Excessive exosome release is the pathogenic pathway linking a lysosomal deficiency to generalized fibrosis
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Lysosemal exocytosis is a ubiquitous process negatively regulated by neuraminidase 1 (NEU1), a sialidase mutated in the glycoprotein storage disease sialidosis. In Neu1−/− mice, excessive lysosomal exocytosis is at the basis of disease pathogenesis. Yet, the tissue-specific molecular consequences of this deregulated pathway are still unfolding. We now report that in muscle connective tissue, Neu1−/− fibroblasts have features of myofibroblasts and are proliferative, migratory, and produce large amounts of exosomes. These nanocarriers loaded with activated transforming growth factor–β and wingless-related integration site (WNT)/β-catenin signaling molecules propagate fibrotic signals to other cells, maintaining the tissue in a prolonged transitional status. Myofibroblast-derived exosomes feed to normal fibroblasts or myofibroblasts, changing the recipient cells’ proliferative and migratory properties. These findings reveal an unexpected exosome-mediated signaling pathway downstream of NEU1 deficiency that propagates a fibrotic disease and could be implicated in idiopathic forms of fibrosis in humans.

INTRODUCTION

Mammalian tissues and organs preserve their structural and functional homeostasis by means of their supportive connective tissue, a three-dimensional network of cells and extracellular matrix (ECM) with different topological characteristics depending on the organ/tissue in which it is embedded (1). Connective tissue resident fibroblasts are the primary cells that produce and secrete the interstitial ECM, which defines the extent of tissue stiffness and architecture (1, 2). Deposition and remodeling of the ECM occur physiologically during development and organ differentiation and, in the adult, during tissue regeneration or repair and wound healing following injury and inflammation (1). In the case of chronic forms of tissue damage, increased production and deposition of the ECM result in a fibrotic disease that ultimately leads to organ failure (1, 3). Activated fibroblasts or myofibroblasts are primarily responsible for the development and amplification of the fibrotic process (3, 4). Myofibroblasts acquire a spindle-shape or stellate morphology, are contractile and motile, express α-smooth muscle actin (αSMA), and secrete excess amounts of ECM components (3, 4). These cells mostly originate from resident, quiescent, or resting fibroblasts in response to specific cues from the local microenvironment (3, 4), but they may also derive from other precursor cells, including resident mesenchymal progenitor cells, muscle satellite cells, and the recently found fibro/adipogenic progenitors (FAPs) (5).

Canonical effectors of fibrosis are the transforming growth factor–β (TGF-β) and wingless-related integration site (WNT) families of secreted ligands (6). TGF-β is the prototypical profibrotic cytokine, which can, by itself, stimulate the synthesis of ECM components by myofibroblasts and up-regulate the transcription of TGF-β target genes via nuclear accumulation of phosphorylated SMAD2/3 (pSMAD2/3) in complex with SMAD4 (6). The newly synthesized TGF-β precursor undergoes dimerization, glycosylation, and proteolytic cleavage, giving rise to a dimeric form that comprises the inactive mature cytokine bound to the latency-associated peptide (LAP) (6). En route to the extracellular space, this small TGF-β1 complex associate with the latent TGF-β–binding protein, which is then secreted and further processed extracellularly, releasing the active cytokine (6). WNTs are a class of 19 secreted, lipid-modified glycoproteins that mediate several intracellular signaling processes, acting as ligands for target membrane receptors and binding to other secreted proteins or proteoglycans (7). Intracellular WNT signaling is generally categorized into canonical, which signals through β-catenin, and noncanonical, which is β-catenin independent (8). In the canonical pathway, WNT binding to Frizzled (FZD) and its co-receptor low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6 (LRP5/6) triggers the stabilization and nuclear translocation of cytosolic β-catenin, which, in association with T cell factor/lymphoid enhancer-binding factor (TCF/LEF), induces the transcription of genes involved in proliferation and apoptosis (9). Noncanonical WNT signaling comprises the WNT/Ca2+ and WNT/planar cell polarity pathways that regulate cell polarity, cell movement, and cytoskeletal rearrangement, through WNT binding to FZD and the co-receptors, ROR1, ROR2, and RYK (8, 9). Both TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways, independently or cooperatively, can foster changes in the cells’ expression profile and up-regulate basic epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)–inducing transcription factors, including Snai1, Snai2, Zeb1, Zeb2, Twist, and TCF/LEF1 (10). The ensuing loss of cell-cell contact and cell polarity, coupled to reorganization of the cytoskeletal network, allows cells to attain stem cell-like features, to secrete excessive ECM components (i.e., collagens and fibronecin), and to become motile, invasive, and resistant to apoptosis and senescence (10).

Remodeling/degradation of the ECM is controlled by the balanced processes of ECM proteins’ deposition and degradation during wound healing or tissue repair (11). A key role in ECM remodeling is played by exosomes, dynamic nanovesicles that are secreted by virtually all...
cells and are found in all biological fluids (12). With their heterogeneous contents, exosomes have emerged as central mediators of cell-cell communication; they can serve as delivery carriers for the exchange between cells of soluble proteins, microRNAs, and mRNAs but can also initiate signaling pathways in the receiving cells, thereby changing their biological properties (12). Thus, exosomes can act as a double-edged sword, being important modulators of normal tissue physiology and are also able to trigger and propagate pathological stimuli (12).

Processing/degradation of the ECM requires the action not only of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) but also of lysosomal cathepsins (11). The discharge of active lysosomal enzymes extracellularly occurs via lysosomal exocytosis, which is negatively regulated by the lysosomal sialidase neuraminidase 1 (NEU1) (13, 14). During this calcium-dependent process, a pool of lysosomes are recruited along the cytoskeleton for transport to and docking at the plasma membrane (PM), before their fusion with the PM and the release of their contents (13, 14). In NEU1-deficient cells, an increased number of lysosomes dock at and fuse with the PM, which results in excessive lysosomal exocytosis (14). This altered process is the basis of pathogenesis in the Neu1−/− mouse (14–18), a faithful model of the lysosomal glycoprotein storage disease sialidosis (19, 20). Patients with sialidosis present with a spectrum of disease severity and are usually classified on the basis of the age of onset of their symptoms as type I, the mild form with onset in the second decade of life and usually classified on the basis of the age of onset of their symptoms (20), or type II, the severe, early-onset form associated with poor prognosis (19, 21). As patients with this disease, Neu1−/− mice develop severe muscle atrophy, which is linked to relentless expansion of the muscle connective tissue, associated with increased cellularity, massive deposition of ECM, and activation of MMPs, in the absence of an overt inflammatory response (18). Expanded connective tissue ultimately invades the muscle bed, leading to muscle fiber fragmentation and degeneration (18). Although the pathologic sequel of this myopathy is obvious, the question remains as to how NEU1 deficiency provokes the connective tissue disease and which are the molecular effectors.

