The role of M-mode echocardiography in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: A prospective cohort study
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Abstract. Epicardial movement during diastole is inversely proportional to myocardial stiffness but systolic regional thickening cannot precisely identify ischemic territories. The aim of the present study was to test the hypothesis that a correlation may be present between M-mode echocardiography parameters and poor outcomes in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Patients with known cardiovascular disease were included in the test group (n=1,244) and patients without known cardiovascular disease were included in the control group (n=1,952). Patient records of routine measurements, M-mode echocardiography and mortality were collected. The control population and test population had the same left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (P=0.062) and left ventricular end-diastolic volume (P=0.053). A lower mitral flow velocity (P<0.05), higher Tei index (P<0.0001) and reduced distribution of diastolic wall strain (P<0.0001) were reported in the test populations compared with the control population. Patients of the test population with lower diastolic wall strain (<0.28) demonstrated a higher mortality rate than those with higher diastolic wall strain (≥0.28; P<0.0001) at the 3-year follow-up. M-mode echocardiographic parameters may be of use for predicting poor outcomes in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction.

Introduction

Most patients with heart failure have a preserved ejection fraction (1). In cases of heart failure, population with preserved ejection fraction is associated with higher mortality rates compared with that of healthy hearts (2). Ethnicity has a major impact on the mortality and morbidity of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (2,3). Asian patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fractions have poorer outcomes compared with Caucasian patients (2,4,5).

The pathophysiology behind heart failure with preserved ejection fraction is complicated and therefore difficult to diagnose (6). However, diastolic stiffness (which presents myocardial relaxation and filling pressure) of the left ventricle serves a crucial role in the pathophysiology (7). Diastolic stiffness is measured using M-mode echocardiography. According to the linear elastic theory, the diastolic epicardial movement is a noninvasive measurement of the left ventricular wall distensibility (8). Echocardiography is able to provide a comprehensive assessment of the anatomy and physiology of the heart (9). Echocardiographic parameters of anatomical and physiological changes of the heart provide a framework for providing effective prognoses and outcomes in the management of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (10).

One of the echocardiographic parameters, the diastolic wall strain (DWS), is a physical property of the myocardial tissue. There is less myocardial thinning and less wall movement in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction compared with cardiac healthy patients (8). DWS predicts the presence of heart failure with preserved diastolic function (7). An experimental study demonstrated that the epicardial movement during diastole is inversely proportional to the myocardial stiffness of heart failure in rats with preserved ejection fraction (8). Until recently, DWS has not been examined in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction.

The primary aim of the present study was to investigate the relationship between M-mode echocardiographic parameters and the structure and function of the heart. The secondary endpoint of the workup was to test the hypothesis that a correlation is present between M-mode echocardiography parameters and poor outcomes of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. The tertiary aim of the present study was to identify an independent parameter associated with mortality.
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of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction in Chinese populations.

Materials and methods

Inclusion criteria. Patients with heart failure and symptoms including left ventricular dysfunction, palm edema, plasma brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) ≥100 pg/ml, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure ≥15 mmHg and/or left ventricular end-diastolic pressure at rest ≥12 mmHg, with an ejection fraction (measured by echocardiography) >50% and no pericardial disease, hypertrophic/infiltrative cardiomyopathy or significant left-sided valvular disease, were included in the test populations of the current study. Patients without known cardiovascular disease, hypertension, obesity (according to body mass index) or diabetes (according to blood glucose levels) were included in the control population of the current study (Table I).

Exclusion criteria. Patients aged <45 years for the test population, <65 years for the control population and those that had not signed an informed consent form were excluded from the present study. Patients with heart failure and an ejection fraction <50%, posterior wall motion abnormalities, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, pericardial effusion, infiltrative cardiomyopathy and significant left-sided valvular disease were excluded from the test population of the current study. Patients who displayed heart failure risk factors (such as cardiovascular disease, hypertension, obesity and diabetes) were excluded from the control population of the current study. Patients who presented ambiguous images that were difficult to interpret and draw conclusions from during diagnosis were excluded from analysis.

