Prevalence of Hepatitis B Virus Infection in Healthcare Workers of a Tertiary Care Centre in India and Their Vaccination Status
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Abstract

Background: Healthcare Workers have a high risk of occupational exposure to many blood-borne diseases including HIV, Hepatitis B, and Hepatitis C viral infections. Of these Hepatitis B is not only the most transmissible infection, but also the only one that is preventable by vaccination.

Objectives: This study was conducted to estimate the prevalence of Hepatitis B virus infection in healthcare workers (HCWs) employed at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi and to measure protective levels of anti-HBs antibody titre in them.

Methods: A total of 446 Health care workers are grouped in 7 categories according to nature of their work. After ethical clearance and written consent, all health care workers were explained about the objective of the study and were asked to fill a standard questionnaire regarding their personal health information. Their blood samples were tested by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for Hepatitis B surface antigen and anti-HBs antibody titres.

Results and Interpretation: Out of 446 HCWs, 252 (56.5%) were vaccinated. In 199 (79%) of those vaccinated persons, protective levels (>10 IU/ mL) of anti-Hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) were seen. However, protective levels were also detected in 36 (19.35%) of 186 unvaccinated HCW as natural immunity (p<0.001). The antibody levels were significantly lower in those who were vaccinated more than 5 years ago than those who were vaccinated in last 5 years. Only 2 (0.4%) HCW were found to be HBsAg positive. A significant number (41.7%) of HCW are unvaccinated even at this apex health care centre suggesting a need for proactive implementation of HBV vaccination programme.
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Introduction

Hepatitis B infection is one of the major public health problems globally and is the tenth leading cause of death. Worldwide, more than two billion of the population have evidence of past or recent HBV infection and there are more than 350 million chronic carriers of this infection [1]. In India, HBsAg prevalence among the general population ranges from 2 to 8%, which places India in an intermediate endemic zone for HBV [1,2].

Occupational exposure of HBV is a well recognized risk for health care workers (HCWs). Throughout the world, millions of healthcare professionals work in health institutions and it is estimated that 600,000 to 800,000 cut and puncture injuries occur among them per year, of which approximately 50% are not registered [3]. According to WHO, the proportion of health-care workers in the general population varied substantially by region (0.2%-2.5%), as did the average number of injuries per health-care worker (0.2-4.7 sharps injuries per year). The annual proportion of health-care workers exposed to blood-borne pathogens was 5.9% for HBV, corresponding to about 66,000 HBV infections in health-care workers worldwide [4].

In developing regions, 40%-65% of HBV infections in health-care workers occurred due to per-cutaneous occupational exposure. In contrast, the fraction of HBV was less than 10%, in developed regions, largely because of immunization and post-exposure prophylaxis [4]. Risk of HBV infection is primarily related to the degree of contact with blood in the workplace and also to the hepatitis B-e antigen (HBeAg) status of the source person. Studies have shown that of the HCWs who sustained injuries from needles contaminated with blood containing HBV, the risk of developing clinical hepatitis is variable from 1-6% if source is HBeAg negative to 22-31% if source is HBeAg positive [5,6]. Although most of the HBV infections in healthcare workers are attributed to per-cutaneous exposure, in many studies, most infected HCWs could not recall any overt per-cutaneous injury [7]. In addition, HBV has been demonstrated to survive in dried blood, at room temperature, on environmental surfaces, for at least one week. Thus, HBV infections that occur in HCWs with no history of exposure might have resulted from direct or indirect blood or body fluid exposures that inoculated HBV into the mucosal surfaces or cutaneous scratches and other lesions [5,6]. The potential for HBV transmission through contact with environmental surfaces has been demonstrated in investigations of HBV outbreaks among patients and staff of hemodialysis units [8,9].

Blood contains the highest HBV titres of all body fluids and is the most important vehicle of transmission in the healthcare settings. HBsAg is also found in several other body fluids, including breast milk, bile, cerebrospinal fluid, feces, nasopharyngeal washings, saliva, semen, sweat, and synovial fluid. However, most body fluids are not efficient vehicles of transmission because they contain low quantities of infectious HBV, despite the presence of HBsAg [7].

Furthermore, despite the availability of Hepatitis B vaccination since
In the present study, we investigated the prevalence of Hepatitis B and vaccination coverage among HCWs of a tertiary care hospital.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out between January 2008 and December 2009 at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi. The study was approved by human ethics committee of the Institute. After written consent, 500 health care workers were counselled in one-to-one manner by a qualified medical doctor and explained about the objective of the study. After that, personal health information of each HCW was recorded using a standard questionnaire and 5 ml blood sample was collected. Volunteers who gave past history of jaundice or any other chronic liver disease, with known HBsAg positive status, patients with documented immune suppression or on prolonged steroid therapy or anti-tubercular treatment and pregnant women were excluded from the study.

