Spin Crossover in Bipyridine Derivative Bridged One-Dimensional Iron(III) Coordination Polymer
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Abstract: Herein, the syntheses, solid-state molecular structures, and characterization of two types of one-dimensional Fe(III) coordination polymers showing thermally induced spin crossover are reported. The reaction of [Fe(acen)Cl] (acen = N,N'-ethylenebis(acetylacetonylidineamine) with 3,3'-bpy or 4,4'-bpy (bpy = bipyridine) produced zigzag and linear one-dimensional chain complexes, [Fe(acen)(3,3'-bpy)][BPh4] (1) or [NEt4][Fe(acen)(4,4'-bpy)][BPh4];0.5(4,4'-bpy) (2), respectively, as confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Variable-temperature single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements, continuous-wave X-band electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra, Fe Mössbauer spectra, and DC magnetic susceptibility data revealed that complex 1 exhibited a gradual and complete spin crossover at a transition temperature of 212 K, while complex 2 undergoes an incomplete spin crossover even at 400 K.
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1. Introduction

First-row transition metal complexes with a d 4–d 7 electron configuration can adopt two electron configurations, high spin (HS), and low spin (LS), which differ in terms of spin ground states. When both HS and LS states are energetically similar, switching between the two spin states reversibly occurs when external stimuli including temperature, pressure, light irradiation, and magnetic fields are applied in a process known as spin crossover (SCO) [1–11]. Complexes exhibiting SCO have attracted significant attention because of their wide range of potential applications, such as in thermochromic displays, actuators, (multi-modal) sensors, and data storage systems, as well as in molecular spintronics [12–19]. Moreover, a number of approaches of the nanostructural patterning based on SCO complexes have also been studied [20–26].

The most extensively studied SCO moieties are Fe(II) complexes with a 3d 4 electron configuration because of a distinct spin transition from a paramagnetic HS state (S = 2) to a diamagnetic LS state (S = 0), or vice versa, which generally show abrupt spin transitions and high cooperativity in the solid state [1–11]. In contrast, Fe(III) SCO complexes have not been as extensively reported as Fe(II) SCO complexes, mostly due to the lack of cooperativity associated with Fe(III) SCO complexes [27,28]. To overcome this obstacle, several fascinating Fe(III) SCO complexes that exhibit high cooperativity have been realized by introducing relatively weak supramolecular contacts, including hydrogen bonding.
and π-π stacking interactions between SCO active centers in the packed crystal. These have also been shown to result in abrupt spin transition, multistep spin transition, wide thermal hysteresis loop, and light-induced excited spin state trapping effect [27,28]. However, previously reported almost Fe(III) SCO complexes are discrete mononuclear and dinuclear complexes. To further expand the basic understanding of magnetostructural correlations in highly cooperative Fe(III) SCO complexes, the development of Fe(III) SCO coordination polymer candidates with structurally high dimensionality is necessary. As an initial attempt to create novel Fe(III) SCO coordination polymers, a one-dimensional (1D) coordination polymer structure was proposed.

To date, SCO-active Fe(III) complexes have been explored using Schiff base ligands with a NaO2 coordination environment [27,28]. From this perspective, constructing coordination polymers can be achieved by crystal engineering via combination of an Fe(III) precursor with a macrocyclic-type Schiff base ligand furnished with a NaO2 coordination donor set, as a building block and a N-donor bridging ligand.

Herein, the syntheses, solid-state molecular structures, and characterization of two new one-dimensional Fe(III) SCO coordination polymers composed of [Fe(acen)]+ (acen2– = N,N’-ethylenebis(acetylacetonilideneaminate)) as a building block and 3,3’- and 4,4’-bpy (3,3’- and 4,4’-bipyridine) as bridging ligands are reported.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Syntheses and General Characterization

The complex, [Fe(acen)(3,3’-bpy)][BPh4] (1), was obtained via stepwise assembly reactions. The first reaction is the synthesis of the cationic Fe(III) building block, [Fe(acen)]+, which is readily prepared via the reaction of acen2– deprotonated by triethylamine (NEt3) in situ with anhydrous FeCl3 in EtOH [29,30]. Subsequent reaction of [Fe(acen)]+ and 3,3’-bpy with NaBPh4 in a 1:1:1 molar ratio in MeOH afforded dark green block-shaped crystals of 1, suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) analysis. Unfortunately, the single crystals suitable for SCXRD analysis were not obtained using the same assembly reaction as that for 1 with 4,4’-bpy instead of 3,3’-bpy. Alternatively, isolation of dark green plate-shaped crystals of [NEt3][Fe(acen)(4,4’-bpy)][BPh4]·0.5(4,4’-bpy) (2) via one-pot reaction between H3acen, NEt3, FeCl3, 4,4’-bpy, and NaBPh4 in a 1:2:1:1:1 molar ratio in MeOH was successful.

