ABSTRACT

Systemic and localized scleroderma are difficult to manage diseases with no accepted gold standard of therapy to date. Phototherapeutic modalities for scleroderma show promise. A PubMed search of information on phototherapy for scleroderma was conducted. The information was classified into effects on pathogenesis and clinical outcomes. Studies on photopheresis were excluded. There were no randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies, and only three controlled studies. The vast majority of identified studies evaluated ultraviolet A1 (UVA1) phototherapy. More rigorous studies are needed to evaluate phototherapy in the treatment of scleroderma. Based on the limited studies available, 20–50 J/cm² of UVA1 therapy 3–4 times a week for 30 treatments is recommended.
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INTRODUCTION: BACKGROUND ON MORPHEA/SCLERODERMA

Scleroderma is a chronic autoimmune disease associated with cutaneous, joint, and internal organ involvement. Cutaneous scleroderma is characterized by enhanced fibroblast activity leading to hypertrophic dermal collagen. There are localized and systemic forms of scleroderma. The localized forms include morphea and linear scleroderma. Localized scleroderma has a better prognosis and does not involve internal organs. There are currently no curative treatments for scleroderma. Current treatments include immunosuppressants; intralesional, topical, and oral steroids; topical vitamin D; and phototherapy. This review serves to provide insight into the use of phototherapy in the
management of scleroderma. This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not involve any new studies of human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

PHOTOTHERAPY IN DERMATOLOGY

Phototherapy modalities utilize specific wavelengths of the electromagnetic spectrum to disrupt the dysfunctional and pathologic tissue that has developed in some patients with skin disease. Various phototherapy modalities possess anti-inflammatory effects [1]. The longer the wavelength of phototherapy, the deeper in the dermis it penetrates [2]. Current phototherapeutic modalities being used for dermatoses include broadband ultraviolet B (UVB 290–320 nm), narrowband UVB (311–313 nm), excimer laser (308 nm), ultraviolet A (UVA 320–400 nm), ultraviolet A1 (UVA1 340–400 nm), psoralen and UVA (PUVA), and extracorporeal photochemotherapy.

MECHANISM BEHIND PHOTOTHERAPEUTIC MODALITIES USED IN SCLERODERMA

A common theory behind the mechanism of phototherapy in scleroderma is that light is converted to chemical energy resulting in the increase of reactive oxygen species or singlet oxygen production, which can modulate the expression of cytokines [3, 4]. Ultraviolet radiation includes UVA and UVB therapy, with UVA1 studied the most. UVA1 can have an output categorized as low (10–30 J/cm²), moderate (40–70 J/cm²), or high (up to 130 J/cm²).

UVA1 radiation increases collagenase [also known as the matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1)] gene, mRNA, and protein expression by fibroblasts [5–9]. In mice models, UVA1 radiation reduces fibroblast proliferation in a dose-dependent fashion [10, 11]. Additionally, UVA1 radiation administered three times a week showed decreased hydroxyproline and collagen levels in a dose-dependent fashion [11]. The quality of the collagen is altered after UVA1 therapy, as collagen appears less dense and smoother compared to before treatment [12]. Decorin (a proteoglycan component of connective tissue) mRNA levels are lower in lesional scleroderma versus non-lesional skin, and decorin levels are increased after UVA1 phototherapy [13]. Transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) protein levels (TGF-β is profibrotic) are inversely correlated with decorin levels. On the other hand, another study showed that after UVA1 phototherapy, decorin was decreased in the upper to middle dermis, although decorin slightly increased in the papillary dermis [14]. In patients, UVA has been shown to reduce collagen I, collagen III, and TGF-β and increase interferon-γ [9]. UVB radiation increases alpha melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH) receptor synthesis in keratinocytes and melanocytes [15]. Human fibroblast dermal cultures treated with α-MSH demonstrated an increase in MMP-1 mRNA, indicating that α-MSH may be one of UVB’s mediators of anti-fibrosis [16].

The source of the mediators that contribute to the reduction in sclerosis comes mostly from the dermis. Subsequently, certain parts of the dermis may be impacted more than others. An image analyzer showed a greater reduction in collagen fibers in the upper and middle dermis and less reduction in the lower dermis [12]. In 18 patients treated with UVA1, the MMP-1 level was higher in the papillary layers and lower in
the reticular layers [17]. The anti-fibrotic effects of phototherapy may not come exclusively from the dermis. Samples taken 18 h after the final UVA1 treatment in a set of patients showed an increase in interstitial collagenase in the upper layer of keratinocytes, melanocytes, and endothelial cells [5].

Evidence supports the regimen of multiple UVA1 therapy sessions a week. The anti-sclerotic effects of a single exposure of UVA1 effects are typically seen to last less than 1 week. In human skin, mRNAs of type I and III procollagen were decreased and MMP-3 was increased after 3 days of a single UVA1 dose [18]. MMP-1 and MMP-3 were upregulated for 3 to 5 days, while procollagen levels were suppressed for at least 7 days [18]. In this small study, anti-fibrotic responses became refractory to multiple UVA1 exposures over the course of 1 week, as repeated exposures weekly showed no reduction in type I procollagen levels [18].

UVA1 therapy can have an immunomodulatory effect on lesional skin. UVA1 can reduce inflammation in the dermis [12]. UVA1 causes apoptosis of T-cells [19]. Patients with morphea exposed to UVA1 with a dose of 30 J/cm² and a cumulative dose of 900 J/cm² were found to have an increase in CD34⁺ dendritic cells [20]. Human beta defensin[s] (HBD), interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 are downregulated in patients with localized scleroderma treated with UVA1 phototherapy [6]. On the other hand, another study showed that UVA1 induces MMP-1 through a mechanism involving IL-1 and IL-6 [21].

