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Body mass index as a predictor of healthy and disease-free life expectancy between ages 50 and 75: a multicohort study

S Stenholm, J Head, V Aalto, M Kivimäki, I Kawachi, M Zins, M Goldberg, LG Platts, P Zaninotto, LL Magnusson Hanson, H Westerlund and J Vahtera

BACKGROUND: While many studies have shown associations between obesity and increased risk of morbidity and mortality, little comparable information is available on how body mass index (BMI) impacts health expectancy. We examined associations of BMI with healthy and chronic disease-free life expectancy in four European cohort studies.

METHODS: Data were drawn from repeated waves of cohort studies in England, Finland, France and Sweden. BMI was categorized into four groups from normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg m⁻²) to obesity class II (≥35 kg m⁻²). Health expectancy was estimated with two health indicators: sub-optimal self-rated health and having a chronic disease (cardiovascular disease, cancer, respiratory disease and diabetes). Multistate life table models were used to estimate sex-specific healthy life expectancy and chronic disease-free life expectancy from ages 50 to 75 years for each BMI category.

RESULTS: The proportion of life spent in good perceived health between ages 50 and 75 progressively decreased with increasing BMI from 81% in normal weight men and women to 53% in men and women with class II obesity which corresponds to an average 7-year difference in absolute terms. The proportion of life between ages 50 and 75 years without chronic diseases decreased from 62 and 65% in normal weight men and women and to 29 and 36% in men and women with class II obesity, respectively. This corresponds to an average 9 more years without chronic diseases in normal weight men and 7 more years in normal weight women between ages 50 and 75 years compared to class II obese men and women. No consistent differences were observed between cohorts.

CONCLUSIONS: Excess BMI is associated with substantially shorter healthy and chronic disease-free life expectancy, suggesting that tackling obesity would increase years lived in good health in populations.

International Journal of Obesity (2017) 41, 769–775; doi:10.1038/ijo.2017.29

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a major global public health challenge of our times. The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased significantly over the past three decades and concerns about the health risks associated with obesity have become nearly universal. Excess adiposity is associated with an increased risk for morbidity, in particular type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease, heart failure and several types of cancer as well as with elevated mortality risk. In addition, among older people, obesity carries an increased risk of lower extremity osteoarthritis, and reduced functional capacity and quality of life. The adverse effects of obesity raise the question about corresponding losses in life spent in good health. This issue has not been examined in detail although it has important implications for both individuals and for societies dealing with increasing health-care costs and care dependency.

A useful summary measure that captures both the ‘quantity’ and ‘quality’ of life is the estimation of health expectancy. This concept takes into account both morbidity and mortality and is therefore useful in comparing the health of different populations and population sub-groups. To date, there are a limited number of studies that have examined how body mass index (BMI) impacts health expectancy. Moreover, health indicators used to compute health expectancy have varied across studies—for example, single versus multiple chronic diseases, health-related quality of life, disability or quality-adjusted years—which has hindered our ability to draw comparisons across populations.

Some studies have estimated health expectancy based on cross-sectional methods, that is, prevalence-based life tables of health status, also known as Sullivan’s Method. The advantage of using longitudinal methods, such as multistate life tables (MSLT), in estimating health expectancy is that they take into account the possibility of returning to a state of good health using incident rates instead of prevalence. When longitudinal data on health development are available, the incidence-based MSLT is preferable because it most accurately reflects the impact of current conditions (that is, disease onset, recovery, and mortality) on the evolution of health expectancy in the target population.

To the best of our knowledge few studies have prospectively studied health expectancy across BMI categories. In these studies healthy years were operationalized in terms of quality-adjusted life years, years without cardiovascular disease and disability-free years. None of the studies used self-rated health which is a global measure of health status and commonly used to estimate health expectancy. In addition, all the above-mentioned studies
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examined health expectancy for only three broad BMI categories: normal weight, overweight and obesity. With increasing prevalence of severe obesity, more information is needed about health expectancy at the extreme of BMI distribution, that is, obesity and severe obesity, which typically associate with excess morbidty and mortality.26

The objective of this study was to address the above-mentioned gaps by examining health expectancy across BMI categories in large cohorts of older men and women living in England, Finland, France and Sweden. In each of the cohorts health expectancy was defined using two different health indicators: sub-optimal self-rated health and having a chronic disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population**

We used data from four prospective cohort studies from England, Finland, France and Sweden to calculate partial life expectancy (LE) and health expectancies between the ages of 50 and 75. In all cohorts, people aged 50 years or older with valid data on health and BMI were included from the first observation. We limited our calculation of partial LE to an upper age of 75 as not all cohorts had participants aged 75 and older and this choice allowed us to have comparable time frames for each cohort.

