Macroinvertebrates on the front lines: projected community response to temperature and precipitation changes in Mediterranean streams
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ABSTRACT
As temperatures rise and precipitation patterns change, approaches are needed for early detection of the effects on ecosystems. Macroinvertebrate data collected from 81 sites with multiple habitat kick sampling were compiled for this study. We used a binary logistic regression model to predict the probability of local extinction or range expansion of aquatic macroinvertebrates with changes in temperature and precipitation in watersheds of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain. Aquatic insect families from the following orders were found to be sensitive to an increase in average spring temperatures: Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Megaloptera, Trichoptera, Coleoptera and Diptera. Taxa in Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera, Hemiptera and Coleoptera showed negative relationships with minimum (winter) temperature, maximum spring temperature and/or precipitation. One mayfly, Caenis, exhibited a positive relationship with minimum temperature. These results indicate that these aquatic communities are expected to change significantly in the short-term, with the potential to alter stream ecosystem functioning. Mediterranean ecosystems are considered biodiversity hotspots, and biotic communities in freshwater habitats in these areas may be particularly vulnerable to projected increases in temperature and decreases in precipitation. The ability of taxa to withstand these changes will depend on resistance, resilience and dispersal capabilities of the aquatic macroinvertebrates as well as available habitat.
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Introduction
Mediterranean region streams have unique community structures adapted to natural disturbance, especially in relation to the markedly seasonal precipitation and periodic drought typical of this climate type, as well as a long history of anthropogenic disturbance (Gasith and Resh 1999; Bolle 2012; Filipe et al. 2012; IPCC 2013; Bonada and Resh 2013). Regional projections of climate patterns in the next decades include rising temperatures, less frequent and more severe precipitation events, more severe droughts and lower annual precipitation (Arnell 1999a, 1999b; Arnell 2004; Milly et al. 2005; IPCC 2013). These changes are expected to affect the quality and quantity of aquatic habitat through top-down and bottom-up mechanisms, altering ecosystem structure and function. Changes
in these communities would be expected to have a large effect on the entire aquatic ecosystem, in part due to the important role aquatic macroinvertebrates play in aquatic and terrestrial food webs (Wallace and Webster 1996; Nakano et al. 1999; Nakano and Murakami 2001).

For the period 1970–1997 in the Segura Watershed, Spain, spring temperatures increased more than in any other season, annual maximum temperature increased by 0.125 °C year\(^{-1}\) (Horcas et al. 2001), and short-term projections indicate an increase by 0.3–0.7 °C for the period 2016–2035 relative to 1986–2005 (IPCC 2013). Regional climate models for Castilla-La Mancha, Spain, predict increases in temperatures in summer (5–7 °C) and winter (3–4 °C) by the year 2070 for the A2 scenario (high population growth, locally focused economic solutions), with approximately 1 °C lower for B2 scenario predictions (medium population growth with a focus on local solutions to environmental issues; PROMES, Gallardo et al. 2001, and PRUDENCE, De Castro et al. 2004; Christensen and Christensen 2007). By 2100, PRUDENCE results for the A2 scenario predict greater variability in temporal patterns and reductions in winter precipitation of 0–0.25 mm day\(^{-1}\) and summer precipitation of up to 0.5 mm day\(^{-1}\) in south-eastern Spain, which translates to 90–180 mm year\(^{-1}\) for some areas that receive only 400 mm year\(^{-1}\) on average (De Castro et al. 2004). This would shift Mediterranean climate regions to arid climates (Arnell 1999b). Threats to biodiversity as well as freshwater resources are predicted for all Mediterranean watersheds with these changes in precipitation and temperature (Vörösmarty et al. 2010).

