Comparative Genomic and Transcriptomic Analyses of Family-1 UDP Glycosyltransferase in Prunus Mume

Zhiyong Zhang 1,2, Xiaokang Zhuo 1,2, Xiaolan Yan 3 and Qixiang Zhang 1,2, *

1 Beijing Key Laboratory of Ornamental Plants Germplasm Innovation & Molecular Breeding, National Engineering Research Center for Floriculture, Beijing Laboratory of Urban and Rural Ecological Environment, Engineering Research Center of Landscape Environment of Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding in Forest Trees and Ornamental Plants of Ministry of Education, School of Landscape Architecture, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China; zhangzhiyong5432@gmail.com (Z.Z.); zhuxxk@bjfu.edu.cn (X.Z.)
2 Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Tree Breeding by Molecular Design, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China
3 Mei Flower Research Center in China, Wuhan 430074, China; zhangzhiyongapply@gmail.com
*
Correspondence: zqx@bjfu.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-010-62337106; Fax: +86-10-6233-6321

Received: 17 September 2018; Accepted: 12 October 2018; Published: 29 October 2018

Abstract: Glycosylation mediated by Family-1 UDP-glycosyltransferases (UGTs) plays crucial roles in plant growth and adaptation to various stress conditions. Prunus mume is an ideal crop for analyzing flowering for its early spring flowering characteristics. Revealing the genomic and transcriptomic portfolio of the UGT family in P. mume, a species in which UGTs have not yet been investigated, is therefore important. In this study, 130 putative UGT genes were identified and phylogenetically clustered into 14 groups. These PmUGTs were distributed unevenly across eight chromosomes and 32 tandem duplication and 8 segmental duplication pairs were revealed. A highly conserved intron insertion event was revealed on the basis of intron/exon patterns within PmUGTs. According to RNA-seq data, these PmUGTs were specifically expressed in different tissues and during the bud dormancy process. In addition, we confirmed the differential expression of some representative genes in response to abscisic acid treatment. Our results will provide important information on the UGT family in P. mume that should aid further characterization of their biological roles in response to environmental stress.
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1. Introduction

Increasing evidence is suggesting that glycosylation mediated by glycosyltransferases (GTs) plays crucial roles in plant growth and response to biotic and abiotic stresses [1]. According to numerous studies, GTs catalyze the transfer of sugar moieties from active sugar molecules to a variety of acceptor molecules, namely, hormones, lipids and some other small molecules [1,2]. The formation of a glycosidic bond can change an acceptor’s chemical properties and bioactivity, adjustments that are essential for the maintenance of cellular homeostasis. In addition, conjugation by GTs allows plant cells to modulate their biochemical proprieties and thus have a strong influence on their biological activity and compartmental storage [3].

GTs constitute a highly diverse, multigene family [4]. To date, 105 GT families have been identified in the carbohydrate-active enzyme database (CAZy, available online: http://www.cazy.org/) the largest of which is family 1 (GT1) [5,6]. Because it uses UDP-glucose as the sugar donor molecule, GT1
is also known as UDP-glycosyltransferase (UGT) [1]. UGTs possess a highly conserved 44-amino-acid C-terminal consensus sequence, referred to as the plant secondary product glycosyltransferase (PSPG) box [7,8]. Putative UGT genes have recently been identified in many plants, including 107 in Arabidopsis, 148 in Glycine max and 148 in Zea mays [4,9–11]. In perennial trees, the number of isolated putative UGT genes includes 168 in Prunus persica, 254 in Malus domestica and 184 in Vitis vinifera [12,13].

