Effect of the Thermal Treatment of Fe/N/C Catalysts for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction Synthesized by Pyrolysis of Covalent Organic Frameworks
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ABSTRACT: A nitrogen-containing covalent organic framework obtained from the polymerization of 1,3-dicyanobenzene has been used as a starting material for the synthesis of Fe/N/C catalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). In this work we report the effect of the thermal treatments on the nature and catalytic properties of the catalysts obtained after the thermal treatments. After the first thermal treatment, the catalysts obtained contain metallic iron and iron carbide particles, along with a minority fraction of inorganic FeNₓ sites. After acid leaching and a second thermal treatment, FeNₓ sites remain in the catalysts, along with a minor fraction of graphite-wrapped Fe₃C particles. Both catalysts display high activity for the ORR, with the catalyst subjected to acid leaching and a second thermal treatment, 2HT-1,3DCB, displaying higher ORR activity and a lower production of H₂O₂. This observation suggests that iron particles, such as Fe₃C, display ORR activity but mainly toward the two-electron pathway. On the contrary, FeNₓ ensembles promote the ORR via the four-electron pathway, that is, via H₂O formation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuel cells generate electrical work by combining two redox reactions, namely, the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) and the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), therefore generating a direct electrical potential difference (work) with H₂O as the only byproduct. Both reactions take place in the presence of Pt-based catalysts, but because of the sluggish kinetics of the ORR reaction, the loading of Pt used in the cathode is greater than that in the anode. Whereas in acid, electrolyte Pt-based catalysts are state-of-the-art ORR catalysts, in alkali, electrolyte Pt-based catalysts are state-of-the-art ORR catalysts, in alkali, electrolyte catalysts based on transition metals can display ORR performances comparable to that of Pt/C. In particular, the so-called M/N/C catalysts, which are nonprecious metal catalysts (NPMCs) based on transition metals (M = Fe, Co, or Mn) coordinated to several N atoms within a carbon framework, have been reported to display high ORR activity. In addition, degradation issues are usually less severe in alkaline than in acidic environments, entailing higher catalyst durability.

Fe/N/C catalysts are synthesized by a thermal treatment under inert or reactive (NH₃) atmospheres of a physical mixture of Fe, N, and C precursors at temperatures between ca. 700 and 1100 °C. During the thermal treatment, the precursors decompose within a low temperature range, the formation of iron particles is observed along with the observation of carbon domains in the medium temperature range, and, finally, the formation of atomically dispersed iron particles is observed at high temperatures. A successful strategy for obtaining highly active and durable catalysts is the use of high-molecular-weight precursors with a defined porous structure that allows higher temperatures to be reached during the thermal treatment, hence producing more graphitic materials. Covalent triazine frameworks (CTFs) are a kind of N- and C-containing polymers with high surface areas and controlled textural properties. Furthermore, the synthesis of the CTF follows green chemistry principles. Therefore, CTFs are used in several applications including gas storage and separation (CO₂ capture and H₂ storage), energy storage, heterogeneous catalysis, photocatalysis and electrocatalysis. CTFs can be synthesized by following different approaches: (a) ionothermal synthesis, which is a reaction at high temperatures in the presence of ZnCl₂, which melts and acts as an ionic liquid dissolving aromatic dinitrile monomers, also catalyzing the trimerization
of nitriles into triazines due to its Lewis acid character;\(^\text{29}\) (b) the phosphorus-pentoxide-catalyzed method, where \(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\) promotes the direct condensation of aromatic primary amide groups into nitriles and subsequently condenses to produce triazine structures;\(^\text{30}\) (c) the Brønsted superacid synthesis method, where Cooper et al.\(^\text{31}\) reported that chlorosulfonic acid catalyzes the trimerization of aromatic nitriles at room temperature under microwave conditions; (d) amidine polycondensation synthesis, a novel mild synthesis method reported by Tan et al.\(^\text{32}\) showing that a condensation reaction between an aldehyde and amidine hydrochloride under the presence of a Schiff base produces amorphous CTFs followed by an improved oxidation strategy, using alcohols, allowing the creation of crystalline structures; and (e) the Friedel–Crafts reaction method, where an amorphous CTF is obtained when cyanuric chloride reacts with aromatic monomers.\(^\text{33}\)

