Seafloor expression and shallow structure of a fold-and-thrust system, Isfjorden, west Spitsbergen
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Abstract
A detailed map of the structure of the west Spitsbergen fold-and-thrust belt in the Isfjorden area, Spitsbergen, is presented. The map was constructed from a dense grid of two-dimensional multichannel reflection seismic and bathymetric data. Joint interpretation of two data sets allowed a comparison of tectonic structures detected along the uppermost parts of the seismic sections and those reflected in the morphology of the seafloor. Three major, predominantly north-west–south-east striking faults were identified. The westernmost fault (T1) is a hinterland-directed (most likely out of sequence) thrust, while the central and easternmost faults (T2 and T3) are foreland-directed (in-sequence thrusts). The thrusts divide Isfjorden into three subareas. Subarea 1 is bounded by thrust faults T1 and T2 and comprises Tertiary rocks surrounded by Jurassic–Cretaceous strata. The structural signature of Subarea 1 is that of a system of hinterland- and foreland-directed thrust faults, resulting in a seafloor relief characterized by parallel ridges and troughs. Subarea 2 is limited by thrust faults T2 and T3 and shows Jurassic–Cretaceous outcrops on the seafloor. Subarea 3 is situated east of the main thrust fault T3 and mainly involves outcrops of Triassic–Jurassic rocks. Together, Subareas 2 and 3 are dominated by foreland-directed, north-west–south-east and NNW–SSE-striking thrusts that are hardly detectable in bathymetric data.

The Svalbard Archipelago is located on the continental shelf of the north-western Barents Sea. The Palaeogene west Spitsbergen fold-and-thrust belt (WSFTB) on the west coast of the main island of Spitsbergen (Fig. 1) developed in response to opening of the Norwegian–Greenland Sea (Talwani & Eldholm 1977; Srivastava 1985; Olesen et al. 2007; Engen et al. 2008; Gaine et al. 2009). The evolution of the WSFTB has been explained as a result of a head-on collision between the Greenland and the Eurasian plates (Lyberis & Manby 1993a, b; Tessensohn & Piepjohn 2000, CASE Team 2001; Saalmann & Thiedig 2002). An alternative hypothesis is that the fold-and-thrust belt reflects the contractual component of strain in a transpressional setting (Harland 1969; Lowell 1972; Faleide et al. 1988; Maher & Craddock 1988). The latter has been supported by analogue modelling performed by Leever and co-workers (Leever, Gabrielsen, Faleide et al. 2011; Leever, Gabrielsen, Sokoutis et al. 2011). Hence, the fold-and-thrust belt was related to the evolution of a dextral transform fault system between Greenland and Svalbard (Harland 1969; Birkenmajer 1972; Srivastava 1978; Birkenmajer 1981; Faleide et al. 1993; Lundin & Dore 2002; Mosar et al. 2002; Faleide et al. 2008; Gaine et al. 2009).

A series of detailed field studies of the fold-and-thrust belt in the Isfjorden area has been carried out (Maher et al. 1989; Andresen et al. 1992; Braathen et al. 1995; Braathen & Bergh 1995; Braathen et al. 1999; CASE Team 2001; Piepjohn & von Gosen 2001; Tessensohn 2001; von Gosen et al. 2001), providing a very good foundation for the interpretation of offshore reflection seismic and bathymetric data. An interpretation of the structure of the fold-and-thrust belt based on marine
multichannel reflection seismic data from Isfjorden was presented by Nøttvedt (1994). Bergh et al. (1997) compiled a regional structural map of central Spitsbergen based on field and offshore data. They also constructed an offshore geologic and tectonic map of the central part of Isfjorden using 12 multichannel seismic lines crossing the area. This account presents a new and detailed structural map of the Isfjorden area based on a dense grid of multichannel seismic lines and widespread echo sounder coverage.

