Monitoring of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and probabilistic health risk assessment in yogurt and butter in Iran

Amin Kiani | Mahsa Ahmadloo | Mojtaba Moazzen | Nabi Shariatifar | Saeed Shahsavari | Majid Arabameri | Mohammad Mahdi Hasani | Ali Azari | Mosaad A. Abdel-Wahhab

Abstract

This study was conducted to determine the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) levels and health risk of yogurt and butter samples collected from Tehran using MSPE/GC-MS (magnetic solid-phase extraction/gas chromatography-mass spectrometry). The results revealed that the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were ranged from 0.040 to 0.060 and 0.121 to 0.181 μg/kg, respectively; with recoveries ranged from 86.1% to 100.3%. The highest mean of total PAHs was higher in butter (6.87 ± 1.21 μg/kg) than in yogurt (3.82 ± 0.54 μg/kg). The level of benzo(a)pyrene in all samples was lower than of standard levels of the European Union (EU). The highest value of all PAHs in samples was recorded in the winter season and also in the expiration date. The percentile 95% of the total hazard quotient (THQ) due to the consumption of yogurt and butter recorded 1.33E-02 and 3.69E-04 in adults and 6.12E-02 and 1.75E-03 in children, respectively. The percentile of 95% incremental lifetime of cancer risk (ILCR) due to the ingestion of yogurt and butter recorded 1.17E-06 and 2.02E-08 for adults and 5.51E-06 and 9.46E-08 for children, respectively. The rank order of 7 PAHs in adult and children based on P95% Hazard Quotient (HQ) in all samples was benzo(a)anthracene (BaA) > pyrene (P) > fluorene (F) > fluoranthene (Fl) >acenaphthylene (Ace) > anthracene (A) > naphthalene (NA).

According to the Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS) method, health-risk assessment showed that children and adults are not at significant health risk.
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Nowadays, several dangerous and carcinogenic compounds are detected in food and environment, one of which is PAH which are found in air, water, and food (Alharbi et al., 2018; Ali & Aboul-Enein, 2005; Ali et al., 2003, 2005, 2011, 2018; Basheer, 2018). In some industrial areas, the high levels of PAH in unprocessed foods suggest a contamination due to the transport of contaminated particles and natural emissions from forest and volcanic fires or the burning of oil reservoirs (Orecchio et al., 2009; Rey-Salgueiro et al., 2009). There is natural emissions from forest and volcanic fires or the burning of oil contamination due to the transport of contaminated particles and trial areas, the high levels of PAH in unprocessed foods suggest a occurrence of several diseases such as stomach cancer, alteration in the lung including DNA adduct, biochemical and cytogenetic alterations (Rawash et al., 2018; Santonicola et al., 2017).

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has involved 16 of these persistent compounds in its list of persistent organic pollutants (Orecchio et al., 2009; Suchanová et al., 2008). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (LARC) classified BaP as category 2A (probably carcinogenic) to humans (N. Aguinaga et al., 2008). Therefore, the EU established a limit of less than 1 µg/kg of BaP for the processed cereal-based foods prepared for young children, infants, and baby foods (EC 2005).

People can be exposed to PAHs through three main routes including respiratory, skin, and foods (Chung et al., 2010; Cirillo et al., 2004; Shariatifar et al., 2020), and they were reported to be accumulated in various types of food matrices including dairy products (milk, yogurt, butter), etc. (Chung et al., 2010; Cirillo et al., 2004; Shariatifar et al., 2020). These PAHs are persistent in various food products during cooking procedures, thermal processes (such as baking, smoking, grilling, frying), processing, and packaging (Farhadian et al., 2010; Gorji et al., 2016).

Milk and milk products are essential for infants, children and adults, due to their high content of macro and micronutrients (Han et al., 2014; Iwegbue et al., 2014), and yogurt and butter are playing an important role in the human diet (Lee et al., 2015; Martorell et al., 2010). Therefore, milk and dairy products may contain PAHs due to the lipophilic property of PAHs even after exposure to various heat treatments (Lin et al., 2016).

Several methods were developed for the determination of PAHs in milk and dairy product including Liquid–liquid extraction (LLE), Soxhlet apparatus, Solid-phase extraction (SPE), and Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE). The most widely used methods for the extraction of PAHs from an intricate sol matrix includes silica cartridges, LLE after saponification with caustic soda, straight immersion solid-phase microextraction (SPME), columns with gel beads, and 2 phase hollow liquid phase microextraction (HF-LPME) (Basheer & Ali, 2018; Moazzen, Khaneghah, et al., 2018; Moazzen, Mahvi, et al., 2018). However, due to the fat content and other materials, alcoholic or caustic solutions are used for the saponification to avoid difficulties from lipids followed by the use of SPE or LLP for the extraction (Moazzen et al., 2013; Naccari et al., 2011). Moreover, several methods were established for the determination of PAHs in milk and dairy products including gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC/FID) or gas chromatography with mass detection (GC/MS), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) equipped with ultraviolet and fluorescence detection (UV/FL) and fluorescence detector (FLD) (Ali et al., 2019; Grova et al., 2002; Kamankesh et al., 2015).