Because of the chemical flexibility of CTFs, they are promising candidates as a precursor to NPMCs. An important aspect of NPMCs is the actual architecture of the iron–nitrogen active sites, meaning how the atoms are coordinating between them. Iron–nitrogen ensembles can be coordinated in various forms,\(^\text{34}\) such as \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}–\text{N}_3\text{Fe}^\text{III}\), \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}–\text{N}_3\text{Fe}^\text{III}\), \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}–\text{N}_3\text{Fe}^\text{III}\), and \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}–\text{N}_3\text{Fe}^\text{III}\). Among all of these configurations, it has been proposed that the low-spin ferrous \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}\) and the high-spin \(\text{N}^\text{II}–\text{Fe}^\text{II}–\text{N}^\text{II}\) (with a terminal protonated nitrogen) are the most active configurations in acidic media.\(^\text{35,36}\) However, there is still controversy due to recent studies that declare that high-spin ferrous species \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}–\text{N}_3\text{Fe}^\text{III}\) at the catalyst surface could be the main responsible species.\(^\text{37}\) To the best of our knowledge, such specific studies have not been performed in alkaline media, probably because it has been reported that Fe-free N–C moieties and isolated iron in metallic, carbide, or nitride species also display activity for the ORR in an alkaline system.\(^\text{38,39}\) Therefore, CTFs could be ideal candidates to become the starting core of an inexpensive NPMCs synthesis when looking for a particular iron–nitrogen configuration. The incorporation of iron within the polymerization synthesis of CTFs can lead to the desired electrocatalytic material, hence our recent work based on the novel synthesis of an active NPMC in acidic media and based on the polymerization of a CTF\(^\text{41}\) via an ionothermal high-temperature reaction (Scheme 1). In this work, we have designed two catalysts with high performance in alkaline media, and we have studied the effect of the different heat treatments on a nitrogen/ammonia atmosphere.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Synthesis of Fe-Containing Porous Organic Triazine and Fe/N/C Catalysts. The starting materials, 1,3-dicyanobenzene (1,3-DCB), zinc chloride, and iron(II) acetate (all purchased from Aldrich), were mixed in a glovebox in 1:1 DCB/ZnCl\(_2\) and 0.16 Fe(OCH\(_3\))\(_2\)/DCB molar ratios. The mixture was ground, placed into a Pyrex vial, and sealed under vacuum. The vial was heated from room temperature (r.t.) to 400 \(^\circ\)C at 3 \(^\circ\)C/min, kept at 400 \(^\circ\)C for 46 h, and cooled to r.t. A black monolith (poly-1,3-DCB) was obtained and ball-milled in a planetary ball mill for 60 min. The recovered solid was then heated from r.t. to 900 \(^\circ\)C at 20 \(^\circ\)C/min, dwelling at 900 \(^\circ\)C for 30 min under NH\(_3)/N\(_2\) flows of 28.1 and 24.2 mL/min, respectively, and cooled to r.t. under a N\(_2\) atmosphere. The catalyst obtained is referred to as 1HT-1,3DCB. To remove the unstable Fe phases, we subjected 1HT-1,3DCB to acid leaching in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at 60 \(^\circ\)C for 4 h and washed it with Millipore Milli-Q H\(_2\)O until the pH of the water obtained is labeled 2HT-1,3DCB.

2.2. Characterization. X-ray diffractograms were obtained using an XPert Pro PANalytical diffractometer in Bragg–Brentano reflection geometry with Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5418 \ \text{Å}\)). C, H, and N contents were measured using a LECO CHNS-932 elemental analyzer.

