MicroRNA-618 Directly Targets Metadherin mRNA To Suppress The Malignant Phenotype Of Osteosarcoma Cells By Reducing PTEN-AKT Pathway Output
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Purpose: Dysregulation of microRNA-618 (miR-618) has been observed in multiple types of human cancer. However, whether miR-618 is implicated in osteosarcoma (OS) initiation and progression is still unclear. Hence, we measured the expression of miR-618 in OS tissues and cell lines. In addition, the roles of miR-618 and the mechanisms underlying its activities in OS cells were examined.

Methods: The expression status of miR-618 in OS was analyzed by reverse-transcription quantitative PCR. The regulatory roles of miR-618 overexpression in OS were explored by the Cell Counting Kit-8 assay, flow-cytometric analysis, Transwell cell migration and invasion assays, and a tumor xenograft experiment.

Results: The results revealed that the expression of miR-618 was notably lower in OS tissues and cell lines, and that the low miR-618 expression significantly correlated with the clinical stage and distant metastasis among patients with OS. Exogenous miR-618 expression significantly suppressed OS cell proliferation, migration, and invasion and induced apoptosis in vitro as well as slowed tumor growth in vivo. Mechanism investigation indicated that metadherin (MTDH) is a direct target gene of miR-618 in OS cells. A knockdown of MTDH mimicked the tumor-suppressive effects of miR-618 upregulation on OS cells. Notably, resumption of MTDH expression attenuated the miR-618-mediated reduction in OS cell growth and metastasis in vitro. In addition, miR-618 overexpression reduced the PTEN-AKT pathway output in OS cells both in vitro and in vivo through downregulation of MTDH.

Conclusion: To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show that miR-618 exerts crucial tumor-suppressive actions in OS pathogenesis by directly targeting MTDH mRNA and reducing PTEN-AKT pathway output. These results will help to elucidate the functions of miR-618 in OS and suggest that this miRNA may be investigated as a therapeutic target in this disease.
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Introduction
Osteosarcoma (OS), deriving from primitive bone-forming mesenchymal cells, is the most prevalent malignant bone tumor.1 OS most commonly occurs in children and adolescents and accounts for ~5% of childhood cancer cases and 8.9% of cancer-associated deaths among children.2 Owing to remarkable advances in the therapeutic techniques, such as wide tumor excision, chemotherapy, radiotherapy,
and immunotherapy, the clinical efficacy of OS management has improved greatly. However, the prognosis of patients with OS remains unsatisfactory, with a 5-year overall-survival rate of only 30%. Most patients with OS eventually present with metastasis and/or recurrence. The details of the OS pathogenesis remain largely unknown; this situation may hamper the search for promising therapeutic targets. Therefore, it is important to fully elucidate the mechanisms of OS carcinogenesis and progression because they may point to novel and effective therapeutic modalities that will improve the prognosis of patients with OS.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a large group of endogenous single-stranded short noncoding RNA molecules with the length of ~18–24 nucleotides. MiRNAs modulate gene expression at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels via binding to the 3′-untranslated region (3′-UTR) of target mRNAs, thereby promoting degradation of messenger RNAs (mRNAs) or suppressing their translation into protein. The alteration in miRNA expression has been frequently reported in almost all human cancer types. Numerous miRNAs have been confirmed to be aberrantly expressed in OS and to function as oncogenic RNAs or tumor suppressors. An increasing number of studies have documented the involvement of miRNAs in the control over multiple steps of OS onset and progression, including cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell cycle, and metastasis. Hence, miRNAs have become promising biomarkers for the diagnosis and targets for the treatment of OS.

Dysregulation of miR-618 has been observed in prostate cancer and thyroid carcinoma. Nonetheless, whether miR-618 is implicated in the OS initiation and progression is still unclear. In this study, we first measured the expression of miR-618 in OS tissues and cell lines. Then, the correlation between miR-618 expression and clinical parameters of patients with OS was evaluated. A series of functional experiments was conducted to assess and validate the influence of miR-618 on the initiation and progression of OS. Moreover, the mechanisms underlying the activities of miR-618 in OS cells were examined.

