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Abstract
In this paper we shall consider some famous means such as arithmetic, harmonic, geometric, logarithmic means, etc. Inequalities involving logarithmic mean with differences among other means are presented.
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1 Introduction

Let us consider the following well known mean of order $t$ [1]:

$$B_t(a, b) = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{a^t + b^t}{2} \right)^{1/t}, & t \neq 0 \\
\sqrt{ab}, & t = 0 \\
\max\{a, b\}, & t = \infty \\
\min\{a, b\}, & t = -\infty 
\end{cases}$$

(1.1)

for all $a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}, a, b > 0$.

In particular, we have

$$B_{-1}(a, b) = H(a, b) = \frac{2ab}{a + b},$$
$$B_0(a, b) = G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab},$$
$$B_{1/2}(a, b) = N_1(a, b) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{2} \right)^2,$$
$$B_1(a, b) = A(a, b) = \frac{a + b}{2},$$
and

\[ B_2(a,b) = S(a,b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}}. \]

The means, \( H(a,b), G(a,b), A(a,b) \) and \( S(a,b) \) are known in the literature as harmonic, geometric, arithmetic and root-square means respectively. For simplicity we can call the measure, \( N_1(a,b) \) as square-root mean. It is well know that \([1]\) the mean of order \( t \) given in (1.1) is monotonically increasing in \( t \), then we can write

\[ H(a,b) \leq G(a,b) \leq N_1(a,b) \leq A(a,b) \leq S(a,b). \quad (1.2) \]

Dragomir and Pearce \([2]\) proved the following inequality:

\[ \frac{a^r + b^r}{2} \leq \frac{b^{r+1} - a^{r+1}}{(r+1)(b-a)} \leq \left( \frac{a + b}{2} \right)^r, \]

for all \( a, b > 0, \ a \neq b, \ r \in (0,1) \). In particular take \( r = \frac{1}{2} \) in (1.1), we get

\[ \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{2} \leq \frac{2(b^{3/2} - a^{3/2})}{3(b-a)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{a + b}{2}}, \quad a \neq b. \quad (1.3) \]

After necessary calculations in (1.3), we get

\[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{a + \sqrt{ab} + b}{3} \leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{\frac{a + b}{2}} \right). \quad (1.4) \]

On the other side we can easily check that

\[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{\frac{a + b}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{a + b}{2}. \quad (1.5) \]

The expressions (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) lead us to the following inequality:

\[ H(a,b) \leq G(a,b) \leq N_1(a,b) \leq N_3(a,b) \leq N_2(a,b) \leq A(a,b) \leq S(a,b), \quad (1.6) \]

where

\[ N_2(a,b) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{2} \right) \left( \sqrt{\frac{a + b}{2}} \right), \quad (1.7) \]

and

\[ N_3(a,b) = \frac{a + \sqrt{ab} + b}{3}. \quad (1.8) \]

Thus we have three new means, where \( N_1(a,b) \) appears as a natural way. The \( N_2(a,b) \) can be seen in Taneja \([3], [4]\) and the mean \( N_3(a,b) \) can be seen in Zhang and Wu \([7]\).
Some more properties of the means given in (1.6) are studied by [8], [9]. A survey on these inequalities can be seen in [6].

Based on the inequalities (1.6), the author [4]-[5] proved the following results

\[
H(a, b) \leq \frac{2A(a, b)H(a, b)}{A(a, b) + H(a, b)} \leq \frac{2H(a, b) + S(a, b)}{3} \\
\leq \frac{A(a, b) + H(a, b)}{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{(A(a, b))^2 + (H(a, b))^2}{2}} \leq \frac{S(a, b) + G(a, b)}{2} \\
\leq \frac{H(a, b) + 2S(a, b)}{3} \leq A(a, b) \leq S(a, b) + H(a, b) - G(a, b) \\
\leq S(a, b) \leq 3 [A(a, b) - G(a, b)] + H(a, b) 
\]

(1.9)

\[
H(a, b) \leq G(a, b) \leq \frac{G(a, b) + 2N_2(a, b)}{3} \leq \frac{2A(a, b) + 7N_1(a, b)}{9} \\
\leq \frac{A(a, b) + N_1(a, b)}{2} \leq \frac{7A(a, b) + H(a, b)}{8} \leq A(a, b) 
\]

