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Abstract
The function, regulation and cellular distribution of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits have been extensively documented in the adult rodent brain and are linked to numerous neurological disorders. However, there is a surprising lack of knowledge on the cellular (sub-) distribution of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits and of their expressional regulation in developing healthy and diseased foetal human brains. To propose a role for GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits in neurodevelopmental disorders, we studied the developing hippocampus of normal and Down syndrome foetuses. Among the α1–3 and γ2 subunits probed, we find significantly altered expression profiles of the α1, α3 and γ2 subunits in developing Down syndrome hippocampi, with the α3 subunit being most affected. α3 subunits were selectively down-regulated in all hippocampal subfields and developmental periods tested in Down syndrome foetuses, presenting a developmental mismatch by their adult-like distribution in early foetal development. We hypothesized that increased levels of the amyloid precursor protein (APP), and particularly its neurotoxic β-amyloid (1–42) fragment, could disrupt α3 gene expression, likely by facilitating premature neuronal differentiation. Indeed, we find increased APP content in the hippocampi of the Down foetuses. In a corresponding cellular model, soluble β-amyloid (1–42) administered to cultured SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells, augmented by retinoic acid-induced differentiation towards a neuronal phenotype, displayed a reduction in α3 subunit levels. In sum, this study charts a comprehensive regional and subcellular map of key GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunits in identified neuronal populations in the hippocampus of healthy and Down syndrome foetuses and associates increased β-amyloid load with discordant down-regulation of α3 subunits.
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Introduction
γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the adult brain, which signals through GABA<sub>A</sub> and GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (GABA<sub>A/B</sub>-Rs) (Johnston et al. 1978; Wilkin et al. 1981). GABA<sub>A</sub>-Rs are ligand-gated ion channels organized by pentameric assembly from a panoply of nineteen subunits (Sieghart 1995). Although theoretically, many arrangements are possible to form a pentameric receptor, only a limited number of combinations seem to confer functionality in vivo (Olsen and Sieghart 2008). By virtue of their distinct subunit composition, GABA<sub>A</sub>-Rs show substantial diversity in their biophysical and pharmacological properties, as well as distribution throughout the brain (Belelli et al. 2009; Eyre et al. 2012; Hortnagl et al. 2013; Pirker et al. 2000; Ramerstorfer et al. 2011; Sieghart 1995; Sieghart et al. 2012; Varagic et al. 2013a, b). Their regional diversity in the adult brain allows GABA<sub>A</sub>-Rs to drive region- and cell-type-specific inhibition, underlyi
A pivotal role for GABA\(_A\)-Rs during embryonic brain development has been proposed because of their gradual enrichment from early foetal development (Cobas et al. 1991; Fiszman et al. 1993; Stojanovic et al. 2016) to gate the GABA-mediated control of cell proliferation (Martinez-Cue et al. 2013), migration (Behar et al. 2000; Heck et al. 2007) and differentiation (Cuzon et al. 2006), particularly for cortical interneurons and pyramidal cells. Since impaired GABA signaling in development and adulthood is strongly correlated with pathological states associated with excess excitation, including epilepsy (Pavlov et al. 2011), anxiety and depression (Kaloueff and Nutt 2007), a possible pathological contribution of altered GABA\(_A\)-R-mediated signalling has been posited in neurodevelopmental disorders such as Rett syndrome (Blue et al. 1999; Yamashita et al. 1998), fragile X syndrome (D’Hulst et al. 2006), Prader-Willi/Angelman syndrome (Braat and Kooy 2015) and Down syndrome (Braudeau et al. 2011; Martinez-Cue et al. 2014; Potier et al. 2014).

Down syndrome (trisomy 21) is the most common congenital cause of mental retardation with an incidence of approximately 1 in 750 births (Gardiner et al. 2010; Lott and Dierssen 2010; Parker et al. 2010). Histological studies showed that disrupted proliferation and migration of neurons and glial cells in human foetal brains with Down syndrome is associated with reduced hippocampal and cortical volume, delamination and delayed myelination (Abraham et al. 2012; Contestabile et al. 2007; Golden and Hyman 1994; Guidi et al. 2008; Kanaumi et al. 2013; Larsen et al. 2008). Recent studies in mouse models of Down syndrome showed that excess inhibition through GABA\(_A\)-Rs could underlie morphological deficits and the ensuing cognitive decline (Braudeau et al. 2011; Fernandez et al. 2007; Martinez-Cue et al. 2013). As such, RO4938581, a GABA\(_A\)-R \(\alpha5\) subunit-specific negative allosteric modulator, rescued these learning and memory deficits (Martinez-Cue et al. 2013). However, if the developmentally altered GABA\(_A\)-R subunit expression profiles could underlie phenotypic deficits of specific neuronal contingents in Down syndrome remain unknown.

Here we sought to investigate the distribution of \(\alpha1\), \(\alpha2\), \(\alpha3\) and \(\gamma2\) GABA\(_A\)-R subunits at the network, cellular and subcellular levels in the developing human hippocampal formation from healthy subjects and age-matched cases with Down syndrome. These particular subunits were chosen, since \(\alpha2\) and \(\alpha3\) are predominantly expressed during in-utero development in rodents with their substitution for \(\alpha1\) subunits timed for around birth (Fritschy et al. 1994). Together with their widespread pairing with the \(\gamma2\) subunit (Hortmagl et al. 2013), we probed possible alterations to the spatiotemporal hippocampal distribution in human Down syndrome foetuses and dissected cell-type-specific enrichment in mouse hippocampi. We find that the spatiotemporal expression of the \(\alpha3\) subunit is especially disrupted in Down syndrome, seen as a premature down-regulation in the CA1–CA3 subfields and dentate gyrus, mimicking an adult-like phenotype at early developmental stages. Since \(\beta\)-amyloid deposits are correlated with the cognitive decline of the ageing brain (Rodrigue et al. 2009), and Down syndrome patients have elevated \(\beta\)-amyloid(1–42) levels in plasma (Obeid et al. 2016) and present early \(\beta\)-amyloid plaque formation (Motte and Williams 1989), we hypothesized that soluble \(\beta\)-amyloid(1–42), produced from an increased pool of amyloid precursor protein (APP) encoded on chromosome 21 (Korenberg et al. 1989) and linked to cellular growth responses (Freude et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2009), is responsible for the adult-like phenotype observed in late-gestational foetuses. Indeed, in our cell culture models, including human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells, we find that soluble \(\beta\)-amyloid(1–42) promotes the progression of differentiating neuroblasts towards a neuron-like phenotype with coincident down-regulation of \(\alpha3\) subunits. This suggests that \(\beta\)-amyloid(1–42) might partake in imposing premature maturation events in the brains of Down syndrome subjects.

**Methods**

**Human tissue collection and cataloguing**

We collected 28 cases with diagnosed Down syndrome (by karyotyping) and 24 age-matched cases of normal brain development and adulthood at the Brain Bank of the Institute of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria (Nr. 1316/2012). Foetal brain tissue was obtained from spontaneous or medically induced abortions with their parameters published previously (Milenkovic et al. 2017). Only brains of foetuses [15–34 gestational weeks (GW)], infants (up to 6 months of the early postnatal period) and adults (15–62 years) whose cause of death was unrelated to other genetic disorders, head injury, neurological diseases or other known diseases (e.g. infections) were included. Exclusion criteria were other chromosome aberrations, major CNS malformations, as well as brains with post-mortem autolysis, severe hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy, intraventricular haemorrhages, severe hydrocephalus, meningitis or ventriculitis (Supplementary Table 1). Biological periods were defined according to paediatric guidelines (Kanaumi et al. 2013): period 1 included early pregnancy period [14–16 gestational weeks (GW)], period 2 spanned the middle pregnancy period (16–28 GW), period 3 corresponded to the late pregnancy period (28 GW onwards, newborns and babies) and adults (15–62 years). Adult cases were used for photographic
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illustrations, but excluded from our statistical evaluation. Tissue was obtained and used according to the Declaration of Helsinki and compatible institutional guidelines (Kanaumi et al. 2013).

