Systemic sclerosis cutaneous expression: Management of skin fibrosis and digital ulcers
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A B S T R A C T

Systemic sclerosis is a connective tissue disease with cutaneous involvement. Clinical manifestations result from the balance of inflammations/autoimmunity process and fibrogenesis. Patients suffer from skin ulcers, non-ulcerative lesions including digital pitting scars, telangiectasias, subungual hyperkeratosis, abrasions, fissures, and subcutaneous calcinosis. A review about the pathophysiology of the disease, the physical examination of the patients, the instrumental assessment, and possible treatments is performed.

1. Introduction

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a connective tissue disease, characterized by vascular dysfunction, abnormal fibroblast activation and antibodies production, with cutaneous and visceral involvement [1–5]. Several clinical manifestations are described, including skin fibrosis (diffuse cutaneous or limited SSc), digital ulcers [6], pulmonary involvement (interstitial lung disease) [6,7], pulmonary hypertension [8–10], cardiac [11–13], renal, gastro-intestinal involvement and arthritis [14]. Due to cardiac and pulmonary; complications, prognosis can be severe [7,8,11].

2. Pathophysiology

Clinical and serological SSc phenotype result from a complex interaction of multiple factors in genetically predispose subjects. B-cell and T-cell activation, altered fibroblast activity and microangiopathy resulting from endothelial dysfunction are the main pathogenetic features [15,16]. Moreover, genetic human leukocyte antigen alterations, viral infections such as Parvovirus B19 and Cytomegalovirus [17–22] in addition to environmental toxins [23] seem to be triggers of the disease.

Indeed, autonomic dysfunction may also play a role in the SSc pathogenesis [24]. Clinical manifestations result from the balance of inflammations/autoimmunity process and fibrogenesis. In a set of vascular deregulation tissue damage; mediated by some vascular elements such as endothelial growth factor, endothelin 1, platelet derived growth; factor, fibroblast growth factor b, produce fibroblasts and leukocytes recruitment. Regulatory T cells, T-helper 2 and T-helper 1 cells interact with fibroblast cell line leading to myofibroblast production of Extracellular matrix (ECM) with overexpression of collagen, responsible for tissue remodelling and fibrosis, with evidence of tumor necrosis factor α and chemokine alterations [25–28]. Endothelial-mesenchymal transition may be a crucial event linking endothelial dysfunction and development of dermal fibrosis [29]. Vasculopathy is considered a cardinal feature of SSc complications. Platelet activations, aggregation and thrombotic events are related to severe organ complications such as digital ulcer, pulmonary hypertension and scleroderma renal crisis. Vascular pathological changes such as intimal hyperplasia, adventitial fibrosis and compromised lumen can be found [30]. It is interesting how SSc shares with COVID-19 a possible common pathological mechanism, including ILD evolution to fibrosis, endothelial damage and consequent diffuse microangiopathy [31–35].
3. Physical examination

During physical examination cutaneous involvement must be assessed, starting from the presence of skin ulcers (SU). SU can be classified as digital ulcers (DU) of hands (Fig. 1) and feet, SU of bony prominence (Fig. 2), SU on calcinosis, SU of lower limbs, DU/SU with gangrene (Fig. 3) [36]. SU is firstly evaluated according to triangle wound assessment, considering wound bed (tissue type, exudate, infection), wound edge (maceration, dehydration, undermining, rolled), peri wound skin (maceration, excoriation, dry skin, hyperkeratosis, callus, eczema) [37]. DU represent one of the most recurrent SSc complications, associated with limited daily activity [38]. Moreover, osteomyelitis may complicate DU infection [38,39] leading to amputation and morbidity. In addition, the presence of non-ulcerative lesions including digital pitting scars, telangiectasias, subungual hyperkeratosis, abrasions, fissures and subcutaneous calcinosis should be taken into consideration [6,36]. Edematous feature of the hands is described as “puffy hands” (Fig. 4), and reflects an active stage of disease, previous to fibrotic degeneration. On the other hand, advanced fibrotic involvement of the fingers is defined sclerodactyly (Fig. 5). Microstomia can be appreciated when oral rhyme is reduced and thinned, limiting jaw opening; angular cheilitis may be a microstomia complication (Figs. 6 and 7). Diffuse hyper melanosis of the skin is addressed as melanoderma [6]. Morphea consists in localized scleroderma and is considered as a different condition, although evolution from localized to systemic disease is possible [4].

