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A. Abulencia, D. Acosta, J. Adelman, T. Affolder, T. Akimoto, M.G. Albrow, D. Ambrose, S. Amerio, D. Anidei, A. Anastassov, K. Anikeev, A. Amnov, J. Anton, M. Aoki, G. Apollinari, J.F. Arguin, T. Arisawa, A. Artikov, W. Ashmanskas, A. Attal, F. Aznar, P. Azzi-Bacchetta, P. Azzurri, N. Bacchetta, H. Bachacou, W. Badgett, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, V.E. Barnes, B.A. Barnett, S. Baroiant, V. Bartsch, G. Bauer, F. Bedeschi, S. Behari, S. Belforte, G. Beletti, J. Bellinger, A. Belloni, E. Ben Haim, D. Benjamin, A. Beretvas, J. Beringer, T. Berry, A. Bhatti, M. Binkley, D. Bisello, R. E. Blair, C. Blocker, B. Blumenfeld, A. Bocci, A. Bochkov, D. Bortoletto, J. Boudreau, A. Boveia, B. Braun, C. Bromberg, E. Brubaker, J. Budagov, H.S. Budd, G. Buett, K. Burkett, G. Busetto, P. Bussey, K. L. Byrum, S. Cabrera, M. Campanelli, M. Campbell, F. Caneli, A. Canepa, D. Carlsmith, R. Carosi, S. Caron, M. Casarsa, A. Castro, P. Catastini, D. Cauz, M. Cavalli-Sforza, A. Cerri, L. Cerrito, S. Chhiber, D.H. Chang, J. Chapman, Y.C. Chen, M. Chertok, G. Chiarelli, G. Chlachidze, D.H. Chlebana, I. Chiola, D. Chob, D. Chokheli, J.P. Chou, P.H. Chu, S.H. Chuan, K. Chung, W.H. Chung, Y.S. Chung, M. Ciljak, C.I. Ciobanu, M.A. Ciocci, A. Clark, D. Clark, M. Coca, G. Compostella, M.E. Convery, J. Conway, B. Cooper, K. Copic, M. Cordelli, G. Cortiana, F. Cresciolo, A. Cruz, C. Cuesta, M. Cultrera, A. Davide, S. DaRonco, S. D’Auria, D. Dagenhart, P. de Barbaro, G. De Cecco, A. Deisher, G. Del Lentdecker, M. Dell’Orso, F. Delli Paoli, S. Demers, L. Demortier, J. Deng, M. Denino, D. De Pedis, P.F. Derwent, C. Dionisi, J.R. Dittmann, P. DiTuro, C. Dör, S. Donati, M. Doneva, P. Dong, J. Donini, T. Dorigo, G. Dusi, K. Ebina, J. Elron, J. Ehlers, R. erbacher, D. errede, R. Eusebi, H.C. Fang, S. Farrington, I. Fedorko, W.T. Fedorko, R.G. Feild, M. Feindt, J.P. Fernandez, R. Field, G. Flanagan, L.R. Flores-Castillo, A. Follana, S. Forrester, G.W. Foster, M. Franklin, J.C. Freeman, I. Furic, M. Gallinaro, J. Galymard, J.E. Garcia, M. Garcia-Silveira, A.F. Garfinkel, C. Gay, H. Gerberich, D. Gerdes, S. Giagu, P. Giannetti, A. Gibson, K. Gibson, C. Ginsburg, N. Giokaris, K. Giolo, M. Giordani, P. Giromini, M. Giunta, G. Giurin, V. Glagolev, D. Glimenzi, M. Gold, N. Goldschmidt, J. Goldstein, G. Gomez, G. Gomez-Ceballos, M. Goncharov, O. González, I. Gorelov, A.T. Goshaw, Y. Gotya, K. Gouloumanos, A. Gresele, M. Griffiths, S. Grinstein, C. Grossi-Pilcher, R.C. Group, U. Grundler, J. Guimarães da Costa, Z. Gunay-Unalan, C. Haber, S.R. Hahn, K. Hahn, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hamilton, J.-Y. Han, J.Y. Han, R. Handler, F. Happacher, K. Hara, M. Hare, S. Harper, R.F. Harr, R.M. Harris, K. Hatakeyama, J. Hauser, C. Hays, A. Heijboer, B. Heinemann, J. Heinrich, M. Herndon, D. Hidas, C.S. Hill, D. Hirschbuehl, A. Hocker, A. Holloway, S. Hou, M. Houlden, S.-C. Hsu, B.T. Huffman, R.E. Hughes, J. Huston, J. Incandela, T. Affolder, J.Y. Im, Y. Ishizawa, A. Ivanov, B. Iyutin, E. James, D. Jang, B. Jayatilaka, D. Jeans, H. Jensen, E.J. Jeon, S. Jindariani, M. Jones, K.K. Joo, S.Y. Jun, T.R. Junk, T. Kamon, J. Kang, P.E. Karchin, Y. Kato, Y. Kemp, R. Kephart, U. Kerzel, V. Khotilovich, B. Kihlbom, D.H. Kim, S.H. Kim, J.E. Kim, S.M. Kim, S.B. Kim, S.H. Kim, Y.K. Kim, L. Kirsch, S. Klimenton, M. Klute, B. Knutsen, B.R. Ko, H. Kobayashi, K. Kondo, D.J. Kong, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A.V. Kotwal, A. Kovalov, A. Kraan, J. Kraus, I. Kravchenko, M. Kreps, J. Kroll, N. Krummack, M. Kruse, V. Krutelyov, S. E. Kuhlmann, Y. Kusakabe, S. Kwang, A.T. Laasanen, N. Lau, S. Lami, S. Lammel, M. Lancaster, R.L. Lander, K. Lannon, A. Lath, G. Latino, I. Lazzizzera, T. LeCompte, J. Lee, J. Lee, Y.J. Lee, S.W. Lee, R. Levevre, N. Leonardi, S. Leonida, S. Levy, J.D. Lewis, C. Lin, C.S. Lin, M. Lindgren, E. Lopes, T.M. Liss, A. Lister, D.O. Litvintsev, T. Liu, N.S. Lockyer, A. Loginov, M. Loreti, P. Loverre, R.-S. Lu, D. Lucchesi, P. Lujan, P. Lukens, J. Lyons, J. Lys, R. Lysak, E. Lytkin, P. Mack, D. MacQueen, R. Madrak, K. Maeshima, T. Maki, P. Maksimovic, S. Malde, G. Manco, C. Marigicle, D. Marino, A. Martin, V. Martin, M. Martinez, T. Maruyama, H. Matsunaga, M.E. Mattsson, R. Mazzi, G. McCann, K.S. McFarland, P. McIntyre, R. McNulty, A. Mehta, S. Menzemer, A. Menzione, P. Merkel, C. Mesropian, A. Messina, M. von der Mey, T. Miao, N. Miladinovic, J. Miles, R. Miller, J.S. Miller, C. Mills, M. Milnik, R. Miquel, A. Mitra, G. Mitselmakher, A. Miyamoto, N. Moggi, B. Mohr, R. Moore.
We search for $Z'$ bosons in dielectron events produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, using a 0.45 fb$^{-1}$ dataset accumulated with the CDF II detector at the Fermilab Tevatron. To identify the $Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ signal, both the dielectron invariant mass distribution and the angular distribution of the electron pair are used. No evidence of a signal is found, and 95% confidence level lower limits are set on the $Z'$ mass for several models. Limits are also placed on the mass and gauge coupling of a generic $Z'$, as well as on the contact interaction mass scales for different helicity structure scenarios.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Rm, 13.85.Qk, 14.70.Pw, 12.60.Cn

