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Abstract

Monitoring of blood transcriptional changes during disease or treatment could improve the understanding of cellular mechanisms associated with that particular condition. This can be achieved through serial sampling of small blood volumes. However, molecular analysis of gene expression from low volume samples remains a challenging task. To address this issue, we have developed a set of standard operating procedures (SOP), starting from collection of small volume blood to measurement of gene expression. Previously we published an SOP for the collection of a small volume of blood via finger stick and stabilization of RNA. The aim of this manuscript is to share a modified Tempus™ solution based RNA extraction method, developed in our lab, for the extraction of total RNA from low volume whole blood samples collected via finger stick.
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**Introduction**

The transcriptome is the complete set of transcripts in a specific type of cell or tissue. Transcriptome datasets can be leveraged to understand the genes or pathways associated with particular conditions which will help to develop diagnostic biomarkers and to identify new therapeutic targets\(^1\). Although transcriptional profiles of target diseased tissues or cells are ideal biotypes for such analyses, procuring tissue biopsies/cells and extracting a sufficient amount of RNA from these specimens prove often impossible in clinical settings. Therefore, whole blood is often considered as an alternative surrogate tissue in clinical research\(^2\,3\). Blood plays a crucial role in immunity, inflammation and physiological homeostasis. Blood-based profiles also constitute a powerful means for exploring basic biology and for approaching the complexity of biological systems.

The rapid advances in transcriptome profiling technologies, such as microarray and next-generation sequencing, made it possible to measure simultaneously the abundance of RNA on a genome-wide scale. High throughput RT-PCR and NanoString offer the opportunity to profile hundreds of targets at a lower cost than sequencing technologies. Overall, practicality as well as affordability allow studying changes in blood transcript abundance in infection, treatment or specific conditions and enable to maximize information obtained from each patient. Correlating serial blood transcriptome markers with the clinical course of disease has been shown to be a potential approach in diagnostics and for assessment of treatment response\(^4\,5\).

Minimization of the technical variance in any assay plays a critical role in the measurement of true biological variance. In transcriptome studies, the sources of technical variance can be considerable. In particular, RNA isolation and purification steps greatly influence the results of gene expression profiling, since RNA is a highly unstable molecule that is easily degraded by RNases which are ubiquitous in the environment\(^6\). Therefore, extra care has to be taken during this process. Furthermore, the protocol used for the extraction of RNA should (i) provide quantitative recovery of RNA that is intact and free from contaminants and (ii) keep the sample as concentrated as possible for further downstream analysis. There are commercial RNA whole blood collection tubes available in the market; PAXgene\(^TM\) Whole Blood RNA isolation system (Qiagen, Germany) and Tempus\(^TM\) Whole Blood RNA isolation system (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). They have a significant advantage as they lyse whole blood at the time of collection, while simultaneously stabilizing RNA for later purification. However, these collection systems require drawing of 2.5 ml to 3 ml of venous blood at each collection time point, which can be challenging in some settings (e.g. pediatric populations, high frequency collection, home self-collection, field collection).

Finger-stick blood collection is a widely used and safe method for the collection of blood, especially when only small volumes are required\(^7\,8\). Major advantages of this collection method are that it is less invasive, quicker and can be performed without a trained phlebotomist. Therefore, it is more amenable to field applications and repeated sampling\(^9\). A study by Robison et al. found that gene expression measured with venous and finger stick blood collection is comparable\(^10\). However, currently available microtainers used for collection of whole blood samples via finger stick methods do not contain any RNA stabilizing solutions. Therefore, this method requires modified protocols for collection of blood, stabilization of samples and extraction of RNA. Recently, we published a detailed standard operating procedure (SOP) for finger stick blood collection and RNA stabilization\(^11\).

