Human Papillomaviruses and genital co-infections in gynaecological outpatients
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Abstract

Background: High grade HPV infections and persistence are the strongest risk factors for cervical cancer. Nevertheless other genital microorganisms may be involved in the progression of HPV associated lesions.

Methods: Cervical samples were collected to search for human Papillomavirus (HPV), bacteria and yeast infections in gynaecologic outpatients. HPV typing was carried out by PCR and sequencing on cervical brush specimens. Chlamydia trachomatis was identified by strand displacement amplification (SDA) and the other microorganisms were detected by conventional methods.

Results: In this cross-sectional study on 857 enrolled outpatients, statistical analyses revealed a significant association of HPV with C. trachomatis and Ureaplasma urealyticum (at high density) detection, whereas no correlation was found between HPV infection and bacterial vaginosis, Streptococcus agalactiae, yeasts, Trichomonas vaginalis and U. urealyticum. Mycoplasma hominis was isolated only in a few cases both in HPV positive and negative women and no patient was infected with Neisseria gonorrhoeae.

Conclusion: Although bacterial vaginosis was not significantly associated with HPV, it was more common among the HPV positive women. A significant association between HPV and C. trachomatis was found and interestingly also with U. urealyticum but only at a high colonization rate. These data suggest that it may be important to screen for the simultaneous presence of different microorganisms which may have synergistic pathological effects.
that leads to cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and to cervical cancer [1,2]. Many reports have demonstrated that HPV infections are mostly transitory and that only a small percentage of infections persist and may progress to dysplastic cervical lesions: type-specific HPV persistence is the strongest risk factor for cervical cancer [3]. The reasons for the variable natural history remain poorly understood but it has been generally accepted that different cofactors are involved in the development of dysplasia in HPV infected women. Factors, such as specific HPV genotype, viral load, and co-infection with more HPV types, are likely to be involved in the progression to precancerous cervical lesions [4-6]. In addition, environmental factors [7-9] including smoking, long term hormone contraceptives and co-infection with other microorganisms have also been investigated as potentially involved in the disease process. The sexually transmitted agents that have shown some evidence of an association with cervical dysplastic and neoplastic lesion are Chlamydia trachomatis [10] and Herpes simplex virus (HSV) [11,12], although the specific role of these microorganisms in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer has not been completely clarified.

The aim of this cross-sectional study was to evaluate the prevalence of co-infection HPV and other genital microorganisms: Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Streptococcus agalactiae, Mycoplasma spp, Trichomonas vaginalis. Yeasts and bacterial vaginosis were also investigated.

Methods

Study population

Women attending clinics for routine gynaecologic care in the Department of Gynaecology, Perinatology and Child Health of “Sapienza” University in Rome, between 2000 and 2006 were included in this study. Informed consent was obtained from all women and this study was approved by the Ethics Committees and/or Institutional Review Boards of the participating institution. Cervical samples were taken by cytobrush from the ectocervix and from the endocervix for cytological analysis, detection of HPV DNA and bacteriological tests. Cytology was classified according to the Bethesda system, using standard forms and classified as negative, atypical squamous cell of undetermined significance (ASCUS), atypical glandular cell of undetermined significance (AGUS), low-grade intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) or high-grade (HSIL) categories [13]. All the samples were analysed independently by two cyto-technologists and the final diagnosis was based on the consensus of both. Women were eligible for the study if they: were not currently pregnant nor planning to become pregnant for a year; had an intact uterus and no current referral for hysterectomy; reported no use of vaginal medication in the previous 3 days; reported no treatment for cervical disease in the previous 6 months; answered a structured questionnaire on socio-demo-
Neisseria gonorrhoeae
presumptive identification of *N. gonorrhoeae* was carried out by growth on media selective for pathogenic *Neisseria species* (Oxoid) incubated for up to 48 hours in 5–10% CO2 at 35–37°C. Colonies obtained were identified by API NH (bioMerieux) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

*Mycoplasma spp*
Mycoplasma IST 2 (bioMérieux) was used for the isolation of *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The diagnostic kit provided information regarding the presence or absence of *M. hominis* and *U. urealyticum* and an estimate of the density of each organism (cut-off 10^4-colour-changing units- CCU/ml) together with its antimicrobial susceptibilities to doxycycline, josamycin, ofloxacin, erythromycin, tetracycline, pristinamycin, azithromycin, clarythromycin, cipirofloxacin.

