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Abstract

Logistics of roundwood and biomass comprise a high number of operations, machinery, storage sites and transportable roundwood and biomass assortments. Moreover, complex and highly varying operational environment through the year poses logistics challenges incurring additional costs. An extensive review of studies was conducted in Sweden and Finland concerning roundwood and biomass logistics, starting from roadside landings and ending with delivery to a mill or a conversion facility. The main aim of the review was to describe trends in roundwood and biomass logistics since the start of the century. Papers were classified to categories of truck transports and roads, terminals, multimodal transports, storage and supply chain logistics. Slightly over 50% of reviewed articles were constrained to biomass only, 31% to roundwood only and 14% to both. Rapid technology development, amendments concerning road transports, increasing environmental concerns and forestry sector’s push to decrease the logistics costs can be seen as the biggest drivers for the reviewed studies and their study objectives. These aspects will also drive and increase the demand for research and development in roundwood and biomass logistics in the future.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Roundwood and Biomass Production and Harvesting

The forest industry in Sweden and Finland uses both mechanical and chemical processing of wood. The countries are two of the world’s largest exporters of sawn timber and plywood (Metsätilastollinen vuosikirja 2014, Skogsstatistisk årsbok 2014). The forest industry has a broad influence on society, and the forest cluster is the main source of income for many regions (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). In 2017, roundwood consumption in forest industries was 69.7 million m³ in Finland (OSF) and 85.6 million m³ in Sweden (Ylitalo 2018, Swedish Forest Agency 2019).

The management of commercial forests in Finland and Sweden is predominantly based on even-aged forestry, with a typical rotation period of 60–80 years from regeneration to felling (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). During the rotation period, forest sites are managed with repeated intermediate cutting (i.e. selective thinning), whereby trees of little economic value or poor quality, and the smallest trees, are removed to meet the targeted tree density and thickness (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). The aim of silviculture is to maximise the yield of the most valuable roundwood assortments in the forest.

In Sweden and Finland, logging is based on the cut-to-length (CTL) method, both in thinning and regeneration cutting (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). In the CTL
method, the stem is both delimbed and crosscut into multiple wood assortments on the site with a single-grip harvester. Immediately after cutting, wood assortments (saw timber, pulp and energy assortments) are transported by a forwarder to the roadside landing. Here, logs and biomass are piled in different assortments and temporarily stored before road transport to the end-use facility or terminal (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015).

To qualify as sawlogs, tree sections must be sufficiently straight and thick, which is why sawlogs are generally harvested at the end of the rotation period. Sawlog assortments are further processed into various wood products such as boards, balks, plywood and planks (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). Stems and stem sections with smaller diameters and/or lower quality than sawlogs are cross-cut as pulpwood assortments, which are harvested mainly by thinning. Pulpwood is processed at pulp mills before use in the production of paper and cardboard (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). By-products of sawmills and plywood mills (wood chips and saw dust) are either used for pulping, chipboard manufacture, or in heat and power generation.

Cutting of roundwood also creates residues – tops, branches, non-merchantable roundwood, small-diameter trees, and stumps. An increasing proportion of this forest raw material is collected, chipped, and incinerated to generate energy in heat and power plants, as a substitute for fossil fuels (Avain Suomen metsäteollisuuteen 2004, Forests and Forestry in Sweden 2015). The consumption of forest industry by-products (e.g. bark and sawdust) and wood residues for heat and energy production in 2018 was 11.4 million m³ in Finland, and the consumption of forest chips was 7.4 million m³ in Finland (OSF) and 7.6 million m³ in Sweden (Wood in energy generation 2019, Swedish Energy Agency 2019).

In Finland, 60% of forest land is privately owned, while in Sweden the figure is slightly smaller (50%) (Ihalainen and Vaahera 2018, Swedish Forest Agency 2019). The high proportion of private ownership of forests means that woodlots are rather small, and the great variation in soil bearing conditions and stage in forest development means that logging sites can also be relatively small. Depending on the standing volume of the site and the cutting type (pre-commercial thinning, first thinning, second thinning, final felling), the extraction from each harvesting site varies typically from 50 to 1500 m³, averaging 400–600 m³ in Finland (Jylhä et al. 2019). The number of wood assortments cut from harvesting sites can vary between one and 16 (Jylhä et al. 2019), depending on the number of tree species, the size and quality of trees and the sales agreement. The scattered roadside landings, together with the large number of timber assortments and varying volumes, have a strong impact on supply logistics (i.e. logistical efficiency and management) from landings to end-use facilities (e.g. Uusitalo 2005, Nurminen and Heinonen 2007).

1.2 Roundwood and Biomass Supply Logistics in a Nordic Context

The term »logistics« derives from the military and war domain. According to the glossary prepared by the Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals...

![Fig. 1](image-url) Fig. 1 A generalised view of the supply chain for forest biomass, from stump to end-use facility. Sawlog and pulpwood flows are characterised by relatively short storage times in the forest and at landings and terminals as the customers demand fresh wood. However, during sub-zero temperatures storage times can increase at landings and terminals. Supply of energy wood, on the other hand involves more and longer storage of material at all nodes in the supply chain.
plans, implements, and controls the efficient, effective forward and reverse flow and storage of goods, services and related information between the point of origin and the point of consumption in order to meet the customers’ requirements. « The main activities in logistics management involve inbound and outbound transportation management, fleet management, warehousing, materials handling, order fulfilment, logistics network design, inventory management, supply/demand planning, and management of third-party logistics service providers (CSCMP 2019).

Broadly speaking, supply logistics for roundwood and biomass start when the trees are felled and processed to logs according to customer specifications regarding dimension and quality, and end when the product is delivered to the customer (Fig. 1). The harvesting activity is dictated by the demand for sawlogs and pulpwood in the forest industry, stumpage prices of timber, forest owners’ willingness to sell timber, logging conditions (e.g. thaw and wet seasons), and thinning needs. Biomass for energy is typically a by-product of final felling, except when it is collected from early thinning. The demand for industrial roundwood is relatively constant throughout the year, except for a dip in sawmill production during the summer vacation period (Venäläinen et al. 2017a). In contrast, the demand for biomass for energy is seasonal, and strongly dependent on the temperature (Gadd and Werner 2013).

Short lead time for timber is important in the supply chain of industrial roundwood, mainly due to the need to maintain timber quality (i.e. added value potential) and minimise the capital tied up in purchased timber (Venäläinen et al. 2017a). However, difficulties in accessing logging sites during the season when roads are in a poor state and low bearing capacity of forest soil (i.e. terrain trafficability) during thaw and rainy periods, particularly at sensitive sites, causes variation in timber procurement and supply. Consequently, roundwood must be stored for longer, to ensure a constant supply of wood to industry. This increases logging volumes during winter and timber transports during late winter, when timber is transported from landings on roads with poor trafficability to intermediate storage (i.e. terminals) next to better-quality roads. For energy assortments, wood storage is necessary to meet the seasonal demand for biomass. Storage also provides an opportunity to reduce moisture content, thereby increasing the value of the biomass and improving transport efficiency. In the Nordic region, seasonality in forest biomass harvesting presents additional and specific challenges to the supply logistics.

Although forest biomass supply chains use various modes of transportation, all biomass on the landings is transported by truck, either directly to the mill or to a terminal for storage and/or reloading to rail or waterborne transport. The primary characteristics of biomass transport by truck in Sweden and Finland are:

- the continuously changing starting point of transport, with varying numbers and amounts of wood assortments
- the dense network of small forest roads and private roads with varying degrees of trafficability
- public roads with good trafficability and better road maintenance (e.g. Malinen et al. 2014).

