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Abstract

Children with cleft palate/lip are exposed to risk for verbal communication disorders that include resonance, articulation, voice disorders, and expressive language. The aim of this paper was to evaluate the changes in the voice quality of the children with cleft palate in relation to children without anomaly. The study included 52 participants, 26 with previously corrected cleft palate/lip, and 26 respondents without anomaly who are between 5 and 6 years old. Subjective assessment of voice quality was performed by using the GRBAS scale. Perceptual scales are important in assessing the voice quality, determining the degree and severity of voice disturbance, and deciding on further clinical procedures. Although the instrumental approach to voice examination is relevant because it provides objectivity, the subjective impression in assessing voice quality is crucial. Statistical processing was performed by groups, group structure (gender and age), a certain highest value, as well as percentage participations. The results showed that 50% of the participants were diagnosed with certain changes in the voice. Children aged 3-5 years have a 2.25 times higher incidence of voice changes than children aged 6-8 years. The largest number of participants belong to group 0. There is no change in voice quality which represents as much as 50% of the total number of patients in the first group. While "3 - Pronounced changes in the voice" were not identified in the respondents aged 3-5 years. In 50% of the total number of participants there is no change in voice quality and these are located in girls aged 3-5 years.

Извадок

Децата со расцеп на непце/усна се изложени на ризик од нарушување во вербалната комуникација кои вклучуваат резонанца, артикулација, нарушување на гласот и експресионниот јазик. Целта на овој труд беше да се огледат промените на квалитетот на гласот кај децата со расцеп на непце/усна во однос на децата без аномалија. Во истражувањето беа вклучени 52 ученици, 26 со предијавени и 26 со нерасцепени непце/усна. Субективната промена на квалитетот на гласот е оценета со скалата GRBAS. Перцептивните скали се значајни во проценка на квалитетот на гласот, одредување на степенот и тежината на потешкоството на гласот, како и во одлучување за понатамошните клинички постапки. И покрај този што инструменталниот прифаќа во испитувањето на гласот е релевантен бидејќи обезбедува обективност, субективниот изкуство во проценка на квалитетот на гласот е ключен.
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**Introduction**

Correct, clear and aesthetically pleasing voice is a means of communication between people and through speech, as a perfect form, represents the most comprehensive human activity.1

Voice disturbance can be named as anything that reduces the effect of communication and makes the voice less pleasant whereby the speaker for an exemplary strong and pleasant voice consumes too much energy. Voice disorder exists when one or more aspects of the voice e.g., strength, height, quality or resonance deviates from the norms of age or gender of the interlocutor.2,3

When we talk about voice disorders in preschool children, we usually think of childhood hoarseness. Hoarseness or dysphonia is the medical and speech therapy name for any deviation from the normal features of pitch and volume of the voice.

Dysphonia can occur at any age, even at the earliest one, and often requires intervention by various professionals, not only phonologists and otolaryngologists, but also the speech therapist himself. Increased strain of the laryngeal musculature is possible to be replaced by intensity of the neck muscles during speech especially in boys. Prevention and early detection of voice disorders are very important so that they do not linger in later years. Changes in the voice of children are most often observed in the period from the third to the sixth year, which is associated with the specifics and limited possibilities of the child's voice in this period.4

Some research show that changes in voice quality, especially in the form of hoarseness, are present in more than 50% of preschool children. The most common cause is hyperkinetic dysphonia which most often occurs due to incorrect or inappropriate use of the voice.5 The voice in hyperkinetic dysphonia is most often hoarse, with a lot of noise and hoarseness.

