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**Abstract** | Chytridiomycosis is an emerging infectious disease affecting amphibians globally and it is caused by the fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*). Chytridiomycosis has caused dramatic declines and even extinctions in wild amphibian populations in Europe, Australia, Central and North America. Spanning over two and a half decades, extensive research has led to discovery of epizootic and enzootic lineages of this pathogen. However, the *Bd*–amphibian system had garnered less attention in Asia until recently when an ancestral *Bd* lineage was identified in the Korean peninsula. Amphibians co-exist with the pathogen in Asia, only sub-lethal effects have been documented on hosts. Such regions are ‘coldspots’ of infection and are an important resource to understand the dynamics between the enzootic pathogen—*Bd* and its obligate host—amphibians. Insights into the biology of infection have provided new knowledge on the multi-faceted interaction of *Bd* in a hyperdiverse Asian amphibian community. We present the findings and highlight the knowledge gap that exists, and propose the ways to bridge them. We emphasize that chytridiomycosis in Asia is an important wildlife disease and it needs focussed research, as it is a dynamic front of pathogen diversity and virulence.

1 Introduction

Amphibians are declining throughout the world and one of the major drivers of this is an ancient, non-hyphal aquatic fungus. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) is a chytridiomycetes fungal pathogen that infects only amphibian hosts. In the early part of the twentieth century, frog die-offs in pristine and protected areas in Central America, the Carribean and Australia triggered an alarm. These were called ‘enigmatic declines’ as there was no evidence of a causal factor, until it was discovered that a chytrid fungus was responsible for causing mortality in frog populations. As reports of chytridiomycosis emerged from several continents, the true magnitude of the impact it had caused on frog populations was understood. This event earned an opprobrious title as ‘Amphibian Apocalypse’ and it has been “one of the worst wildlife diseases that has emerged in the twentieth century in terms of the number of species impacted and also its propensity to drive the species to extinction.” Until now, *Bd* has been linked to population declines in almost 500 species amphibians, with over 90 extinctions globally, across its three extant Orders.

In amphibians, skin is an organ of respiration, thermoregulation, and exchange of electrolytes with their environment, and therefore, skin infections could lead to fatality in them. The chytrid fungus has a uni-flagellated, ophisthokont zoospore that swims with a characteristic ‘whiplash’ movement in the aquatic environment. Once this zoospore finds suitable substrates like the keratinous layer of the amphibians’ skin, it develops a thallus and grows in the *stratum corneum* of the epidermis. Here it forms a zoosporangium that increases in size and develops discharge papillae that allows motile zoospores to disperse and infect new hosts.
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to exit the host and latch on to other hosts. The susceptible host finally dies due to an osmotic shock within a maximum of 35 days after onset of infection. This fungus belongs to the family Chytridiomycota which is an ancient fungal family. Most members of this family of fungi are not pathogenic.

Since chytridiomycosis is classified as an emerging infectious disease (EID), many theories have been offered to explain the emergence of the disease. A dominant theory posits that disequilibrium between the host, pathogen, and environment causes EIDs to emerge. The factors contributing to the disequilibrium could be several, including a change in abiotic conditions that might have altered host–pathogen interactions. The emerging nature of the disease has piqued scientific investigations globally, as disease spread of such nature is rare in wildlife. Two major hypotheses were advanced to explain the emergence of chytridiomycosis. The novel pathogen hypothesis (NPH) states that the disease emerged independently in different continents as a result of global trade and infects naïve hosts. This has been validated by studies that showed epizootic episodes of Bd on the global trade routes. Genetic studies using multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) suggest no genetic structuring or pronounced genetic diversity in the samples of Bd collected. This has been attributed to recent expansion from a singular infection epicentre. The endemic pathogen hypothesis (EPH) posits that Bd is a long-standing commensal on the amphibian skin microbiome and the most recent shifts in climate, habitats and even host factors like, loss of resilience might have distorted the equilibrium between the host and the commensal. This hypothesis receives support from the discovery of Bd from skin swabs of ancient museum specimens of amphibians from South America and Africa. Over time, evidence has been assembled to join the dots between sudden emergence of chytridiomycosis, the dynamics of amphibian populations and climate change impacts. A strong support for this theory has come from Litoria wilcoxii populations that showed varied responses to Bd infection across an altitudinal or latitudinal range and across seasons. Such a continuum of host responses to various biotic and abiotic factors suggest that EPH might be a tenable explanation for Bd pathogenesis on frogs. However, the most recent evidence from 234 chytrid isolates acquired over a span of 20 years from different continents re-defined our understanding of the origin of the pathogen. This study revealed a distinct Bd ASIA-1 lineage. This lineage with high genetic diversity from Asia might be the ancestral to Bd GPL (Global Panzootic Lineage), Bd ASIA 2/Bd BRAZIL and Bd CAPE21. Asia has been a major exporter of frog legs which might have spread Bd into other continents, and this explains the possible origin of Bd from Asia.

