Quality of life (QOL) evaluation after acute coronary syndrome with simultaneous clopidogrel treatment
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Abstract

Introduction: Double antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel and acetylsalicylic acid is a standard procedure after acute coronary syndrome. This treatment carries a higher risk of complications. The main goal of this research was to assess the patients’ quality of life after undergoing antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel after acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

Material and methods: In the questionnaire research 3220 patients after ACS and treated with clopidogrel were included. The evaluation was carried out with the quality of life questionnaire SF-12.

Results: 37.9% of the interviewees experienced ACS-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), 62.1% non-ST-elevation myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI), on average within 2±42 weeks (p < 0.05). 7.2% of the interviewees were receiving non-invasive treatment and in 2.4% cases it was fibrinolysis. 90.4% were treated with primary angioplasty and stenting. In 53.8% of cases a covered stent (DES) was implanted. 95.6% of the patients received, besides clopidogrel, acetylsalicylic acid. The lowest quality of life was observed after non-invasive treatment or fibrinolytic only (p < 0.05). The quality of life in those patients who underwent angioplasty and stent implantation was similar (p < 0.05). With time, a progressive improvement of all assessed quality of life aspects was observed (p < 0.05). The improvement was noted regardless of the ACS treatment method (p < 0.001). The differences between the patients were smaller at each successive evaluation (p < 0.05). In the case of vitality, emotional and psychic condition, they disappeared completely (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: The quality of life rises along with time passed after acute coronary syndrome. Invasive methods provide better quality of life than fibrinolysis and non-invasive treatment in the acute coronary syndrome patients.
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Introduction

Acute coronary syndrome does not always require an invasive procedure. Even though in each case long-term treatment with an antiplatelet
drug is necessary, in the case of non-invasive management only acetylsalicylic acid with clopidogrel administration for at least 12 months is recommended [1]. An invasive procedure such as angioplasty combined with stent implantation (revascularisation) is nowadays the most common method. Stent implantations have lowered the restenosis occurrence in the first 6 to 9 months from 39.3% to 23.9% [2]. Unfortunately, regular stent (BMS) implantation, or even a covered one (DES), is correlated with the stent thrombosis risk [3, 4]. That is why double antiplatelet therapy (acetylsalicylic acid with clopidogrel) is necessary after the procedure [5]. The cardiological standards describe this kind of treatment as obligatory for the first month after bare metal stent (BMS) implantation and for at least 12 months after a covered one (DES) [6].

Stable angina patients’ optimal non-invasive treatment limits stenocardial complaints and allows the quality of life to improve [7]. The COURAGE research showed that stable angina patients after transcutaneous balloon angioplasty with stent implantation combined with optimal pharmacotherapy have insignificant improvement in the quality of life. The differences disappear after 24 months [8]. The invasive procedure provides no prognosis benefit [5], though early transcutaneous revascularization correlated with the improvement of 1 year survival rate and the quality of life [9].

No research comparing the quality of life after acute coronary syndrome depending on the antiplatelet treatment has been conducted so far. Antiplatelet treatment carries a higher risk of bleeding, especially from the nose, and is a common cause of bruising [10]. These complications may limit the patient’s physical activity. In the present research, in order to evaluate the quality of life, the SF-12 form, which is a shortened version of the SF-36 form, was used. The SF-36 form was created in the second half of the 1980s as part of the Medical Outcomes Study (MOS). Both forms assess eight dimensions of physical, social and individual function as well as the personal sense of mental health, vitality and general health, pain levels and feeling of discomfort. The SF-36 form was validated by the Psychiatry and Neurology Institute in Warsaw in the late 1990s [11].

The evaluation of patients’ quality of life after using antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel after suffering acute coronary syndrome

**Material and methods**

Three hundred and twenty-one doctors took part in the research: 130 internal medicine specialists, 101 cardiologists and 90 general practitioners supervising the acute coronary syndrome patients’ management. Three thousand two hundred and twenty patients after acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and treated with clopidogrel, in the past or at present, were included in this research. The only research inclusion criterion was clopidogrel treatment after ACS; there were no exclusion criteria. The study was approved by an Ethics committee.

