SUMO-Specific Protease 2 Is Essential for Modulating p53-Mdm2 in Development of Trophoblast Stem Cell Niches and Lineages
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SUMO-specific protease 2 (SENP2) modifies proteins by removing SUMO from its substrates. Although SUMO-specific proteases are known to reverse sumoylation in many defined systems, their importance in mammalian development and pathogenesis remains largely elusive. Here we report that SENP2 is highly expressed in trophoblast cells that are required for placentation. Targeted disruption of SENP2 in mice reveals its essential role in development of all three trophoblast layers. The mutation causes a deficiency in cell cycle progression. SENP2 has a specific role in the G–S transition, which is required for mitotic and endoreduplication cell cycles in trophoblast proliferation and differentiation, respectively. SENP2 ablation disturbs the p53–Mdm2 pathway, affecting the expansion of trophoblast progenitors and their maturation. Reintroducing SENP2 into the mutants can reduce the sumoylation of Mdm2, diminish the p53 level and promote trophoblast development. Furthermore, downregulation of p53 alleviates the SENP2-null phenotypes and stimulation of p53 causes abnormalities in trophoblast proliferation and differentiation, resembling those of the SENP2 mutants. Our data reveal a key genetic pathway, SENP2–Mdm2–p53, underlying trophoblast lineage development, suggesting its pivotal role in cell cycle progression of mitosis and endoreduplication.
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Introduction

The first two distinct lineages to form in the mammalian embryos are the outer trophectoderm and the inner cell mass (ICM) of the blastocyst [1]. The trophectoderm initiates implantation and invasion of the uterus, processes that are essential for placental development [2]. This process depends on the differentiation of trophoblasts, the main and most important cell types in the placenta [3,4]. The trophoblast stem (TS) cells in the mural trophectoderm, distal to the ICM, stop dividing but continue to duplicate their genomes, a mechanism known as endoreduplication. The polyploid trophoblast giant cells (TGCs) then develop and eventually surround the entire fetus [5]. As development proceeds, the trophoblast progenitors give rise to three distinct layers in rodents—labyrinth, spongiotrophoblast and TGCs—to form a functional placenta acting as the maternal–fetal interface [6]. The fetal–placental blood vessels grow in from the allantios to generate the fetal parts of the placental vasculature where the chorioallantoic fusion has occurred [7]. The labyrinth is formed by extensive branching morphogenesis of the labyrinth trophoblast and endothelial cells [8]. The maternal blood passes through the small spaces of the labyrinth, directly contacting the fetal trophoblast cells to ensure exchange between the two blood systems. The labyrinth layer is supported structurally by the spongiotrophoblast cells, which are mainly derived from the ectoplacental cone and which form a layer separating the labyrinth from the TGC. The simplicity of placental cell lineages makes the placenta a valuable model system for understanding general aspects of development, including branching mor-phogenesis, lineage-specific determination, cell invasion, and polyploidy, crucial for cancer development and metastasis.

SENP2 belongs to a family of proteases that remove a small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) from protein substrates. SUMO (also known as sentrin), which regulates posttranslational modification of proteins, is a member of the ubiquitin-like modifier family [9]. This covalent conjugation process is reversible and highly evolutionary conserved from yeasts to humans [10]. Unlike ubiquitination, which has a well-established role in targeting protein degradation, SUMO modification is involved in protein trafficking, cell cycle, cell survival, and cell death [11]. SUMO conjugation of proteins can alter their function, activity, or subcellular localization. Many sumoylated proteins have been shown to accumulate preferentially in specific complexes such as the nuclear pore and PML (promyelocytic leukemia) bodies [12]. Similar to ubiquitination, sumoylation requires processing, conjugation,
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Genome replication is essential for both expansion of stem cell numbers through mitosis and their maturation into certain specialized cell types through endoreduplication, a unique mechanism for multiplying chromosomes without dividing the cell. An important function of p53 as a guardian of the genome ensures that the genetic information is properly propagated during these processes. In this study, we discovered that mice with disruption of SENP2, an enzyme that removes small molecular signals (called SUMO) that modify a protein's behavior and stability, are unable to form a healthy placenta as a result of deficiencies in the formation of various trophoblast cell types that give rise to the placenta. In the mutants, SUMO modification of Mdm2, a protein that monitors the cellular levels of p53, is deregulated. The loss of SENP2 causes dislocation of Mdm2, leading to aberrant stimulation of p53. The precursor cells known as trophoblast stem cells rely on p53 to proliferate and differentiate into specialized polyplody cells, which contain multiple copies of chromosomes. In SENP2 mutants, all three trophoblast layers were substantially defective, with the layer containing mainly the polyplody cells most severely affected and diminished. This study reveals a key genetic pathway, SENP2–Mdm2–p53, which is pivotal for the genome replication underlying trophoblast cell proliferation and differentiation.

and transfer. The transfer process, which covalently conjugates SUMO polypeptides to their targets, is catalyzed by E3 ligases [13]. The reverse desumoylation process is mediated by SUMO proteases. The hallmark of these proteases is the highly conserved carboxyl-terminal SENP domain of ~200 amino acids. SENP2, which is found in three different alternatively spliced forms, has been localized to the nucleus, cytoplasmic vesicles and PML nuclear bodies [14–16]. Although SENPs have been shown to catalyze SUMO modification in various physiological systems, their roles in mammalian development and pathogenesis are mostly unknown.

We previously discovered an interaction of SENP2 with Axin [17,18], a key signaling regulator for the canonical Wnt pathway. To determine the role of SENP2 in cellular signaling and the importance of SUMO modification in trophoblast development, we initiated a genetic analysis in mice. A SENP2-null mouse strain was created by gene targeting in embryonic stem (ES) cells. We found that the disruption of SENP2 leads to developmental defects in all three trophoblast layers. SENP2 is essential for the G–S transition of both the mitotic and the endoreduplication cell cycles, which control the expansion of trophoblast precursors and the maturation of TGCs, respectively. In the mutants, the loss of SENP2 caused a deregulation of Mdm2, resulting in p53 stimulation. We also present evidence to support an essential role of SENP2 in modulating the p53–Mdm2 circuit that underlies genome replication in mitosis and polyplody during trophoblast development.