Isfjorden is the largest fjord in Spitsbergen. It reaches about 100 km inland and is about 20 km wide near the west coast of the island (Fig. 1). The intensity of glacial erosion in Isfjorden reflects the varying mechanical properties of the various lithologies that crop out on the seafloor, allowing identification and mapping of tectonic units based on seafloor relief. By comparing bathymetric observations with the shallow parts of reflection seismic sections and structural features identified by onshore structural mapping, a structural map of the upper part of the thrust system can be constructed. Marine acoustic records in the fjord revealed that the bedrocks in the area are covered by 5–20 m (5–20 ms two-way travel time) of unconsolidated mud (Elverhøi et al. 1983; Germond 2005). Generally, these sediments drape the surface of the underlying rocks, and do not obscure its relief.

The main goal of this study is the construction of a detailed map and a description of the tectonic features of the shallow part of the fold-and-thrust belt in Isfjorden based on compilation of two different sets of data: multibeam echo sounder data and two-dimensional multichannel seismic data. Linking shallow structures to deeper ones is beyond the scope of this article and will be described in a separate publication. The high-resolution bathymetric chart reveals tectonic features on the seafloor while multichannel seismic data provides images of the subsurface tectonic structures. Integration and interpretation of these two independent data sets provides a much clearer understanding of the WSFTB in relation to transpressional deformation along the western coast of Spitsbergen.

**Geological setting**

Spitsbergen comprises strata of Precambrian to Recent age deformed in various tectonic regimes since the Palaeozoic era (Fig. 1; Dallmann 2007). The construction of an almost continuous late Palaeozoic to early Tertiary sedimentary succession took place due to submergence of Svalbard during most of its geological evolution (Hjelle 1993). Igneous and metamorphic basement rocks on Spitsbergen are Precambrian to Silurian in age and were intensely deformed during the Caledonian Orogeny (Harland 1959, 1985; Birkenmajer 1975; Ohta 1992; Fossen et al. 2008; Gee et al. 2008). Subsequently, the Svalbard area underwent several periods of erosion,
sedimentation and tectonism. Extensive denudation of the Caledonian mountain range in the Devonian period is reflected by a sequence of terrestrial sandstones up to 8 km thick preserved in down-faulted crustal blocks (Friend & Moody-Stuart 1972; Murashov & Mokin 1979). In the late Devonian these sediments were folded and faulted in the Svalbardian Event (Vogt 1928, 1929; Dallmann 1999; Bergh et al. 2011), while the period from the late Carboniferous to middle Permian times was characterized by deposition of limestone, dolomite and the evaporites gypsum and anhydrite (Worsley & Aga 1986; Dallmann 1999; Worsley 2008). During the Mesozoic a thick succession consisting predominantly of shales, siltstones and sandstones was deposited in conditions influenced by pronounced fluctuations in sea level. In the latest Jurassic to early Cretaceous, igneous activity in the Spitsbergen area resulted in intrusions of dolerite dykes and sills within the sedimentary sequence (Worsley & Aga 1986; Hjelle 1993; Dallmann 1999).

During the formation of the fold-and-thrust belt, strata along the west coast of Spitsbergen underwent uplift and erosion while a foreland basin (the Central Tertiary Basin) developed in the central part of the island, south of Isfjorden (Steel et al. 1985; Worsley & Aga 1986; Dallmann et al. 1993). Eastward propagation of the fold-and-thrust belt occurred above basal decollements localized in Permian gypsum and Middle Triassic and Upper Jurassic shales (Fig. 1; Braathen et al. 1995; Braathen & Bergh 1995; Bergh et al. 1997; Piepjohn & von Gosen 2001). Since the Oligocene a tensional tectonic regime has prevailed between Svalbard and Greenland (magnetic anomaly 13) following a plate-tectonic reorganization of the North Atlantic (Talwani & Eldholm 1977; Tessensohn & Piepjohn 2000; Bergh et al. 1997 and Dallmann 1999). A typical section and its stratigraphic correlation are shown in Fig. 3. It displays the lowermost angular unconformity separating chaotic, discontinuous reflections and strong continuous reflections that corresponds to the break between Devonian (?) and Carboniferous–Permin units. Weak discontinuous reflections of Sassendalen Group shales are overlain by the Kapp Toscana sandstones of higher reflectivity and typical seismic character of the Triassic–lowermost Jurassic strata. The low velocity shales of the Janusfjellet

