Bioprospecting of endophytic bacteria from the Indian Himalayas and their role in plant growth promotion of maize (Zea mays L.)
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ABSTRACT

Endophytes are the hidden companions of inner plant tissues with the ability to undergo various plant growth mechanisms to benefit their host. Looking at the endophytic microbes’ benefits, a total of 67 putative endophytic bacteria were isolated using different nutrient growth media from three diverse maize genotypes grown at Baru Sahib the “Valley of Divine Peace” Himachal Pradesh. Out of the 67, 10 endophytic bacterial isolates were selected for further characterization on the basis of plant growth-promoting (PGP) attributes. Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) solubilization was observed in about 25% of the bacterial isolates. Additionally, bacterial endophytes’ ability to undergo mechanisms like nitrogenase activity, production of indole acetic acids, and siderophores was also studied. Among the 10 selected bacterial strains, three efficient endophytic PGP strains EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1 were identified as Pseudomonas brenneri, Ewingella americana, and Pantoea agglomerans, respectively. The phylogenetic tree was constructed to know the taxonomical affiliations of selected bacterial strains. These three efficient endophytic bacterial strains were tested on the maize seeds. The isolates efficiently increased the shoot length and enhanced anthocyanin, chlorophyll content, physiological available iron, and total protein content when compared to untreated control maize plants at 60 days of maize plant growth. These bacterial strains, as single or in a consortium, could be useful as bioinoculants for sustainable agriculture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Staple food is required to meet the increasing demands of the rising world population. In 2020, the global demand for maize was estimated to increase by 45% when compared to wheat and rice. Following wheat and rice, maize was ranked as the third important cereal crop in the world [1]. Maize mostly supplies with 365 Kcal/100 g of energy as compared to wheat and rice. It is one of the major components with various applications in food, feed, and industrial areas [2]. Maize requires an adequate supply of chemical fertilizers for maintaining proper growth and high yield. Phosphorus is one of the important macronutrients required for maintaining the physiological processes in early and later stages of maize development [3]. The deficiency of phosphorus fertilizers particularly during early developmental stages resulted in the reduced growth of the root system and uptake of nutrients which negatively impacted the growth and development of maize for the rest of the season. Over the last few centuries, farmers have been greatly encouraged to increase the applications of phosphorus fertilizers for better yields without the fear of drawbacks of increased phosphorus accumulation in soil and surface runoff [4]. In the future, excessive utilization of phosphorus fertilizers will lead to harmful impacts on the environment, as well as human health. The low solubility of phosphate in the soil leads to easily runoff in lakes, rivers, and oceans and further causes degradation of water quality and eutrophication [5].

The alternative approach to chemical fertilizers is the use of biofertilizers. Biofertilizers mainly consist of living cells of selected microbes which utilize different mechanisms such as those providing nutrients through the root system, improving plant fitness and fertility of soil to improve crop yield, and also play a vital role in nutrient cycling. Plant roots are the hotspots for the microbes due to the availability of root exudates and rhizodeposits.

*Corresponding Author
Ajar Nath Yadav, Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Khem Singh Gill Akal College of Agriculture, Eternal University, Baru Sahib, India. Email: ajarbiotech@gmail.com ; ajar@eternaluniversity.edu.in
†Contributed equally to the present work

© 2021 Rana, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License -NonCommercial-ShareAlike Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).
as source of nutrients for microbes [6]. The rhizospheric region is a host for plant growth-promoting (PGP) microbes, although the literature had reported that the interior of plant organs, such as root, stem, leaves, flowers, seeds, and fruits, to be mostly populated by endophytic microbes generally derived from the rhizospheric regions [7]. Endophytic microbes live inside the tissue of plants without causing any harmful impact on the health of host plant and enhance the growth of plant through direct and indirect mechanisms [8]. The direct mechanisms consist of biological nitrogen fixation, helping plants in acquisition of different nutrients, and synthesis of phytohormones auxin (Indole-3-acetic-acid), cytokinins, gibberellins, and ethylene [9]. The indirect mechanisms consist of prevention of harmful effect of phytopathogenic microbes, production of siderophores, and ammonia [10].

