The axial ratio of hcp iron at the conditions of the Earth’s inner core

C. M. S. Gannarelli\textsuperscript{a} D. Alfè\textsuperscript{a,\textasciitilde} M. J. Gillan\textsuperscript{a,\ast}

\textsuperscript{a}Physics and Astronomy Department, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
\textsuperscript{b}Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

Abstract

We present \textit{ab initio} calculations of the high-temperature axial $c/a$ ratio of hexagonal-close-packed (hcp) iron at Earth’s core pressures, in order to help interpret the observed seismic anisotropy of the inner core. The calculations are based on density functional theory, which is known to predict the properties of high-pressure iron with good accuracy. The temperature dependence of $c/a$ is determined by minimising the Helmholtz free energy at fixed volume and temperature, with thermal contributions due to lattice vibrations calculated using harmonic theory. Anharmonic corrections to the harmonic predictions are estimated from calculations of the thermal average stress obtained from \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics simulations of hcp iron at the conditions of the inner core. We find a very gradual increase of axial ratio with temperature. This increase is much smaller than found in earlier calculations, but is in reasonable agreement with recent high-pressure, high-temperature diffraction measurements. This result casts doubt on an earlier interpretation of the seismic anisotropy of the inner core.
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1 Introduction

In the last few years, there have been a number of \textit{ab initio} studies of iron at high temperatures and pressures, in an effort to understand the proper-

\textsuperscript{\ast} Corresponding Author. Tel: +44 (0)20 7679 7049; Fax: +44 (0)20 7679 1360
\textit{Email address:} m.gillan@ucl.ac.uk (M. J. Gillan).
\textit{URL:} http://www.cmmp.ucl.ac.uk/$\tilde{m}$jg (M. J. Gillan).

Preprint submitted to Elsevier Science 7 September 2018
ties of the Earth’s solid inner core (Stixrude et al. (1994); Stixrude and Cohen (1995); Söderlind et al. (1996); Wasserman et al. (1996); Stixrude et al. (1997); Vočadlo et al. (1997); Steinle-Neumann et al. (1999); Vocadlo et al. (2000); Belonoshko et al. (2003); Laio et al. (2000); Alfè et al. (2001); Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001, 2002); Vočadlo et al. (2003)), including its elastic properties. A controversial issue, addressed by some of this work, concerns the elastic anisotropy of the inner core (Creager (1992); Tromp (1993)), i.e. the fact that compressional waves are observed to traverse the core region some 3–4% faster along the Earth’s rotational axis than in the equatorial plane. It is widely assumed that the phase of Fe in the inner core is hexagonal close packed (hcp), and it has become clear that to understand the elastic properties it is necessary to know how the axial ratio \(c/a\) depends on temperature and pressure. In order to provide information about this, we present here \textit{ab initio} calculations of the axial ratio of hcp iron over a range of pressures and temperatures relevant to the inner core.

\textit{Ab initio} calculations on pure crystalline iron are very relevant for understanding the inner core, even though the core is known to contain light impurities (the leading candidates are O, Si and S), and is believed to contain Ni as well as Fe (Poirier (1994)). Recent estimates (Alfè et al. (2002a)) suggest that the fraction of light impurities in the inner core is approximately 8.5 mol\%, and this low fraction will probably not change its properties greatly. The Ni content will also probably make only a small difference, since the electronic structures of Ni and Fe are so similar. The determination of the properties of pure Fe is thus essential as a starting point for further refinements. The common assumption that Fe in the inner core is in the hcp structure has recently been challenged (Vočadlo et al. (2003); Belonoshko et al. (2003)), and it is possible that the crystal structure is different in different parts of the core (Song and Helmberger (1998); Ishii and Dziewonski (2002); Beghein and Trampert (2003)). However, in order to make progress, it is clearly essential to understand the properties of the leading candidates, of which hcp is certainly one. It is well established that density functional theory (DFT) (Hohenberg and Kohn (1964); Kohn and Sham (1965); Jones and Gunnarsson (1989)) gives a rather accurate description of Fe and other transition metals, both under ambient conditions, and under high pressures and temperatures. This is known from comparisons with experiment for a wide range of properties, including the equilibrium lattice parameter under ambient conditions, elastic constants, magnetic properties, phonon frequencies (Stixrude et al. (1994); Söderlind et al. (1996); Vočadlo et al. (1997); Vocadlo et al. (2000)); the pressure-volume relationship at high pressures, the phonon density of states and the Hugoniot (Wasserman et al. (1996); Mao et al. (2001); Alfè et al. (2001)).

