Nitric Oxide Level Is Self-Regulating and Also Regulates Its ROS Partners
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INTRODUCTION

Nitric oxide (NO) is a free radical recognized as an omnipresent inter- and intra-cellular signaling molecule involved in the regulation of an extraordinary range of diverse cellular functions in plants (Besson-Bard et al., 2008). All NO derivatives are called reactive nitrogen species (RNS) which include more favorable structures such as nitrosonium cation (NO$^+$) and nitroxyl radical (NO$^-$) as a result of NO gaining or losing electrons, and the products of the reaction between NO and its close partners, reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$), and the NO$\times$ compounds (NO$_2$, N$_2$O$_3$, and N$_2$O$_4$; Bellin et al., 2013). Although it is known that NO may regulate gene transcription and activate secondary messengers, the ways in which NO functions are still mostly unidentified (Besson-Bard et al., 2008; Palmieri et al., 2008; Gaupels et al., 2011). In the last decade, however, it has been shown that NO is also able to control different biological processes in plants by directly modifying proteins through covalent post-translational modifications (PTMs) giving rise to nitration, nitrosylation or S-nitrosylation (Romero-Puertas et al., 2013). S-nitrosylation is the covalent binding of a NO group to a cysteine residue and probably the better known NO-dependent PTM as more than 1000 proteins have been shown as targets of S-nitrosylation (Kovacs and Lindermayr, 2013), although the functional effect of this modification has only been analyzed in around 2% of these proteins (Astier et al., 2012; Kovacs and Lindermayr, 2013; Romero-Puertas et al., 2013). Nitration, in which 3-nitrotyrosine is produced after a nitrite group is added to the ortho-position of Tyr residues, is another NO-dependent PTM, although so far analyzed to a lesser degree than S-nitrosylation (N-Tyr; Vandelle and Delledonne, 2011). These PTMs are able to modify the activity, location, aggregation, or even stability of proteins (de Pinto et al., 2013; Gibbs et al., 2014; Albertos et al., 2015). We should bear in mind that NO function depends on the rate and location of its production and that NO level will determine a cytotoxic or stimulating effect (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001; Serrano et al., 2012). Thus, a precise control of NO level by switching the NO signaling off or not seems to be a crucial event for plant survival, and it appears that plants have developed many strategies to achieve it.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) comprises oxygen derivatives species produced by the reduction of oxygen, such as superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl radicals (-OH), peroxy radicals (ROO$^-$), and alkoxyl radicals (RO$^-$) and also some non-radical compounds such as hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), the singlet oxygen ($^1$O$_2$), ozone (O$_3$), and hypochlorous acid (HOCI$^-$) (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 2007). Although research on ROS, which have strong oxidizing potential, initially focused on cytotoxicity, in recent years, it has become clear that they can also function as signaling molecules in most cellular processes (Baxter et al., 2014). Thus, plants have developed a means of utilizing lower concentrations of ROS as signaling molecules under certain physiological and stress conditions (Petrov and Van Breusegem, 2012). Genetic and pharmacological techniques have demonstrated that different ROS species can affect nuclear gene expression by responding to a variety of environmental stimuli (Sandalio and Romero-Puertas, 2015). However, a finely tuned
balance between ROS scavenging and ROS production is necessary to determine their level and impact as damaging and signaling molecules (de Pinto et al., 2012; Baxter et al., 2014).

NITRIC OXIDE LEVELS ARE SELF-REGULATING

NO is generated in higher plants through a variety of mechanisms and both, oxidative (arginine or hydroxylamine-dependent) and reductive (nitrate-dependent) pathways have been described (Fröhlich and Durner, 2011; Gupta et al., 2011a) nitrate reductase (NR) being the best known pathway for NO production in plants (Roeckel et al., 2002). NR is capable of reducing nitrite to NO depending on nitrite accumulation and pH levels. Moreover, NO can react reversibly with glutathione (GSH) producing GSNO, a reservoir of NO (Liu et al., 2001; Sakamoto et al., 2002). GSNO is metabolized by GSNO reductase (GSNOR) which controls NO and nitrosothiol levels, being a key enzyme in most NO-regulated processes, such as pathogen defense, root development, and nitrogen assimilation (Feechan et al., 2005; Rustérucci et al., 2007; Frungillo et al., 2014). It has recently been shown that GSNO inhibits nitrate uptake and its reduction to nitrite which would prevent NR-dependent NO production (Figure 1; Frungillo et al., 2014). Additionally, CO2 elevation distinctly increased S-nitrosylated NR levels in plants grown under high-nitrate conditions, along with a significant decrease in NR activity similarly to that which occurs with chilling treatment (Cheng et al., 2015; Du et al., 2015). These results suggest that S-nitrosylation of NR may decrease NR activity. Interestingly, NR regulation in response to high CO2 levels is nitric oxide synthase-like (NOS)-dependent (Du et al., 2015) pointing to a regulation between the different NO-production pathways. Moreover, NO also could activate NR activity under a relative low-nitrate concentration through the interaction with the haem and molybdenum centers in NR, which enhances electron transfer during nitrate reduction (Du et al., 2008). To complete the cycle, it has been also demonstrated that NO inhibits GSNOR1 through S-nitrosylation avoiding at the same time GSNO degradation and regulating plant nitrosothiol levels (Figure 1; Frungillo et al., 2014). Thus, (S)NO feedback regulates nitrogen flux through nitrite assimilation pathways and controls its bioavailability by modulating its own consumption (Figure 1; Frungillo et al., 2014). In the context of hypersensitive response, NO is also able to regulate the level of its own radicals, such as ONOO− through S-nitrosylation of Arabidopsis peroxiredoxin II E (PrxII E) that inhibits its H2O2-reducing and peroxinitrile-detecting activities (Romero-Puertas et al., 2007).

