THE ASSOCIATION OF LEFT VENTRICULAR HYPERTROPHY WITH INTRAVENTRICULAR DYSSYNCHRONY AT REST AND DURING EXERCISE IN HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS
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BACKGROUND: Impaired exercise tolerance with dyspnea is common in hypertensive patients and this may be due to the exaggeration of nonuniform ventricular activation during exercise. So we want to evaluate the effect of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) on systolic intraventricular dysynchrony during exercise.

METHODS: A total of 85 patients with hypertension who having exertional dyspnea and 30 control individuals were enrolled. Exercise stress echocardiography was performed using a symptom limited, multistage supine bicycle test. To evaluate the dysynchrony of left ventricular (LV), we calculated the standard deviation (SD) of the averaged time-to-peak systolic velocity (TPs-SD, ms) of 12 middle and basal LV segments obtained from the three standard apical views at rest and peak exercise.

RESULTS: There was no significant difference in systolic blood pressure (BP) and heart rate between the two groups. TPs-SD was significantly higher in patients with LVH at rest (31.5 ± 12.1 vs. 22.0 ± 12.6 ms, \( p = 0.002 \)) with exaggeration of the degree at peak exercise (39.0 ± 11.9 vs. 24.6 ± 13.3 ms, \( p < 0.001 \)). Multiple regression analysis showed LV mass index was independently associated with LV dysynchrony at peak exercise (\( \beta = 0.515, p = 0.001 \)) when controlled for age, sex, and systolic BP at peak exercise.

CONCLUSION: Intraventricular systolic dysynchrony during exercise is significantly associated with the degree of LVH in hypertensive patients.
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trophied myocardium has been evaluated only at resting state although this dyssynchrony maybe exaggerated during exercise. And this can be the cause of exertional dyspnea in patients with LVH due to lack of uniform contraction of myocardium, relative decrease in stroke volume and diastolic asynchrony.

Therefore, in this study, we investigated the degree of myocardial dyssynchrony of hypertrophied myocardium and the relationship between distribution of myocardium and regional dyssynchrony during exercise as well as at rest.

METHODS

PATIENTS

We selected 85 patients who relatively well controlled, treated hypertension and complained of exertional dyspnea and 30 control individuals after receiving informed consent. Among the eighty five patients, 30 patients had LVH. The patients with any of the following were excluded from participation: valvular heart disease; peripheral vascular disease; significant systemic disease; history of inflammatory disease; symptomatic cerebrovascular disease; a clinically significant atrioventricular conduction disturbance; history of atrial fibrillation or other serious arrhythmia; history of congestive heart failure; liver cirrhosis; severe hypertension (> 180/110 mmHg); serum creatinine > 1.4 mg/dL; pregnant women.

TWO-DIMENSIONAL AND DOPPLER ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

Echocardiography was performed with an ultrasound system (Vivid 7 GE Vingmed, Horten, Norway) with a 2.5-MHz transducer. Standard 2-dimensional (2D) measurements [end-diastolic and end-systolic dimensions, ventricular septum and posterior wall thickness, LA volume index, LV mass index (LVMi), LV outflow tract] including LV ejection fraction were measured with the patient in the left lateral position. LV mass (LVM) was calculated using the Devereux-modified American Society of Echocardiography cube formula and LVMI was obtained by dividing the LVM by the body surface area. LVH was considered present when LVMI was greater than 105 g/m² in men or 95 g/m² in women. A 1- to 2-mm pulsed Doppler sample volume was placed at the mitral valve tip, and mitral flow velocities from 5 to 10 cardiac cycles were recorded. The following variables were obtained: peak velocity of early filling (E) and late (A) filling, deceleration time (DT) of the E wave velocity and ratio of E over A.

Doppler with the sample volume at the tip of the mitral valve leaflets, systolic (S') and early (E') and late (A') mitral annular velocities were measured in the apical 4-chamber view using pulsed wave Doppler tissue imaging by spectral pulsed Doppler signal filters, bypassing highpass filter, adjusting Nyquist limit until 15-20 cm/sec (close to myocardial velocities), and using the minimal optimal gain.

