Summary AL amyloidosis (AL) and monoclonal gammopathy of renal significance (MGRS) are both paraprotein-associated diseases. Both entities are based on a monoclonal paraprotein produced by a clonal plasma-cell population like in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) or by a B-cell population like in low grade lymphoma. Per definition MGUS and low-grade lymphoma do not require treatment. But in rare cases the monoclonal M-gradient acts as a “toxic” protein inducing severe multimodal organ damage as in AL and MGRS. Urgent treatment is indicated in AL and in MGRS to avoid irreparable loss of organ function or death. No treatment is currently approved in Europe for AL or MGRS. On January 15, 2021 the US Food and Drug Administration approved the monoclonal anti-CD38 antibody for treatment of AL. To minimize the serum M-gradient concentration, a clone directed therapy as in multiple myeloma or B-cell malignancies treatment regimens can be applied. In AL, an additional treatment option is under investigation. These special drugs are directed against the typical amyloid-fibrils responsible for deposition formation. An additional and important consideration in this special field of rare diseases is the option of organ transplantation in particular kidney transplantation in MGRS. All these treatment modalities are addressed in this article.
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Introduction

In AL and MGRS, tissue and organ damage are linked to a monoclonal paraprotein [1]. In AL the pathomechanism of tissue and organ damage is well-characterized. A monoclonal misfolded protein with reduced solubility is produced by either a clonal B-cell population or by a clonal plasma-cell population. This paraprotein, most often clonal free-light-chains, form insoluble fibrils and lead to extracellular depositions. These “toxic” depositions induce tissue damage with loss of organ function (Figs. 1 and 2).

In MGRS [2], renal tissue damage is also linked to a monoclonal paraprotein but the pathomechanisms are very diverse and multimodal [3]. Different forms of deposition diseases such as monoclonal immunoglobulin deposition disease (MIDD), light-chain deposition disease (LCDD), and heavy-chain deposition diseases (HCDD) are defined but additional modes of damage are clarified in light-chain proximal tubulopathy (LCPT), light-chain cast nephropathy, cryoglobulinemia or C3-nephropathy.

Because of the high diversity of pathomechanisms and the multitude of paraprotein-associated lesions, a classification system for MGRS was introduced in 2019 [3].

Therapeutic options

Up to January 15, 2021 no approved treatment was available in this special field of rare diseases. Robust data from prospective randomized phase III studies were lacking because of the low incidence of these rare diseases. For improvement and restoration of organ function and prolongation of overall survival specialized treatment is of major importance. In AL two main strategies are under investigation [4]. One group of treatment options is based on clonal directed reg-
Fig. 1 Different staining techniques from a bone marrow biopsy specimen with kappa light-chain amyloidosis. a H&E staining, b PAS staining, c Giemsa staining, d Congo red staining, e Congo red with polarized light. (Images kindly provided by Univ. Prof. Dr. Ingrid Simonitsch-Klupp, Department of Pathology, Medical University Vienna). PAS periodic-acid Schiff reaction, H&E hematoxyline & eosin
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imensions well-known from multiple myeloma care. Reducing the number of clonal B-cells or clonal plasma cell is associated with reduction of the “toxic” M-protein. This strategy is effective in AL and in MGRS [5]. The other strategy is a very specialized form of treatment based on antiamyloid-fibril directed drugs [6] and is applicable only in AL.

Clone directed drugs

Clone directed drugs are well known from antmyeloma therapy. In multiple myeloma these regimens are well defined and controlled in randomized phase III trials. Based on the low incidence of AL (and MGRS) only a very small number of prospective controlled randomized of phase III trials are available. In these rare trials it could be documented that treatment and response to treatment improves overall survival [7]. When antmyeloma drugs are administered in AL these drugs are accompanied by a very different and more severe toxicity-profile. This should be considered especially if advanced cardiac involvement is present [7].

Proteasome inhibitors

Targeting the proteasome is highly effective in treating plasma cell diseases. The amyloidogenic light chain is a highly effective stressor that sensitizes plasma cells to proteasome inhibitor (PI) toxicity. Especially in AL the plasma-cell population is highly sensitive against PI-associated stress. This special mode of PI-associated action is postulated as drug-induced aggravation of a pre-existing intracellular proteotoxic stress induced by the amyloidogenic light chain itself. The misfolded light chains propagate severe intracellular dysregulation in protein trafficking and protein degradation leading to severe vulnerability of the clonal plasma cells and enhanced apoptosis [8].

