Prevalence of Latent Tuberculosis Infection in the Middle East and North Africa: A Systematic Review
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Objective. Data on the prevalence of latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) in Middle Eastern and North African countries are scarce. We aimed to review all relevant published data in countries belonging to this region to determine the overall prevalence of LTBI in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region.

Methods. In this systematic review PubMed and Google Scholar databases were searched for observational, prospective, retrospective, cross-sectional, and cohort studies providing prevalence data of LTBI in any MENA country. Studies fulfilling the search criteria were incorporated in the review. Overall prevalence of LTBI with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was calculated using the random-effects model; heterogeneity was assessed using $I^2$ statistics. Gender and age group-based subgroup analyses were performed to evaluate the basis of heterogeneity.

Results. The total number of overall LTBI studies identified was 956, of which 31 studies from ten countries within the MENA region were included that represented 12,439 subjects. The overall prevalence was 41.78% (95% CI 31.18% to 52.78%, $I^2 = 99.31\%$). By gender-based subgroup analysis, the prevalence of LTBI was 33.12% (95% CI 18.97% to 49.04%, $I^2 = 99.25\%$) and 32.65% (95% CI 19.79% to 47%, $I^2 = 98.89\%$) in males and females, respectively, while in the age-based subgroup analysis, the prevalence of LTBI was 0.44% (95% CI -0.05% to 0.9%), 3.37% (95% CI 2.23% to 4.74%, $I^2 = 0\%$), and 43.81% (95% CI 33.09% to 54.82%, $I^2 = 99.18\%$) for children, adolescents, and adults, respectively.

Conclusion. This systematic review reveals a high prevalence of LTBI in the MENA region; enhanced LTBI surveillance and prompt infection prevention steps are urgently needed to prevent active tuberculosis, this would help achieve the World Health Organization End TB Strategy 2035, and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals 2030 target in the MENA region.

1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a major health problem, with an estimated 10 million people (range 9 to 11.1 million) developing TB disease in 2018, of which 5.8 million, 3.2 million, and 1 million were men, women, and children, respectively. Two-thirds of cases were from eight countries, India (27%), China (9%), Indonesia (8%), Philippines (6%), Pakistan (5%), Nigeria (4%), Bangladesh (4%), and South Africa (3%) [1]. Latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) does not induce infectious expression of the disease, although it causes continuous immune response generated towards TB antigens. LTBI has a 10% probability of progressing into active TB disease, 5% during the first two years of acquiring the infection, and 5% during the rest of the individual’s lifetime. The detection of LTBI and prevention before it becomes infectious is a crucial component of the WHO-End TB strategy. It has been reported from mathematical models that approximately 30% of the population worldwide are LTBI carriers [2]. Previous studies have documented the rates of LTBI to be 31.2% in Ethiopia [3], 49% in Uganda [4], 55.2% in South Africa [5], 11.2% in Spain [6], 50% in India [7], 51% in Korea [8], and 7.6% in England [9]; however, very few studies have been undertaken to estimate the prevalence of LTBI in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region.

In previous studies, it has been observed that patients belonging to lower socioeconomic groups, refugees, and migrants [10], patients with abnormal immune responses
(post-organ transplant, hemodialysis patients, people living with HIV, etc.), and chronic inflammatory conditions have an increased risk of acquiring TB and its progression to active disease [11–13]; further, LTBI in people living with HIV has a 10% probability of progressing into active TB, when left untreated, annually; furthermore, it has been shown that a significant geographical variation in TB infection rates persists across the world, implying that health care workers (HCW) in various countries encounter different risks of acquiring TB [14]. In 2018, 87% of new TB cases occurred in the top thirty high TB burden countries, of which eight countries accounted for two-thirds of all new TB cases, they include India, China, Indonesia, Philippines, Pakistan, Nigeria, Bangladesh, and South Africa, while the occurrence was extremely low in the MENA regions [1], it has also been reported that HCW are at particular risk of LTBI, and hence, annual screening is performed in most standardized health care facilities. In addition, the prevalence of LTBI in HCW has been reported to be higher than that of other community groups around the world [15, 16].

