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Abstract. Let \( G \) be a Garside group with Garside element \( \Delta \), and let \( \Delta^m \) be the minimal positive central power of \( \Delta \). An element \( g \in G \) is said to be periodic if some power of it is a power of \( \Delta \). In this paper, we study periodic elements in Garside groups and their conjugacy classes.

We show that the periodicity of an element does not depend on the choice of a particular Garside structure if and only if the center of \( G \) is cyclic; if \( g^k = \Delta^a \) for some nonzero integer \( k \), then \( g \) is conjugate to \( \Delta^a \); every finite subgroup of the quotient group \( G/\langle \Delta^m \rangle \) is cyclic.

By a classical theorem of Brouwer, Kerékjártó and Eilenberg, an \( n \)-braid is periodic if and only if it is conjugate to a power of one of two specific roots of \( \Delta^2 \). We generalize this to Garside groups by showing that every periodic element is conjugate to a power of a root of \( \Delta^m \).

We introduce the notions of slimness and precentrality for periodic elements, and show that the super summit set of a slim, precentral periodic element is closed under any partial cycling. For the conjugacy problem, we may assume the slimness without loss of generality. For the Artin groups of type \( A_n, B_n, D_n, I_2(e) \) and the braid group of the complex reflection group of type \( (e,e,n) \), endowed with the dual Garside structure, we may further assume the precentrality.
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1. Introduction

Garside groups, first introduced by Dehornoy and Paris [DP99], are a lattice-theoretic generalization of braid groups and Artin groups of finite type. Garside groups are equipped with a special element \( \Delta \), called the Garside element. An element \( g \) of a Garside group is said to be periodic if

\[ g^k = \Delta^\ell \]

for some integers \( k \neq 0 \) and \( \ell \) [Bes06b, BGG08].

Recently there were several results on periodic elements of Garside groups such as the characterization of finite subgroups of the central quotient of finite type Artin groups by Bestvina [Bes99] and its extension to Garside groups by Charney, Meier and Whittlesey [CMW04]; the characterization of periodic elements in the braid groups of complex reflection groups by Bessis [Bes06a]; a new algorithm for solving the conjugacy search problem for periodic braids by Birman, Gebhardt and González-Meneses [BGG07].

In this paper we study periodic elements in Garside groups. We are interested in general Garside groups, but also concerned with particular Garside groups such as the Artin groups \( A(A_n), A(B_n), A(D_n) \) and \( A(I_2(e)) \), and the braid group \( B(e,e,n) \) of the complex reflection group of type \( (e,e,n) \).

1.1. Periodicity and Garside structure. The periodicity of an element in a Garside group generally depends on the choice of a particular Garside structure, more precisely on the Garside element. A Garside group may admit more than one Garside structure. Therefore it is natural to ask the following question.
When is the periodicity of an element independent of the choice of a particular Garside structure?

It is easy to see that the periodicity does not depend on the choice of a Garside structure if and only if any two Garside elements are commensurable, and that this happens if the center is cyclic. (Two elements $g$ and $h$ of a group are said to be commensurable if $g^k$ is conjugate to $h^\ell$ for some nonzero integers $k$ and $\ell$.) We show that the converse is also true.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $G$ be a Garside group. Then the center of $G$ is cyclic if and only if any pair of Garside elements of $G$ are commensurable.

The irreducible Artin groups of finite type and, more generally, the braid groups of irreducible well-generated complex reflection groups are Garside groups with cyclic center [Bes06a]. Therefore, in these groups, an element is periodic (with respect to a Garside element) if and only if it has a central power. However, not all Garside groups have cyclic center. A typical example is $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$ for $\ell \geq 2$ (see Example 3.2).

**1.2. Roots of periodic elements.** We begin with a definition of Bessis in [Bes06b]: for a Garside group $G$ with Garside element $\Delta$, an element $g \in G$ is $p/q$-periodic if $g^q = \Delta^p$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Note that $g^q = \Delta^\ell$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $g^q$ is conjugate to $\Delta^p$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, because $\Delta$ has a central power. Using this equivalence, we define the notion of $p/q$-periodicity in a slightly different way.

**Definition 3.5.** Let $G$ be a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$. An element $g \in G$ is said to be $p/q$-periodic for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ if $g^q$ is conjugate to $\Delta^p$ and $q$ is the smallest positive integer such that $g^q$ is conjugate to a power of $\Delta$.

In the above definition, the $p/q$-periodicity a priori depends on the actual $p$ and $q$ and not just on the rational number $p/q$ because it may happen that $g^{kq}$ is conjugate to $\Delta^{kp}$ for some $k \geq 2$ but $g^q$ is not conjugate to $\Delta^p$. Motivated by this observation, we show the following.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $G$ be a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$, and let $g \in G$ and $a,b,k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$.

(i) If $g^{ka}$ is conjugate to $\Delta^{ka}$, then $g^a$ is conjugate to $\Delta^a$.

(ii) If each of $g^a$ and $g^b$ is conjugate to a power of $\Delta$, then so is $g^{a \wedge b}$, where $a \wedge b$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$.

By this theorem, the term ‘$p/q$-periodic’ contains that $p$ and $q$ are coprime.

The above theorem is a sort of uniqueness property of roots up to conjugacy. On this property, stronger results are known for some specific groups. Let $g$ and $h$ be elements of a group $G$ with

$$g^k = h^k \quad \text{for some} \ k \neq 0.$$  

If $G$ is the pure $n$-braid group $P_n$, then $g = h$ by Bardakov [Bar92]. (This also follows from the biorderability of the pure braid groups by Kim and Rolfsen [KR03].) If $G$ is the $n$-braid group $B_n$, then $g$ and $h$ are conjugate by González-Meneses [Gon03]. If $G$ is the Artin group of type $B$, $A$ or $\tilde{C}$, then $g$ and $h$ are conjugate [LL10]. If $G$ is the braid group of a well-generated complex reflection group and $g$ and $h$ are periodic elements, then $g$ and $h$ are conjugate by Bessis [Bes06a].

For a study of roots in mapping class groups, see [BP09].

Theorem 3.9 shows that if $G$ is a Garside group and $h$ is a power of a Garside element $\Delta$, then (1) implies that $g$ and $h$ are conjugate. In Garside groups, even for periodic elements, it is hard
to obtain a result stronger than Theorem 3.9. For every $k \geq 2$, there is a Garside group with periodic elements $g$ and $h$ such that $g^k = h^k$ but $g$ and $h$ are not conjugate. (See Example 3.11.)

The following is a question of Bessis [Bes06b, Question 4].

**Question.** Let $G$ be a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$. Let $g \in G$ be a periodic element with respect to $\Delta$. Does $G$ admit a Garside structure with Garside element $g$?

The above question is answered almost positively in the case of the braid group $B_n$: each periodic element in $B_n$ is conjugate to a power of one of the particular braids $\delta$ and $\epsilon$ which are the Garside elements in the dual Garside structures of $B_n$ and $A(B_{n-1})$, respectively, where $A(B_{n-1})$ denotes the Artin group of type $B_{n-1}$ viewed as a subgroup of $B_n$. In [Bes06b], Bessis showed that the above question is answered almost positively in the setting of Garside groupoids.

To a Garside group $G$ with an affirmative answer to the above question, the idea of Birman, Gebhardt and González-Meneses in [BGG07] can possibly be applied. Precisely, in order to solve the conjugacy search problem for periodic elements $g$ and $h$ of $G$, it suffices to find a Garside structure with Garside element $g$.

Using Theorem 3.9, we give a negative answer to the above question: there is a Garside group $G$ with a periodic element $g$ such that there is no Garside structure on $G$ with Garside element $g$. (See Example 3.11.)

### 1.3. Finite subgroups of the quotient group $G_\Delta$

In a Garside group $G$, the Garside element $\Delta$ always has a central power. Let $\Delta^m$ be the minimal positive central power. Let $G_\Delta = G/\langle \Delta^m \rangle$, where $\langle \Delta^m \rangle$ is the cyclic group generated by $\Delta^m$. For an element $g \in G$, let $\bar{g}$ denote the image of $g$ under the natural projection from $G$ to $G_\Delta$. Hence, an element $g \in G$ is periodic if and only if $\bar{g}$ has a finite order in $G_\Delta$.

About finite subgroups of $G_\Delta$, the following facts are known.

(i) If $G$ is an Artin group of finite type, then every finite subgroup of $G_\Delta$ is cyclic.

(ii) If $G$ is a Garside group, then every finite subgroup of $G_\Delta$ is abelian of rank at most 2.

The first was proved by Bestvina [Bes99, Theorem 4.5] and the second by Charney, Meier and Whittlesey [CMW04, Corollary 6.9] following the arguments of Bestvina. For the full statement of their results, see [3.4].

We show that Bestvina’s result holds for all Garside groups.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let $G$ be a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$. Then every finite subgroup of $G_\Delta$ is cyclic.

Our proof uses the result of Charney, Meier and Whittlesey. Actually we prove that every finite abelian subgroup of $G_\Delta$ is cyclic. Because every finite subgroup of $G_\Delta$ is abelian, this implies the above theorem.

### 1.4. Primitive periodic elements.

Let us recall the braid group $B_n$, which is the same as the Artin group $A(A_{n-1})$. It has the group presentation [Art25]:

$$B_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \mid \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1 \right\rangle.$$ 

The group $B_n$ admits two well-known Garside structures: the classical Garside structure [Gar69, EC+92, EM94] and the dual Garside structure [BKL98]. Let $\Delta = \sigma_1(\sigma_2\sigma_1) \cdots (\sigma_{n-1} \cdots \sigma_2\sigma_1)$ and
\[ \delta = \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2} \cdots \sigma_1. \]

Then \( \Delta \) and \( \delta \) are the Garside elements in the classical and dual Garside structures, respectively.

The most fundamental question on periodic elements in Garside groups would be a characterization of them. For the braid group \( B_n \), it is a classical theorem of Brouwer, KerékJártó and Eilenberg [Bro19, Ker19, Eil34, BDM02] that an \( n \)-braid is periodic if and only if it is conjugate to a power of either \( \delta \) or \( \varepsilon \), where \( \varepsilon = \delta \sigma_1 \). The same kind of statement holds for the Artin groups \( A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e)) \) and the braid group \( B(e, e, n) \) of the complex reflection group of type \( (e, e, n) \) (see Theorem 4.4). It is worth mentioning that Bessis [Bes06a, Bes06b] explored many important properties of periodic elements in the context of braid groups of complex reflection groups.

For arbitrary Garside groups, we cannot expect such a nice characterization of periodic elements. So we establish a weaker theorem. Let \( G \) be a Garside group with Garside element \( \Delta \). Let us say a nonidentity element \( g \in G \) to be primitive if it is not a nontrivial power of another element, that is, \( g = h^k \) for \( h \in G \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) implies \( k = \pm 1 \). Then the Brower-KerékJártó-Eilenberg theorem can be restated as: the braids \( \delta \) and \( \varepsilon \) are the only primitive periodic elements, up to conjugacy and taking inverse. Since \( \delta^n = \varepsilon^{n-1} = \Delta^2 \) and \( \Delta^2 \) is central, every primitive periodic braid is a root of \( \Delta^2 \). We generalize this property to arbitrary Garside groups.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let \( G \) be a Garside group with Garside element \( \Delta \), and \( \Delta^m \) the minimal positive central power of \( \Delta \). Then every primitive periodic element in \( G \) is a \( k \)-th root of \( \Delta^m \) for some \( k \) with \( 1 \leq |k| \leq m||\Delta|| \).

Using the above theorem, we show in Proposition 5.2 that there is a finite-time algorithm that, given a Garside group, computes all primitive periodic elements up to conjugacy. Therefore, in theory, though probably difficult in practice, we can establish a Brower-KerékJártó-Eilenberg type theorem for any fixed Garside group.