Bortezomib

Bortezomib is currently the most widely used PI within the treatment of plasma cell-associated AL. Bortezomib is given as single agent or in doublet or triplet combinations. Dexamethasone and alkylating agents (melphalan, cyclophosphamide) are frequently combined with bortezomib.

In AL the subcutaneous application of bortezomib seems to be preferable, in a once-weekly schedule, and in a risk-adapted dosage [9, 10]. Bortezomib should be used with caution in particular if cardiac involvement is present [11]. Bortezomib is suspected to induce cardiotoxicity. In renal impairment no dose-adjustment is necessary. If peripheral polyneuropathy is pre-existing the use of bortezomib is not recommended [12].

The most common regimen is cyclophosphamide, bortezomib and dexamethasone (CyBorD) in various risk-adapted schedules and dosages [13]. In particular in patients with AL and renal impairment CyBorD is the first choice since no dose adjustment is required. The overall hematologic response rates in the two large trials with CyBorD were 60–65% [14].
Some data indicate that bortezomib-based regimens could be less effective in AL with t(11;14) [15, 16]. Oral melphalan with and without bortezomib is also frequently used. This regimen exhibits proven minimal toxicity within a risk-adapted approach [17]. The efficacy of bortezomib combined with oral melphalan and dexamethasone is documented in several retrospective observations and nonrandomized investigations [18]. In 2020 the first controlled prospective randomized trial showed clear advantage for bortezomib, melphalan and dexamethasone (BMDex) compared with melphalan, dexamethasone (MDex) regarding overall hematologic response rate (79 vs. 52%) after 3 months of therapy. Cardiac and renal response rates were reached in 38 and 44%, respectively. The median overall survival was 34 months in the MDex arm and was not reached in the BMDex cohort [19]. With the addition of bortezomib to MP the percentage of grade 3–4 toxicities were increasing. Cytophenia, peripheral polyneuropathy (PNP) and heart failure were described in 20% of the BMDex study group compared to 10% in the MDex group. Melphalan should be avoided or handled with caution in patients with renal insufficiency, severe peripheral or autonomic neuropathy. In patients with the future option of autologous blood stem cell transplant (ASCT) MDEs should be avoided.

Melphalan is suspected to overcome the disadvantage of bortezomib in patients with t(11;14) [15].

Carfilzomib

Carfilzomib is a very potent second-generation proteasome inhibitor in patients with multiple myeloma. In patients with AL, carfilzomib should be handled with caution. Significant cardiac, pulmonary and renal toxicities are documented, in particular in AL with multiorgan involvement. In a small group of patients with peripheral neuropathy without cardiac depositions carfilzomib was well tolerated and highly active [20].

Ixazomib

Ixazomib is the only PI with oral intake and very low neurotoxicity. In a small phase I/II study in relapsed/refractory AL amyloidosis (RRAL), single agent activity of ixazomib was demonstrated [21]. Based on the promising data from this small study an international randomized controlled phase III trial (TOURMALINE—AL1) ixazomib/dexamethasone compared to physician’s choice treatment (except bortezomib-based regimens) in patients with 1–2 prior lines of therapy [22]. In this trial ixazomib/dexamethasone was not associated with higher rates of hematologic responses (53 vs. 51%) and failed to meet the primary end point. Some important secondary end points were reached including time to vital organ deterioration or death (35 vs. 26 months) and hematologic CR rate (26 vs. 18%). The hematologic responses were long lasting (46.5 vs. 20.2 months) in the ixazomib/dexamethasone arm versus the physician’s choice arm. Vital organ PFS was also longer in the ixazomib/dexamethasone arm (18 vs. 11 months) [22].

If ixazomib is the more favorable PI in newly diagnosed AL with higher efficacy or a better safety profile has to be addressed in further trials.
**Immunomodulatory drugs**

The immunomodulatory drugs (IMiD) category is represented by three drugs: thalidomide, lenalidomide and pomalidomide. All three derivatives are taken orally. In multiple myeloma the IMiDs are widely used in first line regimens as well as in the relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM) setting. IMiD-based therapy is accompanied by significant toxicities: fatigue, skin rashes, thromboembolic events, infections, renal and/or cardiac deterioration. In systemic AL with cardiac and renal involvement thalidomide and lenalidomide-containing regimen are also associated with reduced tolerability. A common phenomenon within all IMiDs is the paradoxical increase in NTproBNP with and without clinical deterioration (reversible after drug cessation). Timely response assessment is clearly complicated during IMiD-based regimens.

