Adjusting CA19-9 values to predict malignancy in obstructive jaundice: Influence of bilirubin and C-reactive protein
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Abstract

AIM: To find a possible relationship between inflammation and CA19-9 tumor marker by analyzing data from patients with benign jaundice (BJ) and malignant jaundice (MJ).

METHODS: All patients admitted for obstructive jaundice, in the period 2005-2009, were prospectively enrolled in the study, obtaining a total of 102 patients. On admission, all patients underwent complete standard blood test examinations including C-reactive protein (CRP), bilirubin, CA19-9. Patients were considered eligible for the study when they presented obstructive jaundice confirmed by instrumental examinations and increased serum bilirubin levels (total bilirubin > 2.0 mg/dL). The standard cut-off level for CA19-9 was 32 U/mL, whereas for CRP this was 1.5 mg/L. The CA19-9 level was adjusted by dividing it by the value of serum bilirubin or by the CRP value. The patients were divided into 2 groups, MJ and BJ, and after the adjustment a comparison between the 2 groups of patients was performed. Sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive values were calculated before and after the adjustment.

RESULTS: Of the 102 patients, 51 were affected by BJ and 51 by MJ. Pathologic CA19-9 levels were found in 71.7% of the patients. In the group of 51 BJ patients there were 29 (56.9%) males and 22 (43.1%) females with a median age of 66 years (range 24-96 years), whereas in the MJ group there were 24 (47%) males and 27 (53%) females, with a mean age of 70 years (range 30-92 years). Pathologic CA19-9 serum level was found in 82.3% of MJ. CRP levels were pathologic in 66.6% of the patients with BJ and in 49% with MJ. Bilirubin and CA19-9 average levels were significantly higher in MJ compared with BJ (P = 0.000 and P = 0.02), while the CRP level was significantly higher in BJ (P = 0.000). Considering a CA19-9 cut-off level of 32 U/mL, 82.3% in the MJ group and 54.9% in the BJ group were positive for CA19-9 (P = 0.002). A CA19-9 cut-off of 100 U/mL increases the difference between the two groups: 35.3% in BJ and 68.6% in MJ (P = 0.0007). Adjusting the CA19-9 value by dividing it by serum bilirubin level meant that 21.5% in the BJ and 49% in the MJ group still had a positive CA19-9 value (P = 0.003), while adjusting the CA19-9 value by dividing it by serum CRP value meant that 31.4% in the BJ group and 76.5% in the MJ group still had a positive CA19-9 value (P = 0.0007). Adjusting the CA19-9 value by dividing it by serum bilirubin level meant that 21.5% in the BJ and 49% in the MJ group remained with a positive CA19-9 value (P = 0.003), while adjusting the CA19-9 value by dividing it by serum CRP value meant that 31.4% in the BJ group and 76.5% in the MJ group still had a positive CA19-9 value (P = 0.0007). Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values of CA19-9 > 32 U/mL were 82.3%, 45% and 59.1%; when the cut-off was CA19-9 > 100 U/mL they were, respectively, 68.6%, 64.7% and 66%. When the CA19-9 value was adjusted by dividing it by the bilirubin or CRP values, these became 49%, 78.4%, 69.4% and 76.5%, 68.6%, 70.9%, respectively.

CONCLUSION: The present study proposes CRP as a new and useful correction factor to improve the diag-
nastic value of the CA19-9 tumor marker in patients with cholestatic jaundice.
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**INTRODUCTION**

