BACKGROUND: Local recurrence is a significant risk after anal squamous cell carcinoma.

OBJECTIVES: This study aimed to examine the occurrence of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions and local recurrence after anal cancer at surveillance with high-resolution anoscopy.

DESIGN: This is a retrospective observational study.

SETTING: This study was conducted at an anogenital neoplasia referral center.

PATIENTS: There were 76 anal/perianal cancers from 1998 to 2018. Sixty-three patients were eligible and 3 were excluded, for a total of 60 patients; 35 of 60 (58%) patients were male.

INTERVENTION: High-resolution anoscopy after chemoradiation or excision only for anal squamous cell carcinoma was performed.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: The primary outcomes measured were local recurrence and high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion detection rates.

RESULTS: Sixty patients, 27% HIV positive, underwent surveillance over a median 42 (range 7–240) months of follow-up. Seven had had a prior local recurrence at study entry so were analyzed separately. Thirty of 53 underwent chemoradiation (57%) and 23 of 53 underwent excision alone (43%); 33 had perianal cancer and 20 had anal cancer. Ten of 30 of the chemoradiation group had had stage 1 (33%) disease in comparison with 22 of 23 of the excision only group (96%, \( p < 0.001 \)).

OUTCOMES: High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions were detected in 4 of 30 (13%) patients after chemoradiation and in 17 of 23 (74%) patients after excision only \( (p < 0.001) \). Twenty of 21 (95%) high-grade lesions were treated with ablation. Six of 7 (86%) patients with prior local recurrence had high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions over a median of 21 months follow-up. One local recurrence (T1N0M0) occurred during surveillance after primary chemoradiation (0.56/1000 person-months), none occurred after excision only, and 2 of 7 with prior local recurrence developed further local recurrence (6.86/1000 person-months). All 3 local recurrences occurred after treatment of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions. There were no...
VIGILANCIA POR ANOSCOPIA DE ALTA RESOLUCIÓN EN CASOS DE CARCINOMA ANAL A CÉLULAS ESCAMOSAS: LA DETECCIÓN Y TRATAMIENTO DE UNA LESIÓN INTRAEPITELIAL ESCAMOSA DE ALTO GRADO (HSIL) PUEDE INFLUIR EN LA RECURRENCIA LOCAL

ANTECEDENTES: La recurrencia local tiene un riesgo significativo después del carcinoma anal a células escamosas.

OBJETIVO: Evaluar la aparición de lesiones intraepiteliales escamosas de alto grado (HSIL) y su recurrencia local durante la vigilancia con anoscopy de alta resolución en casos de cancer anal.

DISEÑO: Estudio observacional retrospectivo.

AJUSTE: Centro de referencia de neoplasia anogenital.

PACIENTES: Se diagnosticaron 76 cánceres anales / perianales entre 1998 y 2018. Un total de 63 pacientes fueron elegidos, 3 excluidos (n = 60), 35/60 (58%) fueron varones.

INTERVENCIÓN: Anoscopía de alta resolución después de la quimio-radioterapia, o solo excisión en casos de carcinoma anal a células escamosas.

PRINCIPALES MEDIDAS DE RESULTADO: Recurrencia local primaria y tasas de detección de lesión intraepitelial escamosa de alto grado.

RESULTADOS: Sesenta pacientes, 27% VIH positivos, fueron sometidos a vigilancia durante una mediana de 42 (rango 7–240) meses de seguimiento. Siete habían tenido una recurrencia local antes de ser incluidos en el estudio, por lo que se analizaron por separado. Tréinta y tres sometieron a quimio-radioterapia (57%) y 23/53 solo a excisión (43%). 33 eran lesiones perianales, 20 de canal anal. 10/30 del grupo de quimio-radioterapia se encontraban en Fase 1 (33%) comparados con 22/23 del grupo de excisión (96%, p < 0.001).