UVA1 radiation may induce oxidative stress, as evidenced by an increase in UVA1-induced heme oxygenase-1 in fibroblasts [7]. Glutathione was lower in systemic sclerosis (SSc) fibroblasts than control samples, but glutathione was increased and became equivalent between normal and SSc fibroblasts after in vitro irradiation with UVA1 [8]. Thus, the SSc fibroblasts may be more susceptible to phototherapy-induced oxidative stress than normal fibroblasts [8]. Additionally, heme oxygenase-1 may reduce fibrotic conditions via TGF-β [22]. UVA1 may play a role in angiogenesis. In patients exposed to UVA1 phototherapy for 14 weeks, there was an increase in CD34⁺ cells and an increase in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [23]. The neuroendocrine system may be involved, as UVA1 therapy decreases dermal expression of neuron-specific enolase, which correlated with softening of skin lesions in patients with SSc with acral lesions [24].

UVB phototherapy results in DNA damage, forming cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers between nucleotides [25]. There is evidence that broadband UVB can induce interstitial collagenase, stromelysin, and IL-6 [26]. There may be an interplay between these enzymes and cytokines [26]. Broadband UVB radiation can induce production of MMP-1 in fibroblasts [27]. When keratinocytes are exposed to UVB, there is an increase in IL-1α and IL-6, which induced MMP-1 [27]. Human keratinocytes cultured in a model system exposed to 300 J/cm² of broadband UVB produced IL-1α, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) [28].

PUVA is another modality that can be used for scleroderma. PUVA can lead to apoptosis of T-cells in the dermis [19]. In patients with SSc treated with PUVA, the majority of patients experienced an increase in circulating TNF-α levels, E-selectin, and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM). In the majority of patients, there was a reduction in VEGF and TGF-β [29]. On the other hand, in patients with morphea treated with PUVA, there was a fall in serum VCAM molecules and an increase in TNF-α in most patients [30].
scleroderma rat model, PUVA treatment reduced dermal thickness and hydroxyproline content and downregulated expression of type I and III collagen genes [10]. In one patient with SSc, treatment with oral PUVA therapy three times a week for 4 weeks resulted in loosening of collagen, reduction in edema, and decreased CD34+ cells [31]. Bath PUVA treatment has effects on collagen cross-links in human skin samples of scleroderma, reducing hydroxyllysylpyridinoline and lysylpyridinoline [32]. UVA1 treatment affected collagen fibrils mostly in the upper reticular dermis [33], whereas PUVA affected collagen fibrils in the upper and middle reticular layers [33]. Additionally, collagen fibrils decreased and new fibrils developed, suggesting UVA1 and PUVA phototherapies' impact on sclerotic lesions occurs via collagen degradation and new collagen synthesis [33].

Other modalities have also been studied. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) with 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) treatment of scleroderma fibroblasts increased MMP-1 and MMP-3, and there was a decrease in collagen type 1 mRNA as early as 6 h after treatment [34]. Keratinocytes exposed to PDT with 5-ALA had an increase in IL-1α and TNF-α [35]. In fibroblasts that were incubated with keratinocytes pre-exposed to PDT with 5-ALA, there was an increase in MMP-1 and MMP-3; Karrer et al. [35] subsequently suggested paracrine signaling between the phototherapy exposed keratinocytes and the fibroblasts. Furthermore, an IL-1 antagonist reversed the induction of MMP-1 and MMP-3 in fibroblasts [35]. Blue light up to 453 nm is toxic to cultured T cells, causing apoptosis, but was nontoxic for other skin cell types [36].

**THE USE OF PHOTOTHERAPY IN DERMATOLOGY**

Phototherapy is commonly used for many dermatoses, but there is less usage for scleroderma. Of 653 patients using phototherapy in a Brazilian clinic, 11 were there for scleroderma treatment [37]. In a multi-center response from 155 British pediatric physicians, PUVA was the most popular phototherapy modality (38%), followed by narrowband UVB (23%) and UVA1 (16%) for morphea [38]. These same clinicians were also asked what would be the best treatment option overall in their opinion for active morphea: 17% responded phototherapy and about 2/3 of these responses were for UVA1, which was only accessible to 27% of respondents [38]. Phototherapy for adult skin disorders is almost exclusively provided by dermatologists [39]. In a survey of physicians treating juvenile localized scleroderma in the UK, 19 of 28 pediatric dermatologists used UV therapy, whereas 0 of 10 pediatric rheumatologists used UV therapy [40]. A self-reported survey of dermatologists and rheumatologists revealed that 20% of dermatologists (n = 40) and 10.6% of pediatric dermatologist (n = 47) used phototherapy [41].

**CLINICAL EVIDENCE OF PHOTOTHERAPY’S EFFICACY**

**Search Method**

A PubMed search was performed with the Boolean search terms ‘scleroderma’ OR ‘morphea’ OR ‘crest’ AND ‘phototherapy.’ The search years yielded were from 1978 to 2016.
Clinical articles in a non-English language were excluded.

**UVA1**

UV therapy for patients with localized scleroderma was introduced as PUVA in 1994 [42]. In 1995, Kerscher et al. [43] reported that low-dose UVA1 phototherapy could be used in linear scleroderma. It is unclear whether there is an association between initial skin disease duration and response to UVA1 therapy. A study of ten patients with sclerodermic lesions determined that there was no correlation between disease duration and clinical response with UVA1 [44].

Table 1 lists the clinical reports of UVA1’s efficacy in scleroderma or morphea. It is important to note that covered sclerotic lesions show less improvement after UVA1 therapy [45]. Ultrasound is an objective measure used to assess skin thickness in several UVA studies. Fourteen patients with localized scleroderma treated with UVA1 were evaluated with a 13-MHz ultrasound, and dermal thickness was increased before therapy and decreased from 3.11 ± 1.54 to 2.26 ± 0.86 [46]. Other studies have also supported a correlation of a decrease in dermal thickness when treating with UVA1 therapy [47].

