Prevalence and Association of Daytime Sleepiness among Commercial Vehicle Drivers in Southwestern Ethiopia: A Descriptive Cross-Sectional Study

CURRENT STATUS: Posted

Hiwot Berhanu, Amare Abera -, Wondu Reta, Moyeta Bariso, Elias Mulat, Chala Kenenisa, Wondwossen Debebe, Arefayne Alenko, Kumale Tolesa, Zeleke Mekonen Mekonnen, Daniel Geleta.

Hiwot Berhanu
Jimma University
hiwed2010@gmail.com Corresponding Author ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6641-1804

Amare Abera -
Jimma University

Wondu Reta.
Jimma University

Moyeta Bariso.
Jimma University

Elias Mulat.
Jimma University

Chala Kenenisa.
Jimma University

Wondwossen Debebe.
Jimma University

Arefayne Alenko.
Jimma University

Kumale Tolesa.
Jimma University

Zeleke Mekonen Mekonnen
Jimma University

Daniel Geleta.
Jimma University
Prescreen

10.21203/rs.3.rs-24785/v1

Subject Areas

*Psychiatry*

Keywords

*Day time sleepiness, drivers, Epworth sleepiness scale*
Abstract

**Background:** Sleepiness, the most frequent sub-type of sleep disorders, is an excessive daytime sleepiness which commonly affects commercial drivers than the general population. It contributes to the high prevalence of Road Traffic due to its impact related to psychological stress, decreased productivity and increased risk of accidents both in & out of Ethiopia. Therefore, the current study was designed to elucidate the level of daytime sleepiness and its attributes to contribute to the prevention actions of country’s strategy.

**Objective:** To describe the prevalence and associated factors of daytime sleepiness among commercial vehicle drivers in Ethiopia

**Methods:** Data were collected from 398 randomly selected participants using a validated and pretested questionnaire to assess socio-demographic characteristics and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), which assesses daytime sleepiness prevalence. All data were entered into EpiData and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 22 software whereby measurements of central tendencies and logistic regressions were used to report the results.

**Results:** Overall, 398 public drivers were enrolled into the study making 99% response rate with the mean age of 32.1 years (SD ± 9.1) and 20-29 year modal class. The driving years of the majority (47%) were reported in 0-4 year class. The prevalence of the daytime sleepiness was 21.7% among the study subjects. In final model of logistic regression drivers’ work experience (AOR=13.96, CI=1.18, 11.1, p=0.04), substance users like Tobacco (AOR=2.9, CI=1.1, 7.6, p=0.03) & Alcohol (AOR=6.12, 95% CI=2.32, 6.12, P=0.00), and drivers’ monthly income (AOR=2.49, 95%CI=1.02, 6.06, P=0.04) were demonstrated an association with day time sleepiness.

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of day time sleepiness was relatively high in the studied population group, and factors linked to the problem are driving experiences, substance use and middle level of monthly income. Therefore, we recommend further studies on impacts of day time sleepiness and strategic action on the reduction of the relation.

Background

Sleepiness, the most frequent sub-type of sleep disorders commonly named excessive daytime sleepiness, is an important and known cause of road traffic injuries worldwide. Excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) affects 4 to 12% of the general population with higher rate among commercial vehicle drivers, and it is one factor to contribute to high prevalence of Road Traffic Accidents (RTAs). Even though the causes of EDS remain unclear (1, 2), People with EDS frequently reported to suffer psychological stress, decreased productivity at work or school and increased risk of accidents(3). Excessive daytime sleepiness, reduced number of sleep hours, shift work, excessive driving time, use of alcohol and other drugs are predictive factors of automotive accidents(4). Recent epidemiological studies reported the prevalence of EDS to be 15-26% in the general adult population(5), 13% in Brazil(6), and it was considered to be a major causal factor in transportation-related accidents. Roughly, 16-20% of all traffic accidents and 29-50% of deaths, and serious injuries related to motor vehicles are reported have an association with driver sleepiness(7). A systematic review found that as many as 20% of traffic accidents were associated with sleep deprivation(8). Most RTAs occur at night (54%) (9), in people having sleep disorders the frequency with which they fall asleep while driving was between 30 and 93%, depending on their pathology (10), (11). For sleep apnea patients, accident rates of 31-93% have been reported, for narcoleptics, studies have reported that 40-48% had fallen asleep at the wheel and 25% had had accidents related to their sleepiness(12), (13). Sleep related vehicle accidents comprised 16% on major roads in southwest England, and over 20% on midland motorways in which about half these drivers were men under 30 years; few such accidents involved women(14). Being younger; higher average weekly driving hours; never/rarely getting enough sleep and reporting any chance of dozing in a car while stopped in traffic and snoring regularly whilst sleeping at night or who were obese an scores on the Epworth daytime sleepiness scale had higher accident liabilities in road traffic accidents than those not exhibiting these characteristics(15).
Similarly, it was reported in America as Commercial drivers suffer from excessive daytime sleepiness due to age, body mass index and alcohol consumptions(16). Alcohol-impaired driving accidents due to sleepiness also contribute to approximately 31% and 34.1% of all traffic fatalities in the USA and china(17) respectively with common alcohol related crash reports in UK from similar cause(18). A prospective cohort study conducted in Japan showed the other predator for excessive daytime sleepiness to be cigarette smoking(19)(20)(21).

