POSTOPERATIVE PAIN MANAGEMENT IN TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY
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Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is one of the most common surgeries performed to relieve joint pain in patients with end-stage osteoarthritis or rheumatic arthritis of the knee. However, TKA is followed by moderate to severe postoperative pain that affects postoperative rehabilitation, patient satisfaction, and overall outcomes. Historically, opioids have been widely used for perioperative pain management of TKA. However, opioids are associated with undesirable adverse effects, such as nausea, respiratory depression, and retention of urine, which limit their application in daily clinical practice. The aim of this review was to discuss the current postoperative pain management regimens for TKA.

Our review of the literature demonstrated that multimodal analgesia is considered the optimal regimen for perioperative pain management of TKA and improves clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction, through a combination of several types of medications and delivery routes, including preemptive analgesia, neuraxial anesthesia, peripheral nerve blockade, patient-controlled analgesia and local infiltration analgesia, and oral opioid/nonopioid medications. Multimodal analgesia provides superior pain relief, promotes recovery of the knee, and reduces opioid consumption and related adverse effects in patients undergoing TKA.
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Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is commonly performed in patients with end-stage osteoarthritis or rheumatic arthritis of the knee to relieve joint pain, increase mobility, and improve quality of life. However, TKA is followed by moderate to severe postoperative pain1. In patients received TKA, 60% experience severe postoperative knee pain and 30% experience moderate pain2. Some patients even put off this operation because of the fear of this acute postoperative pain3. Furthermore, postoperative pain in TKA inhibits early ambulation and range of motion, risking thromboembolism, and affects rehabilitation, patient satisfaction, and overall outcomes.

In 1996, the American Pain Society declared that pain was “the fifth vital sign”4. In an attempt to relieve severe postoperative pain, several routine approaches have been proposed, such as use of preemptive analgesia, opioids, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, epidural anesthesia, peripheral nerve blockade, local infiltration analgesia, patient-controlled analgesia, and multimodal analgesia. Adequate postoperative analgesia could not only reduce pain, opioid consumption, and, consequently, opioid-related adverse events, but also reduce length of hospital stay and costs, and improve rehabilitation and patient satisfaction4. Therefore, it is necessary for surgeons to fully understand current anesthetic and analgesic regimens for TKA to improve patient outcomes (Fig. 1).

Materials and Methods

A review of the available literature was performed on 8 January 2019 through searching PubMed, Cochrane Library and EMBASE databases. The keyword terms “pain management,” “pain control,” and “total knee arthroplasty” were used for searching the literature. The titles, abstracts, and full texts of published studies were screened. As a result, 67 studies were included in this review. This entire process is depicted in Fig. 2.
With exploration of the mechanisms underlying postoperative pain in TKA, it has been verified that both peripheral and central mechanisms are involved. Therefore, monotherapy alone is not enough to provide satisfactory postoperative pain relief after TKA. At present, multimodal analgesia
analgesia is considered as the optimal method for perioperative pain management of TKA through targeting numerous pain pathways. Multimodal analgesia was first introduced by Wall in 1988, referring to a combination of several types of medications and delivery routes, including preemptive analgesia, neuraxial anesthesia, peripheral nerve blockade (PNB), patient-controlled analgesia (PCA), local infiltration analgesia (LIA), and oral opioid and nonopioid medication. Multimodal analgesia includes preemptive, intraoperative, and postoperative analgesic regimens, aiming to maximize the analgesic efficacy through the combination of several analgesic regimens, while minimizing undesired adverse effects. Adequate preemptive analgesia could prevent pain nociceptors from entering a state of hyperalgesia, and make acute postoperative pain easier to control, ultimately reducing opioid consumption. Intraoperatively, LIA is performed by a surgeon near the conclusion of a procedure that directly prevents the generation and conduction of pain signals from incision. Several medicines are available for LIA during the surgery, which will be discussed in detail later. Postoperatively, multimodal analgesia includes pharmacologic agents, neuraxial anesthesia, PNB, and PCA, each of which will be described below. Compared with a monotherapy, multimodal analgesia provides superior postoperative pain relief to promote recovery of the knee, and reduce opioid consumption and related side effects. Consequently, multimodal analgesia is used widely for perioperative pain control in patients undergoing TKA.

