Olive Mill Wastewater for Bioethanol Production using Immobilised Cells
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Abstract
Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of a local traditional olive mill wastewater, at Ennakhla-Chlef in northern Algeria, were evaluated. The olive mill wastewater samples had slight acidity of 0.65 % with a pH = 4.88, and electrical conductivity of 34 mS cm⁻¹. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) and the biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) reached up to 183 g l⁻¹ and 7 g l⁻¹, respectively. In addition, the samples showed 1.72 g l⁻¹ of total phenolic compounds. Preliminary microbiological analysis of the wastewater showed the presence of various microorganisms represented especially by lactic acid bacteria and Enterococcus sp. The aim of this work was to investigate the possibility of using yeast strains isolated from olive mill wastewater in the fermentation of the latter. The results shown that fermentation with yeast can produce ethanol at an extent of 1.4 % (v/v). This can constitute a strategy for treating mentioned wastewater and transforming it into valuable biomolecules.
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1 Introduction
Many Mediterranean countries are facing the problem of eliminating effluents from the olive oil production industry. Olive mill wastewater (OMW) is highly polluting, heavily loaded with organic matter, and particularly affects the quality of the natural environment in which it is discharged. The high organic load of OMW requires a high oxygen consumption, expressed in terms of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biological oxygen demand (BOD₅), leading to eutrophication of surface water. OMW also reduces soil quality by increasing its water repellence due to the presence of aliphatic compounds in addition to the presence of polyphenols known for their phytotoxic effect preventing seed germination and plant growth.

The literature shows a variety of approaches for the treatment of OMW before disposal in nature. The wide variety of components found in OMW requires different treatment technologies to eliminate pollutants with harmful effects on the environment. Various processes are applicable, such as biological, physicochemical, and heat treatments. Membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, and osmotic distillation are also reported for the treatment of OMW, among other methods.

Proper management of industrial, agricultural, and food residues should reduce their negative impact on the environment. In the last decade, the valorisation of OMW has attracted much attention from research teams. It has been shown that an environmental issue could be turned into valuable products such as biofuel, bioactive and nutraceutical compounds, antibacterial and anti-inflammatory compounds, anti-oxidant compounds along with the common polyphenols.

In the fermentation process of biofuel production, the use of cell immobilisation has many benefits. It avoids the washing effect of microorganisms, stabilises the pH of the medium, and prolongs microbial activity during continuous processes. Cellular immobilisation technology allows multiple uses of the cellular system and reduces the inhibitory effect of metabolic products. In addition, the undesirable competition between different microorganisms may be reduced after immobilisation and the synergistic effect would be favoured.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the possibility of producing bioethanol from OMW fermented by immobilised cells. Granulated pizzolan or bovine bones were chosen as immobilisation supports because of their high porosity and surface roughness promoting cell adhesion, as well as availability and very low cost. The working microorganisms used in the fermentation process were yeast strains isolated and grown from the same OMW. The effectiveness of the proposed anaerobic fermentation was investigated in the light of bioethanol production.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 OMW sampling

OMW samples were collected from a traditional mill at Ennakhla-Chlef locality in northern Algeria (36°15'36"N, 1°24'50"E). The process of oil production is described as follows: olives are washed and separated from plant debris, then crushed to a fine paste and kneaded by adding water. The obtained olive paste is pressed in a hydraulic piston. The liquid phase is drained, and then olive oil is separated from water by simple decantation. All samples were collected between November and January during the olive harvesting period. Samples of freshly produced OMW were taken from the storage ponds using a dipper, placed into sterilised bottles, and kept at 4 °C until used for physicochemical characterisation. However, the microbiological analysis was carried out right after sampling.

2.2 Physicochemical characterisation

The physicochemical characterisation of three samples of each OMW stock was carried out according to AFNOR (French Standardization Association) standards as follows:

The pH and the conductivity of OMW were measured at ambient temperature by calibrated Hanna Instruments pH and conductivity-meters, respectively. The acidity (expressed as percentage of oleic acid) was evaluated by titrating 10 ml OMW with 0.1 M NaOH solution. The turbidity was evaluated by a Hanna Instruments turbidimeter. Dry matter was determined after desiccation of 25 ml of OMW samples at 105 °C and expressed in g l⁻¹ of the initial volume of OMW. Suspended matter (SM) in a volume of OMW sample was determined by filtration through a 0.45 μm pore membrane. The mass of the residue was weighed as the SM in g ml⁻¹. The COD value was determined by the dichromate method. The biological oxygen demand was determined by a BOD-meter after incubating a dilute solution of OMW giving the amount of oxygen consumed by microorganisms for 5 days (BOD₅) at 20 °C in the dark. The other physicochemical parameters: orthophosphates, chlorides, nitrites, nitrates, calcium, magnesium, ammonium, sulphate contents were measured according to Rodier. The salinity expressed in mg l⁻¹ of OMW was determined by a Hanna Instruments portable salinometer.

