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Abstract In this paper we presented an investigation of effects of the toroidal field ripple (TF ripple) (due to finite number of the toroidal field coils) on the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and Shafranov shift in IR-T1 Tokamak. For these purposes, array of magnetic probes and also a diamagnetic loop with its compensation coil were designed, constructed and installed on outer surface of the IR-T1. Amplitude of the TF ripple is obtained 0.01, and also the effects of TF ripple on the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and Shafranov shift were discussed. In the high field side region of tokamak chamber, the TF ripple effects is the decreasing and increasing of the poloidal beta and internal inductance, respectively, whereas the low field side have inverse situations. Also no sensible variation observed in the Shafranov shift due to TF ripple on IR-T1 plasma.
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1. Introduction

In most of toroidal plasma equilibrium studies, tokamak equilibria are investigated as axisymmetric systems (two-dimensional systems). Although this symmetry offers many advantages for its analysis, but realistic tokamaks consists of finite number of toroidal field coils (TF). Then, this discreteness yields the toroidal field ripples (a periodic variation of the toroidal magnetic field) (TF ripples). In other words, realistic tokamaks could not be axisymmetric configurations. Most of the TF ripple studies have been done on effects of the TF ripple on confinement of the high energy alpha particles, formation of internal transport barriers, plasma rotation, and H-mode performance. In IR-T1 Tokamak, which it is a small, low beta and large aspect ratio tokamak with a circular cross section (see Table 1), the number N of TF coils is 16, and then the period of the TF ripple was 22.5°. In this paper we presented the effects of the TF ripple on determinations of the poloidal beta, plasma internal inductance, and therefore the Shafranov parameter and Shafranov shift in IR-T1. Determinations of the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and Shafranov shift are essential for tokamak experiments and optimized operation. Also some of the plasma informations can be deduced from these parameters, such as plasma toroidal current profile, plasma energy, plasma energy confinement time, and magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) instabilities.

Magnetic diagnostics, in particular diamagnetic loop are commonly used in tokamaks to measure the variation of toroidal flux induced by the plasma and then the poloidal Beta. On the other hand, the magnetic fields distribution outside the plasma provides the measurement of the combination of poloidal beta and internal inductance, via the Shafranov parameter. Then measurement of the Shafranov parameter from the magnetic probes and poloidal beta from the diamagnetic loop gives a value of the internal inductance. Also Shafranov shift can be determined from the Shafranov parameter. Although the questionable parameters in this work usually analyzed as a global plasma parameters, but we present theoretical and experimental investigation of the TF ripple on the estimate of these parameters from localized measurements. Because of dependence of the toroidal field on the TF ripple amplitude, therefore we expect that these parameters are also depending on TF ripple amplitude[1-51]. Brief approach for determinations of the TF ripple and Shafranov parameter by the discrete magnetic probes will be presented in section 2. Diamagnetic loop method for measurement of the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and then the Shafranov shift will be presented in section 3. Details of design and construction of magnetic probe and diamagnetic loop will be presented in section 4. Experimental results will be discussed in section 5. Summary and conclusion will be discussed in section 6.
Table 1. Main Parameters of the IR-T1 Tokamak

| Parameters         | Value          |
|--------------------|----------------|
| Major Radius       | 45 cm          |
| Minor Radius       | 12.5 cm        |
| Toroidal Field     | 1.0 T          |
| Plasma Current     | 40 kA          |
| Discharge Duration | 35 ms          |
| Electron Density   | 0.7-1.5 $10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$ |
| Toroidal Field Coils | 16            |

2. Determinations of the TF Ripple and Shafranov parameter by the Discrete Magnetic Probes

A simple analytic model of the toroidal magnetic field strength widely used in the analysis is the following:

$$B_\phi(\theta, \phi) = B_0 (1 - \xi \cos \theta - \delta \cos \phi N \phi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $B_0$ is the toroidal magnetic field at center of the tokamak chamber, $\theta$ and $\phi$ are poloidal and toroidal angles respectively, $\xi$ is the inverse aspect ratio, $N$ is the number of the toroidal field coils, and $\delta$ is the amplitude of the TF ripple where defined as:

$$\delta = \frac{\delta B}{\langle B \rangle_\phi} = \frac{B_{\text{max}} - B_{\text{min}}}{B_{\text{max}} + B_{\text{min}}}. \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

