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Abstract

Aging is characterized by morphological and functional declines, including impairment in muscle performance and functional capacity. Herein, changes were investigated in strength promoted by combined training and its effects on muscle co-activation in older women. After three-week adaptation period, thirteen healthy older women (60.2 ± 6.2 years) underwent a 12-week training program, three sessions a week, one hour per session, divided into 30 minutes of aerobic exercise on a treadmill or cycle ergometer and 30 minutes of strength training. Muscle strength tests and cardiopulmonary fitness assessment were performed before and after the intervention. The results herein showed improvements in strength, functional capacity and lower limb muscle activation, but no differences in muscle co-activation. In conclusion, the data of this study suggest that 12 weeks of combined training exercise may not be effective in inducing muscle co-activation but may help prevent or mitigate the decline in muscle performance and functional capacity in the older population.
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1 Introduction

The number of individuals aged 60 or over will increase dramatically in the next three decades, with the world population over 60 years of age reaching two billion in 2050, according to the World Health Organization (WHO)1. However, aging represents a primary risk factor for chronic diseases, including cardiovascular and neurodegenerative conditions2. Aging process is characterized by functional and morphological declines, such as the reduction in strength, muscle mass, and cardiorespiratory capacity. Although this decline occurs in both men and women, it is more evident in women after menopause3.

Longitudinal evidence demonstrates that in individuals aged 75 years, muscle mass is lost at a rate of 0.64-0.7% per year in women and 0.8-0.98% per year in men4. Function is lost more rapidly, and evidence demonstrates that at the age of 75, strength is lost at a rate of 3-4% per year in men and 2.5-3% per year in women5. Weakness and wasting have several consequences, such as the inability to independently perform activities of daily living, frailty, and an increased risk of falling, related to depression/social isolation, physical inactivity, and an increased risk of chronic diseases and all-cause mortality5,6.

Physical activity, which declines with aging, can also protect against muscle atrophy through muscle strength training. Maintaining physical activity is important because it can influence muscle mass/function and metabolic health. Individuals who exercise present better muscle function, muscle quality, and maintenance of type II fiber size7. In line with this, combined training, characterized by the realization of aerobic training and muscle strength in the same training session, has been demonstrated to be efficient in older women, since aerobic fitness and muscle strength are the most important physical capacities for this population group. Combined training is little utilized due to the possibility of...
interference in strength capacity. Researchers demonstrated that combined training realized twice a week (12 weeks), increased muscle performance and functional capacity in older men and another study reported that concurrent exercise also promoted an increase in lower limb strength.

Combined training can lead to co-activation of antagonistic muscles, highlighting its importance for the older community with the main function of increasing joint stability and human movement coordination; co-activation occurs when the agonists activities are limited and their force production has decreased, since the antagonist is exerting a force to the contrary, providing an increase in joint stability, as mentioned previously.

In this scenario, herein the effects of combined training on muscle co-activation, muscular activation, and changes in functional capacity in older women were evaluated. A literature review was performed which confirmed that muscle co-activation has not previously been investigated in older women undergoing a combined training program.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study design and subjects

Following approval from the ethics committee (CAAE 24579513.4.0000.5407), the participants were selected by the “Physical Education for Aging program” of School and Physical Education and Sport of Ribeirão Preto. For inclusion in the study, the following criteria were used: being physically active with minimum practice of 6 months of physical activities considering the short version IPAQ instrument; medical certificate for liberation of physical activity; and women between 50 - 70 years. Participants with less than 75% adherence to the exercise training sessions were excluded. Thirty participants were included in the eligibility assessment, of which ten participants were excluded as they did not meet the exclusion criteria and/or declined to participate, so twenty participants were allocated to the training adaptation and protocol. Thereafter, three participants missed the assessments, one participant provided incorrect contact information, and three participants missed 25% or more of the training sessions. In the end, thirteen participants completed the training program.

2.2 Training adaptation and evaluation

After the study selection and explanation, the participants were submitted to three-week combined training familiarization period before they initiated pre-intervention tests. The familiarization protocol consisted of two sets of 10 to 12 repetitions of exercises for the main muscular groups with a duration of 30 minutes and aerobic exercises at 50% of the heart rate reserve for 30 minutes, totaling 60 minutes of training.

