Magnetic monopoles have been proposed as emergent quasiparticles in pyrochlore spin ice compounds. However, unlike semiconductors and two-dimensional electron gases where the charge degree of freedom can be actively controlled by chemical doping, interface modulation, and electrostatic gating, there is as of yet no analogue of these effects for emergent magnetic monopoles. To date, all experimental investigations have been limited to large ensembles comprised of equal numbers of monopoles and antimonopoles in bulk crystals. To address these issues, we propose the formation of a two-dimensional magnetic monopole gas (2DMG) with a net magnetic charge, confined at the interface between a spin ice and an isostructural antiferromagnetic pyrochlore iridate and whose monopole density can be controlled by an external field. Our proposal is based on Monte Carlo simulations of the thermodynamic and transport properties. This proposed 2DMG should enable experiments and devices which can be performed on magnetic monopoles, akin to two-dimensional electron gases in semiconductor heterostructures.
Similar to electrons in a metal, monopoles in spin ice compounds\(^1\)–\(^6\) are believed to be unconfinned, interact via a magnetic analogue of Coulomb’s law\(^5\), and form magnetic currents in magnetic fields\(^4\). While exotic phases of matter such as fractional quantum Hall states\(^3\) or Wigner crystals\(^6\) can be realized in electronic systems, a natural question is whether magnetic monopoles can also exhibit rich behaviors if their charge and dimensionality can be controlled in a likewise fashion to those of electrons. However, to the best of our knowledge, all the current experiments have been restricted to bulk spin ice systems with equally populated monopoles and antimonopoles\(^6\). Here we propose such a platform, a heterointerface between a spin ice (\(R_2Ti_2O_7\) \((R = Ho, Dy)\)) and an antiferromagnet \((R_2Ir_2O_7)\) in the pyrochlore family. At the boundary, the exchange field between the iridate and the spin ice has a non-zero flux flowing toward/away from the spin ice, resulting in a charged 2DMG in the adjacent layers of the spin ice. Such boundary conditions are reminiscent of the polar discontinuity at the interface between LaAlO\(_3\) and SrTiO\(_3\) which hosts a two-dimensional electron gas\(^1\)–\(^3\) and inspired by recent theoretical proposals suggesting the surface crystallization of magnetic monopole and antimonopoles at the material/vacuum interface of spin ice thin films\(^6\). Such a heterostructure represents an example of a magnetically charged monopole system, providing a unique opportunity to investigate their properties. In the following, we begin by describing the proposed heterostructure where such a 2DMG can be formed. We then investigate its transport and thermodynamics properties, and demonstrate that its properties can be modified by an external magnetic field. Finally, we demonstrate that the 2DMG remains robust even when including longer-ranged dipolar interactions.

### Results

#### Spin structures of \(R_2Ti_2O_7/R_2Ir_2O_7\) heterostructures

Figure 1a, b shows the crystal and spin structures of spin ice \(R_2Ti_2O_7\) and antiferromagnetic \(R_2Ir_2O_7\). In the spin ice, \(R^{3+}\) has Ising moments on the order of \(-10\ \mu_B\) and obey the ”2-in-2-out” ice rules below \(-1\)K. Spin-flip excitations generate tetrahedral sites with ”3-in-1-out/3-out-1-in”, which have been described as quasiparticles akin to magnetic monopoles. In the iridate, the \(Ir^{4+}\) forms another tetrahedral network, with an antiferromagnetic ”all-in-all-out” (AIAO) order at \(T_N \sim 130\)K. The \(R^{3+}\) moments in the iridate experience an additional \(d\)-\(f\) exchange interaction between \(R^{3+}\) and \(Ir^{4+}\) moments. Depending on their relative strength to the nearest neighbor interaction \(J_{df}/J_{eff}\), \(R^{3+}\) moments exhibit an AIAO ordering for \(J_{df}/J_{eff} > 1\), a 3-in-1-out fragmentation configuration for \(1/3 < J_{df}/J_{eff} < 1\), and remain 2-in-2-out for \(J_{df}/J_{eff} < 1/3\). For simplicity, we first consider the case with AIAO ordering of \(R^{3+}\) moments. The typical ordering temperature of \(R^{3+}\) moments is a few Kelvins, significantly smaller than ordering temperature of \(Ir^{4+}\) moments at \(-130K\). As a result, the only moments of interest are the \(R^{3+}\), while \(Ir^{4+}\) moments are treated as a static antiferromagnetic background. In Fig. 1c we illustrate the crystal and spin structure near a \(R_2Ir_2O_7/R_2Ti_2O_7\) (001) interface. Due to the incomplete coordination of \(Ir^{4+}\) neighbors, the exchange fields experienced by the interfacial \(R^{3+}\) moments in the \(R_2Ir_2O_7\) and \(R_2Ti_2O_7\) layers are \(2/3\) and \(1/3\), respectively, of the strength of those in a bulk \(R_2Ir_2O_7\). When the exchange field is sufficiently strong (i.e., \(J_{df}/J_{eff} > 2\)), the interface tetrahedra (the topmost red layer) will adopt an AI/AO configuration, forcing the spin ice to adopt a fully polarized state to avoid the formation of monopoles.

