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Abstract

Materials are the heart and soul of photovoltaic devices. Based on the nature of materials, photovoltaics (solar cells) have been classified into various types. Based on its classifications many oxide and metallic materials are mainly used for photovoltaic applications. Device structure and nature of materials are very critical for the overall efficiency and performance of photovoltaics. However here in this particular review we will be only converging on the nature of various materials used to develop solar cells and their performances by using the materials of C, Cd, In doped Selenides and Telluride. Likewise the use of nanomaterials for biosensors based in thin film, particularly carbon based materials and its doping with selenides and telluride have attracted considerable attention due to provide a high surface-volume ratio, faster electron transfer and label-free responses. This review discussed these materials and its efficiencies.
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Introduction

Global warming is causing a rise in sea levels, and this leads to a loss of coastal wetlands, erosion of shorelines, and increased flooding in coastal areas. Local and regional climate shifts can also have severe impacts on ecosystems and agriculture. Solar power produces no greenhouse gases, so it does not contribute to global warming. Energy experts are evaluating the ‘energy payback’ of PV systems—the time it takes a PV system to generate enough zero-emission energy to equal the source are credited. (Nivetha et al., Fluid Mech Open Acc 2017, 4:4)

Here, we demonstrate an effective, low-cost colloidal method to synthesize a red- to near infrared (NIR) absorbing Cu-doped CdSeTe-alloyed sensitizer via a non-injection one pot approach. These Cu-doped CdSe0.1Te0.9 NCs are further studied to measure their performance of photovoltaics. However here in this particular review we will be only converging on the nature of various cadmium chalcogenides indicate that the band gap of CdSe1-xTe x can be tuned. Variation in band gap reveals the optoelectronic behavior of these window materials. To achieve high efficiency single and multijunction solar cells, controlling the band gap of the absorber layer is required [9].

The thermal evaporation process is widely used because it is an accurate method, where the preparative conditions (evaporation rate, film thickness, surface morphology and the structural state) can be controlled. This technique has already been used for preparation of the thin films of semiconducting compounds and alloys including CdS-Se ternary systems.

A comparative study was carried out among the present thin films and similar samples of the previous literature Solar electric systems also known as photovoltaic (PV) systems have very little impact on the environment, making them one of the cleanest power-generating technologies available. While they’re operating, PV systems produce no air pollution, hazardous waste, or noise, and they require no transportable fuels. Because of these benefits, PV can play an important role in mitigating environmental problems like these. Ground-level air pollution has severe health and environmental effects and contributes to visibility problems in scenic areas. Traditional power sources are the largest contributor to this pollution; in contrast, solar power produces no air pollution. By varying Se and Te concentration cadmium chalcogenides indicate that the band gap of CdSe1-xTe x system can be tuned. Variation in band gap reveals the optoelectronic behavior of these window materials. To achieve high efficiency single and multijunction solar cells, controlling the band gap of the absorber layer is required [9].
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Instead, the pre-synthesized colloidal QDs can allow good quality QDs with well-defined particle sizes, size distribution and morphologies as well as ideal photoelectrical properties. Meng et al. reported 5.38% and 5.04% of PCEs based on aqueous colloidal CuInS2 QDs and core–shell CdSexTe (1-x) solution was further purified by centrifugation and decantation with the addition of acetone, and the NCs were finally stored in chloroform.

Fabricated dye-sensitized solar cell

By using an absorber which is embedded in a transparent pn-junction, the light-induced charge carriers are separated immediately by the built-in field. No diffusion is necessary to reach the space charge region. Because of this the recombination probability in the absorber is reduced dramatically. In the n-/p-type semiconductors we deal with majority charge carriers which can be easily transported. For this effect a thin absorber is necessary. To guarantee sufficient absorption the layer has to be folded to allow multiple passing of the light. The before mentioned transport mechanisms imply only little requirements to the absorber material. The main problem of other cell concepts, long diffusion length of minority carriers which means high electronic quality, can be overcome. So no electronic but optical properties have to be taken into account. Idea by using an absorber which is embedded in a transparent pn-junction the light-induced charge carriers are separated immediately by the built-in field. No diffusion is necessary to reach the space charge region. Because of this the recombination probability in the absorber is reduced dramatically. In the n-/p-type semiconductors we deal with majority charge carriers which can be easily transported. For this effect a thin absorber is necessary. To guarantee sufficient absorption the layer has to be folded to allow multiple passing of the light shown in Figure 2.

