Real-time reverse transcription PCR-based sequencing-independent pathotyping of Eurasian avian influenza A viruses of subtype H7
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Abstract

Low pathogenic avian influenza viruses (LPAIV) of the subtypes H5 and H7 are known to give rise to highly pathogenic (HP) phenotypes by spontaneous insertional mutations which convert a monobasic trypsin-sensitive endoproteolytical cleavage site (CS) within the hemagglutinin (HA) protein into a polybasic subtilisin-sensitive one. Sporadic outbreaks of notifiable LPAIV H7 infections are continuously recorded in Europe and in Asia, and some lineages showed zoonotic transmission. De novo generation of HPAIV H7 from LPAIV precursors has been reported several times over the past decade. Rapid differentiation between LP and HP H7 virus strains is required as a prerequisite to emplace appropriate control measures. Here, reverse transcription real-time PCR assays (RT-qPCR) were developed and evaluated that allow LP and HP pathotype identification and distinction by probe-assisted detection of the HACS. These new RT-qPCRs allow a sensitive and highly specific pathotype identification of Eurasian subtype H7 AIV in allantoic fluids as well as in diagnostic field samples. RT-qPCR assisted pathotyping presents a rapid and sensitive alternative to pathotyping by animal inoculation or nucleotide sequencing.
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Background

Avian influenza viruses (AIV) are members of the family Orthomyxoviridae, specified as influenza virus type-A. These viruses are further classified by the serologically defined subtypes of the predominant viral surface glycoproteins, the hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) [1]. Their genome is composed of single-stranded, negative-sense RNA and comprises eight genome segments which encode at least ten proteins [2]. All 16 HA and nine NA AIV subtypes can be detected in populations of aquatic wild birds which form the natural reservoir of these viruses [3].

Based on their pathogenicity in chickens, two phenotypes of AIV are distinguished: highly pathogenic (HP) AIV and AIV of low pathogenicity (LPAIV). In nature, HP phenotypes have been restricted to viruses of subtypes H5 and H7. HPAIV arises from LPAI precursor viruses by spontaneous mutations leading to the insertion of basic amino acids into the cleavage site (CS) of the hemagglutinin protein (HA) which renders the HACS processible to subtilisin-like host proteases that are ubiquitous in all host tissues. Such viruses, therefore, gain competence for fatal systemic infections in avian hosts. LPAIV, in contrast, depends on local provision of trypsin-like proteases at the epithelial surfaces of the respiratory and/or gastrointestinal tracts and per se do not cause severe clinical signs [4]. All LPAIV and HPAIV infections of subtypes H5 and H7 in poultry are notifiable to the World Organization for Animal Health (O.I.E.). [5] Determination of the type of HACS is of utmost importance for the diagnosis of these infections. This can be achieved biologically by determination of the intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI) in experimentally inoculated chickens or molecularly by nucleotide sequence analysis of the site encoding the HACS [6]. Since animal
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experiment facilities or expensive equipment are required for either pathway, solutions for alternative techniques have been sought in the past: These included restriction enzyme cleavage patterns [7], probe hybridization [8] and real time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) approaches [9]. Based on the widespread availability of RT-qPCR technology in diagnostic laboratories and its recent favorable use in pathotyping of HPAIV H5 of the goose/Guangdong (gs/GD) lineage [10], this study was conducted to develop and validate sequencing-independent RT-qPCRs for pathotyping of Eurasian H7 AI viruses.

Over the past two decades, several incursions into poultry of subtype H7 LPAIV as well as the de novo generation and (in one case) spread of H7 HPAI viruses have been reported from Europe (Table 1). Other H7 LPAIV lineages have arisen in Eastern Asia, and one of them (H7N9/China) showed significant zoonotic propensities in annual waves of poultry-to-human transmission with more than 550 fatal human cases [11, 12]. Recently, the H7N9 lineages has also yielded an HP mutant which is spreading in southern China [13]. Considering the annual presence of LPAIV of subtype H7 in Eurasian wild bird populations [14] risks of new incursions into poultry in Europe are perpetuating.

### Methods

Based on the alignments of the HA H7 gene of a comprehensive selection of sequences from LP (n = 60) and HPAIV (n = 21) of Eurasian origin collected over the last decade in sequence databases (GenBank at NCBI; EpiFlu of the Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID)), a set of six primers was designed (Table 2). The selected primers targeted a short fragment of the HA gene that spans the endoproteolytic CS region [15–17]. The primers were designed for the broadest possible reactivity with recent Eurasian H7 sequences.

