Regulation of RhoA activity by the cellular prion protein
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The cellular prion protein (PrP^C) is a highly conserved glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored membrane protein that is involved in the signal transduction during the initial phase of neurite outgrowth. The Ras homolog gene family member A (RhoA) is a small GTPase that is known to have an essential role in regulating the development, differentiation, survival, and death of neurons in the central nervous system. Although recent studies have shown the dysregulation of RhoA in a variety of neurodegenerative diseases, the role of RhoA in prion pathogenesis remains unclear. Here, we investigated the regulation of RhoA-mediated signaling by PrP^C using both in vitro and in vivo models and found that overexpression of PrP^C significantly induced RhoA inactivation and RhoA phosphorylation in hippocampal neuronal cells and in the brains of transgenic mice. Using siRNA-mediated depletion of endogenous PrP^C and overexpression of disease-associated mutants of PrP^C, we confirmed that PrP^C induced RhoA inactivation, which accompanied RhoA phosphorylation but reduced the phosphorylation levels of LIM kinase (LIMK), leading to cofilin activation. In addition, PrP^C colocalized with RhoA, and the overexpression of PrP^C significantly increased neurite outgrowth in nerve growth factor-treated PC12 cells through RhoA inactivation. However, the disease-associated mutants of PrP^C decreased neurite outgrowth compared with wild-type PrP^C. Moreover, inhibition of Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) substantially facilitated neurite outgrowth in NGF-treated PC12 cells, similar to the effect induced by PrP^C. Interestingly, we found that the induction of RhoA inactivation occurred through the interaction of PrP^C with RhoA and that PrP^C enhanced the interaction between RhoA and p190RhoGAP (a GTPase-activating protein). These findings suggest that the interactions of PrP^C with RhoA and p190RhoGAP contribute to neurite outgrowth by controlling RhoA inactivation and RhoA-mediated signaling and that disease-associated mutations of PrP^C impair RhoA inactivation, which in turn leads to prion-related neurodegeneration.
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The activity of Rho GTPases (Rho, Rac, and Cdc42) is controlled by regulatory proteins that cycle between an inactive GDP-bound state and an active GTP-bound state. Rho GTPases are activated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), which catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP. In contrast, GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs), which stimulate Rho GTPase activity, and Rho guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (GDIs), which inhibit the exchange of GDP for GTP in the cytoplasm by forming a Rho–RhoGDI complex, induce inactivation state of these GTPases.1,2 Furthermore, the Rho–RhoGDI complex needs to be dissociated by GDI displacement factor (GDF) before Rho GTPases are activated by GEFs.3 Activated Rho GTPases stimulate effector proteins, such as Rho-associated kinase (ROCK), mDia, and p21-activated kinase (PAK). Rho GTPases have roles in a variety of cellular functions including cytoskeletal rearrangement.4 In particular, the Ras homolog gene family member A (RhoA) and RhoA regulatory proteins (including p190RhoGAP and RhoGDI) participate in neuronal differentiation processes, such as neurite outgrowth, neuronal migration, axonal growth, and dendritic spine formation and maintenance.5 In addition, several studies have shown that RhoA inactivation is essential for neuronal morphogenesis.6,7 Application of C3 toxin (a RhoA inhibitor) or Y27632 (a ROCK inhibitor) and overexpression of dominant-negative mutant RhoA enhanced neurite outgrowth from PC12 cells in response to nerve growth factor (NGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), and cAMP.8,9

The cellular prion protein (PrP^C) is a cell-surface glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored glycoprotein attached to the plasma membrane.10 PrP^C has been associated with various cellular functions, including the cell cycle, cell growth, cell proliferation, cell–cell adhesion, cell migration, and the maintenance of cell shape.11,12 PrP^C is strongly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) and can act as a regulator of neuronal development, differentiation, and neurite outgrowth, which may depend on interactions with various regulatory proteins, including heparan sulfate proteoglycans,13,14 stress-inducible protein-1,15 Grb2 protein,16 caveolin,17 neural cell adhesion molecules (NCAMs),18,19 and extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins.20,21 In addition, PrP^C exerts its functions by interacting with several kinases, including Fyn, protein kinase C
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(PKC), protein kinase A (PKA), phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt, and extracellular regulated kinases (ERK1/2).

Loss of PrPC function has been implicated in neuronal polarization and neurite outgrowth through the modulation of integrin-ECM interactions and the RhoA-ROCK-LIM kinase (LIMK)-cofilin signaling pathway. Recently, ROCK over-activation and ROCK-3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PKD1) complex formation were shown to contribute to the regulation of neuronal polarity and the generation of pathogenic prions. However, the functional interaction between PrP and RhoA-related signaling molecules remains unknown.

In this study, we investigated the relationships of PrPC expression with RhoA activity and neurite outgrowth. We demonstrated that PrPC-induced neurite outgrowth by inactivating RhoA and that PrPC-mediated RhoA inactivation may be achieved by the interaction of PrP with RhoA and/or p190Rho-GAP, resulting in the phosphorylation of RhoA at Ser188.