**Preoperative Analgesic Regimens**

**Preemptive Analgesia**

Preemptive analgesia is defined as an antinociceptive intervention that starts before a surgical procedure. It is more effective than the same intervention when started after surgery. Preemptive analgesia is intended to prevent peripheral and central hypersensitivity, decrease the incidence of hyperalgesia, and reduce the intensity of postoperative pain. Preemptive analgesia also increases the pain threshold, contributing to lower postoperative application of analgesic medication.

Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors, such as parecoxib sodium and celecoxib, administered 30–60 min before surgery significantly decrease postoperative pain and morphine consumption without increasing the incidence of other postoperative complications. Parecoxib sodium 40 mg administered 30 min before surgery significantly decreased postoperative pain in a post-anesthesia care unit without increasing the incidence of other postoperative complications. Patients administered with celecoxib 400 mg within 1 h before surgery had less pain at rest and walking during the first week postoperatively, and received less morphine at 48 h after the procedure. Lubis et al. (2018) demonstrated that a combination of pregabalin (an antiallodynic and antihyperalgesic) and celecoxib could be used as preemptive analgesia in TKA through their synergic effects.

Enrolled patients were given celecoxib 400 mg and pregabalin 150 mg 1 h before the operation, or celecoxib 200 mg and pregabalin 75 mg twice daily starting from 3 days before the operation, or a placebo. The results showed that preemptive analgesia with celecoxib and pregabalin was effective in reducing postoperative usage of morphine independent of the dosage. Another investigation by Xu et al. (2019) evaluated the efficacy of combination of celecoxib (200 mg, BID) and tramadol/acetaminophen (37.5 mg/325 mg, TID) 3 days preoperatively in treating postoperative pain of patients undergoing unilateral TKA. It verified that this regimen of preemptive analgesia significantly decreased resting pain at 3 weeks and 6 weeks, and movement pain at 1/3/6 weeks and 3 months postoperatively. Therefore, preemptive analgesia is an important component in multimodal analgesia to reduce postoperative analgesia usage.

**Opioids**

Opioids have long been used for the treatment of knee arthritis and perioperative pain management of patients undergoing primary TKA and revision knee arthroplasty. As analgesics, opioids inhibit the conduction of pain signals through activation of opioid receptors through several delivery methods, such as oral, intravenous, intramuscular, subcutaneous, and transdermal. Although opioids are effective in pain management after TKA, there are some adverse effects associated with them, such as itchiness, nausea, somnolence, respiratory depression, retention of urine, and constipation. In addition, long-term use of opioid medications may lead to tolerance and dependence that require increasing doses to achieve the same effects. Therefore, it is practical to apply other analgesic regimens to reduce the amount of opioid consumption.

Bedard et al. (2017) suggested that approximately one-third of TKA patients used opioids within 3 months prior to surgery. Compared with total hip arthroplasty patients, TKA patients were twice as likely to require refill opioid prescriptions and were prescribed a greater total morphine equivalent dose for a longer period of time postoperatively. Increasing evidence reveals that preemptive chronic use of opioids reduces the effect of pain relief postoperation, and increases postoperative opioid consumption in TKA patients. In addition, preemptive opioid use is associated with early revision, postoperative complications, worse clinical outcomes due to developed tolerance, and hyperalgesia, which can complicate recovery and rehabilitation. These results suggest that limiting preemptive opioid use can optimize the benefits of TKA. Furthermore, Nguyen et al. (2020) evaluated whether weaning of opioid use (50% reduction in morphine-equivalent dose) in the preoperative period improved total joint arthroplasty outcomes. Their results showed that the patients successfully weaned before surgery had substantially improved clinical outcomes, including for The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index, SF12v2, and the University of California at Los Angeles activity score, which were comparable to patients who did not use opioids at all. This
study highlights the importance of reduction of preoperative opioid use to improve postoperative recovery in TKA patients.

