A MIMO Radar-based Few-Shot Learning Approach for Human-ID
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Abstract—Radar for deep learning-based human identification has become a research area of increasing interest. It has been shown that micro-Doppler (µ-D) can reflect the walking behavior, through capturing the periodic limbs micro-motions. One of the main aspects is maximizing the number of included classes, while considering the real-time and training dataset size constraints. In this paper, a multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) radar is used to formulate micro-motion spectrograms of the elevation angular velocity (µ-ω). The effectiveness of concatenating this newly-formulated spectrogram with the commonly used µ-D ones is investigated. To accommodate for non-constrained real walking motion, an adaptive cycle segmentation framework is utilized and a metric learning network is trained on half gait cycles (≈0.5 s). Studies on the effects of various numbers of classes (5–20), different dataset sizes, and varying observation time windows (1–2 s) are conducted. A non-constrained walking dataset of 22 subjects is collected with different aspect angles with respect to the radar. The proposed few-shot learning (FSL) approach achieves a classification error of 11.3 % with only 2 min of training data per subject.

Index Terms—Radar, micro-motion, human identification, few-shot learning, triplet loss

I. INTRODUCTION

Person identification (human-ID) is of great interest for applications like surveillance, access control, and safety systems, where biometric characteristics are commonly used [1]. One of them is the radar-based micro-Doppler (µ-D) signature of human gait, which has recently been heavily investigated for human-ID [2]–[4]. The superposition of micro-motions causes such signatures during movement, e.g., swinging legs and arms [5], [6]. In contrast to common biometrics, remote sensing has the advantage of being non-cooperative, works for gait recognition on long distances, and is hard to disguise [7]–[9]. Radar beats other visionary systems as it is privacy-conservative and can handle nearly any environmental condition e.g., dust, smoke, darkness, and sunlight [10].

Multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) radar modules have been deployed to the task of human-ID. The interferometric analysis between different receiving antennas is used to estimate the target’s angle of arrival (AoA) [11], where a tracking feature is added to µ-D-based human-ID applications [2], [3]. However, the rate of change of the AoA profiles can be monitored through time to reflect the micro-motions of the angular velocity. To the best of our knowledge, this wasn’t previously investigated as an extra feature for enhancing the human-ID task. Such technique is different from the one presented in [12], where the tangential angular velocity was derived independently of the AoA due to hardware limitations. The main aim was to extend the field of view (FOV) to include tangential scenarios.

Deep learning (DL) has successfully been adapted to the topic of radar-based human-ID and has led to leaps in classification accuracy [13]. The majority of present research work is based on µ-D spectrograms and DCNN architectures [14]–[16]. The benefit of more sophisticated DCNNs has been studied in [17]–[19], while an explicit use of the range-Doppler maps is presented in [1], [20]. The latter proves the effectiveness of transfer learning on the topic of radar-based human-ID. Thus, transfer learning is presented as a remedy for the requirement of multitudes of data for training DL approaches that could also be utilized for activity recognition [34]. For the same purpose, few-shot learning (FSL) is deployed for human-ID in [21], [22]. FSL has the advantage of relying on less labeled samples to successfully train a DCNN [23] and performs better on unseen data. However, using µ-D signatures comes at the expense of the observation window and the number of classes.

All mentioned papers solved the radar-based human-ID task based on an observation window of at least 1 s of µ-D spectrogram data (one full gait cycle), with the majority being in the 3 s range. Relying on longer observation windows enables the inclusion of more classes and identification of a less-constrained walking style. The work presented in [4] has accomplished classification on half gait cycles (≈0.5 s). However, the study was conducted on restricted treadmill motion. To sum up, there is a trade-off between the real-time analysis and radar features as well as non-restricted walking and the number of classes. Moreover, relying on less training data is recommended as the human-ID application always requires the inclusion of new classes. Thus, walking for long time periods and retraining of the entire network is not applicable in real life.

