Abstract

Wireless Sensor Network consists of independent sensor nodes that are responsible for monitoring physical conditions. WSN is deployed in hostile environments. So it is vulnerable to capture and compromise by an attacker. An adversary can launch various types of attacks on WSN that can be classified as layer-dependent attack and layer-independent attacks. The layer-independent attacks are Sybil attack and Clone attack. In Clone attack an adversary can capture a sensor and creates clone of a captured node. These clone nodes are deployed in network area. It is difficult to detect clone nodes in the sensor network. There are so many clone detection protocols are available in static and mobile sensor networks. It is more challenging to detect clone attack in mobile WSN compared to the static WSN. The proposed clone attack detection protocol called as Cluster Based Clone Attack Detection (CBCD) discovers the clone nodes available in Mobile WSN. In this protocol sensor network divided into clusters. All the clusters have a cluster head and random number of sensors nodes. This protocol detect clone node according to the movement of sensor nodes. The clone is identified when sensor node move within the cluster or other clusters in the sensor network. Theoretical analysis and simulations have been conducted to evaluate the protocol in terms of clone detection time, clone detection ratio, memory consumption.
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1. Introduction

Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) has been constructed with several number of sensor nodes. Each sensor node of the network can operate independently. It can be used to monitor various types of physical conditions. In WSN sensor nodes are deployed in an unattended and insecure environment such as battle field or dense forest. The components of a sensor node are sensing unit, processing unit, communication unit and power unit. The sensing unit is composed of a collection of different types of sensors which is needed for measurement of different conditions of the physical environment, the processing unit consists of a processor and a memory, the communication unit consists of a transmitter and a receiver and the power unit provides the energy to the sensor node. In WSN data collected through sensors and transmitted to the base station through wireless media. WSN has various applications that include traffic control, battle field management, disaster management, environmental monitoring, building monitoring, monitoring animal populations, home automation, medical applications etc. WSN suffers from many constraints including lack of hardware support for tamper resistance, low computation capability, very small memory, and insufficient power resources, use of insecure wireless communication channels and deployment of sensor nodes in an unattended environment and so on.

An adversary can launch various types of attacks on WSN that can be classified as layer-dependent attacks and layer-independent attacks. The layer-dependent attacks are Physical layer attacks (jamming, tampering), Data link layer attacks (collision, exhaustion), Network layer attacks (spoofing, wormhole attack, sinkhole attack, selective forwarding, hello flood attack), Transport layer attacks (flooding attack, synchronization), Application layer attacks (altering routing attack, false data injection).

*Author for correspondence*
The layer dependent attacks are Sybil attacks and Clone attacks\textsuperscript{1,3,6}.

The most dangerous attack is the clone attack. In this attack, the existing sensor node of the sensor network is captured by the adversary. All the secret keys are extracted from the captured node. The attacker reprograms the node and creates a clone of a captured node. The clone nodes are deployed in the sensor network along with original nodes. The adversary can generates any number of clones from a single captured sensor node. The clone nodes are controlled by the attacker and look like a original node. So it is not a easy job to find the clone nodes of the sensor network\textsuperscript{7,8,9}. All the notations used in the protocols are described in Table 1.

### 2. Related Work

There are many clone attack detection protocols available in wireless sensor networks. In the static WSN sensor nodes do not change their location after deployment. But in the mobile WSN sensor nodes change their locations frequently after deployment. The clone attack detection in Mobile WSN is very much difficult compared to the Static WSN\textsuperscript{10}. The various clone attack detection protocols of mobile sensor network are given below.

#### 2.1 Centralized Protocols

In\textsuperscript{11} proposed a technique called Fast protocol. In this protocol, when a sensor node changes its existing location, it will give location and time information to its neighbor nodes. Then the neighbor nodes send all these information to the base station. Base station detects the clone node with help of the speed of the mobile nodes.

In\textsuperscript{12} proposed a method called new mobile protocol. This protocol performs the detection of clone node in three phases. In the first phase, a symmetric polynomial can be randomly created by the key server before nodes are deployed. In the second phase node deployment and pair wise key establishment can be done. In the third phase, the bloom filter of the base station collects the total number of pair wise key generated by each node. If node's pair wise keys exceed the threshold then it is called as clone node.

