A Mechanosensitive Channel Governs Lipid Flippase-Mediated Echinocandin Resistance in Cryptococcus neoformans
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ABSTRACT Echinocandins show fungicidal activity against common invasive mycoses but are ineffective against cryptococcosis. The underlying mechanism for echinocandin resistance in Cryptococcus neoformans remains poorly understood but has been shown to involve Cdc50, the regulatory subunit of lipid flippase. In a forward genetic screen for cdc50Δ suppressor mutations that are caspofungin resistant, we identified Crm1 (caspofungin resistant mutation 1), a homolog of mechanosensitive channel proteins, and showed that crm1Δ restored caspofungin resistance in cdc50Δ cells. Caspofungin-treated cdc50Δ cells exhibited abnormally high intracellular calcium levels ([Ca2+]c) and heightened activation of the calcineurin pathway. Deletion of CRM1 in the cdc50Δ background normalized the abnormally high [Ca2+]c. Cdc50 interacts with Crm1 to maintain cellular calcium homeostasis. Analysis of chitin/chitosan content showed that deleting CRM1 reversed the decreased chitosan production of cdc50Δ cells. Together, these results demonstrate that Cdc50 and Crm1 regulation of the calcineurin pathway and cytoplasmic calcium homeostasis may underlie caspofungin resistance in C. neoformans.

IMPORTANCE Cryptococcus neoformans is the leading cause of fungal meningitis, accounting for ~15% of HIV/AIDS-related deaths, but treatment options for cryptococcosis are limited. Echinocandins are the newest fungicidal drug class introduced but are ineffective in treating cryptococcosis. Our previous study identified the lipid flippase subunit Cdc50 as a contributor to echinocandin resistance in C. neoformans. Here, we further elucidated the mechanism of Cdc50-mediated caspofungin drug resistance. We discovered that Cdc50 interacts with the mechanosensitive calcium channel protein Crm1 to regulate calcium homeostasis and caspofungin resistance via calcium/calcineurin signaling. These results provide novel insights into echinocandin resistance in this pathogen, which may lead to new treatment options, as well as inform echinocandin resistance mechanisms in other fungal organisms and, hence, advance our understanding of modes of antifungal drug susceptibility and resistance.
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Invasive fungal infections are becoming more prevalent and problematic in immunocompromised populations, particularly those individuals with AIDS or on immunosuppressive therapies. Cryptococcus neoformans is a major human fungal pathogen and the causative agent of cryptococcal meningoencephalitis that accounts for ~15% of AIDS-related deaths (1, 2). Fungal infections are solely treated by antifungal drugs that are very limited in options. The most common treatment options for cryptococcosis are either fungistatic (azoles) or toxic (amphotericin B) (3). Echinocandins are the newest approved antifungal drug class that targets β-1,3-glucan synthase, which
synthesizes the key cell wall component β-1,3-glucan. However, this drug class is ineffective against *Cryptococcus* species (4–6), which is surprising because these organisms express the echinocandin target enzyme (7). Elucidating the mechanism of echinocandin resistance in *C. neoformans* should improve our understanding of these apparent contradictions and may enable the use of echinocandin drugs for treating cryptococcosis and other mycoses for which current drugs are ineffective.

In *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, clinical resistance to echinocandins typically arises due to point mutations in the *FKS* genes (6, 8–14). For instance, in *Candida albicans*, the point mutations in *FKS1* reduce glucan synthase sensitivity to echinocandins, resulting in elevated MICs and reduced pharmacodynamic responses (8, 13). Mutations in *Candida FKS1* also change the expression levels of *FKS1* and chitin genes (14). *C. neoformans FKS1* is essential for viability, and the enzyme is sensitive to echinocandins in vitro (7); no *FKS1* point mutations have been identified in *C. neoformans*, and this organism shows innate resistance to echinocandins. Neither the *FKS1* expression level nor β-1,3-glucan synthase localization changed after caspofungin treatment (15). These studies suggest that *C. neoformans* possesses an unidentified echinocandin resistance mechanism, allowing the cells to survive in the presence of echinocandins.

Some evidence suggests that cells can tolerate echinocandin exposure by upregulating compensatory cell wall salvage mechanisms (16–19). For instance, treatment with echinocandins, such as caspofungin, inhibits the synthesis of cell wall β-1,3-glucan and leads to a compensatory increase in cell wall chitin synthesis, helping to restore cell wall integrity (16, 18). Several pathways have been implicated in regulating echinocandin tolerance, in particular, the calcium (Ca²⁺)-sensitive calcineurin signaling pathway, which has been proposed to control the glucan-chitin interaction through the transcriptional regulation of chitin synthases (20, 21). Thus, it is possible that these pathways contribute to innate echinocandin resistance in *C. neoformans*. However, their involvement in this process has not yet been investigated extensively.

Recently, Pianalto et al. performed a forward genetic screen to identify cellular processes that mediate the relative tolerance to caspofungin and found several pathways contributing to caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans* (15). Mutants of the calcineurin A catalytic and B regulatory subunits (*cnr1Δ* or *cnb1Δ*) were hypersensitive to caspofungin (15, 22). Calcineurin is a known multifunctional regulator in fungi that influences fungal virulence and stress responses (23, 24). It has been reported that fluconazole had a synergistic effect with the calcineurin inhibitors FK506 and cyclosporine (CsA) in *C. albicans* (25, 26). Calcineurin signaling also regulates echinocandin resistance in fungal pathogens, such as *Candida* species and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (23, 27–29). A synergistic drug effect between the calcineurin inhibitor FK506 and caspofungin has also been reported in *C. neoformans* (24). Crz1 is a known downstream transcription factor of the calcineurin pathway in *C. neoformans* (30). However, recent study demonstrated that calcineurin likely has additional downstream effectors besides Crz1 in response to caspofungin treatment (15).

Our previous work showed that deletion of *CDC50*, which encodes the β-subunit of membrane lipid translocase (flippase), sensitizes *C. neoformans* to caspofungin and another glucan synthase inhibitor, MK-3118 (31). In addition to contributing to caspofungin resistance, Cdc50 is also essential for *C. neoformans* virulence in murine infection models (31). Lipid flippase mediates translocation of certain phospholipids across the plasma membrane to maintain the asymmetric distribution of phospholipids in the lipid bilayer membrane (32). How lipid flippase function mediates echinocandin drug resistance and fungal virulence remains unclear.

