Glutathione imparts stress tolerance against *Alternaria brassicicola* infection via miRNA mediated defense regulation
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**ABSTRACT**

Glutathione (GSH) is well known to play a crucial role in imparting resistance against various pathogen invasions. Nevertheless, the role of GSH in regulating miRNA-mediated defense response is yet to be explored. To decipher the GSH-mediated regulation of miRNA expression during necrotrophic infection in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, wild-type Col-0 and AtECS1, the transgenic line exhibiting enhanced GSH content, were infected with necrotrophic pathogen *Alternaria brassicicola*. AtECS1 plants exhibited enhanced resistance as compared to wild-type. MiRNA next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed to compare the miRNA expression in Col-0 and AtECS1 leaves. Under control condition, differentially expressed 96 known miRNAs and 17 novel miRNAs viz. ath-miR167f, ath-miR1863, ath-miR3932b-5p, etc. were identified. However, under infected condition, 73 known and 43 novel differentially expressed miRNAs viz. ath-miR5652, ath-miR160b, ath-miR865-5p, etc. were identified. Functional annotation and enrichment analysis revealed that several miRNAs that target defense-related genes like leucine-rich repeat protein kinase, MYB transcription factors, TCP8, etc. were down regulated in the AtECS1 line, which, in turn, relieves the repression of their target gene expression, leading to resistance against infection. Together, the present investigation suggests that GSH plays a decisive role in modulating the miRNA-mediated regulation of defense-related genes during pathogen invasion.

**Introduction**

In plant defense signaling network, glutathione (GSH) is gaining massive importance in disease resistance by playing a central role in regulating the defense signaling network in plants. In the earlier studies, it was shown that over-expression of GSH biosynthesis genes and increased GSH level can induce the expression of different disease-responsive genes, thus imparting stress tolerance.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\)

Earlier, it was shown that GSH-deficient mutant pad2.1 was susceptible toward pathogen attack.\(^3\) Exogenous application of GSH can also induce disease resistance in plants by activating NPR1-mediated SA signaling pathway, corroborating the regulatory role of GSH during biotic stress responses in plants.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\)

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a group of regulatory molecules with 21–24 nucleotides length and help in gene regulation by sequence-specific cleavage or translational repression of their target gene.\(^5\) Several families of miRNAs have been identified from different plant species during pathogen attack, and their disease-responsive role has been investigated.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^9\) However, GSH-mediated regulation of any miRNAs during disease progression in plants is not studied so far. *Alternaria brassicicola* is a devastating necrotrophic fungal pathogen that causes dark spot disease in Brassicaceae family.\(^9\) However, the role of GSH in regulating miRNA-mediated defense strategies in this pathosystem is still unexplored. In this study, our aim is to dissect the role of different miRNAs during *A. brassicola* infection in *Arabidopsis* and their regulation by GSH. Our study identified several stress-responsive miRNAs that are regulated by GSH during pathogen attack.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant material and growth condition and pathogen inoculation**

The transgenic *A. thaliana* line (AtECS1) overexpressing Le-\(\gamma\)ECS gene and exhibiting enhanced GSH content developed earlier\(^1\) and Columbia-0 (Col-0) served as wild-type were used here. Plants were grown in Murashige and Skoog (MS) media and maintained in a growth chamber (22°C under 16 h light/8 h dark cycles).\(^10\)

Spore suspension of *A. brassicicola* strain MTCC No. 2102, obtained from MTCC Chandigarh, India, was used to inoculate leaves following van Wees et al.\(^11\) For mock treatment, 5 μL droplets of water was used. Infection was scores at 5 dpi.

**Trypan blue and DAB staining assay**

To determine disease severity in Col-0 and AtECS1 plants, 4 weeks old Col-0 (CC), infected Col-0 (CI), AtECS1, and infected AtECS1 (AI) plants were used for trypan blue
staining. Infected leaves were put into 1:1 (vol/vol) ethanol and lactic acid–phenol–trypan blue solution for 45 min. Leaves were destained and mounted in 60% glycerol for observation.

DAB staining was performed according to Daudi et al. Control and infected leaves were put into DAB solution (1 mg/ml) overnight and then the leaves were placed in bleaching solution (ethanol:acetic acid:glycerol = 3:1:1) for 5 min in boiling water bath and placed in fresh bleaching solution for 30 min and mounted for microscopic observation.