#### 2.2 Distributed Protocols

In\textsuperscript{13} proposed a mechanism called eXtremely Efficient Detection (XED) protocol. Here, if two nodes exist in the same communication range, then each node creates a random number and exchanges it with another node. The node ID and random number are stored in the table of each node. If these nodes meet again they exchange the previously stored random numbers. If the random number does not match with the existing number, the node is confirmed as a clone node.

In\textsuperscript{14} proposed a mechanism called Neighbor Based Detection Scheme (NBDS) protocol. In this protocol, the sensor nodes move to the new locations from their existing locations. After movement the sensor node wants to rejoin the network from its new location. It sends the rejoin claim to the nearest new neighbors. They check the authentication of the claim. If it is correct, forwards the claim to selected nodes. The received nodes verify the signature and ID of the claim. The signature and ID does not match with the existing number, the node is confirmed as a clone node.

In\textsuperscript{15} proposed a scheme called Efficient and Distributed Detection (EDD) protocol. In this protocol sensor node moves according to the random way point. The sensor

### Table 1. Notations and significance

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| x      | Total number of nodes in the network |
| y      | Total number of clusters in the network |
| ngh    | Neighbor node |
| BS     | Base Station |
| n\textsubscript{i} | sensor node |
| C\textsubscript{j} | Cluster |
| C\textsubscript{ij} | Cluster Head |
| ID\textsubscript{n\textsubscript{i}} | Identification of node n\textsubscript{i} |
| LC\textsubscript{n\textsubscript{i}} | Location Claim of node n\textsubscript{i} |
| H()    | Hash function |
| FP\textsubscript{n\textsubscript{i}} | Finger Print of node n\textsubscript{i} |
| KUn\textsubscript{j} | Public key of node n\textsubscript{i} of cluster C\textsubscript{j} |
| KRn\textsubscript{j} | Private key of node n\textsubscript{i} of cluster C\textsubscript{j} |
| KUC\textsubscript{ij} | Public key of Cluster Head C\textsubscript{ij} |
| KRC\textsubscript{ij} | Private key of Cluster Head C\textsubscript{ij} |
| KUB\textsubscript{s} | Public key of Base Station |
| KRBS   | Private key of Base Station |
| KS\textsubscript{claims} | Session key for cluster head C\textsubscript{ij} and base station |
| KSn\textsubscript{n\textsubscript{i}1}   | Session key for node n\textsubscript{i}1 and node n\textsubscript{j}1 |
| m      | Total number of keys in a node's key Ring |
| S      | Key Pool |
node selects a location in the network for its movement. Then it moves to the destination point in the sensor network. There it stays inactive for a random amount of time. After that the node moves according to the previous method.

In\(^{16}\) proposed a technique called Storage Efficient Distributed Detection (SEDD) protocol. In this protocol, for the given time interval every node of the network monitors only a subset of nodes.

In\(^{17}\) proposed a scheme called Simple Distributed Detection (SDD) protocol. In this protocol, for a given period of time two sensor nodes do not meet twice. Any one the sensor node may be cloned by the adversary.

In\(^{18}\) proposed a technique called Unary Time Location Storage and Exchange (UTLSE) protocol. In this protocol every node belongs to the unique tracking set. Every node must be the witness of the particular tracking set only. The node enter in to the particular tracking set, existing node ask the time location claim of the new node.

In\(^{19}\) proposed a method called Single Hop Detection (SHD) protocol. In this each node collects the neighbor nodes. This neighbor node list sent to the one hop neighbors of the node. The received node becomes a witness node, after that it stores the neighbor node list for future verification.

In\(^{20}\) proposed a mechanism called Patrol Detection for Replica Attack (PDRA) protocol. In this protocol, patrollers detect clones distributed in different zones of the network. If a mobile node moves with a speed higher than the denoted maximum speed, it will be regarded as clone node.

