Progressive balloon dilatation following hepaticojejunostomy improves outcome of bile duct stricture after iatrogenic biliary injury
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Abstract

Background: Iatrogenic biliary stricture (IBS) is a disastrous complication of cholecystectomy. Although the endoscopic treatments are well accepted as initial attempts for IBS, surgical hepaticojejunostomy (HJ) is often necessary for a considerable proportion of patients. However, the anastomotic stricture after HJ also occurs.

Methods: In the present study, a new procedure, progressive balloon dilation following HJ (HJPBD), was designed and utilized in the IBS treatment. We retrospectively compared HJPBD with the traditional HJ in term of the outcomes when used for IBS treatment.

Results: Between January 1997 and December 2009, 112 patients with IBS attributed to cholecystectomy enrolled in our hospital were treated with surgical reconstruction with either HJ (n=58) or HJPBD (n=54). Of the 58 patients in HJ group, 48 patients (82.8%) had a successful outcome, while 52 out of 54 patients (96.3%) in HJPBD group achieved success. The successful surgical reconstruction rates were significantly different between these two groups, with a further improved outcome in patient undergone progressive balloon dilation following HJ. Additionally, 8 of the 10 failure cases in HJ group were successfully rescued by HJPBD procedure.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that the new procedure of HJPBD could be successfully applied to IBS patients, and significantly improve the outcome of IBS reconstruction.
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Background

Bile duct injury (BDI) occurs in a non-negligible proportion of patients who undergo cholecystectomy, especially laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) [1-3]. Delayed detection and inappropriate treatment of BDI post cholecystectomy are often complicated by biliary strictures. This type of bile duct stricture is treated non-surgically or by surgical hepaticojejunostomy (HJ). Endoscopic or radiologic interventions have often been initially attempted, but in vain in a considerable number of patients because of failure of guide-wire passage through the stricture site [4-6]. Even when the endoscopic procedure or radiologic interventions are successful, strictures recur in a considerable number of patients. So, at these circumstances, surgical hepaticojejunostomy become necessary.

The outcome of HJ for iatrogenic biliary stricture (IBS) is usually favorable, but a small proportion of patients suffer anastomotic stricture and recurrent bile duct stricture [7,8]. Thus, to reduce the occurrence rate of anastomotic stricture or recurrent bile duct stricture, several issues regarding HJ for IBS have been proposed and still waiting for solutions [9,10]. The questions that are often raised include: (1) when is the optimal time for operation (earlier or later?); and (2) which condition gives higher successful rate of HJ (disappearance of inflammatory edema or dilation of the proximal bile duct?). At present, HJ for IBS is often performed after the subsidence of inflammation and the dilation of proximal bile duct. The long-term success rates of HJ for IBS are reported ranging from 80%-90% [11,12]. Although only a small proportion of patients suffer failure, it remains unacceptable, because of the repeated
cholangitis, biliary cirrhosis or even death just caused by what seems to be a simple operation, cholecystectomy.

In order to further improve the outcome of HJ for IBS, since 1997, we have designed and utilized a new procedure, progressive balloon dilatation following HJ (HJPBD), which was performed no mattering whether the bile duct is dilated or not [13]. In the current study, we retrospectively compare traditional HJ with HJPBD, in term of the technical complications and long-term outcome of patients with IBS. Our data showed that the new procedure HJPBD significantly improves outcome of IBS repair compared with traditional surgical procedures.

**Methods**

**Patients**

Between January 1997 and December 2009, 208 patients diagnosed with biliary strictures post-cholecystectomy were enrolled in a tertiary level referral hospital in China. In addition to ultrasonogram of the abdomen, endoscopic retrograde cholangiography, magnetic resonance cholangiography or percutaneous tranhepatic cholangiography were performed for the assessment of strictures. Some patients had multiple cholangiograms. Ninety six patients with IBS who were managed conservatively or with endoscopic or radiological intervention were enrolled in a tertiary level referral hospital in China. In order to further improve the outcome of HJ for IBS, since 1997, we have designed and utilized a new procedure, progressive balloon dilatation following HJ (HJPBD), which was performed no mattering whether the bile duct is dilated or not [13]. In the current study, we retrospectively compare traditional HJ with HJPBD, in term of the technical complications and long-term outcome of patients with IBS. Our data showed that the new procedure HJPBD significantly improves outcome of IBS repair compared with traditional surgical procedures.