On the other hand, when the spin ice layer is sandwiched in between two iridate slabs, and polarization directions from both interfaces are opposing, as illustrated in Fig. 2a, the boundary condition forbids the ice rule to be satisfied for every spin ice tetrahedral site. As a result, 3-in-1-out monopole defects with a sheet density of 4 unit cells (u.c.)\(^{-2}\) must necessarily exist inside the spin ice.

Unlike in bulk spin ice, where a gas of monopoles and antimonopoles are generated in equal numbers, these monopole defects possess a macroscopic net charge\(^20\). Also, unlike monopoles in bulk spin ice, which are associated with a spin-flip excitation, the emergence of these monopoles is not accompanied by the creation of antimonopoles in the spin ice, nor defects in \(R_2Ir_2O_7\). In practice, a macroscopic sample will possess random domains where AO (AI) terminations on both interfaces lead to positively (negatively) charged monopoles, whereas AO/AI combinations should not lead to monopoles (see Supplementary Note 9). In antiferromagnetic pyrochlores, the AI/AO domain size can be as large as few tens of microns, as observed using X-ray micro diffraction and microwave impedance microscopy techniques\(^21,22\), which is sufficiently large such that single domains can be investigated. Moreover, these domains can be trained by cooling down under external fields\(^21,22\) or via

---

**Fig. 1 Lattice and spin structure of pyrochlore spin ice, antiferromagnet, and their interface.** a, b Illustrations of lattice and spin structures of a spin ice pyrochlore \(R_2Ti_2O_7\) and an antiferromagnetic pyrochlore \(R_2Ir_2O_7\), respectively, where the nearest neighbor interaction between \(R^{3+}\) moments \(J_{NN}\) and the \(d\)-\(f\) interaction between a \(R^{3+}\) moment and an \(Ir^{4+}\) moment \(J_{df}\) are shown. The arrows represent the local moments. The tetrahedral sites with magnetic charge \(Q = 0\) are in gray, \(Q = q_m\) in green, \(Q = -q_m\) in yellow, \(Q = 2q_m\) in blue, and \(Q = -2q_m\) in red. c Illustration of lattice and spin structure of an \(R_2Ir_2O_7/R_2Ti_2O_7\) interface with \(J_{df} > 2J_{NN}\), where the spin ice layer is forced to adopt a fully polarized state. The complete/truncated \(Ir^{4+}\) hexagons are highlighted in green and the complete/reduced local exchange fields experienced by the \(R^{3+}\) moments are materialized by green arrows.
local exchange fields when the iridate is adjacent to a ferromagnetic material, to achieve larger domain sizes. In Fig. 2a, the monopoles are all positively charged in the present boundary condition with $q_m = (8/\sqrt{3})\mu/a$ where $\mu$ is the R$^{3+}$ moment and $a$ is the pyrochlore lattice constant. As it does not cost energy to flip a spin connecting a 3-in-1-out site and a 2-in-2-out site, the monopoles should be mobile and form a 2DMG. We also have shown that the above picture can be generalized to a single R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$/R$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ interface, (111)-oriented heterostructures, or even artificial spin ice systems (see Supplementary Note 13).