Many extensions of the standard model (SM) gauge group predict the existence of electrically-neutral, massive gauge bosons commonly referred to as $Z'$. The leptonic decays $Z' \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ provide the most distinctive signature for observing the $Z'$ signal at a hadron collider. In two recent publications, the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) Collaboration has set limits on different $Z'$ models by analyzing the invariant mass ($M_{\ell\ell}$) spectrum of the dielectron, dimuon, and ditau final states, using a dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of approximately 0.2 fb$^{-1}$. Besides the dilepton mass $M_{\ell\ell}$, it has been shown that the angular distribution of the dilepton events can also be used to test the presence of a $Z'$ boson by detecting its in-
terference with the SM Z boson. In this Letter, for the first time at a hadron collider, the massive resonance search technique (Mller analysis) is extended to include dilepton angular information to detect $Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decays in 0.45 fb$^{-1}$ of data accumulated with the CDF II detector. As the integrated luminosity increases, the sensitivity of the standard Mller analysis plateaus; adding the angular information starts to become an important handler for extending the $Z'$ exclusion reach and discovery potential. In this Letter many of the theoretical $Z'$ models are surveyed, and results are reported for the sequential $Z_{SM}'$, the canonical superstring-inspired $E_6$ models $Z_\chi$, $Z_{\psi}$, $Z_{\tau}$, $Z_{\eta}$, $Z_{\text{sec}}$ \cite{4,11}, the “littlest” Higgs $Z_H$ model \cite{11,12}, the four generic model-lines of Ref. \cite{2}, and contact-interaction searches. No significant evidence of a $Z'$ signal is found, and the tightest constraints to date are set on most of these models.