*Candida spp*
cervical-vaginal samples were cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Oxoid) aerobically at 37°C for 24–48 h [16,17]. The identity of clinical isolates was confirmed by conventional mycological methods [18,19], such as the germ tube induction test in serum (*C. albicans* or *C. dubliniensis*), microscopic morphology, and growth on Oxoid Chromogenic Candida Agar (Oxoid) [18].

Non-Candida albicans yeast isolates were further specified with API 20C AUX or API 32C.

*Trichomonas vaginalis*
the vaginal swab was placed in 0.2 ml of sterile physiologic saline for wet mount evaluation and examined microscopically (400×) within 15 min for motile trichomonads.

The culture was carried out on Oxoid's Trichomonas Medium n.2 for the recovery of *T. vaginalis* and incubated aerobically at 37°C. The broth was examined microscopically for motile trichomonads on days 1, 3 and 5 [16,17].

*Bacterial vaginosis*
the presence of bacterial vaginosis was evaluated microscopically on samples collected from the posterior vaginal fornix. Bacterial vaginosis was diagnosed by the presence of three of the clinical and microscopic findings standardized by Amsel *et al* [20]: vaginal pH greater than 4.5; presence of clue cells; grey homogenous vaginal discharge; positive Whiff-test in which a fishy odour is released after the addition of 10% potassium hydroxide solution to vaginal fluid.

Statistical analysis
The χ^2 test was used in the statistical analysis, while the Odd Ratio was used to measure the strength of the association with HPV. Statistical tests were considered significant if the p value was 0.05 or less. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the simultaneous effect of more than one variable on the risk of HPV infection and to identify possible confounding factors.

Results

Study group characteristics
Out of 857 enrolled outpatients, two groups of patients were identified on the basis of HPV DNA test positivity. The demographic and behavioural characteristics of the study population (266 HPV positive and 591 HPV negative women) are presented in table 1. The women were aged between 17 and 57 years (mean 32.7 years). As expected, the features significantly associated with HPV-DNA detection in univariate analysis were: young patient's age; age at first intercourse; high number of lifetime sexual partners. Smokers were 29.98% in the total population with a significantly higher percentage in HPV positive group (38.1% vs. 28.0; p = 0.003). HPV-DNA detection was significantly higher in the nullipara women and in those without spontaneous abortion. Only 22.40% of the study participants usually use at least one contraceptive method with no significant differences in HPV positivity.

HPV genotyping
HPV genotypes found in samples from HPV positive women were shown in table 2. Thirty-five different HPV types were identified: HPV16 was the most prevalent (14.50%), followed by HPV58 (9.16%), HPV53 (8.01%) and HPV42 (6.10%); whereas each other genotype was present in less than 5% of HPV positive women. In addition there were 4 cases of "missed typing" (1.5%), probably due to mixed infections with different HPV genotypes. Moreover it should be considered that PCR amplification and sequencing detect precisely the more abundant (and probably clinically relevant) type and underestimate coinfections. According to the reclassification proposed by Munoz *et al*. (2006) [3], the aggregated percentage (HR and probably HR) of detected HPV was 58.77%, 27.86% for low-risk and 13.35% for unknown-risk HPV types. Of the HPV negative women, 2.3% revealed an abnormal cervical cytology (2% LG-SIL; 0.3% HG-SIL). Among HPV positive women more than 60% had normal cytology, whereas only 3.98% had HG-SIL. LG-SIL and ASCUS were found in 29.8% and 3.1% respectively of HPV positive women.
Different microorganisms infection rate

In the total population, *C. trachomatis* was revealed in 8.05% of the samples, *U. urealyticum* was present in 28.35% and *S. agalactiae* in 8.86%; *T. vaginalis* was found only in 1.16%, bacterial vaginosis in 6.30%, and yeast in 13.06%.

*M. hominis* was isolated only in a few cases whereas *N. gonorrhoeae* was never found.

