NLO QCD corrections to $\eta_c+h_c(1P)/\psi_{1,2}(1D)$ production at B-factories
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Abstract: We calculate the next-to-leading order (NLO) quantum chromodynamics (QCD) corrections to double charmonium production processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + c\bar{c} (\eta_c)$ encouraged many investigations because of large discrepancies between leading order (LO) calculations [1–4] and experimental results at B-factories [5, 6]. It was found that this problem may be somehow remedied by next-to-leading order (NLO) Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) corrections [7–11] in the framework of non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) [12], which indicates that the NLO corrections might be significant to LO results. In order to further understand the NLO properties and investigate the convergence of perturbative expansion for heavy quarkonium production and decays in the NRQCD formalism, we need to study NLO properties and investigations of per. After the running of super B-factories in the future, we denote $J/\psi + \chi_{cJ}$ case, all the corrections here are not large. Uncertainties in the renormalization scale, quark mass and running energy of center-of-mass are discussed, and the scale dependence of these processes is found to be greatly reduced with the NLO QCD corrections.
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1 Introduction

Once, the double charmonium inclusive(exclusive) processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + c\bar{c} (\eta_c)$ encouraged many investigations because of large discrepancies between leading order (LO) calculations [1–4] and experimental results at B-factories [5, 6]. It was found that this problem may be somehow remedied by next-to-leading order (NLO) Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) corrections [7–11] in the framework of non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) [12], which indicates that the NLO corrections might be significant to LO results. In order to further understand the NLO properties and investigate the convergence of perturbative expansion for heavy quarkonium production and decays in the NRQCD formalism, we need to study NLO properties and investigations of per. After the running of super B-factories in the future, we denote $J/\psi + \chi_{cJ}$ case, all the corrections here are not large. Uncertainties in the renormalization scale, quark mass and running energy of center-of-mass are discussed, and the scale dependence of these processes is found to be greatly reduced with the NLO QCD corrections.

There have been great advances in recent years in the calculation of radiative corrections to charmonium inclusive and exclusive production and decays. The LO estimations for $h_c$ inclusive production at B-factories were given in Refs. [13, 14]. Recently, a complete NLO calculation for the P-wave charmonium $\chi_{cJ}$($^1P^1$, $^3S^1$) inclusive production processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}(c\bar{c})/gg/qq(q=u,d,s)$ was carried out [15]. Though so far there are insufficient data on the double excited charmonium exclusive processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow H_1 + H_2^*$, theoretical studies have already started [3, 16], and even the NLO corrections for $J/\psi + \chi_{cJ}$ production at B-factories [17, 18] have been performed.

In this work, we calculate the NLO QCD corrections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + h_c(1P)/\psi_{1,2}(1D)$ processes within the NRQCD formalism. The $h_c(1^1P_1, J^{PC}=1^{++})$ state was first observed by experiment E760 at Fermilab [19], and its C-parity was established by radiative decay $h_c \rightarrow \eta_c \gamma$ [20]. The $\psi(3770)$ has been identified as $\psi_1(1^3D_1, J^{PC}=1^{--})$ since its parameters are consistent with the expectations [21]. Note, the $\psi(3836)$ was once considered to be the quark model state $\psi_2(1^3D_2, J^{PC}=2^{--})$ [22], however, the $\psi(3823)$ recently observed by Belle [23] and BESIII [24] becomes the $\psi(1^3D_2)$ state. For simplicity, we denote $\psi_{1,2}(1^3D_{1,2})$ states as $\psi_{1,2}$ throughout this paper. After the running of super B-factories in the future, the processes considered in this study might be observed, and a precise evaluation is hence necessary.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents our formalism and calculation method,
numerical evaluation and some discussion of the results are given in Section 3, and Section 4 gives a summary and conclusions.

2 Calculation scheme description and formalism

In our calculation the Mathematica package FeynArts [25] was applied to generate all the Feynman diagrams and amplitudes of partons. The standard projection operators for charmonia may be expressed as [26]:

$$\Pi_{0,1} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2E(E+m_c)}}(\bar{q}-m_c)\phi_{0,1}(P+2E)(\bar{q}+m_c) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}}$$

(1)

Here, \(\Pi_0\) corresponds to the spin-singlet charmonia with \(\phi_0 = \gamma_5\), while \(\Pi_1\) corresponds to spin-triplet states with \(\phi_1 = \gamma_5\), the spin polarization vector. \(p = \frac{P}{2} + q\) and \(\bar{p} = \frac{P}{2} - q\) are the momenta of the quark and antiquark within the charmonium, respectively. \(P\) denotes the momentum of quarkonium and \(q\) is the relative momentum between quarks inside the quarkonium. \(1\) stands for the unit matrix in color space.

Using the method described in Ref. [3], and applying FeynCalc [27] to assist the calculation of amplitudes, one can readily obtain the tree-level results. For one-loop QCD corrections, the representative Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. We first used FeynCalc to trace the Dirac matrix chains as well as the color matrices, and to perform the derivative on momentum \(q\). Next, the package $\text{Apart}$ [28] was employed to reduce the propagators of each one-loop diagram into linearly independent ones. Then, we applied the package FIRE [29] to reduce all the one-loop integrals into several master-integrals(\(A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0\)). Finally, the package LoopTools [30] was employed to evaluate the scalar master-integrals numerically.