Results

Expression of SENP2 in Trophoblast Development

To determine the role of SENP2 and the importance of SUMO modification in trophoblast development, we first examined its expression pattern. Strong expression of SENP2 was observed in extraembryonic tissues, including extraem-
decreased drastically in the SENP2-null mutants (Figures 2K and 2L). The TGC layer, which is the layer most severely affected by the SENP2 mutation, is almost completely missing. The data suggest that SENP2 has a pivotal role in development of all three trophoblast layers.

Trophoblast Niche Sites Are Defective in SENP2 Nulls

The placental defects caused by SENP2 deficiency suggested that it is critical for trophoblast development. The stem cells derived from the trophectoderm develop into progenitors, which reside in the ectoplacental cone, the extraembryonic ectoderm, and the chorion. We therefore examined whether the SENP2 deletion interferes with formation of these niche sites. In situ hybridization of Tpbpa, a marker for the ectoplacental cone [19], revealed a drastic reduction of trophoblast progenitors in the mutants (Figure 2M and 2P). The number of trophoblast progenitors, marked by Cdx2 expression [20] was also decreased in the SENP2–/– chorion and extraembryonic ectoderm (Figure 2N, 2O, 2Q, and 2R). The apparent developmental defects of trophoblast niche sites suggested that SENP2 might have a role in trophoblast stem cell development.

Effects of SENP2 Deficiency on Labyrinth Layer

A closer examination of the labyrinth layer was performed by analyzing the expression of Gcm1, a labyrinth trophoblast marker that is specifically detected in the chorioallantoic invasion sites and later in the differentiated syncytiotrophoblasts [21]. No obvious difference between SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– was observed at E9.5 (Figure 3A and 3D). Gcm-1-positive trophoblast progenitors were clearly identified at the invasion sites. Therefore, fetal vascular invasion was not affected by the deletion. However, deficiencies in labyrinth development of SENP2–/– embryos were evident at E10.5. The syncytiotrophoblasts positive for Gcm-1 exhibited punctated staining in the mutant instead of the continuous thin layers seen in the wild type, suggesting that their differentiation is defective (Figure 3B and 3E). By E10.5, the number of the Gcm1-expressing cells was dramatically reduced in the mutants (data not shown). At this stage, SENP2 expression is restricted to the cytotrophoblasts (cytotrophoblasts) of the labyrinth layer, suggesting that SENP2 might have a role in cytotrophoblast development.

Figure 1. SENP2 Is Expressed in Trophoblast Lineage Development

(A and B) In situ hybridization reveals that SENP2 is expressed in the trophoblast stem cell niches, including extraembryonic ectoderm (exe), chorion (Ch) and ectoplacental cone (epc) at E7.0 (A) and E7.5 (B).

(C) RT-PCR analysis detected the SENP2 transcript in wild-type (+/+), but not knockout (−/−) TS cells.

(D–O) Sections of the E8.5 (D–G), E9.5 (H–K) and E10.5 (L–O) placentas were analyzed by in situ hybridization for the expression of SENP2. Expression was detected in major extraembryonic tissues. Low magnification images display the overall expression pattern in developing placentas (D,H,L). High magnification images show expression in specific cell types and layers (E–G,J–K,M–O). The chorion, ectoplacental cone, labyrinth (L), spongiotrophoblast (S), and TGC (G: 1–primary, 2–secondary) layers are defined by orange, pink, blue, red, and green broken lines, respectively. Arrows indicate specific expression in mononuclear trophoblasts (cytotrophoblasts) of the labyrinth layer (M).

Em, embryo. Scale bars, 1 mm (D,H,L); 100 μm (A,B,E–G,I–K,M–O).
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.g001
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detected in endothelial cells) was decreased in the mutants (Figure 3I and 3L). These data demonstrated that SENP2 is essential for labyrinth trophoblast development in establishment of the maternal and fetal blood spaces. The presence of SENP2 in early trophoblast precursors might regulate the differentiation of specialized cell types at later stages. Alternatively, its function in the cytotrophoblasts could be crucial for proper development of syncytiotrophoblasts and endothelial cells. SENP2 is necessary for development of the labyrinth layer during placentation.

Spongiotrophoblast Development Is Defective in the Absence of SENP2

We next examined the spongiotrophoblast layer that is affected by the SENP2 deficiency. In situ hybridization analysis of Tpbpa [19], a marker for the spongiotrophoblast, revealed that its expressing cells diminished significantly in the mutants at E9.5–E10.5 (Figure 3M, 3N, 3P, and 3Q). Histology confirmed that a rapid expansion of this layer, found in the wild-type placenta, did not occur in the mutants (Figure 3O and 3R). As a result, the SENP2-null spongiotrophoblast layer decreased significantly in volume. Based on the expression of SENP2 in spongiotrophoblasts (Figure 1J and 1N) and earlier in their precursors at the ectoplacental cone (Figure 1A, 1B, and 1F), it is most likely that the abnormalities are primarily due to its deletion in these tissues. Therefore, spongiotrophoblast development requires SENP2 and its disruption induces abnormalities in the spongiotrophoblast layer.

Impaired Development of TGC in the SENP2-Null Placentas

Consistent with its expression in early trophoblast development, histological analyses revealed a severe abnormality in the TGC layer (Figure 4A–4H). The SENP2-null primary TGCs were reduced at E8.5 and completely missing at E9.5 (Figure 4A, 4B, 4E, and 4F). Similarly, the number of secondary TGCs was decreased at E9.5 and almost disappeared at E10.5 (Figure 4G, 4H, 4I, and 4J). In addition, the size of TGCs was significantly smaller in the SENP2 mutants (Figure 4D and 4H). The analyses of TGC markers [19,25], including PL-I (Figure 4I–4P), PL-II (unpublished data), and p450scc (Figure 4Q–4V), confirmed that the TGC cell numbers were dramatically decreased in the SENP2 mutants at all stages examined. We next examined the initiation of
TGC differentiation by in situ hybridization of Hand1. Hand1 is required for cell fate determination of TGC, as mice without Hand1 lack TGCs [26]. Hand1 expression was detected in the SENP2-null TGCs, suggesting that the initial induction of TGCs was not affected by the loss of SENP2 (Figure 4W–4Z and 4W′–4Z′). However, later developmental processes of TGC were impaired in the mutants.

