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Directional cation diffusion at the local interface enables unusual electric conductivity at a macro scale.
New Concepts

Interfaces of thin film heterostructures provide a versatile platform for exploring and engineering a broad range of technologically important behaviors. Controlling the relaxation manner of heterostructure interfaces is critical to manipulate the resulting functionalities. Previous studies are focused to obtain atomically sharp interface to avoid chemical interdiffusion that is known to be detrimental to physical properties. This study demonstrates a novel concept by discovering the beneficial role of directional cation diffusion at the local interface that enables unusual electric conductivity at a global scale. This concept is applied to a prototypical phase transition material La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ (LSMO), which establishes epitaxial vertical interfaces with NiO in a self-assembled nanocomposite architecture. In contrast to the plaguing dead layer problem in LSMO, the localized stoichiometry modification occurring at the epitaxial vertical interface pushes the LSMO phase transition from insulating into metallic within an ultrathin interface region. As a result, dramatical out-of-plane conductivity arises from vertical aligned interfaces, as manifested from macroscopic electric transport and microscopic scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy characterization. The ability to manipulate intriguing states in correlated oxide heterostructure interfaces could enable diverse functionalities for a broad range of technological applications.
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Abstract

Precise control of lattice mismatch accommodation and interfacial cation diffusion is critical to modulate correlated functionalities in epitaxial heterostructures, particularly when the interface composition is positioned near a compositional phase transition boundary. Here we select La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) as a prototypical phase transition oxide and establish vertical epitaxial interfaces with NiO for exploring the strong interplay between strain accommodation, stoichiometry modification, and localized electron transport across the interface. It is found that localized stoichiometry modification overcomes the plaguing dead layer problem in LSMO and leads to strongly directional conductivity, as manifested by more than three orders of magnitude difference between out-of-plane to in-plane conductivity. Comprehensive atomic scale structural characterization and transport measurements reveal that this emerging behavior is created by a compositional change produced by preferential cation diffusion that pushes the LSMO phase transition from insulating into metallic within an ultrathin interface region. This study explores the nature of unusual electric conductivity at vertical epitaxial interfaces and highlights the beneficial role of controllable cation diffusion that enables emerging functionalities for a broad range of potential applications such as memristors, spintronic devices, and novel nanoelectronic devices using strongly correlated materials.
1. Introduction

The electronic structure of the interface between two correlated oxides is subject to a variety of influences that can create intriguing electronic phases and functionalities, including charged domain walls in ferroelectrics,\(^1\) high mobility two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) systems,\(^2,3\) and large magnetoelectric coupling in multiferroics.\(^4,5\) To engineer interface-driven functionalities, a key prerequisite is precise control of the chemical profile and the local structural ordering at the interface. The lattice mismatch at epitaxial interfaces is a critical design parameter that is fundamentally connected to atomic arrangements and lattice distortions across the interface.\(^6,7\) While a large lattice mismatch is usually accommodated by the formation of misfit dislocations illustrated in **Scheme 1a**,\(^8,9\) it can have an alternative effect by modifying the local composition near the interface region illustrated in Scheme 1b.\(^10,11\) Such diffusion-driven rearrangements can have particularly dramatic impact on physical properties when the chemical fluctuation occurs at composition-controlled insulator-metal phase boundaries. For example, in a model correlated oxide \(\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3\) (LSMO), a subtle change of the Sr doping level \((x)\) from 0.5 to 0.44 results in an abrupt phase transition from an antiferromagnetic (AFM) insulator to a ferromagnetic (FM) metal below 250 K (Scheme 1c).\(^12,13\) In addition, the interface coupling can extend and penetrate deep into both sides of the interface, creating new phenomena known as critical thickness or dead layer effects in LSMO, which adversely affects the metallic and ferromagnetic functionalities of the LSMO thin films.\(^14-17\) Therefore, it is critical to develop effective ways to control the interface transformations to enhance existing or explore novel functionalities.

