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Abstract

Background: Many health and social needs can be assessed and met in community settings, where lower-cost, person-centered, preventative and proactive services predominate. This study reports on the development and implementation of a person-centered care model integrating dental, social, and health services for low-income older adults at a community dental clinic co-located within a senior wellness center.

Methods: A digital comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) and referral system linking medical, dental, and psychosocial needs by real-time CGA-derived metrics for 996 older adults (age ≥ 60) was implemented in 2016–2018 as part of a continuous quality improvement project. This study aims to describe: 1) the development and content of a new CGA; 2) CGA implementation, workflows, triage, referrals; 3) correlations between CGA domains, and adjusted regression models, assessing associations with self-reported recent hospitalizations, emergency department (ED) visits, and clinically-assessed dental urgency.

Results: The multidisciplinary team from the senior wellness and dental centers planned and implemented a CGA that included standard medical history along with validated instruments for functional status, mental health and social determinants, and added oral health. Care navigators employed the CGA with 996 older adults, and made 1139 referrals (dental = 797, care coordination = 163, social work = 90, mental health = 32). CGA dimensions correlated between oral health, medical status, depressive symptoms, isolation, and reduced quality of life (QoL). Pain, medical symptoms, isolation and depressive symptoms were associated with poorer self-reported health, while general health was most strongly correlated with lower depressive symptoms, and higher functional status and QoL. Isolation was the strongest correlate of lower QoL.

Adjusted odds ratios identified social and medical factors associated with recent hospitalization and ED visits. General and oral health were associated with dental urgency. Dental urgency was most strongly associated with general health (AOR = 1.78, 95%CI [1.31, 2.43]), dental symptoms (AOR = 2.39, 95%CI [1.78, 3.20]), dental pain (AOR = 2.06, 95%CI [1.55–2.74]), and difficulty chewing (AOR = 2.80, 95%CI [2.09–3.76]). Dental symptoms were associated with recent ED visits (AOR = 1.61, 95%CI [1.12–2.30]) or hospitalizations (AOR = 1.47, 95%CI [1.04–2.10]).

(Continued on next page)
Background

The growing population of older Americans presents a significant challenge for the United States’ (U.S.) health system. Many healthcare services remain poorly integrated and are often difficult to access, particularly for lower-income, ambulatory older adults at increased risk for a range of co-occurring medical, dental, and social problems [1–4]. Further, social services are not routinely screened or referred for in most of the healthcare system, let alone provided or integrated with the delivery of other health services. Clinical care is most costly when provided in certain medical settings, particularly on an inpatient basis in a hospital or in an emergency department (ED). In 2013, older adults over 65 were seen in EDs at rates of 12 per 100 for injury and 36 per 100 for illness reasons [5]. Many health and social needs can be assessed and met in community settings, where lower-cost, person-centered, preventative and proactive services predominate. Here we present a community-based intervention and model of person-centered care that incorporates a new digital Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) to capture, summarize, and communicate medical, dental, functional, and social needs to triage vulnerable older adults to services within a senior wellness center.

CGAs have been used for decades to facilitate whole-person care, establish baseline awareness of patients’ needs, and determine appropriate and coordinated interventions [6]. CGAs are multidimensional and interdisciplinary in nature, and have historically encompassed a broad range of domains, including medical, psychosocial, functional, nutritional, and socioeconomic status [7]. CGAs support coordinated care and have been shown to improve outcomes in many settings by exposing critical needs for simultaneous or staged intervention [7–10]. However, historically, CGAs have concentrated primarily on medical context and long-term services and supports [7, 11], but they do not always assess social determinants, and none to our knowledge have included oral health. While other oral health assessment tools for older adults do exist (e.g., [12, 13]), many have been designed for use in long-term care residential facilities (for a review, see Chalmers and Pearson [14], and not used frequently in community-settings if they need to be administered by medical or dental providers. The new CGA tool was developed to integrate oral health with community-based medical and wellness services in a unique setting where a dental clinic was co-located with a senior wellness center and clients could be readily referred for clinical oral health services.

There are connections between oral health, chronic medical conditions and overall quality of life, morbidity and mortality have been documented, and comprehensive assessments should include oral health status and needs [15–20]. Additionally, psychosocial factors and quality of life are understood as intertwined with physical and oral health status, thus all these domains should be considered in assessments and interventions [21–25]. While a few studies have shown the benefits of an integrated dental and medical model for elder care, most of these models have been implemented in clinical venues rather than as part of person-centered care models in community settings [26–28]. The goal in this person-centered model is for the CGA to inform timely, appropriate triage and shared care planning for complex clients in a community-based setting.