For validation of the assays, viral RNA from reference H7 LPAIV and HPAIV was used. Moreover, non-H7 influenza subtypes H5 and H9 as well as other avian respiratory viruses (infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), Newcastle disease virus (NDV)) were tested (Table 3). Viral RNA was purified with the QIAamp®Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers were first evaluated in conventional RT-PCRs. The PCR reactions were carried out on a CFX96 thermocycler machine (Bio-Rad) using the following temperature profile: 30 min at 50 °C (RT), 2 min at 94 °C (inactivation of reverse

### Table 1

| Year     | Country | Subtype | Pathotype | Number of infected holdings |
|----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 1999–2000 | Italy  | H7N1    | HP        | 1                           |
| 2003     | Netherland | H7N7   | HP        | 255                          |
| 2008     | United Kingdom | H7N7   | HP        | 1                           |
| 2009     | Germany | H7N7    | LP        | 1                           |
| 2009/2010 | Spain  | H7N7    | LP/HP     | 1/1*                        |
| 2011     | Germany | H7N7    | LP        | 23                          |
| 2013     | Denmark | H7N7    | LP        | 1                           |
| 2013     | Italy   | H7N7    | LP        | 6                           |
| 2015     | United Kingdom | H7N7   | LP/HP     | 1/1                         |
| 2015     | Germany | H7N7    | LP/HP     | 1/1                         |
| 2015     | Netherland | H7N7   | LP        | 2                           |
| 2016     | Denmark | H7N7    | LP        | 1                           |
| 2016     | Italy   | H7N7    | HP        | 2                           |

*Slash indicates that a matching pair of LP precursor and HP mutant viruses had been detected.

### Table 2

| Primer/Probe ID | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Location | Amplicon size | Accession number |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|
| H7_CS-F1        | TGMTGCTRGCAACAGGAAT | 989–1007 | 107b          | KX979524         |
| H7_CS-F2 N      | TGCTACTRGCAACAGGGAT | 989–1007 |               |                 |
| H7_CS-F3        | TGMTGCTGCAACWGGRAT  | 968–986  |               |                 |
| H7_CS-R1N       | CGTCAATKACGACCTTCCA | 1096–1078|               |                 |
| H7_CS-R2N       | TCCATTCTCWAATRAACYGC | 1056–1036|               |                 |
| H7_CS-R3        | CATCAAYCAGACCYTCCCA | 1056–1076|               |                 |
| H7_CS-LP-FAM    | C + C + AAAG + GGA + A + GAG + GC | 1026–1040| 120c          | KY676327.1       |
| H7_CS-HP_EMS-FAM| CCAAAAGAAGAAAGAAGAGGCCC | 1027–1046|               | AB438941         |
| H7_CS-HP_IT-FAM | TTCAAAAAGATCGCTTGAGGGA | 1004–1027|               | KF493066         |

*Accession number of sequence/virus used to position the oligonucleotide along the HA gene

bSize applied to LP sequences

cSize applied to HP sequences

+ indicates that the following position constituted a “locked” nucleotide (LNA)
transcriptase/activation of Taq polymerase), followed by 42 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C (denaturation), 30 s at 56 °C (annealing), and 30 s at 68 °C (elongation). Twenty-five μL per reaction were prepared using the SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR system with Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA): For one reaction, 6.5 μL of RNase-free water, 12.5 μL reaction mix (2×), 1 μL of SuperScript III RT/Platinum Taq, and 5 μL of template RNA were mixed. Pre selected primers were then screened for their specificity using non H7-subtypes. Amplificates of the expected sizes were generated from both LP and HP phenotypes of subtype H7 viruses by conventional RT-PCR and visualized on an 2% agarose gel (Fig. 1).

