Technical Points Regarding New Enterostomy Formation for Incarcerated Stomal Prolapse in Loop Enterostomy
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Abstract

Aim: Incarcerated stomal prolapse is a rare complication of enterostomy. Numerous procedures have been described, such as additional laparotomy to fix the intraabdominal intestine in place, enterostomy revision, or correction of the prolapse following stoma creation. The authors report successful managements by stomal reconstruction and discuss several clinical points, including the techniques of surgical revision for incarcerated stomal prolapse in loop enterostomy. Patients: Case 1) A female infant weighing 2755 g was delivered at 34 weeks of gestation. On the first day after birth, a right supra-abdominal transverse incision of 10 cm in diameter was used for transverse loop colostomy in a cloacal malformation. Two centimeters of the stomal loop was approximated with sutures to prevent evisceration of the small intestine between the 2 limbs of the loop. Interrupted sutures of 5-0 absorbable monofilament secured the seromuscle of the colon to the peritoneum and fascia, and also to the skin. The distal limb of the colostomy prolapsed 11 months after birth. The physical findings revealed that 10 cm of the distal limb was intussuscepted. Case 2) A female infant weighing 2550 g was delivered at 39 weeks of gestation. A radiological examination by contrast enema showed no spastic rectum and colon, as in Hirschsprung’s disease. Under the laparotomy of a right supra-abdominal transverse incision of 5 cm in diameter, loop ileostomy was performed at 30 cm on the proximal side of the cecum as Case 1. Subsequently, the proximal limb of the ileostomy prolapsed 2 days after operation. The physical findings revealed that 10 cm of the proximal limb was intussuscepted. New enterostomy formation: Divided enterostomy was performed with 3-cm stitching of each limb. The stomal site was moved to the inside from the previous stomal site to oversew and fix by the rectal fascia. The children have been well without trouble since undergoing the new enterostomy formation. Conclusions: Operation to repair the prolapse of a stoma is advised if it causes problems. We found that simple mobilization of the bowel and excision of the redundant bowel provided a satisfactory result in the present cases.
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1. Introduction

Intestinal stoma formation in infants and children is a common procedure undertaken as part of the surgical management of congenital malformations and acquired conditions of the gastrointestinal tract [1]. Complications of stoma have been decreasing recently due to improvements in both surgical techniques and the stoma care system. However, we sometimes still encounter patients who have stoma problems. The placement of a stoma for the diversion of intestinal flow in children, particularly in neonates, may present problems because a very dilated bowel must be brought through a thin abdominal wall [2]. Stomal prolapse occurs rather frequently with loop stomas, but incarceration is rare [2,3]. Both proximal and distal parts of the limbs of the stoma can prolapse, which can lead to ischemia of the stoma or of the extruded bowel [4]. Numerous procedures have been described, such as additional laparotomy to fix the intraabdominal colon in place [2,5], enterostomy revision, or correction of the prolapse following stoma creation [4]. Golladay, et al. [2] reported that creation of an end loop stoma by
the purse string technique prevented prolapse and could be accomplished expeditiously. However, occasionally, the stoma must be revised [6]. Management strategies we have employed include operating on one patient with incarcerated stomal prolapse.

We describe herein 2 infants: first, an infant with a cloacal anomaly who underwent reconstruction for incarcerated stomal prolapse in a loop colostomy; second, an infant with Hirschsprung’s disease who underwent reconstruction for incarcerated stomal prolapse in a loop ileostomy. After reviewing other cases, we discuss several special operative techniques regarding new stomal formation for incarcerated stomal prolapse in loop colostomy and ileostomy, especially with regard to the suspected causes of stomal prolapse.