Phytohormones have been thoroughly demonstrated to play critical roles in developmental processes and to adapt to external environmental changes [14–18]. Plants have therefore evolved a range of mechanisms to keep different hormones in homeostasis [19]. Glycosylation is thought to be one of these mechanisms. Abscisic acid (ABA) is a relatively well-studied phytohormone that is critical for plant development. To adapt to changing environmental conditions, plants must fine-tune ABA levels and keep different ABA forms in balance [20]. The conjugation of ABA with ABA-glucose ester (ABA-GE) is a well-studied phenomenon that changes ABA bioactivity. Several ABA-related UGTs have been functionally characterized, such as UGT71B6 (Arabidopsis), ABAGT (V. angularis) and UGT71A35 (strawberry) [21–23]. In regard to indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), the first identified UGT was IAGLU in maize [24]. In Arabidopsis, IAA-related UGT (UGT84B1) has also been recently isolated and its overexpression leads to an auxin deficiency phenotype [25]. Overexpression of UGT73C5, another UGT of Arabidopsis, reduces levels of active brassinosteroid (BR), with transgenic plants displaying BR-deficient phenotypes, which suggests that UGT73C5 glucosylates BR and reduces its bioactivity [26]. UGT76C1 and UGT76C2, two UGTs with N-glucosyltransferase activity toward cytokinins, have also been identified [27]. Two other UGTs, UGT74F1 and UGT74F2, are active toward salicylic acid (SA) and benzoic acid [28]. To the best of our knowledge, however, gibberellin-related UGTs are few characterized.

The roles of UGTs in response to biotic and abiotic stresses have been extensively studied but their precise contribution remains elusive [29]. In Arabidopsis, UGT74F1 and UGT74F2 have been functionally characterized in their response to Pseudomonas syringae infection. UGT74F2 mutant plants exhibit higher SA levels and higher levels of resistance to P. syringae [30,31]. Similarly, ectopic over-expression of UGT74F2 results in lower levels of SA and an increased susceptibility to the bacterium, while UGT74F1 mutants exhibit lower SA levels and lowered resistance [28]. Similar results have also been reported in UGT73B3 and UGT73B5, which resistant to P. syringae pv tomato in Arabidopsis [30]. There is also increasing evidence for important biological roles of UGTs in response to abiotic stresses. For example, overexpression of UGT74E2 in Arabidopsis and UGT85A5 in tobacco produces transgenic plants that display increased tolerance to salinity and drought stress [32,33]. Similar results have been observed in UGT85U1/2 and UGT85V1 in Arabidopsis, which have been found to be involved in salt and oxidative stress tolerance [34].

Prunus mume, a member of the Rosaceae family, has high ornamental value. One of striking features of P. mume is early flowering habit, even under relatively low temperatures in the spring [35,36]. Bud dormancy is likely responsible for this phenomenon and UGTs have been reported as bud dormancy candidate genes [36]. Their precise contributions have not been well defined, however, which prompted us to further explore and characterize the potential functions of P. mume UGTs. In the present study, we used bioinformatics techniques to carry out comparative genomic and transcriptomic analyses of UGTs in P. mume (PmUGTs). We also analyzed the phylogenetic relationships and gene duplication history of 130 putative PmUGTs. Then, the expression pattern of nine group E members was tested under ABA treatment. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of UGTs on a genome-wide scale in P. mume and our findings should help inform future research on their potential roles in stress response.
2. Results

2.1. Identification of the Putative UGTs in P. mume

The UGT proteins play crucial roles in various plant developmental processes. Three strategies were used to identify candidate UGT genes in P. mume, as mentioned in the Material and Methods part. Subsequently, 130 potential UGT protein sequences were identified, which were named based on the chromosomal location of the corresponding genes. All these 130 putative UGT sequences started with a methionine and were full-length sequences. The protein length, molecular weight, isoelectric point and putative subcellular localization of these proteins varied widely (Supplementary Table S1). The protein sequence length and molecular weight were ranged from 279 (Pm027884) to 764 (Pm000189) amino acids (aa) and 31.25 (Pm027884) to 85.14 (Pm000189) kDa, with an average length of 470 aa and 52.45 KDa, respectively. The predicted isoelectric points varied from 4.63 (Pm000211) to 8.79 (Pm019106). Protein subcellular localization of 130 PmUGTs was also predicted by bioinformatics methods. Most of PmUGT proteins were predicted to be located in the chloroplast (75 members). 32 PmUGTs were predicted to be located in the cytoplasm and 13 were in the nucleus. More detailed information was provided in Supplementary Table S1.

