One leg standing time predicts fracture risk in older women independent of clinical risk factors and BMD
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Abstract
Summary In women of ages 75–80 years, a low one leg standing time (OLST) was associated with an increased risk of incident fractures, independently of bone mineral density and clinical risk factors. OLST contributed substantially to fracture probability, indicating that the test should be considered when evaluating fracture risk in older women.

Introduction Physical function and risk of falls are important risk factors for fracture. A few previous studies have suggested that a one leg standing time (OLST) less than 10 s predicts fracture risk, but the impact of OLST, in addition to known clinical risk factors, for fracture probability is unknown. The aim of this study was to determine the independent contribution of OLST to fracture probability in older women.

Methods The Sahlgrenska University Hospital Prospective Evaluation of Risk of Bone Fractures (SUPERB) is a prospective population-based study of 3028 women 75–80 years old, recruited from the greater Gothenburg area in Sweden. At baseline, information on risk factors was collected using questionnaires, bone mineral density was measured with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and OLST was performed.

Results During a median follow-up of 3.6 years (IQR 1.5 years), X-ray-verified incident fractures were identified using health records. OLST was available in 2405 women. OLST less than 10 s was associated with an increased risk for incident hip fracture (Hazard Ratio (HR) 3.02, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) [1.49–6.10]), major osteoporotic fracture (HR 95% CI 1.76 [1.34–1.46]), and nonvertebral fracture (HR 95% CI 1.61 [1.26–2.05]) in Cox regression analyses adjusted for age, height, and weight. Depending on BMD, the 4-year fracture probability increased by a factor of 1.3 to 1.5 in a 75-year-old woman with a low OLST (<10 s).

Conclusion A low OLST has a substantial impact on fracture probability and should be considered when evaluating fracture risk in older women.
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Introduction

Physical function and balance decline with age and affect the risk of injurious falls [1–3]. Fractures in older women have serious implications both for society and on a personal level, as reflected by patients suffering from pain as well as a worsened quality of life following the fracture [4, 5]. In addition, impaired physical function, reduced opportunity to participate in social activities, isolation, decreased independence in daily life, and a deteriorating quality of life in general are common consequences of fracture [6–8]. Fractures are also associated with an increased risk of mortality, especially hip fractures [9, 10]. A further negative consequence of hip fractures, in particular, is that special accommodation often is required for the remaining lifetime. Living in a residential aged care facility has great impact on the affected person’s autonomy [11–13] as well as on the society [14–16].

The majority of all fractures affect women older than 65 years [17]. The increasing fracture incidence linked to rising age has multifactorial causes such as fall risk, reduced physical performance, sarcopenia, bone fragility, and general frailty [18–20]. Immobility and previous falls per se are risk factors for future fractures [21]. The risk of hip fracture increases nearly 3 times with every standard deviation decrease in bone mineral density (BMD) in the femoral neck [22]. However, BMD alone as a fracture predictor has low sensitivity. Therefore, fracture risk calculators which take into account both BMD and clinical risk factors have been developed, of which FRAX® is the most widespread and most thoroughly validated [23].

The one leg standing time (OLST) test measures balance and physical performance, is a strong predictor of falls [24–27], has been used to identify frail older individuals, and has in various studies been documented with good test-retest reproducibility as well as acceptable reliability [28]. A low OLST (<10 s) has been associated with increased risk of fracture in previous studies, but these studies have either been small, with few hip fracture outcomes [29, 30] or lacked adjustment for other commonly used risk factors, such as those included in FRAX [29]. Furthermore, the minimal time associated with a risk increase, varies among different studies, implying that the best cut-off time for defining OLST in relation to risk for fractures has not yet been defined [31].

The aim of the present study was to study the relationship between OLST and risk of nonvertebral fracture, major osteoporotic fracture, and hip fracture, and to investigate how OLST contributes to fracture probabilities in addition to BMD and all clinical risk factors used in FRAX.

Materials and methods

Subjects

The Sahlgrenska University Hospital Prospective Evaluation of Risk of Bone Fractures (SUPERB) is a prospective population-based study, carried out in the greater Gothenburg area. Women were chosen randomly from the Swedish national population register and asked to participate through invitation letters. In total, 6832 women were initially contacted, of which 436 (6.4%) were excluded for reasons including bilateral hip replacement, inability to communicate in Swedish, or not being able to walk with or without a walking aid. Of all women contacted who met the inclusion criteria, 3368 (52.6%) declined to participate, resulting in an inclusion rate of 47.4% [32]. Thus, the final SUPERB study cohort comprised 3028 women, 75–80 years old. All subjects signed an informed consent, prior to participation and the study has been approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority. The criteria for invitation to the study center, Department of Geriatrics, Sahlgrenska University Hospital Mölndal, Sweden, were as follows: (1) acceptance of the invitation sent by letter and giving a positive response of the follow-up telephone call, (2) availability to attend a clinic visit, (3) being ambulatory, (4) being able to understand Swedish, (5) being a woman between the age of 75 and 80.