**Organic solar cell**

Zinc copper thalocyanine solar cells by thermal evaporation and especially role of these materials which property made them effective C60 thin films. Organic solar cell solution process, on functionalized C60 and other layers hole and electron transport layers. Three types of organic solar cells are currently developed, molecular organic solar cells made from relatively small organic molecules, Polymer (or Plastic) organic solar cells mainly based on electrically conductive polymers, and sensitization solar cells. Highly porous TiO2 electrodes in a sol-gel process gives the high light to current conversion efficiency. The sensitized photoelectron chemical solar cell based on organic dye sensitized titanium oxide sintered from small particles onto transparent conductive oxide (TCO) glasses is assumed to be very promising because the production costs are expected to be low become the large scale commercial application of photo electrochemical solar energy conversion [13]. But new scientific results were reported on the possible utilization of energy transfer to improve sensitization processes from the Dutch organic solar cells, i.e photosynthesis excitation energy should be transported through organic molecules towards a kind of "reaction center" where in the classical photovoltaic process it is finally used for charge generation, separation and transport shown in Figure 3.
Polymer organic solar cells

Within a very short time, efficiencies in the same order of magnitude as that of molecular organic solar cells were achieved for their plastic solar cells. Based on an extremely fast excited electron transfer from light absorbing semiconducting polymers such as phenylene-vinylenes to embedded Fullerene (C60) molecules, surprisingly high photocurrents are produced taking into account the relatively low absorption coefficients of most polymers. Depending on the thickness and optical constants of the individual layers, the interaction of a light source with a multilayer causes distinct distributions of the electric field and energy absorption density [14]. Studies of electric field distribution in bilayer organic photovoltaic devices made of Zinc-Phthalocyanine (ZnPc), Perylene pigment (MPP) and other materials have been carried out [15]. If the polymer or PCBM domains get too big (as in the case of 80 wt% PCBM content), the exciton ionization efficiency is not optimal and if the domains are too small, the charge-transfer states will not separate and/or the charge transport to the electrodes is inhibited. Unfortunately, this is made challenging by the fact that charge-transfer states and free charges both show broad and unstructured photoinduced absorption in amorphous polymers. P3HT:PCBM blends, however, are a fortunate case, because the absorption of charges in the polycrystalline P3HT changes significantly depending on their confinement. The spectral signature of a charge localized on a single P3HT chain is very different to that of a charge delocalized over several P3HT chains in a crystalline domain. This signature can be used to detect spatially separated charge carriers that have migrated away from the interface into a crystalline polymer region [16-20].

Astuti et al., 130, 10, 3030-3042. Copyright (2008) American Chemical Society reported, the rates of charge-transfer state separation and germinate and nongerminate recombination of charges have been examined using transient absorption spectroscopy on nanosecond to microsecond timescales in PCBM: polythiophene blends [18]. Hwang et al. report a much faster charge separation time (picoseconds) [17]. Despite this discrepancy, it is agreed that the rates vary with material system and morphology, and have been shown to limit the efficiency of organic solar cells [19,20]. The pathways available for charge-transfer state recombination vary. In low open-circuit voltage blends, such as those that employ PCBM as an electron acceptor, the charge-transfer states can only recombine directly into the ground state. The absence of any other recombination channels, coupled with the fast kinetics of charge separation due to high carrier mobilities, may explain the high quantum efficiency observed in P3HT:PCBM solar cells. In high open-circuit voltage solar cells, further recombination mechanisms arise, because the triplet exciton on the lower-band-gap polymer are now thermodynamically available. Thus, charge-transfer states can recombine to form a triplet exciton and this also is the fundamental loss channel that reduces the solar-cell quantum efficiency [21,22] shown in Figures 4 and 5.

CIS Solar Cells

The cdsl/cdte solar cell

The cell is produced from polycrystalline materials and glass, which is a potentially much cheaper construction than bulk silicon. The chemical and physical properties of the semiconductors are such that the polysilicon thin-films can be deposited using a variety of different techniques. CdTe has a bandgap which is very close to the theoretically-calculated optimum value for solar cells under unconcentrated AM1.5 sunlight. CdTe has a high absorption coefficient, so that approximately 99% of the incident light is absorbed by a layer thickness of only 1 µm (compared with around 10 µm for Si), cutting down the quantity of semiconductor required shown in Figures 6a and 6b.
It has efficiency 27% under AMO illumination at 28°C, GaInP/GaInAs/Ge by Spectrolab (A Boeing Company) achieved 40.7% efficiency in 2007. Current devices employed on satellites have efficiencies ~28.3%. CIS has direct band gap with $E_g$ 1 eV and high cell efficiency of 19.2%, current complicated and capital intensive fabrication. CdTe has direct band gap of $E_g$ 1.45 eV and its efficiency is 16.5%. It has efficiency 27% under AMO illumination at 28°C, GaInP/GaInAs/Ge by Spectrolab (A Boeing Company) achieved 40.7% efficiency in 2007. Current devices employed on satellites have efficiencies ~28.3%