The CDF detector is described in detail elsewhere \cite{11}. For this study, the relevant subdetector systems are the central tracking chamber (COT) and the central and the plug calorimeters. The COT is a 96-layer open-cell drift chamber immersed in a 1.4 Tesla magnetic field, used to measure charged particle momenta within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 1.0$ \cite{12}. Surrounding the COT are the electromagnetic (EM) and hadronic (HAD) calorimeters, segmented in projective $\eta - \phi$ towers pointing to the nominal collision point $z = 0$. The central calorimeters measure the energies of particles within the range $|\eta| < 1.1$, while the plug calorimeters extend the range to $1.1 < |\eta| < 3.6$. Two triggers were used to select the data for this analysis. The main trigger requires two high-$E_T$ EM clusters in the calorimeter while a backup trigger accepts events with a single electron candidate with very high $E_T$ and looser electron-selection requirements.

Events are selected with two high-$E_T$ electron candidates \cite{13,14}, of which at least one is required to have been measured in the central calorimeter. A matching COT track is required for all central candidates. Events with same-sign central electron pairs are rejected, and an isolation condition for the energy found within a cone of angular radius $R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.4$ around the electron is imposed for electron candidates. The angular distribution is measured using $\cos \theta^*$, where $\theta^*$ is the angle between the electron and the incoming quark in the Collins-Soper frame \cite{11}. The search is performed using events with $M_{ee} > 200$ GeV/c$^2$.

$Z'$ production is expected to interfere with the SM Drell-Yan $Z/\gamma^*$ process, altering the $\cos \theta^*$ distribution. For this reason, the $Z/\gamma^*$ process is not labeled as a “background”. Instead, the $Z'/Z/\gamma^*$ is referred to as the $Z'$ signal, while the SM $Z/\gamma^*$ is referred to as the SM Drell-Yan production. The term background will be used to designate all other SM processes (excluding $Z/\gamma^*$) expected to contribute to the dielectron final state sample. Of these background sources, the most important are dijet events in which jets are misiden-

| Source | $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+e^-$ | Dijet | Diboson | Total SM | Observed |
|--------|-------------------------------|------|--------|---------|---------|
| Events | 80.0 ± 8.0                    | 28±14 | 6.8 ± 1.4 | 115±16  | 120     |

TABLE I: Summary of the expected and observed numbers of dielectron events with $M_{ee} > 200$ GeV/c$^2$ in 0.45 fb$^{-1}$. This luminosity value was used to normalize the contributions from all processes with the exception of the dijet background.

tified as electrons, and diboson events (see Table I). The dijet background is estimated using the probability for a jet to be misidentified as an electron (“fake rate”) which is measured in inclusive jet triggered data. The fake rate is then applied to each jet in a sample of events containing one electron candidate and one or more jets. All non-dijet backgrounds are estimated using PYTHIA \cite{10} Monte Carlo simulation, normalized to the product of the theoretical cross sections \cite{17,18} and the integrated luminosity. Other background processes such as $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\nu_\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$ or pair production $tt \rightarrow e^+e^-\nu_\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau b\bar{b}$ have negligible contributions in the high-mass region considered for this analysis.

A leading-order calculation is used as the starting point to construct the signal and SM Drell-Yan Monte Carlo distributions \cite{10}. A next-to-next-to-leading order mass-dependent $K$-factor \cite{2} is then included, followed by a ($M_{ee}$, $\cos \theta^*$) parameterization of the CDF detector response \cite{20} to dielectron events. This parameterization is extracted by running the full detector simulation on a large sample of $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events generated with PYTHIA such that the distribution in $M_{ee}$ is roughly uniform between 0.03 and 1.05 TeV/c$^2$.

