Evaluation of the operational conditions in the production and morphology of *Chlorella* sp.
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**Abstract**

It was evaluated the effect of operational conditions in the production of *Chlorella* sp. after its selection from genus *Chlorella* sp., *Scenedesmus* sp., *Nannochloris* sp., *Tetraselmis* sp. and *Dunaliella salina*. Microalgae were inoculated in drinking water with addition of NPK fertilizer (N 24%, P 24%, K 18%), at a concentration of 0.5 g/L, agitation of 150 rpm, temperature 25 °C, light intensity of 1680 lumens at a color temperature of 6400K, without pH control for 8 days. The cellular concentrations obtained were 3.72x10^7 (*Chlorella* sp.), 1.36x10^7 (*Scenedesmus* sp.), 3.55x10^7 (*Tetraselmis* sp.), 5.74x10^7 (*Nannochloris* sp.) and 3.45x10^6 (*Dunaliella salina*), where the microalgae *Chlorella* sp., shows invasive capacity in drinking water cultivations. Applying the 2^n-p fractional factorial design concept for the elemental composition of the microalgae and the cellular morphology, it was obtained 44.33% of C, 7.09% of H, 8.53% of N and 0.84% of S for the *Chlorella* sp.
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1. Introduction

Microalgae constitute the base of the aquatic food chain (Vinitha and Jang-Seu, 2013), moreover they are considered as a promising raw material for food, feed, fuel, fertilizers, chemicals, and other value-added products, due to their rapid growth rates and its valuable intracellular components (Jing-Han et al., 2018).

It is estimated that microalgae exhibit higher biomass productivity than plants in terms of surface area needed for cultivation, and it is predicted that they represent a lower cost per yield (Bekirogullari et al., 2018; Chiu et al., 2015). In addition, microalgae have certain advantages compared to other crops, including a high growth rate, short growth time and low land use (Sanyano et al., 2013).

The algae have been exploited for more than a century as a source of colloids used as thickeners, gelling agents and stabilizers in the human and animal food industry. Due to its chemical composition, their applications comprise aquaculture, wastewater treatment (Jing-Han et al., 2018), energy production (Yang et al., 2018), (Bianchini et al., 2006), and cosmetics (Lee et al., 2018), (Spolaore et al., 2006). In addition, by its biotechnological potential, mainly due to the identification of various substances synthesized by these organisms (Becker, 2007), (Spolaore et al., 2006),
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(Cardozo et al., 2007) and (Bianchini et al., 2006). It is found extracted substances with commercial value such as: polyunsaturated fatty acids, carotenoids (Chen and Liu, 2018), phycolobins, polysaccharides, vitamins, sterols and several bioactive compounds (antioxidants, cholesterol reducers, among others). It can be used especially in the development of functional foods for its nutritional and pharmaceutical properties (Cardozo et al., 2007), (Becker, 2007), (Bianchini et al., 2006) and (Yañez, 2006).

In contrast, the energy content of microalgae biomass is formulated by the presence of carbon (C), oxygen (O), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N) and other elements (Phukan et al., 2011). As a result, elemental analysis of biomass is being considered one of the most effective characterizations for any kind of possible energy generation from biomass including microalgae (Hossain et al., 2019).

Algae, like most marine organisms, need to develop and survive in a highly competitive environment, which, together with the fact that they do not have an immune system, leads the development of biochemical and physiological mechanisms from an evolutionary point of view to guarantee its survival (Hay, 2009), (López, 2008), (Cordeiro et al., 2017).

Due to its specific characteristics, *Chlorella* sp. have become one of the most researched microalgal groups by scientists due to their characteristics, including a high nutritional value in terms of natural antioxidants (Matsukawa et al., 2000), and lipid production (Zhu et al., 2014).

Previous studies have demonstrated that the composition of microalgae can be controlled by changing the growth medium and by culturing under different growth conditions (Azaman et al., 2017). The morphology of microalgae is an important factor to evaluate and predict their behavior under different operational conditions; however, few studies are available correlating the elemental analysis of microalgae and operational conditions on the morphology.

