Synthesis, in silico Study, Theoretical Stereochemistry Elucidation and Antifungal Activity of New Imides Derived from Safrole
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Ten imides derived from safrole, 4a-4j, were synthesized and their structures were fully characterized by infrared (IR) spectroscopy, 1H and 13C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis. Among the ten imides studied, eight are new. The compounds were evaluated in an in silico study and showed strong to moderate antifungal activity against various strains of Candida and Cryptococcus. In particular, compounds 4b, 4c and 4h exhibited strong antifungal activity, with minimum inhibitory concentration (MICs) between 0.17-0.73 μmol mL⁻¹. The compound 4j exhibited antifungal activity with MIC 1.28 μmol mL⁻¹ for all strains tested. In silico studies of the parameters of Lipinski’s rule of five indicated that these compounds are potential new drug candidates. The predict oral bioavailability can be evaluated through these parameters. In addition, a computational study helped assigning the stereochemistry of compound 4j, where the synthesized mixture is composed by two stereoisomers, 4j(1) (SRR) and 4j(2) (RSS).
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Introduction

There is a need for more research on the development of new antifungal drugs as indicated by worrisome increase in the frequency of opportunistic fungal infections, particularly those caused by Candida spp. and Cryptococcus spp.1 Opportunistic infections are a serious public health problem, affecting mainly the hospital setting. Meanwhile, the most susceptible patients are those who are immunocompromised with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) or tuberculosis or transplanted. In these patients, the function of polymorphonuclear leukocytes is depressed, so leukocyte adhesion along with chemotaxis and phagocytosis can be affected leading to possible opportunistic fungal infections.2

Cyclic imides represent one of the important classes of compounds characterized as substances that contain the CO–NR–CO– group, where R is a hydrogen, alkyl group or aryl group. Among them are the maleimides, succinimides, phthalimides and glutarimides, which make up an important group of compounds that are biologically active and of substantial importance in medicinal chemistry. Heterocyclic imide analogues of the natural alkaloid phyllanthimide, isolated from Phyllanthus sellowianus3 were described by various research groups.4 However, the structures of the imides depend on the conditions of the environment. Studies carried out by Sortino et al.5 with N-phenyl and N-phenylalkyl against fungi, where the stability of the structures to the aqueous medium was evaluated, show that the substituted maleimides do not suffer from ring opening, unlike the unsubstituted maleimides that suffer opening the imidic ring in aqueous medium.6 Among these and other imides, several synthesized compounds exert important biological activities, including antibacterial,7 antifungals,7 analgesics,8 anticancer9 and others.

Safrole is a phenolic ether of the arylpropanoid class. It is a slightly yellow liquid with a characteristic odor of natural occurrence and shows higher concentrations in plants of the families Aristolochiaceae, Lauraceae and Piperaceae.10 Many studies have been carried out with...
safrole, and it should be emphasized that it has been of
great importance in the synthesis of new compounds
with small chemical transformations, thus obtaining
derivatives with anticancer,\textsuperscript{11} antibacterial,\textsuperscript{12} antifungal,\textsuperscript{13}
anti-inflammatory,\textsuperscript{14} and analgesic\textsuperscript{15} activities.

Therefore, taking into account the potential of cyclic
imide and safrole derivatives in the design and preparation
of drug candidates, we synthesized novel compounds
derived from cyclic imides using safrole. We tested these
compounds for antifungal activity against strains of the
genera \textit{Candida} and \textit{Cryptococcus} and theoretically
assessed the potential of these compounds to become new
drug candidates on the basis of an \textit{in silico} study according
to the Lipinski’s rule of five using freely available programs.

\section*{Results and Discussion}

\subsection*{Chemistry}

Due to mainly important antimicrobial activities present
in these compounds, nine cyclic imides were prepared,
of which eight had not been previously published. The
synthetic route for the preparation of the compounds was
according to the procedure described in the literature,\textsuperscript{16,17}
and the compounds were obtained in the three synthetic
steps described in Scheme 1. The first step consisted in
the isomerization of safrole (1) to obtain isosafrole (2) in
a basic solution of 3.0 M KOH in \textit{n}-butanol which was
refluxed for 6 h. In the second step, the anhydride (3) was
formed by the reaction of the isosafrole (2) with maleic
anhydride during reflux for 4 h, using \textit{o}-xylene as solvent.
The anhydride was characterized by infrared (IR), \textit{1}H and
\textit{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. In
IR, the absorption bands of the C=O functional group of
the anhydride appeared around 1789 and 1724 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.
C=C stretching of aromatic rings occurred at 1497 and
1479 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The C=O stretching band was around 1031 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.
In the \textit{1}H NMR spectrum for the anhydride (3), there
were characteristic signals of a singlet assigned to the
two hydrogens of the CH\textsubscript{2} of the methylenedioxy group
at \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{H} 5.87, multiple signals for two hydrogens, one at
\textit{\delta}\textsubscript{H} 2.54 and one at \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{H} 2.46 of the methylene hydrogens,
CH\textsubscript{2}, a doublet at \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{H} 1.02 referring to three hydrogens of
the CH\textsubscript{3} group. In the \textit{13}C NMR spectrum, we observed two
characteristic signals of the carbonyl of the anhydride (3) around \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{C} 173.61 and \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{C} 173.53. The carbon signal for the
methylenedioxy group appeared at \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{C} 100.67. A signal at \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{C} 19.05 was assigned to the carbon of CH\textsubscript{3}.

In the third and final step, the cyclic imides (4a-4i) and
(4j) were obtained in 56-63\% yields from the reaction of the
anhydride (3) with the substituted aromatics amines and/or
glycine under reflux for 3 h, using glacial acetic acid as the
solvent (Scheme 1). The purity of the compounds was
checked by examining the melting point range.