The participants were submitted to four physical tests of muscle strength, two of which were targeted to functional capacity, and an aerobic fitness test, in both the pre- and post-training moments:

- Maximum dynamic load in lower and upper limbs: in a sitting position, one-sided curl with the dominant arm was performed for maximum dynamic load in upper limb and leg press 45º for lower limb, maintaining the same absolute load pre- and post-intervention, for comparison of the improvement in muscle stimulus through electromyography in the agonist/antagonist electrical activity. At the post-intervention moment, five minutes of rest were given before the participant performed the test again, aiming to increase absolute load for the analysis of eventual variation in the muscle strength level.

- Sit-to-Stand test: functional strength and resistance of lower limbs pre- and post-intervention were evaluated. The participants were instructed to perform as many repetitions as possible in 30 seconds.

- Elbow flexion and extension: to evaluate functional strength and resistance of upper limbs pre- and post-intervention - the participants were instructed to perform as many repetitions as possible in 30 seconds.

- 6-minute walking test: to evaluate aerobic fitness, consisting of walking the longest distance possible in 6 minutes, delimited by a rectangle (4.57 x 18.28m).

2.3 Training protocol

The training program had a duration of 12 weeks, with one hour per session, divided into 30 minutes of aerobic exercise on a treadmill or cycle ergometer and 30 minutes of resistance exercise in the following exercises: leg curl machine, leg extension, leg press machine, lat pull down front machine, direct unilateral biceps curl, triceps in cross over, and chest press, aiming to activate the main muscle groups - pectoralis, arms, shoulders, back, glutes, and legs. We adopted daily undulated periodization for 12 weeks - on the first day of the week the participants realized 5 to 7 repetitions maximum, on the second 10 to 12, and on the third 15 to 17, thus providing different muscle stimulus, and, consequently, varying efforts of resistance, hypertrophy, strength.

The cardiorespiratory training intensities were as follows: 70% of heart rate reserve on the first day, 60% on the second day, and 50% on the third, according to Fleck and a maximum incremental test.

2.4 Electromyographic data

Electromyographic activity was evaluated to obtain muscle activation values in the maximum dynamic load, sit-to-stand, and elbow flexion and extension tests. A biological signal conditioner Trigno Wireless (Delsys, Inc., Boston Massachusetts, USA) was used, with 16 channels, 16 bits resolution, and sampling at 2000 Hz. Prior to electrode fixation, trichotomy and skin cleaning were performed by abrasion with fine sandpaper and alcohol (70%). The electrodes were positioned following the proposed guidelines.
for Surface EMG for Non-Invasive Assessment of Muscles\textsuperscript{17}, on the brachial biceps and triceps for upper limbs. In addition, the rectus femoris, vastus medialis and lateralis, femoris biceps, and semitendinosus were used for lower limbs.

To provide normalized data during the test execution, isometric maximum voluntary contractions (MVC) lasting 10 seconds were performed in the same exercises of maximum dynamic load in lower and upper limbs\textsuperscript{18}. All the electromyographic data were smoothed by a Butterworth filter of fourth order with cut-off frequency of 20-500 Hz (band pass) and the root mean square (RMS) was calculated. Data analyses were performed using programs created in MatLab software (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

Co-activation was calculated according to Kellis\textsuperscript{19}: (antagonist activity / agonist activity + antagonist activity) \* 100. This method has been used in studies that address co-activation, using the same absolute load before and after the intervention, and the signal measured with electromyography.

Figure 1 - Motor skills tests pre- and post-exercise training (n=13)
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Note: (A) strength test in upper limbs (UL, kg); (B) repetitions performed in the upper limbs dynamic load test; (C) elbow flexion and extension (EFE, repetitions); (D) strength test in the lower limbs (LL, kg); (E) repetitions performed in the lower limbs dynamic load test; (F) sit-to-stand (SAS, repetitions); (G) Six-minute walk test (meter). \*p < 0.05 (t-test).

Source: Research data.