Having assured the broad but exclusive specificity of the selected primers for Eurasian H7 viruses, matching probes for use in the RT-qPCR assays were developed. Initially, probes were designed with the aim to universally differentiate between LP and HP Eurasian H7 CS sequences. Probes were therefore placed directly across the sequence stretch encoding the CS. Closer inspection of the alignments, and taking into account also the list of HP H7 CS sequences provided by OFFLU [6], revealed that HP H7 CS sequences of Eurasian origin viruses were highly divergent: Viruses of separate outbreaks and epizootics represented unique CS sequences with little homology to viruses of other outbreaks. Within an outbreak series, however, HP H7 CS sequences proved to be conserved. This situation is opposed to HPAI H5 viruses of the gs/GD lineage which show considerable conservation even across different clades and allowed designing of a universal conserved probe for the HP phenotype of these viruses [10]. In contrast to HP H7, the HA CS of LP H7 viruses of Eurasian origin appeared to be fairly conserved [6]. Therefore, two strategies were followed to prove that sequencing-independent pathotyping by RT-qPCRs is principally possible also for Eurasian H7 viruses:

1. For HP H7, probes were designed that are specific for viruses of distinct outbreaks. Two distinct HP H7 outbreaks were selected: Isolates from a historic epizootic (Italy 1999, H7N1) and from the most recent HP H7 outbreak in Germany (referred to as ‘Emsland’; a region in the Northwest of Germany where a very high density of poultry population is reared) affecting a single holding in 2015 (H7N7) were chosen and specific Taqman probes matching the HA CS consensus sequences of each of these outbreaks designed (Table 2).

2. For Eurasian LP H7 a universal probe was developed and several universal Taqman probes were synthesized for comparison.
The same PCR conditions as described above for conventional RT-PCR were used for RT-qPCR, however, 2 \( \mu \)L of the RNAse-free water were replaced by 2 \( \mu \)l specific primer-probe mix. The HP mixes were composed of 1,25 pmol probe/\( \mu \)l and 3,75 pmol/\( \mu \)l for each forward and reverse primer.

**Results**

Specificity was initially confirmed only for the two HP probes which specifically reacted with their homologous sequences but did not cross react with LP H7 or other HP H7 viruses (Table 3). The standard Taqman LP probes, however, did not sharply distinguish between pathotypes and cross reacted with various HP H7 viruses (not shown). Closer inspection of the alignments revealed that a single G/A mutation in the HA CS distinguished between LP and HP pathotypes (Fig. 2).

Consequently, an LNA probe was designed placing the critical nucleotide position at the centre of the respective probe. Using this probe at a concentration of 2,5 pmol in the reaction mix finally allowed clear-cut distinction between LP and HP pathotypes by RT-qPCR (Table 3).

The detection limit of the H7 pathotyping RT-qPCRs was determined by testing ten-fold serial dilutions of viral RNAs extracted from representative H7 LPAI and HPAI viruses. Average values of three independent runs were used for comparisons to a generic RT-qPCR for the M gene of these viruses [18]. A standard curve of each assay was generated showing a linear relationship between the log dilution of the viral RNA and the cycle quantification (Cq) value for both the specific and the generic assays (Fig. 3a-c). Considering the universal LP as well as the ‘Emsland’-specific HP probe, no significant difference between the median Cq values of each specific assay and the M RT-qPCR was found indicating that the newly developed and the generic RT-qPCRs have a similar analytical sensitivity. In contrast, the RT-qPCR detecting the historic Italian H7 HP lineage showed slightly higher sensitivity than the generic M RT-qPCR.

Furthermore, we determined the ability of the H7 pathotyping RTqPCRs to detect mixtures of RNAs of LPAIV and HPAIV derived from the Emsland outbreak in Germany, 2015, and compared it to the M gene-specific generic RT-qPCR (Fig. 3d). Different concentrations of LP/HPAIV-mixtures (0, 0.1%, 1%, 10%, 50% and 100% LP) were generated, and HP H7 RNA was added to 100%. Both RNA species were detected by the specific RT-qPCRs in the mixtures, and the respective Cq values reflected the concentration of the RNA species in the mixtures (Fig. 3d). H7 LP RNA was not detected in the sample containing 100% H7 HP RNA, and vice versa, once more confirming the specificity of the pathotyping RT-qPCRs (Fig. 3d). Thus, these PCRs can be used to study the generation and co-circulation of H7 HPAIV from its LPAI precursor viruses.