There are few published reports which describe the procedure for extraction of RNA from a small volume of whole blood. A study by Carrol et al. used small volumes of blood (≥300μl) along with a modified PAXgene protocol to obtain high-quality RNA from pediatric samples\(^12\). Another study shows the feasibility of an RNA extraction protocol from only 70μl of whole blood collected via finger sticks\(^13\). Krawiec et al. successfully extracted RNA from even smaller blood samples from mouses or rats\(^14\). However, all these studies used PAXgene based protocols for the purpose of extracting total RNA. Reported yields and quality of RNA stabilized in Tempus\(^TM\) solution was generally greater when compared to PAXgene solution\(^15\,16\,17\). When PAXgene\(^TM\) and Tempus\(^TM\) were compared by using microarrays as the readout, several known phytohemagglutinin (PHA) inducible genes were only found to be up-regulated when RNA was isolated using the Tempus\(^TM\) method, but not using the PAXgene\(^TM\) method\(^17\). Considering these factors, we have developed a modified Tempus\(^TM\) solution-based RNA extraction method for the extraction of total RNA from low volume whole blood samples (50μl of whole blood). This method is currently employed in the context of a pregnancy monitory study being conducted on the Thai-Myanmar border (manuscript in preparation). The study aims to assess transcriptional changes in women during pregnancy and in the mother and child post-partum. Overall ∼20,000 whole blood samples will be collected from 400 mother and child pairs. The low volume blood sample collection and RNA stabilization method published earlier\(^18\) and the related RNA extraction method described below are being shared with an anticipation that they may be of use to others and be improved through comments from reviewers and readers.

**Narrative of the procedure**

The procedure described in this article can be used for extraction and quality control of total RNA from a small volume of whole blood preserved with Tempus\(^TM\) solution. A narrative is provided in this section, along with general remarks and considerations. A detailed point-by-point SOP follows.

**Narrative**

Tempus\(^TM\) spin RNA isolation kits were used for extraction of total RNA from whole blood lysate. The standard protocol recommended by the manufacturer is optimized for 3 ml of whole blood preserved with 6 ml of Tempus\(^TM\) stabilizing solution. Therefore, modifications were made in order to process 50μl of whole blood collected via finger stick and preserved with 100μl of Tempus\(^TM\) solution. Briefly, whole blood lysate is thawed and washed with 1xPBS (phosphate buffer saline) to
obtain the RNA pellet. RNA purification is achieved by using RNA purification filters and wash buffers. DNase treatment is performed to remove DNA contamination and finally purified RNA is eluted from the column using elution solution. RNA yields and quality are measured on NanoDrop and Fragment Analyzer.

**General remarks**

1) Blood sample collection, storage and shipment

The detailed protocol for collection and storage of whole blood samples for gene expression studies is available in our recent publication\(^6\). Briefly, 50μl of blood samples are collected using a plastic capillary straw in a microcentrifuge tube. Following thorough mixing with 100μl of Tempus™ RNA stabilizing solution it is stored at -20°C, preferably, or alternatively -80°C. The transcriptional profile is maintained due to effective stabilization of RNA and will accurately reflect the physiological state of the patient at the time of the blood draw. As mentioned above, this sampling protocol is being used for one of our studies which investigates alteration in temporal transcriptional and microbiome trajectories preceding pre-term birth. For this study, blood samples are collected in Thailand and transferred to Qatar on dry ice. RNA yields and integrity reported below indicate that this shipment method permits recovery of nucleic acid in quantities and quality that meet requirements of downstream applications such as RNAseq or PCR.

2) RNA extraction protocol

This protocol is developed by incorporating the following modifications to the standard Tempus™ Spin RNA isolation protocol for the extraction of total RNA from Tempus™ cell lysate:

(a) Washing with PBS

Add 5μl of 1x PBS to each sample and vortex the tubes vigorously for 30 seconds to ensure proper mixing. Then, add 30μl of absolute RNA wash solution to each sample and vortex vigorously for 30 seconds. Then, centrifuge the samples at 4°C at 3,000g for 30 minutes.

(b) DNase treatment

Perform the DNase treatment as per the recommended protocol. This is an optional step in the manufacturer’s recommended protocol.

(c) Incubation with Nucleic acid purification elution solution

Add Nucleic Acid Purification Elution Solution to the samples and incubate at 70°C for 1 minute. In our lab, extending the incubation time, for instance to 2–5 minutes, did not help to improve RNA yield.

(d) Elution of RNA

At the final step, elute the purified RNA with either 25μl or 50μl of elution solution. The concentration of eluted RNA will range from 5 to 20ng/μl with 50μl of elution solution and will be >10ng/μl with 25μl of Elution Buffer.

