Abstract. Fibroblasts in the tumor stroma are well recognized as having an indispensable role in carcinogenesis, including in the initiation of epithelial tumor formation. The association between cancer cells and fibroblasts has been highlighted in several previous studies. Regulation factors released from cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) into the tumor microenvironment have essential roles, including the support of tumor growth, angiogenesis, metastasis and therapy resistance. A mutual interaction between tumor-induced fibroblast activation, and fibroblast-induced tumor proliferation and metastasis occurs, thus CAFs act as tumor supporters. Previous studies have reported that by developing fibroblast-targeting drugs, it may be possible to interrupt the interaction between fibroblasts and the tumor, thus resulting in the suppression of tumor growth, and metastasis. The present review focused on the reciprocal feedback loop between fibroblasts and cancer cells, and evaluated the potential application of anti-CAF agents in the treatment of cancer.

1. Introduction

Tumors that comprise a mass of malignant epithelial cells are also surrounded by multiple non-cancerous cell populations, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, pericytes, immune regulatory cells and cytokines in the extracellular matrix (ECM) (1). These stromal cells surrounding the tumor form a distinct microenvironment and were not considered to possess a role in cancer progression. However, it became evident that the molecular and biological abnormalities of cancer cells could not fully explain the complex changes involved in the regulation of tumor progression (2). Thus, an increasing number of studies have focused on the functions of the tumor microenvironment in cancer progression (3‑5).

Activated fibroblasts, termed cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), are one of the major components of stromal cells. CAFs were first identified as negative factors in tumor development that had no effect on tumor cells; however, they have been identified as an essential component in tumor progression (6). With the reciprocal crosstalk between cancer cells and fibroblasts, CAFs undergo various morphological and biological transitions in response to tumor progression (7). Furthermore, CAFs have an important role in maintaining an optimal microenvironment for cancer cell survival and proliferation (6,7). Studies investigating the role of CAFs have reported that the therapeutic targeting of cancer cells alone is insufficient for the treatment of cancer (8). Thus, cancer therapy should co-target cancer cells and their microenvironment. CAFs are essential components to the tumor microenvironment and therefore represent a molecular target for the treatment of cancer (9).

The present study is a review of the recent developments in CAF research, and is aimed at gaining an improved understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying CAF involvement in tumor progression. Furthermore, the association between cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment was analyzed in order to identify novel strategies for the treatment of cancer.

2. General characteristics of CAFs

CAFs are a heterogeneous population of cells with various origins, the majority of which are derived from resident fibroblasts. CAFs may also be derived from other cells, including mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), epithelial, pericytes,
adipocytes and endothelial cells (10). CAFs in the tumor stroma can be differentiated according to their morphology and specific identifiable markers. CAFs are generally presented as large spindle-shaped cells similar to smooth muscle cells (myofilaments and electron dense patches) (11). α-smooth muscle actin is regarded as the most widely used biomarker for identifying CAFs (12). Fibroblast activation protein α (FAPα) is a cytomembrane protein that is selectively expressed by activated CAFs in various types of human epithelial cancer (13). Furthermore, podoplanin-α, S100A4, vimentin, fibroblast specific protein-1 (FSP-1), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptors α and β are expressed in CAFs (14). Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7), a novel biomarker for tumor fibroblasts in epithelial cancer, has also been detected in CAFs through genetic screenings and immunohistochemical studies. IGFBP7-expressing CAFs have been demonstrated to promote colon cancer cell proliferation through paracrine tumor-stroma interactions in vitro (15).

The application of microarray gene-expression analysis has enabled the comprehensive characterization of CAFs and has increased awareness on the importance of CAFs in oncological studies. A total of 46 differentially expressed genes regulated by the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signaling pathway were identified in 15 paired CAF and normal fibroblast (NF) cell lines (16). All 46 genes were identified to encode for paracrine factors that are released into the tumor microenvironment. Of these results, 11 genes [intercellular-adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1), THBS2, MME, OXTR, PDE3B, B3GALT2, EVI2B, COL14A1, GAL and MCTP2] were used to form a prognostic signature of CAFs in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (16). Similar studies have identified differentially-expressed genes between CAFs and NFs (17-20). Integrin α11 was identified to be primarily expressed in CAFs and possess prognostic significance for NSCLC (17). Furthermore, cyclooxygenase 2 and TGF-β2 expression in CAFs was confirmed through immunohistochemical analysis in metastatic colon cancer (18). In human primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma, smoothened homolog was identified to be overexpressed in CAFs compared with the expression in pancreatic NFs (19). In addition, numerous altered gene transcripts have been identified in breast CAFs, including that of ribosomal protein S6 kinase α3, fibroblastic growth factor (FGF) receptor 1, nardilysin that enhances shedding of EGF (NRD1), cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B, NFY and prostaglandin E synthase 2 (20). However, no significant differences in the gene expression pattern of NFs were reported with the most upregulated gene being chromobox 2, a polycomb homolog repressor of proto oncogenes (20).

