UPF1 helicase promotes TSN-mediated miRNA decay
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While microRNAs (miRNAs) regulate the vast majority of protein-encoding transcripts, little is known about how miRNAs themselves are degraded. We recently described Tudor-staphylococcal/micrococcal-like nuclease (TSN)-mediated miRNA decay (TumiD) as a cellular pathway in which the nuclease TSN promotes the decay of miRNAs that contain CA and/or UA dinucleotides. While TSN-mediated degradation of either protein-free or AGO2-loaded miRNAs does not require the ATP-dependent RNA helicase UPF1 in vitro, we report here that cellular TumiD requires UPF1. Results from experiments using AGO2-loaded miRNAs in duplex with target mRNAs indicate that UPF1 can dissociate miRNAs from their mRNA targets, making the miRNAs susceptible to TumiD. miR-seq (deep sequencing of miRNAs) data reveal that the degradation of ∼50% of candidate TumiD targets in T24 human urinary bladder cancer cells is augmented by UPF1. We illustrate the physiological relevance by demonstrating that UPF1-augmented TumiD promotes the invasion of T24 cells in part by degrading anti-invasive miRNAs so as to up-regulate the expression of proinvasive proteins.
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RNA helicases often use ATP to unwind dsRNA structures and function in RNA metabolism through diverse mechanisms that rely on their ability to remodel the composition of RNA–protein complexes [RNPs] (Bourgeois et al. 2016). Several helicases play roles in RNAi through variable yet incompletely understood mechanisms, some independently of their helicase activities [Ambrus and Frolov 2009].

The RNA helicase UPF1 is the central factor in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay [NMD] and Staufen-mediated miRNA decay [SMD] (Park and Maquat 2013; Karousi et al. 2016, Kuroski and Maquat 2016; Ottens and Gehring 2016; Popp and Maquat 2016). While UPF1 cycles on and off cellular RNAs that are accessible to binding in a mechanism that depends on ATP hydrolysis [Kurosaki et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2015; Durand et al. 2016] and likely involves movement along RNAs in a 5′-to-3′ direction [Franks et al. 2010, Fiorini et al. 2015], regulated UPF1 binding to an NMD target promotes mRNA decay by remodeling the mRNA and its associated proteins; i.e., by remodeling mRNP [Franks et al. 2010, Gregersen et al. 2014].

Here we report that UPF1 coimmunoprecipitates with TSN in HEK293T cell lysates and plays a role in cellular TumiD. Consistent with this, TSN and UPF1 coimmunoprecipitate with RISC constituents AGO2 and the trinucleotide repeat-containing protein TNRC6A, also called GW182. Knocking down UPF1 up-regulates the levels of miRNAs degraded by TumiD to almost the same extent as knocking down TSN. Additionally, miRNAs degraded by TumiD are detectable in tandem immunoprecipitations of TSN and UPF1. UPF1 function in cellular TumiD depends on its helicase activity. While in vitro synthesized single-stranded miRNAs are susceptible to recombinant TSN-mediated degradation in the absence of UPF1, efficient degradation of their cellular counterparts requires UPF1, supporting the idea that UPF1 remodels mRNPs during TumiD. Adding UPF1 to miRNA–mRNA duplexes dissociates miRNAs from their mRNA targets, rendering them susceptible to TSN cleavage. UPF1 retains its ability to unwind miRNA–mRNA duplexes even when the miRNAs are bound by AGO2, suggesting that UPF1 promotes TumiD by dissociating RISC-bound miRNAs from their mRNA targets.

We used deep sequencing of miRNAs [miR-seq] to globally define candidate TumiD targets in human T24 bladder cancer cells and show that ~50% of miRNAs that are up-regulated by TSN knockdown are also up-regulated by UPF1 knockdown. Illustrating biological activity, we demonstrate that TSN and UPF1 enhance T24 bladder cancer cell invasion by mediating the degradation of a cohort of anti-metastatic miRNAs that down-regulate the expression of proinvasion proteins.