A number of groups have used DFT calculations to investigate the elastic constants of hcp Fe under inner-core conditions. The early calculations were performed at zero temperature (Stixrude and Cohen (1995); Steinle-Neumann et al.
and led to the suggestion that elastic anisotropy could be explained by a preferential alignment of crystalline $c$-axes with the Earth’s rotational axis. However, more recent work has indicated a strong temperature dependence of the elastic constants (Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001, 2002)), including a crossing of the $c_{11}$ and $c_{33}$ constants at approximately 1500 K, which would result in a reversal of the necessary crystalline alignment. It appears that the strong temperature dependence of the elastic constants is due in part to a rapid increase of the axial ratio with increasing temperature. However, this work can be questioned, because it is based upon a statistical mechanical approximation known as the particle-in-cell (PIC) model (Hirshfelder et al. (1954); Holt and Ross (1970); Holt et al. (1970); Ree and Holt (1973); Westra and Cowley (1975); Cowley et al. (1990)), which may not be reliable. In addition, independent PIC calculations have failed to reproduce their results (Gannarelli et al. (2003)). Very recently, experimental work (Ma et al. (2004)) has shown that this strong $c/a$ variation with temperature is not observed at pressures up to 160 GPa. Our aim in this paper is to perform calculations of the high temperature axial ratio, which are, as far as possible, free of statistical mechanical approximations.

The calculation of phonon dispersion relations using DFT is nowadays completely routine, and this makes it possible to obtain the Helmholtz free energy $F$ of a high-temperature crystal without any statistical mechanical approximations, provided that anharmonicity can be ignored. Phonon dispersion relations for Fe in both the magnetic body-centred cubic and the hcp structures have been reported by several groups (Vocadlo et al. (2000)). The equilibrium value of $c/a$ can then be obtained for given $V$ and $T$ by minimising $F$ with respect to $c/a$. Of course, at temperatures near the melting point, it is not obvious that anharmonic corrections to $F$ can be neglected. However, direct DFT molecular dynamics simulations allow the calculation of the thermal average stress tensor (Oganov et al. (2001)), so that the equilibrium $c/a$ can be found by requiring that the stress tensor be isotropic; such direct simulations completely include anharmonicity. The DFT calculation of phonon frequencies is straightforward and relatively inexpensive, but $ab$ initio molecular dynamics demands much larger computational resources. Our strategy in this work is therefore to base most of the calculations on the harmonic approximation, but to use a small number of molecular dynamics simulations to estimate anharmonic corrections to the equilibrium $c/a$.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows: In Sec. 2 we present the technical background to our electronic structure calculations, and describe the statistical mechanical principles of our harmonic and molecular-dynamics calculations. In Sec. 3 we describe our harmonic calculations, including the technical tests we performed to ensure their accuracy. In Sec. 4 we present our $ab$ initio molecular-dynamics results. Discussion of our results for the temperature and pressure dependence of the axial ratio follows in Sec. 5.
2 Techniques