**Thalidomide**

Thalidomide is accompanied by significant toxicities especially in AL with cardiac involvement (bradycardia and heart rhythm disturbance). In AL with pre-existing PNP thalidomide should be avoided.

**Lenalidomide**

Lenalidomide is mainly tested in RRAL cohorts. The ORR did not exceed 50% in most studies. Deep hematologic response rates were also rare and subsequently the organ responses were also poor. The lenalidomide-associated toxicities were significant in AL with renal involvement and also in patients with cardiac impairment. Vigorous dose-adjustments were essential to improve tolerability and reduce treatment-induced organ deterioration [23]. The median lenalidomide dosage was 10 mg per day (maximum dose 15 mg per day). Treatment discontinuation were observed frequently.

**Pomalidomide**

Pomalidomide is approved in RRMM as third-generation IMiD. Pomalidomide is known for the ability to overcome lenalidomide and bortezomib-resistance in RRMM. No dose-adjustment is required in patients with renal insufficiency. Recently rapid hematologic responses are described in RRAL if treated with pomalidomide and dexamethasone (at least partial response rate 44%) [24]. In most of the patients a first response was observed after one cycle of therapy. Hematologic responses translated into improved overall survival (median survival 50 vs. 27 months). At least partial hematologic responses resulted in significant longer progression-free survival (PFS) rates (median PFS 37 vs. 18 months). Grade 3 adverse events were documented in 33% of patients. Mainly infectious complications (9%), cardiac failure (7%), increase in creatinine (6%) and cytopenia (2%) were reported [24].

**Monoclonal antibody-based treatment options**

**Antiplasma cell-directed antibodies**

**Daratumumab** Daratumumab is a monoclonal, fully humanized IgG1 kappa antibody directed against CD38 with promising efficacy in relapsed/refractory AL. Even in heavily pretreated AL overall hematologic response rates between 55–90% were reported [25]. The hematologic responses were accompanied by improvement of organ function and better overall survival [26]. In all daratumumab cohorts low toxicity and good tolerability were reported [26]. High tolerability and efficacy are of utmost importance in particular in systemic AL with pronounced cardiac involvement and multiorgan impairment.

Currently an international phase III trial (ANDROMEDA Trial, NCT03201965) was initiated. Dara s.c. + CyborD (daratumumab subcutaneous plus cyclophosphamide, bortezomib, dexamethasone) is compared with CyBorD in the frontline setting. The data from the safety run-in phase were presented with excellent 96% overall response rate within the Dara–CyBorD arm and 82% achieved a very good partial response (VGPR) [27]. The ANDROMEDA trial data were presented to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and on January 15, 2021 daratumumab was approved for treatment of AL by the FDA. An additional daratumumab first-line trial is ongoing focusing on ultrahigh-risk AL stage IIIB.

**Isatuximab** Isatuximab is also a monoclonal anti-CD38 antibody approved for treatment in relapsed refractory multiple myeloma. The efficacy of isatuximab in relapsed refractory AL [28] and in MGRS [29] is currently under investigation.

**Elotuzumab** Elotuzumab is a monoclonal IgG antibody directed against SLAM-F7 (signaling lymphocytic activation molecule F7). From multiple myeloma trials it is known that elotuzumab does not exhibit single agent activity. It needs an IMiD partner for antimyeloma/antiplasma cell activity. The effectivity of elotuzumab combined with lenalidomide and dexamethasone in AL is under investigation.

**Antiamyloid-directed drugs**

**Antifibrillar antibodies**

Antifibrillar antibodies are directed against amyloid fibrils. These artificial monoclonal antibodies are created for rapid clearance of amyloid depositions in vital organs and reversing of end-organ damage.

**NEOD001 (birtamimab)**

NEOD001 is a humanized monoclonal anti-IgG1 antibody. This antibody is directed against repeating epitopes of AL amyloid fibrils. The binding of the NEOD001 to the amyloid-forming fibrils induces de-
position destabilization, macrophage attraction and activation. These mechanisms are shown to enhance amyloid degradation and clearance of amyloid deposits [30].