Currently, the direct diagnosis of LTBI is not fully possible [17]. The diagnosis of memory T-cell response against LTBI is performed by either the tuberculin skin test (TST) or interferon-gamma release assays (IGRA) [18]. At present, no gold standard test has been developed to measure LTBI; however, there are increasing advancements in this field looking into tumor necrosis factor, chemokines, interleukin growth factors, and other factors that could enhance LTBI diagnosis [19]. With TST, TB-purified protein derivative (PPD) stimulates a type IV hypersensitivity-delayed type reaction [20–22], its advantage is that it is inexpensive and generally accepted especially in low economic countries including Africa [3], but has several disadvantages, as it has demonstrated poor response in individuals with reduced immunity and those with active TB, requires two-step verification, is operative dependent, and exhibits low specificity in determining reactivation of TB in Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccinated individuals, it can also cause false-positive results in patients sensitized to naturally existing nontuberculous mycobacteria [18, 23].

On the other hand, IGRA has greater specificity compared to TST [17], it involves only one blood test after incubation with Mycobacteria tuberculosis-specific antigens, following which T-cell mediated immune response and interferon- (IFN-) gamma release are measured. The QuantiFERON®-TB-Gold-in-Tube (QFT-GIT) and T-SPOT.TB assay tests are the two commercially available IGRA, in which the former is based on ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) and comprises of peptides from the ESAT-6, CFP-10, and TB7.7 antigens of TB. T-SPOT.TB assay is preferred in immunocompromised patients [24–26]. IGRA provides more conclusive results that would help in decision-making, with only a single visit required for the test, it also eliminates false-positive results in people sensitized with BCG or sensitized with nontuberculous mycobacteria.

Several previous studies have documented the prevalence of LTBI in many countries of the Middle East and North Africa, in a wide range of population, including HCW, household contacts, people living with HIV, prisoners, refugees, and in patients with varied health problems; however, to our knowledge, there are no published studies that have assessed the overall prevalence within the whole MENA region; hence, we performed a systematic review to evaluate the prevalence of LTBI in the MENA region in different population groups belonging to various age groups.

2. Methods

2.1. Criteria for Considering Studies

2.1.1. Inclusion Criteria. Studies based on the incidence or prevalence of LTBI among people of all ages, origin, socioeconomic, and educational backgrounds, in countries located in the Middle East and North Africa, that are cross-sectional, observational, cohort, prospective, and retrospective studies, with LTBI detection performed with either TST or IGRA or both.

2.1.2. Exclusion Criteria. Systematic reviews, case reports, case series, editorials, letters to the editors, and randomized controlled trials.

2.2. Search Strategy. The author searched PubMed and Google Scholar databases for articles published between January 1, 2000 and November 30, 2018, in the English language. The use of medical subject heading (MeSH) terms for LTBI was employed in the database search combined with the following search terms: (latent tuberculosis OR TB OR LTBI OR Mycobacterium tuberculosis) AND (Prevalence OR Epidemiology OR “Country name”). The Middle East countries included were Iran, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Syria, Jordan, United Arab Emirates, Israel, Lebanon, Oman, Kuwait, Qatar, Bahrain, Palestine, Cyprus, and Turkey. North African countries included were Egypt, Libya, Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia, Sudan, Western Sahara, and Mauritania. A broad search strategy was used to ensure that all relevant studies were identified, with no filters included in the searches. Following this, the author independently analyzed the title of the study and its abstract and keywords outlining the record, based on which studies were either included or excluded. No minimal sample size was required to be included in the analysis; however, a sample size of ≥200 was considered as adequate, and a sample size of <200 was considered as inadequate.