CA19-9 is a tumor marker that increases in pancreatic and biliary malignancy and it has been promoted as a reliable test for the detection of pancreatic-biliary malignancy. In pancreatic cancer, CA19-9 has been reported to have 70%-80% sensitivity and 80%-90% specificity in tumor diagnosis, whereas in cholangiocarcinoma without history of sclerosing cholangitis the sensitivity and specificity are, respectively, 77.9% and 76.3%[1-3]. CA19-9 is unfortunately increased not only in patients with pancreatic or biliary cancers but also in benign biliary diseases which often present with jaundice and is therefore often misleading, reducing significantly the diagnostic accuracy of this marker[3-5]. The relationship between CA19-9 and jaundice has been analyzed and studied to find possible adjustments to increase the sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of the test in differential diagnosis of hepatobiliary diseases associated with jaundice. Therefore, some authors have suggested to adjust CA19-9 value by dividing it empirically by the serum bilirubin value[6-9]. Other factors that could influence and alter the values of this tumor marker have not been studied yet. Inflammation contributes to elevating the CA19-9 value and it can be assessed by monitoring the acute-phase proteins: one of these is the C-reactive protein (CRP) which rises in response to infection, injury and neoplasm.

This research was stimulated by our own experience of patients presenting with jaundice of benign etiology who were found to have grossly elevated CA19-9 levels. The purpose of this study was to clarify the clinical interpretation and diagnostic value of an elevated serum CA19-9 level, with special reference to coexistent obstructive jaundice. The present study, first in the literature, analyzes a possible relationship between CA19-9, bilirubin and inflammation, expressed as CRP value, aiming to find a ratio or a better corrective factor to increase predictivity of CA19-9 and reduce the number of misleading false positive results.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All the patients admitted for obstructive jaundice to the Department of Emergency Surgery, Cannizzaro Hospital, University of Catania, Italy, between September 2005 and September 2009, were considered for the present study. The patients were enrolled prospectively, 51 for each group of benign jaundice (BJ) and malignant jaundice (MJ) patients, obtaining a total of 102 patients. At admission, all patients underwent complete standard blood test examinations, including serum bilirubin levels. Patients were considered eligible for the study when they presented obstructive jaundice confirmed by instrumental examinations and increased bilirubin serum levels (total bilirubin > 2.0 mg/dL). Serum levels of CA19-9 and CRP were also measured at the time of admission. The standard cut-off level of CA19-9 was 32 U/mL, whereas for CRP this was 1.5 mg/L. The definitive diagnosis was obtained by instrumental examinations, surgical exploration and pathology. Instrumental examinations included ultrasonography, computed tomography scan, magnetic resonance imaging, endoscopic ultrasonound scan and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. The CA19-9 level was adjusted by dividing it by the value of serum bilirubin firstly, and then by the CRP value. The patients were divided into 2 groups: MJ and BJ. After the adjustment a comparison between the serum levels in the 2 groups of patients was obtained by Wilcoxon two sample test. Differences for categorical variables were assessed using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test when adequate. Spearman correlation between the 3 parameters of CA19-9, bilirubin and CRP in each group of patients was computed. The receiver operating characteristic curve was used to establish the probability that a patient with MJ has a high value of CA19-9. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The present longitudinal study analyzed a total of 102 patients: 53 (52%) men and 49 (48%) women with a median age of 69 years (range 24-96 years). In the group of 51 BJ patients there were 29 (56.9%) males and 22 (43.1%) females with a median age of 66 years (range 24-96 years), whereas in the MJ group there were 24 (47%) males and 27 (53%) females, with a median age of 70 years (range 30-92 years). Causes of BJ included: common bile duct (CBD) stones (66.6%), gallbladder stones with cholangitis (15.7%), biliary pancreatitis (15.7%), papillitis (1.9%). Causes of MJ included: pancreatic cancer (49%), bile duct cancer (19.6%), gallbladder cancer (13.7%), ampulla cancer (9.8%), intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (1.9%) and other malignancy (5.8%); 2 patients with metastatic nodes in porta hepatitis and one patient with peritoneal carcinomatosis from ovarian cancer. Pathologic CA19-9 serum level was found in 82.3% of MJ, but in two patients the CA19-9 was 2.5 U/mL. CA19-9 did not correlate directly with the grade of biliary obstruction either expressed as bilirubin level in BJ and MJ (Figure 1). CRP
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levels were pathologic in 66.6% of the patients with BJ and in 49.0% with MJ. Mean bilirubin and CA19-9 levels were significantly higher in MJ compared to BJ, while the CRP level was significantly higher in BJ (Table 1). Table 2 reports the serum level of bilirubin, CA19-9 and CRP according to the cause of jaundice. There were no relevant differences in bilirubin according to the cause of jaundice. Comparing the CA19-9 value between patients with pancreatic cancer and patients with other causes of MJ and BJ, no difference was reported. CRP was significantly ($P = 0.04$) higher in patients with CBD stones than in pancreatic cancer, and patients with BJ and pancreatitis had the highest value of CRP. In MJ the average level of CRP was significantly higher in gallbladder cancer than in bile duct cancer, but not different from that in pancreatic cancer.