To date, most studies microalgae have focused on the production of metabolites under different cultivation conditions, and research into the morphological of microalgae under various conditions is still lacking.

The main objectives of this study were: (i) to evaluate the adaptation of different microalgae, (ii) to conduct an evaluation about the effect of operational conditions on the morphology of *Chlorella* sp. microalgae and its elemental composition.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microalgae selection

In this research, a set of fermentations were carried out using *Chlorella* sp., after its selection and evaluation from *Chlorella* sp., *Scenedesmus* sp., *Nannochloris* sp., *Tetraselmis* sp. and *Dunaliella salina*.

The microalgae were inoculated in 500 mL Erlemeyer flask, containing drinking water with addition of NPK fertilizer (16-16-12), equivalent to 16% nitrogen, 16% phosphorus and 12% potassium, at a concentration of 0.5 g/L, stirring speed was 150 rpm, temperature 25 °C, light intensity of 1680 lumens at a color temperature of 6400K, without pH control, for 8 days.

Additionally, *Dunaliella salina* was supplemented with 35 g/L NaCl (100% salinity), both *Nannochloris* and *Tetraselmis* with 10.5 g/L NaCl (30% salinity).

In order to determine microalgal growth, it was carried out cell count by using a 0.100 mm Neubauer chamber and the number of cells per unit volume present in the cell suspension was reported (Ramirez et al., 2009). By the other hand, the affinity between the substrate and the microorganism was determined in the first stage of exponential growth as the slope of microalgal growth versus time (Quevedo, 2006).

2.2. Fermentation

The fermentation process was carried out by inoculating a 1000 mL Erlemeyer flask with microalgae, the work volume was 600 mL. The agitation, the CO₂ / Air mixture aeration, the substrate concentration and the light for each of them were evaluated. The operational time of the fermentative process was 15 days. The initial pH was 5.04 and the temperature 25 °C. The tests were carried out applying a fractional factorial design with the factors at different levels as shown in Table 1 and 2.

Substrate 1 (S₁) corresponds to a concentration equal to 1 g/L of NPK (16-16-12) and Substrate 2 (S₂) at a concentration equal to 0.5 g/L of the same substrate.

As the NPK medium (16-16-12) did not contain a carbon source, the medium was supplemented with 0.1 vvm (volume / (volume x min)) of CO₂/Air mixture as a source of carbon.

After selecting the microalgae, the growth of *Chlorella* sp. was evaluated indirectly by using the respirometric technique.

Table 1. Operational conditions for the cultivation of algae, applying the concept of fractional factorial design.

| Agitation (rpm) | Aeration (vvm) | Substrate (g/L) | Light (lumens) |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 150            | 0.1           | 1              | 1680          |
| 0              | 1             | 0              | 0             |
| 0              | 0             | 1              | 1680          |
| 0              | 0.1           | 0.5            | 1680          |
| 0              | 0             | 0.5            | 0             |
| 150            | 0             | 0.5            | 1680          |
| 150            | 0.1           | 0.5            | 0             |
| 150            | 0             | 1              | 0             |

Agitation: 150 rpm, Light: Cool White lamp 6400 K (1680 lumens), Aeration CO₂/Air: 0.1 vvm (volume / (volume x min)).

Table 2. Levels of the factors.

| Level | Agitation (rpm) | Aeration (vvm) | Substrate (g/L) | Light (lumens) |
|-------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Upper | With (150)     | With (0.1)    | S₁ (1)          | With (1680)   |
| Lower | Without (0)    | Without (0)   | S₂ (0.5)        | Without (0)   |
on S₂ and S₃ substrates, in order to determine the adaptation and growth of the microalgae in these substrates. A sample of 10 mL of the substrate was taken before being inoculated to evaluate the initial content of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur. Then, a sampling of each test was done 15 days after fermentation; the samples were processed to quantify the biomass in terms of the content of the elements carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulfur by elemental analysis and microscopic photography in order to evaluate the morphologic change.

