Genetic variability among populations of Crysomya megacephala (Diptera: calliphoridae) in India.
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Abstract
Genetic variation at four gene enzyme systems was analyzed in Crysomya megacephala. The three enzymes namely acid phophatase (ACPH), aldehyde oxidase(AO),glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) were found to express activity only in a single zone indicating that they are encoded at single locus. Alcohol dehydrogenase was monomorphic while acid phoshatase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase were polymorphic.

Introduction
Blow flies of the family calliphoridae are distributed worldwide and some of the spices belonging to this family are known to be causative agents of animal tissue myiasis and transmission of enteric pathogens and parasites causing severe loss to live stoke(El-Azazy, 1989). Enzyme electrophoresis has contributed a great deal to elucidate genetic differences in calliphorids (Bush and Neck 1976 , Whitten 1980, Richardson et al 1982 , Krafsur and Whitten 1993, Taylor and Peterson 1994, Taylor et al 1996, Wallman and Adams,1997,2001). However in the genus Chrysomya genetic variation has been analysed only by using microsatellite markers in Chrysomya albiceps (Torris and Azeredo-Espin, 2008) and C.Putoria (Rodrigues et al 2009) from Brazil. In the present study an attempt has been made to analyze genetic variation in Chrysomya megacephala from Allahabad (India).

Material And Methods:-
Specimens of Chrysomya megacephala (Fabricious) were collected using sweep net from Allahabad. Single male flies were homogenized in 40µl of chilled double distilled water, homogenate was centrifuged and the supernatant was used for enzyme separation. Electrophoresis was perphormed on 7% polyacrylamide gel in a tube gel electrophoresis apparatus at 4°C. The enzyme systems buffers and staining mixtures for all the gene enzyme systems analyzed are represented in Table 1.

| Enzyme | Gel/electrode buffer | Staining buffer | Substrate/ Coenzyme* | Dyes | Reference |
|--------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------|----------|
| ACPH (E.C. 3.1.3.2) | 0.1M Tris-borate (pH 8.9) | 0.1M Acetate (pH 5.0) | Sodium-α- phosphate | Fast Blue BB | Ayala et al (1972) |
| ADH (E.C. 1.1.1.1) | 0.05M Tris- HCL (pH 8.5) | 0.05M Tris- HCL (pH 8.5) | Ethanol/ NAD | NBT PMS | Manchenko (1994) |
| G6PD (E.C.1.1.1.49) | 0.1M Tris- HCL (pH 8.5) | 0.1M Tris- HCL (pH 8.5) | Na-glucose 6-phosphate/NADP | NBT PMS | Tsukamoto (1989) |
| AO (E.C. 1.2.3.1) | 0.1M Tris- HCL (pH 8.5) | 0.1M Tris- HCL (pH 7.4) | Benzaldehyde | NBT PMS | Tsukamoto (1989) |
The relative mobility of each band was calculated and expressed as Rf value (X100) as per the following method of Tsukamoto and Horio (1985).

\[
Rf = \left( \frac{\text{Migration distance of a band}}{\text{Migration distance of the (buffer) front}} \right) \times 100
\]

Electrophoretic genotypes were determined by comparison of relative mobilities of the bands. Genetic interpretation was carried out following the method of Harry et al. (1992) thus single band indicates homozygotes and multiple bands/diffuse bands represent heterozygotes. On the basis of electromorph frequencies, the genetic variability was estimated using the polymorphic loci (P), mean observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosity (Nei, 1972) and test for conformance to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium by Chi-square test.

**Result & discussion:-**

Four gene enzyme systems were analyzed Genetic variation in the Chrysomya megacephala. All the four enzymes namely acid phosphatase (ACPH), aldehyde oxidase (AO), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) were found to express activity only in a single zone indicating that they are encoded at single locus. Alcohol dehydrogenase was monomorphic while acid phosphatase, aldehyde oxidase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase were polymorphic.

![Fig. 1: The banding pattern of ACPH enzyme](image1)

![Fig. 2: The banding pattern of AO enzyme](image2)
Table 2:- The electromorph frequencies and heterozygosities at all four loci are presented in

| Locus  | No. of Individuals (n) | Electromorph frequency | Heterozygosity |
|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
|        |                        | a  | B   | c  | Observed (H_o) | Expected (H_E) |
| ACPH   | 100                    | 0.52 | 0.49 | - | 0.31             | 0.49           |
| AO     | 100                    | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.55             | 0.67           |
| G6PD   | 100                    | 0.55 | 0.45 | - | 0.28             | 0.49           |
| Mean het.(H) |        |              |              | 0.38             | 0.55           |

The distribution of electrophoretic phenotypes did not conform to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for acid phophatase (ACPH), aldehyde oxidase (AO), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD). This may be attributed to a deficiency of heterozygotes to sampling error and/or inbreeding in the population (Harti, 2000).

