Removal of Radionuclide Uranium from South China’s Ion-adsorption Rare Earth Leach Liquor Using Solvent Extraction with Naphthenic Acid
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A novel naphthenic acid extractant has been used to remove U from South China’s ion-adsorption rare earth (RE) leach liquor. The system was optimized in terms of its extractant composition and the conditions for loading, stripping and phase separation. Extraction and stripping distribution isotherms were determined. 99.70% of the U was removed by three-stage counter current extraction using 15% (v/v) naphthenic acid and 15% (v/v) iso-octanol in sulfonated kerosene with an A/O ratio of 1:1 at 27±1°C, while 67.50% of the Fe, 16.53% of the Al, but only 1.71% of the RE were co-extracted, resulting in the separation of U from RE. The loaded metals could be completely removed by three-stage counter current stripping with 5 mol/L HCl with an A/O ratio of 1:10 at 27±1°C, allowing the extractant to be regenerated and recycled. The U--enriched strip liquor contained 164.50 mg/L, facilitating the subsequent precipitation of radioactive pollutants.

1. Introduction

South China’s ion-adsorption RE are rare and precious mineral resources for the RE industry in China. In recent years, with the rigid requirements for cleaner processing of RE resources, the presence of the trace radionuclide U has caused concern and received lots of attention. During the separation processing of South China’s ion-adsorption RE, U is leached together with RE and after the multistage extraction and separation process of RE, U reports to the RE oxide products and production wastewater. As a result, the radioactivity levels of RE oxide products and wastewater seriously exceed the standards needed for cleaner production and for the quality of RE oxide products. There is a pressing need to remove U from the leach liquor before the multistage RE separation processes.

Traditional separation methods for U and RE include neutralization precipitation [1-2], double sulfate precipitation [3-5] and oxalate precipitation [6]. Because the precipitation pH’s of U and RE are similar, neutralization precipitation gives poor selectivity for U over RE. Double sulfate precipitation and oxalate precipitation have been shown to be effective in separating U from RE, but the separation is achieved by precipitating the RE’s rather than the U. Other techniques, such as adsorption by various materials [7-10], are effective in some applications, but high cost and tightly controlled conditions have limited their usefulness in industrial practice.

The preferred separation method is solvent extraction of U [11]. Extractants include amines such as
N1923, N235 and Alamine 336 [12-14], neutral organophosphorus reagents such as TBP, DMHMP and Cyanex923 [15-17] and acidic organophosphorus reagents such as Cyanex272, EHEHPA and D2EHPA [18-20]. Only in sulphate solutions can amine extractants exhibit a good performance for the extraction of U [13]. The presence of chloride in the leach liquor has a negative impact on the solvent extraction process. Neutral organophosphorus extractants can be used to separate U from RE only from high concentration nitrate and chloride media [17]. These demanding conditions for the use of amine or neutral organophosphorus extractants have greatly limited their application. Acidic organophosphorus extractants strongly extract U either in highly or moderately acidic sulphate and chloride media. However, the U-stripping is difficult [21-23]. This paper describes the use of a novel naphthenic acid extractant for the separation of U from South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor and explores its potential in industrial applications.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

The naphthenic acid (*Technical grade, purity >98%*) was provided by Shenyang Chemical Co., Ltd. As sulfonated kerosene is the commonly used diluent in the South China’s ion-adsorption RE plants, it was also selected (*Technical grade*) for the work described this paper. The modifier ISO-octanol (*Chemically Pure*) was provided by Shanghai Laiyashi Chemical Company. Hydrochloric acid (*Analytical reagent*) and sodium hydroxide (*Analytical reagent*) were provided by Nanjing Chemical Reagent Company. All reagents were used as received. The naphthenic acid reagent is a mixture of different cycloalkyl carboxylic acids (see Figure 1). The composition of South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor is shown in Table 1.

