Mitochondrial Porin Por1 and Its Homolog Por2 Contribute to the Positive Control of Snf1 Protein Kinase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Snf1 is a member of the conserved Snf1/AMP-activated protein kinase (Snf1/AMPK) family involved in regulating responses to energy limitation, which is detected by mechanisms that include sensing adenine nucleotides. Mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC) proteins, also known as mitochondrial porins, are conserved in eukaryotes from yeast to humans and play key roles in mediating mitochondrial outer membrane permeability to small metabolites, including ATP, ADP, and AMP. We previously recovered the yeast mitochondrial porin Por1 (yVDAC1) from a two-hybrid screen for Snf1-interacting proteins. Here, we present evidence that Snf1 interacts with Por1 and its homolog Por2 (yVDAC2). Cells lacking Por1 and Por2, but not respiratory-deficient rho<sup>0</sup> cells lacking the mitochondrial genome, exhibit reduced Snf1 activation loop phosphorylation in response to glucose limitation. Thus, Por1 and Por2 contribute to the positive control of Snf1 protein kinase. Physical proximity to the VDAC proteins and mitochondrial surface could facilitate Snf1’s ability to sense energy limitation.

Mammalian AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is often referred to as the cell’s “fuel gauge” (15). According to the standard model, AMPK responds to decreases in cellular energy levels by sensing increased levels of AMP relative to ATP (reviewed in references 13, 14). The AMPK activation mechanism involves AMP binding to the ϒ subunit of the AMPK complex to the exclusion of ATP, making the catalytic α subunit of AMPK a better substrate for activation loop threonine (Thr172) phosphorylation by upstream kinases while interfering with its dephosphorylation and inactivation. More recent evidence indicates that besides AMP binding, ADP binding also stimulates Thr172 phosphorylation of AMPK and protects it from dephosphorylation (30, 49). Activated AMPK functions to balance the energy “budget” by cutting general energy spending (e.g., by inhibiting cell growth and proliferation) while activating specific energy-generating mechanisms (e.g., glucose uptake). In agreement with these important roles, defects in AMPK signaling have been linked to diabetes, obesity, and cancer, making AMPK a good drug target (reviewed in references 8 and 12).

Decreases in cellular energy levels can be caused by factors ranging from reduced glucose availability to reduced mitochondrial respiration (reviewed in reference 21). Metformin, a drug that is often prescribed to patients with type 2 diabetes, is thought to activate AMPK indirectly, by affecting respiratory complex chain I (2, 6). Metformin also exhibits anti-cancer action, an effect that is mediated largely by AMPK (reviewed in references 5 and 42). These findings indicate that a better understanding of the functional connection between AMPK and mitochondria could provide valuable clues to the molecular etiology, treatment, and prevention of metabolic disorders and cancer.

The Snf1 protein kinase of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is the homolog of mammalian AMPK and is required for growth on carbon sources that are less preferred than glucose, including non-fermentable carbon sources (reviewed in reference 16). Like AMPK, Snf1 is activated in response to glucose and energy limitation, which is detected by mechanisms that include ADP binding to the ϒ subunit of the Snf1 complex (Snf4), which similarly protects Snf1 from dephosphorylation of the activation loop threonine (Thr210) (26). Snf1 serves as a good model for studying the general aspects of Snf1/AMPK regulation in eukaryotes, and *S. cerevisiae* is particularly suitable for studies of the relationship between this kinase and mitochondria because of the well-known ability of this organism to survive with its respiratory function completely disrupted.

We previously recovered the yeast mitochondrial porin Por1 (9, 28) from a two-hybrid screen for Snf1-interacting proteins (46). Mitochondrial porins, also widely known as voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC) proteins, are conserved in eukaryotes from yeast to humans. These proteins play key roles in mediating mitochondrial outer membrane permeability to small metabolites, notably adenine nucleotides, and are involved in mitochondrial respiration; VDACs also play important roles in apoptosis and represent attractive targets for anti-cancer therapy (reviewed in references 4, 25, and 36).