Anthropometrics and OLST

A wall-mounted calibrated stadiometer was used to measure body height. Balance was measured by the clinical balance test OLST [33]. The OLST was performed with the tested participant not wearing shoes, standing on one leg with the other leg bending backwards at the knee, with arms crossed over the chest, and with eyes open. After a practice session, the test was performed twice for each leg. The test was stopped if the elevated leg touched the floor, if the position of the arms was changed, or if the foot standing on changed position. The average of the best result for either leg was used as the exposure. In total, 623 women declined to perform the test, resulting in an available OLST in 2405 women.

Questionnaires

The questionnaires had two parts, comprising a self-completed form and a form completed in discussion with the research nurse. The questionnaire covered eight different domains, including medical and fracture history, use of medication, current smoking, occurrence of falls in the last 12 months, alcohol consumption, and parental hip fracture. Information regarding physical activity and self-reported quality of life were collected using the 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12), yielding a physical (PCS) and mental
summary (MSC) score [34]. Physical activity habits were investigated with the Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly (PASE) [35] survey.

**Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry measures**

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was used for assessment of bone mineral density (BMD) and body composition. The locations of BMD measurements were the lumbar spine (L1–L4), the femoral neck, and the total hip. A total body scan was used in order to estimate the amount of fat and lean body mass. The coefficients of variation (CVs), at our facility, were 0.7% for lumbar spine BMD, 0.8% for total hip BMD, and 1.3% for femoral neck BMD, for women aged 75–80. The DXA machine used for assessment was a Hologic Discovery A device (Hologic, Waltham, MA, USA).

**Incident fracture assessment**

A regional digital X-ray archive including all the 49 municipalities in the Västra Götaland region surrounding Gothenburg was used to retrieve the images and/or X-ray reports. All fractures were recorded and were subsequently categorized as major osteoporotic fracture (MOF), nonvertebral fracture, and hip fracture. MOF comprised fractures of the spine, hip, forearm, and proximal humerus. Skull, face, hand, and foot fractures were excluded from nonvertebral fractures. All the radiology reports were reviewed by research nurses and a physician. This assessment was carried out from the baseline exam (March 2013 to April 2016) until May 24, 2018. Identified radiographs without an available radiology report or a report without a specified fracture diagnosis were reviewed by an experienced orthopedic surgeon.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were conducted via SPSS Statistics version 24 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) or STATA 16.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). For continuous variables, independent samples t-tests were used to examine differences between groups. $\chi^2$ and Fisher’s exact tests were used for dichotomous variables. The association between OLST as a continuous variable and the risk of fracture was examined using an extension of the Poisson regression model [36, 37] in the whole cohort. The observation period of each participant was divided in intervals of 1 month. The first fracture per person was counted for each relevant outcome. Covariates included current age and time since start of follow-up. A spline Poisson regression model was fitted using cohort-specific knots at the 10th, 50th, and 80th percentiles of OLST, corresponding to OLST 2.94 s, 11.48 s, and 24.58 s, in order to study the association between OLST and fracture risk in more detail. The splines were second-order functions between the breakpoints and linear functions at the tails resulting in a smooth curve. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Since no apparent threshold in the relationship was seen, particularly for hip fracture, the previously proposed 10-s [30, 38, 39] threshold was applied to identify a high-risk group. Cox proportional hazard models were also used to study associations between OLST (OLST <10 s or $\geq$10 s) mortality and incident fractures. The models were adjusted for age, height, and weight as well as additional covariates, as specified in Table 2. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals obtained from Cox models are presented. To assess death as a competing risk for osteoporotic fractures, Fine and Gray’s hazard function was used [40]. Hazard functions for fracture and death were used to calculate 4-year and 10-year probabilities.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics, OLST, and association with fracture**

The mean age of included women was 77.7±1.6 years at baseline (mean ± SD) and the follow-up time was 3.6 (1.5) years (median, interquartile range (IQR)). During follow-up, 82 women died and 238 major osteoporotic fractures, 44 hip fractures, and 228 nonvertebral fractures were observed in the investigated cohort. Women who declined to take the OLST had a greater BMI, were less physically active, and had higher prevalence of previous falls, fractures, and of most comorbidities than women who performed the OLST (Supplemental Table 1a).