2.3. Data Extraction

2.3.1. Study Selection and Data Extraction. A detailed search of PubMed and Google Scholar databases by employing various search terms was performed. The duplicate citations were removed, and the studies for inclusion in the review were selected. The initial screening was based on the citation titles and abstracts, following which, the articles were selected and picked up and their complete text obtained, reviewed, and assessed for their eligibility for inclusion. The bibliographic information of the included studies was also screened to identify additional relevant articles for inclusion; furthermore, the data from relevant studies were abstracted using
a data extraction form, and the applicable items for the review were reported in the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) checklist. The following key information has been presented in the data extraction template: first author, period of study and year of publication, country where the research was conducted, study design, number of participants, age at assessment, tools used for assessment, and key findings.

2.3.2. Quality (Risk of Bias) Assessment. The Mirza and Jenkins [27] checklist were referred to for investigating the quality of included studies. The assessment was based on the following nine criteria: clear study aims, adequate sample size, representative sample, inclusion and exclusion criteria, adequate assessment of outcome, response rate reported, adequate description of data, appropriate statistical analysis, and appropriate informed consent obtained. A final total score was calculated for each of the criteria, scored 0 if absent and 1 if present. Thus, the minimum and maximum obtainable scores would be 0 and 9, respectively.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Analysis was performed using STATA software. The effect sizes were reported as proportions with 95% confidence intervals. The heterogeneity of effects was assessed and quantified by the $I^2$. The $I^2$ values greater than 50% were considered to represent substantial heterogeneity. The random-effects model was subjected in cases exhibiting substantial heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis based on sex (male and female), by age strata, and by quality score of the studies ($\leq 5$ and $\geq 5$) was also performed. A $p$ value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all the analyses undertaken.

3. Results

3.1. Search Results and Study Selection. The database search resulted in a total of 956 citations, of which 384 citations were eliminated due to their duplication, and the rest of the 572 citations were examined. After screening, examination of titles and abstracts resulted in the elimination of 362 citations from the study. Following this, 210 full-text citations were retrieved, and after subjecting them to inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 31 studies were identified (Figure 1).

3.2. Study Characteristics. Thirty-one studies representing 12,439 subjects from ten countries within the MENA region were included: thirteen from Turkey, five from both Iran and Saudi Arabia, two from Egypt, and one each from Syria, Israel, Oman, Qatar, Tunisia, and United Arab Emirates. These studies were conducted between 2005 till 2018. The sample size ranged from 34 to 2,650 (Table 1).

3.3. Publication Bias. From the 31 studies, the minimal checklist score was 5 in two studies, while the highest was 9. Details of all included studies clarity, adequacy of sample size, and other details are outlined in Table 2.

3.4. Prevalence of LTBI. The prevalence of LTBI was assessed in 31 studies using random-effects model. A total of 3,981 events were observed among the 12,439 subjects. The proportion of LTBI ranged from 0.44% to 88.15%. The overall
Table 1: Study characteristics.