Remarkable data were published for the antifibrillar antibody NEO001 in 2016 and 2017. A phase I/II study documented good tolerability and effectiveness concerning the hematological response as well as the improvement of the organ functions (heart, kidneys and nerves) [31]. A clear improvement in organ function could be seen in 53% of the patients \( (n = 36) \) with cardiac involvement and 63% of the patients \( (n = 35) \) with renal involvement. A phase III study was then initiated (VITAL study) and patients with AL (cardiac, renal, hepatic, neurogenic) were randomized double-blind into two arms. All patients received a bortezomib-based therapy either in combination with NEO001 or with a placebo. No statistically significant differences between NEO001 + “standard of care” vs. placebo + “standard of care” could be detected at time of primary end point evaluation (12 months after trial start) [32]. The PRONTO study comparing NEO001 vs. placebo in patients with systemic AL with persistent cardiac dysfunction was also a negative study. Because of these negative results further drug development was discontinued and the studies were terminated in April 2018 due to futility [32].

In the follow-up observations the patients with severe cardiac amyloidosis Mayo stage IV demonstrated a clear benefit from treatment with NEO001 compared to placebo. In the light of these recent results further investigations are warranted in this special population with the highest risk of early death and dismal outcome.

**11-1F4 (CAEL 101)**

CAEL 101 acts as antiamyloid-fibril directed monoclonal antibody (IgG1-kappa). In a first in human phase 1a/b trial excellent tolerability with no dose-limiting toxicity was demonstrated [33].

A total of 27 patients with relapsed AL were included; 24 were evaluated. In all, 18 patients had cardiac and/or renal involvement. Twelve patients (67%) showed an improvement in organ function; none of the patients showed signs of progression during treatment with CAEL 101. The median time to the first measurable response was 3 weeks. It is noteworthy that the improvement in organ function developed independently of the level of free light chains in the serum [33]. Based on these highly promising results two phase III trials with CAEL 101 will start in the near future: A Study to Evaluate the Effectiveness and Safety of CAEL-101 in Patients with Mayo Stage IIIa AL Amyloidosis (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04512235) and A Study to Evaluate the Effectiveness and Safety of CAEL-101 in Patients With Mayo Stage IIIb AL Amyloidosis (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04504825).

**Antiserum amyloid P directed drug combination (dezamizumab, miridesap)**

Serum amyloid P (SAP) is a ubiquitous plasma protein without fully clarified function. On the other hand SAP is an important nonfibrillar component of all amyloid deposits independent of the primary origin of the amyloid forming protein. These two highly different modes of action are addressed by a highly specialized anti-SAP directed drug-combination. This combination is based on a unique small molecule (CPHPC, miridesap) required for serum depletion of the SAP molecules and the second drug is of a fully humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody (dezamizumab). The monoclonal anti-SAP antibody is directed against the SAP-protein fixing amyloid-fibrils within the depositions [34]. A small phase I trial was published in 2015 [35]. The treatment with the anti-SAP drug-combination resulted in a significant reduction of the amyloid load within 6 weeks in AL as well as in transthyretin amyloidosis (ATTR). However, patients with cardiac and renal amyloidosis were excluded from the start. Only patients with amyloidosis of the liver, spleen or lymph nodes were recruited. In an expanded cohort the drug combination was tested in patients with cardiac involvement [36]. Two adverse events were observed—hypotension and erythema multiforme-like rash. No substantial other adverse events were documented. In the consecutive phase II trial, including patients with cardiac and renal involvement, launched in February 2017, a change in the risk/benefit profile was observed and the trial and drug development were stopped and terminated [37].

**Small molecules**

**Venetoclax**

Venetoclax is an oral BCL2 inhibitor approved for CLL-treatment. Venetoclax has also been investigated in relapsed multiple myeloma and has shown promising results in patients with t(11;14) [38]. Surprisingly a higher mortality rate was found in the venetoclax cohort in the phase III BELLINI trial in multiple myeloma patients, contradicting the superior responses and progression-free survival results. The reasons of the high death rate were severe infectious complications within the venetoclax arm. The BELLINI trial was set on hold because of these findings.

In AL 50–60% of patients harbor translocation t(11;14) [39]. Preliminary results from case reports suggest high effectiveness of venetoclax in relapsed AL. In a systemic retrospective multicenter analysis of 24 patients with relapsed AL and venetoclax-containing regimens the overall response rate (ORR) was 75% [40]. For the patients with t(11;14) the ORR was 86.7% and in patients negative for t(11;14) the ORR was 55.6%. A phase I trial was initiated for further
investigations of venetoclax in relapsed AL patients. This trial has been suspended because of the severe infectious adverse events within the BELLINI trial in multiple myeloma [38].