Temperature is the main influencing factor on life cycles and metabolism of insects and non-insect macroinvertebrates (Howe 1967; Vannote et al. 1980; Brittain 1982; Merritt et al. 1982; Sweeney et al. 1992, 1995). Observational studies on the effects of rising temperatures on aquatic insect communities have documented northward range shifts in the United Kingdom (Hickling et al. 2005), increases or decreases in abundance of some taxa in Northern Europe (Burgmer et al. 2007), earlier emergence (Hogg and Williams 1996; Harper and Peckarsky 2006; Cid et al. 2008), declines in fitness (Peckarsky et al. 2001) related to metabolic costs and reduced taxa richness (Arai et al. 2015). Predictive models based on historical data on aquatic insect communities show potential for further changes, including decreases in abundance and local extinction (Bonada et al. 2007; Durance and Ormerod 2007) due to reduced availability of suitable habitat (Domisch et al. 2013). However, other studies show little to no predicted change in composition (Domisch et al. 2011). Therefore, analyses are needed for specific regions to detect threats and patterns.

The interaction between low precipitation and warm annual temperatures defines the Mediterranean region as water-stressed (UNCED 1993; Bates et al. 2008), and life histories of aquatic taxa are adapted to periods of drought with timing of less vulnerable life stages or use of refugia (Gasith and Resh 1999). Upland streams rely heavily on baseflow from groundwater, but they will be affected by decreased availability of groundwater and surface water through changes in precipitation patterns (Durance and Ormerod 2007; Xu et al. 2009; Kingston and Taylor 2010). Changes in precipitation will affect the quality and quantity of available habitat via changes in surface and groundwater quality and quantity, water temperature, wetted area, velocity regimes and seasonal discharge patterns, including more extreme floods and droughts (Swift et al. 1988; Boix-Fayos et al. 1998; Arnell 1999a, 1999b; Bonada et al. 2004; Filipe et al. 2012; Arai et al. 2015; Tang et al. 2015). Streamflow will be impacted greatly by increased temperatures as well due to relatively high evapotranspiration rates (Vicuña et al. 2011). Water quantity is an important aspect of available habitat given hydraulic preferences of taxa, seasonal changes in flow, temperature and water quality as well as the interconnectedness of these habitat characteristics (Poff et al. 1997; Chadwick et al. 2006).

Temperature tolerance data are available in some areas of the world for some aquatic insect taxa (Merritt et al. 2008; Tachet et al. 2010; Dallas and Rivers-Moore 2012; Chown et al. 2015), but this information is imprecise and/or scarce for Iberian taxa. Flow preferences have also been documented for types of waterways and flow categories (i.e. temporary or perennial streams, slow or fast-flow) (Extence et al. 1999; Tachet et al. 2010) or for setting ecological flows (Bovee 1982). Temperature and flow are major drivers of the distribution of an organism (Southwood 1977), and can
be directly related to habitat and distribution with a statistical species distribution model (Durance and Ormerod 2007; Kearney and Porter 2009). Although the species distribution model approach has its criticisms (Araújo and Peterson 2012; Railsback 2016), it can be useful for a first estimate of the macroinvertebrates that are most sensitive to rising temperatures and decreasing precipitation, and it can be applied when the main assumptions are low influence of biotic interactions and dispersal limitations (Hampe 2004).

In this study, we used observed distribution patterns of aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa to predict the possible effects of increased temperatures and decreased precipitation in three watersheds in Castilla-La Mancha, Spain. To examine changes in temperature and precipitation in a few meaningful metrics, we used a one-degree increase in three temperature metrics (average spring temperature, minimum winter temperature and maximum spring temperature) and two different measures of precipitation (100 mm decrease in annual precipitation and an increase by 0.1 (unitless) in the winter North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) Index values).

