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A field experiment was conducted at the college Agronomy farm, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat) during summer season of the year 2017 to study the role of potassium and sulphur on yield attributes, yield and quality of summer groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) under middle Gujarat conditions. The experimental soil was loamy sand in texture having pH of 7.80. The experiment included four levels of potassium viz. 0, 25, 50 and 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and three levels of sulphur viz. 0, 20 and 40 kg S ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (Factorial) with four replications. Potassium showed significant effect on yield and yield attributes of groundnut. Application of potassium @ 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ produced significantly highest dry matter content at 45 DAS, no. of branches plant⁻¹, no. of pod plant⁻¹, shelling %, seed index, pod yield, haulm yield and protein content. Sulphur fertilizer also gave significant effect on yield and yield attributes of groundnut. Application of sulphur @ 40 kg S ha⁻¹ produced the highest dry matter content at 45 DAS, no. of branches plant⁻¹, no. of pod plant⁻¹, shelling %, seed index, pod yield, haulm yield, oil content and protein content. Potassium in combination with sulphur showed significant effect on yield and yield attributes of groundnut. Combined application of potassium @ 75 kg ha⁻¹ and sulphur @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ resulted significantly the highest no. of branches plant⁻¹, no. of pod plant⁻¹, pod yield, haulm yield and shelling % of groundnut. On the other hand, in all the cases the lower response was found from the control treatment.
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Introduction
Fertilizer played a vital role in increasing the productivity of crop even after green revolution. Though India occupies unique position in the area and production of oilseeds, judicious fertilizer management plays a pivotal role in influencing the crop yield significantly. Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is known to be a unique and important legume cum oilseed crop of India accounting 33% of world’s groundnut area and about 27.3% production. It belongs to Leguminoseae family. It is also known as peanut, monkey nut, earthnut, manila nut and goober. It is world’s largest source of edible oil and ranks 13th among the food crops as well as 4th most important oilseed crops of the world. It is a
leading oilseed crop in India with an area of 6.64 million hectares and production of 6.77 million tone of groundnut at an average productivity of 1020 kg ha\(^{-1}\) during summer season (FAO STAT, 2007). It is a multipurpose crop contains 45% to 51% high quality hydrogenated edible oil and 26% dietary proteins, 24.2% soluble carbohydrates and minerals. The kernels also rich in vitamin E, K and all B vitamins except B\(_{12}\).

Potassium is one of the three major essential nutrient elements required by plants. Though potassium is not a constituent of any compound or structurally bound in groundnut, it is required for translocation of assimilates and involved in maintenance of water status of plant especially the turgor pressure of cells and opening and closing of stomata and increase the availability of metabolic energy for the synthesis of starch and proteins. Besides, it increased peg formation, nodulation, synthesis of sugar and starch and help in pod growth and filling.

Sulphur is the fourth major nutrient and plays an important role in the nutrition of oil-seed crop and as a constituent of sulphur containing amino acids cystine, cysteine and methionine (Gangadhara et al., 1990). In oil seed crops it is also involved in the formation of glucocides or glucosinolates which on hydrolysis increase the oil content. One of the main functions of sulphur in proteins or polypeptides is the formation of disulphide bonds between polypeptide chains. Disulphide linkages are important in stabilizing and determining the configuration of proteins. The application of sulphur increased the uptake of various macro and micro nutrients in groundnut (Singh, 1999).

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the college Agronomy farm, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (Gujarat) during summer season of the year 2017 to study the effect of potassium and sulphur in improving production of summer groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.). The soil of experimental field was Typic Ustocrept (Inceptisol) which is loamy sand of alluvial origin having good drainage and moderate moisture retention. Soil pH was neutral to alkaline with poor organic matter and nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potash content. It was deficient to moderate in sulphur, iron and zinc contents.

