Lipid droplets (LD) have long been considered as mere fat drops; however, LD have lately been revealed to be ubiquitous, dynamic and to be present in diverse organelles in which they have a wide range of key functions. Although incompletely understood, the biogenesis of eukaryotic LD initiates with the synthesis of neutral lipids (NL) by enzymes located in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). The accumulation of NL leads to their segregation into nanometric nuclei which then grow into lenses between the ER leaflets as they are further filled with NL. The lipid composition and interfacial tensions of both ER and the lenses modulate their shape which, together with specific ER proteins, determine the proneness of LD to bud from the ER toward the cytoplasm. The most important function of LD is the buffering of energy. But far beyond this, LD are actively integrated into physiological processes, such as lipid metabolism, control of protein homeostasis, sequestration of toxic lipid metabolic intermediates, protection from stress, and proliferation of tumours. Besides, LD may serve as platforms for pathogen replication and defense. To accomplish these functions, from biogenesis to breakdown, eukaryotic LD have developed mechanisms to travel within the cytoplasm and to establish contact with other organelles. When nutrient deprivation occurs, LD undergo breakdown (lipolysis), which begins with the LD-associated members of the perilipins family PLIN2 and PLIN3 chaperone-mediated autophagy degradation (CMA), a specific type of autophagy that selectively degrades a subset of cytosolic proteins in lysosomes. Indeed, PLINs CMA degradation is a prerequisite for further true lipolysis, which occurs via cytosolic lipases or by lysosome luminal lipases when autophagosomes engulf portions of LD and target them to lysosomes. LD play a crucial role in several pathophysiological processes. Increased accumulation of LD in non-adipose cells is commonly observed in numerous infectious diseases caused by intracellular pathogens including viral, bacterial, and parasite infections, and is gradually recognized as a prominent characteristic in a variety of cancers. This review discusses current evidence related to the modulation of LD biogenesis and breakdown caused by intracellular pathogens and cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

Lipid droplets (LD) are multi-functional and highly connected organelles with a central role in cellular metabolism and homeostasis (Farese and Walther, 2009; Walther and Farese, 2012; Thiam et al., 2013b; Gao and Goodman, 2015; Welte and Gould, 2017; Olzmann and Carvalho, 2019; Beller et al., 2020). LD are ubiquitous in nature as regards cell and organism types. They present a wide range of sizes (from the nanometer order up to microns) and composition (Thiam and Beller, 2017), and have a simple and conserved particular structure consisting of a core of neutral lipids, primarily triacylglycerols (TAG), and sterolesters (SE) and are stabilized by a coating monolayer of phospholipids (PL) and specific proteins (Zanghellini et al., 2010; Chorlay and Thiam, 2018). The mobilization of fat stores from LD is regulated by the metabolic and energy demands of the cell. When the energy demand increases, TAGs are broken down into fatty acids (FA) and the glycerol backbone, and the former enter cellular energy production pathways in the mitochondria. LD are also highly dynamic as their size, shape, and composition can vary under stress conditions, such as viral and microbial infections (Roingeard and Melo, 2017; Martins et al., 2018). Like other cell organelles, LD follow a biogenesis and degradation cycle, which contributes to LD homeostasis. In this review, we present an overview of the LD biogenesis and degradation processes as well as the mechanisms modulating their functioning in pathological conditions such as viral and protozoan infections and cancer.

Biogenesis

The mechanism of formation and growth of LD has been considered a fundamental question in LD biology (Ohsaki et al., 2014), and their biogenesis and modulating factors have become a research special focus over the last years (Thiam and Forêt, 2016; Walther et al., 2017; Chorlay and Thiam, 2018; Chapman et al., 2019; Jackson, 2019; Zoni et al., 2019; Zoni et al., 2021; Nettebrock and Bohnert, 2020; Santinho et al., 2020). LD are closely associated to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where the enzymes catalyzing the last step of TAG and SE synthesis are located (Pol et al., 2014). Several LD biogenesis models have been described, which differ from each other in the way that the protuberance of non-polar molecules located inside the bilayer is detached from the ER membrane (Brasaemle and Wolins, 2012; Thiam et al., 2013b; Thiam and Forêt, 2016; Jackson, 2019). In some models, the detachment process is driven by proteins whereas in others, the PL demixing driven by the membrane curvature plays a fundamental role (Zanghellini et al., 2010). The first step of an initial protuberance formation has been studied in depth by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, which demonstrated that triolein blisters form inside PL bilayers (Khendelila et al., 2010; Zoni et al., 2019; Zoni et al., 2020, Zoni et al., 2021), and by mathematical models describing their shape using mechanical constraints (i.e., parameters determining elastic free energies of the bilayer and the monolayers coating the LD) (Zanghellini et al., 2010; Deslandes et al., 2017; Choudhary et al., 2018). Some factors that have been considered for the formation of an initial droplet are the TAG lateral solubility in bilayers, the mechanical constraints of the bilayer for deformation and the wettability of the bilayer with TAG. Solubility is referred as the maximum amount of TAG molecules that can be arranged in parallel to PL molecules (with the glycerol backbone facing the water phase), which is known to be 3–4% at most (Hamilton, 1989; Li et al., 2003). At higher contents, TAG molecules, like other NL may segregate into the intrabilayer space (Hauß et al., 2002; Corválan and Perillo, 2020; Zoni et al., 2021). However, the nucleation process is poorly understood (Santinho et al., 2020) and there is a lack of experimental approaches that can address this issue, as at this stage LD are below optical resolution. Although some studies (Hamilton, 1989; Li et al., 2003; Duelund et al., 2013) have clearly demonstrated the presence of a segregated TAG phase somehow incorporated inside multilamellar vesicles at a TAG content slightly above 3%, the methods employed in those studies did not allow to draw any conclusion about the distribution of the TAG domains. Recently, Caruso et al. have used phosphatidylcholine (PC)/TAG Langmuir films to describe the segregation of TAG as a function of the packing and the composition of the membrane. This approach supports the assumption that TAG molecules segregate into discrete TAG lenses, whose shape is determined by the interfacial tensions through the contact angle between the lenses and their surrounding membrane, that is, its wettability (Caruso et al., 2021). Other authors have previously shown that the subsequent steps in the biogenesis process (budding and protrusion) are determined by physics of wetting. Thiam et al. have proposed and examined how bilayers interfacial tensions (and PL composition) affect the contact angles of apolar droplets introduced into the intrabilayer space (Thiam and Forêt, 2016). It has been observed that a system with a lower wettability (lower bilayer interfacial tension) forms more marked protrusions and thus a higher tendency to budding (Ben Mbarek et al., 2017). Furthermore, the authors described that the compositional asymmetry of the bilayer determines the direction of budding in experimental systems (Chorlay and Thiam, 2018). In the cell, budding is expected to occur towards the cytoplasm, with this process being determined by ER membrane asymmetry.