**Materials And Methods**

**Clinical Tissue Specimens**

A total of 41 patients with OS who underwent surgical resection in The Second Hospital of Shandong University were recruited. None of these patients had received anticancer therapies, such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or immunotherapy. All the tissue specimens were frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after surgical resection and then stored at −80°C. The Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Shandong University approved the study protocol, and all the patients provided written informed consent.

**Cell Culture And Transient Transfection**

Four human OS cell lines (SAOS-2, MG-63, U2OS, and HOS) and the normal human osteoblast hFOB1.19 cell line were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All these cell lines were incubated at 5% CO₂ and 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% of fetal bovine serum (FBS; both from Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% of a penicillin/streptomycin solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

The synthetic miR-618 mimics and miRNA mimic negative control (miR-NC) were purchased from Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, Guangdong, China). The MTDH-overexpressing plasmid was generated by inserting the MTDH cDNA lacking its 3′-UTR into the pCMV vector. This plasmid was chemically synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The small interfering RNA (siRNA) against MTDH (si-MTDH) was acquired from Qiagen GmbH (Hilden, Germany) and used to knock down endogenous MTDH expression. Negative control siRNA (si-NC) served as a control for si-MTDH. RNA oligonucleotides and the plasmid were transfected into cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

**RNA Extraction And Reverse-Transcription Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)**

The TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was employed for total-RNA isolation from the tissue specimens and cells. The concentration of total RNA was determined on a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA using the miScript Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen GmbH). Thereafter, qPCR was performed to measure miR-618 expression with the miScript SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen GmbH). To determine MTDH mRNA expression, reverse transcription was carried out using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara Bio, Dalian, China). Next, qPCR was carried out by means of the SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ Kit.
(Takara Bio, Dalian, China) and an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Small nuclear RNA U6 served as the internal reference for miR-618, and GAPDH was the internal control for MTDH. Relative gene expression was calculated by the 2^−ΔΔCq method.?

A Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) Assay
Transfected cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 3 × 10^3 cells/well. Five replicate wells were set up for each group. After cultivation for 0, 24, 48, or 72 h, the CCK-8 assay was carried out by the addition of 10 μL of the CCK-8 reagent (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan) into every well. The cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2 for additional 2 h, and the absorbance value of each well was measured on a spectrophotometric plate reader (Infinite® 200 PRO; Tecan Group, Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland).

Flow-Cytometric Analysis
Cell apoptosis was assessed using the Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) Apoptosis Detection Kit (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, transfected cells were treated with EDTA-free 0.25% Trypsin (Gibco, Invitrogen) and rinsed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline. The cells were then transferred into a flow tube and resuspended in 100 μL of binding buffer, followed by incubation at room temperature in the dark for 20 min with 5 μL of Annexin V-FITC and 5 μL of a propidium iodide solution. The percentages of apoptotic cells were determined on a flow cytometer (FACScan; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Transwell Migration And Invasion Assays
A Transwell chamber (Costar™; Corning, Inc., Corning, NY, USA) coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was applied to evaluate the cellular invasion capacity. In particular, the transfected cells were collected after 48 h of incubation and then resuspended in the FBS-free culture medium. A total of 200 μL of the suspension containing 5 × 10^4 cells was seeded in the upper chambers. The lower chambers were filled with 500 μL of DMEM containing 20% of FBS, which served as a chemoattractant. Following 24 h incubation at 37°C and 5% CO2, the cells that moved through the membrane were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. Finally, the noninvading cells were removed, and the invading cells were photographed under an inverted microscope (Olympus IX83; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Five random visual fields of each chamber were selected for quantification. The migratory ability of cells was assessed with the experimental procedures similar to the invasion assay, except that the Transwell chambers were not precoated with Matrigel.

A Tumor Xenograft Experiment
All the animal experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of The Second Hospital of Shandong University, and were carried out in accordance with the Animal Protection Law of the People’s Republic of China-2009. Cells transfected with the miR-618 mimics or miR-NC were subcutaneously injected into the upper flank of 4- to 5-week-old nude mice (Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center; Shanghai, China). The width and length of tumor xenografts was measured every week with calipers. All the mice were euthanized 4 weeks after the inoculation. The tumor xenografts were excised and weighed, and their volume was calculated via the following formula: tumor volume (mm^3) = (length × width^2)/2.

