Archaeocyaths from South America: review and a new record
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In South America, autochthonous archaeocyathan faunas preserved in Early Cambrian limestones have not been found yet. Nevertheless, a few well-documented occurrences of these fossils in clasts contained in coarse-grained rocks of a wide age range have been discovered in recent years. Erratic limestone blocks from the Late Carboniferous–Early Permian Fitzroy Tillite Formation in the Falkland/Malvinas Islands yielded three archaeocyath taxa. Also, seven taxa were reported from archaeocyathan limestone clasts in a metaconglomerate of the Cambro-Ordovician El Jagüelito Formation in northern Patagonia. In addition, a new record from the Late Carboniferous–Early Permian Sauce Grande Formation diamictites in Sierras Australes, Buenos Aires Province, Argentina, is presented herein. Preservation of this scarce new material is poor, but at least three different taxa can be distinguished. The most likely source of all archaeocyathan limestone clasts found in southern South America is the Shackleton Limestone from the Transantarctic Mountains in East Antarctica. The new record from the Sauce Grande Formation and the inferred clast provenance reinforce the correlation between this unit, the Dwyka Tillite (South Africa) and the Fitzroy Tillite Formation (Falklands/Malvinas), suggesting a very wide distribution of these Antarctic occurrences during the Late Carboniferous–Early Permian Gondwana glaciation (Episode III). Thus, even though being allochthonous, archaeocyaths are emerging as a new key biological feature for Gondwana palaeogeographic reconstructions. Copyright © 2012 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Archaeocyaths were Cambrian reef-building organisms associated with carbonate-dominated environments in sub-tropical regions (Hill, 1972; James and Debrenne, 1981; Debrenne, 2007). The occurrence of archaeocyaths within sedimentary successions is important because: (1) they are high-quality indicators of maximum depositional age, (2) they have limited palaeogeographic distributions, and (3) they are robust markers of provenance.

Although in southern Gondwana they are well known from the Australo-Antarctic (or ‘Gondwana’ after Debrenne and Kruse, 1989) palaeobiogeographic province, within South America there had only been some controversial mentions, such as those from the Precordillera (Rusconi, 1951, 1952) and from Tierra del Fuego (Hyades, 1887). Well-documented specimens have been described in recent years from the Falkland/Malvinas Islands (Stone and Thomson, 2005) and Patagonia (González et al., 2011a). In the Falkland/Malvinas Islands the Archaeocyaths occur in limestone erratic clasts within the Late Carboniferous–Early Permian Fitzroy Tillite Formation diamictites, whereas in Patagonia, they appear instead, in limestone clasts within a Cambro-Ordovician metaconglomerate. The original limestone beds are not exposed near those regions, and therefore the clasts are considered allochthonous.

In addition to this, we report here newly found allochthonous archaeocyath specimens in limestone clasts from the Late Carboniferous–Early Permian diamictites of the Sauce Grande basin, Sierras Australes of Buenos Aires Province, Argentina (Figures 1 and 2). They occur within the context of the same glaciation that affected Gondwana prior to its Mesozoic break-up.

Here we summarize the geological and stratigraphical context of the archaeocyathan occurrences in South America,
document the newly found specimens from Sierras Australes, and discuss their palaeogeographical implications within the Late Palaeozoic Gondwana glaciation episodes.

2. GEOLOGICAL OUTLINE OF ARCHAEOCYATH RECORDS

Within South America (Figure 1), archaeocyaths have been recorded from the Falkland/Malvinas Islands (Stone and Thomson, 2005) and from Sierra Grande area in North Patagonian Massif (González et al., 2011a). A brief description of both the geology and stratigraphy of these records are given below.

2.1. Falkland/Malvinas Islands

Archaeocyath fossils from the Falkland/Malvinas Islands (Stone and Thomson, 2005) were found in erratic blocks of limestone within the Lafonian Diamictite (Baker, 1924, in Frakes and Crowell, 1967) or Fitzroy Tillite Formation (Aldiss and Edwards, 1999, in Stone and Thomson, 2005), which overlies Siluro-Devonian sandstones and quartzites. The tillites are part of the widespread glaciation that affected Gondwana during the Late Carboniferous to Early Permian (Veevers and Powell, 1987).

