Tat1, a Novel Sulfate Transporter Specifically Expressed in Human Male Germ Cells and Potentially Linked to RhoGTPase Signaling
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RhoGTPases (Rho, Rac, and Cdc42) are known to regulate multiple functions, including cell motility, adhesion, and proliferation; however, the signaling pathways underlying these pleiotropic effects are far from fully understood. We have recently described a new RhoGAP (GTPase activating protein for RhoGTPases) gene, MgcRacGAP, primarily expressed in male germ cells, at the spermatocyte stage. We report here the isolation, through two-hybrid cloning, of a new partner of MgcRacGAP, very specifically expressed in the male germ line and showing structural features of anion transporters. This large protein (970 amino acids and a predicted size of 109 kDa), we provisionally designated Tat1 (for testis anion transporter 1), is closely related to MgcRacGAP, as it is primarily expressed in male germ cells, at spermatocyte stage (5). MgcRacGAP mRNA was also found in lower amount in many tissues in human and highly expressed in embryonic cerebral cortex in mouse (6). MgcRacGAP belongs to the chimaerin family of RhoGAPs, i.e. comprising a RhoGAP domain in the COOH-terminal half, and NH₂-terminal to this domain, a zinc finger-like motif and a large NH₂-terminal extension. We have recently described a mouse MgcRacGAP cDNA encoding an additional NH₂-terminal sequence of 106 residues resulting in a ~70-kDa protein (6); a human MgcRacGAP cDNA showing a homologous 5’ extension has also been reported (7, 8). Physiological functions of Chimaerins have been analyzed in details by microinjection of fibroblasts and neuroblastoma cells with various forms of n-chimaerin, a brain-specific Rac/Cdc42 GAP1 (9). Whereas the GAP domain alone inhibited Rac-activated lamellipodia formation, strikingly, full-length n-chimaerin stimulated formation of the actin-based structures lamellipodia and filopodia. These n-chimaerin-stimulated events required the non-GAP NH₂-terminal half of the protein and were also dependent on activated Rac and Cdc42 (10). These data strongly suggest that n-chimaerin mediates downstream signaling rather than down-regulation of Rac and Cdc42. Therefore, while the GAP domain of MgcRacGAP exhibits GAP activity in vitro toward Rac and Cdc42 (5), we postulated that MgcRacGAP may also fulfill RhoGTPase effector functions through its non-GAP regions. A close homolog of MgcRacGAP is the Drosophila protein Rotund(Rn)RacGAP, the product of a spermatocyte-specific gene essential for male fertility, as its inactivation leads to male sterility in the fruit fly (11, 12). Altogether, these data evolutionarily conserved from yeast to mammals. Members of the Rho family were initially believed to be primarily involved in the regulation of actin cytoskeleton and to control shape changes in response to extracellular growth factors. In recent years, they have been shown, in fibroblasts and other somatic cell types, to regulate a myriad of other cellular functions, including motility, adhesion, gene expression, cell cycle progression, and cell division (1). Although many potential effectors and regulatory proteins of Rho GTPases have been described, signaling pathways controlling their pleiotropic functions are far from fully understood (1–4).

We have recently described a new RhoGAP (GTPase activating protein for RhoGTPases) gene we called Mgc(Male germ cell)RacGAP, as it is primarily expressed in male germ cells, at spermatocyte stage (5).

RhoGTPases, which include Rho, Rac, and Cdc42, are signal- ing proteins of the Ras superfamily that have been highly
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indicate that MgcRacGAP may operate in a Rho-dependent signaling pathways involved in the development and/or function of male germ cells.

To elucidate pathways implicating MgcRacGAP and approach their function, we have searched for partners of the MgcRacGAP NH2-terminal region, expressed in male germ cells. We report here the cloning and characterization of an MgcRacGAP interacting protein specifically expressed in spermatocytes and spermatids and showing structural and functional features of a sulfate transporter.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Constructs—**cDNAs encoding various domains of MgcRacGAP and Tat1 proteins were subcloned in pGEX plasmids for GST fusion protein production, in the pLex plasmid pVL10 (13) for two-hybrid assays, and in the expression plasmid pRK5 for transient transfection experiments. Lex-Mgc(N), Lex-Mgc(GAP), and Lex-MgcRacGAP correspond to LexA fused to aa 1–180, aa 238–513 of MgcRacGAP, and full-length (aa 1–528) MgcRacGAP, respectively (amino acid numbering refers to MgcRacGAP sequence initially described in Ref. 5); GST-Mgc(N), GST-Mgc(GAP), and GST-MgcRacGAP correspond to the same MgcRacGAP regions fused to GST. GST-Tat1(Cter) corresponds to Tat1 (aa 664–970) fused to GST. pRK5-Tat1 and pRK5myctagged-RacL61 express full-length Tat1 and Rac-L61, respectively.