In the IR-T1, the number of TF coils is 16, then the period of the TF ripple was 22.5°, and the inverse aspect ratio is 0.278. Although the amplitude of TF ripple is no constant at the different poloidal angles, we can define the average value of TF ripple amplitude from the Eq. (1):

$$\delta = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{B_\phi(\theta = 0, \phi = \pi / N) - B_\phi(\theta = 0, \phi = 0)}{B_0} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left( B_\phi(\theta = \pi, \phi = \pi / N) - B_\phi(\theta = \pi, \phi = 0) \right), \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where these values of the toroidal magnetic fields can be determined using the magnetic probes at above poloidal and toroidal angles. Our measurements using the magnetic pick-up coils on surface of the IR-T1 tokamak show that the amplitude of TF ripple on the sensor position is 0.01, which is close to the result of modeling as shown in Fig. (1) (Fig. (1) is a plot of the Eq. (1) at the edge of IR-T1 tokamak plasma).

Also the Shafranov parameter and therefore Shafranov shift relate to the distribution of magnetic fields around the plasma current. Therefore, those can be written in terms of the tangential and normal components of the magnetic field on the contour $\Gamma$ (see Fig. (2)). Distributions of the tangential and normal magnetic fields can be written in the first order of the inverse aspect ratio as follows, respectively[1-5]:

$$B_\theta = \frac{\mu_0 I_p}{2\pi b} \frac{\mu_0 I_p}{4\pi R_0} \times \left\{ \ln \frac{a}{b} + 1 - \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a^2}{b^2} + 1 \right) - 2R_0 \Delta_s \right) \right\} \cos \theta, \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$B_\phi = \frac{\mu_0 I_p}{4\pi R_0} \times \left\{ \ln \frac{a}{b} + \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a^2}{b^2} - 1 \right) + 2R_0 \Delta_s \right) \right\} \sin \theta \hspace{1cm} (5)$$
where $R_0$, is the major radius of the vacuum vessel, $\Delta_s$ is the Shafranov shift, $I_p$ is the plasma current, $a$ and $b$ are the minor plasma radius and minor chamber radius respectively. These equations accurate for low $\beta$ plasma, large aspect ratio, and circular cross section tokamaks as IR-T1, and where:

$$\Lambda = \beta_p + I_i / 2 - 1 = 
\ln \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + \frac{\pi R_0}{\mu_0 I_p} \left( \langle B_o \rangle + \langle B_p \rangle \right), \tag{6}$$

where

$$\langle B_o \rangle = B_o (\theta = 0) - B_o (\theta = \pi),$$

$$\langle B_p \rangle = B_p (\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}) - B_p (\theta = \frac{3\pi}{2}), \tag{7}$$

and where $\beta_p$ is the poloidal beta and $I_i$ is the plasma internal inductance. We measured $B_o$ and $B_p$ which are the contribution of the plasma current to the equilibrium field, after compensating the vacuum field and integrating of the output signals of magnetic probes.

The major approximations in our work are that we suppose the plasma minor radius defined by limiter radius, namely $a$ is constant, and also the TF ripple amplitude at different poloidal angles is constant, whereas the toroidal flux is no constant as a function of phi, and then we have to have field lines which loop back on themselves, in which case we have to have localized poloidal currents. Experimental results will be presented in the section 5.