2.2. Chromosomal Distribution, Duplication and Divergence

The genomic distribution of 130 PmUGTs revealed that 121 PmUGTs distributed across eight chromosomes and nine located on scaffolds (Figure 1). There were 26 UGTs on chromosome 2, followed by 20, 19 and 17 members on chromosome 4, 1 and 6, respectively. Tandem and segmental duplication events were also analyzed for its importance to elucidate the chromosomal/gene segments and tandem exons. As shown in Figure 1, 32 gene pairs, including 56 PmUGTs, involved in tandem duplication. Moreover, eight gene pairs (Pm001086/Pm030144, Pm002233/Pm004391, Pm002464/Pm021221, Pm005787/Pm026572, Pm010818/Pm014833, Pm018404/Pm024073, Pm019826/Pm024073, Pm025006/Pm030552) were involved in the segmental duplication events (Figure 1, Supplementary Table S2). These results suggest that tandem duplication might play major roles in the PmUGT family amplification. When compared with P. persica genome, 23 segmental duplications pairs were found, as detailed in Table 1. To further analyze the syntenic relationships of UGTs between P. mume and P. persica, we mapped the 23 segmental duplication pairs to the duplicated blocks (Figure 2).

![Figure 1. Chromosomal locations of PmUGT genes. The left scale represents the megabases (Mb). Chromosome numbers are shown at the top of each vertical green bar. The rough location of each maize PmUGTs is marked with the grey line. The tandem duplication gene pairs are highlighted with red.](image-url)
**Table 1.** Calculation of $\text{Ka}/\text{Ks}$ and the divergence time of the duplicated UGT gene pairs in *P. mume* and *P. persica* genomes.

| Duplicated Gene Pairs   | $\text{Ka}$  | $\text{Ks}$  | $\text{Ka}/\text{Ks}$ | Duplication Type | Purifying | Time (MYA) |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| Pm001086-Pm030144      | 0.2807        | 2.0011        | 0.1402                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 66.70      |
| Pm002233-Pm004391      | 0.4411        | 1.7464        | 0.2525                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 58.21      |
| Pm002464-Pm021221      | 0.5638        | 1.6179        | 0.3484                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 53.93      |
| Pm005787-Pm026572      | 0.7050        | 2.9734        | 0.2371                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 99.11      |
| Pm010816-Pm014833      | 0.4487        | 1.7679        | 0.2538                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 58.93      |
| Pm018404-Pm024073      | 0.3273        | 1.6211        | 0.2019                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 54.04      |
| Pm019826-Pm024073      | 0.6853        | 2.1848        | 0.3136                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 72.83      |
| Pm025006-Pm030552      | 0.4543        | 1.4351        | 0.3165                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 47.84      |
| Pm002233-ppa021249m    | 0.0534        | 0.2157        | 0.2476                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 7.19       |
| Pm002464-ppa005187m    | 0.5639        | 1.6813        | 0.3359                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 56.04      |
| Pm004192-ppa024612m    | 0.0130        | 0.0217        | 0.5990                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 0.72       |
| Pm005059-ppa017646m    | 0.0195        | 0.0815        | 0.2392                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 2.72       |
| Pm006589-ppa020820m    | 0.0124        | 0.0599        | 0.2070                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 2.00       |
| Pm007628-ppa023949m    | 0.0526        | 0.1238        | 0.4248                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 4.13       |
| Pm007721-ppa005161m    | 0.033         | 0.1163        | 0.2837                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 3.88       |
| Pm008679-ppa017941m    | 0.0432        | 0.0867        | 0.4982                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 2.89       |
| Pm011332-ppa005654m    | 0.175         | 0.7565        | 0.2313                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 25.22      |
| Pm014846-ppa023681m    | 0.172         | 0.7111        | 0.2418                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 23.70      |
| Pm014869-ppa016262m    | 0.0129        | 0.0481        | 0.2681                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.60       |
| Pm015735-ppa024768m    | 0.204         | 0.4454        | 0.4580                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 14.85      |
| Pm016014-ppa024744m    | 0.3188        | 0.8492        | 0.3754                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 28.31      |
| Pm019616-ppa005162m    | 0.015         | 0.0354        | 0.4237                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.18       |
| Pm021221-ppa005187m    | 0.0177        | 0.05          | 0.35                   | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.67       |
| Pm021307-ppa005517m    | 0.3865        | 2.1649        | 0.1785                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 72.16      |
| Pm022854-ppa002535m    | 0.0032        | 0.037         | 0.0864                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.23       |
| Pm024975-ppa022508m    | 0.0142        | 0.0735        | 0.1931                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 2.45       |
| Pm025006-ppa018626m    | 0.0182        | 0.046         | 0.3956                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.53       |
| Pm027007-ppa025742m    | 0.0298        | 0.0677        | 0.4401                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 2.26       |
| Pm027211-ppa025742m    | 0.0267        | 0.0889        | 0.3003                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 2.96       |
| Pm030552-ppa024271m    | 0.0187        | 0.0568        | 0.3292                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.89       |
| Pm028190-ppa016005m    | 0.0412        | 0.0547        | 0.7531                 | WGD/Segmental    | Yes       | 1.82       |

