Policy Intervention and Financial Sustainability in an Emerging Economy: A Structural Vector Auto Regression Analysis
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The purpose of the study is to observe the impact of policy intervention on financial sustainability using the structural vector autoregression (SVAR) analysis. The population of the study is the manufacturing sector of Pakistan, which is an emerging economy. Data for 249 firms operating in the manufacturing sector are taken, collected from Datastream from 2005 to 2019, with total observations of 2,400. To conduct the analysis, R software is used for its better visualization. Results show that firm performance, corporate governance, and sectoral policies have a positive and long-term impact on financial sustainability, whereas earning management and financialization not only have a negative impact, but this impact affects the operations of the corporate for a longer period. This study would be helpful for policymakers as it gives a framework for financial sustainability based on the policies and strategies developed by the sector.
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INTRODUCTION

Financial crises over the years have altered the way corporates were operating and used to make their policies (Aqeel et al., 2021a,b, 2022; Li et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2021; NeJhaddadgar et al., 2022). Now, corporates enter the market to stay without becoming a going concern (Abbas et al., 2021; Mubeen et al., 2021a,b; Aman et al., 2022; Ge et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022). They make such strategies that help them function effectively by taking care of all the stakeholders (Abbas et al., 2019b; Hussain et al., 2019, 2021; Mamirkulova et al., 2020; Mubeen et al., 2020, 2021a,b; Abbasi et al., 2021; Azizi et al., 2021; Mamirkulova and Mi, 2022). These financial crises have also taught them that working to get short-term goals and increasing the worth of shareholders can damage in the longer run (Anjum et al., 2017; Abbas, 2020; Maqsood et al., 2021; Raza Abbasi et al., 2021; Rahmat et al., 2022). These shifting trends have instigated an inescapable responsibility for corporates to look after all the stakeholders if they want to be successful and become financially sustainable (Zhang et al., 2012; Asad et al., 2017; Abbas et al., 2019; Irfan and Ali, 2019).

Corporates operating in developed nations are far ahead in adopting policies that can help them in sustainable development as compared to the ones working in developing nations (Ali and Irfan, 2020). Input from developing nations is limited in sustainability (Alshehhi et al., 2018) and (Shah et al., 2016), and research related to financial sustainability is also limited.
(Zabolotnyy and Wasielwski, 2019). This article is an attempt to see the policy interventions of corporates operating in the manufacturing sector of Pakistan to gain financial sustainability by using structural vector autoregression (SVAR). In addition, structural impact on corporate policies devised and implemented from time to time is also carried out by applying SVAR.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Structural vector autoregression models allow the contemporaneous interdependencies between the left-hand-side variables (Deleidi et al., 2021). SVAR models can identify shocks with the help of the Impulse Response Analysis (IRA) or restrictions can be applied by the theory (Yang et al., 2022). It is useful to analyze the dynamics of the model by introducing it to unexpected shocks. The default SVAR model was a scoring algorithm by Amisano and Giannini (1997). The SVAR models are implemented through various software packages like EViews, R, etc. which makes the use of SVAR models simple.

In SVAR models, impulse response functions (IRFs) are usually computed to see the response of the model to a deviation or a shock (Gottschalk, 2001). SVAR models are structural models, which are used to explore the dynamic behavior of the variables from the theoretical viewpoint or a theory-guided view. VAR and SVAR are used in research to address problems of endogeneity and causality. In one study, VAR was used to examine the impact of the growth of public debt in Nigeria. It was observed that debt has a positive impact on the economic growth rate a bidirectional link exists between public debt and economic growth (Egbetunde, 2012). In another study panel, VAR was used to analyze the causal relationship between government debt and economic growth for a sample of 20 developed countries and found a negative relation between the two variables (Lof and Malinen, 2014). Bal et al. (2022) used SVAR to analyze the impact of electricity consumption on economic growth.

Sustainability has become a new mantra for the current century. This concept originated from the 1987 Brundtland Report. The concept encompasses three dimensions: social, economic, and environmental. The pursuit of economic and social impartiality has been a real aim for the past many years. The importance of economic well-being is a renowned phenomenon, but the issue with this economic or financial well-being is to take the output toward a longer time frame where future generations can also benefit from it.

