Cefepime-induced neurotoxicity
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Cefepime, a widely used fourth-generation cephalosporin, has been reported to cause neurotoxicity because it crosses the blood-brain barrier. Although cefepime-induced neurotoxicity (CIN) occurs in patients with renal dysfunction administered a high dosage, CIN has also been reported in patients with normal renal function administered the appropriate dosage. CIN is characterized by toxic encephalopathy and electroencephalography abnormalities, such as triphasic wave, currently renamed as generalized periodic discharge (GPD) with triphasic morphology, and nonconvulsive status epilepticus (NCSE). Toxic encephalopathy appears 2 to 6 days after cefepime administration and disappears 3 days after discontinuation of cefepime. Electroencephalography abnormalities in most reported cases are GPD with triphasic morphology rather than NCSE. CIN is reversible in most cases if early detection and discontinuation of cefepime is possible, which is the only definitive treatment; however, anticonvulsant therapy is unnecessary except for patients with convulsive seizures or definite NCSE. Emergent hemodialysis may also be helpful in life-threatening situations.
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INTRODUCTION

Cefepime, a fourth-generation cephalosporin antibiotic, was approved for use in 1996. It is widely used to treat severe bacterial infections because it acts against both gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial strains, and has antipseudomonal activity. Safety data of cefepime in clinical trials were relatively favorable when initially approved. Approximately 3% of 2,032 patients treated with cefepime experienced adverse central nervous system (CNS) effects including headache (2.4%), dizziness (0.7%), and insomnia (0.6%) [1]. Eleven (0.2%) patients developed seizures but only three (0.1%) of these cases were considered to have a probable or unknown relationship to cefepime therapy [1].

Cefepime-induced neurotoxicity (CIN) was first reported in a patient with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) on hemodialysis in 1999 [2]. He developed altered mental status, myoclonus, and a generalized tonic-clonic seizure with elevated serum cefepime concentration. He recovered after urgent hemodialysis but electroencephalography (EEG) was not performed. Thereafter many cases of CIN with triphasic wave (TW) or nonconvulsive status...
epileptic (NCSE) have been reported. CIN is composed of toxic encephalopathy and TW or NCSE in EEG. There is debate about EEG findings regarding whether it is true NCSE or TW.

CIN mainly occurs in patients with impaired renal function who have been administered cefepime without dose adjustment, because 85% of cefepime is removed through renal excretion [3-11]. However, it has also occurred in patients who have received appropriate doses based on renal function [12-18] and even in patients with normal renal function [5,18-22]. Therefore, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued a safety warning that recommended dose reduction in patients with renal dysfunction, that is, estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) < 60 mL/min [23]. CIN is reversible in most cases if early detection and discontinuation of cefepime is possible, which is the only definitive treatment. Frequent neurologic examination and monitoring of renal function are needed, especially in the elderly with impaired renal function or previous CNS injury.

After a review of cases, case series, and meta-analysis, we have described the incidence, pathogenic mechanism, risk factors, clinical manifestation, EEG abnormalities, and appropriate management of CIN. We have also analyzed all the cases of CIN reported in the Korean medical literature.

INCIDENCE

The reported incidence of CIN is quite variable because of differences in the diagnostic criteria of CIN, the protocol for dose adjustment of cefepime, degree of renal dysfunction, characteristics of included patients, and severity of underlying illness or comorbidity. In addition, small sample size and retrospective study design are also likely to influence the results.

In a prospective cohort study, the incidence of CIN in patients with medical illness was 1%; however, in patients with GFR 60 to 15 mL/min and GFR < 15 mL/min, CIN incidence increased to 4.5% and 16.6%, respectively [4]. Incidence in critically ill patients [6] and in patients with hematologic malignancies [24] was 15% and 4.1%. The incidence of CIN in a retrospective case-control study in Korean patients was 0.85% [25]. The incidence in ESRD patients was 7.5%, but was 22.2% in ESRD patients with preexisting CNS morbidity [17]. In a recent retrospective study, the incidence of CIN was 0.2% [9].

