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Abstract

The main purpose of the 92/43/EEC Habitats Directive is to contribute to the conservation of biodiversity, understood as habitat types and species of the flora and fauna of the European Union. To achieve this goal, natural and semi-natural biodiversity as a whole must be recognized and included in its annexes. As for the conservation of biotopes, named habitat types, Italy is unfortunately lacking as it the Annex I does not include important ecosystems that are typical of its territory, rare for biogeographical reasons or threatened. Therefore, the opportunity to identify a first list of significant habitats for central Italy is discussed here. For each of the new proposed seven habitat types and one subtype: sedge and reeds formations (Freshwater large sedge and reed beds), willow shrublands (Shrubby willow formations of river banks and fens), Apennine garrigues (Apennine hilly and montane garrigues), a new subtype of Annex I Habitat 6130 (Communities of herbaceous and dwarf shrub-suffrutescent plants of Italian ultramafic substrates), ancient olive groves (“Centuries-old olive groves” with evergreen Quercus spp. and arborescent matorral), secondary meadows (Italian submontane and montane pastured meadows dominated by Cynodon cristatus), badlands (Pioneer halophilous and sub-halophilous communities of “calanchi” and “biancane” badlands) and hop-hornbeam woods (Italian-Balkan hop-hornbeam woods).
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Introduction

The 92/43/EEC Habitats Directive (European Union 1992) represents the most important and effective tool that the European Union has for safeguarding the biodiversity of its territory (Evans 2006; Lockwood 2006).

Due to problems related to the incomplete knowledge of the biodiversity heritage at the time of accession to the Directive, and to a probable initial underestimation of the European conservation plan by the Italian State, Annexes I, II, IV, and V are unfortunately far from including the entire heritage of Italian species and habitats worthy of being protected. The same happens for other European countries (Cardoso 2012; van Swaay 2012; Hochkirch et al. 2013; Moreno-Saiz 2021).

The Habitats Directive, as mentioned in Article 19, provides for the possibility of amendments of the Annexes which are considered necessary “for adapting Annexes I, II, III, V and VI to technical and scientific progress”. Even if, as some believe, this may not be the right time to request amendments since the priority should be the full implementation of the existing Directive (Maes et al. 2013), we believe it is appropriate to start discussing and hypothesizing some new entries in Annex I, as other countries have already done (Oprea and Sârbu 2009).

While for the Alpine sector the gaps are less serious as the neighboring States have included in Annex I many habitats occurring in the Italian Alps as well, for central Italy and the Apennines in particular the lack of habitats of community interest appears particularly serious, as many endemic and rare vegetation types are not mentioned, and therefore they are devoid of any form of protection. The issue is even more alarming if we consider the crucial ecological role they play also for the conservation of wild fauna and flora. The situation does not appear different if plant communities and ecosystems of a secondary origin are taken into consideration harmoniously integrated into natural contexts. Although resulting from the transformations made by human in the territory over the centuries, these traditional anthropic ecosystems are rich in biodiversity and represent an important element of the human historical and cultural heritage (Saccani 2002; Young et al. 2005; Angold et al. 2006; McNeely et al. 2006; Halada et al. 2011; Torma et al. 2018).

In the light of this awareness, and thanks to the enormous contribution of naturalists in the application of the Directive itself, a significantly deeper level of knowledge has been reached in the last 3 decades, and the scientific community is now better able to reach an exhaustive synthesis on the heritage of species, habitats, or more generally ecosystems of conservation interest. Therefore, proposals have been formulated for the inclusion of new habitats for Italy, pending the granting of the possibility of integrating Annex I by the European Commission, as required by Art. 19 of the Directive itself. At the moment of the preparation of the Italian Interpretation manual of Habitats Directive (Biondi et al. 2009, 2012) a first list of neglected habitats for Italy was compiled and sent to the Italian Ministry of Environment, soliciting their inclusion in Annex I. In the following years, this first habitat list has been updated and expanded. The most important habitats proposed for inclusion in Annex I for central Italy are here presented, paying a particular attention to the Apenninic habitat types.

Similarly, in other articles in this volume, new habitats or new subtypes are proposed for Sicily and Sardinia (Guarino et al. 2021; Fois et al. 2021) and more will probably be identified for the entire Italian territory and even other countries.

Materials and Methods

The habitats are presented following a standard shared format designed to briefly illustrate their salient qualitative characteristics, deferring to future dedicated monographs a more detailed and complete analysis of each habitat, providing exhaustive descriptions of geographic variants and subtypes (when present) and phytosociological tables as well.

The new proposed habitats can fall into one of the following cases:

i) New subtype or new variant of a habitat already occurring in the Annex I, in order to include aspects not foreseen in the general description of the habitat for Italy;

ii) description of a new habitat not yet present in the Annex I: in this case the macrocategory and the related subcategory are indicated;

iii) reporting of a habitat already included in the Annex I but not yet recognized for Italy.

In the habitat sheet, the following information is provided:

Name of the habitat type/subtype/variant;

Macrotype: macrocategory and subcategories;

Type of the proposal: new habitat, new subtype/variant,

first recognition for Italy of a habitat of the Annex I, and

name/s of the proposer/s;

Reason for the proposal: scientific justification on the need for the inclusion of the new habitat into Annex I;

Diagnostic sentence: synthetic phrase that indicates the salient characteristics of the habitat;

Syntaxonomic reference: framed to the level of alliance(s), followed by the higher ranks [order(s) and class(es)] referring to the Italian Vegetation Prodrome (Biondi and Blasi 2015);

Reference list of diagnostic species: a list of species (generally not more than 10) which better characterise the habitat. The species marked with an asterisk are listed in Annex II. In many cases, the list of diagnostic species should be supplemented with “regional” or endemic species, at this stage not included due to the synthetic scope of the present contribution. The plant species nomenclature and taxonomy follow Bartolucci et al. (2018) and the updates of The Portal to the Flora of Italy (available at http://dryades.units.it/floritaly accessed November 2021).
Dynamic relationships and contacts: successional context of the habitat and spatial relations with other vegetation types.

Results

The sheets of the habitats whose role is considered relevant for the biodiversity of central Italy are presented below, ordered according to the numbering of the reference:

[15xx] “Pioneer halophilous and sub-halophilous communities of “calanchi” and “biancane” Badlands”; [31xx] “Freshwater large sedge and reed beds”; [32xx] “Shrubby willow formations of river banks and fens”;

[40xx] “Apennine hilly and montane garrigues”; [6130] “Calaminarian grasslands of the Violeto-italia calaminariæ” subtype “Communities of herbaceous and dwarf shrub-suffrutescent plants of Italian ultramafic substrates”;

[63xx] “Centuries-old olive groves” with evergreen Quercus spp. and arborescent marrorial”;

[65xx] “Italian submontane and montane pastured meadows dominated by Cynosurus cristatus”;

[91xx] “Italian-Balkan hop-hornbeam woods”.

[15XX] Pioneer halophilous and sub-halophilous communities of “Calanchi” and “Biancane” badlands

Macrotypes: 1 “Coastal and halophytic habitats”; 15 “Salt and gypsum inland steppes” (also 8 “Rocky habitats”; 83 “Other rocky habitats” could be considered if the geomorphological aspect is relevant). Type of proposal: New Habitat Proposed (C. Angiolini, F. Fanfarillo, L. Gianguzzi, S. Maccherini, S. Pesaresi, D. Viciani)

Reason for the proposal: The plant communities here proposed as deserving conservation efforts represent a very peculiar habitat type, hosting a number of native, specialized, stress-tolerant species, developing in clayey badlands (calanchi and biancane) along the Apennine chain (Zangheri 1942; Antoni 1965; Ferrari 1971; Ferrari and Grandi 1974; Ferrari and Speranza 1975; Gentile and Sartori 1975; Branconi et al. 1980; Zanotti et al. 1980; Pirone 1981a, 1995; Biondi et al. 1988, 1990, 1992; Ferrari and Gerdol 1987; Brullo et al. 1990; Loppi and De Dominicis 1990; Corbetta et al. 1991; Allegrezza et al. 1993; Chiarucci et al. 1995a, 1995b; Maccherini et al. 1998; Taffettani 2000; Biondi and Pesaresi 2004; Tomei et al. 2009) and in Sicily (Brullo 1985a, 1985b; Brullo and Siracusa 1998; Brullo et al. 1980, 1990, 1998, 2001; Gentile and Di Benedetto 1961; Gianguzzi et al. 2008, 2016a; Musarella et al. 2018; Pasta 2001). These sites host pioneer vegetation types dominated by chamaephytes, hemicyryptophytes and therophytes, the latter being adapted to the impenetrable soil crust that develops during the summer. This vegetation type is rich in rare and endemic species, mainly halophilous and sub-halophilous. When not disturbed, the phytocoenoses of this habitat tend to be permanent, being linked to specific edaphic and micro-environmental conditions. The risk of disappearance of this habitat has two drivers: soil reclamation for agricultural purposes and the decreasing/stopping grazing pressure, which cause a decline of eroded spots. The decrease in total erosion over the whole badlands leads to the expansion of ruderal vegetation types, e.g., Avena fatua dominated grasslands (Torri et al. 2013), at the expense of pioneer halophilous communities.