There was a decrease in muscle activation post-intervention compared to pre-intervention, showing significant differences for the test of maximum dynamic load in the leg press equipment in the vastus medialis, semitendinosus, and biceps femoris muscles. No difference was observed in the decreased muscle activation in the sit-to-stand test (Table 1).

Table 1 - Mean ± standard deviation of the electromyographic data in the tests of maximum dynamic load (LL) using the same absolute load before and after the intervention and of sitting and standing (SAS)(n=13)

|        | LL (%) | SAS (%) |
|--------|--------|---------|
|        | PRE    | POST    | PRE    | POST    |
| VAL    | 0.92 ± 0.80 | 0.87 ± 0.10 | 0.92 ± 0.18 | 0.92 ± 0.34 |
| VAM    | 0.93 ± 0.11 | 0.77 ± 0.15\* | 1.10 ± 0.51 | 0.91 ± 0.33 |
| REF    | 0.82 ± 0.15 | 0.74 ± 0.13 | 1.13 ± 0.51 | 0.80 ± 0.19 |
| SEM    | 0.89 ± 0.10 | 0.75 ± 0.13\* | 1.07 ± 0.59 | 0.83 ± 0.39 |
| BIF    | 0.86 ± 0.11 | 0.76 ± 0.07\* | 1.06 ± 0.39 | 0.85 ± 0.23 |

Note - LL: lower limbs (leg press 180°); SAS: sit-to-stand test; VAL: vastus lateral; VAM: vastus medialis; REF: rectus femoris; SEM: semitendinosus; BIF: biceps femoris. Data normalized by maximum voluntary contraction; \* P < 0.05 for pre x post comparison (Student’s t test)

Source: Research data.

No decrease in the muscle activation was demonstrated for the exercise of maximum dynamic load in the unilateral biceps curl, or for the elbow flexion and extension test through electromyography in the upper limbs. Decrement in muscle activation of the ankle measured with surface electromyography in the lower limbs was verified using the Shapiro Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. As the data presented normal distribution, for the comparison between the pre and post-intervention the paired Student t test was performed, considering a level of significance of 0.05.

3 Results and Discussion

13 individuals attended the study with mean 60.2 ± 6.2 years of age, body mass of 73.4 ± 12.3 kg, 1.56 ± 0.07 m of stature, and body mass index 30.1 ± 5.2 kg/m2. Firstly, the analysis indicated significant improvements (P<0.05) after the 12-week combined training in the strength test in upper limbs (UL) (Figure 1A), elbow flexion and extension (EFE) (Figure 1C), strength test in lower limbs (LL test weight) (Figure 1D), sit-to-stand (SAS repetitions) (Figure 1F), and 6-minute walk test (Figure 1G). There was no statistical difference in the number of repetitions in the strength tests (Figures 1B and 1E).

Table 2 - Mean ± standard deviation of electromyographic data in the tests of maximum dynamic load (UL) using the same absolute load before and after intervention and elbow flexion and extension (EFE) (n=13)

|          | UL (%) | EFE (%) |
|----------|--------|---------|
|          | PRE    | POST    | PRE    | POST    |
| BIB      | 0.83 ± 0.13 | 0.76 ± 0.86 | 0.69 ± 0.35 | 0.69 ± 0.17 |
| TRB      | 0.78 ± 0.15 | 0.78 ± 0.10 | 0.72 ± 0.40 | 0.83 ± 0.11 |

Note - UL: upper limbs (unilateral dumbbell thread, seated position); EFE: elbow flexion and extension; BIB: biceps brachii; TRB: triceps brachii. Data normalized by maximum voluntary contraction; \* P < 0.05 for pre x post comparison (Student’s t test)

Source: Research data.