In this study, we performed a forward genetic screen to identify caspofungin-resistant *cdc50Δ* suppressor mutations to elucidate the mechanisms underlying Cdc50-mediated caspofungin resistance. We identified a putative mechanosensitive (MS) calcium channel protein, Crm1, whose mutation led to high drug resistance of the *cdc50Δ* mutant. Our data indicate that Cdc50 interacts with Crm1 to control intracellular calcium levels ([Ca²⁺]c) and thus governs caspofungin resistance. These results dem-
onstrate that Crm1 is required for Cdc50-mediated echinocandin drug resistance in C. neoformans.

RESULTS

Screen for mutations conferring caspofungin resistance to cdc50Δ mutants. Our previous study identified Cdc50 as required for caspofungin resistance in C. neoformans, and the cdc50Δ null mutant is hypersensitive to caspofungin (31). To elucidate the underlying mechanism of Cdc50-mediated drug resistance, we screened for caspofungin-resistant cdc50Δ mutants by inoculating the cdc50Δ mutant into medium supplemented with a high concentration of caspofungin and selecting spontaneous mutant suppressors that grow under this condition (see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). Two cdc50Δ mutants (mutant 1 and mutant 2, named M1 and M2, respectively) showed stable resistance to caspofungin following multiple passages on drug-free medium. To characterize caspofungin sensitivity in M1 and M2, we used an agar-based spot assay to test the comparative growth of these two mutant strains on yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) medium supplemented with different concentrations of caspofungin (Fig. S1B). There were no growth defects in either strain on YPD medium without drug. Both M1 and M2 displayed growth rates comparable to the growth of H99 and much better growth than the original cdc50Δ mutant on YPD medium containing 32 μg/ml or less caspofungin. However, both suppressor mutants are less resistant to 64 μg/ml caspofungin than the wild type. Overall, our data showed that M1 and M2 reversed caspofungin sensitivity of the cdc50Δ mutant.

Analysis of HS regions of FKS1 genes in M1 and M2. Amino acid substitutions associated with resistance occur in two limited but highly conserved hot spot (HS) regions of the β-1,3-glucan synthase protein sequences in Candida and Aspergillus species (8–13). In C. neoformans, there is a single FKS1 homolog, which contains two conserved HS regions (33). Therefore, we tested whether M1 and M2 isolates contain mutations in the HS regions of FKS1. Sequences of the HS1 or HS2 region in M1 and M2 were compared to the corresponding sequence of the original cdc50Δ null mutant or wild-type H99. We did not find any mutations in the HS regions of FKS1 (data not shown). These data suggest that caspofungin resistance of M1 and M2 mutants is independent of HS region mutations in the FKS1 gene.

Genomic sequence analysis of M1 and M2. To identify DNA changes in the mutants, we performed whole-genome resequencing (Mid-Seq) to analyze the two caspofungin-resistant cdc50Δ mutants. By comparing M1 and M2 genome sequence data with the H99 genomic sequence, we identified all variants, including base deletions, insertions, inversions, and translocations, in the whole genome (Table S4). We found 215 variants in the M1 genome and 198 variants in the M2 genome. In total, we identified eight proteins that had amino acid changes in either M1 or M2 (Table S1).

To determine if any of these genes contributed to caspofungin resistance in C. neoformans, we tested the caspofungin susceptibility of both null and double mutants between the cdc50Δ strain and each single mutant. The caspofungin sensitivities of all null mutants were similar to those of wild-type strain H99 using agar plates and MIC assays (data not shown). Agar-based spot assays indicated that one double mutant between the crm1Δ strain (caspofungin resistance mutant 1, deletion of CNAG_01704) and the cdc50Δ strain (crm1Δ cdc50Δ mutant) was resistant to caspofungin at a level similar to the levels of the M1 and M2 mutants. Other double mutants showed caspofungin sensitivity similar to that of the original cdc50Δ mutant (data not shown). These results demonstrate that Crm1 participates in Cdc50-mediated caspofungin resistance in C. neoformans.

Disrupting CRM1 rescues caspofungin resistance in the cdc50Δ mutant. The CRM1 gene in the M1 genome contains two nucleotide deletions at positions 343 and 344 that produce a stop codon (Table S1), prematurely terminating Crm1 protein. To confirm the role of Crm1 in caspofungin resistance in C. neoformans, we introduced the CRM1 allele from M1 into the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant. The genomic fragments containing the CRM1 open reading frame (ORF) and its promoter were amplified from
M1 and the wild-type strain H99 (as a control). Each CRM1 fragment was introduced into the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant to generate two CRM1 complement strains (crm1Δ cdc50Δ CRM1H99 or crm1Δ cdc50Δ CRM1M1 strain). The crm1Δ cdc50Δ CRM1H99 strain showed increased caspofungin sensitivity, while the crm1Δ cdc50Δ CRM1M1 strain showed drug resistance similar to that of the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant. These results suggest the CRM1 gene in M1 is nonfunctional (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, CRM1 expression levels were significantly induced in the cdc50Δ mutant compared to levels in the wild type and decreased in all strains following caspofungin treatment (Fig. 1B). Based on these results, we conclude that Crm1 negatively regulates caspofungin sensitivity of the cdc50Δ mutant and drives the increased caspofungin resistance in the M1 mutant.

