I. INTRODUCTION

In ordinary Kaluza-Klein theories, the geometrical approach of general relativity is adopted as the paradigm for the description of all other interactions of nature. In the original Kaluza-Klein theory, for example, gravitational and electromagnetic fields are described by a Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangian in a five-dimensional spacetime. On the other hand, it has already been shown that, at least macroscopically, general relativity is equivalent to a gauge theory for the translation group. In this paper, we introduce what we call the teleparallel equivalent of the Kaluza-Klein theory. According to this approach, both gravitational and electromagnetic fields turn out to be described by a gauge-type Lagrangian. Such a construction will be the main purpose of this paper, which is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the teleparallel version of the Kaluza-Klein model is introduced, and its main features described. The coupling of a general matter field with gravitational and electromagnetic fields is studied in Sec. III, and finally, in Sec. IV, we draw the conclusions of the paper.

II. TELEPARALLEL KALUZA-KLEIN MODEL

We denote by $x^\mu(\mu, \nu, \rho, ..., = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ the coordinates of spacetime (base space), and by $x^a(a, b, c, ..., = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ the coordinates of the tangent space (fiber), assumed to be a Minkowski space with the metric

$$\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1).$$

The tangent space indices, therefore, are raised and lowered with the Lorentzian metric $\eta_{ab}$, while the spacetime indices are raised and lowered with the Riemannian metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} h^a_{\mu} h^b_{\nu}$, where

$$h^a_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} x^a + c^{-2} A^a_{\mu}$$

is a nontrivial tetrad field, with $c$ the speed of light and $A^a_{\mu}$ the gravitational gauge potential. The presence of a nontrivial tetrad field induces on spacetime a teleparallel structure which is directly related to the presence of the gravitational field. In fact, given a nontrivial tetrad $h^a_{\mu}$, the Cartan connection

$$\Gamma^a_{\mu\nu} = h^a_{\rho} \partial_{\mu} h^\rho_{\nu}$$

can be defined, which is a connection presenting torsion, but no curvature, and which defines a teleparallel structure in spacetime.

In the framework of the teleparallel description of gravitation, the action describing a particle of mass $m$ and charge $e$ submitted to both an electromagnetic ($A_{\mu}$) and a gravitational ($A^a_{\mu}$) field is

$$S = \int_a^b \left[-m c \, ds - \frac{m}{c} A^a_{\mu} u_a \, dx^\mu - \frac{e}{c} A^a_{\mu} \, dx^\mu\right],$$

where $ds = (\eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b)^{1/2}$ is the invariant tangent-space interval, and $u_a = dx_a/ds$. The corresponding equation of motion is

$$c^2 h^a_{\rho} \frac{du_a}{ds} = F^a_{\rho\mu} u_\mu + \frac{e}{m} F^a_{\rho\mu} u_\mu,$$

where $ds = (g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)^{1/2}$ is the invariant spacetime interval, $F^a_{\rho\mu}$ and $F_{\rho\mu}$ are respectively the gravitational and the electromagnetic field strengths, and $u^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$ is the spacetime four velocity. The gravitational field strength satisfies the relation $F^a_{\rho\mu} = c^2 h^a_{\rho} T^\rho_{\mu\nu}$, with

$$T^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}.$$
the torsion of the Cartan connection.

Now, inspired by the similarity between the gravitational and the electromagnetic interactions, we choose the $U(1)$ gauge index of the electromagnetic theory to be "5", which allows us to define a unified gauge potential by

$$A^A \mu = (A^a \mu, A^5 \mu),$$

where $A^5 \mu = \kappa^{-1}(e/m)A_\mu$, with $\kappa$ a dimensionless parameter to be determined later. Accordingly, we can define a unified field strength,

$$F^{A}_{\mu\nu} = (F^a_{\mu\nu}, F^5_{\mu\nu}),$$

with $F^5_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^{-1}(e/m)F_{\mu\nu}$. In terms of the potential $A^A \mu$, therefore, the field strength is

$$F^{A}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^A_\nu - \partial_\nu A^A_\mu. \quad (7)$$

Implicit in the above definitions is the introduction of an internal five-dimensional space $M^5$, given by the product between the Minkowski space $M^4$ and the circle $S^1$: $M^5 = M^4 \otimes S^1$. A point in this space is determined by the coordinates $x^A = (x^a, x^5)$, where $x^a$ are the coordinates of $M^4$, and $x^5 = \kappa^{-1}(e/m)x$ the coordinate of $S^1$. A five-velocity $u^A = (u^a, u^5)$ can consequently be defined by

$$u^A = \frac{dx^A}{ds}. \quad (8)$$

The components $u^a$ form the usual tangent-space four-velocity, which is related to the spacetime four-velocity $u^\mu$ by $u^a = h^a \mu u^\mu$, and $u^5$ is a strictly internal component whose value will be determined by the unification process.

