Thermoplastic Molding of Regenerated Silk

Chengchen Guo, Chunmei Li, Hiep Vu, Philip Hanna, Aron Lechtig, Yimin Qiu, Xuan Mu, Shengjie Ling, Ara Nazarian, Samuel Lin, David Kaplan

Submitted date: 28/06/2019 • Posted date: 01/07/2019
Licence: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Citation information: Guo, Chengchen; Li, Chunmei; Vu, Hiep; Hanna, Philip; Lechtig, Aron; Qiu, Yimin; et al. (2019): Thermoplastic Molding of Regenerated Silk. ChemRxiv. Preprint.

Historically, early insights into the unique structure and properties of native silk indicate that thermal processing was not a good option for this material due to the stability of β-sheet nanocrystallites, resulting in thermal degradation prior to melting. Thus, canonical approaches developed over the past 65 years to fabricate silk-based materials for biomedical applications involve solution-derived processing methods that have advantages and limitations with regard to silk protein solubility, stability in solution, and time and cost efficiency. Here we report the first successful solid-state thermal processing of regenerated silk, resulting in the molding of solid silk directly into bulk ‘parts’ or devices with tunable mechanical properties, while retaining machinability, biocompatibility and degradability. At elevated temperature and pressure, regenerated amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN) with ultralow β-sheet content undergo thermal fusion via molecular rearrangement and self-assembly assisted by bound water to form a robust bulk material. This transformative thermal processing technique with silk reverses presumptions about the limitations of direct thermal processing of silk into functional devices, demonstrating new options in molding silk into a wide range of new material formats that align with more traditional thermal processing options for synthetic polymer. Furthermore, a variety of silk-based functional composite materials can be made for tailoring the properties of the devices.
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Abstract

Historically, early insights into the unique structure and properties of native silk indicate that thermal processing was not a good option for this material due to the stability of β-sheet nanocrystallites, resulting in thermal degradation prior to melting. Thus, canonical approaches developed over the past 65 years to fabricate silk-based materials for biomedical applications involve solution-derived processing methods that have advantages and limitations with regard to silk protein solubility, stability in solution, and time and cost efficiency. Here we report the first successful solid-state thermal processing of regenerated silk, resulting in the molding of solid silk directly into bulk ‘parts’ or devices with tunable mechanical properties, while retaining machinability, biocompatibility and degradability. At elevated temperature and pressure, regenerated amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN) with ultralow β-sheet content undergo thermal fusion via molecular rearrangement and self-assembly assisted by bound water to form a robust bulk material. This transformative thermal processing technique with silk reverses presumptions about the limitations of direct thermal processing of silk into functional devices, demonstrating new options in molding silk into a wide range of new material formats that align with more traditional thermal processing options for synthetic polymer. Furthermore, a variety of silk-based functional composite materials can be made for tailoring the properties of the devices.
Silk is a natural protein-based biopolymer that generally appears in fiber format with outstanding mechanical properties, useful for fabricating durable textiles and used as clinical sutures over thousands of years\textsuperscript{1,2}. With the availability of modern characterization techniques, the unique properties of silks and the underlying mechanisms of protein self-assembly have been investigated\textsuperscript{3-10}. This improved fundamental understanding of silk, including protein composition, molecular structures, and natural spinning mechanisms has inspired new technologies to process silk by combining chemical, biological and engineering methodologies\textsuperscript{1,11-15}. Today, silk has been utilized as a material option far beyond textiles for biomaterials and scaffolds in biomedical applications, drug delivery, tissue engineering and regenerative medicine\textsuperscript{16-20}.

Natural silk is a semi-crystalline biopolymer material, consisting of β-sheet nanocrystallites embedded in a less organized, less crystalline continuous phase\textsuperscript{21}. The strong hydrogen-bonding network in the β-sheet nanocrystallites contributes to the stability and robust mechanical properties of silks, which is the limitation in the thermal processing of silk to avoid degradation\textsuperscript{22-24}. Alternatively, considerable efforts have been made toward extracting and solubilizing silk protein (fibroin)\textsuperscript{25-28}, such as with concentrated LiBr solution used to break the hydrogen-bond network within native spun fibers\textsuperscript{25}. This regenerated silk fibroin is then utilized in solution and reprocessed to generate new material formats including gels, films, sponges, fibers, and related materials\textsuperscript{11}. However, these approaches require downstream processing, such as solvent removal or post curing, such as via treatment with methanol or water vapor, to generate solution-stable silk-based materials. Solvent addition and removal, with associated limits of solubility of the protein, lead to new and useful materials but at a significant cost because of various required processing steps both during and post-material formation. The limitations in material properties are due to solubility constraints and the relatively short-term stability of silk in solution due to self-assembly\textsuperscript{20}.

Here, we report a new thermal processing method to transform solid-state regenerated natural silk directly into a robust structural material with tunable mechanical properties, while retaining good machinability, biocompatibility and biodegradability. The new approach with fewer processing steps, lower cost, high protein density and broader functional material options mirrors more traditional thermal processing for synthetic polymer using preformed pellets and suggests new windows of opportunity for silk processing by overcoming the current limitations associated
with solution-based processing approaches. This new method involves the fabrication of ‘pellets’, defined here as amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN, with diameters from 30 nm-1 μm) reconstructed from regenerated aqueous silk fibroin solution. Next, the pellets are treated by heat and pressure, leading to densification and fusion of the silk nanomaterials into bulk materials. The processed silk-based bulk materials are stronger than solution-derived materials, as well as superior when compared to most natural structural materials (e.g. wood) and to some synthetic polymers. By tuning the processing conditions, the molecular structures and physical properties of the thermal processed silk-based bulk materials can be tailored to specific ranges while retaining the good machinability to form protein-based medical devices such as bone screws and ear tubes. Furthermore, a variety of functional molecules such as enzymes and antibiotics can be incorporated into the bulk materials as dopants to make silk-based functional composite devices.

**Results**

**Materials characterization**

Combining top-down and bottom-up approaches to transform natural silk fibers into silk-based bulk parts via thermal processing first involves the production of ASN and then processing of ASN by hot pressing (Fig. 1a). This technique was used to directly mold silk bars, rods and plates, as well as to fabricate tubes and screws with machining (Fig. 1, b to e). Natural silk fibers from silkworm cocoons (Bombyx mori) consist of sericin and silk fibroin, a native protein ~390 kDa heavy chain and a ~25 kDa light chain30,31. By breaking the hydrogen-bond network in degummed (sericin free) natural silk fibers (Fig. 2, a to c) with concentrated salt solutions (LiBr et al.), aqueous regenerated silk solutions are obtained, in a similar format to the native liquid silk in the glands (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1)32. Fresh regenerated silk solution is then diluted, freeze-dried and milled to obtain ASN (Fig. 2, d to f). Wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and solid-state NMR spectroscopy showed that the silk fibroin in ASN possessed significantly different molecular structures compared to that in natural silk fibers. The nanomaterials are primarily amorphous with a low content (~1.0 %) of β-sheet structure and a high content of random coil/helical structures (Fig. 2, g to j).
Figure 1 | Process to generate silk-based bulk materials from native silk. a, Schematic combining top-down and bottom-up approaches to transform natural silk fibers into silk-based bulk materials by thermal processing method. Step 1, chemical treatment to dissolve natural silk fibers and generate purified silk fibroin solution; step 2, freeze-drying and milling to generate amorphous silk nanomaterials; step 3, mechanical hot-pressing with high pressure for densification, fusion and structural transition of the amorphous silk nanomaterials to semi-crystalline bulk materials. b-e, Photographs of silk bar and rod (b), plate (c), tube with caps (d), screws (e) fabricated by the thermal processing method with/without post-machining (scale bars are 1 cm).

