Handheld spectrally encoded coherence tomography and reflectometry for motion-corrected ophthalmic optical coherence tomography and optical coherence tomography angiography
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1 Introduction

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is the gold standard for quantitative ophthalmic imaging and enables volumetric visualization of subsurface tissue microstructures. The majority of commercial and research systems require patients to fixate and be imaged in a seated upright position, which limits the ability to perform ophthalmic imaging in bedridden or pediatric patients. Handheld OCT devices overcome this limitation, but image quality often suffers due to a lack of real-time aiming and patient eye and photographer motion. We describe a handheld spectrally encoded coherence tomography and reflectometry (SECTR) system that enables simultaneous en face reflectance and cross-sectional OCT imaging. The handheld probe utilizes a custom double-pass scan lens for fully telecentric OCT scanning with a compact optomechanical design and a rapid-prototyped enclosure to reduce the overall system size and weight. We also introduce a variable velocity scan waveform that allows for simultaneous acquisition of densely sampled OCT angiography (OCTA) volumes and widefield reflectance images, which enables high-resolution vascular imaging with precision motion-tracking for volumetric motion correction and multivolumetric mosaicking. Finally, we demonstrate in vivo human retinal OCT and OCT angiography (OCTA) imaging using handheld SECTR on a healthy volunteer. Clinical translation of handheld SECTR will allow for high-speed, motion-corrected widefield OCT and OCTA imaging in bedridden and pediatric patients who may benefit ophthalmic disease diagnosis and monitoring.
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resonant or MEMS scanners to reduce size and increase scan speeds; however, these can have limited FOVs, nonlinear scan trajectories that require additional postprocessing, and different frame rates for each modality, thereby lacking temporal coregistration.\textsuperscript{27,28} We address the aforementioned limitations of existing multimodal handheld ophthalmic probes by using spectrally encoded coherence tomography and reflectometry (SECTR), which integrates OCT with spectrally encoded reflectance (SER) imaging.\textsuperscript{29-32} While conventional SLO uses point-scan illumination and confocal detection, SER uses line-scan illumination by spectrally dispersing a broadband light source and encoding spatial position as a function of wavelength. SER and OCT optical paths are coaligned and signals are simultaneously sampled using a shared high-speed digitizer for reduced system complexity and simultaneous acquisition of \textit{en face} SER frames with each OCT cross section at \(>200\) frames per second. Finally, SECTR uses a shared galvanometer scanner that ensures inherent spatiotemporal coregistration between OCT and SER data.

Here, we demonstrate SER-based retinal tracking for motion correction in OCT volumes using a handheld SECTR imaging probe. \textit{In vivo} human retinal imaging was performed in a healthy volunteer and motion artifacts in OCT/OCTA data were compared with and without correction. We also developed a custom scan pattern that densely samples a region of interest (ROI) within a sparsely sampled SECTR volume to enable OCTA imaging of retinal microvasculature while providing widefield retinal fiducials for motion tracking. Previous studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of handheld OCT for monitoring retinopathy of prematurity in infants,\textsuperscript{37} and visualizing abnormal retinal structures.\textsuperscript{38-40} However, these studies were performed using OCT systems that had limited aiming and retinal-tracking functionality. Similarly, while groups recently published handheld OCT probes optimized for pediatric imaging,\textsuperscript{41,42} these devices lack integrated motion tracking, widefield imaging, and real-time retinal-aiming capabilities that are necessary to overcome current limitations in point-of-care ophthalmic diagnostic imaging. We believe that our handheld SECTR imaging probe will improve image quality, enable robust structural and functional imaging, and benefit clinical ergonomics for imaging bedridden and pediatric patients.

\section{Methods}

\subsection{Spectrally Encoded Coherence Tomography and Reflectometry Engine and Data Acquisition}

SECTR imaging was performed using a previously described OCT engine with a 200 kHz, 1060-nm center wavelength swept-source laser (Axon) optically buffered to 400 kHz.\textsuperscript{31} All system specifications and components were identical except for the use of a transmissive reference arm for improved power throughput [Fig. 1(a)]. The OCT and SER sample fibers from the engine [Fig. 1(a), red and blue boxes, respectively] are coupled to the corresponding collimating optics in the handheld probe [Fig. 1(b), \(f_c\) and PM, respectively].