Here, we present evidence that the yeast mitochondrial porin Por1/yVDAC1 and its homolog Por2/yVDAC2 (referred to herein as Por1 and Por2, respectively) share a role in the positive control of Snf1, revealing a new and counterintuitive layer of regulation. Physical proximity to the VDAC proteins and mitochondrial surface could facilitate Snf1’s ability to sense energy limitation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and media.** Yeast two-hybrid assays were performed with strain CTY10-5d (MATa gal4 gal80 URA3::lexAop-lacZ his3 leu2 ade2 trp1-901) (R. Sternglanz, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY). Except for CTY10-5d and its por1Δ derivative (see below), all strains were in the W303 background. The snf1Δ::KanMX6 and por2Δ::KanMX6 alleles have been described (32). To generate the por1Δ::KanMX6 and por2Δ::KanMX6 alleles, the marker sequences were amplified by PCR with primers flanking the corresponding open reading frames.
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reading frames. The mutant alleles were introduced into W303-1A (MATα ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trpl-1 ural-3-1) by transformation; all yeast transformations were performed using standard methods (33). In addition, por1Δ::KanMX6 was introduced into CTY10-5d, and por2Δ::KanMX6 was introduced into W303-1B (MATα ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trpl-1 ural-3-1). The genotypes of all knockout strains were confirmed by PCR analysis of genomic DNA. Double mutants lacking Por1 and Por2 were constructed by tetrad analysis of diploid cells obtained by crossing por1Δ and por2Δ single mutants of the opposite mating types. The rho0 cells were generated in W303-1A by ethidium bromide treatment (10). The rho0 status of the non-glycerol-utilizing cells thus obtained was verified by the loss of the mitochondrial COX1 and COX3 genes by PCR; the presence of the nuclear COX4 gene was used as a positive control.

Rich medium was yeast extract-peptone (YPE) supplemented with extra tryptophan (40 mg/liter) and adenine (20 mg/liter); synthetic complete (SC) medium lacking appropriate supplements was used to select for plasmids (33). Unless indicated otherwise, the media contained 2% glucose, and cells were grown at 30°C.

**Yeast two-hybrid interaction assays.** Plasmids pIT469 (22) and prIT79 (19) express LexA-Snf1 and VP16-Snf1 from vectors pEG202 (11) and pVP16 (45), respectively. To construct pLexA-Por1 and pGAD-Por1, a PCR fragment encompassing the POR1 open reading frame was inserted at the BamHI site of pEG202 and pACTII (24), respectively. The pLexA-Por1 and pGAD-Por1 plasmids were confirmed for ability to complement the por1Δ mutant derivative of CTY10-5d for the growth defect on glycerol at 37°C (1). Strain CTY10-5d was cotransformed with pairs of plasmids expressing the protein pairs being tested. Transformants were grown to mid-log phase with plasmid selection in SC medium lacking histidine and leucine and containing 2% glucose and then shifted for 3 h to an otherwise identical medium containing 0.05% glucose. β-Galactosidase activity was assayed in permeabilized cells and expressed in Miller units, as described previously (46).

**Coimmunoprecipitation assays.** Plasmids pH-A-Por1 and pH-A-Por2 express N-terminal triple hemagglutinin (HA) epitope-tagged Por1 (HA-Por1) and HA-Por2, respectively, and were constructed by inserting the POR1 and POR2 coding sequences into the BamHI site of vector pWS93 (37). Cells of W303-1A carrying the snf1Δ mutation were transformed with plasmid pSK117 expressing Snf1 (39) or with vector pSK134 (39, 43) and cotransformed with plasmids pH-A-Por1, pH-A-Por2, or with vector pWS93. Cells were grown to mid-log phase with plasmid selection in SC medium lacking leucine and uracil and containing 2% glucose; where indicated, cells were grown as described above and then shifted for 1 h to an otherwise identical medium containing 0.05% glucose. Protein extracts were prepared, and immunoprecipitations (from 200 μg of protein per reaction) were performed with anti-HA 12CA5 essentially as described previously (39) in a buffer containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 50 mM NaCl (46). The immunoprecipitates were examined for the presence of Snf1 by immunoblot analysis using anti-polyhistidine antibody H1029 (Sigma-Aldrich), which strongly recognizes Snf1 due to the presence of a natural stretch of 13 consecutive histidines near its N terminus (amino acids 18 to 30) (31). The presence of HA-Por1 and HA-Por2 was analyzed using anti-HA. Signals were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence using ECL Plus (Amersham Biosciences) or HyGlo (Denville Scientific). The presence of Snf1, HA-Por1, and HA-Por2 in the extracts was analyzed by immunoblotting similarly (10 μg protein per lane).

