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ABSTRACT

In the present paper, 147 specimens assigned to the genus Pseudolillia Maubeuge, 1949 are studied. This is a considerably high number of samples in comparison with those known in other geographical areas where they have been cited, such as northern France, the Pyrenees, the Betic Range, Morocco, Portugal, Italy, Hungary and Bulgaria. The six taxa described, P. murvillensis, P. hispanica, P. emiliana, P. donovani, Pseudolillia ? n. sp. (en García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980), and Pseudolillia ? sp., come from 22 sites in the Cantabrian and Iberian Ranges and the Isle of Majorca. Their presence in expanded sections enabled us to situate the stratigraphic position of the genus between the upper part of the Thouarsense Chronozone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone) to the Dispansum Chronozone (Gruneri Subchronozone), with maximum abundance found in the Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone). The origin of the genus is unclear, and a possible derivation from the last representatives of Grammoceras (close to G. striatulum) or more likely from Esericeras (close to E. fascigerum) has been suggested. The known palaeobiogeographic range of Pseudolillia is limited to the NW-European Province and to the W of the Tethys, and with the exception of P. emiliana, the species’ distribution ranges are short. In NE Spain, where the highest number

RESUMEN

Se han estudiado 147 ejemplares asignados al género Pseudolillia Maubeuge, 1949, lo que es un número considerablemente elevado si se compara con los conocidos en otras áreas geográficas donde han sido citados, como el norte y centro de Francia, los Pirineos, la Cordillera Bética, Marruecos, Portugal, Italia, Hungría y Bulgaria. Se han descrito seis taxones: P. murvillensis, P. hispanica, P. emiliana, P. donovani, Pseudolillia ? n. sp. (en García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980) y Pseudolillia ? sp. Su presencia en secciones expandidas, ha permitido situar la posición estratigráfica del género desde la parte alta de la Cronozona Thouarsense (Subcronozona Fallaciosum) hasta la Cronozona Dispansum (Subcronozona Gruneri), encontrándose la máxima abundancia en la Cronozona Dispansum (Subcronozona Insigne). El origen del género no es del todo claro, y hay sido sugerida una posible derivación de miembros tardíos de Grammoceras (próximos a G. striatulum) o más probablemente de Esericeras (próximos a E. fascigerum). La distribución paleobiogeográfica conocida de Pseudolillia se limita a la provincia NO-Europea y a la parte O del Tethys y, con excepción de P. emiliana, las áreas de distribución de las especies son relativamente pequeñas.
of known specimens comes from, *P. donovani* (typical of the Mediterranean province) is the species presenting the oldest record (Chronozone Thouarsense, Subchronozone Fallaciosum), although it did not necessarily live and reproduce in very nearby areas. *P. murvillensis* (typical of the NW-European Province), was found in the Chronozone Dispansum (Subchronozone Insigne) together with *P. emiliana* and *P. hispanica* in the eastern Pyrenees and particularly in the central sector of the Iberian Range.

**Keywords:** Upper Toarcian, Grammoceratinae, taxonomy, palaeobiogeography, Iberian Peninsula.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Pseudolillia* Maubeuge, 1949, from the upper Toarcian in Europe and the N of Africa, extends mainly from Lorraine, in the NE of France, to the Atlas Mountains in Morocco, with some occasional references in Alpine areas. The record tends to be scarce or very scarce in most of the sites where it has been cited, and it is the Iberian Peninsula, especially in the central sector of the Iberian Range, where most of the known specimens were found. In the present paper we study the available material of the species of the genus *Pseudolillia* recorded in the outcrops of the upper Toarcian in the E and N of Spain. We attempt to conduct a systematic classification and to specify the precise stratigraphic position of the species. Our research also aims to establish the palaeobiogeographic distribution of each of them. We paid particular attention to the Iberian Range, collecting new samples in its central sector, especially in the localities of Ribarredonda, Ruedahermendo, Villar de Cobeta, Buenafuente del Sistal and Torquem in the province of Guadalajara, and in the Rambla del Salto and Ariño in the province of Teruel. Also included in the present research are the specimens identified by previous authors, some specimens from the collection of the Geominero Museum (IGME) and from the Asturias Jurassic Museum (MUJA) and those from the Isle of Majorca (Álvaro et al., 1989) and from the Cantabrian Range (Suárez Vega, 1974; Bernad, 1992, 1993; Goy et al., 1994, 2010).

The genus *Pseudolillia* was created by Maubeuge (1949) based upon one single specimen found in Murville Mine (Meurthe et Moselle, Lorraine, France), which was called *Pseudolillia murvillensis*. This author included *Pseudolillia* in the Subfamily Phymatoceratinae, as would later be done by Arkell et al. (1957). Donovan (1962) revised the genus and included therein the species *Ammonites emilianus*, described by Reynès (1868), which he provisionally incorporated into the Subfamily Grammoceratinae. Subsequently, García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) and Elmi & Rulleau (1990), based upon the new species recognised, also emended the genus. These authors, along with Howarth (2013) maintained *Pseudolillia* within Grammoceratinae.

García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) studied the genus in the Betic Range and described two new species, *Pseudolillia donovani*, *Pseudolillia ? n. sp.*, and one new subspecies, *Pseudolillia murvillensis hispanica*. Moreover, they extended the information on the diagnosis of the genus and on the species *P. emiliana*. In their opinion, the ribbing is not always straight on the flank, but can present a sinusoidal trajectory similar to that of *Pseudogrammoceras*. In relation to the coiling, although Maubeuge (1949) considered that the umbilicus undergoes a relative decrease in size during ontogeny, he pointed out that this occurs in some species, but not in others such as *P. donovani*. Furthermore, the shape of the section can also show no variation during ontogeny. The above-mentioned authors suggested that this genus presents close affinities with *Esericeras*, and it is therefore related with Grammoceratinae, in accordance with the opinion of Donovan (1962).

Elmi & Rulleau (1990) conducted a revision of the genus *Pseudolillia* in south-western Europe and Morocco, which comprises all the species on our research. They described and identified important material from the quarries of Lafargue in Belmont (Bas Beaujolais, Rhône, France), together with some specimens from Morocco, the Betic Range and the Iberian Range. They also considered highly likely that this genus belongs to the Grammoceratinae.

