Operation of a hybrid modular multilevel converter during grid voltage unbalance
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Abstract: The recently proposed parallel hybrid modular multilevel converter is considered to be a low loss, low component count converter with soft switching capability of the ‘main’ H-bridge. The converter has similar advantages to other emerging modular multilevel converter circuits being considered for high-voltage, direct current power transmission and can be made compact which is desirable for offshore application. However, during ac network unbalance the individual ‘chain-links’ (CL) exchange unequal amounts of power with the grid which requires appropriate remedial action. This study presents research into the performance of the converter and proposes a suitable control method that enables the converter to operate during grid voltage unbalance. The proposed control concept involves the use of asymmetric third harmonic voltage generation in the ‘CL’ of the converter to redistribute the power exchanged between the individual ‘CL’ and the grid. Mathematical analysis and simulation modelling with results are presented to support the work described.

1 Introduction

Modular multilevel voltage source converters (M2LC) are being developed for high-voltage, direct current (HVDC) and flexible AC transmission systems (FACTS) applications [1–8]. These converters are expected to offer significant benefits over classical HVDC technology utilising line commutated converters: – improve the quality of the AC current and voltage waveforms while subjecting the semiconductor devices to low stress [9]. These converters are scalable and can be adapted to many high power and high voltage applications. The advantages of M2LCs make them very attractive for HVDC and FACTS applications; a number of which are currently in operation and many more are planned [10, 11]. In the last decade a number of hybrid M2LC [4, 6–8, 12] have been introduced and extensively investigated – all in the attempt to obtain an optimum modular converter topology and make available the advantages of VSCs for HVDC and FACTS applications.

Although, multilevel converters such as the neutral point clamped (NPC) [13] and the flying capacitor converter (FCC) [14] have been investigated for HVDC applications [15, 16], their implementation has been limited by technical challenges. The topological requirement of increasing the number of blocking devices with increasing number of voltage levels in the NPC among other practical challenges (such as uneven loss distribution in the devices) makes practical implementation of the circuit above three level less promising. As investigated in [16, 17], the volume of capacitance required increases with increasing voltage levels with the FCC. Moreover, there are other challenges of balancing the voltage on the flying capacitors during converter operation.

The M2LC [9, 18] offers some interesting advantages for high voltage/power applications: such as high efficiency, modularity, scalability and high quality voltage and current waveforms. However, the M2LC requires complicated control scheme to ensure that the voltages on the floating capacitors are maintained within a tight tolerant band for sustainable converter operation [19] and the number of submodules and the capacitance required for a particular application is significantly higher [20]. When half bridge (HB) submodules are used, the semiconductor efficiency of the M2LC is significantly improved but the system is not able to respond to DC side faults [21] which is a much desired feature in emerging multi-terminal HVDC systems. Using full bridge (FB) submodules allows VSC HVDC system based on M2LC to quickly respond to DC side faults. However, this practice increases the initial investment cost as well as the system running cost as the conduction loss is significantly increased [21, 22].

The alternate arm converter (AAC) [6], a hybrid of the M2LC which employs FB submodules and director switches is capable of blocking DC side faults [23] and improve the capacitor and submodule requirements as compared with the M2LC with FB submodules [20]. However, the converter efficiency and volume can still be improved. An alternate arrangement of the AAC is investigated in [8, 24, 25]. In the alternate arrangement, the voltage shaping submodules are on the AC side and the converter is connected to the DC network through a standard two-level converter arrangement. This provides an opportunity to optimise the number of submodules required. However, the devices in the two-level converter are hard switched, resulting in high switching losses and also the problem of dynamic voltage sharing among the devices in the two-level converter [12].

