Pairing core swelling effect in Pb isotopes at \( N > 126 \)
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We revisit a sudden increase of the isotopic shift of the charge radius of Pb isotopes at \( N > 126 \) based on a Skyrme Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov theory. New parametrizations of the pairing interaction optimized for selected four Skyrme interactions greatly improve a description of this phenomenon. The density-dependent spin-orbit interaction is also investigated and further increases the charge radius. The pairing correlations significantly change the properties of the neutron orbits near the Fermi level and play a vital role in pulling out the well-bound protons in the Pb isotopes at \( N > 126 \). Regarding \(^{208}\)Pb as a “core” nucleus, a novel pairing core swelling effect is proposed: The pairing interaction reduces the radius of “valence” neutron orbits by the shrinkage of diffused \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbit and the mixing of sharp \( 0_{11/2} \) orbit. Simultaneously, the core nucleus swells, leading to the sudden enhancement of the charge radius at \( N > 126 \). This characteristic behavior appears in the density profile near the nuclear surface and its measurement is highly desirable.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nuclear radii are fundamental quantities that reflect characteristics of the density profile of atomic nuclei. Systematic measurements of nuclear charge radii have been done by using electron scattering for stable nuclei and have extended for short-lived unstable nuclei with isotopic shift measurements. It is known that the mass number \( A \) dependence of the charge radius is roughly proportional to \( A^{1/3} \). For spherical nuclei, this slope changes across the magic numbers, where the major shell changes [1]. Recently, a sudden increase or a kink of the charge radius at \( N > 28 \) was found in the charge radius measurement of Ca isotopes [2] and has attracted much attention. The phenomenon was interpreted as the swelling of a \(^{48}\)Ca “core” in the interaction cross section measurement [3]. Afterward, this mechanism is related to the saturation of the internal density [4]. However, it has not been reached at the universal understanding for all mass regions including heavier mass nuclei such as Pb isotopes.

The charge radius kink observed in Pb isotopes at \( N > 126 \) is one of the most famous examples. For describing the properties of such heavy mass nuclei, a Skyrme-type density functional [5] has often been used. Reference [6] pointed out that a conventional Skyrme Hartree-Fock calculation cannot reproduce the kink behavior and introduced the isospin-dependent spin-orbit interaction. Reference [7] introduced density-dependent spin-orbit (DDLS) interaction and showed that the kink behavior was better explained by considering both the configuration mixing and modification of the \( 1g_{9/2} \) and \( 0_{11/2} \) orbits. We remark that the Fayans effective interaction reproduces the kink behavior of the charge radii [8] but the relation of those kinks to the interaction was not clear. Recently, the charge radius kink at \( N > 126 \) was observed also in Hg isotopes [9, 10] as it shows the charge radius kink is a universal phenomenon whose emergence mechanism should be clarified.

In this paper, we revisit the kink behavior of Pb isotopes at \( N > 126 \) based on a Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) theory with a Skyrme density functional. To describe the charge radius kink of heavy mass regions, it is vital to properly treat the pairing and spin-orbit interactions [6, 7]. The purpose of this paper is twofold: (i) We give appropriate parametrizations for the pairing and DDLS interactions optimized for several standard Skyrme interactions, and then (ii) analyze size properties of the Pb isotopes and clarify the role of the pairing correlations and DDLS interaction in producing the charge radius kink.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II briefly describes the HFB theory with the Skyrme-density functional. The pairing and DDLS interactions include some free parameters. Section III explains how to fix them by using experimental data. Section IV presents our results. Results of the isotopic shift of the charge radius are compared with experimental data in Sec. IV A. The importance of the pairing correlations is emphasized to reproduce the charge radius kink of the Pb isotopes. The DDLS further enhances the charge radius though it is not significant than the pairing correlations. The kink at \( N > 126 \) is induced by the pairing interaction and is interpreted as a swelling of the “core”. Section IV B describes the mechanism of this pairing core swelling phenomenon in detail. The influence on observables other than the charge radius is discussed in Sec. IV C for future measurements. The conclusion is given in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL MODELS

The density functional theory (DFT) is one of the standard approaches to investigate nuclear properties in a systematic way. The DFT with the Skyrme functional describes the ground-state energy as a functional of three
one-body densities, i.e., nucleon $\rho_q(r)$, kinetic $\tau_q(r)$, and spin-orbit $J_q(r)$ densities, where $q$ denotes neutron ($n$) or proton ($p$). In the HFB formalism with spherical symmetry, the Hamiltonian is reduced to the mean field $h$ (particle-hole channel) and the pairing field $\Delta$ (particle-particle channel) as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
(h - \lambda) - \Delta^* & \Delta \\
-\Delta^* & -(h - \lambda)^* \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
U_k(r) \\
V_k(r) \end{pmatrix}
= E_k
\begin{pmatrix}
U_k(r) \\
V_k(r) \end{pmatrix},
$$

(1)

where $U_k$ and $V_k$ are the two components of the single quasi-particle radial wave functions and $E_k$ is their energy, and $\lambda$ is the chemical potential. We use $k = nlj$ as a short-handed notation for the quantum numbers of the system, where $n$, $l$, and $j$ are the number of nodes, orbital angular momentum, and total angular momentum, respectively.