For a particular $Z'$ model (denoted by $H_1$), we isolate the $Z'$ signal by using two variables: the invariant mass of the electron-positron pair $M_{ee}$ in 10 GeV/c$^2$ bins, and $\cos \theta^*$ in 0.25 bins. The bidimensional distribution ($M_{ee}$, $\cos \theta^*$) of the CDF data is used to test two mutually exclusive hypotheses: 1) the null hypothesis ($H_0$), where data are described by SM Drell-Yan and background distributions, and 2) the test hypothesis ($H_1$), where data points are described by the $Z'$ signal and background distributions. A test statistic $Q$ is defined as:

$$Q(\tilde{d}) = -2 \cdot \ln \frac{P(\tilde{d} | H_1)}{P(\tilde{d} | H_0)} = \text{const} - 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{bin}}} d_i \cdot \ln \frac{N_{iH_1}}{N_{iH_0}}$$

where $N_{\text{bin}}$ denotes the total number of bins in the $(M_{ee}, \cos \theta^*)$ plane, $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{N_{\text{bin}}})$ is the observed data distribution, while $N_{iH_1}^H$ and $N_{iH_0}^H$ are the expected numbers of events in bin $i$ in the $H_1$ or $H_0$ hypotheses, respectively.

Several sources of systematic uncertainty affect our measurement. First, a relative uncertainty of 10% on the total event rate is incurred primarily due to uncertainties in the luminosity measurement (6%), the dielectron
detector acceptance and electron identification efficiency (5%), and the LO calculation (4%). The second dominating effect is the electron energy scale and resolution uncertainty, which modifies the shape of the $M_{ee}$ and $\cos \theta^*$ distributions. The third source is the uncertainty in the background (particularly dijet) estimations. The dijet prediction uncertainty is extracted from the differences in the fake rate measured in kinematically different jet samples. Finally, the uncertainty related to the choice of the parton distribution functions set (CTEQ6M [21]) is evaluated using the Hessian method advocated in Ref. [22], and found to have a negligible effect on our results.

For each of the $Z'$ models ($H1$ test hypotheses) mentioned in the first paragraph, a large number of simulated experiments $\vec{d}$ are drawn either from the $H1$ or the $H0$ hypotheses, and the corresponding $Q(\vec{d})$ values are stored in two separate histograms $f_H^H(Q)$ and $f_H^0(Q)$, respectively. The systematic uncertainties are accounted for as described in Ref. [23]. The $f_H^H(Q)$ and $f_H^0(Q)$ distributions are integrated in the region $Q > Q_0$, where $Q_0$ is the value measured using the $\vec{d}$ distribution of the CDF data. If the ratio of the two integrals is less than 5%, then the $H1$ model is excluded at 95% confidence level (C.L.) [23].

The CDF data is found to be consistent with the null (no $Z'$) hypothesis, with a probability $P(\vec{d} \mid H0)$ greater than the values obtained in 87% of $H0$ simulated experiments. Figs. 1 and 2 show good agreement between the forward-backward asymmetry and the $\cos \theta^*$ distributions. For illustration, Fig. 2 also presents the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}^{\text{raw}}$ [14] defined as $(N_+ - N_-)/(N_+ + N_-)$, where $N_+$ and $N_-$ are the numbers of forward ($\cos \theta^* > 0$) and backward ($\cos \theta^* < 0$) events in the given $M_{ee}$ range. The superscript “raw” is used here to emphasize that no detector acceptance, background subtraction, or efficiency corrections are applied. The $A_{FB}$ plot is a common way of representing the mass dependence of the angular distribution.

The sequential $Z'_{SM}$ boson, which has the same couplings to fermions as the SM $Z$ boson, is excluded by our data up to a mass of 850 GeV/c$^2$, at 95% C.L. It is noted here that using the dielectron invariant mass alone would require roughly 25% more data for the same $Z'_{SM}$ exclusion. In general, the improvement provided by including the $\cos \theta^*$ spectrum depends strongly on the particular $Z'$ under investigation and the integrated luminosity being analyzed. Other $Z'$ theories include grand unification $E_6$ models [1, 2, 3], where the $E_6$ gauge group breaks down as $E_6 \rightarrow SO(10) \times U(1)_\psi \rightarrow SU(5) \times SU(10) \times U(1)_\psi$, and the SM gauge structure results from breaking down the $SU(5)$ group. Therefore, an extra $Z'$ will be a combination of the two $U(1)$'s: $Z_\theta E_6 = Z_\psi \sin \theta E_6 + Z_\eta \cos \theta E_6$. Table I lists the 95% C.L. lower limits on the $M_{Z'}$ for the $Z_\chi$, $Z_\psi$, $Z_\eta$, $Z_I$, $Z_N$, and the secluded $Z_{sec} E_6$ models.