![Figure 1. Structure of active component of naphthenic acid.](image)

Table 1. The concentrations of RE and U in South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor.

| Element | RE         | U          | Fe        | Al    | pH  |
|---------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|-----|
| Concentration | 1.75 mol/L | 16.50 mg/L | 28.00 mg/L | 2.36 g/L | 2.45 |

2.2 Methods

The single-stage extraction and stripping experiments were carried out in a 125 mL separating funnel (*provided from Beijing BOMEX Glass Company*) immersed in a constant temperature oscillator (*provided from Jiangsu Maipulong Instrument Manufacturing Company*). The aqueous pH was measured using a Metrohm 826 mobile pH meter with Ag/AgCl internal micro-electrode and was adjusted as required with the addition of hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide solution. To determine the extraction distribution isotherms, the organic system was contacted with leaching liquor at A/O ratios of 1:2, 1:1, 2:1, and 3:1. To determine the stripping distribution isotherms, the loaded organic system was contacted with strip solution at A/O ratios of 1:1, 1:5, 1:10, and 1:15. The multistage counter current extraction and stripping tests were performed and simulated in a multi-stage mixer-settlers system. The mixer-settlers, peristaltic pumps,
other auxiliary equipment were provided by Beijing Institute of Extractive Applied Technology. All experiments were performed at the laboratory temperature (27±1°C). Samples were collected and analyzed to determine the concentrations of metals. The concentrations of RE in aqueous samples was determined by complexometric titration with EDTA and the concentrations of U ICP-MS (Perkin-Elmer Elan 9000). The metal concentration of loaded organic solutions were calculated by difference:

\[
\text{Percentage extraction (\%) } \%E = \frac{[M]_{\text{aq.in}} - [M]_{\text{aq.eq}}}{[M]_{\text{aq.in}}} \times 100
\]

where, \([M]_{\text{aq.in}}\) = initial \([M]\) concentration in the aqueous phase before each extraction stage and \([M]_{\text{aq.eq}}\) = \([M]\) concentration in the aqueous phase after each extraction stage. \([M]\) can be RE, U, Fe and Al.

\[
\text{Percent stripping (\%S) } \%S = \frac{[M]_{\text{org.in}} - [M]_{\text{org.eq}}}{[M]_{\text{org.in}}} \times 100
\]

where, \([M]_{\text{org.in}}\) = initial \([M]\) concentration in the organic phase before each stripping stage and \([M]_{\text{org.eq}}\) = \([M]\) concentration in the organic phase after each stripping stage. \([M]\) can be RE, U, Fe and Al.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Extraction

3.1.1 Effect of the naphthenic acid concentrations on the extraction

Effects of the naphthenic acid concentration in the range of 5% to 20% (v/v) in a sulfonated kerosene on the extraction were investigated and the results are shown in Figure 2 and Table 2. The extractant solution was contacted with leach liquor at an A/O ratio of 1:1 at 27±1°C for 10 min.

It can be seen from Figure 2 that the extraction of U increases sharply from 47 to 93% with the increase of naphthenic acid concentration from 5 to 20% (v/v), while the extraction of RE remains negligible. Table 2 shows that the phase separation time increases markedly with the increase of concentration of the naphthenic acid. At above 15% of naphthenic acid an emulsion is formed This can be attributed to the self-polymerization of naphthenic acid in the non-polar sulfonated kerosene solvent [24]. Based on the U--loading levels and phase separation characteristics, a naphthenic acid concentration of 15% (v/v) was selected for further work using the modifier iso-octanol to improve phase separation (see below).
3.1.2 Effect of the modifier, iso-octanol, concentrations on the extraction

