Evidence for Co-evolution of West Nile Virus and House Sparrows in North America
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Abstract

West Nile virus (WNV) has been maintained in North America in enzootic cycles between mosquitoes and birds since it was first described in North America in 1999. House sparrows (HOSPs; Passer domesticus) are a highly competent host for WNV that have contributed to the rapid spread of WNV across the U.S.; however, their competence has been evaluated primarily using an early WNV strain (NY99) that is no longer circulating. Herein, we report that the competence of wild HOSPs for the NY99 strain has decreased significantly over time, suggesting that HOSPs may have developed resistance to this early WNV strain. Moreover, recently isolated WNV strains generate higher peak viremias and mortality in contemporary HOSPs compared to NY99. These data indicate that opposing selective pressures in both the virus and avian host have resulted in a net increase in the level of host competence of North American HOSPs for currently circulating WNV strains.
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Introduction

West Nile virus (WNV; Flaviviridae) is an arbovirus that was first reported in North America in 1999 in New York. By 2003, the virus had spread to the West Coast. WNV has remained endemic in the U.S. due to the high prevalence of competent Culex spp. mosquito vectors and avian hosts [1–4]. The birds considered to be the most important WNV reservoirs are passerines, which are highly susceptible and maintain high viremias for several days during infection [1,5]. Because infection of Culex vectors is dose dependent, the magnitude of serum viremia in a bird determines its host competence [1,4,6].

 Resident birds are considered to be more important for the spread of WNV across the U.S. than migratory birds [7]. The house sparrow (HOSP; Passer domesticus) is a resident passerine and is highly competent for WNV [1,5]. Additionally, HOSPs are ubiquitous across North America in urban, suburban, and rural landscapes and are a frequent bloodmeal source for Culex mosquitoes [8–10]. Unlike infection in American crows (Corvus brachyrhynchos), infected HOSPs sustain viral titers above the threshold required for mosquitoes to become infected but exhibit a low mortality rate [5]. However, reports have suggested that WNV causes enough mortality to contribute to a declining population of HOSPs in the U.S. [11–13]. The WNV seroprevalence of HOSPs has been estimated to fluctuate annually and locally [14,15], with levels reaching as high as 40% during outbreak years [16].

Since the first identification of WNV in North America, the virus has diverged into 3 described genotypes. By 2003, the original East Coast genotype was replaced by the North American WN02 genotype, defined by a valine-to-alanine amino acid substitution at codon 159 in the envelope protein (E-V159A) [17,18]. There are reports that suggest viral isolates containing this mutation may increase the rate of WNV dissemination in Culex mosquitoes [17,19,20]. A third genotype, SW03, was first described for WNV isolates collected in the southwest U.S. in 2003 [21]. The SW03 genotype is characterized by the E-V159A substitution in conjunction with an alanine-to-threonine substitution at codon 85 in the NS4A protein (NS4A-A85T). The NS4A-A85T mutation has not been specifically assessed for differential viral phenotypic effects in either avian hosts or mosquito vectors. Isolates obtained during routine surveillance since 2003 have largely been limited to WN02 and SW03 genotypes that have been found co-circulating in the U.S. as recently as 2012 [22,23].

Dual-host viruses such as WNV have many constraints on viral evolution. Due to the necessity for replication in birds and mosquitoes for its enzootic maintenance in North America, WNV has been subject to widespread purifying selection [21,23,24] to maintain efficient replication in two disparate hosts [25,26]. However, WNV has adaptively evolved at discrete loci during its
15 years of circulation in North America [21,23], and whether or not this evolution has been driven by passerines is unknown. In order to assess the possibility that transmissibility of WNV could be a driving force for the fixation of the E-V159A substitution and alternative genotype-specific amino acid substitutions in North America, the competence of HOSPs for East Coast, WN02, and SW03 genotype viruses were compared. Furthermore, the competence of North American HOSPs for the same founding East Coast strain, NY99, was also evaluated over 14 years to identify potential co-evolutionary signatures in an avian host.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sequence analyses of WNV isolates**

A protein alignment of 132 WNV isolates was performed using Clustal Omega [27]. Twelve of these isolates were used for experimental inoculation of HOSPs in this study. A maximum likelihood phylogeny was constructed with 1,000 bootstrap replicates using PhyML [28]. Non-synonymous diversity and divergence calculations were performed using DNAsp v5 [29]. Sequences for isolates TX8759 and TX8779 were determined as described previously and have been assigned GenBank accession numbers KJ786936 and KJ786935, respectively [30].

