Expression changes of nerve cell adhesion molecules L1 and semaphorin 3A after peripheral nerve injury
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Abstract

The expression of nerve cell adhesion molecule L1 in the neuronal growth cone of the central nervous system is strongly associated with the direction of growth of the axon, but its role in the regeneration of the peripheral nerve is still unknown. This study explored the problem in a femoral nerve section model in rats. L1 and semaphorin 3A mRNA and protein expressions were measured over the 4-week recovery period. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction showed that nerve cell adhesion molecule L1 expression was higher in the sensory nerves than in motor nerves at 2 weeks after injury, but vice versa for the expression of semaphorin 3A. Western blot assay results demonstrated that nerve cell adhesion molecule L1 expression was higher in motor nerves than in the sensory nerves at the proximal end after injury, but its expression was greater in the sensory nerves at 2 weeks. Semaphorin 3A expression was higher in the motor nerves than in the sensory nerves at 3 days and 1 week after injury. Nerve cell adhesion molecule L1 and semaphorin 3A expressions at the distal end were higher in the motor nerves than in the sensory nerves at 3 days, 1 and 2 weeks. Immunohistochemical staining results showed that nerve cell adhesion molecule L1 expression at the proximal end was greater in the sensory nerves than in the motor nerves; semaphorin 3A expression was higher in the motor nerves than in the sensory nerves at 2 weeks after injury. Taken together, these results indicated that nerve cell adhesion molecules L1 and semaphorin 3A exhibited different expression patterns at the proximal and distal ends of sensory and motor nerves, and play a coordinating role in neural chemotaxis regeneration.
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Introduction

The recovery of injured peripheral nerve depends on the exact connection between the regenerating sensory and motor nerves, i.e., chemotaxis regeneration. Recognition molecules such as the immunoglobulin superfamily of cell adhesion molecules are expressed on the surface of nerve cells. Interstitial cells synthesize and secrete a variety of neurotransmitters that attract or repel neuronal axons to guide axon extension; thereby regenerating axons enter the correct sensory or motor pathway (Maness and Schachner, 2007; Gordon et al., 2015).

Nerve cell adhesion molecule L1 (L1cam, L1), which belongs to the immunoglobulin superfamily cell adhesion molecule (Pollerberg et al., 2013), is mainly expressed in the developing or regenerating peripheral nervous system, promotes axon growth, and participates in myelination (Maness et al., 2015).
Two weeks after injury, rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Experimental rats were killed by cervical dislocation. Approximately 1 cm-long sections of the afferent nerves were taken from rats in the normal control group. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics statement**

Rats received humane care in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Institutes of Health). All procedures were approved by the Jiangsu Experimental Animal Management Committee in China. Precautions were taken to minimize suffering and the number of animals used in each experiment.

**Preparation of models of sensory and motor nerve injuries**

A total of 159 healthy male specific-pathogen-free Sprague-Dawley rats aged 2 months and weighing 180–200 g were provided by the Experimental Animal Center of Nantong University of China (animal license No. SCXK (Su) 2008-0010). Of them, 150 rats were randomly allocated to a normal control group (n = 30) and a nerve injury group (n = 120). In the nerve injury group, rats were intraperitoneally anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Saphenous nerves (sensory nerves) and muscular branches (motor nerves) innervated by the femoral nerve were dissociated on the medial side of the right hind limb. A 0.5–1 cm nerve defect was made by cutting the middle of the saphenous nerves and the muscular branches. The wound was washed and the skin was sutured then sterilized. The rats were returned to their cages (Höke et al., 2006). In the normal control group, the saphenous nerves and muscular branches were only dissociated.

**Sample collection**

Nerves at the proximal and distal ends of the injury site were harvested at 3 days, 1, 2 and 4 weeks in the nerve injury group. Approximately 1 cm-long sections of the afferent nerve and a muscular branch innervated by the femoral nerve were taken from rats in the normal control group. Experimental rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation.