The English data are from the first six waves (2002–2003 to 2012–2013) of the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA), an open-access, nationally representative biennial longitudinal survey of those aged 50 and over living in private households in England who had previously taken part in the Health Survey for England in 1998, 1999 or 2001 (wave 0).27 We included 7225 participants aged 50–75 years at baseline who had valid information on objectively measured BMI collected during the home visit at either wave 0 or wave 2.

The Finnish data are from five waves of the Finnish Public Sector study (FPS). The FPS, established in 1997/1998, comprises all 151 901 employees (FPS). The FPS, established in 1997/1998, comprises all 151 901 employees (FPS). The FPS, established in 1997/1998, comprises all 151 901 employees who had responded to at least one SLOSH wave and who were aged 50–75 years at baseline (wave 0).28 We included 7225 participants aged 50–75 years at baseline who had valid information on self-reported body weight and BMI in FPS, and over living in private households in England who had previously taken part in the Health Survey for England in 1998, 1999 or 2001 (wave 0).27 We included 7225 participants aged 50–75 years at baseline who had valid information on objectively measured BMI collected during the home visit at either wave 0 or wave 2.

The French data are from the GAZEL Cohort Study, established in 1989 among Electricité de France-Gaz de France (EDF-GDF) workers, the French national electricity company, with annual waves of data collection up to 2014. At inception in 1989, the GAZEL Cohort Study contained 20 625 volunteers (15 011 men and 5 614 women) working at EDF-GDF who were then aged from 35 to 50 years.28 We included 14 967 participants who had valid information on BMI measured in 1996 and who were aged 50–57 years at the first wave.

Data for Sweden came from five waves of the Swedish Longitudinal Occupational Survey of Health (SLOSH).29 The first wave of SLOSH in 2006 was a postal questionnaire follow-up of all respondents to the 2003 Swedish Work Environment Survey (SWES), a cross-sectional, biennial survey of a random stratified sample of those gainfully employed people aged 16–64 years. At wave 2 in 2008, the sample was increased by adding the respondents from the 2005 SWES yielding an overall sample of n = 18 915 women and men originally representative of the working population in Sweden in 2003 and 2005. These people were then resurveyed in 2010, 2012 and 2014. In total, 77% responded at least once. The analytic sample in the present study comprised the 8048 participants who had responded to at least one SLOSH wave and who were aged 50–75 years at the first wave for which valid data on BMI was recorded.

In all cohorts, participants gave their informed consent to take part. Ethical approval was given in each of the countries from relevant ethical committees/boards.

**Measurement of BMI**

BMI was calculated using self-reported body weight and height in FPS, GAZEL and SLOSH. In ELSA, body weight and height were measured by a study nurse in the participants’ homes. Obesity was categorized according to World Health Organization cutoff criteria as (1) underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg m⁻²), (2) normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg m⁻²), (3) overweight (25–29.9 kg m⁻²), (4) obese class I (30–34.9 kg m⁻²) and (5) obese class II (≥35 kg m⁻²).26 Because the proportion of underweight men and women in each cohort was less than 1% with the exception of GAZEL women (3%), the underweight people were excluded from the analyses.

**Outcome measures**

In each study cohort, we defined two health expectancy outcomes: (1) healthy LE using self-rated health and (2) chronic disease-free LE based on the occurrence of chronic diseases. In addition, we took mortality into account.

**Self-rated health.** All participants were asked about their health status at each wave. Responses were categorized into good and sub-optimal health. In ELSA, FPS and SLOSH participants were asked to rate their general health on a five-point Likert scale, which was dichotomized by categorizing response scores 1–2 as good health and scores 3–5 as sub-optimal health. GAZEL used an 8-point Likert scale (1 = very good, 8 = very poor), which was dichotomized by categorizing response scores 1–4 as good health and scores 5–8 as sub-optimal health, as previously validated.31 Health expectancy based on self-rated health is labeled hereafter as healthy LE.