**Results**

**PrPC regulates RhoA activation and RhoA-mediated signaling.** To determine whether the PrPC affects RhoA activity, a pull-down assay was performed with the glutathione-S-transferase (GST)-Rhotekin-Rho-binding domain (RBD) in the ZW13-2 (wild-type, WT) and Zp34-4 (PrP knockout) mouse hippocampal neuronal cell lines (Supplementary Figure 1), as previously established. We found that the level of RhoA-GTP in PrP knockout Zp3 cells was significantly higher than in control ZW cells (Figure 1a). We confirmed this result by re-introducing mouse PrP (mPrP) into Zp3 cells, which exhibited lower RhoA-GTP levels than Zp3 cells that expressed the empty vector alone (Figure 1b). These results suggest that PrPC negatively regulates RhoA activity in hippocampal neuronal cells.

To further investigate the signaling pathway of RhoA regulated by PrPC expression, we determined whether PrPC modulates the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK-cofilin pathway. As shown in Figure 2, PrP knockout and siRNA-mediated knockdown of endogenous mPrP (si-mPrP C) cells exhibited less phosphorylated RhoA at Ser188 (p-RhoA), which negatively regulates RhoA activity by enhancing its interaction with RhoGDI and translocates RhoA from the membrane to the cytosol with increases in phospho-LIMK1/2 (p-LIMK1/2) and phospho-cofilin (p-cofilin) (Figures 2a and b). Supporting these results, the re-introduction of mPrP reversed the changes in the levels of p-RhoA, p-LIMK1/2, and p-cofilin compared with Zp3 cells expressing the empty vector alone, yielding a result similar to that observed for the ZW cells (Figure 2c).

To confirm these results, we examined the effect of PrPC expression on RhoA activity and on the phosphorylation levels of RhoA downstream proteins in the brains of three different types of mice: WT (C57BL/6J) mice, Tga20 mice that overexpress PrPC (Tga20), and Zürich 1 Prnp-deficient (Zürich I) mice that lack PrPC. As expected, we observed an increase in RhoA-GTP level (Figure 3a) accompanied by a decrease in p-RhoA and increases in both p-LIMK1/2 and p-cofilin (Figure 3b) in the brains of the Zürich I mice compared with the brains of the WT and Tga20 mice. These findings suggest that the expression of PrPC inactivates RhoA activity and subsequently affects its downstream regulatory proteins including LIMK and cofilin.

**PrPC controls F-actin formation through the RhoA/ROCK pathway.** Previous studies have reported that RhoA activation has a role in the regulation of cytoskeleton reorganization through the formation of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions. Thus, we investigated the effect of PrPC on the formation of actin stress fibers in ZW and Zp3 cells. Stress fibers were observed to form filamentous actin (F-actin), which was detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated phalloidin. As shown in Figure 4a, F-actin formation has a role in the regulation of cytoskeleton reorganization through the formation of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions (Figure 4b). To confirm this finding, we determined the changes in G-actin and F-actin levels in ZW, Zp3, and Zp3 cells expressing mPrP using G-actin/F-actin sedimentation assay. Consistent with the results of F-actin formation, PrP knockout (Zp3 cells) resulted in significantly increased F-actin sedimentation in the pellet fraction, whereas G-actin levels were not changed in the supernatant fraction (Figure 4c). To further elucidate whether F-actin formation regulated by PrPC is due to RhoA-mediated signaling, cells were treated with Y27632, an inhibitor of ROCK. Interestingly, Y27632 treatment decreased F-actin formation in ZW cells (Supplementary Figure 2a). In addition, we analyzed PrPC on F-actin-mediated cell adhesion using WST-1 reagent, which is a quantitative method for evaluating.
attached cells. In a cell adhesion assay, F-actin-mediated cell adhesion was significantly decreased in Zpl cells than ZW or Zpl cells expressing mPrP (Supplementary Figure 3). These findings indicate that PrP C is involved in F-actin formation and cell adhesion through the RhoA/ROCK signaling pathway. PrP C interacts with both RhoA and p190RhoGAP. To identify the molecular mechanism by which PrP C induces RhoA inactivation, we sought to determine whether PrP C and RhoA directly interact in ZW and Zpl cells. As PrP C possesses a partial sequence homology with RhoA and RhoA effector proteins, including rhotekin, ROCK1, protein kinase N (PKN), and rhophilin (Supplementary Figure 5), we confirmed the interaction of PrP C with RhoA using a co-immunoprecipitation assay in ZW cells (Figures 5a and b). To further verify whether the interaction between PrP C and RhoA occurs in the cytosol or membrane fractions in ZW cells, co-immunoprecipitation of RhoA and PrP C was

