Closing the loop on impulsivity via nucleus accumbens delta-band activity in mice and man
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Reward hypersensitization is a common feature of neuropsychiatric disorders, manifesting as impulsivity for anticipated incentives. Temporally specific changes in activity within the nucleus accumbens (NAc), which occur during anticipatory periods preceding consummatory behavior, represent a critical opportunity for intervention. However, no available therapy is capable of automatically sensing and therapeutically responding to this vulnerable moment in time when anticipation-related neural signals may be present. To identify translatable biomarkers for an off-the-shelf responsive neurostimulation system, we record local field potentials from the NAc of mice and a human anticipating conventional rewards. We find increased power in 1- to 4-Hz oscillations predominate during reward anticipation, which can effectively trigger neurostimulation that reduces consummatory behavior in mice sensitized to highly palatable food. Similar oscillations are present in human NAc during reward anticipation, highlighting the translational potential of our findings in the development of a treatment for a major unmet need.
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Impulsivity is one of the most pervasive and disabling features common to many disorders of the brain (1–3). Heightened responsivity in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) during anticipation of a rewarding stimulus predisposes to impulsive behavior, which can have severe implications for development of maladaptive behaviors (4–8). Notably, electrophysiological, neurochemical, and functional neuroimaging correlates have been reported in multiple species during brief windows of anticipation (5, 9–13). These correlates (or biomarkers) that precede a “moment of weakness” have potential to inform a therapeutic to deliver a time-sensitive intervention.

Recently, a responsive neurostimulation (RNS) system was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for adjunctive treatment of partial onset seizures (14). This intracranial closed-loop system has proven capable of detecting epileptiform activity and preventing propagation by responsively delivering electrical stimulation directly to the seizure onset zone. Here, we examine the potential for RNS to intervene during a vulnerable period immediately preceding receipt of highly rewarding stimuli, an undertaking that has immediate translational potential given the availability of this system. We leveraged the finding that electrically stimulating the NAc in mice anticipating a food reward effectively attenuates binge-eating behavior (15). To “close the loop” on this intervention using an automatic stimulatory system, however, the identification, characterization, and refinement of an anticipatory biomarker are critical next steps.

Given that the currently approved RNS system is limited to local field potential (LFP) recordings due to its implanted depth macroelectrodes’ spatial resolution, we make LFP recordings from the mouse and human NAc during a period of reward anticipation, and find prominent delta oscillations elicited during anticipation of a highly rewarding stimulus. Multivariate analysis reveals strong correlations between delta oscillations and unit activities in the NAc. Utilizing this translational biomarker as a trigger, RNS blocked binge eating in mice with remarkable behavioral specificity, thereby taking the first critical step toward the development of a targeted intervention for neuropsychiatric patients suffering from hypersensitization to pathological motivations.

**Results**

Increase in NAC Delta-Range Field Potentials Precedes Binge Eating in Mice. Multielectrode arrays were implanted into the NAc of mice (n = 6) (Fig. 1 A–D). Following a 1-wk recovery period, these mice were put on a protocol of 1-h daily exposure to high fat (HF) (standard house chow ad libitum) known to induce binge-like eating behavior (defined as consumption of &gt;25% of daily caloric intake from HF; Fig. 1 E–G). Given prior reports across species of changes in NAc cell firing during reward anticipation (13, 16, 17), mouse NAc LFPs were recorded daily for 2 h, 1 h before and 1 h during exposure to HF food. All mice reached criterion for stable binge eating by day 10 (<10% variation across 3 consecutive days) (15). Power spectral density analyses of NAc LFPs averaged across mice immediately before HF intake on days 0 and 10 were carried out. As a control, identical analyses were performed immediately before the mice ingested standard chow (Fig. 2 A–C). Because our goal was to interrupt a brief vulnerable window in time immediately before a pathological impulse such as a binge-eating episode, we used 2-s windows across a 4-s epoch, which covered from 2 s before to 2 s after the onset of a binge.