The abnormal development of TGC caused by SENP2 deficiency was further tested using an in vitro differentiation analysis. The SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/− blastocysts were isolated at E3.5, and cultured to induce TGC differentiation. TS cells growing out from the trophectoderm soon attached to the cultured plates, differentiated, and formed a single trophoblast layer. No noticeable difference was observed between the SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/− blastocysts before hatching (Figure 5A and 5B). About equal amounts of ICM and trophoblast cells developed after 3 d in culture (Figure 5C and 5D). However, although the differentiated TGCs were evident in the SENP2+/+ cultures, their number was significantly reduced in the SENP2−/− cultures after 6 d (Figure 5E–5H). The average number of TGC dropped from 40 in the SENP2+/+ culture to 15 in the SENP2−/− (Figure 5I, p = 0.005, n = 6). Consistent with our in vivo findings, these data suggest that TGC differentiation is severely affected by the loss of SENP2. The results suggest an essential role for SENP2 in TGC development during early placentation.

Cell Cycle Defects Caused By SENP2 Deficiency

The SENP2 mutation led to abnormalities in trophoblast progenitors at niche sites and their development into all three major trophoblast lineages. These findings imply that SENP2 might have a general role in cellular regulations important for expansion of precursors and their differentiation. We speculated that decreases in the numbers of trophoblast progenitors and specialized cell types might be due to alterations in cell survival. However, we failed to detect differences in apoptosis caused by the mutation in trophoblast stem cell niches and all three major trophoblast layers in vivo, or in TS cell culture in vitro (Figure S2). We then examined whether SENP2 has an important function in the cell cycle. Investigating the expansion of trophoblast progenitors at the niches revealed a deficiency in their cell cycle progression. The expression of Ki67, a marker detected in all phases of mitotic cells [18], was detected in virtually all trophoblast progenitors in stem cell niches, including extraembryonic ectoderm, chorion, and ectoplacental cone (Figure 6A, 6C, 6E, and 6G), suggesting that they are actively cycling cells. We next examined the cell cycle progression rate among actively cycling cells by measuring the DNA synthesis rate at S phase using BrdU labeling [18] for 1 h (Figure 6B, 6D, 6F, and 6H). BrdU incorporation specifically measures the rate of cell cycle progression at S phase, whereas Ki67 identifies all phases of mitotic cells. The cell cycle progression index (% BrdU-positive cells / % Ki67-positive cells × 10^5) among actively cycling cells decreased 18 units in the mutants (SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/−; 67; SENP2−/−; 49; p = 0.0001, n = 6) in the stem cell niches (Figure 6M). These data suggest a delay in cell cycle progression of trophoblast progenitors caused by the SENP2 deletion.

Next, we determined whether similar deficiencies also affect development of the spongiotrophoblast layer. We found that this layer expanded rapidly in the wild-type placentas, but not in the mutants, between E9.5 and E10.5 (data not shown). A portion of the SENP2+/+ spongiotrophoblasts exited the cell cycle at E10.5 (Figure 6I), whereas almost all of the SENP2−/− spongiotrophoblasts remained Ki67-positive (Figure 6K). In E10 SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/− placentas,
spongiotrophoblasts were all positive for Ki67, indicating that they are actively cycling cells (Figure 6J and 6L). However, the cell cycle progression index, which mainly reflects the BrdU incorporation rate, was reduced from 72 in the controls to 53 in the SENP2 mutants ($p = 0.0005$, $n = 3$) (Figure 6N). To examine whether cycling of TGC was also affected by SENP2 deficiency, we determined its cell cycle progression index (Figure 6O). The cell cycle progression index of TGC

Figure 4. Development of TGCs is Impaired in the SENP2 Mutants

(A–H) Histological analysis of the SENP2+/+ (A–D) and SENP2−/− (E–H) placentas revealed impaired development of both primary (1G; A,B,E,F) and secondary (2G; C,D,G,H) caused by SENP2 ablation at E8.5 (A,E), E9.5 (B,C,F,G) and E10.5 (D,H). (I–P,W–Z) TGC development was examined by in situ hybridization analysis of specific markers PL-I (I–P) and Hand1 (W–Z and W’–Z’) at the stages shown (E7.5–E10.5). Stained (blue) sections were counterstained with nuclear fast red. In (I, M), enlargements of the left insets are shown on the right insets.

(Q–V) Immunostaining of p450scc characterized the TGC in SENP2+/+ (Q–S) and SENP2−/− (T–V) at E8.5 (Q,T), and E9.5 (R,S,U,V). Immunostained (brown) sections were counterstained (blue) with hematoxylin.

The TGC layers are defined by broken green lines (A–E,G,H,Q–Z,W’–Z’). AN, anterior neural fold; Em, embryo; G, TGC layer; M, maternal decidua; PS, primitive streak; S, spongiotrophoblast layer; Yc, yolk sac cavity. Scale bars, 500 μm (I–P); 100 μm (A,B,E,F,Q,R,T,U); 50 μm (C,D,G,H,S,V,W–Z,W’–Z’). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.g004
To further examine stem cell expansion and development, we derived a number of SENP2–/– TS cell lines from blastocysts. Immunostaining analyses of Oct4 (an ES cell marker) [27] and Cdx2 (a TS cell marker) [20] confirmed that SENP2–/– TS cells at G2–M after 6 h (Figure 7A). The proliferation rate (BrdU labeling for 1 h) of the SENP2–/– TS cells in vitro was also reduced, compared to that of the wild-type cells (p = 0.013, n = 3) (Figure 7A). Although the deficiency in cell cycle progression was also demonstrated using the TS cells in vitro, the degree of severity was reduced compared with that seen in the in vivo studies. As we were aware, the in vitro system does not always recapitulate the dynamic developmental processes that occur in vivo. Nevertheless, because of the limited materials available from the early stages of placenta, the TS cell culture does provide a valuable system to further those of our investigations that are otherwise impossible to perform in vivo.