In this paper a human-ID task is considered, where 22 subjects walk according to their natural gait in a non-constrained manner. The framework is based on a MIMO radar to capture µ-ω and µ-D spectrograms. An adaptive technique is used
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to slice the overall captured signatures into half walking gait cycles. The experimental setup includes walking at different aspect angles with respect to the radar. The proposed approach is based on a similarity score methodology that derives a correlation between the walking signatures in different angles.

II. MICRO-MOTION SIGNATURES

Each human has a walking signature that is defined mainly by the limbs’ periodic swinging behavior within the gait cycles [24]. Such swinging motions induce angular displacement and velocity. A technique to estimate a walking human’s tangential angular velocity is presented in [12]. A direct relation between the interferometric frequency and angular velocity is derived without relying on an AoA estimation. That algorithm was proposed to circumvent the limited AoA resolution in interferometric radar back then. However, this is not considered a limitation anymore due to the current high availability of MIMO modules in terms of cost, size-efficiency, and detection capability, e.g., range and AoA resolutions. The estimated velocity is proven to be complementary to the radial velocity [25] and reflects the micro-motion behavior in the tangential direction with respect to the radar [26]–[28]. The main goal of that research was to increase the radar’s FOV and to enhance the velocity estimation capabilities. Therefore, widely-spaced receiving antennas are required [12], [29], which comes at the expense of AoA estimation accuracy. However, the latter is of high importance for surveillance.

Our proposed technique for angular velocity estimation is different. It is based on a MIMO radar with frequency modulated continuous wave (FMCW) transmission protocol to estimate the micro-angular ($\mu$-\omega) spectrograms through monitoring the rate of change of the received AoA profiles with respect to time. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been implemented in any previous study, and the $\mu$-\omega signatures have not been deployed in any activity recognition use-cases yet. The derived $\mu$-\omega signatures are expected to enhance the identification accuracy when combined with the commonly used $\mu$-D signatures.

The utilized radar sensor can capture information to formulate range-AoA maps for the sagittal plane [30]. The range is estimated by evaluating the transmitted signal’s round-trip time, where the range resolution is dependant on the transmission frequency bandwidth. The AoA estimation is based on the beamforming capabilities of multiple receiving (Rx) antennas. As described in [11], the AoA ($\theta$) and 3 dB angular resolution ($\theta_{res}$) are:

$$\theta_{res} = \frac{1.78}{N_{Rx}}, \quad \theta = \arcsin \frac{\lambda \Delta \phi}{2\pi d_a}$$  

where $N_{Rx}$ is the number of Rx antennas, $d_a$ is the spacing between adjacent antennas, and $\Delta \phi$ is the phase difference between two consecutive Rx antennas. Since $\theta_{res} \propto N_{Rx}$, our algorithm deploys both available transmitting antennas to simulate a virtual antenna array with $2 \times N_{Rx}$ [31]. A range-AoA map is formulated within each transmission chirp of duration ($T_{ch}$) and is then accumulated through time. To formulate spectrograms that reflect the micro-motion behavior, a short-time Fourier transform (STFT) is used for the estimation of the rate of change over time. Applying it to the AoA and range separately yields both spectrograms, namely $\mu$-\omega and $\mu$-D. The formulated $\mu$-\omega spectrogram describes the elevation angular velocity, since the human limbs acquire angular displacements in the elevation plan while walking. From the resulting $\mu$-D spectrogram the velocity bins within $\pm 0.02$m/s and beyond $\pm 6.0$m/s are discarded, effectively diminishing the effect of static objects and multi-path reflections for better training results [16]. Both formulated spectrograms are synchronized in time. Fig. 1 visualizes a full gait cycle of (a) the $\mu$-D and (b) the $\mu$-\omega spectrogram.