Sassaroli & Venturi (2005) described a new species, *Pseudolillia apenninica*, based on one single specimen found in levels of the Bonarelli Zone in the Marconessa Quarry (Cingoli, Central Appenines, Italia). Metodiev (2008) studied the genus *Pseudolillia* in several localities of the W of Bulgaria and cited it as coming from levels of the Fallaciosum Zone of the Bulgarian scale (= Thouarsense...
Zone, Fallaciosum Subzone of the standard scale). Kovács (2013), in his study on the Grammoceratinae of the Gerecse Mountains (Hungary) described a new species of *Pseudolillia*, *P. paralleliformis*, from the lower part of the Speciosum Zone.

In addition, in the N and E of the Iberian Peninsula, this genus has been cited in general studies, among others, by Suárez Vega (1974), Bernad (1992, 1993) and Goy et al. (1994, 2010), in the Cantabrian Range; Fauré (2002), in the eastern Pyrenees; Goy (1974), Comas-Rengifo (1974), Mouterde et al. (1978), Comas-Rengifo & Goy (1978), Goy et al. (1988), Elmi et al. (1989), Goy & Martínez (1990), Goy et al. (1996) and Comas-Rengifo et al. (1996) in the Iberian Range. Outside the study area, the genus has also been cited by Mouterde et al. (1998) and Elmi et al. (2007) in the Lusitanian Basin (Portugal), García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) in the S of el Zegrí and Cerro Méndez (Granada) and Caracuel et al. (2004) in Cerro de la Cruz (Sierra de Reclot Alicante).

2. STRATIGRAPHIC CONTEXT

The specimens employed in the present research are from the Cantabrian and Iberian Ranges and the Isle of Majorca (Fig. 1). Moreover, we took into consideration those that were figured by other authors from the Betic and Iberian Ranges and the Pyrenees (García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980; Elmi & Rulleau, 1990; Fauré, 2002). In the Cantabrian
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Range, representatives of the genus *Pseudolillia* have been obtained in Santa Mera (Asturias), Camino and San Andrés (Cantabria) and in Salinas de Pisuerga (Palencia); in the Iberian Range in Muro de Aguas (Logroño), Castrovido and Hontoria del Pinar (Burgos), Ricla (Zaragoza), Ariño, Obón and La Rambla del Salto (Teruel), Balbacil, Clares, Fuentelsaz, Anchuela del Campo, Turmiel, Renales, Ribaredonda, Huertahernando, Buenafuente del Sistal and Villar de Cobeta (Guadalajara); and on the Isle of Majorca in el Puig de Cutri, in the Serra de Llevant.

The lithostratigraphic units where the studied specimens come from generally comprise alternations of limestone and marls in the Cantabrian and Iberian Ranges, which correspond to the Castillo Pedroso (Robles *et al.*, 2003) and Turmiel (Goy *et al.*, 1976) formations, respectively. In el Puig de Cutri, they were found in a small section with ferruginous oolites containing numerous reelaborated ammonites, which is situated at the base of the Gorg Blau Formation (Álvaro *et al.*, 1984, 1989). The sections located in the Cantabrian and Iberian Ranges are generally expanded and exhibit few significant discontinuities in the interval corresponding to the upper Toarcian. The specimens of *Pseudolillia* are recorded in the transgressive part of the T-R LJ-4 cycle defined by Gómez & Goy (2004, 2005), which corresponds to one of the episodes of greatest relative depth of the Toarcian in both mountain ranges.

The scales of reference employed in the present research for the considered interval (Fig. 2) are those proposed by Elmi *et al.* (1994, 1997) and Page (2003) for the NW-Europe and Mediterranean Provinces. The Figure 3 shows the stratigraphic distribution of the species identified in the most significant sections of the areas studied. The columns represent the sediments of the Thouarsense p.p. and Dispansum Zones, according to different authors.

It should be pointed out that in this figure, we have adapted the scales used in studies prior to that proposed by Elmi *et al.* (1997) in order to include the Fallaciosum Subzone, traditionally considered as a part of the Insigne Zone (equivalent to the Dispansum Zone), as a part of the Thouarsense Zone.

### 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

To study the genus *Pseudolillia* in the NE of Spain, we analysed a total of 147 specimens from 22 localities. They corresponded to several collections deposited in Department of Palaeontology of Madrid’s Complutense University (UCM), to specimens from the collections of the Geominero Museum (IGME) and of the Asturias Jurassic Museum (MUJA). Furthermore, we used specimens from the Cantabrian Range (Suárez Vega, 1974; Bernad, 1992, 1993; Goy *et al.*, 1994, 2010), from the E of the Isle of Majorca (Álvaro *et al.*, 1989), and from different samples collected since 2013 for the purposes of the present study. Eight of them were found in the Cantabrian Range, 4 in the north of the Iberian Range, 129 in the central Iberian Range and 6 in the Serra de Llevant (Isle of Majorca). Eight specimens, in all cases attributed to *Pseudolillia emiliana* (Reynès), had previously been figured by different authors. Due to the different origins of the material, the format of the acronyms is different. The acronym used is divided into three parts: a) initials in capital letters for the site of origin; if there were to exist more than one section in this site, one number more is added; b) the number of the set from which the material was collected; if it is a layer, a letter can be added (“i” o “s”) to indicate the relative

---

**Figure 2.** Upper Toarcian standard scale (Thouarsense, Dispansum y Pseudoradiosa Zones) in the NW-European and Mediterranean Provinces according to Elmi *et al.* (1997). The colored area corresponds to the time interval in which there have been identified specimens of *Pseudolillia*. 
Figure 3. Main reference sections of the interval studied indicating the position of the specimens of the genus Pseudolillia. SM (cf. Goy et al., 2010); CM and SA (modified after Goy et al., 1994); RS (cf. Comas-Rengifo et al., 1996); RI (modified after Goy & Martinez, 1990); AR (cf. Martinez, 2007); TU (new section); RB (cf. Comas-Rengifo & Goy, 1975); FZ (modified after Martinez, 1992); CU (modified after Goy et al., 1995). Abbreviations as in the Figure 1.
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position within the set; c) number of the specimen collected in one level or set in the same site.

The dimensions of the specimens we were able to measure are limited in most cases to whorl height (H) and to thickness (E); in very few specimens we were able to measure the diameter of the shell (D) or the width of the umbilicus (O). Additionally, we performed the relations E/H and O/D in order to more easily compare these values.

