Oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing prevent gastroesophageal reflux disease in dogs after oesophageal anastomosis
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Abstract

AIM: To examine the efficiency of oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique in decreasing the rate of postoperative gastroesophageal reflux disease in a dog model.

METHODS: We operated on 10 dogs in this study. First, we resected a 5-cm portion of the distal oesophagus and then restored the continuity of the oesophageal and gastric walls by end-to-end anastomosis. A group of five dogs was subjected to the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique, whereas another group (control) of five dogs was subjected to the stapling technique after oesophagectomy. The symptom of gastroesophageal reflux was recorded by 24-h pH oesophageal monitoring. Endoscopy and barium swallow examination were performed on all dogs. Anastomotic leakage was observed by X-ray imaging, whereas benign anastomotic stricture and mucosal damage were observed by endoscopy.

RESULTS: None of the 10 dogs experienced anastomotic leakage after oesophagectomy. Four dogs in the new technology group resumed regular feeding, whereas only two of the dogs in the control group tolerated solid food intake. pH monitoring demonstrated that 25% of the dogs in the experimental group exhibited reflux and that none had mucosal damage consistent with reflux. Conversely, both reflux and mucosal damage were observed in all dogs in the control group.

CONCLUSION: The oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique can improve the postoperative quality of life through the long-term elimination of reflux oesophagitis and decreased stricture formation after primary oesophageal anastomosis.

© 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.
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Core tip: This study describes the use of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique to decrease the rate of postoperative gastroesophageal reflux disease in a dog model after oesophagectomy. None of the 10 dogs experienced anastomotic leakage after oesophagectomy. Four dogs in the new technology group resumed regular feeding, whereas only two of the dogs in the control group tolerated solid food intake. pH monitoring demonstrated that 25% of the dogs in the experimental group exhibited reflux and that none had mucosal damage consistent with reflux. This technique may provide a good alternative method for preventing gastroesophageal reflux after anastomosis following oesophagogastrectomy for oesophageal cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

The use of oesophagectomy to treat oesophageal cancer is associated with high morbidity and perioperative complications[1-3]. Even after undergoing oesophagectomy, cancer patients remain uncur ed and have a low quality of life[4]. The status of patients after oesophagectomy correlates with the severity of physical symptoms, which is limited by the refinements in surgical technique[5]. Approximately 60% to 80% of patients suffer from reflux of duodenal and gastric contents after subtotal oesophagectomy and reconstruction with a gastric conduit[6].

To avoid these complications, the factors leading to reflux and the measures taken to limit or prevent it have been explored for decades. Reflux after subtotal oesophagectomy is caused by resection or disruption of the normal anti-reflux mechanisms (angle of His and diaphragmatic hiatus). Furthermore, the negative intra-thoracic pressure and the positive intra-abdominal pressure conspire to promote reflux across the anastomosis. This phenomenon could only be considered if the reflux complications could be overcome by a method that will enhance the lower oesophageal pressure and form anti-reflux flaps in the distal oesophagus.

Many surgical manoeuvres have been previously described to prevent reflux after oesophagectomy[7]. Intercostal muscle grafts with segmental vessels have been fashioned to act as anti-reflux valves[8]. However, this method is seldom used at present because of its complex construction and better suitability to low intra-thoracic anastomoses. Many studies have attempted to create valve-like anastomoses by tunnelling the oesophagus through the muscular layer of the stomach[9,10].

However, the use of these techniques is limited for two reasons. First, they are commonly used for limited resection because a substantial length of the oesophagus is required. In addition, these methods are not easily applicable in standard oncological resections because of the limited availability of the oesophagus[11].

An anastomosis procedure in which a “globe” is fashioned by invagination of the gastric wall behind the oesophagus has been proven to control reflux. The mechanism of this procedure is similar to that of a posterior fundoplication, in which the gastric fundus is wrapped around the distal oesophagus to act as a valve[12-13].

The use of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique in the distal oesophagus has been studied because of its complex construction and better suitability to low intra-thoracic anastomoses. Many studies have attempted to create valve-like anastomoses by tunnelling the oesophagus through the muscular layer of the stomach[9,10].

