Leiomyomatosis Peritonealis Disseminata Associated with Endometriosis and Multiple Uterus-Like Mass: Report of Two Cases
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Abstract: Leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminate (LPD) is a rare benign disease of unknown etiology of women in reproductive age. A few reported cases of association with endometriosis have been described suggesting a possible origin from submesothelial multipotent cells. We present two cases of LPD associated with endometriosis expressing smooth muscle metaplasia, and some of the nodules with aspects of uterus-like mass. Laparoscopy, gross findings, and the pathological and immunohistochemical study of the surgical specimens were described. Our findings suggest an endometriotic origin for the LPD and indicate that the therapeutic approach might contemplate the surgical reduction of the nodules and endometriosis treatment.
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**Introduction**
Leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminata (LPD) is a rare benign disease of unknown etiology in women of reproductive age. It is characterized by multiple subperitoneal or peritoneal smooth muscle tumors of varying sizes on the omentum and peritoneal surfaces. A possible origin from submesothelial multipotential cells has been suggested, although it is not clear if the stimulus to smooth cell differentiation is hormonal, genetic, or both. The few reported cases of association between LPD and endometriosis favor a hypothesis of a common origin for both the lesions. However, the mechanisms involved in this association are unknown. It is not clear whether the leiomyomatous nodules originate from the endometriosis foci, or if both the conditions correspond to different clinicopathological presentations of a common metaplastic phenomenon. Another extremely rare condition, also possibly originating from the submesothelial multipotential cells, is the uterus-like mass, defined as an extrauterine mass composed of smooth muscle and a central cavity lined by endometrium, resembling a normal uterus. The peritoneal localization of benign smooth muscle cells lesions, such as leiomyomas or uterus-like mass, is an intriguing fact that offers an unique opportunity to understand the mechanisms of extrauterine mullerian differentiation, known as mullerianosis. In this study, we describe two cases of LPD associated with endometriosis, with some of the nodules resembling uterus-like mass, and with clear evidence of smooth-muscle metaplasia in the stromal component of endometriosis. Furthermore, we discuss the origin of peritoneal smooth muscle lesions from endometrial stroma.

**Methods and Case Reports**
We describe two cases referred to one of the authors (FMC) to review the hematoxylin-eosin slides and perform the immunohistochemical study.

Case 1 — A 32-year-old previously healthy nulliparous woman, who had a history of abnormal vaginal bleeding in 2004. In that occasion, she was submitted to a hysteroscopic myomectomy. She remained asymptomatic until 2008, when she presented a pelvic mass of 86.0 mm at ultrasound examination, associated with serum CA-125 of 138 U/mL. At laparoscopy, there were innumerable nodules involving pelvic and abdominal peritoneal surfaces, omentum, and the left ovary, varying from few millimeters up to 50.0 mm. Some of the nodules were associated with the central cystic cavities filled with dark brown viscous fluid. There were also classical peritoneal endometriotic lesions of red flame-like type. Some of the nodules had been excised for pathological study. After the diagnosis, she received goserelin for 6 months. The control magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed significant reduction in the nodules and the CA-125 was normal. Fifteen months later, the serum CA-125 was 36.0 U/mL and the MRI revealed a left ovarian mass of 65.0 mm associated with multiple pelvic nodules measuring up to 45.0 mm in diameter. Computed tomography (CT) scan of the lungs showed 28 nodules (Fig. 1). She received goserelin for another 6 months, but CT scan did not show any change in the lesions. Now, she has been taking anastrozole for 6 months, with stable disease. The last serum CA-125 was 69.1 U/mL.

Case 2 — A 41-year-old woman was submitted to laparoscopy for surgical treatment of deep infiltrating endometriosis involving rectovaginal space, ovary, peritoneum and rectosigmoid. She complained of pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, intestinal transit disturbances and proctalgia. There was no sign or symptom of anemia, weight loss, or weakness. At laparoscopy, there were innumerable nodules ranging from few millimeters to 20.0 mm, involving all the peritoneal surfaces, although more numerous in the pelvis, and not infiltrative in the subjacent viscera. Greater and lesser omentums were extensively involved. The nodules were solid, firm, and white.

