Neurogenic Switching: A Hypothesis for a Mechanism for Shifting the Site of Inflammation in Allergy and Chemical Sensitivity
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Neurogenic switching is proposed as a hypothesis for a mechanism by which a stimulus at one site can lead to inflammation at a distant site. Neurogenic inflammation occurs when substance P and other neuropeptides released from sensory neurons produce an inflammatory response, whereas immunogenic inflammation results from the binding of antigen to antibody or leukocyte receptors. There is a crossover mechanism between these two forms of inflammation. Neurogenic switching is proposed to result when a sensory impulse from a site of activation is rerouted via the central nervous system to a distant location to produce neurogenic inflammation at the second location. Neurogenic switching is a possible explanation for systemic anaphylaxis, in which inoculation of the skin or gut with antigen produces systemic symptoms involving the respiratory and circulatory systems, and an experimental model of anaphylaxis is consistent with this hypothesis. Food-allergy-inducing asthma, urticaria, arthritis, and fibromyalgia are other possible examples of neurogenic switching. Neurogenic switching provides a mechanism to explain how allergens, infectious agents, irritants, and possibly emotional stress can exacerbate conditions such as migraine, asthma, and arthritis. Because neurogenic inflammation is known to be triggered by chemical exposures, it may play a role in the sick building syndrome and the multiple chemical sensitivity syndrome. Thus neurogenic switching would explain how the respiratory irritants lead to symptoms at other sites in these disorders. Key words: allergy, anaphylaxis, arthritis, asthma, chemical sensitivity, food allergy, inflammation, migraine, neurogenic inflammation, substance P. Environ Health Perspect 103:54–56 (1995)

There are two grand, interrelated systems by which foreign materials can produce inflammation in a tissue. Immunogenic inflammation arises when an antigen binds to an antibody or leukocyte receptor to trigger an inflammatory cascade. Prior sensitization is required, and the inflammatory response can take several forms including immediate and cell-mediated hypersensitivity. Neurogenic inflammation occurs when a chemical combines with the chemical irritant receptors on sensory nerves, leading to the release of substance P and other inflammatory neuropeptides (1). Neurogenic inflammation can also arise when a nerve impulse travels down an axon to release substance P at the terminus (2,3). There is an interplay between immunogenic and neurogenic inflammation, in that substance P can degranulate mast cells and histamine can activate sensory nerves (4), as depicted in Figure 1. This figure is a simplification, in that a host of other cells and mediators are involved in inflammation. However, the cells and mediators depicted in the figure dominate the type I immediate hypersensitivity response to allergens and sensitivity to chemicals. Clinically, the two forms may lead to the same end result. For example, asthma can be initiated by allergens (immunogenic inflammation) or chemical irritants (neurogenic inflammation).

One puzzling feature of the inflammatory response is that a stimulus in one tissue can sometimes lead to inflammation at another site. Food allergy provides an example. Ingestion of a food allergen can produce gastrointestinal symptoms, with diarrhea, abdominal pain, bloating, and emesis arising from the direct degranulation of gut mast cells with local mediator release. A small percentage of patients with food allergy develop symptoms at other sites, manifesting as asthma (5), rhinitis (6), or urticaria (7). Food hypersensitivity can also manifest as arthritis (8,9) and migraine (10,11). Histamine from gut mast cells could bind to sensory nerves to produce an afferent signal, which could be rerouted via the central nervous system to another site, as depicted in Figure 1. This neurogenic switching could then explain the diverse manifestations of food allergy.

Systemic anaphylaxis may be a manifestation of neurogenic switching. Cutaneous inoculation with an antigen, such as a bee sting, or gut inoculation, as in the ingestion of a food or drug, can affect multiple organ systems immediately. Respiratory involvement with bronchospasm, bronchoconstriction, and laryngeal edema, gastrointestinal symptoms, skin involvement away from the site of inoculation with diffuse flushing or urticaria, and cardiovascular symptoms with hypotension from vasodilation can all arise. A role for the nervous system in systemic anaphylaxis has been demonstrated in experimental models. It is known that vagotomy protects rats from lethal anaphylaxis without changing the production of antibody or histamine release (12). Experimental lesions of the anterior hypothalamus lessens the anaphylactic reaction in a guinea pig model (13). Neurogenic switching may be the mechanism for this observed modulation of anaphylaxis by the nervous system, though other mechanisms such as a generalized decrease in parasympathetic tone should be considered.

Gustatory rhinitis is another phenomenon in which neurogenic switching may play a role. In this syndrome, rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, and facial sweating develop after the ingestion of spicy foods. Ingested irritants such as capsaicin, the active ingredient in chili peppers, interact with branches of the trigeminal nerve innervating the oral cavity. The efferent signal is switched to the nose and face (14).

Sick building syndrome (15), in which diverse symptoms such as headache and difficulty with concentration accompany mucosal irritation in occupants of poorly ventilated buildings, may involve neurogenic switching. The site of inflammation could be switched from the airway to the brain, causing vasodilation and edema. An alternative hypothesis is that lymphocytes at the site of stimulation release mediators which circulate to the brain and cause symptoms (16). Interleukin-1 and interleukin-2 are known to affect central function in animal models (17,18). This type of switching might be termed "immunogenic switching."

Neurogenic switching may play a role in multiple chemical sensitivity syndrome (19–21), which is thought to be mediated by neurogenic inflammation (22). In this syndrome, exposure to respiratory irritants triggers symptoms in more than one organ system. An individual patient with chemical sensitivities often has recurrent sites of symptomatology and inflammation which reoccur with a well-defined pattern. Myalgias in the nape of the neck, inflammation of a particular set of joints, or gastrointestinal symptoms might occur over and over again in a single patient. The establishment of a neuronal pathway so that stimulation of irritant receptors in the airway, for example, leads to inflammation in a given tissue may be the mechanism for involvement of secondary organ systems.

Neurogenic inflammation is thought to play a role in rheumatoid arthritis (23), migraine headache (24,25), and fibromyalgia (26). The basis of these conditions may
An alternative hypothesis is that immunogenic switching occurs, with the release of cytokines which act on distant cells. There are several differences expected between neurogenic switching and immunogenic switching. The time required for the onset of neurogenic switching depends on nerve conduction velocity, while immunogenic switching depends on circulation time and diffusion times in tissues. Neurogenic switching would directly target a particular organ in a repetitive pattern, while immunogenic switching might have a more diffuse effect. The mechanism by which the site of inflammation is shifted in both allergy and chemical sensitivity can be determined experimentally, both in controlled challenges in human subjects and experimental models. Such a program could further our understanding of these conditions while leading to improvements in diagnosis and treatment.
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