Abstract
Organismal survival depends on a well-balanced immune system and maintenance of host–microbe mutualism. The fine-tuned relationship between the gut microbiota and host immunity is constantly challenged by opportunistic bacteria testing the integrity of gastrointestinal (GI) barrier defenses. Barrier dysfunction reduces immunological tolerance towards otherwise innocuous microbes; it is a process that may instigate chronic inflammation. Paradoxically, sustained inflammation further diminishes barrier function, enabling bacterial translocation to extra-intestinal tissues. Once translocated, these bacteria stimulate systemic inflammation, thereby compromising organ function. While genetic risk alleles associate with barrier dysfunction, environmental stressors are key triggers of GI inflammation and associated breakdown in immune tolerance towards resident gut microbes. As dietary components dictate substrate availability, they also orchestrate microbiota composition and function, including migratory and pro-inflammatory potential, thus holding the capacity to fuel both GI and extra-intestinal inflammation. Additionally, Western diet consumption may weaken barrier defenses via curbed Paneth cell function and diminished host-defense peptide secretion. This review focuses on intervenable niches of host–microbe interactions and mucosal immunity with the ambition to provide a framework of plausible strategies to improve barrier function and regain tolerance in the inflamed mucosa via nutritional intervention.
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host-defense peptides (HDPs) and immunoglobulin A (IgA) make the duodenum, jejunum, and proximal ileum unfavorable environments for bacterial growth [2]. Thus, relatively few bacteria can colonize these parts of the intestine, which are largely dominated by facultative anaerobes and acid-tolerant bacteria including Helicobacteriaceae, Streptococcus, Enterobacteriaceae, and Lactobacillaceae [3–5]. Additionally, in these regions, most simple carbohydrates, proteins, fats, and other nutrients are digested by host enzymes and absorbed. The environment of the distal ileum is characterized by a pH value close to neutral, lower concentrations of antimicrobial molecules, and increased load of dietary fibers, ultimately resulting in augmented microbial load and diversity [2, 4] (Figure 1).

The colon harbors by far the highest density of microorganisms due to a greatly reduced transit rate, low concentrations of HDPs and oxygen, as well as a scarcity of simple carbon sources, resulting in dense growth of anaerobic bacteria capable of fermenting complex dietary fibers. These microbes, primarily members of Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidaceae, Prevotellaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Clostridium, engage in prime examples of host–microbe mutualism, where the host provides a warm and nutrient-rich environment for the microbes to thrive in, while the microbiota in turn carries the enzymes needed for fiber fermentation and thereby aid in metabolizing otherwise indigestible nutrients [2, 4, 5].

Besides alterations in microbial distribution along the length of the gut, differences are also seen from the intestinal epithelial surface through the mucus layer towards the lumen [6, 7]. Colonic mucus is composed of an outer loose layer populated by bacteria and an inner more compact layer largely devoid of bacteria. The colonic outer mucus layer serves as a nutrient source for mucolytic bacteria such as Akkermansia muciniphila and certain members of the Bacteroides, Lactobacilli, and Bifidobacteria genera, while the lumen is home to members of the Prevotellaceae, Bacteroidaceae, and Rikenellaceae families [4, 7]. Both mucus-associated and luminal bacteria interact with the host through diffusion of microbial-produced metabolites or direct host immune interaction mediated through sampling of microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), lipid A, peptidoglycans, flagella, and microbial nucleic acids. MAMPs are recognized by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) including Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and nuclear oligomerization domain-like receptors expressed by intestinal epithelial cells and the underlying immune cells of the lamina propria (LP). Tolerance towards luminal antigens is mounted by several means such as homeostatic cross-epithelial sampling by CX3CR1+ macrophages and subsequent delivery to migratory CD103+ dendritic cells (DCs) through gap junctions, ultimately driving differentiation of regulatory T-cells (Tregs) [8, 9]. The mucosal immune system further gauge microbial activity via direct transfer across the epithelial barrier by small intestinal Microfold-cells (M-cells) or Goblet cell-associated antigen passages (GAPs) [10]. Additionally, IgA coating of luminal bacteria facilitates transport via the polymeric Ig receptor across the epithelium. The near vicinity of the intestinal barrier to trillions of microorganisms accentuates the intimate relationship between host and microbe, and emphasizes the necessity for a well-balanced immune system to ensure tolerance against friendly residents while preserving proper immune reaction towards pathogens and opportunists [11, 12].

This review presents key aspects of host–microbe mutualism, highlights its context dependency, and describes how the mucosal immune system orchestrates appropriate immune responses towards gut microbes, be they mutualistic or pathogenic, to sustain whole-body homeostasis. Finally, we discuss promising strategies to rewire host-microbe interactions and reestablish barrier function during GI inflammation.