3) RNA yields and quality

In a set of 25 whole blood samples >200ng of total RNA could be extracted from 50μl of whole blood (mean±standard deviation: 503±170 ng; Range: 228–861 ng). The RNA integrity numbers (RIN) ranged from 5.9 to 9.2. (mean±standard deviation: 7.5±0.7). These figures are compatible for downstream applications such as RNAseq (input RNA: 150–200 ng), RT-PCR (input RNA for cDNA synthesis - depending on the kit: 10–500 ng) and NanoString (input RNA as little as 10 ng). Samples with an RIN > 5.3 is shown to be sufficient for downstream applications such as RNA-seq\(^5\) or RT-PCR\(^7\), while degraded RNA is suitable as input for the Nanostring assay (samples with RIN as low as 3\(^3\)). Table 1 and Figure 1 show the quality control analysis of total RNA extracted from selected whole blood samples. It is important to note that this method may not be used for extraction of small RNA such as miRNA since smaller RNAs (i.e. <200nt) are washed off during purification step. Alternative options for RNA extraction methods allowing retention of miRNAs include Norgen (Norgen Biotek Corporation) and MagMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific) RNA extraction kits, as they claim to provide suitable solutions for extraction of all sizes of RNA, from the large mRNA and ribosomal RNA down to microRNA.

**Materials and methods**

**Reagents and equipment**

- Tempus™ Spin RNA isolation kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA; Catalog Number: 4380204; [https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/4380204](https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/4380204))
- AbsoluteRNA Wash Solution (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA; Catalog Number: 4305545; [https://products.appliedbiosystems.com/ab/en/US/redirect/ab?cmd=catNavigate2&catID=602364](https://products.appliedbiosystems.com/ab/en/US/redirect/ab?cmd=catNavigate2&catID=602364))
- Eppendorf pipettes (10 ul, 100 ul, 200 ul, 1000 ul)
- RNase free Axygen Filter tips (10 ul, 100 ul, 200 ul, 1000 ul)
- Gloves (Cardinal Health Nitrile)
- Stuart Vortex mixer
- Thermo Scientific MicroCL 17R centrifuge
- Eppendorf Thermo Mixer C
- Nanodrop 8000 Spectrophotometer
- Fragment Analyzer (Advanced Analytical - AATI)
- AATI Standard Sensitivity RNA Anlaysis Kit (DNF-471)

