Primary epiploic appendagitis: from A to Z
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Abstract: Epiploic appendagitis is a rare and uncommon diagnosis that is frequently unknown to clinicians. Inflammation is usually acute and causes abrupt symptoms, but once the diagnosis is accurately made, most patients respond to pain control and conservative management. We report the case of a young woman presenting with acute primary epiploic appendagitis of the right colon. The inflammatory mass was unusually large and occurred a few months after surgery for gastric bypass. This case will give us the opportunity to discuss the clinical presentation of this disease, as well as the potential associations and risk factors and the means for adequate diagnosis and treatment.
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Introduction

Epiploic appendagitis is a rare, self limited condition that involves inflammation of the epiploic appendages of the colon. Appendix epiploica are fat-containing peritoneal outpouchings arising from the serosal surface of the colon. They are 50–100 in number, 0.5–5.0 cm long and extend onto the anti-mesenteric border from the cecum to recto-sigmoid colon.1,2 Epiploicae are attached to the colonic wall by a vascular stalk that can be subject to torsion with secondary ischemia or detachment. The function of these appendages is currently unknown. A multitude of theories have been proposed, such as potential bacteriostatic properties, a role in colonic absorption, or a flexible cushion to protect the blood supply when the colon is collapsed.3 Appendagitis is due to torsion along the longitudinal axis, this leads to vascular compromise and the appendages soon develop thrombosis, ischemia and finally necrosis. This results in inflammation of the surrounding area, the reason for which is unknown, but their shapes make appendages prone to torsion and hemorrhagic infarction.4–6 There are two recognized forms of this condition: spontaneous inflammation of the appendage causes primary epiploic appendages, while inflammation of the adjacent organs, including the colon, gall bladder and appendix, could lead to the secondary form. We report a case of acute primary epiploic appendagitis unusual in both its size and occurrence after a gastric bypass surgery.

Case report

A 35-year-old woman presented complaining of progressive abdominal pain for the previous three days. The pain was severe and localized over the right quadrant with no specific radiation. She had feverishness but no chills, nausea but no vomiting.
Her past medical history included thalassemia minor and obesity (previous BMI of 41) for which she had undergone a laparoscopic gastric bypass five months previously and had already lost 31 kg. Medications included multivitamins only. On physical examination she was found to be afebrile with normal vital signs. Her abdomen showed diffuse tenderness especially over the right mid quadrant and the feeling of a large ill defined mass. Her complete blood count and urine analysis were within normal range. An immediate CT scan of the abdomen was ordered and revealed a large \( (9 \times 5 \times 3.5 \text{ cm}) \) oblong mass in the right mesentery inseparable from the abdominal wall. There was also stranding of fat within and surrounding it and some haziness in the abdominal rectus muscle (Figure 1). The appearance was compatible with epiploic appendagitis of the right colon.

She was started on Ibuprofen 500 mg po twice daily and followed up closely. Seventy-two hours later her symptoms improved markedly and there was significant decrease in the size of the mass on palpation. She continued her treatment for a total duration of 14 days and she was completely symptom free at her two month follow up. The CT scan was not repeated as the symptoms had disappeared and the physical examination became normal.