The questionnaire included the following data: demographic (gender, age, place of residence, level of education), anthropometric (body mass, height), blood pressure measurement, the history concerning smoking before ACS and at present, the characteristics of ACS (type, treatment, implanted stent type), information about antiplatelet management after ACS, concomitant diseases (arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hepatic cirrhosis, gastrointestinal ulceration, asthma and chronic obtrusive pulmonary disease, lower limbs atherosclerosis, benign prostatic hyperplasia, chronic renal disease, gout), cardiological drugs used. The second part of the questionnaire consisted of questions included in the health and wellbeing SF-12v form certified with a Quality Metric Incorporated licence (no. CT119837/OP005421).

During the next two visits, at 2-month intervals, any hospitalizations, revascularization procedures, or changes in antiplatelet therapy, including the reasons for clopidogrel treatment termination and current cardiological pharmacotherapy, along with the quality of life, were reported on the SF-12v form. Based on the body mass and height the body mass index (BMI) was calculated. According to commonly accepted criteria the overweight were classified as 25–30 kg/m² and obese as ≥ 30 kg/m².

The raw results of the SF-12v form answers were recalculated to the percentage values according to the highest and the lowest values observed in the investigated population. The transformations were done with the formula: (outcome value – the lowest value in the population)/scale range × 100%.

The analysis of the place of residence, level of education, alimentation condition, concomitant diseases, types of ACS, administered treatment, and types and quantity of implanted stents in particular patients was performed. The quality of life analysis was done independently for patients treated non-invasively, with fibrinolysis and treated with angioplasty or angioplasty with stent implantation.

The primary planned analysis of the quality of life after the antiplatelet treatment was withdrawn, because all the patients received clopidogrel initially and the group that stopped clopidogrel administration during the follow-up was small (4.7%).

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was done using Statistica 8.0 PL software. The analysis outcome was presented as a proportion or average with standard variations. To compare the variables the ANOVA test and Tukey’s test were run. The value $p < 0.05$ was
accepted as significant. The results have been presented in the tables and diagrams.

Results

Description of the investigated group

The investigated group treated with clopidogrel after acute coronary syndrome consisted of 3220 patients: 2002 men and 1218 women. According to GUS (main Polish statistical office) data the over-representation of city dwellers (81.6%) and people with high education level (27.1%) was observed. 29.1% of those questioned were obese, 18.3% were active smokers, even though before ACS as many as 54.8% were smokers. After the ACS event 66.8% of men and 73% of women who took part in the research quit smoking.

37.9% of interviewees experienced ACS type ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and 62.1% non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). 7.2% of patients were treated non-invasively, while 2.4% of the patients were administered only fibrinolysis. 90.4% of the patients underwent an invasive procedure, most commonly primary angioplasty with stenting. In 53.8% of the cases a covered stent (DES) was implanted. Time that passed from ACS was on average 23 ± 42 weeks.

Concomitant diseases were reported in 95.7% of cases. Arterial hypertension was diagnosed in 88.4% of interviewees, diabetes mellitus in 38%. Gastro-duodenal ulceration was reported in 13.8% of cases. 11.9% of the patients suffered from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma. 23.8% of men were diagnosed with benign prostate hyperplasia.

88.1% of those questioned were receiving β-adrenolytics, including 282 with chronic bronchial diseases (75.4%). 95.1% of the patients did not take any drug that inhibits renin-angiotensin system activity. Diuretics were taken by 46.0% of the interviewees, statins by 90.4% and fibrates by 7.0%. 4.7% received combined hypolipidaemic treatment (Table I).

Antiplatelet therapy

Most commonly (98.5%) the clopidogrel dosage was 75 mg per day. A higher dosage was received by 15% of those questioned. 96.5% received simultaneously acetylsalicylic acid, most frequently (78.3%) 75 mg/day. Moreover, 2.5% of interviewees were receiving ticlopidine (11.3% not receiving and 0.9% receiving acetylsalicylic acid).

2.9% of the patients were treated with orally administered anticoagulation (acenocoumarol or warfarin) and 0.4% with low molecular weight heparin.

Quality of life

Overall, the general health aspect was the worst when appraised by the interviewees. Women, in

Table I. Concomitant medicaments used in the investigated group after acute coronary syndrome (n = 3,220)

| Medicament       | N (%) |
|------------------|-------|
| Clopidogrel      | 3220  (100) |
| 75 mg/day        | 3172  (98.5) |
| 150 mg/day       | 35    (1.1) |
| 300 mg/day       | 13    (0.4) |
| Acetylsalicylic acid | 3078 (95.6) |
| 30 mg/day        | 2     (0.1) |
| 75 mg/day        | 2522  (78.3) |
| 100 mg/day       | 84    (2.6) |
| 150 mg/day       | 451   (14.0) |
| 300 mg/day       | 19    (0.6) |
| Ticlopidine      | 80    (2.5) |
| 250 mg/day       | 42    (1.3) |
| 500 mg/day       | 38    (1.2) |
| Anticoagulants   | 93    (2.9) |
| LMW heparin      | 14    (0.4) |

