Facile synthesis of Au/Al₂O₃ nanocomposites for improving the detection sensitivity of adenosine triphosphate†
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Alumina is widely recognized as chemically inert, and resistant to oxidation and high temperature. In this study, Au/Al₂O₃ nanocomposites (NCs) were prepared by a facile method and were used as a surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) substrate for detection of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). This Au/Al₂O₃-based SERS substrate demonstrated good sensitivity with the lowest detectable concentration of 5 × 10⁻⁹ M for ATP and a good linear relationship ranging from 5 × 10⁻⁵ to 5 × 10⁻⁹ M. The strategy of improvement of SERS detection sensitivity for ATP was based on the consideration of ATP captured by such SERS substrate via chelation of aluminum and phosphates, which was validated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. In addition, alumina as a supporting material could be expected to prolong the stability of such a SERS substrate.

1 Introduction

Surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) was discovered in the 1970s and SERS effects are generally attributed to chemical (CHEM) enhancement and electromagnetic (EM) enhancement. SERS is ultrasensitive and can detect some analytes at single molecular level. SERS can also be utilized in multiplex detection due to structural and fingerprint information with excellent frequency resolution. Therefore, SERS spectroscopy, as a non-destructive and in situ technique, has been widely applied in food safety, biomarker detection, forensic science, environment monitoring and other trace level analysis fields.

Alumina is well known as being chemically inert and tolerant of oxidation and high temperature. Nanocomposites (NCs) formed with alumina and noble metals have been widely studied. Alumina was used to support ultrafine gold particles to act as Al₂O₃-supported Au catalysts. Alumina nanoporoporous layers have demonstrated great potential as stable SERS platforms. For example, porous anodic aluminum oxide (AOO) with a well-arranged hexagonal order was synthesized as a SERS-active substrate. Au/Al₂O₃ NCs and Ag/Al₂O₃ NCs synthesized via the sonoelectrochemical method improved the thermal stability of the SERS substrates and exhibited excellent sensitivity.

In addition, alumina is widely employed as a polar adsorbent in chromatographic separations and has high affinity for molecules with strong polarity, indicating that alumina has the potential to selectively bind molecules. Based on this principle, Van Duyne et al. deposited a sub-1 nm alumina layer on silver film-over-nanosphere (AgFON) substrates and exploited the high adsorption affinity of carboxylic acids to alumina-modified AgFON surfaces to detect calcium dipicolinate, a bacillus spore biomarker. In this study, Au/Al₂O₃ nanocomposites (NCs) were prepared by a facile method and were used as a surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) substrate for detection of adenosine triphosphate (ATP). This Au/Al₂O₃-based SERS substrate demonstrated good sensitivity with the lowest detectable concentration of 5 × 10⁻⁹ M for ATP and a good linear relationship ranging from 5 × 10⁻⁵ to 5 × 10⁻⁹ M. The strategy of improvement of SERS detection sensitivity for ATP was based on the consideration of ATP captured by such SERS substrate via chelation of aluminum and phosphates, which was validated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. In addition, alumina as a supporting material could be expected to prolong the stability of such a SERS substrate.
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developed for the quantitative determination of ATP in a mixture of ATP and ADP (adenosine diphosphate). However, the above approaches to detect ATP are either laborious or require special materials.

In this study, we synthesize Au/Al2O3 NCs by a facile chemical pathway for the sensitive SERS detection of ATP. ATP can be adsorbed onto the γ-Al2O3 surface to form surface complexation via aluminum phosphate chelation. The high adsorption affinity of ATP molecules to Au/Al2O3 NCs will further increase SERS detection sensitivity and improve the ultimate detection limit. The acquired lowest detectable concentration is $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M with a linear range from $5 \times 10^{-9}$ to $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Chloroauric acid (HAuCl4·4H2O, 99.9%) and sodium citrate (Na3C6H5O7·2H2O, 99.8%) were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. γ-Al2O3 (20 nm) was obtained from Aladdin Industrial Corporation. ATP was purchased from Sigma-

Fig. 1 TEM images of (A) γ-Al2O3, (B) Au NPs, (C) HR-TEM image of Au NPs and (D) size distribution of Au NPs.

Fig. 2 (A) Zeta potential of γ-Al2O3, Au NPs and Au/Al2O3 NCs; (B) UV-vis absorption spectra of (a) γ-Al2O3, (b) Au NPs and (c) Au/Al2O3 NCs.
Aldrich and stored at 4 °C. All the chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and used without further purification. Ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm) was produced using a Millipore water purification system and used for all solution preparations. Unless otherwise noted, the synthesis reactions were carried out at room temperature (20 °C–25 °C). Phosphate buffer solution (PBS, 0.1 M, pH = 7) was used and the pH value of PBS buffer was adjusted with dropwise addition of 0.1 M KH₂PO₄ and K₂HPO₄.

