection points on that $\mathbb{P}^1$, and then add the total number of intersection points in that fiber. For our model, we have type $III$ fibers with $\chi_{\text{top}} = 3$, $I_3$ fibers which have $\chi_{\text{top}} = 3$, and the reduced $I_0$ fibers with $\chi_{\text{top}} = 5$. Thus, the total contribution of codimension two fibers to $\chi_{\text{top}}(\hat{Y})$ is the number of points in the base with these specific fiber types, see table 3:
\begin{equation}
\chi^{(\text{codim } 2)} = 3 [c_0] \cdot [c_2] + 3 [c_0] \cdot [b] + 5 [b] \cdot [c_2] = 20 K_B^2 - 14 K_B \cdot \beta - 6 \beta^2 . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation}

**Codimension one.** The codimension one subspaces are ruled surfaces of the form $\Sigma_i \times \text{fiber}_i$, where $\Sigma_i$ are the discriminant components with fiber type $i$. Thus the contribution to the Euler characteristic from these singular fibers is
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\text{top}}(\Sigma \times \text{fiber}) = \chi_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) \chi_{\text{top}}(\text{fiber}). \tag{3.26}
\end{equation}

The topological Euler characteristic of $\Sigma$ is given by
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) = -(\Sigma + K_B) \cdot \Sigma_i + \sum_s \epsilon_s \cdot \#(P_s). \tag{3.27}
\end{equation}

The points $P_s$ are the codimension two enhancement points, of fiber type $s$, that have already been accounted for, and give rise to the correction term $\sum_s \epsilon_s \cdot \#(P_s)$. The value of $\epsilon_s$ depends on the singularity structure of $\Sigma_i$ at $P_s$ in the base [64]. For the case at hand, in table 3, we note that $\epsilon = -1$ for the enhancement points of type $III$ and $I_3$. 
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fibers on any affected discriminant component. On the other hand, the coefficient for the $I_0^*$ enhancement point depends on the divisor $\Sigma$ for which we are considering the contribution: for $\Sigma_B = \{b\}$, we have $\epsilon_{I_0^*} = -1$, whereas for $\Sigma_A = \{c_2^2 - c_0 b^2\}$ the ordinary double point on the divisor gives $\epsilon_{I_0^*} = 0$. As a last ingredient, we need that $\chi_{\text{top}} = 1$ for singular $I_1$ fibers. In summary, we have the following codimension one contributions:

\[
\chi_{c_0}^{(\text{codim} 1)} = - ([c_0] + K_B) \cdot [c_0] - [c_0] \cdot ([c_2] + [b]) = 6 K_B \cdot \beta - 2 \beta^2, \\
\chi_{c_2^2 - c_0 b^2}^{(\text{codim} 1)} = 2 (-([c_2]^2 + K_B) \cdot [c_2]^2 - [c_0] \cdot [c_2]) = -24 K_B^2 + 8 K_B \cdot \beta, \\
\chi_{b}^{(\text{codim} 1)} = 2 (-([b] + K_B) \cdot [b] - [b] \cdot ([c_0] + [c_2])) = -12 K_B^2 - 2 K_B \cdot \beta + 2 \beta^2.
\]

Thus, the Euler characteristic of $\hat{Y}$, which is the sum of (3.25) and (3.28), is

\[
\chi_{\text{top}}(\hat{Y}) = -16 K_B^2 - 2 K_B \cdot \beta - 6 \beta^2. 
\]

To employ the relationship (3.22) between $\chi_{\text{top}}(\hat{Y})$ and the Hodge numbers, we now only need to know $h^{1,1}(\hat{Y})$, which by the Shioda-Tate-Wazir theorem [66] is

\[
h^{1,1}(\hat{Y}) = 1 + h^{1,1}(B) + \text{rk}(G) = 13 - K_B^2, 
\]

where we have used that for a twofold base $B$, $h^{1,1}(B) = 10 - K_B^2$. This determines the number of uncharged hypermultiplets:

\[
n_H^0 = 1 + h^{2,1}(\hat{Y}) = 1 + h^{1,1}(\hat{Y}) - \frac{\chi_{\text{top}}}{2} = 14 + 7 K_B^2 + K_B \cdot \beta + 3 \beta^2.
\]

Meanwhile, the number of charged hypermultiplets is given in table 3, and a quick counting yields\(^9\)

\[
n_H^I = 4 + 22 K_B^2 - K_B \cdot \beta - 3 \beta^2.
\]

The final contributions to the anomaly come from the six vector multiplets of the $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ gauge fields, and $n_T = h^{1,1}(B) - 1 = 9 - K_B^2$ tensor multiplets\(^10\) from divisors in the base. Thus, we verify that the gravitational anomaly cancels [47]:

\[
(n_H^0 + 2 n_H^I) - n_V + 29 n_T = 18 + 29 K_B^2 - 6 + 29 (9 - K_B^2) = 273.
\]

4 Mordell-Weil torsion in the presence of bisections

It has been observed in examples [28, 34, 35] that an elliptic fibration, $Y$, with Mordell-Weil torsion $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is “dual” to a genus-one fibration $Y^\dual$ with an $n$-section. To such a multi-section

\(^9\)Note that the uncharged (Cartan) states of the codimension one deformation modes are accounted for in the $h^{2,1}(\hat{Y})$ uncharged hypermultiplets. Hence, each codimension one adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ only contributes an additional $\text{dim}(\mathfrak{g}) - \text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})$ hypermultiplets to the gravitational anomaly.

\(^10\)Tensor multiplets are related to strings in the 6D theory; the worldvolume theories of strings in 6D $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity theories from F-theory have recently been discussed in [67–70].
geometry, one can associate the Tate-Shafarevich group, \( \text{III}(J(Y^\vee)) \), consisting of the set of all genus-one fibrations, without isolated multiple fibers, which share the same Jacobian fibration \( J(Y^\vee) \) as \( Y^\vee \) \cite{71, 73}. For a genus-one fibration \( Y^\vee \) with an independent \( n \)-section and no codimension one singularities,

\[
\text{III}(Y^\vee) = \mathbb{Z}_n 
\]

is believed to encode the discrete \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) symmetry of F-theory compactified on \( J(Y^\vee) \) \cite{22, 29, 74, 75}. In the presence of codimension one singularities, one observes that depending on the non-abelian gauge algebra, the discrete symmetry can be enhanced by the center \cite{28, 34, 76}. In any case, the common folklore is that while the M-theory is different, F-theory compactified on any genus-one fibration in \( \text{III}(J(Y^\vee)) \) gives the same field theory as \( J(Y^\vee) \). Thus, the conjecture is that for an F-theory compactification on \( Y \) with non-trivial global gauge group \( G/\mathbb{Z}_n \), there is a dual compactification on an \( n \)-section geometry \( Y^\vee \).