Conservation of the available remains of the different specimens of *Pseudolillia* has meant that we basically conducted the study and differentiation of the taxa bearing in mind the variability of the cross sections, changes in ornamentation and relative umbilicus width during the ontogenic development, as these are the features that are easiest to observe in the fragmented specimens.

To describe the taxa we followed the nomenclature employed in the *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology* (Arkell *et al.*, 1957; Howarth, 2013). Furthermore, in the description of the taxa we used one single scheme, structured into three paragraphs: a) shape of the shell, referring to the characters described by the morphology following a specific order (coil, whorl section, umbilicus and umbilical wall); b) ornamentation, pointing out whether specimens attributed to a given taxon display it and, if this is the case, type and development throughout ontogeny; c) suture line, describing it according to its elements.

The specimens are internal moulds reflecting the exterior ornamentation. Most of them are incomplete phragmocones, and exceptionally, a part of the body chamber can be observed (Figs 6, 11). This is to say, we had no complete individuals available.

Specimens frequently present evidence of resedimentation, such as the existence of epizoans (ostreids, serpulids and bryozoans) on the mould. In some cases, as occurs with the specimens from the Puig de Cutri section (Figs 10.5-10.7), we identified manganese crusting, as well as the presence of abrasion on the moulds, which indicate reemplacement of these remains (Fernández-López, 2000). Quite often, the internal whorls have not been completely filled in with sediment; calcite therefore precipitated on the inside. We also identified frequent fossil-diagenetic distortion processes such as deformation of the whorls and the presence of fissures and fractures in the mould. We also recognised examples of corrosion in the moulds, which was caused by the action of erosion following exhumation of the specimens.

The fact that most of the ammonites studied are large-sized and that in the small ones we were unable to observe clear signs of initiation of the body chamber, favours the hypothesis that almost all the specimens are adults and pre-adults. Practically all fit within the type 3 or 2 associations sensu Fernández-López (1985), and it is therefore likely that many of the specimens studied did not live in the area from where they were collected, but rather came from more or less distant regions.

4. SYSTEMATICS

Family *Hildoceratidae* Hyatt, 1867

Subfamily *Grammoceratinae* Buckman, 1905

According to Howarth (2013) this subfamily includes generally involute forms with straight or somewhat curved ribbing deriving from the *Hildoceratidae*, and giving rise to more involute forms. The outer whorls can become smooth. It presents marked dimorphism in some genera and for the first time, long lappets appear on the peristomes of the adult microconches. The geographic range is worldwide and the stratigraphic distribution ranges from the Lower Jurassic (upper Toarcian, *Variabilis* Zone) to the Middle Jurassic (Aalenienre, *Opalinum* Zone).

Genus *Pseudolillia* Maubeuge, 1949, p. 150

This genus is characterised by presenting moderately evolute inner whorls, with a subquadrate cross section with a keel and marked grooves in the ventral region. The ribbing is simple and straight on the flank, but becomes curved on reaching the ventral region. The outer whorls become smooth and more involute. The whorl section becomes convergent or triangular, without grooves (Donovan, 1962). To this description, also given by Howarth (2013), the nuances described by García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) regarding the size of the umbilicus during ontogeny must be added. Both Maubeuge (1949) and Donovan (1962) claim that the umbilicus becomes smaller with growth, but this is not the case of the *Pseudolillia donovani* García-Gómez & Rivas, which maintains an evolute coiling.

*Pseudolillia murvillensis* Maubeuge, 1949

(Figs 4a-b, Figs 5.1-5.3, Fig. 11.1)

1949 *Pseudolillia murvillensis* n. sp. Maubeuge, p. 150, Pl. 1, fig. 1; Pl. 2, fig. 1.

1962 *Pseudolillia murvillensis*, Donovan, p. 86-90, Pl. 12, figs. 3, 4 (refigured of the holotype) (non Pl. 12, figs. 1-2).

1990 *Pseudolillia murvillensis*, Elmi & Rulleau, p. 295, Pl. 1, fig. 3; Pl. 2, figs. 1-4; Pl. 4, figs. 3-4.

? 2002 *Pseudolillia* sp. aff. *murvillensis* Maubeuge, Fauré, p. 725, Pl. 20, figs. 9a, 9b.

**Material.** MUJA-2105 (in Suárez Vega, 1974, p. 74), RS.876/1; BB.5.5i/1; FZ.1/2; FZ.7/1; TU3.5.5/11 (cf.); RE.5.5i/1, 2 (cf.), 4; RB.C20/1 (cf.), 9 (cf.); HU.5.5i/1 (cf.), 2 (cf.), 3, 4 (cf.), 15 (cf.); VC.5.5i(+/2 m base)/4, 5, 9 (cf.).

Measurements are shown in Table 1.
Geographic and stratigraphic distribution. *P. murvillensis* has been cited in France, where it is known in Murville (Meurthe et Mosselle, Lorraine) and in Belmont (Bas-Beaujolais, Rhône). In the NE of Spain it is known in the Pyrenees, where it was cited by Fauré (2002, p. 725, Pl. 20, figs. 9a, 9b) from Coll de Port, S of Tuixén (Pedraforca).

Furthermore, Donovan (1962) refers to a specimen from the Betic Range, which García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) subsequently assigned to *P. murvillensis hispanica*.

The stratigraphic distribution of this taxon in the NE of Spain is restricted to the Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone).

---

**Table 1. *P. murvillensis***

| ACRONYM     | SPECIES          | D  | O  | O/D | H  | E  | E/H |
|-------------|------------------|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|
| RS.386/1    | *P. cf. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 19.91 | 17.10 | 0.86 |
| BB.5.5i/1   | *P. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 64.75 | 36.30 | 0.56 |
| FZ.1/2      | *P. murvillensis* | 102.1 | 29.5 | 0.29 | 38.70 | 23.50 | 0.61 |
| FZ.7/1      | *P. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 20.80 | 14.37 | 0.69 |
| RE.5.5i/1   | *P. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 20.79 | 14.10 | 0.68 |
| RE.5.5i/4   | *P. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 43.13 | 32.07 | 0.74 |
| HU.5.5i/3   | *P. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 56.41 | 32.09 | 0.57 |
| HU.5.5i/15  | *P. cf. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 26.48 | 16.49 | 0.62 |
| VC.5.5i(+1,2)/9 | *P. cf. murvillensis* | -  | -  |     | 72.40 | 46.92 | 0.65 |

**Description.** Shells presenting a moderately involute coiling. The whorl section is wide and generally subtrapezoidal, with the maximum width on the umbilical edge (Figs 4A-4B). The keel is wide and is flanked by two well-marked grooves in young individuals, which tend to disappear throughout ontogeny, becoming two flat areas at the end of the phragmocone in adult individuals. The umbilicus is relatively small and deep, with a high and vertical umbilical wall.