Hypotheses on aquatic macroinvertebrate sensitivity to changes in temperature and precipitation were based on functional traits (Extence et al. 1999; Doledec et al. 2007; Tachet et al. 2010) and our experience on the distributions of taxa, specifically those known to be present in small, cool streams (Mellado 2005; Navarro-Llácer 2006). The aquatic macroinvertebrates we expected to be relatively sensitive to a one-degree increase in temperature include all Plecoptera; Trichoptera: all genera within Limnephilidae, Sericostoma spp. (Sericostomatidae), Agapetus spp. (Glossosomatidae); Hemiptera: Aphelocheirus sp. (Aphelocheiridae), Ephemeroptera: Paraleptophlebia spp. (Leptophlebiidae); Diptera: Atrichops and Atherix spp. (Athericidae) and Sialis spp. (Sialidae) based on our work. Reduced precipitation is expected to negatively affect taxa that require fast-flowing waters: all Plecoptera; Ephemeroptera: Ecdyonurus, Epeorus, Heptagenia, Rithrogena spp. (Heptageniidae), Paraleptophlebia spp. (Paraleptophlebiidae); Trichoptera: Hydropsyche spp., Cheumatopsyche spp. (Hydropsychidae); Coleoptera: Dryops spp. (Dryopidae). We expected these taxa to respond to the predictors because they are likely to be the first affected by changes in temperature and precipitation. Macroinvertebrates not expected to show responses with temperature or precipitation include taxa that are ubiquitously distributed, disturbance tolerant or show wide variability in genus level traits: all other Diptera, Coleoptera and Hemiptera included, Baetidae, Caenis spp. (Caenidae); Potamanthus spp. (Potamanthidae); Hydroptila spp. (Hydroptilidae); Chimarra spp. (Philopotamidae); Setodes, Athripsodes spp. (Glossosomatidae), Lype, Metalyte, Tinodes, Psychomyia spp. (Psychomyiidae), Gammaridae and Dugesiidae. The present study is a first step toward understanding short-term changes in freshwater biota with an increase in temperature and a decrease in precipitation in a Mediterranean climate, an important approach for detecting early signs of these changes (Filipe et al. 2012).

Methods

Biomonitoring data

The study area includes three watersheds in Castilla-La Mancha, Spain: the Tajo, Júcar and Segura Watersheds (Figure 1). Annual precipitation in the headwaters and mid-reaches of all three watersheds studied ranges from 400 to 800 mm, with the highest amounts in the Júcar and Tajo Watersheds and lower values in the Segura Watershed. Average annual air temperature is between 12 and 15 °C for the entire study area for the period 1971–2000 (Moreno et al. 2005).

Macroinvertebrate data collected from 2001–2012 for regional stream biomonitoring were downloaded from the Regional Center for Water Studies database (CREA, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Albacete). Samples were collected with 250 μm mesh kick-nets and a multiple habitat approach through the use of two different methods: qualitative sampling following the GUADALMED/PRECE protocol (Jáimez-Cuéllar et al. 2002) and semi-quantitative sampling using the 20-kick multi-habitat method (Barbour et al. 1999; Hering et al. 2004). A subset of 250 individuals was
Figure 1. Map of study area: the Tajo, Júcar and Segura headwaters contained in Castilla-La Mancha Autonomous Community. Weather stations are marked with triangles, sample sites are marked with circles.
subsampled and identified to family or genus for both sampling methods. We combined data from the two sampling methods by converting abundance to presence–absence. One study comparing qualitative and semi-quantitative sampling methods failed to detect significant differences in biotic indices due to sampling protocol (Bonada et al. 2002).

Macroinvertebrate family data from 81 samples taken in spring, summer or fall from 39 sites in headwater streams were compiled from different years between 2001 and 2009 (Table 1). Some sites were sampled only once, others were sampled during different years (maximum 4). Although some seasonal variation has been observed, sampling any season except winter yields relatively similar communities in this region (Hering et al. 2004; Navarro-Llacer 2006; Vannucchi et al. 2017). Multiple samples at a site during different years are treated as independent because they are being related to annual weather metrics. These samples were identified to family, but trait information is available at the genus level. We researched the genera present within each family (Bonada et al. 2004; Mellado 2005; Navarro-Llacer 2006). To verify these genera, we identified subset of 13/81 of the samples to genus as well as 57 independent samples not included in this study, and these genera were used in the model, with probability of presence–absence as the response variables, and temperature and precipitation metrics as predictors. Macroinvertebrate families were chosen for the model if they were not present in all locations sampled because the statistical analyses require absence in some areas to derive regression relationships. We chose taxa for the analysis based on traits related to temperature and flow. These traits included respiration through tegument or gills, cold water or possibly eury-thermic taxa, oligo- or mesotrophic, distribution in the crenon or rhithron, use of coarse substrate and lotic waters (Traits 10,11,13,18, 20, 22 in Tachet et al. 2010).

