Plant-parasitic nematodes associated with sugarcane in Kilimanjaro, Tanzania
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Abstract

Morphological and molecular analyses of plant-parasitic nematodes (PPN) from 12 sugarcane plantation sites of Tanganyika Planting Company (TPC) Limited in Kilimanjaro region of Tanzania revealed the presence of six PPN genera, i.e. Helicotylenchus, Hemi-cycliophora, Pratylenchus, Rotylenchulus, Scutellonema, and Tylenchorhynchus. The genera with the highest densities and present in virtually all samples were Pratylenchus and Rotylenchulus, and the most important species appeared to be R. parvus, P. zeae, T. cressicauatus, and T. ventrosignatus. A total sequences of 11 partial ITS, 15 D2-D3 of 28S, and 6 partial 18S of rRNA gene, and 7 partial COI gene of mtDNA of these species were obtained in this study. Morphology and molecular data comparisons between the Tanzanian R. parvus and the South African R. parvus indicated that R. parvus is a cryptic species complex. Based on the results of morphological and molecular analyses of T. cressicauatus and T. agri from China, Haiti, Indonesia, Iran, Niger and the USA, T. agri syn. n. is proposed as a junior synonym of T. cressicauatus.
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Sugarcane is an important cash crop in Tanzania which is widely used for the production of sugar for home consumption and commercial industries. Other by-products such as bagasse and molasses are also used as a renewable source of energy and for exporting (Arndt et al., 2010). The most important sugarcane cultivating regions in Tanzania are Morogoro (Kilombero Sugar Company and Mlibwa Sugar Estates), Kagera (Kagera Sugar Limited), and Kilimanjaro (Tanganyika Planting Company (TPC) Limited). However, sugar consumption of Tanzania is usually higher than its production, often resulting in need for import of sugar, and the country’s production of sugarcane per hectare is also reported to be lower compared to other countries such as Kenya and South Africa (Tarimo and Takamura, 1998; Songela and Maclean, 2008; Sulle et al., 2014; Sambuo, 2015).

Low sugarcane production in Tanzania has often been linked to several factors including pest and diseases like smut disease caused by fungi and white scale pest (Greathead, 1970; Msechu and Keswani, 1978; Katundu and Ramadhani, 1988). Other studies reported the effect of the white grubs, Cochliotis melolonthoides (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), which feed underground on sugarcane roots (Jepson, 1956; Cock and Allard, 2013), and accounted for an annual economic loss of about 25 to 50% at the TPC Limited, Kilimanjaro (Paray et al., 2012). However, no investigation on the diversity and the effect of nematodes on sugarcane of Tanzania has been done despite the fact that plant-parasitic nematodes (PPN) can damage roots and reduce the length of cane stalks leading to sugarcane yield loss (Berry et al., 2008). Several studies have uncovered PPN diversity in sugarcane fields in...
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countries such as Mauritius (Williams, 1960a, b), India (Sundararaj and Mehta, 1993), Kenya (Chirchir et al., 2008, Steven et al., 2014), South Africa (Berry et al., 2017), and Brazil (Noronha et al., 2017), revealing the most common PPN genera associated with sugarcane as *Meloidogyne*, *Pratylenchus*, *Tylenchorhynchus*, *Rotylenchulus*, and *Helicotylenchus*.

This study was aimed at studying the diversity of PPN associated with sugarcane, with focus on the sugarcane plantation sites of TPC Limited in Kilimanjaro region. The combination of morphological and molecular analyses revealed the presence of several genera of PPN in the soil and root samples collected from 12 different sugarcane fields. Molecular and morphological characterizations are provided for the most important PPN species detected.

**Materials and methods**

**Sampling and nematode extraction**

Soil and root sampling was done at the end of July 2017 from 12 sugarcane fields at the TPC Limited in Kilimanjaro region, Tanzania, which is located about 50 km to the South of Mount Kilimanjaro, and 20 km from Moshi Municipality. Three soil samples from the North, five from the East, and four samples from the Southern parts of the TPC estate were collected (Table 1). The Southern fields of the TPC estate had been known to be infected by white grubs below-ground and have been treated by pesticides before soil sampling. From each site, random soil samples from a depth of about 20 to 30 cm were taken using a shovel, mixed to obtain a total volume of about 500cc of soil and, subsequently, stored at 4°C until nematode extraction. Nematodes were extracted from 100cc of soil from each collection site using a modified Baermann method (Whitehead and Hemming, 1965). Roots were also collected along with the soil and only merely checked for the presence of nematodes, also by using the modified Baermann method.