III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

A. Gait Cycle Slicing

The gait cycle slicing is based on the grayscale $\mu$-D spectrogram, which is visualized in Fig. 2(a). Its primary (blue) and secondary (green) envelopes are computed based on a binarized version of the spectrogram that has been cleansed up through morphological closing [32]. The grayscale version is used to compute the column-wise center of gravity (CoG, orange). Based on its value, the relative direction of movement from the target to the radar sensor can be determined. This information is crucial as Doppler shifts switch their sign depending on the direction of movement. By combining the information gained from the envelope’s shape and the CoG, slicing locations can accurately be set. The $\mu$-\omega spectrogram is sliced with accordance to the $\mu$-D spectrogram. The useful spectrogram information within each slice is extracted by fitting a rectangle to the local envelopes’ extrema and discarding all data points that lie outside it. Finally, concatenations of
the extracted cohesive grayscale μ-D and μ-ω spectrograms are fed to the FSL network (Fig. 3(a)). Due to the adaptive slicing, it can be expected that less noise is introduced into the dataset, which funnels down to a more stable and overall better training result. Radar-based human-ID on exact half gait cycles has not been explored for free walking yet.

B. Few-Shot Learning

While common DL techniques require multitudes of labeled data to perform satisfactory, FSL strives to achieve the same but with limited labeled data only. FSL is based on the idea of metric learning, thus relies on a similarity score in between two or more given samples. This similarity score is computed on an input data’s embedding space representation, which is learned to contain the most meaningful features only. In contrast to conventional learning techniques, these features do not resemble the input data’s shape but focus on discriminative characteristics in between samples of different classes. Hence, an embedding space is obtained that maps intrinsic data points to close proximity while pushing extrinsic ones further away.

The identification task is carried out by a DCNN that is based on residual and inception structures [33]. The residual part is formed by skip connections (Fig. 3(b)) that learn the residual representation of the input data by feeding identity mappings deeper into the network. This allows for DCNNs to be trained faster and yields a considerable gain in performance. The inception block (Fig. 3(c)) is based on the idea of going wider instead of deeper. It is composed of parallel branches that extract differently scaled features. By factoring the convolution into a series of two operations, cross-channel and spatial correlations can be assessed independently by a 1x1, 3x3 and 5x5 convolution, respectively. As a result, inception blocks allow the network to learn richer representations of the input data with fewer parameters and are less prone to overfitting. The loss function is represented by the triplet loss. It takes three samples, namely an anchor (a), a positive (p) and a negative (n) with their respective class membership $c_a$, $c_p$ and $c_n$ where $c_a = c_p$ while $c_a \neq c_n$. The triplet loss is formulated as

$$\ell(a, p, n) = \max(d(a, p) - d(a, n) + \delta, 0)$$

where $d$ denotes the Euclidean distance measure and $\delta$ a tuneable margin. The loss is minimized by pushing $d(a, p) \rightarrow 0$ and $d(a, n) \rightarrow d(a, p) + \delta$. Batch-hard triplets are mined online during the training process, and mini-batches are assured to be balanced over the course of the training. While the former guarantees educational triplets throughout, the latter ensures an unbiased training result. The triplet loss is expected to derive a correlation between the captured micro-motion signatures of different aspect angles.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The quality of the captured micro-motion signatures is affected when walking with an aspect angle to the radar line of sight (LOS) [35]. Thus, walking at different aspect angles was considered to test the feasibility of the proposed triplet-loss approach. For the first dataset, 22 subjects walk according to their non-constrained, natural gait along predefined linear paths at the aspect angles $[\pm 50^\circ, \pm 30^\circ, \pm 10^\circ, 0^\circ]$ with respect to LOS. Each path starts at 1 m away from the radar and has a radial length of 5 m, as shown in Fig. 4. The overall recording time per target is 6 min. The main aspect is to achieve human-ID on a bigger FOV with better non-constrained walking. The entire dataset is split into 70% for training and 30% for validation. A separate test dataset of 70 s per subject has also been collected. The utilized radar is parameterized as shown in Table I.

| Radar Parametrization       | Attributes   |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Carrier frequency ($f_o$)   | 77 GHz       |
| TX-RX antennas              | 2-16         |
| Bandwidth ($B$)              | 0.25 GHz     |
| Chirp duration ($T_{ch}$)   | 80 $\mu$s    |
| Chirps per frame ($N_F$)     | 512          |
| Chirps per chirp ($N_S$)     | 112          |
| $v_{res}$                   | 4.753 cm/s   |
| $\theta_{res}$              | 3.19°        |