The number of roadside landings is high – in 2016, roundwood and energy biomass was collected from about 244,000 unique landings in Sweden (Davidsson and Asmoarp 2019). Rules and regulations applying to both private and public roads also affect transport, and may restrict truck transports at certain times of the year. Terminals are used to secure wood supply, but come with the additional costs of establishment, extra handling of stored material, and longer total transport distance. Another aspect is that cost- and energy-efficient long-distance transport methods are vital because of the large procurement areas of the forest industry and distant location of parts of the feedstock.

In the Nordic context, three supply chain modes dominate, both for industrial roundwood and biomass for energy:

- direct transport by trucks
- transport by trucks via terminal
- transport using multimodal transport methods, using trucks for inbound logistics and railways or waterways for outbound logistics.

Supply modes for energy biomass can be even more numerous, according to the type and form of biomass during transport, and/or by the timing and positioning of comminution in the supply chain. Consequently, there can be more different supply chain types for energy biomass than for industrial roundwood.

At the start of the century, timber trucks with a maximum gross vehicle weight (GVW) of 60 t and a length of 24 metres (25.25 if EU-modules were used) were permitted on most roads in Sweden and Finland (Anttila et al. 2012). To attain this GVW, most vehicles consisted of a 3-axle lorry with a 4-axle trailer, to comply with the statutory limits for maximum axle loads. Vehicles for timber transport were configured in either of the two ways:
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1.3 Key Drivers and Challenges in Developing Roundwood and Biomass Logistcs

Roundwood and biomass transport and logistics is constantly evolving, due to rapid technological advances, tough global competition in end-product markets and the pressure to reduce environmental impacts. In Sweden and Finland, new legislation relating to vehicle weights and spatial dimensions of trucks in road transport has led to upgrades in the transport fleets operating on roads today. In addition, High Capacity Transport (HCT) vehicles for forest transports, exceeding the country-specific limits for maximum GVWs and dimensions at the time of the tests, have been tested in both Finland and Sweden (Asmoarp et al. 2018, Venäläinen and Poikela 2019). HCT vehicles henceforth refers to vehicles with a GVW exceeding 60 tonnes, as this was the maximum GVW in 2010 in both countries. International and national regulations and agreements relating to the climate and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have increased the importance of developing transport and logistics solutions with greater energy efficiency and lower exhaust emissions. This has increased interest in multimodal transport solutions where feasible.

Due to the greater availability, accuracy and detail of data, as well as development of IT systems using the data, forest biomass logistics systems can now be controlled and managed with greater precision and efficiency. In recent years, a lot of software has been developed for controlling and managing biomass supply logistics. Solutions for open-sourced, multi-client and/or contractor-focused fleets, workshift and storage management systems are now used widely in Finland and Sweden for industrial roundwood.

Storms, wildfires and insects sometimes cause major disturbances in the forest production system. For example, the current situation in Central Europe with spruce bark beetle is very serious in many regions. However, major disturbances occur frequently even under normal conditions, and the production system must be flexible enough to handle them. Forest fuel products have high seasonal fluctuations due to the shifting demand in heat production, which causes inefficiencies such as low annual machine utilisation rates, and presents challenges to contractors in forest fuel production.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

A number of review articles on roundwood and biomass logistics have been published in recent years (Routa et al. 2013, Wolfsmyr and Rauch 2014, Ghaffariyan et al. 2017, Erber and Kühmaier 2017, Kogler and Rauch 2018, Koirala et al. 2018, Kühmaier and Erber 2018, Malladi and Sowlati 2018, Acuna et al. 2019, Spinelli et al. 2019). However, there has been no review of studies focusing on the Nordic countries, where supply is characterised by high volumes and long distances. Much of the research is available only in local languages, which further highlights the demand for a review in English.

The main aim of this review was to describe trends in roundwood and biomass logistics with a special focus on transportation, terminals and storage in Finland and Sweden since the start of the century, and to set this in an international perspective. Some key issues relating to the structure and behaviour of the logistics system are discussed in connection with the results.
2. Materials and Methods

The review gives a general overview of biomass logistics in Sweden and Finland, including the dominant supply chain types and proportions of operational volumes. It identifies the trends in these supply chains since the turn of the century. The review also highlights the role of legislation and regulation, which has a distinct impact on biomass logistics. The focus is then widened into the building blocks of biomass logistics, defined as:

- truck transport and roads
- terminal concepts and terminal logistics
- storage of wood
- multimodal transport
- supply chain logistics.

The fifth building block, supply chain logistics, presents an overview of research methods and key findings from some of the reviewed papers.

The work was limited to roundwood and forest biomass logistics, starting from roadside landings and ending with delivery to the mill or forest biomass refining and conversion facilities. Studies on roadside landing activities were not included, unless there was a connection with other logistics operations. Moreover, the analysis did not include biomass reception and log yard logistics, so in this review the logistic chain ends at the mill/facility gate.

Reviewed papers were categorised using the predefined result indicators: productivity, cost efficiency, resource utilisation, energy efficiency (i.e. fuel consumption, dry-matter losses, energy content), GHG emissions, social aspects, transport safety, payload efficiency, and roads.

The literature review focused on peer-reviewed articles (including doctoral theses) and non-reviewed publications. The latter were typically results of national R&D projects and were presented in local languages. Master’s theses were not systematically reviewed. However, a small number of Master’s theses were included in cases where these presented unpublished, important findings in the field of supply chain logistics. The article search was conducted in October – November 2019. However, several new articles were added during the review process until July 2020. Relevant publications were found using a combination of Google Scholar and snowball sampling through the literature of already selected articles. The main keywords used in the search were »biomass«, »timber«, »logistics«, »transport«, »terminal«, »roundwood«, »energy wood«, »supply chain«, and »trucking«. Sometimes, a combination of several keywords was used (e.g. »timber trucking Finland« or »truck transport timber Finland«). In addition, all the issues of the following report series since 2000 were systematically scanned for relevant reports:

- Metsätehon tuloskalvosarja (http://www.metsateho.fi/kategoria/tuloskalvosarja/)
- Metsätehon raportti (http://www.metsateho.fi/kategoria/raportti/)
- Skogforsk kunskapsbanken (https://www.skogforsk.se/kunskap/kunskapsbanken/)

![Classification of reviewed papers according to publication year and assortment](image-url)
Based on the search and the scan, the study material consisted of a total of 138 papers which are presented in chapters 3.3 – 3.7. Of these, 86 were peer-reviewed papers, 6 Master’s theses and 46 other reports. In Fig. 2 the papers were classified according to publication year and assortment (roundwood/biomass). Slightly over half of the papers focused on biomass only, nearly one third on roundwood only and 14% on both. Two papers dealt solely with roads.

Cost efficiency, resource utilisation, energy efficiency and productivity were the most common topics in roundwood publications (Fig. 3). In biomass publications cost and energy efficiency and productivity gained most attention.

3. Results

3.1 Changes in Legislation Affecting Roundwood and Biomass Logistics

Legislation and policies with significant influence on the forestry logistics system include regulations on vehicle configuration and weights. In both Finland and Sweden, permitted vehicle dimensions and GVWs have been increased in recent years.