3. Measurements of the Poloidal Beta and Internal Inductance with Diamagnetic Loop

Diamagnetic loop measures the toroidal diamagnetic flux for the purpose of measurement of the poloidal beta and thermal energy of the plasma. The toroidal flux produced by the plasma is related to the total perpendicular thermal energy of the plasma. This diamagnetic flux is usually measured with the diamagnetic loop. It is consists of a simple loop that links the plasma column, ideally located in a poloidal direction in order to minimize poloidal field pick-up. Relation between the diamagnetic flux and the poloidal beta is[2-7]:

$$\beta_p = 1 - \frac{8\pi B_\phi}{\mu_0^2 I_p^2} \Delta \Phi_D, \tag{8}$$

where $\Delta \Phi_D = \Phi_{total} - \Phi_{vacuum}$ is the diamagnetic flux.

By substituting the Eq. (1) in the Eq. (8) we have:

$$\beta_p = 1 - \frac{8\pi B_o (1 - \varepsilon \cos \theta - \delta \cos N\phi)}{\mu_0^2 I_p^2} \Delta \Phi_D, \tag{9}$$

where $\Phi_{vacuum} = \Phi_T + \Phi_O + \Phi_F + \Phi_E$, and where $B_0$ is the toroidal magnetic field in the absence of the plasma and center of chamber which can be measured using the Ampere law, $I_p$ is the plasma current, $\Phi_T$ is the toroidal flux because of toroidal coils, $\Phi_O$ and $\Phi_F$ are the passing flux through loop due to possible misalignment between ohmic field and vertical field and the diamagnetic loop, and $\Phi_E$ is the toroidal field due to eddy current on the vacuum chamber. These fluxes can be compensated with compensation coil (see Fig. (3)) and also using dry runs technique. It must be noted that compensating coil for diamagnetic loop is wrapped out of the plasma current, and only the toroidal flux (which is induced by the change of toroidal field coil current when plasma discharges) can be received (see Figs. (2),(3)). Therefore, according to above two sections we can find the internal inductance. From Eq. (6) we have:

$$li = 2(\Lambda - \beta_p + 1) \tag{10}$$

By substituting the Eq. (6) and (9) in Eq. (10), we can write:

$$li = 2 \ln \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + \frac{2\pi R_0}{\mu_0 I_p} \left( \langle B_o \rangle + \langle B_p \rangle \right) + \frac{16\pi B_0 (1 - \varepsilon \cos \theta - \delta \cos N\phi)}{\mu_0^2 I_p^2} \Delta \Phi_D, \tag{11}$$

Also, the Shafranov shift is determined from rearranging the Eq. (4):

$$\Delta_s = \frac{\pi b^2}{\mu_0 I_p} \langle B_o \rangle +$$

$$\frac{b^2}{2R_0} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + 1 - \left( \frac{a^2}{b^2} + 1 \right) \left( \beta_p - \frac{I_i}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \tag{12}$$

where the effects of the TF ripple introduced in the last expression.

Experimental results of effects of the TF ripple on measurements of the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and Shafranov shift, will be presented in the section 5.

4. Design and Construction of the Magnetic Probes and Diamagnetic Loop

In general, a magnetic sensors (magnetic probe or diamagnetic loop) works by Faraday’s law and measures component(s) of the local magnetic fields or magnetic fluxes for use in plasma control, equilibrium reconstruction and detection of plasma energy, poloidal beta and MHD instabilities.

In the IR-T1 tokamak an array of four magnetic probes were designed, two magnetic probes were installed on the circular contour $\Gamma$ of the radius $b = 16.5cm$ in angles
of $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ to detect the tangential component of the magnetic field $B_\theta$, and two magnetic probes are also installed above, $\theta = \pi / 2$, and below, $\theta = 3\pi / 2$, to detect the normal component of the magnetic field $B_\rho$, as shown in Fig. (2).

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Figure 2.** Positions of the four magnetic probes on outer surface of the IR-T1 tokamak chamber

Diamagnetic loop and its compensating coil also were constructed and installed on outer surface of the IR-T1 tokamak, as shown in Fig. (3).