**Chronic diseases.** Presence of the following chronic diseases was ascertained in each study by asking ‘has a doctor ever told you that you have …?’: (1) heart disease (heart attack, coronary heart disease, angina, congestive heart failure, or other heart problems),2 stroke (stroke or transient ischemic attack),3 chronic lung disease (chronic bronchitis or emphysema or asthma),4 cancer (cancer or a malignant tumor of any kind except skin cancer) and5 diabetes (diabetes or high blood sugar). Individuals were defined as having a chronic disease if they reported one or more of these conditions. The presence of chronic diseases at baseline (first observation included in analysis) included any chronic diseases reported before the age of 50 from available information on respondents. Health expectancy based on chronic diseases is hereafter labeled as chronic disease-free LE.

Mortality was ascertained from linked register data for each study cohort with follow-up censored on 31 December of the year in which data collection last took place for each study cohort.

**Statistical analyses**

Characteristics of the participating cohorts are presented at the first observation point, which refers to the date each participant was included in the dataset.

We applied multistate models to longitudinal data to obtain transition probabilities between health states. Discrete-time multistate life table models were used to estimate partial LE and healthy LE and chronic disease-free LE between the ages of 50 and 75 years (in total 26 years). For both outcome measures, three health states were defined: healthy, unhealthy and dead. For healthy LE, there were four possible transitions between the health states, namely healthy to sub-optimal health (onset), healthy to dead, unhealthy to healthy (recovery), unhealthy to dead, sub-optimal health to dead. For chronic disease-free LE, there were only three possible transitions as, by definition, recovery was not possible.

For each study cohort, age-specific transition probabilities by sex and BMI were estimated from multinominal logistic models with age (in years), sex and socioeconomic position as covariates. Partial LE, healthy LE and chronic disease-free LE from ages 50–75 years were then calculated based on these estimated transition probabilities using a stochastic (microsimulation) approach.32 For each study, individual trajectories for a simulated cohort of 100 000 persons were generated with distributions of covariates at the starting point based on the observed study-specific prevalence by five year age group, sex, socioeconomic position and BMI. Partial LE, healthy LE and chronic disease-free LE from age 50 to 75 were then calculated as the average from these trajectories for BMI and sex. Computation of 95% confidence intervals (CI) (from 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles) for these multistate life table estimates was performed using a bootstrap method with 500 replicates for the whole analysis process (multinominal analysis and simulation steps). As BMI-related transitions to poor health and death may differ by sex, we repeated analyses including interactions between sex and BMI in the multinominal logistic models. Finally, since tobacco smoking is associated with increased risk of mortality and morbidity,33,34 and it is also typically associated with lower weight,35 we conducted sensitivity analyses among never smokers using a bootstrap method with 50 replicates.
All analyses were conducted in SAS 9.2 using the SPACE (Stochastic Population Analysis of Complex Events) program. This program uses the stochastic (that is, microsimulation) approach to estimate the healthy LE as opposed to another well-known program, IMaCh (Interpolation of Markov Chains) which uses a deterministic approach.

**RESULTS**

Characteristics of the study cohorts for men and women at the time of first observation are shown in Table 1. Prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg m⁻²) varied across cohorts. Obesity was most common among English men (24%) and women (29%) in ELSA, followed by Finnish and Swedish men and women being around 15% in FPS and SLOSH. Obesity was rare among French men and women in GAZEL cohort (7%). At baseline, there were also some differences in the prevalence of sub-optimal self-rated health across study cohorts ranging among men from 19% (GAZEL) to 37% (FPS) and among women from 20% (SLOSH) to 34% (FPS). Chronic disease was most common among ELSA men (34%) and women (31%) and least common in SLOSH men (22%) and women (17%).

Partial LE between ages 50 and years 75 (in total 26 years) for men was 23.7 years in ELSA, 24.1 years in FPS, 24.5 years in GAZEL and 25.2 years in SLOSH. The corresponding figures for women were 24.4 years for ELSA, 24.9 years for FPS, 25.0 years for GAZEL and 25.5 years for SLOSH. On the basis of the most recent national records, the total LE at age 50 for men in England was 31.3, in Finland 30.3, in France 30.8 and in Sweden 32.0 years.

