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Abstract

**Background:** COVID-19 studies are primarily from the inpatient setting, skewing towards severe disease. Race and comorbidities predict hospitalization, however, ambulatory presentation of milder COVID-19 disease and characteristics associated with progression to severe disease is not well-understood.

**Methods:** We conducted a retrospective chart review including all COVID-19 positive cases from Stanford Health Care (SHC) in March 2020 to assess demographics, comorbidities and symptoms in relationship to: 1) their access point of testing (outpatient, inpatient, and emergency room (ER)) and 2) development of severe disease.

**Results:** 257 patients tested positive: 127 (49%), 96 (37%), and 34 (13%) at outpatient, ER and inpatient, respectively. Overall, 61% were age < 55; advanced age, > 75, was rarer in outpatient setting (11%) than ER (14 %) or inpatient (24%). Most patients presented with cough (86%), fever/chills (76%), or fatigue (63%). 65% of inpatients reported shortness of breath compared to 30-32% of outpatients and ER patients. Ethnic/minority patients had a significantly higher risk of developing severe disease (Asian OR=4.1 [1.5-10.9], Hispanic OR=3.1 [1.1-8.8]) as did Medicare-insured patients (OR=3.9 [1.0-14.8]), even after adjusting for age. Co-morbidities associated with developing severe disease included cardiovascular disease (OR=6.4 [1.7-24.5] and hypertension alone (OR=3.8 [1.4-10.6]). Among symptoms, shortness of breath predicted severe disease (OR=5.5 [2.3-13.0]).

**Conclusions:** Early widespread symptomatic testing for COVID-19 in Silicon Valley included many less severely ill patients. Thorough manual review of symptomatology reconfirms the heterogeneity of COVID-19 symptoms, and challenges in using clinical characteristics to predict decline. We re-demonstrate that socio-demographics are consistently associated with severity.

**Background**

During the week of February 23, 2020, community spread of the virus that causes COVID-19 was reported in California. On March 7 the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) reported 213 confirmed cases in the US\(^1\). Early data on COVID-19 symptoms is primarily from the inpatient setting and therefore skews towards the more severely ill, leaving many knowledge gaps around characteristics of the disease in the ambulatory population.

Health professionals have struggled to identify who is most at risk of severe disease, as COVID-19’s variable and wide-ranging symptoms make symptom-based diagnosis and prediction of progression to severe disease a challenge\(^2\). Instead, as community spread of COVID-19 has exponentially increased and testing has scaled up, race and ethnicity have consistently been found to predict hospitalization and mortality\(^1,3,4\). The complexities underlying these health disparities are uncertain, and undoubtedly include a mix of social, economic, access, and behavioral factors\(^3\). Moreover, certain comorbidities (including diabetes, heart disease, chronic kidney disease, and obesity) are now known to strongly predict COVID-19
hospitalization; most of these comorbidities have disparate prevalence by race/ethnicity, but are not alone sufficient to explain racial/ethnic disparities in COVID-19’s impacts.

Amidst this complex interplay of factors, the ambulatory presentation of milder COVID-19 disease, and which characteristics might predict progression to severe disease, remains poorly understood. Widespread testing and clinical documentation of ambulatory cases is necessary to fill in our understanding of the spectrum of COVID-19 disease. In March 2020, Stanford University in Santa Clara County was the first California healthcare system to institute drive-through testing available to anyone in the outpatient setting with COVID-19 symptoms, regardless of their insurance status.

Stanford testing guidelines in March 2020 were relatively broad and symptom based, allowing testing of anyone with new-onset fever, cough, sore throat, or shortness of breath or flu-like symptoms in the preceding 14 days. By comparison, the CDC guidelines at the time limited testing to those with lower respiratory infection, travel to known high risk regions, and known or suspected close contact with COVID-19+ individuals. As a result of its criteria, in its first month of testing, Stanford included a broad population of less severely ill patients than most prior reported cohorts. Moreover, the tested cohort presents unique socio-demographic diversity, as Silicon Valley has both wide disparities in income and unique racial/ethnic diversity—Santa Clara and San Mateo counties have high population representation of Asian (39% and 30% respectively) and Hispanic/Latinx (36% and 24%), but relative under-representation of African American/Black (<3%)..

