Piceatannol Enhances Cisplatin Sensitivity in Ovarian Cancer via Modulation of p53, X-linked Inhibitor of Apoptosis Protein (XIAP), and Mitochondrial Fission*
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Background: Chemotherapeutic sensitivity in ovarian cancer is dependent on effective apoptosis signaling.

Results: Piceatannol enhances cisplatin sensitivity by modulating p53, XIAP (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein), and mitochondrial fission in vitro and in vivo.

Conclusion: Piceatannol is a potent enhancer of cisplatin-induced apoptosis.

Significance: Piceatannol exhibits potential for clinical development for the treatment of ovarian cancer.

Resistance to cisplatin (CDDP) in ovarian cancer (OVCA) arises from the dysregulation of tumor suppressors and survival signals. During genotoxic challenge, these factors can be influenced by secondary agents that facilitate the induction of apoptosis. Piceatannol is a natural metabolite of the stilbene resveratrol found in grapes and is converted from its parent compound by the enzyme CYP1BA1 p450. It has been hypothesized to exert specific effects against various cellular targets; however, its ability to influence CDDP resistance in cancer cells has not been investigated to date. Here, we show that piceatannol is a potent enhancer of CDDP sensitivity in OVCA, and this effect is achieved through the modulation of several major determinants of chemoresistance. Piceatannol enhances p53-mediated expression of the pro-apoptotic protein NOXA, increases XIAP degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, and enhances caspase-3 activation. This response is associated with an increase in Drp1-dependent mitochondrial fission, leading to more effective induction of apoptosis. In vivo studies using a mouse model of OVCA reveal that a number of these changes occur in association with a greater overall reduction in tumor weight when mice are treated with both piceatannol and CDDP, in comparison to treatment with either agent alone. Taken together, these findings demonstrate the potential application of piceatannol to enhance CDDP sensitivity in OVCA, and it acts on p53, XIAP, and mitochondrial fission.

Despite considerable research investments, ovarian cancer (OVCA) remains the most lethal gynecological malignancy. As a result of late diagnosis and chemoresistant recurrent disease, a dismal 5-year survival rate of ~20% has persisted for years (1). At advanced stages of the disease (III and IV), current therapeutic strategies become increasingly ineffective (2). Derivatives of cisplatin (CDDP: cis-diaminedichloroplatinum) are the first line treatments for OVCA, often administered together with paclitaxel (3). CDDP is genotoxic in vivo and functions by cross-linking DNA, resulting in cell-cycle arrest and ultimately triggering apoptosis. However, its broad mechanism of action causes side effects including nausea, nephrotoxicity, and hemolytic anemia (4, 5).

Chemosensitivity is thought to arise from the alteration of genetic and epigenetic mechanisms responsible for detecting genotoxic insults and making appropriate cell fate decisions (6). Evasion from apoptosis can arise from the dysregulation of specific tumor suppressors and survival signals, contributing to a loss in sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents (7, 8). As a master regulator of cell cycle progression, DNA repair, and apoptosis, the tumor suppressor p53 plays a central role in this process (9–11). After nuclear activation, p53 up-regulates multiple pro-apoptotic factors including NOXA, which localizes to the mitochondria and interacts with anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members (12). The subsequent release of pro-apoptotic factors including SMAC (second mitochondria-derived activator of caspases) and cytochrome c plays a major role in caspase-dependent apoptosis (13, 14). The efficiency at which apoptosis is induced can be influenced by mitochondrial fission, part of a dynamic process that involves cleavage of individual mitochondria (15). Fission is a key event that occurs prior to the induction of apoptosis and involves cleavage of the organelle in response to various stimuli, including cell stress. Dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1) is a cytosolic GTPase activated upon dephosphorylation by calcineurin and oligomerizes to provide the mechanical strength needed for fission to occur (16, 17).

XIAP (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein), another determinant of chemoresistance in OVCA (7), blocks apoptosis.
signaling in its final stages by inhibiting caspases that would otherwise be activated through the actions of pro-apoptotic mediators like NOXA. The successful induction of mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis therefore relies on a complex but coordinated interplay of signaling events, the dysregulation of which can give rise to chemoresistance. Bioactive natural compounds that exert influences on these pathways and tip the cellular balance in favor of apoptosis may potentially be useful for novel OVCA therapeutic strategies.