Thus, the aims of the present study are threefold; first, we describe the CGA content and its development by a multidisciplinary team, for use in a community-based organization (CBO). Second, we describe the CGA implementation process, including the workflows, triage process, and referral pathways. This process used new digital technology that allowed real-time decision support and metrics-based referrals based on client responses. We show how assessment-derived metrics can help coordinate dental, social, and health services outside the healthcare system, or lead to referral for acute care when needed. Third, we examined cohort assessment data to better understand the prevalence of needs, and correlations between CGA domains. We also estimated adjusted associations between the CGA components and self-reported outcomes (recent hospitalizations and emergency department utilization), as well as clinically-assessed dental urgency.

Methods

Setting and participants

Serving Seniors’ Gary and Mary West Senior Wellness Center (SWC) and the Gary and Mary West Senior Dental Center (SDC) are non-profit CBOs co-located in
downtown San Diego, California. Serving over 900 clients daily, the SWC provides physical activity, meals, and social, legal, care-coordination, and mental health services to low-income older adults. The SDC, launched in October 2016, is a four-chair dental clinic designed to focus on collaborative care management for underserved and vulnerable older adults, situated on the second floor of the SWC [29]. This study reports on a convenience sample of 996 new and existing SWC clients who consented for assessment and referral to services between October 1, 2016 and January 1, 2018. Age under 60 was the only exclusion criterion.

Intervention
This Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) project employed Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) [30–33] and human-centered design approaches [34, 35] to co-develop and pilot a person-centered care model linking health and wellness, dental, and social services by real-time CGA and metrics-based referral in a community-based setting. A multidisciplinary team of social workers, nurses, physicians, dentists, and care navigators met regularly over two years, with input from clients, and co-developed the CGA, with the new oral health assessment, prior to the SDC’s opening.

The clinicians and staff sought to extend, enhance and improve care quality and efficiency at the SWC. The team created and introduced a person-centered model of care to better identify and improve triage processes and care referrals. The addition of dental providers and services altered client care teams at this location and required shifting workflows and adding a pathway to dental care. This team proposed and refined workflows and informatics tools that provided preliminary metrics and rules for referral to support real-time decision-making for referrals and follow-ups. Not all clients that sought dental care were referred directly to dental services. By co-addressing all health and social needs with the CGA, the appropriate first referral could be made.

Comprehensive geriatric assessment
The CGA captured clients’ demographics, social factors, healthcare utilization (including recent primary care visits, ED visits and hospitalizations), fall history, nutrition (hunger), health status (including medical conditions, and oral health and mental health status), medications, functional status, and quality of life (Table 1). In addition to standard medical history (conditions, medications, allergies), we included validated instruments for oral health, functional status, mental health and social determinants.

We developed a new Oral Health Assessment (OHA) by drawing from a repository of existing oral health measures from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [11, 36–38]. We queried a range of multiple dental symptoms, treatment needs, hygiene behaviors, self-reported oral health status, access barriers, and utilization patterns. There were 14 symptoms on a checklist, that ranged from mild to major concerns. These symptoms included experiencing dry mouth when eating or sleeping, issues with too much or too little saliva, bad breath or taste in mouth, sores in the mouth, bleeding gums, pain and difficulties with tasting, swallowing or chewing. Pain and functional limitations from chewing difficulty were examined separately, as they were considered more severe and urgent problems needing attention. The number of positive responses were summed, and a total score tabulated, with a possible range of 0–14. The symptom variable reflected a count of the total number of symptoms currently experienced by the client, per self-report. We explored different ways to summarize the information from the symptom checklist as there is no standard scoring, and conducted sensitivity analyses and consulted with the dental providers on the care team. Clients in this cohort scored between 0 and 9. We ultimately found that four or more reported symptoms appeared to be the most sensitive threshold, and these individuals were categorized as experiencing many dental symptoms and having greater needs.