The ornamentation comprises thick, slightly sinuous ribs, curving sharply forward onto the peristome in the ventral zone. These do not reach the keel, and may die out on the outer whorls as they disappear into the grooves. In the first whorls the ribbing is similar, but density decreases throughout ontogenetic development, practically disappearing for whorl heights greater than 40 mm.

The suture line is relatively simple; the first lateral saddle is notably wide and bifid, followed by a broad lateral lobe. The second lateral saddle presents varying degrees of definition and the first suspensive lobe is narrow.

**Remarks.** Although it is the type species of the genus, it is not the most abundant one in the localities studied or in any of the sites where it has been recognised, because *P. emiliana* tends to be much more frequent in all the regions where both species have been cited. *P. murvillensis* can easily be differentiated from *P. emiliana* due to its thicker whorl, particularly in the adult stage, and to its subtrapezoidal section and higher vertical umbilical wall. Differentiation is more difficult in early stages, since the internal whorls are very similar.

The specimens classified as *P. cf. murvillensis* correspond to fractured ones in which it is difficult to observe the characters (RB.C20/1, Fig. 5.2), or to inner whorls of specimens difficult to differentiate from other species (RS.386/1, Fig. 5.3).

The specimen (HU.5.5i/3, Fig. 11.1) shows a part of the body chamber. It is flattened, lacks ornamentation and, in the ventral zone has a thick keel flanked by two smooth areas.

**Table 2. *P. hispanica***

| ACRONYM     | SPECIES          | D  | O  | O/D | H  | E  | E/H |
|-------------|------------------|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|
| AN.5673     | *P. hispanica*   | 90.91 | 24.34 | 0.27 | 40.08 | 20.00 | 0.50 |
| RB.5.5i/1   | *P. hispanica*   | -  | -  |     | 55.31 | 25.95 | 0.47 |
| RB.5.5i/6   | *P. cf. hispanica* | -  | -  |     | 21.66 | 12.3  | 0.57 |
| RB.5.5i/7   | *P. hispanica*   | 100.20 | 30.50 | 0.20 | 40.15 | 20.25 | 0.50 |
| RB.C20/5    | *P. cf. hispanica* | -  | -  |     | 31.47 | 17.61 | 0.56 |

**Description.** Shells displaying a moderately involute whorl. The whorl section is high, narrow and subtriangular, with the greatest thickness close to the umbilical contour. The keel is wide and flanked by two flat areas, which in inner whorls constitute grooves. The umbilicus is small and the walls are high and vertical.

It presents ornamentation in the form of straight or slightly sinuous ribs curving sharply towards the peristome in the ventral zone, but do not reach the keel. Density diminishes during ontogeny, practically disappearing for whorl heights of around 30 mm.

The suture line can clearly be observed in the specimen RB.5.5i/1 (Fig. 7.1). It comprises a narrow saddle and ventral lobe, followed by a first lateral saddle, which is wider and bifid. The lateral lobe and the second lateral saddle are cropped. The suspensive lobe is narrow.
**Figure 4.** Whorl section of different specimens of *Pseudolillia*.  
A) *P. murvillensis* (BB.5.5i/1).  
B) *P. cf. murvillensis* (HU.5.5i/15).  
C) *P. hispanica* (RB.5.5i/1).  
D) *P. emiliana* (RS.394/4).  
E) *P. emiliana* (TU2.5.5i/1).  
F) *P. emiliana* (TU3.5.5i/4).  
G) *P. donovani* (TU3.5.4s/8).  
H) *Pseudolillia* ? sp. (CU.11/3). The solid line corresponds to the best-conserved flank.

**Remarks.** This species was originally described by García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) as a subspecies of *P. murvillensis*. Subsequently, Elmi & Rulleau (1990) included it in the synonymy of *P. murvillensis*, explaining that it occupies an intermediate position between this species and *P. emiliana*. In the present paper, it has been considered as a separate species, since specimens have been found in different localities of the Betic and the Iberian Ranges and because no data exist to confirm that it is a geographical variation of *P. murvillensis*. Moreover, the clearly narrower whorl section, the umbilicus, which is wider than that of *P. murvillensis*, and the oblique and not vertical umbilical wall constitute differences which distinguish it from *P. murvillensis*.

The main feature of *P. murvillensis* involves its whorl section, as can be seen in the E/H ratio. This is lower in *P. hispanica*, as the whorl height is greater and the whorl section is less thick. As for *P. emiliana*, it is differentiated by its subtriangular whorl section towards the end of the phragmocone in adult specimens.

The specimen from Anchuela del Campo (AN-567J) held at Geominero Museum (IGME) was classified as *P. cf. murvillensis*. As Figure 7.2 shows, the whorl section is high and subtriangular, and it has therefore been included in *P. hispanica*.

**Geographic and stratigraphic distribution.** The specimens studied were collected in the Iberian Range in the Anchuela del Campo, Turmiel and Ribarredonda sections. The ones cited by other authors are from Zegri Sur (Granada) (García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980).

The specimens from Ribarredonda correspond to the Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone). Those from el Zegrí are located in “ammonitico rosso” facies presumably condensed, whose biostratigraphic position, according to García-Gómez & Rivas (1980), is defined by the position of the last morphology of *Pseudogrammoceras* of the group of *P. fallaciosum* (Bayle).