MYA, Millions of years ago; Ks, synonymous substitutions; Ka, nonsynonymous substitutions; Ka/Ks, nonsynonymous substitutions per synonymous site.
All the segmental duplicated UGT gene pairs had undergone a whole-genome duplication and the Ka/Ks ratios were less than 1. This result indicated that these UGTs experienced negative selection during species evolution process. Moreover, the divergence times of the duplicated UGTs at *P. mume* were significantly larger than that between *P. mume* and *P. persica*. The divergence time of the eight duplicated pairs at *P. mume* genome spanned from 47.84 (Pm025006-Pm030552) to 99.11 (Pm005787-Pm026572) million years ago (MYA). However, the largest divergence time of the duplicated UGTs between *P. mume* and *P. persica* was 72.16 MYA (Pm021307-ppa005517m), followed by 56.04 MYA (Pm002464-ppa005187m). Most duplicated gene pairs diverged around 1 to 5 MYA.

### 2.3. Phylogenetic Analysis of *P. mume*

These 130 putative *PmUGTs* and 112 *A. thaliana* UGTs (AtUGTs) were used for phylogenetic analysis to highlight the gene loss and gene gain events. Besides, 2 maize UGTs (GRMZM2G075387 and GRMZM5G834303) and 4 peach UGTs (Prupe.7G055200, Prupe.6G265900, Prupe.6G267000 and Prupe.6G266600) represented O and P groups were also added to identify *PmUGTs* O and P candidates.
Phylogenetic result revealed that 14 groups, A to N, were clustered and no member was identified in group O and P (Figure 3). In each group, most of the UGT members were the same between *P. mume* and *A. thaliana* except in G (18 in *P. mume* and 6 in *A. thaliana*) and H (10 in *P. mume* and 19 in *A. thaliana*). Five of them possessed most of the members, with 23, 18, 17, 17 and 16 members in E, G, D, L and A groups, respectively.

![Figure 3](image-url.png)

**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic tree of the plant UGTs. 130 PmUGTs, 112 *A. thaliana* UGTs, 2 maize UGTs (GRMZM2G075387 and GRMZM5G834303) and 4 peach UGTs (Prupe.7G055200, Prupe.6G265900, Prupe.6G267000 and Prupe.6G266600) were included. The full-length sequences of the UTG proteins were aligned using CLUSTALW and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using the ML method in the MEGA 6.0 [37]. The colored lines mark the groups of the UGTs.

### 2.4. Genomic Characteristics of the Putative UGTs in *P. mume*

We analyzed the exon/intron and conserved motif characteristics of the 130 PmUGTs to investigate their structural diversity. Among them, 70 UGTs possess at least 1 intron and 60 possess no introns. Of the 70 intron-containing UGTs, most UGTs had 1–4 introns, with a ratio of 1.44 introns per intron-containing UGTs. And *Pm022854* contained the maximum number of introns (14), followed by *Pm000211* with 7 introns. In each phylogenetic group, the intron numbers were different. The
maximum number of introns was found in E, D, L and H, whereas the minimum number of introns was found in B, C, F, I, M and N groups. It is interesting that members within each group exhibited similarity intron/extron genomic characteristics (Supplementary Figure S1). The same result was also obtained in conserved motifs structure (Figure 4). These results suggested that PmUGT family members within group were relatively conserved and diverged greatly among different groups.

