Down-Regulation of miR-141 Induced by Helicobacter Pylori Promotes the Invasion of Gastric Cancer by Targeting STAT4
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Abstract
Background: The association between Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric cancer has been identified recently. However, the molecular mechanism remained largely unknown. Methods and Results: We found that miR-141 was decreased in Helicobacter pylori positive specimens (n=75) compared with negative tissues (n=75). The knockdown of miR-141 enhanced the invasion ability of gastric cancer cells; meanwhile, over-expression of miR-141 could inhibit the abilities of gastric cancer cells in vitro. A luciferase assay revealed that miR-141 was directly bound to the 3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTR) of STAT4. STAT4 was found up-regulated at mRNA and protein levels, as shown by qRT-PCR and western blot. Over-expression of STAT4 was used to mimic miR-141 action in the invasion of gastric cancer. Conclusion: MiR-141 may play a pivotal role in controlling gastric cancer invasion through regulating STAT4 and maybe a potential target to treat gastric cancer.

Introduction
Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) is a spiral-shaped gram-negative microorganism with a flagellum. Infection with H. pylori is the strongest risk factor for the development of gastric carcinoma, which is the second most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1].
WHO has defined H. pylori as type I pathogen of gastric cancer [2]. This results from the consequences of long-term interactions between the bacterium and its human host. Despite the great progress that has been made recent decades about the relationship between H. pylori infection and gastric cancer, the molecular mechanism of them has not been fully recognized [3].

MiRNAs are a class of small, non-coding RNAs that play important roles in various biological processes [4]. Bioinformatic methods predicted that in approximately one-third of all mammalian genes, especially those in down-stream of various signal transduction pathway, were targeted by miRNAs [5]. Interestingly, accumulating evidence has implicated roles of miRNAs in human cancer. While many oncogenic miRNAs, including miR-184, miR-95 and miR-27a, are over-expressed in tumors, while some tumor-suppressive miRNAs are down-regulated [6]. High-throughput techniques have been used to screen miRNAs differentially expressed between human nonmalignant and malignant samples, and a number of miRNAs deregulated in numerous human tumors were found, including lung, breast, liver, esophageal and prostate cancers [7]. Among these miRNAs, miR-141, a member of the miR-200 family, is over-expressed in ovarian and colorectal cancers and down-regulated in prostate, hepatocellular, and renal cell carcinoma, raising a controversial issue about the role of miR-141 in cancer progression [8].

Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4 (STAT4) is widely up-regulated in many carcinomas and its expression correlates with the clinicopathological characteristics (malignant properties) of human cancers [9]. A previous study demonstrated that miR-155 regulates cell functions by targeting the 3'-UTR of STAT4 [10]. In this study, we investigated the function of miR-141 in gastric carcinogenesis. In gain-of-function and loss-of-function studies, we found that miR-141 inhibits gastric cancer cell migration and invasion. By in silico prediction and experimental validation, we identified STAT4 as the functional down-stream targets of miR-141, and showed that they play distinct roles in regulating gastric cancer development.

Materials and Methods

Clinical Samples

150 patients with or without H. pylori infection had undergone gastroscopy at The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu Province, China from March 2013 and July 2013. The samples taken from the 150 patients were collected, immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until RNA extraction. The samples were identified as positive when rapid urease test was positive. These selected patients were also confirmed by 13C breath test. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the first affiliated hospital of Nanjing Medical University, and every patient had written informed consent.

Cell culture

SGC-7901 gastric cancer cell lines (purchased from ATCC) were employed for the present study and were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibico) and penicillin (100 U/ml). Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Isolation of total RNA and Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from collected tissues using TRIzol (Tarkara, Japan) and then both miRNA and mRNA were reverse transcribed to cDNA. The reverse transcription was performed by using reverse transcription kit (Takara, Otsu, Shiga, Japan). The expression of mature miRNAs and potential target genes were measured by qRT-PCR with SYBR Green PCR Kit (Takara) on Applied Biosystems StepOne-Plus Real-Time PCR System and human U6 RNA was amplified as an internal control. The relative expression ratio of miR-141 in each paired positive and negative tissue was calculated by the 2^-ΔΔCT method. PCR reactions were performed with the following primers: for hsa-miR-141, forward, 5’-CAUCUCCAGUACAGUGUUGGA-3’ and for U6, forward, 5’-CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACA-3’. Relative expression levels of STAT4 mRNA were examined by SYBR Green real-time PCR (RT-PCR) and normalized to GAPDH. The primers for STAT4 were forward,
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5’ AGCCATCTCGGAGGAATA 3’ and reverse, 5’ CAGACAACCGGCTTTAT 3’; and for GAPDH, forward, 5’-CGTGGGCCGCCCTAGGCACCA-3’ and reverse, 5’-TTGGCTTAGGGTTCAGGGGGG-3’. RT-PCR was performed by using the ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (ABI, CA, USA).

