A descriptive morphometric approach to the skull in Red fox (Vulpes vulpes) of Egypt
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Abstract
Craniofacial anatomy is essential to understand the locative relationships of organs in specific region of each species. This study was aimed to investigate morphometric parameters of the skull of the Red fox of Egypt. Thirteen skulls of about 2.5-4 years-old male Red fox were carefully processed using standard method. Altogether, 29 different osteometric measurements including 7 different indices were estimated. The average total length and width of the skull in the Red fox were 17.64 ± 2.68 cm and 8.73 ± 1.76 cm, respectively, with cephalic index of 49.27 ± 3.42. The cranial length of the Red fox’s skull was about two-fold of its width, with cranial capacity of 49.54 ± 3.75 cc, as well as cranial and basal indices were 49.87 ± 3.96 and 30.97 ± 2.33, respectively. The foramen magnum width was higher than its height, with foramen magnum index of 71.52 ± 3.86. The cranial length of the Red fox’s skull was higher than the facial length, with facial index of 62.70 ± 4.01. The orbital length was higher than the orbital width, and the interorbital distance was wider caudally and narrower in the middle, with orbital capacity and orbital index of 9.34 ± 0.17 cc and 97.87 ± 5.22, respectively. In conclusion, measured osteometric parameters of the Red fox’s skull will provide baseline anatomy information on the skull morphometry, which is necessary for comparative anatomy and clinical veterinary practice. The findings reported here will assist other clinical studies conducting on the Red fox’s head.

Keywords: Anatomy, Craniofacial, Orbit, Red fox, Skull.

DOI: 10.21608/svu.2021.55887.1093  Received: December 30, 2020  Accepted: March 22, 2021  Published: March 24, 2021  Corresponding Author: Ramy K. A. Sayed: E-mail: ramy.kamal@vet.sohag.edu.eg.  Citation: Sayed and Hamoda, 2021. A descriptive morphometric approach to the skull in Red fox (Vulpes vulpes) of Egypt. SVU-IJVS 2021, 4(1): 66-78.  Copyright: © Sayed and Hamoda. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative common attribution license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided the original author and source are created.  Competing interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.
INTRODUCTION

The Red fox (Vulpes vulpes) is the most widely-distributed carnivore across the world. It belongs to order Carnivora, family Canidae (Statham et al., 2014), and is considered the largest true fox found in North Africa, where its distribution is more detectable in regions close to human habitats and agricultural area (Osborn and Helmy, 1980). In Egypt, Red fox is found along the coast, Nile Delta as well as Nile Valley, up to Sudanese borders (Stuart and Stuart, 2017), and is proposed as one of the most adaptable mammals in Egypt, which can survive in a wide range of habitats (Saleh, 1993). This outstanding adaptability of Red fox is due to its capability to feed on a diversified dietary composition in various environmental conditions (Basuony et al., 2005). Generally, foxes are omnivores depending on their feeding on eating small mammals, reptiles, birds, fishes, insects and the variety of fruits (Fedriani et al., 2000).

Red foxes play a crucial role in biology conservation (Virgós and Travaini, 2005), and also have a special position in the field of zoonotic Medicine. World Health Organization reports have been mentioned that Red foxes are reservoirs for rabies that is a public health issue in the Middle East countries, including Egypt (Knobel et al., 2005; Seimenis, 2008). Furthermore, Red foxes have been suggested as a probable reservoir of canine distemper virus (Aničić et al., 2018). Beside their zoonotic importance, Red foxes hold economic importance in traditional fur countries (Stubbe, 1980).

Skull consists of bones forming cavities for location of brain; organs of vision, smell, hearing and equilibrium, in addition to initial parts of the digestive and respiratory tracts. The bones of the skull articulate with each other by visible and well-differentiated sutures. Skull is divided into two well-defined part; cranium and face (Constantinescu and Schaller, 2012; König and Liebich, 2013). Although, morphological and morphometrical studies on the skull reflect contributions of environmental and genetic components to individual development and depict ecophenotypic and genetic variations, which are foundations of clinical practices (Wehausen and Ramey, 2000). Moreover, craniofacial anatomy is pivotal for understanding the locative relationships of organs in specific region, and skull typology is an essential aspect of the craniofacial anatomy of the species (Olopade and Onwuka, 2008). Furthermore, studying morphometric measurements of the skull plays an important role in understanding skull morphology of various animal species, determining skull deformations with detecting their causes (Onar and Güneş, 2003), and differentiating animal skulls of certain regions (Mazák, 2008).