Cdc50 is required to develop fungal virulence factors, including growth at 37°C, production of melanin and capsule, cellular integrity, and stress resistance (31). Therefore, we examined the development of virulence factors and stress responses in the crm1Δ mutant and the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant. Under the tested stress conditions, the crm1Δ mutant showed a phenotype similar to that of wild-type H99, while the phenotype of the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant resembled that of the cdc50Δ mutant (Fig. S2A). We also investigated a possible role for Crm1 in fungus-macrophage interactions by measuring the phagocytosis rate and intracellular proliferation of these strains in the J774 macrophage cell line. We did not observe any obvious differences between the crm1Δ mutant and H99 or between the cdc50Δ mutant and the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant (Fig. S2B to D). Taken together, these data suggest that Crm1 mediates caspofungin resistance but not other Cdc50-dependent functions under these conditions.
Calcium positively regulates caspofungin resistance. Based on protein structure prediction using the HMMTOP, version 2.0, program, we revealed that Crm1 shares sequence similarity with the MscS-like (mechanosensitive channels of small conductance-like, or MSL) protein family, members of which resemble bacterial MscS in their transmembrane segment and vicinity (34). Crm1 is predicted to have six transmembrane (TM) domains, with TM5 and TM6 helixes predicted to form a mechanosensitive channel. Crm1 also contains a conserved EF-hand calcium-binding domain (Fig. 1C), which could be important for calcium channel function. Because we identified Crm1 as a potential member of the EF-MSL family, we propose that calcium signaling and $[Ca^{2+}]/H_1$ may regulate caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans*.

To determine the potential effect of calcium levels on caspofungin sensitivity, we measured the MIC of caspofungin in liquid YPD medium supplemented with different concentrations of calcium chloride (CaCl$_2$) or a calcium chelator (EGTA) (Table 1). We found no differences in MICs between the *cdc50Δ* mutant and the *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* double mutant on YPD medium without CaCl$_2$ supplement. However, the *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* mutant had a higher MIC than the *cdc50Δ* mutant with CaCl$_2$ addition. To further understand the link between Ca$^{2+}$ and caspofungin resistance, we measured fungal growth rates in liquid YPD medium with different concentrations of caspofungin, with or without 5 mM CaCl$_2$ (Fig. 2). Supplementation with 5 mM CaCl$_2$ in YPD medium did not affect cell growth of any strain without caspofungin treatment (Fig. 2A). Both the *cdc50Δ* mutant and the *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* double mutant showed growth defects in the presence of caspofungin without exogenous CaCl$_2$. Supplementation with exogenous CaCl$_2$ rescued the growth defects in the wild-type, *crm1Δ*, and *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* strains but not in the *cdc50Δ* mutant (Fig. 2B and C). Together, these data demonstrate that calcium increases *C. neoformans* resistance to caspofungin killing.

The calcineurin pathway drives Cdc50-mediated caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans*. To delineate the potential role of the calcineurin pathway in caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans*, we performed quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) to measure the expression of genes involved in calcium signaling, including those encoding membrane calcium channel proteins *CCH1*, *MID1*, Ca$^{2+}$-activated-calmodulin (*CAM1*), the catalytic and regulatory subunits of calcineurin (*CNA1* and *CNB1*), and the calcineurin-dependent transcription factor (*CRZ1*). Indeed, we found that caspofungin treatment upregulated these genes, especially in the *cdc50Δ* mutant, suggesting activation of the calcineurin pathway (Fig. 3). Such high induction of gene expression in the *cdc50Δ* mutant by caspofungin was reversed by deleting *CRM1*, suggesting that the calcineurin pathway was induced by caspofungin in the wild type and was hyperactivated in the *cdc50Δ* mutant in a Crm1-dependent manner.

To further confirm the function of calcineurin in Cdc50-mediated caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans*, we examined the MIC of caspofungin in the presence of the calcineurin inhibitor, cyclosporine (CsA) (Table 2). The *cdc50Δ* mutant showed a stronger tolerance to caspofungin than the *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* double mutant in the presence of CsA. Intriguingly, both the M1 mutant and *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* double mutant exhibited high CsA sensitivity in comparison to that of H99 or the *crm1Δ* strain. These data indicate that the calcineurin pathway-mediated caspofungin resistance is dependent on Cdc50 and Crm1.

### TABLE 1 MICs of caspofungin in YPD medium supplemented with additional calcium or a calcium chelator (EGTA)

| Strain               | EGTA (μg/ml) | CaCl$_2$ (mM)  |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------|
|                      | 2 1 0.5 0.25 0| 2.5 5 10 20    |
| H99                  | 8 8 16 16 16 | 32 64 64 128   |
| *cdc50Δ* strain      | 2 2 4 4 4   | 4 8 8 8        |
| *crm1Δ* strain       | 8 8 8 8 8   | 32 64 64 128   |
| *crm1Δ cdc50Δ* strain| 2 2 4 4 4   | 16 32 32 64    |
| *CRM1*OE strain      | 16 16 16 16 | 16 32 32 128   |
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Disrupting Crm1 restores intracellular calcium homeostasis and reduces phosphatidylserine (PS) exposure and reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation in the cdc50Δ mutant following caspofungin treatment. Given the EF-hand motif in Crm1 and a clear role of calcium levels in caspofungin resistance in C. neoformans, we monitored the dynamic changes of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{c} during caspofungin treatment. Cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels in the wild-type, crm1Δ, cdc50Δ, crm1Δ cdc50Δ, and CRM1\textsuperscript{OE} strains were measured in a flow cytometry assay with Fluo-3 acetoxymethyl ester (Fluo-3/AM) indicator (Fig. 4A to C). We found that the cdc50Δ mutant had a much higher [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{c} than the wild type and other mutants (Fig. 4A). The cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration in the cdc50Δ mutant decreased rapidly without drug treatment but was maintained at a high level in the presence of caspofungin. Disrupting CRM1 in the cdc50Δ mutant resulted in decreased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels, especially in the absence of caspofungin, compared to the level in the cdc50Δ mutant. Both a higher [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{c} in the CRM1\textsuperscript{OE} strain than in the wild type (Fig. 4B) (P < 0.05 by two-tailed t test) and an increased CRM1 expression level in the cdc50Δ mutant (Fig. 1B) suggest that Crm1 is
involved in Cdc50-regulated calcium homeostasis in *C. neoformans*. In addition, we detected a significant increase in \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}/\text{H}]_{\text{c}} \) concentration in *cdc50*Δ cells but not in other strains after a longer incubation with caspofungin (16h) (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that caspofungin treatment significantly induces and maintains high \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}/\text{H}]_{\text{c}} \) in the *cdc50*Δ mutant and that loss of CRM1 restores calcium homeostasis in the *cdc50*Δ mutant.