2.3. Biomass and supernatant quantification

The samples obtained from the fermentation were centrifuged (Hettich, EBA 20) at 2000 rpm for 10 min. The biomass was separated from the supernatant and resuspended in distilled water. Therefore, a Neubauer counting chamber of 10⁴ cm⁻² was used for the biomass counting in a microscope.

2.4. Elemental Analysis (C, H, N, S)

To quantify the content of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur of the Chlorella sp. biomass, an Elemental Analyzer (Perkin Elmer, 2400 Series II CHON / S) was used. The samples were dried in a natural convection oven (Binder 23L), for 5 days at 50°C and then it was employed the modified method of Pregl-Dumas (Dynamic flash combustion), using Helium as the carrier gas.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Selection of the microalgae

Chlorella sp., Scenedesmus sp., Nannochloris sp., Tetraselmis sp. and Dunaliella salina were selected in this study due to its morphological differences and their potential applications at industrial scale.

The results obtained from cell counting for all the microalgae: Chlorella sp., Scenedesmus sp., Nannochloris sp., Tetraselmis sp. and Dunaliella salina are shown in Figure 1.

From Figure 1, it is generally observed that the microalgae adapted to the medium at evaluated conditions. The cellular concentrations were 3.72x10⁷ (Chlorella sp), 1.36x10⁷ (Scenedesmus sp), 3.55x10⁷ (Tetraselmis sp), 5.74x10⁷ (Nannochloris sp) y 3.45x10⁷ (Dunaliella salina). Although Tetraselmis sp. has a greater affinity for the culture medium evaluated, it is not the microalgae with greater cell growth. The greatest growth occurred with Nannochloris sp., though it showed less affinity (1.00 x10⁷). Of the evaluated microalgae, Chlorella sp. showed a better balance between affinity for the medium (3.00 x10⁷) and cell growth. The research results reported by Escudero et al. (2018) reported that the microalgae Nannochloris sp., Tetraselmis sp and Dunaliella salina, native to saline waters, do not adapt to mixed wastewater, even when it was supplemented with Sodium Chloride (NaCl). This due to the presence of contaminants and a high organic load (830 ppm), so it shows a cellular decrease, while the algae Chlorella sp. and Scenedesmus sp. easily adapted to this type of water (Ramirez et al., 2018), (Chellappa et al., 2008). Likewise, Kim et al. (2007) reported the growth of Chlorella sp and Scenedesmus sp. in agro-industrial wastewater, assimilating the nutrients provided by the environment (Kim et al., 2007).

The adaptability of the microalgae to different culture media could facilitate or impede the process scale-up since the aim is to reduce costs by using economical culture media. Although Nannochloris sp. has a cellular growth of 20.2% above Chlorella sp., The culture medium for the microalgae Nannochloris sp., Tetraselmis sp. and Dunaliella salina are more demanding in terms of nutrients, therefore, it increases production costs at the industrial level.

Studies carried out by González show that Chlorella sp. is a cosmopolitan freshwater microalgae of easy cultivation and rapid growth, which is improbable the risk of being contaminated by other types of algae (González, 2010). In addition, Chlorella vulgaris contains a high content of organic matter and possesses high photosynthetic activity (Kavitha et al., 2017). Besides, Chlorella sp. is the most popular strain in several applications, such as in biofuel, health food, cosmetics, and bioremediation (Hsieh et al., 2012). Figure 2 shows the invasive capacity of Chlorella sp. microalgae in drinking water with the addition of NPK fertilizer (16-16-12).

Figure 1. Comparison of the cellular count of microalgae in drinking water with the addition of NPK fertilizer (16-16-12).

Figure 2. Microalgal growth. Culture contaminated with Chlorella sp.
Studies carried out by Andrade et al., reported that Chlorella sp. culture has been used because of its protein quality and antitumor properties (Andrade et al., 2006). In addition, Chlorella sp. exhibits a high efficiency due to its easy adaptation to laboratory conditions and represents an ideal biological system for different areas of research (Morris Quevedo et al., 1999), (Ortega et al., 2004) and (Rendón et al., 2013).