There is enormous disparity in our knowledge on chytridiomycosis in different parts of the world. The continents with Bd hotspots such as, the Americas and Australia, have channelled more resources and published work, which has led to extensive standardisation of diagnostic and monitoring protocols for Bd infections in wild and captive frogs. In Africa and Asia, mortalities have been poorly or not documented. These efforts led to a Global Bd mapping project where regional surveillance efforts have been compiled in the form of an interactive database. These countries with Bd hotspots have collaborated to acquire and archive cultures for research on Bd. The European Union project RACE (Risk Assessment for Chytridiomycosis to European Amphibian Diversity) is a good example of this, and it has standardised protocols for culturing Bd championed by Joyce Longcore. This effort witnessed a vast collective of researchers working across 5 continents, 23 countries and 62 extant amphibian species.

First reports of chytridiomycosis from Asia came as late as 2008 from Japan. In Giant Japanese Salamander, Andrias japonicas a museum specimen from 1902 had Bd on its skin. After this, a series of efforts were made to document prevalence of Bd infection from different parts of Asia. Lethal outbreak of the infection has so far not been recorded from Asia and therefore, it is referred to as a ‘coldspot’ of Bd infection. The reason for tolerance of hosts to Bd infections in coldspots is not clearly understood. With more than 500 amphibian species and over 60% endemic to South Asia, this region presents an important region for studies on Chytridiomycosis. This review is an attempt at recapitulating previous studies on the Bd–frog system from Asia to acknowledge the existence of certain crucial research gaps and also emphasize the need for a directed and concerted effort in these ‘coldspots’ to tackle an important wildlife pathogen. In this review, we have tried to understand the course of this host–pathogen system in Asia in the context of parallel advances in this field across other parts of the world, which makes this review different from some previous reviews on chytrid from Asia. This puts our work in perspective and offers wider opportunities to design future trajectory.
of this dynamic host–pathogen system in Asian coldspots.

2 Why ‘Coldspots’ of Chytridiomycosis?
Coldspots of infection are geographic regions with abundant host population that do not have the pathogen or areas where the pathogen is present in high or low prevalence with low loads of infection per host\(^3\). Initial studies on chytridiomycosis were almost singularly focussed on the frog population that experienced mortalities. This effort did not reveal the existence of genetic structuring in the pathogen. Goka et al.\(^2\) study was among the first to highlight that genetic homogeneity observed in \(Bd\) haplotypes was due to sampling from the epizootic fronts of the disease. As the \(Bd\) sampling expanded to represent different regions including coldspots, many endemic strains were revealed. Interestingly, sampling in ‘coldspots’ suggested that there were some unexpected patterns, such as the existence of endemic strains restricted to certain continents, and the panzooctic lineage was found globally. The first extensive study in Asia (along with Papua New Guinea), involved sampling 3363 amphibians belonging to three orders (Anurans, Caudates and Gymnophiona) that were swabbed for \(Bd\)\(^2\). It pointed out low prevalence (2.2\%) of \(Bd\) and majority of the infection loads lower than the threshold of infection for frogs\(^3\). The areas surveyed for \(Bd\) infection\(^4\) were chosen based on a species distribution model (SDM) of \(Bd\) global geographic distribution\(^5\).