(1.10)

\[
G(a, b) \leq \frac{S(a, b) + 3G(a, b)}{4} \leq \frac{N_1(a, b)}{9} \leq \frac{S(a, b) + 8N_1(a, b)}{9} \\
\leq N_3(a, b) \leq N_2(a, b) \leq \frac{A(a, b) + N_1(a, b)}{2} \\
\leq \frac{S(a, b) + 2N_1(a, b)}{3} \leq \frac{S(a, b) + 4N_2(a, b)}{5} \leq A(a, b). 
\]

(1.11)

The above inequalities are based on the following two lemmas [3]:

**Lemma 1.1.** Let \( f : I \subset R_+ \to R \) be a convex and differentiable function satisfying \( f(1) = f'(1) = 0 \). Consider a function

\[
\phi_f(a, b) = af\left(\frac{b}{a}\right), \quad b > a > 0, \quad (1.12)
\]

then the function \( \phi_f(a, b) \) is convex in \( R_+^2 \), and satisfies the following inequality:

\[
0 \leq \phi_f(a, b) \leq \left(\frac{b - a}{a}\right) \phi'_f(a, b). \quad (1.13)
\]

**Lemma 1.2.** Let \( f_1, f_2 : I \subset R_+ \to R \) be two convex functions satisfying the assumptions:

(i) \( f_1(1) = f'_1(1) = 0 \), \( f_2(1) = f'_2(1) = 0 \);

(ii) \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are twice differentiable in \( R_+^2 \);

(iii) there exists the real constants \( \alpha, \beta \) such that \( 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \) and

\[
\alpha \leq \frac{f''_1(x)}{f''_2(x)} \leq \beta, \quad f''_2(x) > 0, \quad (1.14)
\]
for all $x > 0$ then we have the inequalities:

$$
\alpha \phi_{f_2}(a, b) \leq \phi_{f_1}(a, b) \leq \beta \phi_{f_2}(a, b),
$$

(1.15)

for all $a, b \in (0, \infty)$.

The following inequality involving log-mean is also known in the literature:

$$
H(a, b) \leq G(a, b) \leq L(a, b) \leq N_1(a, b),
$$

(1.16)

where, $L(a, b) = \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}$, $b \neq a$ is the well-known logarithmic mean.

Finally, the expressions (1.6) and (1.16) lead us to the following inequality:

$$
H(a, b) \leq G(a, b) \leq L(a, b) \leq N_1(a, b) \leq N_3(a, b) \leq N_2(a, b) \leq A(a, b) \leq S(a, b).
$$

(1.17)

2 Difference of Means

Let us rewrite the inequalities (1.13) as

$$
L(a, b) \leq N_1(a, b) \leq N_3(a, b) \leq N_2(a, b) \leq A(a, b) \leq S(a, b).
$$

(2.1)

In view of (2.1), let us consider the following nonnegative differences

$$
M_{SL}(a, b) = S(a, b) - L(a, b),
$$

(2.2)

$$
M_{AL}(a, b) = A(a, b) - L(a, b),
$$

(2.3)

$$
M_{N_{2}L}(a, b) = N_2(a, b) - L(a, b),
$$

(2.4)

$$
M_{N_{3}L}(a, b) = N_3(a, b) - L(a, b),
$$

(2.5)

and

$$
M_{N_{1}L}(a, b) = N_1(a, b) - L(a, b).
$$

(2.6)

The inequalities (2.1) still admits more nonnegative differences, but they are already studied before.

Now, we shall prove the convexity of the above differences (2.2)-(2.6).

**Theorem 2.1.** The means given by (2.2)-(2.6) are nonnegative and convex in $\mathbb{R}_+^2$.

We shall use Lemma 1.1 to prove the above theorem. We shall write each measure in the form of generating function, and then give their first and second order derivatives. According to Lemma 1.1 it is sufficient to show that the second order derivative in each case is nonnegative.
• For $M_{SL}(a, b)$: We can write

$$M_{SL}(a, b) = a \ f_{SL}\left( \frac{b}{a} \right), \ a \neq b, \ a, b > 0$$

where

$$f_{SL}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + 1}{2}} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \ x \neq 1.$$