**Analysis of SUC2-LEU2-lacZ reporter expression.** To target its genomic integration, reporter plasmid pLS11 (34) was linearized at the NcoI site within the URA3 gene prior to transformation. pLS11 was first introduced into a doubly heterozygous diploid obtained by crossing por1Δ and por2Δ single mutants. Wild-type and mutant haploids carrying pLS11 (Ura−) were then recovered by tetrad analysis. The rho0 derivatives were generated from pLS11-carrying wild-type cells as described above. Cells were grown to mid-log phase in YEP with 2% glucose and then shifted to YEP with 0.05% glucose for 3 h. β-Galactosidase activity was assayed in permeabilized cells and expressed in Miller units.

**RESULTS**

Snf1 and Por1 interact in the two-hybrid system. We previously recovered Por1 from a two-hybrid screen for Snf1-interacting proteins (46). To further demonstrate this interaction, fusions to the LexA DNA-binding protein were tested in combination with fusions to the viral VP16 or Gal4 transcription activation domain (GAD) for the ability to activate a reporter with LexA binding sites (lexAop-lacZ) in strain CTY10-5d. LexA-Por1 interacted with VP16-Snf1 (Fig. 1A). In the reciprocal setting, LexA-Snf1 interacted with GAD-Por1 (Fig. 1B). In both cases, the interaction signals exhibited an apparent increase in response to glucose limitation. As discussed further below, we do not necessarily take this to suggest that the affinity of the Snf1-Por1 interaction is stimulated by glucose limitation.

In terms of β-galactosidase activity, the observed interaction was weak in comparison to other known interactions of Snf1 (e.g., within the classical Snf1 complex [19]), which was not surprising; in fact, it was more surprising that this interaction could be detected at all. In order for a two-hybrid interaction to be detected, both interacting partners must be present in the nucleus. While this is not a problem for Snf1, which is a cytoplasmic/nuclear protein (44), Por1 is a major mitochondrial outer membrane protein (1). However, porin/VDAC proteins are apparently not absolutely restricted from the nucleus, allowing the yeast two-hybrid protein (4), Por1 is a major mitochondrial outer membrane protein (1). However, porin/VDAC proteins are apparently not absolutely restricted from the nucleus, allowing the yeast two-hybrid system to work and provide valuable preliminary information. For example, some interactions of eukaryotic VDAC proteins that were initially detected in the yeast two-hybrid system were subsequently shown to exist and to be physiologically relevant (3, 7). We therefore decided to explore the Snf1-Por1 interaction further.

**Snf1 coimmunoprecipitates with Por1.** We next conducted coimmunoprecipitation experiments. A snf1Δ strain was cotrans-
Por1 and Por2 could also at least partly contribute to Snf1 regulation by a more direct mechanism. Similar to mammalian AMPK, Snf1 activation correlates with increased AMP-to-ATP ratios in vivo; unlike AMPK, however, the yeast Snf1 complex share a role in nonfermentable carbon source utilization that is not directly related to channel function (1, 23). Since Snf1 is required for growth on nonfermentable carbon sources (16), and since Snf1 also coimmunoprecipitates with Por2 (Fig. 2C and D), we considered the possibility that Por1 and Por2 share a role in the positive control of Snf1.

Catalytic activation of Snf1 requires phosphorylation of its conserved activation loop threonine (Thr210, which corresponds to Thr172 of AMPK), which is performed by the upstream kinases Sak1, Tos3, and Elm1 (18, 29, 38). In the presence of abundant glucose, Snf1 is turned off by Thr210 dephosphorylation, which involves the type 1 protein phosphatase Gcp7 together with its regulatory protein, Reg1 (27, 40, 41). When wild-type cells are shifted from high to low glucose, the level of activated phospho-Thr210-Snf1 increases dramatically (27). In comparison to the wild type, double-mutant cells lacking Por1 and Por2 exhibited a lower level of phospho-Thr210-Snf1, as hypothesized (Fig. 3A), with a reduction of approximately 5-fold upon normalization to total Snf1 levels. No such Snf1 activation defects were observed in cells lacking Por1 alone or Por2 alone or in respiratory-deficient rho0 cells lacking the mitochondrial genome (Fig. 3B), with the normalized phospho-Thr210-Snf1 levels in these mutants and the wild type differing from each other by no more than 30%.

Thus, these results indicated that the combined lack of Por1 and Por2 confers a defect in Snf1 activation loop phosphorylation in low glucose and that this defect is not directly related to compromised respiratory competence.