Results

**TSN and UPF1 form a complex in human cells**

While examining the mechanism of TumiD, we found that cellular UPF1 coimmunoprecipitates with cellular TSN in HEK293T cell lysates [data not shown]. To investigate this interaction, we generated HEK293T cells stably expressing Flag-TSN and MYC-UPF1, each at a level approximating the level of its cellular counterpart [Fig. 1A,B], and performed mass spectrometry on the proteins obtained after anti-Flag immunoprecipitaton and, separately, anti-MYC immunoprecipitation in both the presence and absence of RNase I. We chose to immunoprecipitate tagged proteins, since immunoprecipitation efficiencies are far superior to those obtained using an antibody to either TSN or UPF1 [data not shown]. The results revealed that UPF1 was among the top five proteins that coimmunoprecipitated with Flag-TSN [Supplemental Table S1], and TSN was among the top five proteins coimmunoprecipitated with MYC-UPF1 [Supplemental Table S2]. Western blotting confirmed these coimmunoprecipitations [co-IPs] and also the co-IP of RISC constituents AGO2 and GW182 [Fig. 1A,B], in agreement with previous reports [Caudy et al. 2003; Jin et al. 2009]. These data provide the first indication that UPF1 may function in TumiD.

We corroborated the finding that cellular TSN and UPF1 coimmunoprecipitate in two ways. First, Western blotting of immunoprecipitations of cellular TSN revealed the co-IP of cellular UPF1 as well as AGO2 and GW182, each in an RNase I-resistant manner [Fig. 1A–C]. Notably, the co-IP of poly(A)-binding protein C1 [PABPC1] was largely RNase I-sensitive as expected, and the failure to detect calcinein in any immunoprecipitation confirmed immunoprecipitation specificity [Fig. 1C]. Second, immunoprecipitations of cellular UPF1 revealed the co-IP of cellular TSN, AGO2, and GW182 in the presence of RNase I [Fig. 1D]. Importantly, TSN and UPF1 likely interact directly in human cells, considering that baculovirus-produced and purified Flag-UPF1 was pulled down with *Escherichia coli* produced and purified HIS-EGFP [HIS-tagged enhanced green fluorescence protein] [Fig. 1E].

**UPF1 promotes the TumiD of mature miRNAs**

Given that TSN and UPF1 form a complex in human cells and coimmunoprecipitate with RISC components [Fig. 1] and considering the role of TSN in TumiD [Elbarbary et al. 2017], it was possible that UPF1 also functions in TumiD. Alternatively or additionally, UPF1 might regulate pri-miRNA and/or pre-miRNA processing given the precedent that RNA helicases can influence the rate of miRNA processing [e.g., see Davis et al. 2008, Michlewski and Cáceres 2010]. To test these possibilities, we measured the levels of individual TumiD targets and their pri-miRNAs and pre-miRNAs in HEK293T cells transiently transfected with control siRNA, UPF1 siRNA, or, as a positive control, TSN siRNA.

Like TSN knockdown, UPF1 knockdown in HEK293T cells [Supplemental Fig. S1A] increased the abundance of miR-31-5p, miR-29b-3p, miR-126-3p, and miR-125a-5p, which are bona fide TumiD targets [Elbarbary et al. 2017], approximately twofold to threefold without affecting the level of the corresponding pri-miRNA or pre-miRNA [Fig. 2A]. The observed effects of UPF1 are not
attributable to its role in NMD or SMD, since down-regulat-
ing the UPF2 or UPF3X NMD factor or the Staufen1 SMD factor did not up-regulate the level of the studied miRNAs (data not shown).

To investigate whether the interaction of cellular TSN and UPF1 occurs on miRNPs, we performed a tandem immunoprecipitation (anti-Flag followed by anti-MYC) (Supplemental Fig. S1B) using lysates of HEK293T cells stably expressing Flag-TSN and MYC-UPF1 (Fig. 2B). The results revealed that all bona fide TumiD targets assayed were enriched in the tandem immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2C), indicating that TSN and UPF1 cobind miRNPs.