Here, we address this question mechanistically by investigating the role of exosomes exocytosed by Neu1−/− muscle connective tissue fibroblasts in the fibrotic process. We found that Neu1−/− fibroblasts are activated into myofibroblasts by a self-perpetuating mechanism driven by exosomes that keep these cells in a constant status of transdifferentiation reminiscent of tumor cells. Exosomes exocytosed in excess by NEU1-deficient cells and loaded with profibrotic signaling molecules are capable of inducing fibrotic features in normal fibroblasts. This exosome-mediated pathogenic pathway downstream of NEU1 deficiency has never been described in a pediatric lysosomal storage disease. On the basis of our findings, deregulated levels of this enzyme should be sought as a contributing factor in some of the numerous idiopathic forms of fibrosis in humans.

RESULTS
Neu1−/− fibroblasts have features of myofibroblasts
In the Neu1−/− skeletal muscle, hypertrophy of the connective tissue and increased number of cells are aspects of advanced fibrosis, as evidenced by the massive deposition of collagen detected by Masson’s trichrome staining of muscle sections (Fig. 1A). To identify the molecular pathway(s) underlying the hypertrophic connective tissue, we first examined the cell population(s) responsible for the increased cellularity. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of cells isolated from adult skeletal muscle was performed using αSMA, a smooth muscle marker whose expression is induced in myofibroblasts, and T cell–specific transcription factor 4 (TCF4/TCF7L2), a marker of muscle connective tissue fibroblasts expressed during development and regeneration (22). This analysis showed that 80 to 95% of the cells were TGF-β1 (1B) and there was a significantly higher percentage of TCF4/αSMA double-positive cells in the Neu1−/− muscle compared to wild type (WT; Fig. 1C). In both samples, we identified only a very small percentage of myogenic progenitors (MPs) and FAPs (Fig. 1, D and E). Note that the Neu1−/− connective tissue from 4.5-month-old mice contained a significantly lower number of MPs compared to WT (Fig. 1D), a finding that conformed with the delayed muscle regeneration seen in Neu1−/− mice (18). We further demonstrated that Neu1−/− myofibroblasts were significantly more proliferative than WT cells (Fig. 1F), albeit they retained the ability to migrate/invade both a synthetic ECM substrate (Matrigel) and peritoneal membranes derived from WT and Neu1−/− mice (Fig. 1, G to J). The fact that Neu1−/− myofibroblasts invaded the WT peritoneum more proficiently than WT cells demonstrated their cell-autonomous ability to migrate through a basement membrane. However, the Neu1−/− peritoneum was more receptive to invasion by either cell types than the WT peritoneum (Fig. 1I), denoting that remodeling/degradation of the ECM already occurred in the Neu1−/− peritoneum, rendering it more susceptible to invasion. Thus, loss of Neu1 induces changes in the makeup of Neu1−/− muscle connective tissue fibroblasts that promote their activation and transdifferentiation into myofibroblasts, which represent the predominant cell population that drives the fibrotic process.

Neu1−/− skeletal muscle fibrosis is driven by TGF-β signaling and is corrected by adeno-associated virus–mediated gene therapy
Given the ability of myofibroblasts to secrete profibrotic cytokines, we first assessed whether components of the TGF-β signaling pathway were deregulated in Neu1−/− muscle connective tissue. We found that the levels of TGF-β protein and its downstream target Smad2 and activated pSmad2 were markedly increased in the affected connective tissue (Fig. 2, A to D, and fig. S1, A to C). Furthermore, other canonical fibrotic markers, i.e., E-cadherin, vimentin, collagens I and IV, β-catenin, αSMA, and TCF4, were also significantly higher in the Neu1−/− connective tissue compared to WT (Fig. 2, E and F, and fig. S1, D to M). We also showed that cells expressing TCF4 in the affected areas were also positive for TGF-β1 (Fig. 2G). Particularly relevant was the observation that proteins such as peristin and osteopontin, both known to play a role in tissue regeneration/repair, inflammation, muscle dystrophies, and fibrosis (23, 24), were exclusively detected in the affected connective tissue (fig. S2, A to E). We confirmed these results in cultured Neu1−/− myofibroblasts (Fig. 2, H and I, and fig. S3, A to L), indicating that these cells are the primary source of the profibrotic signals responsible for the induction and progression of the fibrotic disease leading to muscle atrophy.

The unusual myopathy in the Neu1−/− mice could be largely corrected by a single intravenous injection of two recombinant scAAV2/8 (self-complementary adeno-associated virus 2/8) vectors expressing human NEU1 (fig. S3M) and its chaperone protective protein/cathepsin A (PPCA) (fig. S3M). Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) of several fibrotic markers including Tgfb (Fig. 2J) and Masson’s trichrome staining of muscle sections (Fig. 2K)
showed clear reversal of the muscle connective tissue phenotype, confirming NEU1 deficiency as the primary insult.

**Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts maintain a transitional, partially differentiated state**

The capacity of Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts to be simultaneously proliferative and migratory and their increased levels of profibrotic and ECM markers were indicative of their partial differentiation and transitional status. To prove this hypothesis, we probed an EMT PCR array, including profibrotic inducers and ECM genes, with total RNA from WT and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> muscle tissues (fig. S4, A and B). The array’s results confirmed the increased expression of many ECM components (i.e., collagens, fibronectin, vimentin, and osteopontin) and ECM-degrading enzymes and their inhibitors (i.e., MMPs and tissue inhibitor of MMPs) in the Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> muscle RNA. In addition, beside the up-regulation of TGF-β signaling molecules, we observed significant up-regulation of members of both the canonical and noncanonical WNT signaling pathways (fig. S4, A and B). RT-qPCR (Fig. 3, A to C) in total muscle RNA from WT and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> mice of different ages (1 to 5 months) validated the results of the arrays. We found that members of the TGF-β superfamily (Tgfb1, Tgfb2, and Tgfb3), particularly Tgfb1 and its target Smad2, increased progressively in Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> muscle, as the animals aged (Fig. 3A and fig. S4C). This was accompanied by the up-regulated expression of canonical
Fig. 2. TGF-β1 signaling drives fibrosis in Neu1−/− muscle, which is rescued by AAV-mediated gene therapy. (A to C) Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of WT and Neu1−/− gastrocnemius (GA) muscle sections performed with anti–TGF-β1 (A), anti-SMAD2 (B), and anti-pSMAD2 (C) antibodies. Scale bars, 25 μm. (D) Immunoblot analysis of WT and Neu1−/− total GA muscle lysates probed with anti–TGF-β1, anti-SMAD2, and anti-pSMAD2 antibodies. (E and F) Transverse sections of the WT and Neu1−/− muscles were costained with anti-vimentin (green) and anti–collagen IV (red) (E) and anti-TCF4/TCF7 (red) and anti-α-SMA (green) (F) antibodies. (G) Transverse sections of the WT and Neu1−/− muscles were costained with anti-TCF4 (green) and anti-TGF-β1 (red) antibodies. (H) Immunoblot analysis of WT and Neu1−/− (myo)fibroblast lysates probed with anti–TGF-β1, anti-SMAD2, and anti-pSMAD2 antibodies. (I) Immunoblots of WT and Neu1−/− (myo)fibroblasts were probed with anti-vimentin, anti–collagen IV, anti-α-SMA, and anti-TCF4 antibodies. (J) RT-qPCR of the indicated mRNAs in WT, Neu1−/−, and Neu1−/−-AAV muscles (n = 7). mRNA levels are normalized by 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and relative to WT control. (K) Coronal gastrocnemius skeletal muscle sections of WT, Neu1−/−, and Neu1−/−-AAV (scAAV2/8-CMV-huNEU and scAAV2/8-CMV-huPPCA) treated mice stained with Masson’s trichrome, showing complete rescue of the muscle fibrosis. Scale bars, 200 μm. Values are expressed as means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student t test; ****P < 0.0001.
EMT-inducing transcription factors, i.e., Snai1, Snai2, and Twist (Fig. 3A), suggesting a hybrid epithelial/mesenchymal status in the Neu1−/− muscle connective tissue, closely resembling the EMT/mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) process characteristic of highly aggressive sarcomas (25). Concomitantly, components of the WNT signaling pathway, i.e., β-catenin (Ctnnb1), glycogen synthase kinase 3β (Gsk3b), Lrp5 and Lrp6, Fzd1, and calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor 1 (Camk2n1) were significantly increased, while secreted frizzled-related protein 4 (Sfrp4), which inhibits the binding of WNTs to their receptors, was down-regulated (Fig. 3, A to C, and fig. S4C). Last, there was a time-dependent activation of mesenchymal markers, such as N-cadherin (Cdh2), periosin (Postn), osteopontin (Spp1), and vimentin (Vim), and a corresponding gradual down-regulation of E-cadherin (Cdh1) in the muscle of aging Neu1−/− mice (Fig. 3, B and C). As seen in muscle tissue, we also observed an altered mRNA expression of canonical EMT inducers in muscle-derived Neu1−/− myofibroblasts (Fig. 3D and fig. S4D). Collectively, these results suggest that in the Neu1−/− muscle connective tissue, WNT and TGF-β pathways cooperate in eliciting the fibrotic process that is driven and propagated by myofibroblasts, retaining a partially differentiated, transitional status.