\subsection{Double-Pass Scan Lens}

Galvanometer scanning modules conventionally use closely positioned \(x\)-axis and \(y\)-axis scanner pairs to reduce system size and complexity. In this configuration, neither scanner is conjugated to the pupil plane, which results in a nontelescopic focal plane. Telecentric scanning relays can be implemented, but require an additional telescope to optically relay conjugate pupil planes to separated scanning mirrors. We introduce a double-pass scan lens (DPSL) that enables dual-axis telecentric scanning using a single-lens configuration [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)].
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\caption{Optical design and schematic representation: (a) SECTR engine and (b) handheld optical system schematics. (c) Orthogonal views and simulated single- and double-pass spot diagrams through the DPSLs (Airy radius \(=12.91\) \(\mu\)m). Arrows show direction of light propagation. PC, polarization controller; PD, photodiode; FBG, fiber Bragg grating; BPD, balanced photodetector; DFCF, double-clad fiber coupler; MMF, multimode fiber; DCF, double-clad fiber; RR, retroreflector; VPHG, volume-phase holographic grating; DM, d-shaped pickoff mirror; PM, parabolic mirror; \(G_{x,y}\), galvanometer; \(f_c\), collimating lens; \(f_{obj}\), focusing lens; DPSL, double-pass scan lens; \(f_{scan}\), scan lens; and \(f_{spc}\), ophthalmic lens.}
\end{figure}
The DPSL was custom-designed using CodeV (Synopsys, Inc.) to optimize performance over a \( \pm 10\)-deg optical FOV. Figure 1(c) shows representative spot diagrams for single-pass performance at the mirror plane (DM) and double-pass performance at the fast-axis galvanometer \( (G_x) \) for 0 deg to 10 deg. The simulated spots in the mirror plane [Fig. 1(c)] show significant single-pass aberrations dominated by coma, but the lens corrects for this upon a second pass, resulting in diffraction-limited performance across the entire FOV. The double-pass spot sizes were simulated using a paraxial imaging lens with a focal length of 30 mm and an Airy radius of 12.91 \( \mu \)m to avoid confounding aberrations not inherent to the DPSL and allow for direct comparison between single- and double-pass performances.

### 2.3 Optical Design

SECTR imaging performance was simulated and optimized using ZEMAX (ZEMAX, LLC) with stock and custom optical components [Fig. 1(b)]. In the OCT path, a single-mode fiber (NA = 0.14) was collimated using a 90-deg off-axis parabolic mirror [Fig. 1(b), PM, Thorlabs, RC04FC-PO1] to a 4-mm diameter. The beam was then scanned using the slow-axis galvanometer \( (G_y) \), ScannerMax, Pangolin Laser Systems, Inc.) positioned at a 4-mm offset from the optical axis and focused telecentrically onto a D-shaped pickoff mirror (DM) using the DPSL [Fig. 1(c)]. The beam was reflected and backpropagated through the DPSL to the fast-axis galvanometer \( (G_x) \). The galvanometers were separated by 8-mm center to center to accommodate the physical size of each mirror. SER used a double-clad fiber (DCF) for coaxial single-mode illumination and multimode collection that was oriented at 29 deg with respect to the optical axis to compensate for a custom DCF termination (Sec. 2.4). Single-mode SER illumination was collimated to 10 mm using two achromatic doublets with a combined focal length of 26.2 mm [Fig. 1(b), \( f_c \)], and spectrally dispersed using a 1379 lines/mm polarization-independent transmission grating (PING-Sample-420, Ibsen Photonics, A/S). The dispersed beam was then focused to an 11.5-mm line using a 54-mm focal length lens \( (f_{obj}) \) and combined with the OCT path across the D-shaped mirror (DM). SER and OCT propagated collinearly with a 50-\( \mu \)m separation through the DPSL, a shared galvanometer \( (G_x) \), a dichroic hot mirror (dichroic, 43-843; Edmund Optics, Inc.), and a 2x demagnifying telescope \( (f_{scan} \text{ and } f_{oph}) \) to a 2-mm diameter spot on the pupil. The dichroic mirror was added to allow for the placement of an optional visible-light fixation target.