Small RNA library preparation and sequencing
Leaf from control and infected plants were used for the preparation of small RNA libraries. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). The quality and quantity of RNA were analyzed using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies), and a small RNA library was prepared using a small RNA Sample preparation Kit (Illumina Technologies, USA). The small RNA libraries were sequenced using a Hiseq Illumina 1.5. The sequence data obtained as FASTQ files were assessed for qualitative analysis using FastQC version 3 and Fastx-toolkit, version 0.0.13.

Data mining and identification of miRNAs
The raw sequences generated were trimmed for removal of adaptor/primer contamination and poly(A) tails using an miRDeep adaptor filter. The pre-processed data were cleaned off including low quality reads. The unique reads (17–23 nucleotide) from two samples were retained for genome mapping. The filtered reads were screened against non-coding RNA sequences, tRNA, rRNA, and chloroplast sequences found in the Arabidopsis genome database. The reads homologous to these sequences were discarded. The miRDeep2 module was used to identify known and novel miRNAs in the high-throughput sequencing data.

Target gene prediction of novel miRNAs
EdgeR (R package, version 3.8.3) software was used to analyze the differential gene expression. The target gene was predicted using the psRNATarget server (http://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/) with default parameter and penalty score \( \leq 2.5 \).

Validation of miRNA expression and their corresponding target genes by qRT–PCR
Several putative novel and known miRNAs and their corresponding target genes were selected for qRT–PCR analysis. Isolation of miRNA was carried out using manufacturer’s protocol (Favorgen, Taiwan). The stem–loop and forward primers for the selected miRNAs were designed using miRNA primer designer software. Experiments were performed in three biological replicas.

Gene expression analysis of the predicted target genes were performed by qRT-PCR analysis in three biological replicas. The constitutively expressed elongation factor 1α gene was used as the reference gene. The primer pairs used is listed in Table S1.

Functional enrichment and annotation
Functional enrichment of differentially expressed miRNAs and their target genes was analyzed by singular enrichment analysis (SEA) with the agriGO tool. SEA analysis computes GO term enrichment in the selected set of genes by comparing it to the reference set (in this case, TAIR genome locus TAIR10_2017). Fisher test and Benjamini-Yekutiel method was used here.

Results

Morphological analysis after A. brassicicola infection
A. brassicicola-infected leaves showed dark necrotic spots. The Col-0 plants exhibited more susceptibility to infection compared to AtECS1 plants, suggesting that GSH plays a crucial role in imparting resistance in the AtECS1 plants against A. brassicicola infection.

Cell viability assessment and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) detection after A. brassicicola infection
Trypan blue staining of Col-0 and AtECS1 plants infected with A. brassicicola revealed significantly more cell death in infected Col-0 than AtECS1 at 5 dpi (Figure 1) In DAB staining assay, the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation in infected Col-0 leaves was also significantly higher than infected AtECS1, which once again indicates the role of GSH in making the plant more resistant against A. brassicicola infection (Figure 2).

Identification of differentially expressed miRNA
Sequencing of the CC, AC, CI, and AI leaf libraries generated a total of 507877, 391126, 179265, and 500809 sequence reads, respectively, provided in Table S2. After removal of low-quality sequence reads and adaptor contaminants, distinct sequences obtained were perfectly matched with the Arabidopsis genome. The differences in size compared with the total small RNA population were determined on the basis of total abundance reads. The read count of mature sequences of known and novel miRNAs of CC, AC, CI, and AI have been included in Table S3 and S4. MA-Plot diagram of the differential expression of miRNA in Col-0 and AtECS1 are given in Figure 3. The mature sequences of novel miRNA found in all the 4 sets are included in Table S5. A total of 96 differentially expressed known and 17 novel miRNAs were identified in case of CC vs AC, and in case of CI vs AI, the total number of differentially expressed known miRNAs was 73 while the number of differentially expressed novel miRNAs identified was 43, which are provided in Table S6.
Validation of selected differentially expressed miRNAs and their target genes

Few miRNAs like miR5645f, miR858a, miR3434-5p, and miRTCP8 expression data obtained from NGS analysis were confirmed through qRT-PCR analysis for several selected genes viz. AGD2, myb65, LRRK, and TCP8. The results indicated that the miRNAs were significantly differentially regulated in accordance with NGS results (Figure 4).