As part of the efforts towards achieving an efficient modular converter topology for the emerging HVDC and FACTS market, the parallel hybrid modular multilevel voltage source converter (PH-M2L-VSC) [7] was proposed. Research into the performance of the converter in a balanced network is presented in [7, 26–30]. The authors of [31] investigated the operation of the converter with a depressed AC voltage by introducing voltage notches in the AC voltages which has an effect on the DC bus voltage similar to the staggered voltage operation of the converter chain-links (CL) in [5]. Although, voltage support operation of the converter has been validated using the concept, a method of energy management is yet to be validated. The converter uses a cascade of HB submodules to synthesise a full wave rectified multilevel waveform impressed on it by the operation of the associated main H-bridge during balanced network operations. At the zero crossing of the ‘CL’ voltage, the ‘main’ H-bridge units are soft switched to ‘unfold’ the CL voltage into AC at the network frequency. This provides the converter with a desired characteristic of soft switching the devices in the arms of the ‘main’ H-bridge.
The topological arrangement of the converter also favours the use of less energy storage components, making it more compact and more desirable for offshore applications. Other advantages of the converter include high efficiency [28, 31] and fewer devices as compared with a standard MMC. Therefore the running cost of the converter is expected to be significantly lower.

In this paper, operation of the PH-M2L-VSC when connected to an unbalanced grid is investigated. Mathematical analysis and simulation models are used to describe the converter operating characteristics during unbalanced operation. It is shown that the converter CL exchange unequal amounts of power with the grid under unbalanced conditions.

A control algorithm that redistributes the power exchanged between the individual CL and the grid is proposed. The proposed concept involves the use of asymmetric third harmonic voltage control in the CL voltages to avoid mal-operation of the converter during unbalance. From the GB Grid Code and ER P16, the limit of such unbalance that should be tolerated in the system should not exceed 2%. Any amounts of negative sequence exceeding such limits would be classified as fault. However, the work presented in this paper is validated up to 5% unbalance to enable the transition between different control schemes that may be required for converter operation during different scenarios of converter operation.

2 Converter topology and basic operation

As shown in Fig. 1, the PH-M2L-VSC is composed of a CL arrangement and an associated ‘main’ H-bridge unit for each phase. A CL unit is composed of series connection of a number of HB submodules. The three converter CL connected in series on the DC side operate to support the DC bus and are thus required to provide a proportionate DC component which supports the DC voltage always. On the AC side, the three converter phases are decoupled using a transformer configuration with open windings. On the DC side, the converter is connected to the DC network through a reactor. The reactor on the DC side helps reduce the amount of characteristic harmonics in the DC network.

The operation of the PH-M2L-VSC can be illustrated with Fig. 1 and considering that the converter is connected to an AC system which imposes the voltage in (1) on the AC terminal of the converter.

\[ V_x = V_x \sin \left( \omega t - k \frac{2\pi}{3} \right), \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \]  

Given that in this application, the ‘main’ H-bridges are to be soft switched at the zero voltage crossing of the converter AC voltage, the rectified voltage at the DC input of the ‘main’ H-bridges can be described by.

\[ V_{\text{CLS}} = V_{\text{REC}} = V \left| \sin \left( \omega t - k \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right|, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \]  

The soft switching capability of the ‘main’ H-bridge is implemented by simply switching the two diagonal arms of the ‘main’ H-bridge into or out of conduction. For instance given Fig. 1, the switches S1 and S4 will be turned on (S2 and S3 OFF) when (1) is positive and S2 and S3 ON when (1) is negative. With this implementation, the arms of the ‘main’ H-bridge are switched only at the fundamental frequency of the AC system. With the ‘main’ H-bridge operated as a soft switched two-level converter which ‘unfolds’ the voltage imposed at its DC input, tracking of the sinusoidal AC voltage is achieved with the use of the series connected CL. The CL operate to track the full wave rectified sinusoids at the DC input of the ‘main’ H-bridge to facilitate synthesises of the required high quality AC voltage waveforms. This implies \( V_{\text{CLS}} \) is equal to \( V_{\text{DC}} \). The DC component of the voltage synthesised by the three series connected CLs can be obtained as (3) and the DC contribution by the three CLs expressed by (4).

\[ P_{\text{CLS}} = \frac{2}{\pi} V \]  

\[ P_{\text{DCC}} = \sum_{x=a,b,c} P_{\text{CLS}} = \frac{6}{\pi} V \]  