Researchers debate the best methods for application of species distribution models regarding presence–absence vs. abundance data (Howard et al. 2014), scale, and their accuracy and precision (Pearson and Dawson 2003), among other considerations. For aquatic macroinvertebrates, this approach can be confounded by local habitat effects. In this study, we chose sites from upper reaches where there is no apparent influence of flow regulation by dams and little developed land (Table 2), and therefore low direct influence of human activities on stream ecosystems. All sites are considered reference conditions (Navarro-Llacer 2006). Therefore, our assumptions are (1) that presence of a taxon is a relevant indicator of habitat suitability, (2) that there will be source populations nearby for taxa able to expand in range and (3) that taxa distributions can be directly related to climate metrics. Although using regression has its criticisms (García-Valdés et al. 2013), it has been applied in different areas of ecological research (Shaunbhogue and Gore 1987; Trexler and Travis 1993; Manel et al. 1999; Keating and Cherry 2004; Wilson 2009). Although logistic regression has not been used extensively for freshwater macroinvertebrate response to climate change, it is appropriate to explore which taxa might be the first affected by increasing temperature and decreasing precipitation, and can be used to suggest locations and taxa for more in-depth study.

**Meteorological data**

Meteorological data for our temperature and precipitation metrics were obtained from the nearest weather station for all points from the National Meteorological Agency (AEMET 2012). Average and maximum spring temperatures were used because of their relationships with emergence cues and developmental rates, especially in reference to earlier emergence (Sweeney et al. 1995; Hogg and Williams 1996); small increases in temperatures can also affect egg hatching, growth rate, grouping or mating (Wright et al. 1982; Sweeney 1993; Romey and Rossman 1995; Harper and Peckarsky 2006; Haidekker and Hering 2008; Arribas et al. 2012) (Table 2).

**Water temperature metrics**

Water temperature tends to fluctuate with the same pattern as air temperature, but with smaller amplitude (Sweeney 1993; Mohseni and Stefan 1999). Continuous temperature data were collected
from the Lietor sample site in the Segura Watershed for the period April 2009–September 2009 with HOBO® temperature data loggers. The Lietor site logger was close to weather station 7, so these data were used to derive air–water temperature relationships. We calculated the metrics average spring temperature (AsprT), maximum spring temperature (MsprT) and minimum temperature (MinT)