The simplest interpretation of our results is that UPF1 promotes TumiD per se. We knew that UPF1 is not required for TumiD in vitro, since recombinant TSN alone is sufficient to degrade naked as well as AGO2-loaded in vitro synthesized miRNAs [Elbarbary et al. 2017]. However, to further substantiate that UPF1 promotes TumiD in cells, we used HEK293T cells in which Dicer could be conditionally knocked down, blocking miRNA biogenesis (Fig. 2D) and allowing us to examine miRNA degradation without confounding effects from synthesis rates. Results indicated that upon Dicer knockdown, miR-31-5p and miR-29b-3p accumulated in UPF1 knockdown or TSN knockdown cells relative to cells transfected with control siRNA (Fig. 2E). Consistent with this, down-regulating either TSN or UPF1 promoted the accumulation of the miR-31-5p TumiD target that was exogenously introduced into cells as a miR-31-5p:miR-31-3p duplex (Fig. 2F). We conclude that TSN and UPF1 coordinately mediate cellular TumiD in a mechanism that can be uncoupled from the pri-miRNA and pre-miRNA processing steps that precede miRNA:miRNA* duplex formation.

UPF1 helicase activity is required for efficient cellular TumiD

To determine whether the helicase activity of UPF1 functions in TumiD, we assayed HEK293T cells cotransfected with control siRNA or UPF1 siRNA and a plasmid producing either MYC-UPF1R wild type or MYC-UPF1R[R843C] (Fig. 3A), the latter of which lacks helicase activity [Supplemental Fig. S2A,B; Kurosaki et al. 2014] because it fails to efficiently bind ATP [Kurosaki et al. 2014]. Assays of endogenous TumiD targets demonstrated that MYC-UPF1R, but not MYC-UPF1R[R843C], rescued effi-
cient endogenous UPF1 function in TumiD (Fig. 3B). MYC-

UPF1R[G495R/G497E], another helicase-dead UPF1 variant [Kurosaki et al. 2014], also failed to rescue endogenous UPF1 function in TumiD, whereas MYC-UPF1R[4SA], a UPF1 variant that is hypophosphorylated but maintains wild-type helicase activity [Kurosaki et al. 2014], did rescue function [data not shown]. We conclude that efficient cellular TumiD requires UPF1 helicase activity.

UPF1 helicase activity may promote cellular TumiD by reorganizing RISC, which includes dissociating miRNAs from their target mRNAs to increase miRNA accessibility to TSN-mediated decay. To test these hypotheses, we first assayed TSN for the ability to degrade dsRNAs. We generated in vitro synthesized 5'-[32P]-miR-31-5p duplexed to
its mRNA-binding site; i.e., duplexed to 80 nt of the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of frizzled class receptor 3 (FZD3) mRNA (Fig. 3C). Compared with 5′-[32P]-miR-31-5p alone, the 5′-[32P]-miR-31-5p:FZD3 3′ UTR duplex was resistant to degradation by HIS-TSN (Fig. 3C), in agreement with previous demonstrations that inosine-lacking dsRNAs are resistant to TSN-mediated degradation (Scaden 2005). However, adding baculovirus-produced Flag-UPF1 (Supplemental Fig. S2C), whose helicase activity is capable of unwinding dsRNA with a 5′ overhang (Park et al. 2013), released 5′-[32P]-miR-31-5p from the FZD3 3′ UTR (Supplemental Fig. S2D) and also increased the susceptibility of 5′-[32P]-miR-31-5p to HIS-TSN-mediated decay (Fig. 3C).

To test whether UPF1 maintains its ability to release a miRNA from its target mRNA when the miRNA is bound by AGO2, we incubated 3xFlag-AGO2-bound miR-31-5p duplexed to internally [32P]-labeled FZD3 3′ UTR (Fig. 3D) with or without Flag-UPF1 and found that Flag-UPF1 released ~35% of FZD3 3′ UTR from 3xFlag-AGO2-bound miR-31-5p (Fig. 3E). We conclude that cellular UPF1 promotes TumiD at least in part by releasing AGO2-bound miRNAs from their mRNA targets.