Assessment of the diagnostic performance characteristics of the established RT-qPCRs was carried out with a collection of H7 AIV isolates \( (n = 48) \) and H7-positive field samples \( (n = 27) \) collected between 1999 and 2016. Samples were obtained from the virus repository of the German National Reference Laboratory for Avian Influenza at the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Germany, or kindly provided by the OIE Reference Laboratory for Newcastle Disease and Avian Influenza in Italy, ISZVe, Padua, the Central Veterinary Research laboratory at Dubai, United Arab Emirates, the National Centre for Foreign Animal Disease, Winnipeg, Canada and the WHO Collaborating Centre, London, United Kingdom, under the patronage of the global influenza programme (Table 4). Amplificates produced from these viral RNAs by H7-specific RT-qPCR analysis were
Fig. 3 (See legend on next page.)
also further processed for sequence analysis using the H7-specific reverse primer mix (Table 2) for Sanger sequencing: Following agarose gel electrophoresis and ampiclon purification using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) they were cycle-sequenced (BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit, Applied Biosystems, California, United States) and analysed on an ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) [10]. Partial HA sequences of the diagnostic samples are shown in the sequence alignment of Additional file 1: Figure S1; in all cases subtype H7 was confirmed. Pathotypes were assigned as based on the deduced amino acid sequence of the HACS according to the list of published H7 CS sequences (Additional file 1: Figure S1 and Table 4).

In total, 75 samples positive for AI of subtype H7 were used. Based on nucleotide sequence analysis and/or IVPI, 49 samples were classified as LPAIV and 15 as HPAIV (Table 4, Additional file 1: Figure S1). They were of both historic and recent origin and mainly derived from European locations. Four samples originated from North America, nine from the United Arab Emirates/Dubai and one represented the Chinese LP H7N9 lineage. The samples mainly consisted of egg-derived isolates or native combined oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs obtained from poultry or wild birds. Seven samples were taken from the environment during a recent HPAIV outbreak in a chicken layer holding in Germany (referred to as ‘Emsland’). For the H7 LP RT-qPCR, 48 out of 56 samples were correctly identified as LP (Table 4, Fig. 4), also including the Chinese LP H7N9 reference virus. Three historic LP isolates (Table 4, nos. 1–3) and the two North American LP H7 viruses (Table 4, nos. 71–72) were not detected despite high viral loads. Sequence mismatches affected binding of either probe and/or primers in these cases. In three further samples (Table 4, nos. 26, 37, 38) low virus loads were detected by the generic M RT-qPCR and these were missed by the H7 LP specific RT-qPCR. However, in most samples, the H7 LP specific RT-qPCR proved to be more sensitive as compared to the generic M specific one (Table 4, Fig. 4). Since none of the HP H7 positive samples cross reacted in the H7 LP RT-qPCR, complete specificity was achieved.

A total of 19 samples harbored HP H7 RNA. None of them was detected by the LP specific RT-qPCR (Table 4, Fig. 4). Two isolates originating from the Italian HP H7N1 epizootic of 1999 were detected by the H7 HP ‘Italy’-specific RT-qPCR (Table 4, nos. 57–58); no further viruses were identified by this PCR. This includes another HPAIV H7N1 isolate from Italy originating from 2002 and distinguished from the 1999 viruses by 13 mutations in the primer and probe binding sites (Table 4, no. 56). Thus, the ‘Italy 99’ RT-qPCR proved to be highly lineage-specific. The second H7 HP RT-qPCR aimed at detecting HP AIV related to the most recent outbreak in Germany in 2015. All nine samples classified to harbor HP H7 were identified by this PCR with a high sensitivity (Table 4, nos. 43–51). At similarly high sensitivity four historic European HP H7 viruses (Table 4, nos. 39, 40, 42, 69), but none of the Italian HP viruses, or an isolate (Table 4, no. 41) representing the large HP H7N7 epizootic affecting the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany in 2003, reacted with either of the two HP specific RT-qPCRs. No cross reactivity to any of the LP H7 samples was detected indicating excellent performance values regarding sensitivity and specificity. Due to our results, the threshold distinguishing reliably between positive and negative samples was set at Cq = 38.

Discussion

Although not all of the LP and HP H7 samples did show a positive signal with the respective RT-qPCR due to mismatches in the probe binding regions, the newly developed set of primers produced a sequenceable amplicon even of those virus strains. Consequently, pathotype confirmation of a H7 positive sample that tested negative by the LP and HP RT-qPCRs is still possible by nucleotide sequence analysis using the amplicon produced by these RT-qPCRs. In this respect, the newly developed RT-qPCRs resemble the one introduced by Slomka et al. [19] which also spanned the H7 HACS but its probe targeted a highly conserved sequence stretch outside the CS.

(See figure on previous page.)