**Regionalized barrier defenses**

The intestinal epithelium displays a high degree of heterogeneity tailored to meet the regionalized physiological requirements [13, 14]. The epithelial lining additionally functions as a protective barrier separating the internal body from foreign encounters, including innocuous antigens derived from the diet and/or the gut microbiota, as well as potentially harmful pathogens utilizing the intestines as an entry site. Accordingly, barrier defects compromise immunological tolerance facilitating excessive immune activation towards the gut microbiota, thereby fueling inflammation. To avoid this harmful trait, intestinal homeostasis is maintained by specialized barrier protective cell types. Paneth and goblet cells belong to the secretory epithelial lineage responsible for HDP and mucus production, respectively. In health, Paneth cells are restricted to the small intestine and inversely associated with microbial loads based on their HDP secretion [15], whereas the mucus-producing goblet cells are quantitatively mirrored by microbial density [16]. This trait is also reflected in regionalized mucus discrepancies between the small and large intestines (Figure 1). As such, the upper intestinal tract is covered by a single discontinuous mucus layer, allowing nutrient uptake, whereas the mucus organization in the colon is far denser. Additionally, colonic mucus consists of both an inner layer impenetrable for the gut microbiota under homeostatic conditions and an outer layer nurturing the mucus-associated bacteria, while maintaining a healthy distance between host and microbes, and likewise protecting the epithelium from mechanical damage imposed by passing stool [14, 17]. Apart from mucus secretion, small intestinal goblet cells partake in maintaining immune tolerance, in particular towards dietary antigens, through GAPs, where luminal antigens are passively sampled and delivered to the underlying migratory CD103+ DCs in the LP [8, 10]. Moreover, a rare sentinel goblet cell subtype residing around the colonic crypt entrance participates in barrier protection through TLR/MyD88-signaling and NACHT, leucine-rich repeat, pyrin domain-containing 6 (NLRP6) inflammasome activation towards crypt intruders by inducing compound exocytosis, thereby expelling potentially infected goblet cells and mucus, shielding the crypts from microbial colonization and invasion in mice [18]. The relevance of this mechanism is evident from NLRP6-knockout mice where generational segregation nourishes an inflammatory-prone microbiota, thus ultimately increasing the risk of intestinal inflammation and susceptibility to chemically induced colitis [19]. Subsequent studies did, however, point towards a certain degree of redundancy in this barrier protective system, as lifetime separation of littermate-controlled mice failed to develop a similar phenotype in two geographically separated cohorts [20], suggesting that multiple generations—and not maternal inheritance per se—are needed to support the gradual development of inflammation-prone microbes. As an added advantage to the NLRP6-signaling, the mentioned sentinel goblet cells further communicate through intercellular gap junctions to upper crypt goblet cells promoting enhanced mucus production, thus bolstering barrier integrity [18].

**Maintaining tolerance at the mucosal barrier**

The abundant exposure to foreign antigens necessitates immune tolerance of the intestinal environment to prevent...
chronic inflammation [16, 21]. This is orchestrated at the inductive sites of the intestinal immune system and maintained at the effector sites [22, 23]. The inductive sites comprise the mesenteric lymph nodes (mLNs) and gut-associated lymphoid tissues (GALT), where the latter include Peyer’s patches, the cecal patch, colonic patches, isolated lymphoid follicles, and immature cryptopatches (in the mouse) [24]. The different lymphoid tissues of the gut perform specialized but overlapping functions in relation to regulating tolerance vs immune activation [25]. While numerous checkpoints are in place to ensure well-balanced immunity, a key effector arm relates to the substantial amounts of transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) produced by the epithelium. TGFβ imprints newly recruited monocytes from the bloodstream to obtain an immune-suppressive phenotype within the tissue, hallmarked by interleukin (IL)-10-responsive macrophages [22, 23]. Supportive mononuclear phagocytes include conventional DC1 (cDC1) and cDC2, phenotypically defined by expression of CD103+CD11b+ and CD103-CD11b+/CD103+CD11b-, respectively. cDC1 and cDC2 link the innate and adaptive immune systems with discrete abilities to drive appropriate effector responses [26–28]. In the steady state, migratory CD103+ DCs acquire antigen from the lumen and subsequently upregulate C-C chemokine receptor type 7 (CCR7) to facilitate antigen-probed DC migration from the mucosa to the mLNs following gradients of chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 19 (CCL19) and CCL21 into the afferent lymphatics [29, 30]. Both these chemokines are expressed by lymphoid-tissue-associated stromal cells [31] and secreted or transcytosed into the lumen of high endothelial venules (HEVs) [32, 33], whereas only CCL21 is expressed by lymphatic endothelial cells [33]. Thus, CCL21...
primarily guides the migrating DCs to the lymph nodes, whereas both chemokines partake in guiding naïve T-cells into the lymphoid tissue through HEVs, increasing the likelihood of T-cell recognition of cognate antigen [34]. CD103+ DCs are potent inducers of Forkhead box P3+ (FoxP3+) Tregs, as well as the potential of gut-homing molecules (CCR9 and α4β7) through their locally imprinted ability to produce retinoic acid (RA) [21, 35]. RA is derived from vitamin A metabolism, pointing towards the modulating effect of diet on intestinal immune homeostasis. This is further corroborated by a seminal report showing that depletion of vitamin A in obese mice affects T-cell trafficking, thereby aggravating obesity-induced barrier deficiencies, gut-microbiota dysbiosis, and metabolic co-morbidities [36]. The mentioned tolerogenic immune traits are compromised by barrier dysfunction, where gut-microbiota stimulation of PRRs on DCs, epithelium, mesenchymal stromal cells, and other local cell types induces pro-inflammatory cytokine expression and leukocyte recruitment, perpetuating a pro-inflammatory response and ultimately compromises the immune-suppressive state [23, 37]. Additionally, the inflammatory milieu promotes expression of co-stimulatory molecules on cDCs, enabling them to initiate an appropriately focused non-tolerogenic adaptive immune response in the draining mLN [57]. Activated antigen-specific effector T- and B-cells subsequently leave the mLN through efferent lymphatics to the thoracic duct and circulate back to the mucosa to exert their effects locally [38]. Conversely, in the absence of barrier breach and inflammation, migrating antigen-probed DCs would not express co-stimulatory molecules and thereby not orchestrate an effector response. Instead, interaction between migrating DCs and their cognate naïve T-cells would, combined with RA production and the TGFβ-enriched environment, lead to the induction of Foxp3 and gut-homing molecules, increasing the amount of intestinal Tregs [39], thus maintaining tolerance. Strategies to affect DC maturation status and/or Treg induction may therefore be actively exploited to regain tolerance in chronic inflammatory diseases.

