Stoichiometric imbalances between terrestrial decomposer communities and their resources: mechanisms and implications of microbial adaptations to their resources
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INTRODUCTION

Soil microbial communities are key players in global biogeochemical cycles, regulating core ecosystem processes such as organic matter decomposition, soil C sequestration and nutrient recycling. Microbial decomposers release extracellular enzymes (EEs), which deconstruct plant macromolecules and ultimately liberate soluble substrates for microbial uptake (Schimel and Bennett, 2004). In turn, microbes use these substrates to fuel biomass production and EE synthesis (Moorhead et al., 2012). The amount of inorganic nutrients released into the ecosystem by mineralization depends on the relative C to the nutrient demand of the microorganisms, as well as the nutrient content of organic matter.

Microorganisms can be linked to these ecosystem processes through the theory of ecological stoichiometry, which has emerged as a powerful tool for studying the functioning of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (Sterner and Elser, 2002). Soil is spatially and temporally heterogeneous, comprising chemically diverse compounds as well as harboring a vast diversity of microbes. Ecological stoichiometry uses elemental ratios and is thus a simplification of natural complexity, which can explain ecological dynamics simply by acknowledging chemical constraints on the metabolic and physiologic functions of organisms. Stoichiometric invariance (homeostasis) of organisms is a central concept in ecological stoichiometry to predict nutrient retention and recycling, as well as biomass production, from subcellular to ecosystem scales (Sterner and Elser, 2002). Strictly homeostatic organisms maintain a constant chemical composition despite variations in the chemical composition and availability of their resources (Sterner and Elser, 2002). Strictly homeostatic organisms have invariable C:N:P ratios, where changes in resource stoichiometry have no influence on their stoichiometry, whereas non-homeostatic organisms vary their elemental composition in response to changes in resource composition.

On a global scale, the stoichiometry of soil microbial biomass has been shown to be more constrained in range and variance compared to its resource, which implies that microbes are largely homeostatic in terms of their biomass C:N:P (Cleveland...
Homeostatic regulation of microbial biomass composition constitutes the basis for the consumer-driven nutrient recycling theory (CNR; Sterner, 1990; Elser and Urabe, 1999; Sterner and Elser, 2002), according to which the elemental ratios of consumers and their resources determine the ratio of C:nutrient released through differential recycling of C and nutrients (N or P). This is of special interest in terrestrial ecosystems because microbial decomposers recycle C and N mainly as carbon dioxide and ammonium, respectively, contributing to soil respiration and soil N mineralization. Thus, the constraints on microbial growth and activity by the stoichiometric imbalance between microbial communities and their resource play a pivotal role in shaping ecosystem processes (Manzoni et al., 2010; Mooshammer et al., 2012), with the regulation of microbial homeostasis being an underlying, determining factor.

The key questions regarding stoichiometric imbalances, i.e., how soil microbes regulate their C:N:P homeostasis and how this in turn affects the processing of organic matter, are still insufficiently understood. The aim of this review is to synthesize the current knowledge on the mechanisms that allow terrestrial microbial communities to thrive in a stoichiometrically imbalanced world. First, we review the spatial and temporal variability of resource stoichiometry and its effect on microbial biomass stoichiometry. Second, we present a mechanistic framework of how soil microbial communities cope with resource imbalances (Figure 1), including (i) plasticity of microbial biomass C:N:P, (ii) production of EEs as C and nutrient acquisition strategy, (iii) adjustments in microbial element use efficiencies, and (iv) input of external nutrients by N-fixing prokaryotes or by saprotrophic fungi.

**STOICHIOMETRIC IMBALANCES BETWEEN RESOURCES AND SOIL MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES**

For soil microbes the most important resources are plant necromass (wood, plant, and root litter), exudates and soil organic matter (SOM). Decomposable plant products (detritus, necromass, root exudates) exhibit much wider and more variable C:N:P ratios than microbes [see data compiled in Table 1 and (Sistla and Schimel, 2012); all C:N:P ratios in this publication refer to molar ratios]. For instance, leaf litter C:N:P is globally constrained with a molar ratio of 3,007:45:1 (McGroddy et al., 2004), or 3,055:43:1, based on a larger dataset (Yuan and Chen, 2009). Leaf litter C:N:P, however, varies between ecosystems and biomes (McGroddy et al., 2004), and even more between plant species, life forms (e.g.,