Cranial dimensions and fusion of cranial sutures were previously reported among indicators used for determination of age in Red fox (Cavallini and Santini, 1995). Moreover, the occipital bone has a significant role in determination of the sex in forensics or anthropology (Rogers, 2005). Recently, a method based on using various skull parameters for accurate determining of the age has been reported (Guskov, 2014). Although wide range of osteometric analyses had been performed on mammalian skull, there is a scanty literature available on gross morphometric details of skull in Red fox. Thus, this study was planned to provide a detailed comprehensive morphometric description of the Red fox’s skull, which will be of great significance for taxonomic
affiliations, and could be used for clinical application of veterinarians.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples
This study was performed and approved in accordance with the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sohag University, Egypt. Thirty heads of adult male Red fox were brought to the Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sohag University, Egypt. The age of the animals was 2.5-3.5 years. The skull was carefully processed for skeleton preparation by using the boiling maceration technique as described previously (Simoens et al., 1994).

Measurements used
A total of 29 parameters were measured and analyzed for morphometry in this study including facial, cranial, orbital, nasal and palatine parameters (Fig. 1), as well as craniofacial indices. Furthermore, capacities of cranial and orbital cavities were measured using mustard seeds (Saber and Gummow, 2015; Dalga et al., 2018; Özkan et al., 2019; Gündemir et al., 2020). For measuring the capacity of the orbital capacity, all foramina open in the orbital cavity and also the communication between the orbit and temporal fossa were blocked with cotton, followed by filling of the cavity with mustard seeds till the level of the orbit rim (Sarma, 2006; Saber and Gummow, 2015). Similarly, all foramina open in the cranium were closed with cotton for measuring the capacity of its cavity, and then the cavity was filled with mustard seeds though the foramen magnum until its rim. The contents of the cavities were emptied in a measuring cylinder for estimating their capacities. Osteometric parameters measured in this study were as following:

I. Skull parameters:
1. Total skull length: The distance from the midpoint of the dorsal margin of the foramen magnum to the level of the middle point on the rostral margin of the incisive bone.
2. Basal length: The distance between the incisive bones to the intercondylar fossa.
3. Short skull length: The distance from the level of 2nd premolar to the level of intercondylar fossa.
4. Skull width: The distance between the two zygomatic arches.
5. Skull index: Skull width / total skull length X 100 (Saber and Gummow, 2015; Gündemir et al., 2020).

II. Cranial parameters:
6. Cranial length: The distance from the middle point of nuchal crest to the junction of the left and right nasofrontal sutures.
7. Cranial width: The maximum distance between highest points of the parietal bones.
8. Cranial index: Cranial width / cranial length X 100 (Saber and Gummow, 2015; Gündemir et al., 2020).
9. Basal index: Cranial width / basal length X 100 (Özcan et al., 2010; Gündemir et al., 2020).
10. Cranial capacity.

III. Foramen magnum parameters:
11. Foramen magnum height: The distance between middle points of dorsal and ventral margins of the foramen magnum.
12. Foramen magnum width: The distance between two occipital condyles.
13. Foramen magnum index: Foramen magnum height / foramen magnum width X 100 (Özcan et al., 2010; Gündemir et al., 2020).

IV. Facial parameters:
14. Facial length: The distance from the fronto-nasal suture to the mid-line of the incisive bone.
15. Facial width: The distance between caudal extents of the orbital rims.
16. Least facial width: The distance between cranial margins of the orbits.
17. The distance from the infraorbital foramen to the cranial margins of the orbits.
18. The distance from the infraorbital foramen to the alveolar process of maxilla.
19. Facial index: Facial width / facial length X 100 (Saber and Gummow, 2015; Gündemir et al., 2020).

V. Orbital parameters:
20. Orbital length: The vertical distance between supraorbital and infraorbital rims of the orbit.
21. Orbital width: The horizontal distance between rostral and caudal margins of the orbital cavity.
22. Orbital index: Orbital width / orbital length X 100 (Saber and Gummow, 2015; Gündemir et al., 2020).
23. Inter-orbital distance: 
   i. At rostral level: The distance between the junction of fronto-lacrimal sutures of both sides at the rostral limit of the orbit.
   ii. At middle level: The distance between supraorbital borders of orbital cavity of both sides.
   iii. At caudal level: The distance between the junctions of the zygomatic bone at the caudal margin of the orbit of both sides.
24. Orbital capacity.