OBJECTIVE SCALES

Skin thickness can be evaluated performing the modified Rodnann skin score. Total score is calculated assigning score values from 0 to 3 for each body area (fingers, hands, forearms, upper arms, face, anterior chest, abdomen, thighs, legs and feet). 0 for normal skin (fine wrinkles appreciable); 1 for mild skin thickness (skin folds between the examiner’s fingers, fine wrinkles are acceptable); 2 for moderate skin thickness (difficulty in skin folding and no appreciable wrinkles); 3 when severe skin thickness is present (complete impossibility in skin folding between examiner’s fingers). Total score is obtained adding single scores for all the body areas assessed [40].

4. Subjective scales

Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP) can be assessed using a 0–10 ordinal scale. The Raynaud Condition Scale (RCS), considers frequency, duration, intensity and impact of RP episodes. Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) is useful to measure patients’ self-reported function. Each question can be scored scored 0–3 (where 0 = without difficulty and 3 = unable to do). The questions investigate patients’ ability regarding several domains such as dressing and grooming, arising, eating, walking, hygiene, reach, grip and common daily activities. The maximum score reported from each category is added together and divided by the number of completed categories. An extra point can be added, to a maximum of 3, for each category if the need of aids/devices is reported. Whereas for a maximum score [3], it cannot be increased [41]. Functional disability scales include the Durouz’s Hand Index (DHI) and the Mouth Handicap in Systemic Sclerosis scale (MHISS). DHI is a self-administered questionnaire that evaluates hand function during...
dimensional and multi-dimensional scales. The Visual Analog Scale (VAS) is the visual representation of the perceived pain by the patient on a 10 cm-long line, where the two extremities correspond to no pain at all on one side, and unbearable pain on the other side. The Short Form McGill Pain Questionnaire is instead a validated self-reported pain measure, assessing both quality and intensity of pain including 15 descriptors of pain (0–4 score) [44].

5. Objective assessment

Nailfold capillaroscopy allows to evaluate microangiopathy features, highlighting vascular morphological abnormalities such as enlarged, giant-bushy capillaries, microhaemorrhages, variable loss of capillaries and vascular desertification. Capillaroscopic findings vary according to different stage of disease and could predict the risk of future complications such as digital ulcers [45–49]. Hands’ Range of Motion (ROM) can be estimated using a small-joints-specific goniometer with a 180° quadrant through a dorsal positioning. The articular angles of motion are measured, and limitation reflects disease severity and progression [50]. Typically, SSc-associated ROM limitations include loss of flexion of metacarpo-phalangeal joints, loss of extension of proximal interphalangeal joints and loss of thumb abduction, opposition, and flexion. Another approach consists in measuring the distance between the middle finger and the palm, reflecting patient’s inability to make a fist [50]. In addition to hand function loss, strength decrease can be observed in around 90% of SSc patients [50]. Jamar Dynamometer is a validated tool used to measure grasp static force using 5 different handle widths ranging from 3.5 to 8.8 cm: the first 3 positions test the involvement of hand intrinsic and extrinsic flexors, the last 2 evaluate mainly extrinsic flexors action. An average value among three measures for each position is recorded to obtain an accurate report. Jamar Pinch-Gauges instead, evaluates pinch force. Three different specific positions involving the thumb and the index and middle finger of the hand are involved: the key pinch, the three-jaw pinch and tip to tip pinch [50]. Semmest-Weistein monofilament test is used to assess the threshold for response to tactile stimuli on different hands’ locations. The test consists of five filaments with different thickness, the patient is asked to identify when applied on the skin, starting from the finest filament. This allows to estimate tactile sensation deficits also from a quantitative point of view. The static two-points discrimination test is instead employed to test functional sensibility asking the patient to recognize as different two close stimuli applied on a small area of the skin. Normal sensibility corresponds to a two-point-distance less than 6 mm.

