CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of Interleukin-30 suppresses IGF1 and CXCL5 and boosts SOCS3 reducing prostate cancer growth and mortality
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Abstract

Background: Metastatic prostate cancer (PC) is a leading cause of cancer death in men worldwide. Targeting of the culprits of disease progression is an unmet need. Interleukin (IL)-30 promotes PC onset and development, but whether it can be a suitable therapeutic target remains to be investigated. Here, we shed light on the relationship between IL30 and canonical PC driver genes and explored the anti-tumor potential of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of IL30.

Methods: PC cell production of, and response to, IL30 was tested by flow cytometry, immunoelectron microscopy, invasion and migration assays and PCR arrays. Syngeneic and xenograft models were used to investigate the effects of IL30, and its deletion by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, on tumor growth. Bioinformatics of transcriptional data and immunopathology of PC samples were used to assess the translational value of the experimental findings.

Results: Human membrane-bound IL30 promoted PC cell proliferation, invasion and migration in association with STAT1/STAT3 phosphorylation, similarly to its murine, but secreted, counterpart. Both human and murine IL30 regulated PC driver and immunity genes and shared the upregulation of oncogenes, BCL2 and NFKB1, immunoregulatory mediators, IL1A, TNF, TLR4, PTGS2, PD-L1, STAT3, and chemokine receptors, CCR2, CCR4, CXCR5. In human PC cells, IL30 improved the release of IGF1 and CXCL5, which mediated, via autocrine loops, its potent proliferative effect. Deletion of IL30 dramatically downregulated BCL2, NFKB1, STAT3, IGF1 and CXCL5, whereas tumor suppressors, primarily SOCS3, were upregulated. Syngeneic and xenograft PC models demonstrated IL30’s ability to boost cancer proliferation, vascularization and myeloid-derived cell infiltration, which were hindered, along with tumor growth and metastasis, by IL30 deletion, with improved host survival. RNA-Seq data from the PanCancer collection and immunohistochemistry of high-grade locally advanced PCs demonstrated an inverse association (chi-squared test, \( p = 0.0242 \)) between IL30 and SOCS3 expression and a longer progression-free survival of patients with IL30NegSOCS3PosPC, when compared to patients with IL30PosSOCS3NegPC.
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Background
Prostate cancer (PC) is a major public health issue, which affects about 10 million men worldwide, and accounts for more than 400,000 deaths annually [1]. Aging of the population, due to the lengthening of the average life span, and reduced health care, due to the recent pandemic, will inevitably lead to an increase in PC incidence and mortality. Mortality is mainly due to metastatic disease for which, currently, there are no effective therapies. Identification of novel targetable drivers of PC onset and progression, to counteract metastasis and disease recurrences, is needed. Tumor onset and progression depend on the complex interactions between inherent germline susceptibility, acquired somatic gene alterations and microenvironmental factors [2], such as immunoregulatory mediators. Among them, Interleukin (IL)-30, which has been found to be expressed by cancer and/or infiltrating myeloid cells, in approximately 77% of metastatic PC, correlates with high-grade and stage of the disease [3] and has been reported to promote PC onset and progression in immunocompetent murine models [4]. Identified in 2002, as a partner of the Epstein-Barr virus induced gene 3 (EBI3), with which it forms the heterodimeric cytokine IL27 [5], IL30 can also behave as a self-standing cytokine, which is mainly produced by activated antigen-presenting cells and signals via IL6Rα (CD126), by recruiting a gp130 (CD130) homodimer [6].

Targeting of IL30, in both PC cells and host environment, consistently inhibited tumor growth, improved immune reactivity and prevented metastasis in mice [7]. In patients that underwent prostatectomy for locally advanced PC, those diagnosed with IL30Negative tumor showed a favorable immune cell context, characterized by intra-tumoral TIA-1+CD4+T lymphocytes and rare Foxp3+ Tregs, and a lower biochemical recurrence rate than patients bearing IL30Positive tumor, in which IL30 was expressed in both tumor cells and infiltrating leukocytes [7].

Produced and released by murine PC stem-like cells (PC-SLC), IL30 has demonstrated to support their proliferation, self-renewal and tumorigenesis; however, its role in human prostate oncogenesis remains to be fully elucidated. To candidate IL30 as a target for personalized treatment of PC progression in patients with IL30 expressing tumor, we investigated its production by, and its effects on, human cancer cells derived from high-grade metastatic PC, by uncovering its potential to regulate PC driver genes, cancer immune escape mechanisms and its impact on host survival. Bioinformatic analyses of RNA-Seq data from the “Prostate Adenocarcinoma TCGA PanCancer” collection [8], corroborated by immunopathological studies of clinical PC samples, determined the prognostic impact of IL30 expression and its relationship with critical PC driver genes. Identification of IL30 as an upstream regulator of oncogenes, tumor suppressor and immunity genes, substantiates the use of CRISPR/Cas9-based deletion of IL30 to demolish the downstream tumor-promoting machinery and provides a new tool for the cure or prevention of advanced disease.

Methods
Cell cultures and MTT assay
Murine (m) prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN)-derived stem-like cells (PIN-SCs) were isolated from a 11-week-old TRAMP mouse [9] and characterized in refs. 10 and 11. PIN-SCs were authenticated by means of cell surface staining and flow cytometry for characteristic markers, and by their growth properties, as we described (4). Human PC cells, PC3 and DU145, and the murine PC cell line TRAMP-C1, isolated from a 32-week-old C57BL/6 male TRAMP mouse [12], were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and were authenticated by short tandem repeat profile analysis. All cell lines were passaged for fewer than 6 months after resuscitation and were confirmed mycoplasma-free by PCR analysis.

Cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Seromed, Biochrom KG, Berlin, Germany). Cell viability and proliferation were assessed, using the CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (#G3582; Promega, Madison, WI, USA), on TRAMP-C1 cells, after administration of recombinant murine IL30 (#7430-ML, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and on PC3 and DU145 cells, after IL30 gene transfection or administration of recombinant human CXCL5 and IGF1 (#300-22 and #100-11, both from PeproTech, London, UK), or incubation with neutralizing anti-IL30, anti-CXCL5 (#AF1834, RRID:AB_355012 and #MAB254, RRID:AB_2261181, both from R&D Systems).
and anti-IGF1 (#MAB2912, R&D Systems) antibodies (Abs). The anti-IGF1 Ab was provided by R&D Systems as a specific blocking antibody against human IGF1, as demonstrated by the neutralization curve reported at https://www.rndsystems.com/products/human-igf1-igf-1-antibody-997121_mab2912 and included, as Figure S1, in Additional file 2.

Migration and invasion assays
To evaluate the motility and the invasiveness of human and murine PC cells, CytoSelect Cell Migration and Invasion Assay (#CBA-100-C; Cell Biolabs, San Diego, CA, USA) was used according to manufacturer's protocol.

Flow cytometry
To assess phenotype markers, human and murine PC cells were harvested and mechanically dissociated into a single cell suspension. Then, the cells were pelleted, resuspended in PBS and incubated for 30 min, at 4 °C, with the Abs listed in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1]. Acquisition was performed using a BD Scientific Canto II Flow Cytometer (RRID:SCR_018056), and the data were analyzed using FlowJo software (RRID:SCR_008520). Dead cells were excluded by 7AAD staining.