miR-seq of T24 bladder cancer cells

Both TSN and UPF1 play roles in tumorigenesis (Jariwala et al. 2015; Lindeboom et al. 2016), which spurred us to investigate whether these roles are mediated through TumiD. To this end, we knocked down TSN and, separately, UPF1 in human T24 bladder cancer cells and used miR-seq to globally identify miRNAs regulated by each protein. Pair-wise correlation among normalized reads generated by five biological miR-seq replicates gave a Pearson correlation coefficient of $\geq 0.95$ (Supplemental Fig. S3A), indicating high correlation among replicates. Knocking down either TSN or UPF1 resulted in significant up-regulation of 131 miRNAs (adjusted $P$-value of <0.05), 65 of which were in common in both pools of up-regulated miRNAs [referred to here as the common pool] (Fig. 4A, B; Supplemental Table 3). Therefore, $\sim$50% of candidate TumiD targets in T24 cells are coregulated by TSN and UPF1.
UPF1, providing further support for our model that UPF1 promotes TumiD.

Using RT-qPCR, we analyzed 11 miRNAs in the common pool, eight of which proved to be bona fide TumiD targets, as evidenced by their significant up-regulation without concomitant changes in the levels of their corresponding pre-miRNAs [Supplemental Fig. S3B]. The remaining three miRNAs showed different results: miR-137 was up-regulated at both its mature and pre-miRNA levels [Supplemental Fig. S3B], which obscured our ability to conclude that it is a TumiD target; miR-15a-5p was up-regulated but without statistical significance [Supplemental Fig. S3B]; and miR-32-5p was not detectably up-regulated but with statistical significance ([Supplemental Fig. S3B]), which obscured our ability to conclude that it is a TumiD target; miR-15a-5p was up-regulated but without statistical significance ([Supplemental Fig. S3B]).

Figure 3. UPF1 helicase activity is essential for efficient cellular TumiD. (A) Western blotting of total cell lysates of HEK293T cells transiently transfected with control [Ctl] or UPF1 siRNA and the specified plasmid. Superscript R denotes siRNA resistance. [B] Histogram of RT-qPCR quantitations of miRNAs derived from samples analyzed in A. The level of each miRNA was normalized to the level of U6 snRNA, and the normalized level in control siRNA-treated and pCMV-MYC-treated cells is defined as 100. (C) [32P]–labeled miR-31-5p, single-stranded or in duplex with in vitro synthesized 80 nt of the frizzled class receptor 3 (FZD3) untranslated region (UTR), was incubated with HIS-TSN, Flag-UPF1, or a mixture of HIS-TSN and Flag-UPF1. (D) Flow chart depicting experiments where lysates of HEK293T cells stably expressing 3xFlag-AGO2 were incubated with the miR-31-5p/miR-31-3p duplex, from which 3xFlag-AGO2 was immunoprecipitated on anti-Flag-bound beads and subsequently incubated with internally labeled [32P]-FZD3 3′ UTR. 3xFlag-AGO2-bound miR-31-5p:FZD3 3′ UTR complexes were eluted from beads using Flag peptide, subsequently incubated with or without Flag-UPF1, and electrophoresed in a native agarose gel. (E) Results derived from experiments depicted in D. The ratio of FZD3 3′ UTR base-paired with programmed [32P]-FZD3 3′ UTR used to form complexes. As expected, bound [32P]-FZD3 3′ UTR was undetectable unless the lysates were incubated with the miR-31-5p:mimmiR-31-3p duplex [data not shown]. All results are representative of three or more independently performed experiments. (*) P < 0.05, [NS] statistically nonsignificant [P > 0.05], n ≥ 3. Error bars represent ±S.D.

To begin to investigate TumiD in cancer, we noted that miR-31-5p and miR-29b-3p are well-studied anti-invasive miRNAs in breast cancer cells [Augoff et al. 2011; Chou et al. 2013], and miR-126-3p has been shown to inhibit bladder cancer cell invasion [Jia et al. 2014]. Since all three miRNAs are bona fide TumiD targets whose turnover is promoted by TSN and UPF1 in T24 cells, as indicated by both miR-seq and RT-qPCR [Fig. 4; Supplemental Table S3], we examined the functional significance of their regulation by TSN and UPF1 in T24 cells.