2.2. Instruments
Morphologies of Au colloid and Au/Al₂O₃ NCs were observed with a JEOL JEM-2000 FX transmission electron microscope.

Fig. 3 TEM images of Au/Al₂O₃ NCs synthesized with a fixed amount of Au colloid (10 mL, 0.35 mM) and different volumes of 0.1 M Al₂O₃: (A) 10 µL; (B) 30 µL; (C) 50 µL and (D) 70 µL. Scale bar: 50 nm.

Fig. 4 (A) SERS spectra of 10⁻⁶ M R6G with Au/Al₂O₃ NCs synthesized with different volumes of 0.1 M Al₂O₃: (a) 0 µL; (b) 10 µL; (c) 30 µL; (d) 50 µL and (e) 70 µL. (B) SERS intensity of the peak at 1352 cm⁻¹ for R6G absorbed on Au/Al₂O₃ NCs prepared with different amounts of Al₂O₃.
(TEM) operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectra were acquired in a range of 200–800 nm using a UV-7504 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shanghai XinMao Instrument Co., Ltd.). Zeta potential was monitored with a Zetasizer Nano ZS ZEN3600 (Malvern, UK). Raman measurements were conducted using a Portable Stabilized R. Laser Analyzer (Enwave, USA) with a narrow line width diode laser at 785 nm and an adjustable power with the maximum of 300 mW. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI 5000 VersaProbe) was performed to identify the chemical composition of the surface.

2.3. Preparation of Au/Al2O3 NCs as SERS substrate

Colloidal gold was prepared via reduction of HAuCl4 by sodium citrate according to the Frens method. Briefly, 0.25 mL of 0.1 M HAuCl4 was added to 100 mL of ultrapure water and heated to boil under vigorous stirring. Then, 1.5 mL of 1% sodium citrate solution was injected into the mixture quickly. The colloid was kept boiling and was stirred for 30 min until it turned wine red.

Afterwards, different volumes (10, 30, 50, 70 μL) of 0.1 M γ-Al2O3 suspension liquid were added into 10 mL of 0.35 mM Au colloid and stirred for 1 h to form Au/Al2O3 NCs via the electrostatic interaction between Au and Al2O3. The usage of γ-Al2O3 was optimized by the SERS performance of the as-prepared Au/Al2O3 NCs with R6G as a model analyte.

2.4. SERS measurements

To get the optimal pH of ATP solution for Raman tests, PBS buffer (PBS, 0.1 M, pH = 7) was adjusted with dropwise addition of 0.1 M HCl or NaOH to achieve the desired pH range. The pH stability of the Au/Al2O3 NCs was then tested at different pH values (pH 1–9). The pH stability test showed that the Au/Al2O3 NCs were stable in a pH range of 4–9.

Fig. 5 (A) Concentration-dependent SERS spectra of ATP bound to the optimized Au/Al2O3 NCs in a neutral environment (pH = 7). Spectra (a–f) represent the concentrations of ATP being (a) $5 \times 10^{-5}$, (b) $5 \times 10^{-6}$, (c) $5 \times 10^{-7}$, (d) $5 \times 10^{-8}$, (e) $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M and (f) bare substrate as blank control. (B) The linear correlation of Raman intensities at 731 cm$^{-1}$ with the logarithm of ATP concentrations.
of 0.1 M KH₂PO₄ and K₂HPO₄ to pH 3 and pH 10, respectively. After pH optimization, ATP solutions with optimal pH were prepared in a concentration range from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁸ M. Then, 5 μL Au/Al₂O₃ NCs and 5 μL ATP solution were mixed together, dropped onto an aluminium foil and dried in the ambient environment for the subsequent Raman test. All the spectra were recorded with the acquisition time of 1 s and accumulation times of 3 s. Unless otherwise mentioned, the accumulation time and the laser power were the same for all Raman measurements.

3 Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization

The morphologies of γ-Al₂O₃ and Au NPs were examined by TEM. The crystallite shape of 20 nm γ-Al₂O₃ is acicular, as shown in Fig. 1A. Fig. 1B shows that Au NPs are uniform and well dispersed on a large scale. Fig. 1C is the HR-TEM image of Au NPs, which shows the crystallinity of the Au NPs. Apparent lattice fringes can be seen and the lattice spacing of two adjacent planes is 0.235 nm, corresponding to the Au (111) plane. The size distribution of Au NPs is displayed in Fig. 1D and the average size is 28 ± 6 nm.