In addition, the co-activation in the upper and lower limbs was analyzed, but no significance was found for any of the tests performed (Figure 2).
is periodized and volume and intensity are controlled. Effects can be minimized or even not occur when training initial tests. Some studies have concluded that the interference upper and lower limb tests, since the participants presented relevant results in strength in maximum dynamic load and mortality and morbidity. In addition, this training program and muscle strength, which are independent predictors of the two main physical capacities, cardiorespiratory fitness, corroborate with the literature. Combined training focuses on the maximum dynamic load tests in lower and upper limbs strength training. The results herein on the strength levels in capacity, being better in the group that started the session with the authors showed that the training order influenced strength group trained in the inverse order. In the study conclusion, the intervention through combined training presented relevant results in strength in maximum dynamic load and upper and lower limb tests, since the participants presented increases in maximum absolute estimated load compared to the initial tests. Some studies have concluded that the interference effects can be minimized or even not occur when training is periodized and volume and intensity are controlled. In line with this, Cadore did not find interferences in the training phenomena in the older population, demonstrating improvements in both neuromuscular and cardiorespiratory functions.

It is known that physical exercise offers several benefits during the aging process. However, few studies show the benefits of combined training on the older women’s functional capacity. Herein, significant results were exhibited in the elbow flexion and extension and sit-to-stand tests, which assess the participants’ functional strength. In addition, as expected, the participants presented improvements in the pre- and post-intervention comparison through the 6-minute walk test, since combined training, when compared to aerobic training, does not present interference between the capacities; when combined training is compared to aerobic training, the gains are similar. This study corroborates with what is presented in the literature.

Sillanpa assessed 63 sedentary men aged 40 to 65 years during 21-week physical training period, dividing them into three groups (aerobic training, strength training, and combined training) and demonstrating that combined training was effective in improving cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness. Studies have also shown that strength training promotes significant increases in muscle strength levels in adults and older adults, even with short intervention periods. The current study also used a short intervention period (12 weeks), in physically active women. Considering the principle of trainability, physically inactive individuals present adaptations in the first months of training, and, thus, it is believed that the positive results found in physically active women could be stronger in physically inactive individuals.

Increases in strength levels are predominantly related to neural adaptation, with the recruitment of more motor units, greater muscle activation, and decreased co-activation of antagonistic muscles in response to the physical training adaptation. This study does not corroborate the literature since its results do not demonstrate a significant change in muscular co-activation after exercise training. However, as aforementioned, this is the first study to analyze muscle co-activation in a combined training program. Also, the amount of stimulation may not have been sufficient. Literature shows that eight weeks or more of strength training is sufficient to provide differences in muscle co-activation.

On the other hand, Conlon and collaborators have demonstrated significant reductions in the antagonists muscular activation of the vastus medial in the sit-to-stand, providing a reduction in the stimuli through the association of strength gains and neural adaptations. In the analysis herein, no statistical differences were found in the elbow flexion and extension test through electromyographic signals, or in muscle co-activation in the upper limb strength test. A possible hypothesis to justify these negative results may be the reduced number of exercises that directed stimuli to the biceps brachii and triceps brachii muscles associated with the sample of physically active women.

Sedentary older people present activation of contractions that occur simultaneously or commonly, increasing co-
contraction and the risk of falls, however, in older trained individuals, body adjustments occur in a coordinated manner, reducing energy expenditure. A difference was observed in the activation of contractions in the dynamic maximum load test by the leg press device in the study participants, which although not sufficient to generate significant results for lower limb co-activation, indicates improved efficiency. In addition, the analysis in this study showed that a higher number of exercises were performed for the upper limbs than the lower limbs, which could justify the difference in strength gain in the limbs and their activation responses in this study.

The strength of the investigation herein was to analyze for the first time, in a well-controlled study, muscular co-activation in older women undergoing a combined training program. However, limitations in this study are recognized, such as sample size, 12-week intervention period (it is not known if a longer exercise program might result in effects on muscular co-activation), and the fact that 35% of the participants were not included in the analysis because they missed at least 25% of the training sessions or evaluations.

4 Conclusion

The exercise training protocol was partially effective for physically active older women, since the participants presented increases in absolute load post versus pre-intervention in the maximum dynamic load tests, in the number of repetitions in elbow flexion and extension, and in the sit-to-stand tests, as well as improved cardiorespiratory fitness. In addition, the protocol adopted for the combined training was able to facilitate alterations in the muscular activation of the lower limbs in physically active older women but it was not enough to improve the muscular co-activation. Studies with a training period longer than 12 weeks are necessary to investigate the real possibility of improvement in co-activation.
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