Plant Protection by Benzoxazinoids—Recent Insights into Biosynthesis and Function
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Abstract: Benzoxazinoids (BXs) are secondary metabolites present in many Poaceae including the major crops maize, wheat, and rye. In contrast to other potentially toxic secondary metabolites, BXs have not been targets of counter selection during breeding and the effect of BXs on insects, microbes, and neighbouring plants has been recognised. A broad knowledge about the mode of action and metabolisation in target organisms including herbivorous insects, aphids, and plants has been gathered in the last decades. BX biosynthesis has been elucidated on a molecular level in crop cereals. Recent advances, mainly made by investigations in maize, uncovered a significant diversity in the composition of BXs within one species. The pattern can be specific for single plant lines and dynamic changes triggered by biotic and abiotic stresses were observed. Single BXs might be toxic, repelling, attractive, and even growth-promoting for insects, depending on the particular species. BXs delivered into the soil influence plant and microbial communities. Furthermore, BXs can possibly be used as signalling molecules within the plant. In this review we intend to give an overview of the current data on the biosynthesis, structure, and function of BXs, beyond their characterisation as mere phytotoxins.
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1. Introduction

A unique feature of plants is the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, also termed specialised metabolites [1]. The number of secondary metabolites in the plant kingdom is estimated at about 200,000 [2]. Although not essential for the survival of the individual plant, secondary metabolites are vital for “communication” within the bio-system including attraction, repelling, and defence reactions. The concept underlying the functionality of secondary metabolites is diversity and reactivity. Families of secondary metabolites can occur in certain plant taxa and can then be used for taxonomy [3], but some metabolites also have scattered appearance. Secondary metabolites are often unstable and react with other compounds, thereby generating toxicity, which qualifies them as natural defence compounds. The multitude of compounds active in defence limits the emergence of generalist deleterious insects and pathogenic microbes.

During the breeding of crop plants, the inherent toxicity has led to the reduction of secondary metabolites. Well known examples of counter selection are given by the quinolizidine alkaloids present in lupines and alkaloids in tubers of potatoes (see [3] for review). Benzoxazinoids (BXs) are well-known secondary metabolites found in cereal crops and, in contrast to the cases of counter selection, benzoxazinoids have been targets of positive selection in breeding for maize tolerance to insects since the 1970s [4–6]. The untargeted reactivity implies autotoxicity that has to be circumvented.
by the producing plant. In the so-called two-component defence systems, reactivity is reduced by chemical modification, mostly glycosylation, and simultaneously a reactivating enzyme, e.g., a glycosidase, is provided. The stabilised metabolite (component one) and activating enzyme (component two) are physically separated in different organelles or tissues but meet in case of cell damage, thereby liberating the toxin. Examples are alkaloid glucosides, benzoxazinoid glucosides, cyanogenic glucosides, glucosinolates, iridoid glucosides, and salicinoids (see [7] for review).

Advances in chemical analysis and well-developed genetic resources, especially in maize, have revealed distinct functions for different BXs in defence. Furthermore, in planta signalling is a matter of debate [8]. Within maize populations, diversity in the quality and quantity of different BXs has been revealed. Recent reviews summarise the role of BXs on plant-plant allelopathy [9], deal with the interaction between BXs and insects [10], and describe the BX structure diversity and function in maize [8]. Here we aim to give an overview on the present knowledge of the biosynthesis, distribution, and biological function of different BXs. The available data on BX-mediated interactions are summarised in Table 1, providing a guide through the literature.

2. Structure of Benzoxazinoids and Chemical Properties

BXs comprise two classes, benzoaxazolinones (1,3-benzoxazol-2-one, e.g., 6-methoxy-2-benzoxazolinone (MBOA) in Figure 1) and benzoxazinones (1,4-benzoxazin-3-one, e.g., 2,4-dihydroxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (DIBOA), 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (DIMBOA), 2-hydroxy-4,7-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (HDMBOA), 2-hydroxy-4,7,8-trimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (HDMBOA) in Figure 1), with several subclasses each. In plants, N-hydroxyl benzoxazinones are predominant. Decoration by hydroxyl- and methoxy- groups at positions C-7 and C-8 and the additional methylation of the N-hydroxyl are the most common modifications found for benzoxazinones (Figure 1). While the 2-OH glucosides (GDIBOA, GDIMBOA, etc.) are quite stable [11], the aglucons have significant reactivity. Both the N-OH function and the cyclic hemiacetal unit are largely essential for the reactivity. The hemiacetal undergoes an oxo-cyclo-tautomerisation. In the oxo form, the aldehyde group is free to react with the epsilon-NH₂ group of the N-alpha-acetyl-Lys.

N-alpha-acetyl-L-lysine is a lysine analogue used as a model substrate to demonstrate the targeting of Lys residues and general nucleophilic residues in proteins. [12]. The resistance of maize towards the herbicide atrazine is attributed to nucleophilic attack by DIMBOA [13,14].

Comparing the major benzoxazinoids DIBOA and DIMBOA, the latter is definitely more reactive. The 7-MeO group of DIMBOA facilitates N-O bond heterolysis as a donor [15] and the dehydration of DIMBOA [16]. In this way, a multicentered cationic electrophile and a reactive formyl donor toward -NH₂, -OH, and -SH groups are generated. Reactivity towards thiols potentially interferes with enzyme function if the cysteine residues in proteins are affected; furthermore, glutathione (GSH) can be targeted. The resulting formation of stable thioether conjugates leads to the rapid depletion of GSH levels [17]. In addition, the heterocycle of the benzoxazinone can assume ring-opened conformation after oxo-cyclo-tautomerisation and spontaneous transformation into the respective benzoxazolinone takes place. Since the half-life time of HDMBOA in watery solution is 10 times shorter than that of DIMBOA [18] and the generation of the reactive MBOA is accordingly more rapid, GHDMBOA might be the fastest activated BX for defence.