Writing the first and second order derivatives of $f_{SL}(x)$, we have

$$f'_{SL}(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(x^2 + 1)}} - \frac{x \ln x - x + 1}{x (\ln x)^2}, \ x \neq 1$$

and

$$f''_{SL}(x) = \frac{(2x^2 + 2)^{3/2} [(x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)] + 2x^2 (\ln x)^3}{x^2 (2x^2 + 2)^{3/2} (\ln x)^3}$$

$$= \frac{2}{(2x^2 + 2)^{3/2}} + \frac{2}{x^2 (\ln x)^2} \left( \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{(2x^2 + 2)^{3/2}} + k(x), \ x \neq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$k(x) = \frac{2}{x^2 (\ln x)^2} \left( \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right) = \frac{2}{x^2 (\ln x)^2} f_{AL}(x), \ x \neq 1, \ x > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

We can easily check that

$$k(1) = \lim_{x \to 1} k(x) = \frac{1}{6}. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $A(a, b) \geq L(a, b)$, this gives that $f'_{AL}(x) \geq 0, \ \forall x \in (0, \infty), \ x \neq 1$. Moreover, $\lim_{x \to 1} f_{AL}(1) = \lim_{x \to 1} f'_{AL}(1) = 0$.

• For $M_{AL}(a, b)$: We can write

$$M_{AL}(a, b) = a \ f_{AL}\left( \frac{b}{a} \right), \ a \neq b, \ a, b > 0$$

where

$$f_{AL}(x) = \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \ x \neq 1$$

Writing the first and second order derivatives of $f_{AL}(x)$, we have
\[ f'_{AL}(x) = \frac{x \ln x (\ln x - 2) + 2(x - 1)}{2x (\ln x)^2}, \quad x \neq 1, \]

and

\[ f''_{AL}(x) = \frac{(x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)}{x^2 (\ln x)^3} = k(x), \quad x \neq 1, \quad x > 0, \quad (2.10) \]

Since \( A(a, b) \geq L(a, b) \), this gives that \( f''_{AL}(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty), \quad x \neq 1. \) Moreover,

\[ \lim_{x \to 1} f'_{AL}(1) = \lim_{x \to 1} f''_{AL}(1) = 0. \]

**• For** \( M_{N_2L}(a, b) \): We can write

\[ M_{N_2L}(a, b) = a \frac{b}{a} f'_{N_2L} \left( \frac{b}{a} \right), \quad a \neq b, \quad a, b > 0 \]

where

\[ f_{N_2L}(x) = \frac{x + \sqrt{x} + 1}{3} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \quad x \neq 1 \]

Writing the first and second order derivatives of \( f_{N_2L}(x) \), we have

\[ f'_{N_2L}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x} (2\sqrt{x} + 1) (\ln x)^2 - 6 (x \ln x - (x - 1))}{6x (\ln x)^2}, \]

and

\[ f''_{N_2L}(x) = \frac{12 ((x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)) - \sqrt{x} (\ln x)^3}{12x^2 (\ln x)^3}. \]

\[ = \frac{1}{12x^2 (\ln x)^2} \left[ -\sqrt{x} (\ln x)^2 + 24 \left( \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right) \right] \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{12x^{3/2}} + \frac{2}{x^2 (\ln x)^2} \left( \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right) \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{12x^{3/2}} + k(x). \quad (2.11) \]

The above graph of the function \( f''_{N_2L}(x) \) is given by
From the above graph we observe that the function $k_1(x)$ is nonnegative for all $x \in (0, \infty)$, and consequently, $f''_{N_2L}(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in (0, \infty), \ x \neq 1$.

Moreover, $\lim_{x \to 1} f_{N_2L}(1) = \lim_{x \to 1} f'_{N_2L}(1) = 0$. Also we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f''_{N_2L}(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{12x^{3/2}} + k(x)\right) = \infty$$

- **For $M_{N_3L}(a, b)$:** We can write

$$M_{N_3L}(a, b) = a f_{N_3L} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right), \quad a \neq b, \ a, b > 0$$

where

$$f_{N_3L}(x) = \frac{(\sqrt{x} + 1) \sqrt{2(x + 1)}}{4} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \ x \neq 1$$