A modified version of the Polans eye model was used to accurately model aberrations through the human eye.\(^{33,44}\) Figure 2 shows simulated spot diagrams for OCT and SER over a \( \pm 20\)-deg FOV on the retina. The Airy radii for OCT and SER were 9.8 and 9.6 \( \mu \)m, respectively. We achieved diffraction-limited OCT performance over the central 13 deg and up to twice the diffraction limit at the FOV periphery (RMS spot size). OCT spot sizes at the peripheral FOV were limited by the use of \( \Omega 1^\circ \) optics, which was necessary to maintain a compact form factor for handheld imaging. SER spots were twice the diffraction limit on axis and up to six times the diffraction limit at the periphery. SER imaging performance was mainly degraded by single-pass propagation through the DPSL, which induced significant coma and astigmatism across the field. SER is primarily used for retinal aiming during image acquisition and motion tracking in postprocessing, and, thus, SECTR performance was not significantly impacted by SER lateral resolution. It is possible to precompensate for these aberrations using custom-designed collimator and objective lenses [Fig. 1(b), \( f_c \) and \( f_{obj} \)]. However, the achievable SER resolution with our current system design was more than sufficient for retinal aiming and
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**Fig. 2** Spot diagrams in retinal plane of the Polans eye model. OCT and SER spots were simulated across a \( \pm 20\)-deg FOV. Airy radius: 9.8 \( \mu \)m (OCT) and 9.6 \( \mu \)m (SER).
motion tracking and, thus, stock collimator and objective lenses were used to reduce overall system cost and complexity.

2.4 Double-Clad Fiber Coupler End-Face Reflections

Single-mode illumination and multimode collection using a DCF improves SER collection efficiency while maintaining lateral resolution. Multimode collection also provides speckle contrast reduction through incoherent averaging of multiple spatial modes of backscattered light on the detector. Unfortunately, DCF end-face reflections preferentially couple into the inner cladding and saturate backscattered SER signals from the retina [Fig. 3(a)]. We previously developed a method to reduce these end-face reflections by index-matching the DCF end-face to an angled wedge prism, which spatially offset reflections from the glass-to-air interface away from the inner cladding of the DCF [Fig. 3(b)]. Although this achieved a 12.5x reduction in backcoupled end-face reflection intensity, the wedge prism was bulky and required repeated application of index-matching gel.

Here, we describe an improved method for removing DCF end-face reflections using an angle-polished no-core fiber (NCF) termination. Optimal NCF length and polish angle [Fig. 3(e)] were simulated in MATLAB (MathWorks, Inc.) and ZEMAX using DCF manufacturer specifications for fiber numerical aperture (NA), diameters, and refractive index (SMM900, Fibercore). Simulations ensured that the NCF end-face (125-μm diameter) did not clip the SER illumination beam and reflections were completely spatially offset from the inner cladding [Fig. 3(d)]. The plot in Fig. 3(e) shows red and blue regions corresponding to suitable output beam diameters and minimum fiber polish angles to ensure no backcoupling into the inner cladding or beam clipping at the NCF output, respectively. Furthermore, the dotted black line shows a maximum beam diameter of 125 μm (the physical diameter of the NCF), which limits the maximum fiber length to 0.35 mm. The gray region, where the blue and red regions overlap, is all acceptable combinations of fiber polish angle and NCF length for a maximum beam diameter of 125 μm. As a result of these simulations, a 210-μm length of NCF was polished to 20 deg to optimally terminate a DCF with single-mode core NA of 0.19, inner cladding NA of 0.26, and inner cladding diameter of 125 μm. Leakage between the single-mode illumination and inner cladding was observed, so NCF termination length and angle were optimized assuming 0.26-NA illumination output at the DCF face. A short length of NCF (FG125LA, Thorlabs, Inc.) was first fusion-spliced to a flat-cleaved DCF and then cleaved, leaving 250 μm of NCF past the splice-joint. The fiber was fed through an FC/PC connector and epoxied under microscopy guidance such that 40 μm of NCF protruded from the ferrule tip. The fiber and ferrule were then polished to 20 deg. Polishing both the fiber and ceramic ferrule enabled routine inspection and cleaning of the fiber face without damaging the NCF termination.