In Finland, the following limits to the physical dimensions of a truck-trailer combination were set in 2013: total vehicle length 25.25 m, width 2.6 m and height 4.4 m (Valtioneuvoston asetus 407/2013). Since January 2019, the maximum permitted length of a truck has been 13 m (increased from 12 m) and of a combination 34.5 m (Valtioneuvoston asetus 31/2019). The maximum GVW is 68 t for an 8-axle combination vehicle (3+5 or 4+4 axles in truck and trailer respectively) and 76 t for a 9-axle combination (4+5 axles). The condition is that 65% of trailer axes must have twin tyres, otherwise the maximum weights are 64 and 69 t. Previously in Finland, the standard truck-trailer unit consisted of a three-axle truck and four-axle trailer resulting in a 60 t legal gross weight and 22 m total vehicle length (e.g. Nurminen and Heinonen 2007, Valtioneuvoston asetus 407/2013).

In Sweden, the maximum GVW was increased to 64 t on most roads in 2015 and to 74 t on selected roads in 2017 (Asmoarp et al. 2018). Maximum vehicle lengths, however, have not been changed and remain at 25.25 m for trucks using EU-modules or 24 m for the classic truck and 4-axle trailer (wagon) combinations (von Hofsten 2019).

After the deregulation of railway transport in Finland in 2007, it was anticipated that competition would emerge in timber transport by rail (Saranen 2009). However, the state railway company VR remains the only operator involved in the transport of roundwood. The administrative regulations in rail transport, especially lead times in applying for access, are limiting the flexibility of the system (Enström and Winberg 2009). The increased capacity in the rail system has not kept up with road transport developments, although some attempts have been made to increase length and total weight of trains in both Finland and Sweden (Vierth 2014, Venäläinen 2019).
Regulations relating to forestry management and business agreements also influence the logistics system, for example by limiting the time during which fallen wood can be left in the forest or on roadside landings due to risk of insect outbreaks (Laki metsätuhojen torjunnasta 2013). Measurement of forest products (wood assortments) is regulated by law both in Sweden and Finland. Since 2013 and 2014, forest fuel assortments have been included in the legislation relating to measurements, regulating the accuracy of measurements, e.g. in the first stage of trade from the forest owner (Laki puutavar lan mittauksesta 2013, Lag om virkesmätning 2014). This makes it necessary to accurately measure all forest products before material is stored at a terminal, since this is where the traceability of individual truck loads is usually lost.

### 3.2 Supply Chains and Development Trends

For truck transport, 3-4-axle tractors and 4-5-axle trailers dominate the transportation of wood supplying forest and energy industries in Finland and Sweden (Strandström 2018a, Strandström 2018b, von Hofsten 2019). In Finland in 2017, 75% of the industrial roundwood transported was brought to the mill by road either directly or via terminals (Strandström 2018a). Forest chips are transported by chip trucks with solid frames or interchangeable container trucks to the power and heating plants; currently, only a few large CHP installations can use rail or water transportation.

A solid-frame truck-trailer vehicle is also the most commonly used system for the transport of by-product in the forest industry (Karttunen et al. 2012a, Laitila et al. 2016a). Comminution at terminals represents 33% of all forest chip supply volumes in Finland (Strandström 2018b). When storage of chips and uncomminuted material at terminals is added, forest chip supply via terminals is estimated at approximately 35–40% of all volumes. The supply of roundwood via terminals (i.e. intermediate storage) is not available in either Finland or Sweden, but the share of truck transports from terminals has increased in recent years.

Railways are the dominant transport method in Nordic in Sweden and Finland for long-distance transport of forest biomass. The average transport distance by rail in Finland in 2017 was 274 km (Strandström 2018a). The dominating system for rail transport in the Nordic countries is a unit train, which is a chartered train with full load for a specific customer, e.g. a forest company or a big energy producer (Orgård 1998). Railway transportation accounts for 23% of the total transported volume, and waterway transportation (by floating or barge) accounts for 2% (Strandström 2018a).

In Sweden about 15% of roundwood was transported by rail in 2014 (Svensson 2017).

In Finland, the proportion of logs floated along inland waterways has been decreasing steadily since the start of the century, and now represents less than 1% of all transports, despite the high cost-efficiency of this method over long distances (Strandström 2018a, Venäläinen 2018). The volumes transported by barge have remained stable. Small amounts of domestic timber and forest fuel are transported by ship in the Gulf of Bothnia (e.g. Seppälä 2019). In Sweden, no wood is transported by inland waterway.

Comminution is a key part of the forest chip supply chain, taking place on the logging site, on the roadside landing, at a terminal, or at the plant (Hakkila 2004, Kärhä 2011, Eriksson et al. 2013, Routa et al. 2013). A system where wood is comminuted on the roadside landing is integrated with truck transportation, and can increase idling times for both the chipper and trucks (Asikainen 1995, Routa et al. 2013, Eriksson et al. 2013).

Both in Finland and in Sweden, comminuting on the roadside landing is the predominant practice in the supply system for logging residues from final fellings and small trees from thinning. In Finland in 2017, 54% of forest chips were comminuted on roadside landings (Strandström 2018b). About 33% of forest chips were produced at the terminals and 12% were comminuted at end-use facilities (Strandström 2018b). Comminuting in the terrain has ceased in Finland (Strandström 2018b). The conventional supply chain consists of one truck mounted chipper and two or three container-based chip trucks with trailers (Routa et al. 2013). Comminuting at the terminal or at the end-use facility is the leading method for producing fuel chips from stumps or rotten non-merchantable roundwood, however the proportion of the chip production system based on comminuting at the end-use facility has decreased recently, mainly due to reduced bundling and stump harvesting volumes in Finland (Strandström 2018b).

In Sweden about 90% of the logging residues and small trees are comminuted on roadside landings, while most of the defect roundwood used for energy is comminuted at terminals (Brunberg 2014, 2016). For chipping on landings, the most commonly used systems are forwarder-mounted chippers in combination with container trucks or self-loading chip trucks, and chipper trucks (Brunberg 2016, Eliasson 2016). However, the supply systems for biomass are constantly evolving, and there is a need for more versatile systems that can handle the variation in demand (Eliasson 2016).

In Sweden, attempts have been made to find supply systems less prone to delays caused by machine interactions than is the case with the dominant system with
a forwarder-mounted chipper and container trucks. One system introduced on a large scale is the chipper-truck (Eliasson 2011a), which chips the residues and transports the chips to the customer. The advantages were the absence of interactions and the fact that the system is easy to manage. However, problems occurred with chipper-trucks catching fire and breaking down (Eliasson et al. 2016). When these events occur, chip supply to the customer is immediately shut down as there are no buffers in the system. Another option investigated was to break the interaction by allowing the chipper to pile chips on the landing and use a self-loading chip truck for transport (Liss 2006).

