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The relationship of acute delirium with cognitive and psychiatric symptoms after stroke: a longitudinal study
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Abstract

Objective: Delirium, a common complication after stroke, is often overlooked, and long-term consequences are poorly understood. This study aims to explore whether delirium in the acute phase of stroke predicts cognitive and psychiatric symptoms three, 18 and 36 months later.

Method: As part of the Norwegian Cognitive Impairment After Stroke Study (Nor-COAST), 139 hospitalized stroke patients (49% women, mean (SD) age: 71.4 (13.4) years; mean (SD) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) 3.0 (4.0)) were screened for delirium with the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM). Global cognition was measured with the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), while psychiatric symptoms were measured using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Questionnaire (NPI-Q). Data was analyzed using mixed-model linear regression, adjusting for age, gender, education, NIHSS score at baseline and premorbid dementia.

Results: Thirteen patients met the criteria for delirium. Patients with delirium had lower MoCA scores compared to non-delirious patients, with the largest between-group difference found at 18 months (Mean (SE): 20.8 (1.4) versus 25.1 (0.4)). Delirium was associated with higher NPI-Q scores at 3 months (Mean (SE): 2.4 (0.6) versus 0.8 (0.1)), and higher HADS anxiety scores at 18 and 36 months, with the largest difference found at 36 months (Mean (SE): 6.2 (1.3) versus 2.2 (0.3)).

Conclusions: Suffering a delirium in the acute phase of stroke predicted more cognitive and psychiatric symptoms at follow-up, compared to non-delirious patients. Preventing and treating delirium may be important for decreasing the burden of post-stroke disability.
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Stroke is ranked the third largest contributor to death and disability adjusted life years worldwide [1]. Cognitive impairment and psychiatric symptoms are prevalent in both the acute and chronic phases of stroke [2, 3]. These symptoms can interfere with restoration of daily function and independent living [4], placing emotional and economical burdens on patients, their families, and society [5, 6]. Identifying risk factors for post-stroke cognitive
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impairment and psychiatric symptoms is crucial for correct preventive measures and treatment.

The first 7 days of stroke, often referred to the acute phase [7], has key implications for long-term outcomes [8, 9], and complications during this phase has been shown to increase the risk of post-stroke sequelae [10]. Delirium is a common complication in acute stroke and has been suggested as a potential risk factor for later dependency and dementia [2, 11]. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5), defines delirium as an acute and fluctuating disturbance of attention, cognition and/or consciousness, which occurs due to medical conditions and cannot be better explained by a pre-existing neurocognitive disorder [12]. Studies have found a prevalence of delirium in the acute phase of stroke ranging from 8 to 48% [13]. A Norwegian stroke unit found that 10% of stroke patients had delirium [14].

Delirium often occurs as a response to brain injury [15], such as stroke [16], but the etiology is poorly understood. The lack of knowledge of causal mechanisms of delirium, combined with its fluctuating and heterogeneous nature, can challenge detection of the condition. The gold standard for diagnosing delirium is a clinical evaluation by professionals using the DSM-5 criteria [17]. However, briefer screening tools are usually more feasible in acute settings. The Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) [18] is a screening tool found noninferior to diagnosis made by trained neurologists using the DSM-5 criteria [17]. However, briefer screening tools are usually more feasible in acute settings.

Experiencing delirium can be stressful and emotionally challenging for the patient [20]. Stroke patients with delirium have higher mortality rates and longer hospital stays [21]. Furthermore, the condition increases the risk of falls and hospital acquired infections [22]. It predisposes for worse functional outcomes [23, 24] and less functional independence by the time of discharge [21].

Studies have found delirium to be associated with post-stroke cognitive impairment for up to 2 years after stroke [25]. However, the literature is inconsistent, and other studies have found the negative effect of delirium diminishing after 3 months [26] and after 12 months [27]. As neither of the previous studies adjusted for pre-stroke dementia or stroke severity, the effect of delirium on cognition and psychiatric symptoms is left somewhat unclear.

Fleischmann et al. [17] theorizes that delirium may hinder the standard course of stroke rehabilitation, not just by delaying physical training, but also by interfering with cognitive interventions. This further highlights the relevance of examining whether the condition is associated with poorer long-term cognitive outcomes.