| Order/family | Ephemeroptera | Genera | Tajo # sites with taxa | Jucar | Segura |
|--------------|---------------|--------|------------------------|-------|--------|
| Caenidae     | Caenis        | 16     | 16                     | 22    |
| Ephemereilidae| Ephemera     | 12     | 10                     | 3     |
| Heptagenidae | Ecaryonurus, Epeorus, Heptagenia, Rhithrogena | 24 | 24 | 20 |
| Leptophlebiidae | Paraleptophlebia | 22 | 21 | 7 |
| Oligoneuridae | Oligoneura, Oligoneuras | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| Plecoptera   | Leuctra       | 19     | 25                     | 16    |
| Nemouridae   | Protonemura   | 15     | 15                     | 4     |
| Perlidae     | Perla, Eoperla, Dinocras | 14 | 12 | 6 |
| Odonata      | Boyeria       | 11     | 26                     | 12    |
| Calopterygidae | Calopteryx  | 15     | 25                     | 11    |
| Coenagrionida| Coenagrion, Ceriagrion | 8 | 16 | 7 |
| Gomphidae    | Orychogomphus | 16 | 25 | 20 |
| Platycnemidiae | Platycnemis | 4 | 9 | 6 |
| Coleoptera   | Dryops        | 8      | 24                     | 11    |
| Gyrinidae    | Autogrus, Orectochilus, Gynus | 16 | 23 | 12 |
| Halilidae    | Halilus, Pelodytes | 9 | 24 | 7 |
| Hydraenidae  | Hydraena, Ochthebius | 19 | 20 | 11 |
| Hemiptera    | Aphelocheirus | 6      | 1                      | 3     |
| Gerridae     | Gerris        | 11     | 28                     | 14    |
| Diptera      | Atherix, Atrichops | 20 | 24 | 12 |
| Dixidae      | Dixa, Dixella | 9 | 15 | 10 |
| Tabanidae    | Tabanus       | 16     | 12                     | 11    |
| Tipulidae    | Tipula        | 11     | 10                     | 13    |
| Trichoptera  | Agapetus      | 9      | 9                      | 5     |
| Hydropsychidae | Hydropsye, Cheumatopsye | 22 | 28 | 22 |
| Hydroptilidae | Hydroptila, Orthotrichia | 9 | 17 | 14 |
| Leptoceridae | Setodes, Atthropsodes | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Linnephiliidae | Limnephi, Drusus, Anamallopterygella, Halesus, Allogamus, Potamophylax | 22 | 19 | 6 |
| Philopotamidae | Chimarra | 10 | 2 | 13 |
| Polyceratopodidae | Polyceratopus, Cyrnus | 17 | 14 | 4 |
| Psychomyiidae | Lyre, Metalyre, Psychomyia, Tinodes | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Rhyacophilidae | Rhyacophilia | 19 | 21 | 13 |
| Sericostomatidae | Sericostoma | 22 | 12 | 1 |
| Other taxa    | Sialis        | 19     | 13                     | 4     |
| Malacostraca: Amphiopoda: | Echinogammarus | 12 | 13 | 4 |
| Tricladiida: Turbellaria: | Dugesia | 10 | 6 | 12 |
| Total number of samples | 29 | 24 | 28 |
of water from air temperatures (AirT) with three separate equations; linear and polynomial lines were tested because extreme values have been found to show better fit with a polynomial relationship, while average values tend to fit a linear relationship (see Mohseni and Stefan 1999). The air–water temperature relationship fit a linear equation for average (AsprT = 0.53 × AirT + 4.67, $R^2 = 0.92$) and maximum (MsprT = 1.85 × AirT − 1.85, $R^2 = 0.79$) spring temperatures. A polynomial equation related minimum water and air temperatures: MinT = 0.009 × AirT$^2$ + 0.82 × AirT + 0.584, $R^2 = 0.91$.

**Statistical analyses**

We examined the relationships between water chemistry parameters (dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, sulfate and major ions) and macroinvertebrate presence/absence for each taxon with a Generalized Linear Model: the only significant relationship was between Sericostoma spp. and specific conductance (results not shown). A Kruskal–Wallis, non-parametric analysis was performed with Mann–Whitney pairwise comparisons to detect differences in the presence/absence macroinvertebrate data among watersheds. We found no significant relationships with the taxa of interest. Thus, our assumptions for subsequent analyses were that water chemistry and watershed were not distinct factors.

Average spring temperature (AsprT), maximum spring temperature (MsprT), minimum winter temperature (MinT), NAO winter index value (NAOI) and annual precipitation (Appt) were used in binary logistic regression models for each taxon selected. Binary logistic regression uses a logistic distribution to predict the probability of change in the response variable (values 0 or 1), with a one-unit increase in a continuous predictor variable (Rawlings et al. 1998; Peng et al. 2002). A one-degree increase in air temperature can be expected to occur between 20 and 50 years from now (De Castro et al. 2004; IPCC 2013). Precipitation was divided by $\div 100$ so the model would predict change with a 100 mm decrease in precipitation. NAO values oscillate between 2 and $\div 2$, so a one-unit increase is not very likely in the near future. NAO index values were divided by 10 so the regression would examine the changes in taxa with a 0.1 unit increase in NAO (small increase in temperature and decrease in precipitation; Hurrell 1995). A forward conditional stepwise selection of predictor variables was applied so that the model contained only significant predictor variables ($p < 0.05$). Unless specified above, all analyses were performed with SPSS software 17.0 (Somers, NY). The output of the regression models was used to determine how changes in temperature and precipitation relate to the probability of presence of the taxa studied.