**Simulation results of nearest neighbor 2DMGs.** To quantitatively explore the physics of this interface, we employ Monte Carlo simulations to investigate the magnetic and thermodynamic properties of the heterostructure including both R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ spin ice and R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ antiferromagnetic compounds. For simplicity, we initially consider only nearest neighbor interactions:

$$\mathcal{H} = J_{\text{eff}} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \frac{1}{6} H_{\text{loc}} \sum_{\langle i \rangle} \sigma_i \tilde{\sigma}_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\sigma_i, \tilde{\sigma}_i = \pm 1$ are the R$^{3+}$ and the Ir$^{4+}$ Ising pseudo-spins pointing toward or away from a tetrahedron, $\langle i,j \rangle$ represents summations over nearest neighboring sites, $J_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective nearest neighbor interaction between R$^{3+}$ moments and depends on the $d$-$f$ exchange interaction between R$^{3+}$ and Ir$^{4+}$ moments. In this model, the altered local environment of the interfacial R$^{3+}$ moments are simply treated by the summation according to the reduced coordination of nearest neighboring Ir$^{4+}$ moments, as shown in Fig. 1c. In Fig. 2c, we show a snapshot of the ground state monopole distribution in an 8-atomic-layer-thick R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ slab sandwiched between two R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ slabs with (001) orientation with $H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}} = 14$. In the R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ slab, a gas of singly charged monopoles is evident. Averaging over snapshots gives a cross-sectional monopole density profile as shown in Fig. 2b, showing that the monopoles are denser closer to the R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$/R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ interface. Investigations of the thickness dependence of the spin ice shows that the 2DMG is confined within 3–4 unit cells of the interface due to entropic considerations (see Supplementary Notes 2 and 5). The integrated sheet density of the entire R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ slab is $n_{\text{2D}} = 4q_m\text{u.c.}^{-2}$, independent of the R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ or R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ layer thickness, consistent with the origin of the 2DMG arising from the boundary conditions.

To investigate the transport characteristics of the 2DMG, we apply an oscillating longitudinal magnetic field $B_0 \text{e}^{-i\omega t}$ ($B_0 = 0.01T$ and $1/(2\pi\omega) = 20\text{ Monte Carlo steps}$) and calculate the sheet conductivity $\sigma_{\text{2D}} = (BS)^{-1}dM/dt \sim j_m/B$ of the sandwich structure, as well as a pure spin ice and a pure iridate slab for baseline comparisons, where $M$ is the total magnetization of the system, $S$ is the in-plane area of the heterostructure, and $j_m$ is the magnetic current charge, as shown in Fig. 3a. As expected, neither the bulk iridate or spin ice materials exhibit appreciable conductivity at the lowest temperatures. The peak in the conductivity of the bulk spin ice arises from the combination of paramagnetism above 1K and monopole-antimonopole recombination processes below 1K. For the sandwich structure, the conductivity begins to increase around 1K, and monotonically increases with decreasing temperature. While such behavior is reminiscent of a metal, in sharp contrast to its bulk constituents, we emphasize that this system cannot exhibit a finite DC conductivity in steady state.

In Fig. 3b, we show the total sheet density of monopoles $n^+$ and antimonopoles $n^-$ as a phase diagram of temperature and $H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}}$. Above the spin ice freezing temperature of a few Kelvins, the system is paramagnetic and dominated by thermal fluctuations. For $0 < H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}} < 12$, a singly charged 2DMG always exists within a finite temperature window, due to the non-zero flux of the exchange field that flows toward/away from the spin ice. As the temperature is lowered toward the ground state, the system exhibits different phases for various $H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}}$ values. For $H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}} > 12$, a metallic 2DMG persists to the lowest temperatures, which is the case as shown in Fig. 2. For $6 < H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}} < 12$ and $2 < H_{\text{loc}}/J_{\text{eff}} < 6$ regions, where the iridate adopts AIAO and fragmented phases respectively, the boundary tetrahedral layers have pinned, fragmented charges due to the insufficient interfacial exchange fields. As a result, a 2DMG exists at finite temperatures for these
$H_{loc}/J_{eff}$ values, but is not the ground state. At $H_{loc}/J_{eff} = 6$, the iridate is on the phase boundary between the AIAO and fragmentation phases, and the 2DMG state arises from the resulting spin fluctuations. Nevertheless, a charged 2DMG exists over all values of $H_{loc}/J_{eff}$ in the phase diagram, and thus should be experimentally accessible in real material systems.

