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Abstract

This paper describes our submission to the Social Media Mining for Health (SMM4H) 2022 Shared Task 8, aimed at detecting self-reported chronic stress on Twitter. Our approach leverages a pre-trained transformer model (RoBERTa) in combination with a Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BiLSTM) network trained on a diverse set of psycholinguistic features. We handle the class imbalance issue in the training dataset by augmenting it by another dataset used for stress classification in social media.

1 Introduction

The global increase in social media use over the past decade has afforded researchers new opportunities to mine health-related information that can ultimately be used to improve public health. The Social Media Mining for Health Applications (SMM4H) Shared Task involved ten natural language processing challenges of using social media data for health research (Weissenbacher et al., 2022). In our submission to the task targeting the classification of self-reported chronic stress on Twitter (Task 8), we built hybrid models that combine pre-trained transformer language models with Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BiLSTM) networks trained on a diverse set of psycholinguistic features.

2 Data

The Twitter data provided by the organizers of Task 8 comprised of a total of 4,195 tweets whose distributions over training, development and testing sets are shown in Table 3 of supplementary material. About 37% of the tweets are positive (self-disclosure of chronic stress, Pos) and 63% are negative (non-self-disclosure of chronic stress, Neg). The only preprocessing step that was applied was the removal of HTML and links from the text. To address the class imbalance in the data, we augmented the data using 1000 items with positive labels and 200 ones with negative labels from the Dreaddit dataset (Turcan and McKeown, 2019).

2.1 Measurement of Psycholinguistic Features

A set of 435 psycholinguistic features used in our approach fall into the following four categories: (1) features of morpho-syntactic complexity (N=19), (2) features of lexical richness, diversity and sophistication (N=77), (3) readability features (N=14), and (4) lexicon features designed to detect sentiment, emotion and/or affect (N=325). Measurements of these features were obtained using an automated text analysis system (for its recent applications, see e.g. Wiechmann et al. (2022) for predicting eye-moving patterns during reading and Kerz et al. (2022) for detection of Big Five personality traits and Myers–Briggs types). Tokenization, sentence splitting, part-of-speech tagging, lemmatization and syntactic PCFG parsing were performed using Stanford CoreNLP (Manning et al., 2014).

3 Description of System Architecture

We conducted experiments with a total of five models: (1) a fine-tuned Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) model (Devlin et al., 2018), (2) a fine-tuned RoBERTa model (Robustly Optimized BERT pre-training Approach) (Liu et al., 2019), (3) a bidirectional neural network classifiers trained on measurements of psycholinguistic features described in Section 2.2, and (4) and (5) two hybrid models integrating BERT and RoBERTa predictions with the psycholinguistic features. For each model, we performed experiments with and without data augmentation.

For (1) and (2) we used the pretrained ‘bert-base-uncased’ and ‘roberta-base’ models from the Huggingface Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2020) each with an intermediate BiLSTM layer with 256
hidden units (Al-Omari et al., 2020). For (3) - the model based solely on psycholinguistic features, we constructed a 2-layer BiLSTM with a hidden state dimension of 32. The input to that model is a sequence CM\textsubscript{N} = (CM_{1}, CM_{2}, ..., CM_{N}), where CM\textsubscript{i}, the output of CoCoGen for the \textit{i}th sentence of a document, is a 435 dimensional vector and \textit{N} is the sequence length. To predict the labels of a sequence, we concatenate the last hidden states of the last layer in forward (\textrightarrow{h}_{n}) and backward directions (\textleftarrow{h}_{n}). The result vector of concatenation \textrightarrow{h}_{n} = [\textrightarrow{h}_{n} | \textleftarrow{h}_{n}] is then transformed through a 2-layer feedforward neural network, using the Rectifier linear unit (ReLU) as an activation function. The output of this network is then passed to a Dense Fully Connected (FC) Layer with dropout of 0.2 and is finally passed on to a terminal, fully connected layer. The output is a \textit{K} dimensional vector, where \textit{K} is the number of emotion labels. The architecture of the hybrid classification models - models (4) and (5) - consists of two parts: (i) a pre-trained Transformer-based model with a BiLSTM layer and FC layer on top of it and (ii) the psycholinguistic features of the text fed into a BiLSTM layer and a FC layer. We concatenate the outputs of these layers before passing them into a final FC layer with a sigmoid activation function. Since the evaluation is based on the sensitivity of the system – i.e., here the classification of positive labels is more important – , we reduced the threshold of positive labels from 0.5 to 0.3. The model used to generate predictions for the test set was the RoBERTa-PsyLing hybrid model with the following configuration: BiLSTM-PsyLing: 2-layers, hidden size of 512 and dropout 0.2. We trained this model for 12 epochs, saving the model with the best performance from the development set. The optimizer used is AdamW with a learning rate of 2e-5 and a weight decay of 1e-4. We trained 5 models with 5 random 80% splits of the training data and superimposed a meta-learner to get the final predictions.

4 Results
An overview of the performance metrics on the validation set is presented in Table 1. We found that the proposed hybrid models consistently outperformed the standard transformer-based baseline models, with an improvement in F1 of up to 3%. Training the models on the augmented data led to an average increase in performance of 3.8% F1 over the non-augmented data. Highest performance on the validation set (F1 = 81) was achieved by the RoBERTa hybrid model. Error inspection indicated that the majority of errors are False Positives (see Table 5\textsuperscript{2}). This behavior was intentionally evoked by lowering the threshold for positive labels, as task scoring focused on the F1 assessment of these labels. Manual inspection of the errors also revealed that some predictions were incorrect due to labeling errors in the development set. Examples of such cases can be found in Table 6\textsuperscript{2}.

Table 2: Results on the test set (courtesy of challenge organizers)

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Model} & \textbf{Acc} & \textbf{Prec} & \textbf{Rec} & \textbf{F1} \\
\hline
\text{RoBERTa-Hybrid} & 79.8 & 72 & 76 & 75 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

5 Conclusion
We developed hybrid classification systems for the detection of self-reported chronic stress that integrate pre-trained transformer language models with BiLSTM networks trained on a diverse set of psycholinguistic features. Our experiments show that such hybrid models significantly outperform base transformer models for both augmented and non-augmented data.

\textsuperscript{2}Table 5,6 in supplementary material
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