Hydrodynamic Device Simulation with New State Variables Specially Chosen for a Block Gummel Iterative Approach
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A new numerical formulation for solving the hydrodynamic model of semiconductor devices is presented. The method is based on using new variables to transform the conventional hydrodynamic equations into forms which facilitate numerical evaluation with a block Gummel approach. To demonstrate the new method, we apply it to model a 0.35 μm 2-D LDD MOSFET, where robust convergence properties are observed.

1. INTRODUCTION

We present a new numerical approach for solving the HD equations which is specially tailored for use with a block-Gummel iterative method. With this approach, we decouple the equations into a Poisson-current-continuity (PC) block and an energy balance (EB) block, and solve the system by iterating between the blocks. Instead of using standard variables n, p, Te, Tp, we introduce new state variables. When used in conjunction with the block Gummel method, the new variables facilitate transformation of the HD equations into linear forms, which are then solved directly or by using the fixed-point iteration method [1,2]. To help resolve rapid variations in the state variables, we have developed a Scharfetter-Gummel (SG) type approach to discretize the linear equations. The SG discretization yields a discrete system with coefficient matrices which are well conditioned, thereby helping to avoid numerical problems associated with their solution. The coordinated use of the new variables, the Gummel iterative approach, and the SG discretization yields a robust approach to solving the HD equations. We demonstrate the new approach by applying it to a 0.35 μm 2-D LDD MOSFET, where reliable convergence properties are observed.

2. NEW STATE VARIABLES

To facilitate solving the HD model, we define the new state variables {ϕ, u, v, g_n, g_p}. The major objectives in choosing these variables are the following:

i. To tailor the HD equations specifically for use with a Gummel iterative method;
ii. To transform the equations so that the left-hand side (LHS) of each equation is linear with respect to a new state variable with which this equation is identified;
iii. To yield well-conditioned coefficient matrices upon discretization of the transformed equations;
iv. To help resolve the fast spatial variation in carrier concentration and carrier temperature which occurs in semiconductor devices.
Below we present the new state variables; the detailed methodology used to determine them is motivated by transforming the conventional steady state 2-dimensional HD equations [3,4], into forms which are nearly self-adjoint.

The new state variables for the carrier concentration are \( u \) and \( v \), and they are related to \( n \) and \( p \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    n &= n_f e^{\phi/V_T} \frac{T_L}{T_n} u \\
    p &= n_f e^{-\phi/V_T} \frac{T_L}{T_p} v
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

(2)

Where \( V_T = k_BT_L/q \) and \( n_f, \phi, T_L, T_n \) are intrinsic carrier concentration, electrostatic potential, lattice temperature and electron temperature, respectively.

With this choice of new state variables, the HD current density \( J_n \) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
    J_n &= qn_f \mu_n V_T e^{\phi/V_T} \nabla u + qn_f \mu_n T_L \left( \frac{1}{T_L} \right) e^{\phi/V_T} \nabla \phi \ u
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

It is worth noting that when \( T_n = T_L \), the second term in the above current equation will vanish, and eq. (3) will reduce to the expression for current in the DD model.

The new state variables for the carrier temperatures are \( g_n \) and \( g_p \), and they are related to \( T_n \) and \( T_p \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    T_n &= T_L \left[ e^{\phi/V_T} \ u \right]^{-5/4} g_n \\
    T_p &= T_L \left[ e^{-\phi/V_T} \ v \right]^{-5/4} g_p
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

(5)

With these new state variables, the HD expression for energy flux \( S_n \) becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
    S_n &= -2q n_f \mu_n V_T^2 \left( u e^{\phi/V_T} \right)^{-1/4} \nabla g_n \\
    &\quad + \frac{5}{2} q n_f \mu_n V_T \left( u e^{\phi/V_T} \right)^{-5/4} \nabla \phi g_n + \frac{J_n}{q} \frac{1}{2} m_n^* v_n^2
\end{align*}
\]  

(6)

The expressions for hole current and hole energy flux can be obtained in an analogous manner.

3. THE TRANSFORMED HD MODEL

After substituting the new variables into the conventional HD model, we arrive at a new formulation. When used with a block-Gummel iteration scheme, the equations of the transformed model are linear with respect to newly defined state variables. The complete set of transformed HD equations is given below:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \nabla \cdot \left[ n_f \mu_n V_T e^{\phi/V_T} \nabla u \right] - \frac{q D}{\varepsilon_s} &= 0 \\
    \nabla \cdot \left[ n_f \mu_n T_L \left( \frac{1}{T_L} \right) e^{\phi/V_T} \nabla \phi \ u \right] &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(7)

(8)

(9)

(10)