**Plant-parasitic nematodes identification and counting**

After extraction, nematodes suspension was concentrated by removing excess water using a glass pipette, transferred to a counting dish, and adults of the plant-parasitic genera were counted using a stereo-microscope. After counting, the nematodes were fixed and subsequently transferred to anhydrous glycerin for mounting on glass slides as described in the study of Singh et al. (2018). The fixed specimens were observed under the microscope, Olympus BX51 DIC Microscope (Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with an Olympus C5060Wz camera for further analyses.

**Molecular analysis**

For molecular analysis, individuals of live nematodes from selected representative PPN populations were first mounted on temporary glass slides to record all necessary morphological and morphometric data by taking pictures and measurements using the above camera-equipped microscope. This was followed by DNA extraction from individual nematodes as described in the study of Singh et al. (2018) and the resulting genomic DNA sample was used for the amplification of the partial ITS and D2-D3 region of the 28S of rRNA gene and the COI gene of mtDNA. PCR amplification of the partial ITS was done using the primer pair Vrain2F: 5'-CTTTGTACACCGCCGTCGCT-3'/ Vrain2R: 5'-TTTCACTGCGTTACTAAGGAATC-3' (Vrain et al., 1992) with thermal profile described in the study of Singh et al. (2019). For amplification of the D2-D3 sequence, the primer pair 391: 5'-ACGGGAGGAAAAGAAAACG-3'/501: 5'-TCGGAA GGAACCAGCTACTA-3' was used as described in the study of Nadler et al. (2006). Partial sequence of COI was amplified using the primers, JB3: 5'-TTTTTTTTGGCCATCCTGAGTTTAT-3'/JB4: 5'-TTTTTTTTGGCCATCCTGAGTTTAT-3' according to Bowles et al. (1992). The PCR products were purified and sent to Macrogen (https://dna.macrogen.com) for sequencing. The obtained sequences were used to make contigs using Geneious 10.0.9 (www.geneious. com) and deposited to GenBank.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Sequences generated in this study were analyzed with other relevant sequences available in GenBank. Multiple alignments of the different DNA sequences were made using MUSCLE with default parameters and followed by manual trimming of the poorly aligned ends using Geneious 10.0.9. Phylogenetic trees were created by using MrBayes 3.2.6 add-in of Geneious 10.0.9 with appropriate nucleotide substitution models (see Figs. 4-7) selected using jModelTest 2.1.10. The Markov chains for generating phylogenetic trees were set at 1 x 10^6 generations, 4 runs, 20% burn-in, and subsampling frequency of 500 generations (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001).

**Results**

From the 12 studied sites, six PPN genera were found, i.e. *Helicotylenchus*, *Hemicycliophora*, *Pratylenchus*, *Hemicellatoria*, *Pratylenchus*, and *Meloidogyne*. These genera were identified and characterized on the basis of morphological and molecular analyses. The results showed the presence of several species of PPN in the soils and sugarcane fields studied, with *Meloidogyne* being the most common PPN genus associated with sugarcane in Kilimanjaro region. The study also revealed the presence of several PPN species, including *Tylenchorhynchus*, *Rotylenchulus*, and *Helicotylenchus*, which are known to be pests of sugarcane. The results are important for the development of strategies to control PPN in sugarcane fields in Kilimanjaro region.

This study was aimed at studying the diversity of PPN associated with sugarcane, with focus on the sugarcane plantation sites of TPC Limited in Kilimanjaro region. The combination of morphological and molecular analyses revealed the presence of several genera of PPN in the soil and root samples collected from 12 different sugarcane fields. Molecular and morphological characterizations are provided for the most important PPN species detected.
Rotylenchulus, Scutellonema, and Tylenchorhynchus (Table 1). Rotylenchulus was found to be the most abundant and detected from all the soil samples, with up to 1,000 immature females and males per 100cc of soil. The corresponding sugarcane field (F13-South) with the highest density of Rotylenchulus showed above-ground symptoms of stunted sugarcane growth and yellowing of leaves (Fig. 1). The genus Pratylenchus was found abundantly in all the sites, except for F10-South, at a density of 2 to 84 nematodes/100cc of soil. The other genera were found in relatively low densities. The genus Tylenchorhynchus was found in six samples (1 to 16 nematodes/100cc soil); Helicotylenchus in three samples (N54-North, C6-East, and D20-East; 1/100cc soil); Hemicyclophora also in three samples (C6-East, D20-East, F10-South; 1-7/100g soil); and Scutellonema was detected from samples N50-North and D20-East (1-5/100cc soil).