**Pseudolillia emiliana** (Reynès, 1868)  
(Figs 4D-4F, Fig. 7.3, Fig. 8, Fig. 9)

1868 *Ammonites emilianus* n. sp. Réynes, p. 104, Pl. 6, figs. 1a-c.  
1921 *Hildoceras emilianum*, Monestier, p. 22, Pl. 1, figs. 14-16; Pl. 4, fig. 23.  
1962 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Donovan, p. 90, Text-fig. 2c, d.  
1974 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Goy, p. 688, Pl. 83, fig. 2.  
1974 *Pseudolillia sp. A*, Goy, p. 688, Pl. 83, fig. 1.  
1975 *Pseudolillia sp. B*, Goy, p. 689, Pl. 83, fig. 3.  
1974 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Comas-Rengifo, Pl. 20, figs. 2-5.  
1975 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Guex, p. 107, Pl. 8, figs. 6-7.  
1977 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Ureta, Pl. 1, fig. 2.  
1980 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, García-Gómez & Rivas, p. 196, Pl. 3, fig. 2.  
1986 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Martínez, p. 114, Pl. 10, fig. 2.  
1993 *Pseudolillia sp.* [cf. *P. emiliana* (Réynes)], Bernard, p. 121, Pl. 2, fig. 28.  
2008 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Metodiev, figs. 6, c, d?  
2013 *Pseudolillia emiliana*, Kovács, p. 130, Pl. 4, fig. 3.

**Material.** CM. 13/1, 2; SP.1/5 (cf.) (in Bernard, 1993, Pl. 3, fig. 28); 1MA.305/1 (cf.); 4CV.154/1 (in Comas-Rengifo et al., 1988, p. 127); HP.4/1 (in Ureta, 1977, Pl. 1, fig. 2); R1.273/1, 275/1 (in Martínez, 1986, Pl. 10, fig. 2), 277/1, 279/1; 4AR.156/1, 2, 3; OB.5/1, 9/1; RS.384/1, 394/1, 2, 3, 4, 398/1; 428/1, CL.5.5i/1 (cf.); FZ.1/1,
10/1, 5.5i/1, 2, 3; TU2.5.5i/1, 2, 3 (cf.), 4, 5; TU3.5.5i/1, 4, 5 (cf.), 9, 10; TU4.5.5i/1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11; TU20.2, 6, 10; RE.5.5i/3, 4; RB.5.5i/2 (in Goy, 1974, Pl. 83, fig. 1, as Pseudolillia sp. A), 3 (in Goy, 1974, Pl. 83, fig. 2), 4 (cf.), 5 (in Comas-Rengifo, 1974, Pl. 10, figs 2 and 4, respectively); RB.C20/2 (cf.), 3 (cf.), 4 (cf.), 6 (cf.), 7; Hu.5.5i/5, 6, 7 (cf.), 8 (cf.), 9, 10, 11 (cf.), 12, 13, 14 (cf.), 16, 17, 18, 19, 20; BS.80/1 (in Goy, 1974, Pl. 83, fig. 3), 2 (cf.), 3 (cf.), 4 (cf.), 5 (cf.); VC.5.5i/1, 2 (cf.), 3 (cf.), 4, 5; VC.5.5i(+1,2 m base); 6, 7, 8. Also there is one specimen from Obón (FSL.169015 Coll. Mouterde, in Elmi & Rulleau, 1990, Pl. 5, figs 3-4, Text-fig. 4).

All dimensions are indicated in Table 3.

**Table 3. P. emiliana.**

| ACRONYM  | SPECIES | D    | O    | O/D  | H    | E    | E/H  |
|----------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| CM.13/1  | P. emiliana | 62.68 | 20.29 | 0.32 | 21.63 | 13.38 | 0.62 |
| 4AR.156/1| P. emiliana | -     | -    | -    | 39.61 | 23.89 | 0.52 |
| RS.394/1 | P. emiliana | -     | -    | -    | 49.66 | 26.47 | 0.53 |
| RS.394/2 | P. emiliana | 51.92 | 19.48 | 0.38 | 21.90 | 13.23 | 0.60 |
| RS.394/3 | P. emiliana | -     | -    | -    | 26.34 | 15.65 | 0.59 |
| RS.394/4 | P. emiliana | -     | -    | -    | 34.92 | 18.72 | 0.54 |
| CL.5.5i/1| P. cf. emiliana | - | - | - | 29.12 | 16.49 | 0.57 |
| FZ.5.5i/1| P. emiliana | 97.89 | 33.13 | 0.38 | 36.62 | 18.35 | 0.62 |
| FZ.5.5i/2| P. emiliana | 166.29 | 50.12 | 0.30 | 65.02 | 31.64 | 0.60 |
| FZ.5.5i/3| P. emiliana | -     | -    | -    | 47.80 | 25.35 | 0.59 |
| TU2.5.5i/1| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 49.64 | 27.83 | 0.56 |
| TU2.5.5i/2| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 50.90 | 28.30 | 0.56 |
| TU2.5.5i/3| P. cf. emiliana | - | - | - | 21.39 | 13.02 | 0.61 |
| TU2.5.5i/4| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 20.80 | 13.83 | 0.66 |
| TU2.5.5i/5| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 30.76 | 18.80 | 0.60 |
| TU3.5.5i/1| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 39.42 | 18.80 | 0.48 |
| TU3.5.5i/4| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 44.40 | 24.30 | 0.55 |
| TU3.5.5i/5| P. cf. emiliana | - | - | - | 38.81 | 22.87 | 0.59 |
| TU3.5.5i/9| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 40.75 | 22.76 | 0.56 |
| TU3.5.5i/10| P. emiliana | -     | -    | -    | 40.69 | 23.37 | 0.57 |
| TU4.5.5i/1| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 13.57 | 8.24  | 0.61 |
| TU4.5.5i/2| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 10.97 | 6.11  | 0.56 |
| TU4.5.5i/3| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 15.17 | 9.19  | 0.61 |
| TU4.5.5i/4| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 45.63 | 26.20 | 0.57 |
| TU4.5.5i/5| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 36.20 | 20.26 | 0.56 |
| TU4.5.5i/6| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 26.50 | 15.84 | 0.60 |
| TU4.5.5i/7| P. cf. emiliana | - | - | - | 17.40 | 10.53 | 0.61 |
| TU4.5.5i/8| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 36.45 | 20.25 | 0.56 |
| TU4.5.5i/9| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 44.50 | 24.10 | 0.55 |
| RE.5.5i/3| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 38.83 | 22.14 | 0.57 |
| RE.5.5i/4| P. emiliana | -      | -    | -    | 18.26 | 10.96 | 0.60 |
| RB.C20/3| P. cf. emiliana | - | - | - | 12.49 | 8.29  | 0.66 |

**Description.** Shells presenting a moderately involute coiling. The whorl section is relatively narrow and generally elliptical, presenting maximum thickness between the middle and the lower third of the whorl. The whorl section of the inner whorls can be compressed, presenting a subquadrate appearance. It exhibits a keel that is flanked by two more prominent grooves on the inner whorls, which become smoother and form flat areas on the outer whorls. The umbilicus is small and deep, with a high umbilical wall which is generally vertical or slightly oblique.

