Application of Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) Markers for the Discrimination of Korean and Chinese Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) Accessions
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ABSTRACT  Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) is an ancient oil seed crop that has been referred to as the ‘queen of oilseeds’ by virtue of its high quality oil. Fourteen simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers were used to differentiate 70 sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) accessions. Forty-three of the accessions were from Korea and 27 were from China. An initial round of analysis using two SSR markers (GBsrr-sa-005 and GBsrr-sa-182) identified 21 distinct accessions. A second round of analysis using one additional SSR marker (GBsrr-sa-108) identified 25 more distinct accessions. Addition of a fourth SSR marker (GBsrr-sa-184) for a third round of analysis identified an additional 20 accessions. This study demonstrates differentiation between 43 accessions from Korea and 23 accessions from China by four SSR markers.

Keywords  Korean sesame, Chinese sesame, Sesamum indicum, Simple sequence repeats

INTRODUCTION

Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) is an oilseed crop. It is cultivated on a small scale compared to open field crops due to its low net revenue. Mechanical harvesting is difficult so harvests are generally done by hand. The level of self-sufficiency in Korea is low at approximately 15% (Kwon et al. 2011). However, sesame is one of the most popular seasonings in Korea and levels of consumption for various uses, such as sesame oil and sesame powder, have increased. Meeting the demand for sesame is dependent upon imports, mostly from China, India, and Ethiopia (Kwon et al. 2011), but retailers often engage in deceptive labeling of imported products as domestic products. As a consequence, the importance of cultivar discrimination to distinguish imported from domestic sesame products is gaining attention. Although cultivar differentiation is needed to protect the interests of the consumers, it is often difficult due to common genetic characteristics shared by all cultivars.

Various methods of cultivar discrimination are available, and are based on the analysis of ecological, physicochemical, or molecular genetic characteristics. However, analyses based on molecular characteristics have the advantage of being independent of environmental effects or study methods, unlike ecological and physicochemical characteristics. Identification of molecular genetic characteristics between cultivars using DNA markers such as simple sequence repeats (SSR), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), etc. has been accomplished in major crops such as grape (Lopes et al. 2006), ginseng (Bang et al. 2011), rice (Kwon et al. 2003; Sun et al. 2009), sunflower (Zhang et al. 2005), cucumber (Bernet et al. 2003), and wheat (Noli et al. 2008). Distinction between domestic and imported sesame germplasm was attempted using physicochemical characteristics of proteins and fatty acids in sesame (Lee et al. 2008).
Table 1. Fourteen SSR markers used in this study.

| Marker          | Sequence (5´→3´)                           | GenBank Acc. No. | Tm (°C) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| GBssr-sa-005    | F: TCATATATATAAAAAAGGAGCCCAAC R: GAAAGAGAGAGAAGCAGTAC | AY838904         | 55      |
| GBssr-sa-008    | F: GGAGAATTTCAGAGAGAAAAA R: ATTCATCTGCTCATAAAATAA   | AY838905         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-034    | F: CAATTCCACGTCAGTCT   R: GGAAAGCGGTTACATTCA   | AY838910         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-040    | F: AAAGCCATGAAAAACGGT R: GACCCGTAAACTCGGACC   | AY838911         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-058    | F: CCGTGTTAACAATCGTGTTT R: ATCAGGCTGGACTTTTG   | AY838912         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-072    | F: GACGAGTTCGGTCTCTTG R: AGTCTGTAATTTAGTCGCTAG   | AY838913         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-083    | F: AAGAAAGCCATGGAACAG R: AGCCCATTTCCCTCTCTTT    | AY838914         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-108    | F: CCACACCAAAAATTCCACTAAAGA R: TCGTCTTCTTCTTCCCC | AY838915         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-123    | F: GCAAGATACAGCTGATCCCT R: GCTGCCGATGATAAAAGCCA | AY838916         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-135    | F: GCTGAGAGCTTGAAGCGC R: CGATATCACCACCCACCC   | AY838917         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-164    | F: GGATCCCCATCTTCCATTTA R: TGAGATATGGCTGCCAGAG   | AY838918         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-178    | F: TCCACAAAAGGACCACACC R: TGCGCTTGAAACCTCTCTT   | AY838920         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-182    | F: CCATTGAAAACCTGACACCA R: TCCACACACAGAGGCCCC   | AY838921         | 57      |
| GBssr-sa-184    | F: TCTTGCAATGGGGGATCAG R: CGAACTATAGATAATCCTTGGA | AY838922         | 55      |

