Evaluation of IScore validity in a Greek cohort of patients with type 2 diabetes
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Abstract

Background: Diabetes constitutes a risk factor for stroke that also aggravates stroke prognosis. Several prognostic models have been developed for the evaluation of neurologic status, severity, short-term functional outcome and mortality of stroke patients. IScore is a novel tool recently developed in order to predict mortality rates within 30 days and 1 year after ischemic stroke and diabetes is not included in the scoring scale of IScore. The aim of the present study was to evaluate and compare IScore validity in ischemic stroke patients with and without diabetes.

Methods: This prospective study included 312 consecutive Caucasian patients with type 2 diabetes and 222 Caucasian patients without diabetes admitted for ischemic stroke in a tertiary Greek hospital. Thirty-day and 1-year IScores were individually calculated for each patient and actual mortality was monitored at the same time intervals. IScore’s predictive ability and calibration was evaluated and compared for ischemic stroke patients with and without diabetes. The performance of IScore for predicting 30 and 1-year mortality between patients with and without diabetes was assessed by determining the calibration and discrimination of the score. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was used to evaluate the discriminative ability of IScore for patients with and without diabetes, whereas the calibration of IScore was assessed by the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic.

Results: Baseline population characteristics and mortality rates did not differ significantly for both cohorts. IScore values were significantly higher for patients with diabetes at 30 days and 1 year after ischemic stroke and patients with diabetes presented more frequently with lacunar strokes. Based on ROC curves analysis IScore’s predictive ability for 30 day mortality was excellent, without statistically significant difference, for both cohorts. Predictive ability for 1 year mortality was also excellent for both groups with significantly better ability for patients with diabetes especially at high score values. Calibration of the model was good for both groups of patients.

Conclusions: IScore accurately predicts mortality in acute ischemic stroke Caucasian patients with and without diabetes with higher efficacy in predicting 1 year mortality in patients with diabetes especially with high scores.
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Background

Stroke is a leading cause of adult morbidity and mortality worldwide [1,2] and diabetes constitutes a major risk factor for stroke [3-7]. Diabetes not only confers an increased risk for stroke but is also connected with increased stroke severity and mortality and poorer post-stroke functional recovery [4,8-10]. In this context accurate evaluation of early stroke prognosis in patients with diabetes, as well as in stroke patients in general, is fundamental for guiding interventions and establishing an evidence based health care decision system.

IScore is a recently developed prognostic model by Canadian Researchers for the prediction of 30-day and 1-year mortality after ischemic stroke (IS) that has not been evaluated outside the Canadian Health Care System [11,12]. Diagnosis of diabetes is not included in IScore prediction model where only hyperglycemia upon admission is taken into account. The aim of the present study was to evaluate IScore validity in IS patients with diabetes and to compare its efficacy with that in patients without diabetes.

Methods

Patients and methods

This prospective study was conducted in a tertiary Greek hospital. The study population consisted of 312 consecutive Caucasian patients with type 2 diabetes and 222 Caucasian non-diabetic patients admitted between January 2008 and February 2011 for acute IS. Acute stroke was defined according to the World Health Organization criteria [13] and clinical diagnosis was confirmed by brain computed tomography (CT). Categorization of IS subtypes was made according to the Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) [14]. Comatose patients, patients with hemorrhagic stroke, tumors, or other conditions mimicking at presentation thrombotic stroke or transient ischemic attacks were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Tzanion General Hospital Scientific Board and Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained on all cases.

Thirty-day and 1-year scores were calculated for each patient and actual mortality was monitored at the same time intervals. Thirty-day and 1-year scores were calculated independently and blinded to mortality data for each patient by two neurology specialists (Table 1). Occurrence of actual mortality was examined by telephone from a third specialist blinded to IScore data. Missing or patients that did not respond were categorized as lost to follow up.

IScore's mortality predictors (according to original publication) included older age, male sex, stroke severity, non-lacunar stroke subtype, glucose ≥ 7.5 mmol/L (135 mg/dL) upon admission, history of atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease (CAD), congestive heart failure (CHF), cancer, dementia, kidney disease on dialysis and dependency prior to stroke [11].