Significance

We reveal prominent delta oscillations in the nucleus accumbens preceding food reward in mice and use them to guide responsive neurostimulation to suppress binge-like behavior. Similar electrographic signatures are observed in human nucleus accumbens during reward anticipation as well, suggesting their translational potential in the development of a treatment for loss of impulse control in obesity and perhaps additional brain disorders.
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The most robust change in LFPs was increased power in very-low-frequency (delta) oscillations once binge eating developed on day 10 immediately before HF intake (Fig. 2 D–H). Mean time–frequency spectrograms and comparison of individual frequency bands (delta, 1–4 Hz; alpha, 4–8 Hz; theta, 8–12 Hz; beta, 12–30 Hz; gamma, 30–50 Hz) confirmed that the only statistically significant change in spectral power occurred in the delta frequency range immediately before HF intake after the development of binge-like behavior, compared with baseline (day 0) HF and chow control (delta: \( F = 6.165, P < 0.001 \); Tukey’s posthoc test: chow vs. day 0 HF, n.s.; chow vs. day 10 HF, \( P < 0.01 \); day 0 HF vs. day 10 HF, \( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 2H; alpha, theta, beta, and gamma, chow vs. day 10 HF, n.s.). This increase in power in the delta range was not detected immediately before chow intake, suggesting it was not related to movement or bite artifact. (Movie S1 illustrates increased power in the band during HF intake.)

We next compared the delta power immediately before HF consumption on day 10 with that during the entire 1-h exposure to HF. NAc delta oscillations normalized to the entire 1-h period of HF exposure revealed a 30% increase in power during the 2-s window before onset of HF consumption (Fig. 2J). Analysis of delta power peak distribution revealed a peak at \( \sim 1 \) s before the onset of HF consumption (Fig. 2J). To further test whether the increase in delta power was specific for this highly appetitive food, we recorded LFPs immediately before the interaction of the experimental mice with a novel juvenile, an appetitive experience with a finite, definable onset (Fig. 2K). Delta power was significantly lower before the onset of juvenile interaction with a food reward as compared with baseline (Fig. 2K). Delta power was significantly lower before the onset of juvenile interaction with a food reward as compared with baseline (Fig. 2K).
Fig. 3. Schematic of the intervention period during 1-h daily high-fat (HF) exposure in mice, and result summary of different electrical stimulation protocols on HF intake and juvenile interaction. (A) Representative nucleus accumbens (NAc) local field potential (LFP) delta oscillations (in red) at the onset of HF intake detected by the integrated responsive neurostimulation (RNS) system. (B) Schematic of the intervention period. Each intervention session (deep brain stimulation (DBS), manually triggered stimulation (Man), responsive neurostimulation (RNS), randomly applied stimulation (Rnd)) was followed by one washout session (Off). (C–F) The effects of different stimulation protocols on HF consumption. DBS, Man, and RNS significantly reduced HF intake. (G) Reduction in HF intake induced by DBS, manually triggered stimulation, RNS, and randomly applied stimulation, compared with off stimulation. The reduction in HF intake induced by the manually triggered stimulation and RNS was significantly higher than randomly applied stimulation. (H) The number of stimulations bouts (1 bout = 10 s) delivered during Man and RNS were significantly lower than DBS. (I) DBS of the NAc significantly reduced juvenile interaction time, while RNS showed no effect on this behavior. (J) Neither DBS nor RNS of the NAc during HF exposure altered locomotor activity. (K) Real-time place preference test suggested that NAc stimulation was neither rewarding nor aversive. (L and M) Sensitivity and specificity of delta biomarker on RNS day in all six mice. In total, there were 179 HF pellet approaches, of which 124 were detected by the RNS system (sensitivity, 0.693). There were also 1,241 correct rejections (stimulation off when no HF approach occurred), and 685 stimulations triggered when no HF approaches were observed (false stimulation; specificity, 0.644). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001. See also Fig. S2 and Movie S2.

compared with the time period before onset of HF intake [T(28) = 2.719, P < 0.05; Fig. S1]. Together, these results suggest that an increase in delta power in LFPs recorded from the NAc precede intake of HF in binge-eating mice and therefore may be a useful biomarker to trigger RNS.

