Comparative analysis of the complete mitochondrial genomes of related species *Chrysolophus amherstiae* and *Chrysolophus pictus*
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**ABSTRACT**

*Chrysolophus amherstiae* and *Chrysolophus pictus* are two related species of *Chrysolophus*. Understanding the differences in their mitochondrial genome structure is of great significance for studying their phylogenetic relationship. In this study, the full mitochondrial genome of *C. amherstiae* was sequenced and annotated and analyzed with *C. pictus* to reveal their structural differences. At the same time, the phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the BI and ML methods with other species of the same family to analyze the phylogenetic relationship of Phasianidae. The results showed that the basic characteristics of mitochondrial genomes of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* were similar. By comparing the secondary structures of rRNA and the relative synonymous codon usage of protein-coding genes, the subtle differences of mitochondrial structures between the two were further demonstrated.

1. Introduction

Mitochondria is an organelle existing in most eukaryotic cells. It provides more than 95% of energy for eukaryotic cells by participating in oxidative phosphorylation of the respiratory chain. It has its own genetic material and genetic system, is a semi-autonomous organelle (Boore 1999). Due to its advantages of small size, maternal inheritance, rapid evolution, and fewer introns, the mitochondrial genome has been widely used in the study of phylogenetic evolution among related species, maternal evolution, the relationship of geographical distribution, the origin of animals, hybridization–introgression in nature and so on (Gui 1990).

The *Chrysolophus* belongs to Phasianidae of Galliformes, which contains *Chrysolophus amherstiae* and *Chrysolophus pictus*. The two species are similar in body shape, but there is huge disparity in the color of their feathers. The *C. pictus* are mainly golden yellow and dark red, and most of the body of the *C. amherstiae* is metallic emerald green and white. Through transcriptome sequencing, genes related to pigment synthesis were compared between the two species and the evolutionary mechanism of feather color was explored (Gao 2016). There are also differences in the distribution locations of them. The *C. pictus* is endemic to China, mainly distributed in the south of the Qinling Mountains to the northeast of Yunnan, with an elevation of 800–1600 m. While most of the *C. amherstiae* are found in Sichuan, western Guizhou, Guangxi, southeastern Xizang and Yunnan, some of them extend from western Yunnan to Myanmar, and are commonly seen at an altitude of 1800–3600 m (Zheng 2017). As the distribution ranges of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* overlap, and both of them can produce hybrid offspring in cage or natural state (Shi et al. 2018), it indicates that the reproductive isolation mechanism between the two species is not perfect and the taxonomic status is controversial. At present, the incomplete mitochondria of them were analyzed by mitochondrial DNA restriction nuclease, found that their genetic distance was only 0.012, and the time of differentiation was about 0.6 million years ago. It was thought that they might be just two subspecies (Zhang et al. 1991). But according to the cytchrome b gene, their genetic distance was calculated to be 0.025, they were considered to have diverged at least 1.7 million years ago, suggesting that they were two independent species (Xiangyu et al. 2000). Similarly, the genetic distance between them was estimated to be 0.027–0.043 by mitochondrial control region sequences, and the time of divergence was thought to be about 1.75 million years, supporting the fact that the two species were separate...
In a word, the appearance and distribution between *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* were diverse, and the current studies were limited to a single gene or fragment, lack of complete and specific comparative analysis.

In this study, the whole mitochondrial genome of the *C. amherstiae* was amplified, and its structure was further analyzed, then compared it with *C. pictus*. The rRNA secondary structures and the relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) of protein-coding genes (PCGs) in the two species were analyzed in detail for the first time, revealing the evolutionary characteristics and relationships between the two closely related species.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection and DNA extraction

The sample of *C. amherstiae* was collected in Lushan County (102°52′ E, 30°30′ N), Sichuan Province, China in January 2010, and the muscle tissues were stored in the Zoology Laboratory of College of Life Science, Sichuan Agricultural University (no. 000879). The total DNA of *C. amherstiae* was extracted with TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) which was detected by 1% AGAR gel electrophoresis and stored at −20 °C.

