**Introduction:** Optic disc tilt (ODT) or tilted optic disc is a common finding in the general population. It is due to anomalous development caused by the malclosure of the embryonic optic fissure. ODT is commonly associated with high myopia as well as other conditions. In recent days, the common method to image the optic disc (OD) is by optical coherence tomography (OCT). To the best of our knowledge, there are no datasets of ODT available in the public domain. This dataset aims to make open access raw ODT OCT images to test out new image processing segmentation algorithms.

**Methods:** This dataset of ODT images contains both horizontal and vertical cross-sectional images obtained using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT, Cirrus 5000, Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA). The optic disc cube 200×200 program was used and all the images are aligned with the center of the optic nerve head. This dataset includes images from both clinically normal (20 eyes) and myopic subjects (101 eyes).

**Results:** The dataset consists of clear (121) and manually marked (121) images resulting in a total of 242 images. The age distribution for all subjects combined is $27.24 \pm 9.28$ (range, 11.0–69.0) years. For normal subjects mean ± SD age distribution is $32.40 \pm 17.23$ years. Similarly, the myopia age distribution is $26.22 \pm 6.37$ years. Ground truth images, ie, manually segmented by a clinical expert are provided along with other meta-data includes age, gender, laterality, refractive error classification, spherical equivalent (SE), best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), intraocular pressure (IOP), and axial length (AXL).

**Conclusion:** This open, public database is online at the ICPSR website of the University of Michigan. The dataset can be used to test and validate newly developed automated segmentation algorithms.
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**Introduction**

Optic disc tilt (ODT) or Tilted optic disc is a common finding in the general population and is due to anomalous human development\(^1\,^2\) caused by the malclosure of the embryonic optic fissure.\(^3\,^4\) High myopia,\(^1\,-^4\) astigmatism,\(^2\) visual field loss,\(^1,^5\) defective color vision,\(^1\) and retinal abnormalities are commonly associated with ODT. Usually ODT is considered to be non-progressive\(^1\) except in cases of progressive myopia. The anomalous ODT can be misdiagnosed, as for example, in glaucoma.\(^1,^5\) The prevalence of ODT is reported to be the highest (37.0%) amongst myopic Asian subjects.\(^6\)

In myopic eyes with increasing axial length, the optic nerve head loses its original anatomical size and shape.\(^7,^8\) In addition to change of the optic disc to
a vertical oval shape, a parapapillary gamma zone develops and enlarges at the temporal disc border.\textsuperscript{7,8} In eyes with long axial lengths, (>26.0 mm) the Bruch’s membrane opening (BMO) diameter increases both horizontally and vertically.\textsuperscript{9} Likewise, the Gamma zone may develop due to an axial elongation associated with BMO enlargement.\textsuperscript{9} The characteristics of the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness is also associated with the degree of temporal myopic ODT.\textsuperscript{10,11} Hence, while interpreting the RNFL thickness in myopic eyes the degree of myopic ODT should be considered.\textsuperscript{10,11}

The common methods to image the optic disc is by fundus photography, optical coherence tomography (OCT) and confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (Table 1). OCT helps the clinician to image the layers of the retina non-invasively and provides high-speed 3D images of high-quality retinal, optic nerve head, and choroidal vasculature images. OCT images is a useful tool to differentiate true condition/diseases from pseudo status. For example, OCT optic disc images can help in differentiate between true optic disc edema and pseudoedema.\textsuperscript{12} Table 1 summarizes the various techniques that have been presented in the literature.

Currently, to the best of our knowledge no imaging instrument has an inbuilt method/algorith to quantify the ODT. There are many ways to segment the ODT and hence quantify the angle of tilt. Clinicians can use manual or system generated marking. Manual marking is desired but is dependent upon the availability of a trained clinician. In general, during clinical examination the ODT is not quantified due to non-availability of easy methods or tools. Recently, authors presented an automated segmentation ODT algorithm for use with OCT images.\textsuperscript{13} The results from this methodology were compared with ground-truth (manually marked by an expert clinician) and the accuracy was reported to be 80.00%.

The availability of real world datasets is essential in accelerating health data science data analytics, including the use of routinely collected data to drive new discoveries and innovations.\textsuperscript{45} Khan et al,\textsuperscript{45} reported out of 140 unique datasets, 94 raw datasets alone were available for open access. The current paper describes here a dataset for optic nerve head OCT images from myopic subjects. This is to the best of knowledge only dataset dealing with this. This dataset aims to make open access raw ophthalmic ODT OCT images for further analysis and to test out new image processing segmentation algorithms.