Total phenolic contents were determined according to the Folin-Ciocalteu method. An amount of 0.5 ml of sample solution was added to 2.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and diluted with distilled water 1:10, followed by the addition of 4 ml of Na₂CO₃. The mixture was then incubated in a water bath at 45 °C for 30 min, and the absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer against a blank sample. The total phenolic content was measured as gallic acid equivalents (mg GAE/g of extract). Residual oil and fats were determined using a partition-gravimetric method. A volume of OMW was acidified with HCl and mixed with hexane in a separating funnel. The organic layer was drained and then dried with Na₂SO₄. The solvent was recovered by distillation from a tared flask, and the remainder weighed as the quantity of oil and fats.

2.3 Microbial composition determination

The enumeration of the existing microorganisms was performed by the spread plate method. After homogenisation of the OMW samples, serial dilutions in sterile physiological water (0.9 % NaCl) were carried out from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶ dilution. An amount of 1 ml of each dilution was placed in a Petri dish onto which was poured 20 ml of agar medium previously autoclaved at 121 °C/15 min and cooled to 45 °C. The dish was then homogenised by manual stirring and incubated in an oven. Only dishes with a colony number between 30 and 300 were retained for enumeration. The tests were repeated three times.

The microbiological composition was expressed as total aerobic and mesophilic flora (Plate Count Agar, incubated at 37 °C for 48 h), total and faecal coliforms (VRBL Agar, incubated for 48 h at 37 and 44.5 °C, respectively), faecal streptococci (Rothe media presumptive test and Litsky media confirmatory test incubated at 37 °C for 24 and 48 h), yeasts and moulds (Potato-Dextrose Agar with chloramphenicol, incubated at 30 °C for 72 h and 5–7 days, respectively), and lactic acid bacteria, especially Lactobacillus and Lactococcus (MRS and M17 agar, incubated at 30 °C for 48–72 h, and the grown colonies were checked for Gram and catalase reactions before counting).

2.4 Anaerobic fermentation

2.4.1 Microorganisms and culture conditions

Dilutions in physiological water were made from OMW. An amount of 1 ml of each dilution was spread on Oxytetracycline-Glucose-Yeast Extract Agar medium selective for yeasts in Petri dishes. After incubation at 25 °C for 5 to 7 days, the dishes exhibiting isolated colonies, which were easy to collect, were retained. At least 23 yeast strains were identified in OMW samples. The well-isolated colonies were stained with methylene blue, and then observed under a B-290 OPTIKA microscope to check the morphological and homogeneity of the cells. Morphological characteristics of cells and colonies including microscopic examination were used to isolate and purify the different strains. Using the API 20C AUX strip, all 23 isolates were identified as Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains. Each strain was purified by successive sub-culturing on agar Sabouraud, and stored at 4 °C until use.

2.4.2 Carbon dioxide productivity

This step was carried out to determine the best performing strain among the 23 isolated strains by measuring the volume of the produced CO₂. Fermentation of 45 ml of sterilised OMW (compared to Sabouraud broth) in the presence of 4 · 10⁵ CFU/ml isolated yeast strains was carried out in sterile glass tubes in anaerobic conditions for 180 h. The gas production was monitored using 100 ml graduated syringes directly connected to the fermentation reactor. The gas pressure pushed the plunger of the syringe, making it possible to measure the volume of the produced gas.
2.4.3 Cell immobilisation

In this study, pozzolan and bovine bones were used for cell immobilisation as supports because of their porous nature. Pozzolan from the carrier of Beni Saf, Tlemcen (Algeria) was washed several times with water to remove impurities and then high-temperature treated before use. Granulated pozzolan 6 mm in diameter was obtained by size reduction and sieving of the rocks. The particles were of 60 ± 5 % porosity and 1.029 ± 0.001 density. The bovine bones were collected from a local butchery. The soft tissues were removed and the bones were crushed into small pieces of 2–3 cm. Deproteinisation was realized by immersion in 1 N NaOH solution and then in 7 % hydrogen peroxide. The bone pieces were boiled in water for 10 h, washed under flowing water, and dried at 80 °C for 1 h. The obtained material was sterilised in an autoclave before use. The particles were of 75 ± 5 % porosity and 1.01 ± 0.005 density.