Considering this evidence, LD formation can be described as a four-step process, comprising nucleation, growth, budding and detachment. Besides, the modulation of this process by proteins is currently being studied considering this differentiation. The first two steps are strongly modulated by the ER membrane protein seipin, which is more abundant in tubules than in the rest of the ER. Furthermore, the initial stage of LD biogenesis is most frequent in ER tubules. Seipin is known to stabilize TAG clusters and promotes the recruitment of TAG into them, whereas mutated forms give rise to aberrant LD shape and number (Cartwright et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Santinho et al., 2020). However, the mechanism underlying TAG recruitment and nascent LD stabilization remains unclear. Similarly, the fat storage-inducing transmembrane protein 2 (FIT2) drives the LD biogenesis by interacting with ER tubule-forming proteins and septins (Chen et al., 2021). The lipase phosphatase activity of FIT2 has been recently described suggesting a role in the maintenance of the phospholipid
balance between the cytosol and the lumen facing the ER hemi-layers (Becuwe et al., 2020). Another protein that participates in the first stages of the synthesis of LD, ubiquitously distributed, is perilipin 3 (PLIN3), which protects TAG aggregates from lipolysis. This protein, present in the cytoplasm, accumulates in nascent LD immediately after TAG nucleation (Pol et al., 2014). This recruitment has been postulated to be controlled by a hydrophobic pocket of the protein (Ohsaki et al., 2006). Finally, not all LD detach from the ER (Mishra et al., 2016; Valm et al., 2017) and although the detachment mechanism remains to be elucidated, indirect evidences suggest a role of complex protein I, COPI; i.e., detachment is reversible, with the re-attachment requiring the COPI coatamer complex (Thiam et al., 2013a; Willfling et al., 2014).

The mechanisms for protein targeting to LD are currently the focus of an expanding research field, although some underlying mechanisms have already been elucidated (Dhiman et al., 2020). Proteins that are targeted to LD are divided into class I and class II, according to where they come from, i.e., the ER bilayer surrounding the attached LD or the cytosol, respectively. Thus, seipin and PLIN3 are examples of each class of proteins playing a role in the initial instances of LD biogenesis. Targeting membrane proteins from ER bilayer to LD surface is a logistical challenge for cells (Dhiman et al., 2020). The biophysical properties of ER (bilayer) and LD (monolayer + inner TG) membranes would be a first selection barrier controlling what type of proteins will partition between both structures (Thiam et al., 2013b; Kory et al., 2016). In this sense, LD cannot accommodate proteins with transmembrane regions that span the thickness of a bilayer.

The above considerations describe LD biogenesis mainly from a biophysical perspective. However, to determine the factors modulating this process, cell metabolism pathways must also be considered. For instance, the de novo lipogenesis is regulated by the intracellular concentrations of glucose and sterol via the carbohydrate responsive element binding protein (ChREBP) and the sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP). In the latter, a decrease in cholesterol levels and polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) facilitates the proteolysis of SREBP yielding transcription factors that activate the expression of components of the lipogenic pathway and cholesterol metabolism in a species-specific...
manner (Shimano and Sato, 2017). The de novo lipogenesis generates FA, which subsequently esterify the glycerol backbone in a series of steps shared by the phospholipid and neutral lipid synthetic pathways. The branching-off point between these pathways is the dephosphorylation of phosphatidic acid into diacylglycerol (DAG) by phosphatidate phosphatases (Zhang and Reue, 2017; Petan, 2020). Finally, other enzymes located in the ER membrane, namely diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) and acyl-CoA cholesterol transferases (ACAT) catalyze the last steps of TAG and cholesterol esters synthesis, respectively. The regulation of these pathways has been shown to be modulated both in infections and cancer. All these evidences have been incorporated into Figure 1.

Breakdown

The catabolism of LD is regulated by the protein composition of the organelle surface and occurs by two mechanisms: lipolysis and lipophagy. As mentioned, LD have many different structural and functional proteins on its surface. In mammalian LD, the predominant proteins are the PLIN/adipose differentiation-related protein (ADRP)/tail-interacting protein of 47 kDa (TIP47) and their orthologs (grouped as the PAT family) (Miura et al., 2002; Bickel et al., 2009; Thiam et al., 2013b; Kory et al., 2016). In mammals, there are 5 different PLIN (PLIN1 to 5), among which PLIN1 and PLIN2 are exclusively associated with LD. The expression of PLIN1 is restricted to adipocytes and steroidogenic cells, while the expression of PLIN2 and PLIN3 are ubiquitously distributed (Sztalryd and Brasaemle, 2017). Among these proteins, PLIN regulate lipase access to the LD core; increased lipolysis in adipocytes was observed in their absence (Tansey et al., 2004). A general idea is that PLIN are needed to be somehow removed from the LD surface to “open a gate” for lipases to access the TAG (Brasaemle, 2013; Schweiger and Zechner, 2015).

Several LD proteins are degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) under conditions of lipid starvation (e.g., cells cultured in the absence of FA supplementation), among which are PLIN1 (Xu et al., 2006) and PLIN2 (Xu et al., 2005; Takahashi et al., 2016). Lipolysis begins with the phosphorylation of PLIN1 by cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA). Phosphorylated PLIN1 is then removed from the surface of LD for further proteasomal degradation, leading to the direct activation of LD-associated lipases: 1) patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 2 (PNPLA2/ATGL), which catalyzes the hydrolysis of TAG into DAG; 2) lipase E, hormone sensitive type (LIPE/HSL), which mediates the breakdown of DAG into MAG, and the hydrolysis of the ester bonds of other lipids such as SE, and 3) monoglyceride lipase (MGLL/MGL), which catalyzes the hydrolysis of MAG into glycerol and FA, which together with regulatory protein factors constitute the basis for this process (Zechner et al., 2012). The sequential action of the three lipases results in glycerol and FA generation. The products of lipolysis secreted from the adipose tissue are transported to other tissues and used for β-oxidation and ATP production. In non-adipose tissues, FA can enter the mitochondria directly for ATP production (D’Andrea, 2016).

Alternatively, PLIN2 and PLIN3, and more-recently described PLIN5, are substrates of lysosomal-degradation through a pathway named chaperone mediated autophagy (CMA) (Kaushik and Cuervo, 2015; Ma et al., 2020). CMA mediates the delivery of a subset of proteins exposing a pentapeptide motif (KFERQ or a related sequence) to the lysosome for proteolysis. In this process, heat shock cognate protein of 70 kDa (hsc70) recognizes, binds and delivers the protein to the lysosome-associated membrane protein 2A (LAMP-2A) within the lysosomal membrane, which forms a multimeric complex that translocates unfolded KFERQ-containing proteins into the
lysosomal lumen for degradation (Kaushik and Cuervo, 2018). CMA related-pentapeptides were identified in PLIN-2 (LDRLQ) and PLIN-3 (SLKVQ), and their degradation by CMA precedes ATGL-dependent lipolysis and lipophagy. Therefore, CMA is a crucial process in the degradation of LD (Schneider et al., 2014; Kaushik and Cuervo, 2015; Ma et al., 2020).

Macroautophagy, another autophagy-related pathway, constitutes an alternative route for the breaking-down of intracellular LDs and mobilization of lipid storage. In general, autophagy is one of the major degradation pathways that enables the cell to survive under stress conditions by recycling metabolic components with an especially relevant role in the degradation of hepatocellular LD (Singh et al., 2009; Van Zutphen et al., 2014). First described in mouse hepatocytes under starvation, a selective form of LD-targeting macroautophagy known as lipophagy is thought to involve the recognition of LD to promote the localized assembly and extension of a sequestering phagophore around the perimeter of the LD and their subsequent delivery to lysosomes for turnover (Singh et al., 2009; Singh and Cuervo, 2012; Schulze et al., 2017; Filali-Mouncef et al., 2021). How this phagophore is targeted to (and extended around) the LD surface to facilitate lipophagy remains unclear. However, it has been demonstrated that both ATGL and HSL, localized on the phospholipid monolayer limiting LD, contain several putative LC3-the major autophagosome marker-interacting regions (LIR) motifs. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments have revealed that these proteins interact with the microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3 (MAP1LC3/LC3), and therefore could dock LD onto the cytoplasmic surface of phagophores (Martinez-Lopez et al., 2016). Once fully enclosed, the double-membrane vesicle named autophagosome undergoes fusion with the lysosome to form a degradative organelle known as an autolysosome. Lysosomal lipases within the autolysosome are then ultimately responsible for the acid hydrolysis of the LD-stored NL and subsequent release of free FA (Warner et al., 1981; Liu and Czaja, 2013; Gatica et al., 2018). Degradation products are then released back into the cytosol and can be reused by the cell for synthesis processes. The two pathways of lipolysis and lipophagy likely work in tandem as coordinated processes (Martinez-Lopez et al., 2016). Indeed, a different possible scenario is that lipolysis can act to rapidly reduce the size of large LD to diameters more appropriate in size for engulfment by lipophagic vesicles (Schott et al., 2019).