Diagnostic sentence: Pioneer vegetation of badlands (calanchi and biancane) originated from Plio-Pleistocene marine clays along the Apennine chain of the Italian Peninsula and in Sicily. The different ecological conditions within the badlands originate micro-geomymeta hosting highly specialized plant communities, rich in halophilous and/or sub-halophilous species.

Subtypes

Subtype A. Badlands of central and northern Italy with: pioneer perennial vegetation of the alliance Podospermo lacinati-Elytrogenion herbariae Pirone 1995; annual halophilous and/or sub-halophilous vegetation of the class Saginetea maritimae Westhoff, Van Leeuwen & Adriani 1962, growing on small, flat areas and micro-terraces of calanchi slopes.

Subtype B. Badlands Calanchi of southern Italy with: pioneer perennial vegetation of the classes Lygeo-Stipetea Rivas-Martinez 1978 and/or Peganono harmalae-Salsoletea vermiculatae Br.-Bl. & O. Bolös 1958; annual halophilous and/or sub-halophilous communities in valley floors of calanchi (class Saginetea maritimae Westhoff, Van Leeuwen & Adriani 1962).

Syntaxonomic reference: Subtype A: perennial communities can be mainly attributed to the alliance Podospermo lacinati-Elytrigion herbariae Pirone 1995 (Podospermo lacinati-Elytrigetalia herbariae Biondi, Allegrezza & Pesaresi in Biondi et al. 2014, Artemiisietea vulgaris Lohmeyer, Preising & Tüxen ex Von Roeh 1951), while therophytic communities to the alliance Frankenion pulverulentae Rivas-Martinez ex Castroviejo & Porta 1976 (Frankenietalia pulverulentae Rivas-Martinez ex Castroviejo & Porta 1976, Saginetea maritimae Westhoff, Van Leeuwen & Adriani 1962). Subtype B: perennial communities can be mainly attributed 1) to the alliances Polygonion tenorenacmi Brullo, De Marco & Signorello 1990 and Moricandio-Lygeion sparti Brullo, De Marco & Signorello 1990 (both of Lygeo sparti-Stipetalia tenacissimae Br.-Bl. & O. Bolös 1958, Lygeo sparti-Stipetalia tenacissimae Rivas-Martinez 1978) and 2) to the alliances Salsolo oppositifolii-Sae-dion fruticosae Righal 1972 and Artemision arborescentis Géhu & Biondi in Géhu et al. 1986 (Salsolo vermiculatae-Peganetalia harmalae Br.-Bl. & O. Bolös 1954, Peganono harmalae-Salsoletea vermiculatae Br.-Bl. & O. Bolös 1958), while therophytic communities to the alliances Gaudinio fragilis-Podospermion cani Brullo & Siracusa 2000 and Frankenion pulverulentae Rivas-Martinez ex
Castroviejo & Porta 1976 (Frankenietalia pulverulentae Rivas-Martínez ex Castroviejo & Porta 1976, Sagineta maritimae Westhoff, Van Leeuwen & Adriani 1962).

**Reference list of diagnostic species:** Subtype A, perennial species: Artemisia caerulescens subsp. caerulescens and subsp. cretacea, Thinothymus acutum, Plantago marritimae, Scorzonera cana, Scorzonera laciniatea; therophytes: Parapholis incurva, P. strigosa, P. pycnantha P. ciliata, Hordeum marinum, H. giganticum. Subtype B, perennial species: Lygeum spartum, Limonium calcareae, Limonium optimae, Limonium opulentum, Malva agrifolia, Allium agrigentium, Herniaria fontanesii subsp. empedocleana, Tripodium sorrentinum (= Aster sorrentinii), Suaeda kocheri, Reaumuria vermiculata, Scorzonera cana, Cardopatia corymbosum, Atriplex halimus, Salsola oppositifolia, Caroxyylon vermiculatum, Capparis sicula, Polygonum tenorei; therophytes: Parapholis incurva, P. strigosa, P. pycnantha P. ciliata, Sphenopus divaricatus, Scabiosa parviflora, Anthemis maritimae, Portulaca oleracea.

**Dynamic relationships and contacts:** Both in central and southern Italy, in transition areas with tension cracks and landsliding of calanchi, the habitat is in catenal contact with annual communities of the class Tiberarietae guttaeae (Br.-Bl. in Br.-Bl., Roussine & Nègre 1952) Rivas Goday & Rivas-Martinez 1963 nom. mut. prop. Rivas-Martinez, Diaz, Fernández-González, Izco, Loi, Louisa & Pensa 2002, which are examples of the Habitat 6220 “Pseudo-steppe with grasses and annuals of the Thero-Brachypodietetae.”

In more stable areas, such as the original slopes of calanchi, there are catenal concats with: Bromooides erectae grasslands of the order Brometalia erectae Br.-Bl. 1936 (Habitat 6210 (“Semi-natural dry grasslands and scru-bland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia (“important orchid sites”) in central and northern Italy; xerophilous grasslands of the order Hyparrhenietalia hir-tae Rivas-Martinez 1978 in southern Italy. In central and northern Italy, in deposition areas with impluvium lines located at the bottoms of valleys, there may be contacts with mesophilous grasslands dominated by Arundo plinii or Lolium arundinaceum, as well as with hygro-subalophilous shrublands with Tamarix spp. and riparian woods classified in the alliances Salicion albae Soó 1930 and Populion albae Br.-Bl. ex Tchou 1948, (Habitat 92A0 “Salix alba and Populus alba galleries”). Further contacts are those with shrub communities of the class Rhamno-Prunetetalia spinosae Rivas Goday & Borja ex Tüx-en 1962, developing in sites where the erosion stopped.

In the badlands of southern Italy, the coenoses of this habitat have catenal relationships with vegetation types belonging to the series of deciduous forests of the Oleo-Querceto virgilinae sigmetum. In the driest areas of Sicily, there are occasional links with shrublands of the alliance Oleo-Ceratoniion (chalyx outcrops) or with halo-nitrophilous vegetation types (Miocene clays) of the class Pegamo-Salsolietea, and in particular with communities dominated by Salsola oppositifolia and/or Suaeda fruticosa (Brullo et al. 1985). These are generally deforsted areas, largely dominated by arable land and extensive crops (Raimondo et al. 2000; Gianguzzi et al. 2014a, 2014b, 2016b).

