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ABSTRACT

Machine translation is the process of translating text from one language to another. In this paper, Statistical Machine Translation is done on Assamese and English language by taking their respective parallel corpus. A statistical phrase based translation toolkit Moses is used here. To develop the language model and to align the words we used two another tools IRSTLM, GIZA respectively. BLEU score is used to check our translation system performance, how good it is. A difference in BLEU scores is obtained while translating sentences from Assamese to English and vice-versa. Since Indian languages are morphologically very rich hence translation is relatively harder from English to Assamese resulting in a low BLEU score. A statistical transliteration system is also introduced with our translation system to deal basically with proper nouns, OOV (out of vocabulary) words which are not present in our corpus.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Machine Translation (MT), subfield of computational linguistics plays a major role in machine communication as well as men-men communication. It is used to translate text from one natural language to another. Translation is not only used to translate literary works from one language to another it is also used for translation of scientific documents, technical manuals, documents and memoranda etc. In this paper, we are showing translation from two language Assamese-English and vice-versa. As most of the official works done in English there is a strong requirement of MT system to make it convenient for common people. Here Statistical Machine Translation is used which is a part of Machine Translation that strives to use machine learning paradigm towards translating text. Statistical Machine Translation consists of Language Model (LM), Translation Model(TM) and Decoder. In this paper bilingual Assamese-English Machine Translation Model has been developed by using Moses decoder. Other translation tools like IRSTLM are used to develop language model and GIZA++ to align the words of source text to target one. The measuring metric BLEU (Bilingual Evaluation Understudy) is used for automatic evaluation that is quick and language independent [4]. We also tried to compare the BLEU scores obtain while using English-Assamese and Assamese-English parallel corpora and found a significant difference in BLEU scores. The difference in BLEU score tells us how the morphology of Indian language like Assamese varies from English. We are trying to deal with OOV (out of vocabulary) words which were either ignored or dropped by statistical translation system by introducing Transliteration. Transliteration is the process of preserving the original sound by mapping the word from a source to target language using the pronunciation instead of translation of meaning. Statistical MT techniques have not so far been widely explored for Indian languages. We would like to explore as much as we can and see to what extent these models can contribute to the huge ongoing MT efforts in the country. Assamese (অসমীয়া) language is one of
the foremost languages spoken in the north eastern part of India, mainly in the state of Assam, by over 19 million native speakers. It is one of the official languages of Assam. It is also spoken in various parts of other north-eastern states of India. The Assamese script is very much similar to Bengali script. Assamese is written from left to right, just like English [9]. There are various works going on in the field of Natural Language Processing in Assamese. Assamese is a computationally underdeveloped language and research on NLP is still at its developing stage. Some of the researches are going on POS tagging in Assamese language using CRF and TRF [10], Automatic identification of MWE (Multi words Expressions) [11].

2. RELATED STUDY

There are many projects going on machine translation in India. Some of them are shown below

Anglabharati: Anglabharati is a pattern directed rule based system developed in IIT Kharagpur [12]. It produces translation between English to Hindi and was developed for a Public Health Campaigns.

Anusaaraka: Anusaaraka is the MT system which is divided into two modules. The first part is based on language knowledge while the other part is domain specific, based on word and statistical knowledge [13].

MaTra: MaTra is an indicative English to Hindi Machine Translation System which is fully automatic. The approach taken by MaTra is transfer-based [14].

UGSC MAT: It translates English Text to Kannada.

Some projects are going on Natural Language Processing in Assam on Machine Translation, WordNet etc.

3. TOOLS FOR IMPLEMENTING THE SYSTEM

3.1. Moses

Moses is a statistical machine translation system designed and developed by Philipp Koehn and Hieu Hoang at the University of Edinburgh. It is a software that is used in translation of sentences from one language to another. Moses requires a parallel corpus or translated texts (for e.g. English and Assamese) that are used in training the system. Moses works in tandem with SRILM or IRSTLM to develop the Language Model and Giza++ to develop the Translation Model. Nowadays, with the increasing demand for high quality translations, Moses is steadily becoming the most popular translation system [1].

3.2. Giza++

Giza++ is a toolkit designed by Franz Josef Och. It is an extension of the program GIZA, developed by the Statistical Machine Translation team. Giza++ is used to develop the Translation Model of our system. Giza++ implements different models like HMM and it also helps in word alignment. It also contains source for mkcls tool which is used to train word classes by maximum likelihood criterion [2].
3.3. IRSTLM

IRSTLM is a language modeling tool that is used to develop the language model for machine translation system. It estimates, represent and computes statistical language models. It is used to develop the language model for the target language. It is licensed under open source LGPL [3].