**Foetal tissue processing for immunohistochemistry**

Foetal tissues were kept at 4°C for 24–48 h due to local regulations before immersion fixation in formalin (10%). Tissues were kept in formalin on average for 34–37 days before embedding in paraffin. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks containing the hippocampus and temporal and insular cortices were cut in the coronal plane at 3-μm thickness and mounted on gelatin pre-coated glass slides (Star Frost). Anatomical regions were delineated according to the atlas of human central nervous system development (Bayer and Altman 2005) on hematoxylin–eosin-stained specimens. The immunohistochemical staining methods used here were described previously (Alpar et al. 2014; Kanaumi et al. 2013). In brief, following deparaffinization and rehydration, sections were preincubated either in low pH EnVision™ FLEX antigen retrieval solution at 98°C for 20 min (BD24 and α3) or with high pH (α2 and γ2), and subsequently manually stained overnight with antibody concentrations listed in Supplementary Table 3. DAKO’s EnVision detection kit was used to visualize the horseradish peroxidase/3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) reaction with H2O2 substrate (0.01%; DAKO). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin–eosin, dehydrated in ascending concentrations of ethanol, cleared with xylene and covered with Consil-Mount (Thermo Scientific). Sections were inspected on a Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope.

For mouse tissues, sections were blocked with 5% normal donkey serum (NDS; Jackson), 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma) and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS. Next, sections were incubated with select combinations of primary antibodies (Supplementary Table 3) in 2% NDS, 0.1% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS at 4°C for 72 h. Secondary antibodies were applied at a concentration of 1:300 at 22–24°C for 2 h with 2% BSA in PBS. Sections were routinely counterstained with Hoechst 33,342 (Sigma), a nuclear marker, before being coverslipped with Entellan (in toluene; Merck).

**Animal tissue**

Tissue collection from live animals conformed to the 2010/63/EU directive and was approved by the Austrian Ministry of Science and Research (66.009/0145-WF/II/3b/2014). Particular care was taken to minimize the number and suffering of experimental subjects. Adult mice [C57BL/6J and GAD67Δfpl/+ (Tamamaki et al. 2003)] were sedated with isoflurane (5%) and subsequently humanely killed by cervical dislocation for fresh foetal tissue collection or transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M PB (pH 7.4) for immunohistochemistry (n = 2–3 per experiment). The brains were dissected, post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight and subsequently cryoprotected in 30% sucrose for at least 48 h before being cryosectioned (Leica CM 1850 UV) at 50 μm thickness (free-floating sections) in phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 M PBS; pH 7.4) and processed for immunohistochemistry (Alpar et al. 2014). Neonatal mice [C57BL/6J and CCK<sup>BAC/DsRed</sup>::GAD67Δfpl/+ (Calvigioni et al. 2017)] were decapitated with their brains’ immersion fixed in 4% PFA overnight. Brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose and freeze–thaw cryosectioned at 20 μm thickness onto electrically charged glass slides (SuperFrost Plus).

**Primary neuronal and SH-SY5Y cultures**

Foetal hippocampal neurons were obtained (Alpar et al. 2014) by harvesting embryos from C57BL/6NRj pregnant mice on embryonic day (E)18.5. Tissues were dissociated in 0.1% trypsin (Gibco) and DNase Type 1 (Sigma) in DMEM (Invitrogen) for 5 min at 37°C. Dissociated cells were washed with 0.4% BSA in DMEM followed by repeated washes in Neurobasal medium containing 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Gibco), 1 mM Glutamax (Gibco) and 1× B27 supplement (Gibco). Primary neurons were grown on poly-D-lysine (PDL; Sigma)-coated glass coverslips at 37°C for 2, 4 and 7 days in vitro (DIV), and fixed with 4% PFA in PBS on ice for 15 min before being processed for immunohistochemistry.

SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells were maintained in DMEM/F12 (1:1) containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco), 1 mM Glutamax and penicillin–streptomycin (as above). For differentiation, SH-SY5Y cells were seeded on PDL-coated glass coverslips in full growth medium for >3 h. Subsequently, their differentiation was initiated with a growth medium containing 1% FBS and 10 μM all-trans retinoic acid (Sigma) for 7 DIV (Cheung et al. 2009). Differentiated cells were treated once (starting on day 7 and for 48 h) with synthetic β-amyloid (1–42), from 25 nM to 5 μM, custom-synthesized at the Department of Medical Chemistry, Szeged, Hungary (Bozso et al. 2010). Purified peptides were freshly dissolved in distilled water at room temperature, sonicated and stored at −20°C until use to prevent peptide aggregation (Bozso et al. 2010) at a stock concentration of 0.5 mM. β-Amyloid(1–42) was then freshly used at concentrations ranging from 25 nM to 5 μM (Lee et al. 2013). Cell density, to mark cell survival, was monitored on an EVOS XL Core microscope (Thermo Scientific).
The GABA_A receptor subunit-specific antibodies used in and specificity
Antibody generation, characterization

Brain tissues and cultured cells were collected in lysis buffer containing (in mM): 25 HEPES (Sigma), 1 EDTA (Sigma), 6 MgCl2 (Sigma), 1 DTT (Sigma) and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (EDTA Free; Roche) and disrupted by ultrasonication (5 pulses, 50% intensity; Bandelin Electronic). Protein concentrations were measured on a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher) and diluted, if necessary, to 1 μg/μL. Samples were resolved on a 13% SDS-containing gel cartridge (20 μg protein load) in an Amersham WB system (GE Healthcare). Primary antibody concentrations are referred to in Supplementary Table 3. Secondary anti-rabbit and anti-mouse antibodies were used at a concentration of 1:2500. Protein prevalence was analysed with the Amersham WB software package with total protein labelled by carbocyanine-5 in bulk as loading control. Fresh–frozen hippocampal tissue from adult subjects for antibody validation was provided by the Brain Bank of the Institute of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria, and processed similarly.

Antibody generation, characterization and specificity

The GABA_A receptor subunit-specific antibodies used in this study were generated earlier in the laboratory of Dr. W. Sieghart (Hortnagl et al. 2013; Milenkovic et al. 2013; Sieghart 1995; Sieghart and Sperk 2002; Stojanovic et al. 2016). They were characterized extensively for specificity on knock-out brain lysates with western blot (Milenkovic et al. 2013), on knock-out brain sections with immunohistochemistry (Pirker et al. 2003; Zimprich et al. 1991) and displayed similar staining patterns as reported by others using alternative antibody sources (Waldvogel et al. 1999, 2004, 2008). Polyclonal antibodies against GABA_A receptor subunits α2, α3 and γ2 were raised in rabbits using maltose-binding protein (MBP) fusion proteins produced in

The commercially available monoclonal anti-α1 antibody (BD24; Millipore, 1:100) showed a similar cellular hippocampal staining pattern as previously reported (Waldvogel et al. 1999, 2004).

Image analysis

For chromogenic immunostainings, images of entire glass slides were captured with a high-resolution digital slide scanner (NanoZoomer 2.0-HT: C9600-13, Hamamatsu Photonics). Single images were exported from digitalized slides using the compatible viewer software (NDP.view, NanoZoomer Digital Pathology Image) containing hippocampal structures: the dentate gyrus (DG), cornus ammonis 1–4 (CA1–4) subfields and subiculum. Individual layers within subfields (molecular layer (ML), granule cell layer (GL) and polymorph layer (PL) of DG; deep polymorph layer (dPL) of CA4; ventricular zone (VZ), intermediate zone (IZ), stratum oriens (SR), pyramidal cell layer (PyL), stratum radiatum (SR) and stratum lucidum (SL) of CA3; VZ, IZ, SO, PyL and SR for CA2 and CA1; VZ, IZ, PyL and ML for subiculum) were densitometrically analysed in detail. Cases of early development, where the CA1 subfield could not be separated from the subiculum, were used only to describe immunoreactivity distribution but were excluded from our statistical analysis. In addition, a white matter structure (internal capsule (IC)) was imaged to normalize signal density values. For each receptor subunit, three images at 40× magnification were exported from the CA1 and subiculum, while other smaller subregions in CA2, CA3 and the internal and external limbs of the DG were cropped out using a free-hand tool at 10× magnification. Quantitative analysis of immunoreactivity was performed in ImageJ (NIH, 1.50 g). After colour deconvolution to spectrally unmix the hematoxylin pigment (Colour Deconvolution Plugin), images were converted to 8 bit. The threshold defining immunopositivity was set uniformly for all images. Coverage of immunopositive structures was calculated for all exported images and expressed as percentage of the total surface area. Thus, potential technical bias due to different fixation and variable enzymatic DAB reactions were minimized.
For immunofluorescence, images were captured with a Zeiss LSM880 laser-scanning microscope and linearly adjusted using the ZEN2010 software (Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were tested for outliers (boxplots), normal distribution (Lilliefors test) and homogeneity of variance (Levene’s test). ANOVA univariate general linear model (GLM) was applied to ranked values of each subunit ($\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_3$, and $\gamma_2$) in all structures to determine differences between Down syndrome and control cases within individual age periods. Subsequently, post hoc analysis with Tukey’s range test (if equal variances and equal sample sizes were assumed) or Games–Howell test (if equal variances and equal sample size could not be assumed) was performed. A $p$ value of $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Values for $\alpha_3$ were not normalized, as this subunit is predominantly expressed in the white matter during foetal development (see Fig. 4), thus excluding the collection of tissue “background”. Statistical analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS version 21.0.