Structural tailoring of the silk fibroin at the molecular level supports options to tailor the physical properties and inspires new routes for processing silk. Thermal analysis showed that the ASN had a water content of 5.0±0.5 wt%, significantly higher than that found in degummed native silk fibers (~2.8±0.3 wt%) (Fig. S2). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) showed that ASN had a defined water-associated glass transition ($T_g'$) at 65 °C and a stable glass transition temperature at 178 °C (Supplementary Fig. 2), which agrees well with previous studies on silk films prepared by solution casting and slow drying. A detailed stepwise scanning profile further indicated two types of bound water with ASN, weakly bound with a maximum evaporation rate at 95 °C, and strongly bound with a maximum evaporation rate at 125 °C (Supplementary Fig. 3). The bound water serves as a plasticizer, tuning the thermal properties of the silk materials.
Previous studies with silk films have suggested that $T_g'$ decreases as the residual water content increases\textsuperscript{34,35}. When heating the solution-casted silk films above $T_g'$, silk fibroin enters a viscoelastic liquid state prior to crystallization, which allows patterning, imprinting, and film welding\textsuperscript{36,37}. In contrast, silk materials with a high content of $\beta$-sheet crystalline structure, such as native silk fibers, possess challenges in thermal processing before degradation occurs due to the extreme stability of $\beta$-sheet crystalline structures\textsuperscript{22,23}. Recent investigations have shown that $\beta$-sheet crystalline structures can melt by fast laser heating upon input of heat energy alone, which suggests a useful way for thermally processing $\beta$-sheet enriched biomaterials but still remains challenging to be scaled up to mold bulk materials\textsuperscript{38}.

**Figure 2 | Comparison of degummed natural silk fiber and ASN.** a-c, Photograph (a) and SEM images (b, c) of degummed natural silk fibers. d-f, Photograph (d) and SEM images (e, f) of amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN). g, 1D WAXS profiles of degummed natural silk fiber and ASN. h, Solid-state
NMR spectra of degummed natural silk fiber and ASN. i, FTIR spectra of degummed natural silk fiber and ASN. j. Quantitative analysis of secondary structures present in degummed silk fiber and amorphous silk nanomaterials. Scale bars are 50 μm, 5 μm, 5 μm, and 500 nm for b, c, e, f, respectively. Multiple FTIR spectra (>3) were collected and analyzed for each sample. The error bars represent the sample variation, measurement uncertainty, and the deconvolution uncertainty.

**Figure 3 | Thermal processing of ASN.** a, Photographs and cross-sectional SEM images of the silk plates prepared at different temperatures: 25 °C (A1-A3), 65°C (B1-B3), 95°C (C1-C3), 125°C (D1-D3), 145°C (E1-E3), 175°C (F1-F3). b, FTIR spectra of ASN powder incubated at different temperatures for 15 mins (dashed line) and bulk silk plates prepared at 632 MPa and different temperatures (solid line). c, 1D WAXS profiles of the bulk silk plates prepared at 632 MPa and different temperatures. Inset shows a zoom-in plot for q values from 10 nm⁻¹ to 20 nm⁻¹. d, β-sheet content of the silk plates quantified from
FTIR spectra. e, Degree of crystallinity of bulk silk plates estimated from 1D WAXS profiles. f, Schematic showing a proposed mechanism for the structural transition of ASN during thermal processing. The scales bars in (A2 to F2) and (A3 to F3) are 200 μm and 1 μm, respectively. Multiple WAXS images (>=3) and FTIR spectra (>=3) were collected and analyzed for each sample. The error bars represent the sample variation, measurement uncertainty, and the deconvolution uncertainty.

**Thermal processing of regenerated silk**

Silk-based bulk materials were formed by direct thermal fusing the ASN at high pressure above the $T_g'$. The ASN in powder format was first packed in a predesigned aluminum mold, followed by hot pressing at high pressure (632 MPa in this study), and densified into bulk silk plates (Fig. 3a). Increasing the temperature of hot pressing densified the silk plates with a visual transition from white to transparent to pale yellow, indicating thermally driven structural changes at the microscale. Cross-sectional SEMs showed that the ASN were loosely compacted at lower processing temperature (25 °C) (Fig. 3a, A3) and tightly compacted at elevated processing temperatures (65 °C and 95 °C) with partial fusion (Fig. 3a, B3 and C3). When the processing temperature reached 125 °C, the ASN were more completely fused via collapsing to smaller globules with an average size of ~30±5 nm (Fig. 3a, D3, Supplementary Fig. 4), resulting in a similar size to the globules found in the silk solution self-assembly model$^{39,40}$. At still higher processing temperatures (145 °C, 175 °C), the nanosized silk globules further fused and underwent structural transitions to form more homogenous and fine interfaces (Fig. 3a, E3 and F3). NMR characterization of redissolved thermal processed bulk materials indicates no severe degradation occurring to the silk fibroin was detected for all processing temperatures (Supplementary Fig. 5). A combination of WAXS and FTIR characterizations identified significant β-sheet structure (>40 %) with increased crystallinity in silk plates processed at the higher temperatures (145 °C, 175 °C), while lower amounts of β-sheet structure (~10-15 %) formed when processed at lower temperatures (25 °C, 65 °C, and 95 °C) (Fig. 3, b to e, Supplementary Fig. 6). In addition, WAXS revealed silk II structure (as in native silk fibers) when hot pressing was performed over 125°C, indicating a structural transition of silk fibroin to silk II structure ($q$=14.6 nm$^{-1}$, $d$-spacing value of 4.3 Å) during the processing (Fig. 3c)$^{10,23}$. In combination with thermal analysis of the ASN, structural characterizations of the processed bulk silk materials provide insight into the mechanism of thermal fusing. At cold state (25 °C), a low level of β-sheet structure (~6.0±1.2 %) was introduced by applying high pressure (shear) during
the densification process (Supplementary Fig. 7). The density of the bulk material was 1.24 ± 0.02 g/cm$^3$, lower than the density of the natural silk fiber (~1.35 g/cm$^3$) (Supplementary Fig. 8). Increasing the processing temperature up to the $T_g$ (~65 °C) make the density increase to 1.35 ± 0.01 g/cm$^3$, indicating more densification due to the enhanced molecular mobility of silk fibroin chains. However, no significant β-sheet structure formation and crystallization occurred at this temperature. Increasing the processing temperature over the $T_g$ further enhanced the molecular mobility of the silk chains along with the water removal, facilitating thermal fusion of silk fibroin (Fig. 3f). At 125°C, a homogeneous fused state was achieved where silk forms nano-globules via molecular rearrangement and self-assembly, similar to the self-assembly of silk in aqueous solution$^{39,40}$. Notably, this is the first time that silk fibroin formation into nano-globules via self-assembly in the solid state has been observed. Further elevating the processing temperature over 125 °C allows removal of strongly bound water and sufficient molecular mobility of silk fibroin chains to overcome the energy barrier of structural transition, forming inter- and intra-molecular β-sheets nanocrystalline structures (Fig. 3f)$^{35,39}$. The presence of bound water in ASN is critical for structural transition during thermal processing. Removal of bound water from ASN by preheating results in failure of thermal molding, which however can be reversed by moisture treatment to regain bound water (Supplementary Fig. 9). The thermal processing of ASN above $T_g$ also allows opportunities to fabricate sophisticated silk-based devices such as screws and contact lenses directly from ASN (Supplementary Fig. 10). The accompanying structural transition to β-sheets structures at high temperatures further offers options to tune the physical properties and avoid complex post-processing treatments for silk-based devices.

