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Abstract

Bird atlasing in the Hessequa region of the Western Cape has progressed beyond mapping to monitoring. During a three-year period within 2014/17, the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group upgraded the distribution maps using a strategy which aimed to even out coverage per grid cell, and achieve minimum mapping standards. In the two-year period December 2017 to November 2019, the group implemented a new strategy that would result in each of the 75 pentads in the Hessequa Atlas Area being atlased in each of the four seasons over a two-year period. Using a chessboard pattern to split the 75 pentads into two sets, the first set was atlased in summer and winter in the first year and autumn and spring of the second year. The second set was atlased in autumn and spring of the first year, and summer and winter of the second year. This paper reports the successful completion of the first monitoring cycle.

Introduction

The Second Southern African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP2) started in July 2007, with the objective of mapping the distributions of bird species in South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. This citizen science project has a clearly defined fieldwork protocol and uses spatial units called pentads which are five minutes of latitude north to south and five minutes of longitude east to west (Underhill 2016, Underhill & Brooks 2016). SABAP2 is now one of the longest running bird atlas projects ever, and the emphasis has shifted from the project being a snapshot of bird distributions at a point in time to a project which is measuring how distributions are changing in time. The initial focus on mapping in SABAP2 has shifted to a focus on monitoring (Underhill et al. 2017).
The U3A Stilbaai Bird Group (U3A Stilbaai 2015) adopted this citizen science project in 2014, and defined the “Hessequa Atlas Area” as an area consisting of 75 pentads (Figure 1) (van Rooyen 2018). The area coincides closely with the borders of the Hessequa Municipality which falls administratively within the Eden District; it lies at the eastern end of the part of the Western Cape popularly referred to as the Overberg (van Rooyen 2018). The chosen area lies between the Langeberg mountain range and the sea and the dominant land use is mixed agriculture (mainly barley, wheat, canola, sheep and cattle); the foothills of the Langeberg form the northern boundary and there is natural vegetation along the coast in the south (Plates 1–6). Atlas fieldwork started in October 2014; by November 2017 the Bird Group had submitted 539 full-protocol checklists, and visiting atlasers a further 200, and every grid cell in the area had achieved a foundational coverage of at least seven full-protocol checklists (van Rooyen 2018). A “full-protocol checklist” requires a minimum of two hours of fieldwork (Underhill 2016).

Van Rooyen (2018) described a strategy for shifting the focus of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group from foundational coverage to seasonal monitoring in two-year cycles. This paper reports the progress towards meeting the targets between December 2017 and November 2019. It also describes overall progress with the project in the region, and considers the strategies in place to enable the project to achieve its monitoring targets. It compares checklists submitted by members of the Bird Group with those submitted by visiting atlasers.

**Methods**

**Seasonal monitoring objectives**

The monitoring strategy proposed by van Rooyen (2018) can be summarized as follows. Four seasons were defined:

- **Summer** – December, January and February
- **Autumn** – March, April and May
- **Winter** – June, July and August
- **Spring** – September, October and November.
Plate 2 (top left). Northward-facing view from the hills south of the Vermaaklikheid-Witsand road. The Langeberg mountain range is 40 km in the distance. This photograph shows the transition between the coastal strip of natural vegetation and agriculture.

Plate 3 (top right). Undulating landscape about 10 km east of Malgas, looking towards the Breede River.

Plate 4 (bottom left). The foothills of the Langeberg mountain range seen from north of the N2 between Riversdale and Heidelberg in early May.
The reporting year was defined as 1 December to 30 November, because the calendar year would result in splitting “summer” across two years. After consideration of the human resources available the fieldwork targets were set as follows:

- The two “home” pentads around Stilbaai (3420_2120 and 3420_2125) to be atlased at least once every month.
- The remaining 73 pentads to be atlased twice a year, with fieldwork evenly distributed spatially and seasonally in a two-year chessboard pattern of “yellow” and “white” pentads (Figure 2):
  - Year 1: Yellow pentads to be atlased during summer and winter. White pentads to be atlased during autumn and spring
  - Year 2: White pentads to be atlased during summer and winter. Yellow pentads to be atlased during autumn and spring.

In addition, the number of checklists overall to be kept as evenly spread over the months as feasible. Thus, the main priority is the seasonal distribution of checklists; however, within a season the month with the smallest number of full protocol checklists is to be selected for atlasing. In order to achieve this monitoring objective, 170 full-protocol checklists are required per reporting year, or about 14 per month.

Checklists submitted by “visiting” atalser are welcomed. Atalasing members of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group can do additional atlasing if they want to. However, these checklists are not included in the monitoring objectives.

Two considerations are needed to implement this strategy. (1) People are needed to do the fieldwork. (2) Access is needed to a good selection of farms and other properties in each pentad to do the fieldwork.