After the standard echocardiographic examination, Doppler tissue imaging for offline color tissue velocity imaging was again activated in the apical 4 chamber, 5 chamber (not shown) and 2 chamber image (Fig. 1).

EXERCISE STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

Exercise stress echocardiography was performed using a symptom limited, multistage supine bicycle exercise test with a variable load bicycle ergometer (Medical Positioning Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA). The patients pedaled at constant speed beginning at a workload of 25 W, with an incremental workload of 25 W every 3 minutes. During exercise, the standard 2D measurements including LV ejection fraction, mitral inflow velocities (E, A, DT, E/A) and tissue Doppler parameters (S’, E’, A’) were measured and measurements was recorded with simultaneous electrocardiography at a sweep speed of 50 to 100 mm/s. Each measurement was made at baseline, at each stage of exercise, and during recovery. To evaluate the intra- and interpersonal measurement variabilities, the measurements were performed off-line by 2 investigators who were blinded to the status of patients in randomly selected 20 patients. Patients who demonstrated evidence of overt myocardial dyssynchrony were excluded from further analysis.

Fig. 1. Doppler tissue imaging for offline color tissue velocity imaging in the apical 4 chamber (A), and 2 chamber (B) image.
dial ischemia during exercise, such as significant ST segment change or development of regional wall motion abnormality, were excluded from analysis.

By use of Doppler tissue imaging, the following regional parameters were evaluated in 12 different basal, medial myocardial segments: systolic (S'), early- and late-diastolic (E' and A'), peak velocities and regional isovolumetric contraction time (ms). Time from the Q wave on the electrocardiogram to the peak velocity of regional myocardium was measured at each 12 segments. Systolic dyssynchrony index was defined as standard deviation (SD) of time from Q wave to peak systolic velocity of 12 segments (TPs) and diastolic dyssynchrony index.

Fig. 2. The process to define systolic and diastolic dyssynchrony. A: Systolic dyssynchrony: The difference of time from the Q wave on the electrocardiogram to the peak velocity of two basal myocardium. B: Diastolic dyssynchrony: The difference of time from Q wave to myocardial early diastolic velocity between anterior and inferior basal myocardium.

Fig. 3. Upper panel, tissue velocity imaging at resting state; (A) 4 chamber view; (B) 2 chamber view. At exercise, modified standard deviation (SD) was applied. SD/heart rate was applied considering heart rate.
was defined as SD of the time from Q wave to myocardial early diastolic velocity (TPe) measured (Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{10} And at exercise, modified SD (SD / heart rate) was applied considering heart rate (Fig. 3).\textsuperscript{13}

**Statistical analysis**

Values were expressed as mean ± SD. Comparison of the dichotomous variables was performed using the chi-square analysis. Comparison of continuous variables between the two study groups was performed using the student’s t-test. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 11.0 statistical program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Clinical characteristics and baseline echocardiographic data**

The age of patients having LVH is older than patients without LVH (Table 1). Reasonably LV dimension at diastolic phase, LV mass and LA volume was larger in LVH group. However, there was no difference in ejection fraction between two groups.

**Hemodynamic parameters at rest and during exercise**

Hemodynamic parameters at rest and during exercise were shown in Table 2. Baseline and during peak exercise, there was no significant difference in blood pressure (BP), heart rate (HR) and ejection fraction between two groups of hypertensive patients and their antihypertensive medications prescribed were similar without significant difference. However, the exercise duration is shorter in LVH group than non-LVH group. The main cause of stopping of exercise was that they complained of difficulty in breathing.

We measured many parameters as described at methods and E over E’ (E/E’) is considered as representative of LA pressure. S prime (S’) is the contractile function of myocardium at each stage (Table 3).