Only 54 persons refused to participate in the study hence blood samples and data from 446 apparently healthy health care workers were available. These were grouped into 7 categories according to the nature of their work and duties they perform. The categories include Resident doctors from all disciplines (n=80), Nurses (n=60), Laboratory Technicians (n=60), Hospital Assistants (n=61), Group D staff and laundry workers (n=60), Administrative staff not exposed to clinical samples (n=64), and Medical and Paramedical students (n=61) who have just admitted into the health care profession.

The personal health information included demographic details of the HCW regarding their age, sex, and occupation, duration of employment, smoking and alcohol history, past history of blood donation or transfusion. Also status of hepatitis B (hepB) vaccination, exposure to blood and/or blood products and the way in which this accident occurred was also recorded. All health care workers were also asked about the use of personal protection devices. From the blood, serum was separated as per standard method in use and tested immediately. In case delay of more than 3 days was imminent, these were stored at -20°C to -80°C and tested within 2 weeks.

Assessment of hepatitis B infection

HBsAg was done by enzyme linked immune-sorbant assay (ELISA) using commercial kit (Hepanostika HBsAg Ultra kit manufactured by BIOMERIEUX) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Assessment of anti-HBs titles

Antibody titres were done by VIDAS Anti HBs Total Quick (HBST) kit in few samples but as cost is prohibitive, rest of the samples were tested by ImmunoLISA HBsAb kit manufactured by ORGANICS. Subjects with anti HBs titre > 10 mIU/mL were considered as responders to vaccination, and < 10 mIU/mL as non-responders.

We divided all vaccinated HCWs into two groups on the basis of completion of their full schedule of vaccination. Group A: those who have completed vaccination before 5 years and Group B: those having received vaccination within last 5 years.

Table 1: Demography and proportion of HCWs having protective antibody titre among those vaccinated and unvaccinated.

| GROUPS          | Vaccinated, n, (Percentage) | Males vaccinated, n, (Percentage) | Females vaccinated, n, (Percentage) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Doctors      | 76/80 (95)                 | 52/56 (92.8)                     | 24/24 (100)                        |
| 2. Nurses       | 46/60 (76.6)               | 3/3 (0)                          | 43/57 (80.7)                       |
| 3. Technical Staff| 39/60 (65)                | 23/40 (57.1)                     | 16/20 (80)                         |
| 4. Assistant Staff | 14/61 (22.9)            | 14/60 (23.3)                     | 0/1 (0)                            |
| 5. Laundry and Grade 4 staff | 15/60 (25)           | 15/60 (25)                       | 0/0                                |
| 6. Administrative Staff | 15/64 (23.4)         | 11/42 (26.2)                     | 4/22 (18.2)                        |
| 7. Students     | 47/61 (77)                 | 5/5 (100)                        | 42/56 (75)                         |

Table 2: Fraction of vaccinated HCWs gender wise in different groups.

| GROUPS O   | Group A (vacination ≥ 5 yrs ago) | Group B (vacination in last 5 yrs) | P value |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| N          | 157 (62%)                        | 97 (38%)                           |         |
| Age in yrs (mean) | 34.12                           | 25.36                              |         |
| Anti-HBsAg titre in mIU/mL (mean ± SD) | 135.44 ± 100.96 | 178.27 ± 102.95 | >0.05   |

Table 3: Levels of anti-HBsAg antibody titre between Group A and group B.
Discussion

This study was conducted in AIIMS which is a tertiary care hospital that makes all HCWs at a greater risk of various blood-borne infections including hepatitis B. Any person who performs tasks involving contact with blood, other body fluids, or sharps contaminated/ smeared with blood should be vaccinated against hepatitis B [10]. The vaccination is effective in protecting against HBV infection in about 90–95% of vaccinated persons are not sure whether they are responders or non-responders as for that, they must had done their titre checked only 1-2 months after 3rd dose of Hepatitis B vaccination. So they are still at risk of acquiring Hepatitis B infection and strongly require booster dose of HBV vaccine. There were 186 HCWs who were unvaccinated and 36 (19.35%) out of them were also having protective level of antibody titre (≥10 mIU/ml) while rest 150 (80.65%) were having titres <10 mIU/ml. These results are in concordance with the study done by Lanphear et al. [18] where they found that almost 20% of unvaccinated HCWs had already acquired natural immunity through previous contact with HBV.

In United States, according to one study 75% of HCWs at risk had received 3 or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine [15]. In Sweden, 79% of HCWs had received at least one dose of vaccine, but only 40% were reported to be fully vaccinated [16]. In Japan, vaccination coverage was found to be 48.2% in dental workers [17].