According to Frakes and Crowell (1967), massive, grey and brown diamictites with sandy argillaceous matrix and dispersed, boulder- to sand-size clasts are the dominant facies in the Fitzroy Tillite Formation. Bed thickness and maximum clast size vary, respectively, from 850 m and boulders 7 m across in the west, to 350 m and boulders 2 m across in the east. The lithological variation suggests grounded ice in the west (present coordinates), as evidenced by intercalations of linear and fan-shaped sand bodies.
interpreted as eskers and outwash-fans, and an open-sea with floating ice in the east, as suggested by bedded intercalations of pebbly mudstone, graded greywacke and shale with dropstones. An intermediate, downslope deposit is inferred by the presence of contorted and disrupted sandstone slabs (Frakes and Crowell, 1967).

Direction of ice transport is indicated as W to E or SW to NE (present coordinates, Frakes and Crowell, 1967), on the basis of trends of linear sand bodies, sedimentary structures in intercalated strata, variation in clast size and diamicite thickness, and diamicite clast fabric.

Clast lithologies, summarized by Stone and Thomson (2005), include quartzite, sandstone, quartz, chert, shale, conglomerate, limestone, granites, gneiss, schist, slate, dolerite, porphyries, ignimbrite, and banded iron-stone.

The archaeocyathan limestone clasts studied by Stone and Thomson (2005) were collected around Hill Cove and Port Purvis (West Falkland/Gran Malvina Island, Figure 2) and Frying Pan Quarry, near Mount Pleasant Airport (East Falkland/Soledad Island, see also Stone, 2011). The archaeocyathan fauna contained in limestone clasts is relatively well-preserved. Stone and Thomson (2005) recognized three informal species and discussed their general similarities to those known from Antarctica and erratic blocks in the Carboniferous Dwyka Tillite of South Africa. The systematic study of this fauna is in preparation (Thomson, personal communication, 2010). These authors concluded that the most likely source of the Falkland/Malvinas archaeocyath-bearing limestone clasts was the Transantarctic Mountains.

2.2. North Patagonian Massif—Sierra Grande area

The first documented records of archaeocyaths in continental South America are from Early Cambrian fossiliferous limestone blocks contained in a metaconglomerate of the Cambro-Ordovician El Jagüelito Formation, within the northern Patagonia basement of Argentina (Figures 1 and 2; González et al., 2011a). The low-grade El Jagüelito Formation is unconformably covered by Siluro-Devonian sandstones and quartzites of the Sierra Grande Formation (Busteros et al., 1998).

The metaconglomerate is a ca. 1100 m long by 10 m thick lenticular bed (González et al., 2011a). It is matrix-supported and normally graded, and contains rounded to sub-rounded cobbles and pebbles mainly of granitoids, intermediate to acidic volcanic rocks, mono- and polycrystalline quartz and metapelites. Rare and outsized (up to 1 m) archaeocyath limestone clasts are subangular (Figure 3).

Seven Atdabanian–Botomian archaeocyath taxa were recovered from the El Jagüelito Formation (Figure 4). They have overall affinities with the Australo-Antarctic or ‘Gondwana’ palaeobiogeographic province, mainly with those described from the Shackleton Limestone involved in the Ross Orogeny of the Transantarctic Mountains. They are also similar to those found in the blocks of the Late Carboniferous Fitzroy Tillite Formation in the Falkland/Malvinas Islands (Stone and Thomson, 2005, see below).

The El Jagüelito Formation metaconglomerate can be correlated with the Douglas Conglomerate (Goode et al., 2004) of the Transantarctic Mountains, both containing coeval archaeocyathan limestone clasts. The comparison of lithology, archaeocyath fauna, stratigraphy and detrital zircon patterns (Naipauer et al., 2010) shows an intimate correlation between the El Jagüelito Formation (Patagonia, Argentina) and the Byrd and Beardmore groups (central Transantarctic Mountains), and suggests a common Ross orogenic history in East Gondwana during the Cambro-Ordovician (González et al., 2011b). As a result, Patagonia is proposed as a crustal block originated in the Ross Orogeny of the central Transantarctic Mountains during Cambro-Ordovician times, which subsequently shifted from East to West Gondwana (González et al., 2011c).