The effect of iso-octanol concentration in the range of 5 to 20% (v/v) on the extraction was investigated. An organic system which consisted of 15% (v/v) naphthenic acid, 5 to 20% (v/v) iso-octanol and 65 to 80% (v/v) sulfonated kerosene was contacted with leach liquor at an A/O ratio of 1:1 at 27±1°C for 10 min. It can be seen in Table 3 and Figure 3 that the phase separation was not only significantly improved by the addition of iso-octanol, but the extraction of U also increased from 89 to 98% with the increase of iso-octanol concentration from 5 to 20% (v/v), while RE extraction was still negligible. The addition of 15% (v/v) or more iso-octanol ensures a good phase separation and U extraction. Consequently, an extractant composition of 15% (v/v) naphthenic acid and 15% (v/v) iso-octanol in sulfonated kerosene was used in further work. It can be assumed that the addition of the polar solvent iso-octanol reduces the self-polymerization of naphthenic acid, making more monomers available for binding to U.

### Table 3. Effects of the iso-octanol concentrations on the phase separation.

| Iso-octanol concentrations (v/v)% | 5%          | 10%         | 15%          | 20%          |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Phase separation                 | 20 min,     | 18 min,     | 13 min,      | 13 min,      |
|                                  | slight emulsion | without emulsion | without emulsion | without emulsion |

3.1.3 Effect of acidity on the extraction

The dependence of U--loading on the pH of the leach liquor in the range -0.25 to 3.0 was investigated as follows. To 80 ml portions of the leach liquor were added aqueous hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide (volumes < 20 ml) to give pH values in the ranged -0.25 to 3.0. Volumes were then made up to 100 ml and pH values were recorded. The organic phase consisted of 15% (v/v) naphthenic acid and 15% (v/v) iso-octanol in sulfonated kerosene. After contacting with an equal volume of each portion of
leach liquor at 27±1°C for 10 min, their pH values were recorded (-0.22, 0.47, 0.97, 1.52, 2.05, 2.55, and 3.04), see Figure 4.

Figure 4 shows that extraction of U increases sharply from 46 to 97% as the pH of the leach liquor is increased from -0.25 to 1.0, and then levels off in the range 1.0 to 3.0. The extraction of RE remains negligible over the pH range tested. As the pH of South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor always falls in the range 1.0 to 3.0, no pH adjustment will be needed in a commercial operation.

3.1.4 Effect of the contact time on extraction

The effects of the variation of contact time from 2 to 20 min metal loadings by a solution of 15% (v/v) naphthenic acid and 15% (v/v) iso-octanol in sulfonated kerosene at an A/O ratio of 1:1 at 27±1°C are shown in Figure 5. More than 80% of the U was extracted within 2 min and equilibrium is reached within 5 min, indicating reasonably fast extraction kinetics. A contact time of 5 min was selected for further tests.

3.1.5 Effect of the temperature on the extraction

The effect of raising the temperature from 15 to 45 °C on extractions using a 10 min contact time is shown in Table 4 and Figure 6. Both the loadings of U and RE show only a small dependence on temperature in the range 15 to 45 °C. However, the phase separation time is significantly shorter at higher temperatures. This could be attributed to the organic phase having a lower viscosity. To ensure more rapid phase separation rates the operating temperature should be > 25 °C, and consequently was set at 27±1°C for the work in this paper.

| Temperatures | 15 °C | 25 °C | 35 °C | 45 °C |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Phase separation | 21 min | 13 min | 12.5 min | 10 min |
3.1.6 U extraction isotherms and McCabe-Thiele diagram

The U extraction isotherm was determined using the reagent composition and aqueous feed solution described above with A/O ratios of 1:2, 1:1, 2:1, 3:1 at 27±1°C with a contact time of 5min. It can be seen from Figure 7 that the U extraction capacity was ca. 25 mg/L. To ensure that U would be completely extracted, an A/O ratio of 1:1 was selected for counter current extraction experiments. Based on the McCabe-Thiele diagram, two extraction stages were needed to recover almost all of the U, leaving only a few mg/L of U in the raffinate. Assuming that the stage efficiency cannot be 100%, a three-stage counter current extraction system was chosen for the tests described below.