So far, the conjecture \cite{34} is based on a set of toric examples \cite{28, 77}. For some of these there is a dual heterotic description \cite{35}, where this duality can be understood rigorously. In these examples, the duality manifests itself as a “fiberwise mirror symmetry”: \( Y \) and \( Y^\vee \) are generic complete intersections in an ambient space \( X \) resp. \( X^\vee \), which are fibrations of a toric fiber ambient space \( A \) resp. \( A^\vee \) that are mirror to each other (i.e., they have dual toric fans). However, it is currently not known how to generalize the duality to non-toric examples, mainly because there are no known such constructions.

In this section, we provide evidence that a model relevant in this context arises by deforming the geometry discussed in section 3. In particular, we propose that our non-toric construction yields a bisection geometry \( Y_b \) and an associated Jacobian fibration \( J(Y_b) \), whose F-theory compactification has gauge group

\[
\frac{\text{SU}(2)}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2. 
\]

Having both a bisection and \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) Mordell-Weil torsion in the Jacobian, the construction may be “self-dual” in the above sense, although we will not explore this direction in the present work.\(^{11}\) Nevertheless, a better understanding of this model might shed light on a non-toric formulation of the duality. However, it turns out that just interpreting F-theory on the pair \((J(Y_b), Y_b)\) is more intricate than expected. In the following, we will see that such an interpretation will require further conceptual understanding of F-theory compactifications on multi-section geometries and their associated Jacobians.

### 4.1 A Jacobian fibration with torsional section

It is well known \cite{74-76, 78, 79} that the Morrison-Park model can be deformed through a conifold transition, which physically breaks the \( \text{U}(1) \) to a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) symmetry by giving states with charge 2 a non-zero vacuum expectation value. In the Weierstrass form (1.7), the deformation \( b^2 \rightarrow 4c_1 \) yields a new Weierstrass model

\[
y^2 = x^3 + \left( c_1c_3 - \frac{1}{3} c_2^2 - 4c_0c_4 \right) x z^4 + \left( -c_0c_3^2 + \frac{1}{3} c_1c_2c_3 - \frac{2}{27} c_2^3 + \frac{8}{3} c_0c_2c_4 - c_1^2c_4 \right) z^6. 
\]

\(^{11}\)Note that such self-dual examples also exist in the list of toric models \cite{34}. 
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This elliptic fibration has non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional three-cycles \cite{75}, which indicates the existence of a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry already in the M-theory compactification, and which uplifts to the F-theory compactification. Likewise, there are terminal singularities in codimension two of the fibration, which corresponds to matter charged only under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ \cite{64,74,80,81}. This geometry is the Jacobian $J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ of a generic hypersurface $Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ in a $\mathbb{P}_{112}$ fibration:

$$Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2} : \quad w^2 = c_0 u^4 + c_1 u^3 v + c_2 u^2 v^2 + c_3 u v^3 + c_4 v^4.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

One can easily check that by (the toric) tuning $c_4 \rightarrow b^2/4$ (and a subsequent coordinate shift), this hypersurface becomes the $\mathbb{P}_{112}$ description (1.13) of the Morrison-Park model. Note that this also identifies the classes of $c_i, i = 0, \ldots, 3$ with those of the Morrison-Park model (110), and $[c_4] = 2[b]$. Unlike the Jacobian geometry, this hypersurface is a smooth genus-one fibration with a bisection and trivial torsional homology. The apparently missing $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in M-theory compactified on $Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is restored only when we perform the F-theory uplift, in which case the discrete symmetry emerges as a subgroup of the Kaluza-Klein U(1). While it is not instructive to present explicitly the discriminant and the enhancement loci, we do highlight that F-theory on $J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ (which is the same as F-theory on $Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$) contains $x_1 = 12 K_B^2 - 8 K_B \cdot \beta - 4 \beta^2$ \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

$\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged hypermultiplets. Note that this number agrees with the number of charge 1 singlets in the Morrison-Park model (1.23).

One can now deform these two geometries in an analogous way to that in section 3, namely by setting

$$c_1 = 0, \quad c_3 = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

which in section 3 engineered a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Mordell-Weil group. In this case such a tuning results, as we will momentarily see, in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Mordell-Weil torsion when applied to the Jacobian fibration, $J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$, but not in the genus-one bisection fibration, $Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, as the notion of the Mordell-Weil group exists only for elliptic fibrations.\footnote{However, see \cite{82} for details of an arithmetic structure on genus-one fibrations with multi-sections, similar to the Mordell-Weil group.} Note that this tuning can not be torically realized in the $\mathbb{P}_{112}$ hypersurface (4.4), as the tuning (4.6) does not correspond to removing vertices of the dual polygon (see, e.g., figure 5 in \cite{16} for a description in the same notation).

The resulting hypersurface $Y_b$ in the $\mathbb{P}_{112}$ fibration,

$$Y_b : \quad w^2 = c_0 u^4 + c_2 u^2 v^2 + c_4 v^4,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

remains a smooth genus-one fibration with a bisection, thus we expect

$$\Pi(J(Y_b)) = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

For the Jacobian geometry, the tuning $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 0$ yields a new elliptic fibration

$$J(Y_b) : \quad y^2 = x^3 + \left(-\frac{1}{3} c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 \right) x z^4 + \left(-\frac{2}{27} c_2^3 + \frac{8}{3} c_0 c_2 c_4 \right) z^6,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)
with discriminant
\[ \Delta_b = c_0 c_4 (c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4)^2. \]  
(4.10)

We can see that this elliptic fibration has, in addition to the zero-section at
\[ [x : y : z] = [1 : 1 : 0], \]  
(4.11)
also a rational section situated at
\[ [x : y : z] = \left[ \frac{2}{3} c_2 : 0 : 1 \right]. \]  
(4.12)

As discussed in appendix A, since such a rational section has \( y = 0 \), it necessarily sits at the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) torsion point of the fibration and thus generates a Mordell-Weil group
\[ \text{MW}(J(Y_b)) = \mathbb{Z}_2. \]  
(4.13)

Moreover, it is easily checked that the section passes through the \( I_2 \) singularity over the discriminant component
\[ c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = 0, \]  
(4.14)
indicating that the corresponding \( \text{su}(2) \) algebra is affected by the torsional section. Indeed, a quick glance at the codimension two loci reveals that the only enhancements along the \( \text{su}(2) \) divisor are at
\[ c_0 = c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = 0, \]
\[ c_4 = c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = 0, \]  
(4.15)
both of which support a type \( III \) fiber. The absence of any other enhancement loci which can support fundamental matter thus further suggests that the global group structure is actually \( \text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \). Away from the \( \text{su}(2) \) divisor, there is a codimension two \( I_2 \) enhancement locus at \( c_0 = c_4 = 0 \).