The principal quantity in this work is the *ab initio* Helmholtz free energy $F(V, q, T)$ as a function of the volume $V$, axial ratio $q = c/a$ and temperature $T$. Since the temperatures of interest here are far above the Debye temperature, $F$ is given by the standard expression of classical statistical mechanics

$$F(V, q, T) = -k_B T \ln \left\{ \frac{1}{\Lambda^3 N} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \ldots d\mathbf{r}_N \exp\left[-U_{\text{AI}}(\mathbf{r}_1 \ldots \mathbf{r}_N; T_{\text{el}})/k_B T\right] \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $T$ is the temperature and $\Lambda$ is the thermal wavelength. The quantity $U_{\text{AI}}(\mathbf{r}_1 \ldots \mathbf{r}_N; T_{\text{el}})$ is the *ab initio* Helmholtz free energy of the system when the atoms are fixed at the positions $\{\mathbf{r}_i\}$; $U_{\text{AI}}$ is a free energy because it includes thermal excitation of the electrons, at electronic temperature $T_{\text{el}}$, as described by finite temperature density functional theory (Mermin (1965)). Since we are interested in the case of full thermal equilibrium, we have $T_{\text{el}} = T$. In the present work, the DFT calculation of $U_{\text{AI}}$ is made using the exchange-correlation energy given by the PW91 generalised gradient approximation (Wang and Perdew (1991)). The implementation of DFT is the projector augmented wave (PAW) scheme (Blöchl (1994); Kresse and Joubert (1999)) with core radii, augmentation charge radii, etc. as reported in (Alfè et al. (2000)).

As in our previous work, atomic states up to and including $3p$ are treated as core states, but the high-pressure response of $3s$ and $3p$ states is included via an empirical pair potential. This approximation is tested using spot-checks with $3p$ electrons included in the valance set. All calculations were performed using the VASP code (Kresse and Furthmüller (1996b,a)).

In practice, the *ab initio* Helmholtz free energy is separated into perfect lattice, harmonic and anharmonic contributions:

$$F = F_{\text{perf}} + F_{\text{harm}} + F_{\text{anharm}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here $F_{\text{perf}} = U_{\text{AI}}(\mathbf{R}_1 \ldots \mathbf{R}_N; T_{\text{el}})$ is the *ab initio* free energy with all atoms fixed on their perfect-lattice positions $\{\mathbf{R}_i\}$. The harmonic contribution $F_{\text{harm}}$ is given by (Alfè et al. (2001))

$$F_{\text{harm}} = 3k_B T \ln \frac{\hbar \bar{\omega}}{k_B T},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with $\bar{\omega}$ the geometric mean phonon frequency:

$$\ln \bar{\omega} = \frac{1}{N_{k_s}} \sum_{k_s} \ln \omega_{k_s},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $N_{k_s}$ is the total number of $k$-points and phonon-branches, the sum being
taken over the first Brillouin zone. \( \bar{\omega} \) is a function of \( V, q \) and \( T_{el} \). As usual, the phonon frequencies \( \omega_{ks} \) at each wavevector \( k \) are obtained by diagonalising the dynamical matrix, whose elements are defined in terms of the force-constant matrix \( \Phi_{ls\alpha,l't\beta} \) as:

\[
D_{sa,t\beta}(k) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{l'} \Phi_{ls\alpha,l't\beta} \exp \left[ ik \cdot (R_{lt}' - R_{ls}^0) \right],
\]

(5)

where \( M \) is the mass of each atom. Here \( R_{ls}^0 \) is the equilibrium position of the \( s \)th atom in the \( l \)th primitive cell. Calculation of the force-constant matrix \( \Phi \) is performed by the small-displacement method (Kresse et al. 1993; Alfè et al. 2001; Alfè 1998), in which each atom in the primitive cell is given a small displacement, and DFT is used to calculate the resulting force on every atom in a large repeating cell. The calculation of the dynamical matrix and hence the mean frequency \( \bar{\omega} \) must be taken to convergence with respect to the size of the repeating cell. For the hcp structure, the entire \( \Phi \) matrix is calculated by performing only two independent displacements, as explained in Alfè et al. (2001). Within the harmonic approximation, the equilibrium \( c/a \) at given atomic volume and temperature is determined by calculating \( F_{\text{perf}}(q) \) and \( F_{\text{harm}}(q) \) at a series of \( q \) values, and by minimising the quantity \( F_{\text{perf}}(q) + F_{\text{harm}}(q) \) numerically.