Fig. 1 Converter topology

Neglecting the effect of the DC reactor, for a given DC network the operation of the three CL needs to generate enough voltage to support the DC bus voltage. Considering this constraint, the amplitude of the voltage that can be synthesised by the converter is directly related to the DC bus voltage. This therefore limits the operating range of the converter to a fixed modulation index (MI). Methods of decoupling the AC voltage amplitude whilst supporting the DC voltage have been investigated using the addition or subtraction of triplen harmonic voltages. With the concept of triplen harmonic voltage injection, considering only the dominant component in this example, the decoupled CL voltage in each of the phases \( V_{\text{CLD}} \) can be described with
following equation (Fig. 2).

\[ V_{\text{CLD}} = V \left| \sin\left(\omega t - k \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \alpha \sin(3\omega t) \right|, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \]  
and \( x \in \{a, b, c\} \)

With this implementation, the DC voltage in each CL and the total across the three CLs can be derived as.

\[ V_{\text{CLD}} = \frac{2}{\pi} V \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{3}\right) \]
\[ V_{\text{DCC}} = 3V_{\text{CLD}} = \frac{6}{\pi} V \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{3}\right) \]  

Therefore, with the use of the third harmonic voltage, the DC bus voltage \( V_{\text{DCC}} \) can be maintained with varying AC voltage amplitude at the converter terminal. Given that the CLs cannot generate negative voltages the amount of third harmonic voltage that the CLs can accept has been shown to be within the range of \(-1/3 \leq \alpha \leq 1\), limiting the MI range (7) to 0.785 \( \leq \text{MI} \leq 1.178\).

\[ \text{MI} = \frac{2 \pi}{6(1 + \alpha/3)} \]  

(7)

All these analyses are presented in line with a balanced three-phase system. In such operation, the voltages synthesised by the three converter CLs are displaced 120 electrical degrees apart. The 120 electrical degree phase shift in the ‘CL’ voltages results in attendant \( n \) harmonic voltage in the summed total ‘CL’ voltage as shown in Fig. 3. Though the techniques of \[30, 32\] were developed for symmetrical network operations, they can still be used under unbalanced conditions for ripple reduction, but because of asymmetry, the cancellation will not be as effective as it will be in a symmetrical system. Further quantitative analysis of the ripple reduction under unbalanced conditions is outside the scope of this paper.

\[ \text{MI} = \frac{2 \pi}{6(1 + \alpha/3)} \]  

3 Converter operation during grid voltage unbalance

Investigation of the operation of the PH-M2L-VSC is undertaken considering that the neutral of the converter transformer will not be grounded in implementations where voltage ratio control is achieved with the use of triplen harmonic voltage injection. Therefore there is no circulation of zero sequence components in the converter during unbalance. We consider a system where the grid voltage is composed of positive and negative sequence voltages during unbalance. The unbalance factor (\( \beta \)) represents the ratio of the amplitude of the negative to the positive sequence voltages in the circuit \[32, 33\]. It is considered that the converter is controlled to inject negative sequence voltage proportionate to that in the grid to avoid the circulation of negative sequence current. By so doing, it can be assumed that the current through the system is composed of positive sequence only.

The voltage at the converter terminal is therefore considered to contain a proportionate amount of negative sequence voltage as

\[ V_{\text{unbal}} = V \left(\sin\left(\omega t - k \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \beta \sin\left(\omega t + k \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right), \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \]  

(8)

Which impose the voltages described in (9) on the individual converter CL

\[ V_{\text{CL, unbal}} = V \left(\sin\left(\omega t - k \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \beta \sin\left(\omega t + k \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\right), \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \]  

(9)

For ease of illustration, it is assumed here that the unbalance does not result in extra phase shift between the positive and negative sequence reference frames and therefore the zero crossing instants on phase ‘a’ are not affected. However, the zero crossing time instants of phases ‘b’ and ‘c’ are shifted according to the degree of unbalance in the system. The zero crossing time instants for the voltage on CL ‘b’ can be obtained as \( t_b \) (10) and \( t_c \) (11). Similar equations can be derived for the zero crossing time instants of the voltages on CL ‘c’.

\[ t_b = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(2 \pi + \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\right) \left(1 + \beta^2 + \beta\right)^{1/2} \]  

(10)

\[ t_c = \frac{1}{\omega} \left(-\frac{\pi}{3} + \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\right) \left(1 + \beta^2 + \beta\right)^{1/2} \]  