The drawback with the chipping at the roadside method is that it relies on road access to the roadside landing at the time when the demand of biomass for heat and energy is high, unless the chips are transported to a terminal for storage with the increased handling costs and a higher risk of degradation (see chapters 3.4 and 3.5). An obvious alternative is to transport the uncomminuted material to a terminal and chip it when needed using more efficient chippers compared to those used at roadside. As loose material is expensive to transport over long distances, compaction (bundling) of the material is beneficial to reduce the transport costs (Hakkila 2004). Large efforts have been made to develop efficient baling machines and supply systems for baling, chipping and transporting logging residues and small trees in Finland (Laitila et al. 2009, Kärhä and Vartiamäki 2006, Kärhä et al. 2011, Laitila et al. 2013, Nuutinen and Björnheden 2016, Nuutinen et al. 2016), Sweden (Lindroos et al. 2010, Eliasson 2011b) and elsewhere in Europe (Spinelli and Magagnotti 2009, Spinelli et al. 2012, Sánchez-Garcia et al. 2015). However, in Sweden the baling of logging residues was found to be too costly to be competitive against roadside chipping, the most important reason not being the bundling cost (Eliasson 2011b), but because it is difficult to efficiently plan the operations and thereby recover the bundling costs through cost reductions in extraction, transport and chipping.

While the structure of roundwood supply chains has largely remained unchanged since 2000, biomass energy supply chains have changed significantly in the past 20 years. These changes concern both the equipment used (Hakkila 2004, Thorsén et al. 2011, Palmér et al. 2016) and improved planning and supply chain management (Windisch 2015, Iwarsson Wide 2016, Vääätäinen 2018, Prinz 2019).

3.3 Truck Transport and Roads

In the early years of this century, it was suggested that increased payloads, achieved either through lower tare weights or increased GVW, would increase fuel economy and reduce transport costs and CO2 emissions (Löfroth and Nordén 2003). Other potential positive effects on road wear, road safety and number of drivers needed prompted the idea to increase the capacity of truck combinations. Fifteen professionals representing transport contractors, wood-buying companies, forest and energy industries, and other experts stated that the biggest problems facing the logistics of industrial roundwood and wood for energy production were fuel prices, problems with the recruitment of drivers and the conditions of the road network (Vääätäinen et al. 2014).

At a time when the legal limit for GVW was 60 t, Väkevä et al. (2000) and Nurminen and Heinonen (2007) studied costs, time and carried out follow-up studies of timber trucks. Väkevä et al. (2000) examined the time and cost aspects of timber collection and truck transport when loads were single-sourced (i.e. from one landing) compared with multi-sourced (from multiple landings to fill the load). The costs were 2.8% higher when 50% of all loads were multi-sourced loads than if all were single-sourced (Väkevä et al. 2000). Nurminen and Heinonen (2007) found that, in single-source loading, for 19% of the time the trucks were driven empty, 21% of time was spent on log deck activities, 33% on driving loaded, 16% on unloading, and 10% on repairs, breakdowns and other driving. Corresponding figures for multi-sourced loading where 16%, 22%, 26%, 15% and 10%, with an additional 11% spent on driving between decks.

Sweden started the first trials with larger trucks. Both 25.25 m trucks with 74 t GVW and a 30 m truck with 90 t GVW were tested on selected roads (Löfroth and Svensson 2012). These larger vehicle combinations were shown to reduce fuel consumption and transport costs by 7% to 20% compared to the then standard 60 t vehicles (Fogdestam and Löfroth 2015). These results led the industry to start exerting pressure on politicians and road authorities to revise the maximum GVW on public roads. In Finland, seven HCT combinations transporting roundwood and five transporting chips have been in operation (Venäläinen and Poikela 2019).

In two follow-up studies of a wood procurement company, the reduction in fuel consumption of 6.2–12.5% was observed soon after GVWs and dimensions were changed by law in 2013 (Palander 2016, 2017). However, Palander (2017) stated that the reduction in fuel consumption would be even greater after the sector had fully adopted the higher limits. According to Venäläinen and Poikela (2019), fuel consumption and transport costs from roadside landing to mill could be reduced by 10% by using an 84 t combination rather
than a 76 t combination. In transportation starting from a terminal with 84 and 104 t combinations, the possible cost savings have been estimated to as much as 20% (Venäläinen and Poikela 2019). Sauna-aho et al. (2018) simulated fuel consumption for a standard 76 t combination and a 100 t HCT combination. They found that the HCT combination could reduce fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions by 14–16% compared to the standard combination. Svenson and Fjeld (2016) applied a regression model when investigating the impact of road geometry and surface roughness on the fuel consumption of conventional 60 t trucks using the vehicles’ CAN-bus data. Their study showed an average fuel consumption of 71.4 litres per 100 kilometres.

In Finland the impact of increased GVWs on load capacity and axle weights in practice was evaluated in 2013–2014 by Näsärö and Korpilahti (2015). They noted the difficulty of attaining maximum GVW with four-axle trucks without a loader and when transporting light pulpwood in summertime. A similar result was obtained by Palander and Kärhät (2017), who reported the difficulty of attaining full GVW when transporting 3.5 m and 4 m pulpwood without a loader.

Heinonen (2017) compared three HCT combination vehicles (length 31–34.5 m, GVW 90–104 t) with reference vehicles of standard size (length 25.25 m, GVW 64–76 t). Although it took longer to overtake HCT trucks, and queues behind HCT trucks were longer compared to reference trucks, the differences in overtaking safety were minor. The mobility of the tested HCT combinations in terms of slipping, stability and braking is good enough for normal traffic conditions (Pirnes et al. 2018). It has been estimated that wider introduction of 84 t combinations for roundwood transport could reduce the total number of kilometres driven by about 5%, but could result in some transports being shifted from rail to roads (Lapp and Likkanen 2017).

According to Laitila et al. (2016a) and Prinz et al. (2019), large chip truck alternatives, such as the 69 t truck-trailer unit or the 76 t truck-trailer option, are mainly used for transporting forest industry by-products or forest chips from terminals to heat and power plants in Finland. In the study, the 69 t truck-trailer proved its feasibility when the bulk weight of transported material did not limit the payload (e.g. industry by-products or dry forest chips with low bulk weight density). With denser forest industry by-products, such as sawmill wood chips and bark, the 76 t truck-trailer was more feasible (Laitila et al. 2016a). Prinz et al. (2019) studied forest chips transport from roadside landings to a plant. The modern 69 t truck-trailer equipped with electronic trailer steering system increased annual deliveries to the plant by approximately 10% and with a lower transport cost than a 60 t chip truck. According to Laitila et al. (2016a) and Prinz et al. (2019), the higher moisture content of chips, and thereby larger bulk weight, increased transport costs by lowering the energy density of biomass and, ultimately, prohibited full utilisation of the loading capacity of the truck-trailers in terms of load volume.

In a global perspective, the road density in Finland is very high. The average forwarding distance varies from 300–400 m in southern Finland to roughly 1000 m in parts of northern Finland (Uotila and Viitala 2000). Unfortunately, maintenance of both public and private roads has suffered from lack of funding, and approximately 9% of total transports are on roads that are in poor condition (https://www.liikennefakta.fi/turvalisuus/tieliikenne/tieverkon_kunto). Any extra funding should be earmarked for improving the current road network rather than extending it. Poor condition of roads and lack of winter maintenance were also seen as problems by truck contractors (Malinen et al. 2014, Väätäinen et al. 2014). A number of bridges already restrict GVW because they cannot support the current maximum weight. At the same time, the GWV of trucks has increased, accentuating the importance of up-to-date and comprehensive road information to improve planning of road maintenance and transports. For this reason, a service platform of private road information is currently being planned (Venäläinen et al. 2019). The platform would combine data from existing sources (such as the national road and street database and data from forest companies) with advanced data collection methods (e.g. remote sensing and CAN bus data).