Among the vast repertoire of components that exquisitely regulate the autophagy pathway, Rab proteins, a family of small GTPases, act as important mediators of endosomal tracking events. Cycling between active GTP- and inactive GDP-bound states, Rab proteins regulate the vesicular tracking network within the cell (Stenmark, 2009). Numerous Rab proteins have been identified on LD, and changes in members of the Rab proteins family have deleterious effects on LD turnover in response to classical lipophagy-inducing stimuli (Kiss and Nilsson, 2014). The most conspicuous case is the presence of Rab7 on the LD surface. Rab7 is a well-characterized member involved in the control of late endocytic membrane trafficking (Vitelli et al., 1997), assisting the regulation of lysosome–autophagosome interaction (Gutierrez et al., 2004; Jäger et al., 2004). Moreover, Rab7 decorates the surface of LD and regulates macrophagolipophagy in mammalian cells (Schroeder et al., 2015). The Rab7 GTPase located on the surface of LD becomes activated upon nutrient deprivation, resulting in its increased activity for GTP over GDP. Such activated state promotes the recruitment of lysosomes near LD and their target degradation via lipophagy (Carmona-Gutierrez et al., 2015). All these evidences have been incorporated into Figure 2.

**LIPID DROPLETS AND PROTOZOANS**

Protists are a heterogeneous group of ancient unicellular or pluricellular eukaryotes that can be divided into free living organisms and pathogenic parasites. The latter group encompasses organisms of Apicomplexa (Toxoplasma gondii and Plasmodium falciparum) and Kinetoplastida (Trypanosoma cruzi, Trypanosoma brucei and species of Leishmania) orders that infect humans causing the named Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTD), which are highly spread worldwide. These organisms possess the metabolic pathways for the production and breakdown of LD, like other higher eukaryotic cells. In the past, pathogen-derived LD were mostly considered as lipid deposits with low turnover rates (Murphy, 2012). In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in the study of these lipid-rich organelles present in pathogenic prokaryotes and lower eukaryotes. In this context, evidence begins to accumulate demonstrating that cytosolic LD of parasitic protozoans bear more dynamic roles in both, mammalian and non-mammalian stages of the parasite’s life cycle. Furthermore, they can interact with the LD of mammalian cells for their own benefit. In this section we present recent data demonstrating the role of LD during the biological cycle of protozoan pathogens (including the stages living inside and outside the host cells) and suggest the relevance of these compartments as targets of antiparasitic drugs.

**Lipid Inclusions of Reservosomes and Cytoplasmic LD are Key Components During Trypanosoma cruzi Differentiation and Host Cell Infection**

*T. cruzi*, the etiological agent of Chagas disease, is one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality in Latin America. The life cycle of *T. cruzi* comprises three stages; epimastigotes and amastigotes are the replicative forms found in the intestine of the insect vector and in the cytoplasm of host cells, respectively; and the infective forms, metacyclic trypomastigotes and blood-stream trypomastigotes, which transmit the infection from the insect to mammals and vice versa. Two main compartments for lipid storage have been described in epimastigotes: the reservosome lipid inclusions and the cytoplasmic LD. The accumulation of cholesterol and SE within the reservosomes, the lysosome-like organelle of this parasite, is directly related to the host’s serum concentration of these metabolites (Pereira et al., 2011). Although *T. cruzi* cannot synthesize cholesterol, this compound is acquired through the uptake of low density lipoprotein (LDL) particles...
from the hematophagous insect diet (José Soares and de Souza, 1991). LDL are then transported in endocytic vesicles and delivered to reservosomes (Pereira et al., 2018). Inside the reservosome, LD are surrounded by a PL monolayer and display disk or rod-like shapes. Crystals of cholesterol were observed when cholesterol and SE masses reach a critical point, in a process that resembles the formation of foam cells in mammals (Varsano et al., 2018). In contrast, serum deprivation results in the consumption of the cholesterol storage of reservosomes (Pereira et al., 2015). Interestingly, it has been observed that as in higher eukaryotes, autophagy in T. cruzi is induced in response to nutrient starvation, leading to metacyclogenesis, the process of differentiation of epimastigotes to metacyclic trypomastigotes (Vanrell et al., 2017). This process is characterized by a dramatic reduction in the number of reservosomes, indicating that degradation of the reservosome content is a key step during differentiation, probably as an energy source (Cunha-e-Silva et al., 2002). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the induction of autophagy during metacyclogenesis increases the proteolytic activity of reservosomes, mainly due to cruzipain, which is the major cysteine-protease of T. cruzi and also an important virulence and immune evasion factor (Losinno et al., 2021). Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the degradation of LD in reservosomes could also be important during metacyclogenesis as an energy, cholesterol and other precursors source to generate the membranes of metacyclic trypomastigotes. T. cruzi also presents many uncharacterized LD distributed throughout its...
such as nitric oxide, thus making the environment more favorable for the production of inflammatory, different authors propose an immunomodulatory effect that could contribute to the immunosuppression observed during \textit{T. cruzi} infection, thus risking the survival of the parasite within its host. On the other hand, it is known that the \textit{T. cruzi} trypomastigotes release extracellular vesicles with different functions, favoring the biosynthesis of LD and PGE2 in the host cell and reducing the production of inflammatory cytokines and trypanocide molecules such as nitric oxide, thus making the environment more favorable for the infection (Lovo-Martins et al., 2018). There is evidence showing that the infection of macrophages with trypomastigotes causes an increase in LD biogenesis in a Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2-dependent mechanism, since this process is not observed in bone marrow macrophages derived from C57BL/6 TLR2 knock out mice (TLR2<sup>-/-</sup>). D’Avila et al. (2011) have demonstrated that Toll-like receptor 4 does not participate in this process. It is known that TLR2, TLR3, TLR4 and TLR7 agonists increase the levels of proteins that are crucial for LD biogenesis (PLIN2 or DGAT2); however, in \textit{T. cruzi} infected macrophages this effect is elicited only by TLR2, which, together with TLR9, plays a role in the immune recognition of this parasite (Tarleton, 2007). Interestingly, in contrast to LD from other host cells, LD from macrophages contain AA which is used to produce eicosanoids that are used in \textit{T. cruzi} metabolism (den Brok et al., 2018). Thus, the treatment of macrophages with C75 (a FA synthase inhibitor) inhibits LD biogenesis and also induces a downregulation of eicosanoid production and replication of the parasite (de Almeida et al., 2018).

