In this study, the effects of the addition of montmorillonite (MMT) nanoplatelets on whey protein isolate (WPI)-based nanocomposite films and coatings were investigated. The main objective was the development of WPI-based MMT nanocomposites with enhanced barrier and mechanical properties. WPI-based nanocomposite cast films and coatings were prepared by dispersing 0% (reference sample), 3, 6, 9% (w/w protein) MMT, or, depending on the protein concentration, also 12 and 15% (w/w protein) MMT into native WPI-based dispersions, followed by subsequent denaturation during the drying and curing process. The natural MMT nanofillers could be randomly dispersed into film-forming WPI-based nanodispersions, displaying good compatibility with the hydrophilic biopolymer matrix. As a result, by addition of 15% (w/w protein) MMT into 10% (w/w dispersion) WPI-based cast films or coatings, the oxygen permeability (OP) was reduced by 91% for glycerol-plasticized and 84% for sorbitol-plasticized coatings, water vapor transmission rate was reduced by 58% for sorbitol-plasticized cast films. Due to the addition of MMT nanofillers, the Young’s modulus and tensile strength improved by 315 and 129%, respectively, whereas elongation at break declined by 77% for glycerol-plasticized cast films. In addition, comparison of plasticizer type revealed that sorbitol-plasticized cast films were generally stiffer and stronger, but less flexible compared to glycerol-plasticized cast films. Viscosity measurements demonstrated good processability and suitability for up-scaled industrial processes of native WPI-based nanocomposite dispersions, even at high-nanofiller loadings. These results suggest that the addition of natural MMT nanofillers into native WPI-based matrices to form nanocomposite films and coatings holds great potential to replace well-established, fossil-based packaging materials for at least certain applications such as oxygen barriers as part of multilayer flexible packaging films.
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INTRODUCTION

Edible films and coatings based on whey proteins show great potential to replace fossil-based plastics in certain applications, e.g., for oxygen-sensitive products, making whey proteins one of the most promising biopolymers in the field of packaging. Their relatively low oxygen permeability (OP), ability to form self-supporting films, as well as their abundance and biodegradability all contribute to the great...
attention paid to whey proteins in recent years (Hong and Krochta, 2006; Sothornvit et al., 2009; Hammann and Schmid, 2014; Schmid et al., 2015; Zink et al., 2016). However, compared to respective petroleum-based barrier plastics, the barrier properties of whey proteins against gases, e.g., oxygen or carbon dioxide, and water vapor are not effective enough to be competitive. This can particularly be attributed to their sensitivity to moisture and need to be plasticized. Moreover, without modification, films made from whey proteins show relatively poor mechanical performance compared to synthetic films (Pérez-Gago et al., 1999; Kokoszka et al., 2010).

To address these drawbacks, the application of nanocomposites, which has proved to be a suitable strategy to overcome drawbacks derived from the neat polymeric matrix in the area of synthetic polymers in recent years (Giannelis, 1996; Sinha Ray and Okamoto, 2003; Kim et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015), has led to considerable interest in nanocomposites based on biopolymer matrices (Alexandre and Dubois, 2000; Sorrentino et al., 2007; Paul and Robeson, 2008; Mittal, 2009). Based on the nanometer-size of these particles, their application in biopolymers can lead to significant property enhancements, such as mechanical and barrier properties, when compared to conventional (macroscale) composites (Alexandre and Dubois, 2000; Sorrentino et al., 2007). With regard to the mechanical properties of nanocomposites, considerable attention has been paid to unexpected large increases in material stiffness [Young’s modulus (YM)] as well as other mechanical characteristics which occur starting at relatively low filler loadings (<1% w/w) (Sinha Ray and Okamoto, 2003; Almasi et al., 2010; Zolfi et al., 2014). In addition, nanocomposites have been studied for their ability to retard gas and water vapor transmission by extending the pathway and time for diffusing molecules, making it more tortuous (Bharadwaj, 2001; Bharadwaj et al., 2002). Another advantage of nano-sized particles is that these fillers, in contrast to micro- or macro-composites, do not affect the transparency of the composite matrix as their dimensions are smaller than the wavelengths of visual light (Yang et al., 1999; Alexandre and Dubois, 2000; Zeng et al., 2005). To take full advantage of the enhancements enabled by the nanometer size of the particles, uniform and nanoscale dispersion of the particles in the continuous polymer matrix is an essential step in the formation of nanocomposites (Yang et al., 1999). In the case of layered silicates, depending on the nature and type of the matrix, compatibility between matrix and filler, as well as the mixing process, different composite structures can be obtained (Paul and Robeson, 2008). True nanocomposites comprise intercalated or exfoliated structures. An intercalated structure arises when a certain number of extended polymer chains diffuse in between the layered silicates, resulting in well-ordered multilayer structures with alternating polymeric and inorganic layers and increased interlayer spacing. In this case, the layered silicates remain parallel to each other, even though the spacing between them increases. An exfoliated (or delaminated) structure is represented (ideally) by uniformly dispersed silicate monolayers. By contrast, when the polymer is not able to intercalate between the layered silicates, a phase separated (micro-)composite is obtained with properties in the same range of traditional microcomposites (Alexandre and Dubois, 2000; Sorrentino et al., 2007; Paul and Robeson, 2008; Mittal, 2009). Among the most commonly used layered silicates is natural montmorillonite (MMT) nanoplatelets (Alexandre and Dubois, 2000; Dean et al., 2007; Almasi et al., 2010), as they are commercially available at relatively low cost, environmentally friendly, and approved for food packaging applications (Sorrentino et al., 2007). They are plate-like nanoparticles with physical dimensions of about 100 nm in diameter and 1 nm in thickness (Paul and Robeson, 2008; Mittal, 2009). In addition, owing to their partially polar surfaces, MMT nanoplatelets display good compatibility with polar polymer matrices in general and whey proteins in particular (Müller et al., 2017), which leads to extensive interfacial interactions between the filler and the matrix via hydrogen bonding (Almasi et al., 2010). As a result, a higher degree of filler exfoliation is more likely to be achieved, which likewise leads to larger interfacial regions between the matrix and the nanofiller (Arora and Padua, 2010).

Hence, among other biopolymers (Park et al., 2002; Petersson and Oksman, 2006; Almasi et al., 2010), whey protein-based nanocomposites have become a promising field of interest in recent years (Hedenqvist et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2009; Sothornvit et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Kadam et al., 2013; Zolfi et al., 2014; Azvedo et al., 2015; Wakah and Almenar, 2015; Hassannia-Kolaee et al., 2016a,b; Oymaci and Altinkaya, 2016). Previous research on whey protein isolate (WPI) nanocomposites demonstrated that the barrier and mechanical properties of these materials improved with increasing nanofiller loadings (Sothornvit et al., 2010; Zolfi et al., 2014; Azvedo et al., 2015; Hassannia-Kolaee et al., 2016a; Oymaci and Altinkaya, 2016; Zink et al., 2016). However, the addition of the nanofillers also caused considerably increased viscosities of the respective nanodispersions, which led to limitations in film processing due to insufficient mixing, foaming, and enclosed air. WPI-based nanodispersions from native whey proteins show lower initial viscosities as no heat-induced thermal crosslinking has taken place (McClements and Keogh, 1995). To obtain desirable properties, such as mechanical strength and ductility, thermal denaturation is an essential step in the formation of whey protein-based films (Pérez-Gago et al., 1999) and takes place after the nanofillers are added and the films or coatings are applied during the drying and curing process as invented previously by the authors in patent by Schmid et al. (2013).