Transfection with IL27p28 (IL30) expressing vector
Creation of the IL30 expression lentiviral vector and its transfection into TRAMP-C1 cells were performed as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1]. Expression of IL30 was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR, western blotting (WB) and ELISA assay. For the overexpression of human IL30 in DU145 and PC3 cells, we used the IL27p28 Human Tagged ORF Clone (#RC209337L1; Origene, Rockville, MD, USA) which was transfected in cancer cells using Lipo -fectamine 3000 Reagent (#L3000001; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The expression of IL30 was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR and WB.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated IL30 gene knockout
The CRISPR/Cas9 technology was used to generate IL30 knockout (IL30KO) murine PIN-SCs, and human DU145 and PC3 cells, as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1]. IL30 gene knockout was validated by real-time RT-PCR, WB and ELISA assay (for murine PC cells).

STAT1 and STAT3 knockdown experiments
For the silencing of STAT1 and STAT3 genes in both DU145 and PC3 cell lines, we used the FlexiTube GeneSolution kit (#1027416, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and gene silencing efficiency was confirmed by WB. The AllStars siRNAs (Qiagen) were used as negative controls (scrambled siRNAs). Assessment of proliferation, migration and invasion abilities of IL30-overexpressing DU145 and PC3 cells, after STAT1 and STAT3 silencing, were performed as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1].

PCR array and real-time RT-PCR
PCR array and real-time RT-PCR were performed as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1], using the RT² Profiler Human Cancer Inflammation & Immunity Crosstalk PCR Array (#PAHS-181Z), the RT² Profiler™ Human Prostate Cancer PCR Array (#PAHS-135Z), the RT² Profiler Mouse Cancer Inflammation & Immunity Crosstalk PCR Array (#PAMM-181Z), the RT² Profiler™ Mouse Prostate Cancer PCR Array (#PAMM-135Z), the Human_IL27p28_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay (#QT00236250) and the Mouse_IL27p28_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay (QT00143017) (all from Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

ELISA
Quantitation of IL30, CXCL5 and IGF1, in the supernatant derived from murine or human PC cells, was carried out using the following ELISA kits, according to manufacturer’s protocols: Human CXCL5/ENA-78 Quantikine ELISA Kit (#DX000, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA); human IGF1 ELISA Kit (#ab211651, Abcam, Cambridge, UK); and mouse Interleukin-27 subunit alpha ELISA Kit (#CSB-E08466m, Cusabio, Wuhan, China).

Western blotting
WB was performed to assess IL30 protein expression in mouse and human PC cells, and the regulation of phospho-STAT1, phospho-STAT3, STAT3, BCL2, NFKB1, DKK3 and SOCS3 in human PC cells, as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1]. The protein bands were quantified using ImageJ software (RRID:SCR_003070).

Mouse studies
C57BL/6j and NSG mice were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA, USA). NSG mice were housed under high barrier conditions, according to the Jackson Laboratory’s guidelines, in the animal facility of the Center for Advanced Studies and Technology (CAST), at the "G. d'Annunzio" University of Chieti-Pescara, Italy. To evaluate the impact of IL30 overexpression on murine prostate cancer progression, three groups of thirty 8-week-old C57BL/6j mice were subcutaneously injected with $5 \times 10^5$ wild-type (CTRL), Empty Vector (EV) or mIL30 lentiviral-DNA (IL30LV-DNA) transfected
TRAMP-C1 cells. To study the effects of IL30 overexpression or knockout, with CRISPR/Cas9 technology, in an in vivo model of human prostate cancer, we subcutaneously injected three groups of thirty 8-week-old NSG mice with $3 \times 10^5$ wild-type (CTRL), Empty Vector (EV) or hIL30 lentiviral-DNA (IL30LV-DNA) transduced DU145 cells, and another three groups of thirty 8-week-old NSG mice with $5 \times 10^5$ wild-type (CTRL), non-targeting guide RNA-treated (NTgRNA) or IL30 knockout (IL30KO) DU145 cells. Tumors were measured with calipers as soon as they were palpable. Based on tumor growth and progression rate, 15 mice from each group were euthanized at key time points (3 mice per point) for histopathological analyses. The remaining 15 mice per group were kept until tumors reached $3 \text{ cm}^3$ or evidence of suffering was observed. Autopsy and histopathological examinations of the different organs (liver, lungs, kidney and spleen) were performed. An overall sample size of 15 mice per group allowed the detection of a statistically significant difference in tumor growth, between three groups (ANOVA), with an 80% power, at a 0.05 significance level (G*Power, RRID:SCR_013726).

Animal procedures were performed in accordance with the European Community guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee of “G. d’Annunzio” University and by the Italian Ministry of Health (Authorization n. 892/2018-PR).

Bioinformatic analyses

For bioinformatic analyses, RNA sequencing (seq) data (obtained using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 RNA Sequencing System, Version 2) of tumor samples from the “Prostate Adenocarcinoma TCGA PanCancer” collection, which includes 494 PC cases (Table 1), were downloaded from the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics database (https://www.cbioportal.org; cBioPortal, RRID:SCR_014555).

For each PC sample, the Z-score of the expression level for each gene of interest was calculated and compared to the mean of all the samples in the study. In the tumor samples from PC patients of the PanCancer collection, the expression of IL30 was never below a $Z$-score $= -2$; therefore, samples with a $Z$-score $\geq 2$ were defined as **high-expressing**, whereas samples with a $Z$-score $< 2$ were defined as **moderate-expressing**. In the same sample collection, the expression of SOCS3 was never higher than a $Z$-score $= 2$; therefore, samples with a $Z$-score $\leq -2$ were defined **low-expressing**, whereas samples with a $Z$-score $> -2$ were defined **moderate-expressing**.

Survival curves were constructed (with PC cases for which both gene expression and follow-up data were available) using the Kaplan–Meier method, and survival differences were analyzed by the Log-rank test. Gene co-occurrence analysis was performed by Fisher’s exact probability test, while Spearman’s correlation coefficient ($\rho$) was used to exclude correlations between gene expression and patient age, Gleason score and TNM staging.

All statistical tests were evaluated at an $\alpha$ level of 0.05, using Stata, version 13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA; RRID:SCR_012763).

| Variables   | N  | IL30 expression | SOCS3 expression |
|-------------|----|-----------------|------------------|
|             |    | High $n$ (%)     | Low $n$ (%)       |
|             |    | High $n$ (%)     | Low $n$ (%)       |
| Age         |    |                 |                  |
| 41–50       | 35 | 1 (3)           | 34 (97)          |
| 51–60       | 187| 4 (2)           | 183 (98)         |
| 61–70       | 235| 10 (4)          | 225 (96)         |
| 71–80       | 37 | 1 (3)           | 36 (97)          |
| Total       | 494| 16 (3)          | 478 (97)         |
| Gleason score|    |                 |                  |
| 6           | 43 | 0 (0)           | 43 (100)         |
| 7           | 242| 5 (2)           | 237 (98)         |
| 8           | 68 | 6 (4)           | 64 (94)          |
| 9           | 136| 7 (5)           | 129 (95)         |
| 10          | 5  | 0 (0)           | 5 (100)          |
| Total       | 494| 16 (3)          | 478 (97)         |
| Tumor size  |    |                 |                  |
| pT2a        | 13 | 1 (8)           | 12 (92)          |
| pT2b        | 10 | 1 (10)          | 9 (90)           |
| pT2c        | 164| 5 (3)           | 159 (97)         |
| pT3a        | 157| 5 (3)           | 152 (97)         |
| pT3b        | 133| 4 (3)           | 129 (97)         |
| pT4         | 10 | 0 (0)           | 10 (100)         |
| NA          | 7  | 0 (0)           | 7 (100)          |
| Total       | 494| 16 (3)          | 478 (97)         |
| Lymph node status |    |                 |                  |
| pN0         | 343| 8 (2)           | 335 (98)         |
| pN1         | 78 | 4 (5)           | 74 (95)          |
| NA          | 73 | 4 (5)           | 69 (95)          |
| Total       | 494| 16 (3)          | 478 (97)         |
| Stage       |    |                 |                  |
| I           | 10 | 1 (10)          | 9 (90)           |
| II          | 130| 4 (3)           | 126 (97)         |
| III         | 199| 3 (2)           | 196 (98)         |
| IV          | 78 | 4 (5)           | 74 (95)          |
| NA          | 77 | 4 (5)           | 73 (95)          |
| Total       | 494| 16 (3)          | 478 (97)         |

Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristics and expression profiles of IL30 and SOCS3 in prostate cancer patients included in the “Prostate Adenocarcinoma TCGA PanCancer collection”
Patients and samples
Tissue samples were collected and stored in the institutional biobank of the Local Health Authority n. 2 Lanciano Vasto Chieti, Italy, and the personal data processing complies with Data Protection Laws. For this study, prostate tissue samples were obtained from patients who underwent radical prostatectomy for PC, between 2009 and 2016, at the Prostate Cancer Center of the Local Health Authority. PC patients, ages 55–75, had not received immunosuppressive treatments, hormone-or radiotherapy, and were free from immune system diseases.

Clinic-pathological stages were determined according to the 8th edition of the TNM classification of malignant tumors (Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C. Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA 2017), and tumor grade was assessed according to the Gleason scoring system from the prostate biopsies. Patients were followed-up for at least 5 years after prostatectomy, and biochemical recurrence (BCR) was defined as a PSA value > 0.2 ng/mL after prostatectomy, confirmed by another measurement after 4 weeks.

For this study, we examined 198 PC samples obtained from patients at Stage III (pT3N0M0, with negative surgical margins), and with a Gleason score of 8–10. After staining for IL30, we selected and then analyzed only the PC specimens that were found (i) to express IL30 in both PC cells and infiltrating leukocytes (referred to as IL30PosPC; n. 52) or (ii) to lack IL30 expression in both PC cells and infiltrating leukocytes (referred to as IL30NegPC; n. 123), according to the criteria that we defined previously [7] and described below. This sample size allowed the detection of a statistically significant difference between the two groups, with an 80% power and a 5% significance level.

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee of the “G. d’Annunzio” University and Local Health Authority n.2 Lanciano Vasto Chieti, Italy (PROT. 1945/09 COET of 14/07/2009, amended in 2012). The study was performed, after written informed consent from patients, in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Histopathology, immunohistochemistry and morphometric analyses
For histology, tissue samples were fixed in 4% formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 4 μm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Single or double immunostainings, on formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections, were performed as described in ref. 13, using the Abs listed in Table S1. Proliferation index, microvessel and cell counts were performed as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1].

Expression of IL30 and SOCS3, in human PC specimens, was evaluated using the previously applied criteria [7], as described below.

Expression of IL30 and SOCS3 by neoplastic cells was evaluated using the following score, based on 1) the widening of the staining expressed as the percentage of tumor stained, i.e., <50%, between 50 and 70%, and >70%, and 2) the strength of the staining defined as absent (–), slight (±), distinct (+) or strong (++)

Thus, IL30 expression by neoplastic cells was defined as:

- **positive**, when (a) the widening was >70% and its strength ranged from slight (±) to strong (++), or (b) the widening was between 50 and 70% and its strength ranged from distinct (+) to strong (++);
- **weakly positive**, when (a) the widening was between 50 and 70% and its strength was slight (±), or (b) the widening was equal to 50% and its strength ranged from slight (±) to strong (++);
- **negative** when the widening was <50% and its strength was slight (±) to absent (–).

Expression of IL30 and SOCS3 by infiltrating leukocytes was evaluated using the following score, based on 1) the percentage of leukocyte expressing the cytokine, i.e., <50%, between 50 and 70%, and >70%, and 2) the strength of the cytokine staining that was defined as absent (–), scarce (±), distinct (+) or strong (++)

Thus, IL30 expression by infiltrating leukocytes was defined as:

- **strong**, when (a) the staining involved more than 70% of leukocytes and its strength ranged from scarce (±) to strong (++), or (b) the percentage of positively stained leukocytes was between 50 and 70% and the strength of the staining ranged from distinct (+) to strong (++);
- **distinct**, when (a) the staining involved >50% and ≤70% of leukocytes and its strength was scarce (±), or (b) the staining involved 50% of leukocytes and its strength ranged from scarce (±) to strong (++);
- **scanty**, when the staining involved <50% of leukocytes and its strength ranged from scarce (±) to absent (–).

Therefore, PC samples with **positive** and **strong** IL30, or SOCS3, expression were classified as IL30Pos, or SOCS3Pos, whereas PC samples with **negative** and
scanty IL30, or SOCS3, expression were classified as IL30Neg, or SOCS3Neg.

Immunostained sections were examined by two pathologists in a blind fashion, with very good agreement (κ value = 0.89).

Immunoelectron microscopy
PC cells were grown in monolayer and fixed in 2% PFA and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4, for 3 h at room temperature. Then, the cells were embedded into 12% gelatin in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4, solidified on ice, infused in 2.3 M sucrose overnight at 4 °C, mounted on aluminum pins and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Immunogold labeling was performed as described in the Supplemental Methods [see Additional file 1]. Labeled cryosections were analyzed with Philips CM10 and FEI-Philips Morgagni 268D transmission electron microscopes (Philips, Amsterdam, NL).

Statistical analysis
For in vitro and in vivo studies, between-group differences were assessed by Student’s t test, or ANOVA, followed by Tukey HSD test.

For the bioinformatics, statistical analyses have been described above. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan–Meier method and survival differences were analyzed by the log-rank test. Gene co-occurrence analysis was performed by Fisher exact probability test. Spearman’s correlation coefficient (ρ) was used to exclude correlations between gene expression and patients’ age, Gleason score and TNM staging. Follow-up time was 60 months. For morphometric studies, between-group differences were assessed by Student’s t test. All statistical tests were evaluated at an α level of 0.05 using Stata V.13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA; RRID:SCR_012763).

Results
Human PC cells express membrane-bound Interleukin-30, which sustains their proliferation and invasiveness via STAT1/STAT3 signaling pathway
Assessment of IL30 production, throughout the natural history of PC, revealed that, in both mouse and human, it was confined to the rare PC-SLCs [4], in the early stages of the disease. In poorly differentiated, high-grade human PC and in metastatic lesions, IL30 was found in both cancer and infiltrating leukocytes [3]. While the consequences of targeting leukocyte-derived IL30 in the PC microenvironment have been thoroughly investigated [7, 14], the impact of cancer cell-derived IL30 in advanced human PC remains to be explored. To bridge this gap, two human (h) PC cell lines derived from metastases of high-grade PCs, DU145, endowed with a CK8/14+AR+PSA+ phenotype [15] (Additional file 2: Fig. S2A), and PC3, endowed with a CD44+AR−PSA−CgA+NSE+ neuroendocrine phenotype [16] (Additional file 2: Fig. S2B), were analyzed for their production of, and response to, IL30.