**Systolic, diastolic parameters at rest and during exercise in LVH and non-LVH group**

As you can see in Table 3, at 50 W, E/E’ value is significantly higher in LVH group which means diastolic dysfunction is worse at exercise in LVH group on the contrary similar E/E’ at resting state in two groups. Over than 50 W, it is more difficult

### Table 1. Characteristics of patients with and without LVH

|                      | Control (n = 30) | No LVH (n = 55) | LVH (n = 30) | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Age (yr)             | 52.4 ± 9.3      | 53.3 ± 11.8     | 58.7 ± 9.4   | 0.033        |
| Gender (M : F)       | 26 : 29         | 26 : 29         | 14 : 16      | 0.222        |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))     | 23.4 ± 3.2      | 24.6 ± 2.8      | 24.1 ± 2.7   | 0.594        |
| LVEDD (mm)           | 45.5 ± 4.7      | 48.5 ± 3.8      | 50.7 ± 3.8   | 0.016        |
| LVESD (mm)           | 30.0 ± 2.8      | 31.5 ± 3.4      | 32.3 ± 3.6   | 0.328        |
| LV mass (g)          | 139 ± 28        | 158 ± 32        | 209 ± 54     | < 0.001      |
| LVMi (g/BSA)         | 84 ± 15         | 93 ± 15         | 129 ± 17     | < 0.001      |
| EF (%)               | 65 ± 5.2        | 68 ± 5          | 70 ± 7       | 0.377        |
| LA volume index (mL) | 24.5 ± 6.4      | 26.3 ± 10.2     | 31.1 ± 9.6   | 0.047        |

LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy, M: male, F: female, BMI: body mass index, LVEDD: left ventricular end diastolic dimension, LVESD: left ventricular end systolic dimension, LVMi: left ventricular mass index, BSA: body surface area, EF: ejection fraction, LA: left atrium

### Table 2. Hemodynamic parameters at rest and during exercise and prescribed drugs

|                      | LVH (n = 30) | No LVH (n = 55) | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Base HR (bpm)        | 60 ± 9       | 64 ± 9          | 0.094        |
| Peak HR (bpm)        | 115 ± 18     | 121 ± 21        | 0.234        |
| Base SBP (mmHg)      | 140 ± 22     | 134 ± 15        | 0.165        |
| Peak SBP (mmHg)      | 182 ± 28     | 184 ± 25        | 0.790        |
| Peak EF (%)          | 69.5 ± 5.4   | 71.2 ± 6.6      | 0.598        |
| Exercise duration (sec) | 496 ± 265 | 521 ± 195       | 0.016*       |
| Diuretics (%)        | 21.5         | 19.6            | 0.447        |
| Beta blockers (%)    | 30.4         | 28.6            | 0.847        |
| CCB (%)              | 24.5         | 21.3            | 0.978        |
| ACEi or ARB (%)      | 40.2         | 39.8            | 0.667        |

*Means that there was significant difference in exercise duration between two groups. LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy, HR: heart rate, SBP: systolic blood pressure, EF: ejection fraction, CCB: Calcium Channel Blocker, ACEi: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker
to measure E/E’ value because E wave is summated with A wave. Contractile function at 50 W was similar in two groups, however delta S' (the change from baseline to peak exercise) was definitely lower in L VH group. Therefore, we can suggest that in spite of having similar systolic, diastolic function at rest—ing state, with the exercise, the filling pressure of L V increased much more in L VH group and as well the myocardial contractile function was less increased in L VH group.

**LV SYSTOLIC ASYNCHRONY AT REST AND DURING EXERCISE**

However, in terms of LV asynchrony, there were many differences between two groups. TPs, TPs-SD is shorter in no L VH group even in resting state. This difference was exaggerated at peak exercise. As you can see in Table 4, modified TPs-SD at peak exercise (TPs-SD at peak exercise/HR at peak exercise) was much more increased in LVH group than non-LVH group.

**LV DIASTOLIC ASYNCHRONY AT REST AND DURING EXERCISE**

LV diastolic asynchrony had similar pattern with LV systolic asynchrony. TPe and the SD of 12 segments TPe was shorter in no L VH group even in resting state. This difference was exaggerated at peak exercise. As you can see in Table 5, modified TPe-SD at peak exercise (the calculated value considering HR) was much more increased in LVH group than non-LVH group.