2. Case Report

2.1. Case 1

A female infant weighing 2755 g was delivered by normal vaginal delivery at 34 weeks of gestation. Prenatal ultrasonography (US) had not disclosed any abnormal findings. Immediately after birth, severe abdominal distention became evident. On physical examination, a single perineal opening implied the presence of a cloacal malformation. Laboratory examinations revealed neither anemia nor signs of inflammation. A plain radiograph disclosed multiple bubbles in the intestine. Abdominal US disclosed right hydrosalpinx. On the first day after birth, a right supra-abdominal transverse incision of 10 cm in diameter was used for the drainage of the right fallopian tube and transverse loop colostomy. Two centimeters of the stomal loop was approximated with sutures to prevent evisceration of the small intestine between the 2 limbs of the loop. Triangular stitches were made to the rectal fascia and peritoneum, and to each limb of the colonic loop at the point where the 2 limbs of the loop were in contact with one another. Interrupted sutures of 5-0 absorbable monofilament secured the seromuscle of the colon to the peritoneum and fascia, and also to the skin. To avoid tension on the underlying suture line, a small rubber tube was placed under the loop for 5 days.

A cystocutaneostomy was performed 2 months after birth because of right vesicoureteral reflux with relapsing urinary tract infections. Thereafter, right ureterocutaneostomy was performed 8 months after birth. She had neither sacral neural anomalies nor disturbances which affected abdominal muscle tone.

The distal limb of the colostomy prolapsed 11 months after birth. The physical findings revealed that 10 cm of the distal limb was intussuscepted, and the color of the intussuscepted colon was dark-brown (Figure 1). The patient could not undergo early colostomy closure because her body size was too small for a radical operation to repair the cloacal anomaly. Manual, gentle reduction of the intussuscepted colon was successful, and the patient was prepared for reconstruction of the stoma by the administration of oral antibiotics and fasting for 24 hr before the surgery. The stomal opening was two-fingers wide.

For reconstruction of the stoma, a transverse abdominal incision near the medial angle of the stoma was placed in the previous wound 7 days after the manual reduction. There was little abdominal ascites and the suture fixation to each limb of the colonic loop was loose (Figure 2). Ileocecal resection was required for stoma-revision of the divided colostomy because the colostomy had been placed in the 4-cm oral portion of the ascending colon from the appendix on the basis of operative findings. Divided colostomy was performed with 3-cm stitching of each limb (Figure 2). The stomal site was moved to the inside from the previous stomal site to oversew and fix by the rectal fascia (Figure 2). Fluids were administered by mouth for 48 hr, and thereafter, milk feedings were resumed. The child has been well without trouble for 3 years from stomal reconstruction.

2.2. Case 2

A female infant weighing 2550 g was delivered by normal vaginal delivery at 39 weeks of gestation. She evacuated once a week at 6 months after birth, and vomiting appeared at 11 months old. She was referred to our institute for further evaluation of constipation and vomiting at 1 year old. Neither sacral neural anomalies nor disturbances which affected abdominal muscle tone were noted.

Figure 1. Appearance of incarcerated stomal prolapse in Case 1.
suffered from enterocolitis 3 times since the diagnosis of Hirschsprung’s disease.

Under the laparotomy of a right supra-abdominal transverse incision of 5 cm in diameter, loop ileostomy was performed at 30 cm on the proximal side of the cecum because of the presence of bowel caliber change at 10 cm on the proximal side of the cecum. Two centimeters of the stomal loop was approximated with sutures to prevent evisceration of the small intestine between the 2 limbs of the loop. Triangular stitches were made to the rectal fascia and peritoneum, and to each limb of the ileum loop at the point where the 2 limbs of the loop were in contact with one another. Interrupted sutures of 5-0 absorbable monofilament secured the seromuscle of the colon to the peritoneum and fascia, and also to the skin.

Subsequently, the proximal limb of the ileostomy prolapsed 2 days after operation. The physical findings revealed that 10 cm of the proximal limb was intussuscepted, and the intussuscepted ileum was not discolored. The stomal opening was two-fingers wide. Manual, gentle reduction of the intussuscepted ileum was successful; however, the stoma prolapsed from one to two times per day and gradually discolored (Figure 3). We performed the reconstruction of the stoma at 14 days after the operation.