3.2. Fermentation

In order to perform the fermentations tests it was applied the concept of fractional factorial design because, in industrial applications, it is common to find situations in which the factors affecting a process must be determined from a large number of possibilities. In this case, the application of replicated complete factorial designs is costly and unnecessary, and the sequential use of programmed fractional designs are recommended to identify the most significant effects, considerably reducing the number of experiments to be carried out (Juan and Peña, 1991).

Microalgae constitute an important group of photosynthetic organisms in the aquatic environment and are key players in the primary productivity and biogeochemical cycles. These organisms are highly diverse and sensitively respond to environmental changes. Some microalgae are grown well and are comparatively simple to culture under laboratory conditions. These characteristics make them an ideal model for monitoring both short and long-term environmental changes, as well as performing ecotoxicology assessments (Wang et al., 2018).

Microalgae are highly efficient producers of biomass because they have higher photosynthetic efficiency than land plants and undergo vigorous cell division (6–12 hours per cycle) under ideal growth conditions. Moreover, microalgae contain various bioactive compounds that can be utilized for numerous commercial uses (Sang-Il et al., 2019).

Different operational conditions were evaluated for the growth of Chlorella sp., due to the metabolic activities of the microalgae, which are highly versatile and flexible, which makes them adaptable to different culture conditions. This microalgae potential can be used to control and maximize the production of a specific component within the cell thereof (Bekirogullari et al., 2018).

In contrast, studies carried out by Sang-Il et al. (2019), showed that by evaluating different operating conditions such as the intensity of LED lights (blue, red, and white) on the cultivation of microalgae like D. salina, different beta-carotene productivity were obtained (Sang-Il et al., 2019). The responses of microalgae could include enhanced metabolism due to increased nutrient (Seung Won et al., 2010).

Additional studies showed that Chlorella strain hyper-accumulates lipids under high-salinity stress condition; however, it was found that the high-salinity condition significantly limited the production of algal biomass (Kakarla et al., 2018).

The results of the fermentations applying the design concept of growing experiments of Chlorella sp., as shown in Figure 3 and 4. In Figure 3, the results of the elemental analysis for experiments 1 to 8 for Chlorella sp. and in Figure 4, the morphology found in the culture media of the Chlorella sp. microalgae, a temperature of 25 °C and pH: 5.04.

The elemental CHN/S analysis is especially useful for microalgae due to the small amount of available sample, similar to that reported by Burczyk et al. (1999) in his study.

Figure 3. Elemental analysis of the dry microalgal biomass of Chlorella sp.
Figure 3 shows the elemental analysis performed on the dry biomasses of the eight fermentations of *Chlorella* sp., where the availability of nutrients is observed as a factor that models their growth and different responses of biomass in relation to variations in the availability of the macronutrients carbon, phosphorus, and nitrogen.

According to the experiments, a better behavior is observed in the composition of the dry biomass of trial 1, reporting 44.33% of C, 7.09% of H, 8.53% of N and 0.84% of S, with a C/N ratio of 5.2, where the system preserves ideal conditions for the growth or production of biomass.

The results for experiment 5 show more limited conditions, with biomass showing low yield in its elemental composition, reporting 0.07% C, 0.19% H, 0.31% N, and 0.00% S and a C/N ratio from 0.22.

Studies reported by Gopalakrishnan Kumar et al. (2018) in the cultivation of microalgae indicate that the C/N ratio is an indicator for bioconversion reactions. The researchers found a C/N ratio of 6.3 with a microalgae biomass concentration of 5 g/L. For other conditions evaluated, the C/N ratio varied from 5.9 to 6.1. The value of the C/N ratio obtained from this study was similar to those reported in the literature on the cultivation of algae biomass *Arthospira platensis*. In general, the microalgae biomass has a higher protein content instead of the carbohydrate content and its C/N ratio is relatively lower than the macroalgae biomass, in which the maximum carbohydrate content is associated to the biomass (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2018).

