Effect of Silicon and Seaweed Extract on Physical and Sensory Quality of Papaya cv. Red Lady
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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was conducted at Instructional farm, ACHF, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India to study the effect of silicon and seaweed extract on physical and sensory quality of papaya cv. Red Lady during the year 2016-17 and 2017-18. Papaya plants were sprayed with different concentrations of silicon (potassium silicate and ortho silicic acid at 0.2 and 0.4 %) and seaweed extract (2 and 4 %) either alone or in combinations at 3, 4, 5 and 6 months after planting. Application of potassium silicate @ 0.4% + seaweed extract @ 4% proved most effective in reducing physiological loss in weight and increasing shelf life with improved fruit firmness in papaya cv. Red Lady. Sensory parameters i.e. color, texture, flavor, taste, general appearance and overall acceptability were significantly better under foliar application of ortho silicic acid @ 0.2% + seaweed extract @ 2%.
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Introduction

Papaya is an evergreen, herbaceous, rapidly growing, short lived perennial tree with upright growing pattern. It is one of the economically and commercially most important fruit crop of the tropical and subtropical region. It belongs to family Caricaceae and believed to have originated from Tropical America. It is one of the most important fruit crop of Hawaii, Malaysia, Myanmar, Sri Lanka, India, Queens Land and South Africa. In India, it is successfully grown all over the country and is available round the year.
year. India leads the world in papaya production by virtue of producing about 6.10 million metric tonnes of papaya from an area of 0.136 million hectare with a productivity of 44.9 MT/ha. In Gujarat, top five papaya producing districts are Kachchh, Tapi, Vadodara, Aravalli and Chhota Udepur (Anon., 2017). Papaya is gaining popularity due to its high nutritive value, high yielding potential, year round fruiting and multifarious uses of the plant as well as the fruit.

Papaya is sensitive to various biotic and abiotic stress which severely affect the productivity and quality of fruits. Silicon plays an important role in helping plants overcome different types of abiotic and biotic stresses. The purpose of using Si is to induce resistance to distinct stresses, diseases and pathogens. Silicon is prominent in cell walls as solid amorphous silica, providing a structural barrier to pathogens. Moreover, silicon minimizes toxicity of Fe, Al and Mn and increases the availability of P and enhances drought along with salt tolerance in plants through the formation of silicified tissues in plants. Seaweed extract (SWE) being organic and biodegradable in nature is considered as an important source of nutrition for sustainable agriculture (Cassan et al., 1992).

It acts as chelated compound and soil conditioner (Norrie et al., 2002; Fornes et al., 2002 and 2005; Chouliaras et al., 2005) and is considered as an excellent natural fertilizer (Ibrahim et al., 2015) and potential biotical and pharmaceutical agents (Ito and Hori, 1989; Ahmed and Ragab, 2002).

At present, there is little information available regarding the effect of silicon and seaweed extract effect on papaya quality under South Gujarat conditions. Therefore it was deemed necessary to investigate the effect of silicon and sea weed extract on physical and sensory parameters of papaya cv. Red Lady.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was conducted at Instructional Farm, ACHF, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari during 2017 and 2018. Seven weeks old (15-20 cm height) papaya cv. Red Lady seedlings were transplanted at a distance of 2 m x 2 m in the first fortnight of May. The experiment was laid out in the Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and eleven treatments. The treatments were as under:

T_1: Control  
T_2: Potassium silicate 0.2 %  
T_3: Potassium silicate 0.4 %  
T_4: Ortho silicic acid 0.2 %  
T_5: Ortho silicic acid 0.4 %  
T_6: Seaweed extract 2 %  
T_7: Seaweed extract 4 %  
T_8: Potassium silicate 0.2 % + Seaweed extract 2 %  
T_9: Potassium silicate 0.4 % + Seaweed extract 4 %  
T_{10}: Ortho silicic acid 0.2 % + Seaweed extract 2 %  
T_{11}: Ortho silicic acid 0.4 % + Seaweed extract 4 %

Foliar sprays were done at 3, 4, 5 and 6 months after planting. Recommended dose of fertilizer 200:200:250 g NPK/plant/year was applied at 2, 4, 6 and 8 months after planting (MAP). Necessary plant protection measures were undertaken during the course of investigation. The physical parameters i.e.
physiological loss in weight, fruit firmness and shelf life as well as sensory parameters i.e. color, texture, flavour, taste, general appearance and overall acceptability were observed during experimentation. Scoring was done by a panel of ten judges by using 9 Hedonic Scale for each character.