**Results**

**General remarks**

In this study, we investigated the distribution of developmentally prevalent $\gamma_2$, $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$ GABA$_A$ receptor subunits in the hippocampal CA regions (CA1–4), subiculum and dentate gyrus during development in human foetuses, infants and adults diagnosed with Down syndrome and age-matched controls (Supplementary Table 1). Since the migratory transition of neurons from the CA1 to the subiculum occurs gradually in period 1 (for a description of developmental periods see above), these two regions were designated as CA1/subiculum for that time (Arnold and Trojanowski 1996). To prevent stochastic staining variability (‘edge effects’), we divided the dentate gyrus into inner (DGi; continuation of CA3) and outer limbs (DGo; flanking the CA4).

**GABA$_A$R $\gamma_2$**

In periods 1 and 2, moderate $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity was noted throughout the human hippocampus, with the most notable signal localized to the CA1/subiculum (Fig. 1a, b). Although the immunoreactivity comprised mainly of neuropil labelling, we observed numerous cell populations that expressed $\gamma_2$ subunits already early during development (period 2: $n=16$ cases): cellular immunoreactivity was first detected in the CA1/subiculum [including pyramidal cells and interneurons (Fig. 1e)], later attained by other hippocampal regions. In period 3 ($n=5$ cases), many pyramidal cells in the subiculum and CA regions, as well as granule cells in the dentate gyrus were positive for $\gamma_2$ subunits (Fig. 1e1). The increase in $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity peaked in adulthood (Fig. 1d), with the most prominent increase noted in the CA1–3 regions (Fig. 1e2). $\gamma_2$ Immunoreactivity was also seen across all layers of the adult dentate gyrus and comprised both neuropil and cytoplasmic labelling (Fig. 1e3).

To verify the cellular identity of the observed $\gamma_2$ staining patterns, we tested $\gamma_2$ expression in the developing mouse hippocampus by means of multiple fluorescent immunohistochemistry. In neonates ($n=2$), $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity was mainly localized to the pyramidal layer in the CA1 and co-localized with Brn-1, a transcription factor transiently expressed in developing pyramidal cells (Alvarez-Bolado et al. 1995) (Fig. 1f, f1). In the adult dentate gyrus ($n=2$), $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity was mainly seen as neuropil labelling in the molecular layer and perisomatically in the granule cell layer. Intermingled interneurons, genetically co-labelled for GAD67 (Gad1) by in-frame GFP knock-in (Tamamaki et al. 2003), exhibited somatic $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity ($n=2$; Fig. 1g, g1).

To further examine $\gamma_2$’s subcellular localization, we cultured primary mouse neurons to identify its spatiotemporal distribution pattern during the developmental period encompassing neurite outgrowth, growth cone motility and synaptogenesis. Up to 4DIV, $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity was found indiscriminately on the perikarya, neurites and growth cones of pyramidal-like cells (Supplementary Fig. 1A, A1). In more mature cultures (7DIV) with pre-formed neuronal networks, $\gamma_2$-positive pearl–lace-like punctae were found on neuronal perikarya and processes, and were opposed by parallel-running processes, likely axons, positive for vesicle associated membrane protein 2 (VAMP2), which participates in the docking and fusion of synaptic vesicles (Washbourne et al. 1995), as well as the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT) (McIntire et al. 1997) (Supplementary Fig. 1D–D1). Occasional VGAT$^+$ interneurons were found expressing somatodendritic $\gamma_2$ subunits (Supplementary Fig. 1D2) in a punctate fashion.

The $\gamma_2$ expression pattern in early developmental periods of Down syndrome cases, periods 1 ($n=4$) and 2 ($n=17$), was comparable to that observed in age-matched controls (Fig. 1a, b vs. a1, b1). However, the amount of $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity was generally reduced in the hippocampus. A significant loss of $\gamma_2$ immunoreactivity was found in the subiculum ($F_{(1,37)}=6.627, p=0.014$), the CA1 ($F_{(1,38)}=15.008, p=0.000$), and both the internal limb ($F_{(1,30)}=7.181, p=0.012$) and the external limb ($F_{(1,30)}=5.337, p=0.028$) of the dentate gyrus (Fig. 1h,
Fig. 1 Distribution of GABAAR γ2 in normal vs. Down syndrome cases. **a-d** Overview images of foetal and adult hippocampi from representative Down syndrome cases and age-matched controls. **e–e1** GABAAR γ2 immuno-labelling was found on pyramidal cells and interneurons (arrows, e–e1) with the most prominent staining of the neuropil in the dentate gyrus in adulthood (e2, e3). **f, f1** Young pyramidal cells (arrowheads, Brn-1+) are positive for γ2 subunits in the neonatal mouse. **g, g1** Interneurons (arrows, GAD67+) negative for double cortin (DCX) in the granular cell layer harbour γ2 subunits. **h–h3** Analysis of immunoreactivity in the CA1, CA2, CA3 and inner dentate gyrus region, of GABAAR γ2 subunits throughout development in Down syndrome cases. *p < 0.05. Scalebars = 1 mm (a, a1, b, b1, c, c1) 500 μm (d, d1, f); 100 μm (e, e2); 50 μm (e); 30 μm (e3, f1, g); 15 μm (g1)
h_{3}; Supplementary Fig. 3E, E1) of Down syndrome cases \((n = 6\) control vs. \(n = 5\) Down syndrome) around birth (period 3). In turn, \(\gamma_{2}\) immunoreactivity in the CA2 and CA3 subfields was comparable between diseased and healthy cases (Fig. 1f1, f2).

**GABA_{A}R \(\alpha_{1}\)**

In the hippocampi of human foetuses examined for periods 1 and 2, the \(\alpha_{1}\) subunit was rarely detected (Fig. 2a, b). Instead, there was a prominent increase in \(\alpha_{1}\) subunit immunoreactivity in period 3 (around birth) in most hippocampal subregions during normal development, except for CA3, with further enrichment until adulthood (Fig. 2c, d). In striking contrast to weak neuropil \(\alpha_{1}\) immunoreactivity in periods 1 and 2, period 3 was characterized by \(\alpha_{1}\) labelling in cell bodies and processes of the CA1–3 pyramidal layers, as well as the granule layer of the dentate gyrus (Supplementary Fig. 3A, A1). Towards adulthood, single cells reminiscent of pyramidal cells were decorated by \(\alpha_{1}\) subunits in the pyramidal layer, predominantly in a patch-like manner along their plasmalemma. The other major group of cells positive for \(\alpha_{1}\) had densely labelled cytoplasm and processes and was located in the outer part of the pyramidal layer just beneath the stratum oriens, resembling basket cells (arrowheads, Fig. 2d, e–e2). In the stratum radiatum, interneuron-like cells were found scattered among \(\alpha_{1}\) immunoreactivity processes (Fig. 2e3). A similar pattern was observed in the subiculum (data not shown). The dentate gyrus exhibited strong neuropil immunoreactivity in its molecular layer and to a lesser extent in its granule cell layer in period 3 and particularly in adulthood (Supplementary Fig. 1B2, 1B3, 4A). Similar to other hippocampal regions and ages, strong immunoreactivity was mostly noted in interneuron-like cells (Supplementary Fig. 1B2, 1B3, 4A1).

Immunohistochemistry for the \(\alpha_{1}\) subunit in neonatal mouse hippocampus showed that pyramidal cells positive for \(\alpha_{1}\) in the pyramidal layer were mostly negative for \(Bmri\) (Fig. 2f, f1). These cells layered above \(Bmri^{+}\) cells and are either maturing (down-regulated \(Bmri\)) or a subpopulation positive for other transcription factors, such as \(Bmri-2\) (Alvarez-Bolado et al. 1995). Interneurons defined by the expression of GAD67 in the CA1 and dentate gyrus of the mouse hippocampus were positive for the \(\alpha_{1}\) subunit, being comparable to humans (Fig. 1g, g1).