Functional enrichment and annotation

As observed by singular enrichment analysis by agrigo, the most significantly enhanced biological process for CC vs AC was regulation of transcription, followed by innate immune response, cellular response to stress, etc. In case of known miRNAs and in case of novel miRNAs, the most significantly enhanced biological process was defense response followed by response to stress (Table S7). In case of CI vs AI, most significant enhanced biological process was response to stimulus, metabolic process, transcription regulatory activity, immune system process, etc. (Table S8).

Discussion

*A. brassicicola* infection has detrimental effects on plants. However, plants response against this infection is yet to be explored in depth. *Esa1*, an *Arabidopsis* mutant with enhanced susceptibility to *A. brassicicola*, showed a distorted induction of defense responses by generating ROS. Deficiency in phytoalexin production also showed enhanced susceptibility of *planta* to *A. brassicicola*. Thus, previous studies on the *A. brassicicola-Arabidopsis* pathosystem can be explored further. Additionally, the enhanced level of GSH imparts significant tolerance to environmental stress, and *Nicotiana tabacum* overexpressing γ-ECS exhibited biotic stress tolerance likely through NPR1-dependent salicylic acid-mediated pathway. The present study showed that the *A. thaliana*
overexpressing γ-ECS gene viz. *AtECS1* showed increased resistance to *A. brassicicola* infection, depicting the important role of glutathione in conferring resistance to *planta* during biotic stress.

The discovery of miRNAs has led to a paradigm shift in understanding the post-transcriptional regulation of a gene in plants. Recent studies revealed that many miRNAs could be responsive to ethylene and play significant roles in plant growth and development. However, the direct role of miRNAs in controlling dynamic molecules like GSH is poorly understood. Present study focuses on the identification of miRNAs under altered GSH content in *A. brassicicola*-infected *A. thaliana*. To identify the GSH-responsive miRNAs under biotic stress, we constructed four miRNA leaf libraries from *A. brassicicola*-infected *A. thaliana* exhibiting altered GSH content. Deep sequencing of miRNAs revealed 96 known and 17 novel ones in CC and AC, whereas, 73 known and 43 novel miRNAs in CI and AI. In control samples, various known miRNAs playing diverse roles in biological processes like stress-responsive miRNAs like miR858a, hormonal signaling pathways like miR5652, miR396a-3p etc. have been identified. In infected samples, various biotic stress responsive miRNAs like miR3932b-5p, miR5645f etc. have also been identified. Most of the miRNAs were found to be downregulated in AC and AI with respect to CC and CI. Furthermore, 17 putative novel miRNAs were identified in CC vs AC. Out of this 17 novel miRNAs, 8 miRNAs were upregulated and 9 were downregulated. In CI vs AI samples, out of 43 putative novel miRNAs identified, 16 were downregulated and the rest were upregulated. Among the 96 known miRNAs in control samples of *Arabidopsis*, approximately 199 targets were predicted, and of the 17 novel miRNAs, almost 30 targets were predicted. Out of these predicted target genes, some were found to be functionally involved in defense response, and in case of infected *A. thaliana* having altered GSH level, the number of target gene found for
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known miRNAs were 307 and target genes for potential novel miRNAs were 68. These 68 target genes were found to be involved in multiple physiological and metabolism processes (Table S6). The expression profile from NGS data was validated by qRT-PCR. Few miRNAs and their target genes used are miR5645f-AGD2, miR858a-myb65, miR3434-5p-LRRK, and miRTCP8-TCP8. The result revealed that the miRNAs were significantly downregulated and their respective target genes were upregulated in AtECS1.

In order to better understand the potential role of miRNAs in *A. thaliana*, functional enrichment and annotation analysis was done by agriGO. Based on this analysis, many significant enriched GO terms were RNA biosynthetic process (GO:0032774) and transcriptional regulation (GO:0006355) in control samples of *Arabidopsis*. The significant GO terms in infected category were regulation of transcription (GO:0006355), regulation of response to biotic stimulus (GO:0009607), response to stress (GO:0006950), primary metabolic processes (GO:0044238), response to stimulus (GO:0050896), etc. Hence, in the presence of biotic stress, the miRNAs that were found to be downregulated in response to GSH induced the expression of its respective target genes, mainly *LRRK*, *myb65*, *AGD2*, and *TCP8*, which are involved in defense response and developmental processes (Figure 5).

Cumulatively, our findings suggest that under elevated GSH level in plants during pathogen attack, miRNAs regulate the stress-related genes and help the plant in combating stress.
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