VI. Nasal parameters:
25. Greatest nasal bone length.
26. Greatest nasal bones width.
27. Nasal index: Greatest nasal bones width / greatest nasal bone length X 100 (Özcan et al., 2010; Gündemir et al., 2020).

VII. Palatine parameters:
28. Palatine length: The distance from the rostral midline of incisive bone to the caudal margin of the palatine bone.
29. Palatine width: The distance across the outer border of the molars.

Data Analysis
The morphometric parameters were measured using a percussion digital vernier.
caliper. Photographs were taken by an OPPO phone camera. Nomina Anatomica Veterinaria (2017) was used for nominating various anatomical terms used in this study. The measured results were presented as mean ± SD, with minimum and maximum values.

RESULT

Analysis of the skull, cranial and foramen magnum parameters are shown in Table 1. The average total length of skull in the Red fox was 17.64 ± 2.68 cm, while the skull basal and short lengths were 15.21 ± 1.76 cm and 12.40 ± 1.74 cm, respectively. The skull width in the Red fox was 8.73 ± 1.76 cm.

Table 1: Skull, cranial and foramen magnum measurements of the Red fox’s skull

| Parameters                  | Mean  | SD    | Min   | Max   |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total skull length (cm)     | 17.64 | 2.68  | 15.19 | 20.52 |
| Basal length (cm)           | 15.21 | 1.76  | 13.49 | 17.11 |
| Short skull length (cm)     | 12.40 | 1.74  | 10.54 | 14.20 |
| Skull width (cm)            | 8.73  | 1.76  | 6.89  | 10.41 |
| Cranial length (cm)         | 9.44  | 1.10  | 8.31  | 10.50 |
| Cranial width (cm)          | 4.68  | 0.21  | 4.51  | 4.90  |
| Cranial capacity (cc)       | 49.54 | 3.75  | 45.21 | 51.79 |
| Foramen magnum height (cm)  | 1.09  | 0.12  | 0.97  | 1.20  |
| Foramen magnum width (cm)   | 1.52  | 0.10  | 1.44  | 1.60  |

Morphometric analysis of the cranium revealed that the mean cranial length of the Red fox’s skull was 9.44 ± 1.10 cm and the width was 4.68 ± 0.21 cm. The mean height of the foramen magnum of the Red fox’s skull was 1.09 ± 0.12 cm, while its width was 1.52 ± 0.10 cm. The mean value of the cranial capacity in the Red fox’s skull was 49.54 ± 3.75 cc. Measured cranial capacities of various animal species are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Measured cranial capacities of various animal species

| Animal         | Cranial capacity (cc) | Reference             |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Ox             | 440 ± 39.50           | Rao, 1967             |
| Buffalo        | 627.45 ± 62.12        | Rao, 1967             |
| Camel          | 587.14 ± 14.89        | Sandhu and Dhingra, 1986 |
| Sheep          | 122.15 ± 5.36         | Saber, 1988           |
| Goat           | 95.85 ± 8.12          | Saber, 1988           |
| Kagani goat    | 113 ± 0.84            | Sarma, 2006           |
| Brown bear     | 343 ± 13.86           | Mihaylov et al., 2013 |
| Lion           | 207.4 ± 24.49         | Saber and Gummow, 2015 |
| Dog            | 86.4 ± 11.87          | Saber and Gummow, 2015 |
| Cat            | 20.8 ± 1.95           | Saber and Gummow, 2015 |
| Indian Blackbuck | 107.83 ± 0.86     | Choudhary and Singh, 2015 |

The mean value of the facial length in the Red fox’s skull was 7.84 ± 1.19 cm, while the facial width and least facial width were 4.89 ± 0.44 cm and 3.85 ± 0.37 cm, respectively (Table 3). Morphometric analysis of the skull of the Red fox showed that the distance between the level of the infraorbital foramen and the level of the cranial margins of the orbit was 1.60 ± 0.35 cm, and the distance from the level of the infraorbital foramen to the alveolar process of the maxilla was 0.90 ± 0.22 cm (Table 3).