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Normal saphenous nerves and muscular branches were collected from five rats in the normal control group. The proximal and distal ends of injured saphenous nerves and muscular branches were collected from five rats at each of the various time points in the nerve injury group. RNA was extracted by the Trizol method (He et al., 2012a). cDNA was synthesized in accordance with the instructions of PCR kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The primers were synthesized by the Shanghai Generay Biotech Co., Ltd., China. All primer sequences are listed in Table 1. SYBR Green (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) was added for PCR amplification. Amplification conditions were as follows: 95°C for 6 minutes, 44 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 70°C for 10 seconds. In the real-time PCR amplification process, the fluorescence signals are collected and transformed into amplification and melting curve. After amplification, the melting curves were analyzed. PCR products were quantified with the 2<sup>ΔCT</sup>. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) served as an internal reference. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Western blot assay**

Normal saphenous nerve and muscular branch nerve tissues were collected from five rats in the control group. Samples of injured saphenous nerves and muscular branches at the proximal and distal ends were collected from five rats at each of the various time points in the nerve injury group. Total protein was extracted by using T-PER Tissue Protein Extraction Reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Total protein concentration was measured using the Bradford method (He et al., 2012b). After sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), proteins were transferred onto the membrane. Membranes were blocked, and incubated with primary antibody mouse monoclonal anti-neural cell adhesion molecule L1 (1:500; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-semaphorin 3A (1:1,000; Abcam), and mouse monoclonal anti-neural cell adhesion molecule L1 (1:500; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-semaphorin 3A (1:1,000; Abcam), and mouse monoclonal to β-actin (1:10,000; Abcam) at 4°C overnight. The next day, membranes were rinsed in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 and incubated with secondary antibody anti-rabbit IgG (H&L) (DONKEY) antibody (1:5,000; Rockland Immunochemicals, Inc., Pottstown, PA, USA) or anti-mouse IgG (H&L) (DONKEY) antibody (1:5,000; Rockland Immunochemicals, Inc.) at room temperature for 1.5 hours. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), 0.01 M, instead of primary antibody, served as negative control. After visualization, the result was conducted with an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Odyssey, Lincoln, NE, USA). Optical density values were analyzed with the PDQuest 7.2.0 software (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA). β-Actin was used as the internal reference. Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Immunohistochemical staining

The remaining nine Sprague-Dawley rats were used to establish models of sensory and motor nerve injuries by the method mentioned above. Two weeks after injury, rats were anesthetized and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde.
Saphenous nerves and muscular branches at the proximal and distal ends of the injury site were harvested and post-fixed overnight. The tissues were then dehydrated with 30% sucrose solution, embedded, and sliced into sections with a freezing microtome. After blocking with a solution containing 10% goat serum, 3% bovine serum albumin, and 0.1% Triton-X100, sections were incubated with primary antibody mouse monoclonal anti-rat neural cell adhesion molecule L1 (1:500; Abcam), rabbit polyclonal anti-semaphorin (anti-SEMA) 3A (1:500; Abcam) at 4°C overnight. After three washes with PBS, sections were incubated with secondary antibody FITC-labeled goat anti mouse IgG (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at room temperature in the dark for 2 hours. Primary antibody was not added in blank controls. The samples were mounted with fluorescent mounting medium (Biyanntian, Haimeng, China), photographed and recorded with laser scanning confocal microscope (TCS SP5, Leica Microsystems, Germany). Ten fields were randomly selected from each group. Images were semi-quantitatively analyzed with Image Pro Plus 7C software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA). Mean fluorescence intensity (mean density) was calculated.

Statistical analysis
All data, expressed as the mean ± SD, were analyzed with SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Mean between the two groups was compared using two-sample t-test. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Changes in mRNA expression after sensory and motor nerve injuries
PCR results revealed that L1 mRNA expression was higher in sensory nerves than in motor nerves in the normal control group (P < 0.01). As post injury time increased, L1 mRNA expression in the proximal ends of sensory nerves decreased and then increased. By 2 weeks, L1 mRNA expression was significantly higher in sensory nerves than in motor nerves (P < 0.01; Figure 1A). L1 mRNA expression at the distal ends was higher in the motor nerves than in the sensory nerves at 2 weeks (P < 0.05; Figure 1B).

SEMA 3A mRNA expression in the injured sensory and motor nerves
PCR results showed that SEMA 3A mRNA expression was significantly higher in the motor nerves than in the sensory nerves in the normal control group (P < 0.05). SEMA 3A mRNA expression at the proximal end of sensory nerves gradually increased after injury. The difference in SEMA 3A mRNA expression between sensory and motor nerves lessened gradually. At 2 weeks after injury, SEMA 3A mRNA expression of motor nerves was 6.5 times than that of sensory nerves at the distal end (P < 0.01; Figure 2).

