Acid-sensing ion channels contribute to synaptic transmission and inhibit cocaine-evoked plasticity
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Acid-sensing ion channel 1A (ASIC1A) is abundant in the nucleus accumbens (NAC), a region known for its role in addiction. Because ASIC1A has been suggested to promote associative learning, we hypothesized that disrupting ASIC1A in the NAC would reduce drug-associated learning and memory. However, contrary to this hypothesis, we found that disrupting ASIC1A in the mouse NAC increased cocaine-conditioned place preference, suggesting an unexpected role for ASIC1A in addiction-related behavior. Moreover, overexpressing ASIC1A in rat NAC reduced cocaine self-administration. Investigating the underlying mechanisms, we identified a previously unknown postsynaptic current during neurotransmission that was mediated by ASIC1A and ASIC2 and thus well positioned to regulate synapse structure and function. Consistent with this possibility, disrupting ASIC1A altered dendritic spine density and glutamate receptor function, and increased cocaine-evoked plasticity, which resemble changes previously associated with cocaine-induced behavior. Together, these data suggest that ASIC1A inhibits the plasticity underlying addiction-related behavior and raise the possibility of developing therapies for drug addiction by targeting ASIC-dependent neurotransmission.

Synapses in the nucleus accumbens (NAC) are altered by drugs of abuse. In rodent NAC medium spiny neurons (MSNs), cocaine exposure transforms dendritic spine density and morphology, alters glutamate receptor composition and function, and increases plasticity to subsequent cocaine exposure (for reviews, see refs. 1–3). These synaptic responses are thought to underlie drug-related learning and memory and increase the incentive value of drugs and drug-seeking behavior. Although numerous drug-related synaptic perturbations have been characterized in the NAC, much remains to be learned about the mechanisms that change these synapses and drive addiction.

Potential regulators of synapse physiology that are abundant in the NAC include acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs)4. ASICs are members of the degenerin/epithelial Na+ channel (DEG/ENaC) family that are formed by subunits in a trimeric structure and activated by low extracellular pH4. Different ASIC subunit combinations produce channels with different properties, including pH sensitivity, ion selectivity and pharmacological sensitivity6,7. Six ASIC subunits have been identified, although three (ASIC1A, ASIC2A and ASIC2B) are most readily detectable in brain. Of these, ASIC1A is essential for currents evoked by acidosis in the physiological range (pH > 5)4,7,8.

Accumulating evidence suggests that ASICs promote learning and memory. Loss of ASIC1A tends to reduce hippocampus-dependent learning in the Morris water maze9, cerebellum-dependent eye blink conditioning9, and amygdala-dependent auditory cue and contextual fear conditioning10. Loss of ASIC1A also eliminates CO2 potentiation of fear conditioning11. However, transgenic overexpression of human ASIC1A in mice increases acid-evoked currents in brain neurons and enhances fear conditioning12.

Consistent with these behavioral studies, multiple observations indicate that ASIC1A and ASIC2 are present in the postsynaptic membrane and contribute to synaptic physiology. These proteins are relatively abundant at dendritic spines6,12–14, are enriched in synaptosome-containing brain fractions9,12,14, and interact with the postsynaptic scaffolding proteins PSD-95 and PICK1 (refs. 14,15). In mouse hippocampal slice cultures, extracellular acid increased Ca2+ entry into dendritic spines, an effect that depended largely on ASIC1A.13 Perhaps as a consequence of this reduced Ca2+ entry, knocking down ASIC1A with siRNA decreased dendritic spine density in the hippocampus13. Furthermore, field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) evoked during high-frequency stimulation were impaired in acute hippocampal slices from Asic1a−/− mice relative to wild-type controls, and a deficit in long-term potentiation (LTP) was detected9, although the LTP deficit was not detected by others16. In addition, ASIC1A disruption increased miniature EPSC (mEPSC) frequency and reduced paired-pulse ratios in micro-island cultures of hippocampal neurons, suggesting that, although ASIC1A has been detected by targeting ASIC-dependent neurotransmission.
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in postsynaptic dendritic spines, it might also affect presynaptic release probability\(^7\).

Despite these advances, substantial gaps remain in our knowledge of ASICs in brain function and behavior. Notably, the role of ASIC1A at synapses and its mechanism of activation remain unknown. One model posits that because synaptic vesicles are acidic, acidification of the synaptic cleft during neurotransmission might activate ASICs. However, to date, no ASIC-dependent currents have been detected during synaptic transmission\(^{9,16–18}\). Likewise, although ASIC1A is abundantly expressed in the NAc\(^10\), its role there is unknown. We sought to clarify the role of ASIC1A in the NAc by examining the effects of ASIC1A manipulation on addiction-related behavior, synaptic physiology and morphology. Because previous studies have suggested that ASIC1A promotes associative learning and synaptic plasticity, we hypothesized that ASIC1A would have a similar role in NAc-dependent learning and memory and promote synaptic responses to drugs of abuse.