We conducted a detailed chart review of COVID-19 positive patients presenting in the first month of wide-scale symptomatic testing to explore the patterns of sociodemographic, co-morbid conditions, and symptomatology to further our understanding of the disease.

Methods

Setting

Stanford Health Care (SHC) is an academic health system in Silicon Valley. It began testing for COVID-19 on March 4, 2020 using a reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) diagnostic test developed by Stanford's clinical virology laboratory. The diagnostic test identifies the presence of viral RNA from nasopharyngeal swabs of potentially infected people, with high sensitivity and specificity. This retrospective chart review study was approved by Stanford’s Institutional Review Board (IRB 55757).

Patient population and data

We first identified all positive COVID-19 RT-PCR’s performed by Stanford laboratory, from March 4 to March 31, 2020. These represented a mix of patients seen at an SHC clinic/facility and those seen at external hospital systems that were using Stanford as reference laboratory. Date and location of testing, age at specimen collection, gender, race/ethnicity, insurance plan, and county of residence were
electronically extracted from Stanford's electronic medical record system. Only age and gender were available for patients tested at an external hospital.

We conducted a chart review on the subset whose tests were collected at SHC facilities, specifically, Stanford Hospital, Stanford ER, or one of ten Stanford primary care outpatient clinics including Stanford Express Clinic; patients whose test specimens were sent from a non-Stanford facility were excluded from the chart review (Figure 1). Abstracted data included: potential source of exposure to COVID-19; symptoms; medical history; hospitalization; ICU admission; and death. Hospitalizations, ICU admission, and deaths were accessed through April 29, 2020. The chart review data were independently abstracted by two medical students using double data entry; a faculty physician then re-reviewed all charts and reconciled any inconsistencies.

Data analysis

We calculated descriptive statistics overall and by location of presentation for all COVID-19 positive patients, including both those captured in external specimens sent from non-Stanford facilities as well as for the subset included in chart review, i.e. patients seen at a SHC clinic/facility. \( \chi^2 \) test, Fisher's exact test, or Monte Carlo estimate for the Fisher's exact test were used to compare differences between patients that presented at outpatient, ER, and inpatient.

We conducted logistic regression analyses on the chart review subset to assess factors associated with the odds of developing severe disease, defined as being hospitalized and/or death. Patients with insufficient data available to determine if they were hospitalized or died (i.e., no subsequent contact confirming recovery 10 days after positive test), were excluded from these analyses. In descriptive statistics and logistic regression models, we defined hypertension (HTN) to be in the absence of other cardiovascular disease (CVD) or stage 3+ chronic kidney disease (CKD), defined as pre-existing documentation of GFR<60, in order to assess whether common HTN in the absence of more severe and often related complications/comorbidities, was associated with developing severe COVID-19.

We ran several logistic regression models as sensitivity analyses that varied with respect to the specific demographic, comorbidities and symptoms included in each to assess how estimates and statistical significance varied depending on other factors included. Based on the results of these models, it was clear that our sample size was too small to assess relationships of all factors in a single model. Conclusions regarding the relative strength of relationships, however, were consistent across all the models, although specific point estimates and widths of confidence intervals were not identical. We determined that two models were needed to most accurately represent the associations between: 1) patient socio-demographics and disease severity; and 2) comorbidities and symptoms with disease severity. Our goal with these analyses is to build upon the growing early literature to better understand and predict patients most likely to develop severe disease. The following demographic characteristics were included in the final demographic model: patient age, race/ethnicity, gender, and insurance. Notably, the point estimates for the demographic only model were very similar to another model part of our
sensitivity analysis that included comorbidities. In the final Model 2 (comorbidities and symptoms), BMI and smoking were not included as comorbidities due to a large proportion of missing data, particularly for those tested in the ER (55% and 31%, respectively); other comorbidities had minimal missing data (<3%). Nine groups of comorbidities were included in the second model (see Table 5) and seven symptom groups (see Table 5). P-values < 0.05 are considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