### 2.3 Comparison of Mobile Protocols

The node deployment and localization are important challenges of mobile wireless sensor networks. The node position can be determined only one time for the static wireless sensor, when sensor nodes are mobile then node position can be obtained continuously. So the mobile wireless sensor network localization needs extra time and energy\(^{20}\). The XED protocol detects the clone nodes with minimum time compare to other protocols. This protocol disregards the location information criteria to detect the clone node\(^{13}\). The Fast protocol use GPS protocol for routing and it has lower energy overhead due to its centralized approach\(^{11}\). The EDD protocol is susceptible to smart adversary and has high memory overhead\(^{15,21}\). The comparison of various mobile protocols described in Table 2.

| Protocol | Type of Approach used | Type of Scheme used | Comm. cost | Mem. cost |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| Fast     | Centralized           | Based on Speed      | O(n \(\sqrt{n}\)) | O(n)      |
| New      | Centralized           | Based on Key        | O(n log n) | ---       |
| XED      | Distributed           | Based on Conflict   | O(1)       | ---       |
| NBDS     | Distributed           | Based on mobility   | O(r√n)     | O(r)      |
| EDD      | Distributed           | Based on node meeting | O(1)     | O(n)      |
| SEDD     | Distributed           | Based on node meeting | O(n)     | O(£)      |
| UTLSE    | Distributed           | Based on Time-location | O(n)     | O(\(\sqrt{n}\)) |
| PDRA     | Distributed           | Based Patroller     | O(n)       | ---       |

### 3. Protocol Frame Work

#### 3.1 System and Network Model

The following methodologies have been used during the development and analysis of CBCD protocol\(^{22–25}\).

- **Hardware Configuration**: MICAz mote sensor node which has ATmega128L 8 bit processor running at 8 MHz speed with RAM size of 4KB and power is supplied via two AA batteries to provide a current capacity of 2000 mAh. The radio transceiver TICC240 transmits and receives the data at the rate of 250kbps.
- **Node Deployment**: Uniformly Random deployment is used for placing the sensor nodes. There is no need for prior knowledge of optimal placement.
- **Localization**: The position of the node is identified through Global Positioning System (GPS). It is a popular technology and reduces the energy consumption.
- **Communication Standard**: IEEE 802.15.4 LR-WPAN Zigbee technology has been used for transmission. There are 27 channels available with a 20 kbps transmission bandwidth.
- **Routing Protocol**: Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing (GPSR) location based routing protocol is used for our implementation. It is a geographic routing protocol and it is used to route packets between any pair of nodes.
- **Encryption**: The Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) algorithm is used to encrypt the various keys used in our work.
- **Key Management**: The Diffie Helman public key management system is used for all the key management process. The sensor nodes are assigned a random
subset of keys from a large key pool before the deployment of the network.

- **Network Architecture**: Hierarchical architecture is used in our proposed system. Here, a group of sensor nodes form a cluster. Each cluster has a cluster head, which is responsible for sending data from the cluster members to the base station.

- **Cluster Head Selection**: The Legacy algorithm is used to select the cluster head of the cluster randomly for every process.

- **Adversary Model**: The adversary has the ability to capture any number of sensor nodes. Once a node is compromised, the adversary gains full control over the node. An adversary can create as many clones of the captured node as required and deploy in the network. In our experiment 50 clone nodes are deployed in the sensor field.

### 4. Clone Detection in Mobile WSN

#### 4.1 Public Key Management

Before deployment, each cluster has set of nodes, the node ID (ID_{nj}) and location (LC_{nji}) of these nodes are stored in the corresponding cluster head. In the same way cluster head ID (ID_{C_j}) and location (LC_{C_j}) of all the clusters are stored in the base station. Public and private keys are distributed by base station to the cluster heads and sensor nodes. All the nodes discover its neighbor nodes by transmitting the HELLO message. After the discovery, it distributes the public keys to the neighbor nodes.

#### 4.2 Session Key Establishment

Every node distributes the session key and creates symmetric communication with its neighbor nodes. All the nodes in the cluster must seek admission from cluster head for their data transfer. The cluster head verifies the admission request and provides the session key to that node. In the same way all cluster heads seek admission with base station. The base station verifies the admission request and provides the session key to that cluster heads.

#### 4.3 Finger Print Computation

Every node collects the location information from all its neighbor nodes. The node stores the node ID and location of the neighbor nodes in the neighbor table as described in Table 3. It sends the neighbor node list to the cluster head for verification. After the verification, node computes the finger print with Boolean sum of neighbor node IDs [FP = ngh1 (ID) V ngh2 (ID) V ngh3 (ID)]. The node must attach the Finger print along with the message content which send to the cluster head.