In conventional interfaces with a parallel film-substrate geometry,\(^18-20\) the buried interface nature makes it challenging to decouple the interface transport from the bulk. Alternatively, a
highly attractive device architecture is generated in epitaxial vertically aligned nanocomposite (VAN) thin films formed by self-assembled phase segregation where the interfaces are flipped perpendicular to the film surface.\textsuperscript{21-23} The vertical epitaxial interfaces in such VAN structures enables more effective strain coupling and demonstrates profound impact on magnetic coupling,\textsuperscript{24,25} magnetoresistance\textsuperscript{26} and electrical and ionic transport.\textsuperscript{27} Specifically, we previously reported on establishment of well-ordered VAN architectures using NiO and ferromagnetic LSMO ($x = 0.3$), and realized perpendicular exchange bias for tailoring magnetotransport.\textsuperscript{25}

Taking advantage of the ultrahigh interface density and the extremely large lattice mismatch of the LSMO-NiO VAN system, this work systematically varies the Sr doping level $x$ in LSMO across its insulator-to-metal compositional phase boundary ($x = \sim 0.47$), and explores the correlation between strain accommodation, stoichiometry modification, and interfacial electron transport. We observe a dramatic enhancement of electrical conductivity in the out-of-plane (OP) direction in LSMO-NiO VAN films. This conductivity is unusual for two reasons. First, it occurs in a composition range $x \geq 0.5$ where LSMO thin films are nominally insulating. Second, the existence of enhanced interface conductivity of LSMO is remarkable because LSMO thin films are known for the opposite effect, the degradation of interface conductivity caused by dead-layer formation.\textsuperscript{15-17} We refer to this unexpected conductivity enhancement as an “inverse dead-layer” effect because it occurs at interfaces where the constituting LSMO is nominally in the insulating phase. In-depth structural and electrical characterizations strongly suggest that the dramatic directional conductivity enhancement in the LSMO-NiO VAN films arises from an insulator-to-metal phase transition that is driven by spontaneous La enrichment at the vertically aligned interface region.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1 Epitaxial vertical interfaces and strain evolution

The LSMO-NiO nanocomposite thin films or VAN structures were fabricated by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) on single crystal SrTiO$_3$ (STO) (001) substrates. The VAN structures form by spontaneous phase separation between LSMO and NiO during PLD film growth from composite targets consisting of a fixed 3:2 molar ratio of LSMO and NiO, while $x$ in LSMO is systematically tuned. The variation of $x$ has negligible effect on the VAN film microstructure, which is examined by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) in the high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) mode. As seen from the plan-view STEM image in Figure 1a, the VAN films consist of LSMO as the majority phase, and NiO as the minority phase with mixed equiaxed and elliptical morphologies viewed from their long axis. This gives an ultrahigh pillar density in the order of $10^{15}$ m$^{-2}$ using an estimate of ~5 nm for the average diameter and of 15 nm for the pitch between NiO nanopillars. These NiO nanopillars form continuous vertical interfaces along their entire length through the LSMO film shown in Figure 1b. The atomic-resolution STEM images and its crystallographic model interpretation in Figure 1c reveal the growth of a vertical epitaxial interface of high perfection between LSMO and NiO.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) results confirm the epitaxial growth of LSMO-NiO VAN films and determine the residual stain states. The VAN films are oriented along the (00$l$) axis as its out-of-plane (OP) direction (Figure S1). The biaxial strain imposed by the lattice mismatch with the substrate is largely relaxed with increasing films thickness. The residual OP strain ($\varepsilon_{OP}$) for 40-nm-thick single-phase LSMO and NiO films is less than 0.5%, which is calculated using c-axis lattice parameters of LSMO ($c_{LSMO}$) and NiO ($c_{NiO}$) versus their bulk values of 0.417 nm for NiO, and 0.387 nm for LSMO. On the other hand, the vertical LSMO-NiO interface effectively
redistributes a fraction of the mismatch strain to the constituent phases, leading to apparently compressive strain for $c_{\text{NiO}}$ and smaller tensile strain for $c_{\text{LSMO}}$ from the XRD analysis of (002) peak shift (Figure 1d). As $x$ changes from 0.3 to 0.5 across the phase transition boundary, $c_{\text{LSMO}}$ varies from 0.3879 nm to 0.386 nm, while $c_{\text{NiO}}$ changes more drastically from 0.4088 nm to 0.4029 nm. Reciprocal space maps (RSMs) in Figure S2 near the asymmetric (103) diffraction peak confirm cube-on-cube growth of both single-phase LSMO, and NiO, as well as the VAN films on STO (001) substrates. The RSMs show that vertical interface coupling predominantly affects the film c-axis lattice parameter, causing the LSMO (103) peak to shift along the out-of-plane direction, as illustrated for LSMO-NiO films with $x = 0.3$ and $x = 0.5$ in Figure 1e and 1f. Note that the bulk lattice parameter of LSMO is very close in the composition range of interest (0.3 $\leq x \leq$ 0.6), thus the nominal strain from the lattice mismatch between LSMO and NiO is expected to be independent of $x$ across this composition range. However, following epitaxial growth of the LSMO-NiO VAN films, the residual strain states of LSMO and NiO were found to evolve differently as a function of $x$, suggesting a strong interplay between the chemical composition and vertical interface coupling.