Phytochemicals are a major class of functional food compounds, some of which are known to exert highly specific effects on key regulators of apoptosis. The phytoalexin resveratrol is a stilbene found in grapes and mulberry, widely known for its anti-cancer properties in red wine extract (18). Although it has been shown to inhibit ovarian tumor growth in mouse xenograft models and to sensitize cancer cells to CDDP and doxorubicin (19), recent studies have shed doubts on the clinical utility of resveratrol for the prevention and treatment of human cancers (20). Resveratrol is metabolized after ingestion by the CYP1BA1 p450 enzyme into a number of products, one of which is the phenolic compound piceatannol (21). Further research on piceatannol has revealed its superior and potent bioactive properties, including inhibitory effects on platelet-derived growth factor-BB (22), altered gene expression resulting in the delay of adipogenesis, and cell cycle inhibition in colorectal cancer cells (23, 24). However, the effects of piceatannol on CDDP sensitivity in cancer cells has not previously been investigated.

The objective of the present study was to determine the effects of piceatannol on OVCA growth when treated alone and in combination with CDDP. We hypothesize that piceatannol enhances CDDP sensitivity in OVCA cells by exerting specific influences on key regulators of apoptosis. Our findings indicate that piceatannol enhances the apoptotic action of CDDP in OVCA through nuclear activation and stabilization of p53, pro tease-dependent XIAP down-regulation, and the enhancement of Drp1-dependent mitochondrial fission.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

---

**Reagents**—CDDP, Me2SO, Hoechst 33258, lactacystin, and epoxomicin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Piceatannol was purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). Mouse monoclonal p53 antibodies (DO-1), MDM2, and PARP were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Rabbit monoclonal anti-Ser(P)15,p53, anti-poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) antibodies and siRNA constructs were from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, CA). Rabbit polyclonal anti-NOXA and anti-XIAP antibodies, as well as mouse monoclonal anti-GAPDH and anti-caspase-3 antibodies were from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Peroxidase-conjugated goat antimouse and goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin were purchased from Bio-Rad. Alexa Fluor® 488 and 594 secondary antibodies, Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent, RNase A, TEMED, RPMI 1640, and DMEM/F12 culture media and fetal bovine serum were from Invitrogen. Complete Mini Protease inhibitor mixture tablets and PhosStop phosphatase inhibitor mixture tablets were obtained from Roche Applied Sciences. CMV-wt-p53 and CMV-GFP adenoviral constructs were synthesized by Vector Biolabs (Philadelphia, PA). The MTS assay kit was purchased from Promega (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Cell Lines and Culture**—CDDP-sensitive (OV2008 (wt-p53) and A2780s (wt-p53)) and CDDP-resistant (C13* (wt-p53), A2780cp (p53 mutant), OVCAR-432 (p53 mutant), and SKOV3 (p53 null)) human OVCA cell lines were gifts from Drs. Rakesh Goel and Barbara Vanderhyden (Ottawa Hospital Cancer Center, Ottawa, Canada) and were cultured as previously reported (25). OV2008 cells and their resistant counterpart C13* are of ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma origin with squamous differentiation. A2780s/A2780cp and OCC-1 cells are from undifferentiated ovarian carcinoma tumors. SKOV3 cells are of clear cell carcinoma origin (26).

**Immunoblotting, Immunoprecipitation, and Ubiquitination Assays**—Immunoblotting was performed as previously described (10). Band densities were analyzed and quantified using a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc XRS+ and Image Lab V3.0 (Hercules, CA). For immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed, and supernatant (200 μl) was incubated with protein A Dynabeads (Invitrogen) coated with antibodies targeting HA (2 μg/200 μl; 1 h, room temperature) and immunoprecipitated overnight (4 °C). The beads were pelleted, resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer (2×; 40 μl; Bio-Rad), boiled (10 min), and loaded onto 9% SDS-PAGE. For the XIAP ubiquitination assay, OV2008 cells were transfected with HA-ubiquitin plasmids (1 μg) (Addgene, Cambridge, MA) for 48 h using Lipofectamine 2000.

