Case Report

Recurrent hydrothorax due to diaphragmatic perforation post hepatocellular carcinoma radiofrequency ablation: A case report
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Abstract

Radiofrequency ablation is one of the most commonly used therapies for potentially curative small hepatocellular carcinoma. Although radiofrequency is usually a safe procedure, severe and potentially fatal complications can happen. This is a case of a 72 years old woman with cirrhosis secondary to Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver Disease (MAFLD), who presented recurrent hydrothorax after treating hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with radiofrequency ablation (RFA), and in which diaphragmatic perforation was subsequently diagnosed. We reviewed the differential diagnosis and management in a pleural effusion after a radiofrequency procedure. Although diaphragmatic perforation is an uncommon complication after RFA procedure, this case aims to help clinicians being aware of non-habitual complications.

Background

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an aggressive tumor that often occurs in the setting of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis and therapeutic options are determined both by tumoral stage and the severity of the underlying liver disease.

Treatment options are divided into potentially curative therapies (hepatic resection, orthotopic liver transplantation or percutaneous ablation including among other techniques: radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation, microwave) and palliative therapies (i.e. transarterial chemoembolization, or systemic therapy) [2].

Among ablative therapies, one of the most commonly used is radiofrequency ablation (RFA) which is considered a potentially curative therapeutic option and commonly accepted as the best alternative for patients with small HCCs (< 3 cm in diameter) who are not candidates for surgical resection or liver transplantation [2]. Although RFA is a minimally invasive procedure, well tolerated and with a low percentage of side effects, severe and potentially fatal complications can occur.

Here, we report an uncommon complication of RFA presented as a recurrent hydrothorax 6 months after the procedure due to a diaphragmatic perforation.

Case report

A 72-year-old woman was admitted because of recurrent hydrothorax (Figure 1). The patient had liver cirrhosis secondary to Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver Disease (MAFLD) in Child-Pugh’s stage B (8 points). The patient had previously presented an esophageal variceal bleeding. During follow-up, a 27 mm HCC was diagnosed in segment VI (Figure 2), that was successfully treated by RFA in May 2018. The patient was followed in the outpatient clinic
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without evidence of tumor recurrence until 18 months later, when a new 15 mm HCC was detected by CT scan in segment VI/VII (Figure 3), hydrothorax was not present at that time. A new RFA was performed in September 2019 without complications. Six months after the procedure, the patient was admitted to the hospital for dyspnea. A right hepatic hydrothorax was observed, and a thoracentesis confirmed the presence of a pleural effusion compatible with a transudate (protein 1.9 g/dL, LDH 110 IU/L) without signs of infection and no malignant cells in the cytological extension. Despite repeated thoracentesis and diuretic treatment, pleural effusion recurred. A thoraco-abdominal CT showed a cirrhotic liver without new lesions, moderate ascites, splenomegaly, patent portal vein with a splenorenal shunt, and moderate pleural effusion without pleural or lung lesions.

With the intention of performing a chemical pleurodesis, a video-assisted thoracoscopy surgery (VATS) was performed demonstrating the presence of a diaphragmatic perforation 4 cm in diameter (Figure 4) which was surgically repaired. In the immediate postoperative period, a pleural drainage was required due to hydrothorax recurrence. After 10 weeks, the patient remains without pleural fluid despite having abdominal ascites that has required multiple evacuating paracentesis.

Discussion

RFA provides long-term local control for most selected patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma, with the possibility of long-term disease-free survival. Complete radiographic response rates (complete necrosis) following RFA are 90% to 99% for tumors < 5 cm, with the highest and most consistent response rates for lesions ≤ 3 cm [5,6].

Although RFA is relatively well tolerated, severe and potentially fatal complications can occur. Major complications develop in 2% to 11% of procedures, and the mortality rate is 0.1% to 0.8% [4,5]. Among the most frequent complications, it is worth to mention haemorrhagic complications (intra-abdominal or intra-hepatic bleeding, subcapsular hematoma or haemobilia), liver abscess, skin burns, pneumothorax or pleural effusion. Diaphragm perforation (with or without herniation) is a rare major complication [7]. The mechanism of diaphragm perforation after RFA has not been clarified yet, although three causative factors have been described: tumor location, collateral thermal injury and advanced cirrhosis status. Similar to our case, location is one of the most important causative factors. This is especially
important in peripheral subcapsular tumors, since the risk of diaphragmatic thermal injury increases, as the visualization by the radiologist using ultrasounds is complicated due to the lung and ribs interference. Although not as well studied, the incidence of pleural effusion is about 0.1%, with a similar rate for diaphragmatic hernias [7].

Hepatic hydrothorax is the presence of pleural effusion in a patient with cirrhosis without evidence of cardiopulmonary disease. It occurs in 5% - 15% of patients with cirrhotic portal hypertension and is associated with high mortality [1].

It has been proposed that the combination of positive intraabdominal pressure and negative intrathoracic pressure facilitates the movement of fluid from the peritoneal cavity into the pleural space through defects in the diaphragm.

The differential diagnosis of hepatic hydrothorax in HCC patients who undergo RFA includes malignant, infectious and diaphragm injury-related pleural fluid. The study of the pleural effusion after RFA should include a diagnostic thoracentesis with biochemistry, cytological extension and microbiological culture. In addition, a thoracic CT scan is mandatory to rule out the presence of a lung or pleural tumor, or any anatomical defects. Hepatic hydrothorax can occasionally be diagnosed through direct visualization of diaphragmatic defects by VATS, although this procedure is invasive and should be performed only if the diagnosis remains unclear or it is planned to repair the defect.

There are some techniques to prevent or minimize diaphragmatic thermal injury mostly related to tumor location issues, such as artificial ascites, artificial pleural effusion, carbon dioxide insufflation or laparoscopy assisted ablation [2].

Approximately 50 RFA are performed per year since 2004 in our hospital. This is the first documented case of hydrothorax secondary to a diaphragmatic defect caused by this procedure in our Liver Unit. Another case was detected years ago in our center, but we couldn’t find enough data to report it.

In conclusion, the present report presents a case of recurrent hepatic hydrothorax due to a diaphragmatic perforation after RFA. Although this complication is uncommon, clinicians should be aware of its occurrence, and keep in mind this possibility as part of the differential diagnosis in a patient with liver cirrhosis and HCC, after a RFA procedure especially in subcapsular and subphrenic locations.
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