The textural properties were evaluated using a Micromeritics ASAP 2000 apparatus. Adsorption/desorption nitrogen isotherms within a relative pressure range of \(P/P_0 = 0.05\) to 0.30 were selected to evaluate the surface area. A certain volume of gas was absorbed to the surface at \(-196 \ \text{°C}\) (nitrogen boiling point) of the sample, which, later on, was degassed at 140 \(^\circ\)C under vacuum conditions for 24 h.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were collected with a 200 kV field-emission gun transmission electron microscope (JEOL 2100F) equipped with an EDX spectrometer Oxford INCA Energy 2000 system. We prepared TEM specimens by dropping the solution of the sample in ethanol on a lacey carbon TEM grid.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements were performed on the B18 beamline at the Diamond Light Source UK synchrotron facility.\(^\text{42}\) Spectra were recorded at the Fe K-edge (\(E \approx 7120\) eV). We collected data in fluorescence mode because the spectra showed a small edge jump in transmission signal. Pellets were prepared by mixing \(<10\) mg of sample with cellulose. XAS data were then collected with three repetitions of 3 min (total of \(<10\) min) that were then averaged to obtain an improved signal-to-noise ratio. The collected XAS spectra were aligned in energy and normalized to unity edge jump using the Athena software from the Demeter package.\(^\text{43}\) The \(\chi(k)\) Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) signals were also extracted using the same program. The Fourier
transforms (FTs) of the EXAFS spectra were obtained by transforming the \( k^2 \chi(k) \) functions in the (2–14) Å\(^{-1} \) range.

X-ray photoelectron spectra have been collected using a VG Escalab 200 R apparatus using pass energy of 50 eV and a Mg Ka X-ray source. The kinetic energies of the photoelectrons were measured with a hemispherical electron analyzer working in the constant-pass energy mode. A background pressure of \( 3 \times 10^{-7} \) mbar was kept in the analysis chamber below during the spectra recording. A minimum of 250 scans were collected in increments of 0.1 eV with dwell times of 50 ms to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio. The positions of the photoelectron peaks under study are referred to the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV.

**Catalytic Performance for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction:** The activity and selectivity for the ORR were assessed in an alkaline electrolyte using an Autolab PGSTAT302N potentiostat/galvanostat connected to a rotating disk electrode (RDE). The working electrode was a glassy carbon disk with a geometric area of 0.196 cm\(^2 \). Metrohm Ag/AgCl KCl (saturated) and gold wire were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. For the electrochemical measurements, the catalyst under study was deposited, as an ink, onto the glassy carbon RDE to a catalyst loading of 0.4 mg cat cm\(^{-2} \). The ink was prepared as follows. 4 mg of catalyst were dispersed on 780 μL of isopropyl alcohol, and 20 μL of 5 wt % Nafion. This mixture was dispersed in an ultrasonic bath for at least 30 min. The ORR activity was measured by recording cyclic voltammograms (CVs) between 0.0 and 1.2 V vs reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) in O\(_2\)-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolytes at 10 mV s\(^{-1} \) and different rotation rates. In this work, potentials are reported versus the RHE. The potentials recorded were corrected by measuring the electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) at open voltage, concluding in a resistance value of 42 Ω, following the equation

\[
F_{\text{corrected}} = F_{\text{applied}} - i \cdot R
\]

The durability of the catalysts has been tested by conducting an accelerated stress test (AST) under ORR conditions. Typically, the catalyst under study was loaded onto an RDE (final loading 0.4 mg cm\(^{-2} \) geometrical) and subjected to 5000 consecutive cycles between 0.4 and 1.0 V vs RHE at 1600 rpm with a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1} \) in an O\(_2\)-saturated electrolyte. To assess the evolution of the catalytic performance, we collected CVs every 500 cycles under the same conditions at 10 mV s\(^{-1} \).

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 3.1. Physicochemical Characterization of the Catalysts

The C, H, and N contents and the specific surface areas of 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB are shown in Table 1. As shown, the relative content of carbon in 2HT-1,3DCB is significantly higher than that in 1HT-1,3DCB. As discussed as follows, this is because 1HT-1,3DCB contains a significant fraction of iron- and zinc-containing phases that are removed during the acid leaching and second pyrolysis treatment.

The specific surface areas of the catalysts have been determined from the N\(_2\) adsorption–desorption isotherms using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method; see Table 1. The BET area of 2HT-1,3DCB, 537 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1} \), is significantly higher than that of 1HT-1,3DCB, 374 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1} \). However, the relative micropore/external surface areas are similar in both catalysts, as shown in Table 1. This observation suggests that porosity is generated during the thermal treatment, and the higher porosity of 2HT-1,3DCB accounts for the fact that this sample has been subjected to two pyrolysis steps. Note that the surface area of poly-1,3DCB, the monolith obtained after 1,3-dicyanobenzene polymerization at 400 °C under vacuum, is very low, ~5 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1} \).