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Spectrogram Constellations

Human-ID has mainly been based on the μ-D signature only. Deviating from this, the presented approach gives the
Fig. 5. Impact of the dataset complexity and the observation window length on the error rate of the FSL network.

opportunity to train on different spectrogram constellations. Three trainings are conducted on the non-constrained walking dataset. At first, trainings on both, the μ-D and μ-ω are executed separately. Then, the feasibility of cohesive μ-D and μ-ω concatenation is tested. All trainings include the entire 22 classes. The error rates are 15.2%, 11.2% and 10.1%, respectively. Even though both of the spectrograms exhibit closely related information, the μ-ω seems to capture more discriminative features than the well-explored μ-D one. The concatenated training shows a relative error reduction to the μ-D only case of 33.6% and to the μ-ω only case of 9.8%. This proves the effectiveness of spectrogram concatenation which is used in all further experiments.

B. Dataset Complexity

As can be seen in prior research [13], [15], [16], the complexity of the dataset, which refers to the number of classes, has a severe impact on the accuracy of DCNN architectures that are based on conventional DL techniques. These challenges arise from their core working principle to immediately classify a query sample to a specific class. Since FSL learns a distance function over given samples in an embedding space, meaningful features can be extracted as long as naturally related data is presented. Thus, the classification performance is affected less by the dataset complexity. This behavior can be observed on the presented DCNN architecture when it is given concatenations of cohesive μ-D and μ-ω spectrograms from the dataset of non-constrained movement of 5 to 22 different targets and is visualized in Fig. 5 (blue). For five different classes in the test set, the presented approach yields an error of 5.5% which increases to 10.1% for 22 different classes. While the error rate still increases with an increasing dataset complexity, it is less distinct compared to previous studies.

C. Dataset Size

Since few-shot learning is mainly concerned about the limited data availability, the presented DCNN architecture is tested on different amounts of data. Recent studies use ≈20 min of data per subject on average. Accordingly, our collected data of non-constrained walking for 6 min per target is considered limited. Nevertheless, to test the system’s capability, the dataset size is further reduced. Table II gives an overview on the sizes of the training dataset and the corresponding error rates. It can be reported that only 1 min of training data would lead to a high error of 24.9%. Nonetheless, by doubling this amount to only 2 min, an error rate of 11.3% is achieved, which wasn’t heavily affected afterwards with bigger training data sizes of 3.15, 4.20 min. This shows the merit of the proposed FSL approach on giving robust accuracy on unseen data even with very limited training duration.

D. Observation Window

To prove the feasibility of half gait cycle-based human-ID, a test series is executed where the observation window length is altered. To keep the effect of different dataset sizes to a minimum, they are kept about the same size throughout the experiments by changing the overlap between consecutive windows. Results are depicted in Fig. 5 (red). Apart from the proposed half gait cycle approach, it can be noticed that error rates decrease with an increase in the observation window’s length. This is due to the fact that fixing the observation window length cannot track the shape consistency of the extracted signatures. This non consistent signatures leads to a higher error rate for smaller observation window. The utilized μ-D spectrogram adaptive segmentation leads to a reliable extraction of the consistent shape of the captured gait cycles. As discussed in Sec. V-B, our proposed framework on half gait cycle (≈0.5 s) yields a comparable classification error to the fixed observation window of 2 s.

E. Embedding Space

The FSL architecture’s performance is highly dependent on the quality of its embedding space. The latter can be visualized through a t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) algorithm. It is depicted in Fig. 6 for the proposed system on the test dataset (a) prior and (b) after the training process. The different colors represent the 22 different classes. Before training, two widely spread but well-separated clusters can be observed, where all classes are randomly mixed up. The two clusters are caused by the direction of relative movement towards or away from the radar. The randomly mixed up samples indicate that intra-class variances are high compared to

--

**TABLE II. Impact of training dataset sizes on the error rate of the FSL network.** The results are based on the test dataset.

| Amount of data / min | Error rates [%] |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| 1.05                 | 24.9            |
| 2.10                 | 11.3            |
| 3.15                 | 10.4            |
| 4.20                 | 10.1            |

---

Fig. 6. t-SNE visualizations of the embedding space.
inter-class variances. After the training has finished, individual classes are tightly clustered and well separated within the embedding space, reflecting the classification error of 11.3%.