2.2. Amplification and sequencing of mitochondrial genome

The primers were designed based on *C. pictus* (MN857545) from GenBank and synthesized by Sangon Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China). The amplification system was 20 μL, and the upstream and downstream primers were 0.5 μL (10 μmol/L), 0.8 μL for DNA, 10 μL for 2 × Taq Master Mix, and 8.5 μL for ddH2O. The PCR reaction conditions were as follows: pre-denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min; 94 °C denaturation for 30 s, annealing for 30 s, 72 °C extension for 90 s, cycling for 35 times; extended at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplified products were detected by 1% AGAR gel electrophoresis, when the band size and concentration matched, they were sent to Sangon Biological Company (Shanghai, China) for Sanger sequencing.

2.3. Assembly and analysis of mitogenomes sequences

According to the reference sequence on the NCBI, Lasergene was used to assemble the original data to obtain the complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *C. amherstiae* and then, compared with the mitochondrial genome sequence of *C. pictus* to determine the positions of 13 PCGs and two rRNAs, and calculate the bases composition. tRNAs were predicted by mitochondrial tRNA Forecast website (http://130.235.244.92/ARWEN/index.html). The predicted tRNAs, intergenic spacers, and overlapping regions between genes by manual check. Combined with the 12S rRNA (*Gallus gallus*) and 16S rRNA (*Chrysophalus pictus*), secondary structures downloaded from RNA database (http://www.rna.ccbb.utexas.edu/) and RNA Central (https://rncentral.org/), and compared with the rRNA secondary structures of some birds (Ke et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2012), rRNA secondary structures of the two species were predicted. RSCU was analyzed with MEGA 7.

2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

In this study, 21 species of 10 genera of Phasianidae were selected for phylogenetic analysis, and *Aix galericulata* was selected as outgroup, their mitochondrial genomes were downloaded from NCBI (Table S1). Both maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) were employed for phylogenetic analyses, which were performed using MEGA 7 and PhylodSuite V1.2.2.

3. Results

3.1. General characters and composition of mitogenomes

The complete mitogenomes sequences of the two species were 16,679 bp (*C. amherstiae*, MW880933) and 16,678 bp (*C. pictus*), the contents of A, T, G, and C were basically similar. The mitochondrial genomes of both species contained 13 PCGs (COX1-3, ND1-6, ND4L, ATP6, ATP8, Cytb), two rRNA genes (12S rRNA and 16S rRNA), 22 tRNA genes, and a D-loop region. Among them, eight tRNA genes (tRNA^{Gln}, tRNA^{Thr}, tRNA^{Arg}, tRNA^{Cys}, tRNA^{Glu}, tRNA^{Pro}, tRNA^{Gln}) and a protein-coding gene (ND6) were located on the mitochondrial light chain while other genes were encoded on the heavy chain (Figure 1). There were nine overlapping regions in the whole circular of *C. amherstiae*, with a total of 32 bp, the *C. pictus* had eight overlapping regions and a total of 31 bp. The size of the two species’ overlapping regions varied from 1 to 10 bp, and the largest overlap was between ATP8 and ATP6. There were 17 intergenic spacers in both *C. amherstiae* (49 bp in total) and *C. pictus* (50 bp in total). The size of the intergenic spacers ranged from 1 to 11 bp, and the largest intergenic spacer was between tRNA^{Leu} and ND1 (Table 1).

3.2. Protein-coding genes and relative synonymous codon usage

The nucleotide composition of 13 PCGs in the mitochondria of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* was similar to other birds. The AT content of 13 PCGs of *C. amherstiae* was 51.52–59.39%, and the *C. pictus* was 50.84–61.21%, and they showed similar A and T nucleotide biases (Table 2). The ND4L gene had the lowest AT content and the ATP8 gene was the highest both in *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus*. The use of start codon and stop codon of *C. amherstiae* was the same as that of *C. pictus*. Except that the start codon of COX1 was GTG, the others were ATG. In terms of termination codons, ND2, ND4, and COX3 were terminated with incomplete T, ND6 and COX1

(Huang et al. 2006).
Table 1. Annotation of the mitochondrial genome of *Chrysolophus amherstiae* (C.a) and *Chrysolophus pictus* (C.p).

