Classification of the colonic splenic flexure based on three-dimensional CT analysis
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Abstract

Background: Mobilization of the splenic flexure can be a challenging surgical step in colorectal surgery. This study aimed to classify the splenic flexure based on the three-dimensional (3D) coordinates of the splenic hilum and left renal hilum. This classification was used to compare splenic flexure mobilization during colorectal resection.

Methods: CT images of patients with colorectal cancer treated between April 2018 and December 2019 were analysed retrospectively. 3D mutual positioning of the splenic flexure from the ligament of Treitz to the splenic hilum or the left renal hilum was used to classify patients into three groups using cluster analysis. The difference in the procedure time between groups was also analysed in a subset of patients undergoing laparoscopic colectomy with complete splenic flexure mobilization.

Results: Of 515 patients reviewed, 319 with colorectal cancers were included in the study and categorized based on the 3D coordinates of the splenic hilum and left renal hilum as caudal (100 patients), cranial (118) and lateral (101) positions. Male sex (P < 0.001), older age (P = 0.004) and increased bodyweight (P = 0.043) were independent characteristics of the lateral group in multiple logistic regression analysis. Thirty-four patients underwent complete splenic flexure mobilization during the study period; this took significantly longer (mean 78.7 min) in the lateral group than in the caudal and cranial groups (41.8 and 43.2 min respectively; P = 0.006).

Conclusion: Locating the splenic flexure using 3D coordinates could be helpful in predicting a longer duration for mobilization of the splenic flexure.

Introduction

Mobilization of the splenic flexure is sometimes necessary to obtain a tension-free anastomosis or to resect splenic flexure cancers. However, splenic flexure mobilization has been considered a challenging procedure with some technical difficulty, and has been associated with a prolonged duration of surgery, an increased incidence of splenic injury and increased blood loss.

Although the use of laparoscopy has been reported to reduce iatrogenic splenic injury during mobilization of the splenic flexure, this has not been confirmed by others who have reported a higher incidence of splenic flexure mobilization-related complications in laparoscopic surgery than in open procedures. Thus, mobilizing the splenic flexure remains challenging, even in the era of laparoscopic colorectal resection. The procedure can be affected by several patient conditions, such as obesity, and the ability to predict the difficulty before surgery would be helpful.

The present study aimed to classify the splenic flexure by assessing three-dimensional (3D) coordinates in CT images based on the positional relationship of three retroperitoneal structures: the ligament of Treitz, splenic hilum and left renal hilum. This classification was used to compare the procedure time of splenic flexure mobilization during colorectal resection.

Methods

Patients who had CT (using 1- or 3-mm slice thickness, enhanced and not enhanced) in the supine position for colorectal cancer, independently from the tumour location, between April 2018 and December 2019 in the Department of Surgical Oncology at University of Tokyo Hospital were analysed retrospectively. Exclusion criteria were: patients who had perforation, peritonitis, colonic ileus or invagination; a past history of colectomy, vertebral fusion or other upper abdominal operations such as gastrectomy and hepatectomy; and retroperitoneal anatomical variations, such as large renal cyst and abdominal aortic aneurysm.

For each patient, the following variables were reviewed and used for data analysis: age, sex, ethnicity, body height, bodyweight, BMI, the coordinate of the splenic or left renal hilum from the ligament of Treitz, and the distance from the ligament of Treitz to the splenic or left renal hilum. The study was conducted with the approval of the ethics committee of the University of Tokyo Hospital (number 3252-7). Informed consent was obtained in the form of opt-out on the website.

Assessment of three-dimensional coordinates

The location of the splenic flexure is affected largely by bowel conditions, such as retention of air and faeces in the colon.
The splenic flexure lies above the hilum of the spleen, regardless of patient demographics, and the descending colon runs just ventral or lateral to the left kidney. These points are retroperitoneal and are considered to be less affected by bowel conditions. Using the splenocolic ligament as a hallmark, the 3D positioning of the splenic hilum and left renal hilum was analysed. The ligament of Treitz was also used as a reference point for the 3D evaluation of the hilum, because it is one of the retroperitoneal structures that can be identified most easily on laparoscopic view and is not affected by bowel conditions. Accordingly, these 3D coordinates were assessed for these three points in each patient: the ligament of Treitz, left renal hilum, and splenic hilum. The ligament of Treitz was defined as the point of the duodenum that intersects ventral to the inferior mesenteric vein. The left renal hilum was defined as the midpoint of the renal hilum, and splenic hilum was defined as the crossing point of the splenic vein and the line connecting lateral and internal edge of the spleen.