Our estimates of anharmonic corrections to the equilibrium \( c/a \) are based on \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics simulations. These are performed in the canonical ensemble, using an Andersen thermostat (Andersen 1980). The determination of the equilibrium \( c/a \) is based on a calculation of the time-averaged stress tensor \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \). The system is in hydrostatic equilibrium with respect to variation of \( q \) when \( \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11} = 0 \). In comparing with harmonic predictions, it is useful to note that the components of the thermal average stress tensor are related to the \textit{ab initio} harmonic free energy by

\[
< \sigma_{\alpha\beta} > = \frac{1}{V_0} \lim_{\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \to 0} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}} \right)_T,
\]

(6)

where \( \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \) is the infinitesimal strain tensor and \( V_0 \) is the volume of the system before application of the strain. In particular, for our constant volume calculations of \( F(V, q, T) \), we can write

\[
< \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11} > = \frac{3q}{2V} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \right)_{V,T}.
\]

(7)

This means that the stress component \( < \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11} > \) within the harmonic approximation can be obtained by taking the derivative with respect to \( q \) of the free energy \( F_{\text{perf}}(q) + F_{\text{harm}}(q) \).
3 Harmonic calculation of the equilibrium axial ratio

In order to determine the equilibrium axial ratio in harmonic theory, the two quantities that it is necessary to calculate are the perfect lattice free energy $F_{\text{perf}}$, and the geometric mean phonon frequency $\bar{\omega}$, both as function of volume, axial ratio $c/a$ and electronic temperature. The calculation of these quantities is presented in the following subsections, and the results for the equilibrium axial ratio are presented in Sec. 3.3. The precision we need to achieve in the calculations is set by the precision with which we wish to determine the equilibrium axial ratio. As a guideline, we set ourselves the target of obtaining $c/a$ to a precision of $\pm 0.005$.

3.1 Perfect lattice free energy

DFT results for the perfect lattice free energy for $c/a = 1.6$ were reported earlier by Alfè et al. (2001), and were more recently reported by Gannarelli et al. (2003) for $q$ in the range 1.48–1.72. The present calculations are closely related to the previous ones, but we have introduced some refinements. We have calculated $F_{\text{perf}}$ for electronic temperatures in the range 1000–7000 K. For $T_{\text{el}}$ in this range, we find that an $8 \times 8 \times 5$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$-point sampling set provides a precision of better than 1.5 meV atom$^{-1}$. The plane-wave cutoff has been set to achieve complete continuity in the curve $F_{\text{perf}}(q)$, and to ensure that at every point on this curve, the calculated energy and stress components are converged to within our required targets.

For the set of $T_{\text{el}}$ mentioned above, we have calculated $F_{\text{perf}}$ for $c/a$ in the range 1.54–1.70, at steps of 0.01 and for volumes between 6.8 and 8.8 Å$^3$ at steps of 0.2 Å$^3$, as well as at three particular volumes of interest, 6.97, 7.50 and 8.67 Å$^3$. A parameterisation essentially exactly fitting the data consists of a cubic polynomial in $c/a$, whose coefficients are fitted to quartic polynomials in $T_{\text{el}}$. As a point of reference for our later discussion, we have used these results to calculate the equilibrium axial ratio at $T = 0$ as a function of volume. We have converted the results to obtain $q$ as a function of pressure by using the $P(V)$ relation from Stixrude et al. (1994). In Fig. 1, we compare the resulting values of $q(P)$ with very recent synchrotron measurements (Ma et al. 2004) in the pressure range 60–160 GPa. In both cases, we see a very slight increase of $q$ with pressure, though the theoretical values are lower by about 0.008.
Fig. 1. Calculated equilibrium axial ratio of hcp Fe at zero temperature as a function of pressure (solid curve), compared with diffraction results of Ma et al. (2004) (open squares with error bars).