Shaping the gut microbiota

Diet

As briefly touched upon above, a prime example of host-microbe mutualism is the ability of gut microbes to metabolize indigestible dietary components such as complex fibers, for which the host lacks the necessary metabolic enzymes. The breakdown of fibers liberates carbon sources for the microbiota to feed on and provides the host with key metabolites affecting pivotal processes of host physiology [62, 71, 72]. Diet composition is therefore one of the most prominent determinants of gut-microbiota composition and function. Disparate diets exert distinct selection pressures due to variances in substrates available for bacterial metabolism, ultimately selecting for bacteria best capable of utilizing the particular diet [73]. Human populations consuming a diet rich in fibers, such as the Hadza hunter-gatherers from Tanzania or secluded Amerindians of South America, have increased overall microbial diversity with specific enrichment of Prevotella compared with consumers of a Western diet (WD) that is low in fiber but high in proteins and fats [74–76]. Enrichment of Prevotella, particularly Prevotella copri, is also predictive for Western individuals responding favorably to a high-fiber, barley-kernel-based diet [77]. Paradoxically, numerous reports additionally implicate P. copri in inflammatory and metabolic disorders [78–80], e.g. by causally enhancing branch chain amino acid (BCAA) transport over the gut epithelium, fueling mouse and human insulin resistance [78], signifying opportunistic behaviorism of this bacterium. Although potential strain differences cannot be excluded in the above-mentioned human studies (for both A. muciniphila and P. copri), it is worth noting that the preclinical mouse studies referred to were indeed conducted with commercially available strains, matched between the diverging reports. Observed dichotomy thus corroborates microbial plasticity and presents a notable testimony of context dependency that

Host-microbe communication at the mucosal surface

Of further importance in maintaining and promoting tolerance is the presence of innocuous microbes. Here, the gut microbiota confers colonization resistance by limiting the growth of potential intruders via niche occupation, nutrient competition, and bacteriocin secretion [4, 40]. Although certain species in the interpersonal gut microbiota exhibit pathogenic potential under aberrant circumstances and are thus referred to as pathobionts, we coexist with most of our GI inhabitants in a mutually beneficial relationship. Host-microbe mutualism can, among other niches, be found at the intersection of the intestinal epithelium and mucus layer. In both humans and rodents, some bacteria such as Bifidobacterium and A. muciniphila can penetrate the mucus layer and consume its constituents [7, 41], while other bacteria, such as segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB), can directly attach to the murine epithelial cell surface of the ileum [42-44]. This interaction is essential for induction of LP CD4+ RORyt+ T-helper 17 (Th17) cells in mice [43, 44] and such gut-specific Th17 cells are instrumental for metabolic homeostasis [36], supporting the mutualistic relationship between host and SFB. Both SFB and MAMPs from mucus-associated bacteria, recognized by PRRs, are part of a signaling circuit stimulating downstream production of IL-22 from group 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3s) [5, 45]. Rather than regulating the function of bona fide immune cells, IL-22 predominantly acts on epithelial cells located at host–environment interfaces where the target cells constitute a protective barrier as seen in the skin, liver, kidneys, and respiratory and GI tracts [46, 47]. IL-22 has been shown to alleviate high-fat diet (HFD)-induced intestinal epithelial cell stress in mice [48, 49], is important for Paneth cell function and induction of HDP expression in different tissues [47, 50–52], stimulates mucus production of murine and human goblet cells [53–55], mediates epithelial barrier fortification [45, 53], and at least in mice promotes intestinal epithelial glycan fucosylation to facilitate the growth of mutualistic mucus-associated species [56, 57]. Fucose can be used by mucolytic Bacteroides spp. and A. muciniphila as nutrient sources [58–60]. In return, these bacteria generate short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) metabolites [56, 61] exerting a wide range of beneficial effects on host physiology and homeostasis, as reviewed in detail elsewhere [62]. Interestingly, while A. muciniphila has received much attention as a proposed probiotic [63], emerging evidence also links this microbe to inflammatory-prone GI diseases, including graft vs host disease [64], colitis [65], and small intestinal injury [66], pointing towards context-dependent host-microbe interactions. The apparent dichotomous host responses to the same microbe also highlight why it might be more advantageous to administer either lysed bacteria or bacterial products, as effectively done with A. muciniphila [67, 68], Methylcoccus capsulatus Bath (McB) [69], and Bifidobacterium bifidum [70], all of which curb GI inflammation by microbe-specific immune imprinting.
should be carefully considered when applying live microbes, such as probiotic formulas, to human subjects [81]. Supporting this notion, a fascinating proof-of-concept study of how diet and probiotics affect the gut microbiota and clinical outcomes of melanoma checkpoint-inhibitor immunotherapy convincingly demonstrated that while high-fiber diet intake, known to diversify microbial community structures, improved treatment efficacy, patients taking over-the-counter probiotics conversely exhibited significantly poorer clinical outcomes than their non-probiotic-using counterparts [82].