**cDNA Cloning—**Using Lex-Mgc(N) as a bait, we have screened a PAC clone epididymis testis cDNA library (CLONTECH). Two hybrid procedures for isolation of specific clones that interact with MgcRacGAP were carried out according to published methods (13, 14). Full-length Tat1 cDNA was obtained by screening of agt11 human testis cDNA libraries (CLONTECH).

**Sequence Analysis—**Clones of interest were sequenced using the Sanger dyeoxy termination method adapted to an ABI 373A automated sequencer. Sequence analysis was performed using computer facilities provided by Infinogen. BLAST programs were used to search for homologies in protein data banks, and the Transmembrane Protein secondary structure prediction program (TMpred) was used to elaborate a model of Tat1 topology across plasma membrane.

**Northern Blot Analysis—**We probed a multiple human tissue Northern blot (CLONTECH) with a Tat1 cDNA fragment; a β-actin probe was used as a control.

**In Situ Hybridization Experiments—**A 1129-base pair fragment of Tat1 cDNA was subcloned in the Bluescript KI plasmid allowing both in vitro transcription and in vivo expression. The transcribed antisense strand cRNA probes were obtained by linearizing the plasmid, respectively, by HindIII or BamHI and transcribing with T7 or SP6 RNA polymerase. In the same way, the MgcRacGAP cDNA antisense probe was generated by linearizing a pBexLox plasmid containing a 1837-base pair cDNA fragment with KpnI and transcribing with SP6 polymerase as described previously (5). 4-μm paraffin sections of human adult testis were hybridized at 65 °C, washed as described previously (15), and exposed to Ilford K5 emulsion for 4 or 5 days. After development of the emulsion, sections were counterstained with toluidine blue and analyzed.

**Cell Isolation—**Sertoli cells were isolated from 20 days post-partum Harlan Sprague-Dawley rats as described previously (16). On the second day of culture, the cells were exposed to a hypotonic treatment to eliminate the contaminating germ cells (17). The degree of purity of the isolated Sertoli cells was greater than 98%. The isolation of peritubular cells was carried out during Sertoli cell preparation as described previously (18). The peritubular cells obtained were at least 99% pure. Spermatogonia were prepared from testes of 9 days post-partum male Harlan Sprague-Dawley rats. Seminiferous epithelial cells were dispersed by enzyme treatment and separated by sedimentation at unit gravity as described previously (19), leading to greater than 90% pure spermatogonia. Pachytene spermatocytes and early spermatids were prepared by centrifugal elutriation with a purity greater than 90% according to a method previously described (20), with the exception that enzymatic dissociation of cells was replaced by a mechanical disruption. Immediately after isolation, all cell fractions were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until used.

**Antibodies—**Antibodies to recombinant Tat1 COOH-terminal region (aa 664–970) were raised in rabbit and affinity-purified on a Tat1(aa 664–970)/Affi-Gel column.

**Immunolocalization of Tat1 Protein—**Testes of adult rats were carefully dissected out and immersed in Bouin’s fixative solution for 24 h. Samples were then dehydrated through an increasing gradient of alcohols and acetone, before being embedded in paraffin wax. Testis sections (5 μm thick) were microwaved (2 × 3 min in phosphate-buffered saline) after mounting. Sections were then incubated with purified rabbit anti-Tat1 antibody, with a working dilution of 1:500. Preimmune serum was used as a negative control. Complexes were revealed using a goat anti-rabbit-polyclonal antibody (Dako, Glostrup, France) at a working dilution of 1:500, coupled with a streptavidin-peroxidase amplification combination. Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. After color development, the samples were mounted with Glycergel (Dako, Glostrup, France) for microscopic observation.