In addition, non-symbiotic haemoglobins (nHbs) from different species have been shown to metabolize NO, which are able to move to a solution producing nitrate (Perazzolli et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2011b). The expression of nHb1 is induced under low oxygen stress in different plant species when an increase in NO due to NR is assumed to occur (Gupta et al., 2011a) and Arabidopsis thaliana nonsymbiotic hemoglobin (AHb1) scavenges NO through production of S-nitrosohemoglobin under hypoxic stress (Perazzolli et al., 2004).

NITRIC OXIDE REGULATES REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES

Besides its signaling functions ROS can act as oxidizing agents on proteins, lipids and nucleic acids modifying the activity or function of these molecules and hence, the steady-state levels of ROS must be strongly regulated by scavenging systems including enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants, such as superoxide dismutases (SOD) that are involved in removing superoxide radicals, catalase (CAT), and the ascorbate-glutathione cycle (ASC-GSH) made up of ascorbate peroxidase (APX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), glutathione reductase (GR), ascorbate (ASC), and glutathione (GSH; Jimenez et al., 1997; Romero-Puertas et al., 2006) involved in maintaining H2O2 levels under control.

NO has a half-life of only a few seconds and, once produced, interacts rapidly with ROS, giving rise to a number of RNS, such as nitrogen dioxide (NO2), which degrade to nitrite (a precursor to NO) and nitrate in aqueous solutions (Figure 1; Neill et al., 2008), ONOO− after reaction with Oz− radical and other NOx species. Thus, the function of NO is very much linked to ROS and the first evidence of NO and ROS crosstalk was shown during hypersensitive response (HR) in which NO and H2O2 cooperates to trigger hypersensitive cell death and an appropriate balance between ROS and NO production is required (Delledonne et al., 2001). Actually, during the HR, SOD accelerates Oz− dismutation to H2O2 to minimize the loss of NO by reaction with Oz− and to trigger hypersensitive cell death. Surprisingly, very recently it has been shown that when S-nitrosothiols are high during the HR, nitric oxide governs a negative feedback loop limiting the hypersensitive response by S-nitrosylation of the NADPH oxidase, the enzyme that produces Oz− radicals (Figure 1; Yun et al., 2011). NO is not only able to regulate Oz− production but also H2O2 as it has been shown that the S-nitrosylation pattern of glycolate oxidase (GOX), one of the main H2O2 sources in the peroxisome, changes in response to Cd (Ortega-Galisteo et al., 2012).

A number of antioxidant enzymes have been shown to be regulated by NO, meaning that NO is also able to modulate ROS levels by regulating the antioxidant system. Thus, peroxynitrite inhibits through Tyr nitration the mitochondrial manganese SOD1 (MnSOD), peroxisomal copper/zinc SOD3 (CuZnSOD), and chloroplastic iron SOD3 (Holzmeister et al., 2015). Although SODs have been identified as candidates for S-nitrosylation in different species, the effect of this PTM on the function of SODs has not so far been confirmed (Lindermayr et al., 2005; Tanou et al., 2009; Sehrawat et al., 2013), and the activity appears to be unaffected by GSNO in Arabidopsis recombinant proteins (Holzmeister et al., 2015). Additionally, catalase (CAT), one of the main enzymes involved in degrading H2O2 produced in peroxisomes, is S-nitrosylated and nitrated, causing both PTMs a loss of protein activity (Lozano-Juste et al., 2011; Ortega-Galisteo et al., 2012; Chaki et al., 2015). NO can react with the haem group of proteins, being CAT and APX reversibly inhibited during the resistance response, thus supporting a role for NO in regulating H2O2 levels in this context (Clark et al., 2000). All the enzymes of the ASC-GSH cycle also appear to
be regulated by NO through S-nitrosylation and/or nitration, APX being the one directly involved in \(H_2O_2\) detoxification and the most studied (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2015). Whilst APX activity has been shown to be inhibited by nitration (Begara-Morales et al., 2014) differing results have been found regarding the effect of S-nitrosylation on APX. Thus, S-nitrosylation of APX avoids carbonylation of the protein in seeds of \(A.\ toxicaria\) (Bai et al., 2011), increases its activity in salt stressed pea plants (Begara-Morales et al., 2014), enhances its activity by increasing resistance to oxidative stress and playing an important role in regulating immune responses (Yang et al., 2015), and under heat shock and \(H_2O_2\) conditions during PCD in tobacco BY2 cells an inhibition of enzyme activity by S-nitrosylation, ubiquitination and degradation of APX has been described (de Pinto et al., 2013). It has also been shown that APX1 denitrosylation causes the partial inhibition of APX1 activity during root development (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2013). From these results it appears that the level of stress conditions (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2015), specific environment or possibly the species involved may affect the effect of S-nitrosylation on APX.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In most NO regulated processes, plant response is not activated by NO alone but is the result of a network of connections between different signaling molecules and pathways, especially the ROS-dependent ones. In many cases, the level of NO, ROS, and their balance will determine cell fate and recent research has uncovered several key NO-dependent PTMs showing that NO is able to self-regulate and also regulates ROS levels, allowing the plant to fine-tune specific responses to different stimuli. However the identification of additional components of NO regulated networks, especially those that are involved in ROS metabolism, is required to fully understand this process. Capturing the whole scene may not be an easy task however, and some questions remain to be answered. Although NO has been shown to be able to modify proteins through different PTMs, it is still unclear what triggers a specific PTM in response to a specific signal and how crosstalk between different PTMs in the same protein is regulated. NO-dependent PTMs have also been shown to affect the stability of certain proteins. However, as has been demonstrated in relation to many hormonal pathways, we still do not know whether ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation is a central regulatory mechanism in NO-signaling. Further study is required to clarify this issue.
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