We first tested for the anti-invasive function of the three miRNAs using T24 cells that had been transfected with control siRNA, TSN siRNA, UPF1 siRNA, or a mimic of each miRNA. Relative to control siRNA, T24 cell invasion was inhibited ~75%–95% by each miRNA mimic or siRNA [Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S4A]. Since we limited our analysis to 3–4 d after transfection, the observed decrease in T24 cell invasion upon transient knockdown of TSN or UPF1 was not due to changes in the rate of cell proliferation [Supplemental Fig. S4B].

To gain insight into mechanism, we found that the miR-31-5p mimic down-regulated the levels of integrin subunit α5 [ITGA5] and ITGA2 proteins [Fig. 5B], the miR-29b-3p mimic down-regulated the level of angiopoietin like 4 [ANGPTL4] protein [Fig. 5C], and the miR-126-3p mimic down-regulated the level of ADAM metalloproteinase
domain 9 (ADAM9) protein (Fig. 5D), all of which are proinvasive proteins (Augoff et al. 2011; Chou et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013). The up-regulation of miR-31-5p, miR-29b-3p, and miR-126-3p levels observed in T24 cells after TSN knockdown or UPF1 knockdown (Fig. 4C; Supplemental Table 3) was accompanied by down-regulation of the four prometastatic proteins [Fig. 5E–G] with the exception of the ITGA2 protein, which was down-regulated after TSN knockdown but up-regulated after UPF1 knockdown, possibly because ITGA2 mRNA consists of splicing variants that undergo NMD [data not shown]. Transfecting T24 cells with a miR-31-5p, miR-29b-3p, or miR-126-3p inhibitor rescued expression of the corresponding prometastatic proteins [Fig. 5E–G], indicating that TSN and UPF1 regulate the levels of these proteins via miRNAs. Additionally, while TSN or UPF1 knockdown inhibited T24 cell invasion to ~5%–10% of control knockdown, transfecting T24 cells with a cocktail of miR-31-5p, miR-29b-3p, and miR-126-3p inhibitors along with TSN siRNA or UPF1 siRNA restored invasion to ~30%–45% of control knockdown cells [Fig. 5H,I; Supplemental Fig. S4C]. Taken together, our data demonstrate that TSN and UPF1 promote T24 bladder cancer cell invasion at least in part by degrading anti-invasive miRNAs.

Discussion

The results shown here extend UPF1 helicase function beyond mRNA decay to the decay of mature miRNAs in a process that we named TumiD, adding a new layer to the roles of helicases in RNAi pathways (Figs. 1–5). Our results also extend the role of TumiD from promoting the G1-to-S-phase transition (Elbarbary et al. 2017) to promoting bladder cancer cell invasion [Fig. 5].

Precedents exist in which reorganization of RNA–protein complexes by the UPF1 helicase is essential for RNA decay, as exemplified by NMD and Regnase-1-mediated mRNA decay (Franks et al. 2010; Gregersen et al. 2014; Mino et al. 2015). In fact, UPF1 might function in TumiD to remodel RISC-bound miRNAs not only in complex with [Fig. 3D,E] but also free of target mRNAs [Fig. 6]. The timing of UPF1 recruitment to miRNAs relative to UPF1 activation to dissociate miRNAs from their target mRNAs during TumiD remains to be determined. From our data, UPF1 and TSN, which at least in vitro interact directly [Fig. 1E], may be core components of RISC or recruited to RISC to initiate miRNA degradation [Fig. 6]. While cellular UPF1 and TSN coimmunoprecipitate without cross-linking prior to cell lysis, neither UPF1 nor TSN coimmunoprecipitates with core RISC component AGO2 or GW182 in the absence of cross-linking [data not shown], which might suggest, among other scenarios, a transient interaction. In the case where UPF1 and TSN are recruited to RISC, as one of many possibilities, methylation of one or more RISC components might promote TSN recruitment—and therefore TumiD—given that the Tudor domain of TSN is known to interact with methylated proteins [Chen et al. 2011]. In either case, TSN and UPF1 may gain access to target miRNAs upon regulated RISC reorganization. Other helicases in addition to UPF1 are likely to be involved in recycling RISC, since UPF1 knockdown does not inhibit miRNA-mediated target silencing [Fig. 5E–G].