These geometric data hints towards an F-theory model with gauge symmetry
\[ \frac{\text{SU}(2)}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2. \]  
(4.16)

In the following, we will provide further evidence that F-theory compactified on \( J(Y_b) \) indeed gives rise to such a field theory. However, we will also see that the F-theory interpretation, in particular of the bisection geometry \( Y_b \), is much more obscured than in previously known examples.

### 4.2 F-theory on the Jacobian \( J(Y_b) \)

We now wish to study the physics of the F-theory compactification on this Jacobian \( J(Y_b) \) in more detail. To this end, we first analyze the \( I_2 \) singularities above the codimension one locus \( c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = 0 \), located at
\[ c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = y = 2 c_2 z^2 - 3 x = 0. \]  
(4.17)
In order to resolve the singularity we shall first perform a coordinate shift to locate the
singularity in the fiber at the origin

\[ x \rightarrow x + \frac{2}{3} c_2 z^2, \]  

(4.18)
yielding the shifted Weierstrass model, which we also refer to as \( J(Y_b) \),

\[ J(Y_b) : \quad y^2 - x ((c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4) z^4 + 2 c_2 z^2 x + x^2). \]  

(4.19)
The fibration again has two rational sections

\[ [x : y : z] = [1 : 1 : 0] \]

\[ [x : y : z] = [0 : 0 : 1], \]  

(4.20)
where the first is the zero-section and the latter the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) torsional section.

We can resolve the singularity (4.17) by a blow-up

\[ (x, y, c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4; \zeta), \]  

(4.21)
in the notation of [55]. Such a blow-up involves introduction of a new coordinate \( \zeta \) and replacing

\[ x \rightarrow x \zeta, \quad y \rightarrow y \zeta, \quad c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 \rightarrow A \zeta, \]  

(4.22)
where \([x : y : A]\) are now projective coordinates. Performing such a transformation in the
hypersurface (4.19), followed by the proper transform, yields the resolved threefold, \( J(Y_b) \),
described by the complete intersection

\[ y^2 - x (2 c_2 x z^2 + x^2 \zeta + z^4 A) = 0 \]

\[ -c_2^2 + 4 c_0 c_4 + \zeta A = 0, \]  

(4.23)
in a fivefold ambient space with the Stanley-Reisner ideal

\[ \{xyz, \zeta z, xyz A\}. \]  

(4.24)
The two exceptional divisors associated to the \( I_2 \) fiber are

\[ A = 0 : \quad y^2 - x^2 (2 c_2 z^2 + x \zeta) = 0, \quad c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = 0 \]

\[ \zeta = 0 : \quad y^2 - x (2 c_2 x z^2 + z^4 A) = 0, \quad c_2^2 - 4 c_0 c_4 = 0, \]  

(4.25)
where the first corresponds to the affine node, and the second to the Cartan divisor of the
\( \text{su}(2) \). At the codimension two point with \( c_2 = 0 \), there is no factorization of the fibral
curves, but the two components intersect in a single point, \( x = y = \zeta = 0 \). This indeed
corresponds to a type \( III \) fiber. The codimension two singularities above \( c_0 = c_4 = 0 \)
turn out to be terminal singularities that cannot be resolved crepantly. Hence, despite
the singularity enhancement from \( I_1 \) to \( I_2 \), locally, the fiber is an \( I_1 \) with Mihon number
\( m_P = 1 \). This signals that each point in \( c_0 = c_4 = 0 \) supports one hypermultiplet uncharged
under any massless gauge symmetry [64]. We have summarized the singular fibers in table 4.
Table 4. Singular fibers and associated matter states of the blown-up Jacobian geometry $\hat{J}(Y_b)$. The singularities over $\{c_0\} \cap \{c_4\}$ are terminal and thus not resolved.

Thus, we conclude that F-theory on the partially resolved Jacobian geometry $\hat{J}(Y_b)$ has an SU(2)/$\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge symmetry without any localized matter. The gauge anomalies are straightforwardly checked to be canceled. To verify the gravitational anomaly cancellation, we can compute the Euler characteristic of $\hat{J}(Y_b)$ with the procedure laid out in section 3.4. As explained in [64], the contribution of the terminal singularities are accounted for correctly if we treat the fibers as $I_1$ curves with $\chi_{\text{top}} = 1$. Thus we obtain the following contributions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Codimension Two} & : & 3 [c_2] \cdot ([c_0] + [c_4]) + [c_0] \cdot [c_4] \\
\text{Codimension One} & : & -([c_0] + K_B) \cdot [c_0] - [c_0] \cdot ([c_2] + [c_4]) \\
& & -([c_4] + K_B) \cdot [c_4] - [c_4] \cdot ([c_0] + [c_2]) \\
& & + 2 \times (-([c_2] + K_B) \cdot [c_2^2] - [c_2] \cdot ([c_0] + [c_4])) \\
\Rightarrow & & \chi_{\text{top}}(\hat{J}(Y_b)) = -36 K_B^2 - 8 K_B \cdot \beta - 4 \beta^2 .
\end{align*}
\]

In the presence of terminal singularities, the Euler characteristic satisfies [64]

\[
\chi_{\text{top}} = 2 + 2 b_2 - b_3 ,
\]

where the second Betti number $b_2 = 1 + h^{1,1}(B) + \text{rk}(g) = 11 - K_B^2 + \text{rk}(g)$ still satisfies the Shioda-Tate-Wazir formula. As spelled out in [64], the non-localized uncharged hypermultiplets are counted by

\[
n^0_{H,\text{n.l.}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( b_3 - \sum_P m_P \right) ,
\]

whereas the localized uncharged hypermultiplets are counted by the points $P \in B$ with terminal singularities, weighted by the associated Milnor number $m_P$,

\[
n^0_{H,\text{l.l.}} = \sum_P m_P .
\]

In our case, there are $[c_0] \cdot [c_4]$ points with terminal singularities having $m_P = 1$. We thus have in total

\[
n^0_H = \frac{1}{2} \left( b_3 + \sum_P m_P \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 + 2 b_2 - \chi_{\text{top}} + [c_0] \cdot [c_4] \right) = 13 + 17 K_B^2 .
\]
The only charged hypermultiplets come from the (charged) states of the $\text{su}(2)$ adjoint representation, giving $n_H^0 = 2 + 12 K_B^2$ (see table 4). With three vector multiplets from the $\text{su}(2)$ gauge fields, the gravitational anomaly,

$$n_H^0 + n_H^c - n_V + 29 n_T = 15 + 29 K_B^2 - 3 + 29 (9 - K_B^2) = 273,$$

(4.31)
cancels perfectly.