Both 1 and 2 showed characteristic Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) peaks, indicating the presence of BPh4− (~700 and 730 cm−1) and a Fe(III)-coordinated imine moiety (~1580 cm−1), as indicated in Figure S1. The phase purity of 1 and 2 was confirmed via powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements. Experimental PXRD patterns of polycrystalline 1 and 2 were in agreement with the calculated patterns based on SCXRD data for the complexes. Therefore, no complexes exhibited crystal polymorphism and both polycrystalline samples adopted a uniform crystalline phase (Figure S2). The purities of 1 and 2 were confirmed by elemental analyses (Experimental Section). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) indicated that polycrystalline samples 1 and 2 are thermally stable up to ~420 K (Figure S3).

2.2. Structural Descriptions

The SCXRD measurements of 1 and 2 were performed at 100 and 300 K (Table S1).

At both temperatures, complex 1 crystallized in a monoclinic space group P21/c, with the asymmetric unit containing [Fe(acen)(3,3’-bpy)]+ and a BPh4− anion (upper part of Figure 1a). The Fe(III) center approximated a distorted octahedral geometry (octahedral distortion parameter, Σ [31]; Σ = 35.82(6) and 36.81(7)° at 100 and 300 K), with an equatorial plane occupied by a NaO2 coordination donor from an acen2– ligand, while each axial site was bridged via two N ends of a 3,3’-bpy ligand in a trans configuration with dihedral angles between pyridine rings of 36.35 and 32.68° at 100 and 300 K, forming a zigzag 1D chain (lower part of Figure 1a). At 100 K, the average Fe–Oequatorial, Fe–Nequatorial, and Fe–Naxial distances were consistent with those in the LS Fe(III) complexes with similar coordination environments of related Fe(III)acen-type complexes (Table S2) [32–38]. In contrast, the
corresponding distances at 300 K were significantly longer than those observed at 100 K, suggesting a thermally induced SCO between 100 and 300 K (Tables 1, 2 and S2). The changes of these coordination bond distances are comparable with those previously reported for Fe(III)(acen)-type SCO complexes (Tables 2 and S2). No lattice solvent molecules were present in the crystal packing and the intrachain Fe(III)...Fe(III) separations were determined to be 9.5895(7) and 9.8189(7) Å at 100 and 300 K, respectively. Each zigzag 1D chain of 1 propagated along the crystallographic c axis in the crystal packing, separated by bulky BPh₄⁻ anions, with the closest interchain Fe(III)...Fe(III) separation of 9.3105(5) and 9.6025(8) Å at 100 and 300 K, respectively (Figure 2a).

Complex 2 crystallized in the monoclinic space group P2₁/n at 100 and 300 K. The asymmetric unit consisted of a [Fe(acen)(4,4′-bpy)]⁺ unit, a NEt₃H⁺ cation, two BPh₄⁻ anions, and half of a non-coordinating 4,4′-bpy molecule. Similar to 1, the Fe(III) center was situated in a distorted octahedral geometry (Σ = 32.8(1) and 26.92(9)° at 100 and 300 K, respectively), with the same coordination environment as 1 (the upper part of Figure 1b). No significant changes in the coordination bonds were observed at 100 or 300 K, while coordination bond angles did show some changes (Tables 1, 2 and S2). Unlike 1, the Fe(III) center linearly coordinated to neighboring Fe(III) centers via bridging 4,4′-bpy ligands, thereby adopting a linear 1D chain arrangement. Neighboring 1D chains were aligned parallel along the a–c direction, with intrachain Fe(III)...Fe(III) separations of 11.098(1) and 11.1610(8) Å at 100 and 300 K, respectively (lower part of Figure 1b). Remarkable hydrogen bonding interactions were observed between the NEt₃H⁺ cations and pyridyl N ends of a non-coordinating 4,4′-bpy molecule in the crystal packing (Figure S4). This dense assembly containing cationic 1D chains of [Fe(acen)(4,4′-bpy)]⁺, BPh₄⁻ anions, and hydrogen bonding between NEt₃H⁺ cations and 4,4′-bpy molecule excluded lattice solvent molecules in the crystal packing (Figure 2b). The closest interchain Fe(III)...Fe(III) separations were 8.707(1) and 8.9505(8) Å at 100 and 300 K, respectively.