**Macrotype:** 3 "Freshwater habitats"; 31 "Standing water".

**Type of proposal:** New Habitat Proposed (G. Ciaschet-Gi, G. Pirone, R. Venanzoni)

**Reason for the proposal:** Humid and wet environments are quite rarefied nowadays in Italy like in other countries of Mediterranean basin, mainly because of their continuous reclaim and alteration (Dudgen et al. 2005). They are very sensitive to eutrophication and pollution by the phytosanitary products used in the agricultural practices and, when deteriorated, they can be subject to invasion by allochthonous species with serious threats to biodiversity conservation (Sartori and Bracco 1997; Malmqvist and Rundle 2002; Pyse et al. 2012; Lazzaro et al. 2020). As a consequence, many plant and animal species have become very rare at regional, national or European scale by now (Corbetta and Pirone 1989; Pedrotti 1990, 1995; Venanzoni and Gigante 2000; Pirone et al. 2003; Venanzoni et al. 2003; Bacchetta and Mossa 2004; Prosser and Sarzo 2004; Presti et al. 2005; Ceschin and Salerno 2008; Tomessi et al. 2006; Tasinazzo 2009; Mereu et al. 2012; Lastrucci et al. 2012, 2014; Gianguzzi et al. 2013; Angiolini et al. 2017; Venanzoni et al. 2021). Some of these phytocoenoses, as tall sedge communities, reach their maximum level of diversity in the mountain karst plains where they represent a relict vegetation with noteworthy phytogeographic disjunctions (Pirone 1987; Biondi and Baldoni 1994; Pedrotti 2015, 2016; Landucci et al. 2013, 2020; Venanzoni et al. 2018; Tardella and Di Agostino 2020; Ciaschet-Gi et al. 2021). In recent years, a sharp decline in helophytic vegetation is clearly observed as testified by many papers on the “Reed die-back syndrome” (Gigante et al. 2011, 2014; Lastrucci et al. 2016, 2017, 2019).

**Diagnostic sentence:** Marsh and swamp, mono-or paucispecific, azonal vegetation of large sedges (CORINE Biotopes: 53.2) and/or graminoid helophytes (CORINE Biotopes: 53.1), in Temperate and Mediterranean bioclimates, on partially or totally flooded mineral and organic soils, of lakes, rivers shores, humid depressions and peat bogs, with stagnant or weakly flowing freshwater. The aspects dominated by rare large sedges are considered as prioritary. Alophilous and sub-alophilous aspects are not included.

**Syntaxonomic reference:** Magnocaricion elatae Koch 1926, Caricion gracilis Neuhäusl 1959, and Carici pseudo-cyperi-Rumicion hydrolapathi Passarge 1964 (Magnocaricetae elatae Pignatti 1953); Phragmitetam communis Koch 1926 (Phragmitetalia australis Koch 1926). Both the orders are in the Phragmito australis-Magnocaricetae...
Reference list of diagnostic species: Carex elata subsp. elata, C. acuta, C. vesicaria, C. vulpina, C. buxbaumii, *C. panormitana, Phragmites australis, Schoenoplectus spp., Butomus umbellatus, Limniris pseudacorus, Typha spp.

Dynamic relationships and contacts: the habitat can be found as substitution stage within hygrophilous series leading to woody formations of Salix alba, S. cinerea, Populus nigra, P. alba, P. canescens (Habitat 92A0), Fraxinus angustifolia (Habitat 91F0), Alnus glutinosa or Ulmus minor (Habitat 91E0*). Some phytocoenoses in sites with prolonged submersion and relatively deep waters (2–3 m), or linked to the flowing aspects, can represent examples of stable vegetation (permaseries).

Topographic contacts are possible with: submerged Chara vegetation (Habitat 3140) or hydrophilic communities of the Lemnetae and Potametae classes (Habitat 3150) in eu-trophic and meso-trophic lakes; vegetation of the Bidention tripartiti alliance (Habitat 3270) along the river banks with accumulation of plant residues; flooded grasslands (Habitat 3280, 3290, 6510) or humid meadows of the Molinion coeruleae alliance (Habitat 6410) in dryer places; peat bogs both high and low, both acidic and calcareous [Habitats 7110*, 7120, 7130(*), 7140, 7150, 7210*, 7230 and 7240* Habitats]; rush vegetation of the Agrostio-Scirpoidon holoschoeni (= Molinio-Holoschoenion p.p.) alliance (Habitat 6420); woody communities of the Hyperico hircini-Alnenion glutinosae Dierschke 1975 (Habitat 91E0*) and Rubo ulmifoli-Netion oleandri O. Bolòs 1985 (Habitat 92D0) syntaxa.

[32XX] Shrubby willow formations of river banks and fens

Macrotypes: 3 "Freshwater habitats"; 32 "Running water"

Type of proposal: New Habitat Proposed (E. Biondi, L. Zivkovic)

Reason for the proposal: Riparian vegetation is among those most threatened and at risk of disappearing due to the reckless management of rivers. (Chapin III et al. 2000; Vorosmarty et al 2010; Stubbington et al. 2021). Especially in the middle and terminal stretches, the rivers have been profoundly remodeled also through interventions that have modified their natural course causing the change of river dynamics (Hooke 2006; Camporeale et al. 2013). Furthermore, the excavations of stone materials in the riverbed have profoundly changed the morphology of the river bed and of the banks, causing the disappearance of some communities typical of the banks (Kalniková et al. 2021). Another cause of threat is represented by the invasion of alien species such as Reynoutria japonica, Buddleja davidii, Amorpha fruticosa, Acer negundo and various herbaceous species that are highly competitive with the native willow shrub species (Kumschick et al. 2015; Van Oorschot et al. 2017).

The shrub formations of willows are therefore seriously threatened and in the process of rarefaction; therefore, it is necessary to foresee their conservation considering their important ecological role in maintaining the correct fluvial dynamics and as a place of refuge for wildlife, especially avifauna and endemic plant species (Brullo and Spampinato 1993; Brullo et al. 2000).

Given the absence in Annex I of a habitat in which to include the shrub willows of the Mediterranean and Continental Macrogereps, there is a need to establish a new habitat. Indeed, Habitat 3240 "Alpine rivers and their ligeous vegetation with Salix elegans" refers to a very different type of habitat that is located in the mountain stretches of the watercourse and characterized by species such as Salix elegans, Salix purpurea subsp. gracilis, Salix daphnoides, Salix nigricans and Hippophae rhamnoides with mainly alpine and perialpine distribution, absent or extremely rare in the Apennines and in central and southern Italy.

Diagnostic sentence: Pioneer formations of shrubby willows that colonize the river banks and the marshy areas of the alluvial plains. Other woody essences (especially Populus alba, P. nigra and Salix alba) are sporadically associated with shrubby willows and assume a clearly subordinate role. They are frequent both in the temperate macrobioclimatic (in the sub-Mediterranean variant) mesotemperate bioclimatic belt and in the Mediterranean macrobioclimatic, mainly in the mesomediterranean and supramediterranean bioclimatic belts.

Syntaxonomic reference: Salicion apennino-purpureae Allegrezza & Biondi in Biondi et al. 2014, Salicion triandrae Müller & Görs 1958, Salicion albae Soó 1930, Salicion incanae Aichinger 1933, Salicetalia purpureae Moor 1958, Salicetea purpureae Moor 1958;

Populion albae Br.-Bl. ex Tchou 1948, Osmundo regalis-Abion glutinosae (Br.-Bl., P. Silva & Rozeira 1956) Dierschke & Rivas-Martinez in Rivas-Martinez 1975, Populetalbae Br.-Bl. ex Tchou 1948, Salici purpureae-Populetae nigrae Rivas-Martinez & Cantó ex Rivas-Martinez, Báscones, T.E. Díaz, Fernández-González & Loidi 2001; Salicion cinerea Müller & Görs 1958, Salicetalia auritae Doing ex Westhoff in Westhoff & Den Held 1969, Alnetea glutinosae Br.-Bl. & Tüxen ex Westhoff, Dijk & Passchier 1946.

Reference list of diagnostic species: Salix purpurea (subsp. purpurea, subsp. lambertiana and subsp. eburnea), S. triandra subsp. triandra, S. apennina, S. brutia, S. amphibicaulis, S.pedicellata, S. ionica, S. cinerea.