Among the defined molecular interactions of benzoxazinones that disturb cell function are the inhibition of alpha-chymotrypsin [19], cholinesterase [20], and plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase [21]. Furthermore, BXs intercalate with nucleic acids [15] and might cause mutations. However, BXs are not super toxins; effective defence requires concentrations in the millimolar range and acute mortality is not caused.

3. Distribution of Benzoxazinoids

Characteristically, benzoxazinoids are found in wild and cultivated Poaceae [22,23]. Besides that, BXs have been detected in distant orders of eudicots, in the family Ranunculales, and in the Lamiales
families Acanthaceae, Plantaginaceae, and Lamiaceae. While just one species each of the families Ranunculaceae (*Consolida orientalis* Schrödinger), Plantaginaceae (*Scoparia dulcis* L.), Lamiaceae (*Lamiurn galeobdolon* (L.) L.), and Calceolariaceae (*Calceolaria thrysiflora* Graham) synthesise BXs, several Acanthaceae species do so [22,23]. Within the family Poaceae, BX presence has been shown for the perennial bunchgrass *Chrysopogon zizanioides* (L.) P. Beauv. as well as the aggressive perennial grass *Agropyron repens* (L.) P. Beauv. Most importantly, BXs are found in the crops *Zea mays* L. and the wild progenitor *Teosinte*, *Triticum aestivum* L., and diploid wheat species, *Secale cereale* L., as well as some wild *Hordeum* species (*Hordeum roshevitzii* Bowden, *Hordeum brachyantherum* Phil., *Hordeum flexuosum* Steud., *Hordeum lechleri* Steud.).

![Figure 1. Biosynthesis of benzoxazinoids (BXs) as determined for maize.](image)

Compounds and enzymes of the core pathway are depicted in black. Depending on developmental stages and biotic interactions, modifications of BXs are induced. The resulting compounds and the employed enzymes of the inducible branches are coloured in blue. The enzymes locate to the plastid (BX1), to the endoplasmic reticulum membrane (BX2 to BX5), and to the cytosol (BX8 and BX9, BX6, BX7, and BX10 to BX14). The glucosides might be stored in the vacuole and hence are protected against the specific glucosidase, which is found in the plastid and associated with the cell wall. When the cell is disrupted, the glucosides come in contact with the glucosidase and the aglucons are generated. 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (DIMBOA) and 2-hydroxy-4,7-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (HDMBOA) are unstable and the degradation product 6-methoxy-2-benzoxazolinone (MBOA) is spontaneously formed in a watery solution (red colour). Enzymatic transformation by microbes, especially in the soil, yields further catabolites, e.g., stable amino-phenoxazinones such as 2-amino-7-methoxy-phenoxazin-3-one (AMPO). Indole-3-glycerol phosphate (IGP).
In cereal crops, (G)DIMBOA (e.g., maize, wheat) and (G)DIBOA (wild Hordeum species) are prevalent in unstressed plants. A distinction in the dominating BXs is found in rye; in aboveground tissue (G)DIBOA dominates, while (G)DIMBOA is prevalent in rye roots. The highest concentrations of BXs are found in seedlings of the family Poaceae.

Maize can be considered as model system for BX analysis in the crop cereals. DIMBOA is detectable shortly after the onset of maize germination and the highest concentrations are found 4 to 11 days after imbibition (10 to 30 mM in the shoot, 0.5–15 mM in the root, [24]). The maximal concentration and the persistence differ for different maize lines. Effective concentrations for defence beyond the seedling stage are restricted to exceptional lines in the Nested Association Mapping (NAM, [25]) diversity panel [24]. In mature maize plants, however, the concentration and modification of BXs can be changed by microbial and herbivore attack (see below). BXs have not been detected in maize seed. By contrast, kernels of wheat and rye contain BXs [26]. While in rye the largest part is located in the bran, wheat also has significant amounts of BXs in the germ [27]. The BXs in seeds are mostly DIBOA and 2-hydroxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (HBOA)-diglycosides and concentrations reach up to 0.15 nmol in dry matter. Diglycosides are unusual benzoxazinoids and might function as special storage metabolites.

(G)DIBOA is the main BX in dicots, others, e.g., DIMBOA (in Aphelandra sp.), are only present in traces [22,23,28]. Peak amounts are not restricted to the seedling stage. On the contrary, juvenile stages of C. orientalis have the lowest concentrations. Interestingly, flowers proved to have high BX concentrations while the root might have levels below detection limits [28]. Whether the differences in BX patterns of dicots and monocots reflect different defence strategies is unknown. Data for dicots are rare but antifeeding activity of BXs towards the larvae of the moth Pseudaletia impuncta (Guenée 1852) has been shown for Acanthus mollis L. [29].

4. Biosynthesis

BX biosynthetic pathway elucidation was largely done in maize and the biosynthetic steps and Bx-gene functions were defined in maize. The biosynthesis of the core BXs GDIBOA and GDIMBOA was discovered in maize [30–32] and subsequently in wheat and in part in rye [33–39]. The pathway branches off from tryptophan biosynthesis (Figure 1) by the signature enzyme BX1, which is a homologue of the alpha subunit of tryptophan synthase (TSA). BX1 and TSA share the substrate, indole-3-glycerol phosphate, and the product, indole [30,40]. In contrast to TSA, which requires allosteric activation by the beta-subunit of the tryptophan synthase (TSB) in the hetero-dimeric tryptophan synthase (TS) complex [41], BX1 is active as a monomer and indole is released. In tryptophan biosynthesis, indole remains in the tunnel connecting TSA and TSB and is further metabolised. Free indole delivered by BX1 is converted by four consecutive hydroxylation reactions catalysed by the cytochrome P450 enzymes BX2 to BX5 into the benzoxazinoid DIBOA [30,42]. DIBOA is stabilised by glucosylation by either of the two UDP-glucosyltransferases (UGT) BX8 and BX9 [31]. Hydroxylation of GDIBOA is by the 2-oxoglutarate dependent dioxygenase (ODD) BX6. Methylation of the resulting 2,4,7-trihydroxy-8-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one-O-glucoside (GTRIMBOA) by the O-methyltransferase (OMT) BX7 completes GDIBOA biosynthesis [32].

