However, the development of the simple and eco-friendly process for synthesis of nanomaterials would help in increasing further interest towards the application of metallic nanoparticles. Silver ions and silver-based compounds are toxic to microorganisms and this makes silver an admirable choice for manifold roles in the medical field. Silver is considered as one of the most widespread antimicrobial agents, catalysis and sensor technology in analytical chemistry [3, 4]. More than 25% of the approved drugs in the world are prepared from different compounds, plants are used as medicine in various countries and are the source of many powerful and potent phytochemicals [1]. At present, there is a growing demand for nanoparticles due to their broad applications in various fields, such as therapeutics, diagnostics, surgical devices and nanomedicine based antimicrobial agents, catalysis and sensor technology in analytical chemistry [3, 4]. However, the development of the simple and eco-friendly process for synthesis of nanomaterials would help in increasing further interest towards the application of metallic nanoparticles. Silver ions and silver-based compounds are toxic to microorganisms and this makes silver an admirable choice for manifold roles in the medical field. Silver is considered as one of the most widespread antimicrobial substances that exhibit low toxicity in human cells and has diverse roles both in in vitro and in vivo applications. Iron oxide has received specific notice because of their diverse scientific and technological applications such as biosensor [5], antimicrobial activity [6], food preservation [7], magnetic storage media, ferrofluids, magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic refrigeration, cell sorting, targeted drug delivery, and hyperthermic cancer treatments [8]. Besides, it has also been widely used in biomedical research because of its biocompatibility and magnetic properties [9].

INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants have been a primary source of therapeutic agents since ancient times to cure various human diseases. As the phytomedicine is healthier than synthetic products, the discovery of new drugs for various diseases from a natural source is still considered important. Due to the occurrence of therapeutic agents and different compounds, plants are used as medicine in various countries and are the source of many powerful and potent phytochemicals [1]. Researchers have identified the anti-biofilm activity of AgNPs and FeNPs with standard antibiotic, and it was found that FeNPs showed more biofilm destruction ability (58%) for S. epidermidis compared to P. aeruginosa (50%) and standard (30%). Whereas, AgNPs displayed significant biofilm destruction ability (78%) for P. aeruginosa compared to S. epidermidis (43%) and standard (34%).

In recent years, the number of infections coupled with antibiotic-resistant bacteria has increased. Many of these infections are caused by microorganisms growing in biofilms. Both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria can form biofilms on indwelling medical devices such as catheters, mechanical heart valves and prosthetic joints. The most common biofilm-forming bacteria linked with human diseases Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus viridans, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa [10]. Iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized using Lactobacillus fermentum isolates [11]. These nanoparticles had an antibacterial and anti-biofilm effect on coated catheters. Successfully synthesized Fe nanoparticles using the Annona squamosa leaf extract showed their inhibitory effect on Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm [12].

The increase biofilm resistance to conventional treatments has forced the need to search for new control strategies [13]. The seek for greener and safer alternatives than antibiotics and chemical preservatives is ongoing, especially in the upcoming context of phytomedicine. Plant-based antimicrobials are widely used on the perception of their safety and long history of their use. Moringa oleifera Lam. is one such plant that has received much publicity for its huge uses. The flavonoids extracted from its seed coat exhibited inhibitory potential as well as disruptive activities against biofilm formation [14]. It has also been for treating against buccal cavity or intestinal lesions inhabiting biofilm-forming pathogenic bacteria [15]. So far, there is no comparative study for the assessment in vitro biofilm efficacy of silver and iron nanoparticles synthesized using Moringa oleifera leaf extract. Therefore, this study was aimed to synthesized and characterize silver and iron nanoparticles and to evaluate the antibiofilm potential of these synthesized nanoparticles using gram-positive bacterium Staphylococcus aureus and gram-negative bacterium Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Chemicals such as silver nitrate (AgNO₃) and Ferric chloride (FeCl₃)
were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Bangalore) and crystal violet was procured from Hi-Media (Mumbai).

**Collection and processing of the sample**

Fresh leaves were collected from *M. oleifera* which are grown in Bharathiar University Campus, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. The collected plant leaves were authenticated (BSI/SRC/5/23/2018/Tech/2855) by Scientist, Botanical Survey of India, Southern Regional Centre, TNAU, Coimbatore (authentication certificate enclosed). The sample was washed thoroughly to remove adhering dust and soil particles and then dried under shade condition for two weeks. The dried leaves were ground into a fine powder and stored at 4°C for experimental studies.