Calculations are performed for Pb isotopes by using the HFB solver HFB-RAD [11], which solves the Skyrme-HFB equations in the coordinate representation with spherical symmetry. The model space is set to the radius $R_{\text{max}} = 25$ fm with mesh spacing 0.1 fm. The maximum values for the angular momentum of the quasi-particle orbits are set to be $j_{\text{max}} = (55/2)\hbar$ for neutron and $(45/2)\hbar$ for proton. We choose four standard Skyrme functionals in the particle-hole channels: SkM$^*$ [12], SLY4 [13], SV-min [14], and UNEDF1 [15]. The SkM$^*$ and SLY4 interactions have been widely used for nuclear structure calculations, and SV-min and UNEDF1 are recently designed as sophisticated versions of Skyrme parameter sets. For the particle-particle channel, we employ the mixed-type pairing

$$
V_{\text{pair}}(r, r') = V_0 \left(1 - \frac{\rho(r)}{2 \rho_0}\right) \delta(r - r'),
$$

(2)

where $\rho_0 = 0.16$ fm$^{-3}$ and $V_0$ is the pairing strength that will be adjusted later. All the single-quasi-particle states below a cut-off energy $E_{\text{cut}} = 60$ MeV are considered for all the interactions, while a state-dependent energy cut-off was conventionally used for SV-min [14]. Therefore, our results labeled with SV-min are somewhat different from those with the original SV-min interaction.

References [5, 16] suggest the need for the density-dependent spin-orbit (DDLS) interaction to explain the kink behavior in the isotope shift of the charge radius of Pb isotopes. Here we consider adopting it to the Skyrme functionals. The spin-orbit energy functional of the Skyrme interaction is explicitly written by [5]

$$
E_{\text{LS}} = - \int dr \, W_{\text{LS}}
\times \left\{ \rho \nabla \cdot J + \sum_q \rho_q \nabla \cdot J_q - J \cdot \nabla \rho - \sum_q J_q \cdot \nabla \rho_q \right\},
$$

(3)

where $\rho = \rho_n + \rho_p$ and $J = J_n + J_p$ with

$$
W_{\text{LS}} = \frac{1}{4} W_0.
$$

(4)

This $W_{\text{LS}}$ term is simply replaced by [2, 12]

$$
W_{\text{DDLS}} = f_{ls} \frac{W_0}{4} + g_{ls} D[\rho(r)],
$$

(5)

where $f_{ls}$ and $g_{ls}$ are free parameters to be determined later. A functional form of the density-dependent term $D[\rho(r)]$ is taken as

$$
D[\rho(r)] = w_1 \frac{\rho(r)}{1 + d_1 \rho(r)}
$$

(6)

with $w_1, d_1 > 0$. The second term in the denominator sets the upper limit of $D$ as $|D| < w_1/d_1$ at extremely high density regions. We adopt $d_1 = 1.0$ fm$^3$ and $w_1 = 742$ MeVfm$^3$ as prescribed in Ref. [2].

Once the HFB equation is solved, we can evaluate various observables. The single-quasi-particle orbit includes various observables. The single-quasi-particle orbit includes

$$
\left\{ V_n(913, 1), N, Z \right\}
\times \left\{ W_{\text{LS}} \right\}
\times \left\{ W_{\text{DDLS}} \right\}
\times \left\{ D[\rho(r)] \right\}.
$$

The second-order moment of the nuclear charge distribution is given by [17]

$$
\frac{r_{\text{ch}}^2}{\langle r^2 \rangle_p + \langle r^2 \rangle_n} = \frac{N}{Z}
+ \langle r^2 \rangle_{W_p} + \langle r^2 \rangle_{W_n} \frac{N}{Z} + \delta(r^2)_{\text{c}},
$$

(9)

where $r_p = 0.81$ fm, $r_{\text{ch}} = (0.9)^2 = 0.81$ fm$^2$. The point neutron/proton density and spin-orbit density are given by

$$
\langle r^2 \rangle_q = \frac{4\pi}{N_q} \int dr \, r^4 \rho_q(r),
$$

(10)

$$
\langle r^2 \rangle_{W_q} = -\frac{\mu_q (\hbar c)^2}{2 (M c^2)^2 N_q} \int dr \, r^4 \nabla \cdot J_q(r),
$$