3.4. BLEU

The BLEU (Bi-Lingual Evaluation Understudy) toolkit helps us to determine the quality of our translation. We can test how good our translation is by translating the text and then running the BLEU script on it. A simple BLEU scoring script is `multi-bleu.perl` [1][4].

4. METHODOLOGY

Statistical Machine Translation system requires parallel corpus of source and target language pair for translation of sentences. We have used about 2500 parallel English and Assamese sentences related to tourism domain. There are three main component of the SMT system –

1) Language Model (LM)
2) Translation Model (TM)
3) Decoder

Language Model computes the probability of target language sentences. Translation Model calculates the probability of target sentences given the source sentence and the Decoder maximizes the probability of the translated text [5]. The architecture of our system is shown in the following figure:

![Architecture of the SMT system](image)

**Fig. 1: Architecture of the SMT system**

4.1. Language Model

The purpose of the language model is to develop fluent output by calculating the probability of a sentence. The probability is computed using \( n \)-gram model. Language Model can be considered as computation of the probability of single word given all of the words that precede it in a sentence [7]. The Language Model is developed using IRSTLM tool. The Language Model
breaks the probability of a sentence $P(S)$ as the probability of individual words $P(w)$ shown in Eq.1

$$P(s) = P(w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_1w_2)P(w_4|w_1w_2w_3)\ldots P(w_n|w_1w_2...w_{n-1}) \quad (1)$$

For calculating the probability of a sentence, we are first required to calculate the probability of a word, given the sequence of word preceding it[16]. An \textit{n-gram} model simplifies the task by approximating the probability of a word given all the previous words. An \textit{n-gram} of size 1 is known as a \textit{unigram}; size 2 is a \textit{bigram}, also known as \textit{digram}; size 3 is a \textit{trigram}; size 4 is a \textit{four-gram} and size 5 or more is simply called \textit{n-gram} [5].

Suppose for a large amount of corpus we have the bigram probabilities given in the following table

### Table 1. Bigram probabilities.

| Eat on         | 0.16 | Eat Thai | 0.03 | <start> I | 0.25 | Want some | 0.04 |
|----------------|------|----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| Eat some       | 0.06 | Eat breakfast | 0.03 | <start> I'd | 0.06 | Want Thai | 0.01 |
| Eat lunch      | 0.06 | Eat in   | 0.02 | <start> Tell | 0.04 | To eat     | 0.26 |
| Eat dinner     | 0.05 | Eat Chinese | 0.02 | <start> I'm | 0.02 | To have    | 0.14 |
| Eat at         | 0.04 | Eat Mexican | 0.02 | I want     | 0.32 | To spend   | 0.09 |
| Eat a          | 0.04 | Eat tomorrow | 0.01 | I would    | 0.29 | To be      | 0.02 |
| Eat Indian     | 0.04 | Eat dessert | 0.007 | I don't    | 0.08 | British food | 0.60 |
| Eat today      | 0.03 | Eat British | 0.007 | I have     | 0.04 | British restaurant | 0.15 |
| Eat French     | 0.2  | Eat American | 0.002 | Want to    | 0.65 | British cuisine | 0.01 |
| Eat Tomorrow   | 0.5  | Eat Japanese | 0.003 | Want a     | 0.05 | Chinese food | 0.01 |

Then, the probability of a sentence “I want to eat Chinese food” is $P(I \text{ want to eat British food})$

$$= P(I|<\text{start}> ) P(\text{want } | I) P(\text{to } | \text{ want}) P(\text{eat } | \text{ to}) P(\text{Chinese } | \text{ eat}) P(\text{food } | \text{ Chinese})$$

$$= 0.25 * 0.32 * 0.65 * 0.26 * 0.02 * 0.01 = 0.000013$$

### 4.2. Translation Model

The Translation Model calculates similarity between input and output. The Translation Model computes the probability of source sentence ‘$S$’, for a given target sentence ‘$T$’ i.e. $P(S|T)$. It is trained from the probability of target-source language pair[16]. Computation of translation model probabilities at sentence level is quite impossible, so the process is broken down into smaller units for e.g. words or phrases and their probabilities are learned[8]. The target translation of source sentence is thought of as being generated from source word by word or phrases. For example,
using the notation (T/S) to represent an input sentence S and its translation T, a sentence is translated as given below