The cellular distribution of \(\alpha_{1}\) subunits was determined in mouse primary neuronal cultures. Labelling for \(\alpha_{1}\) was not detected in DIV4 pyramidal-like neurons except minimal immunoreactivity on the proximal segment of their neurites (Supplementary Fig. 1B, B1). \(\alpha_{1}\) labelling was not seen in growth cones either (Supplementary Fig. 1B1). At 7DIV, densely packed post-synaptic \(\alpha_{1}\) immunoreactivity puncta apposed VAMP2 and VGAT immunoreactivities along somas and dendrite-like processes of pyramidal-shaped neurons (Supplementary Fig. 1e, e1). Interneurons (with VGAT\^{+} axons) were occasionally found decorated by \(\gamma_{1}\) puncta along their somatodendritic axis (Supplementary Fig. 1e2). This pattern is similar to that of the \(\gamma_{2}\) subunit, reminiscent of extrasynaptic receptors involved in tonic GABA signalling (Milenkovic et al. 2013).

Although the layer-specific and region-specific distribution of \(\alpha_{1}\) subunits in the hippocampus of subjects with Down syndrome was comparable to those of normal foetuses, we noted an overall reduction in \(\alpha_{1}\) immunoreactivity (Fig. 2a–d vs. a1–d1). This reduction was mainly due to the loss of neuropil immunoreactivity, while neuronal perikarya retained labelling with an intensity equalling control cases (Fig. 2e1). Interestingly, morphologically identified interneurons seemed to be the least affected by this reduction in period 3 (Fig. 2e3) with statistically significant decreases observed only in the neuropil of the CA1 subfield \((F_{1,40} = 5.494, p = 0.024)\) (Fig. 2f). Indeed, the majority of Down syndrome cases in period 3 showed a significant decrease in \(\alpha_{1}\) immunoreactivity in the CA1 (Fig. 2c1) which persisted until adulthood (Fig. 2d1). In other CA and DG regions, \(\alpha_{1}\) immunoreactivity was in general weaker (Supplementary Fig. 3F, F1), but not statistically significant in any of the investigated periods (Fig. 2h–h3).

**GABA_{A}R \(\alpha_{2}\)**

In period 1, the \(\alpha_{2}\) subunit was predominantly observed on a fine network of fibres throughout all subregions studied in the human hippocampus (Fig. 3a). For instance, \(\alpha_{2}\) immunoreactivity was enriched in wavy processes passing along cells from period 1 onward in the early pyramidal layer (Fig. 3a–d, e, e1). During period 2, an increasing number of \(\alpha_{2}\)-expressing cells with neuron-like morphology was noted in the dentate gyrus, CA layers and the subiculum, and exhibited cytoplasmic \(\alpha_{2}\) immunoreactivity (Fig. 3b). In the developing mouse, this cytoplasmic immunoreactivity was seen at E14 throughout the entire primordial hippocampus (Fig. 3f). Processes coursing between cells, comparable to the human foetus (Fig. 3e, e1), appeared in the neonatal mouse emanating from \(Bmri-1\)-positive cells, suggesting immature pyramidal neurons (Fig. 1f2).

During successive stages of human development, immunoreactivity on processes became gradually reduced (Fig. 3e1), leaving a strong neuropil labelling, as well as numerous cells (including pyramidal-like and granule-like cells), in the adult CA regions and dentate gyrus (Fig. 3e2, e3). Notably, \(\alpha_{2}\) immunoreactivity seemed to be more pronounced in the inner 1/3 of the molecular layer of the
Fig. 2 Distribution of GABA$_{A}$R $\alpha_1$ in normal vs. Down syndrome cases. a-d1 Overview images of foetal and adult hippocampi from representative Down syndrome cases and age-matched controls. e–e3 Labelled pyramidal cells and interneurons (arrows) were found from period 3 onwards in hippocampal structures. Note the decrease in somatic and neuropil staining in Down syndrome (e1). f, f1 $\alpha_1$ subunits were found on Brn-1$^-$ pyramidal neurons (open arrowheads). g, g1 Interneurons in the dentate gyrus express $\alpha_1$ subunits (arrows). h–h3 Quantifications revealed a significant loss of GABA$_{A}$R $\alpha_1$ subunits in the CA1 region of period 3 only. *p < 0.05. Scalebars = 1 mm (a, a1, b, b1, c, c1), 500 $\mu$m (d, d1, f); 100 $\mu$m (e, e2, e3); 50 $\mu$m (e1); 30 $\mu$m (f1, g, g1).
adult DG (Supplementary Fig. 3B, B1). In the adult mouse, α2 immunoreactivity was similarly decreased in the pyramidal layer, leaving a perisomatic staining pattern on pyramidal cells, but was not found expressed by GFP-containing interneurons on a GAD67 GFP+/− background (Fig. 3g).

Similar to the patterns found in vivo, α2 subunits were seen on perikarya, processes and growth cones of cultured mouse pyramidal-like neurons at 4DIV (Supplementary Fig. 1C, C1). By 7DIV in maturing cultures, α2 immunoreactivity was mainly restricted to postsynapse-like structures on pyramidal-like neurons apposing VGAT and VAMP2 boutons (Supplementary Fig. 2E–E2) with minimal IMMUNOREACTIVITY remaining on the somatodendritic compartment relative to earlier time points.

The overall distribution of α2 subunits in Down syndrome was similar compared to the control cases (Fig. 3a–d vs. a1–d1). The majority of hippocampal subregions (the dentate gyrus, CA2, CA3, CA4) presented neither significant differences in immunoreactivity nor cellular distribution (Fig. 3h–h3). The initial higher neuropil immunoreactivity in Down cases reached levels comparable to those in control cases in period 2 (Fig. 3b, b1, f). For all other areas (Supplementary Fig. 3G, G1), the immunoreactivity measured was generally weaker in Down syndrome albeit not reaching statistical significance.

GABAaR α3

In contrast to the distribution of α1 and α2 subunits, the α3 subunit was found at higher levels in the developing human hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus (Fig. 4a–d) than in adults. From period 1 on, the α3 subunit was indiscriminately expressed in all subfields. These included: (1) processes in the pyramidal layer, as well as the neuropil, of the subiculum and CA regions (Fig. 4e; Supplementary Fig. 3D–D1); (2) pyramidal-like and interneuron-like somata in the subiculum and CA regions (Fig. 4e1, e2) and (3) granule cells in the dentate gyrus (Supplementary Fig. 3C, C1). Neurons expressing α3 subunits showed somatic immunoreactivity, which continued in wavy neurites that were associated with vimentin-positive processes, a marker for radial glia (Dahl et al. 1981), suggestive of prospective axons during pathfinding (Fig. 4f, f1). Bulk immunoreactivity progressively decreased in the subiculum, CA2, CA3 and CA4 with advancing gestational age (Fig. 4c, d). This was primarily due to reduced α3 immunoreactivity in processes, whereas strong and often punctuate somatic α3 immunoreactivity was retained in pyramidal cells in period 3 (Fig. 4g, g1). Distinct from other developmental ages, the dentate gyrus exhibited one of the strongest α3 immunoreactivity in adults, with immunolabeling in the inner 1/3 of its molecular layer, corresponding to input fields of the local inhibitory circuitry. Furthermore, the majority of granule cells co-expressed α3 subunits, as well (Supplementary Fig. 3D, D1). These data suggest a role for α3 subunits in developmentally regulated GABA signalling in emergent neuronal networks during human foetal development.

Throughout development in Down syndrome, we noted substantially lower levels of both α3 immunoreactivity intensity and its more restricted layer distribution (Fig. 4a–d vs. a1–d1) relative to controls. In period 1, α3 immunoreactivity was significantly lower in the CA1 of Down syndrome cases (F(1,39) = 4.582, p = 0.039) (Fig. 4h). In period 2, levels of immunoreactivity were still significantly lower than in control cases in the subiculum (F(1,39) = 19.118, p < 0.001), CA1 (F(1,39) = 12.121, p = 0.001), CA2 (F(1,34) = 6.478, p = 0.016) and CA3 (F(1,32) = 4.985, p = 0.03) (Fig. 4h–h3; Supplementary Fig. 3H). Furthermore, the adjacent intermediate and marginal zones in the CA regions exhibited a reduction in expression patterns, too. In period 3, we observed a significant and subtotal loss of α3 immunoreactivity, leaving barely any immunoreactivity detectable throughout the hippocampus in Down syndrome cases (Fig. 4c1); the subiculum (F(1,39) = 19.919, p < 0.001), CA1 (F(1,39) = 31.205, p < 0.001), CA2 (F(1,34) = 43.841, p < 0.001), CA3 (F(1,32) = 24.975, p < 0.001), the internal limb of the dentate gyrus (F(1,34) = 20.741, p < 0.001) and external limb of the dentate gyrus (F(1,34) = 18.879, p < 0.001) (Supplementary Fig. 4D–D1, H–H3). Noteworthy, α3 immunoreactivity on interneuron-like cells seemed more robust than pyramidal cells, which were devoid of α3 immunoreactivity around periods 1 and 2 (Fig. 4b, b1, e2, e3). In contrast, α3 expression in interneurons was reduced at much later developmental stages (Fig. 4e1), while lower α3 levels on interneurons were observed in adult Down syndrome cases (Fig. 4d, d1).