**Cyclooxygenase-2 Inhibitors**

Traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) and COX-2 inhibitors provide perioperative analgesia through inhibition of COX-2 and prostaglandins. NSAID also inhibit the activity of COX-1 that is associated with gastrointestinal effects. In addition, NSAID increase the risk of perioperative blood loss. Fortunately, COX-2 inhibitors have a favorable adverse effect profile with reduced gastrointestinal effects and risk of blood loss. COX-2 inhibitors exert the effect of analgesia through reducing the synthesis of peripheral prostaglandin to relieve inflammation and inhibit peripheral and central COX-2 expression, ultimately preventing the sensitization of central nervous system.

A meta-analysis conducted by Lin et al. with 571 patients showed that perioperative administration of selective COX-2 inhibitors could reduce pain and opioid consumption in patients undergoing TKA, without increasing the risk of blood loss. Furthermore, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) by Munteanu et al. of 165 patients after TKA suggested that preoperative administration of etoricoxib 120 mg orally was superior to postoperative administration of the same dose in terms of its morphine-sparing effect during the first postoperative 48 h, without difference in the incidence of side effects. Apart from analgesia, celecoxib and parecoxib could also decrease early postoperative cognitive dysfunction incidence after TKA in elderly patients. At present, COX-2 inhibitors are usually added to multimodal analgesia to reduce the consumption of opioids, without serious complications.

**Intraoperative Analgesic Regimens**

**Local Infiltration Analgesia**

Local infiltration analgesia (LIA) has received increasing interest in recent years because of the associated low risk, simple performance, low complication rates, and reduced local anesthetic systemic toxicity. LIA is performed by a surgeon intraoperatively without specialist equipment, commonly near the conclusion of a procedure. Local anesthetic combined with opioids, antibiotics, NSAID or epinephrine (cocktail) are injected into periarticular regions, including the posterior capsule, collateral ligaments, capsular incision, the quadriceps tendon, and subcutaneous tissues, which directly prevents the generation and conduction of pain signals from incision. Recently, LIA has become an alternative analgesic regimen to femoral nerve block (FNB) without resulting in impairment of quadriceps muscle strength. Nevertheless, there is still no consensus on the optimal composition and infiltration technique of LIA. Common LIA cocktails are listed in Table 1.

Among the patients undergoing TKA, those patients who received intraoperative LIA achieved improvement in pain scores and comparable satisfaction levels, with reduced total narcotic consumption in the early postoperative period compared to those with placebo infiltration. FNB is widely considered as an effective postoperative pain management protocol. A meta-analysis conducted by Zhang LK et al., including 10 studies with 950 patients, showed that LIA was as effective as FNB in terms of visual analog scale score for pain control at 24/48/72 h, total morphine consumption, range of motion, knee society score, complications, and length of hospital stay. Wall et al. performed an RCT and demonstrated that the patients with LIA used less morphine in the first postoperative day after TKA compared with the patients with FNB. Another meta-analysis conducted by Hu et al. with 1206 patients showed that LIA provided superior postoperative pain relief at rest and preserved quadriceps function in the early postoperative period compared with epidural analgesia/peripheral nerve block. These results suggest that LIA is a viable and safe alternative to FNB for postoperative pain management after TKA without impairment of quadriceps muscle function. Furthermore, a meta-analysis conducted by Sardana V et al. showed that LIA could significantly improve postoperative pain and opioid consumption when compared with adductor canal block (ACB).

Liposomal bupivacaine (LB) is used as a component of the LIA cocktail to prolong the effect of LIA, for LB can last up to 72 hours. Several studies have assessed the efficacy of LIA with LB in patients undergoing TKA, but the results are controversial. Mont et al. (2018) performed an RCT to compare the effects of LIA, with or without LB, on postsurgical pain control by minimizing the limitations that may have affected previous study results. Their results showed that LIA with LB improved postsurgical pain, opioid consumption (18.7 mg vs 84.9 mg, P = 0.0048), and time to first opioid analgesic regimen as compared with LIA alone.