Writing the first and second order derivatives of $f_{N_3L}(x)$, we have

$$f'_{N_3L}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x} (\ln x)^2 (2x + \sqrt{x} + 1) - 4 \sqrt{2(x + 1)} (2x \ln x - x + 1)}{4x (\ln x)^2 \sqrt{2(x + 1)}},$$

and

$$f''_{N_3L}(x) = \frac{4(2x + 2)^{3/2} ((x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)) - \sqrt{x} (x^{3/2} + 1) (\ln x)^3}{4x^2 (\ln x)^3 (2x + 2)^{3/2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8x^2 (\ln x)^2} \left[-\sqrt{x} \left(\frac{x^{3/2} + 1}{(2x + 2)^{3/2}}\right) (\ln x)^2 + 16 \left(\frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}\right) \right]$$

$$= -\frac{(x^{3/2} + 1)}{8x^{3/2}(2x + 2)^{3/2}} + k(x) \quad (2.12)$$

The graph of the function $f''_{N_3L}(x)$ is given by
From the above graph we observe that the function $f''_{N_3L}(x)$ is nonnegative for all $x \in (0, \infty)$, and consequently, $f''_{N_3L}(x) \geq 0$, $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$.

Moreover, $\lim_{x \to 1} f'_{N_3L}(1) = \lim_{x \to 1} f''_{N_3L}(1) = 0$. Also we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f''_{N_3L}(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \left( -\frac{(x^{3/2} + 1)}{8x^{3/2}(2x + 2)^{3/2} + k(x)} \right) = \infty$$

- **For $M_{N_1L}(a,b)$**: We can write

$$M_{N_1L}(a,b) = a \cdot f_{N_1L} \left( \frac{b}{a} \right), \quad a \neq b, \ a, b > 0,$$

where

$$f_{N_1L}(x) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{x} + 1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \ x \neq 1$$

Writing the first and second order derivatives of $f_{N_1L}(x)$, we have

$$f'_{N_1L}(x) = \frac{x}{4x^{3/2}(\ln x)^2} \left[ (\ln x)^2 - 4(\ln x + 1) - 4\sqrt{x}(\ln x - x + 1) \right]$$

and

$$f''_{N_1L}(x) = \frac{8[(x + 1)\ln x - 2(x - 1)] - \sqrt{x}(\ln x)^3}{8x^2(\ln x)^3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8x^2(\ln x)^2} \left[ -\sqrt{x}(\ln x)^2 + 16 \left( \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right) \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8x^{3/2}} + \frac{2}{x^2(\ln x)^2} \left( \frac{x + 1}{2} - \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right) = -\frac{1}{8x^{3/2}} + k(x) \quad (2.13)$$

The graph of the function $f''_{N_1L}(x)$ is given by...
From the above graph we observe that the function \( f''_{N_1L}(x) \) is nonnegative for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \), and consequently, \( f''_{N_1L}(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in (0, \infty) \).

Moreover, \( \lim_{x \to 1} f'_{N_1L}(1) = \lim_{x \to 1} f''_{N_1L}(1) = 0 \). Also we have
\[
\lim_{x \to \infty} f''_{N_1L}(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \left( -\frac{1}{8x^{3/2}} + k(x) \right) = \infty
\]

We see that in all the cases the generating function \( f_{(\cdot)}(1) = f'_{(\cdot)}(1) = 0 \) and the second derivative is positive for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \). This proves the nonnegativity and convexity of the means (2.2)-(2.6) in \( R^2_+ \). This completes the proof of the theorem.

### 3 Log-Mean Inequalities

In view of (2.1), the following inequalities are obvious

\[
M_{N_1L}(a, b) \leq M_{N_2L}(a, b) \leq M_{N_2N_1}(a, b) \leq M_{AL}(a, b) \leq M_{SL}(a, b), \tag{3.1}
\]
\[
M_{N_2N_1}(a, b) \leq M_{N_2N_2}(a, b) \leq M_{AN_1}(a, b) \leq M_{SN_1}(a, b), \tag{3.2}
\]
\[
M_{N_2N_2}(a, b) \leq M_{AN_2}(a, b) \leq M_{SN_2}(a, b) \tag{3.3}
\]

and

\[
M_{AN_3}(a, b) \leq M_{SN_3}(a, b). \tag{3.4}
\]

Here we shall prove an improvement over the inequalities (3.1). While, the improvement over the inequalities (3.2)-(3.3) is already given in (1.9)-(1.11). The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 3.1.** The following inequalities hold:

\[
M_{SL}(a, b) \leq \frac{5}{2} M_{AL}(a, b) \leq 5 M_{N_2L}(a, b) \leq 6 M_{N_1L} \tag{3.5}
\]

and

\[
M_{SL}(a, b) \leq 4 M_{N_1L}(a, b) \leq 10 M_{N_1L}. \tag{3.6}
\]