Our miR-seq data for T24 bladder cancer cells indicate that UPF1 and TSN mediate the decay of a vast repertoire of mature miRNAs [Fig. 4, Supplemental Table S3]. Notably, the current number of TumiD targets is an underestimate due to our inability to down-regulate TSN or UPF1.
for extended periods, which would inhibit the cell cycle and cell proliferation (Azzalin and Lingner 2006; Cappellari et al. 2014; Lou et al. 2014; Su et al. 2015; Elbarbary et al. 2017).

Decreased expression of miR-31-5p is associated with aggressive tumor progression and poor prognosis for different cancer types that include breast and bladder cancers (Augoff et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2013). While the expression of miR-31-5p is epigenetically silenced in some invasive breast cancer cell lines, it is not in others, indicating that there can be regulation of miR-31-5p expression via nonepigenetic mechanisms (Valastyan and Weinberg 2010). In the present study, we present TumiD as a novel means to regulate the level of mature miR-31-5p in cancer cells.

TumiD is undoubtedly important to many other biological processes. For example, it will be interesting to test whether ADAR2-mediated miRNA editing at TSN target ed CA and/or UA dinucleotide cleavage sites inhibits TumiD. Underscoring this prospect, 70% of the ADAR2-mediated A-to-I-editing events occurring within mature miRNAs of mouse brains, which manifests a miRNA-editing level of >5%, modify A residues within CA and UA dinucleotides; of these miRNAs, 14% are down-regulated, possibly by TumiD, upon ADAR2 knockout (Vesely et al. 2014).

Materials and methods

Raw data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no. GSE100068).

Plasmid constructions

pCMV-MYC-UPF1R(1–1118), pCMV-MYC-UPF1 (R843C), pCMV-MYC, pCMV-3xFlag-TSNR, pET28a-EGFP, pET28a-TSN(1–885), and pLHCX-3xFlag-AGO2R (used to establish HEK293T cells that stably express 3xFlag-AGO2R) were described previously (Kurosaki et al. 2014; Elbarbary et al. 2017). The remaining TSN- and UPF1-expressing plasmids were siRNA-resistant (R) but are referred to here without the superscript designation unless it was relevant to the experiment.

To construct the retroviral expression vector pLHCX-MYC-UPF1R(1–1118), the entire MYC-UPF1R(1–1118)-coding region was excised from pCMV-MYC-UPF1R(1–1118) using SacII. The SacII-generated ends were blunt using Quick Blunting kit.

**Figure 5.** TumiD promotes bladder cancer cell invasion. (A) Histogram representing quantitation of T24 cell invasion [Supplemental Fig. S4A]. Cells were transfected with the designated siRNA or miRNA mimic, and the number of invading control (Ctl) siRNA transfected cells is defined as 100. (B) Western blotting of lysates of T24 cells transfected with control siRNA or a miR-29b-3p mimic. (C) As in B except cells were transfected with control siRNA or a miR-126-3p mimic. (D) As in B except cells were transfected with control siRNA or a miR-126-3p mimic. (E) Western blotting of T24 cells transfected with the designated siRNA along with a control inhibitor (−) or miR-31-5p inhibitor. (F) As in E except cells were transfected with a control or miR-29b-3p inhibitor. (G) As in E except cells were transfected with a control or miR-126-3p inhibitor. (H) As in A except cells were transfected with the designated siRNA along with either a control inhibitor (designated “−” miRNA inhibitors) or a cocktail of miR-31-5p, miR-29b-3p, and miR-126-3p inhibitors (designated “+” miRNA inhibitors) [see also Supplemental Fig. S4C]. (I) As in E except cells were transfected with a control inhibitor (−) or a cocktail of miR-31-5p, miR-29b-3p, and miR-126-3p inhibitors (± miRNA inhibitors). All results are representative of three or more independently performed experiments. (**) P < 0.01. n ≥ 3. Error bars represent ±S.D.
Figure 6. Model for TumiD, in which the complex of TSN–UPF1 might be a core component of RISC or recruited to RISC. Once TSN–UPF1 gains access to a miRNA, which may involve regulated RISC reorganization, UPF1 helicase activity frees the miRNA from its target mRNA, rendering the miRNA susceptible to TSN-mediated endonucleolytic cleavage at accessible CA and UA dinucleotides. Green starbursts denote TSN cleavage activity, orange starbursts denote UPF1 helicase activity, and blue ovals denote RISC (i.e., the AGO2–miRNA complex).

