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We investigate when the quantum correlations of a bipartite system, under the influence of environments with memory, are not reproducible with certainty by a classical local hidden variable model. To this purpose, we compare the dynamics of a Bell inequality with that of entanglement, as measured by concurrence. We find time regions when Bell inequality is not violated even in correspondence to high values of concurrence (up to \( \approx 0.8 \)). We also suggest that these results may be observed by adopting a modification of a recent experimental optical setup. These findings indicate that even highly entangled systems cannot be exploited with certainty in contexts where the non classical reproducibility of quantum correlations is required.
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In contexts such as quantum computation and quantum information, working protocols require for their implementation systems that present the peculiar quantum correlations characterized by entanglement \( \{1,2\} \). However, unavoidable interaction of realistic systems with their environment gives rise to an increase of mixedness of the state of the systems and to a decrease of the degree of entanglement with time. Entanglement can even disappear completely at finite time (entanglement sudden death) \( \{3\} \). This motivates the interest in considering conditions and methods that maintain the systems entangled as long as possible. Among the conditions that effectively increase the entanglement usefulness time there are the use of non-Markovian environments, where entanglement revivals are possible \( \{4,3\} \), of quantum Zeno effect \( \{6\} \), or of structured environments, which can give rise to entanglement trapping \( \{7,8\} \).

It has been however shown that there exist entangled bipartite mixed states whose correlations can be reproduced by a local hidden variable model, that is by classical systems \( \{9\} \), although they may still display “some hidden non-locality” \( \{10\} \). This indicates that, for mixed states, which are in practice the ones always encountered, a given value of entanglement by itself does not imply that their correlations cannot be classically reproduced with certainty. Hereafter, we refer to quantum correlations that are certainly non reproducible by a classical local model as inherently nonlocal correlations (INLCs).

Because of the necessity of INLCs for device-independent and security-proof quantum key distribution protocols \( \{11,12\} \) and their relevance for quantum computation, it appears crucial to have indicators of their presence. One of such indicators is obviously given, for bipartite systems, by a Bell function and the presence of INLCs unambiguously identified by it violating a Bell inequality \( \{13,14\} \). From another side, the question is to determine when quantum traits, linked to entanglement and suitable for quantum computation, are not classically reproducible with certainty.

The aim of this paper is thus to consider one of the cases where the time when the entanglement is present can be extended and to compare it with the time regions when INLCs are present. The system we shall consider is that of two qubits in environments with memory (non-Markovian). These systems present in general entanglement revivals and one expects that, with an appropriate choice of parameters, also revivals of INLCs occur. Finally, we shall examine if the conditions when this happens can be obtained within the current experimental technologies.

We take two independent non-causally connected qubits, each interacting with a distinct, but identical technologies. Consequently, we shall examine if the conditions when this happens can be obtained within the current experimental technologies.

We investigate when the quantum correlations of a bipartite system, under the influence of environments with memory, are not reproducible with certainty by a classical local hidden variable model. To this purpose, we compare the dynamics of a Bell inequality with that of entanglement, as measured by concurrence. We find time regions when Bell inequality is not violated even in correspondence to high values of concurrence (up to \( \approx 0.8 \)). We also suggest that these results may be observed by adopting a modification of a recent experimental optical setup. These findings indicate that even highly entangled systems cannot be exploited with certainty in contexts where the non classical reproducibility of quantum correlations is required.
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dynamics, whose exact solution is available when the reservoir is at zero temperature and has a memory (non-Markovian) \cite{4}. In this case, the single qubit-reservoir evolution is represented by the quantum map, known as amplitude decay channel \cite{1},

\[
|0_S\rangle \otimes |0_R\rangle \rightarrow |0_S\rangle \otimes |0_R\rangle, \\
|1_S\rangle \otimes |0_R\rangle \rightarrow \sqrt{1 - p}|1_S\rangle \otimes |0_R\rangle + \sqrt{p}|0_S\rangle \otimes |1_R\rangle, 
\]

where $|0_S\rangle, |1_S\rangle$ and $|0_R\rangle, |1_R\rangle$ are respectively the states of the qubit $S$ and of the reservoir $R$, and $p$ is the decay probability given by \cite{14}

\[
p = 1 - e^{-\lambda t} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{d t}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{d} \sin \left( \frac{d t}{2} \right) \right]^2,
\]

with $d = \sqrt{2 \Gamma \lambda - \lambda^2}$. In Eq. 3, $\lambda$ defines the spectral width of the coupling and is connected to the reservoir correlation time $\tau_R$ by $\lambda \approx \tau_R^{-1}$, $\Gamma$ represents the qubit excited state decay rate in the Markovian limit of flat spectrum and is linked to the system (qubit) relaxation time $\tau_S$ by $\Gamma \approx \tau_S^{-1}$. We consider here the strong coupling regime defined by $\lambda/\Gamma < 2$, where the reservoir correlation time is larger than the qubit relaxation time and memory effects become relevant.

