Hepatitis B virus X protein promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by regulating SOCS1
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Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), a primary type of liver cancer, is one of the leading causes of related deaths worldwide. HCC patients have poor prognosis due to intrahepatic and extrahepatic metastasis. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is one of the major causes of various liver diseases including HCC. Among HBV gene products, HBV X protein (HBx) plays an important role in the development and metastasis of HCC. However, the mechanism of HCC metastasis induced by HBx has not been elucidated yet. In this study, for the first time, we report that HBx interacts with the suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) which negatively controls NF-κB by degrading p65, a subunit of NF-κB. NF-κB activates the transcription of factors associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a crucial cellular process associated with invasiveness and migration of cancer cells. Here, we report that HBx physically binds to SOCS1, subsequently prevents the ubiquitination of p65, activates the transcription of EMT transcription factors and enhances cell migration and invasiveness, suggesting a new mechanism of HBV-associated HCC metastasis. [BMB Reports 2022; 55(5): 220-225]

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), a primary liver cancer, is the sixth most common cancer and the third leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide (1). Especially HCC is a very critical and important public health problem in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (2). Major risk factors for HCC are hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), alcoholism, and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases. Approximately over 50% of HCC patients are related to chronic HBV infection (3). HBV-induced HCC has a poor prognosis because of its highly metastatic recurrence (4). However, the exact mechanisms underlying cancer development and metastasis of HBV-induced liver cancer remain unclear. Among HBV proteins, HBV X protein (HBx) plays a major role in the development and pathogenesis of HCC (5). HBx is a multifunctional regulatory protein essential for viral replication that requires various host cellular components (6, 7). HBx is also a promiscuous protein involved in cell cycle regulation, transactivation of genes related to cell growth, oncogenic transformation, and metastasis. In addition, it has been reported that HBx can activate a variety of signal transduction pathways including NF-κB signaling (8, 9).

It has been suggested that epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is associated with HBV-induced HCC metastasis (10, 11). During EMT, tumor cells lose their epithelial features and acquire mesenchymal features, leading to the gain of invasive and migratory characteristics. Changes in gene expression can also contribute to the suppression of the epithelial phenotype and augmentation of the mesenchymal phenotype (12). EMT-associated changes in gene expression are triggered by EMT regulators including Snail, Slug, and Twist1 that play central roles in development, fibrosis, and carcinogenesis (13).

EMT regulatory factors are tightly associated with NF-κB activity (14). The NF-κB family has been described as a critical regulator of a large number of biological processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, immune responses, and inflammation (15, 16). This family consists of five subunits: p50 (NF-κB1), p52 (NF-κB2), p65 (RelA), c-Rel (Rel), and RelB. These subunits can interact with each other and form functional homo- and hetero-dimers. It has been reported that NF-κB is the upstream regulator of MMPs and EMT transcription factors which promote cell migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma (17).

Interestingly, SOCS1 is down-regulated in tissues of HCC associated with HBV infection (18). SOCS1 is a major regulator responsible for suppressing cell signals and cell motility. SOCS1 plays critical roles in several kinds of signaling pathways (19, 20) and SOCS1 regulates NF-κB activity by degrading p65 (21). SOCS1 is negatively associated with prognoses of HBV-induced liver failure (22). Liver fibrosis has strong correlation with methylation of SOCS1 gene. Carcinogen-induced HCC development can be enhanced by heterozygous deletion of SOCS1 gene (23). These findings suggest that SOCS1 is negatively associated with hepatic injury, fibrosis, and hepatocellular carcinogenesis. It has been found that gene loss and epigenetic silen-
HBx increases the level of p65
Since NF-κB is a pivotal regulator of various biological processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, and inflammation, NF-κB is a major signaling factor in HBx mediated-pathogenesis (23, 26). In order to elucidate how HBx regulates the NF-κB signaling pathway in HBV-infected HCC cells, we tested the effect of shRNA against HBx in HepG2.2.15 cells which produce infectious HBV virus particles. Since HBV infection can activate NF-κB activity, as expected, when the level of HBx was suppressed by HBx shRNA, the phosphorylation of IKK was decreased while the level of IkBα was increased (Fig. 1A). The level of p65 was also decreased in the presence of HBx shRNA. We also tested HBx shRNA in Hep3B cells which produce HBV particles (Fig. 1B). Again, when HBx was knocked-down by HBx shRNA in Hep3B cells, levels of p65 and phosphorylated IKK were decreased whereas the level of IkBα was increased. However, since IKK-IκBα pathway can not account for the remarkable decreased level of p65, we attempted to test whether HBx affects the level of p65. We transfected HepG2 cells with various amounts of HBx expressing plasmid to analyze the level of p65 in the presence of HBx. Interestingly, HBx increased the level of p65 in a dose dependent manner (Fig. 1C), suggesting that HBV infection enhanced NF-κB activity by elevating p65 level along with activating IKK-IκBα pathway.