(11)

Considering that the converter is exchanging only active power with the grid, the current through the system can be represented by

\[ I = I \sin\left(\omega t - k \frac{2\pi}{3}\right), \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2\} \]  

(12)

With an unbalance component of \( \beta \) on the converter voltage, the peak voltage imposed on the CL can be obtained as

\[ \tilde{V}_{cl} = V \left(1 + \beta\right) \]  

(13)

\[ \tilde{V}_{cl}^b = \tilde{V}_{cl} \left(1 + \beta + \beta^2\right) \]  

(14)

The unbalance on the AC side affects the DC component of the individual CL even when the negative sequence voltage introduced by the unbalance is synthesised by the CL to prevent the flow of negative sequence currents. The DC component of the imposed
CL voltages can be derived (15)–(17) for CL ‘a’, ‘b’ and ‘c’

\[
P_{ci}^a = \frac{2V}{\pi} (1 + \beta)
\]

\[
P_{ci}^b = \frac{1}{\pi} V \left(-\cos(\omega t_a) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b) - \beta \left(\cos(\omega t_b) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right)\right)
\]

\[
P_{ci}^c = \frac{1}{\pi} V \left(-\cos(\omega t_c) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_c) - \beta \left(\cos(\omega t_c) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_c)\right)\right)
\]

The variation of the DC component of each ‘CL’ voltage with increasing unbalance factor is shown in Fig. 4.

Considering \(\beta\), the active power exchanged between each converter CL and the grid can be evaluated as

\[
P_{ci} = \frac{2V_{dc\mathrm{CL}}}{\pi} (1 + \beta) - \left(\frac{1 + \beta}{2}\right) V_{ip} \cos \varphi
\]

\[
P_{ci} = \frac{2V_{dc\mathrm{CL}}}{\pi} \left(\cos(\omega t_a) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right)
\]

\[
- \frac{\beta V_{dc\mathrm{CL}}}{\pi} \left(\cos(\omega t_b) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right) - \frac{V_{ip}}{2} \cos \varphi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\beta V_{ip}}{4} \left(\cos \varphi - \sqrt{3} \sin \varphi\right)
\]

\[
P_{ci} = \frac{2V_{dc\mathrm{CL}}}{\pi} \left(\cos(\omega t_b) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right)
\]

\[
- \frac{\beta V_{dc\mathrm{CL}}}{\pi} \left(\cos(\omega t_b) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right) - \frac{V_{ip}}{2} \cos \varphi
\]

\[
+ \frac{\beta V_{ip}}{4} \left(\cos \varphi + \sqrt{3} \sin \varphi\right)
\]

Where \(P_{ci}^a\), \(P_{ci}^b\), and \(P_{ci}^c\) refer to the average power in phases \(a\), \(b\), and \(c\).

From the net power exchange in Fig. 5, it is clear that a remedial action is required for sustainable operation of the converter during grid voltage unbalance.

### 4 Proposed control concept for sustained operation during grid voltage unbalance

It has been shown that the PH-M2L-VSC requires an appropriate remedial action during converter operation with minimal unbalanced grid voltage operation. In [7, 27, 30], the use of triplen harmonics is explored for modulation ratio control in the PH-M2L-VSC allowing PQ control and DC link voltage ripple reduction. In these papers, the studies considered a balanced symmetrical grid with equal amount of triplen harmonic injected in each ‘CL’ to allow fundamental frequency ac converter voltage control.

It shall be demonstrated in this paper that by injecting unequal amounts of triplen harmonics in the converter ‘CL’ voltages proportional to the degree of unbalance, the operation of the converter can be sustained while maintaining power exchange with the grid. Consider the case where the ‘CL’ are to synthesise the unbalance voltages imposed at the AC terminal as in (9) the voltage to be synthesised by the CL can be expressed as (21)–(23) for phases \(a\), \(b\), and \(c\).