Bioinformatics Analysis And A Luciferase Reporter Assay
TargetScan 7.1 (http://www.targetscan.org/) and miRanda (http://www.microrna.org) were employed to predict the potential targets of miR-618. MTDH was found to be a candidate target gene of miR-618. The 3′-UTR fragment of the human MTDH gene containing the predicted wild-type (wt) or mutant (mut) miR-618-binding site was amplified by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. The 3′-UTR fragments were then inserted into the pMIR-REPORT vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to construct the luciferase reporter plasmids: pMIR-MTDH-3′-UTR-wt and pMIR-MTDH-3′-UTR-mut. The luciferase reporter assay was conducted as follows: cells were seeded in 24-well plates, then cotransfected with either the miR-618 mimics or miR-NC and either pMIR-MTDH-3′-UTR-wt or pMIR-MTDH-3′-UTR-mut using Lipofectamine 2000. The transfected cells were harvested at 48 h post-transfection, and the luciferase activity was determined by means of a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). The firefly luciferase activity was normalized to that of Renilla luciferase.

Protein Extraction And Western Blot Analysis
Tissues or cells were lysed using the Active Protein Extraction Kit (KGP1050; Nanjing KeyGen Biotech Co.,
Ltd., Nanjing, China) containing protease inhibitors (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The concentration of the total protein extracted from tissues or cells was measured with the Enhanced BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China). Equal amounts of total protein were loaded for SDS-PAGE on 10% polyacrylamide gels and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). After blocking with 5% skimmed milk for 2 h, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against MTDH (cat. No. sc-517220; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), PTEN (cat. No. ab77161; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), AKT (cat. No. sc-81434; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), phospho-(p-)AKT (cat. No. sc-514032; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or GAPDH (cat. No. ab125247; Abcam). Next, the membranes were washed with Tris-buffered saline supplemented with 0.05% of Tween 20 (TBST) three times and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (cat. No. ab6789; Abcam) as a secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h. Immunoreactivity was visualized with Enhanced Chemiluminescence Reagents (ECL; Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Statistical Analysis
All the results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Student’s t test was performed to evaluate the differences between two groups. Comparisons among multiple groups were conducted by one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test. The association between miR-618 and clinical characteristics of the patients with OS was assessed by the χ2 test. Spearman correlation analysis was carried out to determine the correlation between miR-618 and MTDH mRNA levels among the OS tissue samples. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and differences were defined as statistically significant if the P value was less than 0.05.

Results
Expression Of miR-618 Is Low In OS And Is Associated With Poor Clinical Outcomes
First of all, RT-qPCR was carried out to measure miR-618 expression in the 41 pairs of OS tissue samples and adjacent normal tissue (ANT) samples. MiR-618 was found to be significantly downregulated in OS tissue samples when compared with ANTs (Figure 1A, P < 0.05). In addition, the expression level of miR-618 was determined in four OS cell lines: SAOS-2, MG-63, U2OS, and HOS. Normal human osteoblast cell line hFOB1.19 served as a control. The results revealed that miR-618 expression was lower in all the four tested OS cell lines than in hFOB1.19 cells (Figure 1B, P < 0.05). The 41 patients with OS were separated into low and high miR-618 expression groups according to the median value as a cutoff. Underexpression of miR-618 correlated with the clinical stage (P = 0.005) and distant metastasis (P = 0.011) but not with age (P = 0.505), gender (P = 0.326), or tumor size (P = 0.277; Table 1). These results suggested that miR-618 expression may be closely associated with the initiation and progression of OS.

MiR-618 Suppresses OS Cell Proliferation, Migration, And Invasion And Induces Apoptosis
To investigate the functions of miR-618 in OS progression, we transfected the miR-618 mimics into U2OS and HOS cells, and then transfection efficiency was evaluated by RT-qPCR (Figure 2A, P < 0.05). The results of the CCK-8 assay revealed that U2OS and HOS cells with miR-618 upregulation had weaker proliferative (Figure 2B, P < 0.05) capacity than did the cells transfected with miR-NC. Additionally, the influence of miR-618 overexpression on the apoptosis of OS cells was analyzed by flow cytometry. Transfection with the miR-618 mimics notably elevated the percentages of apoptotic U2OS and HOS cells (Figure 2C, P < 0.05). Furthermore, recovery of miR-618 expression obviously attenuated migratory (Figure 2D, P < 0.05) and invasive...
(Figure 2E, P < 0.05) abilities of U2OS and HOS cells. Taken together, these data indicated that miR-618 inhibited the growth and metastasis of OS cells in vitro.