**METHODOLOGY**

For this purpose, structural VAR is used, with the help of IRF, the future intervention of the variables is studied and shocks from each exogenous variable are identified as well. This study followed research procedures for analysis (Yoosefi Lebni et al., 2020; Aman et al., 2021; Khazaie et al., 2021; Moradi et al., 2021; Paulson et al., 2021; Farzadifar et al., 2022). This analysis aids to pinpoint the direction and strength of the impact from each variable. SVAR is conducted to observe the future intervention of the variables, which are part of the framework. The analysis is performed in R software that cannot only help to analyze the data but would also assist in better visualization of the policy interventions (Khattak et al., 2013; Malik et al., 2017; Asad et al., 2020a,b; Ashraf et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021). R software is used because of the

---

**TABLE 1 | Variables and their determinants.**

| VARIABLE                  | Measures                                                                 | Formula                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Financial sustainability (FS) | Revenue ratio, Net financial liabilities, Total debt services cover, Cash expense cover, Asset sustainability, SGR | Cost of revenue/total revenue, Log (short-term debt + long-term debt – cash and cash equivalents)/total debt, Total cash/ interest expense, Capital expenditure on replacement of assets/depreciation expenditure, Retention rate * ROE |
| Firm performance (FP)     | Tobin’s Q, Operating ratio, Net debt activity ratio                      | (Market value of equity + book value of debt)/book value of assets, Operating profit/ sales, Debt/ total assets                           |
| Financialization          | Financialization                                                        | Long term investment + short term investments/ total assets                                                                          |
| Corporate governance      | CG                                                                       | Index of (CEO duality, institutional ownership, board meeting, board size, director ownership, audit committee, audit committee size, big 5 ownership, auditing by big 4) |
| Sectoral policies         | SP                                                                       | Dummy variable 0 and 1: 0 if policy is not applied and 1 otherwise                                                                    |
| Control variables         | Firm age, Taxation                                                      | Number of years firm is incorporated                                                                                                  |
| Earnings management       | Accrual earnings management (AEM) Real earnings management (REM)         | Dechow method, Cash flow from operations/total assets of previous year = 1/total assets previous year + sales revenue/ total assets previous years + change in sales/total assets previous year |
following reasons, these reasons are also justified by Incerti et al. (2019) and Mizumoto and Plonsky (2016). R software is used because of its cost-effectiveness. It is open-source software and all the packages in R software are also easily available on the internet. Moreover, it is updated by the researchers frequently and the updated version is shared openly. That helps to access the latest syntax.

The SVAR model is used to identify the shocks and trace them out (Pfaff, 2008). Moreover, it is used to identify short-term or long-term policy interventions. Impulse response function IRF helps to identify the shocks caused by each variable and the intensity of the shock as well. The impact in the form of shocks can be seen over the sample period. The packages used for PVAR, and SVAR are vars, urca, plm, panel var, and dev tools.

For the study, firm performance, financialization, corporate governance, policies, and earning management are taken as the independent variables and financial sustainability is the dependent variable as shown in Table 1.

The econometric model of the study is:

$$FS_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{FiP}_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 EM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Age}_{it} + \beta_7 Tax_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where FS is the financial sustainability, FiP is the firm performance, EM is the earning management, SP is the sectoral policies, CGI is the corporate governance index, and firm age and tax are taken as the control variables.

Six models are tested with the help of SVAR. These six models are based on the six determinants of FS financial sustainability. The determinants are explained in the table above. The models for testing are:

Model 1:

Revenue ratio = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Tobin’s Q_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 AEM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + \beta_8 \text{Firm Age}_{it}$

+ $\beta_9 Tax_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{REM}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Operating ratio}_{it} + \beta_{12} \text{Net debt activity ratio}_{it} + \beta_{13} \text{Net equity ratio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

Model 2:

Net financial liabilities = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Tobin’s Q_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 AEM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + \beta_8 \text{Firm Age}_{it}$

+ $\beta_9 Tax_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{REM}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Operating ratio}_{it} + \beta_{12} \text{Net debt activity ratio}_{it} + \beta_{13} \text{Net equity ratio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

Model 3:

Net debt service cover = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Tobin’s Q_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 AEM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + \beta_8 \text{Firm Age}_{it}$

+ $\beta_9 Tax_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{REM}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Operating ratio}_{it} + \beta_{12} \text{Net debt activity ratio}_{it} + \beta_{13} \text{Net equity ratio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

Model 4:

Cash expense cover ratio = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Tobin’s Q_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 AEM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + \beta_8 \text{Firm Age}_{it}$

+ $\beta_9 Tax_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{REM}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Operating ratio}_{it} + \beta_{12} \text{Net debt activity ratio}_{it} + \beta_{13} \text{Net equity ratio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

Model 5:

Asset sustainability = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Tobin’s Q_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 AEM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + \beta_8 \text{Firm Age}_{it}$

+ $\beta_9 Tax_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{REM}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Operating ratio}_{it} + \beta_{12} \text{Net debt activity ratio}_{it} + \beta_{13} \text{Net equity ratio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

Model 6:

$$SGR = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Tobin’s Q_{it} + \beta_2 FIN_{it} + \beta_3 AEM_{it} + \beta_4 SP_{it} + \beta_5 CGI_{it} + \beta_6 \text{Firm Size}_{it} + \beta_7 \text{Firm Age}_{it} + \beta_8 Tax_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{REM}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Operating ratio}_{it} + \beta_{12} \text{Net debt activity ratio}_{it}$$

+ $\beta_{13} \text{Net equity ratio}_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

Table 2 shows the number of firms operating in the manufacturing sector and the number of firms from which data are collected.

Data is collected from 2005 to 2019 for 249 firms and excluding the missing and the unavailable data the total count of observations is over 2400.
ANALYSIS

To see the impact of each variable on the endogenous variable, structural vector auto-regression SVAR is carried out. Structural vector autoregression helps to identify the structural shocks and how those shocks would behave over some time. The intensity and the duration of impact can be visually represented also, as done in the following section.

The R software is used for the analysis, and the package SVARs are installed for the purpose. Structural VAR applies to each model. The variable of interest in the study is financial sustainability, which is studied through different models. The basic purpose of the study is to identify the

![FIGURE 1](image1.png) Visualization of impulse response function (IRF) for structural vector autoregression (SVAR) for Model 1.

![FIGURE 2](image2.png) Visualization of IRF for SVAR for Model 2.
FIGURE 3 | Visualization of IRF for SVAR for Model 3.

FIGURE 4 | Visualization of IRF for SVAR for Model 4.
Based on the purpose of the study the restriction imposed on SVAR is BQ or Blanchard and Quah restriction, proposed by Blanchard and Quah (1988), which states imposing restrictions on how stocks influence the endogenous variables in the long run and limiting the response from a variable to a shock. The syntax used to calculate the SVAR in R is:

**SVAR estimation:**
```
Model6 ← VAR (dset6, p = 4, type = "const")
SVAR6 ← BQ (Model6)
```
```
summary (SVAR6).
```

**Impulse response function:**
```
irf6 ← irf (SVAR6, impulse = "financialization1," response = "log.revratio," n.ahead = 10)
plot(irf6).
```

The IRF generated is shown here for all the variables and all the models to get a clear idea of the shocks and behavior of the variables over the period. Estimates for all the models are given as under, showing the values for 10 years as the data is yearly in terms of the estimated contemporaneous impact matrix, estimated identified long-run impact matrix, and covariance matrix of reduced form residuals. The visualization of the SVAR model is also shown:

**Model 1**

Based on the visualization as presented in Figure 1, the graphs show the effect of each exogenous variable on the endogenous variable. Sectoral policies are showing an upward trend in future years to come, which shows the efficacy of the policies instigated in the various sectors under study. Moreover, the negative impact of financialization subsides over a couple of years and, afterward, stabilizes in the later years. The effect of REM and AEM is very damaging for the revenue ratio of firms, as the impact is a deep plunge that stays the same in the longer term.