Dynamic relationships and contacts: Pioneer formations of shrubby willows represent the potential vegetation of river beds subject to periodic flooding that prevent the establishment of a more mature hygrophilous forest (Piron 1981b, 1991, 2000; Corbeta and Piron 1989; Brullo and Spampinato 1990, 1997; Caniglia et al. 1992; Biondi et al. 1994,1995, 1997, 1999, 2007; Sartori and Bracco 1995; Marchiori and Sburlino 1996; Fogg et al. 2000; Brullo et
al. 2001; Merloni and Piccoli 2001; Landi et al. 2002; Arrigoni and Papini 2003, Strumia 2004; Angiolini et al. 2005; Gianguzzi and D’Amico 2006; Fasce et al. and Lapenna 2007) therefore they represent a primitive but long lasting stage, being conditioned by the recurrence of flood events (Petroitti and Gaffa 1996). Their occurrence is of fundamental importance for the stabilization of gravel accumulations which then favor the development of the connected terraces that host more mature hygrophilous woods.

The dynamic relationships with the previous herbaceous stages and with eventual evolutions towards woods are determined above all by the characteristics of hydrological regimes and topography. In general, there are serial connections with the vegetation of the perennial shores (Habitat 3250 "Mediterranean rivers with permanent flow with Glaucomium flavum") and annual (Habitat 3270 "Rivers with muddy banks with vegetation of Chenopodiab rubri p.p. and Bidetion p.p."). The catenal connections occur with the riparian forests of Habitats 92A0 "Salix alba and Populus alba galleries" and 91E0 "Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Praxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae)" and with the herbaceous vegetation of the banks of the watercourses of the Habitats 3280 ("Constantly flowing Mediterranean rivers with Paspalo-Agrostidion species and hanging curtains of Salix and Populus alba") and 3290 ("Intermittently flowing Mediterranean rivers of the Paspalo-Agrostidion")

[40xx] Apennine hilly and montane garrigues

**Macrotypes:** 4 "Temperate heath and scrub"

**Type of proposal:** New Habitat Proposed (M. Allegrezza, C. Angiolini, G. Ciaschetti, D. Gigante, G. Pirone).

**Reason for the proposal:** The plant communities here proposed as deserving conservation efforts represent a very peculiar habitat type, hosting a number of stress-tolerant plant species, developed both in primarily inhospitable environments and in naturally degraded biotopes (Chiarucci et al. 1995; Allegrezza et al. 1997; Scoppola and Angiolini 1997; Pirone and Tammaro 1997; Bonini et al. 1999; Biondi 2000; Biondi et al. 2006; Cutini et al. 2007; Pirone et al. 2014, 2018; Ciaschetti et al. 2016, 2018). These communities are mainly composed of chamaephytes and nano-phanerophytes, and embody the typical vegetation of a narrow although ecologically well defined combination of bioclimatic and edaphic conditions occurring all along the Mediterranean basin, mostly at the interface between the Temperate and the Mediterranean Macrobiclimate. They host species from the two main climatic contexts, giving rise to peculiar and exclusive species combination, often including several narrowly distributed taxa. Some orchids genera (e.g., Ophrys and Serapias) are well represented. The already existing habitats belonging to the Macrotypes "4" (e.g., 4030, 4060, 4090) do not seem appropriate to host these plant communities, due to their ecological, physiognomic, biogeographic, and bioclimatic characteristics clearly defined in their names and descriptions (Biondi et al. 2009; European Commission 2013).

**Diagnostic sentence:** Apenninic garrigues and dwarf-garrigues of the inland areas of the Italian peninsula, with rare occurrences also at the foot of the western Alps, characteristic of the areas mostly interested by the Submediterranean Variant of the Temperate Bioclimate, both calcicolous and silicicolous, developed on limestone, sandstone, marl, conglomerate, debris, generally subject to severe erosion. The typical Mediterranean garrigues are not included here, since they can be referred to other, already existing, Annex I Habitat types (e.g., those of the group 54 "Phrygana").

**Syntaxonomic reference:** Artemisia albae-Saturejion montanae Allegrezza, Biondi, Formica & Ballelli 1997 and Cerastio tomentosi-Globularion meridionalis Ciaschetti Pirone, Giancola, Frattaroli & Staniaci 2016 [Artemisia albae-Saturejotalia montanae (Allegrezza, Biondi, Formica & Ballelli 1997) Biondi & Allegrezza in Biondi, Allegrezza & Zuccarello 2005] Pirone, Frattaroli & Ciaschetti 2018 [Astragaletaletia monspessulaniana Pirone, Pirone & Tammaro 1997] Pirone, Frattaroli & Ciaschetti 2018; Cisto cretici-Micromerietea julianeae Oberdorfer ex Horvatic 1958.

**Reference list of diagnostic species:** Satureja montana subsp. montana, Euphorbia spinosa subsp. spinosa, Helichrysum italicum subsp. italicum, Salvia officinalis subsp. officinalis, Cistus creticus s.l., C. salvifolius, Astragalus monspessulanum subsp. monspessulanus, Helianthemum apenninum subsp. apenninum, H. oelandicum s.l., Cephalaria leucanthera, Globularia meridionalis, Anthyllis montana s.l., Ziziphora granatensis s.l., Lomelosia crenata s.l.

**Dynamic relationships and contacts:** These garrigues and dwarf-garrigues develop on eroded soils, often with patches of outcropping bedrock, both in primary (e.g., steep rocky slopes, rocky ridges, gullies, and eroded ravines) and secondary sites (regressive aspects as a consequence of fire, overgrazing, etc.), on various substrates, from limestone and travertines to marl–marly limestone to siliceous sandstone, occasionally also on loose substrate. Due to their thermophilic ecology, considering the temperate macroclimatic context, they tend to settle preferentially on hot South-facing slopes, or in sunny and arid locations (Biondi 2000; Allegrezza 2003). From a syndynamic point of view, they often represent either successional stages tending towards evergreen forests (Habitat 9340 "Forests of Quercus ilex and Quercus rotundifolia"), or edapho-xerophilic aspects developed inside a successional process having the mixed deciduous/evergreen thermophilic forests (Habitat 91AA “Eastern oak woods”), or the dwarf junipers (Habitat 4060 “Alpine and Boreal heaths”), Allegrezza et al. 2013; Ciaschetti et al. 2016) as mature stages. This vegetation can frequently come into contact with the “Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festu-
co-Brometalia (*important orchid sites*) [Habitat 6210 (*)], with the “Pseudo-steppé with grasses and annuals of the Thero-Brachypodietea” (Habitat 6220*), with the "Rupicolous calcareous or basophilic grasslands of the Alyssio-Sedion albi" (Habitat 6110*), with the "Juniperus communis formations on heaths or calcareous grasslands" (Habitat 5130), with the "Arborescent matorrals of juniperus spp." (Habitat 5210), and less frequently with the "European dry heaths" (Habitat 4030), giving rise to articulated vegetational mosaics.

**[6130] Calaminarian grasslands of the Violetalalia calaminariae**

**Macrotype:** 6 “Natural and semi-natural grassland formations” 61 “Natural grasslands”

**Type of proposal:** new subtype proposed “Communities of herbaceous and dwarf shrub-suffrutescent plants of Italian ultramafic substrates” (M. Mariotti, B. Foggi, F. Selvi, D. Viciani)