Due to its tertiary structure [17], human IL30 (IL27p28 subunit, or IL27α) cannot be secreted [5], unless it heterodimerizes with soluble receptor-like proteins (EBI3 or Cytokine-Like Factor1) to form heterodimeric complexes [18]; therefore, we looked for its expression in the plasma membrane of hPC cells. Flow cytometry showed IL30 expression on the cell surface of both, PC3 and DU145, PC cells (Fig. 1A, B). These data were confirmed by WB analysis, which discriminated plasma membrane proteins from the cytoplasmic protein fraction (Fig. 1C, D), and by immunoelectron microscopy, which visualized IL30 expression in the endoplasmic reticulum-associated vesicles and on the plasma membranes, especially on the surface of microvilli-like structures (Fig. 1E, F). To assess whether membrane-bound IL30 can affect, via juxta-ptic signaling, neighboring cancer cells, we analyzed their expression of gp130 and CD126, currently known to function as the IL30 receptor chains (8) (Fig. 1G, H), and determined their viability, both after adding neutralizing anti-IL30 Abs to the culture medium (Fig. 1I, J) and following IL30 overexpression (IL30-DU145 and IL30-PC3), obtained by gene transfection (Fig. 1K, L). A substantial inhibition, or increase, in cell proliferation (Fig. 1I, J, K, L), migration and invasion abilities (Fig. 1M, N), was detected in both hPC cell lines, upon abrogation or overexpression of IL30, respectively. In both cell lines, IL30 overexpression led to increased expression of the phosphorylated STAT1 and STAT3 isoforms (Fig. 1O, P), which were clearly suppressed in wild-type cells treated with anti-IL30 Abs, or in IL30KO cells. This finding suggests that, as observed for its soluble murine counterpart [5], the human membrane-bound form of IL30, expressed by either androgen dependent or independent PC cells, fosters tumor proliferation and invasiveness, through the activation of the STAT1/STAT3 signaling pathway [6, 19]. Silencing of STAT1 and STAT3 with specific siRNAs (Additional file 2: Fig. S2C, D) in IL30-overexpressing DU145 and PC3 cells (in which the increase of the phosphorylated isoforms of STAT1 and STAT3 was evident) resulted in a significant (ANOVA: p < 0.05) reduction in their proliferation, migration and invasion abilities, which were comparable to those of wild-type cells (Fig. 2Q, R, S), thus confirming, in human PC cells, the role of the STAT1/STAT3 pathway in IL30 signaling.
**Human interleukin-30 regulates PC driver genes**

Since neutralization of membrane-bound IL30, inhibits proliferation and invasiveness in human PC cells, while in the mouse model, targeting of the IL30 gene has demonstrated to inhibit murine PC-SLC tumorigenicity, tumor onset and progression [4], we wondered whether IL30 signaling might regulate, in both human and murine PC cells, the network of genes driving PC oncogenesis. We explored this possibility by performing PCR arrays for PC driver genes on both mouse and human PC cells, after treatment with recombinant IL30 (in murine cells) or knockout of the IL30 gene (in murine and human cells).

In PC-SLCs, isolated from PIN-developed in a 11-week-old TRAMP mouse [11], hereinafter referred to as PIN-SCs, which express the IL30R and constitutively release IL30 [4], CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genomic deletion of IL30, that we have performed [see ref. 4], inhibited the expression of *Abcb1a* (−3.30 times), *Creb1* (−2.42 times), *Igf1* (−3.45 times), *Igf1bp5* (−2.32 times), *Nfkβ1* (−5.97 times), *Pdpk1* (−3.44 times), *Rbm39* (−30.06 times), *Rbp1* (−3.43 times) and *Shbg* (−5.13 times), which were upregulated by the treatment with recombinant murine (rm) IL30. Treatment with IL30 also upregulated tumor progression genes, such as *Ar* (3.27 times), *Bcl2* (3.25 times), *IL6* (3.90 times), *Cav2* (7.60 times), *Ndrg3* (4.5 times), *Sept7* (4.10 times) and *Sfrp1* (7.46 times) (Fig. 2A).

In TRAMP-C1 cells, derived from a PC developed in a 32-week-old TRAMP mouse [12], which expressed CD126 and gp130 receptor chains (Fig. 2B), but did not release IL30, as assessed by ELISA, the treatment with
Fig. 1  (See legend on previous page.)
rmIL30 (10–100 ng/mL) led to a significant increase of their proliferation (Fig. 2C). ANOVA: p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD test: p < 0.01), migration and invasion abilities (Fig. 2D, E). ANOVA: p < 0.001; Tukey HSD test: p < 0.01), as we previously observed in mPC-SLCs [4] and have shown here in hPC cells (Fig. 1K, L, M, N and ref. 3). The treatment of TRAMP-C1 cells with rmIL30 (50 ng/mL) substantially upregulated genes coding for Abcb1a (108.99 times), Ccn1 (10.78 times), Cln3 (7.35 times), Erg (56.41 times), Gadd45a (64.80 times), Hal (18.61 times), Il6 (12.20 times), Nfkβ1 (14.60 times), Rbp1 (151.17 times), Shbg (19.95 times), Tmprss2 (10.13 times) and Vegfa (7.1 times), whereas the expression of a wide range of tumor suppressor genes, primarily, Cdh1 (−28.65 times), Gpx3 (−15.34 times), Gstp1 (−120.5 times), Ints6 (−13.83 times), Mto1 (−5.82 times), Pten (−4.86 times), Rarb (−14.62 times) and Socs3 (−29.85 times), was suppressed (Fig. 2F).

In both murine PC cell lines, PIN-SCs and TRAMP-C1, isolated from the early and late stages, respectively, of prostate carcinogenesis of TRAMP mice, treatment with IL30 led to the upregulation of Abcb1, Bcl2, Cav2, Creb1, Il6, Nfkβ1, Rbp1, Shbg, Tmprss2 and Vegfa, and the downregulation of Gstp1 (Fig. 2G).

In human PC cells, DU145, abrogation of the constitutively produced IL30, by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing (Fig. 1C), led to the upregulation of tumor suppressor genes, such as CDH1 (2.59 times), DKK3 (10.03 times), FOXO1 (3.21 times), PTEN (2.13 times), RARβ (2.26 times), SRFP1 (2.78 times), TIMP2 (3.19 times) and especially SOCS3 (15.20 times), whereas expression of oncoproteins, such as CCNA1, EGFR, ERG, FASN, HMGCGR, MKI67, PTGS2 and, especially, BCL2 (−13.50 times), NFKB1 (−14.10 times) and IGF1 (−21.26 times) were suppressed (Fig. 2H). By contrast, IL30 overexpression (Fig. 1C), in DU145 cells, led to a significant upregulation of PC driver genes, such as MAPK1, MSX1, SLC5A8 and, primarily, AR (8.71 times), CCND2 (10.01 times) and IGF1 (12.30 times), whereas SFRP1, NXX3-1 and, especially, FOXO1 (−6.35 times), PDLIM4 (−12.90 times) and SOCS3 (−7.02 times) were downregulated (Fig. 2H).

In human PC cells, PC3, IL30 gene deletion (Fig. 1D) reshaped a wide range of PC driver genes, among which AR (−5.96 times), CCND2 (−20.25 times), PTGS1 (−11.31 times), PTGS2 (−3.88 times), SOX4 (−6.38 times), VEGFA (−3.35 times) and especially IGF1 (−52.22 times) were inhibited, whereas a range of tumor suppressors were upregulated, such as TNFRSF10D (4.84 times).
Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)
times), DKK3 (9.03 times) and, primarily SOCS3 (18.41 times).

IL30 overexpression, in PC3 cells (Fig. 1D), led to a significant upregulation of cancer driver genes, such as AKTI, ARNTL, CAV1, CAV2, IL6, and especially ERG (15.71 times), MKI67 (13.12 times), BCL2 (11.50 times) and IGF1 (16.89 times), whereas the tumor suppressors, MAX (−2.37 times), CDH1 (−7.24 times), TP53 (−8.61 times), DKK3 (−15.10 times) and SOCS3 (−4.40 times) were downregulated (Fig. 2I).