Surgical mobilization of the splenic flexure

For this analysis, only those patients undergoing splenic flexure mobilization during left-sided colorectal resection using laparoscopy were included, because the procedure time for mobilization could not be assessed retrospectively in patients who had open surgery. Of note, complete splenic flexure mobilization is not performed routinely during surgery for sigmoid colon or rectal cancer by surgeons in the authors’ department.

The splenic flexure mobilization procedure consisted of the following process: mobilization of the mesentery of the descending colon from the internal or caudal side, ligation and dissection of the inferior mesenteric vein at the lower edge of the pancreas, mobilization of the descending colon from the external side, dissection of the great omentum from the transverse colon, and dissection of the remaining splenocolic ligament. The videos were reviewed retrospectively, and the time spent for splenic flexure mobilization was assessed by a single surgeon blinded to the clinical information. The time for splenic flexure mobilization was defined as the time from the beginning of mobilization of the descending colon from the external side to the completion of splenic flexure mobilization, measured in minutes.

Statistical analysis

Cluster analysis was performed using the k-means method, by scaling each variable independently of the other variables. Distances were scaled using an overall estimate of the standard deviation of each variable, without adjusting the distances based on the sizes of the clusters. Correlations between the clinical factors and the 3D coordinates or the time for splenic flexure mobilization were assessed using the \( \chi^2 \) test and ANOVA. The independence of each variable was evaluated using a multivariable logistic regression model, and variables with \( P < 0.050 \) in univariable analysis were used for multivariable analysis. The association between duration of surgery and the variables was also assessed using multiple linear regression analysis.
these analyses were performed with the JMP® Pro 14.0.0 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA), and P < 0.050 was considered statistically significant. 3D visualization was also performed using R 3.6.1 with the rgl package (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; http://www.r-project.org/).

Results

Of 515 patients who underwent surgery for colorectal cancer during the study period, 319 met the inclusion criteria. All were Asian, with the exception of one Caucasian patient (Table 1). The splenic hilum was approximately 10 cm (median 94.4 mm) and the left renal hilum was approximately 5 cm (median 51.2 mm) from the ligament of Treitz. The 3D positions of the splenic hilum and left renal hilum were classified into three groups by using cluster analysis: cranial (100 patients), cranial (118) and lateral (101) (Fig. 2). Both the splenic and left renal hilum in the caudal group were located more medially and caudally, whereas the positions of the hilum in the cranial group were characterized by a more cranial and dorsal location; the lateral group showed a more lateral and ventral position. The distance from the ligament of Treitz to the splenic hilum was shorter in the caudal group (median 82.3 mm), followed by the cranial group (97.7 mm) and the lateral group (103.2 mm).

Factors correlating with this classification were analysed (Table 2). Approximately one-third of the caudal group were women (68.0 per cent), whereas the proportion of men and women was nearly the same in the cranial group. In contrast, 77.2 per cent of the lateral group were men. Patients in the caudal group were the youngest, followed by those in the cranial group and then the lateral group. In terms of body height, patients in the caudal group had a mean height of 159.3 cm, whereas those in the lateral group had a mean height of 163.3 cm. On the other hand, bodyweight was lighter in the caudal group (mean 56.4 kg), followed by the cranial group (60.4 kg) and the lateral group (mean 68.2 kg).

Table 1 Patient characteristics

| Age (years) | 67 (20–94) |
| Sex ratio (M : F) | 163 : 156 |
| Ethnicity | 318 |
| Caucasian | 1 |
| Body height (cm) | 161 (140.5–192.0) |
| Bodyweight (kg) | 60 (31.6–111.5) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 23.1 (14.3–42.9) |

Distance from ligament of Treitz (cm)

| Coordinate of splenic hilum from ligament of Treitz | 54.6 (20.5–88.3) |
| Lateral direction | 46.4 (6.5–82.2) |
| Ventral direction | 60 (5–120) |
| Cranial direction | 94.4 (44.2–141.8) |

Distance from ligament of Treitz to splenic hilum (mm)

| Coordinate of left renal hilum from ligament of Treitz | 24.6 (–8.2–65.6) |
| Lateral direction | 38.9 (15.7–74.5) |
| Cranial direction | 5 (–50–75) |
| Distance from ligament of Treitz to left renal hilum (mm) | 51.2 (23.3–89.9) |

The multivariable logistic regression model found that male sex (P < 0.001), older age (P = 0.004) and increased bodyweight (P = 0.043) were independent characteristics of the lateral group, whereas body height was not (P = 0.405) (Table 3).