**MTS Assay and Hoechst 33258 Staining**—The indicated cell lines were seeded for 15 h in 96-well plates and maintained in culture with piceatannol (10 μM) with or without CDDP (10 μM, 24 h), and tetrazolium compound (Promega, Madison, WI) was added to the cultures for an additional 2–4 h, as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance at 490 nm was determined by a Bio-Rad X-Mark microplate analyzer. Apoptosis was assessed based on stereotypic morphological changes, including chromatin condensation, nuclear fragmentation cytoplasmic shrinkage, and the formation of apoptotic bodies (with nuclear fragments) as assessed in previous studies (11, 27, 28). At least 400 cells/treatment group were counted, and the quantification process was blinded to avoid experiment bias.

**Quantitative Real Time PCR, RNA Interference, and Adenoviral Transfection**—Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using SYBR Green (Sigma) and a StepOnePlus real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Relative quantification of gene expression was calculated using the formula 2−ΔΔCt, where ΔΔCt = (Ct_target gene − Ct_GAPDH)ancerous tissue − (Ct_target gene − Ct_GAPDH)normal tissue. For RNA interference studies, OV2008 cells were transfected with p53 or control siRNA (100 nM) for 48 h and cultured as previously described (25). A2780cp cells were transfected with adenoviral constructs containing wt-p53 (multiplicity of infection, 10; 6 h) or control GFP, as previously described (29).

**Immunofluorescence Microscopy and Quantification of Mitochondrial Fission**—OV2008 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 8-well chamber slides. The cells were then incubated with appropriate fluorescence-conjugated secondary antibodies and stained with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen) with DAPI (blue nuclear stain). Coverslips were fixed, and the cells were imaged immediately with a Zeiss...
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FIGURE 1. Effects of piceatannol on CDDP treatment in OVCA cell lines. A, reductions in cell viability caused by PCT (10 μM) in combination with CDDP treatment (10 μM, 24 h) in chemosensitive and chemoresistant OVCA. Piceatannol (10 μM) enhances the effects of CDDP (10 μM, 24 h) in OV2008, A2780s, and C13 cells. Although piceatannol did not confer any additional effects on CDDP treatment in p53-null SKOV-3, it enhanced the effects of CDDP (10 μM) in OVCA-432, a chemosensitive p53-mutant cell line. B, time course study on the effects of PCT (10 μM) and CDDP (10 μM), alone and together, on cell viability of OV2008 cells over 48 h. C, effects of CDDP (5 μM), piceatannol (10 μM), and combined treatment on nuclear morphology in OV2008 cells after 24 h (stained with Hoechst 33258). Dual treatment significantly increases the severity of nuclear condensation and fragmentation in comparison to either agent alone. D, piceatannol treatment (2.5 μM, 24 h) induces a left shift in concentration-response curves for CDDP-induced apoptosis in chemosensitive OV2008 and A2780s. Both cell lines also exhibited a left shift in apoptotic response curves to piceatannol when in the presence of low CDDP concentration (5 μM). E, piceatannol induces a left shift in concentration-response curves for CDDP-induced apoptosis in chemoresistant C13* cells and induces sensitivity in combination with CDDP treatment (10 μM, 24 h) in chemoresistant p53-mutant A2780cp* at 10 μM concentration. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001 versus respective dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) control (CTL).

Animals, OVCA Xenografts, and Immunohistochemistry—All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with animal care guidelines provided by Seoul National University. Male athymic nude mice (6–8 weeks old) were purchased from the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources at Seoul National University. The animals were acclimated for 1 week prior to the study and had free access to food and water. The animals were housed in climate-controlled quarters with a 12-h light/dark cycle. OV2008 (1 × 10⁶) cells in 100 μl of RPMI medium were mixed with 100 μl of Matrigel. Cells implanted subcutaneously in the hind flank of each mouse formed solid and rapidly growing tumors. The mice were treated when tumor volumes reached ~100 mm³ as measured using calipers, and volumes were estimated using the equation V = π/6 (l × h × w). Piceatannol (20 mg/kg/day, 5 days/week) and CDDP (1.8 mg/kg/week) were administered intraperitoneally, and tumor volumes were measured for 18 days, at which time the experiment was terminated on ethical grounds because of the largest control tumors impeding animal mobility. At sacrifice, tumor tissue was removed, and a portion was fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin for immunohistochemical analysis. Sections (5...
μM thick) from 10% neutral formalin solution-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue were cut and mounted on silane-coated glass slides. After being deparaffinization, the sections were boiled in citric acid buffer (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval and immunofluorescence analysis. All of the animal procedures were carried out as approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Seoul National University.