RESULTS

ASIC1A in NAc affects drug-conditioned place preference

Because of the importance of the NAc in models of addiction and because previous studies have suggested that ASIC1A promotes associative learning and memory, we hypothesized that disrupting ASIC1A would reduce addiction-related learning and memory. To test this hypothesis, we used cocaine-conditioned place preference, which involves memory of a learned association between the rewarding effects of cocaine and an environmental context, is thought to model the ability of drug-associated environments to elicit craving and relapse, and depends on the NAc\(^{19,20}\). We started by testing Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice (Fig. 1). As with previous studies\(^10\), we found that ASIC1A protein was relatively abundant in the NAc of wild-type mice, but was absent in Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice (Fig. 1a). Similarly, extracellular application of acidic ACSF induced large currents from whole-cell recordings in NAc neurons in acute brain slices from wild-type mice, which were absent in Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice (Fig. 1c). However, in contrast with our hypothesis, in conditioned place preference testing, we found that Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice spent a greater amount of time in cocaine-paired contexts than wild-type mice (Fig. 1e). To test whether this effect of ASIC1A disruption was specific to cocaine or whether it generalized to multiple drugs of abuse, we also tested conditioned place preference to morphine, which is also known to depend on the NAc\(^21\). Similar to cocaine, conditioned place preference to morphine was also increased by ASIC1A disruption (Fig. 1f). This unexpected result raises the possibility that ASIC1A in the NAc might reduce drug-associated learning and memory.

To further determine whether the NAc is a key site of ASIC1A action in this behavior, we used the Cre-loxP system. We found that injecting AAV-Cre into the NAc of Asic1a\(^{loxP/loxp}\) mice bilaterally specifically reduced ASIC1A protein in the NAc, as detected by immunohistochemical staining (Fig. 1b) and western blot (Supplementary Fig. 1a). AAV-Cre also eliminated acid-evoked currents in virus-transduced NAc neurons (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 1b). Moreover, similar to Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice, Asic1a\(^{loxP/loxp}\) mice that received AAV-Cre in the NAc exhibited greater cocaine-conditioned place preference than AAV-eGFP–injected controls (Fig. 1g).

Next, we tested whether expressing ASIC1A in the NAc could reverse the exaggerated cocaine-conditioned place preference observed in the Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice. To express ASIC1A specifically in the NAc, we bilaterally injected AAV-Asic1a\(^22\). We found that this virus induced ASIC1A–specific immunohistochemical staining in the NAc (Fig. 2a) and restored acid-evoked currents to normal levels in transduced neurons (Fig. 2b,c). Notably, restoring ASIC1A in the NAc of Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice with AAV-Asic1a reduced cocaine-conditioned place preference relative to AAV-eGFP–injected Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) control mice (Fig. 2d). In contrast, restoring ASIC1A in the dorsal hippocampus of Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice did not alter cocaine-conditioned place preference (Supplementary Fig. 2). Because ASICs may mediate the effects of global acidosis\(^12\), we next tested whether cocaine grossly altered pH in the NAc with a fiberoptic pH sensor; unlike CO\(_2\), cocaine (10 mg per kg of body weight, intraperitoneal) produced no detectable pH change (Supplementary Fig. 3). Together, these results suggest that ASIC1A is critical for drug-related learning and memory, that the ASIC1A effects are reversible and not solely a result of abnormal brain development, and that the NAc is a key site of ASIC1A action. Moreover, in contrast to its effects on other forms of learning and memory, these results suggest that ASIC1A in the NAc negatively regulates drug-associated learned place preference.

**Figure 1** ASIC1A is necessary for acid-evoked currents in the NAc and disrupting ASIC1A increases conditioned place preference to cocaine and morphine. (a) ASIC1A immunohistochemical labeling in the NAc of Asic1a\(^{+/+}\) and Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice (ac, anterior commissure; scale bars represent 1 mm). (b) Immunohistochemical labeling of Asic1a\(^{loxP/loxp}\) mouse injected with AAV-eGFP and AAV-Cre (GFP in green, ASIC1A in red; scale bars represent 1 mm). (c) Representative acid-evoked currents in NAc neurons from Asic1a\(^{loxP/loxp}\) versus Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice. Blue current trace reflects acid-evoked current following amiloride application. (d) Representative acid-evoked currents in NAc neurons from Asic1a\(^{loxP/loxp}\) mice injected with AAV-eGFP or AAV-Cre. (e) Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice exhibited a significantly greater cocaine (10 mg per kg) conditioned place preference (**∗∗∗P < 0.001, Student’s t test with Welch’s correction, n = 10–12). (f) Asic1a\(^{−/−}\) mice also exhibited greater conditioned place preference to morphine (10 mg per kg; **P = 0.0184, Student’s t test, n = 10). (g) Selective partial knockout of ASIC1A in the nucleus accumbens enhanced cocaine (10 mg per kg) conditioned place preference (**P = 0.024, Student’s t test, n = 9–12). Error bars represent ± s.e.m.
ASIC currents contribute to synaptic transmission in the NAc core