| Gene          | Direction | Nucleotide number | Size (bp) | IGS (bp) | Anticodon | Start codon | Stop codon |
|---------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| D-loop        | +         | 1–1150            | 1150     | 0        | GAA       |             |            |
| tRNA-Phe      | +         | 1151–1218         | 68       | 0        |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Val      | +         | 1218–2186         | 969      | –1       |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Val      | +         | 2187–2259         | 73       | 0        | TAC       |             |            |
| 16S rRNA      | +         | 2260–3865         | 1606     | 0        |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Leu(UUR) | +         | 3865–3938         | 74       | –1       | TAA       |             |            |
| ND1           | +         | 3950–4024         | 975      | 11       |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Ile      | +         | 4925–4996         | 72       | 0        | GAT       |             |            |
| tRNA-Gln      | –         | 5003–5073         | 71       | 6        | TTG       |             |            |
| ND2           | +         | 5142–6180         | 1039     | 0        |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Arg      | –         | 6181–6256         | 76       | 0        | TCA       |             |            |
| tRNA-Leu      | –         | 6262–6330         | 69       | 5        | TGC       |             |            |
| tRNA-Asn      | –         | 6334–6406         | 73       | 3        | GTT       |             |            |
| tRNA-Cys      | –         | 6409–6475         | 67       | 2        | GCA       |             |            |
| tRNA-Tyr      | –         | 6475–6545         | 71       | –1       | GGA       |             |            |
| COXI          | +         | 6547–8097         | 1551     | 1        |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Arg      | –         | 8089–8163         | 75       | –9       | TGA       |             |            |
| ND3           | +         | 8166–8234         | 69       | 2        | GTC       |             |            |
| COXII         | +         | 8236–8919         | 684      | 1        | ATG       | TAA         |            |
| tRNA-Lys      | +         | 8921–8989         | 68       | 1        | TTT       |             |            |
| ATP8          | +         | 8990–9154         | 165      | 1        |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Asp      | –         | 9145–9828         | 684      | –10      | TGG       |             |            |
| COXIII        | +         | 9828–10611        | 784      | –1       |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Gly      | +         | 10612–10680       | 69       | 0        | TCC       |             |            |
| ND4           | +         | 10681–11032       | 352      | 0        | ATG       |             |            |
| tRNA-Arg      | +         | 11034–11102       | 69       | 1        | TCC       |             |            |
| ND4L          | +         | 11103–11399       | 297      | 0        | ATG       |             |            |
| tRNA-Asp      | –         | 11393–12270       | 1378     | –7       |           |             |            |
| tRNA-Glu      | –         | 12271–12839       | 69       | 0        | GTG       |             |            |
| tRNA-Ser(AGN) | +         | 12841–12906       | 66       | 1        | GCT       |             |            |
| tRNA-Leu(UUR) | +         | 12906–12976       | 71       | –1       | TAG       |             |            |
| ND5           | +         | 12977–14794       | 1818     | 0        | ATG       | TAA         |            |
| CYTB          | +         | 14799–15941       | 1143     | 4        | ATG       | TAA         |            |
| tRNA-Thr      | +         | 15943–16011       | 69       | 1        | TGT       |             |            |
| tRNA-Pro      | –         | 16014–16082       | 69       | 2        | TGG       |             |            |
| tRNA-Ser      | –         | 16089–16610       | 522      | 6        | ATG       |             |            |
| tRNA-Leu      | –         | 16612–16679       | 68       | 1        | TTC       |             |            |
with TAG and AGG, and the remaining genes were terminated with TAA.

The codons study of 13 PCGs of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* showed that the total number of codons was 3786, and no codon ACG (coding Thr) was detected. The most commonly used codons were CUA (*C. amherstiae* 278 times, *C. pictus* 279 times), AUC (*C. amherstiae* 215 times, *C. pictus* 214 times), and CUC (*C. amherstiae* 174 times, *C. pictus* 179 times). The corresponding amino acids were Thr and Ala of *C. amherstiae*, while Gly of *C. pictus* was Tyr, and at the 194th amino acid position, there was a subtle distinction in the use frequency of synonymous codon for different amino acids (Figure 2). For example, the codon CUG was used more frequently in Leu2 of *C. pictus* (214 times), and CUC (278 times), AUC (279 times), AUC (*C. amherstiae* 214 times, *C. pictus* 214 times), and CUC (*C. amherstiae* 174 times, *C. pictus* 179 times). The corresponding amino acids were Leu2, Ile, and Leu1, respectively. According to the number of amino acids encoded by 13 PCGs in them, *C. pictus* encoded one more Arg, His, Met, Pro, Thr, and Val than *C. amherstiae*. *C. amherstiae* had one more Cys, Gly, Ile, Leu, and two Ser than *C. pictus*. By comparing the RSCU values of the two species, there was a subtle distinction in the use frequency of synonymous codon for different amino acids (Figure 2). For example, the codon CUG was used more frequently in Leu2 of *C. pictus* than *C. amherstiae*, while Gly of *C. amherstiae* used codon GGG more often than Gly of *C. pictus*. In addition, at the 13th amino acid position of ATP6 gene, *C. amherstiae* was Cys but *C. pictus* was Tyr, and at the 194th amino acid position, there were Thr and Ala of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus*, respectively.

