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Abstract
In the pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019, virtual visits have become the primary means of delivering efficient, high-quality, and safe health care while Americans are instructed to stay at home until the rapid transmission of the virus abates. An important variable in the quality of any patient–clinician interaction, including virtual visits, is how adroit the clinician is at forming a relationship. This article offers a review of the research that exists on forming a relationship in a virtual visit and the outcomes of a quality improvement project which resulted in the refinement of a “Communication Tip Sheet” that can be used with virtual visits. It also offers several communication strategies predicated on the R.E.D.E. to Communicate model that can be used when providing care virtually.
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Introduction
The novel coronavirus has dramatically altered the way health care is delivered in this country. Almost overnight, professional societies and health care organizations began urging the use of telehealth practices to provide follow-up care and urgent care including screening for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (1–4). This viral pandemic prompted the rapid deployment and adoption of telehealth services without permitting clinicians to reflect on transitioning their best communication practices to a virtual setting. One health care organization reported that their provision of telehealth services accelerated exponentially, increasing from an average of 5000 visits a month to 200 000 during the ordered shutdown (4).

Telehealth is defined as the “use of communication technology to provide access to health information, consultation, monitoring, diagnosis, and self-management support”(5). It was introduced as early as the 1960s, but advancements and societal adoption of technology made virtual visits more accessible, affordable, and efficient over the past 4 decades (6).

Webside Manner and Telecompetence
Sir William Osler, often referred to as “the father of modern medicine,” is credited with modeling the behaviors associated with bedside manner. Osler is revered for his innate ability to offer comfort, listen attentively, tender respect, and provide an empathic response to those seeking healing and reprieve from their suffering (7). “Webside manner” has emerged as the term used to convey the clinician’s ability to transfer these relational skills via technology (8–10). Telecompetence is the term used to describe the requisite skills
and proficiency that clinicians should demonstrate to foster relationships, promote healing, and convey empathy during virtual visits (11). The use of empathic statements is critical to promoting relationship-centered care. Though emotion recognition software is quickly advancing, it remains in its infancy. For now, virtual visits can present communication challenges as the emotional cues that are easily recognized in a traditional visit may go unrecognized (12). The purpose of this article is to discuss the importance of empathy and examine the application of the R.E.D.E. (pronounced ready) Model to virtual visits and introduce a communication tip sheet that can be used when conducting virtual visits (13).

**The Present Research**

The research literature on clinician use of empathy in virtual visits is limited. While the literature supports the use of telehealth for chronic disease management such as diabetes, hypertension, and asthma and nonemergent illnesses including sinusitis, dermatitis, and conjunctivitis, there exists a paucity of research on relationship-centered communication practices in virtual visits (14).

Researchers from Northern Illinois University conducted a literature review of 45 articles that represented an array of disciplines and clinical specialties. While unable to identify clinician behaviors that were generalizable, the authors offered important considerations for practice and education. These include perceptions of the utility of telehealth; differences in communication patterns such as pace and type of discourse, reliance on visual cues by both clinician and patient, most notably in communicating empathy and building rapport; and confidentiality and privacy in health care delivery (15).

Investigators examined patient perceptions of physician empathy at a comprehensive stroke center. Fifty patients were seen via telehealth, and 20 patients were seen via in-person visits. Physician empathy was assessed using the Consultation and Relational Empathy (CARE) questionnaire. Each of the CARE items was rated very good or excellent by 87% of the participants in the telemedicine group. No differences were noted between the telemedicine and in-person visit groups in their perception of physician empathy. The authors included 12 recommendations for best practice telemedicine etiquette, which ranged from orienting the patient to the visit, imaginatively entering the patient’s situation, acknowledging the patient’s worries and concerns to identifying others in the room, and including them in the discussion (16).

A study conducted in British Columbia surveyed 399 patients who participated in a virtual visit. The findings revealed that 93% of the respondents reported their visit was of high quality and 91% stated that their visit was “very” or “somewhat” helpful in resolving the health issue for which they sought care. Seventy-nine percent of the respondents stated that their visit was “very” or “somewhat” helpful in resolving the health issue for which they sought care. Seventy-nine percent of the respondents stated that their visit was “very” or “somewhat” helpful in resolving the health issue for which they sought care.

A comparison between virtual visits and face-to-face visits provided by 5 physicians to 20 patients demonstrated that more time was spent in the virtual visit. No statistical difference was noted in the type of questions posed by the physicians in the virtual visit and face-to-face encounter. However, statements of praise, facilitation, and empathy were observed less in the virtual visits (18).