**Fig. 3. Neu1−/− muscle and myofibroblasts up-regulate canonical markers of EMT.** (A to D) RT-qPCR of the indicated mRNAs in Neu1−/− muscle from 1-, 3.5-, and 5-month-old mice (n ≥ 3) (A to C) and in Neu1−/− myofibroblasts (n ≥ 3) (D). Levels of normalized mRNA expression (by 18S rRNA) were relative to WT control. Values are expressed as means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student t test; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.
Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes propagate profibrotic signals from cell to cell

We have reported earlier that the loss of NEU1 activity results in exacerbated lysosomal exocytosis of hydrolytic enzymes by various mouse cells, fibroblasts from patients with sialidosis, and human tumor cell lines (14–16, 18). We therefore asked whether additional endolysosomal components were abnormally expelled by Neu1-deficient cells, focusing on exosomes. Purified exosomes from the medium of Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts preserved the characteristic cup shape and size (fig. S5A), but their yield was about 20% higher than that of WT exosomes (fig. S5, B and C). To further validate their identity, we ran exosomes on sucrose density gradients and individual fractions analyzed on immunoblots probed with antibodies for selected exosomal markers, Alix, CD9, and CD81 (fig. S5D). The fractionation pattern of these markers showed that both Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> and WT exosomes sedimented in fractions 3 to 6 (1.10 to 1.14 g/ml) (26) of the gradients but marker levels were considerably higher in the Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> than in the WT samples (fig. S5D).

Comparative high-throughput proteomic analyses of Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> and WT exosomal fractions unequivocally demonstrated a robust increase in the Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> samples of proteins belonging to the TGF-β and both canonical and noncanonical WNT signaling pathways (table S2). Notwithstanding, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes also contained high levels of glycipans (table S2), known to be required for efficient TGF-β1 signaling (27), and, for scaffolding and regulating, lipid-modified WNTs (7). The proteomic results were further validated by immunoblot analyses. Exosomal markers were increased in the Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> preparations, confirming the higher yield of exosomes purified from Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>-conditioned medium compared to WT medium (Fig. 4A and fig. S5, E to K). Several members of the WNT pathways, including β-catenin, GSK3β, and LRPP, and both WNT3a and WNT5a/b, were increased in amounts in Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes (Fig. 4B and fig. S6, A to E and H). Concomitantly, we detected high levels of the processed TGF-β1 monomer (~12 kDa) and dimer (~25 kDa) in exosomal lysates resolved on SDS gels under reducing and non-reducing conditions, respectively (Fig. 4C and fig. S6, F and H). The marked difference in the amount of TGF-β1 between Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> and WT exosomal preparations was even more obvious when blots were probed with a LAP-specific antibody that detected a 37.5-kDa protein under reducing conditions and a large complex of >250 kDa under nonreducing conditions (Fig. 4D and fig. S6, G and H). Unexpectedly, the pattern of expression of the TGF-β1 forms in Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes closely paralleled that identified in extracellular nanovesicles isolated from cancer cells (28).

To further probe into this parallel, we tested the localization of TGF-β1 in Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> and WT exosomal samples by subjecting the purified preparations to a mild proteinase K treatment, which removed the outer membrane proteins leaving the vesicles intact. We found that upon this treatment, both TGF-β1 monomer and its LAP were gradually stripped off the exosomes’ outer membrane layer, while β-catenin, encased in the exosomal lumen, remained unaltered (fig. 4E and fig. S6, I to M). We also demonstrated that WNT5a/b had a topological distribution similar to TGF-β1 because it was associated with the outer site of the exosomal membranes (Fig. 4E and fig. S6, I and M). These findings imply that NEU1 deficiency promotes excessive release of exosomes loaded with TGF-β and WNTs on their outer membranes. This topological configuration, so far only described in cancer cell–derived exosomes, should allow for the rapid propagation of fibrotic signals from cell to cell.

We tested this hypothesis using exosomes purified from the medium of WT fibroblasts or Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts to condition the culture media of human and murine control cells. We found that Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes were able to induce a higher proliferation rate in murine and human control fibroblasts than WT exosomes (Fig. 5A and fig. S7A). Exosomes isolated from the culture medium of sialidosis–derived fibroblasts also induced increased proliferation rate of human and murine control fibroblasts (Fig. 5B and fig. S7B), suggesting that this exosome-mediated mechanism is pathognomonic of sialidosis in patients. We further demonstrated that WT fibroblasts cocultured with Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes had increased capacity to migrate through a synthetic ECM substrate compared to those cocultured with WT exosomes (Fig. 5C). Thus, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes are efficient carriers of signaling molecules that control both proliferation and migration/invasion.

Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes convert normal fibroblasts into myofibroblasts

To further investigate the functional characteristics of Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes, we tested whether they could alter the gene expression profile of profibrotic markers (table S1) in murine and human control fibroblasts. We cocultured these recipient cells with exosomes purified from the media of control fibroblasts, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts, and sialidosis fibroblasts. Notably, we obtained a significant up-regulation of the genes encoding members of the TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways when normal murine or human recipient fibroblasts were cocultured with Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes or sialidosis–derived exosomes (Fig. 5, D to L, and fig. S7, C and D). This effect was not seen when WT cells were cocultured with exosomes isolated from Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> fibroblast transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing human NEU1 (Fig. 5, D to L), suggesting that reconstituted NEU1 activity in the affected tissue corrected the release of profibrotic exosomes responsible for myofibroblast transdifferentiation. Given the established role of lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) in the docking of lysosomes to the PM before engaging in lysosomal exocytosis, we chose to isolate exosomes from Neu1/Lamp1 double-knockout cells. The latter cells showed reduced release of exosomes compared to the Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts (Fig. 5, D to L), confirming that Lamp1 ablation inhibited lysosomal exocytosis (14, 16). These exosomal preparations fed to WT fibroblasts did not elicit their activation into myofibroblasts to the extent of the Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes (Fig. 5, D to L). These results prove that NEU1–regulated lysosomal exocytosis is pivotal for the extent of exosomal release and possibly for modulating their cargo. This set of observations, never described in a noncancer setting, identifies exosomes exocytosed in excess by NEU1-deficient cells as strong inducers of a myofibroblast program characteristic of tumor–derived exosomes.

NEU1 is down-regulated in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

We next wondered whether Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> mice might represent a naturally occurring model of generalized fibrosis. We therefore performed Mason’s trichrome staining in other tissues/organisms of the mutant mice, particularly those that are common targets of human fibrosis, such as the lung, liver, and kidney (29). The widespread nature of the connective tissue abnormalities was evident in other Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> tissues (fig. S8, A and B). Focusing on the lung fibrosis, frequently occurring in the human population and often of unknown etiology, we compared the levels of NEU1 mRNA expression in fibroblasts isolated from idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) explants (n = 14)}
Fig. 4. Neu1−/− exosomes contain increased levels of components of the TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways. (A) Exosomes exocytosed by Neu1−/− myofibroblasts were verified on immunoblots probed with antibodies against established exosomal markers [Alix, CD81, CD9, flotilllin-1 (Flot1), Syndecan-1 (SDC1), and syntenin 1 (SDCBP1)]. (B) Immunoblot analyses of WT and Neu1−/− exosomes performed with antibodies against canonical (β-catenin, GSK3β, LRP5, and WNT3a) and noncanonical (WNT5a/b) WNT signaling. (C and D) Immunoblots of WT and Neu1−/− exosomes probed with anti–TGF-β1 (C) and LAP (D) antibodies. (E) Exosomes treated with proteinase K were analyzed on immunoblots probed with anti–TGF-β1, anti-LAP, anti-WNT5a/b, and anti-β-catenin antibodies.
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Fig. 5. NEU1-deficient exosomes convert fibroblasts into myofibroblasts. (A and B) Cell proliferation was measured in murine (A) WT fibroblasts (WT Fs) cocultured with murine WT or Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>-derived exosomes (exo) (n = 3) and in human (B) skin fibroblasts (Hu-Fs) cocultured with exosomes isolated from cultured medium of patients with type I or II sialidosis (n = 3). (C) Invasive/migratory capacity of murine WT fibroblasts after coculturing them with WT or Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes (n = 8). (D to K) Increased mRNA expression of markers of the TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways was detected in WT murine fibroblasts (WT) cocultured with Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes but not with exosomes isolated from pCL20c-NEU1–transduced cells (Neu1−/−/NEU1) or from Neu1−/−/Lamp1−/− cells (dKO) (n ≥ 5). (L) Increased mRNA expression of markers of the TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways in human skin fibroblasts cocultured with human control (cHu), sialidosis type I, or sialidosis type II exosomes (n = 3). Normalized (by 18S rRNA or HPRT1) mRNA expression relative to phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) control. Values are expressed as average ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student t test; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.
and WT controls (n = 13). Patients’ fibroblasts showed a significantly lower NEU1 expression (Fig. 6A). This was confirmed by immunofluorescence (IF) staining of NEU1 in a representative cohort (n = 4) of fibroblasts of patients with IPF (Fig. 6B and fig. S8, C and D). To draw a parallel with the muscle-derived Neu1−/− myofibroblasts, we also demonstrated enhanced expression of a set of EMT inducers.

Fig. 6. Down-regulation of NEU1 correlates with activation of profibrotic signals in IPF fibroblasts. (A) RT-qPCR of NEU1 mRNA in control (n = 13) and IPF (n = 14) patients’ fibroblasts. (B) Representative IF images of control (NL) and IPF fibroblasts labeled with anti-NEU1 antibody. Scale bar, 100 μm. (C) RT-qPCR of Postn, Ctnnb1, Tgfb1, and Smad2 mRNAs in mouse WT and Neu1−/− lung (myo)fibroblasts (n = 5). (D) RT-qPCR of POSTN, CTNNB1, TGFB1, and SMAD2 mRNAs in human control and IPF lung fibroblasts (n = 12). Levels of mRNA expression were normalized by 18S rRNA or GAPDH. Values are expressed as means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student t test; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (E) Proposed model of fibrosis induced by NEU1 deficiency. (1) Schematic representation of Neu1−/− myofibroblasts that have exacerbated lysosomal exocytosis of soluble lysosomal enzymes and exosomes. (2) Magnification of a Neu1−/− exosome containing, among others, increased amounts of activated components of the TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways, responsible for propagating fibrotic signals to neighboring or distant cells. (3) Consequences of excessive lysosomal exocytosis of lysosomal hydrolases and exosomes by Neu1−/− myofibroblasts that result in massive ECM degradation/remodeling and full-blown fibrosis leading to myofiber degeneration.
Neu1−/− fibrotic disease. down-regulation as potential risk factor for the development of a tissue. Collectively, these observations point to Neu1 deficiency/down-regulation as potential risk factor for the development of a fibrotic disease.

DISCUSSION

Fibrosis is defined as the formation of fibrous connective tissue in damaged or injured organs that occurs during normal wound healing or in response to a wide range of pathological insults, including inflammation, infections, or cancer (30). However, many fibrotic conditions in humans are still of unknown etiology (30). A general feature of fibrosis is the activation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts, cells with mesenchymal characteristics that play a central role in the secretion/remodeling of the ECM, altering the stiffness and composition of the tissue in an autocrine and/or paracrine way (3, 31). Dissecting the molecular mechanisms that initiate and sustain the differentiation and transformation of resident cells into proliferating and ECM-producing myofibroblasts is vital for tackling idiopathic forms of fibrosis mechanistically and therapeutically.