**Figure 2** PrP C modulates the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK-cofilin pathway. (a-c) Phosphorylation of RhoA, LIMK1/2, and cofilin in ZW and Zpl cells (a), in ZW cells transfected with scrambled RNA (SCR) or mPrP-targeted siRNA (Si-PrP) (b) and in Zpl cells with or without expressing mPrP (c) was analyzed in triplicate by western blot. The intensities of the bands in each panel were measured and quantified for each group, and the values are expressed as the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, n = 3).
conducted on both fractions. As shown in Figure 5c, the interaction between PrP<sup>C</sup> and RhoA in the membrane fraction was slightly increased compared with the cytosol fraction, although the level of RhoA in the cytosol fraction was higher than the level in the membrane fraction (Figure 5b). Furthermore, purified human recombinant PrP<sup>C</sup> protein directly bound to purified recombinant GST-RhoA protein in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 5d). We also found that PrP<sup>C</sup> was colocalized with RhoA in the cytoplasm and the plasma membrane of ZW cells (Figure 5e, arrowheads), suggesting that PrP<sup>C</sup> directly interacts with RhoA in both the cytoplasm and the membrane.

As RhoA functions as a molecular switch between active GTP-bound and inactive GDP-bound states, we next investigated whether the GDP- or GTP-bound states of RhoA affect its interaction with PrP<sup>C</sup>. ZW cell lysates were preloaded with either GDP or GTP<sub>γ</sub>S, and then co-immunoprecipitation of RhoA with PrP was performed. We found that PrP<sup>C</sup> preferentially interacts with active GTP<sub>γ</sub>S-bound RhoA compared with GDP-bound RhoA in ZW cells (Figure 5e). These findings indicate that PrP<sup>C</sup> interacts with RhoA, and that PrP<sup>C</sup> mediates the interaction between RhoA and PrP<sup>C</sup>. As expected, reducing PrP<sup>C</sup> expression by si-PrP<sup>C</sup> decreased its interactions with both RhoA and p190RhoGAP (Figure 6c).

The disease-associated PrP<sup>C</sup> mutants impair neurite outgrowth. Point mutations and polymorphisms of PrP<sup>C</sup> are associated with genetic prion diseases, and several studies have shown an association between the pathogenicity of prion diseases and neuronal differentiation. Therefore, we investigated whether the disease-associated mutations of PrP<sup>C</sup> affect NGF-induced neurite outgrowth in PC12 cells stably expressing WT or disease-associated mutants of PrP<sup>C</sup> (P102L and MΔ8). Interestingly, the PC12 cells expressing WT PrP<sup>C</sup> exhibited enhanced neurite outgrowth and neurite length in response to NGF, whereas the cells expressing disease-associated PrP<sup>C</sup> mutants impaired neurite outgrowth and reduced neurite length (Figures 7a and b). In addition, the inhibition of ROCK by Y27632 treatment significantly enhanced neurite outgrowth and neurite length (Figures 7c and d).

The phosphorylation of RhoA at Ser188 can also enhance PrPC-mediated neurite outgrowth.
The disease-associated mutations of PrPC affect RhoA signaling through reduced interaction with RhoA and p190RhoGAP. To investigate the effect of disease-associated mutations of PrPC on RhoA activity, PC12 cells were transiently transfected with an empty vector, WT PrPC, or disease-associated mutants of PrPC, and then treated with NGF. Interestingly, we observed that RhoA-GTP levels were increased in PC12 cells expressing disease-associated mutants of PrPC compared with the cells expressing WT PrPC, although these changes were lower in the presence of NGF (Figure 8a). Interestingly, decrease in p-RhoA and increases in both p-LIMK1/2 and p-cofilin were detected in the cells expressing disease-associated mutants of PrPC compared with the cells expressing WT PrPC (Figure 8b). These results, which are correlated with those in PrP knockout or knockdown cells, indicate that PrPC regulates neurite outgrowth through inactivation of RhoA and the Rho/ROCK signaling pathway. Next, we examined whether these disease-associated mutations of PrPC affect the interactions between not only PrPC and RhoA but also RhoA and p190RhoGAP. We found that the colocalization of PrP with RhoA was significantly decreased in the cells expressing disease-associated mutants of PrPC compared with cells expressing PrPC WT based on immunofluorescence staining (Figure 8c). Consistently, the co-immunoprecipitation of RhoA with the disease-associated mutants of PrPC was significantly decreased (Figure 8d). Moreover, the overexpression of disease-associated PrPC mutants markedly decreased its interaction with RhoA and p190RhoGAP (Figures 8e and f). Notably, the disease-associated mutations of PrPC reduced p190RhoGAP tyrosine phosphorylation, which led to a decrease in p190RhoGAP activity (Figure 8g). Taken together, these findings suggest that the disease-associated mutations of PrPC impaired RhoA signaling and the interaction with RhoA and p190RhoGAP.

