Delay in childhood vaccinations during the COVID-19 pandemic

Da In Diane Lee1,2 · Shelley Vanderhout1,3 · Mary Aglipay1,2 · Catherine S. Birken2,3,4,5 · Shaun K. Morris2,5,6 · Pierre-Philippe Piché-Renaud2,5,6 · Charles D. G. Keown-Stoneman7,8 · Jonathon L. Maguire1,2,3,5,8

Received: 20 May 2021 / Accepted: 7 December 2021 © The Author(s) under exclusive license to The Canadian Public Health Association 2022

Abstract
Objectives In many jurisdictions, routine medical care was reduced in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The objective of this study was to determine whether the frequency of on-time routine childhood vaccinations among children age 0–2 years was lower following the COVID-19 declaration of emergency in Ontario, Canada, on March 17, 2020, compared to prior to the pandemic.

Methods We conducted a longitudinal cohort study of healthy children aged 0–2 years participating in the TARGet Kids! primary care research network in Toronto, Canada. A logistic mixed effects regression model was used to determine odds ratios (ORs) for delayed vaccination (> 30 days vs. ≤ 30 days from the recommended date) before and after the COVID-19 declaration of emergency, adjusted for confounding variables. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to explore the relationship between the declaration of emergency and time to vaccination.

Results Among 1277 children, the proportion of on-time vaccinations was 81.8% prior to the COVID-19 declaration of emergency and 62.1% after (p < 0.001). The odds of delayed vaccination increased (odds ratio = 3.77, 95% CI: 2.86–4.96), and the hazard of administration of recommended vaccinations decreased after the declaration of emergency (hazard ratio = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.60–0.92). The median vaccination delay time was 5 days (95% CI: 4–5 days) prior to the declaration of emergency and 17 days (95% CI: 12–22 days) after.

Conclusion The frequency of on-time routine childhood vaccinations was lower during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. Sustained delays in routine vaccinations may lead to an increase in rates of vaccine-preventable diseases.

Résumé
Objectifs Dans plusieurs juridictions, les soins médicaux systématiques étaient réduits à cause de la pandémie de COVID-19. L’objectif de cette étude était de déterminer si la fréquence de donner les vaccinations systématiques aux enfants de l’âge de 0 à 2 ans était réduite en conséquence de la déclaration d’urgence de COVID-19 en Ontario, Canada dès le 17 mars 2020, comparer avec la fréquence avant la pandémie.

Méthodes Nous avons mené une étude de cohorte longitudinale des enfants en bonne santé âgés de 0 à 2 ans qui participent dans le réseau de recherche en soins primaires TARGet Kids! à Toronto, Canada. Un modèle de régression logistique à effets mixtes était utilisé pour déterminer le rapport de cotes (RC) pour les vaccinations retardées (> 30 jours c. ≤ 30 jours de la date recommandée) et était équilibré pour les variables confondantes. Le modèle à risques proportionnels de Cox était utilisé pour examiner le lien entre la déclaration d’urgence et le temps jusqu’à la vaccination.

Résultats Parmi 1 277 enfants, la proportion de vaccination à l’heure était 81,8 % avant la déclaration d’urgence de COVID-19 et 62,1 % après (p < 0.001). La possibilité de vaccination retardée était augmentée (RC = 3,77; IC95% : 2,86–4,96), et le taux d’administration recommandé pour les vaccinations était réduit après la déclaration d’urgence (ratio de hasard = 0,75; IC95% : 0,60–0,92). Le médian temps de retard pour les vaccinations était 5 jours (IC95% : 4–5 jours) avant la déclaration d’urgence et 17 jours (IC95% : 12–22 jours) après.

Conclusion La fréquence de vaccinations systématiques aux enfants à l’heure était inférieure pendant la première vague de la pandémie COVID-19. Des retards soutenus pour recevoir les vaccinations systématiques peuvent entrainer une augmentation des taux de maladies évitables par la vaccination.
Introduction

Routine childhood vaccinations are among the most important public health interventions for preventing vaccine-preventable diseases (VPD). The National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI) in Canada recommends that 13 vaccinations be administered in the first 24 months of life, including the diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, polio, Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine (DTaP-IPV-Hib), pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (Pneu-C-13), rotavirus (Rot-5) vaccine, meningococcal conjugate (Men-C–C) vaccine, measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine, and varicella vaccine (Canada, 2017). Timely vaccination occurs when vaccines are administered within 30 days of the recommended date and are considered delayed when administered more than 30 days after the recommended date (Kiely et al., 2018; O’Donnell et al., 2017; Sood et al., 2015). According to the 2017 National Immunization Coverage Survey, the proportion of children in Ontario vaccinated against all doses of recommended vaccinations range from 72.9% to 91.0% (Canada, 2020).