**MTDH Is A Direct Target Gene Of miR-618 In OS Cells**

To gain insight into the mechanisms by which miR-618 suppresses the malignant phenotype of OS cells, we performed bioinformatics analysis to predict the putative targets of miR-618 and found that the 3′-UTR of MTDH contains a highly conserved binding site for miR-618 (Figure 3A). The luciferase reporter assay was carried out to test whether the 3′-UTR of MTDH could be directly targeted by miR-618 in OS cells. The results indicated that resumption of miR-618 expression significantly suppressed the luciferase activity generated by plasmid pMIR-MTDH-3′-UTR-wt in U2OS and HOS cells (P < 0.05), but the suppressive effect was not observed in the cells harboring pMIR-MTDH-3′-UTR-mut (Figure 3B). We next increased miR-618 expression in U2OS and HOS cells to test whether the expression of MTDH changed in response. Introduction of miR-618 evidently reduced MTDH expression in U2OS and HOS cells at mRNA (Figure 3C, P < 0.05) and protein levels (Figure 3D, P < 0.05). Furthermore, we detected the expression of MTDH protein in four OS cell lines and hFOB1.19. The analysis indicated that MTDH protein was overexpressed in four OS cell lines relative to that in hFOB1.19 (Figure 3E, P < 0.05). Moreover, we quantified MTDH expression in the 41 OS tissue samples and matching ANTs, revealing that the expression of MTDH mRNA was higher in OS tissue samples than in ANTs (Figure 3F, P < 0.05). The analysis of correlation between the expression levels of miR-618 and MTDH was conducted, and an inverse correlation between miR-618 and MTDH among the OS tissue samples was validated (Figure 3G; R² = 0.3195, P = 0.0001). Taken together, these results meant that MTDH is a direct target gene of miR-618 in OS cells.

**The MTDH Knockdown Simulates The Tumor-Suppressive Effects Of miR-618 In OS Cells**

To explore the functions of MTDH in OS, si-MTDH was utilized to knock down endogenous MTDH expression in U2OS and HOS cells. Following si-MTDH transfection, Western blot analysis confirmed that the protein level of MTDH was efficiently knocked down in U2OS and HOS cells (Figure 4A, P < 0.05). Functional assays revealed that the downregulation of MTDH significantly slowed the proliferation (Figure 4B, P < 0.05), increased apoptosis (Figure 4C, P < 0.05), and attenuated migration (Figure 4D, P < 0.05) and invasiveness (Figure 4E, P < 0.05) of U2OS and HOS cells. Consequently, the MTDH knockdown exerted the effects similar to those of miR-618 upregulation in OS cells, thus confirming MTDH as a functional target of miR-618 in OS cells.

**MTDH Restoration Attenuates The Actions Of miR-618 Overexpression On OS Cells**

To further clarify whether the decrease in MTDH expression by miR-618 upregulation was responsible for the suppression of OS aggressiveness, we restored MTDH expression in miR-618–overexpressing U2OS and HOS cells via cotransfection with MTDH-overexpressing plasmid pCMV-MTDH (Figure 5A, P < 0.05). Functional assays revealed that the effects of miR-618 upregulation on U2OS and HOS cell proliferation

| Table 1 | The Association Between miR-618 Expression And Clinicopathological Features In Patients With OS |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Features | miR-618 Expression | P |
| Age (years) | Low | High | 0.505 |
| < 20 | 16 | 13 |
| ≥20 | 5 | 7 |
| Gender | | 0.326 |
| Male | 12 | 15 |
| Female | 9 | 5 |
| Tumor size (cm) | | 0.277 |
| < 5 | 14 | 17 |
| ≥5 | 7 | 3 |
| Clinical stage | | 0.005* |
| I–IIA | 5 | 14 |
| IIIB/III | 16 | 6 |
| Distant metastasis | | 0.011* |
| Negative | 8 | 16 |
| Positive | 13 | 4 |