| Study/reference number | Duration of study | Year | Country | Study population | TST and/or QFT | Study design | Sample size | Age (mean ± SD) | Tools | LTBI Prevalence (95% CI) | Outcome |
|------------------------|-------------------|------|---------|------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| Nasehi et al. [31]     | October to December 2013 | 2016 | Iran    | TB laboratory staff and low-risk healthcare workers | TST           | Cross-sectional | 1006        | 38.06 ± 7.76 and 37.31 ± 7.32 | ANOVA, logistic regression | 791 | 78.62% (75.96, 81.12) | TB laboratory staff the OR of developing LTBI |
| Mamani et al. [32]     | March 2013, 6 months | 2016 | Iran    | Prisoners       | TST           | Cross-sectional | 1208        | 18-60 years     | Wilson procedure with continuity correction | 756 | 62.58% (59.78, 65.32) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Bukhary et al. [33]    | December 2015      | 2018 | Saudi Arabia | Healthcare workers working in hajj pilgrimage | TST and QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 520         | 22-62 years     | Standardized questionnaire, chi-square test, Fisher exact test | 56  | 10.76% (8.23, 13.75)  | Low prevalence of LTBI |
| Balhy et al. [34]      | July 2010 to March 2013 | 2017 | Saudi Arabia | Primary healthcare workers | TST and QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 1369        | <15 to ≥65 years | Chi-square test, McNemar test | 146 | 10.66% (9.07, 12.42) | Low prevalence of LTBI |
| El-Helaly et al. [35]  | August 2009 to May 2011 | 2014 | Saudi Arabia | Preemployment screening of tertiary healthcare workers | TST and QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 1372        | 18-60 years     | Kappa coefficient, chi-square test | 421 | 30.68% (28.25, 33.20) | Fair agreement between TST and QFT-G tests |
| Hassan and Diab et al. [36] | January to June 2012 | 2014 | Saudi Arabia | Laboratory personnel at a university hospital | QFT-GIT       | Cross-sectional | 134         | 21-60 years (33 ± 9.2) | Standardized questionnaire, chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test | 26  | 19.4% (13.08, 27.12)  | Assessed risk factors involved with LTBI |
| Abbas et al. [37]      | January 2008 to December 2009 | 2010 | Saudi Arabia | Healthcare workers in tertiary care hospital | TST           | Cross-sectional | 2650        | 10 to >50 years | ANOVA | 291 | 10.98% (9.81, 12.23) | Highest LTBI rates in physicians and nurses |
| Warrington et al. [38] | January 2016       | 2018 | Syria    | Syrian refugees entering Canada | QFT-GIT       | Cross-sectional | 99          | 5 to <50 years   | Two-tailed independent t-tests | 9   | 9.09% (4.24, 16.55)  | Low prevalence of LTBI No active TB |
| Mekaini et al. [39]    | April to October 2013 | 2014 | UAE      | Pediatric population | QFT-GIT       | Cross-sectional | 669         | 1-19 years      | Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA, chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test | 3   | 0.44% (0.09, 1.30)  | Low prevalence of LTBI |
| Shitrit et al. [28]    | September 2005     | 2005 | Israel   | High school students and adults | TST           | Cross-sectional | 84          | 18.2 ± 11 years | Pearson correlation coefficient, Student’s t-test | 57  | 67.85% (56.77, 77.63) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Khamis et al. [40]     | January to June 2012 | 2016 | Oman     | Healthcare workers exposed | Cross-sectional | 291         | 20 to 65 years | Descriptive statistics | 123 |  | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Study/reference number | Duration of study | Year | Country | Study population | TST and/or QFT | Study design | Sample size | Age (mean ± SD) | Tools | LTBI Prevalence (95% CI) | Outcome |
|------------------------|-------------------|------|---------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------|----------------------|---------|
| Garcell et al. [41]    | August 2012 to May 2013 | 2014 | Qatar | Healthcare workers in community hospital | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 202 | 39 ± 6.5 years | Test of independence, Student’s t-test, and Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney | 42.26% (36.52, 48.17) | LTBI among healthcare workers |
| Gunluoglu et al. [42]  | September to November 2011 | 2015 | Turkey | Chronic renal failure patients undergoing regular hemodialysis | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 44 (TST); 50 (QFT-GIT) | 62.2 years (mean age) | Kappa statistic, Mann–Whitney U-test, chi-square, Fisher’s exact test, Wills’ lambda test | 50% (37.23, 62.76) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Duman et al. [43]      | Not available | 2014 | Turkey | Psoriasis patients | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 61 (psoriasis); 40 (psoriatic arthritis) | 44.6 ± 13.1 years | Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, t-test, Mann–Whitney U-test, chi-square test, multivariable logistic regression, multiple linear regression, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Shapiro Wilk test, Mann–Whitney U-test, Fisher exact test, Pearson chi-square test, logistic regression analysis | 80.19% (71.08, 87.46) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Babayigit et al. [44]  | Not available | 2014 | Turkey | BCG vaccinated healthcare workers | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 64 | 21 to 51 years (32.01 ± 6.28) | Student’s t-test, chi-square test, Mann–Whitney U-test, Fisher exact test, Pearson chi-square test, logistic regression analysis | 32 | 50% (37.23, 62.76) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Yilmaz et al. [29]     | Not available | 2012 | Turkey | Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 78 | 13 to 67 years | Cohen’s kappa analysis, chi-square test, Mann–Whitney U-test | 52.56% (40.93, 63.99) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Hanta et al. [45]      | Not available | 2012 | Turkey | Patients with rheumatologic diseases | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 90 | 41.9 ± 11.9 years | Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test | 73.33% (62.96, 82.10) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Soysal et al. [46]     | May 2006 to May 2007 | 2012 | Turkey | Hemodialysis patients | TST, T-SPOT.TB | Cross-sectional | 411 | 19 to 84 years | Student’s t-test, chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, logistic regression analysis | 14.84% (11.54, 18.65) | Use of T-SPOT.TB in patients with negative TST for diagnosis of LTBI |
| Caglayan et al. [47]   | August 2005 | 2011 | Turkey | Healthcare workers of tertiary care hospital | TST, QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 78 | 30.51 ± 8.57 years | ANOVA | 59 | |
| Study/reference number | Duration of study | Year | Country | Study population | Study design | Sample size | Age (mean ± SD) | Tools | LTBI | Prevalence (95% CI) | Outcome |
|------------------------|------------------|------|---------|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------|-----|---------------------|---------|
| 6 Pulmonary Medicine    |                  |      |         |                  |             |             |                |       |     |                     |         |
|                        |                  |      |         |                  |             |             |                |       |     |                     |         |
| Karadag et al. [48]    | Not available    | 2010 | Turkey  | Patients with Takayasu arteritis | Cross-sectional | 94          | 40.2 ± 12.1 years | Student’s t-test, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test | 55     | 75.64% (64.60, 84.65) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Inanc et al. [49]      | March 2007 to June 2008 | 2009 | Turkey  | Patients with rheumatoid arthritis and Ankylosing spondylitis | Cross-sectional | 140         | 55.4 ± 11.2 years | Chen’s kappa analysis, Mann–Whitney U-test, chi-square test | 85     | 60.71% (52.11, 68.85) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Seyhan et al. [50]     | Not available    | 2010 | Turkey  | Hemodialysis patients | Cross-sectional | 100         | 56.2 ± 15.3 years | Student t-test, Mann–Whitney U-test, chi-square test | 56     | 60% (45.71, 65.91) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Hanta et al. [51]      | April 2005 to January 2008 | 2008 | Turkey  | Patient with rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing arthritis, and psoriatic arthritis | Cross-sectional | 192         | 43.1 ± 12.7 years | Fisher’s exact test | 129   | 67.18% (60.05, 73.77) | TST can be used for diagnosis of LTBI in rheumatologic disease before anti-TNF therapy. |
| Ozdemir et al. [52]    | June to August 2005 | 2007 | Turkey  | Healthcare workers in Duzce University hospital | Cross-sectional | 76          | 18 to 50 years (30.4 ± 5.4) | Cohen’s kappa, chi-square test, Student’s t-test | 67     | 88.15% (78.70, 94.44) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Bozkanat et al. [53]   | March 2008       | 2016 | Turkey  | Healthcare workers in specialist tuberculosis hospital | Cross-sectional | 34          | 33.0 ± 5.8 years | Kappa test | 23     | 67.64% (49.47, 82.61) | High prevalence of LTBI |
| Hasanain et al. [54]   | December 2015 to January 2017 | 2018 | Egypt   | Patients with erectile dysfunction | Cross-sectional | 97          | 47.9 ± 13.6 years | Chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test | 29     | 29.89% (21.02, 40.04) | Prevalence of LTBI was high in patients with high-grade ED |
| El-Sokkary et al. [55] | August 2012 to | 2015 | Egypt   | Healthcare providers | Cross-sectional | 132         | 35.2 ± 8.99 years | Chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test | 78     | 59.09% (50.19, 67.56) | High prevalence of LTBI |
Table 1: Continued.

