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Layered Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ is one of the most promising cathode materials for lithium ion batteries (LIBs), due to its stable structure, compositional flexibility, thermal stability, low cost, and relatively high reversible capacity.$^{[1,2]}$ In particular, the Ni-rich oxides such as Li(Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$)O$_2$ (x ≥ 0.5) have attracted intense research attention.$^{[3]}$ since they provide very high specific capacity, ~212 mAh g$^{-1}$ for x = 0.8 and 220 mAh g$^{-1}$ for x = 0.86. However, unlike low Ni content oxides which generally exhibit outstanding stabilities,$^{[2]}$ Ni-rich oxides suffer inherent drawbacks including poor cycle life and rate performance due to low Li diffusion rates caused by cation mixing. This manifests as Ni$^{2+}$ ions occupying 3b Li sites in the Li slab, whilst Li$^+$ ions also occupy sites in the transition metal (TM) layers. This cation mixing leads to a higher activation energy barrier for Li diffusion because of the smaller separations between the TM layers, and also leads to structural instability during electrochemical charge/discharge cycles.$^{[4]}$ Many approaches have been adopted to address the cation mixing and disorder in Ni-rich materials. Most approaches focus on adjusting the synthesis conditions to reduce cation migration from the TM site to Li site by controlling the lithiation temperature$^{[5]}$ or the Li/TM ratio.$^{[6]}$ However, in these methods, an excess of Li is necessary to produce highly ordered Ni-rich oxides. Residual Li remains on the surface of the active materials and reacts with air to form LiOH and Li$_2$CO$_3$, leading to undesirable side reactions with the electrolyte. The undesirable LiOH and Li$_2$CO$_3$ species also impede the diffusion of Li$^+$ ions due to their insulating properties, and thus deteriorate the electrochemical cycle performance.$^{[5]}$ In addition, traditional synthesis methods, which usually involve coprecipitation and annealing at high temperature, do not allow controllable synthesis of Ni-rich nanostructures needed to address the sluggish Li$^+$ ion diffusion.$^{[6,7]}$ Therefore, excellent rate performance and high specific capacity are hard to achieve.

Structure can strongly influence the functionality of electrode materials. To avoid cation mixing and thus improve the performance of Ni-rich Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ electrodes, the design of 1D structures is a prudent strategy. 1D structures also guarantee a high electrode–electrolyte contact area and a short transport path for electrons and Li$^+$ ions,$^{[8]}$ all of which could be expected to improve the rate performance of the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ materials. In addition, porous electrodes with multishelled structures have attracted interest in recent years based on the following advantages.$^{[9]}$ First, porous multishelled structures offer more channels for Li$^+$ ions and thus are helpful for enhancing the specific capacity. Second, the multishelled structures have a very short path for Li$^+$ ion diffusion, leading to good rate performance. Thus, it is easily envisaged that a multishelled Ni-rich Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ hollow fiber (HF), combining the advantages of a 1D morphology and a porous multishelled structure, should exhibit high-performance as cathode materials for LIBs.

In this Communication, we report the synthesis of a series of multishelled Ni-rich Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ (x = 0.8, 0.7, 0.65, and 0.5) HFs with low cation mixing using sustainable seaweed (alginate) fiber as template. The M$_{10}^+$ (M = Ni, Co, Mn) cations were first immobilized into a novel “egg-box” arrangement via coordination with negatively charged α-L-guluronate (G) blocks of the linear alginate macromolecule.$^{[10]}$ Meanwhile, the β-D-mannuronate (M) blocks in alginate can absorb Li$^+$ via the negatively charged carboxyl groups.$^{[11]}$ This approach suppresses cation mixing or the formation of undesirable LiOH and Li$_2$CO$_3$ species in subsequent calcination step used to synthesize the
HF. The Li-M alginate fibers (Li-M-AFs) were converted to multishelled HF by calcination. The combustion of the alginate precursor introduces high porosity in the fibers. As expected, the 1D multishelled Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HF demonstrates superior discharge capacity of 219.9 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} at 0.5 C (x = 0.8) compared with conventional Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} materials, and an outstanding capacity retention of 84.36% after 300 cycles.