RESULTADOS: Se detectaron lesiones intraepiteliales escamosas de alto grado en 4/30 (13%) después de la quimio-radioterapia, y en 17/23 (74%) solo después de la excisión (p < 0.001). 20/21 (95%) lesiones de alto grado fueron tratadas con ablación. Seis de siete (86%) con recurrencia local previa tenían lesiones intraepiteliales escamosas de alto grado durante una mediana de seguimiento de 21 meses. Se produjo una recurrencia local (T1N0M0) durante la vigilancia después de la quimio-radioterapia primaria (0.56/1000 personas-meses), ninguna después de la excisión sola y 2/7 con recurrencia local previa desarrollaron una recurrencia local adicional (6.86/1000 personas-meses). Las 3 recidivas locales ocurrieron después del tratamiento de las lesiones intraepiteliales escamosas de alto grado. No hubieron metástasis, excisiones abdominoperineales u muertes por carcinoma anal a células escamosas.

LIMITACIONES: Datos retrospectivos.

CONCLUSIONES: Las lesiones intraepiteliales escamosas de alto grado en casos de carcinoma escamosocelular anal son más comunes después de la excisión sola que después de la quimio-radioterapia. La recurrencia local es baja en este grupo de vigilancia de anoscopy de alta resolución en el que se retiró la enfermedad intraepitelial escamosa de alto grado. La recurrencia de pequeños cánceres perianales parece segura; sin embargo, un subconjunto de pacientes tiene un riesgo excesivo. Consulte Video Resumen en http://links.lww.com/DCR/B285. (Traducción—Dr. Xavier Delgadillo)
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T

reatment for anal squamous cell carcinoma (ASCC) can offer long-term survival. Primary chemoradiation (CRT) is the mainstay, although T1 (<2 cm) fully excised perianal cancers may be treated with excision only (EO). Anal squamous cell carcinoma is known to arise due to human papillomavirus and within a background of anal intraepithelial neoplasia, now termed high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL)1-2; it is considered potentially precancerous. For fully excised perianal T1 ASCCs with ≥1-mm margins, EO is acceptable if there is no regional spread.3 Serendipitous excision of anal canal (AC) T1 ASCC can occur with some evidence of noninferior outcomes to CRT.4 Nonetheless, EO remains controversial5 and a prospective UK study is underway.6 Patients with inadequate excision margins and those with greater than stage T1N0 disease are treated with adjuvant CRT. Local recurrence (LR) can occur after successful ASCC treatment,4 with the LR rate after CRT being 9.89/1000 person-months.8,9 However, this figure dates from 2005, and radiotherapy has improved since then.10 Local recurrence after ASCC treatment results in morbid-
ity and potential mortality; long-term survival can require salvage abdominoperineal excision of the anorectum with permanent stoma.

United Kingdom guidance on follow-up after ASCC treatment does not recommend high-resolution anoscopy (HRA) as part of follow-up. However, London regional guidelines recommend HRA in addition to clinical surveillance to detect LR (Table 1).1 A recent UK article suggested that HRA to detect and treat HSIL might reduce the LR rate after ASCC.

High-resolution anoscopy involves using a colposcope to magnify (up to ×30) and inspect the perianal and intranal epithelium, with the application of 5% acetic acid, and Lugol iodine if required, to identify HSIL and confirm with biopsy under local anesthetic.2 It is the standard for the detection of AC/perianal HSIL.3 Units worldwide treat HSIL with topical or ablative surgical interventions to prevent the progression to ASCC.4–10 A randomized controlled trial of anal HSIL treatment is in progress.11 The pathophysiology of HSIL in LR after ASCC is not currently well understood, and the role of HRA in reducing LR after ASCC treatment is not yet established.3,12 The objectives of this study are to examine the HSIL detection and LR rates in a post-ASCC surveillance program at a national HRA referral center for the detection and treatment of HSIL.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The prospectively collected database of a tertiary referral anal neoplasia unit receiving patients mainly from the region, but also from across the country, was interrogated for consecutive patients undergoing HRA surveillance after ASCC from 1998 to 2018. Six months follow-up from treatment completion, being either the end of CRT12 or definitive EO surgery, was the minimum for inclusion in the study. There was a variation in how long after ASCC patients were referred to our center for HRA surveillance: the surveillance data are from patients followed from 2004 onward, but with cancers diagnosed from 1998 onward.