The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with 12 treatment combinations and replicated four times. It consist of four levels of potassium viz. 0, 25, 50 and 75 kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\) applied as Muriate of potash and three levels of sulphur viz. 0, 20 and 40 kg S ha\(^{-1}\) applied as Bentonite sulphur. The full dose of nitrogen (25 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and of P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (50 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) were added through urea and DAP as basal application in each plot. The treatment wise sulphur and potassium were applied though bentonite sulphur and muriate of potash, respectively as basal. The crop was dibbled at 45 cm raw to raw spacing. The crop was raised with standard package of practices. The crop was harvested at maturity and plot wise yield attributes, haulm and pod yield were recorded. Oil content was determined by non-destructive method using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance as suggested by A.O.A.C., (1956) and Oil content was estimated by multiplied nitrogen content of respective components by a factor of 6.25 as suggested by Gupta et al., (1973).

Results and Discussion

Effect of potassium on yield attributes

Data presented in Table 1 revealed that application of 75 kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\) gave significantly higher (4.50 g) dry matter
content at 45 DAS which remains at par with 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Significantly the highest number of branches plant⁻¹ (7.17) was noted at 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Application of 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ gave significantly higher (12.17) number of pods which remains at par with 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Significantly higher shelling % (74.24%) and seed index (45.08 g) was found at 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, which remains at par with 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Effect of different levels of potassium was found to be non-significant in case of plant population, plant height and harvest index. The increase in yield attributes of plant might be due to the potassium play a vital role in maintaining balance in enzymatic, stomatal activity, transport of sugar and synthesis of protein, photosynthesis and starch. Our findings are supported by Sahay et al., (2013).

**Effect of sulphur on yield attributes**

Yield attributing characters like dry matter content at 45 DAS, no. of branches plant⁻¹, no. of pod plant⁻¹, shelling %, seed index (100 seed weight) of groundnut were increased significantly with increasing levels of sulphur, while the plant population, plant height and harvest index was found to be non-significant with different levels of sulphur (Table 1). The treatment S₂ (40 kg S ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher (4.43 g) dry matter accumulation per plant at 45 DAS and it was remains at par with treatment S₁ (20 kg S ha⁻¹). Application 40 kg S ha⁻¹ recorded significantly the highest number of branches plant⁻¹ (7.81). Treatment S₂ (40 kg S ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher number of pods plant⁻¹ (12.19), but it was at par with treatment S₁ (11.81). Data showed that significantly the highest shelling percentage of (73.80%) and seed index (41.02 g) was observed under the treatment S₂ (40 kg S ha⁻¹). Yield attributes were significantly increased at each increasing level of applied sulphur. This might be due to the fact that sulphur application improved over all nutritional environment of the Rhizosphere as well as plant system which could be more advantageous for profused vegetative and root growth which activated higher absorption of nutrients from the soil and improved metabolic activities inside the plant. Similar results were observed by Naiknaware et al., (2015).

Interaction effect of potassium and sulphur showed significant effect on yield attributes of groundnut. Combined application of potassium @ 75 kg ha⁻¹ and sulphur @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ resulted the highest no. of branches plant⁻¹, no. of pod plant⁻¹ and shelling % of groundnut.

**Effect of potassium on yield**

The pod and haulm yields of groundnut were significantly influenced by graded levels of potassium (Table 2). The significantly higher pod yield (2128 kg ha⁻¹) of groundnut was recorded with application of 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, which was at par with 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Beneficial effect of potassium on grain production is related to its known role in plant nutrition, in many physiological and metabolic processes, including photosynthesis, osmoregulation, transport of nutrients, transport and storage of carbohydrates from which fat has formed, nitrogen absorption and synthesis of proteins and starch. Treatment receiving application of potassium @ 75 kg ha⁻¹ (K₃) recorded significantly higher haulm yield (4404 kg ha⁻¹) which was at par with treatment K₅₀ (4219 kg ha⁻¹). Potassium helps in the resistance to crops against pests and diseases, which, in turn, increased the yield. Potassium also make a pronounced role in carbohydrates synthesis, photosynthesis cell elongation, stomatal activity and higher nutrient uptake under this level resulted in higher plant height and number of branches per plant and ultimately helped in realization of higher haulm yield.
**Table 1** Effect of levels of potassium and sulphur on yield attributes of summer groundnut

