Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcoma in Mandible
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Abstract

Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS), previously known as malignant fibrous histiocytoma, occurs commonly in the soft tissues in adult, but is rare in the maxillofacial region. It consists of undifferentiated mesenchymal tumor cells resembling histiocytes and fibroblasts. The purpose of this article is to report a case of UPS in the mandible. A 44-year-old patient presented with a painful growing mass in the mandible of two months’ duration. Computed tomography and positron emission tomography-computed tomography revealed an ill-defined heterogenous, hypermetabolic mass about 4 cm in size in the left mandible invading adjacent soft tissues. A left mandibulectomy and reconstruction with a fibular free flap were performed. Immunohistochemical study gave a diagnosis of UPS. The patient was referred for adjuvant chemotherapy after surgical removal of the tumor.
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Introduction

Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS), formerly known as malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH), is a common soft tissue sarcoma in which any attempt to describe the line of differentiation fails. UPS was first described by Ozzello et al.[1] in 1963, and Feldman and Norman first described primary malignant tumor of bone in 1972 (quoted from [2]). It most commonly involves the extremities and retro-peritoneum. In the head and neck region, the most commonly affected sites are the nasal cavity and the paranasal sinuses (54.3%). The mandible is a rare location, accounting for only 3% of tumors within bones[3]. UPS of head and neck that extend into bony structures are associated with a much more aggressive clinical course than those that are restricted to soft tissues[4]. In this article, we report a rare case of UPS of the mandible in a 44-year-old Korean man. We discuss nomenclature, diagnosis, histopathological characteristics with treatment of this disease.

Case Report

A 44-year-old man was referred to Dankook Dental Hospital from a local neurosurgery clinic. The patient presented with the chief complaint of a rapidly growing mass in the left mandible area within the last two months.

Extra-oral examination revealed a tender, 4 to 5 cm sized
solid mass in the left mandible area. No specific lesion such as ulcer or infection was found in intra-oral examination. The patient’s medical history was non-contributory and there was no history of trauma or dental treatment recently. Nothing remarkable was found through laboratory data including complete blood count, urine analysis, or chest x-ray in the preoperative exam.

Preoperative panoramic radiographs showed an ill-defined radiolucent, radiopaque mixed lesion on the left mandible area (Fig. 1). A neck computed tomography (CT) with contrast revealed a 4 cm heterogeneously enhancing mass lesion in the left mandible body extending to adjacent soft tissue with bony destruction. Cervical lymph nodes were not enlarged (Fig. 2). Positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) revealed a large lobulating hypermetabolic lesion in and around the left sub-mandibular area with possible bone invasion. In both lungs, a few tiny nodular opacities were seen, but these were too small to be characterized (Fig. 3).

With a clinical diagnosis of malignant tumor of the mandible, surgery was performed. Under general anesthesia, a mandibulectomy from the symphysis to the left ascending ramus was performed, and reconstructed with vascularized osteocutaneous fibula free flap. To stabilize the flap, inter-maxillary fixation with arch-bar was applied in right half maxilla and mandible (Fig. 4).

The main tumor mass, with hematoxylin and eosin staining, was highly vascular and hypercellular with an apparent whitish slit-like hemorrhagic space at ×100 magnification. At ×200 magnification, tissue presented generalized myxoid pattern with a loose matrix. The tumor consisted of

---

**Fig. 1.** Preoperative panoramic image showing ill-defined radiolucent, radiopaque area in left mandible.

**Fig. 2.** Preoperative computed tomography images revealed 4 cm heterogenous mass in left mandible invading adjacent soft tissues.

**Fig. 3.** Preoperative positron emission tomography-computed tomography showing increased metabolic lesion on left mandible. No other specific metastasis is shown.
fibroblast-like spindle-shaped cells, specifically osteoclast-like giant cells with remarkably active mitosis. At high-power field (×400 magnification), nuclear pleomorphism with prominent nuclei that varied in size, figure, and number was visible. The plentiful mitotic figures led to a diagnosis of malignant neoplasm (Fig. 5). In extensive immunohistochemical study, only vimentin positivity could be detected. Other antibodies such as S-100, desmin, and CK were all negative. Therefore, UPS was diagnosed (Fig. 6).

Postoperatively, the patient was referred to the Department of Hemato-Oncology for adjuvant chemotherapy. Upon examination at two months, metastasis to the lung and local recurrence on primary mandible area was detected in PEC-CT. Thus, metastatectomy was performed for metastasis of lung, and the patient is receiving continuous palliative chemotherapy.

Discussion

The older term for UPS was MFH, based on the resem-
In immunohistochemical study, only vimentin reactivity is detected (Vimentin reactivity, ×200).

blance of the cells to histiocytes and fibroblasts (due to their elongated shapes). The name was modified to UPS because the morphologic pattern of MFH is similar to many other sarcomas.