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3.** Positions of the diamagnetic loop with its compensation coil on outer surface of the IR-T1 tokamak chamber

Design parameters of the magnetic pickup coils and diamagnetic loop present in Table 2.

| Parameters          | Magnetic Probe | Diamagnetic Loop |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|
| R (Resistivity)     | 33 $\Omega$    | 100 $\Omega$    |
| L (Inductance)      | 1.5mH          | 20mH             |
| n (Turns)           | 500            | 170              |
| S (Sensitivity)     | 0.7mV/G        | 0.5V/G           |
| f (Frequency Response) | 22kHz          | 5kHz             |
| $\text{Effective } nA$ | 0.022 $m^2$   | 16 $m^2$         |
| d (Wire Diameter)   | 0.1mm          | 0.2mm            |
| $d_m$ (Coil Average Radius) | 3mm    | 175mm           |

5. Experimental Results of the Effects of TF Ripple on the Plasma Parameters

We used the electric circuit as shown in Figures (4) and (5), for measurements of the magnetic fields and diamagnetic flux, respectively:

![Figure 4](image3.png)

**Figure 4.** Electric circuit used for the magnetic probes

![Figure 5](image4.png)

**Figure 5.** Electric circuit used for the diamagnetic loop and its compensation coil

According to above discussion, firstly, we measured the poloidal beta from the Eq. (9) and then the internal inductance and Shafranov shift from the Eq. (11) and Eq. (12). Results presented in the Figs. (6), (7), (8), and (9).

In the Figure (6), we plotted the plasma parameters in the absence of TF ripple. Plasma current is observable in the Figure (6a). As shown in the Figure (6b), the measured poloidal beta is closes to one which acceptable for the
omhically heated tokamaks as IR-T1. Also in the Figures (6c) and (6d), the measured plasma internal inductance and Horizontal Displacement (H.D.) presented.

Also in the high field side region (θ = 180°) the difference is negative, whereas in low field side (θ = 0°) the difference is positive.

In the Figure (7), the effects of TF ripple amplitude on the difference of internal inductance with and without TF ripple (Dli) at different poloidal angles presented. As shown, difference between the internal inductance in present of the TF ripple and in absent of the TF ripple is in order of the 10^{-4}.

In the Figure (8), the effects of TF ripple amplitude on the difference of poloidal beta with and without TF ripple (DBetap) at different poloidal angles presented. As shown, difference between the poloidal beta in present of the TF ripple and in absent of the TF ripple is in order of the 10^{-4}. Also in the high field side region (θ = 180°), the difference is negative, whereas in low field side (θ = 0°) the difference is positive.

In the Figure (9), the effects of TF ripple amplitude on the Horizontal Displacement (H.D.) at different poloidal angles presented.
whereas in low field side ($\theta = 0^\circ$) the difference is negative.

In the Figure (9), the effects of TF ripple amplitude on the Horizontal Displacement (H.D.) at different poloidal angles presented. No difference observed.

6. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we presented theoretical and experimental investigation of effects of the TF ripple on the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and Shafranov shift in IR-T1 tokamak. For these purposes, array of magnetic probes and also a diamagnetic loop have been designed, constructed, and installed on outer surface of the IR-T1. Then, poloidal and radial components of the magnetic fields and also diamagnetic flux measured. Amplitude of the TF ripple is obtained 0.01, and also the effect of TF ripple on the poloidal beta, internal inductance, and Shafranov shift were investigated. One of the results is that difference between the poloidal beta in presence of the TF ripple and in absence of the TF ripple is in order of the $10^{-4}$, and also in the high field side region the difference is negative, whereas in the low field side the difference is positive. Another result is that difference between the internal inductance in presence of the TF ripple and in absence of the TF ripple is in order of the $10^{-2}$, and also in the high field side region unlike the poloidal beta case, the difference is positive, whereas in low field side the difference is negative. In the Shafranov shift, no difference observed. Also no sensible variation observed in the Shafranov shift due to the TF ripple on IR-T1 tokamak plasma. The major approximations in our work are that we suppose the plasma minor radius is constant and also the TF ripple amplitude at different poloidal angles is constant, whereas the toroidal flux is no constant.
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