3. Tumors induce fibroblast activation

When cancer cells metastasize to another organ, they recruit NFs to the tumor mass. The activated phenotype of fibroblasts in the tumor mass are induced by different genetic and epigenetic changes that are self-regulated, and regulated by cancer cells; however, the mechanisms underlying the transformation of NFs to CAFs remains unclear (21).

The activation of fibroblasts is induced by numerous cytokines secreted by cancer cells and other stroma cells, including TGF-β, epidermal growth factor (EGF), PDGF, FGF2 and C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL) 12 (22). Cell-cell communication through adhesion molecules, including ICAM1 and vascular-cell adhesion molecule 1 also enables fibroblast activation (23).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are an abundant type of endogenous small RNA molecule that downregulate target gene expression (24). A previous study demonstrated that miR-155 is upregulated, whereas miR-31 and miR-214 are downregulated in ovarian CAFs (25). C-C motif chemokine ligand (CCL) 5 was identified as a target gene of miR-214. The results demonstrated that ovarian cancer cells induce the transformation of NFs to CAFs partially through regulation by miRNAs when NFs are co-cultured with cancer cells (25). These findings suggest that miRNAs have a regulatory role in the transformation of NFs to CAFs. Other miRNAs that have been identified to be differentially expressed in CAFs are listed in Table I (26-30).

4. CAFs induce tumor growth, angiogenesis, metastasis and chemoresistance

CAFs induce tumor growth. Tumor growth depends on the abnormal and uncontrollable proliferation of cancer cells with simultaneous changes to the microenvironment. Among the stromal cells in the microenvironment surrounding the tumor, increasing evidence has reported that CAFs are targets and inducers of tumorigenic activation signals (31,32).

CAFs produce autocrine and/or paracrine cytokines that promote the biological characteristics of tumors. In addition to classical growth factors, including EGF and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), novel CAF-secreted proteins [secreted frizzled related protein 1, and IGF like family member (IGF) 1 and 2], and membrane molecules (integrin α11 and syndecan-1) have also been identified to possess cancer cell-supporting roles (33). These factors directly or indirectly stimulate tumor growth and survival, or enhance their migratory and invasive properties.

Previous studies have demonstrated that chemokines secreted by CAFs into the microenvironment allow for the recruitment of bone marrow-derived cells (BMCs) and immune cells (34). CXCL12 (35), CXCL14 (36) and CCL5 (37) have been identified as pro-metastatic factors. In addition, MSC-derived CAFs are recruited to the stroma of the dysplastic stomach, and express interleukin (IL)-6, Wnt family member (Wnt) 5α and bone morphogenetic protein 4, all of which promote tumor growth through DNA hypomethylation (38). Furthermore, MSC-derived CAFs are recruited to the tumor through TGF-β and CXCL12 signaling (38). In oral squamous cell carcinoma (OCC), CCL2 expression in CAFs is upregulated, promoting the production of endogenous reactive oxygen species (ROS) in OC cells (OCCs) (37). Consequently, ROS induces the expression of cell cycle regulatory proteins in OCCs, and promotes OCC proliferation, migration and invasion (39). Together, these chemokines and cytokines create a suitable microenvironment allowing for the proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells.

CAFs stimulate tumor angiogenesis. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was originally identified as a multifunctional cytokine in angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis (40).
The interaction between tumor and stromal cells can result in increased VEGF expression, with CAFs being the primary source of VEGF (41). Furthermore, CAF-derived PDGF has been demonstrated to be an essential factor in activating VEGF production. PDGF/PDGF receptor (R) signaling is an important regulatory pathway primarily involved in angiogenesis (41). PDGFs indirectly promote angiogenesis by recruiting stromal fibroblasts that secrete VEGF (42). Furthermore, PDGFs are able to recruit and induce BMCs to form endothelial or smooth muscle cells. Subsequently, PDGFs promote the proliferation and migration of endothelial, and smooth muscle cells (42). PDGF subunit B, which is produced by endothelial cells can induce the migration of pericytes to the vessel wall and maintain endothelial stability, thus leading to tumor angiogenesis (43).