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) studies show that the incidence of CIN has increased, from 11% to 23.2% [26-28]. In the French pharmacovigilance database on serious CNS adverse effects, cefepime was the most common drug among cephalosporins to be associated with CNS adverse effects [29].

The incidence of CIN was higher immediately after the approval of cefepime than that in recent times, because initially dose adjustment was recommended for patients with impaired renal function when the GFR was < 50 mL/min by the manufacturer [30]. Furthermore, the physicians were not exactly aware of the clinical manifestations and risk factors of CIN. Therefore, many cases of CIN might have occurred in hospitals where cefepime was widely used, as in Geneva Cantonal Hospital [31].

PHARMACOLOGY

Cefepime has a low molecular weight of 480.6 daltons and is known to display linear (first-order) pharmacokinetics regardless of the treatment duration [32]. Serum protein binding of cefepime is approximately 20% and is independent of its concentration in serum.

Renal excretion and half life

In normal subjects, the total body clearance of cefepime is dose-independent. Urinary excretion of unchanged cefepime accounts for approximately 85% of the administered dose [32]. Therefore, the cefepime dosage should be reduced according to renal function in patients whose GFR is ≤ 60 mL/min [33]. The half-life in healthy volunteers is 2 hours whereas that in patients with ESRD requiring hemodialysis is 13.5 hours and that in patients requiring continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) is 19 hours [33,34].

Hepatic metabolism

Only a small proportion of cefepime is metabolized to N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) which is rapidly converted to N-oxide (NMP-N-oxide) [35]. Less than 1% of the administered dose is recovered from urine as NMP. The pharmacokinetics of cefepime were unaltered in patients with hepatic impairment who received a single 1 g dose.

PATHOGENIC MECHANISMS

Although the pathophysiology of CIN is not fully understood, it is supposed to be related to the concentration dependent competitive inhibition of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) A receptors in animal studies [36]. Cephalosporins may also decrease GABA release from nerve terminals or increase excitatory amino acid release [37]. Through these mechanisms, cefepime treatment results in hyperexcitation of neurons and depolarization of the postsynaptic membrane, which are consistent clinically with the occurrence of seizures, myoclonus, and encephalopathy.
RISK FACTORS OF CIN

Impaired renal function and excessive dose are the most important and common predisposing factors of CIN. Preexisting brain injury, old age, high-dose therapy, and increased CNS penetration of cefepime are known risk factors [6,9,10,17,38]. However, CIN has also been reported in patients with normal renal function [5,18-22,39,40] and in those administered the appropriate dose based on renal function [12-18,41-43]. The cause of CIN in these patients is not known.

Renal dysfunction

A meta-analysis revealed that 80 to 87% of patients with CIN had renal dysfunction [9,10]. In patients with renal failure, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentrations of cefepime may increase due to competitive inhibition of active transport of cefepime from CSF to blood by organic acids [44], an increase in blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability, and a decrease in serum protein binding [45]. Moreover, renal function may be overestimated by the Cockcroft-Gault formula under debilitating conditions such as malnutrition and amyotrophy [26]. Thus, an excessive dose of cefepime may be administered.

Excessive dosing

The results of a meta-analysis showed that 25% to 50% of patients with CIN received an excessive dose of cefepime. This may be due to either failure of dose adjustment or miscalculation of dosage.

Preexisting brain injury

CNS penetration of cefepime may be increased in patients with a previous brain injury. The incidence of CIN in ESRD patients with preexisting CNS morbidity was 22.2%, which is three times higher than that in ESRD patients without previous CNS injury [17]. From the data of a meta-analysis, 8% of the reported patients had preexisting CNS disease [10].

Old age

Most of the reported patients were of old age, with a median of 69 years, ranging from 54 to 75 years [10]. The elderly are thus susceptible to renal dysfunction and CNS adverse effects.