A detailed morphological study of the representative populations of the three PPN genera with the highest density, Rotylenchulus, Pratylenchus, and Tylenchorhynchus, revealed the presence of the species R. parvus (representative population F13-South), P. zeae (E11-East), T. crassicaudatus, and T. ventrosignatus (F10-South). In this paper, we focus especially on the characterization of R. parvus and T. crassicaudatus.
**Rotylenchulus parvus** (Williams, 1960a, b) Sher, 1961 (Fig. 2, Tables 2 and 3).

**Description**

**Immature female**

Females are vermiform. Heat relaxed specimens curve ventrally in the form of the letter C or Figure 6. Lip region is conoid, flattened, sometimes slightly rounded, not set off and with 4 to 5 fine indistinct annuli. Labial framework is well-developed and stylet is sclerotized with rounded knob sloping posteriorly. Length of telenchium is always about 2 µm longer than that of metenchium. Dorsal pharyngeal gland opening is about 17 µm from the stylet base. Median bulb is strong, slightly longer than its width and with distinct valves. Pharyngeal gland overlaps the intestine ventrally, more predominantly on lateral side. Secretory-excretory pore is about 75 µm from the anterior end. Vulva is situated postmedially in about 60 to 66% of the body length from anterior end. Reproductive system is didelphic amphidelphic with two outstretched genital tracts and reflexed ovarian tips. Lateral field is with four distinct incisures and three equal bands. Tail is with 18 to 22 annuli, tapering to a rounded, coarsely annulated tip, about 21 µm long. Length of hyaline tail part is fairly short, between 1.3 and 3.1 µm long. Phasmid is pore like, located halfway of tail.

**Males**

Males are rare to be found. Heat relaxed specimens curves ventrally from C- to 6-shapes. Body of males is slightly longer and slender than that of females. Anterior part is less developed, with shorter and weaker stylet and knobs compared to that of females. Secretory-excretory pore is at about the same level from anterior end as in immature females. Lateral field is with four incisures and three distinct bands. Tail and hyaline tail part is slightly longer than that of immature females. Spicules are arcuate ventrally, about 17 µm long with linear gubernaculum about 6 µm long.

**Molecular characterization**

**ITS of rDNA**

Five ITS sequences (MK558212 to MK558216) with intraspecific variation of up to 0.3% (1-2 bp) were generated for the Tanzanian *R. parvus*, and the resulting alignment, which included 79 other ITS sequences from seven *Rotylenchulus* species and South African *R. parvus*, was 361 bp long. The phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4) inferred revealed a sister relationship of the *R. parvus* from Tanzania and South Africa (PP = 0.97). However, remarkably, the Tanzanian *R. parvus* sequences were 65 to 77 bp (18-21%)
Figure 2: Light microscopy images of *Rotylenchulus parvus* found in the field site F13-South of Tanganyika Planting Company Limited in Kilimanjaro. A-B: Anterior regions of immature females, C: Lateral field showing four distinct incisures, D-E: Female body showing vulval position, F-I: Female tail region showing tail hyaline part, J-K: Anterior and posterior regions of male, respectively, L-M: Whole body of male and juvenile, respectively, N: Tail of juvenile with a rounded tail tip.

Different from that of the South African *R. parvus* (KT003771 to KT003779). The phylogenetic position of *R. parvus* in respect to other *Rotylenchulus* species remains unresolved in the ITS tree.

**D2-D3 of 28S rDNA**

Four D2-D3 sequences (MK558202 to MK558205) with intraspecific variation of up to 0.3% (2-4 bp)
were obtained. The D2-D3 alignment was 611 bp long and included 85 other sequences from eight known and four unknown Rotylenchulus species. The D2-D3 sequences were found to be 74-80 bp (12-13%) different from the sequences of South African R. parvus (KT003734 to KT003738) and did not form a clade with them in the D2-D3 tree. They formed a maximally supported clade with an unidentified Rotylenchulus sp. from Indonesia (unplubl. sequence; about 20 bp differences) and with another unidentified Rotylenchulus sp. from the USA (MF425701; 3.0-3.7% or 18-19 bp difference). The later Rotylenchulus sp. was found to fit morphologically R. parvus (Subbotin et al., 2017). This clade has a poorly supported relation with R. sacchari (PP = 0.64) (Fig. 5). Rotylenchulus parvus from South Africa formed a well-supported clade with R. clavicaudatus and some unidentified Rotylenchulus species (PP = 0.98).