The proof of the above theorem is based on Lemma 1.2 and is given in parts in the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.1.** We have

\[
M_{SL}(a, b) \leq \frac{5}{2} M_{AL}(a, b). \tag{3.7}
\]
Proof. Let us consider
\[
g_{SL-AL}(x) = \frac{f''_{SL}(x)}{f''_{AL}(x)} = \frac{2x^2(\ln x)^3 + (2 + 2x^2)^{3/2} [(x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)]}{(2 + 2x^2)^{3/2} [(x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)]}, \ x \neq 1
\]
for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \), where \( f''_{SL}(x) \) and \( f''_{AL}(x) \) are as given by (2.7) and (2.10) respectively.

Calculating the first order derivative of the function \( g_{SL-AL}(x) \) with respect to \( x \), one gets
\[
g'_{SH-SL}(x) = \frac{4x(\ln x)^2}{(2 + 2x^2)^{5/2} [(x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1)]} \times \left\{ 6(x - 1)(x^2 + 1) - \ln x \left[ 6(x^3 + 1) - (x^2 - x - 2) \ln x \right] + 2x^3(\ln x)^2 \right\}
\]
The graph of the function \( g'_{SH-SL}(x) \) is given by

We observe from the above graph the following:
\[
g'_{SL-AL}(x) = \begin{cases} > 0, & x < 1 \\ < 0, & x > 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.8)
\]
Let us calculate now \( g_{SL-AL}(1) \). We observe that
\[
g_{SL-AL}(x)|_{x=1} = \left( \frac{f''_{SL}(x)}{f''_{AL}(x)} \right)'|_{x=1} = \left( \frac{f''_{SL}(x)}{f''_{AL}(x)} \right)'|_{x=1} = \text{indeterminate}.
\]
Calculating third order derivatives of numerator and denominator, we have
\[
g_{SL-AL}(1) = \left( \frac{f''_{SL}(x)}{f''_{AL}(x)} \right)'''|_{x=1} = \frac{10}{4} = \frac{5}{2}. \quad (3.9)
\]
By the application of (1.14) with (3.9) we get (3.7). \( \square \)
**Proposition 3.2.** We have

\[ M_{AL}(a, b) \leq 2M_{N_2L}(a, b). \]  

(3.10)

**Proof.** Let us consider

\[ g_{ALN_2L}(x) = \frac{f''_{AL}(x)}{f''_{N_2L}(x)} = \frac{12x^{3/2} \left[ (x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1) \right]}{x^2(\ln x)^3 - 12x^{3/2} \left[ (x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1) \right]}, \quad x \neq 1 \]

for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \), where \( f''_{AL}(x) \) and \( f''_{N_2L}(x) \) are as given by (2.10) and (2.11) respectively.

Calculating the first order derivative of the function \( g_{ALN_2L}(x) \) with respect to \( x \), one gets

\[ g'_{ALN_2L}(x) = \frac{6x^{5/2}(\ln x)^2 \left\{ \ln x \left[ (x - 1) \ln x - 6(x + 1) \right] - 12(x - 1) \right\}}{\{x^2(\ln x)^3 - 12x^{3/2} \left[ (x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1) \right]\}^2}, \quad x \neq 1 \]

The graph of the function \( g'_{ALN_2L}(x) \) is given by

![Graph](image)

We observe from the above graph the following:

\[ g'_{ALN_2L}(x) = \begin{cases} > 0, & x < 1 \\ < 0, & x > 1 \end{cases} \]  

(3.11)

Let us calculate now \( g_{ALN_2L}(1) \). We observe that

\[ g_{ALN_2L}(1) = \frac{(f''_{AL}(1))'}{(f''_{N_2L}(1))'} = \frac{(f''_{AL}(1))''}{(f''_{N_2L}(1))''} = \text{indefinite} \]

Calculating third order derivatives of numerator and denominator, we have

\[ g_{ALN_2L}(1) = \frac{(f''_{AL}(1))''}{(f''_{N_2L}(1))''} \bigg|_{x=1} = \frac{-12}{-6} = 2. \]  

(3.12)