Table 3: Facial measurements of the Red fox’s skull

| Parameters                  | Mean  | SD    | Min   | Max   |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Facial length (cm)          | 7.84  | 1.19  | 6.65  | 9.03  |
| Facial width (cm)           | 4.89  | 0.44  | 4.51  | 5.27  |
| Least facial width (cm)     | 3.85  | 0.37  | 3.48  | 4.22  |
| Infraorbital foramen to orbit margins (cm) | 1.60  | 0.35  | 1.24  | 2.01  |
| Infraorbital foramen to alveolar process (maxilla) (cm) | 0.90  | 0.22  | 0.58  | 1.24  |
The average orbital length and width of the Red fox’s skull were 2.67 ± 0.03 cm and 2.55 ± 0.06 cm, respectively. The inter-orbital distance was 3.74 ± 0.42 cm at the rostral level, 3.64 ± 1.2 cm at the middle level, and 4.52 ± 1.14 cm at the caudal level. The mean value of the orbital capacity in the Red fox’s skull was 9.34 ± 0.17 cc (Table 4).

The mean values of the greatest nasal bones length and width in the Red fox’s skull were 5.87 ± 0.73 cm and 1.40 ± 0.15 cm, respectively. The mean values of the palate length and width in the Red fox’s skull were 8.43 ± 0.73 cm and 5.10 ± 0.76 cm, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4: Orbital, nasal and palatine measurements of the Red fox’s skull

| Parameters                              | Mean  | SD   | Min  | Max  |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Orbital length (cm)                     | 2.67  | 0.03 | 2.64 | 2.71 |
| Orbital width (cm)                      | 2.55  | 0.06 | 2.48 | 2.60 |
| Rostral inter-orbital distance (cm)     | 3.74  | 0.42 | 3.38 | 4.22 |
| Middle inter-orbital distance (cm)      | 3.64  | 1.2  | 2.73 | 5.10 |
| Caudal inter-orbital distance (cm)      | 4.52  | 1.14 | 3.61 | 5.80 |
| Orbital capacity (cc)                   | 9.34  | 0.17 | 9.20 | 9.53 |
| Greatest nasal bone length (cm)         | 5.87  | 0.73 | 5.15 | 6.62 |
| Greatest nasal bones width (cm)         | 1.40  | 0.15 | 1.25 | 1.54 |
| Palatine length (cm)                    | 8.43  | 0.73 | 7.66 | 9.13 |
| Palatine width (cm)                     | 5.10  | 0.76 | 4.28 | 5.80 |

Table 5: Measured indices of the skull of the Red fox’s skull

| Parameters                              | Mean  | SD   | Min  | Max  |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Skull / Cephalic index                 | 49.27 | 3.42 | 45.36| 50.73|
| Cranial index                           | 49.87 | 3.96 | 46.60| 54.27|
| Basal index                             | 30.97 | 2.33 | 28.8 | 33.43|
| Foramen magnum index                    | 71.52 | 3.86 | 67.36| 75.10|
| Facial index                            | 62.70 | 4.01 | 58.81| 66.82|
| Orbital index                           | 97.87 | 5.22 | 93.94| 103.80|
| Nasal index                             | 23.94 | 0.53 | 23.33| 24.27|

The skull or cephalic, cranial and basal indices of the Red fox’s skull were 49.27 ± 3.42, 49.87 ± 3.96 and 30.97 ± 2.33, respectively; meanwhile the facial and orbital indices were 62.70 ± 4.01 and 97.87 ± 5.22, respectively. The foramen magnum and nasal indices of the Red fox’s skull were 71.52 ± 3.86 and 23.94 ± 0.53, respectively. Measured skull, cranial, facial and orbital indices of different animal species are illustrated in Table 6.

### DISCUSSION

The phenotypic appearance of the head of various animals’ species depends strongly on the skull’s shape (Kunzel et al., 2003). Because of its significance, using the skull for determining taxonomic affiliations has been widely recommended due to its susceptibility to phenotypic changes (Brüenner et al., 2002).