For the toxicological evaluation of chemical mixes, toxic equivalency (TEQ) is a concept to indicate the whole toxicity of a mixture, like PAHs. The TEQ was designed to estimate the toxicity and hazards of toxic chemicals related to conventional toxic compounds. However, the toxic equivalency factor (TEF) of each compound shows the level of toxicity compared to the alternative composition, which gives the reference value 1. This TEF method is a common technique to evaluate the risk of PAHs and it was used by USEPA and WHO (1998). The final toxic point and the toxicity grade are the main factors for the TEQ method and TEFs of PAHs, which were proposed based on the growth in the incidence of tumors reported in animal study; however, TEFs for each PAHs compounds were based on their cancer outcomes of various carcinogenic researches (Yoon et al., 2007).

Considering the relatively high consumption of milk products in the Iranian basket, severe air pollution and partly water pollution in a densely populated city like Tehran, and also lack of coherent research about PAHs in dairy product with risk assessment in Iran, it is important to evaluate the amount of PAHs in milk products. Therefore, the goals of the present study are the determination of PAHs in some milk products (butter and yogurt) with the MSPE-GC/MS method and assessment of the potential health risk produced by PAHs intake using the cancer potency of BaP as a reference member.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling

In this study, 96 samples of milk products (48 yogurt and 48 butter samples) were purchased from local markets in different regions of Tehran, Iran in May, August, December 2018, and February 2019. Three different brand sources were purchased for each type of milk products. All samples were completely homogenized and then five grams of each sample were kept in airtight dishes at −20°C until analysis.

2.2 | Standards and reagents

Standards PAH mix, including 16 mentioned PAHs (benzo(a)pyrene [BaP], acenaphthylene [Ace], naphthalene [NA], fluorene [F], acenaphthene [Ac], phenanthrene [Pa], fluoranthene [Fl], anthracene [A], benzo(a)anthracene [BaA], pyrene [P], benzo(b)fluoranthene [BbF], chrysene [Ch], benzo(k)fluoranthene [BkF], dibenzo[a,h]anthracene [DhA], indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene [IP] and benzo[g,h,i]perylene [BgP]) was purchased from Supelco.
(Bellefonte, PA, U. S.). The standard solutions were prepared in dichloromethane, with all mentioned PAHs concentration of 0.1 mg/ml. Stock standard solutions were mixed with methanol-dichloromethane (50:50, v/v) every week for the preparation of a working mixed solution (1 µg/ml for each mentioned PAHs) which was used to measure the extraction performance under various conditions. The working and stock solutions were preserved at 4°C and biphenyl was used as an internal standard at a concentration of 0.05 µg/ml in methanol. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes were purchased from Hanwha Nanotech (MWCNT CM-95, Korea) and the adsorbent of MWCNT-MNP was prepared as described previously (Rastkari & Ahmadkhaniha, 2013). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade.

2.3 | Samples preparation

2.3.1 | Yogurt

Yogurt samples were prepared as described in our previous work (Moazzen et al., 2013). This method includes 3 major parts; (a) sample cleanup: Five grams of the sample was ground to fine particles with a pulverization then mixed with 1 ml internal standard and the mixture was homogenized for 15 min. The extraction solution containing 7.5 ml acetonitrile-methanol (70%: v/v) and 7.5 ml potassium hydroxide (1 M) was added to the homogenized mixture then was mixed and sonicated for 7 min in a bath of ultrasonic at 50°C. The mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 8,944 × g, the fat was removed from the mixture in the lipid freezing filtration method (Ahmadkhaniha et al., 2009) and the pH was adjusted to 6.5 using HCl (1 M); (b) Analytes adsorption: after a rudimentary cleanup, the aqueous phase was transferred to another container, 500 mg NaCl and 10 mg of synthesized multi-walled carbon nanotubes magnetic nanoparticle (MWCNT-MNP) as adsorbent was added to the mixture and vortex-mixed vigorously for 5 min. The magnetic synthesized adsorbent was collected to the side of the vial by an exterior magnet; (c) Analytes desorption from the magnetic adsorbent: dichloromethane (5 ml) was added to the elute of PAHs compounds from the synthesized adsorbent, mixed with strong vortex-mixing for 3 min and the supernatant was discarded. The magnetic adsorbent was collected by an exterior magnet to the side of the vial. This phase was repeated for another one more time, afterward, the solvent was evaporated to dryness at 30°C using a gentle stream of pure nitrogen gas. Then the extract was re-dissolved in 50 µl acetonitrile-methanol (50:50 v/v), vigorously shaken for 1 min with the vortex-mixer, and 1 µl was injected into the GC/MS device. The outcomes of the optimization experiments showed that the mentioned technique permitted for reproducible, quantitative extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Moazzen et al., 2013). The blank samples include internal standard and QC (quality control) were examined at the beginning of the analysis, middle, and end of each sample. The mean values of results were used for quantification and all the samples in this research were examined in duplicate.

2.3.2 | Butter

Due to the high-fat content in butter, the samples were homogenized before extraction. Five grams of butter were subjected to saponification with methanolic Potassium hydroxide, cold extracted with cyclohexane-dimethylformamide, and cyclohexane for re-extraction. The clean-up technique for the extracted sample was carried out by gel permeation chromatography with Bio-Beads SX-3 column followed by adsorption chromatography on silica gel. The final phase was the reduction of the cleaned extracts to a suitable analytical volume using acetonitrile as a solvent (Falco et al., 2003).