The structures of the cyclic imides derived from safrole
were confirmed by IR and \textit{1}H and \textit{13}C NMR, including the
two-dimensional techniques \textit{1}H-\textit{1}H correlated spectroscopy
(COSY) and \textit{1}H-\textit{13}C heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence
(HMQC) and heteronuclear multiple bond correlation
(HMBC). Analyzing the NMR spectrum of
compound 4h, signals were observed in the region of the
aromatic hydrogens between \textit{\delta}\textsubscript{H} 7.54-6.73 referring to the
6 aromatic hydrogens. In the two-dimensional analyses
(\textit{1}H-\textit{13}C COSY), the spectrum showed the presence of
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\textit{Scheme 1.} Synthetic route for the target molecules. Reagents and conditions: (i) 3 M KOH, \textit{n}-BuOH, reflux, 6 h; (ii) maleic anhydride, \textit{o}-xylene, reflux, 4 h; (iii) aromatic amine/glycine, acetic acid, reflux, 3 h yield 56-63\%.
four important correlations coupled to each other by aliphatic hydrogens: H-4 at \( \delta_H \) 4.24 coupled to and H-3 at \( \delta_H \) 3.49, H-3 coupled to H-6 at \( \delta_H \) 2.28 and finally H-6 coupled to the hydrogens H-7 at \( \delta_H \) 2.72 and \( \delta_H \) 2.46 and H-15 at \( \delta_H \) 1.08. Also in this spectrum, we could discern two more correlations between aromatic hydrogens in the \textit{para} position with respect to the chlorine, between H-17 at \( \delta_H \) 7.27 and H-18 at \( \delta_H \) 7.54, similarly observed between the hydrogens H-21 and H-20, respectively, of system described as AA'BB'. In the two-dimensional direct correlation spectrum (\( ^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C} \) HMQC), we observed correlations between: the signal at \( \delta_H \) 1.08 referring to the methyl hydrogen H-15 and the C-15 signal at \( \delta_C \) 16.25; the H-7a and H-7b signals respectively at \( \delta_H \) 2.72 and \( \delta_H \) 2.46 and the C-7 signal at \( \delta_C \) 34.59; and the H-6 signal at \( \delta_H \) 2.28 and the C-6 signal at \( \delta_C \) 29.63.

According to the analyses of the compounds, 4h and the imides (4a-4i and 4j) had a doublet for three hydrogens of the methyl group (H-5) with displacements ranging \( \delta_H \) 1.10-1.6 and a multiplet for the methylene hydrogens H-7a and H-7b varying \( \delta_H \) 2.74-2.72 and \( \delta_H \) 2.46-2.43, respectively. There was a doublet for H-4 in the range of \( \delta_H \) 4.28-4.22 along with a doublet doublet for a hydrogen of H-3 in the range of \( \delta_H \) 3.52-3.46. Signals of the hydrogens of the aromatic ring appeared at \( \delta_H \) 7.92-7.67.

In compounds 4a-4j, the signals for the aromatic hydrogens were in the range of 7.54-6.73. In the spectrum of 4b, a singlet for 3 hydrogens appeared at \( \delta_H \) 2.33, referring to the methyl group. In the spectrum of 4c, the ethyl group was characterized by a triplet for 3 hydrogens at \( \delta_H \) 1.19 and a quartet for 2 hydrogens at \( \delta_H \) 2.63. In the spectrum of 4d, there was a doublet for 6 hydrogens at \( \delta_H \) 1.21 and one septet for 1 hydrogen at \( \delta_H \) 2.92 for the isopropyl group. In the spectrum of 4e, we observed a singlet for 3 hydrogens at \( \delta_H \) 3.78 referring to the methoxy group. In the spectrum of compound 4i, the signal for methylene hydrogen was seen at \( \delta_H \) 3.52.

All 4a-4j imides displayed two characteristic signals attributed to carbonyls (C-2 and C-5) in the range of \( \delta_C \) 177.16-175.91. In the HMBC spectrum of 4h it was possible to attribute the displacements of the referred carbonyls in the compound from the couplings between \( ^{13}\text{C} \) and \( ^1\text{H} \) far two and three links. The methane hydrogens (H-4) at \( \delta_H \) 4.24 were coupled with carbons C-2, C-3, C-5, C-6, C-8, C-9 and C-10 at \( \delta_C \) 176.68, 43.76, 176.06, 29.63, 129.73, 122.17 and 109.22, respectively, and H-3 of methine at \( \delta_H \) 3.49 with carbons C-2, C-4, C-5, C-6, C-7, C-9 and C-10 at \( \delta_C \) 176.68, 43.06, 176.06, 29.63, 34.59, 122.17 and 109.22, respectively.

Compounds 4a-4i showed five signals in the aliphatic region being: one in the range of \( \delta_C \) 16.62-16.22 referring to a methyl carbon (C-15), one in the range of \( \delta_C \) 30.17-29.63 attributed to a methine carbon (C-6), one in the range of \( \delta_C \) 54.70-54.56 referring to methylene carbon (C-7), one around \( \delta_C \) 43.62-42.90 referring to a methine carbon (C-4) and one in the range of \( \delta_C \) 43.95-43.77 assigned to a methine carbon (C-3). We observed in all compounds a signal for the carbon of the methylenedioxy group in the range of \( \delta_C \) 100.88-100.48 and a signal for the aromatic carbons in the range of \( \delta_C \) 146.40-108.60.