DNA extraction and SSR assay

Genomic DNA was extracted from all accessions using a QIAGEN DNA extraction kit (QIAGEN, Seoul, Republic of Korea). The relative purity and concentration of extracted DNA was estimated using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Dupont Agricultural Genomics Laboratory, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, Delaware, USA). The final DNA concentration was adjusted to 20 ng/µL.
markers from Dixit et al. (2005) and seven from Jin et al. (2009), were selected (Table 1). The sizes of the PCR products were measured using the M13-tailed PCR method of Schuelke (2000). Amplification reactions were carried out in a total volume of 20 μL, containing 200 ng template DNA, 1× PCR buffer, 0.2 mM each dNTP, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase, 8 pmol each of reverse and fluorescent-labeled M13 (-21) primer, and 2 pmol forward primer with the M13 (-21) tail at its 5’ end. PCR amplification was conducted by heating at 94°C for 3 min, and then completing 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by 10 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. SSR alleles were resolved on a ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using GeneScan 3.7 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and sized precisely using GeneScan 500 ROX internal size standards. The individual fragments were assigned as alleles of the appropriate microsatellite loci with GeneMapper 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Data analysis

Basic statistics were calculated using PowerMarker V3.23 software (Liu and Muse 2005) for diversity measurements at each microsatellite locus, including the total number of alleles (NA), observed and expected heterozygosity (HO and HE), and polymorphism information content (PIC). The specific alleles for each marker were determined using GenAlEx 6.5 software (Peakall and Smouse 2012). Genetic distances between each pair of accessions were measured by calculating the shared allele frequencies using PowerMarker V3.23. The unweighted pair group method with an arithmetic mean (UPGMA) tree was constructed using the MEGA 4.0 program, which is embedded in PowerMarker, with the unweighted pair group method and the arithmetic averages (UPGMA) algorithm (Tamura et al. 2007).

RESULTS

This study focused on discriminating between sesame cultivars originating from Korea and China. The genetic

### Table 2. Summary of statistics for 14 SSR markers in 70 sesame accessions.

| Marker          | SA     | MAF  | NA  | HO  | HE  | PIC  |
|-----------------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| GBssr-sa-005    | 142-160| 0.44 | 7   | 0.06| 0.69| 0.64 |
| GBssr-sa-008    | 124-150| 0.40 | 10  | 0.11| 0.69| 0.64 |
| GBssr-sa-034    | 280-290| 0.83 | 2   | 0.07| 0.28| 0.24 |
| GBssr-sa-040    | 182-190| 0.62 | 5   | 0.05| 0.57| 0.53 |
| GBssr-sa-058    | 221-327| 0.55 | 5   | 0.19| 0.57| 0.49 |
| GBssr-sa-072    | 272-332| 0.79 | 6   | 0.09| 0.35| 0.32 |
| GBssr-sa-083    | 299-301| 0.84 | 2   | 0.00| 0.26| 0.23 |
| GBssr-sa-108    | 172-208| 0.35 | 10  | 0.25| 0.79| 0.77 |
| GBssr-sa-123    | 250-276| 0.46 | 9   | 0.07| 0.66| 0.60 |
| GBssr-sa-135    | 200-226| 0.68 | 4   | 0.22| 0.48| 0.43 |
| GBssr-sa-164    | 255-261| 0.66 | 3   | 0.03| 0.46| 0.37 |
| GBssr-sa-178    | 207-223| 0.60 | 4   | 0.02| 0.50| 0.39 |
| GBssr-sa-182    | 205-253| 0.43 | 13  | 0.18| 0.76| 0.74 |
| GBssr-sa-184    | 124-178| 0.37 | 8   | 0.14| 0.76| 0.72 |

Mean 0.57 6.3 0.1 0.56 0.51

Size range of alleles (SA), major allele frequency (MAF), number of alleles (NA), observed heterozygosity (HO), expected heterozygosity (HE), and polymorphic information content (PIC).
Table 3. Summary of statistics for 14 SSR markers for accessions from Korea (KOR) and China (CHN).