The key findings from the extensive \(Bd\) surveillance effort from Asia prompted three major hypotheses: (1) \(Bd\) GPL has not yet emerged or dispersed into Asian frogs; (2) \(Bd\) in Asia is endemic, therefore, native amphibians have coevolved and are not impacted fatally; (3) various biotic and abiotic factors in Asian countries are unfavourable for \(Bd\) to emerge as a pathogen. The spatio-temporal pattern in Asia seems to deviate from the expectation of an emerging pathogen. A wave-like pattern of spread is expected when \(Bd\) infects a naïve amphibian population\(^6,\)\(^7\). It will result in immediate impacts, such as dwindling of frog populations and eventually a collapse of the amphibian community. It will advance in the direction of more susceptible host species in suitable climatic regimes\(^6,\)\(^7\). Infected frog populations in Asia do not follow this geographic pattern of spread. Museum specimens from 1902 revealed \(Bd\), suggesting that it could have been present in Asian frog populations since long\(^2\). However, museum specimens representing a geographic area or a period have not been studied in Asia, so the time since \(Bd\) infections for Asian frog populations is not known. For the second hypothesis, recent evidence reveals that several haplotypes of \(Bd\) are involved in causing asymptomatic infection in frogs\(^2,\)\(^6,\)\(^7\). The third hypothesis about biotic and abiotic factors might be difficult to test, because of the dearth in understanding of the pathogen in Asia. SDMs predict suitable areas for the pathogen to thrive and infect frogs in Asia. There are speculations that in coldspots, ‘Ghosts of Infection Past’ could have played a role. This means the community of frogs have resisted and recovered from epizootic waves of \(Bd\) infection in the historical past\(^5\). Coldspots with a high prevalence and low infection loads, imply stable coexistence between the host and pathogens\(^5\). Therefore, coldspots of infection should be investigated to gain an in-depth understanding of the limits of pathogen virulence\(^5\).

3 Evolutionary History of \(Bd\) and the Importance of Studies from Coldspots
The debate on the origin of \(Bd\) centred around Africa\(^8\), Japan\(^9\), East Asia\(^10\), South America\(^11\), and North America\(^12\). There was ambiguity on the time of divergence of \(Bd\) from the most recent ancestor. Age of \(Bd\) was estimated to range from 100 years\(^1\) to 25,000 years\(^2\). \(Bd\) ASIA-1 isolated from Korea had the signatures of an ancient lineage and was basal to all the other known lineages in the global phylogeny. \(Bd\) CH (Switzerland) grouped with the clade belonging to \(Bd\) ASIA-1 and a lineage \(Bd\) ASIA-2 that was isolated from introduced North American Bullfrogs in Korea. It was closely related to \(Bd\) BRAZIL, and hence it was named as \(Bd\) ASIA-2/BRAZIL. These inferences were made using high-throughput sequencing of whole mitogenomes of the \(Bd\) isolates to establish the phylogenetic relationship between the various lineages\(^13\). Seven South Korean frogs that yielded the isolates of \(Bd\) ASIA-1 in this study showed no clinical infections. This was noteworthy, because it points at the need for focussed surveys in Asia where there are no mortalities, because there could be infection by hitherto unknown enzootic \(Bd\) lineages. This work constitutes an important landmark in global chytrid research, as it established the link between field surveys and culturing chytrid from coldspots of infection to answer the most basic question that has eluded us for nearly 20 years—where did this pathogen originate?
Extensive use of gene sequences to diagnose infections and draw phylogenies of Bd led to a thorough investigation of the limitations of different genetic markers employed. The use of ITS (Internal Transcribed Spacer region), a nuclear genome element for phylogenetic studies, resulted in ambiguous classification of the isolates, though it is touted as an excellent diagnostic marker for Bd. Mitogenomes have been used to establish phylogenetic relationships and ascertaining lineages of Bd. Bd GPL diverged from the other lineages 120 years ago which coincided with the global expansion of amphibian pet trade, food and medicinal purposes. Some of these amphibians might have been infected with the enzootic lineage in Asia and spread into the naïve frog populations in America and Australia.

There is evidence of sexual reproduction in Bd. Hybridization between lineages upon contacting is possible and it has been reported, O’Hanlon et al., has also reported three hybrid genotypes, two of them between Bd GPL and Bd CAPE from South Africa. In 2019, Byrne et al. reported a new diverged lineage of Bd from Asia, named Bd ASIA-3 which was commonly reported in samples from Philippines, Indonesia and parts of China. In these countries, Bd ASIA-3 is known to co-occur with Bd GPL. In this study, a sequence from the Philippines could not be classified into either of the two lineages. However, there were no signs of hybridization, and it was concluded to belong to an early branching event caused by a new lineage from Asia.

With over 7800 amphibians described till date, approximately 700 species were infected with Bd out of only 1300 species screened, and a thriving global trade of live frogs involving over 450 species, it is huge a challenge to tease apart the cryptic diversity of the pathogen in Asia, where two new lineages have been identified in the last two years! This signals Asia as a coldspot of infection and amphibians here should be monitored for new and hybrid chytrid lineages. These could be potentially new strains that are more virulent than the parent lineages. Since this region might harbour hybrid lineages, it should be the focus for intensive monitoring: as they might serve as spawning grounds for potent Bd strains to evolve with stronger pathogenic traits than their parents and cause outbreaks in naïve frog populations globally. We already know that the transition from colonising an amphibian to actually causing a disease is context-dependent. Therefore, individual and population level outcomes of Bd infections might depend on various factors irrespective of whether the infection is caused by an enzootic or panzootic lineage. All these factors make future studies in coldspots indispensable to understand the biology of this amphibian-specialist pathogen.