Immunohistochemistry

The positive and negative tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and cut from paraffin block to 5µm thickness. After dewaxing with xylene and rehydration with a graded series of ethanol, slides were heated in the autoclave for three minutes using citrate sodium buffer (PH 6.0) and incubated with the antibody STAT4 (polyclonal, 1:1000 dilution, Santa CruZ) at 4°C overnight. Blocking serum or antibody dilution buffer was used as negative controls. The antibodies utilized before were the same as for Western blot analysis. Photographs were taken by microscope (Nikon, ECLIPSE 50i) and softwae NIS-Elements v4.0. The number of positive staining cells showing immunoreactivity of STAT4 in ten representative microscopic fields was counted, and the percentage of positive cells was also calculated. The percentage scoring of immunoreactive tumor cells was described as follows: 0 (0 %), 1 (1–10 %), 2 (11–50 %), and 3 (>50 %). The intensity was scored as follows: 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (strong). The expression level of STAT4 was measured by multiplying the percentage and the intensity score. High expression samples means tumors with a multiplied score exceeding 4 while the others were considered to be low expression.

Wound-healing assay

A wound-healing assay was used to assess capacity for tumor cell motility. Briefly, cells (1*10^6/well) were seeded in six-well plates, cultured overnight, and transfected with miR-141 mimics or inhibitors and the respective controls. On reaching confluence, the cell layer was scratched with a sterile plastic tip and then washed with culture medium twice and cultured again for up to 48 or 72 h with serum-reduced medium containing 1% FBS. Photo images of the plates were taken under a microscope. The gap closure was measured at 48 or 72 h and the data were summarized based on sextuple assays for each experiment.

Cell migration and invasion assay

Cell motility was measured using an 8-μm-pore polycarbonate membrane Boyden chamber insert in a Transwell apparatus (Millipore, MA, USA). The transfected cells (miR-141 mimics, miR-141-inhibitors and NC) growing in the log phase was treated with trypsin/EDTA solution, washed once with serum-containing RPMI-1640 medium. A total of 1×10^5 cells in 0.2 ml serum-free RPMI-1640 medium were seeded on a Transwell apparatus. RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS (600 μl) was added to the lower chamber. An invasion assay was conducted following the same procedure, with the exception that the filters of the transwell chambers were coated with 45 μg Matrigel (BD Biosciences; San Jose, CA, USA). Following incubation of the cells for 24 h at 37°C in a 5% CO\textsubscript{2} incubator, cells on the top surface of the insert were removed by wiping with a cotton swab. The cells that invaded to the bottom surface of the insert were fixed in 100% pre-cooling methanol for 10 min, stained in 0.5% crystal violet for 30 min, then rinsed in PBS and subjected to microscopic inspection. The values for invasion were obtained by counting three fields per membrane and represented the average of three independent experiments.

Bioinformatics analysis

In this study we used bioinformatics method to predict the potential targeting gene of miR-141. As the results in the starBase (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/) database, STAT4 was the candidate gene we picked up. The bioinformatic software showed that 3’-UTR of STAT4 binds to miR-141 with the high score.