Anal squamous cell carcinoma treatment before HRA-led surveillance followed 1 of 2 pathways: CRT or EO with adequate margins. Cases with excision followed by adjuvant CRT are included in the CRT group (n = 6, data not shown). Outcomes were compared between the CRT and EO groups. Excision only lesions were excised with treatment of HSIL (if within our unit); other cases were referred from external units/hospitals for HRA follow-up at variable times after EO/CRT. Cases that crossed the anal verge were considered perianal if the operation could be completed without an anal speculum. The perianus was defined as the circumferential skin up to 5 cm from the anal verge, but we have modified this definition to include the anal margin but not the vulvovaginal fourchette. Cases from external units were categorized as anal/perianal by the referring surgeon. The term "anal margin" was considered within the perianus. A small number of patients was referred for HRA surveillance only after they had undergone treatment for local recurrence(s) for an already treated (EO, CRT) primary ASCC. These were treated in the same manner within the program, but their outcome is considered separately.

If HSIL was suspected during surveillance, HRA-guided biopsies were taken. Targeted HSIL treatment was offered with ablation (laser under local or general anesthetic). High-resolution anoscopy surveillance was resumed thereafter.

Data collected included demographics, any immunosuppression, and previous anogenital cancers; index ASCC stage, treatment, date of diagnosis; subsequent diagnoses and treatments of anal HSIL and LR; regional/distant recurrence; death, cause of death, and survival; and length of follow-up.

The follow-up period is from the end of ASCC treatment until the most recent HRA. Data were censored when a patient withdrew from HRA surveillance, stopped attending, developed LR, or died (whichever happened first). For patients who left the program, data up to the most recent HRA are included.

Primary outcomes were rate of LR and time to first HSIL detection; secondary outcomes were number of HSIL treatments, death from any cause, ASCC-specific death, and lymph node metastases. Local recurrence was defined as any invasive cancer within the AC or perianus irrespective of the exact location of the primary tumor. This was due to the absence of accurate documentation regarding the exact site of the original ASCC in patients referred from other units.

Patients were excluded who did not attend at least 1 surveillance HRA 6 months after ASCC treatment; who had nonsquamous histology; and who experienced failure of primary CRT, defined as persistent invasive ASCC at or within 6 months of CRT.12

**TABLE 1. London regional guidelines for HRA-led surveillance after anal squamous cell cancer**

| Follow-up modality          | First 2 years | Thereafter                                      |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Clinical examination and HRA| 3–4 mo        | 6 mo until 5 y, then annual                     |
| PET scan                   | 6 mo ± 3 mo   | Only if concern for recurrence                  |
| MRI scan                   | 6 mo          | 2 further scans until 3 y, then only if concern for recurrence |
| CT scan chest, abdomen, pelvis| 12 mo         | 1 further scan at 3 y, then only if concern for recurrence |

HRA = high-resolution anoscopy.
**Ethics**
Permission was granted from the local ethics board reference: 17/SC/0487.

**Statistics**
Outcome frequencies were compared between the EO and the CRT groups. Fisher exact 2-tailed test was used for comparison of categorical nominal values considering \( p \leq 0.05 \) as significant; 2-sample \( t \) test and Wilcoxon rank sum test were used for continuous data (parametric and nonparametric). Time to HSIL diagnosis was analyzed using Kaplan-Meier estimates with the log-rank test for significance between curves. Data were analyzed using SPSS (IBM, SPSS Software, version 19) and R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org/).

**RESULTS**

**Cohort Characteristics**
Seventy-six cases of ASCC diagnosed between June 1998 and February 2018 were assessed for inclusion in the HRA surveillance program. Three (4%) were excluded because of nonsquamous histology and 10 (13%) because of persistent symptomatic primary tumor still present ≤6 months after CRT. Thus, 63 (83%) patients were identified as suitable for the program. Of these, 2 refused HRA surveillance and were followed up by their local team. Therefore, 61 of 63 (97%) patients underwent at least 1 HRA surveillance visit. One of 61 was found to have an asymptomatic persistent ASCC at initial HRA assessment 4 months after CRT. Thus, 63 (83%) patients were identified as suitable for the program. Of these, 2 refused HRA surveillance and were followed up by their local team. Therefore, 61 of 63 (97%) patients underwent at least 1 HRA surveillance visit. One of 61 was found to have an asymptomatic persistent ASCC at initial HRA assessment 4 months after CRT and was sent for palliative chemotherapy. Thus, 60 patients were entered in the surveillance program (Fig. 1).