4 Current Understanding of Chytridiomycosis from Asian Coldspots

Research investments on chytridiomycosis in coldspots ranges from, no knowledge of the pathogen in amphibian populations to regular monitoring of the host, the pathogen, and culturing of Bd isolates. Disparity in research investments has caused large gaps in knowledge about the dynamics of the pathogen. In order to bridge these gaps, they need to be highlighted and filled in due course of time. We present the case for India and the countries that it shares borders with. This geographic area is located at the intersection of global biogeographic realms. As a consequence of this, the phylogenetic richness of amphibians is high. In India alone, there are 406 species of frogs (Anurans), 39 known species of caecilians (Gymnophiona) and 2 known species of salamanders (Caudata). Thirty five species of caecilians are endemic to India, making it a hotspot of caecilian diversity. Amphibian biodiversity in this region had not been surveyed for chytridiomycosis until recently. There has been no amphibian die off events in India except for some incidents linked to unknown causes. The first report of chytridiomycosis came in 2011 from the Western Ghats, where 180 frogs belonging to the endemic genera Indirana were screened for Ranavirus (a viral disease affecting amphibians) and chytridiomycosis. While their survey showed all samples negative for Ranavirus, one sample from Indirana brachytarsus, was tested positive for Bd. The infection load reported using quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) assay for Bd was low (ranged from 0.3 to 3) and the frog did not show any clinical symptoms of chytridiomycosis. This was followed by surveys by Dahanukar et al. in the northern Western Ghats, where samples were screened for Bd using nested PCR and qPCR from swab and tissue samples from Nectibatrachus humayuni, Indirana leithii, and Raorchestes bombayensis which were also subjected to histopathological examination. In this study, 32 frogs belonging to 5 genera (Nectibatrachus humayuni, Indirana leithii, Raorchestes bombayensis, Euphlyctis cyanophlyctis, and Fejervarya caperata) were swabbed and 8 tested positive for Bd infection. The haplotypes identified on the frogs were closely related...
to Asia-specific haplotypes like B and K from Japan\textsuperscript{27} and CN30 from China\textsuperscript{26}. An interesting observation made through this study was—\textit{Bd} positive frogs showed no symptoms of infection, except for one \textit{N. humayuni} that showed symptoms such as, browning of skin and lesions on the limbs. A common, albeit mild symptom that was recorded in all the three species was inflammation on the digits. However, the load of infection on the frogs was low. In 2015, around 497 frogs were sampled across the entire Western Ghats, which spans a wide altitudinal, latitudinal range\textsuperscript{36}. They found 8 \textit{Bd} positive from 497 samples. This study documented a higher infection load ranging from 6 to 785 zoospore equivalents as opposed to 0.3 to 3\textsuperscript{31} and 2 to 13\textsuperscript{34}. They recorded strains which were identical to that of the previous studies recorded from India, and were closely related to other recorded Asian strains. This suggested an endemic clade of \textit{Bd} from Asia involved in infections of Indian amphibians with a low prevalence, low individual load of infection. However, it was not clear how widespread the disease was.

Between 2012 and 2017 extensive \textit{Bd} surveys were made from all the biodiversity hotspots in India by Mutnale et al.\textsuperscript{37}. A large number of frogs from 147 locations across these hotspots, to be the first study from the country, to screen 9 families, 33 genera and 111 species of frogs from these areas. They recorded 158 samples with \textit{Bd} infection out of the 1870 swabs collected, and they assessed ITS region haplotype diversity. Out of 57 haplotypes retrieved 46 were unique to India. Some frogs had more than one haplotype of \textit{Bd} on them. Thirty-three haplotypes were unique to the mainland of India and 19 to the Andaman and Nicobar islands. After their initial experiments showed poor performance of TaqMan quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay, a gold standard diagnostic assay for chytridiomycosis globally, they used Nested PCR assay following the protocol by Goka et al.\textsuperscript{26}. Four novel haplotypes sequenced in this study had insertion–deletion mutations in the \textit{Bd} diagnostic TaqMan probe binding sites and in the reverse priming site of the qPCR primers. They also went on to predict that the region could have \textit{Bd} with 160 haplotypes. With high haplotype diversity and poor diagnostic assays, the study documented some patterns that were unprecedented and it drew the attention of researchers globally.