Here, we have made the notable and unexpected discovery that deficiency of the sialic acid processing enzyme Neu1, linked to the rare pediatric lysosomal disease sialidosis, triggers the relentless expansion of the connective tissue, leading to a full-blown fibrosis (Fig. 6E). We also demonstrate down-regulation of Neu1 in a cohort of human IPF fibroblasts, suggesting a role for Neu1 deficiency/down-regulation in causing or hastening the course of a fibrotic disease in the adult human population. Although seemingly in contrast with previously published literature (32, 33), our findings are in full agreement with the results of RNA sequencing, covering 66 genes encompassing the human leukocyte antigen chromosomal region, performed in lung tissue of 87 patients with IPF (34). In this dataset, Neu1 ranked as one of the top down-regulated genes.

Mechanistically, we show that the pathogenic process leading to the fibrotic disease in the sialidosis mice and likely in the corresponding human disease is initiated and perpetuated by the relentless, excessive lysosomal exocytosis of Neu1-deficient myofibroblasts. These cells release a high load of harmful lysosomal hydrolases (i.e., cathepsins) that degrade the ECM (35) and a high yield of exosomes, laden with TGF-β and WNT signaling molecules that fuel the fibrotic process. We demonstrate that in the absence of Neu1, cells of the skeletal muscle connective tissue have all features of myofibroblasts and maintain a status of transdifferentiation resembling an EMT/MET process, also known as “metastable” phenotype, which was shown to occur in the aggressive forms of sarcoma (36). Thus, Neu1 deficiency/down-regulation may create the appropriate setting to study cells trapped in this intermediate state, which have been notoriously difficult to characterize.

The mechanisms that generate myofibroblasts are not fully understood. Multiple lineage tracing and genetic studies have identified several precursor cells of myofibroblasts, including MPs and FAPs (4). The latter were shown to differentiate into ectopic adipocytes and/or fibroblasts and, in turn, become myofibroblasts in the skeletal muscle (37). However, both MPs and FAPs are not the source of myofibroblasts in our model, but rather, they originate from self-activating resident fibroblasts, which acquire the capacity to propagate fibrotic signals to neighboring cells via excessive exocytosis of exosomes. This increased number of released exosomes downstream of Neu1 deficiency could be explained by either preferential docking of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) at the PM poised to exocytose their contents or by constant fusion of already PM-docked lysosomes with MVBs, immediately preceding lysosomal exocytosis. In addition, it is conceivable that exacerbation of lysosomal exocytosis and consequent redistribution of lysosomal membrane components at the PM creates “exocytic” membrane microdomains that may further favor the docking and fusion of endolysosomes at the PM. These pathogenic sequelae have never been observed in a noncancer setting and are the consequence of Neu1’s control over the pool of endolysosomes that relocate to the PM.

Although exosomes have been implicated in cancer progression (38), their involvement in eliciting a fibrotic condition in a pediatric lysosomal disease was unknown until now. We present evidence for the first time that exosomes from Neu1-deficient myofibroblasts can change the phenotype of normal cells, educating them to acquire myofibroblast characteristics. This pernicious circle is initiated and maintained by the concomitant induction of TGF-β and both canonical and noncanonical WNT signaling pathways (10, 36). Cross-talk between these two pathways has been confirmed in animal models of fibrosis (39). These authors showed that canonical WNT signaling is required for TGF-β-mediated fibrosis because transgenic overexpression of a WNT inhibitor dampens the activity of TGF-β (39). On the other hand, the induction of TGF-β results in the stabilization of the cytosolic β-catenin and the activation of its downstream target genes (40). We hypothesize that coactivation of these pathways in Neu1-deficient myofibroblasts favors the establishment and maintenance of an intermediate/metastable EMT-like program and the development of the fibrotic process.

As previously described in exosomes isolated from cancer cells (28), we found that both TGF-β in its latent form and noncanonical WNT5a/b ligands are associated with the outer membrane site of Neu1−/− exosomes, probably bound to heparan sulfate proteoglycans, such as glypicans. The latter, known to be required for efficient TGF-β and WNT signaling (41, 42), are also strongly up-regulated in Neu1−/− exosomal preparations (table S2). The topological configuration of these TGF-β and WNT ligands may support maximal activation of their respective signaling cascades. On the basis of these results, we can infer that exosomes from Neu1-deficient myofibroblasts direct cells to obtain characteristics of a precancerous state. Consistent with this hypothesis is the finding that Neu1 haploinsufficiency in a tumor-prone mouse model is sufficient to foster the development of invasive/metastatic, pleomorphic sarcomas, baring both epithelial and mesenchymal features (16).

The simultaneous up-regulation of WNT3a and WNT5a/b in exosomes reconciles the proliferative and migratory characteristics of Neu1−/− myofibroblasts and explains the acquisition of these same features by control fibroblasts cocultured with Neu1−/− exosomes. It has been demonstrated that up-regulation of noncanonical WNT5a synergizes with the canonical pathway during the EMT process (43). However, up-regulation of WNT5a has also been linked to the occurrence of human IPF by inducing proliferation independently of the canonical WNT/β-catenin pathway (44).

In conclusion, we provide evidence that Neu1 functions as a modulator of fibrosis in the skeletal muscle and other tissues of the
Neu1−/− mice, a feature that not only justifies characteristic symptoms of sialidosis in patients but also is applicable to other common conditions, such as idiopathic fibrosis and cancer. Last, because NEU1 levels are decreased in some forms of IPF, NEU1 down-regulation may represent a risk factor for the initiation and/or progression of the fibrotic disease. It is tantalizing to hypothesize that NEU1 expression levels may be of prognostic value for human forms of fibrosis for which there is currently no treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design

The main goal of this study was to dissect the molecular mechanism(s) downstream of NEU1 deficiency responsible for the generalized fibrosis characteristic of Neu1−/− mice, focusing on the muscle connective tissue. All biochemical experiments described in this manuscript were repeated at least three times, including immunohistochemical (IHC) analyses, FACS, proliferation assays, invasion/migration assays, IF analyses, immunoblots, and RT-qPCR.

In a previous study, we reported the observation that Neu1−/− mice develop a progressive expansion of the muscle connective tissue with massive deposition of ECM. Therefore, we first analyzed the cell population(s) responsible for this connective tissue expansion and determined by FACS analysis that 95% of the cells were myofibroblasts. We further demonstrated that Neu1−/− myofibroblasts maintained a semidifferentiated status, namely, they were not only highly proliferative but also invasive and migratory, and produced and secreted profibrotic signals, such as TGF-β, as determined by IHC, IF, and Western blot (WB) analyses. These features of the Neu1−/− muscle connective tissue could be reverted completely by intravenous injection of recombinant AAV vectors expressing NEU1 and its auxiliary protein PPCA.