**Discussion**

The physiological activity of PrPC in many important aspects of cell biology, including neuritogenesis and cell signaling, has been well established. Recent studies have demonstrated that PrPC contributes to neuritogenesis through modulating the β1 integrin-coupled RhoA-ROCK-LIMK-cofilin signaling axis and that prion-induced ROCK overactivation is involved in neuronal polarity and prion pathogenesis. However, it is still unclear whether PrPC can directly regulate RhoA activity, and its related effector proteins have not yet been elucidated.

In this study, we discovered a novel mechanism by which PrPC controls RhoA activity and the RhoA-mediated signaling pathway (Figure 8h). Both knockdown and silencing of PrPC induce activation of RhoA, which is best known for its function in reorganizing the actin cytoskeleton into stress fibers and focal adhesions, in concert with altered activities of downstream effector proteins (i.e., LIMK and cofilin). In addition, PrPC expression is also involved in the regulation of focal adhesion dynamics and actin polymerization. We also found that the depletion of PrPC or the expression of disease-associated PrPC mutants impaired actin cytoskeleton dynamics and inhibited neurite outgrowth, possibly via increased phosphorylation of cofilin (an inactive form), leading to microfilaments that support stabilization. Unphosphorylated cofilin (an active form) is known to sever F-actin, resulting in depolymerization of F-actin.
in NGF-treated PC12 cells. In addition, this regulation depends on the membrane environment and the interactions among membrane components (i.e., NOX isoforms, β1 integrin, laminin, and fyn), resulting in PrP<sup>C</sup>-dependent neuronal differentiation or synaptic dysfunction.

PrP<sup>C</sup> has been implicated in neurite outgrowth as an interacting partner with NCAM and laminin. 18,19,43 In addition, several interacting partners have been reported to directly bind to PrP<sup>C</sup>, which enhances brain development, neuronal differentiation, and neuronal cell death in various cell lines and animal models. 13–21 Moreover, these interactions can regulate various signaling pathways, such as PI3K/AKT,22,44 ERK1/2,22,23 and RhoA/Rac1/Cdc42.12 Interestingly, the PI3K/Akt and ERK1/2 pathways regulate transcriptional profiles that promote neurite extension.45 Activation of Rac1 and Cdc42 in conjunction with inhibition of RhoA

Figure 5  PrP<sup>C</sup> interacts with RhoA. (a and b) Co-immunoprecipitation of PrP with RhoA using ZW and Zpi cell lysates were performed with either anti-RhoA (a) or anti-PrP (3F10) (b) antibodies, and then analyzed by western blot with anti-PrP and anti-RhoA antibodies, respectively. WCL, whole-cell lysates. (c) The subcellular fractions from ZW cells were used to immunoprecipitate RhoA with anti-RhoA antibody and then analyzed by western blot with anti-PrP (3F10) antibody. Enolase and calnexin were used as makers for the cytosol (C) and membrane (M) fractions, respectively. β-Actin as a loading control. (d) GST and GST-RhoA beads were incubated with human recombinant PrP (Hu-PrP) as indicated, and the level of Hu-PrP bound to GST-RhoA was determined by western blot with anti-PrP (3F4) antibody. The boxplot showing the means ± S.E. of abundance of the PrP-RhoA complex, was calculated from the BSA standard curve in three (n = 3) independent experiments. The GST and GST-RhoA samples were stained with Ponceau S to confirm the equal loading. (e) Colocalization of PrP with RhoA was assessed by double immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy. All above data are expressed as the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, n = 3)
activity increases neurite extension via posttranslational mechanisms — both pathways functionally connect with ROCK.46 We also demonstrated increased neurite extension and neurite length as a result of ROCK inhibition by Y27632, suggesting that PrP<sub>C</sub> exerts its influence on neuronal differentiation by modulating RhoA-mediated signaling effectors (i.e., ROCK and p190RhoGAP).

Specifically, we demonstrated the biological consequences of PrP<sub>C</sub>-mediated RhoA inactivation that results from the interaction of PrP<sub>C</sub> with RhoA and p190RhoGAP, and overexpressing PrP<sub>C</sub> results in increased tyrosine phosphorylation of p190RhoGAP, which elevates p190RhoGAP activity. Indeed, p190RhoGAP was reported to be activated through tyrosine phosphorylation by Src.47 In contrast, these results were not observed for the disease-associated mutants of PrP<sub>C</sub>. These findings suggest that PrP<sub>C</sub> may have a role in both the phosphorylation of p190RhoGAP and RhoA-p190RhoGAP complex formation.