In the spectrum of 4b, an additional signal in the aliphatic region at \( \delta_C \) 21.19, attributed to the carbon of the methyl group, was observed. In the spectrum of 4c, we found two additional signals in the aliphatic region: one at \( \delta_C \) 27.84 and another at \( \delta_C \) 15.53 attributed to the carbons of the ethyl group. In the spectrum of 4d, there were two additional signals: one at \( \delta_C \) 33.18 and another at \( \delta_C \) 23.77, attributed to the carbons of the isopropyl group. In the spectrum of compound 4e, an additional signal was observed at \( \delta_C \) 55.43, attributed to the methoxy group carbon. In the spectrum of 4i, we found an additional signal at \( \delta_C \) 39.12, attributed to the methylene carbon, and one at \( \delta_C \) 166.77 referring to the carbonyl carbon of the carboxylic acid group.

Specifically for compounds 4a and 4j, the melting point and NMR spectroscopic \( ^1\text{H} \) and \( ^{13}\text{C} \) studies, corroborate with results from studies of our research group previously obtained.17

In the IR spectrum, all compounds (4a-4j) exhibited axial deformation of C=O of the imide around 1700 cm\(^{-1}\). These absorptions are consistent with the absorption values of the 5-membered heterocyclic imides.15 All compounds (4a-4j) showed the stretching absorption bands of C–H sp\(^2\) of the aromatic rings ranging 3207-3053 cm\(^{-1}\). The C=C stretching bands of the aromatic rings ranged 1600-1585 cm\(^{-1}\). Compound 4f containing the nitro group showed as characteristics two absorption bands, around 1529-1510 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1362-1342 cm\(^{-1}\). For the halogen-containing compounds at the \textit{para} position of the aromatic ring, 4g and 4h, the absorption bands were observed around 1186 and 1045 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Theoretical chemistry study

Prediction of NMR spectra is an important step for studying new materials. Such results can be reached by adopting different theories and protocols.19

In recent years, we are witnessing a growing interest in using NMR calculations to help elucidate stereochemistry and/or confirm the structure of new synthesized molecules.20 In line with that, Smith and Goodman21,22 presented an approach aiming to help assigning stereochemistry of
by using a combination of calculated and experimental $^{13}$C and $^1$H NMR shifts data. They termed such approach as DP4.

In short, DP4 approach requires calculated NMR chemical shift data for all possible diastereomers and applies a statistical procedure to decide which diastereomers are present in the mixture. In their publications, the authors have successfully tested DP4 in assigning stereochemistry for several organic molecules and natural products. Recently, DP4 approach has been expanding in many ways. Now, there is an automatized workflow for using DP4, where the user is able to use it as a python application to analyze the output of several molecular modelling packages.

In this part of our study, we have carried out NMR shift calculations in order to shed light on the stereochemistry of products 4a-4j. For this, we have selected only one compound, 4j, to do such theoretical assessment. The reason why we have selected 4j was because it has same shape of all synthesized molecules, its substituents do not generate new chiral carbon atoms and 4j has the most electronegative substituents connected to the aromatic ring. Compound 4j has three chiral carbon atoms as shown in the Figure 1, totaling 23 stereoisomers.

In our theoretical study for help assigning stereochemistry of 4j, we have adopted the same protocol described in the study performed by Ermanis et al. Such protocol uses different theoretical methods to fit experimental NMR data with optimal performance. In the following, we describe the main parts of our theoretical methodology.

Our NMR calculations started with extraction of Cartesian coordinates for the eight stereoisomers of 4j. We got them by using Marvin Sketch software.

After that, we carried out full ground state geometry optimizations in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (polarizable continuum model (PMC) implicit solvent model) for the eight stereoisomers of 4j by using Gaussian09.C01 software. For these calculations, we used B3LYP functional with cc-pVTZ basis set. The molecular structures of stereoisomers of 4j were attested to be minima on their respective potential energy surfaces using a vibrational frequency analysis, wherein the real minimum molecular structure must exhibit positive values for all frequencies. An additional important point was that all stereoisomers kept their configurations after geometry optimization.

$^{13}$C and $^1$H NMR chemical shifts calculations were performed using GIAO method. For these calculations, we used mPW1PW91 DFT functional and the same basis set, as has been recommended in the protocol described in literature. NMR calculations were performed in DMSO solvent too.

The final step of our theoretical methodology was the calculation of free energies of all stereoisomers. We followed the guidance presented in the study performed by Ermanis et al. and carried out these calculations using M06-2X DFT functional with the same basis set, at temperature 298 K and 1.0 atm. Free energies of all eight isomers of 4j were also calculated considering PCM implicit model to represent DMSO solvent. We used free energies to compare the most stable isomer with stereoisomers pointed out by DP4 as being the most present in synthesized mixture of 4j.

Now, after having all these data in our hands, we use the DP4 applet to try to know the stereoisomers that are present in the synthesized products by using experimental and calculated data chemical shifts.

First, theoretical $^{13}$C and $^1$H NMR chemical shifts presented a good correlation with the experimental data (about 99% for all cases), except for carbon bonded a nitro group, as can be seen in Figure 1, which presented a mean absolute error of 14 ppm. We believe that, probably, this large error was due to deactivating effect of nitro group that tend to withdraw the electronic density. Anyway, the magnitude of this error is in agreement with results obtained by Cheeseman et al.

Table 1 shows results for DP4 probabilities and calculated relative free energies ($\Delta G_{x}^{4j(1)-4j(2)}$, where x is one of eight isomers of 4j). From DP4 probabilities, we can see that isomers 4j(1) and 4j(2) are the most likely isomers in the mixture that was synthesized. This result is also in agreement with the calculated relative free energies in DMSO. In Table 1, we can see that 4j(2) is the most stable isomer of 4j and isomer 4j(1) is only 0.026 kJ mol$^{-1}$ higher. All others 4j’s isomers present relative free energies larger than 6.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$ in comparison with 4j(2), larger values to be present in synthesized mixture (Figure 2).

