Development and Validation of a Green Analytical Method for the Determination of Aspirin and Domperidone Bulk or Formulation Using UV and HPLC
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ABSTRACT

The aim of present study was to investigate the development and validation of a green analytical method for the determination of aspirin and domperidone. Method Development and Validation for Estimation of Domperidone and Aspirin in bulk or formulation by using RP-HPLC. The RP-HPLC method was developed for estimation of Aspirin and Domperidone in synthetic mixture by isocratically using 10 mM KH₂PO₄; Acetonitrile (20:80) as mobile phase, Prontosil C-18 column (4.6 x 250 mm, 5µ particle size) column as stationary phase and chromatogram was recorded at 231 nm. Then developed method was validated by using various parameters such as, linearity, Range accuracy, precision, repeatability, intermediate precision, robustness, limit of detection, limit of quantification. The proposed methods were found to be linear with correlation coefficient close to one. Precision was determined by repeatability, Intermediate precision and reproducibility of the drugs. The robustness of developed method was checked by changing in the deliberate variation in solvent. The result obtained shows the developed methods to be Cost effective, Rapid (Short retention time), Simple, Accurate (the value of SD and % RSD less than 2), Precise and can be successfully employed in the routine analysis of these drugs in bulk drug as well as in tablet dosage form. The Simplicity, Rapidly and Reproducibility of the proposed method completely fulfill the objective of this research work.
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INTRODUCTION

Day by day numbers of new drugs are introduced into market. Frequently, there is bigger time period between date of presentation of medication into business sector and date of its incorporation in pharmacopoeias. This happens as result of conceivable vulnerabilities in nonstop and more extensive utilization of these medications, report of new toxicities and improvement of patient resistance and presentation of better medications by contenders. In such cases standard scientific strategies for these medications may not be accessible in Pharmacopoeias. It gets to be fundamental, in this way, to grow new explanatory system for such medications. Additionally quality is vital in every item or administration in pharmacopoeias as it includes life. Investigation which helps in discovering spatial plan of ion as in particle and vicinity or position of certain natural useful gathering in given compound. What’s more surface examination assumes imperative part in material studies to get surface related physical properties, for example, geography, profundity profiling, introduction of atom and so forth. Concoction examination has some fundamental strides like, decision of system, testing, preparatory specimen treatment, partitions, last estimation and appraisal of results. It is with first step viz decision of system, consideration ought to be practiced to choose best possible instrument to do productive examination. Wrong choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Wrong choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Wrong choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Wrong choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Wrong choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Wrong choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Rights choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination. Rights choice as of right now will prompt good for nothing examination.
difficulty is short of imminent in equilibrium among wanted and previous understanding to carry out sufficient optimization lesson and enhancement in acquaintance gained through such lesson.  

An official analytical method is used to assess characterized normal for medication substance or medication item. Option logical technique is proposed by candidate for utilization rather than administrative systematic method. Security testing structures imperative piece of procedure of medication item advancement. reason for soundness testing is to give prove on how nature of medication substance or medication item differs with time affected by mixed bag of ecological components, for example, temperature, moistness, light and empowers suggestion of capacity conditions, retest periods and timeframes of realistic usability to be set up. Two primary parts of medication item that assume vital part in timeframe of realistic usability determination are test of dynamic medication and degrades created, amid soundness study. Cutting edge techniques for decision for quantitative examination are UV, HPLC, GC, GCMS, LCMS and HPTLC which are very advanced. Chromatographic routines are normally utilized as part of administrative labs for subjective and quantitative examination of medication substances, drug items, crude materials and natural examples all through all periods of medication advancement from exploration to quality control. Superior fluid chromatography (HPLC) is quickest developing diagnostic strategy for investigation of medications, its effortlessness, high specificity and extensive variety of affectability make it perfect for examination of numerous medications in both measurement shapes and organic liquids. High performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC) is classical separative technique that has enjoyed wide spread popularity particularly in analysis of complex mixtures of natural origin. Now-a-days HPTLC is turning into routine investigative method because of its preferences of low working expense, high specimen throughput and requirement for least example clean-up. significant point of preference of HPTLC is that few specimens can be run at same time utilizing little amount of versatile stage not at all like HPLC, in this way bringing down examination time and expense per investigation.  

Chromatography  
Today, chromatographic techniques have little to do with the separation of color (the technique names evolved from the earliest work of separating dyes or plant pigments on paper), but do involve the separation of compounds in a sample mixture. A number of types of separation methods have developed over the years to accommodate the various physical and chemical states of sample mixtures one may be interested in separating and analyzing. The feature that distinguishes chromatography from most other physical and chemical methods of separation is that, two mutually immiscible phases brought into contact; one phase is stationary and other mobile. The mobile phase can be gas or a liquid, where as the stationary phase can only be a liquid or a solid. When the separation involves predominantly a simple portioning between two immiscible liquid phases, one stationary and other mobile, the process is called liquid-liquid chromatography. When physical surface forces are mainly involved in the retentive ability of the stationary phase, the process is denoted as liquid solid chromatography. Liquid chromatography has been performed in a column or on an open bed.  

