A DIM CANDIDATE COMPANION TO $\epsilon$ CEPHEI

D. Mawet$^{1,2}$, B. Mennesson$^2$, E. Serabyn$^2$, K. Stapelfeldt$^2$, and O. Absil$^{3,4}$

$^1$ European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordóva 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile
$^2$ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
$^3$ Institut d’Astrophysique et de Géophysique de Liège, University of Liège, 17 Allée du 6 Août, 4000 Sart Tilman, Belgium

Received 2011 June 6; accepted 2011 July 5; published 2011 August 12

ABSTRACT

Using a vector vortex coronagraph behind the 1.5 m well-corrected subaperture (WCS) at Palomar, we detected a second object very close to $\epsilon$ Cephei, a $\delta$ Scuti F0 IV star. The candidate companion, $\sim$50 times fainter than $\epsilon$ Cephei, if physically associated, is a late-type K or early M star, and lies at an angular separation of 330 mas, or 1.1 $\lambda/D$ for the WCS, making it the smallest angle detection ever realized with a coronagraph in terms of $\lambda/D$ units. The projected separation of the putative companion is $\sim$8.6 AU, most likely on a highly eccentric orbit. The recently detected near-infrared excess is thus likely not due to hot dust. Moreover, we also show that the previously reported IRAS 60 $\mu$m excess was due to source confusion on the galactic plane.
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1. INTRODUCTION

High-contrast imaging at small angles is a very useful tool for accessing inner regions around stars. While instrumental limits are constantly improved by the development of exoplanet imaging and characterization techniques, such capabilities can be used in stellar astrophysics to discover new systems or put constraint on known ones. Moreover, this small-angle capability allows a significant reduction in the size of potential space telescopes aimed at detecting and characterizing exoplanets, which is a cost-effective way of building exoplanet missions.

We have used a 1.5 m subaperture of the 5.1 m Hale telescope at Palomar with a small inner working angle (IWA) phase-mask coronagraph to detect a new candidate stellar companion to the $\delta$ Scuti star $\epsilon$ Cephei. The result is interesting for two reasons. First, $\epsilon$ Cephei was claimed to have an infrared excess measured by IRAS and recently detected by near-infrared ground-based interferometry. Such infrared excesses are generally attributed to dusty debris disks (Absil et al. 2006). We show here with an image that the origin of the near-infrared excess is a second object that is likely a late-type stellar companion. Moreover, we demonstrate that the previously reported 60 $\mu$m IRAS excess is attributable to an unrelated field object. Second, the potential companion was imaged at 1.1 diffraction beamwidths ($\lambda/D$, with the working wavelength $\lambda \approx 2.16 \mu$m, and the telescope diameter $D = 1.5$ m) from the star. Such a small angle detection was only possible because of our phase-mask vortex coronagraph (VVC), demonstrating that such coronagraphs can effectively provide very small IWA.

2. THE $\delta$ SCUTI $\epsilon$ CEPHEI

$\epsilon$ Cephei is a bright ($V = 4.2$, $H = 3.7$, $K = 3.5$) and nearby ($d = \pm 0.3$ pc; see van Leeuwen 2007) F0 IV star with a temperature $T = 7350$ K, of the $\delta$ Scuti type (Table 1). Privileged targets in asteroseismologic studies (Bruntt et al. 2007), $\delta$ Scutis are multi-periodic variable stars lying at the base of the Cepheid instability strip, where it crosses the main sequence. Their small amplitude (0.001–1 mag) variability is due to simultaneous radial and non-radial pulsation modes with periods between 0.25 and 5 hr. The spectral type of $\delta$ Scuti ranges from A0 to F5, with nominal mass and temperature around 2 $M_{\odot}$ and 7500 K, respectively. For $\epsilon$ Cephei, Kennelly et al. (1999) derived a mass of 1.8 $\pm 0.2 M_{\odot}$.

$\epsilon$ Cephei was claimed to be detected by IRAS at 12, 25, and 60 $\mu$m as PSC 22132+5647. The reported 60 $\mu$m flux density of $1.20 \pm 0.08$ Jy is $> 10$ times the expected photospheric value (the ratio of excess infrared luminosity divided by the total energy output from the photosphere was reported to be $\tau \approx 1.56 \times 10^{-6}$). On this basis, Oudmaijer et al. (1992), Moor et al. (2006), and Rhee et al. (2007) identified the system as hosting a bright debris disk. In Rhee et al. (2007), this excess was fitted with a single blackbody giving a putative ring of dust at 62 AU with a temperature of 65 K. Using space velocities $UVW$, lithium abundance, and location on an H-R diagram, Rhee et al. (2007) also estimated the age of $\epsilon$ Cephei to be a very uncertain $\sim 600$ Myr.