When considering the CA19-9 cut-off level of 32 U/mL, 42 of 51 patients (82.3%) in the malignant group and 28 of 51 (54.9%) in the benign group were positive for CA19-9 (Fisher’s exact test: $P = 0.002$). Figure 2 shows that the area under the curve or probability that a patient diagnosed with MJ has a major value of CA19-9 compared to a patient diagnosed with BJ was 0.71. Increasing the cut-off level to 100 U/mL, the difference between the two groups increases: 35.3% in BJ and 68.6% in MJ (Fisher’s exact test: $P = 0.0007$). Changing the cut-off level alters the sensitivity and specificity as shown in Table 3, but by pushing up the cut-off level in spite of an increase of specificity we have obtained a reduction in the sensitivity of the test.

Adjusting the CA19-9 value by dividing it by serum bilirubin level, 11 of 51 patients (21.6%) in the BJ and 26 of 51 patients (51.0%) in the MJ group remained with a positive CA19-9 value (Fisher’s exact test: $P = 0.003$), increasing the specificity to 78.4% but reducing the sensitivity to 49%.

The second mode of adjustment of the CA19-9 value was performed by dividing it by serum CRP value. Consequently, 16 of 51 patients (31.4%) in the BJ group and 39 of 51 patients (76.5%) in the MJ group remained with a positive CA19-9 value (Fisher’s exact test: $P = 0.000004$). By this adjustment the sensitivity increases to 76.5%, the specificity to 68.6% and the positive predictive value (PPV) to 70.9%.

**DISCUSSION**

The diagnostic role of CA19-9 as a test for the detection of pancreato-biliary malignancy remains poorly defined, because, as in other diagnostic modalities, the utility of
CA19-9 does not correlate directly with the grade of biliary obstruction either in benign or in malignant jaundice (Figure 1), even if we observed that in patients with benign disease levels of CA19-9 decreased after relief of biliary obstruction (data not shown).

Secondly, the concentration of this tumor marker in the serum may be influenced by the patient’s secretor status, because patients who are genotypically negative for the Lewis blood group antigen (a-, b-), approximately 4%-15% of the general population, do not synthesize CA19-9\(^a\). As above in the present series the rate of MJ with a CA19-9 value less than 2 U/mL is 3.9%.

Furthermore, not only hyperbilirubinemia can obscure the clinical value of CA19-9, but inflammation can contribute and have a role. CRP, synthesized in hepatocytes, is one of the acute-phase proteins which are components of the innate immune responses that increase after infections, trauma, burns, tissue infarction, inflammatory process and tumors. In general, increased CRP levels in malignant disease could also be caused by an inflammatory response to tumor invasion\(^a\). Padillo et al\(^b\), analysing CRP in 24 jaundice patients, found CRP levels significantly higher in patients with cancer. Differently from that study, this series showed the CRP serum levels are higher in benign obstructive jaundice than in malignant. Indeed, Table 2 reports that CRP is significantly (\(P = 0.04\)) higher in patients with CBD stones than those with pancreatic cancer; in particular, patients with BJ and pancreatic cancer present values of CRP comparable to BJ, probably due to an intensive inflammatory response to the tumor.