2.3. Dubious localities

Rusconi (1951, 1952) described a supposed archaeocyath species from the lower Palaeozoic of the southern Precordillera in Mendoza Province. The material was collected from Sierra de Salagasta, about 40 km North of Mendoza city (Figure 2), and consists of two sponge samples having a cylindrical shape and an internal net of irregularly distributed holes and furrows (Rusconi, 1952, figure 2; pl. 1, figure 1). These specimens were recorded in association with a high-diversity Late Cambrian–Early Ordovician (?) fauna which is dominated by crinoids and articulate brachiopods. Rusconi (1952) originally assigned the material to Spirocyathus Hinde, 1889 (=Archaeocyathus Billings, 1861), an irregular archaeocyath genus described from the lower and middle Cambrian of North America, Europe, Asia and Antarctic-Australia. However, the specimens from Sierra de Salagasta are characterized by having a reticulate exoskeleton and seem to lack genuine septa, suggesting affinities with Lithistida (Demospongea) rather than with Archaeocyatha.

Another record from the southernmost South America is restricted to a doubtful mention of Coscyanocyathus Bornemann (fossil collected by Lovisato, 1883; in Hyades, 1887, p. 222), from the Beauvoir Formation (Harrington, 1943; Caminos and Nullo, 1979) on Isla de Los Estados, east of Tierra del Fuego (Figure 2), and therefore referred to the Early Palaeozoic. This fossil was later dismissed as an archaeocyath, and referred to a cephalopod by Richter (1925; in Harrington, 1943). The latter author and Blasco and Levy (1975, in Caminos and Nullo, 1979) described and documented new cephalopod fossils from the Beauvoir
Formation and reassigned this unit to the Upper Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous. Currently, there are no doubts about the Mesozoic age of the Beauvoir Formation, ruling out any presence of archaeocyaths in Isla de Los Estados.

3. THE ARCHAEOCYATHS OF SAUCE GRANDE FORMATION

3.1. Local geology of the Sauce Grande Formation

The Sauce Grande Formation is the lowermost unit of the Pillahuincó Group, which also includes the Piedra Azul, Bonete, and Tunas formations (Harrington, 1934, 1947, 1970). The Sauce Grande Formation overlies the meta-sandstones of the Lolén Formation (Ventana Group; Harrington, 1970) that bears a Malvinokaffric fauna of Devonian age (Harrington, 1947, 1980). In accordance with the fossil content recorded in the overlying Bonete Formation (Eurydesma fauna and Glossopteris flora), the age of the Pillahuincó Group is considered as Late Carboniferous to Early Permian (Harrington, 1934, 1955).

The Sauce Grande Formation crops out in a narrow NNW–SSE belt about 35 km long. Massive to poorly stratified diamictites are the predominant rocks, but conglomerates, quartz-sandstones, and shales are also present in smaller proportion (Figure 5). Since Keidel’s (1916) work, the diamictites have been interpreted as having a glacial (Coleman, 1918; Du Toit, 1927; Harrington, 1947; Massabie and Rossello, 1984) or glacio-marine origin (Coates, 1969; Frakes and Crowell, 1969; Harrington, 1970, 1972). Keidel (1916) was also the first to suggest a possible correlation with deposits of the same origin and age in the Falkland/Malvinas Islands and in the Karoo Basin in South Africa.

The Sauce Grande diamictites are composed mainly of angular to rounded fragments of quartz-sandstone, with...
smaller proportions of shale, limestone (with archaeocyaths), quartz-feldspathic meta-sandstones and granitic and volcanic fragments of different composition (Massabie and Rossello, 1984; Andreis and Torres Ribeiro, 2003).