### 5. Clone Detection using Node Movement

#### 5.1 Movement of the Sensor Node within the Cluster

The node n_{10} belongs to Cluster C\_1 moves from its location to some other location within the cluster.

##### 5.1.1 Before Movement

##### 5.1.1.1 Remove its Location Information from the Neighbor Nodes

Node n_{10} may be neighbor of many nodes. So it informs to its neighbor nodes to remove the location information from its neighbor table. Node n_{10} send the message to remove the location information from the neighbor table to all its neighbors. According to the instruction given by the node n_{10}, node n_{11} remove the location information of n_{10} from its neighbor table. After remove the location information from the neighbor table the node n_{11} send the ack to the node n_{10}. Likewise node n_{10} send remove message to all its neighbor nodes. The neighbor nodes remove location information of n_{10} from its neighbor table. After it receives remove Ack from all its neighbors, node n_{10} go for the movement.

##### 5.1.1.2 Seeking Possible Locations for Movement

Node n_{10} does not move to the location where ever it wants, but it can move to the location given by the cluster head. Node n_{10} sends a request message to Cluster Head to give the possible locations for its movement. Cluster Head C\_1 receive the information and verify the node belongs to its cluster (or) not. If it is not belongs to its cluster, node is identified as clone. Otherwise the Cluster...
Head $C_{1H}$ sends various locations to be move to the node $n_{10}$. Now node $n_{10}$ choose any one location given by the $C_{1H}$ for its movement. Before movement node $n_{10}$ inform its new location to be move to the Cluster Head $C_{1H}$. Now Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ changes the status of node $n_{10}$ from existing to moving. Cluster table of $C_{1}$ before movement is described in Table 4.

**Algorithm 1**

Remove its location information from the neighbor nodes
Step 1: Remove my entry in the neighbor table
$$n_{10} \rightarrow n_{11}$$
EKS$_{n_{10}n_{11}}$((remove(ID$_{n_{10}}$),ID$_{n_{10}}$,ID$_{n_{11}}$)).
Step 2: Acknowledgement after remove the entry
$$n_{11} \rightarrow n_{10}$$
EKS$_{n_{10}n_{11}}$((removeAck(ID$_{n_{10}}$),ID$_{n_{10}}$,ID$_{n_{11}}$)).
Step 3: Send remove my entry msg to all its neighbors.
Step 4: Collect the Ack from all the neighbors.

**Seeking locations for movement**
Step 5: Seeking locations for movement from cluster Head $C_{1H}$
$$n_{10} \rightarrow C_{1H}$$
EKS$_{C_{1H}n_{10}}$((moveLocReq,ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{n_{10}}$,FP$_{n_{10}}$)).
Step 6: $C_{1H}$ verifies the request
- If existing (ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{n_{10}}$,FP$_{n_{10}}$) ≠ received(ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{n_{10}}$,FP$_{n_{10}}$)
  - Clone node detected.
  - If existing (ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{n_{10}}$,FP$_{n_{10}}$) = received(ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{n_{10}}$,FP$_{n_{10}}$)
    - Request accepted.
Step 7: Request is accepted by $C_{1H}$
- $C_{1H}$ send possible locations to node $n_{10}$
- $C_{1H} \rightarrow n_{10}$
  - EKS$_{C_{1H}n_{10}}$((moveLocList,ID$_{n_{10}}$,ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{1H}$<Moveable locations list >)).
Step 8: Node $n_{10}$ choose any one location given by $C_{1H}$
Step 9: Node $n_{10}$ inform its new location to $C_{1H}$

**Table 4. Cluster table of C1**

| node | Node ID | status   | Loc Claim(LC) | Ngh node list | Finger Print(FP) | New Loc Claim(NLC) |
|------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| n10  | ....    | Moving   | .....         | .....         | .....            | .....             |
| n11  | ....    | Existing | .....         | .....         | .....            | .....             |

$E_{K}S_{C_{1H}n_{10}}$ (newLocInf,ID$_{n_{10}}$,LC$_{n_{10}}$,newLC$_{n_{10}}$,FP$_{n_{10}}$).

Step 10: Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ store the new location in the table and update the status as moving.