**Reason for the proposal:** The communities of herbaceous and dwarf shrub-suffrutescent plants typical of Italian ultramafic soils have been generally referred to Habitat 6130 based on broad ecological similarity, rather than on syntaxonomical evidence. In fact, the floristic differences with Violetalalia calamarietam vegetation are remarkable (Ernst 1974, 1976). In the Italian interpretation manual, the peculiarities of the Italian vegetation types have been specified with reference mainly to the Ligurian communities. We believe that the habitats strictly associated with the Italian ultramafic soils should deserve a separate treatment in the Annex I of Directive 92/43. Since at this stage this is not feasible, however, the alternative proposed here is to adopt a more inclusive concept and diagnostic phrase for Habitat 6130, as to include several Italian variants of the ultramafic outcrops in the Alps, northern Apennines, Elba, and the old mining dumps of Sardinia and other northern territories. The vegetation of the ultramafic soils, rich in endemic taxa, is widespread mainly in Liguria and Tuscana, but there are also examples on the outcrops of Emilia-Romagna, Lombardia, Piemonte and Val d’Aosta (Pignatti-Wikus and Pignatti 1977; Arrigoni et al. 1983; Nowak 1987; Chiarucci 1994, 2004; Mariotti 1994, 2008; Chiarucci et al. 1995, 1996, 1998a, 1998b, 1998c, 1999; Vagge 1997; Buffa et al. 1998; Saccani 1998, 2002; Adorni and Tomasselli 2002; Chiarucci and Mariotti 1999; Viciani et al. 2002, 2005; Foggi et al. 2006; Selvi 2007; Foggi and Venturelli 2009; Marsili and Barberis 2012; D’Amico and Previtali 2012; D’Amico et al. 2013; Saccani and Salvati 2015; Tomasselli et al. 2019a, 2019b, 2021). The plant communities on these outcrops usually form a fine mosaic due to slight geomorphological variations that support patches of different physiognomic types, such as suffrutescent, succulent herbaceous and/or therophytic communities of oligotrophic substrates and formations of graminoid herbs; there are also chasmophytic and scree communities where the substrate, stable or mobile, becomes more selective. In past studies, this mosaic was analysed as a whole using large relevés surfaces, thus leading to contrasting phytosociological classifications. The assignment of these Italian plant communities to the order Violetalalia calaminariae, hence to Habitat 6130, appears inadequate from a syntaxonomical point of view. In fact, some important diagnostic species of this habitat mentioned in the European Manual (European Commission 2013) - such as Viola calaminaria (now V. lutea subsp. calaminaria), Festuca ophioliotica, Cochlearia alpina (now C. pyrenaeica), are not found on the Italian outcrops. For this reason, the distinctness of the vegetation of the serpentine soils in northwest and central Italy would justify an ad-hoc habitat type characterized by many endemic and/or rare species, among which Nickel hyperaccumulator taxa. At this stage, however, we propose to broaden the concept of Habitat 6130 as to include different variants often in close spatial contact within the same highly specialized geolithological context. The semi-natural formations found in abandoned or not abandoned mining sites (mines of Sulcis-Iglesiente in south-western Sardegn, of Campiglia Marittima in western Toscana, of Valganna and Valvasera in the Lombardia Alps, of Cogne in Val d’Aosta, of Rabil in Carnia, etc., see e.g. Carnelli et al. 1994; Mariotti and Carnelli 1995; Angiolini et al. 2005) can also be included in this type of habitat.

**Diagnostic sentence:** herbaceous or herbaceous-suffrutescent formations with sparse cover (30-50%), natural or semi-natural, on shallow soils often with rocky or gravelly outcrops, rich in heavy metals (e.g., nickel, zinc, chromium, copper), mostly of ultramafic nature, locally in mining districts. The flora is highly specialized, with taxa adapted to heavy metals and often Ni-hyperaccumulators of family Brassicaceae. Variants are recognized based on geographical distribution, floristic composition and nature of the substrate.

**Syntaxonomic references:** the large heterogeneity of the floristic-ecological types found on ultramafic substrates is reflected in the syntaxonomical attributions below.

Alyssion bertoloni Pignatti in E. Pignatti & Pignatti 1977, Rosmarinetalia officinalis Br.-Bl. ex Molinier 1934, Rosmarinetalia officinalis Rivas-Martinez, T.E. Diaz, F.Prieto, Loidi & Penas 2002 (first and part of the third variant, see discussion); Ptilostemo casabonae-Euphorbion cupanii Angiolini, Bacchetta, Brullo, Casti, Giusto Del Galdo et Guarino 2005, Scrophulario-Helichrysetalia italicic Brullo 1984, Thlaspietea rotundifolii Br.-Bl. 1948 (second variant); Cistion ladaniféri Br.-Bl. In Br.-Bl., Molinier & Wagner 1940, Lavanduletalia stoechadis Br.-Bl. In Br.-Bl., Molinier & Wagner 1940 em. Rivas-Martinez 1968, Cisto ladaniféri-Lavanduletalia stoechadis Br.-Bl. In Br.-Bl., Molinier & Wagner 1940 (part of the third variant); Bromion erecti Koch 1926, Brometalia erecti Koch 1926, Festuco valesiacae-Brometalia erecti Br.-Bl. et Tx. ex Br.-Bl. 1949 (fourth variant); Oxytropido-Kobresion myosuridios Br.-Bl. (1948) 1949 nom. mut. propos. Rivas-Martinez, Diaz, Fernández-González, Ixco, Loidi, Lousa & Penas
2002, *Oxytropido-Kobresietalia myosuroidis* Oberdorfer 1957 nom. mut. propos. Rivas-Martínez, Diaz, Fernández-González, Izco, Loidi, Louis & Penas 2002, *Carici rupestris-Kobresietea bellardi* Obha 1974 (fifth variant).

**Reference list of diagnostic species:** Alyssoides utriculata, Armeria arenaria subsp. apennina, A. denticulata, Chelereria laricifolia subsp. ophiolepta, Euphorbia spinosa, *F. robustifolia*, Sesleria pichiana, Biscutella pichiana subsp. pichiana and subsp. ilvensis, B. frondosa, Carex fimbriata, Viola tricolor var. raibensis, Limonium merxmuelleri, Linum muelleri.

**Dynamic relationships and contacts:** Strong insolation and high selectivity of the substrate determine slow rock weathering and pedogenetic processes, resulting in durable vegetation stages of pioneer type usually connected by spatial contacts. Vegetation dynamics is very slow. In Mediterranean-climate areas the trend is, in principle, towards thermophilous (*Cyclamino repandi-Quercetum ilicis*) or mesophilius (*Cyclamino hederifoli-Quercetum ilicis*) holm oak forest types, while in cooler climates, the dynamics is towards semi-deciduous or deciduous oak forests, dominated by *Quercus pubescentia*, *Q. cerris*, *Q. petraea* or *Fagus sylvatica* (*Roso sempervirents-Quercetum pubescentia* and other associations). In the more western and coastal parts, the dynamic transition takes place through the formation of juniper scrubs with *Juniperus oxycedrus* subsp. *oxycedrus* associated with various sclero-phyls (*Carici humilis-Juniperetum oxycedri* Chiarucci, Foggi & Selvi 1999, see Chiarucci et al. 1999) while in inner areas *J. oxycedrus* is associated with *Erica scoparia*, *E. arborea* and xerophilous deciduous broad-leaved trees (Viciani et al. 2005). In *Pinus pinaster* forests (mostly deriving from old plantations) and afforested areas with *Pinus nigra* trees with *E. arborea* (Casavecchia et al. 1996; Viciani et al. 2002), followed by *Juniperus turbinata* (Selvi et al. 2017). In these situations, sub-steppic grasslands appear first (*Festuco rossii-Caricetum humilis* Viciani, Foggi, Gabellini & Rocchini 2002, see Chiarucci et al. 1996; Viciani et al. 2002), followed by *Bromopsis erecta* communities, then by dense *Brachypodium rupestrum* communities, progressively colonized by *Fabaceae* and *Rosaceae* shrubs (Chiarucci 1994). There are also contacts with meso-xeric grasslands, generally perennial (Habitat 6210) but sometimes annual too (Habitat 6220*).

**Type of proposal:** New Habitat Proposed (E. Biondi, S. Casavecchia)

**Reason for the proposal:** the centuries-old olive groves of the Mediterranean territories represent a peculiar aspect of the rural landscape of southern Europe, the Near East and North Africa, well integrated into landscape contexts characterized by a good level of naturalness (Biondi et al. 2007). These are very complex ecosystems rich in biodiversity in which cultivated and spontaneous species harmoniously coexist; some of them are species of considerable conservation interest (Gangale and Uzunov 2003; Calabrese et al. 2012; Cohena et al. 2015). The habitat is also home to numerous species of wildlife that find refuge, food and reproduce there (Carpio et al. 2016).

Secular olive groves are subject to serious pressures and threats from man and, if unprotected, they could quickly disappear (Trevisani 2004; Schicchi and Raimondo 2007). The causes are many: due to the relatively low productivity yield and the difficulties in crop care and harvesting, they are replaced with more modern systems, with more productive varieties and more suitable for agricultural mechanization. The old olive trees are also the object of reckless trade due to their high aesthetic value. To stem this phenomenon, the Puglia Region has promulgated a regional law (L.R.n. 14/2007) entitled "Protection and enhancement of the landscape of the monumental olive trees of Puglia".