**Physical properties of silk-based bulk materials**

The mechanical properties of the fabricated silk-based bulk materials were characterized with three-point bending, tensile, and compression tests. Three-point bending test showed that the strength of the bulk silk materials increased with increasing processing temperature up to 145 °C (Fig. 4, a and b). However, the strength decreased when processing at 175 °C, which is probably due to the slight thermal degradation of silk fibroin insensitive in NMR spectroscopy. Maximum strength was achieved for silk plates processed at 145 °C with a specific strength of 109 ± 10 MPa/g cm$^{-3}$, which exceeds some natural structural materials such as *Cristaria plicata* (CP)
nacre and wood (Fig. 4c). A similar trend was observed for tensile and compression tests (Supplementary Fig. 11). Furthermore, lowering the processing pressure weakened the materials (Supplementary Fig. 12). In comparison to solution-based methods of fabricating silk bulk materials, this new thermal processing method produced silk materials with the best performance in compression tests (Supplementary Fig. 13)\textsuperscript{29,41-43}. Besides the excellent mechanical properties, the fabricated silk-based bulk materials possessed good thermal forming properties and machinability, supporting patterning, thermal molding and machining. Figure 4d shows a DSC profile of silk materials processed at 125 °C and 632 MPa, indicating the materials had a stable glass transition temperature of 97 °C and a crystallization temperature of 137 °C. As a result, the material became soft when heated above this glass transition temperature to allow imprinting (Fig. 4e, Supplementary Fig. 14) and thermal forming to create designed constructs (Fig. 4f). Additionally, the silk-based bulk materials could be machined into rods, tubes, pins and medical devices such as bone screws and ear tubes (Supplementary Fig. 15).

Figure 4 | Physical properties of fabricated silk-based bulk materials. a. Three-point bending curves for silk plates prepared at different temperatures. b. Flexural strength and modulus of the silk plates prepared at different temperatures. c. Compared with other natural and synthetic structural materials,\textsuperscript{44,45} the bulk silk materials developed in this work showed excellent mechanical properties. d. DSC curves of the silk plates prepared at 125°C and 632 MPa. e-f. Photographs of patterned silk dime (e) and silk structures (f) prepared by thermal forming. Scale bars in are 1 cm. The error bars represent the sample variation, measurement uncertainty, and the deconvolution uncertainty.
Figure 5 | \textit{In vitro} and \textit{In vivo} testing of functional silk-based medical devices. \textit{a-c}, \textit{In vitro} degradation profiles of silk bone screws in PBS solution (\textit{a}), protease XIV (5 U/mL) PBS solution (\textit{b}), and \(\alpha\)-chymotrypsin (40 U/mL) PBS solution (\textit{c}). Inset shows the photograph of as-fabricated silk bone screws. The silk bone screws were machined from bulk silk materials prepared at three different conditions (95 °C, 632 MPa; 125 °C, 632 MPa; 145 °C, 632 MPa) and the photograph of as-fabricated silk screws was shown as an inset in \textit{c}. \textit{d-f}, \textit{In vivo} testing of silk bone screw (fabricated at 145 °C, 632 MPa) after 4 weeks implantation in rats: MicroCT (\textit{d}), Hematoxylin and eosin staining (\textit{e}) and Masson trichrome staining (\textit{f}) demonstrated active new bone formation (long arrow) observed at the screw (S) surface along with multinucleated, macrophage-like cells (short arrow) lining the screw surface. Scale bars are 1 mm, 50 μm, and 50 μm for \textit{d, e, f}, respectively. \textit{g}, Photographs of fabricated silk ear tubes with or without protease XIV doping (1 wt%). The silk ear tubes were machined from pure silk or silk-protease XIV bulk materials prepared at two different conditions (125 °C, 632 MPa; 145 °C, 632 MPa). \textit{h-i}, \textit{In vitro} degradation analysis of silk ear tubes with or without protease XIV doping: \textit{h} photographs of silk ear tubes incubated in PBS at 37 °C for different times (5 min, 3 h, and 48 h) and \textit{i} residual mass ratios of silk ear tubes after continuous incubation in PBS at 37 °C for 72 h. Red dashed lines in \textit{h} indicates the observed degradation of silk ear tubes. The error bars represent the sample variation, measurement uncertainty, and the deconvolution uncertainty. * in \textit{i} denotes statistically significant differences obtained from two-way ANOVA analysis, (\(n=3\) per group, \(p<0.0001\)).
Tailoring properties and functions of silk-based devices

The properties of fabricated silk-based devices including degradability and swelling property can be controlled via manipulating processing conditions. To demonstrate this point, silk-based bone screws were fabricated by machining silk bars prepared with different processing conditions (95 °C and 632 MPa; 125 °C and 632 MPa; 145 °C and 632 MPa) to vary the internal molecular structure and crystallinity. The in vitro degradation profiles indicated that the degradability of the silk bone screws was tunable, where processing at 145 °C and 632 MPa resulted in the slowest degradation rate in two enzyme solutions (protease XIV and α-chymotrypsin) (Fig. 5, a to c, Supplementary Fig. 16). In addition, in vitro water uptake in PBS solutions at 37 °C showed that the silk bone screws machined from silk bars prepared at 95 °C and 125 °C had rapid water uptake within 15 min and a maximum of ~30 wt% (Supplementary Fig. 17). In comparison, silk bone screws machined from silk bars prepared at 145 °C showed slower water uptake with a maximum of ~20 wt% (Supplementary Fig. 17). These differences reflect the underlying control of molecular structure and crystallinity in silk-based devices by manipulating processing conditions. The silk bone screws showed biocompatibility as implant devices, where they supported the formation of new bone structure on the screw surfaces without inflammation when implanted in rat femurs (Fig. 5, d to f). The lining of multinucleated, macrophage-like cells along the threads also suggests early resorption of silk at the periphery of the devices. Another appealing feature of the thermal processing is its compatibility with bioactive molecules such as enzyme and antibiotics (Supplementary Fig. 18). The enhanced thermal stability of these molecules in the solid state, along with the stabilizing effect of silk with biological molecules, makes it possible to integrate those molecules into the bulk material to tailor biofunctions. As shown in Fig. 5g, protease XIV-doped silk ear tubes (doping ratio is 1 wt%) were successfully fabricated with thermal processing to enhance the degradability of the devices, showing great advantage over solution-based methods since protease XIV would degrade the silk fibroin in solution. Once incubated in PBS at 37 °C, the protease XIV-doped silk ear tubes show faster degradation than pure silk ear tubes prepared at same condition (Fig. 5h). Furthermore, the protease XIV-doped silk ear tubes made with 145 °C and 632 MPa shows slower degradation than the protease XIV-doped silk ear tubes made with 125 °C and 632 MPa, which demonstrates the tunability of the thermal processing (Fig. 5h, Supplementary Fig. 19). The protease XIV-
doped silk ear tubes made with 125 °C and 145 °C show residual mass ratios of 62.8±1.3 % and 79.9±0.8 % respectively after 72 h continuous incubation in PBS solutions (Fig. 5i).