**Trypanosoma brucei Replication Requires the Rapid Turnover of Parasite LD**

\textit{T. brucei} is the causative agent of sleeping sickness or African trypanosomiasis, a disease characterized by behavioral abnormalities such as somnolence during daytime. Unlike all other pathogenic trypanosomatids which have an intracellular life-stage, \textit{T. brucei} infection takes place in the bloodstream of mammalian hosts. After a blood feed of the tse-tse vector fly, metacyclic trypomastigotes reach the bloodstream of mammals and differentiate into long-slender trypomastigotes with high replicative capacity, followed by a second differentiation step into non-replicative short-stumpy forms when parasite density increases. The cycle is completed when blood trypomastigotes...
ingested by flies transform into procyclic trypomastigotes which migrate from the midgut to the salivary gland of the insect where they undergo differentiation to infective metacyclic trypomastigotes. The biogenesis of LD in *T. brucei* depends on the activity of a novel LD Kinase (LDK). The association of LDK with LD is apparently mediated by its hydrophobic domain that allows the insertion into the membrane monolayer of the organelles. The loss of this enzyme dramatically decreases the abundance of LD and affects the growth of parasites in delipidated serum (Flaspohler et al., 2010). It has been postulated that the function of FA storage in LD is to enable the adaptation of procyclic trypomastigotes to nutritional challenges during the development and migration inside the tse-tse fly. Under physiological conditions, FA (oleate) are taken from the medium and incorporated to TAG in the LD of procyclic trypomastigotes (Allmann et al., 2014). Plasma membrane PL provide the precursor DAG for esterification. Nejad et al. have shown that the *T. brucei* lipin homolog TbLpn is essential for parasite survival in culture. Lipins are a family of phosphatidic acid phosphatases that catalyze the dephosphorylation of PA to DAG. It has been demonstrated that the inducible downregulation of TbLpn decreases the number of LD and reduces TAG steady-state levels (Dawoody Nejad et al., 2018). These authors hypothesized that the rapid lipid turnover observed in their experiments could be required for the synthesis or remodeling of membrane lipids during cell proliferation, or for energy supply under limited nutrient availability. Apart from TAG, *T. brucei* also produces SE. Although a putative gene for the ACAT enzyme has not been found in *T. brucei*, its presence was evidenced by the production of SE from host LDL particles and subsequent accumulation in parasite LD, as it occurs in *T. cruzi* (Coppens et al., 1995).

**LD Biogenesis Increases During Leishmania Metacyclogenesis and Contributes to the Infection Process**

Leishmaniasis is a disease caused by more than twenty species of the *Leishmania* genus. Distributed worldwide, this disease can be found in three clinical forms: visceral leishmaniasis, the most severe illness, is highly endemic in the Indian subcontinent and East Africa; cutaneous leishmaniasis, which occurs in the Americas, the Mediterranean basin, the Middle East and Central Asia; and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis, mainly occurring in Brazil, Bolivia, Ethiopia and Peru. The infection is transmitted to mammals by metacyclic promastigotes present in the proboscis of sandflies, which are the vectors of the disease. Metacyclic promastigotes are phagocytized by macrophages and differentiate into amastigotes that replicate in phagolysosomal compartments, releasing the parasite after macrophage lysis, a process that causes tissue damage (Pace, 2014). After a blood feed, amastigotes are taken by the vector and differentiate in procyclic promastigotes which proliferate in the insect gut and then migrate to the proboscis where they differentiate into metacyclic promastigotes. It has been demonstrated that LD numbers increase during *Leishmania* metacyclogenesis, i.e., the transformation of procyclic to metacyclic promastigotes. LD from metacyclic forms contain PGF2α synthase (PGFS) and release PGF2α in higher quantities than in other procyclic or amastigote forms, suggesting a role of PGF2α in parasite virulence (Araújo-Santos et al., 2014). On the other hand, the cholesterol supply is assured by the uptake and retention of LDL particles in *L. amazonensis* lipid membrane microdomains. BODIPY-labeled LDL is distributed in large compartments along the parasite body. These compartments also contain SE, suggesting the presence of an ACAT enzyme similar to *T. cruzi* and *T. brucei* (De Cicco et al., 2012). Furthermore, when metacyclic promastigotes of *Leishmania major* infect the bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMM), an increase in the LD biogenesis in these host cells is observed as a function of time. This increase is due to an induction of the expression of genes involved in cholesterol uptake and de novo synthesis of TAG in BMM infected with *L. major*. By a microarray assay, the authors demonstrated the transcriptional activation of several genes of BMM such as *DGAT2*. This host cell response occurs regardless of the viability of the parasites, as it occurs in either living or dead parasites and even in uninfected neighboring cells, although at a lesser extent than in infected cells, which would indicate that the phagocytosis of this parasite further increases the biogenesis of LD (Rabhi et al., 2016).
**Toxoplasma gondii** Incorporates Host LD in its Parasitophorous Vacuole Favoring Parasite Replication

*T. gondii* is the etiological agent of toxoplasmosis, a severe disease in individuals with an impaired immune system, mainly producing neurological complications, and in the fetus that becomes infected by vertical transmission during pregnancy. In the latter case, *T. gondii* can cause severe neurological, ocular and cardiac disorders (Paquet et al., 2013; Laboudi, 2017; Fard et al., 2020). *T. gondii* is an obligate intracellular parasite with a complex life cycle involving one feline host, where the parasite sexual phase occurs, and intermediate hosts including humans. In humans, parasite transmission occurs through the ingestion of either raw or undercooked meat containing tissue cysts with bradyzoites; the ingestion of water and food contaminated with feline feces containing oocysts with sporozoites; and through transplacental transmission of tachyzoites during pregnancy. After ingestion, bradyzoites and sporozoites invade intestinal epithelial cells and differentiate into the fast-replicating tachyzoites inside a parasitophorous vacuole. In the acute infection, tachyzoites exit the cells, reach the bloodstream, and disseminate throughout the body. In healthy adults, cysts containing slow-replicating bradyzoites are located in the eyes, brain and muscles in the chronic phase of the disease, while in immunocompromised patients, the infection becomes severe and even fatal, as mentioned above (Attias et al., 2020). A DGAT1-like enzyme, required for the synthesis of NL, was characterized in *T. gondii* (TgDGAT1). This enzyme, localized in the parasite cortical and perinuclear ER, synthesizes TAG and generates cytosolic LD (Quittnat et al., 2004). As in other pathogenic protozoans, cholesterol is incorporated in *T. gondii* from the host environment. In this sense, two ACAT-related enzymes were identified and characterized in this parasite, TgACAT1α and TgACAT1β (also named TgACAT1 and TgACAT2). These enzymes localize to the ER and participate in the SE and LD biogenesis and breakdown of host LD are required during the intraerythrocytic development of *Plasmodium*

*Plasmodium* species are the causative agents of malaria, the illness with the highest morbidity rates among human parasitic diseases. Currently, five identified species of *Plasmodium* infect humans, with *P. falciparum* being the most lethal. Anopheles mosquitoes are the host that transmit the infection to humans (intermediary hosts) through the inoculation of sporozoites which migrate and develop in the liver. After invasion of hepatocytes, infective elongated sporozoites start the asexual multiplication and form the schizont. Sporozoites inside the schizont then form daughter cells called merozoites which, after maturation, are released from hepatocytes enclosed in a membrane (merosome). After merozoic lysis, free merozoites invade red blood cells and transform into round proliferative trophozoites that mature into an erythrocytic schizont, which in turn rupture and release merozoites (Maier et al., 2019). A proportion of parasites differentiate into gametocytes (sexual forms) which are taken up by a mosquito when it feeds on human blood. Gametocytes undergo sexual reproduction in the midgut of the mosquito and develop into sporozoites, which migrate to the salivary glands to start a new cycle. The metabolism and trafficking of TAG and host LD in infected erythrocytes varies in a specific way during the intraerythrocytic cycle of this parasite. Increased DGAT activity and accumulation of TAG was observed during the development of *Plasmodium* from the trophozoite to schizont form, whereas TAG degradation was induced during the fragmentation of the schizont, with FA being released to the medium together with merozoites (Palacpac et al., 2004). In line with these observations, LD within red blood cells increase in size and number during the intraerythrocytic development, reaching a maximum number in the segmented schizonts stage. Interestingly, in the intraerythrocytic stage of *P. falciparum*, a population of Nile Red-positive particles was...
observed within the digestive vacuole of the parasite. These particles are composed of NL. These NL-rich particles, which may have originated from the digestion of previously internalized PL by the food vacuole (Jackson et al., 2004), have a key role in heme detoxification through the formation of the insoluble malaria pigment hemozoin (Hoang et al., 2010). These findings support the hypothesis that the storage and degradation of TAG are important processes during merozoite maturation and that NL present in the parasite food vacuole prevent heme toxicity. In contrast to the described role of LD in the erythrocytic cycle of Plasmodium, there is no evidence supporting the existence of a metabolism and trafficking of LD in the intrahepatic infection that occurs before intraerythrocytic phase.