The two-qubit dynamics can be analyzed in a simple way for any initial two-qubit state \cite{4}; however, here we shall restrict the analysis to the pure Bell-like initial states $\hat{\rho}_\Phi(0) = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$ and $\hat{\rho}_\Psi(0) = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$, where

\[
|\Phi\rangle = \alpha|01\rangle + e^{i \delta} \beta|10\rangle, \\
|\Psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + e^{i \delta} \beta|11\rangle,
\]

with $\alpha, \beta$ non-negative reals and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$. These states belong to the class of X states whose density matrix $\hat{\rho}_X$, in the standard basis $\mathcal{B} = \{|1\rangle = \{11\}, |2\rangle = \{10\}, |3\rangle = \{01\}, |4\rangle = \{00\}\}$, has an X structure. This structure has been shown to persist during the time evolution due to the map of Eq. 2 and has the form \cite{4}

\[
\hat{\rho}_X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 
\rho_{11}(t) & 0 & 0 & \rho_{14}(t) \\
0 & \rho_{22}(t) & \rho_{23}(t) & 0 \\
0 & \rho_{32}(t) & \rho_{33}(t) & 0 \\
\rho_{41}(t)^* & 0 & 0 & \rho_{44}(t) 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

In our system the initially pure states $\hat{\rho}_\Phi(0) = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$, $\hat{\rho}_\Psi(0) = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ become, during the time evolution, the mixed states $\hat{\rho}_\Phi(t)$, $\hat{\rho}_\Psi(t)$ whose matrix elements as explicit functions of time $t$ and of probability amplitude $\alpha$ have been previously reported \cite{4}.

Since our goal is to find the existence of regions where $B > 2$, it is strategic to maximize, by an appropriate choice of the angles, the Bell function $B(\hat{\rho})$ of Eq. 1. For any state $\hat{\rho}_X(t)$ the standard procedure \cite{15} determines these angles as $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\} = \{(k + k')\pi - \delta_{14} - \delta_{23}, (k - k')\pi - \delta_{14} + \delta_{23}\}$, where $k, k'$ are integer numbers and $\delta_{14}, \delta_{23}$ respectively the initial phases of $\rho_{14}(t), \rho_{23}(t)$, in addition $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_2(t), \theta_3(t)\} = \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \arctan(\frac{\Omega(t)}{\mathcal{P}(t)}), \pi - \theta_2(t)\}$. With this choice the maximum of $B$ is

\[
B_{\text{max}}(\hat{\rho}_X(t)) = 2 \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(t) + \mathcal{Q}(t)},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{P}(t) = \rho_{11}(t) + \rho_{44}(t) - \rho_{22}(t) - \rho_{33}(t), \\
\mathcal{Q}(t) = 2(|\rho_{14}(t)| + |\rho_{23}(t)|).
\]

The expression for $B_{\text{max}}(\hat{\rho}_X(t))$ given by Eq. 6 coincides with the one which would be obtained using the formal Horodecki criterion \cite{17}.

In Fig. 1 the regions where $B_{\text{max}} > 2$ are reported as function of time and of the initial degree of entanglement (represented by $\alpha^2$). The figure clearly displays regions of revivals of CHSH-Bell inequality violations (Bell Islands). These regions correspond to a return, after finite intervals during which $B_{\text{max}} < 2$, of INLCs at space-like distances via local qubit-environment interaction. To quantify entanglement, we adopt the concurrence $C$ \cite{18}, being $C = 0$ for non-entangled states and $C = 1$ for maximally entangled states. The time behavior of $B_{\text{max}}$ and of $C$ is plotted, for the same values of the parameters,
in Fig. 2. The plot evidences the appearance of regions of entanglement \( (C > 0) \) where however the CHSH-Bell inequality is not violated \( (B_{\text{max}} \leq 2) \). In these regions it is therefore not possible to say with certainty that quantum correlations are not reproducible by a classical local model. From the figures one sees that \( B_{\text{max}} \) reaches for the first time the classical threshold value \( B_{\text{max}} = 2 \) rather earlier than the first entanglement disappearance \( (C = 0) \). This behavior confirms what already found previously in comparing the dynamics of Bell inequality violation with entanglement decay for two qubits subjected to local decoherence in the Markovian limit at zero \([19]\) and finite temperature \([20]\). Successively, \( B_{\text{max}} \) remains below 2 until \( C \) reaches again a threshold value. In particular, for the initial state \( |\Phi\rangle \), we find that this threshold value, for any time, is given by \( 2\alpha\beta/(1+\alpha^2/\beta^2) \); the maximum value of this concurrence threshold is 0.8 and it occurs when the state is initially maximally entangled \( (\beta = 1/\sqrt{2}) \), while in the case represented in Fig. 2 its value is \( \approx 0.77 \). For the initial state \( |\Psi\rangle \), the analytical expression of the corresponding threshold value of concurrence is more complex and we do not report it; its maximum value is \( \approx 0.60 \) and it is obtained for \( \beta \approx 0.79 \), while for the case considered in Fig. 2 it takes the value \( \approx 0.59 \). We have then times of high values of concurrence when the CHSH-Bell inequality is not vio-

luted. We also point out that the peaks of \( B_{\text{max}} \) decay much more quickly than the ones of \( C \).