Changes in L1 and SEMA 3A expression in sensory and motor nerves after injury
Western blot assay results showed that L1 protein expression was higher in sensory nerves than in motor nerves in the normal control group (P < 0.05). L1 expression was diminished in the sensory and motor nerves at the proximal end to different degrees after injury. L1 expression was higher in motor nerves than in sensory nerves at the proximal end at 3 days and 1 week (P < 0.05), but the difference in expression was reversed at 2 weeks (P < 0.05; Figure 3B).

SEMA 3A expression was greater in motor nerves than in sensory nerves in the normal control group (P < 0.05). SEMA 3A expression was higher in motor nerves than in sensory nerves at the proximal end at 3 days and 1 week (P < 0.05), but SEMA 3A expression levels were similar in sensory and motor nerves at both 2 and 4 weeks (P > 0.05; Figure 3C).

At 3 days, 1 and 2 weeks after injury, L1 and SEMA 3A expressions at the distal ends were greater in the motor nerves than in the sensory nerves (P < 0.05), but were similar at 4 weeks (P > 0.05; Figure 4).

Immunohistochemical staining further verified that at 2 weeks after injury, L1 expression at the proximal ends was higher in sensory nerves than in motor nerves (P < 0.01), but at the distal end, SEMA 3A expression was greater in motor nerves than in sensory nerves (P < 0.05; Figure 5).

Discussion
During development and regeneration of the nervous system, a neurite grows along a specific pathway; and the chemotaxis-induced extension of the neurite is due to the complex and precise interaction between neurons, neurons and glial cells and extracellular matrices (Allodi et al., 2012). To maintain these specific interactions, neural cells must express a series of recognition molecules, such as the immunoglobulin superfamily cell adhesion molecules, integrins and receptor tyrosine kinase. Moreover, neural interstitial cells contain a variety of guiding factors for attraction or repulsion, such as semaphorins, slit, netrins and ephrins (Bielle et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011).

Previous studies suggested that L1 knockout mice presented with a loss of sensory nerve axons and lacked myelin sheaths, leading to a decrease in sensory function. The sensory nerve
Figure 1. L1 mRNA expression in injured sensory and motor nerves.
L1 mRNA expression at the proximal end (A) and distal end (B) of injured sensory and motor nerves. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 5 in the normal control group; n = 5 at each time point in the nerve injury group). Mean between the two groups was compared by using two-sample t-test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, vs. motor nerves. Experiments were performed in triplicate. L1: Nerve cell adhesion molecule L1.

Figure 2. SEMA 3A mRNA expression in injured sensory and motor nerves.
SEMA 3A mRNA expression at the proximal end (A) and distal end (B) of sensory and motor nerves. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 5 in the normal control group; n = 5 at each time point in the nerve injury group). Means between the two groups were compared using a two-sample t-test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, vs. motor nerves. Experiments were performed in triplicate. SEMA 3A: Semaphorin 3A.

Figure 3. Western blot assay of L1 and SEMA 3A protein expressions at the proximal end of injured sensory and motor nerves.
(A) Bands of L1 and SEMA 3A protein expressions at the proximal end of the injured nerves. (B, C) Quantitative results of L1 and SEMA 3A protein expression. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 5 in the normal control group; n = 5 at each time point in the nerve injury group). Mean between the two groups was compared using a two-sample t-test. *P < 0.05, vs. motor nerves. Experiments were performed in triplicate. MN: Motor nerves; SN: sensory nerves; L1: nerve cell adhesion molecule L1; SEMA 3A: semaphorin 3A.
Figure 5 L1 and SEMA 3A protein expressions in sensory and motor nerves at 2 weeks after injury.

(A–D) L1 expression at the proximal end of sensory nerves and SEMA 3A expression at the distal end of motor nerves (immunohistochemical staining). L1: Green fluorescence; fluorescent dye: FITC. SEMA 3A: Red fluorescence; fluorescent dye: Cy3. MN: Motor nerves; SN: sensory nerves; Pro: proximal end; Dis: distal end. Scale bars: 75 μm. (E) L1 expression at the proximal end of injured nerves; (F) SEMA 3A expression at the distal end of injured nerves. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 3 rats). Mean between the two groups was compared using a two-sample t-test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, vs. motor nerves. Experiments were performed in triplicate. L1: nerve cell adhesion molecule L1; SEMA 3A: semaphorin 3A.