(11)

where $q = n$ or $p$, namely, $N_n = N$, $N_p = Z$, $\mu_n = 1.793$, $\mu_p = -1.913$, and $M$ is the nucleon mass. The last term is the relativistic correction:

$$
\delta(r^2)_{\text{c}} = \frac{3 (\hbar c)^2}{4 (M c^2)^2} + \frac{1}{2 \mu_p} \langle r^2 \rangle_{W_p}.
$$

(12)
The pairing interaction plays a vital role to determine the configuration mixing near the Fermi level. The pairing strength has been conventionally determined in such a way that theoretically obtained pairing gaps in even-even nuclei reproduce experimental odd-even mass differences. However, no direct relationship between the calculated pairing gap and the experimental odd-even mass differences. Recently, Ref. [21] proposed a new sound procedure to determine the pairing strength using the pairing-rotational energy. The pairing-rotational energy is defined by the second-order term of the ground-state energy expanded in the neutron number $\Delta N = N - N_0$ as

$$E(N, Z_0) = E(N_0, Z_0) - \lambda_\alpha(N_0, Z_0) \Delta N + \frac{(\Delta N)^2}{2\beta(N_0, Z_0)},$$

where $E(N_0, Z_0)$ denotes the ground-state energy of a nucleus with neutron number $N_0$ and proton number $Z_0$, $\lambda_\alpha(N_0, Z_0) = dE/dN|_{N=N_0}$ is the chemical potential. The last term of Eq. (13) is the pairing-rotational energy, which can be evaluated only by using the experimental data for even-even nuclei. In the present study, the pairing strength $V_0$ of Eq. (2) is determined so as to reproduce the pairing-rotational energy around $^{208}$Pb ($N_0 = 126$ and $Z_0 = 82$) for each Skyrme functional.

Next, we explain how to implement the DDLS interaction in the Skyrme functionals [See Eq. (5)]. We will search the two parameters $f_{ls}$ and $g_{ls}$ to reproduce the isotope shift of the charge radius of Pb isotopes at $N > 126$. In this procedure, we pay special attention to the neutron sp level ordering around Fermi level in $^{208}$Pb. It is known that neutron sp levels around Fermi level $(2p_{1/2})$ are $0g_{9/2}, 2p_{3/2}, 1f_{5/2}, 2p_{1/2}, 1g_{9/2}, 0i_{11/2}$, and $0j_{15/2}$ in order $[22, 24]$. As was pointed out in Ref. [7], if the $0i_{11/2}$ orbit is located below the $1g_{9/2}$ orbit, the charge radius decreases at $N > 126$ drastically. However, it contradicts the ordering of the sp levels, which should be preserved. Thus, we implement the DDLS and tune the parameters $f_{ls}$ and $g_{ls}$ under the condition that the neutron sp level ordering is consistent with experimental data. We do not pay much attention to the ordering of three higher nodal orbitals, $2p_{3/2}, 1f_{5/2},$ and $2p_{1/2}$ as they are almost degenerate and have a small impact on changes in the isotope shift. After we find optimal $f_{ls}$ and $g_{ls}$ values, we re-optimize the pairing strengths $V_0$ by following the procedure explained in the previous paragraph. Hereafter we examine the following three types of calculations: HF (without the pairing and DDLS interactions), HFB (with the pairing interaction and without the DDLS interaction), and HFB+DDLS (with the pairing and DDLS interactions).

Figure 1 displays the pairing-rotational energies measured from a reference state $^{208}$Pb as a function of the neutron number $N$. The pairing strength $V_0$ of Eq. (2) is determined so as to reproduce the pairing-rotational energy around $^{208}$Pb ($N_0 = 126$ and $Z_0 = 82$) for each Skyrme functional.

FIG. 1. Neutron pairing-rotational energies measured from a reference state $^{208}$Pb for (a) SkM*, (b) SLy4, (c) SV-min, and (d) UNEDF1 interactions. HFB(conv) and HFB(adj) respectively indicate the HFB calculations with the conventional and present parametrizations of the pairing strength. See text for details. Experimental (black) values are evaluated from Ref. [25] by using Eq. (13).
FIG. 2. Two-neutron separation energies of Pb isotopes for (a) SkM*, (b) SLy4, (c) SV-min, and (d) UNEDF1 interactions. Experimental data are taken from [25]. HFB(conv) and HFB(adj) respectively indicate the HFB calculations with the conventional and present parametrizations of the pairing strength. See text for details.

neutron number for the SkM*, SLy4, SV-min, and UNEDF1 functionals. We see that without the pairing correlations the HF calculations do not reproduce the pairing-rotational energy around 208Pb deduced from the experimental data. With the optimized pairing and DDLS parameters, the HFB(adj) and HFB+DDLS calculations nicely reproduce the experimental data for all the Skyrme interactions employed in this paper. To show further improvement with this parameter set, Fig. 2 plots two-neutron separation energies obtained by HF, HFB, and HFB+DDLS calculations. The HFB(adj) and HFB+DDLS results are greatly improved compared to the results of HF and correctly describe the experimental data.