Three illumination configurations were used to characterize the backreflection intensity of our DCF termination: DCF in air, DCF with index-matching gel, and DCF with NCF termination [Figs. 3(a), 3(c), and 3(d), respectively]. Backreflection intensity from the DCF with index-matching gel was used as a reflection-free baseline. The measurements were performed with no downstream optics to decouple end-face from other system reflections. The intensity of the backreflected light incident on the SER photodiode was recorded over several sweeps of the laser for each configuration [Fig. 3(f)]. All measurements were reported relative to the backreflection from the DCF in air. We measured 25-dB average backreflection reduction using our NCF termination, which was comparable to the index-matching
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**Fig. 3** DCF end-face termination. (a) Flat-polished DCF with blue and red rays representing illumination and backreflection, respectively. (b) Previously described backreflection reduction configuration using a wedge prism coupled to the DCF with index-matching gel. DCF illumination with (c) index-matching gel and (d) NCF termination. (e) MATLAB simulation for optimal polish angle and fiber length of NCF termination. The blue and red shaded regions represent acceptable polish angles and beam diameters to ensure no backcoupling or beam clipping, respectively. The black dotted line denotes the maximum beam diameter cut-off for a fiber diameter of 125 μm. The gray region shows the overlap between suitable NCF lengths and polish angles and the white asterisk shows the fabrication parameters of our NCF termination. (f) Backreflection measurements for fiber configurations (a), (c), and (d).
gel baseline and a significant improvement over our previously reported wedge prism termination.\textsuperscript{31}

2.5 Optomechanical Design and Housing

A combination of stock and custom optomechanical components was integrated into a high-resolution rapid-prototyped enclosure to achieve a compact, lightweight, and robust handheld SECTR probe (Fig. 4). The optimized optical design was exported from ZEMAX to SolidWorks (Dassault Systèmes, SE) for mechanical design and optomechanical components were imported from available manufacturer models. Fold mirrors were used to reduce the size of the handheld, and a custom galvanometer holder was designed to reduce bulk while maintaining precise x–y galvanometer separation and alignment. Optical elements were directly mounted onto the rapid prototyped enclosure with extruded cantilever-style snap-fit mounts and pedestals to reduce overall system bulk and weight. The ophthalmic lens [Fig. 1(b), \( f_{\text{oph}} \)] was mounted in a zoom housing to compensate for optical power differences between patient eyes. When completely assembled, the SECTR probe measured 14 \( \times \) 6.8 \( \times \) 10 cm (length \( \times \) width \( \times \) height) and weighed 752 g.

2.6 Motion-Correction and Multivolumetric Mosaicking

We previously described methods for multivolumetric registration and mosaicking of SECTR volumes.\textsuperscript{31} In this work, we developed a modified algorithm to correct for motion artifacts and mosaic OCTA volumes. Lateral (i.e., horizontal and vertical) shifts were computed from serial SER images and axial shifts were computed from OCT B-scans using discrete Fourier transform registration.\textsuperscript{45} The measured lateral and axial shifts were then applied to each corresponding B-scan, and OCTA was performed using singular value decomposition on each motion-corrected volume.\textsuperscript{46,47} SER shifts were scaled to corresponding OCT dimensions by first calculating the ratio between the SER and OCT FOVs and then empirically minimizing the vessel discontinuities. The scaling ratio is constant for a given set of scan parameters and, thus, manual scaling was only performed once for each set of structural and vascular data acquired in this study. OCTA projections were then roughly aligned manually using overlapping fiducials. The Frangi filter was applied to each OCTA projection to highlight vascular features.\textsuperscript{48} The “vessellness” feature maps were then automatically aligned using an intensity-based deformable registration method and the resulting transformations were applied to the original OCTA volumes. Finally, the aligned OCTA volumes were blended together to obtain a final mosaic of the overlapping datasets.

2.7 Variable Velocity Waveform Imaging

Lateral motion estimation depends on the contrast and the number of retinal fiducials present in SER frames (e.g., blood vessels or optic nerve head). Thus, the lack of fiducials in the peripheral retina and fovea limits the accuracy and robustness of multivolumetric registration and mosaicking in these regions. These limitations are further exacerbated in OCTA, which requires small densely sampled volumes to achieve high vascular resolution. SECTR is uniquely suited for retinal OCTA mosaicking because widefield SER images may be acquired concurrently with small densely sampled OCTA volumes to ensure that motion-tracking fiducials are consistently visible. We achieved this using a custom-designed scan waveform to drive the shared SER and OCT fast-axis galvanometer such that the scan velocity was slow within the ROI to ensure optimal OCTA sampling density and high outside of the ROI [Fig. 5(a)]. Applying this scan waveform allowed us to acquire widefield SER frames for motion tracking and a critically sampled OCT volume for...