Several of the clinical consequences of delirium, such as longer hospitalization and poorer functional outcomes, are also associated with increased risk of developing psychiatric symptoms, such as depression [28, 29]. However, recent studies have mainly addressed post-stroke depression in general stroke populations, leaving the impacts of delirium largely unexplored. Further, there is an even greater absence of research on how delirium is linked to a broader range of psychiatric symptoms, such as anxiety, which often overlaps with depressive symptoms [30]. This study aims to explore whether delirium in the acute phase of stroke predicts global cognitive function, as well as symptoms of depression, anxiety, and general psychiatric distress over the course of 3 years.

Method

Participants

The present sub-study is a part of the Norwegian Cognitive Impairment After Stroke Study (Nor-COAST). Nor-COAST is a longitudinal multicenter, prospective cohort study that recruited 815 participants from five Norwegian hospitals from May 2015 to March 2017 [31]. The inclusion criteria were diagnosis of acute stroke, admission to hospital within 7 days of symptom onset, age over 18 years, and fluency in a Scandinavian language. Stroke was diagnosed according to the World Health Organization criteria or with findings of acute intracerebral hemorrhage or infarction on Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). The only exclusion criterion was a life expectancy of less than 3 months.

Our sub-study included only patients from Bærum Hospital, Vestre Viken Health Trust, excluding patients from the other four hospitals. This hospital implemented a consistent regime for delirium screening, ensuring that all patients were screened regularly during the first 2 days after admittance.

Aphasia was not an exclusion criterion in the Nor-COAST study, nor in this particular study. Patients with aphasia were however automatically excluded from MoCA assessments by research nurses, but not for HADS or NPI-Q assessments.

Premorbid function and health history

Health history was collected from medical records, and interviews with either the patient or the caregiver were used to register premorbid function, including The Global Deterioration Scale (GDS) [32] and the Charlson Comorbidity Index [33]. The Global Deterioration Scale (GDS) [32] was used to assess cognitive function before the stroke, and after three, 18 and 36 months. Pre-stroke GDS was assessed by the local principal investigator at inclusion based on interview of the patients, the proxy and medical records. GDS has seven stages, where 4–7
are considered dementia stages and 1–3 are considered pre-dementia stages, with stage 3 being equivalent to mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [34]. The Charlson Comorbidity Index [33] was used to classify the extent of comorbid diseases as mild (0–2), moderate [3–5] or severe (≥5), and was registered upon admission.

Stoke characteristics and complications
Stroke severity was measured using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) [35] at day one of hospitalization. NIHSS has 15 items measuring specific symptoms on a 3- or 4-point ordinal scale (0 = no impairment). The highest score possible for non-comatose patients is 42. The NIHSS item measuring language difficulties was also used to identify patients with moderate and severe aphasia (i.e., causing interference with conversation). Further, complications during stroke were defined as the number of infections, falls, progression in stroke symptoms and seizures during hospitalization, which were then pooled into a sum score and recorded upon discharge.

Delirium
Delirium was diagnosed using CAM [18]. Screenings were performed by nurses specialized in stroke care once during every shift during the first 48 hours of hospitalization, resulting in a total of six screenings per patient. The majority of patients were screened at fixed intervals (7:00, 15:00 and 21:00). Delirium is defined based on four features in CAM: 1) the acute onset of fluctuations or changes in the mental status of the patient, 2) inattention, 3) disorganized thinking, and 4) an altered level of consciousness. To increase the sensitivity of the inattention item, a task was added, asking the patients to name the days of the week backward [36–38]. The diagnosis of delirium required the presence of feature 1 and 2, plus feature 3 or 4. Feature 2 was considered present either if indicated by the inattention item in CAM, or if the patient named less than 7 days backwards and aphasia was not indicated. Screening with CAM was integrated in the clinical observations of the patients. The patient’s families were not involved in the CAM screening. Pre-stroke GDS-score and study notes on pre-stroke history provided a reference point for the patient’s normal behavior.