Note: *P<0.05.
(Figure 5B, P < 0.05), apoptosis (Figure 5C, P < 0.05), migration (Figure 5D, P < 0.05), and invasion (Figure 5E, P < 0.05) were partially reversed by the recovery of MTDH expression. Thus, miR-618 performed tumor-suppressive functions in OS cells by downregulating MTDH.
MiR-618 Decreases PTEN–AKT Signaling Output By Targeting MTDH mRNA In OS Cells

The PTEN–AKT pathway has been reported to be regulated by MTDH.19–21 Having identified MTDH as a direct target gene of miR-618, we next attempted to test whether miR-618 affects the PTEN–AKT pathway via MTDH downregulation. Hence, protein levels of PTEN, p-AKT, and AKT in U2OS and HOS cells after cotransfection with the miR-618 mimics and either plasmid pCMV-MTDH or the empty pCMV vector were evaluated by Western blotting. The upregulation of miR-618 significantly increased PTEN and decreased p-AKT amounts in U2OS and HOS cells, while total AKT expression was unaffected (Figure 6). Notably, restoration of MTDH expression partially reversed the changes in PTEN and p-AKT protein levels caused by miR-618 overexpression (Figure 6A). Similarly, we investigated whether MTDH knockdown was able to mimic the influence of miR-618 overexpression on PTEN–AKT pathway in OS cells. As expected, interference of MTDH expression increased PTEN and reduced p-AKT expression in U2OS and HOS cells (Figure 6B). These results meant that miR-618 diminished the PTEN–AKT signaling output in OS cells by directly targeting MTDH mRNA and downregulating MTDH.
MiR-618 Slows The OS Tumor Growth In Vivo

Next, a tumor xenograft experiment was conducted to examine the impact of miR-618 on OS cell tumorigenicity in vivo. HOS cells transfected with the miR-618 mimics were inoculated into nude mice, and miR-NC–transfected cells served as a control. The volume and weight of tumor xenografts derived from the miR-618 mimic–transfected HOS cells were notably lower (Figure 7A and B, $P < 0.05$; Figure 7C, $P < 0.05$) than those in the miR-NC group. Next, RT-qPCR was carried out to quantitate miR-618 expression in the tumor xenografts. Higher miR-618

Figure 4 The knockdown of MTDH suppresses the proliferation, migration, and invasiveness but induces the apoptosis of U2OS and HOS cells. U2OS and HOS cells were transfected with either si-MTDH or si-NC and studied in the following assays. (A) At 72 h after transfection, the protein level of MTDH was determined by Western blot analysis. *$P < 0.05$ vs group si-NC. (B–E) The proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and invasion were assessed by the CCK-8 assay, flow-cytometric analysis, and Transwell migration and invasion assays, respectively. *$P < 0.05$ vs the si-NC group.
expression was observed in the tumor xenograft of the miR-618 mimic group as compared with the miR-NC group (Figure 7D, \( P < 0.05 \)). Furthermore, the protein levels of MTDH, PTEN, p-AKT, and AKT in the tumor xenografts were determined via Western blot analysis. The results revealed that MTDH and p-AKT protein amounts evidently decreased whereas the PTEN protein amount significantly increased in the miR-618 mimic–treated nude mouse group (Figure 7E). Taken together, these results implied that miR-618 inhibited the tumor growth.
of OS cells in vivo, and the growth inhibition was achieved through inhibition of the MTDH–PTEN–AKT pathway output.

Discussion

An increasing number of studies has shown that the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations may be closely related to OS initiation and progression. A variety of miRNAs are dysregulated in OS and contribute to the tumorigenic processes. Hence, further research into the miRNAs that play important roles in the aggressive behaviors of OS is necessary to identify candidate targets for the treatment of patients with OS. MiR-618 is underexpressed in prostate cancer and thyroid carcinoma. Patients with prostate cancer harboring a low miR-618 level have worse outcomes than do the patients with high miR-618 levels. However, the expression level of miR-618 in OS has remained unclear. In this study, for the first time, we demonstrated that miR-618 is downregulated in both OS tissues and cell lines. Low miR-618 expression correlated with the clinical stage and distant metastasis among our patients with OS. These results suggest that miR-618 might be an effective biomarker for the prognosis of OS.