The host-benefitted significance of bacterial breakdown of dietary components becomes evident considering that up to 10% of the human daily energy requirement is provided by colonic fermentation [83]. The major end-products of bacterial fermentation are SCFAs, in particular acetate, butyrate, and propionate, exhibiting beneficial effects on host health and physiology [62] including increased mucus production [84] and tight-junction assembly [85] of human colonic epithelial cells, B-cell IgA class switching through DC interactions in mice [86], and stimulating HDP expression in mice and human intestinal epithelial cells [87–89] as well as facilitating murine Treg generation through epigenetic stabilization of Foxp3 [90–92]. When complex fibers are scarce, typical SCFA producers adapt to ferment amino acids that escaped digestion in the small intestine. This feature is particularly pronounced during WD intake, where excess proteins are metabolized by gut bacteria to BCAA and branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA), associated with insulin resistance and colonic inflammation [62, 78, 93, 94]. BCFA production is protein-specific. Accordingly, changing the protein source from casein to a protein mix resembling a typical WD for human consumption altered the murine gut microbiota to enhance the generation of BCFA exacerbating diet-induced obesity and hepatic insulin resistance through the mTORC1/S6K1 signaling pathway [95]. Conversely, substituting the protein source in WDs from casein to whole-cell lysates of the methanotrophic soil bacterium, Mcb, reversed WD-induced pathogenic traits in mice [69]. The McB diet normalized the composition and functional landscape of the murine gut microbiota along with reduced fat mass, enhanced colonic mucus production, and improved glucose regulation, and promoted a consistent upregulation of Foxp3 [90], RORγt [91]–IL-17+ peripherally induced Tregs (described in further detail in the dedicated section below) [69]. Similar to changing the protein source, adding fermentable (inulin) but not insoluble (cellulose) fibers to HFDs markedly restored intestinal homeostasis in mice. In short, HFDs diminish both microbiota diversity and density, which curbed enterocyte proliferation and suppressed HDP secretion, thus enabling bacterial encroachment. Fiber enrichment ameliorated these traits in a microbiota-dependent, yet SCFA-independent, manner. Specifically, inulin-mediated microbiota-dependent restoration of IL-22 expression facilitated enhanced HDP expression, preventing microbiota encroachment and metabolic inflammation [48]. Another hallmark example of diet-microbe–host interactions relates to the intake of dietary emulsifiers—a frequently used additive smoothing visual appearances. Dietary emulsifiers have been shown to potentiate intestinal disturbances of both mice and man, including increased risk of colitis and key features of metabolic syndrome as well as intensifying the pro-inflammatory potential of gut microbes. Emulsifier-mediated alterations in the murine gut microbiota were both necessary and sufficient to drive the above-mentioned pathologies [96]. These pioneering observations have recently been confirmed in a randomized human controlled-feeding study [97], emphasizing that diet composition powerfully influences the gut-microbiota composition and function, and thus the nature of host-microbe interactions.

HDPs

Aside from diet, the host itself has developed several mechanisms to regulate the magnitude and composition of microorganisms, populating its epithelial surfaces to maintain whole-body homeostasis. The gut microbiota and host immune system constantly crosstalk, where the host’s specific goal is to allow beneficial microorganisms to flourish yet confining them to the external environment, i.e. the intestinal lumen and outer mucus layer (Figure 1). HDPs, formerly known as antimicrobial peptides, are one of the evolutionarily oldest representations of innate immunity, found in essentially all branches of the phylogenetic tree of life [40, 98], and are central players in keeping the gut microbiota at arm’s length. They are small cationic peptides, typically <100 amino acids, and characterized by six highly conserved cysteine residues forming three disulfide bonds [99, 100]. In the gut, synthesis of HDPs is largely, but not exclusively, handled by Paneth cells at the bottom of the small intestinal crypts of Lieberkühn. In humans, Paneth cells are responsible for the production and secretion of human s-defensin 5 (HDS) and HD6, lysozyme, group 2A phospholipase A2 (PLA2G2A), and the C-type lectin Regenerating islet-derived protein 3α (REG3α), whereas other intestinal epithelial cells generally produce human β-defensin 1 (hBD1) and –2 (hBD2) [99, 101]. HDPs are largely kept within the mucus layer due to electrostatic interactions with mucin glycoconjutagates, thus forming a decreasing gradient outward with higher concentrations close to the intestinal epithelium [101, 102]. This gradient protects against invading pathogens that are due to the resulting hostile environment of the inner mucus layer, while being sufficiently diluted at the outer mucus layer to maintain a symbiotic relationship with mutualistic bacteria, underscoring the important role of HDPs in controlling host–microbe interactions. While some HDPs, such as REG3α [103] and lysozyme [104, 105], confer their bacterialic properties by disrupting bacterial membrane integrity, others interfere with bacterial membrane synthesis [106] or even self-assemble into large nanonets to capture and immobilize microbes, as is the case with HD6 [107]. The host is generally protected against the deadly properties of its own HDPs, due to the cationic nature of HDPs, resulting in strong electrostatic attractions towards the negatively charged bacterial membrane and weak attractions towards the less negative eukaryotic host cell [107, 108].

The crucial role of Paneth-cell–derived HDPs in keeping mutualistic microbes in check and in regulating their composition is corroborated by studies in transgenic mouse models with aberrant Paneth cell function. Here, the gut-microbiota composition, particularly the mucus-associated microbiota, was significantly altered compared to wild-type mice [109–111]. Similarly, both genetic and diet-induced Paneth cell dysfunctions promote increased inflammatory reactions towards mutualistic bacteria, enhancing bacterial translocation and mucosal inflammation [101, 109, 112, 113]. Further supporting the relevance of HDPs in maintaining and even re-establishing homeostasis, oral administration of fungal lysozyme enhances the bacterial lysis zone near the epithelium in HFD-fed mice, thereby shielding the mucosal immune system from microbial exposure [114]. Intriguingly, microbial lysis is not exclusively beneficial and the phenotypic trait of mammalian lysozyme
activity is vastly influenced by the inflammatory tone and anatomical compartment. Thus, during relapsing inflammation, as seen in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), Paneth cells emerge in the large intestine providing increased HDP production in this compartment. Evolutionarily, such a mechanism is likely a result of colonic mucus deterioration followed by microbial invasion instigating a potent HDP response. Yet, as the microbial community structure is fundamentally different in the colon compared with the small intestine, lysozyme-mediated microbial lysis in the former might potentiate inflammatory flares. A prime example is *Ruminococcus gnavus*, a prominent member of the colonic but not the small intestinal community and therefore not affected by small intestinal Paneth-cell-secreted lysozyme in a steady state. However, during chronic inflammation, ectopic Paneth cell formation in the lower intestine exposes *R. gnavus* to endogenous lysozyme [115]. Rather than protecting the host from microbial invasion akin to the role of lysozyme in the small intestine [101], this context-dependent lysis of *R. gnavus* liberates intracellular pro-inflammatory molecules, thereby aggravating colonic disease activity [116]. This feature may be circumvented by using fungal lysozyme belonging to the glycoside hydrolase family (GH) 25 instead of the functionally distinct (GH22 family) mammalian lysozyme. Fungal lysozyme appears capable of leveraging the gut microbiota to dose-dependently alleviate experimental colitis. Diminished colitis was followed by increased colonic *R. gnavus* abundances in two genotypes, both sexes, and across three geographically and inter-continent separated facilities, pointing towards a markedly different microbiota imprinting ability of GH25 family lysozymes compared to GH22 family lysozymes [114].