Cohorts. Patients with known cardiovascular disease were included in the test group (n=1,244) and patients without known cardiovascular disease were included in the control group (n=1,952).

Routine measurements. Blood pressure was measured using a sphygmomanometer (Omron HEM-8712; Omron Healthcare, Inc.). Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure >140 and >90 mmHg, respectively (11). Blood samples were collected from all enrolled patients and samples were evaluated for total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), blood serum creatinine, random blood plasma glucose levels, hemoglobin, and % glycated hemoglobin (% HbA1c, normal value: ≤7%) (12). Patients were considered diabetic if random plasma glucose was >140 mg/dl. Hyperlipidemia was defined as a total cholesterol level >240 mg/dl, LDL >160 mg/dl or/and HDL <40 mg/dl (11). ELISA kits (NPPB/BNP Human ELISA Kit; cat. no. EHNPPB; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were used to determine BNP (13).

M-mode echocardiography. Ultrasound equipment (iE33; Philips Medical Systems, Inc.) was used to perform M-mode echocardiography using cardiac ultrasonographiers. The dimensions, shortening fraction (SF) and the left ventricle's wall thickness was measured from the long-axis view using a 2-3 MHz transducer (14).

DWS was calculated using the following equation (8): DWS=(left ventricle posterior wall thickness at end systole-left ventricle posterior wall thickness at end diastole)/left ventricle posterior wall thickness at end diastole. Echo machine data were printed out, magnified to 300%, and measurements were performed manually.

A four-chambered view was taken for the mitral flow velocity and the myocardial wall motion velocity measurements. The sample was placed at the mitral leaflet's tip. Peak atrial and the peak early diastolic flow velocities were determined. The mitral flow E/A was calculated using the following equation (8): Mitral flow (E/A)=(peak early diastolic flow velocity)/peak atrial flow velocity). The sample was kept at the lateral site of an atrial-ventricular valve annulus. The peak systolic velocity and the peak early diastolic wall velocity was measured. E/e' ratio was measured using the following equation (8): E/e' ratio=peak early diastolic flow velocity/peak early diastolic wall velocity.

The Tei index was calculated using the following equation: Tei index=(X-Y)/Y. Where X represents the time between the mitral annular late diastolic wave trailing edge and the subsequent mitral annular early diastolic wave leading edge. Y represents the time between the leading edge of the mitral annular systolic wave and the trailing edge of the mitral annular systolic wave (15).

Mortality records were collected from Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM®).

Statistical analysis. For all parameters of M-mode echocardiography, the mean value of five individual heartbeats were considered for statistical analysis. Individual heartbeat was measured at the time interval of 5 min of the rest period. Categorical data were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test (7) and continuous data were analyzed using unpaired t-test (16). Bland-Altman analysis was used to check intra-observer and inter-observer reliabilities (14). Multiple regression analysis was used to analyze survival rates. Statistical analysis was performed using InStat (Windows version 3.01; GraphPad Software, Inc.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Demographic characters and medical history. A total of 1,556 patients with heart failure were admitted to the Affiliated Dongfeng Hospital, China between 15th August 2012 and 1st August 2015. Among these, there were a total of 145 patients <45 years, images of 7 patients were indicated to be inadequate, 71 patients had ejection fraction <50%, 17 patients had posterior wall motion abnormalities, 19 patients had hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, 15 patients had pericardial effusion, 11 patients had infiltrative cardiomyopathy and 27 patients had left-sided valvular disease; therefore, these patients were excluded from the test population. From the Affiliated Dongfeng Hospital, China and the same referring hospitals of China during the same time period, a total of 2,286 patients were available at an outpatient setting without known cardiovascular disease.
Among these patients, 245 patients <65 years, images of 10 patients were indicated to be inadequate, 20 patients had cardiovascular diseases with 15 of whom had reported hypertension during measurements, 17 patients were obese and 27 patients had diabetes; therefore, these patients were excluded from the control population of the current study. A flowchart of the study is presented in Fig. 1.