Similarly a survey conducted among Canadian (22) from 2002 to 2014 indicate cigarette smoking as cause of RTA associated daytime sleepiness. Monthly income, female gender and low schooling level remained positively and independently associated with EDS as shown by the study conducted in Brazil(6) where the study conducted in An-Nahah showed no association of daytime sleepiness with any socio-demographic factors(23).

In Ethiopia, road traffic accident from daytime sleepiness has been one of the top ten causes of death(24). Therefore it has been the key public health and development challenges of the country and will continue to adversely affect the livelihood of community and the economy of the country unless effective measures are taken to control the problem(25). Therefore, the current study was designed to elucidate the level of daytime sleepiness and its attributes in Ethiopia to contribute to the prevention actions of country’s strategies.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was carried out on randomly sampled licensed commercial drivers from March 25, 2019 to June 25, 2019. Registration log of the zone was used as a frame to enroll study subjects under consideration of 95% CI, 80% power, 50% prevalence (not the prevalence of the area) and a 5% margin of error. To this end, a correction formula was deployed with a 10% non-response rate added and summing a total of 402 final samples following a granted approval from Jimma University and transportation authority of the area. Socio-demographic related data were included by semi-structured questionnaires while daytime sleepiness was measured using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), a frequently used subjective sleepiness scale that consists of eight items(26). In the present study the ESS was properly adapted to measure drivers falling asleep. Possible ESS scores range from 0 to 24. Higher scores in the ESS represent greater propensity for sleepiness. In the final result, EDS was defined as an ESS score of less than 8: indicates reported normal daytime alertness, 8 to 11: to indicates mild sleepiness, 12 to 15: to indicates moderate sleepiness and 16 to 24: to indicates severe sleepiness(27).

All data were entered and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 22 software where X2 test was used to test for differences between proportions. To explore the association of socio demographic and sleep-related factors with EDS, prevalence rates of EDS within subgroups for each variable were estimated. Quality was assured through translation of the questionnaire to & retranslation from the local language and adequate training of data collectors after pretest was assured at separate area of similar characteristics.
X² test was used to test for differences between proportions. To explore the association of socio demographic and sleep-related factors with EDS, prevalence rates of EDS within subgroups for each variable were estimated. Quality was assured through translation of the questionnaire to & retranslation from the local language and adequate training of data collectors after pretest was assured at separate area of similar characteristics.

**Results**

**Description of Socio-demographic characteristics of the study subjects**

Overall, 398 public drivers were enrolled into the study making 99% response rate with the mean age of 32.1 years (SD ± 9.1) and 20–29 year modal class. Of these enrolled only 5 (1.3%) of respondents were females. More than half (53.4%) of the participants were married. Concerning educational status, 218 (54.8%) of respondents were attended primary education followed by high school graduates, 154 (38.7%) with the remaining 26 (6.3%) higher education complete. From the total participants, 47% were reported & identified to be the majority group related to work experiences of 0–4 years. Similarly form all studied subjects the average monthly income was identified to be 4446.12 ETB (with SD ± 4775.18) with the majorities (72%) reported to earn up 5000 ETB monthly (Table 1).