Skin darkness or darkening likely has no effect on UVA1’s efficacy. Forty-seven patients with morphea and 35 with SSc treated with UVA1 phototherapy were analyzed to see whether Fitzpatrick skin type makes an impact on the outcome, with the result being that medium- to high-dose UVA1 had similar efficacy in skin types I–V [48]. There was also no correlation noted for Fitzpatrick skin type and cumulative dose or clinical improvement.

The current evidence suggests that UVA1 effects are dose-related. In an observational report for patients with SSc who completed at least ten treatments, 20% of those treated with low-dose (20–40 J/cm²) UVA1 (n = 5), 83.3% of those treated with medium-dose (40–80 J/cm²) UVA1 (n = 6), and 100% of those treated with high-dose (>80–120 J/cm²) UVA1 (n = 5) reported improvement [49]. A 14-patient study showed a 70-J/cm² dose was more effective in treating localized scleroderma lesions than a 20 J/cm² dose [45]. In six patients with localized scleroderma treated two to three times a week, three patients experienced complete remission [50]. Two of the three received high-dose 100 J UVA1 therapy, of which one of them received 67 treatments and relapsed after 6 months, compared to one patient which received low-dose UVA1 twice weekly for 6 weeks for a total of 39 irradiations and did not relapse after 84-month follow up [50]. A broadband UVA trial examined 63 patients with morphea and 15 patients treated with UVA1 5, 10, or 20 J/cm² with cumulative doses of 100, 200, and 400 J/cm², respectively [51]. Clinical improvement was observed in all patients, but there was no comparable difference between the UVA doses.

Long-term outcome of UVA1 therapy is unclear. In a cohort study of 37 patients with morphea with positive clinical benefits from UVA1 treatment 44.5% recurred at 2 years, and 48.4% recurred at 3 years [52]. There was no difference between medium- (60–90 J/cm²) and high-dose (>90 J/cm²) UVA1 phototherapy with respect to recurrence. There was a 1.15-times higher chance of disease recurrence for an increment of 1 year in duration of morphea prior to UVA1 treatment [52].

**Broadband UVA**

Twelve patients with morphea were treated with low-dose (20 J/cm²) broadband UVA 3 times a week for a total of 20 sessions [12].
Table 1: Studies of UVA1, UVB, and PUVA treatment in patients with scleroderma or morphea

| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Randomized, controlled, single-blinded controlled study | 9 | Systemic sclerosis (acrosclerosis) | UVA1 | 40 J/cm² 3 times a week | 1680 | 14 weeks | 42 | Modified Rodnan skin scoring: no improvement seen in control vs. placebo | No | Durand et al. [69] |
| Randomized, controlled trial | 64 | Localized scleroderma | UVA1/UVB | Twenty-seven patients received 20 J/cm² UVA1; 18 patients received 50 J/cm² UVA1; 19 patients received narrowband UVB. All phototherapy was performed 5 times a week | Low dose 800, medium dose 2000 | 8 weeks | 40 | Reduction in clinical scores in all groups. No statistical difference between the UVA groups. There was a statistically significant difference between UVB and medium dose UVA1 | No | Kreuter et al. [70] |
| Controlled study | 8 | Localized scleroderma | UVA1 | 48 J/cm² 4 times a week | 960 | 5 weeks | 20 | At 12 weeks no significant difference between skin elasticity in treated versus control skin. Fast Fourier transform did not show a significant change after 12 weeks. Skin softening was clinically noted after 7 weeks | No | de Rie et al. [71] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patientsa | Disease | Treatment modality | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Treatment duration | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 18        | Systemic sclerosis (generalized) | UVA1 | 30 J/cm² 2 times a week for 8 weeks, then 3 times a week for 6 weeks | 14 weeks | 1500 | 14 weeks | Improvement in clinical score and dermal thickness in 16 patients with skin and increased finger mobility. Follow-up in 6 months showed stable clinical outcome in most patients | Eighty patients were on other systemic medications | Kreuter et al. [17] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 14        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1 | Low-dose 600, medium dose 2100 | 10 weeks | 90 | 30 | Skin thickness decreased in patients in both groups, but more so in the higher dosage group at a follow-up of 5 weeks | No | Sator et al. [45] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease               | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study           | 35        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1              | 30 J/cm² 3–5 times a week | 900–1350 (mean 1180.29) | 10–15 weeks              | 30–45 (mean 41.14) | The mean follow-up period was 2.63 months. In five patients, a partial relapse was observed. Two of the five patients reported reappearance of new lesions after 12 months. Softening of plaques and improvement in 29 of 35 patients (82.85%). Dermal thickness decreased after therapy in 14 of 35 patients | No                                   | Su et al. [46] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study           | 20        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1              | 20 J/cm² 4 times a week for 6 weeks and once a week for another 6 weeks | 600 | 12 weeks | 30 | More than 80% of the lesions disappeared in 18 patients. Decreased dermal thickness | No | Kerscher et al. [47] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study           | 10        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1              | 20 J/cm² 4 times a week | 480 | 6 weeks | 24 | Lesions started to regress after 15 treatments. More than 80% of lesions regressed after 24 treatments | No | Kerscher et al. [43] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study           | 34        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1              | 6 patients were treated with medium dose and 28 were treated with high dose. Both groups treated 3 times a week | 52.34 ± 3611 | Unavailable | Unavailable | Patients reported an improvement of at least 25% | Unknown | Jacobe et al. [48] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study          | 17        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1 | Ten patients with high dose 130 J/cm² | Low dose 600, high dose 3900 | 10 weeks | 30 | Softening of skin lesions in all high dose patients, and complete clearance in four of ten patients. Three months after treatment, nine of ten patients have clinical stability. Two of seven patients in the low-dose group reported improvement or had clinical signs of improvement. Skin thickness by ultrasound was reduced in all patients | No | Stege et al. [72] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study          | 13        | Localized scleroderma | UVA1 | Unavailable | 750–1250 | 3–5 weeks | 20.8 ± 4.0 | Modified Rodnan score improvement. Reduction of skin thickness in 11 patients. Skin elasticity increased in ten patients | No | Andres et al. [73] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 7        | Morphea | UVA1               | 30 J/cm² 3 times a week | 900                    | 10 weeks                | 30              | Clinical improvement in induration of all patients. One patient reported improved elbow joint mobility. After a 6–9-month follow-up, there was clinical stability | No | Camacho et al. [20] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 3        | Morphea | UVA1               | 20 J/cm² 4 times a week for 6 weeks, then once a week for 6 weeks | 600                    | 12 weeks                | 30              | Resolution of sclerotic plaques in all patients. No signs of recurrence after 2 year follow-up | No | Gruss et al. [74] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 19       | Childhood morphea | UVA1 | 20 J/cm² 4 times a week | 800                    | 10 weeks                | 40              | Mean clinical score (skin inspection and palpation every week) improved–relative reduction of 67.1%. The treatment outcome remained stable for at least 1 year in all patients | Topical calcipotriol 0.005% twice a day | Kreuter et al. [64] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 47       | Morphea | UVA1               | 5329 ± 4398         | Unavailable            | Unavailable             | Patients reported an improvement of at least 25% | May/may not | Jacob et al. [48] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study          | 30       | Morphea | UVA1              | 3 times a week. 21 cases of morphea were treated with UVA 20 J/cm² for 20 sessions. Nine cases of morphea received 10 J/cm² | High dose 400, low dose 200 | 6 + weeks                   | 20              | No difference in improvement between the 10 and 20 J/cm² group. Overall, 18 patients reported softening of the skin lesions. Twelve patients reported moderate improvement, four patients reported good improvement, and two patients reported very good improvement | No                                           | El-Mofy et al. [9] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study          | 49/M     | Morphea | UVA1              | 70 J/cm² 5 times a week | 1400                   | 4 weeks                        | Unavailable     | Durometer scores improved significantly during first 3 weeks and borderline significantly the last week. Improvements were maintained at 4-month follow-up | No                                           | Kroft et al. [44] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 4        | Systemic sclerosis | UVA1 | 60 J/cm² 5 times a week | 510–1740 | Unavailable | 9–29 | Skin elasticity before treatment was improved as assessed by cutometer. The mean thermography and joint passive range of motion both increased after treatment | Unknown | Morita et al. [75] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 83       | Morphea (63), systemic sclerosis (15) | UVA | 5, 10, and 20 J/cm² | 100, 200, 400 | 6 + weeks | 20 | Clinical improvement. No difference between the groups | No | El-Mofy et al. [51] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 12       | Morphea | UVA | 20 J/cm² 3 times a week | 400 | 6 + weeks | 20 | 90% cure of early lesions, 50% cure of "late" lesions | No | El-Mofy et al. [12] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 11       | Scleroderma | Oral + topical PUVA, Narrowband UVB | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | Mean 10 | Most lesions had a decreased dermal thickness on ultrasound at 12 weeks | Unknown | Buense et al. [56] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 12       | Systemic sclerosis | PUVA, bath or oral | Unavailable | Median cumulative exposure 68.25 | Unavailable | Median 24 | Improvement in 11 patients | No | Usmani et al. [29] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 4        | Localized scleroderma | PUVA cream | 4 times a week. Maximum single dose of 3.5 J/cm² | 89.5 (range 67.5–121) | Unavailable | 30 | Decrease in dermal thickening | No | Grundmann-Kollmann et al. [76] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 4        | Systemic sclerosis | PUVA oral         | 3 times a week for 10 weeks | Mean of 70.5 (range 50.5–92.0) | 10 weeks              | 30              | Improvement of skin, joint mobility, grip strength, and skin thickness in three of four patients | No                             | Hofer and Soyer [77] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 17       | Localized scleroderma | PUVA bath         | 0.2–0.5 J/cm² up to 1.2–3.5 J/cm² per treatment. First 20 treatments 4 times a week, twice a week for the following ten treatments and once a week for the last four treatments | Mean UVA dose of 41.5 (range 15.7–64.3) | 15 weeks              | 25–35           | Clinical and ultrasound improvement noted in 13 patients. In most patients, softening of sclerotic lesions was noted at the 15th treatment. Patients were followed up regularly for more than a year; there were two cases of recurrence | No                             | Kerscher et al. [54] |
| Prospective uncontrolled study         | 5        | Localized scleroderma | 3% ALA + PDT (10 J/cm²) | Once or twice weekly | Unavailable            | 3–6 months            | 25–43           | A reduction of skin hardness and pruritus in lesions. In two patients, joint mobility was improved. One control plaque was untreated, and showed no signs of regression | No                             | Karrer et al. [78] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Retrospective study                     | 8        | Localized and systemic scleroderma | UVA1                | 15 J/cm² 3 times a week. Then increased up to maximum dose of 30 J/cm² for seven patients and 40 J/cm² for three patients | Range 529–1029.4 | Unavailable | Range 26–32 | Modified Rodnan skin score percentage improvement was 57%. One in remission for 12 months, four were in remission for 24 months | One patient on mycophenolate mofetil, one patient on pulsed cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and ciclosporin. One patient on azathioprine | Rose et al. [79] |
| Retrospective study                     | 17       | Localized scleroderma             | UVA1                | 5 times weekly       | 750–1400                | 3–6 weeks                | 19.3 ± 3.8 | Fourteen patients reported clinical improvement | No | Andres et al. [73] |
| Retrospective study                     | 3        | Systemic scleroderma              | UVA1                | Mean dose of 29.5 J/cm² | Mean cumulative dose 1160 (range 660–1695) | Unavailable | Mean 26 | Patients showed an improvement in the modified Rodnan scoring system. One patient had complete remission | Unknown | Pereira et al. [80] |
| Retrospective study                     | 18       | Morphea                           | UVA1                | Mean dose of 31 J/cm² | Mean cumulative dose of 1662 (range 310–4270) | Unavailable | Mean 33 | 77.8% had marked improvement; 11.1% had moderate improvement; 5.6% had slight improvement, and 5.6% had no improvement | Unknown | Pereira et al. [80] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case series                            | 8        | Systemic sclerosis | UVA1             | 30 J/cm² 4 times a week for 8 weeks, then 3 times a week for 6 weeks | 1500                   | 14 weeks                | 50               | Modified Rodnan skin score improved after treatment. Seven patients experienced improvement in sclerosis in 6 months. Resulting in marked softening of skin and clinically significant improvement including finger mobility | Unknown                                      | Von Kobyletzki et al. [81]          |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Case series | 37 | Morphea | UVA1 | Treatments varied 2–5 times a week; 13 patients received 20 J/cm² of UVA1. Eleven patients received 50-60 J/cm² UVA1. Ten patients received medium-dose increased to high-dose 50–120 J/cm². One patient received low-dose, followed by medium-dose UVA1 (60 treatments), and two patients received low-dose increased to high-dose UVA1 (mean 23.5 treatments, mean cumulative dose 2000 J/cm²) | 20 J/cm² group mean 683.9, 50–60 J/cm² group mean 1668.5, 50–120 J/cm² group 2560 | Ranged | 20 J/cm² group 11–78 (mean 35), 50–60 J/cm² group 13–36 (mean 27.8), 50–120 J/cm² group 9–41 (mean 20.7) | 26–100% improvement was found in 46.2% of patients treated with low-dose UVA1 phototherapy compared with 72.7% and 70% treated with medium and medium to high-dose UVA1, respectively | Unknown | Tuchinda et al. [85] |
