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**A B S T R A C T**

This paper provides a control dataset of processed prognostic indicators for analysing drug resistance in patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART). The dataset was locally sourced from health facilities in Akwa Ibom State of Nigeria, West Africa and contains 14 attributes with 1506 unique records filtered from 3168 individual treatment change episodes (TCEs). These attributes include sex, before and follow-up CD4 counts (BCD4, FCD4), before and follow-up viral load (BRNA, FRNA), drug type/combination (DTYPE), before and follow-up body weight (Bwt, Fwt), patient response to ART (PR), and classification targets (C1-C5). Five (5) output membership grades of a fuzzy inference system ranging from very high interaction to no interaction were constructed to model the influence of adverse drug reaction (ADR) and subsequently derive the PR attribute (a non-fuzzy variable). The PR attribute membership clusters derived from a universe of discourse table were then used to label the classification targets as follows: C1= no interaction, C2= very low interaction, C3= low interaction, C4= high interaction, and C5= very high interaction. The classification targets are useful for building classification models and for detecting patients with ADR. This data can be exploited for the development of expert sys-
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items, for useful decision support to treatment failure classification [1] and effectual drug regimen prescription.
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Specifications Table

| Subject                        | Health and Medical Sciences |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Specific subject area         | Adverse Drug Reaction       |
| Type of data                  | Table                        |
| Figure                        |                             |
| How data were acquired        | Excavation and pre-processing |
| Instrument:                    | hardware, software, program |
| Make and model and of the instruments used: | hardware | Intel | Core i5 | 8th Gen, software (Microsoft Excel, JuzzyOnline Fuzzy Toolkit) |
| Data format                   | Raw                          |
| Analysed                      |                             |
| Filtered                      |                             |
| Parameters for data collection| Prognostic indicators of HIV were excavated and analysed. |
| Description of data collection| Data of HIV patients were obtained directly from HIV patients’ records distributed across different health facilities. |
| Data source location          | Institution: University of Uyo |
| City/Town/Region:             | Uyo/Akwa Ibom                |
| Country:                      | Nigeria                      |
| Data accessibility            | With the article             |
| Related research article      | [1] M.E. Ekpenyong, M.E. Edoho, I.J. Udo, P.I. Etebong, N.P. Uto, T.C. Jackson, N.M. Obiakor, A transfer learning approach to drug resistance classification in mixed HIV dataset, Informatics in Medicine Unlocked. 100,568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2021.100568 |

Value of the Data

• This paper presents very useful datasets for engendering research on HIV/AIDS in the Sub-Saharan African region.
• Computer scientists can use the data to develop classification models and expert systems for drug pattern analysis, adverse drug reaction and failed treatment. Clinicians/physicians and pharmacists can use the developed expert system to support meaningful decisions on drug prescription, recommendation, and administration.
• By providing access to clinical (control) HIV data, research progress can be accelerated towards individualised medicine, where on-treatment variables influencing a set of study outcomes are analysed for the purpose of predicting patient drug response with precision.
• The developed models and algorithms could be made available as open-source tools with adaptive and replicable features for diverse domains/environments.

1. Data Description

We provide a control dataset (SupplFile.xlsx) containing average prognostic indicators of HIV (sex; before CD4 count, BCD4; follow-up CD4 count, FCD4; before viral load, BRNA; follow-up viral load, FRNA; before body weight in Kg, BWt; and follow-up body weight in Kg, FWT), treatment type/drug(s) combination (DrugNo/DrugComb) and patient response to treatment (PR). The dataset is divided into two sets, the individual treatment change episodes (TCEs) and unique records. The first set, the TCEs (or raw data) lists on each row repeated instances of other
variables, save the individual drugs (or DrugNo—a number or numeric value used to identify each drug taken by the patient) which are listed on separate rows for each patient ID (PID). Table 1 populates the corresponding drug code (DrugCode) and drug name (DrugName) of the respective DrugNo, for each drug administered to patients on ART. The prognostic indicators are results of laboratory analysis conducted using biological fluid sample (the blood), while sex and body weight are determined by physical appearance and measurement using scale reader, respectively. A total of 3168 TCEs are documented. The TCEs were further processed to achieve individual unique records of 1506 patients. The unique records are condensed instances of the TCEs, with DrugNo converted into its DrugCode equivalent and concatenated to form a single, unique record. The PR is a non-fuzzy output value obtained from a fuzzy inference system evaluation of the prognostic indicators with 5 output membership grades indicating the level of drugs interaction as follows (very high interaction, high interaction, very low interaction, low interaction, and no interaction). The classification targets (C1-C5) are binary digits (0/1) used to indicate or label the occurrence of a particular membership grade.