In the Jacobian description, we can only see the massless $\text{su}(2)$ gauge symmetry at the level of divisors. The presence of terminal singularities however signals some broken gauge symmetry under which the localized matter are charged. Since the Jacobian arises as the Jacobian of a geometry with a bisection, a natural proposal is that there is a $\text{U}(1)$ broken to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Such a discrete remnant would manifest itself as a non-trivial torsion subgroup of $H^3(J(Y_b), \mathbb{Z})$ [74, 75], which, however, is notoriously difficult to determine explicitly. We will refrain ourselves from attempting the necessary computation, and instead, in the next subsection, give evidence for the presence of the additional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ discrete symmetry based on the consistency of Higgsing chains.

4.3 Matching the spectrum via Higgsing

Any complex structure deformation of the geometry that modifies the gauge algebra and the multiplicities of the matter hypermultiplets corresponds to a field theoretic Higgsing that modifies the algebra and fields in the same way. Concretely, in our case, we have in mind a sequence of complex structure deformations with corresponding 6D field theory Higgsings:

$$\text{Geometry: } J(\hat{Y}) \xleftarrow{c_1 \to c_1 + \frac{q^2}{3}} J(Y_b) \xleftarrow{c_1 \to 0} J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$$

(4.32)

$$\text{Field theory: } \frac{\text{SU}(2)_A}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times \text{SU}(2)_B \xrightarrow{(a)} \frac{\text{SU}(2)}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times H \xrightarrow{(b)} \mathbb{Z}_2$$

The geometry $J(\hat{Y})$ giving rise to an $\text{SU}(2)_A/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{SU}(2)_B$ theory is the Weierstrass model of the specialized $\mathbb{Z}_2$-tuned Morrison-Park model discussed in section 3. Specifically, its spectrum is summarized in (3.21). On the other side, the geometry $J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ is defined in (4.3) and is well-known to give rise to a theory with only $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. The possible discrete symmetry $H$ in the middle must fit into the chain of Higgsing, where the individual steps (a) and (b) must be compatible with the massless $\text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ in the middle as well as the charged and uncharged spectra of the theories on the end of that chain.

Higgsing step (a). It turns out that the only compatible Higgsing (a) is a two-step breaking process, by first breaking $\text{SU}(2)_B \to \text{U}(1)$ with an adjoint hypermultiplet $(1,3)$, and then break the $\text{U}(1)$ with the $1_2$ states arising as remnants of the remaining $(1,3)$ hypermultiplets. This breaks the $\text{U}(1)$ to a $\mathbb{Z}_2 = H$. Note that for breaking the $\text{U}(1)$ in a D-flat manner, one needs two hypermultiplets of $1_2$ singlets. Since this breaks $\text{SU}(2)_B$ to a discrete group, all three gauge bosons acquire a mass, and hence ‘eat up’ three hypermultiplets of the Higgs field according to Goldstone’s theorem.\(^\text{13}\) Thus, $2 \times \#(1,3) - 3$

\(^\text{13}\)In this counting, the adjoint 3 of $\text{su}(2)$ contains three hypermultiplets.
additional uncharged hypermultiplets arise from \((1,3)\) states in the Higgsing process (a). Note that the prefactor is 2, because one hypermultiplet of each \((1,3)\) is uncharged under the Cartan of \(SU(2)_B\) and hence already accounted for in the number (3.31) of uncharged hypermultiplets of the \(SU(2)/Z_2 \times SU(2)\) theory. Denoting the \(Z_2\) even/odd charges by subscripts with 0/1, the other representations in table 3 decompose as

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{sU}(2)^{\oplus 2} \to \text{sU}(2) \oplus Z_2 & \text{multiplicities} \\
(3,1) \to 3_0 & 1 + 2K_B^2 - 2K_B \cdot \beta \\
(1,2) \to 2 \times 1_1 & -4K_B \cdot \beta - 2\beta^2 \\
(3 \oplus 1,2) \to 2 \times 3_1 \oplus 2 \times 1_1 & 2K_B^2 + K_B \cdot \beta \\
\end{array}
\]

(4.33)

To match this Higgsed spectrum with that of F-theory on \(J(Y_b)\), we note that on \(J(Y_b)\), the geometric counting in the previous section does not distinguish between states of different \(Z_2\) charge, e.g., \(sU(2)\) singlets are all counted as uncharged hypermultiplets. In that case, the matching of the charged spectrum is straightforward, as it becomes just counting the number of \(sU(2)\) adjoints after the Higgsing. From (4.33), we easily spot the \(1 + 6K_B^2\) adjoint representations needed to match the geometric counting in table 4. Furthermore, we can also match the uncharged spectrum. Explicitly, we obtain additional uncharged hypermultiplets from the \(1_1\) and, importantly, also from the \(Z_2\) charged adjoints \(3_1\), where the state without Cartan charge is also an uncharged hypermultiplet in the geometric counting (4.30). Note that even though the \(3_0\) also contains a uncharged hypermultiplet, we do not have to include them in the counting of additional uncharged hypermultiplets, because they were already accounted for in the \(SU(2)/Z_2 \times SU(2)\) model (3.31). In total, we then have

\[
2 \times \#(1,3) - 3 + 2 \times \#(1,2) + 4 \times \#(3 \oplus 1,2) = 10K_B^2 - K_B \cdot \beta - 3\beta^2 - 1
\]

(4.34)

additional uncharged hypermultiplets arising in the Higgsing (a), which together with the already present uncharged hypermultiplets (3.31) in the \(SU(2)/Z_2 \times SU(2)\) phase precisely matches the number (4.30) computed geometrically for \(J(Y_b)\).

**Higgsing step (b).** The above Higgsing leads to an \(SU(2)/Z_2 \times Z_2\) theory with the following charged spectrum

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{sU}(2) \oplus Z_2 \text{ Rep} & \text{Multiplicity of hypermultiplets} \\
3_0 & 1 + 2K_B^2 - 2K_B \cdot \beta \\
3_1 & 4K_B^2 + 2K_B \cdot \beta \\
1_1 & 4K_B^2 - 6K_B \cdot \beta - 4\beta^2 \\
\end{array}
\]