The mean observed heterozygosity (H_o) 0.38 in the present study is found to be higher than the average value found in invertebrates 0.134 (Ayala 1983) and in other dipterans 0.115 (Graur 1985).

Genetic variations among calliphorids using allozymes have been estimated only in Cochliomyia hominivorax (Taylor and Peterson, 1994; Taylor et al., 1995), C. macellaria (Taylor and Peterson, 1994) and the present study. These flies were characterized by large heterozygosities as compared to other dipterans (Selander, 1976; Santos et al., 2005; Tripathi et al. 2010). Large population size is responsible for greater genetic diversity as compared to small population size diversity (Krafsur et al., 1992, 2005; Krafsur and Griffiths, 1997). Several factors eg. environmental conditions, genetic drift, population bottle neck, colonization, host availability and reproductive pressures are known to influence genetic variations within and among populations. In general the species populations distributed over a large variety of environmental conditions are known to be genetically more heterozygous as compared to the species with restricted distribution (Narang, 1980, Scarpasa and Hamada, 2003, Santos et al., 2005). It is interesting to note that all the calliphorids reveal large allelic diversities and microsatellite heterozygosities, (Torres and Azeredo- Espin, 2005; Torres and Azeredo- Espin, 2008), a characteristic feature expected for a species with large population size. However, it is imperative that genetic characterization of geographically diverse populations of different Chrysomya species from India should be carried out with the help of allozyme and other molecular markers to evaluate the extent of genetic differentiation between population.
References:
1. El-Azazy, O.M.E. 1989. Wound myiasis caused by Cochliomyia hominivorax in Libya. Vet. Rec., 124: 103
2. Bush, G. L. and Neck, R. W. (1976) Ecological genetics of the Screw warm fly, Cochliomyia hominivorax (Diptera: Calliphoridae) and its bearing on the quality control of mass-reared insects. Environmental Entomology 5: 821-826.
3. Torres, T.T. and Azaredo-Espin, A.M.L. (2008) Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers for the blowfly Chrysomya albiceps (Diptera : Calliphoridae). Molecular Ecology Resources 8: 208-210.
4. Wallman, J.F. and Adams, M. (1997) Molecular systematics of Australian carrion-breeding blowflies of the genus Calliphora (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Australian Journal of Zoology, 45: 337-356.
5. Rodrigues, R.A., de Azeredo Espin, A.M.L., Torres, T.T. (2009) Microsatellite markers for population genetic studies of the blowfly Cnrysomya putoria (Diptera : Calliphoridae). Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, 104: 1047-1050.
6. Harti, D.L. (2000) A primer of population genetics. Sinauer Associates. Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts.
7. Ayala, F.J. (1983) Genetic polymorphism: from electrophoresis to DNA sequences. Experientia 39: 813-823.
8. Graur, D. (1985) Gene diversity in Hymenoptera. Evolution 39: 190-199.
9. Manchenko,G.P.(2003) Handbook of detection of enzymes on electroforetic gels second edition. CRC Press.
10. Nei, M. (1972) Genetic distance between populations. Am. Nat. 106: 283-292.
11. Taylor, D.B. and Peterson II, R.D. (1994) Population genetics and gene variation in primary and secondary screwworm (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 87: 626-633.
12. Taylor, D.B., Peterson II, R.D. and Moya-Barza, G.E. (1996) Population genetics and gene variation in screwworms (Diptera: Calliphoridae) from Brazil. Biochem. Genet. 34: 67-76.
13. Harti, D.L. (2000) A primer of population genetics. Sinauer Associates. Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts.
14. Ayala, F.J. (1983) Genetic polymorphism: from electrophoresis to DNA sequences. Experientia 39: 813-823.
15. Graur, D. (1985) Gene diversity in Hymenoptera. Evolution 39: 190-199.
16. Manchenko,G.P.(2003) Handbook of detection of enzymes on electroforetic gels second edition. CRC Press.
17. Krafsur, E.S., Cummings, M.A., Endsley, M.A., Marquez, J.G. and Nason, J.D. (2005) Geographic differentiation in the house fly estimated by microsatellite and mitochondrial variation. J. Hered. 96: 502-512.
18. Manchenko,G.P.(2003) Handbook of detection of enzymes on electroforetic gels second edition. CRC Press.