Road wear in all road classes and rutting of road surfaces, especially on gravel roads, is unavoidable when driving with heavy weights, particularly on poor-quality roads and during wet seasons. After the change in legislation for transports, new truck concepts were expected to reduce stress on roads, because of lower axle weights and the obligation for twin tyres (Näsärö and Korpilahti 2015). A reduction of road wear of as much as 20% has been estimated if a 100 t HCT combination is used instead of a standard 76 t combination on paved roads (Sauna-aho et al. 2018). However, the simulation study by Sauna-aho et al. (2018) showed that the advantage of the HCT combination in terms of road wear is dependent on the load weight. Road wear caused by the standard combination was lower than the one of the HCT combination when wood was very light, otherwise the HCT combination caused lower road wear.

Aimed at facilitating transports in poorer road conditions and reducing road maintenance costs, the Central Tyre Inflation (CTI) system has been tested and
adopted to some extent in Finland and Sweden. In Finland, the state forest company Metsähallitus has started operating CTI trucks in its timber transports. The system has been shown to reduce rutting, and reduced tyre pressure also helped on steep slopes and soft roads (Siekkinen and Korpilahti 2015). However, the experiences of truck contractors were less positive, reporting only a minor increase in transported volumes and that CTI provided only little assistance in difficult conditions (Keränen and Malinen 2019). Above all, the contractors felt that the distribution of benefits and costs with CTI was unfair.

### 3.4 Terminal Concepts and Terminal Logistics

In the Nordic context of secured and efficient biomass logistics, the Nordic studies have identified several reasons to use terminals as a part of the supply chain. Terminals enable the supply system to cope with thaw conditions and seasons of poor road quality, and meet legislation requirements regarding the prevention of insect damage. Biomass supply via terminals secures the supply to end-use facilities at times when direct supply from roadside landings is minimal (Termintaalitoiminnot… 2017). Log yards or storage sites next to mills and power plants may sometimes be limited in size, so feed-in terminals may be required to ensure a constant feed of biomass to the processing or conversion facilities (Väätäinen et al. 2017). Terminals offer a better environment for controlling fuel properties of forest chips (moisture, mixing, particle size etc.) and volumes, and for comminuting forest biomass (Kons et al. 2014). Terminals can alleviate problems caused by the seasonality of fuel demand and variation in supply from roadside landings, and even weekly variations for the big biorefineries, by balancing the supply of biomass feed through the year (Venäläinen and Ovaskainen 2016, Väätäinen et al. 2017). Additional storage of roundwood at terminals may be essential after severe large-scale forest damage (e.g. storm damages). Various sizes of terminals are also used for timber exchange between forest industries and accumulation buffers for the low-volume assortments when transporting mixed assortment loads (Venäläinen and Ovaskainen 2016). Finally, terminals are typically used at nodes of multimodal supply chains, where transport mode (railway, waterway) or size of transport unit (trucks with bigger payloads) changes. Venäläinen et al. (2017b) described the purposes of terminal use and the location of these terminal types in the supply chain of roundwood and energy wood in more detail.

Various kinds of ownership structures and co-operatives operate terminals, but big end-use facilities (biorefineries) largely rely on their own network of terminals. The closer the terminal is located to the end-use facility, the more often it is owned or co-owned by the user company (Enström et al. 2013). In Sweden, 10 million t of wood annually is transported through 50 loading terminals, according to transport data from 2016 and 2018 (Davidsson and Asmoarp 2019, Asmoarp et al. 2019). In Finland, the corresponding figures were 90 loading terminals and 8.2 million t in 2016 (Ilkkainen and Lapp 2018). For large pulpmills and biorefineries, a carefully planned terminal network and transport logistics via terminals are essential in securing the supply of timber with high volumes.

Enström et al. (2013) and Kons et al. (2014) classified biomass terminals according to location, size and ownership. In a Finnish–Swedish perspective, three types of terminals for energy biomass were identified by Virkkunen et al. (2015), according to their purpose and location. A satellite terminal is located near fuel resources, away from usage sites. These terminals are often large and complex fuel-processing and storage sites, with the option of using rail or barge for secondary transport. The feed-in terminal is a second type, functioning as an extra storage facility close to the end user to balance fuel supply when storage space at the heat or power production facility is insufficient. The third type of fuel terminal is the upgrading terminal, where possible ways of upgrading fuel include artificial or natural drying (post- or pre-comminution), sieving, blending and densifying (post-comminution).

According to the forest energy terminal survey by Kons et al. (2014), 74% of terminals were smaller than 2 ha, 8% were bigger than 5 ha, and the rest were 2–5 ha. For roundwood assortments, the small terminals (<2ha) handled approximately half of the volume flow. Venäläinen and Ovaskainen (2016) studied industrial roundwood, and estimated that up to 2300 small intermediate storage sites are used as short-term terminals next to truck routes to industries.

Terminal productivity and costs have been calculated for energy biomass (e.g. Rinne 2010, Brunberg 2014, Virkkunen et al. 2015, Virkkunen et al. 2016). Virkkunen et al. (2015) calculated that comminution accounted for roughly 2/3 of the total terminal cost. According to Rinne (2010), stationary chippers were 50–60% more productive and roughly 17% more cost-efficient than mobile chippers for all biomass types. Results were 180 MWh/E15 for productivity and EUR 1.32/MWh for costs relating to the logging residues. Handling costs represented 10% of the total cost for forest fuel terminals. Karttunen et al. (2012b) found the figure of EUR 0.6–0.9/MWh for unloading, loading, piling and storage of chips, based on a Finnish case
Establishment costs for terminals vary greatly, according to circumstances such as the extent of ground work required, pavement, measurement facilities and investments in connecting roads or possibly rail connections. For the comminuted material, a paved surface is an advantage for improving fuel quality, but Kons et al. (2014) reported that 31% of forest fuel terminals had no paved area. Virkkunen et al. (2015) calculated that terminal establishment costs were approximately 5% of the total costs of forest fuel terminals, if comminution was included in costs. Enström (2009) found the higher cost of EUR 230,000/ha for the establishment of a terminal area. When the railway connection into the terminal was included, Frosch and Thorén (2010) reported that the total train operating costs between two terminals requiring diesel shunting and repositioning of the train, were more than 50% higher than operation between two electrified terminals with no need for shunting or repositioning. Efficient terminal handling also increases the number of weekly deliveries by train, which has a significant impact on the cost (Enström 2009, Frosch and Thorén 2010, Flodén 2016).

3.5 Storage of Wood

The storage of wood will always be an integral part of the forest biomass supply chain. Storage and drying of energy wood has been a common subject of research, and comprehensive knowledge has been generated. Most of the recent studies have focused on energy wood supply chains.

Supply of fresh wood to pulp, paper and sawmill industries is important, with the wood moisture content normally a good indicator of freshness. Depending on the season and local weather variations, as well as the storage properties, the harvested wood dries out at varying speeds. The logistical plans are based on assumptions about how fast the wood is drying, producing a maximum number of days of storage before the wood must be delivered to mills with retained quality (Wilhelmsson et al. 2011). A tool for calculating the rate at which timber dries under different weather, handling and storage conditions was developed by Wilhelmsson et al. (2005). Lindblad and Repola (2019) reported on the impact of storage time of pine and birch pulpwood on the fresh weight density of timber. Models for the energy wood supply chain, to calculate weather-related drying of the energy wood storages, have been developed by Routa et al. (2015, 2016) and Lindblad et al. (2018).