(4.35)

where the subscript denotes \(Z_2\) charge. In order to higgs this to a theory with just a \(Z_2\) discrete symmetry, corresponding to F-theory on \(J(Y_{Z_2})\), we again need a two-step Higgsing process. First we give a vacuum expectation value to a hypermultiplet in the \(3_0\) representation, breaking \(SU(2)/Z_2 \times Z_2\) to \(U(1) \times Z_2\). Under this breaking, the \(Z_2\) charged adjoints \(3_1\) decompose into singlets which are charged under both \(U(1)\) and \(Z_2\). Higgsing
such a singlet then further breaks the gauge symmetry to a diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Explicitly, we obtain the following decomposition:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{SU}(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 & Z_2 \\
\text{3}_0 & \rightarrow 2 \times 1_{(0,0)} & \rightarrow 2 \times 1_0 \\
\text{3}_1 & \rightarrow 2 \times 1_{(1,1)} & \rightarrow 2 \times 1_0 \\
\text{1}_1 & \rightarrow 1_{(0,1)} & \rightarrow 1_1
\end{array}
\]

(4.36)

It is straightforward to sum up the contributions to the singlets with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charge 1, which, with the multiplicities in (4.35), yields

\[
2 \times (\#(3_0) - 1) + \#(3_1) + \#(1_1) = 12K_B^2 - 8K_B \cdot \beta - 4\beta^2 ,
\]

(4.37)

which is the number (4.5) of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged singlets in the F-theory compactification on $J(Y_{Z_2})$.

### 4.4 F-theory on the bisection model $Y_b$

Thus far, we have analyzed the 6D F-theory compactification on the Jacobian fibration $J(Y_b)$. Requiring the two complex structure deformations (4.32), that connect $J(Y_b)$ with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsion-enhanced Morrison-Park model $J(\hat{Y})$ and with the standard $\mathbb{Z}_2$ model $J(Y_{Z_2})$, to be consistent with a field theoretic Higgsing process constrains the 6D theory to be an SU(2)/$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory. This conclusion is further supported by the fibration structure of $J(Y_b)$, which has a codimension one locus of $I_2$ fibers, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section, and terminal singularities in codimension two.

Based on observations made throughout the literature, one expects that an $n$-section geometry $Y$ should give rise to the same F-theory compactification as its Jacobian $J(Y)$. Specifically, we know [64, 74, 75] that we can uplift the 5D M-theory compactification on $J(Y)$ through the standard M-/F-duality to a 6D theory — the F-theory compactification on $J(Y)$ — despite the presence of codimension two terminal singularities. In all known examples, the 5D M-theory compactification on the $n$-section geometry $Y$ could be identified as a circle reduction of the 6D F-theory with a non-zero flux of a massive $U(1)$, which gauges the $\mathbb{Z}_n$, along the circle [29, 76, 78, 79]. The field theoretic consequence of this flux is that in 5D, the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetry is identified as a subgroup of the Kaluza-Klein $U(1)$, which now manifests itself as the $U(1)$ in M-theory dual to the $n$-section divisor. Crucially, the rank of the massless gauge symmetry in the 5D M-theory compactification is the same for both the Jacobian and the bisection geometry.

This situation persists in models where the 6D theory includes non-abelian gauge algebras $g$ [34, 74, 76–79, 83]. In this setup, on the 5D Coulomb branch of either the Jacobian or the $n$-section geometry $Y_b$, one must have $\text{rk}(g) + \#(\text{indept. } n\text{-sections})$ independent $U(1)$s. Geometrically, this means that we need $h^{1,1}(Y) = h^{1,1}(B) + \text{rk}(g) + \#(\text{indept. } n\text{-sections})$. This however is not true for the case at hand! The deformation from $Y_{Z_2}$ to $Y_b$ is a smooth deformation, and thus one has the same number, $h^{1,1}(Y_{Z_2})$, of divisors

\[
h^{1,1}(Y_b) = h^{1,1}(Y_{Z_2}) = h^{1,1}(B) + 1. \tag{4.38}
\]
This does not match the number of divisors in the Jacobian model $J(Y_b)$, where the tuning is not a smooth deformation of $J(Y_{Z_2})$, but introduces new singularities, and thus one finds that
\[
h^{1,1}(J(Y_b)) = h^{1,1}(B) + 2, \tag{4.39}
\]
where the additional divisor corresponds to the Cartan divisor of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

This mismatch obscures a direct interpretation of F-theory on $Y_b$ through the standard M-/F-duality. The geometry seems to preclude both the Cartan $U(1)$ of the $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and the Kaluza-Klein $U(1)$ from being realized independently on the 5D Coulomb branch. It would be interesting to understand, if one can make sense of the geometry in such a way that we can still interpret M-theory compactified on $Y_b$ and $J(Y_b)$ as different circle reductions of the same 6D theory, or if there are inherent differences to previously studied models, such that F-theory on the genus-one fibration $Y_b$ involves some particular subtleties.

Furthermore, the consistency of the field theory Higgsing required the existence of both $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged and uncharged adjoints of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Surprisingly, both charged and uncharged adjoints (4.35) account together for the multiplicity of deformation adjoints (see table 4) of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ divisor in the Jacobian geometry $J(Y_b)$. To our knowledge, this is the first example where not all deformation modes of the same non-abelian gauge divisor carry the same charges. This conclusion is based on field theory arguments, which we believe should have a counterpart in geometry. In the Jacobian geometry $J(Y_b)$, the discrete symmetry is encoded in torsional three-cycles [75] and is difficult to study directly. A better understanding of the bisection geometry may allow one to read off the discrete charges, including of non-localized adjoints, more directly.

5 Conclusions and future directions

In this paper, we have put forth a procedure to construct Weierstrass models of elliptic fibrations with a torsional Mordell-Weil generator that can be deformed to a free rational section. This procedure is exemplified by determining the Weierstrass model (2.15), which is birationally equivalent to any such elliptic fibration with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section. When this Weierstrass model is a Calabi-Yau threefold, the F-theory compactification to 6D yields a field theory with gauge group
\[
\frac{SU(2)_A \times SU(4)}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times SU(2)_B, \tag{5.1}
\]
where one of the notable features is that the quotient does not act on every non-abelian factor of the gauge algebra.

Furthermore, we have found that the solution exhibits a singular discriminant component hosting the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_A$ gauge algebra. At the self-intersection locus, where also the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_B$ divisor passes through, there is matter in the $(\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$ representation. While we have not attempted a full resolution of the generic model, we have identified a subsolution with gauge group $SU(2)_A/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times SU(2)_B$ exhibiting the same feature, and which also allows for a toric resolution as described in section 3. In this global
resolution one observes that this matter is realized by a reduced $I^*_n$ fiber over the singular point of the discriminant component.