1.5. **Conjugacy classes of periodic elements.** The conjugacy problem in a group has two versions: the conjugacy decision problem (CDP) is to decide whether given two elements are conjugate or not; the conjugacy search problem (CSP) is to find a conjugating element for a given pair of conjugate elements. In the late sixties Garside [Gar69] first solved the conjugacy problem in braid groups. Then there have been considerable efforts to improve his solution [BS72, Del72, EC+92, EM94].

The CDP for periodic braids is easy: an \( n \)-braid \( \alpha \) is periodic if and only if either \( \alpha^n \) or \( \alpha^{n-1} \) belongs to the cyclic group \( \langle \Delta^2 \rangle \); two periodic braids are conjugate if and only if they have the same exponent sum. The situation is similar for the groups \( A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e)) \) and \( B(e, e, n) \). In these groups, there is an easy periodicity test for elements (see [5.1]), and two periodic elements are conjugate if and only if they have the same exponent sum (see Proposition 5.4).

The CSP for periodic braids is not as easy as the CDP. The standard solution is not efficient enough. Recently Birman, Gebhardt and González-Meneses [BGG07] constructed an efficient solution, by using several known isomorphisms between Garside structures on the braid groups and other Garside groups. However, unlike the case of CDP, their solution does not naively extend to other Garside groups such as \( A(D_n) \) and \( B(e, e, n) \), because their isomorphisms are peculiar to braids.
For the CDP and CSP in arbitrary Garside groups, as far as the authors know, there is no solution specialized to periodic elements. On this account, we study properties of conjugacy classes of periodic elements in arbitrary Garside groups.

Let \( G \) be a Garside group with Garside element \( \Delta \), and \( \Delta^m \) the minimal positive central power of \( \Delta \). If \( g \in G \) is \( p/q \)-periodic, \( g^q \) is conjugate to \( \Delta^p \) and \( q \) is the smallest among positive integers with such property. We define a \( p/q \)-periodic element to be precentral if \( p \equiv 0 \mod m \), and slim if \( p \equiv 1 \mod q \).

Let \( g = \Delta^{u_1}a_2 \cdots a_\ell \in G \) be in normal form, and let \( b \) be a prefix of \( a_1 \). The conjugation

\[
\tau^{-u}(b)^{-1}g\tau^{-u}(b) = \Delta^{u}a'_1a_2 \cdots a_\ell \tau^{-u}(b)
\]

is called a partial cycling of \( g \) by \( b \), where \( \tau(x) = \Delta^{-1}x\Delta \) and \( a'_1 = b^{-1}a_1 \).

We establish the following theorem, where \([g]^{\text{inf}}, [g]^S, [g]^U \) and \([g]^{\text{St}} \) denote the summit set, super summit set, ultra summit set and stable super summit set of \( g \), respectively.

**Theorem 3.24** Let \( g \) be a slim, precentral periodic element of a Garside group \( G \). Then

\[
[g]^{\text{inf}} = [g]^S = [g]^U = [g]^{\text{St}}.
\]

In particular, \([g]^S \) is closed under any partial cycling.

The above theorem will be useful in solving the CSP for periodic elements, at least in the groups \( A(A_n), A(D_n) \) and \( B(e, e, n) \). The complexity of the standard conjugacy algorithm is proportional to the size of the super summit set, which is exponential with respect to the braid index in the case of braid groups as observed by Birman, Gebhardt and González-Meneses [BGG07]. Therefore, in order to make an efficient algorithm, we need further information on super summit sets, like Theorem 3.24. We hope that our work will be also useful in studying conjugacy classes of periodic elements in other Garside groups.

In Theorem 3.24, a periodic element is required to be both slim and precentral. These requirements are necessary (see Example 3.25). In solving the conjugacy problem for periodic elements in Garside groups, we may assume without loss of generality that given periodic elements are slim (see Lemma 3.22). As for the precentrality condition, we can make every periodic element precentral by modifying the Garside structure: for a Garside group \( G \) with Garside element \( \Delta \), if we change the Garside structure on \( G \) by declaring the central power \( \Delta^m \) as a new Garside element, then every periodic element becomes precentral in this new Garside structure. When working with the groups \( A(A_n), A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e)) \) and \( B(e, e, n) \) endowed with the dual Garside structure, we may further assume the precentrality by the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.5** In the dual Garside structure on each of the groups \( A(A_n), A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e)) \) and \( B(e, e, n) \), every periodic element is either precentral or conjugate to a power of the Garside element.

1.6. **Organization.** Section 2 provides a brief introduction to Garside groups. Section 3 studies periodic elements in Garside groups. Section 4 studies periodic elements in the groups \( A(A_n), A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e)) \) and \( B(e, e, n) \). Section 5 discusses some algorithmic problems concerning periodic elements, such as periodicity decision problem, tabulation of all primitive periodic elements, and so on.
2. Review of Garside groups

2.1. Garside groups. Garside groups were defined by Dehornoy and Paris [DP99] as groups satisfying certain conditions under which the strategy and results of Garside [Gar69], Deligne [Del72], Brieskorn and Saito [BS72] still hold. This section briefly reviews Garside groups. For a detailed description, see [DP99, Del02].

Definition 2.1. For a monoid \( M \), let 1 denote the identity element. An element \( a \in M \setminus \{1\} \) is called an atomic if \( a = bc \) for \( b, c \in M \) implies either \( b = 1 \) or \( c = 1 \). For \( a \in M \), let \( \|a\| \) be the supremum of the lengths of all expressions of \( a \) in terms of atoms. The monoid \( M \) is said to be atomic if it is generated by its atoms and \( \|a\| < \infty \) for any element \( a \) of \( M \). In an atomic monoid \( M \), there are partial orders \( \leq_L \) and \( \leq_R \): \( a \leq_L b \) if \( ac = b \) for some \( c \in M \); \( a \leq_R b \) if \( ca = b \) for some \( c \in M \).

Definition 2.2. An atomic monoid \( M \) is called a Garside monoid if it satisfies the following.

(i) \( M \) is left and right cancellative.

(ii) \((M, \leq_L)\) and \((M, \leq_R)\) are lattices. That is, for every \( a, b \in M \) there are a unique least common multiple \( a \lor_L b \) (resp. \( a \lor_R b \)) and a unique greatest common divisor \( a \land_L b \) (resp. \( a \land_R b \)) with respect to \( \leq_L \) (resp. \( \leq_R \)).

(iii) \( M \) contains an element \( \Delta \), called a Garside element, satisfying the following:

(a) For each \( a \in M, a \leq_L \Delta \) if and only if \( a \leq_R \Delta \).

(b) The set \([1, \Delta] = \{ a \in M \mid 1 \leq_L a \leq_L \Delta \}\) is finite and generates \( M \). Elements of this set are called simple elements. \((1, \Delta)\) denotes the set \([1, \Delta] \setminus \{1, \Delta\}\). Similarly for \([1, \Delta)\) and \((1, \Delta]\).

Definition 2.3. Let \( M \) be a Garside monoid with Garside element \( \Delta \). The group \( G \) of fractions of \( M \) is called a Garside group. We identify the elements of \( M \) and their images in \( G \), and call them positive elements of \( G \). The Garside monoid \( M \) is often denoted by \( G^+ \). We will call the pair \((G^+, \Delta)\) a Garside structure on \( G \).

Note that a Garside group can have more than one Garside structure. From now on, \( G \) denotes a Garside group with a fixed Garside structure \((G^+, \Delta)\), if not specified otherwise.

Definition 2.4. The partial orders \( \leq_L \) and \( \leq_R \), and thus the lattice structures in the Garside monoid \( G^+ \) can be extended to the Garside group \( G \). For \( a, b \in G, a \leq_L b \) (resp. \( a \leq_R b \)) means \( a^{-1}b \in G^+ \) (resp. \( ba^{-1} \in G^+ \)).

Definition 2.5. Let \( \tau : G \to G \) be the inner automorphism of \( G \) defined by \( \tau(g) = \Delta^{-1}g\Delta \) for \( g \in G \).

The automorphism \( \tau \) preserves the set \([1, \Delta]\) which is a finite set of generators of \( G \). Therefore some power of \( \tau \) is the identity, equivalently, some power of \( \Delta \) is central.

Definition 2.6. For \( a, b \in G^+ \), \( a \) is called a prefix (resp. suffix) of \( b \) if \( a \leq_L b \) (resp. \( a \leq_R b \)).

Definition 2.7. For every \( g \in G \), there exists a unique decomposition

\[ g = \Delta^u a_1 \cdots a_\ell \]

such that \( u \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a_1, \ldots, a_\ell \in (1, \Delta) \) and \((a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_\ell) \land_L \Delta = a_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, \ell \). This decomposition is called the (left) normal form of \( g \). In this case, \( \inf(g) = u, \sup(g) = u + \ell \) and \( \text{len}(g) = \ell \) are called the infimum, supremum and canonical length of \( g \), respectively.
Definition 2.8. Let \( g = \Delta^u a_1 \cdots a_\ell \in G \) be in normal form with \( \ell \geq 1 \). The **cycling** \( c(g) \) and **decycling** \( d(g) \) of \( g \) are conjugations of \( g \) defined as
\[
  c(g) = \Delta^u a_2 \cdots a_\ell \tau^{-u}(a_1) = (\tau^{-u}(a_1))^{-1} g \tau^{-u}(a_1),
\]
\[
d(g) = \Delta^u \tau^u(a_\ell) a_1 \cdots a_{\ell-1} = a_\ell g a_\ell^{-1}.
\]
We define \( c(\Delta^u) = d(\Delta^u) = \Delta^u \) for \( u \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Definition 2.9. For \( g \in G \), we denote its conjugacy class \( \{ h^{-1} g h : h \in G \} \) by \( [g] \). The conjugacy invariants \( \inf_s(g) \), \( \sup_s(g) \) and \( \text{len}(g) \) are defined as follows: \( \inf_s(g) = \max \{ \inf(h) : h \in [g] \} \); \( \sup_s(g) = \min \{ \sup(h) : h \in [g] \} \); \( \text{len}(g) = \sup(g) - \inf(g) \). They are called the **summit infimum**, **summit supremum** and **summit canonical length** of \( g \), respectively. The **summit set** \([g]^{\text{inf}}\), **stable summit set** \([g]^S\), **ultra summit set** \([g]^U\) and **stable super summit set** \([g]^{St}\) are defined as follows:
\[
  [g]^{\text{inf}} = \{ h \in [g] : \inf(h) = \inf_s(g) \};
\]
\[
  [g]^S = \{ h \in [g] : \inf(h) = \inf_s(g) \text{ and } \sup(h) = \sup_s(g) \};
\]
\[
  [g]^U = \{ h \in [g]^S : c^k(h) = h \text{ for some positive integer } k \};
\]
\[
  [g]^{St} = \{ h \in [g]^S : h^k \in [g]^S \text{ for all positive integers } k \}.
\]

For every \( g \in G \), the sets \([g]^{\text{inf}}, [g]^S, [g]^U \) and \([g]^{St}\) are all finite, nonempty and computable in a finite number of steps [EM94, Geb05, LL08a].

2.2. Translation discreteness. For every \( g \) in a Garside group \( G \), the following limits are well-defined:
\[
  t_{\inf}(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\inf(g^n)}{n}; \quad t_{\sup}(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sup(g^n)}{n}; \quad t_{\text{len}}(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{len}(g^n)}{n}.
\]
Observe that \( t_{\sup}(g) = t_{\inf}(g) + t_{\text{len}}(g) \). These limits were defined in order to investigate discreteness of translation numbers in Garside groups [LL07, LL08b]. They can be computed explicitly, for example by using the formulas [LL08b, Theorem 5.1]
\[
  t_{\inf}(g) = \max \{ \inf_s(g^k) / k : k = 1, \ldots, \| \Delta^u \| \},
\]
\[
  t_{\sup}(g) = \min \{ \sup_s(g^k) / k : k = 1, \ldots, \| \Delta^u \| \}.
\]
For a group \( G \) with a set of generators \( X \), the translation number of \( g \in G \) with respect to \( X \) is defined by \( t_X(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\| g^n \|}{\| n \|} \), where \( \| g^n \|_X \) denotes the minimal word-length of \( g^n \) with respect to \( X \). In a Garside group \( G \) with Garside element \( \Delta \) the above limits are related to the translation number by
\[
  t_X(g) = \max \{ | t_{\inf}(g) |, | t_{\sup}(g) |, | t_{\text{len}}(g) | \}
\]
provided the set \([1, \Delta]\) is taken as \( X \).