For the sake of comparison, we also show the results with the conventional parametrization for the pairing interaction, in which a fixed pairing strength is used for nuclei covering the whole nuclear chart [HFB(conv)]. For SkM* and SLy4, the pairing strengths are tuned to give a mean neutron gap of 1.245 MeV in 120Sn [11]. The pairing strength of UNEDF1 is determined together with other Skyrme parameters to reproduce nuclear matter properties and nuclear masses [15]. For SV-min, the odd-even staggering is employed to determine the pairing strength [14]. We see that the reproducibility of the experimental pairing rotational energy and two-neutron separation energies are not well with the conventional pairing strengths. Describing the pairing rotational energies is important to determine the pairing strength. For the convenience of the reader, we list all the optimized values of the pairing strength V₀, f₁s and g₁s in the DDLS interaction in Table I. The following discussions will be made based on the results obtained with these parameter sets.

TABLE I. Pairing strength V₀ and the parameters of the DDLS interaction, f₁s and g₁s, adopted in the present work.

|         | HFB   | HFB+DDLS |
|---------|-------|----------|
| SkM*    | V₀(MeV) | f₁s   | g₁s   | V₀(MeV) | f₁s   | g₁s   |
| SLy4    | −269  | 1.00 0.00 | −323  | 0.80 0.20 |
| SV-min  | −243  | 1.00 0.00 | −246  | 0.80 0.20 |
| UNEDF1  | −248  | 1.00 0.00 | −246  | 0.80 0.10 |

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Charge radius kink and pairing correlations

Figure 3 plots the difference of the square charge radii of Pb isotopes r² ch(N) from that of 208Pb defined by

$$\Delta r_{ch}^2 = r_{ch}^2(N) - r_{ch}^2(N_0)$$

with N₀ = 126. Neither HF calculation adopted here reproduces the kink structure at N = 126, while a clear kink appears at N = 126 when the proper pairing correlations are included [HFB(adj)]. We also plot the re-
results with the conventional parametrization for the pairing interaction [HFB(conv)], showing less reproducibility of the experimental data as was already pointed out in Ref. [27]. Hereafter we only discuss the results with the present parametrization [HFB(adj)] as the HFB calculation. In the present choice of the pairing strength, the HFB already describes the kink behavior and is slightly improved by the HFB+DDLS calculation for all the Skyrme-type interactions adopted in this paper. The agreement between the theory and experiment is satisfactory as good as those obtained in Ref. [7]. We remark that in Ref. [7] the DDLS effect appeared to be more drastic than the present results because the different choices of the pairing and energy functionals.

B. Pairing core swelling effect at \( N > 126 \)

We see that the large enhancement of the charge radius in Pb isotopes for \( N > 126 \) is well reproduced by the inclusion of the adjusted pairing interaction. This kink behavior is an indication of “core” swelling, which is recently discussed for Ca isotopes for \( N > 28 \) in the charge radius measurement [2] and interaction cross section measurement [2]. Here we show this sudden core swelling for Pb isotopes can also be understood by considering the mechanism recently proposed in Ref. [4] for spherical nuclei: The occupation of nodal or \( j \)-lower (\( j_c = l - 1/2 \) with \( l > 0 \)) orbits can induce a sudden swelling of the “core” because the density distributions of those orbits have a large overlap with the internal or core density [4]. We remark that the configuration mixing regarding nuclear deformation was discussed in Ref. [28]. In the present paper, we define the core nucleus as \( ^{208}\text{Pb} \) for \( N > 126 \) and discuss the role of the valence neutrons in this core swelling phenomenon. We note that for \( N > 126 \) the quantum number of the lowest orbit is \( 1g_{9/2} \). However, as we see in Fig. 3 the charge radius kink or the core swelling is not enough in the HF calculation even though the nodal \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbit is filling for \( N = 126–136 \).

To understand the role of the pairing and DDLS interactions in the core swelling phenomena in detail, we list in Table I the occupation numbers of the \( 1g_{9/2} \), \( 0i_{11/2} \), and \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbits and various root-mean-square (rms) radii of \( ^{214}\text{Pb} \) obtained by the HF, HFB, and HFB+DDLS calculations. In the HF calculation, all the “valence” neutrons occupy the lowest \( 1g_{9/2} \) level as \( n(1g_{9/2}) = 6 \). By including the pairing correlations, the occupation number is shared with these three orbits,
numbers \( n_{v,\nu} = n(1g_{9/2}) + n(0i_{11/2}) + n(0j_{15/2}) \) is close to 6. Therefore, these three orbits can be regarded as the “valence” neutron orbits for \(^{214}\text{Pb}\).