| Variables               | Categories    | Total | %     |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| **Age Of Drivers in years** |               |       |       |
| 0–19                    | 6             | 1.5   |       |
| 20–29                   | 186           | 46.7  |       |
| 30–39                   | 128           | 32.2  |       |
| 40–49                   | 56            | 14.1  |       |
| 50–59                   | 14            | 3.5   |       |
| 60–69                   | 8             | 2.0   |       |
| **Sex**                |               |       |       |
| Male                    | 393           | 98.7  |       |
| Female                  | 5             | 1.3   |       |
| **Educational Status**  |               |       |       |
| Elementary              | 218           | 54.8  |       |
| High School Graduate    | 154           | 38.7  |       |
| Diploma & above         | 26            | 6.5   |       |
| Married                 | 213           | 53.7  |       |
| Marital Status   |       |       |
|------------------|-------|-------|
| Single           | 174   | 43.8  |
| Widowed          | 4     | 0.8   |
| Divorced         | 7     | 1.8   |

| Drivers work Experience in years |       |       |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 0–4                             | 187   | 47.1  |
| 5–9                             | 109   | 27.5  |
| 10–14                           | 60    | 15.1  |
| 15–19                           | 18    | 4.5   |
| 20–24                           | 18    | 4.5   |
| ≥ 25                            | 6     | 1.5   |

| Substance Use |       |       |
|---------------|-------|-------|
| Yes           | 187   | 47.0  |
| No            | 211   | 53.0% |

| Tobacco Products (Cigarettes) |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Yes                           | 32    | 17.1  |
| No                            | 155   | 82.9  |

| Alcoholic Beverages (Beer, Wine, Other Alcohols, Etc.) |       |       |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Yes                                                    | 81    | 43.3  |
| No                                                     | 106   | 56.7  |

| Cannabis (Marijuana, Grass, Etc.)                     |       |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Yes                                                   | 3     | 1.6   |
| No                                                    | 184   | 98.4  |

| Khat                                                   |       |       |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Yes                                                    | 162   | 86.6  |
| No                                                     | 25    | 13.4  |

| Drivers Monthly Income in ETB |       |       |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Up To 5000                    | 288   | 72.5  |
| 6000–15000                    | 104   | 26.2  |
| Above 15000                   | 6     | 1.5   |
From all study subjects, 53% were identified to use either of substances with the remaining counterpart to be free of these substances. Majority of the substance users were linked to khat (86.6%) where a few proportion has reported cannabis users (1.08%).

**Description of sleep characteristics of the study participants**

With the regards to the measurements of the chance of dozing at eight different situations, participants were found to demonstrated similar characteristics of dozing at sitting & reading, and watching TV situations. In these situations, the majorities of the subjects would never fall asleep in 52.3%, with a slight chance of falling asleep in 16.8%, a medium chance of in 17.8% and a high chance of falling asleep in 13.1%. While Sitting, inactive in a public place like at a theatre or a meeting a total of 317 (79.6%), 46(11.6%), 32(8.0%) & 3(0.8%) were respectively found to be never, slight, moderate and higher dozers. Similarly, while in travelling as a passenger in a car for an hour without a break, 88.7% of the study subjects were remains never dozing, with slight chance of in 6.3% with the remaining moderate (4.8%) & higher dozers (1.3%). In each remaining four situations, the dozing characteristics of the study subjects were recognized to take a similar characteristics or descending order from never to higher chances of dozing. Lying down to rest in the afternoon when circumstances permit 73.4% never dozes with 7.5% higher chance falling asleep in this situation. In sitting and talking to someone, 91.2% would never fall asleep in that situation while 1.5% reported a high chance of falling asleep. Finally, 90.7% while sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol and 93.5% in a car, while stopped for a few minutes in the traffic were reported as would never dozers with the remaining proportions to be either slight, moderate or higher dozers in each situation as indicated in Table 2.

**Table 2**

| Variables | Corresponding Sleepiness score(0–3), in increasing order within the categories | Frequency | % |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Sitting and reading | Would never doze | 208 | 52.3 |
| Sitting and reading | Slight chance | 67 | 16.8 |
| Sitting and reading | Moderate chance | 71 | 17.8 |
| Sitting and reading | Higher chance | 52 | 13.1 |
| Watching TV | Would never doze | 207 | 52.0 |
| Watching TV | Slight chance | 57 | 14.3 |
| Watching TV | Moderate chance | 82 | 20.6 |
| Watching TV | Higher chance | 52 | 13.1 |

| Sitting, inactive in a public place (e.g. A theatre or a meeting) | Would never doze | 208 | 52.3 |
| Sitting, inactive in a public place (e.g. A theatre or a meeting) | Slight chance | 67 | 16.8 |
| Sitting, inactive in a public place (e.g. A theatre or a meeting) | Moderate chance | 71 | 17.8 |
| Sitting, inactive in a public place (e.g. A theatre or a meeting) | Higher chance | 52 | 13.1 |
| Activity                                                                 | Would never doze | Slight chance | Moderate chance | Higher chance |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| As a passenger in a car for an hour without a break                    | 317              | 46            | 32             | 3             |
| Lying down to rest in the afternoon when circumstances permit          | 353              | 25            | 19             | 1             |
| Sitting and talking to someone                                          | 363              | 22            | 7              | 6             |
| Sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol                            | 361              | 22            | 7              | 6             |