2.2 Localized interface structure

To probe the structural and chemical profile of the LSMO-NiO interface, we perform atomic-resolution STEM imaging combined with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS). The plan-view STEM image in Figure 2a shows that the LSMO matrix matches NiO nanopillars with two dominant relations, [100]$_{\text{LSMO}}$∥[100]$_{\text{NiO}}$ and [110]$_{\text{LSMO}}$∥[100]$_{\text{NiO}}$, thus forming two major facets ($\{100\}$ and $\{110\}$) on NiO nanopillars. Geometric phase analysis (GPA) in Figure 2b and 2c shows the LSMO and NiO interior lattices present uniform strain contrast, while their interface displays distinct strain concentrations as a result of dislocation formation. Analysis of the lattice
parameter variation near the LSMO-NiO interface in Figure 2d reveals a subtle strain accumulation and relaxation process at the interface region. The lattice parameter for LSMO and NiO initially show opposite distortion, compressive for LSMO and tensile for NiO, before relaxing to steady state values.

The chemical environment of the interface region is clearly different from the bulk region of the constituent phases. Despite the overlapping La-$M_4$ and Ni-$L_3$ edges, the phase segregation between LSMO and NiO is clearly visible from the distinct variation of La-$M_5$ and Ni-$L_2$ edges (Figure 2e), and distinct element variation across the NiO nanopillar (Figure S3). The oxidation states of La and Ni remain unchanged near the vertical interface at 3+ and 2+, respectively, ruling out the formation of secondary phases such as LaNiO$_3$ at the interface. By contrast, the Mn-$L_3$ edge energy that is constant far from the interface starts to reduce gradually as the probe area approaches the interface shown in Figure 2f. This energy shift indicates a reduction in the Mn oxidation state, which is related to La segregation at the interface region.$^{29,30}$ The increased image brightness in the LSMO surrounding the NiO nanopillars in Figure 2a indicates a relative increase in La content, which is reproducible in regions surrounding NiO pillars independent of their shape (Figure S4). The width of the interface region with locally modified composition extends 2-3 nm into LSMO. This phenomenon is persistent for LSMO-NiO VAN films and is confirmed for film compositions near and above the phase transition boundary at $x = 0.47$ and $x = 0.5$, respectively. Since the stoichiometry change occurs only in the local interface region, it has negligible effect on the overall oxidation state of Mn. The X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) results in Figure S5 show nearly identical absorption features for LSMO-NiO VAN films with $x = 0.44$ and $x = 0.5$ confirming that the oxidation states remain unchanged in the bulk of the films.
2.3 Magnetic phase transition and directional electrical transport