**Diagnostic sentence:** a characteristic and historic landscape of the Mediterranean region with groves of centuries-old olive trees that extend through areas of Thermo and Meso-Mediterranean bioclimate and areas of the Sub-Mediterranean variant of the Temperate bioclimate. The habitat is characterized by evergreen oaks in the arborescent Matorral, and especially in southern-eastern Italy, by some fruit trees and shrubs as well as perennial grasslands with chamaephytes, hemicyryptophytes and geophytes, used for the grazing of sheep and goats. This is a very important habitat for terrestrial fauna and birds as well.

**Syntaxonomic reference:** Oleo-Ceratoniion siliqueae Br.-Bl. ex Guinocet & Drouineau 1944, Pistacia lentiscici-Rhamnetalia alaterni Rivas-Martínez 1975, Quercetea ilicis Br.-Bl. in Br.-Bl., Roussine & Nègre 1952.

**Reference list of diagnostic species:** *Olea europaea*, *O. sylvestris*, *Ceratonia siliquea*, *Quercus ilicis*, *Q. virgiliana*, *Q. trojana*, *Prunus dulcis*, *Punica granatum*.

**Dynamic relationships and contacts:** abandoned olive groves tend to rapidly transform into thick shrublands dominated by *Pistacia lentiscus*, *Rhamnus alaternus*, *Phillyrea media*, *Olea europaea* var. *sylvestris* and with *Myrtus communis*, *Rosmarinus officinalis*, *Euphorbia dendroides*, *E. characias*, *Juniperus oxycedrus* subsp. *oxycedrus*, and *J. phoenicea* subsp. *turbinata* (Biondi et al. 2014; Galié et al. 2015; Casavecchia et al. 2015). Over time, a sclerophyllous wood dominated by holm oak develops (Habitat 9340 "*Quercus ilicis* and *Quercus rotundifolia* forests") or, where environmental conditions are favorable, mixed formations of evergreen and deciduous trees with *Quercus virgiliana* and *Q. trojana* on the Murg-
ian plateau could occur (Habitat 9250 “Quercus trojana woods”). Catenal relationships: the habitat can be in spatial continuity with the Aleppo pine forests, with Turkey oak forests of Habitat 91M0 "Pannonian-Balkanic turkey oak- sessile oak forests” and with mixed forests dominated by the hop hornbeam.

[65xx] Italian sub-montane and montane pastured meadows dominated by *Cynosurus cristatus*

**Macrotypes:** 6 "Natural and semi-natural grassland formations"; 65 "Mesophile grasslands" (European Commission 2013).

**Type of proposal:** New Habitat Proposed (G. Ciaschetti, E. Del Vico, D. Gigante)

**Reason for the proposal:** this habitat refers to semi-natural submontane and montane pastures and meadows usually grazed, and occasionally also mowed. Just like other Annex I Habitats (e.g., 6210) these communities are depending on the persistence of a traditional land use (mowing, grazing), deeply related to the montane management systems: the increasing land abandonment and the consequent land use changes are causing their reduction and collapse (Blasi et al. 2009; Viciani et al. 2018). This semi-natural habitat host a remarkable rate of the montane biodiversity within the context of traditional agricultural landscapes (Gerdol and Piccoli 1980; Poldini and Oriolo 1994; Francelancia et al. 1995; Antrop 1997; Watkinson and Ormerod 2001; Ciaschetti and Pirone 2019). Compared to lowland hay meadows, these plant communities have a peculiar floristic richness, also due to the ingestion of annuals colonizing the breakings in the grass turfs created as a result of the trampling action by grazing animals. The species composition typically includes a certain rate of thorny, inappetite, or subnitrophilous species as a result of the grazing load. Rare species and various orchids can be present as well, especially in the central-southern Italian types. It is well known that traditional agricultural practices such as extensive grazing and mowing increase the vascular plant species richness and functional diversity (Hansson and Fogelfors 2000; Smith et al. 2000; Debusche et al. 2001; Pykalä 2004; Pykalä et al. 2005; Skornik et al. 2010; Vassilev et al. 2011; Blasi et al. 2012).

The plant communities belonging to the alliance *Cynosurion cristati* have not been considered a habitat of European concern sensu 43/92/EEC Directive, as they are a broadly ranged and hosting prevalently species with a wide distribution. Indeed, there is no Annex I Habitat corresponding to montane and submontane hay meadows and/or pastured meadows. They have been sometimes referred to 6510, however it is a stretch, since the latter refers to low altitude hay meadows. However, in Italy, in the Alpine and Apenninic context, these semi-natural pastures and pastured meadows host a particularly rich flora and a high number of endemic plants. Additionally, in the Mediterranean mountain ranges and in dry regions (such as Sardinia), they play a relic role hosting several boreal-temperate species confined to humid places (Bacchetta et al. 2012; Farris et al. 2013).

**Diagnostic sentence:** Mesophilous species-rich perennial grasslands dominated by hemicryptophytes, generally mowed once a year and/or extensively grazed by domestic livestock. These communities develop on flat areas or gentle slopes, including the karstic plains, on mature, nutrient-rich soils with a good water availability, mainly distributed in the Supratemperate and Mesotemperate (and, occasionally, Sub-Mesomediterranean) Bioclimatic Belts. These grasslands, characterized by a continuous and dense herb cover, are mostly dominated by *Cynosurus cristatus*. They are rich in biomass, hosting many other grass (often excellent as fodder, such as *Lolium perenne*) and leguminous species. They are typically characterized by the occurrence of plants related both to grazing and trampling as well, such as spiny and nitrophilous species.

**Syntaxonomic reference:** *Cynosurion cristati* Tüxen 1947, with the suballiances: *Cerastio arvensis-Cynosureni* cristati Blasi, Tilia, Rosati, Del Vico, Copiz, Ciaschetti & Burrascano 2012, *Trifolio resupinati-Cynosurenion cristati* Blasi, Tilia, Rosati, Del Vico, Copiz, Ciaschetti & Burrascano 2012, *Danthonio decumbentis-Caricion insularis* Farris, Secchi, Rosati & Filigheddu 2013; *Trifolio repentis-Phlelatia praetensis* Passarge 1969, *Molinio-Arhenatheretalia* Tüxen 1937; for Sicily: *Plantaginion cupansui* Brullo & Grillo 1978, *Cirsietalia vallis-fenestri* Brullo & Grillo 1978, *Molinio-Arhenatheretalia* Tüxen 1937.

**Reference list of diagnostic species:** *Cynosurus cristatus, Lolium perenne, L. pratense, L. arpinum, L. arundinaceus, Festuca rubra, Phleum pratense, P. nodosum, Anthoxanthum odoratum, Poa pratensis, P. trivialis, Trifolium repens, T. pratense subsp. pratense, Bellis perennis.*