**Outlook**

At high-pressure and elevated temperature, the free-energy change of materials (Gibbs free energy \( G = E + PV - TS \)) may allow opportunities to tune phases or molecular structures in materials, which inspired us to investigate how external factors (pressure or temperature) influence silk self-assembly in the solid state as a new silk processing strategy. Native silk fibroin self-assembles to form semi-crystalline block copolymer structures, whereby aligned β-sheet nanocrystallites provide rigidity, and an amorphous matrix promotes flexibility. Such nature-optimized stable structures challenge direct thermal processing of native silk fibroin (sericin free) with common techniques utilized in traditional polymer engineering\(^46\). The work reported here demonstrated good thermoplastic properties of regenerated amorphous silk nanomaterials, offering a time- and cost-effective way to directly process silk fibroin materials in the solid state for fabricating 3D bulk protein-based biomaterials (Supplementary Table 2-7 with discussion on the Supplementary Information: Life cycle assessment study of processing silk into bulk materials). Comparing to native semi-crystalline silk, regenerated amorphous silk nanomaterials have a well-defined water-associated glass transition temperature at relatively low temperature, a benefit in thermal molding. The reported thermal processing method not only provides good control over structural, mechanical and degradation properties for the products and but also shows excellent compatibility with bioactive molecules. More importantly, regenerated amorphous silk was stable and can be stored over a long time period\(^47\), which circumvents limitations of solution-based processing methods to ensures reproducibility and scalability of this method.
Methods

Regenerated silk fibroin preparation

Bombyx mori (B. mori) cocoons were cut into small pieces and boiled in an aqueous 0.02 M Na₂CO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) solution for 30 min, followed by rinsing in distilled water to remove the Na₂CO₃ and sericin. The degummed silk were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. The dried silk was dissolved in 9.3 M LiBr (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) solution at 60°C for 3-4 h. The solution was subsequently dialyzed for 3 days in distilled water using Slide-a-Lyzer dialysis cassettes (MWCO 3,500, Pierce, USA). The water was changed five times during the dialysis (1 h, 4 h, 8 h, 24 h, 48 h). After dialysis, the solution was centrifuged for 20 min at 9,000 rpm twice to remove insoluble impurities. The concentration of the final silk solution was determined by measuring a volume of solution and the final dried weight (~6 w/v%). The solution was diluted and frozen using liquid nitrogen. The frozen silk solution was then lyophilized at -80°C and 0.006 bar until complete sublimation. The lyophilized silk was then milled into ultrafine powders using a high-speed analytical mill (20,000 rpm, 2 min, Col-Parmer), which is referred as “amorphous silk nanomaterials” (ASN). The ASN were stored in ambient dry conditions to prevent any rehydration until used in the processing steps below.

Hot pressing of amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN)

Amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN) was packed into predesigned mold, followed by hot pressing at 632 MPa and variable temperatures (25 °C, 65 °C, 95 °C, 125 °C, 145 °C, 175 °C) for 15 min. After hot pressing, the samples were cooled down to room temperature and used for characterizations. To incorporate bioactive molecules in the system, the silk powder was doped with ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (5 wt%, Sigma-Aldrich), gentamicin sulfate (5 wt%, Sigma-Aldrich), horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (1 wt%, Sigma-Aldrich), and protease XIV (1 wt%, Sigma-Aldrich) by thorough mixing and subject to hot processing.

NMR spectroscopy

For structural characterization of fresh regenerated silk solution, solution NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker 850 MHz Avance IIIHD spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm cryogenically helium-cooled triple-resonance TCL CryoProbe. The Larmor frequency of 1H, 13C, and 15N were 850.28 MHz, 213.82 MHz, and 86.17 MHz. A sealed capillary containing D₂O was used for NMR locking. NOESY was performed with a mixing time of 150 ms, 11 ppm spectral width and in both t₁ and t₂ dimensions, 512 and 2048 complex points in t₁ and t₂ dimension, 16 scans and a relaxation delay of 1s. TOCSY was performed with a mixing time of 60 ms, 11 ppm spectral width and in both t₁ and t₂ dimensions, 512 and 2048 complex points in t₁ and t₂ dimension, 16 scans and a relaxation delay of 1s. 1H-13C HSQC was collected with 165.8 ppm spectra width in t₁ and 11 ppm in t₂ dimensions, 256 and 1024 complex points in t₁ and t₂, 64 scans. 1H-15N HSQC was collected with 28.0 ppm spectra width in t₁ and 11 ppm in t₂ dimensions, 512 and 1024 complex points in t₁ and t₂, 32 scans. The solid-state NMR spectra were collected on a Varian VNMRS 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with a 3.2 mm triple-resonance probe operating in double-resonance (1H/13C) mode. The CP condition for 1H→13C CP-MAS experiments consisted of a 2.25 μs 1H π/2 pulse, followed by a 1.0 ms ramped (3%) 1H spin-lock pulse of 70 kHz radio frequency (rf) field strength. The experiments were performed with a 25 kHz sweep width, a recycle delay of 3.0 s, 8192 scans and a two-pulse phase-modulated (TPPM) 1H decoupling level of 91 kHz at a MAS speed of 20 kHz for all samples. Single NMR spectrum was obtained for each sample.

For structural characterization of processed silk-based bulk materials, 30 mg of silk-based bulk material prepared at different conditions was first solubilized in 3 mL of 9.3M LiBr solution at 60 °C for overnight to achieve complete dissolution. Then the solution was then dialyzed using 3.5 kDa Mw cut-off Slide-A-Lyzer Cassettes (Life Technologies) against deionized water for three days with six times water change. After dialysis, the solution was centrifuged for 20 min at 9,000 rpm twice to remove insoluble impurities. The concentration of the obtained silk
solution was ~0.35 wt%. Then 500 µL of silk solution was freeze-dried and redissolved in 600 µL D₂O for ¹H NMR characterizations. Solution ¹H NMR spectroscopy experiments were performed on a Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were collected with a sweep width of 10000 Hz, an acquisition time of 3.28 s, a recycle delay of 0.5 s, and 128 scans. Single NMR spectrum was obtained for each sample.

**Thermal analysis**
The thermal degradation of silk samples was measured by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) from 30 to 800 °C in N₂ (99.99%) with a scanning speed of 5 °C/min. For all measurements, samples were kept under N₂ in the furnace to reach a stable weight prior to heating. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were carried out on a TA Instruments Q100 DSC (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE) under a dry nitrogen gas flow of 50 mL/min with samples encapsulated in aluminum pans. Both standard DSC and temperature-modulated DSC (TMDSC) measurements were performed. In standard DSC measurements, the samples were heated from -50 to 200 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. In TMDSC measurements, the samples were heated to 10 °C/min from -50 to 200 °C with a modulation period of 60 s and temperature amplitudes of 1.59 °C. Multiple cycles (n>=3) were performed on each sample to ensure the data reproducibility.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**
The morphology of the scaffolds was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Ultra 55 field-emission scanning electron microscope, Carl Zeiss AG, Harvard University Center for Nanoscale Systems). The SEM images were collected with a voltage of 5 kV and the samples were sputter coated with a thin layer of gold. Multiple images (n>3) were taken at different spots for each sample.