Cognitive outcome
Global cognitive function was measured using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) [39]. MoCA assesses several cognitive domains including executive function, memory, language, visuospatial abilities, attention and working memory. The maximum score is 30, with higher scores indicating better cognition. Scores of 26 and above are considered normal cognitive function [39]. Patients were tested at baseline and after three, 18 and 36 months. Baseline assessment of cognitive function was performed either at discharge, or at day seven for patients with longer stays. Follow-up assessments were performed by research nurses and physicians specializing in stroke at an outpatient clinic. If the patients were unable to attend the clinic, follow-up was completed by telephone.

Psychiatric outcomes
The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [40] was used to assess symptoms of anxiety and depression. HADS consists of 14 items assessing two subscales (anxiety: HADS-A and depression: HADS-D). The items are rated on a 4-point scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (most of the time), and a score of 8 or more on either subscale may indicate clinically relevant symptoms [41]. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Questionnaire (NPI-Q) [42] was used to assess symptoms according to 12 neuropsychiatric domains, such as delusions, hallucinations, agitation, motor disturbance and aggression. The score for each domain ranged from 0 (no symptoms) to 4 (severe symptoms), with the total score reflecting the sum of the individual domains. Both NPI-Q and HADS were assessed at three, 18 and 36 months post-stroke.

Statistics
A statistical analysis plan was completed prior to conducting the analyses. MoCA sum scores were used to analyze cognitive symptoms, while sum scores from HADS-A, HADS-D and NPI-Q were used to analyze psychiatric symptoms. Imputation of the mean value of missing items was performed if ≥50% of the items had data [43] for MoCA, HADS and NPI-Q. The number of missing data on single items was low. Details are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Normality of residuals was checked by visual inspection of Q-Q plots. Differences between groups (delirium vs. non-delirious) were analyzed using t-tests for continuous variables, and chi-square test for categorical variables. This was conducted to explore whether the results from the Bærum sample would be representative for the total Nor-COAST sample. In cases with expected count under 5, we used the unconditional z-pooled test as recommended by Lydersen, Langaa and Bakke [44].

Mixed-model linear regression was applied with MoCA, HADS and NPI-Q one at a time, as dependent variables. Independent variables were delirium, time as a categorical covariate and their interaction. The analyses were adjusted for age, gender, education, NIHSS score at baseline and premorbid dementia. Premorbid dementia was defined as being diagnosed with dementia, premorbid GDS score over 3 and/or premorbid usage of
anti-dementia medication and/or other previous treatment for dementia.

Linear mixed-models includes and analyzes participants with available data on at least one time point, and give unbiased estimated if data are missing at random [45]. Sensitivity analyses (excluding patients deceased at 18 and/or 36 months and patients with premorbid dementia) were conducted, as well as analyses adjusted for comorbidity and complications. Finally, analyses excluding outliers and analyses excluding patients with moderate and severe aphasia were conducted for HADS and NPI-Q.

In this paper, the term “significant” refers to two tailed \( p \)-values less than .05, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are reported where relevant. SPSS 27 was used for the analyses.

**Results**

**Participants**

As shown in Fig. 1, 139 of the 141 patients included in the Nor-COAST study from Bærum Hospital, were screened for delirium. Of these patients, 133 had the data necessary to be included in the mixed-model linear regression analyses.

At 3 months, 132 patients attended the follow-up. At 18 months, 111 patients participated, one of which did not participate at the previous follow-up. At 36 months, 92 patients participated in the follow-up. Compared to the four other hospitals participating in the Nor-COAST study (St. Olavs Hospital, Haukeland Hospital, Ullevål Hospital and Ålesund Hospital), patients at Bærum Hospital were significantly younger, had more years of education, higher MoCA scores at baseline, less pre-stroke dementia, less comorbidity and lower pre-stroke GDS score (Table 1).