**Analysis of Tat1 Protein by Transient Transfection in HeLa and Cos Cells—**Transient transfections (24 or 48 h) of pRK5-Tat1 and pRK5myctagged-RacL61 expression vectors were performed in COS7 cells, using the fusing-6 reagent (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline and then lysed in 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100. Lysates were loaded on a 10% SDS-acrylamide gel and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose. Rabbit anti-Tat1-purified antibodies were used to detect Tat1 expression in transfected cells.

For glycosylation inhibition experiments, transient transfection of HeLa cells was performed in a medium containing 10% FCS or 10% FCS supplemented with N- or O-glycosylation inhibitors: 25 ng/ml tunicamycin or 2 mM tetracosactin 2-deoxy-α-D-galactopyranoside, respectively. After 24 h, cells were lysed as above, and lysates were submitted to Western analysis.

**In Vitro Transcription / Translation of Tat1—**1 μg of Bluescript plasmids containing either Tat1 full-length cDNA or luciferase cDNA were transcribed and translated in the presence of 20 μCi of [35S]methionine in a rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Promega).

**In Vitro Binding Assay—**GST-Mgc(N), GST-Mgc(GAP), and GST-MgcRacGAP fusion proteins (1–3 μg) were coupled to 40 μl of glutathione-Sepharose beads and incubated with 2.5 μg of pTat1 COOH-terminal fragment (aa 664–970) during 1 h on 30 min at 4 °C, in 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100. Beads were washed and incubated with 5 μg of Tat1-Cter (aa 664–970), 25 μg of anti-Tat1, and 25 μg of anti-Tat1 (aa 664–970), 25 μg of antibody (aa 664–970) and incubated for 30 min in Laemmli sample buffer and were analyzed. Beads were washed in phosphate-buffered saline and then lysed in 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.4. For the sulfite experiment, the medium contained 20 mM KHSO3 and 0.5 mM Na2SO3. For iodide efflux, sodium gluconate was added to the efflux buffer. For selectivity study, NaCl was substituted with NaI. After incubation, cells were rinsed three times with cold medium.

Efflux was determined every 1 min for 11 min during which the medium was removed at 1-min intervals to be counted and quickly replaced by 1 ml of the same medium. For the inhibition assay, 1 mM DIDS (4,4′-diisothiocyanato-2,2′-disulfonic acid stilbene from Sigma) was added to the efflux buffer. For selectivity study, NaCl was substituted in the efflux buffer by sodium gluconate. At the end of the efflux, the medium was recovered, and cells were solubilized in 1 ml of 1 N NaOH. All fractions were counted for radioactivity, and efflux curves were constructed by plotting the percentage of total radioactivity released in the medium versus time. Results are expressed as means ± S.E. of n observations. To compare sets of data, we used either an analysis of variance or Student’s t test. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. All tests were performed using Prism 3.0 (Graphpad).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In a search for partners of MgcRacGAP in male germ cells, we screened a two-hybrid library of human testis using as a bait a non-Rho-binding NH2-terminal region (aa 1–180) of human MgcRacGAP sequence (5). Among others, a 1.3-kilobase cDNA was isolated; probing human RNA blots with this fragment revealed a 3.4-kilobase mRNA exclusively expressed in testis (Fig. 1). This 1.3-kilobase cDNA fragment was subse-
quenty used as a probe to clone the full-length cDNA in human testis cDNA phage libraries. Analysis and sequencing of overlapping clones predicted an open reading frame of 2910 base pairs encoding a protein of 970 amino acids (109 kDa) of which only the COOH-terminal 300-aa fragment was present in the two-hybrid clone. Searching nucleic sequence data banks with this sequence allowed us to retrieve several human expressed sequence tag sequences showing a perfect match with our cDNA. Interestingly, all expressed sequence tag sequences found in data banks originate from testis, male germ cell tumors, or infant brain libraries, consistent with our finding that among adult human tissues expression of this gene is restricted to testis. Mouse and rat sequences encoding very similar proteins are also present in data banks, suggesting that orthologous genes exist in rodents. A human genomic fragment encompassing the whole cDNA sequence was also retrieved in the genomic section (High Throughput Genomic Sequence) of GenBank™. Blast search in protein data banks revealed a clear similarity of the predicted protein with a family of anion (sulfate) permeases comprising three members in human, i.e. DRA (24, 25), Pendrin (26), and DTD (27), and the protein was provisionally designated Tat1, for testis anion transporter 1; Tat1 appears most closely related to Pendrin (26% identity; 47% similarity) and DRA (28% identity; 46% similarity), which exhibit transport capacity for chloride/iodide and sulfate/chloride, respectively (26, 28). Multialignment programs (Fig. 2) demonstrate the presence of conserved blocks among the three proteins and Tat1-specific extra sequences in amino acids 600–652 and COOH-terminal regions; these additional sequences account for the longer size of Tat1 (970 aa) as compared with DRA (764 aa) and Pendrin (780 aa). Sequence analysis also predicted several N-glycosylation sites in Tat1 sequence; in