![Fig. 4 Handheld SECTR probe. CAD renderings of the (a) optical and optomechanical layout and (b) rapid-prototyped enclosure, and (c) picture of SECTR probe being used to image a healthy volunteer.](image-url)
angiography at a fraction of the total acquisition time of a conventional critically sampled volume across the same FOV. In postprocessing, the SER and OCT data were resampled to linearize the line spacing and to correct the image distortions resulting from the scan waveform [Fig. 5(b)]. The resampling function was obtained by first imaging a grid chart with a line spacing of 100 μm. The signal peak positions corresponding to the grid lines were then extracted from OCT frames and linearized. Smooth transitions between the fast and slow scan velocity regions in the resampling function was a result of low-pass filtering of the scan waveform by the galvanometer controller.

3 Results

3.1 Optical Performance

Handheld SECTR optical performance was evaluated by imaging a USAF-1951 resolution chart. All resolution tests were performed in the intermediate image plane before the ophthalmic relay lens [Fig. 1(b), foph]. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show resolution chart images for SER and OCT sampled at 2560 × 2000 × 2000 pixels (spectral × lateral × frames) over a 1.1-mm² region. Images were oversampled to ensure that the resolution was limited by optical performance rather than sampling artifacts. OCT fast- and slow-axes resolved group 6 elements 4 and 2 (90.5 and 71.8 lp/mm), respectively, and SER resolved group 5 element 1 (32 lp/mm) [Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)].

3.2 In Vivo Widefield Human Imaging

Human retinal imaging was performed under an internal review board approved protocol. A healthy volunteer was imaged supine to simulate clinical imaging with an untrained photographer. The SER and OCT power incident on the pupil were 3.6 mW (extended line illumination) and 1.2 mW (point
illumination), respectively, and well below the ANSI maximum permissible exposure limit for in vivo human retinal imaging (ANSI Z80.36306). Widefield images were sampled at $2560 \times 1500 \times 1500$ pixel (spectral $\times$ lateral $\times$ frames) per volume in 6.125 s. Datasets were oversampled to demonstrate probe stability and motion-correction postprocessing methods over an extended acquisition time. Figure 7 shows a four-frame averaged SER frame and raw and motion-corrected OCT en face projections [Figs. 7(a)–7(c)].

3.3 Angiography with Variable Velocity Scan Waveform

Motion-corrected OCTA was performed using variable velocity scan waveform imaging (Secs. 2.6 and 2.7) with the handheld SECTR probe in a stabilized mount. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show representative SER frames before and after resampling, respectively, and Figs. 8(c) and 8(d) show corresponding OCTA projections. The volume was sampled with $2560 \times 750 \times 500$ pixel (spectral $\times$ lines $\times$ frames) with four repeated frames. Total imaging time was 5.25 s. No obvious difference in resolution or contrast was observed on SER between the fast and slow scan regions, whereas OCTA projections showed significant vessel enhancement in the central densely sampled foveal region.

3.4 Widefield Multivolumetric Mosaicking

Handheld SECTR OCTA was performed on a second supine healthy volunteer by a second untrained photographer. Multivolumetric mosaicking was performed on three overlapping volumes from the fovea out to the optic nerve. Volumes were sampled with $2560 \times 500 \times 400$ pixel (spectral $\times$ lines $\times$ frames) and four repeated frames in 3.2 s. Figures 9(a)–9(c) show each motion-corrected volume. Although the volumes were acquired over the same FOV and sampling density, we can see variations in volume height due to compression and/or stretching of the data following motion correction. This effect results from motion in the OCT slow axis and is clearly visible in Fig. 9(a) where vertical motion resulted in missing data (black horizontal lines). Edges of individual volumes [Figs. 9(a)–9(c)] were cropped prior to mosaicking to remove distorted regions resulting from nonlinear scan trajectories during galvanometer flyback. Mosaicking was performed using the methods described in Sec. 2.6 [Fig. 9(d)] and demonstrates the feasibility of widefield handheld OCTA.