Important statistics revealing more insight into the control dataset compared with the Stanford dataset are as presented in Table 2.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

Locally sourced data were collected directly from case files of patients receiving treatment at various health centres in Akwa Ibom State of Nigeria, including a Community Anti-Retroviral Therapy Programme—periodically carried out to reach rural dwellers. A total of 13 health facilities

| Table 1 | Drugs administered to patients on ART (https://hivdb.stanford.edu) [2]. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DrugNo | DrugCode | DrugName | DrugNo | DrugCode | DrugName |
| 1       | RTV      | Ritonavir | 13     | DDI      | Didanosine |
| 2       | IDV      | Indinavir | 14     | LPV      | Lopinavir  |
| 3       | D4T      | stavudine | 15     | APV      | Amprenavir |
| 4       | 3TC      | Lamivudine| 16     | NVP      | Nivarapine |
| 5       | SQV      | Squatovir | 17     | DRV      | Darunavir  |
| 6       | T20      | Nofviridide| 18     | FTC      | Emtricitabine |
| 7       | FPV      | Fosamprenavir| 19     | ATV      | Atazanavir |
| 8       | NFV      | Nelfinavir| 20     | TPV      | Tipranavir |
| 9       | AZT      | Zidovudine| 21     | RAL      | Raltominov |
| 10      | ABC      | Abacavir  | 22     | ETR      | Etraviren  |
| 11      | TDF      | Tenofovir | 23     | MVC      | Maraviroc  |
| 12      | EFV      | Efavirenz | 24     | DLV      | Delavirdine |

| Table 2 | Analysis of control datasets. |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| Analysis | Type of Control Dataset |
| Male | Stanford | Akwa Ibom |
| Female | – | 704 |
| Total number of drugs administered | 24 | 5 |
| Minimum drug combination | 1 | 3 |
| Maximum drug combination | 7 | 3 |
| Number of Patients with most frequent drug combinations (actual drug combination) | 37 (D4T+DDI+EFV) | 698 (D4T+3TC+EFV) |
| Number of Patients with less frequent drug combinations (actual drug combination) | 1 (3TC) | 27 (AZT+3TC+EFV) |
| Patients with at most 2 TCEs | 31 | 0 |
| Patients with at least 3 TCEs (Total TCEs) | 1490 (5780) | 1506 (3168) |
were used as data collection points and covers patients with both resistant and non-resistant cases who registered for treatment at the various facilities from 2015 to 2018. The investigated facilities were found to accommodate up to 10,000 patients receiving treatment in the southeast region. Due to limited resources and the high cost of treatment, only 5 drug combinations in 3 consistent treatment regimens were administered to patients free of charge, through a Family Health International (FHI) HIV/AIDS intervention programme. The number of row(s) rendered depend(s) on the patient’s ART regimen administered over the treatment period. Hence, if a patient was administered a combination of 3 drugs, then, three rows are rendered (see data on individual TCEs).