The aforementioned characteristics of the Neu1−/− myofibroblasts resembled those observed in aggressive sarcoma and known as metastable/intermediate EMT/MET (25, 36). We tested this hypothesis by probing an EMT-PCR array containing ECM and profibrotic molecules, followed by validation of the data with RT-qPCRs. The results of these experiments confirmed up-regulation of the TGF-β signaling pathway and revealed the concomitant activation of several components of canonical and noncanonical WNT signaling pathways. To further define the mode of propagation and amplification of these profibrotic signals, we tested the components expelled extracellularly by Neu1−/− myofibroblasts, known to have exacerbated lysosomal exocytosis of active hydrolytic enzymes as consequence of Neu1 deficiency. We found that besides increased exocytosis of lysosomal cathepsins responsible for degrading the ECM, Neu1−/− myofibroblasts also exocytosed increased number of exosomes. Purified nanovesicles from the medium of Neu1−/− myofibroblasts were extensively characterized using electron microscopy (EM), comparative high-throughput proteomics, and immunoblot techniques. These analyses revealed that Neu1−/−-purified exosomes were loaded with molecules of the TGF-β and WNT signaling pathways. The increased levels of these molecules in Neu1−/− exosomes led us to investigate whether these nanovesicles were directly involved in the rapid propagation of fibrotic signals from cell to cell. We tested this hypothesis by coculturing murine and human WT fibroblasts with purified exosomes from murine WT fibroblasts and Neu1−/− myofibroblasts or from fibroblasts of patients with sialidosis. By performing proliferation, migration/invasion assays, and gene expression profiling on the recipient fibroblasts, we demonstrated that mouse- or patient-derived Neu1-deficient exosomes had the capacity to transform WT fibroblasts into myofibroblasts and thereby to propagate and expand the fibrotic signals from cell to cell. These findings establish a role for NEU1 in the regulation of exosome release and define the molecular network responsible for the initiation and maintenance of a fibrotic process.

Last, to directly address the potential involvement of NEU1 in human fibrosis, we first determined by Masson’s trichrome staining that the fibrotic disease seen in the muscle was a general phenotype of the Neu1−/− connective tissue in different organs. We then analyzed fibroblasts isolated from lungs of patients with IIP and compared their gene expression profiles with those of Neu1−/− lung fibroblasts using RT-qPCR and IF staining. Combined, our results point to Neu1−/− mice as a potentially suitable model of generalized fibrosis and suggest that NEU1 levels may hold prognostic value for human fibrosis.

Animals

WT and Neu1−/− mice age 1 to 5 months (FVB/NJ background) (20), and the Lampy r/mouse (45), a gift from Dr. Paul Saftig and was crossed with the Neu1−/− mouse, were included in this study. Animals were housed in a fully AAALAC (Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care)-accredited animal facility with controlled temperature (22°C), humidity, and lighting (alternating 12-hour light/dark cycles). Food and water were provided ad libitum. All procedures in mice were performed according to animal protocols approved by the St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Antibodies

We used the following commercial antibodies for IF, IHC, and WB analyses: anti-TGF-β (3709, Cell Signaling; IHC, 1:100; WB, 1:1000), anti-TGF-β1 (sc-130348, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; IF, 1:25), anti-Smad2 (5339, Cell Signaling; IHC, 1:50; WB, 1:1000), anti-pSmad2 (3108, Cell Signaling; IHC, 1:50; WB, 1:1000), anti-vimentin (20R-VP004, Fitzgerald; IF, 1:250; WB, 1:2000), anti-collagen IV (2150-1470, AbD Serotec; IF, 1:100; WB, 1:1000), anti-β-catenin (610154, BD Biosciences; IF, 1:25), anti-β-catenin (8480, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti-collagen Iα1 (NB600-408, Novus Biological; IF, 1:500; WB, 1:5000), E-cadherin (3199, Cell Signaling; IF, 1:100; WB, 1:1000), anti-αSMa (G6198 αSMa-CY3 or F3777 αSMa-FITC (fluorescein isothiocyanate), Sigma-Aldrich; IF, 1:100; WB, 1:1000), anti–TCF4-488 (05-511-AF488, MilliporeSigma; IF, 1:100), anti-TCF4/TCF7L2 (2569, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti-periostin (sc-67233, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; IF, 1:50; WB, 1:250), anti-osteopontin (AF808, R&D Systems; IHC, 1:25; WB, 1:250), anti-ALIX (generated in d’Azzo laboratory; WB, 1:300), anti-CD81 (sc-166029, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; WB, 1:250), anti-CD9 (553758, BD Biosciences; WB, 1:500), anti–flotillin-1 (610820, BD Biosciences; WB, 1:500), anti–syntenin-1 (AB1527, Thermo Fisher Scientific; WB, 1:500), anti-GSK3β (9315, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti-LRP5 (5731, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti–WNT5a (AF-246-NA, R&D Systems; WB, 1:500), and anti–TCF4-488 (05-511-AF488, MilliporeSigma; IF, 1:100), anti-TCF4/TCF7L2 (2569, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti-periostin (sc-67233, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; IF, 1:50; WB, 1:250), anti-osteopontin (AF808, R&D Systems; IHC, 1:25; WB, 1:250), anti-ALIX (generated in d’Azzo laboratory; WB, 1:300), anti-Cd81 (sc-166029, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; WB, 1:250), anti-CD9 (553758, BD Biosciences; WB, 1:500), anti–flotillin-1 (610820, BD Biosciences; WB, 1:500), anti–syntenin-1 (AB1527, Thermo Fisher Scientific; WB, 1:500), anti-GSK3β (9315, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti-LRP5 (5731, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti–WNT5a (sc-28472, Abcam; WB, 1:1000), anti-WNT5a/b (2530, Cell Signaling; WB, 1:1000), anti-human LAP (AF-246-NA, R&D Systems; WB, 1:500), and anti-human NEU1 (d’Azzo laboratory; IF, 1:50). CY3-, FITC-, 488-, and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratory (West Grove, PA); 488-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Molecular Probes.
Murine and human fibroblasts cultures
Skeletal muscles were collected from WT and Neu1−/− mice, digested with a mixture of collagenase P (5 mg/ml; Roche), dispase II (1.2 U/ml; Roche), and CaCl₂ (5 mM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 37°C for 45 min, and mixed every 10 min. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)–primary calf serum (PCS) complete medium [10% PCS (Fisherbrand), penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml) (Gibco), and 2 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco)] was added to the digested muscle, the mixture was filtered and spun down, and the cells seeded into 10-cm dishes and incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂/3% O₂. Fibroblasts were cultured to 100% confluency for passage P0 and to 80% for further passages. The skin of Neu1−/−/Lamp1−/− mice was naired and cleaned with ethanol, and a small square piece was collected in cold PBS. The piece was cut into 1-mm fragments and cultured in DMEM-PCS at 37°C/5% CO₂ to outgrow the fibroblasts. The cells were further expanded as described above.

Human skin fibroblasts from control individuals were obtained from Coriell Institutes; skin fibroblasts from patients with type I and type II sialidosis were available in our laboratory. The cells were cultured and maintained in DMEM–fetal bovine serum (FBS)–type II sialidosis were available in our laboratory. The cells were further expanded as described above.

CaCl₂ for 30 min at 37°C. Ice-cold PBS was added, and the mixture manually and incubated with collagenase II (Sigma-Aldrich) and instrument). Whole skeletal muscles were dissected from mice (age 46). The cells were cultured to 100% confluency before passing.