Increased CNS penetration

In patients with sepsis, CNS infection, uremia, and previous brain injury, BBB integrity may be disrupted, resulting in increased CNS penetration of cefepime up to 45%, which is much higher than the 10% in normal conditions [46,47]. Blood levels of unbound cefepime, which is the biologically active fraction of cefepime available for entry into the CNS, are increased in patients with hypoalbuminemia due to renal or hepatic dysfunction [45].

Patients with adjusted dose or normal renal function

Quarter to half of patients with CIN appeared to receive an appropriate dosage according to their renal function [9,10]. CIN occurrence in patients with adjusted dose or normal renal function may be due to the following reasons: (1) individual variations in pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamic susceptibility [9]; (2) although renal function was normal before initiation of cefepime treatment, renal dysfunction may occur during cefepime treatment due to aggravation of systemic conditions and nephrotoxicity of combined medications such as aminoglycosides [4,45,46,48,49]; (3) competitive inhibition of active transport of cefepime from CSF to blood. An increase in BBB permeability, hypoalbuminemia, and a decrease in serum protein binding may act as provocative factors.

DOSE ADJUSTMENTS

Cefepime dose should be adjusted based on current renal function (Table 1). Normal renal function is defined as a creatinine clearance of 60 mL/min [23]. Moreover, the physician needs to take into account age, preexisting brain injury, hypoalbuminemia, and septic conditions.

In patients with severe infection or critical illness, dose adjustment according to serum cefepime levels may be helpful. Dose adjustment is not necessary in patients on continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) [2,50]. However, it is reasonable to consider the severity of the infectious disease during dose adjustment to prevent treatment failure [17].

SERUM CEFEPIME CONCENTRATION

Some investigators measured serum cefepime concentrations and demonstrated the association between serum cefepime concentrations and the occurrence of CIN [28,45,51]. Others described that clinical improvement of CIN was associated with a decrease in the blood concentration of cefepime [2,26,45,46].

Although the target serum trough concentrations of cefepime have not been well established, the neurotoxic threshold may be around 20 mg/L based on the data of published reports, and interpersonal variability is observed [26,27,52]. TDM may be useful to avoid neurotoxicity, especially in patients with high-dose therapy, renal dysfunction, and on CRRT.
Clinical and EEG Manifestations

Clinical manifestations are composed of toxic encephalopathy including altered mental status, tremor, myoclonus, and seizure, and EEG abnormalities comprise generalized periodic discharge (GPD), TW, or NCSE.

Symptoms of encephalopathy

From the data of a recent meta-analysis [9, 10], clinical symptoms include depressed consciousness, disorientation, aphasia, tremor, and myoclonus. Depressed consciousness (47% or 80%) includes drowsiness, stupor, or coma, and disorientation comprising confusion, delirium, and agitation. Although the main clinical feature is encephalopathy, CIN is a heterogeneous syndrome.

Patients develop altered mental status, which usually occurs within 4 days (2 to 6) after cefepime administration [9, 10]. The latency may depend on the serum or CSF concentrations of cefepime, which are associated with the dosage of cefepime, status of renal function, CNS penetration, and individual variation in pharmacokinetics.

Clinical improvement and resolution of EEG abnormalities were observed within 2 days (1 to 3) after discontinuation of cefepime [10].

Seizures and NCSE

In about a third of the reported cases, electrographic NCSE without abnormal behavior suggestive of seizure was reported [9]; however, convulsive seizures were extremely rare [2, 4, 6, 10, 14, 17, 43]. Many patients with CIN develop myoclonus and severe myoclonus may look like a clonic seizure [6].

TW or NCSE, which is true?

TW, currently renamed as GPD with triphasic morphology [53], is a descriptive term based on morphology and is traditionally associated with hepatic and uremic encephalopathy. Now, it is well known that GPD with triphasic morphology can be observed in a wide range of encephalopathies. It has been suggested that GPD is associated with the development of electrographic seizure; however, the clinical significance of GPD and its relationship with seizures has been debated [54].