The main goal of this study was the development of exfoliated WPI-based MMT nanocomposites from initially native WPI-based nanodispersions to further enhance barrier and mechanical properties and thus increase material suitability for industrial packaging applications. The related scientific issues were to investigate the effects of the addition of MMT nanoplatelets on whey protein-based nanocomposite films and coatings. In this context, also the influence of the protein concentration and the type of plasticizer on the processability and food packaging-related technofunctional properties of WPI-based nanocomposite cast films and coatings with emphasis on packaging-related properties were examined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Whey protein isolate was obtained from Davisco Foods International Inc., Le Sueur, MN, USA. The aqueous MMT-based...
nanodispersions were provided by ITENE, Valencia, Spain. The aqueous nanodispersions both consisted of 5% (w/w) MMT, but differed in their plasticizer and water content. The glycerol-plasticized nanodispersions product no. is ITENE0006, whereas the sorbitol-plasticized nanodispersions product no. is ITENE0004. Plasticizers used for the final film-forming dispersions were glycerol (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and sorbitol (Th. Geyer GmbH & Co. KG, Renningen, Germany). Coatings were applied on a chemically treated, 23 µm polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film (Sarafil Polyplex Polyester Film, Polyplex, Thailand). Coatings were applied using the coating Unit CUF 5 (Sunet Messtechnik, Denklingen, Germany) with an actuation speed of 40 mm s⁻¹ and a contact pressure of 40 N. Denaturation of the native WPI was achieved during the drying process which took place directly after application of the wet film. Drying of the applied coatings was conducted at a drying temperature of 105°C in a build-in convective dryer and an infrared radiation intensity of 100%. The drying interval varied between 4 and 12 min in respect to the dry matter content of the respective film formulation. To obtain a target dry film thickness of 13 µm, different wired rods in respect to the dry matter content of the individual film formulations were used. Coatings with a protein content of 10% (w/w dispersion) WPI were applied with the aid of a 75-µm wired rod whereas for coatings with a protein content of 15% (w/w dispersion) a 55-µm wired rod was used. After drying, the coated PET sheets were stored at ambient conditions of 23°C and 50% r.h. for 14–21 days until they reached moisture equilibrium. Cast films of WPI-based MMT nanocomposites were manufactured by solvent casting method from their aqueous solution. Films with target thicknesses of 200 ± 20 µm were casted in Petri dishes and denatured in a convective oven (HerathermOMH100, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Langenzersfeld, Germany) at 90°C for 40 min. The denatured films were stored at 23°C and 50% r.h. for 14–21 days until they reached moisture equilibrium.

**Viscosity Measurements**

Viscosity measurements were performed using a rotational rheometer Bohlin CV100 (Bohlin Instruments Ltd., Cirencester, UK). Viscosity measurements were carried out in a coaxial-cylinder measuring configuration with a cone-geometry for the inner cylinder. A shear-rate ramp from 1 to 300 s⁻¹ at 23°C was applied for 210 s.

**Barrier Properties**

**Oxygen Permeability**

The OP was measured with the oxygen-specific carrier gas method according to DIN 53380-3. The measurements were performed with an Ox-Tran Twin Oxygen-Permeation Measuring Machine (Mocon Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) at a temperature of 23°C and 50% r.h. The measurement stopped when a value was constant for at least 10 h. A twofold determination for all coatings and cast film specimens was carried out. The OP is given in the unit cm⁻1 (STP) m⁻² day⁻¹ bar⁻¹ (DIN, 1998).

**Water Vapor Transmission Rate (WVTR)**

The WVTR was measured by the gravimetric screw-cup method according to the standard DIN 53122-1. According to DIN 53122-1, the film samples were fixed on a glass or metal cup containing dried silica-gel, which generates an atmosphere of 1.3% r.h. (referred to 0% r.h.) and was sealed with vacuum grease and screws to avoid leaks. The samples were weighted and placed in a climate controlled cabinet (23°C/50% r.h.). This generates a relative humidity gradient of 50% → 0% r.h. The cups were reweighted periodically. The gained weight indicates the amount of permeating water vapor. A fourfold determination was carried out unless indicated otherwise. Arithmetic mean and SDs

**Preparation of WPI-Based Film-Forming Nanodispersions**

To produce native WPI-based nanosuspensions, at first the MMT-based nanodispersions need to be exposed to high shear-forces to obtain homogenously distributed nanodispersions and to exfoliate nanoclusters. Exfoliation of MMT nanoplatelets is necessary as these clays are clustered into platelets with low surface area (Sinha Ray and Okamoto, 2003; McAdam et al., 2008). Thus, MMT nanodispersions were mixed in a heatable electric stirrer (Thermomix TM 31-1, Vorwerk GmbH & Co. KG, Wuppertal, Germany) at 2,000 rpm and 23°C for 30 min. To prepare the film-forming nanodispersions, at first the desired amount of nanodispersion was mixed at 200 rpm and 23°C for 30 min with the necessary amount of deionized water required for the protein standard solution using an magnetic stirrer (Ikamag RCT, IKA-Labortechnik, Staufen, Germany). The amount of nanodispersions added was determined in respect to obtain the desired amount 10% (w/w protein) MMT, or, depending on the protein concentration, also 12 and 15% (w/w protein) MMT of nanofiller in the final film-forming dispersion. The highest possible concentration of the nanodispersions is dependent on the concentration of the native protein. WPI-based MMT nanodispersions were mixed into whey protein standard solutions containing 10% (w/w dispersion) or 15% (w/w dispersion) WPI. Preliminary tests showed possible concentrations of MMT of up to 15% (w/w protein) in case of a protein concentration of 10% (w/w dispersion) and up to 9% (w/w protein) in case of a protein concentration of 15% (w/w dispersion). After complete dispersal, depending on the desired plasticizer type and protein concentration, 100.00% (w/w protein) glycerol or sorbitol were added into the mixture and stirred at 200 rpm and 23°C for 30 min. Hereby, due to the higher protein content, dispersions with 15% (w/w dispersion) WPI were plasticized with 100.00% (w/w protein) plasticizer. Subsequently, the desired amount of WPI, being either 10% (w/w dispersion) or 15% (w/w dispersion), were carefully mixed into the dispersion, successively, and stirred for 30 min at 23°C and 200 rpm. To remove air incorporated during mixing, all nanosuspensions were degassed in an ultrasonic bath (DT 514H, Bandelion electronic GmbH & Co KG, Berlin, Germany) for 30 min at 23°C and 35 kHz.