To better understand how ASIC1A may exert these unexpected behavioral effects, we focused on synaptic transmission in the NAc. Synaptic vesicles are acidic and acidify the synaptic cleft. Consequently, it has been speculated that protons released from neurotransmitter vesicles might activate ASICs at synapses. We reasoned that it might be possible to detect ASIC-dependent currents there during synaptic transmission, if they exist. To test this possibility, we measured evoked EPSC in the NAc core by whole-cell voltage clamp in brain slices. We started with the ASIC-antagonist amiloride, which blocked a substantial portion of the EPSC that was independent of ASIC1A (Supplementary Fig. 4), consistent with its known effects on molecules other than ASICs. However, after pharmacologically blocking AMPA, NMDA and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, we found that amiloride inhibited a relatively small current that depended on ASIC1A (Fig. 3a). This current, detected in the postsynaptic cell, occurred in the same time frame as postsynaptic glutamate receptor activation, was nearly eliminated in the Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice, and was rescued to normal or slightly greater levels by restoring ASIC1A expression in the NAc with AAV-Asic1a (Fig. 3a,b). With the changes in EPSC amplitude, the ASIC1A-dependent postsynaptic current remained a similar percentage of the total EPSC (Supplementary Fig. 5). Because ASIC2a has been suggested to help deliver ASIC1A to synapses through its interaction with PSD95 (ref. 14), we next tested whether the amiloride-sensitive postsynaptic current might be affected by manipulating ASIC2 subunits. Consistent with a role for ASIC2a or ASIC2b, we found that the amiloride-sensitive postsynaptic current was reduced in the Asic2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, in which both ASIC2 subunits are disrupted (Fig. 3c)<sup>31</sup>. We next tested the effects of psalmotxin (PcTx1), which has been shown to inhibit ASIC1A homomeric channels<sup>32</sup> and ASIC1A/ASIC2B heteromeric channels<sup>33</sup>, but not ASIC1A/ASIC2A heteromeric channels<sup>32</sup>. PcTx1 had no effect on the amiloride-sensitive postsynaptic current in wild-type mice (Fig. 3c) and only partially inhibited the ASIC-mediated current evoked by extracellular acid (pH 5.6; Fig. 3d). However, in the Asic2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, PcTx1 eliminated both the amiloride-sensitive postsynaptic current not ASIC1A/ASIC2A heteromeric channels.
Figure 4 Reducing buffering capacity and inhibiting or deleting CA-IV increased ASIC EPSC in NAC. (a,b) Decreasing the pH-buffering capacity of extracellular solution by reducing HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_2$ did not alter bath pH (pH 7.3), but increased the ASIC EPSC, whereas increasing the buffering capacity by increasing HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_2$ produced the opposite effect, normalized to control levels (dashed line). Differences between the groups were significant (F$_{2, 23}$ = 18.83, P = 0.0025, n = 8 neurons, repeated-measures ANOVA). Reducing buffering capacity increased ASIC EPSC (*P < 0.05), whereas increasing buffering capacity attenuated ASIC EPSC (**P < 0.01, Dunnett's multiple comparisons test). (c) Acetazolamide increased the ASIC EPSC in Asic1a$^{+/+}$ mice, but not Asic1a$^{-/-}$ mice. Representative traces and quantification of the ASIC-dependent portion of the EPSC (EPSC component insensitive to of AP5, CNQX and picrotoxin) with and without acetazolamide in Asic1a$^{+/+}$ and Asic1a$^{-/-}$ mice (**P = 0.0025, Student's t test, n = 7–8 neurons). (d) Card4$^{-/-}$ mice exhibited significantly larger ASIC-dependent EPSCs relative to Card4$^{+/+}$ mice. Representative traces of total EPSC (black), ASIC-dependent EPSC (in presence of AP5, CNQX and picrotoxin, red) and ASIC-dependent EPSC (with addition of amiloride blue) in the NAC of Card4$^{+/+}$ and Card4$^{-/-}$ mice. Quantification of ASIC-dependent EPSC peak was normalized to total EPSC peak (*) P < 0.013, Student’s t test, n = 8–10 neurons. (e) Acetazolamide had no effect on the ASIC-dependent EPSC in Card4$^{+/+}$ mice. Representative traces and quantification of the ASIC-dependent EPSC in the presence (red) and absence of acetazolamide (black) (**P < 0.003, Student’s t test, n = 6–8 neurons). Error bars represent ±s.e.m.

and the acid-evoked current (Fig. 3d). These results suggest that, in the absence of ASIC2, the postsynaptic current is mediated by ASIC1A homeric channels and, in the presence of ASIC2, the postsynaptic current is mediated by PcTx1-insensitive ASIC1A/ASIC2A heteromeric channels.

To assess the importance of pH dynamics in these ASIC-dependent postsynaptic currents, we altered the pH-buffering capacity of the extracellular solutions in slices by changing HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_2$ concentrations. Reducing the buffering capacity increased the ASIC-dependent postsynaptic current, whereas increasing the buffering capacity reduced the ASIC-dependent current (Fig. 4a,b). Next, we tested whether the ASIC-dependent current is affected by carbonic anhydrase IV (CA-IV) an enzyme that is critical for regulating extra-cellular pH. By participating in the excitatory postsynaptic current in the NAc, CA-IV is thought to participate in the regulation of glutamatergic transmission. We found that carbonic anhydrase inhibition with acetazolamide and CA-IV disruption (Card4$^{-/-}$ mice) increased the postsynaptic ASIC-dependent current (Fig. 4c,d), and that acetazolamide no longer exerted its effects in the absence of ASIC1A and CA-IV (Fig. 4c,e). Together, these results suggest the existence of a previously unknown postsynaptic current in the NAc that depends on ASIC1A and ASIC2 and is regulated by CA-IV and pH. By participating in the excitatory postsynaptic current in the NAc, ASIC1A may be well-positioned to influence plasticity and other aspects of synapse structure and function that may underlie the observed conditioned place preference behavior.