Seven patients were found to already have had a LR before the commencement of surveillance. These patients were thought likely to have a different risk of LR and are considered separately within this article.

Of the 53 remaining patients, 31 (58%) were men, of whom 18 (58%) identified as men who have sex with men. Thirteen of 22 women (59%) had diagnoses of HSIL/cancer at other lower anogenital tract sites either before or after ASCC diagnosis (9 HSIL, 4 cancer; Table 2). Fifteen of 53 (28%) patients were HIV positive, and 14 were otherwise immunocompromised. Data on immune status were missing in 10 presumed immunocompetent patients.

Thirty-three of 53 (62%) of the cancers were located in the perianus. Twenty-one of 33 (64%) of perianal lesions were treated with EO. All AC lesions were treated with CRT apart from 2 (10%) that were in the EO group, being fully excised ASCCs within a hemorrhoid specimen.

**Outcomes of Surveillance Program**
Of 30 patients who underwent chemoradiotherapy (including 1 who received radiotherapy alone), 4 (13%) were found to have at least 1 occurrence of anal HSIL on subsequent HRA during a median 46-month follow-up. The patient with radiotherapy alone was 1 of those subsequently found to have HSIL but did not develop LR. Seventeen (74%) of 23 patients in the EO group developed HSIL over a median of 39 months of HRA follow-up (Table 3). Time to first HSIL was significantly different by log rank test (Fig. 2).

The LR rate within the CRT group was 0.56/1000 person-months. This represented 1 perianal case (1/30, 3%, 46 months median follow-up), with LR 39 months after CRT for an unusual multifocal invasive perianal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion was first detected in the perianus at 15 months after CRT but resolved. High-resolution anoscopy was negative for HSIL until 28 months, and HSIL was CO₂ laser-ablated in the right posterior and left anterior perianus at 30 months; biopsies were low grade at 35 months, but a right posterior perianal ulcer at 39 months contained ASCC (0.6-mm depth invasion). Excision showed no further invasive disease. The only risk factors were smoking and obesity (BMI ≥ 43). Difficulties included large surface area, multiple erythematous patches postradiotherapy, multiple warts, and patient tolerance of both local and general anesthetic because of size. A staged program of laser ablation of the entire perianus has been performed since. AC HSIL has also been ablated. There has been no further LR in 23 months of subsequent follow-up. There was no LR in the EO group.

High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion was treated in 20 of 21 (95%) cases in the 53 patients with no prior LR. One (4%) patient underwent excision; the other 19 (85%) had laser ablation. One case resolved spontaneously. All 3 LR cases occurred after detection and treatment of HSIL by HRA.

To date, 2 patients have died within the HRA surveillance program (3.3%). Neither death was due to ASCC; one patient died of a midgut neuroendocrine tumor, and 1 patient with innate immunosuppression died of vaginal SCC.

There were no distant metastases and no deaths from ASCC in the HRA surveillance program. One further patient, still alive, was diagnosed with primary lung cancer during ASCC follow-up. No patient has undergone abdominoperineal excision.

**Patients With Prior Local Recurrence**
Seven patients had had a prior LR before entry into HRA surveillance. These were analyzed separately because of a higher risk of further LR.

Three of the 7 had had prior CRT treatment and excision of an LR before study entry. All 3 had further HSIL, and of these, one had a second LR treated with excision 8 months later in an adjacent site (Table 4).
One patient who was referred for surveillance after EO of an AC ASCC was found to have LR at first HRA and was entered into surveillance after subsequent CRT. This patient had no further HSIL.

Of the 3 with no previous CRT, one had had EO of a primary ASCC, underwent laser ablation for a diffuse T2 perianal recurrence 4 years later (considered unfit for CRT because of comorbidities), and then developed LR in the AC after 21 months and underwent CRT. The 2 others had an excision for T1 SCC with a further excision for LR before study entry.