Also known as Aspirin, acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) is a commonly used drug for the treatment of pain and fever due to various causes. Acetylsalicylic acid has both anti-inflammatory and antipyretic effects. This drug also inhibits platelet aggregation and is used in the prevention of blood clots stroke, and myocardial infarction (MI).  

Molecular formula: C9H8O4s  
Molecular weight: 180.1574 g/mol  
Chemical name: 2-(acetyloxy) benzoic acid  
Synonym: Acid acetyl salicylique  
Physical Description: Solid  

Domperidone  
Description:  
A specific blocker of dopamine receptors. It speeds gastrointestinal peristalsis, causes prolactin release, and is used as an antiemetic and tool in the study of dopaminergic mechanisms.  

Molecular formula: C22H24ClN5O2  
Molecular weight: 425.911 g/mol  
Chemical name: 5-chloro-1- [1-3-(2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-1-yl)propyl]piperidin-4-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-2-one  
Physical Description: Solid  

Identification and Characterization of drugs  
IR spectrum of Aspirin and Domperidone:  
The concentration of the sample in KBr should be in the range of 0.2% to 1 %. The pellet is much thicker than a liquid film, hence a lower concentration in the sample is required (Beer’s Law). For the die set that you will be using, about 80 mg of the mixture is needed. Too high of a concentration causes usually difficulties to obtain clear pellets. This pellet keeps into the sample cell and scanned between 4000-400 cm and IR spectra is obtained.  

Solubility:  
Solubility of the drug was determined by taking some quantity of drug (about 1-2 mg) in the test tube separately and added the 5 ml of the solvent (water, methanol, 0.1 N HCl, 0.1 N NaOH, acetonitrile) Shake vigorously and kept for some time. Note the solubility of the drug in various solvents (at room temperature).  

Melting point:  
Procedure for determine melting point:  
A little quantity of Aspirin and Domperidone were set into a fusion tube. That tube was set in the melting point deciding contraption (Chemline, CL-725) containing castor oil. The temperature of the castor oil was progressive expanded consequently and read the temperature at which powder began to liquefy and the temperature when all the powder gets softened.  

Determination of λ max of Aspirin and Domperidone:  
The λ max of Aspirin and Domperidone were determined by running the spectrum of drug solution in double beam ultraviolet spectrophotometer.  

Method development of Aspirin and Domperidone:  
Method development and validation for estimation of Aspirin and Domperidone using RP-HPLC.
Selection of Mobile Phase:
Initially to estimate Aspirin and Domperidone in fix dosage form number of mobile phase in different ratio were tried.
Taking into consideration the system suitability parameter like RT, Tailing factor, No. of theoretical plates and HETP, the mobile phase found to be most suitable for analysis was 10 mM KH₂PO₄: acetonitrile (pH 3.5 with OPA) in the ratio of 20:80v/v. The mobile phase was filtered through 0.45 μ filter paper to remove particulate matter and then degassed by sonication. Flow rate employed for analysis was 1.0 ml/min.

Procedure for preparation of mobile phase:
10 mM KH₂PO₄: acetonitrile (pH 3.5) in the ratio of 20:80v/v, pH 3.0 with Ortho phosphoric acid. Filtered through 0.45 μ filter paper.

Selection of Diluent:
Diluent used for preparation of sample were compatible with mobile phase and no any significant affect retention and resolution of analyte. After various trials Acetonitrile was used as diluents.

Selection of separation variable:
1. Preparation of standard Stock solution Accurately weighed 10 mg of Aspirin and Domperidone was transferred into 10 ml volumetric flasks separately and dissolved in 5 ml of acetonitrile and sonicate for 10 min., then volume was made up to 10 ml with acetonitrile. Concentration of Aspirin and Domperidone in acetonitrile was 1000μg/ml. (stock- A)
2. Preparation of Sub Stock Solution 1 ml of solution was taken from stock- A of Aspirin and Domperidone and transferred into 10 ml volumetric flask separately and diluted up to 10 ml with diluent (Acetonitrile) to give concentration of 100μg/ml (Stock- B).
3. Preparation of Different Solution 1ml, 2ml, 3ml, 4ml and 5ml of stock-B was taken separately in 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up to 10ml with (Acetonitrile). This gives the solutions of 10 µg/ml, 20 µg/ml, 30 µg/ml, 40 µg/ml, 50µg/ml for Aspirin. In same manner 1µg/ml, 2µg/ml, 3µg/ml, 4µg/ml, 5µg/ml of Domperidone also prepared.