5.1.2 After Movement

5.1.2.1 Node Seeking Readmission

The node $n_{10}$ moves to the new location within the cluster. It must get the readmission from Cluster Head to do its regular process in the cluster. Node $n_{10}$ sends the readmit message to the Cluster Head $C_{1H}$. The Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ receives this readmit request and checks the given information with the existing information in the cluster table. Suppose existing information do not match with the received information, node $n_{10}$ is identified as clone node. If existing information is same as the received information, then Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ select the neighbor node list of node $n_{10}$ from the cluster table and any old neighbor of the node $n_{10}$ is selected. Suppose node $n_{11}$ is the neighbor of node $n_{10}$, Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ check whether node $n_{10}$ still exist in the neighbor table of $n_{11}$.

5.1.2.2 Node Available in the Neighbor Table

Now node $n_{11}$ check whether the node $n_{10}$ is available in its neighbor table or not. Suppose the node $n_{10}$ is available in the neighbor table of $n_{11}$. The node $n_{11}$ send the node available message to Cluster Head $C_{1H}$. The node $n_{10}$ already available in its old location, so it can not appear in another location, Cluster Head confirm the node $n_{10}$ is a clone node. The Cluster Head inform this clone node identification information to the Base Station.

5.1.2.3 Node not Available in the Neighbor Table

Suppose node $n_{10}$ is not available in the neighbor table of $n_{11}$. Node $n_{11}$ send node not available message to the cluster head $C_{1H}$. Now $C_{1H}$ confirm that the node $n_{10}$ is not in its old location. Now $C_{1H}$ updates its location and remove its existing Finger Print. Now the $C_{1H}$ sends the readmit accepted message along with its session key ($K_{S_{Clai10}}$) to the node $n_{10}$. Now the node $n_{10}$ made neighbor node discovery and other process as per the protocol.

**Algorithm 2**

Node seeking readmission
Step 1: $n_{10} \rightarrow C_{1H}$
Step 2: If existing [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10] ≠ received [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10]
Clone identified.

Step 3: If existing [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10] = received [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10]
Readmission accepted.

Step 4: C\_1H gives possible locations to be move
C\_1H \rightarrow n\_10.

Step 5: Node n\_10 choose any one location from the list for movement.

Step 6: Before movement node n\_10 inform its new location to C\_1H
n\_10 \rightarrow C\_1H.

Step 7: C\_1H verify the location claim and finger print of node n\_10
Store the new location claim in the cluster table
Update the status of the node n\_10 as moving.

Step 8: node n\_10 move to the new location
Node n\_10 send a readmission request to join the cluster.

Step 9: n\_10 \rightarrow C\_1H
EKC\_1H\_n\_10\_10(moveLocList,ID\_n\_10,ID\_C\_1H,<moveable locations list>).

Step 10: The cluster head C\_1H verify the given finger print and new location with the existing information
If existing [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10] ≠ received [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10]
Clone node identified.

Step 11: If existing [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10] = received [ID\_n\_10, FP\_n\_10, newLC\_n\_10]
Readmission accepted.

Step 12: C\_1H selects any one neighbor from neighbor node list of node n\_10.
Suppose node n\_11 is the one of the old neighbor of node n\_10.
C\_1H \rightarrow n\_11.

Step 13: Node n\_11 check whether the node n\_10 is available in its neighbor table or not.

### Node available in the neighbor table

Step 14: Node n\_11 send available message to the Cluster Head C\_1H
n\_11 \rightarrow C\_1H.
EKS\_n\_11\_C\_1H\_n\_10\_10(available(ID\_n\_10), ID\_n\_11, ID\_C\_1H).

Step 15: Now C\_1H confirm n\_11 is a clone node.

Step 16: Cluster Head inform this clone node identification to the Base Station.

### Node not available in the neighbor table

Step 17: Node n\_10 is not available in the neighbor table of n\_11.

n\_11 \rightarrow C\_1H.
EKS\_n\_11\_C\_1H\_n\_10\_10(not available(ID\_n\_10), ID\_n\_11, ID\_C\_1H).

Step 18: C\_1H update location Claim(LC\_n\_10) with the newLC\_n\_10.

Step 19: C\_1H remove the existing Finger Print(FP\_n\_10) of the node n\_10.

Step 20: C\_1H send the readmit accepted message to the node n\_10.

