Seed Piece Spacing Influences Yield, Tuber Size Distribution, Stem and Tuber Density, and Net Returns of Three Processing Potato Cultivars
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Abstract. Seed piece spacing is an important economic consideration in the production of potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L.). The optimum spacing varies with cultivar and intended market. A study was designed to determine the influence of seed piece spacing on yield, tuber size distribution, net returns, and stem and tuber density of three processing potato cultivars. Seed tubers of cultivars Russet Burbank, Frontier Russet, and Ranger Russet were planted 8, 15, 23, 31, 46, 61, 76, or 91 cm apart at two locations in 1988 and 1989. Total, marketable (U.S. No. 1), and midsize (226–452 g tubers) yield, tuber size distribution, net profits from a representative processing contract, and stem and tuber density (number per meters of row) were determined. All three cultivars achieved highest total yields at the narrowest (8 cm) spacing. Maximum marketable and midsize (226–452 g) yield occurred between 15 and 31 cm, depending on the cultivar. Size distribution shifted from a predominance of small tubers at narrow spacings to a predominance of large tubers at wide spacings, and the rate of shift was cultivar-dependent. ‘Russet Burbank’ showed a broad range of optimal spacing for net returns, with a maximum in the range of 23 to 46 cm. Optima for ‘Frontier Russet’ and ‘Ranger Russet’ were between 15 and 46 cm. Of the four tuber measurements, midsize yield appeared to be best for determining optimum spacing. Marketable yield was also a useful measurement. All three cultivars gave maximum midsize yields at a stem density of 10.5 to 12.1 per meter of row and a tuber density of 23.9 to 24.9 per meter of row. Tuber density showed some promise as a predictor of optimum seed piece spacing for new cultivars.

Plant population studies were among the earliest and most common field experiments involving potatoes (Houghland and Parker, 1948). Similar work continues, with recent studies being conducted for optimizing crop production and profitability (Rex, 1991; Wurr et al., 1992). Plant population studies with potatoes are never outdated because of unique tuber characteristics of new cultivars and the changing tuber size requirements of evolving industries (Barry et al., 1981; Wurr et al., 1993).

Potato seed piece spacing influences economically important characteristics, including total yield, marketable yield, tuber size distribution, tuber specific gravity, and hollow heart (Barry et al., 1981; Lynch and Rowberry, 1977; Nelson et al., 1979; Rex, 1990, 1991). By impacting these characteristics, seed piece spacing and the resulting plant population have a direct influence on net returns.

Several approaches have been used to determine the optimum seed piece spacing for a cultivar. The simplest was to determine the spacing at which optimum total or marketable yield occurred (Barry et al., 1981; Lynch and Rowberry, 1977; Rex, 1990, 1991). More complex methods include optimization of financial returns or determination of the multiplicity rate between the seed and the final crop (Houghland and Akeley, 1959; Strange and Blackmore, 1990). Wurr et al. (1992, 1993) suggested using a system where seed costs, tuber number, and desired tuber size in the harvested crop could be used to predict optimum spacing. This approach could provide producers with the needed spacing information for new cultivars without conducting complex and costly spacing studies.

In North America, ‘Russet Burbank’ is the most commonly used cultivar for frozen french fries, but two new cultivars, ‘Frontier Russet’ and ‘Ranger Russet’, are gaining popularity. Few production recommendations, including seed piece spacing, are available for these two new cultivars. Additionally, no spacing-associated economic analysis has been completed for potatoes destined for frozen french fry processing. This study had the following objectives: 1) determine optimal within-row spacing for total, marketable, and midsize yield of the potato cultivars Russet Burbank, Frontier Russet, and Ranger Russet; 2) define the effect of spacing on tuber size distribution; 3) determine the spacing for maximum net returns; 4) compare stem and tuber densities to determine if similarities exist among cultivars at optimum seed piece spacings; and 5) provide evidence as to which agronomic and economic variables are most useful for determination of optimal spacing for new cultivars. A unique characteristic of this study was the inclusion of seed piece spacings above and below the range commonly used commercially to allow establishment of trends over a broad range of conditions.