Nineteen patients requiring consultation for pulmonary illnesses were enrolled in a study evaluating patient–physician communication during a virtual visit. The control group of 8 patients were assigned in-person consultations with a pulmonologist, whereas the intervention group of 8 patients received care virtually. The visits were videotaped and coded for patient–physician verbal and nonverbal statements using the Roter Interaction Analysis System. There were no differences in the number of words verbalized between the virtual visits and in-person encounters. There were equal percentages of physician and patient verbalizations, on average, with in-person visits (46% each); however, physicians accounted for more words than patients in virtual visits (48% vs 38%). Information exchanged focused primarily on medical as opposed to psychosocial content for both visit types. However, a trend was observed showing more psychosocial content in the in-person versus virtual. Physicians were more likely to use orientation statements during in-person encounters; patients made more requests for repetition during virtual visits. No differences in global affect ratings were detected. The authors concluded that virtual visits are more physician-centered, with physicians controlling the dialogue and patients taking a more passive role (19).

In a study exploring the experiences of patients and clinicians participating in a follow-up visit, patients were asked to rate a variety of items that might influence their satisfaction of the visit. In addition to convenience and logistics, the patients were queried about the time spent with the clinician, personal connection they experienced during the virtual visit, and overall assessment of the quality of experience. When rating the “personal connection felt during the visit,” 32.7% reported the office visit is better, 5.5% reported the virtual visit is better, and 59.1% reported no difference (20).

Researchers in Australia were interested in exploring the impact of an embedded empathic agent on their website to increase adherence to recommended therapies for children with incontinence. The website was introduced to children and their families while waiting to be seen in a highly specialized clinic. The empathic agent, known as Dr Evie (virtual agent for Incontinence and Enuresis), was designed to be a culturally inclusive figure which represented the multicultural society of Australia. Empathic flow sheets were created that captured the dialogue and incorporated personalized treatment advice and included meta-relational communication strategies including greeting and farewell rituals and politeness and inclusion behaviors. The 6-month trial using Dr Evie, as compared to the printed text of treatment strategies, revealed that 74 children with urinary incontinence demonstrated an overall reported improvement in 74% of
the participants, with 38% reported a resolution of their incontinence without the need to seek specialist care (21).

The Delphi technique was used by nursing faculty in the Netherlands to determine competencies required for nursing providers of telehealth services. A panel of 51 experts including both nurses and patients were asked to identify essential competencies from a list of 52 items. The panel reached a consensus on 14 different skills with 7 overarching themes: knowledge, attitudes, general skills, clinical skills, technological skills, implementation skills, and

---

| Relationship: | Establish Phase I | Develop Phase II | Engage Phase III |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Convey value & respect with the welcome | • Review chart in advance & comment on their history | • Engage in reflective listening | • Share diagnosis & information |
| • Knock & inquire before entering room | • Nonverbally – e.g., direct eye contact, forward lean, nodding | • Orient patient to the education & planning portion of the visit |
| • Greet patient formally with smile & handshake | • Verbally using continuers such as “mm-hmm”, “I see”, “go on” or reflecting the underlying meaning or emotion of what is said | • Present a clear, concise diagnosis |
| • Introduce self & team; clarify role(s) | • “What I hear you saying is...” or “Sounds like...” | • Pause if necessary |
| • Position self at patient’s eye level | • Avoid expressing judgment, getting distracted, or redirecting speaker | • Provide additional education, if desired & helpful to the patient |
| • Recognize & respond to signs of physical or emotional distress | • Express appreciation for sharing | • Frame information in the context of the patient’s perspective |
| • Attend to patient’s privacy | | |
| • Make a brief patient-focused social comment, if appropriate | | |

---

**Figure 1.** R.E.D.E. to Communicate® Skills Checklist.
Table 1. Communicating During a Virtual Visit Survey Questions.

1. What techniques do you use to open a virtual visit?
2. How do you reconnect with patients in a virtual visit?
3. What techniques do you use to close a virtual visit?
4. How do you clarify patient emotion?
5. How do you respond to patient emotion?
6. How do you convey empathy verbally? Nonverbally?
7. Are there communication skills that do not seem to translate well to a virtual visit?
8. How much time is allotted for your virtual visits? Is their flexibility in the time? How are patients made aware of the time allotment, if any?
9. How do you organize your virtual visits?
10. What are the selling points of a virtual visit from a clinician perspective?
11. What are the disadvantages of a virtual visit from a clinician perspective?
12. Three words you would associate with a virtual visit?
13. What is your signature strategy in communicating with patients during a virtual visit?

Table 2. Communicating During a Virtual Visit Survey Responses (N = 6).