| Study/reference number | Duration of study | Year | Country | Study population | TST and/or QFT | Study design | Sample size | Age (mean ± SD) | Tools | LTBI | Prevalence (95% CI) | Outcome |
|------------------------|-------------------|------|---------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|------|---------------------|---------|
| Slouma et al. [56]     | January 2013      | 2017 | Tunisia | Patients with chronic inflammatory diseases receiving biologic agents since at least 6 months | TST QFT-GIT | Cohort | 113         | 47.67 ± 13.5 years | Student’s t-test, ANOVA | 23    | 20.35% (13.36, 28.95) | Low prevalence of LTBI |
| Khazraiyan et al. [57] | January to May 2013 | 2016 | Iran    | HIV positive patients | TST QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 130 | 19 to 71 years (37.1 ± 8.6) | Chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test | 38    | 29.23% (21.58, 37.84) | Low prevalence of LTBI |
| Jam et al. [30]        | January 2006 to February 2007 | 2010 | Iran    | Patients with HIV/AIDS | TST | Cross-sectional | 262 | 1 month to >60 years | Chi-square test | 63    | 24.04% (19, 29.68) | Medium prevalence of LTBI |
| Amiri et al. [58]      | June to August 2012 | 2014 | Iran    | Homeless people of Tehran | QFT-GIT | Cross-sectional | 593 | Not available | Logistic regression and chi-square test | 277   | 46.71% (42.63,50.81) | High prevalence of LTBI |