The synthesis of the multishelled Ni-rich Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HF is described in Scheme 1a. Calcium alginate microfibers (Ca-AFs) were prepared from aqueous sodium alginate using a wet-spinning method (see Synthesis of multi-shelled Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} hollow fiber, Supporting Information), and used as templates. The obtained Ca-AFs were then transformed into protonated alginate fibers (H-AFs) by immersion in a 1 m HCl aqueous, resulting in complete Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/H\textsuperscript{+} exchange. The protonated alginate was then soaked in a mixed aqueous solution of cobalt acetate, nickel acetate, and manganese acetate to form purple M-alginate fibers (M-AFs). This immobilized Mn\textsuperscript{2+} cations (M = Ni, Co, Mn, respectively) into an “egg-box” arrangement through coordination by the four G-block of alginate.\textsuperscript{[12,13]} The molar ratio of Ni/Co/Mn in the M-AFs can be easily controlled by adjusting the ratio of the three cations in the aqueous solution. Herein, four different M-AFs were prepared with precisely controlled Ni/Co/Mn molar ratios (see Table S1, Supporting Information). The M-AFs were then dispersed in a suspension of Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} in H\textsubscript{2}O:EtOH (1:2) for 0.5 h to obtain the Li-M-AFs, in which the Li\textsuperscript{+} were immobilized electrostatically by the alginate carboxyl groups.

Calcination of the Li-M-AFs at different temperatures yielded multishelled Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HF (x = 0.8, 0.7, 0.65, and 0.5). The morphology and structure of Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HF were characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). As shown in Figure 1, the five Li(Ni\textsuperscript{x}Co\textsuperscript{y}Mn\textsuperscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} samples exhibit a 1D fibrous morphology. The diameter of these fibers is ≈10 μm, which is approximately one half that of the Ca-AFs (see Figure S1, Supporting Information, ≈20 μm) and the ion-exchanged Li-M-AFs (see Figure S2, Supporting Information, ≈20 μm). The shrinkage of the fibers during the calcination step can mainly be attributed to combustion of the alginate network in the fibers. Interestingly, the cross-section images of the fibers reveal a novel multishelled hollow structure (see Figure 1a–d). Most of the fibers are highly porous with double or triple shells (see Figure S3, Supporting Information), with the thickness of the individual shells reaching several hundred nanometers. The multishelled morphology with wide space between the shells is highly desirable, as it is expected to effectively increase the electrode/electrolyte contact area and enhance the diffusion rates for both Li\textsuperscript{+} ions and electrons. Elemental mapping by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used to analyze the composition of Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.65}Co\textsubscript{0.25}Mn\textsubscript{0.1})O\textsubscript{2} HF. As shown in Figure 1e, Ni, Co, and Mn are homogeneously distributed over a single fiber. The results from the EDS analysis (see Figure 1f) reveal that the molar ratios of Ni, Co, and Mn in the fibers were in excellent accord with the nominal values of 0.65:0.25:0.1. The accurate chemical composition of the fibers was determined using inductive coupled plasma
atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). Excellent agreement was found between the nominal and actual molar ratios of Li, Ni, Co, Mn in the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ HFs (see Table S2, Supporting Information).