Question and Response

Q1. What techniques do you use to open a virtual visit?
R1. The same I use in the office. Greet the patient with a warm hello and reintroduce myself.
R2. Greet the families with a smile. Tell them how nice it is to meet them.
R3. Offer a warm and genuine “hello.”
R4. Greet warmly! Acknowledge the different setting. I offer a brief tutorial and present trouble shooting recommendations. Then I ask them “What are your concerns that you want to discuss during our visit?”
R5. I make sure the patient can hear and see me clearly, otherwise I begin my visit as I would in the office.
R6. When I connect to patients and see their face on the screens, I greet them by saying “how nice to see you again” something like that to let them know I am delighted to see their face on the screen.

Q3. How do you clarify patient emotion?
R1. I respond with “so what I am hearing you say is...”
R2. Since my visits involve the entire family, I am diligent to pay attention to all the individuals sitting in front of me. I ask for feedback after I have provided a “chunk” of information.
R3. I find virtual visits afford more face-to-face time than office interactions since we are sitting face-to-face and I am not trying to document in their “chart.”
R4. Asking targeted, but flexible questions, “You seem upset by that last piece of information. Did it anger you? Sadden you? Surprise you?”
R5. I pay close attention to body language.
R6. I watch them on the screen. I listen intently to the subtle nuances in their voice. I can connect with people easily. I get a sense of a person’s emotion by watching but REALLY listening...not just to the words but the way in which they vocalize and verbalize their worries, fears, and anxieties.

Q4. How do you respond to patient emotion?
R1. With empathy.
R2. I empathize. I listen to their concerns and validate them.
R3. I acknowledge it and do not judge it.
R4. Acknowledge and validate their feelings.
R5. Same as I would in the office. I change my tone of voice and rate of speed based on the patient’s expression of emotion.
R6. I get closer to the screen and I use my voice to slowly and softly express empathy “Oh, I am SO sorry to hear that...”

Q5. How do you convey empathy verbally?
R1. My “go to phrase” is “I hear you.” I say it very gently.
R2. I acknowledge the statement and ask if they would like to tell me about how they are feeling. I only see families once as I provide a VERY SPECIFIC type of virtual visit.
R3. I often say the following when there is intense emotion associated with a personal or potentially embarrassing disclosure...“Thank you very much for sharing such a personal concern. Your willingness to tell me this will help me to help you.”
R4. I use a pause...I find this allows the patient to tell more of the story if they wish to before I respond slowly with “I am sorry you are going through this. I want you to know I am here for you and wish to help you get through (whatever the situation is).”
R5. Empathy statements are integral to my profession and I don’t find that telemedicine significantly impedes my traditional approach.
R6. I validate the patient’s emotions. “I would feel frustrated too.” “This kind of thing is never easy.” “That took a lot of courage to share that with me.”

(continued)
communication skills. Empathy was highlighted as an important telehealth communication skill (22).

Follow-up interviews of 27 people with type 2 diabetes mellitus, who had participated in one previous telehealth visit, were contacted to ascertain their perspectives about their experience. The patients reported that they were satisfied with the convenience of the virtual visits and ease of access. They identified 4 significant barriers: concern over the accuracy of the physical exam, engagement of the clinician, their apprehension in voicing a concern, and the ability to establish a meaningful relationship. The researchers offered a number of communication strategies generated from the interviews which include the following: develop patient education materials that describe a virtual visit and how to communicate concerns, encourage providers to explore patient preferences and goals, respond empathically to patient concerns, use technology to engage patients with behaviors traditionally used in inpatient visits, and develop a “webside” manner (23).

The R.E.D.E. Model

The R.E.D.E. Model was used as the framework for the creation of the virtual visit tip sheet as it is the relationship-centered communication approach that has been embraced by the clinicians where the quality improvement project was completed. Over 97% of the professional staff attended an 8-hour class, with 5 hours of the course being dedicated to skills practice and feedback. The course is a required component for the onboarding of professional staff, residents, and advanced care providers.

The R.E.D.E. Model “applies effective communication skills to optimize personal connections in three primary phases of a relationship: establishment, development and engagement” (13) (see Figure 1).

Phase 1: Establishing a Relationship

The first phase of R.E.D.E. is establishing a relationship. This phase addresses 4 overarching skills: conveying value and respect with the welcome, collaboratively setting an agenda, introducing the computer, and demonstrating empathy. The use of empathic statements is critical to fostering relationship-centered care because it humanizes our patients. Through the thoughtful and authentic use of empathy, clinicians can convey an understanding of the patient’s situation, perspective, and feelings and bear witness to his or her suffering. Research has found that empathy declines during medical school and residency and in the initial years of medical practice due to competing demands, time pressures, and a heavy patient load (24). The R.E.D.E. Model has been demonstrated to improve measures of patient satisfaction, reduce physician burnout, and improve physician empathy.
The following 10 tips communication strategies for patient/clinician virtual communication based on the R.E.D.E Model of healthcare communication.