Cardiological medicament

ACE inhibitors 2670 (82.9)
Sartans 757 (23.5)
β-Adrenolytics 2836 (88.1)
Diuretics 1482 (46.0)
Nitrates 509 (15.8)
Ca2+ channel blockers 762 (23.7)

Hypolipidaemic medicament

Statins 2911 (90.4)
Fibrates 226 (7.0)

Quality of life comparison between males and females after acute coronary syndrome assessed during the first visit *p < 0.001

Figure 1. Quality of life comparison between males and females after acute coronary syndrome assessed during the first visit *p < 0.001

Arch Med Sci 1, February / 2014 35
Table II. Quality of life assessment after acute coronary syndrome with simultaneous clopidogrel treatment

| Variable                  | Visit no. | Non-invasive (n = 232) | Fibrinolysis (n = 78) | Angioplasty (n = 230) | Stenting (n = 2680) |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Physical health [%]       | 1         | 34.7 ±27.7             | 39.1 ±25.4            | 51.5 ±25.9            | 51.6 ±30.3          |
|                           | 2         | 45.8 ±28.7             | 48.7 ±22.8            | 55.0 ±24.9            | 60.2 ±27.6          |
|                           | 3         | 52.8 ±31.3             | 57.7 ±28.6            | 60.4 ±24.0            | 65.8 ±26.3          |
| Physical limitations [%]  | 1         | 39.7 ±12.1             | 42.3 ±17.5            | 50.2 ±21.0            | 49.6 ±22.3          |
|                           | 2         | 49.1 ±20.1             | 51.3 ±19.7            | 54.9 ±19.5            | 57.5 ±21.0          |
|                           | 3         | 55.4 ±23.1             | 59.3 ±19.7            | 60.5 ±20.4            | 62.4 ±20.7          |
| Physical pain [%]         | 1         | 57.3 ±24.6             | 56.4 ±24.0            | 65.4 ±25.9            | 68.8 ±25.9          |
|                           | 2         | 63.7 ±23.6             | 65.4 ±21.8            | 69.5 ±23.4            | 75.2 ±22.9          |
|                           | 3         | 71.3 ±23.9             | 712 ±21.7             | 75.4 ±22.1            | 78.6 ±21.3          |
| General health [%]        | 1         | 33.0 ±18.8             | 27.6 ±12.5            | 40.2 ±16.7            | 38.2 ±18.8          |
|                           | 2         | 38.7 ±19.1             | 39.1 ±17.8            | 42.3 ±15.6            | 44.3 ±18.0          |
|                           | 3         | 44.6 ±24.0             | 41.7 ±17.4            | 47.3 ±16.1            | 49.2 ±18.2          |
| Vitality [%]              | 1         | 39.7 ±24.0             | 36.5 ±18.8            | 46.1 ±23.0            | 44.6 ±23.9          |
|                           | 2         | 48.2 ±24.7             | 47.4 ±21.2            | 50.2 ±21.9            | 51.3 ±22.9          |
|                           | 3         | 53.5 ±26.0             | 52.6 ±20.4            | 53.8 ±22.4            | 55.7 ±22.8          |
| Social activity [%]       | 1         | 50.9 ±22.3             | 50.6 ±23.1            | 56.7 ±23.2            | 56.7 ±24.6          |
|                           | 2         | 56.9 ±23.6             | 56.4 ±19.5            | 62.7 ±20.0            | 64.7 ±22.9          |
|                           | 3         | 63.3 ±27.9             | 67.9 ±18.0            | 65.7 ±21.4            | 69.3 ±22.5          |
| Emotional condition [%]   | 1         | 48.6 ±16.7             | 45.5 ±13.8            | 52.0 ±16.4            | 53.3 ±18.2          |
|                           | 2         | 56.9 ±21.5             | 54.2 ±19.6            | 60.4 ±19.5            | 62.2 ±21.2          |
|                           | 3         | 61.4 ±23.6             | 61.2 ±20.1            | 64.0 ±21.0            | 66.2 ±20.7          |
| Psychic health [%]        | 1         | 53.4 ±19.2             | 49.7 ±17.7            | 57.7 ±18.3            | 58.0 ±20.1          |
|                           | 2         | 61.3 ±19.9             | 61.5 ±16.7            | 62.3 ±16.8            | 64.4 ±18.6          |
|                           | 3         | 64.3 ±21.8             | 66.7 ±17.4            | 66.5 ±17.3            | 68.1 ±17.9          |