In the Supplementary Information (SI) section, we present the calculated $^{13}$C and $^1$H NMR chemical shifts for all stereoisomers of 4j (Tables S1-S8). There are also presented the ground state geometries for all eight stereoisomers of 4j (Tables S9-S16).

### Drug likeness of cyclic imides

Screening in silico of pharmacokinetic parameters to predict whether a particular compound will become a drug candidate is of utmost importance to current scientific research. The most widespread study was that of the pioneers Lipinski et al., who showed a relationship between pharmacokinetic and physicochemical parameters, indicating that a particular molecule will have high potential as a drug if it shows high
Figure 1. Stereoisomers from structure 4j with their stereocenters in red, blue and green.

Table 1. DP4 probabilities obtained from $^{13}$C and $^1$H theoretical and experimental data, and relative free energies, $\Delta G_{4j}$, calculated using M06-2X/cc-pVTZ method, to all isomers of 4j, for end, the chiral center to the respective color of carbons red, blue and green shown in Figure 1

| Stereoisomers, 4j(x) | DP4 probability / % | $\Delta G_{4j}$ / (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | Chiral centers |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 1                   | 49.9                | 0.026                           | SRR            |
| 2                   | 50.1                | 0.000                           | RSS            |
| 3                   | 0.0                 | 6.432                           | RRR            |
| 4                   | 0.0                 | 34.368                          | SSR            |
| 5                   | 0.0                 | 6.432                           | SSS            |
| 6                   | 0.0                 | 30.797                          | SRS            |
| 7                   | 0.0                 | 34.342                          | RRS            |
| 8                   | 0.0                 | 32.110                          | RSR            |

Figure 2. The two isomers most likely present in synthesized mixture, 4j(1) (red) and 4j(2) (blue).

resemblance to existing drugs, known as drug-likeness. Considering these factors, Lipinski et al., proposed a set of rules that evaluate the oral bioavailability of new molecules.
with therapeutic potential, known as the Lipinski’s rule of five, and that considers four parameters (whose values are multiples of 5) that identify drugs with possible absorption and permeability problems. These parameters are molecular weight ≤ 500 g mol⁻¹, milog P ≤ 5, number of hydrogen bond acceptors of ≤ 10 (counted on the basis of N or O atoms in the molecule), and number of hydrogen bond donors ≤ 5 (represented as a function of the NH or OH groups in the molecule).

In this present work, we decided to investigate the potential of the compounds 4a-4j as potential new drug candidates through the in silico approach of the Lipinski parameters (milog P, molecular weight, number of hydrogen bond acceptors and hydrogen bond donors), and also the topological polar surface area (TPSA), aqueous solubility (log S), drug-likeness and drug score. Accordingly, we used the programs available online, namely Molinspiration, 29 SwissADME 30 and Osiris Property Explorer. 31 The calculated values for the studies are presented in Table 2.

The theoretically determined physico-chemical properties of compounds 4a-4j are shown in Table 2 and indicate that all compounds satisfied the Lipinski’s rule of five with zero violation order, thus suggesting that such compounds would have no problems with oral bioavailability. In general, all compounds had a milog P less than 5, where the lowest value was for 4i with −0.25 and the highest value for 4d with 4.63. log P is an important parameter in the design of a drug candidate molecule, as it is associated with the hydrophobicity of the molecule in the drug and influences the ability to cross cell membranes. However, substances that are too hydrophobic are usually more toxic, as they stay in the body longer. Therefore, the synthesized molecules 4a-4i met the requirements for the Lipinski log P parameter. Another important parameter is the molecular mass of the compound. Compounds that have higher molecular weights are bulkier and this can interfere in absorption and in their pharmacological interactions. The molecular weights of compounds 4a-4i showed values between 317.30-398.35 g mol⁻¹. The results of hydrogen acceptors and donors shown in Table 2, met the parameters of the rule of 5, where the number of hydrogen donors must be ≤ 5 and hydrogen acceptors must be ≤ 10. All compounds showed TPSA less than 140 Å², which indicates good cell membrane permeability. 32 The absorption percentage showed good absorption of the compounds, the lowest value being for 4f and 4j with 73.92% and the highest values being for 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4g and 4h with 89.73% (Table 2).

Water solubility is an important characteristic for the absorption and distribution of drugs in the body. The values of log S represent the solubility according to the following scale: insoluble < −10 < poorly soluble < −6 < moderately soluble < −4 < soluble < −2 < very soluble < 0 < highly soluble. 33 The log S values of compounds 4a-4i were between −2.57 and −5.06, where 4i was considered soluble and 4a-4j moderately soluble.

Drug-likeness is an important index that indicates whether a particular compound has similarity with commercially available drugs, where a positive score indicates that a compound will be a good drug candidate. Table 2 shows that only compounds 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, 4g and 4h had positive values for drug-likeness, thus indicating that