**Doxycycline**

Doxycycline is known for its antifibrillar activity [41] in patients with AL and is an effective treatment option. A retrospective study on 76 patients treated upfront with a bortezomib-based regimen revealed a significant better 1-year survival rate in patients treated additionally with doxycycline compared to those without doxycycline. In the doxycycline group, there was an improvement in cardiac function in 60% of the patients, compared with 18% in the control group with a 1-year survival advantage of 82 vs. 53% [42]. Doxycycline is currently under further investigation.

**Green tea compound epigallactocatechin-3-gallate**

The green tea compound epigallactocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) is suspected to have the ability to disrupt amyloid fibril formations. These data are collected in preclinical trials. Within the clinical setting one randomized trial (TAME-AL, operated in Germany) did not show any benefit of EGCG on reducing left ventricular wall thickness over placebo [43].

**Autologous blood stem cell transplant (ASCT)**

ASCT is one of the most effective options in AL treatment. The main problem up to now is, that only 25–30% of patients diagnosed with AL are eligible for this procedure. ASCT in AL is described by Priv. Doz. Dr. Alexandra Böhm in this issue.

**Organ transplantation**

**Heart transplantation in AL**

The overall survival of AL patients is mainly determined by the severity of cardiac involvement. With extensive cardiac involvement, early death between 3–12 months after diagnosis is frequent. The serious problems within these group of frail patients are based on the life-threatening cardiac amyloid deposits and on severe side effects of the treatment itself. If cardiac involvement is so severe that starting of any therapy is impossible, heart transplantation can be an option [44].

Heart transplantation (HTX) should be offered to strictly selected patients and in specialized centers. A main criterion is that only a single organ should be affected. Age and comorbidities are two main decisive arguments within decision-making. If possible, induction treatment should be administered before the HTX procedure in order to reduce or eliminate the “toxic” paraprotein. The main purpose of the HTX is to improve the severe impaired cardiac function to allow further AL therapy and ASCT. This implies ASCT after HTX [44]. At present it is undefined when consecutive ASCT should be performed after HTX. This concept is highly demanding and should be applied in specialized centers.

**Kidney transplantation**

Organ transplantation in particular kidney transplantation (NTX) is a burning question in AL and in MGRS. In AL kidney involvement is documented in around 70%. In 15–30% of the patients terminal kidney failure occurs during the course of disease independent of the response to treatment [45].

New therapeutic options have significantly improved response rates and overall survival times. Recently, a single center specialized in AL care since >30 years, described overall survival rates of 10.5 years after NTX and a 5-year transplant survival rate of 81% [46]. These data correspond to the outcome of NTX patients with other underlying kidney-specific diseases. NTX is therefore an adequate therapy for AL patients requiring dialysis. Especially since AL patients with chronic hemodialysis (CHD) report poorer life-quality and shorter life expectancy. In addition, during an observation period of >7 years, no increased incidence of lymphoproliferative diseases or other malignancies was found. An AL relapse after NTX can be treated safely and successfully.

Therefore AL patients should not be excluded from organ transplantsations. If the clonal directed therapy (e.g. also ASCT) has achieved a good response or a complete remission contact should be made with a specialized center to clarify the possibility of NTX [47].

In MGRS clonal directed therapy and hematologic response should clearly precede NTX because recurrence of disease is unavoidable if the “toxic” paraprotein is not sufficient eradicated.

**Summary**

Systemic AL and MGRS are complex multisystem diseases. For the final and unambiguous diagnosis tissue specimen and intensive multimodal pathological processing is imperative. The implementation of risk-adapted therapy regimens requires high levels experience and close interdisciplinary cooperation. Due to the rarity of the diseases and the complex modalities of organ damage, the patients with AL and MGRS should treated within a highly trained and specialized center. Currently in the U.S. the FDA approved daratumumab as the first drug for AL. No approved therapy is available in Europe.
Take home message

- Awareness of AL and MGRS should be intensified and broadened.
- Early diagnoses and treatment are of major impact regarding improvement of organ function and overall survival in AL and MGRS.
- Treatment should be given in a risk-adapted manner.
- The management of treatment side effect and diseases related problems is complex and needs intensive interdisciplinary cooperation.
- Daratumumab is highly effective and well tolerated.
- Daratumumab is the first and only drug approved up to now (FDA approved since January 15, 2021).
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