In the analysis above, the revivals of INLCs have been analyzed in terms of \( B_{\text{max}} \), which is obtained by using the time dependent angles \( \theta_1(t) \) and \( \theta_2(t) \). We however will show that, by suitably fixing these angles, the relative difference between the Bell function at fixed angles, \( B_{\text{fix}} \), and the maximum \( B_{\text{max}} \), is in practice negligible when both are above the classical threshold \( B = 2 \). To this aim, we fix the angles so that \( B_{\text{fix}} \) coincides with \( B_{\text{max}} \) at \( t = 0 \). For both the initial states \( \hat{\rho}_\Phi(0) = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| \) and \( \hat{\rho}_\Psi(0) = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \) this happens for \( \theta_2(0) = \pi - \theta_2'(0) = \arctan(2\alpha\beta) \), which leads to

\[
B_{\text{fix}}(\hat{\rho}_\Phi(t)) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2}} [1 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2 - (2 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2)p],
\]

\[
B_{\text{fix}}(\hat{\rho}_\Psi(t)) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2}} [1 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2 - 4\beta^2(\alpha^2 + 1)p + 4\beta^2 p^2].
\]

These two quantities, for maximally entangled initial states, are plotted in Fig. 3 with the corresponding \( B_{\text{max}}(\hat{\rho}_\Phi(t)) \) and \( B_{\text{max}}(\hat{\rho}_\Psi(t)) \) as functions of the decay probability \( p \). By inspection, for both states, \( B_{\text{fix}} \approx B_{\text{max}} \) above the classical threshold \( B = 2 \), the relative error \( \delta_t = (B_{\text{max}} - B_{\text{fix}})/B_{\text{max}} \) falling in the range \( 0 \leq \delta_t \leq 10^{-3} \). A realization of the dynamical Bell test that experimentally reveals “Bell islands” is thus possible, for any practical purpose, just by following \( B_{\text{fix}} \), with the practical advantage of not changing the angles with time.

Conditions for observing the presence of entanglement revivals are within the range of current experimental ca-

FIG. 2: Maximum of Bell function, \( B_{\text{max}} - 2 \) (solid line) and concurrence \( C \) (dashed line) as a function of the dimensionless time \( t/\Gamma \) for initial Bell-like states \( |\Phi\rangle = (|01\rangle + \sqrt{2}|10\rangle)/\sqrt{3} \) (upper plot) and \( |\Psi\rangle = (|00\rangle + \sqrt{2}|11\rangle)/\sqrt{3} \) (lower plot). The value of \( \alpha^2 \) is fixed so that dark intervals of entanglement \( (C = 0) \) occur for the state \( |\Psi\rangle \). The coupling parameter is fixed so that \( \lambda/\Gamma = 10^{-4} \) representing strong non-Markovian effects.

FIG. 3: Comparison between the maximum of Bell function \( B_{\text{max}} \) and the fixed angles function \( B_{\text{fix}} \) in terms of the quantum channel decay probability \( p \), for initial Bell states \( |\Phi\rangle = (|01\rangle \pm |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \) (upper plot) and \( |\Psi\rangle = (|00\rangle \pm |11\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \) (lower plot).
pabilities as encountered in solid state devices or in cavity quantum electrodynamics [4, 21, 22]. Conditions required for observing revivals of INLCs are more extreme and presumably, for the moment, non obtainable within these contexts. However, a recent optical experiment, exploiting a Sagnac-like interferometer that simulates the amplitude decay channel with the decay probability $p$ linked to the rotation angle of an half-wave plate [23], has made possible to follow the two-qubit entanglement dynamics for a form of $p$ corresponding to Markovian reservoirs. As a matter of fact, this experimental procedure is valid for any $p$, independently from the values of parameters, so that by taking the explicit form of Eq. (8) one can in principle obtain, with the same setup, the time evolution of concurrence also for non-Markovian environments, that is also for the dashed curves plotted in Fig. 2.

In this optical context, the qubit states $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$ are coded into $|\Phi_\pm\rangle = (|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ or $|\Psi_\pm\rangle = (|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and the polarizers must correspondingly be set at the standard angles $\{\theta_1, \theta'_1, \theta_2, \theta'_2\} = \{0, 45^\circ, 22.5^\circ, 67.5^\circ\}$ [14]; while the initial states with $\alpha = -\beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$ are $|\Phi_-\rangle = (|HV\rangle - |VH\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ or $|\Psi_-\rangle = (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and the standard angles are $\{\theta_1, \theta'_1, \theta_2, \theta'_2\} = \{0, 135^\circ, 22.5^\circ, 67.5^\circ\}$. The realization of this Bell test would check the dynamical behaviors here determined could be observed by adopting a modification of a recent optical experimental setup. The results here found indicate that the entanglement, even for rather high values of concurrence, cannot be exploited with certainty in those circumstances where the non classical reproducibility of quantum correlations is essential, as, e.g., in quantum cryptography.
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