**Plants extract preparation**

Five gram of the leaf powder was taken and mixed well with 100 ml distilled water. Then, the extract was boiled for 20 min and allowed to cool. After cooling, the extract was filtered using Whatman No.1 filter paper and then extract was made to 100 ml using distilled water [16, 17].

**Preparation of silver nitrate and ferric chloride solutions**

Silver nitrate and ferric chloride solutions were prepared separately for various concentrations such as 1 mmol, 2 mmol, 3 mmol, 4 mmol and 5 mmol using deionized water.

**Synthesis of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and Iron nanoparticles (FeNPs)**

AgNPs and FeNPs were synthesized separately by mixing 10 ml of a plant extract with 90 ml of various concentrations of silver nitrate and ferric chloride solutions. The mixed solutions were heated for 10 min at 50°C with continuous stirring. After heating, the solutions were kept under the dark conditions for 30 min incubation.

**Colour change**

After half an hour, the colour change was observed in all the reaction mixtures, which contain various concentrations of silver nitrate and ferric chloride.

**Characterization of nanoparticles**

**UV-Vis analysis**

After incubation, a small aliquot of each mixture was subjected to UV–Vis spectrophotometer for verifying the biosynthesis of silver and iron nanoparticles.

**X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis**

After confirming nanoparticle synthesis by UV-Vis spectroscopy analysis, the reaction mixture was transferred into a sterile centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min. The nanoparticle pellet was purified using sterile distilled water for thrice and air-dried pellets used for XRD analysis.

**Collection and maintenance of bacterial strains**

Microbial cultures such as *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (MTCC 2639) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 741) were purchased from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC), Institute of Microbial technology (IMTECH), Chandigarh, India. The subculture for each microbial strain was done using Muller Hinton agar medium. The culture was incubated at 37°C for 16 h.

**Biofilm formation assessment by crystal violet assay**

The antibiofilm activity was done by pouring 4 ml of Muller Hinton broth in the sterilized glass tubes. One ml of each bacterial strain was added and to which the synthesized silver and iron nanoparticles were added at various concentrations i.e 10 µl, 20 µl, 30 µl, 40 µl, 50 µl from the stock solution of 1 mg/1 ml. Then the tubes were incubated in an orbital shaker for 24 h at 37°C. After incubation, the content of each tubes was gently removed. Tubes were washed with 5 ml of sterile distilled water and allowed to dry for 5 min. 0.2% crystal violet was added and tubes were incubated for 30-45 min. After incubation, crystal violet was discarded and allowed to air dry. After drying, 95% ethanol was added and OD was taken at 595 nm using spectrophotometer. The percentage inhibition of biofilm activity was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{% inhibition of biofilm activity} = \frac{\text{OD of Control} - \text{OD of Test}}{\text{OD of Control}} \times 100
\]

**Statistical analysis**

The efficiency of biosynthesized AgNPs and FeNPs against bacterial growth is analyzed by applying a statistical tool (past 3).

**RESULTS**

**Colour change**

The plant extract of *M. oleifera* was used to synthesize AgNPs. After mixing leaf extract with various concentrations of AgNO₃ and FeCl₃, the mixtures were kept at dark condition. During half an hour incubation, a colour change was observed from light yellow to reddish-brown for solution with AgNPs and from pale yellow to dark yellow for the solution with FeNPs. Colour change has been observed for all the concentrations of AgNO₃ and FeCl₃ when added to plant extracts after half an hour incubation. Among five concentrations, 1 mmol concentration reaction mixture has been taken for further studies. The formation of silver and iron oxide nanoparticles was primarily confirmed by visual colour change.

**UV-Vis characterization**

Aliquots were taken from a reaction mixture containing 1 mmol concentration of AgNO₃ and FeCl₃ separately and OD was taken between 250-800 nm with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a resolution of 1 nm to determine the reduction rate of silver ions and ferric chloride ions. It is observed that the synthesized AgNPs and FeNPs showed a clear SPR band at 400 nm which confirms the reduction of silver ion to metallic silver and ferric ion to metallic iron (fig. 2).
For AgNPs, a peak at 400 nm suggests that the NPs were dispersed in the aqueous solution with no evidence of aggregation. When absorbance was taken after 24 h of incubation, no change was found in the absorbance. It indicates the stability of AgNPs. The peak for FeNPs at 404 nm shows the interaction of the leaf extract with the iron nanoparticles.