In our series, 54.9% of patients with benign jaundice had positive CA19-9 levels (cut-off 32 U/mL), and 35.5% had CA19-9 value over 100 U/mL; therefore, although the overall increase of the tumor marker in benign jaundice was inferior compared to that observed in malignancies, there was an overlap of values between cancer and non-cancer causes. This resulted in a low

---

### Table 2 Bilirubin, CA19-9 and C-reactive protein levels according to jaundice cause at time of hospital admission (\(\%\))

| Cause                                      | No. of cases | Bilirubin (g/dL), median | CA19-9 (U/mL)          | CRP (U/mL)          |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
|                                            |              | Median                   | \(> 32\)               | \(> 1.5\)           |
| Malignant                                  | 51           | 9.7                      | 405                    | 2                   |
| Pancreatic cancer                          | 25 (49)      | 11.29                    | 461                    | 2                   |
| Bile duct cancer                           | 10 (19.6)    | 9.94                     | 313                    | 2                   |
| Gallbladder cancer                         | 7 (13.7)     | 8.94                     | 3361                   | 2                   |
| Ampullary cancer                           | 5 (9.8)      | 6.49                     | 196                    | 2                   |
| Intrahepatic cholangio carcinoma           | 1 (1.9)      | 16.13                    | 2600                   | 2                   |
| Others                                     | 3 (5.8)      | 7.65                     | 16                     | 2                   |
| Benign                                     | 51           | 5.2                      | 5.2                    | 2                   |
| CBD stones                                 | 34 (66.6)    | 5.63                     | 42                     | 2                   |
| Gallbladder stones with cholangitis        | 8 (15.7)     | 5.26                     | 55                     | 2                   |
| Biliary pancreatitis                       | 8 (15.7)     | 3.85                     | 61                     | 2                   |
| Chronic papillitis                         | 1 (1.9)      | 6.6                      | 35                     | 2                   |

#### Table 3 Adjustments of the value of CA19-9: Differences in sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value (%)

| CA19-9 > 32 U/mL | Sensitivity | Specifity | PPV     |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| CA19-9 > 100 U/mL| 68.6        | 64.7      | 66.0    |
| CRP              | 49.0        | 78.4      | 69.4    |
| CA19-9/CRP       | 76.5        | 68.6      | 70.9    |

PPV: Positive predictive value; BIL: Bilirubin; CRP: C-reactive protein.

---

\(P = 0.04\) vs other cancers. \(^a\)Wilcoxon test compared to pancreatic cancer; \(^b\)Wilcoxon test compared to common bile duct (CBD) stones. CRP: C-reactive protein; NA: Not applicable.
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accuracy of CA19-9 to diagnose pancreatic-biliary malignancies in patients with jaundice, especially since this marker is not able to distinguish pancreatic carcinoma from other malignancy or other benign causes of jaundice, as reported in Table 2, differing from what has been shown in other studies. Even when considering a cut-off level of 100 U/mL, the specificity is still 64.7%. As a result of this diagnostic overlap, the American Society of Clinical Oncology does not currently advocate its use for screening, evaluation of resectability or disease follow-up. For this reason some authors suggested to push up the cut-off level to 300 U/mL in presence of cholangitis and cholestasis to increase CA19-9 specificity, but this was associated with a significant decrease of sensitivity. To achieve a specificity of 100%, cut-off levels greater than 1000 U/mL should be considered. We recorded ten BJ patients with CA19-9 level greater than 300 U/mL and five BJ patients with more than 1000 U/mL, and all of them had serum bilirubin level lower than 8 mg/dL. These data can explain why there is no difference in the mean CA19-9 value between each cause of BJ and pancreatic cancer jaundice (Table 2). Indeed, multiple reports of patients with extremely high CA19-9 values in patients with BJ can be found in the literature. Several studies have shown that the association of elevated levels of CA19-9 with the diagnosis of cancer is significantly obscured in the face of obstructive jaundice, and because the bilirubin level correlates with CA19-9, they suggest that this value should be adjusted for hyperbilirubinemia. In our study CA19-9 does not correlate directly with the grade of biliary obstruction, either in BJ or in MJ. Hence, based on the knowledge that in benign jaundice high levels of CA19-9 are an expression of obstruction and inflammation and CRP levels are higher in this group of patients, the most appropriate adjusting factor could be the CRP and not the bilirubin value. Indeed, 54.9% of patients with BJ had a CA19-9 level greater than 32 U/mL, and using a CA19-9 cut-off of 100 U/mL, the specificity of the test to detect malignancy is increased, with little decrease in the sensitivity. But the majority of benign jaundice patients have a CA19-9 value < 100 U/mL, so by adjusting this value with the CRP it is possible to increase the reliability of the test. As shown in Table 3 using the bilirubin as adjusting factor, even if the specificity reaches 78.4%, the sensitivity falls down to 49%; instead the CRP value better reflects the inflammatory status, obtaining 76.5% sensitivity, 68.6% specificity and 70.9% PPV. Certainly, the CA19-9 value has to be considered as an adjunctive value to the patient’s history in the diagnosis of patients with obstructive jaundice, and its value, even after adjustment, should be helpful in planning the type and priority of further investigations with regard to the liver, bile ducts and pancreas.