The relationship between OLST, incident major osteoporotic fractures, and hip fracture showed a steady increase in fracture risk with declining OLST (Fig. 1a, b). The included participants were divided into two groups, OLST $<10$ s (n=1094) and OLST $\geq$10 s (n=1311). Characteristics of women with OLST $<10$ s and women with OLST $\geq$10 s at baseline are presented in Table 1. Women with OLST $<10$ s were slightly older, weighed more, and had higher body mass index (BMI) than women with higher OLST (Table 1). In addition, an OLST $<10$ s was also associated with slightly higher lumbar spine BMD, while no differences in hip BMD or FRAX scores (with the exception of a slightly higher FRAX MOF score for those with low OLST) were observed between the low and high OLST groups.

Women with low OLST had higher prevalence of fall accidents during the last year, but not of prevalent fractures than those with higher OLST (Table 1). Furthermore, low OLST was associated with higher frequencies of smoking and excessive alcohol consumption as well as with higher...
The prevalence of several diseases or conditions, including chronic heart failure, type 2 diabetes, myocardial infarction, chronic bronchitis, asthma, or emphysema, reflecting increased frailty (Table 1).
Low OLST and associations with incident fractures and death

The incidence of nonvertebral fracture, major osteoporotic fracture, and hip fracture was higher in women with OLST <10 s than in women with OLST ≥10 s. With adjustment for age, height, and weight, a Cox proportional hazard model revealed that OLST <10 s (vs. ≥10 s) was associated with 61% increased risk of nonvertebral fracture (hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.61 [1.27–2.06]), 76% increased risk of major osteoporotic fractures (HR, 95% CI 1.76 [1.34–2.30]), and 3 times increased risk of hip fracture (HR, 95% CI 3.02 [1.50–6.10]). OLST was associated with all fracture outcomes also after adjustments for the FRAX clinical risk factors (previous fracture, family history of hip fracture, current smoking, oral glucocorticoid use, rheumatoid arthritis, excessive alcohol intake), osteoporosis medication, and history of falls both in models with and without femoral neck BMD included (Table 2). In total, 4.8% of women with a low OLST died during follow-up, compared to 2.2% in women with longer OLST, corresponding to an 82% increased risk of death (Table 2).

The impact of OLST < 10 s on fracture probabilities

The 4-year probabilities of major osteoporotic fracture for women at age 75 or 80 years old, without previous fracture, BMI of 26 kg/m², and no additional clinical risk factors, were also calculated according to femoral neck BMD T-score, with or without consideration to OLST (<10 s or ≥10 s) in the analysis. For a 75-year-old woman with BMD T-score −2, OLST < 10 s was associated with a higher 4-year probability (12.5% vs. 8.0%). The corresponding 4-year probability for an 80-year-old woman with a T-score of −2 was 12.0% and 7.7% for OLST (<10 s or ≥10 s), respectively (Fig. 2a, b). The ratios between the calculated 4-year probability without considering OLST and with OLST <10 s for women 75 and 80 years old, without previous fracture, BMI of 26 kg/m² and no other clinical risk factors, according to femoral neck BMD T-score are presented in Fig. 3. The relative importance on fracture probability of having OLST < 10 s was slightly greater at higher BMD in both 75- and 80-year-old women. Study subject follow-up time was then extrapolated up to 10 years to allow for calculations of 10-year fracture probability. The 10-year probabilities of major osteoporotic fracture for women 75 and 80 years old were calculated, setting BMI to 26 kg/m², and all clinical risk factors set to no, according to femoral neck BMD T-score, with or without consideration to OLST (<10 s or ≥10 s) in the analysis. For a 75-year-old woman with BMD T-score −2, a low OLST < 10 s increased the 10-year probability substantially, from 21.1 to 30.6%. The equivalent 10-year probability for an 80-year-old woman with a T-score of −2 was 19.7% and 28.0%, for OLST ≥10 s or <10 s, respectively (Fig. 2c, d). The impact of OLST on 10-year fracture probabilities according to age and BMD is presented in Appendix Table 1b, c.

The impact of competing risk of death according to Fine and Gray

The association between OLST <10 s and risk for major osteoporotic fracture (subhazard ratio (SHR) and 95% CI: 1.56 (1.18–2.06)), hip fracture (SHR 2.37 (1.13–4.96)), and nonvertebral fracture (SHR 1.41 (1.06–1.86)) did not change substantially when a competing risk survival regression model, adjusted for age, height, and weight, was applied.