Validated instruments for quality of life (Brief Older People’s Quality of Life Questionnaire, OPQoL-Brief) [39], depressive symptoms (Patient Health Questionnaire, PHQ-9) [40], and functional status (Vulnerable Elders Survey, VES-13) [41] served as a backbone to query psychosocial status and function. The PHQ-9 and VES-13 are widely validated measures, scored based on standard cutoff values accepted in the literature [39–42]. PHQ-9 scores greater than 5 were categorized to indicate presence of moderate to high risk for depressive symptoms (possible PHQ-9 full scale range: 0–27). VES-13 scores of 3 or higher were categorized as moderate functional limitation (possible VES-13 full scale range: 0–10). OPQOL-B did not have standard cutoff scoring, and the full scale ranges 13–65. For this study, after sensitivity analyses, we categorized the lowest quartile (those in the sample scoring 26–47) as having low QoL.

Paper versions of the assessment (n = 100) were first tested for time and comprehension. The finalized assessment was translated into Spanish and Mandarin using professional services. Care navigators were SWC staff that were part of the SWC care coordination team, supporting the clinicians. Care navigators conducted the CGAs with clients, reviewed the metrics, and made care referral decisions.

Data analysis
Analyses were performed using SAS Studio 3.6 (SAS Studio Inc., Cary, North Carolina) and R Studio 3.2.5 (R Studio Inc., Boston, Massachusetts). Cohort characteristics
and metrics-informed care navigators’ final referral decisions were summarized descriptively. Pearson correlations assessed associations across all metrics (p < .05 for two-tailed test). Odds ratios with confidence intervals were calculated for recent ED visit, hospitalization, and dental urgency models, adjusted for age, sex, race, and ethnicity. Recent ED visit and recent hospitalization with an inpatient stay were each defined as within the last 6 months, and dental urgency was based on BSS assessment. Additional covariates in the models were modeled as risk factors, and included demographics (single marital status, homelessness, and living alone), medical/functional status (fair/poor self-rated general health status, falls in last year, presence of pain, medical symptoms and conditions, presence of various health conditions, hearing or memory problems, lack of primary care provider, and VES-13), dental status (more than four dental symptoms, difficulty chewing, toothache), and psychosocial factors (mental health diagnosis, major depressive disorder, suicidal ideation, feeling isolated, quality of life (QoL) based on OPQoL, depressive symptoms based on PHQ-9).

Results
Cohort characteristics
Cohort characteristics are shown in Table 2. Clients’ average age was 72, and 51% were men. Most clients experienced general pain (77%), 51% reported on average 2 or more medical conditions (range = 0–7), 5 prescribed

| Table 1 Dimensions of the new Digital CGA adding oral health and social determinates to standard clinical metrics |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Dimension** | **Number of Items** | **Details** | **References** |
| ---------------- | ----------------- | -----------------| -----------------|-----------------|
| Demographics | 5 | Age, sex, race, ethnicity, birthplace, primary language | UCLA Rand CGA* |
| Social Determinates | 17 | Health behaviors (smoking, drinking) education, monthly income, housing, insurance, household size, need for translation | Serving Seniors’ Universal Intake adapted from UCLA Rand CGA* |
| Nutrition | 3 | Loneliness | UCLA 3-item Revised Loneliness Scale* |
| 5 | Health literacy | MICASA* & Chew et al. 2008* |
| Function | 1 | Appropriate food consumption | National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES 2012)* |
| Quality of Life | 13 | Functional status | Vulnerable Elder’s Survey 13 (VES-13)* |
| 6 | Fall history, hearing, vision, memory problems | Serving Seniors Universal Intake |
| Medical | 14 | Older People’s Quality of Life-Brief | Older People Quality of Life Measure – Brief (OPQoL-B)* |
| Dental | 26 | Self-rated health | UCLA Rand CGA* |
| 10 | Active Medical Problem Recent symptoms Allergies | Hispanic Community Health Study/ Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL)* |
| 1 Food limitation due to dental problems Saliva production | Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index (GOHAI)* |
| 3 Access Barriers Utilization History Recent Symptoms | National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES 2015)* |
| 1 Dental debility | Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP)* |
| Mental | 4 | Mental Health History (active problems) | UCLA RAND CGA* |
| 9 | Depression and Suicide screen | Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)* |