**Figure 4.** Motif distribution in PmUGTs. Motifs were analyzed using the MEME web server. The motifs are represented by different colors.

### 2.5. Transcriptome Analysis of Tissue-Specific Expression of PmUGTs

To detect the expression differences of PmUGTs, we analyzed their transcript abundances in bud, fruit, leaf, root and stem according to RNA-seq data. After filtering the low and missing expression
values, 123 PmUGTs were finally examined to be expressed across the different tissues. Through hierarchical clustering analysis, these 123 PmUGTs were grouped into five discrete clusters in the five tested tissues (Figure 5). The expressed 16 PmUGTs in cluster A showed consistent downregulated expression patterns in bud, fruit, leaf and root but upregulated patterns in stem. The expression levels of 24 PmUGTs in cluster B were relatively higher in bud and fruit when compared with other tissues. In cluster C, 18 UGTs were detected to display upregulated expression level only in bud, with relatively low levels in other four tissues. PmUGTs in Cluster D, with the largest number of 37, exhibited upregulated expression in fruit; while 28 PmUGTs in Cluster E displayed upregulated expression in root and Cluster F showed high level in leaf (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Expression profiles of PmUGTs in different tissues. The transcript abundances of PmUGTs in bud, fruit, leaf, root and stem were according to RNA-seq data. The scale represents signal intensity of FPKM values. Red indicates high relative gene expression and green indicates low relative gene expression. Letters assigned to major clusters are indicated on the dendrogram.

2.6. Transcriptome Analysis of PmUGTs Expression during Bud Dormancy Transition

In the present paper, we also displayed the PmUGTs expression profiles at four dormancy stages: EDI (with no flush sign in the phytotron), EDII (with 45% flush rate), EDIII (with 95% flush rate) and
NF (natural flush). More details can be seen in Zhang et al. [37]. The expression profiles of \textit{PmUGTs} during bud dormancy transition were hierarchically clustered into five groups (Figure 6). Cluster A (including 19 \textit{PmUGTs}) exhibited highest levels at EDII and then gradually decreased as dormancy release progressed. Nine genes in Cluster B had highest level at EDI stage and then maintained relatively lower level at EDII, EDIII and NF stages. Cluster C genes showed highest expression level at EDI and sharply decreased at EDII and EDIII. After dormancy released, these genes subsequently increased at NF stage. The 10 \textit{PmUGTs} in cluster D displayed relatively low expression levels at the EDI and EDII stages and then increased sharply at EDIII and maintained relatively high level at NF stage. Cluster E contained the large number of \textit{PmUGTs} (80 genes). These genes showed relatively low expression level at dormancy stages and sharply increased once the dormancy completely released.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Expression profiles of \textit{PmUGTs} at different dormancy stages. The scale represents signal intensity of FPKM values. EDI, EDII, EDIII and NF represented four dormancy stages. Red indicates high relative gene expression and green indicates low relative gene expression. Letters assigned to major clusters are indicated on the dendrogram.
2.7. Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analyses of PmUGTs in Response to ABA Treatment