CDDP causes toxic effects in humans during normal therapeutic regimens and is an inseparable aspect of its mode of action. As the guidelines from our institutional animal use and care committee stipulate, euthanasia is recommended if excess discomfort is caused by treatment. As standard procedure, we assessed potential toxicity of the treatments during the course of the studies using a number of morbidity criteria including, but not limited to: body weight loss, decreased feeding, mobility impediment, and irregular fecal appearance. We did not observe any of the above phenomena in mice for any treatment group.

**Statistical Analysis**—Results are expressed as the means ± S.E. of at least three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was carried out by one-way, two-way, or three-way analysis of variance, using SigmaPlot software (versions 12; Systat Software, Chicago, IL). Differences between multiple experimental groups were determined by the Bonferroni post hoc test. Statistical significance was inferred at p < 0.05. To calculate combination index, we used the freely available CalcuSyn software (based on the method described by Chou and Talalay (31)), which calculates combination index from dose-response data.

**RESULTS**
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**FIGURE 3. Piceatannol modulates the p53 tumor suppressor pathway.** A, p53 silencing by siRNA (100 nM, 24 h) reduces the effect of piceatannol treatment (10 μM, 24 h) on CDDP-induced (5 μM) apoptosis and NOXA expression. B, forced expression of wild-type p53 (multiplicity of infection, 10) in a p53 mutant line (A2780cp*) using an adenoviral delivery system enhances piceatannol-induced NOXA expression and apoptosis. C, quantification of localization data reveals statistically significant effects of piceatannol on CDDP-induced nuclear phospho-p53 (Ser15) content. OV2008 cells were treated with CDDP (5 μM) and piceatannol (10 μM) for 6 h. Red fluorescence indicates Ser(P)15-p53 expression, whereas the nucleus is stained blue (DAPI). *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 versus respective dimethyl sulfoxide control (CTL).
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P53 (C13*; Fig. 1A). These observations were less apparent in A2780cp (chemoresistant counterpart of A2780s harboring mutant p53) and SKOV3 (p53-null), raising the possibility that p53 status could be a determinant of piceatannol action. However, OVCAR-432 cells (a chemosensitive line harboring mutant p53) also exhibited marked reductions in cell viability. A time course study (Fig. 1B), revealed that piceatannol, when treated in combination with CDDP, accelerated the reduction in cell viability by 24 h.

We next investigated whether the cytotoxic activity of piceatannol (Fig. 1A) is associated with the induction of apoptosis. Cisplatin-sensitive cells (OV2008 and A2780s) and their chemoresistant counterparts (C13* and A2780cp*, respectively) were cultured in the presence of piceatannol and/or CDDP (0–10 µM, 24 h). Analysis of nuclear morphology using Hoechst 33528 revealed that CDDP (and to a lesser extent piceatannol) caused nuclear condensation and fragmentation typical of apoptosis. This response was markedly enhanced when cells were treated with both CDDP and piceatannol together (0–10 µM, 24 h; Fig. 1C). At a relatively low concentration, piceatannol (2.5 µM) induced a shift in the CDDP concentration-response curve to the left and approximately doubled the total number of OV2008 cells undergoing apoptosis (Fig. 1D). A similar response was observed in A2780s. In C13*, piceatannol (10 µM) promoted CDDP-induced apoptosis by ~3-fold (Fig. 1E, top panel). A2780cp exhibited more robust chemoresistance, but a concentration of 10 µM piceatannol was observed to induce CDDP sensitivity (10 µM, 24 h; Fig. 1E, bottom panel).