Percentage observed:

- As a passenger in a car for an hour without a break: 88.7%
- Lying down to rest in the afternoon when circumstances permit: 73.4%
- Sitting and talking to someone: 91.2%
- Sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol: 90.7%
In summary, the result of the current measurements indicated that of the responding commercials drivers, 87 subjects (21.7%) reported they scored more than 10 in ESS with the remaining 78.3% counterpart as illustrated in Fig. 1.

From the aforementioned data in Table 1, 30–39 years of age (46.3%), less driving experience or 0–4 years (39%), khat chewing (96.9%) and lower monthly income (76.2%) showed higher values for EDS as compared to their respective classes.

**Association of variables with the EDS score**

After the detail description of the characteristics of the study subjects all variables were evaluated by bivariate logistic regression or association with an outcome variable of ESS score at p- value of 0.25. As to this educational status (P = 0.06, UOR = 0.6), work experience years (P = 0.05, UOR = 2.74), Tobacco use (P = 0.03, UOR = 5.2), Alcohol consumption (P = 0.001, UOR = 7.0) and monthly income in ETB (P = 0.001, UOR = 2.83) were reported for the association. These variables were further entered into multivariable logistic regression and finally four of their categorical were showed an association with the dependent variable and reported as the final model as displayed in Table 3. In the table drivers of work experience ranged from 10–14 years are 13.96(AOR = 13.96, CI = 1.18, 11.1, p = 0.04) times more likely to suffer an Excessive daytime sleepiness as compared to drivers of 0–4 years of work experiences.

Substance users like Tobacco and alcohol are the other identified groups to show 2.9 (AOR = 2.9, CI = 1.1, 7.6, p = 0.03) and 6.12(AOR = 6.12, 95% CI = 2.32, 6.12, P = 0.001) times higher risk than their counter parts in respective orders. Finally, drivers who earn 6000–15000 monthly ETB were demonstrated to have more relation (AOR = 2.49, 95%CI = 1.02, 6.06, P = 0.04) than their colleagues earning more than 15000 ETB per month. Generally the current study identified, driving experiences of 10–14 years, tobacco or alcohol use and middle level of monthly income were the revealed to be the factors of daytime sleepiness.
| Variables                          | Categories | ESS Score | Unadjusted | Adjusted  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
|                                   |            | ≤ 10      | > 11       | P-Value   | UOR       | P-Value  | AOR       | 95% CI    |
|                                   |            |           |            |           |           |           |           |           |
| Educational status                | Elementary | 163(52.4) | 55(63.2)   | 0.81      | 0.89      | 0.001    | 1.00      |           |
|                                   | High School Graduate | 21(6.8)   | 6(6.9)     | **0.06**  | **0.61**  | 0.99     | 1.01      | [0.10, 0.24] |
|                                   | Diploma & above | 127(41.8) | 26(29.9)   | 0.001     | 1.00      | 0.03     | 0.38      | [0.16, 0.95] |
| Drivers work Experience in years  | 0–4        | 149(48.1) | 38(43.7)   | 0.98      | 1.00      | 0.06     | 1.00      |           |
|                                   | 5–9        | 87(28.1)  | 22(25.3)   | 0.30      | 1.43      | 0.07     | 9.45      | [0.81, 11.3] |
|                                   | 10–14      | 44(14.20) | 16(18.4)   | **0.05**  | **2.74**  | **0.04** | **13.96** | [1.18, 11.1] |
|                                   | 15–19      | 10(3.2)   | 7(8.0)     | 0.71      | 0.78      | 0.07     | 14.18     | [0.84, 16.5] |
|                                   | 20–24      | 15(4.8)   | 3(3.4)     | 0.83      | 0.78      | 0.45     | 3.62      | [0.13, 23.9] |
|                                   | ≥ 25       | 5(1.6)    | 1(1.1)     | 0.98      | 0.99      | 0.06     | 10.05     | [0.89, 10.2] |
| Tobacco Products (Cigarettes)     | Yes        | 16(11.0)  | 16(39.0)   | **0.001** | **5.20**  | **0.03** | **2.90**  | [1.1, 7.60] |
|                                   | No         | 130(89.0) | 25(61.0)   | 0.001     | 1.00      | 0.001    | 1.00      |           |
| Alcoholic Beverages (Beer, Wine, Other Alcohols, Etc.) | Yes | 49(33.6)  | 32(78.0)   | **0.001** | **7.04**  | **0.001** | **6.12**  | [2.32, 6.12] |
|                                   | No         | 97(66.4)  | 9(22.0)    | 0.001     | 1.00      | 0.001    | 1.00      |           |
| Drivers Monthly Income in ETB     | Up To 5000 | 241(77.5) | 47(54.3)   | 0.001     | 1.00      | 0.001    | 1.00      |           |
|                                   | 6000–15000 | 67(21.5)  | 37(43.0)   | **0.001** | **2.83**  | **0.04** | **2.49**  | [1.02, 6.06] |
|                                   | Above 15000 | 3(1.0)    | 2(2.3)     | 0.19      | 3.41      | 0.05     | 17.09     | [0.94, 30.98] |
In this community-based study of commercial drivers, we observed a prevalence of daytime sleepiness and its positive relationship with some factors. The identified prevalence looks a bit higher than the prevalence reported by similar studies in among Turkey drivers where daytime sleepiness is 15.3% with ESS and sleepiness among heavy vehicle drivers in Sri Lanka which is 14.4% and 13.2 in Korea(28,29,31) . Whereas, this report prevalence is lower than reports among Brazilians 28%, among Chinese drivers 22.16% and among Australian commercial vehicle drivers 24% (31, 22, and 30). The reported variations between different studies may be idiosyncratic to different socioeconomic demands and cultural habits among the different population groups. Due to different factors such as sleep habits, sleep hygiene, cultural and racial differences, life-style, life quality and stresses.