The ornamentation comprises thin straight or slightly sinuous ribs, on the sides, which curve sharply towards the body chamber in the ventral zone. They are rectiradiate...
or slightly proverse and are never prolonged as far as the keel. Density is high in the inner whorls and is lost during ontogeny. It generally disappears completely for whorl heights of 60 mm, although, given the noteworthy variability of the taxon, they might disappear earlier.

The suture line is relatively simple. Two elements stand out; the first of these, the lateral saddle, which is wide and bilobed; and the lateral lobe, which is deep and cropped. The second lateral saddle is narrower than the first one, as is the suspensive lobe.

**Remarks.** *P. emiliana* is the species most cited and figured of *Pseudolillia*, and it is also the taxon to which most of our specimens belong. They exhibit great variability, which makes it difficult to accurately describe them. The biconvex section of the whorl enables this species to be differentiated from *P. murvillensis* and *P. hispanica*, whose whorl sections are subtrapezoidal and subtrangular, respectively. It is also relatively simple to differentiate them from *P. donovani* and from *Pseudolillia* (? n. sp., due to their different coilings and to the bigger size of the umbilicus.

Variations can be observed within the taxon in relation to the ribbing. Thus, specimens TU2.5.5i/2, RE.5.5i/3 and HU.5.5i/20 and those figured by Goy (1974, Pl. 83, fig. 3) and by Elmi & Rulleau (1990, Pl. 6, figs. 3-4) present thicker and more spaced ribs, which are slightly more proverse, a fact that makes them similar to *P. murvillensis*.

**Geographic and stratigraphic distribution.** The specimens studied are mostly from the Iberian Range (Muro de Aguas, Castrovido, Hontoria del Pinar, Ricla, Arioño, Obón, Rambla del Salto, Clares, Fuentealsaz, Turmiel, Renales, Ribarredonda, Huertahernando, Buenafuente del Sistal and Villar de Cobeta). Additionally, we examined some specimens from the Cantabrian Range, from Camino, San Andrés and Salinas de Pisuerga, and a specimen from Santa Mera (Asturias), cited as *P. cf. emiliana* (Rényes) by Suárez Vega (1974, p. 74) and recorded slightly above *Pseudogrammoceras subfallaciosum* Buckman, which is deposited in the Jurassic Museum of Asturias (MUJA). The stratigraphic position of *P. emiliana* corresponds to the top of the Dispansum Chronzone, Insigne Subchronozone in the NW-European Province (Goy, 1974; Guex, 1975; Goy et al., 1988; Elmi et al., 1989, 1997; Elmi & Rulleau, 1990; Bécaud, 2006). Exceptionally, in the Rambla del Salto (Teruel) section, this species persists up to the base of the Gruneri Subchronozone (Comas-Rengifo et al., 1996). In the Mediterranean Province it has been cited in the Speciosum Chronzone (Gabilly et al., 1971; Géczy, 1985; Goy et al., 1988; Mouterde & Elmi, 1991; Elmi et al., 1997, 2007; Kovács, 2013).

**Description.** Shells presenting an evolute coiling. The section is similar to that of *P. emiliana*, high and straight, but less elliptical. The maximum thickness of the section is situated in the lower third of the flank. Most of the specimens present a keel in the ventral zone flanked by two flat areas that could be grooves in an early stage. The umbilicus is relatively large in relation to that of other species of the genus, and could represent up to 41% of the shell diameter. The umbilical wall is low and occasionally almost vertical.

The ornamentation comprises thin ribs that are straight or sinuous and slightly proverse and which reach the grooves of the ventral zone. Density is high in the internal whorls, but it gradually diminishes and disappears completely for whorl heights of 30 mm. The suture line can be observed in specimens TU3.5.4s/8 (Fig. 10.3) and TU3.5.4s/7 (Fig. 11.2), although their state of conservation does not always allow an accurate description of all the elements. The lateral saddle can be seen to divide into two lobes and, as pointed out by Garcia-Gómez & Rivas (1980), the external one is bigger than the internal one, the lateral lobe is deep, but narrower than in *P. emiliana*, and the second lateral saddle is relatively narrow.
Figure 5. (1, 3) *Pseudolillia murvillensis*. 1) Incomplete phragmocone. BB.5.5i/1. 3) Incomplete phragmocone, inner whorls. RS.386/1. (2) *Pseudolillia cf. murvillensis*. Incomplete phragmocone. RB.C20/1. Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone). All figures natural size.
Remarks. The character that most easily helps to differentiate the taxon from *P. emiliana* is the larger O/D ratio, as well as notable differences in ontogeny, as pointed out by García-Gómez & Rivas (1980). There is a clear loss of ornamentation for whorl heights of over 30 mm. Specimen TU3.5i/7 (Fig. 11.2) is one of the few within this taxon, and even among all those of the genus, conserving a part of the body chamber, which displays a greater degree of deformation and fracture than the phragmocone.

*P. donovani* presents notable analogies with the specimen described by Sassaroli & Venturi (2005) as *Pseudolillia apenninica* n. sp. from Cingoli (Central Appenines), as the section, the ribbing and the suture line are similar, and the umbilicus is only somewhat bigger in the Italian specimen.

**Geographic and stratigraphic distribution.** This species was identified in several localities of the Iberian Range (Rambla del Salto, Turmiel, Ribarredonda and Villar de Cobeta) and in Puig de Cutri in Majorca. The specimens of García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) are from el Zegri (Granada). It was also cited in France, in the quarries of Lafarge, by Elmi & Rulleau (1990).