Step 21: C\_1H \rightarrow n\_11
EKR\_C\_1H\_n\_11\_n\_10\_10(readmitAccept,ID\_n\_10, ID\_n\_11, ID\_C\_1H).

Step 22: Node n\_10 made the neighbor node discovery and find out new neighbors.

Step 23: After that all process continues as in the previous session.

### 5.2 Movement of the Node to Other Cluster

The node n\_10 belongs to cluster C\_1. It move from its location to some other location of some other cluster.

#### 5.2.1 Before Movement

**5.2.1.1 Remove its Location Information from the Neighbor Nodes**

The procedure and algorithm used to remove the location information is same as in the procedure of movement of the node within the cluster.

**5.2.1.2 Seeking Locations for Movement**

The node n\_10 does not move to the location where ever it wants, but it can move to the location given by the cluster head. Node n\_10 sends a request message to Cluster Head C\_1H to give the possible locations for its movement. The Cluster Head C\_1H forwards this request to the base station BS. Ask the BS to return the possible moveable locations.
in the cluster $C_{2H}$. Now BS send the possible locations in the $C_{2H}$ to the Cluster Head $C_{1H}$. The Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ send various possible locations to be move to the node $n_{i0}$. Now node $n_{i0}$ choose any one location given by the $C_{1H}$ for its movement. Before movement node $n_{i0}$ inform its new location to be move to the Cluster Head $C_{1H}$. Now Cluster Head of $C_{1H}$ change the status of node $n_{i0}$ from existing to moving. The cluster tables of $C_1$, $C_2$ Base Station are described in the Tables 5, 6, 7.

The node $n_{i0}$ moves to the cluster $C_i$. It must get the readmission from Cluster Head to do its regular process in the cluster. Node $n_{i0}$ sends the readmit message to the Cluster Head $C_{1H}$. The Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ receives this readmit request and checks the given information with the existing information in the cluster table.

Algorithm 3

Seeking locations for movement
Step 1: Seeking locations for movement from Cluster Head $C_{1H}$

$$n_{i0} \rightarrow C_{1H}$$

$$EKS_{C1Hn10}(moveLocReq,ID_{n10}, LC_{n10}, FP_{n10})$$

Step 2: $C_{1H}$ verifies the request

| Table 5. Cluster table of $C_1$ |
|--------------------------------|
| node | Node ID | status | Loc ClaimLC | Ngh node list | Finger Print (FP) | New Loc Claim (N LC) |
|------|---------|--------|-------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| n10  | ....    | outgoing | .....       | .....         | .....             | .....                |
| n11  | ....    | existing | .....       | .....         | .....             | .....                |

| Table 6. Cluster table of base station |
|---------------------------------------|
| cluster | node | Node ID | status | Loc ClaimLC | Ngh node list | Finger Print (FP) | New Loc Claim (NLC) |
|---------|------|---------|--------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| c1      | n10  | ....    | outgoing | .....       | .....         | .....             | .....                |
| c1      | n11  | ....    | existing | .....       | .....         | .....             | .....                |
| c2      | n10  | ....    | incoming | .....       | .....         | .....             | .....                |

If existing(ID$_{n10}$, LC$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$) ≠ received(ID$_{n10}$, LC$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$)
Clone node detected.
If existing(ID$_{n10}$, LC$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$) = received(ID$_{n10}$, LC$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$)
Request accepted.

Step 3: $C_{1H} \rightarrow BS$

$$EKS_{BSC1H}(moveClusterLoc(C2), ID_{BS}, ID_{C1H})$$

Step 4: $BS \rightarrow C_{2H}$

$$EKS_{BSC2H}(moveClusterLoc(C2), ID_{BS}, ID_{C2H})$$

Step 5: $C_{2H} \rightarrow BS$

$$EKS_{BSC1H}(moveLocList(C2), ID_{BS}, ID_{C1H} < Moveable locations list >)$$

Step 6: $BS \rightarrow C_{1H}$

$$EKS_{BSC1H}(moveLocList(C2), ID_{BS}, ID_{C1H} < Moveable locations list >)$$

Step 7: $C_{1H} \rightarrow n_{i0}$

$$EKS_{C1Hn10}(moveLocList(C2), ID_{n10}, ID_{C1H} < Moveable locations list >)$$