*Validated instrument
* Russell D, Peplau LA, and Cutrona CE. The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale: concurrent and discriminant validity evidence. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1980. 39 [3]: p. 472–80
* Chew LD, et al. Validation of screening questions for limited health literacy in a large VA outpatient population. J Gen Intern Med, 2008. 23 [5]: p. 561–6
* Available at: http://micasa.phs.ucdavis.edu/overview.html
Table 2 Cohort characteristics (N = 996)

| Characteristic                        | N     | %    |
|---------------------------------------|-------|------|
| Age – mean (SD)                       | 72    | (74.2) |
| Male - n (%)                          | 492   | 51   |
| Low income 0–99% FPL                  | 523   | 55   |
| Low income 100–199% FPL              | 373   | 39   |
| Homeless                              | 73    | 8    |
| Single/Widowed/Separated/Divorced - n (%) | 775   | 78   |
| Feeling isolated                      | 78    | 36   |
| Feeling left out                      | 82    | 37   |
| Have PCP - n (%)                      | 926   | 93   |
| No dental visit in last year - n (%)  | 520   | 53   |
| Fell in the last year - n (%)         | 361   | 36   |
| Average # of falls – mean (SD)        | 5.4   | (2.2)a |
| Hospitalized within last 6 months - n (%) | 153   | 16   |
| > HS Education - n (%)                | 698   | 71   |

| Race                                   |       |      |
|----------------------------------------|-------|------|
| White/Caucasian - n (%)                | 578   | 59   |
| Black/African American - n (%)         | 122   | 13   |
| Asian - n (%)                          | 191   | 20   |
| Other - n (%)                          | 59    | 6    |

| Health                                 |       |      |
|----------------------------------------|-------|------|
| General pain - n (%)*                  | 767   | 77   |
| Average pain score (0–10) – mean (SD)  | 3.8   | (3.0)a |
| Toothache - n (%)                      | 437   | 43   |
| Difficulty Chewing - n (%)             | 486   | 49   |
| Need urgent dental care - n (%)        | 334   | 33   |
| ≥ 4 Dental symptoms - n (%)           | 434   | 43   |
| ≥ 2 Medical conditions - n (%)         | 508   | 51   |
| ≥ 2 Medical symptoms - n (%)           | 578   | 58   |
| Hypertension - n (%)                   | 454   | 45   |
| Arthritis (Rheumatoid and Osteoarthritis) - n (%) | 299   | 30   |
| Hyperlipidemia - n (%)                 | 277   | 28   |
| Diabetes - n (%)                       | 205   | 21   |
| CHF - n (%)                            | 17    | 2    |
| COPD - n (%)                           | 43    | 4    |
| Vision problems- n (%)                 | 150   | 15   |
| Hearing problems - n (%)               | 530   | 53   |
| Memory problems - n (%)                | 400   | 40   |
| ≥ 1 Mental problems - n (%)            | 232   | 23   |
| Mental diagnosis - n (%)               | 250   | 25   |
| Mental diagnosis & in treatment - n (%)| 150   | 15   |
| Major depressive disorder - n (%)      | 128   | 13   |
| Suicidal ideation - n (%)              | 63    | 6    |
| PHQ-9 ≥ 5 depressive symptoms- n (%)   | 240   | 25   |
| OPQoL-B, low QoL - n (%)               | 711   | 74   |
| VES-13, low function - n (%)           | 374   | 39   |

*a Mean (SD); *Any pain

PCP = primary care provider; HS = high school; CHF = congestive heart failure; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire; OPQoL-B = Brief Older People’s Quality of Life Questionnaire; VES = Vulnerable Elders Survey

Intake and triage

We captured all CGA results in a prototype, shared health record which allowed data intake, metrics conversion and sharing of data with all members of the care teams. The care navigator recorded intake data and reviewed results and metrics for errors. Twenty-two metrics covering health and wellness, dental, and psychosocial needs were displayed as color-coded lights based on high (red), moderate (yellow), and low (green) risk categories (Fig. 1a). On the first pass, the care navigator could view a client list with a summary of four metrics corresponding to services referrals – medical complexity (care coordination), oral health status (dental care), depression risk/suicidality (mental health), and case management (social work) – to quickly locate key patient needs and match with available care (Fig. 1b). The care navigator then made a second pass to consider other pertinent data and metrics in the record and synthesize these for referral decisions.