2.4 | Analytical conditions and instrumentals

The analysis was conducted on a GC device of the Agilent 6890 with an MS detector 5973 quadrupoles (Agilent Technologies). The GC was adjusted with a capillary column of DB-5 ms (30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) and the mode of splitless was for the inlet. The temperatures were adjusted as follows: 290°C for injector; the initial temperature of the oven was 70°C, kept for one min and increased to 295°C at a rate of 10°C/min then kept for seven min. The transfer line temperature was kept at 300°C and the constant flow of helium as a carrier gas was 1 ml/min. The quadrupole temperature was kept at 150°C and source temperatures were retained at 230°C. The energy of the electronic beam of the MS was adjusted at 70 eV. The identification was carried out by comparing the obtained mass spectra and times of retention to reference spectra and times of retention that were obtained by injection of the calibration standards in the same conditions of GC/MS. The spike calibration standard approach to overcome the problems caused by the matrix was used. In this approach, calibration standards are ready by the addition of standards solution to samples that are subjected to the same sample preparation procedure which is intended to be used for unknown samples. The PAHs analytes were quantified using SIM mode and each sample was injected in duplicate.

2.5 | Health risk assessment

To evaluate the carcinogenic risk of PAHs, the toxicity equivalency factors (TEFs) of benzo(a)pyrene (TEQ BaP) were used. The estimation of TEQ BaP was carried out using a TEFs (Saito et al., 2014). The TEF fixed as one for BaP and dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DhA); 0.001 for each of naphthalene (NA), acenaphthylene (Ace), acenaphthene (Ac), fluorine (F), Phenanthrene (Pa), fluoranthene (F) and pyrene (P); 0.01 for each of anthracene (A), chryseene (Ch) and benzo[g,h,j]perylene (Bgp) and 0.1 for each of benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), benzo(b)fluoranthene (BbF), benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkF), indeno [1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IP), benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) and dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DhA). TEQ BaP for dietetic contact to PAHs in milk products was computed matched to the following equation (EPA (2010))

\[ \text{TEQ}_{\text{BaP}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \times \text{TEF}_i \]
Where C is a quantitative analysis of PAHs and the TEFi is the toxicity equivalency factor of biotype (i) in milk products.

To estimate the hazard of noncarcinogenic of PAH compounds in milk products, the average daily dose (ADD) was applied and calculated by the following equation:

\[
ADD = \frac{C \times IR}{BW} \tag{2}
\]

Due to the carcinogenic hazard, the chronic daily intake (CDI) was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
CDI = \frac{C \times IR \times ED \times EF}{BW \times AT} \tag{3}
\]

This study assumed that milk items consumed 365 d/year, C is the PAHs levels in milk (mg/kg); IRi as the daily intake of the milk products was set as 73 g/day and 2 g/day for yogurt and butter, respectively (Abdollahi et al., 2014); ED is the time-period of PAHs exposure for adults and children (70 and 6 years old, respectively) as suggested by (Karami et al., 2020). EF is the frequency exposure for adults and children (350 days/years) (Jahanbakhsh et al., 2019); the average body weight (BW) is 15 kg for children and 70 kg for an adult was used in the calculation of PAHs risk assessment (Samiee et al., 2020) and AT is the average time for an adult is 25,550 days and for the children 2,190 days.

The hazard of noncarcinogenic was calculated based on Equation 4:

\[
HQ = \frac{ADD}{RfD} \tag{4}
\]

Where, HQ is the hazard quotient; ADD is the average daily dose (mg/kg/d); RfD is the oral reference dose (mg/kg/d) as suggested by (USEPA, 2017) is NA (0.02), AcP (0.06), FL (0.04), AN (0.3), FLUR (0.04), PY (0.03) and BaA (0.0003). If THQ > 1 value, the exposed population is considered at health risk, but if THQ ≤ 1, the health risk is not likely (Ghasemidehkordi et al., 2018). In considering the effects of PAHs on the risk of noncarcinogenic, the total hazard quotient (THQ) was determined by the Equation below:

\[
THQ = \sum HQ_1 \cdots HQ_n \tag{5}
\]

However, the ILCR of BaP of the milk products was determined according to the following Equation (Fakhri et al., 2018).

\[
ILCR = CDI_{BaP} \times CSF_{BaP} \tag{6}
\]

Where: ILCR is incremental lifetime cancer risk and CDI is the chronic daily intake of BaP (mg/kg/d); CSF is the cancer slope factor of BaP which is equal to 7.3 mg/kg/d (Huang et al., 2016; Samiee et al., 2020; USEPA, 2016). According to USEPA, cancer is negligible when ILCR < 10–6, risk, but if ILCR > 10–4, the risk of cancer is unacceptable and finally, when ILCR is between 10–6 to 10–4, the risk of cancer is tolerable for consumers (Huang et al., 2016; USEPA, 2016).

The incremental lifetime carcinogenic risk (ILCR) was evaluated and noncarcinogenic (HQ) of the milk product content of PAHs was navigated by MCS (Crystal Ball v 11.1.2.4.600 software, Oracle, Decisioneering, Denver, CO, USA). To increase the accuracy of health-risk assessment by considering uncertainties, USEPA presented the MCS method (EPA, 2016). The simulation executed by the presented factors, and the MCS model was shown for 10,000 repetitions. Eventually, the mean and 95th percentile of the ILCR and HQ distribution was selected to assess if the exposed society is at risk or not. For estimation of carcinogenic risk of PAHs in milk products, actual ILCR was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
ILCR_{(act)} = P95\%ILCRYo + P95\%ILCRBu \tag{7}
\]

Where: ILCR (act) is actual ILCR; ILCRYo is ILCR for yogurt and ILCRBu and is ILCR for butter.