Nagasaki et al (44) reported that cancer cells stimulate the secretion of IL-6 from fibroblasts, subsequently inducing tumor angiogenesis. IL-6R neutralization antibody inhibited IL-6 signaling and tumor angiogenesis by inhibiting the interaction between the cancer, and stroma. This finding suggests that IL-6 is a novel target for anti-angiogenesis therapy (44).

### Table I. The regulation of miRNA in cancer associated fibroblasts.

#### A. Upregulated miRNAs

| Author, year | miRNA | Cancer type | Target gene (Refs.) |
|--------------|-------|-------------|---------------------|
| Mitra et al., 2012 | miR-155 | Ovarian |  |
| Zhao et al., 2012 | miR-266, miR-221-3p, miR-221-5p, miR-31-3p | Breast | ETS2 (25) |
| Enkelmann et al., 2011 | miR-16, miR-320 | Bladder |  |
| Aprelikova et al., 2014 | miR-29b, miR-146a, miR-503 | Endometrial |  |
| Wang et al., 2013 | miR-138, miR-210, miR-99a | Colorectal |  |
| Bronisz et al., 2012 | miR-320 | Breast |  |

#### B. Downregulated miRNAs

| Author, year | miRNA | Cancer type | Target gene (Refs.) |
|--------------|-------|-------------|---------------------|
| Mitra et al., 2012 | miR-31 | Ovarian | SATB2 (25) |
| Mitra et al., 2012 | miR-214 | Ovarian | CCL5 (25) |
| Zhao et al., 2012 | miR-205, miR-200c, miR-200b, miR-141, miR-101, miR-342-3p, Let-7g | Breast | ZEB1/SIP1 (26) |
| Enkelmann et al., 2011 | miR-143, miR-145 | Bladder | IL-8, CXCL1, CK8, α-ENO (27) |
| Yu et al., 2010 | miR-17/20 | Breast |  |
| Aprelikova et al., 2014 | miR-31 | Endometrial | SATB2 (29) |
| Wang et al., 2013 | miR-29b, miR-494, miR-126 | Colorectal |  |
| Verghese et al., 2013 | miR-26b | Breast | TNKS1BP1, CPSF7, COL12A1 (54) |
| Mongiat et al., 2010 | miR-15, miR-16 | Prostate |  |

miR, microRNA; ETS2, ETS proto-oncogene 2 transcription factor; SATB2, SATB homeobox 2; CCL5, C-C motif chemokine ligand 5; ZEB1, zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1; SIP1, survival of motor neuron protein interacting protein 1; IL-8, interleukin-8; CXCL1, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 1; CK8, keratin 8; α-ENO, enolase 1; TNKS1BP1, tankyrase 1 binding protein 1; CPSF7, cleavage and polyadenylation specific factor 7; COL12A1, collagen type XII α1 chain.

The interaction between tumor and stromal cells can result in increased VEGF expression, with CAFs being the primary source of VEGF (41). Furthermore, CAF-derived PDGF has been demonstrated to be an essential factor in activating VEGF production. PDGF/PDGF receptor (R) signaling is an important regulatory pathway primarily involved in angiogenesis (41). PDGFs indirectly promote angiogenesis by recruiting stromal fibroblasts that secrete VEGF (42). Furthermore, PDGFs are able to recruit and induce BMCs to form endothelial or smooth muscle cells. Subsequently, PDGFs promote the proliferation and migration of endothelial, and smooth muscle cells (42). PDGF subunit B, which is produced by endothelial cells can induce the migration of pericytes to the vessel wall and maintain endothelial stability, thus leading to tumor angiogenesis (43).

Nagasaki et al (44) reported that cancer cells stimulate the secretion of IL-6 from fibroblasts, subsequently inducing tumor angiogenesis. IL-6R neutralization antibody inhibited IL-6 signaling and tumor angiogenesis by inhibiting the interaction between the cancer, and stroma. This finding suggests that IL-6 is a novel target for anti-angiogenesis therapy (44).