All COVID-19+ patients

842 local patients tested positive for COVID-19 in March 2020 through Stanford Health Care’s laboratory; over half of which (54%) were specimens sent from non-Stanford facilities (Figure 1). There were significant differences in the demographics of positive COVID-19 patients by point of access to testing (Table 1) for all characteristics examined. The outpatient care setting had the highest proportion of women, Caucasians and commercially insured patients. Outpatients skewed toward younger patient with only 5% 75 years or older. In contrast, the inpatient setting had the highest proportion of men, age over 65 years, and Medicare-insured patients. The ER setting had the highest proportion of younger (43% less than 40 years), Hispanic (32%) and Medicaid-insured patients (23%). The external patients most closely resembled the age and sex distribution of the inpatients, suggesting these were hospitalized patients with more severe disease at time of diagnosis. Regardless of point of access, the vast majority (72%) of patients lived in one of the two counties closest to the main Stanford campus, Santa Clara or San Mateo; ER patients were most likely to be from these local counties (85% compared to 69% and 62% of outpatients and inpatients, respectively).

Chart review subset

There were 257 patients in the chart review analysis: 127 (49%) outpatient; 34 (13%) inpatient; and 96 (37%) ER (Figure 1). The demographic characteristics of the chart review subset (Table 2) were similar to that of the larger cohort (Table 1). Approximately 41% of patients had contact with someone they knew to have Covid-19, 25% had traveled recently and 6% lived in a group living situation (Table 2). The inpatient setting, however, had the highest proportion living in a communal setting (18%, compared to 3% and 6% tested in the outpatient and ER settings, respectively (p<0.05)). The ER testing setting had the highest proportion of patients with known contacts (46%) and the outpatient testing setting had the highest proportion of recent travelers (31%), however, neither of these differed significantly by testing access point.

The most common comorbidities in all three groups were BMI $\geq$ 30, lung conditions, HTN, and ever smoking. Frequency of comorbidities varied little between those tested in the outpatient and ER settings aside from diabetes (8% outpatient vs 18% ER). In contrast, inpatients had the highest proportion of every comorbidity examined, except for gastrointestinal (GI) conditions (Table 3). The largest difference was proportion with stage 3+ CKD as defined by history of GFR<60, 21% of those tested in the inpatient...
setting but only 2% in the ER and outpatient settings. All differences were statistically significant (p<0.05) except lung conditions, immunosuppressive conditions, neurologic conditions, and GI conditions (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the frequency of symptoms overall and by testing access point. The most common symptoms in all patients were cough (86%), fever/chills (76%), and fatigue (63%). There was more variation in frequency of other symptoms across patient groups. Specifically, outpatients had the highest proportion of any of the other symptoms except pleuritic chest pain, shortness of breath, GI symptoms, and back pain. ER patients were most likely to present with pleuritic chest pain (35% vs 24%; not significant), whereas inpatients were most likely to present with shortness of breath (65% vs 30-32%; p<0.001) or GI symptoms (47% vs 22-24%; p<0.05). Loss of taste and back pain/ache were the least common presenting symptoms investigated, 9% and 3%, respectively.

**Severe Disease**

A total of 41 patients experienced severe disease, defined as hospitalization or death. One outpatient died at home and four others were later hospitalized. Eight ER patients were later hospitalized, one of whom died. Two of the patients initially tested as inpatients died for a total of four deaths in the cohort. Table 5 shows the results of the logistic regression models. Five patients originally tested in the ER and two from the outpatient setting were lost to follow-up so were excluded from this analysis, the remaining 250 patients were included. Model 1 output indicates gender, race/ethnicity, and insurance were associated with developing severe disease. Specifically, male relative to female (OR=2.3; 95% CI: 1.1, 4.7), Asian or Hispanic relative to Caucasian (OR=4.1; 95% CI: 1.5, 10.9 and OR=3.1; 95% CI: 1.1, 8.8, respectively), and Medicare insurance relative to commercial insurance (OR=3.9; 95% CI: 1.0, 14.8) were the patient groups with statistically significant associations. Notably, age was not significantly associated after adjusting for insurance status, however, equally important is that 20% of patients aged 65+ had commercial insurance, thus older patients without commercial insurance had the highest risk of severe disease.