By the application of (1.14) with (3.12) we get (3.10). \( \square \)
Proposition 3.3. We have

\[ M_{N_2 L}(a, b) \leq 2M_{N_1 L}(a, b). \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

Proof. Let us consider

\[ g_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(x) = \frac{f''_{N_2 L}(x)}{f''_{N_1 L}(x)} = \frac{2 x^2(\ln x)^3 + 12x^{3/2} [2(x-1) - (x+1) \ln x]}{3 x^2(\ln x)^3 + 8x^{3/2} [2(x-1) - (x+1) \ln x]}, \quad x \neq 1 \]

for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \), where \( f''_{N_2 L}(x) \) and \( f''_{N_1 L}(x) \) are as given by (2.11) and (2.13) respectively.

Calculating the first order derivative of the function \( g_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(x) \) with respect to \( x \), one gets

\[ g'_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(x) = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{x^{5/2}(\ln x)^2 \{12(x-1) + \ln x [(x-1) \ln x - 6(x+1)]\}}{\{x^2(\ln x)^3 + 8x^{3/2} [2(x-1) - (x+1) \ln x]\}^2}. \]

The graph of the function \( g'_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(x) \) is given by

We observe from the above graph the following:

\[ g'_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(x) = \begin{cases} > 0, & x < 1 \\ < 0, & x > 1 \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)

Let us calculate now \( g_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(1) \). We observe that

\[ g_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(x)|_{x=1} = \frac{(f''_{N_2 L}(x))'}{(f''_{N_1 L}(x))'} = \frac{(f''_{N_2 L}(x))''}{(f''_{N_1 L}(x))''} \text{ is indermination} \]

Calculating third order derivatives of numerator and denominator, we have

\[ g_{N_2 L \cdot N_1 L}(1) = \frac{(f''_{N_2 L}(x))''}{(f''_{N_1 L}(x))''} \bigg|_{x=1} = -\frac{12}{-6} = 2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

By the application of (1.14) with (3.15) we get (3.13).
Proposition 3.4. We have
\[ M_{SL}(a, b) \leq 4 M_{N3L}(a, b). \] (3.16)

Proof. Let us consider
\[
g_{SL,N3L}(x) = \frac{f''_{SL}(x)}{f''_{N3L}(x)} = \frac{-4(2x + 2)^{3/2} \left[2x^2(\ln x)^3 + (2x^2 + 2)^{3/2}((x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1))\right]}{(2x^2 + 2)^{3/2} \left[(x^3/2 + 1) \ln x - 4(2x + 2)^{3/2}((x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1))\right]}, \ x \neq 1.
\]
for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \), where \( f''_{SL}(x) \) and \( f''_{N3L}(x) \) are as given by (2.7) and (2.12) respectively.

Calculating the first order derivative of the function \( g_{SL,N3L}(x) \) with respect to \( x \), and making necessary calculations the graph of the function \( g'_{SL,N3L}(x) \) is given by
\[ g'_{SL,N3L}(x) = \begin{cases} > 0, & x < 1 \\ < 0, & x > 1 \end{cases} \] (3.17)

Let us calculate now \( g_{SL,N3L}(1) \). We observe that
\[
g_{SL,N3L}(1) = \frac{(f''_{SL}(1))'}{(f''_{N3L}(1))'} = \frac{(f''_{SL}(1))''}{(f''_{N3L}(1))''} = \text{indetermination}
\]
Calculating third order derivatives of numerator and denominator, we have
\[
g_{SL,N3L}(1) = \frac{(f''_{SL}(1))''}{(f''_{N3L}(1))''} = \frac{-160\sqrt{2}}{-40\sqrt{2}} = 4 \] (3.18)
By the application of (1.14) with (3.18) we get (3.16). \( \square \)

Proposition 3.5. We have
\[ M_{N3L}(a, b) \leq \frac{5}{2} M_{N1L}(a, b). \] (3.19)
Proof. Let us consider

\[ g_{N_3 L N_1 L}(x) = \frac{f''_{N_3 L}(x)}{f''_{N_1 L}(x)} = \frac{x^2(\ln x)^3(x^{3/2} + 1) - 4x^{3/2}(2x + 2)^{3/2}((x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1))}{(2x + 2)^{3/2}[-x^2(\ln x)^3 + 8x^{3/2}((x + 1) \ln x - 2(x - 1))]}, \quad x \neq 1. \]

for all \( x \in (0, \infty) \), where \( f''_{N_3 L}(x) \) and \( f''_{N_1 L}(x) \) are as given by (2.12) and (2.13) respectively.