**Preparation of WPI-Based Nanocomposite Cast Films and Coatings**

All film-forming nanodispersions were coated on a chemically treated, 23 µm PET film (Sarafil Polyplex Polyester Film, Polyplex, Thailand). Coatings were applied using the coating Machine (Mocon Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) at a temperature of 23°C and 50% r.h. The measurement stopped when a value was constant for at least 10 h. A twofold determination for all coatings and cast film specimens was carried out. The OP is given in the unit CUF 5 (Sumet Messtechnik, Denklingen, Germany) with an actuation speed of 40 mm s⁻¹ and a contact pressure of 40 N. Denaturation of the native WPI was achieved during the drying process which took place directly after application of the wet film. Drying of the applied coatings was conducted at a drying temperature of 105°C in a build-in convective dryer and an infrared radiation intensity of 100%. The drying interval varied between 4 and 12 min in respect to the dry matter content of the respective film formulation. To obtain a target dry film thickness of 13 µm, different wired rods in respect to the dry matter content of the individual film formulations were used. Coatings with a protein content of 10% (w/w dispersion) WPI were applied with the aid of a 75-µm wired rod whereas for coatings with a protein content of 15% (w/w dispersion) a 55-µm wired rod was used. After drying, the coated PET sheets were stored at ambient conditions of 23°C and 50% r.h. for 14–21 days until they reached moisture equilibrium. Cast films of WPI-based MMT nanocomposites were manufactured by solvent casting method from their aqueous solution. Films with target thicknesses of 200 ± 20 µm were casted in Petri dishes and denatured in a convective oven (HerathermOMH100, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Langenzersfeld, Germany) at 90°C for 40 min. The denatured films were stored at 23°C and 50% r.h. for 14–21 days until they reached moisture equilibrium.
were calculated. The WVTR is the change in weight of the whole cup and can be calculated using Eq. 1, whereby \( t \) is the period of time between two weight measurements [h], \( \Delta m \) is the weight difference between two weight measurements [g], \( A \) is the test area \( [cm^2] \), and WVTR is the water vapor transmission rate \( [g \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}] \) (DIN, 2001; Robertson, 2006):

\[
\text{WVTR} = \frac{24 \times \Delta m}{t \times A} \times 10^4 \quad [g \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}].
\]  

(1)

The permeability, \( Q \), of a polymer can be calculated using Eq. 2 as the quotient of the permeation coefficient, \( P \), and the thickness, \( d \), of a polymer (Langowski, 2008):

\[
Q = \frac{P}{d} \quad [cm^3 \text{ (STP)} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}].
\]  

(2)

To adapt permeability properties of a packaging material, multilayers can be used instead of raising the thickness of a monolayer. The total permeability of a multilayer structure, \( Q_{\text{total}} \), can be calculated using Eq. 3, whereby \( d \) represents the thickness of the individual layers \( (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) \), and \( P_i \) represents the permeation coefficient of the individual layers \( (P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n) \). Alternatively, the total permeability of a multilayer structure can be calculated from the respective permeabilities of the individual layers, \( Q_i \), whereby the superscripts (1 and 2) represent the respective layers (Schmid et al., 2012):

\[
\frac{1}{Q_{\text{total}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d_i}{Q_i} = \frac{1}{Q_1} + \frac{1}{Q_2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{Q_n}.
\]  

(3)

For a better comparability between different specimens independently of the respective layer thicknesses, the permeability, \( Q \), can be standardized to the \( Q_{100} \)—value relating to a thickness, \( d \), of 100 µm (Menges, 2002):

\[
Q_{100} = Q \times \frac{d}{100}.
\]  

(4)

Determination of the Thickness

Thickness measurements were carried out according to DIN 53 370. The thickness was measured using a Precision Thickness Gauge FT3 (Rhoptoinstruments Ltd., Beyhill-on-Sea, UK) by measuring five film-specimen each at five random positions and averaging the results. For barrier and mechanical properties, individual thicknesses of each specimen were measured at 23°C and 50% r.h.

Mechanical Measurements

The mechanical properties of the cast films were analyzed by using the tensile testing machine Z005 (Zwick GmbH & Co. KG, Ulm, Germany) at 23°C and 50% r.h. The tensile strength (TS), YM, and elongation at break (E%) were determined according to the test standards of DIN EN ISO 527-1. Tests were performed with sample strips of 15 mm width and an initial clamping length of 50 mm, using pneumatic grips to fix the samples in the loading frame. The samples were stretched applying a test-speed of 200 mm min\(^{-1}\) with a load shut-off at 95% and a preload of 0.3 N. For Young’s modulus measurement a test-speed of 0.5 mm min\(^{-1}\) was used. For all tested samples, at least a fivefold determination was performed (DIN, 2012).

Determination of the Surface Free Energy (SFE)

The surface energy of WPI-based nanocomposite cast films was measured by the Drop Shape Analyser-DSA100 (Krüss GmbH, Stephanskirchen/Rosenheim, Germany), using the sessile drop method. To determine the SFE of a solid material, one has to determine the contact angle between the baseline of an applied liquid droplet and the tangent at the drop boundary. Therefore, the samples are positioned on a moveable suction plate, which is connected to a vacuum pump, and fixed using tape. Subsequently, a volume of approximately 3 µl of three different test-liquids with well-known surface tension is applied on the surface of the samples (7.5 mm × 7.5 mm) using an automatic glass-syringe with a dosing rate of 20 µm min\(^{-1}\) to apply an ideal droplet. After an ideal liquid droplet was set, the screen was frozen after 20 s to determine the contact angle between the baseline of the solid material and the tangent at the drop boundary of the test liquids. The used test liquids were double distilled water (H\(_2\)O), diiodomethane (CH\(_2\)I\(_2\)), and ethylene-glycol (C\(_2\)H\(_6\)O\(_2\)). The contact angle of each test liquid was determined at five different positions on the surface of five specimens. Arithmetic mean values were calculated for each sample set. The SFE of the solid material can now be calculated using the Young’s Eq. 5, where \( \sigma_s \) is the surface tension of the solid material \([mN \text{ m}^{-1}]\), \( \sigma_l \) is the surface tension of the test liquid \([mN \text{ m}^{-1}]\), \( \gamma_{sl} \) is the interfacial tension between the solid and the liquid \([mN \text{ m}^{-1}]\), and \( \cos \theta \) is the contact angle between the surface tension of the test liquid and the interfacial tension between the test liquid and the solid in angular degree:

\[
\sigma_s = \gamma_{sl} + \sigma_l \times \cos \theta \quad [mN \text{ m}^{-1}].
\]  

(5)

According to the Owens, Wendt, Rabel, and Kaelble method, the SFE can be split into a dispersive and a polar part. Consequently, the SFE of the tested cast film samples was split into their polar and dispersive part to determine the character of the surface. As the tested cast films are still partly soluble, the contact angle was determined after a certain amount of time (20 s) instead of the equilibrium as it is highly time dependent. Therefore, contact angles and surface free energies are not absolute values but, however, they are suitable to draw conclusion on the character of the film surface and to compare the samples (Schmid, 2013).