Statistical analysis

Numerical variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation, while discrete variables as absolute values and summarized by percentages. Categorical variables were analyzed using chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. To compare mean or, when appropriate, median differences for continuous variables in baseline characteristics between groups Student’s t-Test or Mann–Whitney U test were used. The performance of IScore for predicting 30-days and 1-year mortality between diabetic and non-diabetic patients was assessed by determining the calibration and discrimination of the score.

The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was used to evaluate the discriminative ability of IScore for patients with and without diabetes. The area under the curve (AUC) [15] was calculated as an index of how well IScore could discriminate patients who lived and those who died both in 30-day and 1-year after admission. The discriminative power of the model was considered excellent if the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was >0.80, very good if >0.75 and good if >0.70 [16].

The calibration of IScore was assessed by the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of fit statistic [17]. For the Hosmer–Lemeshow statistic, the predicted risks of individual patients were rank-ordered and divided into 8 risk categories based on quintiles according to initial IScore publication [11]. Within each group of estimated risk, the number of predicted deaths was accumulated against the number of observed deaths and p > 0.05 was considered to indicate acceptable calibration of the model. Baseline characteristic analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS for Windows v.20 software (IBM, New York, USA) and ROC curve analysis was completed with stata v12 (StataCorp LP, Texas, USA).

Results

Demographic baseline population characteristics (Table 1) did not differ significantly between IS patients with diabetes and patients without diabetes. Forty-five (14.4%, 95% CI, 0.11-0.18) patients with diabetes deceased 30 days after IS and 86 (27.6%, 95% CI, 0.23-0.33) after 1 year and mortality rates were similar for non-diabetic patients: 32 (14.4%, 95% CI, 0.1-0.19) at 30 days and 55 (24.8%, 95% CI, 0.22-0.34) at 1 year. Mean IScore values at 30 days and 1 year were 185.3 ± 45.9 and 149.1 ± 22.1 respectively for patients with diabetes and 139.1 ± 58.7 and 118.3 ± 43.6 for non-diabetic patients and the above values were significantly higher in patients with diabetes (Table 1). Regarding stroke subtypes a statistically significant difference was observed between groups with preponderance of lacunar strokes in IS patients with diabetes (Table 1). Demographic population characteristics and IScore variables are also presented after age stratification (<65, 66-80 and >80 years old) in Table 2.
Discrimination, or predictive accuracy, was assessed by building receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for mortality both in diabetic and non-diabetic population for 30-day and 1 year mortality and the area under the curves (AUC) was used to evaluate and compare the predictive accuracy of risk classifications. Based on AUC analysis the discriminative ability of IScore was excellent for patients with diabetes with a value of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.80-0.93) and patients without diabetes with a value of 0.85 (95% CI, 0.79-0.91) at 30 days without significant difference between groups (Chi = 0.17, p = 0.68) (Figure 1). Respectively discriminative ability was also excellent for diabetic group with a value of 0.93 (95% CI, 0.90-0.97) and non-diabetic group with a value of 0.87 (95% CI, 0.82-0.91) at 1 year with significantly higher discriminative ability (Chi = 5.23, p < 0.05) for IS patients with diabetes especially located in high risk (IScore >160) diabetic subgroups (Figure 1).

In order to evaluate the model's validity on the basis of its calibration, which represents the precision of the probabilities generated by a prediction model, we tabulated IScore categories and mortality in contingency tables. Using Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, which is the most applicable method for evaluating discriminative ability of the model, we observed no significant difference in mortality for both diabetic and non-diabetic patients with IS (Figure 1).