**Results**

The binary logistic regression models with climate variables as predictors were significant for predicting the probability of the presence or absence of 15 families (28 potential genera; Tables 3 and 4). The other 18 families (21 potential genera) had non-significant binary logistic regression models. The output of logistic regression is the probability that the dependent variable (each taxon) will change from 0 to 1 or 1 to 0 with a one-unit increase in the predictor variable or variables. The constant $e$ raised to the power of the model coefficient ($e^B$) describes the probability of change.

| Watershed | MinT (°C) | AsprT (°C) | MsprT (°C) | AnnPpt (mm) | NAO winter | % urban | % agricultural | % forested |
|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|---------|----------------|------------|
| Tajo      | -12.6     | 3.17       | 9.4        | 0.50        | 19.8       | 1.31    | 338.3          | 98.09      |
| Jucar     | -9.6      | 4.07       | 10.5       | 1.16        | 21.0       | 1.82    | 454.1          | 182.76     |
| Segura    | -3.1      | 3.09       | 12.4       | 0.85        | 21.9       | 1.30    | 336.1          | 98.69      |
| Family            | Genera                        | Constant B | H-L X² | H-L df | H-L sig | Nagelkerke R² | Model X² | Model sig | df | % correct 0, 1 | Confidence intervals for B values |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------|--------|---------|---------------|----------|-----------|----|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Caenidae          | Caenis                        | 0.65       | 16.64  | 7      | 0.020   | 0.16          | 10.58    | 0.005     | 2  | 42.81          | 1.01 to 1.21 (MinT)               |
| Ephemerellidae    | Ephemerella, Torteya          | 11.74      | 8.21   | 7      | 0.315   | 0.08          | 5.49     | 0.019     | 1  | 30,85          | 0.01 to 0.17 (MinT), 0.23 to 0.73 (AsprT) |
| Nemouridae        | Protonemura                   | 3.16       | 8.51   | 7      | 0.290   | 0.08          | 4.96     | 0.026     | 1  | 89.31          | 1.13 to 1.70 (MinT), 0.26 to 0.91 (AsprT) |
| Perlidae          | Perla, Eoperla, Dinocras      | 9.02       | 14.14  | 7      | 0.049   | 0.32          | 21.98    | 0.000     | 3  | 88.36          | 0.02 to 0.84 (NAOI)               |
| Dryopidae         | Dryops                        | 1.29       | 18.36  | 6      | 0.005   | 0.08          | 5.41     | 0.020     | 1  | 40.76          | 0.53 to 0.97 (AsprT)              |
| Gyrinidae         | Autogyrus, Orectochilus, Gyrinus | 3.92      | 19.86  | 7      | 0.006   | 0.08          | 4.93     | 0.026     | 1  | 40.78          | 0.29 to 0.76 (AsprT), 1.10 to 2.59 (MsprT) |
| Hydraenidae       | Hydraena, Ochthebius          | −2.56      | 13.93  | 7      | 0.551   | 0.17          | 11.47    | 0.003     | 2  | 54.76          | 0.39 to 1.00 (MsprT)              |
| Aphelocheiridae   | Aphelocheirus                 | 7.65       | 6.68   | 6      | 0.351   | 0.10          | 4.68     | 0.031     | 1  | 100.0          | 0.39 to 1.00 (MsprT)              |
| Athericidae       | Athenix, Atrichops            | 4.85       | 15.53  | 7      | 0.030   | 0.10          | 6.50     | 0.011     | 1  | 20.91          | 0.50 to 0.92 (AsprT)              |
| Glossosomatidae  | Agapetus                      | 3.02       | 9.53   | 7      | 0.217   | 0.08          | 4.76     | 0.029     | 1  | 100.0          | 0.46 to 0.98 (AsprT)              |
| Limnephilidae     | Limnephilus, Drusus, Anomalopterygella, Halesus, Allogamus, Potamophylax | 7.75 | 5.85 | 7 | 0.557 | 0.27 | 19.50 | 0.000 | 1 | 68.76 | 0.35 to 0.70 (AsprT) |
| Philopotamidae    | Chimarra                      | 6.01       | 17.54  | 7      | 0.014   | 0.20          | 13.66    | 0.000     | 1  | 78.55          | 0.37 to 0.77 (AsprT)              |
| Psychomyiidae     | Lype, Metatype, Psychomyia, Tinodes | 13.49 | 5.62 | 7 | 7.000 | 0.68 | 0.26 | 0.002 | 2 | 100.30 | 1.11 to 1.74 (MinT), 0.28 to 0.98 (MsprT) |
| Sericostomatidae  | Sericostoma                   | 11.22      | 11.10  | 7      | 0.135   | 0.34          | 25.09    | 0.000     | 2  | 77.66          | 0.27 to 0.62 (AsprT)              |
| Sialidae          | Sialis                        | 3.91       | 13.29  | 7      | 0.065   | 0.10          | 6.81     | 0.009     | 1  | 73.46          | 0.49 to 0.92 (AsprT)              |
When $e^B > 1$, the odds ratio (OR) = $e^B$, and the taxon is likely to appear/be present (change from 0 to 1) with a one-unit increase in the predictor variable at the probability indicated by $e^B$. For example, with $e^B$ of 1.11, Caenis is 1.11 times likely to be present with a one-unit increase in minimum winter temperature, or 111% likely to be present (change from belonging to group 0 to 1). When $0 < e^B < 1$, OR = 1/$e^B$, as in the case of Gyrinidae ($e^B = 0.72$), the probability of taxon loss (change from 1 to 0) with one-unit change in the predictor variable, average spring temperature, calculated as the inverse of $e^B$ (1/0.721), is 1.389, or 139% likely to be absent (Table 4).