HBx interferes with SOCS1-mediated suppression of p65 and physically binds to SOCS1
It has been reported that suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1) affects several signaling pathways, in addition to the classical JAK/STAT pathway (27). SOCS1 can also promote p65 degradation by its ubiquitin ligase activity (21). Several studies have suggested that SOCS1 is associated with HCC because of methylation-mediated silencing of SOCS1 gene in HBV-related HCC (28, 29). Since SOCS1 degrades NF-κB p65 subunit whereas HBx enhances the level of p65, SOCS1 and HBx might converge...
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HBx stabilizes p65 by blocking p65 ubiquitination mediated by SOCS1

Since it has been suggested that SOCS1 can regulate p65 by inducing proteosomal degradation (30), we tested whether HBx could affect the stability of p65 by checking the half-life of p65 in the presence of cyclohexamide. As expected, SOCS1 enhanced the degradation rate of p65, whereas HBx retarded the degradation rate of p65 which was elevated by SOCS1 (Fig. 3A). In addition, when the expression of HBx was knocked-down by HBx shRNA in Hep3B cells, the effect of SOCS1 on p65 degradation rate was increased (Fig. 3B). However, in the presence of scramble shRNA in Hep3B cells, the effect of SOCS1 on p65 degradation rate was reduced compared with HBx shRNA, suggesting that the amount of HBx in Hep3B might be high enough to mask the inhibitory effect of overexpressed SOCS1. Now, it is evident that HBx interferes with SOCS1-mediated degradation of p65.

To determine how HBx regulated SOCS1-mediated degradation of p65 post-translationally, we investigated whether HBx affected the physical interaction between SOCS1 and p65. The physical interaction between SOCS1 and p65 was repressed by HBx in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3C). In addition, HBx binded to SOCS1 competitively with p65 and released p65 from SOCS1 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3D).

Since SOCS1 can promote the ubiquitination of p65 for proteasomal degradation, we next checked whether HBx was involved in the ubiquitination of p65 by SOCS1. While SOCS1 elevated the ubiquitination of p65, HBx decreased the level of p65 ubiquitination and interfered with the interaction between SOCS1 and p65 (Fig. 3E). These results suggest that HBx can suppress SOCS1-mediated ubiquitination/degradation of p65 by interfering with the interaction between p65 and SOCS1 through competing with p65.
HBx increases levels of EMT factors and promotes cell migration

Previous reports have shown that NF-κB signaling has a major role in metastasis of HCC (31). To investigate whether HBx could affect EMT process by stabilizing p65 through SOCS1 regulation, we examined effects of SOCS1 and HBx on levels of EMT transcription factors and EMT markers. While SOCS1 reduced levels of EMT transcription factors including Twist1 and Snail, HBx restored levels of EMT transcriptional factors reduced by SOCS1 (Fig. 4A). In addition, while SOCS1 elevated the level of epithelial marker E-cadherin and decreased the level of mesenchymal marker vimentin, HBx repressed the level of E-cadherin elevated by SOCS1 and restored the level of vimentin reduced by SOCS1 (Fig. 4B). In addition, we checked the morphology of HepG2 cells in the presence of SOCS1 and HBx. While HepG2 cells had epithelial-like morphology, SOCS1 kept the morphology of HepG2 cells as epithelial-like shape whereas HBx changed cells to mesenchymal-like shape (Fig. 4C).

We also examined effects of SOCS1 and HBx on cellular mobility and invasiveness. Wound healing assay revealed that SOCS1 retarded the rate of cell motility, while HBx elevated cell motility rate decreased by SOCS1 (Fig. 4D). Cell invasion assay also showed that SOCS1 repressed the rate of invasiveness, whereas HBx restored the invasiveness rate reduced by SOCS1 (Fig. 4E).

These results suggest that HBx can induce EMT through interaction with SOCS1 and subsequent up-regulation of Twist1 and Snail, resulting in elevated migration and invasion of HCC.

