Role of sgcR3 in positive regulation of enediyne antibiotic C-1027 production of Streptomyces globisporus C-1027
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Abstract

Background: C-1027, produced by Streptomyces globisporus C-1027, is one of the most potent antitumoral agents. The biosynthetic gene cluster of C-1027, previously cloned and sequenced, contains at least three putative regulatory genes, i.e. sgcR1, sgcR2 and sgcR3. The predicted gene products of these genes share sequence similarities to StrR, regulators of AraC/XylS family and TylR. The purpose of this study was to investigate the role of sgcR3 in C-1027 biosynthesis.

Results: Overexpression of sgcR3 in S. globisporus C-1027 resulted in a 30–40% increase in C-1027 production. Consistent with this, disruption of sgcR3 abolished C-1027 production. Complementation of the sgcR3-disrupted strain R3KO with intact sgcR3 gene could restore C-1027 production. The results from real time RT-PCR analysis in R3KO mutant and wild type strain indicated that not only transcripts of biosynthetic structural genes such as sgcA1 and sgcC4, but also putative regulatory genes, sgcR1 and sgcR2, were significantly decreased in R3KO mutant. The cross-complementation studies showed that sgcR1R2 could functionally complement sgcR3 disruption in trans. Purified N-terminal His10-tagged SgcR3 showed specific DNA-binding activity to the promoter region of sgcR1R2.

Conclusion: The role of SgcR3 has been proved to be a positive regulator of C-1027 biosynthesis in S. globisporus C-1027. SgcR3 occupies a higher level than SgcR1 and SgcR2 in the regulatory hierarchy that controls C-1027 production and activates the transcription of sgcR1 and sgcR2 by binding directly to the promoter region of sgcR1R2.
diradical species that can abstract hydrogen atoms from DNA to initiate a cascade leading to DNA breaks, ultimately leading to cell death [3,4]. This novel mode of action has attracted great interest in developing these compounds into therapeutic agents for cancer. A CD33 monoclonal antibody (mAB)-calicheamicin (CAL) conjugate (Mylotarg) and neocarzinostatin (NCS) conjugated with poly (styrene-co-maleic acid) (SMANCS) were approved in the USA [5] and in Japan [6], respectively. Recently, C-1027 has entered phase II clinical trial in China [7]. Appreciation of the immense pharmacological potential of enediynes has led to a demand for the economical production of C-1027 and its analogues at an industrial scale.

Control of secondary metabolite production in streptomycetes and related actinomycetes is a complex process involving multiple levels of regulation in response to environmental factors [For review, see [8,9]]. In most cases that have been studied in detail, the final checkpoint in production of a secondary metabolite is a pathway-specific transcriptional regulatory gene situated in the biosynthetic cluster. Remarkable progress has been made in dissecting the functions of the pathway-specific regulators. For example, ActII-ORF4 regulates transcription from the actinorhodin biosynthetic genes of S. coelicolor [10,11] and StrR controls the streptomycin biosynthetic cluster of S. griseus [12,13]. Recently, along with the tremendous increase in sequence information for secondary metabolic gene clusters, more and more clusters with multiple cluster-situated regulators were reported (e.g., [14-17]). The best studied multiple pathway-specific regulatory cascade involves remarkably five regulatory genes in tylosin biosynthetic gene cluster of S. fradiae, and a model for their regulation has been proposed [14,18-23]. Deciphering the complexity of these pathway-specific regulatory networks is of great interest not only for better understanding of the antibiotic regulatory mechanism, but also for providing new strategy for targeted genetic engineering of antibiotic producing strains.

C-1027 nonpeptidic chromophore is a structure of an enediyne core, a deoxy aminosugar, a β-amino acid and a benzoxazolinate (Fig. 1) [7]. The biosynthetic gene cluster for C-1027, which is the first cloned enediyne gene cluster, contains a total of 56 open reading frames (ORFs) in a region of 75 kbp [24,25]. Bioinformatic analysis and biochemical studies revealed a distinct iterative type I enediyne polyketide synthase (SgcE) and provided a convergent biosynthetic strategy for C-1027 from four biosynthetic building blocks [25]. Further cloning and characterization of biosynthetic gene clusters for four other enediynes (CAL [26], NCS [27], maduropeptin (MDP) [28] and dynemicin [29]) confirmed the unifying paradigm for enediyne biogenesis. In accordance with the complexity of the biosynthetic process, there are no fewer than three ORFs annotated as transcriptional regulators in each known enediyne antibiotic biosynthetic cluster. At least three putative regulatory genes (sgcR1, sgcR2 and sgcR3) associated with the C-1027 biosynthetic gene cluster of S. globisporus C-1027 were annotated in the earlier work by sequence analysis [25]. Furthermore, the biosynthetic gene clusters for two 9-membered enediynes produced by streptomycetes (C-1027 and NCS) show high similarity in the organization of genes around these regulatory genes (Fig. 2A). Despite chromophore structural uniqueness, all homologues of three genes are located adjacent to the genes of enediyne PKSs (sgcE and ncsE) and the tailoring enzymes (E1 to E11), which are responsible for the biosynthesis of enediyne core. However, almost no cognitional knowledge was acquired about the transcriptional regulation of enediyne antibiotic production prior to the present work.