With the above definitions, and denoting by $\eta_{55}$ the fifth component of the internal-space metric, if the conditions $u^5 = -\kappa$ and $\eta_{55} = -1$ are satisfied, the action [1] can be rewritten in the form

$$S = \int_a^b \left[\frac{c}{m} ds - \frac{m}{c} A^A_\mu u^B \eta_{AB} dx^a\right]. \quad (9)$$

Accordingly, the equation of motion [1] becomes

$$c^2 h^a \frac{du^a}{ds} = F^{A}_{\mu\nu} u^B \eta_{AB}. \quad (10)$$

The trajectory of a charged particle submitted to both an electromagnetic and a gravitational field, therefore, is described by a Lorentz-type force equation. Furthermore, different from curvature, we see that torsion acts on particles in the same way the electromagnetic field acts on charges, that is, as a force.

It is important to notice that, alternatively, we could have chosen $u^5 = \kappa$ and $\eta_{55} = 1$, which would lead to the same action integral, and consequently to the same equation of motion. As we will see, this choice corresponds to another metric convention for the internal space. In principle, both conventions are possible. However, the unification process will introduce a constraint according to which the choice of $\eta_{55}$ will depend on the metric convention adopted for the tangent Minkowski space.

In a gauge theory for the translation group, the gauge transformation is defined as a local translation of the tangent-space coordinates,

$$\delta x^a = \delta \alpha^b P_b x^a, \quad (11)$$

with $P_b = \partial / \partial x^b$ the generators, and $\delta \alpha^b$ the corresponding infinitesimal parameters. In a unified teleparallel Kaluza-Klein model, a general gauge transformation is represented by a translation of the five-dimensional internal space coordinates $x^5$,

$$\delta x^A = \delta \alpha^B P_B x^A, \quad (12)$$

where $P_B = \partial / \partial x^B$ are the group generators, and

$$\delta \alpha^B(x^\mu) \equiv \delta \alpha^B = (\delta \alpha^a, \delta \alpha^5)$$

are the transformation parameters. Analogously to the gauge potentials, we take $\delta \alpha^5 = \kappa^{-1}(e/m)\delta \alpha$. Furthermore, in the same way as in ordinary Kaluza-Klein models, we assume the gauge potentials $A^A \mu$, and consequently the tetrad $h^{a\mu}$, and also the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, not to depend on the coordinate $x^5$.

The gauge gravitational field Lagrangian is [1]

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \frac{h}{16\pi G} \left(\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{ab} g^{ab} N_{ab}^{\nu \rho}\right). \quad (13)$$

where $h = \det(h^a \mu)$, and due to the presence of a tetrad field, we must have

$$N_{ab}^{\nu \rho} = \eta_{ab} h^c \nu h^{\rho c} + 2 h^a \nu h^b \rho - 4 h^a \nu h^b \rho. \quad (14)$$

The unified Lagrangian, therefore, can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{h}{16\pi G} \left(\frac{1}{4} A^{A}_{\mu
u} F^{\mu\nu}_B g^{\mu\theta} N_{AB}^{\nu \rho}\right). \quad (15)$$

As no tetrad exists in the electromagnetic sector,

$$N_{55}^{\nu \rho} = \eta_{55} h^c \nu h^{c \rho}. \quad (16)$$

Thus, the Lagrangian [15] becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{hc^4}{16\pi G} S^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{55} \frac{\kappa^{-2} c^2}{16\pi G m^2} \left[\frac{h}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}\right]. \quad (17)$$

where

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left[K^{\mu\rho} - g^{\mu\rho} T^{\theta\mu}_{\theta} + g^{\mu\theta} T^{\nu\theta}_{\rho}\right] = - S^{\mu\nu},$$
with $K^{\mu\nu\rho}$ the contortion tensor.