GPD appears in other toxic encephalopathies such as serotonin syndrome and valproate-induced hyperammonemic encephalopathy, and in drug intoxication by lithium, baclofen, levodopa, pentobarbital, tiagabine, pregabalin, and ifosfamide [55-63].

EEG abnormalities in the reported cases of CIN comprise two patterns, slow typical TW with triphasic morphology at 1 Hz with background slowing, and fast atypical TW with triphasic morphology may represent "TW look-alikes" such as with drug intoxication by lithium, baclofen, levodopa, pregabalin, and tiagabine (Fig. 1) [64]. The latter pattern is difficult to differentiate from NCSE and many investigators interpret it as NCSE.

Diagnosis of NCSE should be strictly relied on the current working clinical criteria [65] and the standardized terminology of the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) [53]. Definite NCSE in comatose patients may be regarded as proven, if both the EEG and clinical state resolves with antiepileptic drug (AED) treatment [65, 66]. Regardless of the etiology and pathophysiology, advanced coma stages are frequently accompanied with continuous epileptiform or periodic abnormalities [67]. It had been suggested that continuous epileptiform EEG in patients with encephalopathy may be an epiphenomenal character [68] and continuous epileptiform patterns found in advanced coma stage may represent an end-stage of irreversible coma, as in anoxic brain injury [67, 69].

For the same or quite similar clinical symptoms and EEG ab-

---

Table 1. Recommended dosing schedule of cefepime based on renal function in adults

| CrCl (mL/min) | UTI | Pneumonia | UTI, SSIs, intra-abdominal | Febrile neutropenia |
|--------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| >60          | 500 mg q 12 hr | 1 g q 12 hr | 2 g q 12 hr | 2 g q 8 hr |
| 30–60        | 500 mg q 24 hr | 1 g q 24 hr | 2 g q 24 hr | 2 g q 12 hr |
| 11–29        | 500 mg q 24 hr | 500 mg q 24 hr | 1 g q 24 hr | 2 g q 24 hr |
| <11          | 250 mg q 24 hr | 250 mg q 24 hr | 500 mg q 24 hr | 1 g q 24 hr |
| CAPD         | 500 mg q 48 hr | 1 g q 48 hr | 2 g q 48 hr | 2 g q 24 hr |
| Hemodialysis | 1 g on day 1, then 500 mg q 24 hr thereafter | 1 g on day 1, then 500 mg q 24 hr thereafter | 1 g on day 1, then 500 mg q 24 hr thereafter | 1 g q 24 hr |

CrCl, creatinine clearance; UTI, urinary tract infection; SSIs, skin and skin structure infection; q, qua; CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis.

---

https://doi.org/10.18700/jnc.190109
Fig. 1. Example of triphasic wave (TW) pattern in patients with cefepime-induced neurotoxicity. Electroencephalography showing slow, typical TW (A) and fast, atypical TW (B), “TW look-alikes”; the latter is difficult to differentiate from nonconvulsive status epilepticus. (A) Modified from Baek et al. [41], according to the Creative Commons License; (B) is a personal case of the author.
normalities, some described as CIN with TW or GPD [3,15,17,22,24,43,49,70-76], whereas others reported these as CIN with NCSE [4-6,13,14,16,18,20,21,42,45,77-84], depending on the author’s view. Some investigators proposed that encephalopathies with GPD or severe metabolic encephalopathies with continuous epileptiform EEG abnormality are not NCSE, and that a coma with continuous generalized epileptiform discharges (coma-GED) should be differentiated from NCSE proper [67,69].

The FDA released drug safety communications about the risk of seizure, which included 59 cases of NCSE associated with cefepime from the approval of cefepime in 1996 to 2012 [23]. However, recently Triplet et al. [43] analyzed 37 EEG samples of CIN cases reported in the literature as NCSE (n = 30) or TW (n = 7), and reported that most EEG did not satisfy the working criteria for NCSE, with 33 showing TW, one showing GEDs, and three being uninterpretable. They concluded that most cases of electrographic NCSE in the literature may be a misinterpretation of continuous epileptiform EEG abnormality are not NCSE, and that a coma-GED should be differentiated from NCSE proper [67,69].