In India, about 98% of the soil has been reported to face the deficiency of the phosphorus nutrient. The content of P is about 0.05% (w/w) in average soil, but a very low amount 0.1% of the total P is available to plants due to poor solubility. The phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria, also referred to as phosphobacteria, mostly secrete low molecular weight organic acids (fumaric acid, succinic acid, acetic acid, gluconic acid, lactic acid) or extracellular enzymes, which decrease the pH and solubilize the mineral phosphates [11]. A vast diversity of PGP-endophytic bacteria belonging to different genera Acidovorax, Agrobacterium, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Curtobacterium, Enterobacter, Pantoea, Pseudomonas, Rhanella, Klebsiella, and Variovorax from maize have been reported [11–15].

The effective PGP microbes isolated from one specific area may show positive output, whereas, with the changes in climatic condition, soil type, and availability of different components in the soil, the similar PGP microbes may not be able to perform their activity the same way [16]. The objective of the present study was to isolate and characterize phosphorus-solubilizing activity and other attributes of plant growth promotion of endophytic bacteria from maize growing at Baru Sahib, located in Himachal Pradesh, Northern Hill Zone, in India. Furthermore, there is no data available on phosphorus-solubilizing endophytic bacteria from this region. We further aimed to assess the advancement in phylogenetic diversity of bacterial endophytes and finally selected the PGP endophytic bacteria with potential application to be used as bioinoculants under in-vitro conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Isolation and Enumeration of Bacterial Endophytes

Healthy genotypes of maize as local red, local white, and anthocyanin rich were collected from different fields of Baru Sahib, Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh, India, for the isolation and enumeration of endophytic bacteria. Baru Sahib is spread over 400 acres with an altitude of 1,552 meters above sea level. Each of the three replicated samples of each genotype of maize was collected, labeled, and transported to the laboratory. The plant roots were washed properly for the removal of adhering soil under running tap water. The bacterial endophytes were isolated using the methods described by Conn and Franco [17], and diverse growth medium were utilized, such as Burks media, tryptic soy agar, Jensen’s agar, Kings B agar, yeast manitol agar, nutrient agar, Azotobacter media, T.A media, Actinomyces isolation agar, and Luria Bertani agar for isolation [18], followed by incubation at 30°C–35°C. The purified colonies were maintained at 4°C in slants and (25%) glycerol stock at −80°C.

2.2. Screening of Bacterial Endophytes for PGP-Attributes

The bacterial endophytes were initially screened for direct PGP attributes, including solubilization of phosphorus on Pikovskaya agar [19], potassium on Alexandrov agar medium [20], and zinc on nutrient agar supplemented with insoluble compounds of zinc [21], respectively. Screening of nitrogen-fixing ability of endophytic microbes was tested by the acetylene reduction assay [22]. The other PGP attributes, indole-3-acetic-acid [23], siderophores [24], and ammonia production [25], were carried out using the standard method. All assays were carried out in triplicate.

2.2.1 Phosphorus solubilization

The quantitative estimation of selected bacterial endophytes for solubilization of phosphorus was carried out according to the method described by Murphy and Riley [26]. In 25 ml of the National Botanical Research Institute phosphate medium broth (supplemented with 0.5% tri-calcium phosphate), 1 ml of bacterial suspension was inoculated and incubated for 1 week at a temperature of 30°C. A week later, incubated bacterial suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was collected for phosphorus estimation. To 1 mL of supernatant, 10 ml of ammonium molybdate solution was added and the volume was made to 45 ml by addition of distilled water which was followed by the addition of 4–5 drops of chlorostannous acid and optical density (OD) at 600 nm. The concentration of P was expressed in mg/L.

2.2.2. Indole-3-Acetic Acid Production

The quantitative estimation of indole acetic acids (IAA) production was carried out by inoculating 1 ml bacterial suspension in 25 ml of Luria-Bertani (LB) broth. Quantitatively, the IAA production was carried out in two sets, one set of LB broth containing 0.25M L-tryptophan and the other set without tryptophan incubated at 30°C for 3 days. In both sets, Salkowski’s reagent was added and observed for the development of pink colorations and IAA concentration was estimated by taking the OD at 530 nm [23].

2.2.3 Biological Nitrogen Fixation

The ability of putative endophytic bacterial culture for nitrogen-fixing attributes was carried out using the acetylene reduction assay technique [22]. The nitrogenase activity was expressed as the amount of ethylene produced (in moles) per unit time and cell number. Protein concentration was estimated by Bradford’s method [27].