We have also investigated the 2DMG in $R_2Ir_2O_7/R_2Ti_2O_7/R_2Ir_2O_7$ (111) sandwiches with a Kagomé R$^3$ + termination on the iridate layer, as shown in Fig. 4a. In Fig. 4b we plot the $H_{loc}/J_{eff}$ phase diagram of the sheet density of monopoles and antimonopoles. This phase diagram is qualitatively similar to that of a (001)-oriented heterostructure, but here the 2DMG ground state is even more robust. In the region with $3 < H_{loc}/J_{eff} < 6$, a 2DMG (which we label as 2DMG-K1) exists with a sheet density of $n_{011}^1$ (where $n_{011}^1 = 4q_{m}/\sqrt{3}a^{-2}$ is a sheet density when 3-in-1out monopoles fully occupy a single tetrahedra layer along the (111) direction), and covers the experimentally determined value of $H_{loc}/J_{eff} = 4.5$ for $Ho_2Ir_2O_8$. For $H_{loc}/J_{eff} > 6$, the total sheet density increases to $3n_{011}^1$, (labeled as 2DMG-K2), where the additional $2n_{011}^1$ arises from immobile monopoles pinned to the interfacial layers, as shown in Fig. 4c. We have also calculated the (111) sandwich structure with the triangular R$^3$ + termination, and found that its behavior is qualitatively similar to the Kagomé termination.

In Fig. 5, we demonstrate that the sheet density of the 2DMG can be controlled by an external, out-of-plane magnetic field. Here, we choose a single interface, because an out-of-plane field will not deplete or accumulate the 2DMG in the sandwich configuration shown in Fig. 2, but rather preferentially move monopoles towards one or the other interface. Although the 2DMG is not the ground state for a single interface, it can be thermally excited at arbitrarily low temperatures which scale inversely with the thickness of the spin ice layer (e.g., ~700 nK for a 1 mm thick layer, the thickness of a typical substrate or bulk crystal). Figure 5a shows the monopole sheet density as a function of magnetic field $B_G$ (similar to a gate voltage in a field effect transistor), with positive direction defined as pointing from the iridate to the spin ice. With a negative field, the monopoles are driven away from the interface and pushed into the bulk of the iridate, and the 2DMG can then be fully depleted at a $B_G$ of 10 mT, while with a positive field, the monopoles are driven toward the interface, and saturate at a density of $2q_{m}u.c.^{-2}$, since the maximum sheet density is determined by the boundary conditions. Figure 5b shows the monopole sheet conductivity $\sigma_{2D}$ as a function of $B_G$. In negative $B_G$, $\sigma_{2D}$ decreases toward zero as the 2DMG is depleted, whereas at positive bias $\sigma_{2D}$ also decreases since the the monopoles are pushed toward the boundary, thereby reducing the number of empty sites into which a monopole can hop.

**Simulation results of dipolar 2DMGs.** Having demonstrated the existence and properties of a 2DMG in the simplest nearest neighbor-only model, we now explore the effects of the long-range dipolar interaction between $R^3 +$ and $R^3 +$, which should act as an effective Coulomb interaction between monopoles. We find that the existence of the charged 2DMG is robust against dipolar interactions. We now add these additional non-nearest neighboring terms into the Hamiltonian:

$$H = J_{eff} \sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \frac{1}{6} H_{loc} \sum_{(i,a)} \sigma_i \bar{\sigma}_a + \frac{\mu_B h^2}{4\pi} \sum_{(i,j)} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{||\mathbf{r}_{ij}||^3} - \frac{3}{||\mathbf{r}_{ij}||} \left( \frac{\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{||\mathbf{r}_{ij}||} \right) \right] \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

(2)

where $(i,j)$ represents summations over non-nearest neighboring sites, $\mathbf{e}_i$ is a unit vector along the positive direction of an Ising pseudo-spin $\sigma_i$.