The diagnostic criteria used in the literature are defined as follows: (1) neurological symptoms emerging several days after initiation of cefepime treatment; (2) accompanying EEG findings are consistent with GPD with triphasic morphology; (3) symptoms and abnormal EEG resolved within several days after discontinuation of cefepime; (4) no other cause of toxic or metabolic encephalopathy that is likely to be the cause of altered mental state; and (5) abnormally increased serum concentrations of cefepime if available [4,6,9,10,17,43,76].

High index of suspicion is very important in the early diagnosis of CIN, especially in patients with risk factors or new onset of altered mental status after initiation of cefepime. Thus, frequent monitoring of renal function and mental status, recording of EEG, and measurement of serum levels of cefepime appear to be of value in patients with risk factors of CIN.

CIN may sometimes be difficult to diagnose since critically ill patients often present comorbidities or comediations that could at least partially account for the neurological symptoms.

MANAGEMENT

Discontinuation of cefepime
The only definite treatment of CIN is discontinuation of cefepime. The result of a meta-analysis revealed that the most common intervention was withdrawal (81%) or interruption of treatment with reduction of cefepime dosage (4%), which led to clinical recovery or improvement within 1 to 3 days in about 90% of the patients [10].

Dialysis
Hemodialysis rapidly removes cefepime from blood and CSF and hastens recovery, especially in life-threatening situations. There have been several reports of emergent hemodialysis with successful results [2,6,14,41,45,46,74,82].

Meta-analysis showed that 8% or 14% of the cases received hemodialysis [9,10]. Hemodialysis resulted in more rapid recovery of encephalopathy and disappearance of GPD than those observed in the AED group, and the median time to clinical improvement dropped from 2 to 1 day [10].

A single 3-hour hemodialysis session is efficient to remove 70% of a given dose due to the low protein binding, low molecular weight, and low volume distribution of cefepime [33]. Hemodialysis reduces the elimination half-life of cefepime from 13.5 to 2.3 hours in patients with ESRD requiring hemodialysis.

CAPD is much less efficient in clearing cefepime with only 9% of the cefepime clearance in hemodialysis [34].

CRRT also easily removes cefepime from blood, and is more effective than CAPD but less so than hemodialysis [87].

Anticonvulsants
A meta-analysis revealed that a third of the reported cases received anticonvulsants including benzodiazepines, phenobarbital, phenytoin, levetiracetam, and valproic acid [9,10]. Although EEG showed temporary suppression of GPD after intravenous injection of benzodiazepine, there was no immediate and permanent recovery of mental status or EEG. Clinical and EEG recovery within 2 to 3 days of intervention is related to discontinuation of cefepime rather than anticonvulsant therapy [10,43,76]. Therefore anticonvulsant therapy is not warranted anymore for the treatment of CIN except for patients with convulsive seizures.
or definite NCSE [43,76].

ANALYSIS OF KOREAN CASES

There have been nine case reports of CIN in Korean medical journals (Table 2) [39-42,49,88-90]. Six of the nine were diagnosed as NCSE [39,40,42,88-90] and four received anticonvulsants; however, three cases were described as CIN with TW or GPD [39,41] and did not receive anticonvulsants. In the eight EEG samples of the nine reported cases (no EEG in one case [49]), six showed GPD with triphasic morphology at 2 to 3 Hz. One had symptomatic aphasic status epilepticus associated with a preexisting brain injury and cefepime intoxication, and the other showed uninterpretable EEG [39].

PREVENTION

Prevention of CIN is the best treatment. For prevention, adjustment of dosage is most important when renal function is impaired or the serum level of cefepime is abnormally increased. Careful monitoring of renal function is recommended to allow daily adjustment of the cefepime dose. TDM of cefepime can be helpful if available.