To investigate whether a specific phase of the cell cycle was defective, we then determined the cell cycle profiles of the SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/− TS cells by flow cytometry analysis of PI (propidium iodide) stained cells. There was no significant difference in the cell population of G2–M between SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/− cells (Figure 7B). However, in the SENP2 nulls, the percentage of cells in G0–G1 was increased (p < 0.0001, n = 4) but the percentage in S was decreased (p = 0.0024, n = 4) (Figure 7B and 7C). This implied that the mutant cells were affected at the G1–S transition. To test this hypothesis, we used nocodazole, a microtubule depolymerizing agent, to block cell division at M phase. Nocodazole was effective in synchronizing the SENP2+/+ TS cells at G2–M after 6 h (Figure 7D). However, if cells were arrested or trapped in the G1–S phase and unable to pass through the cell cycle, there would be a delay in synchronizing cells by the nocodazole treatment. Indeed, there were still ~7% of the G0–G1 cells in SENP2+/+, but none in SENP2−/−, 3 h after the treatment. After the 6 h treatment, a significant number (6.16%) of the SENP2−/− TS cells remained in G0–G1 (Figure 7D). Even after 24 h, this population arrested in G0–G1 was still present (data not shown). The results suggest that SENP2 has a pivotal role in TS cell cycle progression and the G1–S checkpoint might be affected by the SENP2 ablation.

Immunostaining of nuclear envelopes with lamin B [28] revealed that nuclei of the SENP2−/− TGCs were significantly smaller (Figure 8A–8F). In addition, the mutant TGC nuclei contained smaller and fewer blue dots upon hematoxylin staining (Figure 8A–8F), suggesting that the DNA content might be reduced. These abnormalities are likely caused by a deficiency in endopolyploidy. An important specialized process for TGC maturation is endoreduplication, whereby the genome is amplified without a complete mitosis. The endoreduplication cycle requires only the G and S phases [29]. To examine the possibility of a defect in endoreduplication, we induced the TS cells to undergo TGC differentiation in vitro by removal of FGF4, heparin, and mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF)-conditioned medium (see Materials and Methods and [30]). Flow cytometric analysis of the differentiated cells stained with PI showed that the percentage of cells with higher DNA contents (>4N) was drastically reduced in the SENP2 mutants (Figure 8G). The average percentage of polyploid cells reduced from 25% (SENP2+/+) to 7% (SENP2−/−) (p < 0.0001, n = 5) (Figure 8H). Therefore, the loss of SENP2 induced a severe deficiency in endopolyploidy. SENP2 apparently has a dual role in regulating the G–S transition of mitotic division and endoreduplication during TS cell proliferation and differentiation, respectively.

**Disruption of SENP2 Alters the p53–Mdm2 Circuit in Trophoblast Development**

The cell cycle defects led us to investigate potential downstream targets involved in trophoblast development. We specifically focused on those regulators shown to be conjugated by SUMO. Previous reports showed that SENP2 (also known as Axam) modulates the canonical Wnt pathway by interacting with its signaling molecules [14,31]. Even though this led us to identify SENP2 through its binding to Axin initially, we failed to detect any alteration of Wnt signaling in the SENP2 mutants. Nor were we able to show other alternative pathways critical for placenta, e.g.,
MAPK and SAPK [32–36], to be involved in the SENP2-dependent developmental processes. However, when p53 was examined by immunostaining, we detected an aberrant accumulation in the nuclei of the developing SENP2−/− TGCs at E8.5–E10.5 (Figure 9D–9F). In contrast, the SENP2+/− TGCs showed no detectable, or very low if any, p53 at these stages (Figure 9A–9C). The results implied that there is a deficiency in p53 regulation caused by the SENP2 deletion. Degradation of p53 is mediated by ubiquitination-dependent proteolysis. Mdm2, a RING finger E3 ubiquitin ligase that binds to p53, has an essential role in this process [37–40]. We therefore tested whether the loss of SENP2 had an effect on Mdm2. Immunostaining of Mdm2 revealed its localization in both the SENP2+/− cytoplasm and the nucleus during early stages (E8.5–E9.5) of TGC development (Figure 9G and 9H). However, Mdm2 was mainly localized to the nuclei of the terminally differentiated TGCs at E10.5 (Figure 9I). The differential subcellular distribution of Mdm2 implies that it might be critical for development of TGCs. In contrast, Mdm2 accumulated in nuclei throughout TGC development in the absence of SENP2 (Figure 9J–9L). The prominent cytoplasmic staining was lost in the mutants at E8.5–E9.5 (Figure 9I and 9K). Furthermore, the loss of SENP2 also affected Mdm2 localization in the stem cell niche sites, such as extraembryonic ectoderm and chorion. Mdm2 clearly accumulated in the nuclei of the SENP2+/− trophoblast progenitors, but was evenly distributed in the whole cells of the controls (Figure 9M and 9N). Similar nuclear accumulations of Mdm2, affecting the p53 level, were also detected in the SENP2+/− labyrinth and spongiotrophoblast layers (data not shown). Therefore, Mdm2 appeared to be aberrantly localized in the stem cell niches and all three major layers of trophoblast during early embryogenesis. The data suggest that SENP2 is required for proper localization of Mdm2 and degradation of p53. Disturbance of SUMO modification by the SENP2 deletion thus causes deregulation of the p53–Mdm2 pathway, leading to deficiencies in mitotic and endoreduplication cell cycle progression and abnormal trophoblast development.

The accumulation of p53 in the nuclei of SENP2-null placentas implied that SENP2 negatively modulates the p53–Mdm2 circuit. To determine the role of p53–Mdm2 in trophoblast development, we investigated whether SENP2 modulates Mdm2 and p53 at the postranscriptional level. In addition to altering the subcellular distribution of Mdm2, the loss of SENP2 had an effect on posttranslational modification of Mdm2. The loss of SENP2 disturbed desumoylation of Mdm2. In the SENP2−/− TS cells, Mdm2 accumulated in the SUMO conjugated state (Figure 9O). The loss of SENP2 disturbed the ratio of Mdm2 and Mdm2–SUMO. The sumoylated Mdm2 could also be detected by an anti-SUMO-1 antibody (Figure 9O) as well as immunoprecipitation–immunoblot analysis using anti-Mdm2 and anti-SUMO-1 antibodies (data not shown). We encountered a technical problem in determining the actual amount of the sumoylated Mdm2 by immunoprecipitation–immunoblot analysis. This is likely because desumoylation occurs rapidly in isolated cell extracts whereas immunoprecipitation requires proteins in a native conformation. Therefore, a straight immunoblot assay appears to be better suited for quantitative measurements.