Glass/SnO$_2$:F/CdS/CdTe/Au:Cu solar cell structure consists of five layers to fabricate by using the optimized deposition conditions of CdS and CdTe by Electrodeposition. Depositions were carried out for two different optimized Te ion concentrations (40 ppm and 120 ppm) and for different deposition potentials from 100 mV to -700 mV. After the deposition of CdTe films on CdS/SnO$_2$:F/glass substrates, the films were dried by nitrogen gas flow and annealed at 200°C for 10 minutes to make conversion from n-type to p-type as presented by (Bosal et al. 1984). After the heat treatment the CdTe surface was etched by saturated potassium dichromate in sulfuric acid solution for 2 seconds to remove the Cadmium oxide layers and washed thoroughly with deionised water. Then the samples were dried and dripped into the hydrogen hydrate solution for 15 to 30 minutes and dried in N$_2$ gas flow to mask cadmium deficient surface for the deposition of better ohmic contact with low contact resistance on p-CdTe film. Finally the total structure was transferred to Vacuum chamber and 5000 Å thick Au-Cu alloy was deposited for the purpose of back ohmic contact.

CdS films can be successfully deposited on the surface of CdTe NRs by these two different methods. Compared to SILAR method, a high loading and full coverage of CdS film can be obtained by a simple CBD method in a short period of time on CdTe NRs. CdS sensitized CdTe films show significant enhancement in the photoelectric activity compared with bare CdTe films. Through comparing these two methods, it can be observed that the photocurrent density of CdTe/CBD-CdS films is slightly improved in contrast with CdTe/SILAR-CdS films. According to the excellent optical performance achievement, CdTe/CBD-CdS films can be a promising application in photovoltaic devices.

Fabrication of glass/SnO$_2$:F/CdS/CuInSe$_2$/Ag structure

Electrodeposited CdS on SnO$_2$:F/glass was used as a substrate for the Electrodeposition of CuInSe$_2$ thin films using the solution having 10 mM CuSO$_4$, 50 mM InCl$_3$ and 30 mM SeO$_2$ by applying the cathodic deposition potential of -0.4 to -0.6 V at 90°C. After depositing CuInSe$_2$, the films were washed with de-ionised water and dried by nitrogen gas flow. Then the films were transferred to the vacuum chamber for the Ag back ohmic contact deposition of 5000 Å thickness. The fabricated glass/SnO$_2$:F/CdS/CuInSe$_2$/Ag structures were annealed in nitrogen atmosphere for 30 minutes at 350°C illuminated condition of 100 mW/cm$^2$ shown in Figures 7a and 7b.

Fabrication of CdS/InP/Ag:Zn structure

LEC (Liquid Encapsulated Czochralski) grown p-type InP (111) single crystals were used as substrates for this cell. The wafers were chemo mechanically polished using 1% bromine-methanol standard solution. The polished wafers were cleaned first by rinsing in warm trichloroethylene (TCE) acete and methanol followed by the final etching in HF:H$_2$O (1:1). Alloyed Ag:Zn material was evaporated on the backside of the cleaned InP substrates for the back ohmic contacts and annealed at 370°C for 3 minutes. After masking the back ohmic contacts of InP substrate, the sample was mounted on the cathode for
Figure 6b: Block diagram of the adopted fabrication process sequence for CdS/CdTe solar cell.

Figure 7a: Schematic diagram of a CdS/CuInSe₂ solar cell.

Figure 7b: Block diagram of the adopted fabrication process sequence for CdS/CuInSe₂ solar cell.
Cadmium deposition on the front side. The optimized deposition condition using -600 mV as deposition potential was used to deposit CdS thin films on InP (111) substrates. After the deposition, top contact was realized by vacuum evaporated indium fingers. The fabricated cell structure was annealed at 550°C under hydrogen atmosphere for 5 minutes before studying the I-V characteristics of the cell structure under 100 mW/cm² illuminations. The fabrication process adopted for CdS/p-InP/Ag:Zn solar cell structure is shown in Figures 8a and 8b.

The CBD method produced non-uniformly distributed CuBiS₂ nanoparticles – more on the top and less at the bottom of the TiO₂ electrode. Consequently, the top surface of the electrode was overloaded, which blocked the flow of the liquid electrolyte, resulting in lower photovoltaic performance. This is probably an intrinsic disadvantage of the CBD method. The Voc (0.25–0.29 V) obtained here is only moderate. The theoretical upper limit of Voc=EF-E_redox, where EF is the quasi-Fermi level of TiO₂ and E_redox is the redox potential of the electrolyte. It should be possible to increase Voc by using an electrolyte with a lower redox potential (such as cobalt electrolyte).