One may wonder how HDPs can be one of the evolutionarily oldest and conserved systems of innate immunity yet remain highly efficient with few known mechanisms of stable antimicrobial resistance, given the highly adaptive nature of microorganisms [117, 118]. The answer may lie in the substantially complex nature of HDPs. There are currently >2,600 known varieties of HDPs [119] and this complexity can be further expanded by HDP fragmentation [120–122]. A low redox potential and naturally occurring redox enzymes create a reducing environment in the gut, known to alter the tertiary structure of HDPs, thereby altering their function [120–122]. In contrast to folded oxidized peptides, such linearized forms of HDPs can be cleaved by naturally occurring proteases in the duodenal fluid [126] or by bacterial proteases [127]. Cleavage of reduced HDPs was previously believed to facilitate antimicrobial inactivation [126, 127]. A novel biological concept was therefore fostered when recent reports demonstrated how proteases present in human duodenal fluid cleaved reduced hBD1 into an active antimicrobial octapeptide [122], as well as HD5, but not HD6, into bioactive molecules with an antimicrobial repertoire exceeding that of the full-length peptide [120]. Supporting these findings, reduced human neutrophil peptide-4 (HNP-4) was similarly shown to undergo proteolytic digestion by trypsin (found in pancreatic secretions) into active antimicrobial peptide fragments capable of combating multidrug-resistant bacteria [121]. HDP fragmentation may therefore represent an evolutionary refinement meant to fine-tune the gut-microbiota composition in a changing environment.

**Rewiring gastrointestinal immunity by HDPs**

Accumulating evidence further repositions HDPs as prominent immune regulators [128] both within [129] and outside [130–132] the GI tract. A recent study by Liang and colleagues [133] elegantly demonstrated that non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice exhibited diminished abundances of the HDP, cathelicidin-related antimicrobial peptide (CRAMP), associating with gut-microbiota dysbiosis. Intracolonic supplementation of CRAMP rescued colonic microbiota and immune alterations in NOD mice, thereby protecting against pancreatic autoimmunity-related diabetes [133]. While the complexity and versatile mode of action of these fascinating peptides remains under intense investigation, much of the current research points towards altered immune chemotaxis. As an example, hBD2 has repeatedly been shown to interact with chemokine receptors CCR2 [134] and CCR6 [135], thereby impacting immune cell trafficking. Direct binding to CCR2 on in vitro generated DCs further curved TLR-mediated inflammation and lowered the expression of co-stimulatory molecules on responding DCs [129], suggesting that hBD2 may be used as a novel biological tool to curb DC-primed T-cell activation; it could potentially be exploited to re-establish tolerance in GI disorders. Another testimony to this potential relates to the observation that CCR2 enhances CD25 expression on FoxP3+ Tregs, increasing their abundance in mice independently of chemotaxis and CCR2+ myeloid cells (including DCs) [136]. It is therefore worth speculating that CCR2 agonists, such as hBD2, would be able to promote Treg generation or maintenance by elevated CD25 expression in FoxP3+ T-cells and simultaneously lower the number of effector T-cells (via diminished expression of co-stimulatory molecules on migrating DCs), collectively favoring tolerogenic immunity.

Instead of competing with endogenous ligands, it was recently shown that a short linear, basic peptide (proteolytically cleaved CCL21, termed C21TP) interacts directly with the N-terminus of the chemokine receptor CCR7 and substantially increases the potency of the two endogenous ligands, CCL19 and CCL21 [137]. Considering the structure of these peptides, it is reasonable to think that short alkaline peptides shield the electrostatic charges of CCR7, allowing enhanced docking of their agonists. To corroborate this hypothesis and considering the structural similarity between C21TP and hBD2, in line with the fact that most endogenous HDPs become linearized in a reduced environment as mentioned above, we assessed whether the presence or absence of linearized hBD2 would alter the chemotactic activity of CCL19 and/or CCL21 against the DC-expressed chemokine receptor, CCR7. Indeed, while C21TP uniformly boosts CCL19 and CCL21 potency, linearized hBD2 appeared to exclusively enhance CCL19-mediated chemotaxis (Figure 2). Combined, these data suggest that linearized alkaline peptides may regulate chemotaxis and migratory pace, adding yet another piece to the puzzle on how HDPs may orchestrate GI immunity.