In our surveillance program, 6 of the 7 patients had a mean of 2.5 HSIL episodes (1–4). All patients were treated

---

**FIGURE 1.** Flow chart for HRA after anal SCC. CRT = chemoradiation; EO = excision only; F/u = follow-up; HRA = high-resolution anoscopy; HSIL = high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LR = local recurrence; LSIL = low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; pm = person-month; SCC = squamous cell carcinoma; Tx = treatment.
with ablation. In 2 patients, there was a delay to treatment, leading to 9 months of delay in each case. The first, due to patient nonattendance, did not impact outcome. The second, due to patient treatment for vulval cancer at another hospital, may have contributed to the LR.

All 7 patients were still alive with no lymph node metastases or further LR at the end of the study. The rate of cancer in this group was 6.86/1000 person-months calculated from the time of most recent LR treatment before study entry until either the date of LR or their most recent HRA to December 2018. Follow-up was a median of 21 months (range 8–121).

**DISCUSSION**

High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion is recognized as the likely precursor lesion for ASCC, and HRA is the standard technique for its detection.3,13–16 However, little is known about the use of HRA in the post-ASCC setting, and no international standards exist for its use.

Because HSIL is potentially precancerous, it is likely that significant areas of concomitant HSIL were present in the patients with cancer and regressed or responded to CRT. Decreased HSIL occurrence following CRT supports this assumption. Furthermore, given the number of HSILs that developed in the EO group, which in some19 but not all20 studies is associated with a higher rate of LR, there may be a benefit from HRA and ablation influencing the relatively low LR rate.21

Interestingly, the CRT group originally had significantly worse prognosis, higher-stage ASCCs than the EO group, but developed fewer HSILs.

Excision alone of small anal/perianal cancers has had variable success,19,20,22,23 but the use of EO is rising.5 A population study of EO vs CRT showed reduced survival for EO after 80 months, despite the early stage; however, LR was not measured.22

A further study found a higher LR rate for EO vs CRT,23 with 37% (of 52) EO cases recurring locoregionally within a 41-month median follow-up, which is a much higher rate than we observed. High-resolution anoscopy was not used, and HSIL was neither detected nor treated. Higher HSIL has previously been noted after the excision of 5 T1 cancers without vs 12 with adjuvant CRT.24

This evidence and our own study provide support for EO of <2 cm diameter node-negative lesions. Whether

---

**TABLE 2.** Demographics and risk factors: follow-up after primary ASCC treatment

| Demographics                      | Chemoradiationa | Excision only  | Overall  | p value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|---------|
| Number                            | 30              | 23            | 53       | 0.19    |
| Mean age, y (range)               | 55 (39–72)      | 51 (27–75)    | 53       | 0.57    |
| Sex M:F                           | 19:11           | 12:11         | 31:22    |         |
| MSM                               | 12              | 6             | 18       | 0.22    |
| Other genital HSIL/cancer (women) | 4 HSIL, 1 cancer as well as HSIL | 5 HSIL, 3 cancers as well as HSIL | 9 HSIL, 4 cancers as well as HSIL | 0.328 (HSIL) |
| Persons living with HIV (all men), n (%) | 10 (33) | 5 (22) | 15 (28) | 0.28 |
| Initial AJCC site and stage       |                 |               |          |         |
| Site of anal cancer: anal vs perianal | 18:12       | 2:21          | 20:33 (38% AC) | 0.0006 |
| Stage unknown, n (%)              | 1 (3)           | 1/53 (2)      |          |         |
| Stage 1, n (% total)              | 10 (33)         | 22 (96)       | 32/53 (60) | <0.0001 |
| Stage 2, n (% total)              | 8 (27)          | 1 (4)         | 9/53 (17) | 0.034 |
| Stage 3 or 4, n (% total)         | 11 (37)         | 0             | 11/53 (21) | 0.001 |

**AC** = anal canal; **AJCC** = American Joint Committee on Cancer; **ASCC** = anal squamous cell carcinoma; **EO** = excision only; **HRA** = high-resolution anoscopy; **HSIL** = high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; **MSM** = men who have sex with men.

aOne patient radiotherapy only.

bOther conditions causing immune compromise included liver transplant, connective tissue disease, rheumatoid arthritis, collagenous colitis, Kaposi sarcoma, diabetes mellitus, Crohn’s disease, Churg-Strauss syndrome, renal transplant, lymphoma, severe combined immune deficiency, and innate CD4 lymphopenia.