Four primary referral metrics were calculated based on composite scores of multiple measures: (a) case management included “homelessness,” “lack of insurance,” “lack of Primary Care Provider (PCP),” and being “low-income” (which was defined as earning ≤199% of the U.S. federal poverty level [FPL]); (b) medical complexity included “number of medical conditions” and “number of medications,” with both defined continuously as counts, with medical conditions categorized as two or more, which was indicative of being a higher risk client with care coordination needs; (c) oral health status was comprised of: “self-rated oral health status,” “missing teeth,” experiencing more than four current dental symptoms (from a list of 14 queried), and functional impairment, including difficulty chewing and food limitation; and (d) depressive symptomology was determined by PHQ-9,
Clients were referred to services based on the care navigator’s review of extracted metrics and key data such as symptoms, medications, and medical conditions. We designed the metrics to accelerate data review only, and during this pilot phase, referral was ultimately based on the care navigator’s judgment and client’s preference.

Staff used an Acute Clinical Screen (ACS) to assess clients with flagged medical and dental symptoms (e.g., chest pain, jaw pain, shortness of breath, bleeding, fever), and triaged them to 911, urgent medical care, or immediate dental services. Care navigators gave non-acute/well patients a brief take-home questionnaire (Pre-CGA) that included contact and basic medical information (e.g., allergies, medications, problem list, insurance, and medical contacts). Patients completed the Pre-CGA paper forms prior to the first appointment. The care navigator collected and entered clients’ Pre-CGA information, completed the assessment, and referred them to services in the same appointment. Clients with one yellow or red light were typically referred only to that service unless the client requested other services. Clients with 2 or more red lights were referred for simultaneous care, based on their most urgent need. Clients with 1 or more yellow lights were referred based on urgency and navigator’s judgement, and clients with 4 green lights seeking dental care were assigned to dental “usual-care” (described in the next section).

**Integrated dental and health workflow**

Clients referred to care coordination were assessed by a registered nurse for active medical symptoms, conditions, and establishment of an appropriate medical home. The nurse also provided clients with health education, nursing care, and helped them create personal health action-plans. For clients referred to case management, social workers provided assistance with housing, legal matters, insurance eligibility, and individual and group counseling. Clients referred to mental health received acute assessments (e.g., for suicidality), acute therapy, and medication reconciliation from either the center’s psychiatric nurse or an outside provider.

Non-acute clients referred to dental care participated in a mandatory hour-long oral health education (OHE) course, (developed by Oral Health America; which has now ceased operations, but oral health resources for
older adults are still available at https://www.toothwisdom.org/) followed by a Basic Screening Survey (BSS) both administered by a registered dental hygienist (RDH) prior to the client’s first dental appointment. The OHE covered topics on older adults’ oral health and common chronic conditions and provided hygiene demonstrations. We used the BSS, a brief visual exam conducted by RDH, to determine dental urgency and needs as “ground-truth,” compared to self-reported data, and to prioritize scheduling clients for dental care. We followed the standard BSS procedures for screening older adults, using the standard American Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD) Toolkit for Older Adults [43]. Based on the BSS, the RDH determines if a client should be seen urgently (immediately/as soon as possible, or within the next 2 weeks), soon (within weeks), or no obvious problem or dental need, and the client can continue their regular care pattern for their next check-up.

Referral outcomes
Of 1335 clients engaged, 1012 completed a pre-CGA and 996 completed a CGA without missing responses. Of 1335 clients, 22 reported acute conditions (e.g. active chest pain, fever, severe jaw pain) and completed the ACS. Of these, 9 were referred to PCP/911 and 13 directly to urgent dental care (Table 3). CGA average time to completion was 52 min (range = 34–68). There were no significant differences in response or completion time between English, Spanish, and Mandarin speakers or written versus digital format. Of the clients completing the CGA, 158 declined services or were lost to follow-up and 52 new clients required only nutrition services.

Care navigators made 1210 referrals for 996 clients (dental = 905, care coordination = 172, social work = 100, mental health = 33). Of those, 701 needed only a single referral, and 225 received multiple referrals (maximum 4 referrals). The majority of single referrals (683; 98%) were for dental services. Nine clients were referred only to care coordination, 6 to case management and 2 for mental health services. Two hundred twenty clients were referred to dental and at least one more service.