The ratio and kind of modification of the basic BXs depends on developmental stages, abiotic and biotic stress, and genotypes (see [23] for review). The levels at the seedling stage are quite stable, while mature plants respond to different challenges with changes in BX amounts and patterns (e.g., [43]). In planta GDIMBOA can be hydroxylated by the OMTs BX10, BX11, and BX12 to yield GHDMBOA (Figure 1, [44]). While the expression of Bx12 is constitutive, Bx10 and Bx11 are induced by herbivory (Spodoptera exigua (Hübner 1808), [45]). BX13, an OMT homologous to BX6, and a further OMT, BX14, are required for the synthesis of 2,4-dihydroxy-7,8-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one-O-glucoside (GDM2BOA) and GHDM2BOA (Figure 1, [46]). BX14 and BX10 to BX12 share the in vitro function as GDIMBOA methyltransferases to yield GHDMBOA, but BX14 is distinguished by its activity towards DIM2BOA and is required for
the biosynthesis of GHDM$_2$BOA [46]. Enzymes of BX biosynthesis locate to different intracellular compartments. BX1 is found in the stroma of the plastid [47], BX2 to BX5 are anchored in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane, the ODDs (BX6, BX13) and the OMTs (BX7, BX10, BX11, BX12, BX14) are soluble cytosolic enzymes. BX-glucosides are stored in the vacuole.

The core genes $Bx1$ to $Bx5$ and $Bx8$ form a biosynthetic cluster in maize and locate within 264 kb on the short arm of chromosome 4. A distant enhancer element for $Bx1$ expression is situated in the middle of the cluster [24,48]. The first intermediate unique to BX biosynthesis is indolin-2-one, generated by BX2. Phylogenetic data suggest the co-evolution of $Bx1$ and $Bx2$ to be an essential driver in the establishment of the pathway. Indeed, both genes are extremely tightly linked in maize (separated by 2.5 kb, [30]) and the linkage is preserved in wheat and rye while the second part of the cluster, $Bx3$ to $Bx5$, $Bx8$, is found on different chromosomes [36,37]. The essential impact of BX1 for benzoxazinoid biosynthesis has been shown by transgenic overexpression in maize [24] and by QTL (quantitative trait locus) analysis [49]. $Bx6$ and $Bx7$ are also found on the short arm of chromosome 4, but are separated from the core cluster by several centimorgans. The genes involved in the decoration of GDIMBOA are not linked to the core gene set. $Bx10$ to $Bx12$ most probably represent gene duplications and the paralogues are located within 200 kb on chromosome 1 [44]. $Bx13$ and $Bx14$ are both found on chromosome 2 but are distant from each other.

Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis in maize was the first example of a biosynthetic cluster in plants. Since then, they have also been discovered for secondary metabolism in several plant species (reviewed in [50]). Clustering was even used as a criterion to elucidate pathways [51]. However, many biosynthetic pathways are dispersed in the genome [52]. Whether clustering provides an evolutionary advantage for pathway establishment by preserving superior allelic combinations in the coupling phase, or facilitates coordinated regulation through the domains of modified chromatin is a matter of debate. The local separation of the core gene set and the genes of modification might be underlying the diversity of BXs patterns found in maize [44,46,53]. It is suggested that the glucosylated benzoxazinoids are stored in the vacuole. The BX-glucoside specific beta-glucosidases were detected in the plastid and connected to the cell wall [54–57]. The disintegration of cells joins stored glucosidase and substrate and delivers the defence compound.

Recently, signalling components involved in induced BX changes have been discovered. It has been shown that $Zm$PEP1 and $Zm$PEP3, members of the maize elicitor peptide family, were rapidly induced by either fungal infection or oral secretions of the Spodoptera exigua larvae [58,59]. In both cases, jasmonate and ethylene biosynthesis and a broad spectrum of defence reactions is induced, including the expression of $Bx$-genes. Whether the observed changes in gene expression and BX patterns are direct or indirect consequences of $Zm$PEP activity is unclear. A significant function might be attributed to the jasmonate (JA) signalling cascade since JA application and JA synthesis inhibition enhanced and constrained, respectively, the concentration of GHDMBOA [60,61].

As mentioned before, most data about BX biosynthesis were gained through analyses of maize. The respective genes in other Poaceae species were found based on homology searches and all genes identified so far are orthologues, hence the BX biosynthetic pathway is monophyletic in Poaceae. The knowledge about the pathway in dicots is scarce. It has been shown that, like in monocots, indole is generated by a homologue of TSA and further metabolised to yield BXs. However, the $Bx1$ genes of the family Poaceae and the dicots A. squarrosa, C. orientalis, and L. galeobdolon are results of individual convergent evolution [28]. Similarly, the UGT gene $CoBx8$ and the specific glucosidases $CoGlu$ and $LgGlu1$ from C. orientalis and L. galeobdolon are the result of repeated evolution [62,63]. It cannot be excluded that a loss of genes and secondary recruitment took place, but most likely BX biosynthesis evolved several times independently.

5. Biological Interaction

Different techniques were applied to study the biological impact of BXs. Pure substances were applied in solution (e.g., in Sections 5.1–5.3 and 5.5), added to artificial diets, or applied through
the painting of leaves (Section 5.4). Concentrations in the millimolar range might face insects and microbes attacking seedlings. In older plants, such a high concentration can result locally from induced biosynthesis [58,59]. Another line of investigation was to analyse plant lines or cultivars producing BXs at different levels for the effect on target organisms (Sections 5.3 and 5.4). A summary of compound- and species-specific interactions is given in Table 1.