The following proposition collects some important properties of the above limits.

Proposition 2.10 (LL07, LL08b). For \( g \) and \( h \) in a Garside group \( G \) with Garside element \( \Delta \),
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( t_{\inf}(h^{-1}gh) = t_{\inf}(g) \) and \( t_{\sup}(h^{-1}gh) = t_{\sup}(g) \);
  \item \( t_{\inf}(g^n) = n \cdot t_{\inf}(g) \) and \( t_{\sup}(g^n) = n \cdot t_{\sup}(g) \) for all integers \( n \geq 1 \);
  \item \( \inf_s(g) = \lfloor t_{\inf}(g) \rfloor \) and \( \sup_s(g) = \lceil t_{\sup}(g) \rceil \);
  \item \( t_{\inf}(g) \) and \( t_{\sup}(g) \) are rational of the form \( p/q \), where \( p \) and \( q \) are coprime integers and \( 1 \leq q \leq \| \Delta^u \| \).
\end{enumerate}
3. Periodic elements in Garside groups

If not specified otherwise, $G$ is a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$, and $\Delta^m$ is the minimal positive central power of $\Delta$. This section studies periodic elements in Garside groups.

3.1. Periodicity and Garside structure. For a group $G$, let $Z(G)$ denote the center of $G$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $G$ be a Garside group. Then $Z(G)$ is cyclic if and only if any pair of Garside elements of $G$ are commensurable.

It is easy to see that the periodicity of an element in $G$ does not depend on the choice of a particular Garside element if and only if any pair of Garside elements of $G$ are commensurable. Hence we have the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** The periodicity of an element of $G$ does not depend on the choice of a particular Garside element if and only if $Z(G)$ is cyclic.

We prove Theorem 3.1 by using the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $(G^+, \Delta)$ be a Garside structure on a group $G$. For $g \in G$, let $L(g) = \{a \in G^+: a \leq_L g\}$ and $R(g) = \{a \in G^+: a \leq_R g\}$.

(i) Let $c$ be a positive element in $Z(G)$. Then $L(c) = R(c)$.

(ii) Let $c$ be a positive element in $Z(G)$ with $\Delta \leq_L c$. Then $c$ is a Garside element, that is, $L(c) = R(c)$ and $L(c)$ generates the Garside monoid $G^+$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $a \in L(c)$, then $c = ab$ for some $b \in G^+$. Because $c$ is central, $ab = c = bcb^{-1} = b(ab)b^{-1} = ba$. Therefore $c = ba$, hence $a \in R(c)$. This means that $L(c) \subset R(c)$. Similarly, $R(c) \subset L(c)$.

(ii) By (i), $L(c) = R(c)$. As $\Delta \leq_L c$, we have $L(\Delta) \subset L(c)$. Since $L(\Delta)$ generates $G^+$, so does $L(c)$. □

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that $Z(G)$ is cyclic. Let $(G_1^+, \Delta_1)$ and $(G_2^+, \Delta_2)$ be Garside structures on $G$. Then there exist positive integers $m_1$ and $m_2$ such that $\Delta_1^{m_1}$ and $\Delta_2^{m_2}$ are central in $G$. Because $Z(G)$ is cyclic, $\Delta_1^{m_1}$ and $\Delta_2^{m_2}$ are commensurable, hence $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ are commensurable.

Conversely, suppose any pair of Garside elements are commensurable. Fix a Garside structure $(G^+, \Delta)$ on $G$. Let $m$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\Delta^m$ is central.

We claim that any nonidentity element of $Z(G)$ is commensurable with $\Delta$. Let $g$ be a nonidentity central element. Take an integer $k$ such that $k \equiv 0 \mod m$ and $k \geq -\inf(g) + 1$.

Let $c = \Delta^k g$. Then $c$ is a central element with $\Delta \leq_L c$, hence $c$ is a Garside element by Lemma 3.3. By the hypothesis, $c$ is commensurable with $\Delta$. As $c$ is central, there exist nonzero integers $p$ and $q$ such that $\Delta^p = c^q = (\Delta^k g)^q = \Delta^{kq} g^q$. Since $g^q = \Delta^{p - kq}$, $g$ is commensurable with $\Delta$.

It is known that Garside groups are torsion-free by Dehornoy [Deh98], and that every abelian subgroup of a Garside group is finitely generated by Charney, Meier and Whittlesey [CMW04]. Thus $Z(G)$ is torsion-free and finitely generated. Moreover, by the above claim, any two nonidentity elements of $Z(G)$ are commensurable because each of them is commensurable with $\Delta$. These imply that $Z(G)$ is cyclic. □
The following example shows that periodicity of an element depends on the choice of a particular Garside element.

**Example 3.4.** The group \(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\) is a Garside group with Garside monoid \(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\). For any \(a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\), the element \((a, b)\) is a Garside element. In particular, \(\Delta_1 = (2, 2)\) and \(\Delta_2 = (2, 3)\) are Garside elements. Let \(g = (1, 1)\). Then \(g\) is periodic with respect to \(\Delta_1\) but not to \(\Delta_2\).

### 3.2. Roots of periodic elements.

**Definition 3.5.** An element \(g \in G\) is said to be \(p/q\)-periodic for \(p \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\) if \(g^q\) is conjugate to \(\Delta^p\) and \(q\) is the smallest positive integer such that \(g^q\) is conjugate to a power of \(\Delta\).

The following lemma shows some properties of periodic elements regarding translation numbers.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \(g \in G\) be a periodic element. Then the following hold.

1. \(t_{\text{len}}(g) = 0\), that is, \(t_{\inf}(g) = t_{\sup}(g)\).
2. \(t_{\inf}(g^k) = k \cdot t_{\inf}(g)\) for all \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\).
3. For any \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(\text{len}_s(g^k)\) is either 0 or 1.
4. Let \(t_{\inf}(g) = p/q\) for \(p \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\) with \(p \wedge q = 1\). Then the following are equivalent for \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\):
   - \(g^k\) is conjugate to a power of \(\Delta\);
   - \(\text{len}_s(g^k) = 0\);
   - \(t_{\inf}(g^k)\) is an integer;
   - \(k\) is a multiple of \(q\).

In particular, \(g^q\) is conjugate to \(\Delta^p\).

**Proof.** (i) Since \(g^k = \Delta^\ell\) for some \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\) and \(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}\),

\[
t_{\text{len}}(g) = \frac{1}{k} \cdot t_{\text{len}}(g^k) = \frac{1}{k} \cdot t_{\text{len}}(\Delta^\ell) = \frac{1}{k} \cdot 0 = 0.
\]

(ii) We know that \(t_{\inf}(g^k) = k \cdot t_{\inf}(g)\) holds for all \(k \geq 0\). Let \(k < 0\), then \(k = -\ell\) for some \(\ell \geq 1\). Since \(t_{\inf}(g) = t_{\sup}(g)\) by (i) and \(t_{\inf}(h^{-1}) = -t_{\sup}(h)\) for all \(h \in G\), we have

\[
t_{\inf}(g^k) = t_{\inf}((g^{-1})^\ell) = \ell \cdot t_{\inf}(g^{-1}) = \ell \cdot (-t_{\sup}(g)) = k \cdot t_{\inf}(g).
\]

(iii) Let \(t_{\inf}(g) = p/q\) for \(p \in \mathbb{Z}\) and \(q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\) with \(p \wedge q = 1\). Choose any \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\). Because \(t_{\sup}(g) = t_{\inf}(g) = p/q\) by (i),

\[
\text{len}_s(g^k) = \sup_s(g^k) - \inf_s(g^k) = [t_{\sup}(g^k)] - [t_{\inf}(g^k)] = [kp/q] - [kp/q]
\]

by (ii). Therefore \(\text{len}_s(g^k)\) is either 0 or 1.

(iv) It is obvious that \(\text{len}_s(g^k) = 0\) if and only if \(g^k\) is conjugate to a power of \(\Delta\). By Eq. (2), \(\text{len}_s(g^k) = 0\) if and only if \(t_{\inf}(g^k) = kp/q\) is an integer. Because \(p\) and \(q\) are relatively prime, \(kp/q\) is an integer if and only if \(k\) is a multiple of \(q\). Therefore the four conditions—(a), (b), (c) and (d)—are equivalent.

By (a) and (d), \(g^q\) is conjugate to \(\Delta^\ell\) for some integer \(\ell\), hence \(t_{\inf}(g) = \ell/q\). Because \(t_{\inf}(g) = p/q\) by the hypothesis, we have \(\ell = p\). \(\square\)
Remark 3.7. If $g$ is an element of $G$ with $t_{\text{ten}}(g) = 0$, then $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = t_{\text{sup}}(g) = p/q$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence $\inf_s(g^p) = \lceil t_{\text{inf}}(g^p) \rceil = p = \lceil t_{\text{sup}}(g^p) \rceil = \sup_s(g^p)$. This implies that $g^p$ is conjugate to $\Delta^p$, hence $g$ is periodic. Combining with Lemma 3.6(i), we can see that $g$ is periodic if and only if $t_{\text{ten}}(g) = 0$.

The following corollary is used in later sections.

Corollary 3.8. Let $g \in G$ be periodic, and let $k$ be an integer.

(i) $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = k$ if and only if $g$ is conjugate to $\Delta^k$.

(ii) $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = mk$ if and only if $g = \Delta^{mk}$.

Proof. If $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = k$, then $g$ is conjugate to $\Delta^k$ by Lemma 3.8(iv). The converse direction is obvious. This proves (i), and (ii) follows immediately from (i) as $\Delta^{mk}$ is central.

Theorem 3.9. Let $G$ be a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$, and let $g \in G$ and $a, b, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$.

(i) If $g^{kb}$ is conjugate to $\Delta^{ka}$, then $g^b$ is conjugate to $\Delta^a$.

(ii) If each of $g^a$ and $g^b$ is conjugate to a power of $\Delta$, then so is $g^{a \wedge b}$.

Proof. The hypothesis in either case of (i) or (ii) implies that $g$ is periodic. Let $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = p/q$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $p \wedge q = 1$. Then $g^p$ is conjugate to $\Delta^p$ by Lemma 3.8.

(i) Since $g^{kb}$ is conjugate to $\Delta^{ka}$, one has $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = a/b = p/q$, hence there is $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ such that $a = dp$ and $b = dq$. Therefore $g^b$ is conjugate to $\Delta^a$.

(ii) By Lemma 3.8 both $a$ and $b$ are multiples of $q$, hence $a \wedge b$ is a multiple of $q$. Therefore $g^{a \wedge b}$ is conjugate to some power of $\Delta$.

Corollary 3.10. Let $g \in G$ be a periodic element. Then, $g$ is $p/q$-periodic if and only if $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = p/q$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $p \wedge q = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = p/q$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $p \wedge q = 1$. Then, by Lemma 3.8(iv), $g^p$ is conjugate to $\Delta^p$. Assume that $g^r$ is conjugate to $\Delta^a$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq b < q$. Then $t_{\text{inf}}(g) = a/b = p/q$, which implies $q \leq b$. It is a contradiction. Thus $g$ is $p/q$-periodic.

The converse direction is obvious by Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 3.9(i) implies that if $g^k = \Delta^{k\ell}$ for a nonzero integer $k$ then $g$ is conjugate to $\Delta^\ell$. The following example illustrates that the general statement for the uniqueness of roots up to conjugacy (i.e. if $g^k = h^k$ for $k \neq 0$ then $g$ is conjugate to $h$) does not hold in Garside groups, even for periodic elements.

Example 3.11. Let $G$ be the group defined by

$$G = \langle x, y \mid x^a = y^a \rangle, \quad a \geq 2.$$  

It is a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta = x^a = y^a$ [DP99, Example 4]. (Note that $x$ and $y$ are periodic elements and that $\Delta$ is central.) We claim that

(i) $x$ and $y$ are not conjugate;

(ii) there is no Garside structure on $G$ in which $x$ is a Garside element.

