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ABSTRACT: As a result of the development of rapid and efficient sequencing technologies, complete sequences of numerous mitochondrial genomes are now available. Mitochondrial genomes have been widely used to evaluate relationships between species in several fields, including evolutionary and population genetics, as well as in forensic identification and in the study of mitochondrial diseases in humans. However, the creation of mitochondrial genomes is extremely time consuming. In this paper, we present a new tool, MITOSCISSOR, which is a rapid method for parsing and formatting dozens of complete mitochondrial genome sequences. With the aid of MITOSCISSOR, complete mitochondrial genome sequences of 103 species from Tetrarontiformes (a difficult-to-classify order of fish) were easily parsed and formatted. It typically takes several days to produce similar results when relying upon manual editing. This tool could open the .gb file of Genbank directly and help us to use existing mitogenomic data. In the present study, we established the first clear and robust molecular phylogeny of 103 tetrarontiform fishes, a goal that has long eluded ichthyologists. MITOSCISSOR greatly increases the efficiency with which DNA data files can be parsed and annotated, and thus has the potential to greatly facilitate evolutionary analysis using mitogenomic data. This software is freely available for noncommercial users at http://www.filedropper.com/mitoscissor.
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Introduction
Mitochondria are intracellular organelles that have their own DNA, distinct from the nuclear genomes of the cells in which they reside. Throughout evolution, mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) have accumulated many small genetic changes that differ between species, and which allow biologists to infer the phylogenetic relationships between species, and even between subpopulations within a species.

The analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) has contributed enormously to our understanding of evolution. The rapid rate of mtDNA sequence divergence compared to that of the nuclear genome (due to a relatively high nucleotide substitution rate and inefficient DNA repair in the mitochondria) allows the discrimination of recently diverged lineages.¹ Mitochondrial genes that are frequently used for these analyses include cytochrome b (CYTB), the 12S and 16S rRNA genes, and the NADH dehydrogenase (ND) genes.²⁻⁴ However, in some cases, the use of a single gene or several genes can result in phylogenetic trees with low support or contradictory topologies. In addition, organisms can show different types of variations in these frequently used markers, making it difficult to draw reliable conclusions.⁵ Because of these factors, the use of complete mitogenome sequences for phylogenetic analysis has increased in the past decade, and such analyses have been proven to be more informative than shorter sequences and to provide better phylogenetic resolution.⁶⁻⁹

In addition to the insights that mtDNA can provide on the differences between closely related organisms, the sequencing of mitogenomes is much more cost effective than the analysis of entire nuclear genomes. Thus, the popularity of the complete mitogenome as a phylogenomic marker is increasing.⁶⁻⁹

Comparative analysis of mitochondrial genomes that have been sequenced and annotated thus far has resolved many controversial phylogenetic issues, including inconsistencies regarding both lower level and higher level relationships.⁹ However, creation of these DNA datasets is time consuming when these mitogenomic sequences must be manually parsed. For example, the conventional method for manually constructing such mitogenome matrices involves the following steps: (1) Fasta sequences of all the selected mitochondrial genomes are downloaded from GenBank or
other public databases. (2) The sequence of every gene in each mitochondrial genome is determined and then saved as an individual fasta file. A large number of files are generated during this process, and the compilation is cumbersome. For example, the mitogenomic analysis for 100 vertebrate species will generate 3,700 files, since there is on average 37 genes in one vertebrate mitochondrial genome. (3) All of the sequences are then arranged in the same orientation using sequence-editing software such as BioEdit or DNASTAR, and the stop codons are removed from protein-encoding genes. (4) Finally, the protein-coding genes, rRNA genes, and tRNA genes are concatenated head to tail to form a single supergene for the evolutionary analysis. Obviously, parsing mitogenomic sequences is very time consuming, and the workload to analyze so many genes is enormous without the use of processing tools.

Here we present a useful Perl script named MITOSCISSOR, which automatically executes the parsing work for mitogenomic data. As an example, we applied MITOSCISSOR for creating mitogenomic data sets for 103 Tetraodontiform fishes. Phylogenetic relationships within this order were also inferred, providing new insights into the relationships within this order. It should be noted here that MITOSCISSOR is limited to datasets containing mitogenomes with the same overall gene order. This problem will be solved with the growth of data. The development of MITOSCISSOR will increase the ease of investigating positive selection in marine fish (and other organisms), by its ability to quickly parse out genic regions of interest.

Materials and Methods

**Taxonomic sampling.** Mitogenome sequences of 103 Tetraodontiform fishes and 9 fish species from other orders were employed in the present study. The corresponding GenBank accession numbers (Supplementary File 1) were searched in the MitoZoa database (http://srv00.ibbe.cnr.it/mitozoa/), and the complete L-strand nucleotide sequences from these mitogenomes (.gb file) were downloaded using the NCBI batchentrez tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/batchentrez).