Table 2. In silico studies evaluating Lipinski’s rule of five for compounds 4a-4j

| Compound | Lipinski parameter | TPSA / Å² | ABS / % | log S | Class | Drug-likeness | Drug score |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|---------------|------------|
| 4a       | 335.36             | 0         | 5       | 3.11  | 0     | 55.85         | 89.73      | -4.15     | moderately | 4.65      | 0.65       |
| 4b       | 349.39             | 0         | 5       | 3.56  | 0     | 55.85         | 89.73      | -4.45     | moderately | 2.61      | 0.57       |
| 4c       | 363.41             | 0         | 5       | 4.03  | 0     | 55.85         | 89.73      | -4.73     | moderately | 3.63      | 0.43       |
| 4d       | 377.44             | 0         | 5       | 4.63  | 0     | 55.85         | 89.73      | -5.01     | moderately | 1.37      | 0.42       |
| 4e       | 365.38             | 0         | 6       | 3.17  | 0     | 65.08         | 86.54      | -4.22     | moderately | 2.58      | 0.62       |
| 4f       | 380.36             | 0         | 8       | 3.07  | 0     | 101.67        | 73.92      | -4.21     | moderately | -7.36     | 0.31       |
| 4g       | 414.25             | 0         | 5       | 3.92  | 0     | 55.85         | 89.73      | -5.06     | moderately | 1.81      | 0.45       |
| 4h       | 369.80             | 0         | 5       | 3.79  | 0     | 55.85         | 89.73      | -4.74     | moderately | 4.45      | 0.52       |
| 4i       | 317.30             | 1         | 7       | -0.25 | 0     | 93.15         | 76.86      | -2.57     | soluble    | -0.88     | 0.58       |
| 4j       | 398.35             | 0         | 8       | 3.16  | 0     | 101.67        | 73.92      | -4.37     | moderately | -7.56     | 0.28       |

Physicochemical properties: MW: molecular weight; HBD: hydrogen bonding donor; HBA: hydrogen bonding acceptor; milog P: octanol/water partition coefficient based on Molinspiration milog P model; nV: number of violations; TPSA: total polar surface area; ABS: adsorption percentage; log S: coefficient of solubility determined by the ESOL method calculated on SwissADME; class: insoluble < −10 < poorly < −6 < moderately < −4 < soluble < −2 < very < 0 < highly.
the derivatives investigated have moieties and/or physicochemical properties as most commercial drugs.

The drug score value considers drug-likeness, lipophilicity, solubility, molecular weight and toxicity risks together, ranging from 0.0 to 1.0 and can be used to predict the overall ability of a given compound to be a new drug candidate. The drug score values obtained varied between 0.31 and 0.65, with the highest value found for 4a, so the imides synthesized can be considered potential drug candidates.

**Biological studies**

**Antifungal activity**

The *in vitro* antifungal activity of the compounds 4a-4j was evaluated by the microdilution method with six pathogenic fungal strains, *Cryptococcus gattii* INCQS-40113, *Cryptococcus neoformans* LM-260, *Cryptococcus neoformans* FCF-119, *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC-22019, *Candida krusei* LM-656 and *Candida albicans* ATCC-76645, using amphotericin B as the standard drug (Table 3).

Sortino et al. showed that maleimides substituted in aqueous medium showed antifungal activities on *Candida* species with minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 0.48-3.9 μg mL⁻¹ (0.0027-0.022 μmol mL⁻¹). The results encouraged us to carry out studies of the imidic compounds derived from safrole as antifungal agents.

Of the ten compounds tested, 4a, 4d and 4f showed no antifungal activity against the test strains used. Compound 4b showed 60% inhibition and exhibited activity against the strains *C. neoformans* FCF-119, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC-22019 and *C. krusei* LM-656 with MIC of 0.73 μmol mL⁻¹. Compound 4e showed 80% inhibition and good activity against the strains *C. neoformans* FCF-119, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC-22019 and *C. krusei* LM-656 with a MIC ranging 0.70-1.40 μmol mL⁻¹, and it showed activity against *C. neoformans* LM-260 with a MIC of 2.81 μmol mL⁻¹. Compound 4e showed 100% inhibition and good activity against *C. neoformans* LM-260 and *C. neoformans* FCF-119 with a MIC of 1.40 μmol mL⁻¹, and it exhibited activity against *C. gattii* INCQS-40113, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC-22019 and *C. krusei* LM-656 with a MIC of 2.47 μmol mL⁻¹.

Compound 4h showed 80% inhibition and exhibited activity against *C. gattii* INCQS-40113, *C. neoformans* LM-260 and *C. neoformans* FCF-119 with MIC ranging 0.17-1.38 μmol mL⁻¹, and it showed activity against *C. krusei* LM-656 with a MIC of 2.76 μmol mL⁻¹. Compound 4i showed 100% inhibition and exhibited activity against *C. gattii* INCQS-40113 and *C. neoformans* FCF-119 with

### Table 3. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of imides 4a-4j

| Compound | MIC / (μmol mL⁻¹) | Fungal strains |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|
|          | *Cryptococcus gattii* INCQS-40113 | *Cryptococcus neoformans* LM-260 | *Cryptococcus neoformans* FCF-119 | *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC-22019 | *Candida krusei* LM-656 | *Candida albicans* ATCC-76645 |
| 4a       | +                 | +              | +               | +              | +              | +              |
| 4b       | +                 | +              | 0.73            | 0.73           | 0.73           | 0.73           |
| 4c       | +                 | 2.81           | 0.70            | 1.40           | 0.70           | 1.40           |
| 4d       | +                 | +              | +               | +              | +              | +              |
| 4e       | 2.80              | 1.40           | 1.40            | 2.80           | 2.80           | 1.40           |
| 4f       | +                 | +              | +               | +              | +              | +              |
| 4g       | 2.47              | 1.23           | 1.23            | 1.23           | 2.47           | 1.23           |
| 4h       | 0.17              | 1.38           | 1.38            | +              | 2.76           | 1.38           |
| 4i       | 1.61              | 3.22           | 1.61            | 3.22           | 3.22           | 3.22           |
| 4j       | 1.28              | 1.28           | 1.28            | 1.28           | 1.28           | 1.28           |
| Culture medium control | | | | | | |
| Amphotericin B | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 |

+: there was no growth of the microorganism; +: growth of the microorganism.
a MIC of 1.61 μmol mL⁻¹, and it exhibited activity against the C. neoforms LM-260, C. parapsilosis ATCC-22019 and C. krusei LM-656 with a MIC of 3.22 μmol mL⁻¹. The compound 4j exhibited antifungal activity with MIC 1.28 μmol mL⁻¹ for all strains tested.