The combination index was calculated (based on the method described by Chou and Talalay (31)), and it was determined that the effect of piceatannol and CDDP on apoptosis is additive. The IC50 values (µM) and the combination index for the main cell line of the study (OV2008) were: CDDP IC50 = 3.6, piceatannol (PCT) IC50 = 29.1, and CDDP + PCT combination index = 1.06.

Piceatannol-induced Cisplatin Sensitivity Is Associated with p53 Activation and NOXA Up-regulation—Recent evidence has highlighted the key interplay between p53 and the mitochondria in CDDP-induced apoptosis (8, 11). We investigated whether NOXA, a mitochondria-related apoptotic factor and a transcriptional target of p53, is involved in this regulation. CDDP-induced apoptosis was associated with NOXA transcriptional up-regulation over time, as evidenced by increased NOXA mRNA abundance and protein content (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, in the presence of piceatannol (10 µM, 24 h), CDDP-dependent up-regulation of NOXA protein content increased in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2B). Analysis of p53 expression revealed that submaximal CDDP treatment (5 µM) resulted in p53 activation (increased phospho-p53 (Ser-15)/p53 ratio), a response significantly enhanced by piceatannol (Fig. 2C). MDM2 (mouse double minute 2), which normally functions to maintain low cellular p53 levels, was also down-regulated during co-treatment with CDDP and piceatannol, suggesting that piceatannol may enhance the CDDP response in OVCA by promoting p53 activation and stabilization.

The Effects of Piceatannol on CDDP Response Are p53-dependent—We next investigated the functional relevance of the p53 tumor suppressor pathway in the promotion of CDDP sensitivity by piceatannol. CDDP (5 µM) and piceatannol (10 µM) treatment alone increased p53 and NOXA protein content, as well as apoptosis in wild-type p53 chemosensitive OV2008 cells. However, a markedly higher synergistic response in terms of NOXA expression and apoptosis was observed in the presence of both agents, which was significantly attenuated when p53 expression was silenced using siRNA (100 nM; Fig. 3A). In addition, although piceatannol induced apoptosis in p53-mutant chemoresistant OVCA cells (A2780cp), the reconstitution of wild-type p53 in these cells induced CDDP sensitivity and significantly enhanced the apoptotic response to combination treatment (Fig. 3B).

The NOXA mRNA up-regulation observed in response to CDDP in chemosensitive cells (Fig. 2A) raised the possibility that p53 transcriptional activity could be responsible for the enhanced apoptotic response to CDDP and piceatannol treatment. Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that CDDP (5 µM,
6 h) increased phospho-p53 (Ser15) levels within the nucleus (Fig. 3C). We observed a synergistic increase in p53 activation and nuclear translocation in the presence of both CDDP and piceatannol (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these findings indicate a key role for the p53 tumor suppressor pathway in piceatannol-enhanced CDDP sensitivity.

Piceatannol Promotes XIAP Ubiquitination and Proteasome-mediated Degradation—We observed that piceatannol (10 µM, 24 h) enhanced CDDP-induced caspase-3 activation in a concentration-dependent manner in OV2008 cells (Fig. 4A). This hinted at the possibility that the prominent caspase inhibitor XIAP could be involved in piceatannol action. We found that CDDP (5 µM) slightly decreased XIAP content, activated caspase-3, and induced PARP cleavage. However, treatment with piceatannol significantly down-regulated XIAP content to an extent greater than CDDP alone while having minimal effects on caspase-3 activation and PARP cleavage. These responses were greatly enhanced when cells were simultaneously treated with piceatannol and CDDP (Fig. 4B).

We next sought to investigate whether the 26 S proteasome was involved in the piceatannol-dependent degradation of XIAP. Piceatannol down-regulated XIAP protein content in both chemosensitive (OV2008) and chemoresistant (C13*) OVCA cells in a concentration-dependent manner (0–10 µM, 24 h; Fig. 4C). Co-treatment of chemosensitive OV2008 cells with the specific proteasome inhibitors lactacystin or epoxomicin, but not with the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk, significantly attenuated piceatannol-induced XIAP down-regulation (Fig. 4D). Ubiquitination analysis revealed that piceatannol-induced proteasomal XIAP degradation was associated with polyubiquitination of the protein, indicating that degradation likely occurs via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (Fig. 4E).