5.1. Human Health Clinical Studies, Detrimental and Health-Promoting Effects

Maize seed and silage tissue are lacking significant amounts of BXs, but due to the presence of BX in seeds of wheat and rye, BX can enter the human food chain. BXs have been found in conventionally baked bread and water-soaked or -boiled pearled rye and rye flakes [27]. Furthermore, BXs have been detected in wheat and rye beer [64]. The health-promoting effects of whole grain wheat and rye products have been associated with the presence of BXs. Anti-allergenic and anti-inflammatory effects have been demonstrated, and it was reported that BXs are appetite-suppressing in humans and may reduce cellular glucose uptake (see [65] for review). Hamilton described DIMBOA in 1962 as a “corn sweet substance” [66], as for human taste buds it is about 400 times sweeter than sucrose [8]. This might account for the reduction of calorie uptake by humans consuming BX-supplemented diets. Additionally, BXs might influence the microbial community of the digestive system positively and their health-promoting effects might be the result of differential sensitivities of microbes.

On the other hand, detrimental properties of BXs are well known. In vitro mutagenicity of DIMBOA in the Ames test has been described [67]. More recently BXs were described as potent polyploidy-inducing agents in human-derived cell lines (HepG2 and HeLa), which has raised concern for their potential adverse health effects [68,69]. However, due to the ability of potent BXs to induce cell death, applications in cancer treatment are considered [70].

5.2. Interactions with Microbes

Early after the detection of BXs in cereal crops, studies were performed to evaluate the antimicrobial potential of the compounds. The microbe targeted was Pantoea stewartii (Smith 1898) Mergaert et al. 1993, (Xanthomonas stewartii (Smith 1898) Dowson 1939, [71]), a causal agent of Stewart’s wilt. Similarly, a bacteriostatic effect of DIMBOA was determined for Erwinia spp. and Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Smith & Townsend 1907 Conn 1942; growth restriction by MBOA was less pronounced [72,73]. In addition to the restriction of bacteria proliferation, BXs have the capacity to influence microbial communities and are like other plant metabolites implicated in mutualistic interactions (see [74] for review). Pseudomonas putida Trevisan, 1889 KT2440, a competitive coloniser of the maize rhizosphere with plant-beneficial traits, is attracted by DIMBOA [75]. Root colonisation by the strain was shown to prime the emission of stress-inducible aromatic and terpenoid volatiles from shoots and the expression of the JA-inducible serine proteinase inhibitor SerPIN. SerPIN priming was shown to require the presence of BX. The underlying mechanism is at present unknown [76].

Local changes of BXs are caused by phytopathogenic fungi (Bipolaris maydis (Y. Nisik. & C. Miyake) Shoemaker, Curvularia lunata R.R. Nelson & Haasis, and Alternaria alternata (Fr.) Keissl. (Fr.)) and influence the germination of conidia negatively [43]. A significant accumulation of HDMBOA and thereafter of MBOA was induced by the inoculation of the fungi. The most significant antifungal potential was attributed to MBOA. For cereals, interaction with endophytic Fusarium species is of special interest due to yield loss and contamination with fungal toxins. BXs were first described as anti-Fusarium factors in rye seedlings (benzoxazolinones, [77]; benzoxazinones, [11]). The impact of benzoxazinones and the benzoxazolinones MBOA and 1,3-benzoazol-2-one (BOA) arising as degradation products on Fusarium infection has been verified repeatedly (e.g., [78]) It has been shown that the biosynthesis of toxic trichothecenes by Fusarium graminearum Schwabe is almost completely abolished by DIMBOA in wheat. The effect is attributed to the suppression of the fungal genes of the trichothecenes biosynthetic pathway [79]. However, Fusarium spp. gained tolerance to BXs multiple times [80]. Tolerance was achieved by the inducible production of modifying enzymes (FDB1, FDB2,
Fusarium verticillioides (Sacc.) Nirenberg, Fusarium pseudograminearum Aoki and O'Donnell, [81,82]). The F. verticillioides gene FUG1 is required for successful maize kernel colonisation. FUG1 shows features of transcription factors and activates the biosynthesis of fumonisins, a class of mycotoxins. At the same time, FUG1 is required for BX tolerance, though the specific tolerance mechanism is unknown [83]. The presence of BX-tolerant fungi has a major impact on the microbial population structure. The microbial community proportion with low BOA tolerance was significantly reduced (up to 35-fold lower frequency in leaf isolates) in maize BX producer lines [84]. Given the positive correlation between BX tolerance and mycotoxin biosynthesis, the selective advantage of tolerant Fusarium strains when facing high BX concentrations might increase toxin levels of BX-producing cereals. Hence, the presence of BXs might be advantageous or deleterious for the reduction of Fusarium infection and mycotoxin contamination.

BXs were also analysed for antimicrobial properties against the model organisms for human pathogens Escherichia coli (Migula 1895) Castellani & Chalmers 1919, Staphylococcus aureus Rosenbach, 1884, and Candida albicans (C.P.Robin) Berkhout, as well as the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae Meyen ex E.C. Hansen [85–87]. Antimicrobial activity required concentrations above the millimolar range.

5.3. Benzoxazinoids in the Soil: Allelopathy and the Chelating of Metals

BXs are found in the soil when BX-producing plants are grown and initially BXs received much attention as allelopathic substances in plant-plant interactions (see [9] for review). The release of BXs follows two modes, root exudation by the vital plant and the shedding of dead tissue. The level of root exudation varies for different species and within species for lines and cultivars. The presence of BXs in the apoplast has been demonstrated in maize [88], but the mechanism of root exudation is unknown. We assessed BXs exudation in young maize seedlings using a system that allowed exudate collection without damaging the root tissue (Figure 2) [89]. Staining revealed the presence of BXs above the root cap (Figure 2A). Collecting exudates separately from the root cap border cells as opposed to the whole root confirmed that the root cap border cells do not play a major role in BXs exudation (Figure 2B). This was somewhat unexpected since the root cap is known to be involved in the exudation of other defence compounds, such as pisatin in pea [90]. Preliminary data using specific inhibitors suggested that exudation takes place passively rather than by primary or secondary active membrane transporters such as the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters and MATE (multidrug and toxic compound extrusion) transporters (Figure 2C) [89].

