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TADOSS: computational estimation of tandem domain swap stability
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Abstract

Summary: Proteins with highly similar tandem domains have shown an increased propensity for misfolding and aggregation. Several molecular explanations have been put forward, such as swapping of adjacent domains, but there is a lack of computational tools to systematically analyze them. We present the TAndem DOmain Swap Stability predictor (TADOSS), a method to computationally estimate the stability of tandem domain-swapped conformations from the structures of single domains, based on previous coarse-grained simulation studies. The tool is able to discriminate domains susceptible to domain swapping and to identify structural regions with high propensity to form hinge loops. TADOSS is a scalable method and suitable for large scale analyses.

Availability and implementation: Source code and documentation are freely available under an MIT license on GitHub at https://github.com/lafita/tadoss.

Contact: aleixlafita@ebi.ac.uk or agb@ebi.ac.uk

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

Protein misfolding and aggregation is a major problem for cells and organisms, and the cause of severe human diseases like Alzheimer’s. Recent studies have shown an increased propensity of aggregation in proteins containing identical domains in tandem (Borgia et al., 2015; Wright et al., 2005). One of the misfolded conformations identified in these experiments are domain swaps, i.e. part of the structure of a domain folds into its adjacent domain. Domain swapping has been associated with protein aggregation (Rousseau et al., 2012), so its computational prediction is of widespread biomedical and biotechnological interest.

A recent study by Tian and Best (2016) demonstrated using coarse-grained simulations of tandem pairs of identical domains that the difference in stability between the native and swapped conformations correlates with the swapping propensity. They also described an alchemical approach (i.e. simplified model) to estimate the free energy difference of the two conformations that can be generally used to predict domain swapping. Here we present an improved and fully automated version of the method, named TAndem DOmain Swap Stability predictor (TADOSS), which can be used to systematically find domains susceptible to domain swapping and identify the regions of the structure with the highest propensity to form hinge loops.

2 Description

As described originally by Tian and Best (2016), the total free energy difference between the native and swapped conformations can be split into the energy of joining the N- and C- termini of the domain (ΔG_j) and the energy of cutting the domain (ΔG_c), i.e. forming a hinge loop between the swapped domains. TADOSS systematically evaluates all possible cut positions in the domain and calculates the free energy contribution of forming a hinge loop of at least three residues. The profile of ΔG_c is valuable to identify the regions of the domain that are more susceptible to form hinge loops in the tandem domain swaps, as shown in Figure 1.

The length of the linker between adjacent domains also plays an important role in the stability of tandem domain swaps. Longer linkers allow the connection of the N- and C-termini of the domain, thereby increasing the ΔG_j. To account for this effect, we have introduced an
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3 Results
The alchemical free energy difference from TADOSS correlates well
with the free energies obtained in the simulations by Tian and Best (2016), although the scale differs by a factor of two approximately (Supplementary Fig. S1). We also find an agreement in the effect of the linker length between the simulated and alchemical free energy differences (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Using the free energy profile from TADOSS, it is possible to re-
produce experimental AG measurements and identify with good ac-
curacy the folding units of a DHFR domain characterized by Iwakura et al. (2000) (Supplementary Fig. S3). Furthermore, hinge loops of experimentally determined domain swap dimers presented by Ding et al. (2006) correspond to maximums of the AG profile, as expected (Supplementary Fig. S4).

We also provide a dataset of alchemical ΔAG estimations for T-group (topology) representatives in the ECOD database (Cheng et al., 2014). We find a significant proportion of domains susceptible to tandem domain swapping (Supplementary Fig. S5).

4 Implementation
TADOSS is written in Python and bundled in a Bash script with a simple interface to the user. The program takes the structure of a protein domain as a PDB file and generates output files with the alchemical free energy differences. The method requires BioPython (Cock et al., 2009) to parse and manipulate the domain structures and either GROMACS (Abraham et al., 2015) or Reduce (Word et al., 1999) to add the hydrogens.

The structure of the input domain is represented using a coarse-grained structure-based (Go-like) model, as described by Karanicolas and Brooks (2002). Native interactions in the structure are attractive and the relative contact energies are set according to the Miyazawa-Jernigan matrix (Miyazawa and Jernigan, 1996).

The running time for an example domain of 159 residues on a MacBook Pro 2.9 GHz Intel Core i5 with 16 GB RAM is about 4 s. The method scales quadratically with the number of residues in the input domain structure (Supplementary Fig. S6).
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