Although the coordination environments of 1 and 2 were similar, with the exception of chain topology and arrangement, different conformations of the C₆N₂ backbone were observed with respect to the acen²⁻ ligand moiety. In particular, the acen²⁻ geometry of 1 adopted a meso conformation, whereas that of 2 adopted an envelope conformation. Therefore, the substantial distortion of the acen²⁻ geometry induces the LS state for complexes containing [Fe(acen)]⁺, consistent with previous studies (Tables S2) [32–38].
Figure 1. Solid-state molecular structures at 100 K with thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability level (upper), showing numbering schemes for central metal and coordinating atoms only, where symmetry codes: (i) and (ii) \(x, -y + 3/2, z - 1/2\) and their 1D chain arrangements (lower) for (a) 1 and (b) 2. Orange, gray, blue, and red spheres represent Fe, C, N, and O atoms. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) \(^1\) for 1 and 2 at 100 and 300 K.

|          | 1 (100 K) | 1 (300 K) | 2 (100 K) | 2 (300 K) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| **Bond distances** |           |           |           |           |
| Fe1–N1   | 1.911(2)  | 2.025(2)  | 1.890(3)  | 1.912(3)  |
| Fe1–N2   | 1.921(2)  | 2.039(2)  | 1.912(3)  | 1.922(2)  |
| Fe1–N3   | 2.048(2)  | 2.199(2)  | 2.004(3)  | 2.036(3)  |
| Fe1–N4\(^i\) | 2.049(2)  | 2.188(2)  | 1.998(4)  | 2.035(2)  |
| Fe1–O1   | 1.912(1)  | 1.929(1)  | 1.906(2)  | 1.904(2)  |
| Fe1–O2   | 1.901(1)  | 1.921(2)  | 1.896(2)  | 1.894(2)  |
| **Bond angles** |           |           |           |           |
| N1–Fe1–N2 | 85.51(7)  | 81.91(7)  | 85.1(1)   | 84.7(1)   |
| N1–Fe1–N3 | 88.33(6)  | 94.49(6)  | 94.1(1)   | 93.8(1)   |
| N1–Fe1–N4\(^i\) | 94.10(6)  | 88.98(6)  | 94.1(1)   | 93.8(1)   |
| N2–Fe1–N3 | 93.62(6)  | 90.58(6)  | 93.4(1)   | 92.5(1)   |
| N2–Fe1–N4\(^i\) | 90.62(6)  | 94.72(7)  | 89.3(1)   | 90.0(1)   |
| N1–Fe1–O1 | 94.51(6)  | 90.77(6)  | 93.8(1)   | 93.23(9)  |
| N2–Fe1–O2 | 93.77(6)  | 90.15(7)  | 94.4(1)   | 93.89(9)  |
| N3–Fe1–O1 | 91.50(6)  | 84.23(6)  | 89.1(9)   | 89.79(8)  |
| N3–Fe1–O2 | 87.87(6)  | 88.94(6)  | 86.9(9)   | 87.18(8)  |
| N4–Fe1–O1 | 84.27(6)  | 90.88(6)  | 88.2(9)   | 87.82(9)  |
| N4–Fe1–O2 | 89.76(6)  | 88.30(6)  | 90.8(9)   | 90.19(9)  |
| O1–Fe1–O2 | 86.56(6)  | 97.47(6)  | 86.9(9)   | 88.30(8)  |
| N3–Fe1–N4\(^i\) | 175.27(6) | 174.03(6) | 176.6(1) | 176.50(9) |
| N1–Fe1–O2 | 176.08(5) | 171.36(7) | 175.1(1) | 175.8(1) |
| N2–Fe1–O1 | 174.88(6) | 170.68(7) | 177.3(1) | 176.9(1) |
| \(\sum\) | 35.82(6)  | 36.70(6)  | 32.8(1)   | 26.92(9)  |

\(^1\) Symmetry codes: (i) \(x, -y + 3/2, z - 1/2\).