Another class of theories addressing electroweak symmetry breaking and the hierarchy problem are the little Higgs theories [4], where $Z'_{H}$ bosons are predicted in order to stabilize the Higgs mass against quadratically divergent one-loop corrections. In the minimal model of this type (the littlest Higgs), the $Z'_{H}$ couples to left-handed fermions only, and these couplings are parameterized as functions of the mixing angle cotangent $\cot \theta_H$. Our results for $\cot \theta_H = 0.3$, 0.5, 0.7, and 1.0 are shown in Table I[1] and improve the results reported in [6].

A recent study reported in [2] defines a more general set of $Z'$ models. This study uses simple constraints such as generation-independent fermion charges and gauge anomaly cancellations to reduce the number of parameters (17) required to define an arbitrary $Z'$ model. Four families of models have been considered, each of them specified by three parameters: the mass $M_{Z'}$, the gauge coupling $g_z$, and the ratio $\alpha$ of certain $U(1)$ charges. This three-dimensional parameter space is sampled to obtain the exclusion contours shown in Fig. 4. For small $|x|$ and $g_z \leq 0.10$, the exclusion limits are more stringent than the ones derived in Ref. [2] based on the LEP II results [24].

Finally, $Z'$ mass constraints can be derived from searches for contact interactions, if the collider energy is far below the $Z'$ pole [2, 24, 25]. An effective Lagrangian for the $q \bar{q}ee$ contact interaction can be written as: $\sum_q \sum_{i,j=L,R} A_\eta \bar{q}_i \gamma^\mu e_j \gamma^\rho e_i / A_{ij}$, where $A$ is the scale of the interaction, and $\eta = \pm 1$ determines the interference structure with the $Z' \gamma^\rho$ amplitudes [26].

A generation-universal interaction is assumed and lower limits are measured for $A$ in six helicity structure scenarios: LL, LR, RL, RR, VV and AA (Table III) [27].

In conclusion, we have searched for $Z'$ decays to $e^+e^-$ pairs in 0.45 fb$^{-1}$ of data accumulated with the CDF II detector. To strengthen this search, the reconstructed
dielectron invariant mass $M_{ee}$ spectrum and the angular distribution of the electron pair $\cos \theta^* = \cos (\theta^* L_e L_\mu)$ are analyzed simultaneously. This is the first study of this kind at the Tevatron, and it opens up a new avenue for exploring the high mass region $M_{ee} > 200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The points are the data, the open histograms are the predictions from Drell-Yan Monte Carlo simulation, and the shaded histograms are the background predictions. The individual contributions are stacked. Bottom: distributions of the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}^{raw}$ for the data (points) and predicted SM processes (histogram).

| Interaction | LL | LR | RL | RR | VV | AA |
|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $\Lambda_{ee}^+ \text{ limit (TeV}/c^2)$ | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 7.8 |
| $\Lambda_{ee}^- \text{ limit (TeV}/c^2)$ | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 7.8 |

**FIG. 2:** Top: Distributions of $\cos \theta^*$ for the high mass region $M_{ee} > 200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The points are the data, the open histograms are the predictions from Drell-Yan Monte Carlo simulation, and the shaded histograms are the background predictions. The individual contributions are stacked. Bottom: distributions of the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}^{raw}$ for the data (points) and predicted SM processes (histogram).

**FIG. 3:** Exclusion contours for the $B-\bar{c}L$, $10+\bar{x}5$, $d-xu$, and $q+xu Z'$ families. The dotted lines represent the exclusion boundaries derived in Ref. [2] from the LEP II results [24]. The region below each curve is excluded by our data at 95% C.L. Only models with $M_{Z'} > 200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ are tested, which explains the gap at small $|x|$ for some models.

generic $Z'$ model-lines advocated in Ref. [2] are mapped out. In comparison to the LEP contact interaction $Z'$ search results given in [2], our results exhibit higher sensitivity in the small $|x|$ and small $g_z$ regions.
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