Related to factors associated with daytime, work experience which is 10-14 years has shown positive relation among the study subjects. In contrast with young drivers or less experienced, the collisions of older drivers more often involve driver error at intersections and when making turns found that failure to yield right of way, failure to comply with signs and signals, failure to see objects, and improper turns and lane changes were commonly reported in road accident records for collisions of older drivers(15). Older driver errors may in part result from age-related decline in visual, cognitive, and mobility functioning in older age.

Study also reported the existence of linkage between daytime sleep and smoking as like in Japan (20) and Canada (22). This result would take a knowledge lead as there could be the distraction or impaired attention for the fact that smoking was associated with difficulty initiating sleep, difficulty waking up and cause drowsiness to a person during the routine day activity. Alcohol intake in similar way identified to have relation with daytime sleepiness among commercial drivers. This positive relation resembles study reports of countries like America, China and UK (16)(17)(18). Alcohol consumption at almost any level can cause sleep disturbance and induce sleep disorders. Drinking alcohol can disrupt the structure and duration of sleep states, alter total sleep time, and affect the time required to fall asleep. Many people suffering from insomnia will take a drink before bedtime to help them fall asleep. After an initial stimulating effect, alcohol’s sedating effects can reduce the time required to fall asleep. Whereas this study does not agree with the study conducted in USA which state alcohol use (more than seven beverages per week) reduced the risk for excessive sleepiness in a robust. Finding found in all analyses was that drinking seven or more alcoholic beverages a week reduced the risk for sleepiness. It is unclear why increased alcoholic beverage consumption was found to be associated with reduced risk, as alcohol consumption is sometimes implicated as a factor associated with sleep disruption but the most parsimonious explanation is that the with awareness of excessive sleepiness learn to appreciate the negative impact of alcohol on their sleep, and hence reduce alcohol intake.

Monthly income was another socio demographic factor that showed relation with excessive sleepiness among drivers our study agrees with study conducted in Brazil. In relation to the general socioeconomic context, our study demonstrated that individuals with lower income have excessive sleepiness that individuals with higher socioeconomic status (26, 32). Indeed, lower socioeconomic condition is related to many intrinsic factors that can cause poor sleep such as illness, fewer support systems, depression, anxiety, lower quality of life, and less motivation to see sleep as a priority.

The result of the current measurements indicated that majority of the responded commercials drivers have non-severe ESS. Whereas, the prevalence of daytime sleepiness was relatively high in the studied population group, and factors linked to the problem are driving experiences, substance use and middle level of monthly income. Therefore, we recommend further studies on impacts of daytime sleepiness and strategic action on the reduction of the relation.
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Figure 1
Epworth sleepiness scale score description of the study subjects