Co-infection HPV-other microorganisms

The detection of genital infections in the HPV negative population was not infrequent: in fact *S. agalactiae* was

---

**Table 1: Characteristics of studied groups: univariate analysis of age, sexual, reproductive and behavioural history.**

|                      | HPV(+) | HPV(-) | Total | HPV(+) % | Comparison* | 95% CI   | significance |
|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| **TOTAL: frequency** | 266    | 591    | 857   | 31.0%    |             |          |              |
| **Age**              |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| mean age (years) (sd)| 31.1(8.0) | 33.5(7.5) | 32.7(7.6) | diff. -2.4 | -1.3 to -3.5 | t = -4.26, P < 0.00005 |
| Frequency:           |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| <25 years            | 63     | 72     | 135   | 46.7%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| 25- years            | 58     | 116    | 174   | 33.3%    | OR = 0.57   | 0.36 to 0.91 | P = 0.017 |
| 30- years            | 68     | 142    | 210   | 32.4%    | OR = 0.55   | 0.35 to 0.85 | P = 0.008 |
| 35- years            | 36     | 144    | 180   | 20.0%    | OR = 0.29   | 0.17 to 0.47 | P < 0.00005 |
| 40+ years            | 41     | 117    | 158   | 25.9%    | OR = 0.40   | 0.25 to 0.65 | P = 0.0003 |
| **Age at 1st intercourse** |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| mean age (years)     | 18.6   | 19.6   | 19.3  | diff. -1.01 | -0.49 to -1.54 | t = -3.79, P = 0.0002 |
| Frequency:           |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| <16 years            | 25     | 42     | 67    | 37.3%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| 16 years             | 34     | 55     | 89    | 38.2%    | OR = 1.04   | 0.54 to 2.00 | P = 0.91 |
| 17 years             | 44     | 73     | 117   | 37.6%    | OR = 1.01   | 0.54 to 1.88 | P = 0.97 |
| 18 years             | 58     | 115    | 173   | 33.5%    | OR = 0.85   | 0.47 to 1.52 | P = 0.58 |
| 19 years             | 36     | 55     | 91    | 39.6%    | OR = 1.10   | 0.57 to 2.11 | P = 0.77 |
| 20 years             | 21     | 87     | 108   | 19.4%    | OR = 0.41   | 0.20 to 0.81 | P = 0.01 |
| 21 years             | 15     | 24     | 39    | 38.5%    | OR = 1.05   | 0.47 to 2.37 | P = 0.91 |
| 22+ years            | 33     | 140    | 173   | 19.1%    | OR = 0.40   | 0.21 to 0.74 | P = 0.004 |
| **Number of partners** |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| mean                 | 4.20   | 2.83   | 3.26  | diff. 1.37 | 0.96 to 1.79 | t = 6.51, P < 0.00005 |
| Frequency:           |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| 1 partner            | 39     | 234    | 273   | 14.3%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| 2 partners           | 46     | 129    | 175   | 26.3%    | OR = 2.14   | 1.33 to 3.45 | P < 0.00005 |
| 3 partners           | 58     | 86     | 144   | 40.3%    | OR = 4.05   | 2.52 to 6.51 | P < 0.00005 |
| 4 partners           | 41     | 42     | 83    | 49.4%    | OR = 5.86   | 3.39 to 10.13 | P < 0.00005 |
| 5–9 partners         | 54     | 75     | 129   | 41.9%    | OR = 4.32   | 2.65 to 7.03 | P < 0.00005 |
| 10+ partners         | 28     | 25     | 53    | 52.8%    | OR = 6.72   | 3.55 to 12.71 | P < 0.00005 |
| **Parity**           |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| Nulliparous          | 215    | 432    |       | 33.2%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| Parous               | 51     | 159    |       | 24.3%    | OR = 0.64   | 0.45 to 0.92 | P = 0.0154 |
| **Spontaneous abortion** |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| No                   | 238    | 485    | 723   | 32.9%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| Yes                  | 28     | 106    | 134   | 20.9%    | OR = 0.54   | 0.35 to 0.84 | P = 0.0063 |
| **Induced abortion** |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| No                   | 227    | 493    | 720   | 31.5%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| Yes                  | 39     | 98     | 137   | 28.5%    | OR = 0.86   | 0.58 to 1.29 | P = 0.48 |
| **Contraceptives**   |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| never used any type  | 210    | 455    | 665   | 31.5%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| have used at least one type | 56    | 136    | 192   | 29.2%    | OR = 0.89   | 0.63 to 1.27 | P = 0.52 |
| **Smoking**          |        |        |       |          |             |          |              |
| never smoked         | 168    | 432    | 600   | 28.0%    | OR = 1.00   |          |              |
| ever smoked          | 98     | 159    | 257   | 38.1%    | 1.58        | 1.17 to 2.16 | P = 0.003 |