Optical Measurements

The color measurements (CIE-Lab) of WPI-based nanocomposites were performed using the spectrophotometer CM-700d (Konica Minolta, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) with SAV aperture (Φ3/Φ6 mm). An illuminant D65 and a wavelength range starting from 400 to 700 nm were used. Calibration of the spectrophotometer was conducted using a white and black ceramic plate calibration-standard following the Konica Minolta calibration procedure. However, the samples were too sticky to use the white ceramic plate as reference sample thus, a white paper was used. For each sample, a threefold determination was performed. Average \( L^* \), \( a^* \), and \( b^* \)-values and SDs were calculated.
Statistical Analysis
The results were analyzed using the statistical software Visual XSel 12.0 (CRGRAPH GbR, Starnberg, Germany). Measured data from mechanical and WVTR determination were tested for normal distribution using either Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (sample size ≤ 4) or Anderson–Darling normality test (sample size ≥ 5) both with a level of significance α = 0.05. Outliers were detected and eliminated with the outlier test according to Hampel (α = 0.05). Multiple t-tests were performed to determine whether there were significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) between the measured values.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Table 1 illustrates which measurements were performed on which cast films and coatings.

Viscosity Measurement
Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the rheological-flow behavior of native WPI-based film-forming dispersions and their nanocomposites. The addition of MMT nanoparticles generally led to considerably increased dynamic viscosities of the tested nanocomposite dispersions. This effect became especially pronounced with higher nanofiller loadings beginning at filler loadings of 9% (w/w protein) for film-forming nanocomposite dispersions with a WPI content of 10% (w/w) and for filler loadings beginning at 6% (w/w protein) in case of a WPI content of 15% (w/w). It is well known that the viscosity of filled polymers, considerably at low shear-rates, increases and, for a certain shear-rate, continues to do so with increasing nanofiller loading (Binder et al., 2003). These changes of apparent viscosity of filled polymers are caused by the particulate matter input and thus increased solid volume–fraction (Geraldo De et al., 2009). It was therefore only possible to include up to 9% (w/w) MMT into 15% (w/w) WPI nanocomposites films. Higher nanofiller loadings led to extensive foaming during processing, a lack of fluidity during pouring of the cast films, and entrapped air bubbles. Moreover, it can be observed from the rheograms that with rising MMT loading the nanocomposites progressively became more and more shear-thinning upon an increasing shear-rate. In case of glycerol-plasticized 10% (w/w) WPI-based nanodispersions, a shear-thinning flow behavior with rising shear-rates could already be observed starting from 9% MMT loading, although the effect became clearer with higher particle loadings. Below this critical MMT loading, regardless of the presence of the nanofiller, nanodispersions behaved nearly ideal-viscous following a Newtonian flow behavior. Similar results were obtained for sorbitol-plasticized 10% (w/w) nanodispersions. The development of a shear-thinning flow behavior with increasing shear-rate can be attributed to the reorientation of the MMT platelets toward the direction of flow while shearing forces are applied to the nanodispersions and to interactions between the surface of the MMT platelets and the hydrophilic protein-plasticizer phase (Echeverría et al., 2014). As the reorientation of the nanofiller takes places, the viscosities of such nanodispersions approach the initial viscosity of the pure polymer with rising shear-rate (Mittal, 2012). This phenomenon has been reported by several other studies for synthetic polymers (Giannelis, 1996; Manias et al., 1996; Krishnamoorti et al., 2001) and for biopolymers (Tunc et al., 2007; Echeverría et al., 2014).

Similar results were obtained when the WPI content of nanocomposite dispersions rose to 15% (w/w) (Figure 2). The apparent viscosity generally rose due to the higher solids content
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**FIGURE 1** | Apparent viscosity dependent on the shear rate from 50 to 300 (s⁻¹) of native whey protein isolate (WPI)-based nanocomposite dispersions with 10% (w/w) WPI, 66.70% (w/w protein), and an increasing montmorillonite (MMT) nanofiller loading, plasticized with (A) glycerol or (B) sorbitol.
(Matijašić and Glasnović, 2002). Again, it can be observed that regardless of the plasticizer type, nanodispersions progressively became more and more shear thinning upon an increasing shear-rate, starting from a MMT loading of 9% (w/w protein), while WPI-nanocomposite dispersions below this critical concentration behaved nearly ideal viscous. The addition of MMT nanoplatelets, except the sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposite dispersion with 10% (w/w) WPI and 15% MMT loading (w/w protein), always led an increase of the apparent viscosities of the tested nanocomposites. In comparison, when glycerol was used as a plasticizer, the increase in MMT loading from 9 to 15% (w/w protein) led to considerable changes in apparent viscosity. This effect, in particular, may be attributed to the processing conditions of the film-forming dispersions, but cannot be clarified from the available data. Apart from that, no considerable differences regarding the plasticizer type were identified. Thus, we assume that the plasticizer type does not have significant influence on the apparent viscosity of native WPI-based nanocomposite dispersions. The apparent viscosity of all tested nanodispersions lies between 5 and 30 mPa s⁻¹ at a shear-rate of D = 100 s⁻¹ which demonstrates that the viscosity of native WPI-based nanocomposite dispersions, compared to already denatured dispersions, could be considerably enhanced. 

**Barrier Properties**

**Oxygen Permeability**

Oxygen permeability measurements were performed for cast films and coatings (Table 1). For better compatibility, only the permeability of the coating (monolayer) is considered as the OP of PET is much higher. Permeability of the coating monolayer can be calculated according to Eq. 3. The measurements were normalized to a layer thickness of 100 µm (Q₁₀₀) using Eq. 2. The following figures demonstrate the $Q_{100}$ values of the cast films and coatings with a protein content of 10 and 15% protein (w/w) and either 66.70% glycerol/sorbitol or 100.00% glycerol/sorbitol (w/w) as plasticizer. The percentages of MMT always refer to the weight of the protein. Figure 3 and Table 2 show the OP of WPI-based nanocomposite cast films and coatings. OP measurements were performed according to DIN 53380-3 at 23°C and 50% r.h.