The ultrahigh density of self-assembled vertical interfaces has a profound influence on the magnetic and electronic states of the LSMO-NiO VAN films. The bulk phase diagram shows that a magnetic phase transition can be induced by modifying the composition of LSMO near the phase boundary region at \( x = \sim 0.47 \).\textsuperscript{12,13} Changing \( x \) from 0.3 to 0.5, reduces the saturation magnetization (\( M_s \)) from 255 to 78 emu/cc, and increases the coercive field (\( H_c \)) from 274 to 642 Oe measured at 10 K (Figure S6). A comparison between single-phase LSMO and LSMO-NiO VAN films reveals that incorporation of NiO nanopillars leads to frustrated magnetization and enhanced coercive field (\( H_c \)), suggesting effective FM-AFM coupling at the vertical interface.\textsuperscript{31,32}

The temperature dependent resistivity (\( R-T \)) of LSMO-NiO VAN films in Figure 3 departs from that of the single-phase LSMO film and depends strongly on \( x \). We first discuss the \( R-T \) results measured along the IP direction shown in Figure 3a. The single-phase LSMO film for \( x = 0.3 \) exhibit metallic behavior for the entire measurement temperature from 10 to 350 K. Incorporation of NiO nanopillars introduces spin scattering centers\textsuperscript{33} and lowers the metal-to-insulator transition temperature for LSMO with \( x \) in the range of 0.3-0.47. As \( x \) increases above the FM-AFM phase transition boundary (~0.47), the LSMO-NiO VAN films exhibit only insulating behavior, with the film resistance increasing above the instrument measurement limit at low temperatures. These data indicate that in the IP direction the LSMO matrix dominates electrical transport.

A more significant result of this work is a dramatic enhancement of electrical conductivity in the OP direction in the LSMO-VAN films that consist of nominally insulating LSMO and NiO. The single-phase LSMO film with \( x = 0.3 \) is used as calibration points for establishing the
baseline $R$-$T$ relationship, which are almost identical between IP and OP. Such negligible conductivity anisotropy is also seen in LSMO-NiO VAN films with $x$ ranging between 0.3 and 0.47. This can be understood that the LSMO in this composition range is metallic, so that it dominates the conductivity channels in both directions, as illustrated in Figure 3d. As $x$ crosses the composition boundary into the insulting phase region, a dramatic change in the conductivity anisotropy of the LSMO-NiO VAN films appears. The summary plot in Figure 3c compares the IP and OP conductivity as a function of composition across the FM-metal-AFM-insulator phase boundary. The LSMO-NiO VAN films exhibit more than three orders of magnitude enhancement in the OP conductivity for $x \geq 0.47$, where according to the bulk phase diagram LSMO is nominally an AFM insulator. The dramatic enhancement of the OP conductivity is attributed to the formation of vertical conduction channels schematically illustrated in Figure 3e. Since NiO and LSMO for $x \geq 0.5$ are both insulators in the pure films, it must be assumed that the new conductivity component originates at the vertical interfaces between LSMO and NiO shown by the HAADF-STEM in Figure 1b and 1c.