Palaeocurrent indicators are difficult to identify in the massive to poorly stratified diamictite. However, some channel orientation, clast imbrication, and ripple marks were measured (Andreis and Cladera, 1991; Andreis and Torres Ribeiro, 2003). According to those authors, and despite significant dispersion, principal palaeocurrent trends are oriented towards the N or NW (present coordinates), and hence direction of ice transport is indicated as S to N or SE to NW (present coordinates), coming from South Africa to South America (López-Gamundí and Rosello, 1998; Andreis and Torres Ribeiro, 2003), which is in turn coincident with the ice flow directions derived from Dwyka deposits in the Karoo Basin (Crowell and Frakes, 1972).

3.2. Archaeocyath record

The presence of limestone clasts in the Sauce Grande Formation has been known since the pioneering studies of Keidel (1916) and Schiller (1930). It is remarkable that Keidel (1916, p. 21) highlighted the finding of ‘one limestone clast containing coral fossils’. Unfortunately he did not study the fossils in detail, nor did he indicate the repository where they were housed. The possibility that Keidel’s fossils were in fact archaeocyaths cannot be dismissed, and therefore this might be the first mention of archaeocyaths contained in a limestone clast from the Sauce Grande Formation of the Sierras Australes. In order to corroborate the suspicion, we performed a new systematic field search for archaeocyathan limestone clasts in glaciogenic deposits of the Sierras Australes of Buenos Aires.

Following the earlier mention of ‘coral fossils’ made by Keidel (1916), and allowing for detailed descriptions of clasts composition performed by Andreis (1965) and Andreis and Torres Ribeiro (2003), we recognized at least three localities with limestone clasts contained within diamictites of the Sauce Grande Formation. They are located between 1.0 and 2.6 km northeast of the bridge over the Sauce Grande creek, close to Villa Ventana. The localities (SV-1 to SV-3) are along the tracks of the Southern Railway, and the archaeocyath fauna was found only in one of them (SV-1, Lat. 38°07'48.70" South. Long. 61°46'40.90" West). Furthermore, only two limestone clasts containing archaeocyaths were found, out of the 18 recovered from this locality.
Preservation of all archaeocyaths is poor. They were first observed directly on hand-specimens of the limestone clasts, sometimes with the help of a thin coating of magnesium sublimate. The specimens were photographed with a digital reflex camera and 1:1 macro lens and are housed in the Invertebrate Palaeontology collections of the La Plata Natural History Museum (MLP 29220 to 29221), La Plata, Argentina.

The archaeocyaths consist of 11 specimens preserved as transverse sections on the naturally weathered surface of the clasts, together with a single conical cup that is partially isolated from the matrix. Although additional complete and well-preserved material is necessary to warrant a comprehensive systematic study, it is possible to recognize at least three different species, which are briefly outlined as follows:

The small-sized individuals illustrated in Figure 6a–c, e, and f seem to be conspecific. They are characterized by having a maximum diameter of 3 to 4 mm, a conic-cylindrical, slightly curved solitary cup which is subcircular to slightly ovoid in transverse section, a moderately wide intervallum, and a central cavity occupying about one-third of the cup diameter. The intervallum shows 14 complete, straight, thick and porous radial septa (Figure 6a), and the inner wall appears to have simple pores. The material illustrated in Figure 6g-left is closely similar to those specimens, although it differs by having a diameter of 6.5 mm, a more ovoid outline, a larger intervallum, and 20 septa instead of 14.

The specimen illustrated in Figure 6d has a maximum diameter of 25 mm and is characterized by its ovoid outline in transverse section, its very wide central cavity and its extremely reduced intervallum, which occupies only 6% of the cup maximum diameter. The inner wall of the cup looks sinuous. The septa are numerous and very close to each other, a condition that is especially evident in the ‘bottom’ and ‘right’ portion of Figure 6d. Although the septa shown in the ‘top’ and ‘left’ parts of that figure are more widely spaced, this might possibly be because the section is slightly oblique to the axis of the cup. Because of its large size, this specimen is the most conspicuous archaeocyath of the assemblage studied.