| Treatments | Plant population | Dry matter content at 45 DAS | No. of branches plant\(^{-1}\) | No. of pod plant\(^{-1}\) | Plant height (cm) | Shelling % | Seed index (g) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| **Level of potassium (kg ha\(^{-1}\))** | | | | | | | | |
| K\(_0\)     | 15.54            | 3.96                          | 6.00                          | 10.08                    | 28.88            | 66.92       | 41.10          | 32.02            |
| K\(_1\)     | 15.88            | 4.19                          | 6.50                          | 11.25                    | 29.58            | 68.95       | 42.34          | 31.58            |
| K\(_2\)     | 16.29            | 4.30                          | 6.75                          | 11.75                    | 30.21            | 71.90       | 43.18          | 32.49            |
| K\(_3\)     | 16.63            | 4.50                          | 7.17                          | 12.17                    | 31.25            | 74.24       | 45.08          | 32.56            |
| SEm ±       | 0.30             | 0.09                          | 0.12                          | 0.21                     | 0.70             | 0.88        | 0.68           | 0.70             |
| CD at 5 %   | NS               | 0.27                          | 0.34                          | 0.61                     | NS               | 2.55        | 1.96           | NS               |
| **Level of sulphur (kg ha\(^{-1}\))** | | | | | | | | |
| S\(_0\)     | 15.63            | 4.05                          | 5.81                          | 9.94                     | 28.97            | 66.28       | 41.02          | 32.05            |
| S\(_1\)     | 16.25            | 4.25                          | 6.81                          | 11.81                    | 30.00            | 71.44       | 42.92          | 32.05            |
| S\(_2\)     | 16.38            | 4.43                          | 7.81                          | 12.19                    | 30.97            | 73.80       | 44.83          | 32.39            |
| SEm ±       | 0.26             | 0.08                          | 0.10                          | 0.18                     | 0.61             | 0.77        | 0.59           | 0.61             |
| CD at 5 %   | NS               | 0.23                          | 0.30                          | 0.52                     | NS               | 2.21        | 1.70           | NS               |
| **Interaction** | | | | | | | | |
| K\(X\)S     | NS               | NS                            | Sig.                          | Sig.                     | NS               | Sig         | NS             | NS               |
| CV (%)      | 6.49             | 7.57                          | 6.29                          | 6.44                     | 8.09             | 4.34        | 5.49           | 7.56             |

**Table 2** Effect of levels of potassium and sulphur on yield of summer groundnut

| Treatments | Pod yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Haulm yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Levels of Potassium** | | | |
| K\(_0\) = 0 kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\) | 1731 | 3683 |
| K\(_1\) = 25 kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\) | 1824 | 3952 |
| K\(_2\) = 50 kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\) | 2030 | 4219 |
| K\(_3\) = 75 kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\) | 2128 | 4404 |
| SEm ± | 44 | 80 |
| CD at 5 % | 126 | 231 |
| **Levels of Sulphur** | | | |
| S\(_0\) = 0 kg S ha\(^{-1}\) | 1745 | 3704 |
| S\(_1\) = 20 kg S ha\(^{-1}\) | 1956 | 4141 |
| S\(_2\) = 40 kg S ha\(^{-1}\) | 2083 | 4349 |
| SEm ± | 38 | 69 |
| CD at 5 % | 109 | 200 |
| **Interaction** | | | |
| (K\(X\)S) | Sig. | Sig. |
| CV (%) | 7.82 | 6.82 |
Table 3 Effect of levels of potassium and sulphur on quality of summer groundnut