The porous supports were placed separately in a nutritional solution containing the yeast strain at 4 · 10⁶ CFU/ml concentration. The mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 36 to 72 h until the cells were fixed. Visual inspection and microscopy analysis (B-290 Optika) confirmed the biofilm formation.

2.4.4 Experimental setup

The batch fermentation was carried out in a homemade experimental setup consisting of a column made from food-grade polypropylene, 15 cm high and 5 cm in diameter, packed with immobilised cells on pozzolan or bovine bones. The experimental setup was sterilised by autoclaving at 120 °C for 20 min, and anaerobic conditions were respected.

2.4.5 Fermentation medium

The OMW was diluted 10 times with distilled water to reduce the concentration of polyphenols. OMW was thermally pre-treated at 120 °C for 20 min, and then decanted and filtered on a 10 μm filter paper. To eliminate solids further, it was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 30 min. Finally, the pH of OMW was adjusted to 6.5 (optimal initial pH) with 0.1 M NaOH solution.

2.4.6 Bioethanol content analysis

The determination of bioethanol content in the fermentation solution was carried out by permanganometry. However, a distillation step was necessary to separate ethanol and to avoid the titration of other compounds. The bioethanol thus collected was reacted with a 0.1 M permanganate solution in the presence of 1 M sulfuric acid. Back titration with 0.1 M oxalic acid of the permanganate solution permitted the determination of the bioethanol content.

3 Results and discussion

The overall measurement results showed that OMW had a highly polluted discharge in the form of residual liquid. Its composition depends on the type of olives, the degree of their ripening (depending on the collection period), the cultivation system, the salting practice for preserving olives, climatic conditions, and the process used for the extraction of olive oil.21

3.1 Physicochemical characteristics of the OMW samples

Organoleptic characterisation showed that OMW samples were generally a dark brown to intense black effluent with a troubled appearance, smooth texture, and fresh taste of olive oil to annoying rancid odour.

Table 1 presents the physicochemical characteristics of the OMW samples. All the data were averaged over at least three measurements.

| Characteristics | Value | Characteristics | Value |
|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| pH             | 4.88  | nitrates / mg·l⁻¹ | 2.08  |
| acidity / %    | 0.65  | nitrates / mg·l⁻¹ | 1.95  |
| electrical conductivity / mS·cm⁻¹ | 34.00 | calcium / mg·l⁻¹ | 7.04  |
| turbidity / NTU | 138.90 | magnesium / mg·l⁻¹ | 19.82 |
| dry matter / g·l⁻¹ | 22.60 | ammonium / mg·l⁻¹ | 1.55  |
| suspended matter / g·l⁻¹ | 0.39  | sulphate / mg·l⁻¹ | 1.49  |
| COD / g·l⁻¹ | 183.00 | salinity / mg·l⁻¹ | 1.50  |
| BOO₃⁻ / g·l⁻¹ | 7.00  | total phenolic contents / g·l⁻¹ | 1.72 |
| orthophosphates / mg·l⁻¹ | 1.31  | residual oil and fats / g·l⁻¹ | 0.16  |
| chlorides / g·l⁻¹ | 3.90  |                      |       |

The measured pH value of 4.88 in this study was within the limits of 4.4–5.4 reported in the literature.22–24 The increase in acidity of the OMW was due to several factors, such as the duration of the stay in the storage basins.25 This can be explained by auto-oxidation and polymerisation reactions, which transform phenolic alcohols into phenolic acids. These reactions are manifested by a change in the initial colouring of the OMW to dark black. Indeed, the OMW under study was characterised by a very dark colouring.