α3 Subunits in neurochemically defined hippocampal neurons

Since the α3 subunit’s expression and distribution were most affected in Down’s syndrome and this subunit is the least described during brain development, we next pursued its (sub-)cellular localization by multi-colour fluorescence immunohistochemistry and high-resolution confocal laser-scanning microscopy in foetal and adult mouse tissues. Similar to its human developmental pattern (Fig. 1g–h2), α3 subunits were mostly found in the neonatal (comparable to period 3) pyramidal layer (Fig. 5a). Here, α3 subunits were found on the somata and proximal processes in close proximity to radial glia fibres (RC2+), indicating that young
Fig. 3 Distribution of GABA<sub>a</sub>R α2 in normal vs. Down syndrome cases. a–d<sub>1</sub> Overview images of foetal and adult hippocampi from representative Down syndrome cases and age-matched controls. e–e<sub>3</sub> GABA<sub>a</sub>R α2 immunoreactivity was found throughout all ages, labelling wavy processes (arrowheads, e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>) and neuropil (e<sub>2</sub>, e<sub>3</sub>). f–f<sub>2</sub> α2 Subunits were found throughout the embryonic (E14) and neonatal hippocampus on Brn-1<sup>+</sup> pyramidal neurons (arrowheads, f<sub>2</sub>). g Interneurons and granule cells in the dentate gyrus were negative for α1 subunits (open arrows and arrowheads, respectively). h–h<sub>3</sub> A trend towards an increase of GABA<sub>a</sub>R α2 immunoreactivity was only found in the CA1 region during period 1. Scalebars = 1 mm (a, a<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>2</sub>) 500 μm (d, d<sub>1</sub>); 200 μm (f); 50 μm (e<sub>3</sub>, f<sub>1</sub>); 30 μm (e, e<sub>1</sub>, e<sub>2</sub>, g); 15 μm (f<sub>2</sub>).
**Fig. 4** Distribution of GABA<sub>A</sub>R α3 in normal vs. Down syndrome cases. **a–f,** Overview images of foetal and adult hippocampi from representative Down syndrome cases and age-matched controls. **e–e<sub>3** Labelling for GABA<sub>A</sub>R α3 was found as early as period 1 in immature pyramidal-like neurons in the pyramidal layer of the CA1 (e). Immunoreactivity was gradually lost into adulthood, with interneurons remaining positively labelled (arrowhead, e<sub>1</sub>). The overall neuropil and pyramidal layer staining of the hippocampus in Down syndrome was generally lower (e<sub>2** vs. e<sub>3.** f, f<sub>1** α3 Subunits were found in the pyramidal layer of the human CA1 (period 2) coursing along vimentin<sup>−</sup> radial glia processes. **g, g<sub>1** α3 Subunit immunoreactivity was reduced to a somatic pattern in later periods (period 3). **h–h<sub>3** Quantifications reveal a significant loss of GABA<sub>A</sub>R α3 subunits in Down syndrome over all ages and all structures measured. *<sup>p < 0.05. Scalebars = 1 mm (a, a<sub>1**, b, b<sub>1**, c, c<sub>1** 500 μm (d, d<sub>1**, f); 100 μm (e, e<sub>1**, g); 50 μm (e<sub>2**, e<sub>3**); 30 μm (e<sub>3**); 15 μm (f<sub>1**, g<sub>1**
pyramidal cells can harbour α3 expression during their developmental trajectory.

In addition, α3 subunits were found on bipolar cells [negative for the neuronal migration marker doublecortin (DCX) (Gleeson et al. 1998)], surrounded by a meshwork of VGAT+ puncta (Fig. 5c–e1). To validate if these bipolar cells are either GAD67+ and/or cholecystokinin (CCK)-containing interneurons (Klausberger and Somogyi 2008), we utilized a novel CCKBAC/DsRed::GAD670/0/+ dual-colour reporter mouse (Calvigioni et al. 2017). Almost all α3+ cell bodies co-localized with GAD67, with a subpopulation being also positive for CCK (Fig. 5f–g1), reinforcing that morphologically differentiating interneurons can express α3. Comparable to its human developmental distribution (Fig. 3d), α3 immunoreactivity was reduced in the adult mouse hippocampus: residual punctate labelling in the pyramidal layer and mainly GFP+ interneurons were noted (Fig. 5h–j1). These data suggest that interneurons retain their α3 expression, whereas pyramidal cells down-regulate this GABA_A subunit during hippocampal development.

We then further dissected α3 subcellular distribution in cultured mouse primary neurons with an emphasis on its redistribution and/or down-regulation during neuronal maturation. At 2DIV, α3 immunoreactivity was resolved along the soma, processes and growth cones of pyramidal-like cells (Fig. 6a–a2). After 4DIV, α3 immunoreactivity was still retained in actin-rich growth cones, fostering a concept on α3 subunit contributions to GABA-mediated axon guidance (Fig. 6b–b2) (Ageta-Ishihara et al. 2009). At 7DIV, when neuronal networks first appeared, α3 immunoreactivity was only sparsely detected on somas and processes (Fig. 6c–c2). Yet, α3 subunits concentrated in apposition to VGAT+ presynapses, which also contained VAMP2 (Fig. 6d–d2), thus marking bona fide synapses. Moreover, α3 IMMUNOREACTIVITY was occasionally seen in cells adopting glia-like morphology (Supplementary Fig. 2A–A2). These results imply that α3 subunits in developing neurons are either progressively down-regulated or recruited to post-synaptic sites. Interneurons are recognized as the sole cellular component of the cortical circuitry to retain α3 expression (Fig. 5f–h1) perisomatically until adulthood.

**Cellular exposure to β-amyloid leads to down-regulation of α3 subunits**

To seek a more mechanistic view on the down-regulation of α3 subunit expression in Down syndrome, we selected amyloid precursor protein 1 (APP) as a candidate for upstream regulation due to its presence on chromosome 21 and for it being implicated in driving neuronal differentiation and synaptogenesis (Korenberg et al. 1989; Wang et al. 2009). In addition, inhibition of γ-secretase, a multiprotein complex with enzymatic activity to cleave APP and generate full-length β-amyloid (1–42), restores neurogenesis and synaptogenesis in a mouse model of Down syndrome (Giacomini et al. 2015).

We found that APP was significantly up-regulated in the stratum oriens of the pyramidal layer of the CA1 region during periods 1/2 (p < 0.01; n = 5 control vs. n = 5 Down cases), with a coincidently marked increase in the stratum radiatum in cases with Down syndrome (Fig. 7a–b2). Since plasma β-amyloid (1–42) levels are increased in young adults with Down syndrome and associate with accelerated ageing in these patients (Obeid et al. 2016), we hypothesized that an increase of soluble β-amyloid, produced by γ-secretase-mediated proteolytic cleavage of excess APP, might disrupt the morphogenesis of developing neurons (Freude et al. 2011) and precipitate regulatory changes to dictate α3 subunit availability. Since cultured primary neurons express low amounts of α3 subunits, especially when neuronal networks are formed (Fig. 6b, c), we relied on human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells as a cellular model to test our hypothesis. SH-SY5Y cells express α3 subunits at high mRNA and protein levels (Supplementary Fig. 4A, A1) and undergo morphological changes when exposed to β-amyloid (J. Mulder and T. Harkany, unpublished data).

Differentiation of SH-SY5Y cells was initiated by application of retinoic acid (Cheung et al. 2009) and verified by their up-regulation of β-III-tubulin (TUJ1), a cytoskeletal marker of immature neurons (Fig. 7c–d1; n = 3 coverslips). Coincidently, α3 subunits appeared in processes of SH-SY5Y cells undergoing retinoic acid-induced differentiation (Fig. 7d, d1). After application of fresh β-amyloid(1–42) at concentrations (100–250 nM) that did not affect the survival of cells undergoing retinoic acid-induced differentiation in our culture paradigm (Supplementary Fig. 4B–C2), TUJ1 levels significantly increased relative to untreated yet differentiated controls (Fig. 7e; n = 3 each). This response was anti-parallel with α3 subunit levels (that decreased; Fig. 7e). When applying μM concentrations of β-amyloid(1–42), we noted cytotoxicity (Harkany et al. 2000a, b) (Supplementary Fig. 4B–C2), indicating that there is a narrow developmental window in which β-amyloid(1–42) might exert morphogenic, perhaps even differentiation-promoting effects on developing neurons, if a tandem of TUJ1 and α3 are seen as read-outs. In sum, our data suggests that β-amyloid, a pathogenic outcome of increased APP levels, in Down syndrome could contribute to the remodelling of GABA_A synapses by altering their subunit composition (Fig. 7f).
Discussion

In this study, we present the first comprehensive description of the expression pattern of $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_3$ and $\gamma_2$ GABA$_A$ receptor subunits in the human hippocampus of Down syndrome cases and age-matched controls along a broad developmental trajectory. Although the existence of functional GABA$_A$ receptors in primate and human brains was demonstrated by visualization of benzodiazepine binding sites (Reichelt et al. 1991; Shaw et al. 1991), there is a
surprising gap of knowledge at the protein level due to the lack of appropriate tools. Therefore, we applied novel knock-out-tested antibodies against GABA AR subunits to address this issue.