**Collection and infection of wild HOSPs**

Wild HOSPs were trapped in Larimer County, CO, in 2012–2013 using mist nets. Serum from each bird was tested for WNV neutralizing antibodies using a 90% plaque reduction neutralizing test as reported previously [31]. Groups of 5–8 seronegative birds were inoculated subcutaneously with 1,500 PFU of WNV. Blood was collected daily by jugular venipuncture for 7 days post-inoculation. Blood was immediately diluted 1:10, coagulated for 30 minutes at room temperature, and spun for 10 minutes at 2,500 g. Serum viral titers were quantified using Vero cell plaque assay as reported previously [32]. The lower limit of detection for this assay was 1.7 log10 PFU/mL.

**Reservoir competence index calculations**

Reservoir competence was calculated as the product of HOSP susceptibility, mean daily HOSP infectiousness, and duration of infectiousness for mosquitoes, as previously reported [5]. A value of 1.0 for HOSP susceptibility was used for all WNV isolates, as 100% of challenged birds demonstrated viremias. The lower threshold of HOSP serum viremia considered infectious to mosquitoes was 4.7 log10 PFU/mL [4]. Infectiousness was calculated based on a linear regression analysis as the proportion

| Table 1. WNV isolates used in this study. |
|------------------------------------------|
| **Isolate** | **Location** | **Year** | **Species** | **Genbank accession** |
| E-159 | East Coast genotype | 1999 | Chilean flamingo | AF196835 |
| NY2001 | New York | 2001 | Human | KJ786934 |
| WW02 genotype | Texas | 2002 | Blue jay | GU827999 |
| TX114 | Texas | 2007 | Blue jay | KF141920 |
| TXA8719 | Texas | 2007 | Cx. tarsalis | JF415920 |
| TXA9759 | Texas | 2007 | Blue jay | KJ786936 |
| TXW779 | Texas | 2007 | Cx. quinquefasciatus | KJ786935 |
| TXW803 genotype | Texas | 2009 | Aedes albopictus | JF415919 |
| TXA86115 | Texas | 2009 | Cx. quinquefasciatus | J0015523 |
| TXA6572 | Texas | 2010 | Cx. quinquefasciatus | J0015523 |
| TXA8569 | Texas | 2012 | Cx. quinquefasciatus | J0015523 |
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of mosquitoes predicted to become infected after feeding on a host with known viremia [4,6,33,34].

Statistical analyses
Statistical significance of differences in peak viremia and reservoir competence was calculated using ANOVA. A Mantel-Cox log-rank test was used to compare survival curves. For regression analyses, r² values were used to determine the best model, and a linear model was used. All calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 (San Diego, CA) or R (www.R-project.org).

Ethics statement
This work was performed under approved institutional animal care guidelines. Protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the Division of Vector-borne Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (approval number 13-409), the University of California, Davis (approval numbers 12074 and 15895), and Colorado State University (approval number 10-2078A).

Results

Three major WNV genotypes in North America
Previous studies of WNV evolution in North America have identified 3 major genotypes: East Coast, which includes the prototypic NY99 strain that was the first isolate sequenced during the U.S. epidemic but is no longer known to be in circulation; WN02, characterized by a valine-to-alanine mutation at E-159; and SW03, characterized by the E-V159A substitution and an alanine-to-threonine substitution at NS4A-85 (Table 1). These broad groups form three clusters in a phylogeny of North American isolates (Fig. 1). However, the SW03 genotype also includes some isolates that cluster within the WN02 genotype, such as isolate 12 (TX2689; Fig. 1). This suggests that the NS4A-A85T substitution has occurred independently on multiple occasions, and the SW03 genotype encompasses a group of viruses with variable genetic backgrounds.

In general, the East Coast genotype contains lower genetic diversity compared to the WN02 and SW03 groups (Table 2). Fewer East Coast genotype isolates are available because it was circulating for only a few years, compared to nearly a decade of circulation and diversification for WN02 and SW03 genotypes [35]. This is reflected in the WNV phylogeny, where the relative sizes of each genotypic population are emphasized, and in the proportions of the isolates we chose to test (Fig. 1). In order to examine the fitness effects of WNV diversity, we selected 12 isolates collected between 1999 and 2012 (Table 1) that recapitulate the genetic variation and divergence of WNV in the U.S (Tables 2–4). We chose two East Coast isolates from New York, six WN02 isolates from Texas, and four SW03 isolates from Texas and Mexico, identified in Figure 1 by numbers 1–12.