Corresponding figures for women were 34.4 for England, 35.0 for Finland, 36.2 for France and 35.1 for Sweden. Thus, the differences in country-level differences in total LE at age 50 were consistent with the cohort-specific partial LEs that we observed. Table 2 shows estimates of partial LE between ages 50 and 75 years, divided into healthy and unhealthy LE based on self-reported health and BMI. The partial LE was highest among normal weight and overweight participants and lowest among obese class II participants across the study cohorts. There was a gradient toward shorter healthy LE with increasing BMI. Normal weight men and women could expect to live on average 81% of their life between 50 and 75 years in good health. The corresponding figures were only 64% among obese class I and 53% among obese class II participants (Figure 1). In terms of absolute number of years, normal weight participants from the ELSA, GAZEL and SLOSH cohorts could expect to live on average 3 and 7 years longer in good health compared to those in obesity classes I and II, respectively. In the FPS cohort, the gradient from normal weight to obese class II was slightly larger than in other cohorts, the corresponding differences in healthy years being 6 and 10 years, respectively.

Results for the partial LE, chronic disease-free LE and LE with chronic diseases are shown in Table 3. The proportion of years without chronic diseases between ages 50–75 years ranged from 60 (ELSA and FPS) to 65% (SLOSH) in normal weight men and from 59 (FPS) to 73% (SLOSH) in normal weight women (Figure 2). In ELSA the difference between normal weight and obesity classes I and II was 2 and 5 years in men and 2 and 6 years in women, respectively. In all other cohorts, the loss in healthy years among obese classes I and II participants compared to normal weight participants was much larger, 5 and 10 years in men and 5 and 8 years in women, respectively.

To take into account the potential confounding by smoking, we repeated our analyses by including only never smokers at baseline. Healthy LE and chronic disease-free LE were on average one year longer across all BMI categories in all cohorts and thus the gradient in decreasing healthy LE and chronic disease-free LE with increasing BMI remained similar as in the total population (Supplementary eTables 1 and 2).

Finally, we tested the sex × BMI interaction in multinomial logistic models. In most cases, this did not significantly improve model fit. However, the interaction was significant for self-rated health in GAZEL where the increased risk of remaining in poor

---

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the study cohorts at the time of first observation*

|          | ELSA |         | FPS |         | GAZEL |         | SLOSH |         |
|----------|------|---------|-----|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
|          | Men  | Women   | Men | Women   | Men   | Women   | Men   | Women   |
| Sample size | 4071 | 4733    | 8343| 34 173  | 11 098| 3833    | 3663 | 4326    |
| Age (mean, s.d.) | 61.5 (7.2) | 61.4 (7.3) | 53.6 (3.2) | 53.2 (2.9) | 52.0 (2.2) | 51.4 (2.0) | 58.0 (5.8) | 57.3 (5.7) |
| Socioeconomic position (%) |       |         |     |        |       |         |       |         |
| High grade | 36.5 | 24.9    | 42  | 27     | 32.8  | 9.2     | 22.8  | 17.1    |
| Middle grade | 19.8 | 27.3    | 24.1| 56.4   | 55.3  | 67.7    | 36.5  | 51.7    |
| Low grade | 43.8 | 47.8    | 33.9| 16.6   | 11.8  | 23.1    | 40.7  | 31.1    |
| Sub-optimal self-rated health (%) | 25.2 | 23.8    | 37.3| 34.1   | 19.2  | 23.5    | 23.6  | 20.3    |
| Chronic disease (%)b | 34.4 | 31.3    | 25.5| 26     | 23.1  | 25.3    | 21.7  | 17.2    |
| Body mass index (%) |       |         |     |        |       |         |       |         |
| Underweight (< 18.5 kg m⁻²) | 0.3 | 0.9     | 0.2 | 0.8    | 0.3   | 2.9     | 0.2   | 0.9     |
| Normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg m⁻²) | 23.5 | 32     | 35.4| 51.1   | 44.1  | 69.8    | 33.6  | 52.5    |
| Overweight (25–29.9 kg m⁻²) | 52.3 | 38.3    | 49  | 33.7   | 48.3  | 20.4    | 52    | 34.2    |
| Obese class I (30–34.9 kg m⁻²) | 19.2 | 19.3    | 12.4| 11     | 6.5   | 5.5     | 12    | 9.7     |
| Obese class II (≥35 kg m⁻²) | 4.8  | 9.6     | 3   | 3.4    | 0.7   | 1.6     | 2.2   | 2.7     |
| Smoking |       |         |     |        |       |         |       |         |
| Never smoking, % | 27.4 | 43.5    | 50.7| 67.7   | 35.2  | 67.9    | 52.7  | 50.4    |
| Former smoking, % | 53.5 | 36     | 27.7| 17     | 35.3  | 11.8    | 32.1  | 31.3    |
| Current smoking, % | 19.1 | 20.5    | 21.6| 15.3   | 29.6  | 20.3    | 15.3  | 18.3    |