Three major clades of Asian ITS haplotypes have been identified, one that has pan-global distribution (India, China, Japan, Italy, South Africa, and USA); second clade with haplotypes from Korea, Japan, and Brazil; third clade consisted of haplotypes with mutations at the TaqMan probe binding site confined to India, China, and Japan. There were some that did not show any specific association. The most common haplotype IN02 from India formed a cluster with haplotypes from Italy, South Africa, USA, China, and Japan. Haplotypes IN14 and CN13 from India and China, respectively, clustered with global pandemic strain \textit{Bd} JEL 423. It became clear that Asia has several haplotypes and they are closely related to those found in other continents having chytridiomycosis hotspots.

Scattered evidence from India and other Asian coldspots prompts the following hypotheses: (1) majority of the strains of \textit{Bd} involved in infection in Asia are enzootic; (2) improved detection assays for Asian \textit{Bd} would lead to improved understanding of infection biology; (3) lack of die-offs, low prevalence and infection load and high haplotype diversity are common in coldspots and we coin the term “coldspot syndrome” to address this unified phenomenon, and the mechanisms causing them might have a common explanation.

5 Research Gaps and Challenges in Studies on Chytridiomycosis in Asia

Some significant pointers on chytridiomycosis from coldspots: (1) diagnostic measures for \textit{Bd} in Asia should be re-visited, because the TaqMan-based qPCR\textsuperscript{62} (the gold standard detection assay for \textit{Bd}), did not facilitate detection of some mutant \textit{Bd} haplotypes from India; (2) There is an increasing trend in prevalence values of the infection from the first report. This might be because of increased sampling size which includes higher species richness as well as a shift from using TaqMan qPCR to Nested PCR which could have helped identification of \textit{Bd} positive samples with better specificity; (3) \textit{Bd} haplotypes causing infections in Asia are associated with \textit{Bd} GPL. There is unrecognized and under-estimated \textit{Bd} genetic diversity in Asia. There is also an existing void from many Asian countries like Bangladesh and Nepal and also regional biases from within even well-monitored countries\textsuperscript{63}. These leads need to be followed up with further research. The different approaches that could be employed to improve our understanding of \textit{Bd} infections from ‘coldspots’ are summarised in Fig. 1.

5.1 Develop Efficient Detection Assays

Global standards for efficiency of \textit{Bd} detection assays are based on universality, affordability and
accuracy. Genetic diversity in Bd strains revealed in coldspots has challenged the standards set for genetic markers previously identified. The first step towards improved understanding of Bd is investment in development of new and efficient markers that are truly universal. With asymptomatic infections common in coldspots and low cost involved in screening large samples, genetic tools are indispensable as detection assays (Table 1). Other assays have inherent advantages and disadvantages and they could be employed in specific conditions (Table 1). Nested PCR is a reliable method for detection, but it is more expensive than qPCR. A challenge would be to develop a new qPCR marker that would detect Asian strains reliably.

5.2 Epidemiological Studies
Assessments on Bd prevalence in several parts of Asia are descriptive. They do not focus on aspects of the host or pathogen biology. Information regarding Bd pathogenesis that we presently know is because of studies on individual amphibians in laboratory conditions. These approaches should be used to understand the ‘coldspot syndrome’ in Asia and elsewhere. A good example of such studies is in Bovo et al., where three species of Brazilian frogs were infected with an enzootic strain of Bd. This study showed that enzootic strains might cause only sub-lethal infections; however, the skin resistance of the affected amphibians increased. This study also pointed out that sub-lethal infections varied in intensity by species. This probably means that while enzootic infections might not cause a staggering effect on the individual, it could affect the host’s fitness and thereby influence survival. While knowledge on the fate of a host exposed to infection is important, the setting of such host–pathogen interactions would be most informative. To understand such factors, studies focussing on interactions between hosts showing a range of responses are required. At present, we have a poor understanding of the factors influencing survival of infected frogs in coldspots. Increased host diversity could lead to reduced impacts of the disease because of ‘dilution effect’. High host species richness might impede pathogen transmission as different species have specific responses to infection, creating a heterogeneous host assemblage for the pathogen to thrive on. Some studies of dilution effect in the amphibian–Bd system

| Field based studies | Lab based studies |
|---------------------|------------------|
| • Culturing of Bd   | • Culturing of Bd|
| • Prevalence on host population | • Morphological studies |
| • Periodicity of infection | • Histological studies |
| • Persistence on host | • Genome sequencing |
|                      | • Microbial interactions |
| **Pathogen**         | **Host**          |
| • Survival           | • Skin microbiome |
| • Population dynamics| • Immune response |
| • Behavioural adaptation | • Recovery rates |
| • Impact on ontogenic stages | • Transmission rates |
| **Environment**      | **Limiting factors for Bd** |
| • eDNA studies       | • Abiotic factors that influence infection |
| • Ecological Niche Models |                       |
| • Pathogen transmissibility |                   |