Materials and Methods

Four identical trials over 2 years were conducted at Aberdeen and Kimberly, Idaho. Certified seed potatoes of ‘Russet Burbank’, ‘Frontier Russet’, and ‘Ranger Russet’ were cut within a 56–70 g size range. Plots were planted 10 May in both 1988 and 1989 in a Declo silt loam soil at Aberdeen, and on 26 May, in both 1988 and 1989 in a Portneuf silt loam soil at Kimberly. The experimental plots were fertilized and managed according to practices typical of the respective region. Plots were harvested 3 to 5 weeks after the vines were killed (12 Oct. 1988 and 28 Sept. 1989 at Aberdeen, and on 14 Oct. 1988 and 12 Oct. 1989 at Kimberly). Individual plots were 9.1 m long, 2.7 m wide, and consisted of three rows, of which only the center row was harvested. Distance between rows was 0.9 m, the standard width for potato production in Idaho.

Seed pieces were hand-planted in the plots to allow precise placement at 8, 15, 23, 31, 46, 61, 76, or 91 cm. Each spacing treatment was replicated five times within each experimental site. Early in the growing season, the number of aboveground stems in each plot was counted. After harvest, the tubers were graded, counted, and the data analyzed appropriately for the objective in question.

Total, marketable, and midsize yield. Tubers were graded into U.S. No. 1, U.S. No. 2, and cull categories, sized into 113-g increments, and total weights in each category recorded. Total and marketable yields were calculated, with marketable yield being synonymous with U.S. No. 1 yield. Midsize yield was computed and included marketable tubers weighing from 226 to 452 g. Homogeneity of variances within trials was confirmed for all variables, allowing the combination of years and locations. The analyses of variance (ANOVARs) were computed, first for the entire data set, then within each individual cultivar over locations and years. Regression was used to establish significant trends, or means among
spacings treatments were separated using Fisher’s protected least significant difference (LSD).

Tuber size distribution. For individual cultivars, yields of each tuber size category were plotted three-dimensionally against spacing treatment. ANOVA was completed for yield within each size category. Comparisons were made of distribution changes across spacing treatments.

Net returns. Net returns for each cultivar and spacing combination were computed in U.S. dollars per hectare using a representative processing industry contract formula, with incentives for size and grade components. A paid yield was calculated that included the U.S. No. 1 and U.S. No. 2 tubers, but excluded undersized (<113 g) and cull tubers. A representative industry base price of $0.1012 per kilogram was used. Incentive amounts were added to this base price and calculated as follows: the number of percentage points, above 50%, of U.S. No. 1 tubers was multiplied by $0.000222 per kilogram; the number of percentage points above 22% of tubers >283 g within the paid yield was multiplied by $0.000444 per kilogram. Because the size grading process did not divide the tubers at 283 g, the weight of tubers between 226 and 339 g was divided by 2 and added to the weight of tubers >339 g to calculate this percentage. The gross returns were calculated by multiplying the paid yield × the base price plus incentives price.

Production costs were calculated using a partial budgeting approach, with seed costs due to treatments being the only variable altered. Base costs were taken from the 1995 Idaho Potato Costs and Returns Estimates (Patterson et al., 1997). From the base costs, the seed costs and the portion of the interest on the operating loan that resulted from seed purchase were subtracted. Seed costs were recalculated for each spacing treatment, then added back into the base costs. Net returns were calculated as gross returns minus production costs. Analysis of variance was completed as described for the yield variables.

Stem and tuber density. Aboveground stems and tubers produced per plot were counted and means and density calculated. A secondary objective was to determine if the maximum midsize (226–452 g) tuber yield occurred at or near the same stem or tuber density for each cultivar. A nonlinear, parabolic equation for midsize yield vs. seed piece spacing was fitted to the data from each cultivar using SAS Procedure Nlin (SAS Institute, 1993). Only data from the 8-, 15-, 23-, and 31-cm spacing treatments were used, because the maxima for midsize yield fell within this range and inclusion of the wider spacing treatments resulted in a poor fit for the parabolic function. From the equation, the spacing corresponding to predicted maximum midsize yield was computed. Two linear equations, one for stem and one for tuber density vs. seed piece spacing, were created for each cultivar. The equations were used to predict the stem and tuber density corresponding to the maximum midsize yield obtained previously via the parabolic equations.