Hereby we investigated the role of sgcR3 in C-1027 biosynthesis, and provided an initial understanding of pathway-specific regulatory network of sgcR1, sgcR2 and sgcR3 in S. globisporus C-1027.

Results

Overexpression of sgcR3 increased the production of C-1027

Computer-assisted analysis of the sgcR3 gene product (395 aa) showed a high sequence similarity (33% identities and 47% positives) within the whole length of protein
TylR of \textit{S. fradiae} (Fig. 2B), a pathway-specific global activator of \textit{tyl} cluster [20,23]. To investigate the function of \textit{sgcR3}, the expression plasmid of \textit{sgcR3} associated with its native promoter, named pKCR3 (see Methods), was constructed based on the multi-copy pKC1139 [30] and then introduced into \textit{S. globisporus} C-1027 by conjugation. Thereafter, the resultant \textit{sgcR3} overexpression strains were fermented by incubation in liquid medium FMC-1027-1 (see Methods). The antibacterial bioassay against \textit{Bacillus subtilis} CMCC(B) 63501 (data not shown) and the HPLC analysis indicated that the pKCR3 led to a 30–40\% increase in C-1027 production (Fig. 3c) in comparison to that in wild type strain (Fig. 3b), whereas C-1027 production level detected in the wild type strain with the parental vector pKC1139 had no difference. Therefore, the results suggested that the function of \textit{sgcR3} could be positive for C-1027 biosynthesis in \textit{S. globisporus} C-1027.

**Figure 2**  
Comparison of two 9-membered enediyne (C-1027 and NCS) biosynthetic gene clusters around the genes of enediyne PKS (\textit{sgcE} and \textit{ncsE}) (A) and amino acid sequence alignment for SgcR3 (B). A, Open reading frames are indicated by arrows. Homologue genes of regulatory \textit{sgcR1}, \textit{sgcR2} and \textit{sgcR3} identified by sequence analysis are shown in grey or black. Genes outside of the clusters are marked by broken line arrows. B, The multiple alignment of \textit{S. globisporus} C-1027 SgcR3, \textit{S. carzinostaticus} ATCC 15944 NscR7 and \textit{S. fradiae} TylR. Identical residues are highlighted in black and similar residues are shaded. The numbers indicate amino acid positions.

Inactivation and complementation of \textit{sgcR3}  
In order to ascertain the contribution of \textit{sgcR3} to the regulation of C-1027 biosynthesis, a part of coding region of \textit{sgcR3} (507 bp) was replaced with a thiostrepton resistant gene (\textit{tsr}) to create the \textit{sgcR3} disrupted strain \textit{S. globisporus} R3KO (Fig. 4A). Successful disruption of the intended tar-
get was confirmed by PCR using primers complementary to one end of *tsr* and to untouched DNA outside the disruption constructs (data not shown). Southern blot analyses authenticated the site-specific disruptions of *sgcR3* using left arm for crossover and deleted part of *sgcR3* gene as probes respectively (Fig. 4B, 4C). The antibacterial bioassay against *B. subtilis* (Fig. 4D, b) and HPLC analysis (Fig. 3d) showed that disruption of *sgcR3* completely abolished C-1027 production.

To confirm that the disruption of *sgcR3* was indeed responsible for the abolition of C-1027 production, the mutant was complemented with *sgcR3* gene. Three *sgcR3* expression plasmids (pKCR3, pSETR3 and pLR3) were introduced into R3KO mutant by conjugation respectively. pSETR3 and pLR3, both based on the plasmid pSET152 [30] integrating into the \( \Phi C31 \) attB site on the chromosome, had a copy of *sgcR3* controlled by its native promoter and a strong constitutive promoter \( \text{ermE}^* \) respectively. The resultant strains with pKCR3 (Fig. 4D, c) and pSETR3 (Fig. 4D, d) restored the C-1027 production and showed dose proportionality as expected. The strain containing pLR3 in which *sgcR3* was controlled by \( \text{ermE}^* \) showed less production of C-1027 (Fig. 4D, e) compared with the strain containing pSETR3. No production of C-1027 was detected for the R3KO mutants transformed with pKC1139 and pSET152 (data not shown). These results, fully consistent with those obtained upon overexpression of *sgcR3* gene, confirmed the positive regulatory role of *sgcR3* in C-1027 biosynthesis.