Calculating the first order derivative of the function \( g_{N_3 L N_1 L}(x) \) with respect to \( x \) and making necessary calculations the graph of the function \( g'_{N_3 L N_1 L}(x) \) is given by

We observe from the above graph the following:

\[ g'_{N_3 L N_1 L}(x) = \begin{cases}  
> 0, & x < 1 \\
< 0, & x > 1 
\end{cases} \quad (3.20) \]

Let us calculate now \( g_{N_3 L N_1 L}(1) \). We observe that

\[ g_{N_3 L N_1 L}(x)|_{x=1} = \left. \left( \frac{f''_{N_3 L}(x)}{f''_{N_1 L}(x)} \right)' \right|_{x=1} = \left. \left( \frac{f''_{N_3 L}(x)}{f''_{N_1 L}(x)} \right)'' \right|_{x=1} = \text{indetermination} \]

Calculating third order derivatives of numerator and denominator, we have

\[ g_{N_3 L N_1 L}(x)|_{x=1} = \left. \left( \frac{f''_{N_3 L}(x)}{f''_{N_1 L}(x)} \right)''' \right|_{x=1} = \frac{-20}{-8} = \frac{5}{2}. \quad (3.21) \]

By the application of (1.14) with (3.21) we get (3.19).

Proof of the theorem 3.2. Propositions 3.1-3.3 together proves the inequalities (3.5) and the propositions 3.4-3.5 proves the inequalities (3.6).
4 Remarks

In this section we shall give some remarks based on the Theorem 3.1 given in section 3.

Remark 4.1. Following the similar lines of Theorem 3.1, still we can prove that

\[ M_{SH}(a, b) \leq \frac{9}{5} M_{SL}(a, b) \]  
\[ M_{AG}(a, b) \leq \frac{3}{2} M_{AL}(a, b) \]  

and

\[ M_{SN_1}(a, b) \leq \frac{9}{10} M_{SL}(a, b) \]  

where

\[ M_{SH}(a, b) = S(a, b) - H(a, b) \]
\[ M_{AG}(a, b) = A(a, b) - G(a, b) \]

and

\[ M_{SN_1}(a, b) = S(a, b) - N_1(a, b) \]

The convexity of the measures \( M_{SH}(a, b), M_{AG}(a, b) \) and \( M_{SN_1}(a, b) \) can be seen in Taneja [4].

Remark 4.2. The following inequalities hold:

\[ M_{SH}(a, b) \leq \frac{9}{5} M_{SL}(a, b) \leq 3 M_{AG}(a, b) \leq \frac{9}{2} M_{AL}(a, b) \]  

and

\[ M_{SA}(a, b) \leq \frac{3}{4} M_{SN_3}(a, b) \leq \frac{2}{3} M_{SN_1}(a, b) \leq \frac{3}{5} M_{SL}(a, b) \]  

Proof. We know that the following inequalities hold:

\[ M_{SA}(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{3} M_{SH}(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2} M_{AH}(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2} M_{SG}(a, b) \leq M_{AG}(a, b) \]  

and

\[ M_{SA}(a, b) \leq \frac{3}{4} M_{SN_3}(a, b) \leq \frac{2}{3} M_{SN_1}(a, b) \]  

The proof of the inequalities (4.6) and (4.7) can be seen in Taneja [4].

Inequalities (4.4) together with (4.1) and (4.2) give (4.4). Inequalities (4.7) together with (4.3) give (4.5). Still we need to show that

\[ \frac{9}{5} M_{SL}(a, b) \leq 3 M_{AG}(a, b) \]
i.e.,

\[ M_{SL}(a, b) \leq \frac{5}{3} M_{AG}(a, b) \]

In order to show this let us consider the difference

\[ T_1(a, b) = \frac{5}{3} M_{AG}(a, b) - M_{SL}(a, b) \]

\[ = \frac{5A(a, b) - 5G(a, b)}{3} - S(a, b) + L(a, b) = a f_{T_1}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right), \]

where

\[ f_{T_1}(x) = \frac{5(x + 1)}{6} - \frac{5\sqrt{x}}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{2x^2 + 2}}{2} + \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \quad x \neq 1, \quad x > 0 \]

The graph of the function \( f_{T_1}(x) \) is given by

From the above graph it is clear that \( T_1(a, b) \geq 0 \). Proving the inequalities (4.8), consequently, proving (4.4).

