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Abstract: Clear aligners have revolutionized the field of esthetic orthodontics and the number of patients keen to get clear aligner therapy is increasing with time. To meet this demand, the clear aligner technology is becoming more versatile day by day. This paper will throw some light on clear aligner therapy highlighting the clinical scope, case selection and treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

The demand for esthetic orthodontic appliances has increased in recent years [1]. Many appliances like lingual orthodontics, ceramic/composite brackets and clear plastic appliances have been developed to address this demand. The most remarkable advancement in the last decade has been the introduction of clear aligners. Clear aligners are series of thermoformed appliances fabricated from transparent thermoplastic material. Each aligner is worn for about a 2 week period and brings about 0.25-0.3 mm of tooth movement. Patient compliance is very important for good results since the appliance should be worn for about 20-22 hours in a day [2].

HISTORY

1945: Kesling introduced tooth positioners [3].
1964: Dr Henry Nahoum introduced the vacuum formed dental contour appliance [4].
1971: Pontiz gave the “invisible retainer” - a thermoformed plastic appliance. Mc Namara and others also used such retainers for minor orthodontic tooth movement [5].
1993: Sheridan developed Essix appliance for long term retention [6]. Hilliard and Sheridan further developed Essix appliance introducing a series of thermoforming pliers [7].
1998: Invisalign, the pioneer in clear aligners was established. Since then innumerable clear aligner companies have been set up for example ClearCorrect, OrthoClear, NovoAlign etc

Case selection for clear aligners

Gabriele Rossini et al. [8] concluded in their study that clear aligners can be used for leveling and alignment in non-growing subjects, anterior intrusion and molar bodily movement. Anterior extrusion and rotations of round teeth cannot be effectively achieved by clear aligner therapy.

Joffe[9] has given the following criteria for case selection for clear aligner therapy:
1. Mild crowding (1-5m)
2. Mild spacing (1-5mm)
3. Deep bite
4. Narrow arches

The aspects difficult to handle with clear aligners according to Joffe[9] are as follows:
1. Over 5mm of spacing or crowding.
2. More than of 2mm of sagittal discrepancies.
3. Large discrepancy between centric occlusion and centric relation.
4. More than 20 degrees of rotations and more than 45 degrees of tipping.
5. Open bite and extrusion.
6. Short clinical crowns and multiple missing teeth.
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Digitalized treatment planning

Once the case is selected, the records including impressions, radiographs, intraoral and extraoral photographs are submitted to the manufacturing company. The impressions and initial bite can either be submitted in physical form or in the form of 3D scanned images. Once the records are submitted, the clinician needs to fill a prescription form on the company’s website. This form reflects the treatment goals based on the clinician’s experience and knowledge. The prescription goes through a list of objectives step by step. This is followed by re-positioning the teeth virtually on the computer using special software for e.g., Treat software.

Visualizing the treatment plan

A remarkable attribute of clear aligners is that the treatment alternatives can be visualized by the clinician and then he can choose the best one. There are special softwares like ‘Clincheck’ for the visualization of the treatment plan submitted via the prescription form.

Staging [10]

Staging is the sequence in which and the speed at which the teeth are moved with aligners. Once the initial and the desired final position of the teeth are decided, corrective paths are devised between the two. Multiple clear aligners are then manufactured to move the teeth, to the various positions along the corrective path. Staging is of following types:

Segmented staging: In segmented staging, one small group of teeth is moved and another group is kept stationary which provides anchorage.

Simultaneous staging: It is also known as X-staging. All the teeth of an arch are moved together from initial to the final stage.

V-staging: This is for the maxillary arches. It starts with the distalization of maxillary molars, followed by bicuspid, and ends with retraction of anterior teeth.

A-staging: This is the opposite of V-staging and can be used in both the maxillary and mandibular arches. It is characterized by movement of the anterior teeth in the anterior direction, followed by the posterior teeth.

M-staging: This is used for premolar extraction cases. This staging pattern commences by closing the extraction spaces followed by leveling and alignment.

Clear aligner material

Clear aligners are made of polyurethane which is a clear thermoplastic material. This is the material of choice because of its unique properties of flexibility, transparency, breakage resistance and ability to exert light forces. Some manufacturing companies use polyethylene terephthalate glycol-modified (PET-G), polypropylene, polycarbonate (PC), ethylene vinylacetate, Zendura (rigid polyurethane) among many others. In 2013, SmartTrack was released by Invisalign which is a multilayered polyethylene and copolyester material.

Attachments, power ridges and auxillaries [10, 11]

The clear aligners can now be used to bring about complex tooth movements using attachments, power ridges and auxillaries.

Attachments

These are tiny composite additions to the tooth surface that enhance areas of undercuts either for retention or to facilitate particular movements.

Optimized Attachments: These are generated automatically by a sophisticated series of software tools. Their shapes are determined by computer codes or algorithms.

Manually Placed Attachments: These are chosen by the orthodontist himself from the choices available. Hence, they are also called physician prescribed attachments.