The specimens from the Iberian Range are situated in the upper part of the Thouarsense Chronozone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone), as is the specimen of Elmi & Rulleau (1990). The specimens of García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) are from the “ammonitico rosso” facies from the upper part of the Fallaciosum Zone (in Goy et al., 1988), which likely corresponds to the transition between the Bonarelli and Speciosum chronozones of the standard scale of the Mediterranean Province (Elmi et al., 1997; Page, 2003). Sassaroli & Venturi (2005) situate *P. apenninica* in the Bonarelli Zone of the Mediterranean province which, according to Elmi et al. (1997), is equivalent to the Thouarsense Zone of the NE-European Province.

*Pseudolillia* ? n. sp. (in García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980) (Fig. 10.6)

1980 *Pseudolillia* ? n. sp. García-Gómez & Rivas, p. 199, Pl. 1, fig. 2.

**Material.** CU.11/4, 6; CU.11/6-- D= 51,20; O= 21,57; O/D= 0,42; H= 19,44; E= 15,50; E/H= 0,79.

**Description.** Evolute, with a high, relatively narrow section with convex sides. The maximum width is in the

---

**Figure 6.** *Pseudolillia hispanica*. Incomplete colonized phragmocone. RB.5.5i/7 (figured in Comas-Rengifo, 1974, Pl. 20. fig. 4). The arrow marks the end of the phragmocone.
Figure 7. (1, 2) *Pseudolillia hispanica*. 1) Incomplete colonized phragmocone. RB.5.5i/1. 2) Incomplete phragmocone. AN.567J/1. 3) *Pseudolillia emiliana*. Incomplete phragmocone. VC.5.5i/1. Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone). All figures natural size.
Figure 8. *Pseudolilia emiliana*. 1) Incomplete phragmocone with one of the slightly eroded flanks. FZ.5.5i/1. 2) Incomplete phragmocone colonized by serpulids and with carbonate crusting. FZ.5.5i/2). Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone).
Figure 9. Pseudolillia emiliana. 1) Incomplete phragmocone. CM.13/1. 2) Incomplete phragmocone colonized by serpulids in both flanks. RS.394/4. 3) Incomplete phragmocone. The specimen is slightly deformed and eroded. VC.5.5i/4. 4) Incomplete phragmocone. 4AR.156/1. 5) Incomplete phragmocone. TU3.5.5i/4. Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone). All figures natural size.
lower third of the whorl height. The ventral region is seen to be somewhat rounded, which might be due to erosion, as the specimens described are from a reelaborated level. The umbilicus is large; the biggest of all the taxa described and the umbilical wall is high, with an inclination close to vertical. The poor state of preservation does not enable sound analysis of the ornamentation, which appears to comprise thin straight ribs, or description of the suture line.

Remarks. The intense wear and the presence of manganese crusting on the fossil (Fig. 10.6) prevented a complete description of its morphological features; this might also have modified the appearance of the ventral zone.

The specimens are assigned to Pseudolillia ? n. sp., because their general characteristics fit well with the description given by García-Gómez & Rivas (1980), with the exception that in the specimen from Majorca the ventral region cannot be clearly observed and it is therefore unfeasible to confirm the presence of a keel flanked by two wide, shallow grooves.

The size of the umbilicus, over 42% of the diameter, indicates slower growth than in the other species (García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980), and this character separates this taxon from P. emiliana.

Geographic and stratigraphic distribution. García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) cite this species in the Betic Range, from Cerro Méndez (Granada), and specimen CU.11/6 is from the Sierra del Llevant Mountains (Majorca).

The stratigraphic position of this taxon is unclear, since García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) only indicate that it was found together with Pseudogrammoceras and the specimen from Majorca comes from a level of the Puig de Cutri section with reelaborated ammonites, showing a taxorecord representing all the chronozones of the upper Toarcian and of the basal Aalenian.

Pseudolillia ? sp.
(Fig. 4H, Fig. 10.7)

Material. CU.11/2, 3.

Description. Shell with moderately involute coiling. The section is high and elliptical (Fig. 4.H). The ventral region is rounded. It presents a high and vertical umbilical wall. Due to its state of conservation, no ornamentation can be observed; neither can the suture line be described.

Remarks. Due to its characteristics it is interpreted as a possible specimen of the genus Pseudolillia, although it cannot be included in any of the above described taxa. The specimens are reelaborated and come from a level presenting manganese crusting. It is possible that the rounded appearance of the ventral region is due to wear resulting from abrasion of the internal mould.

5. DISCUSSION

With the exception of Maubeuge (1949) and Arkell et al. (1957), who included the genus Pseudolillia in Phymatoceratinae, all other authors who studied this genus situated it in the Subfamily Grammoceratinae. However, the origin of the latter subfamily is controversial and several hypotheses have been proposed: a) it descends from Mediterranean Mercaticeras (Donovan, 1962); b) the subfamily is of NW-European origin following a migration; or 3) it presents a double origin from Mercaticeratinae and Phymatoceratinae such as Denckmannia chelussi Parisch & Viale (Gabillly, 1976, p. 75). Maubeuge (1949) stated that the morphology of Pseudolillia is difficult to compare with any known taxon, although he highlighted its proximity to the genus Lillia and the genus Crassiceras. Arkell et al. (1957) did not recognise the genus and included it as a synonym of Brodieia. The proximity with the previously cited genera appears to situate Pseudolillia in the Subfamily Phymatoceratinae. These observations are due to the alleged joining of the ribs in the lower part of
Figure 10. (1-5) *Pseudolillia donovani*. 1) RB.5.4s/1. 2) Incomplete phragmocone. RB.C19/1. 3) Incomplete phragmocone. TU3.5.4s/8. 4) Incomplete phragmocone. RS.380/1. 5) Incomplete phragmocone. CU.11/1. (6) *Pseudolillia* ? n. sp. García-Gómez & Rivas. Incomplete phragmocone. CU.11/6. (7) *Pseudolillia* ? sp. Incomplete phragmocone with manganese crust. CU.11/3. Thouarsense Chronzone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone). All figures natural size.
Figure 11. 1) *Pseudolillia murvillensis*. Fragment of shell that retains a part of the body chamber. The arrow marks the end of the phragmocone and it is possible to observe the crystallization of calcite in the inner whorls. HU.5.5i/3. Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone). 2) *Pseudolillia donovani*. Fragment of shell that retains a part of the body chamber, which is crushed and fractured. TU3.5.4s/7. Thouarsense Chronozone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone).
the flank (cf. Maubeuge, 1949). Donovan (1962) assigned the genus to Grammoceratinae owing to the straight ribs in the internal whorls, a character this author includes in the description of the genus, and which can be observed in other genera of the subfamily such as Dumortieria.