Conclusions

In this study, we synthesized nine cyclic imides using 3 steps and getting yields between 60 and 80%. Their structures were confirmed by the spectroscopic techniques of IR and ¹H and ¹³C NMR, including two-dimensional NMR techniques H-H-COSY and ¹H-¹³C HMQC and HMBC. All compounds were evaluated for antifungal activity in vitro against different strains, and only three of the nine compounds did not show an inhibitory effect against the strains. The most active compounds were 4h and 4j compounds did not show an inhibitory effect against C. gattii against the strains. The most active compounds were 4h compounds did not show an inhibitory effect against the strains, and only three of the nine techniques 1 H- 1 H-COSY and 1 H - 13 C  HSQC and HMBC. All activity with MIC 1.28 μmol mL⁻¹ for all strains tested. The compound exhibited antifungal activity with MIC 0.17 μmol mL⁻¹, C. gattii LM-260 (MIC of 0.73 μmol mL⁻¹) and LM-656 (MIC of 0.73 μmol mL⁻¹) and 4c against C. neoforms FCF-119 and C. krusei LM-656 (MIC of 0.73 μmol mL⁻¹). The compound 4j exhibited antifungal activity with MIC 1.28 μmol mL⁻¹ for all strains tested. The in silico study showed that none of compounds violated Lipinski’s rule of five and have a desirable profile for being new drug candidates. Using calculated and experimental ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts data we have assigned the composition of the synthesized mixture of 4j compound, that is formed by the stereoisomers 4j(1) (SRR) and 4j(2) (RSS), see Figure 1. These results can suggest that others synthesized structures, 4a-4j, also can have the same stereochemistry.

Experimental

Chemistry

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich, São Paulo, Brazil) and used without a further purification. The progress of the reactions was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates. The spectra (IR) were obtained on a Shimadzu model IRPrestige-21 FTIR spectrometer, using KBr pellets. NMR spectroscopic data were recorded with a Bruker Avance 400 instrument. ¹H NMR spectroscopic data were recorded in DMSO-d₆ at 400 MHz using the residual non-deuterated solvent as an internal standard (δH 2.50 ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d₆ at 101 MHz using the deuterated solvent as an internal standard (δC 39.5 ppm), and tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used for the internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) were measured in parts per million (ppm), and the coupling constants (J) in hertz (Hz). HRMS analysis was obtained with time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer (microTOFII-Bruker) instrument using electron impact ionization (EI) and reported as m/z (relative intensity) for the molecular ion [M] and reporting the molecular ion [M + H] or [M + Na]. The purification of the compounds was performed by recrystallization in ethanol and confirmed by determining the melting range on an MQAPF-302 hotplate (Microquímica).

Preparation of isosafrole (2)

To a 125 mL flask equipped with reflux condenser and magnetic stirrer, 10 g (62 mmol) of safrole (1) and 50 mL (150 mmol) of 3.0 M KOH in n-butanol were added. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 6 h. Afterwards, the mixture was neutralized with 10% HCl and the organic phase was washed successively with distilled water and aqueous NaCl solution. The n-butanol was evaporated and the residue was distilled to obtain isosafrole (2) as a colorless liquid. Yield 90%; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 6.75-6.91 (m, 5H), 5.81 (s, 2H), 1.83 (d, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 148.13 (C-7), 146.87 (C-6), 132.21 (C-4), 130.46 (C-3), 123.32 (C-2), 120.22 (C-9), 108.11 (C-8), 105.47 (C-5), 100.88 (C-10), 18.09 (C-1).

Preparation of 11,12-methylenedioxy-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydroanaphthalene-2,5-dicarboxylic anhydride (3)

A mixture containing isosafrole (2) (80 mmol), maleic anhydride (101 mmol) and 40 mL n-xylene was refluxed for about 4 h at the boiling point of the solvent. After reflux, the reaction mixture was cooled and produced a precipitate, and the solid obtained was washed with ethanol and extracted with hot chloroform to give pale yellow crystals. Yield 52%; mp 141 °C (142-143 °C); IR (KBr) ν / cm⁻¹ 2977, 2933, 2900 (C-H), 1789, 1724 (C=O), 1497, 1479 (C=C), 1385 (CH₃), 1234, 1031 (C=O), 908, 863, 754 (CHAr); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 6.86 (s, 1H, H-10), 6.54 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.87 (s, 2H, H-14), 3.90 (d, J 6.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.03 (dd, J 6.2, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.54 and 2.46 (m, 2H, H-7a and 7b), 2.15-2.09 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.02 (d, J 6.9 Hz, 3H, H-15); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 173.61 (C-2), 173.53 (C-5), 145.59 (C-11), 145.05 (C-12), 129.92 (C-8), 125.11 (C-9), 109.58 (C-10), 108.10 (C-13), 100.67 (C-14), 46.83 (C-6), 46.10 (C-4), 34.96 (C-7), 29.75 (C-6), 19.05 (C-15).

General procedure for the preparation of the cyclic imides (4a-4j)

In a 10 mL flask, a mixture of substituted aromatic
aniline and the anhydride in 5 mL of acetic acid was refluxed for 3 h. After completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and a precipitate formed, which was filtered, washed with water and dried. The precipitate was purified by recrystallization from ethanol.