An anastomosis procedure in which a “globe” is fashioned by invagination of the gastric wall behind the oesophagus has been proven to control reflux. The mechanism of this procedure is similar to that of a posterior fundoplication, in which the gastric fundus is wrapped around the distal oesophagus to act as a valve[12-13].

The use of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique in the oesophagectomy setting has yet to be described. In addition, the effect of this new technique on postoperative gastrooesophageal reflux disease (GERD) after primary resection and anastomoses of the distal oesophagus remains unknown. In the current study, we examined the effect of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique on postoperative GERD after primary oesophageal anastomosis in a dog model. Our results suggest that the new technique can control reflux after end-to-end anastomosis following oesophagectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

We used 10 domestic dogs weighing 25.2 ± 2.5 kg for these experiments. The dogs had free access to standard laboratory pellets and water until 12 h before surgery. This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The animal use protocol has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IA-CUC) of the Third Military Medical University.

Randomisation

A right thoraco-abdominal incision was performed in all experimental animals under general anaesthesia. The thoracic oesophagus was mobilised, and a 5 cm-long segment of the distal oesophagus was transected. In these animals, this segment represents approximately one-third of the total length of the oesophagus. The animals were then assigned randomly to groups A and B. In group B, a primary anastomosis was created between the end of the residual oesophageal and gastric walls using a common stapling technique.

Surgery procedure

In group A, the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique was performed. We made a longitudinal cut on the distal oesophagus with a length of approximately 2-3 cm, forming two oesophageal flaps. A stoma was simultaneously made in the anterior wall of the stomach, which we named the “sleeve joint” (Figure 1). The site of the “sleeve joint” should be kept approximately 4 cm away from the top of the stomach wall, and the length of the “sleeve joint” should be 2 to 3 mm longer than the oesophageal diameter. Then, a 1 cm-long fold around the oesophageal flaps and remnant oesophagus was hand sutured using a neuromuscular layer of interrupted absorbable monofilament suture in the AAb site (Figure 2). In the last step, seromuscular layer suturing was executed between the oesophageal and gastric walls in the BBb and CCb sites. The BBb site was located just above the end of the oesophageal flaps, and the distance between BBb and CCb should be 2 cm (Figure 3).

Postoperative procedure

Feeding gastrostomy concluded the surgical intervention in all experimental animals. Feeding was resumed via the gastrostomy tube for the first postoperative week, and a swallow study with gastrografin (Schering, Germany) was performed at the end of the week to rule out anastomotic leakage. The experimental animals were then granted free access to either blended or solid food, depending on the animals’ preference. Six weeks later, fluoroscopy using food impregnated with contrast material (barium
sulphate) was performed to evaluate oesophageal motility patterns, and endoscopy was conducted to evaluate the level of mucosal damage. pH monitoring was performed to demonstrate gastrooesophageal reflux. The diameters of oesophageal lumen 1.5 cm below and above the anastomosis were evaluated by radiographic studies under standardised conditions for anastomotic circumference assessment. In addition, anastomotic leakage in dogs can also be easily observed by X-ray imaging.

Statistical analysis
The mean and standard error and deviation were calculated. Differences between groups were evaluated by the Student’s t-test, followed by Tukey’s test. Statistical significance was considered at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS
Postoperative leakage
All animals survived the surgery in good condition. None of the two groups exhibited clinical signs of anastomotic leakage. No difference in food tolerance was recorded in the first week when feeding was exclusively performed through gastrostomy. At the end of the first postoperative week, a contrast-swallow meal was accomplished, and radiographic signs of anastomotic leaks were not evident in either group.

Postoperative stricture
After the last examination, the experimental animals were allowed to resume free oral intake. Four dogs (80%) in group A resumed their regular feeding habits, whereas two dogs (40%) in the control group tolerated the ingestion of solid food. Anastomotic stricture was reported in two dogs in group A and four dogs in group B ($P < 0.05$, Table 1).