Excessive \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}/\text{H}]_{\text{c}} \) may promote cell death (35), which is often associated with increased phosphatidylserine (PS) exposure on the cell surface and a burst of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (36). We sought to test our hypothesis that high \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}/\text{H}]_{\text{c}} \) levels in the *cdcd50*Δ mutant under caspofungin stress facilitate cell death. Therefore, we measured cell surface PS levels using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated annexin V staining (FITC-annexin) and monitored ROS generation with the dye indicator dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H₂DCFDA) (Fig. 4D to F). The *cdcd50*Δ mutant cells treated with caspofungin exhibited significantly elevated FITC and ROS levels. Although the *crm1Δ cdc50*Δ double mutant also showed increased ROS generation and PS

### TABLE 2

| Strain              | Caspofungin MIC (\(\mu g/ml\)) in YPD with CsA at the indicated concn (\(\mu g/ml\))a |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                     | 0\phantom{0} 0.0625 0.125 0.25 0.5 1 2                                                |
| H99                 | 4\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 16\phantom{0} 8\phantom{0} 2 |
| *crm1*Δ strain      | 4\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 32\phantom{0} 16\phantom{0} 8\phantom{0} 2 |
| M1                  | 0.25\phantom{0} 16\phantom{0} 1\phantom{0} \leq0.5\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA |
| *cdcd50*Δ strain    | 1\phantom{0} 8\phantom{0} 8\phantom{0} 8\phantom{0} \leq0.5\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA |
| *crm1*Δ *cdcd50*Δ strain | 0.25\phantom{0} 16\phantom{0} 1\phantom{0} \leq0.5\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA\phantom{0} NA |

aNA, not available.
exposure with caspofungin treatment, their signal intensity was much lower than levels in the cdc50Δ mutant. No change in fluorescence signal was detected either in the wild type or crm1Δ mutant. Based on these results, we conclude that caspofungin treatment induces cell death in the cdc50Δ mutant, as indicated by increased cell surface PS exposure and high ROS production.

Cdc50 interacts with Crm1 to regulate caspofungin uptake in C. neoformans. The cdc50Δ mutant showed a significant increase in caspofungin uptake, which likely
contributes to its hypersensitivity to caspofungin (31). To determine how Crm1 promotes caspofungin drug susceptibility in the cdc50Δ mutant, we first analyzed Crm1 and Cdc50 colocalization by expressing a Crm1-mCherry fusion protein in the Cdc50-green fluorescent protein (GFP) background. We observed that the localization of Crm1 on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane overlapped that of Cdc50 (Fig. 5A).

Because Cdc50 and Crm1 colocalized on the ER membrane and because the CRM1 gene was overexpressed in the cdc50Δ mutant, we tested the hypothesis that Cdc50 interacts with Crm1 to control its expression to maintain intracellular calcium homeostasis and regulate caspofungin resistance. Indeed, we detected a direct interaction between Crm1-mCherry, Cdc50-GFP, or both Crm1-mCherry and Cdc50-GFP were extracted. The potential Crm1-Cdc50 interaction was analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-mCherry or anti-GFP antibody and evaluated by immunoblotting.

FIG 5 Cdc50 interacts with Crm1. (A) Colocalization of Crm1 and Cdc50. Fluorescent signals generated by Crm1-mCherry and Cdc50-GFP in cells grown in YPD medium. Dic, differential interference contrast. Bar, 5 μm. (B) Interactions between Crm1 and Cdc50 were observed in the split-ubiquitin system. The C-terminal half of ubiquitin (Cub) was fused to the N terminus of Cdc50 cDNA (Cub-Cdc50). The N-terminal half of ubiquitin (NubG) was fused to the N terminus of Crm1 cDNA (NubG-Crm1). Yeast transformants were grown on selective medium. β-Galactosidase activity assays were performed to verify the interaction. Values were averaged from two independent experiments. SD, synthetic dextrose. (C) Total proteins from cells expressing Crm1-mCherry, Cdc50-GFP, or both Crm1-mCherry and Cdc50-GFP were extracted. The potential Crm1-Cdc50 interaction was analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-mCherry or anti-GFP antibody and evaluated by immunoblotting.

contributes to its hypersensitivity to caspofungin (31). To determine how Crm1 promotes caspofungin drug susceptibility in the cdc50Δ mutant, we first analyzed Crm1 and Cdc50 colocalization by expressing a Crm1-mCherry fusion protein in the Cdc50-green fluorescent protein (GFP) background. We observed that the localization of Crm1 on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane overlapped that of Cdc50 (Fig. 5A).

Because Cdc50 and Crm1 colocalized on the ER membrane and because the CRM1 gene was overexpressed in the cdc50Δ mutant, we tested the hypothesis that Cdc50 interacts with Crm1 to control its expression to maintain intracellular calcium homeostasis and regulate caspofungin resistance. Indeed, we detected a direct interaction between Crm1 and Cdc50 in a membrane-based yeast two-hybrid protein-protein interaction system (split ubiquitin system) that we have employed in previous studies (37, 38). We generated a fusion plasmid constructed by fusing the C-terminal half of ubiquitin (Cub) to the N terminus of full-length Cdc50 cDNA (Cub-Cdc50). The Crm1 construct was generated by fusing full-length Crm1 with the mutated N-terminal half of ubiquitin (NubG), in which Ile-13 is replaced by Gly. Transformants coexpressing Cub-Cdc50 and NubG-Crm1 grew on medium lacking histidine and adenine and produced robust β-galactosidase enzyme activity, indicating a direct interaction between Cdc50 and Crm1 (Fig. 5B). This interaction was confirmed by membrane protein coimmunoprecipitation. The total protein from the strain expressing both Crm1-mCherry and Cdc50-GFP was purified, and protein complex was immunoprecipitated with anti-mCherry or anti-GFP antibodies. Western blotting results further demonstrated that Crm1 interacts with Cdc50 (Fig. 5C).
The caspofungin uptake ability of the wild type and mutants was measured using boron dipyrromethene difluoride (BODIPY)-labeled caspofungin (39) (Fig. 6A). Mutants containing a CDC50 deletion (cdc50Δ and crm1Δ cdc50Δ strains) had higher levels of fluorescent signal than the H99 and crm1Δ strains. Quantifying the fluorescent signal intensity showed that disrupting CRM1 reduced BODIPY-caspofungin uptake in the cdc50Δ mutant (Fig. 6B and C). Taken together, these data suggest that Crm1 regulates caspofungin resistance at least in part through altering drug uptake ability.