ASIC1A disruption increases dendritic spine density in NAc

Alterations in dendritic spine density and morphology in the NAc have been implicated in addiction-related behaviors. This, coupled with previous data indicating that loss of ASIC1A reduces dendritic spine density in the hippocampus, led us to test whether ASIC1A disruption alters dendritic spine density and or morphology in the NAc. To test this possibility, we filled MSNs in the NAc core with Lucifer yellow and quantified spine geometric features using NeuronStudio software (Fig. 5). We found an increase in dendritic spine density in Asic1a$^{-/-}$ mice relative to Asic1a$^{+/+}$ mice (Fig. 5a,b). Analysis of spine subtypes revealed that the greater MSN spine densities in Asic1a$^{-/-}$ mice is largely attributable to an increase in stubby spines (Fig. 5c), and an upward trend of thin spine density (Fig. 5d), whereas mushroom spines were unaltered (Fig. 5e). These results suggest that ASIC1A influences either the formation or turnover of stubby and thin spines, which are thought to represent immature excitatory synapses. Furthermore, these data indicate that ASIC1A disruption increases dendritic spine density in the NAc, which is in contrast with previously observed effects of ASIC1A in the hippocampus.

To determine whether this increase in spine density leads to an increase in glutamatergic transmission, we assessed mEPSCs. We found that the frequency of mEPSCs was increased in Asic1a$^{+/+}$ mice relative to Asic1a$^{-/-}$ controls (Fig. 5f,g,i), whereas mEPSC amplitude was unchanged (Supplementary Fig. 6). Likewise, restoring ASIC1A expression in the NAc with AAV-Asic1a normalized mEPSC frequency (Fig. 5f,h,i). Because an increased mEPSC frequency might also be attributed to an increase in presynaptic release probability, we examined paired-pulse facilitation, a measure of release probability. We found no difference in paired-pulse facilitation in the NAc between Asic1a$^{-/-}$ mice and their wild-type counterparts (Supplementary Fig. 7). Together, the increased density of dendritic spines and the increased mEPSC frequency indicates that ASIC1A disruption increases the number of functioning excitatory synapses in the NAc.
the NAc, which resembles effects previously associated with increased cocaine-conditioned place preference.36

**Loss of ASIC1A alters glutamate receptor function in NAc**

Accumulating evidence suggests that glutamate receptors in the NAc are important for addiction-related behavior.2,3 More specifically, GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors, which are inward rectifying and Ca2+ permeable, have been implicated in learning and memory and the behavioral effects of cocaine, although their effects on cocaine-conditioned place preference have not yet been determined37,38. Thus, we tested whether loss of ASIC1A alters the AMPA receptor rectification index. We found that AMPA receptors in the NAc of drug-naive Asic1a+/− mice were more inward rectifying than wild-type controls (Fig. 6a–c), suggesting an increase in GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors. Furthermore, we found that the rectification index, similar to cocaine-conditioned place preference, was normalized in the Asic1a+/− mice by virus-mediated ASIC1A expression in the NAc (Fig. 6a,b,d). These findings suggest that ASIC1A influences AMPA receptor composition at the synapse, which may contribute to cocaine-related learning and memory behavior.

Changes in the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio have also been implicated in cocaine-related learning and memory39–45. Thus, we tested the effects of ASIC1A on the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio. Drug-naive Asic1a+/− mice exhibited an increase in the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio relative to drug-naive Asic1a+/+ mice (Fig. 7a,b). In addition, as with conditioned place preference and AMPA receptor rectification, restoring ASIC1A expression to the NAc with AAV-Asic1a normalized the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio (Fig. 7a,b), indicating that these effects of ASIC1A disruption are plastic and reversible. Although an increase in the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in the Asic1a+/− mice may seem inconsistent with a lack of change in mEPSC amplitude, the same combination of effects in the NAc core has been associated with cocaine-related synaptic plasticity40. The effect is thought to be a result of an increase in synaptic AMPA receptors40, although a reduction in NMDA receptors remains possible.

**Loss of ASIC1A increases cocaine-evoked plasticity**

Previous studies by others suggest that, following withdrawal from repeated cocaine administrations, the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in the NAc of wild-type mice is sensitive to a single cocaine dose, whereas the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in drug-naive mice is unaffected39. Because the synaptic changes observed above in the Asic1a+/− mice resembled changes following withdrawal, we hypothesized that the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in drug-naive Asic1a+/− mice may be sensitive to a single cocaine challenge. To test this hypothesis, we measured the effects of a single cocaine dose (10 mg per kg, intraperitoneal) on the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in the NAc of drug-naive wild-type and Asic1a+/− mice. We found that a single dose of cocaine reduced the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in drug-naive Asic1a+/− mice 24 h after administration (Fig. 7c,d). In contrast, the single cocaine challenge did not alter the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in wild-type mice unless they had been previously withdrawn from cocaine (Fig. 7c,d). In cocaine-withdrawn Asic1a+/− mice, the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio was lower than in drug-naive Asic1a+/− mice and was unaffected by a subsequent cocaine challenge. Of note, as indicated in the literature39, single and repeated saline injections alone did not affect the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio.