Step 8: Node $n_{i0}$ Choose any one location given by $C_{1H}$

Step 9: Node $n_{i0}$ inform its new location to $C_{1H}$

$$n_{i0} \rightarrow C_{1H}$$

$$EKS_{C1Hn10}(newLoc, ID_{C1H})\neq received(ID_{n10}, newLC_{n10}, FP_{n10})$$

Step 10: Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ store the new location in the table and update the status as moving

Step 11: $C_{1H} \rightarrow n_{i0}$

$$EKS_{C1Hn10}(moveAccept(n_{i0}), KU_{C2H}, ID_{C2H})$$

5.2.2 After Movement

Algorithm 4

Node seeking readmission
Step 1: $n_{i0} \rightarrow C_{2H}$

$$EKU_{C2H}(readmitReq,ID_{n10}, newLC_{n10}, FP_{n10}, ID_{C2H})$$

Step 2: $C_{2H}$ verifies the request

If existing(ID$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$, newLC$_{n10}$) ≠ received[ID$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$, newLC$_{n10}$]
Clone identified
If existing[ID$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$, newLC$_{n10}$] = received[ID$_{n10}$, FP$_{n10}$, newLC$_{n10}$]
Readmission accepted.

Step 3: $C_{2H}$ verifies BS for the availability of node $n_{i0}$

$$C_{2H} \rightarrow BS$$
EKS_{BSC2}(I(SAvailable(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{BS},ID_{C_{2H}})).

Step 4: BS verifies $C_{1H}$ for the availability of node $n_{10}$

$$BS \rightarrow C_{1H}$$

EKS_{BSC1H}(I(SAvailable(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{BS},ID_{C_{1H}})).

Step 5: $C_{1H}$ select one of the neighbor of $n_{10}$ and forward this message

$$C_{1H} \rightarrow n_{11}$$

EKS_{n_{11}C_{1H}}(I(SAvailable(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{n_{11}},ID_{C_{1H}})).

**Node available in the neighbor table**

Step 6: $n_{11} \rightarrow C_{1H}$

$$EKS_{n_{11}C_{1H}}(available(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{n_{11}},ID_{C_{1H}})).$$

Step 7: $C_{1H} \rightarrow BS$

$$EKS_{BSC1H}(available(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{BS},ID_{C_{1H}})).$$

Step 8: $BS \rightarrow C_{2H}$

$$EKS_{BSC2H}(available(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{BS},ID_{C_{2H}})).$$

Step 9: Node $n_{10}$ already exist in its old location

Node $n_{10}$ is identified as clone node.

This can be informed to the BS.

**Node not available in the neighbor table**

Step 10: $n_{11} \rightarrow C_{1H}$

$$EKS_{n_{11}C_{1H}}(notAvailable(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{n_{11}},ID_{C_{1H}})).$$

Step 11: $C_{1H} \rightarrow BS$

$$KSC_{BSC1H}(notAvailable(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{BS},ID_{C_{1H}})).$$

Step 12: $BS \rightarrow C_{2H}$

$$EKS_{BSC2H}(notAvailable(ID_{n_{10}},ID_{BS},ID_{C_{2H}})).$$

Step 13: $C_{2H} \rightarrow n_{11}$

$$EKR_{C_{2H}}(readmitAccept,ID_{n_{11}},ID_{C_{2H}},KSC_{C_{2H}n_{11}}).$$

Step 14: Node $n_{11}$ made the neighbor node discovery and identified new neighbors.

Step 15: After that all process continues as in the previous session.

Suppose existing information do not match with the received information, node $n_{10}$ is identified as clone node.

If existing information is same as the received information, then Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ select the neighbor node list of node $n_{10}$ from the cluster table and any old neighbor of the node $n_{10}$ is selected. Suppose node $n_{11}$ is the neighbor of node $n_{10}$ Cluster Head $C_{1H}$ check whether node $n_{10}$ still exist in the neighbor table of $n_{11}$.

### 6. Results and Discussion

The proposed protocol has been tested with Castalia 3.2 simulator that runs on Omnet++. The experiment has been done with the 10,000 sensor nodes deployed in 50 m communication range shown in the Figure 1. The average number clusters in the network may be 100 to 500. Each cluster has 3 to 10 sensor nodes.

The performance of CBCD protocol has been compared with the existing EDD and XED protocols based on the following evaluation parameters.