Delta Oscillations as a Biomarker for RNS. Based on the previous findings, we assessed whether a delta-power threshold could serve as a biomarker to optimize RNS to attenuate HF intake in mice. The closed-loop system (Fig. 2L) was set to trigger whenever delta power exceeded a predefined threshold based on delta peak distribution and power analyses (a threshold of >20% higher than baseline delta power was used, or 1 SD below the mean power immediately before the onset of HF intake; Fig. 2J). When this threshold was reached, electrodes delivered a bipolar, biphasic, 0.1-mA stimulation at 130 Hz for 10 s (Fig. 3A). We compared the efficacy of RNS with that of other neurostimulation protocols in the same experimental animals. Specifically, we also tested (i) continuous electrical stimulation during the entire 1-h exposure to HF, a pattern of stimulation commonly referred to as deep brain stimulation (DBS) (130 Hz, 0.1 mA, bipolar, biphasic); (ii) manually triggered stimulation during which an experimenter remotely observed the subjects’ behavior via video monitoring and triggered electrical stimulation (130 Hz, 0.1 mA, 10 s, bipolar, biphasic stimulation) at the immediate onset of HF consumption; and (iii) random stimulation during which bouts of stimulation (130 Hz, 0.1 mA, 10 s, bipolar, biphasic stimulation) were delivered randomly throughout the entire 1-h HF exposure such that the total number of stimulation bouts matched that delivered during the RNS protocols.

To ensure that caloric intake from HF returned to baseline immediately between the stimulation days, each session was followed by a stimulation-off period (Fig. 3B). All of the stimulation protocols significantly reduced HF intake except random stimulation [Fig. 3C–F; DBS T(5) = 2.58, P < 0.05; manual T(5) = 3.75, P < 0.05; RNS T(5) = 4.29, P < 0.01; random T(5) = 0.62, P = 0.56]. At the end of these experiments, we repeated another session of RNS, which reproduced the previously seen significant decrease in HF intake [T(5) = 3.999, P < 0.01; Fig. S2A]. We compared the reduction of HF intake between each stimulation protocol and found that the reductions in HF intake induced by manual stimulation and RNS were significantly more robust than random stimulation [sphericity assumed, F(6,36) = 7.034, P < 0.01; post hoc: Manual vs. Random, P = 0.042; RNS vs. Random, P = 0.029; DBS vs. Random, DBS vs. Manual, DBS vs. RNS, Manual vs. RNS, n.s., Bonferroni corrected; Fig. 3G]. Furthermore, the number of stimulation bouts of each intervention protocol was significantly lower than DBS [F(1,6,255) = 65.80, P < 0.0001; post hoc: DBS vs. Manual, P < 0.0001; DBS vs. RNS, P < 0.0001; RNS vs. Manual, n.s.; Tukey’s correction applied; Fig. 3H]. (Movie S2 demonstrates RNS triggered by increased delta oscillations before HF intake.)