उदयपुर এখন বিখ্যাত চহৰ | Udaipur is a famous city

Udaipur is a famous city

4.3. Decoder

Decoding is the process of finding a target translation sentence (English/Assamese) for a source sentence (Assamese/English) using translation model and language model. Decoding is a search problem which maximizes the translation and language model probability. The words and phrases are chosen which have maximum probability of being the translated translation [6]. Search for sentence T is performed that maximizes P(S|T). i.e.

\[ \text{Pr } (S,T) = \arg \max P(T) P(S|T) \]  

4.4. Preparing Data For Training

Before training the data, we have to perform some tasks. We have to prepare the data for training the translation system. The following steps are performed:

- Tokenizing: We have to tokenize the data, so that spaces are inserted between words and punctuation [1].
- Truecasing: We then set the case of the first word in each sentence and this is done by truecasing the data [1].
- Cleaning: Then we have to clean the data i.e. remove empty lines, extra spaces and some lines that are too short or too long [1].

We can now train our translation model. After training is completed, a moses.ini is generated which is used in running the Moses decoder. We can improve our translation system by tuning the data. Tuning the system again results in a moses.ini file. We can now use this file to run the decoder [1].

4.5. Corpus Preparation

A corpus is a collection of written text in linguistics research, used to do statistical analysis and hypothesis testing [15]. Our corpus consists of parallel text in English and Assamese languages. The corpus is mostly based on travel and tourism in India. A sample of the corpus is shown in the following table.
Table 2. Assamese-English Parallel Corpus.

| English Sentence                                                                 | Assamese sentence                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lallgarh Palace was the most completely integrated example of Indo-Saracenic    | ভারত-আরাকান ভাষাবংশের সুন্দর সমাধানের উৎকৃষ্ট নিদর্শন হ’ল এই লালগড় প্রসাদ। |
| architecture.                                                                   |                                                                                   |
| Camel Breeding Farm is Just 8 km away from the city, at the govt. run camel      | উট প্রজনন ক্ষেত্রের মহানগর মাণ্ডল কিলোমিটার দূরত্ব অবস্থিত চকচকীভাবে পরিচালিত করা এই মাণ্ডল আপনি অর্থনীতি কর্ম পরিপূর্ণ মূল্যবোধের জাতজাতি নানান জাতিকে কষ্ট। |
| you discover a lot about the Ship of the Desert.                                |                                                                                   |
| Jama Masjid is the largest mosque in India                                      | জামা মসজিদ ভাষাত্ত্ব ভিত্তিতে আঁটেকে লাগা মসজিদ।                               |
| The present temple known as the Maha Meru Prasad was rebuilt about 25 years     | বর্তমানের মহা মূর্তি প্রসাদ নামক মন্দিরটির বহু পৌরব মন্দিব স্থান প্রাচীন আই তে সঙ্গো যোগ দিয়েছিল। |
| ago on the location of the original shrine in accordance with ancient plans.    |                                                                                   |

4.6. Transliteration

As we are provided with a very small amount of corpus, it is not possible to cover all the words. Some proper nouns, i.e. names of people, places etc. are not translated as they are not in our corpus. So we tried to introduce the transliteration system into our SMT system. Transliteration is defined as the process of automatically mapping a character from one language with the character from another language such that it preserves the pronunciation of the original source word. For example,

(k-u-m-a-r) -> ক়ুমার

We have used a Perl script to retrieve those words which are not translated or which are not in our corpus. In the Perl file, we have stored all the Assamese characters along with their corresponding English transliterations and executed the Perl script with Moses. A sample test result is shown in the following table.

Table 3. Using transliteration in our system.

| Source Sentence       | Before Transliteration | After Transliteration |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| কানাদা এথন বিশাল দেশ | কানাদা is a vast country | kanada is a vast country |
| Panaji is a city      | Panaji হৈছে এটা চহৰ | পানাজি হৈছে এটা চহৰ |
In the first example “কানাডা এখন বিশাল দদশ”, the actual spelling of the word “কানাডা” is “কানাডা”. As we have taken the letter ‘d’ as the transliteration letter for ‘দ’, instead of ‘ড’ so we cannot get the correct word. Likewise we cannot obtain the correct word for some sentences both in English and Assamese. This is because we are concentrating only on the phonetic transcription. We are thinking to tryout some other methods which will solve this problem.