CVD and HTN were the only comorbidities statistically significantly related to development of severe disease. The odds ratio for Stage 3+ CKD, 3.7, however, was similar to that of HTN, 3.8, although the confidence interval was very wide, 0.6-21.6 (Table 5). Shortness of breath was the only presenting symptom associated with developing severe disease (OR=5.5; 95% CI: 2.3, 13.0).

**Discussion**

This study examined the first cohort of ambulatory COVID-19 positive patients in the Silicon Valley region of California—one of the first communities in the United States to scale up community testing in response to early community spread. In exploring patient demographics, comorbidities and symptoms in relationship to their location of presentation and the development of severe disease, we reiterate the growing evidence of socio-demographic disparities in COVID-19's impacts. Our work was motivated by desire to identify clinical predictors of progression to more severe disease, but instead we find that
race/ethnicity and insurance predict risk of hospitalization at the same or similar order of magnitude as the most predictive comorbidities and symptoms. Thus, our data once again re-tells a story of racial inequity in health outcomes, but with specific local flavor. Silicon Valley’s diversity includes large representation of Asian and Latinx populations, and while these groups were slightly under-represented compared to the local population, they still each represented a fifth of our study population. Notably, we had too few African American patients in our cohort to meaningfully analyze.

Our findings are both consistent and distinct with similar work looking at the broader San Francisco Bay area, done by the Sutter Health Care system—both studies demonstrate racial disparity in COVID-19, but highlight different affected minority communities\(^4\). Whereas theirs and prior work demonstrated 3-fold risk of hospitalization for African American COVID-19 patients, our cohort demonstrates a significant approximately 3-fold odds of hospitalization in Asian and Latinx patients. In particular, we believe this marked increased risk of hospitalization in Asian patients is a novel finding, made possible by the relatively large representation in our local population.

The fact that socio-demographic factors— including race/ethnicity and insurance type/status—predicted severity likely reflects the confluence of multiple underlying disparities including social, economic, access, and behavioral factors\(^3,4\). These might manifest as barriers to timely presentation to care and influence where patients eventually access care. This hypothesis is supported by our observation that the location of presentation (outpatient, inpatient, or emergency room), was most strikingly different by insurance status and race/ethnicity.

Latinx patients with COVID-19 were most likely to present to ER or inpatient settings. Patients with commercial insurance were most likely to present at an outpatient location while patients without insurance or with Medicaid were most likely to have their COVID-19+ status captured in the ER or once inpatient. Many factors might contribute to these differences, including familiarity with ways to rapidly access outpatient appointments, having a primary care physician, language and technical barriers to scheduling, cultural norms, perceptions of insurance requirements in different locations, and gaps in communication and knowledge of Stanford’s broadened access offered (i.e., accepting all-payers and uninsured) for outpatient COVID-19 testing.

There were notably fewer differences amongst location of presentation in terms of presenting symptom and co-morbidities; our study provides important descriptions of both, but also reiterates the diversity of symptoms that make clinical prediction a challenge for this disease. The one consistent predictor was shortness of breath/dyspnea, which unsurprisingly (as respiratory distress is frequent trigger for hospital admission) was more common in hospitalized patients (65%) than outpatient (30%) or emergency room (32%) presentations.

In our cohort, the comorbidities we observed to predict severe outcomes were consistent with the CDC’s recent update from July 13, 2020, and we re-confirm a strong association with underlying CVD (OR 11.5) and chronic renal disease (OR 5.1)\(^5\). In the same update, the CDC lists hypertension as having “mixed
evidence” for severe disease. Our data found a strong association for hypertension alone as independent predictor of worse outcomes with odds ratio 3.8. We purposefully defined our hypertension variable to capture the more common cases of hypertension, uncomplicated by CVD or CKD. This is important, given hypertension is one of the most prevalent conditions in the US, affecting one-third of adults\textsuperscript{11}. Our findings from our cohort of predominantly ambulatory COVID-19 patients supports parallel findings seen in the predominantly inpatient data from meta-analysis, and together reinforce that HTN alone is likely an independent risk factor for developing severe disease\textsuperscript{12}. Our lack of evidence of increased risk with asthma, neurologic conditions, or diabetes should more likely be interpreted to reflect our relatively small sample size, rather than evidence against their plausible association with severe COVID-19.