**Figure 1** shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of poly-1,3DCB, 1HT-1,3DCB, and 2HT-1,3DCB. The diffractogram for poly-1,3DCB shows sharp reflections corresponding to Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\), ZnCl\(_2\), and Fe\(_3\)(CO)\(_9\) phases. After the first pyrolysis in NH\(_3\)/N\(_2\), a strong transformation of the phases is observed, and the diffractogram of 1HT-1,3DCB shows reflections for metallic Fe, Fe\(_3\)C, and graphitic carbon. The observation of reduced iron particles (metallic iron and Fe\(_2\)C) and the absence of features for oxidized iron species in the diffractogram of 1HT-1,3-DCB are indicative of the reductive nature of the atmosphere during the pyrolysis step. The diffractogram of 2HT-1,3DCB shows very weak reflections for graphitic carbon and Fe\(_3\)C. This result indicates that metallic iron particles are completely removed during the acid leaching and the second pyrolysis. Despite the fact that the main fraction of Fe\(_3\)C is removed during this treatment, a minority fraction of Fe\(_3\)C particles still remains in 2HT-1,3DCB after acid leaching, as deduced by the very weak set of reflections at 2θ values of ca. 43.5°. The presence of iron carbide particles in the acid-
leached sample accounts for the fact that they were wrapped within several graphite layers, therefore preventing their dissolution during acid leaching. In fact, previous studies clearly showed that Fe$_3$C dissolution in acid (either acid leaching or the ORR) is a slow process.\textsuperscript{44} To quantify the relative amount of iron carbides in each catalyst, we normalized the area of the diffraction peak for iron carbides (at 2\(\theta\) ca. 43.5°) with respect to the peak for graphitic carbon (2\(\theta\) ca. 25.5°) in each sample; see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information. We obtained values of 0.24 and 0.13 for samples 1HT-1,3-DCB and 2HT-1,3-DCB, indicating a decrease in the relative fraction of iron carbides in the latter sample.

Figure 2 shows representative TEM micrographs of 1HT-1,3DCB. As shown in Figure 2a, 1HT-1,3DCB displays a carbon matrix containing isolated and encapsulated Fe-rich particles. Some of these particles, of around 10–20 nm, are encapsulated within several layers (15–20 layers) of carbon (Figure 2b). The inset to Figure 2b shows the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the TEM image of one of such particles that can be indexed in the [001] zone axis of the cohenite Fe$_3$C structure. The scanning transmission electron microscopy—high-angle annular dark-field (STEM-HAADF) micrograph in Figure 2c reveals the presence of such particles homogeneously dispersed over the carbon matrix. The presence of isolated iron metallic particles of ~10 nm along with nanosized particles of iron oxides in 1HT-1,3DCB has been confirmed by the TEM analysis; see Figure 2d.

Figure 3 shows representative TEM and STEM images of 2HT-1,3DCB. The images reveal that the content of iron particles in 2HT-1,3DCB is significantly lower than that in 1HT-1,3DCB. This observation, which is in line with the XRD results, indicates the successful removal of most iron phases during the acid leaching and second pyrolysis. However, the removal of iron particles in not complete (see Figure 3a,d), and a small fraction of Fe$_3$C particles encapsulated into several layers of graphitic carbon, as deduced from the FFT images in Figure 3b, can be observed in 2HT-1,3DCB. This observation is in good agreement with the XRD data. TEM images also reveal the morphology of the carbon matrix after the leaching process and that several parts of the carbon matrix transformed, forming carbon plates (Figure 3c).

The surface composition of 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) by recording the N 1s (Figure 4), C 1s (Figure S2), and Fe 2p
The relative surface contents of C, N, and Fe atoms in the catalysts were calculated from the integration of the C 1s, N 1s, and Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ core-level peaks using the corresponding sensitive atomic factors. As deduced from the evolution of the C/Fe and N/Fe atomic ratios, the content of Fe decreases after the acid leaching and second pyrolysis. (See also that the intensity of the Fe 2p spectra decreases after acid leaching and the second thermal treatment.