We find differential maturation of α1 and α2 subunits in the hippocampus. Similar to data from animal models, α1 seems to have late expressional onset in the human brain (Fritschy et al. 1994). In contrast, an abundance of α3 subunits throughout the developmental hippocampus was observed with significantly diminished levels in the adult. GABAARs have been implicated in the migration of post-mitotic neurons, including both pyramidal cells (Behar et al. 2000) and interneurons (Cuzon et al. 2006), postulating a central role for this subunit to control migration and differentiation. Our finding that α3 subunits are robustly expressed during development on both interneurons and pyramidal cells in vivo, as well as on actin-rich motile growth domains in vitro, reinforces a non-synaptic trophic and/or guidance role for GABA signalling through α3 subunits in the developing hippocampus. In addition, the α3-subunit seems to be exchanged perinatally to other subunits (i.e. α1 and α2) in the human foetal brain, which might account for the change in electrophysiological properties that GABAAR signalling undergoes during normal brain development (Owens et al. 1999). While, the γ2 subunit showed widespread expression in the hippocampus, we observed a striking similarity in expression pattern between α3 and γ2 subunits in the CA1 and subiculum, suggesting their probable functional co-occurrence. The temporal co-expression of these two subunits suggests that these possible complexes are destined for synaptic terminals, since γ2 is classified as the post-synaptic subunit and is involved in the trafficking of the complex towards the synapse (Vithlani et al. 2011). Noteworthy, we did not detect significant amounts of α3 in the DG, suggesting different assembly partners for γ2 in this region.

In the hippocampus of foetal Down syndrome subjects, our data indicates complex expression profiles coincidently affecting α1, α3 and γ2 subunits. For instance, α1 and γ2 subunits showed selective depletion in the CA1 and subiculum, whereas in other hippocampal areas these changes were not as obvious. Interestingly, the distribution of α2 subunits in the hippocampus bore a striking resemblance to the γ2 subunit, both of them exhibiting a subtotal depletion in the CA1 and subiculum in period 3. In contrast, the α3 subunit demonstrated the most robust changes in Down syndrome cases. A strong reduction was observed

---

Fig. 6 GABAAR α3 redistributes during mouse neuronal differentiation. a–b2 In cultured mouse primary hippocampal neurons, GABAAR α3 subunits are localized to somata, neurites and growth cones (f-actin-positive), up to 4 days in culture (arrowheads). c–e2 When neuronal networks start to form, GABAAR α3 subunits redistribute with a punctate pattern on neurites and somatas (arrowheads). d–e2 GABAAR α3 subunits are found adjacent to VGAT+ puncta (d1, d2) and the presynaptic vesicular marker VAMP2 (e1, e2), indicating that GABAAR α3 relocates to neurotransmitter release sites upon network formation. f–f2 Intermittent interneurons, VGAT+, were found decorated with GABAAR α3 subunits (arrowheads). Scalebars = 50 μm (e, j); 30 μm (a, a2, b, b2, c, c2, e, f, f2); 15 μm (d, d2, e2)
throughout all investigated time periods with an overall lower expression and an almost complete loss from period 3 onwards. Most adult animal studies focused on pharmacological manipulation of α3 subunits localized to the cytoplasm (arrowheads). d, d1 Upon differentiation, TUJ1 levels increase and α3 subunits appear on elongating TUJ1+ processes (arrowheads). e Non-toxic concentrations of beta amyloid (1–42) down-regulate α3 subunits while increasing TUJ1 levels. f Proposed mechanism of premature maturation of brain circuitry. *p < 0.05. Scalebars = 200 μm (a, a1, a2); 50 μm (c1, d1).

Fig. 7 Beta amyloid is implicated in the premature differentiation of human neuronal-like cells. a–b2 APP levels in the hippocampus are significantly increased in Down syndrome patients (period 1/2, n = 5–6). c, c1 In undifferentiated human SH-SY5Y cells, α3 subunits are localized to the cytoplasm (arrowheads). d, d1 Upon differentiation, TUJ1 levels increase and α3 subunits appear on elongating TUJ1+ processes (arrowheads). e Non-toxic concentrations of beta amyloid (1–42) down-regulate α3 subunits while increasing TUJ1 levels. f Proposed mechanism of premature maturation of brain circuitry. *p < 0.05. Scalebars = 200 μm (a, a1, a2); 50 μm (c1, d1).

Given the fact that GABA_A Rs are thought to provide a stop signal for migrating cells in the cortex (Behar et al. 2000), a disturbance in GABA_A R subunits would suggest alterations to neuronal migration in Down syndrome. Indeed, a change in neurogenesis and reduced neuronal number in the cortex have been described in Down syndrome before (Becker et al. 1991; Golden and Hyman 1994; Ross et al. 1984; Wisniewski et al. 1984). Since we localized α3 subunits to motile growth domains on both pyramidal cells and interneurons, our data suggest that a premature down-regulation of α3 subunits might contribute to those observed changes in neuronal migration, especially if this reduction leads to altered GABA_A R-mediated currents or second messenger signalling systems (Luscher et al. 2011). Thus, a premature redistribution of subunits could eventually lead to improper neuronal placement and differentiation, and disturb prenatal giant depolarizing potentials which are important for the maturation of synapses (Ben-Ari et al. 2007) overall, resulting in cognitive deficits.

A concurrent comorbidity in Down syndrome is the early development of β-amyloid plaques in the adult brain and Alzheimer’s-like neurological abnormalities (Masters et al. 1985; Motte and Williams 1989). Due to the position of APP on chromosome 21, APP is thought to elevate levels of full-length β-amyloid, leading to early plaque deposits. In addition, inhibitors of γ-secretase, restored neurogenesis and synaptogenesis in a mouse model of Down syndrome (Giacomini et al. 2015). However, β/γ secretase cleavage of APP can generate bioactive peptides other than β-amyloid (1–42) itself, including small C-terminal fragments that interact with signalling proteins (van der Kant and Goldstein 2015) and its intracellular domain (AICD50) retained after γ secretase cleavage which can act as a transcription factor (Konietzko 2012). Recent data demonstrate that AICD50 overexpression affects the levels of Sox2, a key transcription factor in the regulation of stem cell maintenance and lineage commitment (Sarlak et al. 2016). Since the physiological role of these cleavage products are still poorly understood, we focussed on β-amyloid as it has been correlated with cellular differentiation (Freude et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2009) and the peculiarity of accelerated ageing in Down syndrome (Obeid et al. 2016). We thus posit an increase of APP, and elevated levels of soluble β-amyloid (1–42) as a factor in promoting early brain maturation.

In our developmental Down syndrome cohort, we were not able to find β-amyloid plaques, suggesting that any pathological effect of β-amyloid is not due to its aggregation in foetal brains. Instead, we observed the first signs of plaque formation in tissues of a 15-year-old patient from a separate cohort, with more substantial deposits in patients > 30 years old. As β-amyloid oligomers are more toxic than un-aggregated peptide (Zhao et al. 2012), an increase in the soluble un-aggregated form could promote cellular morphological changes without compromising cell survival. In our foetal Down syndrome cases, we find elevated quantities of APP, and experimental challenges with external β-amyloid (1–42) delivery triggered the down-regulation of α3 subunits similarly to what is observed in human foetal brain. This observation is in line with our previous results, showing that developmental physiologic tau phosphorylation is disturbed in individuals with Down syndrome (Milenkovic et al. 2017), most
probably by shifting the phosphorylation pattern towards an adult pattern. In sum, we attribute both APP and β-amyloid fragments as key features in the premature and erroneous differentiation of brain circuitry by deregulating α3 subunits, leading to miswired networks that suppress cognitive performance.