**Remark 4.3.** The following inequalities hold

\[ L(a, b) \leq S(a, b) + 9L(a, b) \leq 2N_3(a, b) + 3L(a, b) \]

\[ \leq \frac{5A(a, b) + 7L(a, b)}{12} \leq N_1(a, b) \leq \frac{5N_2(a, b) + L(a, b)}{6}. \]  

(4.9)

**Proof.** Simplifying the inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) given in Theorem 3.1, we get

\[ \frac{S(a, b) + 5L(a, b)}{6} \leq \frac{5A(a, b) + 7L(a, b)}{12} \leq N_1(a, b) \leq \frac{5N_2(a, b) + L(a, b)}{6} \]

(4.10)

and

\[ \frac{S(a, b) + 9L(a, b)}{10} \leq \frac{2N_3(a, b) + 3L(a, b)}{5} \leq N_1(a, b) \]

(4.11)

respectively.
Combining the above two inequalities (4.10) and (4.11), we can get (4.9) provided the following hold
\[
\frac{2N_3(a, b) + 3L(a, b)}{5} \leq \frac{5A(a, b) + 7L(a, b)}{12} \tag{4.12}
\]

In order to prove inequalities (4.12), let us consider the difference
\[
T_2(a, b) = \frac{5A(a, b) + 7L(a, b)}{12} - \frac{2N_3(a, b) + 3L(a, b)}{5} = \frac{25A(a, b) - 24N_3(a, b) - L(a, b)}{60} = a f_{T_2}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right),
\]
where
\[
f_{T_2}(x) = \frac{5}{12} \left(x + 1\right) - \frac{1}{60} \left(x - 1\right) - \frac{2}{5} \left(1 + \sqrt{x}\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{2x} + 2}{4}\right), \quad x \neq 1, \quad x > 0
\]

The graph of the function $f_{T_2}(x), \ x > 0, \ x \neq 1$ is given by

From the above graph we observe that the function $f_{T_2}(x), \ x > 0, \ x \neq 1$, is nonnegative with $f_{T_2}(1) = 0$. Thus we conclude that the validity of the expression (4.4) proving the inequalities (4.1).

**Remark 4.4.** There is no relation between the expressions
\[
\frac{S(a, b) + 5L(a, b)}{6}
\]
and
\[
\frac{2N_3(a, b) + 3L(a, b)}{5}.
\]

**Proof.** This we shall show by simple example. Let us write the difference
\[
T_3(a, b) = \frac{S(a, b) + 5L(a, b)}{6} - \frac{2N_3(a, b) + 3L(a, b)}{5} = a f_{T_3}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)
\]
where
\[
f_T^3(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2 + 2x^2}}{12} + \frac{7}{30} \left( \frac{x - 1}{\ln x} \right) - \frac{(1 + \sqrt{x})\sqrt{2x + 2}}{10}, \quad x \neq 1, \quad x > 0
\]

By simple calculations, we have
\[
f_T^3(0.00001) = -0.00337512758 \quad \text{and} \quad f_T^3(1.1) = 0.0001321351.
\]

Thus we have two values, one negative and another positive proving that we can’t have relation between these two measures. □

**Remark 4.5.** The followings hold

\[
N_2(a, b) \leq \frac{5N_2(a, b) + L(a, b)}{6} \leq N_3(a, b). \tag{4.13}
\]

**Proof.** In view of (4.10), it is sufficient to show that

\[
N_3(a, b) - \frac{5N_2(a, b) + L(a, b)}{6} \geq 0
\]

Let us write
\[
T_4(a, b) = N_3(a, b) - \frac{5N_2(a, b) + L(a, b)}{6} = a f_T^3 \left( \frac{b}{a} \right),
\]

where
\[
f_T^4(x) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{x})(\sqrt{2x + 2})}{4} - \frac{5(1 + \sqrt{x} + x)}{18} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{x - 1}{\ln x}, \quad x \neq 1, \quad x > 0
\]

The graph of the function \( f_T^4(x) \) is given by

![Graph](image)

From the graph above it is clear that \( T_4(a, b) \geq 0 \). Proving the inequalities (4.13). □
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