---

**FIGURE 1** Simplified schematic representation of important C:N components and fluxes during organic matter breakdown by litter or soil microbial communities. The differences in C:N:P stoichiometry between (A) soil organic matter and (B) plant litter result in distinct elemental limitations for the respective microbial communities, with different implications for element mineralization fluxes. Mechanisms for microbial adaptation to these resource imbalances are indicated: input of external nutrients by N-fixing prokaryotes or by saprotrophic fungi, adjustment in the production of extracellular enzymes as C and nutrient acquisition strategy, non-homeostatic behavior of microbial communities and adjustment of microbial element use efficiencies. Given are global average molar C:N ratios (Yuan and Chen, 2009; Xu et al., 2013). The scheme is also applicable for P by substitution of C:N ratios by C:P (plant litter, 3,055:43:1; SOM, 287:1; microbial biomass, 42:1; Table 1) as well as NUE by phosphorus use efficiency (PUE). Abbreviations: SOM, soil organic matter; CUE, carbon use efficiency; NUE, nitrogen use efficiency.
Table 1 | Globally averaged element ratios in potential resources and in soil microbial biomass, and stoichiometric imbalances between resources and microbes calculated as the ratio of C:Nresource (or C:P, N:P) over C:Nmicrobes (or C:P, N:P).

| Organic material | Molar C:N:P | C:N imbalance | C:P imbalance | N:P imbalance | Reference |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Wood             | 14,103 (±2,898):40 (±13):1 | 50            | 336           | 7             | Harmon et al. (1986), Martinelli et al. (2000), Weedon et al. (2009) |
| Dead roots       | 4,184 (±991):43 (±4):1 | 14            | 100           | 7             | Yuan et al. (2011) |
| Leaf litter      | 3,055 (±181):43 (±1):1 | 10            | 73            | 7             | Yuan and Chen (2009) |
| Soil organic matter | 287 (±25):17 (±1):1 | 2             | 7             | 3             | Xu et al. (2013) |
| Soil microbes    | 42 (±4):6 (±0.4):1 | 42            | 6             | 0.4           | Xu et al. (2013) |

grasses, forbs, shrubs, deciduous or evergreen trees) and broader phylogenetic groups (i.e., angiosperms, gymnosperms; Yuan and Chen, 2009). Therefore, in diverse multispecies plant communities, one can expect a large variability of C:N:P of leaf litter inputs. Root litter also has wide C:N:P ratios, with 4,184:43:1, although it remains unclear if systematic differences between species, ecosystems and biomes exist due to limited available data (Yuan et al., 2011). Nevertheless, molar C:N:P ratios of living fine root biomass clearly differ between biomes and decline exponentially with latitude, similar to those of leaf litter (Yuan et al., 2011). Woody debris has even wider C:N:P ratios than root litter due to very low N and P concentrations, with C:N:P ratios of boles and other coarse woody debris of 14,103:40:1 (Table 1; Harmon et al., 1986; Martinelli et al., 2000; Weedon et al., 2009). Wood of gymnosperms typically has wider C:N:P ratios (15,980:28:1) than that of angiosperms (6,122:28:1; Weedon et al., 2009), and tropical wood has a higher N:P ratio (93:1) than wood from temperate and boreal plants (27:1).

Adding to this complexity, the availability and type of plant necromass varies seasonally (e.g., Bardgett et al., 2005). For instance, in temperate forests leaf litter fall occurs as a short pulse of a few weeks in autumn. In temperate ecosystems root turnover increases in late autumn and consequently root necromass becomes available for microbial decomposition in topsoil and subsoil (Drake et al., 2011). Root exudation also affects the availability of organic C relative to N and P. Root exudation peaks during the plant growing season (Phillips et al., 2011), changing the stoichiometry of available resources in the rhizosphere. Root exudates are thought to consist mainly of sugars and organic acids, with a minor contribution of amino acids (Marschner, 1995). Consequently, the C:N ratio of root exudates has been assumed to range between 50 and 100 (Drake et al., 2013). Although little empirical evidence exists, it is safe to suggest that C:N ratios of root exudates are much wider than those of SOM and microbial biomass. No data are currently available for root exudate P fluxes, but P concentration in exudates is presumably low. Our current knowledge of root exudate composition is notably limited and empirical data on exudate C:N:P fluxes are urgently needed to better define its effect on decomposition processes in soil.