**Gene expression analysis in R3KO mutant**

To investigate the role of *sgcR3* gene in transcriptional regulation of C-1027 biosynthetic gene cluster, the gene expression analysis was conducted by quantitative real time RT-PCR. The relative level of the transcripts of two other putative regulatory genes, *sgcR1* and *sgcR2*, and two biochemically characterized structural genes, *sgcA1* and *sgcC4*, were analysed together with *sgcR3*. The deduced product of *sgcR1* displays 44% end-to-end identity to StrR, a well-characterized pathway-specific transcriptional activator for streptomycin biosynthesis in *S. griseus* [12]. SgcR2 shares high sequence identity (>40% along the whole length) to AraC/XylS family transcriptional regulators. SgcA1 and SgcC4 were reported to catalyze the first step in the biosynthesis of the deoxy aminosugar and the \( \beta \)-amino acid moieties of C-1027 chromophore respectively [31,32]. Total RNA from the wild type strain and R3KO mutant was extracted under which condition the wild type strain commenced C-1027 production at about 48 h growth on S5 agar. The cDNA was synthesized and then used as template in quantitative PCR. As expected, *sgcR3* transcripts were almost undetectable in R3KO mutant while readily detectable in wild type strain. Tran-
scripts of the other four genes described above were also readily detected in wild type strain, but were significant lower in the R3KO mutant (13–22% to their counterparts in wild type strain) (Fig. 5). The results indicated that at the onset of the production of C-1027, sgcR3 directly or indirectly controlled the expression of not only the structural genes that responsible for the biosynthesis of C-1027 chromophore but also the other two putative regulators situated in the same gene cluster.

**In trans complementation of R3KO mutant with sgcR1R2**

The sgcR1 and sgcR2 were two adjacent genes transcribed in the same direction with a gap of only 25 bp, suggesting that they were transcriptionally coupled within an operon. Confirmation that sgcR1 and sgcR2 were controlled by sgcR3 came from *in trans* complementation of R3KO mutant with sgcR1R2 (sgcR1 and sgcR2 genes). The amplified DNA fragment of sgcR1R2 associated with its native promoter was cloned into multi-copy pKC1139 directly or under control of ermE*p to give pKCR1R2 and pKCER1R2. These two plasmids were introduced into sgcR3 mutant after conjugal transfer from *Escherichia coli*. C-1027 production was partially restored when sgcR1R2 was overexpressed under the control of either the native promoter (Fig. 6c) or ermE*p (Fig. 6d). C-1027 production was not detected in the R3KO mutants in which pKC1139 and pSET152 were introduced (Fig. 6e, 6f). The expression of sgcR1R2 functionally complemented the disruption of sgcR3, together with results of the gene expression analysis, verified that sgcR3 occupied the higher level than sgcR1R2 did in the regulatory cascade for C-1027 biosynthesis in *S. globisporus* C-1027.

**Binding of SgcR3 to the sgcR1R2 promoter region**

For further investigation of the function of sgcR3, its product was therefore expressed as an N-terminal His10 fusion protein in *E. coli* (see Methods). Subsequent SDS-PAGE analysis revealed overproduction of a clone-specific protein of the expected size of His10-SgcR3 (45 kDa). This His10-tagged SgcR3 protein was purified from the soluble fraction of cell lysate by nickel affinity chromatography and was estimated by SDS-PAGE to be about 90% pure (Fig. 7A, lane 9).