---

**TABLE 3.** Outcome of surveillance: follow-up after primary ASCC treatment

| Outcome                          | Chemoradiation (n = 30) | Excision only (n = 23) | Overall (n = 53) | p value     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Median follow-up since cancer treatment, months (range) | 45.6 (8–240) | 38.6 (7–193) | 41.8 (7–240) | 0.484       |
| HSIL since cancer treatment, n (%) | 4 (13)      | 17 (74)                | 21/53 (40)       | 0.00001 log rank test, time to first HSIL (Fig. 2) |
| Treatment of HSIL, n (%)         | 4/4 (100)     | 16/17 (94)             | 20/21 (95)       | 1.0         |
| Local recurrence during HRA, n (%) | 1 (3)       | 0                      | 1/53 (2)         | 1.0         |

**ASCC** = anal squamous cell carcinoma; **EO** = excision only; **HRA** = high-resolution anoscopy; **HSIL** = high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; **p-m** = person-months.

a1 resolved spontaneously.
HRA with close follow-up and ablation of HSIL may reverse the concerning recurrence rates in EO cases in some articles requires further study in clinical trials, but the data from our study are encouraging.

The high number of early-stage and perianal cancers in this cohort was because patients were referred for HRA surveillance after excision of small ASCC, which is primarily a perianal treatment modality, and because of our detection of unexpected small invasive ASCCs with HRA after referrals for HSIL treatment. In a number of cases, LR was found at first HRA after having been missed by the referring clinician who had not had HRA available. Finding early SCCs is a useful function of HRA in addition to identifying HSIL and is a further reason to consider HRA as part of post-ASCC surveillance.

The overall LR rate of 0.91/1000 person-months is low compared to the expected LR rate from a 2005 UK article of 9.89/1000 person-months calculated by Goon et al. They contrasted this with their own LR rate of 1.98/1000 person-months in a pilot study of HRA and HSIL treatment after CRT for ASCC in 19 HIV-negative patients over a median of 19 months follow-up. Neither HSIL incidence nor treatment was reported. Their LR rate represented a 5-fold improvement from the 2005 data, albeit those data were from an era before modern radiotherapy techniques that have reduced LR rates. Randomized controlled trial evidence often combines regional and local recurrence and is quoted as 25% at 5 years. The overall LR rate of 0.91/1000 person-months in our cohort of 60 patients over 42 months follow-up represents a further 2-fold reduction over the Goon et al’s figure, with over half having an immune compromise. This represents a 10-fold improvement from the 2005 LR rate. These improvements in LR rate in both ours and Goon et al’s figure may be due at least in part to HRA surveillance and HSIL treatment. The high-quality prospective cohort study in the PLATO umbrella series of trials, ACT 3, will provide interesting comparative data, because small fully excised cancers are being closely observed but without HRA or HSIL treatment.

Within this study, there was only 1 de novo LR. This case occurred in a middle-aged heterosexual man whose unusual multifocal perianal invasive lesion had resulted in a wide radiotherapy field. His LR arose despite treatment but was excised at a microinvasive stage. This patient has been referred for immunological assessment. The other 2 cases were in patients who had already had a recurrence and appear to have been at higher risk of a second LR. All 3 have had further HSIL on surveillance that has been treated with no distant recurrence and no abdominoperineal excision. These numbers are too small to draw any further specific conclusions; however, the LR cases in this study were all T1N0M0. High-resolution anoscopy may downstage LR even if HSIL treatment does not prevent it. Further studies may determine potentially modifiable factors to improve the prevention of LR, such as closer follow-up intervals every 3 to 4 months or more intensive ablation.