Figure 1. CT scan of lungs showing numerous nodules.
but some of those localized in the left paracolic gutter were darker, suggesting being filled with hemorrhagic material (Fig. 2). There were peritoneal superficial endometriotic lesions and an endometriotic ovarian cyst at the left side measuring 25.0 mm. As the lesions were unresectable, we carried out left salpingooophorectomy and partial excision of the lesions in the left paracolic gutter, vesicouterine peritoneum, and pouch of Douglas. The specimen was sent for pathological examination. Patient has been receiving medroxyprogesterone for 4 months without signs of disease progression.

**Pathological Study**

Microscopic examination of the lesions of the two cases was very similar and characterized by multiple nodular proliferation comprising bundles of spindle-shaped smooth muscle cells, without atypia, necrosis, or mitosis. The nodules were embedded in fat tissue, and were mostly well circumscribed, but some of them had an infiltrative border (Fig. 3A and B). Some nodules presented central area with stromal and epithelial endometrial tissue admixed with the smooth muscle cells, creating an aspect of the uterine corpus wall (Fig. 3C and D). Some glands were cystically dilated. Variable amounts of blood, necrotic-appearing endometrium, fibrosis, and hemosiderin-laden macrophages were associated with the endometrial tissue.

We selected to immunohistochemistry study either areas of pure leiomyoma as sections of the lesions containing both the components (smooth muscle and endometrial). The histological sections were immunostained for estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), CD10 (Common Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia Antigen or CALLA), smooth muscle actin (SMA), desmin, CD117 (c-kit), calretinin, Wilms’ tumor suppressor gene (WT1), Protein gene product (PGP 9.5), and Ki-67. The sources and dilutions of the antibodies and epitope retrieval methods used are listed in Table 1. Bound antibodies for ER, PR, Ki-67, WT1, CD17, CD10, and PGP 9.5 were detected using Novolink method (Leica, USA). For calretinin, we used Envision (Dako, USA) and for SMA and desmin we used avidin-biotin complex (ABC) method (Vector, USA). Negative and positive controls were used for each antibody. For positive controls we use archival human tissues proved positive for the desired antigen. For negative controls, the primary antibody was omitted.

On immunohistochemistry examination, spindle cells of smooth muscle areas were strongly positive for desmin (Fig. 4A), smooth muscle actin (SMA), WT-1, ER and PR. Few smooth muscle cells were also positive for CD10 and CD117. The endometrial stromal cells were diffusely positive for CD10 (Fig. 4B), ER, PR, smooth muscle actin (Fig. 4C), and WT-1. The stromal endometrial component showed scattered morphological endometrial spindle cells with immunoexpression of desmin (Fig. 4D). Both the mesenchymal components were negative for calretinin and PGP 9.5. The glands were diffusely positive for ER and PR.
Discussion

Multiple peritoneal leiomyomas were first described in a case report in 1952, as a feature associated with a granulosa cell ovarian tumor. However, only in 1965, the condition was named “leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminata” and characterized as an entity related to uterine leiomyomas. Since then, isolated cases have been described, without consensus about the histogenesis of this rare condition. First, it was suggested that LPD corresponded to a benign reparative process in which fibroblasts replaced subperitoneal deciduas. The first case of LPD associated with endometriosis was described in 1980, when the authors suggested a metaplasia of the subcoelomic mesenchyme in the pathogenesis of the peritoneal smooth cell lesions. The largest series of LPD was published in 1982. The authors described 20 patients with an age ranged from 22 to 41 years; half of them were pregnant or immediately postpartum. Most of the nodules were composed of smooth muscle cells, but myofibroblasts, fibroblasts, collagen and decidual stromal cells were also noted. One of the patients had extensive endometriosis, including stromal endometrial cells associated with smooth muscle nodules. However, the authors interpreted this association as very rare and probably unrelated. On the other hand, they discussed the role of primitive mesenchymal stem cell as the origin of fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, stromal endometrial cells, decidual cells, and even endometrial glandular epithelium. In that study, the authors emphasized the hormonal role in the initiation and/or promotion of the LPD. Various reports of association between LPD and endometriosis have been described, reinforcing the theory of metaplastic origin of LPD. However, it is difficult to admit that a rare disease, such as LPD, can have the same origin and hormonal influence as that of endometriosis, an extremely common condition. On the other hand, smooth muscle metaplasia is an event recognizable in stromal endometriosis, which could be demonstrated in our cases. We can postulate that LPD is a rare event in the spectrum of endometriosis, and we can speculate that even those cases where endometrial tissue is not found can correspond to a special clinicopathological form of this disease. However, we cannot ignore the important insights of Batt et al when defining the mullerianosis condition—misplaced endometrial or mullerian tissue—and the possible explanation for the