To be a transcriptional activator of C-1027 biosynthesis, SgcR3 was speculated that it may act as a positive regulator by binding at or near the promoter region of biosynthetic genes or regulatory genes and thereby activating their transcription. EMSA were carried out to verify whether SgcR3 indeed had DNA-binding activity, using the purified His10-tagged SgcR3 protein and selected DNA fragments from the biosynthetic gene cluster of C-1027. Eight intergenic regions of interest are chosen for EMSA, including upstream region of sgcA1, sgcB1, sgcC1, sgcD2, sgcK, cagA, sgcR3 and sgcR1R2 (Fig. 7B). The results showed that the recombinant SgcR3 protein had binding activity to the...
imidazole. His10-SgcR3 protein from the eluate 5 was used in examples of eluates 1–5 eluted by buffer containing 500 mM combined column washes respectively. Lanes 5–9 contain samples of the insoluble lysate of induced cells, the soluble lysate of induced cells, flow through from the column, combined samples of the inducible recombinant His10-SgcR3 protein, respectively. Lane 7 contains 20 fmol, 3.12 pmol, 6.25 pmol, 13.5 pmol and 27 pmol of purified recombinant His10-SgcR3 protein. C, EMSA analysis of His10-SgcR3 with upstream region of sgcR1R2 promoter region DNA fragment. Each of the lanes contains 20 fmol of fluorescently labeled promoter region DNA fragment. Lanes 2 also contain 13.5 pmol of purified recombinant His10-SgcR3 protein. C, EMSA analysis of His10-SgcR3 with upstream region of sgcR1R2 promoter region. Each of the lanes contains 20 fmol of fluorescently labeled sgcR1R2 promoter region DNA fragment. Lanes 2–6 also contain 0.5 pmol, 3.12 pmol, 6.25 pmol, 13.5 pmol and 27 pmol of purified recombinant His10-SgcR3 protein, respectively. Lane 7 contains 6.25 pmol His10-SgcR3 and 200 fold excess unlabelled sgcR1R2 promoter region DNA fragment.

Figure 7
Gel mobility-shift assays of His10-SgcR3 with sgcR1R2 promoter region. A, Purification of recombinant SgcR3 after overexpression as a fusion protein with an N-terminal His10-tag in E. coli BL21(DE3). Lanes 1, 2, 3 and 4 contain samples of the insoluble lysate of induced cells, the soluble lysate of induced cells, flow through from the column, combined column washes respectively. Lanes 5–9 contain samples of eluates 1–5 eluted by buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. His10-SgcR3 protein from the eluate 5 was used in EMSA analysis. The molecular masses (kDa) of the protein markers (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, CN) are indicated. B, EMSA analysis of His10-SgcR3 with upstream region of sgcA1, sgcB1, sgcC1, sgcD2, sgcK, cagA, sgcR3 and sgcR1R2. Each of the lanes contains 20 fmol of fluorescently labeled promoter region DNA fragment. Lanes 2 also contain 13.5 pmol of purified recombinant His10-SgcR3 protein. C, EMSA analysis of His10-SgcR3 with sgcR1R2 promoter region. Each of the lanes contains 20 fmol of fluorescently labeled sgcR1R2 promoter region DNA fragment. Lanes 2–6 also contain 0.5 pmol, 3.12 pmol, 6.25 pmol, 13.5 pmol and 27 pmol of purified recombinant His10-SgcR3 protein, respectively. Lane 7 contains 6.25 pmol His10-SgcR3 and 200 fold excess unlabelled sgcR1R2 promoter region DNA fragment.

455 bp upstream fragment of the sgcR1R2, but not for any other of the eight DNA fragments investigated. Further EMSA carried out using different concentration of purified recombinant SgcR3 showed that the shift band emerged along with the increase of the protein amount. Shifting of the labelled probe was not observed when the corresponding unlabelled probes were added in excess to binding reaction (Fig. 7C). Specific binding of SgcR3 to the upstream fragment of the sgcR1R2 in vitro, together with the results of gene expression analysis and sgcR1R2 cross-complementation in R3KO mutant, indicated that SgcR3 activates the transcription sgcR1R2 directly by binding to its promoter region.

Discussion
The original sequence analysis of the C-1027 biosynthetic gene cluster identified several ORFs whose gene products may have a potential regulatory function [25]. We focused our initial study on the sgcR3 gene situated at the right end of the cluster. Overexpression studies with additional copies of sgcR3 expressed under the control of its native promoter in wild type strain indicated a positive effect on C-1027 production. The results obtained in the gene disruption experiment clearly demonstrated the essential positive role of sgcR3 in regulation of C-1027 biosynthesis.

Analysis of gene expression in the mutant and wild type strain suggested that sgcR3 control C-1027 production through transcriptional regulation of biosynthetic genes. It also helped to establish a hierarchy among the three regulators of the C-1027 gene cluster. The expression level of sgcR1 and sgcR2 was significantly lower in R3KO mutant than in wild type strain, implying that sgcR3 occupied a higher rung than sgcR1 and sgcR2 did in the hierarchy of C-1027 regulatory genes. Only TylR among SgcR3 orthologues was characterized by gene disruption, in vivo complementation and gene expression experiments [14,23]. Overexpression of TylR was experimentally proved to increase tylosin yield by 60–70% [23]. According to these studies, TylR occupies the lowest level in the genetic hierarchy that controls tylosin production in S. fradiae, but that was probably not the case of SgcR3 for C-1027 production in S. globisporus C-1027.