Previous studies revealed that UGTs from group E might participate in response to ABA stress. In this paper, 12 group E PmUGTs were identified and then RT-qPCR was employed to investigate the expression patterns under ABA treatment. According to the RNA-seq data, PmUGT61/Pm014846, PmUGT62/Pm014847 and PmUGT63/Pm014848 showed extremely low expression levels in bud, leaf, stem and fruit. Moreover, these three PmUGTs displayed low expression pattern and showed no change during bud dormancy process. Thereafter, in this study, we only investigated the expression patterns of the other nine PmUGTs under ABA treatment in leaves. Of the nine PmUGT genes, seven genes were obviously up-regulated in response to ABA stress, while the remaining two genes PmUGT5/Pm000549 and PmUGT46/Pm010818 showed slight expression changes (<2-fold) (Figure 7). It is interesting that all seven selected PmUGTs were up-regulated at early stages and then down regulated after reaching a peak expression. The PmUGT56/Pm014838, PmUGT57/Pm014839, PmUGT59/Pm014843 and PmUGT60/Pm014844 were strongly up-regulated at 4 h after ABA treatment by more than 10-fold compared to the control, whereas, their expression revealed relatively decreased thereafter. Besides PmUGT58/Pm014842, PmUGT54/Pm014833 and PmUGT55/Pm014836 were slightly up-regulated by about 5-fold compared to the control. It is noting that these three PmUGTs showed different peaking time, suggesting their roles might be slightly different (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Expression patterns of PmUGTs in response to ABA treatment. The relative expression level of nine group E genes was examined by the RT-qPCR and normalized with the reference gene PP2A. Relative expression of 9 genes under ABA treatment at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 h. The error bars represent standard deviations, y-axis are scales of relative expression level and x-axis are the time course (h) of ABA treatment.
3. Discussion

Increasing studies indicate that UGT proteins play important roles in plant growth and adaptation to environmental stress. In addition, UGT is involved in carbohydrate metabolism during the bud dormancy release process [36]. To our knowledge, no further information is available about the UGT gene family in *P. mume*. Here, we conducted a comprehensive investigation of the *PmUGT* family.

Plant genomes contain numerous UGT genes, with UGT members varying among species. For example, 107 UGTs have been identified in *A. thaliana* [9] and 191 in *P. trichocarpa* [19]. Members of the UGT multigene family have also been recently identified in peach (168), grape (184), kiwifruit (188), strawberry and apple (254) [12,13,38–40]. In the present study, 130 UGIs were uncovered in *P. mume*, all containing the conserved PSPG box.

Phylogenetic analysis consistently clustered 14 distinct groups (A–N) with *Arabidopsis* [9]. This result indicates that the UGT family in *P. mume* has not phylogenetically diversified after separation from *Arabidopsis*. In some species of Rosaceae, including peach, apple and grape, 16 distinct phylogenetic groups (A–P) are known, while 17 groups (A–Q) have been observed in *Z. mays* [10,12,13,38]. The O and P groups found in peach and maize are absent in *P. mume*, which suggests they were lost at some stage during evolution. Surprisingly, *PmUGT* members in different groups, except for those in G and H, were similar to members of corresponding groups in *Arabidopsis*, which suggests that they have a conserved substrate specificity. Groups E, G and H were reduced in *P. mume* relative to peach, which indicates that these UGTs may be less critical in *P. mume*.

*PmUGTs* exhibit tissue-specific expression patterns. Determining whether the expressed UGT genes are functionally diverged or conserved should improve our understanding of plant adaptation to changing environments [4]. *PmUGT2*, *PmUGT42*, *PmUGT77*, *PmUGT80*, *PmUGT98*, *PmUGT105*, *PmUGT120* and *PmUGT121* were expressed at relatively high levels in all tested tissues, suggesting their involvement in overall tissue development process. *PmUGT17*, *PmUGT28*, *PmUGT36*, *PmUGT43*, *PmUGT49*, *PmUGT50*, *PmUGT51*, *PmUGT53*, *PmUGT73*, *PmUGT74* and *PmUGT122* were expressed at extremely low or undetectable levels in all tissues, which suggests that these genes do not play an important role in *P. mume* development. *PmUGT120* and *PmUGT32* was specifically highly expressed in leaf and in root, which implies that these genes may have a specific function in leaf and root, respectively. The same result was observed in peach. *Prune.1G091100* and *Prune.1G091000* (homologs of *Pm027780* and *Pm019616*, respectively) were mainly expressed in peach flowers [41]. These two UGTs are responsible for anthocyanin synthesis in peach flowers [41]. The dynamic expression patterns of several hormone-related UGTs, such as *Pm014836* (*UGT71B6*, associated with ABA), *Pm030035* (*UGT74B1*, IAA), *Pm014886* (*UGT85A1*, CK) and *Pm026307* (*UGT73C1*, CK), suggest that hormone conjugation plays important roles during the *P. mume* dormancy process. Even closely related homologs exhibited different spatial- and tissue-specific expression patterns. For example, the *AtUGTs*, *UGT71B6*, *UGT71B7* and *UGT71B8* exhibited very high expression levels in leaves, flowers and siliques, respectively [42].