Interpretation

The dense grid of multichannel seismic data and multibeam echo sounder data have been used for correlation of seafloor morphology and tectonic structures in the shallow part of the fold-and-thrust belt in Isfjorden. The resolution of the multichannel seismic data enables detailed interpretation of tectonic structures within the uppermost parts (1 second two-way travel time) of the sections. The seafloor reflection along some survey lines has been suppressed during processing of the seismic data.

Correlation of seismic units with the stratigraphy as established from onshore studies of the Isfjorden area was based on Ohta et al. (1992), Nøttvedt (1994), Bergh et al. (1997) and Dallmann (1999). A typical section and its stratigraphic correlation are shown in Fig. 3. It displays the lowermost angular unconformity separating chaotic, discontinuous reflections and strong continuous reflections that corresponds to the break between Devonian (?) and Carboniferous–Permin units. Weak discontinuous reflections of Sassendalen Group shale are overlain by the Kapp Toscana sandstones of higher reflectivity and typical seismic character of the Triassic–lowermost Jurassic strata. The low velocity shales of the Janusfjellet

Data

The geophysical data used in the present study (Fig. 2) includes multibeam echo sounding and two-dimensional multichannel seismic profiles. The bathymetrical data were collected during an acoustic survey carried out by the University of Bergen in 2004 using a Multibeam Echo Sounder EM 1002 (Kongsberg Maritime, Horten, Norway). The equipment gives high-resolution bathymetric data at water depths of 10–1000 m. The acoustic data acquired were exported as a xyz grid with 30-m cell size for two- and three-dimensional visualization using ArcGis and Fledremaus software (Germond 2005).

The two-dimensional multichannel seismic lines were acquired by the University of Bergen during the annual Svalex field course in 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 (Mjelde 2004, 2008). The data were acquired with 50 m shot intervals and a 3000-m streamer along ca. 1850 km of seismic lines with 500 m separation. The petroleum company Statoil acquired 1660 km of multichannel seismic data in 1985 and 1988 using 2400 and 3000 m long streamers, respectively, and 25 m between shot-points.

Fig. 2 Survey map, Isfjorden. Thin solid lines indicate the Svalex surveys of 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The dashed lines show the Statoil surveys ST8815 and ST8515. The boundary of multibeam echo sounder coverage is indicated with the grey ploygon outlined in black.
Subgroup and overlying high velocity sandstones of the Helvetiafjellet Formation produce a pronounced impedance contrast and a strong reflection. Finally, the base of the Tertiary sequence is defined as a thin transparent unit overlain by a sequence of strong, continuous reflections. The principal decollements that can be correlated over longer distances along multichannel seismic sections are also shown in Fig. 3.

Key transect

An example of the seismic image of the Isfjorden section of the WSFTB and our interpretation is shown in a section along lines ST8815-222 and ST8515-121 (Fig. 4). The section allows the identification of continuous reflectors that separate Carboniferous–Permian, Triassic–lowermost Jurassic, Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous and Tertiary sequences. The sediments dip to the west so that the rocks cropping out on the seafloor become older to the east.

Two principal types of contractional tectonic structures have been observed in the field and described as "decollements" and "thrusts". The term “decollement” is used for faults that are basal and layer-parallel, while “thrust” corresponds to low-angle faults cutting bedding.

Three major thrusts with a characteristic top to the east displacements are evident, but local (probably out of sequence) back-thrusts are identified in the western part of the sections adjacent to the basement-involving fold-and-thrust complex (0–10 km along the section in Fig. 4).
The thrusting caused easterly rotation of the strata and the formation of a syncline in the shallower stratigraphic units encompassing the Central Tertiary Basin.