Soil organic matter, which is the result of microbial decomposition of plant necromass, is another major resource available for heterotrophic microorganisms. Microbial residues play an important role in the formation of SOM, as plant resources are converted to microbial biomass during decomposition, comprising a large proportion of SOM (e.g., Simpson et al., 2007; Miltner et al., 2009). Consequently, resource C:N:P ratios decline and the stoichiometric variability decreases with SOM processing. For instance, the C:N ratios of deeper soil horizons, which have been processed for longer, converge toward the C:N ratios of microbial biomass. Therefore, soils have narrower molar C:N:P ratios than plant litter, with a global soil average of 287:17:1 (Xu et al., 2013). Similar to other resources, SOM shows significant differences in C:N:P between ecosystem types and biomes (Xu et al., 2013). A meta-analysis of ∼2400 soil profiles collected in China further showed that soil C:N, C:P, and N:P ratios decrease with soil depth (Tian et al., 2010a). Soil C:N ratios are significantly higher in organic soils than in mineral soils, increasing linearly with soil organic carbon (SOC) content (Table 2, dataset of Xu et al., 2013). Based on this relationship, a C:N ratio of 13.6 is predicted for soils with a SOC content of 1%, whereas a C:N ratio of 39.2 is predicted for high SOC soil (i.e., 50% SOC). Along the same line, soil C:P ratios are predicted to be 156 and 1,610 for low and high SOC soils, and soil N:P ratios to be 10.3 and 55.4, respectively (Table 2).

Significant differences in soil C:N:P along the SOM decomposition continuum therefore become evident, despite soils being stoichiometrically more constrained than plant detritus. As a result of the spatio-temporal variability in the availability and type of plant detritus and SOM, terrestrial microbial communities must cope with large spatio-temporal variability in resource C:N:P ratios.

The range of biomass C, N, and P concentrations in soil microbial communities spans several orders of magnitude, although the linear and isometric relationships between these elements suggest a fixed, or at least a highly constrained, microbial C:N:P ratio (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007; Hartman and Richardson, 2013). A meta-analysis of microbial biomass C:N:P showed remarkably constant molar ratios around 60:7:1 (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007), and more recently, an area-weighted global soil microbial biomass C:N:P of 42:6:1 was reported based on a fourfold larger dataset (Xu et al., 2013). Although similarities in microbial elemental ratios among sites across large scales have been stressed by several authors, there is also evidence for some stoichiometric flexibility of microbial communities (Li et al., 2012; Fanin et al., 2013; Hartman and Richardson, 2013). Significant differences in microbial biomass elemental ratios were found between different ecosystems, e.g., forests and grasslands (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007), and also between major biomes, as shown by wide microbial
Table 2 | Relationships between soil organic carbon (SOC) content, soil C:N:P and microbial biomass C:N:P

| Relationship                              | Equation                      | n   | R  | R²  | P     | 1% SOC | 50% SOC |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|----|-----|-------|--------|---------|
| Soil C:N vs. SOC (%)                      | Soil C:N = 13.1 + 0.523 × SOC | 2135| 0.577 | 0.333 | <0.001 | 13.6   | 39.2    |
| Soil C:P vs. SOC (%)                      | Soil C:P = 126 + 29.7 × SOC  | 528 | 0.650 | 0.423 | <0.001 | 156    | 1611    |
| Soil N:P vs. SOC (%)                      | Soil N:P = 9.42 + 0.920 × SOC| 506 | 0.505 | 0.255 | <0.001 | 10.3   | 55.4    |
| Mic C:N vs. SOC (%)                       | Mic C:N = 8.13 + 0.041 × SOC | 1108| 0.076 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 8.2    | 10.2    |
| Mic C:P vs. SOC (%)                       | Mic C:P = 64.2 + 0.730 × SOC | 561 | 0.088 | 0.008 | 0.037 | 64.9   | 100.7   |
| Mic N:P vs. SOC (%)                       | Mic N:P = 7.16 + 0.067 × SOC | 440 | 0.084 | 0.007 | 0.079 | 7.2    | 10.5    |
| Mic C:N vs. soil C:N                     | Mic C:N = 781 + 0.031 × soil C:N | 1023| 0.063 | 0.004 | 0.044 | 7.8    | 9.4     |
| Mic C:N vs. soil C:N (log-log)           |                                |    | 0.121 | 0.014 | <0.001 | 66.5 | 67.3     |
| Mic C:P vs. soil C:P                     | Mic C:P = 66.5 + 0.015 × soil C:P | 405 | 0.078 | 0.006 | 0.118 | 9.1   | 10.9    |
| Mic C:P vs. soil C:P (log-log)           |                                |    | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.992 | 66.5 | 67.3     |
| Mic N:P vs. soil N:P                     | Mic N:P = 6.81 + 0.046 × soil N:P | 294 | 0.102 | 0.010 | 0.081 | 6.9   | 9.1     |
| Mic N:P vs. soil N:P (log-log)           |                                |    | -0.097 | 0.009 | 0.091 | 66.5 | 67.3     |

The respective equations are used to estimate element ratios for low-C soils (1% soil organic carbon, SOC) and high-C soils (50% SOC). Data from Xu et al. (2013).