Human lung fibroblasts from control individuals and patients with IPF were obtained as previously described. The cells were cultured and maintained in DMEM-FBS complete medium containing sodium pyruvate at 37°C/5% CO₂. Cells were grown to 80 to 90% confluency before passing.

Masson’s trichrome staining
Masson’s trichrome staining was performed following the manufacturer’s protocol (Polysciences Inc.). The muscle tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Transverse sections of the muscle were cut (6 μm), deparaffinized, and fixed in Mordant in Bouin’s solution for 1 hour at 60°C. Sections were stained sequentially at room temperature with Weigert’s iron hematoxylin, Biebrich scarlet-acid fuchsin, phosphotungstic/phosphomolybdic acid, and anilin blue. Sections were washed, dehydrated, and mounted with a xylene-based mounting medium.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting
FACS analyses of skeletal muscle connective tissue cells were performed using markers specific for MPs, FAPs, and myofibroblasts on a flow cytometer instrument (4 BD Biosciences LSR/Fortessa instrument). Whole skeletal muscles were dissected from mice (age 2 to 5 months) and kept on ice. The muscles were then shredded manually and incubated with collagenase II (Sigma–Aldrich) and CaCl₂ for 30 min at 37°C. Ice-cold PBS was added, and the mixture was centrifuged at 1600 rpm for 5 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in a mixture of collagenase D (Roche), dispase II (Roche), and CaCl₂ and incubated at 37°C, rotating for 1 hour. After addition of ice-cold PBS, the mixture was filtered using a 40-μm cell strainer and centrifuged at 1600 rpm for 5 min at 8°C, and the pellet was resuspended in 1-ml ice-cold PBS and 2% FBS. FACS analyses of MPs and FAPs were performed using anti-CD31–FITC (553372, BD Biosciences), anti-CD45–FITC (550308, BD Biosciences), anti-Sc–PECY7 (25-5981-82, eBioscience), and anti–integrin-α7–APC (FAB3518A, R&D Systems) antibodies. The MP cell population was selected for CD45⁺, CD31⁺, Sca1⁺, and integrin-α7⁺. For fibroblasts and myofibroblasts, cells were stained with anti-CD31–BV421 (562939, BD Biosciences) or anti-CD31–APC (11-0311-82, eBioscience), anti-CD45–eVolve-605 (83-0451-42, eBioscience) or anti-CD45–APC (17-0451-82, eBioscience), anti-TFRC–Alexa Fluor 488 (05-511-AF488, Sigma-Aldrich), and anti-αSMA–CY3 (G6198, Sigma–Aldrich) antibodies and selected for CD45⁺, CD31⁺, TCF4⁺, and αSMA⁺, respectively.

Proliferation assay
Cells’ growth rate was measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt staining (MTS; Promega). Murine WT, Neu1−/− cells, and human skin fibroblasts were synchronized by treatment with nocodazole (100 ng/ml; Sigma–Aldrich) for 16 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂ or 37°C/5% CO₂/3% O₂. Cells were collected, counted, and seeded at 1500 to 2000 cells per well in a 96-well plate. Proliferation assay was performed every 24 hours for 1 to 4 days by adding 20 μl of MTS solution to each well and incubating the cells for 2 hours. The optical density was read at 490 nm (OD₄₉₀) with a plate reader (FLUOstar Omega, BMG LABTECH). In addition, cells were cocultured with murine or human exosomes (25 to 50 μg/ml) or equal volume of PBS, and cell growth was measured at days 1 to 3 of culture.

Invasion/migration assays
Fibroblasts’ invasion/migration assays were carried out in BD BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (Corning) containing an 8-μm-pore–size PET (polyethylene terephthalate) membrane with a thin layer of Matrigel basement membrane matrix according to the manufacturer’s protocol. WT and Neu1−/− (myo)fibroblasts (62.5 × 10⁵) were seeded in the upper well without attractant and cultured for 22 hours at 37°C/5% CO₂. The noninvasive cells were removed from the upper surface of the membrane that was then fixed in methanol, stained with toluidine blue, washed, and air-dried. Migrated cells were counted from three separate experiments (four fields per membrane). WT murine fibroblasts were cocultured with WT or Neu1−/− exosomes (20 μg/ml), and their migratory capacity was assayed as described above.

The ex vivo basement membrane invasion assay was conducted as follows: The peritonea from 8- to 12-week-old FVB mice were mounted over 6.5-mm Transwell inserts (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peritoneum constructs were then treated with 1 M ammonium hydroxide for 1 hour and washed extensively. A total of 5 × 10⁵ WT or Neu1−/− (myo)fibroblasts were cultured on top of WT or Neu1−/− peritonea cultured ex vivo in DMEM-PCS complete medium for 12 days. Fresh medium (200 μl) was applied every day to each insert. Peritonea were fixed in buffered formalin, cross-sectioned, and hematoxylin and eosin–stained. The average number of invading cells per peritoneum was counted in 12 adjacent sections encompassing the entire length of the peritoneum from four separate experiments.

Cell transduction
Neu1−/− myofibroblasts were transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing the human Neu1 gene (pCL20c-NEU1-puro); stable cell clones were selected with puromycin (1 μg/ml). As control, Neu1−/− myofibroblasts were transduced with a pCL20c-YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) vector and selected for YFP expression. The latter cells maintained the characteristics of not transduced knockout cells with regard to the extent of exosome release.
Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction
Total RNA was isolated from WT and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> GA skeletal muscles (1, 3.5, and 5 months) and human and murine (myo)fibroblasts using the PureLink RNA Kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA contaminants were removed on a deoxyribonuclease I column (Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA quantity and purity were measured using NanoDrop Lite spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Complementary DNA was produced using 0.5 to 5 µg of total RNA with RT<sup>2</sup> First Strand Kit (QIAGEN). RT<sup>−</sup> qPCR was performed using RT<sup>−</sup> SYBR Green qPCR Mastermix, 1 µl (8, 16, or 50 ng) of complementary DNA, 10 µm primer, and ribonuclease-free water in a 25-µl reaction volume on an iQ5 or CFX96 real-time PCR machine (Bio-Rad). Samples were normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA (murine), GAPDH, or HRTP1 (human). The specific mouse and human primers used are summarized in table S1.

For RNA isolation of murine WT fibroblasts or human control skin fibroblasts cocultured with exosomes, 2.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells were seeded, or HRTP1. GAPDH, S Rad). Samples were normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA (murine), complementary DNA, 10 µg of total RNA (murine), GAPDH, or HRTP1 (human). The specific mouse and human primers used are summarized in table S1.

For RNA isolation of murine WT fibroblasts or human control skin fibroblasts cocultured with exosomes, 2.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells were seeded in 10-cm dishes. Murine WT, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>/LampI<sup>−/−</sup> and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>/NEU1<sup>pCL20c-NEU1-puro</sup> (exosomes, human control, or sialidosis type I or type II fibroblasts (50 to 70 µg) were added to the dish at days 0 and 1, and cell pellets were collected on day 3 and stored at −80°C until use.