For estimation of actual noncarcinogenic risk of PAHs in milk products, actual THQ was calculated based on the following equation:

\[
THQ_{(act)} = P95\%THQYo + P95\%THQBu \tag{8}
\]

Where THQ (act) is actual THQ; HQbu, is the HQ for butter and HQyo, is the HQ for yogurt.

2.6 | Statistical examination

The results were presented as mean ± SD and the statistical significance was carried out by SPSS (version 24.0) software. Data for PAHs levels in milk products (yogurt and butter) were evaluated for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). For normally distributed data, comparisons among yogurt and butter were assessed using an independent t-test also if non-normally distributed data, comparisons among yogurt and butter were assessed using Mann-Whitney as shown in Table 1. Values were considered statistically significant when p < .05. In cases, when PAH analytes were ND (not detected), 1/2 of the LOD was used to calculate the mean concentration.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 | Performance evaluation of the analytical method

The extracted PAHs were then determined using a GC-MS technique. Toward the recognition goal, the full scan mass spectrum, 4 characteristic ions ratios, and the RTT of ± 0.5% tolerance criteria were applied for the quantification purpose compared to the standard, and the most intense ions were used for each compound and these compounds were then quantified using SIM (selected
### TABLE 1
Linear range (µg/kg), Limit of detection (LOD; µg/kg), limit of quantification (LOQ; µg/kg), Coefficient of estimation (r²), repeatability relative standard deviation (RSDr; n = 6), and reproducibility relative standard deviation (RSDR; n = 6)

| Target compound | Linear range (µg/kg) | Limit of detection (LOD) (µg/kg) | Limit of quantification (LOQ) (µg/kg) | Coefficient of estimation (r²) | Recoveries (%) | Repeatability (RSDr) (%) | Reproducibility (RSDR) (%) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| NA              | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.988                         | 91.2           | 9                        | 6, 10, 14                |
| Ace             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.981                         | 93.4           | 10.1                     | 9, 12, 17                |
| Ac              | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.990                         | 86.1           | 3.2                      | 5, 10,12                 |
| F               | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.992                         | 96.1           | 6.4                      | 14, 8, 16                |
| Pa              | 0.050-1.000          | 0.050                            | 0.150                                | 0.991                         | 97.7           | 7                        | 13, 11, 8                |
| A               | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.989                         | 89.9           | 10                       | 7, 12, 10                |
| Fl              | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.983                         | 100.1          | 9                        | 10, 13, 11               |
| P               | 0.050-1.000          | 0.055                            | 0.165                                | 0.986                         | 93.5           | 9.1                      | 11, 9, 18                |
| BaA             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.984                         | 94.7           | 5                        | 6, 7, 12                 |
| Ch              | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.991                         | 98.1           | 4.5                      | 9, 13, 11                |
| BbF             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.060                            | 0.181                                | 0.992                         | 99.9           | 8                        | 12, 7, 10                |
| BkF             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.986                         | 100.3          | 9                        | 14, 11, 8                |
| BaP             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.988                         | 94.5           | 8.6                      | 7, 15, 11                |
| IP              | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.989                         | 89.9           | 6                        | 5, 9, 12                 |
| DhA             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.992                         | 97.1           | 7                        | 14, 6, 10                |
| BgP             | 0.050-1.000          | 0.040                            | 0.121                                | 0.991                         | 97.8           | 9.2                      | 7, 5, 12                 |

Note: RSDR of 1 µg/Kg, 5 µg/Kg, and 10 µg/Kg standard value (n = 6).

### TABLE 2
Mean ± SD of PAH factors in yogurt and butter in Iran (µg/kg)

| Type of dairy products | Yogurt | Butter |
|------------------------|--------|--------|
|                        | N     | Min    | Max   | Mean ± SD | N     | Min    | Max   | Mean ± SD |
| NA                     | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ace                    | 48    | 0.30   | 1.17  | 0.80 ± 0.27 | 48    | 1.10   | 2.30  | 1.62 ± 0.32 |
| Ac                     | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| F                      | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Pa                     | 48    | 1.06   | 1.42  | 1.21 ± 0.12 | 48    | 1.40   | 2.80  | 2.01 ± 0.38 |
| A                      | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Fl                     | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| P                      | 48    | 1.28   | 1.64  | 1.39 ± 0.10 | 48    | 1.90   | 3.20  | 2.45 ± 0.38 |
| BaA                    | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ch                     | 48    | 0.02   | 0.12  | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.12  | 0.05 ± 0.03 |
| BbF                    | 48    | 0.08   | 0.23  | 0.15 ± 0.05 | 48    | 0.37   | 0.75  | 0.51 ± 0.11 |
| BkF                    | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BaP                    | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| IP                     | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| DhA                    | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BgP                    | 48    | 0.02   | 0.06  | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 48    | 0.02   | 0.08  | 0.03 ± 0.02 |
| Total                  | 48    | 3.01   | 4.86  | 3.82 ± 0.54 | 48    | 5.01   | 9.47  | 6.87 ± 1.21 |
| PAH4                   | 48    | 0.14   | 0.41  | 0.23 ± 0.08 | 48    | 0.43   | 0.93  | 0.60 ± 0.15 |