Table 2. Changes of coordination bond distances (Å) \(^1\) between the LS and HS states in 1, 2, related complexes.

| Complex \(^2\) | \(\Delta\)Fe–O\(_{\text{equatorial}}\) | \(\Delta\)Fe–N\(_{\text{equatorial}}\) | \(\Delta\)Fe–N\(_{\text{axial}}\) | Reference |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| [Fe(acen)(3,4-Mepy):][BPh\(_4\)] | 0.024 | 0.141 | 0.150 | 34 |
| [Fe(acen)(bpyp)][BPh\(_4\)] | 0.020 | 0.138 | 0.165 | 35,36 |
| [Fe(acen)(bimb)][BPh\(_4\)] | 0.030 | 0.117 | 0.116 | 36 |
| 1 | 0.019 | 0.116 | 0.146 | this work |
| 2 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.034 | this work |

\(^1\) Average values. \(^2\) Ligand abbreviations: 3,4-Mepy = 3,4-dimethylpyridine, bpyp = 1,3-bis(4-pyridyl)propane, and bimb = bimb = 1,4-bis(imidazolyl)butane.
Figure 2. Crystal packing diagrams of (a) 1 and (b) 2 at 100 K, showing top (left) and side (right) arrangements of the cationic 1D chains as a green colored ball and stick model and other molecules as a blue colored space-filling model. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

2.3. Continuous-Wave X-band Electron Paramagnetic Spectroscopy

Continuous-wave X-band electron paramagnetic resonance (CW X-band EPR) spectroscopy is useful, because Fe$^{III}$ complexes with octahedral coordination geometry are Kramers systems, and are generally EPR-active at X-band frequencies (~0.3 cm$^{-1}$) under magnetic fields (~1 T), regardless of the electron configuration of the Fe$^{III}$ center (i.e., LS ($S = 1/2$) or HS ($S = 5/2$)). However, it should be noted that for the HS Fe$^{III}$ center effective $g$ values were obtained, which are only useful in a qualitative sense. The lack of quantitation arises from the dependence on the magnitude of the zero-field splitting energies for the HS state of $S = 5/2$ [39]. The CW X-band EPR spectra of polycrystalline 1 and 2 were collected at 90–280 K (Figure 3).

At low temperatures, the EPR spectra of 1 can be described as a complete and well-resolved LS state, $S = 1/2$, indicating highly rhombicity of the $g_x$, $g_y$, and $g_z$ values (1.919, 2.086, and 2.408, respectively), and an average $g$ value of 2.138 (Figure 3a). The observed $g$ values are characteristic of LS Fe$^{III}$ complexes with similar coordination environments [40–47]. With the increasing temperature, the EPR signals centered at the average $g$ value of 2.138 for 1 gradually broadened from rhombic to isotropic symmetry, and became steadily indistinct. Instead, a new signal centered at approximately $g = 4.3$ appeared and steadily grew, corresponding to a gradual change from the LS to HS state with highly rhombicity ($E \approx D/3$; where $D$ and $E$ are the axial and rhombic zero-field splitting parameters, respectively) [48], ultimately becoming very broad dominated by the HS signal at 280 K.

The low temperature EPR spectra of 2 showed isotropic signals centered at $g \approx 2.137$, which is considerably similar to the average $g$ value of 2.138 observed for the LS state of 1 (Figure 3b). With increasing temperature, the EPR signals of 2 showed slight line broadening, while a strongly rhombic HS signal at $g \approx 4.3$ appeared only at the high temperature region and was not overly
dominant. Therefore, the FeIII center of 2 remained in a quasi-LS state throughout the temperature range measured.

Therefore, both 1 and 2 undergo thermally induced SCO from LS to HS in the solid-state. These results also suggest that 1 exhibited a gradual and complete SCO, while 2 displayed incomplete SCO over the temperature range measured herein.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Continuous-wave (CW) X-band electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra of polycrystalline samples for (a) 1 and (b) 2 collected in the temperature 90–280 K in 10 K intervals.

