CHEMICAL AND DYNAMICAL PROPERTIES OF THE STELLAR HALO
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Abstract. The difference in density profiles of the contributions from different density peaks to dark matter halos results in certain expectations about the Milky Way's stellar halo. We cut our simulated halo stars into two populations: those forming before/during the last major merger, and those accreted after the last major merger. The former population are more centrally located at $z = 0$, while stars forming in low mass late forming proto-galaxies are spread through the halo. A difference in observed binding energy distinguishes these two populations. We look at possible chemical abundance signatures of the two populations. We also show that galaxies forming in isolated low $\sigma$ peaks will form from primordial material. Thus, even though the oldest stars are centrally concentrated as they originated in the early collapsing, densest regions, primordial stars would be found distributed throughout the halo. Thus, the lack of observed metal free stars can be taken as directly constraining the Population III IMF, and the lowest metallicity observed stars can be interpreted as holding clues to the chemical yields of Pop III stars.

1 Introduction

As it contains very old stars, some almost as old as the universe itself, the stellar halo of our own Galaxy holds valuable clues to galaxy formation. Deconstructing the formation and evolution of the Milky Way in a star-by-star fashion is a key driver behind the development facilities of such as the European Space Agency’s GAIA mission, NASA’s Space Interferometry Mission, and ongoing projects, such as Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE) and the RAdial Velocity Experiment (RAVE). A seminal view of the field of Galactic Archaeology is found in [Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002].

Here we look at some of the signatures of the hierarchical clustering of “building blocks”, which Cold Dark Matter cosmological theories predict played a central role in the assembly history of the Milky Way. We present our results as being intimately linked to the build up of dark matter halos, in particular, the flatter density profile from the contribution of matter from low $\sigma$ density peaks compared to high $\sigma$ peaks. We do so with the aid of GCD+, a chemo-dynamical galaxy evolution code.
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2 Low Mass Stellar Halo in Simulations

GCD+ self-consistently follows the effects of gravity, gas dynamics, radiative cooling, and star formation. GCD+ also takes into account metal enrichment and energy released by both Type II and Type Ia supernovae, as well as the metal enrichment from intermediate mass stars. Full details of GCD+ can be found in (Kawata & Gibson 2003). We apply the Adiabatic Feedback Model described in (Brook et al. 2004). The model assumes that the gas within the SPH smoothing kernel of SNe II explosions has an adiabatic phase. Energy feedback results in regulation of star formation in low mass proto-galaxies, crucial in forming disk-dominated galaxies (Brook et al. 2004). This regulation of star formation is also required to ensure that the disk retains enough angular momentum to match observations (Thacker & Couchman 2000; Governato et al. 2006), and in N-body/semi-analytic models of stellar halo formation in order to explain metallicities and abundances (Moore et al. 2006; Bullock & Johnston 2005).

The stars and gas of our final galaxy are shown in figure 1, both face-on (left panels) and edge-on (right panels).

3 In-situ versus accretion: motivation and signatures

The CDM density distribution has substructure on different scales. These contribute in different ways to the final dark matter halo, with matter originating in high $\sigma$ peaks being centrally concentrated compared with matter originating in low $\sigma$ peaks. This is shown clearly in the left panel of figure 2, taken from figure 2 of (Moore et al. 2006), and which shows the contribution to a Milky Way sized dark halo from 1.2, & 3$\sigma$ over-densities at $z=12$.

In order to examine possible effects of this on the stellar halo of the Milky Way, we have divided the stellar halo of our simulated Milky Way into two populations: in-situ and accreted. We define these populations as; stars within the central galaxy at the end of the last major merger (in-situ); and those accreted after the last major merger (accreted). The top right panel of figure 2 shows the contribution to the final stellar halo density profile of these two populations. Clearly, in-situ stars (blue “x”) have a steeper density profile than accreted stars (red “+”). In the lower panel of figure 2 we plot the relative contribution of the accreted to in-situ populations as a function of radius. In the bulge, the in-situ population dominates; at the solar neighborhood, the two populations contribute similar numbers of stars; in outer regions, the accreted stars dominate. The details of this plot, of course, are dependent on the unique merging history of this particular simulated Milky Way. It is notable, however, the similarities to figure 2 of (Abadi Navarro & Steinmetz 2006), even though their model differs to ours in merging
Fig. 2. Left panel from [Moore et al. 2006]: the radial distribution of old stellar systems compared with rare peaks at \( z = 0 \) in LCDM galaxy. The thick green curve is the total mass distribution today, red curves show the present day distribution of material that collapsed into 1, 2, 2.5, 3 and 3.5\( \sigma \) peaks at a redshift \( z = 12 \), circles show the observed spatial distribution of the Milky Ways old metal poor globular cluster system. The dashed line indicates a power law \( \rho(r) \propto r^{-3.5} \) which represents the old halo stellar population. The squares show the contribution to the density profile of stellar halo stars in our simulation, coming from stars formed before and during the last major merger (“in-situ” stars, blue) and stars accreted after this event (“accreted” stars, red). The lower right panel shows the ratio of accreted to in-situ halo stars as a function of radius.