PROGNOSIS

An early diagnosis and discontinuation of cefepime is a major key to a favorable outcome. CIN is reversible in most patients. Death may occur due to underlying illness or a delay in diagnosis. Unexplained mortality in patients with CIN has been reported many times, although a causal relationship between neurotoxicity and mortality has not been demonstrated [71,91]. The FDA reported that a statistically significant increased mortality in patients on cefepime compared to that in patients on other cephalosporines was not identified upon meta-analysis [92].

CONCLUSION

CIN is a toxic encephalopathy with GPD in most cases rather than NCSE, which usually occurs at 2 to 5 days after cefepime initiation and improves in 1 to 3 days after discontinuation. For prevention of CIN, dose adjustment according to renal function is essential in patients with renal insufficiency, and then, careful monitoring of renal function and neurological status is required. CIN should be suspected when new onset of acute neurological deficits occurs in patients with risk factors of CIN. However, CIN may also occur in patients with normal renal function and adjusted dose based on renal function. A diagnosis of CIN can be made after excluding other

Table 2. Nine cases of cefepime-induced neurotoxicity reported in Korea

| Study          | Age/sex | Renal dysfunction | Dose (g)   | Clinical symptoms       | Latency (day) | Improvement after Tx (day) | EEG description          | Treatment | Remark |
|----------------|---------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Ryu et al. [49]| 84/M    | Yes               | 2 bid (excessive) | Confusion, stupor, myoclonus | 3             | 2                         | TW at 1.5 Hz              | AED for myoclonus | GPD at 1.5 Hz |
| Ryu et al. [49]| 74/F    | Yes               | 2 bid (adjusted)  | Confusion                   | 2             | 7                         | TW                       | No EEG sample |        |
| Baek et al. [41]| 71/F       | Yes               | 2 qd (adjusted)   | Agitation, stupor           | 3             | 3                         | TW at 1.5 Hz              | HD         | Albumin 1.9 g/L |
| Lee et al. [42]| 68/F    | Yes (HD)          | 2 bid (adjusted)  | Stupor, myoclonus          | 6             | NA                        | NCSE                     | AED       | GPD at 2 Hz |
| Kim et al. [88]| 71/F    | Yes (CRRT)        | 2 bid (adjusted)  | Stupor, myoclonus          | 5             | 3                         | NCSE                     | AED       | GPD at 2 Hz |
| Kim et al. [39]| 75/M    | No                | 2 tid (appropriate) | Drowsy, tremor             | 2             | 2                         | EEG-uninterpretable      | Old structural lesion and continuous SWs at the Lt frontal area |
| Kwon et al. [89]| 36/M     | Yes               | 2 tid (excessive) | Global aphasia             | NA            | 2                         | NCSE (continuous SW at 2.5 Hz on the Lt frontal) | GPD at 2 to 3 Hz |
| Lee [90]       | 31/M    | Yes (HD)          | 2 tid (excessive)  | Stupor, myoclonus          | 4             | 5                         | NCSE                     | GPD at 2 Hz |
| Park et al. [40]| 74/F    | No                | 2 bid (appropriate) | Stupor, myoclonus          | 6             | 4                         | NCSE                     | AED       | GPD at 2 Hz |

Tx, treatment; EEG, electroencephalography; bid, twice a day; TW, triphasic wave; AED, antiepileptic drug; GPD, generalized periodic discharge; qd, daily; HD, hemodialysis; NA, not available; NCSE, nonconvulsive status epilepticus; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; Rt, right; SW, spike-wave; Lt, left.
causes of altered mental status, supported by GPD on EEG or increased serum levels of cefepime. CIN is reversible after prompt discontinuation of cefepime, and in life-threatening situations, emergent hemodialysis may be helpful. Anticonvulsant therapy is necessary only for patients with convulsive seizures or definite NCSE.
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