In conclusion, the present study proposes adjusting to the CA19-9/CRP ratio as a new diagnostic tool in patients with cholestatic jaundice, which has not been reported in similar studies in the literature. This simple ratio can significantly increase the specificity and the positive predictive value of CA19-9 in the differential diagnosis between malignant and benign jaundice. Other complementary studies of these markers are essential for diagnosis of malignant tumors when jaundice is present.

COMMENTS

Background
CA19-9 is a tumor marker which is increased in both benign and malignant hepatobiliary diseases. Previous studies have shown that CA19-9 has high sensitivity and specificity in pancreatic cancer. However, this tumor marker has been found to be elevated in benign biliary diseases as well. Hence the accuracy of CA19-9 is unreliable. The authors have tried to analyze the relationship between CA19-9, bilirubin and CRP levels to predict the accuracy of CA19-9 in malignant obstructive jaundice.

Research frontiers
After experiencing patients presenting with jaundice of benign etiology and having grossly elevated CA19-9 levels, the authors aimed to clarify the clinical interpretation and diagnostic value of an elevated serum CA19-9 level, with special reference to coexistent obstructive jaundice. CA19-9 is demonstrated to be influenced by bilirubin level, but other factors such as inflammation could influence and alter the values of this tumor marker. The research hotspot is how the inflammation influences the level of CA19-9.

Innovations and breakthroughs
In the past, the accuracy of CA19-9 level was improved by dividing it by bilirubin level, but the high level of CA19-9 in serum during obstructive jaundice reflects also an inflammatory hypersecretion. The inflammation can be assessed by monitoring the acute-phase proteins: one of these is the C-reactive protein (CRP). In this regard, in the present study, the CA19-9 level was adjusted by dividing it by the value of serum bilirubin and by the CRP value to look for a ratio or a better corrective factor to increase predictivity of CA19-9 and reduce the amount of misleading false positives. In fact, this adjustment increases the sensitivity to 76.5%, the specificity to 68.6% and the positive predictive value to 70.9%.

Applications
The study results suggest that considering inflammation as a reliable factor which increases the CA19-9 level in benign disease, abnormal tumor marker values in these patients can be corrected using the CRP in order to reduce the false positive results. In this way, it is possible to concentrate efforts in planning the type and priority of further investigations in the liver, bile ducts and pancreas in patients affected by malignancy.

Terminology
CA19-9: Tumor marker that increases in pancreatic and biliary malignancy, as well as in other cancer types. CA19-9 is a tumor marker which is increased in both benign and malignant hepatobiliary diseases. CA19-9 is a tumor marker which is increased in both benign and malignant hepatobiliary diseases.
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