Limitations and strengths

Despite broad testing criteria and early ramp-up of testing in our local system, the cohort included in our one-month chart-review was of relatively small sample size, which was particularly limiting in our ability to examine comorbidities with somewhat lower prevalence (e.g., neurologic conditions) and data with high missingness (e.g. BMI and tobacco use). Further, the limitations on testing availability in March led to a selection bias. In our clinical experience, when testing was limited, many younger and healthier patients were assumed positive and not tested. Outpatient testing was prioritized for older persons, which may bias some of our estimates.

Strengths of our study include our methodology of rigorous manual chart review, which allowed for comprehensive identification of both comorbidities and symptoms. The accuracy and completeness of these factors is beyond prior COVID-19 studies which has relied on diagnostic codes or used natural language processing to estimate COVID-19 symptomatology\textsuperscript{2,4}. Our race and ethnicity data had relatively low rate of missingness, an issue that has been increasingly identified as a barrier to understanding the true extent of disparities in COVID-19\textsuperscript{13,14}. The study also had high follow-up for outcomes of disease severity at 97% of all patients.

Conclusions

When and how care is accessed and the outcomes for COVID-19 severe disease is affected by ethnicity and insurance type. We reiterate the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on minority populations and specifically find that in a largely ambulatory population, in a region with large Asian and Latinx representation, that both of these race/ethnicity groups were associated with more severe cases of COVID-19. We also find further evidence to support the to-date uncertain association of hypertension (independent of renal or more severe cardiovascular disease) with more severe COVID-19 disease\textsuperscript{5}.
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Tables

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of All COVID-19 Patients Diagnosed in March 2020 at Stanford
| Demographics | Total | Outpatient | Inpatient | ER | External |
|--------------|-------|------------|-----------|----|----------|
| N=842        |       | N=224      | N=60      | N=105 | 453 | %b       |
| Age (years)  |       |            |           |     |         | %b       |
| <40 years    | 228   | 27         | 78        | 35  | 4        | 20       | 45       | 43       | 93 | 21       |
| 40-54 years  | 207   | 25         | 58        | 26  | 8        | 18       | 25       | 24       | 113 | 25       |
| 55-64 years  | 169   | 20         | 53        | 24  | 9        | 22       | 13       | 12       | 90  | 20       |
| 65-74 years  | 115   | 14         | 24        | 11  | 8        | 15       | 7        | 7        | 75  | 17       |
| 75+ years    | 123   | 15         | 11        | 5   | 12       | 25       | 15       | 14       | 79  | 18       |
| Sex          |       |            |           |     |         | %b       |         |         |
| Female       | 391   | 46         | 128       | 57  | 23       | 38       | 51       | 49       | 189 | 42       |
| Male         | 451   | 54         | 96        | 43  | 37       | 62       | 54       | 51       | 264 | 58       |
| Race/Ethnicity |       |            |           |     |         | %b       |         |         |
| Caucasian    | 156   | 40         | 98        | 44  | 16       | 27       | 42       | 40       | --  | --       |
| Hispanic     | 78    | 20         | 31        | 14  | 13       | 22       | 34       | 32       | --  | --       |
| Asian        | 68    | 18         | 40        | 18  | 12       | 20       | 16       | 15       | --  | --       |
| Other        | 40    | 10         | 21        | 9   | 9        | 15       | 10       | 10       | --  | --       |
| Missing      | 47    | 12         | 34        | 15  | 10       | 17       | 3        | 3        | --  | --       |
| Insurance    |       |            |           |     |         | %b       |         |         |
| Commercial   | 211   | 54         | 132       | 59  | 20       | 33       | 59       | 56       | --  | --       |
| Medicare     | 58    | 15         | 23        | 10  | 20       | 33       | 15       | 14       | --  | --       |
| Medicaid     | 40    | 10         | 8         | 4   | 8        | 13       | 24       | 23       | --  | --       |
| Uninsured    | 16    | 4          | 6         | 3   | 3        | 5        | 7        | 7        | --  | --       |
| Missing      | 64    | 17         | 55        | 25  | 9        | 15       | 0        | 0        |     |         |
| County of residence |       |            |           |     |         | %b       |         |         |
| Santa Clara  | 153   | 39         | 89        | 40  | 21       | 35       | 43       | 41       | --  | --       |
| San Mateo    | 127   | 33         | 65        | 29  | 16       | 27       | 46       | 44       | --  | --       |
| Alameda      | 54    | 14         | 36        | 16  | 10       | 17       | 8        | 8        | --  | --       |
| San Francisco| 17    | 4          | 11        | 5   | 2        | 3        | 4        | 4        | --  | --       |
|       | 17 | 4  | 12  | 5  | 1  | 2  | 4  | 4  | -- | -- |
|-------|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Missing | 21 | 5  | 11  | 5  | 10 | 17 | 0  | 0  | -- | -- |