Guex (1975) suggested an origin of the group in some last representatives of Grammoceras from the Thouarsense Zone (Fascigerum Subzone), giving the example of G. striatum (Sowerby), which he situated as an intermediate step between Grammoceras and Pseudolillia, and Gabilly (1976) maintained Pseudolillia within the Grammoceratinae, but stated that the genus might equally derive from last representatives of the Phymatoceratinae. García-Gómez & Rivas (1980) also rejected the idea that the ribs are jointed at the lower part of the flank (at least in P. donovani) and they highlight the great similarities between Esericeras and Pseudolillia, which display only slight differences in the ribbing and the suture line (more simplified in Pseudolillia). In view of the previous studies Rulleau (1989, 1994) and Elmi & Rulleau (1990) concluded that the origin of the genus should be sought in the last Grammoceras or in Esericeras. It has been speculated that Pseudolillia is related to Esericeras ? loeve (Gabilly), whose section is reminiscent of P. donovani. Nonetheless, E. ? loeve has a smaller umbilicus and displays no ornamentation, a fact that distinguishes it from P. donovani. Following the studies by Bécaud (2006), any possible relationship must be ruled out, because the species described in France, Osperlioceras (Pseudopolyplectus) loeve (Gabilly), corresponds to the lower part of the Variabilis Chronozone. In summary, P. donovani or related forms most likely derive from Esericeras close to E. fascigerum, which is the closest species in degree of involution, as well as in the appearance of the section and of the ribbing.

Furthermore, the palaeobiogeographic distribution of the genus Pseudolillia is relatively small. It ranges from the southern part of the NW-European province (France and E and N of Spain) to different outcrops of the Mediterranean or Sub-Mediterranean Provinces (Portugal, S of Spain, Majorca, Eastern Italy, Hungary, Bulgaria, Mid-Atlas and High Atlas). Its stratigraphic distribution ranges from the Thouarsense Chronozone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone) of the NW-European Province equivalent to the upper part of the Bonarelli Zone of the Mediterranean province to the Dispansum/Speciosum Chronozone (Insigne/Speciosum Subchronozone). The Figure 12 shows the detailed geographic distribution of the main species recognised in Spain. P. emiliana is the species exhibiting the broadest geographic distribution, occupying practically the whole known distribution range of the genus. P. murvillensis has a smaller range, limited to the N of France, the region of Lyon, Spain’s eastern Pyrenees and the central sector of the Castilian Branch of the Iberian Range. P. donovani is distributed throughout a more southern area from the central sector of the Castilian Branch to the Betic Range in the Granada area and the east of Majorca, and perhaps occasionally in the Central Appenines (Italy). Lastly, P. hispanica has only been recorded in the Betic Range and in the central sector of the Castilian Branch of the Iberian Range. As for the stratigraphic position, P. donovani is the oldest species, which is distributed throughout a part of the Mediterranean Province during the Thouarsense Chronozone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone), whereas P. murvillensis (Dispansum Chronozone, Insigne Subchronozone) is a species typical of NW Europe. The record of P. emiliana (Dispansum Chronozone, Insigne Subchronozone) extends throughout the two aforementioned provinces and P. hispanica is likely an endemic species with a very small geographic range and a stratigraphic position that is limited to the base of the Dispansum Chronozone.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The study of the specimens attributed to Pseudolillia in sections of the E and N of Spain has enabled the following species to be characterised: in the Cantabrian Range P. emiliana, in the Iberian Range P. murvillensis, P. emiliana and P. donovani, and on the Isle of Majorca, P. donovani, Pseudolillia n. sp. (in García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980), P. cf. emiliana and Pseudolillia ? sp.

The stratigraphic distribution of the species identified appears to indicate that Pseudolillia is a genus of the upper Toarcian and that the species characterised in the NE of Spain present the following position: P. donovani is a species from the Thouarsense Chronozone (Fallaciosum Subchronozone); P. murvillensis and P. hispanica are species from the Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone) and P. emiliana is a relatively frequent species in the Dispansum Chronozone (Insigne Subchronozone), which could have survived up to the Gruneri Subchronozone, but which did not coexist with the oldest species of Dumortieria (D. pseudodumortieri Rulleau). The position of Pseudolillia ? n. sp. (in García-Gómez & Rivas, 1980), which these authors found with Pseudogrammoceras in Cerro Méndez (Granada), is also imprecise on the Isle of Majorca, as it comes from a decimetric remobilisation level with reelerated ammonites corresponding to several zones of the upper Toarcian and from the basal Aalenian. The same inaccuracy occurs with the specimen described as Pseudolillia ? sp.

Our study does not accurately establish the origin of the genus Pseudolillia. The succession of the ammonites in the study area is compatible with a derivation from last representatives of Grammoceras or Esericeras.
Their morphological characters (coils, whorl section, ornamentation and suture line) most likely indicate that they derive from *Esericeras*, close to the species *E. fascigerum*.

The geographic distribution of the genus *Pseudolillia* is limited to Europe and N Africa. *P. emiliana* is the species exhibiting the broadest distribution, because it is known in practically all the regions where the genus has been cited; the species *P. murvillensis* presents a relatively small distribution, which has been recorded in areas of the NW-European province, between Lorraine (France) and the central sector of the Iberian Range and *P. donovani* is a species typical of the Mediterranean Province which has also been recorded in the central sector of the Iberian Range, it is unsure whether it lived on the Iberian platforms.

![Figura 12. a) Palaeographical map for the Toarcian (modified after Golonka, 2007), showing the position of the studied area. b) Palaeographical map (modified after García Joral *et al.*, 2011) and palaeobiogeographic distribution of species *Pseudolillia*. Ab: Alboran; Ap: Apulia; Bu: Bucovinian; CSH: Corsica-Sardinia High; EH: Ebro High; FC: Flemish Cap; GB: Grand Bank; hA: High Atlas; LBM: London-Brabant Massif; MC: Massif Central High; mA: Middle Atlas; NFB: East Newfoundland Basin; Sl: Slavonia; Pl: Pelagonian; RM: Rhenish Massif; Si: Sicanian; Tu: Tuscan; UM: Umbria-Marche.](image-url)
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