The taxa predicted by the binary logistic models as more likely to be absent with a one-degree increase in average spring temperature (AsprT): Nemouridae, Perlidae, Gyrinidae, Athericidae, Glossosomatidae, Limnephilidae, Sericostomatidae and Sialidae (Table 4). Caenis are likely to be found in more sites with a 1°C increase in MinT, while Aphelocheirus are likely to be absent from some sites with a 1°C increase in MsprT. The results for changes in taxa predicted by more than one independent climatc variable appear to be contradicting at first glance but indicate more narrow temperature ranges: the model for Hydraenidae shows absence 210% likely due to a 1°C increase in AsprT and presence 169% likely with a 1°C increase in MsprT (Table 4). When this variable was analyzed for lower and upper values separately, with the median value (10.7°C) as a threshold, the model was only significant when AsprT was greater than the median. Therefore, these patterns are predicted in areas with average temperatures higher than 10.7°C. Similar explanations apply to the two-predictor-variable responses of Ephemereillidae, Philopotamidae and Psychomyiid. Ephemereillidae and Philopotamidae are likely to be present with a 1°C increase in MinT and likely to be absent for 1°C higher AsprT. Psychomyiidae are predicted to be present with a 1°C increase in MinT and likely to be absent with an increase in MsprT. No significant patterns were observed for any taxa with annual precipitation as the predictor variable, but Dryops responded to a change in winter NAO index.

Overall, no short-term change is expected in the taxonomic composition of the macroinvertebrate community for eight sites, located in the Segura (6) and Júcar (2) watersheds. Across all three watersheds, 23 samples from 15 sites may experience changes in 1–4 taxa, 54 samples from 26 sites contain 6–9 taxa that may be affected and 10 samples from 6 sites may experience a change in 10–13 of the taxa studied (Figure 2) under these conditions. Different responses were observed at the same sites from different years, shown by concentric circles in Figure 2.
Figure 2. Number of taxa predicted by binary logistic regression to change at each sampling site. Concentric circles indicate different responses expected in reference to separate sampling events.
Discussion

For the aquatic macroinvertebrates studied, average spring temperature appears to be the variable most closely related to patterns of presence and absence. Cool-water adapted taxa with significant models in the Segura, Júcar and Tajo Watersheds include all Plecoptera, Hydracridae, Athericidae, Limnephilidae and Sialidae. Extirpation of temperature-sensitive taxa may occur if habitat is not available in cooler areas upstream or in nearby headwater streams (Poff et al. 2002; Hering et al. 2009; De Figueiredo et al. 2010). Similar patterns have been detected in Sweden for some of the same families and genera (Burgmer et al. 2007). To give one example of site-specific effects, at the Bornova River site in the Tajo Watershed, changes are expected for 13 taxa. While Caenis and Chimarra are expected to increase their range, 11 families may become absent from the Bornova River with increases in temperature (Ephemerellidae, Nemouridae, Perlidae, Dryopidae, Apherocbiuridae, Gyrinidae, Hydracridae, Athericidae, Glossosomatidae, Limnephilidae and Sialidae). At the same time, 12 other families did not show significant patterns with climate metrics (Leptophlebiidae, Aeshnidae, Gomphidae, Leuctridae, Gerridae, Tabanidae, Tipulidae, Hydropsychidae, Hydroptilidae, Polycentropodidae, Rhyacophilidae and Dugesiidae).