*The first observation point refers to the date each participant was included in the dataset. bPresence of chronic diseases includes illness reported at or before the first observation point.
Table 2. Partial life expectancy, healthy life expectancy and unhealthy life expectancy based on self-reported health between ages 50 and 75 years by body mass index in each study cohort

|        | Life expectancy | 95% CI   | Healthy life expectancy | 95% CI   | Unhealthy life expectancy | 95% CI   |
|--------|-----------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| **Men** |
| ELSA   |
| Normal weight | 23.83       | 23.46    | 24.05                   | 18.98    | 18.37                     | 19.64    | 4.86       | 4.26    | 5.24   |
| Overweight | 24.01       | 23.83    | 24.25                   | 18.68    | 18.3                      | 19.22    | 5.32       | 4.92    | 5.7    |
| Obese class I | 23.45       | 23.21    | 23.82                   | 16.37    | 15.8                      | 17.12    | 7.08       | 6.39    | 7.67   |
| Obese class II | 23.17      | 22.36    | 23.48                   | 13.07    | 11.54                     | 13.93    | 10.1       | 9.07    | 11.34  |
| FPS    |
| Normal weight | 24.26       | 24.12    | 24.58                   | 18.23    | 17.82                     | 18.56    | 6.03       | 5.81    | 6.52   |
| Overweight | 24.26       | 24.03    | 24.47                   | 15.21    | 14.73                     | 15.55    | 9.05       | 8.71    | 9.51   |
| Obese class I | 23.53       | 23.22    | 24.04                   | 11.43    | 11.05                     | 12.54    | 12.1       | 11.29   | 12.55  |
| Obese class II | 22.76      | 21.8     | 23.51                   | 7.97     | 6.57                      | 8.79     | 14.79      | 13.7    | 16.16  |
| GAZEL  |
| Normal weight | 24.56       | 24.43    | 24.72                   | 21.13    | 20.9                      | 21.34    | 3.43       | 3.29    | 3.6    |
| Overweight | 24.57       | 24.45    | 24.71                   | 20.43    | 20.23                     | 20.62    | 4.14       | 3.97    | 4.32   |
| Obese class I | 23.69       | 23.22    | 24.16                   | 18.16    | 17.61                     | 18.84    | 5.53       | 5.09    | 5.99   |
| Obese class II | 23.35      | 21.65    | 24.54                   | 14.65    | 12.93                     | 16.63    | 8.7        | 6.94    | 9.81   |
| SLOSH  |
| Normal weight | 25.26       | 24.81    | 25.53                   | 20.91    | 20.25                     | 21.55    | 4.35       | 3.75    | 4.85   |
| Overweight | 25.23       | 24.94    | 25.49                   | 19.21    | 18.6                      | 19.87    | 6.03       | 5.45    | 6.47   |
| Obese class I | 25.7        | 25.29    | 26                      | 15.96    | 14.79                     | 17.07    | 9.74       | 8.66    | 10.98  |
| Obese class II | 24.22      | 21.99    | 26                      | 13.72    | 10.99                     | 16.32    | 10.5       | 7.89    | 12.96  |
| **Women** |
| ELSA   |
| Normal weight | 24.59       | 24.43    | 24.8                    | 20.08    | 19.72                     | 20.62    | 4.51       | 4.12    | 4.81   |
| Overweight | 24.71       | 24.56    | 24.87                   | 19.36    | 18.75                     | 19.79    | 5.36       | 5       | 5.89   |
| Obese class I | 24.35       | 24.14    | 24.56                   | 17.19    | 16.5                      | 17.74    | 7.16       | 6.71    | 7.79   |
| Obese class II | 23.87      | 23.51    | 24.31                   | 13.06    | 11.86                     | 14.15    | 10.81      | 9.91    | 11.96  |
| FPS    |
| Normal weight | 25.05       | 24.95    | 25.14                   | 18.41    | 18.28                     | 18.69    | 6.63       | 6.38    | 6.78   |
| Overweight | 25.01       | 24.91    | 25.13                   | 15.46    | 15.24                     | 15.77    | 9.54       | 9.26    | 9.79   |
| Obese class I | 24.72       | 24.47    | 24.87                   | 12.47    | 11.85                     | 12.72    | 12.25      | 11.93   | 12.85  |
| Obese class II | 24.12      | 23.7     | 24.62                   | 9.06     | 8.23                      | 9.93     | 15.05      | 14.18   | 16     |
| GAZEL  |
| Normal weight | 25.07       | 24.85    | 25.16                   | 20.54    | 20.26                     | 20.81    | 4.53       | 4.24    | 4.71   |
| Overweight | 24.92       | 24.79    | 25.21                   | 19.63    | 19.3                      | 20.09    | 5.3        | 4.98    | 5.67   |
| Obese class I | 24.58       | 24.11    | 24.93                   | 17.64    | 16.87                     | 18.43    | 6.94       | 6.3     | 7.56   |
| Obese class II | 24.18      | 23.01    | 25.13                   | 13.94    | 12.32                     | 15.73    | 10.24      | 8.39    | 11.79  |
| SLOSH  |
| Normal weight | 25.45       | 25.21    | 25.68                   | 21.67    | 21.13                     | 22.12    | 3.78       | 3.43    | 4.35   |
| Overweight | 25.45       | 25.14    | 25.7                    | 19.9     | 19.27                     | 20.43    | 5.54       | 5.07    | 6.11   |
| Obese class I | 25.74       | 25.3     | 26                      | 17.02    | 15.51                     | 18.36    | 8.72       | 7.59    | 10.23  |
| Obese class II | 24.84      | 23.73    | 26                      | 15.83    | 13.71                     | 18.16    | 9          | 6.81    | 11.21  |

