Molecular mechanism of base pairing infidelity during DNA duplication upon one-electron oxidation
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Abstract
The guanine radical cation (G•+) is formed by one-electron oxidation from its parent guanine (G). G•+ is rapidly deprotonated in the aqueous phase resulting in the formation of the neutral guanine radical [G(-H)•]. The loss of proton occurs at the N1 nitrogen, which is involved in the classical Watson-Crick base pairing with cytosine (C). Employing the density functional theory (DFT), it has been observed that a new shifted base pairing configuration is formed between G(-H)• and C constituting only two hydrogen bonds after deprotonation occurs. Using the DFT method, G(-H)• was paired with thymine (T), adenine (A) and G revealing substantial binding energies comparable to those of classical G-C and A-T base pairs. Hence, G(-H)• does not display any particular specificity for C compared to the other bases. Taking into account the long lifetime of the G(-H)• radical in the DNA helix (5 s) and the rapid duplication rate of DNA during mitosis/meiosis (5-500 bases per s), G(-H)• can pair promiscuously leading to errors in the duplication process. This scenario constitutes a new mechanism which explains how one-electron oxidation of the DNA double helix can lead to mutations.
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INTRODUCTION
The aqueous redox chemistry of the nucleosides and nucleotides has been extensively investigated for the last 40 years using pulse radiolysis, laser photolysis, electron spin resonance and other time resolved and steady state techniques[1]. More recently, theoretical methods have been employed in the study of redox damage of DNA[1]. This intense interest in the components of DNA is understandable since it carries our genetic code and if damaged can lead to mutations possibly resulting in cancer[2,3]. Furthermore, oxidative damage of DNA is implicated in aging[4] and bacterial drug resistance[5]. It is now understood that DNA damage initiated by ionising radiation elicits a complicated set of events engaging various signaling pathways in cells[6].

Given that cumulative cancer risk increases with the fourth power of age and is associated with an accumulation of DNA damage, oxidative DNA damage is of great interest regarding early tumorigenesis and eventually cancer. These redox damage mechanisms have a potential role in the initiation, promotion and malignant conversion.
stages of carcinogenesis[5]. Lesions such as 7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine (8OG) are established biomarkers of oxidative stress; coupled with their mutagenicity in mammalian cells, this has led to them being proposed as intermediate markers of cancer[5]. A more complete understanding of these oxidative damage processes in DNA is highly desirable in order to find new therapeutic strategies to battle this devastating disease.

**BINDING SPECIFICITY ALTERATION OF THE GUANINE BASE**

It has been found that when organic molecules are one-electron oxidized in the aqueous phase, a rapid deprotonation occurs from hydrogen bond donors undoubtedly driven by the massive solvation energy of the proton (ΔG° = -263.9 kcal/mol)[7-9]. As an example, the pKₐ-value of cytosine (C) is lowered from 12.15 to between 2 and 4 when C is one-electron oxidized[8,10,11]. With respect to DNA, guanine (G) is its most easily oxidized component[7,8] and when the π-stack of double stranded DNA loses an electron, the positive charge migrates to G-C rich areas in the double strand[13-16] and the pKₐ-value of G is lowered significantly from 9.4 to 3.9 at the nitrogen-1 atom (N1), as depicted in Figure 1[10,17,18]. After departure of the proton from the N1-site, it becomes a hydrogen bond acceptor instead of a hydrogen bond donor. The question has emerged as to whether this event leads to a change in the pairing ability of the G moiety with other bases[19]? In fact, it is a common view that ligand hydrophobicity improves affinity, whereas hydrogen bonding improves specificity for interactions in biochemical systems[20]. Simulating one-electron oxidation and the consequent deprotonation of the central N1-proton for G-C, using the density functional theory (DFT)[21], a new slipped conformation of the base pair was formed as depicted in Figure 2[20]. This slipped configuration, G(-H)-C, was later independently derived by Bera et al[22] using a systematic search for all possible hydrogen bonding configurations between G(-H)° and C. The predicted base pairing energy (BPE) was -18.2 kcal/mol for G(-H)-C[20]. This lies between the BPE’s of the adenine-thymine base pair (A-T) at -13.0 kcal/mol and that of G-C at -21.0 kcal/mol[22,23].