| Case series | 6 | Morphea, SS/CREST | UVA1 | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | 30–60 | Dermal thickness had decreased in five patients | No | Oikarinen and Knuttila [82] |
| Case series | 54 | Scleroderma | UVA1 | 59.81 ± 27.40 J/cm² | 1203.15 ± 1133.95 | Unavailable | 21.10 ± 13.1 | Clinical improvement was noted by physician in 79.6% of patients | Unknown | Rombold et al. [83] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients \(^a\) | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm\(^2\)) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Case series 8 8 Localized scleroderma UVA\(^1\) 50 J/cm\(^2\) 5 times a week | 2000 | 8 weeks | 40 | The modified skin score improved in all patients | Unknown | Kreuter et al. [84] |
| Case series 14 Localized scleroderma UVA\(^1\) 20 J/cm\(^2\) 5 times a week | 800 | 8 weeks | 40 | The modified skin score improved in all patients | Unknown | Kreuter et al. [6] |
| Case series 12 Systemic sclerosis/CREST UVA\(^1\) Treatments varied 2–5 times a week | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | 41.7% of patients experienced 51–100% improvement | Unknown | Tuchinda et al. [85] |
| Case series 2 Localized scleroderma PUVA 0.2 J/cm\(^2\) up to a maximum dose of 20 J/cm\(^2\), 4 times a week over 5 weeks, then 2 times per week for an additional 5 weeks | Unavailable | 10 weeks | 30 | Skin lesions cleared, ultrasound revealed normal ratio of treated skin thickness to uninvolved skin | No | Kerscher et al. [42] |
| Case series 4 Localized scleroderma PUVA The initial daily UVA doses were 1–1.5 J/cm\(^2\) | Range 242–405.5 | 12 weeks of PUVA daily, then maintenance PUVA treatment given twice or once per week for 3 months | 57–72 | The modified skin score improved after therapy | Acitretin | Ozdemir et al. [86] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case series 13 | 13 | Localized morphea | PUVA | Two patients treated with bath PUVA and all other patients with oral PUVA. Treatment was given twice weekly. | Mean 135 (range 42–244) | 7–15 weeks | 14–30 | Mean reduction of 62.9% in modified Rodnan score | Five patients had concurrent therapies | Usmani et al. [30] |
| Case series 23 | 23 | Localized morphea | PUVA | Patients were treated with a weekly regimen of bath immersion in 0.2 mg/l water solution of 8-methoxypsoralen, followed by irradiation with UVA 3 times a week with an initial UVA dose of 0.3 J/cm², with subsequent increments of 0.3 J/cm² added every 2–3 treatments up to a maximum dose of 10.0 J/cm² | Mean 115 | Unavailable | Mean 71 | Eleven patients (39%) showed complete remission. Partial response in 14 patients (50%). In the complete remission group, no recurrence was observed in seven patients after a mean follow-up period of 7 months (range 1–18 months) | No | Pavlonsky et al. [87] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm\(^2\)) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case series 4                          | Linear and generalized morphea | PUVA oral | 3 times a week; UVA dose ranged from 42.5–94 J/cm\(^2\). After improvement (loss of induration), then maintenance treatment weekly and biweekly was given | Unavailable | Unavailable | 44–88 | Number of treatments to show clearance ranged from 44–88; UVA dose to clear lesions ranged from 358–838.5 J/cm\(^2\) | No | Morison et al. [88] |
| Case series 7                          | Six with localized scleroderma, one with systemic scleroderma | PUVA topical | 4 times a week; Highest UVA dose per treatment mean was 3.5 J/cm\(^2\) | Mean 53.5 | Unavailable | Mean 25 (range 14–39) | Marked improvement in softening of sclerotic plaques in all patients | Unknown | Pasic et al. [89] |
| Case series 10                         | Scleroderma | Water-filtered infrared A plus visible light treatment | Total irradiance was 180–200 mW/cm\(^2\). Treatment was done 2–5 times a week | Unavailable | Unavailable | 16–48 | Seven patients reported improvement, follow-up was 1–7.5 years after treatment | Unknown | Von Felbert et al. [90] |
| Case report 16/F                       | Nodular morphea | PUVA topical | Unavailable | 2.32 | Unavailable | Unavailable | Slight improvement of regression of nodules. The patient was lost to follow-up | Penicillin G for 10 days | Kaiser et al. [91] |
| Case report 12/F                       | Localized scleroderma | PUVA topical | 0.2–4.0 J/cm\(^2\) to total dose of 62.8 J/cm\(^2\). Initiated for 10 days, then subsequently once a week for 4 months | Unavailable | 4 months | Unavailable | Rodnan score + range of motion of affected joint improvement | Oral prednisolone | Uchiyama et al. [92] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Case report 43/F                         | Systemic sclerosis | PUVA topical | UVA dose started at 0.6 J/cm² then gradually increased to 3.5 J/cm². Treatments were given once a week | 167.3 | Unavailable | 6 | Significant softening of the affected areas, and normalization of skin temperature | No | Morita et al. [93] |
| Case report 58/M                         | Systemic sclerosis | PUVA topical | 0.10 J/cm² 3 times a week, increasing to a maximum single dose of 0.8 J/cm². | 272.3 | 29 weeks | Unavailable | Decreased necrosis in fingers, reduced symptoms of swelling, erosion, crustation, and induration in fingers. Follow-up at 5 months showed slight swelling of both hands without new fingertip lesions | No | Mohanna et al. [94] |
| Case report 65/F                         | Generalized morphea | PUVA | 0.4 J/cm² 3 times a week | Unavailable | 8 weeks | 24 | Hand closure and skin sclerosis index. Score went from three to one. Disease free after 2-year follow-up with weekly maintenance therapy. | No | Kanekura et al. [95] |
| Case report 61/M                         | Progressive systemic sclerosis | PUVA | 0.25 J/cm² 4 times a week. Total dose of 5 J/cm². | Unavailable | 5 weeks | 20 | Hand closure and skin sclerosis index improved from 4 to 1 | No | Kanekura et al. [95] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case report 42/M Progressive systemic sclerosis | PUVA | PUVA 0.4 J/cm² 6 times a week. Total dose of 7.2 J/cm². | Unavailable | 3 weeks | 18 | Hand closure and skin sclerosis index improved from 3 to 0 | No | Kanekura et al. [95] |
| Case report 32/F Progressive systemic sclerosis | PUVA | PUVA 0.25 J/cm² twice a week. Total dose of 3.5 J/cm². | Unavailable | 7 weeks | 14 | Skin sclerosis index improved from 3 to 1 | No | Kanekura et al. [95] |
| Case report 80/M Localized scleroderma | PUVA | Initial 3 times weekly UVA dose of 4 J/cm², which was gradually increased, weekly, to a maximal single dose of 18 J/cm² | Unavailable | 11 months | 127 | After 9 months, the skin plaques were softening. Treatment was then continued twice every week for another 2 months. After 127 treatments, there was clearance of the lesion. Clinical stability remained after 8 months | No | Garcia-Bustinduy et al. [96] |
| Case report 7/F Pansclerotic morphea | PUVA | 0.6 mg/kg for 4 times a week. Dose started at 0.5 J/cm² and was gradually increased to 2.0 J/cm² over 2 months | Unavailable | 10 weeks | Unavailable | After 10 weeks her condition worsened with spread of disease, ulceration and contraction deformities | Penicillamine 20 mg/kg/day | Todd et al. [97] |
| Case report 56/F Systemic sclerosis | PUVA | 3 times a week. Then once a week for maintenance therapy once improvement seen | 483 | 19 months | Unavailable | 100% improvement (patient self-evaluation) | No | Baum et al. [98] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients\(^a\) | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm\(^2\)) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Case report 66/M                       | Systemic sclerosis | PUVA    | 3 times a week then once a week for maintenance therapy once improvement seen | 20                | 1.5 months                | Unavailable             | >70% response rate (patient self-evaluation) | No                          | Baum et al. [98] |
| Case report 27/M                       | Generalized morphea | PUVA    | 3 times a week then once a week for maintenance therapy once improvement seen | 288               | 10 months                 | Unavailable             | >70% response rate (patient self-evaluation) | No                          | Baum et al. [98] |
| Case report 40/M                       | Diffuse morphea   | PUVA    | Twice weekly at 5 J/session | 115               | 23 months                 | N/A                    | Increased mobility, reduced progression of plaques and sclerosis | Cyclosporine for 2 years. Then transitioned to mycophenolate mofetil for 1 year and phototherapy discontinued | Rose and Goodfield [99] |
| Case series 2/F                        | En coup de sabre  | PUVA topical | Initial dose was 0.3 J/cm\(^2\), 3 times a week. And UVA dose was increased after 3 days with 0.2 J/cm\(^2\) | 71                | Unavailable               | 40                     | Softening of lesions after 90 days | Topical calcipotriol twice a day | Gambichler et al. [100] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case report                            | 64/F      | Disseminated scleroderma | PUVA | Initial dose of 0.76 J/cm², maximum tolerated dose 10 J/cm². The first 28 treatments were conducted 4 times a week. Then twice a week during the following ten treatments | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | The skin sclerosis index was a four before therapy, and a one or two after therapy. Improvement was also noted from infrared thermography before and after treatment. No recurrence approximately 2 years later | No | Aragane et al. [101] |
| Case report                            | 27/F      | Localized scleroderma  | PUVA | 0.4 J/cm² up to a total dose of 5 J/cm² | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | Clinical improvement observed with reduced hardness. No recurrence after 20-month follow-up | No | Yamaguchi et al. [102] |
| Case report                            | 12/M      | Pansclerotic morphea   | PUVA | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | Unavailable | Improvement in skin and ulceration that lasted 1.5 years | Unknown | Wollina et al. [103] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patients* | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Case report                            | 40/F      | Post-radiation morphea | PUVA              | Twice weekly for 22 treatments (107.8 J/cm²). Patient subsequently had 47 treatments of UVA1. This was started at medium dose (55 treatments at 50 J/cm²) and progressed to high dose (12 treatments at 80 J/cm²) | 2633.6 | Unavailable | 69 | Patient reported better improvement with high-dose UVA1 then medium-dose UVA1 | No | Lim et al. [104] |
| Case report                            | 8/F       | Panniculitis morphea   | PUVA              | UVA dose 0.5 J/cm², which was gradually increased to 1.8 J/cm² during the next 2 months using four irradiations weekly. She was maintained on two treatments per week for 6 months | Unavailable | Unavailable | 68 | Softening of skin was observed within 1st month. Improved healing of ulcers and joint mobility. No evidence of relapse after a 14 month follow-up | No | Scharffetter-Kochanek et al. [105] |
| Case report                            | 72/F      | Traumatic sclerosis   | UVA1              | 70 J/cm² 5 times a week | 1400 | 4 weeks | Unavailable | Durometer scores improved significantly during first 3 weeks and borderline significantly the last week. Remission after >31 months | No | Kroft et al. [44] |
| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patientsa | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case report 8/F                         | 8/F       | Pansclerotic morphea | UVA1               | 5 J/cm² 3 times a week | 480                    | Unavailable            | Unavailable      | Improvement was seen at 10–12 sessions. Softening of sclerotic lesions. Hypopigmented areas begin to have pigmentation | No                              | Yildirim et al. [106] |
| Case report 45/F                        | 45/F      | Systemic sclerosis  | UVA1               | 50 J/cm² 2–3 times a week | 2222                   | Unavailable            | 40              | Microstomia had improved; all of her sclerotic lesions were softer. She could articulate words normally and had reduced furrowing around the mouth | Unknown                             | Tewari et al. [107] |
| Case report 19/F                        | 19/F      | Scleroderma          | UVA1               | 20 J/cm² 5 times a week | Unavailable            | Unavailable            | Unavailable      | Softening of fibrotic skin, improved mobility of joints | Forsea, et al. [108] |
| Case report 71/M                        | 71/M      | Pansclerotic morphea | UVA1               | 30 J/cm² 3 times a week | 1350                   | 15 weeks              | 45              | Softening, increased elasticity | No                              | Herzinger et al. [109] |
| Case report 16/M                        | 16/M      | Pansclerotic morphea | UVA1               | 20 J/cm² 4 times a week | 640                    | 8 weeks               | 32              | Within 3 weeks there was softening of the skin on the trunk and head. There was an increase in joint mobility. Therapeutic effects lasted for 6 months | No                              | Gruss et al. [110] |
Table 1 continued

| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patientsa | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Case report 42/F                       | Progressive systemic sclerosis | UVA    | 20 J/cm² 3 times a week | Unavailable       | Unavailable            | Unavailable              | Softening of facial sclerosis softening and decreased pruritus at 3 week. At 2 months, lesions on her abdomen and upper legs softened | Unknown | Steger and Matthews [111] |
| Case report 11/M                       | Localized scleroderma          | UVA    | 20 J/cm² 4 times a week for 6 weeks, then once weekly for 6 weeks | Unavailable       | 12 weeks               | Unavailable              | Lesion cleared with softening, tanning, and thinning of the skin. At 3-month follow-up the lesion is still in remission | No | Steger and Matthews [111] |
| Case report 32/F                       | En coup de sabre                | Narrowband UVB | 3 times a week | Unavailable | 24 weeks     | N/A                      | Prevented progression of disease. Patient remained stable for a year off of UVR, but then disease recurred and had to resume UVR and oral colchicine | No | Brosnell et al. [112] |
| Case report 22/F                       | Secondary cicatricial alopecia/ scleroderma | Non-ablative fractional laser | Fluence of 6–8 mJ and a density of 300 spots/cm²/pass. For ablative fractional laser, a fluence of 30–50 mJ was delivered to the affected area 150 spots/cm² | Unavailable | Non-ablative/ ablative laser with a 4 week interval between treatments | 15 treatments | Eight treatments before clinical improvement observed. 26–50% clinical improvement assessment | Topical calcipotriol cream 0.005% | Cho et al. [113] |