Figure 4 L1 and SEMA 3A protein expressions at the distal end of injured sensory and motor nerves (western blot assay).

(A) Bands of L1 and SEMA 3A protein expressions at the distal end of injured nerves. (B, C) Quantitative results of L1 and SEMA 3A protein expression. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 5 in the normal control group; n = 5 at each time point in the nerve injury group). Mean between the two groups was compared using a two-sample t-test. *P < 0.05, vs. motor nerves. Experiments were performed in triplicate. MN: Motor nerves; SN: sensory nerves; L1: nerve cell adhesion molecule L1; SEMA 3A: semaphorin 3A.

axons projected prematurely to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord during the development of L1 deletion mice, indicating that L1 played an important role in sensory nerve axon-Schwann cell interactions (Haney et al., 1999; Law et al., 2008). Our results confirmed that L1 mRNA and protein expressions were high in the sensory nerves of the normal control group, which were consistent with our previous study on sensory and motor nerve proteomics (He et al., 2012b). In the early stage of injury (3 days and 1 week), L1 expression decreased at the proximal end of sensory and motor nerves to different degrees. The decrease in protein expression was probably because of retrograde degeneration, cell injury, and axonal retraction at the proximal end of nerves after nerve transection. Nevertheless, L1 protein decreased to different degrees in different nerve types. At 3 days and 1 week after injury, L1 expression was high at the proximal end of motor nerves. At 2 weeks, L1 expression was high in the sensory nerves. Immunohistochemical results also verified that L1 protein expression was high at the proximal end of sensory nerves at 2 weeks. Thus, the second week is a key period of chemotaxis regeneration. The high expression of L1 at the proximal end of sensory nerves suggests that L1 is closely
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linked to chemotactic regeneration.

Semaphorins are a class of secreted and membrane proteins that were originally identified as axonal growth cone guidance molecules, and their family members are mainly considered medium- and short-range repulsive guidance molecules in axonal growth (Cheng et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2014). Many neurons, such as dorsal root ganglion neurons, motor neurons, hippocampal neurons, and sympathetic neurons, are affected by semaphorins (Gu et al., 2003; Carcea et al., 2010). L1 and SEMA 3A interactions in neuronal growth cone are strongly associated with axon guidance. The L1-SEMA3A signaling pathway plays a key role in the normal projection of nerve fiber axons in the corpus callosum and cortical neurodevelopment (Gu et al., 2003; Law et al., 2008). Castellani et al. (2000) confirmed that SEMA3A secreted from the anterior horn of the spinal cord repelled cortical neurons and the axons of the dorsal root ganglion, also that transmembrane L1 expression in a growth cone played an important role in rejection. Our results showed that SEMA 3A mRNA and protein expression was high in motor nerves of the normal control group. At 2 weeks after injury, i.e., the key stage of chemotaxis regeneration, SEMA 3A mRNA and protein expressions at the distal end were remarkably higher in motor nerves than in sensory nerves. During nerve regeneration, Schwann cells were regularly arranged after proliferation and formed a pathway for axon regeneration, simultaneously secreted a large number of neurotrophic factors and nerve guidance factors to precisely guide the regenerating axon. Therefore, we presumed that highly expressed SEMA 3A at the distal end of motor neurons rejected the regenerating sensory nerve axons away from the wrong motor nerve pathway. Instead they entered the correct sensory pathway, probably through interacting with the highly expressed L1 at the proximal end of the sensory nerves.

Bechara et al. (2008) demonstrated that SEMA3A-induced atrophy and collapse of neuronal growth cones after acting on L1 and transmembrane protein 1 is mainly mediated by activating the FAK–MAPK signaling pathway. Moreover, transient expression of axonal glycoprotein 1 plays a key role in endocytosis and transport after regulating the interaction of L1 and transmembrane protein 1 with SEMA 3A (Dang et al., 2012). The complicated molecular mechanisms of L1 and SEMA 3A on chemotaxis regeneration of sensory and motor nerves deserve further investigation.

In summary, L1 and SEMA 3A present different expression patterns at the proximal and distal ends of injured sensory and motor nerves over time and possibly play a coordinating role in the chemotactic regeneration of peripheral nerves.
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