p190RhoGAP is activated by the binding of β1 integrins and then translocates into a detergent-insoluble fraction upon adhesion to fibronectin and colocalizes with F-actin in lamellipodial protrusions.30,48,49 Furthermore, integrin clustering triggers RhoA inactivation through c-Src-dependent activation of p190RhoGAP.47 and p190RhoGAP-mediated RhoA inactivation effectively induces neurite outgrowth in PC12 cells.50 In addition, PKA phosphorylates RhoA at Ser188, resulting in its release from membranes through increased interactions with RhoGDI.51,52 Furthermore, the interactions between RhoA and RhoGDI were reported to negatively regulate the cycling of RhoA activity at the leading edge in migrating cells.53 We showed that overexpression of the RhoA S188D mutant but not the S188A mutant promoted neurite outgrowth in the NGF-treated PC12 cells expressing PrP<sub>C</sub>. These data indicate that PrP<sub>C</sub> induced RhoA inactivation also through RhoA phosphorylation at Ser188. Furthermore, we demonstrated that PrP<sub>C</sub> is colocalized with RhoA and that it enhanced the interaction between RhoA and p190RhoGAP in response to NGF. However, the interacting domains of PrP<sub>C</sub> and RhoA remain to be elucidated. In general, active RhoA induced actin–myosin interactions, resulting in cell contraction, although inactive RhoA were reported to prevent actin–myosin interaction, which may moderate cell expansion and neurite outgrowth.54

In prion diseases, genetic mutations of PrP<sub>C</sub> induce spongiform encephalopathy and spontaneous neurodegeneration, and the disease-associated mutations of PrP<sub>C</sub> lead to severe ataxia, apoptosis, and extensive central and peripheral myelin degeneration.55,56 As shown in this study, overexpression of the disease-associated mutants of PrP<sub>C</sub> (P102L and MΔ8) impaired neurite outgrowth because of the failure to inactivate RhoA and reduced the co-immunoprecipitation of RhoA and p190RhoGAP. Interestingly, scrapie infection increases RhoA activation by decreasing the interaction between RhoA and p190RhoGAP (manuscript in preparation).

Based on these findings, the disease-associated mutations of PrP<sub>C</sub> and scrapie infection partially suppress neuronal differentiation via the failure to inactivate RhoA.

Taken together, our results showed that PrP<sub>C</sub> contributes to RhoA inactivation, leading to neuritogenesis and that disease-associated mutants of PrP<sub>C</sub> failed to inactivate RhoA, which in turn leads to prion-related neurodegeneration. These findings are important for understanding the mechanisms of PrP<sub>C</sub>-mediated neuronal differentiation and survival.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Bovine serum albumin (BSA), Y27632, and the anti-β1-integrin antibody were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Anti-RhoA, anti-Rac1, anti-Cdc42, anti-RhoGDI, and anti-collin antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). NGF and the anti-p190RhoGAP antibody were purchased from Millipore (Lake Rialto, NY, USA). Anti-p-RhoA (S188), anti-p-LIMK1/2, anti-LIMK1, and anti-LIMK2 antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). The anti-p-collin antibody was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA).

Cell culture, transfection, and generation of stable cell lines. Mouse hippocampal neuronal cell lines, including ZW13-2 (WT PrP) and Zpl3-4 (PrP<sub>C</sub> knockout) cells, were previously established.26 ZW and Zpl cells were

Figure 6 PrP<sub>C</sub> binds to GTP-bound RhoA and p190RhoGAP. (a) ZW cell lysates were preloaded with GDP or GTP<sub>S</sub> followed by immunoprecipitation with the anti-RhoA antibody and analyzed by western blot using the anti-p-RhoA (3F10) and anti-RhoA antibodies. WCL, whole-cell lysates. (b) Zpl cell lysates preloaded with GDP or GTP<sub>S</sub> were immunoprecipitated with anti-RhoA antibody, incubated with 2 μg of human recombinant PrP (Hu-PrP), and analyzed by western blot with the anti-PrP (3F10) and anti-RhoA antibodies. Zpl cell lysates preloaded with GDP or GTP<sub>S</sub> were preloaded with GDP or GTP<sub>S</sub> were immunoprecipitated with anti-RhoA antibody, incubated with 2 μg of human recombinant PrP (Hu-PrP), and analyzed by western blot with the anti-PrP (3F10) and anti-RhoA antibodies. (c) The co-immunoprecipitation of RhoA or p190RhoGAP using ZW cells transiently transfected with either SCR or Si-PrP was detected by western blot using anti-p190RhoGAP and anti-RhoA antibodies, respectively. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments (P < 0.05, n = 3).
Figure 7  The disease-associated mutations of PrP(C) impair neurite outgrowth. (a and b) PC12 cells stably expressing either vector, WT, P102L, or MΔ8 were treated with 50 ng/ml NGF for 72 h. (c and d) The cells expressing either vector, WT, P102L, or MΔ8 were incubated with or without 10 μM Y27632 in the presence of NGF. Changes in the cell morphology, neurite length, and neurite numbers were determined under a microscope. The data are expressed as the mean ± S.E. of three independent experiments (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, n = 3)
maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone), 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. Transient transfections were carried out using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's directions. For siRNA transfection, ZW cells were transfected with siRNA targeting human PrP (150 pmol/ml) for 72 h to silence PrP expression. PC12 cells stably expressing the pcDNA3.1/Zeo(+) vector or vector encoding human PrPs (WT; P102L, the most common GSS-causing mutation; MΔ8, octapeptide repeat deletions) were generated using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent, followed by selection and maintenance in the presence of 250 μg/ml Zeocin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). PC12 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Hyclone) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum (HS, Hyclone), 5% FBS, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C under 5% CO₂.