The deposition of CdSe, ZnSe and Telluride thin films were made using different deposition methods such as, thermal evaporation, pulsed laser deposition (PLD), electrodeposition, spray pyrolysis, successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR) and chemical bath deposition (CBD). Among these methods, EBE is one of the most promising methods for making high quality thin films for photovoltaic applications because it is an efficient and reasonably cost effective method.

**Figure 8a:** Schematic diagram of CdS/InP fabricated solar cell structure.

**Figure 8b:** Block diagram of CdS/InP fabricated solar cell structure.

Zinc selenide (ZnSe) has attracted much attention of research and development due to its suitability as a material for the fabrication of blue green lasers. It is seen that the optoelectronic and other properties of ZnSe thin films are structure sensitive which will severely influence the device performance. The lattice constant of ZnSe is 0.5668 nm and GaAs is 0.5653 nm. Though their lattice constants are nearly the same, ZnSe is very soft and facilitates the introduction of defects easily with in the film. It is well known that for II-VI compounds wurtzite phase is the stable form of crystal structure and the zinc blende structure is metastable [22].

The gradients of zinc phthalocyanine/C60 were prepared by co-evaporation of the two materials from two sources to make a linear array of photodiode devices. ZnPc has a strong absorption in the mid-visible range, and photoinduced charge transfer between ZnPc and C60. It is also well as the substrate temperature, can significantly change the photovoltaic properties of the devices. ZnPc in C60 is easily done by co-evaporation of both molecules onto a surface from two separate sources at a distance; a gradient will be formed along the space extending in between the two evaporation sources. These structures are then used for evaluation of solar cell performance [23].

Polymer solar cells are becoming increasingly attractive because they show many potential advantages over traditional silicon-based solar cells. As an energy conservation device, efficiency is a very important parameter. In order to increase the PCE (Power Conversion Efficiency) of devices, some aspects should be taken into account, such as the absorption coefficients of the materials, the exciton dissociation rate, and the charge-carrier mobilities. Polymers with bandgaps above 2 eV only absorb radiation in the ultraviolet (UV) and green part of the visible range. [24].

Conjugated polymers blended with soluble fullerene derivatives show a great potential for low cost, large area photovoltaics. One of the most promising devices following this approach are based on poly [2,6-(4,4-bis-(2-ethylhexyl)-4H-cyclopenta[2,1-b:3,4-b]dithiophene)-alt-4,7-(2,1,3-benzothiadiazole)] (PCPDTBT), reaching power conversion efficiencies of up to 3.2% when combined with [6,6]-phenyl-C71-butyric acid methyl ester (PC70BM) [25].

Solar-energy generation, especially that of photovoltaics, has great potential as a renewable energy source because of its limitless and non-polluting properties. Recently, several research groups have reported that BHJ solar cells based on a composite film using poly[3-hexylthiophene] (P3HT) as an electron donor and [6,6]-phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) as an electron acceptor show a power-conversion efficiency near 5%, which is the best reported performance for solution-processed polymer solar cells [26]. In general, the performance of BHJ solar cells can be maximized by controlling the morphology of the active layer, because efficient photoinduced charge generation, transport, and collection at each electrode crucially depend on the nanometer-scale morphology of the composite films [27,28].

Polymer electrolytes have reasonable ionic conductivities and eliminate the problems of sealing and solvent leakage. Dye-sensitized solar cells based on nanocrystalline TiO₂ electrodes are currently attracting widespread attention as a low cost alternative to conventional inorganic photovoltaic devices. Efficient light absorption for a monolayer of adsorbed sensitizer dye is achieved by the use of a mesoporous TiO₂ film structure [29,30] shown in Table 1.
Conclusion

While the increasing demand for energy creates a boom of PV industry in global market, its widespread use is still hindered by its high costs. A critical requirement is the accessibility of an easily scalable deposition process for the active layers in order to reduce process complexity and cost of solar. Two stage process has been optimized for producing single phase p-type CuInSe2, CuIn(Se1-xSx)2 and n-type InSe thin films. The material quality of the absorber films is critically related to the chalcogenisation parameters and the metallic precursor formation steps. This review quantifies the influence of the above referred parameters on the material quality of the semiconductor thin films.
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Table 1: The FF, Efficiency of Polymer materials.

| Samples   | FF  | PCE (%) | Reference |
|-----------|-----|---------|-----------|
| PTB7      | 42  | 2.46 (2.34) | [26]       |
| PTB7-DCB  | 47  | 4.22 (3.92) |           |
| PTB7-Th   | 37  | 4.11 (3.91) |           |
| PTB7-Th-DCB | 49  | 6.07 (5.60) |           |