5. Results and Evaluation of Bleu Score

We have trained the system and as a result a moses.ini configuration file is generated. We have used this file to run Moses. Again, we have tuned the system to get a better output. As our system is built with a very limited parallel corpus (about 2500 sentences), some of our translations are quite rough but understandable. We have trained a system for Assamese to English translation and another system for English to Assamese translation. The test results are explained in the following tables:

Table 4. Assamese to English Translation.

| Input Assamese Sentence | Output English sentence |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| জয়পুর বাজমত্ত এখন বিখ্যাত চহর | Jaipur is a famous city of Rajasthan. |
| তাজমহল অগ্রতে অবস্থিত। | The Taj Mahal is located in the heart of the Agra. |
| হায়দ্রাবাদ পর্যা ইন্ডিয়ান এয়ারলাইন্স, জেট এয়ারওয়েজ আনু চাহারা এয়ারলাইন্স সেরা বায়না আছে। | Hyderabad from Indian Airlines, Jet airways and Sahara Airlines with frequent flights air services. |
| কেওলাদেও ঘন রাষ্ট্রীয় উদযান অবতিকে পুনঃবাসীর স্বল্পকৃত অভ্যন্তরে স্বতন্ত্র সন্তুষ্ট এখন। | the world famous for its proximity to the Keoladeo Ghana National Park is one of the finest water-bird sanctuaries in |
| গুউহাটী অসম বাজধামী | Guwahati is connected by the capital of the State. |
| মমতাজ মহল স্যায়াহারাম পত্রী আছিল। | Mumtaz Mahal Shahjahan, |
| অন্ধ্রপ্রদেশে ভবতে এখন অনাতোম বাজার ভিতরে এক | Andhra Pradesh is one of the state of one of India. |
| জামাম মহিজিদ স্যায়াহারাম নির্মাণ করিয়েছিল। | Jama Masjid built by Shahjahan. |
Table 5. English to Assamese Translation.

| Input English Sentence                                      | Output Assamese sentence                          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Delhi is the capital of India                              | ভারত রাজধানী ঢিয়ালি                           |
| Jodhpur city is the second largest city of the state       | যোধপুর দ্বিতীয় সর্বাধিক নগরী               |
| Jama Masjid is the largest mosque in India                 | জামামসজিদ ভারতের বৃহত্তম আরাম্ভিক মসজিদ।  |
| City Palace is a synthesis of Mughal and Rajasthani        | বিটি পেলেডে মুঘল আরু রাজস্থানী সংশ্লেষণ।   |
| architecture                                              |                                                   |
| The British declared full sovereignty over the             | ওয়ালো সম্পূর্ণ সাফতপূর্ব গোয়াল করিয়েছিল। ইয়োঁ প্রিন্স বহম ভবত     |
| Falkland Islands the following year.                       | ফলকন্ডল দ্বীপসমূহের মধ্যে রয়েল ফ্লোর ওপথ। |
| Assam is a beautiful place                                 | অসম এখন পুরী বাজা।                           |
| Bharatpur museum is a major source to have a date          | ভরতপুর সংগ্রাহালয় এক প্রধান উৎস, যের অতীতের বাজার্জায়ন যৌথ বিষয়ে জানান পাদ। |
| with the past royal glory of the place                     |                                                   |

We have evaluated the BLEU score for our translated sentences using the BLEU (Bilingual Evaluation Understudy) toolkit. BLEU score determines the efficiency of our system i.e. how good our translation system is. The following table shows the scores of Assamese to English and English to Assamese translation.

Table 6. Bleu Score.

| Source/Target       | Bleu Score |
|---------------------|------------|
| Assamese/English    | 9.72       |
| English/Assamese    | 5.02       |

Our BLEU scores are quite low because of the lesser availability of parallel corpus. Also, though we have used the same corpus for training Assamese-English system and English-Assamese system, we are getting a vast difference in BLEU scores. This is because Indian languages are morphologically very rich and translation from English to Assamese is relatively harder than Assamese to English translation.

6. Conclusions

We have tried to build both Assamese to English and English to Assamese translation using the same parallel corpus. We are successful in our job to quite an extent but still we feel that there is
much improvement to be made. About 2500 sentences are used for training both the system. But this is quite less because for a better translation system, the size of parallel corpus should be large. As our system is phrase-based, increasing the number of sentences will increase the quality of the translated text. We will work on this problem and try to increase the number of sentences into our system. Also, we will try to put our system into web-based portal rather than displaying the output in the terminal. We will also try to build a more developed translation system so that we can enhance our translation result and contribute a lot to the field of Assamese Natural Language Processing.
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