Additional evidence for a correlation between these regulators of biosynthesis was observed through the study of cross-complementation experiment. The sgcR1R2 functionally complemented R3KO mutant under either its native promoter or strong constitutive promoter ermE+ p. Furthermore, the recombinant SgcR3 protein bound specifically to the promoter region of sgcR1R2, but not that of sgcR3 and some structural genes detected. Therefore, it
was very likely that SgcR3 activated the transcription of sgcR1 and sgcR2 by directly binding to their promoter region, to control the expression of biosynthetic structural genes indirectly. On the other hand, although the recombinant SgcR3 can bind to sgcR1R2 promoter region DNA fragment without further macromolecular factor in vitro, our results do not completely rule out the possibility that other protein(s) may be required for activating the transcription of sgcR1R2.

With few except that no regulatory gene present in the biosynthetic gene cluster, e.g., erythromycin cluster of *Saccharopolyspora erythraea* [33], most much-studied antibiotic gene clusters contain at least one pathway-specific regulator. However, the biosynthesis of more complex molecules may need more regulatory gene products involving a regulatory cascade to affect a positive or negative regulation. Some particularly interesting examples are the tylosin biosynthetic gene cluster of *S. fradiae* [14,18,19,21-23] and the rapamycin biosynthetic gene cluster of *S. hygroscopicus* [16] which contain, remarkably, no fewer than five putative regulatory genes. Further analysis of other ORFs in C-1027 gene cluster revealed that additional three unknown genes might have regulatory role in C-1027 biosynthesis. The sgcE1 encodes a protein homologous (43% end-to-end identity) to a transcriptional regulator of HdxR family (GenBank accession no. ABX37987). The sgcR encodes a protein demonstrating some homology (20% end-to-end identity) to a transcriptional regulator protein (GenBank accession no. EDS60418) which belongs to XRE (Xenobiotic Response Element) family. The deduced product of sgcM was also found to be highly similar to a putative DNA-binding protein of *S. coelicolor* A3(2) with a helix-turn-helix motif (GenBank accession no. NP_630506.1). Both sgcE1 and sgcM have a highly homologous counterpart in NCS biosynthetic gene cluster of *S. carzinostaticus*. This is not surprising due to the complicated biosynthesis of enediyne chromophore, which involves multiple moieties and a convergent biosynthetic approach used to piece together the final product.

This work is the first step in deciphering the regulatory factors involved in the biosynthesis of C-1027, and a primary model for pathway-specific regulation of C-1027 production is shown in Fig. 8. Therefore, precise roles for sgcR3, sgcR1, sgcR2 and other putative regulatory genes and their complex interaction remain to be defined. The data presented in this work set the stage for subsequent studies to delineate the complexity of the regulation of C-1027 biosynthesis, as well as for designing strategies for the construction of strains with enhanced C-1027 production.

**Conclusion**

The available evidence demonstrated that SgcR3 was a transcriptional activator in C-1027 biosynthesis. Also, sgcR3 was demonstrated to occupy a higher level than sgcR1 and sgcR2 does in the regulatory cascade of C-1027 biosynthesis in *S. globisporus* C-1027 and activate the transcription of sgcR1R2 by directly binding to its promoter region.

**Methods**

**Strains, media and growth conditions**

*E. coli* DH5α was used as host for cloning experiments. *E. coli* ET12567/pUZ8002 [34] was used to transfer DNA into *S. globisporus* by conjugation. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Novagen, Madison, USA) was used to express SgcR3 protein. They were grown either on solid or in liquid Luria-Bertani medium (LB) at 37 °C. The following antibiotics were used to select recombinant *E. coli* strains: 100 μg ampicillin (Ap) ml⁻¹, 50 μg kanamycin (Km) ml⁻¹, 25 μg chloramphenicol (Cm) ml⁻¹ or 50 μg apramycin (Am) ml⁻¹. *B. subtilis* CMCC(B) 63501 was used as the test organism for assay of the antibacterial activity of C-1027 [35], grown on solid F403 agar (consisting of 0.5% peptone, 0.3% beef extract, 0.3% K₂HPO₄ and 1.5% agar (pH 7.8)) at 37 °C.

*S. globisporus* C-1027 (referred to here as wild type strain) and its derivatives were grown at 28 °C on S5 agar (consisting of 0.1% KNO₃, 0.05% NaCl, 0.05% K₂HPO₄, 0.001% FeSO₄, 0.05% MgSO₄, 2.0% starch and 1.5% agar (pH 7.2)) for sporulation, on mannitol soya flour (MS) agar [34] for conjugation, in the liquid fermentation medium FMC-1027-1 (consisting of 2% dextrin, 0.2% peptone, 1% glycerol, 0.5% corn steep liquor and 0.1% FeSO₄) for production of C-1027.
CaCO3 (pH 7.0)) for C-1027 production, in Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB, BD, Sparks, USA) for isolation of genomic or plasmid DNA, and maintained as mycelial fragments or spores in 20% (v/v) glycerol at -70°C. The antibiotics apramycin (Am) and thiostrepton (Th) were added at final concentrations of 50 and 30 μg ml⁻¹ to solid medium, and at 10 and 5 μg ml⁻¹ to liquid medium, respectively. Strains used and constructed in this study are listed in Table 1.