2.2.4 In-vitro Antagonistic Activity

The bacterial endophytes property of antagonism was evaluated against Fusarium gramineum. The fungal pathogen was obtained from culture collection at the Division of Microbiology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India.
2.3 Evaluation of Endophytic Bacteria on the Growth Promotion of Maize

Based on the different PGP attributes of bacterial endophytes, strains EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1 were selected for exploring their ability to promote seedling growth of F_{1} pioneer hybrid maize under greenhouse conditions. Bacterial endophytes were grown overnight in nutrient broth on a rotary shaker at an incubation temperature of 30°C. All the pots were placed in a completely randomized design in a greenhouse for the avoidance of contamination. For each treatment, there were three replicates. After 1 week of germination, thinning was conducted for the maintenance of two plants per pot. The seedlings were watered properly for maintaining moisture content. At regular periods of 30, 60, and 90 days, the shoot length, number of tillers, and number of spikes were measured. The treatment in the present study consisted of EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1, 50% P (half dose of di-ammonium phosphate), 100% P (full dose of di-ammonium phosphate), and control, respectively.

2.3.1. Analysis of Biochemical Levels

The content of chlorophyll and anthocyanin in maize leaves was calculated according to standard method described by Moran and Porath [28] and Mancinelli et al. [29], respectively. For chlorophyll content, 100 mg of fresh maize leaves was placed in a test tube containing 10 ml of methanol: H_{2}O: Conc. HCl (80:20:1 v/v) and placed on a shaker in dark at 4°C, followed by filtration through Whatman No.1 filter paper after 72 hours and OD at 530 and 657 nm, respectively. The physiologically available Fe content in the leaves of maize was calculated according to standard method described by Katyal and Sharma [30]. Fresh 100 mg chopped maize leaves were incubated with 25 ml of 1.5% 1–10 O-phenanthroline solution at 25°C. At 510 nm, the absorbance of the solution was read by the atomic absorption spectrometer. The content of total protein in maize leaves was calculated according to standard method described by Bradford [27]. In 0.5% trichloroacetic acid, 1 gm of fresh maize leaves were macerated. The OD for determination of protein content was taken at 595 nm. All the statistical analyses were carried out using the XLSTAT program (http://www.xlstat.com).

2.4. Molecular Characterization of Endophytic Bacteria

Genomic DNA extraction from the bacterial strains was carried out as per the method described by Verma et al. [31] with some modifications. The PCR amplification of 16S rRNA was conducted using the primers pA (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and pH (5′-AAGGAGGTTGATCCAGCAGC-3′). The amplified 16S rRNA PCR product was selected for the phylogenetic analysis. Furthermore, the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) product of the partial 16S rRNA gene was sequenced at Science of the Genome (Scigenome) (Chennai, India). Using the BLASTn program available at GenBank, the sequences of bacterial endophytes were aligned to those which were already available. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method, implemented in the program MEGA 4.0.2 [31]. The partial 16S rRNA sequences were submitted to National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Isolation and Enumeration of Bacterial Endophytes

A total of 67 putative endophytic bacteria were isolated from three maize genotypes grown at Baru Sahib the “Valley of Divine Peace”. The population of endophytic bacteria was enumerated using different growth media. The abundance of endophytic bacteria varied from 0.25 × 10^{3} to 3.48 × 10^{6} CFU g^{-1} root to 0.30 × 10^{6} – 2.20 × 10^{6} Colony Forming Unit (CFU) g^{-1} stem. Among the different media used, yeast mannitol agar supported the highest population of endophytic bacteria (3.48 × 10^{6} CFU g^{-1} root) and the Azotobacter media supported the least growth for the endophytic bacteria (0.25 × 10^{3} CFU g^{-1} root) (Table 1).

3.2. Screening of Bacterial Endophytes for PGP-Attributes

Out of the 67 endophytic bacteria, 10 bacterial isolates were selected on the basis of PGP attributes. Out of the 10 endophytic bacteria, all bacterial isolates exhibited PGP attributes of phosphorus and potassium solubilization, whereas only two isolates was positive for zinc solubilization. The production of siderophores, ammonia, and IAA were positive for 7, 5, and 2 bacterial strains, respectively. The nitrogenase activity was demonstrated by two bacterial isolates. Isolate EU-E1RT3-1 solubilized the highest amount of phosphorus (326.7 ± 0.08 mg l^{-1}) followed by EU-M4ARAct (155.0 ± 0.20 mg l^{-1}). Isolate EU-E1RT3-1 demonstrated highest production of IAA (21.00 ± 0.00 mg l^{-1}) without the addition of tryptophan in screening media; furthermore, the strain EU-A2SK1 produced similar amounts of IAA (21.20 ± 0.01 mg/l) with the addition of tryptophan.

### Table 1: Total viable count of endophytic microbes isolated from different maize genotypes.

| Maize Varieties | Sample | CFU per g of sample (root and shoot) on different media (×10^{3}) |
|-----------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  |        | BM | TSA | JM | KBA | YMA | NA | AM | T_A | AIA | LBA |
| LR Root          | 0.48   | 2.89 | 1.28 | 3.30 | 3.48 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 3.20 | 1.20 | 1.05 |
| LR Stem         | 0.32   | 1.42 | 0.74 | 2.20 | 0.93 | 1.09 | 0.58 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.91 |
| LW Root         | 0.89   | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 1.31 | 2.64 | 1.20 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.35 |
| LW Stem         | 0.31   | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.30 |
| Anther Root     | 0.90   | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.45 | 1.67 |
| Anther Stem     | 0.39   | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 0.31 | 0.91 |

LR = Local Red; LW = Local White; Anther = Anthocyanin rich; BM = Buryks media; TSA = Tryptic soy agar; JM = Jensen’s agar; KBA = Kings B Agar; NA = Nutrient agar; AM = Azotobacter media; T_A = T_A media; AIA = Actinomycete isolation agar; LR = Luria Bertani agar.
during the quantitative estimation of IAA production in LB broth (Table 2).

### 3.3. Evaluation of Endophytic Bacteria on Growth Promotion of Maize

The maize seedlings were bacterized with endophytic bacterial strains EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1. The inoculation of endophytic bacteria and chemical fertilizer (diammonium phosphate) resulted in higher shoot length when compared to the uninoculated control. The treatment of maize seedlings with *Pantoea agglomerans* EU-E1RT3-1 and *Ewingella americana* EU-M4ARAct resulted in a significantly higher shoot length when compared to the uninoculated control and other treatments at 30, 60, and 90 days. Although both these treatments were statistically analogous, treatment with *P. agglomerans* EU-E1RT3-1 resulted in an increased shoot length (127.5 cm) when compared with *E. americana* EU-M4ARAct (123.3 cm) at 90 days, respectively. The treatment of maize seedlings with a full dose (100%) of diammonium phosphate (DAP) resulted in an increased shoot length (122.5 cm) when compared to the half dose (50%) of DAP (119.5 cm) after 90 days.

The total chlorophyll content was maximum in maize seedlings treated with *P. agglomerans* EU-E1RT3-1 [127.6 µg/g fresh weight leaf (FWL)], followed by *E. americana* EU-M4ARAct (111.5 µg/g FWL), when compared to the uninoculated control (66.8 µg/g FWL) after 60 days (Fig. 2). In the present study, bacterized maize seedlings after 60 days of plant growth revealed a maximum increase in the anthocyanin content compared to the uninoculated

### Table 2: PGP- attributes of endophytic bacteria isolated from maize.

| Bacterial strain | N\textsubscript{2} Fix. | Solubilization | Production | BC |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|----|
|                  | ARA | P   | K   | Zn | Sid | NH\textsubscript{3} | IAA | BC |
| EU-A2SK1         | +   | 114.0 ± 0.01 | +   | +  | +  | –               | 21.20±0.01 | 12.25±0.01 |
| EU-M4ARAct       | +   | 155.0 ± 0.20 | +   | –  | –  | +               | –               | –               |
| EU-E1RT3.1       | –   | 326.7 ± 0.08 | +   | –  | +  | –               | 15.20±0.00 | 21.00±0.00 |
| EU-M2RRN1        | –   | +   | +   | –  | –  | +               | –               | –               |
| EU-MARN2         | –   | +   | +   | –  | –  | +               | –               | –               |
| EU-M3WRLb2       | –   | +   | –   | +  | –  | –               | –               | –               |
| EU-MWSLb10       | –   | +   | +   | –  | –  | +               | –               | –               |
| EU-M6ARLb3       | –   | +   | –   | +  | +  | –               | –               | –               |
| EU-D2SSLb12      | –   | +   | +   | –  | –  | +               | –               | –               |
| EU-B2WSb10       | –   | –   | –   | +  | –  | +               | –               | –               |