3.2 Harmonic calculations

As explained in Sec. 2, the harmonic vibrational free energy is determined entirely by $\bar{\omega}$. Values of $\bar{\omega}$ must be converged with respect to $k$-point sampling, cell size and atomic displacement, and we must ensure that this convergence is achieved across the required range of $c/a$. In order to achieve the required tolerance in the harmonic free energy, systematic errors in $\bar{\omega}$ must not vary with respect to $q$ by more than 0.1%.

We have designed a strategy for ensuring convergence of $\bar{\omega}$. In our initial tests, we adopt a displacement of 0.01 Å, which has been used in previous calculations (Alfè et al. (2001)). With this displacement, we determine the $k$-point sampling needed to obtain convergence for a 16-atom supercell. We then study convergence with respect to cell size, the $k$-point sampling for each cell size being chosen in the light of the $k$-point sampling tests of the 16-atom system. This set of tests tells us the cell size needed. To conclude the tests, we then address convergence of atomic displacement and $k$-point sampling for this cell size. All these tests are performed at $T_{el} = 1000$ K. This temperature is deliberately chosen to be much lower than the temperatures of real interest. We do this because the fineness of $k$-point sampling needed to achieve a given degree of convergence decreases with increasing temperature. One can therefore be sure that if convergence is achieved with respect to $k$-point sampling at 1000 K, it will certainly be achieved at higher temperatures.
For the 16-atom system, $\bar{\omega}$ was calculated for Monkhorst Pack sets up to $9 \times 9 \times 6$. We find that for a Monkhorst Pack $5 \times 5 \times 3$ grid, $\bar{\omega}$ is converged to within 0.01%. Next, a set of supercells was chosen, such that the dimension along the the hexagonal axis is similar to the dimension in the basal plane. Sizes chosen were 16 ($2 \times 2 \times 2$), 36 ($3 \times 3 \times 2$), 54 ($3 \times 3 \times 3$), 96 ($4 \times 4 \times 3$), 128 ($4 \times 4 \times 4$) and 150 ($5 \times 5 \times 4$) atoms. For each cell size, we calculate $\bar{\omega}$ using $k$-point sampling that is equivalently finer than the converged value of $5 \times 5 \times 3$ in the 16-atom system. We find that for a 54-atom system, $\bar{\omega}$ is converged to within 0.5%, however the consistency between calculations for different values of $c/a$ at this cell size, is much better than our tolerance. Finally, $k$-point and displacement convergence were carried out on the 54-atom system. We found that for a $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Monkhorst Pack grid and a displacement of 0.01 Å, $\bar{\omega}$ is converged to within approximately 1% in absolute terms, but, again, to well within our tolerances for non-cancelling errors. These tests were all performed for both $c/a = 1.60$ and 1.70. All the harmonic results that follow were based upon values of $\bar{\omega}$ calculated for these values of supercell size, $k$-point sampling and displacement.

Calculations of $\bar{\omega}$ were performed for atomic volumes of 6.97, 7.50 and 8.97 Å$^3$, for $c/a$ from 1.58 to 1.70 in steps of approximately 0.03, and for electronic temperatures of 2000, 4000 and 6000 K. We parameterised ln $\bar{\omega}(q)$ for each volume and temperature using a second order polynomial in $q$, which gave an effectively exact fit to the results.