**Dynamic relationships and contacts:** The semi-natural meadows are, by definition, secondary cenosces whose maintenance is directly depending on the continuity of an extensive management, including yearly mowing, grazing and in some cases a sporadic light manure fertilization. The abandonment of these traditional practices leads to a rapid invasion by scrub stages, and to the re-colonization of the tree- dominated potential vegetation. The habitat is dynamically linked to shrubs of the order *Prunetaulica spinosae*. Tx. 1952 (especially *Berberidion vulgaris* Br.-Bl 1950) in the Supratemperate Belt, and to the order *Pyro spinosae-Rubetalia ulmifoli* Biondi, Blasi & Casavecchia in Biondi, Allegrezza, Casavecchia, Galdenzi, Gasparri, Pesaresi, Vagge & Blasi 2014 (*Pruno spinosae-Rubion ulmifoli* O. Bolòs 1954) in the Mesotemperate and Sub-Mesomediterranean bioclimates. The potential vegetation is generally represented by thermophilic bee woods (*Aremioni agrimonioidis-Fagion sylvaticae* (Horvat 1938) Borhidi in Torok, Podani & Borhidi 1989, *Geranio versicoloris-Fagion sylvaticae* Gentile 1970, *Luzulo luzuloidis-Fagion sylvaticae* Lohmeyer & Tüxen in Tüxen 1954), edapho-mesophilic hornbeam woods (*Erythronio dentis-canis-Carpinion betuli* (Horvat 1958) Marinček in
Wallnöfer, Mucina & Grass 1993), subacidophilic Turkey oak woods [Crataego laevigatae-Quercion cerridis Arri-goni 1997, Physospermo-Quercion petraeae A.O. Horvat 1976 [= Erythronio dentis-canis-Quercion petraeae Ubaldi (1988) 1990]. In spatial (catenal) contact with these communities, it is possible to find dry grasslands dom-inated by Bromopsis erecta (Bromion erecti Koch 1926, Phleo ambiguus-Bromion erecti Biondi, Ballelli, Allegrezza & Zuccarello ex Biondi & Galdenzi 2012, belonging to Habitat 6210), hygrophilous grasslands belonging to the orders Trifolio-Hordeetalia Horvatić 1963 or Potentillo anserinae-Polygonetalia avicularis Tüxen 1947, acidophi-tic grasslands dominated by Nardus stricta of the Annex I Habitat 6230* (Biondi and Ballelli 1995; Catorci et al. 2007; Di Pietro et al. 2017).

[91xx] Italian-balkan hop-hornbeam woods

Macrotpe: 9 “Forests”; 91 “Forests of temperate Eu-rome”

Type of proposal: New Habitat Proposed (M. Allegrezza, L. Poldini, C. Lasen, M. Vidali)

Reason for the proposal: these are very extensive vegetation (more than 700,000 hectares in Italy) spread from Italy to the Balkan Peninsula. A high number of syntaxa and syntaxonomic review (e.g., Poldini 1988; Ubaldi 1995, 2003; Blasi et al. 2004, 2006; Poldini et al. 2017) have been published in Italy. These are rare examples of vegetation whose altitudinal extension on the Alps, Apennines and Balkania is so wide as to connect them with the Medi terranean horizon where they actively participate in meso-oro-Mediterranean formations (Quercetalia ilicis) to reach the subalpine types in contact with Erica-Pinetalia and Fagetalia up to mixed shrub formations with Ostrya and Rhododendron hirsutum. The censuses of the karst area are also very rich in Illyrian-Balkan elements which here have their only Italian localities. They are habitats with a high natural value, which host rare, endemic and / or threatened species, including numerous orchids.

Diagnostic sentence: Italian-balkan meso-xerophile mixed woods clearly dominated by Ostrya carpinifolia. They are distributed from southern slopes of the Alps (with gravitation in the South-eastern Alps) to Apennines on preferably calcareous substrates, from the mesotem perate to the lower supratemperate thermotype, with ramifications in the mesomediterranean thermotype.

Subtypes and variants:

Subtype A. Ostrya carpinifolia woods in the south-eastern Alpine sector. Reference alliances Fraxino orni-Ostryi on carpinifoliorum (xerophilous woods rich in Erica-Pinetalia on more primitive soils) and Buglossoido purpurocaeruleo-Ostryon carpinifoliae (edaphomesoxerophilous and edaphophilesophilos woods on more evolved soils). In the Fraxino orni-Ostryon carpinifoliorum alliance, two geo graphical and ecological variants are distinguished: (i) subcontinental hop-hornbeam woods of the valleys of the Middle and South Tyrol (Saponario ocymode-Quer-
acae [(Habitat 6210(*)) “Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (“important orchid sites”)”] in the most continental part (Alto Adige, Val Venosta). In the Apennines, hop-hornbeam woods are dynamically connected with the shrub communities of the Cytisus sessilifolii alliance while the thermophilic Apennine and pre-Apennine ones with those of the Pruno-Rubion ulmifolii alliance. The grasslands in dynamic contact with these cenoses belong to Phleo ambiguus-Bromion erecti alliance for the typically calcicolous aspects and Bromion erecti alliance on terrigenous substrates, both referable to Habitat 6210(*).

**Discussion**

Although it is believed that it is more convenient and simple to propose implementations, such as adding subtypes or variants to existing habitats, we are convinced that in some cases, excessive forcing would be caused that lead to the distortion of the meaning of the habitat and its ambiguous interpretation. As an example, we can mention the attribution of Italian oak forests to Habitat 91H0 (Pannonian woods with Quercus pubescens) before the inclusion in Annex I of the Habitat 91AA following the entry of Romania and Bulgaria into the European Union. The description of “endemic” habitats for Italy, in addition to giving value to Italian phytocenotic and ecosystem biodiversity, also represents a “political” fact as, in our opinion, it gives greater importance to the biodiversity conservation policies.

Some of the proposed new habitats/new subtypes have a geographic jurisdiction that goes beyond the “borders” of central Italy, being in fact widespread throughout the national territory such as the Italo-Balkan hop-hornbeam woods or the habitat of freshwater large sedge and reeds beds. For these habitats, their diffusion at a national level is highlighted in the sheet, although the aspects relating to the conditions in which they occur in central Italy have been more in-depth discussed. As already mentioned, in the next future other articles about single habitats will be provided.

In general, it can be highlighted that the relative majority of the proposed habitats fall into macro-categories 3 (Fresh water habitats) and 6 (Natural and semi-natural grassland formations), together making up 60% of the new proposals.

This result is perfectly in line with the biodiversity conservation emergencies reported globally in relation to wet ecosystems which, due to global warming and the unsustainable exploitation of water resources, currently represent the ecosystems most threatened with disappearance (Titus 1988; Findlay and Bourdages 2000; Gibbs 2000; Nichols 2004; Coleman et al. 2008; Davidson and Nick 2014; Hu et al. 2017). On the other hand, grasslands represent an emergency at European level since, especially for secondary grasslands of anthropogenic origin, we are witnessing a significant rarefaction of this habitat due to the social transformations that involve the abandonment of traditional agro-pastoral practices on secondary grasslands. When abandoned, they are destined to disappear due to the natural processes of recovery by the woody vegetation. On the contrary, if they are subjected to intensive management, they undergo a deep transformation in terms of species composition to the advantage of more productive and profitable herbaceous crops but, consequently, of lesser or no naturalistic interest. Substituting traditional sheeps and goats flocks with more productive and less expensive cows and horses herds produce negative impact on these habitats too.

Alongside these general considerations, with regard to individual habitats, some comments can be added.

**31xx** Freshwater large sedge and reed beds: three subtypes can be identified concerning this habitat. The first subtype is related to the vegetation dominated by large sedges, that are developed on the edge of lakes, humid depressions and peat bogs, on more or less submerged soils that remain soaked in water for the entire year. Many sedge species are involved, some of them very rare in Italy as Carex buxbaumii, C. disticha, C. vulpina and C. acuta (Pirone 1987; Pedrotti 2015, 2016; Ciaschetti et al. 2021; Venanzoni et al. 2021). In these cases, the habitat can be considered as priority. Other species not listed in the sheet are Carex paniculata, C. riparia, C. rostrata, C. acutiformis, C. otrubae, C. microcarpa, C. randalpina, C. appropinquata, C. hispida, C. diandra, C. lasiocarpa, and Cyperus longus.

The second subtype is related to the vegetation dominated by graminoid helophytes developed in stations permanently submerged by stagnant or slowly flowing waters, often subject to seasonal fluctuations. Other species, besides those listed in the sheet, are Schoenoplectus lacustris, Schoenoplectiella mucronata, Typha domingensis, T. latifolia, T. laxmannii, T. shuttleworthii.

The third subtype is about sedges, graminoids and tall-herb communities of banks that grow on stabilized organic substrates (floating mass of vegetation built by the communities themselves) that are partly or completely floating.