In maize benzoxazinoid content is high in seedling roots, in young adventitious roots, and in crown roots [91,92]. In agricultural practice, the effect of BXs is exploited by mulching and the allelopathic potential has been extensively studied in rye. Experiments with the mulching of rye demonstrated a substantial reduction of weeds, e.g., a reduction of Chenopodium album L. greater than 90% was detected [93]. BX levels between 0.5 and 5 kg/ha were reached with field-grown rye. The effects of intact plants on weed control proved to be cultivar-specific and correlated with BX content [94]. Hence, it was postulated that BXs might be used as lead structures for the design of herbicides. Benzoxazinone aglucons are unstable in watery solutions [95], e.g., the half-life values of DIMBOA are between 7 h and 20 h depending on pH. The benzoxazolinones BOA and MBOA are quite stable under sterile conditions but are converted via aminophenol as an intermediate by microbes to yield either of the catabolite classes aminophenoxazinone, malonamic acids, or acetamides within days [9,96]. Aminophenoxazinones (2-amino-phenoxazin-3-one (APO), 2-amino-7-methoxy-phenoxazin-3-one (AMPO), Figure 1) are persistent in the soil with half-life values of several months [97]. The precursors DIBOA and DIMBOA and (more substantially) the degradation products APO and AMPO have been detected in the roots and shoots of target plants [98]. Hence, the allelopathic potential in the soil depends on both the BXs and, maybe even to a higher extent, on the catabolites. The selectivity in plant communities is given by differences in the uptake and metabolisation of the chemicals. Generally, cereals are more tolerant than dicots, although even maize cells are affected by DIMBOA [99]. Different detoxification pathways are established in different
plant species [9,100]. Considerable changes in the transcriptome of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. seedlings by exposure to BOA might reflect the activation of detoxification reactions. The functional categories ‘cell rescue’ and ‘defence’ were overrepresented after BOA treatment [100]. One common mechanism to reduce toxicity is the glucosylation of intermediates. Indeed, the transgenic expression of the respective UGTs BX8 or BX9 conferred D(M)BOA tolerance to Arabidopsis [31]. The major detoxification product of BOA in Poales was identified as glucoside carbamate and the biosynthetic steps were defined recently in maize roots [101,102]. Thereby, it has been shown that BX9 is also effective in BOA metabolism.

Figure 2. BXs exudation in young maize seedlings. (a) Detection of BXs by staining with FeCl₃. BXs form a blue-coloured complex with Fe (III). The benzoazinless (bx1) mutant root does not show any staining while the wildtype (WT) root is stained, except for the root cap, suggesting that the root cap is not involved in BXs biosynthesis and exudation. (b) DIMBOA amounts in exudate of the root cap border cells compared to the whole root (n = 16). Border cell exudate was collected by dipping the root tip in 100 µl of water, while whole root exudate was collected by washing the root with 100 µl of water. Only very low amounts of DIMBOA were detected in the border cell exudate. (c) Effect of Na₃VO₄ (inhibitor of ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-type transporters) on exudation. No significant inhibition of exudation was observed after treatment with 5 mM or 10 mM Na₃VO₄ (6 < n < 9). (d) Effect of NH₄Cl (inhibitor of multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE)-type transporters) on exudation. No significant inhibition of exudation was observed after treatment with 25 mM, 50 mM, or 100 mM NH₄Cl (6 < n < 7) [89].

The impact of BXs and their metabolites is not restricted to distinct plant species, but rather it is quite general. As a corollary, universal cell structures are suggested targets. Proposed modes of action include induced H₂O₂ production leading to lignin accumulation, increased cell wall rigidity leading to reduced growth, and interaction with auxin signalling [9]. Intriguingly, Venturelli et al. [103] detected for Arabidopsis that AMP and AMPO directly inhibit histone deacetylases. The physiological
effects of the metabolites might result from chromatin modifications that subsequently disturb gene expression patterns and will affect plant performance.

BXs have metal-chelating properties toward trivalent metal ions. (G)DI(M)BOA forms complexes with Fe (III) and can promote iron uptake as phytosiderophores. It has been shown that BX amounts in and outside of the root increase with higher iron concentrations in the media [104]. Thus, iron-complex formation with BXs will be adjusted to iron availability. Since the uptake of iron-complexes by the root is driven by the plant’s demand [105], excess amounts of Fe (III) could be preserved in built-on BX-iron complex storages. Similarly, aluminium tolerance conferred by chelating complexes of DIMBOA and Al (III) has been proposed [106]. However, recent studies using defined maize lines and BX-free mutants could not detect a significant correlation between BXs and Al (III) sensitivity [8,107,108].

5.4. Insects

The effects of BXs on insect performance are on different levels. They span from repelling to attracting, including developmental stage-specific retardation but also growth promotion, direct toxicity, and metabolic costs due to detoxification. Differences for distinct species might vary with respect to developmental stage at the insect side and age at the plant side.

