Therapeutic activation of G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 in Waldenström Macroglobulinemia
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Abstract

Activating G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1) is an attractive therapeutic strategy for treating a variety of human diseases including cancer. Here, we show that GPER1 is significantly upregulated in tumor cells from different cohorts of Waldenström Macroglobulinemia (WM) patients compared to normal B cells. Using the clinically applicable GPER1-selective small-molecule agonist G-1 (also named Tespria), we found that pharmacological activation of GPER1 leads to G2/M cell cycle arrest and apoptosis both in vitro and in vivo in animal models, even in the context of the protective bone marrow milieu. Activation of GPER1 triggered the TP53 pathway, which remains actionable during WM progression. Thus, this study identifies a novel therapeutic target in WM and paves the way for the clinical development of the GPER1 agonist G-1.

To the Editor,

Waldenström Macroglobulinemia (WM) is a rare hematologic malignancy characterized by the accumulation of IgM-secreting lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma cells within a permissive bone marrow microenvironment [1]. Activating mutations in MYD88 are present in 93–97% of WM patients [1], while the tumor suppressor TP53 remains unaffected in most patients and thus susceptible to intervention [2]. Only a few WM patients achieve complete remission with the current standard-of-care treatments, highlighting the need for novel therapies.

G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1) is a membrane estrogen receptor that regulates cell growth, migration, apoptotic cell death, and other cancer-related biological functions [1, 3–7]. Its pharmacological activation by the selective small-molecule agonist G-1 or its enantiomer LNS8801 is emerging as an attractive therapeutic strategy in human malignancies [5–8], as increasing GPER1 activity frequently increases p53 expression [9]. Therefore, we investigated GPER1 and its pharmacologic activation in WM.

GPER1 is upregulated in WM

We used RNA-seq to analyze the expression of GPER1 mRNA in CD19+ cells from WM patients (n = 72) and in healthy donor–derived B cells. These latter included
CD19+/CD27+ B cells \((n=9)\), CD19+/CD27+ B cells \((n=9)\) and CD138+ plasma cells \((n=16)\). We found a remarkable upregulation of GPER1 in WM (Fig. 1A). Moreover, by analyzing clinically relevant patient subgroups [1], we observed higher GPER1 expression in patients carrying activating mutations in MYD88 and a wild-type CXCR4 gene (Fig. 1B). We further validated GPER1 mRNA upregulation by querying the GSE9656 and GSE61597 datasets of WM patients (Additional file 1: Fig. S1A, B). Moreover, we confirmed the upregulation of GPER1 protein expression by IHC analysis of lymph node samples derived from WM patients compared to healthy donors (Fig. 1C). Finally, we showed that BCWM-1 and MWCL-1 WM cell lines express GPER1 mRNA and protein similarly to the positive control breast cancer cell line MCF7(Additional file 1: Fig. S2A, B).

**Pharmacological activation of GPER1 antagonizes tumor cell growth in WM, both in vitro and in vivo**

We next studied the effect of GPER1 pharmacological manipulation using selective agonist (G-1) and antagonist (G-36 and G-15) [10]. We found that low-micromolar G-1 concentrations reduced the growth (Fig. 1D) and clonogenicity (Fig. 1E) of BCWM-1 and MWCL-1 WM cell lines. These effects were abrogated after genetic silencing of GPER1, confirming on-target activity (Fig. 1F). G-1 antagonized the growth of CD19+ cells from three WM patients (Fig. 1G) while sparing B cells from healthy donors (Additional file 1: Fig. S2C). A treatment cycle with G-1 resulted in a significant reduction of tumor growth in a clinically relevant BCWM-1 xenograft model (Fig. 1H), and prolonged animal survival (Fig. 1I). On the other hand, GPER1 antagonists G-36 and G-15 promoted the survival of BCWM-1 and MWCL-1 cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S2D).