**TABLE 1 Common local infiltration analgesia cocktails**

| Year | Authors | Components |
|------|---------|------------|
| 2017 | Wall et al. | 150 mg 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride, 10 mg morphine sulphate, 30 mg ketorolac trometamol, and 0.25 mg adrenaline diluted with saline to a total volume of 150 mL |
| 2018 | Tong QJ et al. | 150 mg ropivacaine, 30 mg ketorolac, 10 mg morphine, and 200 mcg adrenaline in a total volume of 75 mL |
| 2018 | Mont MA et al. | 266 mg/20 mL liposomal bupivacaine admixed with bupivacaine HCl 0.5%, 20 mL diluted with saline to a total volume of 120 mL |
| 2019 | McCarthy D et al. | 2 mg/ kg levobupivacaine and 0.5 mg adrenaline diluted with saline to a total volume of 100 mL |
| 2019 | Konisch KL et al. | 30 mg ketorolac, 80 μg clonidine, 0.5 mg epinephrine and weight-based dosing of ropivacaine (270 mg for patients weighing 80 kg or more) |
rescue without side effects\(^{35}\). Although another study showed that patients in an FNB group had significantly lower pain scores (mean 3.24 vs 3.87, \(P = 0.02\)) and higher range of motion (84.54° vs 78°, \(P < 0.001\)) in the first 24 h, the patients receiving LIA with LB were significantly more likely to perform a straight leg raise 12 h postoperatively (73% vs 50%; \(P = 0.0003\)) and scored better in the physical function component of the Short Form-12 (−23 vs −27, \(P = 0.01\)) 3 months postoperatively\(^{36}\). This suggested that LIA with LB provided excellent pain relief that was not inferior to FNB in patients undergoing TKA.

Different results of efficacy of LIA compared with other analgesia protocols are obtained from different studies, which reach no consensus in optimal composition and infiltration techniques of LIA. A previous study suggested that it was intraoperative periarticular instead of intraarticular infiltration that was effective in pain control in the early postoperative period after TKA\(^{37}\). Therefore, future research should focus on the optimal composition and infiltration technique of LIA to further verify the efficacy.

**Spinal Anesthesia**

Anesthesia choice is an issue for better perioperative outcomes after TKA. In addition, pain management after TKA is affected by the type of anesthesia used for the surgery. Both general and spinal anesthesia are appropriate for TKA. Because general anesthesia is associated with reduced perioperative tissue oxygen tension as well as postoperative nausea, vomiting, and delirium, it is initially considered the gold standard for hip and knee arthroplasty\(^{38,39}\). However, spinal anesthesia is becoming popular in TKA. Compared with general anesthesia, spinal anesthesia is reported to be associated with a lower rate of superficial wound infections (0.68% vs 0.92%, \(P = 0.0003\)), blood transfusions (5.02% vs 6.07%, \(P = 0.0086\)), length of surgery (96 vs 100 min, \(P < 0.0001\)), and length of hospital stay (3.45 vs 3.77 days, \(P < 0.0001\))\(^{40}\). Furthermore, patients administered general anesthesia have a small but significant increase in the risk of complications\(^{40}\). Another investigation conducted by Park et al.\(^{41}\) showed similar results. They found that patients that received TKA under general anesthesia had longer preoperative room time (+9.4 minutes, \(P < 0.001\)), postoperative room time (+12.7 min, \(P < 0.001\)), and postoperative hospital stay (+2.5 days, \(P = 0.001\)), and had more surgical site infections (5 [1%] vs 0 [0%], \(P = 0.005\)) and blood transfusions (205 [41.8%] vs 262 [35.1%], \(P = 0.01\)) compared to those with spinal anesthesia. However, there were no differences in operative duration and other adverse events. In addition, Mahan et al.\(^{42}\) (2018) reported that patients who underwent TKA with mepivacaine for spinal anesthesia had a shorter length of stay (28.1 ± 11.2 vs 33.6 ± 14.4 h, \(P = 0.002\)), fewer episodes of straight catheterization (3.8% vs 16.5%, \(P = 0.021\)) compared to bupivacaine, and exhibited no differences in postoperative pain or morphine consumption.