Because $G/\langle \Delta \rangle = \langle x, y \mid x^a = y^a = 1 \rangle = \langle x \mid x^a = 1 \rangle \ast \langle y \mid y^a = 1 \rangle$, the images of $x$ and $y$ in $G/\langle \Delta \rangle$ are not conjugate. Therefore $x$ and $y$ are not conjugate.

Assume that there exists a Garside structure on $G$ with Garside element $x$. Because $x^a = y^a$ and $x$ is a Garside element, $y$ is conjugate to $x$ by Theorem 3.9(i). It is a contradiction to (i).
The above example shows that

there is a Garside group with a periodic element \( g \) such that there is no Garside structure in which \( g \) is a Garside element.

Therefore it gives a negative answer to the question of Bessis stated in \( \S 1.2 \).

3.3. Primitive periodic elements. The famous theorem of Brower, Kerékjártó and Eilenberg \[Brow\, 19, Ker19, Eil34\] says that in the braid group \( B_n \), there are two periodic elements \( \delta \) and \( \varepsilon \) such that every other periodic element is conjugate to a power of either \( \delta \) or \( \varepsilon \). Motivated by this, we define the following notion.

**Definition 3.12.** A nonidentity element \( g \in G \) is said to be primitive if it is not a nontrivial power of another element, that is, \( g = h^k \) for \( h \in G \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) implies \( k = \pm 1 \).

Using the above terminology the Brower-Kerékjártó-Eilenberg theorem can be restated as: the braids \( \delta \) and \( \varepsilon \) are the only primitive periodic elements in the braid group \( B_n \), up to inverse and conjugacy. Notice that both \( \delta \) and \( \varepsilon \) are roots of \( \Delta^2 \) as \( \delta^n = \Delta^2 = \varepsilon^{n-1} \). Therefore every primitive periodic braid is a root of \( \Delta^2 \). We generalize this property to Garside groups in Theorem 3.14.

The following lemma is a key to doing this, and will be used later as well.

For a \( p/q \)-periodic element \( g \in G \), \( p = 0 \) if and only if \( g \) is the identity, because Garside groups are torsion-free \[Deh98\].

**Lemma 3.13.** Let \( g \in G \) be \( p/q \)-periodic with \( p \neq 0 \), and let \( H \) be the subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( g \) and \( \Delta^m \). Let \( h = g^r \Delta^{ms} \), where \( r \) and \( s \) are integers with \( pr + qms = p \land m \). Then \( H \) is a cyclic group generated by \( h \). More precisely, \( g = h^{\frac{pr}{pm}} \) and \( \Delta^m = h^{\frac{qm}{pm}} \).

**Proof.** Since \( p \) is coprime to \( q \), one has \( p \land qm = p \land m \), hence there are integers \( r \) and \( s \) with \( pr + qms = p \land m \). As \( g^q \) is conjugate to \( \Delta^p \) and \( \Delta^m \) is central, one has \( g^q \Delta^m = \Delta^q \Delta^m \). Using this identity, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{pr}{pm} &= \frac{g^r \Delta^{ms}}{\Delta^{pm s}} = \frac{g^{pr} \Delta^{m}^{pm s}}{\Delta^{pm s}} = g^{\frac{pr}{pm}} \Delta^{m}^{pm s} = g,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{qm}{pm} &= \frac{g^q \Delta^m}{\Delta^m} = \frac{g^q \Delta^{m}^{pm}}{\Delta^{pm}} = \Delta^p \Delta^{pm} \Delta^m = \Delta^m.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore \( H \) is a cyclic group generated by \( h \).

3.14. Every primitive periodic element in \( G \) is a \( k \)-th root of \( \Delta^m \) for some \( k \) with \( 1 \leq |k| \leq m||\Delta|| \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \) be a primitive \( p/q \)-periodic element of \( G \). Since \( g = h^{\frac{pr}{pm}} \) for some \( h \in G \) by Lemma 3.13 we have \( \frac{pr}{pm} = \pm 1 \), hence \( m \) is a multiple of \( p \). So \( \frac{pm}{p} \) is an integer. As \( t_{\text{inf}}(g^{\frac{pm}{p}}) = \frac{qn}{p} \cdot \frac{p}{q} = m \), we have \( g^{\frac{pm}{p}} = \Delta^m \) by Corollary \[Cor\, 1.8\, ii\). Therefore \( g \) is a \( \frac{pm}{p} \)-th root of \( \Delta^m \). By Proposition 2.10 we know \( 1 \leq q \leq ||\Delta|| \). Therefore \( 1 \leq \left| \frac{pm}{p} \right| = \left| \frac{m}{p} \right| \cdot q \leq m||\Delta|| \).

3.4. Quotient group \( G_\Delta \). Let \( G_\Delta \) be the quotient \( G/\langle \Delta^m \rangle \), where \( \langle \Delta^m \rangle \) is the cyclic group generated by \( \Delta^m \). For an element \( g \in G \), let \( \bar{g} \) denote the image of \( g \) under the natural projection from \( G \) to \( G_\Delta \). Hence, \( g \in G \) is periodic if and only if \( \bar{g} \) is of finite order in \( G_\Delta \). For periodic elements in \( G \), it is sometimes more convenient to view them in \( G_\Delta \).

The following theorem was proved by Bessis \[Bes99, Theorem 4.5\] for Artin groups of finite type, and then proved by Charney, Meier and Whittlesey \[CMW04, Corollary 6.9\] for Garside groups.
Theorem 3.15 ([Bes99 CMW04]). The finite subgroups of $G_\Delta$ are, up to conjugacy, one of the following two types:

(i) the cyclic group generated by the image of $\Delta^n a$ in $G_\Delta$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some simple element $a \neq \Delta$ such that if $a \neq 1$, then for some integer $2 \leq q \leq \|\Delta\|

$$
\tau^{(q-1)u}(a) \tau^{(q-2)u}(a) \ldots \tau^{u}(a) a = \Delta;
$$

(ii) the direct product of a cyclic group of type (i) and $\langle \Delta^k \rangle$ where $\Delta^k$ commutes with $a$.

In the case of Artin groups of finite type, Bestvina showed that finite subgroups of $G_\Delta$ are all cyclic groups (hence they are of type (i) in the above theorem). Using the following lemma, we show in Theorem 3.17 that the same is true for Garside groups.

Lemma 3.16. Let $H$ be an abelian subgroup of $G$ which consists of periodic elements. Then $t_{\inf} : H \to \mathbb{Q}$ is a monomorphism. In particular, $H$ is a cyclic group.

Proof. Let $h_1, h_2 \in H$ with $t_{\inf}(h_i) = p_i/q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Because $h_i^{q_i}$ is conjugate to $\Delta^{p_i}$ (by Lemma 3.6) and $\Delta^m$ is central, one has $h_i^{q_i} = \Delta^{p_i m}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore

$$(h_1 h_2)^{q_1 q_2 m} = (h_1^m)^{q_2} \cdot (h_2^m)^{q_1} = \Delta^{p_1 m q_2} \Delta^{p_2 m q_1} = \Delta^{m(p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1)},$$

hence $t_{\inf}(h_1 h_2) = m(p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1)/q_1 q_2 m = p_1/q_1 + p_2/q_2 = t_{\inf}(h_1) + t_{\inf}(h_2)$. This means that $t_{\inf} | : H \to \mathbb{Q}$ is a homomorphism. If $h \in H$ and $t_{\inf}(h) = 0$, then $h$ is conjugate to $\Delta^0 = 1$ by Lemma 3.6 hence $h = 1$. This means that $t_{\inf} | : H \to \mathbb{Q}$ is injective.

Notice that, for all $g \in G$, $t_{\inf}(g)$ is rational of the form $p/q$ with $1 \leq q \leq \|\Delta\|$ (see Proposition 2.10). Therefore $t_{\inf}(H)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}$, hence it is a cyclic group. Because $t_{\inf} | : H \to \mathbb{Q}$ is injective, $H$ is also a cyclic group. □

Theorem 3.17. Let $G$ be a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$. Then every finite subgroup of $G_\Delta$ is cyclic.

Proof. Let $K$ be a finite subgroup of $G_\Delta$. Let $H$ be the preimage of $K$ under the natural projection $G \to G_\Delta$. Notice that every element of $H$ is periodic and that $H$ is abelian by Theorem 3.15. By Lemma 3.16, $H$ is a cyclic group, hence $K$ is cyclic. □

We give the following for later use.

Lemma 3.18. Let $g$ be a nonidentity $p/q$-periodic element of $G$.

(i) $\bar{g}$ has order $\frac{am}{p \land m}$ in $G_\Delta$.

(ii) $\langle \bar{g} \rangle = \langle \bar{g}^r \rangle$ in $G_\Delta$ if and only if $r$ is coprime to $\frac{am}{p \land m}$.

(iii) Suppose that $\langle \bar{g} \rangle = \langle \bar{g}^r \rangle$ in $G_\Delta$ for a nonzero integer $r$. For $h, x \in G$, $h = x^{-1} gx$ if and only if $h^r = x^{-1} g^r x$. Therefore, the CDP and CSP for $(g, h)$ are equivalent to those for $(g^r, h^r)$, and the centralizer of $g$ in $G$ is the same as that of $g^r$ in $G$.

Proof. Since $g$ is not the identity, $p$ is not zero.

(i) By Lemma 3.13 there is an element $h$ in $G$ with $\langle \bar{g} \rangle = \langle \bar{h} \rangle$ and $\Delta^m = \frac{am}{p \land m}$. Therefore $\bar{g}$ has order $\frac{am}{p \land m}$ in $G_\Delta$.

(ii) It follows from (i).

(iii) It is obvious that $x^{-1} gx = h$ implies $x^{-1} g^r x = h^r$. Conversely, suppose $x^{-1} g^r x = h^r$. As $\langle \bar{g} \rangle = \langle \bar{g}^r \rangle$ in $G_\Delta$, we have $g^{rk} = \Delta^{m \ell} \bar{g}$ hence $g^{rk-1} = \Delta^{m \ell}$ for some $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $h$ is periodic and

$$t_{\inf}(h^{rk-1}) = (rk - 1)/r \cdot t_{\inf}(h^r) = (rk - 1)/r \cdot t_{\inf}(g^r) = t_{\inf}(g^{rk-1}) = m \ell,$$
Let Lemma 3.22. Hence \( h^k = \Delta^n h \). As \( x^{-1}g^x = h^r \), we have \( x^{-1}(\Delta^m g)x = x^{-1}g^kx = h^k = \Delta^m h \). As \( \Delta^m \) is central, it follows that \( x^{-1}g^x = h \).

3.5. Slim and precentral. We define the following notions for periodic elements.

**Definition 3.19.** Let \( g \in G \) be \( p/q \)-periodic.

(i) \( g \) is said to be precentral if \( p \equiv 0 \mod m \).

(ii) \( g \) is said to be slim if \( p \equiv 1 \mod q \).

If \( g \in G \) is \( p/q \)-periodic then \( g^q \) is the minimal positive power of \( g \) which is conjugate to a power of \( \Delta \). Therefore being precentral means this power is central.

**Lemma 3.20.** Let \( g \in G \) be periodic. If \( g \) is precentral, then so is \( g^k \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \) be precentral and \( p/q \)-periodic, then \( p \equiv 0 \mod m \). Choose any \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( p' = kp/(k \land q) \) and \( q' = q/(k \land q) \). Then \( t_{\inf}(g^k) = \frac{kp}{q} = \frac{kp/(k \land q)}{q/(k \land q)} = \frac{p'}{q'} \). Because \((kp) \land q = k \land q\), \( p' \) and \( q' \) are coprime, hence \( g^k \) is \( p'/q' \)-periodic by Corollary 3.19. On the other hand, \( p' = p \cdot k/(k \land q) \equiv 0 \mod m \) as \( p \equiv 0 \mod m \). Therefore \( g^k \) is precentral.