Children with cleft lip / palate are at risk for verbal communication disorders that include resonance, articulation, voice disorders, and expressive language.6

Many researchers note an increase in the symptom of hoarseness in patients with cleft palate compared to the normal population; however, the exact prevalence is currently unknown. Previous reports of dysphonia indicators for the cleft palate population are 12% to 43%.7 These are similar to, until present, rates of hoarseness in the normal paediatric population, estimated to be 6 to 34%.8,9,10,11

Researchers have set up many different hypotheses to explain this possible increase in hoarseness, the most common being laryngeal compensation for abnormal velopharyngeal valve.12,13

Many patients with cleft palate also have velopharyngeal insufficiency (VPI), secondary to palatal muscle orientation. Velopharyngeal insufficiency, which may persist after surgical cleft correction, may cause speech hypernasality and difficulty articulating specific consonants due to the inability to build up sufficient air pressure in the oral cavity. Studies report an incidence of hypernasality in patients with cleft palate of 25% to 40%.14

Patients with cleft palate with VPI often use compensatory mechanisms to increase articulation. Some of these mechanisms include palatal stops, posterior nasal fricative, velar
fricative, pharyngeal stops, pharyngeal fricative, and glottal stops.14

Glottal stops, in particular, have been implicated in vocal cord abnormalities and voice disorders such as hoarseness.15,16

In fact, the Cleft Palate Foundation literature states: “Children with velopharyngeal disorder may also have voice disorders. In this case, your child’s voice may sound “breathing” and he or she can get tired easily. This problem is usually caused by the strain he or she puts on the vocal cords while trying to build up the pressure necessary for normal speech.”11

There are studies in which no link has been found between hoarseness in patients with cleft palate and VPI, which calls into question the long-standing theory of laryngeal compensation as a source of hoarseness.17

The aim of this paper is to evaluate the voice changes in children with cleft palate / lips in relation to children without such anomaly.

**Material and methods**

In the Institute for Rehabilitation of Hearing, Speech and Voice - Skopje 28 patients were diagnosed with cleft palate and VPI during the two years 2019 and 2020. 26 of them are covered by speech therapy. A total of 52 participants are included in the study. Inclusion criteria:

- Respondents with previously repaired cleft palate
- Age of 3-8 years

The participants are divided into 2 groups. The first group consists of 26 (n = 26) children with previously repaired cleft lip / lip, of which 18 are girls and 8 are boys at the age of 3-8 years. The second group - control consists of 26 (n = 26) children without anomaly. The groups matched by age and gender.

The participants were examined individually in a speech therapy office in which the impact of possible noise from the environment was minimized. Before the beginning of the examination, instructions for the course of the examination about the expected activities that are expected from the participants are given. The examination was performed by three vocal therapists. The therapists were in direct contact with the patient at a distance of one metre and independently assessed the voice. The degree of agreement between the three vocal therapists using the Kappa coefficient was examined. The degree of agreement between the first and second therapist is good (kappa = 0.490, p < 0.001), as well as between the second and third (kappa = 0.610, p < 0.001) also between the first and third (kappa = 0.481, p < 0.001) which has been shown to have a high degree of agreement among vocal therapists.

Perceptual voice assessment was used as a method, which is a subjective method for assessing dysphonia. It is a non-invasive method that does not require additional equipment. The questionnaire for perceptual assessment of the voice GRBAS was used. The auditory-perceptual scale GRBAS is a focused phonetic component in assessing the quality of the voice and is most often used in practice. This scale, developed in Japan by the Japanese Society of Speech Therapists and Phoniatrics (Webb et al., 2004), is considered as the gold standard for perceptual voice assessment and is commonly used by experts around the world.18
It is used to analyse voice quality according to five parameters: G - overall grade of dysphonia or abnormality of the voice, R - roughness or psychoacoustic impression of improper vibrations of the extinguishers; B - breathiness - refers to the psychoacoustic sensation of air flow through the glottis; A - asthenia which is a weakness in the voice; S - strain (hyperfunction) in the voice during phonation.

Each parameter of the GRBAS scale is rated on a scale from 0 (normal voice) to 3 (severe pathology). That is, it is used in the evaluation where the quality of the voice is described as follows: when there is no change in the quality of the voice a score of 0 is determined, slight changes in the quality of the voice are marked with a score of 1, moderately changed voice with a score of 2, while changes in the voice with a grade 3. The score is calculated so that for each parameter is used the average value obtained by the therapist, i.e., the average grade that is determined for all three vocal therapists.18

In this research, statistical processing was performed by groups, group structure (gender and age), a certain highest value, as well as percentage participations.