The results show that the addition of MMT nanoplatelets led to a continuously decreasing OP of the coatings monolayer. In comparison to the reference, the addition of 3% MMT (w/w protein) into glycerol-plasticized coatings already improved the oxygen repellency by a factor about 2. The lowest OP, as expected, could be observed for coatings with the highest nanofiller loadings, representing a reduction of the OP compared to the reference (without nanofiller) by a factor about 10. For sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposite coatings, with exception of coatings with 9% (w/w protein) nanofillers, the OP also decreased but, however, the effect of the increasing nanofiller loading toward the OP was not as clear as for glycerol-plasticized coatings. The renewed increase in OP at 9% (w/w protein) nanofillers could be explained by the orientation of the nanoplatelets within the protein network as with further addition of nanofillers the OP started to decline again until it reached its least permeability of approximately 0.58 cm³ m⁻² day⁻¹ bar⁻¹ at the highest filler loading which is in the range of the permeability values of EVOH (Schmid et al., 2012). These results underline that WPI-based nanocomposite coatings are capable of replacing commercial petroleum-based barrier polymers, e.g., ethylene–vinyl alcohol copolymer (EVOH 44%) which is used in multilayer flexible packaging applications as an effective barrier against gases and particularly against oxygen permeation. An improvement of the OP with increasing nanofiller-content was also observed by Oguzlu and Tihminlioglu (2010) for chitosan-based nanocomposite films. Decreases in OP could be attributed to the presence of the dispersed nanoplatelets which, depending on their alignment and state of exfoliation, exhibit very large aspect ratios. This consequently led to increased tortuosity and longer pathways for permeating gas molecules (Ray et al., 2006; Yoo et al., 2014; Visakh and Sigrid, 2016). However, based on a more uniform dispersion at low filler loadings and thus better exfoliation of nanoparticles, other researchers reported lower OP with decreasing nanofiller content (Weizman et al., 2017). For coatings with 15% (w/w) WPI and 100.00% (w/w protein)
Similar results as for the nanocomposite coatings with 10% (w/w) WPI have been observed. The addition of 9% (w/w) MMT led to a reduction of the OP compared to the reference sample (without nanofiller) by about 90% in case of glycerol, and about 70% in case of sorbitol.

Similar observations were also made for the effect of different nanofiller loadings on the OP of nanocomposite cast films with 10% (w/w) WPI and 66.70% (w/w protein) plasticizer (Table 2). In case of glycerol-plasticized nanocomposite cast films, an addition of 3% (w/w protein) MMT reduces the OP by about one third compared to the reference sample. Between 3 and 6% (w/w protein), nanofiller addition did not result in considerable differences regarding OP. However, further addition of nanofillers reduced the OP. At the highest filler content, the addition of MMT led to a reduction of the OP by a factor of about 8.45 compared to the reference sample. In case of the cast films with 15% (w/w protein) nanofillers and, especially for sorbitol-plasticized films, strong deviations in permeation data could be attributed to the orientation of the nanofillers in the WPI-matrix as an increased diffusion path, among other factors, is highly dependent on the lengthwise orientation of the nanofiller particles (Ray et al., 2006). To prove this hypothesis, the morphology of the cast films has to be examined by microscopy methods. Compared to the permeation data of the coatings, the cast films showed more deviations in OP. This can most likely be attributed to a more uniform application of the coating monolayer as the cast films showed large differences in their layer thicknesses due to uneven alignment during convection drying or the curing in a climate cabinet. Nevertheless, the reduction of the OP with increasing nanofiller content could be demonstrated.

As mentioned earlier for sorbitol-plasticized cast films and coatings, reduction of the OP was not as clear as for glycerol-plasticized nanocomposite cast films. However, it should be noted that sorbitol was much more effective as cast films and coatings plasticized with sorbitol generally yielded much lower oxygen permeabilities compared to glycerol-plasticized cast films and coatings. Generally, protein-based films and coatings which are plasticized with sorbitol were reported to exhibit lower oxygen permeabilities than glycerol-plasticized films (McHugh and Krochta, 1994; Chick and Ustunol, 1998). Protein-based films in general are good barriers against the diffusion of small gas...
molecules like oxygen or carbon dioxide due to their hydrophilic nature (Miller and Krochta, 1997). However, the incorporation of plasticizers, e.g., polyols, increases the permeation coefficient (Lieberman and Gilbert, 1973; Schmid et al., 2012) due to increased absorption of moisture into the hydrophilic biopolymer network which consequently leads to increased intermolecular spacing as supported by free-volume theory (Lieberman and Gilbert, 1973). As glycerol, compared to sorbitol, shows a higher hygroscopicity (Shaw et al., 2002), its effectiveness in increasing the free-volume and thus increased permeation of oxygen molecules could be attributed to the structure of polyol plasticizers as glycerol is much smaller than sorbitol and thus, based on their molecular weight, more abundant at the same weight-% bases. Countering to the contributions of the plasticizers in increasing the free-volume, however, extensive interfacial interactions between the hydrophilic polymer, plasticizer, and the MMT via hydrogen bonding could significantly lower the free-volume and thus lower the permeability (Huang et al., 2015).

**Water Vapor Transmission Rate**

The WVTR, as already mentioned earlier (see Table 1), was only determined for sorbitol-plasticized WPI-based nanocomposite cast films. Evaluation of glycerol-plasticized cast films with 10 and 15% (w/w) protein (w/w protein) and 66.70% or 100.00% glycerol (w/w protein), respectively, was not possible due to strong thickness fluctuations during the WVTR measurement. Therefore, it was not possible to obtain normalized transmission rates (Q100 values) for the different cast film formulations. This observation can most likely be attributed to the high-relative humidity gradient during the WVTR measurements (85 to 0% r.h.) which led to increased sorption of water molecules (Lieberman and Gilbert, 1973) into the hydrophilic protein matrix, thus reducing the WVTR because inorganic MMT nano-platelets are impermeable to water molecules. Consequently, the water molecules must diffuse past these layers, which leads to an extension of the diffusion path (Giannelis, 1996).

Improved barrier properties against water vapor were also achieved for nanocomposite cast films containing 15% (w/w) WPI (Table 3). Although the reduction of the WVTR is in part not significantly different, WVTR values could be significantly (p ≤ 0.05) reduced by approximately 37% for compositions containing the highest nanofiller loadings. With increasing MMT concentration this effect, however, reverses as the influence of an extended tortuous pathway for the diffusion of water molecules due to the impermeable nanoplatelets gains more influence which leads to a significant reduction of the WVTR as the water molecules must diffuse past the layered MMT (Giannelis, 1996; Bharadwaj, 2001; Bharadwaj et al., 2002). Another possible explanation for the reduced transmission of water molecules through the biopolymer matrix could be attributed to the ability of the WPI-matrix and the highly polar plasticizer molecules to build a more cohesive network with hydroxyl groups of the MMT via hydrogen bonding and thus lowering the absorption and diffusion of water molecules (Almasi et al., 2010). However, given the applied measuring conditions of 85 to 0% r.h. during WVTR measurements revealed that WPI-based nanocomposite cast films, regardless of the nanofiller-content, are still poor moisture barriers. This can clearly be attributed to the high relative humidity which most likely caused the hydrophilic protein matrix to swell. At high relative humidity water molecules accumulate between polar amino acid side chains consequently increasing the intermolecular spacing which results in an increased WVTR due to the increased free-volume between the polymer chains (Lieberman and Gilbert, 1973). This effect is further intensified by the presence of sorbitol as highly hygroscopic polyol plasticizers compete with water molecules for binding sites between polar amino acid side chains (Lieberman and Gilbert, 1973).