Of the 139 screened with CAM, 13 (9.4%) were diagnosed with delirium. Demographics and clinical characteristics of patients with delirium and non-delirious patients are shown in Table 2. Patients with delirium were older and had lower MoCA score at baseline. Further, a higher percentage of patients with delirium had GDS scores > 3 (in the dementia range) at three, 18 and
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**Fig. 1** Overview of the study sample. CAM (Inouye, 1994) was used to screen for delirium. Each patient was screened six times during the first 48 hours. Delirium was considered present if the patients had acute onset of fluctuations in mental status and inattention, in addition to disorganized thinking and/or altered level of consciousness at one or more of the screenings. Patients were included in mixed models if they had been screened at baseline and returned for at least one additional follow-up.
36 months (Table 2). Patients were hospitalized for mean 0.84 days, and 88% were admitted within day one of symptom debut.

Delirium as a predictor for cognitive outcomes
Cognitive assessments for patients with and without delirium are described in Table 3 and displayed in Fig. 2. Delirium at baseline predicted significantly lower MoCA score at all timepoints. The largest difference in mean scores between the two groups was 4.2 points (95% CI: 1.4 to 7.1) at 18 months. The results were substantially the same in sensitivity analyses excluding patients with premorbid dementia, patients that were deceased at 18 and/or 36 months, and when adjusting complications, and comorbid diseases (results not shown). The variance components in the mixed models are shown in Table 4.

Delirium as a predictor for psychiatric outcomes
Delirium predicted significantly higher NPI-Q scores, compared to non-delirious patients after 3 months (Table 3). Anxiety symptoms measured by HADS-A increased continuously for patients with delirium, resulting in significantly higher anxiety scores at 18 and 36 months, compared to non-delirious patients. The largest difference in mean scores for anxiety between the two groups was found at 36 months (2.8 points (95% CI: $-5.5$ to $-0.3$)). Mean scores for NPI-Q, HADS-A and HADS-D are displayed in Figs. 3, 4 and 5 respectively.

Discussion
This study examined whether delirium in the acute phase of stroke predicts cognitive and psychiatric symptoms over the course of 3 years. We demonstrated that patients with delirium had significantly poorer global cognition (MoCA scores) than non-delirious patients at three, 18 and 36 months. Delirium also predicted significantly higher levels of neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPI-Q) after 3 months, as well as higher anxiety levels (HADS-A) at 18 and 36 months.

MoCA is estimated to have a sensitivity of 90% for detecting MCI using a cut-off score of 26 [39]. This is in line with a previous publication from the Nor-COAST study by Munthe-Kaas et al. [46], who found that a MoCA cut-off of 26 had high specificity and sensitivity for identifying patients with cognitive impairment (according to the DSM-5 criteria) 3 months post-stroke. Further, Nasreddine et al. [39] suggest that MoCA scores in the range of 11.4–21.0 indicate potential dementia. These estimations suggest that the average MoCA score of stroke patients with delirium in this study (21.5 at 36 months) could indicate more severe clinical outcomes, compared to the MoCA scores of non-delirious patients (25.1 at 36 months).

Several studies have reported delirium as a risk factor for cognitive impairment in hospitalized patients [36, 47–50], and in patients with stroke [25–27]. However, the independent effect of delirium on post-stroke cognitive impairment remains somewhat unexplored, as few studies have adjusted for other risk factors such as stroke...
severity, pre-stroke dementia, complications, and comorbid diseases. In this study, the patients with delirium had fewer years of education, more complications, more comorbid diseases, a higher mean NIHSS score, and were significantly older, than the non-delirious patients. It should be stressed that the association between delirium and poorer MoCA scores remained significant even when adjusting for these covariates in the sensitivity analyses, suggesting an independent effect of delirium on global cognition. Further, these results were found despite the Bærum sample having several more protective factors [51], such as lower age, longer education, and milder strokes, compared to the total Nor-COAST sample (Table 1). This raises a question as to whether delirium would predict more severe cognitive impairment in older samples with larger strokes.

The results for HADS and NPI-Q remained significant when adjusting for aphasia. This could be due to the majority of patients having mild strokes and the rates of aphasia being low. Additionally, we only adjusted for moderate and severe aphasia (i.e., interfering with conversation). In future studies it would be beneficial to adjust for mild aphasia and explore the effect of aphasia and other complications in samples with more severe strokes.