Electrical conductivity is closely related to the concentration of dissolved ionic substances (total dissolved solids) and to their nature. The results obtained in this study (34 mS·cm⁻¹) are comparable to those found in general literature. As salinity is related to electrical conductivity,26 this was indicative of the presence of a high content of mineral salts.
The OMW understudy was slightly loaded with suspended matter. According to Table 1, turbidity and SM values were 138.9 NTU and 0.385 g l\(^{-1}\), respectively. The low values of turbidity and SM may be explained by the origin of the OMW sampling. The latter were sampled from storage tanks over an average duration of a few days, and the suspended matter decreased under the effect of settling.

The OMW was very rich in organic matter expressed in terms of chemical and biological oxygen demands. According to Table 1, the values of COD and BOD, were 183 and 7 g l\(^{-1}\), respectively. However, the very low BOD/COD ratio indicated a poor biodegradability of the OMW. When discharged without proper treatment, OMW causes organic pollution to water and soil, and asphyxiation of microorganisms. Most authors attribute this high toxicity to the presence of phenolic compounds.\(^{5-6}\)

The content of phenolic compounds in OMW can reach up to 24 g l\(^{-1}\).\(^{1,2}\) In our case, the value of 1.72 g l\(^{-1}\) was lower than the value reported in the literature due to the origin of the OMW. The phenolic composition of the effluent depends on not only the variety, the maturity of the fruit, and the climatic conditions, but also on the technological processes used to separate the aqueous phase (OMW) from the oily phase.

Table 1 shows that the average chloride ion value was 3.9 g l\(^{-1}\). The high chloride content was due to the practice of salting (adding salt in large quantities) to preserve the olives before the extraction process.

The residual fat content in OMW depends on the olive oil extraction system. The centrifugation process achieves low rates compared to the traditional process. Table 1 shows that the obtained fat content explains the viscous appearance linked to the presence of the oily fraction. It forms a lipid layer on the surface of the OMW at the level of the basins, which could limit natural evaporation.

### 3.3 Microbial communities of OMW samples

The microbiota of the olive mill wastewater is reported in Table 2. The standard plate count values for some samples were related to the environmental conditions of this liquid effluent.

| Microbiota                  | Bacterial count (in 10\(^{8}\) CFU/ml) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| total aerobic mesophilic flora | 0.55                                   |
| total coliforms             | 0                                      |
| faecal coliforms            | 0                                      |
| faecal streptococci         | 0                                      |
| yeasts and moulds           | 1.4                                    |
| fungi                       | 10.8                                   |
| lactic acid bacteria        | 4.2                                    |

Although OMW is not adequate for microorganism evolution, certain bacteria, yeasts, and fungi are resistant to acidic conditions as well as to the presence of phenolic compounds.\(^{23}\) Table 2 reveals the enumeration of microorganisms present in OMW understudy.

The obtained total aerobic mesophilic flora count is slightly lower than that reported in the literature.\(^{5,23}\) It is dependent on the extraction process, the adopted operating conditions, the region, and the storage conditions of the OMW, as well as on the physicochemical characteristics (pH, phenolic compounds, mineral salts, heavy metals, fatty acids...).

Yeasts, moulds, and fungi can grow better than bacteria in OMW.\(^{6,23}\) The tolerant power of the two groups is a genetic trait.

Lactic acid bacteria are also present in the OMW. The found value was 4.2 \(10^3\) CFU/ml. Their presence was indicative of their resistance to the aggressive medium of OMW.\(^{23}\) However, their disappearance becomes normal over time due to the decrease in their resistance.

No faecal bacteria were detected in this study. The absence of these human pathogens was attributed to their sensitivity to low pH and the antibacterial activity of phenolics. Therefore, it can be stated that the studied OMW presented no sanitary or hygienic problem.

### 3.4 Anaerobic fermentation of OMW

Immobilised cells on pozzolan and bovine bones were selected for further studies by sieving under sterile conditions.

#### 3.4.1 Carbon dioxide productivity

In this work, 23 yeast strains were isolated from OMW. However, the strains were differentiated by their carbon dioxide productivity. In the pre-selection procedure, it can be noted that the syringe piston raised very quickly in certain fermentation tubes but took a long time in others depending on the cells’ bioactivity and the fermentation medium. Fig. 1 shows the gas productivity of the best four isolated strains comparing Sabouraud and OMW fermentation media.