To determine whether SUMO modification of Mdm2 is regulated by SENP2, a plasmid expressing a Myc-tagged SENP2 (MT–SENP2) under the control of a CMV promoter was transiently transfected into the mutants. The reintroduction of SENP2 altered the ratio of Mdm2 and Mdm2–SUMO and diminished the level of Mdm2–SUMO, suggesting that its desumoylation is modulated by SENP2 (Figure 9O). Immunoblot analysis also revealed an elevation of p53 caused by the SENP2 deletion in TS cells (Figure 9P). Although p53 is...
known to be sumoylated, we did not detect obvious accumulations of the SUMO-conjugated form caused by the SENP2 ablation. We then tested whether SENP2 is required to mediate the downregulation of p53 by overexpression of MT–SENP2. Consistent with our hypothesis, p53 levels were significantly reduced in the SENP2-null cells transiently transfected by MT–SENP2 (Figure 9P).

To further confirm that the loss of SENP2 was the primary cause of the trophoblast defects, we reintroduced MT–SENP2 into SENP2–/– cells. To determine the differentiation process affected by SENP2 at a more quantitative level, we examined the expression of a TGC marker, p450scc, by immunoblot analysis. The expression of p450scc was drastically reduced in SENP2–/– placentas, confirming the TGC developmental defects (Figure 9Q). The expression of p450scc was not detectable in SENP2–/– TS cells but was highly increased in the differentiated TGCs, suggesting the success of the in vitro culture system (Figure 9Q). We did not detect a great

Figure 7. SENP2 Is Critical for the G1–S Transition of Mitotic Division in TS Cells
(A) BrdU labeling for 1 h measured the proliferation rate of the SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– TS cells in vitro. The graph shows the average percentages of the BrdU-positive cells in three independent experiments (p = 0.0013, n = 3).
(B,C) Flow cytometric analysis of the PI-stained SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– TS cells to determine their cell cycle profiles. The result shown in (B) is a representative of four independent experiments, and the graph in (C) shows the average percentage of the G0–G1 and S populations (n = 4). A consistent increase in the G0–G1 population (p < 0.0001) and decrease in the S population (p = 0.0024) was detected in the SENP2 mutants.
(D) The SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– TS cells were treated with nocodazole for 0, 3 and 6 h as indicated. Flow cytometric analyses showed that there was a delay in synchronizing the SENP2–/– cells upon the nocodazole treatment.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.g007
induction of p450scc in the differentiated SENP2–/– cells, consistent with our in vivo findings (Figure 9Q). The reintroduction of MT–SENP2 in the SENP2 mutants led to an induction of p450scc upon TGC differentiation (Figure 9Q). The p450scc induction level did not reach that of the SENP2–/+ TGCs, most likely due to the transfection efficacy, in that not all of the mutants were transfected. Nevertheless, these data demonstrate that reintroducing SENP2 into the SENP2–/– TS cells can promote their differentiation into TGCs. This suggests that SENP2 inactivation is the cause of the trophoblast developmental defects observed in the mutants. An aberrant stimulation of p53 might be responsible for the SENP2-null defects in mitotic division and polyploidy. In the SENP2 mutants, the dislocation of Mdm2 implied that its distribution is regulated by the SUMO pathway. We therefore investigated whether Mdm2 localization is affected by SUMO. First, immunoblot analysis after cell fractionation showed that sumoylated Mdm2 is found preferentially in the nuclear fraction of SENP2–/– cells (Figure 9R). Next, we examined whether SUMO conjugation alters the subcellular distribution of Mdm2 in live cells. GFP analysis of TS cells transiently expressing GFP-tagged Mdm2 or Mdm2–SUMO-1, revealed their preferential localization. We found that Mdm2 mainly accumulated in the cytoplasm (Figure 9S and 9V), with occasional distribution to the whole cell (Figure 9T). However, Mdm2–SUMO-1 displayed a clear nuclear accumulation (Figure 9U), with either a punctated (Figure 9W) or a nucleolar (Figure 9X) staining pattern. Similar results were also obtained by the use of Mdm2–SUMO-1GG96–97A, a mutant lacking the last two glycine residues of SUMO-1, which prevent further conjugation that might affect subcellular distribution (data not shown). Therefore, the SENP2-mediated SUMO modification of Mdm2 appears to be crucial for its subcellular trafficking.

The Requirement for p53 in Mediating the Deficiencies of SENP2-Null Mutants

To address the importance of p53 in mediating the SENP2-null phenotype, we tested whether p53 activation is necessary and sufficient to affect trophoblast proliferation and differentiation. We used both gain-of-function and loss-of-function analyses. Nutlin-3 is a potent small-molecule antagonist of Mdm2, which binds to the p53-binding pocket of Mdm2 and prevents its interaction, thereby stabilizing p53. We first determined that the Nutlin-3 treatment of the SENP2–/+ cells could elevate p53 in a dosage-dependent manner, but, most importantly, to reach the level detected in the SENP2–/– TS cells (Figure 10A). To examine whether the p53 elevation induced G1–S arrest, TS cells were treated with Nutlin-3. A cell cycle profiling assay showed that the Nutlin-3 treatment caused the wild-type TS cells to accumulate in G0–G1 phase, similar to the SENP2–/– TS cells (Figure 10C). Next, we examined whether the elevated level of p53 interfered with the differentiation process. In the SENP2–/– TS cells induced for TGC differentiation, Nutlin-3 significantly reduced the expression of the TGC marker p450scc (Figure 10E), and prevented TGC differentiation (Figure 10F–10K). The average number of TGC decreased significantly in the presence of Nutlin-3 (Figure 10L, p = 0.006, n = 4). These results support the hypothesis that stimulation of p53 by alteration in Mdm2 activity induces phenotypic defects in trophoblast proliferation and differentiation, resembling those observed in the SENP2 mutants.

To determine whether downregulation of p53 was able to alleviate the trophoblast deficiencies caused by the SENP2 ablation, we knocked down its cellular levels using an RNAi approach. First, immunoblot analysis showed that the p53 RNAi treatment successfully diminished its levels in the SENP2–/– TS cells (Figure 10B). The p53 RNAi treatment also promoted the G1–S transition of the SENP2–/– TS cells arrested in G0–G1 (Figure 10D). Furthermore, downregulation of p53 enhanced TGC differentiation of the SENP2–/– cells, as determined by the expression of p450scc (Figure 10E). These data demonstrated that stimulation of p53 is not only necessary to mediate the SENP2-null defects, but is also sufficient to induce deficiencies in expansion of trophoblast stem cells and their maturation.