(1) Each season, JA VR makes a list of the approximately 38 pentads to be atlased in that three-month period, and assigns a third of them to each month so as to even out the number of checklists received for each month overall. He sends the monthly list to the 16 atalser of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group (an atalser often consists of a husband-wife team). The atalser select which (if any) of the pentads they commit themselves to doing. The spreadsheets to undertake this strategy are maintained by JA VR.

(2) The U3A Stilbaai Bird Group maintains a database of the names and contact details of all the landowners with whom contact has previously been made during atlasing trips. Atalser of the Bird Group are provided with maps showing the landowners and contact details. Atalser inform the relevant landowners by WhatsApp or sms of their fieldwork plans in advance. All atalser carry the project identification cards and an introductory letter. Their vehicles display magnetic plates.
with the project details so that atlasers are easily identified. All additional landowners encountered during fieldwork are encouraged to provide their contact details, and are added to the database, which is maintained in Dropbox.

Checklists for the Hessequa Atlas Area submitted by the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group over the two-year review period are compared with those submitted by visiting atlasers. The average number of hours spent atlasing per checklist is compared for the two groups. The average number of species recorded after one hour, two hours and the total for the checklist are also computed and compared between groups.

**Results**

**Overall progress with SABAP2 mapping from 1 July 2007 to 30 November 2019**

To place the monitoring component into context, we first describe the overall progress for the Hessequa Atlas Area during the two-year period from December 2017 to November 2019. At the start of the review period, the total number of checklists submitted up to November 2017 was 1,232; the minimum number of checklists per pentad was eight, the maximum was 125 in pentad 3420_2120, and 28 pentads had more than 10 checklists (Figure 3).

During the two-year review period, 524 full protocol checklists were submitted, bringing the total to 1756; the minimum number of checklists per pentad was 13 and 31 pentads had more than 15 checklists (Figure 4).
Progress with seasonal monitoring

In each of the two years of the first monitoring cycle (December 2017 to November 2019) the targets of 170 checklists per year in the designated pentads were achieved by atlasers of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group. In total, 524 full protocol checklists were submitted to SABAP2 from the Hessequa Atlas Area, 184 more than the minimum of 340 required to achieve the monitoring targets. Most of the additional checklists were submitted by visiting atlasers. As a result, 31 pentads have one checklist in every season, and 45 pentads have additional checklists in various seasons (Figure 5).

An alternative presentation of the 524 full-protocol checklists shows that 59 of the 75 pentads received between four and six checklists over the two-year period (Figure 6). Six pentads had 11 or more checklists. The two Stilbaai pentads, 3420_2120 and 3420_2125, had 54 and 39 checklists, respectively.

Monthly distribution of full protocol checklists

One of the targets of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group has been to spread the full-protocol checklists as evenly as feasible across the months of the year. During the monthly allocation of pentads to atlasers, months with no checklists, or months with the smallest number of checklists were prioritised. At the end of November 2017, at the start of the two-year period under review, there were 11 pentads with at...
At the end of December 2019, this number had increased to 31 pentads (Figures 7 and 8). The number of pentad-months with no checklists (counted as the total number of zeros for a pentad across months) was 223 at the start of the review period, and had decreased to 60 at the end.

Comparison between checklists submitted by members of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group and visiting atlasers

The sample sizes of checklists submitted by members of the Stilbaai Bird Group and visiting atlasers are large enough for valid comparisons to be made (Table 1). Group members spent an average of 5.2 hours per checklist whereas visitors averaged 3.4 hours per checklist, a difference of two hours. The average number of species per checklist after one hour and two hours were similar. However the total number of species per checklist was 59 for group members and 45 for visiting atlasers (Table 1). The 59% average increase in time spent atlasing in the pentad translated into a 31% increase in the number of species recorded.

Contact with landowners

Keeping detailed records of landowners started in January 2018 and by the end of the period under review, the database contained the details of 126 landowners for the 75
Discussion

Monitoring comparisons

The U3A Stilbaai Bird Group has undertaken coordinated atlasing over two periods: December 2014 to November 2017 (van Rooyen 2018) and December 2017 to November 2019. Although atlasing for the earlier period was primarily focussed on increasing the foundational coverage, the monitoring objective also received attention from the outset. During 2015 and 2016 each pentad received at least one full protocol checklist and in 2017 (up to end November) each pentad received at least two full protocol checklists (van Rooyen, 2018). The relative evenness of the coverage in each of these two periods will greatly facilitate the statistical analyses comparing, for example, changes in species composition between these two periods across this region (compare Figure 6 with Figure 9).