The information above allows the multishelled structure of the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ HFs to be rationalized, as illustrated in Scheme 1b. In the initial stage of the calcination process, the long-chain M$_{cho}$-alginate molecules partially migrate toward the surface of the fibers, whilst the inner solid core contracts to form a core–shell structure due to nonequilibrium heat transfer. With heating to higher temperatures, the migration of M$_{cho}$-alginate molecules and inner core contraction reoccurs, along with combustion of the alginate template resulting in the formation of the porous multishelled Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ HFs. FESEM images of Li-M-AFs heated from 250 to 750 °C successfully document the evolution of the porous multishelled hollow structure (see Figure S4, Supporting Information). The first shell appears at temperature around 450 °C, and the porous network and the second shell appear around 550 °C. Complete combustion of alginate-derived carbohydrate component yields Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ shells. The carbon combustion creates voids in the shells, and thus the multishelled HFs are highly porous. The space between the shells ensures excellent electrode–electrolyte contact, whilst the porous network in the shells provides a short pathway for electron and Li$^+$ diffusion, both of which could be expected to significantly improve the rate performance and the specific capacity of Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for all the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ HFs are shown in Figure S5 of the Supporting Information. The (003)/(104) doublets indicate that all Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ HFs possess a well-defined layered structure based on a hexagonal a-NaFeO$_2$ structure with a R$_3$m space group.[14] The ratio $I_{(003)}/I_{(104)}$ reflects the degree of cation mixing caused by occupation of Li$^+$ sites by Ni$^{2+}$, which occurs due to the similar ionic radii of Ni$^{2+}$(0.069 nm) and Li$^+$(0.076 nm).[15] Cation mixing seriously weakens cycle life and rate performance of Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ materials. As shown in Table S3 of the Supporting Information, the ratio of $I_{(003)}/I_{(104)}$ for the Ni-rich HFs ranges from 1.31 for $x = 0.5$ to 1.24 for $x = 0.8$. Given that the cation mixing is considered negligible if the ratio $I_{(003)}/I_{(104)}$ is higher than 1.20,[16] it can be concluded that the Ni-rich Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ HFs synthesized here exhibit an unusually low degree of cation mixing. To probe Li$^+/Ni^{2+}$ ion disorder in detail, we refined the XRD patterns based on the Rietveld method using General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) software (Figure 2a). The initial occupation parameters of all atoms are based on formula (Li$_{1}$Ni$_{2}$)$_{3b}$(Li$_{2}$NiCo$_{1}$Mn$_{1}$)$_{3a}$O$_{2}$, in which Li$_1$/Ni$_2$ are set at 3b site, Li$_2$/Ni$_2$/Co$_1$/Mn$_1$ at 3a site, and O at 6c site. The occupation parameters of Co and Mn at the 3a site are fixed, and the total amount of Li and Ni within the materials fixed, while the distribution of Li and Ni between the 3a and 3b sites is variable. According to the calculated occupation parameters, the crystallographic formulas for the multishelled Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_2$ hollow fibers (x = 0.8, 0.7, 0.65, and 0.5) are (Li$_{0.9352}$Ni$_{0.0675}$)$_{3b}$(Li$_{0.0675}$Ni$_{0.7325}$Co$_{0.1}$Mn$_{0.1}$)$_{3a}$O$_{2}$, (Li$_{0.9338}$Ni$_{0.0662}$)$_{3b}$(Li$_{0.0662}$Ni$_{0.6318}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.5}$)$_{3a}$O$_{2}$, (Li$_{0.9472}$Ni$_{0.0528}$)$_{3b}$(Li$_{0.0528}$Ni$_{0.5972}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.5}$)$_{3a}$O$_{2}$, and (Li$_{0.9515}$Ni$_{0.0485}$)$_{3b}$(Li$_{0.0485}$Ni$_{0.4515}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.5}$)$_{3a}$O$_{2}$, respectively. These models provided an excellent fit to the experimental XRD data, as can be seen in Figure 2b–e. With an increase in the Ni content from $x = 0.5$ to 0.8, the Li/Ni exchange increases from 0.0485 to 0.0675, though the latter value is still significantly lower than the value of 0.085 reported for bulk Li(Ni$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_2$ with $x = 0.7$.[2] The XRD patterns of the Li(Ni$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_2$ precursor calcined at temperatures from 150 to 750 °C are shown in Figure S6 of the Supporting Information. Crystalline Li(Ni$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_2$ appears when the annealing temperature is higher than 350 °C. The ratio $I_{(003)}/I_{(104)}$ is higher than 1.26 at calcination temperatures lower than 350 °C.
temperatures above 350 °C (see Table S4, Supporting Information). At lower temperatures, the M$^{2+}$ ions are confined into the “egg-box” and cannot migrate freely to Li$^{+}$ sites (see Scheme 1a). At high temperatures, the “egg-box” converts to carbon/metal core/shell structure,[10–12] with the carbon shell preventing cation mixing until it is completely consumed by combustion. Obviously, the use of the Li-M-AF precursor plays a key role in minimizing cation disorder during the calcination step used in the synthesis of the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_{2}$ HFs.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) examines the valence states of Li, Ni, Co, Mn, and O in the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_{2}$ HFs. Li 1s, Ni 2p, Co 2p, Mn 2p, and O 1s XPS spectra for Li(Ni$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_{2}$, which exhibits the best cycle performance in the electrochemical tests, are displayed in Figure 2. The corresponding XPS survey spectrum is shown in Figure S7a of the Supporting Information. The spectra presented here for Li(Ni$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_{2}$ are representative of all the Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_{2}$ HFs synthesized in this work. The C 1s peak is due to the residual hydrocarbon on the sample surface during the synthesis process. Deconvolution of Li 1s in Figure 2f gives the peak with binding energies of 56.9 eV, which is in good agreement with the results reported in lithium oxide cathode materials.[17] The Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ peak at 854.2 eV and associated satellite feature at 861.2 eV are characteristic for a Ni(II) oxide species (Figure 2g).[18] The Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ peak at 855.8 eV indicates the coexistence of Ni(III).[19] The Co2p$_{3/2}$ region contained two peaks (Figure 2h), a main peak at 779.0 eV and the weaker peak at 780.4 eV which are assigned to tri- and divalent Co species,[1e,20] respectively. The Mn 2p$_{3/2}$ peak was deconvoluted into two signals at 641.7 and 642.5 eV, which are assigned to Mn(III) and Mn(IV) species, respectively.[1e] The O1s spectrum (Figure S7b, Supporting Information) contains three signals peaks at 526.6, 528.9, and 530.3 eV, assigned to coordinated oxygen, lattice oxygen, and coordinatively unsaturated surface oxygen,[21] respectively. Surface carbonate may also contribute to the O 1s spectrum, since a C 1s signal was seen in the survey spectrum and assigned to a carbonate species. In any case, the XPS results are in good agreement with the previously reported Ni-rich ($x = 0.65$) Li(Ni$_{x}$Co$_{y}$Mn$_{z}$)O$_{2}$ materials.[19]