5.4.1. Chewing Insects

Most data on the impact of BXs on insects have been gained from maize. The resistance of plants towards insects can be implemented by anti-feeding activity and interference with the larval metabolism, e.g., the inhibition of digestive enzymes. A correlation between BX concentration and the control of the European corn borer (Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner, 1796)) was recognised early on [109].
Table 1. Impact of different BX families on target organisms and their effective concentrations.

| Target Organism | Benozaxinoids | Induced BXs * | BX Metabolites ** |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| Chewing insects |               |               |                  |
| Leaf-feeders    |               |               |                  |
| Generalists     |               |               |                  |
| Ostrinia nubilalis (Hübner, 1796) | [110–113] | DIBOA, DIMBOA | DIM, BOA, MBOA |
| Ostrinia furnacalis (Gueneé, 1854) | [114,115] | DIMBOA | HDMBOA, MBOA |
| Ostrinia latipennis (Warren, 1892) | [114] |         |                  |
| Ostrinia scapulalis (Walker, 1859) | [115] |         |                  |
| Spodoptera littoralis (Boisduval, 1833) | [113,116] | DIMBOA | HDMBOA deterring |
| Spodoptera exigua (Hübner 1808) | [117] |         |                  |
| Spodoptera eridania (Stoll, 1781) | [118] |         |                  |
| Ostrinia scapulalis (Walker, 1859) | [119] |         |                  |
| Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith, 1797) | [10,113,116] | DIMBOA | HDMBOA deterring, MBOA |
| Specialists     |               |               |                  |
| Diabrotica balteata (LeConte, 1865) | [91] | DIBOA | DIM, BOA, HMBOA |
| D.undecimpunctata howardi (Barber, 1947) | [121] |         |                  |
| Specialists     |               |               |                  |
| Diabrotica virgifera virgifera (LeConte, 1858) | [122,123] | DIBOA, DIMBOA | DIM, BOA |
| Delia coarctata (Fallén, 1825) | [124] | DIMBOA | MBOA |
| Aphids          |               |               |                  |
| Metopolophium dirhodium (Walker, 1849) | [125–127] | DIMBOA | GHDMBOA, MBOA |
| Schizaphis graminum (Rondani, 1852) | [125,128, 129] | DIMBOA |                  |
| Sitobion avena (Fabricius, 1775) | [130–133] |         |                  |
| Rhopalosipnum padi (Linnaeus, 1758) | [88] |         |                  |
Table 1. Cont.

| Target Organism | Benzoazinoids Core Benzoxazinones | Induced BXs * | BX Metabolites ** |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Rhopalosiphum maidis (Fitch, 1856) | DIMBOA | GDMHBOA | ? |
| Fungi | induce increase in GDMHBOA | | |
| Helminthosporium turcicum Pass. | DIMBOA | | |
| Cephalosporium maydis Samra, Sabet & Hing. | | | |
| Puccinia graminis Pers. | | | |
| Bipolaris maydis (Y. Nisik. & C. Miyake) Shoemaker | DIMBOA | | |
| Curvularia lunata R.R. Nelson & Haasis | | | |
| Alternaria alternata (Fr.) Keissl. (Fr.) | | | |
| Colletotrichum graminicola D.J. Politis | | | |
| Ustilago zae (Link) Unger | | | |
| Fusarium verticillioides (Sacc.) Nirenberg | | | |
| Gibberella zeae (Schwein.) Petch | increased fungal toxin production | | |
| Plants | | | |
| Dicots | | | |
| Lepidium sativum L. (Brassicales) **** | DIBOA, DIMBOA | | APO |
| Lepidium sativum L. (Brassicales) **** | DIBOA | | BOA |
| Raphanus sativus L. (Brassicales) **** | | | BOA |
| Solanum lycopersicum L. (Solanales) **** | DIBOA, DIMBOA | | BOA, MBOA, APO |
| Cucumis melo L. (Cucurbitales) **** | DIBOA | | BOA |
| Cucumis sativus L. (Cucurbitales) **** | # | | |
| Cucurbita pepo L.(Cucurbitales) **** | # | | |
| Lactuca sativa L. (Asterales) **** | DIBOA | | BOA |
| Vicia faba L. (Fabales) **** | DIBOA | | BOA |
| Vigna radiata (L.) R.Wilczek (Fabales) **** | # | | |
| Portulaca oleracea L.(Caryophyllales) | # | | |
| Abutilon theophrasti Medik. (Malvales) | DIBOA, DIMBOA | | BOA |
| Amaranthus palmeri S. Watson (Caryophyllales) | DIBOA | | BOA |
| Amaranthus retroflexus L. (Caryophyllales) | # | | |
| Chenopodium album L. (Caryophyllales) | # | | |
| Ipomoea hederacea Jacq. (Solanales) | # | | |

**Notes:**
- DIMBOA: 1-deoxymethylbenzoxazinone
- GDMHBOA: 2,4-dimethoxy-2,4-dihydrobenzoxazin-3-one
- MBOA: 2-methoxybenzoxazinone
- Increased fungal toxin production
- Reduced fungal toxin production
Table 1. Cont.

| Target Organism | Benzoazinoids | Induced BXs * | BX Metabolites ** |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| *Ipomoea lacunosa* L. (Solanales) | Core Benzoazinones | **##** | **##** |
| *Sesbania exaltata* (Raf.) Rydb. (Fabales) | | | |
| *Senna obtusifolia* (L.) H.S.Irwin & Barneby (Fabales) | | | |
| *Rumex acetosa* L. (Rumex acetosa) | | | |
| *Sida spinosa* L. (Malvales) | | | |
| **Monocots** | | | |
| *Allium cepa* L. (Aspargales) *** | | | |
| *Zea mays* L. (Poales) **** | **DIBOA, DIMBOA** | **MBOA, APO** |
| *Triticum aestivum* L. (Poales) **** | **DIBOA, DIMBOA** | **MBOA, APO** |
| *Avena sativa* L. (Poales) **** | **DIBOA** | **BOA, APO** |
| *Avena fatua* L. (Poales) | | | |
| *Lolium rigidum* Gaudin (Poales) | | | |
| *Lolium perenne* L. (Poales) | | | |
| *Dactylis glomerata* L. (Poales) | | | |
| *Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop. (Poales) | | | |
| *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv. (Poales) | | | |
| *Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn. (Poales) | | | |
| *Panicum miliaceum* L. (Poales) | | | |
| *Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv. (Poales) | | | |
| *Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn. (Poales) | | | |
| *Panicum miliaceum* L. (Poales) | | | |