We conducted a number of additional behavioral assays to determine whether RNS of the NAc might have detrimental side effects. While DBS of the NAc significantly reduced the time spent socially interacting in a juvenile interaction task, RNS using the same delta power threshold (130 Hz) had no significant effect on interaction time [F(2,23) = 4.557, P < 0.05; post hoc: Off vs. DBS, P < 0.05; Off vs. RNS and DBS, n.s.; Tukey’s correction applied; Fig. 3I]. The number of electrical stimulation bouts during RNS was again significantly lower than DBS [T(5) = 16.15, P < 0.0001]. Spontaneous locomotor behavior during the 1-h daily HF exposure protocol was not affected by DBS nor RNS [F(1,699,8,493) = 0.891, P = 0.429; Fig. 3J]. Furthermore, NAc stimulation (130-Hz, 0.1-mA, continuous, bipolar, biphasic stimulation) did not induce real-time place preference [T(90) = 0.8283, P = 0.8283; Fig. 3K]. These results suggest that RNS of the NAc is neither reinforcing nor aversive, and its effects can block consumption of HF food while sparing normal locomotor and social behaviors. We also investigated the sensitivity and specificity of delta oscillations as a biomarker for food reward anticipation by reviewing the videotaped behavior and determining when bouts of electrical stimulation triggered during RNS occurred. Out of a total of 179 HF pellet approaches, 124 were detected by the RNS device such that stimulation was triggered (sensitivity, 0.693; specificity, 0.644). There were also 1,241 correct rejections (stimulation withheld when no HF approach occurred) and 685 stimulations triggered when no HF approaches were observed (false stimulation; specificity, 0.644; P < 0.05). There were also 1,241 correct rejections (stimulation withheld when no HF approach occurred) and 685 stimulations triggered when no HF approaches were observed (false stimulation; specificity, 0.644; P < 0.05). Last, we found that NAc DBS had no significant effect on 24-h chow consumption (Fig. S2B).

To investigate the source of delta oscillations in the NAc during HF consumption in mice, we searched for the occurrence of single-unit activity in the LFP recordings. We identified one type of spike shape consistently (Fig. 4A), which appeared significantly more frequently on day 10 during HF consumption when binge eating was prominent [T(12) = 5.221, P < 0.05; post hoc: chow vs. day 0 HF, n.s.; chow vs. day 10 HF, P < 0.1; day 0 HF vs. day 10 HF, P < 0.01; Tukey corrected; Fig. 4B and C). The delta spike–field coupling, the strength of coupling between spike times and the phase of LFP at delta frequency range, was significantly higher on day 10 immediately before and during HF consumption [T(12) = 8.102, P < 0.01; post hoc: chow vs. day 10 HF].
**fMRI Activity and Delta Oscillations in Human NAc During Reward Anticipation.** To evaluate the translational potential of delta-range field potentials providing physiologic, real-time optimization for RNS in human patients suffering from impulsivity, we recorded intraoperative LFPs from the NAc in a human subject suffering from intractable obsessive-compulsive disorder during a period of reward anticipation analogous to the phase of food reward anticipation examined in mice. Specifically, because in the operating room food rewards could not be provided, we instead elicited anticipation of monetary rewards with a well-established neuroimaging task [i.e., the monetary incentive delay (MID) task]. During each trial of the MID task, a subject sees a visual cue indicating that they will gain or avoid losing an indicated monetary incentive (reward or punishment) by subsequently pressing a button in response to a rapidly presented visual cue indicating that they will gain or avoid losing an indicated monetary incentive (reward or punishment) (17).

LFPs were recorded via an implanted quadrupolar electrode (3389; Medtronic) in the NAc, the location of which was defined by merging a postoperative computed tomography scan of the head to a preoperative 7-T MRI scan using gray matter null field coupling revealed that there was very little detectable movement. Comparison of delta-power measurements during anticipation of high punishments, low punishments, and low rewards normalized to baseline revealed significant increase during anticipation of high reward vs. low punishment (Fig. 5B) indicated that there was very little detectable movement. Comparison of delta-power measurements during anticipation of high punishments, low punishments, and low rewards normalized to baseline revealed significant increase during anticipation of high reward vs. low punishment (Fig. 5F) and Fig. S4). We also investigated the correlation between NAc LFP and unit activity during MID task, and found selective phase-locking of spikes to the peak (phase 0) of the delta (2- to 3-Hz) oscillations (Fig. S5).