Discussion

This study demonstrates an essential role of SENP2 in trophoblast lineage development during placentation. All three major trophoblast layers were affected by SENP2 deficiency. Our data provide an important connection between SENP2 and the p53–Mdm2 pathway in trophoblast

---

**Figure 8.** SENP2 Is Required for Trophoblast Maturation

(A–F) Immunostaining of the E8.5 (A,D), E9.5 (B,E) and E10.5 (C,F) SENP2+/– (A–C) and SENP2–/– (D–F) placentas with lamin B, which marks nuclear envelopes, shows the size of nuclei. The TGC layers are defined by broken green lines. The stained (brown) sections were counterstained (blue) with hematoxylin. Note that the SENP2-null TGCs (D–F) contain smaller nuclei with less dotted staining (representing nucleoli and heterochromatin) than the controls (A–C).

(G) Endoreduplication is impaired by the loss of SENP2. The SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– TS cells were induced for differentiation into TGCs in vitro. Flow cytometric analysis of the differentiated SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– cells, stained with PI, was used to measure their DNA contents (M1, two to four copies; M2, more than four copies). The diagram in (G) is a representative of five independent experiments; the average percentages of the SENP2+/+ and SENP2–/– polyploid cells in all five cultures is presented in (H) (p < 0.0001, n = 5).

G, TGC layer; M, maternal decidua; S, spongiotrophoblast layer. Scale bars, 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.g008
The loss of SENP2 caused a deficiency in the G–S transition, which is required for both the mitotic cell cycle (containing G1, S, G2, and M phases) and the endocycle (containing only the G and S phases) during trophoblast proliferation and differentiation, respectively. The cell cycle regulators p53 and Mdm2 appear to be critical for SENP2-dependent trophoblast mitosis and polyploidy. We propose that the SENP2–Mdm2–p53 pathway has a dual role in the G–S checkpoint of mitotic division and endoreduplication (Figure 11A). Although high levels of p53 induce a G1 arrest, a low level may be necessary to go through the rest of mitosis, such as through the tetraploid checkpoint. Because of the omission of M phase in endoreduplication, repression of p53 is essential to produce polyploid cells. Our findings further suggest that SENP2-dependent SUMO modification controls the subcellular localization of Mdm2 (Figure 11B).

**Figure 9.** SENP2 Regulates the p53–Mdm2 Circuit During Trophoblast Development

(A–N) Sections of the E7.5 (M,N), E8.5 (A,D,G,J), E9.5 (B,E,H,K) and E10.5 (C,F,I,L) SENP2+/− or SENP2−/− (A–C,G–I,M) and SENP2+/− (D–F,J–L,N) placentas were stained with an anti-p53 (A–F) or anti-Mdm2 antibody (G–N). The stained (brown) sections were counterstained with hematoxylin (blue).

(D–F) Nuclear accumulations of p53 (arrows) were detected in the SENP2 mutants.

(G–I) In the SENP2+/− TGCs, Mdm2 predominantly accumulated in the cytoplasm at E8.5 and E9.5 (arrowheads; G,H), but in the nucleus at E10.5 (arrows; I).

(J–L) Nuclear accumulations of Mdm2 were found throughout the SENP2+/− TGC development at E8.5-E10.5 (arrows; J,K,L). The TGC layers are defined by broken green lines.

(M,N) Mdm2 showed clear nuclear localizations in the SENP2+/− trophoblast progenitors at the niche sites (N), whereas it was evenly distributed in the controls (M). Enlargements of the inlets are shown.

(O) SUMO modification of Mdm2 is regulated by SENP2. Immunoblot analysis with anti-Mdm2 and anti-SUMO-1 antibodies showed that Mdm2 accumulated in its sumoylated state (Mdm2-SUMO) in the SENP2+/− trophoblast cells. Two different cell lines (#1 and #2) were examined. The Mdm2-SUMO band could also be detected by immunoprecipitation–immunoblot with anti-Mdm2 and anti-SUMO-1 antibodies (data not shown). Reintroduction of SENP2 into the SENP2+/− TS cells diminished the Mdm2-SUMO level. Actin level also was analyzed as a loading control. The number indicates the ratio of Mdm2-SUMO and Mdm2.

(P) The p53 protein level is regulated by SENP2. Protein lysates were isolated from the SENP2+/− and SENP2−/− TGCs with or without transfection of MT–SENP2. Immunoblot analysis with an anti-p53 antibody revealed the steady state levels of p53 and actin (loading control). Inactivation of SENP2 induced an accumulation of p53 in trophoblasts. Reintroduction of SENP2 down regulated p53 in the SENP2−/− null mutants. The number represents the expression level of p53 in SENP2−/− relative to that in SENP2+/−.

(Q) SENP2 is necessary and sufficient to induce trophoblast differentiation. Protein lysates were isolated from the SENP2+/− and SENP2−/− placentas at E10.5, and the SENP2+/− and SENP2−/− TS cells with or without transfection of MT–SENP2. The TS cells were cultured in differentiation media for 6 d to obtain the differentiated TGCs. Immunoblot analysis with an antibody that recognizes either p450scc or MT revealed the steady state protein level. The levels of ER protein calnexin and actin were analyzed as loading controls. The number shows the quantitative difference in p450scc expression.

(R) Preferential accumulations of Mdm2 (arrow) and Mdm2–SUMO (arrowheads) in TS cells. Nuclear (N) and cytoplasmic (C) extracts of SENP2+/− were analyzed by immunoblot with anti-Mdm2 and anti-SUMO-1 antibodies. Asterisk indicates non specific reaction detected after cell fractionation.