**Construction and Content**

**Image Resources**

Data from Subjects who visited a tertiary care ophthalmic center in Chennai, India between January 2019 to December 2020 for ophthalmic consultation and underwent OCT imaging are included. All individuals who came for comprehensive ophthalmic examination had signed the written informed general consent agreement prior to their eye examination and approved the use of their data for research purposes. The current study was approved by the IRB of the Vision Research Foundation, Chennai, India and was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki.

The optic nerve head was imaged using a commercially available Spectral-Domain OCT (Cirrus 5000, Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA). The optic disc cube 200×200 program was used and all the images were aligned with the center of the optic nerve head. All OCT images were 8-bit grayscale images of dimensions of 200×200 pixels corresponding to 6 mm x 6 mm (894 x 596 pixels). Images with a signal strength of 7 or higher than was included.

**Demographic and Clinical Parameters**

This dataset consists of a set of optic disc images (vertical and horizontal cross-sectional) from 67 subjects (34 Female, 33 Male) imaged by OCT. These datasets cover both clinical normal and also images of myopic subjects. Table 2, gives details on the dataset which includes 20 healthy normal and 101 myopic OCT images (total 121 images, 60 males, 61 females). These images are divided into three groups: 20 emmetropes (EMM) (SE 0.00 to >−0.50 D), 70 low-moderate myopes (LMM) (SE <-0.50 to −6.00 D), 31 high myopes (HM) (SE <-6.12 D).\textsuperscript{46}

In addition to unsegmented optic disc OCT images, the dataset also contains corresponding ground-truth images (each image was manually segmented by an experienced clinician), as well as meta-data, namely age in years, gender, their refractive error as spherical equivalent, refractive classification, BCVA, IOP measured (in mmHg) with Goldmann applanation tonometer, and axial length (in mm) data measured using the non-contact and high-resolution optical biometric device IOLMaster 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

**Characteristics of the Dataset**

The Dataset consists of clear (121) and manually marked (121) images resulting in a total of 242 images. These 121 images
### Table 1: A Summary of Optic Disc Tilt Assessment Methods

| S No | Author (Reference #) | Imaging Method | ODT Quantification Tool | Remarks |
|------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 1    | Gudapati13           | SD-OCT         | Imaging processing      | Automated using a ground truth |
| 2    | Fraser14             | SD-OCT         | NA                      | NA      |
| 3    | Cho15                | CFP            | Deep learning algorithm | Automated using a ground truth |
| 4    | Dervisevic16         | Ophthalmic examination | Descriptively assessed | Clinical observation |
| 5    | Chen17               | CFP            | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 6    | Park18               | CFP            | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 7    | Kim3                 | Swept-Source OCT | NA                      | Manual method |
| 8    | Kosekahiya19         | Ophthalmic examination | Fundus appearance | Clinical observation |
| 9    | Choudhury20          | Stereoscopic FP | NA                      | Clinical observation |
| 10   | Pan21                | SD-OCT images  | NA                      | Manual method |
| 11   | Shoeibi22            | CFP            | Adobe Photoshop CS6     | Manual method |
| 12   | Marsh-Tootle23       | SD-OCT images  | NA                      | Manual method |
| 13   | Kim24                | CFP centered on the OD | ImageJ | Manual method |
| 14   | Han5                 | CFP            | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 15   | Sharif25             | CFP            | Adobe Photoshop CS6     | Manual method |
| 16   | Rebolleda16          | FP             | FP Tilted index         | Manual method |
| 17   | Sung27               | OD centered CFP | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 18   | Lee9                 | Red-free OD centered FP | ImageJ | Manual method |
| 19   | Ando28               | CFP            | NA                      | NA      |
| 20   | Hwang29              | NA             | NA                      | NA      |
| 21   | Pichi20              | Stereoscopic FP | FP appearance           | Clinical observation |
| 22   | Chang21              | CFP            | Adobe Photoshop CS5     | Manual method |
| 23   | Shinohara22          | Stereoscopic fundus examination | Fundus appearance | Clinical observation |
| 24   | You33                | SD-OCT images  | NA                      | NA      |
| 25   | Cohen34              | CFP            | FP appearance           | Clinical observation |
| 26   | Takasaki35           | HRT image      | HRT printout and ruler  | Manual method |
| 27   | Kim36                | CFP            | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 28   | Hwang10              | SD-OCT images  | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 29   | Samarawickrama6      | Stereo CFP     | ImageJ                  | Manual method |
| 30   | Chung27              | Stereoscopic FP | Adobe Photoshop CS3     | Manual method |
| 31   | Fledeilus38          | CFP            | Slide calipers          | Manual method |
| 32   | Kaimbo29             | Ophthalmic examination and FP | FP appearance | Clinical observation |
| 33   | You40                | OD centered CFP | FP appearance           | Clinical observation |
| 34   | Tong41               | Stereoscopic OD centered FP | FP appearance | Clinical observation |
| 35   | Gürür42              | SLP            | NA                      | NA      |
| 36   | Vongphanit2          | CFP            | Pickett small circles no. 1203 | Manual method |
| 37   | Gündüz43             | CFP, FFA & stereoic photographs | Planimetric | NA |
| 38   | Chihara44            | Stereoscopic BW photographs | Slide calipers | Manual method |