Collection of the control data did not involve direct contact with the patients. Instead, access to patients’ medical histories and treatment was granted by the responsible authorities after satisfying the ethical consent procedure required for the purpose of filtering the relevant data. At the University level, ethical approval was granted by the University of Uyo Institutional Health Research Ethics Committee (UNIUYO–IHREC). At the hospital level, Informed consent through written permission was obtained from the responsible health authority before embarking on the data collection. To protect patient records, details that could expose the patients’ personal details (e.g., name, address, occupation, etc.) were not documented. Each patient data was further validated for consistency before recording, while questionable, inconsistent, or not properly documented records were dropped. The control dataset holds only first line treatment episodes (initial 6 months) excavated from existing patients’ records/files under the supervision of a medical superintendent.

From the control dataset, universe of discourse (UoD) membership ranges, were created to align with established ranges from domain experts/physicians. Table 3 shows the input and output fuzzy sets derived from the control dataset.

The column labels indicate the internal structure of the IT2FS \([3,4]\), where: \(l\) is the left end point bounded by both UMF \((l_2)\) and LMF \((l_1)\), and \(r\) is the right end point, also bounded by both UMF \((r_2)\) and LMF \((r_1)\). The triangular peak location or mean, \(P\), of each end point is also bounded by \(P_1\) and \(P_2\), representing the triangular peak locations of end points \(l_1\) and \(r_1\), and \(l_1\) and \(r_2\), respectively. Expressions deriving the IT2FL LMF and UMF can be found in \([5]\).

To enable precise knowledge representation of PR and minimise the influence of confusing input/output boundaries, an Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Logic (IT2FL) system was developed using the JuzzyOnline Fuzzy Toolkit \((http://juzzy.wagnerweb.net/)\) \([6,7]\) – an open-source toolkit for design, implementation, evaluation and sharing of Type-1 and Type-2 fuzzy logic systems. Applying Microsoft Excel functions and commands, the individual TCEs were condensed to produce

### Table 3
Input and output fuzzy sets from domain knowledge.

| S/N | Membership grade (MG) | \(l_1\) | \(P_1\) | \(r_1\) | \(l_2\) | \(P_2\) | \(r_2\) |
|-----|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1   | Low \([L]\)            | 0       | 225     | 450     | 50      | 275     | 500     |
| 2   | Medium \([M]\)         | 300     | 575     | 850     | 350     | 625     | 900     |
| 3   | High \([H]\)           | 700     | 1075    | 1450    | 750     | 1125    | 1500    |

| S/N | BCD4/FCD4 (Input)       | BRNA/FRNA (Input)       | PR (Output)       |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 1   | Undetected \([U]\)      | 0                       | 0.60             | 1.20             | 0.30 | 0.90 | 1.50 |
| 2   | Supressed \([S]\)       | 1.00                    | 2.15             | 3.30             | 1.20 | 2.35 | 3.50 |
| 3   | Not Supressed \([NS]\)  | 2.50                    | 4.00             | 5.50             | 3.00 | 4.50 | 6.00 |
| 1   | No Interaction \([NI]\) | 0                       | 27.50            | 55               | 5    | 32.50 | 60 |
| 2   | Very Low Interaction \([VLI]\) | 30          | 47.50         | 65               | 35   | 52.50 | 70 |
| 3   | Low Interaction \([LI]\) | 62                      | 68.50            | 75               | 67   | 73.50 | 80 |
| 4   | High Interaction \([HI]\) | 72                        | 78.50           | 85               | 77   | 83.50 | 90 |
| 5   | Very High Interaction \([VHI]\) | 82                      | 88.50           | 95               | 87   | 93.50 | 100 |
a second set of data called unique records (a single row of patient record), with the DrugNo replaced with DrugCode and then concatenated with the ‘+’ symbol to form the drug combination (DrugComb). Hence, if the following drugs were administered to a patient (3TC, ABC, AZT) over the study period, then the DrugComb cell is rendered as 3TC+ABC+AZT. Microsoft Excel command was also used to label the classification targets of the unique records, based on the non-fuzzy PR values. Guided by the derived IT2FL expressions in [5], the correct target class is determined, with 1 placed in the correct target class and 0 s placed in other target classes.
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