Effect of WNV evolution on viral replication profiles in contemporary HOSPs
To determine whether the WN02 displacement of the East Coast genotype was the result of viral adaptation to North American avian hosts, groups of HOSPs collected in 2012 and 2013 were inoculated with 12 WNV isolates representing the three North American genotypes: East Coast, WN02, and SW03 (Table 1). In total, seventy-two birds were collected and inoculated with WNV in 2012–2013, and viremias were measured daily for 7 days. As expected for wild-caught birds, there was considerable variability in viral titers among replicates within groups (Fig. 2a).

![Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of a protein alignment for 132 North American WNV isolates. East Coast viruses are blue; WN02 (E-159A) viruses are green; and SW03 (E-159A/NS4A-85T) viruses are purple. Viruses used in this study are numbered according to Table 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003262.g001](image-url)

Table 2. Genetic diversity of North American WNV genotypes.

| Genotype | nonsynonymous diversity* | nonsynonymous divergence* |
|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|          | this study | larger sample |          | this study | larger sample |
| East Coast | 3.8 (n = 2) | 6.9 (n = 11) | East Coast vs. WN02 | 8.7 (n = 8) | 9.9 (n = 109) |
| WN02 | 11.0 (n = 6) | 9.6 (n = 98) | East Coast vs. SW03 | 12.1 (n = 6) | 11.9 (n = 30) |
| SW03 | 14.4 (n = 4) | 10.4 (n = 19) | WN02 vs. SW03 | 14.0 (n = 10) | 11.8 (n = 117) |

*mean substitutions/site × 10⁻⁴.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003262.t002
Table 4. Amino acid differences between NY99 and SW03 genotype isolates.

| Virus | NS1 | NS2A | NS2B | NS3 | NS4A | NS4B | NS5 |
|-------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| NY99  | 140 | 159  | 121  | 302 | 356  | 356  | 560 |
| SW03  | 202 | 249  | 160  | 258 | 355  | 85   | 334 |

V: Val, A: Ala, R: Arg, F: Phe, H: His, K: Lys, L: Leu, S: Ser, T: Thr, M: Met, I: Ile

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003262.t004
overall peak titer for each virus, the peak viral titer for individual HOSPs was determined irrespective of the day post-inoculation and then averaged. The peak titers also were averaged by viral genotype, and peak viral titer varied significantly by genotype. WN02 viruses induced a mean peak titer in HOSPs that was 10-fold greater than East Coast viruses (Fig. 2a, p < 0.05). SW03 viruses produced a similar 10-fold increase in mean peak viral titer over East Coast viruses, though this difference was not significant (p = 0.09). This is likely due to the large amount of variation in viral titers observed from inoculated HOSPs (Fig. 2a).

To investigate whether viral adaptation to HOSPs has occurred over time, peak viral titers were analyzed by year of viral isolate collection. Linear regression analysis indicated that peak viral titer increased at a significant rate (Fig. 2b, p < 0.05) with an average increase in peak titer of 0.10 log_{10} PFU/mL sera per year (95% CI: 0.04 to 0.16). The mean peak viral titer induced in HOSPs collected in 2012–2013 by WN02 and SW03 viruses isolated in 2012 was 1.2 log_{10} PFU/mL sera higher than the peak titer generated by NY99 in HOSPs collected in 2012–2013. This analysis is consistent with the corresponding chronologic appearance of East Coast vs. WN02/SW03 genotypes and indicates that WNV has adapted to HOSPs over time.

Moderate mortality is characteristic of WNV infection in the HOSP. The percentage of surviving birds was calculated for each virus for 7 days post-infection. On average, HOSPs collected in 2012 and inoculated with East Coast, WN02, and SW03 isolates had similar survival curves, with a mean mortality of 15–30% by 7 days post-infection (Fig. 2c). However, three viruses had significantly different survival profiles: TX2689 (SW03), TX8759 (WN02), and TX8779 (WN02), which resulted in 65–85% HOSP mortality by 7 days post-infection (p < 0.05) and induced the highest peak viral titers among the 12 WNV strains tested in HOSPs (8.9, 8.3, and 7.9 log_{10} PFU/mL sera, respectively; Fig. 2a). Interestingly, these 3 viruses were isolated in 2012, indicating that some WNV strains circulating in 2012 may have been more pathogenic to HOSPs than those isolated in previous years. Significantly, the two viruses with the highest mortality and peak viral titers (TX2689 and TX8759; Fig. 2b and 2c) share a common amino acid substitution, NS2A-R188K (Tables 3 and 4), that emerged in North America as early as 2008 [23].