\[
V_{ci}^a = V \left[1 + \beta \sin(\omega t) + \alpha_v \sin(3\omega t)\right]
\]

\[
V_{ci}^b = V \left|\sin(\omega t - \frac{2\pi}{3}) + \beta \sin(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}) + \alpha_v \sin(3\omega t - \psi)\right|
\]

\[
V_{ci}^c = V \left|\sin(\omega t + \frac{2\pi}{3}) + \beta \sin(\omega t - \frac{2\pi}{3}) + \alpha_v \sin(3\omega t + \psi)\right|
\]

Where \(\alpha_v\), \(\alpha_\omega\), and \(\alpha_c\), are the proportionate third harmonic voltages to be injected for sustainable operation during unbalance. \(\psi\) is the compensation term for the phase displacement on phases ‘b’ and ‘c’ during the zero voltage soft switching instant due to the unbalance.

From (21)–(23), the new DC component of the ‘CL’ voltages can be obtained for each phase as:

\[
P_{ci}^a = \frac{2}{\pi} V \left[1 + \beta + \frac{\alpha_v}{3}\right]
\]

\[
P_{ci}^b = \frac{1}{\pi} V \left(-\cos(\omega t_b) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right)
\]

\[
- \beta \left(\cos(\omega t_b) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b)\right) + \frac{2}{3} \alpha_v \cos(3\omega t_b - \psi)
\]

\[
P_{ci}^c = \frac{1}{\pi} V \left(-\cos(\omega t_c) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_c)\right)
\]

\[
- \beta \left(\cos(\omega t_c) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_c)\right) + \frac{2}{3} \alpha_v \cos(3\omega t_c + \psi)
\]

Under such conditions, the active power exchanged between each converter ‘CL’ and the grid without reactive compensation can be
expressed for each phase as:

\[
\mathcal{P}_{cl}^c = \frac{2}{\pi} V_{DC} \left( 1 + \beta + \frac{a_c}{3} \right) - \left( \frac{1 + \beta}{2} \right) V_l \tag{27}
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}_{cl}^c = \frac{1}{\pi} V_{DC} \left( -a - \beta c + \frac{2}{3} \alpha_c \cos(3\omega t_c - \psi) \right) - \frac{V_l}{4} (2 - \beta) \tag{28}
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}_{cl}^c = \frac{1}{\pi} V_{DC} \left( -b - \beta d + \frac{2}{3} \alpha_c \cos(3\omega t_c + \psi) \right) - \frac{V_l}{4} (2 - \beta) \tag{29}
\]

Where \( a, b, c \) and \( d \) are described in the following equation.

\[
a = \cos(\omega t_b) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b) \\
b = \cos(\omega t_b) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_b) \\
c = \cos(\omega t_c) + \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_c) \\
d = \cos(\omega t_c) - \sqrt{3} \sin(\omega t_c) \tag{30}
\]

The net power exchanged between the converter CL and the grid (27)–(29) can be set to be equal and zero as required by using the appropriate values of \( \alpha_a, \alpha_b, \) and \( \alpha_c. \)

The values for the required third harmonic voltage to be injected for varying amounts of unbalance can be obtained from the following equation

\[
X = \Lambda^{-1} Y \tag{31}
\]

Where

\[
A = -(1 + \beta)(4 + 2\beta) - (1 + \beta)^2 (\cos(\omega t_b) + \cos(\omega t_c)) + \sqrt{3}(1 + \beta)(1 - \beta) (\sin(\omega t_b) - \sin(\omega t_c)) \tag{34}
\]

\[
B = 2(1 + \beta)(\beta - 2) + (1 + \beta)(-4 + \beta) \cos(\omega t_b) + (2 - \beta) \cos(\omega t_c)) - \sqrt{3}(2 - 1)(-4 + \beta) \sin(\omega t_b) + (2 - \beta) \sin(\omega t_c)) \]

\[
C = 2(1 + \beta)(\beta - 2) + (1 + \beta)(2 - \beta) \cos(\omega t_b) - (4 + \beta) \cos(\omega t_c)) - \sqrt{3}(1 - \beta)(2 - \beta) \sin(\omega t_b) + (4 + \beta) \sin(\omega t_c))
\]

\[
\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \end{bmatrix}
\]

(see (34))

In which the components of \( \gamma \) are the unbalance factor dependant constants in the evaluation of (27)–(29) presented in (34).