Here, we see that the pairing correlations significantly change the rms sp radii of \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbit and induce the mixing of the \( 1g_{9/2} \) and \( 0i_{11/2} \), and \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbits. References \(^{2,3}\) pointed out that the mixing of the \( 0i_{11/2} \) orbit is a key to the understanding of the kink behavior at \( N > 126 \). Without the pairing correlations, the \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbits still have a large rms sp radius. The pairing correlations play a role to reduce the size of the \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbit and induce the mixing of the \( 0i_{11/2} \) orbit. We note that the \( 0i_{11/2} \) orbit have a smaller rms radius than the \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbit. Both the effects facilitate the core swelling because an overlap between the valence and core densities becomes large as in the same mechanism proposed in Ref. \(^{4}\). The pairing correlations also induce the mixing of the \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbit but its contribution to the core swelling is expected to be not large. Because the orbit is nodeless and \( j \)-upper, and its rms sp radius is the largest among the three main orbits of the valence neutrons, the probability of finding these neutrons is distributed mostly near the nuclear surface.

We discuss the role of the DDLS interaction. As expected from the results in Ref. \(^{2}\), the DDLS plays a role to increase (decrease) the radii of \( j < (j > = l + 1/2) \) orbits. In fact, the rms sp radius of the \( 0i_{11/2} \) is enhanced by the DDLS interaction. At the same time, the DDLS interaction also induces a little change of the configuration mixing of the \( 1g_{9/2} \), \( 0i_{11/2} \), and \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbits. In the present cases, the DDLS mainly enhances the rms sp radius of the \( 0i_{11/2} \) orbit, e.g., for SkM*, the enhancement is about 0.05 fm from that of the HFB result, while the radius of the \( 0i_{13/2} \) orbit is almost unchanged by 0.005 fm as the orbit belongs to the “core”. Consequently, its radius becomes closer to that of the \( 0i_{13/2} \), 6.42 fm, resulting in a larger overlap between the core and valence neutron orbits and hence the core swells.

We also calculate the average rms sp radius of the valence neutrons defined by

\[
\bar{r}_v^2 = \frac{\sum \bar{n}_{l,j} \bar{r}_{v}^2 (\bar{n}_{l,j})}{\sum \bar{n}_{l,j} \bar{r}_{v} (\bar{n}_{l,j})}, \tag{15}
\]

Here \( \bar{n}_{l,j} \) runs over \( 1g_{9/2} \), \( 0i_{11/2} \), and \( 0j_{15/2} \). The results are listed in Table \(^{11}\). Note that the \( r_v \) value of the HF calculation corresponds to the rms sp radius of \( 1g_{9/2} \) orbit. The pairing interaction reduces the radius of \( 1g_{9/2} \) and increases the mixing probability of the \( 0i_{11/2} \), which has a smaller sp radius compared to the others. The \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbit has the largest radius among the others but the occupation number is small. In total, the rms radius of the valence neutrons is significantly reduced by the pairing interaction. An interesting observation is that the proton and neutron rms radii are enhanced when the pairing correlations are included despite the rms radius of the valence neutrons being reduced. The pairing correlation induces the core swelling. We remark that in Ref. \(^{29}\) argued that the pairing correlation reduces the charge radius kink at \( N > 126 \) based on the covariant density functional theory. Because in the covariant density functional theory the \( 0i_{11/2} \) energy is lower than the \( 1g_{9/2} \) one, the occupation number of the \( 0i_{11/2} \) orbit is reduced when the pairing interaction is included, which is in contrast to the present Skyrme density functional cases. We find that the DDLS interaction plays a role in reducing the rms radius of the valence neutrons for all the Skyrme interactions and further enhances the rms proton radius. The reduction of the valence neutron radius is essential to induce the core swelling.

Figure \(^4\) plots the occupation numbers of the \( 1g_{9/2} \), \( 0i_{11/2} \), and \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbits, which give the three largest occupations for the Pb isotopes at \( N > 126 \), as a function of the neutron number. We also show the occupation numbers of the sum of these three orbits. Since these sums almost follow \( N - 126 \) with \( 126 < N < 140 \), these three orbits can still be regarded as the valence neutrons as the contributions from higher-lying orbits, i.e., the \( 1g_{7/2} \), \( 2d_{5/2} \), \( 3s_{1/2} \), and \( 2d_{3/2} \) orbits, are still not large in this mass region, at most \( \approx 1/14 \) of the valence neutrons at \( N = 140 \). By including the DDLS interaction, as expected from Ref. \(^4\), a relatively large enhancement of the occupation of \( 0i_{11/2} \) orbit is obtained for SkM* and UNEDF1, while the occupation number of the \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbit is reduced. Since all the orbits other than the \( 0j_{15/2} \) orbit can contribute to swelling the core \(^4\), further core swelling is expected when the DDLS interaction is implemented. In fact, the rms valence neutron orbits reduces and the rms proton radius is enhanced by the inclusion of the DDLS interaction. We note that in Fig. \(^4\) the improvement of the charge radius results was not significant for the SLy4 and SV-min interaction. Because the pairing correlations already incorporate possible reduction of the valence neutron orbits, there is no room to enhance the proton radius with the inclusion of the DDLS interaction. In fact, virtually no change is obtained in the occupation numbers with the HFB and HFB+DDLS results for SLy4 and SV-min as seen in Fig. \(^4\).