The terminology ‘slim’ comes from the following observation.

**Lemma 3.21.** Let \( g \in G \) be \( p/q \)-periodic with \( q \geq 2 \). Then the following are equivalent.

(i) \( g \) is slim.

(ii) \( g \) is conjugate to an element of the form \( \Delta^u a \) with \( \tau^{(q-1)u}(a) \cdots \tau^u(a) a = \Delta \).

(iii) Every element \( h \in [g]^{|G|} \) is of the form \( \Delta^u a \) with \( \tau^{(q-1)u}(a) \cdots \tau^u(a) a = \Delta \).

**Proof.** We prove the equivalences by showing (iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii).

(iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii) It is obvious.

(ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i) Since \( g \) is \( p/q \)-periodic, \( g^q \) is conjugate to \( \Delta^p \). On the other hand, \( g^p \) is conjugate to \( (\Delta^u a)^q = \Delta^q \) by the hypothesis (ii). Therefore \( \Delta^p = \Delta^q+1 \), hence \( p = uq + 1 \equiv 1 \mod q \).

(i) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii) Note that \( p = uq + 1 \) for some integer \( u \). Then \( t_{\sup}(g) = t_{\inf}(g) = p/q = u + 1/q \) by Lemma 3.10. Choose any \( h \in [g]^{|G|} \). Then, by Proposition 2.10,

\[
\inf(h) = \inf_s(g) = [t_{\inf}(g)] = [u + 1/q] = u,
\sup(h) = \sup_s(g) = [t_{\sup}(g)] = [u + 1/q] = u + 1,
\]

from which \( h = \Delta^u a \) for some \( a \in (1, \Delta) \). In addition,

\[
\inf(h^q) = \inf_s(g^q) = [q \cdot t_{\inf}(g)] = uq + 1,
\sup(h^q) = \sup_s(g^q) = [q \cdot t_{\sup}(g)] = uq + 1,
\]

from which \( h^q = \Delta^{uq+1} \). Therefore

\[
\Delta^{uq+1} = h^q = (\Delta^u a) \cdots (\Delta^u a) = \Delta^q \tau^{(q-1)u}(a) \tau^{(q-2)u}(a) \cdots \tau^u(a) a,
\]

which implies \( \tau^{(q-1)u}(a) \tau^{(q-2)u}(a) \cdots \tau^u(a) a = \Delta \).

**Lemma 3.22.** Let \( g \in G \) be nonidentity and \( p/q \)-periodic. For an integer \( r \), \( g^r \) is slim with \( \langle \bar{g} \rangle = \langle g^r \rangle \) in \( G \), if and only if \( pr \equiv 1 \mod q \) and \( r \) is coprime to \( \frac{m}{p \land m} \). In particular, such an integer \( r \) exists.
Proof. Suppose \( g^* \) is slim with \( \langle \tilde{g} \rangle = \langle g^* \rangle \) in \( G_\Delta \). By Lemma 3.18(ii), \( r \) is coprime to both \( q \) and \( \frac{m}{p^\ell m} \). Note that \( t_{\inf}(g^*) = \frac{pr}{q} \). Because \( q \) is coprime to both \( p \) and \( r \), it is coprime to \( pr \), hence \( g^* \) is \( pr/q \)-periodic. Therefore \( pr \equiv 1 \mod q \) because \( g^* \) is slim.

Conversely, suppose \( r \) is coprime to \( \frac{m}{p^\ell m} \) with \( pr \equiv 1 \mod q \). The condition \( pr \equiv 1 \mod q \) implies that \( pr \) is coprime to \( q \). Hence \( g^* \) is slim because \( t_{\inf}(g^*) = \frac{pr}{q} \) and \( pr \equiv 1 \mod q \). Since \( pr \) and \( q \) are coprime, so are \( r \) and \( q \). Combining with the condition that \( r \) is coprime to \( \frac{m}{p^\ell m} \), we can conclude that \( r \) is coprime to \( \frac{m}{p^\ell m} \), hence \( \langle \tilde{g} \rangle = \langle g^* \rangle \) by Lemma 3.18(ii).

Since \( p \) and \( q \) are coprime, so are \( p \) and \( \frac{m}{p^\ell m} \). Therefore there exist integers \( r \) and \( s \) with \( pr + \frac{qm}{p^\ell m} s = 1 \), which implies that \( pr \equiv 1 \mod q \) and \( r \) is coprime to \( \frac{m}{p^\ell m} \). \( \square \)

In the above lemma, the proof shows that it is easy to compute the exponent \( r \) of \( g^* \), by applying the Euclidean algorithm to \((p, \frac{m}{p^\ell m})\). We remark that Theorem 3.15(i) implies the existence of a slim power \( g^r \) with \( \langle \tilde{g} \rangle = \langle g^r \rangle \) but does not give the exponent \( r \) explicitly.

### 3.6. Super summit sets of slim, precentral periodic elements

Here, we will show that the super summit set of a periodic element has a useful property provided the element is slim and precentral. First, we introduce partial cycling, which was extensively studied by Birman, Gebhardt and Gonz´alez-Meneses in [BGG08].

**Definition 3.23.** Let \( \Delta^u a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell \) be the normal form of \( g \in G \). Let \( b \in (1, \Delta) \) be a prefix of \( a_1 \), i.e. \( a_1 = ba'_1 \) for some \( a'_1 \in [1, \Delta) \). The conjugation

\[
\tau^{-u}(b)^{-1} g \tau^{-u}(b) = \Delta^u a'_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell \tau^{-u}(b)
\]

is called the partial cycling of \( g \) by \( b \).

Any partial cycling does not decrease the infimum, hence summit sets are closed under any partial cycling. If \( g \in G \) is periodic, then \( [g]^S = [g]^U \) because every element in \( [g]^S \) has canonical length \( \leq 1 \) by Lemma 3.6. The following theorem shows a property of slim, precentral periodic elements.

**Theorem 3.24.** Let \( g \) be a slim, precentral periodic element of a Garside group \( G \). Then

\[
[g]^\inf = [g]^S = [g]^U = [g]^\St.
\]

In particular, \( [g]^S \) is closed under any partial cycling.

**Proof.** Since \( [g]^\inf \) is closed under any partial cycling and \( [g]^\St \subset [g]^S \subset [g]^U \subset [g]^\inf \), it suffices to show that \( [g]^\inf \subset [g]^\St \).

Suppose that \( h \) is an element of \( [g]^\inf \). Let \( g \) be \( p/q \)-periodic. If \( q = 1 \), then \( g \) is conjugate to \( \Delta^p \), hence there is nothing to prove. Let \( q \geq 2 \). As \( g \) is slim and precentral, \( p = uq + 1 = m\ell \) for some integers \( u \) and \( \ell \). For all integers \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
t_{\inf}(h) = t_{\sup}(h) = \frac{p}{q} = u + 1/q,
\]

\[
\inf_s(h^k) = [k t_{\inf}(h)] = ku + [k/q],
\]

\[
\sup_s(h^k) = [k t_{\sup}(h)] = ku + [k/q].
\]

Because \( t_{\inf}(h^q) = t_{\inf}(g^q) = p \), one has

\[
h^q = \Delta^p = \Delta^{uq+1} = \Delta^{m\ell}
\]

by Corollary 3.8. Because \( \inf(h) = \inf_s(h) = u \), there exists a positive element \( a \) such that

\[
h = \Delta^u a.
\]
Because \( \sup(h) \geq \sup_4(h) = u + 1 \), \( a \) is not the identity. Let \( \psi \) denote \( \tau^u \). For all integers \( k \geq 1 \),

\[
h^k = \Delta^{ku} \psi^{-1}(a) \psi^{k-2}(a) \cdots \psi(a)a.
\]

Since \( h^q = \Delta^{q+1} \), one has

\[
\Delta^{q+1} = h^q = \Delta^{q} \psi^{-1}(a) \psi^{q-2}(a) \cdots \psi(a)a.
\]

Hence \( \Delta = \psi^{-1}(a) \psi^{q-2}(a) \cdots \psi(a)a \). In particular, \( \psi^k(a) \psi^{k-2}(a) \cdots a \in (1, \Delta) \) for all integers \( k \) with \( 1 \leq k < q \). Therefore

\[
\inf(h^k) = uk = \inf_4(h^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup(h^k) = uk + 1 = \sup_4(h^k) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, q - 1.
\]

Because \( h^q = \Delta^{q+1} \), this proves that \( h \in [g]^{\text{St}} \).

The following example shows that both slimness and precentrality are indeed necessary in the above theorem.

**Example 3.25.** Let the \( n \)-braid group \( B_n \) be endowed with the classical Garside structure. We will write \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{(n)} \) in order to specify the braid index. Since \( \varepsilon_{(n)}^{n-1} = \Delta^2, t_{\inf}(\varepsilon_{(n)}) = 2/(n - 1) \). Consider \( \varepsilon_{(5)} = (\sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) \sigma_1 \in B_5 \) and \( \varepsilon_{(6)} = (\sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) \sigma_1 \in B_6 \). Since

\[
t_{\inf}(\varepsilon_{(5)}) = 2/4 = 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{\inf}(\varepsilon_{(6)}) = 2/5,
\]

\( \varepsilon_{(5)} \) is slim but not precentral, whereas \( \varepsilon_{(6)} \) is precentral but not slim. In addition,

\[
\inf_4(\varepsilon_{(5)}) = \inf_4(\varepsilon_{(6)}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \len_4(\varepsilon_{(5)}) = \len_4(\varepsilon_{(6)}) = 2;
\]

\[
\inf_4(\varepsilon_{(5)}) = \inf_4(\varepsilon_{(6)}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \len_4(\varepsilon_{(5)}) = \len_4(\varepsilon_{(6)}) = 1;
\]

\[
\len_4(\varepsilon_{(5)})^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \len_4(\varepsilon_{(6)})^2 = 1.
\]

From the above identities, \( \varepsilon_{(5)} \in [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^{\inf} \setminus [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^S \), hence \( [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^{\inf} \neq [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^S \). Similarly, \( [\varepsilon_{(6)}]^{\inf} \neq [\varepsilon_{(6)}]^S \).

Consider the elements \( g_1 = \sigma_1 (\sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) \in B_5 \) and \( g_2 = \sigma_1 (\sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) \in B_6 \). The partial cycling on \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) by \( \sigma_1 \) yields \( \sigma_1^{-1} g_1 \sigma_1 = \varepsilon_{(5)} \) and \( \sigma_1^{-1} g_2 \sigma_1 = \varepsilon_{(6)} \), respectively. Since \( \inf_4(g_1) = \inf_4(g_2) = 0 \) and \( \len_4(g_1) = \len_4(g_2) = 1 \), we have \( g_1 \in [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^S \) and \( g_2 \in [\varepsilon_{(6)}]^S \). Because neither \( \varepsilon_{(5)} \) nor \( \varepsilon_{(6)} \) is a super summit element, this shows that neither \( [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^S \) nor \( [\varepsilon_{(6)}]^S \) is closed under partial cycling.

The normal forms of \( g_1^2 \) and \( g_2^2 \) are as in the right hand sides in the following equations:

\[
g_1^2 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)(\sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) = (\sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_1),
\]

\[
g_2^2 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)(\sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1) = (\sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_5 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_1).
\]

In particular, both \( g_1^2 \) and \( g_2^2 \) have canonical length 2, hence they do not belong to their super summit sets. This means that \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) do not belong to their stable super summit sets. Hence \( [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^S \neq [\varepsilon_{(5)}]^{\text{St}} \) and \( [\varepsilon_{(6)}]^S \neq [\varepsilon_{(6)}]^{\text{St}} \).

Before closing this section, we make some remarks on the requirement of being slim and precentral in Theorem 3.24.