**Fig. 3** Determination of the limit of detection of three newly developed RT-qPCRs for sequencing-independent pathotyping of Eurasian avian influenza H7 viruses (blue dots/lines) compared to a matrix gene-specific generic RT-qPCR (Hoffmann et al., 2010; black diamonds/lines). The detection limit was determined based on serial ten-fold dilutions using RNA of the reference viruses (a) A/chicken/Germany/AR1385/2015 (HPAIV H7N7), (b) A/mute swan/Germany/R901/2006 (LPAIV H7N7) and (c) A/broiler/Italy/445/1999 (HPAIV H7N1). d Detection of artificial mixtures of H7 LP and HPAIV RNA of the 'Emsland' outbreak compared to a matrix gene-specific generic RT-qPCR (black diamonds); RNA of the reference viruses A/chicken/Germany/AR915/2015 (LPAIV H7N7) and A/chicken/Germany/AR1385/2015 (HPAIV H7N7) were mixed and the percentage ratios indicated on the X-axis. Identification of Cq values (results of triplicate analyses) obtained for each mixture sample by H7 specific RT-qPCRs is as follows: blue circles – LPAI H7; green triangles – HPAI H7 ‘Emsland’.
Table 4 Diagnostic performance characteristics of the H7 pathotyping RT-qPCRs using HP and LP influenza A subtype H7 virus isolates and field samples collected from different countries and poultry holdings or wild bird species, 1999–2016