The first term of $\mathcal{L}$ is the gauge gravitational Lagrangian, which is equivalent to the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangian of general relativity. In order to get Maxwell’s Lagrangian from a Maxwell-Kaluza-Klein theory \[8\]. That the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangians is usually considered as a miracle of the standard Kaluza-Klein theory \[\text{[6]}\]. That the Maxwell Lagrangian in four dimensions shows up from a Maxwell-Kaluza-Klein theory \[7\].

**Second, in order to have a positive-definite energy for the field equation**

That the Maxwell Lagrangian in four dimensions shows up from a Maxwell-Kaluza-Klein theory \[8\]. That the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangians is usually considered as a miracle of the standard Kaluza-Klein theory \[6\]. That the Maxwell-Einstein Lagrangian of general relativity shows up from a Maxwell-Kaluza-Klein theory for a five-dimensional translation gauge theory can be considered as the other face of the same miracle.

The functional variation of $\mathcal{L}$ in relation to $A^a_{\mu}$ leads to the field equation

$$\partial_{\nu}(hS^{\nu\sigma\tau}) - \frac{4\pi G}{c^4} (h t_{\lambda}^{\tau}) = \frac{4\pi G}{c^4} (h \theta_{\lambda}^{\tau}),$$

where

$$t_{\lambda}^{\tau} = \frac{e^4}{4\pi G} \Gamma^\nu_{\nu\lambda} S_{\nu}^{\rho\sigma\tau} + \delta^{\sigma\tau}_{\lambda} L_G$$

is the canonical energy-momentum (pseudo) tensor of the gravitational field, and

$$\theta_{\lambda}^{\tau} \equiv h^{\alpha\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{h} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{EM}}{\delta \sigma^{\rho\sigma\tau}} \right] = F_{\lambda\mu} F^{\tau\nu} - \delta_{\lambda}^{\tau} L_{EM}$$

is the energy-momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field. On the other hand, the functional variation of $\mathcal{L}$ in relation to $A_{\mu}$ yields the teleparallel version of Maxwell’s equation \[\text{[6]}\].

An interesting point that deserves to be mentioned is that since we have chosen \[\text{[6]}\] as the metric of the Minkowski space, the resulting metric of the five-dimensional internal space will be

$$\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1).$$

This means that the fifth dimension must necessarily be spacelike, and the metric with signature (3, 2) is excluded. On the other hand, if we had chosen $\bar{\eta}_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1, -1, -1)$, the resulting metric of the five-dimensional internal space would be

$$\bar{\eta}_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1, +1),$$

and the same conclusion would be obtained: the fifth dimension must necessarily be spacelike, and the metric with signature (3, 2) is excluded. The unification of the gravitational and electromagnetic Lagrangians, therefore, imposes a constraint on the metric conventions for Minkowski and for the electromagnetic internal manifold $S^1$. In fact, the choice between $\eta_{55} = +1$ and $\eta_{55} = -1$ for the Killing metric of the $U(1)$ group depends on the metric convention adopted for the Minkowski space. As a consequence, the metric of the five-dimensional internal space turns out to be restricted to either Eq. \[\text{[22]}\] or \[\text{[23]}\]. Metrics with signature (3, 2), which would imply a time-like fifth dimension, are excluded.

### III. Matter Fields

Let us consider now a matter field $\Psi$. In contrast to the gauge fields, it depends on the coordinate $x^5$:

$$\Psi = \Psi(x^\mu, x^5).$$

Under a generalized gauge translation, it behaves as

$$\delta \Psi = \delta \alpha^A P_A \Psi.$$  \[\text{[24]}\]

Its covariant derivative, consequently, is

$$D_{\mu} \Psi = \partial_{\mu} \Psi + c^{-2} A^A_{\mu} P_A \Psi,$$  \[\text{[25]}\]

with the gauge transformation of $A^A_{\mu}$ given by

$$\delta A^B_{\rho} = -c^2 \partial_{\rho} \delta \alpha^B.$$  \[\text{[26]}\]

Separating the gravitational and electromagnetic components, we obtain

$$D_{\mu} \Psi = \partial_{\mu} \Psi + c^{-2} A^a_{\mu} P_a \Psi + \kappa^{-1} \frac{e}{mc^2} A^a_{\mu} P_a \Psi.$$  \[\text{[27]}\]