Results

A comprehensive ANOVA of combined data from all sites and years showed the following significant (P ≤ 0.05) main effects: trial site and seed piece spacing for total yield; trial site, seed piece spacing, and cultivar for marketable yield; trial site and seed piece spacing for midsize yield; and trial site, seed piece spacing, and cultivar for net profit. Spacing treatment × cultivar interactions were significant for marketable yield, midsize yield, and net profit, but not for total yield. The ANOVA for individual cultivars revealed significant (P ≤ 0.05) trial site and spacing effects for total yield, marketable yield, midsize yield, and net profit in the case of each cultivar. Statistical comparisons presented in the body of the paper were made using results of the ANOVAs for individual cultivars.

Total and marketable yield. Total yield of all three cultivars was highest at the closest spacing and steadily decreased in a linear fashion as the spacing widened (Fig. 1). The total yields were similar for each cultivar at each spacing treatment. At the 8-cm spacing, ‘Russet Burbank’ produced a total of 60.8 t·ha⁻¹, ‘Frontier Russet’ 63.9, and ‘Ranger Russet’ 65.7. At 91 cm, the yield of the three cultivars was 39.1, 39.0, and 37.0 t·ha⁻¹, respectively. This similarity among cultivars was reflected as a nonsignificant cultivar effect in the comprehensive ANOVA.

 Marketable yield differed significantly (P ≤ 0.05) among cultivars (Fig. 1). Of the three cultivars, ‘Russet Burbank’ had the lowest marketable yield across all spacing treatments (29.3 t·ha⁻¹), because of its tendency to produce small and malformed tubers. The significant cultivar effect in the comprehensive ANOVA for marketable yield was due to this difference. ‘Frontier Russet’ and ‘Ranger Russet’ were similar in marketable yield across all spacing treatments (33.5 and 33.8 t·ha⁻¹, respectively). Among individual spacing treatments, ‘Russet Burbank’ and ‘Frontier Russet’ reached their highest numerical marketable yield at 23 cm (36.7 and 41.5 t·ha⁻¹),
respectively), although there was no statistically significant difference between 15 and 23 cm for either cultivar. 'Ranger Russet' marketable yield was highest at 15 cm (43.6 t·ha⁻¹), with no statistical significance between the 8-, 15-, or 23-cm spacing.

Midsize (226–452 g tubers) yield response of the three cultivars was similar to that of total yield, in that cultivar means were nearly identical, and not significantly different, when averaged across all spacing treatments. Midsize yields were 19.0, 18.5, and 18.3 t·ha⁻¹ for 'Ranger Russet', 'Russet Burbank', and 'Frontier Russet', respectively. The highest midsize yield did not occur at the same spacing for all cultivars (Fig. 1). Numerically, 'Russet Burbank' and 'Frontier Russet' had the highest midsize yield at the 23-cm spacing (21.5 and 23.0 t·ha⁻¹), while 'Ranger Russet' had the highest at 15 cm (25.3 t·ha⁻¹). For 'Russet Burbank' no significant differences existed among the 15-, 23-, 31-, or 46-cm spacings, for 'Frontier Russet' among the 15-, 23-, or 31-cm spacings, and for 'Ranger Russet' between the 15- and 23-cm spacings. 

Tuber size distribution. Within each cultivar, ANOVA showed significant differences among spacing treatments for yield within each size category. Changes in tuber size distribution associated with increased spacing were somewhat similar among cultivars, although subtle differences were observed (Fig. 2). At the 8-cm spacing, all three cultivars produced a large majority of tubers in the two smallest size categories (0–112 and 113–225 g) and had almost no tubers in the >452 g (oversize) category. As the spacing widened, the tubers became larger, with a greater proportion in the >452 g category. At the widest spacing (91 cm), the percentage of >452 g tubers ranged from 37% for 'Russet Burbank' to 49% for 'Ranger Russet'. At the narrowest spacing, 74% of the 'Russet Burbank' tubers were in the smallest two categories when planted at 8 cm. However, in contrast to 'Russet Burbank', a substantially greater proportion of tubers was in the 113–225 g size range rather than the 0–112 g category (Fig. 2). As the spacing became wider, the shift of tubers to larger categories occurred more rapidly for 'Frontier Russet' than for 'Russet Burbank'. 'Frontier Russet' tended to have a secondary peak in the distribution within the 226–339 g category. 