Noteworthy, both human PC cell lines, in which the IL30 gene was knocked out, shared (Fig. 2G) the down-regulation of ERG, MKI67, FASN, HMGCR, PTGS2 and a consistent suppression of BCL2 (−19.62 times in PC3 and −13.50 in DU145) (Fig. 2J), NFkB1 (−16.40 times in PC3 and −14.10 times in DU145) (Fig. 2K) and IGF1 expression (52.22 times in PC3 and −21.26 times in DU145), whereas DKK3 (9.03 times in PC3 and 10.03 times in DU145) and, primarily, SOCS3 (18.41 times in PC3 and 14.20 times in DU145) were upregulated (Fig. 2L). Furthermore, IL30-overexpressing DU145 and PC3 cells shared a significant upregulation of NFKB1 and IGF1 expression, confirmed at the protein level by WB (Fig. 2K) and ELISA assay, (Fig. 3A, B), respectively.

IGF1 autocrine loop contributes to the IL30 driven proliferation of human PC cells

IGF1 is involved not only in the growth and development of the prostate gland, but also in the growth and progression of PC [20, 21]. High serum levels of IGF1 have been associated with an increased risk for PC [22, 23].

We found that both human PC cells, DU145 and PC3, constitutively produced and released IGF1, 181.11±10.18 pg/mL, and 130.00±8.82 pg/mL, respectively, and that they also expressed IGF1R (Fig. 3C). Both PC cell lines showed a reduced secretion of IGF1, after the blockade, with neutralizing anti-IL30 Abs, of their constitutive production of IL30 (DU145: 79.44±33.39 vs 181.11±10.18 pg/mL; PC3: 64.44±10.18 vs 130.00±8.82 pg/mL. ANOVA: p<0.01; Tukey HSD test: p<0.05. Fig. 3D, E). By contrast, the release of IGF1 increased, in both PC cell lines engineered to overexpress IL30, compared to control cells (IL30-DU145: 643.33±12.02 pg/mL vs EV-DU145: 193.33±3.33 pg/mL, and DU145 cells: 181.11±10.18 pg/mL. ANOVA: p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test: p<0.01 vs both EV and WT. IL30-PC3: 438.89±42.21 pg/mL vs EV-PC3: 136.67±12.02 pg/mL, and PC3 cells: 130.00±8.82 pg/mL. ANOVA: p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test: p<0.01 vs both EV and WT. Fig. 3A, B). The treatment of both, DU145 and PC3 cells, with recombinant human (rh) IGF1 increased, in a dose-dependent manner, their proliferation (ANOVA: p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test: p<0.01.

CRISPR/Cas9-targeted deletion of human Interleukin-30 upregulates SOCS3 and inhibits tumor production of IGF1 and PC progression improving survival of tumor-bearing host

To evaluate, in vivo, the consequences on PC development of IL30 expression, or targeted deletion, in human PC cells, DU145 cells, which constitutively expressed the highest level of IL30 (Fig. 1A, a), were implanted in NSG (NOD scid gamma) mice, after targeted deletion, or over-expression, of IL30 gene.

The slow growing tumors developed after the subcutaneous (s.c.) implantation of wild type, or EV-DU145 cells, reached, 71 days later, a mean tumor volume (MTV) that was smaller than that of IL30-overexpressing DU145 tumors (1.639±0.397 cm³ and 1.646±0.340 cm³ vs 2.552±0.421 cm³; ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 vs both controls. Fig. 4A), but significantly bigger than that of IL30KO tumors (2.628±0.718 cm³ and 2.873±0.615 cm³ vs 0.837±0.275 cm³; ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 vs both controls. Fig. 4B).

Although all tumor-bearing mice developed lung metastases, the average number of metastases per mouse was significantly lower in mice-bearing IL30KO-DU145 tumors than in mice-bearing control NTgRNA-treated DU145 or wild-type DU145 tumors (4 vs 10 and 95, respectively) (ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 vs both controls. Fig. 4C) and did not correlate with the tumor volume (Pearson correlation coefficient: r=0.18). Moreover, Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed that mice-bearing IL30KO-DU145 tumors survived longer (95 days) than mice-bearing NTgRNA-treated DU145 or DU145 tumors (both groups, 74 days. Log-rank test: p<0.0001. Fig. 4D).

Consistent with the transcriptional profile of PC driver genes in hPC cells, which overexpressed or lacked IL30, the production of IGF1 was considerable in IL30-overexpressing DU145 tumors, whereas it was scanty to absent in IL30-deficient DU145 tumors (Fig. 4E). IL30-overexpressing DU145 tumors also showed higher proliferation (Ki67: 66.03%±7.98%
Fig. 3  IL30-dependent regulation of IGF1 production in human PC cells and IGF1-mediated autocrine growth loop.  
A, B Elisa assay of IGF1 release by wild-type, EV and IL30 gene-transfected DU145 (A) and PC3 (B) cells. ANOVA: $p < 0.0001$. *$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with WT and EV-transfected cells. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. C Cytofluorimetric analyses of IGF1R expression in PC3 and DU145 cells. Red lines: isotype control. Experiments were performed in triplicate. D, E Elisa assay of IGF1 release by wild-type DU145 (D) and PC3 (E) cells, after the treatment with anti-IL30 Abs. (D) ANOVA: $p < 0.05$. *$p < 0.05$, Tukey HSD test compared with DU145 cells untreated or treated with 5 μg/mL. E ANOVA: $p < 0.001$. *$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with untreated PC3 cells. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. F, G MTT assay of DU145 (F) and PC3 (G) cells, untreated (0.0 ng/mL) or treated with rhIGF1 (5.0, 10, 30, 50 ng/mL). ANOVA: $p < 0.0001$. *$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0 ng/mL. **$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0, 5 and 10 ng/mL. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. H, I MTT assay of DU145 (H) and PC3 (I) cells, untreated (0.0 μg/mL) or treated with anti-IGF1 Abs (0.1, 0.4, 0.8 μg/mL in DU145; 0.25, 0.50, 0.70 μg/mL in PC3). (H) ANOVA: $p < 0.0001$. *$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0.1 ng/mL. **$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0.0 and 0.4 μg/mL. ***$p < 0.05$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0.0, 0.1 and 0.4 μg/mL. (I) ANOVA: $p < 0.0001$. *$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0.00 ng/mL. **$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with 0.00 and 0.25 μg/mL. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. J, K MTT assay of wild-type and IL30 gene-transfected DU145 (J) and PC3 (K) cells, untreated (0.0 μg/mL), or treated with anti-IGF1 Abs (30 μg/mL). ANOVA: $p < 0.0001$. *$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with wild-type cells. **$p < 0.01$, Tukey HSD test compared with wild-type and IL30-transfected cells. Results are expressed as mean ± SD.
vs 48.43% ± 7.14% and 49.27% ± 4.86%; ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 versus both controls) and microvessel density (MVD: 23.79±4.85 vs 11.71±2.87 and 12.87±2.94; ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 versus both controls) than control tumors. By contrast, IL30-deficient tumors were low proliferating (Ki67: 25.00% vs 48.43%±7.14% and 47.14%±6.69%; ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 versus both controls), and poorly vascularized (MVD: 3.75±1.98 vs 11.71±2.87 and 9.75±1.91; ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 versus both controls). Fig. 4E).