For larger \( N \) regions for \( N > 140 \), in addition to the three main orbits the occupation numbers of the \( 1g_{7/2} \), \( 2d_{5/2} \), \( 3s_{1/2} \), and \( 2d_{3/2} \) orbits becomes large. They are nodal orbits that can have a large overlap with the sp density in the internal regions. Further development of the core swelling is expected for \( N > 140 \).

C. Effects of the pairing correlations to other observables

It is also interesting to note that the matter radius increases even though the valence neutron orbits shrink by the pairing interaction. In this last subsection, we discuss how this structure change affects the density profile or the nuclear size properties. Figure \(^5\) plots the neutron skin thickness, defined by \( \Delta r_{np} = r_n - r_p \), of Pb isotopes at \( 114 < N < 140 \). In the HF calculation [Fig. \(^5\)]
TABLE II. Occupation numbers and rms sp neutron radii, in units of fm, of $^{214}$Pb near the Fermi level and these for the valence neutrons ($n_v$ and $r_v$). See text for details. Charge ($r_ch$), rms point-proton ($r_p$), neutron ($r_n$), and matter ($r_m$) radii are also listed in units of fm.

|             | SkM*   | SLy4   | SV-min | UNEDF1 |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $n(1g_{9/2})$ | 6      | 3.68   | 3.47   | 6      | 3.69   | 2.70   |
| $n(0_{11/2})$ | -0.76  | 1.30   | -1.56  | -1.78  | -1.50  | 1.41   | -1.51  | 2.16   |
| $n(0_{15/2})$ | 1.40   | 0.97   | 1.10   | 0.94   | -0.91  | 0.83   | -1.15  | 0.88   |
| $n_v$       | 6      | 5.84   | 5.74   | 6      | 5.80   | 5.74   | 6      | 5.76   | 5.74   |
| $r(1g_{9/2})$ | 6.57   | 6.47   | 6.48   | 6.71   | 6.56   | 6.57   | 6.49   | 6.37   | 6.38   | 6.53   | 6.41   | 6.40   |
| $r(0_{11/2})$ | -6.31  | 6.36   | -6.39  | 6.41   | -6.27  | 6.29   | -6.25  | 6.28   | -6.25  | 6.28   | -6.25  | 6.28   |
| $r(0_{15/2})$ | -6.68  | 6.68   | -6.74  | 6.73   | -6.58  | 6.61   | -6.61  | 6.61   | -6.61  | 6.61   | -6.61  | 6.61   |
| $r_{ch}$    | 5.52   | 5.54   | 5.55   | 5.53   | 5.55   | 5.53   | 5.52   | 5.53   | 5.52   | 5.53   | 5.54   | 5.55   | 5.56   |
| $r_p$       | 5.48   | 5.49   | 5.50   | 5.49   | 5.50   | 5.48   | 5.49   | 5.48   | 5.49   | 5.50   | 5.51   | 5.49   | 5.50   |
| $r_n$       | 5.69   | 5.69   | 5.70   | 5.69   | 5.70   | 5.69   | 5.69   | 5.68   | 5.70   | 5.70   | 5.71   | 5.70   | 5.71   |
| $r_m$       | 5.61   | 5.62   | 5.63   | 5.61   | 5.62   | 5.62   | 5.61   | 5.61   | 5.60   | 5.62   | 5.63   | 5.63   | 5.63   |

some wiggles are found due to changes of the outermost neutron orbits, e.g., from $1g_{9/2}$ to $0_{11/2}$ at $N = 136$. In the HFB and HFB+DDLS calculations respectively drawn in Figs. 5(b) and (c), we do not see that ill behavior, showing the neutron skin thickness is a robust quantity. The neutron number dependence of the skin thickness exhibits good linearity with small interaction dependence, if the pairing interaction is properly chosen.

The HFB and HFB+DDLS calculations induce the mixing of the main three orbits $1g_{9/2}$, $0_{11/2}$, and $0_{15/2}$ for $N > 126$. The occupation probabilities of the sp orbits near the Fermi level strongly affect the density distribution near the nuclear surface. Determining such density profiles is useful to study various nuclear structure as exemplified in Refs. 30–32. To probe the degree of the configuration mixing, we show the diffuseness parameter of the matter density distributions, which can accurately be deduced from proton-elastic scattering 31.