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) to be a pandemic. This was followed by a declaration of emergency in Ontario, Canada, on March 17, 2020 (A Timeline of the Novel Coronavirus in Ontario, n.d.). Non-essential medical care and elective services were reduced to minimal levels or ceased completely (Ontario Health, 2020). Although public health and government institutions communicated that routine vaccinations should not be delayed during the pandemic, the scale-back of non-essential medical services due to factors such as inadequate supply of personal protective equipment (PPE) may have contributed to a decrease in vaccine delivery (Jenco, 2020; WHO/UNICEF Joint Statement – Maintaining Routine Immunization Services Vital during the COVID-19 Pandemic, 2020).

The primary objective of this study was to determine whether the frequency of on-time routine childhood vaccinations among children age 0–2 years was lower following the COVID-19 declaration of emergency in Ontario, Canada, compared to prior to the pandemic. A secondary objective was to explore the relationship between the COVID-19 declaration of emergency and vaccination timeliness.

Methods

Subjects and design

A longitudinal cohort study involving healthy children aged 0–2 years (as of May 31, 2020) receiving primary healthcare through the TARGet Kids! primary care research network in Toronto, Canada, was conducted between November 1, 2018 and May 31, 2020, a period that included the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. Vaccinations for children are primarily administered during primary care physician visits in Ontario. TARGet Kids! is a collaboration between researchers and primary care providers affiliated with the University of Toronto, Unity Health Toronto, and The Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto, Canada (Carsley et al., 2015). Children were excluded from the TARGet Kids! cohort if they had health conditions affecting growth, all chronic medical conditions except asthma, or severe developmental delay.

Exposure, outcome, and confounding variables

The expected date of each recommended vaccination according to Ontario’s routine immunization schedule was determined based on the birth date of each child (Supplementary Table 1). These vaccination dates were converted from months to days, where 1 month is equivalent to 30.5 days. Time to vaccination was calculated as the number of days after the recommended date that the vaccine was administered according to the child’s electronic medical records (EMRs). Routine vaccinations among children in Ontario are administered by primary care physicians; thus, we expect EMRs to be reliable records of childhood vaccinations. On-time vaccination was defined as vaccinations received ≤ 30 days after the recommended date, including those received before the recommended date. Delayed vaccination was defined as vaccinations received > 30 days after the recommended date or that were incomplete. The median time to vaccination was calculated as the median number of days between the recommended vaccination date and the actual vaccination date.

The primary exposure was the Ontario COVID-19 declaration of emergency on March 17, 2020. This was a binary breakpoint, where vaccinations received on or after
the declaration of emergency were considered to be provided during the pandemic while vaccinations provided prior to this date were considered to be provided before the pandemic.

The primary outcome was a binary variable indicating whether the vaccination was on-time (≤ 30 days after the recommended date) or delayed (> 30 days after the recommended date). The secondary outcome was time to vaccination in days after the recommended date of vaccination.