Biomass C:N:P ratios in natural wetlands and tundra, in contrast to the narrow C:N:P ratios in boreal forests, croplands, pastures and deserts (Xu et al., 2013). Microbial C:N ranged between 4.5 and 12.5 (95% confidence boundaries for major biomes), microbial C:P between 24 and 275, and microbial N:P between 3.5 and 10.6 (excluding wetlands, Xu et al., 2013). In another meta-analysis, Hartman and Richardson (2013) also reported significant variations in microbial N:P ratios among sites with different vegetation and land use types, across soils and litter layers, and suggested that these differences might be linked to variation in size-dependent scaling relationships of biomass C:N and C:P (i.e., slight increases in the proportions of N and P with increasing soil microbial biomass C pools).

Biome- and ecosystem-level differences in microbial C:N:P ratios may be explained by co-variation with resource stoichiometry, or by soil type, soil pH, soil C content and/or latitude (mean annual temperature). Xu et al. (2013) found significant negative correlations between latitude and microbial C:N, N:P, and C:P, although low correlation coefficients indicate a weak effect of mean annual temperature on microbial stoichiometry. Soil pH was not found to affect microbial C:N:P ratios (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007; Hartman and Richardson, 2013). Interestingly a re-analysis of the existing global dataset of Xu et al. (2013), presented in Table 2, shows that microbial biomass C:N and C:P, but not N:P, are positively correlated with SOC content. This points to indirect control of SOC content on microbial stoichiometry, i.e., soil C:N and C:P ratios increase with SOC content, and microbial C:N and C:P as well. Moreover, this pattern indicates that in soil with high SOC content and high C:N (C:P), N or P may become limiting while C availability is high, thereby increasing microbial biomass C:N:P. On a global scale, microbial C:N:P ratios were not (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007; Hartman and Richardson, 2013), or only weakly, positively related to the respective soil element ratios (Xu et al., 2013; Table 2), indicating a large degree of stoichiometric homeostasis of soil microbial communities. Xu et al. (2013) reported a positive relationship between resource C:N and microbial biomass C:N (Table 2), though the relationship between resource C:N and microbial C:N is strongly dampened in relation to the variability in resource elemental ratios. For example, a resource C:N ratio of 10 would predict a microbial biomass C:N of 8.1, but a 10-fold higher resource C:N of 100 would only lead to a 1.3-fold increase in microbial biomass C:N to 10.9.

As a consequence of the relatively low variation in microbial biomass C:N:P, large C:N:P imbalances arise between different types of decomposable organic matter and decomposer communities (Table 1). We estimated the average global C:N imbalance – calculated as resource C:N divided by microbial biomass C:N – ranging from 2 (SOM) to values between 10 and 14 (leaf litter, dead roots), and up to 50 (wood). The C:P imbalances are higher than the C:N imbalances, ranging from 7 (SOM), to 73 (litter, 100 (root litter) and 336 (wood). Smallest imbalances are found for N:P, ranging from 3 in SOM to 7 in plant detritus (leaf litter, dead roots, and wood). These patterns in resource stoichiometry raise the question of how soil microbial communities cope with such large elemental imbalances.

ADAPTATIVE MECHANISMS OF SOIL MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES TO STOICHIOMETRIC IMBALANCES

There are four main mechanisms for microbial decomposers to cope with elemental imbalances and thrive on substrates that do not meet their elemental demand for growth (Figure 1): First, microbes can adjust their biomass C:N:P ratios to meet the elemental composition of their substrates; second, they change the elemental composition of their immediate substrates by producing enzymes that preferentially deconstruct polymers that meet their demand for C and nutrients; third, after substrate uptake, they...
mineralize and excrete the elements in excess of their demand by regulating their element use efficiencies; and fourth, diazotrophic bacteria and saprotrophic fungi may trigger the input of external N and P to decomposer communities. Below, we review these four mechanisms in more detail:

(1) **Non-homeostatic behavior of microbes reduces the stoichiometric imbalance to their resource.** As demonstrated above, small adjustments of microbial biomass C:N:P ratios to resource C:N:P do indeed occur, and may help as an adaptive mechanism of microbial communities to stoichiometric imbalances. Adjustment of microbial biomass C:N:P to resource C:N:P ratios can occur due to two main mechanisms: first, microbial storage of elements in excess, leading to a convergence between the biomass and resource stoichiometries, and second, shifts in microbial community structure and concomitant shifts in biomass stoichiometry. Whereas the first mechanism would be a physiological adjustment of the stoichiometry of microorganisms, requiring that microbes are non-homeostatic, the latter is not a true adjustment, as a community change may have very different reasons.