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated that anticipation of a large, HF food reward increases delta oscillatory power in the NAc in mice, and preliminary findings from a single human subject support the translatable of this potential biomarker for RNS. In sated mice exhibiting binge-eating behavior, strong delta oscillations are detected 2 s before consuming food reward, but not before intake of house chow. This increase in delta power is not observed before or during general locomotor behavior or social interaction, and is positively correlated with unit activity in the NAc. Using a threshold in delta-band power as a biomarker to trigger delivery of a brief train of high-frequency electrical stimulation pulses to the NAc resulted in significant attenuation of neural activity in the NAc.
of HF intake. The effectiveness of this RNS was reproducible and behaviorally specific. Namely, utilizing power in the delta band as a trigger for RNS did not interfere with social interaction or locomotor behaviors. Moreover, the number of stimulation bouts delivered during RNS was significantly lower than DBS to achieve the same reduction in HF intake. Stimulation of the NAc was not reinforcing or aversive as assayed by a real-time place preference protocol, suggesting that stimulation-induced blockade of HF intake was not substituting for the anticipated food reward or inducing an aversion. Post hoc review of stimulations triggered during RNS revealed that our biomarker settings correctly anticipated about two-thirds of HF binge onsets, while approximately one-quarter of the triggered stimulations were not associated with subsequent binge onset.

To examine the translational potential of our findings, we analyzed NAc LFPs during anticipation of monetary rewards in a human, which, like HF in mice, demonstrably elicits vigorous approach behavior (10). The MID task was used here so that we could examine the human NAc LFPs during a similar brief period of reward anticipation that was studied in mice. Anticipation of large financial incentives are known to reliably increase NAc reward anticipation that was studied in mice. Anticipation of reward or inducing an aversion. Post hoc review of stimulations triggered during RNS revealed that our biomarker settings correctly anticipated about two-thirds of HF binge onsets, while approximately one-quarter of the triggered stimulations were not associated with subsequent binge onset.
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smaller scale LFP measured by the microelectrode. However, the finding of spike–field coupling does establish the saliency of the delta-range power as a marker of local computation. Together, these findings demonstrate that NAc LFFs carry information relevant to reward anticipation and have the potential to be used as a neural electrographic biomarker to guide RNS treatment for neuropsychiatric disorders exhibiting impulsivity.

RNS remains a therapeutic approach with which clinicians have limited experience. For intractable temporal lobe epilepsy, RNS has proven efficacious in reducing seizure frequency and severity with outcomes that are not only durable but also improve over time (25). Several lines of evidence also suggest that responsive or closed-loop DBS using power in the beta band detected in the subthalamic nucleus across species may be superior to traditional continuous DBS for Parkinson’s disease treatment (26–29). Moreover, closed-loop neurostimulation strategies have exhibited promise for other neuropsychiatric diseases, demonstrating the broad potential for this line of research (30).

Our findings provide preliminary evidence that RNS has potential for treating intractable behavioral disorders that have not previously been considered optimal candidates for neurosurgical approaches, including eating disorders, and even obesity and addiction. Undoubtedly, further work will optimize biomarkers of reward anticipation by improving their specificity and sensitivity. We used chow as the primary food control in our study, and social interaction as another behavioral control as this is considered an assay of reward processing in mice. The consequences of exposure to other appetitive stimuli, such as drugs of abuse or sexually receptive partners, will be important to examine to better define the specificity of the LFP biomarkers reported here. Clearly, many more experiments in both animals and humans will be necessary to minimize potential side effects of RNS and maximize its therapeutic utility. Nonetheless, the fact that mouse and human NAc LFFs exhibit similar changes during reward anticipation suggests that mechanistically driven research in rodents can inform what is eventually done in human subjects. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that the candidate biomarker can be detected using an off-the-shelf, commercially available RNS device, suggesting that rapid progress can be made toward a neurostimulation treatment for patients suffering from intractable, life-threatening impulse control disorders. As human trials are undertaken for novel behavioral indications, systematic plans for monitoring patient subjects by a multidisciplinary team will be critical to best assay the future potential of this intervention.

Materials and Methods

All animal procedures conformed to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (31) and were approved by the Stanford University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care (APLAC-30216). Clinical investigation was carried out in accordance with a Stanford University IRB-approved protocol (IRB-33146). Informed consent was obtained. See SI Materials and Methods for details.
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