Potential confounding variables hypothesized a priori included child sex, maternal ethnicity, maternal education, single-parent household, birth order, vaccine type, primary care clinic, parent-reported health conditions, self-reported annual household income, and the distance between the patient’s residence and their primary care clinic in kilometres. Age of recommended vaccination was examined as a potential effect measure modifying variable as a post hoc analysis based on reviewer feedback. These variables were collected using a parent-completed questionnaire based on the Canadian Community Health Survey by a trained research assistant during the well-child visit (Carsley et al., 2015). Participant name, birth date, and primary care clinic were used to link survey and EMR data.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were performed on all variables. A Kaplan–Meier survival curve was used to visually compare the time to vaccination before and after the COVID-19 declaration of emergency. The proportion of on-time vaccinations was calculated before and after the declaration of emergency, where the numerator is the number of on-time vaccinations and the denominator is the total number of recommended vaccinations. The proportion of children with on-time vaccinations before and after the declaration of emergency was also calculated for each age group, where the numerator is the number of children with on-time vaccination and the denominator is the total number of children with vaccinations recommended at this age. These proportions were compared using a normal approximation test of proportions. For the primary analysis, a logistic generalized mixed effects regression model was used to estimate the odds of delayed vaccination before and after the Ontario COVID-19 declaration of emergency. Each recommended vaccination during the study period was assessed as a data point. Robust standard errors were used to account for multiple vaccinations in the same subject (Harrell Jr, 2020). This model was adjusted for the same variables as the logistic mixed effects regression model, and a likelihood ratio test was used to determine whether age of recommended vaccination should be included as an effect modifier (p < 0.3). Schoenfeld residuals were used to test the proportional hazards assumption indicating no concern. Effect sizes were reported as hazard ratios (HR) and their 95% confidence intervals. Longer time to vaccination and a hazard ratio less than one indicate that vaccinations occurred at a lower hazard rate following the declaration of emergency.

To handle missing data, all variables were considered missing at random, as missingness can be accounted for by other variables in the model with complete information. An imputed dataset was created using multivariate imputation by chained equations (MICE) (van Buuren & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Boards at The Hospital for Sick Children, Unity Health Toronto, and the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario. Consent was obtained from parents of all participating children in TARGet Kids!. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.0.3 (R: The R Project for Statistical Computing, n.d.).
Results

A total of 1277 children 0–2 years of age were included in the analysis (Fig. 1). During the period of observation, 6867 vaccinations were recommended for this population. 50.7% of children were female and 38.4% of children resided in two-parent households. The average distance from the patient’s home to their primary care clinic was 7.1 km. Children with at least one on-time vaccination vs. at least one delayed vaccination seemed clinically similar (Tables 1 and 2). 8.7% of vaccinations were incomplete in the EMR (n = 605/6937).

Table 1 Participant characteristics

|                                      | All participants, n (%) | Participants with vaccination on time\(^a\), n (%) | Participants with vaccination delayed\(^b\), n (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Number of individuals                | 1277                    | 1082                                          | 880                                           |
| Date of scheduled vaccination\(^c\)  |                         |                                               |                                               |
| Before March 17, 2020                | 1242 (76.8%)            | 1052 (86.3%)                                  | 785 (78.4%)                                  |
| After March 17, 2020                 | 375 (23.2%)             | 167 (13.7%)                                   | 216 (21.6%)                                  |
| Sex                                  |                         |                                               |                                               |
| Female                               | 648 (50.9%)             | 546 (50.6%)                                   | 437 (49.8%)                                  |
| Male                                 | 624 (49.1%)             | 532 (49.4%)                                   | 440 (50.2%)                                  |
| Maternal ethnicity                   |                         |                                               |                                               |
| European                             | 293 (52.0%)             | 257 (54.3%)                                   | 185 (48.2%)                                  |
| East Asian                           | 50 (8.9%)               | 45 (9.3%)                                     | 33 (8.6%)                                    |
| South Asian                          | 63 (11.2%)              | 51 (10.8%)                                    | 40 (10.4%)                                   |
| Southeast Asian                      | 19 (3.4%)               | 15 (3.2%)                                     | 15 (3.9%)                                    |
| Arab                                 | 11 (2.0%)               | 8 (1.7%)                                      | 8 (2.1%)                                     |
| African                              | 54 (9.6%)               | 37 (7.8%)                                     | 46 (12.0%)                                   |
| Latin American                       | 26 (4.6%)               | 21 (4.4%)                                     | 20 (5.2%)                                    |
| Mixed or other ethnicity             | 48 (8.5%)               | 40 (8.5%)                                     | 37 (9.6%)                                    |
| Household annual income (self-reported) |                      |                                               |                                               |
| < $40,000                            | 43 (8.5%)               | 37 (8.6%)                                     | 33 (9.6%)                                    |
| $40,000 to $79,999                   | 69 (13.6%)              | 49 (11.4%)                                    | 52 (15.2%)                                   |
| $80,000 to $149,999                  | 144 (28.5%)             | 121 (27.9%)                                   | 93 (27.2%)                                   |
| ≥ $150,000                           | 249 (49.4%)             | 224 (52.1%)                                   | 164 (48.0%)                                  |
| Maternal education                   |                         |                                               |                                               |
| High school or public school         | 36 (7.1%)               | 26 (6.0%)                                     | 24 (7.0%)                                    |
| College or university                | 473 (92.9%)             | 408 (94.0%)                                   | 320 (93.0%)                                  |
| Household structure                  |                         |                                               |                                               |
| Two-parent household                 | 490 (95.3%)             | 417 (95.6%)                                   | 333 (96.0%)                                  |
| Single-parent household              | 24 (4.7%)               | 19 (4.4%)                                     | 14 (4.0%)                                    |
| Distance from clinic (km)            | 7.1 (14.5)              | 6.7 (11.0)                                    | 7.9 (19.1)                                   |
| Parent-reported health condition\(^d\) |                      |                                               |                                               |
| Yes                                  | 107 (24.3%)             | 92 (24.6%)                                    | 66 (22.7%)                                   |
| No                                   | 333 (75.7%)             | 282 (75.4%)                                   | 225 (77.3%)                                  |
| Birth order                          |                         |                                               |                                               |
| First-born                           | 1057 (82.8%)            | 892 (82.5%)                                   | 732 (83.3%)                                  |
| Second-born                          | 166 (13.0%)             | 144 (13.3%)                                   | 112 (12.7%)                                  |
| Third-born or higher                 | 53 (4.2%)               | 45 (4.2%)                                     | 35 (4.0%)                                    |