(S–X) Mdm2 and Mdm2–SUMO are differentially localized in the cell. TS cells transfected by the GFP-tagged Mdm2 (S,T,V) or Mdm2–SUMO-1 fusion (U,W,X) under control of a CMV promoter were analyzed by GFP analysis with either phase contrast (S–U) or by immunofluorescence microscopy (blue, DAPI) (V–X). Scale bars, 50 μm (A–N,S–U); 20 μm (V–X). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.g009

(SENP2 in Trophoblast Development)

G, TGC layer; M, maternal decidua; S, spongiotrophoblast layer; Yc, yolk sac cavity. Scale bars, 50 μm (A–N,S–U); 20 μm (V–X).
This study provides evidence to support an important function of p53, as a guardian of the genome to control polyploidy. An endoreduplication deficiency was previously observed in embryos lacking cyclin E proteins [41]. In contrast to the SENP2-null deficiencies, the loss of cyclin E proteins did not affect TGC differentiation. It is conceivable that cyclin E, which functions in late G1 phase to promote S-phase entry, acts further downstream of the SENP2–Mdm2–p53 pathway. In the SENP2 mutants, we detected alterations of this regulatory pathway not only in the stem cell niche site, but also in the differentiated trophoblast layer. A recent report found that an increased number of TGCs were detected in the p53-null placentas [42], further supporting our hypothesis. SENP2 might also be involved in a crucial step of p53-dependent aneuploidy, genome instability and tumorigenesis [43]. Polyploid cells have several different fates. They can arrest in the cell cycle mediated by the tetraploidy checkpoint, which then triggers apoptosis. However, the lack...
The loss of SENP2 disturbs the balance of SUMO modification. Although sumoylation of Mdm2 has been described [47], it was not clear whether this modification dictates subcellular distribution. Our data provide evidence that cellular distribution of Mdm2 is regulated by the SUMO pathway. Disruption of SENP2, leading to an accumulation of Mdm2 in a hyper-sumoylated state, induces its mislocalization. Many sumoylated proteins, including PML, preferentially accumulate in specific complexes called PML nuclear bodies [12]. Sumoylation of PML is essential not only for these nuclear bodies to form but also for other sumoylated proteins to concentrate there. Although the biological function of PML nuclear bodies remains largely elusive, subsequent recruitment of proteins can modulate transcription activity. It has been shown that sumoylation of PML directs p53 to nuclear bodies, leading to a stimulation of its transcriptional and apoptotic activities [48,49]. These effects can be regulated by sumoylation of p53 [11,50,51]. Because of technical limitations and, more importantly, SUMO regulation of a number of p53 regulators (Mdm2, MdmX, and PML), the functional consequences of sumoylation have been difficult to elucidate. As SUMO modification of PML and p53 is a key determinant for maintaining genome integrity [12], our data imply that SENP2 might mediate this maintenance.

Using a mouse model with disruption of SENP2, this study suggests a novel role of SUMO modification in cell cycle progression and induction of polyplody. Sumoylation, which dictates Mdm2 trafficking, is crucial for modulation of the p53–Mdm2 circuit. Further studies focusing on the detailed mechanistic switch of the SENP2–Mdm2–p53 pathway and its implications in other developmental and pathogenic processes promise important insights into the role of SUMO modification in mammalian development and disease.

Materials and Methods

Mouse strains. Genomic DNA fragments containing the SENP2 gene (Accession number NC_000082) were isolated by PCR and cloned into the pGEM vector. The 5′ arm contained sequences from the first coding exon to the beginning of the second coding exon, which encodes the first 49 amino acids of SENP2. The 3′ arm included parts of the fifth intron and the sixth coding exon. A β-galactosidase cDNA was fused in-frame to the second coding exon of SENP2. The

Figure 11. Model for the SENP2–Mdm2–p53 Pathway in Trophoblast Development

(A) Diagram illustrating the p53–Mdm2 circuit regulated by SENP2 in the trophoblast cell cycle. Stimulation of Mdm2 by SENP2 leads to degradation of p53. Cellular levels of p53 control the G–S transition that has a dual role in TGc development. The G–S phase is required for both mitotic division (cell cycle: G1, S, G2, and M) and endoreduplication (endocycle: G and S only) during expansion of trophoblast stem cells and maturation of trophoblasts, respectively. Although a low p53 level is essential for stem cell proliferation, inhibition of p53 is required upon differentiation.

(B) Schematic representation for the mechanism underlying the regulation of p53 and Mdm2 by the SUMO pathway. SENP2 activates Mdm2 by removing SUMO that permits the modulation of p53 by Mdm2 in the nucleus. The ubiquitin-conjugated p53 is then degraded in the cytoplasm. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.g011

SENP2 is also known as Axam, which has been shown to modulate Wnt signaling by interacting with Axin, a scaffold protein involved in targeting β-catenin for degradation [14,17]. Although biochemical studies suggested that SENP2 could regulate the canonical Wnt pathway by SUMO modification of a LEF/TCF transcription factor [31], there was no in vivo evidence to support this idea. We failed to detect alterations in Wnt–β-catenin signaling in the SENP2 mutant placentas (SC and WH, unpublished data) although this might occur in other tissues. SUMO modification of Axin has been shown to modulate its effects on JNK signaling [36]. Neither JNK, nor the related p38 and Erk1/2 factors that are important for placental function [32–35], seem to be involved in the SENP2-mediated trophoblast development (SC and WH, unpublished data). However, we identified the p53–Mdm2 pathway as a downstream target of SENP2. Our data imply that SUMO modification mediated by SENP2 is required for proper localization and function of Mdm2, which in turn controls p53 stability during trophoblast development. Not only does stimulation of p53 induce phenotypic defects resembling those of the SENP2 inactivation, but downregulation of p53 alleviates the trophoblast deficiencies caused by SENP2 deficiency. It is conceivable that Wnt or JNK/SAPK signaling regulated by SENP2 is critical for another cell type and lineage development. The generation of mouse models permitting conditional inactivation of SENP2 will aid these studies and determine its essential role in other developmental processes.

The nature of trophoblast development provides a system to elucidate the regulatory mechanism underlying polyplody. Because of the biochemical activity of SENP2, the SENP2-null model offers a unique opportunity to further investigate the modulation of the p53–Mdm2 circuit by SUMO in normal developmental programming of polyplody. The knowledge obtained here might be applicable to malignant transformation processes associated with polyplody.
SENP2 in Trophotroblast Development

SENP2loxP-/- mutant ES cell lines were generated by electroporation of the targeting vector into C57L/J ES cells [52]. Correct homologous recombination at the SENP2 locus was confirmed by Southern blotting (Figure S1A). ES cell clones were injected into blastocysts to generate chimeras that were bred to obtain mice carrying the targeting allele. Mice were genotyped by PCR analysis using primers (C1: 5'-ctgctctctaatttctctcgtg-3', C2: 5'-ttgagtttgacaagctggcg-3', and K1: 5'-taacggtctgtagctcagtgtaa-3') to identify the wild-type and mutant SENP2 locus (Figure S1B). To delete the neo cassette flanked by two loxP sites, the SENP2loxP-/- strain was crossed with the Zip3-Cre strain as described [53]. PCR genotyping was performed to confirm the removal of neo and the presence of lacZ as described (Figure S1C) [52]. Care and use of experimental animals described in this work comply with guidelines and policies of the University Committee on Animal Resources at the University of Rochester.