**Results**

Out of a total of 52 children examined in 2019 and 2020, 15.4% are children - patients with cleft palate / lip at the age of 3-5 years, while 34.6% are children - respondents who have been diagnosed deformities at the age of 6 - 8 years.

The distribution of examined patients by years of research is 46 respondents in 2019 and only 6 in 2020, i.e., 88.5% and 11.5% by years, respectively. Hence, the results of the analysis of the obtained results as much as 88.5% refer to the diagnoses determined by the examinations in 2019, which is a dominant level of responsiveness. Due to the negligibly small number of respondents (patients) in 2020 (only 6), which refers to only one group of children aged 3-5 years, in this study the processing and analysis of test results, obtained by the examinations, refer to both years together.

Table 1: Distribution of covered patients by age and sex, shows the distribution of respondents who have been diagnosed with changes and who have not been diagnosed with any changes, by age and sex. It is evident from the numbers in the table that in the research, 50% of the respondents were diagnosed with some changes in the voice, while the other 50% of the respondents were not diagnosed with any deviations.

From the 26 diagnosed respondents who have a certain type of voice change, which are divided into 4 groups, listed above in the text below the table, the conclusion is that children aged 3-5 years have a 2.25 times higher incidence of voice changes related to children aged 6-8 years, of which girls in this group aged 3-5 years have 2 times higher incidence of voice changes than boys (ratio 6: 3 girls to boys).

In the group of participants aged 6-8 years a decrease in diagnosed patients is evident, by 67% in boys aged 6-8 years compared to those aged 3-5 years, and by 50% in girls aged 6-8 years. 8 years compared to those of 3-5 years and, by 50% in girls.

The other participants from the control group are of the same age and sex but certainly without cleft palate as an anomaly and without voice disorders.
The following, Table 2, shows the distribution of diagnosed patients with voice changes, who are disaggregated into 4 groups, as follows: 0- There is no change in voice quality; 1- Slight changes in voice quality; 2- Moderately altered voice and 3- Pronounced voice changes.

Table 1. Distribution of diagnosed patients by categories of voice changes

| Age years | First group (n=26) | Control group (n=26) |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|
|           | boys | girls | boys | girls |
| 3-5       | 6    | 12    | 6    | 12    |
| 6-8       | 2    | 6     | 2    | 6     |
| total     | 8    | 18    | 8    | 18    |
|           | 26   | 26    |      |       |

The structural analysis of the obtained results in the first group - 26 patients with cleft palate / lip, shows that, analysed by categories of deformities, in the respondents aged 3-5 years, in the category “O - There is no change in voice quality”, 87.5% are girls, while only 12.5% are boys, out of the total of 16 diagnosed patients (participants). What is significant about this group is that it includes the largest number of respondents with diagnosed deviations in general, which represents as much as 54% of the total number of patients in this First group. These 54% are located in girls aged 3-5 years.

In the category “1 - Slight changes in voice quality”, out of a total of 6 patients diagnosed aged 3-5 years, the ratio between boys and girls is...
inversely proportional to the previous diagnosis (category), i.e., 1/3 are girls, while as much as 2/3 are boys, out of the total of 6 diagnosed patients (participants). In the categories “2 - Moderately altered voice” and “3 - Pronounced changes in the voice”, during the study, no deviations were identified in the participants aged 3-5 years.

Among the participants aged 6-8 years, the analysis of the data shows that in children with cleft palate in the category “2 - Moderately altered voice”, there is an even distribution in boys and girls (50-50%), out of a total of 2 diagnosed children aged 6-8 years in this category.

**Discussion**

The results obtained in this research show that 50% of the respondents were diagnosed with some changes in the voice, while the other 50% of the respondents were not diagnosed with any deviations. In the category “0 - There is no change in voice quality”, 87.5% are girls, while only 12.5% are boys, out of a total of 16 respondents. What is significant in this group is that even in 50% of the total number of respondents there is no change in voice quality and these are located in girls aged 3-5 years.