---

**Figure 5** Loss of ASIC1A increases dendritic spine density and mEPSC frequency in the NAc. (a) Representative micrographs of dendritic spines in the NAc of mice of indicated genotypes. (b–e) Quantification of spine density in Asic1a+/− and Asic1a+/+ mice: total spines (*P < 0.0295, n = 5 mice), stubby spines (**P < 0.0412), thin spines (P = 0.0762), mushroom spines (P = 0.6443). (f) Representative traces of mEPSCs in the NAc of Asic1a+/−, Asic1a+/−, and Asic1a+/− mice injected with AAV-Asic1a or AAV-eGFP. (g,h) Cumulative fraction and histograms of mEPSC frequency (insets) from mice of indicated genotypes. (i) mEPSC frequency was significantly elevated in Asic1a+/− mice, and restoring ASIC1A in the NAc reduced mEPSC frequency. A one-way ANOVA revealed significant differences between groups (F3,55 = 8.47, P < 0.001, n = 11–18 neurons per group). Asic1a+/− versus Asic1a+/− mice (*P < 0.05), Asic1a+/− mice injected with AAV-eGFP versus those injected with AAV-Asic1a (**P < 0.01, Tukey’s multiple comparison test). Error bars represent ±s.e.m.
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Figure 6 Loss of ASIC1A increases inward rectification of AMPA-mediated EPSCs, which is normalized by re-establishing ASIC1A expression in the NAC. (a) Representative traces of AMPA receptor–mediated current at holding potentials of −70 and +50 mV in NAC neurons of Asic1a+/− mice versus Asic1a−/− mice and Asic1a−/− injected with AAV-Asic1a versus AAV-eGFP. (b) AMPA receptor rectification index was significantly increased in Asic1a−/− mice, and restoring ASIC1A in the NAC reduced the rectification index to normal levels. One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences between the groups (F(3,32) = 12.42, P < 0.001, n = 9–12 neurons), Asic1a+/− mice versus Asic1a−/− mice (***P < 0.001) and Asic1a−/− mice injected with AAV-eGFP versus those injected with AAV-Asic1a (**P < 0.01, Tukey’s multiple comparison test). (c,d) Current (V(−70 mV) to voltage (mV)) relationship for AMPA receptor–mediated currents in Asic1a+/− versus Asic1a−/− mice (c), and Asic1a−/− mice injected with AAV-eGFP versus AAV-Asic1a (d). Error bars represent ±s.e.m.

Figure 7 ASIC1A disruption increases the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in NAC, which is acutely reversed by single cocaine dose. (a) Representative traces of AMPA and NMDA receptor–mediated EPSCs in the NAC of indicated mice and Asic1a+/− mice injected with AAV-Asic1a or AAV-eGFP. (b) AMPA-to-NMDA ratios in NAC under the indicated conditions. Differences between groups were significant (F(3,32) = 5.179, P = 0.005, n = 7–10 neurons, ANOVA). AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in Asic1a−/− versus to Asic1a+/− mice (*P < 0.05), Asic1a+/− mice injected with AAV-eGFP versus those injected with AAV-Asic1a (*P < 0.05, Sidak’s multiple comparisons test). (c) Representative traces of AMPA receptor–mediated and NMDA receptor–mediated EPSCs in the NAC of wild-type and Asic1a−/− mice receiving a single dose of saline or cocaine (10 mg per kg, intraperitoneal), and cocaine withdrawn mice injected with a single challenge dose of saline or cocaine (10 mg per kg, intraperitoneal). (d) Quantification of AMPA-to-NMDA ratios in NAC of mice under the indicated conditions. Differences between groups were significant (H(7) = 32.38, P < 0.001, n = 8–15 neurons, Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA). AMPA-to-NMDA ratio was significantly reduced in naive Asic1a+/− mice injected with a single dose of cocaine compared with saline-injected controls (**P < 0.001), whereas a single dose of cocaine did not alter the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in naive Asic1a+/− mice (P > 0.05). A challenge dose of cocaine significantly reduced the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in cocaine-withdrawn Asic1a+/− mice (***P < 0.001), but did not alter the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in cocaine-withdrawn Asic1a−/− mice (P > 0.05, Tukey’s). (e) Asic1a-dependent difference was unaffected by saline injections in separate experiment (**P < 0.001, Student’s t test, n = 7 neurons). Error bars represent ±s.e.m.
Figure 8 Overexpressing ASIC1A in the rat NAc attenuates cocaine self-administration.
(a) Western blot illustrating the effect of AAV-Asic1a treatment on rat NAc ASIC1A protein levels. Quantification of the bands shows that AAV-Asic1a treatment significantly increased ASIC1A protein levels in the rat NAc (* P = 0.023, Student’s t test, n = 3 rats).
(b) Representative micrograph illustrating GFP immunohistochemistry in the rat NAc (arrow; ac, anterior commissure).
(c) Representative acid-evoked currents in NAc neurons from AAV-Asic1a– and AAV-eGFP–treated rats. Quantification shows a nonsignificant increase in acid-evoked current density in the AAV-Asic1a–treated rats (* P = 0.0456, Student’s t test, one-tailed, with Welch’s correction, n = 8–10 neurons).
(d) Representative traces of EPSCs before (black) and after (red) addition of APV, CNQX and picrotoxin, and after addition of AP5, CNQX, picrotoxin and amiloride (blue) in AAV-Asic1a– and AAV-eGFP–transduced rat NAc. Inset, higher magnification of EPSC traces before (black) and after (red) addition of APV.
Figure 9 Increases in ASIC1A attenuate cocaine self-administration and increased cocaine-conditioned place preference, a model of drug reward-associated learning and memory. Although cocaine-conditioned place preference does not specifically distinguish between effects on reward versus learning or memory, these results were unexpected, as they were in apparent contrast with the previously observed effects of ASIC1A in promoting learning and memory in amygdala- and cerebellum- and hippocampus-dependent behaviors. Overexpression of ASIC1A in the rat NAc significantly enhanced amiloride-sensitive synaptic currents, expressed as the percentage of unblocked EPSC peak (*** P = 0.0082, Student’s t test, n = 6–7 neurons).
(e) Rats with AAV-Asic1a injected into the NAc showed a rightward shift in cocaine self-administration dose response and fewer cocaine infusions overall. A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of drug dose (F1,72 = 16.25, P < 0.0001, n = 9–11 rats) and a significant drug dose by AAV-treatment interaction (F1,72 = 2.621, P = 0.0418, n = 9–11 rats). Post hoc tests revealed that, at the 30-µg dose, rats overexpressing ASIC1A had significantly fewer infusions than control rats (* P < 0.05).
Error bars represent ±s.e.m.