Given a periodic element \( g \in G \), it is easy to compute a nonzero integer \( r \) such that \( g^r \) is slim and for \( h, x \in G, h = x^{-1} gx \) if and only if \( h^r = x^{-1} g^r x \), by Lemmas 3.18 and 3.22. Taking such a power of \( g \), we may assume without loss of generality that \( g \) is slim when thinking about the conjugacy problem for \( g \).
For the precentrality condition, we can make every periodic element precentral by modifying the Garside structure on $G$: if $(G^+, \Delta)$ is a Garside structure on $G$, then $(G^+, \Delta^m)$ is also a Garside structure on $G$ under which every periodic element is precentral.

4. Periodic elements in some Garside groups arising from reflection groups

This section studies periodic elements in the Artin groups of type $A_n, B_n, D_n, I_2(e)$ and the braid group of the complex reflection group of type $(e,e,n)$. These groups are known to be Garside groups. Using the recent result of Bessis [Bes06a] on periodic elements in the braid groups of complex reflection groups, we will find all the primitive periodic elements, and then investigate precentrality for periodic elements in those Garside groups.

First we review Artin groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups. See [BS72, Del72, Hum90] for Artin groups and [Bro01] for braid groups of complex reflection groups. Let $\langle ab \rangle^k$ denote the alternating product $abab \cdots$ of length $k$. For instance, $\langle ab \rangle^3 = aba$.

4.1. Artin groups. Let $M$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with entries $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ where $m_{ii} = 1$ and $m_{ij} \geq 2$ for $i \neq j$. The Artin group of type $M$ is defined by the presentation

$$A(M) = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_n \mid \langle s_is_j \rangle^{m_{ij}} = \langle s_js_i \rangle^{m_{ji}} \text{ for all } i \neq j \text{ with } m_{ij} \neq \infty \rangle.$$  

The Coxeter group $W(M)$ of type $M$ is the quotient of $A(M)$ by the relations $s_i^2 = 1$. We say that the Artin group $A(M)$ is of finite type if the associated Coxeter group $W(M)$ is a finite set.

It is convenient to define an Artin group by a Coxeter graph, whose vertices are labeled by the generators $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ and which has an edge labeled $m_{ij}$ between the vertices $s_i$ and $s_j$ whenever $m_{ij} \geq 3$ or $m_{ij} = \infty$. The label 3 is usually suppressed. The Coxeter graphs of type $A_n, B_n, D_n$ and $I_2(e)$ are in Figure 1. The Artin groups of these types are of finite type, and the associated Coxeter groups are real reflection groups. We denote the generators of these Artin groups as in Figure 1.

Since the relations in (3) involve only positive words, the presentation defines a monoid. We denote this monoid by $A(M)^+$. In other words, $A(M)^+$ consists of positive words in the generators modulo the defining relations.

By the study of Brieskorn and Saito [BS72] and Deligne [Del72], it is known that if an Artin group $A(M)$ is of finite type, then it is a Garside group with Garside monoid $A(M)^+$. The Garside
element $\Delta$ is the least common multiple of the generators in the presentation \( \langle \rangle \). For instance,

\[
\Delta = s_1(s_2s_1) \cdots (s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_1) \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{A}_n);
\]

\[
\Delta = (s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_1)^n \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{B}_n);
\]

\[
\Delta = (s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_3 t_1 t_2)^{n-1} \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{D}_n);
\]

\[
\Delta = \langle t_1 t_2 \rangle^e \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{I}_2(e)).
\]

We refer to this Garside structure \((A(M)^+, \Delta)\) as the classical Garside structure on \(A(M)\).

Artin groups of finite type have another Garside structure, called the dual Garside structure. This structure was constructed originally by Birman, Ko and Lee [BKL98] for \(A(\mathbf{A}_n)\), and then by Bessis [Bes03] for all finite type Artin groups. In the construction of Bessis, a choice of a Coxeter element in the associated Coxeter group determines the dual Garside structure, in particular, the Garside element $\delta$. We choose the Garside element as follows:

\[
\delta = s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_1 \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{A}_n) \text{ and } A(\mathbf{B}_n);
\]

\[
\delta = s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_3 t_1 t_2 \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{D}_n);
\]

\[
\delta = t_1 t_2 \quad \text{in} \quad A(\mathbf{I}_2(e)).
\]

From now on, we assume $n \geq 2$ for $A(\mathbf{A}_n)$ and $A(\mathbf{B}_n)$, $n \geq 3$ for $A(\mathbf{D}_n)$ and $e \geq 3$ for $A(\mathbf{I}_2(e))$.

### 4.2. Braid groups of complex reflection groups

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional complex vector space. A complex reflection group in $\text{GL}(V)$ is a subgroup $W$ of the general linear group $\text{GL}(V)$ generated by complex reflections—nontrivial elements of $\text{GL}(V)$ that fix a complex hyperplane in $V$ pointwise. Irreducible finite complex reflection groups were classified by Shephard and Todd [ST54]. There are a general infinite family $G(d,e,n)$ for $d, e, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and 34 exceptions labeled $G_4, \ldots , G_{37}$. See [BMR98, Bro01, BM04, Bes06a] for the presentations of the complex reflection groups and their braid groups. Special cases of complex reflection groups are isomorphic to real reflection groups:

\[
G(1,1,n) \text{ is the Coxeter group of type } \mathbf{A}_{n-1};
\]

\[
G(2,1,n) \text{ is the Coxeter group of type } \mathbf{B}_n;
\]

\[
G(2,2,n) \text{ is the Coxeter group of type } \mathbf{D}_n;
\]

\[
G(e,e,2) \text{ is the Coxeter group of type } \mathbf{I}_2(e).
\]

Let $V'$ be the complement of all reflecting hyperplanes of reflections in a complex reflection group $W \subset \text{GL}(V)$. Then $W$ acts on $V'$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(W \backslash V')$ of the quotient space $W \backslash V'$ is called the braid group of $W$, denoted $B(W)$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(V')$ is called the pure braid group of $W$, denoted $P(W)$. Let $B(d,e,n)$ denote the braid group of the complex reflection group $G(d,e,n)$.

This paper is interested in the braid groups $B(e,e,n)$ for $e \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, which are known to be Garside groups. The Artin group $A(\mathbf{D}_n)$ is a special case of $B(e,e,n)$ with $e = 2$. It is not known whether the braid groups $B(d,e,n)$ with $d \geq 2$ have a Garside structure.

Bessis and Corran [BC06] constructed the dual Garside structure for $B(e,e,n)$, and then Bessis [Bes06a] improved this result, giving a new geometric interpretation and extending the construction to the exceptional cases not covered before. Bessis and Corran also showed in [BC06] that the monoid arising from the Broué-Malle-Rouquier presentation for $B(e,e,n)$ in [BMR98] is not a Garside monoid. Combining the Broué-Malle-Rouquier presentation and the Bessis-Corran presentation, Corran and Picantin [CP09] recently proposed a presentation for $B(e,e,n)$ which gives a new Garside structure.
In the Broué-Malle-Rouquier presentation, \( B(e,e,n) \) is generated by \( t_1, t_2, s_3, s_4, \ldots, s_n \) with the following defining relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_is_j &= s_js_i \text{ if } |i - j| \geq 2; \\
    s_is_{i+1}s_i &= s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 3, \ldots, n - 1; \\
    \langle t_1t_2 \rangle^c &= \langle t_2t_1 \rangle^c; \\
    t_1s_j &= s_jt_1 \text{ and } t_2s_j = s_jt_2 \text{ for } j \geq 4; \\
    t_1s_1t_1 &= s_3t_1s_3 \text{ and } t_2s_3t_2 = s_3t_2s_3; \\
    s_3t_1t_2s_3t_1t_2 &= t_1t_2t_3t_1t_2s_3.
\end{align*}
\]

This presentation is usually illustrated as in Figure 2, which looks like a Coxeter graph. In the figure, the symbol “\( \square \)” at the vertex \( s_3 \) indicates the relation \( s_3t_1t_2s_3t_1t_2 = t_1t_2s_3t_1t_2s_3 \).

In the Corran-Picantin presentation, \( B(e,e,n) \) is generated by \( t_1, \ldots, t_e, s_3, \ldots, s_n \) with the following defining relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_is_j &= s_js_i \text{ if } |i - j| \geq 2; \\
    s_is_{i+1}s_i &= s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 3, \ldots, n - 1; \\
    t_1t_2 &= t_2t_3 = \cdots = t_{e-1}t_e = t_et_1; \\
    t_is_j &= s_jt_i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, e \text{ and } j \geq 4; \\
    t_is_3t_i &= s_3t_is_3 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, e.
\end{align*}
\]

This presentation is illustrated in Figure 3 where the large circle with label 2 indicates the relation \( t_1t_2 = t_2t_3 = \cdots = t_{e-1}t_e = t_et_1 \). We remark that the above presentation is slightly different from but equivalent to the one given by Corran and Picantin: in their presentation the generators \( t_1, \ldots, t_e \) satisfy \( t_2t_1 = t_3t_2 = \cdots = te_{e-1}t_e = t_et_1 \) rather than \( t_1t_2 = t_2t_3 = \cdots = t_{e-1}t_e = t_et_1 \).

One can understand the difference between the Broué-Malle-Rouquier and Corran-Picantin presentations as follows. The subgraph \( \begin{array}{c}
    t_1 \\
    e \\
    t_2 \\
\end{array} \) in Figure 2 gives a presentation of the Artin group \( A(\mathbb{I}_2(e)) \), which gives the classical Garside structure on \( A(\mathbb{I}_2(e)) \). In Figure 3 this part is replaced by a subgraph which gives the dual Garside structure on \( A(\mathbb{I}_2(e)) \). Therefore the Corran-Picantin presentation may be regarded as a mixture of the Broué-Malle-Rouquier presentation.
Lemma 4.2. Let hence the periodicity of an element does not depend on the choice of a particular Garsidestructure.

Let $S$ denote the word $s_ns_{n-1}\cdots s_3$. The Garside element $\Delta$ in the classical Garside structure and the Garside element $\delta$ in the dual Garside structure are as follows:

$$\Delta = (St_1t_2)^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = St_1t_2.$$ 

From now on, we assume $e \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ for $B(e,e,n)$.

4.4. Result of Bessis. Let $W \subset GL(V)$ be a complex reflection group. The largest degree is called the Coxeter number of $W$, which we will denote by $h$. An integer $d$ is called a regular number if there exist an element $w \in W$ and a complex $d$-th root $\zeta$ of unity such that $\ker(w - \zeta) \cap V' \neq \emptyset$, where $V'$ is the complement of all reflecting hyperplanes of reflections in $W$.

The following theorem collects Bessis’ results which we will use; see Lemma 6.11 and Theorems 1.9, 8.2, 12.3, 12.5 in [Bes06a].

Theorem 4.1 ([Bes06a]). Let $W$ be an irreducible well-generated complex reflection group, with degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_n$, codegrees $d_1^*, \ldots, d_n^*$ and Coxeter number $h$. Then its braid group $B(W)$ admits the dual Garside structure with Garside element $\delta$, and the following hold.

(i) The element $\mu = \delta^h$ is central in $B(W)$ and lies in the pure braid group $P(W)$.

(ii) Let $h' = h/(d_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_n)$. The center of $B(W)$ is a cyclic group generated by $\delta^{h'}$.

(iii) Let $d$ be a positive integer, and let

$$A(d) = \{1 \leq i \leq n : d|d_i\} \quad \text{and} \quad B(d) = \{1 \leq i \leq n : d|d_i^*\}.$$ 

Then $|A(d)| \leq |B(d)|$, and the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $|A(d)| = |B(d)|$;

(b) there exists a $d$-th root of $\mu$;

(c) $d$ is regular.

Moreover, the $d$-th root of $\mu$, if exists, is unique up to conjugacy in $B(W)$.

The groups $W(A_n), W(B_n)$ and $G(e,e,n)$ including $W(D_n)$ and $W(I_2(e))$ are all irreducible well-generated complex reflection groups.

4.4. Primitive periodic elements. In [3.3] we have shown that every primitive periodic element in a Garside group is a root of $\Delta^m$, where $\Delta$ is the Garside element and $\Delta^m$ is the minimal positive power of $\Delta$ which is central. In this subsection, we give explicitly all the primitive periodic elements in the groups $A(A_n), A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$. These groups have cyclic centers, hence the periodicity of an element does not depend on the choice of a particular Garside structure.