A total of 1,244 patients were included in the test population. A total of 1,952 patients were included in the control population. There were no significant differences in height (P=0.056), sex (P=0.079), and family history for cardiac disease (P=0.835) but significant differences in blood serum creatinine (P=0.035) between the control and the test groups were observed. Both groups had the same numbers of smokers (P=0.066). The control population was younger than the test population (P<0.0001). Waist circumference (P<0.0001), patients who had consumed alcohol, (P<0.0001) and liver steatosis (P<0.0001) were higher in the test population compared with the control population. Unlike the control group, test group patients received a treatment of β-blockers or calcium channel blockers. Further demographic characteristics of enrolled patients are presented in Table I.

**Routine measurements.** Laboratory tests confirmed that the test population exhibited higher rates of obesity (P<0.0001), hypertension (P<0.0001), diabetes (P<0.0001), high heart rate (P<0.0001) and high BNP levels (P<0.0001) compared with the control population (Table II).

### Table I. Demographics and social characteristics of enrolled patients.

| Characteristics                          | Group                                             | Comparison between groups, P-value |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                          | Test population | Control population |                                |
| Number of patients enrolled              | 1,244          | 1,952              | N/A                              |
| Age, years                               |                 |                    |                                  |
| Minimum                                  | 45              | 65                 |                                  |
| Maximum                                  | 90              | 90                 |                                  |
| Mean ± SD                                | 61.12±4.12     | 71.75±11.15        | <0.0001                          |
| Sex                                      |                 |                    |                                  |
| Male                                     | 807 (65)        | 1,326 (68)         | 0.079                            |
| Female                                   | 437 (35)        | 626 (32)           |                                  |
| Height, m                                | 1.56±0.09       | 1.55±0.17          | 0.056                            |
| Smokers                                  | 187 (15)        | 343 (18)           | 0.066                            |
| Blood serum creatinine                   | 1.20±0.2        | 1.21±0.05          | 0.035                            |
| Waist circumference<sup>a</sup>          | 95.12±5.27      | 89.56±7.51         | <0.0001                          |
| Routine exercise                         | Tai Chi         | No exercise except occasional walking | N/A                               |
| Alcohol intake                           | 115 (9)         | 85 (4)             | <0.0001                          |
| Live steatosis<sup>b</sup>               | 21 (2)          | 0 (0)              | <0.0001                          |
| Types of heart failure                   |                 |                    |                                  |
| Right-sided                              | 545 (43)        | 0 (0)              | N/A                              |
| Left-sided                               | 699 (56)        | 0 (0)              |                                  |
| Acute                                    | 312 (25)        | 0 (0)              |                                  |
| Chronic                                  | 932 (75)        | 0 (0)              |                                  |
| Stroke                                   | 32 (3)          | 1 (0.05)           | N/A                              |
| Family history                           |                 |                    |                                  |
| Coronary artery disease                  | 21 (2)          | 1 (0.05)           |                                  |
| Peripheral artery disease                | 7 (1)           | 0 (0)              | 0.835                            |
| Present medications                      |                 |                    |                                  |
| β-blockers                               | 247 (20)        | 0 (0)              |                                  |
| Calcium channel blockers                 | 152 (12)        | 0 (0)              |                                  |
| Hematinic                                | 41 (3)          | 42 (2)             |                                  |
| Immune Tonic                             | 31 (3)          | 35 (2)             | <0.0001                          |

The data was presented number (%) for categorical characteristics and mean ± SD for continuous data. Mann-Whitney U test was performed between groups for categorical data and unpaired t-test was performed between groups for continuous data. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. <102 cm for men and <88 cm for women were considered normal. An augmentation of fat in the hepatic cells. N/A, not applicable; SD, standard deviation.

---

**Notes:**

<sup>a</sup> <102 cm for men and <88 cm for women were considered normal.

<sup>b</sup> An augmentation of fat in the hepatic cells. N/A, not applicable; SD, standard deviation.
**M-mode echocardiography evaluation.** The median value of the 25th to 75th percentile DWS for the control population was 0.45 (range, 0.35-0.55) and that of the test populations was 0.38 (range, 0.28-0.48). The distribution of DWS in the test populations of the current study was less compared with the control population (Fig. 2; P<0.0001). Intra-observer and interobserver reliabilities were 0.0021±0.00051 and 0.0031±0.00061, respectively.