According to the results of the research, it can be seen that there are no registered respondents with cleft palate / lip in whom there are pronounced changes in the voice in relation to the control group.

From the 26 participants (patients) diagnosed with some kind of devia-
tion in voice change, which are divided into 4 groups, listed above in the text below the table, the conclusion is that children aged 3-5 years have a 2.25 times higher incidence of voice changes in relation to children aged 6-8 years, of which girls in this group aged 3-5 years have 2 times higher incidence of voice changes than boys (ratio 6: 3 girls to boys). Out of a total of 2 diagnosed children aged 6-8 years in this category are with equal distribution among boys and girls (50-50%), in the category “2 - Moderately altered voice”.

The values of the parameters obtained from this research show that even in 50% of the total number of respondents there is no change in voice quality as shown by the research of other authors regarding voice disorders in children with cleft palate that may occur due to compensatory mechanisms of speech.17

The results showed that there is a change in the voice of children with cleft palate/ lips who have ORL infections often resulting in occasional hearing loss recorded by audiometry.

Studies show that in addition to the characteristics of speech disorders in the form of articulatory features and increased nasal air emissions, voice disorders may occur in these patients, especially in boys.13

Although future research on voice disorders in patients with cleft lip/ palate is needed, now it seems reasonable to include voice therapy strategies in the speech and language intervention plan for these patients with this type of anomaly.19

Subjective assessment of the voice quality is the analysis of the voice with its own hearing. Despite modern technology, the trained human ear is indispensable in the assessment of the voice and the most important “apparatus” in examining the subjective or psychoacoustic characteristics of the voice. Considering that subjective impression is crucial in communication, the role of perceptual voice assessment in clinical terms is extremely important. This instrument is characterized by simplicity in application and economy. Perceptual assessment is inevitable in the domain of interpretation of the objective ways of evaluating the quality of the voice, it is a mean of diagnosis and assessment of the success of the therapy of voice disorder. Perceptual assessment results may be influenced by different criteria of the examiner as well as differences in the experiences of the examiners.20,21

The reliability of the Grbas scale has been examined by De Bodt et al. (De Bodt, Wuyts, Van de Heyning, &Croux, 1997). The results of the research of these researchers show that the reliability of this scale is satisfactory. Aguero et al. (Agüero, Tulli, Moscardi, Gonzalez, &Uriz, 2011) examined the reliability of the Grbas scale and compared the results obtained with other methods of subjective assessment of voice quality. (The Consensus Auditory-Perceptual Evaluation of Voice - CAPE-V; Buffalo Voice Profile - BVP; Vocal Profile Analysis Scheme - VPA). The results of these studies show that the Grbas scale is the most reliable compared to other methods with good reliability for all parameters of the voice, except for the scale S whose certainty was moderate. When compared to GRBAS, CAPE-V showed slightly better assessor reliability.22,23

The shortcomings of subjective voice assessment can be overcome by researching the correlation between acoustic and perceptual parameters of voice quality as well as reaching an agreement on terminology and using numerical rating scales.24
Ongoing work on more effective assessment focuses on a physio psychoacoustic model linking voice production, acoustics, and perception.\textsuperscript{20,25}

**Conclusion**

In addition to the proper stimulation of speech and language development in children, it is extremely important to monitor possible changes in the state of the voice in childhood, especially in children with certain congenital anomalies.

When it comes to perceptual assessment of voice, previous research indicates some shortcomings, such as the competence and experience of examiners, as well as mutual disagreement in assessing the degree of expression of certain characteristics of voice quality.

The main disadvantage of perceptual voice evaluation is the problem of description. There are no reliable verbal terms describing vocal features, although there is a constant need to define descriptive terminology.

To get more accurate results, more research on voice changes in children with palate cleft palate and velopharyngeal dysfunctions should be done in future, and also, at the same time, checking the state of hearing.

The obtained more accurate results give an accurate insight into the condition of the voice in children with this type of congenital anomaly. If there are changes in the voice, then the condition is more complex and accordingly the speech therapy will be the same. This is very important for its proper course and success.
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