ARA: acetylene reduction assay (nmoles C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} mg \textsuperscript{-1} protein hr \textsuperscript{-1}); P: phosphorus (mg L\textsuperscript{-1}); K: potassium; Zn: Zinc; Sid: Siderophore; NH\textsubscript{3}: ammonia; IAA: Indole-3-acetic acid (mg L\textsuperscript{-1}) C+T+Lb: Media supplemented with tryptophan, C-T+Lb: Media without tryptophan; BC: biocontrol. [Numerical values are mean ± standard deviation of the mean (SDm) for three independent observations]

**Figure 1:** Effect of endophytic bacteria and half (50%) and full dose (100%) of phosphorus on the shoot length of pioneer F1 hybrid maize [Phosphorus-diammonium phosphate; Numerical values are mean ± standard deviation of the mean (SDm) for three independent observations].

**Figure 2:** Effect of inoculation of endophytic bacteria, half (50%) and full dose (100%) of phosphorus on chlorophyll content of pioneer F1 hybrid maize [FWL; Phosphorus-diammonium phosphate; Numerical values are mean ± standard deviation of mean (SDm) for three independent observations].
control. Maximum enhancement of anthocyanin content was found in *P. agglomerans* EU-E1RT3.1 (0.56 µg/g FWL) treated plants, followed by *E. americana* EU-M4ARAct (0.46 µg/g FWL) compared to the uninoculated control plants (0.23 µg/g FWL) (Fig. 3). Maize seedlings bacterized with *P. agglomerans* EU-E1RT3.1 (twofold) showed enhancement in the physiologically available Fe content, followed by *Pseudomonas brenneri* EU-A2SK1 when compared to the uninoculated control. However, a full dose (100%) of DAP also played a significant role in reducing the deficiency of Fe compared to the uninoculated control (Fig. 4). The study on the total protein content in the maize leaves was carried out after 60 days. The total protein content was found to be higher in maize seedlings bacterized with *P. agglomerans* EU-E1RT3.1 compared with the uninoculated control. However, half (50%) and full (100%) doses of DAP also demonstrated significant enhancement of protein content (Fig. 5).

3.4. Molecular Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis

The taxonomic position of endophytic bacterial isolates was determined by comparing the 16S rRNA gene sequence with different strains obtained from the NCBI database using the BLASTn search. The 16S rRNA gene identification revealed that the endophyte EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3.1 showed maximum similarity with *P. brenneri*, *E. americana*, and *P. agglomerans*, respectively. A phylogenetic tree was generated using the sequences from EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1 and representative sequences from the NCBI databases. The nucleotide sequences determined in this work have been deposited in the GenBank database with accession numbers MN294531, MN294547, and MN294552 for isolates EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1, respectively (Fig. 6).

4. DISCUSSION

The advancement in plant–microbe interactions, the isolation, and characterization of microbes with various PGP attributes is fundamentally important due to their potential applications in agriculture. In many regions around the world, the utilization of PGP as bioinoculants is one of the alternative ways for reducing the usage of chemical fertilizers [32]. The diversity of endophytic bacteria associated with different plants, namely maize [33], potato [34], sugarcane [35], soybean [36], wheat [37], and barley [38], has been reported worldwide. In the present investigation, we describe the endophytic bacteria from maize and their PGP attributes. Maize plants inoculated with endophytic bacteria resulted in enhanced shoot length and biochemical content, namely...
chlorophyll, anthocyanin, physiologically available Fe, and total protein content. In our study, the efficient strains were identified as *P. brenneri*, *E. americana*, and *P. agglomerans* by 16S rRNA gene sequencing which belonged to phylum Proteobacteria and class Gammaproteobacteria. Phosphorus is a reactive element and mostly exists in two different forms in the soil, as insoluble organic and inorganic phosphorus [39]. In the soil, P mostly gets immobilized by cations, such as Ca²⁺, Al³⁺, Fe³⁺, and Mg²⁺, depending on the properties of soil whether acidic or calcareous in nature. The insoluble form of

---

**Figure 6:** Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of EU-A2SK1, EU-M4ARAct, and EU-E1RT3-1 with reference sequences obtained from NCBI through BLAST search. The sequence alignment was carried out using the CLUSTAL W program and the tree was constructed using the neighbor-NJ with algorithm using MEGA4 software.
phosphorus is highly unavailable to the plants [40,41]. In the present study, we found that \( P. \) agglomerans solubilized the highest amount of phosphorus and we strongly suspect that endophytic bacterium solubilizing the highest amount of P could have further played a strong role in the growth promotion of pioneer F1 hybrid maize. In the present investigation, P-solubilization activity was also exhibited by endophytic \( P. \) brenneri and \( E. \) americana. The endophytic bacteria belonging to genera \( Bacillus \), \( Klebsiella \), \( Microbacterium \) \( Pantoea \), \( Paenibacillus \), and \( Pseudomonas \), as endophytes have been already reported to solubilize P [42,43]. Diversity of P-solubilizing endophytes has been reported from maize [13,44,45]. Thus, the microbes with the ability to solubilize phosphorus play a vital role in converting the insoluble form of phosphorus to the soluble form.