With respect to control population, the test population had the same left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (P=0.060) and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume (P=0.054). However, the test population had a higher left ventricular end-systolic dimension (P<0.0001), reduced SF (P<0.0001), reduced posterior wall thickness at end-systole (P=0.007), reduced posterior wall thickness at end-diastole (P<0.0001), less DWS (P<0.0001), reduced mitral flow velocity (P<0.05), reduced myocardial wall motion velocity (P<0.05), increased Tei index (P<0.0001), increased left ventricular end-systolic volume (P<0.0001), and reduced ejection fraction (P<0.0001) compared with the control population (Table III).

**Analysis of mortality.** Patients from the test population who demonstrated the most severe M-mode echocardiography parameters, which were determined according to DWS, left ventricular end-diastolic volume, left ventricular end-systolic dimension, posterior wall thickness at end-systole and mitral flow velocity, died during a follow-up period of 3-years. Demographic characteristics and medical history did not appear to be associated with mortality (Table IV). A total of 491 patients from the test population died during the 3-year follow-up period. In the test population, the numbers of patients with lower DWS (<0.28) died within 3-years of the follow-up and mortality rate was higher compared with the numbers of patients with higher (≥0.28) DWS (P<0.0001; Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

In a large comparative M-mode echocardiography study on patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction vs. normal (cardiac healthy) research subjects, DWS was indicated to be lower (P<0.0001) in the test population than in the cardiac healthy patients. Decreased DWS measurements were associated with worse outcomes, and within the test populations, patients with reduced DWS had a higher mortality rate.
DWS is associated with left ventricle stiffness. Patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction exhibiting high diastolic stiffness, and lower DWS has been previously associated with higher mortality. DWS is easy to calculate and cost-effective. The DWS measurements of the present study were in line with previous studies. In consideration of the results of the present study, DWS may be important M-mode echocardiography parameter for evaluating the risk of diastolic stiffness in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction.

The present study demonstrated that the test population had high BNP, impaired left ventricular relaxation and lower myocardial wall motion velocity compared with the control population. Increased impaired left ventricular relaxation, raised BNP and lower myocardial wall motion velocity results in the increased filling pressure of the left ventricle, in turn increasing its stiffness and poorer outcomes such as myocardial infarction. The results of the present study were consistent with previous studies. However, these parameters are load dependent and intrinsic passive myocardial stiffness is not possible to predict. Furthermore, BNP results are also unreliable for predicting whether patients will have poor outcomes as BNP is also affected by renal function, obesity and pulmonary dysfunction.

Table II. Routine measurements.

| Characteristics                  | Normal value | Test population | Control population | Comparison between groups, P-value |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Numbers of patients enrolled     | N/A          | 1,244          | 1,952              | N/A                               |
| Weight, kg                       | 60-65        | 75.15±7.12     | 63.16±9.12         | <0.0001                           |
| BMI, kg/m²                       | ≤30          | 30.87±3.15     | 25.12±3.85         | <0.0001                           |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg   | 90           | 91±3           | 85±4               | <0.0001                           |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg    | 140          | 146±4          | 131±8              | <0.0001                           |
| Heart rate, bpm                  | 60-100       | 93±8           | 86±4               | <0.0001                           |
| Random glucose, mg/dl            | ≤140         | 171±9          | 132±7              | <0.0001                           |
| The total cholesterol            | 240          | 261±9          | 215±7              | <0.0001                           |
| LDL                              | ≤160         | 171±8          | 145±3              | <0.0001                           |
| HDL                              | ≥40          | 33±3           | 55±7               | <0.0001                           |
| HbA1c, %                         | ≤7           | 8.2±0.5        | 6.5±0.25           | <0.0001                           |
| BNP, pg/ml                       | ≤100         | 115±8          | 32±2               | <0.0001                           |