Acknowledgements Open access funding provided by Medical University of Vienna. The authors thank Dr. W. Sieghart for providing antibodies and Dr. M. Ernst for valuable discussions. This study was supported by the EU FP7 Project DEVELAGE (#278486; G.G.K.), the Hungarian Brain Research Program (# KTIA_13_NAP-A-III/7; L.F., Z.B.), the Swedish Medical Research Council (T.H.), Swedish Brain Foundation (‘Hjärnfonden’, T.H.) and the European Research Council (ERC-2015-AdG-695136, T.H.) and intramural funds of the Medical University of Vienna (E.K., T.H.).

Compliance with ethical standards Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References Abraham H et al (2012) Impaired myelination of the human hippocampal formation in Down syndrome. Int J Dev Neurosci 30:147–158
Ageta-Ishihara N et al (2009) Control of cortical axon elongation by a GABA-driven Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase cascade. J Neurosci 29:13720–13729
Alpar A et al (2014) Endocannabinoids modulate cortical development by configuring Slit2/Robo1 signalling. Nat Commun 5:4421
Alvarez-Bolado G, Rosenfeld MG, Swanson LW (1995) Model of forebrain regionalization based on spatiotemporal patterns of POU-III homeobox gene expression, birthdates, and morphological features. J Comp Neurol 355:237–295
Arnold SE, Trojanowski JQ (1996) Human fetal hippocampal development: I. Cytarchitectue, myeloarchitecture, and neuronal morphologic features. J Comp Neurol 367:274–292
Bayer SA, Altman J (2005) Atlas of human central nervous system development. CRC Press, Boca Raton
Becker L et al (1991) Growth and development of the brain in Down syndrome. Prog Clin Biol Res 373:133–152
Behar TN et al (2000) GABA receptor antagonists modulate postmitotic cell migration in slice cultures of embryonic rat cortex. Cereb Cortex 10:899–909
Belegu D et al (2009) Extrasynaptic GABA receptors: form, pharmacology, and function. J Neurosci 29:12757–12763
Ben-Ari Y et al (2007) GABA: a pioneer transmitter that excites immature neurons and generates primitive oscillations. Physiol Rev 87:1215–1284
Blue ME, Naidu S, Johnston MV (1999) Altered development of glutamate and GABA receptors in the basal ganglia of girls with Rett syndrome. Exp Neurol 156:345–352
Bozzo Z et al (2010) Controlled in situ preparation of A beta(1–42) oligomers from the isopeptide “iso-A beta(1–42)”, physico-chemical and biological characterization. Peptides 31:248–256
Braat S, Kooy RF (2015) The GABAA receptor as a therapeutic target for neurodevelopmental disorders. Neuron 86:1119–1130
Braudeau J et al (2011) Specific targeting of the GABA-A receptor alpha5 subtype by a selective inverse agonist restores cognitive deficits in Down syndrome mice. J Psychopharmacol 25:1030–1042
Calvigioni D et al (2017) Functional differentiation of cholcecytokinin-containing interneurons destined for the cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex 47:2453–2468
Cheung YT et al (2009) Effects of all-trans-retinoic acid on human SH-SYSY neuroblastoma as in vitro model in neurotoxicity research. Neurotoxicology 30:127–135
Cobas A et al (1991) Prenatal development of the intrinsic neurons of the rat neocortex: a comparative study of the distribution of GABA-immunoreactive cells and the GABAA receptor. Neuroscience 40:375–397
Contestabile A et al (2007) Cell cycle alteration and decreased cell proliferation in the hippocampal dentate gyrus and in the neocortical germinal matrix of fetuses with Down syndrome and in Ts65Dn mice. Hippocampus 17:665–678
Cuzon VC et al (2006) Ambient GABA promotes cortical entry of tangentially migrating cells derived from the medial ganglionic eminence. Cereb Cortex 16:1377–1388
Dahl D et al (1981) Vimentin, the 57 000 molecular weight protein of fibroblast filaments, is the major cytoskeletal component in immature glia. Eur J Cell Biol 24:191–196
D’Hulst C et al (2006) Decreased expression of the GABAA receptor in fragile X syndrome. Brain Res 1121:238–245
Eyre MD et al (2012) Setting the time course of inhibitory synaptic currents by mixing multiple GABAA receptor alpha subunit isoforms. J Neurosci 32:5853–5867
Fernandez F et al (2007) Pharmacotherapy for cognitive impairment in a mouse model of Down syndrome. Nat Neurosci 10:411–413
Fitzman ML et al (1993) GABAergic cells and signals appear together in the early post-mitotic period of telencephalic and striatal development. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 73:243–251
Freude KK et al (2011) Soluble amyloid precursor protein induces rapid neural differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. J Biol Chem 286:24264–24274
Fritschy JM, Panzaneli F (2014) GABAA receptors and plasticity of inhibitory neurotransmission in the central nervous system. Eur J Neurosci 39:1845–1865
Fritschy JM et al (1994) Switch in the expression of rat GABAA receptor subtypes during postnatal development: an immunohistochemical study. J Neurosci 14:5302–5324
Gardiner K et al (2010) Down syndrome: from understanding the neurobiology to therapy. J Neurosci 30:14943–14945
Giacomini A et al (2015) Inhibition of APP gamma-secretase restores Sonic Hedgehog signaling and neurogenesis in the T65Dn mouse model of Down syndrome. Neurobiol Dis 82:385–396
Gleeson JG et al (1998) Doublecortin, a brain-specific gene mutated in human X-linked lissencephaly and double cortex syndrome, encodes a putative signaling protein. Cell 92:63–72
Golden JA, Hyman BT (1994) Development of the superior temporal neocortex is anomalous in trisomy 21. J Neurophilol Exp Neuro 25:1030–1042
Guidi S et al (2008) Neurogenesis impairment and increased cell death reduce total neuron number in the hippocampal region of fetuses with Down syndrome. Brain Pathol 18:180–197
Harkany T et al (2000a) Mechanisms of beta-amyloid neurotoxicity: perspectives of pharmacotherapy. Rev Neurosci 11:329–382
Harkany T, Penke B, Luiten PG (2000b) beta-Amyloid excitotoxicity in rat magnocellular nucleus basalis. Effect of cortical
deafferentation on cerebral blood flow regulation and implications for Alzheimer’s disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 903:374–386
Heck N et al (2007) GABA-A receptors regulate neocortical neuronal migration in vitro and in vivo. Cereb Cortex 17:138–148
Hortnagl H et al (2013) Patterns of mRNA and protein expression for 12 GABAA receptor subunits in the mouse brain. Neuroscience 236:345–372
Johnston GA, Kennedy SM, Lodge D (1978) Muscimol uptake, release and binding in rat brain slices. J Neurochem 31:1519–1523
Kalnoff AV, Nutt DJ (2007) Role of GABA in anxiety and depression. Depress Anxiety 24:495–517
Kanaumi T et al (2013) Non-neuronal cell responses differ between normal and Down syndrome developing brains. Int J Dev Neurosci 31:796–803
Kasugai Y et al (2010) Quantitative localisation of synaptic and extrasynaptic GABAA receptor subunits on hippocampal pyramidal cells by freeze-fracture replica immunolabelling. Eur J Neurosci 32:1868–1888
Klausberger T, Somogyi P (2008) Neuronal diversity and temporal dynamics: the unity of hippocampal circuit operations. Science 321:53–57
Konietzko U (2012) AICD nuclear signaling and its possible contribution to Alzheimer’s disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 9:200–216
Korenberg JR et al (1989) The Alzheimer amyloid precursor protein maps to human chromosome 21 bands q21.105–q21.05. Genomics 5:124–127
Larsen KB et al (2008) Reduced cell number in the neocortical part of the human fetal brain in Down syndrome. Ann Anat 190:421–427
Lee IS et al (2013) Amyloid-beta oligomers regulate the properties of human neural stem cells through GSK-3beta signaling. Exp Mol Med 45:e60
Lott IT, Dierssen M (2010) Cognitive deficits and associated neurological complications in individuals with Down’s syndrome. Lancet Neurol 9:623–633
Luscher B, Fuchs T, Kilpatrick CL (2011) GABA receptor trafficking-mediated plasticity of inhibitory synapses. Neuron 70:385–409
Martinez-Cue C et al (2013) Reducing GABAA alpha5 receptor-mediated inhibition rescues functional and neuromorphological deficits in a mouse model of Down syndrome. J Neurosci 33:3953–3966
Martinez-Cue C, Delatour B, Potier MC (2014) Treating enhanced GABAAergic inhibition in Down syndrome: use of GABA alpha5-selective inverse agonists. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 46(Pt 2):218–227
Masters CL et al (1985) Amyloid plaque core protein in Alzheimer disease and Down syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:4245–4249
McIntire SL et al (1997) Identification and characterization of the vesicular GABA transporter. Nature 389:870–876