Only 64% of 'Ranger Russet' tubers were in the smallest two categories when planted at 8 cm. A greater proportion of these smaller tubers was in the 113–225 g range, rather than the 0–112 g category, than was the case for 'Russet Burbank' (Fig. 2). In contrast with the...
other two cultivars, 'Ranger Russet' produced the highest yield of tubers in the oversize category when the spacing was 31 cm or wider. The shift toward oversize tubers was more extreme for 'Ranger Russet' than for the other cultivars at the widest spacing treatments and there was no secondary peak in the distribution at the 226–339 g or any other size category.

Net returns. Based on a representative processing contract, 'Ranger Russet' produced the greatest average net return of the three cultivars, followed by 'Frontier Russet' and 'Russet Burbank' (Fig. 3). Highest net return at optimum spacing for 'Ranger Russet' (25-cm spacing) was almost double that for 'Russet Burbank' because of higher paid yield with 'Ranger Russet' and higher adjusted price resulting from a larger proportion of marketable and large tubers. Net returns for all three cultivars were low (negative) at the narrowest spacing, increased to a maximum, then declined to low or negative values at the widest spacing.

The method of calculating net returns created a great deal of variability in the data. Coefficients of variation for net profits were 103.4, 83.6, and 640.4 for 'Frontier Russet', 'Ranger Russet', and 'Russet Burbank', respectively. This variability made separation of means for net returns difficult. The result was a broad range of spacings for all three cultivars that could not be discounted as optimum. 'Russet Burbank' had appeared to be a bimodal distribution of net returns with the peak at 23 cm ($36.34/ha) and 46 cm ($147.35/ha), although differences among the 23-, 31-, or 46-cm spacings were nonsignificant. 'Russet Burbank' showed losses at the 8-, 76-, and 91-cm spacing treatments. 'Frontier Russet' produced its highest net returns at the 23-cm spacing treatment, with slightly lower and statistically similar net returns at 31 and 46 cm. The range near the optimum was broad, and only the 8-cm spacing resulted in a loss. 'Ranger Russet' showed a somewhat bell-shaped distribution of net returns and produced statistically similar profits when planted at 15, 23, 31, or 46 cm.

Stem and tuber density. Seed piece spacing had a significant effect on number and density of both aboveground stems and tubers. Although statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$), a biologically insignificant range of only 0.2 (from 2.2 to 2.4) stems per plant occurred among the spacing treatments across the three cultivars. Evaluation of the linear component of spacing within each variety resulted in nonsignificant changes within each cultivar for stem number across spacings (Table 1). Because stem numbers changed little with spacing, the average stem density across cultivars changed more than 10-fold (from 2.6 to 29.8 stems per meter of row) as the spacing decreased from 91 to 8 cm. In contrast with stem number, both tuber number per plant and tuber density showed the effects of differential competition imposed by the spacing treatments. Average tuber number per plant across all cultivars increased from three at the 8-cm spacing to nearly 10 at 91 cm, concomitant with a 4-fold decrease in tuber density (from 38.8 to 10.6 tubers per meter of row).

Spacing × cultivar interactions were significant for stem density, tuber number, and tuber density (Table 1). The linear component of spacing was significant for stem density, tuber number, and tuber density for all three cultivars. Inspection of interaction means revealed that all three cultivars responded similarly for these three variables and that the interactions were a result of degree rather than type of reaction. In general, 'Ranger Russet' was slightly less responsive to changes in spacing than were the other two cultivars.