Normal value was based on a healthy Chinese person. Data were presented as mean ± SD. Unpaired t-test was performed for statistical analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. BMI, body mass index; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; N/A, not applicable; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 2. Cumulative frequency distribution of diastolic wall strain in the different study populations. Cardiac Ultrasonography was performed using M-mode echocardiography. The Fisher exact test was used for statistical analysis.
1974

LI and MIN: M-MODE ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY WITH HEART FAILURE AND PRESERVED EJECTION FRACTION

The present study aimed to assess if left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume were successfully predicted by M-mode echocardiography. If the present study had enrolled patients of equal age for both groups, it is possible that the results could be different for left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume between the groups. In this condition, the study should perform multivariate analysis to evaluate the effect of age on left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume considering the control group as the reference standard. However, in the present study, logistic regression analysis was not possible, using the control group as a reference standard because height, waist circumference, present medication and other demographic parameters varied between the control and test populations. Therefore, the present study enrolled patients (age range, 45-90 years) with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction in the test group, and 65-90 years old patients without known cardiovascular disease in the control population. Additionally, the left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and volume declines with age (14,23). Left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and left ventricular end-diastolic volume are successfully evaluated by the present M-mode echocardiographic study. There is a requirement for further tailored made studies investigating the relationship between M-mode echocardiography and demographical characteristics of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction, on adverse outcomes.

The present study used comparative M-mode echocardiography to investigate the outcome in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Unlike M-mode echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) can acquire images in any plane and/or any long axis, and diastolic stiffness

Table III. Left ventricle structure and M-mode echocardiography parameters.

| Characteristics                      | Test population | Control population | Comparison between groups, P-value |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Numbers of patients enrolled         | 1,244          | 1,952              | N/A                              |
| LVIDs, mm                            | 30.81±5.45a    | 29.92±4.51         | <0.0001                          |
| LVIDd, mm                            | 46.92±5.45     | 47.46±9.15         | 0.060                            |
| Shortening fraction, %               | 31.23±3.41a    | 35.45±5.41         | <0.0001                          |
| Posterior wall thickness at end-systole | 10.01±2.01a   | 10.21±2.11         | 0.007                            |
| Posterior wall thickness at end-diastole | 14.01±1.91a  | 15.12±2.92         | <0.0001                          |
| DWS                                  | 0.30±0.07a     | 0.34±0.05          | <0.0001                          |
| Mitral flow velocity                 | 1.78±2.45a     | 2.15±6.21          | 0.040                            |
| Myocardial wall motion velocity      | 6.09±1.95a     | 6.28±2.11          | 0.004                            |
| Tei index                            | 0.39±0.016a    | 0.38±0.015         | <0.0001                          |
| EDV, ml                              | 162.25±5.47    | 161.51±12.81       | 0.053                            |
| ESV, ml                              | 81.15±4.47a    | 80.12±4.14         | <0.0001                          |
| % EF                                 | 59.12±2.91a    | 63.35±3.12         | <0.0001                          |

Data were presented as mean ± SD. Unpaired t-test was performed for statistical analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. aSignificantly worse vs. control population. DWS, diastolic wall strain; EDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; EF, ejection fraction; ESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVIDd, end-diastolic dimension; LVIDs, end-systolic dimension; N/A, not applicable; SD, standard deviation.

Table IV. Multiple regression analysis for mortality in the test population during follow-up of 3-years.

| Characteristics                      | P-value for the analysis of parameter |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| LVId, mm                             | 0.001a                                 |
| LVId, mm                             | 0.002a                                 |
| Shortening fraction, %               | 0.015a                                 |
| Posterior wall thickness at end-systole | 0.025a                             |
| Posterior wall thickness at end-diastole | 0.026a                         |
| DWS                                  | 0.001a                                 |
| The mitral flow velocity             | 0.002a                                 |
| The myocardial wall motion velocity  | 0.021a                                 |
| Tei index                            | 0.031a                                 |
| EDV, ml                              | 0.041a                                 |
| ESV, ml                              | 0.032a                                 |
| % EF                                 | 0.021a                                 |
| Age, years                           | 0.12                                   |
| Sex                                  | 0.15                                   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²               | 0.17                                   |
| Smoking                              | 0.55                                   |
| Family history                       | 0.69                                   |
| Brain natriuretic peptide, pg/ml     | 0.052                                  |
| Diabetes                             | 0.062                                  |
| Hypertension                         | 0.075                                  |