Mobilization of the splenic flexure

Of 191 patients with left-sided colorectal cancer treated by laparoscopic resection during the study period, 34 had complete splenic flexure mobilization and were analysed (16 left colectomies, 6 partial resections of the transverse colon, 4 partial resections of the descending colon, 4 total colectomies, 3 sigmoidectomies, and 1 high anterior resection). There were no mobilization-related complications such as intraoperative splenic injury. The mean(s.d.) time for splenic flexure mobilization was 41.8(14.1), 43.2(14.3) and 78.7(40.6) min in the caudal, cranial and lateral group respectively, indicating a significantly longer duration in the lateral group (P = 0.006) (Fig. 3). Multiple linear regression analysis demonstrated that the lateral location was the only factor that showed an independent correlation with longer time for splenic flexure mobilization (P = 0.007) (Table 4).

Discussion

In recent years, cluster analysis with the k-means method has been used frequently for analyses of 3D measurement or body image. Using this method, three distinct groups showing different mutual positional relationships between the ligament of Treitz and the splenic or left renal hilum were identified. Locations in the caudal group were caudal or medial, those in the cranial group were cranial, medial or dorsal, and those in the lateral group were characterized as lateral or ventral.

Body height showed only a slight influence on these groups, whereas sex had a strong correlation. A previous study reported that the splenic flexure was located more cranially and more laterally in men than in women in an analysis of 100 CT images. Although the present series showed also that the individuals in the lateral group were predominantly men, the cranial group had a distinct location pattern of cranial, dorsal or medial, with nearly the same proportion in male and female patients.

This classification can be affected by spleen size. Because the splenic hilum of the large spleen tends to be located medially and ventrally, individuals with a large body and small spleen tended to be classified in the lateral group, those with a large body and large spleen tended to be in the cranial group, and those with a small body tended to be in the caudal group. Several studies have demonstrated that the normal spleen size is affected by body size and age; for example, men and older, taller and heavier individuals tend to have longer and larger spleens. Further studies to elucidate the correlation between the classification proposed here and spleen size could be helpful. In the present analysis, the lateral location, which is considered to be the most difficult for splenic flexure mobilization, was found to correlate with the patient being an older obese man. Masoomi and colleagues investigated 975 825 patients who underwent colorectal resection and reported the splenic injury rate to be 0.96 per cent, with male sex as an independent risk factor for splenic injury. As the lateral location was found to be an independent predictive factor for longer procedure time for splenic flexure mobilization in the present study, this points to clinical utility for the suggested classification.

This study had several limitations. First, because of the retrospective nature of case series, the surgical cohort included a wide
variety of procedures, such as left hemicolectomy, sigmoid colectomy and total colectomy, and was performed by a number of surgeons with surgical experiences ranging from 10 to more than 20 years. Accordingly, a prospective study to validate the applicability of this classification is advocated. Second, almost of patients in the study were Asian, and the replicability of these findings remains unclear because spleen size can be different between people of different ethnicities. Consequently, there could be a racial variation in the difficulty of splenic flexure mobilization.

Fig. 2 Stratification of the splenic hilum and left renal hilum using cluster analysis

a Three-dimensional visualization of the location of the splenic and left renal hilum when the ligament of Treitz was defined as the reference point. b–d Distribution for the coordinates of the splenic hilum from the ligament of Treitz in each group: b distribution in medial-to-lateral direction; c dorsal-to-ventral direction; d caudal-to-cranial direction. e Distribution of distances from the ligament of Treitz to the splenic hilum. b–e P < 0.001 (ANOVA).
Table 2 Association between clinical factors and location groups

|                | Caudal group (n = 100) | Cranial group (n = 118) | Lateral group (n = 101) | P     |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)*   | 62.7(14.6)             | 65.2(12.8)              | 69.1(11.6)              | 0.002 |
| Sex ratio (M: F)| 32 : 68                | 53 : 65                 | 78 : 23                 | <0.001|
| Body height (cm)*| 159.3(9.5)            | 160.4(9.6)              | 163.3(8.5)              | 0.008 |
| Body weight (kg)*| 56.4(12.3)            | 60.4(12.5)              | 68.2(14.1)              | <0.001|

*Values are mean(s.d.).

Table 3 Logistic regression analysis of factors associated with the lateral location

|                          | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                          | P        | Odds ratio              | P       |
| Sex (F versus M)         | <0.001   | 4.68 (2.16, 10.67)     | <0.001  |
| Age (<65 versus ≥65 years)| 0.004    | 2.22 (1.28, 3.90)      | 0.004   |
| Body height (<160 versus ≥160 cm)| <0.001    | 0.72 (0.32, 1.55)      | 0.405   |
| Body weight (<60 versus ≥60 kg)| <0.001    | 1.95 (1.02, 3.76)      | 0.043   |

Values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals.