**Detailed procedure**

1. Collect 50μl of whole blood into microcentrifuge tube containing 100μl of Tempus™ solution. Upon blood collection, mix the samples thoroughly and store at -20°C or -80°C prior to RNA extraction – as described in detail in an earlier publication\(^5\).
2. Before RNA extraction, thaw the frozen samples at room temperature.
3. Add 50μl of 1x PBS to each sample and vortex the tubes vigorously for 30 seconds to ensure proper mixing. Then, centrifuged the samples at 4°C at 3,000g for 30 minutes.
| S. No | Sample ID           | Concentration of total RNA (ng/μl) | Volume of elution buffer (μl) | Quantity of total RNA (ng) | A260/280 | RIN |
|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----|
| 1     | MSP-002-Blood-001   | 22.58                             | 25                           | 565                        | 1.71      | 6.9 |
| 2     | MSP-002-Blood-007   | 16.05                             | 25                           | 401                        | 2.08      | 8.3 |
| 3     | MSP-002-Blood-013   | 16.28                             | 25                           | 407                        | 1.87      | 8.0 |
| 4     | MSP-007-Blood-006   | 14.81                             | 25                           | 370                        | 2.15      | 9.2 |
| 5     | MSP-007-Blood-012   | 17.23                             | 25                           | 430                        | 2.08      | 5.9 |
| 6     | MSP-011-Blood-001   | 21.55                             | 25                           | 538                        | 1.75      | 6.8 |
| 7     | MSP-011-Blood-012   | 14.76                             | 25                           | 369                        | 2.05      | 6.7 |
| 8     | MSP-019-Blood-007   | 13.23                             | 25                           | 330                        | 1.99      | 7.7 |
| 9     | MSP-019-Blood-013   | 30.75                             | 25                           | 768                        | 2.25      | 8.5 |
| 10    | MSP-002-Blood-003   | 5.36                              | 50                           | 268                        | 2.12      | 6.0 |
| 11    | MSP-002-Blood-005   | 5.77                              | 50                           | 289                        | 1.91      | 7.3 |
| 12    | MSP-002-Blood-008   | 10.72                             | 50                           | 536                        | 1.82      | 7.3 |
| 13    | MSP-002-Blood-014   | 15.29                             | 50                           | 765                        | 1.75      | 7.7 |
| 14    | MSP-002-Blood-015   | 12.87                             | 50                           | 643                        | 1.8       | 7.0 |
| 15    | MSP-007-Blood-004   | 4.57                              | 50                           | 228                        | 1.82      | 7.1 |
| 16    | MSP-007-Blood-009   | 6.72                              | 50                           | 336                        | 1.83      | 7.6 |
| 17    | MSP-007-Blood-013   | 17.22                             | 50                           | 861                        | 1.82      | 7.4 |
| 18    | MSP-007-Blood-014   | 14.87                             | 50                           | 744                        | 1.75      | 7.5 |
| 19    | MSP-011-Blood-003   | 12.52                             | 50                           | 626                        | 1.82      | 8.1 |
| 20    | MSP-011-Blood-002   | 12.48                             | 50                           | 624                        | 1.73      | 7.6 |
| 21    | MSP-011-Blood-009   | 9.63                              | 50                           | 481                        | 1.85      | 8.0 |
| 22    | MSP-011-Blood-010   | 9.07                              | 50                           | 453                        | 1.86      | 7.6 |
| 23    | MSP-011-Blood-011   | 9.22                              | 50                           | 461                        | 1.75      | 7.7 |
| 24    | MSP-011-Blood-013   | 9.20                              | 50                           | 460                        | 1.89      | 8.2 |

Blood samples (50μl) were collected from pregnant women via a finger stick. Total RNA was extracted using Tempus spin RNA extraction kit. At the end, purified RNA was eluted with 25μl or 50μl of elution buffer. A260:280 ratio was measured in Nanodrop. RNA integrity Number (RIN) was measured on Fragment Analyzer.

4. Pour off the supernatant and leave the tubes on absorbent paper for 1–2 minutes.

5. Add 400μl of RNA Purification Resuspension Solution to the sample tubes and vortex briefly to resuspend the RNA pellet. Keep the RNA pellet on ice during the preparation for the next steps.

6. Insert the RNA purification filter into waste collection tube and pre-wet it with 100 μl of Wash Solution 1.

7. Add the resuspended RNA to the purification filters and centrifuge for 30 seconds at 16,000g.

8. Remove the purification filters and discard the liquid waste. Re-insert the purification filters into the waste tube.

9. Add 500μl of Wash Solution 1 into the purification filters and centrifuge for 30 seconds at 16,000g. Discard the samples’ flow through and re-insert purification filter into the waste tubes.

10. Add 500μl of Wash Solution 2 to the purification filter and centrifuge the sample for 1 minute at 16,000g.

11. Discard the flow through and re-insert the purification filter into the waste tube. Add 100μl of absolute RNA Wash Solution and incubate at room temperature for 15 minutes.

12. Add 500μl of Wash Solution 2 into the purification filter, incubate at room temperature for 5 minutes and centrifuge for 30 seconds at 16,000g.
Figure 1. Analysis of quality of total RNA on Fragment Analyzer. Representative electrophorograms used for RNA quality analysis on Fragment analyzer.
13. Perform an additional wash with 500μl of Wash Solution 2. Discard the flow through and re-insert the purification filter into a clean collection tube.

14. Add 25μl or 50μl of Elution Buffer to the purification filter. A lower volume of the Elution Buffer may increase RNA concentration while slightly reduce the overall yield.

15. Incubate the sample for 2 minutes at 70°C and then centrifuge for 2 minutes at 16,000g.

16. Measure quantity and quality of total RNA on Nanodrop and Fragment Analyzer. In order to avoid freezing thawing cycles an aliquot for RNA QC (5 μl) is taken at this point before proceeding with freezing.

17. Store the purified RNA elute at -80°C for downstream analysis.
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