Note: p < .001
TABLE 3  Comparison of PAHs contamination levels in different study

| Location of study | Analyte | Sample type | Amount                      | Detection method | References                     |
|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| France            | 8 PAHs  | Fresh milk  | $\sum$ PAHs (26.7 ± 10.8 ng/g) | GC-MS            | (Grova et al., 2002)           |
| Spain             | 16 PAHs | Infant formula | ND                          | ND               | (Aguinaga et al., 2007)        |
|                   |         | Half-fat milk | ND                          | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | Skimmed milk | ND                          | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | Full fat milk | $\sum$ FLUR and PY (2.16 ± 0.43 µg/L) | GC-MS            |                                |
| Spain             | 10 PAHs | Infant formula | ND                          | ND               | (Aguinaga et al., 2008)        |
|                   |         | Soya milk    | ND                          | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | Full-fat milk | ND                          | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | Skimmed milk | $\sum$ AcPy, FL, PHEN, AN, FLUR and PY (449.4 ± 31.51 ng/L) | GC-MS            |                                |
| Italy             | 16 PAHs | Milk         | ND                          | ND               | (Bianchi et al., 2008)         |
| Taiwan            | 16 PAHs | Milk         | NaP, AcP, Flu, Pa and in some samples Bap | ND               | (Chung et al., 2010)           |
| Nigeria           | 16 PAHs | Infant formula: 0–6 months | $\sum$ PAHs | GC-MS            | (Iwegbue et al., 2014)         |
|                   |         | 6–12 months | 0.1 to 1.98                 | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | 1–3 years | 0.05 to 1.98                 | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | 0–12 months | 0.51 to 0.7 µg/kg           | ND               |                                |
| Egypt             | 15 PAHs | Farm raw milk | $\sum$ PAHs | GC-MS            | (Abou-Arab et al., 2014)       |
|                   |         | Commercial raw milk | 1.01 µg/kg | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | Pasteurized milk | 0.37 µg/kg | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | 0.2 µg/kg | ND                          | ND               |                                |
| Mexico            | 16 PAHs | Milk         | $\sum$ PAHs | GC-MS            | (Gutiérrez et al., 2015)       |
|                   |         | 2008         | 2.06 ± 2.83                 | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | 2009         | 1.65 to 2.14                 | ND               |                                |
|                   |         | 2010         | 1.24 ± 1.28 µg/g            | ND               |                                |
| Korea             | 8 PAHs  | Dairy products | $\sum$ PAHs | GC-MS            | (Lee et al., 2015)             |
|                   |         | Sea foods    | 1.52 µg/kg                  | ND               |                                |
|                   |         |              | 1.06 µg/kg                  | ND               |                                |
| Romania           | 15 PAHs | Milk powders | $\sum$ PAHs (0.47 ± 0.04 to 1.4 ± 0.17 µg/kg) | GC-MS            | (Dobrinas et al., 2016)        |
| China             | 6 PAHs  | Milk         | $\sum$ PHE, ANT, FLA and PY (6.0 ng/g) | GC-MS            | (Lin et al., 2016)             |
| Canada            | 15 PAHs | Skim Milk    | $\sum$ PAHs                 | HPLC-FID         | (Lawrence & Weber, 1984)       |
|                   |         | Infant formula | 0–2.7 µg/kg | HPLC-FID         |                                |
|                   |         |              | 8.1 µg/kg                  | ND               |                                |
| Japan             | 12 PAHs | Commercial milk | $\sum$ PAHs | HPLC-FID         | (Kishikawa et al., 2003)       |
|                   |         | Infant formula | 0.99 ± 0.37 µg/kg          | HPLC-FID         |                                |
|                   |         | Human milk    | 2.01 ± 0.30 µg/kg          | HPLC-FID         |                                |
|                   |         |              | 0.75 ± 0.47 µg/kg          | HPLC-FID         |                                |
| Poland            | 16 PAHs | Milk powder  | $\sum$ BaA, Ch, BbF, BkF, BaP and BP(1.83 µg/kg) | HPLC-FID         | (Wegrzyn et al., 2006)         |
| Czech republic    | 15 PAHs | Industrial smoked cheese | $\sum$ PAHs (0.11 µg/kg) | HPLC-FID         | (Suchanová et al., 2008)       |
| Spain             | 11 PAHs | Infant food  | BkF (0.1–0.3 µg/kg)         | HPLC-FID         | (Rey-Salgueiro et al., 2009)   |

(Continues)
According to Moazzen et al. (2013), one quantitation and two qualifier ions were controlled for each compound. The optimum conditions for the analysis were used for the establishment of the calibration curves (0.050–1.000 µg/kg) considering the coefficient of correlation of 0.981–0.992. The LOQs and LODs of PAHs compounds were 0.121–0.181 µg/kg and 0.040–0.060 µg/kg, respectively. The accuracy of the technique was assessed according to interday precision via the QC analysis for samples ready at four three repeated days. Additionally, the values of interday precision for all PAHs compounds were less than 8.7% and the recorded values were 3.2% to 10.1% for repeatability and 5%–18% for reproducibility with estimated recoveries of 86.1%–100.3% (Table 1). The feasibility and reliability of this method were also confirmed by the measurement PAHs in the milk, doogh, and milk powder (Kiani et al., 2019).