As a result, theoretical assumptions, e.g., constant diffusion and layer thicknesses, to calculate WVTR values are no longer valid which leads to inaccurate data (Oymaci and Altinkaya, 2016). However, when comparing the barrier improvements between WVTR and OP, it is apparent that generally much better barrier improvements were achieved in terms of OP compared to water vapor transmission even though the measurement conditions (high relative humidity, swollen biopolymer matrix) were the same. This observation is consistent with observations from Choi et al. (2006) using a non-swelling PET/clay-nanocomposite matrix. A possible explanation for the enhanced oxygen barrier properties could be referred to extensive interactions between the hydrophilic protein/plasticizer matrix, the permeating water molecules, and the hydrophilic surface of the nanofiller. Rhim et al. (2009) reported that the relative surface hydrophilicity of the used MMT nanoplatelets compared to other clays with relatively lower surface hydrophilicity was responsible for increased water vapor permeability’s in a clay/PLA nanocomposite film.

---

**Table 3** | Water vapor transmission rate (Q100) of whey protein-based (10 and 15% w/w) nanocomposite cast films with different concentrations of montmorillonite (MMT) nanofiller and 66.70%, 100% (w/w protein) sorbitol (Sor), respectively.

| MMT (w/w protein) | WVTR Q100 (g m⁻² day⁻¹) | Sor, 10% (w/w) whey protein isolate (WPI) | Sor, 15% (w/w) WPI |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 0                 | 1,538.62 ± 151.12       | 1,267.76 ± 166.50                    |
| 3                 | 1,302.33 ± 122.11       | 1,222.96 ± 286.70                    |
| 6                 | 1,170.79 ± 35.20        | 1,014.51 ± 196.75                   |
| 9                 | 942.41 ± 62.35          | 798.44 ± 33.70                      |
| 12                | 633.95 ± 82.08          | –                                    |
| 15                | 641.70 ± 16.22          | –                                    |

Columns with different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05) for each dataset. Measurement conditions were 23°C and 85% r.h. Values represent mean ± SD.
By taking into account the highly non-polar character of oxygen, this could be a suitable explanation for the clearly better barrier efficiency against the permeation of oxygen molecules than against water vapor.

**Mechanical Properties**

**Effect of Nanofiller Concentration**

The effects of an increasing nanofiller concentration onto YM, elongation at break (E%), and TS of WPI-based nanocomposite cast films are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5. Mechanical characteristics can be useful in identifying structural relationships of composite materials as they relate to their chemical structure (Ninnemann, 1968). The YM defines the relation between applied stress and the associated strain during the elastic deformation of a material. Thus, a high YM indicates high resistance against plastic deformation. The TS is the maximum tensile stress that a film can withstand without failure. Elongation at break (E%) is defined as the ultimate relative length change a material can withstand before breaking and thus a measure for a materials ability to lengthen in relation to its original length. Mechanical measurements were performed at 23°C and 50% r.h. All films were prepared by solvent-casting method thus there is no specific testing direction. Due to extreme brittleness of sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposite cast films, only films containing glycerol as a plasticizer were used to evaluate the mechanical performance. The percentage of the nanofiller MMT refers to the weight of the protein in the film compositions.

For cast films with a protein content of 10% (w/w) WPI and 66.70% (w/w protein) glycerol, it can be observed from Figure 4, that increasing the nanofiller, even at low filler loadings, has significant effects ($p \leq 0.05$) on the mechanical properties. A significant improvement of the YM could already be observed between the reference sample and 3% (w/w protein) MMT. The best results regarding the improvement of the YM were obtained at the highest nanofiller loadings (12 and 15% w/w MMT). Although the differences between the highest nanofiller loadings (12 and 15%) were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from each other, they were significantly different from the reference sample by about a factor of 4. Similar improvements were also achieved in the evaluation of the TS of these films. The addition of the nanofiller at its lowest concentration (3% w/w protein) led to improved TS values, even though these differences were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different. However, further addition to a filler concentration of 6% (w/w protein) led to significant ($p \leq 0.05$) changes in TS. Again, as for the YM measurement, it can be stated that the best results for the TS measurement could be achieved at the highest MMT concentrations. In case of elongation properties, in contrast to YM and TS, it can be observed from Figure 4 that the elongation at break (E%) generally decreases with increasing nanofiller content, even though the reduction of film extensibility was not as clear as the changes in the other tensile properties (YM and TS). This can be attributed to strongly fluctuating film thicknesses which led to extensive standard variations for E% as the values which were obtained by the evaluation of the relative
length change of the films are not standardized to the thickness of the film specimens. Respective film thicknesses regarding elongation at break measurement are shown in Figure 4.

For cast films with a protein loading of 15% (w/w) WPI and 100.00% (w/w protein) glycerol, similar results were observed (Figure 5). In this case, as discussed earlier for the viscosity measurement, an addition of MMT was only possible up to a concentration of 9% (w/w protein) as the viscosity of the nanodispersion increases with increasing nanofiller content. Again, as for the films with 10% (w/w) WPI and 66.70% (w/w protein) glycerol, a significant improvement of the YM could already be observed between the reference sample and 3% (w/w protein) MMT. The best results regarding the improvement of the YM, again, were obtained at the highest nanofiller concentrations (6 and 9% w/w) MMT, although these differences were not significantly (p > 0.05) different from each other. Similar results were also obtained for TS. The addition of the nanofiller starting from 6% (w/w protein) led to significant differences in TS. Regarding E% values, the addition of 3% (w/w protein) MMT led to a significant (p ≤ 0.05) reduction of the E% values by a factor of about 2. A further addition of the nanofiller did not lead to significant changes of the elongation properties. Respective film thicknesses regarding the elongation at break measurement are shown in Figure 5.

The addition of nanoscale filler materials, e.g., MMT-nanoplatelets, is a common method to increase the stiffness (YM) of polymeric matrices as widely described by composite theories (Fornes and Paul, 2003; Lee and Paul, 2005). As expected, YM increased with increasing volume fraction of the nanofiller. Generally, the stiffness of polymeric materials compared to their respective reference matrix (without nanofiller) increases with increasing filler concentration as long as the nanofiller is sufficiently dispersed and a certain degree of exfoliation within the matrix is achieved (Utracki and Limited, 2004; Aït Hocine et al., 2008). Therefore, continuously increasing YM values can be understood as good indicators for sufficiently-dispersed and well-exfoliated nanofiller particles within the WPI matrix. These observations also suggest that a critical nanofiller concentration is not yet reached as reinforcing effects for nanocomposites with high filler loadings are reported to be in the range of the neat matrix or even lower due to decreased dispersion/exfoliation and aggregated or stacked nanofiller (Alexandre and Dubois, 2000; Sur et al., 2001; Mittal, 2009).