Caspofungin treatment induces a compensatory increase in chitin/chitosan content in cdc50Δ cells. Caspofungin treatment induces a marked increase in chitin synthesis in C. albicans and A. fumigatus (16, 18). The cryptococcal cell wall also contains chitin and significant amounts of chitosan, the deacetylated form of chitin, which is produced by chitin deacetylase enzymes that remove acetyl groups from nascent chitin polymers (40, 41). Strains of C. neoformans with reduced chitosan levels are more sensitive to diverse cell wall stresses (42). To understand the potential change of chitin and chitosan following caspofungin treatment in C. neoformans, changes in cell wall chitin/chitosan content in wild-type and mutant strains treated with caspofungin were investigated (Fig. 7). Quantification of individual cell wall chitin and chitosan levels was performed as described by Baker et al. (43). Our data showed that in the presence of caspofungin, the production of chitin/chitosan increased in the H99 and crm1Δ strains but significantly decreased in the cdc50Δ mutant. Although the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant treated with caspofungin showed decreased chitin/chitosan content, it also produced more than the cdc50Δ mutant (Fig. 7A). We found there were 1.4- and 1.3-fold increases in the average chitosan levels in the H99 and crm1Δ strains following caspofungin treatment, respectively, while the cdc50Δ mutant treated with caspofungin showed a 2.3-fold decrease in cell wall chitosan compared to the level of the untreated controls (Fig. 7C). The chitosan content of the crm1Δ cdc50Δ mutant was significantly higher than that of the cdc50Δ mutant, with a 1.1-fold decrease in the presence of caspofungin. Taken together, these results indicate that treatment with caspofungin significantly decreased chitosan content in cdc50Δ cells and that deletion of CRM1

---

**FIG 6** Regulation of Crm1 in caspofungin uptake in C. neoformans. (A) Fungal cultures were coincubated with 5 μM BODIPY-labeled caspofungin for 30 min at 30°C. The fluorescent signal of fungal cells was detected by fluorescence microscopy. (B) Fluorescent signal intensity was quantified using flow cytometry for 100,000 yeast cells treated with 5 μM BODIPY-caspofungin. Triplicates were used for each measurement. *, P < 0.05. (C) Representative images of fluorescent signal quantification results using flow cytometry.
rescued its reduced chitosan level, suggesting that the chitosan level is important for caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans*.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used an unbiased mutagenesis approach to search for suppressor mutations of *cdc50Δ* that can rescue its caspofungin-sensitive phenotype and thereby identified the mechanosensitive calcium channel protein, Crm1. Loss of Crm1 function in the *cdc50Δ* mutant reversed its caspofungin sensitivity without changing other Cdc50-dependent functions. These data suggest that Crm1 is a specific regulator of Cdc50-dependent caspofungin resistance in *C. neoformans*. Crm1 directly interacts with Cdc50, and its expression was negatively regulated by Cdc50 and by caspofungin treatment. Caspofungin treatment in the *cdc50Δ* mutant significantly increased \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_c\) in the mutant, which may underline the observed rapid cell death and explain its hypersensitivity to caspofungin. Deleting the *CRM1* gene normalized this abnormally high \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_c\), and as a result the double mutant regained caspofungin resistance. However, although suppressor mutants M1 and M2 restored the caspofungin resistance in the *cdc50Δ* mutant when the drug concentration was low, they were still more sensitive to a high drug concentration (64 \(\mu\)g/ml) than the wild type. Other proteins involved in caspofungin resistance have been recently reported (15). Therefore, it is possible that there are other parallel mechanisms controlling caspofungin resistance besides Crm1 in *C. neoformans*. This possibility will be studied in the future.

Crm1 is an EF-hand MscS-like protein (EF-MSL protein), characterized by one EF-hand Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding domain upstream of the conserved mechanosensitive channel and several transmembrane domains (44, 45). This protein family is better described in bacteria, yet its function in fungi remains poorly understood. There is no sequence homolog of MscS-like proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The only reported study of fungal MscS-like proteins was performed in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, in which two MscS-like proteins, Msy1 and Msy2, were found to constitute two mechanosensitive channels that control \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_c\) and cell volume following hypo-osmotic shock (46, 47). Upon hypo-osmotic shock, the *msy1Δ msy2Δ* mutant displayed greater cell swelling than wild-type cells before undergoing cell death, which was enhanced by the influx of extracellular calcium, leading to an abnormally high \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_c\) in this double mutant. Interestingly, Msy1 and Msy2 appear to have distinct roles in maintaining cellular calcium homeostasis in *S. pombe* because they have different ER membrane localizations, and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_c\) was increased in the *msy1Δ* mutant and decreased in the *msy2Δ* mutant (46). In *C. neoformans*, we found that Crm1 as the single MSL protein homolog contributed to caspofungin resistance, which suggests the potential involvement of the Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathway and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis in conferring echinocandin drug resistance. However, our analysis did not reveal a clear function of Crm1 in osmotic regulation or cell swelling.

![Figure 7](mbio.asm.org)
Previous publications demonstrated a link between Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathways and caspofungin resistance in *Candida* species and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (20, 48–51). *A. fumigatus* requires extracellular calcium to induce paradoxical growth upon caspofungin treatment (51). Here, we observed that adding extracellular calcium increased the MIC of caspofungin and fungal survival in both the wild type and mutants, confirming a direct connection between calcium levels and drug resistance in *C. neoformans*.