In summary, pathogenic protozoans can produce and degrade their own LD and interact with the host’s LD throughout their biological cycle. While parasite LD favor stage differentiation and infection stages, host LD are nutritional sources during their intracellular replication. Like in mammalian cells, parasite LD are distributed in the cell cytoplasm, although in some cases they are present inside other organelles such as lipid inclusions inside reservosomes of T. cruzi or NL particles in the Plasmodium food vacuole. LD biogenesis is induced after the acquisition of lipids (e.g., cholesterol and FA) from the external environment, blood of mammalian hosts or insect vectors mainly by endocytosis. DGAT and ACAT present in protozoans then lead to DAG and cholesterol esterification for final storage in LD. A few studies have addressed the catabolism of LD in these parasites; however, this process is known to be induced at specific developmental stages during the differentiation from a parasitic form to another, suggesting a role of LD in the energy supply required for the process. Interestingly, many of the enzymes involved in LD metabolism in protozoan parasites are vital for the organism, for they are unique, unlike their mammalian counterparts (Lige et al., 2013; Dawoody Nejad et al., 2018). Therefore, specific inhibitors of these enzymes could be interesting targets of drugs to interrupt the biological cycle of pathogenic protozoa, mainly in the mammalian stages. On the other hand, T. cruzi, Leishmania spp., T. gondii and Plasmodium spp., have intracellular stages that generate changes in the number and size of the LD of the host cell. It has been shown that LD increase in number and size when these intracellular parasites interact with the host cell. There is increasing evidence that protozoan parasities may target these host-derived LD to obtain nutrients for growth. However, host cells use the lipids stored in LD to produce inflammatory mediators against these parasites (Melo et al., 2003; D’Avila et al., 2012; Gomes et al., 2014; de Almeida et al., 2018). Due to the modulation of LD number by intracellular forms of protozoans that can determine the success or failure of the infection, the parasite/host LD interplay might be an attractive target to exploit in the future. All these evidences have been incorporated into Figure 3.

**FLAVIVIRIDAE AND LIPID DROPLETS**

*Flaviviridae* is a large group of enveloped viruses, with a positive sense single strand RNA genome. The *Flaviviridae* family includes several viruses that cause high clinical impact diseases in humans: hepatitis C virus (HCV) of the *Hepacivirus* genus, yellow fever virus (YFV), West Nile virus (WNV), dengue virus (DENV) and Zika virus (ZIKV) belonging to the *Flaviviridae* genus (Wu et al., 2015). Several studies have shown that members of the *Flaviviridae* virus family hijack the LD machinery for the replication and production of new mature viral particles. So far, the *Hepacivirus* HCV, and the *Flavivirus* DENV and ZIKV, are the most studied viruses as regard as LD usurpation (Filipe and McLachlan, 2015; Sun et al., 2017; Cloherty et al., 2020).

*Hepacivirus* and *Flavivirus* genera share almost the same number and structural distribution of their proteins: a capsid protein (Core for HCV and C for *Flavivirus*), two envelope proteins (E1 and E2 for HCV, and prM and E for *Flavivirus*) and several non-structural proteins (NS) (Neufeldt et al., 2018). On the other hand, their replication cycle are quite similar: they first interact and enter the target cell by receptor mediated endocytosis, and after fusion with the lysosomes and acidification they uncoat and release their genome for translation of the viral polyprotein. At this point, the LD and ER play a key role as a scaffold for the newly synthetized virus assembly. Afterwards, the virion moves into the ER-Golgi lumen for proper assembly and maturation, and the final mature viruses are released through the secretory pathway to the extracellular space to start a new infective cycle (Zeisel et al., 2013; Guzman and Harris, 2015; Musso and Gubler, 2016).

**HCV and DENV/ZIKV Hijack the SREBP Pathway to Accomplish Viral Replication**

The SREBP pathway is a key regulator of cholesterol/lipid levels, and therefore a key pathway for LD homeostasis (Eberle et al., 2004; Moon, 2017). Several studies have shown that some members of the *Flaviviridae* family hijack SREBP for their own benefit. Particularly, it has been shown that HCV and DENV/ZIKV trigger SREBP activation in order to fulfil the extra-membrane requirements during the cellular infection, replication and production of new virions (Randall, 2018; Meng et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2019; Cloherty et al., 2020; Raini et al., 2021).

HCV is the most studied flavivirus hijacking the SREBP pathway. In this regard, HCV usurps and stimulates SREBP by disruption of the lipid homeostasis, generating a membranous web and activating the transcription of SREBP target genes for the final release of lipid-coated lipoviroparticles (LVPs) (Waris et al., 2007). In fact, clinical studies have shown that patients with chronic HCV infection resulted in a reduction of their circulating lipid levels (lower LDL and total cholesterol levels) as compared to patients developing a sustained virologic response (SVR) (patients with non-detectable HCV RNA after the completion of the antiviral therapy). This phenomenon might be explained by the extra lipid consumption that the HCV infection generates, re-routing the circulating lipids for the formation of LVPs (Corey et al., 2009). LVPs are hybrid particles composed of viral components (E1, E2, core protein and HCV RNA) and apolipoproteins (ApoE, ApoB, ApoCII and ApoCIII) (Scholtes et al., 2012). The presence of these apolipoproteins...
on the HCV surface has been proposed as a viral strategy to hijack neutralizing antibodies (Vercauteren et al., 2014). Particularly, the HCV core protein, the non-structural proteins NS4B, NS5A and the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) increase the activation of both SREBP-1 and SREBP-2, stimulating the synthesis of cholesterol and membrane lipids. In addition, it has been reported that HCV infection induces SREBPs cleavage and phosphorylation. In this regard, HCV core and NS4B proteins can induce the proteolytic cleavage of SREBP and oxidative stress by activating the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K)-protein kinase B (PKB, also known as AKT) pathway, increasing phosphorylation and transactivation of SREBPs (Waris et al., 2007).

As mentioned, AMPK is an important sensor for cellular energy levels and has been demonstrated to be involved in lipid metabolism regulation. In a recent publication, HCV NS5A was shown to inhibit AMPK phosphorylation in vivo and in vitro, resulting in an increase of SREBP-1c expression levels, acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase 1 (ACC1) and FA synthase (FASN) via the AMPK/SREBP-1c pathway, generating at the same time, higher numbers of LD (Meng et al., 2019).

The ATP-dependent RNA helicase DEAD-box helicase 3 X (DDX3X) is involved in cellular processes that are different from those involved in innate immunity. DDX3X is required for the replication of many viruses including HCV (He et al., 2021; Winnard et al., 2021). DDX3X has been shown to colocalize with LD, HCV core and NS proteins. However, DDX3X specifically recognizes the HCV 3′ untranslated region (UTR) in the cytosol and after cross-activation of IκB kinase (IKKα), it translocates to the nucleus and activates SREBPs. Thus, the LD biogenesis and HCV viral assembly are stimulated (Li et al., 2013; Pene et al., 2015). In summary, these findings highlight that LD are necessary and that their synthesis is stimulated during the HCV infection lifecycle.