**DNA manipulations**

Standard genetic techniques with *E. coli* and *in vitro* DNA manipulations were as described by Sambrook & Russell [36]. Plasmids used and constructed in this study are listed in Table 1. Recombinant DNA techniques in *Streptomyces* species were performed as described by Kieser et al. [34]. PCR reactions for amplification of indicated DNA products and for verification of gene deletion in *S. globisporus* were carried out using Pfu DNA polymerase (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, CN). The primers for PCR amplification are shown in Table 2. Total *S. globisporus* DNA was isolated using the Kirby mix procedure [34]. Southern blot analysis was performed on Hybond-N+ nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) with a fluorescein-labelled probe by using the Gene Images Random Prime Labelling Module and CDP-Star Detection Kit (Amersham Biosciences).

**Construction of expression plasmids**

Three plasmids for sgcR3 expression were constructed as follows. The sgcR3 with its promoter region (2,539 bp) was amplified by PCR and then cloned into the *E. coli*/*Streptomyces* shuttle vector pKC1139 [30] to give pKCR3. The fragment was also ligated into an integrative vector pSET152 [30] to give pSETR3. The sgcR3 coding region (1,188 bp) amplified by PCR was introduced to pL646 [37], displacing atrAc gene under the control of a strong constitutive promoter ermE⁺p, to give pLR3.

Similarly, sgcR1R2 (2,461 bp) with its promoter region were amplified by PCR and cloned into pKC1139 vector to yield pKCR1R2. This fragment was also cloned into pKC1139 under the control of ermE⁺p, resulting in plasmid pKCER1R2.

**Disruption of sgcR3**

The disruption construct consists of a thiostrepton resistant gene (*tsr*), sandwiched between two PCR products (“arms”) that each contains sequence from sgcR3 plus flanking DNA. The arms (which were authenticated by sequence analysis) were of approximately equal size (1.4 kbp).

The primers for sgcR3 disruption introduced restriction sites into the arms (*EcoR*I and *Bgl*II in the upstream arm, *Bgl*II and *Hind*III in the downstream arm), and thus

---

**Table 1: Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study**

| Strains or plasmids | Description* | Reference |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------|
| **Strains** |
| *Escherichia coli* DH5α | General cloning host | [36] |
| *E. coli* ET12567/pUZ8002 | Strain used for *E. coli/*Streptomyces conjugation | [34] |
| *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) | Strain used for the expression of SgcR3 protein | Novagen |
| *Bacillus subtilis* CMCC(B) 63501 | Strain used for C-1027 bioassays | [35] |
| *Streptomyces globisporus* C-1027 | Wild type C-1027 producing strain | [1] |
| *S. globisporus* R3KO | S. globisporus C-1027 with disruption of sgcR3, Th⁺ | This work |

| **Plasmids** |
| pUC18 | General cloning vector, Ap⁺ | [36] |
| pET-16b | *E. coli* expression vector, Ap⁺ | Novagen |
| pKC1139 | *E. coli*/*Streptomyces* shuttle vector, Am⁺ | [30] |
| pOJ260 | Suicide vector nonreplicating in Streptomyces, Am⁺ | [30] |
| pSET152 | Streptomyces integrative vector, Am⁺ | [30] |
| pL646 | pSET152 derivative plasmid containing ermE⁺p and the ribosome-binding site of the tuf1 gene upstream atrAc gene, Am⁺ | [37] |
| pOJR3KO | pOJ260 derivative plasmid with the disruption of sgcR3, Th⁺ | This work |
| pKCR3 | pKC1139 derivative plasmid containing 2,539 bp fragment of sgcR3 including its native promoter, Am⁺ | This work |
| pSETR3 | pSET152 derivative plasmid containing 2,539 bp fragment of sgcR3 including its native promoter, Am⁺ | This work |
| pLR3 | pL646 derivative plasmid containing 1,188 bp coding region of sgcR3 instead of atrAc, Am⁺ | This work |
| pKCR1R2 | pKC1139 derivative plasmid containing 2,461 bp fragment of sgcR1R2 including its native promoter, Am⁺ | This work |
| pKCER1R2 | pKC1139 derivative plasmid containing 2,461 bp fragment of sgcR1R2 under the ermE⁺p, Am⁺ | This work |