Statistical variability p < 0.05

Comparison to men, claimed lower quality of life in all health aspects according to the SF-12 form (Figure 1). The biggest difference in appraisal regarded physical health. Patients aged 60 years or older described their quality of life as the lowest. The level of education as well as where the patients lived were also contributing factors. The highest quality of life was observed among patients with higher education. Lower quality of life, lower social activity, limitations in physical activity, high levels of pain, and shorter longevity were observed among the city residents.

The lowest quality of life was claimed by patients whose ACS treatment was limited to non-invasive management or fibrinolysis (Table II). Non-invasive methods were more often offered to patients over 70 years old. The quality of life after angioplasty and stent implantation was similar. The biggest differences regarded the physical health aspect. Concomitant diseases such as diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, lower limbs atherosclerosis, chronic renal disease and gout were among the high-impact factors.

### Discussion

Quality of life in comparison with invasive ACS treatment correlated with worse clinical aspects, but also for physical ones. Lower quality of life was observed among patients with higher education. The medical recommendations level of compliance for clopidogrel was 95.3% and was similar to adherence of acetylsalicylic acid. High adherence was noted for angiotensin convertase inhibitors (97.4%), β-adrenolytics (94.8%) and statins (97.5%).

The influence of clopidogrel single treatment on the prognosis and, consequently, the quality of life. The research results point out the patients' age as a significant factor affecting the quality of life after ACS.

### Management adherence

Three thousand one hundred and eighty-two (98.8%) patients reported to the first outpatient follow-up, 3102 (96.3%) to the following one. During the period of time in which the research was conducted, 18 patients died (0.6%), while the condition of 53 (3.6%) is unknown.

Statistical difference vs. first visit \( ^{p < 0.001} \)
the ACS treatment method (Table III). The differences between the patients treated non-invasively, with fibrinolysis and invasively were smaller at successive evaluations. Vitality, emotional state and mental health differences disappeared completely.

Discussion

The present research proved that non-invasive and fibrinolytic ACS treatment correlated with worse quality of life in comparison with invasive ACS treatment. The perception of worsened quality of life became smaller with the time that passed after the procedure.

Coronary artery angioplasty procedures in acute myocardial infarction patients increase the survival rate, limit the heart muscle necrosis range and enable better left ventricular performance [12]. In the evaluated patients’ group primary transcutaneous angioplasty was done in 89.1% of cases. Early coronary vessels recanalization provides great benefits; hence the quality of life assessment was not the researchers’ focus in that particular case.

The present research shows that the above procedures improve various aspects of life. It means that the ACS patient quality of life depends on the time necessary to report to the doctor and availability of the interventional cardiology centre. If a patient reports late (after 8–12 h following the stenocardial complaints) it minimizes his/her chances of primary revascularisation and worsens the prognosis and, consequently, the quality of life.

The quality of life depends on many factors such as gender, age, level of education, place of residence and concomitant diseases. The latest published research results point out the patients’ age as a significant factor affecting the quality of life after ACS. That research shows that patients over 80 years old benefit the most from this procedure. Nevertheless, as patients get older they are less frequently qualified to receive a primary revascularization procedure. In this research stent implantation was performed on a considerably high percentage (64.8%) over 80 years old [13].

None of the aforementioned factors could influence the self-assessment improvement observed with passage of time. It can be presumed that the improvement of the quality of life may be a result of the time that passed after the procedure [14, 15]. With time the anticipation of an ACS recurrence declines, with consequences not only for psychological aspects, but also for physical ones [16].

This research has got multiple limitations. The evaluation of the quality of life was performed at different time intervals after ACS, which caused significant group heterogeneity. It should be presumed that the choice of the group was determined by the clinical condition, and that patient groups may not be comparable. The research does not allow assessment of the influence of clopidogrel single treatment on the quality of life, because all trial members followed a treatment scheme based on this medication. The only difference was the administration time interval. However, finding adequately numerous groups of patients intolerant to clopidogrel is extremely difficult when the medication is well tolerated.

In conclusion, the quality of life improves with time after suffering from the acute coronary syndrome. Invasive methods provide better quality of life than fibrinolysis and non-invasive treatment in acute coronary syndrome patients.
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