Three decollements are clearly visible in the transect (Fig. 4). The uppermost and intermediate decollements are located within Upper Jurassic and Middle Triassic black shales, respectively, whereas the lowermost is developed in Permian evaporite. Two of the major thrusts (T2 and T3 in Fig. 4b) are foreland-directed and emerge on the seafloor. The thrusts are connected with the uppermost decollement. Thrust T1 also occurs on the seafloor. It is, however, hinterland-directed, belongs to the uppermost decollement (D1) and is probably an out-of-sequence structure. Thus, T2 defines the frontal part, while T1 defines the trailing edge of the nappe above the upper decollement (D1). T1 is the most westerly major thrust fault that can be identified in the seismic lines acquired. The deepest decollement (D3) can be identified along the full length of the section, but terminates either as a blind thrust fault within the Permo-Carboniferous sequence or merges up-section with the intermediate decollement (D2) near the eastern end of the section (Fig. 4b). Thrusts T1, T2 and T3 separate regions of different tectonic/structural styles on the seafloor and therefore delineate three tectonic subareas (Fig. 4b). Subarea 1 is bounded by T1 to the west and T2 to the east, both of which emerge from the same decollement (D1) within the Janusfjellet Subgroup. Subarea 1 therefore contains Jurassic, Lower Cretaceous and Tertiary strata. Subarea 2 is bounded to the east by fault T3 that emerges from the decollement at the same stratigraphic layer as beneath Subarea 1. However, this subarea is dominated by rocks of Janusfjellet Subgroup cropping out on the seafloor. Subarea 3 is an area on the seafloor where mainly Triassic/Lower Jurassic strata crop out, surrounded by thin units of Jurassic–Cretaceous and Carboniferous–Permian strata to the east and west, respectively. A correlation of the lithological boundaries and tectonic structures defined offshore with the onshore geology is shown in Fig. 5. According to the map, thrust fault T2 can be equated with the Fuglefjellet Thrust at Grumantbyen. This fault cuts strata in the Central Tertiary Basin along the southern shore of Isfjorden (Dallmann et al. 1993).
Multichannel seismic data

The inferred seafloor reflector in all of the multichannel seismic sections was used to produce a bathymetric map (Fig. 6). The seafloor displays striking morphological features in Subareas 1 and 2. The eastern boundaries of both Subareas 1 and 2 are marked by long, continuous escarpments. Internally, Subarea 1 is characterized by an undulating seafloor with the deepest part situated close to the shore of Oscar II Land. Some of these features can be directly linked to compressional structures, but judging by the bathymetry they are probably discontinuous. In contrast, Subarea 2 features persistent escarpments, inferred to mark outcropping faults. The seafloor in Subarea 3 is smoother than in Subareas 1 and 2, although several escarpments marking minor thrusts (Fig. 6) can be recognized. These observations suggest that the decollements and thrust sheets evident in seismic sections are also expressed by the relief of the seafloor.

Subarea 1. The major part of Subarea 1 is occupied by Tertiary rocks surrounded by outcrops of Jurassic-Cretaceous strata (Fig. 5). This subarea is characterized by numerous back thrusts. Good examples of the structural style of Subarea 1 are shown in sections ST8815-222, SV04-4, -7, -19, -27 and SV05-38 in Fig. 7.
Fig. 7 (a) Detailed map of the seafloor in Subarea 1 (SA-1), with locations of seismic sections and numbered tectonic structures. Examples of interpreted seismic sections are: (b) ST8815-222, (c) SV04-27, (d) SV04-4, (e) SV04-7, (f) SV04-19 and (g) SV05-38. T1 and T2 are major thrust faults. D1 is a decollement and b.T indicates the base of the Tertiary succession. TWT (ms) is 1 second two-way travel time.
Profiles shown in Fig. 7b and c are typical of the structural style adjacent to the western boundary of Subarea 1. The major back thrust (fault T1) cuts up-section westwards from the decollement D1 in Upper Jurassic strata. Fault T1 carries another back thrust in its hanging wall, spaling out from the same decollement. The hinterland-directed system also encompasses uplifted snake-head structures in the hanging walls of footwall ramps, fault propagation folds and pop-ups (Fig. 7c–e). The general strike of observed morphological highs and minor thrust faults in the eastern part of the subarea is north-west–south-east.