* also present in distinct developmental stages, ** including benzoazolinones, *** affecting either root length, shoot length, or germination, **** crop plants, # assay using plant lines with different BX concentrations, ## assays using plant extracts or mulching, ? BX species not defined. Abbreviations: APO 2-amino-phenoxazin-3-one; BOA 1,3-benzoxazol-2-one; DIBOA 2,4-dihydroxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; DIMBOA 2,4-dihydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; DMB2OA 2,4-dihydroxy-7,8-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; HDMBOA 2-hydroxy-7,8-dimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; HDM2BOA 2-hydroxy-4,7,8-trimethoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; HMBOA 2-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one; MBOA 6-Methoxy-2-benzoxazolinone. G indicates the presence of the O-glucoside.

Minimal concentration for negative effect:
- **< 1 mM**
- **1-2 mM**
- **>2 mM- 10 mM**
- **> 10 mM/ not affected**
- **Concentration/ spec. BX not defined**

Positive effect:
- **Attraction**

Repelling:
- **Repelling**
Since the BX levels are higher in seedlings and juvenile plants, mainly the first brood of the insect is affected; the control of following generations is less effective. However, fighting herbivores by pure quantity is only one strategy and is probably not conceivable for the mature plant due to high metabolic costs. Recently it has been shown that local events, including the induced biosynthesis and modification of BXs, are essential defence weapons even for juvenile plants (see [8,153] for review).

Like in the case of microbial infection, peptides can be involved in the induction of BXs (ZmPep3, [59]). A major role in defence is attributed to the conversion of (G)DIMBOA to (G)HDMBOA [154]. A significant increase of (G)HDMBOA and to lesser extent (G)HDM2BOA has been shown after O. nubilalis, Ostrinia furnacalis (Guenée, 1854), Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith, 1797), S. exigua, and Spodoptera littoralis (Boisduval, 1833) caterpillar herbivory [45,116,154–156]). Induction takes place on gene level. Within hours, the genes Bx10 and Bx11 that are required for the O-methylation of GDIMBOA are upregulated, followed by an increase of core Bx-gene transcription [45,156]. The activation of enzymes and transport of (G)HDMBOA might also contribute to fast local defence response. HDMBOA levels are associated with increased resistance and can be the effect of direct toxicity to the larvae or a result of the aforementioned faster conversion to MBOA compared to DIMBOA.

5.4.2. Aphids

Sucking insects such as aphids have both a direct and indirect influence on plant performance. Direct by the loss of energy-rich metabolites, and indirect since the transmission of viruses is often associated with sucking. The signals the plant experiences due to aphid infestation are different from those caused by the feeding of caterpillars. Preferentially, the path followed by the aphid’s stylet to reach the phloem is intercellular and hence plant cell damage is minor. By contrast to findings with caterpillars, no changes in Bx-gene transcript levels were displayed in experiments with, e.g., Rhopalosiphum padi (Linnaeus, 1758) [157].

The performance of aphids is negatively affected by BXs in wheat and wild Hordeum for Sitobion avenae (Fabricius, 1794), R. padi, and Schizaphis graminum (Rondani, 1852) [23]. Repelling in choice tests and growth effects with artificial diets are documented. Toxicity and anti-feeding symptoms in the aphids were found to be similar to starvation [126,158]. Recently it has been shown that the effect of BXs on aphids can be more complex than mere toxicity. The aphid’s contact with BXs in the first phase of infestation is limited to some punctured mesophyll cells. It is proposed that the minimal perception of BXs experienced there extends the time to reach the phloem and has a negative effect on feeding efficiency. Since aphids feeding on maize excrete substantial amounts of BXs in the honeydew [159], uptake from the phloem sap takes place and the aphids have also to deal with the reactive compound. Species-specific differences in metabolisation and excretion might account for varying susceptibilities.

In maize and wheat for Rhopalosiphum maidis (Fitch, 1856) (corn leaf aphid) no clear correlation between BX level and aphid performance was detected. An elaborate study combining metabolomics and genetics identified an alternative BX-based resistance mechanism by elucidating the cause of natural variation in R. maidis resistance [44]. Resistance is conferred by the mutation of Bx12, the constitutively expressed GDIMBOA O-methyltransferase. Hence, without inducing stimulus as conferred by chewing insects, GHDMBOA is missing in the plant. This finding appears contradictory since GHDMBOA is more toxic to the aphids in an artificial diet compared to GDIMBOA. However, it has been shown that DIMGBOA is the required signal for the induction of callose, while HDBMOA does not affect callose concentration [88]. Since callose deposition can hinder the access to the phloem by blocking sieve elements and constricting intercellular paths, aphid performance (R. maidis and probably R. padi) can be efficiently restricted by high DIMBOA levels. Hence, the activity of the core BX biosynthesis [53,134] and prohibition of further metabolisation [44] increases resistance. The restriction of caterpillar damage conferred by HDMBOA and the reduction of aphid infestation depending on DIMBOA-induced callose deposition are conflicting concepts. The search for resistance to R. maidis with the background of S. exigua-mediated BX induction identified a QTL that coincides with the
O-methyltransferase cluster Bx10 to Bx12 [45]. The differences found in the gene expression and the resulting different GHDMBOA/GDIMBOA levels induced by caterpillar feeding affect aphid control and demonstrate that there is a trade-off between aphid and caterpillar resistance. Another factor to be considered is the presence of further modifications of the BX structure by hydroxylation and N-OH methylation to yield GDIM2BOA and GHDM2BOA (Figure 1, [46]). Both metabolites were shown to confer aphid control but do not contribute to resistance against chewing insects.