Western blotting

Total proteins were prepared from the established cells, quantities using a protein assay (BCA method, Thermo, USA). The STAT4 protein was analyzed by western blot analysis using STAT4 Rabbit Monoclonal Antibody and GAPDH was used as an internal control. Proteins were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) transferred to polyvinylidine fluoride (PVDF) membrane, blocked in 5% dry milk at room temperature for 1 hour and immunostained with antibodies at 4°C overnight using anti-STAT4 (1:1000, CST, USA) and anti-GAPDH (1:5000, sigma, USA). All results were visualized through a chemiluminescent detection system (Pierce ECL Substrate Western blot detection system, Thermo, Pittsburgh, PA) and then exposed in Molecular Imager ChemiDoc XRS System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).
Plasmid construction and cell transduction

3′-UTR sequence of STAT4 which was predicted to interact with miR-141 or a mutant sequence with the predicted target sites were inserted into the KpnI and SacI sites of pGL3 promoter vector (Invitrogen). They were named pGL3-STAT4 and pGL3-STAT4-mut. The cells were plated onto 6-well plates and were transfected with 100 ng of pGL3-STAT4 or pGL3-STAT4-mut, and miR-141 mimics (50nM) by using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen Corp, CA, USA). We normalized the differences in transfection efficiency by co-transfecting a Renilla luciferase vector pRL-SV40 (5 ng).

STAT4 gene was synthesized (purchased from Genscript, Piscataway, NJ) with restrictive digestion using Mlu I and subcloned pLV-GFP plasmid, and named pLV-GFP-STAT4. Recombinant lentivirus was generated from 293T cells using calcium phosphate precipitation. SGC-7901 cell lines were transfected with lentivirus using polybrene (8ug/ml).

Luciferase activities analysis

The SGC-7901 cells were cultured in 24-well plates and transfected with 0.2μg of either wide-type or mutant pGL/STAT4 plasmid containing firefly luciferase, together with 0.01μg of the pGL-STAT4 vector (Invitrogen) containing renilla luciferase and 1μg oligonucleotides. Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen). Relative luciferase activity was calculated 48h post-transfection by the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay (Promega).

Statistical methods

Differences between groups were compared using Student’s t-test for continuous variables. The relations of miR-141 with STAT4 mRNA or protein were analyzed by correlation coefficients and linear regression analysis. P values <0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

Results

MiR-141 is aberrantly down-regulated in H. Pylori positive tissue

First, we analyzed the expression levels of miR-141 in both H. Pylori positive tissues and normal tissues by qRT-PCR. Significantly, we found that miR-141 expression was decreased in H. Pylori positive tissues (n=75, p<0.0067; Fig. 1A). Among the H. pylori positive tissues, we found that CAG had a lower average expression of miR-141 than CG (p<0.05; Fig. 1B). The aberrant expression level of miR-141 suggested that miR-141 may play a potential role in H. Pylori induced gastric cancer progression and development. Therefore, based on this expression pattern, we chose the SGC-7901 cell lines to determine the functions of miR-141 in gastric cancer.
Ectopic miR-141 inhibits the migration and invasion of SGC-7901 cells in vitro

The low expression of miR-141 in H. Pylori positive tissues prompted us to examine the role of miR-141 in the invasion of gastric cancer. SGC-7901 cells were transfected with miR-141 mimics and miR-141 inhibitors. The transfection efficiency was then validated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 2A). Using wound healing assay, we discovered that the over-expression of miR-141 could suppress SGC-7901 cell invasion, while its knockdown induced cell invasion (Fig. 2B). Consistently, Matrigel invasion assay showed that over-expression of miR-141 attenuated SGC-7901 cell invasion, whereas the knockdown of miR-141 could reverse the affection (Fig. 2C). We also conducted the invasion and scratch wound assays on another gastric cancer cell line, MKN45 with similar results (data not shown). The results suggested that miR-141 could inhibit invasion of the gastric cancer cell line in vitro.

MiR-141 up-regulated STAT4 expression in gastric cancer

We identified the downstream targets of miR-141 by computational prediction to elucidate the mechanisms by which miR-141 suppressed gastric cancer cell invasion. Among hundreds of genes predicted by online miRNA target prediction website (starBase, http://
starbase.sysu.edu.cn/), we focused our attention on STAT4. STAT4 had been reported to regulate gastric cancer progression or act as an underlying functional target of miR-141. To identify the prediction, through qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry, we found that STAT4