Although patients with delirium had higher HADS-A scores than non-delirious patients in this study, the average score was not above the commonly used cut-off score of 8 [41]. However, adjusting HADS cut-off scores to the specific clinical sample can provide a higher specificity and sensitivity [52, 53]. Sagen et al. [53] found a cut-off score of 4 to be optimal in Norwegian stroke populations for detecting clinical symptoms. This would imply that the anxiety levels in patients with delirium are of clinical importance at 18 and 36 months (Mean (SD): 5.6 (1.2) and 6.2 (1.3) respectively). Though Kowalska et al. [54] recently found delirium to be a risk factor for anxiety 3 months after stroke, our findings suggest that the subsequent anxiety symptoms can be present or even increase over a longer timeframe.

In the present study, both HADS-A and HADS-D scores were higher in patients with delirium compared to non-delirious patients. However, group differences were only significant for HADS-A. This corresponds to several other Norwegian stroke studies, finding anxiety symptoms to be more prevalent than depressive symptoms using HADS [53, 55, 56]. However, a meta-analysis from 2017 found higher prevalence of post-stroke depressive disorders than post-stroke anxiety (33.5% versus 9.8%), using DSM or World Health Organization criteria (ICD-10) [57]. A potential explanation for this discrepancy is that anxiety is more commonly overlooked in older samples, as symptoms like withdrawal and avoidant coping strategies can be falsely attributed to aging [58]. This could lead to an underestimation of anxiety symptoms in stroke populations.

MacLullich, Beaglehole, Hall & Meagher [59] emphasizes stress as a potential mediator between delirium and anxiety symptoms. Systems related to the physiological stress response, such as the limbic hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA axis) and glucocorticoids, have been found to precipitate and/or sustain delirium both in acute disease [60, 61] and in stroke specifically [62]. Intense or prolonged stress responses can initiate

### Table 2 Group differences in demographics and clinical characteristics for patients with and without delirium

|                | Delirium (n = 13) | Non-delirious (n = 126) | t' | x² | p     |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----|----|-------|
| Age, M (SD)    | 79.5 (6.0)        | 70.6 (13.7)             | 4.34 | 0.00** |
| Years of education, M (SD) | 12.5 (3.5)        | 13.9 (3.4)             | -1.43 | .15  |
| Gender, n female (%) | 6 (46%)          | 62 (49%)               | 0.04 | .84  |
| NIHSS at baselineb, M (SD) | 4.5 (4.6)        | 2.8 (3.8)              | 1.35 | .17  |
| MoCA at baselineb, M (SD) | 20.0 (2.2)       | 25.1 (4.7)             | -3.10 | .002** |
| Premorbid dementia, n (%) | 0                | 2                      | 19 | .91  |
| Complicationsc > 0, n (%) | 7 (54.6)         | 27 (21.4)              | -2.15 | .05  |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), M (SD) | 4.1 (1.3)       | 3.6 (1.9)              | -1.20 | .24  |
| Global Deterioration Scale (GDS) < 3d | 6 (92%)          | 124 (98%)              | .19 | .66  |
| Pre-stroke, n (%) | 12 (92%)         | 124 (98%)              | 14.0 | .003** |
| 3 months, n (%) | 4 (30%)          | 5 (4%)                 | 1.43 | .15  |
| 18 months, n (%) | 4 (31%)          | 11 (9%)                | 5.9  | .024* |
| 36 months, n (%) | 3 (23%)          | 10 (8%)                | 3.2  | .079 |
| Moderate to severe aphasiae | 3 (23%)          | 19 (15%)               | .57  | .57  |
| Baseline, n (%) | 0                | 3 (2%)                 | .32  | .81  |
| 3 months, n (%) | 0                | 4 (3%)                 | .42  | .68  |
| 18 months, n (%) | 0                | 3 (2%)                 | .32  | .81  |
| 36 months, n (%) | 0                | 0                      | 1.0  |     |

* Higher values indicating more severe stroke symptoms. NIHSS at baseline done at day 1 of admittance to hospital

b Lower values indicating poorer global cognitive function. MoCA assessment at baseline was done either at discharge or 7 days after admittance for patients with longer hospital stay.