Throughout our calculation, we adopted the Feynman gauge, and took the conventional dimensional regularization with \(D=4-2\epsilon\) to regularize the divergences. The ultraviolet divergences are canceled by the counter terms and the infrared divergences in the short distance coefficients cancel each other, which confirms the NRQCD factorization for \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + h_0(1P)/\phi_{1,2}(1D)\) processes at NLO level. When handling the counter terms, we found that terms related to \(Z_2\), the renormalization constant corresponding to the gluon field, vanish in the end. The renormalization constant \(Z_\alpha\), corresponding to the strong coupling constant \(\alpha_\text{s}\), was computed in the modified-minimal-subtraction (\(\overline{\text{MS}}\)) scheme, while \(Z_2\) and \(Z_m\), corresponding respectively to the quark field and quark mass, were in the on-shell (\(\text{OS}\)) scheme. Eventually, the expressions for the relevant renormalization constants read:

$$\delta Z_g = -\frac{\beta_0 \alpha_\text{s}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} \gamma_\text{UV} + \ln(4\pi),$$

$$\delta Z_{g,\text{OS}} = -C_F \frac{\alpha_\text{s}}{4\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon_{\text{IR}}} - 3\gamma_E + 3\ln \frac{4\pi m^2}{m^2} + 4 \right],$$

$$\delta Z_m = -3C_F \frac{\alpha_\text{s}}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - \gamma_E + \ln \frac{4\pi m^2}{m^2} + \frac{4}{3} \right].$$

(2)

3 Numerical results and analysis

Before carrying out numerical calculation, the input parameters need to be fixed. The NLO running coupling constant

$$\frac{\alpha_\text{s}(\mu)}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{\beta_0 L} \frac{\beta_1 \ln L}{\beta_0^2 L^2}$$

was employed with \(L = \ln(\mu^2/A_{\text{QCD}})\), \(\beta_0 = (11/3)C_A - (4/3)T_F n_t\) and \(\beta_1 = (34/3)C_A^2 - 4C_F T_F n_t - (20/3)C_A T_F n_t\). In numerical evaluation, the effective quark flavor number \(n_f = 4\) was adopted and \(A_{\text{QCD}} = 0.297\) GeV [31]. At the leading order in the relativistic expansion \(M_{\eta_c}/m_c^2 = 2m_c\), and hence the charm quark mass \(m_c = 1.7\pm 0.2\) GeV was taken. The values of NRQCD matrix elements were evaluated from the Bunchmiller-Tye potential model [32], i.e.,

$$\langle O_1 \rangle_{\eta_c} \approx \frac{N_c}{2\pi} |R_S(0)|^2 = 0.387\text{ GeV}^3,$$

$$\langle O_1 \rangle_{h_0} \approx \frac{3N_c}{2\pi} |R_p(0)|^2 = 0.107\text{ GeV}^5,$$

$$\langle O_1 \rangle_{\phi_{1,2}} \approx \frac{15N_c}{4\pi} |R''_D(0)|^2 = 0.054\text{ GeV}^7. \quad (4)$$

In our calculation, two typical renormalization scales were considered, therefore the corresponding values of the running coupling constant are \(\alpha_\text{s}(\mu = 2m_c) = 0.235\) and \(\alpha_\text{s}(\mu = \sqrt{s}/2) = 0.203\). The cross sections of \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + h_0(1P)/\phi_{1,2}(1D)\) are presented in Tables 1–3, in which
the errors are induced by varying $m_c$, and the K-factor is defined as $\frac{\sigma_{NLO}}{\sigma_{LO}}$. These results indicate that:

1. For $\eta_c + h_c(1P)$ production, the NLO correction enhances the tree-level result, and the K-factor is bigger at large scale $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$.
2. For $\eta_c + \psi_2(1D)$ productions, the NLO corrections are negative, and the K-factors are also bigger at large scale $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$.
3. At LO, cross sections of these three processes decrease with the scale $\mu$ increasing from $2m_c$ to $\sqrt{s}/2$. The NLO result of $\eta_c + h_c(1P)$ also decreases with the scale, while the NLO results of $\eta_c + \psi_2(1D)$ have an inverse relation with the energy scale in the discussed region;
4. The hierarchy $\sigma_{\phi_1} < \sigma_{\phi_2} < \sigma_{\phi_4}$ remains for both LO and NLO results at scales $\mu = 2m_c$ and $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$.

To illustrate the results in Tables 1–3 more clearly, we show the LO and NLO cross sections of $\eta_c + h_c(1P)/\psi_2(1D)$ production with different $m_c$ versus renormalization scale $\mu$ in Figs. 2–4 respectively. One may notice that the scale dependence of the NLO results is obviously depressed, and the convergence of perturbative expansion for these processes works well, whereas the cross sections are quite sensitive to the quark mass.