Postoperative GERD
Endoscopy studies performed 6 wk after the operation revealed no mucosal damage in group A. Conversely, mucosal damage was observed in four of the control animals (Table 1). The results of pH monitoring showed that one dog in group A and all dogs in the control group exhibited reflux.

DISCUSSION
Reflux after oesophagectomy is a common problem that may adversely affect the quality of life of patients. GERD complications, such as oesophagitis, which occurs in 27%-35% of patients, and columnar metaplasia, which includes intestinal (Barrett’s) metaplasia, have been observed in the remnant oesophagus after oesophagectomy.

Most of these complications cannot be fully treated successfully, which decreases the life quality of patients. Therefore, gastrooesophageal reflux should be seriously monitored as one of the postoperative complications.
after oesophagogastrectomy. Simple measures such as excluding as much of the stomach as possible from the abdominal compartment and enhancing gastric emptying by pyloric drainage procedures may help to control reflux. However, most anti-reflux reconstructions are complex or have been used only in a limited resection setting.

In the 1970s, Butterfield reported the use of fundoplication after palliative resection of the lower oesophagus, and Boyd et al. and Butterfield described 55 patients, of whom 30 had a 5 cm fundoplication fashioned after oesophagogastric anastomosis during resection for oesophageal and proximal gastric cancers.

Fundoplication or wrapping the gastric fundus around the distal oesophagus to act as a valve is a successful method for treating de novo gastroesophageal reflux. However, the extent of resection, particularly of the stomach, is limited by the current standards and the availability of the fundus to form the wrap. Thus, a modified fundoplication could be created. In this study, we reported the efficacy of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique in preventing postoperative gastrooesophageal reflux after oesophagogastrectomy. In the present study, anastomoses in patients of groups A and B were performed by hand suture and common stapling, respectively. In group A, no mucosal damage was observed by endoscopy, and only one case of reflux was reported by 24-h pH oesophageal monitoring. In the control group, four dogs had mucosal damage and all dogs exhibited gastroesophageal reflux.

We propose that wrapping suturing with the oesophageal flap technology is a good alternative for preventing gastrooesophageal reflux after oesophagogastrectomy under the experimental conditions. In this study, this procedure was proven capable of preventing postoperative reflux after primary oesophageal anastomosis. However, the effects of reflux reduction strategies on the quality of life and pathological sequelae of patients must be evaluated in future studies.

**COMMENTS**

**Background**

Gastric acid reflux is a common complaint after primary oesophageal anastomosis in oesophageal cancer patients. Traditional hand-sewn and stapled techniques help to prevent gastric acid reflux. Recent studies have proven that fundoplication is effective in curing gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). This study aims to examine the efficiency of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique, which is physiologically similar to a partial fundoplication, in decreasing the rate of postoperative GERD in a dog model.

**Research frontiers**

Many surgical manoeuvres have been previously described to prevent reflux after oesophagectomy. Intercostal muscle grafts with segmental vessels have been fashioned to act as anti-reflux valves. However, this method is seldom used at present because of its complex construction and better suitability to low infra-thoracic anastomoses.

**Innovations and breakthroughs**

An anastomosis procedure in which a ‘globe’ is fashioned by invagination of the gastric wall behind the oesophagus has been proven to control reflux. The mechanism of this procedure is similar to that of a posterior fundoplication, in which the gastric fundus is wrapped around the distal oesophagus to act as a valve. The use of the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique in the oesophagectomy setting has yet to be described. In addition, the effect of this new technique on postoperative GERD after primary resection and anastomoses of the distal oesophagus remains unknown.

**Applications**

The study results suggest that the oesophageal flap valvuloplasty and wrapping suturing technique is a feasible procedure following oesophagectomy for oesophageal cancer.

**Peer review**

This is a well-written and scientific description of oesophageal anastomotic techniques in a dog model over a relatively short time frame. The methodology and results are clear and helpful. This paper describes an interesting method of anastomosis after oesophagectomy for squamous cell carcinoma of the thoracic oesophagus. The authors are to be congratulated on their excellent results.
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