\(^a\)Participants with at least one on-time vaccination  
\(^b\)Participants with at least one delayed vaccination  
\(^c\)The number of participants exceeds the study’s total number of participants as some children had vaccinations recommended both before and after the COVID-19 declaration of emergency  
\(^d\)Parent-reported wheezing, prematurity, allergy, and atopic dermatitis  
Missing data are attributable to self-reported survey data
Proportion of on-time vaccinations

For the primary analysis, the proportion of on-time vaccinations (≤30 days) was 81.8% (n=5177/6332) prior to the COVID-19 declaration of emergency and 62.1% (n=376/605) after (p<0.001). The proportion of children aged 6 months with on-time vaccinations decreased from 64.5% (n=529/820) prior to the COVID-19 declaration of emergency to 43.8% (n=21/48) after (p=0.04). The proportion of children with on-time vaccinations at age 15 months decreased from 60.8% (n=417/686) to 39.7% (n=48/121) (p<0.001). At 18 months, this decreased from 59.4% (n=293/493) to 37.6% (n=50/133) (p<0.001).

In the unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models, the odds of delayed vaccination (>30 days) were higher after the declaration of emergency than before (unadjusted OR=4.44, 95% CI: 4.44–4.44, p<0.001 and adjusted OR=3.77, 95% CI: 2.86–4.96, p<0.001) (Supplementary Table 2). Age of recommended vaccination was included in the model as an effect modifier (p=0.005). The age-stratified model indicated that the odds of delayed vaccination were higher after the declaration of emergency among vaccinations recommended at 6 months, 12 months, 15 months, and 18 months (adjusted OR=5.36, 95% CI: 2.29–13.00; adjusted OR=1.98, 95% CI: 1.20–3.00; adjusted OR=6.09, 95% CI: 3.57–10.00; adjusted OR=5.26, 95% CI: 3.14–9.00, respectively). The odds of delayed vaccination was not statistically different before and after the declaration of emergency for vaccinations recommended at 2 months and 4 months of age (Supplementary Table 4a).

Vaccination timeliness

For the secondary analysis, the median time to vaccination was 5 days (95% CI: 4–5) prior to the COVID-19 declaration of emergency and 17 days (95% CI: 12–22) after (Fig. 2). Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazards models revealed that the hazard rate at which vaccinations occurred was lower following