For reconstruction of the stoma, a transverse abdominal incision near the medial angle of the stoma was placed in the previous wound. There was little abdominal ascites, and the suture fixation to each limb of the ileum loop was loose. Ileal resection of 40 cm was required for stoma-revision of the divided ileostomy because the prolapsed proximal ileum was edematous and erythematous. Divided ileostomy was performed with 3-cm stitching of each limb (Figure 2). The stomal site was moved to the inside from the previous stomal site to oversew and fix by the rectal fascia (Figure 2). Fluids were administered
by mouth for 48 hr, and thereafter, milk feedings were resumed. The child has been well without trouble since undergoing the modified Duhamel pull-through technique (Martin) at 2 years old.

3. Discussion

Loop colostomy is constructed mainly as a temporary diversion for stools in patients with high or intermediate anorectal malformation or Hirschsprung’s disease [7,8]. Sigmoid loop colostomy has been shown to be associated with fewer complications compared to transverse loop colostomy [7]. It is therefore advisable to construct a sigmoid loop colostomy whenever possible. However, transverse colostomy is constructed in patients with cloacal anomalies, such as our Case 1, based on the consideration that there is insufficient distal colon for a pull-through operation. Ileostomy is performed in patients with total colonic or extensive Hirschsprung’s disease in order to facilitate bodyweight gain and prevent enterocolitis.

Stomal prolapse is defined as protrusion of at least one limb of the enterostomy for more than 6 cm beyond the skin surface [3]. Prolapse has more in common with intestinal intussusception than it does with hernia, and, as a corollary, is more related to point fixation and intestinal motility than to increased intra-abdominal pressure and abdominal wall laxity [3]. It was suspected that distal bowel obstruction at the time of enterostomy and loose fixation of each limb of the enteric loop were reasons for stomal prolapse through our case experience.

Stomal prolapse is the most frequently noted complication of colostomy, occurring in 3 to 8% of all colostomies, and stomas in children are more likely to prolapse than those in adults [3]. Obstruction at the time of colostomy, such as in our case of cloacal anomaly, is the all-encompassing predisposing factor of stomal prolapse. Chandler, et al. [3] reported that revision was needed in 2 of 30 children (6.7%) with external intestinal prolapse for significant obstructive stomal prolapse [3]. Four patients (6% of the prolapse group) were subjected to an extra operative procedure because of prolapse. Twice in one child and once in an adult, an attempt was made to fix the prolapse in a reduced position by button colopexy. This “spot welding” technique was unsuccessful both times in the child but held the adult’s colon in place until an appropriate time for colostomy closure [3]. One attempt was made to fix the prolapsing bowel to the parietal peritoneum, using open laparotomy and multiple suture points of fixation, but this prolapse recurred.

Chandramouli, et al. [1] reported that revision was needed in 2 of 30 children (6.7%) with external intestinal prolapse for significant obstructive stomal prolapse [3]. Four patients (6% of the prolapse group) were subjected to an extra operative procedure because of prolapse. Twice in one child and once in an adult, an attempt was made to fix the prolapse in a reduced position by button colopexy. This “spot welding” technique was unsuccessful both times in the child but held the adult’s colon in place until an appropriate time for colostomy closure [3]. One attempt was made to fix the prolapsing bowel to the parietal peritoneum, using open laparotomy and multiple suture points of fixation, but this prolapse recurred. Chandramouli, et al. [1] reported that 2 prolapses were treated by advancement and amputation of the prolapsing segments, with reconstruction of the colostomy in the same location but separating the 2 limbs by a fascial bridge [3]. Al-Salem, et al. [7] reported that stomal revision was required because of frequent prolapse in 2 of 14 children who suffered prolapse. The revision rate for colostomy prolapse is lower, but when required, usually in a loop enterostomy such as in our cases, the loop is cut and converted to a divided type [1]. The stoma should
preferably be directed through the strong muscle layer of the rectus muscle and provided with sufficient stomal limbs [6].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the creation of an abdominal stoma should not be regarded as a minor surgical procedure. Operation to repair the prolapse of a stoma is advised if it causes problems. We found that simple mobilization of the bowel and excision of the redundant bowel provided a satisfactory result in the present cases.
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