Animals. The Prnp-transgenic (Tga20) and Prnp-deficient mice (Zürich I) were kindly provided by Dr. C Weissmann (Department of Infectology, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, FL, USA) and Dr. A Aguzzi (Institute of Neuropathology, University Hospital of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland), respectively. The WT control male C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Young Bio (Seongnam, Republic of Korea). The Tga20, Zürich I and WT control C57BL/6J mice were housed in a clean facility under natural light-dark cycle conditions (12-h/12-h light/dark cycle) and examined at 8–10 weeks of age. All experiments were performed in accordance with Korean laws and with the approval of the Hallym Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (HMC2015-0-0411-3).

Induction of neurite outgrowth in PC12 cells. To assess neurite outgrowth, the PC12 cells were plated at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells per well on 35 mm culture dishes coated with poly-γ-hydroxy solution (Sigma-Aldrich). After 12 h,
the PC12 cells were incubated with 50 ng/ml NGF2.5S (Millipore) for the indicated times in DMEM medium containing with 1% heat-inactivated HS. 0.5% heat-inactivated FBS, and 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. The quantity of neurite bearing cells was determined by counting at least 100 single cells/3 arbitrary positions per dish. A cell was identified as positive for neurite outgrowth if it had at least a twofold increased cell body diameter. Cells were visualized using a phase-contrast microscope (200x, Nikon TS100, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Western blot analysis. Cells were collected and washed once with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed with modified RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA). The cell lysates were centrifuged at 13 000 x g for 10 min, and the protein concentrations in the supernatants were analyzed using a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts of proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and probed with the appropriate antibodies. Immunoreactive bands were visualized on digital images captured with an ImageQuant LAS4000 imager (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The bands intensities were quantified using ImageJ (NIH) program (Bethesda, MD, USA). Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism4 (San Diego, CA, USA).

Immunocytochemistry. PC12 cells were treated with 50 ng/ml NGF2.5S in DMEM media (supplemented with 1% heat-inactivated HS, 0.5% heat-inactivated FBS, and antibiotics) for the indicated times at 37 °C under 5% CO2. The cells were washed with PBS and fixed with a 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 20 min at room temperature (RT). The cells were permeabized with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min, and then the samples were blocked with 5% normal goat serum and 1% BSA in PBS for 15 min at RT. For fluorescence labeling, the cells were incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-RhoA (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and goat polyclonal anti-PrP (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The cells were washed and incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated or rhodamine-conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit IgG (1:500) for 1 h, at RT. The immunolabeled cells were examined using a LSM 700 laser confocal microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Immunoprecipitation. The cells were harvested and washed once with ice-cold PBS, and then lysed in modified RIPA buffer. The cell lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 13 000 x g and the supernatants were incubated with anti-Rho-A, anti-p190RhoGAP, and anti-PrP (3F10) antibodies for 2 h at 4 °C. After antibody binding, protein A-conjugated Sepharose 4B beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added for 2 h at 4 °C. The beads were then washed three times with lysis buffer, and the bound proteins were eluted with 2 x Laemmli sample buffer by boiling. The samples were electrophoresed and analyzed by western blot with anti-RhoA, anti-p190RhoGAP, and anti-PrP (3F4 or 3F10) antibodies.

GST-Rhokin-RBD pull-down assay for activating RhoA. The cells were harvested and washed with PBS, and then lysed in binding/washing/lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM EGTA) with a protease inhibitor cocktail tablet. The lysates were centrifuged at 13 000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was incubated with GST-Rhokin-RBD to detect RhoA-GTP. The beads were washed three times with binding/washing/lysis buffer. The bound proteins were eluted with 2 x Laemmli sample buffer by boiling. The samples were electrophoresed and analyzed by western blot with the anti-RhoA antibody.

In vitro loading of GDP and GTPγS onto GTP-binding proteins. Cell lysates (500 μg/ml protein in 500 μl) were incubated with 10 mM EDTA (pH 8.0). Next, 0.1 mM GTPγS or 1 mM GDP was added to the cell lysates, and the lysates were incubated at 30 °C for 15 min under constant agitation. The reaction was terminated by thoroughly mixing the sample with MgCl2 at a final concentration of 60 mM on ice.

In vitro GST-tagged protein–protein interactions. The purified recombinant GST and GST-RhoA proteins (10 μg/ml protein in 500 μl) were preincubated with glutathione (GSH)-sepharose 4B beads for 2 h at 4 °C in a binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1x PBS, and 10% glycerol,) with a protease inhibitor cocktail tablet. To determine protein–protein interaction, GST and GST-RhoA beads were incubated with 1–1.5 μg of purified human recombinant PrP (HuPrP) for 2 h at 4 °C. After washing the beads, the bound proteins were eluted with 2 x Laemmli sample buffer by boiling. The samples were electrophoresed and analyzed by western blot with the anti-PrP antibody.