**DEPROTONATION OF OXIDIZED GUANINE IN DOUBLE STRANDED DNA**

Under what circumstances can G°°-C in the DNA stack lose the central N1 proton making up one of the Watson-Crick hydrogen bonds? It does not have access to the aqueous phase since it is the central hydroxyl bond and is flanked by base pairs on either side in the double stranded DNA helix. It is imperative that N1-H comes into contact with the water phase (water acting as a proton acceptor), i.e. within G-C, the G(N1-H)-C(N3) Watson-Crick hydrogen bond has to be broken for the N1 proton to be lost (Figure 2). The hydrogen bonds between the base pairs may be broken in three situations: First, the “swing-out” of the bases by concerted thermal motions of the DNA strand[26,27]. This mechanism is unlikely since it takes place on the milli- to micro-second time scale and is in competition with further charge migration in the DNA helix and/or with water addition to C8 of G°°, which are considerably faster. The rate of charge migration is estimated as 5 × 10⁷/s and 6 × 10⁴/s for the water addition, i.e. in the micro- and nanosecond timescale[26,28]. Furthermore, the BPE of G°°-C is increased to -40.9 kcal/mol compared to -21.0 kcal/mol of its parent pair, inhibiting the frequency of the breathing motions of the base pair[25,26,29]. Second, when duplication of DNA occurs, the DNA strand is untwisted and the hydrogen bonds between the bases are broken to allow duplication of the strand. Third, during DNA transcription to messenger-RNA, it proceeds in a similar fashion to the duplication of DNA. In addition, it has been suggested that deprotonation occurs from the exocyclic amine group of C in G°°-C based on pulse-radiolysis and kinetic isotope experiments[31,33]. The proposed deprotonation mechanism is shown in Figure 3. This reaction cascade can lead to the G(-H)-C slipped configuration[34].

**PAIRING INFIDELITY OF THE DEPROTONATED GUANINE RADICAL**

A related question has emerged as to whether it is possible to pair T, A and G itself to G(-H)? This was investigated using the DFT method and the results are given in Figure 4[19].

---

**Figure 1 Oxidation of guanine (G) and deprotonation of its radical cation (G°°).** The pKₐ-value of G is drastically lowered upon one-electron oxidation and subsequent deprotonation of the N1 proton changes it from a hydrogen bond donor to a hydrogen bond acceptor. The number of atoms constituting G is shown. Drib: Z-deoxynucleosine moiety.

---

**Figure 2**
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Armed with the knowledge that the G(-H)•-C base pair has only two hydrogen bonds, G(-H)• was paired to T and structurally optimized. The BPE was calculated to be -10.4 kcal/mol for G(-H)•-T, which is comparable to the A-T base pairing energy (-13.0 kcal/mol).[24,25,29,35]. The relatively low energy can be explained in terms of the non-planarity of the bases with respect to each other. On the basis of the calculations, they are roughly 25° out of plane, measured at their carbonyl groups, O6 (G) and O4 (T). The distance between these oxygen atoms is 3.5 Å, which proximity leads to Coulombic repulsion and hence the non-planar conformation.

The calculated hydrogen bonding energy of the G(-H)•-A base pair is -13.6 kcal/mol, as shown in Figure 4. This binding is somewhat stronger than that for the natural A-T pairing (-13.0 kcal/mol).[24,25,29,35]. The hydrogen bond energy of G(-H)•-G (structure depicted in Figure 4) is similar to that of G-C[36]. This is not surprising as three hydrogen bonds are formed in both structures. A second type of G-G base pair is conceivable between two G(-H)• moieties (G(-H)•-G(-H)•) as shown in Figure 4. For this, the hydrogen bond energy is -18.5 kcal/mol, somewhat lower than for G(-H)•-G, since it has one less hydrogen bond. The Pt(II) electrophile coordinates at N7 of G. This acidifies the N1 proton, similar to the oxidation of G. With these Pt-G species, structures similar to G(-H)•-G and G(-H)•-G(-H)• were observed with 1H-NMR and X-ray crystallography[37], which provides experimental evidence of their existence.

ONE-ELECTRON OXIDATION DURING DNA DUPLICATION

Using in-situ photolysis electron paramagnetic resonance

Figure 2  Deprotonation-induced structural change of the G-C base pair initiated by one-electron oxidation leading to the shifted base pair G(-H)•-C. BPE: Base pairing energy.

Figure 3  A possible mechanism which involves the exocyclic amine moiety on C as the proton donor of the one-electron oxidized base pair in which the initial charge sits on G, i.e. in the complementary strand. Spin-charge separation between G and C plays a crucial role in the reaction cascade. The depicted deprotonation can lead to the formation of G(-H)•-C[34].