**X-ray diffraction**

Analysis through X-ray diffraction was carried out to confirm the crystalline nature of the AgNPs. A comparison of XRD spectrum with the standard confirmed the metallic silver formed in the reaction mixture, as evidenced by the peaks at 2-theta values of 14.402, 28.93, 31.89, 32.28, 37.12, 40.25, 46.40, 47.990 and 49.71 corresponding to (174), (360), (315), (162), (296), (135), (84), (72) and (75) Bragg reflections, respectively shown in fig. 3 and table 1. Analysis through X-ray diffraction was carried out to confirm the crystalline nature of the FeNPs. A comparison of XRD spectrum with the standard confirm the metallic iron formed in the reaction mixture, as evidenced by the peaks at 2-theta values of 14.239, 20.030, 28.82, 29.39, 32.163, 40.06, 42.47, 46.20 and 47.79 corresponding to (133), (177), (113), (131), (206), (141), (189), (115) and (177) Bragg reflection, respectively shown in fig. 4 and table 2. XRD spectrum AgNPs confirmed that the synthesized NPs were in the form of nanocrystals and the Debye-Scherrer equation shows the particle size of AgNPs is 30 nm. Using XRD results, the particles of the FeNPs were found to be agglomerates in nature due to magnetic properties and average diameter was found to be 7 nm by applying Scherrer equation.

![XRD pattern of synthesized AgNPs](image)

**Table 1: Peak values for AgNPs**

| No. | 2-theta (deg) | d (ang.) | Height(cps) | FWHM(deg) | Int. l(cpsdeg) | Int. W(deg) | Asym. factor |
|-----|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1   | 14.402(12)   | 6.145(5) | 6304(103)   | 0.15(3)   | 1416(174)     | 0.22(3)     | 1(3)        |
| 2   | 28.93(5)     | 3.083(5) | 2088(59)    | 0.66(9)   | 1856(360)     | 0.9(2)      | 0.22(14)    |
| 3   | 31.89(7)     | 2.804(6) | 868(38)     | 0.5(3)    | 796(315)      | 0.9(4)      | 5(26)       |
| 4   | 32.28(3)     | 2.771(2) | 1092(135)   | 0.15(2)   | 2419(162)     | 0.22(18)    | 0.6(3)      |
| 5   | 37.12(13)    | 2.420(8) | 1150(44)    | 0.7(3)    | 1634(296)     | 1.4(3)      | 0.4(6)      |
| 6   | 40.25(3)     | 2.2385(16) | 6932(107)  | 0.06(4)   | 808(135)      | 0.12(2)     | 1.2(13)     |
| 7   | 46.40(8)     | 1.955(3) | 2156(60)    | 0.24(4)   | 610(84)       | 0.28(5)     | 1.0(2)      |
| 8   | 47.990(15)   | 1.8942(5) | 2512(65)    | 0.12(6)   | 450(72)       | 0.18(3)     | 3(51)       |
| 9   | 49.71(2)     | 1.8326(7) | 1823(55)    | 0.20(4)   | 525(75)       | 0.29(5)     | 5(16)       |
Table 2: Peak values for FeNPs

| No. | 2theta(deg) | d(ang.) | Height(ps) | FWHM(deg) | Int. (cps.deg) | Int. W(deg) | Asym. Factor |
|-----|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1   | 14.239(5)   | 6.215(2)| 9319(125)  | 0.132(14) | 1709(133)     | 0.183(1)    | 0.4(14)      |
| 2   | 20.030(19)  | 4.429(4)| 2789(68)   | 0.21(17)  | 1067(177)     | 0.38(7)     | 2(7)         |
| 3   | 28.82(4)    | 3.096(4)| 2597(66)   | 0.28(5)   | 949(113)      | 0.37(5)     | 0.7(4)       |
| 4   | 29.39(4)    | 3.037(4)| 5275(94)   | 0.24(2)   | 1665(131)     | 0.32(3)     | 0.6(4)       |
| 5   | 32.163(6)   | 2.7807(5)| 11394(138) | 0.17(5)   | 2874(206)     | 0.25(2)     | 1(5)         |
| 6   | 40.06(2)    | 2.2488(12)| 3829(80)  | 0.13(5)   | 802(141)      | 0.21(4)     | 0(5)         |

Anti-biofilm assay

The biosynthesized AgNPs and FeNPs were tested against two different bacterial strains, *S. epidermidis* and compared with standard antibiotic azithromycin. The nanoparticles were used in different concentrations (10-50µg/ml DMSO) for the anti-biofilm assay. The staining agent crystal violet helps for the determination of the presence of biofilm in ring form. When the AgNPs and FeNPs are used at low concentrations (10–30 µg/ml), the biofilm shows more density than higher concentrations (40-50µg/ml). Of various concentrations, 50µg /ml shows comparatively higher anti-biofilm activity. The adherence of bacterial biofilm to the walls of the test tubes shows violet patches upon the test tubes. Biofilm formation was considered positive when visible film lined the wall and bottom of the tube. Ring formation at the air-liquid interface was not indicative of biofilm formation.