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

Figure 1. Proportion (95% CI) of life spent in good health between ages 50 and 75 by body mass index in each study cohort. (a) Men, (b) Women.
### Table 3. Partial life expectancy, chronic disease-free life expectancy and life expectancy with chronic diseases between ages 50 and 75 years by body mass index in each study cohort

|                | Life expectancy | Chronic disease-free life expectancy | Life expectancy with chronic diseases |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                | 95% CI          | 95% CI                               | 95% CI                               |
| **Men**        |                 |                                      |                                      |
| **ELSA**       |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 23.62           | 23.24                                | 23.89                                |
|                 | 14.2            | 12.93                                | 15.54                                |
|                 | 9.42            | 8.08                                 | 10.63                                |
| Overweight     | 23.01           | 23.7                                 | 24.25                                |
|                 | 14.12           | 13.1                                 | 15.46                                |
|                 | 9.79            | 8.56                                 | 10.79                                |
| Obese class I  | 23.53           | 23.06                                | 23.78                                |
|                 | 12.44           | 11.06                                | 13.89                                |
|                 | 11.08           | 9.67                                 | 12.38                                |
| Obese class II | 23              | 22.39                                | 23.68                                |
|                 | 8.88            | 6.59                                 | 10.88                                |
|                 | 14.12           | 12.3                                 | 16.34                                |
| **FPS**        |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 24.16           | 23.98                                | 24.44                                |
|                 | 14.44           | 13.97                                | 14.96                                |
|                 | 9.72            | 9.23                                 | 10.28                                |
| Overweight     | 24.25           | 24.41                                | 24.11                                |
|                 | 12.66           | 12.03                                | 12.98                                |
|                 | 11.59           | 11.24                                | 12.2                                 |
| Obese class I  | 23.55           | 23.11                                | 24.03                                |
|                 | 9.33            | 8.2                                  | 9.65                                  |
|                 | 14.22           | 13.83                                | 15.64                                |
| Obese class II | 22.23           | 21.62                                | 23.52                                |
|                 | 5.99            | 5.15                                 | 7.25                                  |
|                 | 16.24           | 15.11                                | 17.85                                |
| **GAZEL**      |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 25.53           | 24.4                                | 24.7                                  |
|                 | 15.52           | 15.15                                | 15.83                                |
|                 | 9.01            | 8.73                                 | 9.41                                  |
| Overweight     | 24.58           | 24.41                                | 24.7                                  |
|                 | 13.97           | 13.69                                | 14.37                                |
|                 | 10.61           | 10.18                                | 10.87                                |
| Obese class I  | 23.78           | 23.29                                | 24.2                                  |
|                 | 10.78           | 10.1                                 | 11.86                                |
|                 | 13             | 11.79                                | 13.7                                 |
| Obese class II | 23.37           | 21.65                                | 24.38                                |
|                 | 5.32            | 3.81                                 | 7.43                                  |
|                 | 18.04           | 15.22                                | 19.63                                |
| **SLOSH**      |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 25.19           | 24.74                                | 25.53                                |
|                 | 16.35           | 15.26                                | 17.57                                |
|                 | 8.85            | 7.56                                 | 9.95                                  |
| Overweight     | 25.19           | 24.92                                | 25.5                                  |
|                 | 14.42           | 13.5                                 | 15.31                                |
|                 | 10.77           | 9.86                                 | 11.72                                |
| Obese class I  | 25.66           | 25.27                                | 26                                    |
|                 | 9.86            | 8.46                                 | 11.47                                |
|                 | 15.8            | 14.29                                | 17.2                                 |
| Obese class II | 24.33           | 21.31                                | 26                                    |
|                 | 6.53            | 4.79                                 | 10.28                                |
|                 | 17.79           | 14.12                                | 20.24                                |
| **Women**      |                 |                                      |                                      |