**Figure 3.** TEM and STEM images of 2HT-1,3DCB. (a) TEM image showing the presence of a small fraction of iron particles on the carbon matrix. (b) High-resolution TEM image showing an Fe$_3$C particle (as demonstrated by the FFT of the image, see inset) wrapped within graphite layers. (c) Presence of carbon plates is shown. (d) STEM image showing the presence of a small fraction of iron particles.

**Figure 4.** N 1s core-level regions of 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB showing the presence of the N-containing species in the catalysts, namely, pyridinic-N (red curve), FeN$_x$ (blue curve), pyrrolic-N (green curve), N-graphitic (purple curve), and N-oxide (brown curve).

(Figure S3) The relative C/N content also increases, indicating that N atoms from the surface are also removed during the second treatment. The nature of the N species at the surface of 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB catalysts was analyzed by XPS. The N 1s core-level spectra of both catalysts were deconvoluted into five components at ca. 398.4, 399.2, 400.7, 402.6, and 405.6 eV, which, in agreement with previous references, can be ascribed to pyridinic N, N coordinated to Fe.
(FeN\textsubscript{x} ensembles), pyrrolic N, graphitic and/or N quaternary, and N oxidized, respectively.\textsuperscript{40,46} The fraction of the N-containing species in each catalyst is reported in Table 2. As shown, the fraction of FeN\textsubscript{x} species in 2HT-1,3DCB is higher than that in 1HT-1,3DCB, a feature that has been observed in previous reports and sustains the idea that FeN\textsubscript{x} sites are formed during the thermal treatment at high temperature.\textsuperscript{14} In both catalysts, pyrrolic N is the predominant N-containing species, although the fraction of pyridinic nitrogen increases after the second thermal treatment.

It is well known that Fe/N/C contains different types of sites (N–Fe ensembles with different coordinations, geometries, spin states, etc.) and that the actual ORR activity of the catalyst depends on the nature of such phases. For instance, the most active sites for the ORR are FeN\textsubscript{x} ensembles, especially FeN\textsubscript{4} moieties hosted at edge sites. Pyridinic N promotes the four-electron pathway of a direct reduction of O\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}O, whereas N-graphitic is claimed to promote the two-electron pathway of O\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{40−49}

The oxidation state and environment of the iron species in 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB were further studied with XAS. The Fe K-edge energy and X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectral features for 1HT-1,3DCB (Figure 5a) appear to be similar to the XANES spectrum of the metallic iron foil (used as standard), indicating that the Fe atoms in 1HT-1,3DCB are mainly present as metallic Fe. This result, in good agreement with XRD and TEM results, reveals that 1HT-1,3DCB is mainly composed of metallic Fe and Fe\textsubscript{3}C. The XANES spectrum of 2HT-1,3DCB shows a shift of the edge toward higher energies, which is very close to that of Fe-phthalocyanine, indicating the preponderance of Fe atoms in the 2+ oxidation state. Fe atoms in 2HT-1,3DCB adopt a different geometry than that of Fe in Fe-phthalocyanine. In the latter, a clear prepeak is observed that does not appear in the spectra of 2HT-1,3DCB. This prepeak is characteristic of Fe in the square-planar environment of FeN\textsubscript{4} ensembles.\textsuperscript{50} As we have previously reported,\textsuperscript{41} the different pre-edge feature in 2HT-1,3DCB indicates that the geometry of the FeN\textsubscript{x} moieties is not the same as that in Fe-phthalocyanine. The different geometry can be related to the bending of the FeN\textsubscript{x} moieties or to the occupation of some of the axial empty positions of the square planar FeN\textsubscript{4} moieties.\textsuperscript{51}

Figure S4 and Figure 5b show the k-space EXAFS and the phase-corrected FT-EXAFS spectra of the Fe K-edge, respectively. The FT-EXAFS for 1HT-1,3DCB is dominated by a peak at ca. 2.4 Å, which corresponds to Fe–Fe bond distances, in good agreement with the presence of Fe\textsubscript{3}C and Fe\textsuperscript{2+}. The spectrum of 2HT-1,3DCB shows two main peaks at ca. 1.8 and 2.4 Å, ascribed to Fe–N and Fe–Fe bond distances, respectively, indicative of the presence of FeN\textsubscript{x} and Fe\textsubscript{3}C, respectively. This result, which is in line with the XPS results, reveals that the acid leaching and second thermal treatment lead to the removal of metallic iron phases (including Fe\textsubscript{3}C species) and to the formation of further FeN\textsubscript{x} ensembles.