**COI of mtDNA**

Three 100% similar COI sequences (MK558209 to MK558211) from the Tanzanian R. parvus were aligned (393 bp) with 49 other COI sequences from six known and six unknown Rotylenchulus species. The Tanzanian R. parvus sequences were

| Character                  | Immature females | Males |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------|
| n                          | 28               | 5     |
| L                          | 327±29 (271-352) | 393±38 (342-426) |
| a                          | 24.9±1.6 (21.9-26.8) | 31.1±1.6 (29.4-33.2) |
| b                          | 3.2±0.5 (2.6-3.9) | 3.7±0.2 (3.5-3.9) |
| c                          | 15.3±0.4 (12.3-17.5) | 17.0±0.9 (16.0-18.1) |
| DGO                        | 17.3±1.1 (16.6-18.0) | –     |
| V                          | 62% (60%-66%)     | –     |
| Stylet length              | 14.5±0.4 (13.1-15.4) | 11.9±0.3 (11.7-12.3) |
| Metenchium length          | 6.4±0.3 (6.0-6.5)  | 5.2±0.1 (5.2-5.3)  |
| Telenchium length          | 8.1±0.2 (6.3-8.7)  | 6.6±0.2 (6.4-6.9)  |
| Stylet knob width          | 2.7±0.3 (2.5-2.9)  | 1.5±0.2 (1.4-1.6)  |
| Stylet knob height         | 1.6±0.3 (1.4-1.8)  | 0.9±0.1 (0.9-1.0)  |
| Pharyngeal length          | 102±8.7 (97.9-110) | 109±1.1 (108-110) |
| SE pore from anterior end  | 75.4±3.2 (74.0-77.0) | 77.9±0.6 (77.5-78.5) |
| Mid-body diameter          | 12.7±1.6 (12.7-13.4) | 12.6±1.1 (11.2-13.8) |
| Median bulb length         | 9.1±0.8 (8.6-9.8)  | 8.0±0.9 (7.4-8.6)  |
| Median bulb diameter       | 7.0±0.2 (6.9-7.6)  | 5.1±0.3 (4.9-5.3)  |
| Lip region diameter        | 3.8±0.8 (3.1-4.2)  | 3.5±0.2 (3.4-3.5)  |
| Lip region height          | 2.4±0.3 (2.2-2.6)  | 2.1±0.5 (1.6-2.7)  |
| Tail                       | 21.4±0.8 (18.5-25.3) | 23.1±2.0 (20.3-25.0) |
| Hyaline tail (h)           | 2.5±0.4 (1.3-3.1)  | 3.4±0.5 (2.9-3.9)  |
| Spicule length             | –                | 16.7±1.2 (16.0-17.5) |
| Gubernaculum length        | –                | 5.6±0.5 (5.0-6.0)  |

Note: The measurements are given in µm and in the form: mean ± s.d. (range).
Table 3. Comparison of important morphological characters and morphometrics of immature females of the Tanzanian *Rotylenchulus parvus* found from sugarcane field F13-South of Tanganyika Planting Company Limited in Kilimanjaro region of Tanzania, with seven other *Rotylenchulus* spp. and the original measurements of *R. parvus* from Mauritius and from South Africa.