Glutamate receptor–mediated current may help to explain why it has previously eluded detection. Several observations suggest that this postsynaptic current is ASIC mediated. First, ASIC1A is present at dendritic spines. Second, the current was completely inhibited by the pan-ASIC blocker amiloride. Third, disrupting ASIC1A nearly eliminated the current. Fourth, disrupting ASIC2 attenuated the current. Finally, in Asic1a−/− mice, PcTx1 completely eliminated the current. In addition, we tested the effects of pH-buffering capacity and the critical pH-buffering enzyme in the brain, CA-IV. The ability of acetazolamide and/or genetically disrupting CA-IV to increase the ASIC-dependent postsynaptic current strongly suggests a role for pH in its activation. Given that, during synaptic transmission, the most likely source of protons is from presynaptic neurotransmitter-containing vesicles, detecting an ASIC-dependent component of the EPSC strengthens previous assertions that protons may act as neurotransmitters. By contributing to neurotransmission, these results suggest that ASICs are well-positioned to alter membrane voltage and synaptic [Ca2+], which could increase or decrease synaptic strength depending on timing and magnitude and could produce diverse effects on synapse structure and function. ASIC interactions with other proteins, including PICK1, PSD95, NMDA receptors and voltage-gated Ca2+ channels, might also be involved. Notably, the synaptic changes induced in the NAc by loss of ASIC1A were markedly similar to synaptic adaptations observed previously by others in mice and rats following cocaine withdrawal, and thought to underlie increased cocaine–associated behaviors other than cocaine-conditioned place preference. The mechanisms by which cocaine...
induces these synaptic changes are not fully understood; it remains difficult to discern whether and how the ASIC1A-related changes might intersect with those evoked by cocaine. Paralleling these previously observed effects of cocaine withdrawal on synapses in the NAc, we found that ASIC1A disruption increased AMPA-to-NMDA ratio, AMPA receptor rectification index, mEPSC frequency and density of stubby dendritic spines. Several studies have reported altered spine density and morphology in the NAc following cocaine withdrawal47. Notably, the most obvious morphological effect that we observed following ASIC1A disruption was an increase in stubby spines, a spine type that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been implicated in cocaine-dependent effects. The precise function of stubby spines remains poorly understood, although they are most abundant during development and are thought to be immature and highly plastic (for review, see ref. 48). The increase in total spine density in MSNs of Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice is consistent with the increased mEPSC frequency. Previous studies have also associated an increased mEPSC frequency with increased stubby spine density in the NAc49. Taken together, these results suggest that ASIC1A may help establish or maintain synapse maturity in the NAc. The reversibility of at least some of these changes in adult mice by viral vectors driving ASIC1A expression supports a post-developmental role for ASIC1A. Perhaps most relevant to the increased cocaine-dependent behavior in the Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice was the finding that a single dose of cocaine altered the AMPA-to-NMDA ratio in Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice, but not in their wild-type counterparts, suggesting that cocaine-evoked synaptic plasticity is exaggerated in the Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice.

The observation that ASIC1A disruption increased morphine-conditioned place preference, as well as cocaine-conditioned place preference, suggests that the behavioral effects of ASIC1A may generalize to multiple drugs of abuse and to other models of drug-related behavior. Consistent with this possibility, a recent study suggests that ASIC1A disruption decreased the acute locomotor response to cocaine50. Because the NAc has been implicated in other appetitive behaviors, including food consumption, it would be interesting to know whether ASIC1A alters such appetitive drives. Although Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice did not normally differ in weight from wild-type mice when fed <i>ad libitum</i> (Supplementary Fig. 8), they consumed more sucrose following chronic mild stress, suggesting a possible role for ASIC1A in stress-evoked appetitive behaviors that depend on the NAc.51 Recent studies have focused on the contrasting roles of D1 and D2 neurons in the NAc. Although more work is needed to determine which of these cell types might be important for the behavioral effects of ASIC1A observed here, we speculate that ASIC1A functions in both cell populations because our electrophysiological recordings likely sampled both D1 and D2 neurons, and all of the wild-type MSNs that we tested had ASIC-like currents.