*a* Percentages calculated based on n=389; the external referral group was omitted because the vast majority of their data was missing for these variables.

*b* Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

* P ≤ 0.05

** P ≤ 0.01

*** P ≤ 0.001

Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of COVID-19 Patients Diagnosed in March 2020 at Stanford: Chart review subset
| Demographics         | Total  | Outpatient | Inpatient | ER      |
|----------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---------|
|                      | N=257  | %a         | N=127     | %a      | N=34    | %a      | N=96    | %a      |
| **Age (years)**      |        |            |           |         |
| <40 years            | 97     | 38         | 50        | 39      | 6       | 18      | 41      | 43      |
| 40-54 years          | 59     | 23         | 27        | 21      | 8       | 24      | 24      | 25      |
| 55-64 years          | 45     | 18         | 27        | 21      | 6       | 18      | 12      | 13      |
| 65-74 years          | 27     | 11         | 15        | 12      | 6       | 18      | 6       | 6       |
| 75+ years            | 29     | 11         | 8         | 6       | 8       | 24      | 13      | 14      |
| **Sex**              |        |            |           |         |
| Female               | 129    | 50         | 69        | 54      | 13      | 38      | 47      | 49      |
| Male                 | 128    | 50         | 58        | 46      | 21      | 62      | 49      | 51      |
| **Race/Ethnicity**   |        |            |           |         |
| Caucasian            | 104    | 41         | 55        | 43      | 9       | 27      | 40      | 42      |
| Hispanic             | 55     | 21         | 17        | 13      | 9       | 27      | 29      | 30      |
| Asian                | 48     | 19         | 25        | 20      | 9       | 27      | 14      | 15      |
| Other/Unknown        | 50     | 20         | 30        | 24      | 7       | 21      | 13      | 14      |
| **Insurance**        |        |            |           |         |
| Commercial           | 168    | 65         | 97        | 76      | 14      | 41      | 57      | 59      |
| Medicare             | 43     | 17         | 17        | 13      | 13      | 38      | 13      | 14      |
| Medicaid             | 30     | 12         | 7         | 6       | 4       | 11      | 19      | 20      |
| Uninsured            | 16     | 6          | 6         | 5       | 3       | 9       | 7       | 7       |
| **County of residence** |      |            |           |         |
| Santa Clara/San Mateo| 224    | 87         | 109       | 86      | 31      | 91      | 84      | 88      |
| Other                | 33     | 13         | 18        | 14      | 3       | 9       | 12      | 13      |
| **Potential transmission** |    |            |           |         |
| Known contact\textsuperscript{b} | 105    | 41         | 48        | 38      | 13      | 38      | 44      | 46      |
| Recent travel\textsuperscript{c} | 63     | 25         | 39        | 31      | 8       | 24      | 16      | 17      |
| Communal Living situation\textsuperscript{d}\textsuperscript{*} | 16     | 6          | 4         | 3       | 6       | 18      | 6       | 6       |
Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding error