**HDPs and barrier dysfunction in gastrointestinal inflammation**

As pointed out above, the maintenance of host-microbe mutualism is essential for upholding whole-body homeostasis. An unbalanced relationship may increase contact between the host and its microbial inhabitants, enhancing the risk of bacterial translocation and susceptibility to inflammatory GI diseases. This is supported by mounting evidence linking susceptibility genes of IBD, a general umbrella term for ileal and colonic Crohn’s diseases (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), that encode proteins involved in maintaining a healthy epithelial barrier function and host-defense responses towards its microbiota [12, 138, 139]. The first genetic links associated with CD were those...
Figure 2. hBD2 treatment curbs gastrointestinal inflammation. (A) In steady state, the intestinal microbiota is highly diverse and largely kept in the lumen. The small intestinal crypts of Lieberkuhn are kept sterile by the high concentrations of locally secreted HDPs by crypt-resident Paneth cells. Luminal antigens are sampled through several means; local CX3CR1⁺ macrophages send extensions into the mucus and lumen to sample microbes. Subsequently, sampled antigens are delivered to CD103⁺ DCs, which migrate to the mLN to present antigen to naive T-cells. In the absence of danger signals, such interaction induces the differentiation of cognate T-cells to gut-homing Tregs. Similarly, antigens transported through M-cells in the follicle-associated epithelium covering Peyer’s patches is taken up by local underlying DCs. The DCs subsequently migrate into the T-cell zone of Peyer’s patches and induce differentiation of T-cells to Tregs in a similar manner as in mLNs. (B) During severe gastrointestinal inflammation, as seen in IBD or infectious diseases, the microbial diversity drops drastically and is largely dominated by opportunistic and pathogenic species. Paneth cell dysfunction, as can be seen in both Crohn’s disease and during HFD feeding, reduced tight-junction expression and deterioration of the mucus layer further impairs barrier integrity. Together, this allows encroachment of bacteria and increases the risk for bacterial translocation. The inflammatory environment with increased microbial-derived antigens and thus PRR stimulation induces the expression of co-stimulatory molecules and cytokine production of DCs, rendering them able to induce non-regulatory effector T-cells. (C) Administration of physiologically relevant amounts of exogenous hBD2 partially restores barrier function by promoting epithelial fortification, thereby lowering the inflammatory burden and ultimately restoring microbial diversity. Due to the low redox environment of the gut, which is exacerbated during inflammation owing to increased generation of nitrate and reactive oxygen species (ROS), HDPs are reduced and cleaved into novel antimicrobial fragments, expanding the antimicrobial repertoire. HDP—thereby also hBD2—linearization may further affect their potential to boost chemokine signaling (Panel D). Additionally, folded hBD2 have been shown to act through CCR2 and CCR6, expressed on DCs, thus facilitating chemotaxis and further partake in immune regulation. Finally, CCR2 stimulation of FoxP3⁺ Tregs boosts Treg abundances via CD25 upregulation, lending credence to the hypothesis that alternative CCR2 ligands, such as exogenously administered hBD2, can be used to as a therapeutic tool to regain tolerance. (D) Linearized hBD2 selectively enhances the activity of CCL19 over CCL21, both acting through the chemokine receptor CCR7, thereby precision editing immune chemotaxis. Experimentally, we measured CCR7 activity through Ga as the ability to inhibit a forskolin-induced increase in intracellular cAMP. CHO cells transfected with a CCR7 plasmid and the CAMP BRET sensor CAMYEL were stimulated with various concentrations of chemokines in the presence of C21TP, hBD2 (both 10μM), or phosphate buffered saline. C21TP is a C-terminal fragment of CCL21 that boosts the activity of both CCL19 and CCL21 through CCR7 [135]. hBD2 selectively boosts CCL19, not CCL21, signaling virtually identical to C21TP. Created in BioRender.com. DC, dendritic cell; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; HFD, high-fat diet; mLN, mesenteric lymph node; APCs, antigen presenting cells; ROS, reactive oxygen species; cAMP, cyclic adenosine monophosphate.
of the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 (NOD2) receptor [140, 141], an intracellular PRR recognizing bacterial peptidoglycans [141]. NOD2 is expressed by both human and murine Paneth cells and plays a crucial role in regulating gut-microbiota composition by controlling the expression and secretion of HDPs [111, 142, 143]. NOD2 gene variants remain the strongest known risk factors for development of ileal CD [140, 141], supporting that impaired Paneth cell function and HDP production are highly implicated in at least small intestinal CD pathology [144]. These observations indicate a pivotal role for Paneth cells and their HDPs in intestinal health and that the collapse of host defenses, and thus host-microbe mutualism, plays an important role in GI inflammatory disorders. This is additionally supported by observations that loss-of-function mutations in the autophagy-related 16-like 1 (ATG16L1) protein, another CD-associated risk gene, result in impaired exocytosis of secretory granules in Paneth cells [145] and dysregulate IL-22 signaling, resulting in aggravated inflammatory responses and necrosis of intestinal epithelial cells in mice [146].

Although dysbiosis appears to be an integrated, and often necessary, part of GI inflammation, it remains debated whether gut dysbiosis is causally implicated in these multifactorial pathologies. Transfer of microbiota from human CD and UC patients to germ-free mice reduced abundances of ROR≥t+ Tregs compared with transfer from healthy controls [147], demonstrating that inflammatory proficient microbes affect host immunity. Still, immunological rewiring depended on the genetic susceptibility of the epithelial barrier dysfunction to precipitate spontaneous inflammation [147], pointing towards barrier defects as a primary cause of IBD. Along these lines, gut bacteria have been shown to translocate from the intestinal barrier into mLN, blood, and adipose tissues following induced colitis and ileitis [148, 149]. Creeping fat is characterized by the migration and subsequent accumulation of mesenteric adipocytes clustering around inflamed and fibrotic intestinal tissues observed in patients with ileal CD [150] and is suggested to occur as a response to gut-barrier dysfunction and bacterial translocation [151], possibly serving as a protective mechanism deployed to limit the systemic distribution of potentially harmful microbes and associated molecules. This is further supported by a recent study demonstrating that bacteria isolated from creeping fat in patients with CD were able to translocate to mesenteric adipose tissue. Additionally, these bacteria were able to exacerbate colitis upon transfer to antibiotic-treated mice [152], thus lending credence to the hypothesis of creeping fat being a defense mechanism confining invading bacteria, thereby limiting systemic dissemination.