2.4. Magnetic Properties

To further prove thermally induced SCO for 1 and 2, the temperature dependence of molar DC magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_M$), measurements were performed using a SQUID magnetometer on the polycrystalline samples over the temperature range of 2–400 K, under an applied field of 0.5 T at a scan rate of 2 K min⁻¹ (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Plots of the $\chi_M T$ versus temperature over the temperature range 2–400 K in an applied dc field of 0.5 T at scan rate of 2 K min⁻¹ for 1 (black) and 2 (blue).

At 2 K, the $\chi_M T$ ($\chi_M$ times temperature) value for 1 was determined to be 0.52 cm³ K mol⁻¹, which is consistent with the expected $\chi_M T$ value at $g > 2$ for an essentially complete LS state for the
FeIII center. With the increasing temperature, the $\chi M T$ values increased steadily, with a more rapid increase in the $\chi M T$ data between 120 and 300 K, which is indicative of thermally induced SCO. This thermal spin transition ($T_{1/2}$) occurred at ~210 K without thermal hysteresis, as confirmed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC; Figure S5). At temperatures of >120 K, the $\chi M T$ values again gradually increased to 4.24 cm$^{-1}$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 400 K, characteristic of a fully HS state for the FeIII center. The $\chi M T$ value for 2 was approximately 0.60 cm$^{-1}$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K, remaining constant up to 200 K, and then gradually increases to 2.78 cm$^{-1}$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 400 K. In contrast to 1, the FeIII center of 2 remained in a near LS state even at 400 K (inflection point at ~380 K), undergoing incomplete SCO.

To quantify the energy separation ($\Delta E$) between the zero-point levels of the LS ($^7T_2$) and HS ($^5A_1$) states in 1, which exhibited complete SCO, the temperature dependence of $\chi M T$ data was fitted to the following molecular vibrational partition function (Equation (1)) [27,49,50]:

$$
\chi M T = \frac{1}{8} \left[ \frac{3}{4} g^2 + 8 \left( \frac{\zeta}{k_B T} \right)^{-1} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( \frac{-3 \zeta}{2 k_B T} \right) + 105 C \exp \left( -\frac{\zeta}{k_B T} \left( \frac{1 + \Delta E}{\zeta} \right) \right) \right] \right] 
+ 2 \exp \left( \frac{-3 \zeta}{2 k_B T} \right) + 3 C \exp \left( -\frac{\zeta}{k_B T} \left( \frac{1 + \Delta E}{\zeta} \right) \right)
$$

(1)

where $T$ is the absolute temperature, $g$ is the Landé $g$ factor, $C$ is the molecular partition function ratio in the LS and HS states, and $\zeta$ is the spin-orbit coupling. The adequate parameters determined from fitting the data obtained from 1 are summarized in Table 3 (Figure S6). Compared to values previously reported for related FeIII(acen)-type SCO complexes, those of 1 are similar in magnitude, whereas those of 2 are relatively large in magnitude. These large values of 2, in contrast to 1, likely result from crystal packing differences brought about by the dense assembly. In fact, the difference in the crystal packing between 1 and 2 are to be reflected in the thermodynamic parameters (vide infra).

Table 3. Diagnostic spin crossover (SCO) parameters for 1 and related complexes.

| Complex | $g$ | $\zeta$ (cm$^{-1}$) | $\Delta E$ (cm$^{-1}$) | $C$ | Reference |
|---------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| [Fe(acen)(4-Mepy)][BPh4] | 2.14 | 360 | 652 | 76 | 50 |
| [Fe(acen)(3,4-Mepy)][BPh4] | 2.13 | 430 | 528 | 151 | 50 |
| [Fe(acen)(bppy)][BPh4] | 2.14 | 163 | 536 | 76 | 49,50 |
| 1 | 2.14 | 150(5) | 675(7) | 125(4) | this work |
| 2 | 2.14 | 334(4) | 1431(11) | 311(9) | this work |

1 Ligand abbreviations: 4-Mepy = 4-methylpyridine, 3,4-Mepy = 3,4-dimethylpyridine, and bppy = 1,3-bis(4-pyridyl)propane. 2 Values obtained from EPR spectra, which were fixed in the simulation.