3.1.7 Three-stage counter current extraction test

Results of a three-stage counter current extraction test conducted using the reagent, feed and conditions described above are shown in Table 5. 99.70% U, 67.50% Fe, and 16.53% Al, were extracted but only 1.71% RE, indicating that U can be separated from RE in the South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor with high selectivity. The concentration of U in loaded organic solutions, calculated by the subtraction method was 16.45 mg/L.

![Figure 6. Effect of the temperatures on U extraction](image)
![Figure 7. U extraction distribution isotherm and its McCabe-Thiele diagram](image)

| Element     | RE (mol/L) | U (mg/L)  | Fe (mg/L) | Al (g/L) |
|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Leach liquor| 1.75       | 16.50     | 28.00     | 2.36     |
| Raffinate   | 1.72       | 0.05      | 9.10      | 1.97     |
| Extraction (%) | 1.71       | 99.70     | 67.50     | 16.53    |

Table 5. Results of a three-stage counter current extraction test.
3.2 Stripping

3.2.1 Effect of the HCl concentration on U-stripping

Stripping of the loaded organic solution containing 16.45 mg/L using HCl solutions with concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 6.0 mol/L at an A/O ratio of 1:1 at 27±1°C for 10 min gave the results shown in Figure 8. The stripping of U increases rapidly from 47 to 99% at an HCl concentration of 5.0 mol/L and then levels off. Consequently, a concentration of 5 mol/L was selected for further operations.

3.2.2 U stripping isotherm and McCabe-Thiele diagram

The isotherm for U-stripping from a loaded organic solution containing 16.45 mg/L U by a strip solution containing 5 mol/L HCl using A/O ratios of 1:1, 1:5, 1:10 and 1:15 at 27±1°C, contacting for 10 min is shown in Figure 9 together with a McCabe-Thiele diagram. These show that a U-stripping capacity of ca. 180 mg/L can be obtained using 5 mol/L HCl. To ensure that U is completely stripped, the A/O ratio of 1:10. Based on the McCabe-Thiele diagram constructed for the loaded organic solution, two theoretical stripping stages are needed to strip almost all of the U and to leave a few mg/L of U in the organic solution at an A/O ratio of 1:10. Assuming that the stage efficiency cannot be 100%, a three-stage counter current extraction system was chosen for the tests described below.

3.2.3 Three-stage counter current stripping

A three-stage counter current stripping test was conducted using the loaded organic solution and a strip solution of 5 mol/L HCl at an A/O ratio of 1:10 for 10 min at 27±1°C. As can be seen in Table 6, U and other co-extracted metals can be completely stripped using 5 mol/L HCl, regenerating the extractant for recycling. The U in the South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor was removed completely and enriched in the strip liquor to 164.50 mg/L.
Table 6. Test results of three-stage counter current stripping.

| Element               | RE    | U     | Fe     | Al     |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Loaded organic solution | 0.03 mol/L | 16.45 mg/L | 18.90 mg/L | 0.39 g/L |
| Loaded strip liquor   | 0.31 mol/L | 164.50 mg/L | 0.19 g/L | 3.91 g/L |
| Stripping (%)         | 100   | 100   | 100    | 100    |

4. Conclusion

A naphthenic acid extractant can be used to remove the radionuclide U selectively from South China’s ion-adsorption RE leach liquor, thereby achieving a very effective removal of radioactivity and significant environmental benefits. 99.70% of the U is removed by three-stage counter current extraction using an organic system consisting of 15% (v/v) naphthenic acid and 15% (v/v) iso-octanol in sulfonated kerosene with an A/O ratio of 1:1 at 27±1°C. 67.50% of the Fe and 16.53% of the Al, but only 1.71% of the RE are co-extracted. All of the loaded metals can be stripped completely by three-stage counter current stripping using 5 mol/L HCl with an A/O ratio of 1:10 at 27±1°C, regenerate the extractant. The U removed has a concentration of 164.50 mg/L in the strip liquor, facilitating radioactive pollution treatment by subsequent precipitation.
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