There were no instances of acute medical or psychiatric issues during dental care. Almost all clients referred to dental services completed the OHE and BSS segments, with no-show rates of < 1%. Total no-show rate for dental care was 5.7%. Table 3 summarizes the distribution of services received for each referral (and see Supplemental Figure 1 for a diagram of these referral pathways and outcomes). For dental care, the

| Referrals               | Identified with Need | Referred | Received (completed) Services | Referral Outcomes                      | N* |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|
| Dental                 | 949 (95%)            | 901      | 700 (401)                     | Preventative                           | 598|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Periodontics                           | 440|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Other                                   | 439|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Restorative                            | 249|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Prosthetics/Dentures                    | 217|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Oral Surgery                           | 202|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Adjunctive                             | 201|
|                        |                      |          |                               | Endodontics                            | 49 |
| Care Coordination      | 690 (69%)            | 172      | 116 (116)                     | High Medical complexity/Active symptoms| 59 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Connection to PCP/Medical Home          | 49 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Enrolled in Dental Lifeline Network     | 41 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Assistance with eye exam/eyeglasses     | 12 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Medication reconciliation              | 6  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Medical equipment                      | 5  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Assistance with hearing aids           | 4  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Smoking cessation                      | 3  |
| Case Management Referrals | 230 (23%)            | 100      | 68 (68)                       | Assistance with health insurance        | 32 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Housing                                 | 30 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Income assistance                       | 9  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Assistance with caregiving              | 5  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Transportation                          | 5  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Assistance with utilities               | 3  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Food stamps                             | 3  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Employment                             | 2  |
| Mental Health Referrals | 102 (10%)            | 33       | 30 (29)                       | Linkage to mental health services in community | 11 |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Serving Seniors support services (counseling, support group) | 9  |
|                        |                      |          |                               | Behavioral issues/non-compliance        | 8  |

Please see Supplemental Figure 1 for a diagram of these referral pathways and outcomes.
Correlations

Correlation analysis of CGA data (Fig. 2) showed significant relationships between the CGA dimensions (all \( p \)-values < 0.05). Pain was strongly negatively correlated with general health \((-0.49)\) and QoL \((-0.32)\), and positively correlated with depression risk \((0.41)\) and lower functional status \((0.43)\). Self-reported general health was the strongest positive correlate of QoL \((0.47)\) and lower general health was strongly correlated with lower functional status \((0.53)\). Number of medical conditions was significantly associated with lower self-reported health \((-0.34)\) and QoL \((-0.18)\), correlated with depression \((0.26)\), and strongly correlated with functional limitation \((0.35)\). Medical symptoms were negatively correlated with general health \((-0.41)\) and QoL \((-0.26)\) and associated with depressive symptoms \((0.54)\), low functional status \((0.43)\), and fall risk \((0.30)\). Dental symptoms were negatively correlated with self-rated health \((-0.30)\) and QoL \((-0.24)\) and strongly correlated with depressive symptoms \((0.43)\). Dental symptoms were significantly correlated with dental pain \((0.54)\), food limitation \((0.21)\), and difficulty chewing \((0.59)\). Finally, Isolation was associated with medical \((0.32)\) and dental \((0.23)\) symptoms and functional limitation \((0.19)\), strongly correlated with poor general health \((-0.29)\), pain \((0.35)\) and depressive symptoms \((0.43)\), and was the strongest correlate of low QoL \((-0.50)\).

Odds ratios

Table 4 summarizes the adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for recent ED visits, hospitalizations, and dental urgency. ED visits were most strongly associated with homelessness (AOR = 3.18, 95%...
CI [1.59, 6.39]), lack of PCP (AOR = 2.43, 95% CI [1.00, 5.89]), recent falls (AOR = 2.88, 95% CI [2.01, 4.15]) and key specific medical conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (AOR = 3.05, 95% CI [1.45, 6.44]) and congestive heart failure (CHF) (AOR = 8.86, 95% CI [2.39, 32.80]). Those with moderate to severe depressive symptoms (AOR = 2.36, 95% CI [1.09, 5.89]) or lower QoL (AOR = 1.96, 95% CI [1.32, 2.88]) were approximately 2 times more likely to have had an ED visit within 6 months.

For recent hospitalizations, the most strongly associated with homelessness (AOR = 2.61, 95% CI [1.48, 4.62]), lack of PCP (AOR = 3.04, 95% CI [1.09, 8.51]), poor self-rated general health (AOR = 2.52, 95% CI [1.76, 3.59]), hypertension (AOR = 2.04, 95% CI [1.43, 2.90]), COPD (AOR = 6.94, 95% CI [2.61, 18.46]), CHF (AOR = 2.21, 95% CI [1.53, 3.21]), active depression (AOR = 1.97, 95% CI [1.34, 2.89]), and low QoL (AOR = 2.42, 95% CI [1.67, 3.51]).