![Fig. 3. Up-regulation of STAT4 in H. pylori positive tissues. (A) The mRNA levels of STAT4 relative to GAPDH in human H. Pylori positive tissues including CG and CAG and normal tissues were evaluated by qRT-PCR. Data were presented as scattergram of the median. * Significantly different compared with that of control (P<0.05). (B) STAT4 protein expression in H. Pylori positive and negative tissues was detected by Immunohistochemical staining. (C) STAT4 protein levels in SGC-7901 cells transfected with negative control (NC), miR-141 mimics; miR-141 inhibitor and iNC were analyzed through Western-blotting. GAPDH was used as a loading control. Average values of integrated optical density (IOD) were assessed by analyzing five fields per slide and recorded in the histogram. (D) STAT4 mRNA levels in SGC-7901 cells transfected with NC, miR-141 mimics; miR-141 inhibitor and iNC were analyzed through qRT-PCR. The relative expression of STAT4 was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Data are represented as mean±SD. * indicates P<0.05.]

| Table 1. Expression level of STAT4 in Helicobacter pylori positive tissues. CG: chronic gastritis; CAG: chronic atrophic gastritis; * indicates P<0.05 |
| --- |
| Factor | Sample N=75 | STAT4 expression (immunohistochemistry) |
| | Low | High |
| Gender | | | P value |
| Male | 42 | 15 | 27 | 0.773 |
| Female | 33 | 11 | 22 |
| Age(years) | | | |
| < 40 | 34 | 14 | 20 | 0.521 |
| ≥40 | 41 | 12 | 29 |
| Histology | | | |
| CG | 33 | 11 | 22 | 0.005* |
| CAG | 41 | 14 | 27 |
was up-regulated in *H. pylori* positive tissues. Its expression was also higher in CAG than CG in *H. pylori* positive tissues. (n=75, p<0.0001; Fig. 3A and B), which was inversely correlated with miR-141. Using immunohistochemistry, we also discovered that STAT4 expression was significantly associated with gastric histology (p<0.05; Table 1).

*In vitro*, we then determined the effects of miR-141 on STAT4 expression by luciferase reporter assay. The potential miR-141 binding site at the 3'-UTR of STAT4 mRNA was computationally predicted by starBase. SGC-7901 cells were co-transfected with miR-141 mimics (or negative control) with pGL3-STAT4 (or pGL3-STAT4-mut) vector. Luciferase activity was normalized by the ratio of firefly and Renilla luciferase signals. * indicates P<0.05.

**Fig. 4.** Effects of miR-141 on STAT4 expression by luciferase reporter assay. The potential miR-141 binding site at the 3'-UTR of STAT4 mRNA was computationally predicted by starBase. SGC-7901 cells were co-transfected with miR-141 mimics (or negative control) with pGL3-STAT4 (or pGL3-STAT4mut) vector. Luciferase activity was normalized by the ratio of firefly and Renilla luciferase signals. * indicates P<0.05.

**Fig. 5.** Effects of over-expression of STAT4 by transfecting with lentivirus on SGC-7901 cell invasion. (A) STAT4 protein expression was analyzed by Western blotting in SGC-7901 cells by transfecting with lentivirus, control plasmid, and blank. GAPDH was shown as internal control (left). Average values of integrated density were assessed by analyzing five fields per slide and recorded in the histogram (right). (B) Wound healing assay of these images at 0 h (upper) and 24 h (lower) post-wounding were shown at magnification of x200. (C) Transwell assay was performed as described in Materials and Methods. STAT4 over-expressed and NC groups were respectively stained. The representative images of invasive cells at the bottom of the membrane stained with crystal violet were visualized as shown. The quantifications of cell migration were presented as percentage migrated cell numbers. All experiments were performed in triplicate and presented as mean ± SD. * indicates significant difference compared with control group (P<0.05). Every independent experiment was performed 3 times.
the expression of STAT4 in response to the changes in miR-141 expression. Western blot and qRT-PCR assays showed that over-expression of miR-141 could significantly down-regulate the mRNA and protein expression of STAT4, while the effect of knockdown of miR-141 was the opposite (Fig. 3C and D).

**STAT4 is a direct target gene of miR-141 in gastric cancer cells**

Though STAT4 has been regarded as a direct target of miR-141, it is unclear whether miR-141 can directly recognize the 3' UTR of STAT4 mRNA. According to the predicted target site from starBase, we cloned the 3'-UTR fragment containing the predicted site into the pGL3 luciferase reporter vector (pGL3-STAT4). The 3'-UTR fragment with mutated sequence within the predicted target site was also cloned as a control (pGL3-STAT4-mut). The results showed that co-transfection with miR-141 mimics and the pGL3-STAT4 vector decreased luciferase activity in SGC-7901 cells. However, miR-141 mimics did not have the effect on luciferase activity when the cells were transfected with pGL3-STAT4-mut vector (Fig. 4). These data suggested that STAT4 gene was one of the direct targets of miR-141.