Figure 5. a to d. Diamictites of the Late Carboniferous Sauce Grande Formation from Sierras Australes of Buenos Aires. Massive to thinly laminated grey to white limestone clasts are indicated by arrows. a and b come from diamictite of the locality SV-1; c and d from conglomerate of the SV-2. Other clasts = Gr: granitoid, Gn: gneiss, Qtz: quartzite, diameter of coins: 2.5 cm. This figure is available in colour online at wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/gj
By contrast, the species represented by the specimen of Figure 6g-right shows a subcircular outline and a small size. The material possesses a diameter of 4.5 mm, a relatively narrow intervallum occupying about 20% of the cup diameter, a correspondingly wide central cavity, and a large number of septa (44). The latter are straight, thick, and extended from the inner to the outer wall of the cup. The cup is longitudinally arched between septa.

Since the archaeocyaths from the Sauce Grande Formation are scarce and not well preserved, their generic and specific affinities are difficult to establish. However, the general morphology of the specimens illustrated in Figure 6a–c, e and f leads us to compare it particularly with *Robustocyathus* Zhuravleva. It mostly resembles juvenile samples from moraines of the Whichaway Nunataks, East Antarctica (inset of Figure 2; see Hill, 1965, pl. 3, figures 2b-left, 6, 8), by sharing a relatively wide intervallum and a similar pattern of septa. In the same way, the particular exoskeleton of Figure 6d shows major similarities with *Archaeocyatha* sp. 5 from the El Jagüelito Formation of the North Patagonian Massif (González et al., 2011a, figures 6B-left, C; Figure 4h-left, i), *Ajacicystus* cf. *ajax* (Taylor), from the Shackleton Limestone of the Nimrod Glacier, in central Transantarctic Mountains (Figure 7; Debrenne and Kruse, 1986, figure 7), as well as undescribed material from a limestone block in the Fitzroy Tillite Formation from Falkland/Malvinas Islands (Stone and Thomson, 2005, figure A1.a, above left), by having an irregular outline in transverse section, a very wide central cavity and an extremely reduced intervallum. Finally, the specimen of Figure 6g-right mostly resembles *Archaeocyatha* sp. 2, from the El Jagüelito Formation of Patagonia (González et al., 2011a; Figure 6A; Figure 4b), but differs by having a slightly smaller central cavity and a lower number of septa. This material is also superficially comparable to *Thalamocyathus trachealis* Gordon, from the lower Cambrian of South Australia and Antarctica (e.g. Hill, 1965, pl. 7, figures 2b, 8a), in possessing a similar intervallum/central cavity ratio, and a similar arrangement of septa. *Thalamocyathus* has been widely described from *in situ* Lower Cambrian limestones of the Transantarctic Mountains and South Australia, as well as from erratic blocks on King George Island, Whichaway Nunataks, the Weddell Sea and South Africa (Debrenne and Kruse, 1989; Figures 2 and 7). Thus, the archaeocyaths from the Sauce Grande Formation suggest general affinities with Early Cambrian assemblages of the Australo-Antarctic palaeobiogeographic province.

4. THE ARCHAEOCYATHAN LIMESTONE BLOCKS OF THE SAUCE GRANDE FORMATION IN RELATION TO LATE PALAEOZOIC GONDWANA GLACIATION

It is widely known that the Sauce Grande Formation of the Sierras Australes in South America is a close analogue of the Fitzroy Tillite Formation of Falkland/Malvinas Islands and of the Dwyka Tillite of South Africa (Keidel, 1916; Frakes and Crowell, 1967, 1969; Crowell and Frakes, 1972; Caputo and Crowell, 1985; Veevers and Powell,
The most striking similarities are those related to their glaciogenic rocks, clast lithology, glacial lithofacies and source areas, in addition to their palaeoclimatic, palaeogeographic and palaeotectonic aspects related to Permo-Carboniferous Gondwanaland glaciation (Episode III, Veevers and Powell, 1987; López-Gamundí, 1997).

In the Ellsworth Mountains of West Antarctica, the Whiteout Conglomerate represents the Permo-Carboniferous Gondwanaland glaciation (Webers et al., 1992), and hence is the time-stratigraphical equivalent of the formerly mentioned units.

A key feature shared by the Fitzroy Tillite Formation, the Dwyka Tillite, and the Whiteout Conglomerate is the rarity of limestone clasts, which additionally contain the same archaeocyath fauna (Debrenne and Kruse, 1989; Stone and Thomson, 2005). The new find in the Sauce Grande Formation also shows this feature. Thus, the archaeocyath-bearing limestone clasts represent a further crucial element for the correlation of all those units across Gondwana.