Suppose that the nuclear density is approximated as the two-parameter Fermi distribution (2pF)

$$\rho_{2pF}(r) = \frac{\rho_c}{1 + \exp \left[ \left( r - R \right) / a \right]},$$

where $\rho_c$ is determined from the normalization condition $4\pi \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \rho_{2pF}(r) = A$, for given the radius ($R$) and diffuseness ($a$) parameters. As prescribed in Ref. 31, the $R$ and $a$ values are fixed in such a way to minimize the rms deviation of the density distributions obtained by the present calculations. The nuclear diffuseness evolves depending on the characteristics of the sp wave function of the valence neutrons 33; Nodal (nodeless) orbits near the Fermi level always enhance (reduce) the nuclear surface diffuseness.

Figure 6 displays the absolute difference of the nuclear surface diffuseness of $N > 126$ from that of $^{208}$Pb, $\Delta a(N) = a(N) - a(N_0 = 126)$. The $\Delta a$ becomes largest in the HF case at $N = 136$ and reduces rapidly for $N > 136$. This is simply because the $1g_{9/2}$ orbit plays a role in enhancing the nuclear diffuseness at $126 < N < 136$ and the occupation of the $0_{11/2}$ orbit reduces the nuclear diffuseness at $N > 136$. With the pairing interaction, the HFB case, the enhancement of the nuclear diffuseness is not significant compared to the HF case due to the occupation of “sharp” $0_{11/2}$ and $0_{15/2}$ orbits in addition to the occupation of “diffused” $1g_{9/2}$ orbit.

To see changes in the densities near the nuclear surface, Figure 7 compares the sp densities of the valence neutrons, defined by $\rho_v(r) = 4\pi r^2 \sum_{i \in v} |V_i(r)|^2$, of $^{214}$Pb with the SkM* interaction for the HF, HFB, and HFB+DDLS calculations. Obviously, a clear two-peak structure is found for the HF calculation originating in the $(1g_{9/2})^2$ configuration. As we already discussed, a shrinkage of the $1g_{9/2}$ orbit and the mixing of $0_{11/2}$ and $0_{15/2}$ orbits occur with the pairing interaction. Since the nodeless high angular momentum orbits, i.e., the $0_{11/2}$ and $0_{15/2}$ orbits, are mixed, the nuclear surface diffuseness is reduced 33. A systematic measurement of the nuclear surface diffuseness will reveal the degree of the configuration mixing of Pb isotopes for $N > 126$, which is closely related to the core swelling phenomenon.

V. CONCLUSION

We have revisited the kink behavior of the isotope shift of the charge radius of Pb isotopes at $N > 126$ in terms of the Skyrme-Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) theory. Four standard Skyrme-density functionals, SkM*, SLy4, SV-min, and UNEDF1 have been employed. The strengths of the pairing interaction for each Skyrme functional has been determined so as to repro-
FIG. 4. Occupation numbers of the $1g_{9/2}$, $0i_{11/2}$, and $0j_{15/2}$ orbits of Pb isotopes calculated with HFB (open symbols with dashed lines) and HFB+DDLS (closed symbols with solid lines). The sum of these occupation numbers are also drawn. The line indicate $N-126$ line, which corresponds to the maximum occupation numbers in the assumption of $N=126$ closed configuration for the $^{208}$Pb core. The (a) SkM*, (b) SLy4, (c) SV-min, and (d) UNEDF1 interactions are employed.

FIG. 5. Neutron skin thickness of Pb isotopes as a function of the neutron number calculated with (a) HF, (b) HFB, and (c) HFB+DDLS.

duce the pairing-rotational energy around $^{208}$Pb and the parameters of the density-dependent spin-orbit (DDLS) term has been fixed for the first time for the Skyrme-density functionals.

With the present choice of the pairing interaction, the kink behavior of the isotope shift of the charge radius can be reproduced fairly well, while no kink appears without the pairing interaction. The DDLS interaction further increases the charge radius but its effect is small compared to the pairing correlations. In Pb isotopes at $N > 126$, the pairing correlations act to induce the mixing of the $0i_{11/2}$ orbit and the shrinkage of the $1g_{9/2}$ orbits. Since
FIG. 6. Absolute difference of the nuclear surface diffuseness measured from $^{208}$Pb calculated with (a) HF, (b) HFB, and (c) HFB+DDLS.

FIG. 7. Valence neutron densities of $^{214}$Pb with the SkM* interaction. See text for details.

the $0_{i1/2}$ orbit is smaller than the $1g_{9/2}$ orbit, in total the “valence” neutron orbits shrink and “core” swelling occurs as interpreted in Ref. [4]. This novel pairing core swelling effect is imprinted in the isotope shifts of the charge radius at $N > 126$ as well as the density profile near the nuclear surface exhibiting a moderate change of the nuclear surface diffuseness. It is interesting to explore the possible mechanism of the charge radius kink in different mass regions as it reflects configurations near the Fermi level, which was recently realized in Sn isotopes at $N > 132$ [3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was in part supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. 18K03635. We acknowledge the collaborative research program 2021, Information Initiative Center, Hokkaido University.