### Table 1 Demographic baseline population characteristics and IScore variables at 30-days and 1-year

| Variable                      | Entire population | Diabetic patients (n=312) | Non diabetic patients (n=222) | p-value   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| IScore 30 days                | 166.1 ± 56.3      | 185.3 ± 45.9              | 139.1 ± 58.7                | <0.05*    |
| IScore 1 year                 | 136.3 ± 41        | 149.1 ± 22.6              | 118.3 ± 43.6                | <0.05*    |
| Age (mean±SD)                 | 74.7 ± 6.6        | 75.03 ± 6.6               | 74.22 ± 6.5                 | 0.222**   |
|                               | 74 (69 - 82)      | 74 (69 - 80)              |                             |           |
| Gender n (%)                  |                   |                           |                             | 0.628*    |
| Female                        | 276               | 158 (50.6)                | 118 (53.2)                  |           |
| Male                          | 258               | 154 (49.4)                | 104 (46.8)                  |           |
| Stroke severity (CNS) n (%)   |                   |                           |                             | 0.247*    |
| 0                             | 21                | 13 (4.2)                  | 7 (3.2)                     |           |
| ≤4                            | 75                | 36 (11.5)                 | 39 (17.6)                   |           |
| 5-7                           | 204               | 123 (39.4)                | 81 (36.5)                   |           |
| ≥8                            | 235               | 140 (44.9)                | 95 (42.8)                   |           |
| Stroke subtype n (%)          |                   |                           |                             | <0.05*    |
| Lacunar origin                | 244               | 168 (53.8)                | 76 (34.2)                   |           |
| Nonlacunar origin             | 219               | 102 (32.7)                | 117 (52.7)                  |           |
| Undetermined origin           | 71                | 42 (13.5)                 | 20 (13.1)                   |           |
| Risk factor n (%)             |                   |                           |                             | 0.304*    |
| Atrial fibrillation           | 80                | 46 (14.7)                 | 34 (15.3)                   |           |
| CHF                           | 67                | 44 (14.1)                 | 23 (10.4)                   |           |
| Previous MI                   | 148               | 99 (31.7)                 | 49 (22.1)                   |           |
| Current smoker                | 100               | 57 (18.3)                 | 43 (19.4)                   |           |
| Comorbid condition n (%)      |                   |                           |                             | 0.809**   |
| Cancer                        | 53                | 34 (10.9)                 | 19 (8.6)                    |           |
| Renal dialysis                | 9                 | 5 (1.6)                   | 4 (1.8)                     |           |
| Preadmission disability n (%) |                   |                           |                             | 0.532*    |
| Independent                   | 435               | 254 (81.4)                | 181 (81.5)                  |           |
| Dependent n                   | 99                | 58 (18.6)                 | 41 (18.5)                   |           |
| Glucose on admission, mmol/L/dL n (%) |              | <0.05*                   |                             |           |
| <7.5 (<135)                   | 295               | 159 (51)                  | 136 (61.3)                  |           |
| ≥7.5 (≥135)                   | 239               | 153 (49)                  | 86 (38.7)                   |           |
| Mortality rate n (%)          |                   |                           |                             |           |
| 30 Day                        | 77                | 45 (14.4)                 | 32 (14.4)                   | 0.551*    |
| 1 Year                        | 141               | 86 (27.6)                 | 55 (24.8)                   | 0.266*    |

SD indicates standard deviation; CNS: Canadian neurological scale; CHF: congestive heart failure; MI: myocardial infarction.

Statistical methods used: *Mann Whitney U test, **t-Test, Chi-square, Fisher.
commonly used statistic method for contingency tables [18], we compared the estimated predicted mortality from the logistic regression models with the observed outcomes, for each risk category, of IScore prediction model. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test showed that the model’s calibration was satisfactory both in diabetic (p = 0.18) and non-diabetic population (p = 0.19).

Table 2 Demographic baseline population characteristics and IScore variables at 30-days and 1-year for different age groups (<65, 66-80 and >80 years old)