2.4 Nanoscale mapping of localized electron transport

We used scanning tunneling microscopy/spectroscopy (STM/S) to identify and characterize the nature of the conductivity regions in the LSMO-NiO VANs. STM provides atomic resolution imaging to locate and probe the spatial distribution of localized conductive states together with STS at the LSMO-NiO interface. We selected two representative LSMO-NiO VAN films with $x = 0.44$ for metallic and $x = 0.50$ for insulating phase for performing STM/S characterization at 77 K. The STM image in Figure 4a shows the identical surface morphology corresponding to the plan-view STEM image in Figure 1a. The film surface is similar to ordinary heteroepitaxial oxide films characterized by steps and smooth terraces,\textsuperscript{34} except that the terraces are uniformly
decorated with small holes in the LSMO surface, about 0.5 nm deep, shown in the line profile in Figure 4b. The hole regions in the STM images are identified as the NiO pillars based on the similarity of the density, the size, and the spacing of the features observed by STEM imaging in Figure 1a. Figure 4c presents a two-dimensional intensity map of spatially resolved differential conductance (dI/dV) as a function of the bias voltage for a representative region with a NiO nanopillar embedded in the LSMO matrix for $x = 0.5$. Representative dI/dV spectra of the LSMO (green line), the interface (red), and the NiO (yellow) are overlaid in the same contour plot. As the STM tip scans from the LSMO matrix toward the NiO pillar region the gap edge reaches minimum indicating that the highest density of states is at the interface.\textsuperscript{35,36} This signal is representative of localized conductivity at the interface and it is observed repeatedly as the STM tip crosses out of the NiO nanopillar region. In contrast, for VANs with $x = 0.44$ where LSMO is in the metallic composition region the magnitude of the gap edge in Figure 4d increases continuously from LSMO to NiO characteristic of electronic transitions from a metallic to an insulating state, indicating that no conducting states are present at the interface. The slight asymmetric shape of the STS scans across NiO arises because the vertical interfaces around the NiO nanopillars are not perfectly straight, and there is a slight surface step variation going from NiO to LSMO.

Complementary macroscopic electrical transport and nanoscale STM/S measurements reveal the crucial role of vertical epitaxial interfaces in supporting robust OP conductivity in the LSMO-NiO VAN films. The formation of vertical interface conductivity contrasts to the conventional dead layer problem that persistently plagues the performance of LSMO thin films, which can be referred as an inverse dead layer effect. Based on STEM, EELS and STM/S results, the vertical interface width is in the order of 3 nm and is very close to the dead-layer thickness in
LSMO films. The origin of strong vertical conductivity is understood by the insulator-to-metal transition induced by spontaneous composition modification of the insulating LSMO near the interface region. This was observed for LSMO-NiO VAN films with $x = 0.5$, where the interface composition modification has the effect of pushing LSMO into the metallic phase range and forms vertical conductive channels. The Sr concentration at the interface was ascertained indirectly because it is difficult to probe the Sr content directly in these samples. First, the Sr L-edge is very far from the absorption edge of the other elements, and second the possible background signal from the SrTiO$_3$ substrate can interfere with the analysis. The consistent reduction of the Mn oxidation state in LSMO near the interface region, combined with the preferential La accumulation at the interface, is interpreted as an increase in the relative La/Sr ratio.$^{29,30}$ The local modification of the Sr doping level can be enough to induce an insulator-to-metal phase transition at the interface if the LSMO composition is sufficiently close to the phase transition boundary. This is seen for LSMO-NiO VAN films with $x=0.5$, where the interface stoichiometry modification pushes LSMO across the phase boundary into the metallic composition range and forms vertical conductive channels. The modified interface structure appears to be robust and stable in the presence of external electric fields, which leads to highly reproducible OP and IP transport behavior.

Although cation diffusion is well known for blurring interface sharpness and adversely affecting near-interface properties,$^{10,37}$ observation of such phenomena that produce desirable effects at diffusely broadened epitaxial interfaces are rather rare. This study emphasizes the positive role of interface stoichiometry modification in establishing robust conductive channels at the vertical interfaces formed between two nominally insulating oxides. This is rather surprising for LSMO, which is more known for the prevalence of dead layer formation that
typically compromises interface conductivity. Understanding the detailed mechanisms driving composition evolution at vertical interfaces requires further theoretical and experimental effort, the results of which could ultimately produce novel approaches for controlling interface structure and for creating emerging correlated functionalities. We note that the large lattice mismatch between LSMO and NiO is a key factor in a unidirectional cation migration that can occur during composition and phase separation.\textsuperscript{38-40} The underlying mechanisms are discussed in term of energy minimization schemes based on a balance between intermixing energy, strain field and interface charge state.\textsuperscript{41} Searching for an exact atomistic mechanism for how strain can drive cation migration is beyond the scope of this work. However, it is a very important topic for future studies for external control of interface structure and related functionalitites. Finally, we highlight the key practical benefits of epitaxial VAN structures resulting from this study that include self-assembly, ultrahigh interface density, effective strain coupling and a device-friendly geometry for vertical transport.