| Marker         | N_A  | H_O   | H_E   | PIC  | N_SA |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|------|------|
|                | KOR  | CHN   | KOR   | CHN  |      |       |
| GBssr-sa-005   | 5    | 5     | 0.07  | 0.72 | 0.58 | 2 (142, 144) |
| GBssr-sa-008   | 9    | 5     | 0.13  | 0.43 | 0.68 | 5 (124, 140, 144, 148, 150) |
| GBssr-sa-034   | 2    | 2     | 0.06  | 0.68 | 0.27 | 2 (225, 327) |
| GBssr-sa-040   | 4    | 5     | 0.11  | 0.37 | 0.30 | 2 (312, 327) |
| GBssr-sa-058   | 5    | 3     | 0.00  | 0.13 | 0.12 | 2 (194, 200) |
| GBssr-sa-072   | 8    | 8     | 0.26  | 0.77 | 0.74 | 2 (194, 200) |
| GBssr-sa-083   | 8    | 8     | 0.26  | 0.77 | 0.74 | 2 (194, 200) |
| GBssr-sa-108   | 4    | 4     | 0.11  | 0.37 | 0.30 | 2 (312, 327) |
| GBssr-sa-123   | 5    | 7     | 0.00  | 0.19 | 0.58 | 2 (260, 268) |
| GBssr-sa-135   | 3    | 4     | 0.19  | 0.48 | 0.42 | 4 (250, 270, 272, 276) |
| GBssr-sa-164   | 3    | 2     | 0.05  | 0.43 | 0.35 | 1 (261) |
| GBssr-sa-178   | 4    | 2     | 0.02  | 0.46 | 0.39 | 1 (261) |
| GBssr-sa-182   | 13   | 4     | 0.24  | 0.86 | 0.85 | 9 (207, 213, 231, 241, 243, 245, 247, 251, 253) |
| GBssr-sa-184   | 5    | 7     | 0.07  | 0.70 | 0.66 | 1 (124) |
| Mean           | 5.1  | 4.3   | 0.10  | 0.51 | 0.47 | 2.8 |

Size range of alleles (S_A), major allele frequency (M_AF), number of alleles (N_A), observed heterozygosity (H_O), expected heterozygosity (H_E), polymorphic information content (PIC), and number of specific alleles (N_SA).
Fig. 1. Diagrammatic representation of cultivar discrimination by phylogenetic trees for 70 sesame accessions at each round of analysis using four SSR markers.
Table 4. Sequential discrimination using four SSR markers.

| Step | Marker combination | No. of accessions | Code |
|------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| 1    | GBssr-sa-005, GBssr-sa-182 | 21 | 58_CHN, 65_CHN, 132_CHN, 247_CHN, 248_CHN, 250_CHN, 252_CHN, 3_KOR, 4_KOR, 8_KOR, 14_KOR, 19_KOR, 20_KOR, 32_KOR, 34_KOR, 35_KOR, 36_KOR, 42_KOR, 43_KOR, 223_KOR, 225_KOR |
| 2    | GBssr-sa-005, GBssr-sa-182, GBssr-sa-108 | 25 | 44_CHN, 53_CHN, 129_CHN, 133_CHN, 246_CHN, 255_CHN, 1_KOR, 5_KOR, 6_KOR, 7_KOR, 11_KOR, 13_KOR, 15_KOR, 18_KOR, 26_KOR, 27_KOR, 31_KOR, 33_KOR, 38_KOR, 39_KOR, 40_KOR, 41_KOR, 226_KOR, 230_KOR, 239_KOR |
| 3    | GBssr-sa-005, GBssr-sa-182, GBssr-sa-108, GBssr-sa-184 | 20 | 51_CHN, 52_CHN, 54_CHN, 128_CHN, 130_CHN, 131_CHN, 243_CHN, 245_CHN, 253_CHN, 277_CHN, 2_KOR, 9_KOR, 10_KOR, 12_KOR, 17_KOR, 29_KOR, 236_KOR, 237_KOR, 238_KOR, 240_KOR |
|      | Non-discriminated accessions | 4 | 244_CHN, 254_CHN, 249_CHN, 251_CHN |

right). The remaining four Chinese accessions (‘254’, ‘244’, ‘249’ and ‘251’) could not be differentiated from each other using the same set of markers (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

DNA markers have been used for DNA-based analytical techniques, including SSR and RAPD analysis, to discriminate between cultivars in grape (Lopes et al. 2006), ginseng (Bang et al. 2011), rice (Kwon et al. 2003; Sun et al. 2009), sunflower (Zhang et al. 2005), cucumber (Bernet et al. 2003), and wheat (Noli et al. 2008).