| Age categories | <65 | 66-80 | >80 |
|----------------|-----|-------|-----|
| Age (years)    | 63.7 ± 1.2 | 72.3 ± 3.8 | 83.2 ± 2.3 |
| iScore 30 days | 120.6 ± 41.6 | 153.3 ± 46.4 | 207.9 ± 57.8 |
| iScore 1 year  | 100.6 ± 28.4 | 126.0 ± 32.2 | 169.8 ± 41.8 |
| Sex            |           |       |     |
| Female         | 29 (10.5)  | 193 (69.9) | 54 (19.6) |
| Male           | 8 (3.1)    | 157 (60.9) | 93 (36.0) |
| CNS 0          |           |       |     |
| No             | 37 (7.2)   | 345 (67.1) | 132 (25.7) |
| Yes            | 0 (0.0)    | 5 (25.0)   | 15 (75.0)  |
| CNS <=4        |           |       |     |
| No             | 36 (7.8)   | 314 (68.4) | 109 (23.7) |
| Yes            | 1 (1.3)    | 36 (48.0)  | 38 (50.7)  |
| CNS 5-7        |           |       |     |
| No             | 26 (7.9)   | 231 (70.0) | 73 (22.1)  |
| Yes            | 11 (5.4)   | 119 (58.3) | 74 (36.3)  |
| CNS >=8        |           |       |     |
| No             | 12 (4.0)   | 160 (53.5) | 127 (42.5) |
| Yes            | 25 (10.6)  | 190 (80.9) | 20 (8.5)   |
| Stroke subtype |           |       |     |
| Lacunar origin | 24 (9.8)   | 198 (81.1) | 22 (9.0)   |
| Nonlacunar origin | 12 (5.5) | 131 (59.8) | 76 (34.7)  |
| Underdetermined origin | 1 (1.4) | 21 (29.6)  | 49 (69.0)  |
| Risk factor    |           |       |     |
| Atrial fibrillation | 16 (20.0) | 58 (72.5)  | 6 (7.5)    |
| CHF            | 7 (10.4)   | 54 (80.6)  | 6 (9.0)    |
| Previous MI    | 8 (5.4)    | 127 (85.8) | 13 (8.8)   |
| Current smoker | 4 (4.0)    | 64 (64.0)  | 32 (32.0)  |
| Current smoker |           |       |     |
| No             | 30 (6.9)   | 292 (67.3) | 112 (25.8) |
| Yes            | 7 (7.0)    | 58 (58.0)  | 35 (35.0)  |
| Cancer         |           |       |     |
| No             | 36 (7.5)   | 334 (69.4) | 111 (23.1) |
| Yes            | 1 (1.9)    | 16 (30.2)  | 36 (67.9)  |
| Renal dialysis |           |       |     |
| No             | 37 (7.0)   | 349 (66.5) | 139 (26.5) |
| Yes            | 0 (0.0)    | 1 (11.1)   | 8 (88.9)   |
| Dependent      |           |       |     |
| No             | 36 (8.3)   | 314 (72.2) | 85 (19.5)  |
| Yes            | 1 (1.0)    | 36 (36.4)  | 62 (62.6)  |
| Glucose on admission above 135 |           |       |     |
| No             | 25 (8.5)   | 218 (73.9) | 52 (17.6)  |
| Yes            | 12 (5.0)   | 132 (55.2) | 95 (39.7)  |
| Mortality 30 days |           |       |     |
| No             | 37 (8.1)   | 338 (74.0) | 82 (17.9)  |
| Yes            | 0 (0.0)    | 12 (15.6)  | 65 (84.4)  |
| Mortality 1 year |           |       |     |
| No             | 34 (8.7)   | 311 (79.1) | 48 (12.2)  |
| Yes            | 3 (2.1)    | 39 (27.7)  | 99 (70.2)  |

SD indicates standard deviation; CHF: congestive heart failure; MI: myocardial infarction; CNS: Canadian neurological scale.

Discussion
Prognosis prediction after stroke is a field of intense clinical research and several prognostic models have been developed [19-22] towards this direction and among them IScore has been proposed as a well validated tool for mortality prediction [11,12]. Recently, IScore has also been reported to reliably predict short term functional outcome
and clinical response to thrombolytic therapy [23,24] after acute IS as well as risk of hemorrhagic complications after thrombolytic therapy [24] and the above are especially important in the case of IS patients with diabetes given the lower rates of favorable outcomes after thrombolytic therapy [25] and in our cohort patients with diabetes presented more frequently with lacunar strokes and with more severe scores in the Canadian Neurological Scale and higher IScores. Our results regarding increased incidence of lacunar strokes in patients with diabetes are in accordance with the findings of the majority of studies [4,28-32] although there is not uniformity of findings in all studies in this field [7].

Mortality rates after IS were similar for patients with and without diabetes at 30 days and 1 year post stroke in our study and the above are in accordance with the findings of Camalesh et al who also reported similar stroke mortality for patients with and without diabetes at 60 days and 1 year after IS [33] and Megherbi et al who reported similar post stroke mortality IS patients with and without diabetes at 3 months after IS [4]. Many studies have reported increased stroke mortality in patients with diabetes at different time points after ischemic stroke [8,9,34-38] with the majority of studies with long term follow up to report increased mortality. From the above it can be inferred that diabetes seems to increase stroke mortality on the long term while short term post stroke mortality may be similar between IS patients with diabetes and without diabetes and this is also in accordance with the slower post stroke recovery and increased post stroke disability reported for patients with diabetes [8,9].