Piceatannol Enhances CDDP-dependent Apoptosis by Facilitating Drp1-dependent Mitochondrial Fission—Mitochondria are highly dynamic organelles involved in the two opposing processes of fission and fusion (32–34). Although mitochondrial filamentous tubules form numerous small punctate particles when cells undergo cell death (35, 36), the role of fission in the regulation of drug-induced apoptosis is poorly understood (37, 38). Drp1 is a key regulator of mitochondrial fission and its recruitment to the mitochondria is essential for fission, subsequent cytochrome c release, and the activation of apoptosis.
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(39). To investigate whether mitochondrial fission is a determinant of chemosensitivity in OVCA cells and whether the promotion of apoptosis by piceatannol is in part mediated by changes in mitochondrial morphology, we first examined the influence of CDDP and piceatannol (alone and in combination) on mitochondrial morphology in OV2008. As shown in Fig. 5A, cells were assessed overall as having mitochondria that were of tubular or fragmented morphology. Whereas CDDP (5 μM) or piceatannol (10 μM) alone significantly decreased the number of cells exhibiting tubular mitochondria and increased those with fragmented mitochondria, these responses were markedly exaggerated upon co-treatment of the two compounds (Fig. 5B). We observed that piceatannol treatment (10 μM; 0–6 h) resulted in a rapid and time-dependent dephosphorylation of Drp1 (Fig. 5C), an event that precedes the oligomerization of the protein needed for mitochondrial fission to occur (16). To determine whether mitochondrial fission is at least in part involved in CDDP/piceatannol-induced apoptosis, we examined the influence of the specific Drp1 oligomerization inhibitor mDivi-1 (10 μM) on mitochondrial fission and apoptosis induced by the two agents alone and together. The presence of mDivi-1 significantly rescued the number of cells exhibiting fragmented mitochondria in all treated groups (Fig. 5B). We next investigated whether Drp1-dependent mitochondrial fission and its attenuation by mDivi-1 had any influence on the apoptotic response to CDDP and/or piceatannol. Co-treatment with mDivi-1 reduced caspase-3 activation and apoptosis induced by CDDP and piceatannol alone and in combination (Fig. 5D).

Combination Treatment with Piceatannol and CDDP Increases Mitochondrial Fission and Attenuates Tumor Growth in a Mouse Model of OVCA—To confirm the physiological relevance of the our in vitro observations, we examined the influence of CDDP and piceatannol on OVCA tumor growth in vivo. Over the course of 18 days, we observed that co-treatment with piceatannol (20 mg/kg/day, 5 days/week) and CDDP (1.8 mg/kg/week) significantly reduced tumor growth compared with controls, an effect that was not achieved by either agent alone (the relatively low dose of CDDP for in vivo administration was chosen to avoid excessive cytotoxicity for animals receiving combination treatment; Fig. 6A). Three-way analysis of variance indicated significant effects (p < 0.001) of piceatannol, CDDP, and time and a significant interaction between piceatannol and CDDP (p < 0.05), as evidenced by a greater reduction in tumor volume in the presence of the two compounds. Upon sacrifice, tumors were recovered and immediately weighed (Fig. 6B). Although no significant differences were detected between tumor weights of control mice and those treated with CDDP or piceatannol alone, co-administration of the two compounds caused a statistically significant reduction in tumor weight. Assessment of mitochondrial morphology within the recovered tumors was conducted using immunofluorescence analysis (Fig. 6C). Treatment with CDDP and piceatannol was associated with an increase in mitochondria of fragmented morphology, at the expense of tubular mitochondria. This effect was greatly enhanced in mice receiving the co-treatment, with some tumors appearing to lack tubular mitochondria entirely.