In the Sabouraud medium, gas production increased to reach up to 615 ml l\(^{-1}\) on the third day and then decreased. On the other hand, the amount of produced gas in OMW was lower yet increasing even after five days. It can be concluded that yeast fermentation activity in Sabouraud was greater and faster than that in OMW. This was because Sabouraud was richer in glucose than OMW, which can be consumed directly by the yeast cells. However, the incubated strains have to first adapt to OMW, which can be consumed directly by the yeast cells. However, the incubated strains have to first adapt to OMW, and then begin the biotransformation of the existing nutritional compounds in the medium.\(^{19}\) The best yeast strain produced up to 175 ml l\(^{-1}\) on the fifth day of fermentation referring to Fig. 1.
3.4.2 pH and ethanol

In the last step, the yeast strain with the best fermentative activity was selected for further experiments regarding the production of bioethanol. Figs. 2 and 3 show the evolution of pH and ethanol productivity from OMW and Sabouraud using free, immobilised cells on pozzolan (IMC-POZZ) and immobilized cells on bovine bones (IMC-BONE).

In both cases, the pH decreased probably due to the production of pyruvic acid, the precursor of ethanol. Comparing the two fermentation media, the drop in pH was immediate and greater in Sabouraud than it was in OMW. This confirmed the observed behaviour indicated during the carbon dioxide production experiments.
In Sabouraud medium, ethanol was produced in measurable quantities within 1 h of fermentation, and reached maximum of up to 2 to 2.5 % (v/v) in about 20 h. This was corroborated by the pH measurements. After a plateau, it was observed that ethanol productivity tended to decrease after the consumption of large quantities of glucose. On the other hand, ethanol productivity in OMW was lower due to unavailability of nutrients for the yeast cells contrary to Sabouraud medium. The plateau was reached within 40 h of fermentation with up to 1.4 % (v/v) of ethanol production.

In this study, the advantage of using yeast strains extracted from OMW was their assumed resistance to polyphenol toxicity. Zanichelli et al. demonstrated the inhibitory effect of polyphenols on the alcoholic fermentation of OMW.90 Furthermore, the OMW was diluted 10 times to reduce the polyphenol concentration. However, dilution also affected the concentration of carbon source needed by the microorganisms for conversion to bioethanol.

Comparison of bioethanol productivity of free vs. immobilised cells (Figs. 2 and 3) suggested that cell immobilisation enabled an increase in the productivity of ethanol from both OMW and Sabouraud. Slightly better performance was attributed to the cells immobilised on bovine bones. This was probably due to the higher cell density in the immobilisation support than free cells in the solution. In addition, bovine bones exhibit a higher porosity than pozolan, providing additional sites for cell attachment leading to better productivity.

4 Conclusion
Wastewaters generated by the Ennakhla-Chlef Algeria olive mill were characterised. The physicochemical properties revealed a poor biodegradability of this effluent, suggesting its negative impact on soil and watercourses. However, the significant content of minerals and phenolics would permit the use of OMW as a source of valuable products. The microbiological characterisation identified the presence of lactic bacteria, which could be of practical relevance.

This study has shown that it is possible to produce bioethanol from OMW using Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast strains previously isolated from OMW. Bioethanol was produced at an extent of 1.4 % (v/v) by anaerobic fermentation of OMW via immobilisation of the best performing yeast cells onto pozolan and bovine bones. Further research is needed to optimise the fermentation conditions, such as dilution effect, inhibitory effect of polyphenols, nutritional supplement for the yeast cells, as well as competitive interference with other microorganisms.
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SAŽETAK

Proizvodnja bioetanola iz otpadnih voda prerade maslina primjenom imobiliziranih stanica

Khadidja Ayadi, a* Malika Meziane, b Djawed Rouam, b Mohammed Noureddine Bouziane b i Khaled El-Miloudi c

U ovom radu analizirane su fizikalno-kemijske i mikrobiološke karakteristike otpadnih voda tradičionalnih postrojenja za preradu maslinova ulja u Ennakhla-Chlefu u sjevernom Alžiru. Uzorci otpadne vode imali su slabu kiselost od 0.65 % uz pH = 4,88 i električnu provodnost od 34 mS cm⁻¹. Vrijednosti kemijske i biološke (BPK₅) potrošnje kisika kretale su se do 183 odnosno 7 g l⁻¹. Nadalje, uzorci su sadržavali 1,72 g l⁻¹ ukupnih fenola. Preliminarna mikrobiološka analiza ukazala je na prisutnost raznih mikroorganizama, osobito bakterija mliječne kiseline i Enterococcus sp.
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