The interaction among bacteria and plants is a complex phenomenon. Among the PGP attributes, the production of IAA affects the physiology of plants, such as stimulation of seed germination and the development of lateral and adventitious root, affects photosynthesis, formation of pigments, and biosynthesis of various metabolites [46]. In this study, we found that \( P. \) agglomerans produced higher concentration of IAA (21.00 ± 0.00 mg l\(^{-1}\)) in medium without tryptophan, whereas \( P. \) brenneri showed maximum IAA production (21.20 ± 0.01 mg l\(^{-1}\) ) in media amended with tryptophan. Various studies have already demonstrated the production of indolic compounds in bacteria [47]. The bacteria of different species utilize different pathways for IAA biosynthesis. Bacteria-synthesizing IAA belonging to different genera are well known to utilize tryptophan as a main precursor for IAA biosynthesis pathways [48]. \( Pantoea \) sp. CCBAU15488 was reported to be able to nodulate soybean and was also recorded for production of IAA on the basis of intensity of red color [49]. Production of IAA in the past has received maximum interest of scientists. An endophytic \( P. \) agglomerans isolated from \( Oryza \) sativa cv. Yuefu has been reported to enhance the growth of host plant in field application and is known to synthesize indole-3-acetic acid (243 ng ml\(^{-1}\)), and also affects allocations of host photosynthates [50]. The study of Etesami et al. [51] reported an IAA-producing endophytic bacterium \( Pseudomonas \) putida CEN7 from berseem clover plants nodules. Yu et al. [52] reported IAA-producing \( Psychrobacillus \), \( Microbacterium \), \( Lysinibacillus \), and \( Bacillus \) from maize root.

In the growth and development of plants, potassium plays a vital role which also provides ionic environment for metabolic process in the cytosol. In the soil, K is present in different forms, such as muscovite, orthoclase, biotite, feldspar, illite, and vermiculite. The major amount of K available in the soil is not available to the plant, which further leads to deficiency of K in the crops. It has been reported that microbes solubilizing K, which are present in the soil, enhance the bioavailability of K to plants through different mechanisms, namely decomposition, mineralization, and release of nutrients [53]. The endophytic bacteria belonging to genera \( Alcaligenes \), \( Acinetobacter \), \( Achromobacter \), \( Bacillus \), \( Enterobacter \), and Microbacterium isolated from different host plant have been reported as K-solubilizing bacteria [54–56]. In the present study, \( P. \) agglomerans EU-E1RT3.1, \( P. \) brenneri EU-A2SK1, and \( E. \) americana EU-M4ARAAct were found to solubilize potassium. Zinc is another essential micronutrient required in low concentrations by plants, but is toxic at higher concentrations, essentially required for chlorophyll synthesis. Bacteria solubilizing different insoluble compounds of zinc have an immense role in providing nutrition to plants [57]. In the present study, \( P. \) brenneri EU-A2SK1 is reported as Zn-solubilizing bacteria.

The indirect method of plant growth promotion includes the siderophores and ammonia production. In the present study, endophytic bacterial strains were also siderophore and ammonia producers. The production of siderophore by microorganisms is one of the vital attribute, as microbes bind to the available form of iron (Fe\(^{3+}\)) and scavenge the phytopathogens from iron availability and their noxious impact on plant health. In earlier studies, the production of ammonia has been reported to be involved in the pathogen-inhibition mechanism, which indirectly influences the growth of plants [58,59]. In a study, it was reported that endophytic bacteria identified as \( Agrobacterium \) \( larrymoorei \), \( Bacillus \) sp., \( Bacillus \) \( aryabhattai \), \( Bacillus \) \( cereus \), \( Bacillus \) \( amyloliquifaciens \), \( Bacillus \) \( licheniformis \), \( Klebsiella \) sp., \( Klebsiella \) \( variicola \), \( Lactococcus \) \( lactis \), \( Pantoaea \) \( cyripedii \), \( Pantoaea \) \( dispersa \), \( Pantoaea \) sp., and \( Staphylococcus \) \( hominis \) were seen enhancing the P, K, Zn solubilization, producing hormones, siderophore, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase, hydrogen cyanide (HCN), fixings biological nitrogen, and were showing antagonist activity against two fungal pathogen of maize [60].