CAF-induced tumor metastasis. Increasing evidence suggests a metastatic support role of CAFs in tumors (45,46), whereas data regarding the presence and role of CAFs in lymph node and distant metastasis is deficient. Stromal reactions in metastatic lymph nodes, possibly comprising metastasis-associated fibroblasts, have been described as reactive and fibrotic tissue with enhanced deposition of vitronectin and fibronectin, desmoplasia, nodal fibrosis and hyaline stroma (47). Immunohistochemical characterization of CAFs was reported in one of these studies, which assessed metastatic lymph node tissue from a patient with uterine cervix adenocarcinoma who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (47). Certain studies have suggested that the mesenchymal-like phenotype of CAFs is involved in enhancing the metastasis of cancer cells, whereas NFs with the epithelial-like phenotype inhibit the migration of breast cancer cells (48). Similarly, normal prostate epithelial
cells induce intraepithelial neoplasia in vivo when co-injected with CAFs, but not when co-injected with NFs (49).

YAP is a transcription factor that may be a signature feature of CAFs. YAP has important roles in matrix stiffening, cancer cell invasion and angiogenesis, which are induced by CAFs (50). YAP regulates the expression of specific cytoskeletal proteins, including anillin actin binding protein, diaphanous related formin 3 and myosin regulatory light polypeptide 9 (50). Additionally, CAFs secrete proinflammatory cytokines that stimulate the nuclear factor-xB (NF-xB) signaling pathway, subsequently promoting tumorigenesis (51).

Notably, CAFs in the stroma of triple-negative breast cancer samples have been demonstrated to select for bone metastatic cells (52). CAFs produce CXCL12 and IGF1, which are prognostic markers for bone relapse and activators of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/serine/threonine kinase (AKT) signaling pathway (52). Cancer cells are primed for metastasis in the CXCL12-rich microenvironment of the bone marrow, thus suggesting an important role of CAFs in tumor metastasis. Another study demonstrated that a reduction in miR-148a expression in CAFs results in increased Wnt activity through the upregulation of its target gene WNT10B. Consequently, increased Wnt activity results in increased migration of endometrial cancer cells (53).

A study reported that the downregulation of miR-23b in CAFs stimulates the migration of fibroblasts, which is a dominant characteristic of the CAF phenotype. Furthermore, CAFs with reduced expression of miR-23b promote the migration and invasion of human breast cancer cells (54). Additionally, the PTEN/miR-320/ETS2 axis secretes proteins, such as Emilin2, that distinguish between normal and malignant stroma, and is associated with a higher rate of relapse in patients with breast cancer (55). This demonstrates that miR-320 is an essential regulator of the signaling pathway in fibroblasts involved in the regulation of the tumor microenvironment. Similar to in breast cancer, in prostate cancer, the downregulation of miR-15 and -16 in CAFs is mediated through activation of the AKT, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling pathways, promoting prostate cancer migration, and angiogenesis (56).

**CAFs induce resistance of cancer cells to therapy.** Compared with cancer cells, CAFs are relatively genetically stable with a reduced probability of developing drug-resistance, thus representing as a potential therapeutic target with lower chances for the development of chemoresistance (57,58). However, an increasing amount of data has suggested that fibroblasts have a protective role that allows cancer cells to evade therapy, as described below.

**PDGF.** The interstitial fluid pressure (IFP) in the center of solid tumors is increased compared with that in the surrounding tumor tissue (59). Higher IFP reduces the efficiency of drug penetration into the tumor tissue, thus reducing the concentration of the drug reaching the tumor cells and increasing tumor cell viability (58). Strategies on improving chemotherapy have focused on reducing tumor IFP in order to increase the efficiency of drug transport and penetration into tumors (60).

PDGF and other associated tyrosine kinase receptors are expressed in various types of cancer. STI571, a receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TK1), reduces tumor IFP and increases Taxol uptake in subcutaneously injected undifferentiated anaplastic thyroid carcinoma KAT-4 cell line-induced transplantable tumors in severe combined immune deficient mice (61,62).

**HGF.** HGF has been identified as an essential factor in of CAF-mediated resistance to B-Raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine kinase (BRAF) inhibitor therapy in melanoma with BRAFV600E mutation, as well as lapatinib resistance in HER2+ breast cancer (63,64).