### 3.3 Harmonic results for equilibrium axial ratio

Equilibrium values of $c/a$ were obtained at 6.97, 7.50 and 8.67 Å$^3$ and temperatures of 0, 2000, 4000 and 6000 K, by analytic minimisation of the total harmonic free energy $F_{\text{perf}}(q) + F_{\text{harm}}(q)$, using the polynomial parameterisations described above for ln $\bar{\omega}(V, q, T)$. Results are shown in Fig. 2, together with the previous theoretical results of Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001) and the very recent experimental results of Ma et al. (2004). Note that all the theoretical results show the variation of $c/a$ with $T$ at fixed volume, whereas the experimental results are at the fixed pressure of 161 GPa. The present results differ greatly from the earlier theoretical results, in that we find only a very moderate increase of $c/a$ with temperature. The experimental increase of $c/a$ with temperature is also far smaller than the predictions of Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001), and is, if anything, smaller than the variation that we predict. More detailed discussion will be given in Sec. 5.
Fig. 2. Calculated equilibrium axial ratio as a function of temperature for different volumes. For this work (light curves) atomic volumes are 6.97 Å³ (solid curve), 7.50 Å³ (dashed curve) and 8.67 Å³ (dotted curve). For Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001) (heavy curves) volumes are 6.81 Å³ (solid curve), 7.11 Å³ (dashed curve) and 7.41 Å³ (dotted curve). Also shown are diffraction measurements due to Ma et al. (2004) at 7.73 Å³/atom (open squares with error bars) and our own ab initio MD calculations at 6.97 Å³.

4 Molecular dynamics calculations

The determination of the equilibrium axial ratio by ab initio molecular dynamics is based on the calculation of stresses; specifically on the stress difference $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$, which disappears for the equilibrium value of $c/a$. In order to apply this technique successfully, a number of technical sources of error must be brought under control. Firstly, the duration of the run must be long enough to reduce statistical errors to within an acceptable level; secondly, the size of the simulation cell must be large enough; and thirdly, electronic $k$-point sampling must be adequate. The first two of the convergence questions can be addressed in detail using classical molecular dynamics simulations, and we have performed tests of this kind as described below. The question of $k$-point convergence will be discussed when we present our ab initio molecular dynamics calculations in Sec. 4.2.

Although ab initio molecular dynamics calculations fully include anharmonicity, they are very demanding in terms of computational resources, so that we can only perform these calculations at a very small number of state points. Our aim is to put limits on the possible size of anharmonic corrections to the stress, and hence to $c/a$. Our ab initio molecular dynamics results for $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$
can be compared with our harmonic calculations by using Eqn. (7) to calculate $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ in the harmonic approximation. Since anharmonicity is negligible at low temperatures, the harmonic and molecular dynamics calculations of the stress should be in close agreement under these conditions. This provides a further check both on our harmonic and molecular dynamics results.

4.1 Molecular dynamics simulations for an embedded-atom model

Our tests on statistical and system-size errors were performed using an embedded atom model (EAM) for Fe, similar to that used by Belonoshko et al. (2000). In the EAM, the total energy $E_{\text{tot}}$ of a system of $N$ atoms is represented as the sum of two contributions. First, an empirical, repulsive pair potential, and secondly, a sum of embedding energies for each atom, representing quantum mechanical band-structure effects:

$$
E_{\text{tot}} = E_{\text{pair}} + E_{\text{embed}}
$$

$$
E_{\text{pair}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \phi(|r_i - r_j|)
$$

$$
E_{\text{embed}} = \sum_i f(\rho_i)
$$

$$
\rho_i = \sum_{j(\neq i)} \psi(|r_i - r_j|)
$$

(8)

where $\phi(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ have the inverse power forms

$$
\phi(r) = \epsilon \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(r) = \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^m,
$$

and $f(\rho_i) = -\epsilon C(\rho_i)^2$. In practice, both $\phi$ and $\psi$ are cut off smoothly by making the transformation

$$
\phi(r) \rightarrow \phi_{\text{SF}}(r) = \begin{cases} 
\phi(r) + \beta + \gamma r & r < r_0 \\
\alpha (r_1 - r)^2 & r_0 < r < r_1 \\
0 & r_1 < r
\end{cases}
$$