Storage conditions are critical in maintaining fuel quality. Forest chips are stored for an average of six months in Finland (Wihersaari 2005, Jämsén et al. 2015) and uncomminuted energy wood is stored for typically 8–10 months (Routa et al. 2018). Stumps are often stored for 1–2 years before comminution. Moisture management is a key element for improving net calorific value and cost-efficiency of energy wood supply, throughout the supply chain. The choice of storage location and method is usually influenced by biological, economic and logistic considerations (Routa et al. 2018).

Nurmi and Hillebrand (2007) reported that moisture content in pine and birch stem wood can fall below 30% during the summer. Erber et al. (2014) showed that moisture content in small logs dropped from 50.1% to 32.2% when stored in Austria and from 62.2% to 38.6% when stored in Finland. Minimising the variation in moisture content and implementing procedures to control moisture content during storage are key factors in improving fuel quality and reducing losses (Routa et al. 2018). Covering biomass, both loose logging residues stored in windrows and comminuted material, has a clear advantage, since it simultaneously protects the biomass from rewetting and reduces dry matter losses during storage. The effect of cover and its profitability is related to local weather conditions and storage time (Nurmi and Hillebrand 2007). According to Röser et al. (2011), covering piles was beneficial for coniferous and deciduous logwood in the wet climates of Scotland and Finland. Anerud et al. (2018) reported the available energy in covered forest residue chips to be 5.3% higher than in uncovered piles after seven months of storage, but no differences were reported after the first three months.

Storing forest biomass outdoors can cause substantial dry matter losses, where degradation rates can vary depending on climatic conditions and weather regimes. Dry matter loss rates of 0.1–4% have been reported for small-diameter stem wood (Routa et al. 2018). For logging residues, average dry matter losses of 1–3% per month have been reported (e.g. Filbakk et al. 2011, Jirjis and Norden 2005, Nurmi 1999, Routa et al. 2015). For coniferous wood chips, monthly dry matter losses between 0.3 and 5.5% during storage have been reported (Anerud et al. 2018, Juntunen et al. 2013, Jylhä et al. 2017). The decomposition rate in whole-tree or forest residue chip piles has been found to be higher than in stemwood chip piles (Jylhä et al. 2017, Thörnqvist 1985). In bark storage, dry matter losses of up to 10% per month have been observed (Fredholm and
Jirjis 1988, Anheller 2009). Initial moisture content can decrease dramatically during storage (Jirjis and Lehtikangas 1994, Lehtikangas and Jirjis 1998).

Laitila et al. (2017) calculated that, depending on transport distance (1–100 km), transport costs for chips made from fresh timber (55%) and produced at roadside landings were EUR 0.26–1.62/m³ higher compared to chips made from stored timber with a moisture content of 40% with a 69 t truck-trailer. Corresponding transport costs for fresh delimbed stems using a timber truck were EUR 0.19–0.71/m³ higher compared to transporting stored timber. Due to smaller payload, the transport costs of fresh timber rose more steeply compared to stored timber as a function of transport distance.

3.6 Multimodal Transports

3.6.1 Railways

Railway capacity and flexibility is limited by the number of available slots on the track, which are allocated by the Transport Administration agency. There are bottlenecks in railway capacity, which can be problematic, especially for companies that need more flexibility than that permitted by the annual application and planning process. These companies can only apply for remaining spot times (Enström 2009). Maximum permitted axle load and measurement regulations affect the efficiency of the system (Vierth 2014).

On most of the Swedish and Finnish railways, 22.5 t is the most common limit for the axle load, but the systems are being upgraded, and most of the infrastructure today now permits 25 t per axle (Swedish Transport Agency 2018). In Sweden a typical timber rail car with two axles (of the LNPS type) loads 33.5 t (adapted for 22.5 t axle load) and with four axles (of the LAAPS type) can load up to 73.9 t, if 25 t of axle load is permitted (Vierth 2014, Green Cargo 2019). Finnish timber rail cars have four axles and can carry 57–65.5 t, depending on car type (VR Transpoint 2019). The four-axle chip rail cars have a capacity of 48.5 t.

In Sweden forestry companies have been looking for opportunities to reduce transport costs by using longer and heavier trains and improving the efficiency of electricity use (Vierth 2014). The study reports that freight trains longer than 630 m were possible, but this would require adoptions to the system and its operators, and would be expected to have negative effects on passenger traffic. In Finland, according to an assessment by Venäläinen (2019), keeping the gross timber train weight constant but increasing the height and width of load space would increase total train load space by 17–19%. Keeping the train length constant, higher and wider load spaces would increase total train load space by 29–36%. For the chip-transporting trains, the increases in total train load space were 18–20% and 40–48% respectively. The assessment did not consider all constraints set by the rail network (Venäläinen 2019).

Troche (2009) presented a model called EvaRail, which was based on a train system with several flows. A Swedish transport case between Mora and Gävle (320 km), with an annual flow of 225,000 t of raw timber, was evaluated using the model. The capital costs for the vehicles accounted for a third of the total cost. Other cost proportions were the driver (19%), shunting (9%), energy (6%) and infrastructure fees (4%). The study calculated a cost reduction potential of 5.3% if the maximum permitted axle load were increased from 22.5 to 25 t. Flodén (2016) presented a transport case study for forest fuel supply from the roadside landing by road and rail to a district heating plant. The supply cost in the base scenario with five deliveries per week (three from a 265 km distance, and two from a 471 km distance) was EUR 9.47/MWh, of which train transport accounted for EUR 3.33/MWh. The CO₂ emissions from the entire system were calculated to be 2.92 kg/MWh, of which less than 10% derived from train transport. In the biomass supply case, electrified rail was used for the main transport but diesel shunting was also required.

The GIS analysis by Tahvanainen and Anttila (2011) showed that transport of forest chips by rail was the most cost-efficient supply chain when transport distance was over 145 km. For whole trees and logging residues, the breakpoint was 160 km. The total cost of transporting logging residue chips by train from forest landing to a plant was slightly over EUR 0.1/m³km at a distance of 200 km. As the comparison was with 60 t trucks, the breakpoint distances would be higher with the current 76 t trucks. In another GIS-based case study, Nivala et al. (2015) reported that train transport was more expensive than truck transport for delimbed stemwood with a 76 t truck for the full range of transport distances (maximum 490 km). A 68 t truck chain was cheaper below 465 km, while a 60 t truck was more expensive even for the shortest train transport distance (350 km). The road connection was found to be much more direct than the rail connection. As with all case studies, the results are location- and case-specific.

3.6.2 Waterways

Recent studies of barge transport for forest biomass in Finland and Sweden have assessed its strengths and weaknesses (Sorsa 2013, Enström 2015, Juronen 2017, Karttunen et al. 2008, Airas 2018, Pentti 2018). Strengths...
included environment-friendly and energy-efficient transport, cost efficiency for longer distances, decent buffer sizes in loading harbours, and the possibility for supplementary transports during periods of high work load for truck and train transports. Identified weaknesses were that vessels can only operate on open waterways, uncertainties regarding the length of the transport season, unloading difficulties at harbours (e.g. capacity, scheduling, limited buffer areas), timing difficulties of barge transports (due to e.g. weather and work shifts at harbours) and shallow waterways with varying water depths on some routes.