According to our study while among doctors, 96% were vaccinated, only 23.3% of administrative staff was vaccinated. This proportion of vaccinated HCWs was more than 65% among Doctors, Nursing staff, Technical staff and Students while it was less than 25% among Hospital attendants, Grade 4 & laundry staff and Administrative HCWs. This difference of vaccination coverage among different groups can be explained on the basis of their educational and awareness status. Doctors, Nurses, Technical staff and Students were comparatively more aware about Hepatitis B and other blood-borne infections and their prevention. Hospital attendants, Grade 4 staff, Laundry workers and administrative staff were least aware about any blood-borne infection including Hepatitis B.

Another interesting finding in our study was difference in vaccination coverage between males and females. Among males, 46% were vaccinated while among females 74.5% had received vaccination. This difference may be due to the fact that most of the nursing staff were females while the opposite is true for hospital attendants, sulabh and laundry staff where most of the staff were males. Also, if we see group wise, the difference between male and female vaccination is insignificant (Table 2).

We found another disturbing fact that none of the sulabh staff was vaccinated against hepatitis B. This can be explained by the fact that the appointment of sulabh staff in AIIMS is not permanent, so they do not have the facility of Employee Health Scheme (EHS) and also as they belong to low income strata, they cannot afford vaccination by their own. This problem of poor vaccination coverage of HCWs for HBV is not only prevalent in India but in all countries whether developed or developing.

Although few Hepatitis B infection and vaccination coverage studies in health-care workers have been published, the data included in these studies comprised of all health-care workers as one group. Thus, ours is probably the first study of its kind in which we categorized the health-care workers on the basis of their occupation to see their relation of vaccination coverage and their occupation. The present study is also first study ever carried out in this institute to evaluate the vaccination status of its employees.

In our study, it was seen that out of 252 vaccinated HCWs, 199 (79%) HCWs were having this protective level (≥10 mIU/ml) of anti-HBs titre and 53 (21%) were having this titre <10 mIU/ml. This shows that 21% of vaccinated persons are not sure whether they are responders or non-responders as for that, they must had done their titre checked only 1-2 months after 3rd dose of Hepatitis B vaccination. So they are still at risk of acquiring Hepatitis B infection and strongly require booster dose of HBV vaccine. There were 186 HCWs who were unvaccinated and 36 (19.35%) out of them were also having protective level of antibody titre (≥10 mIU/ml) while rest 150 (80.65%) were having titres <10 mIU/ml. These results are in concordance with the study done by Lanphear et al. [18] where they found that almost 20% of unvaccinated HCWs had already acquired natural immunity through previous contact with HBV.

So in our study 46.3% of all HCWs whether vaccinated or not, were not having protective level of anti-HBs titre so they are not protected against HBV infection. This proportion of unprotected HCW is in accordance with a survey of 2125 surgeons from the US where 38%
to 50% of practicing surgeons were not having adequate immunity to HBV although this study was of 1983 [9]. Among HCWs who had protective level of anti-HBs titre; it decreases with the passage of time after vaccination. We divided all vaccinated HCWs in two groups A and B. 62% of vaccinated HCWs had received their full course of vaccination 5 or more than 5 years back (Group A) and the rest 38% were vaccinated within last 5 years duration (Group B). Average value of anti-HBs antibody titre in Group A and Group B HCWs was 135.44 mIU/ml and 178.27 mIU/ml respectively. This data shows that the anti-HBs titres in HCWs who had been vaccinated at different time frames in the past declines over time. In the study conducted at G. B. Pant Hospital also they showed that median level of anti-HBs titre of HCWs who had received a vaccination more than 5 but less than 10 years ago was 140 mIU/ml, while in those vaccinated in last 5 years, this level was 172 mIU/ml [12]. In present study, only 2 (0.4%) HCWs were found to be HBsAg positive. In the same study mentioned above this percentage was 0.97%. Prevalence of hepatitis B in HCWs in India was 10% in 1992 according to one study [19] and 2.21% in a study done in 1998 in Rewa (M.P.) [13]. However, as our study was preliminary one, sample size was small to calculate true prevalence of HBV infection among HCWs.

To summarize, the risk of hepatitis B infection is well documented among healthcare workers. Although with the use of hepatitis B vaccine the incidence of HBV infection in HCWs has decreased, there is still substantial scope for improvement, as many healthcare workers are unvaccinated. Therefore, there is a need for well-planned and clear policies for HBV screening, vaccination and serological response check-ups for all healthcare workers, especially those who are at a greater risk of exposure to blood or other potentially infectious material and also there is need for booster dose of HBV vaccine for those who are already vaccinated but having anti-HBs titre <10 mIU/ml.
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