Fig. 6 shows the amount of third harmonic components required to sustain converter operation with minimal voltage unbalance (\( \beta \) up to 5%) during normal converter operation. The corresponding power exchanged between the grid and the converter ‘CL’ when the converter operates with the proposed third harmonic injection control is shown in Fig. 7.

It is evident that the proposed third harmonic injection ensures equal and zero net power exchange between the ‘CL’ of the converter and the grid. The asymmetric third harmonic voltage injection therefore ensures ‘natural’ power balance in each of the converter ‘CL’ during unbalanced grid voltage operation. If the amount of asymmetric third harmonic voltage in Fig. 6 is

![Fig. 6 Amount of third harmonic voltage injected in each ‘CL’ for increasing unbalance factor](image)

![Fig. 7 Active power exchanged between the converter ‘CL’ and the grid with increasing voltage unbalance factor when the converter operates with the proposed voltage unbalance control](image)

### Table 1 Simulation parameters

| Parameter                              | Value                |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| supply voltage (L-L)                   | 11 kV                |
| DC bus voltage                         | 20 kV                |
| unbalance factor                       | 1-5%                 |
| cell capacitance                       | 4 mF                 |
| DC Link inductance                     | 22.06 mH             |
| AC side inductance                     | 2.3 mH               |
| nominal cell capacitor voltage         | 1.5 kV               |
considered in the MI range calculation in (7), the new MI range (considering maximum unbalance of 5%) can be obtained from (35) which evaluates to $0.82 \leq MI \leq 1.25$.

$$MI = \frac{\pi}{(3 + \alpha + \alpha_x)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

### 4.1 Modelling and simulation

The proposed concept has been validated using simulation model of a 20 MW 20 kV (DC) converter connected to an 11 kV grid. Techniques for extracting the reference frame components during unbalance \[34\] are used to obtain the unbalance factor ($\beta$) in the grid and the proportion of asymmetric third harmonic voltage ($\alpha_x$) required for sustainable operation is evaluated using the control concept discussed in Section 4.

Table 1 lists the main parameters used in the simulation model.

The model is implemented in PLECS with 10 HB submodules in each CL and a submodule local storage capacitor of 4 mF. Due to the relative low number of submodules used as compared with that which will be implemented in a practical high power and high voltage application, PWM techniques are implemented to improve the voltage and current fidelity. The modelled converter is validated for operation in a balanced system and an unbalanced system – validating the proposed unbalanced control concept. Fig. 8 illustrates the modelled three-phase converter connected to the grid.

### 4.1 Converter control

In balanced three-phase system operation, all the three-phase quantities (voltages and currents) are symmetrical. In such applications it is a well-established concept to implement the AC system controllers in a synchronous reference frame (SRF) where the system parameters are transformed to a rotating reference frame using the Park transform \[35\] which is synchronised to the system frequency. The use of SRF allows the AC quantities to be transformed to DC quantities where classical control schemes such as PI can be applied to achieve the desired performance. However, when there is an unbalance in the AC system, there would be more than one sequence component present in the AC system. In such application, to be able to achieve the benefits of classical control schemes, such as zero steady state error, fast response and fixed switching frequency \[36\], multiple reference frame control schemes could be applied. In the application of the multiple reference frames schemes, it is essential that the different sequence voltage and current components are well extracted and synchronised to the appropriate reference frame to be able to effect the injection of the corresponding sequence currents.

As this paper considers the control of the converter when there is significant negative sequence voltage in the system (up to 5%) during ‘normal’ operation, methods of extracting the two sequence components into the respective SRFs are required. The decoupled double synchronous reference frame (DDSRF) concept presented in \[34\] is adopted to extract the positive and negative sequence voltages and transform to the positive and negative reference frames. Detailed analysis of the DDSRF concept is well presented in \[34\] and therefore not discussed in here. However, the concept as applied in this publication is illustrated in Fig. 9.