Despite the lack of information regarding changes in microbial C, N, or P storage, analyses of cultured organisms have shown that P storage in polyphosphates by bacteria and fungi is related to increased P availability (Kornberg, 1995; Achbergerova and Nahalka, 2011), and C storage, for example, in lipids (triacetyl-glycerols, TAG, and poly-beta-hydroxyalkanoates, PHA) and glucans (such as glycogen), can increase with C availability (Wilkinson, 1963; Lee, 1996; Wilson et al., 2010). However, glucan and PHA storage usually does not exceed a few percent (up to a maximum of 20%) of biomass. Unlike C and P, N has no specific storage pool beside small, intermittent accumulation of essential amino acids, and no significant N storage in microbes has been reported to date (e.g., Banham and Whately, 1991). It rather seems that N is either directly incorporated into the biomass, or mineralized and excreted. Therefore, C:N ratios are not expected to change markedly due to storage of excess C or N. Under P-limited conditions, microbes are thought to be relatively homeostatic in regard to C:P and N:P ratios (Makino et al., 2003). However, it has recently been shown in decomposition experiments with tropical leaf litter (at low P availability) that microbial N:P ratios followed that of the soluble litter fraction (Fanin et al., 2013). When P is available in excess, microbes can become strongly non-homeostatic (Scott et al., 2012). In such case, luxury P consumption and cellular P storage in the form of polyphosphates has been reported (Kornberg, 1995). For example, accumulated polyphosphate can comprise up to 10–20% of yeast cells’ dry mass, thereby strongly affecting their C:N:P stoichiometry. However, whether P supply in excess of microbial demand really exists in natural terrestrial ecosystems remains unclear.

On the other hand, the stoichiometric plasticity of microbial communities may be generated by shifts in the dominance of strains of distinct stoichiometry. Bacteria in general exhibit lower C:N ratios than fungi (Strickland and Rousk, 2010), and fast-growing microbes (copiotrophs, r-strategists) have been suggested to exhibit lower biomass C:N:P ratios (higher nutrient requirements) than slow-growing ones (oligotrophs, K-strategists; Elser et al., 2003; Fierer et al., 2007). Therefore, changes in fungal bacterial ratios and shifts in the dominance of r- or K-strategists are expected to result in concomitant shifts in microbial biomass C:N:P ratios. Moreover, fast- and slow-growing microorganisms do not only exhibit distinct biomass stoichiometries, but also the requirement for stoichiometric homeostasis might vary with their growth rates, with tight requirements for fast-growing and relaxed requirements for slow-growing microorganisms (Egli et al., 1995; Fierer et al., 2007). Recently, Fanin et al. (2013) showed that a non-homeostatic behavior of microbial biomass was due to shifts in the community composition rather than due to stoichiometric flexibility of the same community. It is well known that fungal: bacterial ratios decrease from litter and organic soils toward mineral soils (Maassen et al., 2006; Moore et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2013). For example, since both fungal: bacterial ratios and the C:N ratio of SOM decrease with soil depth, a positive correlation of microbial biomass C:N with resource C:N is expected. However, it remains unclear whether such associations between microbial biomass stoichiometry and community composition reflect a causal effect, and to what extent, or are merely coincidental due to adaptation to resource chemistry. Assessing the effect of microbial community composition on overall microbial biomass stoichiometry will therefore require more direct approaches, such as in situ measurements of single-cell C:N:P ratios in soils by techniques such as X-ray microanalysis or NanoSIMS coupled with phylogenetic classification at broad scale (bacteria, fungi) or fine scale (fluorescence in situ hybridization; Hall et al., 2011).

(2) **Microbes adjust their EE production, to maximize the mobilization of substrates rich in the limiting element.** Microbial regulation of EE production is complex, including constitutive secretion of low levels of EE (most likely to detect suitable substrates), induction of EE synthesis in response to increasing availability of complex substrates, and feedback inhibition of EE activity by their products (Wallenstein and Weintraub, 2008; Burns et al., 2013). Many EEs in soils become stabilized through association with clay minerals, humic acids and particulate organic matter, often leading to lower activities and greater residence times in soils, but such immobilized enzymes may also serve as a first sensor communicating changes in substrate availability to microbes (Burns et al., 2013). Another factor that complicates the EE response of microbes lies in the fact that enzyme production itself requires an investment of N and C, which can further increase elemental limitation (Schimel and Weintraub, 2003). This may be especially true for N, as the C:N ratio of proteins is usually much lower than microbial biomass C:N. Thus, under strong N limitation, excretion of EE to mobilize N-containing substrates may not be an adequate strategy for microbes to regulate their N homeostasis, unless the benefit of enzyme production (i.e., N released from litter or SOM through EE) outweighs the costs involved (Schimel and Weintraub, 2003). Given that EE production involves no direct P investment we do not expect similar patterns for P acquiring enzymes.