**MITOSCISSOR implementation.** MITOSCISSOR was written in the Perl language. Activeperl (http://www.perl.org/get.html) should be installed before using MITOSCISSOR in Windows OS.

The MITOSCISSOR software and all the GenBank files should be saved together in a single folder. Once these steps are performed, the command line interpreter cmd.exe would be started and automatically linked to the working directory. Users then doubleclick “MitoScissor.exe”, and the window interface would be presented, as shown in Figure 1. Processing could be easily done according to the instructions.

In the Start Menu (operation system such as Windows OS) search box, users could type in “command prompt” or “cmd”, then carry out the following operations >dir (“dir” command shows there are three files in the working directory). Subsequently, the software could be executed by typing a simple command line, “perl MitoScissor.pl sequence.gb outputfile”. Note that “printall” is an optional parameter used in cases where the Genbank file does not meet recognition models and the operator wants to output all sequences that can be identified.

In this procedure, the user should choose an appropriate module model. The organization of the mitochondrial genome is generally conserved among vertebrates, but some variations between higher taxonomic levels have been found. Therefore, we designed different recognition models with regard to specific taxa. Here, we chose the module of Fish.

In the current version of MITOSCISSOR, a Windows OS interface (shown in Fig. 1) was designed to provide greater operational convenience for users.

With the help of MITOSCISSOR, mitogenomic data-sets were created in three simple steps. (1) GenBank accession numbers for complete mitochondrial genomes were searched and listed. (2) The corresponding GenBank files (hereafter called inputfile.gb) containing the complete mitochondrial genome sequences were obtained from public databases. (3) The downloaded GenBank files and MITOSCISSOR were placed in the same directory. Once these steps have been performed, the cmd.exe (a command in Windows OS) is opened, and MITOSCISSOR is run by typing the simple command, “perl MitoScissor.pl sequence.gb outputfile” (the process is shown in Fig. 2).

**Tests of positive selection.** The PAML package12 was used to estimate parameters in substitution models and to reveal whether positive selection was occurring. In PAML,
there is a basal ratio model that assumes a single average ratio of nonsynonymous versus synonymous substitution rates ($\omega = dN/dS$) for all nonsynonymous substitutions. Other site models treat the $\omega$ ratio for any codon of a gene as a random variable from a statistical distribution, and allow $\omega$ values to vary among codons. Positive selection is defined as presence of some codons at which $\omega > 1$, with 1 meaning neutral selection and <1 purifying selection. Likelihood ratio tests (LRTs), in which twice the log likelihood difference between the maximum log-likelihood estimates of the constrained and unconstrained models is distributed as an asymptotic $\chi^2$ distribution, were used to assess gene evolution under positive selection.

**Sequence data and phylogenetic analyses.** Sequences were then subjected to multiple alignments using MAFFT. Gblocks was adopted to trim both the ambiguous alignments and highly diverged regions of the alignment via the "more stringent selection" setting. Pairwise nucleotide differences in evolutionary distance were plotted in order to examine the pattern of sequence substitution with DAMBE. Modeltest 3.06 was used to determine the most appropriate nucleotide substitution models with Akaike information criterion (AIC). Analyses of phylogenetic relationships were conducted in a Bayesian framework using the software package MrBayes3.1.2. Bayesian analyses were run five times for 50 million generations to ensure the convergence of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains. MCMC chains were run until convergence was reached, as determined by the standard deviation of the split frequencies dropping below 0.01.

**Results and Discussion**

It took less than one minute for MITOSCISSOR to assemble the 103 Tetraodontiform mitochondrial genomes that we had selected and to split these genomes into four partitions, each with their own fasta file. The partitions were as follows: protein genes, tRNA genes, rRNA genes, and individual genes. The corresponding fastafiles were output_proteingene.fa, output_rRNA.fa, output_tRNA.fa, and individual gene.fa. The results of this analysis are shown in Supplementary Files 2–5. The size of the Tetraodontiform mitochondrial genomes we examined ranged from 16,418 bp to 16,649 bp (shown in Supplementary File 6). The software conserves codon position order in the case of protein-coding genes that have an incomplete start or stop codon for analyses requiring codon-partitioning by inserting gaps.

The accuracy of molecular phylogeny primarily hinges on the selection of unsaturated genes. Iss and Iss.c values were calculated with the aid of the program EVOLVER in the PAML package. The index score (Iss = 0.4361) was significantly lower than the critical score (Iss.c = 0.7529) ($P < 0.001$), meaning that the mitogenomic datasets did not have any significant substitution saturation. Given our dataset did not show significant saturation, this made it suitable to examine the phylogenetic relationships among the Tetraodontiforms.