TKIs exhibit strong inhibitory effects against NSCLC with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-activating mutations (65). However, the possibility of intrinsic or developing acquired resistance is an important consideration in the management of patients with cancer. The overexpression of HGF in CAF, a ligand of HGF receptor (MET), has been reported to contribute to resistance to EGFR-TKIs (66).

EGFR and HGF are coexpressed in colorectal cancer (CRC) cell lines, and the activation of both receptors synergistically induces the proliferation of cancer cells (67). Cetuximab suppresses cell growth through dephosphorylation of EGFR, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and/or the AKT signaling pathway (68). It was demonstrated that CAF-derived HGF phosphorylates MET, but not EGFR or receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-3 in cetuximab-treated cells. Subsequently, this was revealed to restore cell proliferation and rescue cells from G1 phase arrest, and apoptosis through re-stimulation of the MAPK and AKT signaling pathways (68). Notably, this effect is inhibited by suppressing MET activation with PHA-665752, a highly specific MET kinase inhibitor, or by knocking down MET expression using RNA interference (69).

Together, these data demonstrate that the presence of fibroblasts secreting HGF confers resistance to therapy. In addition, HGF can activate MET, which is expressed on cancer-initiating cells (CICs) in colon cancer, through paracrine signaling (70). This can sustain typical CIC properties, including long-term self-renewal, ultimately leading to resistance to anti-EGFR therapy (70).

**Chemokines.** Increasing evidence supports the presence of stromal cytokines that are important in the development of tumor chemoresistance.

CCL2 is an inflammatory chemokine, which is recruited by immune cells into the tumor microenvironment and has been demonstrated to confer resistance to paclitaxel, and docetaxel in prostate cancer (71). A previous study demonstrated that CCL2 expression is higher in three different paclitaxel-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines ES-2/TP, MES-OV/TP and OVCAR-3/TP compared with parental cells (72). Furthermore, treatment with a CCL2 inhibitor enhances the antitumor efficacy of paclitaxel and carboplatin combination therapy in ovarian cancer (72). CAFs can induce CCL2 production through signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) phosphorylation, and in turn, CAF-derived CCL2 promotes cancer progression by regulating cancer stem cells through activation of the Notch signaling pathway (73).

The chemokine CXCL12 is the sole ligand of CXCR4. CAFs are an important source of CXCL12 in the tumor stroma. Previous studies have indicated that CXCL12/CXCR4
signaling contributes to chemoresistance by inducing the activation of focal adhesion kinase, ERK and AKT signaling pathways, enhancing the transcriptional activities of β-catenin, and NF-κB, and the expression of survival proteins (74,75). Disruption of the CXCR4/CXCL12 signaling pathway has been demonstrated to sensitize prostate cancer cells to docetaxel (76). Similar results have been observed in colon (77) and lung (78) cancer. Therefore, these studies suggest that chemokines, including CXCL12, may act as promising targets for cancer therapy, alone and/or in combination with other cytotoxic drugs.

Interleukin family. Emerging evidence suggests that the dynamic crosstalk between tumor cells and stromal fibroblasts underlie drug resistance. In CRC, IL-17A, which is overexpressed by CAFs in response to chemotherapy, bind to the IL-17A receptor expressed on CICs (79). Consequently, this results in the maintenance and development of therapeutic resistance of CICs through the upregulation of NF-κB (79). In ER-negative and triple-negative breast cancer, IL-17A protects from docetaxel-induced cell death through activation of ERK1, and 2, thus participating in therapy-resistance development (80).

IL-6, an inflammatory cytokine, is primarily secreted by CAFs. IL-6 promotes the growth and invasion of cancer cells through activation of STAT3 (81). NSCLC cells expressing persistently activated mutant EGFR are also associated with the IL-6 signaling pathway, which promotes the proliferation and survival of cells, leading to erlotinib resistance (82,83). IL-6 secreted by CAFs induces tamoxifen resistance through activation of the Janus kinase (JAK)/STAT3 and PI3K/AKT signaling pathways in breast cancer cells (84). Inhibition of proteasome activity, IL-6 activity or the JAK/STAT3, or PI3K/AKT signaling pathways markedly reduced CAF-induced tamoxifen resistance (84). These results demonstrate that IL-6 creates a ‘protective niche’ that maintains the survival of residual tumor cells, consequently inducing tumor relapse.