c Infections, seizures, neurological progression and falls registered during hospitalization

d Values < 3 indication no to very mild cognitive decline. Values > 3 indicating potential dementia

e Amount of patients with a level of aphasia causing interference with conversation, indicated by the value 2 (moderate) or 3 (severe) in the NIHSS item measuring aphasia

**indicating p-level < .01

*indicating p-level < .05
increased vigilance and symptoms of anxiety [63, 64], and several studies address dysregulations of the HPA axis and glucocorticoid production as central for this association [64–66]. Further, older age is associated with increased dysregulations of the HPA axis, causing cortisol levels to be sustained for a longer period of time after major stressors [67, 68]. The Bærum sample had a lower mean age than the total Nor-COAST sample and the general stroke population in Norway [69]. Considering the age-related risk of HPA dysregulation, the association between delirium and anxiety may be even stronger in a larger and more representative population.

A limitation of this study was using CAM, rather than clinical evaluation, to assess for delirium. CAM has not been validated for stroke patients. The CAM-ICU [70] has however been evaluated as a valid instrument for diagnosing delirium in patients with stroke [71]. The CAM-ICU consist of the same four features as CAM

---

Table 3  Assessments for patients at Bærum Hospital with and without delirium during first 48 hours

|                | Delirium n | Mean (SE) | Non-delirious n | Mean (SE) | Difference Estimate (95% CI) | p   |
|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----|
| 3 months       |            |           |                 |           |                             |     |
| MoCA           | 10         | 21.7 (1.4)| 115             | 24.7 (0.4)| 2.9 (0.1 to 5.7)            | .04*|
| NPIQ           | 10         | 2.4 (0.6)| 117             | 0.8 (0.1)| -1.6 (−2.7 to −0.7)         | .005**|
| HADS Depression| 8          | 3.7 (1.3)| 100             | 3.7 (0.3)| 0.04 (−2.6 to −2.7)         | .97 |
| HADS Anxiety   | 8          | 4.0 (1.1)| 100             | 3.1 (0.3)| −0.9 (−3.4 to 1.4)          | .44 |
| 18 months      |            |           |                 |           |                             |     |
| MoCA           | 9          | 20.8 (1.4)| 100             | 25.0 (0.4)| 4.2 (1.4 to 7.1)            | .004*|
| NPIQ           | 9          | 0.6 (0.6)| 100             | 1.0 (0.2)| 0.44 (−0.8 to 1.7)          | .47 |
| HADS Depression| 7          | 6.2 (1.4)| 93              | 3.7 (0.3)| −2.44 (−5.2 to 0.3)         | .08 |
| HADS Anxiety   | 7          | 5.6 (1.2)| 93              | 3.1 (0.3)| −2.6 (−5.0 to 0.1)          | .04*|
| 36 months      |            |           |                 |           |                             |     |
| MoCA           | 5          | 21.5 (1.5)| 83              | 25.1 (0.4)| 3.6 (0.5 to 6.7)            | .02*|
| NPIQ           | 5          | 1.7 (0.7)| 84              | 0.7 (0.2)| −1.0 (−2.5 to 0.5)          | .17 |
| HADS Depression| 5          | 6.3 (1.4)| 75              | 4.1 (0.4)| −2.3 (−5.1 to 0.64)         | .12 |
| HADS Anxiety   | 5          | 6.2 (1.3)| 75              | 3.3 (0.3)| −2.8 (−5.5 to 0.3)          | .03*|

Mean (SE) are descriptive data. The difference with CI and p-value are from linear mixed models with covariates delirium, time and their interaction as categorical covariates, adjusted for age, gender, NIHSS-score at baseline and premorbid dementia.

* Indicating p < .05 and ** indicating p < .01
(acute onset of changes or fluctuations in mental status; inattention; disorganized thinking and/or altered level of consciousness) [70, 72]. CAM-ICU is however adapted to mechanically ventilated patients with more severe strokes, as the questions are nonverbal. Our sample had mild strokes and low rates of aphasia, making the original CAM more relevant.