To determine the thermodynamic parameters associated with the thermally induced SCO for 1, the enthalpy ($\Delta H$) and entropy ($\Delta S$) changes were calculated using the temperature dependence of $\gamma_{\text{HS}}$ (molar fraction of the HS state), which also used the following model proposed by Slichter and Drickamer (Equation (2)) [51]:

$$
T = \frac{\Delta H + \Gamma (1 - 2 \gamma_{\text{HS}})}{R \left( \frac{1 - \gamma_{\text{HS}}}{\gamma_{\text{HS}}} \right) + \Delta S}
$$

(2)

where $T$ is the absolute temperature, $\Gamma$ is the cooperativity evaluation parameter ($C = \Gamma / 2RT_{1/2}$), and $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$). The obtained parameters are listed in Table 4 (Figure S7). The calculated $\Delta S$ values were larger than those expected for SCO between the HS and LS states ($\ln(2S_{\text{HS}} + 1) / (2S_{\text{LS}} + 1) = 9.134$ J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), indicating a vibrational contribution to $\Delta S$ associated with bond softening (especially in the ligand-metal bonds) via SCO transition [52,53]. The value of $\Gamma$ for 1 and 2 was absent, indicative of a less cooperative SCO.
rapid electronic relaxation between the LS and HS states. Thus, the electronic relaxation of Mössbauer spectrum of throughout the measured temperature range. Despite undergoing complete SCO, each Table 6). Mössbauer spectra were collected on the polycrystalline solids at select temperatures (Figure 5a) and geomet associated with SCO for the previously reported Fe57 reported, only one report of [Fe(acen)(pin)][BPh4·3(MeOH)] showed a relatively abrupt SCO [37]. However, to date, no structurally characterized example of an Fe57(acen)-type SCO complex has been shown to exhibit abrupt and complete SCO, as well as thermal hysteresis. The parameters associated with SCO for the previously reported Fe57(acen)-type SCO complexes with six-coordinate geometry are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Summary of Fe57(acen)-type SCO complexes.

| Complex 1 | Type of SCO 2 | T1/2 (K) 3 | Conformation 4 | Reference |
|-----------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| [Fe(acen)(Him)][BPh4] | n.a. | n.a. | envelope | 30,32,40 |
| [Fe(acen)(py)][BPh4] | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 30 |
| [Fe(acen)(4-NH4py)][ClO4] | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 30,40 |
| [Fe(acen)(3-Mepy)][ClO4] | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 30 |
| [Fe(acen)(4-Mepy)][BPh4] | g, c | 240 | n.a. | 30,40,50 |
| [Fe(acen)(3,4-Mepy)][BPh4] | g, c | 224 | meso | 34,40,50 |
| [Fe(acen)(1-Buim)][PF6] | g, ic | n.a. | envelope | 38 |
| [Fe(acen)(1-Buim)][TeF6] | g, ic | n.a. | n.a. | 38 |

discrete dinuclear system

| (tvpH)][Fe(acen)(μ-tvp)(tvp)][(tvpH)][BPh4·1,5(MeOH)] | g, ic | n.a. | envelope | 37 |

1D chain system

| [Fe(acen)[Bpe][BPh4] | g, ic | n.a. | n.a. | 37 |
| [Fe(acen)(bpdh)][BPh4·(MeOH)] | g, ic | n.a. | n.a. | 37 |
| [Fe(acen)(bix)][BPh4·(MeOH)] | g, ic | n.a. | n.a. | 37 |
| [Fe(acen)(pin)][BPh4·3(MeOH)] | a, c | 135 | n.a. | 37 |
| [Fe(acen)(bpy)][BPh4] | g, c | 193 | meso | 35–37,49,50 |
| [Fe(acen)(bimb)][BPh4] | g, ic | 296 | meso | 36 |
| 1 | g, c | 212 | meso | this work |
| 2 | g, ic | 364 | envelope | this work |

1 Abbreviations: Him = imidazole, py = pyridine, 4-NH4py = 4-aminopyridine, 3-Mepy = 3-methylpyridine, 4-Mepy = 4-methylpyridine, 4,4-Mespy = 4,4-dimethylpyridine, 1-Buim = 1-butylimidazole, TeF6 = bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonfony]azanide, tvp = 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane, bpe = 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane, bpdh = 2,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-3,4-diazio-2,4-hexadiene, bix = 1,4-bis(1-methylimidazolyl)benzene, pin = N-(4-pyridyl)sionicotinamide, bppy = 1,3-bis(4-pyridyl)propane, and bimb = 1,4-bis(imidazolyl)butane. 2 4 n.a., g, a, c, and ic denote not analyzed, gradual, abrupt, complete, and incomplete, respectively. 4 The C2:6 backbone conformation of the acacen57 ligand.