Armed with the knowledge that the G(-H)•-C base pair has only two hydrogen bonds, G(-H)• was paired to T and structurally optimized. The BPE was calculated to be -10.4 kcal/mol for G(-H)•-T, which is comparable to the A-T base pairing energy (-13.0 kcal/mol)[24,25,29,35]. The relatively low energy can be explained in terms of the non-planarity of the bases with respect to each other. On the basis of the calculations, they are roughly 25° out of plane, measured at their carbonyl groups, O6 (G) and O4 (T). The distance between these oxygen atoms is 3.5 Å, which proximity leads to Coulombic repulsion and hence the non-planar conformation.

The calculated hydrogen bonding energy of the G(-H)•-A base pair is -13.6 kcal/mol, as shown in Figure 4. This binding is somewhat stronger than that for the natural A-T pairing (-13.0 kcal/mol)[24,25,29,35]. The hydrogen bond energy of G(-H)•-G (structure depicted in Figure 4) is similar to that of G-C[36]. This is not surprising as three hydrogen bonds are formed in both structures. A second type of G-G base pair is conceivable between two G(-H)• moieties (G(-H)•-G(-H)•) as shown in Figure 4. For this, the hydrogen bond energy is -18.5 kcal/mol, somewhat lower than for G(-H)•-G, since it has one less hydrogen bond. The Pt(II) electrophile coordinates at N7 of G. This acidifies the N1 proton, similar to the oxidation of G. With these Pt-G species, structures similar to G(-H)•-G and G(-H)•-G(-H)• were observed with 1H-NMR and X-ray crystallography[37], which provides experimental evidence of their existence.

ONE-ELECTRON OXIDATION DURING DNA DUPLICATION

Using in-situ photolysis electron paramagnetic resonance
EPR), Hildenbrand and Schulte-Frohlinde, detected a long-lived radical (lifetime 5 s) which was produced only from double stranded DNA when ionised with <220 nm light in an aqueous solution at pH 7[38]. This radical was assigned to G(-H)•. The rate of DNA duplication was measured to be between 5-500 nucleotides/s depending on the cell type, species and other factors[39,40]. Considering the long lifetime of G(-H)• in double stranded DNA and the rapid DNA duplication rate, it emerges that in the case of one-electron oxidation during mitosis-/meiosis, G(-H)• is formed when the two strands unwind. As shown in Figure 4, it can form base pairs with all of the nucleotides with binding energies similar to the classical A-T and G-C Watson-Crick base pairs. This means that G(-H)• does not have specific affinity for C, i.e. it is completely promiscuous when it comes to base pairing. Therefore G(-H)• can pair with all of the nucleotides leading to mispairing. A depiction of this scenario is presented graphically in Figure 5.

The mechanism presented here is new and an alternative to the scenario that mispairing of DNA bases is mostly caused by oxidative end products such as 8OG[41]. These products are closed shell, i.e. they are not radical species and therefore, have a much longer lifetime than G(-H)•. 8OG is one of the many redox products which is derived from the oxidation, and the subsequent water addition, of G[17,18,42]. It can form syn-anti base pairs[43], with all of the nucleotides and these have base pairing energies of -10 kcal/mol[44]. The 8OG-T base pair is depicted in Figure 6 as an example of syn-anti base pairs.

So far, the role of DNA polymerase has not been...
considered and the DNA bases and base pairs have been treated as \textit{in vacuo} as a model. The structure of DNA polymerase and its steric limitations within the active site are well documented\textsuperscript{45-47}. The structure of the binding site in the replicating enzymes will undoubtedly have an effect on the proposed infidelity mechanism based on G(-H)\textsuperscript{+}, e.g. the rate of duplication.

CONCLUSION

In this review, an alternative mechanism for promiscuous base pairing during DNA duplication, initiated by one-electron oxidation, is proposed based on theoretical calculations. Some experimental results exist which support the existence of the non-classical base pairs discussed, i.e. the slipped G(-H)\textsuperscript{+}-C and the G(-H)\textsuperscript{+}-G base pairs. Further experimental and theoretical work is needed to corroborate the mechanism proposed. In particular, experiments conducted with time resolved resonance Raman spectroscopy on model DNA duplication systems are pertinent as well as modelling studies on the effect of DNA polymerase.