**32xx** Shrubby willow formations of river banks and fens: for this new habitat, two subtypes are currently known. The first subtype deals with shrubby willow grooving on flat, hilly and Mediterranean-mountain areas: pioneer shrubby willows communities (Salix purpurae subsp. purpurae, subsp. lambertiana and subsp. eburnea, S. triandra, S. bruta, S. amplexicaulis, S. pedicellata, S. ionica) that colonize the gravelly-pelby river deposits, subject to periodic flooding, especially in autumn and winter. They represent the first stage of colonization of the river banks by wood species. The second subtype consists of shrublands of swampy areas characterized by gray willow (Salix cinerea): shrub formations dominated by Salix cinerea that colonize the swampy areas of the alluvial plains and the edges of lakes and ponds that remain swamped even during the summer period.
[40xx] Apennine hilly and montane garrigues: the floristic composition of this habitat is very rich; indeed, alongside the species cited as the most significant at a diagnostic level, other important rare species occur, such as Alyssoides utriculata, Lotus hirsutus, Artemisia alba, sometimes in very restricted areas such as the case of Ephedra major and Cytisus decumbens. Furthermore, endemic and subendemic species such as Santolina etrusca, Onosma pseudoarenaria subsp. lucana, Achillea rupestris subsp. calcarea, Calendula suffruticosa subsp. fulgida, Plocamia calabrica, Genista pulchella subsp. aquilaniana, Centaurea ceratophylla subsp. ceratophylla, and Centaurea scannensis are frequent.

[6130] Communities of herbaceous and dwarf shrub-suffrutescent plants of Italian ultramafic substrates: for this new subtype of Habitat 6130, five variants can be identified. The first one refers to low suffrutescent-herbaceous communities of Liguria, Toscana, Emilia-Romagna and Piemonte, referable to the associations Armerio denticulatae-Alyssetum bertolonii and Biscutello prinzericae-Alyssetum bertolonii. The second variant occurs on active extraction sites and old abandoned mining sites and quarries of Val d’Aosta, Piemonte, Liguria, Lombardia, Friuli Venezia Giulia, Toscana and Sardegna. It deals with aspects located in mining sites (secondary sites), mostly abandoned, with more or less mobile artificial deposits with medium-thin sediments, colonized to various degrees by metallicolic, herbaceous or suffrutescent species (or ecotypes), distinguished mainly based on the chemical characteristics of the deposits. The third variant refers to formations of central-eastern Liguria, Toscana and Emilia-Romagna, dominated by hemisphaerical cushions of Euphorbia spinosa; these are referable to the associations Euphorbio spinosae-Genistetum desoeanae (Liguria), Armerio-Alyssetum bertolonii subass. euphorbietaum spinosae (western Toscana) and Euphorbio spinosae-Cistetum monspeliensis (Elba Island). The fourth variant is formed by Serpentine steppes represented by sub-steppes of central-eastern Toscana (Val Tiberina), Liguria and Piemonte (Val di Susa, Valli di Lanzo, etc.), dominated by perennial grasses referable, at least in part, to the association Festuco robustifoliarum-Caricetum humilis and by substeppes dominated by perennial grasses partially referable to the association Cerastio suffrutiicosi-Seslerietum pichianae. Finally, the fifth variant refers to Alpine heavy metal communities dealing with high-altitude pioneer grasslands of Val d’Aosta (M. Avic) referable to the association Caricetum fimbriatae.

As regards as the floristic composition of these formations, a rich contingent of species occur alongside those already cited: Bromopsis erecta, Cardamine plumieri, Carex fimbriata, Carex humilis, Centaurea aalolepa (subsp. apolepa, carueliana, lunensis), Danthonia alpina, Festuca cinerea, F. inops, Leucanthemum pachyphyllum, Noccaea coerulescens, Odontharrhena argentea, O. bertolonii, Plantago subulata, Sabulina verna, Scorzonera austriaca, Sesamoides interrupta, Stachys recta, Stipa bromoides, S. etrusca, S. tirsa, Thymus striatus subsp. acicularis, in addition to numerous endemic taxa with a restricted range. Cerastium ustrinense, Santolina ligustica, Viola bertolonii, Echium anchoisoides and other metallicolic ecotypes, such as, Alpagoestis alpina, Festuca luedii, F. stricta, Agrostis tenuis, Avenella flexuosa, Silene vulgaris, S. paradoxa.

Finally, it is important to underline that the communities strictly found on ultramafic cliffs and scree deposits (chasmophytic, comophytic and glareicolous) should be referred to other types of Habitats (8220 [62.21 and/or 62.28] and 8130 respectively). Further problems arise from the comparison with the Habitats 4090, 6110 and 8230 with which they share some diagnostic species and some ecological and site characteristics.

[63xx] “Centuries-old olive groves” with evergreen Quercus subsp. and arboresecent matorral: one of the most important diagnostic species is Olea europaea L. var. sylvestris (Mill.) Leht (Olea europaea subsp. oleaster (Mill.) Rouy ex Hegi) spontaneous taxon of the Mediterranean shrubland, mainly occurring in the coastal areas of southern Italy and Sardinia (Pignatti 2018); the wild variety is no longer considered to have any taxonomic value (Bartolucci et al. 2018) but, despite this, considering its phytosociological significance (a characteristic entity of the alliance Oleo-Ceratomion) and its importance in the recolonization processes of the maquis, it was considered appropriate to put it among the diagnostic species. Concerning the species of flora that participate in the composition of the habitat, it is worth mentioning: Pinus halepensis, Quercus calliprinos, Ficus carica, Sorbus domestica, Rhamnus alaternus, Pistacia lentiscus, Myrtus communis, Rosmarinus officinalis, Phillyrea media, P. angustifolia, Euphorbia dendroides, E. characias, E. wulfenii, Juniperus oxycedrus subsp. oxycedrus, J. phoenicea subsp. turbinata, Anagryis foetida, Amelopelos mauritanicus, Rubus ulmifolius, Spartium junceum, Calicotome infesta and endemic and subendemics orchids of genus Ophrys (O. celiensis, O. apulica, O. tarentina, O. garganica, O. candica, O. tardinis, etc.).

[65xx] Italian submontane and montane pastured meadows dominated by Cynousorus cristatus: the habitat holds a huge geographical variability; reverberating in the floristic composition that allows the detection of five subtypes. The first one is related to the Alps, with coenoses more similar to those of Central Europe and characterized by a strong presence of Eurasian, boreal and orophile species. A second subtype groups the C-N-Apennine communities, that can be interpreted as transition aspects between the Alpic and southern coenoses, with characteristic ingestions of species coming from more or less xerophilic Bromopsis erecta dominated prairies. The third subtype is spread in C-S-Apennine in a transitional oceanic climate, so with more mediterranean influence both by a biogeographical and climatic point of view, leading to the presence of thermophilous and annual species. Sardinian communities represent a fourth subtype linked to upper mesotemperate to lower supratemperate humid bioclimates, characterized by the richness of sardinian endemic species, some of which are worthy of particular
attention. The last subtype concerns with the coenoses of Sicily, more thermophilous, with a strong presence of species endemic to Sicily or Aspromonte.

[91xx] Italian-Balkan hop-hornbeam woods: the diagnostic sentence reported in the sheet refers to the main distribution of the habitat in Italy whose maximum expression with the clearly dominant Ostrya carpinifolia is achieved from the south-eastern Alps to the Apennine ridge. In this first phase, the localized distribution of the habitat in Sardinia (Bacchetta et al. 2009) was not considered, as well as the ravine formations of Sicily (Bartolo et al. 1990) and southern Italy (Brullo et al. 2001) where O. carpinifolia has relictual character. However, syntaxonomical updates are in progress, some of which have already been partially published in Poldini et al. (2017).

Conclusion

In conclusion, some reflections are needed.

First of all, it should be emphasized again that the list of habitats presented here and briefly discussed can be integrated with other new habitats or subtypes. Furthermore, it is necessary to better define the distribution range of these habitats through detailed analyses and necessary insights through the involvement of the entire scientific community that has been dealing with the conservation of biodiversity and problems related to the Natura 2000 network for decades.

Although the list presented here is certainly not exhaustive and the new types of habitats must certainly be better defined, we want to underline once again the need to include all the biodiversity of Italian natural and semi-natural biotopes in the application of the Directive, also considering their very important role as biological corridors that are necessary for the conservation not only of the floristic-vegetational component but also of micro- and macro-fauna.
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