DISCUSSION

Since the high rate of metastasis and recurrence of HCC is the main reason for the poor prognosis of HCC patients, the elucidation of biochemical mechanisms underlying HCC metastasis and invasion is important to provide possible new molecular therapeutic strategies. HBx protein, with a wide range of biological activities, plays important roles in the development and recurrence of HBV-related HCC. However, the mechanisms of HCC metastasis induced by HBx are largely unknown. Recently, EMT has been considered as a key process in multiple steps associated with changes of cell movements including metastasis. It has been shown that HBx is involved in the regulation of EMT which is controlled by several signal transduction pathways including NF-κB.

SOCS1 is associated with various HBV-induced liver diseases including liver fibrosis and HCC. SOCS1 also inhibits the invasion of HCC cells by regulating EMT signaling cascade (24).

SOCS1 has been previously implicated in controlling levels of p65 and functioning in concert with the ECS complex independently of its role in regulating cytoplasmic signaling pathways (32). Canonical SOCS box-containing proteins can interact with the Elongin BC complex to have ubiquitin ligase activity. Complex of Elongin B and C is used as an adaptor in the ECS complex (33). Recently, it has been shown that the COMMD1 protein, which inhibits NF-κB, can interact with the ECS complex by promoting the association between p65 and SOCS1 to facilitate the ubiquitination of p65 and subsequent proteasomal degradation (34).

In this study, we identified the molecular mechanism underlying HBx-mediated activation of NF-κB and EMT signaling cascade (24).
protein involved in HBx-induced hepatocellular carcinogenesis and metastasis. Taken together, these results present the protein network consisting of HBx, SOCS1, and NF-κB in EMT of HCC associated with HBV infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids
FLAG-HBx was constructed as previously described (35). shHBx plasmid was a kind gift from Dr. Irene Oi-lin Ng. Myc-SOCS1 plasmid was kindly provided by Dr. Akihiko Yoshimura. SOCS1 shRNA and scramble shRNAs were obtained from VectorBuilder.

Cell culture and transfection
HepG2, HepG2.2.15, Hep3B, and 293T cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Cells were transfected with PEI (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Cycloheximide chase assay
Protein stability was determined by treating the cells with 400 μM cycloheximide (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK). Cell lysates were prepared at indicated time points and analyzed by Western blotting.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis
Cell lysates were prepared in modified RIPA buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C. The immunocomplexes were isolated using protein A Sepharose (Incospharm), resolved by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. Immunoblotting was performed with the indicated antibodies. Antibodies against HBx, SOCS1, p65, p-IKK, IκBα were purchased from Santa Cruz. Antibodies against E-cadherin and vimentin were provided by BD and Cell Signaling Technology respectively.

Wound healing assay
HepG2 cells were seeded in six-well culture plates at a density of 3 × 10⁵ cells/ml and cultured overnight. At 24 h after transfection, the cell monolayers were scratched to generate wounds. The area of covered by the migrating cells was measured using IMAGE J software at 0 and 24 h after scratching. The experiments were repeated three times.

Luciferase assay
At 24 h after transfection, cell lysates were prepared and the luciferase activities were measured using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity.

Ubiquitination assay
At 4 h before harvesting, cells were treated with 20 μM MG-132 (AG scientific, San Diego, CA, USA), lysed with modified RIPA buffer containing MG-132, and pulled down with Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads. The bead complexes were resolved and analyzed by SDS/PAGE followed by Western blotting with the indicated antibodies.

GST pull-down assay
Transiently transfected HepG2 cells were lysed in modified RIPA buffer for 30 min at 4°C. The cell lysates were incubated overnight at 4°C with Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (Incospharm). The bead complexes were washed three times with modified RIPA buffer and the bound proteins were analyzed by Western blotting.

Matrigel invasion assay
After overnight serum starvation, 0.5 × 10⁵ HepG2 cells were suspended in serum-free DMEM and seeded on Matrigel-coated Transwell filters (8-μm pore) in the upper chamber. The lower chamber was filled with the medium containing 10% serum. Then whole chamber was incubated for 24 h at 37°C. After non-invading cells remaining on the upper surface of the filter were removed, the cells on the lower surface of the filter were fixed with 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized with 100% methanol for 20 min. Invaded cells were stained with Giemsa and counted under a microscope. Experiments were independently repeated three times.

Statistical analysis
Statistical comparisons of results were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student’s t-test for unpaired values. Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Levels of statistical significance are indicated by asterisks (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001).
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