Variability in effort between atlasers or groups of atlasers will, however, prove a hindrance to making these comparisons. It will need to be taken into account in statistical analyses. It is encouraging however that there was no difference in average numbers of species after one hour and two hours of fieldwork between resident atlasers (members of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group) and visiting atlasers. Difference in numbers of species can be attributed to total time spent doing fieldwork. This difference is not

| Pentad no | Cumulative monthly totals to end Nov 2017 | Cumulative monthly totals to end Nov 2019 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 3410_2040 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 3410_2045 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3410_2050 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 3410_2055 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3410_2100 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3410_2105 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3410_2110 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3410_2115 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| 3410_2120 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| 3410_2125 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| 3410_2130 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 3410_2135 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 3410_2140 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| 3410_2145 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2035 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2040 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2045 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2050 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2055 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2100 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2105 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2110 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2115 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2120 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2125 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2130 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2135 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2140 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 3415_2145 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |

Figure 8. Monthly distribution of the total numbers of full protocol checklists for 30 of the 75 pentads in the Hessequa Atlas Area at the start of the two-year review period in December 2017 (left) and at the end in November 2019 (right).
proportional; a 59% increase in fieldwork time (from 3.4 to 5.4 hours) resulted in a 31% increase in the number of species (from 45 to 59) (Table 1).

**Sustainable citizen science**

The striking result of this paper is what can be achieved by a small group of citizen scientists with a modest amount of coordination. The keys to success appear to be choice and sustainability. The members of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group are neither coerced to do particular pentads nor are they committed to a fixed amount of fieldwork every month. Instead, all members are given the opportunity to freely select pentads from the monthly list. There is no allocation of pentads to individuals in the group.

Sustainability is achieved by setting a target that is both manageable and challenging. The target is 170 checklists per year, an average of 13.5 pentads per month, split among 16 teams of atlasers. Some atlasers regularly do three or four pentads per month and others pick a pentad only once in two or three months. This spread of intensity of involvement is what generates sustainability. However, if some atlasers are not able to do fieldwork in a month, because of absence on vacation, illness, etc., then the total burden is not so large that it cannot be taken up by other members of the group.

The challenge also provides motivation. There is a sense of achievement in the Bird Group that the targets are being met month-by-month and year-by-year. The human engagement described in this paper is a leading example of the kind of “persuasive design” described by Ainsley & Underhill (2017).

**Contact with landowners**

In the first years of coordinated atlasing of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group, the focus was on atlasing, and contact with landowners was limited to requesting access to farms and other privately-owned land as and when this was required to reach specific habitats. Contact was made

| Source of checklists | Number of checklists | Hours per checklists | Species after one hour | Species after two hours | Total species |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| U3A Stilbaai Bird Group | 392 | 5.4 | 24 | 37 | 59 |
| Visitors | 130 | 3.4 | 24 | 35 | 45 |
only when required. No record was kept of contacts made. However, due to issues involving security and land redistribution, the characteristic behaviour of atlasters, even on a public road, makes landowners anxious and suspicious: a slow-moving vehicle stopping regularly, people getting out and scanning the fields with binoculars. The landowners in Hessequa Atlas Area are in general remarkably friendly, but an undesirable pattern develops when landowners have to get into a vehicle and drive to investigate what is understandably regarded as potentially criminal activity, especially over weekends.

To resolve this incipient problem, a database was created with names and contact details of all the landowners with whom contact is made while atlassing. These people constitute the “Friends of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group”. The landowners fall into three broad categories. The first consists of those from whom access is required to reach specific habitats on their properties; the second are those from whom access is not required, but who express an interest in the activity; and the third group consists of those who simply want to be aware in advance that atlasters are going to be present in their areas.

As landowners are added to the database they receive an email that introduces them to the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group and to the bird atlas project. They also receive a map of the pentad in which their property is located, a bird list for the pentad, and a copy of the Bird Group’s newsletter.

Maps of each pentad showing private roads, the locations of landowners within it, as well as their contact details, are made available to members of the U3A Stilbaai Bird Group. The information

Plate 5. View from about 10 km east of Riversdale looking northwest towards the Langeberg. The Goukou River is in the middle distance. The V in the mountain at centre is where Garcia pass is going through the mountain with Sleeping Beauty just to the left of it.
is stored on Dropbox and is updated as new landowners become part of the “Friends” group. As described above, each atlaser informs relevant landowners prior to making an atlas trip, carries project identification material, and displays magnetic plates on their vehicles. This approach has been received positively by the landowners. The intention is to actively expand the “Friends” list as more contacts are made.

The “Friends” group constitutes an opportunity to interest landowners in the birds and general biodiversity on their properties. They receive an annual newsletter as well as an updated bird list.

**Conclusion**

The monitoring strategy, using the bird atlas protocol, proposed by van Rooyen (2018) has proved feasible, and the first two-year cycle has been successfully completed. U3A Stilbaai Bird Group has continued to contribute to SABAP2 during the two years from December 2017 to November 2019. A protocol for systematic atlasing has been designed in a way which sets a new standard for the monitoring phase of the project. The protocol is sustainable. The Bird Group intends to continue the monitoring fieldwork indefinitely.

The Bird Group has also designed systems which improve relationships with landowners. These are essential for sustained access to privately-owned land. It has established a broad support base in the farming community. There is potential to build biodiversity awareness through this relationship.
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