Piceatannol Enhances CDDP-dependent p53 Activation, XIAP Degradation, and Apoptosis in Vivo—Consistent with our in vitro observations (Fig. 1), tumors recovered from our xenograft studies exhibited higher levels of apoptotic cell death because of combination treatment, as evidenced by TUNEL staining (Fig. 7A). Immunofluorescence analysis of phospho-p53 (Ser-15) (Fig. 7B) revealed expression patterns consistent with our in vitro observations (Fig. 3C). Similarly, piceatannol treatment in combination with CDDP was observed to enhance CDDP-dependent XIAP down-regulation to an extent greater than either agent alone (Fig. 7C). These results further support our hypothesis that piceatannol enhances CDDP-dependent apoptosis in OVCA, at least in part, by regulating key factors related to the p53 tumor suppressor pathway.

DISCUSSION

Novel strategies that improve chemosensitivity while minimizing undesirable side effects are needed to improve quality of life and therapeutic outcomes for OVCA patients. In the present study, we investigated the effects of the dietary stilbenoid piceatannol on CDDP sensitivity in OVCA cells in vitro and in vivo, with the goal of reducing therapeutic CDDP concentrations needed to induce apoptosis. With this outcome in mind, we selected relatively low doses of both piceatannol and CDDP. Physiologically relevant concentrations of resveratrol are often significantly lower than those used for in vitro studies. Estimates range from as low as 50 nm (40) to 0.1 μM, with 1–100 μM concentrations described as “supraphysiological” (41). Clinical...
studies on resveratrol bioavailability using human subjects has shown that despite metabolization into glucuronide and sulfate conjugates coupled to renal elimination, oral administration of resveratrol can achieve 0.1–6 μM blood concentrations for several hours, without any apparent side effects (42). We thus sought a balance between concentrations high enough to detect statistical changes caused by treatment across different cell lines, with a dose low enough to maintain physiological relevance. We observed that piceatannol enhances the CDDP response in OVCA by promoting p53 stability and activation, mitochondrial fission, and XIAP degradation (Fig. 8).

We have previously identified mechanisms responsible for a number of factors that regulate chemosensitivity in OVCA (8, 11, 34). The tumor suppressor p53 plays a central role in apoptosis, and its activation is critical for sensitivity to genotoxic agents like CDDP (27). Our results show that the increased apoptotic responses to both CDDP and piceatannol appear to be at least partially p53-dependent. The effects of CDDP on cell cycle arrest in cancer cells has been described extensively and involves S phase to early G2 arrest as a result of DNA damage (43). Similarly, we observed that piceatannol induces G2 arrest in chemosensitive OV2008 cells, as well as S phase arrest in chemoresistant C13 cells (data not shown).

CDDP-induced DNA damage activates p53 and the subsequent transcription of gene targets mediated by phosphorylation at Ser15 (44), including NOXA. NOXA targets the mitochondrial outer membrane, where it plays a prominent role in membrane permeabilization (45). Other transcriptional regulators of NOXA also exist, including the transcription factor FoxO1. Although NOXA activation appears to have a minor p53-independent mechanism as seen in the p53-mutant cells (A2780cp), RNA silencing revealed that piceatannol exerts at least some of its effects via p53 (Fig. 3).

FIGURE 7. Effect of piceatannol and CDDP treatment on p53 activation, XIAP down-regulation, and apoptosis in vivo. A, apoptosis, as examined by immunofluorescent TUNEL staining (yellow), showing enhanced CDDP-dependent apoptosis in the presence of piceatannol. B, effect of piceatannol on nuclear SerP15-p53 localization. Images are representative of overall staining patterns present in three separate tumors. C, piceatannol enhanced CDDP-dependent XIAP (red) down-regulation. Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Fluorescence intensity in 200 cells/replicate was quantified using the ImageJ software package. **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 of combination treated group versus respective CDDP-only treated group. CTL, control.
The role of the mitochondrial fission in apoptotic induction has long been established, but only recently have functional food agents been identified that can influence mitochondrial morphology toward this end (51). In the present study, we show for the first time that piceatannol induces Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission, contributing to higher apoptotic capacity when the cells are treated in combination with CDDP. Our in vivo observations revealed that piceatannol not only decreases ovarian tumor volume and weight in combination with CDDP, but also appears to exert a significant influence on the same factors identified in vitro. We acknowledge that the implantation of human tumor grafts or fresh samples of human ovarian cancer would have added further translational relevance to our findings. However, taking into account practical considerations, we alternatively sought to further test our hypotheses supported by the in vitro observations and thus chose the same cell line for the in vivo experiments.