Milenkovic I et al (2013) The parvalbumin-positive interneurons in the mouse dentate gyrus express GABA receptor subunits alpha1, beta2, and delta along their extrasynaptic cell membrane. Neuroscience 254:80–96
Milenkovic I et al (2017) The physiological phosphorylation of tau is critically changed in fetal brains of individuals with Down syndrome. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. https://doi.org/10.1111/nan.12406
Mossier B et al (1994) Immunooaffinity purification of gamma-aminobutyric acidA (GABA_A) receptors containing gamma 1-subunits. Evidence for the presence of a single type of gamma-subunit in GABAA receptors. J Biol Chem 269:25777–25782
Mote J, Williams RS (1989) Age-related changes in the density and morphology of plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in Down syndrome brain. Acta Neuropathol 77:535–546
Obeid R et al (2016) Plasma amyloid beta 1-42 and DNA methylation pattern predict accelerated aging in young subjects with Down syndrome. Neuromolecular Med 18:593–601
Olsen RW, Sieghart W (2008) International Union of Pharmacology. LXV. Subtypes of gamma-aminobutyric acid(A) receptors: classification on the basis of subunit composition, pharmacology, and function. Update. Pharmacol Rev 60:243–260
Owens DF, Liu X, Kriegstein AR (1999) Changing properties of GABAA(A) receptor-mediated signaling during early neocortical development. J Neurophysiol 82:570–583
Parker SE et al (2010) Updated National Birth Prevalence estimates for selected birth defects in the United States, 2004-2006. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 88:1008–1016
Pavlov I et al (2011) Progressive loss of phasic, but not tonic, GABAA receptor-mediated inhibition in dentate granule cells in a model of post-traumatic epilepsy in rats. Neuroscience 194:208–219
Pirker S et al (2000) GABAA(A) receptors: immunocytochemical distribution of 13 subunits in the adult rat brain. Neuroscience 101:815–850
Pirker S et al (2003) Increased expression of GABAA(A) receptor-beta-subunits in the hippocampus of patients with temporal lobe epilepsy. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 62:820–834
Potier MC et al (2014) Reducing GABAergic inhibition restores cognitive functions in a mouse model of Down syndrome. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets 13:8–15
Ramerstorfer J et al (2011) The GABAA receptor alpha + beta-interface: a novel target for subtype selective drugs. J Neurosci 31:870–877
Redrobe JP et al (2012) Negative modulation of GABAA alpha5 receptors by RO4938581 attenuates discrete sub-chronic and early postnatal phencyclidine (PCP)-induced cognitive deficits in rats. Psychopharmacology 221:451–468
Reichelt R et al (1991) Ontogeny of the benzodiazepine receptor in human brain: fluorographic, immunocytochemical, and reversible binding studies. J Neurochem 57:1128–1135
Rodrigue KM, Kennedy KM, Park DC (2009) Beta-amyloid deposition and the aging brain. Neurpsychol Rev 19:436–450
Ross MH, Galaburda AM, Kemper TL (1984) Down’s syndrome: is there a decreased population of neurons? Neurology 34:909–916
Rudolph U, Mohler H (2014) GABAA receptor subtypes: therapeutic potential in Down syndrome, affective disorders, schizophrenia, and autism. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 54:483–507
Sarlah G et al (2016) Sox2 functionally interacts with betaAPP, the betaAPP intracellular domain and ADAM10 at a transcriptional level in human cells. Neuroscience 312:153–164
Shaw C et al (1991) Pre- and postnatal development of GABA receptors in Macaca monkey visual cortex. J Neurosci 11:3943–3959
Sieghart W (1995) Structure and pharmacology of gamma-aminobutyric acidA receptor subtypes. Pharmacol Rev 47:181–234
Sieghart W, Sperk G (2002) Subunit composition, distribution and function of GABAA(A) receptor subtypes. Curr Top Med Chem 2:795–816
Sieghart W et al (2012) A novel GABAA(A) receptor pharmacology: drugs interacting with the alpha(+)-beta(−) interface. Br J Pharmacol 166:476–485
Sperk G et al (1997) GABAA(A) receptor subunits in the rat hippocampus I: immunocytochemical distribution of 13 subunits. Neuroscience 80:987–1000
Stojanovic T et al (2016) The alpha1, alpha2, alpha3, and gamma2 subunits of GABAA receptors show characteristic spatial and temporal expression patterns in rhombencephalic structures.
during normal human brain development. J Comp Neurol 524:1805–1824
Tamamaki N et al (2003) Green fluorescent protein expression and colocalization with calretinin, parvalbumin, and somatostatin in the GAD67-GFP knock-in mouse. J Comp Neurol 467:60–79
van der Kant R, Goldstein LS (2015) Cellular functions of the amyloid precursor protein from development to dementia. Dev Cell 32:502–515
Varagic Z et al (2013a) Subtype selectivity of alpha beta-site ligands of GABAA receptors: identification of the first highly specific positive modulators at alpha6beta2gamma2 receptors. Br J Pharmacol 169:384–399
Varagic Z et al (2013b) Identification of novel positive allosteric modulators and null modulators at the GABAA receptor alpha beta interface. Br J Pharmacol 169:371–383
Vithlani M, Terunuma M, Moss SJ (2011) The dynamic modulation of GABA(A) receptor trafficking and its role in regulating the plasticity of inhibitory synapses. Physiol Rev 91:1009–1022
Waldvogel HJ et al (1999) Regional and cellular localisation of GABA(A) receptor subunits in the human basal ganglia: an autoradiographic and immunohistochemical study. J Comp Neurol 415:313–340
Waldvogel HJ et al (2004) Comparative cellular distribution of GABAA and GABAB receptors in the human basal ganglia: immunohistochemical colocalization of the alpha 1 subunit of the GABAA receptor, and the GABABR1 and GABABR2 receptor subunits. J Comp Neurol 470:339–356
Waldvogel HJ et al (2008) Differential localization of GABAA receptor subunits within the substantia nigra of the human brain: an immunohistochemical study. J Comp Neurol 506:912–929
Wang Z et al (2009) Presynaptic and postsynaptic interaction of the amyloid precursor protein promotes peripheral and central synaptogenesis. J Neurosci 29:10788–10801
Wang DS et al (2012) Memory deficits induced by inflammation are regulated by alpha5-subunit-containing GABAA receptors. Cell Rep 2:488–496
Washbourne P, Schiavo G, Montecucco C (1995) Vesicle-associated membrane protein-2 (synaptobrevin-2) forms a complex with synaptophysin. Biochem J 305(Pt 3):721–724
Wilkin GP et al (1981) Autoradiographic localization of GABAB receptors in rat cerebellum. Nature 294:584–587
Wisniewski KE, Laure-Kamionowska M, Wisniewski HM (1984) Evidence of arrest of neurogenesis and synaptogenesis in brains of patients with Down’s syndrome. N Engl J Med 311:1187–1188
Yamashita Y et al (1998) Decrease in benzodiazepine receptor binding in the brains of adult patients with Rett syndrome. J Neurol Sci 154:3–10
Zhao LN et al (2012) The toxicity of amyloid beta oligomers. Int J Mol Sci 13:7303–7327
Zimprich F et al (1991) Immunohistochemical localization of the alpha 1, alpha 2 and alpha 3 subunit of the GABAA receptor in the rat brain. Neurosci Lett 127:125–128

Affiliations

Ivan Milenkovic1,2 • Tamara Stojanovic2 • Eleonora Aronica3,4 • Livia Fülöp5 • Zsolt Bozso5 • Zoltán Máté6 • Yuchio Yanagawa7 • Homa Adle-Biassette8 • Gert Lubec9 • Gábor Szabó6 • Tibor Harkany10,11 • Gábor G. Kovács2 • Erik Keimpema10

1 Department of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, AKH 6A, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, 1097 Vienna, Austria
2 Neurodegeneration Research Group, Institute of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
3 Department of (Neuro) Pathology, Academic Medical Center and Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Center for Neuroscience, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
4 Stichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland (SEIN), Amsterdam, The Netherlands
5 Department of Medical Chemistry, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary
6 Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Szigony u. 43, 1083 Budapest, Hungary
7 Department of Genetic and Behavioral Neuroscience, Gunma University School of Medicine, Maebashi, Japan
8 Service d’Anatomie et Cytologie Pathologiques, Faculté de Médecine, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France
9 Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria
10 Center for Brain Research, Department of Molecular Neurosciences, Medical University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 4, 1090 Vienna, Austria
11 Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden