Low-activated Li-ion mobility and metal to semiconductor transition in CdP_2@Li phases†
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Solids with high ion mobility are of broad interest for energy storage applications. New systems featuring low-activated ion mobility are important to improve the performance in such systems. Herein we report on a model system dealing with such improved properties. Li_0.2CdP_2 was synthesized from the elements, lithium as structure stabilizer and CdI_2 as reaction promoters in sealed silica ampoules at 823 K. It crystallizes tetragonal, in space group I_4_1_22 (α-CdAs_2 structure type), with lattice parameters a = 7.6691(8) Å, c = 4.4467(4) Å and V = 261.53(4) Å^3. After 24 h of storage in air lithium ions can be removed in a spontaneous delithiation reaction resulting in Li(OH)-H_2O formation on the surface of the crystals. Formed α'-CdP_2 adopts the α-CdAs_2 structure type. Both compounds consist of isolated cadmium atoms and helical 1/2[P^-]-chains generating empty channels suitable to accommodate Li ions. The heavy atom structure was determined by X-ray diffraction methods while a full model including lithium was derived from a combined solid state NMR and quantum chemical calculation approach. An activation energy of 0.3 eV was determined by NMR spectroscopy pointing towards an extraordinary high Li mobility in Li_0.2CdP_2. Of course a Cd-based solid will have certain disadvantages like toxicity and mass for storage applications but substitution of Cd by suitable lighter elements can solve this issue.

Introduction

Phosphorus is an element with intriguing structure chemistry. In the case of Cd the reactivity is reasonable and binaries like CdP_2, Cd_3P_2 and Cd_3As_2 or Cd-P_10 (ref. 8) exist. Cd is a prominent element used in photo-semiconductors like CdTe or Cd_pnictides is rather small. Mixed ion and electron conducting cations. While solar energy conversion applications are well-established features for Cd pnictides and Cd chalcogenides due to their high electron mobility, the knowledge of ion mobility inCd pnictides is rather small. Mixed ion and electron conducting compounds are potential candidates for batteries while pure ion conductors are also important as solid state electrolytes. Prominent solid ion conductors like garnet-type compounds show conductivities of 10^-3 S cm^-1 at room temperature and activation energies of 0.3 eV. CdP_2 exists in two different modifications. At room temperature, α-CdP_2 crystallizes orthorhombic, in space group Pna2_1 (ref. 2–4) while a high temperature phase β-CdP_2 occurs above 693 K, adopting the space group P4_12_2_1,3 The prominent structural feature in both cases is a helical 1/2[P^-]-chain coordinated to cadmium ions. A helical arsenic chain was detected in the compound α-CdAs_2, featuring the space group I4_1_22. An examination of the solid solution CdP_2–CdAs_2 reveals a continuous series up to CdAs_2–P_2 with x = 1.8, where all representatives crystallize tetragonal, thus adopting the α-CdAs_2 structure type. It has been reported in ref. 16 that a cadmium polyphoshide in the α-CdAs_2 structure type could not be realized. Unfortunately, this α-CdAs_2 structure offers open channels ready for a coordination of mobile ions whereas such channels are not present in the known polymorphs.

Metal halides are prominent compounds to act as reaction promoters for the synthesis of kinetically stabilized materials and compounds adopting uncommon structure features. This feature, called mineralizer principle from now on, can also be used to selectively synthesize element allotropes of phosphorus. Recently, fibrous red phosphorus has been prepared by using CuCl_2 (ref. 19) and orthorhombic black phosphorus can be realized by a SnI/Sn combination. This synthesis principle was used to synthesize the present compounds.
Herein we report on the synthesis, reactivity, quantum chemical characterization and ion mobility of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ and α-CdP$_2$, featuring the end member of the solid solution CdAs$_{0.7}$P$_{2-x}$ with $x = 2.0$. The mineralizer principle has successfully been adopted using CdI$_2$ as mineralizer agent.

**Experimental section**

**Synthesis**

Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ was synthesized by reacting Li (Rockwood lithium, 99.9%), Cd (Chempur, 99.9999%) and red P (Chempur, 99.999%-+) in a molar ratio of 0.25 : 0.875 : 2 in evacuated graphitized silica ampoules. CdI$_2$ (25 mg), acting as mineralizer, was added to a total amount of 1 g starting materials. The mixture was heated up to 823 K within 12 h, kept for 7 days at this temperature, and slowly cooled down to room temperature within 12 h afterwards. Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ was obtained as a black powder. Impurities of cadmium or iodide were never detected in the final products.

Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ can also be prepared from the elements in ideal ratios (Li : Cd : P = 0.2 : 1 : 2) without a mineralizer, resulting in a microcrystalline black powder, but only with sparse crystals. The exchange of the mineralizer from CdI$_2$ to another mineralizer like CuCl$_2$ resulted in completely different products. Cd$_2$P$_2$ and crystals of LiP$_{15}$ have been identified after phase analysis. Impurities of copper or chlorine were neither detected in Cd$_2$P$_2$ nor in LiP$_{15}$ fractions.

α-CdP$_2$ can be prepared from Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ by a de-lithiation process upon exposing it to moist air. A white powder is formed on top of the needle-shaped Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ crystals, after 24 h of exposure. After dissolution of the white powder in water Li$_2$CO$_3$ was found as main phase after removal of the solvent, substantiating the Li extraction from Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$. A full de-lithiation takes place after four weeks (Results and discussion section).

**EDS and ICP analyses**

Semi-quantitative EDS analysis was performed using a SEM 5900LV spectrometer (JEOL) scanning electron microscope equipped with an INCA energy dispersive microanalysis system (Oxford Instruments). An acceleration voltage of 30 kV was used for the measurements. Another system we used was a desktop scanning microscope JCM 6000 NeoScop™ (JEOL) equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray unit (JED 2200 JEOL). An acceleration voltage of 15 kV was applied for the measurements. The ICP analysis were performed using an Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA) model 725 Series ICP OES instrument, equipped with an Echelle optics (model Vista/7XX ES), a monochromator (model Variant Liberty) and a CCD sensor (model Vista Pro 720/725 ES, resolution < 0.01 nm). Data analysis was done by the program ICP Expert II for Agilent 725 ES ICP OES.

**X-ray single crystal diffraction**

Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ was stored and treated in an argon filled glovebox, while α-CdP$_2$ was kept in air for 7 days and subsequently washed with water to remove Li(OH)H$_2$O on the surface. Single crystals of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ were sealed in a 0.3 mm glass capillary prior to the measurement. Data were collected on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with a D8 goniometer (MoKα radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å, graphite monochromator, rotating anode source) at 123 K. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow frame algorithm. Numerical absorption correction was done employing the SADABS routine. A suitable single crystal of α-CdP$_2$ was fixed on a glass capillary, and positioned on the goniometer head of an Oxford Xcalibur3 diffractometer (Sapphire 3 CCD detector; MoKα radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, graphite monochromator). The Oxford Crystals RED software was used for data processing, including an empirical absorption correction with ABSPACK.

The space group $I4_122$ was assigned on the basis of systematic absences and the statistical analysis of the intensity distributions. The structure was solved using the superflip routine implemented in the Jana2006 program suite.

**X-ray powder diffraction**

For the X-ray powder diffractometer analysis the sample kept under argon (Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$) and the sample on air (α-CdP$_2$) were ground and sealed in glass capillaries. Powder XRD data were collected with a STOE STADI P X-ray powder diffractometer equipped with a DECTRIS Mythen 1K Detector using CuKα$_1$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54051$ Å, curved Ge (111) monochromator). An external calibration was performed with Si ($a = 5.43088$ Å). Data were collected from 10.03’ to 119.755’ 2θ. The XRD pattern was fitted using the Rietveld routine implemented in the program Jana2006.

**Electronic structure calculations**

The first-principles calculations were carried out within the framework of DFT with exchange-correlation functionals in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) according to Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) and in the local density approximation according to Perdew and Zunger (LDA). Full geometry optimizations were executed with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP). All atomic site parameters and cell constants were allowed to fully relax with the conjugate gradient algorithm. The interactions between the ions and the electrons are described by the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) method with a cut-off energy of 500 eV. All calculations were performed in several subsequent steps with increasing k-grid meshes (depending on the size of the unit cell) between $2 \times 2 \times 2$ and $12 \times 12 \times 12$ to reach sufficient accuracy. A structure optimization was considered to be converged with a difference in total energy of less than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ eV and a maximum Hellmann–Feynman force of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV Å$^{-1}$. The final values of the total energies of the investigated systems were obtained with energy differences (between last and second to last step) of less than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ eV per formula unit.

**Solid state NMR**

Experiments were performed on a Bruker Advance III spectrometer operating at 7 T with resonance frequencies of
116.6 MHz, 121.5 MHz and 300.13 MHz for $^7$Li, $^{31}$P and $^1$H, respectively. A Bruker 4 mm WVT MAS probe was used for the experiments. The Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ sample was handled in a glovebox and dry N$_2$ was employed for spinning and cooling the sample. Static $^7$Li NMR spectra were recorded in the temperature range 117 K < $T$ < 561 K employing single pulse excitation and the solid echo pulse sequence for data acquisition. For the $^7$Li $T_1$ NMR measurements, the inversion recovery sequence was used. The temperature was calibrated employing the chemical shift of Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$ as a chemical shift thermometer. $^{31}$P MAS NMR and $^7$Li MAS NMR spectra were recorded employing spinning speeds of 8–12 kHz using the Bruker system. Additional spectra at higher spinning speeds (up to 35 000 Hz) for $^1$H and $^{31}$P were acquired on a Varian DMR2 NMR spectrometer operating at 11, 7 T using a Varian T3 MAS 1.6 mm NMR probe. Spectra are referenced as usual employing TMS for $^1$H, 1 M LiCl for $^7$Li and 85% H$_3$PO$_4$ for $^{31}$P.

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

Finely ground samples of phase pure Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ (8.8 mg) and $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ (9.0 mg) were transferred to aluminum crucibles and were measured with a rate of 10 K min$^{-1}$ using a Netzsch DSC 200 F3 Maja machine. H$_2$O, In and Zn were used as standards for temperature calibration. All measurements were performed under N$_2$ atmosphere. No thermal effect was found in the temperature range from 123 K to 373 K for Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$. $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ cannot be transferred to $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ or $\beta$-CdP$_2$ up to 673 K.

**DC conductivity measurements**

Total electric conductivities of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ and $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ have been measured using a homemade tool, which is capable to measure pressurized powdered samples (200 MPA, up to 2 mm height and 6 mm diameter) with a scale for measuring the height of the compressed powder. With a potentiostat (VMP-3, Biologic), a voltage of up to 10 V is applied to the compressed powder and the resulting current is measured. This unconventional procedure was necessary because a stable and dense pellet for impedance measurements could not be made from powdered samples in both cases. Temperature dependent conductivity measurements on single crystals are currently underway.

**Results and discussion**

CdP$_2$ shows polymorphism with two known polymorphs, a low temperature $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ adopting the space group $Pna_2$$_1$ (ref. 2–4) and a high temperature $\beta$-phase stable above 693 K, crystallizing in space group $P4_12_2$$_2$. A brief overview of the two CdP$_2$ structures is given in Fig. 1a and b.

The main difference is the arrangement of $\frac{1}{2}$[P$_4^-$]-chains parallel and perpendicular towards each other featuring alternating P-P bond lengths. $\alpha$-CdAs$_2$ contains a $\frac{1}{2}$[As$^-$]-chain (see Fig. 1c) with no bond length alteration and a slightly different arrangement of the Cd substructure than observed in $\alpha$-CdP$_2$. Surprisingly, a $\alpha$-CdAs$_2$-type CdP$_2$ polymorph does not exist till now – a solid solution $\alpha$-CdAs$_{2-x}$P$_x$ has only been realized up to $x = 1.8$. We therefore started systematic investigations to close this gap to $x = 0.2$ and call this new phase $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ from now on.

All our attempts to prepare $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$, either from the elements directly or by the mineralizer principle using CdI$_2$ or CuCl$_2$, failed. CdP$_4$, Cd$_3$P$_2$ and $\beta$-CdP$_2$ were found instead. If lithium was added in small portions as starting material, Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$, adopting the $\alpha$-CdAs$_2$ structure type, was found.

**Structural characterization and elemental analysis of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$**

Single crystals of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$, separated from a batch with the nominal composition $x = 0.125$, have been used to perform a single crystal X-ray structure determination. An executed neutron scattering experiment of microcrystalline Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ has also been performed, but due to the large neutron absorption cross section of $\sigma_a = 2520$ b, it was not possible to derive any structural parameters from that data.

Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ crystallizes tetragonal, isostructural to $\alpha$-CdAs$_2$, in space group $I4_12_2$, with $Z = 4$. The lattice parameters (a = 7.6691(8) Å, c = 4.4467(4) Å and $V = 261.53(4)$ Å$^3$; c/a = 0.5798) are taken from the powder analysis. Displacement parameters of Cd are slightly anisotropic, pointing towards a possible symmetry reduction. We found no evidences for a loss of the $4\bar{1}$ symmetry which would directly lead to a bond length variation within the P substructure. Also a loss of centering was checked but could not be confirmed. The selected symmetry was crosschecked by X-ray powder phase analysis including Rietveld refinements (see ESI†).

The cadmium atoms are located on $4a$ positions (0, 0, 0) while phosphorus occupies 8f (0.42546(9), 0.25, 0.125). Li could not be localized in this case. Even at 123 K we were not able to locate Li in...
the structure (see ESI†). This arrangement of heavy atoms leads to four channels per unit cell along the c-direction, of which two of them are filled with a helical $^1\{\text{P}^\text{−}\}$-chain (Fig. 1d). The bond length observed within the helical $^1\{\text{P}^\text{−}\}$ chain is $d(\text{P}−\text{P}) = 2.2040(6)$ Å, lying within the expected range for covalently bonded phosphorus (2.14–2.30 Å). The Cd–P bond length of 2.6043(4) Å represents a well suited value reported for many cadmium polyphosphides. Examples are $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ ($d(\text{Cd}−\text{P}) = 2.495(15)$ to 2.627(15) Å) or Cd$_{2}$P$_{10}$ ($d(\text{Cd}−\text{P}) = 2.49$ to 2.85 Å). The distances between neighbored cadmium atoms are close to 4 Å. A section of the crystal structure of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ is given in Fig. 1d.

The composition and exact Li-content was determined from single crystals by ICP-OES resulting in Li$_{0.2}$Cd$_{0.99(3)}$P$_{2.00(3)}$ (or Li : Cd : P = 6.43 : 28.93 : 64.64 in at%). This finding is close to the expected composition but features a slightly reduced Cd content. An EDX analysis substantiated the 1 : 2 ratio of the heavy atoms. As shown later on by quantum chemical calculations, NMR spectroscopy, and phase analysis the Li$_{0.2}$Cd$_{1}$P$_2$ composition was substantiated. In the following, we use the idealized composition Li$_{0.3}$CdP$_2$ for further discussions.

**Lithium mobility in Li$_{0.3}$CdP$_2$**

Due to the high Li mobility (see later on) we tried to localize Li by other methods than scattering techniques. We therefore decided to do quantum chemical model calculations and temperature dependent solid state NMR spectroscopy to evaluate the most probable position within the structure and to check for Li mobility in the present system.

A first hint for a high Li ion mobility is the reasonable reactivity of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ against humid air. If Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ is stored in air for 24 h, a white powder can be observed on the surface being identified as Li(OH)·H$_2$O. Details are given in the ESI†. Assuming simple exchange reactions the formation of Li(OH)·H$_2$O is explainable.

On the crystal surface:

\[ 10 \times 2\text{Li}^+ + (\text{Li}+\text{Cd}+\text{P}^−) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{air}) \rightleftharpoons 2\text{LiOH} + 2\text{H}^+ \]

\[ \text{LiOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Li(OH)}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

\[ \text{LiOH} + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

After dissolution and removal of water on air:

\[ 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{OH}^− + \text{CO}_2 \text{(from air)} \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3↓ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Driving force for this de-lithiation reaction is the formation of a lithium compound on the surface of the crystals. A possible proton exchange has been addressed by NMR spectroscopy. The proton content was determined as H$_{0.050(5)}$Cd$_{2}$P$_2$ (see ESI†).

**Structural characterization and elemental analysis of $\alpha$-CdP$_2$**

Carefully washed $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ crystals were subject of a single crystal structure determination. The $\alpha$-CdAs$_2$ structure type has been confirmed (see ESI†) but slightly larger lattice parameters have been observed as for Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$. Lattice parameters of $a = 7.6829(2)$ Å, $c = 4.46036(11)$ Å and $V = 263.279(12)$ Å$^3$ [$c/a = 0.581$] have been derived from the scattering data, being 0.3% larger than for the lithiated compound. The effect is not drastic but outside the 3σ criteria for all lattice parameters. Bond length of $d(\text{P}−\text{P})$ of 2.2104(10) Å ($d(\text{P}−\text{P}) = 2.2040(6)$ Å in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$) and $d(\text{Cd}−\text{P})$ of 2.6102(3) Å ($d(\text{Cd}−\text{P}) = 2.6043(4)$ Å in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$) resulted.

$\alpha$-CdP$_2$ can be regarded as an electron precise compound with Cd$^{2+}$ ions and two-bonded (2b)P$^−$, resulting in Cd$^{2+}$P$^−$ following the Zintl–Klemm$^{37}$ concept. It represents the former unknown end member of a solid solution CdAs$_{1−x}$P$_x$ ($0 < x < 1.8$) which was reported in 1989 (see Fig. 2). The differences in cell volume between Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ and $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ are not huge but $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ fits to the Vegard line$^{38}$ while Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ lies significantly below.

An ICP analysis of the carefully washed black crystals after full de-lithiation resulted in Cd$_{0.89(3)}$P$_{2.00(3)}$. Li can be extracted quantitatively from Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ by storage on air to form CdP$_2$. Following the notation we called the new phase $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ to illustrate the close relationship but also significant difference to $\alpha$-CdP$_2$.

**Quantum chemical calculations**

Band structure calculations and DOS representations were performed for Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ and its de-lithiated form $\alpha$-CdP$_2$. Li positions in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ were determined by a supercell approach and localized within the empty channels along the crystallographic c-axis. While $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ is destabilized in relation to $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ and $\beta$-CdP$_2$, a substantial stabilization is found for a Li incorporation into the empty channels (see Fig. 3 and ESI†). Substitution of Cd by Li does not lead to a significant stabilization effect and can be ruled out.

**Fig. 2.** Cell volumes of the solid solution CdAs$_{1−x}$P$_x$ derived from literature [ref. 16] for $0 < x < 1.8$ and from single-crystal X-ray experiments for $x = 2$. A Vegard-like$^{38}$ decrease of the cell volume is observed up to the maximum P content of $x = 2$. 
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Obviously, the intercalation of lithium ions into the host structure leads to the closure of the band gap and the occurrence of a metal to semiconductor transition. Upon intercalation of Li into $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$, 0.2 electrons are located in the conduction band and the band gap vanishes. The integrated DOS (i-DOS) between the Fermi level and the pseudo gap of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ corresponds to 0.2 electrons, in good accordance with the expected value from the formula sum (black area in Fig. 3).

**Solid state NMR spectroscopy**

The $^{31}$P-MAS-NMR spectra for $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ and Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ are compiled in Fig. 4. In accordance with the crystal structure, we find a single phosphorous site in $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ with an isotropic chemical shift of $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -172.6$ ppm. From a simulation employing the DMFIT software,$^{39}$ the chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) parameters were determined as $\delta_{\text{CS}} = 189.5$ ppm and $\eta_{\text{CS}} = 0.5$. We note that the spinning sidebands on the high field side of the spectrum experience some broadening, especially at higher spinning speeds.

We ascribe this to the combined action of the chemical shift anisotropy and extremely strong homo-nuclear dipolar coupling. The experimental data is found to be in good agreement with the results of quantum chemical calculations of the CSA parameters employing the WIEN2k software package,$^{40}$ which produces $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -171$ ppm, $\delta_{\text{CS}} = 210$ ppm and $\eta_{\text{CS}} = 0.46$.

For Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$, on the other hand, clearly two different P sites with isotropic chemical shifts of $-157.4$ ppm and $-167.4$ ppm with relative ratio of 1 : 1 can be identified. The CSA parameters for both sites are $\delta_{\text{CS}} = 182$ ppm and $\eta_{\text{CS}} = 0.6$. The virtual identical CSA parameters for P in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ and the de-lithiated $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ sample confirm that the local $^{31}$P environment in both compounds is rather similar, as suggested by the XRD results. In addition the presence of two distinct P sites in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ indirectly confirms the presence of Li within the structure.

The static $^7$Li NMR spectra as a function of temperature in the temperature range 140 K $\leq T \leq$ 295 K, acquired employing either a single pulse excitation or a solid echo pulse sequence, are collected in Fig. 5.

For a $I = 3/2$ nucleus, the overall static line width represents the magnitude of the quadrupole coupling constant $C_Q = eV_{zz}Q/h$, with $Q$ denoting the quadrupolar moment, elementary charge and $V_{zz}$ the principal component of the

![Fig. 3](#) 0 K energies calculated by LDA and GGA for existing $\alpha$- and $\beta$-CdP$_2$, $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ and Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ (top). Band structure representation and total Density of States (DOS) for Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ and $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$. The integrated DOS within the black area represents 0.2 electrons, in good accordance with the Li content in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$.

![Fig. 4](#) $^{31}$P-MAS-NMR spectra for Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ (a) and $\alpha'$-CdP$_2$ (b).
electric field gradient. The narrow line in the middle of the spectrum (central transition) is only affected by the homo- and hetero-nuclear dipolar interactions. Since both, the (first order) quadrupolar coupling and the dipole interaction scale with the second Legendrian $3 \cos^2 \beta - 1$ with $\beta$ denoting the angle between the direction of the magnetic field $B_0$ and the principal axis of the respective interaction (quadrupolar or dipolar), the line shape will be influenced by dynamic processes, leading to an averaging of the interactions and hence a motional narrowing of the NMR line if the inverse of the corresponding correlation time exceeds the magnitude of the interaction.

In the absence of any motional process on the other hand, the line width adopts a constant line width, which is usually referred to as the rigid lattice value. From the evolution of the line shape of the static $^7$Li NMR spectra with temperature we can clearly conclude considerable Li ion mobility in Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$. At $T < 180$ K, the spectrum is characterized by a broad signal ($m = \pm 1/2$ to $m = \pm 3/2$ transitions) from which a quadrupolar coupling constant of $C_Q = 95$ kHz can be deduced. The width of the central transition at $T = 140$ K (4 kHz) indicates the presence of sizeable dipole coupling. Upon increasing the temperature, the overall width of the spectrum decreases to a value of 11 kHz at ambient temperature. Thus, the quadrupolar interaction is partially averaged out due to Li dynamics. For an isotropic diffusive motion, a vanishing EFG and hence zero quadrupolar coupling would be expected. The presence of a residual average quadrupolar coupling as observed here indicates a hopping process in which the Li ion traces well defined positions within the crystal structure, as observed e.g. in crystalline Li ion conductors. In this situation, which is often termed anisotropic diffusion, the effective EFG, averaged over these positions, does not average to zero, but to a small residual value.