Studies relating to waterway transport logistics since 2000 have predominantly focused on barge transports using the discrete-event simulation method (Asikainen 2001, Karttunen et al. 2008, Sorsa 2013). According to Asikainen (2001) and Sorsa (2013), both cost efficiency and transport volumes could be improved and waiting times at harbours considerably reduced if interchangeable-barge logistics were used instead of fixed-barge logistics. An alternative and competitive option was a self-propelled barge with a navigation speed 10–20% higher, which reduced journey time (Sorsa 2013). Karttunen et al. (2008) and Enström (2015) discovered that the mismatch in work shifts of sub-systems (i.e. harbour operations vs. barge transports) quickly escalated transport costs. According to e.g. Johnson and Styre (2015) and Karttunen et al. (2018), efficient loading and unloading methods are important if vessel and barge transports are to be operated in a productive and cost-efficient manner. Enström (2015) concluded that it would require relatively big vessel loads and annual volumes of approximately 600,000 m³ to justify the use of a dockside crane to handle wood chips.

3.7 Supply Chain Logistics

Some authors have presented reviews of forest chip supply chains, logistics, procurement methods and future challenges. Díaz-Yáñez et al. (2013) focused on Europe, Routa et al. (2013) on Sweden and Finland, and Laitila et al. (2010a) on Finland, all based on expert evaluation and/or literature review. Kärhät (2011) conducted a survey of the machinery operating in forest chips supply to energy plants in 2007, and provided an estimate of future machinery requirements for forest chip production in Finland. Väätäinen et al. (2014) reported on a comparable study for both industrial roundwood and energy wood transports in Finland, collating information on challenges and solutions in developing supply chain logistics from experts in transports and logistics. Venäläinen et al. (2017a) carried out a detailed analysis of the impact of seasonal supply variation on procurement costs of roundwood and the ways to reduce the impact in Finland.

Various system analysis methods have been used to study roundwood and biomass supply chain logistics. Static modelling has often been used for various biomass types, system configurations and case environments, e.g. Asikainen et al. (2001), Jylhä et al. (2010), Petty and Kärhät (2011), and Laitila and Väätäinen (2012). Fewer corresponding analyses have been carried out for roundwood, but one example is Nurminen et al. (2009). GIS-based static calculation modelling has been used, e.g. Ranta (2002), Laitila et al. (2010b), Tahvanainen and Anttila (2011), Ranta et al. (2012), Korpinen et al. (2013), Nivala et al. (2015), Laitila et al. (2015), Laitila et al. (2016a, 2016b), Laitila et al. (2017) in area-specific GIS analysis of biomass availability and procurement costs, using the stand data, and transportation distance from the delivery point as explanatory factors.

Various authors have used simulation by discrete event simulation (DES) and/or agent-based simulation (ABS), e.g. Asikainen (2010), Karttunen et al. (2012b), Karttunen et al. (2013), Belbo and Talbot (2014), Eriksson (2014a, 2014b), Windisch et al. (2015), Eliasson et al. (2017), Eriksson et al. (2017), Väätäinen et al. (2017), Aalto et al. (2019), Prinz et al. (2019) and Fernandez-Lacruz et al. (2020), to model biomass supply chains for energy, typically in case-specific studies in Nordic conditions. There have been fewer simulation studies for industrial roundwood. Asikainen (2001) and Sorsa (2013) focused on waterway transports of roundwood by barges and Annevelink et al. (2017), Korpinen et al. (2019) and Väätäinen et al. (2020) considered road transports of roundwood.

Simulation-based system analysis has been introduced to model the system operation in more detail and simulate events. The level of analysis has been more tactical and/or operational (i.e. monthly or weekly level) than strategic. In the supply of logging residue forest chips from landings to heat and power plants, for example, the costs of roadside chipping and truck transport are roughly equal at an average transport distance of 60–70km (e.g. Eliasson et al. 2017, Laitila et al. 2016a, Windisch et al. 2015, Väätäinen et al. 2017). In forest chip supply, based on comminution at landings, both transport and chipping costs increase with transport distance (Eriksson et al. 2014a, Väätäinen et al. 2017, Prinz et al. 2018). Chipping costs increase with distance because of increased waiting time at the landings, reducing productivity. However, waiting for the chipper or the chip trucks always occurs in such a hot chain, regardless of transport distance. In studies by Eriksson et al. (2014b) and Prinz et al. (2019), the cost of forest chip supply (i.e. chipping and trucking)
increased by nearly EUR 4/MWh when transport distance from landing to plant increased from 20 km to 100 km. Eriksson et al. (2014b) evaluated the impact of system factors (handling, fuel quality, machine efficiency and site characteristics) on the stump fuel cost from the site to fuel delivery point.

Supply chain analysis concerning the effects of incorporating the terminal into the supply chain has been performed by Väätäinen et al. (2017) and Fernandez-Lacruz et al. (2020) by DES method. For scenarios using terminals as part of the forest chips supply to end-use facility the supply costs for the combined scenarios were 1.4–3.1% higher in Väätäinen et al. (2017) and 5–11% higher in Fernandez-Lacruz et al. (2020), compared to direct supply. Despite the increase in supply costs, it may be convenient to use a terminal to allow for more balanced working opportunities throughout the year and securing the demand of chips during peak times. Also cost compensation can be gained through the higher annual use of a fuel supply fleet (Väätäinen et al. 2017, Fernandez-Lacruz et al. 2020).

Optimisation methods have been used in the analysis of roundwood supply, e.g. Rönqvist (2003), Carlsson and Rönqvist (2005), Forsberg et al. (2005), Skjäl et al. (2009) and Iikkanen et al. (2010). Optimisation has been used in the analysis of forest fuel supply, e.g. Gunnarsson et al. (2004), Flisberg et al. (2012), Palander (2015) and Palander et al. (2018). Palander and Voutilainen (2013) added terminals into the supply chain. LCA and GHG emission analyses have been applied to biomass supply chains, e.g. Berg and Lindholm (2005), Kariniemi et al. (2009), Lindholm et al. (2010), Jäppinen et al. (2014), de la Fuente et al. (2017), and Raghu et al. (2020).

In the system analysis of roundwood and biomass supply, optimisation methods (e.g. linear programming, LP, and mixed integer programming, MIP) have produced results and decision support at predominantly strategic and tactical levels (yearly or monthly level). Forsberg et al. (2005) and Iikkanen et al. (2010) presented a decision support system for strategic and tactical transportation planning in roundwood supply from the harvesting areas to delivery points. Such nationwide optimisation tools support strategic decision making with regard to, e.g. terminal location and capacity, proportions of transport modes, proportions of domestic and imported wood, and use of train systems. In tactical planning, the tools could be used for aspects such as the destinations of roundwood supply and potential back-haul routes (Forsberg et al. 2005, Iikkanen et al. 2010).

Some studies have examined cost efficiency of various transport modes with regard to supply costs. Roundwood floating becomes more cost efficient after 130 km (Iikkanen et al. 2010), barge transport of forest chips by waterways after 150 km (Karttunen et al. 2012b), train transport of roundwood after 145 km (Iikkanen et al. 2010), and train transport of forest chips after 135 km (Tahvanainen and Anttila 2011). These figures are when transport costs are compared to direct truck transport by 60 t trucks from landing to delivery point. Pre-trucking to loading terminals was included in the multimodal transport systems. However, it must be remembered that these studies were carried out when GVW was limited to 60 t. Current transports with bigger trucks will increase the breakpoint distances – Laitila et al. (2016a), Venäläinen and Poikela (2019) and Väätäinen et al. (2020) calculated distances in relation to different GVWs.