(BioLabs), and the resulting blunt-ended fragment was inserted into HpaI-digested pLHCX (Clontech).

To construct the retroviral expression vector pLHCX-MYC, the synthetic DNA duplex of 5′-ATGGCATATGCAAGAGCT GATCTCAGAGGAGGACCTGTA-3′ and 5′-TTACAGGTCC TCCCTCAGATCAGCTTTGATTGATGCCAT-3′ was inserted into HpaI-digested pLHCX (Clontech).

To construct the lentiviral expression vector pLVX-Puro-3xFlag-TSNR, the entire 3xFlag-TSNR sequence was PCR-amplified using 4%–15% Mini-Protein TGX gels (Bio-Rad), and bands detected using SilverQuest (Invitrogen) were excised and subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion (Kinter and Sherman 2000). Chromatographed peptides were identified by Eric Spooner at the Whitehead Institute Mass Spectrometry Facility [http://jura.wi.mit.edu/massspec/home.html] using an Orbitrap Elite Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap mass spectrometer with Dionex Ultimate 3000 Rapid Separation LC systems [Thermo Fisher Scientific] and Scaffold [version Scaffold_4.2.1, Proteome Software, Inc.].

Western blotting

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Seflton 2001), and total cell proteins were separated in a 6%–15% denaturing polyacrylamide gel, transferred to nitrocellulose (Amersham Biosciences), and probed using antibodies recognizing TSN [Abcam], UPF1 [Serin et al. 2001], Flag (Sigma), MYC [Calbiochem], AGO2 [Abcam], GW182 [Bethyl Laboratories], PABPC1 [Santa Cruz Biotechnology], calnexin [Enzo Life Sciences], HIS [Qiagen], GAPDH [Santa Cruz Biotechnology], ITGA5 [Abcam], ITGA2 [Abcam], ANGPTL4 [Thermo Fisher Scientific], ADAM9 [Abcam], or Dicer [Abcam]. Blots were quantified using Image Quant [Molecular Dynamics].

Purification of recombinant proteins

His-tagged TSN variants and His-tagged EGFP were expressed in BL21-CodonPlus(DE3)-RIPL cells [Agilent Technologies], purified using a HisTrap HP column [GE Healthcare], and further purified on a HiTrap Q HP column [GE Healthcare] as described [Elbarbary et al. 2017]. Flag-UPF1 was expressed in Spodoptera frugiperda S9 cells, immunoprecipitated and eluted as described [Bhattacharya et al. 2000; Hosoda et al. 2005], purified using a HiTrap Q HP column, and dialyzed in 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT. UPF1[115–915] wild type and UPF1[115–915]R843C were expressed and purified as described [Kurosaki et al. 2014]. Concentrations of recombinant proteins were estimated after electrophoresis in SDS–polyacrylamide gel.
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Synthetic single-stranded miRNAs (Integrated DNA Technology)

In vitro nuclease and helicase assays

The mixture then was incubated for 2 h at 4°C with Flag-peptide-saturated HisPur Ni-NTA resin. Resin was generated by incubating 50 µL of HisPur Ni-NTA resin slurry [Pierce] with 10 µg of Flag-peptide [Sigma] in HIS pull-down buffer for 2 h at 4°C and was subsequently washed five times using the same buffer. Mixture-incubated resin was washed 10 times in HIS pull-down buffer. Bound proteins were eluted by boiling in 2× SDS sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl at pH 6.8, 4% [w/v] SDS, 10% [w/v] 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.01% [v/v] Bromophenol blue), electrophoresed in SDS–polyacrylamide, and subjected to Western blotting using anti-Flag [Sigma] or anti-HIS [Qiagen].

siRNAs

Control siRNA, TSN siRNA, and UPF1 siRNA (Kim et al. 2005) have been described.