**Abbreviations:** BW, black and white; CFP, color fundus photography; FFA, fundus fluorescein angiography; FP, fundus photography; NA, not available; OD, optic disc; SD-OCT, spectral-domain optical coherence tomography; SLP, scanning laser polarimetry.

### Table 2: Image Details of ODTiD Dataset

|                     | Number of Images* | OD:OS | Gender Ratio (Female: Male) |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Emmetropic images   | 20 X 2            | 10:10 | 10:10                       |
| Low-Moderate Myopic images | 70 X 2      | 35:35 | 36:34                       |
| High Myopic images  | 31 X 2            | 16:15 | 15:16                       |
| Total images        | 121 X 2           | 61:60 | 61:60                       |

**Note:** *Each subjects image contains both horizontal and vertical images.*
include patients with myopia (101) and clinically normal (20) images. The age distribution for all subjects combined is 27.24 ± 9.28 (range, 11.0–69.0) years. For normal subjects mean ± SD age distribution is 32.40 ± 17.23 years. Similarly, the myopia age distribution is 26.22 ± 6.37 years.

**Manual Marking of Images**

Manual marking of all images was done by a trained single clinical expert (JJB). The Cirrus 5000 (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA) provides a cross-sectional image for both horizontal and vertical. The clinician manually drew two straight line aligning the upper boundary RPE layers using a mouse and MS Paint. The boundary line with red-green color used is shown in Figure 1. A caveat should be inserted here - since these boundaries were marked using a mouse it is prone to error because of excessive sliding of the mouse and/or parallax.

**Segmentation of RPE Boundary**

New segmentation algorithms can be developed using the clear images. Manually marked images can then be used to compare the segmentation achieved with new algorithms. For comparisons the boundary method suggested by Gudapati et al. or other methods can be used. Gudapati et al. for example, introduced various methods and comparisons were then made for each parameter. The source code for the image processing algorithm can be found at [https://github.com/gnitish18/OpticDisc-TiltAngle](https://github.com/gnitish18/OpticDisc-TiltAngle).

**Utility and Discussion**

Differentiating physiological ODT from a disease involved ODT is clinically important. Recent reports have suggested that optic disc imaging with OCT can improve differential diagnosis involving optic nerve head diseases. Creating and making accessible large and real-world datasets has been essential in accelerating public health database research. To the best of our knowledge this the first publicly available dataset on optic nerve head cross-sectional imaged with OCT. Detailed calculations of ODT parameters from the ODT dataset has been completed and their results have been published elsewhere. These calculations can be used as
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**Figure 1** ODT images (A) non marked horizontal scanned image, (B) manually marked horizontal scanned image (ground truth), (C) non marked vertical scanned image, and (D) manually marked vertical scanned image.
a reference for future algorithms. The ODTiD database can be divided into training and test sets for application in machine learning/deep learning methods. This database is available for use by researchers and can be downloaded from the ICPSR website at the University of Michigan (https://doi.org/10.3886/E137701V3). In the future additional marked and non-marked images will be included with their detailed characteristics.

Conclusions
This publicly available, open-access OCT images collection will serve as a dataset for use in biomedical image processing. This dataset will be optimal for researchers aiming to develop quantitative relationships between ODT and pathological conditions such as myopia.
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