| Antigen                          | Clone/source       | Dilution | Epitope retrieval method |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| ER (estrogen receptor)           | SP1/Neomarkers     | 1:1000   | Pressure cooker           |
| PR (progesterone receptor)       | PgR636/Dako        | 1:1600   | Pressure cooker           |
| CD10                             | 270/Novocastra     | 1:200    | Steamer 60               |
| Smooth muscle actin              | 1A4/Dako           | 1:600    | Steamer 60               |
| Desmin                           | D33/Dako           | 1:3200   | Microwave oven            |
| c-kit (CD117)                    | Polyclonal/Dako    | 1:450    | Microwave oven            |
| Calretinin                       | SP13/Neomarkers    | 1:400    | Steamer 60               |
| WT-1                             | 6FH2/Dako          | 1:400    | Pressure cooker           |
| Protein gene product (PGP 9.5)   | Polyclonal/Dako    | 1:3200   | Steamer 60               |
| Ki-67                            | MIB1/Dako          | 1:4800   | Pressure cooker           |

Pressure cooker: Citrate buffer (pH 6) (Tender Cooker, Nordic Wave, USA); Microwave: Citrate buffer (pH 6), 15 min (Eletrolux, 900W).
Leiomyomatosis peritonealis and endometriosis

extrauterine uterus-like mass, according this concept, as a development entity. Our endometriosis foci within the smooth muscle nodules showed evidence of muscle metaplasia, and besides, the transition between both the components were not well demarcated and the borders of the muscle nodules were infiltrative at times, a finding more likely to be observed in endometriosis than in a development entity, such as mullerianosis. Clement, in his excellent review about endometriosis, recognizes smooth muscle metaplasia, that can be focal or extensive, constituting entities like endomyometriosis and uterus-like mass. The extensive replacement of the stromal component of endometriosis was initially described by Cozzutto in 1981. In the same year, Rohlfing described the same association with the description of nodules resembling uterus miniature. The uterus-like mass is characterized by a central cavity lined by endometrium surrounded by a thick wall composed of smooth muscle and is still a very rare condition with a questionable histogenesis. Our cases show the classical presentation of LPD, but some of the nodules share many similarities with miniature uterus. The association with endometriosis are more striking than some of the previously reports and the aspects of classical endometriosis and LPD merge subtlety with the uterus-like appearance. The clinical evolutions of the two cases as the gross presentation of the disease are highly consistent with endometriosis. Besides, the pathological findings clearly demonstrate the endometriosis associated with smooth muscle metaplasia and transition between stromal endometriotic cells and muscle nodules. It is possible that most of the LPD cases, even those without a clear association with endometriosis, correspond to a variant of the endometriosis disease. Other explanations have been suggested, such as a possible iatrogenic cause for the disease. This latter hypothesis invites us to think about a possible parallel between the implant theory of endometriosis and the smooth muscle implants occurring in leiomyoma morcellation, as suggested by Al-Talib and Tulandi. A possible implantation theory is consistent with the role of mullerian stem cells located in topic endometrium as the generator of most of the cases of endometriosis and, probably, also the LPD as well. This possible relation to endometriosis might be considered at the moment of therapeutic planning. Although there is no consensus about the ideal management of LPD, surgery and estrogenic suppression are the mainstay. Hormonal treatment includes GnRH, aromatase inhibitors, and megestrol acetate, and has been described as useful in many cases. Similar to endometriosis, some cases of LPD can be resistant to hormonal suppression, and some lesions can present local and distant recurrences. For such aggressive cases, chemotherapy with doxorubicin and carbazine can be administered.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest an endometriotic origin for the LPD and indicate that the therapeutic approach might contemplate surgical reduction of the nodules and endometriosis control. Treatment could be started by decreasing the estrogen level either by the administration of GnRHa or an aromatase inhibitor. In severe cases, particularly those not responsive to hormonal blockage, oophorectomy must be considered.
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