REFERENCES

\begin{enumerate}
\item von Sonntag C. Free-Radical-Induced DNA Damage and Its Repair. A Chemical Perspective. Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 2006
\item Cooke MS, Evans MD, Dizdaroglu M, Lunec J. Oxidative DNA damage: mechanisms, mutation, and disease. \textit{FASEB J} 2003; 17: 1195-1214
\item Arlt VM. 3-Nitrobenzanthrone, a potential human cancer hazard in diesel exhaust and urban air pollution: a review of the evidence. \textit{Mutagenesis} 2005; 20: 399-410
\item Merry BJ. Oxidative stress and mitochondrial function with aging-the effects of calorie restriction. \textit{Aging Cell} 2004; 3: 7-12
\item Kohanski MA, DePriest MA, Collins JJ. Sublethal antibiotic treatment leads to multidrug resistance via radical-induced mutagenesis. \textit{Mol Cell} 2010; 37: 311-320
\item Darzynkiewicz Z, Traganos F, Wlodkowic D. Impaired DNA damage response-an Achilles' heel sensitizing cancer to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. \textit{Eur J Pharmacol} 2009; 625: 143-150
\item Steenken S. Purine bases, nucleosides, and nucleotides: aqueous solution redox chemistry and transformation reactions of their radical cations and e\textsuperscript{+} and OH adducts. \textit{Chem Rev} 1989; 89: 503-520
\item Steenken S. Electron-transfer-induced acidity/basicity and reactivity changes of purine and pyrimidine bases. Consequences of redox processes for DNA base pairs. \textit{Free Radic Res Commun} 1992; 16: 349-379
\item Tissandier MD, Covén KA, Feng WY, Gundlach E, Cohen MH, Earhart AD, Coe JV. The proton's absolute aqueous enthalpy and gibbs free energy of solvation from cluster-ion solvation data. \textit{J Phys Chem A} 1998; 102: 7787-7794
\item Dean JA. Lange’s Handbook of Chemistry. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1985
\item Geimer J, Hildenbrand K, Naumov S, Beckert D. Radicals formed by electron transfer from cytosine and 1-methylcytosine to the triplet state of anthraquinone-2,6-disulphonic acid. A Fourier-transform EPR study. \textit{Phys Chem Phys Chem} 2008; 2: 4199-4206
\item Steenken S, Jovanovic SV. How Easily Oxidizable Is DNA? One-Electron Reduction Potentials of Adenine and Guanine Radicals in Aqueous Solution. \textit{J Am Chem Soc} 1997; 119: 617-618
\item Steenken S. Electron transfer in DNA? Competition by ultra-fast proton transfer? \textit{Biol Chem} 1997; 378: 1293-1297
\item Schuster GB. Long-range charge transfer in DNA: transient structural distortions control the distance dependence. \textit{Acc Chem Res} 2000; 33: 253-260
\item Giese B. Long-distance charge transport in DNA: the hopping mechanism. \textit{Acc Chem Res} 2000; 33: 631-636
\item Giese B, Spichy M. Long distance charge transport through dna: quantification and extension of the hopping model. \textit{Chem Phys Chem} 2000; 1: 195-198
\item Reynisson J, Steenken S. DFT calculations on the electro-philic reaction with water of the guanine and adenine radical cations. A model for the situation in DNA. \textit{Phys Chem Chem Phys} 2002; 4: 527-532
\item Candeias LP, Steenken S. Structure and acid-base properties of one-electron-oxidized deoxyguanosine, guanosine, and 1-methylguanosine. \textit{J Am Chem Soc} 1989; 111: 1094-1099
\item Reynisson J, Steenken S. DNA-base radicals. Their base pairing abilities as calculated by DFT. \textit{Phys Chem Chem Phys} 2002; 4: 5346-5352
\item Fersht AR. Structure and Mechanism in Protein Science. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company, 1999
\item Koch W, Holthausen MC. A Chemist’s Guide to Density Functional Theory. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 1999
\item Bera PP, Schaefer HF 3rd. G-H\textsuperscript{+} and G-C radical derived from the guanine.cytosine base pair cause DNA subunit lesions. \textit{Proc Natl Acad Sci USA} 2005; 102: 6698-6703
\item The energy contained in the hydrogen bonds between the bases
\item Yanson IK, Teplitzky AB, Sukhodub LF. Experimental studies of molecular interactions between nitrogen bases of nucleic acids. \textit{Biopolymers} 1979; 18: 1149-1170
\item Sukhodub LF. Interactions and hydration of nucleic acid bases in a vacuum. Experimental study. \textit{Chem Rev} 1987; 87: 589-606