| Table 2 Vaccination characteristics |
|------------------------------------|
| All vaccinations, n (%) | On-time vaccinations, n (%) | Delayed vaccinations, n (%) |
| Number of vaccinations | 6937 | 4458 | 2479 |
| Median time to vaccination (days)* | Before March 17, 2020: 5 (4, 5) | 2 (2, 2) | 8 (7, 8) |
| After March 17, 2020: 17 (12, 22) | 10 (3, 14) | 44 (36, 58) |
| Age of scheduled vaccination (months) | 2 | 1134 (16.4%) | 908 (20.4%) | 226 (9.1%) |
| | 4 | 1404 (20.2%) | 963 (12.6%) | 441 (17.8%) |
| | 6 | 868 (12.5%) | 550 (12.3%) | 318 (12.8%) |
| | 12 | 2098 (30.2%) | 1229 (27.6%) | 869 (35.1%) |
| | 15 | 807 (11.6%) | 465 (10.4%) | 342 (13.8%) |
| | 18 | 626 (9.0%) | 343 (7.7%) | 283 (11.4%) |
| Vaccine type | DTaP-IPV-Hib | 1982 (28.6%) | 1290 (28.9%) | 692 (27.9%) |
| | Pneu-C-13 | 1539 (22.2%) | 1018 (22.8%) | 521 (21.0%) |
| | Rot-5 | 1203 (17.3%) | 848 (19.0%) | 355 (14.3%) |
| | Men-C—C | 703 (10.1%) | 417 (9.4%) | 286 (11.5%) |
| | MMR | 703 (10.1%) | 422 (9.5%) | 281 (11.3%) |
| | Var | 807 (11.6%) | 461 (10.3%) | 346 (13.9%) |
| Clinic site | Clinic site 1 | 664 (9.6%) | 505 (11.3%) | 159 (6.4%) |
| | Clinic site 2 | 872 (12.6%) | 626 (14.0%) | 246 (9.9%) |
| | Clinic site 3 | 1060 (15.3%) | 723 (16.2%) | 337 (13.6%) |
| | Clinic site 4 | 272 (3.9%) | 159 (3.6%) | 113 (4.6%) |
| | Clinic site 5 | 630 (9.1%) | 354 (7.9%) | 276 (11.1%) |
| | Clinic site 6 | 183 (2.6%) | 127 (2.8%) | 56 (2.3%) |
| | Clinic site 7 | 1552 (22.4%) | 890 (20.0%) | 662 (26.7%) |
| | Clinic site 8 | 1120 (16.1%) | 703 (15.8%) | 417 (16.8%) |
| | Clinic site 9 | 584 (8.4%) | 371 (8.3%) | 213 (8.6%) |

*Median time to vaccination reported as [median (95% confidence interval)]
the declaration of emergency (unadjusted HR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.54–0.67, \( p < 0.001 \) and adjusted HR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.60–0.92, \( p = 0.008 \)) (Supplementary Table 3). On a given day following the declaration of emergency, each child was, on average, 25% less likely to be vaccinated than prior to the declaration of emergency. Age of recommended vaccination was included in the model as an effect modifier (\( p = 0.10 \)). When stratified by age of recommended vaccination, the hazard rate at which vaccinations occurred was lower following the declaration of emergency for vaccinations recommended at 15 months and 18 months (adjusted HR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.48–0.76; adjusted HR = 0.79, 95% CI: 0.64–0.98, respectively). The hazard rate at which vaccinations occurred was not statistically different before and after the declaration of emergency for vaccinations recommended at ages younger than 15 months (Supplementary Table 4b).

Discussion

In this study involving 1277 children aged 0–2 years who were participating in a primary care research network in Toronto, Canada, the frequency of on-time vaccinations was lower during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. The odds of vaccination delay (> 30 days) were nearly fourfold higher in the 3 months following the COVID-19 declaration of emergency than before, particularly among older children (i.e., 6, 12, 15, or 18 months). The median time to vaccination increased from 5 days (95% CI: 4–5) before to 17 days (95% CI: 12–22) after the declaration of emergency.

Childhood vaccination has been a major contributor to reductions in morbidity and mortality from vaccine-preventable illness over the past century. Reductions in routine childhood vaccinations during the COVID-19 pandemic may increase the risk of outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases including measles and varicella zoster virus (Lee et al., 2004; Measles Outbreaks Reported in Five Districts Including in Kathmandu and Lalitpur in Last One Month, n.d.; Suk et al., 2016). Since 2016, the incidence of measles has been increasing in both low- and high-income countries, owing to factors including vaccine hesitancy (Mortier et al., 2019; Ortega-Sanchez et al., 2014; Roberts, 2020; Wadman, 2019). Reduction in vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic may further increase the risk of measles outbreaks, as small decreases in measles vaccine coverage can lead to increased transmission.