In the course of an on-going survey for bright exozodiacal disks around main-sequence stars, led by one of us, the CHARA-FLUOR interferometer detected a $K$-band excess for $\epsilon$ Cephei (O. Absil et al. 2011, in preparation). One possible interpretation of such near-infrared excesses is emission from hot dust close to the star (Absil et al. 2006, 2008, 2009; Di Folco et al. 2007; Akeson et al. 2009). The grain populations usually derived from such observations are quite intriguing, as they point toward very high dust replenishment rates, high cometary activity, or unlikely major collisional events. Note that due to sparse ($u, v$) coverage, CHARA-FLUOR poorly constrains the nature of the excess or its location inside the FLUOR 1$''$ FWHM field of view, suggesting that a high-resolution image might be able to distinguish between a close companion and dust.

3. OBSERVATION AND INSTRUMENTAL SETUP

We observed $\epsilon$ Cephei in the $K_s$ band on 2010 June 23, as part of our program of adaptive optics (AO) high contrast imaging of nearby young bright stars at Palomar. We took 50 frames...
Figure 1. Coronagraphic image of ϵ Cephei and its candidate companion. The scale is linear and roughly calibrated in contrast. The cross indicates the position of the star. (a) Raw image, corrected for sky background, flat field, and image imperfections (bad pixels and cosmic rays). (b) Image of the reference star HD 213558. (c) Result of the subtraction of the scaled reference star image from ϵ Cephei.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 1

| Properties              | ϵ Cephei Fundamental Stellar Properties |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Coordinates (hms)       | R.A. = 22 15 02.19, decl. = +57 02 36.91 |
| Galactic coordinates (deg) | l = 102.87, b = +400.39                |
| Proper motion (")       | δR.A. = 0.47645, δdecl. = 0.04999      |
| Radial velocity (km s⁻¹) | ~0.6                                   |
| Parallax (")            | 0.3886                                 |
| Spectral type           | F0 IV                                  |
| V mag                   | 4.2                                    |
| K mag                   | 3.5                                    |
| Age                     | ~600 Myr                               |
| Distance                | 26.2 ± 0.3 pc                          |
| Mass                    | 1.8 M_sun                              |
| Radius                  | 2.0 R_sun                              |
| Temperature             | 7350 K                                 |

The VVC allowed us to detect a second object near ϵ Cephei at 330 ± 50 mas from the host star, which corresponds to ~1.1 times the width of the diffraction beam (λ/D ≳ 300 mas at Kₚ), making it the smallest angle detection ever reported with a coronagraph in λ/D units. The projected separation of the potential companion places it at 8.6 ± 1.4 AU. Figure 1(a) shows the raw image after sky subtraction, flat fielding, bad pixel replacement, and cosmic-ray removal. A faint secondary point source is clearly detected on this image to the east of ϵ Cephei, with no companion present (Figure 1(b)). The reference star was chosen with a V − K magnitude of 3.49, very close to the 2MASS value. However, Morel & Magnenat (1978) give an independent and accurate (few percent accuracy) measurement of the optical photometry (B = 4.47, V = 4.19, R = 3.92, I = 3.77) which is nominal for an F0 star. In this case, the V − K color is 0.7 (Cox 2000), and the K magnitude extrapolated from V should be 3.49, very close to the 2MASS value.
Aperture photometry using a radius of 300 mas (our FWHM) yields a flux ratio of 0.020 ± 0.005 in the $K_s$ band. The apparent magnitude of the potential companion is then $K_s = 7.8 ± 0.5$. Note that we conservatively propagated the 2MASS error bars, knowing that they must be pessimistic by an order of magnitude. For an assumed distance of 26.2 ± 0.3 pc, the absolute $K_s$ magnitude of the companion is therefore 5.70 ± 0.52.

4.2. Probability of Association

As yet, we have no second epoch measurement available because the Palomar AO system was taken offline in mid-2010 to enable the PALM-3000 upgrade. The probability for the potential companion to be a background object, given its proximity to $\epsilon$ Cephei, the galactic coordinates of the system (in the galactic plane but well away from the bulge, see Table 1), and the number of objects present with $K < 7.8$ in a series of regions of radii $1°$–$10°$ centered around the star (measured population in this zone of the sky), is very small, $\sim 10^{-6}$. Thus, it is likely that the candidate companion is physically associated with $\epsilon$ Cephei. However, astrometry and/or spectroscopy will be needed to confirm this.