\item Bouvier B, Grummler H. A molecular dynamics study of slow base flipping in DNA using conformational flooding. \textit{Biophys J} 2007; 93: 770-786
\item Priyakumar UD, MacKerell AD Jr. Computational approaches for investigating base flipping in oligonucleotides. \textit{Chem Rev} 2006; 106: 489-505
\item Lewis FD, Letsinger RL, Wasielewski MR. Dynamics of photoinduced charge transfer and hole transport in synthetic DNA hairpins. \textit{Acc Chem Res} 2001; 34: 159-170
\item Colson AO, Bosier B, Sevilla MD. Ab initio molecular orbital calculations on DNA base pair radical ions: effect of base pairing on proton-transfer energies, electron affinities, and ionization potentials. \textit{J Phys Chem} 1992; 96: 9787-9794
\item Hutter M, Clark T. On the Enhanced Stability of the Gua-
\end{enumerate}
nine-Cytosine Base-Pair Radical Cation. J Am Chem Soc 1996; 118: 7574-7577
31 Kobayashi K, Tagawa S. Direct observation of guanine radical cation deprotonation in duplex DNA using pulse radiolysis. J Am Chem Soc 2003; 125: 10213-10218
32 Kobayashi K, Yamagami R, Tagawa S. Effect of base sequence and deprotonation of Guanine cation radical in DNA. J Phys Chem B 2008; 112: 10752-10757
33 Anderson RF, Shinde SS, Maroz A. Cytosine-gated hole creation and transfer in DNA in aqueous solution. J Am Chem Soc 2006; 128: 15966-15967
34 Steenken S, Reynisson J. DFT calculations on the deprotonation site of the one-electron oxidised guanine-cytosine base pair. Phys Chem Chem Phys 2010; 12: 9088-9093
35 Hobza P, Kabelac M, Sponer J, Mezlik P, Vondrásek J. Performance of empirical potentials (AMBER, CFF95, CVFF, CHARM, OPLS, POLTEV), semiempirical quantum chemical methods (AM1, MNDO/M, PM3), and ab initio Hartree-Fock method for interaction of DNA bases: Comparison with nonempirical beyond Hartree-Fock results. J Comp Chem 1997; 18: 1136-1150
36 The experimental and theoretically derived values of the base pairing energy of G-C differ by ~4 kcal/mol, which is most likely due to the experimental setup. It is unable to discern between classical Watson-Crick base pairs and non-classical ones.  
37 Schröder G, Lippert B, Sabat M, Lock CJL, Faggiani R, Song B, Sigel M. Unusual hydrogen bonding patterns of N7 metallated, N1 deprotonated guanine nucleobases: acidity constants of cis-[Pt(NH)2(Hegua)]2+ and crystal structures of cis-[Pt(NH)2(egua)]4H2O and cis-[Pt(NH)2(egua)]· Hegua 7H2O (Hegua = 9-ethylguanine). J Chem Soc Dalton Trans 1995; 3767-3775
38 Hildenbrand K, Schulte-Frohlinde D. ESR spectra of radicals of single-stranded and double-stranded DNA in aqueous solution. Implications for OH-induced strand breakage. Free Radic Res Commun 1990; 11: 195-206
39 Klempner N, Zhang D, Skangalis M, O’Donnell M. Cross-utilization of the beta sliding clamp by replicative polymerases of evolutionary divergent organisms. J Biol Chem 2000; 275: 26136-26143
40 Podust VN, Podust LM, Müller F, Hübscher U. DNA polymerase delta holoenzyme: action on single-stranded DNA and on double-stranded DNA in the presence of replicative DNA helicases. Biochemistry 1995; 34: 5003-5010
41 Bruner SD, Norman DP, Verdine GL. Structural basis for recognition and repair of the endogenous mutagen 8-oxoguanine in DNA. Nature 2000; 403: 859-866
42 Burrows CJ, Muller JG. Oxidative Nucleobase Modifications Leading to Strand Scission. Chem Rev 1998; 98: 1109-1152
43 Culp SJ, Cho BP, Kadlubar FF, Evans FE. Structural and conformational analyses of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine. Chem Res Toxicol 1989; 2: 416-422
44 Reynisson J, Steenken S. The calculated base pairing energy of 8-oxoguanine in the syn–anti conformation with cytosine, thymine, adenine and guanine. J Mol Struc (Theochem) 2005; 723: 29-36
45 Johnson A, O’Donnell M. Cellular DNA replicases: components and dynamics at the replication fork. Annu Rev Biochem 2005; 74: 283-315
46 Garg P, Burgers PM. DNA polymerases that propagate the eukaryotic DNA replication fork. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 2005; 40: 115-128
47 McCulloch SD, Kunkel TA. The fidelity of DNA synthesis by eukaryotic replicative and translesion synthesis polymerases. Cell Res 2008; 18: 148-161

Reynisson J. Oxidative DNA damage