The broad mechanism of CDDP action in cross-linking DNA causes side effects that range from moderate (including alopecia, nausea, and erythema) to severe (nephrotoxicity, ototoxicity, myelotoxicity, and hemolytic anemia) (52, 53). These factors limit its applicable dose range. We carefully selected our cisplatin dose based on reports in the literature using similar study designs (54, 55) and deliberately selected a slightly lower dose to avoid excess toxicity from combination treatment. In fact, at the dose used in the current study, combination treatment significantly reduced tumor volume and burden, whereas neither CDDP nor PCT alone had any significant effects on these responses.

Although piceatannol influences several major determinants of apoptosis in OVCA, its precise molecular target(s) remain to be determined. Prior studies indicate that piceatannol can bind to and inhibit receptor tyrosine kinases, although the downstream consequences of such inhibition are not fully understood (56). Recent studies have shown that piceatannol can inhibit PI3K, through direct physical binding (22); however, we observed that piceatannol treatment had no effect on Akt activation in OV208 cells (data not shown). Piceatannol has been reported to act as a receptor antagonist in breast cancer cells, via its estrogen-like activity (57). However, the mode of action of piceatannol is likely to be different in ovarian cancer cell lines because breast cancers (and uterine cancers) are often estrogen-sensitive, whereas ovarian and cervical cancers are relatively estrogen-insensitive (58). The Drp1-dependent increases in mitochondrial fission observed point to the possible involvement of its regulator, the calcium-dependent phosphatase calcineurin. If and how this enzyme is involved in piceatannol action remains to be determined.

Global epidemiological data demonstrates that OVCA risk follows regional patterns, suggesting that cultural and lifestyle factors are at least partially responsible (59). A major lifestyle factor is diet, with multiple lines of evidence pointing to a link between a diet rich in phytochemicals and reduced overall cancer risk (60). Resveratrol has been reported to inhibit the growth of a wide range of cancer cells, including OVCA (18). However, convincing evidence for the therapeutic anti-cancer activity of resveratrol in clinical trials remains elusive and underlines the need for further aspects to be taken into consid-

FIGURE 8. Hypothetical model illustrating multiple actions of piceatannol during CDDP treatment in chemosensitive ovarian cancer cells. Co-treatment with piceatannol leads to Drp1-dependent mitochondrial fission and XIAP down-regulation and enhanced p53-dependent apoptosis.

XIAP is a potent inhibitor of caspases and apoptotic cell death (46) and is a major determinant of chemoresistance in OVCA (7). As a possible drug target, much interest has been generated in the development of compounds (e.g., SMAC mimetics) that inhibit XIAP activity (47). We chose to focus on piceatannol’s effects on XIAP for a number of reasons. XIAP is the most potent of the IAP family and is the only member that inhibits caspases 3, 7 and 9 (48, 49). In addition, the effects of piceatannol on Bcl-2 expression have been characterized in a number of studies, whereas the effects of piceatannol on XIAP have not previously been described (50). Our results demonstrate that piceatannol down-regulates XIAP content in OVCA cells in vitro, as well as in OVCA xenografts in vivo to an extent greater than CDDP alone, despite the fact that CDDP induces higher levels of apoptosis. This may suggest that at least one mechanism of piceatannol action in CDDP sensitivity is to down-regulate apoptotic inhibitors such as XIAP to “clear the way” for increased caspase activation upon genotoxic challenge.
eration (20). Further studies are needed into the pharmacodynamic properties of piceatannol and how the compound might be modified for higher efficacy.

In conclusion, we have shown for the first time that piceatannol increases CDDP sensitivity in OVCA both in vitro and in vivo by modulating several key determinants of chemoresistance (Fig. 7). Co-treatment with piceatannol in both chemoresistant and chemosensitive cells effectively reduces the concentrations of CDDP needed for the equivalent effect of higher doses. Functional food compounds such as piceatannol represent attractive options for the development of novel treatment strategies for OVCA, because of their long history of human consumption and relative ease of manufacture.
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