**Over-expression of STAT4 has the effect of miR-141 on gastric cancer cells invasion**

To determine STAT4 as the functional effector of miR-141 in gastric cancer invasion, we over-expressed STAT4 by transfecting with lentivirus and examined its effects in gastric cancer cells. Over-expression of STAT4 in the cell line was confirmed by western blotting (Fig. 5A). Through wound healing and the Matrigel invasion assay, we then discovered that the over-expression of STAT4 significantly promoted SGC-7901 cells invasion (Fig. 5B and 5C).

**Discussion**

Recently, *H. pylori* infection rate is increasing, especially in developing countries [11]. Clinical evidence suggested that *H. pylori* is related to gastric cancer, but the mechanism remained unclear [12]. Majority of cancer-related death is caused by metastasis and recent studies have demonstrated that miRNAs play an important role in the regulation of metastasis process [13]. However, their association with gastric cancer remains largely unknown. Very recently, miR-141 has been shown to be up-regulated in bone-metastatic prostate cancer and colon cancer [14]. Previous studies have demonstrated that the expression profiling of miR-141 was tissue-specific and that it might have divergent functions depending on the tumor tissue or cell type [15]. It has been reported that mRNA repression of mRNA is dependent on the conditions of specific cellular targets [16]. Here, we present evidence that miR-141 was significantly down-regulated in *H. pylori* positive tissues and that over-expression of miR-141 suppressed the growth of cells derived from gastric tumor.

In this study, we investigated the role of miR-141 and identified its targets in Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric cancer. We first quantified the expression of miR-141 in *H. pylori* infection tissues and normal tissues and we found that the miR-141 expression is significantly lower in *H. pylori* infected patients. We also found that the expression is related to the histology of the patients, that is, the expression of miR-141 is significantly lower in *H. pylori* positive patients with chronic atrophic gastritis than chronic non-atrophic gastritis. This expression pattern raises that possibility that miR-141 is related to different kinds of gastritis and the more severe the patients were, the lower the expression of miR-141 was.

The functional study of miR-141 in malignancy was previously reported in renal cell carcinoma. Hirofumi et al postulated that miR-141 was a potential metastasis inhibitor in renal cancer and reported that upregulation of miR-141 inhibited migration of cancer cells. They demonstrated that miR-141 induced dysregulation of genes related to migration and invasion, including ZEB1 [17]. It was indicated that miR-141 was likely to have a number of targets through which it regulated biological functions on cancer cells.
Based on the miR-141 expression level, we rationally chose SGC-7901 cells for the subsequent gain-of-function and loss-of-function studies, respectively. The results suggest that down-regulation of miR-141 in gastric cancer cell is related to its progression. Although a large number of human miRNAs have been reported, many of their mRNA targets remain unidentified [18]. In this study, we used a combined bioinformatics and experimental approach to identify that STAT4 are the functional downstream target of miR-141.

STAT4 is a transcription factor belonging to the signal transducer and activator of transcription protein family and has been shown to play a role in the acquisition of the invasive and metastatic phenotype in colon and rectal cancers [19]. We show that STAT4 is critically involved in gastric cancer metastasis as knockdown of STAT4 suppresses cell migration, invasion and metastasis.

To conclude, our evidence indicated that miR-141 was down-regulated, while STAT4 was up-regulated in H. Pylori infection and gastric cancer. Ectopic miR-141 expression decreased STAT4 expression at the mRNA and protein levels in the gastric cancer cell line. We also demonstrated that miR-141 was directly bound to the 3′-UTR of STAT4. MiR-141 expression inhibited gastric cancer invasion via repression of STAT4. Furthermore, the miR-141-STAT4 pathway that we identified may be exploited in a therapeutic approach for the treatment of H. Pylori related cancers.
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3′-UTR: 3′ (untranslated regions); qRT-PCR (Quantitative Real Time-Polymerase Chain Reaction); NuRD (nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation); FBS (fetal calf serum).
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