3.2 Comparing the mean values of PAHs in the tested milk products

The mean values of PAHs compounds based on the type of dairy products are presented in Table 2 and showed that the highest levels of all PAHs compounds were found in the butter. The recorded means of total PAHs in yogurt and butter were 3.82 ± 0.54 µg/kg and 6.87 ± 1.21 µg/kg, respectively (Table 2). The results also indicated that the higher the amount of fat content and the lower the amount of water content, the more contaminant of PAHs was observed. In this study, P recorded the maximum mean value in yogurt (1.39 ± 0.10 µg/kg) and butter (2.45 ± 0.38 µg/kg). Among the groups suggested in EC Regulation Number 835/2011 (2011), a maximum value of PAH4 of 1 µg/kg was reported only in milk powder which corresponding to the infant products. The mean levels of

| Location of study | Analyte | Sample type | Amount | Detection method | References |
|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| Poland            | 19 PAHs | Infant formula | Σ PAHs (0.28–7.45 µg/kg) | HPLC-FID/DAD | Ciecierska & Obiedziński, 2010 |
| Calabria          | 16 PAHs | Raw milk | Σ PAHs | HPLC-FID | Naccari et al., 2011 |
|                   |         | Pasteurized milk | 5.44 ng/g |              |            |
|                   |         | Semi-skinned milk | 6.52 ng/g |              |            |
|                   |         | Whole milk | 5.94 ng/g |              |            |
| Italy             | 16 PAHs | Non-smoked Cheese | Σ PAHs | HPLC-UV | Cirillo et al., 2004 |
|                   |         | Smoked cheese | 59.11–160.05 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         |               | 67.49–399.90 µg/kg |              |            |
| Korea             | 7 PAHs  | Infant formula | Σ PAHs | HPLC-FID | Cho & Shin, 2012 |
|                   |         | Mixed milk powders | 0.43 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         |               | 0.46 µg/kg |              |            |
| Argentina, Brazil | 15 PAHs | Milk powders | Σ PAHs (11.8–78.4 µg/kg) | HPLC-FID | Garcia Londoño et al., 2013 |
| Korea             | 8 PAHs  | Infant formula | Σ PAHs (0.09–0.18 µg/kg) | HPLC-FID | Han et al., 2014 |
| Iran              | 15 PAHs | Kebab (grilled meat) | Σ PAHs (7.37–17.94 µg/kg) | GC-MS | Gorji et al., 2016 |
| Iran              | 3 PAHs  | Grilled meat | Σ PAHs (3.51–132 ng/g) | HPLC-FD | Farhadian et al., 2010 |
| Sweden            | 1 PAHs  | Swedish smoked meat | Σ BaP (6.6–36.9 µg/kg) | HRGC-MS | Wretling et al., 2010 |
| Estonia           | 6 PAHs  | smoked fish | Σ PAHs (12.37 µg/kg) | GC-MS | Yurchenko & Mölder, 2005 |
| Spain             | 16 PAHs | Meat and meat products | Σ PAHs | HRGC- HRMS | Martorell et al., 2010 |
|                   |         | Fish and shellfish | 38.99 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         | Vegetables | 2.87 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         | Fruits | 1.22 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         | Milk | 0.81 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         | Oils and fats | 0.47 µg/kg |              |            |
|                   |         |               | 18.75 µg/kg |              |            |
| Italy             | 22 PAHs | Coffee | Σ PAHs | GC-MS | Orecchio et al., 2009 |
|                   |         |               | 0.52 to 1.8 µg/L |              |            |
| Analyte | Season | Yogurt | Mean ± SD | Butter | Mean ± SD |
|---------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
|         | Spring |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ace     |        | 0.52 ± 0.21 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 1.33 ± 0.16 |
| Ac      |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| F       |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Pa      |        | 1.10 ± 0.03 | 1.32 ± 0.09 | 2.03 ± 0.12 |
| A       |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Fl      |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| P       |        | 1.32 ± 0.07 | 1.49 ± 0.10 | 2.03 ± 0.12 |
| BaA     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ch      |        | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BbF     |        | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.20 ± 0.02 | 0.41 ± 0.04 |
| BkF     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BaP     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| IP      |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| DhA     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BgP     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| total   |        | 3.27 ± 0.22 | 4.40 ± 0.34 | 5.62 ± 0.41 |
| PAH4    |        | 0.16 ± 0.01 | 0.27 ± 0.07 | 0.41 ± 0.12 |

| Analyte | Season | Yogurt | Mean ± SD | Butter | Mean ± SD |
|---------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
|         | Spring |        | 0.20 ± 0.03 |        | 0.27 ± 0.09 |
| Ace     |        | 0.66 ± 0.26 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 2.35 ± 0.30 |
| Ac      |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| F       |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Pa      |        | 1.15 ± 0.07 | 1.87 ± 0.25 | 2.15 ± 0.44 |
| A       |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Fl      |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| P       |        | 1.35 ± 0.06 | 2.56 ± 0.44 | 2.56 ± 0.44 |
| BaA     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ch      |        | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.07 ± 0.03 | 0.07 ± 0.03 |
| BbF     |        | 0.13 ± 0.04 | 0.45 ± 0.06 | 0.57 ± 0.12 |
| BkF     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BaP     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| IP      |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| DhA     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BgP     |        | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| total   |        | 3.54 ± 0.40 | 6.35 ± 0.82 | 7.38 ± 1.35 |
| PAH4    |        | 0.20 ± 0.05 | 0.51 ± 0.07 | 0.68 ± 0.15 |
TABLE 6 Mean ± SD of PAH factors in yogurt and butter in Iran based on brand type (μg/kg)