Discussion

In the current study, we demonstrate that OLST is an independent predictor of nonvertebral fracture, major osteoporotic fracture, and hip fracture regardless of FRAX clinical risk factors.
Factors and BMD of the femoral neck. Fracture risk increased as OLST decreased and increased progressively with shorter OLST. Thus, the shorter the time the test subject could stand on one leg, the higher the risk of fracture. Having a low OLST (<10 s) had a substantial impact on the 10-year probability of major osteoporotic fracture and hip fracture, indicating that the use of OLST could be valuable in assessing the risk of fracture in older women.

In previous studies, low OLST has been shown to be associated both to hip fracture and risk of death [30, 41]. In the present study, we confirm that an OLST < 10 is associated with increased risk of hip fracture and can also demonstrate that a low OLST is associated with increased risk of major osteoporotic fracture and nonvertebral fracture. OLST was able to predict risk of all these fracture outcomes independently of age, height, weight, FRAX clinical risk factors, and femoral neck BMD. More importantly, a low OLST (<10 s) increased the 10-year probability substantially in women of all ages and with low to normal BMD, in many instances to risk levels above the 20%, a commonly used treatment threshold [42]. Thus, performing the OLST and considering OLST would have a substantial impact on treatment decisions in women in this age group.

Hip fractures in the elderly have the most far-reaching consequences in terms of disability, reduced quality of life, and increased mortality [43–46]. In addition to individual

### Table 2  Associations between OLST <10 s and fracture risk in older women

| Fracture Type                | OLST < 10 s (n=1094) | OLST ≥ 10 s (n=1311) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Nonvertebral fracture        |                      |                      |
| No. (%)                      | 131 (12.0)           | 97 (7.4)             |
| Per 1000 person-years        | 34.3                 | 22.3                 |
| Time at risk, median (IQR), years | 3.7 (1.6)           | 3.2 (1.7)            |
| HR (95% CI)                  |                      |                      |
| Adjusted for age, height, weight (model 1) | 1.61 [1.27–2.06] | 1 [Reference] |
| + FRAX clinical risk factors (model 2) | 1.54 [1.20–1.97] | 1 [Reference] |
| + FN BMD (model 3)           | 1.46 [1.14–1.87]     | 1 [Reference] |
| Major osteoporotic fracture  |                      |                      |
| No. (%)                      | 144 (13.2)           | 94 (7.2)             |
| Per 1000 person-years        | 38.0                 | 21.6                 |
| Time at risk, median (IQR), years | 3.7 (1.6)           | 3.2 (1.6)            |
| HR (95% CI)                  |                      |                      |
| Adjusted for age, height, weight (model 1) | 1.76 [1.34–2.30] | 1 [Reference] |
| + FRAX clinical risk factors (model 2) | 1.67 [1.27–2.20] | 1 [Reference] |
| + FN BMD (model 3)           | 1.58 [1.20–2.08]     | 1 [Reference] |
| Hip fracture                 |                      |                      |
| No. (%)                      | 33 (3.0)             | 11 (0.8)             |
| Per 1000 person-years        | 8.2                  | 2.5                  |
| Time at risk, median (IQR), years | 3.8 (1.5)           | 3.3 (1.6)            |
| HR (95% CI)                  |                      |                      |
| Adjusted for age, height, weight (model 1) | 3.02 [1.50–6.10] | 1 [Reference] |
| + FRAX clinical risk factors (model 2) | 2.90 [1.43–5.89] | 1 [Reference] |
| + FN BMD (model 3)           | 2.39 [1.17–4.86]     | 1 [Reference] |
| Death                        |                      |                      |
| No. (%)                      | 53 (4.8)             | 29 (2.2)             |
| Per 1000 person-years        | 13.0                 | 6.4                  |
| Time at risk, median (IQR), years | 3.8 (1.4)           | 3.3 (1.6)            |
| HR (95% CI)                  |                      |                      |
| Adjusted for age, height, weight (model 1) | 1.82 [1.14–2.92] | 1 [Reference] |

Associations were studied using Cox proportional hazard models. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are presented. Model 1: adjusted for age, height, and weight. Model 2: adjusted for age, height, weight, and the FRAX clinical risk factors as previous fracture, family history of hip fracture, current smoking, oral glucocorticoid use, osteoporosis medication, rheumatoid arthritis, excessive alcohol intake, and history of falls. Model 3: adjusted for the same as model 2 with the addition of FN BMD.
suffering, all fractures in the elderly, but especially hip fractures, are associated with substantial societal costs [47]. A hip fracture can also result in loss of autonomy and that the affected patient could be forced to move to a residential care facility, which for many is a major and very negative and pervasive intervention [12, 48–50]. Thus, new tools, such as the OLST, which could improve the prediction of hip fractures so that they can be more effectively prevented, are urgently needed.