We also examined the effects of the mutations on the regulation of an integrated SUC2-LEU2-lacZ reporter; expression of this reporter is activated by glucose limitation in a Snf1-dependent manner (34). Under glucose-limiting conditions, the por1Δ por2Δ double mutation caused a 13-fold reporter activation defect relative to the wild type (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, the por1Δ and rho0 mutations also affected activation, albeit to a lesser extent (2.5- and 4.1-fold, respectively), raising the possibility that these mutations affect yet another aspect of Snf1 pathway activity that is distinct from Thr210 phosphorylation.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we present evidence that the yeast mitochondrial VDAC proteins Por1 and Por2 contribute to the positive control of Snf1 activation-loop phosphorylation and that this role is not directly mediated by the respiratory proficiency of the cell.

The VDAC proteins represent a major component of the interface between the mitochondria and cytoplasm of the eukaryotic cell (4). Proteomic studies in yeast indicate that Por1 and Por2 physically interact with numerous proteins, including components of major nutrient-sensing pathways such as TOR, PKA, casin kinase II, and others (17); the ability of Snf1 to interact with Por1 and Por2 is also consistent with the previous finding that Snf1 associates with the mitochondria (35). If the mitochondrial surface serves as a major substratum for interactions between global regulatory pathways, the Snf1 activation defect observed in the por1Δ por2Δ mutant could represent an indirect consequence of major pathway miscommunication caused by mitochondrial surface perturbation.

However, Por1 and Por2 could also at least partly contribute to Snf1 regulation by a more direct mechanism. Similar to mammalian AMPK, Snf1 activation correlates with increased AMP-to-ATP ratios in vivo; unlike AMPK, however, the yeast Snf1 complex

---

**FIG 2** Snf1 coimmunoprecipitates with Por1 and Por2. (A and B) Snf1 coimmunoprecipitates with HA-Por1. (A) Cells carrying the snf1Δ mutation (isogenic to W303-1A) were transformed with a plasmid expressing Snf1 or the appropriate vector, as well as with a plasmid expressing HA-Por1 or the appropriate vector. Cells were grown to mid-log phase with plasmid selection in medium containing 2% glucose, protein extracts were prepared, and HA-Por1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA. The presence of Snf1 and HA-Por1 in the extracts and immunoprecipitates (IP: α-HA) was examined by immunoblotting. (B) Cells coexpressing Snf1 and HA-Por1 were grown in 2% glucose as for panel A (H, high glucose) and then shifted for 1 h to an otherwise identical medium with 0.05% glucose (L, low glucose). Immunoprecipitation experiments were performed as for panel A. (C and D) Snf1 coimmunoprecipitates with HA-Por2. Experiments for panels C and D were performed like those for panels A and B, respectively, except that HA-Por2 was expressed instead of HA–Por1.

---

**TABLE**

- **Extract**
  - IP: α-HA
  - Snf1
  - HA-Por1
  - Snf1
  - HA-Por1

- **Glucose**
  - H
  - L
  - H
  - L

- **HA-Por1**
  - SNF1
  - HA-POR1
  - SNF1
  - HA-POR1

- **HA-Por2**
  - SNF1
  - HA-POR1
  - SNF1
  - HA-POR1
does not respond to regulation by AMP in vitro (48). The identity of the relevant in vivo AMP and/or ATP sensor, if it exists, remains unknown. Following the recent findings that mammalian AMPK is positively regulated by ADP (30, 49), it was shown that ADP protects yeast Snf1 from Thr210 dephosphorylation in vitro (26).

Based on analysis of ADP and ATP binding affinities, it was suggested that the yeast Snf1 complex responds to absolute ADP levels rather than to ADP-to-ATP ratios (26). At the same time, according to an earlier report (48), the absolute ADP levels in yeast cells shifted from high to low glucose do not appear to change as much as the AMP-to-ATP or ADP-to-ATP ratios. These considerations suggest a possible functional niche for Por1 and Por2. We envision that an ability to interact with the VDAC proteins and proximity to the mitochondrial surface could put Snf1 in a better position to sense glucose/energy limitation. For example, as glucose levels decrease, the ADP levels could rise more sharply at the proximity to the mitochondrial surface, making it a good location to monitor. In addition, we cannot exclude the possibility that Por1 and Por2 could also act as “co-sensors” of a stress signal upstream of Snf1. Further experiments will be necessary to address these and other possibilities.

As mentioned above, mitochondria are generally viewed as indirect negative regulators of AMPK. Our findings with yeast Snf1 indicate the existence of a positive regulatory component. Since Snf1/AMPK and VDAC proteins are conserved in evolution, functional relationships similar to the one observed here could exist in other eukaryotes.
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