System Suitability Parameters:
Separation variables were set and mobile phase was allowed to saturate the column at 1.00 ml/min. After complete saturation of column, three replicates of working standard of Domperidone 5 µg/ml and 50 µg/ml Aspirin was injected separately. Peak report and column performance report were recorded for all chromatogram.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Identification and Characterization of drugs
IR spectrum of Aspirin and Domperidone:
The IR spectrum of sample drug shows the peak values which are characteristics of the drug and the graph were shown in figure 1-2.
Solubility:
Solubility of drug was observed by dissolving them in different solvents.

| Solvent  | Results of Solubility |
|----------|-----------------------|
| Aspirin  | Domperidone           |
| Water    | Soluble               | Slightly Soluble     |
| 0.1N HCl | Soluble               | Soluble              |
| 0.1N NaOH| Insoluble             | Soluble              |
| Methanol | Freely soluble        | Freely soluble       |
| Acetonitrile | Soluble   | Soluble              |

Figure 1: FT-IR Spectrum of Pure Drug (Aspirin)
Melting point:
Melting point of Aspirin and Domperidone was found 132-133°C and 240-241°C respectively through Melting point apparatus.

Determination of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of Aspirin and Domperidone:
Standard solution (10 µg/ml) of Aspirin and Domperidone was prepared. The pure drug solution was scanned on UV spectrophotometer, and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ was determined.

Method development of Aspirin and Domperidone:
Method development and validation for estimation of Aspirin and Domperidone using RP-HPLC.

Selection of Mobile Phase:
Initially to estimate Aspirin and Domperidone in fixed dosage form number of mobile phase in different ratio were tried. A result was shown in Table 1.

Taking into consideration the system suitability parameter like RT, Tailing factor, No. of theoretical plates and HETP, the mobile phase found to be most suitable for analysis was 10 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$: acetonitrile (pH 3.5 with OPA) in the ratio of 20:80 v/v. The mobile phase was filtered through 0.45 µ filter paper to remove particulate matter and then degassed by sonication. Flow rate employed for analysis was 1.0 ml/min.

Procedure for preparation of mobile phase:
10 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$: acetonitrile (pH 3.5) in the ratio of 20:80 v/v, pH 3.0 with Ortho phosphoric acid. Filtered through 0.45 µ filter paper.

Table 2: Mobile Phase Selection

| Solvent           | Ratio  | Observation                   |
|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| 10 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$: acetonitrile (pH 3.5) | 20:80 v/v | Both sharp peak was observed (Most suitable) |
Selection of Diluent:
Diluent used for preparation of sample were compatible with mobile phase and no any significant affect retention and resolution of analyte. After various trials Acetonitrile was used as diluents.

Selection of separation variable:

| Variable       | Condition                                      |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Particle Size  | 5 µ                                           |
| Bonded Phase   | Octadecylsilane (C18)                         |
| Mobile Phase   | 10 mM KH₂PO₄: acetonitrile (pH 3.5)           |
| 10 mM KH₂PO₄   | 20                                            |
| Acetonitrile   | 80                                            |
| Diluent        | Acetonitrile                                  |
| Flow rate      | 1.0 ml/min                                    |
| Temperature    | Ambient                                       |
| Sample Size    | 20 µ                                          |
| Detection wavelength | 231 mm                     |
| Aspirin        | 2.112 ± 0.3 min                               |
| Domperidone    | 4.316 ± 0.3 min                               |

Preparation of standard Stock solution:
Accurately weighed 10 mg of Aspirin and Domperidone was transferred into 10 ml volumetric flasks separately and dissolved in 5 ml of acetonitrile and sonicate for 10 min., then volume was made up to 10 ml with acetonitrile. Concentration of Aspirin and Domperidone in acetonitrile was 1000µg/ml (stock- A)

Preparation of Sub Stock Solution 1 ml of solution was taken from stock-A of Aspirin and Domperidone and transferred into 10 ml volumetric flask separately and diluted up to 10 ml with diluent (Acetonitrile) to give concentration of 100µg/ml (Stock-B).

Preparation of Different Solution 1 ml, 2 ml, 3 ml, 4 ml and 5 ml of stock-B was taken separately in 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up to 10ml with (Acetonitrile). This gives the solutions of 10µg/ml, 20µg/ml, 30µg/ml, 40µg/ml, 50µg/ml for Aspirin. In same manner 1µg/ml, 2µg/ml, 3µg/ml, 4µg/ml, 5µg/ml of Domperidone also prepared.