Statistical analysis

The efficiency of biosynthesized AgNPs and FeNPs against bacterial growth is analyzed by applying statistical tool (SPSS) and analyzed results were given in table 3. The anti-biofilm forming ability of AgNPs and FeNPs are compared with standard antibiotic. In the case of *S. epidermidis* FeNPs shows significant (p<0.05%) biofilm destruction ability (58%) compared to AgNPs (56%). The antibiotic shows only 38% efficiency. In the case of *P. aeruginosa*, AgNPs (78%) (p<0.05%) shows higher antibiofilm activity than FeNPs (43%) and antibiotics (34%). From this data, it can be concluded that FeNPs are found more suitable for the destruction of biofilms caused by *S. epidermidis* and AgNPs are found more efficient for the destruction of biofilm caused by *P. aeruginosa*.

Table 3: Efficiency of biosynthesized AgNPs and FeNPs on bacterial growth inhibition

| Name of the plant | Name of the microorganism | Conc. µg/ml | *S. epidermidis* | *P. aeruginosa* |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                   |                          |            | AgNPs            | FeNPs           |
|                   |                          |            | Antibiotics      | FeNPs           | Antibiotics   |
|                   |                          |            |                  |                 |               |
| *M. oleifera*     |                          | 10±2*      | 5±2             | 10±2            | 10±7          | 9±6           | 7±6           |
|                   |                          | 20±3       | 20±2            | 10±3            | 30±7          | 10±8          | 10±2          |
|                   |                          | 20±5       | 23±7            | 18±7            | 50±8          | 20±7          | 20±2          |
|                   |                          | 40±8       | 30±7            | 20±7            | 60±5          | 30±6*         | 30±9*         |
|                   |                          | 50±7±6*    | 50±8*           | 30±9*           | 70±8*         | 35±8*         | 32±2±         |

*Values followed by different letters indicate significant difference. The data represent the mean between±SD [p<0.05], comparison by DMRT, Where a>b>c.

DISCUSSION

There are several ways for characterizing nanoparticles. The first and most convenient way is the colour change of solution [18]. During synthesis of silver and iron nanoparticles, addition of leaf extract of *M. oleifera* into aqueous solutions of silver nitrate and ferric chloride led to the change in the colour of the solution from yellow to reddish-brown for silver nanoparticles synthesis and pale yellow to dark yellow for iron particle synthesis within half an hour dark incubation due to excitation of surface plasmon vibrations in silver nanoparticles [19] and in iron nanoparticles [20]. The surface plasmon resonance of AgNPs showed a peak centered near 400 nm at UV-vis spectra which corresponds to the absorbance of AgNPs. This peak indicates the reduction of silver nitrate into AgNPs. For
synthesis of iron nanoparticles, peak observed at around 404 nm which may due to surface plasma resonance of electrons of metal. There was an increase in intensity till the sixth hour as a function of reaction time without any shift in the peak wavelength for synthesis of iron nanoparticles [18]. On examining XRD pattern of silver nanoparticles, the prominent peaks at 44.02, 28.93, 31.89, 32.28, 37.12, 40.25, 46.40, 47.990 and 49.71 represents the (111), (220), (222), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440) Miller indices corresponding to the value of (133), (177), (113), (131), (206), (141), (189), (115) and (177) that denote crystalline phase of FeNPs [22]. In the present investigation, anti-biofilm forming ability of AgNPs and FeNPs are compared with the standard antibiotic. It was found that for S. epidermidis FeNPs shows significant biofilm destruction ability [23] whereas, AgNPs shows significant biofilm destruction ability for P. aeruginosa [24]. Nanoparticles have high penetrating ability than antibiotics [25]. The development of antimicrobial resistance is a universal obstacle that necessitates the innovation of more effective and safe antimicrobial alternatives [26]. Generally it is observed that gram-negative bacterial strains more vulnerable to AgNPs rather than gram-positive bacterial strains [27] which is also evidenced in the present study.

CONCLUSION

In the present investigation, an attempt was made to compare the efficiency of AgNPs and FeNPs for the destruction of biofilm and antibiofilm activity. It was found that even at low concentration of nanoparticles (10–50 µg/ml), significant antibiofilm activity of AgNPs and FeNPs is observed which proves the medicinal properties of M. oleifera leaves. Future studies would include more concentrations of these synthesized nanoparticles to understand antibiofilm effect for various microorganisms.
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