| Character                  | *R. clavicaudatus* from South Africa after Van den Berg et al. (2016) | *R. leptus* from South Africa after Van den Berg et al. (2016) | *R. macrodoratus* from Italy after Van den Berg et al. (2016) | *R. macrosoma* from South Africa after Castillo et al. (2003a) | *R. macrosomaoides* from South Africa after Van den Berg et al. (2016) | *R. parvus (=Helicotylenchus parvus)* from Mauritius after Williams (1960a, b) | *R. parvus* from South Africa after Van den Berg (1978) | *R. parvus* from Kilimanjaro, Tanzania (2017) | *R. reniformis* after Agudelo et al. (2005) | *R. sacchari* from South Africa after Van den Berg et al. (2016) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| n                         | 11                                                                  | 14                                                              | 9                                                              | 12                                                            | 10                                                               | 6                                                                                              | 198                                               | 28                                            | 20                                               | 25                                               |
| Body length a              | 483-624                                                             | 321-434                                                         | 407-489                                                       | 408-510                                                      | 463-590                                                         | 210-270                                                                                         | 231-342                                          | 271-352                                       | 340-560                                          | 574-796                                          |
| Body length b              | 27.6-36.9                                                           | 25.7-29.9                                                       | 22.6-27.4                                                     | 26.3-34.2                                                    | 31.4-37.3                                                      | 19.0-24.0                                                                                       | 15.3-32.3                                         | 21.9-26.8                                     | 20.3-31.9                                         | 28.8-36.9                                         |
| Body length c              | 3.8-4.7                                                             | 2.9-4.3                                                         | 2.9-4.0                                                       | 3.5-4.4                                                      | 3.8-4.7                                                       | 2.9-3.3                                                                                         | 2.0-4.4                                           | 2.6-3.9                                        | 2.3-4.1                                          | 3.1-5.0                                          |
| Body length DGO            | 8.9-11.8                                                            | 14.5-17.3                                                       | 18.5-22.2                                                     | 11.7-16.8                                                    | 14.1-21.4                                                      | 16.0-20.0                                                                                       | 13.1-27.1                                         | 12.3-17.5                                     | 10.0-22.8                                         | 26.1-43.0                                         |
| Body length V%             | 9.1-11.8                                                            | 11.0-18.0                                                       | 10.0-24.5                                                     | 13.0-18.0                                                    | 22.0-27.0                                                      | 30.0-33.0                                                                                       | 16.7-19.0                                         | 16.6-18.0                                     | 8.0-15.5                                          | 8.0-15.5                                          |
| Stylet length              | 15.0-24.0                                                           | 21.0-24.0                                                       | 21.0-24.0                                                     | 9.0-20.0                                                     | 15.0-18.0                                                      | 21.5-25.5                                                                                       | 61-65                                             | 60-66                                         | 66-73                                            | 62-71                                            |
| Tail length                | 43.0-66.0                                                           | 20.0-28.0                                                       | 20.0-24.0                                                     | 26.0-40                                                      | 26.5-36.0                                                      | –                                                                                              | 10.5-27.2                                         | 18.5-25.3                                     | –                                                | 15.5-28.0                                         |
| Hyaline length             | 16.0-20.0                                                           | 12.5-14.5                                                       | 21.0-24.0                                                     | 21.0-19.0                                                    | 15.0-18.0                                                      | –                                                                                              | 0.8-5.2                                           | 0.8-5.2                                       | 1.3-3.1                                          | 4.0-9.0                                           |
| Tail shape and structure   | Broadly rounded, clavate, annulated tip                             | Tapering gradually to a finely rounded, annulated tip          | Bluntly rounded and a slightly annulated terminus             | Bluntly rounded and a prominently annulated terminus         | Tapering to a rounded or slightly clavate annulated terminus   | Arcuate, conoid, terminus knob like, length twice anal body diameter | Conoid, ventrally arcuate with sharply pointed or irregular or broadly rounded tip | Conoid, tapering to rounded terminus             | Tapering to rounded terminus                     | Broadly rounded, faint annulation at the tip     |
| Habitus                    | Open letter C to complete circle                                    | Open Figure 6 to 1.5 circle                                    | Closed C-shape                                               | Closed C-shape                                               | C to curved into 1.5 circles                                   | Loose spiral resembling 6-shape                                                                | C- or 6-shape or complete circle                | C- to 6-shape                                 | C- to 6-shape or complete circle                | Almost straight to C-shape rarely 1.5 circles    |
| Labial region              | Slightly sloping anteriorly to a slightly rounded tip, not set off  | Slipping to a flattened tip                                    | Conoid rounded, not set off                                  | Conoid rounded, not set off                                  | High, sloping slightly flat or rounded tip                      | Continuous with a neck, sloping to a rounded, distinctly flattened front                        | Broadly rounded, almost flat, not set off       | Low, not set off and flattened front            | High, conoid and continuous                    | Slightly sloping to flattened tip                |
| Labial annuli              | Not present                                                         | 4 to 5                                                           | Fine annuli                                                  | Fine annuli                                                  | Not visible                                                    | –                                                                                              | 3-5 faint annuli                                 | 4 to 5 faint annuli                           | 4 to 6                                            | 5 to 6 faint annuli                             |

Note: Lengths are given in µm and measurement are presented in a range.
found to be 50 to 55 bp (13-14%) different from that of the South African *R. parvus* (KT003732) and both the *R. parvus* populations were in a well-supported clade (PP = 0.98) together with six other unidentified *Rotylenchulus* sequences from South Africa (Fig. 6). The sequences of *R. parvus* and the unidentified *Rotylenchulus* spp. sequences of South Africa appeared to have a sister relationship with *R. macrodoratus* (PP = 0.86).