The evolution of the width of the central line with temperature is plotted in Fig. 5b. At ambient temperature, a line width of 800 Hz is observed. The line width does not suffer from any broadening down to temperatures of 200 K, then a broadening sets in, resulting in a line width of 3300 Hz at $T = 117$ K. Surprisingly, the rigid lattice regime is not reached even at these low temperatures. Employing the empirical Waugh–Fedin relation, $E_A = 0.156 \times T_{\text{onset}}$, which relates the activation energy $E_A$ of the motional process to the onset temperature $T_{\text{onset}}$, at which the motional narrowing sets in, we can give an upper limit for the activation energy of approx. 20 kJ mol$^{-1}$.

### Table 1

| Compound          | $E_A$/eV (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | Determination method | $T$/K | Lit. |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------|------|
| LiFePO$_4$        | 0.6 (57.9)               | IS                   | RT    | 44   |
| Li$_3$PS$_6$      | 0.16 (Li/LiClO$_4$) (15.4)| IS                   | 313   | 45   |
| LiCoO$_2$         | 0.33 (Pt) (31.8)         | IS                   | 313   | 45   |
| NASICON           | 0.19 (18.3)              | IS                   | 473–773| 46   |
| LiNiO$_2$         | 0.30 (29.0)              | NMR                  | RT    | 47   |
| Li$_2$La$_3$Zr$_2$O$_12$ | 0.59 (56.9)      | NMR                  | RT    | 47   |
| Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ | 0.32 (30.9)              | IS                   | 291–323| 48   |
| Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ | 0.15 (15)                | NMR                  | 117–561| This work |

*IS: Impedance Spectroscopy NMR: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance.*
A more precise evaluation of the activation energy is possible from an analysis of the temperature dependence of the spin lattice relaxation time $T_1$, which was measured using a standard inversion recovery pulse sequence. The $T_1$ values were determined from measuring the magnetization built-up which was found to be exponential over the complete investigated temperature range. The data (cf. Fig. 6) exhibits a clear $T_1$-minimum at approx. 310 K. The activation energy and the correlation time of the dynamic process were then obtained from a simulation of the data employing the relaxation model of Bloembergen, Purcell and Pound (BPP), resulting in $E_A = 15 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, $\tau_C = 3.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Since the low temperature side of the BPP curve is – especially in the case of disordered solids – often biased to lower values, the low temperature $T_1$ data was not taken into account during the simulation. We emphasize that this value is among the lowest observed activation energies for crystalline Li ion conductors. A selection of Li ion conductors is shown in Table 1. Such a low value is in line with the best known Li ion conductors. With respect to the proposed structures for Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$, the $^7$Li NMR results clearly rule out the substitution model and favor the intercalation model.

Conclusions

Metallic Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ was prepared by a mineralizer assisted reaction from the elements and CdI$_2$ as reaction promoter. Semiconducting $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ with a band gap of $0.7 \text{ eV}$ can be synthesized via a de-lithiation process upon a simple storage process on humid air. Li(OH)$_2$H$_2$O is formed on the surface of Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ after 24 h and a complete de-lithiation to $\alpha$-CdP$_2$ takes place within weeks. A combined approach using diffraction techniques, spectroscopy and quantum chemistry was necessary to determine the crystal structures. Li$_{0.2}$CdP$_2$ shows a reasonable Li-ion mobility which was examined and confirmed by solid state NMR spectroscopy. An activation barrier range of 0.15 eV has been derived from spin lattice relaxation time ($T_1$) experiments, being at the low end of the activation energy spectrum known for the best solid Li-ion conducting materials. During the de-lithiation process, a metal to semiconductor transition occurs which has been examined and substantiated by quantum chemical calculations.
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