While we in principle have solved the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional condition for any elliptic fibration with non-trivial Mordell-Weil rank, it remains a difficult problem to study the associated F-theory compactification if the Weierstrass fibration of the form (2.15) is not Calabi-Yau. In particular, this complication may arise if we want to study analogous gauge enhancements of F-theory models with higher rank Mordell-Weil group or higher charge singlets. With the plethora of explicit F-theory models with rank $\geq 2$ Mordell-Weil group [14, 19, 21, 31, 84–86], an obvious extension would therefore be to solve the torsion condition directly in these constructions. For example, in models with multiple $U(1)$s, tuning one or more rational sections to be torsional might produce non-abelian gauge algebras with more intricate global structures. Furthermore, finding generic solutions for Mordell-Weil torsion $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in general may be more than just an exercise in commutative algebra, and may give insights into new F-theory physics.

One may have hoped that by tuning the section to be torsional, the resulting gauge enhancement could also have produced higher dimensional representations, similar to constructions where one collides two or more sections [14–16, 22]. There, the higher $U(1)$ charges become the Cartan charges of higher dimensional non-abelian representations after the enhancement. On the other hand, when one tunes the section of the so-called $U(1)$-restricted Tate model [87] to be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional, one finds [11] that the charge 1 singlets (which are the only charged singlets of the restricted Tate model) enhances to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ adjoints with (highest) Cartan charge 2. Naively, one might have expected that the analogous tuning of a $U(1)$ model with charge 2 singlets would result in an $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ model with a 5 representation that has highest Cartan charge 4. However, our generic solution does not exhibit such higher dimensional representations, but instead a higher rank gauge group, whose breaking then yields the higher charged singlets. If we seek to restrict the generic solution so that the enhancement is rank preserving, or $U(1) \to SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, then one finds that one must turn off the charge 2 locus in the $U(1)$ model. This finding is consistent with the recent “swampland conjecture” [88], which forbids higher dimensional representations such as the 5 of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ in F-theory compactifications. It would be interesting to analyze if in models with $U(1)$ charge $> 2$ [28, 29, 32], whose Morrison-Park model has “tall” sections and thus are non-Calabi-Yau [32], a torsional enhancement would generate novel higher dimensional representations.

Finally, we have studied a related deformation process of a Weierstrass model that arises as the Jacobian $J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ of the bisection geometry $Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, whose F-theory compactification gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry [22, 74–76, 78, 79]. In section 4, we have seen that the deformed Jacobian $J(Y_{\mathbb{Z}_2})$ exhibits a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional section that comes with the massless $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge symmetry. At the same time, this Jacobian also has terminal singularities, which we argued field theoretically corresponds to localized singlets charged under a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge symmetry. However, the field theory is rather peculiar, e.g., it contains $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ adjoints with different $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charges, which appear nevertheless not to be localized in geometry. From previous examples with discrete symmetries in the literature, one might have hoped to understand $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charged matter better in the corresponding deformed bi-
section geometry $Y_b$. However, the interpretation of the bisection geometry within the F-theory context only raises more questions. In particular, the genus-one fibration $Y_b$ lacks independent divisors giving rise to the Cartan of $su(2)$ and the Kaluza-Klein $U(1)$ that would be necessary to straightforwardly uplift M-theory on $Y_b$ to the F-theory defined on $J(Y_b)$. Since there are by now a multitude of multi-section models in the literature that can consistently incorporate non-abelian gauge symmetries, it might possible, through a refined definition of F-theory on multi-section geometries such as $Y_b$, to resolve some of these puzzles. We hope to shed some light on this issue in the future [89].

Acknowledgments

We thank Thomas Grimm, Christoph Mayrhofer, Paul Oehlmann, Sakura Schäfer-Nameki, Wati Taylor, and Yinan Wang for discussions. We especially thank Timo Weigand for discussion and collaboration on a related topic. M.C., C.L., and L.L. thank CERN for hospitality during the final stages of this work. F.B. is supported by the Heidelberg Graduate School for Fundamental Physics. M.C. and L.L. are supported by DOE Award DE-SC0013528. M.C. further acknowledges the support by the Fay R. and Eugene L. Langberg Endowed Chair and the Slovenian Research Agency. C.L. is supported by the DFG under Grant TR33 “The Dark Universe” and under GK 1940 “Particle Physics Beyond the Standard Model”.

A Mordell-Weil torsion in Weierstrass models

Rational points on a smooth elliptic curve $E$ form an abelian group, the so-called Mordell-Weil group, see e.g. [90]. After briefly reviewing the group law, we show how to find points with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsion.

In the inhomogeneous Weierstrass form,

$$P^\text{inh}_W := -y^2 + x^3 + f x + g = 0,$$

(A.1)

the zero element $O$ of the group is the point at infinity. Given two rational points $A, B \in E$, the straight line in the $(x, y)$-plane through them intersects $E$ in a third rational point $C$ (possibly equal to $O$). Denoting the group action by $\boxplus$, the points satisfy $A \boxplus B \boxplus C = O$. This geometric construction of the group law is depicted in figure 4. Adding a point $A$ to itself can be seen as the limit of sending the point $B$ to $A$, in which case the line through $A$ and $B$ becomes the tangent at $A$.

Torsional points of order $n$ under the Mordell-Weil group law are points $Q_n$ such that

$$Q_n \boxplus Q_n \boxplus \ldots \boxplus Q_n = n Q_n = O.$$

(A.2)

For $n = 2, 3$, this relations can be visualized fairly easily, as depicted in figure 5. In particular, we see that a rational point with a vertical tangent is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional point. Likewise, a rational inflection point is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsional point.
Figure 4. Geometric construction of the Mordell-Weil group law. Each dashed line marks three points on the elliptic curve (solid curve) that add up to zero under the group law. The rational points $A, B, C$ satisfy $A \boxplus B = C$.

Figure 5. A tangent line (dotted) through a point $Q$ intersecting $E$ at $-R$ corresponds to the Mordell-Weil relation $Q \boxplus Q \boxplus (-R) = O \Leftrightarrow 2Q = R$. If the tangent line at $Q_2$ is vertical, it intersects $E$ only at infinity, so $2Q_2 \boxplus O = O$. A tangent line at an inflection point $Q_3$ can be viewed as the limit of taking $Q \rightarrow Q_3$, which also sends $R$ to $Q_3$. So $2Q_3 = -Q_3 \Leftrightarrow 3Q_3 = O$. 
### A.1 $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsion

In the following, we would like to argue that on a smooth elliptic curve in the inhomogeneous Weierstrass form (A.1), a rational point on the curve with $y = 0$ constitutes a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ element under the group law. First note that clearly, any vertical line (i.e., with $x = \text{const.}$) can intersect the curve at most twice at finite values of $x, y$. The two points are inverse to each other under the Mordell-Weil group law (see figures 4 and 5). Let us now parametrize the curve (A.1) as two branches with $(x(t), y(t)) = (t, \pm \sqrt{t^3 + ft + g})$, where the sign depends on the branch. In that parametrization, it is also easy to compute the slope of the curve:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = \pm \frac{3t^2 + f}{2y(t)} = \pm \frac{3x^2 + f}{2y}.$$  \hfill (A.3)

Because the curve is smooth by assumption, we know that $P_W$ and its derivative $dP_{W\text{inh}} = 2y \frac{dy}{dx} + (3x^2 + f) \frac{dx}{dy}$ cannot vanish simultaneously. In particular, this means that at a point with $y = 0$, the numerator $3x^2 + f$ in (A.3) cannot be zero. In turn, this means that the slope of elliptic curve (A.1) must be infinite at a point $Q$ with $y = 0$. Thus, a tangent line at such a point is vertical and intersects the elliptic curve again only at infinity. From the above discussion (see also figure 5), $Q$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsional.