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. aSignificant parameter associated with mortality. Data of patients who survived were considered as a reference. DWS, diastolic wall strain; EDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; EF, ejection fraction; ESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVIDd, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; LVIDs, left ventricular end-systolic dimension. (P=0.054) were the same between the groups. The present study aimed to assess if left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume were successfully predicted by M-mode echocardiography. If the present study had enrolled patients of equal age for both groups, it is possible that the results could be different for left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume between for both groups. In this condition, the study should perform multivariate analysis to evaluate the effect of age on left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and the left ventricular end-diastolic volume considering the control group as the reference standard. However, in the present study, logistic regression analysis was not possible, using the control group as a reference standard because height, waist circumference, present medication and other demographic parameters varied between the control and test populations. Therefore, the present study enrolled patients (age range, 45-90 years) with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction in the test group, and 65-90 years old patients without known cardiovascular disease in the control population. Additionally, the left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and volume declines with age (14,23). Left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and left ventricular end-diastolic volume are successfully evaluated by the present M-mode echocardiographic study. There is a requirement for further tailored made studies investigating the relationship between M-mode echocardiography and demographical characteristics of patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction, on adverse outcomes.

The present study used comparative M-mode echocardiography to investigate the outcome in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Unlike M-mode echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) can acquire images in any plane and/or any long axis, and diastolic stiffness
Figure 3. Mortality of the test population at the 3-year of follow-up. The Fisher exact test was used for statistical analysis. A DWS of 0.28 was selected as a lower limit because it was the 25th percentile of the test populations. DWS, Diastolic wall strain; HFpEF, Heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. *P<0.0001.

parameters have been found to be similar to those of M-mode echocardiography (1,24). However, CMR has limited facilities in China and T1 CMR mapping data in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction are still limited (25). Therefore, the present study adopted traditional M-mode echocardiography to perform the study.

During the present study, following a 3-year follow-up period, ~40% of patients died. This is higher than demonstrated in previous studies (2,7,19,20). It is well-established that systolic regional thickening abnormalities in patients with heart failure patients and preserved ejection fraction accompany significant ischemia, but systolic regional thickening cannot precisely identify ischemic territories (26). Therefore, the finding that patients with lower systolic regional thickening have a higher mortality rate may be an important finding in itself.

In the present study, the DWS values were 0.30±0.007 in the test population, but all test population patients were hypertensive, diabetic and possibly under medication. The echocardiogram profile appeared similar to the normal values for the test population. However, since significant differences were observed in all echocardiogram parameters in the test population compared with the control group (P<0.05). Therefore, there is the possibility of the false positive errors (α-errors) for echocardiogram parameters of the present study. The present study performed multiple regression analysis to predict mortality. Multiple regression analysis showed that the left ventricular end-systolic and end-diastolic dimension, SF, posterior wall thickness at end-systole and at end-diastole, DWS, the mitral flow velocity, the myocardial wall motion velocity and the other abnormal echocardiogram parameters of the test population were associated with a higher mortality.

There are a number of limitations of the present study. DWS was found to be significantly lower in control patients. The reason behind these false low values of DWS in the normal subjects is not clear. The effect of the different types of heart failure on M-mode echocardiography parameters were not evaluated. M-mode echocardiography parameters were not compared with CMR T1 images. Although none of the patients in the present study exhibited abnormalities of regional wall motion, M-mode echocardiography parameters do not provide reliable results in such cases (27), instead, speckle tracking echocardiography should be performed in such patients.

In conclusion, the majority of echo parameters between the two groups were statistically different. Each of these parameters were found to be sufficient to indicate a higher mortality rate among patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction, similar to that of DWS. Poor outcomes in Chinese patients with heart failure patients and preserved ejection fraction can be predicted using DWS measurements and further M-mode echocardiography parameters. Echocardiography is a useful tool for diagnosing the clinically important dilemma of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.
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