**Bacterial translocation as a function of diet-induced barrier defects**

Bacterial translocation not only occurs in classical IBDs, but also in obesity [153, 154], fatty liver disease [155, 156], and other metabolic conditions characterized by a leaky gut [11, 157, 158]. It remains debated whether gut leakiness is primarily microbiota-, diet-, or disease-mediated. While gut microbes can both translocate and promote barrier breach, these are—to the best of our knowledge—often secondary hits caused by physiological disturbances, as exemplified above with dietary emulsifiers facilitating microbiota invasion [159]. Diet composition may also alter the intestinal landscape compromising host defenses. Murine WD feeding induces Paneth cell defects [112] associated with lower HDP secretion. Conversely, therapeutic treatment with physiologically relevant levels of the hallmark human Paneth cell HDPs, particularly HDS, mitigated insulin resistance and dyslipidemia in diet-induced obese mice [160], highlighting the importance of intact host-defense mechanisms in maintaining organismal homeostasis. Obesity and WD consumption predispose to hyperglycemia—a condition that independently drives barrier dysfunction, thereby enhancing colitis susceptibility and risk of enteric infections through transcriptional reprogramming of intestinal epithelial cells and tight-junction integrity [161]. Although leaky gut is associated with chronic low-grade inflammation often seen in individuals with metabolic diseases [162], reports of microbial signatures in extra-intestinal tissues have often been discounted as a result of environmental contamination. Challenging the previous dogma of sterile internal organs, multiple recent studies have used strict contamination-aware approaches to substantiate that bacteria and/or bacterial products can indeed be found in our internal organs during disease [153, 154] and even postprandial in healthy young men [155]. Corroborating biological relevance and not merely a tale of wishful thinking, bacterial abundance followed the expected anatomical route and the composition discriminated between disease states [154]. Predominantly members of the opportunistic phylum Proteobacteria can be found in adipose tissues [153, 154] and livers [154] of individuals with morbid obesity and type 2 diabetes. Mechanistically, microbiota-derived products from an impaired gut barrier can be transported to distal tissues by gut microbial DNA-containing extracellular vesicles (mEVs) [163]. Extracellular vesicles are normally filtered by liver CR1g+ macrophages and the adoptive transfer of mEVs to CR1g+ mice potentiated microbial-derived product transport to distal tissues contributing to obesity-associated tissue inflammation and insulin resistance [163]. As macrophage function is generally hampered by obesity [164] and because insulin resistance promotes hyperglycemia, it is foreseeable that this condition provides the perfect storm for initial barrier breach followed by bacterial and/or bacterial product translocation. In support, Cani and colleagues [165] originally defined metabolic endotoxemia as unusual levels of circulating bacterial endotoxins, in particular LPS, fueling obesity and insulin resistance, thus initiating a vicious immunometabolic cycle from the gut to extra-intestinal tissues. Elevated levels of LPS can be detected in the plasma of humans showing features of metabolic syndrome, including obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and chronic low-grade inflammation [166], which is exacerbated upon high fat intake [167]. Still, as LPS is a complex molecule found in different acetylation forms affecting molecular structure [168] and thereby binding capacity, not all types of LPS are metabolically detrimental to the host. Comparing the metabolic effects of Escherichia coli-derived and Rhodobacter sphaeroides-derived LPS, it was recently demonstrated that only E. coli LPS mediated intestinal barrier disruption, dysglycemia, and low-grade inflammation of adipose tissue [169]. Interestingly, rather than being a biologically neutral LPS form, R. sphaeroides LPS counteracted E. coli LPS-induced metabolic dysregulations and improved glucose metabolism in obese mice [169]. This study is therefore an elegant demonstration of the incredible context-dependent nature of host-microbe interactions and a testimony to the importance of distinguishing between LPS composition and characteristics when discussing metabolic endotoxemia.
Rewiring gastrointestinal immunity by nutritional imprinting

As barrier defects promote unwarranted immunity towards otherwise mutualistic and thus harmless microbes, it is key to understand how we can regain immunological tolerance, towards the gut microbiota, but equally importantly towards dietary antigens. Here, acquired immunity, and most notably Tregs, is of immense importance. Two major types of FoxP3⁺ Tregs exist: natural thymic-derived FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁻ Helios⁺ (nTregs) and peripherally induced FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁻ and FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ Helios⁻ (iTregs) [170]. FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁻ iTregs are microbially induced, primarily in the large intestine, and have been shown to exhibit superior immune-suppressive capacity compared with nTregs, and are therefore essential for peripheral immune homeostasis [171, 172]. A key factor in peripheral induction of iTregs is RA stimulation upon antigen recognition by migratory CD103⁺ DCs in GALT and mLN [39]. During this process, other environmental factors might additionally enhance the induced FoxP3 phenotype, such as microbial bile acid metabolites [173], SCFAs [92], MyD88-signaling [174], TGFβ [39], and supportive cytokines [175–177]. While nTregs dominate the small intestinal LP, a compartment characterized by low microbial loads, FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTregs are more abundant in LP of the more densely microbially populated large intestine [171]. Interestingly, tolerance was recently shown to anatomically compartmentalize, as proximal murine mLNs provided a superior tolerogenic environment compared with more distal mLNs (ileal and colonic), potentially as a consequence of the larger RA production in the upper small intestine due to higher exposure to diet-derived vitamin A [178]. This elegantly demonstrates alternative ways of compartmentalizing and maintaining intestinal tolerance in diverse intestinal environments, where proximal draining mLNs are generally associated with tolerance induction towards dietary antigens and distally draining mLNs towards the microbiota, albeit with a skewing towards easier induction of inflammation in the latter [176, 178]. Instant transition between tolerogenic immunity and inflammation may represent an evolutionary conserved trait installed to empower the host to swiftly mount a protective immune response upon barrier disruption in the more densely populated lower intestine.