*Abbreviations: Ap⁺, ampicillin resistance; Am⁺, apramycin resistance; Th⁺, thiostrepton resistance.*
| Name          | Sequence                                                                 | Purpose                                                                 |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WAB1          | 5'-GAGAATTCCACCACATGGAACATCTGCTG-3'                                     | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 1.4 kbp upstream arm used     |
| WAB2          | 5'-GAGAATCTTGGAGGACAGCAACTGAG-3'                                       | in knockout of sgcR3                                                     |
| WCD1          | 5'-AAAGATCTGCAATAGGAGGACG-3'                                            | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 1.4 kbp downstream arm        |
| WCD2          | 5'-AAAGATCTTGGTACATGCTGCGGAGAG-3'                                      | used in knockout of sgcR3                                                |
| H1            | 5'-CAGAATTCTCTCCTACGCGCCGCTATC-3'                                       | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 2,539 bp sgcR3 including     |
| H2            | 5'-ACTCTAGAAGAACGCTTGCCGTGC-3'                                          | its native promoter                                                     |
| sgcR3_FOR     | 5'-GCATACTGCGGGCAGCAGGTAG-3'                                            | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 1,188 bp sgcR3 coding        |
| sgcR3_REV     | 5'-AGGATCTCAGCCGCTCGGGGAG-3'                                            | region                                                                  |
| P17           | 5'-ATGGAATCCGCCCCCTCGCTATGTCG-3'                                       | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 2,461 bp sgcR1R2 including   |
| P18           | 5'-ATGGAATCTGGATCGAGATGGTCTGC-3'                                       | its native promoter                                                     |
| hrdBRT1       | 5'-TGGTCGAGGTCATCAACAAG-3'                                              | Forward and reverse primers used for the detection of hrdB transcripts  |
| hrdBRT2       | 5'-TGGACTCGATGACCTTCTC-3'                                               |                                                                         |
| R1RT1         | 5'-GAAAATGACTCTGCCCCAAGCG-3'                                            | Forward and reverse primers used for the detection of sgcR1 transcripts  |
| R1RT2         | 5'-CGCTGACATGGGAAAGATC-3'                                               |                                                                         |
| R2RT1         | 5'-ACCACGAAACACATCGAGGAC-3'                                             | Forward and reverse primers used for the detection of sgcR2 transcripts  |
| R2RT2         | 5'-CGGAAGATGCGGGGAAATG-3'                                               |                                                                         |
| R3RT1         | 5'-GTGGGCGAACGGAGAGG-3'                                                 | Forward and reverse primers used for the detection of sgcR3 transcripts  |
| R3RT2         | 5'-TGCCCCAGGGCCGATGAGG-3'                                               |                                                                         |
| A1RT1         | 5'-CAGAACATATCAAGAGACTGCG-3'                                            | Forward and reverse primers used for the detection of sgcA transcripts   |
| A1RT2         | 5'-CAGCTGTACGCGGAGATGG-3'                                               |                                                                         |
| C4RT1         | 5'-CGTGAGCTCGGCAGAAGATGCTG-3'                                           | Forward and reverse primers used for the detection of sgcC transcripts   |
| C4RT2         | 5'-CGGACACAGAACACATCCCCTC-3'                                            |                                                                         |
| sgcA1p_FOR_F  | 5'-CAGCTGGAGTACCGCGATGG-3'                                              | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 438 bp upstream of sgcA1     |
| sgcA1p_REV    | 5'-CGAACATGGCGACCTCTTTG-3'                                              |                                                                         |
| sgcB1p_FOR_F  | 5'-GAGGGGTTTGTGGGGAGGGA-3'                                              | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 496 bp upstream of sgcB1     |
| sgcB1p_REV    | 5'-GATAAGGGCTGGGGGAGACAT-3'                                             |                                                                         |
| sgcC1p_FOR_F  | 5'-GCTGCAACACCGCGATACCC-3'                                             | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 481 bp upstream of sgcC1     |
| sgcC1p_REV    | 5'-GACGGTCGGCCGGAGAAGA-3'                                               |                                                                         |
| sgcD2p_FOR_F  | 5'-CTGGAACATTGCACCGCAACAC-3'                                            | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 448 bp upstream of sgcD2     |
| sgcD2p_REV    | 5'-CGTGCACATGCAACAGACACCTC-3'                                          |                                                                         |
| sgcKp_FOR_F   | 5'-AGGATCACGTCCGGCTTCTC-3'                                              | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 471 bp upstream of sgcK      |
| sgcKp_REV     | 5'-GAGCCAGTGCAACGACACTCA-3'                                             |                                                                         |
| R1R2p_FOR_F   | 5'-ACGTGCGTGGCAGGGAAGGG-3'                                              | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 455 bp upstream of sgcR1R2   |
| R1R2p_REV     | 5'-AACGAATCGATCCGGAGTTC-3'                                              |                                                                         |
| R3p_FOR_F     | 5'-GCGCCACGGCCCTCTGATG-3'                                               | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 467 bp upstream of sgcR3     |
| R3p_REV       | 5'-CGGCAATGCGCTTCCGTA-3'                                                |                                                                         |
| cagA EMSA1    | 5'-CAGCGCTTGTCCGTCGTCACTCA-3'                                           | Forward and reverse primers for amplifying 429 bp upstream of cogA      |
| cagA EMSA2    | 5'-ATCCCGCTCCGGAAGTTGG-3'                                               |                                                                         |