**Pharmacological activation of GPER1 triggers the TP53 pathway in WM**

In WM cells treated with G-1, a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) found activation of the TP53 (p53) pathway (Fig. 2A), which was further confirmed using a reporter assay measuring p53 transcriptional activity (Fig. 2B). Consistently, G-1 increased the protein expression of p53 and its targets p21, BAX, BAD, and PUMA in BCWM-1 cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S2A) and CD19+ cells from a WM patient (Fig. 2C). Increased p53 protein expression was also observed in tumors retrieved from a SCID/NOD mouse treated with G-1 (Fig. 2D). Importantly, genetic silencing of p53 significantly antagonized the growth inhibitory effects of G-1 in BCWM-1 cells (Fig. 2E).

**Pharmacological activation of GPER1 induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in WM**

G-1 promoted a dose-dependent accumulation of BCWM-1 cells in the G2/M phase (Fig. 2F), with a concomitant increase in the expression of mitotic protein cyclin B1 (Additional file 1: Fig. S3B). G-1 also increased annexin V binding (Fig. 2G) and caspase 3/7 activity (Additional file 1: Fig. S3C), which are markers of apoptotic cell death. Apoptosis was further confirmed by WB analysis of cleaved PARP, caspase 3 and 7 (Additional file 1: Fig. S3D), and by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealing the appearance of typical apoptotic features (Fig. 2H). IHC analysis highlighted an increase in the expression of caspase 3 in BCWM-1 xenografts retrieved from mice treated with G-1 (Fig. 2I). The anti-WM activity of G-1 was maintained even in the presence of protective bone marrow...
Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)
Fig. 2  GPER1 pharmacological activation inhibits cell cycle progression and triggers apoptosis via inducing the p53 pathway. **A** GSEA performed 48 h after treatment with 1 μM G-1. **B** A p53 luminometric reporter assay was used to evaluate p53 transcriptional activity in G-1-treated BCWM-1 cells. **C** WB analysis of p53, p21, BAX, and PUMA in primary CD19+ WM cells treated with G-1 for 24 h. **D** Immunohistochemical staining for p53 (×20) in tumors sectioned on day 21 from vehicle- or G-1 [1 mg/kg] treated mice. Photographs are representative of one mouse receiving each treatment. **E** BCWM-1 cells were transfected with scrambled siRNAs (siCNT) or p53 targeting siRNAs and, after 24 h, were treated with vehicle or 1 μM G-1 for an additional 24 h and assessed for cell viability by CTG assay. WB analysis reports p53 knock-down in siP3-transfected cells. **F** FACS analysis of cell cycle phases of BCWM-1 cells 24 h after treatment with vehicle or G-1. **G** Annexin V staining of BCWM-1 cells 24 h after treatment with vehicle or G-1. **H** TEM analysis of BCWM-1 cells treated with G-1 (1 μM) or DMSO (NC). Control cells appear well-preserved with intact mitochondria, orderly chromatin folding and a clear nuclear membrane. Apoptotic cells become pyknotic with many electron-transparent vacuoles (V), chromatin (arrowhead) and cytoplasm condensation (increase in electron density of cytoplasmic matrix and organelles) and formation of apoptotic bodies (A). **I** Immunohistochemical staining for caspase 3 (×20) in tumors sectioned on day 21 from vehicle- or G-1 [1 mg/kg] treated mice. **J** Table showing combination indexes resulting from combinatorial treatments of BCWM-1 with G-1 and bortezomib (24 h time point). **K** WB analysis of p53, p21 and caspase 3 in BCWM-1 cells treated with [0.5 μM] G-1 and 10 nM bortezomib (BZ).
stromal cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S3E). Combining G-1 with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, a clinically active compound that activates p53 in tumor cells [11, 12], led to synergistic anti-proliferative activity (Fig. 2), along with a synergistic activation of p53 target p21 and cleaved caspase 3 (Fig. 2K).

Conclusion
This study shows GPER1 is a novel actionable target in WM, providing the framework for translation of G-1 to clinical trials.
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