Results and Discussion

**Physical parameters**

The data regarding physical parameters of papaya fruits cv. Red Lady was recorded at eating ripe stage (Table 1). Imposition of treatments had a significant effect on physiological loss in weight, shelf life and fruit firmness in papaya fruits during both the years of investigation. Physiological loss in weight of fruits increased significantly in all treatments as the storage period progressed. The minimum loss in weight was observed in fruits subjected to treatment T9 (7.29 and 7.17 %) and it was at par with T11 (8.02 and 7.95 %) and T10 (8.33 and 8.20 %) during first and second year, respectively. The maximum values (6.69 and 6.56 kg/cm²) for fruit firmness were recorded in treatment T10 which was at par with T9 (6.46 and 6.35 kg/cm²) in first and second year of study, respectively. Maximum shelf life (8.67 and 8.83 days) was registered with treatment T9 which was at par with T11 (8.33 and 8.50 days) and T10 (8.00 and 8.17 days) during 2017 and 2018, respectively. The maximum loss in weight and minimum fruit firmness and shelf life was noted in T1 (control).

Weight loss is mainly attributed to loss of water during metabolic process like respiration and transpiration. Minimum physiological loss in weight (%) in treatment T9 (PS 0.4% + SWE 4%) might be due to low respiration rate in potassium silicate treated fruits with 0.4% concentration and is thought to be due to reduced rate of metabolism (Stamatakis et al., 2003). These results are in agreement with those reported by Kaluwa et al., (2010) in avocado and Patil and Jagadeesh (2016) in banana with silicon. Omar and El-Shemy (2008) in date palm and Omar (2014) in Washington Navel orange had earlier recorded similar results with seaweed extract.

Due to anti senescence properties and inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis, fruits treated with high concentration of potassium silicate had higher shelf life (Patil and Jagadeesh, 2016). Seaweed extracts can be an alternative to chemical fungicides for inhibiting the development of post-harvest decay, improving fruit quality and storability of fruits (Ömar, 2014). Akin results were noticed by Barbang et al., (2002) in banana and Shi et al., (2012) in longan using silicon. A similar response to seaweed extract was observed in strawberry by El-Miniawy et al., (2014).

**Organoleptic Evaluation**

The data presented in Table 2 regarding the effect of various treatments on organoleptic evaluation for assessing the color, texture, flavor, taste, general appearance and overall acceptability of papaya fruit was done by a panel of ten judges by using 9 Hedonic Scale for each character. The color, texture, flavor, taste, general appearance and overall acceptability were significantly affected by various treatments during both the years of investigation. The best color rating (8.67 and 8.33) was recorded in T10 during both the years and it was at par with T3 (8.40) and T9 (8.33) during 2017 and with T3 (8.17) and T6 (8.07) during 2018. The highest rating for texture (8.40 and 8.27) was given to T10 during both the years and it was at par with T9 (8.13 and 7.87) during 2017 and 2018, respectively.
Table.1  Effect of silicon and seaweed extract on physical parameters of papaya cv. Red Lady

| Treatments | Physiological Loss in Weight (%) | Fruit Firmness (kg/cm²) | Shelf life (days) |
|------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|            | 2017  | 2018  | 2017  | 2018  | 2017  | 2018  |
| T1         | 9.69  | 9.58  | 2.99  | 2.83  | 5.83  | 6.00  |
| T2         | 9.37  | 9.12  | 3.50  | 3.44  | 6.17  | 6.33  |
| T3         | 8.75  | 8.69  | 3.55  | 3.47  | 6.67  | 6.83  |
| T4         | 8.43  | 8.32  | 5.13  | 4.96  | 7.50  | 7.83  |
| T5         | 8.59  | 8.48  | 4.08  | 3.95  | 7.00  | 7.17  |
| T6         | 8.63  | 8.52  | 4.31  | 4.22  | 6.83  | 7.00  |
| T7         | 8.49  | 8.40  | 5.87  | 5.73  | 7.33  | 7.67  |
| T8         | 8.53  | 8.41  | 5.64  | 5.52  | 7.17  | 7.50  |
| T9         | 7.29  | 7.17  | 6.46  | 6.35  | 8.67  | 8.83  |
| T10        | 8.33  | 8.20  | 6.69  | 6.56  | 8.00  | 8.17  |
| T11        | 8.02  | 7.95  | 4.75  | 4.54  | 8.33  | 8.50  |
| SEm ±      | 0.36  | 0.36  | 0.11  | 0.10  | 0.29  | 0.27  |
| CD         | 1.05  | 1.05  | 0.34  | 0.29  | 0.84  | 0.81  |
| CV %       | 7.20  | 7.31  | 4.11  | 3.62  | 6.83  | 6.39  |