Lemma 4.2. Let $d$ be a positive integer.

(i) In $A(A_n)$, $d$ is regular if and only if $d|n$ or $d|(n+1)$.

(ii) In $A(B_n)$, $d$ is regular if and only if $d|(2n)$.

(iii) In $A(D_n)$, $d$ is regular if and only if $d|n$ or $d|2(n-1)$.

(iv) In $A(I_2(e))$, $d$ is regular if and only if $d|2$ or $d|e$.

(v) In $B(e,e,n)$, $d$ is regular if and only if $d|n$ or $d|e(n-1)$. 
Lemma 4.3. The elements $\delta, \varepsilon$ and $\Delta$ have the following relations.

(i) In $A(A_n)$, $\delta^{n+1} = \Delta^2 = \varepsilon^n$.

(ii) In $A(B_n)$, $\delta^n = \Delta$.

(iii) In $A(D_n)$, $\delta^{n-1} = \Delta$ and $\Delta^{n-1} / \varepsilon = \varepsilon^{2(n-1)}$, hence $\delta^{2(n-1)} = \Delta^2 = \varepsilon^n$.

(iv) In $A(I_2(e))$, $\delta^e = \Delta^{n-1}$, hence $\delta^e = \Delta^2$.

(v) In $B(e,e,n)$, $\delta^{n-1} = \Delta$ and $\Delta^{n-1} / \varepsilon = \varepsilon^{2(n-1)}$, hence $\delta^{2(n-1)} = \Delta^e = \varepsilon^n$.

Proof. The relation in (i) for $A(A_n)$ is well-known, and the relations $\delta^n = \Delta$ in $A(B_n)$, $\delta^{n-1} = \Delta$ in $A(D_n)$ and $B(e,e,n)$ and $\delta^{n-1} = \Delta^{n-1}$ in $A(I_2(e))$ are immediate from the definitions of $\delta$ and $\Delta$.

| Groups | Degrees and codegrees | $d$ | $h$ |
|--------|----------------------|-----|-----|
| $A(A_n)$ | $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{n, n+1\}$ | $d|n$ | $n+1$ |
| $(n \geq 2)$ | $\{d_1^*, \ldots, d_n^*\} = \{2, 3, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{0, 1\}$ | $d|(n+1)$ | $2n$ |
| $A(B_n)$ | $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{2, 4, \ldots, 2n-2\} \cup \{2n\}$ | $d|2(n)$ | $2n$ |
| $(n \geq 2)$ | $\{d_1^*, \ldots, d_n^*\} = \{2, 4, \ldots, 2n-2\} \cup \{0\}$ | $d|2(n-1)$ | $2(n-1)$ |
| $A(D_n)$ | $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{2, 4, \ldots, 2n-4\} \cup \{2n-2, n\}$ | $d|n$ | $2n$ |
| $(n \geq 3)$ | $\{d_1^*, \ldots, d_n^*\} = \{2, 4, \ldots, 2n-4\} \cup \{0, n-2\}$ | $d|2(n-1)$ | $2(n-1)$ |
| $A(I_2(e))$ | $\{d_1, d_2\} = \{2, e\}$ | $d|2$ | $e$ |
| $(e \geq 3)$ | $\{d_1^*, d_2^*\} = \{0, e-2\}$ | $d|e$ | $e$ |
| $B(e,e,n)$ | $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{2, 2e, \ldots, e(n-2)e\} \cup \{(n-1)e, n\}$ | $d|n$ | $e(n-1)$ |
| $(e \geq 2, n \geq 3)$ | $\{d_1^*, \ldots, d_n^*\} = \{2, 2e, \ldots, e(n-2)e\} \cup \{0, (n-1)e-2n\}$ | $d|e(n-1)$ | $e(n-1)$ |

Table 1. Degrees, codegrees, regular numbers $d$ and Coxeter number $h$
We will prove only the relation $\Delta \frac{e}{\epsilon} = \epsilon \frac{e}{\epsilon}$ for $B(e, e, n)$. Since the group $B(2, 2, n)$ is the same as $A(D_n)$, the relation $\Delta \frac{e}{\epsilon} = \epsilon \frac{e}{\epsilon}$ in $A(D_n)$ is a special case of the relation in $B(e, e, n)$ with $e = 2$.

We will first prove the following identity:

$$
\delta^k = (St_1 St_2 \cdots St_k) \cdot (s_{k+1} s_k \cdots s_3) \cdot t_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1,
$$

where the subscripts of $t$ are taken modulo $e$ as values between 1 and $e$. When $k = 1$, both sides of the equation (4) are identical. Suppose the identity (4) holds for some $1 \leq k < n - 1$. We will show that it also holds for $k + 1$. Because $s_i S = S s_{i+1}$ for $i = 3, \ldots, n - 1$, $t_1 t_2 = t_{k+1} t_{k+2}$, and each $t_j$ commutes with $s_4, \ldots, s_n$, we have

\[
(s_{k+1} \cdots s_3) \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot St_1 t_2 = (s_{k+1} \cdots s_3) \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot (s_n \cdots s_4) \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+1} t_{k+2} = (s_{k+1} \cdots s_3) \cdot (s_n \cdots s_4) \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot t_{k+2} = (s_{k+1} \cdots s_3) \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+2} = (s_{k+1} \cdots s_3) \cdot S \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+2} = S \cdot (s_{k+2} \cdots s_4) \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+2} = S \cdot t_{k+1} \cdot (s_{k+2} \cdots s_4) \cdot s_3 \cdot t_{k+2} = St_{k+1} \cdot (s_{k+2} \cdots s_4 s_3) \cdot t_{k+2}.
\]

Therefore

$$
\delta^{k+1} = \delta^k \cdot \delta = St_1 St_2 \cdots St_k \cdot (s_{k+1} s_k \cdots s_3) t_{k+1} \cdot St_1 t_2 = (St_1 St_2 \cdots St_{k+1}) \cdot (s_{k+2} \cdots s_4 s_3) \cdot t_{k+2}.
$$

This shows that the identity (4) holds for $k + 1$.

When $k = n - 1$, the identity (4) is the same as $\delta^{n-1} = St_1 St_2 \cdots St_n$, hence we have

$$
\Delta = (St_1 t_2)^{n-1} = \delta^{n-1} = St_1 St_2 \cdots St_n.
$$
Since the presentation of $B(e,e,n)$ is invariant under the correspondence $t_j \mapsto t_{j+1}$, we have $(St_jt_{j+1})^{n-1} = St_jSt_{j+1} \cdots St_{j+n-1}$. Because $\delta = St_1t_2 = St_jt_{j+1}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\Delta = St_jSt_{j+1} \cdots St_{j+n-1}$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore for any $k \geq 1$

$$\Delta^k = (St_1 \cdots St_n) \cdot (St_{n+1} \cdots St_{2n}) \cdots (St_{(k-1)n+1} \cdots St_{kn}) = St_1 \cdots St_{kn}.$$ 

Because $t_j = t_{j+r}$, we have for any $k \geq 1$

$$\varepsilon^k = (St_1 \cdots St_e) \cdot (St_{e+1} \cdots St_{2e}) \cdots (St_{(k-1)e+1} \cdots St_{ke}) = St_1 \cdots St_{ke}.$$ 

By the above two identities, we have $\Delta \varepsilon^{-k} = \varepsilon \Delta^{-k}$.

The following theorem is an analogue for the groups $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$ of the Brouwer-Kerékjártó-Eilenberg theorem for $A(A_n)$.

**Theorem 4.4.** In the groups $A(A_n)$, $A(D_n)$ and $B(e,e,n)$, every periodic element is conjugate to a power of $\delta$ or $\varepsilon$.

In the group $A(B_n)$, every periodic element is conjugate to a power of $\varepsilon$.

In the group $A(I_2(e))$, every periodic element is conjugate to a power of $\delta$ or $\Delta$.

**Proof.** We prove only the claim for $A(D_n)$ as we can use the same argument for the other groups. As the center of $A(D_n)$ is a cyclic group generated by $\delta h'$ with $h'$ a divisor of $h$, Theorem 3.14 yields that every periodic element in $A(D_n)$ is a power of a root of $\delta h'$ and hence a power of a root of $\mu = \delta^h$. Thus it suffices to show that every root of $\mu$ is conjugate to a power of $\delta$ or $\varepsilon$.

Let $g$ be a $d$-th root of $\mu$ for a positive integer $d$. By Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.2, either $d|n$ or $d|2(n-1)$. As $\delta^{2(n-1)} = \mu = \varepsilon^n$, there is a power of $\delta$ or $\varepsilon$ which is a $d$-th root of $\mu$. By Theorem 4.4, all the $d$-th roots of $\mu$ are conjugate to each other. Therefore $g$ is conjugate to a power of $\delta$ or $\varepsilon$.

4.5. **Precentrality.** This subsection investigates precentrality for periodic elements in the classical and dual Garside structures on the groups $A(A_n)$, $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$.

Recall from Corollary 3.10 that, for a periodic element $g$ of a Garside group $G$, $g$ is $p/q$-periodic if and only if $t_{inf}(g) = p/q$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and $p \wedge q = 1$.

**Theorem 4.5.** In the dual Garside structure on each of the groups $A(A_n)$, $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$, every periodic element is either precentral or conjugate to a power of the Garside element $\delta$.

**Proof.** In the dual Garside structure on each group, $\delta$ is the Garside element. Hence the exponent $m$ of the minimal positive central power of the Garside element $\delta$ is equal to $h'$ shown in Table 2.

From Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 3.20, it suffices to show that $\varepsilon$ is precentral in $A(A_n)$, $A(D_n)$ and $B(e,e,n)$, and that $\Delta$ is precentral in $A(I_2(e))$.

In $A(A_n)$, $\varepsilon^n = \delta^{n+1}$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = n + 1$ and $t_{inf}(\varepsilon) = (n + 1)/n$. Since $n + 1$ and $n$ are coprime, $\varepsilon$ is $(n + 1)/n$-periodic. Therefore $\varepsilon$ is precentral in $A(A_n)$.

In $B(e,e,n)$, $\varepsilon^{en/(en)} = \delta^{en/(en)}$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = en/(en)$ and $t_{inf}(\varepsilon) = en/(en)$ and $\varepsilon$ are coprime, hence $\varepsilon$ is $(en)/(en)$-periodic. Therefore $\varepsilon$ is precentral in $B(e,e,n)$.

Since $A(D_n) = B(2,2,n)$, $\varepsilon$ is always precentral in $A(D_n)$. 

In $A(I_2(e))$, $\Delta^{2/(e \wedge 2)} = \delta e^{2/(e \wedge 2)}$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = \frac{e}{e \wedge 2}$ and $t_{\inf}(\Delta) = \frac{e}{2(e \wedge 2)}$. As $\frac{e}{e \wedge 2}$ and $\frac{e}{2(e \wedge 2)}$ are coprime, $\Delta$ is $\frac{e}{e \wedge 2}/\frac{e}{2(e \wedge 2)}$-periodic. Therefore $\Delta$ is precentral in $A(I_2(e))$. \hfill $\Box$

In the classical Garside structure, every periodic element of $A(B_n)$ is precentral, and every periodic element of $A(I_2(e))$ is either precentral or conjugate to a power of the Garside element $\Delta$. However it is not the case for the other groups.

**Theorem 4.6.** In the classical Garside structure, the following hold.

(i) In $A(A_n)$, $\delta$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is even, and $\varepsilon$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is odd.

(ii) In $A(B_n)$, $\delta$ is always precentral.

(iii) In $A(D_n)$, $\delta$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is even, and $\varepsilon$ is always precentral.

(iv) In $A(I_2(e))$, $\delta$ is always precentral.

(v) In $B(e, e, n)$, $\delta$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is a multiple of $e$, and $\varepsilon$ is always precentral.

**Proof.** In the classical Garside structure on each group, $\Delta$ is the Garside element. Let $m$ denote the exponent of the minimal positive central power of the Garside element $\Delta$.