| Treatment          | Oil content (%) | Protein content (%) |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| **Levels of Potassium** |                 |                     |
| K₀ = 0 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ | 41.88           | 26.87               |
| K₁ = 25 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ | 42.66           | 27.09               |
| K₂ = 50 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ | 42.87           | 27.42               |
| K₃ = 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ | 44.04           | 27.65               |
| SEm ±              | 0.56            | 0.12                |
| C.D at 5 %         | NS              | 0.33                |
| **Levels of Sulphur** |                 |                     |
| S₀ = 0 kg S ha⁻¹   | 41.76           | 27.00               |
| S₁ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹  | 43.08           | 27.29               |
| S₂ = 40 kg S ha⁻¹  | 43.75           | 27.48               |
| SEm ±              | 0.48            | 0.10                |
| CD at 5 %          | 1.39            | 0.29                |
| **Interaction**    |                 |                     |
| (KxS)              | NS              | NS                  |
| CV (%)             | 4.50            | 1.47                |

**Effect of sulphur on yield**

Application of sulphur produced significant effect on pod and haulm yields of groundnut (Table 2). Significantly the highest pod yield (2083 kg ha⁻¹) was noted with application of 40 kg S ha⁻¹ than rest of the treatments. Significantly the highest haulm yield (4349 kg ha⁻¹) was noticed in treatment S₂ (40 kg S ha⁻¹). Lowest haulm yield (3704 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in treatment S₀ (0 kg S ha⁻¹). These results can be ascribed to effect of sulphur application on cell division, enlargement and elongation resulting in overall improvement in plant organ associated with faster and uniform vegetative growth of the crop.

Potassium in combination with sulphur showed significant effect on yield of groundnut. Combined application of potassium @ 75 kg ha⁻¹ and sulphur @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ resulted the highest pod yield and haulm yield of groundnut. Significant increase in number of pod yield and haulm yield by interaction of potassium and sulphur might be due to the synergistic effect of potassium and sulphur application in improving yield of plant. This finding is supported by Singh (2007).

**Effect of potassium on quality**

Results revealed that effect of various levels of potassium was found to be non-significant in oil content. Application of 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher protein content (27.65%), but it was remained at par with the treatment K₂ (27.42%). Effect of potassium on protein content might be due to the fact that soil under experiment was medium in available potassium so response gets more in 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹. Potassium promotes the conversion of plant metabolites into proteins and amino acids, thus providing a sink for the nitrogen fixed.

**Effect of sulphur on quality**

The application of sulphur increased the oil content and protein content in kernels of
groundnut significantly (Table 3). Significantly higher oil content (43.75%) was noted with treatment $S_2$ (40 kg S ha$^{-1}$) which was at par with treatment $S_1$ (43.08%).

The treatment $S_0$ (control) recorded the lowest oil content (41.76%). This results may be attributed to the sulphur plays an important role in synthesis of essential amino acids like cysteine, methionine and certain vitamin like biotin, thymine as well as the formation of ferodoxin (iron containing plant protein) that act as an electron carrier in the photosynthetic process and chlorophyll which required for the production of oil. Treatment receiving application 40 kg S ha$^{-1}$ ($S_2$) recorded significantly higher protein content (27.48%) which was at par with 20 kg S ha$^{-1}$ ($S_1$) (27.29%). Whereas lowest protein content (27.00%) was recorded under treatment $S_0$ (control). Sulphur besides being a structural component of protein is also directly involved in protein bio-synthesis. Interaction effect of potassium and sulphur was found to be non-significant in oil content and protein content.

Based on results of one year experiment, it seems quite to conclude that for getting higher yield and quality of summer groundnut under irrigated condition for middle Gujarat Agro-climatic zone; the crop should be fertilized with 50 kg K$_2$O ha$^{-1}$ along with 40 kg S ha$^{-1}$ besides the recommended dose of nitrogen and phosphorus.
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