The north-eastern boundary of Subarea 1 is defined by the surface limit of foreland-directed thrust fault T2. As seen in Fig. 7f and g this is a thrust system with the geometry of an imbricate fan, the leading edge of which breaches the sub-glacial erosion surface. It includes an anticline (number 6 in Fig. 7g) bounded by thrust T2 to the east, which is identified as a hanging wall fault-propagation fold.

**Subarea 2.** The most significant tectonic features of Subarea 2 (Fig. 8a) are the limiting thrust faults T2 to the west and T3 to the east. Both thrust faults branch from decollement D1 that lies within the Upper Jurassic sequence. In the cross-section there is a discontinuity in the decollement in the regional transport direction (20–24 km along the line ST8815-217; Fig. 8b). The discontinuity may be due to the influence of deeper structures in this segment. In general, Subarea 2 has a structural style that is very different from that of
Subarea 1. Its south-western border consists of a duplex where the uppermost horse has been exposed and eroded. To the north-east of the duplex, two back thrusts (1 and 4) ramp up from the principal decollement D1, resulting in a topographic high (2) between the two faults. The westernmost part may be a collapsed horse belonging to the duplex. Back-thrusts 1 and 4 and the associated reverse faults 3 and 5 result in local pop-ups. Back thrust 4 is followed to the northeast by other thrust branches (6 and 7) that emerge from the decollement and are associated with subdued seafloor topography. Figure 8c presents an interpretation of the major thrust fault T3 that ramps up steeply from the decollement and produces a laterally extensive escarpment on the sea bed. The north-eastern part of Subarea 2 has the geometry of a foreland-directed, in-sequence thrust system.

Subarea 3. In spite of the relatively smooth seafloor of Subarea 3, the underlying thrust system indicates intense deformation in the area (Fig. 9). The faults are easily identified in the seismic data and define a pattern of en echelon thrusts and associated folds (Fig. 9b–d). The seafloor displays ridges and troughs that are sub-parallel to the fault branches and escarpments are probably the result of erosion of fault scarps. Thrusts emerging on the seafloor in this area appear mainly to have evolved above decollement D2 within Middle Triassic strata (Fig. 9b, c). Thrust faults branching off the basal decollement D3 in Permian gypsum are observed only along slivers of Carboniferous–Permian strata cropping out in the easternmost part of the area (Fig. 9d). It is noteworthy that the north-eastern termination of the structures coincides with a wide and continually climbing frontal footwall ramp.

**Fig. 9** (a) Map of the tectonic interpretation in Subarea 3 (SA-3), with locations of the seismic sections given in (b), (c) and (d). TWT (ms) is 1 second two-way travel time. Examples of interpreted seismic sections are: (b) ST8815-125, (c) SV06-47 and (d) SV06-51. T3 indicates a major thrust fault, and D1, D2 and D3 are decollements. The top of the Triassic and lowermost Jurassic strata is abbreviated to t. Tr-J, the top of the Carboniferous–Permian strata to t.C-P and the base of the Carboniferous–Permian strata to b.C-P.
Multibeam data

The multibeam echo-sounder survey provides a detailed relief map of the seafloor. This can be used to identify the main tectonic structures as reflected in the submarine morphology.

The tectonic structures based on the submarine relief in Isfjorden are shown in Fig. 10. In Subarea 1 we can observe two groups of major topographical highs: one group strikes south-east–north-west (marked by axes 1–3) and the other strikes in the NNW–SSE direction (4–6). Escarpments are interpreted as thrust faults cropping out on the seafloor (groups 7 and 8). Subarea 3 is characterized by relatively low relief. Nevertheless, two groups of the thrust traces can be recognized on the seafloor. As in the case for the Subarea 1, these two groups also differ in strike (Fig. 10). Areas of uneven topography and lack of along-strike continuity may reflect the sheet-like geometry of some folds and thrust units and perhaps the existence of transport-parallel ramps.