Restriction endonuclease recognition sequences introduced by these oligonucleotides are bold.
allowed fusion at the BglII sites by ligation into pUC18. Then, the tsr fragment (a 1 kbp BglI restriction fragment from pIJ680 [34]) was introduced into the BglII site and thereby displaced 507 bp of sgcR3. Disrupted sgcR3 plus flanking DNA (approximate 3.8 kbp in total) was ligated into suicide plasmid pOJ260 [30] to give pOJR3KO. This thereby displaced 507 bp of sgcR3.

**Gene expression analysis by real time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR)**

RNA was isolated from S. globisporus mycelia scraped from cellophane laid on the surface of S5 agar plates, treated with DNaseI (Promega, WI, USA) and quantitated as described previously [37,38]. The first strand synthesis of cDNA was performed with SuperScript III First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, CA, USA) using 2 μg total RNA and the random hexamers as primers following the manufacturer’s instructions. Oligonucleotides were designed to amplify fragments of about 100–150 bp from the target genes (Table 2).

Quantitative real time PCR of selected genes was performed using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (ABI, Cheshire, UK). To control for genomic DNA contamination, each sample was also incubated with a reaction mixture that lacked RT. Real time PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s. A step of 78°C for 10 s during which fluorescence was measured was included at the end of each cycle. The reactions were subjected to a heat dissociation protocol after the final cycle of PCR to indicate the proper temperature for fluorescence detection. After PCR amplifications, data were analyzed with iQ5 Optical System version 1.1.1442.0 Software (Bio-Rad). The threshold cycle (Ct) was calculated from the programme. Serial dilution of the cDNA was subjected to real time PCR. For each transcript, plots of Log_{2}(dilution factor) against the Ct values provided an estimate of the efficiency of the amplification. The target gene mRNA level were normalised with the same growth curves.

**Expression and purification of His_{10}-tagged SgcR3**

The sgcR3 coding sequence was PCR-amplified from S. globisporus C-1027 genome DNA containing an Ndel and BamHI restriction sites, and then ligated into pET-16b (Novagen, Madison, USA), authenticated by sequencing, and then transformed into the E. coli BL21 (DE3). For production of His_{10}-tagged SgcR3, cultures (800 ml; OD_{600} = 0.6) were induced with IPTG (0.05 mM final), incubated at 28°C for 6 h, harvested by centrifugation. The cell suspension was sonicated for 60 s with 10 s intervals between each treatment in 30 ml lysis buffer (50 mM NaH_{2}PO_{4}, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 2 mg lysozyme ml^{-1}). Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation (12,000 rpm for 10 min). His_{10}-tagged SgcR3 was then affinity purified using HisTrap™ FF crude (Amer sham Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s directions and fractions eluted from the column were analysed on SDS-12% w/v polyacrylamide gels. Those fractions
containing recombinant protein were pooled, dialysed overnight at 4°C against dialysis buffer (25 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5), 10% (w/v) glycerol, 2 mM DTT) and stored at -70°C. The BCA™ Protein Assay Kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockfold, USA) was used for protein quantification with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift analysis (EMSA)**

DNA fragments upstream of sgcR122, sgcR3, sgcA1, sgcB1, sgcC1, sgcD2, sgcK and cagA were generated by PCR using *S. globisporus* C-1027 genomic DNA as template. Primers are shown in Table 2. After purification by agarose electrophoresis, these DNA fragments were 3′-end labelled with digoxigenin. Reaction mixtures were then analysed by non-denaturing PAGE (5% w/v gels) in 0.5 × TBE buffer at 4°C. The gel was then transferred to nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences) by electrophoretic transfer. The biotin end-labeled DNA was detected by LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Pierce Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
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