1-Phenyl-7,8-(11,12-methylenedioxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydronaphthalene-2,5-dicarboxyimide (4a)

Yield 62%; pale yellow crystals; mp 246-248 °C (lit. 249 °C); 19 IR (KBr) v/cm

1.06 (d, J 13.1, 1.0 Hz, 2H, H-14a and H-14b), 4.26 (d, J 8.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.50 (dd, J 8.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.74 and 2.46 (m, 2H, H-7a and 7b), 2.30 (m, J 10.7, 7.6, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-6), 1.08 (d, J 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-15); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.74 (m, 2H, H-18 and 20), 7.42 (m, 1H, H-19), 7.23 (m, 2H, H-17 and 21), 7.11 (s, 1H, H-10), 6.76 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.99 (dd, J 13.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H, H6), 2.27 (m, 1H, H-21), 6.75 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.98 (dd, J 12.4, 1.0 Hz, 2H, H-14a and 14b), 4.23 (d, J 8.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.47 (dd, J 8.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.73 and 2.43 (m, 2H, H-7a and H-7b), 2.33 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.31-2.24 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.06 (d, J 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-15); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 177.05 (C-2), 176.45 (C-5), 146.71 (C-15), 146.14 (C-12), 138.40 (C-19), 130.18 (C-16), 129.90 (C-18 and C-20), 127.31 (C-17 and 21), 122.88 (C-9), 109.83 (C-10), 109.19 (C-13), 101.10 (C-4), 44.19 (C-3), 43.40 (C-4), 35.14 (C-7), 30.13 (C-6), 21.19 (CH3), 16.74 (C-15); HRMS m/z, calcd. for C21H19NO4 [M + H]+: 386.1368, found: 386.1361.

1-(19-Isopropylphenyl)-7,8-(11,12-methylenedioxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydronaphthalene-2,5-dicarboxyimide (4d)

Yield 58%; pale yellow crystals; mp 187-189 °C; IR (KBr) v/cm

1.10 (s, 1H, H-10), 6.75 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.98 (dd, J 12.4, 1.0 Hz, 2H, H-14a and 14b), 4.24 (d, J 8.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.47 (dd, J 9.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.92 (m, 1H, CH3), 2.73 and 2.44 (m, 2H, H-7a and 7b), 2.29 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.21 (d, J 6.9 Hz, 6H, Ch3) and 1.06 (d, J 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-15); 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 177.16 (C-2), 176.50 (C-5), 148.68 (C-9), 148.68 (C-11), 145.68 (C-12), 129.99 (C-16), 129.69 (C-8), 126.91 (C-17 and C-21), 126.83 (C-18 and C-20), 122.41 (C-9), 109.36 (C-10), 108.72 (C-13), 100.83 (C-14), 43.73 (C-3), 42.90 (C-4), 34.70 (C-7), 33.18 (C-6), 29.66 (CH3), 23.77 (CH3) and 16.22 (C-15); HRMS m/z, calcd. for C25H23N2O4 [M + Na]+: 400.1524, found: 400.1504.

1-(19-Methoxyphenyl)-7,8-(11,12-methylenedioxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydronaphthalene-2,5-dicarboxyimide (4e)

Yield 59%; pale yellow crystals; mp 249-251 °C; IR (KBr) v/cm

1.06 (d, J 9.0 Hz, 2H, H-18 and 20), 7.12 (d, J 8.5 Hz, 2H, H-17 and H-21), 7.09 (s, 1H, H-10), 7.01 (d, J 9.0 Hz, 2H, H-18 and 21), 5.98 (dd, J 13.2, 0.8 Hz, 2H, H-14a and H-14b), 4.22 (d, J 8.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.46 (dd, J 8.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.72 and 2.43 (m, 2H, H-7a and H-7b), 2.27 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.06 (d,
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1-(19-Nitrophenyl)-7,8-(11,12-methylenedioxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydronaphtalene-2,5-dicarboxyimide (4f)

Yield 63%; yellow crystals; mp 222-224 °C; IR (KBr) ν/cm⁻¹: 3081 (C–H ali), 1707 (C=O), 1523, 1342 (NO₂), 1498, 1481 (C=C ali), 1382 (CH₂), 1166, 1036 (C=O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 7.65 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H, H-18 and H-20), 7.59 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H, H-17 and H-21), 7.09 (s, 1H, H-10), 6.74 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.98 (dd, J=14.0, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H-14a and 14b), 4.29 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.54 (dd, J=9.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.72 and 2.45 (m, 2H, H-7a and 7b), 2.28 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.10 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, H-15); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 176.51 (C-2), 175.91 (C-5), 146.66 (C-19), 146.38 (C-11), 145.76 (C-12), 137.83 (C-13), 129.73 (C-16), 128.00 (C-8), 128.00 (C-17 and C-21), 124.24 (C-18 and C-20), 122.07 (C-9), 109.32 (C-10), 108.72 (C-13), 100.88 (C-14a, 14b), 43.95 (C-3), 43.32 (C-4), 34.59 (C-7a, 7b), 27.97 (C-6) and 16.41 (C-15).