Subcellular fractionation. Confluent cells were harvested, washed with ice-cold PBS, and lysed by passing through a 23-gauge syringe needle for 10 cycles in cold hypotonic buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM NaF, and 1 mM Na3VO4) with a protease inhibitor cocktail tablet. The lysates were centrifuged at 500 x g for 10 min. The pellets that contained nuclei and nucle-associated structures were solubilized with HEPES buffer (pH 7.2) containing 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and the protease inhibitor cocktail and were agitated on ice for 30 min. The postnuclear supernatants were centrifuged at 100 000 x g for 1 h at 4 °C to separate the membrane pellet and the cytosolic fraction. The membrane pellets were washed with ice-cold PBS and suspended in RIPA buffer by rocking for 1 h at 4 °C, followed by centrifugation at 13 000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant, containing the solubilized membrane proteins, was considered the membrane fraction.

F-actin sedimentation assay. Cells were harvested and washed with PBS, and then lysed in 0.1% Triton X-100 and F-actin stabilization PHEM buffer (60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, pH 6.9) with a protease inhibitor cocktail. The cell lysates were carefully mixed and directly transferred into a TLA 100 centrifuge tube (Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The lysates were centrifuged at 100 000 x g for 1 h at 4 °C in a table top ultracentrifuge (Beckman Instruments), which yielded a clear supernatant. At these high centrifugal forces, all F-actin in the system is expected to pellet, leaving G-actin in the supernatant. The F-actin pellet was washed twice in ice-cold PHEM buffer and suspended in SDS buffer. Protein concentration of the fractions was quantified using a BCA protein assay kit. Equal amounts of proteins were electrophoresed, and transferred to PVDF membrane for probing with anti-β-actin antibody. The densitometric quantification of the western blot determined the comparable levels of G- and F-actin using Image J software.

Statistical analysis. The data are presented as the mean ± S.E. of at least three independent experiments. Student's t-tests were used to compare groups using the GraphPad Prism4 program.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2013R1A1A2007071) and by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (HI16C1085).

1. Bishop AL, Hall A. Rho GTPases and their effector proteins. Biochem J 2000; 348( Pt 2): 241–255.
2. Burridge K, Linder PB. Signaling to Rho GTPases. J Cell Biol 2014; 200: 1429–1440.
3. Kjoller L, Hall A. Signaling to Rho GTPases. J Cell Biol 2000; 150(4): 573–580.
4. Kim HJ, Kim JG, Moon MY, Park SH, Park JB. LKB1 kinase gamma/nuclear factor-κB-essential modulator (KIGGamma/NEMO) facilitates Rho GTPase activation, which, in turn, activates Rho-associated KINASE (ROCK) to phosphorylate IKKbeta in response to transforming growth factor TGF-β1. J Biol Chem 2014; 289: 16–17.
5. Luo L. RHO GTPASES in neuronal morphogenesis. Genes Dev 2002; 16: 147–148.
6. Lu L. RHO GTPASES in neuronal morphogenesis. Genes Dev 2002; 16: 17–18.
7. da Silva JS, Dotti CG. Breaking the neuronal sphere: regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in neuritogenesis. Nat Rev Neurosci 2002; 3: 694–704.
8. TgiG Fischer DJ, Sebok A, Marshall F, Dyer DL, Miledi R. Lysophosphatidic acid-induced neurite retraction in PC12 cells: neurite-protective effects of cyclic AMP signaling. J Neurochem 1998; 66: 543–552.
9. Jeon CY, Moon MY, Kim JH, Kim JH, Kim JG, Li Y et al. Control of neurite outgrowth by RhoA inactivation. J Neurochem 2012; 120: 684–688.
39. Tilve S, Difato F, Chieregatti E. Cofilin 1 activation prevents the defects in axon elongation and guidance induced by extracellular alpha-synuclein. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 16524.

40. Osashi K. Roles of collagen in development and its mechanisms of regulation. Dev Growth Differ 2015; 57: 275–290.

41. Krause KH, Lambeth D, Krorke M. NOX enzymes as drug targets. Cell Mol Life Sci 2012; 69: 2279–2282.

42. Walsh KP, Kuhn TB, Bamburg JR. Cellular prion protein: a co-receptor mediating neuronal collagen-amin rod formation induced by beta-amyloid and proinflammatory cytokotins. Prion 2014; 8: 375–380.

43. Graner E, Mercadante AF, Zanata SM, Martins VR, Jay DG, Brentani RR. Laminin-induced PC-12 cell differentiation is inhibited following laser inactivation of cellular prion protein. FEBS Lett 2000; 482: 257–260.