**Remarks**

The morphology and morphometrics of the current immature female specimens correspond very well with that of the original description of *R. parvus* (=Helicotylenchus parvus), found also around sugarcane roots in Mauritius by Williams (1960a, b). *Rotylenchulus parvus*, in general, compared to other related species, has a slightly smaller body, 3 to 5 labial annuli visible under the light microscope, and a relatively short tail hyaline part (0.8-5.2 µm). However, in the original description, the information on the number of labial annuli and hyaline tail length was not given, and mature females and males were also not reported. The Tanzanian *R. parvus* is also similar to a South African *R. parvus* population detected around maize roots by Van den Berg (1978) and to a population found in soil of a cotton field in Greenhouse in California by Dasgupta et al. (1968). However, some minor differences between our Tanzanian *R. parvus* and the South African *R. parvus* can be observed, i.e. hyaline tail length (1.3-3.1 vs 0.8-5.2 µm), styllet length (13-15 vs 11-20 µm), and the number of labial annuli (4-5 vs 3-5) (Table 3). Mature females could not be compared as no mature females were found in current study. Van den Berg et al. (2016) provided the first molecular data of this species including the ITS, D2-D3, COI, and hsp90 sequences for another South African *R. parvus* population of only matured obese females. Remarkably, our molecular data were found to be considerably different from this population. Nevertheless, the close relatedness between our Tanzanian and this South African population is indicated by a sister relationship based on both COI and ITS phylogenetic analyses. However, the D2-D3 tree indicated *R. parvus* as a paraphyletic species with *R. sacchari* and *R. clavicaudatus* diverging independently. The phylogenetic relations of *R. parvus* with *R. sacchari* and *R. clavicaudatus* are, however, not well supported in the D2-D3 tree. Both the South African and the Tanzanian *R. parvus* populations are in similar geographic proximity of the type location, whereas the latter was found from the same crop (sugar cane) as was originally described, which indicates that it is slightly more likely that our Tanzanian population represents the type species. However, without the nematode sequences from the type location, it cannot be concluded whether either of the two sequences represent the genuine *R. parvus*. An alternative explanation to the high sequence variations in *R. parvus* could be the existence of two distinct types of rRNA operons as was found in at least three other *Rotylenchulus* spp. (Nyaku, Kantety, Tilahun, Lawrence, Soliman, Gebert, and Sharma 2013; Nyaku, Sripathi, Kantety, Gu, Lawrence and Sharma, 2013; Van den Berg et al., 2016). However, this hypothesis is not supported by the independent COI analyses, unless a remarkably high intraspecific COI variability or the presence of two distinct mitochondrial genomes within *R. parvus* is also assumed. This can only be clarified by genomic studies for *R. parvus* and other *Rotylenchulus* spp. A voucher slide containing three immature females and one male (UGnem-213) was deposited at Nematology Research Unit, UGent.

*Tylenchorhynchus crassicaudatus* (Williams, 1960a, b) (Fig. 3)

The morphology and morphometrics (Table 4) of *T. crassicaudatus* females (*n* = 5) were in agreement with the original description of the species by Williams (1960a, b) except for slightly longer body (725-755 vs 580-690 µm) and a more sub-cylindrical tail shape with 21-26 tail annuli vs clavate tail with 17 to 19 tail annuli in the original description. No males were found in this study. This population was also similar to a population of *T. crassicaudatus* from Niger with a clear absence of a post-anal intestinal sac and variable tail shape, from sub-cylindrical to clavate, and also similar to another Tylenchorhynchus population from a rice field in Haiti that fits more to the descriptions of *T. agri* (Ferris, 1963) (subcylindrical tail shape, females with post-anal intestinal sac, and morphometrics) (unpubl. data; Table 4). However, in this population from Haiti, female individuals without post-anal intestinal sac were also present.

**Molecular characterization**

The D2-D3 sequence (MT089942) from our Tanzanian *T. crassicaudatus* population was 100% identical to the sequences of *T. agri* from China (MG491667 and MG560824), Iran (KX622690), the USA (KJ475549, KJ475559, and KJ475560), Haiti (MT089935 to MT089938), and Indonesia (Lestari et al., in prep), and
Figure 3: Light microscopy images of three plant parasitic nematode species detected in this study. A-F: Pratylenchus zeae, G-M: Tylenchorhynchus crassicaudatus, and N-T: Tylenchorhynchus ventrosignatus.
only two out of 690 bp different from a *T. crassicaudatus* sequence from Niger (MT089941). In the D2-D3 tree (Fig. 7), the *T. agri* and *T. crassicaudatus* sequences formed a maximally supported clade without internal resolution. Also, the 18S sequences (MT076074) from *T. crassicaudatus* from Niger and *T. agri* from Haiti (MT076072 and MT076073) were virtually identical (one out of 880 bp different).