Representative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of Li(Ni$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_{2}$ HFs are shown in Figure 3. Figure 3a shows a fragment of the porous HF shell, and is composed of nanoparticles with a mean size of ~120 nm. The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Figure 3b) reveals the shell is polycrystalline. Figure 3c shows a single-crystal SAED pattern taken...
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among the best yet reported Li(Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.25}$)O$_2$ HFs. After 200 deep discharge/charge cycles between 2.5–4.5 V at 0.5 C, the samples still retain discharge capacities of 200.4, 197.0, 193.1, and 190.5 mAh g$^{-1}$, for $x = 0.8$, 0.7, 0.65 and 0.5, respectively. Capacity retentions are therefore 91.21%, 91.85%, 92.20%, and 94.20%, respectively. After 300 electrochemical cycles, the capacity retention was 84.36% for $x = 0.8$. This performance level is far superior to most Ni-rich electrodes reported (Figure 4e).\cite{1,2,25}

Even when the Li/LiNi$_{1-y}$Co$_y$Mn$_y$O$_2$ cells were tested at a high cut-off potential of 4.6 V versus Li/Li$^+$ for 100 cycles (Figure S9, Supporting Information), the capacity retention for the electrode with $x = 0.5$ was still 91.40%, much higher than the best previously reported value of 85%.\cite{12} Clearly, the multishelled hollow fibrous electrodes exhibit outstanding cycling stability, compared with the convention Ni-rich Li(Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.25}$)O$_2$ electrodes.\cite{14}

Extended cycling stability measurements were conducted at different current densities. As shown in Figure 4c, all the Ni-rich Li(Ni$_{1-y}$Co$_y$Mn$_y$)O$_2$ electrodes exhibit excellent rate capability on increasing the current density stepwise from 0.1 to 10 C. Example, as the current density was increased stepwise from 0.1 to 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 C, the Li(Ni$_{0.9}$Co$_{0.1}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_2$ electrode delivered stable capacities of 229.6, 225.5, 216.7, 207.4, 196.6, 183.4, and 172.7 mAh g$^{-1}$, respectively. More importantly, when the current density was returned back to 0.1 C, full capacities were recovered for all the multishelled hollow electrodes, indicating their excellent rate performance. Figure 4d displays impedance spectra for the multishelled Li(Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.25}$)O$_2$ hollow fibrous electrodes after 5 cycles. The impedance parameters are fitted by the same equivalent circuit (see inset in Figure 4d). The impedance parameters are fitted by the same equivalent circuit shown as the inset in Figure 4d. The high-frequency intercept at the Z’ axis is the combined resistance of the electrolyte and cell components ($R_{cell}$). The high-middle frequency semicircle is the contribution of the solid electrolyte interface resistance (RSEI) and the charge-transfer resistance ($R_T$) at the interface between the electrolyte and the electrode. The low-frequency oblique line represents the Warburg impedance (W), which belongs to the Li$^+$ ion diffusion process in the electrode materials. At the same cycle, the lowest $R_T$ value (31.2 Ω) was found for the Li(Ni$_{0.9}$Co$_{0.1}$Mn$_{0.1}$)O$_2$ electrode, indicating that sample possessed the lowest resistance to charge transfer and fastest Li-intercalation kinetics. Accordingly, the sample possessed the highest discharge capacity amongst the four HF samples tested. Charge transfer resistance was in inversely proportional to the Ni content in the samples, which can be rationalized in terms of the higher delithiation barriers of Co- and Mn-sites in comparison with Ni-sites.\cite{24}

Figure 5 illustrates the advantages of the multishelled Li(Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.25}$)O$_2$ HFs during electrochemical cycling. First, the 1D morphology of the fiber shells allows fast Li$^+$ and e$^-$ transport, which is crucial for promoting electrochemical performance. Second, the space between the shells can store the electrolyte by acting as “reservoirs”, there by shortening the diffusion distance of Li$^+$ and promoting rapid charge-transfer. Finally, the porous network on the shell serves to reduce the electron and Li$^+$ ion diffusion path, and also enables electrons from all directions to reach the Li(Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.25}$Mn$_{0.25}$)O$_2$ particles.
which is highly beneficial for improving the specific capacity.\textsuperscript{[17]} The combination of all these factors contributes to the remarkable cyclability of the multishelled Ni-rich Li(Ni\textsubscript{x}Co\textsubscript{y}Mn\textsubscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HFs. In order to investigate the fast Li\textsuperscript{+} diffusion in the multishelled Ni-rich Li(Ni\textsubscript{x}Co\textsubscript{y}Mn\textsubscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HFs, the Li\textsuperscript{+} ion diffusion coefficient was determined via CV analyses (Figure S10, Supporting Information). As the Ni content increased, the Li\textsuperscript{+} ion diffusion coefficient increased, since the delithiation barrier near Ni\textsuperscript{2+}/Ni\textsuperscript{+} is lower compared to that of Co\textsuperscript{3+} or Mn\textsuperscript{4+}.\textsuperscript{[24]} Figure S11 of the Supporting Information also compares the Li\textsuperscript{+} ion diffusion coefficient of commercial bulk-Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.5}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.3})O\textsubscript{2} particles (\textless;10 μm, see Figure S12, Supporting Information) and multishelled Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.5}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.3})O\textsubscript{2} HFs. The Li\textsuperscript{+} ion diffusion coefficient for multishelled Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.5}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.3})O\textsubscript{2} HF for delithiation is \(2.74 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\) S\(^{-1}\), much higher than the \(1.40 \times 10^{-8}\) cm\(^2\) S\(^{-1}\) determined for bulk-Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.5}Co\textsubscript{0.2}Mn\textsubscript{0.3})O\textsubscript{2}.