It is interesting to then ask whether these two populations will differ in their metallicities. And whether this has implications for comparing stellar halo stars selected in different ways by observers, kinematically selected local stars, compared with spatially selected. In figure 3 we plot the metallicity distribution functions (MDF) of the two populations using different methods to select stellar halo stars, with in-situ (accreted) stars having blue (red) lines. The left panel selects “solar neighborhood” stars kinematically. The solar neighborhood is defined as the region \( 5 < R_{XY} < 11 \) and \( |Z| < 1 \), and stars are selected from the Toomre diagram, as satisfying the condition \( \sqrt{T^2+(V-V_{ave})^2} > V_{ave} \), where \( V, U, W \) are tangential, radial, and out of the plane velocities respectively, \( T^2 = U^2 + W^2 \) and \( V_{ave} \) is the rotation velocity of the disk. The next three panels select halo stars by region, taking stars with \( |Z| > 4 \), and then the regions where \( 10 < R_{XYZ} < 20 \), \( 20 < R_{XYZ} < 50 \), \( 40 < R_{XYZ} < 100 \). Difference in the peak of the MDFs begin to appear at larger radii. The in-situ population show a greater metallicity gradient than the accreted population, with lower metallicity stars expected at higher radii. The lower panel of figure 3 shows the \([\alpha/Fe]\) abundances, for the two populations at different radii. Again, the difference between the two populations becomes greater at large radius, and in-situ stars have a greater radial gradient. The abundances are very similar in the solar region.

Accreted halo stars can also be expected to be less bound than in-situ stars. In the left panel of figure 4 we
plot phase space of stars from the catalogue of Chiba & Beers (2000) of observed solar neighborhood halo stars in the U-V plane (top) and total energy (E) versus angular momentum (L_Z) planes (red). We highlight (black circles) stars which belong to a dense clump in eccentricity(e)-metallicity plane, corresponding to e > 0.8 and [Fe/H] ~ −1.7. The right hand panel shows accreted simulation stars. Note that they have low |E| (are less bound). This motivates us to make a cut in |E| for the observed data, in order to separate accreted stars from in-situ ones; low bound stars are shown in the middle panel. The corresponding velocity space plots of these low bound stars show similarities to the accreted stars in the simulation. This is true for the U-W and U-V plots as well, not shown here, see Brook Kawata & Gibson (2003). By observing detailed chemical abundances of these observed stars, with a program underway at Apache Point and Las Campanas observatories, we hope to determine whether these high eccentricity, low metallicity, loosely bound stars can be distinguished from field halo stars.

4 Primordial versus oldest stars: distributions

Where are the Milky Way’s oldest stars to be found? What about primordial stars? If they lived a Hubble time, could we have expected to have observed them? Does our lack of observation of metal free stars constrain their IMF to be top heavy? In figure 5, we plot the distribution of metal free star particles from our simulation (red) and an equivalent mass of the oldest simulation star particles (blue). As expected, the oldest stars, which form in the proto-galaxies which form in high σ peaks which collapse first, are located centrally, primarily in the bulge at z = 0. Metal free stars, on the other hand, can form in later collapsing, isolated, proto-galaxies in low σ peaks. Stars from these low σ peaks are spread throughout the stellar halo (Brook et al. 2007).
Fig. 4. Left panel shows observed solar neighborhood halo stars from the catalogue of Chiba & Beers 2000 in the U-V (top) and total energy (E) - angular momentum (L_z) planes. We highlight stars with $e > 0.8$ and [Fe/H]$\sim -1.7$. A cut in $|E|$ is made in the observed data, with low bound stars shown in the middle panel. Velocity space plots of these low bound observed stars show similar kinematic properties to the accreted stars in the simulation, shown in the right hand panel.

How confident are we that proto-galaxies forming in late collapsing low $\sigma$ peaks will form from primordial rather than pre-enriched material? In a complimentary study, Scannapieco et al. 2007, we used analytic feedback prescriptions, coupled with high resolution N-body simulations, to follow the enrichment of the inter galactic medium (IGM), the birth of metal free stars and their final distribution in a Milky Way mass halo. The advantage of this technique is its ability to survey a large range of feedback energies. Spherical enrichment flows emanating from each collapsed halo (proto-galaxy) are followed at each time-step, thus allowing us to determine whether regions of the IGM have been enriched. Even with with the largest plausible energy injected, we find that proto-galaxies can form from primordial gas down to $z \sim 5$.

We conclude that a different distribution of metal free and oldest stars is expected, driven by combining two results: difference in density profiles in dark halos from the contribution of different $\sigma$ peaks Moore et al. 2006, and the fact that the isolated, relatively late forming proto-galaxies (i.e. those forming in low $\sigma$ peaks), form from primordial material. The lack of observed metal free stars in the local region can be taken as constraining the Pop III IMF to be top heavy, with stars too massive to live a Hubble time. The lowest metallicity observed stars can be interpreted as holding clues to the chemical yields of Pop III stars.
5 Conclusions

Hierarchical structure formation will leave signatures within the stellar halo of the Milky Way. Different mass proto-galaxies will contribute stars to the halo which will differ in their distributions, and possibly their chemical abundances. A combination of spatial, kinematic and chemical information should allow us to distinguish stars from these different proto-galactic origins. Primordial stars continue to form at relatively late times in isolated, low mass proto-galaxies. This means that metal free stars will have a more extended distribution than the oldest stars, which form in the earliest collapsing, most massive proto-galaxies, and are centrally located at $z=0$. Our lack of observations of metal free stars can thus be taken to restrain Pop III star lifetimes to be less than a Hubble time, and the initial mass function of such stars to be top heavy. The lowest metallicity stars observed in the local region contain chemical information pertaining to metal free stars.
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