This is the first study to evaluate IScore’s validity in another population sample, outside Canada, and to specifically examine validity in IS patients with diabetes. Our study was also conducted in a merely Caucasian population. Ethnicity has not been included as a parameter in the initial publication of IScore presentation due to lack of data for all patients although it was conferred from the study loci that it has been conducted in a mainly Caucasian population [11].

Race and ethnicity influence both incidence and prognosis of stroke with African Americans, Hispanic Americans and American Indians/Alaska natives to be at increased risk [39] while stroke mortality varies with African Americans to consistently exhibit higher stroke mortality rates than Caucasians [39,40] and this is attributed to increased stroke severity, lower socioeconomic status, variations in risk factors (uncontrolled blood pressure, smoking, inactivity, diabetes), general lower life expectancy and lack of access to medical care [39-41]. From the above point of view in IS patients with and without diabetes and our results clearly indicate that IScore accurately predicts mortality in both groups of IS patients. Additionally, according to our findings, IScore’s predictive ability is higher in IS patients with diabetes for 1 year mortality and this superiority is specifically located at high risk subgroups.

Diabetes has been associated with increased risk of stroke at a younger age and, not uniformly, with increased stroke severity and mortality [4,8-10] as well as poorer favorable outcomes after thrombolytic therapy [25] and in our cohort patients with diabetes presented more frequently with lacunar strokes and with more severe scores in the Canadian Neurological Scale and higher IScores. Our results regarding increased incidence of lacunar strokes in patients with diabetes are in accordance with the findings of the majority of studies [4,28-32] although there is not uniformity of findings in all studies in this field [7].

The development and broad application of a predictive tool for stroke mortality and functional recovery is of great importance today since it could identify patients at increased risk, guide level of inpatient and outpatient care and help neutralize health care disparities. Stroke is considered a continuum today commencing before admission and continuing after discharge. Addressing risk factors, improving quality of life and determining targeted interventions and an evidence-based discharge plan are considered fundamental factors determining outcome and reducing re-hospitalization rates and morbidity and mortality [26,27].

In the present study we investigated the validity of IScore in the prediction of 30-day and 1-year mortality and acute IS as well as risk of hemorrhagic complications after thrombolytic therapy [24] and the above are especially important in the case of IS patients with diabetes given the lower rates of favorable outcomes after thrombolytic therapy observed in this group of stroke patients [25].

Figure 1 ROC curves for IScore regarding a) 30-day and b) 1-year actual mortality rates. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for IScore regarding 30-day and 1-year actual mortality rates for acute ischemic stroke diabetic (n = 312) and non diabetic patients (n = 222). The area under the ROC curve was 0.87 (95% CI, 0.80-0.93) for diabetic and 0.85 (95% CI, 0.79-0.91) for non-diabetic at 30 days and 0.93 (95% CI, 0.90-0.97) and 0.87 (95% CI, 0.82-0.91) at 1 year.
evaluation of IScore's validity in other racial groups is of great interest since it could unveil any peculiarities or differences although it is expected to exert high validity. Especially for African Americans, given the higher prevalence of risk factors and greater stroke severity, IScore is expected, from the theoretical point of view and according to our findings, to exhibit even higher than the hereby reported validity.

The main weakness of the present study has been the relatively small, although sufficient, population sample (312 IS patients with diabetes and 222 without diabetes). A larger population sample could have allowed us to achieve even greater statistical power and this was more obvious in the case of comorbid patient conditions where we chose to report the results of Fisher's exact test since the expected values were relatively small.

Our results further support the broad use of IScore as a tool for mortality prediction in IS stroke patients. IScore's validity in mortality prediction in IS patients with diabetes is considered important given the explosive increase in the incidence of type II diabetes [42], its close correlation with stroke [43-45] as well as the increased stroke severity, poorer prognosis and response to thrombolytic therapy in IS patients with diabetes [25].

Conclusions
In conclusion, IScore exhibits excellent predictive accuracy and good calibration in predicting 30 day and 1 year mortality in type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic IS Caucasian patients with even higher accuracy in predicting 1 year post-stroke mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes especially in high risk patients.
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