### A.2 $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsion

A $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsional point $Q_3$, i.e., $3Q_3 = 2Q_3 \oplus Q_3 = 0$, implies that the tangent at $Q_3$ can intersect the elliptic curve only in $Q_3$ again. This is only possible if $Q_3$ is an inflection point, in which case the intersection multiplicity (in the sense of Bézout’s theorem) of the tangent with the curve is 3. Alternatively, one can also view the inflection point $Q_3$ as the limit of approaching two points $Q$ and $R$ that satisfy $2Q = R$, see figure 5.

From the expression for the slope (A.3), we can easily determine its second derivative:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \pm \frac{3x^2 + f}{2y(x)} \right) = \pm \frac{6x y(x) - (3x^2 + f) y'(x)}{2y^2(x)}$$ \hfill (A.5)

Then, $Q$ is an inflection point if it satisfies the condition

$$0 \overset{!}{=} 6x_Q y_Q - (3x_Q^3 + f) y'(x)|_Q \iff 12x_Q y_Q^2 \overset{!}{=} \pm (3x_Q^2 + f)^2.$$ \hfill (A.6)

The sign ambiguity simply reflects the fact that a smooth elliptic curve has two inflection points, or equivalently, the Weierstrass equation is symmetric under $y \leftrightarrow -y$; we will stick with $+$ for definiteness. Furthermore, note that this relationship is derived from the inhomogeneous form (A.1) of the Weierstrass equation. To obtain an expression that is valid for an elliptic fibration, one needs to projectivize the $(x, y)$-plane to $\mathbb{P}_{231}$, i.e., including the appropriate factors of $z$.\footnote{This was not necessary for the discussion of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ torsion, because there, we were only interested in the denominator of (A.3), which is just $y$ and does not receive any factors of $z$ through projectivization.} Thus, the condition for a rational point $Q$ in an
elliptic fibration to be $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsional is

$$
(3x_Q^2 + f z_Q^4)^2 - 12 x_Q y_Q^2 = 0.
$$

(A.7)

B  Gauge enhancement via $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsion

In this appendix we explore the geometry where the section of the elliptic fibration is located at a point of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsion. After finding a simplified solution to the tuning condition and the associated F-theory spectrum, we study possible Higgsings back to a U(1) model and match the multiplicities.

B.1  Deforming to $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsion

Starting with the Weierstrass coordinates of the section in the Morrison-Park model (1.7), we can apply the same procedure as in section 2 to the point of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ torsion. In that case the tuning condition (A.7) becomes

$$
3 \, c_3^8 = b^2 \left[ b^{10} c_0^2 + b^8 (-2 c_0 c_1 c_3 - 2 c_0 c_2^2 + 2 c_2^2 c_2) + b^6 (8 c_0 c_2 c_3^2 - 2 c_2^2 c_3^2 - 6 c_1 c_2 c_3 + c_2^2) + b^4 (12 c_2 c_3 - 6 c_0 c_3^2) + b^2 (-6 c_1 c_3^2 - 6 c_2^2 c_2^2) + 8 c_2 c_3^2 \right].
$$

(B.1)

To find solutions to this equation, we again use the properties of UFDs. First, we can see that $b^2$ must divide $c_3^8$, and therefore $b$ divides $c_3$, such that $c_3 = pb$ for some polynomial $p$. At this point, there is the possibility that $p \equiv 0$ with generic $b$, greatly simplifying condition (B.1) to

$$
b^4 c_0^2 + 2 b^2 c_2 (c_2^2 - c_0 c_2) + c_2^2 \equiv 0. \quad \text{(B.2)}
$$

Note that we have assumed that $b \neq 0 = c_3$ such that the generic elliptic curve is smooth, and dropped the overall powers of $b$ as the condition is trivially satisfied when $b = 0$. In the same spirit as before, (B.2) requires $b$ to divide $c_2$, such that there is a polynomial $t$ for which $c_2 = tb$. Assuming that neither $t$ nor $b$ is identically 0, we arrive at

$$
2 c_1^2 t + b (c_0 - t^2)^2 = 0. \quad \text{(B.3)}
$$

This is formally of the form $AB = CD$, where the line bundle classes of individual terms on the left side does not match those on the right side. Hence, the generic solution must take the schematic form

$$
c_1^2 = q_1 q_2, \quad t = q_3 q_4, \quad b = -2 q_1 q_3, \quad (c_0 - t^2)^2 = q_2 q_4, \quad \text{(B.4)}
$$

with $(q_1, q_4)$ and $(q_2, q_3)$ being coprime. But because $A = c_1^2$ is a complete square, $q_1$ and $q_2$ must combine into a square. As shown for instance in appendix A of [41], this has for solution $q_i = r \eta_i^2$, $i = 1, 2$, where $r$ is the factor common to $q_1$ and $q_2$ and $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ are coprime.
Similarly, \((c_0 - t^2)^2 = q_2 q_4 = r q_2^2 q_4\) implies that \(r q_4\) must also be a square. However, as we have chosen a factorization such that \((q_1, q_4)\) are coprime, so must be \((r, q_4)\), as \(r\) is a factor of \(q_1\). Therefore, both \(r\) and \(q_4\) must be squares on their own, which in turn means that so must \(q_1\) and \(q_2\), and we deduce that the generic solution to \((B.4)\) is

\[
q_1 = a_1^2, \quad q_2 = a_2^2, \quad q_4 = a_4^2. \tag{B.5}
\]

Relabeling \(q_3\) as \(a_3\), the section passes through the point of \(Z_3\) torsion — under the simplification \(p = 0\) — if the Weierstrass coefficients satisfy the following decomposition:

\[
b = -2a_1^2 a_3, \quad c_0 = a_2 a_4 + a_3^2 a_4^4, \quad c_1 = a_1 a_2, \quad c_2 = -2 a_1^2 a_3^2 a_4^2, \quad c_3 = 0. \tag{B.6}
\]