Variability in HOSP competence for WNV isolates

Infectiousness of WNV-infected HOSPs for mosquitoes is a combination of both the magnitude and duration of viremia. In the absence of performing vector competence studies for all of the viruses assessed, the mean reservoir competence index for each viral isolate in HOSPs was generalized by predicting the proportion of mosquitoes likely to become infected using linear regression analysis based on previously published data [4,6,33,34]. With these calculations, an index value of 1.0 would indicate that 100% of mosquitoes feeding on a host for 1 day would be predicted to become infected by the host, though it does not predict the number of mosquitoes that would transmit WNV or the effects on mosquito survival. The mean competence index for HOSPs infected with WNV isolates from the WN02 genotype was 2.4, compared to 1.1 for the East Coast genotype (Fig. 3a), indicating that 120% more mosquitoes would be predicted to become infected after feeding on HOSPs infected with a WN02 isolate compared to mosquitoes feeding on HOSPs infected with an East Coast isolate. The mean HOSP competence index for the SW03 genotype was 1.9 (Fig. 3a), meaning that 73% more mosquitoes would become infected after feeding on HOSPs infected with a SW03 isolate than mosquitoes feeding on HOSPs infected with an East Coast isolate, and 26% more mosquitoes

Figure 2. Peak viremias and mortality in HOSPs by viral isolate and over time. Points represent individual birds. HOSPs inoculated with East Coast viruses are designated in blue; HOSPs inoculated with WN02 viruses are designated in green; and HOSPs inoculated with SW03 viruses are designated in purple. (A) Peak serum titers for individual HOSPs inoculated with one of the 12 WNV isolates. Bars represent the mean peak titer for each WNV genotype. Error bars reflect the standard deviation of the mean. (B) Linear regression analysis of peak serum titer for individual birds inoculated with WNV, stratified by year of virus collection. 95% confidence intervals are shown by the dotted lines. (C) Percent HOSP survival for 7 days post-inoculation. Viral isolates are represented by dashed lines, and genotype means are represented by solid lines. *p<0.05. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003262.g002
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would become infected from feeding on HOSPs infected with a WN02 isolate compared to feeding on HOSPs infected with a SW03 isolate. These results were compared to previously published WNV competence indices for birds inoculated with the NY99 strain of WNV. Species of the avian order Anseriformes, such as the Canada goose (Branta canadensis), have WNV competence indices close to 0 and are considered non-competent hosts [5,6]. Estimates for passerines suggest competence indices of at least 1, with HOSPs having values between 1 and 1.5, and members of the Corvidae family, such as the blue jay (Cyanocitta cristata), having values between 1.5 and 2.5 [5,6]. HOSPs inoculated with 6 of the 12 tested WNV isolates, including NY99, had competence indices within the typical range of HOSPs (NY99, NY2001, TX114, TX2600, TX6115, M19433; Fig. 3a). However, HOSPs inoculated with 6 other isolates had competence indices greater than 2, which is more similar to the range for corvids (AR7465, TX8759, TX7191, TX8779, AR6572, TX2609; Fig. 3a). When the reservoir competence indices were stratified by year of viral isolate collection, a significant association between year and index value was observed (Fig. 3b, \( p<0.05 \)) with an average increase in reservoir competence of 0.09 per year (95% CI: 0.04 to 0.15). The WNV competence of HOSPs trapped in 2012–2013 for viruses collected over 13 years varied between 1.1 and 2.6, or a 140% increase in predicted mosquito infectivity.