VI. CONCLUSION
This paper proposes a radar-based human-ID framework that is based on an inception-residual DCNN architecture. The need for large training datasets is overcome by leveraging FSL, and the triplet loss is successfully deployed to learn a discriminative embedding space on challenging data. Furthermore, the size of individual data samples is reduced to only half a gait cycle (∼0.5 s). Each sample is the concatenation of time-synchronized μ-D and newly-formulated μ-ω spectrograms, where the latter reflects the micro-motion behavior of the angular velocity in the elevation plane. The half walking gait cycles are segmented in an adaptive style. An error rate of 11.3 % is achieved on only 2 min training data. Further research will include the implementation of open-set capabilities and running the framework on a real-time basis. Previous studies reported aspect angle capabilities and running the framework on a real-time basis. Further research will include the implementation of open-set capabilities and running the framework on a real-time basis. In these cases, a 3D-point cloud radar can be beneficial to capture more descriptive features that can help in human-ID in static scenarios or for different motions.

REFERENCES
[1] Xin Yang, Jian Liu, Yingying Chen, Xiaonian Guo, and Yucheng Xie. Mu-ident: Multi-user identification through gait using millimeter wave radios. In IEEE INFOCOM 2020-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications, pages 2589–2598. IEEE, 2020.
[2] Jacopo Pegoraro, Francesca Meneghello, and Michele Rossi. Multi-person continuous tracking and identification from mm-wave micro-doppler signatures. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 59(4):2994–3009, 2020.
[3] Peijun Zhao, Chris Xiaojuan Lu, Jianan Wang, Changhao Chen, Wei Wang, Niki Trigoni, and Andrew Markham. mid: Tracking and identifying people with millimeter wave radar. In 2019 15th International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor Systems (DCOSS), pages 33–40. IEEE, 2019.
[4] Sherif Abdulatif, Fady Aziz, Karim Armanious, Bernhard Kleiner, Bin Yang, and Urs Schneider. Person identification and body mass index: A deep learning-based study on micro-dopplers. In 2019 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2019.
[5] Victor C Chen, Fayin Li, S-S Ho, and Harry Wechsler. Micro-doppler effect in radar: phenomenon, model, and simulation study. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 42(1):2–21, 2006.
[6] Victor C Chen. The micro-doppler effect in radar. Artech House, 2019.
[7] Neslihan Kose and Jean-Luc Dugelay. On the vulnerability of face recognition systems to spoofing mask attacks. In 2013 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, pages 2357–2361. IEEE, 2013.
[8] Nesli Ergodmus and Sebastien Marcel. Spoofing face recognition with 3d masks. IEEE transactions on information forensics and security, 9(7):1197–1207, 2014.
[9] Abdenour Hadil, Nicholas Evans, Sebastien Marcel, and Julian Fierrez. Biometrics systems under spoofing attack: an evaluation methodology and lessons learned. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 32(5):20–30, 2015.
[10] S. Abdullatif et al., “Stairs detection for enhancing wheelchair capabilities based on radar sensors,” in IEEE 6th Global Conference on Consumer Electronics (GCCE), 2017, pp. 1–5.
[11] Thomas A Milligan. Modern antenna design. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
[12] Jeffrey A Nanzer. Millimeter-wave interferometric angular velocity detection. IEEE transactions on microwave theory and techniques, 58(12):4128–4136, 2010.
[13] Peibei Cao, Weijie Xia, Ming Ye, Jutong Zhang, and Jianjiang Zhou. Radar-id: human identification based on radar micro-doppler signatures using deep convolutional neural networks. IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation, 12(7):729–734, 2018.