Together, our data suggest a model whereby ASICs contribute to synaptic transmission in the nucleus accumbens, which alters synaptic structure and function likely through postsynaptic depolarization and/ or increased [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<i>i</i>, and consequently reduces cocaine-evoked plasticity and cocaine-related behavior. These findings raise the exciting possibility that ASIC1A in the NAc may reduce vulnerability to addiction and suggest new therapeutic avenues targeting pH, carbonic anhydrase or ASICs at the synapse.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Mice. All mice were maintained on a C57BL/6 genetic background. The mouse strains tested included wild-type C57BL/6, Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup>, Asic2<sup>−/−</sup>, Car<sup>−/−</sup> and Asic1a<sup>loxPlox</sup>, Asic1a<sup>−/loxPlox</sup>, Asic2<sup>−/loxPlox</sup> and Asic2<sup>−/−</sup> mice were described previously<sup>21,22</sup>, as were Car<sup>−/−</sup> mice<sup>11</sup>, Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> mice were obtained from Xenogen Biosciences and intercrossed to produce the Asic1a<sup>−/−</sup> strain. The strategy for developing these mice is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 9. Briefly, exon 2 was selected as the conditional knockout region. The targeting vector contained a neomycin resistance cassette, which was removed at the embryonic stem cell stage by flippase transfection. All mice were housed in groups of 2–5, kept on a standard 12-h light-dark cycle, and fed standard chow and water ad libitum. All experiments were performed during the light cycle. All experimental groups were matched for age (10–15 weeks) and sex. Mice in a given housing group were randomly assigned to a treatment group such that each housing group contained animals with different treatments (for example, for injection of AAVs, each housing group contained a mouse treated with AAV-Asic1a and AAV-eGFP). All animals were naïve to any experimentation at the beginning of each experiment. Animal care met US National Institutes of Health standards, and the University of Iowa Animal Care and Use Committee approved all experiments.

Conditioned place preference. A two-sided chamber was used: one side with black walls and wood floors, and the other side with white walls and mesh floors. A 5-d protocol was used. Day 1 consisted of a 20-min pre-test period in which animals were allowed to explore both chamber sides. Mice spending more than 75% time in either side were excluded. Days 2–4 involved two training periods (one in the morning and one in the afternoon) in which animals were injected with cocaine (10 mg per kg, diluted in 0.9% saline, intraperitoneal, 10 μl per g body mass, Sigma-Aldrich) or 0.9% saline alone (10 μl per g body mass) and confined to one side of the chamber for 30 min. The context paired with cocaine was counterbalanced for all experiments. Conditioned place preference was determined on day 5; a blinded observer quantified time spent on the side previously paired with cocaine during a 20-min choice period. Place preference was determined by subtracting the time spent in the cocaine-paired context on day 1 (pre-test) from time spent in the cocaine-paired context on day 5 (test). Morphine-conditioned place preference was assessed with the same protocol, except after the day 1 pre-test mice received a single injection per day for 6 d: saline (0.9%, 10 μl per g body mass, intraperitoneal) was administered on days 2, 4, and 6, and morphine (10 mg per kg in 0.9% saline, intraperitoneal) on days 3, 5, and 7 and subsequently confined to one side of the chamber for 40 min.

Virus injections. Viral vectors were produced by the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core and were injected bilaterally as described previously<sup>22</sup>. Viral vectors were adeno-associated viruses (AAV) 2/1, with AAV2 capsids and AAV1 capsids and AAV2 ITRs. A CMV promoter was used to drive AAV1-C, eGFP or Cre expression. AAV-eGFP was co-injected with all AAV-Asic1a and AAV-Cre injections for electrophysiological analysis. Viral vectors were produced by the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core and were injected bilaterally as described previously<sup>22</sup>. Viral vectors were adeno-associated viruses (AAV) 2/1, with AAV2 capsids and AAV1 capsids and AAV2 ITRs. A CMV promoter was used to drive AAV1-C, eGFP or Cre expression. AAV-eGFP was co-injected with all AAV-Asic1a and AAV-Cre injections for electrophysiological analysis. Viral vectors were produced by the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core and were injected bilaterally as described previously<sup>22</sup>. Viral vectors were adeno-associated viruses (AAV) 2/1, with AAV2 capsids and AAV1 capsids and AAV2 ITRs. A CMV promoter was used to drive AAV1-C, eGFP or Cre expression. AAV-eGFP was co-injected with all AAV-Asic1a and AAV-Cre injections for electrophysiological analysis. Viral vectors were produced by the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core and were injected bilaterally as described previously<sup>22</sup>. Viral vectors were adeno-associated viruses (AAV) 2/1, with AAV2 capsids and AAV1 capsids and AAV2 ITRs. A CMV promoter was used to drive AAV1-C, eGFP or Cre expression. AAV-eGFP was co-injected with all AAV-Asic1a and AAV-Cre injections for electrophysiological analysis. Viral vectors were produced by the University of Iowa Gene Transfer Vector Core and were injected bilaterally as described previously<sup>22</sup>.
spines with a head diameter >0.35 μm were classified as mushroom, or otherwise classified as thin. Spines with head-to-neck diameter ratios <1:1.1 were also classified as thin if the ratio of spine length-to-neck diameter was greater than 2:5, otherwise they were classified as stubby. Filopodial spines, having a long and thin shape with no enlargement at the distal tip, were very seldom observed and classified herein as thin. An average of 4 neurons was imaged per mouse. 2–4 dendritic segments were imaged per neuron. 6,227 dendritic spines were analyzed in total (3,032 spines in Asic1a+/− mice, 3,195 spines in Asic1a−/− mice).