RNA purification and RT-qPCR

RNA purification and RT-qPCR were performed as described previously (Elbarbary et al. 2017).

Tandem immunoprecipitations

HEK293T cells stably expressing Flag-TSN and MYC-UPF1 were formaldehyde cross-linked, lysed, and immunoprecipitated using the anti-Flag affinity gel as described above. The beads were washed five times with ice-cold lysis buffer and then twice with wash buffer [20 mM HEPES at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM DTT, Complete mini, EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]] followed by incubation with RNase I as described above. After an additional five washes with lysis buffer, Flag-TSN-containing complexes were affinity-eluted from the beads with elution buffer [200 µg/mL Flag peptide [Sigma], 5% glycerol in wash buffer] with rocking overnight at 4°C. NaCl and NP-40 were adjusted to 300 mM and 0.5%, respectively, and the second immunoprecipitation was performed for 2 h at 4°C using anti-MYC that had been coupled to Dynabeads protein G [Life Technologies] according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Beads were washed seven times using lysis buffer, and complexes were eluted using 2× SDS sample buffer and decross-linked as reported (Gong et al. 2009).

In vitro pull-down assays

Ten picomoles of E. coli-produced HIS-EGFP or HIS-TSN[1–885] was incubated with baculovirus-produced Flag-UPF1 in HIS pull-down buffer [30 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, Complete mini, EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets [Roche]] for 16 h at 4°C. The mixture was then incubated for 2 h at 4°C with Flag-peptide-saturated HisPur Ni-NTA resin. Resin was generated by incubating 50 µL of HisPur Ni-NTA resin slurry [Pierce] with 10 µg of Flag-peptide [Sigma] in HIS pull-down buffer for 2 h at 4°C and was subsequently washed five times using the same buffer. Mixture-incubated resin was washed 10 times in HIS pull-down buffer. Bound proteins were eluted by boiling in 2× SDS sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl at pH 6.8, 4% [w/v] SDS, 10% [w/v] 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.01% [v/v] Bromophenol blue), electrophoresed in SDS–polyacrylamide, and subjected to Western blotting using anti-Flag [Sigma] or anti-HIS [Qiagen].

Synthesizing the FZD3 mRNA 3′ UTR

Unlabeled miR-31-5p was loaded into AGO2 complexes in cell lysates as described previously (Elbarbary et al. 2017). The beads were washed twice with lysis buffer and subsequently incubated with 150 µg/mL Flag peptide buffer [150 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM DTT, Complete mini, EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets [Roche]] followed by incubation with RNase I as described above. After an additional five washes with lysis buffer, Flag-TSN-containing complexes were affinity-eluted from the beads with elution buffer [200 µg/mL Flag peptide [Sigma], 5% glycerol in wash buffer] with rocking overnight at 4°C. NaCl and NP-40 were adjusted to 300 mM and 0.5%, respectively, and the second immunoprecipitation was performed for 2 h at 4°C using anti-MYC that had been coupled to Dynabeads protein G [Life Technologies] according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Beads were washed seven times using lysis buffer, and complexes were eluted using 2× SDS sample buffer and decross-linked as reported (Gong et al. 2009).
performed using the DESeq2 1.12.4 [Love et al. 2014] R/Bioconductor package [Huber et al. 2015] with an adjusted P-value [Benjamini-Hochberg] threshold of 0.05 within the R version 3.3.0 environment [https://www.R-project.org]. Heat maps were produced using the pHeatmap package. Significance values for the intersection of two miRNA lists were derived using the hypergeometric test [https://www.R-project.org].

**T24 cell invasion assays**

Three days after transfection with the specified siRNA or miRNA mimic, 4.0×10^4 T24 cells were seeded in BD BioCoat Matrigel invasion chambers with 8.0-mm pores [BD Biosciences] according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 22 h, invading cells were fixed using 100% methanol, stained using 1% (v/v) toluidine blue in 1% (w/v) borax, photographed using an Axiovert 40 CFL microscope [Zeiss], and quantitated using ImageJ [http://www.nih.gov].

**Proliferation assays**

Assays were performed using the CellTiter-Glo luminescent cell viability assay [Promega] according to the manufacturer's instructions.
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