Change in HOSP modulation of WNV viremia during North American epizootic

Given that WNV induces mortality in HOSPs and that mortality is likely related to the magnitude of viremia induced [36], it is likely that WNV infection has imposed a selective pressure on HOSPs for reduced infection-related mortality by reducing peak viremias. To determine whether HOSPs have modulated their ability to sustain WNV replication over time, results from similar experimental inoculations with the NY99 strain in HOSPs trapped between 2000 and 2014 were compared to data from this study using HOSPs trapped in 2012–2013. Peak viral titers were calculated for individual birds in 7 previous experiments, including 3 published studies [1,5,31], and analyzed by year of HOSP collection. Four of the previous experiments were performed at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention using HOSPs trapped in Larimer County, CO, and 3 experiments were performed at the University of California-Davis using HOSPs trapped in Kern County, CA. These 2 geographically distinct populations of HOSPs showed no difference in peak viral titer over time; therefore, they were analyzed together. Using combined data from HOSPs trapped between 2000 and 2014, the peak viral titer for infected HOSPs was found to be significantly negatively associated with year of HOSP collection (Fig. 4a, \( p<0.05 \)) with an average decrease in peak titer of 0.11 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL sera per year (95% CI: 0.03 to 0.18). Overall, the mean peak viremia elicited by the NY99 strain in HOSPs has decreased by 1.0 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL sera from 2000 to 2014. As expected, the 7-day survival of HOSPs inoculated with NY99 has increased from 75% in 2002 to 100% in recent years, though this trend is not significant (Fig. 4b). Accordingly, the mean host competency index also demonstrated a negative correlation with the year of HOSP collection (Fig. 4c, \( p<0.05 \)) with an average decrease in reservoir competence of 0.10 per year (95% CI: 0.04 to 0.15). The mean competence index value for HOSPs inoculated with NY99 has decreased from 1.6 in 2000 to an estimated 0.9 in 2014. This difference would be expected to correlate with a decrease in mosquito infection of 44% for HOSPs inoculated with NY99.

Discussion

WNV has evolved to replicate to higher peak titers in HOSPs (Fig. 2) since WNV emergence in North America in the late 1990s. Conversely, the founding East Coast genotype (NY99) has demonstrated a reduced capacity for eliciting infectious titers in HOSPs over time (Fig. 4). Taken together, these observations indicate a cyclic pattern of adaptive selection acting on WNV and avian hosts, suggestive of the ‘Red Queen’ hypothesis of evolution [37]. Mortality and fitness effects of high replication of the founding strain of WNV in HOSPs in North America may have served as a significant selective pressure for increased control of WNV replication in HOSPs that, in turn, may have selected for viral adaptations to increase viremia and therefore transmissibility.

Figure 3. HOSP reservoir competence for WNV. Points represent individual birds. Birds inoculated with East Coast viruses are designated in blue; birds inoculated with WN02 viruses are green; and birds inoculated with SW03 viruses are purple. (A) Reservoir competence index calculated for individual birds inoculated with one of the 12 WNV isolates, and the mean competence for each WNV genotype. (B) Linear regression analysis of reservoir competence for individual birds inoculated with WNV, stratified by year of virus collection. 95% confidence intervals are shown by dotted lines. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003262.g003
to mosquitoes. Ultimately, because HOSPs inoculated with WNV only have decreased viremias in response to East Coast viruses that are no longer circulating, the consequence of viral evolution has been an increase in reservoir competence of HOSPs from 1.6 in 2000 to 2.6 in 2013 for extant WNV genotypes (Fig. 3). The Red Queen hypothesis would predict that HOSPs will further adapt to sustain lower viremias in response to WN02 and SW03 genotypes, with corresponding viral mutations selected in order to offset avian antiviral effects.

The variation in titers that we observed in HOSPs may be unrelated to selective pressures acting on the virus and host. However, WNV has been a significant cause of death for HOSPs in the U.S., and HOSP abundance has decreased in response to WNV infection [16]. Overall, HOSP abundance in the rural U.S. has decreased significantly by an average of 3.1% per year from 1999 to 2012 \( p < 0.05 \) [38]. The proportion of population decrease that is due to WNV infection is unknown, but the results from this study suggest WNV may have contributed to population decline recently due to higher WNV-induced mortality. Accordingly, selection acting on HOSPs to mediate lower WNV-induced mortality is a plausible explanation. One potential mechanism of increased survival in HOSPs is a better regulation of viral titers, modulated by a change in the innate immune response to WNV.

As WNV is known to antagonize the host interferon response [39–44], the host may be able to modulate viral titers by evasion of viral antagonism. Sequencing of HOSP innate immune genes from archival samples may reveal genetic differences between individuals demonstrating variable viremias and mortality profiles.