**Fourier-transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)**
Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was carried out on a JASCO FTIR 6200 spectrometer (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a MIRacle attenuated total reflectance (ATR) Ge crystal cell in absorbance mode. For each measurement, the spectrum was recorded with 32 scans and a resolution of 4.0 cm⁻¹. Multiple spectra (n>=3) were collected for each sample and for each experimental condition multiple samples (n>=3) were made and characterized. The protein secondary structure contents were determined by performing peak deconvolution over the amide I region (1600−1700 cm⁻¹) using Matlab software. The deconvolution was carried out using a secondary derivative method with four primary peaks assigned to a variety of secondary structures respectively: 1620 cm⁻¹ (β-sheet), 1645-1655 cm⁻¹ (random coil/helix), 1685 cm⁻¹ (β-turn), and 1698 cm⁻¹ (β-sheet). A Gaussian model was selected for the band shape.

**Synchrotron wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS)**
Synchrotron wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) was performed on the BioCars 14BM-C beamline at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL, U.S.A under the proposal GUP-61977. The wavelength of the X-ray beam was 0.979 Å, with a fixed energy of 12.668 keV and the beam size on the sample was 150 × 300 µm (horizontal × vertical). Data were recorded using an ADSC Quantum- 315r detector. The samples-to-detector distance is 200 mm and beam stop is 50 mm. An exposure time of 60s was used for degummed silk fiber and ASN. For silk-based bulk materials, an exposure time of 10 s was used. Background measurement was performed with the sample displaced from the beam and the image was recorded under the same conditions as used with the sample in the beam. Multiple images (n>=3) were taken to get better statistics and improve on the signal/background ratio. CeO₂ powder was used for instrument calibration. The 2D WAXD patterns were analyzed using the software package FIT2D.

**Mechanical properties test**
The three-point bending tests were carried out on an Instron 3366 machine (Instron, Norwood, USA) in flexural test mode at 25°C and 50% RH with a loading rate of 0.2 mm/min and 2 mm/min for dry specimens and wet specimens, respectively. The specimens had a length of 12 mm, width of 7 mm and thickness of 1 mm. Multiple samples (n>=3) were tested for each condition.

The tensile tests were carried out on an Instron 3366 machine (Instron, Norwood, USA) in tensile test mode at 25 °C and 50% RH with a loading rate of 2 mm/min. The tested bulk silk samples were in a plate format with a length of
10mm and a width of 4.3mm. Multiple samples (>=3) were tested for each condition. Multiple samples (>=3) were tested for each condition.

The tensile tests were carried out on an Instron 3366 machine (Instron, Norwood, USA) in compression test mode at 25 °C and 50% RH with a loading rate of 1mm/min. The tested bulk silk samples were in a rod format with a diameter of 3.0 mm and a height of 4.0 mm. Multiple samples (>=3) were tested for each condition.

**Fabrication of silk bone screws**

Silk bone screws were machined from silk bars using a CNC lathe (Trak TRL 1440 EX, Southwestern Industries, USA). A custom single point external cutter (Vargud, USA) was used on the CNC lathe to cut screw threads by matching turning speed with horizontal speed of the cutter to cut a desired pitch length (outer diameter ~ 1.8 mm, pitch 600 μm). The screw heads were machined to have a cylindrical head and a slot was generated.

**Fabrication of silk ear tubes**

Silk ear tubes were machined from pure silk bars or silk-protease XIV (doping ratio ~ 1 wt%) bars. Each ear tube has a height of ~ 2.7 mm and a diameter of ~3.2 mm for the head part. The outer and inner diameters for the tubing part are 2.0 mm and 1.0 mm, respectively.

**In vitro water uptake and swelling test**

Machined silk bone screws (n=3 per condition) were placed in 37°C phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for various amounts of time (0 min, 15 min, 60 min, 3 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 9 days, 15 days, and 30 days) and observed for changes in weight and diameter to signify fluid uptake and swelling. Surface moisture was removed from the sample by wiping with a Kimwipe (Kimberly-Clark, USA), the wet weight of the sample taken (Ws) and the head and thread diameters were measured. The water uptake (%) was calculated.

\[
\text{Water uptake (\%) } = \left( \frac{W_s - W_d}{W_s} \right) \times 100
\]

**In vitro degradation test**

Machined silk bone screws (n=3 per condition) were incubated at 37°C in 2 mL PBS solution, 2 mL solution of 5 U/mL protease XIV (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 40 U/mL chymotrypsin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in PBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or in PBS as a negative control. The solutions were changed every 2-3 days. At designated time points (2 days, 5 days, 10 days, 20 days, 30 days) groups of samples were rinsed in DI water and dried before weighing. The remaining mass of each sample was recorded, and SEM images of the samples were collected.

For in vitro degradation test of silk ear tubes, silk ear tubes (n=3 per condition) were incubated at 37°C in 300 μL PBS solution. At designated time points (5 min, 1 hour, 3 hours, 6 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours), pictures were taken. After 72 hours continuous incubation in PBS solution at 37°C, the samples were rinsed in DI water and dried before weighing. The remaining mass of each sample was recorded and analyzed.

**In vivo biocompatibility test**

Machined silk bone screws were sterilized by ethylene oxide prior to implantation. The experimental protocol for animal studies was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. Silk bone screws were sterilized by ethylene oxide prior to implantation. For the studies, 13-week female Sprague–Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories International, Inc., USA) were anaesthetized with isoflurane at 5% concentration in oxygen for induction and 2.5% for maintenance. Following anesthesia, the incision area was shaved and disinfected with a povidone-iodine scrub. A lateral approach was performed via skin incision over the distal 1/3 of the femur followed by incision of superficial fascia. The intermuscular plane between the vastus lateralis and the biceps femoris muscles was separated. After proper exposure, the lateral cortex of the supracondylar region was drilled using a 1.7 mm drill bit, followed by the insertion of the silk screw. Muscles were then approximated using absorbable sutures (Vicryl 5-0) and skin was closed using skin clips. Buprenorphine was administered at a dosage of 1.2 mg kg⁻¹ pre-op and pro re nata (as needed with visible signs of distress). The animals were designated for euthanasia at 1 month after surgery in the CO₂ chamber at the animal facility of the institution. Following euthanasia, the operated femur was harvested and used for micro-CT and histology analysis.

**Micro-CT analysis**
For qualitative three-dimensional (3D) evaluation, the distal metaphyseal region of femoral bones were examined by a desktop mCT system (mCT 40; Scanco Medical AG, Bruttisellen, Switzerland) using 55 kVp peak potential, 72 μAs current, 250 ms integration time, and 30-μm voxel size. 3D construction was done considering 200 slides (6 mm bone segment) centered on the screw shaft. Images were acquired at 50% depth of the 3D construct in the sagittal plan to visualize the medullary canal and the screw insertion site. Images were then acquired after applying an X-ray filter to the 3D construction.

**Histological analysis**
The bone specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin at room temperature for 48 h. The fixed specimens were then decalcified in EDTA for 3 to 4 weeks until the bone became flexible. Following decalcification, tissue was processed with ethanol and xylene and then embedded in paraffin on an automatic processor. Paraffin blocks of each specimen were then sectioned along the long axis of the bone and through the screw. Sections of 5 μm thickness were baked on glass slides at 60°C and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin or Masson trichrome stain.