Other factors. WNT16B is an important fibroblast-derived protein and treatment-induced factor that confers chemotherapy resistance. The chemotherapy resistance effects of fibroblast-derived WNT16B have been detected in vivo and in vitro, indicating that WNT16B reduces apoptosis induced by chemotherapy drugs in prostatic carcinoma (85). This study guides novel directions for combination therapies, including targeting fibroblast-derived WNT16B, which may reverse chemoresistance in breast and prostate cancer (85). Fibroblast-secreted high mobility group protein B1 is released into the tumor microenvironment and performs paracrine signaling on neighboring cancer cells, which has been suggested to induce chemoresistance in breast cancer (86).

5. Interaction loop

A bi-directional activation between cancer cells and fibroblasts has been identified as the leading cause of formation of the malignant phenotype of cancer. As aforementioned, the crosstalk between the two is important for tumor progression, and the interactions between them are induced by the reciprocal signaling of secreted components, including cytokines, and regulatory factors in the ECM. Cullen et al (87) reported that cancer cells produce PDGF, which induces fibroblast proliferation and the expression of IGF I, and II. Notably, IGFs secreted by fibroblasts in turn induce cancer cell proliferation and the synthesis of PDGF (87).

Cancer cells induce the production of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) by fibroblasts, which results in degradation of the extracellular matrix and enhances the invasiveness of cancer cells (88). In return, fibroblasts secrete growth factors, including HGF (89), keratinocyte growth factor (90), and IGF-1 and -2 (91), which stimulate the proliferation of cancer cells. Furthermore, a previous study reported that local cell-cell interactions between breast cancer cells and fibroblasts exhibit various effects on numerous genes, including the regulation of the expression of TGF-β-altered genes (92).

These signaling pathways are involved in positive feedback loops, which result in increased tumor cell numbers and/or amplification of signaling molecules, and consequently tumor therapy resistance. Thus, understanding the biological mechanism underlying CAFs may aid in the development of novel molecular-targeted therapies to inhibit these signaling feedback loops (Fig. 1).

6. Inhibition of the feedback loop as an approach for anti-cancer therapy

In order to target CAFs, a possible approach is to inhibit the feedback loop between fibroblasts and cancer cells. Such therapies have not yet been applied clinically, but based on the aforementioned evidence, the potential benefits of these treatments have been demonstrated. Inhibiting the feedback loop may involve the following approaches: Inhibition of fibroblasts directly and disruption of CAF-associated paracrine growth factor signals (Fig. 2) (6).
Targeting fibroblast markers directly. Therapy directed at specific fibroblast markers or the antigens presented on CAFs make CAFs particularly sensitive to cancer treatment. FAP is a membrane protein that is exclusively overexpressed on CAFs (93). FAP has been shown to support tumor growth and proliferation, making it a potential target for novel anticancer therapies (94). FAP-specific molecules selectively target fibroblasts and finally inhibit the growth of surrounding cancer cells (94,95).

FAPα-specific monoclonal antibodies have demonstrated therapeutic potential in cancer treatment. FAP5-DM1, a monoclonal maytansinoid-conjugated antibody, was demonstrated to inhibit and cause the complete regression of tumor growth in xenograft models of lung, pancreatic, and head and neck cancer in vivo (96).

Inhibition of FAPα enzyme activity using specific inhibitors has also been considered a promising approach to targeting fibroblasts. Using the peptidase inhibitor, PT-100 (talabostat) was revealed to reduce the tumor growth rate in numerous types of tumor animal models (97). Knocking down FAPα expression resulted in distinct tumor growth regression in an LSL-K-rasG12D genetic mouse model of lung cancer and in a colon cancer model, suggesting a tumor-supporting role of endogenous FAPα (98). Furthermore, treatment with PT-630 was able to inhibit tumor growth in the lung and colon cancer models (98).

Targeting paracrine signaling of fibroblasts

**PDGF/PDGR signaling pathway.** Cancers stimulate CAFs through the activation of PDGF. A previous study demonstrated that following the overexpression of PDGF in cancer cells, there was an increase in the fibrotic stroma response, thus suggesting an essential role of PDGF signaling in fibroblast activation (99).

Multiple TKIs, including imatinib, sorafenib and sunitinib, confer anti-PDGF activity, and the association between TKIs and PDGF activity is currently being investigated (100). Imatinib, is a breakpoint cluster region-ABL proto-oncogene 1 non-receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, which also exhibits anti-PDGF and anti-c-kit kinase activity, resulting in decreased proliferation, and protein expression regulation in human colorectal fibroblasts (101). Furthermore, targeting PDGFRs increases the uptake and therefore the inhibitory effect of chemotherapeutics, including paclitaxel, by decreasing the IFP (62).