Based on the subsequent increase in viremias induced by contemporary WNV strains in HOSPs, it is possible that HOSPs have exerted a selective pressure on WNV that contributed to the emergence of the WN02 and SW03 genotypes. The observed increase in viremia in HOSPs also may be the consequence of a general viral adaptation to mosquitoes, multiple avian species, or a specific viral adaptation to another avian species in North America, such as the American robin (AMRO; Turdus migratorius), that is thought to be the most preferred host for mosquito bloodmeals [45]. To test whether AMROs may have driven the evolution of WN02 and SW03 genotypes, similar experimental inoculations with viral isolates collected during different years would need to be performed. However, it is unlikely that WNV has adapted to American crows (AMCRs), as all North American WNV strains are uniformly pathogenic to AMCRs due to the conserved proline at NS3-249 in North American isolates [46]. Although pathogens are generally assumed to evolve towards decreased pathogenicity in a susceptible host, there are examples of short-term increases in pathogen virulence in birds, such as the emerging bacterium Mycoplasma gallisepticum in wild house finches (Haemorhous mexicanus) [47]. For example, higher host mortality, which increases mosquito transmissibility of WNV, may increase viral spread by reducing flock immunity [48].

HOSPs were introduced into North America in the 1850s [49]. Thus, divergence between New and Old World HOSP populations is likely, and these experiments with North American HOSPs may not be consistent with other geographically distinct HOSP populations. Interestingly, amino acid variation at the E-159 locus has been observed in Old World Lineage 1A WNV isolates several times prior to the introduction of WNV to North America [50], as well as Lineage 2 WNV strains [51], but no other lineage has acquired an alanine at this position. Since HOSPs are prevalent in Europe and Africa and would likely serve as important avian hosts, it is possible that other substitutions at E-159 are beneficial to viral replication in distinct HOSP populations. The NS4A-85 locus is also hyper-variable among WNV strains (Table 4), with a threonine, valine, or isoleucine present in other Lineage 1 and 2 viruses. The NS2A-R188K mutation that was associated with higher peak viral titers and mortality in inoculated HOSPs presented herein (Fig. 2, Tables 3–4) is also found in Lineage 2
viruses. Although statistical analyses of WNV evolution do not identify these sites as the targets of diversifying selection, the variability of these sites across lineages combined with observed phenotypic effects in HOSPs suggests they may be adaptive changes.

The evolution of WNV strains that have increased the magnitude and duration of viremias in HOSPs highlights the potential importance of HOSPs for the maintenance of WNV in North America. Furthermore, declining WNV-induced mortality in HOSPs suggests they may be adaptive changes.

Acknowledgments

We thank Darci Smith for assistance in capturing wild house sparrows, Nick Komar for helpful comments, and Mark Delorey for statistical advice.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: NKD ABL ADTB ACB. Performed the experiments: NKD ABL RAB SSW WKR HR BMD DMS. Analyzed the data: NKD ACB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: BMD RMS TAF ADTB. Wrote the paper: NKD ACB.