Dental urgency was most strongly associated with self-rated general health (AOR = 1.78, 95% CI [1.31, 2.43]), the presence of 4 or more dental symptoms (AOR = 2.39, 95% CI [1.78, 3.20]), the presence of dental pain (AOR = 2.06, 95% CI [1.55–2.74]), and difficulty chewing (AOR = 2.80, 95% CI [2.09–3.76]). Those with more than

---

**Table 4 Adjusted Odds Ratios**

| Predictors                        | Recent ED Visit | Recent Hospitalization | Dental Urgency |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Marital Status (single)           | 2.27 (1.36 3.79)| 1.76 (1.07 2.89)       | 1.48 (1.02 2.15)|
| Homelessness                      | 3.18 (1.59 6.39)| 2.61 (1.48 4.62)       | –              |
| Live alone                        | 1.80 (1.21 2.67)| –                      | –              |

**Medical/Function**

| Poor self-rated general health    | 2.04 (1.40 2.96) | 2.52 (1.76 3.59) | 1.78 (1.31 2.43) |
| Falls (≥1)                        | 2.88 (2.01 4.15) | 2.21 (1.55 3.15) | –              |
| Pain (≥5)                         | 1.58 (1.00 2.51) | 1.92 (1.18 3.11) | –              |
| Medical Symptoms (≥2)             | 2.03 (1.40 2.95) | 1.69 (1.16 2.44) | –              |
| Medical Conditions (≥2)           | 1.85 (1.29 2.65) | 2.14 (1.49 3.09) | –              |
| Arthritis                         | 1.81 (1.26 2.59) | 1.91 (1.33 2.74) | –              |
| Hypertension                      | 1.54 (1.09 2.19) | 2.04 (1.43 2.90) | –              |
| Hyperlipidemia                    | 1.47 (1.01 2.13) | –                      | –              |
| COPD                              | 3.05 (1.45 6.44) | 5.05 (2.70 9.42) | –              |
| CHF                               | 8.86 (2.39 32.80)| 6.94 (2.61 18.46) | –              |
| Hearing Problems                  | 1.84 (1.28 2.63) | 1.80 (1.26 2.56) | –              |
| Memory Problems                   | –              | –                      | –              |
| Lack of PCP                       | 2.43 (1.00 5.89) | 3.04 (1.09 8.51) | –              |
| VES-13                            | 1.67 (1.15 2.42) | 2.21 (1.53 3.21) | 1.40 (1.03 1.91) |
| Dental Symptoms (≥4)              | 1.61 (1.12 2.30) | 1.47 (1.04 2.10) | 2.39 (1.78 3.20) |
| Difficulty Chewing                | –              | 1.46 (1.03 2.08) | 2.80 (2.09 3.76) |
| Toothache                         | –              | –                      | 2.06 (1.55 2.74) |
| Mental Health Diagnosis           | 1.88 (1.26 2.80) | 1.59 (1.07 2.36) | –              |
| Major Depressive Disorder         | 1.76 (1.08 2.87) | –                      | –              |
| Suicidal Ideation                 | 2.04 (1.01 4.10) | 2.03 (1.11 3.72) | –              |
| Feeling Isolated                  | –              | –                      | –              |
| QoL                               | 1.95 (1.32 2.88) | 2.42 (1.67 3.51) | –              |
| PHQ-9                             | 2.36 (1.59 3.50) | 1.97 (1.34 2.89) | –              |

*Adjusted for age, sex, race and ethnicity
p value > 0.05
CHF congestive heart failure, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, PCP primary care provider; VES-13 Vulnerable Elders Survey, QoL quality of life, based on Brief Older People’s Quality of Life, PHQ-9 Patient Health Questionnaire
4 dental symptoms were also more likely to have had recent ED visits (AOR 1.61, 95% CI [1.12–2.30]) or hospitalizations (AOR 1.47, 95% CI [1.04–2.1]).

**Discussion**

A broader CGA that includes oral health provides a more complete assessment of health for older adults. This community-based model of person-centered care demonstrated the feasibility of bridging dental, health and social services for older adults through real-time comprehensive assessment and referral. Although the simple triage metrics used in this study could speed data review for those with multiple urgent needs or a combination of moderate and high-risk on certain metrics, further investigation by the care navigator was critical to determine the true urgency and appropriateness of referral. This supports the combination of digital assistance and human assessment, sometimes referred to as “human-technology teamwork” [44] and showcases the need to create multidisciplinary care teams. The ongoing accrual of referral data provides the opportunity to refine these metrics in future studies.