[1] I. Angeli and K. P. Marinova, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 99, 69 (2013).
[2] R. F. Garcia Ruiz, M. L. Bissell, K. Blaum, A. Ekström, N. Frömingen, G. Hagen, M. Hammen, K. Hebejer, J. D. Holt, G. R. Jansen et al., Nat. Phys. 12, 594 (2016).
[3] M. Tanaka, M. Takechi, M. Fukuda, D. Nishimura, T. Suzuki, Y. Tanaka, T. Moriguchi, D. S. Ahn, A. Amanagbetov, M. Amano et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 102501 (2020).
[4] W. Horiuchi and T. Inakura, Phys. Rev. C 101, 061301(R) (2020).
[5] D. Vanheurck and D. M. Brink, Phys. Rev. C 5, 626 (1972).
[6] P.-G. Reinhard and H. Flocard, Nucl. Phys. A 584, 467 (1994).
[7] H. Nakada and T. Inakura, Phys. Rev. C 91, 021302(R) (2015).
[8] S. A. Fayans, S. V. Tolokonnikov, E. L. Trykov, and D. Zawischa, Nucl. Phys. A 676, 49 (2000).
[9] T. Day Goodacre, A. V. Afanasjev, A. E. Barzakh, B. A. Marsh, S. Sels, P. Ring, H. Nakada, A. N. Andreyev, P. Van Duppen, N. A. Althubiti et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 032502 (2021).
[10] T. Day Goodacre, A. V. Afanasjev, A. E. Barzakh, L. Nies, B. A. Marsh, S. Sels, U. C. Perera, P. Ring, F. Wienholtz, A. N. Andreyev, Phys. Rev. C 104, 054322 (2021).
[11] K. Bennaceur and J. Dobaczewski, Comp. Phys. Comm. 168, 96 (2005).
[12] J. Bartel, P. Quentin, M. Brack, C. Guet, and H. Häkansson, Nucl. Phys. A 386, 79 (1982).
[13] E. Chanbanat, P. Bonche, P. Haensel, J. Mayer, and R. Schaeffer, Nucl. Phys. A 627, 710 (1997).
[14] P. Klüpfel, P.-G. Reinhard, T. J. Bürvenich, and J. A. Maruhn, Phys. Rev. C 79, 034310 (2009).
[15] M. Kortelainen, J. McDonnell, W. Nazarewicz, P. G. Reinhard, J. Sarich, N. Schunck, M. V. Stoitsov, and S. M. Wild, Phys. Rev. C 85, 024304 (2012).
[16] H. Nakada, Phys. Rev. C 92, 044307 (2015).
[17] H. Kurasawa and T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 113D01 (2019).
[18] W. Satula, J. Dobaczewski, and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3599 (1998).
[19] T. Duguet, P. Bonche, P.-H. Heenen, and J. Meyer, Phys. Rev. C 65, 014311 (2001).
[20] G. F. Bertsch, C. A. Bertulani, W. Nazarewicz, N. Schunck, and M. V. Stoitsov, Phys. Rev. C 79, 034306 (2009).
[21] N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 152502 (2016).
[22] S. Gales, G. M. Crawley, D. Weber, and B. Zwieglinski, Phys. Rev. C 18, 2475 (1978).
[23] M. J. Martin, Nuclear Data Sheets 70, 315 (1993), Data extracted from the ENSDF database for $A = 207$, revision of October 25, 2005, NNDC online service.
[24] M. J. Martin, Nuclear Data Sheets 63, 723 (1991), Data extracted from the ENSDF database for $A = 209$, revision of October 25, 2005, NNDC online service.
[25] https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/.
[26] P. Aufmuth, K. Heilig, and A. Steudel, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 37, 455 (2013).
[27] S. Goriely, Nucl. Phys. A 933, 68 (2015).
[28] W. Horiuchi and T. Inakura, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2021, 103D02 (2021).
[29] U. C. Perera, A. V. Afanasjev, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 104, 064313 (2021).
[30] S. Hatakeyama, W. Horiuchi, and A. Kohama, Phys. Rev. C 97, 054607 (2018).
[31] V. Choudhary, W. Horiuchi, M. Kimura, and R. Chatterjee, Phys. Rev. C 102, 034619 (2020).
[32] V. Choudhary, W. Horiuchi, M. Kimura, and R. Chatterjee, Phys. Rev. C 104, 054313 (2021).
[33] W. Horiuchi, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2021, 123D01 (2021).
[34] C. Gorges, L. V. Rodríguez, D. L. Balabanski, M. L. Bissell, K. Blaum, B. Cheal, R. F. Garcia Ruiz, G. Georgiev, W. Gins, H. Heylen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 192502 (2019).