1-(19-Chlorophenyl)-7,8-(11,12-methylenedioxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydronaphtalene-2,5-dicarboxyimide (4i)

Yield 59%; pale yellow crystals; mp 247-249 °C; IR (KBr) ν/cm⁻¹: 3081 (C–H ali), 1707 (C=O), 1502, 1483 (C=C ali), 1390 (CH₂), 1166, 1035 (C=O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.34 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H, H-18 and H-20), 7.59 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 2H, H-17 and H-21), 7.09 (s, 1H, H-10), 6.74 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.98 (dd, J=14.0, 0.9 Hz, 2H, H-14), 4.29 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.54 (dd, J=9.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.72 and 2.45 (m, 2H, H-7a and 7b), 2.28 (m, 1H, H-6), 1.10 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, H-15); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 176.51 (C-2), 175.91 (C-5), 146.66 (C-19), 146.38 (C-11), 145.76 (C-12), 137.83 (C-13), 129.94 (C-8), 128.00 (C-17 and C-21), 122.07 (C-9), 109.32 (C-10), 108.72 (C-13), 100.88 (C-14a, 14b), 43.95 (C-3), 43.32 (C-4), 34.59 (C-7a, 7b), 29.77 (C-6) and 16.41 (C-15); HRMS m/z, calcd. for C₂₁H₁₉NO₅ [M + Na]+: 370.0846, found: 370.0858.

Acid-1-(16-acetic)-7,8-(11,12-methylenedioxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3,4,6,7-tetrahydronaphtalene-2,5-dicarboxyimide (4j)

Yield 58%; yellow crystals; mp 177-179 °C; IR (KBr) ν/cm⁻¹: 2504-2906 (OH), 3082 (C=O), 2968, 2943, 2906 (C=O), 1730, 1701 (C=O), 1504, 1485 (C=C ali), 1392 (CH₂), 1166, 1035 (C=O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 6.46 (s, 1H, H-10), 5.98 (s, 1H, H-13), 5.34 (dd, J=9.6, 1.2 Hz, 2H, H-14), 3.52 (d, J=1.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.47 (d, J=8.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 2.73 and 1.93 (m, 2H, H-7a and 7b), 1.93 (m, 1H, H-3), 1.62 (m, 1H, H-6), 0.61 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H, H-15); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 175.73 (C-2), 175.10 (C-5), 166.96 (C=O), 145.44 (C-11), 144.94 (C-12), 129.34 (C-8), 121.07 (C-9), 108.27 (C-10), 107.38 (C-13), 99.68 (C-14), 42.96 (C-3), 42.81 (C-4), 38.71 (CH₃), 33.75 (C-7), 29.36 (C-6) and 15.81 (C-15); HRMS m/z, calcd. for C₂₁H₁₁O₆NO₄ [M + H]+: 318.0978, found: 318.0959.
(126 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6) \delta 176.49 (C-2), 175.90 (C-5), 153.92 (d, \textit{J} 263.5 Hz, C-19), 146.30 (C-11), 145.70 (C-12), 136.74 (d, \textit{J} 8.5 Hz, C-16), 135.00 (d, J 9.5 Hz, C-21), 130.20 (C-8), 128.61 (d, J 3.6 Hz, C-18), 124.66 (C-17), 122.09 (C-9), 119.12 (d, J 22.1 Hz, C-20), 109.28 (C-10), 108.61 (C-13), 100.81 (C-14), 43.88 (C-3), 43.44 (C-4), 43.53 (C-7), 29.87 (C-6), 16.54 (C-15); HRMS \textit{m/z}, calcd. for C$_{20}$H$_{15}$FN$_2$O$_6$ [M + Na]: 421.0914, found: 421.0792.

**Antifungal activity**

**Test substance**

The emulsions of the synthesized compounds were prepared, aiming to improve the solubilization of the substances for use in the tests. For this, 5% DMSO and 2% Tween 80 (Sigma-Aldrich, São Paulo, Brazil) were used, completing the volume with sterile distilled water. These emulsions were used to make the dilutions used in the tests.

**Culture media**

Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) (Difco Laboratories Ltd., Detroit, USA) was used for maintenance of the fungal strains. For biological activity assays, Roswell Park Memorial Institute Medium (RPMI)-1640 medium with L-glutamine and no sodium bicarbonate (Difco Laboratories Ltd., Detroit, USA and INLAB, São Paulo, Brazil) was used. Culture media were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sterilized by autoclaving at 121 °C, 1 atm for 15 min.

**Microorganisms**

For the biological activity assays of the test products, the following strains were used: Cryptococcus gattii INCQS-40113, Cryptococcus neoformans LM-260, Cryptococcus neoformans FCF-119, Candida parapsilosis ATCC-22019, Candida krusei LM-656 and Candida albicans ATCC-76645. The microorganisms belong to MICOTECAS of the Mycology Laboratory, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences (DCF), Health Sciences Center (CCS) of the Federal University of Paraíba (UFPB). The strains were maintained in SDA at 4 °C. For use in the assays, the fungi were harvested in SDA with incubation at 35 ± 2 °C for 24-48 h. The microorganism suspension was prepared at 0.5 on the McFarland scale and was adjusted by the use of a spectrophotometer (Leitz-Photometer 340-800) to 90% transmittance (T) (530 nm), corresponding to approximately 10$^6$ colony-forming unit (CFU) mL$^{-1}$.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

The determination of the MIC of the substances in fungal strains was carried out by means of the microdilution technique in liquid medium in a U-bottom 96-well microplate (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland, Europa). Initially, 100 μL of double concentrated RPMI medium was distributed to the wells of the microdilution plates. Subsequently, 100 μL of the substances were dispensed into the wells of the first row of the plate. Finally, 10 μL of the suspensions of the fungal strains were added to the wells, where each plate column specifically referred to one species. At the same time, controls were carried out to prove the viability of the strains (RPMI + yeast) and sterility of the culture medium (RPMI). A negative control with amphotericin B (0.034 μmol mL$^{-1}$) was also performed for inhibition of fungi. The prepared plates were aseptically closed and incubated at a temperature of 35 ± 2 °C for 24-48 h. The MIC for each product was defined as the lowest concentration capable of visually inhibiting microbial growth.

**Supplementary Information**

Supplementary information is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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