*diff. is the difference between two mean values and OR is the odds ratio compared with the first category.*
found in 9.4% of samples, yeasts in 13.5%, bacterial vaginosis in 5.2%, C. trachomatis in 5.4% and U. urealyticum in 24.8%. Generally U. urealyticum is a common commensal of the female lower genital tract and it seems to be an important opportunistic pathogen during pregnancy and in other genital diseases such as cervicitis. Its pathogenic potential seems to be related to the high density in the analysed samples (>10,000 CCU/ml). In HPV negative patients the percentage of U. urealyticum > 10,000 CCU/ml was 5.24% (table 3).

The number of the specimens in which T. vaginalis was detected was very low in both populations (1.12% in HPV positive women vs. 1.18%). Statistical analyses did not reveal any association between HPV presence and S. agalactiae, yeasts, T. vaginalis and U. urealyticum < 10,000 CCU/ml detection.

Although the bacterial vaginosis was diagnosed in a higher percentage among HPV positive women (8.6% vs. 5.2% control group), the difference in the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis was not statistically significant between the 2 groups.

A significant association between HPV-DNA presence and concurrent genital infection with C. trachomatis (p < 0.001) was observed (table 3). Interestingly, among HPV positive women co-infected by C. trachomatis 67.56% belonged to the HR-HPV infected patients.

### Table 2: Genotypes in HPV positive women.

| Risk category* | HPV type | Frequency |
|----------------|----------|-----------|
| HIGH:          | 16 18 31 33 35 45 51 52 56 58 59 | 38 7 13 8 2 1 5 8 5 24 3 |
| Probably HIGH: | 53 66 68 73 | 21 12 1 6 |
| LOW:           | 6 11 42 43 44 54 61 70 72 81 91 | 13 6 16 1 2 11 6 10 1 5 2 |
| UNKNOWN:       | 30 34 62 67 74 84 85 86 87 | 3 3 1 2 5 7 2 2 10 |

*According to Munoz et al. (2006) [3], 11 types were classified as high risk, 4 types as probably high-risk; 11 were classified as low-risk. Nine types were grouped as unknown-risk HPV because of their incomplete characterization due to their rare detection or recent identification.

### Table 3: Different microorganisms infection in HPV positive and negative women

| Microorganism       | HPV(+) | HPV(-) | Total | % positive | Odds ratio | 95% CI | Significance |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|------------|------------|--------|-------------|
| C. trachomatis      |        |        |       |            |            |        |             |
| negative            | 229    | 559    | 788   | 29.0%      | OR = 1.00  |        |             |
| Positive            | 37     | 32     | 69    | 53.6%      | OR = 2.82  | 1.74 to 4.57 | P < 0.00005 |
| U. urealyticum      |        |        |       |            |            |        |             |
| negative            | 170    | 444    | 614   | 27.7%      | OR = 1.00  |        |             |
| <10000 CCU/ml       | 61     | 116    | 177   | 34.5%      | OR = 1.37  | 0.96 to 1.96 | P = 0.08   |
| >10000 CCU/ml       | 35     | 31     | 66    | 33.0%      | OR = 2.95  | 1.79 to 4.85 | P < 0.00005 |
| S. agalactiae       |        |        |       |            |            |        |             |
| negative            | 246    | 535    | 781   | 31.5%      | OR = 1.00  |        |             |
| Positive            | 20     | 56     | 76    | 26.3%      | OR = 0.78  | 0.46 to 1.32 | P = 0.35   |
| Yeasts              |        |        |       |            |            |        |             |
| negative            | 234    | 511    | 745   | 31.4%      | OR = 1.00  |        |             |
| Positive            | 32     | 80     | 112   | 28.6%      | OR = 0.87  | 0.56 to 1.35 | P = 0.55   |
| Bacterial vaginosis |        |        |       |            |            |        |             |
| negative            | 243    | 560    | 803   | 30.3%      | OR = 1.00  |        |             |
| Positive            | 23     | 31     | 54    | 42.6%      | OR = 1.71  | 0.98 to 2.98 | P = 0.06   |
| T. vaginalis        |        |        |       |            |            |        |             |
| negative            | 263    | 584    | 847   | 31.1%      | OR = 1.00  |        |             |
| Positive            | 3      | 7      | 10    | 30.0%      | OR = 0.95  | 0.24 to 3.71 | P = 0.94   |
Moreover another significant association was found between the HPV positivity and the presence of *U. urealyticum* > 10,000 CCU/ml (p < 0.001) (table 3).