**Table 1. Influence of potato seed piece spacing on the number and density of stems and tubers of three cultivars.**

| Spacing (cm) | Stems/plant | Stems/m² | Tubers/plant | Tubers/m² |
|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| **Frontier Russet** | | | | |
| 8 | 2.2 | 28.7 | 3.0 | 39.8 |
| 15 | 2.1 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 29.8 |
| 23 | 2.2 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 23.4 |
| 31 | 2.1 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 19.2 |
| 46 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 16.7 |
| 61 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 8.4 | 13.8 |
| 76 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 11.8 |
| 91 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| **Significance** | L** | L” | L” | L” |
| **Ranger Russet** | | | | |
| 8 | 1.9 | 25.5 | 2.8 | 36.1 |
| 15 | 1.9 | 12.5 | 4.1 | 26.8 |
| 23 | 2.0 | 8.6 | 5.0 | 22.1 |
| 31 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 17.4 |
| 46 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 14.4 |
| 61 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 8.2 | 13.4 |
| 76 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 9.9 |
| 91 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 8.6 | 9.4 |
| **Significance** | L” | L” | L” | L” |
| **Russet Burbank** | | | | |
| 8 | 2.7 | 34.8 | 3.1 | 40.5 |
| 15 | 2.8 | 18.3 | 4.6 | 30.1 |
| 23 | 2.6 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 25.1 |
| 31 | 2.5 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 21.9 |
| 46 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 16.3 |
| 61 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 8.4 | 13.7 |
| 76 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 9.8 | 12.8 |
| 91 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 10.3 | 11.3 |
| **Significance** | L” | L” | L” | L” |

*Tubers density was expressed as tubers per meter of row (= tubers per 0.91 m²).

**Discussion**

A major reason for conducting this study was to gather information allowing determination of agronomic or economic variables that may be used in spacing optimization studies. Total yield appears to be of little use in determining optimum spacing. For all three cultivars, the highest total yield occurred at the narrowest spacing, then declined as spacing widened. In contrast, the marketable and midsize yield variables gave more promising results. Both reached a definite maximum in the range of the 15- to 31-cm spacing with peak location dependent on cultivar. 'Russet Burbank' had maximum marketable yield at the 23-cm spacing and maximum midsize yield between 23 and 31 cm (the predicted maximum from Table 2 was 24.6 cm). These spacings are in the lower range of those used commercially in southern Idaho.

Economic analysis has some attractive features for determination of optimum spacing. It simultaneously reflects the value of the crop and incorporates the costs involved with changing spacing. However, this study revealed some weaknesses in the economic analysis employed here. The inclusion of economic variables substantially increased the variability of the data, making separation of means for spacing treatments difficult and leading to confusion as to the spacing at which net re...
Table 2. Average number of stems per plant, tubers per plant, predicted seed piece spacing at which maximum midsize yield occurs, and predicted number of stems and tubers per meter at which midsize tuber yield occurs for three cultivars of potato, based upon four spacing treatments ranging from 8 to 31 cm.

| Cultivar                  | Stems/plant | Tubers/plant | Predicted spacing at midsize maxA | Predicted stems/m at midsize maxA | Predicted tubers/m at midsize maxA |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Russet Burbank            | 2.6         | 5.0          | 24.6                              | 12.1                              | 24.9                              |
| Frontier Russet           | 2.2         | 4.7          | 23.6                              | 10.5                              | 24.3                              |
| Ranger Russet             | 1.9         | 4.3          | 20.8                              | 11.8                              | 23.9                              |

A Predicted using a parabolic nonlinear function.

Among the three cultivars there was a 27% difference from highest to lowest numbers of stems per plant and a 14% difference for tubers per plant (Table 2). Calculation of the density corresponding to maximum midsize yield reduced these differences to 13% for stem density and 4% for tuber density. These differences could not be tested statistically because all degrees of freedom were used in model construction. However, average tuber density among cultivars was almost identical and may be sufficiently close to serve as a good indicator of optimum spacing. This idea must be tested further in trials with cultivars expressing a wider range of tuber numbers and under conditions permitting an appropriate statistical procedure.
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