**Synonymisation of *T. agri* syn. n. with *T. crassicaudatus***

*Tylenchorhynchus agri* has been differentiated from *T. crassicaudatus* by a more sub-cylindrical tail shape vs a more clavate tail and the presence vs absence of a post-anal intestinal sac/extension. Following the table of Handoo (2000), *T. agri*
differs from *T. crassicaudatus* based on lip region (offset vs continuous), lip annuli number (four vs three), tail shape (sub-cylindrical vs clavate), tail tip annulation (smooth vs annulated), and other minor morphometrical differences (see Table 4). However, in this table, the lip annuli number of *T. agri* (four instead of three) and the tail tip annulation of *T. crassicaudatus* (annulated instead of smooth) have been reported incorrectly. Furthermore, the lip region of both species appears to be similar based on their original descriptions. In the dichotomous Tylenchorhynchus key by Geraert (2011), the two species have been differentiated solely by the presence vs absence of the post-anal intestinal sac.
Based on our study in combination with the available literature, we can summarize the following elements: (1) even within a single population, *T. crassicaudatus* shows clear morphological variations, for example, the presence of both sub-cylindrical and clavate tail in the Niger population; (2) a population close to *T. crassicaudatus* (Niger) and a population more close to *T. agri* (Haiti) are molecularly virtually identical based on both D2-D3 and the 18S sequences; and (3) global-wide sampling shows that the D2-D3 sequences of all investigated *T. crassicaudatus/T. agri* populations are virtually identical and are all within a well-supported clade.
Table 4. Comparison of important morphological characters and morphometrics of the Tanzanian *Tylenchorhynchus crassicaudatus*, found from sugarcane field F10-South of Tanganyika Planting Company Limited in Kilimanjaro region of Tanzania, with the original measurements of *T. crassicaudatus* from Mauritius and *T. agri* from the USA along with three other populations of *T. agri* and *T. crassicaudatus* from Niger, USA and Haiti.

| Character                          | *T. crassicaudatus* from cane roots in Mauri (Williams, 1960a, b) | *T. agri* from corn field in Urbana, Illinois, USA (Ferris, 1963) | *T. crassicaudatus* from Niger (2011) | *T. agri* from dwarf date palm in Lake Worth, Florida, USA (Handoo et al., 2014) | *T. crassicaudatus* from sugarcane field in Kilimanjaro, Tanzania (2017) | *T. agri* from rice field in Haiti (2018) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| n                                 | 5                                                                  | 10                                                             | 6                                    | 1                                                                               | 5                                                                          | 10                                         |
| Body length                       | 580-690                                                            | 660-770                                                        | 521-666                             | 662                                                                              | 725-755                                                                     | 538-695                                    |
| a                                 | 28-33                                                              | 28-33                                                          | 27-31                                | 32.9                                                                              | 28-35                                                                       | 23-32                                      |
| b                                 | 4.9-5.7                                                            | 4.7-5.5                                                        | 4.3-5.0                              | 4.9                                                                               | 4.7-5.2                                                                      | 4.4-5.3                                    |
| c                                 | 14-15                                                              | 15-21                                                          | 13-16                                | 13.3                                                                              | 14-17                                                                       | 12-15                                      |
| V%                                | 53-57                                                              | 55-58                                                          | 53-59                                | 55.1                                                                              | 53-55                                                                       | 51-55                                      |
| Stylet length                     | ca 20                                                              | 20-23                                                          | 18-20                                | 21                                                                                | 19-20                                                                       | 18-19                                      |
| Tail length                       | ca 50                                                              | –                                                              | 37-42                                | 50                                                                                | 43-56                                                                       | 43-53                                      |
| Tail annuli number                | 17-19                                                              | 18-26                                                          | 17-23                                | 25                                                                                | 21-26                                                                       | 18-20                                      |
| Tail shape                        | Straight to slightly arcuate, thick and clavate                    | Subcylindrical                                                | Clavate to subcylindrical           | Subcylindrical                                                                  | Subcylindrical                                                              | Subcylindrical                             |
| Tail terminus                     | Smooth, rounded and virtually hemispherical                        | Broadly rounded and smooth                                    | Smooth, rounded and virtually hemispherical | Smooth and broadly rounded                                                    | Smooth and rounded                                                         | Broadly rounded and smooth                 |
| Post anal intestinal sac          | Not mentioned (absent?)                                           | Present                                                        | Absent                               | Not mentioned                                                                   | Not present                                                                 | Present or absent                          |
| Labial region                     | Broadly rounded, low, not setoff                                   | Separated from body contour by slight depression               | Broadly rounded, low, not set off, sometimes seems separated from body contour by slight depression | Continuous with body contour or separated from body contour by slight depression | Broadly rounded, not set off, sometimes seems separated from body contour by slight depression | Seems separated from body contour by slight depression |
| Labial annuli                     | 3                                                                  | 3 + labial disc                                                | 3                                    | 3 to 4                                                                           | 3                                                                           | 3                                          |

Note: Lengths are given in µm and measurement are presented in range.
Figure 7: Phylogenetic relationships of Tylenchorhynchus crassicaudatus and Tylenchorhynchus ventrosignatus from Tanzania with other Tylenchorhynchus species. Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus tree was inferred from the analysis of D2-D3 of 28S rDNA sequences under GTR+G model. Posterior probabilities more than 0.5 are given for appropriate clades.
Hence, the differential morphological traits of these two species appear to be morphological variations of \( T. \) \textit{crassicaudatus}, a globally distributed species. Although sequences from the type location material are needed to assure that the two species are synonymous, a comparison of the original descriptions and a comprehensive morphological and molecular analyses of populations from different part of the world suggests that \( T. \) \textit{agri} syn. n. should be considered as a junior synonym of \( T. \) \textit{crassicaudatus}.