Immunopathological analyses revealed that cytoplasmic and nuclear expression of NFKB1 was relevant in IL30-overexpressing tumors and scanty in IL30KO tumors, in which the expression of tumor suppressors CDH1/E-Cadherin, DKK3, PTEN, RARB and SOCS3, which was also detected in macrophage-like cells, was stronger than in control tumors, while that of PTGS2 was fainter (Fig. 4F), therefore, strengthening the in vitro data on the regulation of PC driver genes by IL30 in human PC cells.

PC cell production of IL30 also affected the extent of the intra-tumoral immune cell infiltrate, since macrophages and granulocytes increased in IL30-overexpressing tumor xenograft, compared to controls (ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 versus wt-DU145 and EV-DU145), whereas they were poorly detected in IL30-deficient tumors (ANOVA, p<0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p<0.01 versus wt-DU145 and NtgRNA-treated DU145 (Fig. 4G, H).

To overcome the lack of specific immunity cells (T, B and NK) in the microenvironment of the tumor xenograft, and the failure of this model in assessing the role of such immune cells in IL30-conditioned tumor, we then used a fully immunocompetent model of cancer induced by TRAMP-C1 cells in C57BL/6J host. TRAMP-C1 cells, which lack constitutive IL30 secretion, were engineered to overexpress IL30, and the clone releasing 458±12.06 ng/mL of mIL30, hereinafter referred to as...
IL30-TRAMP-C1 (Fig. 4I), was used for in vivo studies. After their s.c. implantation, in syngeneic host, IL30-TRAMP-C1 cells gave rise to tumors that grew faster than controls, and that reached, 57 days later, a MTV that was significantly higher (1.366 ± 0.098 cm³ vs 0.587 ± 0.094 cm³ and 0.665 ± 0.139 cm³; ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p < 0.01 vs both controls (Fig. 4J)). IL30-overexpressing TRAMP-C1 tumors were highly proliferating (PCNA: 65.17% ± 5.00% in IL30-TRAMP-C1 tumors vs 28.14% ± 4.78% in TRAMP-C1, and 27.71% ± 4.07% in EV-TRAMP-C1; ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD test, p < 0.01 vs both controls) and well vascularized (MVD: 20.71 ± 3.09 in IL30-TRAMP-C1 tumors vs 14.43 ± 2.72 in TRAMP-C1, and 13.57 ± 1.27 in EV-TRAMP-C1; ANOVA, p < 0.001; Tukey HSD test, p < 0.01 vs both controls. Fig. 4K). In accordance with the upregulation of NFKB1 detected in IL30 treated TRAMP-C1 cells in vitro, IL30-overexpressing TRAMP-C1 tumors revealed high level of expression of NFKB1 and a significant infiltrate of F4/80⁺ macrophages, CD11b⁺Gr-1⁺ myeloid-derived cells (MDC), Ly-6G⁺ granulocytes and Foxp3⁺ Tregs (Fig. 4K, L), whereas infiltration of CD3⁺T, as well as that of CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ cells, was comparable to that found in control tumors. At the end of the experiment, when the MTV reached 1.366 ± 0.098 cm³, all the mice-bearing IL30-overexpressing tumors had developed lung metastasis, versus just half of the control mice (Fisher’s exact test: p = 0.03; Fig. 4M), which also survived longer (64 vs 57 days. Log-rank test: p = 0.0012; Fig. 4N).

Since tumor overproduction of IL30 promoted a substantial intra-tumoral myeloid cell infiltrate, in both xenograft and syngeneic models, and in the latter also an influx of Tregs, whereas the genetic deletion of IL30 in human PC cells dampened inflammation in the tumor microenvironment, we wondered whether IL30 interfered with the cancer-immune cell crosstalk by regulating PC cell expression of immunity genes driving immune cell recruitment.
Human membrane-bound and murine-secreted Interleukin-30 regulates the PC inflammation and immunity program

Inflammation and immunity gene expression profiles were investigated, by using PCR arrays, in TRAMP-C1 cells engineered to overexpress IL30 (Fig. 5A), and in DU145 and PC3 cells knocked out for the IL30 gene (Fig. 5B, C).

Activation-induced cytidine deaminase, also known as AICDA, which encodes a DNA-editing deaminase that mediates genotoxic effects [24] by enhancing the susceptibility to mutagenesis, was upregulated (193.72 times) in IL30-TRAMP-C1 versus control cells, along with expression of chemokines, such as Ccl2 (2.01 times) [25], Ccl4 (53.36 times) [26], CCL5 (2.56 times) [26] and Cxcl2 (3.43 times) [27], and growth factors, such as Csfr1 (5.77 times) [27], Csfr2 (5.46 times) [28] and Csfr3 (5.46 times) [29], which promote MDC recruitment. IL30 overexpression in TRAMP-C1 cells, also led to a substantial upregulation (over 40 times increase) of C–C chemokines which drive Treg recruitment, such as Ccl20 (51.55 times) [30], Ccl22 (94.21 times) [31] and Ccl28 (47.10 times) [32], and a storm of cytokines (more than 30 times increase) such as, IL1α, IL1β, IL4, IL5, IL6, IL10, IL13, IL23α and, to a lesser extent, IL17a and IL22. A significant upregulation (ranging from 9 to 11 times) of chemokines which may favor Treg, but also T and NK cell infiltrate, namely Cxcl9, Cxcl10, Cxcl11 [33, 34] and Cxcl12 [34] was also detected in IL30-TRAMP-C1 cells (Fig. 5A).

Intriguingly, in TRAMP-C1 cells, IL30 overproduction strongly upregulated the expression of chemokine receptors, namely Ccr1 (35.95 times), Ccr2 (45.50 times), Ccr4 (42.75 times), Ccr5 (51.90 times), Ccr9 (92.27 times) [35] and Cxcr5 (35.95 times) [36], which can promote cancer cell migration and metastatic spread.

In TRAMP-C1 cells, IL30 also boosted an immunosuppressive and tumor immune escape program (Fig. 5A), which includes the upregulation of Ido1 (34.01 times), Nos2 (21.37 times), Ptgs2 (5.93 times) [37], Cd274, which encodes for Pdl-1 (26.50 times), Pdcd1, which encodes for Pd-1 (7.56 times), Ctla4 (6.86 times) [38], Tlr2-3-4-9 (2.51, 43.05, 7.61 and 53.36 times, respectively) [39] and, particularly, Fasl (80.88 times) [40].

Assessment of the immunity gene expression profile in human PC cells, DU145, after IL30 gene deletion (Fig. 5B), revealed a substantial downregulation of oncogenes, such as Bcl2, already included among the PC drivers, Bcl2L1, Egfr [41] and Gbp1 [42], along with Spp1, Tlr3-4, Tnf, Il1a and particularly, Csfr2 (∼9.12 times) and Pgds2 (−7.78 times). Expression ofCd274/Pd-l1, along with a wide range of chemokines, mostly endowed with chemotactic activity toward macrophages and granulocytes, such as, Cxcl2/Mip2α [43], Cxcl1 [44], Cxcl8 [45] and Mip [46], was also suppressed by IL30 gene deletion in DU145 cells.

In human PC cells, PC3, IL30 gene deletion led to a substantial suppression of a further range of proinflammatory mediators, primarily Ccl21 (∼56.00 times),...
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CCL4 (− 45.00 times), IL1b (− 80.00 times), IL12B (− 27.86 times), IL23A (− 115.00 times), IL4 (− 38 times), IL10 (− 60.00 times), whereas the expression of IL2, a pleiotropic cytokine with antimetastatic effects [47], was substantially upregulated (39.12 times). PC3 cells lacking constitutive IL30 expression also showed a considerable downregulation of AICDA (− 15.78), FOXP3 (− 11.55) NOS2 (− 42.00 times) and PDCD1/PD-1 (− 67.00 times), whereas the tumor suppressor, TP53, was remarkably upregulated (76.11 times) (Fig. 5C).