| catalyst          | C/N  | C/O  | N/Fe | pyridinic | FeN\textsubscript{x} | pyrrolic | quaternary | oxide |
|-------------------|------|------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------|------------|-------|
| 1HT-1,3DCB        | 44   | 17   | 15   | 17        | 14                   | 34       | 22         | 13    |
| 2HT-1,3DCB        | 52   | 13   | 17   | 19        | 21                   | 35       | 15         | 10    |

Figure 5. (a) Fe K-edge XANES and (b) Fourier transform of the EXAFS signals of 1HT-1,3DCB (black line) and 2HT-1,3DCB (red line) and for the standards, Fe-phthalocyanine (blue line), Fe\textsubscript{3}C (green line), and iron foil (gray line).
resulting in the preponderance of the latter iron species in 2HT-1,3DCB.

3.2 Oxygen Reduction Activity in an Alkaline Electrolyte. The electrocatalytic performance of 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB for the ORR was measured in an alkaline electrolyte. The RDE thin-film technique is used for the measurements.\(^{52}\) Figure 6 illustrates the ORR polarization curves for 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB in \(\text{O}_2\)-saturated 0.1 M KOH recorded at different rotation rates. Both catalysts show activity for the ORR, and they present distinct ORR activities. Thus 1HT-1,3DCB displays only moderate ORR activity, with \(E_{\text{onset}}\) at 0.89 V. Noticeably a plateau current is not reached, probably indicating a low fraction of active sites in the catalyst or a high production of hydrogen peroxide; see as follows. On the contrary, 2HT-1,3DCB displays a superior performance of ORR, with \(E_{\text{onset}}\) at 0.93 V (see Table 3 and the discussion that follows), and reaches a better-defined limiting current. The activity of 2HT-1,3DCB is, in fact, comparable to that of state-of-the-art NPMCs in alkaline media.\(^{13}\)

![Figure 6. Polarization curves for (a) 1HT-1,3DCB and (b) 2HT-1,3DCB recorded in \(\text{O}_2\)-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte in a positive-going scan at 10 mV s\(^{-1}\) at different rotation rates. The upper panels show the production of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) with each catalyst. (c) Tafel plots (\(E\) vs log \(i_m\)) from the ORR polarization curves at 1600 rpm. (d) Koutecky–Levich plots for 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB at \(E = 0.2\) V.](image)

| catalyst     | catalyst loading in RDE (\(\mu\)g/cm\(^2\)) | \(E_{\text{onset}}\) (V) | \(E_{1/2}\) (V) | \(i_m\) (A/g) at 0.9 V | \(i_m\) (A/g) at 0.8 V | \(i_m\) (A/g) at 0.7 V | ref |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----|
| 1HT-1,3DCB   | 400                                         | 0.89                     | 0.71           | 0.2                    | 3.2                    | 15.4                   | this work |
| 2HT-1,3DCB   | 400                                         | 0.93                     | 0.77           | 0.7                    | 6.0                    | 20.4                   | this work |
| Fe-N/AB      | 637                                         | 0.92                     | 0.81           |                        |                        |                        | 54 |
| Fe-N/MWCNT   | 637                                         | 0.97                     | 0.84           |                        |                        |                        | 54 |
| C-Fe-ZIF-900-2.53 | 500                                         | 0.95                     | 0.82           |                        |                        |                        | 55 |
| Fe-NMG       | 600                                         | 0.96                     | 0.83           |                        |                        |                        | 56 |
The mass activities for the catalysts under study (see Figure 6c) have been calculated from the pure kinetic currents derived from the Koutecky–Levich (K–L) equation

\[
i_k = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}i_{lim}}{i_t - i_{lim}}
\]

where \(i_k\) is the kinetic current, which is negative for reduction reactions, and \(i_{lim}\) is the limiting current. The ORR mass activity is determined by the following equation

\[
i_M = -\frac{i_k}{m}
\]

where \(m\) is the loading of the catalyst in the electrode. In Figure S5, it is shown that 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB record similar mass activities at low overpotentials. However, at higher overpotentials, that is, at potentials less positive than 0.8 V, the mass activities diverge, and 2HT-1,3DCB displays higher mass activities.