**Immunohistochemistry and IF**
For IHC analysis, muscle tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Transverse sections of the muscle were cut (6 µm) and deparaffinized, and antigen retrieval was performed using citrate buffer [0.1 M citric acid and 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 6.0) containing 0.05% Tween 20]. The sections were blocked in PBS, 10% normal donkey serum, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.5% Tween 20 or with malate buffer, 20% CCS (cosmic calf serum), and 2% blocking solution (Roche) and incubated overnight with primary antibodies. Sections were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibodies for 1 hour, and endogenous peroxidase was removed using 0.1% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 30 min. Antibody signal was detected using the ABC (Avidin-Biotin Complex) Kit and diaminobenzidine substrate (Vector Labs), and the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin or methyl green according to the standard protocols.

For IF staining, the skeletal muscles from WT and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> mice were dissected, mounted in tissue-freezing medium (Triangle Biomedical Sciences Inc.) or placed on cork containing tragacanth (Sigma-Aldrich), frozen immediately in isopentane cooled in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Transverse sections (8 µm) were cut on a cryostat (Leica CM3050) and fixed/permeabilized with 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA), 0.2% saponin, or 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min. Preincubation of sections with AffiniPure Fab Fragment Goat Anti-Mouse Immunoglobulin G (H + L) was performed to reduce cross-reactivity when monoclonal antibodies were used. Sections were incubated with Image-iT FX Signal Enhancer (Life Technologies), blocked with 10% normal donkey serum, 0.1% BSA, and 0.5% Tween 20 in PBS, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies. Sections were incubated with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies, washed, dried, and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Life Technologies).

For IF stainings, human control and IFP lung fibroblasts were seeded at a confluency of 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> per well (Nunc Lab-Tek II Chamber Slide, four-well slide; Thermo Scientific Nunc). Fibroblasts were flushed 3x with ice-cold PBS, fixed in precooled (−20°C) 95% ethanol/5% acetic acid for 10 min, and washed 2x in ice-cold PBS. ImageIT was used to intensify the staining. The cells were incubated overnight with primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer [25 mM Na-Phosphate (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1% BSA, and 0.3% gelatin]. The slides where washed three times in blocking buffer, incubated with a fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody, washed, dried, and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Life Technologies). The cells were imaged on a confocal microscope (Nikon C1si or C2; Zeiss LSM 780).

**WB analysis**
Skeletal muscles from WT, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>, and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> mice injected with scAAV2/8-NEU1 (2 × 10<sup>11</sup> vector genomes) and scAAV2/8-PPCA (1 × 10<sup>11</sup> vector genomes) were grinded till powder in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in 10 (w/v) radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM tris, protease inhibitors cocktail, and phosphatase inhibitors) or in PBS or in double-distilled H<sub>2</sub>O using an Omni Prep Multi-Sample homogenizer 2 × 2 min at 30 Hz. WT and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> (myo)fibroblasts pellets were lysed in RIPA or PBS. The protein concentration was determined by OD<sub>595</sub> using BSA solution (Pierce). Each protein sample (5 to 50 µg) underwent electrophoresis on NuPAGE Novex (4 to 12, 10, or 12%) bis-tris mini protein gels (Life Technologies) or Criterion TGX Stain-Free precast gels (10 or 12%; Bio-Rad). Gels were wet-blotted against polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and blocked in tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS-T) and 5% nonfat dry milk. The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies in either 3% BSA–TBS-T solution or 5% milk in TBS-T. The next day, membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and developed using SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quantitative analyses of the WBs were performed with Image Lab software.

**Exosome isolation, sucrose gradient, proteomics, and size distribution**
Exosomes were purified from the conditioned media of murine fibroblasts isolated from the skeletal muscle or the skin of WT, Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>, and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup>/LampI<sup>−/−</sup> mice. In addition, exosomes were purified from the conditioned media of Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> myofibroblasts transduced with lentiviral vectors expressing either the human NEU1 gene or YFP. Last, exosomes were purified from the media of human skin control fibroblasts and sialidosis type I and type II skin fibroblasts. Fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM-PCS-complete or DMEM-FBS complete medium depleted from contaminating vesicles by ultracentrifugation for 16 hours at 100,000g (SW32 Ti rotor, Optima XPN-90, Beckman Coulter). Cultured medium was collected between 18 and 24 hours, and exosomes were purified by sequential centrifugation steps at 300g for 10 min, 2000g for 10 min, and 10,000g for 30 min to remove cells and cell debris. The medium was then ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for 2 hours (SW32Ti rotor), and exosomes were washed once with cold PBS and ultracentrifuged at 100,000g (SW32Ti rotor) for 2 hours. All steps were performed at 4°C. Exosome pellets were resuspended in ice-cold PBS and used immediately or stored at −80°C.

WT and Neu1<sup>−/−</sup> exosomes were subjected to further purification on a sucrose density gradient. Exosomal pellets were resuspended in 0.25 M sucrose and loaded onto a step gradient of 2 to 0.25 M sucrose in 10 mM tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) and 1 mM Mg(AC)2-
The gradients were ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for 2.5 hours in a Beckman Coulter SW41Ti rotor. Twelve 1-ml fractions were collected, and the density was measured using a refractometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The Brix° was converted to density in grams per milliliter. The proteins were precipitated with trichloroacetic acid buffer (110 μl of 100%). Pellets were resuspended in NuPAGE lithium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer (Life Technologies or Bio-Rad) with or without 1,4-dithiothreitol and used for WB analyses.

Purified exosomes were subjected to mass spectrometry and analyzed with MaxQuant. Exosome size distribution was determined by measuring the width of exosomes per EM pictures.

**Proteinase K treatment of exosomes**

Exosomes were subjected to proteinase K treatment to gently remove surface proteins maintaining the vesicles intact. Briefly, 20 μg of isolated WT and Neu1−/− exosomes were incubated with proteinase K (50 μg/ml) for 0, 5, 15, 30, and 90 min at 37°C. The reactions were stopped by adding 5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were then ultracentrifuged for 2 hours at 100,000g at 4°C, and the pellets were subjected to immunoblots probed with anti-WNT5a/b, anti–TGF-β, anti-LAP, and anti–β-catenin antibodies.

**EM of exosomes**

For the ultrastructural studies, exosome pellets were fixed and embedded using standard protocols (15). Briefly, exosome pellets (5 μg) were fixed with 2% PFA and deposited on to Formvar/carbon–coated grids for 1 hour at room temperature. Samples were then ultracentrifuged for 2 hours at 100,000g at 4°C, and the pellets were subjected to immunoblots probed with anti-WNT5a/b, anti–TGF-β, anti-LAP, and anti–β-catenin antibodies.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed with a two-tailed unpaired Student t test using GraphPad Prism. The data are presented as means or averages ± SD. The values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

The full text of this article is available online at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/5/7/eaav3270/DC1
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