2.5. 57Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy

For further spectroscopic verification of complete SCO in 1, variable-temperature 57Fe Mössbauer spectra were collected on the polycrystalline solids at select temperatures (Figure 5a) and Table 6). 57Fe Mössbauer spectral data of 2 were not collected as the Fe57 center remained the LS state throughout the measured temperature range. Despite undergoing complete SCO, each 57Fe Mössbauer spectrum of 1 at different temperatures contained only a single symmetric doublet. The observation of the single doublet in the 57Fe Mössbauer spectra of Fe57 SCO complexes arises from a rapid electronic relaxation between the LS and HS states. Thus, the electronic relaxation of 1 between
the two spin states is faster than the time scale of \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (~10\(^{-7}\) s), and the \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectra report the average between the LS and HS states [49,50]. At high temperatures, the respective \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectra exhibit an asymmetric quadrupole doublet, due to the spin-lattice relaxation effect [54]. The considerable broadening of the \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectra with increasing temperature was attributed to the Debye-Waller factor [54]. Indeed, absorption at 300 K is no more than ~1% and all spectra could be fitted with a single quadrupole doublet featuring a single line width. The resulting parameters (isomer shifts, \(\delta\), quadrupole splittings, \(\Delta E_Q\), and line widths, \(\Gamma\)) are listed in Table 2 and shown in Figure 5b. The estimated \(\delta\), \(\Delta E_Q\), and \(\Gamma\) parameters indicated a notable thermal dependence, consistent with the variable-temperature X-band EPR spectra and magnetic susceptibility data (vide supra).

![Figure 5.](image)

**Figure 5.** (a) Variable-temperature \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectra of 1 between 8.5 and 300 K under zero applied dc magnetic field. Black crosses and solid red lines represent experimental data and fits, respectively. (b) Temperature dependence of \(\delta\) (upper plot), \(\Delta E_Q\) (middle plot), and \(\Gamma\) (lower plot) of 1. Solid black lines serve as guides.

| \(T\) (K) | \(\delta\) (mm s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\Delta E_Q\) (mm s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\Gamma\) (mm s\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 300      | 0.342(10)     | 1.677(20)     | 0.633(31)     |
| 250      | 0.302(18)     | 1.890(35)     | 0.697(50)     |
| 200      | 0.285(12)     | 2.310(24)     | 0.832(40)     |
| 175      | 0.240(8)      | 2.655(16)     | 0.790(27)     |
| 150      | 0.238(6)      | 2.781(12)     | 0.454(19)     |
| 100      | 0.237(7)      | 2.853(4)      | 0.357(7)      |
| 8.5      | 0.235(2)      | 2.905(4)      | 0.285(6)      |

1 The \(\delta\) is referenced to \(\alpha\)-iron at 300 K.

3. Experimental Section

3.1 Material and Methods
Anhydrous FeCl₃, MeOH, and EtOH were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). 3,3’-bpy, 4,4’-bpy, and NaBPh₄ were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI) Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). All chemicals were of reagent grade and were used as received. All reactions and manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions at 20 °C. [Fe(acen)Cl] was prepared using the published procedure [29,30].

3.2. Synthesis of [Fe(acen)(3,3’-bpy)][BPh₄] (1)

A colorless solution of 3,3’-bpy (78 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 10 mL MeOH was added dropwise to a stirred purple solution of [Fe(acen)Cl] (157 g, 0.5 mmol) in 30 mL of MeOH, affording a green mixture. The mixture was stirred and heated at 50 °C for 15 min and then hot filtered. A colorless solution of NaBPh₄ (171 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 30 mL MeOH was added to the hot filtrate. The resulting dark green solution was allowed to stand for several days at 20 °C, to afford dark green block-shaped crystals. The crystals were collected via filtration, washed with a small amount of ice-cold MeOH, and subsequently air-dried. Yield: 196 mg (52%). IR (ATR): νB-C = 701 and 733 cm⁻¹, and νC=N = 1580 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd. For CsHsBFeN₂O₂: C, 73.32%; H, 6.15%, N, 7.44%. Found: C, 73.28%; H, 6.21%, N, 7.37%. Phase purity was confirmed by PXRD measurements.