| **ELSA**       |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 24.48           | 24.27                                | 24.69                                |
|                 | 15.81           | 14.72                                | 17.09                                |
|                 | 8.67            | 7.43                                 | 9.66                                  |
| Overweight     | 24.62           | 24.47                                | 24.83                                |
|                 | 15             | 13.88                                | 16.65                                |
|                 | 9.63            | 8.09                                 | 10.82                                |
| Obese class I  | 24.38           | 24.09                                | 24.58                                |
|                 | 13.85           | 12.18                                | 15.45                                |
|                 | 10.53           | 8.95                                 | 12.1                                 |
| Obese class II | 23.95           | 23.49                                | 24.32                                |
|                 | 9.5             | 7.84                                 | 11.74                                |
|                 | 14.45           | 12.06                                | 15.96                                |
| **FPS**        |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 24.98           | 24.92                                | 25.1                                  |
|                 | 14.67           | 14.39                                | 14.95                                |
|                 | 10.32           | 10.02                                | 10.62                                |
| Overweight     | 24.94           | 24.89                                | 25.12                                |
|                 | 12.73           | 12.39                                | 13.04                                |
|                 | 12.2            | 11.97                                | 12.62                                |
| Obese class I  | 24.54           | 24.43                                | 24.86                                |
|                 | 10.02           | 9.56                                 | 10.48                                |
|                 | 14.52           | 14.14                                | 15.15                                |
| Obese class II | 24.17           | 23.62                                | 24.58                                |
|                 | 7.29            | 6.69                                 | 8.29                                  |
|                 | 16.88           | 15.78                                | 17.53                                |
| **GAZEL**      |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 25.06           | 24.88                                | 25.22                                |
|                 | 15.8            | 15.38                                | 16.35                                |
|                 | 9.26            | 8.69                                 | 9.64                                  |
| Overweight     | 25              | 24.8                                | 25.19                                |
|                 | 13.96           | 13.1                                 | 14.73                                |
|                 | 11.04           | 10.37                                | 11.88                                |
| Obese class I  | 24.33           | 24.02                                | 24.9                                  |
|                 | 11.16           | 10.13                                | 12.51                                |
|                 | 13.18           | 12.02                                | 14.26                                |
| Obese class II | 24.35           | 22.86                                | 24.99                                |
|                 | 8.37            | 5.52                                 | 10.65                                |
|                 | 15.98           | 13.15                                | 18.84                                |
| **SLOSH**      |                 |                                      |                                      |
| Normal weight  | 25.46           | 25.22                                | 25.65                                |
|                 | 18.5            | 17.6                                 | 19.14                                |
|                 | 6.96            | 6.27                                 | 7.78                                  |
| Overweight     | 25.42           | 25.18                                | 26.69                                |
|                 | 15.45           | 14.35                                | 16.37                                |
|                 | 9.97            | 9.02                                 | 11.05                                |
| Obese class I  | 25.82           | 25.48                                | 26                                    |
|                 | 11.39           | 9.87                                 | 12.93                                |
|                 | 14.43           | 12.74                                | 15.99                                |
| Obese class II | 24.73           | 23.28                                | 26                                    |
|                 | 10.27           | 7.34                                 | 13.28                                |
|                 | 14.45           | 11.75                                | 17.72                                |

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.
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health in the class II obesity was more marked in women than in men ($P = 0.013$).

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined how BMI status was associated with healthy and chronic disease-free LE in men and women in four different cohorts from the England, Finland, France and Sweden. Our findings showed clear differences between BMI categories indicating that normal weight and overweight people could expect to live longest and normal weight people would spend about 81% and overweight 76% of those years in good health. Although the LE among class I and class II obese was on average only one year less than that among the normal weight, class II obese people could expect to live 6–7 fewer years in good health and 7–9 fewer years without chronic diseases compared to those with normal weight.