QFT-GIT: QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube; TST: tuberculin skin test; LTBI: latent tuberculosis infection; OR: odds ratio; ANOVA: analysis of variance; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; TNF: tumor necrosis factor.
| Study                  | Clear study aims | Adequate sample size | Representative sample | Inclusion and exclusion criteria | Adequate assessment of outcome | Response rate reported | Adequate description of data | Appropriate statistical analysis | Appropriate informed consent obtained | Total score |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Nasehi et al., 2016    | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 1                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 9           |
| Mamani et al., 2016    | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 1                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 9           |
| Bukhary et al., 2018   | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 8           |
| Balkhy et al., 2017    | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 8           |
| El-Helaly et al., 2014 | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 7           |
| Hassan and Diab, 2014  | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 6           |
| Abbas et al., 2010     | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 0                     | 7           |
| Warrington et al., 2018| 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 0                     | 5           |
| Mekaini et al., 2014   | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 8           |
| Shitrit et al., 2005   | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 7           |
| Khamis et al., 2016    | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 0                     | 7           |
| Garcell et al., 2014   | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 0                     | 7           |
| Gunluoglu et al., 2015 | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 7           |
| Duman et al., 2014     | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 7           |
| Babayigit et al., 2014 | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 7           |
| Yılmaz et al., 2012    | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 7           |
| Hanta et al., 2012     | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 1                     | 6           |
| Soysal et al., 2012    | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 0                     | 5           |
| Caglayan et al., 2011  | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 1                               | 0                           | 1                     | 1                           | 1                                | 0                     | 6           |
prevalence was observed to be 41.78% (95% CI 31.18% to 52.78%, $I^2 = 99.31\%$).

The subgroup analyses revealed the existence of heterogeneity. In the gender-based subgroup analysis, some of the studies failed to mention the gender-based prevalence of LTBI, and hence 14 and 15 studies were excluded from the subgroup analysis of males and females, respectively; hence, the subgroup analysis of males was performed with 17 studies, and that of females with 16 studies. The analysis revealed that the proportion of LTBI ranged from 0.32% to 86.04% and from 0.54% to 90.90% in males and females, respectively. The overall prevalence was estimated to be 33.12% (95% CI 18.97% to 49.04%, $I^2 = 99.25\%$) and 32.65% (95% CI 19.79% to 47%, $I^2 = 98.89\%$) in males and females, respectively.