In this study, we assessed the diversity of 70 accessions of Korean and Chinese origin using 14 SSR markers. A total of 88 alleles were observed and the $N_A$ for accessions ranged from 2 to 13 with an average of 6.3 alleles. The major allele frequency per locus, PIC values, $H_O$ values, and $H_E$ values were relatively high.

Similarly, Park et al. (2013) reported that the allelic richness per locus varied widely among markers with 3 to 25 alleles per marker and an average 11.3 alleles per marker. The $M_{AF}$ per locus and PIC, $H_O$, and $H_E$ values were relatively high, indicating a high level of genetic diversity. Although sesame is self-pollinating, some authors have reported levels of outcrossing of about 5–60% (Yermanos 1980; Pathirana 1994). Outcrossing plant species tend to account for 10–20% of the genetic variation between populations (Hamrick and Godt 1989). Hence, outcrossing among neighboring fields may explain the high genetic variation.

In this study, alleles specific for each country were identified. Twenty-eight Korea-specific alleles were detected for ten SSR markers. The number of specific alleles ranged from one to nine with an average of 2.8. Fifteen China-specific alleles were detected for seven SSR markers. The number of specific alleles ranged from one to four with an average of 2.1. Likewise, Park et al. (2013) reported that 41 genotype-specific alleles were identified for 12 of 14 SSR markers. A maximum of eight specific alleles were detected.

Although our molecular analysis method involved only a few number of SSR markers, yet it was found to be highly efficient in differentiating 70 sesame accessions, making it economical and feasible. Sun et al. (2009) used seven SSR markers to study genetic diversity and discriminate among 67 recently distributed rice accessions and Lopes et al. (2006) used 11 SSR markers to discriminate among 46 Portuguese grapevine accessions.

In our study, the first step in the analysis distinguished 21 individual accessions using two markers (GBssr-sa-005 and GBssr-sa-182) in combination. The second step
identified an additional 25 accessions with the addition of one SSR marker (GBssr-sa-108) to the analysis. The third step identified 20 more accessions with the addition of the GBssr-sa-184 marker. Only four Chinese accessions (‘254’, ‘244’, ‘249’ and ‘251’) remained that were not discriminated from each other. Forty-three accessions from Korea and 23 accessions from China were successfully distinguished from each other using just four of 14 SSR markers, providing a simple approach for discriminating between Korean and Chinese sesame accessions.

Piña-Escutia et al. (2010) used 10-, 15-, or 20-base primers to discriminate between nine varieties of *Tigridia pavonia* (L.f.) DC in Mexico. The authors found that a long primer (20 bases) generated a similar dendrogram to those constructed using shorter primers, with the exception of a single variety whose placement shifted as a result of using a longer primer. Thus, a longer primer was able to show greater differentiation of the varieties evaluated, as also reported by Solouki et al. (2007, 2008). This previous study also demonstrates the power of discrimination based on molecular characteristics rather than phenotypes. An approach based on morphological identification was also applied to nine varieties of *T. pavonia* (Vázquez-García et al. 2001), but this method was not reliable due to environmental effects on phenotypes. A biochemical characterization of the same nine varieties was performed using isozymes, however, this approach failed to discriminate two pairs of similar varieties. The low discriminating power of isozymes may be due to inadequate genome coverage. In addition, such markers can be influenced by environmental factors and differences in levels of expression can confuse the interpretation of results. In contrast to these phenotypic approaches, RAPD markers provide a rapid screening method with more precise discriminatory power that is independent of environmental factors (Solouki et al. 2008).

In conclusion, the method we developed using four SSR markers can be used to discriminate accessions by origin such as those from Korea and China, to verify seed purity, and to protect intellectual property rights. Furthermore, the four SSR markers used in this study could possibly be used to discriminate imported and domestic sesame accessions.
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