| Analyte | Yogurt | | | Butte | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Brand type | | | Brand type | | |
| | A | B | C | A | B | C |
| Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD |
| NA | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ace | 0.80 ± 0.28 | 0.85 ± 0.26 | 0.76 ± 0.29 | 1.63 ± 0.33 | 1.70 ± 0.33 | 1.53 ± 0.32 |
| Ac | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| F | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Pa | 1.21 ± 0.12 | 1.22 ± 0.12 | 1.20 ± 0.12 | 2.04 ± 0.36 | 2.13 ± 0.39 | 1.86 ± 0.38 |
| A | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Fl | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| P | 1.38 ± 0.10 | 1.40 ± 0.12 | 1.39 ± 0.09 | 2.45 ± 0.40 | 2.58 ± 0.40 | 2.34 ± 0.36 |
| BaA | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| Ch | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 0.05 ± 0.04 | 0.04 ± 0.03 | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 0.05 ± 0.04 | 0.04 ± 0.03 |
| BbF | 0.15 ± 0.05 | 0.16 ± 0.05 | 0.14 ± 0.05 | 0.51 ± 0.12 | 0.53 ± 0.12 | 0.49 ± 0.11 |
| BkF | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BaP | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| IP | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| DhA | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.02 ± 0.00 |
| BgP | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.02 ± 0.00 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 0.03 ± 0.02 |
| Total | 3.81 ± 0.57 | 3.91 ± 0.58 | 3.75 ± 0.54 | 6.90 ± 1.23 | 7.22 ± 1.28 | 6.49 ± 1.18 |
| PAH4 | 0.23 ± 0.08 | 0.25 ± 0.09 | 0.22 ± 0.08 | 0.59 ± 0.15 | 0.62 ± 0.16 | 0.57 ± 0.14 |

PAH4 observed in the present study were below the standard limits since the recorded values were 0.23 ± 0.08 and 0.60 ± 0.15 μg/kg for yogurt and butter, respectively. The EC set 1 μg/kg as a maximum level for BaP in the baby foods for infant and young children, processed cereal-based foods, infant milk, and infant formulae. The current results showed that the levels of BaP were also below the standard levels (between 0.02–0.08 μg/kg) in all samples and the recorded means were 0.03 ± 0.02 and 0.03 ± 0.01 μg/kg in butter and yogurt, respectively. In a previous study, we reported that the means of total PAHs, PAH4, and BaP in doogh samples were 1.96 ± 0.39, 0.15 ± 0.07, and 0.03 ± 0.01 μg/kg, respectively (Kiani et al., 2019). In this concern, Falco et al. (2003) reported a mean concentration of 6.636 μg/kg for total PAHs in the dairy product (yogurt and cheese) and it was reported that the detection range of the sum of PAHs in conventional and organic yogurt ranged between 38.28 and 306.59 μg/kg fat (Rodríguez-Hernández et al., 2015). Recently, Kacmaz (2019) reported that the highest concentrations for the sum of the 4PAHs (benzo[a]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[b] fluoranthene and benzo[a]pyrene) were 0.33 ± 0.18 μg/kg of retail Turkish yogurt. 0.59 μg/kg and 0.95 μg/kg in yogurt with low-fat and high-fat content, respectively (Kacmaz 2019). Additionally, previous studies revealed that the concentrations of total PAHs were 3.695 ± 0.43 – 5.443 ± 0.66 μg/kg in milk and dairy-based products.
TABLE 8 The percentile of 95% HQ due to ingestion of PAHs yogurt and butter

| Analyte | RfD | Yogurt | Butter | Children |
|---------|-----|--------|--------|----------|
|         |     | Adults | Adults | Children |
| NA      | 0.02| 5.18E-07 | 1.43E-08 | 2.43E-06 | 6.66E-08 |
| AcP     | 0.06| 5.05E-04 | 1.42E-05 | 2.44E-03 | 6.59E-05 |
| FL      | 0.04| 7.66E-04 | 2.08E-05 | 3.65E-03 | 9.95E-05 |
| AN      | 0.30| 1.02E-04 | 2.84E-06 | 4.66E-04 | 1.29E-05 |
| FLUR    | 0.04| 7.67E-04 | 2.08E-05 | 3.57E-03 | 9.98E-05 |
| PY      | 0.03| 1.01E-03 | 2.76E-05 | 4.77E-03 | 1.34E-04 |
| BaA     | 0.0003| 1.02E-02 | 2.83E-04 | 4.63E-02 | 1.33E-03 |
| Total   | 0.00| 1.33E-02 | 3.69E-04 | 6.12E-02 | 1.75E-03 |

FIGURE 1 THQ value and percentage share due to content of 7 PAHs in the adult (a) and children (b) in milk products. PAHs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; THQ, total hazard quotient.

(E.-S. A. Rawash et al., 2018) and 72.8 µg/kg in butter samples collected from Egypt (Loutfy et al., 2007), 2.4 to 4 µg/kg in Finnish butter (Hopia et al., 1986), 0.65 and 18.7 µg/kg in fresh Spanish yogurt and butter, respectively (Martorell et al., 2010) and 0.61 and 18.3 µg/kg in fresh yogurt and butter from Northwest Spain, respectively (Martí-Cid et al., 2008).

Due to this concern, many studies have been conducted on other food samples in different countries (Table 3) revealed shown that the results are varied due to different types of food. The reasons for these variations can be briefly summarized as follow: the low level may be due to the remoteness of a farm from the industrial environment, cities, roads, and do not consume or reduce the use of fossil fuels in livestock buildings to heat the environment, the use of healthy food and non-polluting water for animals, less food fats, the use of correct methods in food processing such as using less heat, steaming and not contacting foods with very hot surfaces and direct flame. However, the high levels can be due to the failure to comply with the above which increased the PAHs contaminant in food types (Kishikawa et al., 2003; Lawrence & Weber, 1984; Lin et al., 2016; Rawash et al., 2018; Rey-Salgueiro et al., 2009; Santonicola et al., 2017; Yurchenko & Mölder, 2005).