Parental concerns about COVID-19, local lockdown measures, suspended supply chains, and reduced clinic staff capacity and PPE to administer vaccines may be contributing factors to delayed or missed vaccinations among children (Bell et al., 2020; Dinleyici et al., 2020). Strategies to ameliorate delayed vaccines among children due to COVID-19 are needed. Public health and governmental institutions must continue to stress the necessity of timely vaccinations. Clinics must continue training their staff and maintaining adequate supplies of PPE to ensure safe delivery of vaccines. Reminders and education about the importance of vaccination for both parents and healthcare providers, and electronic immunization records have been shown to be effective in encouraging families and healthcare providers to complete vaccinations on schedule (Chandir et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2011). Other strategies such as informing parents about the ways clinics are minimizing COVID-19 transmission risk and school vaccination programs or special clinics in community spaces to safely administer vaccines may help children catch up missed vaccinations (Chanchlani et al., 2020). In addition, at-home visits and drive-through vaccination clinics have emerged as creative solutions which rely heavily on healthcare providers willing to deliver vaccines in unconventional settings (Hoffman, 2020). Support for strategies such as these during the COVID-19 pandemic and afterwards is needed to help children...
catch up on delayed vaccinations and prevent the spread of vaccine-preventable diseases.

Strengths of this study include data from a large, ongoing, prospective cohort study involving healthy young children receiving primary healthcare. Detailed data allowed for adjustment of numerous clinically relevant potentially confounding factors, including self-reported annual income and ethnicity. Access to participants’ electronic medical records to verify immunization status and timeliness was also a strength of this study. This study also supports the findings by Langdon-Embry et al. who identified lower childhood vaccination in New York City during a similar time period (Langdon-Embry et al., 2020). The increased odds of delayed vaccination among vaccinations recommended later in childhood also reflects findings suggesting that vaccination delays are more prevalent for later vaccination visits (Kiely et al., 2018).

Limitations of this study include a relatively short observation window of 3 months during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. A longer observation window with data collected later in the COVID-19 pandemic would help address whether delayed vaccinations are eventually caught up, as other regions have seen a rebound in vaccinations several months into the pandemic (Langdon-Embry et al., 2020). Clinics may have improved capacity for routine vaccinations in subsequent months as PPE supply became adequate, which may limit generalizability of this study to subsequent waves or inter-wave periods of the pandemic. Different measures taken across the various primary care practices involved in this study may have contributed to heterogeneity. Children from clinics with less capacity to support routine vaccinations may have been transferred to other clinics of greater capacity, and not captured through the available EMRs. In addition, this study was conducted using a primary care research network in a large urban centre and thus, results may not be generalizable to rural settings. The study also did not account for clustering of vaccinations among children residing in the same household. Finally, parental perspectives on vaccines were not measured in this study and may have contributed to our understanding of delayed vaccinations.

**Conclusion**

The frequency of on-time routine childhood vaccinations was lower during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in this population of healthy children receiving primary healthcare. Delay in childhood vaccinations may lead to an increased risk of vaccine-preventable diseases. Primary healthcare and public health strategies to reduce delays in routine childhood immunizations during the COVID-19 pandemic are needed.

**Contributions to knowledge**

What does this study add to existing knowledge?

- Vaccines are among the most important public health interventions and have contributed immensely to the decreased rates of infectious diseases globally. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has led to scale-backs of non-essential medical services, and a decrease in the use of elective services has been observed.
- Although routine childhood vaccinations are considered essential, clinics may not have the capacity or resources to provide these services during a strenuous time such as the pandemic.
- This study helps understand how practices such as routine vaccination are affected during a global health crisis, and potential implications for vaccine-preventable disease rates in the future.

What are the key implications for public health interventions, practice, or policy?

- Among the children from Toronto, Ontario included in the study, the proportion of on-time vaccination decreased, the odds of delayed vaccination increased, and the rate of vaccination decreased after the COVID-19 declaration of emergency compared to before this date.
- This observed delay in vaccinations calls for a system to ensure that children catch up on their vaccinations. This could be through increasing education to parents and healthcare providers, ensuring that clinics are supplied with adequate personal protective equipment, and creating a central database that keeps up-to-date vaccinations for children across the province.
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