### 3.3. tRNAs and rRNAs

There were 22 tRNAs in the mitochondrial genome of the *C. amherstiae*, including two repetitive tRNA\(^{\text{eu}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{Ser}}\). The length range of the sequences was 66–76 bp. By predicting the secondary structure of tRNAs, tRNA\(^{\text{Ser}}\) (AGN) was found unable to form a complete cloverleaf secondary structure due to the lack of dihydrouracil (DHU) loop, and the rest of tRNAs could take shape a complete cloverleaf secondary structure. The basic tRNA characteristics of *C. pictus* are the same as those of *C. amherstiae*.

There were two rRNAs, including 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA in the mitochondria of both *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus*. 12S rRNA was located between tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{Val}}\) the length of 12S rRNA of the two species was the same, both were 969 bp, but there were 11 bp differences in bases. 16S rRNA was located in between tRNA\(^{\text{Val}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{Leu}}\) (UUU), *C. amherstiae* was 1606 bp, and *C. pictus* was 1605 bp, there were 16 bp differences. By predicting the secondary structure of rRNA, it can be seen that the stem-loop structures in the diagram were arranged alternately, and the basic structures of the two were roughly the same. Their 12S rRNA secondary structures all contained four domains (I–IV) and 46 stem-loop structures (Figure 3). The differences between them mainly existed in the ring regions of domain I and domain II, and only one base difference existed in domain III. Both 16S rRNA secondary structures contained 6 domains (I–VI) and 65 stem-loop structures (Figure 4), and domain II contained five bases differences the most. On the whole, the differences between the two were mostly in the loop region, while the stem regions were relatively conservative. However, due to the differences of individual bases, the stem-loop structures were changed. For example, the base C was inserted at 765 bp of 16S rRNA of *C. amherstiae* (Figure 4(A), red arrow), resulting in a larger ring structure there than that of *C. pictus*.

### 3.4. Phylogenetic analysis

Mitochondrial genome sequences of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* were compared with those of other 19 species belonging to nine genera (*Crossoptilon*, *Lophura*, *Phasianus*, *Syrmaticus*, *Lyrurus*, *Pucrasia*, *Lophophorus*, *Tetraophasis*, *Pavo*) of Pheasiniidae. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by BI and ML methods, *Aix galericulata* was selected as an outgroup.

The results of phylogenetic trees obtained by the two methods were slightly different, but the BI tree has higher confidence (Figures 5 and 6). Except for the outgroup of *Aix galericulata*, the other 22 species of Pheasiniidae were divided into 10 branches, they were belonged to 10 genera. In addition, the other nine genera except *Pavo* were assembled into a large cluster. In this large cluster, *Lophophorus* and *Tetraophasis* were gathered into a branch, *Pucrasia*, *Crossoptilon*, *Chrysolophus*, *Lophura*, *Phasianus*, *Syrmaticus*, and *Lyrurus* were clustered into a branch, but *Pucrasia* was grouped with *Lophophorus* and *Tetraophasis* in the ML tree. The evolutionary relationship between *Crossoptilon* and *Lophura* was relatively close, and both were polyphyletic groups. It was consistent with the results obtained by previous reports (Bai et al. 2020). The results of this study proved...
Figure 2. The relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) in the mitogenomes of *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus.*
that *Chrysolophus* was clustered with *Crossoptilon* and *Lophura*, which was consistent with some of the current conclusions (Shen et al. 2010), but different from some results, they argued that the *Chrysolophus* and *Phasianus* should be gathered into a tuft (Bai 2020). The *Syrmaticus* was also a polyphyletic group and had a distant internal evolutionary relationship. The relationship between *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* was closer than other species, which was consistent with the current classification.