The addition of nanofillers into polymeric matrices can also increase film strength (TS) (Fornes et al., 2001) but, however, in contrast to YM, TS is a non-linear mechanical property which will decrease to values even lower than those of the neat matrix after a critical filler loading is exceeded (Zhou et al., 2009). The improvement of film strength (TS), in contrast to material stiffness (YM), is mainly governed by the effectiveness of the load transfer within the composite film, as film strength is determined at higher strains compared to YM values where the load transfer between matrix and reinforcing particle plays a crucial role (Mittal, 2009). As reported by Almasi et al. (2010), this improvement in

FIGURE 5 | Young’s modulus, tensile strength, and elongation at break depending on different montmorillonite (MMT) nanofiller concentrations in whey protein isolate (WPI)-based [15% (w/w) WPI, 100.00% (w/w protein) glycerol] nanocomposite cast films. Film thicknesses (mean ± SD) refer to the elongation at break measurement. Different letters indicate significant differences for each sample set (p ≤ 0.05).
the mechanical properties is mainly due to strong interfacial interactions between the matrix and the dispersed nanofiller via hydrogen bonding. Another explanation for the improvement of the TS values can be given by means of the free-volume theory. In addition to the reinforcement of the material due to sufficient interfacial interactions between matrix and filler, the nanofiller also acts as an anti-plasticizer in the WPI-based nanocomposite films. Due to this anti-plasticizer effect, a reduced free-volume and consequently more entangled polymer chains are caused whereby more stress can be supported by the films (Oymaci and Altinkaya, 2016). This may also explain the lowered extensibility of the nanocomposite films observed during the E% measurement as more entangled polymer chains are less flexible. Consequently, contrary to YM and TS, material ductility of WPI-based nanocomposites, as shown by E% measurement, generally decreases with increasing nanofiller content (Paul and Robeson, 2008). These findings are concurring with the findings of Zhou et al. (2009). They reported increased YM and TS values for WPI-TiO₂ nanocomposite films compared to WPI-films without nanofiller addition. However, they also found that these properties (YM and TS) started to decline again when a critical TiO₂ nanoparticle concentration (>1% w/w) was exceeded. Regarding elongation at break (E%) they generally observed decreasing values regardless of the nanofiller concentration. As a possible reason for the reduction of tensile properties they clearly identified inhomogeneous distribution and aggregation of TiO₂ within the WPI-matrix due to relative polarity differences between the matrix and the nanoparticles (Zhou et al., 2009). On the basis of these findings, and, as mentioned earlier, the continuously increasing tensile values (YM and TS) found during this study, we assume that the nanofiller particles used in this study are sufficiently dispersed and well exfoliated. Comparable results were also found by Azevedo et al. (2015) and Hassannia-Kolaee et al. (2016b) for denatured WPI/MMT films, and Zolfi et al. (2014) for kefiran-WPI/MMT films.

Effect of Plasticizer Type

The effect of the plasticizer type could only be evaluated for glycerol-plasticized films as sorbitol-plasticized samples, caused by MMT addition, were too brittle to prepare samples for the tensile test. Therefore, only the reference samples, containing either glycerol or sorbitol, were evaluated. Table 4 shows the results of the plasticizer comparison. Generally, films plasticized with sorbitol were significantly (p ≤ 0.05) stiffer and stronger compared to glycerol-plasticized films. These observations are consistent with the findings of Shaw et al. (2002) who reported significantly lower YM and TS for WPI-films plasticized with glycerol compared to sorbitol at each plasticizer:protein ratio as well as with the findings of Chick and Ustunol (1998) who reported significantly lower TS for glycerol-plasticized films in lactic acid and rennet precipitated casein-based films. On the contrary, glycerol-plasticized films generally yielded significantly (p ≤ 0.05) higher values regarding elongation at break (E%) which is also consistent with the literature (McHugh and Krochta, 1994; Chick and Ustunol, 1998; Shaw et al., 2002).

These observations can be explained by the ability of plasticizers to improve mechanical performance in protein-based films and coatings by increasing protein chain mobility. This is achieved by reducing protein–protein interactions as highly polar plasticizer molecules interfere with other polar-binding sites, e.g., polar amino acids or water molecules (Cuq et al., 1997). In addition, due to their relative high hygroscopicity (and relative differences in hygroscopicity between glycerol and sorbitol) (Shaw et al., 2002; Verbeek and van den Berg, 2010), polyol plasticizers cause increased sorption of water molecules from the surrounding environment which leads to an increased free-volume and consequently further increased protein chain mobility (Lieberman and Gilbert, 1973). Thus, the mechanical properties of WPI-based nanocomposite films may be further improved by the choice of a more compatible plasticizer type (or mixture) and concentration (McHugh and Krochta, 1994).

Wetting Properties

Protein-based edible films usually possess over high surface energies due to their hydrophilic nature which can be verified by low contact angles with hydrophilic fluids. The determination of the wetting behavior represents a key characteristic for protein-based film processing as it governs many packaging-related properties, e.g., sorption and transmission of moisture, adhesion, and printability. The contact angle measurement was conducted with either glycerol- or sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposite cast films (200 µm thickness).

It can be observed from Table 5 that in case of glycerol-plasticized nanocomposite films, regardless of the increasing nanofiller loading, the SFE of the tested nanocomposite cast films remains nearly constant. Only for the highest filler loadings, a slight decline of the total SFE associated with a simultaneous decline of the polar fraction of the SFE by about 5 mN m⁻¹ could

| Plasticizer type       | YM (MPa)     | TS (MPa)    | E% (%)   |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Glycerol               | 79.72 ± 7.39 | 3.54 ± 0.63 | 91.32 ± 50.13 |
| Sorbitol               | 306.00 ± 44.13 | 8.85 ± 0.82 | 8.68 ± 3.31 |

Different letters indicate significant differences for each sample set (p ≤ 0.05). Film thicknesses (mean ± SD) for the E% measurement of glycerol- and sorbitol-plasticized films are 177.99 ± 79.94 and 181.71 ± 35.40 µm, respectively.

| SFE (mN m⁻¹) | MMT% (w/w protein) |
|-------------|---------------------|
|             | 0   | 3   | 6   | 9   | 12  | 15  |
| Glycerol    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Disperse part | 20.5 | 20.3 | 18.6 | 20 | 19.9 | 20.2 |
| Polar part  | 41.0 | 41.3 | 43.6 | 43.3 | 40.7 | 35.2 |
| Total SFE   | 61.5 | 61.6 | 62.2 | 63.3 | 60.6 | 55.4 |
| Sorbitol    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Disperse part | 20.5 | 24.7 | 25.0 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 22.3 |
| Polar part  | 32.2 | 23.1 | 27.9 | 33.9 | 29.5 | 29.1 |
| Total SFE   | 52.7 | 47.8 | 52.9 | 55.3 | 52.1 | 51.4 |
be detected. In case of sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposite cast films, again, the SFE, regardless of the addition of the nanofiller, remains nearly constant, although slight decreases of the polar part of the SFE (samples with 3 and 6% MMT w/w protein) were observed. Generally, it can be stated that the SFE of glycerol-plasticized films is generally higher than the SFE of sorbitol-plasticized films, indicating that the wetting behavior is rather a function of the plasticizer type than the nanofiller concentration. Kokoszka et al. (2010) reported a strong dependency of the wetting behavior of WPI-based films toward the plasticizer. On the other hand, this can be attributed to the hygroscopic properties of the plasticizing substances which led to an increased moisture affinity of the hydrophilic matrix. Thereby glycerol possess over a higher ability to absorb and retain water molecules as it exhibits a higher hygroscopicity than sorbitol does (Shaw et al., 2002). This might be explained by its lower molecular weight as the hygroscopicity of polyols decreases as with rising molecular weight the tendency for crystallization increases (Furia, 1973; Shaw et al., 2002). And on the other hand, the strong influence of the plasticizer type may be explained by the molecular structure of the plasticizer molecules. Glycerol and sorbitol both are highly polar molecules consisting of 55.4% hydrophilic groups in case of glycerol, and 56.0% hydrophilic groups in case of sorbitol (Verbeek and van den Berg, 2010). However, at equal mass fractions of the plasticizing molecules, glycerol could be responsible for an increased polar fraction and consequently an increased SFE as due to its lower molecular weight of 92 g mol⁻¹, compared to 182 g mol⁻¹ for sorbitol, a greater number of glycerol molecules are present in the nanocomposites. Similar results were observed for nanocomposite cast films with an initial protein content of 15% (w/w) and 100.00% plasticizer (glycerol or sorbitol).