In both human PC cell lines, DU145 and PC3, IL30 gene deletion led to a significant downregulation of chemokine receptors, which promote cancer cell migration and metastatic dissemination [48] namely CXCR5 (− 2.81 times), CCR4 (− 11.96 times) and CXCR2 (− 9.07 times) in DU145 cells, and CCR1 (− 2.71 times), CCR10 (− 11.00 times) and CCR2 (− 27.67 times) in PC3 cells (Fig. 5B, C, D).

In addition to the suppression of IGFI, BCL2 and NFKB1, disclosed by the analysis of PC driver genes, abrogation of endogenous IL30, consistently inhibited, in both human PC cell lines, the expression of STAT3, as confirmed at the protein level by WB analysis (Fig. 5E, 5F), and the expression of mediators of monocyte/macrophages and granulocyte recruitment, such as CSF2 (28), CXCL1 [44], CXCL8 [45], IL1A [49], TNF [50] and, primarily CXCL5 (− 16.96 times in IL30KO-DU145, and − 29.50 times in IL30KO-PC3) (Fig. 5H). Higher serum levels of CXCL5 were detected in metastatic PC patients, compared to patients with primary tumor or healthy subjects [51, 52]. The prominent regulation of CXCL5 expression by IL30, led us to speculate on its involvement in IL30 shaped PC progression program.

CXCL5 autocrine loop contributes to IL30 driven proliferation of human PC cells

Through its interaction with C-X-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CXCRII), CXCL5, also known as epithelial cell-derived neutrophil-activating peptide-78 (ENA-78), regulates neutrophil influx into the inflamed tissues, and stimulates tumor growth and progression directly or via infiltration and activation of granulocytes and MDCs [51, 53, 54]. Here, we asked whether CXCL5 was involved in IL30-dependent PC cell proliferation. By ELISA assay, we found that both DU145 and PC3 cells constitutively expressed and released CXCL5, 30.62 ± 0.38 and 55.37 ± 0.54 pg/mL, respectively. A reduced secretion of CXCL5 was demonstrated, by ELISA (ANOVA: p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD test: p < 0.01), after the blockade of endogenous IL30 with anti-IL30 Abs, 20.90 ± 0.54 pg/mL in DU145, and 39.53 ± 0.54 pg/mL in PC3 cells (Fig. 6A, B), whereas IL30 gene-transfected IL30-DU145 and IL30-PC3 cells produced and released higher levels of CXCL5 (42.40 ± 0.59 and 68.87 ± 0.54 pg/mL, respectively) than control cells (EV-DU145: 29.84 ± 0.33 pg/mL, EV-PC3: 57.37 ± 1.78 pg/mL; ANOVA: p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD test: p < 0.01) (Fig. 6C, D).

Both human PC cell types expressed CXCR2 (Fig. 6E), and the treatment with neutralizing anti-CXCL5 Abs
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The combination of low IL30 expression and high SOCS3 expression in clinical PC samples predicts a longer progression-free survival in PC patients

Here, we demonstrate that IL30 inhibits the expression of tumor suppressor SOCS3 in both murine and human PC cells, whereas SOCS3 was upregulated following genomic deletion of IL30 in human PC cells (Fig. 2F, G, H, I, L). Epigenetic silencing of SOCS3 has been associated with high Gleason grade [55], and locally advanced PC [56], in addition to shaping the immune gene expression profile previously described [7], and selected PC samples with or without IL30 expression, in both tumor cells and infiltrating leukocytes (IL30PosPC, n. 52, and IL30NegPC, n. 123, respectively).

Morphometric analysis revealed that 29 out of 52 patients (56%) with IL30PosPC were also SOCS3Neg, whereas 18 patients (35%) were SOCS3Pos (5 out of 52, 10%, were neither SOCS3Pos nor SOCS3Neg). Among the 123 patients diagnosed with IL30NegPC, 49 (40%), were also SOCS3Neg, whereas 67 (54%) were SOCS3Pos (7 out of 123, 6%, were neither SOCS3Pos nor SOCS3Neg). These data demonstrated a significant (Chi-squared test, $p = 0.0242$) inverse association between IL30 and SOCS3 expression in PC samples (Fig. 6N).

Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed that all patients with IL30PosSOCS3NegPC (100%) underwent BCR, with a median BCR-free survival of 43 months, whereas only 5 out of 18 of patients (28%) bearing IL30PosSOCS3NegPC experienced BCR (Log-rank test, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 6O).

Kaplan-Meier analysis also showed that 19 out of 49 patients (39%) with IL30NegSOCS3NegPC underwent BCR, whereas only 7 out of 67 patients (10%) diagnosed with IL30NegSOCS3PosPC experienced BCR (Log-rank test, $p = 0.0002$) (Fig. 6O). Furthermore, the BCR-free survival of patients with IL30NegSOCS3NegPC was significantly lower than that of patients bearing IL30NegSOCS3NegPC (Log-rank test, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 6O).

Overall, the immunopathological studies related to the clinical outcome, substantiated the RNA-Seq data provided by the PanCancer dataset, and strengthened the clinical value of IL30’s regulation of SOCS3 expression in PC.

Discussion

PC onset and progression stem from a complex interplay between genetic and epigenetic aberrations and micro-environmental factors, which dictate tumor behavior and clinical outcome [57]. Here, we demonstrate that in addition to shaping the immune gene expression profile, as we recently demonstrated in human breast cancer cells [14], membrane-bound IL30, which is constitutively expressed by human PC cells, regulates proliferation, invasion, migration and a wide range of oncogenic drivers of PC [57]. Parallel investigation of the regulatory
effects of IL30 released by murine PC cells on their own transcriptional profile highlights that the human membrane-bound cytokine and its murine, albeit secreted, counterpart share essential biological functions. Via juxta-tacrine signaling, which clearly involves the phosphor-ylation and activation of the STAT1/STAT3 pathway [6, 19], as we previously described in murine PC cells [4], membrane-bound expression of IL30 on human PC cells sustains their proliferation, migration and invasion abili-ties. Furthermore, plasma membrane-anchored IL30 may orchestrate the genetic and immunological reprogram-ming of neighboring cancer cells by boosting the expres-sion of oncogenes, growth factors, chemokine receptors, inflammatory mediators and checkpoint regulators, while turning off tumor suppressor genes.

Murine PC cells share a significant IL30-dependent upregulation of oncogenes, such as Abcb1a, that con-fers resistance to chemotherapy [58], Bcl2, that rescues cancer cells from apoptosis and drives androgen-inde-pendent growth [59], Cav2, which can activate cellular mitogenesis [60], and Creb1, which regulates a network of genes required for cell survival, proliferation and migration [61]. In murine PC cells, IL30 also promotes the expression of Nfkβ1, the master regulator of immu-nity genes, cell-cycle modulators, survival signals, and of growth and angiogenic factors [62]; Rbp1, which regu-lates retinoic acid (RA) homeostasis, and that is silenced in more than 40% of PCs [63]; Shbg, which regulates test-osterone metabolism and supports cell growth [64]; and Tmprss2, a membrane-anchored serine protease involved in PC progression, which can be found as TMPRSS2-ERG fusion gene in about 50% of PCs [65], and Vegfa, which promotes angiogenesis and metastasis [66]. Concurrently, IL30 overproduction led, in murine PC cell lines, to the downregulation of Gstp1, a member of the GST family of enzymes, that can protect cells from oxida-tive stress and has been found to be silenced in 90–95% of PCs [67].