### 4. Discussion

At present, the study of Pheasinidae and other animals using mitochondrial genomes is developing rapidly. More and more scholars use mitochondrial single genes or full sequences to classify and identify different birds of Pheasinidae and analyze their phylogeny. However, the comparative studies between *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus* are limited to the comparison of individual genes of mitochondria or appearance, and the molecular evidence is not comprehensive enough.

The use of the complete mitochondrial genomes comparison can provide more evidence and obtain more accurate results. In this study, the *C. amherstiae* was sequenced, and its nucleotide content showed AT bias and anti-G shift, the *C. pictus* was the same as it. The basic mitochondria of both are similar to those of most Pheasinidae birds. It was found that there were a few differences in the number and type of bases in the overlapping and discontinuous regions of mitochondrial genes between *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus*, which may be the result of evolutionary selection.

There were incomplete stop codons 'T' in 13 PCGs of both species, these incomplete stop codons may be transformed to TAA via post-transcriptional polyadenylation (Anderson et al. 1981). However, there were still some base variations in the 13 PCGs of the two species, which led to changes in the amino acids they encode, and their amino acids had nuance in use of synonymous codons. Since 13 PCGs are genes encoding polypeptides related to oxidative phosphorylation (Anderson et al. 1981), they can determine the production efficiency of ATP and are closely related to the adaptation of the body to the environment. ATP6 and Cytb were found to be the most sensitive to natural selection, and changes in amino acids at key parts will lead to changes in protein function (Dan et al. 2003). COX2, ND2, ATP6, ATP8, and Cytb were pointed out that through the adaptive choices at high altitudes, it may help them adapt to specific geographical environments (Luo et al. 2013). In this study, there were differences in the bases of 13 PCGs between *C. amherstiae* and *C. pictus*, especially the mutation of two amino acids in ATP6, which changed the protein hydrophobicity and affected the function of ATP6, such as the transformation between ADP and ATP, this phenomenon may be the adaptive evolution of its habitat at higher altitude than the *C. pictus*.
Figure 5. Maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree constructed based on complete mitochondrial genomes from 21 species of 10 genera of Phasianidae. Numbers at the branches indicated the bootstrapping values with 10,000 replications. Filled circle represented a sequence from this study.

Figure 6. Bayesian inference (BI) phylogenetic tree constructed based on complete mitochondrial genomes from 21 species of 10 genera of Phasianidae. Numbers at the branches indicated the bootstrapping values with 10 million generations. Filled circle represented a sequence from this study.
There were some divergences in 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA between \textit{C. amherstiae} and \textit{C. pictus}, mainly located in the ring region. rRNA is the slowest and most conserved gene in the mitochondrial genome (Neefs et al. 1990). The evolution of the general ring region is faster and the differences between species are large, while the stem region is relatively conserved (Woese et al. 1980; Noller 1984). However, none of these differences resulted in significant changes in the secondary structure of rRNA and were similar to that of other birds, possibly because these minor variations were constrained by their structure and function. In addition, there are no spacers in the genes, which is typical of metazoans (Wolstenholme and Jeon 1992). Their tRNA$^{\text{Ser}}$ (AGN) cannot be folded into the cloverleaf secondary structure because of the lack of DHU loop, which is similar to that of most vertebrates (Wang et al. 2004; Zhuang 2007; Ke et al. 2010; Wei et al. 2013; Liu 2014; Zhou, Zhou, et al. 2020; Zhou, Li, et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2021).

The phylogenetic trees of 19 species of birds belonging to nine genera of Pheasiniidae and \textit{Chrysophalus} were constructed by using the whole mitochondrial genomes. The phylogenetic relationship between \textit{C. amherstiae} and \textit{C. pictus} was closest, they were independently grouped into one branch. The \textit{Crossoptilon}, \textit{Lophura}, and \textit{Phasianus} were closely related to the evolution of \textit{Chrysophalus}, and the furthest relationship was \textit{Pavo}. In this study, the relationship between \textit{Chrysophalus} and \textit{Crossoptilon}, \textit{Lophura}, and \textit{Phasianus} is like with some of the findings, and has a high support rate. It can provide reference for the phylogenetic analysis of Pheasiniidae family in the future.
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