Discussion and conclusions

The results from multichannel seismic and multibeam echo sounder surveys have been plotted together in order to compare the interpretation of the two data sets. A combined geologic and tectonic map was constructed displaying features derived from the multibeam data and

Fig. 10 The seafloor of Isfjorden as imaged by a multibeam echo sounder. Subareas 1, 2 and 3 are denoted SA-1, SA-2 and SA-3.

Fig. 11 Geological and tectonic map of the Isfjorden area. The structures beneath the fjord are inferred from multi-channel seismic and multibeam echo sounder surveys (see Fig. 2). The red symbols are based on the interpretation of seafloor morphology and black symbols are based on multi-channel seismic data. Grey areas on the map are either covered or lack data. Abbreviations are as follows: T is Tertiary, J-Cr is Jurassic and Cretaceous, Tr-J is Triassic and lowermost Jurassic, C-P is Carboniferous and Permian, B is Basement, BFZ is the Billefjorden Fault Zone, IFZ is the Isfjorden–Ymerbukta Fault Zone and FT is the Fuglefjellet Thrust at Grumantbyen. T1, T2 and T3 denote major thrust faults. SA-1, SA-2 and SA-3 indicate Subareas 1, 2 and 3.
multichannel seismic data, including onshore structures based on published data (Fig. 11).

The general grain of the seafloor reflects the tectonic structures observed within the upper part of the sedimentary sequence. However, structures which extend to the seafloor are not always reflected in the bathymetry. For example, the tectonic map of Subarea 1 shows a good match between the interpretations of the two data sets, whereas Subarea 3 shows that most of the structures identified in the upper sedimentary section that crop out on the seafloor are not reflected in the bathymetry. The differences in correspondence of the subsurface structures and bathymetry in Subareas 1 and 3 could be related to lithological differences in the rocks that crop out on the seafloor. Therefore, the Tertiary and the Lower Cretaceous (Helvetiafjellet Formation) sandstones were probably more resistant to erosion than the Triassic–Jurassic shale sequences. The data coverage by the multibeam echo sounder data in Subarea 2 was not extensive enough to permit a reliable interpretation of the tectonic structures. Some of the structures that are prominent in multibeam data represent minor structures in seismic sections. For example, a thrust fault was not recognized in the seismic section shown in Fig. 7f at 10 km, but it causes a pronounced feature in the bathymetry (Fig. 10). Thus, identification of geological structures in complementary multichannel seismic and multibeam data is advantageous.

Comparison of the results with geological observations onshore demonstrates a continuation of lithological boundaries and tectonic structures across the floor of Isfjorden (Fig. 11). The Fuglefjellet Thrust at Grumantbyen corresponds with the major thrust fault T2 that crops out on the floor of Isfjorden. Detailed seismic mapping of the shallow structures shows that most of the structures beneath Isfjorden are dominated by foreland-directed, in-sequence thrusts and related folds with north-west–south-east and NNW–SSE strikes. Furthermore, there is a significant component of hinterland-directed, out-of-sequence thrusting in some zones, particularly in the southern part of Subarea 1, in accord with onshore observations in the western part of Nordenskiöld Land (Ohta et al. 1992).

The new geologic and tectonic map presented here refines the previous interpretation of geological boundaries and structures in Isfjorden published by Bergh et al. (1997). The Central Tertiary Basin occupies a smaller area beneath Isfjorden than previously believed. The structural architecture of the WSFTB beneath Isfjorden is similar to that seen onshore and some of the principal thrusts can be traced beneath it.

The detailed map of the shallow structures beneath Isfjorden may help in understanding the origin of the WSFTB. The geometry of the structures observed in the Isfjorden area is in accordance with results of analogue tectonic modelling, supporting the hypothesis that the WSFTB was related to low-angle transpression (Leever, Gabrielsen, Faleide et al. 2011; Leever, Gabrielsen Sokoutis et al. 2011).
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