\textbf{Tylenchorhynchus ventrosignatus} Tobar Jiménez, 1969 (Fig. 3)

Only female individuals of the species were found in the representative population, and their morphology and morphometrics (\( n = 10 \)) were in agreement with the original description from Tobar Jiménez (1969) except for slightly longer stylets (11-15 vs 14-21\( \mu \)m) and longer tails (36-43 vs 32-56\( \mu \)m). Two identical D2-D3 sequences were generated (MT089939 and MT089940), which were six out of 724 bp different from a sequence of \( T. \) \textit{ventrosignatus} from Spain (KJ461567). Six partial ITS sequences of about 960 bp (MT089943 to MT089948) showed an intraspecific sequence variation of 2 to 6 bp and appeared to be 36 to 45 bp different from a \( T. \) \textit{ventrosignatus} sequence from Spain (KJ461596). However, despite the sequence differences, the Tanzanian \( T. \) \textit{ventrosignatus} sequences formed a maximally supported clade together with the Spanish sequence in both the D2-D3 tree (Fig. 7) and the ITS tree (tree not shown). A slide containing three females was deposited at Nematology Research Unit, UGent (UGnem-215) as voucher material.

\textbf{Pratylenchus zeae} Graham, 1951 (Fig. 3)

The morphology and morphometrics of females (\( n = 4 \)) from our representative population agree with the original description of \( P. \) \textit{zeae} by Graham (1951). Three 100\% similar partial \textit{COI} sequences of about 400 bp (MT076075 to MT076077) of \( P. \) \textit{zeae} were produced in this study, and they were 1 to 2 bp different from the existing \( P. \) \textit{zeae} sequences from China (KY424055 to KY424063) and the USA (KU522440). Our sequences are in a maximally supported clade with other available \( P. \) \textit{zeae} sequences (data not shown). Four females in one slide (UGnem-211) was kept as voucher material at the Nematology Research Unit, UGent.

\section*{Discussion}

The impact of PPN on sugarcane cultivation and how to reduce the associated damage is widely studied (Cadet and Spaull, 2003; Blair and Stirling, 2007; Omarjee et al., 2008; Sikora et al., 2018). However, PPN may still be overlooked due to the lack of expertise and diverted attention to more visible pests such as insects and fungi. Although sugarcane is an important cash crop in Tanzania, an investigation on the presence of PPN has not yet been done. This study revealed, for the first time, the presence of several parasitic nematodes in sugarcane fields at the TPC Limited in Kilimanjaro region. \( Rotylenchulus \) and \( Pratylenchus \) have been found in high density in virtually all of the analyzed soil samples and also in some root samples (although nematodes were not counted), and they pose a potential threat to the sugarcane production of Tanzania. The most important species identified in this study, \( R. \) \textit{parvus} and \( P. \) \textit{zeae}, are already well known as notorious pests causing yield reduction of sugarcane plantations (Stirling and Blair, 2001; Cadet and Spaull, 2003). Remarkably, the observed high density of \( R. \) \textit{parvus} in one of the fields coincided with above-ground symptoms, including stunted sugarcane growth and yellowing of leaves and root necrosis. However, as this field was also found associated with the white grubs of the insect pest \( Cochliotis \) \textit{melolonthoides}, the relation and quantification of the nematodes vs the white grubs effects, alone and in combination, to the sugarcane production need to be further investigated.

Our study confirms that cryptic species represent an important component of biodiversity, also in plant-parasitic nematodes (Palomares-Rius et al., 2014) and molecular techniques may be the only practical approach to recognize them (Powers, 2004). DNA barcoding is known to be a reliable diagnostic strategy, although only valid with a clear link between DNA sequences and the morphospecies (Janssen, Karssen, Couvreur, Waeyenberge and Bert, 2017). However, collecting topotype material is often the only option in order to link formerly described morphospecies to DNA barcodes (Janssen, Karssen, Orlando, Subbotin and Bert, 2017). This is especially the case for cryptic species in order to know which of the representative sequences represents the genuine morphospecies.

Nevertheless, despite the taxonomical problems associated with cryptic species, this type of study of uncovering the PPN associated with sugarcane in Tanzania is the first step to facilitate the development of appropriate management strategies to minimize damage by PPN associated with sugarcane in Tanzania and sugarcane in general.
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