**Surgical procedure**

Roux-en-Y HJ was the standard surgical repair. In patients treated with abdominal drainages, definitive operations were performed after 1 month’ drainages. During the operation, scars and granulation tissues of the injured bile duct were dissected, the distal end of the injured bile duct was closed, and the intact proximal end of the bile duct was opened with “Y”-shape incision which extended to the left and right hepatic bile ducts. Through the “Y” incision, three duct branches could be seen (two of the right hepatic bile ducts and one of the left hepatic bile duct). The reconstructed hepatic bile duct was then sutured with the jejunum by end-to-side anastomosis. A “T” stent was placed into the left and right hepatic bile ducts and sewed on internal wall of the bile ducts to ensure its position for 6 months. In HJPBD group, two trans-anastomotic balloons were inserted and fixed with the “T” stent, Figures 1 and 2.

**Progressive balloon dilation**

The balloon (Cook Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA) placed at the anastomosis has a length of 30-mm in column shape with a diameter of 1.8 mm and 10 mm before and after insufflation, respectively. One week after operation, the balloon was insufflated in a slow pace to avoid laceration to the bile duct. Dilation was done 4 times each day and maintained for 2 to 4 hours each time. The initial volume of insufflations varied due to different severity of biliary stricture. The volume and pressure required for insufflation were mainly based on the subjective tolerance of the pain caused by dilatation, and gradually reached to the maximal volume of about 10 ml within 2 months post-operation. During the third month post-operation, the balloon insufflation was kept with the maximal volume.
for 4 hours each time and 4 times per day. In this way, the bile duct was kept in a dilated state in 2/3 time daily, and in the rest of time the balloon was decompressed to let the bile draining. The patients were trained to be familiar with the performance of dilatation during hospitalization, and the dilatation should be performed by patients themselves out of hospitalization. At the end of the third month after surgical reconstruction, the "T" stent and decompressed balloon were removed after checking the anastomosis junction and portal bile duct using cholangiography.

Follow-up data and evaluation criteria
Follow-up information was collected by outpatient visits, mailed questionnaires, and telephonic interviews. Follow-up evaluation was done by clinical history and examination, liver function tests (LFT), and US. Patients who were eligible for a minimum follow-up of 2 years at the time of analysis (Dec 2011) were included in the study. Outcome of surgical repair was stratified into four grades as previously described by McDonald [14]: Grades A (asymptomatic, normal LFT), B (asymptomatic, mild LFT derangement), C (pain, cholangitis defined as fever with jaundice, and abnormal LFT), and D (surgical revision or dilatation required). Patients with grade A and B were classified as treatment successes, while patients with grade C and D were classified as failures.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 13.0 for Windows (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SPSS, Munich, Germany). Continuous variables in the 2 groups were analyzed with a Student t test or Mann–Whitney U test according to the continuous data with normal or non-normal distributions. Differences in categorical variables were analyzed by \( \chi^2 \) and Fisher’s exact tests. A 2-tailed \( P \) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Patient characteristics
One hundred and twelve patients with IBS were included in the present study. There was no difference in baseline characteristics between HJ and HJPBD groups, Table 1. Of them 51 patients were males and 61 were females aged from 13 to 74 years old. In the 112 patients, a half of patients (56 out of 112) have not suffered episode of cholangitis indicating by lack of chills, fever and jaundice. Of the 112 IBS patients, 29 had undergone open cholecystectomy (OC) and 83 had undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC).

Bile duct injuries were observed in 66 patients within 7 days post-cholecystectomy, and had been initially treated with T tube drainage (n =23), bile duct end-to-end anastomosis (n=31) or local tissue repairs (n =12). The strictures were detected at 3 to 43 months after cholecystectomy. Ninety one patients with stricture were undergone at least one attempt of ERCP or PTC. Bile duct strictures were classified into five types according to their location in relationship to the hepatic duct bifurcation as previously described by Bismuth [15].

Outcomes of patients
Median follow-up was 52 (24– 156) months. There was not any significant difference between HJ and HJPBD groups regarding to operation duration time, bleeding volume, anesthesia, etc. Outcome of surgical repair was

Figure 2 Images of biliary system before and after HJPBD. A: Before HJPBD, the X-ray photography with cholangiography showed that the lesions located at the common hepatic duct stump with the ceiling of the confluence was destroyed. B: Two months after HJPBD, the X-ray photography with cholangiography showed that the two balloons were still at their positions, both passing through the anastomotic stoma with one end in the enteric cavity and the other stretching into the left or right hepatic bile duct. HJPBD: progressive balloon dilation following hepaticojejunostomy.
stratified into four grades as previously described by McDonald [14], and the patients with grade A and B were classified as treatment successes, while patients with grade C and D were classified as failures. Of the 58 patients in HJ group, 48 patients (82.8%) had successful outcome, while 52 out of 54 patients (96.3%) in HJPBD group achieved success. Moreover, in long-term follow-up, patients achieving grades A (asymptomatic, normal LFT) account more in HJPBD group than that in HJ group, Table 2.