**Data availability**
The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information files and from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Solution NMR spectra (TOCSY, $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC, and $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC) of regenerated aqueous silk solution (~6 wt%).
Supplementary Figure 2. (a) TGA profiles of the amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN) and natural degummed silk fiber. TGA was performed from 30 to 800 °C in N₂ (99.99%) with a scanning speed of 5 °C/min. (b) Standard DSC curves of the amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN) and natural degummed silk fiber. DSC measurements carried out under a dry nitrogen gas flow of 50 mL/min with samples encapsulated in aluminum pans. In standard DSC measurements, the samples were heated from -50 to 200 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min.
**Supplementary Figure 3.** DSC profiles of amorphous silk nanomaterials (ASN) with stepwise heating. Step 1: the sample was first heated to 60 °C and then cooled to room temperature; step 2: the sample was heated to 100 °C and then cooled to room temperature; step 3: The sample was heated to 160 °C and then cooled to room temperature; step 4: The sample was heated to 200 °C. Heating rate was 10 °C/min.
Supplementary Figure 4. (a and b) Cross-sectional SEM images of silk plate prepared at 125°C and 632MPa. The histogram of the measured globule size present in (c). The scale bars in (a) and (b) are 1 μm and 10 μm, respectively.
Supplementary Figure 5. $^1$H NMR spectra of solubilized ASN and redissolved thermal processed bulk materials. For each processing conditions, 30 mg of silk-based bulk material was solubilized in 3 mL of 9.3M LiBr solution at 60 °C for overnight to achieve complete dissolution. Then the solution was then dialyzed using 3.5 kDa Mw cut-off Slide-A- Lyzer Cassettes (Life Technologies) against deionized water for three days with six times water change. After dialysis, the solution was centrifuged for 20 min at 9,000 rpm twice to remove insoluble impurities. The concentration of the obtained silk solution was ~0.35 wt%. Then 500 µL of silk solution was freeze-dried and redissolved in 600 µL D$_2$O for $^1$H NMR characterizations. Solution $^1$H NMR spectroscopy experiments were performed on a Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer. $^1$H NMR spectra were collected with a sweep width of 10000 Hz, an acquisition time of 3.28s, a recycle delay of 0.5 s, and 128 scans.
Supplementary Figure 6. Deconvolution of amide I peak from FTIR spectra (a) and diffraction pattern from 1D WAXS (b). For FTIR spectra, the spectral corrections and decomposition were performed using a home-developed Matlab package. The spectra were first smoothed with a 5-point triangle smoothing method and then baseline corrected using a cubic spline for the amide I band. The deconvolution was carried out using a secondary derivative method with four primary peaks assigned to a variety of secondary structures respectively: 1620 cm\(^{-1}\) (β-sheet), 1645-1655 cm\(^{-1}\) (random coil/helix),
1685 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\beta\)-turn), and 1698 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\beta\)-sheet). For WAXS, the 1D intensity profiles were fitted using multiple Gaussian peaks.

**Supplementary Figure 7.** FTIR spectra and peak deconvolution of ASN and silk-based bulk materials prepared at 25 °C and 632 MPs.
Supplementary Figure 8. Density of silk plates prepared with different pressing temperatures. The density was calculated based on the measured weight, thickness and dimensions of the silk plates.
Supplementary Figure 9. (a) Experimental design for studying the role of bound water in structural transition of silk fibroin during thermal processing. Removal of the bound water in ASN is achieved by preheating the ASN at 145 °C for 20 min. The FTIR spectra and WAXS profiles shown in (b) and (c) indicate the water is critical for β-sheet structure formation.
Supplementary Figure 10. Fabrication of silk-based materials by direct molding of amorphous silk nanomaterials: (a and b) silk screws, (c) contact lens, (d) silk film. Thermal fusion of two silk films in (e) and (f). Scale bars are 1 mm.
Supplementary Figure 11. Summary of three-point bending, tensile, and compression tests of the processed bulk silk materials prepared at different temperatures.
Supplementary Figure 12. Three-point bending curves of bulk silk materials processed at different pressures (632 MPa and 125 MPa) and 145°C for 15 min.
Supplementary Figure 13. Comparison of compression test profiles for bulk silk materials prepared by different methods: HFIP-based\(^1\,^2\), sol-gel-solid\(^3\,^4\), and heat compression methods (this work, 145°C).
Supplementary Figure 14. SEM images of silk materials imprinted with nano-patterns.
Supplementary Figure 15. (a and b) Photographs of silk bars, pins, plates, rods, tube, and screws. Photographs and SEM images of silk bone screws (c to e) and silk ear tube (f to h). The scale bars in (a to b) and (c to h) are 1 cm and 1 mm, respectively.
Supplementary Figure 16. Degradation profiles of silk bone screws prepared at different temperatures (95°C, 125°C, 145°C) in (a) pure PBS solution, (b) 5 U/mL protease XIV PBS solution and (c) 40U/mL α-chymotrypsin PBS solution. The corresponding SEM images of silk bone screws after 30 days degradation were present. The scale bars are 1 mm and 500 μm in upper and lower images respectively in each section.
Supplementary Figure 17. Water uptake and swelling test (PBS, 37°C) of silk bone screws. The silk screws prepared at 145°C has the lowest water uptake ratio.
Supplementary Figure 18. Biofunctionalization of bulk silk materials. (a) The activity of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is preserved and its release is easily tuned by varying processing parameters. The HRP powder was mixed with silk powder and processed at 145 °C, 632 MPa for 10 min and 15 min, respectively. (b) The antimicrobial activity of antibiotics is preserved and a clear zone of inhibition formed around the antibiotics-containing silk materials on the bacteria lawn of *Staphylococcus aureus*.
Supplementary Figure 19. *In vitro* degradation analysis of silk ear tubes with or without protease XIV doping: (a) photographs of silk ear tubes incubated in PBS at 37 °C for different times (5 min, 1 h, 3 h, 6 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h). The silk ear tubes were machined from pure silk or silk-protease XIV bulk materials prepared at two different conditions (125 °C, 632 MPa; 145 °C, 632 MPa). (b) Photographs of as fabricated silk ear tubes (left) and silk ear tubes after continuous incubation in PBS solution for 72 hours (right). (c) SEM images of silk ear tubes after continuous incubation in PBS solution for 72 hours. The scale bars are 1 mm and 100 μm for upper and lower images respectively.
Supplementary Figure 20. Molecular weight distribution of silk fibroin in aqueous solution with different degumming times (10min, 30min). Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed using 0.1 wt% silk solution. The result indicates the molecular weight of silk after 30 min boil has a wide molecular weight distribution from 70 kDa to ~400KDa with a predominant peak around 200 kDa.
Supplementary Figure 21. The particle size distribution of the ASN.
Supplementary Figure 22. $^{13}$C cross polarization-magic angle spinning (CP-MAS) spectra of natural degummed silk and lyophilized silk.
Supplementary Figure 23. Three-point bending test of silk plates prepared with different raw materials: degummed silk powder (black), ASN (red). The silk plates were prepared at 145°C and 632 MPa. The flexural stress for silk plates prepared with degummed silk powder (45.9±9.1 MPa) was much lower than that prepared with amorphous silk nanomaterials (147.1±14.2 MPa).
Supplementary Figure 24. Three-point bending test of silk plates at hydrated state. The flexural stress for silk plates decrease dramatically when they are hydrated.
Supplementary Figure 25. Temperature-modulated DSC (TMDSC) profiles for silk plates prepared at different temperatures (95 °C, 125 °C, 145 °C). The samples were heated from -50 to 200 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, a modulation period of 60 s and temperature amplitudes of 1.59 °C. The total heat flow curves and reversing heat flow curves were shown in left and right respectively. The dashed tangent lines indicate the step change occurring at the glass transition temperature.
**Supplementary Table 1.** $^{13}$C Chemical shifts (in ppm from TMS) of amino acid residues in liquid silk in *B. mori* gland, regenerated *B. mori* silk solution, degummed *B. mori* silk and lyophilized *B. mori* silk.