**Optical Properties**

The visual appearance of WPI-based cast films and its nanocomposites was determined using the CIE-Lab color-space. Surface color is an important property of protein-based films and coatings as for food packaging applications, e.g., as gas-barrier coating in multilayer applications, as discolorations can negatively affect consumer acceptance. The results of the surface color measurement are shown in Table 6. As the used MMT-nanoparticles display a yellowish color attention is particularly paid to color-shifts toward a yellowish hue (+b⁺-values, as this characteristic property is expected to increase with increasing nanofiller content). The incorporation of nanofillers into WPI-based nanocomposite cast films was accompanied by decreasing L⁺-values (indicating reduced brightness), decreasing −a⁺-values (indicating a color shift into greenish hues), and, as expected, increasing +b⁺-values (indicating the expected shift to a yellowish hue). These results are concuring with the study of Sothernvit et al. (2010) for WPI-based organoclay composite films and Echeverría et al. (2014) for incorporating MMT into soy protein isolate-based nanocomposite films. As the color of the nanocomposite films in this study, regardless of MMT-loading and increased +b⁺-value did not change considerably compared to the reference samples without addition of MMT nanofiller we assume that the nanoparticles in this study are sufficiently dispersed and exhibit good compatibility with the WPI-matrix. The comparison between the two plasticizers, sorbitol or glycerol, did not show considerable effects on the surface color properties of the tested films.

**CONCLUSION**

Naturally occurring inorganic MMT nanoplatelets were successfully dispersed in WPI-based matrices. Their high hydrophilicity and abundant functional hydroxyl groups and other oxygen-containing functional groups gave rise to the excellent compatibility and strong interactions via hydrogen bonding with the hydrophilic protein-plasticizer matrix.

As a result, barrier properties of WPI-based nanocomposites (WVTR and OP) improved with increasing nanofiller loadings. Thereby, sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposites generally exhibited better barrier properties compared to glycerol-plasticized nanocomposites. In case of WVTR measurement, the high hygroscopicity of glycerol-plasticized nanocomposites led to highly deviating film thicknesses that prevented a determination of the WVTR. Therefore, glycerol should be either replaced by a less hygroscopic plasticizer or the measuring conditions (humidity gradient) should be adjusted. These findings are supported by the results of the plasticizer comparison during mechanical testing.

---

**Table 6** Results of the surface color testing for whey protein isolate (WPI)-based nanocomposite cast films with 10 and 15% (w/w) WPI and different montmorillonite (MMT)-nanoparticle loadings.

| WPI% (w/w dispersion) | MMT% (w/w protein) | L⁺ | a⁺ | b⁺ |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----|----|----|
| 10                    | 0                  | 93.99 ± 0.12a | −0.58 ± 0.11a | 2.33 ± 0.49a |
| 10                    | 3                  | 93.12 ± 0.17a | −0.58 ± 0.07a | 4.24 ± 0.42a |
| 10                    | 6                  | 92.46 ± 0.18b | −1.02 ± 0.06b | 5.36 ± 0.38b |
| 10                    | 9                  | 92.40 ± 0.12b | −1.02 ± 0.05b | 5.35 ± 0.30b |
| 10                    | 12                 | 90.83 ± 0.31c | −1.49 ± 0.09c | 8.75 ± 0.74c |
| 15                    | 0                  | 94.13 ± 0.01a | −0.60 ± 0.04a | 2.28 ± 0.20a |
| 15                    | 3                  | 94.26 ± 0.05b | −0.77 ± 0.02b | 3.65 ± 0.19b |
| 15                    | 6                  | 92.31 ± 0.27b | −1.03 ± 0.76b | 5.41 ± 0.55b |
| 15                    | 9                  | 91.75 ± 0.32c | −1.22 ± 0.09c | 6.80 ± 0.64c |

Different letters indicate significant differences for each sample set (p ≤ 0.05).
However, based on the low extensibility of sorbitol-plasticized cast films (reference matrix) and the general difficulties in preparing cast films from sorbitol-plasticized nanocomposites, it might be a better strategy to apply mixtures of glycerol and sorbitol instead of single-use to benefit from the specific properties of both plasticizers. In addition, tensile tests revealed that with increasing filler loadings the material stiffness (YM) and the TS continuously increased. We expect that a critical nanofiller loading is not yet exceeded as values for film strength (TS), a non-linear mechanical characteristic, are expected to decline to values in the range of the neat matrix or even below upon a critical filler loading. However, attention must be paid to film flexibility, as material ductility generally declines with increasing nanofiller loading. Optical evaluation confirmed that the addition of MMT nanofillers, although brightness decreased and color shifted toward yellowish shades, only had minor impact on the general appearance of the films. Furthermore, optical appearance of the films substantiates our hypothesis that the nanoplatelets are uniformly dispersed and well exfoliated within the biopolymer matrix.

These findings suggest that a further addition of MMT nanoplatelets into WPI-based nanocomposite dispersions can be realized which could lead to significantly enhanced technofunctional properties such as barrier properties and mechanical performance. Moreover, constantly increasing viscosities and shear-thinning flow behavior suggests that the nanoplatelets are well dispersed and exfoliated within the continuous matrix, which also supports good compatibility between the hydrophilic nanoplatelets and biopolymer.

To conclude, based on the findings of this study, the addition of natural MMT nanofillers into native WPI-based matrices to form nanocomposite films and coatings with higher filler loadings compared to the addition of filler materials into previously denatured matrices is an effective strategy to further enhance the technofunctional properties of these films. However, WPI-based nanocomposites are still poor moisture barriers which can mainly be attributed to the high amounts of plasticizer necessary to ensure sufficient flexibility and overcome brittleness as well as to their hydrophilic nature. As suggested by the findings of this study, WPI-based nanocomposite films and coatings hold great potential to replace fossil-based packaging materials for at least certain applications such as oxygen barriers as part of multilayer flexible packaging films in food packaging applications but, however, further research is necessary to overcome existing drawbacks.
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