Figure 6a shows the ground state snapshot of the monopole distribution of a trilayer of a spin ice slab (eight atomic layers) sandwiched in between two iridate slabs for $H_{loc}/J_{eff} = 10$, taking into account dipolar interactions. Due to this effective Coulomb repulsion, monopoles are pushed to the boundary layer and form a two-dimensional stripe-like ordering with $q = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$, reminiscent of the charge-stripe ordering observed in complex oxides such as cuprates with strong electron correlations. Upon warming, this ordered state melts and some monopoles overcome their Coulomb repulsion and enter the interior of the spin ice.
layer, forming a 2DMG similar to the simpler nearest-neighbor-only case illustrated in Fig. 6b. The sheet density of the interacting 2DMG is considerably smaller than that of the non-interacting, nearest-neighbor-only 2DMG, since due to the long-range interactions, many of the monopoles remain confined to the boundary layer. Although quantitative details about the density and distribution are affected by the long-ranged interactions, our calculations nevertheless show that the existence of the 2DMG is indeed robust against Coulomb interactions.

Discussion

These proposed heterostructures can be realized using modern thin film growth techniques such as molecular beam epitaxy or pulsed laser deposition, owing to the stable +4 valence of Ir and Ti, as demonstrated by the recent successful growth of the spin ice and the iridate thin films. While the lattice mismatch between bulk spin ice and iridate compounds is comparatively small (1%), even a modest epitaxial strain can lift frustration in the spin ice layer. To avoid this complication, the heterostructure can be synthesized on a substrate which is directly lattice matched to the spin ice material (e.g. a pyrochlore titanate).

Fig. 4 2DMG with (111) orientation. a Illustration of the lattice structure of the R_{2}Ir_{2}O_{7}/R_{2}Ti_{2}O_{7} (111) interface. b Monopole (antimonopole) sheet density $n^{+}$($n^{-}$) of the sandwich. Two distinct 2DMG phases are observed, as characterized by different monopole densities in the spin ice slab. c Monopole distribution profiles of both 2DMG phases at the ground state. The mobile 2DMG layers are labeled by green bars, whereas the immobile monopole layers are labeled by light green bars. The above simulations are made in R_{2}Ir_{2}O_{7}/R_{2}Ti_{2}O_{7}/R_{2}Ir_{2}O_{7} (111) with the nearest neighbor model.

Fig. 5 Magnetic gating effects on 2DMGs. a Monopole sheet density $n_{2D}$ and b sheet conductivity $\sigma_{2D}$ as a function of a vertical magnetic gating field $B_{G}$. We choose to study a positively charged 2DMG with all-out termination on the boundary. The definition of positive $B_{G}$ is shown in the inset of panel a. The Monte Carlo simulation is performed at 0.1 K on an R_{2}Ir_{2}O_{7}/R_{2}Ti_{2}O_{7} (001) single interface with the nearest neighbor model and $H_{loc}/J_{eff} = 14$. The sheet density of the interacting 2DMG is considerably smaller than that of the non-interacting, nearest-neighbor-only 2DMG, since due to the long-range interactions, many of the monopoles remain confined to the boundary layer. Although quantitative details about the density and distribution are affected by the long-ranged interactions, our calculations nevertheless show that the existence of the 2DMG is indeed robust against Coulomb interactions.
of a few square millimeters, the hopping of the dipolar 2DMG or \( \sim 7 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) interference device magnetometers (10\(^{4}\) – 10\(^{5}\)) principle, be detectable by conventional superconducting quantum magnetization signal from the motion of the 2DMG should, in principle, be detectable by the spin model and the Monte Carlo method with the standard Metropolis algorithm\(^{16,33}\). For the nearest neighbor-only model, a single spin-flip algorithm was combined with a worm-loop algorithm to prevent the system from being trapped in a local minimum at low temperatures. For the long-ranged interactions, a parallel algorithm was also used. The worm-loop algorithm developed for the pyrochlore heterostructures was based on the loop algorithm used in previous works\(^{14,24,31,32}\) (see Supplementary Note 4).