[14] YS Papanastasiou, RP Trommel, RIA Harmanly, and Alexander Yarovoy. Deep learning-based identification of human gait by radar micro-doppler measurements. In 2020 17th European Radar Conference (EuRAD), pages 49–52. IEEE, 2021.
[15] Xingshuai Qiao, Tao Shan, and Ran Tao. Human identification based on radar micro-doppler signatures separation. Electronics Letters, 56(4):195–196, 2020.
[16] Baptiste Vandersmissen, Nicolas Knudde, Azaraksh Jalalvand, Ivo Couckuyt, Andre Bourdoux, Welsey De Neve, and Tom Dhaene. Indoor person identification using a low-power fmwc radar. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 56(7):3941–3952, 2018.
[17] Yang Yang, Chunping Hou, Yue Lang, Guanghui Yue, Yuan He, and Wei Xiang. Person identification using micro-doppler signatures of human motions and uwb radar. IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, 29(5):366–368, 2019.
[18] Azaraksh Jalalvand, Baptiste Vandersmissen, Welsey De Neve, and Erik Mannens. Radar signal processing for human identification by means of reservoir computing networks. In 2019 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2019.
[19] Fia Addabbo, Mario Luca Bernardi, Filippo Biondi, Marta Cimitile, Carmine Clemente, and Danilo Orlando. Gait recognition using fmwc radar and temporal convolutional deep neural networks. In 2020 IEEE 7th International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (Metrokerospace), pages 171–175. IEEE, 2020.
[20] Zhongfei Ni and Binke Huang. Human identification based on natural gait micro-doppler signatures using deep transfer learning. IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation, 14(10):1640–1646, 2020.
[21] Usman Niazi, Sourik Hazra, Avik Santra, and Robert Weigel. Radar-based efficient gait classification using gaussian prototypical networks. In 2021 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf21), pages 1–5. IEEE, 2021.
[22] Zhongfei Ni and Binke Huang. Open-set human identification based on gait radar micro-doppler signatures. IEEE Sensors Journal, 21(6):8226–8233, 2021.
[23] Yaqing Wang, Quanming Yao, James T Kwok, and Lionel M Ni. Generalizing from a few examples: A survey on few-shot learning. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 53(3):1–34, 2020.
[24] Ronan Boulic, Nadia Magnenat Thalmann, and Daniel Thalmann. A global human walking model with real-time kinematic personification. The visual computer, 6(6):344–358, 1990.
[25] Jeffrey A Nanzer and Kojo S Zilevu. Dual interferometric-doppler measurements of the radial and angular velocity of humans. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, 62(3):1513–1517, 2014.
[26] Jeffrey A Nanzer and Victor C Chen. Microwave interferometric and doppler radar measurements of a uav. In 2017 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf), pages 1628–1633. IEEE, 2017.
[27] Jeffrey A Nanzer. Micro-motion signatures in radar angular velocity measurements. In 2016 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf), pages 1–4. IEEE, 2016.
[28] Huaiyuan Liang, Xiangrong Wang, Maria S Greco, and Fulvio Gini. Enhanced hand gesture recognition using continuous wave interferometric radar. In 2020 IEEE International Radar Conference (RadarConf), pages 226–231. IEEE, 2020.
[29] Jason Merlo, Eric Klnefelter, Stavros Vakalis, and Jeffrey A Nanzer. A multiple baseline interferometric radar for multiple target angular velocity measurement. IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, 2021.
[30] Thomas Geibig, Alex Shoykhetbrod, Alexander Hommes, Reinhold Mannens, and Niki Trigoni. mid: Tracking and identification systems to spoofing mask attacks. In 2013 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, pages 2357–2361. IEEE, 2013.
[31] Ann-Kathrin Seifert, Martin Grimmer, and Abdelhak M. Zoubir. Person identification and body mass index: A deep learning-based study on micro-dopplers. In 2019 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2019.
[32] Thomas A Milligan. Modern antenna design. John Wiley & Sons, 2005.