The archaeocyaths in the Sauce Grande Formation provide further confirmation about the provenance of the limestone glacial erratics and establish their distribution farther west, as also supported by ice flow directions within the Permo-Carboniferous Gondwanaland glaciation (Figure 7).

The Transantarctic Mountains seems to have been the most likely source area for the Early Cambrian archaeocyathan limestone clasts found in South America and elsewhere in southern Gondwana, for a long period of time between Early Palaeozoic and Cenozoic times:

(1) In Early Palaeozoic times, the clasts formed part of the Cambro-Ordovician El Jagüelito Formation of Patagonia.
Northern Patagonia is interpreted as being located adjacent to the Ross Orogen during Cambrian times (González et al., 2011b, 2011c), receiving the archaeocyathan limestone clasts derived from the Shackleton Limestone at a more outboard position with respect to the coeval Douglas Conglomerate described by Goodge et al. (2004). It is widely accepted that the Patagonia Terrane collided against South America in Permian times (see synthesis in Ramos, 2008).

(2) In Late Palaeozoic times, archaeocyath-bearing clasts were transported by ice during the Permo-Carboniferous glaciation (Episode III) that produced the glacial deposits of the Whiteout Conglomerate of Ellsworth Mountains in West Antarctica, the Fitzroy Tillite Formation of Falkland/Malvinas Islands, the Dwyka Tillite of South Africa, and the Sauce Grande Formation in South America. In a reconstruction of Gondwana (~290 Ma, after Powell and Li, 1994) the coincidence of ice flow patterns across the Ellsworth Mountains, the Falkland/Malvinas Islands, South Africa and South America suggests that the Permo-Carboniferous ice sheet flowed from the Transantarctic Mountains towards the West Gondwana, and also towards Australia (Figure 7).

(3) Finally, during Cenozoic times, not only the central Transantarctic Mountains but also the Argentina Range would have provided archaeocyathan limestone clasts. They too were probably transferred by ice to form part of the glacio-marine sediments of the Oligocene Polonez Cove and Early Miocene Cape Melville formations, as well as the recent moraines in the King George Island–South Shetland Islands (Figure 2; Morycowa et al., 1982; Wrona and Zhuravlev, 1996), the recent moraines in the Whichaway Nunataks, and the blocks dredged from the Weddell Sea (Gordon, 1920). According to Morycowa et al. (1982) and Wrona and Zhuravlev (1996), reconstructions of ice stream movement and iceberg drift, and the similarities in archaeocyath specimens, suggest the Argentina Range limestones as the source of most of the erratics of King George Island, the Weddell Sea, and Whichaway Nunataks (Figure 2).

5. FURTHER POTENTIAL TARGETS FOR FINDING ARCHAEOCYATHAN LIMESTONE BLOCKS WITHIN THE LATE CARBONIFEROUS DIAMICTITES IN CONTINENTAL SOUTH AMERICA

The Sauce Grande Formation is widely exposed in the Sierras Australes of Buenos Aires Province (Harrington, 1947; Andreis, 1965), and, therefore, other diamictite outcrops within these ranges might also provide archaeocyathan limestone clasts.

The Sauce Grande Formation diamictites are also present in the subsurface of the Colorado Basin (Juan et al., 1996; Gebhard, 2005), off-shore Buenos Aires Province (Borehole Puelches es-1, Figure 2). As a southeastern extension of the Sierras Australes outcrops, they may also represent a link to the Dwyka Tillite of South Africa (Figure 7), and are another potential site to search for archaeocyathan limestone clasts.