1. **Convey Value and Respect with your welcome**
   - **Why?** When patient’s feel like you seem them as a person, you create a safe space that invites their trust and a more open exchange of information.
   - **What?** Brief behaviors (e.g., smile, look at the camera and not the screen to simulate eye contact, gather names from everyone on camera and inquire as to their relationship with the patient) at the start of a visit demonstrate our capacity to see patients as people. Acknowledge the virtual nature of the interaction.
   - **How?** “Hello Mr/s. ____. Thank you for inviting me into your home so that we can have a conversation. It is good to see you again. It is wonderful that your wife can join us. How have you been since our last visit together?”

2. **Introduce the Technology**
   - **Why?** Orient patients to the benefits of a virtual visit as well as the difference from an in person visit. Such an orientation helps set realistic expectations thereby reducing any possible annoyance that may be associated with the use of technology.
   - **What?** Identify the technology
   - **How?** “I’d like to talk briefly about what it’s like to have a virtual visit. As you already found out, your home is more comfortable than an office waiting room. But, since this isn’t in person, please know that if it seems like I’m not looking at you, that’s probably because I’m looking at you on the screen. You should also know that I have a computer here with your medical records and I may be looking at that periodically. I will type a few notes during the visit to accurately capture your story.”

3. **Collaboratively set the Agenda**
   - **Why?** If you and the patient have built the agenda together, you are both working on a successful outcome. Time efficiency is improved when an agreement has been made on what will be covered.
   - **What?** Ask the patient what he or she wants to address, provide your agenda items, and then determine a mutually agreeable agenda for the visit?
   - **How?** “What are you hoping we can address in today’s visit?” (Wait for patient response) “What else?” (Gather the list) “In terms of what we’ll cover together, I’d like to suggest that first I hear more about the difficulty you’ve been having, then I’ll need to ask questions to get a better idea of what is going on, and after that, we will work on next steps. How does that sound?”

4. **Demonstrate Empathy verbally?**
   - **Why?** Empathic statements let the patient know we care. Empathic statements are therapeutic, improve outcomes and save time in a visit. Because we are not in the same physical location as the patient, these statements highlight our humanity.
   - **What?** An empathic statement is a statement that addresses the emotion a patient has expressed or may be feeling.
   - **How?** “I can only imagine how overwhelming this must be for you.” “I’m here to help you through this.” “I wish I could be there with you in person.” “I hear worry in your voice.” “I can hear how hard this has been on you.” “I’m excited about your progress too.” “I would feel frustrated as well.” “It sounds like you have had some very difficult days recently.”

5. **Elicit the patient narrative of the History of Present Illness**
   - **Why?** Allow patients to feel heard while also providing valuable insight for improved diagnostic accuracy.
   - **What?** Allow patients to tell their story, in their own words.
   - **How?** “Tell me more about your (chief concern, worry, etc).”

6. **Engage in Reflective Listening**
   - **Why?** Patients don’t know what we hear and understand unless we repeat back to them what they have just told us. Repeating back the story helps both the clinician and the patient move forward.
   - **What?** Reflective listening is a summary of the key points that a patient has just expressed.
   - **How?** “It sounds like . . .” or “If I’m hearing you correctly . . .” or “Let me reflect back that key points you’ve shared . . .”

7. **Share diagnosis and information in the context of the patient’s perspective**
   - **Why?** Patients learn best when new information impacts something that personally matters to them. Patients are also more motivated for behavior change when they are aware of how it will benefit something that is important to them.
   - **What?** Identify what is most important to the patient, such as the biggest concerns or goal. Then identify how any diagnosis or information and treatment planning might impact what matters most to the patient.
   - **How?** “You have had several low blood sugar events that you can’t explain this past week.” Or “It looks like your son has an eye irritation and not pink eye. That means he is not contagious and can go to the birthday party.”

8. **Collaboratively develop the treatment plan**
   - **Why?** Patients will be more motivated and confident in their capacity to manage their health, leading to improved clinical health outcomes.
   - **What?** Provide sufficient information to patients, invite them to share their ideas, preferences and barriers and then incorporate them into the plan.
9. Have the patient repeat back what he/she understands

**Why?** Asking patients to repeat what they understand provides an opportunity to correct any misunderstanding or fill in any gaps before the visit ends. It also helps patients recall the information after the visit, and thus, facilitates their health management.