![Fig. 1. Tissue distribution of Tat1 mRNA. Tat1 and β-actin cDNAs were used to probe multiple human tissue Northern blots (CLONTECH). Each lane contains 2 μg of poly(A)⁺ RNA from the indicated tissues.](image)

![Fig. 2. Tat1 protein sequence comparison. Alignment of the full-length proteins Tat1, DRA, and Pendrin. Identities are shaded black, similarities are shaded gray, and predicted transmembrane domains in Tat1 are indicated by dark lines.](image)
FIG. 3. Proposed model for Tat1 protein. Secondary structure prediction was performed using the "TMpred" program. N-Linked glycosylation sites are indicated by G. Asterisks demarcate the region of the Tat1 sequence (aa 664–970) encoded by the initial two-hybrid clone.

FIG. 4. In situ hybridization analysis of Tat1 and MgcRacGAP expression in human adult testis. Two serial sections of human adult testis were hybridized with MgcRacGAP (A) and Tat1 (B) cRNA probes, respectively. Pictures in bright field and dark field show the same three tubules on each section; mRNAs of the two genes are coexpressed in germ cells at the spermatocyte stage.

FIG. 5. Western blot analysis of Tat1 in rat testis cell populations. Enriched cell populations of rat testis were obtained as described under "Experimental Procedures"; detergent extracts from these cells were resolved by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, blotted onto nitrocellulose filters, and probed for Tat1 with an affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibody to the Tat1-COOH-terminal region (aa 664–970). Lane 1, 1 ng of recombinant Tat1 COOH-terminal region; lane 2, peri-tubular cells; lane 3, spermatogonia; lane 4, spermatocytes; lane 5, spermatids; lane 6, Sertoli cells. Activity is clearly localized at the cell periphery of young spermatocytes, pachytene spermatocytes, and early and elongating spermatids, strongly suggesting a membranous expression of Tat1 (Fig. 6, A and B). A more diffuse cytoplasmic immunolabeling is still present in elongated spermatids at the very last steps of spermiogenesis (Fig. 6B). Altogether, data from Northern blot, in situ hybridization, and immunodetection experiments clearly demonstrate that the Tat1 gene and Tat1 protein are exclusively expressed in spermatocytes and spermatids.

To further characterize Tat1 protein, we expressed Tat1 cDNA in cultured cells. Transfection of Tat1 constructs in

particular, there are four putative N-glycosylation sites in the major predicted external loop (amino acids 570–751) (see Fig. 3). As expected from previous studies of DRA and Pendrin (26, 29), the hydrophobicity plot of the Tat1 protein exhibited multiple potential transmembrane helices, and membrane topology prediction programs (TMpred) led to a preferred model with 14 strong transmembrane regions and NH2 and COOH termini inside the cytoplasm (Fig. 3).

Since Tat1 gene appears exclusively expressed in testis on Northern blot (see Fig. 1), we looked for cell-specific expression in this organ. In situ hybridization of a Tat1 cRNA probe to sections of human adult testis showed that Tat1 expression is (i) detected only in a few tubule sections and (ii) restricted to germ cells, as the grain density over somatic cells was not detectably above background (Fig. 4B). Moreover, Tat1 transcript was found primarily, if not exclusively, in spermatocytes. This pattern is similar to that previously observed for MgcRacGAP except for a low level expression of MgcRacGAP in round spermatids (5); consistent with this finding, in situ hybridization of two serial sections with Tat1 and MgcRacGAP cRNA probes showed coexpression of the two genes within the same tubules in the same groups of germinal cells at the spermatocyte stage (Fig. 4). However, while all Tat1-positive tubule sections were found MgcRacGAP-positive as well, MgcRacGAP labeling was clearly detected in several Tat1-negative tubule sections, suggesting a shorter expression period for the Tat1 gene.