3. Conclusion

We have demonstrated unexpected electrical conductivity supported by vertical epitaxial interfaces in self-assembled LSMO-NiO VAN films across various Sr doping levels. Temperature-dependent electric transport measurements reveal robust OP conductivity that is more than three orders of magnitude larger than in-plane ones in nanocomposite films consisting of nominally insulating LSMO and NiO. Atomic resolution STEM/EELS characterization identify slight stoichiometry modification at vertical epitaxial interfaces, which suggests a localized insulator-to-metal transition in LSMO for creating vertically conductive channels, instead of causing the plaguing dead layer problem in LSMO. STM/S measurements explore the spatial distribution of conductive states with nanometer lateral resolution and identify the vertical
interface as the origin of the robust OP conductivity. This study reveals unusual local electrical transport that qualifies as an inverse dead-layer effect at LSMO-NiO epitaxial vertical interfaces and provides insights into modulating physical properties of correlated oxides using vertically oriented epitaxial interfaces.

4. Experimental Section

Thin film growth. The LSMO-NiO VAN and the single-phase LSMO and NiO films were grown on STO(001) single-crystal substrates by PLD using a KrF excimer laser ($\lambda=248$ nm) with fluence of 2 J/cm$^2$ and a repetition rate of 5 Hz. All films were grown at 200 mTorr O$_2$ and 700 °C. The films were post-annealed in 200 Torr of O$_2$ at 700 °C to ensure full oxidation, and cooled down to room temperature at a cooling rate of 20 °C/min. For out-of-plane transport measurements, the films were grown on 0.5% Nb-doped STO(001) single-crystal substrates. The film composition was varied by using composite laser ablation targets with different composition.

Structural and chemical characterization. High-resolution XRD measurements were performed using a four-circle Panalytical X’pert Pro diffractometer with Cu–Kα radiation. XAS measurements were performed in a fluorescent mode at the ISS 8-ID beamline of National Synchrotron Light Source II at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The film microstructure was investigated with a Nion UltraSTEM 200 equipped with a fifth-order aberration corrector and a cold-field emission gun operated at 200 kV. The beam convergence half-angle was 30 mrad and the inner detector half-angle was 65 mrad. Electron energy-loss spectra were obtained with a collection half-angle of 48 mrad. The plan-view STEM samples were prepared using ion milling after mechanical thinning and precision polishing. In brief, a thin film sample was firstly ground, and then dimpled and polished to a thickness less than 20 micrometer from the substrate side. The sample was then transferred to an ion milling chamber for further thinning. The ion beam
energy and milling angle were adjusted step by step towards lower values during the thinning process, which was stopped when an open hole area appears for TEM characterization. The sample damage was minimized by carefully controlling the milling energy and the angle in the final thinning process. The film strain distribution was analyzed by the GPA method.

**Electrical transport and magnetic property measurements.** Electrical transport measurements were performed using a Quantum Design physical property measurement system. In-plane transport on the films grown on STO substrates was measured using a typical Van der Pauw geometry. Out-of-plane transport for the films grown on Nb-STO substrates was measured using a two-terminal geometry with Nb-STO as the bottom electrode and a gold contact as the top electrode. Magnetic property measurements, including magnetic hysteresis loops and temperature-dependent magnetization, were performed using a 7 Tesla Quantum Design superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer. A 1000 Oe field in the in-plane direction was used for measuring M-T curves. The substrate background was removed for all measured samples.