Linearity and Calibration Graph:
To establish the linearity of analytical method, a series of dilution ranging from 10-50µg/ml was prepared for aspirin and 1-5µg/ml for Domperidone. All the solution were filtered through 0.2µm membrane filter and injected, chromatograms were recorded at 231 nm and it was repeat for three times. A calibration graph was plotted between the mean peak area and respective concentration and regression equation was derived.

![Figure 6: Calibration Curve of Aspirin](image-url)
Table 7.4: Linearity of Domperidone

| Standard Concentration µg/ml | Area under Curve (AUC) | Mean |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------|
|                            | Rep-1 | Rep-2 | Rep-3 | Rep-4 | Rep-5 | Rep-6 |
| 0                           | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| 1                           | 95.569 | 98.856 | 92.325 | 98.789 | 96.658 | 93.321 | 95.920 |
| 2                           | 186.658 | 180.125 | 189.658 | 182.325 | 175.658 | 193.321 | 184.624 |
| 3                           | 276.458 | 270.325 | 275.658 | 265.589 | 273.325 | 269.987 | 271.890 |
| 4                           | 365.458 | 360.254 | 369.987 | 345.658 | 372.325 | 360.458 | 362.357 |
| 5                           | 465.581 | 470.586 | 475.658 | 460.325 | 470.325 | 478.954 | 470.238 |

Correl Coeff ($r^2$) 0.998
Slope (m) 92.50
Intercept (c) 0.430

Figure 7: Chromatogram of Aspirin

Figure 8: Calibration Curve of Domperidone

Figure 9: Chromatogram of Domperidone
System Suitability Parameters:
Separation variables were set and mobile phase was allowed to saturate the column at 1.00 ml/min. After complete saturation of column, three replicates of working standard of Domperidone 5 µg/ml and 50 µg/ml Aspirin was injected separately. Peak report and column performance report were recorded for all chromatogram.

| System suitability Parameter | RT     | AUC       | No. of theoretical plates | Tailing factor |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Rep-1                        | 2.112  | 1170.658  | 3256                      | 1.25           |
| Rep-2                        | 2.113  | 1165.254  | 3156                      | 1.26           |
| Rep-3                        | 2.114  | 1169.987  | 3265                      | 1.32           |
| Rep-4                        | 2.114  | 1176.564  | 3156                      | 1.25           |
| Rep-5                        | 2.113  | 1175.654  | 3255                      | 1.32           |
| Rep-6                        | 2.116  | 1180.325  | 3265                      | 1.45           |
| Mean                         | 2.114  | 1173.089  | 3225.500                  | 1.308          |
| S.D.                         | 0.001  | 5.451     | 54.003                    | 0.077          |
| % R.S.D.                     | 0.065  | 0.465     | 1.674                     | 5.869          |

| System suitability Parameter | RT     | AUC       | No. of theoretical plates | Tailing factor |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Rep-1                        | 4.316  | 465.581   | 3250                      | 1.45           |
| Rep-2                        | 4.325  | 470.586   | 3150                      | 1.46           |
| Rep-3                        | 4.321  | 475.658   | 3250                      | 1.45           |
| Rep-4                        | 4.326  | 460.325   | 3050                      | 1.44           |
| Rep-5                        | 4.329  | 470.325   | 3150                      | 1.46           |
| Rep-6                        | 4.322  | 478.954   | 3250                      | 1.52           |
| Mean                         | 4.323  | 470.238   | 3183.333                  | 1.463          |
| S.D.                         | 0.005  | 6.715     | 81.650                    | 0.029          |
| % R.S.D.                     | 0.105  | 1.428     | 2.565                     | 1.965          |

CONCLUSION
In the present research work, a successful attempt was made for “Method Development and Validation for the Estimation of Domperidone and Aspirin in Bulk or Formulation Using UV and HPLC” which was developed by experimentation based on thorough literature survey and ascertained by statistical parameters of sampling. The simplicity, rapidity, accurate and reproducibility of the proposed methods completely fulfill the objective of the research work of estimation of the drugs. Liquid chromatographic system from waters comprising of manual injector, waters 515 pumps for constant flow and constant pressure delivery and UV Visible Detector connected to data ace software for controlling the instrumentation as well as processing the data generated were used. Drug sample was extracted by precipitating method using 5ml of methanol for each ml of plasma sample. The proposed methods were found to be linear with correlation coefficient close to one. Precision was determined by repeatability, Intermediate precision and reproducibility of the drugs. The robustness of developed method was checked by changing in the deliberate variation in solvent. The result obtained shows the developed methods to be Cost effective, Rapid (Short retention time), Simple, Accurate (the value of SD and % RSD less than 2), Precise and can be successfully employed in the routine analysis of these drugs in bulk drug as well as in tablet dosage form. The Simplicity, Rapidly and Reproducibility of the proposed method completely fulfill the objective of this research work.
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