**Figure 1**: Different field and lab based approaches to understand the amphibian–Bd interaction in ‘coldsspots’.
| S. no. | Method                                                                 | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | Histological examination with hematoxylin/eosin stain                  | 1. Detection of zoospores and zoosporangia directly                       | 1. Sensitivity is poor, because it is difficult to detect from newly infected amphibians |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 2. Requires a certain level of expertise to detect the infection from tissues or skin lesions, affecting specificity of the assay |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 3. Invasive, if toe-clips are used from live frogs |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 4. Not a quick method of detection |
| 2     | Immunoperoxidase (IPX) staining and use of polyclonal antibodies       | 1. Higher sensitivity and specificity than H/E staining technique            | Not been widely used for wild collected samples and a large proportion of the infected samples might go undetected because of the above reasons |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 2. Advanced expertise required for this staining procedure |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 3. Can only detect once the infection is advanced and not in individual's newly infected or with mild infection |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 4. Duration from the collection of the specimen to detection of infection is long |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 5. Invasive if toe-clips are used |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 5. Not standardised for field samples |
| 3     | Co-localisation staining method for staining keratin and chytrid fungus| 1. Enhanced staining technique wherein you can detect the infection even from extremely infected hyperkeratotic frogs where the zoosporangium sloughs off along with the skin | 1. Swabs collected from the field, might need to be diluted, and in case of a mild infection, it might lead to poor detection, i.e., there will be no detection in one or two wells in triplicate assays |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 2. More reliable on swabs from infected laboratory frogs than those from the field |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 3. Use of TaqMan probe, is expensive |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 4. The specificity of both the probe and the primers are necessary in TaqMan, otherwise there are chances of false negatives |
| 4     | Quantitative polymerase chain reactions assays-qPCR                   | 1. Highly sensitive—detects low levels of infection                       | 1. In cases where a TaqMan probe might not work, nested PCR ensures added specificity |
|       |                                                                        | 2. Provides a measure of the load of infection                             | 2. Efficient when working with contaminated field samples |
|       |                                                                        | 3. Specific to Bd within Chytridiomycota, cannot amplify even 5 other closely related chytrid species | 3. Have been proven to be efficient in detecting Bd strains that have variable allele copy numbers |
|       |                                                                        | 4._detects infection 7–14 days prior to detection by histological methods | 4. Detects Bd DNA as less as 0.001 pg |
|       |                                                                        | 5. A specialist is not required to conduct the assays                     | 5. Quicker than the histological methods but slower than qPCR method |
| 5     | Nested PCR                                                             | 1. In cases where a TaqMan probe might not work                          | 1. Requires more time for rapid large scale screening of amphibian samples from the field |
|       |                                                                        | 2. Efficient when working with contaminated field samples                 | 2. Cannot provide a measure of the load of infection |
|       |                                                                        | 3. Have been proven to be efficient in detecting Bd strains that have variable allele copy numbers | 3. Expensive when compared with SYBR green qPCR assay |
|       |                                                                        | 4. Detects Bd DNA as less as 0.001 pg                                    | 4. Sensitivity is less than in qPCR and hence might not be suitable for regions with low infection load |
|       |                                                                        |                                                                             | 5. Quicker than the histological methods but slower than qPCR method |
have shown that host diversity decreased risks of chytridiomycosis\textsuperscript{66–68}, while others have showed that host diversity increased risks for infection\textsuperscript{69}. Heterogeneity in resilience of \textit{Bd} infection in frog species is not well understood. Some species that are ‘super-shedders’ of the chytrid zoospores, might facilitate a higher prevalence of infection and disease risks in that community. An example of this is \textit{Atelopus zeteki}, which is highly susceptible to chytridiomycosis, that sheds chytrid zoospores in the environment, thus exposing sympatric species to infection risks\textsuperscript{7}. Some frog species are known to serve as reservoirs of chytrid zoospores, like \textit{Lithobates catesbeianus} and \textit{Xenopus laevis}\textsuperscript{70,71}. To understand the scale of infection in frog populations, infection histories of individual frogs, overall prevalence in the frog populations and recovery rates in infected individuals are vital parameters that need to be considered.