On a global scale, the stoichiometry of EE was shown to be strongly constrained, with a mean C:N:P ratio near 1:1:1 using log-transformed potential activities of hydrolytic C, N, and P acquiring enzymes (Sinsabaugh et al., 2008, 2009). However, significant variations in the stoichiometry of soil EE were found at the ecosystem scale (Sinsabaugh et al., 2008). In terms of microbial C:N:P homeostasis, we would indeed expect that EE activities are not stoichiometrically invariant to resource elemental ratios,
because according to resource allocation theory microbes invest abundant elements into EE production mining for scarce elements (Allison et al., 2011). There is evidence that increasing availability of N stimulates C mobilizing enzymes (Allison and Vitousek, 2005; Geisseler and Horwath, 2009) and causes enhanced mobilization of P by enhancing phosphatase activity, i.e., an effective investment of N to mine for P in order to keep elemental balance (Olander and Vitousek, 2000; Marklein and Houlton, 2012; Mooshammer et al., 2012). In contrast, P availability did not affect N acquiring enzymes (Olander and Vitousek, 2000). In some cases, the input of labile C, which can induce N limitation in microbes, did enhance the activity of N acquiring enzymes although in other cases it did not (Allison and Vitousek, 2005; Geisseler and Horwath, 2009; Hernandez and Hobbie, 2010), which possibly depends on the overall N limitation of the microbial community.

Input of labile C was also shown to make N accessible for microbes by increased production of oxidative enzymes, resulting in enhanced SOM decomposition (“rhizosphere priming effect” or “nitrogen mining”) (Craine et al., 2007; Blagodatskaya and Kuzyakov, 2008; Sinsabaugh, 2010). In contrast to this release of N through oxidation of SOM, much of the organic P in soils is present in monooesters and diesters, which is released by hydrolysis through the activity of phosphatases (McGill and Cole, 1981; Vitousek and Howarth, 1991). Therefore, rhizosphere priming may not occur in systems that are P limited, as rhizodeposition may be utilized to mobilize P from organic and inorganic sources (through dissolution/desorption and hydrolysis, respectively), rather than for decomposition of SOM (Dijksta et al., 2013). Overall, the available data indicate that the regulation of hydrolytic and oxidative EEs can bring nutrient and C supply closer to microbial element demand, although the general validity and relative role of this mechanism must yet be demonstrated.

If microbes indeed reduce the elemental imbalance between bulk substrate (soil or litter) and their biomass composition through the release of EE, we would expect that the C:N:P ratio of dissolved organic matter (DOM) is closer to the stoichiometry of microbial biomass than the bulk resource. However, C:N ratios of DOM (DOC:DON) in soil water and leachates are often higher than C:N ratios of SOM and are only weakly, or not significantly, related across sites (Neff et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2010; Haney et al., 2012). Soil microbial activity was nonetheless more strongly related to water-soluble organic C:N than to soil C:N in arable fields (Haney et al., 2012), which highlights that water-soluble C:N represents a more sensitive measure of the soil substrate driving microbial activity. Moreover, the bioavailability of DOM, or extractable organic matter, can be reduced (i.e., the interaction between microorganisms and DOM is restricted) by physical (e.g., inaccessibility of DOM) and chemical (e.g., DOM sorption to solid surfaces) restrictions (Marschner and Kalbitz, 2003), thus causing great differences in DOM availability between soils and soil microhabitats. For example, DOM bioavailability was found to range between 10 and 20% in organic soils (Kükikka et al., 2005), and reached 80 to 90% in agricultural (mineral) soils (Tian et al., 2010b). Bulk measurements of C:N:P in DOM therefore also do not represent the immediate source of elements for microbes.

The composition of DOM is not only a result of the input, but is also affected by uptake through the soil microbial community, which is a virtually unexplored field given the methodological difficulties to assess the immediate substrates for microbial uptake. An inverse approach that may hold promise to dissect the elemental composition of the resource used in situ by soil microbes is to infer microbial C:N:P uptake from measured C:N:P ratios of mineralization fluxes, microbial biomass and bulk soil. However, this approach relies strongly on knowledge about microbial element use efficiencies (Murphy et al., 2003; Herrmann and Witter, 2008), and these are hardly ever measured for N and P (see below). An alternative approach is to determine the pools, and gross production and consumption rates of major low-molecular weight compounds that serve as the immediate substrates for heterotrophic soil microbes, including sugars, organic acids, amino acids, amino sugars, and organic phosphates, and thereby estimate the C:N:P ratios of substrates taken up by soil microbial communities.