In the present investigation, pot culture experiments were carried out to evaluate the effect of endophytic bacteria on pioneer \( F_1 \) hybrid maize seedling which revealed a significant growth enhancement parameter and biochemical levels. Pioneer \( F_1 \) hybrid maize seedlings bacterized with endophytic bacteria \( P. \) agglomerans EU-E1RT3.1 demonstrated an enhanced shoot length when compared to non-bacterized control. Most studies have demonstrated plant growth promotion in bacterized plants compared to the un inoculated controls [61]. In the study of Montañez [13], maize inoculated with endophytic bacteria significantly enhanced the plant growth under controlled conditions. The study of Lobo et al. [62] demonstrated the growth promotion of maize with the treatment of endophytic bacteria.

The higher photosynthetic rate in plants is directly related to the content of chlorophyll. In the present study, the chlorophyll content was observed to be higher in inoculated plants. Colonization of \( Beta \) \( vulgaris \) \( L. \) by endophytic bacteria was observed to improve the rate of photosynthesis with maximal photochemical efficiency even at increasing photosynthetic photon flux density, which results in the enhancement of the chlorophyll synthesis with positive effects on root and aerial part growth [63]. The higher content of chlorophyll is valuable in achieving a high rate of photosynthesis, which eventually resulted in a higher yield and productivity and healthy plant growth [64]. The higher photosynthetic ability is directly related to the upregulation of genetic expression in plants by endophytic microbes, which increases the leaf area and enhances the total amount of photosynthesis [65].

In the promotion of plants growth, biochemical changes mostly take place. In the plants, anthocyanin are the secondary metabolites that are extensively distributed in plants and plays
an important role in signaling between plants and microbes, modulate synthesis of phytohormone auxin and its transport, and also plays vital function in antioxidant activity [66]. Decreased levels of anthocyanin in plants are directly related to the chilling sensitivity of plants [67]. In a finding, beneficial bacterial application significantly enhanced the total content of anthocyanin in strawberry fruits as compared to control. Besides improving the fertility of soil, microbes play an efficient role in fortifying the iron contents in plants through production of siderophores [68]. The transporter proteins located on plasma membrane of root and translocate the iron chelate complex toward the plant [69]. Therefore, for the improvement of content of iron in different edible plants biofortification of plant through endophytic microbes is measured in a safe way. Inoculations of wheat genotype 4HPYT-414 with Arthrobacter sulfonivorans, the siderophore-producing endophyte, facilitate the higher translocation of Fe in roots and shoots [70].

An interesting modification caused by inoculation with endophytic bacteria observed was significant enhancement in total soluble protein. Against the various environmental stress conditions, plants mostly adapt with adjustments in several compatible organic solutes, changes in expression of protein, accumulation, and synthesis [71,72]. In the present investigation, evidences for the enhanced levels of chlorophyll, anthocyanin, physiologically available Fe and total protein content in the maize plants treated with endophytic bacteria agree with the earlier studies and indicate that these biomarkers efficiently play a significant role in the plant growth promotion. We, therefore, conclude that endophytic bacteria-mediated responses in plant leaves and increased concentration of biochemical levels played a cumulative synergistic function that improved the growth of pioneer F1 hybrid maize. To the best of our knowledge, P. agglomerans EU-E1RT3.1 has been reported for the first time for enhancing growth and physiological parameters of maize.

In conclusion, we found that endophytes served as a valuable pool of bacteria with PGP-abilities. According to the results obtained in this study, strain P. agglomerans EU-E1RT3-1, possessing the capacity for P and K solubilization, and IAA production successfully improved plant growth. The strain with multiple PGP activities reported in this investigation seems to be an ideal candidate as a bioinoculant. P. agglomerans EU-E1RT3-1 in future may be used as an efficient biofertilizer for improving the health and productivity of crops. However, further studies must be conducted for the commercial scale production of NPK-based biofertilizers.
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