The means of the PAHs based on the type of milk product in different seasons are presented in Table 4 and indicated that the means of all PAH compounds recorded the highest value in the winter samples. The maximum means of total PAHs and PAH4 were 8.13 ± 1.02 and 0.55 ± 0.09 µg/kg in butter, 4.40 ± 0.34 and 0.32 ± 0.06 µg/kg in yogurt. The current results also showed that the maximum BaP mean in all of the brands was below the standard levels of the EU.

The lowest mean recorded for total PAHs and PAH4 were in spring, which reflects the high pollutants in winter due to the use of fossil fuels in livestock, or water, air, and livestock feed due to the lack of fresh fodder in this season.

The means of PAHs compound based on the type of milk product, production date, and expiration are presented in Table 5. The results revealed that the means of all PAHs compounds were higher in expire date than the production date for the two tested milk products. In the expiration date, the maximum mean of both total PAHs and PAH4 were 7.38 ± 1.35 and 0.68 ± 0.15 µg/kg in butter and 4.11 ± 0.53 and 0.27 ± 0.09 µg/kg in yogurt. Additionally, the maximum mean of BaP in all fat status was below the standard limits of the EU. These compounds may be higher at the date of expiration for several reasons such as binding of these compounds together, turning from other substances to these compounds, and freeing them from containers (2020), but in general, the difference between production time and expiration time was not significant.

The means of PAHs analysts based on the type of brands are presented in Table 6 and indicated that the mean of all compounds in B and A brand in the samples showed the highest and lowest value, respectively. So that in brand B, the maximum mean of total PAHs and PAH4 were 7.22 ± 1.28 µg/kg and 0.62 ± 0.16 µg/kg in butter, and 3.91 ± 0.58 µg/kg and 0.25 ± 0.09 µg/kg in yogurt. On the other hand, the maximum mean of BaP in the tested brands was low compared with the standard levels of the EU. The possible reasons for this high level of PAHs in brand B may be due to the proximity of the produced animals to industrial environments, the pollution of water, air, or livestock feeds in this area.
FIGURE 2  ILCR in the consumers due to ingestion yogurt and butter contents. ILCR, incremental lifetime of cancer risk.
3.3 | Risk assessment of PAHs in milk products

The toxicity equivalency quotient (TEQ) of benzo(a)pyrene was evaluated to assess the recommended health risk. Our outcome revealed that yogurts had the highest TEQBaP (0.105 μg/kg), while butter had less TEQBaP (0.066 μg/kg) as shown in Table 7. In a previous study, the level of TEQ in milk was reported as 0.21 μg/kg by Yoon et al. (2007) who examined the risk assessment of analytes of HQ for adults and children was BaA consumption of 7 PAHs in yogurt and butter showed that the rank order of HQ for adults and children was BaA > PY > F > FL > AcP > A > NA (Table 8). Because of the low oral reference dose (0.0003 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), HQ of BaA was higher than other PAHs in milk products and the recorded values in these products were lower than 1 suggesting that subjects are not considerable at carcinogenic risk. The percentile of 95% THQ of 7 PAHs in the adult owing to ingestion of yogurt and butter was measured as 1.33E-02 and 3.69E-04, respectively. Furthermore, percentile 95% THQ in the children owing to ingestion of yogurt and butter was determined as 6.12E-02 and 1.75E-03, respectively. Moreover, THQ for adults and children was equal to 1.39E-01 and 6.37E-01, respectively. The comparison between THQ of PAHs in the samples showed that no carcinogenic risk due to the consumption of milk products for the adult and children since the recorded THQ of PAHs values were lower than 1. The share of the parameter in health risk of noncarcinogenic in the consumers due to ingestion of yogurt and butter obtained by the MCS (Figure 1a,b) concluded that BaA with 76.3% and 75.8% have a higher effect on THQ act in the adult and children, respectively. The results of carcinogenic risk of PAHs content due to the consumption of milk products (Figure 2) showed that ILCR index in adults owing to the consumption of yogurt and butter were 2.20E-07 and 5.98E-09, respectively. However, the percentile ILCR index in the children owing to ingestion of yogurt and butter were 1.02E-06 and 2.89E-08, respectively (Figure 2). Percentile 95% ILCR, PAHs in the yogurt and butter was between 1E-04 to 1E-09, hence, the carcinogenic risk for consumers is negligible (Ahmadkhaniha et al., 2009; USEPA, 2016). Furthermore, ILCR act in the adult and children was equal to 2.53E-07 and 1.18E-06, respectively; hence, the consumers are not at carcinogenic risk owing to the consumption of these milk products.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

This research provides a reliable, useful, and sensitive MSPE/GC-MS technique for measurement and risk assessment of PAHs in yogurt and butter samples by using a simple technique for the preparation of samples. The results of our study showed that the BaP in all samples was below the EU standard level for milk products. The mean of total PAHs in butter samples was higher than yogurt samples. Based on HQ no public health risk was detected and ILCR revealed no significant potential for carcinogenic risk in both children and adult consumers.

The analytical methods used in the current study are accurate and practical. It is recommended to be used on the industrial scale to the checking of PAHs in other milk products, such as cream, ice cream, cheese, etc. for toxicological and epidemiological studies. Moreover, it will help to ensure the safety of dairy industries.
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