Several previous studies have been performed on canine skull, and many morphometric data pertinent to the skull shape have been reported (Hidaka et al., 1998, Onar, 1999; Onar et al., 1997, 2001). The overall length of the wild and captive lion’s skull were 31.27 cm and 30.35 cm, respectively, while their overall skull width...
were 20.46 cm and 20.52 cm, respectively (Zuccarelli, 2004). Recent study has been performed on skulls of three carnivores; lion, dog and cat and recorded that the skull lengths were 39.75 ± 1.04 cm, 20.02 ± 1.36 cm and 8.4 ± 1.5 cm, respectively, meanwhile, the skull widths were 28 ± 2.16 cm, 10.04 ± 0.56 cm and 6.8 ± 1.4 cm respectively (Saber and Gummow, 2015). The average total length and width of skull in the Red fox as reported here were 17.64 ± 2.68 cm and 8.73 ± 1.76 cm, respectively, where the total skull length was about two-fold of its width. This finding was similar to previous measurements of the dog (Saber and Gummow, 2015).

The cranial length of the Red fox’s skull was about two-fold of its width.

Table 6: Measured skull, cranial, facial and orbital indices of some animal species

| Animal            | Skull/Cephalic index | Cranial index | Facial Index | Orbital index | Reference               |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| German Shepherd Dog | 58.43                | 73.24         | 116.37 ± 1.24 | -             | Onar, 1999              |
| Kagani goat       | 41.95 ± 0.97         | 58.55 ± 1.54  | 138.48 ± 0.57 | 89.12 ± 2.49  | Sarma, 2006             |
| Mehraban Sheep    | 53.57 ± 3.26         | 52.76 ± 1.13  | 85.44±1.89   | 21.46 ± 0.68  | Karimi et al., 2011     |
| One-humped Camel  | 40.99 ± 0.33         | 41.41 ± 0.43  | 96.35 ± 0.95 | -             | Yahaya et al., 2012     |
| Tibetan Gazelle   | 43.22 ± 0.44         | 58.37 ± 0.80  | 116.37 ± 1.24 | -             | Zhu, 2012               |
| Golden Jackel     | -                    | 71.28         | -            | -             | Monfared, 2013          |
| Markhoz Goat      | 47.77 ± 1.96         | 54.04 ± 2.29  | 100.77 ± 6.85 | -             | Goodarzi and Hoseini, 2014 |
| Lion              | 71.28 ± 2.71         | 64.07 ± 8.27  | 113.9 ± 58.57 | 72.55 ± 3.04  | Saber and Gummow, 2015 |
| Dog               | 24.86 ± 2.85         | 90.96 ± 10.04 | 37.99 ± 3.06  | 89.67 ± 9.88  | Saber and Gummow, 2015 |
| Cat               | 80.79 ± 6.08         | 121.24 ± 18.3 | 121.67 ± 19.51 | 83.48 ± 10.6  | Saber and Gummow, 2015 |
| Common Opossum    | 50.0 ± 2.93          | -             | 87.57 ± 10.23 | 87.37 ± 3.60  | Mohamed, 2018           |
| Indian Blackbuck  | 46.12 ± 0.12         | 59.00 ± 0.11  | 80.67 ± 0.44  | 107.33 ± 0.4  | Choudhary and Singh, 2015 |
| Bardhoka sheep    | 41.53 ± 2.38         | 48.99 ± 1.74  | 80.70 ± 3.45  | 93.06 ± 4.81  | Gündemir et al., 2020   |

Comparing with other canine, the cranial length and width were 18.86 ± 4.77 cm and 11.85 ± 1.98 cm, respectively in lion, 5.96 ± 0.63 cm and 5.13 ± 0.34 cm, respectively in dog, and 3.4 ± 0.4 cm and 4.1 ± 0.2 cm, respectively in cat (Saber and Gummow, 2015). The foramen magnum width in the Red fox’s skull was higher than its height. Skull capacity has been proposed to be not dependent on the skull form (Hajnis, 1962). Previous study performed on studied 7 species from the Felidae family and reported that the tiger had the greatest cranial cavity volume and length (Mihaylov and Dimitrov, 2010).

Recent study on canine skull clarified that the facial length and width are 17.88 ± 5.59 cm and 17.75 ± 5.19 cm, respectively in lion, 14.16 ± 0.89 cm and 5.37 ± 0.35 cm, respectively in dog, and 5.0 ± 1.5 cm and 5.9 ± 1.2 cm, respectively in cat (Saber and Gummow, 2015). The results of this study indicated that the
cranial length of the Red fox’s skull was higher than the facial length, a finding similar to that found in lion (Saber and Gummow, 2015) and Common Opossum (Mohamed, 2018). However, the facial length of the skull was detected higher than the cranial length in dog and cat (Saber and Gummow, 2015).