The role of the SREBP pathway in Flaviviridae infection has been determined in several studies, in which different inhibitors of this pathway were used. In the case of the HCV infection, the SK1-1/S1P pathway was blocked with PF-429242 (a small, site-directed, competitive inhibitor of SK1-1/S1P). The treatment with PF-429242 led to a reduction of LD formation and an impairment in the early steps of HCV lifecycle was shown at all the inhibitor concentrations employed (Olmstead et al., 2012; Blanchet et al., 2015). PF-429242 showed a similar inhibitory profile for DENV and ZIKV, causing a marked reduction in the number of LD and LD-positive areas and a significant reduction in the viral titer in all the treated cell lines (Hyronen et al., 2017; Raini et al., 2021). In accordance, the AM580 (a retinoic acid receptor α agonist) binds SREBP1/2, showing an antiviral effect against a wide range of viruses including ZIKV. AM580 would then block the interaction between SREBP and the sterol regulatory elements (SREs) of the genes involved in lipid biosynthesis. Therefore, gene transcription is inhibited, with the consequent inhibition of ZIKV replication (Yuan et al., 2019). In a follow-up study, a link between the SREBP pathway and the antiviral protein STING (stimulator of interferon (IFN) genes) was proposed in DENV infection (Liu et al., 2017). In that work, the protein SCAP (SREBP member) was shown to bind and block the DENV protease NS2B3, thus inhibiting the cleavage of STING and impairing DENV infection. Interestingly, the authors also found that the ectopic expression of SCAP inhibited DENV infection, whereas the knockdown of this protein did not cause any effect on DENV lifecycle (Liu et al., 2017).

In conclusion, these facts highlight the importance of SREBP upregulation in HCV and DENV/ZIKV replication. During these infections an extramembrane and LD requirement appears to be crucial for the viral lifecycle. However, a better understanding of this pathway is necessary to provide a more detailed description of the molecular interactions between LD and some Flaviviridae members.

### Nuclear Lipid Droplets and HCV or the DENV/ZIKV Interaction May Contribute to the Viral Hijacking Process

Although initially LD were proposed to localize only to the cytoplasm of eukaryotic cells, later reports confirmed that these structures can also be found in the nucleus (nuclear lipid droplets, nLD). Nuclear LD are dynamic organelles storing neutral lipids originated from the inner nuclear membrane (INM). Nuclear LD have been proposed to act as an endonuclear buffer system, either providing or accepting lipids and proteins in different signaling pathways (Lagrutta et al., 2021). However, they can also be found attached to the INM in some processes by the transmembrane protein seipin (Romanauska and Kohler, 2018). Because nLDs have been described recently, very little is known about their role in the Flaviviridae replication cycle. Several studies indicate that HCV and DENV/ZIKV capsid and NS proteins may localize to the nucleus in infected cells (Majumder et al., 2001; Falcon et al., 2005; Netsawang et al., 2010; Garcia et al., 2020). It has been suggested that these viral proteins might interact with nLD, thus prolonging the interaction time and permanency with different host nuclear proteins. In turn, this phenomenon may allow an extended viral hijacking time of cellular metabolic pathways (Cloherty et al., 2020). In fact, recent publications suggest that the co-localization of nLD with Flaviviridae core proteins and the non-structural proteins NS5A (HCV) or NS5 (DENV/ZIKV) may represent a novel way to either induce (DENV/ZIKV) or inhibit (HCV) host cell apoptosis, as well as to create a link with viral release, carcinogenesis induction or impairment of the cellular interferon response (Ng et al., 2003; Herzer et al., 2005, Herzer et al., 2012; Heaton and Randall, 2010; Zhang and Wang, 2012; Liang et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2021).

Summarizing, the targeting of some Flaviviridae components to nLD may represent a novel understudied viral hijacking mechanism, in which apoptosis might also be involved. However, further studies assessing the interaction of nLD with Flaviviridae proteins may contribute to the understanding of the mechanisms by which viral infections progress to apoptosis, cancer or even steatosis in different cell types.

### Role of Lipophagy During HCV and DENV/ZIKV Infection

According to many authors, lipophagy refers to the catabolic process by which internal cell lipids stored in LD can be directed...
for autophagy, as an inverse correlation between liver steatosis and observed in HCV-infected HuH7 cells, suggesting a protective role lipophagy to drive virus production (Heaton and Randall, 2010; upregulation has also been proposed, followed by an increase in important role in DENV/ZIKV infection; in fact, the blocking overall consumption of these organelles (Garcia et al., 2020).

Huh-7 ZIKV infected cells in which a reduction in the LD numbers and total volume were observed, con

In summary, it is now clear that HCV and DENV/ZIKV take advantage of lipophagy as a source of energy for replication and for a possible extracellular spreading of the infective viral content through the generation of eLD. The detailed understanding of the molecular mechanism of lipophagy and its relationship with different Flaviviridae still needs further study and may set the scene for the development of novel antiviral treatments. All these evidences have been incorporated into Figure 4.

**LIPID DROPLETS IN CANCER**

In general, tumor cells are nutritionally challenged due to poor vascularization (Wellen and Thompson, 2010). Under deficient nutrient conditions, cells display a remarkable adaptability that is critical for survival, migration and invasion of other tissues (Pavlova and Thompson, 2016). In this context, tumor cells require energy suppliers to adapt to oxidative and nutritional stress conditions, allowing a rapid proliferation and progression of cancer. Lipids are an important energy reservoir that cancer cells can acquire from both exogenous and endogenous pools. Exogenous lipids are obtained from blood or from the tumoral microenvironment. On the other hand, the endogenous lipid availability depends on biosynthetic pathways, hydrolysis of
membrane PL, autophagy, and LD (Petan et al., 2018). In addition to the capacity to obtain extracellular lipids, cancer cells have an efficient machinery to recycle intracellular lipids, which gives them a significantly higher probability of survival during hypoxia and starvation (Petan et al., 2018). Actually, some aggressive types of tumors have an increased capacity to accumulate FA in LD to resist nutrient and oxidative stress (Kamphorst et al., 2013; Padanad et al., 2016; Jarc et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018). LD accumulation has been observed in many cancer cells such as colorectal, breast, prostate, hepatocellular carcinoma, renal carcinoma, and glioblastoma, suggesting that this organelle serves as a substrate for cell survival when the glucose levels decrease [recently reviewed in (Li et al., 2020)].

Several works have suggested that the accumulation of LD has a pro-tumoral role acting as sites of PGE2 synthesis (a suppressant of the immune system), in the polarization of tumor-associated macrophages in myeloid-derived cells, and on the dysfunctional antigen presentation by dendritic cells [recently reviewed in (Cruz et al., 2020)]. Recent studies suggest that these organelles suppress nutrient and oxidative stress and contribute to cancer cell survival and growth, metastasis, and resistance to chemotherapeutic and pharmacological treatments (Przybytkowski et al., 2007; Pucer et al., 2013; Bensaad et al., 2014; Welte and Gould, 2017; Cotte et al., 2018; Henne et al., 2018; Jarc et al., 2018; Pizato et al., 2019), suggesting that an in-depth study of LD metabolism could be an attractive target for reducing cancer cell resistance to stress. For this reason, LD accumulation in non-adipose tissues has been proposed as a new hallmark of cancer (Cotte et al., 2018).