NAc dissection and western blotting. The NAc was dissected from the brain using a mouse brain matrix (Kent Scientific) and tissue punches (Harris Uni-core). Dissected tissue was then homogenized in cold 1% Triton X-100 (vol/vol) lysis buffer in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and protease inhibitors (Roche Complete, Mini). Protein concentration was determined using the BCA assay (Pierce Protein Research Products). 7.5 μg was run on 4–12% Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen NuPAGE Novex Tris-Acetate Mini Gel) and transferred to a PVDF membrane for western blotting (Immobilon-FL, Millipore). The membrane was blocked for 1 h at 22 °C with a blocking buffer of 0.1% casein (vol/vol), 0.01% sodium azide (vol/vol) in tris-buffered saline containing 0.5% Tween (TBS-T). The membrane was then incubated for 2 h at 22 °C with primary antibodies in blocking buffer, and washed three times with TBS-T. Primary antibodies were used as follows: rabbit polyclonal antibody to ASIC1 (1:500, MTY19) and chicken polyclonal antibody to GAPDH (1:10,000, Millipore AB2302). The membrane was incubated for 1 h at 22 °C with secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer with 0.15% SDS (vol/vol), washed three times with TBS-T and washed three times with PBS. Secondary antibodies used were IRDye 800CW donkey antibody to rabbit IgG and IRDye 680LT donkey antibody to chicken IgG (1:10,000, LI-COR). Membranes were imaged using the odyssey imaging system (LI-COR). Western blotting results were repeated at least twice with no limitations in reproducibility.

Immunohistochemistry. Coronal slices (12 μm) of fresh frozen brain were produced and mounted on slides using the CryoJane sectioning system (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Slides were then postfixed in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% sucrose for 10 min, followed by 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min at 22 °C. This was followed by incubation in rabbit polyclonal antibody to ASIC1 antiseraum (1:1,000, MTY19) for 24 h at 4 °C. The slices were then washed in PBS and subsequently incubated in Alexa Fluor 568–coupled antibody to rabbit IgG (1:500, Invitrogen, A-11011) for 1 h at 22 °C. Slices were visualized using a Zeiss confocal microscope (Zeiss 710). Images were compiled at 10x magnification and compiled using the Zeiss tiling function. Immunohistochemical results were repeated at least twice with no limitations in reproducibility.

Measuring brain pH during cocaine injection and CO₂ inhalation. A fiber optic pH sensor (pHOptica, WPI, detection range pH 5–9) was placed in the NAc (relative to bregma: anteroposterior +1.2 mm, lateral 1 mm, ventral 3.9 mm from pial surface) in a wild-type mouse anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine. Brain pH was measured in response to 20% CO₂ inhalation (21% O₂, N₂ balanced) or cocaine (10 mg per kg in 0.9% saline, intraperitoneal).

Rat self-administration and virus injections. ASIC1A was overexpressed by injecting 0.5 μl of AAV2/1-CMV-Asic1a (mouse) or AAV2/1-CMV-eGFP bilaterally into the NAc of male Sprague-Dawley rats at a rate of 0.1 μl min⁻¹. Coordinates were as follows: anteroposterior +1.7 mm, lateral 1.6 mm, ventral 7.5 mm, relative to skull surface. Control rats received AAV2/1-CMV-eGFP alone. 2 weeks later, intra-jugular venous catheters were implanted. Rats recovered for 1 week before beginning training on cocaine self-administration using previously described procedures. Briefly, self-administration procedures were carried out in operant boxes equipped with two levers (Med Associates). During daily 2-h sessions, rats were trained to press a lever on an FR1 schedule of reinforcement to receive 50 μl infusions of cocaine (300 μg per infusion; cocaine dissolved in 0.9% sterile saline; cocaine-HCl kindly provided by the National Institute on Drug Abuse). Criteria to begin the dose-response study were at least 5 d of self-administration, including at least 15 infusions per session on the last 2 d. After reaching these criteria, rats underwent 1 d of self-administration with each cocaine dose, in descending order (300, 90, 30, 9, 3 μg per infusion).

Statistical analysis. All bar graphs express values as mean ± s.e.m. Distribution normality was assessed with the D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus test. Student’s t-test was used to determine significance between two groups and Welch’s correction was used when indicated by F test for equality of variance. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess differences between more than two groups. When variances differed significantly as measured by Bartlett’s test, a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA (with Dunn’s post hoc tests) was used. Two-way ANOVA was used to test for interactions between two independent variables. Sample sizes were estimated a priori from previously detected large effects of disrupting Asic1a and other genes, where similar sample sizes typically have been sufficient to achieve α < 0.05 and power > 0.80. P values (two-tailed, unless otherwise noted) less than 0.05 were considered significant. Graphpad Prism was used for all statistical analyses.
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