### 5.3 Monitoring Host Populations

Several amphibian population monitoring programmes are being actively pursued globally. Many amphibian monitoring programmes are designed for short-term or focused on single species. Asymptomatic infected frogs showed reduced fitness, skipped breeding events, or experienced die-offs during metamorphosis of infected larvae, causing population declines\textsuperscript{72–75}. Tadpoles are especially vulnerable to \textit{Bd} infection because their adaptive immune system shuts down during metamorphosis and a recovery from chytrid infection during this time could be difficult\textsuperscript{76}. Smaller frogs showed greater vulnerability to infection than large ones\textsuperscript{18}. Latitude, elevation, and seasonality have varied influences prevalence and load of \textit{Bd} in hotspots of infection\textsuperscript{17–19}. In coldspots, seasonal fluctuation in \textit{Bd} prevalence has been recorded\textsuperscript{29,30,38}. Detection of these patterns at a population level and inferring infection by the pathogen requires appropriate designs of amphibian monitoring programs. While efforts are afoot in hotspots of infection, the need to establish such programs in coldspots of infection has not taken off. Both NPH and EPH predict that places with uncharacterised pathogen, and host diversity could become a dynamic front for evolution of new hybridised lineages of the pathogen which could have unpredictable disease outcomes\textsuperscript{21,30}. With climate change impacts sweeping through natural ecosystems, there could be a spate of frog species that might need to be rescued by \textit{ex-situ} interventions in future. Therefore, understanding

Table 1: (continued)

| S. no. Method | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|---------------|------------|---------------|
| 6 Environmental DNA samples\textsuperscript{109} | 1. Efficient as an early monitoring system in environments around amphibians | 1. Use of sophisticated and expensive equipment to collect DNA from environmental samples |
| | 2. Where there is a diverse community of amphibians, it is difficult to collect sample amount in the form of DNA | 2. Where there is a diverse community of amphibians, it is difficult to collect sample amount in the form of DNA |
| | 3. Neat handling of animals required, the most non-invasive method | 3. Neat handling of animals required, the most non-invasive method |
| | 4. Can be used in regions where there are threatened species of conservation priority, to be informed of possible outbreaks | 4. Can be used in regions where there are threatened species of conservation priority, to be informed of possible outbreaks |
| 7 Genotyping assay from swabs\textsuperscript{110} | 1. Accurately discriminates between different lineages of \textit{Bd} from just swab samples | 1. More expensive than PCR assays from fresh frog samples from frogs to be preserved in specific storage conditions, as it cannot yield accurate results with degraded DNA |
| | 2. Helpful in regions where a culture of the fungus and whole genome sequence is not available | 2. Helpful in regions where a culture of the fungus and whole genome sequence is not available |
| | 3. Efficient method for addressing spatial and temporal distributions of different \textit{Bd} strains, other than just prevalence and load of infection | 3. Efficient method for addressing spatial and temporal distributions of different \textit{Bd} strains, other than just prevalence and load of infection |
| | 4. Not suitable for regions with low infection load | 4. Not suitable for regions with low infection load |
susceptibility to infection in the context of the host community, pathogen strains, habitat, host life history, habitats and landscape parameters become necessary precursors for establishing ex-situ programmes. Repeated observations made during monitoring have revealed behaviours in some frogs that resulted in recovery from chytrid infection. Long-term population monitoring programmes should become an indispensable part of chytrid research, equitably in all regions of the world.

5.4 Understanding Frog Defences

There is also a growing body of research on amphibian skin microbiome and its impacts on disease persistence. The skin microbiome forms the first line of defence by the innate immune system and the diversity of the microbial community helps clear infections before they cause pathogenesis by also producing antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). There are few studies from cold spots integrating the skin microbiome to chytridiomycosis research. Study on Bombina orientalis, found that there are diverse communities of microbes present on the ventral and dorsal surfaces of the frog skin which are different between the captive and wild toads. Amphibians undergo a dramatic morphological and physiological change during metamorphosis. From a tadpole to an adult, there was a turnover of skin microbiome which might have important survival outcomes against disease. Amphibian species in communities occupy different niches and have diverse reproductive strategies. This presents a unique opportunity to explore the mechanisms that impart heterogeneity in host resilience.