*Abbreviations: J/cm², joules per square centimeter; UVA, ultraviolet A; UVB, ultraviolet B; N/A, not applicable.*
### Table 1 continued

| Study (clinical trial, case report, etc.) | Patientsa | Disease | Treatment modality | Treatment duration | Cumulative dose (J/cm²) | Duration of phototherapy | Total treatments | Improvement outcome (clinical, radiologic) | Was the patient(s) reported to be on another treatment concurrently? | Author |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Case report                             | 56/M      | Secondary cicatricial alopecia/ scleroderma | Non-ablative fractional laser | Fluence of 6–8 mJ and a density of 300 spots/cm²/pass. For ablative fractional laser, a fluence of 30–50 mJ was delivered to the affected area 150 spots/cm²² | Unavailable | Non-ablative/ ablative laser with a 4 week interval between treatments | 20 | Five treatments before clinical improvement observed, 26–50% clinical improvement | No | Cho et al [113] |
| Case report                             | 41/F      | Morphea | 585-nm long pulsed (1.5 ms) dye laser | Four treatments, 2 weeks between treatments 5 J/cm² fluence | Unavailable | 8 weeks | 4 | Softening of the plaque noted after each treatment. 6 months after 1st treatment, there was clinical stability | No | Eisen and Alster [114] |

ALA aminolevulinic acid, F female, M male, PDT photodynamic therapy, PUVA psoralen and ultraviolet, UVA ultraviolet A, UVA1 ultraviolet A1, UVB ultraviolet B

a Total number, if case report age/gender
Improved softness of skin lesions assessed by palpation was reported as early as three treatments and as late as ten treatments. Longer standing lesions did not respond as well as therapy. As a control, some lesions in the same patients were covered to prevent UVA1 exposure during treatment, and less softening was reported in these covered lesions. After a 1-year follow-up, only two patients reported a reappearance of lesions. Lesions on skin creases or over joints did not respond as well to therapy [12].