Noteworthy, in both murine and human PC cells, IL30 stands out as an upstream regulator of key drivers of inflammation, immunity and cancer, such as NFκB1 and BCL2, which in human PC cells can be efficiently sup-pressed by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated IL30 gene deletion. Targeted genome editing to abrogate constitutive pro-duction of IL30 also led, in both AR+ and AR- human PC cell types, to the downregulation of a wide range of oncogenes, including AR, the main driver of castra-tion-resistant PC development and of acquired resist-ance to androgen deprivation therapy, and ERG, which is overexpressed in a high proportion of PCs, due to a gene fusion with the androgen-driven promoter of the TMPRSS2 gene [68]. In human PC cells, essential PC driver genes were suppressed following IL30 gene knockout, such as MKI67, which is an established marker of tumor proliferation and an independent predictor of PC death [69], FASN, which modulates PC cell adhesion and migration and has been associates with BCR [70, 71], HMGCR, which is elevated in enzalutamide-resistant PC cells and has been associated with poor prognosis [72], and PTGS2/Cox-2, which catalyzes the rate-limiting steps in prostaglandin biosynthesis and could pro-mote tumor growth and suppress tumor immunity [73]. Finally, the production of IGF1, which is heavily impli-cated in the pathogenesis of PC [20–23], can be massively inhibited in human PC cells by IL30 gene deletion. IL30 regulates PC cell release of IGF1, which was increased by IL30 overexpression and suppressed by neutralizing anti-IL30 antibodies. IGF1 exerts autocrine growth function and mediates IL30-dependent proliferation of human PC cells, which can be largely suppressed by the treatment with anti-IGF1 neutralizing antibodies.

Besides downregulating relevant PC drivers, IL30 gene deletion rehabsitates in both human PC cell types, the expression of critical tumor suppressor genes, which are usually silenced in PC, such as DKK3, a secreted glycoprotein shown to inhibit TGFβ signaling, and pri-marily SOCS3, which negatively regulates JAK/STAT signaling and prevents cancer cell proliferation, invasion and metastasis [55].

The clinical relevance of our experimental findings is substantiated by the Kaplan–Meier curves of PC patients, from the “Prostate Adenocarcinoma TCGA PanCancer” collection, which show a shorter PFS for patients diagnosed with IL30 mRNAHigh tumor and patients with SOCS3 mRNALow tumor. The immunopathological and morphometric study of PC samples from patients with high-grade and locally advanced disease, which more frequently express IL30 in their clinical samples, determined a significant association between the expression of IL30 and the lack of expression of SOCS3 in the tumor tissues and revealed a higher percentage of patients undergoing progression among those diagnosed with IL30NegSOCS3NegPC, when compared with patients diag-nosed with IL30NegSOCS3PosPC.

Monitoring of tumor xenografts in mice implanted with human IL30 knockout PC cells, demonstrates that suppression of the constitutive IL30 production slows tumor progression, reduces lung metastases and prolongs survival, whereas concomitant immunopathological analyses confirmed in vivo the downregulation of oncogenes, such as PTGS2 [73] and the upregulation of tumor suppressors, such as CDH1/E-Cadherin [74], PTEN [75], RARB [76], DKK3 [77] and SOCS3 [55, 56]. Intriguingly, in IL30 knockout tumor xenografts, overexpression of SOCS3 also involves infiltrating macrophage-like cells, suggesting that the effects of IL30 gene deletion in PC
cells span to the surrounding immune cells. An overall quenching of microenvironmental inflammation in IL30 gene knockout tumors was demonstrated by the lack of myeloid cell infiltrate, which increased in IL30-overexpressing tumors. This immune cell context was confirmed in a fully immunocompetent murine PC model, in which, in addition to the recruitment of macrophages, MDCs and granulocytes, IL30 overproduction led to the intra-tumoral influx of Tregs, as also found in both experimental and clinical tissue samples of IL30-overexpressing PC [7], and likely promoted by the upregulation of PC cell expression of Ccl4 [78], Ccl20 [30], Ccl22 [31] and Ccl28 [32], which attract Tregs. Accordingly, in IL30 knockout human PC cells, the expression of CCL4 and CCL22 was substantially downregulated, along with the expression of IL12B and IL23A, coding for IL23 that is regulated by IL30 in murine and human PC cells, but also in murine and human breast cancer stem cells resulting in autocrine and paracrine effects [17].

The inflammatory landscape orchestrated by IL30 includes a wide range of immunomodulatory molecules, primarily cytokines, such as IL1, IL5, IL6, IL13 and IL17, which can shape the immune cell context and exert a variety of tumor-promoting functions [62], but also IL10 and IL4 both paradoxically endowed with pro- or anti-inflammatory effects depending on their sources, doses and timing of release, as well as the molecular and cellular environments [79, 80].

By contrast, the paucity of the lymphocyte infiltrate, in the immunocompetent PC model, despite the IL30 induced upregulation of T and NK chemoattractants, such as Cxc10, Cxcl10 and Cxcl11, could be attributed to immune evasion mechanisms, such as the upregulation of cancer cell expression of Fasl, which induces lymphocyte apoptosis [81], and the upregulation of immune checkpoints, such as Cd274/Pd-l1 [82] and Cd152/Cte4 [38], which by binding to their co-receptors on T cells, promote immune evasion and tumor progression, primarily through inhibition of cytotoxic T lymphocyte effector function.

Tumor progression and metastasis, and consequent reduced survival of mice-bearing IL30-overexpressing tumors, could be favored by the prominent upregulation of chemokine receptor expression [35, 48] in murine PC cells, such as Ccr2, Ccr4, Ccr5, Ccr9 and Cxcr5. Consistently, the dramatic downregulation of CCR2, CCR4, CXCR5, CCR1 and CCR10, obtained by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of IL30, can cooperate in hindering disease progression in IL30 knockout tumor-bearing xenograft.

In addition to the suppression of IGF1, BCL2 and NFKB1, abrogation of the expression of membrane-bound IL30, by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, consistently inhibited, in both human PC cell lines, the expression of STAT3, confirmed at the protein level, by WB and immunohistochemical analysis, and downregulated macrophage and granulocyte chemoattractants, such as CSF2 [28], CXCL1 [44], CXCL8 [45], IL1A [49], TNF [50] and, primarily CXCL5. Known as epithelial-derived neutrophil-activating peptide 78, ENA-78, CXCL5 stimulates chemotaxis and activation of neutrophils and MDSCs, through interaction with CXCRR2 [51], but it can also regulate the expression of tumor-associated genes, like BAX, NDRG3, IL18, BCL2 and CASP3, and stimulate PC cell proliferation [83]. Here we demonstrate that, as well as IGF1, CXCL5 feeds an autocrine growth loop that contributes to IL30-dependent PC cell proliferation, which can be hindered by the treatment with neutralizing anti-CXCL5 antibodies.

While confirming the tumor-promoting function of IL30, in both murine syngeneic and human xenograft models of PC, our findings demonstrate the membrane-bound expression of IL30 in human PC cells and unveil the novel mechanisms underlying its ability to boost, via juxtacrine signaling, a complex tumor progression program, which includes downregulation of tumor suppressor genes, such as SOCS3, and upregulation of oncogenes and growth factors, primarily IGF1 and CXCL5. The successful application of genome editing in targeting IL30 gene, in both AR+ and AR− human PC cells, and the improved survival of tumor-bearing hosts, provides the proof of concept for the use of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing of IL30 to counteract prostatic oncogenesis in both the androgen-responsive and unresponsive phases of disease progression.
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