Multiple regression analysis showed that LVMI was independently associated with LV dyssynchrony at peak exercise ($\beta = 0.515, p = 0.001$) when controlled for age, sex, and systolic BP at peak exercise (Table 6).
**DISCUSSION**

The principal finding of the present study was that the patients with LVH had systolic and diastolic dyssynchrony at rest and this phenomenon exaggerated with exercise which can explain the dyspnea on exertion in the patients with LVH.

The development of LV dyssynchrony may occur because of electrical conduction delay, myocardial ischemia, or abnormal loading conditions.\(^{16-17}\)

We found that in most segments, LV systolic synchronicity was impaired in hypertensive patients when compared with controls and the impairment was more serious in hypertensive patients with LVH. Diastolic asynchrony was also evident in LVH patients when compared with isolated hypertensive patients, which can be reflected by prolonged TP_e in most LV segments and prolonged TP_e-max. Kwon et al.\(^{18}\) found similar results of ours. In that study, systolic synchrony was impaired in patients with non-LVH to a similar degree in the LVH group.\(^{19-21}\) However, our findings have more important implications. Although the degree of dyssynchrony was impaired similarly in non-LVH group and LVH group at resting state, exercise differentiated these two conditions. As shown in Table 3 and 4, systolic and diastolic dyssynchrony was exaggerated more in LVH group compared to non-LVH group.

Dyssynchrony has emerged as important mechanisms contributing to the progression of heart failure and LV remodeling.\(^{22}\) However, systolic dyssynchrony considered our results, it suggests that hypertension impairs LV function not only by influencing myocardial function, but also by impairing LV synchronicity.

And one of the principal findings in this study is the different response to exercise between male and female. Although we didn’t show the subanalysis according to gender, E/E’ at 50 W of exercise was much more elevated in women compared to men (14.2 ± 5.1 vs. 12.8 ± 2.8, p-value = 0.024). And diastolic dyssynchrony index was also more elevated in women than men (TP_e-SD at peak exercise: 41.3 ± 10.7 in women vs. 36.0 ± 9.1 in men, p-value = 0.003).

In summary, women are vulnerable to increase in LA pressure and diastolic dyssynchrony especially at exercise. This result can explain the difference in symptoms and short exercise duration. And this phenomenon is similar to previous results. Masoudi et al.\(^{23}\) mentioned differences of women with heart failure as compared to men. They explained the reason was women live longer than men, more frequency of coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, depression, and etc.\(^{21-23}\) If we suggest the mechanism in addition to previous causes, diastolic dyssynchrony can be the cause of different women heart failure.

In conclusion, intraventricular systolic dyssynchrony during exercise is significantly associated with the degree of LVH in hypertensive patients and this could be the cause of dyspnea on exertion. And the difference of response according to gender should be more investigated.

Table 6. Multiple regression analysis for left ventricular dyssynchrony at rest and exercise

|                | Beta | p-value |
|----------------|------|---------|
| Age            | 0.230| 0.046   |
| LVMI (mm²)     | 0.515| 0.001   |
| Female         | 0.304| 0.023   |
| Peak HR (bpm)  | 0.307| 0.065   |
| Peak BP (mmHg)| 0.114| 0.529   |

LVMI: left ventricular mass index, HR: heart rate, BP: blood pressure

**LIMITATION**

We used the index of 50 watts of exercise, because as we discussed in discussion, if the extent of exercise is over 50 watts, most of E wave and A wave are summated in which condition it is difficult to know the exact value of diastolic parameters. In fact, 50 watt exercise is not peak exercise and the value of peak exercise may be different from that of 50 watts. However, we observed the significant different value of dyssynchrony index and many Doppler indexes between non-LVH group and LVH group although 50 watt exercise is suboptimal exercise. Therefore we believe that the many indexes and Doppler parameters in the real peak exercise have similar pattern with more exaggerated value.
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