**Model 2**

The SVAR analysis is conducted on Model 2 to see the long-term shocks of the variables on the endogenous variable, which is the net financial liability. The dependent variables are financialization, real earning management, accrual earning management, Tobin Q, and sectoral policies. Over 10 years, net financial liability is affected by exogenous variables, but the trend settles down in the longer term. Sectoral policies SP, take the net
financial liability upward initially, and then, there is a stabilized effect on the endogenous variable. This shows that the policies developed by sectors are effective and working in a positive direction. Similarly, the impact shown by Tobin Q is downward initially till year 3, then there is a rise in year 4, after which the impact has stabilized in the later years as shown in Figure 2.

**Model 3**

Visualization of SVAR for Model 3 shows the impact of exogenous variables on an endogenous variable, which is debt services cover. The impact of Tobin Q is taking the endogenous variable upward and this hike then persists in the years to come. The impact of REM, AEM, financialization, and SP are downward, and the decline becomes part of the trend in the later years as shown in Figure 3.

**Model 4**

The IRF shows the impact of the exogenous variable on cash expense cover as part of Model 4. The IRF of SVAR shows financialization has a great negative impact, which is long-lasting, and firms cannot return to their initial position because of financialization. REM and AEM are not affected gravely; whereas the Tobin Q is showing a positive impact and the influence is for a longer period. Furthermore, SP is keeping a stabilized pattern over the time frame as shown in Figure 4.

**Model 5**

The IRF shows the impact of the exogenous variable on asset sustainability as part of Model 5. The impact of financialization is downward sloping and its impact continues for the longer term. The influence of REM and AEM is not very damaging, as the
upward trend is balanced out by a downward trend of the same effect. The effect of SP is also stabilized over the period as shown in Figure 5.

**Model 6**
The IRF shows the impact of the exogenous variable on SGR as part of Model 6. The impact on SGR from the exogenous variables is not very intense and stabilizes in the longer run. The downward trend from REM and AEM even outs in a year or two to balance the impression. The effect of SP is upward, which shows the effectiveness of the sectoral policies as shown in Figure 6.

The SVAR analysis shows that firm performance, corporate governance, and sectoral policies have a positive and long-lasting positive impact on financial sustainability (Badar and Irfan, 2018; Asad et al., 2020a,b; Yan et al., 2020; Ali et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2021), whereas earning management and financialization have a negative impact that affects financial sustainability for the years to come.

**CONCLUSION**
The basic aim of a corporation is to stay in the market for a longer period. For that, they make strategies and policies for sustainable development, but not all the policies, as clear from the past, are fruitful for industrial growth. This study conducts a policy intervention analysis.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**
The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**
All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

**REFERENCES**
Abbas, J. (2020). The Impact of Coronavirus (SARS-CoV2) Epidemic on Individuals Mental Health: the Protective Measures of Pakistan in Managing and Sustaining Transmissible Disease. *Psychiatr. Danub.* 32, 472–477. doi: 10.24869/psyd.2020.472

Abbas, J., Mahmood, S., Ali, H., Ali Raza, M., Ali, G., Aman, J., et al. (2019a). The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Practices and Environmental Factors through a Moderating Role of Social Media Marketing on Sustainable Performance of Business Firms. *Sustainability* 11:3434. doi: 10.3390/su11123434

Abbas, J., Raza, S., Nurunnabi, M., Minai, M. S., and Bano, S. (2019b). The Impact of Entrepreneurial Business Networks on Firms’ Performance Through a Mediating Role of Dynamic Capabilities. *Sustainability* 11:3006. doi: 10.3390/su11113006

Abbas, J., Wang, D., Su, Z., and Ziapour, A. (2021). The Role of Social Media in the Advent of COVID-19 Pandemic: crisis Management, Mental Health Challenges and Implications. *Risk Manag. Healthc. Policy* 14, 1917–1932. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S284313

Abassi, K. R., Adebayoín, F. F., and Hussain, K. (2021). The impact of energy depletion and renewable energy on CO2 emissions in Thailand: fresh evidence from the novel dynamic ARDL simulation. *Renewable Energy* 180, 1439–1450. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2021.08.078

Ali, M. H., Irfan, D. M., and Zafar, S. (2021). Effect of Leadership Styles on Education Quality in Public Universities with the Interaction of Organizational Politics: using the Partial Least Square Algorithm. *J. Soc. Sci. Hum.* 1, 1–13.