(i) In $A(A_n)$, $\delta^{n+1} = \Delta^2 = \varepsilon^n$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = 2$,

$$t_{\inf}(\delta) = \frac{2}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{\inf}(\varepsilon) = \frac{2}{n}.$$ 

Therefore, $\delta$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is even, and $\varepsilon$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is odd.

(ii) In $A(B_n)$, $\delta^n = \Delta$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = 1$ and $\delta$ is $1/n$-periodic. Therefore $\delta$ is precentral.

(iv) In $A(I_2(e))$, $\delta e^{n+1} = \Delta^2 = \varepsilon^n$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = \frac{2}{e \wedge 2}$. Since $\frac{e}{e \wedge 2}$ and $\frac{2}{e \wedge 2}$ are coprime, $\delta$ is $\frac{2}{e \wedge 2}/\frac{e}{e \wedge 2}$-periodic. Therefore $\delta$ is precentral.

(v) In $B(e, e, n)$, $\delta^{(n-1)/(e \wedge n)} = \Delta^{e/(e \wedge n)} = \varepsilon^{n/(e \wedge n)}$ is the generator of the center, hence $m = \frac{e}{e \wedge n}$,

$$t_{\inf}(\delta) = \frac{1}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{\inf}(\varepsilon) = \frac{e}{n \wedge n}.$$ 

Since $\frac{e}{e \wedge n}$ and $\frac{e}{e \wedge n}$ are coprime, $\varepsilon$ is always precentral. And $\delta$ is precentral if and only if $m = \frac{e}{e \wedge n} = 1$, that is, if and only if $e|n$.

(iii) Since $A(D_n) = B(2, 2, n)$, $\delta$ is precentral if and only if $n$ is even, and $\varepsilon$ is always precentral. \hfill $\Box$

5. **Discussions on some algorithmic problems**

This section discusses some algorithmic problems concerning periodic elements in Garside groups. As before, $G$ is a Garside group with Garside element $\Delta$, and $\Delta^m$ is the minimal positive central power of $\Delta$.

5.1. **Periodicity decision problem.** Let us consider the following problem.

**Periodicity decision problem:** Given an element of a Garside group, decide whether it is periodic or not.

For Garside groups whose primitive periodic elements are well understood, as for the groups $A(A_n)$, $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e, e, n)$, the periodicity decision problem is easy to solve. From Theorem 4.4 and Table 2, we can see the following.
(i) an element \( g \in A(A_n) \) is periodic if and only if either \( g^n \) or \( g^{n+1} \) is central;
(ii) an element \( g \in A(B_n) \) is periodic if and only if \( g^n \) is central;
(iii) an element \( g \in A(D_n) \) is periodic if and only if either \( g^{\frac{2\pi}{\pi_n}} \) or \( g^{\frac{2(n-1)}{\pi_n}} \) is central;
(iv) an element \( g \in A(I_2(e)) \) is periodic if and only if either \( g^{\frac{\pi}{2\pi_n}} \) or \( g^{\frac{\pi}{2\pi_n}} \) is central;
(v) an element \( g \in B(e,e,n) \) is periodic if and only if either \( g^{\frac{\pi}{2\pi_n}} \) or \( g^{\frac{2\pi}{\pi_n}} \) is central.

The centers of the above groups are cyclic generated by a power of the Garside element, hence it is easy to decide whether a given element is central or not.

For arbitrary Garside groups, the periodicity decision problem can be solved with a little more efforts. From Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 2.10, the following conditions are equivalent for an element \( g \) of a Garside group \( G \):

(i) \( g \) is periodic;
(ii) \( g^q \) is conjugate to \( \Delta^p \) for some \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( 1 \leq q \leq ||\Delta|| \);
(iii) \( g^q = \Delta^p \) for some \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( 1 \leq q \leq ||\Delta|| \).

As the last two conditions can be checked by using the Garside structure, the periodicity decision problem in Garside groups can be solved.

5.2. Tabulation of primitive periodic elements. For the groups \( A(A_n), A(B_n), A(D_n), A(I_2(e)) \) and \( B(e,e,n) \), primitive periodic elements were characterized in Theorem 4.4. However, for an arbitrary Garside group \( G \), we know by Theorem 3.14 only that every primitive periodic element in \( G \) is a \( k \)-th root of \( \Delta^m \) for some \( 1 \leq |k| \leq m||\Delta|| \). On the other hand, periodic elements have summit canonical length 0 or 1. Hence every primitive periodic element is conjugate to an element of the form \( \Delta^u a \) for \( -m \leq u \leq m \) and \( a \in [1, \Delta] \). Therefore there are only finitely many primitive periodic elements in \( G \) up to conjugacy. We consider the following problem.

**Primitive periodic element tabulation:** Given a Garside group \( G \), make a list of primitive periodic elements such that each primitive periodic element of \( G \) is conjugate to either exactly one element in the list or its inverse.

We solve the above problem in Proposition 5.2 by using the following solution to the root problem in Garside groups.

**Theorem 5.1.** \([\text{Sty78} \text{Sib02} \text{Lee07}]\). Let \( G \) be a Garside group. There is a finite-time algorithm that, given an element \( g \in G \) and an integer \( k \geq 1 \), decides whether there exists \( h \in G \) with \( h^k = g \), and then finds such an element \( h \) if one exists.

The above theorem was proved for braid groups by Styšnev \([\text{Sty78}]\), and for Garside groups by Sibert \([\text{Sib02}]\) and Lee \([\text{Lee07}]\).

**Proposition 5.2.** Given a Garside group \( G \), there exists a finite-time algorithm that makes a list of primitive periodic elements such that each primitive periodic element of \( G \) is conjugate to either exactly one element in the list or its inverse.

**Sketchy proof.** The algorithm performs the sequential steps below.

Step 1. Compute all roots of \( \Delta^m \) (up to inverse and conjugacy): for each element \( h \) of the form \( \Delta^u a \) for \( 0 \leq u \leq m \) and \( a \in [1, \Delta] \), decide whether \( h^k = \Delta^m \) for some \( 1 \leq k \leq m||\Delta|| \).

Step 2. Let \( H = \{h_1, \ldots, h_N\} \) be the set of all roots of \( \Delta^m \) obtained from the above step. As the conjugacy problem is solvable in Garside groups, we can partition the set \( H \) into conjugacy classes, and then select one element from each conjugacy class. In this way,
we obtain a subset $H'$ of $H$ such that each root of $\Delta^m$ is conjugate to either exactly one element of $H'$ or its inverse.

Step 3. For each element $h$ of $H'$, decide whether $h$ has a $k$-th root for $2 \leq k \leq m\|\Delta\|$, and remove $h$ from $H'$ if it does. (By Theorem 5.1, this can be done in a finite number of steps.) Let $H''$ be the resulting set. Then each primitive periodic element in $G$ is conjugate to either exactly one element of $H''$ or its inverse.

5.3. **Conjugacy problem for periodic elements.** Observe that the relations in the presentations of Artin groups and the braid group of complex reflection groups are all homogeneous. Therefore, the exponent sum of an element, written as a word in the generators and their inverses, is well defined. The exponent sum is invariant under conjugacy.

Let us consider the CDP and CSP for periodic elements in Garside groups.

First, we shall see that the exponent sum is a complete invariant for the conjugacy classes of periodic elements in the groups $A(A_n)$, $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$, hence the CDP is easy in those groups. To establish this, we need the fact that the roots of periodic elements are unique up to conjugacy in these groups. This was proved by Bessis, see Theorem 4.1. Because his theorem is stated only for the roots of $\Delta^m$, we prove the following lemma for completeness of the paper.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $G$ be a Garside group such that, for any $k \geq 1$, the $k$-th root of $\Delta^m$, if exists, is unique up to conjugacy. Then, for any periodic elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and for any nonzero integer $k$, $g^k_1 = g^k_2$ implies that $g_1$ is conjugate to $g_2$.

**Proof.** Choose any periodic elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and any nonzero integer $k$. Suppose $g^k_1 = g^k_2$. Let $g_1$ be $p/q$-periodic, then so is $g_2$ because $t_{\text{inf}}(g_1) = t_{\text{inf}}(g_2)$. If $p = 0$, there is nothing to do. Let $p \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 3.13 to $g_1$ and $g_2$, we have the following: there are $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $pr + qms = p \land m$; let $h_i = g_i^m$ for $i = 1, 2$; then $h_1$ and $h_2$ are $\frac{qm}{p \land m}$-th roots of $\Delta^m$. Hence $h_1$ is conjugate to $h_2$ by the hypothesis on $G$. On the other hand, $g_1 = h_1^{\frac{p}{m}}$ and $g_2 = h_2^{\frac{p}{m}}$ by Lemma 3.13. Therefore $g_1$ is conjugate to $g_2$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $G$ be one of the Garside groups $A(A_n)$, $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$. Let $g_1$ and $g_2$ be periodic elements in $G$. Then, $g_1$ and $g_2$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same exponent sum.

**Proof.** Suppose that $g_1$ and $g_2$ have the same exponent sum. There is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that both $g^k_1$ and $g^k_2$ belong to $\langle \Delta^m \rangle$. As $g_1$ and $g_2$ have the same exponent sum, we have $g^k_1 = g^k_2$. For any $d \geq 1$, the $d$-th root of $\Delta^m$, if exists, is unique up to conjugacy by Theorem 4.1. Therefore $g_1$ and $g_2$ are conjugate by Lemma 5.3. The converse is obvious. \hfill \Box

From the above proposition, it is easy to solve the conjugacy decision problem for periodic elements in the groups $A(A_n)$, $A(B_n)$, $A(D_n)$, $A(I_2(e))$ and $B(e,e,n)$.

Now we will consider the conjugacy search problem for periodic elements in those groups, using the dual Garside structure.

In the groups $A(B_n)$ and $A(I_2(e))$, the CSP for periodic elements is easy to solve. In $A(B_n)$, every periodic element is conjugate to $\delta^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the super summit set is of the form $\{\delta^k\}$ since $\delta$ is the Garside element. As for $A(I_2(e))$, the dual presentation is

$$A(I_2(e)) = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_e \mid t_1t_2 = t_2t_3 = \cdots = t_{e-1}t_e = t_et_1 \rangle.$$
Since \( \delta = t_1 t_2 \) is the Garside element, the set of simple elements is \([1, \delta] = \{1, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_e, \delta\}\), hence the super summit set of a periodic element is of the form either \( \{\delta^k\} \) or a subset of \( \{\delta^k t_i : i = 1, \ldots, e\}\). Therefore for both groups \( A(B_n) \) and \( A(I_2(e)) \), the super summit set of a periodic element is very small, hence the CSP is easy to solve.

In the groups \( A(A_n), A(D_n), B(e,e,n) \), every periodic element is conjugate to a power of \( \delta \) or \( \varepsilon \). Since \( \delta \) is the Garside element in the dual Garside structure, the CSP is easy to solve for conjugates of \( \delta^k, k \in \mathbb{Z} \), because their super summit set consists of a single element. Therefore it is enough to consider the conjugates of powers of \( \varepsilon \). Given a conjugate \( \alpha \) of \( \varepsilon^k \) for a nonzero integer \( k \), it is easy to compute a nonzero integer \( r \), by Lemmas 3.18 and 3.22 such that \( \varepsilon^{kr} \) is slim and the CSP for \((\alpha, \varepsilon^k)\) is equivalent to the one for \((\alpha^r, \varepsilon^{kr})\). By Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 3.20, every power of \( \varepsilon \) is precentral. Therefore we may assume that the given periodic elements are slim and precentral so that we can use Theorem 3.24 that the super summit set is closed under any partial cycling.

For periodic elements in arbitrary Garside groups, we do not know whether the CDP is easier than the CSP. The CSP for periodic elements looks easier than for arbitrary elements, because the super summit elements are of the form \( \Delta^k a \) for \( a \in [1, \Delta) \), and because in case the periodic elements are precentral the super summit set can be assumed to be closed under any partial cycling.
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