| Residue | Liquid Silk in Gland$^5$ | Regenerated Silk Solution (this work) | Degummed Silk | Lyophilized Silk |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Gly C$_\alpha$ | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.3 | 43.2 |
| Gly CO | 171.9 | - | 169.5 | 171.0 |
| Gly NH | 107.5/107.9/110.8 | 107.5/107.9/110.8 | - | - |
| Ala C$_\alpha$ | 50.5 | 50.5 | 49.6 | 50.8 |
| Ala C$_\beta$ | 16.9 | 16.9 | 17.3/20.8 | 16.6 |
| Ala CO | 175.9 | - | 172.8 | 173.9 |
| Ala NH | 123.5 | 123.5 | - | - |
| Ser C$_\alpha$ | 56.3 | 56.4 | 55.3 | 56.2 |
| Ser C$_\beta$ | 61.7 | 61.7 | 63.8 | 61.6 |
| Ser CO | 173.0 | - | 170.9 | 171.8 |
| Ser NH | 114.7/115.3 | 114.7/115.3 | - | - |
| Tyr C$_\alpha$ | 56.1 | 56.0 | 54.7 | 56.4 |
| Tyr C$_\beta$ | 36.6 | 36.5 | 36.3/40.5 | 36.3 |
| Tyr C$_\gamma$ | 128.4 | - | 127.4 | 127.3 |
| Tyr C$_\delta$ | 130.9 | 131.0 | 130.3 | 130.6 |
| Tyr C$_\epsilon$ | 115.8 | 116.0 | 115.3 | 115.4 |
| Tyr C$_\zeta$ | 155.0 | - | 155.8 | 156.0 |
| Tyr C$_\zeta$ | 173.0 | - | 171.2 | 173.3 |
| Tyr NH | 119.8 | 119.8 | - | - |
Life cycle assessment study of processing silk into bulk materials

Up to date, we have developed three techniques to fabricate silk-based bulk materials: (1) HFIP-based method, published in 2014 (Nat Commun 5:3385 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4385); (2) Sol-gel-solid based method, published in 2016 and 2017 (Biomaterials 110:24 | DOI: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.09.014; PNAS 114:451 | DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1612063114) (3) This work.

We performed a brief life cycle assessment (LCA) study for all three developed methods to process silk into bulk materials. The scope is schematized in Supplementary Fig. 26, and it is marked by the dashed line. It includes the processing of cocoon and regenerated silk. The silk fibroin production step represents cocoon degumming, silk dissolution, and purification. The processing step represents processing silk into bulk materials. Table 1 shows the life cycle inventory of lyophilized silk (route b in Supplementary Fig. 26). Lyophilized silk is an important material for silk processing because it has been demonstrated in our previous study to be a soluble and shelf-stable formats for on-demand use. The shelf-stable lyophilized stock silk of moderate to low molecular weights can be fully constituted even after 1 year of storage at elevated temperatures (37 °C). Due to this, lyophilized silk can be used as source material in HFIP-based method and thermal processing. Supplementary Table 2 shows the life cycle inventory of the HFIP-based method for producing silk-based bulk materials using lyophilized silk as source material. Supplementary Table 3 shows the life cycle inventory of the sol-gel-solid based method for producing silk-based bulk materials using purified silk solution as source material. Supplementary Table 4 shows the life cycle inventory of the thermal processing method for producing silk-based bulk materials using lyophilized silk as source material.
Supplementary figure 26. a. Life cycle of regenerated silk. Dashed line indicates the system under our investigation. b-e, Schematic illustrations of general silk processing (b), HFIP-based method (c), sol-gel-solid method (d), and thermal processing (e).

Supplementary Table 2. Life cycle inventory of lyophilized silk

| Procedure/Product            | Amount | Unit | Reference | Comments                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------|------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Degumming**                |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| **Energy use**               |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Electricity mix, United States | 2261   | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment and industry data | Corning hot plate (628W); $0.12/kwh, 1kwh = 3600kJ                        |
| **Input**                    |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Water, process, cooling and washing | 10     | L    | Based on lab scale experiment | Deionized (DI) water                                                    |
| Raw cocoons                  | 5.0    | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | $20/kg                                                                  |
| Sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃)    | 4.2    | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | Sigma Aldrich, S7795-1KG, $126                                         |
| **Emission**                 |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Water, process               | 10     | L    | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |
| Heat, waste                  | 1006   | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |
| Waste, inorganic             | 4.2    | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | Sodium carbonate                                                        |
| Waste, organic               | 1.0    | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | Sericin content ~ 20wt% raw cocoon                                      |
| **Silk dissolution**         |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| **Energy use**               |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Electricity mix, United States | 2.9    | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment and industry data | Incubator, 60 °C for 4 hours                                           |
| **Input**                    |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Water, process, cooling and washing | 12    | mL   | Based on lab scale experiment | Deionized, ~ $0.01/L                                                   |
| Lithium bromide (LiBr)       | 16.1   | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | Sigma Aldrich, 213225-2KG, $391                                        |
| Degummed silk fibers         | 4      | g    | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |
| **Emission**                 |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Heat, waste                  | 9.1    | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment | LiBr dissolution heat emission                                          |
| **Silk purification**        |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| **Energy use**               |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Electricity mix, United States | ~100.0 | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment and industry data | Stirring plate                                                          |
| **Input**                    |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Water, process, cooling and washing | 28    | L    | Based on lab scale experiment | Deionized, ~ $0.01/L                                                   |
| Dialysis tubing              | 1      | g    | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |
| Silk-LiBr solution (20 wt%)  | 20     | mL   | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |
| Plastic centrifuge tube      | 42.6   | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | 50 mL Centrifuge tubes, 14.2g/each                                    |
| **Emission**                 |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Heat, waste                  | 9.1    | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment | LiBr dissolution heat emission                                          |
| Packaging, waste             | 28.4   | L    | Based on lab scale experiment | Dialysis water change                                                   |
| Silk, waste                  | 1.6    | g    | Based on lab scale experiment | Purification yield: ~60%                                                 |
| **Freeze-drying**            |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| **Energy use**               |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Electricity mix, United States | 86433 | kJ   | Based on lab scale experiment and industry data | Solution freezing ~ 3kWh for 9 hour freezing cycle, 3 days              |
| **Input**                    |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Purified silk solution       | 40     | mL   | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |
| **Emission**                 |        |      |           |                                                                          |
| Water, waste                 | 37     | g    | Based on lab scale experiment |                                                                       |

Supplementary Table 3. Life cycle inventory of the HFIP based method for producing silk-based bulk materials using lyophilized silk as source material

| Procedure/Product            | Amount | Unit | Reference | Comments                                      |
|------------------------------|--------|------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|
| **Silk dissolving with HFIP** |        |      |           |                                              |
| **Input**                    |        |      |           |                                              |
| Lyophilized silk             | 2.4    | g    | Based on lab scale experiment |                                            |
Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) 7.2 mL Based on lab scale experiment Sigma Aldrich, 105228-500G, $916