The ORR can proceed via the four-electron or the two-electron pathways, producing \(H_2O\) or \(H_2O_2\), respectively. The production of hydrogen peroxide during the ORR is not desirable because it implies a lower efficiency (two electrons vs four electrons exchanged per \(O_2\) molecule) and because the presence of \(H_2O_2\) can accelerate the corrosion of the catalyst. The production of \(H_2O_2\) during the ORR with 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB was assessed using a rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) with a Pt ring; see Figure 6. The potential of the ring electrode is set at 1.2 V vs RHE to ensure the oxidation of the \(H_2O_2\) produced during the ORR. The determination of the current associated with the oxidation of \(H_2O_2\) into \(O_2\) \((i_{x})\) allows one to quantify the fraction of \(H_2O_2\) formed during the ORR using eq 4.\(^5\) In eq 4, \(i_k\) and \(i_x\) are the ring and disk faradaic currents, respectively, and \(N\) is the ring collection efficiency (in this case, 38%). RRDE tests show a hydrogen peroxide production of ca. 3S and 17% for 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB catalysts, respectively; see Figure 3b.

The following descriptors are used to assess the ORR activity of the electrocatalysts: (i) the onset potential \((E_{onset})\), which is the potential when a current density of 0.1 mA/cm\(^2\) is achieved; (ii) the half-wave potential \((E_{1/2})\), which is the potential at half of the limiting current; and (iii) the mass activities \((i_M)\) at 0.9, 0.8, and 0.7 V. (See Figure 6 and Table 3.) As previously stated, both catalysts display high ORR activity in an alkaline electrolyte, especially 2HT-1,3DCB, displaying values that compare well with the best catalyst reported in the literature, with \(E_{1/2}\) between 0.80 and 0.84 V for state-of-the-art ORR catalysts in an alkaline electrolyte, namely, Fe-N/AB and Fe-N/MWCNT.\(^34\) C-Fe-ZIF-900-2.53, \(^5\) and Fe-NMG.\(^56\) (See Table 3.) The beneficial effect of the second pyrolysis treatment is clearly by comparing the ORR performance of 1HT1-3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB. The latter catalyst displays superior ORR performance in terms of \(E_{onset}\) \(E_{1/2}\) and \(i_M\) when compared at the same potentials. In addition, 2HT-1,3DCB displays a selectivity for the four-electron pathway, that is, a lower formation of \(H_2O_2\) than 1HT-1,3DCB. This superior performance can be ascribed to the combination of a higher content of FeN\(_x\) ensembles, confirming the idea that FeN\(_x\) sites are the most active sites for the ORR,\(^11\) and to the higher BET area of the catalyst subjected to a second pyrolysis.

As previously stated, the ORR polarization curves for 1HT-1,3DCB do not reach proper plateau currents. In addition, both catalysts fail to reach the theoretical limiting current value expected for a four-electron process defined by the Levich equation at any rotation rate. (See Figure 6a,b.) According to the K–L equation, eq 5, the number of exchanged electrons can be calculated from the current densities obtained at different rotation rates. By plotting the reciprocal of the current against the reciprocal of the rotation rate square root, straight lines are obtained, and the slope of the lines can be used to calculate the number of exchanged electrons.

\[
\frac{1}{i} = \frac{1}{i_k} + \frac{1}{0.62nFAD_{kW}^{-1/6}C^{-1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu^{1/2}}
\]

where \(F\) is the Faraday constant, \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient of \(O_2\) in 0.1 M KOH, which is equivalent to 1.93 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)-s\(^{-1}\), \(\nu\) is the kinematic viscosity of the electrolyte, which is equivalent to 1.09 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)-s\(^{-1}\), and \(C\) is the saturation concentration of \(O_2\) in 0.1 M KOH, which is equivalent to 1.96 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) mol·cm\(^{-3}\) due to the fact that the experiments were done at high altitude (~700 m above sea level), where the \(O_2\) pressure is 0.89 atm.