44. Hernandez-Rapp J, Martin-Lanneree S, Hiroch T, Pradines E, Alkaume-Batuta A, Schneider B et al. A PrP(C)-caused-Lyn complex negatively regulates neuronal GSK3beta and serotonin 1B receptor. Sci Rep 2014; 4: 4881.

45. Auer M, Schweigreiter R, Hauss R, Thongrong S, Hofte M, Just I et al. RhO-independent stimulation of axon outgrowth and activation of the ERK and Akt signaling pathways by C3 transferase in sensory neurons. Front Cell Neurosci 2012; 6: 43.

46. Hensel N, Ratzka A, Brinkmann H, Klimeschawi L, Grotte C, Plass A. Analysis of the fibroblast growth factor system reveals alterations in a mouse model of spinal muscular atrophy. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e31022.

47. Arthur WT, Petch LA, Burridge K. Integrin engagement suppresses RhO activity via a c-Src dependent mechanism. Curr Biol 2000; 10: 719–722.

48. Nakahara H, Mueller SC, Nomizu M, Yamada Y, Yeh Y, Chen WT. Activation of betal integrin signaling stimulates lysosome phosphorylation of p190RhO-GAP and membrane-protrusive activities at invadopodia. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 9–12.

49. Shmerling D, Hegyi I, Fischer M, Blattler T, Brandner S, Gotz J et al. Protein kinase A phosphorylation of RhO mediates the morphological and functional effects of cyclic AMP in cytotoxic lymphocytes. J Biol Chem 1996; 15: 510–519.

50. Forget MA, Desrosiers RR, Gingras D, Beliveau R. Phosphorylation states of Cdc42 and RhoA activities at invadopodia. J Biol Chem 2002; 276(24): 234–243.

51. Taakehongo E, Saboult-Ghomi M, Pertz O, Kim C, Gutierrez E, Machaek M et al. Protein kinase A governs a RhO-RhODG1 protein-protrusion retraction pacemaker in migrating cells. Nat Cell Biol 2011; 13: 660–670.

52. Ratappolou M, Hall A. Cell migration: RhO GTPases lead the way. Dev Biol 2004; 265: 23–32.

53. Shmerling D, Hegyi I, Fischer M, Blattler T, Brandner S, Gotz J et al. Expression of amino-terminally truncated Prp in the mouse leading to ataxia and specific cerebellar lesions. J Cell Sci 2000; 113: 203–214.

54. Baumann F, Tolnay M, Braeboek C, Patkejs N, Klos U, Niemann HH et al. Lethal recessive myelin toxicity of prion protein lacking its central domain. EMBO J 2007; 26: 538–547.

55. Kim BH, Lee HG, Choi JK, Kim JI, Choi EK, Carp RI et al. Rapid recruitment of p120RasGAP and its associated protein, p190RhO-GAP to the cytoskeleton during integrin mediated cell-substrate interaction. Oncogene 1998; 17: 271–281.

56. Leon CY, Kim HJ, Morii H, Morii N, Setteman J, Lee JY et al. Neurite outgrowth from PC12 cells by basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) is mediated by RhO inactivation through p190RhO-GAP and membrane-protrusive activities at invadopodia. J Cell Biol 1998; 121: 673–680.

57. Ellerbroek SM, Wennerberg K, Burridge K. Serine phosphorylation negatively regulates RhO in vivo. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 19023–19031.

58. Spieler D, Hodgson L. Dynamics of the Rhofamily small GTGases in actin regulation and motility. Cell Adh Migr 2011; 5: 170–180.

59. Brunors MR, Matheson SF, Settelman J. p190 RhO-GAP is the principal Src substrate in brain and regulates axon outgrowth, guidance and fasciculation. Nat Cell Biol 2001; 3: 361–367.

60. Arthur WT, Burridge K. RhO inactivation by p190RhO-GAP regulates cell spreading and migration by promoting membrane protrusion and polarity. Mol Biol Cell 2001; 12: 2711–2720.

61. Brown K, Mastrioni JA. The prion diseases. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 2010; 23: 277–298.

62. Prusiner SB. DeArmond SJ. Molecular biology and pathology of scrapie and the prion diseases of humans. Brain Pathol 1991; 1: 297–310.

63. Kovacs GG, Budka H. Prion diseases: from protein to cell pathology. Am J Pathol 2008; 172: 555–565.

64. Linden R, Martins VR, Prado MA, Cammarota M, Izquierdo I, Brentani RR. Physiology of the prion protein. Physiol Rev 2008; 88: 673–628.

65. Gallo G, Letourneau PC. Regulation of growth cone actin filaments by guidance cues. J Neurobiol 2004; 58: 92–102.

66. Letourneau PC. Actin in axons: stable scaffolds and dynamic filaments. Results Probl Cell Differ 2003; 40: 65–90.

67. Tilve S, Difato F, Chieregatti E. Cofilin 1 activation prevents the defects in axon elongation and guidance induced by extracellular alpha-synuclein. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 16524.

68. The Author(s) 2017

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on Cell Death and Disease website (http://www.nature.com/cddis)