Using affinity-purified antibodies directed to the COOH-terminal region of Tat1 protein (aa 664–970), we did not detect Tat1-specific signals on Western blots of whole human or rat testis extracts; this could reflect the facts that (i) the amount of Tat1 protein present in expressing cells may be quite low, as frequently observed for membranous ion transporters, and (ii) membranous proteins are usually very difficult to solubilize from whole testis extracts. Western blot analysis on enriched populations of germ cells isolated from rat testis revealed multiple bands, ranging from 60 to 160 kDa and mainly expressed in spermatocytes and spermatids (Fig. 5); preincubation of antibodies with pure recombinant Tat1-COOH-terminal fragment resulted in the disappearance of the 60–160 kDa bands, demonstrating that this pattern corresponds to Tat1-related proteins (not shown). The complexity of the pattern of Tat1-related polypeptides probably results from glycosylation and proteolysis as discussed below. Immunostaining of rat testis sections using the same antibody also revealed specific stage-dependent labeling in seminiferous tubules (Fig. 6A). In the tubules, a strong labeling was observed in germ cells from spermatocytes to elongated spermatids (Fig. 6B). Immunoreac--
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COS7 (or HeLa cells) resulted in a high level of protein expression as evidenced by the strong specific immunofluorescence labeling of transfected cells (not shown). Immunoblots of lysates from COS7 cells overexpressing Tat1 protein show a heavily labeled diffuse band with a high molecular mass (>200 kDa) and a series of minor bands ranging from 110 to 50 kDa molecular mass (Fig. 7A). These bands are completely absent in a lysate from COS7 cells transfected with an irrelevant expression plasmid (pRK5-racL61) and thus must correspond to specific Tat1 expression. This pattern is reminiscent of the one reported for the intestine-specific anion transporter DRA (29, 30) and suggests that the major slow migrating form of Tat1 could result from glycosylation of the protein, as usually found in integral membrane proteins. The 110-kDa band fits with the expected size of the protein as deduced from open reading frame translation (109 kDa) and might represent a minor fraction of non post-translationally modified protein. The exact nature of the additional multiple sized proteins detected in COS7 lysates is not known; as mentioned previously for DRA, this complexity could result from a combination of NH₂-terminal truncation and glycosylation (30). In vitro transcription/translation experiments using Tat1 cDNA as a template also resulted in a complex pattern of protein synthesized (Fig. 7B); this includes high molecular mass possibly glycosylated forms, 110-kDa and shorter bands, which could correspond to the use of multiple initiation sites.

Treatment of Tat1-expressing HeLa cells with tunicamycin, an inhibitor of N-glycosylation, resulted in the complete disappearance of the diffuse high molecular mass species (Fig. 7C); by contrast, O-glycosylation inhibitor BADG had no effects. This result clearly demonstrates that the bulk of Tat1 protein present in those cells is N-glycosylated. Altogether our data strongly suggest that within the different systems analyzed (HeLa human cells, COS7 simian cells, rat germ cells, and rabbit reticulocyte lysate), Tat1 protein is synthesized as a high molecular mass species corresponding to various degrees of glycosylation; this probably reflects species and/or tissue specificity of glycosyltransferase (31) and glycosidase (32) involved in N-glycan biosynthesis.

Tat1/MgcRacGAP interaction was analyzed in two-hybrid experiments and in vitro binding assays using recombinant proteins and anti-Tat1C-ter antibodies. In the yeast two-hybrid assay, Tat1 COOH-terminal region strongly interacts with the

FIG. 6. Immunodetection of Tat1 protein in adult rat testis. Immunolocalization of Tat1 on rat testis sections was performed using rabbit polyclonal antibody to the Tat1-COOH-terminal region as a primary antibody, followed by a goat anti-rabbit biotinylated antibody and a streptavidin-peroxidase complex amplification combination. Sections were counterstained with Masson hematoxylin. Roman numerals indicate the stages of the seminiferous epithelium. A, stage-dependent expression of Tat1 protein. Tat1 immunoreactivity is detected at stage VII of the seminiferous epithelium. A specific stage-dependent labeling is distributed within the seminiferous epithelium. B, membranous localization of Tat1 protein. Tat1 immunoreactivity is detected at stage VII of the seminiferous epithelium in pachytene spermatocytes (open arrows) and early spermatids (arrowheads) at the periphery of the cytoplasm, suggesting a membranous localization. Elongated spermatids also display a positive but more diffuse cytoplasmic staining (arrowheads). C, preimmune control. The specificity of the immunoreaction was ascertained by a parallel experiment in which preimmune serum was used.