From Figure 6d, a total number of exchanged electrons of 3.2 e\(^-\) and 3.7 e\(^-\) was obtained at 0.2 V for 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB, respectively. These values compare well with the average values deduced from the \(H_2O_2\) production recorded in the RRDE experiments of 3.3 e\(^-\) and 3.6 e\(^-\) for 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB, respectively.

The ORR activity results previously shown, that is, a higher ORR activity and a lower production of H\(_2O_2\) recorded with \(2HT-1,3DCB\) can be related to the different iron phases in both catalysts. As shown by XRD and TEM, the acid leaching removes the iron phases that are not stable in acid media, especially metallic iron and iron carbides. Although it is admitted that such iron species can be active for the ORR in an alkaline electrolyte,\(^34,38,57\) they promote the two-electron reaction pathway, that is, the production of \(H_2O_2\). Because 1HT-1,3DCB displays a high fraction of iron particles (mostly graphite wraped Fe(C), it displays high ORR activity, but via the two-electron pathway. After acid leaching, the total amount of iron in the catalyst decreases, especially due to the dissolution of FeC. Additionally, as shown by XPS, the second thermal treatment results in a higher density of FeN\(_x\) sites. This is confirmed by the Fe K-edge XAS analysis, which reveals a higher fraction of FeN\(_x\) species in 2HT-1,3DCB than in 1HT-1,3DCB. This transformation, the removal of iron particles, and formation of more FeN\(_x\) ensembles result in a higher ORR activity and a lower production of H\(_2O_2\).

Finally, we have carried out an AST under ORR conditions to evaluate the durability and stability of 1HT-1,3DCB and 2HT-1,3DCB. Figure 55 shows the polarization curves recorded after every 500 cycles during an AST consisting of 5000 cycles in \(O_2\)-saturated HClO\(_4\) at 10 mV s\(^{-1}\) and 1600 rpm. As shown, the ORR activity of both catalysts decreases with the number of cycles, but the activity loss is more pronounced with 1HT-1,3DCB, decreasing during the whole duration of the experiment. On the contrary, the ORR activity of 2HT-1,3DCB stabilizes after 3000 cycles. The half-wave potential \((E_{1/2})\) of 1HT-1,3DCB shifts to less positive values by 51 mV after 4000 cycles and by 118 mV after 5000 cycles.
In addition, the limiting current recorded with 1HT-1,3DCB decreases during the 5000 cycles, probably due to a strong loss of active sites. The higher stability of 2HT-1,3DCB during the ORR results in a moderate shifting of the $E_{1/2}$ of only 33 mV after 5000 cycles, which is in line with previous reported works.\(^{58-60}\) The higher durability of 2HT-1,3DCB probably accounts for the lack of unstable phases in this catalyst after the removal of the soluble phases during acid leaching, typically metallic iron and iron carbide clusters.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Two Fe/N/C catalysts have been synthesized using a N-containing polymer obtained by the polymerization of 1,3-dicyanobenzene (poly-1,3-DCB) under ionothermal conditions. The first catalyst, 1HT-1,3DCB, was obtained by the thermal treatment of poly-1,3-DCB in the presence of an iron precursor. The second catalyst, 2HT-1,3-DCB, was obtained by acid leaching of 1HT-1,3DCB followed by a thermal treatment. Both catalysts display high ORR activity in an alkaline electrolyte. The characterization results, including XRD, TEM, XPS, and Fe K-edge XAS, reveal that 1HT-1,3DCB contains iron particles, mostly graphite-wrapped Fe3C along with a minor fraction of FeN\(_x\) ensembles. However, after acid leaching and the second thermal treatment, FeN\(_x\) ensembles are the main iron-containing species in the catalyst, with only a small fraction of Fe3C particles. The ORR activity of both catalysts is affected by the nature of the iron species. Thus the higher fraction of FeN\(_x\) ensembles after acid leaching results in a higher ORR activity and a lower H2O2 production than 1HT-1,3DCB. In addition, the removal of iron particles (metallic iron and Fe3C) by acid leaching results in more stable catalysts during the ORR in an alkaline electrolyte. The results presented in this Article show that the presence of iron particles in Fe/N/C catalysts compromises the ORR durability in an alkaline electrolyte and the removal of such iron particles is recommended for the design of robust, durable ORR catalysts in an alkaline electrolyte.
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