Calcineurin is an important regulator of Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathways (23). Strains with altered calcineurin function are known to be more susceptible to caspofungin (15, 22). *In vitro* studies demonstrated that the calcineurin inhibitor FK506 has a synergistic interaction with caspofungin against *C. neoformans* (24). In our study, we also found that the calcineurin inhibitor CsA increased caspofungin susceptibility in *C. neoformans*. In the presence of CsA, the MIC of caspofungin was much higher in the *cdc50*Δ mutant than in the *crm1*Δ *cdc50*Δ double mutant. We speculate that this occurs due to an inability of the double mutant to produce sufficient [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\) to resist caspofungin stress, while the *cdc50*Δ single mutant contains higher residual calcium levels. Consistent with this hypothesis, the caspofungin MIC for the double mutant increased dramatically when extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) was added while the MIC for the *cdc50*Δ mutant showed only a 2-fold increase even with addition of 20 mM extracellular calcium (Table 1). In addition, increased gene expression in the calcineurin pathway in the presence of caspofungin in both wild-type and mutant strains supports the conclusion that caspofungin treatment activates the calcineurin signaling pathway in *C. neoformans*. Overall, we conclude that the calcineurin pathway contributes to Cdc50-mediated caspofungin resistance.

Interestingly, we also observed that the *crm1*Δ *cdc50*Δ double mutant exhibited higher CsA sensitivity than other strains (Table 2). We suspect this increased sensitivity may be related to an additional CsA function other than that of calcineurin inhibitor. For instance, FK506 has synergistic antifungal activity via a mechanism that is independent of calcineurin in *C. neoformans* (24). Calcineurin inhibitors FK506 and CsA were found to inhibit multidrug resistance pump functions in addition to calcineurin (52). Therefore, it is likely that deletion of both CRM1 and CDC50 leads to a synergistic effect that further sensitizes mutant cells against CsA. The exact mechanism remains unclear and requires future studies.

Calcineurin regulates intracellular calcium homeostasis in fungi (23). Calcium plays key roles in regulating cell death as intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) overload triggers cell death (35). Stimulation of cells with calcium ionophores rapidly elevated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\), followed by a sequence of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent signaling events, including externalization of PS, and apoptosis (53). Thus, we suspect that the excessive [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\) in the *cdc50*Δ mutant during caspofungin treatment may induce cell death and that increased concentrations of free cytoplasmic calcium may also trigger PS externalization (54). Indeed, caspofungin treatment significantly increased both PS exposure and ROS level in the *cdc50*Δ mutant compared to levels in other strains. Our results indicate that Crm1 is required for maintaining Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis under the caspofungin treatment, likely by supplying cytosolic free Ca\(^{2+}\) from ER and vacuole intracellular stores.

It has been shown that the calcineurin pathway was required for an increase in compensatory chitin content following echinocandin treatment (18–21, 55). Cell wall biosynthesis gene expression is altered during caspofungin treatment in *C. neoformans* (15). No significant decrease in chitin level occurred following caspofungin treatment in our study. Interestingly, *cdc50*Δ cells showed a higher level of chitosan than the wild type or the *crm1*Δ mutant, and the chitosan level of the *cdc50*Δ mutant decreased significantly following caspofungin treatment. Loss of CRM1 in the *cdc50*Δ mutant rescued its reduced chitosan to maintain cell wall integrity. This process may partially explain why loss of Crm1 in the *cdc50*Δ mutant can rescue its caspofungin sensitivity.

Increased [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\) in human erythrocytes inhibits their ability to incorporate spin-labeled aminophospholipids, suggesting that [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\) may regulate flippase activity (56). ATP11C is one of the human P4-type ATPases localized to the plasma membrane in a Cdc50-dependent manner. High [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\) inhibits ATP11C flippase activity (57).
Whether Ca\textsuperscript{2+} directly binds to the flippase remains an area for future investigation. In this study, we detected an interaction between Cdc50 and the mechanosensitive channel protein Crm1 and much higher \([\text{Ca}\textsuperscript{2+}]_c\) in the \(\text{cdc}50\Delta\) mutant. Based on our data, we conclude that Cdc50 is a likely regulator for both lipid flippase function and calcium channel regulation. How and when Cdc50 interacts with P4-ATPases versus Crm1, as well as the potential temporal and spatial regulation of these two biological processes, are topics for future investigation.

Based on our data, we propose a model depicting how Crm1 and Cdc50 contribute to regulating caspofungin resistance in \(C.\) neoformans (Fig. 8). With caspofungin treatment, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} enters the cell through high-affinity calcium channels, Cch1 and Mid1. This process activates the calcium-binding protein calmodulin (CaM), which binds to the calcineurin heterodimer (CnA and CnB) and enhances calcineurin phosphatase activity. The activated calcineurin complex dephosphorylates the target genes involved in regulating the stress response, cell wall integrity, growth, and drug resistance. In \(C.\) neoformans, calcium homeostasis can be maintained through the function of an elaborate system containing calcium channels and pumps. Crm1 plays a key role in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} elevation by transporting free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the ER to the cytosol. Cdc50 likely contributes to inhibiting the function of Crm1 to maintain intracellular calcium homeostasis. If the function of Cdc50 is impaired, uncontrolled Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx by Crm1 leads to a lethal level of \([\text{Ca}\textsuperscript{2+}]_c\) in the \(\text{cdc}50\Delta\) mutant. Accordingly, loss of Crm1 in the \(\text{cdc}50\Delta\) mutant alleviates the increased \([\text{Ca}\textsuperscript{2+}]_c\), and the cells become resistant to caspofungin.