FIG. 7. Analysis of Tat1 protein by transient transfection and in vitro transcription/translation assay. A, detection of Tat1 protein in COS7 transfected cells. Immunodetection of Tat1 protein was performed in lysates from COS7 cells transiently transfected with Tat1 (lane 2) and racL61 (lane 1) expression vectors. In A and B, open arrows indicate high molecular mass bands corresponding to glycosylated forms, while arrowheads show putative unglycosylated full-length forms. C, inhibition of Tat1 glycosylation in HeLa cells. Transient transfection (24 h) of Tat1 (lanes 2, 4, 6) or racL61 (lanes 1, 3, and 5) expression vectors was performed in HeLa cells, in medium containing either 10% FCS (lanes 1 and 2) or 10% FCS supplemented with glycosylation inhibitors: 25 ng/ml tunicamycin (lanes 3 and 4) and 2 µM BADG (lanes 5 and 6). Lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Mgc-N region (aa 1–180) but not with the GAP domain (Fig. 8A). In vitro, GST-Mgc-N fusion protein actually binds to the carboxyl-terminal domain of Tat1. The Tat1 COOH-terminal region also interacts with the full-length MgcRacGAP protein, but not with the GAP domain (Fig. 8B, lanes 2–4). Taken together, these results demonstrate that MgcRacGAP interacts through its NH2-terminal region with the cytoplasmic COOH-terminal domain of Tat1.

In a first approach to Tat1 function, COS7 cells expressing Tat1 were assayed for anion transport. As shown in Fig. 9A, sulfate efflux was markedly enhanced in Tat1-expressing cells compared with mock transfected cells. When extracellular chloride was replaced by gluconate, the Tat1-mediated sulfate transport was markedly reduced showing a dependence upon extracellular Cl⁻ (Fig. 9B). In addition, Tat1-mediated sulfate efflux was inhibited by the anion exchanger inhibitor DIDS as compared with mock transfected cells. By contrast, the iodide transport (Fig. 9C) was not modified by Tat1 expression. Together, these data suggest that the MgcRacGAP/Tat1 pathway could fulfill important functions. 1) Recently, the orthologous gene of MgcRacGAP in Caenorhabditis elegans, CYK-4, and human MgcRacGAP have been shown to play an essential role in the process of cell division (8, 33). More specifically, those proteins have been shown to associate with mitotic spindle and to be required for cytokinesis. Interestingly, throughout spermatogenesis, both mitotic and meiotic cell divisions are characterized by incomplete cytokinesis resulting in the persistence of intercytoplasmic bridges (34). While incomplete cytokinesis during mitotic divisions may be related to the absence of MgcRacGAP in spermatogonia (5),² we speculate that, in spermatocytes, where MgcRacGAP and Tat1 are coexpressed, Tat1/MgcRacGAP interaction may interfere with MgcRacGAP function and eventually preclude complete cytokinesis during meiotic divisions.

2) Tat1 belongs to a family of anion transporters comprising three human proteins, i.e. Dra, DTD, and Pendrin. Strikingly, mutations in all three corresponding genes Dra, DTD, and PDS are responsible for human diseases, i.e. congenital chloride diarrhea (28), diastrophic dysplasia (27, 35, 36), and goiter associated with congenital deafness known as Pendred syndrome (26, 37), respectively. This implies that each of these genes plays a specific and essential role in affected tissues. In agreement with this view, Dra and PDS show very specific expression in intestine epithelium and thyroid, respectively. As Tat1 gene expression appears restricted to the male germ line at the spermatocyte stage, we speculate that Tat1 may fulfill critical functions in these cells and that mutations in the Tat1 gene may result in impaired spermatogenesis in human.
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