A review of cognitive orientation to daily occupational performance with stroke
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Objective: The self-decisions of the client regarding the meaningful work as a therapeutic approach of client-orientation. The Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance (CO-OP) is an occupation-oriented problem-solving approach. The purpose of this study was to describe the goals and intervention protocols of CO-OP in those affected by stroke.

Design: A systematic review.

Methods: Using EBSCOhost, PubMed, and ProQuest databases, we searched studies published in the past decade that utilized the CO-OP intervention. An initial search revealed 71,171 potential articles. After applying our search criteria to screen the titles, abstracts, and full-text, we included 7 articles that met our inclusion and exclusion criteria. In this study, we used the patient, intervention(s), comparison, outcome method to analyze the 7 selected studies. We analyzed the frequency of goals and intervention protocols.

Results: Seven articles met our selection criteria; these studies included participants with an almost normal cognitive function from inpatient and outpatient rehabilitation facilities. CO-OP was used for 237 goals; the most used goal was the instrumental activities of daily living. The training procedure used 3 types of self-selecting goals in the activities. One of the goals was not trained, but was only evaluated to determine the generation effect. The most common outcome measurements included the Canadian Occupational Performance Measure and the Performance Quality Rating Scale.

Conclusions: This research provided information about the effectiveness of CO-OP and selecting the correct evaluation tool to assess the efficiency of the intervention. This study suggests that treatment with CO-OP in occupational therapy is effective and that it outlines common protocols.
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Introduction

Most occupational therapies applied to the stroke population in the past consisted of bottom-up approaches. However, recent occupational therapies utilize a client-centered top-down approach and an occupation-based intervention with the purpose of ‘activity and participation’ in the clinical area [1]. The top-down approach selects goals based on occupational performance; the therapist then educates or trains the patient directly to achieve the occupational goals by considering the environment of the client [2,3]. The top-down approach grants motivation because of the self-decisions of the client regarding the meaningful work as a therapeutic approach of client-orientation; thus, the client can actively participate in the treatment. Furthermore, there is the advantage of high satisfaction with treatment [4]. The Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance (CO-OP) is well known for clinical usability in occupational therapy.

The CO-OP approach is an occupation-oriented problem-solving approach based on the learning theory, motor learning theory, and cognitive theory [5,6]. CO-OP uses a meta-cognitive strategy, an approach that promotes self-direction and self-monitoring to identify one’s problems by
combining a cognitive strategy to detailed occupational training. Therefore, the cognitive strategy takes care of the central role in the learning process of performance of occupation and motor skills [7,8]. The client learns global problem-solving strategies [5]. The global problem-solving strategy, a meta-cognitive strategy of CO-OP, is executed in the process of ‘GOAL-PLAN-DO-CHECK’. This process allows the subject to set goals (GOAL) and allows the therapist to guide in finding a detailed plan to achieve that goal (PLAN). Next, the subject executes the plan (DO) and continuously checks whether the goal is accomplished. Finally, the subject verifies whether a modification is crucial to the goal (CHECK) [5].

The CO-OP approach was developed in early 2000 for children suffering from developmental coordination disorder (DCD). The effectiveness of this approach is well-documented, and this technique specifically increases occupational performance based on motor function in DCD children using a cognitive strategy [9]. Recently, CO-OP has been applied to adults of various diagnosis groups including traumatic brain damage and stroke. The CO-OP method is mainly used in stroke studies. In stroke studies, CO-OP is associated with changes in occupational performance, increases in motor, cognitive, psychosocial abilities, and motivation. Additionally, an overall positive effect has been reported. However, to date, no study has established the success of the CO-OP approach regarding the protocol execution method of the CO-OP.

Therefore, this research analyzed published studies that executed protocols utilizing the CO-OP approach in individuals with stroke. Additionally, we analyzed the self-choosing goals and outcome measurement tools used to compare the effectiveness of the experimental results. Finally, we analyzed the application of CO-OP and self-selecting goal activities (set by the subject) to provide useful information for applying this method for clinical purposes. These results were combined to identify the effectiveness of the development of the cognitive strategy and the occupational performance of individuals with stroke.