UPS in the mandible commonly arise in patients aged between 50 and 70[5]. The tumor occurs more frequently in males, about 65%-8[6-8]. The usual presentation in the literature is a painful mass that expands over a few weeks or months[7]. In our case, the patient was a male in his forties, complaining of a rapidly growing painful mass. Non-specific symptoms such as paresthesia make diagnosis of the tumor by clinical symptoms difficult. A history of antecedent trauma was found in about 20% of the cases[9]. This implies that some of these tumors may represent an initial proliferative response to trauma[9]. A history of antecedent trauma was found in about 20% of the UPS, UPS is either primary or secondary, and secondary tumors are more aggressive and less common than primary tumors[10].

Park et al.[10] reported in a review that CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) features of UPS of head and neck are nonspecific. On CT scans, UPS appears as a non-specific, large, lobulated, soft tissue mass iso-attenuated to muscle. Central areas of low attenuation may be due to necrosis, hemorrhage or myxoid material. In 5% to 20% of the cases, calcification or ossification can be detected. On MRI, UPS shows a heterogeneous hyper-intense pattern on T2-weighted images and isointensity that is almost same as muscles on T1-weighted images[10].

The use of immunohistochemistry is essential in the diagnostic workup of UPS. UPS typically demonstrates only vimentin immunoreactivity[11,12]. Vimentin positivity means the tumor cells originated from mesenchymal cells. Non-immunoreactivity for pan-CK, CK8, CK18 allows sarcomatoid or anaplastic hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma to be ruled out. Leiomyosarcoma can be excluded by SMA negativity. Angiosarcomas are excluded due to no reactivity for the usual vascular antigens, such as CD31, CD34. Liposarcoma or malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (S-100), rhabdomyosarcoma (desmin), malignant melanoma (S-100 and HMB-45), malignant lymphoma (LCA) are also excluded, S100, desmin, S-100, HMB-45, LCA in parentheses are antibody of liposarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, malignant melanoma, malignant lymphoma respectively. Negative histiocytic markers such as CD68 and lysozyme allow histiocytic sarcoma to be excluded. Fine needle aspiration biopsy can be used for obtaining of biopsy samples, but sensitivity is poor, ranging from 60% to 80%. This is due to the inability to obtain immunohistochemical stains on most samples from fine needle aspiration biopsy.

There is no established treatment protocol for primary mandibular UPS. The management of UPS of the mandible requires early radical surgery including removal of adjacent normal tissue, with a minimum of 3 cm tumor-free margins[6]. Elective neck dissection is performed only when there is an evidence of cervical lymph node metastasis. The patient should be re-examined often to rapidly detect local recurrence and distant metastasis.

The efficacy of chemotherapy and radiotherapy treatment for UPS of mandible is not well established[13,14]. Adjuvant radiotherapy is advised for soft tissue sarcomas, including UPS. This is based on randomized trials comparing surgery with or without radiotherapy that demonstrate the efficacy of radiotherapy as an adjuvant treatment in decreasing local recurrence rates. Fagundes et al.[15] reported favorable results with surgery and postoperative radiotherapy. Adjuvant radiotherapy may be indicated for patients with probable insufficient surgical margin when re-resection is not possible[16]. Chemotherapy is generally reserved for patients with distant metastases. CYVADIC (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, Adriamycin) is the most frequently used protocol. One observer noted that CYVADIC did not improve the prognosis, and a more intensive regimen could have been more effec-
tive[16]. Therefore, if adjuvant chemotherapy is needed, more intensive chemotherapy might be employed only for the high-risk group such as tumors with history of local recurrence and histologically high-grade because of possible subclinical or microscopic metastasis. The primary goal of treatment should be surgical resection with clear margins.

Cervical lymph node metastases are rare, with a prevalence between 3.2% to 18%[16,17]. Perhaps 25% to 35% of patients with UPS of head and neck region will develop metastases, with the lungs as the most common metastatic site from UPS in the head and neck, followed by bone and liver[5,9]. In this case, we detected no lymph node metastasis at the pre-operative examination so we did not perform neck dissection. However, lung metastasis was diagnosed two months after the first surgery. Therefore, metastastectomy was performed for metastasis of lung. UPS of bone aggressively infiltrates the adjacent tissues. This infiltrative growth is an adverse prognostic factor not only for local control, but also for disease-free and metastasis-free survival[3,18]. This is because it infiltrates skeletal muscle fibers and fascial planes[19]. Tumor infiltration into adjacent soft tissue including muscles occurred in this case, accompanied by local recurrence. Overall 5-year survival for mandibular UPS is 45% irrespective of the kind of treatment[20], Background (primary or recurrent), histologic grade, tumor size, and surgical margin are the significant prognostic factors for the outcome after surgical treatment[11].

In conclusion, the diagnosis of UPS should be reserved only for those cases in which any attempt to identify a line of differentiation has failed. Due to the low numbers of patient with UPS of the head and neck region, a consistent therapeutic strategy for this disease is unlikely to be established.
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