In summary, our study on suppressor mutations of the \(\text{cdc}50\Delta\) mutant in caspofungin sensitivity identified Crm1, a novel EF-MSL protein that plays an important role in regulating caspofungin resistance in \(C.\) neoformans by altering intracellular calcium homeostasis. The identification and characterization of Cdc50 and Crm1 in the calcium regulation of caspofungin resistance not only provide new insights for Cdc50-mediated...
TABLE 3 Strains used in this study

| C. neoformans strain | Genotype | Source or reference |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| H99                  | MATα wild type | 67 |
| KN99α                | MATα wild type | 68 |
| CUX196               | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO | 31 |
| CUX202               | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO CDC50-GFP-NAT | 31 |
| CUX759               | MATα crm1 Δ::NEO | 69 |
| CUX994               | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO | 31 |
| CUX995               | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO crm1Δ::NAT | This study |
| CUX1036              | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO CDC50-GFP-NAT ura5Δ | This study |
| CUX1037              | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO crm1Δ::NAT ura5Δ | This study |
| CUX1053              | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO crm1Δ::NAT CRM1 (H99)::UR5 | This study |
| CUX1054              | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO crmΔ::NAT CRM1 (M1)::UR5 | This study |
| CUX1063              | MATα cdc50Δ::NEO CDC50-GFP-NAT ura5Δ | This study |
| CUX1091              | MATα CRM1-mCherry-NAT | This study |
| CUX1092              | MATα CDC50-GFP-NAT | This study |
| CUX1096              | MATα CRM1-mCherry-NAT CDC50-GFP-NAT | This study |

echinocandin resistance in C. neoformans but also may advance our knowledge of calcium signaling in the fungal response to echinocandin drugs in general.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and medium. Two C. neoformans gene deletion collections were generated by Hiten Madhani's group at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) and purchased from the American Tissue Culture Center (ATCC) and Fungal Genetics Stock Center (FGSC). Other strains used in this study are listed in Table 3. In all growth assays, cells were grown in nutrient-rich yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) medium at 30°C. The boron dipyrromethene difluoride (BODIPY)-labeled caspofungin was kindly provided by our colleague David Perlin. Caspofungin was provided by Merck. Other common medium preparation and growth conditions followed previously described instructions (58). Whole genome sequencing data were deposited to NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database with the accession no. PRJNA577954.

Generation of double mutants and CRM1 complemented strain. Genes with nonsynonymous mutations in both the M1 and M2 genomes were identified through genome comparison following whole-genome resequencing using the sequencing facility at Rutgers. Their mutants (mating type α) identified from the deletion collections were genotypically crossed with a mating type α cdc50Δ mutant to generate double mutants. Cells from each culture were mixed and inoculated on Musahige-Skoog (MS) mating medium. Plates were incubated at room temperature in the dark for 10 days. Spores were dissected and inoculated on YPD plates containing both nourseothricin (NAT) and G418 and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. Genomic DNAs of progenies were extracted, and diagnostic PCR was performed to screen for double mutants.

To complement CRM1 in the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double deletion strain, two PCR fragments containing a 1.5-kb upstream promoter region and the CRM1 ORF and its 500 bp downstream region were amplified from H99 and M1 isolate genomic DNA using primers CKX1499/CKX1500 (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The two PCR fragments were cloned into the plasmid pJAF7, which contains a URA5 marker, by infusion cloning (TaKaRa). The linearized plasmids were biolistically transformed into the crm1Δ cdc50Δ double mutant to generate the complemented strains CUX1053 and CUX1054.

Testing caspofungin sensitivity on agar medium. YPD agar plates containing 8, 16, 32, or 64 μg/ml caspofungin were prepared. C. neoformans overnight cultures were collected, washed, and adjusted to an optical density (A500) of 1.0. Tenfold serial dilutions were prepared, and 5 μl of each sample was inoculated on agar plates. Plates were incubated for 4 days at 30°C before being photographed.

Assays for melanin production and stress response. Melanin production was assayed by inoculating C. neoformans cells into 2 ml of YPD liquid medium and incubating samples overnight at 30°C. Overnight cultures with serial dilutions were prepared, and 5 μl of each sample was spotted on YPD agar plates containing 1.0 M KCl for osmotic stress (59). To test cell wall integrity, cells were also spotted on YPD agar plates containing 0.03% SDS, 0.5% Congo red, or 250 μg/ml calcein fluorescent white (CFW) and incubated for 4 days at 30°C or 37°C.

Cryptococcus-macrophage interaction assay. A Cryptococcus-macrophage interaction assay was performed as previously described (59). Macrophage-like cell line J774 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C in 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were harvested and activated with 50 unit/ml gamma interferon (IFN-γ), 1 μg/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Sigma). C. neoformans overnight cultures were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice and opsonized with 20% mouse complement. Cryptococcus cells (2 × 10⁴) were added into each well (yeast/J774 ratio, 4:1). To assess the phagocytosis rate, cells
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were washed with PBS after a 2-h coincubation and fixed with methanol for 30 min. Giemsa stain was added to the wells at a 1:10 dilution, and the plates were incubated overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed once with PBS and analyzed using an inverted microscope. To assess intracellular proliferation of C. neoformans, nonadherent extracellular yeast cells were removed by gentle washing with fresh DMEM after a 2-h coincubation, and cultures were incubated for another 0, 4, and 24 h. At indicated time points on the figures, the medium in each well was replaced by distilled H2O (dH2O) to lyse macrophages for 30 min at room temperature. The lysate was spread on YPD plates, and the number of CFU/well was counted to determine intracellular proliferation.

Quantitative RT-PCR. C. neoformans cultures were grown overnight in YPD medium. Cells were washed twice in PBS, and 10^6 cells/ml was replated onto YPD medium containing 0 or 8 μg/ml caspofungin. Cultures were incubated for 16 h at 30°C before cells were collected, and total RNA was extracted. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized according to a previously described protocol (60). Gene expression was analyzed using SYBR Advantage quantitative PCR (QPCR) premix reagents (TaKaRa). Gene expression levels were normalized using the endogenous housekeeping gene GAPDH, and the relative levels were determined using the comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method (61). Real-time PCRs were performed using an Mx4000 QPCR system (Stratagene) as previously described (62).