(10)

where $r_0$ and $r_1$ are cutoff radii, with $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ chosen such that $\phi$, $\phi'$ and $\phi''$ are continuous at $r_0$. The form guarantees that these three functions are also continuous at $r_1$. In the present calculations, we use $r_1 = 7$ Å, $r_0 = 0.9r_1$. The parameters have been modified somewhat from those given by Belonoshko et al. (2000), in order to reproduce better our ab initio molecular dynamics simulations on solid and liquid Fe (Alfè et al. 2001, 2002b). The parameters we use are: $n = 5.93$, $m = 4.788$, $\epsilon = 0.1662$ eV, $a = 3.4714$ Å and $C = 16.55$. 
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In order to assess the length of run needed to reduce the statistical error on $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ to an acceptable level, we have performed molecular dynamics runs at several system sizes and at a number of different thermodynamic state points. An illustration of such a run is given in Fig. 4. On the basis of these calculations we find that, in order to reduce the r.m.s. statistical errors to within 1 GPa, for example, we require a run of length 3–4 ps. Since the r.m.s. error is inversely proportional to the square root of the length of run, we may immediately infer the length of run necessary to obtain any required precision. From statistical mechanics, we expect that for a given length of run, the r.m.s. error on the stress components will decrease with increasing system size as $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $N$ is the number of atoms. We find that this is consistent with tests performed on system size, with r.m.s. errors on a 1.5 ps average falling from 2 GPa for a 54-atom cell, to 1 GPa for 150 atoms. To test size errors we performed runs on systems containing 54, 96, 128 and 150 atoms at identical state points. Fig. 3 shows the effect of system size on $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$. Error bars show r.m.s. statistical errors as described above. We see that for a system of 96 atoms, the error due to size effects is within approximately 0.6 GPa.

It follows from these tests that in order to calculate $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ to a tolerance needed to determine the equilibrium axial ratio to within 0.005, it should be enough to perform ab initio molecular dynamics simulations of 1 ps on 96 atoms.

As a further test of our techniques, we have compared molecular dynamics results for $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ with the predictions of harmonic theory for the embedded
Fig. 4. Instantaneous (dotted curve) and average (solid) value of $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ (upper plot) and standard error (lower plot) for a 40 ps run for $V = 6.97$ Å$^3$/atom, $T = 4000$ K and $c/a = 1.65$ in the embedded atom model.

Fig. 5 presents the molecular dynamics and harmonic results for $V = 6.97$ Å$^3$, $c/a = 1.65$ for a range of temperatures. Because of the gradual variation of $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ with temperature, we use longer runs of up to 5 ps. Cell sizes are converged within the limits discussed above. We see that at low temperatures, the molecular dynamics results reproduce well the predictions of harmonic theory. This agreement provides additional confirmation that the statistical and system-size errors on the molecular dynamics calculations are very small. The deviation, rising to around 8.5 GPa at 6000 K, shows the high-temperature emergence of anharmonic effects.

4.2 Ab initio molecular dynamics results

We have performed ab initio molecular dynamics simulations at an atomic volume of 6.97 Å$^3$, in which we have calculated $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$. The molecular dynamics calculations are performed using exactly the same DFT methods as in the harmonic calculations. From the tests on the embedded atom model, we already have a good indication of the cell size and length of run necessary, but the question of $k$-points must also be addressed. To do this, we calculate $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ for several disordered configurations, selected from classical M.D. trajectories, both with Π-point sampling and with larger numbers of $k$-points. Our tests are performed for a 96-atom system, with an atomic volume of 6.97 Å$^3$ and an axial ratio of 1.65. The molecular dynamics simulation from
which the configurations were drawn was performed at 5000 K. We took 4
snapshots at intervals of 0.2 ps. For this state point, $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$, with $\Gamma$-point
sampling is equal to 7.0 ± 2 GPa. For a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ $k$-point grid, it is equal to
7.1 ± 2.6 GPa. Similar tests were performed to ensure that size effects were
consistent with the embedded atom model.