The indolinone derivative BIBF1120 is a potent inhibitor of VEGFR, PDGFR and FGFR family members. It has been revealed to inhibit MAPK and Akt signaling pathways in endothelial cells, pericytes, and smooth muscle cells, all of which contribute to angiogenesis, thus resulting in the inhibition of cancer cell proliferation and apoptosis. BIBF1120 has been applied clinically for the treatment of several types of tumor (102). Taken together, these findings suggest that the inhibition of PDGFR signaling may serve as a novel treatment approach for cancer.

**HGF/MET signaling pathway.** HGF is a growth factor that is primarily secreted by fibroblasts to activate c-Met on cancer cells (103). Genetic and biological studies have suggested that HGF and its receptor MET are potential targets for cancer treatment. The progress in understanding the structure and function of HGF/MET has led to the development of targeting drugs and numerous small molecule MET kinase inhibitors. Reports from previous clinical trails demonstrated that inhibiting MET signaling has great therapeutic value in several types of human cancers, including NSCLC (104,105).

The use of the anti-HGF monoclonal antibodies AMG-102 (rilutumumab) and AV-299 (ficlatuzumab) has been investigated in previous clinical trials (106,107). Furthermore, the anti-MET agents represent a novel strategy for the inhibition of the MET signaling pathway. Several phase I and II clinical trials have...
investigated the use of novel small molecules that target MET tyrosine kinase, including tivantinib (108), cabozantinib (109) and crizotinib (110-112). With the results of these translational and clinical studies, HGF/MET-targeted therapy is becoming a promising therapeutic choice for patients with NSCLC.

MMPs/MMP inhibitors (MMPIs). MMPs are primarily derived from CAFs in various types of tumor. MMPs have been extensively detected in animal model experiments, which have demonstrated the importance of these proteases in inducing tumor growth, metastasis and angiogenesis (113,114). Inhibitors can be used to therapeutically target MMPs and lower the enzymatic activity, providing a prospective for future studies. Even though the majority of clinical trials on these drugs have reported insufficient results, research on MMPIs remains ongoing (115,116). Considering these explanations, one of the major difficulties in the future is the development of inhibitors or antibodies that bind to the active site of the enzyme and are highly specific to certain MMPs (117).

TGF-β signaling. TGF-β stimulates myofibroblast differentiation and the inhibition of TGF-β signaling in stromal fibroblasts result in significant regression in tumor growth; however, the antitumor effects of TGF-β signaling may depend primarily on individual tumor models (118). The TGF-β signaling pathway is increasingly considered as a therapeutic target due to its role in cancer cells and its capacity to instruct a protumorigenic program in tumor stromal cells (119). Several therapeutic agents that inhibit the TGF-β signaling pathway have been studied in preclinical and clinical trials. Neutralizing antibodies, soluble receptors and antisense oligonucleotides that target the ligand-receptor interaction, and inhibit the function of TGFBR1 or TGFBRII have been studied in clinical experiments (120). The clinical application of the TGFBR1 kinase inhibitor LY2157299 has been investigated in glioblastoma (121), hepatocellular carcinoma (122) and advanced pancreatic cancer (123); these studies have provided promising results.

Crosstalk between cancer cells and CAFs through TGF-β could suggest another therapeutic target. IL-11 has been recognized for its capacity to promote the maturation of platelets producing megakaryocyte progenitors in vitro and in the bone marrow in vivo (124). A previous study investigated the pro-metastatic effect of IL-11, which is secreted by TGF-β-stimulated CAFs in CRC (125). It was reported that IL-11 promotes the survival of tumor cells at the sites of metastatic colonization (125). This finding suggests that the clinical use of IL-11 to treat thrombocytopenia caused by chemotherapy agents should be reconsidered and the use of anti-IL11 therapies against CRC should be evaluated.

6. Conclusion

CAFs are considered as an essential component of tumorigenesis. Increasing evidence has suggested that CAFs exhibit a positive effect on the development of solid tumors. CAFs can modulate tumor microenvironment through diverse mechanisms, thus supporting tumor progression. Pre-clinical and clinical trials have revealed that CAFs are a potential target for the treatment of solid tumors.
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