Venäläinen et al. (2017a) estimated that the seasonality of wood procurement adds approximately EUR 70 million to the procurement costs of roundwood. The variation in monthly harvest volumes and transports from landings is caused by poorer bearing conditions in some forest and gravel roads, generating additional costs for harvesting, timber storage (due to timber degradation and tied capital) and road maintenance. Venäläinen et al. (2017a) calculated that these factors represented 59%, 13% and 10% respectively of the additional procurement costs.

4. Discussion

The review of roundwood and biomass logistics in Finland and Sweden produced an extensive list of relevant studies. This was the first review relating to the supply logistics in these countries. At operative and business scales, the level of technological advancement, history, entrepreneurial culture, infrastructure and climate conditions are similar in the two countries. This review excluded studies relating to entrepreneurial and business studies, and logistics IT systems, which are important in planning, managing and operating transports and supply logistics. The exclusion of studies solely related to harvesting (cutting and forwarding), operations at roadside landing (e.g. chipping, baling), and log yard logistics without the connection to other logistic operations further reduced the number of studies in the review. The list of articles is not comprehensive, partly due to the numerous Maste’s theses from universities with forestry educational programmes and smaller project reports in Finland and Sweden.

The Nordic countries have been the forerunners in developing and piloting, as well as exporting the CTL technology. Roundwood harvested and transported using CTL technology is supplied to processing industries on a large scale in Central Europe, North-West
Russia, Eastern Canada, South America, South Africa and some parts of East Asia. CTL cutting and timber supply comprises approximately 50% of the total industrial cuttings in the world. To introduce an international perspective to this review, the findings from Nordic studies are interpreted in relation to studies carried out in other countries, to some extent.

Most studies published since 2000 have concentrated on supply logistics of forest biomass for heat and power. This has also been observed in earlier reviews of roundwood and forest biomass logistics by Koirala et al. (2018) and Acuna et al. (2019). Several other review studies have focused solely on forest energy procurement and supply (Routa et al. 2013, Wolfsmayr and Rauch 2014, Ghaffariyan et al. 2017, Erber and Kühmaier 2017, Kogler and Rauch 2018, Kühmaier and Erber 2018, Malladi and Sowlati 2018 and Spinelli et al. 2019). In the Nordic perspective, more studies have examined new system configurations and supply logistics for biomass supply systems for heat and power than for industrial roundwood. This is because operations are less standardised, with hot supply systems and machine interactions (e.g. chipper has to wait for the chip truck or vice versa). This has led to the setting up of a large number of research programmes and projects on biomass supply in the late 20th and early 21st centuries (e.g. Hakkila 2004, Brunberg 2016). However, mostly due to increasing masses and dimensions of vehicles, distinct increment in publications related to roundwood logistics could be seen in recent years (see Fig. 2).

Based on publications found in the review, it can be concluded that roundwood and biomass supply chains and supply logistics are a complex systems environment, varying greatly in terms of:

- feedstock properties
- transport infrastructure
- handling and storage
- impact of weather on the system
- technologies used
- performance of the supply fleet
- number of wood assortments
- properties of each assortment.

As an example, truck transport of timber is much more complex than the transport of refined forest products (e.g. standard-sized pulp bales). Many systems analysis studies have been conducted around the world using a variety of mathematical programming techniques, e.g. linear programming, mixed-integer programming, heuristics, simulation techniques and geographical information systems (see e.g. Shen and Sessions 1989, Kanzian et al. 2009, Rauch and Gronalt 2011, Acuna et al. 2012, Zamora et al. 2013, Acuna and Sessions 2014, Zamora-Cristales and Sessions 2016, Kühle et al. 2019). Acuna et al. (2019) argue that these research methods are essential to provide decision support for planning and managing the forest biomass supply at strategic and tactical levels. The number of forest biomass supply chain studies using systems analysis methods involving mathematical techniques has risen, mostly due to increasing experience and availability of such methods, as well as improved computation capacity and user-friendliness (e.g. Kogler and Rauch 2018, Acuna et al. 2019).

As is the case in this review, international studies have focused particularly on cost efficiency and performance of forest biomass transport by trucks (e.g. Acuna et al. 2012, Rauch and Gronalt 2011, Spinelli et al. 2009), fuel consumption (e.g. Klvac et al. 2013, Ghaffariyan et al. 2017) and payloads (e.g. Ian et al. 2004, Brown and Ghaffariyan 2016, Trzciński et al. 2013, Hamsley et al. 2007). GVW and payloads have a direct impact on fuel consumption per driven distance, but when the calculation is switched to fuel consumption per driven kilometer, the influence is inverted. Thereby, reducing fuel consumption and GHG emissions for truck transports requires larger and heavier vehicles with bigger payloads (Palander and Kärhä 2017, Asmoarp et al. 2018, Venäläinen and Poikela 2019). Changes in legislation regarding maximum GVW allowed and insufficient experience of vehicles with several options for GVW and payloads justifies the large number of studies examining the impact of GVW on transport efficiency in Sweden and Finland. Trucks with high GVW have advantages in terms of load capacities, but long and heavy trucks are less flexible on small, narrow forest roads with limited turning areas at landings (Lahtila et al. 2016a). They may also be limited by the bearing capacity of forest roads. Technical improvements to the manoeuvrability of long trucks on forest roads include a liftable axle group or steering axles at the rear end of the trailer.

Properties of biomass change during storage, and the impact of this on the logistics chain is a significant theme in international research (Krigstin and Wetzl 2016, Eriksson et al. 2017, Routa et al. 2018). Models have been developed to predict changes in fuel quality, temperature changes in piles, and dry matter losses during large-scale storage (Erber et al. 2012, 2014, Raith et al. 2015, Filbakk et al. 2011, Routa et al. 2015, 2016, Lindblad et al. 2018). The simultaneous development of storage guidelines and management models or tools will help to improve the utilisation of forest resources, reduce dry matter losses, reduce environmental impact, and reduce costs throughout the production chain. According to Kühmaier et al. (2016), the cost of transport-
ing wood chips is reduced by EUR 0.32–0.49/m³ if the moisture content can be reduced from 55 to 35%, Erber et al. (2016) showed that moisture content management increased truckload volume utilisation by 25% and transported calorific value by 48%, and reduced the number of truck trips by over 20%.

The forestry sector is facing several challenges regarding roundwood and biomass supply logistics. Climate change will increasingly impact harvesting at forest sites and transports on forest roads. This will result in even greater seasonality, severe abiotic and biotic damage to forests, and variation in timber supply. The use of terminals for wood storage will increase, thereby ensuring supply to end-use facilities. Forest utilisation and forest management regimes may change, carbon stock aspects must be considered, and exploitation methods and extraction volumes from forests may change in the future. We are already seeing that a key issue is training and recruitment of operators, as well as the problem of retaining operators on a more permanent basis in such a demanding work environment.

To conclude, logistics of roundwood and biomass supply comprise a considerable proportion of the procurement costs in biomass supply chain. Despite the complex operation environment and diversified supply logistics, efficiency can still be optimised in forest biomass supply logistics and overall system performance can be improved. Logistics and vehicle technology, as well as IT, DSS and automation system are constantly evolving, and more emphasis is now placed on environmental and social aspects. All these aspects drive and increase the demand for research and development in roundwood and biomass logistics.
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