**STM/STS measurements.** The STM/STS experiments were performed using an Omicron variable temperature AFM/STM system controlled by a SPECS Nanonis framework. All STS experiments were performed at 77 K with a base pressure less than $2 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr. Pt-Ir tips were calibrated on a clean Au(111) surface before measurements to ensure tip quality. Topographic images were collected in a constant current mode with a current setpoint of ~15 pA and tip bias of -2V (sample grounded). All the dI/dV spectra and line spectra were obtained using the lock-in technique with a modulation of 50 mV at 973 Hz on bias voltage. The thin film samples used for STM/STS measurements were transferred to a UHV-STM chamber immediately after growth. To remove any possible surface contamination, the samples were heated to 600 °C (20 °C/min) in
200 mTorr of O\textsubscript{2} for about 30 mins. \textit{In situ} reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) was used to monitor the surface structure during the heating process until a clear crystalline RHEED pattern appeared, which indicates complete desorption of the amorphous surface contamination layer. The samples were quenched at 20 °C/min to room temperature and transferred in vacuum to a STM/STS analysis chamber.
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Figures and Captions

Scheme 1. Schematic diagram of (a) strain-relaxed and (b) chemically diffuse interface. (c) Compositional phase diagram of La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_3$ illustrating the metal-to-insulator phase transition boundary.
Figure 1. (a) Plan-view and (b) cross-sectional HAADF-STEM image of a LSMO-NiO ($x = 0.5$) VAN thin film demonstrating vertical interfaces formed by self-assembled NiO nanopillars in the planar LMSO matrix. (c) Atomic resolution HAADF-STEM image showing the epitaxial LSMO-NiO vertical interface with the corresponding crystallographic model. (d) $\theta-2\theta$ local XRD scans of LSMO-NiO (LN) VAN films with various Sr doping levels. Reciprocal space maps near the (103) STO diffraction peak for (e) LSMO-NiO ($x = 0.44$) and (f) LSMO-NiO ($x = 0.5$) VAN Films.
Figure 2. (a) Plan-view HAADF-STEM image of a LSMO-NiO \((x = 0.5)\) VAN film showing in-plane lattice matching relations between LSMO and NiO. Corresponding strain maps in the (b) \(\varepsilon_{xx}\) and (c) \(\varepsilon_{yy}\) directions. (d) Lattice parameter variation across the interface region as marked by the red and blue lines in (a), which represent the epitaxial interface relationship of (100)LSMO-(100)NiO and (110)LSMO-(110)NiO, respectively. The error bar is 0.016 nm. Spatially-resolved EELS spectra of (e) La-\(M\) edge, Ni-\(L\) edge and (f) Mn-\(L\) edge across an entire NiO pillar, with the corresponding HAADF-STEM image turned sideways in the inset.
Figure 3. Temperature-dependent $R/R(350\,\text{K})$ of LSMO-NiO VAN films for (a) in-plane (IP) and (b) out-of-plane (OP) electrical transport. (c) $R(10\,\text{K})/R(350\,\text{K})$ as a function of the Sr doping level for LSMO-NiO VAN films identifying remarkable out-of-plane conductivity for $x$ ranging between 0.47 and 0.6. Schematic and equivalent circuit for (d) in-plane and (e) out-of-plane electrical transport.
Figure 4. (a) STM image of a LSMO-NiO VAN film for $x = 0.5$ and (b) corresponding STM topography profile along the white line resolving clear NiO nanopillars on a smooth surface as marked by gray triangles. Contour map of spatially resolved $dI/dV$ spectra from the surrounding LSMO matrix across a single NiO nanopillar for (c) LSMO-NiO ($x = 0.5$) and (d) LSMO-NiO ($x = 0.44$) VAN Films. The dashed lines mark the location of the interface region between LSMO and NiO. The individual differential conductivity spectra drawn on the contour maps are representative of the LSMO matrix (green), NiO nanopillars (yellow) and the vertical interface region (red).