**Lipid Droplet Biogenesis is Highly Regulated in Tumor Cells**

Through tumorigenesis, cancer cells acquire different metabolic alterations to overcome the energetic requirement related to the accelerated proliferation under unfavorable conditions. Several studies have shown that some of these changes include the reprogramming of lipid metabolism such as de novo lipogenesis (Menendez and Lupu, 2007; Carracedo et al., 2013; Currie et al., 2013; Röhrig and Schulze, 2016). In contrast to normal cells, which preferentially use extracellular lipids for the synthesis of new structural lipids, cancer cells stimulate de novo FA synthesis to satisfy their requirements for lipids (Menendez and Lupu, 2007; Röhrig and Schulze, 2016). During de novo lipogenesis, saturated and monounsaturated FA are synthesized; nonetheless mammalian cells lack an enzyme capable of converting monounsaturated to polyunsaturated FA. This makes cancer cells more resistant to death from oxidative stress as well as drug therapy (Ameer et al., 2014). Even lipid-rich tumors have been associated with a high aggressive potential and an unfavorable clinical outcome (de Gonzalo-Calvo et al., 2015; Guillaumond et al., 2015).

As mentioned, SREBPs belong to a family of transcription factors bound to the ER membrane and, together with the mTOR, they act as key positive regulators of lipogenesis (Cruz et al., 2020). SREBPs have been shown to promote tumor growth as well as the accumulation of LD and the overexpression of the enzymes involved in lipogenesis. In addition, the SREBP cleavage-activating protein acts as a sensor for available glucose levels. It has been observed that the dysregulation of SREBPs occurs in several metabolic syndromes and cancers (Cheng et al., 2018). Moreover, SREBP as well as ATP Citrate Lyase (ACLY), a downstream target of SREBP, have been found to be upregulated in glioblastoma, colorectal cancer, breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma (de Gonzalo-Calvo et al., 2015; Guillaumond et al., 2015).

In mice with lung, prostate, or ovarian cancer xenografts, either the genetic or the pharmacological inhibition of SREBP and ACLY has been shown to significantly suppress tumor growth and induce cancer cell death (Hatzivassiliou et al., 2005; Hanai et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2018), making SREBP and/or ACLY promising therapeutic targets (Infantino et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014; Geng et al., 2016). Interestingly, pre-clinical studies have demonstrated that some SREBP inhibitors such as fatostatin, botulin, and PF-429242 have promising anti-tumor effects (Kamisuki et al., 2009; Li et al., 2014, Li et al., 2015; Król et al., 2015; Ghokhar et al., 2016; Shao et al., 2016). In addition, through the quantification of the mRNA expression levels, it has been demonstrated that LD coat proteins (PLIN) (Wang et al., 2018) and FA-binding proteins (FABP) are also involved in the regulation of LD formation and trafficking in cancer cells (Senga et al., 2018).

On the other hand, mTOR acts as a detector for the availability of extracellular nutrients, stimulating the activation of anabolic pathways such as protein translation and nucleotide synthesis. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway regulates SREBP levels by promoting the synthesis of FA, cholesterol, and glycerolipids and is associated with an increase in the density of LD in tumor cells (Petan et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020).

Moreover, during starvation, the mTOR pathway is inhibited and the cell resorts to autophagy as a mechanism for the degradation of cytosolic components and membranous organelles to obtain FA available for LD biogenesis (Petan et al., 2018).

Under excess conditions, intracellular lipids are converted to TAG and SE in the ER, leading to the formation of LD (Fei et al., 2011; Walther and Farese, 2012). These structures have been visualized in several types of tumors including glioblastoma, renal clear cell carcinoma, and prostate, colon, or pancreas cancer (Accioly et al., 2008; Yue et al., 2014; Geng et al., 2016; Koizume and Miyagi, 2016). While in normal tissues SE are usually undetectable, they are abundant in the tumor tissue (Bemlih et al., 2010). Sterol O-acyltransferase 1 (SOAT1), also known as acyl-CoA acyltransferase 1 (ACAT1), converts cholesterol to SE for storage in LD. Interestingly, glioblastomas and prostate and pancreas cancers express high levels of this enzyme, being its expression level inversely correlated with patient survival (Bemlih et al., 2010; Saraon et al., 2014; Ohmoto et al., 2015; Geng et al., 2016, Geng et al., 2020; LaPensee et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016). The genetic silencing of SOAT1/ACAT1 or the pharmacologic blocking of its activity suppresses tumor growth in several cancer xenograft models (Bemlih et al., 2010; Ohmoto et al., 2015; Geng et al., 2016; LaPensee et al., 2016). These results suggest that SOAT1 and the synthesis of SE are two possible targets in the development of antitumor strategies.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common forms of cancer, in which the accumulation of LD appears to be a common
needed to determine the association between ACSL4, metabolism and tumorigenesis, promoting the synthesis and accumulation of LD (Guri et al., 2017). Some authors postulate the existence of a negative regulatory loop between LD, the forkhead box transcription factor O-3 (FOXO3), and sirtuin 6 (a negative regulator of lipid biosynthesis) since the silencing of FOXO3 would promote the down-regulation of sirtuin 6 to increase LD levels (Penrose et al., 2016).

Breast cancer (BC) is the leading cause of cancer-associated death in women and the most common cancer worldwide (Bray et al., 2015). Several epidemiological studies have revealed that adipose tissue dysfunction appears to be one of the risk factors that contributes to the development and progression of BC. Given that aggressive BC cells have been shown to have a higher number of LD, and that obesity is a risk factor for breast cancer, some authors suggested an association between the alteration of LD homeostasis of the cancer cells and obesity (Wölwer et al., 2016; Blücher and Stadler, 2017).

Prostate cancer (PC) is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in men (Boettcher et al., 2019). PC cells can incorporate either circulating lipids or lipids from the adipose microenvironment to promote PC invasiveness through oxidative stress and the secretion of the hypoxia-inducible factor α (HIF-α) (Diedrich et al., 2016; Victor, 2019). On the other hand, the de novo lipogenesis is also upregulated in PC cells and its inhibition suppresses PC growth both in vitro and in vivo (Yoshii et al., 2013). Cancer aggressiveness is positively correlated with LD density and LD movement speed during the transport of cargo proteins along microtubules (Yue et al., 2014). In addition, it has recently been described that autophagy and lipophagy are also associated with the aggressiveness and progression of PC, possibly through a mechanism that leads to the exploitation of a lipid-rich microenvironment by tumor cells (Panda et al., 2020).

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common and aggressive liver cancer. One of the main pathological features of HCC is steatosis, which generally leads to an increase in the number of LD. PTEN (the phosphatase and tensin homologue on chromosome ten) has also been shown to be a negative regulator of the PI3K/AKT pathway and a classic tumor gene suppressor due to its lipid and protein phosphatase activity. The deletion of PTEN along with the overexpression of the NRAS proto-oncogene (RAS neuroblastoma) synergistically leads to a metabolic disorder that increases the LD content and promotes the appearance of HCC (Gao and Liu, 2017). Therefore, the accumulation of LD induced by the activation of oncogenic pathways could contribute to the development and progression of HCC. On the other hand, it is well known that SREBP1 plays a fundamental role in the progression of HCC as it promotes cancer cell growth and metastasis. It has recently been shown that Acyl-CoA Synthetase Long Chain 4 (ACSL4) enhances the expression of lipogenic enzymes through the c-Myc/SREBP1 oncogene signaling; however, more studies are needed to determine the association between ACSL4, metabolism and tumor lipid abnormalities (Chen et al., 2021).

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is one of the most common malignant tumors of the urinary system (Dutta et al., 2016). Among them, clear cell RCC (ccRCC) is the most common RCC subtype featured by an accumulation of LD. This carcinoma has a high risk of metastasis and a poor response to radiotherapy and chemotherapy (Gong et al., 2016). Patients with ccRCC display a high expression of PLIN3, and this phenomenon is closely correlated with clinicopathological features. Furthermore, the high expression of PLIN3 suggests a poor clinical prognosis (Wang et al., 2018). On the other hand, HIF2α promotes lipid storage, ER homeostasis, and cell viability in ccRCC through upregulation of the LD PLIN2 envelope protein. In conclusion, the study of the members of the perilipins family and the possible suppression of HIF2α/PLIN2 could be a useful tool for the development of therapeutic strategies in this common renal malignant neoplasm.