**Methods**

**Searching process**

In this study, we conducted a computerized search encompassing a 10-year span (from 2005 to 2015) via EBSCOhost, PubMed, and ProQuest. We used the search terms “Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance” and “CO-OP”. We included human studies, using CO-OP for individuals with stroke that were published in English in the experimental design. An initial search revealed 71,171 potential articles. After applying our search criteria to screen the titles, abstracts, and full-texts, we included 7 articles that met our inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Flow diagram for literature search and selection process. CO-OP: Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance.
| Author               | Diagnosis (n) | Patient                  | Intervention                                      | Outcome measure | Outcome                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| McEwen et al., 2015 [11] | Usual care (n=12) | Outpatient stroke         | Usual care (45-60 min×32 sessions)                 | PQRS, COPM, SIS, CPI, SEG | CO-OP indicated a large effect on both trained and untrained activities. |
| McEwen et al., 2015 [12] | CV A (n=5) | MMSE 24 ↑ Onset 24 months ↑ | CO-OP                                             | Qualitative analysis (interview) | The cognitive strategies were well learned and effectively used.       |
| McEwen et al., 2015 [14] | CV A (n=3) | chronic stroke living in the community | CO-OP                                             | COPM, PQRS, SIS, Stanford SEMCD-6, ABC, CMSA | Associated with improved performance in trained and untrained skills in three adults with chronic stroke |
| McEwen et al., 2009 [13] | CV A (n=3) | MMSE 24 ↑ | CO-OP                                             | COPM, PQRS, SIS, SEMCD-6, CMSA | Participants reported learning and transferring the strategies taught, and made suggestions |

CO-OP: Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance, PQRS: performance quality rating scale, COPM: Canadian occupational performance measure, SIS: stroke impact scale, CPI: community participation index, SEG: self-efficacy gauge, SOT: standard occupational therapy, IQ: intelligence quotient, NIHSS: National Institutes of Health stroke scale, CV A: cerebral vascular accident, ACS: activity card sort, RNL: reintegration to normal living, CES-D: Center for Epidemiological Studies depression scale, PRPS: Pittsburgh rehabilitation and participation scale, FIM: functional independence measurement, PASS: performance assessment of self-care skills, MMSE: Mini Mental Status Examination, SEMCD-6: Stanford self-efficacy for managing chronic disease 6-item scale, ABC: activity-specific balance confidence scale, CMSA: Chedoke-McMaster stroke assessment.
Data collection

Our inclusion criteria included studies that (1) enrolled participants with stroke and/or hemiparesis due to stroke, (2) used CO-OP, which is the CO-OP through intervention method, (3) were published in English, (4) were published between 2005 and 2015, and (5) provided the full-text article. Our exclusion criteria included research that did not provide an intervention (review articles and cross-sectional studies).

Analysis methods

In this study, we used the patient, intervention(s), comparison, outcome (PICO) method to analyze the 7 selected studies. “P” represents the population, including information about the characteristics of the subjects in each study. “I” represents the intervention and describes how the intervention was executed. “C” represents comparison and analyzes the measurement tool used to compare the intervention. “O” is the outcome, which analyzes the results from performing the intervention.

Classification of intervention goals for CO-OP

When executing the CO-OP approach, the first stage is to select a goal that the subject desires. Three goal activities desired by the subject and guardian are selected, and training on these goals is executed. Thus, this research examined the goal decided by the subject to achieve the CO-OP approach. We analyzed frequency according to performance skills and occupational domain corresponding to the occupational therapy practice framework (OTPF) domain and process [10].

This classification assessed the resulting measurement tool used in the research that executed the CO-OP approach and its frequency. Additionally, we compared the evaluation tools used when executing the CO-OP approach and other interventions.

Results

According to our selection criteria, 7 studies met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed using the PICO method (Table 1).

During the CO-OP approach, each participant sets a training goal. In the 7 selected studies, the number of goals set by the participants in the CO-OP approach was 237 [11-15]. In the selected seven studies, the selection criteria of the participants were applied in a similar manner. All participants were stroke survivors with normal cognitive function. In addition, participants who did not have aphasia or language disorders were included.

We divided these goals into repetitive items according to performance, skill, and occupation domain corresponding to the domain and process. Once these items were separated, we analyzed the frequencies (Table 2). Seventy-one goals were affiliated with activities of daily living (ADL) of the occupational domain including dressing, putting on earrings, using the hemiplegic hand to clip fingernails, etc. There were 101 goal activities affiliated with instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) including making coffee, handwriting, reading a book, bicycling, gardening, using a computer, taking medicine, etc. In the leisure category, there were 17 goals including attending a synagogue, traveling to see family, etc. There were 18 goals activities affiliated with working including handyman work, applying for a job, office activities, etc. Additionally, there were 30 goals affiliated with performance skills including transferring an object, walking, maintaining a standing position, etc.