Based on the shape, attachments are of following types

Ellipsoid: These attachments are 0.75 -1 mm thick, 2 mm wide and 3 mm high. They can be used singly or in pairs. They bring about derotations when used singly (Figure 1). And they can upright teeth when used in pairs, in which case they produce moment of couple (Figure 2).
Fig-2: Ellipsoid precision attachments in a pair

Rectangular: These are 0.5 -1 mm thick, 2mm wide and 3, 4 or 5 mm high. The rectangular attachments bring about bodily movement of teeth (Figure 3).

Bevelled: These are 0.25 to 1.25 mm thick, 3, 4 or 5 mm wide and 2mm high. They are most commonly used to extrude a tooth (Figure 4).

When initially bonded to the tooth surface, attachments are not fully engaged. This is called ‘preactivation’. The attachments become more active as the patient graduates through successive aligners, until the aligner slot is fully filled. In order to allow unimpeded tooth movement in the desirable direction, some aligners have excess space opposite the active surface.

POWER RIDGES: They can be defined as corrugations present near the gingival margin of teeth (Figure 5). These facilitate control of torque. They have two main functions:
1. They add resiliency to the gingival third of the margin by making it stiffer.
2. They increase the moment arm of the aligner by providing additional force close to the gingival margin.

Fig-3: Rectangular attachments

Fig-4: Bevelled Attachments

Fig-5: Power Ridges

AUXILLARIES
The auxillaries used with the aligners are elastics and miniscrews (Figures 6 & 7). Special precision hooks, button cut-outs or power arms are incorporated into the aligners to attach the elastics. Miniscrews can also be used in a similar way as in fixed appliances, especially to bring about intrusion.

Fig-6: TADs in combination with aligners

Fig-7: Elastics with precision hooks and button cut-outs
Biomechanics [10]

When an aligner is worn, the undercutts of teeth provide retention whereas the active component is provided by the following two factors:

Elastic deformation: In this case, the entire body of the aligner gets distorted when it is worn. Slowly, with passage of time, it returns to its original shape carrying the tooth with it. However, the deformation must not be so great that it overpowers the retentive forces and leads to dislodgement of the aligners. To overcome this, the total desired tooth movement is divided into smaller stages.

Stretching of the matrix: In this case, the aligner stretches within the matrix of the plastic and then shrinks back to its original size carrying the tooth with it. This leads to the tooth movement in the vertical direction. These movements are also divided into small increments.

The moments and couples are created by the attachments and auxillaries. (Figures 8 & 9) In the force moment diagram we can see how moment is created with the help of an attachment on the incisal surface. A net force of 40 g (base level force of an aligner after 48 hours) intended to move the tooth lingually would require a moment of 320 to 400 g-mm (M/F ratio 8-10) for bodily movement or greater than 400 gmm (M/F > 10) for palatal root movement.

Root control [10]

It is a frequent observation in premolar extraction cases that the maxillary molars tend to tip mesially. This is referred to as “dumping”. To overcome this, the clear aligner technology has devised “Twin attachments” (Figure 10). Two 2mm x 2mm x 2mm attachments are placed on the upper first and second molars. These create the desired couple to prevent the undesirable dumping.

RETENTION

A retention protocol similar to the fixed appliances is followed for clear aligners. The final aligner in the sequence or its thicker version is worn as a retainer for six months followed by night time wear. The various retainers which can be used after clear aligner therapy are:

1. Clear retainers also known as vacuum formed retainers.
2. Hawleys or Begg type retainer.
3. Fixed bonded retainer.

Advantages of clear aligners

In addition to their esthetic and hygienic benefits to the patients, the impressionless scanning performed, also subjects the patients to less discomfort. Digital visualization of the treatment too, is an exceptional advantage. Two more commendable advantages of clear aligners are:

Less Root resorption: It is seen that the clear aligners exert light forces which help in maintaining the blood circulation and promote the formation of osteoblast and osteoclast progenitors. This decreases the risk of hyalinization which is an important factor that leads to root resorption. Furthermore, the intermittent forces applied on the teeth by the aligners provide enough time for the cementum to heal as well as prevent further resorption [12, 13]. Various studies [14,
have been conducted that prove that the root resorption caused by aligners is less.

**Better Periodontal Health** Due to its design, the patients are able to maintain good oral hygiene. They spend more time flossing and brushing their teeth. This leads to a healthier periodontium. Low et al and Levin et al. conducted studies on the oral biofilms of patients undergoing treatment with fixed appliances and clear aligners. They found that the bacteria present in the clear aligner biofilm were associated to a lower risk of periodontal disease

**DISADVANTAGES**

Clear aligners are highly accepted because of esthetic reasons. However, they pose certain disadvantages:

1. They are unable to treat complex malocclusions.
2. The role of clinician becomes limited whereas greater role is played by the softwares and manufacturing companies.
3. Patient compliance is critical for clear aligner therapy.
4. Many allergic reactions like blisters, swollen lips, laryngospasm, etc. have been reported with clear aligners because of Isocyanate which is a major component required for the synthesis of polyurethane.
5. The treatment time is lengthened because of all the documentation that needs to be done.

**CONCLUSION**

Clear aligner technology has made its mark in the field of orthodontics. Over the past 20 years, clear aligners have become capable of treating many complex cases using advancements in technology like intraoral scanners, softwares like Treat and Clincheck, CAD-CAM and stereolithography. Moreover aligner materials and attachments continue to evolve to provide a better fit and more efficient treatment outcomes.
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