Close contact with known or suspected COVID-19 case

Inside or outside the United States

Includes shelter, dormitory, skilled nursing facility, assisted living facility, and homeless

* P ≤ 0.05

** P ≤ 0.01

*** P ≤ 0.001

Table 3: Medical History of COVID-19 Patients Diagnosed in March 2020 at Stanford: Chart review subset

| Known Medical History                     | Total | Outpatient | Inpatient | ER  |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|-----|
|                                           | N=257 | N=127      | N=34      | N=96|
| Lung condition\(^{b}\)                    | 52    | 20         | 27        | 21  |
| BMI 30+\(^{***}\)                        | 48    | 19         | 23        | 18  |
| Hypertension alone\(^{c***}\)            | 48    | 19         | 20        | 16  |
| Ever smoker\(^{***}\)                    | 43    | 17         | 19        | 15  |
| Diabetes\(^{**}\)                        | 35    | 14         | 10        | 8   |
| Immunosuppressive condition\(^{d}\)      | 19    | 7          | 10        | 8   |
| Cardiovascular disease\(^{e*}\)          | 19    | 7          | 9         | 7   |
| Neurologic condition\(^{f}\)             | 14    | 5          | 4         | 3   |
| GI condition\(^{g}\)                     | 11    | 4          | 6         | 5   |
| GFR <60\(^{h***}\)                      | 11    | 4          | 2         | 2   |
| Liver condition\(^{i*}\)                 | 6     | 2          | 2         | 2   |

Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding error

Lung conditions include asthma, COPD, chronic lung disease

Hypertension without history of cardiovascular disease or poor renal function
Immunosuppressive conditions include any of: chronic lung disease, cancer, organ transplant, immunosuppressive drugs, other indicator of immunosuppression

Cardiovascular disease includes CAD, myocardial infarction, or heart failure

Neurologic conditions include any of: Parkinson Disease, Alzheimer, cognitive impairment, other

GI condition includes IBD, IBS, and other

only includes those with GFR<60 prior to diagnosis

Liver condition includes Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, Hepatic steatosis, cirrhosis, other

* P ≤ 0.05

** P ≤ 0.01

*** P ≤ 0.001

Table 4: Symptoms at Presentation for Testing of COVID-19 Patients Diagnosed in March 2020 at Stanford: Chart review subset
| Symptoms                                      | Total N=257 | Outpatient N=127 | Inpatient N=34 | ER N=96 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Cough                                         | 220 (86%)   | 112 (88%)        | 29 (85%)       | 79 (82%)|
| Fever/Chills                                 | 196 (76%)   | 108 (85%)        | 27 (79%)       | 61 (64%)|
| Fatigue, etc                                  | 162 (63%)   | 91 (72%)         | 21 (62%)       | 50 (52%)|
| Shortness of breath or Dyspnea on exertion ***| 90 (35%)    | 38 (30%)         | 22 (65%)       | 30 (32%)|
| Sore throat **                                | 79 (31%)    | 50 (39%)         | 5 (15%)        | 24 (25%)|
| Runny nose or nasal congestion                | 74 (29%)    | 43 (34%)         | 5 (15%)        | 26 (27%)|
| Pleuritic chest pain, tightness c             | 72 (28%)    | 30 (24%)         | 8 (24%)        | 34 (35%)|
| Headache                                     | 69 (27%)    | 42 (33%)         | 9 (26%)        | 18 (19%)|
| GI symptoms d*                                | 67 (26%)    | 30 (24%)         | 16 (47%)       | 21 (22%)|
| Loss of taste                                 | 23 (9%)     | 17 (13%)         | 1 (4%)         | 5 (5%)  |
| Back pain, ache*                              | 8 (3%)      | 1 (1%)           | 0 (0%)         | 7 (7%)  |