Morphological and morphometrical analysis of the infraorbital foramen is very important for locating the foramen; a step of a great significance is in infraorbital nerve block (Rahman et al., 2013). Moreover, infraorbital foramen identification is essential for the prohibition of iatrogenic injury of the infraorbital nerve during maxillofacial surgeries (Kazkayasi et al., 2001; Raschke et al., 2013; Kotrashetti et al., 2015). For decades, the relative cross sectional area of the infraorbital foramen has been used in ecological and phylogenetic interpretations of the fossil record (Shigehara et al., 2002; Ni et al., 2004; MacPhee and Horovitz, 2004, Rossie et al., 2006). Previous studies have localized the level of the infraorbital foramen through determining its distance from nearby bony landmarks, and also soft tissue structures such as alveolar border of the maxilla, medial and lateral palpebral commissures, zygomatico-maxillary and fronto-maxillary sutures, as well as supraorbital foramen (Gupta, 2008; Liu et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2012; Ercikti et al., 2017).

The orbital length and width of the lion were 7.75 ± 0.50 cm and 5.63 ± 0.48 cm, respectively, and those of the dog were 3.3 ± 0.28 cm and 2.8 ± 0.18 cm, respectively, while in the cat were 2.8 ± 0.65 cm and 2.3 ± 0.27 cm, respectively (Saber and Gummow, 2015), confirming findings of this study, where the orbital length was higher than the orbital width. Archana et al. (2006) studied the orbital cavity of the Himalayan leopard’s skull and reported that the orbital cavity dimension was about 6 X 5 cm. The rostral, middle and caudal inter-orbital distances were 13.55 ± 3.03 cm, 8.4 ± 0.71 cm, and 15.25 ± 3.86 cm, respectively in the lion, 4.35 ± 0.51 cm, 3.59 ± 0.87 cm, and 5.11 ± 0.66 cm, respectively in the dog, in addition to 2.4 ± 4.14 cm, 1.6 ± 0.23 cm, and 2.8 ± 0.18 cm, respectively in the cat (Saber and Gummow, 2015), assuring results of this study, where the inter-orbital distance being wider caudally and narrower in the middle.

The mean value of the orbital capacity in the Red fox’s skull was 9.34 ± 0.17 cc. Orbital analysis of different carnivores revealed that measured orbital capacities of lion, dog, and cat were 80.5 ± 21.44 cc, 10.9 ± 3.21 cc, and 3.8 ± 1.6 cc, respectively (Saber and Gummow, 2015). The nasal length of the Red fox’s skull was about 4 fold of its width.

Among measured indices in the skull of the Red fox, the orbital index was the greatest, while cranial index was the greatest in the dog and cat skull (Saber and Gummow, 2015), and the facial is the greatest in the skull of lion (Saber and Gummow, 2015). The high cranial index in the dog was proposed as an indicator for a wider braincase related to its length (Schmidt et al., 2011). Skull index was previously used for differentiating animal’s skulls, where skull indices of 57.13 ± 3.64 and 54.25 ± 4.29 were recorded for differentiating the mesocephalic and the dolichocephalic skulls of Byzantine dogs (Onar et al., 2012). In the cat, the round skull type was characterized by an increased braincase height (Künzel et al., 2003). The cranial indices of the skulls of the Iranian mixed-breed dogs, Golden jackal, and Persian cat were 66.37, 71.28, and 55.55, respectively (Monfared, 2013 a, b, c). Previous study estimated the cranial index and cranial capacity of various species including the gray fox, pig, bull, raccoon, deer, badger, marmot, Prairie dog,
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cat, jack rabbit and human, and according to findings, it was assumed that predators have a higher intelligence, compared to prey (Hieck and Dougherty, 2013).

CONCLUSION
The morphometric analysis of the skull of the Red fox plays a critical role in the identification of this species. Moreover, craniofacial studies will facilitate determination of skull deformations and differentiation of different animal skulls of certain regions. Osteometric analyses of the Red fox’s skull will provide baseline anatomy information on the skull morphometry, which is necessary for comparative anatomy, developmental studies and also for clinical veterinary practice. The findings reported here will motivate and assist other clinical studies conducting on the Red fox such as application of surgical operations on the head region.
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