DNA and RNA. The pC52-SENP2 clone, containing the Myc-tagged SENP2 cDNA, was generated by inserting the 5' truncated 1.7 kb Not1-SpeI fragment into the blunt-ended Xhol-XbaI sites of pC52 vector [54]. The GFP-tagged Mdm2 expression vector (pGFP-Mdm2) was generated by ligation of a full length Mdm2 [55] and GFP (BD Bioscience) cDNA fragments. The GFP-tagged Mdm2-SUMO version vector was created by insertion of a SUMO-1 fragment [51] into the pGFP-Mdm2 plasmid. To generate the pBS-SENP2 clone for making the RNA probes, a 400 bp BamHI-Xhol fragment of the SENP2 cDNA, was generated by inserting a blunt-ended 1.7 kb Not1–StuI fragment into the blunt-ended Xhol–XbaI sites of pC52 vector [54].

Histology, immunostaining and immunoblotting. Samples were fixed, paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin/eosin for histological evaluation as described [57]. Tissue sections were subject to immunostaining with avidin-biotinylated enzyme complex as described [18,58]. Proteins were extracted from 10 000 (for mitotic cell cycle) or 50 000 (for endoreduplication cycle) TS cells, 30 min, followed by PI staining (20 μg/ml) in 5 μg/ml yeast tRNA and 1% SDS overnight at 4°C. To visualize the bound signals, samples were incubated with BM-purple (Roche) for 2 h to several days. The reaction was stopped by incubating in PBS buffer, followed by counterstaining with nuclear fast red.

Histology, immunostaining and immunoblotting. Samples were fixed, paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin/eosin for histological evaluation as described [57]. Tissue sections were subject to immunostaining with avidin-biotinylated enzyme complex as described [18,58]. Proteins were extracted from 10 000 (for mitotic cell cycle) or 50 000 (for endoreduplication cycle) TS cells, 30 min, followed by PI staining (20 μg/ml) in 5 μg/ml yeast tRNA and 1% SDS overnight at 4°C. To visualize the bound signals, samples were incubated with BM-purple (Roche) for 2 h to several days. The reaction was stopped by incubating in PBS buffer, followed by counterstaining with nuclear fast red.
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Histology, immunostaining and immunoblotting. Samples were fixed, paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin/eosin for histological evaluation as described [57]. Tissue sections were subject to immunostaining with avidin-biotinylated enzyme complex as described [18,58]. Proteins were extracted from 10 000 (for mitotic cell cycle) or 50 000 (for endoreduplication cycle) TS cells, 30 min, followed by PI staining (20 μg/ml) in 5 μg/ml yeast tRNA and 1% SDS overnight at 4°C. To visualize the bound signals, samples were incubated with BM-purple (Roche) for 2 h to several days. The reaction was stopped by incubating in PBS buffer, followed by counterstaining with nuclear fast red.

Histology, immunostaining and immunoblotting. Samples were fixed, paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin/eosin for histological evaluation as described [57]. Tissue sections were subject to immunostaining with avidin-biotinylated enzyme complex as described [18,58]. Proteins were extracted from 10 000 (for mitotic cell cycle) or 50 000 (for endoreduplication cycle) TS cells, 30 min, followed by PI staining (20 μg/ml) in 5 μg/ml yeast tRNA and 1% SDS overnight at 4°C. To visualize the bound signals, samples were incubated with BM-purple (Roche) for 2 h to several days. The reaction was stopped by incubating in PBS buffer, followed by counterstaining with nuclear fast red.

Histology, immunostaining and immunoblotting. Samples were fixed, paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin/eosin for histological evaluation as described [57]. Tissue sections were subject to immunostaining with avidin-biotinylated enzyme complex as described [18,58]. Proteins were extracted from 10 000 (for mitotic cell cycle) or 50 000 (for endoreduplication cycle) TS cells, 30 min, followed by PI staining (20 μg/ml) in 5 μg/ml yeast tRNA and 1% SDS overnight at 4°C. To visualize the bound signals, samples were incubated with BM-purple (Roche) for 2 h to several days. The reaction was stopped by incubating in PBS buffer, followed by counterstaining with nuclear fast red.
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Supporting Information

Figure S1. Creation of Mice Carrying a SENP2-Null Allele

(A–C) The targeted locus contains an in-frame insertion of lacZ into the second exon of SENP2 and a pgk-neo gene, flanked by loxP sites, for positive selection. Diptheria toxin (DTA) was used for negative selection. The pgk-neo was removed by Cre-mediated recombination to generate the null allele as described in Materials and Methods. Southern (A) and PCR analyses (B,C) examined the targeted and null alleles. (A) Using a 5′ external probe, the EcoRV-digested wild-type (WT, 10.3 kb) and knock-in (KI, 7.9 kb) bands were detected in the targeted TS cells. Southern blotting. Mice carrying either the targeted (B) or the null (C) allele were analyzed by PCR for the WT and KI alleles and the neo and lacZ genes as indicated. (D) RT-PCR analyses detected the transcripts of SENP2 and lacZ in the control (+/+) and homozogous (+/−) E10.5 embryos, respectively. Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060310.sg001 (692 KB TIF).

Figure S2. Programmed Cell Death Is Not Affected by the SENP2 Deletion

Sections of the SENP2+/+ (A, C, E, G) and SENP2−/− (B, D, F, H) extraembryonic structures were analyzed for terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP–biotin nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining using fluorescent (green) or immunohistochemical (brown) assays at E7.5 (A,B) and E9.5 (C–H). No significant differences between the SENP2+/+ and SENP2−/− were found at the trophoblast stem cell niches (A,B), labyrinth (C,D), spongiotrophoblast (E,F), or TGC layers (n = 2). (J) TUNEL staining identifies cell death in the SENP2+/+ (I) and SENP2−/− (J) TS cell cultures.
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