5. DISCUSSION

Assuming the candidate companion is bound to $\epsilon$ Cephei, we will derive its physical properties based on an evolutionary model. We will also discuss the consequence of this discovery on the interpretation of near-infrared and far-infrared excesses. An interesting question one could then ask is why this second source has largely remained undetected so far.

5.1. Possible Nature of the Candidate Companion

According to the BCAH98 evolutionary model (Baraffe et al. 1998), and following the age estimation in Rhee et al. (2007), the candidate companion would have a mass of $\sim 0.5 M_{\odot}$, and a temperature of 3650 K (Table 2). The stellar type of the candidate companion is thus likely to be at the transition between late-type K stars and early-M stars. This result is fairly independent of the age between 200 Myr up to well above 1 Gyr, making this mass determination quite robust even with big error bars (Figure 2).

The interferometrically detected near-infrared excess is likely due to the companion, and not hot dust. However, the candidate companion would only contribute $\sim 5\%$ additional flux at 60 $\mu$m. It thus cannot account for the large excess detected in the IRAS beam, which was interpreted as thermal emission from cold dust at a separation of $\sim 62$ AU (Rhee et al. 2007). In this picture, the cold dust belt would thus likely be circumbinary which is not unprecedented, see for instance the case of GG Tau (Krist et al. 2005). However, as mentioned earlier, $\epsilon$ Cephei lies away from the galactic bulge but still on the galactic plane ($b = +0.4°$, see Table 1), which raises the possibility of source confusion given the large beam size of $\text{IRAS} (\sim 25°$ at 60 $\mu$m). To confirm the excess emission, the star was observed with $\text{Spitzer}$/MIPS on 2009 February 20 (PI: George Rieke).

The $\text{MIPS} 70 \mu$m Basic Calibrated Data (BCD) image retrieved from the $\text{Spitzer}$ Heritage Archive shows only a very weak detection at the stellar position (green circle), and a much stronger far-infrared source located 76° to its NNE. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 2

| Properties                  | $\epsilon$ Cephei b |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Spectral type               | K8-M2               |
| $K$ mag                     | 7.8                 |
| Age                         | $\sim 600$ Myr      |
| Distance                    | $\geq 8.6$ AU from primary |
| Mass                        | $0.5 M_{\odot}$     |
| Radius                      | $0.7 R_{\odot}$     |
| Temperature                 | 3650 K              |

Figure 2. Magnitude–age diagram for the evolutionary model from Baraffe et al. (1998), for a range of mass $0.4$–$0.6 M_{\odot}$. Our photometry with conservative error bars is overplotted. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 3. MIPS 70 $\mu$m Basic Calibrated Data (BCD) image of $\epsilon$ Cephei retrieved from the $\text{Spitzer}$ Heritage Archive shows only a very weak detection at the stellar position (green circle), and a much stronger far-infrared source located 76° to its NNE. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

5. PALM-3000 will deliver extreme AO correction on the full 5.1 m Hale telescope (Bouchez et al. 2010).
finds a stellar 70 μm flux density of ~80 mJy. This value is consistent with the expected stellar photospheric emission (as extrapolated from the IRAS 12 and 25 μm flux densities). We therefore conclude that the IRAS 60 μm measurement was very likely confused by the bright adjacent source. Indeed, the reported position of the IRAS source PSC 22132+5647 is shifted 33° E from the known position of ε Cephei at the time of the measurement. Thus, it was never right to associate ε Cephei and PSC 22132+5647, which is likely a virtual combination of two real sources: ε Cephei, detected at 12 and 25 μm by IRAS, and the far-infrared source to its NNE, dominating at 60 μm. In conclusion, ε Cephei lacks any far-infrared excess and thus does not possess a debris disk sculpted by the putative companion.

5.2. Visible Speckle Interferometry

Using speckle interferometry in the visible, Hartkopf & McAlister (1984), while classifying ε Cephei as a spectroscopic binary (that companion would be much closer in), did not report the detection of an outer companion down to the detectivity limit of the technique used, which is ΔV ≃ 5, or a flux ratio of ~0.01. Note that for a late K/early M type, the V − K color is ~3.5 mag (Cox 2000). ε Cephei’s own V − K color should be only 0.7 mag (Cox 2000). Given our measured flux ratio of 0.020 ± 0.005 in the K$_0$ band, the contrast will be (3.5 − 0.7) ≃ 2.8 mag greater at V band, i.e., an overall flux ratio of ~0.0015. Thus, it is not at all surprising that optical measurements (speckle interferometry or spectra) would fail to show a double-lined binary, or that Hipparcos would fail to see the companion as a separate source.