References

1. Reisen WK, Fang Y, Martinez VM (2005) Avian host and mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) vector competence determine the efficiency of West Nile and St. Louis encephalitis virus transmission. J Med Entomol 42: 367–375.
2. Apperson CS, Hassan HK, Harrison RA, Savage HM, Aspen SE, et al. (2004) Host feeding patterns of established and potential mosquito vectors of West Nile virus in the eastern United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 4: 71–82.
3. Godfrey MS Jr., Nasi R, Savage HM, Aspen S, King R, et al. (2005) West Nile virus-infected mosquitoes, Louisiana, 2002. Emerg Infect Dis 11: 1399–1404.
4. Turell MJ, O’Guinn M, Oliver J (2000) Potential for New York mosquitoes to transmit West Nile virus. Am J Trop Med Hyg 62: 415–414.
5. Komer N, Langevin S, Hinton S, Nemeth N, Edwards E, et al. (2003) Experimental infection of North American birds with the New York 1999 strain of West Nile virus. Emerg Infect Dis 9: 311–322.
6. Kilpatrick AM, LaDeau SL, Marra PP (2007) Ecology of West Nile virus transmission and its impact on birds in the western hemisphere. Auk 124: 1112–1136.
7. Rappole JH, Compton BW, Leimgruber P, Robertson J, King DI, et al. (2006) Monitoring movement of West Nile virus in the Western Hemisphere. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 6: 129–139.
8. Hamer GL, Kinney UD, Goldberg TL, Brawn JD, Luss SR, et al. (2009) Host selection by Culex pipiens mosquitoes and West Nile virus amplification. Am J Trop Med Hyg 80: 268–278.
9. Thiemann TC, Wheeler SS, Barker CM, Reisen WK (2011) Mosquito host selection varies with host availability and mosquito density. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 5: e1452.
10. Komar N, Panella NA, Young GR, Braulc AC, Levy CE (2013) Avian hosts for West Nile virus in Arizona. Am J Trop Med Hyg 89: 474–481.
11. Komer N, Panella NA, Langevin SA, Braulc AC, Amendt J, et al. (2005) Avian hosts for West Nile virus in St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana, 2002. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73: 1011–1037.
12. Foppa IM, Beard RH, Mendenhall IH (2011) The impact of West Nile virus on the abundance of selected North American birds. BMC Vet Res 7: 12.
13. Poppa EM, Beard RH, Mendenhall BH (2011) The impact of West Nile virus on the abundance of selected North American birds. BMC Vet Res 7: 12.
14. Wheeler SS, Barker CM, Fang Y, Armiey V, Carroll RD, et al. (2009) Differential Impact of West Nile Virus on California Birds. Condor 111: 1–20.
15. Gibbs SE, Allison AB, Yabsley MJ, Mead DG, Wilcox BR, et al. (2006) West Nile virus antibodies in avian species of Georgia, USA: 2000–2004. Vector Borne Zooctic Dis 6: 57–72.
16. Beverroth TA, Ward MP, Lampman RL, Ringma AM, Novak RJ (2006) Changes in seroprevalence of West Nile virus across Illinois in free-ranging birds from 2001 through 2004. Am J Trop Med Hyg 74: 174–179.
17. Khan JI, Khalil S, Reisen WK (2012) Antecedent avian immunity limits transmissional potential of West Nile virus to humans. PLoS One 7: e43127.
18. Ebel GD, Carriébarbu J, Young D, Bernard KA, Kramer LD (2004) Genetic and phenotypic variation of West Nile virus in New York, 2000–2003. Am J Trop Med Hyg 71: 493–500.
19. Davis CT, Ebel GD, Laccioti RS, Braulc AC, Guzman H, et al. (2005) Phylogenetic analysis of North American West Nile virus isolates, 2001–2004: evidence for the emergence of a dominant genotype. Virology 342: 252–265.
20. Kilpatrick AM, Meola MA, Moudy RM, Kramer LD (2008) Temperature, viral genetics, and the transmission of West Nile virus by Culex pipiens mosquitoes. PLoS Pathog 4: e1000092.
21. Moudy KM, Meola MA, Mason LL, Ebel GD, Kramer LD (2007) A newly emergent genotype of West Nile virus is transmitted earlier and more efficiently by Culex mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg 77: 365–370.
22. McMullen AR, May FJ, Li L, Guzman H, Bueno MC, et al. (2011) Evolution of new genotype of West Nile virus in North America. Emerg Infect Dis 17: 785–789.
23. Mann BR, McMullen AR, Guzman H, Tesh RB, Barrett AD (2013) Dynamic transmission of West Nile virus across the United States-Mexico border. Virology 436: 75–80.
24. Duggal NK, D’Anton M, Xiang J, Seifert R, Day J, et al. (2013) Sequence analyses of 2012 West Nile virus isolates from Texas fail to associate viral genetic factors with outbreak magnitude. Am J Trop Med Hyg 89: 205–210.