**FIGURE 2.** Time to first HSIL diagnosis by type of ASCC treatment, CRT or excision only of ASCC. ASCC = anal squamous cell carcinoma; CRT = chemoradiation; HSIL = high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion. Log rank test \( p < 0.0001 \).
None of our cohort has required abdominoperineal excision or developed metastatic disease. However, persistent HSIL was aggressively treated. High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion cannot be reliably detected or targeted for treatment without HRA. In patients in whom radiotherapy is not an option because of prior treatment or other contraindications, EO of a perianal recurrence with close follow-up may be a viable alternative to abdominoperineal excision. However, great care needs to be taken in fully informing patients of the risks of this conservative approach.1 Excision of LR is only feasible if it is found early and is excised with >1 mm clear margins, which is easiest to achieve in the perianus.

**Limitations**

This is a retrospective analysis of a prospectively collected data set. The retrospective nature of the data meant a power calculation a priori was not possible, and, hence, the study is likely underpowered. Because of the tertiary referral nature of the practice, our cohort may not be representative. There are notable background differences between groups brought out in the text.

---

**CONCLUSIONS**

Given that radiotherapy is highly morbid with negative effects on quality of life,27 it is desirable to have a safe alternative technique to treat small ASCC. We have presented HRA surveillance with HSIL detection and treatment and the excision of appropriately selected LR. Early-stage diagnosis of LR and a low LR rate were observed.

Because of the high rate of observed HSIL after EO, we propose that HRA-led surveillance is required in these ASCCs with a good prognosis. More surgical units should consider training in HRA to detect and treat HSIL after ASCC treatment.

This is the largest study, to our knowledge, of patients after ASCC followed up with HRA. Further prospective studies of HRA in this population are needed to understand the optimal follow-up after CRT and EO, and to

---

**TABLE 4. Patients with prior LR of ASCC at entry into HRA surveillance program**

| Patients with prior LR of ASCC | Successful excision of LR after primary CRT | Excision only, LR, CRT | Excision only, excision of LR, then sent for surveillance |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Total number of patients      | 3                                          | 1                      | 3                                                        |
| Further LR during surveillance | 1                                          | 0                      | 1                                                        |

Details

| Original ASCC: T2 AC 10 y before referral | Risk factors: smoker; obesity | Age: 50s. | First LR: at referral for HRA: excision 1.1-mm depth 10-mm ASCC perianal “4 o’clock” |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| HSIL treatment since:                   |                                 |           |                                                          |
| a) Ablation perianal HSIL at excision   |                                 |           |                                                          |
| b) Five months later AC ablation HSIL   |                                 |           |                                                          |
| Biopsy perianal left lateral: AIN2      |                                 |           |                                                          |
| Second LR: 2 mo later, left lateral perianal 3-mm horizontal, 1.5-mm depth. |
| Treatment: excision                     |                                 |           |                                                          |
| Outcome: Since then HSIL laser ablation; no ASCC recurrence. |                                 |           |                                                          |
| No further LR during surveillance       | 2                              | 1         | 2                                                        |

Details

| Original ASCC: CRT LR: at 6 and 1.5 y respectively | Major excision with plastic surgical reconstruction |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Treatment: excision only                           | Entered into program:                                 |
| Outcome: mean 3.5 HSILs treated                    | Follow-up: 121 and 74 mo                              |

| Original ASCC: excision of anterior anorectal junction ASCC LR: first HRA: anal margin lesion referred for GA biopsy excision; LR also at anterior anorectal junction diagnosed. |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Treatment: CRT                                     | Entered into program:                                 |
| Outcome: no further HSIL 8 mo follow-up            |                                                       |

| Original ASCC: excision LR: 5 and 2 y after excision respectively | Treatment: further excision with clear margins |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Outcome: both 1 HSIL treated                                      | Follow-up: 10 and 48 mo                           |

AC = anal canal; AIN = anal intraepithelial neoplasia; ASCC = anal squamous cell carcinoma; CRT = chemoradiation; HRA = high-resolution anoscopy; HSIL = high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LR = local recurrence.
evaluate the impact of HSIL treatment on LR, and ultimately survival.
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