**What?** Also called teach back, it is the process of asking patients to restate what they understand and what they are going to do next.

**How?** “To make sure my recommendations made sense, will you tell me what you heard are the next steps?”

10. Provide Closure

**What?** Patients will look to you for a sign that the work of the visit is done. Since it is an expected practice in relationships, providing close also reinforces the personal connection you have with them.

**What?** Give a clear signal to the patient that the visit is coming to a close.

**How?** “It’s time to wrap-up our visit for today. I’m so thankful that you didn’t wait to share this concern. I will put a note in your chart. I hope you had a good visit and will consider another virtual visit in the future.”

**Figure 2. Top 10 Communication Tips for Clinicians**

and self-efficacy and support the ongoing refinement of communication skills training (25).

**Phase 2: Developing the Relationship**

The second phase of R.E.D.E is developing the relationship. Phase 2 skills concentrate on reflective listening, eliciting the patient narrative of the history of present illness and getting to know the patient as a person. The mnemonic “V.I.E.W.” is used to remind us to explore the patient’s perspectives. This includes learning about the patient’s vital activities (occupational, interpersonal, and intrapersonal) and what has changed as a result of their health care concern. Exploring what ideas the person has about the problem and eliciting expectations as well as worries or fears allows the clinician to not only acknowledge and validate the emotion but also appropriately respond to each concern (13).

**Phase 3: Engaging the Relationship**

Phase 3 skills focus on sharing the diagnosis and related information in the context of the patient’s perspective, creating the treatment plan together and providing closure to the visit. This phase concentrates on identifying the next steps and envelopes the patient in the discussion of treatment options and choices, risks and benefits, and potential obstacles in following the plan of care (13).

**Developing Webside Manner**

The authors were asked by the Chief Patient Experience Officer at our organization to enhance an existing “Communication Tip Sheet” that would offer recommendations on strategies to be more relationally centered during virtual visits. We were provided with the names of high-volume providers of virtual visits at our organization who were identified by patients as being highly relationship centered in virtual visits by the Office of Clinical Transformation. Eleven clinicians were contacted and invited to participate in a 13-question online survey that would assist the authors in creating the Tip Sheet (see Table 1).

Six individuals responded to our e-mail invitation and agreed to a follow-up interview, either in person or by phone. Two physicians, 2 registered nurses, a dietitian, and a genetic counselor shared their best relationship promoting practices. The online surveys were reviewed, and follow-up interviews were held with each participant. The follow-up interviews permitted each participant to explain and expand upon their online responses. Responses that addressed the initiation of the virtual visit and strategies they used to employ empathy are presented here (see Table 2).

Each clinician shared some of their preferred phrases that have comforted their patients and allowed them to connect in a meaningful way. One clinician emphasized the value of being fully present at the moment in order to hear just not the facts but also the feelings and intonations conveyed by her patients’ stories. Such meaningful awareness enhanced her ability to respond more holistically to the physical and emotional needs of her patients. Several recounted how they conveyed empathy through prosody (26). They described the way they intentionally used their voice and emphasized the importance of intonation, volume, and pace in connecting with their patients. Some examples include: “Greet the patient with a warm hello.” “Offer a warm and genuine ‘hello.’” “Greet warmly!” “I change my tone of voice and rate of speed based on the patient’s expression of emotion.” “I get closer to the screen and I use my voice to slowly and softly express empathy.” “I am very intentional about how I use my voice. As a nurse, I know that my voice is an instrument in caring.”
These “best practices” were incorporated into a Virtual Visit Communication Tip Sheet that has been made available to all clinicians at our organization (see Figure 2). Differences between the original tip sheet and the virtual tip sheet are included for comparison (see Figure 3). The authors suggest that rigorous research studies of these clinician-recommended practices are needed to validate their efficacy in optimizing relationship-centered care in virtual visits.

### Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has forever changed how health care will be delivered. It has shown us that telehealth services are an acceptable adjunct to in-person visits. Relationship-centered virtual visits require clinicians to be intentional and deliberate in doing all that is known to be effective with in-person visits to optimize the advantages of telemedicine. Patients should not have to compromise the relationship in exchange for the ease of access or safety afforded by telemedicine.

Based on our review of the literature and the recommendations of high-volume users of virtual visits who are perceived by patients as relationally centered, there are communication techniques that are easily transferrable to the virtual world. An optimal relationship-centered webside manner emphasizes mindfulness, verbal empathy, and sentiment-congruent prosody throughout the visit. Qualitative research is needed to examine the incremental contributions these relationship-centered webside manner skills can have on establishing a relationship, acknowledging suffering, and promoting healing.
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