25. Armstrong PM, Vosbrinck CR, Andreas PT, Alberts JS, Pecko KN, et al. (2011) Molecular evolution of West Nile virus in a northern temperate region: Convergent Evolution? USA 1999–2008: Virology 417: 2009–2012.
26. Ciota AT, Lovelace AO, Jia Y, Davis LJ, Young DS, et al. (2008) Characterization of mosquito-adapted West Nile virus. J Gen Virol 89: 1633–1642.
27. Deonnoff ER, Fitzpatrick KA, Jerzak GV, Shi PY, Kramer LD, et al. (2011) West Nile virus experimental evolution in vivo and the trade-off hypothesis. PLoS Pathog 7: e1002335.
28. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, et al. (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol 7: 539.
29. Guindon S, Dufoyard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, et al. (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59: 307–321.
30. Liberato P, Rojas J (2009) Dnasp v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451–1452.
31. Davis CT, Beasley DW, Guzman H, Raj R, D’Anton M, et al. (2003) Genetic variation among temporally and geographically distinct West Nile virus isolates, United States, 2001–2002. Emerg Infect Dis 9: 1423–1429.
32. Langevin SA, Braulc AC, Panella NA, Bowen RA, Komar N (2005) Variation in virulence of West Nile virus strains for house sparrows (Passer domesticus). Am J Trop Med Hyg 72: 99–102.
33. Braulc AC, Langevin SA, Pavella NA, Rappole JH, Barrett AD (2013) Molecular epidemiology and evolution of West Nile virus in North America. Int J Environ Res Public Health 10: 3111–3129.
34. Braulc AC, Langevin SA, Ramey WN, Fang Y, Beasley DW, et al. (2011) Reduced avian virulence and viremia of West Nile virus isolates from Mexico and Texas. Am J Trop Med Hyg 85: 758–767.
35. Van Valen L (1973) A new evolutionary law. Evol Theory 1: 1–30.
36. Sauer JR, Hines JE, Fallon JE, Pardeike KL, Ziechowski JJ, Liek WA (2014) The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966–2012. Version 02.19.2014.
37. Laurent-Rolle M, Boer EP, Lubick JK, Wollinbarger JB, Carmody AB, et al. (2010) The NS5 protein of the virulent West Nile virus NY99 strain is a potent antagonist of type I interferon-mediated JAK-STAT signaling. J Virol 84: 3503–3515.
38. Daffis S, Laiem HM, Liu WJ, Audsley M, Engle M, et al. (2011) The naturally attenuated Kunjin strain of West Nile virus shows enhanced sensitivity to host type I interferon response. J Virol 85: 5664–5668.
39. Keller BC, Fredericksen BL, Samuel MA, Mock RE, Mason PW, et al. (2006) Resistance to alpha/beta interferon is a determinant of West Nile virus replication fitness and virulence. J Virol 80: 2029–2034.
40. Liu WJ, Wang XJ, Clark DC, Lobigs M, Hall RA, et al. (2006) A single amino acid substitution in the West Nile virus nonstructural protein NS2A2B disables its ability to inhibit alpha/beta interferon induction and attenuates virus virulence in mice. J Virol 80: 2396–2404.
41. Scholle F, Mason PW (2005) West Nile virus replication interfaces with both poly(IC)-induced interferon gene transcription and response to interferon treatment. Virology 342: 77–87.
44. Schuessler A, Funk A, Lazear HM, Cooper DA, Torres S, et al. (2012) West Nile virus noncoding subgenomic RNA contributes to viral evasion of the type I interferon-mediated antiviral response. J Virol 86: 5708–5718.
45. Kilpatrick AM (2011) Globalization, land use, and the invasion of West Nile virus. Science 334: 323–327.
46. Brault AC, Huang CY, Langevin SA, Kinney RM, Bowen RA, et al. (2007) A single positively selected West Nile viral mutation confers increased virogenesis in American crows. Nat Genet 39: 1162–1166.
47. Hawley DM, Osnas EE, Dobson AP, Hochachka WM, Ley DH, et al. (2013) Parallel patterns of increased virulence in a recently emerged wildlife pathogen. PLoS Biol 11: e1001570.
48. Foppa IM, Spielman A (2007) Does reservoir host mortality enhance transmission of West Nile virus? Theor Biol Med Model 4: 17.
49. Robbins C (1973) Introduction, spread, and present abundance of the house sparrow in North America. Ornithological Monographs: American Ornithologists’ Union, pp. 3–9.
50. Charrel RN, Brault AC, Gallian P, Lemasson JJ, Murgue B, et al. (2003) Evolutionary relationship between Old World West Nile virus strains. Evidence for viral gene flow between Africa, the Middle East, and Europe. Virology 315: 381–388.
51. Botha EM, Markotter W, Wolfaardt M, Paweska JT, Swanepoel R, et al. (2008) Genetic determinants of virulence in pathogenic lineage 2 West Nile virus strains. Emerg Infect Dis 14: 222–230.