**Discussion**

Epiploic appendagitis is a relatively rare inflammation of the small lobular masses of fat emanating from the serosal surface of the colon. Normally they are undetectable by imaging techniques except if ascites or inflammation are present.\(^7\)\(^8\) The reported age range for primary appendagitis is 12–82 years, with a peak of incidence in the fifth decade. A slight predilection for the male gender has been reported.\(^9\) Presentation usually involves abrupt onset of focal abdominal pain mostly in the lower quadrants, which worsens with cough or stretching of the abdominal wall muscles.\(^10\)\(^11\) Associated symptoms are rare, bowel function and appetite are usually unchanged, and nausea and vomiting are rare.\(^4\)\(^12\) The patient usually does not appear to be very ill and the white blood cell count is either normal or mildly elevated.\(^13\) Localized peritoneal signs may or may not be found on clinical examination. Only 10%–30% of patients do present with an abdominal mass like in our patient.\(^14\) Localization, if present, is usually to the left lower quadrant, and this is based on the sigmoid colon harboring the largest number of epiploic appendages.\(^15\) Due to similarities in presentation, this entity is often confused with diverticulitis and appendicitis. In fact a retrospective analysis reported epiploic appendagitis in 2.3%–7.1% of patients with clinically suspected colonic diverticulitis and 1% of patients with suspected appendicitis.\(^16\) In addition, the differential diagnosis might include ovarian torsion, ovarian cyst rupture, ectopic pregnancy, Crohn’s disease, acute cholecystitis, intra-abdominal abscess and enteric infections.\(^17\)

Obesity has been reported to be a predisposing factor as well as strenuous physical exertion.\(^13\) However, it is surprising to notice that no publications have attempted to isolate risk factors for the development of appendagitis. This is probably due to the fact that this is a rare diagnosis and therefore most case series are small in number. This will not allow for the detection of trends or predisposing factors. For instance, a recent study detailed five cases of primary appendagitis, but no trends or predisposing factors could be identified or isolated.\(^17\) Our patient had a BMI of 41; however she lost more than 30 kg after her gastric bypass a few months earlier. So can the development of appendagitis be linked to the weight loss or the surgery per se? A connection will be very difficult to establish for the reasons mentioned above and for the fact that although bariatric surgery is becoming common, no center has ever reported an association similar to this one.

The diagnosis of epiploic appendagitis has become easier with the development of high resolution computed tomography (CT), and this has been recently supported in the literature.\(^18\) On CT studies, round or ovoid lesions of fatty density measuring 1.5–3.5 cm in diameter with a hyper attenuating rim and surrounding ill-defined fat stranding are characteristically identified in the mesenteric fat adjacent to the colon. The mesenteric fat in these lesions is typically higher than normal-appearing mesenteric fat elsewhere in the abdomen.\(^19\) The hyper attenuating ring is considered to be a characteristic sign.\(^2\) Fat stranding is more pronounced than wall thickening because the para-colonic inflammatory changes are disproportionately more severe than the mild local

![Figure 1](image-url) High resolution computed tomography showing a large mass in the right mesentery with stranding of fat within and surrounding it.
reactive thickening of the adjacent colonic wall. In patients with acute abdominal pain this suggests a relatively narrow differential diagnosis: diverticulitis, omental infarction, appendicitis and less commonly mesenteric panniculitis. Most of the inflammatory masses reported in the literature are 5 cm in size or less, however as previously mentioned our patient had a 9 cm sized mass which is unusual to say the least. In fact a previous report studied 52 patients with a diagnosis of acute appendagitis and found only 3 patients with a size >3.5 cm, the largest being 5.8 cm. Does this carry any indication? There is no clear answer to this from the available published data.

Nonetheless even when the mass is evident and large in diameter, the presence of an experienced radiologist is essential for a prompt diagnosis. This is crucial to avoid unnecessary surgery. Previously, diagnosis was usually made post-operatively. However the experience gained over the past 20 years has shown that these patients respond well to conservative management. Pain control, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and close follow up are suggested, and surgery is kept only for those rare cases unresponsive to medical therapy. In fact the effect of misdiagnosing this condition results in a total hospital cost up to six times higher than in cases where the correct diagnosis is made. As clinicians become more aware of this entity, hospitalization, and improper use of antibiotics and possible surgical evaluation will be avoided, as was the case in our patient.

Conclusion
This case helps to illustrate a rare and uncommon diagnosis. The temporal occurrence after gastric bypass and weight loss is suspicious. However at this point causality cannot be established and therefore no conclusion can be drawn. Accurate diagnosis by an experienced radiologist through a CT of the abdomen is essential to avoid unnecessary admission and surgery.
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