The prevalence and tight coupling of the CGA dimensions points to the complexity of health among ambulatory older adults. Analysis of the metrics derived from the CGA paints a holistic picture of the cohort and confirmed the significant medical and dental disease burdens and barriers to care faced by clients. This illustrates not just the need for more dental service provision to this population, but the opportunity to integrate with other health and wellness providers (e.g. dentists and social workers). To this point, there have been numerous recent calls for increased integration of services and for comprehensive care to include oral health [45] and to develop team-based approaches. A recent literature review projected a primary care workforce shortage in primary care in community-based settings that is prepared to care for older adults, and that new models that can support collaboration across all caregivers on care teams are needed [46]. Dentists are frequently omitted from these types of care teams and lack sufficient training in geriatrics [47], but there is recognition among dental providers that training support is needed, so that they can care for older adult patients that may transition from being independent to becoming more dependent [48].

This cohort represents an ambulatory population, and a significant number were medically complex and economically vulnerable. Though almost all reported active care from a PCP, more than half had not seen a dentist in the past year, likely due to current differences in health and dental coverage within Medicare and Medicaid, and consistent with the historic U.S. medical-dental divide in health service delivery [49]. Though common social risk factors underlie both medical and dental problems, these dimensions are infrequently assessed together to provide complete information about client needs, and this study demonstrated the value of a CGA that assessed these dimensions together. The current general dental provider workforce lacks geriatric training and are ill-prepared to handle older adults with complex healthcare needs. While there are suggested models for dentists being trained today to include geriatric patient needs [50], there is still a current access problem for community-dwelling, lower-income older adult patients that extends beyond financial barriers. These non-financial barriers to providing person-centered care for older adults remain pervasive throughout the healthcare system, with all types of providers. Challenges also remain with integrating health and social services.

Dental services were a newly offered service at the time of this study and were desired by many clients. Co-locating free and low-cost dental services in a wellness center also eliminated some traditional dental care access barriers, contributing to the very low no-show rate (≤6%) which is far lower than typical for any dental practice [51]. We recognize that this study occurred as part of quality improvement in a unique setting and may not be easily replicable. However, there are still transferrable lessons learned here for building a system that bridges the medical, dental, and social divides. The team-based approach described here grew from collaboration of multi-disciplinary stakeholders identifying the components needed in the CGA and worked together on implementing the workflows. This approach enabled the comprehensive assessment and triage processes to be deployed.

Medical-dental collaborations that include dental providers on primary care teams to enhance access to preventive oral health care have had variable impact [52]. It is challenging to form effective, highly functional multidisciplinary teams [53], but this mode of person-centered, interprofessional team-based collaborative care is the model of the future. Co-location alone is not sufficient without shared tools that operate in real-time and commitment to integrated workflows to support real collaboration across providers on the team. This approach also positions the team to focus on prevention efforts and wellness, especially after immediate needs are addressed. There is guidance available for creating patient-centered medical homes and caring for medically compromised older adults [54]. However, there are fewer examples and less guidance available for person-centered models of care in community settings.
Our results also add to the limited but growing literature that emphasizes the importance of addressing the oral health status of seniors as part of overall health and well-being. Poor oral health has been associated with functional status limitations among older adults in other studies [55]. Similarly, we found correlations among the CGA health metrics which highlighted key factors associated with QoL, depression, and functional status. Of these, pain, medical symptoms, isolation and depression appeared to be the strongest predictors of poorer self-reported health, while general health was most strongly correlated with lower depression, higher functional status and QoL. Isolation was the strongest correlate of lower QoL. Further, adjusted odds ratios for CGA metrics were strongly associated with key clinical outcomes ranging from emergency department visits and recent hospitalizations to fall risk and dental urgency. Our findings show the potential of CGAs to predict needs, urgency and outcomes in this population, with implications for targeted preventive and more cost-effective efforts.

Conclusions
Community-based inter-professional care is feasible, though it requires collaborative planning and new workflows to implement in-depth client evaluation and metrics-based referrals. A real-time metrics-based triage process that included medical, dental, and social factors in this new CGA provided both an efficient means for client review and a robust process to surface needs in complex cases.
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