* Includes both known and subjective

Includes fatigue, malaise, weakness, myalgias

Includes all chest symptoms such as wheezing and chest congestion

Includes nausea, vomiting, diarrhea

* P ≤ 0.05

** P ≤ 0.01

*** P ≤ 0.001

Table 5: Logistic Regression Models for Severe COVID-19 Disease (inpatient admission or death)
| Patient Characteristics | Model 1: Demographics only | Model 2: Comorbidities & Symptoms at Presentation |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                        | OR 95% CI                  | OR 95% CI                                    |
| **Demographics**       |                           |                                              |
| Age                    |                           |                                              |
| < 40 years (ref)       | --                        | --                                           |
| 40-54 years            | 1.2                       | 0.4 – 3.4                                    |
| 55-64 years            | 2.6                       | 0.9 – 7.7                                    |
| 65-74 years            | 3.6                       | 0.9 - 13.9                                   |
| 75+ years              | 1.7                       | 0.4 - 8.1                                    |
| Sex                    |                           |                                              |
| Female (ref)           | --                        | --                                           |
| Male                   | 2.3                       | 1.1 - 4.7                                    |
| Race/Ethnicity         |                           |                                              |
| Caucasian (ref)        | --                        | --                                           |
| Asian                  | 4.1                       | 1.5 - 10.9                                   |
| Hispanic               | 3.1                       | 1.1 - 8.8                                    |
| Other or Unknown       | 2.1                       | 0.8 - 5.9                                    |
| Insurance              |                           |                                              |
| Commercial (ref)       | --                        | --                                           |
| Medicare               | 3.9                       | 1.0 - 14.8                                   |
| Medicaid               | 1.9                       | 0.6 - 6.6                                    |
| Uninsured              | 2.7                       | 0.7 - 10.3                                   |
| **Comorbidities**      |                           |                                              |
| Cardiovascular disease<sup>a</sup> | 6.4 | 1.7 - 24.5 |
| Hypertension alone<sup>b</sup> | 3.8 | 1.4 - 10.6 |
| GFR < 60               | 3.7                       | 0.6 - 21.6                                   |
| Neurologic condition<sup>c</sup> | 1.6 | 0.4 – 7.1 |
| Immunosuppressive condition<sup>d</sup> | 1.3 | 0.2 - 9.3 |
| Condition                        | Risk Ratio | CI          |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Liver condition<sup>e</sup>     | 1.2        | 0.1 - 9.7   |
| Lung condition<sup>f</sup>      | 0.8        | 0.3 - 2.1   |
| Diabetes                        | 0.7        | 0.2 - 2.0   |
| GI condition<sup>g</sup>        | 0.4        | 0.0 - 6.4   |

**Symptoms**

| Symptom                                      | Risk Ratio | CI          |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Shortness of breath or Dyspnea on exertion   | 5.5        | 2.3 - 13.0  |
| Fatigue<sup>h</sup>                          | 0.8        | 0.4 - 1.8   |
| Pleuritic chest pain, tightness<sup>i</sup> | 0.8        | 0.3 - 2.1   |
| Sore throat                                  | 0.7        | 0.3 - 1.8   |
| Headache                                     | 0.6        | 0.2 - 1.5   |
| GI symptoms<sup>j</sup>                      | 0.4        | 0.0 – 6.4   |
| Runny nose or nasal congestion               | 0.4        | 0.2 - 1.2   |

---

<sup>a</sup> Cardiovascular disease includes CAD, myocardial infarction, or heart failure

<sup>b</sup> Hypertension without history of cardiovascular disease or poor renal function

<sup>c</sup> Neurologic conditions include any of: Parkinson Disease, Alzheimer, cognitive impairment, other

<sup>d</sup> Immunosuppressive conditions include any of: chronic lung disease, cancer, organ transplant, immunosuppressive drugs, other indicator of immunosuppression

<sup>e</sup> Liver condition includes Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, Hepatic steatosis, cirrhosis, other

<sup>f</sup> Lung conditions include asthma, COPD, chronic lung disease

<sup>g</sup> GI condition includes IBD, IBS, and other

<sup>h</sup> Includes fatigue, malaise, weakness, myalgias

<sup>i</sup> Includes all chest symptoms such as wheezing and chest congestion

<sup>j</sup> Includes nausea, vomiting, diarrhea