6. Local treatment

For DU wound bed preparation should be approached considering the acronym TIME (necrotic Tissue, Infection and inflammation, Moisture balance, Epithelization). A sharp wound debridement should be performed, allowing the removal of damaged tissue and reducing bacterial load. Wound swab can be performed if signs of inflammation and infection are noted [36]. Advanced techniques of wound dressing include the use of alginate, hydrocolloid, hydrofibril, hydrogel for autolytic debridement and polyurethane foam or film, to ensure a proper moisture balance, accelerating wound healing, to a complete reepithelization [36]. A proper pain management is mandatory for both background and procedural pain while performing sharp debridement [51–54]. The use of Hypericum perforatum and neem oil has been proved effective for the treatment of subcutaneous calcinosis [55] and hyperkeratosis. For SU scarcely responsive to traditional treatments, regenerative medicine options are available. Local approach using autologous skin grafting and homologous platelet gel has been described [56,57]. The implantation of autologous mesenchimal stem cells derived from adipose tissue represent an innovative technique promoting a faster wound healing [58–61].
7. Systemic treatment

Following the EULAR recommendations for the treatment of SSc vasculopathy, calcium channel blockers represent the first line treatment [65]. Periodic Illoprost intravenous infusions are recommended for the healing of DU together with oral Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors [65–70]. Endothelin receptor antagonists are also used to prevent the occurrence of DU [65]. Among systemic treatments, Rituximab (RTX) improves cutaneous and articular manifestations, moderating also lung fibrotic involvement [71]. The use of growth factors such as recombinant human erythropoietin and granulocytes colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) could be proposed for the treatment of obstinate SU healing [72, 73]. Systemic pain treatment is fundamental in SSC cutaneous expressions as previously described [52].

7.1. Autologous fat grafting

Furthermore, autologous fat grafting seems to be a new promising approach in the management of SS cutaneous manifestations. Fat tissue can be harvested under local anaesthesia through the Coleman’s technique, then adipose tissue-derived stem cells (ATSC) can be obtained and grafted on different body locations as the perioral region or the hands [58,74–76]. It was demonstrated that ATSC have proangiogenic activity, immunosuppressive properties, and differentiation potential. The positive effect of fat tissue grafting on overall tissue quality, results in improvement and slowdown of SSC complications such as microstomia, xerostomia, skin sclerosis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, and long-lasting digital ulcers [58].

8. Anesthesiological considerations

Patients with SSC presenting for even minimal surgery requesting moderate sedation to general anaesthesia can be challenging for every anaesthesiologist [62]. Adequate preoperative evaluation should quantify extent and severity of SSC related disease and perioperative possible complications in order to properly plan the optimal technique. Systemic comorbidities such as interstitial lung disease, pulmonary arterial hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and kidney failure are often present at the same time and must be considered together with difficult airway management [63]. A high psychiatric burden is also observed in these patients: depression and anxiety above all. These conditions together with a history of severe chronic pain make even the local anaesthesia procedure more difficult than usual. The collaboration inside Surgical teams of skilled anaesthesiologists together with newer patient-tailored techniques such as Target Control Infusion (TCI) and proper intraoperative monitoring can be the first choice for moderate sedation in most common cutaneous surgical procedures on SSC patients [64].

9. Patient management in scleroderma unit

A close collaboration between different specialists is essential for the management of patients with cutaneous expressions of SSC. The role of the rheumatologist is the control of the disease throughout systemic and local treatments. Moreover, a strict follow-up of the patient is crucial to note and promptly treat cutaneous changes. Skin ulcers must be treated by well-skilled healthcare assistants according to physician’s advice. The role of the plastic surgeon is to identify the patient which can take advantages from the autologous fat grafting both for the prevention and treatment of SU. SSc has a great impact on the psychophysical and emotional sphere of the patient that explains the fundamental role of the psychologist. Skilled anesthesiologists are important for the control of pain during the surgical treatment.

10. Conclusion

Cutaneous involvement assessment is fundamental to perform the more appropriate treatment. Measurements of skin conditions throughout scales and instruments is essential to monitor the ongoing therapy. Skin ulcers can be treated throughout debridement and advanced techniques of wound dressings. Systemic treatment is helpful not only for the treatment of the cutaneous manifestations of SSc but also for the prevention. Skin could be considered a window of the disease: if skin conditions worsen, an aggravation of cardiac and pulmonary involvement can be suspected. Autologous fat grafting seems to be an effective approach in the management of the cutaneous manifestations of the disease. Nevertheless, a close collaboration between rheumatologists and plastic surgeons is mandatory to individualize patients that can benefit from autologous fat grafting. This review can be helpful for young doctors without a great experience to understand how to manage this disease. A constant updating represents the milestone of the best treatment.
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