MiR-618 performs tumor-suppressive functions in carcinogenesis and cancer progression. For instance, miR-618 upregulation suppresses the metastasis and promotes mesenchymal–epithelial transition of prostate cancer cells by directly targeting Forkhead box p2. Resumption of miR-618 expression restricts thyroid cancer cell growth and metastasis and induces G2–M arrest via the blockade of X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein and via deactivation of the PI3K–AKT signaling pathway. Nevertheless, little is known about the specific roles of miR-618 in OS. Herein, functional experiments revealed that overexpression of miR-618 inhibited OS cell proliferation, migration, and invasion and promoted apoptosis in vitro. Besides, exogenous miR-618 expression retarded OS growth in vivo. Previous study revealed that autophagy induction is a mechanism for cell detach. However, we did not tested whether autophagy induction was related with the proliferation inhibition caused by miR-618 overexpression. It was a limitation of our study, and we will resolve it in our following investigations. This study provides clues to the profound involvement of miR-618 in OS and suggests that miR-618 might be a potential target for treating patients with OS.

MiRNAs play their important part in the tumorigenesis and tumor progression by directly regulating the expression of their target genes. Accordingly, we next attempted to identify the direct target gene that is involved in the anticancer actions of miR-618 in OS cells. Bioinformatics analysis was performed first, to predict the putative target of miR-618. The 3'-UTR of MTDH was found to contain a highly conserved binding site for miR-618. Then, the luciferase activity assay was performed to verify the targeting of miR-618 to the 3'-UTR of MTDH mRNA. Furthermore, miR-618 overexpression successfully decreased endogenous MTDH expression at both mRNA and protein levels in OS cells. MTDH turned out to be upregulated in OS tissue samples, and the upregulation of MTDH inversely correlated with miR-618 expression. Suppression of MTDH expression simulated the tumor-suppressive action of miR-618 overexpression in OS cells. Subsequent rescue experiments confirmed that restoration of MTDH expression partially reversed the miR-618–mediated tumor-suppressive effects on OS cells. These observations provided sufficient evidence to designate MTDH as a direct target gene of miR-618 in OS cells.

MTDH, also known as astrocyte-elevated gene 1, is located in chromosomal region 8q22. It is reported to be upregulated in various cancers and is associated with cancer progression. Increased MTDH expression significantly correlates with gender, clinical stages, classification, metastasis, differentiation, and poor survival of patients with OS. High MTDH expression also strongly correlates with the poorer prognosis of patients with OS. MTDH performs oncogenic functions in the malignant progression of OS by regulating cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration, invasion, metastasis, epithelial–mesenchymal transition, and chemoresistance. Notably, MTDH has been reported to be directly targeted and regulated by various miRNAs in different human cancers. For example, miR-136, miR-342-3p, miR-448, and miR-506 directly target MTDH mRNA to inhibit the malignant progression of OS. Hence, targeting MTDH by miRNAs is a promising modality for the prevention and treatment of OS.
Figure 7 MiR-618 upregulation impairs OS tumor growth in vivo. (A) Representative images of tumor xenografts derived from HOS cells transfected with the miR-618 mimics or miR-NC. (B) The volume of tumor xenografts in the miR-618 mimic group was smaller than that in the miR-NC group. *P < 0.05 compared with group miR-NC. (C) Tumor xenografts in the miR-618 mimic group and miR-NC group were excised and weighed at 4 weeks after implantation. *P < 0.05 vs miR-NC. (D) RT-qPCR was performed to analyze miR-618 expression in the tumor xenografts. *P < 0.05 vs group miR-NC. (E) Protein amounts of MTDH, PTEN, p-AKT, and AKT in tumor xenografts were quantified by Western blotting.
Conclusion
Our results for the first time revealed that miR-618 functions as a tumor suppressor during OS progression by directly targeting MTDH and reducing PTEN–AKT pathway output. Hence, this study provides functional evidence fully supporting the hypothesis that miR-618 is a promising target for the management of OS.
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