All nine Tetraodontiform families were clearly resolved as monophyletic with high support and were clearly revealed in Bayesian analysis (GTR+I+G model), and sister-group relationships were established (the minimum posterior probability value = 0.91) (Fig. 3). The topological inference from phylogenetic relationships in this study showed that subfamily Tetraodontinae is paraphyletic, which is in agreement with phylogenetic analyses based on comparative skeletal anatomy. Tetraodontiformes represent one of the most recently evolved orders of teleost fishes. Members of this order display a variety of specialized body shapes, and show traits of extreme reductive evolution, with loss of anal fin spines as well as simplified cranial and skeletal structures. Their unusual and diverse morphological characteristics make these fishes difficult to classify, and the phylogenetic relationships
Figure 3. Bayesian phylogenetic tree of the order Tetraodontiformes based on mitogenomic datasets using Mrbayes3.1.2. The node values indicate the Bayesian probabilities for each phylogenetic clade. The scale bars represent codon substitutions per site.
between tetraodontiform families are still debated among ichthyologists.\(^{23}\) Earlier studies have investigated the osteology, ontogeny, and mycology of these fishes in an attempt to clarify their interrelationships, but without clear results.\(^{23,24}\) Additionally, none of the molecular phylogenetic analyses thus far published has been in full agreement with the conclusions drawn from these studies. The nuclear locus \(RAG1\) and the mitochondrial genes 12S and 16S rRNA were used by Holcroft\(^{25}\) and Alfaro et al.\(^{26}\) to determine representative tetraodontiform lineages and estimate their relationships. Neither study provided a clear resolution about the basal relationships with confidence, except for two sister-group relationships. Yamanoue et al.\(^{27}\) tried to reconstruct the phylogeny of Tetraodontiformes using complete mitochondrial genomes, but their comparison of 25 mitogenomes failed to uncover clear relationships between the six families examined (Triacanthidae, Balistidae, Monacanthidae, Tetraodontidae, Diodontidae, and Molidae). Some ichthyologists have proposed that large taxon sample sizes would improve the effectiveness of such analyses, increasing the average accuracy of reconstructed phylogenies and reducing the inference of erroneous taxon bipartitioning.\(^{28–31}\)

In the present study, using the complete mitogenome of more than Tetraodontiform fishes, we inferred a very robust evolutionary relationship of Tetraodontiform fishes compared to previous researches employing single or several genes.

Detecting positive selection is generally difficult because the signal may be swamped by the ubiquitous negative selection, but the proposition that mitochondrial genes have been subjected to non-neutral evolution has been gaining support in the last decades.\(^{4,28}\) Many studies have uncovered some incidences of positive selection in several genes in the fish. Examples include the cytochrome c oxidase subunit (\(COX2\)) gene in tunas and billfishes\(^{3,12}\), the NADH dehydrogenase 2 (\(ND2\)), NADH dehydrogenase 4 (\(ND4\)), and NADH dehydrogenase 5 (\(ND5\)) genes in Pacific salmon\(^{21}\); and the \(ND4\) and \(ND5\) genes in Cobitoidea fishes.\(^{22}\) However, the comprehensive display of all mitochondrial genes has been rarely reported due to lack of large-scale sequence analysis.\(^{4}\) In the present study, LRT analysis (\(P\)-value < 0.05) suggested that positive selection occurred in nine genes (\(ATPase 6 (ATP6)\), \(ATPase 8 (ATP8)\), \(CYTB\), \(COX2\), \(ND2\), \(ND3\), \(ND4\), \(ND4L\), and \(ND5\)) for tetraodontiform fishes, with \(ATP6\), \(ATP8\), \(ND4\), and \(ND5\) genes showing the highest proportions of codons under significant selective pressure (Fig. 4). Positive selection of \(CYTB\), \(ND4\), and \(ND5\) was found to occur in at least two independent evolutionary branches (Table 1), decreasing the likelihood of artifactual constructs resulting from short branching and indicating that these lineages have gone through adaptive molecular evolution.

### Conclusions

We have used MITOSCISSOR to analyze 103 Tetraodontiform mitogenome sequences and established the first robust molecular phylogeny with clear resolution for interfamilial relationships in the order Tetraodontiformes. MITOSCISSOR offers a simple and efficient approach to simultaneously parsing numerous mitochondrial genome files. We hope that MITOSCISSOR will dramatically increase the number of mitochondrial genomes that can be rapidly and accurately analyzed and compared, both in evolutionary biology and other fields of study. The highlights of our study are as follows:

1. We compiled a new software, MITOSCISSOR, for parsing and formatting complete mitochondrial genome sequences. MITOSCISSOR was much more reliable and efficient compared to manual editing.
2. We established the first robust molecular phylogeny with clear resolution for Tetraodontiform fishes.
3. Positive selection was detected in nine mitochondrial genes or Tetraodontiform fishes.
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