It has been suggested that the OLST could be used as a tool for predicting frailty in community-dwelling older people [31], but the evidence is not entirely consistent [33, 51, 52]. Unlike other physical function tests, such as for example the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test [53–56], it has not previously been definitely established that women with low OLST have generally poorer health than those with normal OLST. However, this hypothesis is clearly supported by the findings in the present study, in which we observe an increased risk of death and a higher prevalence of diseases and frailty-associated conditions in women with shorter OLST.

An 82% increased risk of death during follow-up was observed in those with OLST below 10 s compared to those with a higher OLST. Differences in mortality can affect the associations between OLST and fracture outcomes, since women with low OLST may die before fracturing [57]. Therefore, analyses in the present study were also performed according to Fine and Gray, to adjust for competing risk of mortality.

Fig. 2  a, b 4-year probability of major osteoporotic fracture according to femoral neck BMD and OLST. a 4-year probability of a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) in a 75-year-old woman according to T-score of femoral neck BMD. The symbol (closed circle) denotes probabilities calculated without OLST and the lines denote the range of probabilities with OLST <10 s or ≥10 s using the model incorporating OLST. In the used model, BMI is set to 26 kg/m², and all clinical risk factors set to no. b 4-year probability of a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) in a 75-year-old woman according to T-score of femoral neck BMD. The symbol (closed circle) denotes probabilities calculated without OLST and the lines denote the range of probabilities with OLST <10 s or ≥10 s using the model incorporating OLST. In the used model, BMI is set to 26 kg/m², and all clinical risk factors set to no.

b 4-year probability of a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) in a 75-year-old woman according to T-score of femoral neck BMD. The symbol (closed circle) denotes probabilities calculated without OLST and the lines denote the range of probabilities with OLST <10 s or ≥10 s using the model incorporating OLST. In the used model, BMI is set to 26 kg/m², and all clinical risk factors set to no. c, d 10-year probability of major osteoporotic fracture according to femoral neck BMD and OLST. c 10-year probability of a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) in a 75-year-old woman according to T-score of femoral neck BMD. The symbol (closed circle) denotes probabilities calculated without OLST and the lines denote the range of probabilities with OLST <10 s or ≥10 s using the model incorporating OLST. In the used model, BMI is set to 26 kg/m², and all clinical risk factors set to no. d 10-year probability of a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) in an 80-year-old woman according to T-score of femoral neck BMD. The symbol (closed circle) denotes probabilities calculated without OLST and the lines denote the range of probabilities with OLST <10 s or ≥10 s using the model incorporating OLST. In the used model, BMI is set to 26 kg/m², and all clinical risk factors set to no.
The clear associations between OLST and fracture risk remained, indicating that the OLST tool is useful also in populations as old as the one herein investigated.

Although it is clear that a short OLST is associated with a high risk of fracture, it is uncertain whether multifactorial interventions, such as balance training and shortening OLST, will decrease the risk of fracture. Several previous studies have shown that multifactorial interventions reduce the risk of falls [58] but the effects on injurious falls, such as fractures, are more unclear [59]. Therefore, we can only speculate as to whether interventions shortening OLST will reduce the risk of fractures.

The current study has some limitations. Only ambulatory women 75–80 years old and able to understand Swedish were included, indicating that the results may not be readily applied to other age groups, women in nursing homes, and women with different ethnic backgrounds.

The OLST was performed by 2405 women while 623 declined to take the test. Women who did not take the test had more comorbidity, higher prevalence of falls and fractures, and a higher baseline FRAX (including BMD) probability, than included women. The included women are most likely not representative of the female population at this age. Furthermore, when comparing the risk of fracture associated with short OLST observed in this study with results from other studies, it should be noted that there could be procedural differences between studies in how the OLST results were obtained [60], e.g., using different number of attempts, using the average of both legs, or a single maximum value.

Strengths of the study include the large and population-based sample size with over 2400 women followed prospectively. Furthermore, all identified fractures were identified via X-rays or radiology reports, which enables identification of fractures with high accuracy. In addition, the impact of OLST analyzed together with currently used FRAX clinical risk factors and BMD in a large population-based study has not previously been investigated and presented before. Nevertheless, future additional studies as well as meta-analyses will be needed to determine if OLST can be an effective addition to the FRAX algorithm.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that a low OLST is a strong predictor of hip fractures, major osteoporotic fractures, and nonvertebral fractures in older women and that a low OLST substantially increases fracture probability in models including FRAX clinical risk factors and BMD. OLST should be considered as an assessment tool to improve fracture prediction in older women.
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