As delirium has a fluctuating and heterogenous nature, it can be easy to overlook or mislabel delirium on other cerebral dysfunction with similar expression. Detecting individual deviations in attention can be particularly challenging without any point of reference [38].

### Table 4 Variance components in linear mixed model reported in Table 3

| Dependent variable | Variance Component |  
|--------------------|--------------------|
|                    | Within participants | Between participants |
| MoCA               | 13.38 (1.7)         | 3.7 (38)             |
| NPI-Q              | 1.8 (18)            | 1.0 (24)             |
| HADS Depression    | 4.16 (46)           | 8.4 (1.4)            |
| HADS Anxiety       | 3.76 (41)           | 6.1 (1.0)            |

Variance components are from linear mixed models with delirium, time and their interaction as categorical covariates, adjusted for age, gender, NIHSS-score at baseline and premorbid dementia.

**Fig. 3** NPI-Q total scores for patients with and without delirium at three, 18 and 36 months. Descriptive mean total-scores for NPI-Q after three, 18 and 36 months for patients from Bærum with and without delirium.

**Fig. 4** HADS-D scores for patients with and without delirium at three, 18 and 36 months. Descriptive mean for HADS-A after three, 18 and 36 months for patients from Bærum with and without delirium.
decision to use data only from Bærum Hospital was made in an attempt to meet some of these challenges. Bærum Hospital performed highly consistent delirium screenings for each patient, increasing the chance of picking up these fluctuations [17]. The majority of patients at Bærum (88%) were admitted to hospital within day one of symptom debut. Almost all patients admitted with stroke were screened six times, regardless of symptoms or health history, and the prevalence rate at this hospital (9.6%) resembled that of another Norwegian study of delirium (10%) [14]. The screenings were done by nurses specialized in stroke care, and prior experience with delirium could assist in adequately identifying the condition. Further, the item added to CAM has been shown to increase the sensitivity for detecting disturbances in attention [38], and the nurses’ access to pre-stroke GDS and medical history provided a reference point for normal behavior. This might help increase the validity of the screening. Delirium developing later than 48 hours after stroke would not have been detected, but as the condition is most eminent in the acute phase of disease [15], most cases were likely identified.

The sample assessed in this study was relatively small, and the demographic differences between the Bærum sample and the total Nor-COAST sample could impact the representativity of the results. Further, most patients at Bærum hospital had mild strokes (see Table 1). This could lead to lower rates of delirium, aphasia, and other stroke-related complications, compared to samples with more severe strokes. However, Kuvås and colleagues [73] found the Nor-COAST sample to be representative for the majority of patients suffering from mild strokes, which is valuable as the majority of the Norwegian stroke population (64%) experience mild strokes [74]. Future studies should be conducted to examine the effect of delirium on cognition and psychiatric symptoms in a larger sample, maintaining a meticulous screening regime. Adjusting for stroke type, size, localization, white matter lesions, microbleeds, brain atrophy and volume loss could further be relevant, as these variables are found closely related to both cognitive [75] and psychiatric outcome [76] after stroke. Frailty, often used to express the accumulation of functional deficits [77], has been shown to be associated with cognitive impairment after stroke [78]. Therefore, it might also be relevant to examine delirium in stroke in relation to the concept of frailty.

Still, identifying an independent effect of delirium can pose some challenges, as the condition often evolves from the interaction of multiple pathological factors [79]. Nevertheless, delirium can be considered a crucial indicator for adequate measures to be taken. This perspective is relevant, as there are several non-pharmaceutical measures available that reduce the symptoms of delirium, such as frequently reorienting and touching the patient, providing a calendar and a watch, and having an unambiguous approach with sufficient eye contact [80]. However, acknowledging the short- and long-term burden of delirium can be of importance for these measures to be implemented in stroke units.
Conclusion
Patients suffering from delirium in the acute phase of stroke had poorer global cognition and more psychiatric symptoms over the course of 3 years, compared to non-delirious patients. The results suggest that stroke patients with delirium may benefit from long-term follow-up of both cognition and mental health, and that prevention and treatment of delirium presents an interesting future approach. Distributing knowledge on the short- and long-term burden of delirium may be important for adequate measures to be taken.
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