#### 6.1 Clone Detection Time

The EDD protocol takes 72 seconds to detect a clone node, the XED protocol takes 81 seconds, whereas the CBCD protocol takes only 52 seconds for the 1000 nodes. In the same way for 10,000 nodes EDD takes 121 seconds, XED takes 145 seconds, whereas the CBCD protocols takes only 94 seconds. Compare with other existing protocols CBCD protocol takes very minimum clone detection time. This is described in the following Table 8 and Figure 2.

#### 6.2 Total Number of Clones Detected

The EDD protocol detects 45 clones, the XED protocol detects 42 clone nodes, whereas the proposed CBCD

![Figure 1. Node deployment and cluster formation of 10,000 sensor nodes.](image)

| Table 8. Clone detection time |
|-----------------------------|
| **Nodes** | **EDD** | **XED** | **CBCD** |
| 1000      | 72      | 81      | 52       |
| 2000      | 78      | 92      | 56       |
| 3000      | 84      | 96      | 61       |
| 4000      | 88      | 102     | 61       |
| 5000      | 95      | 112     | 71       |
| 6000      | 99      | 117     | 76       |
| 7000      | 106     | 127     | 77       |
| 8000      | 113     | 135     | 83       |
| 9000      | 115     | 136     | 89       |
| 10000     | 121     | 145     | 94       |
protocol detects the maximum of 50 clone nodes for 1,000 nodes. In the same way 10,000 nodes EDD detects 44 clones, XED detects 42 clones, whereas CBCD protocol detects the maximum of 50 clone nodes. Compare with other existing protocols CBCD protocol detects more number of clones and it achieves 100% clone detection many times. The total number of clones detected for 1,000 to 10,000 nodes are described in the following Table 9 and Figure 3.

6.3 Memory Consumption

The average memory consumption of CBCD protocol is very low, compared with other protocols. While the EDD protocol uses 3606 bytes and the XED protocol uses 3367 bytes, our CBCD protocol uses only 3162 bytes for 1000 nodes. In the same way for 10,000 nodes EDD protocol uses 3853 bytes, XED protocol uses 3578 bytes and CBCD protocol 3395 bytes only. The memory consumption of all the three protocols for 1000 to 10,000 nodes are illustrated in Table 10 and Figure 4.

Table 9. Number of clones detected

| Nodes  | EDD | XED | CBCD |
|--------|-----|-----|------|
| 1000   | 45  | 42  | 50   |
| 2000   | 44  | 40  | 49   |
| 3000   | 44  | 41  | 48   |
| 4000   | 45  | 41  | 50   |
| 5000   | 45  | 41  | 48   |
| 6000   | 44  | 42  | 49   |
| 7000   | 44  | 41  | 49   |
| 8000   | 44  | 43  | 48   |
| 9000   | 45  | 43  | 48   |
| 10000  | 44  | 42  | 50   |

Table 10. Memory consumption

| Nodes  | EDD   | XED   | CBCD  |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1000   | 3606  | 3367  | 3162  |
| 2000   | 3636  | 3347  | 3165  |
| 3000   | 3639  | 3440  | 3208  |
| 4000   | 3665  | 3464  | 3216  |
| 5000   | 3710  | 3463  | 3255  |
| 6000   | 3749  | 3514  | 3253  |
| 7000   | 3753  | 3535  | 3333  |
| 8000   | 3796  | 3588  | 3375  |
| 9000   | 3812  | 3616  | 3367  |
| 10000  | 3853  | 3578  | 3395  |

Figure 2. Clone detection time.

Figure 3. Number of clones detected.

Figure 4. Memory consumption.

7. Conclusion

In this paper we propose a Cluster Based Clone Detection (CBCD) Protocol for detecting clone nodes in Mobile sensor networks. The key management presented here can be used for both public and symmetric key cryptography of cluster based WSN. The Finger Print can be used for secure communication between sensor nodes, sensor node and
cluster head, cluster head and base station. In our experiment 10,000 sensor nodes are deployed in the field and 50 clone nodes tries to enter into the network. Compared with XED, EDD protocols CBCD protocol takes very minimum time to detect a clone node. The proposed protocol has highest percentage of clone detection ratio than other protocols. It has very minimum memory consumption compare to other mobile based clone detection protocols.
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