3.3. Synthesis of [NEt₄][Fe(acen)(4,4’-bpy)][BPh₄]-0.5(4,4’-bpy) (2)

A colorless solution of Hacen (112 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 40 mL MeOH was added to solid anhydrous FeCl₃ (81 mg, 0.5 mmol). To the resulting mixture, NEt₄ (101 mg, 1 mmol) was added dropwise, and stirred and heated at 50 °C for 15 min, during which time the color of the reaction mixture changed from red to purple. Solid 4,4’-bpy (78 mg, 0.5 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 15 min and subsequently hot filtered. A colorless solution of NaBPh₄ (171 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 30 mL MeOH was added to the hot filtrate. The resulting dark green solution was allowed to stand for several days at 20 °C, affording dark green plate-shaped crystals. The crystals were collected via filtration, washed with a small amount of ice-cold MeOH, and subsequently air-dried. Yield: 232 mg (37%). IR (ATR): νB-C = 704 and 733 cm⁻¹, and νC=N = 1580 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd. For CsHsBFeN₂O₂: C, 77.64%; H, 6.92%, N, 6.71%. Found: C, 77.29%; H, 6.88%, N, 6.56%. Phase purity was confirmed by PXRD measurements.

3.4. Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography

Single crystals of 1 and 2 were coated with Nujol, quickly mounted on MicroLoops (MiTeGen LLC., Ithaca, NY, USA), and immediately cooled in a cold dinitrogen stream. The data collections were performed on a R-AXIS RAPID II IP diffractometer (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71075 Å) and a low-temperature device. The data integration, preliminary data analysis, and absorption collections were performed on a Rigaku CrystalClear-SM 1.4.0 SP1 [55], using the CrystalStructure 4.2.2 [56] crystallographic software packages. The molecular structures were solved by the direct methods included in SIR2011 [57] and refined with the SHELXL [58] program. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. CCDC 2007100–2007103 for 1 and 2 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper and can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033. All the hydrogen atoms were included in the calculated positions. Table S1 summarizes the lattice constants and structure refinement parameters for complexes 1 and 2.

3.5. Physical Measurements

The elemental analyses were performed on a J-Science Lab Micro Corder JM10 (J-Science Lab Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-6200 spectrometer equipped with an attenuated total reflectance accessory (ATR) (JASCO Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) in the range of 650–4000 cm⁻¹ at 293 K. PXRD data were collected at 293 K with a RIGAKU MultiFlex
4. Conclusions

Two novel 3,3′- and 4,4′-bipyridine-bridged Fe(III) 1D chain complexes (1 and 2) were synthesized herein using a cationic mononuclear precursor, [Fe(III)(acen)]+, as a building unit for constructing 1D Fe(III) spin crossover coordination polymers. The SCXRD structures of 1 and 2 featured 1D chains, which can be considered as extended 1D zigzag and linear coordination polymer arrangements, respectively. Notably, 1 underwent thermally induced gradual and complete SCO with a transition temperature of 212 K, whereas 2 also showed thermally induced incomplete SCO even at 400 K. Current efforts are focusing on preparing coordination architectures with higher dimensionality, such as two-dimensional layers and three-dimensional MOF-like structures, which may induce cooperative SCO behavior, accompanied by abrupt and wide thermal hysteresis.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2312-7481/6/3/29/s1, Table S1: Single crystal X-ray crystallographic data for 1 and 2; Table S2: Comparison of coordination bond distances for 1, 2, and related complexes; Figure S1: FTIR spectra for 1 and 2; Figure S2: PXRD data for 1 and 2; Figure S3: TG profiles for 1 and 2; Figure S4: View of N–H···N hydrogen bonding interactions between NEt3 and 4,4′-bpy for 2; and Figure S5: DSC curves for 1; Figure S6: Temperature dependence of χM/T data with best fits for 1 and 2; Figure S7: Temperature dependence of χMS data with best fits for 1 and 2.
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