Taxa tolerant to higher temperatures and changes in habitat will be able to increase in abundance and/or broaden their range (Burgmer et al. 2007), including invasive taxa (Rahel and Olden 2008), and particularly if other taxa occupying a similar niche in their communities or insect and fish predators become locally extinct. Range expansion of Caenis is expected to occur with a 1°C increase in temperature in watersheds of Castilla-La Mancha. The possibility of range expansion to warmer reaches downstream will also rely on habitat availability; in many of the downstream reaches habitat quality is altered by dams, urban and agricultural inputs, altered flow regimes and degraded river morphology resulting from reservoir management (Vidal-Abarca 1990; Vidal-Abarca et al. 1992; Kroll et al. 2009; Navarro-Llacer et al. 2010; Kroll et al. 2013). Aside from warming temperatures, altered habitat downstream may also favor Caenis and other habitat generalists because they are well adapted to slow flows and fine substrate.

Taxa with poor dispersal capabilities or without access to suitable habitat nearby are expected to become locally extinct. The hemipteran Aphelocheirus aestivalus has no functional wings, and relies on drift for dispersal. Considering the already limited distribution of A. aestivalus in south-eastern Spain (10 sites), warmer waters are expected to be detrimental to populations. The capability for aerial dispersal may allow certain insects to disperse to new reaches of headwater streams in the same watershed or move to a nearby watershed. The headwaters of the Júcar and Tajo Watersheds are relatively close, as are the Segura and Guadiana Watershed headwaters (1.5–5 km apart in some areas). Nearly all taxa found to have significant relationships with temperature in this study have been documented to successfully disperse in the aquatic and aerial life stages (Tachet et al. 2010). Research within this region has shown increased dispersal in certain taxa of Coleoptera and Hemiptera with an increase in water temperature (Velasco and Millan 1998). Dispersal by flight, rather than drift, will become more important to range expansions or shifts under climate change scenarios in watersheds draining towards the poles and/or with anthropogenic stressors in downstream areas. If populations of macroinvertebrates can locate suitable habitat within the distance they can fly, range shifts are possible (Sweeney et al. 1995).

The present study is a first step toward understanding how the freshwater biota are expected to change with a one-degree increase in temperature in streams of south-eastern Spain. This study gives an understanding of short-term changes, as increases of up to 6°C are projected by the end of this century (De Castro et al. 2004). This region has been widely cited as particularly susceptible to increases in temperature and decreases in precipitation over this century (Underwood et al. 2009; Filipe et al. 2012). Our method did not result in many relationships between taxa and precipitation. Therefore, it is likely that a more in-depth study would be needed regarding groundwater inputs, flow, available habitat, drought, flooding and precipitation. Our results could benefit from considering interactions with micro-habitat or meso-habitat not captured in this study, including aspects of
water chemistry. Different predicted changes in taxa depending on the year of sampling is a finding that reinforces the need for multiple sampling events and considering annual variation in community analysis.

Macroinvertebrates present an important source of energy in freshwater ecosystems; changes in the community as a result of climate change would affect physiological processes such as metabolism and may induce top-down effects by local extinction of predators and bottom-up effects from altered nutrient inputs. This method can be expanded to include other organisms (fish, algae, macrophytes and other invertebrates) within these ecosystems for a more complete view of the expected changes in the structure and function of freshwater ecosystems as a result of increasing temperatures. The approach applied here offers insight on changes expected in the macroinvertebrate community due to increases in temperature using a statistical model. This method can be used to determine potential for the most sensitive taxa to be stressed by changes in temperature in order to detect early effects of changing temperatures and flow regimes.
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