Zeta potential was monitored to observe changes in the surface characteristics during the formation of Au/Al₂O₃ NCs. Au NPs prepared by Frens’ method were stabilized by citrate, thus making the surface negatively charged with a measured zeta potential value around −22 mV. The iso-electric point (IEP) of 20 nm γ-Al₂O₃ was around 9, and the surface was positively charged at a pH lower than pHIEP. The zeta potential value of γ-Al₂O₃ dispersed in distilled water was tested and was found to be about 38 mV, which proved that the surface carried the positive charge. Therefore, Au NPs and γ-Al₂O₃ bound together due to electrostatic interaction, and the formation of Au/Al₂O₃ NCs led to a final zeta potential of about −15 mV (see Fig. 2A). UV-visible absorption spectra are revealed in Fig. 2B. γ-Al₂O₃ displays a featureless band as can be seen from curve a. Curve b presents a characteristic SPR band of the prepared Au NPs at 528 nm. After the formation of Au/Al₂O₃ NCs, the SPR band redshifts to 534 nm, which can be ascribed to the formation of a bigger agglomerate of Au NPs. Au/Al₂O₃ NCs prepared with 30 μL of 0.1 M Al₂O₃ and 10 mL of 0.35 mM Au colloid were used for both zeta potential measurement and the UV-visible absorption test.

3.2. Optimization of SERS performance

Fig. 3 shows TEM images of Au/Al₂O₃ NCs synthesized with different dosage ratios of Au to Al₂O₃. As can be seen, Au NPs are deposited onto the surface of Al₂O₃ and tend to form large agglomerations with the increase in volume of 0.1 M Al₂O₃ from 10 to 70 μL. SERS performance of these four substrates was further analyzed with R6G as the Raman probe and the results are revealed in Fig. 4. It is clear that the highest SERS signal is observed with the addition of 30 μL of 0.1 M Al₂O₃, which can be ascribed to the partial aggregation of Au NPs, but not severe aggregation as observed for the 50 and 70 μL volumes of Al₂O₃. Therefore, Au/Al₂O₃ NCs prepared with 30 μL of 0.1 M Al₂O₃ is chosen as the optimized SERS substrate and used for the following SERS determination of ATP.

3.3. Raman detection of ATP

Before the SERS test of ATP, the effect of pH of the ATP solution on the SERS signal was studied, and the results are given in
Fig. S1 (ESI†). Raman peaks show a negative shift at pH 7 compared to that at pH 3 due to deprotonation.42 However, there is no significant shift when pH varies from 7 to 10. Raman intensity of ATP reaches the highest signal at pH 7, and hence SERS determination of ATP is conducted in a neutral environment. Fig. 5A shows the concentration-dependent SERS spectra of ATP at various concentrations from $5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M with the optimized Au/Al2O3 NCs as SERS substrate. The intensities of characteristic peaks increase with an increase in the ATP concentration. The main peaks of ATP are assigned as follows: 680 cm$^{-1}$ (out-of-plane wagging of NH$_3$), 731 cm$^{-1}$ (ring-breathing of adenine ring), 1332 cm$^{-1}$ (C5–N7 stretching), 1450 cm$^{-1}$ (C=N stretching).35 The lowest detectable concentration is determined as $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M. Fig. 5B depicts the relationship between SERS intensity of the peak at 713 cm$^{-1}$ and the logarithm of ATP concentration, which demonstrates a good linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.938$) from $5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M.

In this study, the lowest detectable concentration is lower than that of previously reported methods by 1 order of magnitude.34 The possible reasons could be inferred as illustrated in Scheme 1 that γ-Al2O3 provides a platform to adsorb more ATP molecules onto the SERS substrate area due to aluminum phosphate chelation.31 The chelation contribution is further proven by careful comparison of XPS patterns of P 2p of ATP bound on Al2O3 and pure ATP (see Fig. S2 in ESI†). After ATP binding with Al2O3, a positive shift of P 2p binding energy indicates the interaction of the phosphate group with Al2O3. Au/Al2O3 NCs have also demonstrated a better SERS intensity in detecting ATP than adenosine at the same concentration (see Fig. S3 in ESI†). This chemical control selectivity provided by the supporting material of Al2O3 not only helps to further lower the detection limit of ATP but also has the possibility to distinguish ATP and adenosine if multiple elutions are applied in a flow injection combined with SERS detection system. Finally, the partial aggregation of Au NPs on the Al2O3 surface may also be responsible for the enhancement of the SERS signal.29

4 Conclusions

In summary, a facile protocol was proposed for ATP detection with Au/Al2O3 NCs as SERS substrates. Au/Al2O3 NCs were prepared via the electrostatic interaction between γ-Al2O3 and Au NPs and demonstrated good sensitivity with a lowest detectable concentration of $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M and a good linear relationship from $5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $5 \times 10^{-9}$ M. With alumina as the supporting material, the SERS substrate acquired both stability and sensitivity. The low detection limit can be attributed to the partial aggregation of Au NPs onto the γ-Al2O3 surface and aluminum phosphate chelation between γ-Al2O3 and the phosphate group of ATP. Considering their easy preparation, good sensitivity and short analysis time, Au/Al2O3 NCs have potential as a promising SERS substrate for ATP detection.
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