To our knowledge, this is the first prospective study to provide health expectancy estimates for both self-rated health and chronic diseases across BMI categories by applying multistate models to longitudinal data to obtain transition probabilities between health states. By performing detailed inspection of the WHO’s BMI classification and splitting obesity category (BMI $\geq 30$ kg m$^{-2}$) into two obesity subcategories (class I and II obese) we demonstrated important variation in LE and health expectancies. Although there was only 0.5 year difference in LE from ages 50 to 75 years between class I and class II obese, the difference in healthy and chronic disease-free LE was about 4 years. Similar loss of health expectancy at higher obesity classes was also observed in a recent cross-sectional study. The heterogeneity within the group of obese people was also observed in the European Community Household Panel study in which disability-free LE across BMI categories was evaluated.

In contrast to some previous studies which have reported longer LE among overweight than normal weight adults, we did not observe marked LE difference between these groups. In a recent meta-analysis overweight was associated with lower all-cause mortality among subjects aged $\geq 65$ years old, but in the current study the participants were on average younger than 60 years at baseline. This difference in results may be explained by a greater potential for confounding by illness in older age groups. With advancing age the prevalence of many diseases increase which may lead to weight loss, thus making normal weight appear disadvantageous in terms of LE at older ages. Despite similar LE between normal weight and overweight groups, our analysis showed that normal weight participants had more healthy years and years without chronic diseases than overweight participants even after taking into account smoking status. Similar findings related to beneficial role of normal weight have been reported in terms of LE without cardiovascular disease and disability.

The findings of the study are highly relevant because together with an increase in obesity prevalence, the average number of years of life have continuously increased with no apparent plateau in Western countries. The main question is how healthily the gained years of life will be spent. We showed consistent results across four different European countries suggesting that the obesity epidemic is likely to considerably decrease the proportion of life that is spent in good health. This has important implications for individuals and societies.

The current study has a number of strengths. The major one is that our data are based on large prospective cohorts from four European countries, with multiple measurements of self-rated health and chronic diseases over time, long follow-up and high-quality harmonized data. In addition, we used microsimulation to estimate healthy LE and chronic disease-free LE which provides internally consistent results for each cohort.

The study also has a number of limitations that needs to be considered when interpreting the findings. First, although all cohorts showed consistent patterns of decreasing years of good health from normal weight to class II obese, the absolute difference in the years varied slightly across cohorts and by the health indicator. Despite careful harmonization there was some heterogeneity in the definitions of health and chronic diseases between cohort studies and the cohorts were also different in terms of representativeness and age. Indeed, ELSA is the only study including a national representative sample of older individuals, whereas FPS, GAZEL and SLOSH are occupational cohorts including individuals initially healthy enough to be in the labor force. However, the fact that the main findings were similar across different source populations suggests that the associations observed are robust and not restricted to some population segments. Second, BMI was based on objectively measured body weight and height only in ELSA. All other cohorts relied on self-reported information, suggesting that the data could be subject to potential measurement errors which hampers comparisons between cohorts.

Previous studies have shown that overweight and obese persons tend to underreport their weight which may lead to underestimation of BMI and potential misclassification of some individuals into incorrect BMI categories. Despite to this potential misclassification towards lower BMI categories in other cohorts than ELSA, the data from WHO’s database suggests that adult obesity prevalence is highest in England (24% in men and 26% in women), followed by Finland (21 and 19%), Sweden (13 and 12%) and France (9 and 8%), which corresponds with BMI distribution in our study cohorts. In addition, previous studies have shown that health risk estimates associated with BMI values are very similar, whether based on self-report or measured BMI values. Thus, it is unlikely that different ways of obtaining BMI data have markedly influenced on our main findings. Third, the number of underweight participants was too low to derive reliable estimates of its effect on healthy and chronic disease-free LE and thus this group was excluded from our analyses and should be examined in future studies with larger sample sizes. Finally, our life expectancy analyses were conditional on reaching age of 50 and truncated at age 75. Future studies are needed to investigate the association of BMI and healthy and chronic disease-free LE starting at younger ages and extending follow-up beyond the age of 75 years.

In conclusion, data from four European countries show that obese and severely obese people can expect to live much higher proportion of their life in sub-optimal health and with chronic diseases compared to normal weight people. Given the large impact of obesity on the burden of ill-health and the general increase in life expectancy, slowing down the obesity epidemic at the population level is essential to increase the time spent in good health.
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