**Peripheral Nerve Blockade**

Peripheral nerve blockade is usually used to relieve postoperative pain of TKA. PNB could significantly reduce consumption of opioid and opioid-related adverse effects. It also promotes early mobilization and reduces the length of hospital stay. The knee joint is innervated by several nerves, including the femoral nerve, the sciatic nerve, the obturator nerve, the saphenous nerve, and the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve. Among these, the femoral nerve is an important nerve, the saphenous nerve, and the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve. These studies suggest that FNB and LIA may be preferable to epidural analgesia for postoperative pain relief after TKA.

**Postoperative Analgesic Regimens**

**Epidural Analgesia**

Formerly, epidural analgesia was used as a regular postoperative analgesic regimen for patients after TKA, consisting of a local anesthetic agent and an opioid. Compared with parenteral opioids, epidural analgesia provides better postoperative analgesia with less nausea, vomiting, and pruritus\(^{43}\). However, some studies show that epidural anesthesia is associated with many adverse effects, such as urinary retention, hypotension, pruritus, and motor block\(^{44-46}\). Among these, the main drawback of epidural anesthesia is inadvertent motor nerve block, which delays physical therapy and rehabilitation\(^{44}\). In addition, epidural anesthesia limits the options for postoperative prophylaxis against deep venous thrombosis. A meta-analysis by Li et al.\(^{47}\) (2018) of seven RCT, with 251 patients undergoing TKA, concluded that LIA was as effective as epidural anesthesia for pain control\(^{47}\). LIA showed an increase in the range of motion, and a reduction of the occurrence of nausea and length of hospital stay\(^{47}\). Another meta-analysis compared analgesic efficacy and side effect profile of FNB and epidural anesthesia through analyzing eight RCT with 510 patients\(^{48}\). The results suggested that FNB provided a comparable postoperative analgesia but had a favorable adverse effect profile with less neuraxial complications. Hypotension and urinary retention occurred more frequently among patients receiving epidural anesthesia, with worse patient satisfaction\(^{48}\). These studies suggest that FNB and LIA may be preferable to epidural analgesia for postoperative pain relief after TKA.
proximally to the adductor hiatus distally. ACB could block the saphenous nerve, which is the largest sensory branch of the femoral nerve to the knee, while spare the major motor branches of the femoral nerve. Therefore, ACB could provide postoperative pain relief as effectively as FNB without impairment of quadriceps muscle strength, and it is becoming increasingly popular. Except for better quadriceps muscle power, patients with ACB have better early rehabilitation, longer ambulation distance, and shorter length of hospital stay compared with FNB. Previous study also suggested that continuous ACB was superior to a single shot block in terms of pain control but was similar for early functional recovery. Nevertheless, ACB is still a newly developed method of regional anesthesia after TKA, and large RCT are needed to further evaluate its application in surgery of the knee.

**Patient-controlled Analgesia**

Patient-controlled analgesia is widely used for pain management in patients after TKA to provide simple, fast, and adequate pain relief without a specialized anesthesiologist postoperatively. The device is programmed according to the analgesic used, the physical characteristics, and the baseline pain of the patients. A small amount of analgesic could be delivered by the patients pressing the button when they most need it. Usually, opioids are used in PCA, such as oxycodone, morphine, fentanyl, and hydromorphone. Therefore, PCA is associated with some adverse effects caused by opioids, including nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, and urinary retention. However, these adverse effects are less severe than those caused by conventional opioid treatment. PCA is safe and effective for treating moderate to severe pain and has become increasingly popular for use in patients undergoing TKA. Currently, opioid drugs are commonly administered by PCA, adding to multimodal analgesia.

**Summary**

With the aging of the population, there will be an increasing number of elderly patients receiving TKA. Perioperative pain management in patients undergoing TKA is very important to improve rehabilitation, patient satisfaction, and overall outcomes. Furthermore, when surgeons make a plan for perioperative pain management after TKA, they must consider each patient individually. At present, multimodal analgesia is the optimal analgesic regimen for TKA. Multimodal analgesia could improve perioperative pain control and patient satisfaction through the combination of several analgesic regimens, while reducing opioid consumption and opioid-related adverse effects. However, the optimal protocol of multimodal analgesia needs to be further investigated in the future.
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