For R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\) heterostructures, the simulations were performed on \( 16 \times L \times K \) lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions, where \( J = F = 4 \) and \( K = 12 \). For R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\) heterostructures, the simulations were performed on \( 16 \times L \times K \) lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions along in-plane directions, where \( L = 4 \) and \( K = 30 \). We have increased the in-plane model size from \( L = 4 \) to \( L = 10 \) and did not see difference in 2DMG properties. We have also varied the spin ice slab thickness, and discovered the natural confinement depth of the 2DMG. In total, 10\(^6\) hybrid Monte Carlo steps were used for the thermalization, including at least 10\(^4\) single spin-flip steps.

Fig. 6 Effects of long-ranged interaction on 2DMGs. Depth profiles and 3D illustrations of monopole distributions of a R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\) sandwich with dipolar interactions turned on. a In the ground state, the monopoles form a 2D stripe ordering at the boundary layers. b At 0.7 K, the 2D order melts and some monopoles enter the interior of the spin ice layers. The sheet density of escaped monopoles is shown. The Monte Carlo simulation is performed in a R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\) (001) sandwich and \( H_{\text{loc}} / J_{\text{eff}} = 10 \).

single crystal), since the antiferromagnetism in the iridate layer is likely more robust against small anisotropies. Finally, the magnetization signal from the motion of the 2DMG should, in principle, be detectable by conventional superconducting quantum interference device magnetometers (10\(^{10}\) – 10\(^{11}\) emu sensitivity), since the sheet density of monopoles is estimated to be \( \sim 4 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) or \( \sim 7 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) for the nearest neighbor only and dipolar calculations, respectively. Assuming a detection area on the order of a few square millimeters, the hopping of the dipolar 2DMG should yield a changing magnetization signal of \( \sim 10^{-7} \) emu.

The proposed 2DMG should enable possibilities in the study of emergent magnetic monopoles not possible in bulk materials. Its active magnetic charge degree of freedom should allow for the isolation of monopoles or antimonopoles\(^{30}\), while the lowered dimensionality of the system should facilitate the fabrication of transistor-like geometries where the density of monopoles can be actively controlled. This will allow one to address outstanding questions including whether emergent monopoles carry an electric dipole akin to the spin of an electron\(^{30}\), whether they experience Lorentz force in electric fields and exhibit an analogous Hall effect, and their energy-momentum dispersion relationship.

Methods
Monte Carlo simulations. The Monte Carlo simulations have been performed based on the Ising model of the pyrochlores, with \( \eta = \pm 1 \) being the Ising pseudospin representation of a R\(^3\)\(_2\) moment pointing toward or away from a given tetrahedron. The value of \( \eta \) is then simulated according to the spin model and the Monte Carlo method with the standard Metropolis algorithm\(^{16,33}\). For the nearest neighbor-only model, a single spin-flip algorithm was combined with a worm-loop algorithm to prevent the system from being trapped in a local minimum at low temperatures. For the long-ranged interactions, a parallel algorithm was also used. The worm-loop algorithm developed for the pyrochlore heterostructures was based on the loop algorithm used in previous works\(^{14,24,31,32}\) (see Supplementary Note 4).

For R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\) heterostructures, the simulations were performed on \( 16 \times L \times K \) lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions, where \( J = F = 4 \) and \( K = 12 \). For R\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\)/R\(_2\)Ti\(_2\)O\(_7\) heterostructures, the simulations were performed on \( 16 \times L \times K \) lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions along in-plane directions, where \( L = 4 \) and \( K = 30 \). We have increased the in-plane model size from \( L = 4 \) to \( L = 10 \) and did not see difference in 2DMG properties. We have also varied the spin ice slab thickness, and discovered the natural confinement depth of the 2DMG. In total, 10\(^6\) hybrid Monte Carlo steps were used for the thermalization, including at least 10\(^4\) single spin-flip steps.
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