The advantages of the above equations are best understood in the context of the block-Gummel algorithm used to solve these equations. With this algorithm, which is analogous to the one used in [5], the PC block, which contains the above Poisson and continuity equations, is solved self-consistently for the new state variables \( \phi, u, v \) while \( T_n \) and \( T_p \) are assumed known. Within this PC block, the LHS of the Poisson
equation is in linear self-adjoint form. The LHS’s of
the current-continuity equations are linear in \(u\) and \(v\),
but not in self-adjoint form because of the second
term under the divergence operator, which can be
called the “spoiler” term. Except for in the MOSFET
drain region, the carriers are usually close to equilib-
rium. Thus, throughout a large portion of the device
\(T_n \approx T_L\), hence the spoiler term is small, and eq. (8)
has many of the numerical attributes of the self-
adjoint form. In the drain region, where the spoiler
term can become large, our discretization scheme,
which employs exponential fitting, helps prevent
potential instabilities. Furthermore, since the PC
block is similar to the self-adjoint formulation of the
drift diffusion model, it is easily solved by using iter-
ative techniques which are similar to those already
developed for the DD model [2]. The iterative tech-
nique also facilitates the use of special scaling meth-
ods which eliminate potential overflow problems
which could arise from the use of the new variables.
To further emphasize the attributes of the PC block,
we do not transform the electron and hole tempera-
tures into their new variables, but leave them in terms
of the original or natural variables \(T_n\) and \(T_P\). Leaving
the variables \(T_n\) and \(T_P\) in eq. (8) also emphasizes that
the carrier temperatures are assumed known in the PC
block. (An analogous approach is taken in the EB
block).

The energy balance equation is treated within the
context of the EB block. Within this EB block all vari-
ables are assumed known except for the new vari-
bles \(g_n\) and \(g_p\). The energy balance equations are
linear in the new variables \(g_n\) and \(g_p\), and they also
contain second (spoiler) terms under the divergence
operator. It is important to observe that the variable
transformation has eliminated the explicit nonlineari-
ity in \(T_n\) and \(T_P\) in the energy balance equations. It is
also worth noting that since the carrier concentration
is inversely proportional to \(g_n\), state variables \(n\) and \(g_n\)
thereby tend to cancel in the spoiler terms (especially
upon convergence), and many of the numerical
attributes of the self-adjoint form may be realized.

To help account for the rapid variations in carrier
concentration and carrier temperatures without hav-
ing to employ an overly dense mesh, we adapt the SG
discretization methodology to our model. To achieve
this, we analytically solve the homogeneous parts of
the current-continuity and energy balance equations
between mesh-points, while accounting for the non-
homogeneous parts on the mesh-points. In addition to
resolving rapid variations, the discretization yields
coefficient matrices for the current-continuity and
energy balance equations which are well conditioned
and likely to be diagonally dominant.

5. METHOD OF SOLUTION AND NUMERICAL
RESULTS

We demonstrate the new approach with the simulation
of a realistic 0.35 \(\mu\)m n-channel LDD MOSFET. The
device had peak source and drain dopings of \(N_P = 1 \times
10^{20} \text{cm}^{-3}\) and LDD region doping of \(N_D = 1 \times
10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}\). The substrate doping was \(N_A = 5 \times
10^{16} \text{cm}^{-3}\), and the oxide thickness was 0.025 \(\mu\)m.
The doping profile is shown in Figure 1. We solved
the complete HD model for electrons, while for holes
\(T_P\) was assumed constant and equal to lattice tempera-
ture.

We discretize the system on a 58 \(\times\) 41 nonuniform
rectangular mesh. As described above, we use an
inner Gummel block to self-consistently solve the dis-
critized Poisson and continuity equations (PC block)
while the carrier temperature is assumed known.
Once this inner block converges, we solve the discrete-
tized energy balance equation with carrier concentra-
tion and potential assumed known (EB block), and
then iterate between blocks until convergence is
achieved. Convergence criteria was chosen to be
when the relative normalized error of 10^{-4} was
reached.

Figures 2 through 5 show example calculation
results for the device biased at the onset of pinchoff
with \(V_G = V_D = 3.0\ \text{V}\). Figure 2 shows the calculated
electrostatic potential plot of the electric field. Figures
3 and 4 show the electron and hole concentrations
respectively. Figure 5 shows the very smooth results
obtained for electron temperature. It is interesting to
observe the double peak resulting from the \(n^+ - n\) and
the \(n - p\) junction. While we have shown detailed cal-
FIGURE 1 Doping profile in a simulated 0.35 μm LDD MOSFET device

FIGURE 2 Electric Potential in a 0.35 μm LDD MOSFET with $V_{gs} = 3V, V_{ds} = 3V$ calculated by the HD device simulator

FIGURE 3 Electron concentration in a 0.35 μm LDD MOSFET with $V_{gs} = 3V, V_{ds} = 3V$ calculated by the HD device simulator

FIGURE 4 Hole concentration in a 0.35 μm LDD MOSFET with $V_{gs} = 3V, V_{ds} = 3V$ calculated by the HD device simulator

FIGURE 5 Electron Temperature in a 0.35 μm LDD MOSFET with $V_{gs} = 3V, V_{ds} = 3V$ calculated by the HD device simulator

FIGURE 6 I-V characteristics for a 0.35 μm LDD MOSFET calculated by the HD device simulator
calculation results for the bias at the onset of pinchoff, we demonstrate broadrange results with the calculated current-voltage characteristics for the device in Figure 6.

In summary, we have presented a new approach to the hydrodynamic problem. In addition to being computationally robust, it also has the advantages of being relatively simple to code, requiring relatively little memory, and being easily parallelized, thereby making it extendable to large problems, especially 3-D applications.
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