For the evaluation of age-based prevalence, the WHO classification for age groups was utilized, and the age range for children, adolescents, and adults was taken as <10 years, between 10 and 19 years, and >19 years, respectively; further, three studies, Shitrit et al. [28], Yilmaz et al. [29], and Jam et al. [30], were excluded from this subgroup analysis as the age of subjects in those studies overlapped the age range for children, adolescents, and adults, i.e., 12 years and above, 13 to 67 years, and 1 month to above 60 years, respectively. Moreover, there was no differentiation in the age range for the prevalence of LTBI in these studies; hence, the subgroup analysis of children, adolescents, and adults was performed with 1, 2, and 27 studies, respectively. The prevalence of LTBI in children was observed to be 0.44% (95% CI -0.05% to 0.9%), the prevalence of LTBI in adolescents and adults ranged from 2.46% to 3.55% and 6.93% to 88.15%, respectively. The overall prevalence was observed to be 3.37% (95% CI 2.23% to 4.74%, $I^2 = 0\%$) and 43.81% (95% CI 33.09% to 54.82%, $I^2 = 99.18\%$) for adolescents and adults, respectively.

| Study                        | Clear study aims | Adequate sample size | Representative sample | Inclusion and exclusion criteria | Adequate assessment of outcome | Response rate reported | Adequate description of data | Appropriate statistical analysis | Appropriate informed consent obtained | Total score |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Karadag et al., 2010 [48]    | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 9           |
| Inanc et al., 2009 [49]      | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 7           |
| Seyhan et al., 2010 [50]     | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 7           |
| Hanta et al., 2008 [51]      | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 0                     | 6           |
| Ozdemir et al., 2007 [52]    | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 7           |
| Bozkanat et al., 2016 [53]   | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 0                     | 6           |
| Hasanain et al., 2018 [54]   | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 0                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 6           |
| El-Sokkary et al., 2015 [55] | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 7           |
| Slouma et al., 2017 [56]     | 1                | 0                    | 1                     | 0                                | 0                           | 1                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 5           |
| Khazraiyan et al., 2016 [57] | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 0                           | 0                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 6           |
| Jam et al., 2010 [30]        | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 0                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 1                     | 8           |
| Amiri et al., 2014 [58]      | 1                | 1                    | 1                     | 1                                | 1                           | 0                       | 1                             | 1                                 | 0                     | 7           |

*A sample size of $\geq 200$ was considered as adequate and a sample size of $<200$ was considered as inadequate. †A response rate of $<50\%$ was considered as low = 0, and $>50\%$ was considered as high = 1.*
4. Discussion

After screening 956 studies, a total of 31 scientific papers from ten countries within the MENA region were included in this systematic review [28–58]. The subjects included in these studies were healthcare workers, laboratory staff, medical school students, people living with HIV, and patients with chronic inflammatory diseases. The detection of LTBI in these studies was performed by TST or IGRA or both; furthermore, the studies covered the incidence of LTBI among populations belonging to varying age groups, including children, adolescents, and adults.

In the present study, LTBI prevalence was evaluated by employing the random effects model since high heterogeneity was encountered among studies. The existence of high heterogeneity may have possibly been due to variations in study settings, subjects or participants, methodologies involved, exposure to TB patients, and the control measures taken across the studies.

The overall prevalence of LTBI in the MENA region was found to be 41.78%. In the gender-based subgroup analyses, the prevalence of LTBI was found to be 33.12% and 32.65% in males and females, respectively. As for the age-based prevalence, it was assessed to be 0.44%, 3.37%, and 43.81% in children, adolescents, and adults, respectively; therefore, this systematic review implies a high prevalence of LTBI in the MENA region irrespective of gender, and in order to achieve the WHO End TB 2035 objective, there is an immediate need to scale up measures to stop TB disease and enhance LTBI detection within the MENA region.

There are some strengths and limitations within this study that needs to be highlighted; first, as per our findings, this is the first systematic review on the epidemiology and prevalence of LTBI in the MENA region. As for limitations, studies published in English alone have been included, therefore, other reports from countries with high TB incidence that are published in native or other languages other than English, in national or local journals, have not been included; additionally, studies published in journals indexed in PubMed and Google Scholar were included, while other studies may exist that were published in other indexing databases.

To conclude, this review indicates a high prevalence of LTBI in the MENA region despite the high heterogeneity observed. Future studies should aim towards more rigorous assessment of LTBI prevalence within the MENA region to reach exact estimates as the first important step to hamper TB disease diffusion in these countries.
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