Phytohormones play crucial roles in the regulation of protective responses against biotic and abiotic stresses but the mechanism of hormone glycosylation remains poorly understood. The availability of data from *Arabidopsis* provides sufficient information about the UGT family and several UGT genes have been functionally characterized as the glycoconjugates of phytohormones. For example, the *AtUGTs*, *UGT75D1*, *UGT71C5* and *UGT71B6* glycosylate ABA; *UGT74B1*, *UGT74D1* and *UGT84B1*, glycosylate IAA. In addition, *UGT74F1*, *UGT73B3* and *UGT73B5* participate in SA glycosylation, while *UGT76B1* is involved in crosstalk between SA and JA [21,31,43–45].

ABA is an important hormone regulating plant development and adaptive responses but information regarding ABA homeostasis is limited. The fine-tuning of ABA biosynthetic and catabolic pathways is crucial for balancing cellular ABA levels [1]. Cellular ABA content is lowered via two pathways, hydroxylation and conjugation [44–48]. In the first pathway, cytochrome P450 monooxygenase hydroxylates ABA at the C-80 position to form unstable 80-hydroxy ABA that is converted to phaseic acid. In the second pathway, ABA and hydroxy ABA are conjugated with glucose.
for inactivation [23,47,48]. It is the ABA glucosyltransferase that performs the conjugation and ABA-GE is the predominant form [23]. It is reported that ABA-GE can be transported between tissues and in some tissues, conjugation is the major pathway of ABA inactivation. Meanwhile, ABA-GE provided an ABA source for subsequent hydrolysis [43,49,50].

Several ABA-related UGTs and their close homologs have been functionally characterized, which can inactivate ABA and lower ABA levels. For example, ABA glycosylation by UGT77B6, UGT71B7 and UGT87A2 has been well documented in Arabidopsis, with this function also reported for UGT71A33 and UGT71A35 in strawberry and ABAGT in Vigna angularis [21,22,43,44]. As inferred by the suppression of RD29Ap:LUC, UGT77B6, UGT71B7 and UGT71B8 reduce cellular ABA levels. UGT RNAi (triple knock-out mutant) transgenic plants are sensitive to exogenous ABA and salinity stress during seed germination and subsequent development process. In contrast, the over-expression of UGT71B6 in an atbg1 mutant background aggravates the ABA-deficient phenotype [42]. In the present study, 12 UGT77B6 homologs were identified and placed in group E. We examined the transcript levels of nine of these PmUGTs under exogenous ABA treatment. As shown in Figure 7, seven PmUGTs were significantly upregulated by exogenous ABA treatment, albeit at different levels. The other two PmUGTs were only slightly changed. This result indicates that these UGTs are involved in ABA glucosylation in P. mume.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Genome-Wide Identification of UGT Family Genes in P. mume

To identify the candidate UGT genes in P. mume, a total of 120 Arabidopsis UGT protein sequences were retrieved from CAZy (available online: http://www.cazy.org/GlycosylTransferases.html) and 168 peach UGT proteins were downloaded from Phytozome V12.1 (available online: https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). All these sequences were used as query to BLASTP against P. mume proteome with a cut-off E-value of 1 × 10^{-10}. Subsequently, the conserved PSPG box sequence was also used as a query to BLASTP against P. mume proteome database. Furthermore, the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile of UDPGT domain (PF00201) was retrieved from Pfam 29.0 (available online: http://pfam.xfam.org/) and used to search against the P. mume proteome database. The amino acid sequences of candidates from these three strategies were screened by SMART (available online: http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de) to remove proteins without a complete PSPG box.

4.2. PmUGT Genes Location and Characteristics

InterPro was used to check the validation of final UGT genes [51]. The ORF and chromosome distribution of P. mume UGTs was obtained from P. mume genome database. MapChart (v2.3) was used to visualize the chromosomal location of PmUGTs [52]. ExPASy (available online: http://expasy.org/) was used to estimate the isoelectric point and molecular weight. The subcellular localization of each PmUGT was analyzed using the CELLO v2.5 server (available online: http://cello.life.nctu.edu.tw/).