To compare the effect of the CO-OP intervention in the 7 selected studies, we assessed the frequency of each outcome measurement tool (Table 3). The evaluation tools most fre-

Table 2. Goals for each of the domain of occupational therapy (N=237)

| Domain of occupational therapy | Goal |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Occupations                   |      |
| Activities of daily living (n=71) | Dressing, putting on earrings, putting on a bracelet, putting on jacket, use hemiplegic hand to clip finger nails, toileting et al. |
| Instrumental activities of daily living (n=101) | Reading book, making coffee, praying, bicycling, swimming breaststroke, gardening, managing daily schedule, using camera, using computer, taking medicine et al. |
| Leisure (n=17)                | Synagogue, traveling to see family et al. |
| Works (n=18)                  | Handyman work, apply for job, office activities et al. |
| Performance skills (n=30)     | Transfer object, walking, maintaining standing position, remembering during cooking, concentration et al. |
Table 3. Frequency of assessment tool used CO-OP approach (N=12)

| Assessment tool                      | n  |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| COPM, PQRS                           | 5  |
| SIS                                  | 4  |
| SEMCD-6, CMSA                        | 2  |
| ACS, RNL, CES-D, FIM, PASS, ABC, PRPS, CPI, SEG | 1  |

CO-OP: Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance, COPM: Canadian occupational performance measure, PQRS: performance quality rating scale, SIS: stroke impact scale, SEMCD-6: Stanford self-efficacy for managing chronic disease 6-item scale, CMSA: Chedoke-McMaster stroke assessment, ACS: activity card sort, RNL: reintegration to normal living, CES-D: Center for Epidemiological Studies depression scale, FIM: functional independence measurement, PASS: performance assessment of self-care skills, ABC: activity-specific balance confidence scale, PRPS: Pittsburgh rehabilitation and participation scale, CPI: community participation index, SEG: self-efficacy gauge.

The CO-OP strategy is used for problem solving by identifying a problem and setting a goal to assess one’s performance in achieving the set goal. Previous studies have reported improved awareness in problem solving and implementing the meta-cognitive strategy, thereby reducing performance errors and improving performance skills in individuals who have suffered a stroke. As a result of analyzing 7 experimental studies, which all used the CO-OP approach for stroke participants, we noted that all subjects exhibited improvements in achieving their self-selected goals. Additionally, occupational performance and related functions increased. Specifically, the quality of life and satisfaction increased as a result of the CO-OP intervention.

A point to focus on is that there were only 2 group studies that applied the CO-OP intervention, and all of the other studies were case studies or case series. Since the CO-OP is typically provided individually, after setting a goal accordingly to the request and environment of an individual, group research using the CO-OP is difficult.

When we classified the client-goals according to the OTPF domain and process for the training of CO-OP approach, goal activities corresponding to IADL from the occupation domain were the most frequent. As most of the participants in the CO-OP approach had normal cognitive function, performance of IADLs were greater than ADLs, as most of the time was spent on eating, managing family, and maintaining health. There were a few performance skill goals, most regarding body function. Since most persons with stroke have limited body function, due to hemiparalysis, several goals are centered on the transfer of objects or walking.

In previous studies, the CO-OP approach has been generalized and transferred consistently [9,11]. For this reason, the cognitive and metacognitive elements are superimposed on task-based training. Through this process, participants learn to analyze their performance and develop strategies to overcome issues. Therapists guide their clients to develop performance strategies and to use these strategies to their advantage. In our study, the most frequently reported goals included IADL of occupations.

The most common evaluation tools used to assess the effectiveness of the CO-OP approach were the COPM and the PQRS. The CO-OP approach allows the subject to select the desired goal with the training tailored to achieve this goal. The COPM evaluates the occupational performance ability of the client. This tool identifies issues in the occupational performance domain during the half-structural interview process with the therapist. The priority of the assessment is then classified by importance level, performance level, and satisfaction level, based on a 10-point scale (1=cannot perform/satisfy, 10=perform/satisfy) [16]. For these reasons, the COPM is mostly commonly used to evaluate performance level and satisfaction level felt by the participants through self-report interviews. The PQRS also observes and measures the quality of performance through the structural definition of the therapist by allowing the client to decide on the goal activity [17]. The PQRS is very simple as it measures individual activity from a score of 1 (cannot perform) to 10 (perform very well) [18]. Thus, it is an appropriate measure to evaluate the qualitative change of performance, which is why this method is frequently used.
In this study, there were clinical opinions on the need to provide necessary information to the application of CO-OP approach to stroke in a clinical setting. Furthermore, when taken together, the findings of advanced studies that executed the CO-OP approach on the stroke population indicated increased physical function and daily activity performance through problem-solving strategies to develop cognitive strategies and also the trained goal activities. However, only 7 studies met our inclusion criteria, making it difficult to generalize our results. More randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the effectiveness of the CO-OP approach.

This research provided information about the effectiveness of CO-OP and selecting the correct evaluation tool to assess the efficiency of the intervention. Additionally, the CO-OP approach improved the occupational performance ability for daily living. By providing individuals opportunities to solve problems on their own, CO-OP can help increase functional individuality in real life. This study provides considerable insight into the CO-OP application. The present study recommends the CO-OP approach and outlines standard evaluation tools.
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