Molding, methanol treatment and drying

| Energy use |
|----------------|
| Electricity mix, United States 90 kJ Based on lab scale experiment and industry data Oven, drying |

| Input |
|----------------|
| Silk HFIP solution 7.2 mL Based on lab scale experiment |
| Materials for mould fabrication 5 g Based on lab scale experiment Wax and wafer |
| Methanol 100 mL Based on lab scale experiment Sigma Aldrich, 34860-4L-R, $153 |
| Water 2 L Based on lab scale experiment DI water |

| Emission |
|----------------|
| HFIP, waste 7.2 mL Based on lab scale experiment Sigma Aldrich, 105228-500G, $916 |
| Methanol 100 mL Based on lab scale experiment Sigma Aldrich, 34860-4L-R, $153 |
| Water, waste 2 L Based on lab scale experiment |

Supplementary Table 4. Life cycle inventory of the sol-gel-solid based method for producing silk-based bulk materials using purified silk solution as source material

| Procedure/Product | Amount | Unit | Reference | Comments |
|-------------------|--------|------|-----------|----------|
| Air drying | | |
| Energy use | | |
| Electricity mix, United States 518400 kJ Based on lab scale experiment and industry data Refrigerated incubator with forced air flow (1500W) |

| Input |
|----------------|
| Purified silk solution (6-8 wt%) 40 mL Based on lab scale experiment |

| Emission |
|----------------|
| Water, waste 37 g Based on lab scale experiment |

Supplementary Table 5. Life cycle inventory of the thermal processing method for producing silk-based bulk materials using lyophilized silk as source material

| Procedure/Product | Amount | Unit | Reference | Comments |
|-------------------|--------|------|-----------|----------|
| Milling/Grinding | | |
| Energy use | | |
| Electricity mix, United States 18 kJ Based on lab scale experiment Analytical mill (160W) |

| Input |
|----------------|
| Lyophilized silk 2.4 g Based on lab scale experiment |

| Emission |
|----------------|
| Heat, waste 18 kJ Based on lab scale experiment |

| Thermal processing | | |
|-------------------| | |
| Energy use | | |
| Electricity mix, United States 7920 kJ Based on lab scale experiment and industry data Hot press (1100 W) |

| Input |
|----------------|
| Lyophilized silk 2.4 g Based on lab scale experiment |
| High strength aluminum product for mould fabrication 200 g Based on lab scale experiment |

| Emission |
|----------------|
| Heat, waste ~7900 kJ Based on lab scale experiment |

Based on these inventories and cost of common supplies (Supplementary Table 6), several analyses were made to estimate the energy consumption, time consumption, cost, waste generation and human toxicity. The results were summarized in Supplementary Table 7. Two types of source materials were considered here: cocoon and lyophilized silk. Energy consumption, time consumption and cost were estimated based on production of 1 g of silk-based bulk material. It is obvious that thermal processing using lyophilized silk as source material (this work) has the lowest time consumption, cost, waste generation, and human toxicity among all methods. Though the energy consumption is higher than HFIP-based method using lyophilized silk as source material, in HFIP-base method, HFIP is a corrosive volatile liquid that can cause severe skin burns, respiratory problems and eye damage ("HFIP
MSDS”. *Fisher Scientific*. Retrieved 2014-08-18). In addition, HFIP-based method generates toxic waste including HFIP and methanol that have adverse impact on environment and human health. One thing needs to be emphasized here is that comparing to other methods with time consumption of several days, thermal processing using lyophilized silk as source material (this work) provide a way to fabricate silk-based bulk materials within an hour.

In previous work (Nat Commun 5:3385 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4385) pointed out by the reviewer, HFIP was used and it has potential toxicity to the environment and human health. For this point, this work presents a toxicity-free, environment- and human-friendly method for fabricating silk-based bulk materials. The novelty or breakthrough of this work is the success of thermal processing of solid-state silk materials into functional bulk materials in biopolymer research. This scenario is very similar to the first development of thermal molding or thermal forming of traditional synthetic polymers such as PS, PMMA, PLA, PBS, and PCL in synthetic polymer industry.

**Supplementary Table 6. Cost of common supplies**

| Product                      | Cost         |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| Raw cocoons                  | $20/kg       |
| Sodium carbonate             | Sigma Aldrich, S7795-1KG, $126 |
| Lithium bromide              | Sigma Aldrich, 213225-2KG, $391 |
| Hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) | Sigma Aldrich, 105229-500G, $916 |
| Methanol                     | Sigma Aldrich, 34860-4L-R, $153 |
| DI water                     | ~ $0.01/L    |
| Centrifuge tube              | Thermo Fisher, $286/case of 500 |
| Electricity mix, United States | $0.12/kwh,1kwh=3600kJ |

**Supplementary Table 7. Summary of energy consumption, cost and time consumption for different methods of fabricating silk-based bulk materials**

| Source material            | HFIP-based method | Sol-gel-solid method | Thermal processing |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Energy consumption (kJ/g)* | Cocoon            | Lyophilized silk     | Cocoon            | Lyophilized silk |
| Time consumption           | 37044             | 37.5                 | 216985            | 216000           | 40306             |
| Cost ($/g)*                | 13.8              | 10.5                 | 9.4               | 7.2              | 3.5               |
| Waste generation           | Na₂CO₃, LiBr, HFIP, methanol | Na₂CO₃, LiBr, HFIP, methanol | Na₂CO₃, LiBr, None |
| Human toxicity             | HFIP is toxic     | HFIP is toxic        | None              | None             | None              |

*Energy consumption, time consumption and cost were estimated based on production of 1g of silk-based bulk material; It is assumed that one aluminum mold can make 1kg product with thermal processing.*

**References**

1. Perrone, G. S.; Leisk, G. G.; Lo, T. J.; Moreau, J. E.; Haas, D. S.; Papenburg, B. J.; Golden, E. B.; Partlow, B. P.; Fox, S. E.; Ibrahim, A. M.; Lin, S. J.; Kaplan, D. L., The use of silk-based devices for fracture fixation. *Nat Commun* 2014, 5, 3385.
2. Liu, K.; Shi, Z.; Zhang, S.; Zhou, Z.; Sun, L.; Xu, T.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, G.; Li, X.; Chen, L.; Mao, Y.; Tao, T. H., A Silk Cranial Fixation System for Neurosurgery. *Advanced Healthcare Materials* 2018, 7 (6).
3. Li, C.; Hotz, B.; Ling, S.; Guo, J.; Haas, D. S.; Marelli, B.; Omenetto, F.; Lin, S. J.; Kaplan, D. L., Regenerated silk materials for functionalized silk orthopedic devices by mimicking natural processing. *Biomaterials* 2016, 110, 24-33.
4. Marelli, B.; Patel, N.; Duggan, T.; Perotto, G.; Shirman, E.; Li, C.; Kaplan, D. L.; Omenetto, F. G., Programming function into mechanical forms by directed assembly of silk bulk materials. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2017, 114 (3), 451-456.
5. Suzuki, Y.; Yamazaki, T.; Aoki, A.; Shindo, H.; Asakura, T., NMR study of the structures of repeated sequences, GAGXGA (X = S, Y, V), in Bombyx mori liquid silk. *Biomacromolecules* 2014, 15 (1), 104-12.
6. Rockwood, D. N.; Preda, R. C.; Yucel, T.; Wang, X.; Lovett, M. L.; Kaplan, D. L., Materials fabrication from Bombyx mori silk fibroin. *Nat Protoc* 2011, 6 (10), 1612-31.
7. Kluge, J. A.; Kahn, B. T.; Brown, J. E.; Omenetto, F. G.; Kaplan, D. L., Optimizing Molecular Weight of Lyophilized Silk As a Shelf-Stable Source Material. *ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering* **2016**, *2* (4), 595-605.