Studies conducted for *Chlorella* sp. showed values of 44.5% of C, 6.2% of H, 9.6% of N and a C/N ratio of 4.63. These values are higher than those found in terrestrial plants (Thangalazhy-Gopakumar et al., 2012).

The results obtained in Figure 3 show that for experiments 1 and 4 the nitrogen content was either 8.53% or 7.48%. Therefore, the amino acid or protein content in the biomass can be predicted. This fact agrees with the studies reported by Alhama et al., where they argue that the amino acid composition of *Chlorella fusca* shows a higher content of carbon (60.65%) and hydrogen (9.30%), *Chlorella* sp., shows a higher content of nitrogen (8.53%) and sulfur (0.84%).

It is observed how no species of *Chlorella* sp., from the reported ones, presents a concentration of sulfur in its elemental analysis, contrary to the experimentally evaluated culture that presents a percentage of sulfur, which is part of various organic compounds that include amino acids, proteins, coenzyme A and the Thiamin and Biotin Vitamins. Similarly, studies conducted by Morris Quevedo et al., argue that the amino acid composition of *Chlorella vulgaris* was comparable with the FAO reference protein, except for the low content of sulfur amino acids (Methionine and Cystine), a common phenomenon in these microorganisms (Morris Quevedo et al., 1999; Quevedo et al., 2008).

Under fluctuating conditions both inside and outside the cells, the species change their shape looking for a way to adapt to the different cultivation conditions such as agitation, aeration and light and they even change their morphology completely.

In the observations under the microscope, different proportions and morphologies were identified in the fermentations evaluated, as shown in Figure 4.

Regarding its morphology, the cell has slightly rigid walls and may also have sheets that cover the outside of the walls.

Organelles that contain pigments of chlorophyllides are called chloroplasts, a name that indicates that their predominant color is green (González Hurtado et al., 2002).

As can be observed in Figure 4, the most common shape found in the culture is rounded, some of them are amorphous, varying in color proportion and intensity. The experiment 1 that presented all the conditions and a higher proportion in macro and micronutrients is where a massive culture is observed, compared to the other

| MICROALGAE                  | C (%) | H (%) | N (%) | S (%) |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| *Chlorella* sp in this research* | 44.33 | 7.09  | 8.53  | 0.84  |
| *Chlorella fusca*           | 60.65 | 9.3   | 3.35  |-      |
| *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* A-24 | 39.74 | 5.58  | 5.26  |-      |
| *Chlorella saccharophila* 211-1a | 39.18 | 5.97  | 0.2   |-      |
| *Chlorella sorokiniana* 211-8k | 39.25 | 5.87  | 5.66  |-      |
| *Chlorella vulgaris* Gromov 140 | 34.63 | 5.63  | 4.72  |-      |

*Test with best behavior: experiment 1.*
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experiments where there is an absence of a certain factor or condition (Câmara et al., 2015).

Studies report that high concentrations of nutrients in the medium cause the cells to increase their sphericity more than normal, producing an increase in the surface / volume ratio, which favors the incorporation of nutrients and an increase in the concentration of chloroplasts, which allows to the cells a greater uptake of light (Acevedo and Ramírez, 2003).

4. Conclusion

It was observed that the microalgae adapted to both the culture medium and the conditions evaluated. The cell concentrations presented were $3.72 \times 10^7$ (Chlorella sp), $1.36 \times 10^7$ (Scenedesmus sp), $3.55 \times 10^7$ (Tetraselmis sp), $5.74 \times 10^7$ (Nannochloris sp) and $3.45 \times 10^6$ (Dunaliella salina), where the microalga Chlorella sp., shows the capacity of being invasive and polluting in drinking water cultivations.

The ideal conditions for the production of microalgal biomass are 150 rpm, 0.1vvm, 1 g/L and 1680 lumens, obtaining 44.33% of C, 7.09% of H, 8.53% of N and 0.84% of S in the dry biomass. The content of C/N/P affects the morphology of the microalgae.
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