Coldspots from India have reported elevated levels of anti-Bd microbes on the skin of uninfected frogs that were terrestrial, arboreal, and aquatic. This study shows a predominance of anti-Bd bacterial communities on six frogs (51.7%), suggest the role of microbiome in offering resistance to Bd infections in them. This study spanned a narrow sample size, and more such studies covering more samples, both uninfected and mildly infected will throw more light on whether the presence of a mild Bd infection alters the microbiome at all. Such a knowledge gap compounds the already present voids in our comprehension of Bd’s pathogenesis in cold spots. At population levels, the host genotype also plays a major role in deciding disease impacts. For example, the alleles associated with the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) which contribute to the adaptive immune response of the hosts, was reported to affect the resistance and survival to chytridiomycosis in Lithobates yavapaiensis and Littoria verreauxii. These are yet unexplored though important areas to examine in communities of amphibians hosts in cold spots.

5.5 Culturing of the Pathogen

The most important step for in-depth studies of any disease is culturing and maintaining pathogens in controlled conditions. This is relatively easy when infected hosts are detected easily. Bd cultures are of considerable research value, as they have catalysed our understanding of origin, pathogenesis, virulence factors, phenotypic characters of the pathogen and other epidemiological parameters of the disease. There is a disproportionately large number of Bd strains isolated and cultured in hotspots of infection than in Asian coldspots. However, in cold spots, this task is challenging because infection on the hosts is not easily detected and the pathogen load in a frog is low. With few viable zoospores and the possibility of contamination with other fast-growing fungus, culturing attempts in Asian coldspots have often failed. Culturing protocol outlined for Bd by Longcore et al. relies on finding evidently infected hosts and also euthanizing them for isolation. This might not be a practical approach in coldspots because finding infected frogs is not easy. This is difficult in cold spots where you might have to sacrifice healthy individuals sometimes, because of their unidentified infection status. To confront this issue, Fisher 2012, designed non-lethal protocols for isolation which included collecting toe-clips and tissue specimens of individuals from the wild and using tadpoles as baits to isolate the chytrid fungus. Tadpoles with a prolonged larval phase have a higher burden of infection. Infected tadpoles are recognized by hyperkeratosis and depigmentation especially in the keratinous jawsheaths of infected tadpoles. Infected tadpoles can be used to extract the mouth parts while uninfected tadpoles can be used as live baits for infection, by co-housing with infected adult individuals. This technique should be used in coldspots, as this method amplifies the number of zoospores. It is also important to perform repeated culturing experiments in different parts of coldspots. Oral deformities have been observed in Nasikabatrachus sahyadrensis larvae (Vasudevan personal communication), but such field observations on other species are not known. We suggest that reports from coldspots are important in all regions of the world.
and it could provide valuable leads to successfully culture the enzootic Bd.

6 Conclusion
Chytridiomycosis-induced amphibian declines have posed an unenviable challenge to batrachologists and conservation biologists globally. While the pathogen has swept through continents causing devastating impacts on amphibian populations, conservation biologists have been able to provide insight into the magnitude of the impact and suggest ways to ameliorate it. Some salient points have emerged from a large body of knowledge amassed over two and half decades. Amphibians living in the presence of Bd in the environment or on their body do not necessarily suffer from chytridiomycosis. After the onset of the disease, the desired outcome could be complete elimination of Bd from the frog population. It is not practical, as there are chances of pathogen re-emergence, which might cause far more serious impacts on the amphibian populations than in the first instance of emergence. It is important to understand the multi-faceted interaction between Bd and the amphibian, and to maintain a viable host population, even if they are Bd-colonised. Coldspots of infection present a scenario of a natural experiment unfolding where Bd is under selection pressure to cause infection and the hosts are under similar pressure to survive. Due attention must be given to understand the dynamics between the host and the pathogen. In coldspots, focussing on the role of ecological immunity through long-term monitoring of amphibian population is more important than on clearing infections. Leveraging research findings from coldspots of Bd infection is part of the grand challenge biologists are faced with at present. This requires large scale collaborative efforts. In the context of low number of systematic chytridiomycosis assessments from a highly diverse amphibian area, we have tried to highlight the caveats in existing methodologies and the emphasis on research in coldspots should be on: (1) ramping up culturing and genome sequencing of enzootic strains of Bd; (2) understanding mechanisms that cause and maintain ‘coldspot syndrome’ in populations; (3) understanding the environmental, host defence and life history factors that clear infections or reduce zoospore loads on amphibians (4) establishing long-term monitoring stations in coldspots to gather longitudinal data on host–pathogen dynamics.
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