A quick computation reveals that this solution indeed satisfies the tuning \((B.1)\). However, along the codimension 1 locus \(\{a_1 = 0\}\), the Weierstrass functions vanish to order \(\text{ord}(f, g, \Delta) = (4, 6, 12)\), indicating a non-minimal singularity type. In order to avoid the need to deal with such singularities, we impose that \(a_1\) is a constant, which we rescale to 1 without loss of generality. This identifies up to constants \(b\) with \(a_3\) and \(c_1\) with \(a_2\), and if we relabel \(a_4 \to 2a\), the following decomposition is a solution to the \(Z_3\) torsional condition \((A.7)\) of the Morrison-Park model without non-minimal singularities:

\[
c_0 = 2 c_1 a + 4 b^2 a^4, \quad c_2 = -2 b^2 a^2, \quad c_3 = 0. \tag{B.7}
\]

The global validity of this solution is captured by the line bundle class of \(a\). Comparing with the classes \((1.10)\) of the other coefficients, we find that this solution requires \(a \in H^0(B, \mathcal{O}(\beta + K_B))\). Hence, the divisor \(\beta + K_B\) must not be anti-effective. On \(B = \mathbb{P}^2\) with hyperplane class \(H\), this would imply \(\beta = n H\) with \(n \geq 3\).

### B.2 F-theory of the \(Z_3\) torsional model and Higgsing to U(1)

Inserting the tuned solution \((B.7)\) into the Weierstrass coefficients in \((1.7)\) yields

\[
f_{Z_3} = -\frac{2}{3} a b^2 (8 a^3 b^4 + 3 c_1),
\]

\[
g_{Z_3} = \frac{1}{108} b^2 (512 a^6 b^4 + 288 a^3 b^2 c_1 + 27 c_1^2),
\]

\[
\Delta_{Z_3} = \frac{1}{16} b^4 c_1^3 (64 a^3 b^2 + 27 c_1).
\]

The vanishing orders indicate \(I_3\) fibers along \(\{c_1\}\) and type \(IV\) fibers along \(\{b\}\), both corresponding to an \(\mathfrak{su}(3)\) gauge algebra. Furthermore, there are two enhancement loci in codimension two, located at \(\{c_1\} \cap \{b\}\) and \(\{c_1\} \cap \{a\}\). One easily verifies that at the second locus, the \(I_3\) singularity over \(\{c_1\}\) enhances to type \(IV\), implying that there is no matter associated with that locus. The other point sits at the intersection of the two \(\mathfrak{su}(3)\) divisors and exhibits an \(E_6\) singularity, and we therefore expect matter in the bifundamental representation.
To determine the multiplicity of the matter, we employ the cancellation conditions of non-abelian anomalies. The multiplicities of adjoint matter is again found using formula (2.19):

\[
x^\text{I}_3\text{ad} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}[c_1] \cdot ([c_1] + K_B) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(-K_B \cdot \beta + \beta^2),
\]

\[
x^\text{IV}_3\text{ad} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}[b] \cdot ([b] + K_B) = 1 + K_B^2 - \frac{1}{2}(-3K_B \cdot \beta - \beta^2).
\]

(B.9)

In a procedure similar to that of section 2.2, we find that all gauge anomalies are canceled if there is a complete hypermultiplet of bifundamental matter at each point in \( \{c_1\} \cap \{b\} \), i.e.

\[
x_{(3,3)} = [c_1] \cdot [b] = 2K_B^2 - K_B \cdot \beta - \beta^2.
\]

(B.10)

The absence of any fundamental matter as well as the existence of the by-construction \( \mathbb{Z}_3 \) torsional section indicate a non-trivial global gauge group structure of the F-theory compactification. Indeed, one can verify that the section passes through the codimension one singularities of both the \( I_3 \) and type \( IV \) fibers, proving that the global gauge group should be

\[
\frac{\text{SU}(3)_{I_3} \times \text{SU}(3)_{IV}}{\mathbb{Z}_3}.
\]

(B.11)

We can now discuss the breaking patterns of this model, in particular the breaking of the spectrum with gauge algebra \( \text{su}(3)_{I_3} \oplus \text{su}(3)_{IV} \) back to a U(1) model, similar to section 2.3 in case of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) torsional model. One possible way of doing so is to first break both \( \text{su}(3) \) factors to their Cartan subalgebra by giving a vev to an adjoint hypermultiplet of each factor, resulting in a \( U(1)^2_{I_3} \times U(1)^2_{IV} \) gauge group. Each Cartan subalgebra can be further broken with a charged state arising from the remaining adjoint hypermultiplets. This yields a \( U(1)_{I_3} \times U(1)_{IV} \) gauge group, where each \( U(1) \) is in the Cartan of one of the \( \text{su}(3) \) factors. At this step the bifundamental hypermultiplets always decomposes as

\[
(3,3) \longrightarrow 4 \times (1,1) \oplus 2 \times (2, -1) \oplus 2 \times (-1, 2) \oplus (2, 2).
\]

(B.12)

The only two possibilities to further Higgs to a U(1) model with just charge 1 and 2 singlets is to give a vev either to the states with charges \((2, -1)\) or \((-1, 2)\). If we higgs with the states \((2, -1)\) under \( U(1)_{I_3} \times U(1)_{IV} \), then the adjoints of \( \text{su}(3)_{I_3} \) yield charge 2 singlets, and those of \( \text{su}(3)_{IV} \) yield charge 1, and vice versa for Higgsing with \((-1, 2)\) states. Note that this discussion is purely group theoretical and the subscripts are merely labels. The geometry, however, differentiates the two factors as the multiplicities are different, and we therefore do not expect the two Higgs chains to be equivalent.

Based on the multiplicities (B.9) and (B.10), we find that Higgsing with charge \((-1, 2)\) singlets produces a Morrison-Park model characterized by the class \( \beta \), i.e., it is the U(1) model with which we started the tuning process (B.7). The other possible Higgsing, with \((2, -1)\) states, yields the following multiplicities of charged singlets:

\[
x_1 = 16K_B^2 - 4\beta^2,
\]

\[
x_2 = 2K_B^2 - 3K_B \cdot \beta + \beta^2.
\]

(B.13)
Formally, this looks like the spectrum of a Morrison-Park U(1) model with twisting line bundle class \( \tilde{\beta} = -K_B - \beta \). However, as we discussed just below (B.7), the class \( \beta + K_B \) must not be anti-effective. Therefore, the putative class \( \tilde{\beta} \) does not give rise to a well-defined Morrison-Park model. Hence, the second Higgsing chain is geometrically obstructed. We can back-track this obstruction explicitly to the fact that the tuning (B.7) does not allow for any other identification of the Morrison-Park coefficients in terms of the polynomials defining the \( \mathbb{Z}_3 \) tuned model (B.8).
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