(3) Microbes excrete elements that are present in excess in their resource compared to their biomass composition by adjusting their element use efficiencies. If microbes cannot change the elemental composition of their immediate substrates or adjust their biomass composition accordingly, they could take up whatever substrate is available and release elements in excess of their requirement, while keeping those in short supply for growth. Microbes are able to achieve this balance by regulating their element use efficiencies, such as the carbon use efficiency (CUE, sometimes also called growth yield or gross growth efficiency). Microbial CUE is defined as the ratio of C invested in growth (new biomass production) over total C taken up (Del Giorgio and Cole, 1998; Manzoni et al., 2012).

The considerable differences in C:N:P stoichiometry between plant detritus (litter) and SOM result in distinct elemental limitations for the respective microbial communities (Table 1, Figure 1). Progressively lower C:N and C:P ratios from litter to topsoil, and further to subsoil, correspond also to a decreasing C availability in relation to N and P (increasing C limitation). In order to cope with such differences in resource elemental composition, microbes are expected to adjust their element use efficiencies accordingly. For example, assuming strict homeostasis and negligible adjustment of EE, when microbes with a biomass C:N of 7 (global average, Table 1) decompose plant litter with a relatively high C:N ratio of 70, they must release 63 units of C as CO₂ per unit of N invested in growth, yielding a low CUE of about 0.1. By contrast, if SOM with a C:N close to that of the microbial biomass would be decomposed (e.g., SOM C:N of 12 and biomass C:N of 7.2), CUE would converge toward the theoretical maximum of about 0.6 (Sinsabaugh et al., 2013). CUE cannot reach the maximum of 1, given that a significant amount of C taken up is required to produce energy for growth, maintenance and enzyme production (Schimel and Weintraub, 2003; Manzoni et al., 2012; Sinsabaugh et al., 2013). In this situation, when C becomes limiting and CUE cannot be further increased, microbes need to lower their nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), i.e., excrete N in excess (Manzoni and Porporato, 2009). This transition from net nutrient immobilization to net nutrient mineralization (critical C:N ratio) corresponds to the threshold elemental ratio (TER), which defines the transition of an ecological
system from being controlled by a limiting nutrient (N or P) to being controlled by energy (C; e.g., Urabe and Watanabe, 1992; Anderson and Hessen, 1995; Frost et al., 2006). Thus, a certain nutrient becomes limiting for growth, when resource C:nutrient ratios are greater than TER.

Whereas the stoichiometric regulation of CUE has been recently reviewed in detail (Manzoni et al., 2012; Sinsabaugh et al., 2013), very little is known about regulation of microbial NUE, and even less about microbial phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) in soils. From theoretical considerations, we can postulate that, in contrast to CUE, NUE can approach the theoretical maximum of 1 if all organic N taken up is used for growth. In addition, NUE can be regulated independently from CUE, allowing microbial communities to adjust to resources with low C:N, which leads to C limitation and consequently N excess. It remains to be seen, however, if microbial NUE is also regulated at high substrate C:N ratios where microbial N limitation is expected. In such a case, microorganisms could respond to stoichiometrically unbalanced substrates by concurrent fine-tuning of CUE, NUE, and PUE, depending on the limiting element. In conclusion, the regulation of element use efficiencies is likely an important microbial strategy to cope with variations in resource stoichiometry.

Adaptations in microbial element use efficiencies have also a potentially great impact on the elemental ratios of major biogeochemical fluxes (e.g., the ratio of heterotrophic respiration to microbial N mineralization), with broad implications for soil C sequestration and N losses from terrestrial ecosystems. This means that microbial homeostasis achieved through adaptations in microbial element use efficiencies is expected to cause a strong positive relationship between resource C:N and mineralization flux C:N:P (CNR theory; Sterner and Elser, 2002), as the limiting elements are retained and incorporated into microbial biomass, and those in excess are excreted. In contrast, both non-homeostatic regulation of microbial biomass stoichiometry and compensatory EE regulation are expected to cause reductions in microbial element imbalances. Thus, if such a mechanism dominates, the ratios of element mineralization flux should not, or only slightly, increase with resource C:N:P, except when those mechanisms cannot compensate for the resource imbalance, especially with non-homeostatic regulation of microbial biomass stoichiometry at wide resource C:N:P ratios. However, the relationship between the stoichiometry of resource and mineralization fluxes as predicted by CNR theory has not yet been explicitly tested for terrestrial microbial communities.