Ali, R. A., and Irfan, M. (2020). The Impact of Corporate Governance, Fundamental and Macroeconomic Factors on Stock Prices: an Evidence from Sugar and Allied Industry of Pakistan. *Int. J. Enterprise Information Syst.* 40, 1329–1341.

Alshehhi, A., Nobanee, H., and Khare, N. (2018). The Influence of Islamic Religiousity on the Perceived Socio-Cultural Impact of Sustainable Tourism Development in Pakistan: a Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Sustainability* 11:3039. doi: 10.3390/su11113039

Aman, J., Shi, G., Ain, N. U., and Gu, L. (2022). Community Wellbeing Under China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: role of Social, Economic, Cultural, and Educational Factors in Improving Residents’ Quality of Life. *Front. Psychol.* 12:816592. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.816592

Amisano, G., and Giannini, C. (1997). *Topics in Structural VAR Econometrics.* 2nd edition. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Anjum, M. N., Xiuchun, B., Shuguang, Z., and McMillan, D. (2017). Analyzing predictors of customer satisfaction and assessment of retail banking problems in Pakistan. *Cogent Bus. Manag.* 4:1338842. doi: 10.1080/23311975.2017.1338842

Aqel, M., Raza, S., and Aman, J. (2021a). Portraying the multifaceted interplay between sexual harassment, job stress, social support and employees turnover intention amid COVID-19: a Multilevel Moderating Model Foundation University. *J. Bus. Econ.* 6, 1–17.

Aqel, M., Rehna, T., and Shuja, K. H. (2022). Comparison of Students’ Mental Wellbeing, Anxiety, Depression, and Quality of Life During COVID-19’s Full and Partial (Smart) Lockdowns: a Follow-Up Study at a 5-Month Interval. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:83585S. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.83585S

Aqel, M., Shuja, K. H., Rehna, T., Ziapour, A., Yousaf, I., and Karamat, T. (2021b). The influence of illness perception, anxiety and depression disorders on students mental health during COVID-19 outbreak in Pakistan: a Web-based cross-sectional survey. *Int. J. Hum. Rights Health* 15, 17–30.

Asad, A., Basheer, M. F., Irfan, M., Jiang, J., and Tahir, R. (2020a). Open-Innovation and knowledge management in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs): the role of external knowledge and internal innovation. *Revista Argentina de Ciencia Clínica Psicológica* 29, 80–90.

Asad, A., Hameed, W. U., Irfan, M., Jiang, J., and Naveed, R. T. (2020b). The Contribution of Microfinance Institutes in Women-Empowerment and role of Vulnerability. *Revista Argentina de Ciencia Clínica Psicológica* 29, 223–238.

Asad, A., Irfan, M., and Raza, H. M. (2017). The Impact of HPWS in Organizational Performance: a Mediating Role of Servant Leadership. *J. Managerial Sci.* 11, 25–48.

Ashraf, M. S., Akhtar, N., Ashraf, R. U., Hou, F., Junaid, M., and Kirmani, S. A. A. (2020). Traveling Responsibly to Ecofriendly Destinations: an Individual-Level Cross-Cultural Comparison between the United Kingdom and China. *Sustainability* 12:3248.

Azizi, M. R., Atlasi, R., Ziapour, A., and Naemi, R. (2021). Innovative human resource management strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic narrative review approach. *Heliyon* 7:e07233. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07233.

Badar, M. S., and Irfan, M. (2018). *Shopping Mall Services and Customer Purchase Intention along with Demographics.* Germany: Springer-Verlag.
Zhou, Y., Draghici, A., Mubeen, R., Boatca, M. E., and Salam, M. A. (2021). Social Media Efficacy in Crisis Management: effectiveness of Non-pharmaceutical Interventions to Manage COVID-19 Challenges. *Front. Psychiatry* 12:626134. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.626134

Zhu, X., Muneer, S., Irfan, M., and Naveed, R. T. (2021). Does Employee Psychological Safety expedite Employee Performance and Firm Performance: mediating role of Employee Task Performance and Helping Behavior. *Revista Argentina de Clínica Psicológica* 30, 509–518. doi: 10.24205/03276716.2020.4051

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ahmed, Ellahi, Waheed and Aman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.