Figure 3. McB feeding rescues WD-induced gastrointestinal malfunctions. Small intestines (left panels) and colons (right panels) from casein- or McB-supplemented WD-fed mice. Small intestines from WD-McB-fed mice exhibited increased amounts of FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTregs and augmented total amounts of IL-17-expressing iTregs compared to WD-casein-fed mice. Colons from WD-casein-fed mice (right panels) harbored considerably more Desulfovibrio compared to WD-McB-fed mice, which instead boosted the abundance of the health-associated Parabacteroides, as well as an overall decrease in the Firmicutes/Bacteroides (F/B) ratio, likewise associated with a healthy phenotype. Additionally, the middle segment of the colons from WD-McB-fed mice showed goblet cell hyperplasia and increased mucus production, specifically of neutral mucins and sulfomucins, compared to WD-casein-fed mice, suggesting improved barrier function in WD-McB-fed mice. Furthermore, the middle segment of colons from McB-fed mice had increased crypt depth and these colons also had an overall increased length, which is reciprocally associated with inflammation. Created in BioRender.com. McB, Methylococcus capsulatus Bath; WD, Western diet.
Specific bacterial components can induce tolerogenic responses and mitigate metabolic and GI diseases. This notion is supported by findings showing that colonic, but not small intestinal, FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTregs can be effectively induced by cell surface polysaccharides of B. bifidum via TLR2-expressing DCs [70]. Likewise, both lysed A. muciniphila and a purified cell-wall component of the bacterium can mitigate metabolic dysregulation, reduce fat mass, and improve dyslipidemia in mice more potently than the live bacterium [67]. Additionally, supplementation of lysed A. muciniphila in human subjects improved insulin sensitivity and reduced total plasma cholesterol and fat mass compared with placebo controls in a randomized, double-blinded human study [68], thus suggesting that microbial components rather than live microbes can be exploited as novel tools to curb microbial dysbiosis associated with metabolic and inflammatory GI disorders. In agreement with both this notion and the previous studies mentioned above, bacterial lysates of Mcb used as a protein source in a high-fat/high-sugar WD increased the FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTreg pool in both murine small and large intestines [69]. To the best of our knowledge, Mcb feeding represents a unique dietary intervention to promote small intestinal FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTreg induction and thus holds great potential as a therapeutic strategy targeting small intestinal diseases, including ileal CD and food allergies. A large fraction of these FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTregs additionally exhibited increased IL-17 production in the large intestine compared with non-Mcb-supplemented WD and low-fat diet (LFD)-fed mice; it is a trait that tended to persist in the small intestine [69]. The involvement and importance of Tregs to maintain intestinal immune homeostasis and the apparent importance of IL-17 in maintaining a healthy microbiota and proper barrier defense could spur the idea that augmented IL-17-producing FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ iTregs represent a safe path to enhanced IL-17 production without additionally increasing the risk of Th17-mediated inflammation-associated pathology. Induction of FoxP3⁺ RORγt⁺ Tregs in the setting of Mcb feeding was further associated with a remarkable change in the gut microbiota towards that of LFD-fed reference mice, despite the Mcb-fed mice being maintained on a high-fat/high-sugar WD [69]. Although most changes reflected those observed in LFD-fed mice, two genera appeared to be unequally affected,namely a notable increase in Parabacteroides mirrored by an equally dramatic decrease in Desulfovibrio. A reciprocal relationship was seen in WD-fed mice (standard protein source). WD-Mcb-induced Parabacteroides bloom depended on adaptive immunity. Accordingly, Rag⁻⁻ mice exhibited negligible and uniform loads of this genus between diets. Interestingly, Parabacteroides distasonis both alleviates obesity and metabolic dysfunction [179] as well as chemically induced inflammation [180], akin to the effects of Mcb feeding [69, 181]. Conversely, Desulfovibrio is often increased in human colitis [182]. It promotes obesity in mice following T-cell impairments [183] and disrupts barrier function via sulfate-reducing impairments [184], thereby degrading health promoting sulfomucins [185]. The sulfate-reducing activities are further proposed to be directly involved in the pathogenesis of UC by hydrogen sulfide formation [186]. WD-Mcb feeding significantly abrogated these traits (Figure 3).

Concluding remarks
Dietary components and opportunistic members of the gut microbiota can both individually and synergistically exploit the intestinal immune system to overcome GI host defenses compromising barrier function. The global prevalence of GI disorders is steadily increasing, hence representing a significant—and escalating—challenge to healthcare systems, and negatively impacting life quality across socioeconomic statuses. Novel therapies targeting barrier defects are thus urgently needed. While oral administration of live microorganisms holds the potential for re-establishing GI homeostasis when being tailored to specific dietary regimens, they also come with a risk of potential side effects in subjects either not adhering to provided dietary guidelines or with increased susceptibility to specific diseases and/or microbiota-dependent treatment modalities. An alternative and more controllable path forward may therefore lay in the use of microbially derived products and/or naturally occurring HDPs being tailored to regain tolerance. However, as the barrier defect must be repaired to maintain tolerogenic immunity, we still need innovative strategies to identify intestinotrophic drug candidates. Lastly, therapies aiming at unarming opportunistic bacteria via precision editing of the gut microbiota may be of particular interest enabling the maintenance of mutualistic microbes while exclusively targeting potential invaders, thereby mitigating bacterial translocation.
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