4 Discussion

4.1 Spectrally Encoded Reflectance Imaging Performance

The DPSL was optimized for OCT resolution while neglecting SER single-pass performance. This compromise led to
Fig. 8 SER and OCTA using variable velocity scan waveform. Four-frame averaged SER (a) before and (b) after resampling. OCTA of the fovea (c) before and (d) after motion correction and resampling. Asterisks denote motion artifacts in (c) that are corrected in (d). The lateral edges of the OCTA volumes show loss of vascular resolution as a result of undersampled angiography as compared to the densely sampled foveal region. Scale bar: 500 μm.

Fig. 9 Multivolume mosaic of three overlapping volumes. (a)–(c) En face projections of motion-corrected OCTA volumes from the fovea to optic nerve head and (d) corresponding mosaic. Scale bar: 1 mm.
significant astigmatism in the SER spots and loss of lateral resolution. However, SER performance did not significantly impact our SER-based registration and mosaicking results. While loss of SER resolution results in blurring of retinal features, any blurring of retinal vessels used for registration is assumed to be negligible relative to the scale of retinal motion artifacts.

Conversely, the presence of specular reflections resulting from lens reflections in the SER and OCT paths can negatively impact registration performance (Fig. 10 and Video 1). These artifacts reduce the contrast between retinal vessels and structure, resulting in loss of trackable fiducials. In this study, specular reflection-free regions of SER frames were isolated and used for registration (Fig. 11 and Video 2); however this required manual cropping and can potentially affect registration accuracy depending on the extent and inherent field distortions in the cropped SER region. Specular reflections can be removed from SLO or other direct-detection imaging modalities by leveraging polarization diversity between illumination and collection paths. However, implementing a polarization-sensitive SER system would increase system complexity and reduce optical throughput.

4.2 Variable Velocity Waveform Utility and Mosaicking

Noninvasive in vivo imaging of retinal microvasculature using OCTA has significantly benefited clinical ophthalmology. However, motion artifacts and trade-offs between FOV and acquisition time remain major limitations of the technology. Multivolumetric mosaicking overcomes these limitations but requires precise registration and motion correction. This is visible in the mosaic in Fig. 9(d), where excessive motion resulted in inconsistent line intensity/contrast and gaps in data that make mosaicking difficult. A nonlinear multivolumetric registration method was used in this proof-of-concept study, which necessarily deforms retinal/vascular anatomy. Nonlinear registration was used to compensate for nonlinear distortions across the FOV resulting from SECTR optics and the eye. While further work is needed to overcome these limitations, we have demonstrated the feasibility of widefield ophthalmic OCTA using a handheld probe.

In this work, we also developed a method for widefield SER and OCTA imaging using a variable velocity scan waveform. Widefield SER is necessary for aiming, localizing ROIs, and accurate motion correction, and critically sampled OCTA volumes are necessary to achieve high vascular resolution and contrast. The ability to perform OCTA imaging over arbitrarily small FOVs without affecting registration and mosaicking in postprocessing allows us to reduce total acquisition time, which is particularly advantageous when imaging patients who are unable to fixate for extended periods.

4.3 Advantages of Spectrally Encoded Coherence Tomography and Reflectometry Imaging

Groups have recently described handheld OCT probes and demonstrated OCTA imaging in awake and sedated infants. However, we believe the integrated motion tracking and real-time retinal aiming capabilities of SECTR provide significant advantages for point-of-care ophthalmic diagnostic imaging over these previous technologies. Here, we demonstrated an efficient motion-correction method in single OCT volumes and proof-of-concept multivolumetric mosaicking of OCTA projections. Pupil vignetting can be clearly identified on real-time SER previews to allow the system to be recentered onto the pupil, which improves the quality of the resulting OCT/OCTA dataset. Motion correction and mosaicking also obviates the need for repeat volume acquisitions, which reduces total imaging time and would be critical for clinical translation of this technology for imaging in pediatric patients.

5 Conclusion

We have demonstrated a handheld SECTR imaging probe that enables volumetric OCT imaging of retinal structure and vascular perfusion in supine patients. SECTR overcomes critical barriers in image aiming, alignment, motion correction, and mosaicking and is uniquely suited for ophthalmic diagnostics.
in patients who are unable to fixate. Development of a handheld probe further extends the functionality of SECTR for supine imaging of bedridden and pediatric patients.
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