Statistic analysis showed that the successful surgical reconstruction rates were significantly different between these two groups (p<0.05), with a further improved outcome in patient undergone progressive balloon dilation following HJ.

Additionally, of the 10 failure cases in HJ group, 1 patient suffered death (due to repeated episodes of cholangitis and subsequent liver cirrhosis), and the other 9 patients received HJPBD procedure with 8 patients successfully rescued. Overall the procedure of HJPBD failed in 3 patients, of whom one received PTC, one underwent second HJPBD and another underwent transplantation.

The occurrence of biliary complications after surgical reconstruction was also analyzed. While the incidences of cholangitis, bile leakage and biliary bleeding were comparable between the two groups, the restenosis occurred more frequently in HJ group than in HJPBD group (12.07% versus 1.85%), Table 3. The results suggested that the performance of the new procedure could reduce the incidence of bile duct restenosis without increasing the other biliary complications.

### Discussion

Since the widespread of LC, iatrogenic biliary stricture (IBS) due to cholecystectomy appears more frequently, and its treatment becomes a challenging topic for hepatobiliary surgeons. Although endoscopic treatments play an important role in the IBS therapy, a considerable portion of patients still need surgical hepaticojejunostomy (HJ) [16]. At present, HJ for IBS is often performed after the subsidence of inflammation and the dilation of proximal bile duct [15,17]. Subsidence of inflammation is necessary for operation, and one month is often sufficient for the inflammation recovery through appropriate treatment. However, it remains to be addressed how to gain satisfactory dilation and whether it is feasible to perform HJ without dilation of proximal bile duct.

It is generally believed that the extra-hepatic bile duct with smaller diameter is more prone to be injured during cholecystectomy [18,19]. For these patients, the success rate of HJ is relatively lower if the proximal bile duct segments of the stenosis are not dilated, circumstances that are present in more than half of patients. So it is necessary to take proper steps to gain satisfactory dilation for these patients. However, it is difficult to obtain a satisfactory dilated bile duct (>10mm) in clinical practice. As forceful dilation of bile duct during operation is not practical since it is easy to lacerate the bile duct, 3 to 6 months ‘passive dilatation before operation is recommended to make it easy for anastomosis and to reduce the recurrence rate of strictures [20]. However, the long time waiting for the dilation is sometimes unacceptable, and during this period, more treatments will be proposed. Even if patients could endure to the end of the procedure for the dilation of the bile duct,
there are still a considerable number of patients that
could not be beneficiated with satisfactory dilation of
bile ducts.

To avoid the passive waiting for the dilation of bile
duct before HJ, we designed a positive dilation procedure
after HJ, based on the principle of subcutaneous balloon
dilation to obtain skin flap in the facial orthopedic
operation. In the current study, we performed HJ after
the subsidence of inflammation, no matter whether the bile
ducts were dilated or not dilated, and simultaneously
inserted a flexible balloon, which could progressively dilate
the anastomotic site. With a follow-up of 2 to 13 years, we
observed a better long-term outcome for IBS treatment
with this new procedure comparing with traditional HJ. We
found that the anastomosis site can be dilated enough by
progressively dilating with the balloon for three months
after surgery. Additionally, we found the anastomosis site
would not shrink back after dilated, probably because of
the balloon prop during the period of wound healing and
tissue remodeling at the site of anastomosis. However, this
exciting outcome need to be further confirmed by following
randomized-controlled trial which had been initiated by us.
Meanwhile, the role and mechanisms of progressive balloon
dilation in bile duct tissue remodeling should be further
investigated in animal models.

Complications of progressive balloon dilation include
balloon loosing, broken and air leaking, which all influence
the outcome of IBS treatment. Therefore, a careful
caution should be taken during the procedure. Since
subjective turgid feeling is the best indication to control
the volume for balloon insufflation, patients should be
informed how to do balloon insufflations. Patients could
insufflate balloon by themselves and control insufflation
volume and pressure based on their own feelings. In
addition, patients should know how to take care of the
balloon to avoid slippage or damage to it.

Conclusions
In conclusion, our findings suggest that the new procedure,
i.e. earlier hepaticojejunostomy followed by progressive
balloon dilation, could be successfully applied to IBS
patients and significantly improved the outcome of IBS
reconstruction. Based on our observation, we tentatively
proposed that the optimal operation timing for successful
IBS reconstruction may be as early as the subsidence of
inflammation, instead of waiting for the dilatation of the
proximal segment of stricture.
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