As mentioned before, BX10 to BX12 are equally functional in vitro as GDIMBOA O-methyltransferases to yield GHDMBOA (Figure, [46]). However, in maize the three genes display different expression levels. Only Bx12 is expressed constitutively, while transcript levels of Bx10 and Bx11 were significant only upon caterpillar damage [45]. Some maize lines carry a transposon insertion in Bx12 that inactivates the gene. Hence, GHDMBOA biosynthesis is restricted to caterpillar-damaged tissue in these lines. This mutant allele is present in most temperate maize lines of the 276 inbred lines of the Goodman Panel [160]. In the maize progenitor teosinte population, the allele is rare [161]. Since the threats by insects differ in tropical (chewing insects) and temperate regions (aphids), the selection of the appropriate efficient BX—GDIMBOA in case of aphids—might have been a consequence of the domestication process [8,44–46,161].

5.4.3. Specialist Insects

Specialist insects can cope with defence metabolites and use the compounds to locate their favourite plant species. Moreover, young metabolic rich tissue is often characterised by high levels of the metabolite that is used as a cue by herbivorous insects, e.g., wheat seedling exudates attract the specialist herbivore wheat bulb fly (Delia coarctata (Fallén, 1825)). The reaction is MBOA dose-dependent; the response to DIMBOA is less pronounced [124]. Similarly, nutritious crown roots of maize are located by the corn rootworm (Diabrotica virgifera (LeConte, 1858)) by the content of BXs [91]. Moreover, D. virgifera employs BXs for its own defence [162]. MBOA and HDMBOA are used in different strategies by the insect. MBOA is N-glucosylated and released. The stabilised metabolite repels young nematodes that would otherwise attack the larvae. GHDMBOA is sequestered into the insect body and activated upon nematode attack. Nematodes and their symbiotic bacteria are killed by the generated high local MBOA concentrations.

Insects are exposed to the ad hoc delivery of BX aglucons following plant cell damage. Obviously instantaneous stabilisation will reduce deleterious effects. Indeed, glucosylation by UGTs in insect intestines was detected and the efficiency was correlated with the performance of the caterpillars in the presence of BXs (see [10] for review). The caterpillars excrete glucosides of DIMBOA, 2-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (HMBOA), and MBOA. Interestingly the stereochemistry of the plant-derived glucoside (2R) and the insect product (2S) is different, and hence the plant BX glucosidase is not functional in re-activating the defence compound. Other modifications, e.g., hydroxylations, might also contribute to detoxification. The formation of GMBOA-carbamate has been revealed for Mythimna separate (Walker, 1865) [163]; however, the steric conformation differs from the respective carbamate produced by plants.

5.5. BXs as Signalling Components

Glucosinolates (GS) in the family Brassicaceae share features with BXs: their biosynthesis is tightly linked to tryptophan and hence auxin biosynthesis, GS are expressed constitutively at developmental stages but the pattern can be changed by biotic and abiotic stress, and both play an essential role in callose deposition [88,164]. Although a strong piece of evidence is lacking, it can be speculated that both specialised metabolites are recruited in parallel to serve beyond defence as signalling molecules as well. A further analogy could be the impact on flowering time. In a mapping approach with maize landraces, Bx12 was identified as a locus affecting female and male flowering [165]. Similarly, GS biosynthesis has been found to influence flowering time [166]. As mentioned before, Bx12 was proposed as selection target in domestication during the move from tropical to temperate regions due
to changes in classes of challenging insects. However, the geographical spread was also obviously connected with changes in the length of day, which influences flowering time. The impact of different BX patterns on either of the two traits is not clear at present.

The background for the speculatively supposed signalling function is found in the relation to the phytohormone auxin. BXs have been described as antagonists of auxin binding and auxin-induced growth [167,168] and were proposed to function contradictory as co-auxins [169]. The light-induced curvature of coleoptiles was attributed to blue light-induced BX accumulation and H2O2 released by DIMBOA production on the illuminated side [170,171]. However, the almost BX-free introgression line of the bx1 reference mutant [13,30] in the maize line B73 does not show any morphological or physiological phenotype as a seedling or adult plant, under laboratory conditions, in the greenhouse, and in the field compared to B73, apart from the lack of BX [92]. This would not be expected if BX had a significant role in the auxin signalling network.

6. Conclusions

In defined experimental setups, the effect of BXs after damage by insects and microbes is well established. Delivered into the soil, BXs serve mutualistic interactions with geobionts. In recent years, it has become obvious that biologically active BXs constitute a compound family, comprising biosynthetic derivatives and intermediates of catabolism including microbial modifications. The amount and composition of BXs was shown to differ within species for lines and developmental stages. Furthermore, biotic and abiotic stresses influence the BX pattern. The data were mainly derived from maize, and it has yet to be evaluated how far the structural diversity and expression patterns are the same in other plants, e.g., wheat. Single BX species might be used as attractants or repellents and can be toxic. In maize, natural variation exists that might allow tailoring BXs to fit to specific requirements. However, it has to be considered that single BXs might have conflicting effects in different biotic interactions. Since the maize genes of the biosynthetic pathway are known, molecular markers can assist in selection. Mutants can clarify the impact of a given compound for plant protection.

Selectivity in the control of weeds, insects, and microbes is mediated by the different abilities of the organisms to detoxify BXs. At least for plants and insects, glycosylation seems to be the essential step to reduce deleterious effects. The long-time experience with BXs in plant protection is positive. No general breakage of resistance (e.g., by O. nubilalis) was observed. This might be due to the fact that diverse BXs are present that are not super toxins, i.e., killing at the lowest concentration, but that do have moderate effects on different levels in the lifecycles of the target organisms.

Although much information has been gained in recent years, much research has yet to be done to clarify the role of BXs in nature.
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