There are few reports showing that C:N:P ratios of mineralization fluxes are strongly positively related to resource C:N:P. For instance, in decomposing litter, resource C:N and C:P were strongly negatively correlated with the respective gross N mineralization and gross P mineralization fluxes (but less so with respiration), suggestive of increasing microbial NUE and PUE at high resource C:N and C:P ratios while microbial communities were homeostatic with respect to these element ratios (Mooshammer et al., 2012). Moreover, in forest soils, Achat et al. (2010) demonstrated a similar relationship, with relatively constant microbial biomass C:P, while P mineralization fluxes were highly variable and strongly positively related to resource C:P [data recalculated from Achat et al. (2010)]. Both studies therefore point to the importance of regulation of microbial NUE and PUE as an adaptation to stoichiometric imbalances. Concurrent measurements of the C:N:P ratios of resources, microbial biomass, EE activities and mineralization fluxes would therefore allow deeper insights into the mechanisms used by soil microorganisms to adapt to elemental imbalances in their resources, and to constrain the most important mechanisms and their environmental controls. Addressing these questions will require advances in the measurement of CUE, NUE, and PUE in soil microbial communities.

(4) Nitrogen-fixing prokaryotes and saprotrophic fungi increase the N and P availability by inputs from external sources. There are two major pathways for input of N or P to the decomposing material from external sources, (i) N fixation by prokaryotes and (ii) fungal transfer of N or P from nutrient-rich patches. Prokaryotic N fixers (diazotrophs) convert atmospheric N₂ to ammonia, a highly energy demanding process that is under strict physiological control (Raymond et al., 2004). Amongst other controls, biological N fixation is stimulated by elevated concentrations of labile organic C and P and feedback inhibited by high concentrations of ammonium and amino acids (Reed et al., 2011). Accordingly N fixation by free-living microbes is distributed heterogeneously, with hotspots of N fixation in habitats with high C and low N availability, i.e., in woody debris, leaf litter and the forest floor, and lowest rates in mineral soils (Hope and Li, 1997; Wei and Kimmins, 1998; Reed et al., 2007; Cusack et al., 2009). Moreover, release of C-rich exudates by roots also causes increased diazotroph abundances in the plant rhizosphere compared to bulk soils (Hamelin et al., 2002; Bürgmann et al., 2005). Through the release of ammonium and amino acids and upon death and lysis of diazotrophs, fixed N becomes available to other decomposers. However, though globally important amounts of N are fixed by free-living diazotrophs (Wang and Houlton, 2009), their importance to lower the C:N imbalance between resources and decomposer communities in litter has yet to be demonstrated. Diazotrophs represent only a small fraction of the decomposer community (Reed et al., 2010b; Jung et al., 2012; Ducey et al., 2013) and N fixation rates are therefore orders of magnitude lower than microbial respiration rates in woody debris, leaf litter, forest floor, and mineral soils (Hope and Li, 1997; Hicks et al., 2003). Therefore the community level impact of N subsidy by diazotrophs to meet substrate C:N imbalances can be expected to be small.

Though for P there is no analogous process to N fixation, fungal mycelia may relocate P in addition to N from other sources to supplement bacterial decomposer communities at sites where these elements are scarce. Hyphae of fungal saprophytes have been shown to often extend well beyond the resource that they decompose (Strickland and Rousk, 2010) and have been demonstrated to mediate nutrient import from nutrient-rich patches into nutrient-poor habitats, e.g., from soil into decomposing litter (Osono et al., 2003; Chigineva et al., 2011) or from nutrient-rich to nutrient-poor litter (Schimel and Hättenschwiler, 2007). In addition, they may mediate reciprocal transfer of C and N between soil and litter, relocating C from litter to soil and N from soil to litter (Frey et al., 2003). Fungi thereby can significantly contribute to close the stoichiometric imbalance between resources and decomposer communities.
CONCLUSION
In this review, we demonstrate that terrestrial microbial communities have to cope with a large spatio-temporal variability in resource stoichiometry, which can result in strong imbalances between resource composition and elemental demands by soil microbes. We highlight four major mechanisms that allow microbial communities to adapt to these environmental constraints (Figure 1): (i) plasticity of microbial biomass C:N:P, (ii) compensatory regulation of EE production as C and nutrient acquisition strategy, (iii) adjustments in microbial element use efficiencies and (iv) input of external nutrients by diazotrophic bacteria or saprotrophic fungi. Although the four described mechanisms clearly operate in parallel, their contributions on spatial, temporal and ecosystem scales remain underexplored. The wealth of measurements of microbial biomass C:N:P ratios sets limits to the non-homeostatic behavior of soil microbial communities. Growth of terrestrial decomposer communities on many types of resources with either very wide C:Nutrient ratios (e.g., wood) or very low C:Nutrient ratios (e.g., deep SOM) cannot be achieved solely through non-homeostatic behavior. Therefore, adaptation of microbial element use efficiencies and compensatory regulation of EE production are expected to contribute significantly to the adaptation of microorganisms to chemically diverse environments, together with external inputs of nutrients mediated by subgroups of the microbial community.
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