5.3. Absence of Indirect Detections

A 0.5 $M_{\text{Sun}}$ companion on a circular 8.6 AU orbit would cause an ~70 mas reflex motion of ε Cephei over a period of ~17 yr. Such a large astrometric signature would have been easily detected by Hipparcos, which observed ε Cephei more than 100 times over its 3.5 yr mission lifetime with a typical accuracy of 0.5 mas per observation (Perryman et al. 1997). ε Cephei is however classified as a single star in the Hipparcos catalog. This either suggests that our detected object is not physically associated, which seems unlikely based on a statistical argument (see Section 4.2), or that the orbital semi-major axis is actually much larger than the apparent separation of ~8.6 AU, resulting in a much larger period than the Hipparcos mission duration.

The first possibility is that the companion is on a significantly eccentric orbit. While no proper motion variation was observed between short-term Hipparcos and long-term Tycho observations, some proper motion acceleration was detected by Hipparcos around ε Cephei (Makarov & Kaplan 2005). According to the same authors, such behavior not only suggests that ε Cephei is an astrometric binary, but also that the system orbital period should be typically less than ~6 yr, unless there is significant eccentricity in the system. Following this interpretation, our measured minimum orbital period of ~17 yr supports a substantial eccentricity. Additionally, the system may be seen under a high inclination, resulting in a strongly elliptical apparent orbit whatever its true eccentricity. Evidence for such a significant departure from pole-on inclination comes from the observed $v \sin i$ of 91 km s$^{-1}$ (Royer et al. 2007), which is to be compared with a break-up velocity around 400 km s$^{-1}$ for a subgiant star like ε Cephei.

The candidate companion did not leave any detected radial velocity (RV) signature either. Indeed, Kenneally et al. (1999) report a very rich spectrum of pulsating modes and beats for ε Cephei, consistent with a δ Scuti (see also Gray 1971). The stellar pulsations induce RV signatures at the ~10 km s$^{-1}$ level, making it very difficult to detect faint companions using RV measurements. Indeed, assuming a semi-major axis $a$ ≃ 8.6 AU, $M_1 = 1.8 M_{\text{Sun}}$, $M_2 = 0.5 M_{\text{Sun}}$, we get an RV amplitude of ~3.9 sin i km s$^{-1}$, with a period of ~17 yr.

6. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

This Letter reports the detection of a candidate dim companion to ε Cephei, a δ Scuti star. This is the first image of a candidate companion, seen at 330 ± 50 mas separation, only 1.1 resolution elements from the central host star (~8.6 AU). If physically associated, the companion has a mass of ~0.5 $M_{\text{Sun}}$, and a temperature of 3650 K, likely placing it at the transition between late-type K stars and early M stars. The interferometrically detected near-infrared excess is likely due to the companion, and not hot dust, while the previously reported IRAS 60 μm excess was due to source confusion. Finally, the most plausible reason why this candidate companion remained hidden so far is that Hipparcos failed to detect it because of its long period, highly eccentric orbit, while the stellar pulsations of the δ Scuti primary precluded an RV detection.

This detection was made possible by state-of-the-art high contrast imaging techniques (wavefront control and vortex coronagraphy) envisioned for upcoming next-generation ground-based extreme AO systems and future space-based coronagraphs. We have demonstrated a 5σ detection capability of the order of ~2 × 10$^{-3}$ at ~1λ/D, which is sufficient to directly image secondary stars and brown dwarfs to an order of magnitude fainter than speckle interferometry. At 2λ/D, we routinely reach 10$^{-4}$ contrast levels, and with careful calibration ~10$^{-5}$ (Mawet et al. 2010b; Serabyn et al. 2010), two to three orders of magnitude better than current interferometric techniques. Extreme AO coupled to vector vortex coronagraphy has thus great potential for the characterization of spectroscopic binary and brown dwarf orbits, especially with a larger telescope. It can also dramatically improve imaging capabilities even with rather small telescopes. Such techniques thus open the door to the direct imaging of spectroscopic binary companions, as well as brown dwarfs and exoplanets.

This work was carried out at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) site of Vitacura (Santiago, Chile), and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), California Institute of Technology (Caltech), under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The data presented in this Letter are based on observations obtained at the Hale Telescope, Palomar Observatory, as part of a continuing collaboration between Caltech, NASA/JPL, and Cornell University. This work is also based (in part) on observations made with the Spitzer Space Telescope, which is operated by the JPL, Caltech, under a contract with NASA. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC/NExScI Star and Exoplanet Database, which is operated by the JPL, Caltech, under contract with NASA, and NASA’s Astrophysics Data System and of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS (Strasbourg, France).
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