Table.2  Effect of silicon and seaweed extract on sensory evaluation of papaya cv. Red Lady

| Treatments | Color   | Texture | Flavor | Taste | General appearance | Overall acceptability |
|------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|            | 2017    | 2018    | 2017   | 2018  | 2017    | 2018    | 2017    | 2018    |
| T1         | 6.07    | 5.87    | 6.53   | 6.33  | 6.07    | 5.87    | 5.80    | 5.47    | 6.00    | 5.93    | 6.07    | 5.93    |
| T2         | 6.73    | 6.53    | 7.20   | 7.07  | 6.73    | 6.60    | 6.33    | 6.20    | 6.73    | 6.60    | 6.73    | 6.60    |
| T3         | 8.40    | 8.17    | 7.13   | 6.93  | 7.93    | 7.60    | 8.27    | 8.00    | 7.80    | 7.67    | 7.87    | 7.67    |
| T4         | 7.20    | 7.07    | 7.40   | 7.27  | 6.93    | 6.80    | 6.73    | 6.60    | 6.93    | 6.80    | 7.07    | 6.93    |
| T5         | 6.13    | 6.00    | 6.67   | 6.47  | 6.33    | 6.13    | 6.07    | 5.87    | 6.27    | 6.07    | 6.27    | 6.07    |
| T6         | 8.13    | 8.07    | 7.73   | 7.60  | 7.60    | 7.33    | 7.87    | 7.73    | 8.13    | 8.00    | 7.93    | 7.73    |
| T7         | 7.67    | 7.27    | 7.67   | 7.47  | 7.40    | 7.27    | 7.80    | 7.47    | 7.70    | 7.60    | 7.60    | 7.33    |
| T8         | 6.60    | 6.47    | 7.00   | 6.87  | 6.40    | 6.27    | 6.20    | 6.00    | 6.33    | 6.20    | 6.33    | 6.20    |
| T9         | 8.33    | 7.80    | 8.13   | 7.87  | 8.07s   | 7.80    | 8.13    | 7.93    | 8.27    | 8.13    | 8.13    | 8.00    |
| T10        | 8.67    | 8.33    | 8.40   | 8.27  | 8.27    | 8.13    | 8.40    | 8.20    | 8.40    | 8.33    | 8.47    | 8.27    |
| T11        | 7.27    | 7.13    | 7.53   | 7.33  | 7.20    | 6.93    | 7.20    | 7.00    | 7.20    | 7.13    | 7.27    | 7.13    |
| SEm ±      | 0.17    | 0.18    | 0.20   | 0.17  | 0.22    | 0.17    | 0.17    | 0.023   | 0.18    | 0.19    | 0.17    | 0.17    |
| CD         | 0.51    | 0.52    | 0.58   | 0.51  | 0.65    | 0.51    | 0.49    | 0.68    | 0.54    | 0.57    | 0.51    | 0.50    |
| CV %       | 4.05    | 4.29    | 4.64   | 4.12  | 5.32    | 4.26    | 4.05    | 5.73    | 4.36    | 4.71    | 4.10    | 4.13    |
The maximum rating for flavor (8.27 and 8.13) was given to T\textsubscript{10} during both years and it was at par with T\textsubscript{9} (8.07) and T\textsubscript{3} (7.93) during first year and with only T\textsubscript{9} (7.80) in second year of investigation. The data regarding taste was recorded highest (8.40 and 8.20) in T\textsubscript{10} during both the years and it was on same bar with T\textsubscript{3} (8.27) and T\textsubscript{9} (8.13) during first year and with T\textsubscript{3} (8.00), T\textsubscript{9} (7.93) and T\textsubscript{6} (7.73) during second year of investigation. The highest rating for general appearance (8.40 and 8.33) was given to treatment T\textsubscript{10} and it was at par with T\textsubscript{9} (8.27 and 8.13) and T\textsubscript{6} (8.13 and 8.00) during first and second year of study, respectively. The maximum rating for overall acceptability of fruit (8.47 and 8.27) was recorded in treatment T\textsubscript{10}, which was statistically at par with treatment T\textsubscript{9} (8.13 and 8.00) during both the years of investigation, respectively. The minimum rating for color, texture, flavor, taste, general appearance and overall acceptability were recorded in T\textsubscript{1} (control) during both the years of this study.

Color change in a ripening fruit results from various physico-chemical changes that a fruit undergoes during ripening leading to characteristic appealing yellow skin color (Patil and Jagadeesh, 2016). Silicon and seaweed extract promoted sugar synthesis in fruits and thus helped in increasing Total Soluble Solids, which imparted good taste and overall acceptability to fruits (Kalatippi et al., 2016). Saeed et al., (2009) observed the role of silicon sprays in improving color and appearance of *Rosa hybrid* var. Hot Lady. These findings validate the results of Savvas (2009) in tomato, Tesfay et al., (2011) in avocado and Bhavya (2010) in Bangalore blue grapes.

The present investigation indicates that potassium silicate @ 0.4% + seaweed extract @ 2% fared best in sensory evaluation it was at par with potassium silicate @ 0.4% + seaweed extract @ 4%. Thus, silicon and seaweed extract can be employed to improve physical and organoleptic quality of papaya cv. Red Lady.
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