Table 4 Multiple linear regression analysis of time spent mobilizing the splenic flexure

|                          | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                          | P        | A time (min)              | P       |
| Sex (F versus M)         | 0.051    | 14.3 0.309               |
| Age (years)              | 0.661    |                        |
| Body height (cm)         | 0.569    |                        |
| Body weight (kg)         | 0.015    | 0.56 0.148              |
| Other groups versus lateral group | 0.004    | 35.8 0.007              |

Funding

Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, 16K07143, 16K07161, 17K10620, 17K10621, 17K10623, 18K07194

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (grant numbers 16K07143, 16K07161, 17K10620, 17K10621, 17K10623 and 18K07194) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at BJS Open online.

References

1. Kang SB, Park JS, Kim DW, Lee TG. Intraoperative technical difficulty during laparoscopy-assisted surgery as a prognostic factor for colorectal cancer. Dis Colon Rectum 2010;53:1400–1408
2. Akiyoshi T, Kuroyanagi H, Oya M, Ueno M, Fujimoto Y, Konishi T et al. Factors affecting difficulty of laparoscopic surgery for left-sided colon cancer. Surg Endosc 2010;24:2749–2754
3. Marsden MR, Conti JA, Zeidan S, Flashman KG, Khan JS, O’Leary DP et al. The selective use of splenic flexure mobilization is safe in both laparoscopic and open anterior resections. Colorectal Dis 2012;14:1255–1261
4. Isik O, Aytac E, Ashburn J, Ozuner G, Remzi F, Costedio M et al. Does laparoscopy reduce splenic injuries during colorectal resections? An assessment from the ACS-NSQIP database. Surg Endosc 2015;29:1039–1044
5. Masoomi H, Carmichael JC, Mills S, Ketana N, Dolich MO, Stamos MJ. Predictive factors of splenic injury in colorectal surgery: data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample, 2006–2008. Arch Surg 2012;147:324–329
6. Isik O, Sapci I, Aytac E, Snyder K, Stocchi L, Kessler H et al. Laparoscopy reduces iatrogenic splenic injuries during colorectal surgery. Tech Coloproctol 2018;22:767–771
7. Pettke E, Leigh N, Shah A, Cekic V, Yan X, Kumara HMCS et al. Splenic flexure mobilization for sigmoid and low anterior resections in the minimally invasive era: how often and at what cost? Am Surg 2020;220:191–196
8. Saber AA, Dervishaj O, Aida SS, Christos PJ, Dakhel M. CT scan mapping of splenic flexure in relation to spleen and its clinical implications. Am Surg 2016;82:416–419
9. Zhao Z, Liu S, Li Z, Hou J, Wang Z, Ma X et al. Sectional anatomy of the peritoneal reflections of the upper abdomen in the coronal plane. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2005;29:430–437
10. Stewart A, Ledingham R, Williams H. Variability in body size and shape of UK offshore workers: a cluster analysis approach. Appl Ergon 2017;58:265–272
11. Zhang LZ, Meng SS, He DM, Fu YZ, Liu T, Wang FY et al. Three-dimensional measurement and cluster analysis for determining the size ranges of Chinese temporomandibular joint replacement prosthesis. Medicine 2016;95:e2897
12. Brookes AF, Macano C, Stone T, Cheetham M, Meecham L. Sex differences in the splenic flexure. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2017;99:456–458
13. Chow KU, Luxembourg B, Seifried E, Bonig H. Spleen size is significantly influenced by body height and sex: establishment of normal values for spleen size at US with a cohort of 1200 healthy individuals. Radiology 2016;279:306–313
14. Badran DH, Kalbouneh HM, Al-Hadidi MT, Shatarat AT, Tarawneh ES, Hadiy AM et al. Ultrasonographic assessment of splenic volume and its correlation with body parameters in a Jordanian population. Saudi Med J 2015;36:967–972
15. Khan SA, Yasmeen S, Adel H, Adil SO, Huda F, Khan S. Sonographic evaluation of normal liver, spleen, and renal parameters in adult population: a multicenter study. J Coll Phys Surg Pak 2018;28:834–839
16. El Sharkawy E, Faris R, Grumbach K, Edelman R, Clemens J, Rao M, Darwish M. Ultrasonographic measurements of the normal liver and spleen among Egyptians 10–50 years old. J Egypt Publ Health Assoc 1997;72:257–283
17. Loftus WK, Metreweli C. Normal splenic size in a Chinese population. J Ultrasound Med 1997;16:345–347
18. Mustapha Z, Tahir A, Tukur M, Bukar M, Lee WK. Sonographic determination of normal spleen size in an adult African population. Eur J Radiol 2010;75:e133–e135