| No. | Sample ID | Type of sample | Accession Number | Subtype/pathotype | PCR results (cq value) |
|-----|------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
|     |            |                |                  |                   | M1.2 | LP H7 | HP H7 Italy | HP H7 Ems |
| 1   | A/duck/Potsdam/15/1980 | I | AJ704797 | H7N7 LP | 17,03 | NEG | NEG | NEG |
| 2   | A/duck/Potsdam/13/1980 | I | SA | H7N7 LP | 17,55 | NEG | NEG | NEG |
| 3   | A/swan/Potsdam/64/1981 | I | AM922155 | H7N7 LP | 20,07 | NEG | NEG | NEG |
| 4   | A/turkey/Germany/R11/2001 | I | AJ704812 | H7N7 LP | 18,89 | 12,74 | NEG | NEG |
| 5   | A/mallard/NVP/1776–80/2003 | I | NAV | H7N3 LP | 25,3 | 16,41 | NEG | NEG |
| 6   | A/mallard/NVP/41/2004 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | 15,44 | 12,49 | NEG | NEG |
| 7   | A/mallard/Föhr/Wv190/2005 | I | NAV | H7N7 LP | 27,35 | 24,10 | NEG | NEG |
| 8   | A/teal/Föhr/Wv180/2005 | I | NAV | H7N2 LP | 14,28 | 10,76 | NEG | NEG |
| 9   | A/teal/Föhr/Wv177/2005 | I | AM933237 | H7N7 LP | 24,41 | 21,76 | NEG | NEG |
| 10  | A/mallard/Germany/R721/2006 | I | SA | H7N7 LP | 31,38 | 27,31 | NEG | NEG |
| 11  | A/graylag goose/Germany/R752/2006 | I | AM933236 | H7N7 LP | 26,15 | 17,27 | NEG | NEG |
| 12  | A/mallard/Germany/R756/2006 | I | SA | H7N4 LP | 24,81 | 24,13 | NEG | NEG |
| 13  | A/mute swan/Germany/R57/2006 | I | EPI492518 | H7N7 LP | 27,73 | 24,20 | NEG | NEG |
| 14  | A/mute swan/Germany/R901/2006 | I | EPI359695 | H7N1 LP | 23,14 | 20,08 | NEG | NEG |
| 15  | A/swan/Germany/736/2006 | I | EPI492517 | H7N4 LP | 15,39 | 14,07 | NEG | NEG |
| 16  | A/common pochard/Germany/R916/2006 | I | SA | H7N7 LP | 19,03 | 20,32 | NEG | NEG |
| 17  | A/duck/Germany/R3129/2007 | I | SA | H7N7 LP | 15,34 | 11,59 | NEG | NEG |
| 18  | A/sentinel-duck/Germany/SK207R/2007 | I | NAV | H7N3 LP | 27,64 | 22,09 | NEG | NEG |
| 19  | A/mallard/Sko212-219 K/2007 | I | SA | H7N3 LP | 25,97 | 21,04 | NEG | NEG |
| 20  | A/guineafowl/Germany/R0495/2007 | I | AM930528 | H7N3 LP | 29,58 | 27,14 | NEG | NEG |
| 21  | A/mallard/Germany/R192/2009 | I | SA | H7N7 LP | 14,65 | 13,28 | NEG | NEG |
| 22  | A/turkey/Germany/R655/2009 | F | EPI302173 | H7N7 LP | 13,34 | 11,76 | NEG | NEG |
| 23  | A/nandu/Germany/AR142/2013 | F | SA | H7N7 LP | 28,35 | 28,90 | NEG | NEG |
| 24  | A/turkey/Germany/AR502/2013 | F | SA | H7N7 LP | 18,67 | 19,12 | NEG | NEG |
| 25  | A/turkey/Germany/AR618/2013 | F | NAV | H7N8 LP | 16,11 | 16,20 | NEG | NEG |
| 26  | A/chicken/Germany/AR909/2013 | F | SA | H7N8 LP | 35,59 | 35,60 | NEG | NEG |
| 27  | A/turkey/Germany/AR979/2013 | F | NAV | H7N7 LP | 25,59 | 21,79 | NEG | NEG |
| 28  | A/environment/Germany/AR1251/2013 | F | NAV | H7N7 LP | 21,31 | 14,93 | NEG | NEG |
| 29  | A/chicken/Germany/AR929/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 30,39 | 30,02 | NEG | NEG |
| 30  | A/chicken/Germany/AR930/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 30,39 | 35,77 | NEG | NEG |
| 31  | A/chicken/Germany/AR934/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 30,07 | 32,88 | NEG | NEG |
| 32  | A/chicken/Germany/AR943/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 30,07 | 32,70 | NEG | NEG |
| 33  | A/chicken/Germany/AR944/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 30,07 | 31,03 | NEG | NEG |
| 34  | A/chicken/Germany/AR945/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 29,9 | 33,18 | NEG | NEG |
| 35  | A/chicken/Germany/AR946/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 LP | 29,9 | 33,32 | NEG | NEG |
| 36  | A/duck/Germany/AR234/1/2016 | F | SA | H7N7 LP | 35,42 | 35,43 | NEG | NEG |
| 37  | A/duck/Germany/AR2112/2016 | F | NAV | H7N7 LP | 36,17 | 36,17 | NEG | NEG |
| 38  | A/duck/Germany/AR2868/2016 | F | NAV | H7N7 LP | 35,3 | 35,3 | NEG | NEG |
| 39  | A/FPV/Rostock/45/1934 | I | CY077420 | H7N1 HP | 17,25 | 17,25 | NEG | 13,94 |
| 40  | A/chicken/Germany/"Taucha"/1979 | I | SA | H7N7 HP | 14,25 | 14,25 | NEG | 10,63 |
| 41  | A/chicken/Germany/R28/2003 | I | AJ704813 | H7N7 HP | 14,77 | 14,77 | NEG | NEG |
| 42  | A/FPV/dutch/1927 | I | NAV | H7N1 HP | 16,52 | 16,52 | NEG | 32,14 |
Conclusion

The pathotype-specific RT-qPCRs developed here for avian influenza viruses of subtype H7 proved to be a useful, sensitive and highly specific alternative to nucleotide sequence analysis for the characterization of LPAI and HPAI H7 viruses of European origin. Proper detection of H7 HP viruses required knowledge of the HACS of the specific lineage, and specific probes are to be used for each distinct lineage. Thus, initial characterization of an H7 HP virus still depends on nucleotide sequence analysis of its HACS. However, in case of on-going spread of the identified HP H7 lineage a lineage-specific probe can then be used in a pathotyping RT-qPCR for the swift examination and pathotyping of further cases and outbreaks. Furthermore, the LP LNA probe introduced here was universally usable for Eurasian LP H7