Table 1. Cross sections $\sigma(\mu)/(fb)$ of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + h_c(1P)$ at leading order and next-to-leading order at $\mu = 2m_c$ and $\sqrt{s}/2$. The errors are induced by $m_c = 1.7 \pm 0.2$ GeV.

| $\sigma(\mu)/fb$ | LO     | NLO   | K-factor |
|------------------|--------|-------|----------|
| $\mu = 2m_c$    | $0.278 \pm 0.143$ | $0.331 \pm 0.354$ | $1.19 \pm 0.12$ |
| $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$ | $0.207 \pm 0.107$ | $0.291 \pm 0.349$ | $1.40 \pm 0.11$ |

Table 2. Cross sections $\sigma(\mu)/(fb)$ of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + \psi_2(1D)$ at leading order and next-to-leading order at $\mu = 2m_c$ and $\sqrt{s}/2$. The errors are induced by $m_c = 1.7 \pm 0.2$ GeV.

| $\sigma(\mu)/fb$ | LO     | NLO   | K-factor |
|------------------|--------|-------|----------|
| $\mu = 2m_c$    | $0.217 \pm 0.124$ | $0.120 \pm 0.318$ | $0.596 \pm 0.046$ |
| $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$ | $0.162 \pm 0.033$ | $0.144 \pm 0.193$ | $0.890 \pm 0.033$ |

Table 3. Cross sections $\sigma(\mu)/(fb)$ of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + \psi_2(1D)$ at leading order and next-to-leading order at $\mu = 2m_c$ and $\sqrt{s}/2$. The errors are induced by $m_c = 1.7 \pm 0.2$ GeV.

| $\sigma(\mu)/fb$ | LO     | NLO   | K-factor |
|------------------|--------|-------|----------|
| $\mu = 2m_c$    | $0.860 \pm 0.520$ | $0.605 \pm 0.341$ | $0.696 \pm 0.058$ |
| $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$ | $0.648 \pm 0.292$ | $0.632 \pm 0.157$ | $0.976 \pm 0.077$ |

In Figs. 5–7, we present the LO and NLO cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c + h_c(1P)/\psi_2(1D)$ versus center-of-mass energy ($E_{cm} = \sqrt{s}$), respectively, where scale $\mu$ and mass $m_c$ are fixed. The figures show that NLO correction for $\eta_c + h_c(1P)$ production is positive in the displayed region, while that for $\eta_c + \psi_2(1D)$ is negative. Interestingly, for the $\eta_c + \psi_2(1D)$ channel, the NLO correction...
has an inversion at $E_{cm} = 10.36$ GeV. Going across this energy point, the NLO correction changes from positive to negative. Generally, all curves go down with the increase of center-of-mass energy. It is worth noting that NRQCD factorization formalism for double charmonium only holds when $\sqrt{s} \gg m_c$ [33], and it may break down at the double charmonium threshold because of the color transfer effect [34], whereas in drawing Figs. 5–7 the center-of-mass energy has been extended to the threshold region, lower than the typical B-factory energy, just for a schematic display.

4 Summary and conclusions

In this work, we have studied the double charmonium processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c+\psi_1(1D)$ at NLO accuracy under the NRQCD factorization mechanism. Cross sections with varying charm quark mass $m_c = 1.7\text{GeV}$ at typical renormalization scales ($\mu = 2m_c, \sqrt{s}/2$) were analyzed in detail. The magnitudes of cross section versus energy scale $\mu$ and center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ at LO and NLO were evaluated. We have also estimated the relative production rate of $R = \frac{\sigma(\eta_c+\psi_1(1D)/\psi_1(1D))/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c+\psi_1(1D))}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c+\psi_1(1D))}$, which might be helpful for measurement of double charmonium exclusive production in future super B-factories.

Through our study, we find that the NLO corrections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta_c+h_c(1P)$ production are small, and the convergence of perturbative expansion works well up to NLO. When the scale $\mu$ lies in the region $[2m_c, \sqrt{s}/2]$, the NLO correction for $\eta_c+h_c(1P)$ production is positive, yet it is negative for $\eta_c+\psi_1(1D)$. The scale dependence has been clearly reduced when NLO corrections are taken into account. At $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$ GeV, the relation $\sigma_{\psi_1} < \sigma_{h_c} < \sigma_{\psi_2}$ exists at both LO and NLO, no matter whether $\mu = 2m_c$ or $\mu = \sqrt{s}/2$. It is worth noting that the cross sections of the three processes considered are sensitive to the charm quark mass, which hence is the main source of uncertainty in our results.
Although $h_c(1P)$ has been measured through the $h_c \rightarrow J/\psi + \pi^0 \rightarrow (e^+e^-) + \pi^0$ process, its branching fraction is not determined, and there has been no signal observed in the other $h_c$ primary decay mode $h_c \rightarrow J/\psi + 2\pi \rightarrow (e^+e^-) + 2\pi$ [19]. The results in this work may be helpful to $h_c$ and NRQCD studies in B-factories in the future.
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