Logistic regression analyses did not substantially change these results.

**Discussion**

Our findings confirm that an increased risk of HPV infection is strongly associated with a young age at first intercourse, and with an elevated number of sexual partners as would be expected for a sexually transmitted viral infection.

Epidemiological studies have demonstrated the role of other sexually transmitted infectious agents in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer, but knowledge about the specific role of these pathogens in the natural history of HPV infection is limited. There are several mechanisms by which these co-infections may act, such as direct genotoxicity, but probably the most likely biologic mechanism is the induction of cervical inflammation leading genotoxic damage through oxidative metabolites [21]. An association between cervical cancer and *C. trachomatis* has been established [22]. In fact, *C. trachomatis* infection may increase susceptibility to HPV causing microabrasions or alterations of epithelial cells thus facilitating the entry of virions. Some reports have suggested that concurrent *C. trachomatis* infection can reduce host ability to resolve HPV infection: chronic cervical inflammation seems to influence the HPV persistence through a raised production of free radicals and a reduction of host cell-mediated immunity [2,10]. *C. trachomatis* infection induces a shift in the immune response and the unresolved infections have been associated with a humoral (T helper cell type 2) immune response, whereas cellular (T helper cell type 1) immune response is important for the clearance of HPV lesions. Therefore, the modulation of cervical immune response by *C. trachomatis* may influence the clearance of HPV lesions [10].

Our data showed, in HPV positive patients, particularly in HR HPV positive women, an increased *C. trachomatis* infection rate; this result may suggest more accurate screening for *C. trachomatis* in HPV positive patients with an atypical cytology. Since both pathogens are co-variables related to sexual behaviour, probably they synergize in inducing cervical epithelium alterations [3,23].

An increased infection rate of *U. urealyticum* in HPV positive group was also observed, but a significant association was found only with high density cervical presence. The biological role of *U. urealyticum* infection in the HPV outcome was not previously clarified, but high level of *U. urealyticum* seems to be a cofactor in the development of HPV related cervical dysplasia [24]. It is tempting to speculate that the presence of *U. urealyticum* may play a role both in initiating cellular anomalies and in viral persistence. It was reported that Mycoplasma infections cause in vitro chromosomal changes and cell transformation throughout gradual progressive chromosomal loss and translocations [25]. Robertsonian chromosome translocation has been identified in the presence of Mycoplasma infections [26].

Previous researches have produced conflicting results regarding the role of bacterial vaginosis as cofactor in the development of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia [27]. Thus, although bacterial vaginosis may have an influence in acquisition of genital infections, its role into HPV acquisition and persistence remains to be clarified [28]. Moreover, to date the only prospective study on bacterial vaginosis and HPV infection, showed that both infections occur simultaneously [29] and suggested that the presence of HPV may have an influence in the vaginal flora. In our findings, bacterial vaginosis is not statistically associated with HPV infection, even if alterations of vaginal flora tend to be more common among HPV positive than HPV negative women (8.6% vs. 5.2% respectively).

This study evidenced also the lack of protective precautions against sexually transmitted infections, both in HPV positive and HPV negative women. Despite the use of condom is not reported to be highly effective in reducing HPV transmission [30,31], counselling on sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) prevention use should be continued, for instance, to prevent *C. trachomatis* transmission.

**Conclusion**

In this study a statistically significant association between HPV and *C. trachomatis* was found and interestingly also with *U. urealyticum* at a high colonization rate. Although bacterial vaginosis was not significantly associated with HPV, it was more common among HPV positive women. These data confirm that screening for genital infections may be important to reveal the simultaneous presence of different sexually transmitted microorganisms. These results suggest and emphasize the value of the screening for genital infections in HPV positive patients in order to decrease the presence of other microorganisms and to reduce the probable synergistic effects of co-infections. Prevention is important not only to avoid other STDs and their sequelae, but also to reduce the influence of concomitant microorganisms on HPV infection.
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