Allochthonous archaeocyathan limestone blocks in diamictites could even occur in other South American regions, such as the Chaco-Paraná and Paraná basins (Figure 7). The Permo-Carboniferous Upper Ordóñez Formation (subsurface of the Chaco-Paraná Basin; Figure 2) is composed of diamictites containing laminated grey limestone clasts (Russo et al., 1987; Winn and Steinmetz, 1998). The inferred glacial lithofacies and glacial to glacio-marine depositional palaeoenvironments are comparable to those of the Sauce Grande and Dwyka formations (Winn and Steinmetz, 1998), even though no archaeocyaths have been reported so far. Likewise, in the Paraná Basin, several diamictites of the Itararé Group (Holz et al., 2010) contain grey limestone clasts, at least one-third of which were locally derived from underlying limestones (Frakes and Crowell, 1969). Nevertheless, an Antarctic provenance cannot be completely ruled out, taking into consideration the mostly ESE to WNW ice-flow directions proposed for the Permo-Carboniferous Gondwana glaciation (Episode III, Figure 7) in these basins (Frakes and Crowell, 1969; Gesicki et al., 2002). It is indeed possible that the limestone clasts from the Upper Ordóñez Formation and equivalent units (e.g. Itararé Group) share an Antarctic provenance with those of the Sauce Grande and Dwyka formations (Figure 7). In order to confirm this, archaeocyaths with Australo-Antarctic palaeobiogeographic affinities need to be found.

The Gondwanic diamictites from the Calingasta–Uspallata–Río Blanco and western Pagoanzo basins (Figure 7) of the Argentine Precordillera also bear limestone clasts, but their provenance is clearly local, from Cambrian and Ordovician limestone units underlying the diamictites (Frakes and Crowell, 1969; López-Gamundí and Martínez, 2000; Marensi et al., 2005; Pérez Loinaze et al., 2010). Furthermore, the glaciation in those areas is somewhat older (Episode II of Vevers and Powell, 1987 and López-Gamundí, 1997, see Figure 7) than the Late Carboniferous-Early Permian episode, and thus, the glacial deposits are not contemporaneous with the Sauce Grande Formation (see figure 1 in López-Gamundí and Martínez, 2000).

Striking similarities between the Cambrian faunas in carbonate lithofacies of western Argentina and North America have been addressed in the literature (e.g. Harrington and Leanza, 1943; Rusconi, 1956; Poulsen, 1960; Borrello, 1971; Bordonaro, 2003 and references therein). Archaeocyaths are known in association with the Olenellus fauna in North America (Landing and Bartowski, 1996), but they have not been reported yet in the late Early Cambrian of the
Precordillera of western Argentina. If archaeocyaths were found in those limestones (or in limestone blocks contained in diamictites), they might be expected to have Laurentian affinities (Nitecki, 1967), rather than Australo-Antarctic ones, given that the Precordillera, as part of the composite Cuyania Terrane, appears to be a piece detached from Laurentia (Ramos, 2004).

Finally, the Gondwanic units with diamictite horizons from the Tepuel-Genoa Basin in extra-Andean Patagonia (Figure 7) also bear rare limestone clasts (Frakes and Crowell, 1969). However, they are comparable to diamictites of similar age and lithofacies associations of the Precordillera, and thus they also belong to the early Late Carboniferous of similar age and lithofacies associations of the Precordillera, as part of the composite Cuyania Terrane, appears to be a piece detached from Laurentia. If archaeocyaths were derived from East Antarctica, reached more western localities in diamictites), they might be expected to have Laurentian biological feature to be considered in palaeobiogeographic Gondwana reconstructions.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We report here the first finding of archaeocyath-bearing limestone blocks contained in diamictites of the Sauce Grande Formation, Sierras Australes of Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. To date, in situ Early Cambrian archaeocyath limestones are unknown from South America. The recovered fauna is allochthonous and its most likely provenance is the Shackleton Limestone in the Transantarctic Mountains, as suggested by its overall affinities with Early Cambrian archaeocyath assemblages of the Australo-Antarctic palaeobiogeographic province.

The similar Antarctic provenance of the limestone clasts strengthens the geological correlation between the Sauce Grande, Dwyka and Fitzroy Tillite formations deposited during the Late Palaeozoic Gondwana glaciation. The new record of archaeocyath limestones in Sierras Australes, suggests that the distribution of these limestones, derived from East Antarctica, reached more western localities than previously known. Allochthonous archaeocyath faunas constitute a key biological feature to be considered in palaeobiogeographic Gondwana reconstructions.
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