Table 4: Diagnostic performance characteristics of the H7 pathotyping RT-qPCRs using HP and LP influenza A subtype H7 virus isolates and field samples collected from different countries and poultry holdings or wild bird species, 1999–2016 (Continued)

| Isolate | Species | Country/Area | Date | GenBank Accession | Lineage | Pathotype | Nucleotide | Sensitivity | Specificity | amplification | RT-qPCR | Sensitivity | Specificity | amplification |
|---------|---------|--------------|------|------------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| A/chicken/Germany/AR1385/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 18,76 | NEG | 19,01 |
| A/chicken/Germany/AR1413/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,9 | NEG | 35,48 |
| A/chicken/Germany/AR1488/1/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,31 | NEG | 22,72 |
| A/environment/Germany/AR1536/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,38 | NEG | 21,18 |
| A/environment/Germany/AR1537/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,38 | NEG | 25,7 |
| A/environment/Germany/AR1539/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,38 | NEG | 22,19 |
| A/environment/Germany/AR1540/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,38 | NEG | 24,69 |
| A/environment/Germany/AR1541/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 29,38 | NEG | 26,35 |
| A/environment/Germany/AR1546/2015 | F, EL | SA | H7N7 HP | F | 30,12 | NEG | 25,19 |
| A/turkey/Italy/472/1999 | I | AJ704811 | H7N1 LP | I | 15,24 | 9,80 | NEG | NEG |
| A/chicken/Italy/473/1999 | I | EPI624438 | H7N2 LP | I | 13,73 | 10,71 | NEG | NEG |
| A/turkey/Italy/2043/2003 | I | CY022613, CY022615 | H7N3 LP | I | 24,34 | 21,45 | NEG | NEG |
| A/duck/Italy/636/2003 | I | NAV | H7N3 LP | I | 22,05 | 20,49 | NEG | NEG |
| A/chicken/Brescia/19/2002 | I | AM922154 | H7N1 HP | I | 16,59 | NEG | NEG |
| A/hen/Italy/444/1999 | I | AJ704810 | H7N1 HP | I | 16,22 | 18,02 | NEG | NEG |
| A/broiler/Italy/445/1999 | I | AJ580353 | H7N1 HP | I | 17,02 | 16,35 | NEG | NEG |
| A/turkey/Ireland/PV8/1995 | I | AJ704799 | H7N7 LP | I | 16,19 | 13,07 | NEG | NEG |
| A/houbara/Dubai/AR433/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 16,81 | 13,51 | NEG | NEG |
| A/houbara/Dubai/AR434/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 14,67 | 11,27 | NEG | NEG |
| A/houbara/Dubai/AR435/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 15,47 | 12,66 | NEG | NEG |
| A/houbara/Dubai/AR436/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 12,23 | 9,23 | NEG | NEG |
| A/houbara/Dubai/AR437/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 16,1 | 13,35 | NEG | NEG |
| A/houbara/Dubai/AR438/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 13,71 | 10,08 | NEG | NEG |
| A/peregrine falcon/Dubai/AR439/2014 | I | SA | H7N1 LP | I | 13,79 | 26,82 | NEG | NEG |
| A/francolin/Dubai/AR440/2014 | I | SA | H7N2 LP | I | 15,85 | 17,84 | NEG | NEG |
| A/wild bird/Dubai/AR3452/2014 | F | SA | H7N1 LP | F | 16,18 | 14,57 | NEG | NEG |
| A/alexandria tyrode/T145/1948 | I | SA | H7N1 HP | I | 14,48 | NEG | 10 |
| A/duck/Alberta/48/1976 | I | SA | H7N3 LP | I | 15,8 | 14,08 | NEG | NEG |
| A/turkey/Ontario/18–1/2000 | I | AF497552 | H7N1 LP | I | 28,61 | NEG | NEG |
| A/mallard/Alberta/8734/2007 | I | AM933238 | H7N3 LP | I | 18,63 | NEG | NEG |
| A/chicken/BritishColumbia/NC-06/2004 | I | KP055066 | H7N3 HP | I | 16,42 | NEG | NEG |
| A/chicken/BritishColumbia/NC-07/2004 | I | KP055076 | H7N3 HP | I | 24,71 | NEG | NEG |
| A/Anhui/1/2013 | I | AHZ60096 | H7N9 LP | I | 11,79 | 9,94 | NEG | NEG |

*Sequences were obtained from the EpiFlu database of the Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID) and from GenBank at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).
LP: low pathogenicity, HP: high pathogenicity, SA: sequence shown in either Additional file 1: Figure S1 or Additional file 2: Figure S2, otherwise accession numbers are indicated, NAV: sequence not available, neg: no positive signal detected, I: isolate, F: field sample, F, EL: field sample from recent outbreak in Germany.
viruses circulating in Europe over the past decade. In conclusion, these here described RT-qPCRs complement a sequencing-independent approach, and allow a high-speed pathotyping helping the authorities to install necessary control measures in time.
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