Figure 4. a) Initial charge–discharge curves at a rate of 0.1 C (20 mA g\(^{-1}\)) between 2.5 and 4.5 V, b) cycle performance, c) rate performance, d) Nyquist plots of the multishelled Li(Ni\textsubscript{x}Co\textsubscript{y}Mn\textsubscript{z})O\textsubscript{2} HFs (\(x = 0.8, 0.7, 0.65, \) and 0.5), and e) comparison of specific capacity and cycle life between multishelled Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.8}Co\textsubscript{0.1}Mn\textsubscript{0.1})O\textsubscript{2} electrodes and various recently reported high-performance Li(Ni\textsubscript{0.8}Co\textsubscript{0.1}Mn\textsubscript{0.1})O\textsubscript{2} electrodes.
The Li\(^+\) ion diffusion coefficients of bulk-Li(Ni\(_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) particles and multishelled Li(Ni\(_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) HF were also measured by using galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) method. Their GITT data are shown in Figure 5b and Figure S13 and S14 of the Supporting Information, respectively. Figure S15 of the Supporting Information shows the calculated diffusion coefficients at different state of charge (SOC = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5), where the multishelled Li(Ni\(_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) HF and commercial bulk-Li(Ni\(_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) particles show the same upward trend with the increase of SOC value from 0.2 to 0.5. During the early stage of delithiation, the Li slab space expands to facilitate faster Li\(^+\) ion diffusion due to the removal of O\(^2-\)–Li\(^+\)–O\(^2-\) bonds across the slab, and the valence of Ni rises from Ni\(^{2+}\) to Ni\(^{3+}\) and Ni\(^{4+}\), which leads to the increase of diffusion coefficient by reducing effective diffusion barriers.[24] When SOC = 0.5, the Li\(^+\) diffusion coefficient of multishelled Li(Ni\(_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) HF is 1.15 × 10\(^{-9}\) cm\(^2\) S\(^{-1}\), which is much larger than that of bulk-Li(Ni\(_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}\)O\(_2\) particles (2 × 10\(^{-10}\) cm\(^2\) S\(^{-1}\), see Figure S15, Supporting Information).

In summary, a series of multishelled (double or triple) Ni-rich Li(Ni\(_{x}Co_{y}Mn_{z}\))O\(_2\) HF were successfully synthesized by using a sustainable biomass feedstock (sodium alginate) as a template. The novel egg-box structure of the alginate effectively immobilizes Ni\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{3+}\), and Mn\(^{4+}\) cations, which suppresses cation mixing during a subsequent calcination step used in the synthesis of the Li(Ni\(_{x}Co_{y}Mn_{z}\)O\(_2\) HFs. These HF cathode materials exhibit much higher specific capacity, better cycling performance and rate capability compared with almost all Ni-rich Li(Ni\(_{x}Co_{y}Mn_{z}\))O\(_2\) cathodes reported to date. The very low cation mixing and unique structure characteristics such as 1D morphology and porous multishelled hollow structure synergistically contribute to the outstanding electrochemical performance. This work highlights the potential of multishelled Ni-rich Li(Ni\(_{x}Co_{y}Mn_{z}\))O\(_2\) HFs as cathode materials for future lithium ion battery systems.
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