4.3. Analyses of Gene Structure and Conserved Motifs of UGT Genes

According to the general feature format file of P. mume, the exon-intron structures of the PmUGTs were obtained and graphed with the Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS: available online: http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn). The conserved motifs of the putative UGT proteins were predicted by using the on-line MEME procedure with maximum 15 motifs per sequence. The sequence logo was obtained using the online Weblogo platform (available online: http://weblogo.berkeley.edu).

4.4. Homology Analysis and Selection Pressures of UGT Gene Pairs between P. mume and P. persica

To estimate the divergence of the putative tandem-duplicated UGT genes between P. mume and P. persica, the duplicated pairs were detected in the Plant gene duplication database (available online: http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/). Mescan [53] was employed to identify
homologous regions and syntenic blocks were evaluated using Circos-0.64 [54]. The ratios of Ka (non-synonymous)/Ks (synonymous rate) of UGT gene pairs between \textit{P. mume} and \textit{P. persica} were calculated to estimate selection modes by using PAML software. $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ was taken as synonymous substitutions per site per year in the case of dicotyledonous plants for MYA calculation. The Ka/Ks ratios greater than 1, equal to 1 and less than 1 represent positive, neutral and negative selection, respectively.

4.5. Sequence Alignments, Phylogenetic Analyses of UGT Genes

The UGT protein sequences, including 130 PmUGT, 112 AtUGTs, 2 maize UGTs (GRMZM2G075387 and GRMZM5G834303) and 4 peach UGTs (Prupe.7G055200, Prupe.6G265900, Prupe.6G267000 and Prupe.6G266600) were used for phylogenetic analysis by program CLUSTALW in MEGA 6.0 software [37]. Then, the output alignment file was used to construct Maximum Likelihood (ML) trees with pair-wise deletion and 1000 replications.

4.6. Transcriptome Analysis for Tissue-Specific Expression

To check tissue-specific expression of the putative UGTs in \textit{P. mume}, the RNA-Seq data in different tissues, such as flower, leaves, roots and stem, were obtained. Besides, the transcript data at four crucial dormancy stages were also retrieved as detailed described by Zhang et al. [36]. The expression values for each \textit{PmUGT} were calculated by fragments per kilobase of the exon model per million mapped reads by using the RNA-seq data of \textit{P. mume}. The heat-maps of \textit{PmUGTs} were established using R packages “heatmap”.

4.7. RT-qPCR Analyses of the PmUGTs in Response to ABA Treatment

The seeds of \textit{P. mume} were collected on cultivar “Lve” grown in the Jiufeng International Plum Blossom Garden, Beijing, China (40°07′ N, 116°11′ E). The seeds were sterilized with 20% sodium hypochlorite, washed with sterile water three times and were stored in the sand under 4°C to promote germination. After three months, germinated seedlings were transplanted in nutritional soil in the greenhouse. For hormone treatment, 100 µM ABA were sprayed on the young seedlings until dropped. Fresh leaves were collected at 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 h, respectively. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C until used. Total RNA extraction and qPCR were performed as described in Zhang et al. [37] Primers sequences were listed in Supplementary Table S3.

5. Conclusions

A total of 130 \textit{PmUGTs} were identified and clustered into 14 groups based on phylogenetic analysis and their chromosomal locations, gene structure, duplication events and conserved motifs were further investigated. RNA-seq analysis revealed specific expression patterns in different tissues. In addition, various changes in transcript levels were detected during bud dormancy release. We also uncovered differential responses of \textit{PmUGT} expressions to ABA treatment using RT-qPCR. A major future research challenge is obtaining a better understanding of how plants regulate UGT members during development and in response to abiotic and biotic stress. Exploring the crosstalk between UGTs and other genes/proteins is also necessary. Our results provide important information on the UGT family in \textit{P. mume} that will aid the further characterization of their biological roles in response to environmental stress.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at \url{http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/19/11/3382/s1}.
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