As Fig. 9, the unbalance grid voltages are measured and transformed into positive and negative reference frames. The decoupling frame network proposed in \[34\] is used to extract accurately the ripple free dq components in each frame. The obtained ripple free voltages are used in the AC side control (Fig. 10) and the unbalance control technique where the asymmetric third harmonic voltage control is implemented. In the unbalance control block Fig. 9, the unbalance factor is obtained from the extracted positive and negative sequence voltages in the grid. Using the obtained unbalance factor, the amount

**Fig. 8** PH-M2L-VSC connected to an unbalanced AC network

**Fig. 9** Sequence voltage extraction using the frame decoupling network
of third harmonic voltage required for the unbalance control is evaluated from (31).

The AC power management scheme Fig. 10, controls the power exchanged between the power converter and the AC network by injecting positive sequence currents. The current compensator in Fig. 10 is based on PI controllers. The voltage demands from the current controllers are added to the reference grid voltages to obtain the reference converter voltage which is used in the modulation.

To ensure that the DC bus voltage of the converter is maintained during balanced and unbalanced operation, the DC side control structure, Fig. 11, is implemented. The control structure ensures that the power exchanged between the power converter and the AC network is matched with the power exchanged between the power converter and the DC network. Moreover, the DC side control ensures that a symmetrical third harmonic voltage is injected to maintain the DC bus voltage at all times during converter operation through a cascade control of the local submodule storage capacitor and the DC current.

The fundamental AC voltages generated by the AC power management control, the asymmetric third harmonic voltage required for unbalance ratio control and the symmetric third harmonic voltage used for DC voltage ratio control are to be

---

**Fig. 10** AC power management structure

**Fig. 11** DC side control using symmetrical third harmonic injection

**Fig. 12** System voltages and current during balanced and unbalanced operation when the converter exchanges 20 MW with the grid

- a) Exchanged grid currents
- b) Grid voltages during balanced and unbalanced operation
- c) Converter voltages during balanced and unbalanced operation
applied to low and medium voltage M2LCs. In this paper the level
multilevel converters \([\text{M2LC}]\) are controlled with PWM to improve the voltage waveform quality. With the limited number of submodules used in this work, the CL are
controlled with PWM to improve the voltage waveform quality. Multicarrier pulse width modulation schemes such as the phase shifted and the level shifted have been applied to modular multilevel converters \([19, 26]\) and both have shown benefits when applied to low and medium voltage M2LCs. In this paper the level shifted PWM concept presented in \([26]\) is employed to implement the converter cell modulation and to achieve intra-arm local capacitor voltage balancing.

5 Results and discussion

Results from the simulation model set up to validate the proposed control concepts are presented in Figs. 12–14. The line currents when the converter exchanges 20 MW of power with the grid during 5% unbalance operation are presented in Fig. 12a. It can be observed that the currents are of high quality with non-significant distortion.

Grid voltages during balanced and unbalanced operation are presented in Fig. 12b. It can be observed that the presented grid voltages are well balanced until the unbalance is introduced at 100 ms from start of the simulation. The corresponding voltage synthesised by the converter during balanced operation (before 100 ms) and unbalanced operation (after 100 ms) are shown in Fig. 12c.

The submodule capacitor voltages of the ‘CL’ are presented in Fig. 13. It is shown that before 100 ms when the converter exports 20 MW with the balanced grid, the voltages on all the capacitors in the three ‘CL’ pulsate about same nominal value of 1.5 kV. However, the effect of the unbalance is observed on the local submodule capacitor voltage from when the unbalance is introduced until the unbalance control is applied at 150 ms. Clearly, the effect of the unbalance control with asymmetric third harmonic injection is observed on the submodule capacitor voltage when it is applied 50 ms after the unbalance occurs. The effect of the proposed unbalance control concept on the grid currents is shown in Fig. 14. It is shown that for unbalances up to 5% the effect of the residual third harmonic current in the grid due to the control concept is less than 0.6%.

6 Conclusion

A novel method for the control of a parallel hybrid modular multilevel converter during voltage unbalance is proposed. It is shown that sustainable operation of the converter can be achieved during unbalance by injecting a proportionate amount of third harmonic (maximum of 16% third harmonic for 5% unbalance factor) into the ‘CL’. The proposed method has been validated through mathematical analysis and simulation modelling using PLECS. Results from the simulation model have been presented to support the performance of the converter during grid voltage unbalance.
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