**PUVA**

A 15-year-old male with scleroderma with indurated patches on the trunk and joint restrictions was recalcitrant to hydroxychloroquine, prednisolone, and methotrexate [53]. PUVA at a dose of 0.6 mg/kg twice weekly was subsequently added for 20 sessions over 10 weeks at a cumulative dose of 25.4 J/cm². Methotrexate was subsequently administered for 7 months. After this period, he was able to make a full fist and increase to a normal range of motion in the ankles; his skin was less indurated and has maintained clinical stability for 2 years [53]. Table 1 lists additional PUVA treatment studies in scleroderma/morphea. PUVA’s effects may be due to local effects rather than systemic effects, as Kerscher et al. [54] noted that residual sclerotic lesions remained in patients in areas hidden from UVA exposure such as parts of the elbow in patients undergoing PUVA.

**UVB**

A 43-year-old female with radiation-induced morphea was given acitretin daily and UVB three times a week [55]. Two months afterwards there was less induration of her plaque, decreased tenderness, and improved range of motion of the left arm [55]. Eleven patients that underwent phototherapy treatment (seven treated with PUVA and four treated with narrowband UVB) for an average of ten sessions experienced a 48% improvement of their localized scleroderma as indicated by a clinical pinching test [56]. Additionally, the ultrasound examination showed a dermal thickness reduction ranging from 20% to 100% [56]. There was no correlation between the type of phototherapy and clinical response rate [56]. Additional studies on UVB therapies are included in Table 1.

**Targeted Phototherapy**

Targeted phototherapy is a modality that spares non-lesional skin and is able to deliver a higher fluence. A patient with limited scleroderma and elbow mobility restrictions was treated 2–3 times a week for 13 weeks with 940-nm low-level light therapy with millisecond pulsing and continuous wave modes. Using a sequential pulsing dose on one elbow and continuous wave mode on the other, better results were seen with the pulsing mode showing improvement in skin thickness [57].

Five patients with a total of 11 plaques were treated with a 308-nm monochromatic excimer laser for 4 weeks at a power density of 48 mW/cm² with a maximum irradiation area of 512 cm² [58]. The mean number of treatments was seven, and the dose per session was 1.5 J/cm². The mean total dose was 10 J/cm². After 4 weeks, 3 out of 5 patients experienced marked improvement with residual hyperpigmentation [58].

A 27-year-old Hispanic female had a contracture of her knee with sclerotic bands
on her left lower leg, ankle, and foot that were recalcitrant to methotrexate, UVA1, topical calcipotriene, intralesional triamcinolone acetonide, and physical therapy [59]. The patient was treated with a single treatment of 10.6 μm carbon dioxide laser with a 50 J/cm² pulse energy, while remaining on methotrexate and topical agents [59]. After 1 week, she experienced an increase in range of motion. After 4 months of follow-up, there was softening of her contracture, and she regained full plantar flexion of her left foot. After a 1-year follow-up, she maintained a full range of motion [59].

Four patients with microstomia and SSc were treated with intense pulsed light. 530–570 nm, 11–14 J/cm²; 10–14 pulse durations was used for the patients every 4 weeks [60]. Patients were followed for 4 months. Three patients experienced an increased interincisal distance of ~1 mm per treatment [60]. One patient did not have improved interincisal distance, but did note activities of daily living became easier. One patient did report recurrence of the stiffness after 3 weeks [60]. Table 1 lists additional reports of targeted phototherapy.

Photodynamic Therapy

In six patients, 20% 5-ALA was applied under occlusion to areas of morphea for 5 h. A band width of 570–670 nm, peak 635-nm light was given. A dose of 25 J/cm² was given for a total of six weekly treatments. In four of the patients there was clinical improvement as determined by skin scoring, although only one of these patients showed histologic evidence of improvement. The side effects patients reported included burning sensation, dryness, erythema, pigmentation, and pruritus [61]. Table 1 lists an additional study.

DISCUSSION

There are mostly care reports of UVA1, UVB, PUVA (bath and topical), and targeted phototherapies in cases of scleroderma. UVA1 appears to be the most efficacious, but it is also the most studied. There are not many studies on high-dose UVA1, and this needs to be investigated further to assess the optimal dose of UVA to use in scleroderma. Additionally, longer term studies are needed to study the long-term outcome and safety of these treatments. A similar literature review study delineated UVA and PUVA’s efficacy and safety in the context of SSc, localized scleroderma, extragenital lichen sclerosus et atrophicus, sclerodermoid graft-versus-host disease, lupus erythematosus, and other rare sclerotic diseases [62]. This review also asserts that there need to be more rigorous studies to help establish a guide for UVA’s indications as well as its efficacy compared to other conventional medical therapies [62].

Based on the studies available, a reasonable regimen is UVA1 therapy 20–50 J/cm² 3–4 times a week for a total of 30 treatments. There were no double-blind, placebo-controlled trials available, and only three controlled trials. Adverse effects thus far do not correlate with the intensity of therapy. The side effects noted in scleroderma phototherapy include fatigue, a burning sensation, hyperpigmentation, pruritus, erythema, edema, headaches, gastrointestinal upset, and joint and muscle pain. Additionally, one patient undergoing UVA1 phototherapy for disseminated morphea developed bullous pemphigoid after 29 treatments [63]. The long-term effects of UVA1 on patients have not reported skin cancer [64]. Phototherapy should be safe in pregnancy [65] although folate may need to be supplemented as reports show that UVB and solar UV radiation
may cause photodegradation [66, 67]. Multiple treatments, as well as limited availability of in-office phototherapy, are barriers to treatment. In a review by Bielsa Marsol [68], it was pointed out that most of the studies for UVA1 therapy were performed in countries where patients are predominantly Fitzpatrick types I–III, although, as noted earlier, the Fitzpatrick skin type thus far has not been shown to have an impact on therapy. Phototherapy may not be as useful for sclerotic diseases that affect structures deeper than the dermis.
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