[Ca^{2+}]_c detection. Cytosolic Ca^{2+} levels were measured by using a fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) assay with Fluo-3 acetoxymethyl ester (Fluo-3/AM) indicator as previously described, with modifications (63). Briefly, C. neoformans cultures were grown overnight in YPD medium. Yeast cells (1 x 10^7) were washed twice in o-Hanks buffer (0.4 g/liter KCl, 0.06 g/liter KH2PO4, 8.0 g/liter NaCl, 0.35 g/liter NaHCO3, 0.06 g/liter Na2HPO4·7H2O) and stained with 5 μM calcium-sensitive indicator Fluo-3/AM (Invitrogen) in the dark at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were then washed three times with o-Hanks buffer, resuspended into fresh liquid YPD medium containing 5 mM CaCl2 with either 0 or 4 μg/ml caspofungin, and incubated at 30°C. The concentration of intracellular free calcium ([Ca^{2+}]_c) at different time points was determined by flow cytometry using the excitation/emission wave lengths of 485 nm/530 nm. A 1-h time course and measurement at 16 h posttreatment were used to investigate the transient and long-term intracellular calcium changes with caspofungin treatment, respectively. This experiment was done in triplicate. The following equation was used to determine [Ca^{2+}]_c for samples from measured values of fluorescence signal: [Ca^{2+}]_c = K_d × (F - F_min)/(F_max - F), where K_d is the dissociation constant, F_min is the fluorescence intensity of the indicator in the absence of calcium (YPD with 10 mM EGTA), F_max is the fluorescence of the calcium-saturated indicator (YPD with 20 mM CaCl2), and F is the fluorescence at intermediate calcium levels.

BODIPY-caspofungin localization assay. Fungal cultures were grown overnight in YPD medium and resuspended into YPD medium with 5 mM CaCl2 for an additional 5 h. Fresh cultures were washed with PBS and coincubated with 5 μM BODIPY fluorescently labeled caspofungin for 30 min. Cell suspensions were then observed under a fluorescence microscope for cellular localization of the fluorescent signal. Fluorescent signal intensity for 100,000 cells was also quantified by flow cytometry.

Annexin V assay. Annexin V staining was performed according to our previous publication (31). Briefly, Cryptococcus strains were grown overnight in YPD medium, recultured in YPD medium with 5 mM CaCl2 containing 0 or 4 μg/ml caspofungin, and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. Cells were harvested and washed in binding buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl, and 2.5 mM CaCl2) and resuspended in 1 ml of binding buffer containing 5 μl of FITC-conjugated annexin V (Life Technologies, Inc.). After being incubated for 1 h at 30°C with shaking, cells were fixed by 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C and then washed in PBS containing 1% formaldehyde before observation under fluorescence microscopy. Fluorescent signal intensity was also quantified by flow cytometry.

ROS measurement. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) detection was done as previously reported (64). Cells were grown overnight in YPD medium at 30°C. The following day, cells were diluted and allowed to grow until the A590 reached 0.5. Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA) (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 10 μM was added, and cells were incubated for an additional 2 h. Cells were then washed to remove excess dye, resuspended in YPD medium containing 5 mM CaCl2 and either 0 or 4 μg/ml caspofungin, and incubated at 30°C. Because cells were loaded with H2DCFDA prior to caspofungin treatment, the dye worked well when the duration of the treatment was short (5 h) (65). After a 5-h treatment, cells were then harvested, washed with PBS, and resuspended in 1 ml of PBS. Fluorescence signal was analyzed using an Accuri flow cytometer (BD Bioscience).

Chitin and chitosan measurement. Cryptococcus chitin and chitosan measurements were done as described by Baker et al. (43). Briefly, fungal cultures were grown for 20 h in YPD medium. Cells were inoculated into fresh liquid YPD medium containing 5 mM CaCl2 and either 0 or 4 μg/ml caspofungin and incubated at 30°C for 72 h. Cells were divided, and dry weights were measured. One aliquot of pelleted cells was treated with sodium bicarbonate and acetic anhydride at room temperature for 20 min, followed by 5 min at 100°C. Both cell aliquots were subsequently cell washed with KOH at 80°C for 90 min. Samples were collected and suspended in 0.2 ml of McIlvaine’s buffer (0.2 M NaHPO4, 0.1 M citric acid, pH 6.0) containing 100 μg of chitinase from Trichoderma viride (CB241; Sigma) and incubated for 2 days at 37°C. For colorimetric determination of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), the Morgan-Elson method was adapted for microplate readers essentially as previously described (66). One hundred microliters of each sample was transferred to 96-well low-evaporation microtiter plates, and absorbance at 585 nm was recorded. Standard curves were prepared from stocks of 0.2 to 2.0 mM GlcNAc (Sigma). The data shown are cumulated from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was done by a two-tailed t test.

Detection of Cdc50-Crm1 interaction. A split ubiquitin system (Dualsystem Biotech, Switzerland) was utilized to investigate the interaction between Cdc50 and Crm1 as previously described (37, 38). CDC50 full-length cDNA was cloned into the yeast expression vector pNCW (with Cub fused to the N...
terminus of Cdc50). CRM1 full-length cDNA was cloned into the pDL2XN vector (with the mutated C-terminal half of ubiquitin NubG protein fused to the CRM1 N terminus). All cDNA sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Cub and NubG fusion constructs were cotransformed into the host yeast strain NYM32. Two constructs, pAl-Alg5 and pDL2-Alg5, express a fusion of the endogenous ER protein Alg5 to the Nub portion of yeast ubiquitin. pAl-Alg5 contains a wild-type Nub that interacts with the Cub portion of the ubiquitin from the bait vector and serves as a positive control. pDL2-Alg5 contains a Nub portion bearing an isoleucine-to-glycine mutation that prevents nonspecific interaction with the Cub portion from the bait vector and serves as a negative control. The interaction was determined by the growth of yeast transformants on medium lacking histidine or adenine and also by measuring β-galactosidase activity.

To confirm the interaction between Cdc50 and Crm1, CRM1 full-length genomic DNA was amplified and cloned into a vector containing the Cryptococcus actin promoter and an mCherry coding sequence to generate the plasmid pCXU350. These two plasmids were linearized and introduced into KN99 and H99 to generate strains CUX1091 (Crm1-mCherry) and CUX1092 (Cdc50-GFP) (Table 3), respectively. The strain expressing both Crm1-mCherry and Cdc50-GFP (CUX1096) was generated by crossing CUX1091 and CUX1092. To test the interaction between Crm1 and Cdc50 in vivo, proteins were purified from strains H99, CUX1091, CUX1092, and CUX1096 and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP and anti-mCherry antibodies. Proteins were pulled down by using anti-mCherry or anti-GFP antibodies and then analyzed by Western blotting.
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