Now, as $x^5$ is the coordinate of the internal manifold $S^1$, we assume

$$\Psi(x^\mu, x^5) = \exp \left[ i \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_C} x^5 \right] \psi(x^\mu),$$  \[\text{[28]}\]

with $\lambda_C = (hc/mc)$ the Compton wavelength of the particle under consideration. Consequently, a translation in the coordinate $x^5$ turns out to be a $U(1)$ gauge transformation, and a translation in the coordinates $x^a$ turns out to be a gauge transformation related to the translation group $T^4$. For a simultaneous translation in the five coordinates $x^A$, we see from Eq. \[\text{[24]}\] that
\[ \delta \Psi = \delta \alpha^a \partial_a \Psi + \delta \alpha \left( \frac{ie c}{\hbar} \right) \Psi. \]  

(29)

The corresponding minimal couplings are given by the covariant derivative

\[ D_\mu \Psi = h^a_{\mu} \partial_a \Psi + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A_\mu \Psi. \]  

(30)

By defining \( A_a = h^a_\mu A_\mu \), we can rewrite Eq. (29) in the form

\[ D_\mu \Psi = h^a_{\mu} D_a \Psi, \]  

(31)

with \( D_a \Psi \) the electromagnetic covariant derivative in Minkowski space. As usual, the commutator of covariant derivatives yields the field strength:

\[ [D_\mu, D_\nu] \Psi = c^{-2} F_{\mu \nu} P_\lambda \Psi = c^{-2} F_{\mu \nu} P_\lambda \Psi + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} F_{\mu \nu} \Psi. \]

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

By replacing the general relativity paradigm with a gauge paradigm, a five-dimensional Maxwell-type translational gauge theory on a four-dimensional spacetime has been constructed. In this theory, gravity is attributed to torsion, and the electromagnetic field strength appears as the fifth gauge-component of the torsion tensor. Because of the fact that torsion, like the electromagnetic field, plays the role of a force, the unification in this approach seems to be much more natural than in ordinary Kaluza-Klein theories.

An important feature of this model is that no scalar field is generated by the unification process. Accordingly, no unphysical constraints appear, and the gravitational action can naturally be truncated at the zero mode. Furthermore, the cylindrical condition can also be naturally imposed for matter fields, which corresponds to keep only the \( n = 1 \) mode in their Fourier expansions. Consequently, the infinite spectrum of massive new particles is eliminated, strongly reducing the redundancy present in ordinary Kaluza-Klein theories.

A similar achievement has been obtained recently by a modified Kaluza-Klein theory in which the internal coordinates are replaced by generators of a noncommutative algebra \[ \text{[10].} \] In this model, no truncation to eliminate extraneous modes is necessary as only a finite number of modes is present. Concerning this point, the teleparallel version of Kaluza-Klein seems to be much more appropriate to deal with models involving noncommutative geometry. In fact, as the spacetime underlying such a model is a spacetime presenting torsion, but no curvature, the usual difficulty for defining curvature \[ \text{[11].} \] and consequently for choosing the action functional \[ \text{[12].} \] is avoided.

The generalization to non-Abelian gauge theories amounts to introduce a \( 4 + N \) dimensional internal space, formed by the product between the Minkowski space and a compact Riemannian manifold. As in the electromagnetic case, the teleparallel unification turns out to be much more natural than in ordinary Kaluza-Klein models since both gravitational and Yang-Mills fields are described by a gauge theory, the Yang-Mills field strength appearing as extra gauge-components of torsion. In other words, the gravitational and the gauge field strengths are different components of a unique tensor. Another important point concerns the relation between geometry and gauge theories. According to ordinary Kaluza-Klein models, gauge theories emerge from higher-dimensional geometric theories as a consequence of the dimensional reduction process. According to our approach, however, gauge theories are the natural structures to be introduced, the four-dimensional geometry (gravitation) emerging from the noncompact sector of the internal space. In fact, only this sector can give rise to a tetrad field, which is responsible for the geometrical structure (either metric or teleparallel) induced in spacetime. Furthermore, as the gauge theories are introduced in their original form — they do not come from geometry — the unification, though not trivial, turns out to be much more natural and easier to be performed.

Finally, it should be mentioned that several different Kaluza-Klein models in spacetimes with torsion have already been considered. However, most of them are constructed on a five-dimensional spacetime, and are consequently completely different from the model presented here \[ \text{[13].} \]
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