Glioblastoma (GBM) is a malignant tumor with lipid metabolism dysfunction (Guo et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2015). Large amounts of LD are observed in tumor tissues of GBM patients that are not detectable in low-grade gliomas (Geng et al., 2016). Therefore, LD could be used as a diagnostic biomarker for GBM. When glucose supply decreases in GBM cells, LD are hydrolyzed by autophagy, thus explaining the survival of GBM cells in situations of energy stress (Geng et al., 2020). In addition, SREBP-1 has a high activity in GBM (Guo et al., 2011). The inhibition of SOAT1 down-regulates SREBP-1, resulting in a decrease in SE synthesis. Meanwhile, SOAT1 suppression reduces LD formation and consequently blocks GBM growth (Geng et al., 2016). Therefore, blocking the degradation of LD or the SREBP1/SOAT1 pathway would be a suitable therapeutic strategy to increase the sensitivity of GBM to treatments and overcome resistance.

To summarize, the accumulation of LD in cancer cells depends on the activation of SREBP and mTOR pathways, suggesting that both pathways are important in cancer development and progression.

Lipolysis and Lipophagy in Cancer

As mentioned above, the energy demand can drive the degradation of accumulated LD in the cell, mainly by two mechanisms, lipolysis or lipophagy. Although several authors have shown that lipophagy has pro-tumoral effects (Kaini et al., 2012; Assumpção et al., 2017), most studies performed so far suggest that lipophagy restricts tumorigenesis (Xu et al., 2016; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2017). Moreover, it has been shown that the overexpression of ATG14, a member of the ATG proteins, induces LD breakdown in Hela cells (a cervical cancer cell line) and stimulates free FA accumulation. This process leads to ER stress and reactive oxygen species-mediated apoptosis, whereas the inhibition of lipophagy or the inhibition of lysosomal acid lipases (LAL) reverses these effects (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2017). LAL plays a tumor suppressor role and its deficiency in mice has been linked with spontaneous tumorigenesis. In contrast, the re-expression of LAL prevents liver metastases (Du et al., 2015) and reduces inflammation and metastasis in lung cancer (Zhao et al., 2016). On the other hand, it has been shown that when autophagy is inhibited in adipocytes, LD clearance is slowed down and consequently the effects that promote adipocyte growth are
attenuated. In other words, in this cell type, lipophagy is activated in order to promote the production of energy and the survival of cancer cells (Petan et al., 2018). It has been demonstrated that abhydrolase domain-containing protein 5, a cellular lipolytic activator, which functions as a tumor suppressor in CRC, binds and prevents the cleavage of the essential autophagy regulator beclin 1, thus stimulating autophagy, reducing colon cancer tumorigenesis (Peng et al., 2016). Additionally, it has been demonstrated that ATGL promotes the autophagic flux and the interactions between LC3 and LD (Drizyte-Miller et al., 2020). In turn, LC3 depletion results in reduced LD accumulation in various cancer cell lines such as HeLa, HepG2, and PC12 (Shibata et al., 2010). Together, these results suggest an important role for LC3 in the formation of LD. Interestingly, rapamycin-induced autophagy leads to TAG synthesis in yeast (Madeira et al., 2015), and autophagy is necessary for TAG accumulation under nitrogen-deprived conditions in this microorganism. In conclusion, autophagy-driven LD synthesis is helpful for the progression of a variety of cancer cells. Further studies should confirm whether LD biogenesis is a protective response to high levels of autophagy. All these evidences have been incorporated into Figure 5.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As mentioned, the biology of LD has recently received the attention of multiple research fields, opening a wide window of knowledge in science. Given that LD are conserved structures in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (Walther et al., 2017; Zhang and Liu, 2017; Lupette and Maréchal, 2020), a role in every disease stage has been done in the study of the LD biogenesis and degradation processes. The bridge between these approaches is currently being disentangled as considerable advances have been done in the study of the LD biogenesis and degradation processes. Some of these metabolic aspects are: 1) the increase in TAG levels, which leads to an increase of DGAT activity (parasitic protozoans), de novo FA synthesis, (e.g., SREBP upregulation in HCV and DENV/ZIKV replication and cancer cells), and increase in FA uptake (cancer cells), and 2) the free cholesterol/SE balance, which is modulated by SREBP (cancer) or aspartyl-like peptidase (e.g., T. cruzi) and the enzyme ACAT, which might display a key role in the parasite’s survival (e.g., T. gondii).

In cancer cells, de novo lipogenesis enhancement leads to the generation of cell membranes that are enriched in saturated and/ or mono-unsaturated FA (as polyunsaturated FAs cannot be synthesized by this pathway) (Ameer et al., 2014). In turn, NL saturation has been observed to respond to demands of unsaturated species in the cell (providing a homeostasis mechanism of membrane saturation by “buffering” specific FA). For instance, the TAG saturation index increases as a consequence of the liberation of unsaturated FA to counteract their esterification and transformation into phospholipids when cancer cells are subjected to nutrient stress (Lisec et al., 2019) or inhibition of the de novo desaturation pathway through hypoxia (Ackerman et al., 2018).

Tumor tissues contain abnormal levels of SE (Nygren et al., 2009; Bemilh et al., 2010). In T. cruzi, the cholesterol content variation leads to morphological changes (on both reservosomes and cytosolic LD) arising from NL crystallization in the core of these structures. This suggests that significant biophysical differences between the stages of LD biogenesis as well as on protein targeting to LD surface could be taking place. The latter findings deserve further exploration. The requirement of sterols for the coordinated assembly of LD seems to be universal. Recently, this aspect has been studied in Arabidopsis developing seeds to find that the mutations of proteins of the sterol pathway account for the different LD number, size and oil content phenotypes (Yu et al., 2021) and some interesting comparisons with cholesterol effects are. For instance, cholesterol generates lipid packing defects and increases the surface tension of membranes synergistically with DAG (Coorssen and Rand, 2011; Alwarawrah et al., 2012; Subczynski et al., 2017), which has been suggested to be critical for neutral lipid nucleation and LD budding (Adeyo et al., 2011; Choudhary et al., 2018). In this sense, in a study that combined molecular simulations, yeast genetics, and fluorescence microscopy (Zoni et al., 2021), it has been recently demonstrated that cholesterol promotes LD nucleation and the packaging of TG into LD.

Taking into account the above considerations, current experimental models using biophysical approaches may help address the following questions that arise from metabolic observations: how NL composition (cholesterol:cholesterol-esters:triglyceride proportions) affect the biogenesis process? How do properties (unsaturation and carbon length) of FA constituting neutral lipids affect biogenesis?

Regarding protein targeting to LD, a putative effect of the LD core composition has also been considered. The interaction of amphipatic helices (AH) to LD model surface was sensitive to the core composition (Dhiman et al., 2020). Surprisingly, this effect was independent of the phospholipid monolayer packing. On the other hand, cholesterol has been demonstrated to affect the physical properties of the LD surface and hence the targeting of TG-synthesizing enzymes to LD (Willfling et al., 2014). In this sense, PLIN4 has served as an interesting model protein due to its exceptional length and repetitiveness that confers it versatility to compensate between those properties (AH length, hydrophobicity, and charge) targetting it to the LD surface, although with a loss of specificity (Copić et al., 2018). How changes in the NL composition of LD, promoted in infections and cancer, can impact on their interaction with AH containing proteins remains to be assessed.

Finally, LD degradation helps cells coping with the high energy demands in pathological processes; therefore, this process could serve as a target for the development of novel therapeutic approaches.
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