Our $ab$ initio calculations at 6.97 Å$^3$ were performed for $c/a = 1.615$ and
1.66, and for $T = 4000$ K and 5000 K. Fig. 6 shows results for $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$
along with harmonic predictions. We see that our molecular dynamics results
closely resemble the predictions of harmonic theory, and vary in the same way
with $c/a$. However, even allowing for the error bars, there is an appreciable
difference between the harmonic and M.D. results. One of the technical sources
of error which could account for this discrepancy is system size effects. In order
to check this, we have repeated the 5000 K runs using 150 atoms instead of 96.
The results of this test suggest that system-size errors could account for most
of this discrepancy. We have also examined other technical sources of error,
such as plane-wave cutoff and $k$-point sampling; however, as described above,
there are not capable of producing such a discrepancy. It is possible in principle
that some of this discrepancy can be attributed to anharmonic effects, but it
is difficult to separate this from other effects with any certainty. Even allowing
for the remaining uncertainties, it seems certain that anharmonic effects are
not capable of shifting the equilibrium axial ratio by more than about 0.01.
Fig. 6. Comparison of ab initio M.D. (heavy curves) and harmonic (light) results for the stress $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$. Solid lines are at 4000 K, dashed lines at 5000 K. The dotted line shows results for 150-atom molecular dynamics at 4000 K. The diamond represents a 96-atom run including $3p$ states explicitly in the valence set. All calculations were performed for an atomic volume of 6.97 Å$^3$. For comparison with earlier PIC calculations due to Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001), see Fig. 2.

We have also used ab initio M.D. to test another significant question. All our harmonic and M.D. calculations up to this point have treated $3p$ and $3s$ electrons as core states, but with the high-pressure response of these states treated via an empirical pair potential. In order to test the effect of this approximation, we have repeated the 96-atom M.D. calculations at 5000 K with $3p$ electrons explicitly included in the valence set. The resulting stress $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{11}$ agrees with our other results within our error bars. This indicates that the effects of this approximation are negligible for our present purposes.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that it is rather straightforward to calculate harmonic free energies, and hence calculate the variation of $c/a$ within the harmonic approximation. Because these calculations are computationally inexpensive, it is possible to apply them to a wide variety of thermodynamic state points. Since our earlier comparisons with experiment (Mao et al. (2001)) show the reliability of our density functional methods for calculating the phonon frequencies for iron at high pressures, we expect our predictions of the temperature dependence of $c/a$ to be reliable. We have also shown that direct ab initio molecular dynamics simulation can be used to put limits on the possible size of anhar-
monic effects. These methods are rather expensive, but provide a means to perform “spot checks” on our results. The molecular dynamics calculations show that anharmonic corrections to the $c/a$ ratio are small, even at temperatures near the melting point.

The main scientific conclusion from the work is that $c/a$ varies only rather weakly with temperature, and that its variation becomes weaker as pressures approach those of the inner core. Quantitatively, at a pressure of 100 GPa, $c/a$ increases from 1.585 at zero temperature, to 1.61 at the melting point, and at 300 GPa, from 1.59 at zero temperature, to 1.62 at the melting point. These results do not support the earlier predictions by Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001) of a strong increase of $c/a$ with temperature within the particle-in-cell approximation. Interestingly, the results we have found are very similar to our own predictions from the particle-in-cell approximation (Gannarelli et al. (2003)). This implies that this approximation cannot be responsible for the large discrepancy between the present results and those of Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001). This finding of a rather weak increase of $c/a$ with temperature is strongly supported by the recent diffraction experiments of Ma et al. (2004). Even allowing for the significant error bars on their $c/a$ results, it seems clear that at 161 GPa, 2000 K, $c/a$ is no bigger than about 1.61 at most. Our results would indicate a value of around 1.60 under these conditions.

Our results have implications for understanding the elastic anisotropy of the inner core. Steinle-Neumann et al. (2001) predicted a reversal of the crystalline alignment required to explain the anisotropy of the inner core, due to a crossing of the $c_{11}$ and $c_{33}$ elastic moduli at approximately 1500 K. They attribute this to the strong temperature-dependence they find in $c/a$. Since our results cast doubt on this strong temperature dependence, they also cast doubt on the proposed explanation for the elastic anisotropy. However, in order to resolve this question fully, we need to make ab initio predictions of the elastic moduli, that are free of statistical mechanical approximations. The methods we have presented here should be capable of achieving this, and we hope to be able to present such results in due course.
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