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Soluble platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1, a biomarker of ventilator-induced lung injury
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Abstract

Introduction: Endothelial cell injury is an important component of acute lung injury. Platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM1) is a transmembrane protein that connects endothelial cells to one another and can be detected as a soluble, truncated protein (sPECAM1) in serum. We hypothesized that injurious mechanical ventilation (MV) leads to shedding of PECAM1 from lung endothelial cells resulting in increasing sPECAM1 levels in the systemic circulation.

Methods: We studied 36 Sprague–Dawley rats in two prospective, randomized, controlled studies (healthy and septic) using established animal models of ventilator-induced lung injury. Animals (n = 6 in each group) were randomized to spontaneous breathing or two MV strategies: low tidal volume (VT) (6 ml/kg) and high-VT (20 ml/kg) on 2 cmH2O of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). In low-VT septic animals, 10 cmH2O of PEEP was applied. We performed pulmonary histological and physiological evaluation and measured lung PECAM1 protein content and serum sPECAM1 levels after four hours ventilation period.

Results: High-VT MV caused severe lung injury in healthy and septic animals, and decreased lung PECAM1 protein content (P < 0.001). Animals on high-VT had a four- to six-fold increase of mean sPECAM1 serum levels than the unventilated counterpart (35.4 ± 10.4 versus 5.6 ± 1.7 ng/ml in healthy rats; 156.8 ± 47.6 versus 35.6 ± 12.6 ng/ml in septic rats) (P < 0.0001). Low-VT MV prevented these changes. Levels of sPECAM1 in healthy animals on high-VT MV paralleled the sPECAM1 levels of non-ventilated septic animals.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that circulating sPECAM1 may represent a promising biomarker for the detection and monitoring of ventilator-induced lung injury.

Introduction

Mechanical ventilation (MV) is the most important supportive tool in the care of critically ill patients with acute respiratory failure. However, although necessary to preserve life, MV can itself aggravate or cause lung damage through a variety of mechanisms collectively referred to as ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) [1]. These mechanisms include exposure to high inflation pressures, alveolar overdistension, and repetitive opening and closing of alveoli. In addition to direct structural damage to the lungs, these mechanical forces may lead to up-regulated cytokine release and a systemic inflammatory response, propagating injury to non-pulmonary organs, which may result in multiple organ failure, and ultimately affect outcome [2-4]. Our improved understanding of acute lung injury and VILI in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) has been important in designing lung-protective MV strategies aimed at attenuating VILI and improving outcomes. The only strategy that has demonstrated improved survival in ARDS patients is the use of low tidal volume (VT) MV with adequate positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and limited transpulmonary distending pressure [5]. This strategy aims to minimize...
VILI which may result from alveolar overdistension or repeated opening and closing of lung units.

Considerable effort has been made to enhance our mechanistic understanding of VILI. Endothelial cell injury is an important component of ARDS and VILI, and the most fundamental early physiologic characteristic is an increase in protein permeability across the endothelial barrier of the lung. The damage observed in ARDS and VILI reflects the primary injurious stimuli and the secondary complex interactions of inflammatory mediators on alveolar epithelial and capillary endothelial cells [1,4]. Mechanical forces within the alveolus can cause endothelial disruption and hemorrhagic injury, even in the absence of pre-existing inflammation [6], and lead to activation of downstream messenger systems [7-11]. Platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM1) is a 130 kDa transmembrane protein member of the immunoglobulin superfamily of cell adhesion molecules that is constitutively localized at cell-cell junctions that connect endothelial cells to one another [12]. PECAM1 can be cleaved from endothelial cells, resulting in a secreted, shed protein (sPECAM1) [13,14]. Since pulmonary inflammation is a central component of VILI, and PECAM1 has been shown to mediate endothelial cell permeability [12], we hypothesized that PECAM1 can be cleaved and shed from the surface of endothelial cells and detected in the systemic circulation after a short term of injurious MV in an experimental, clinically relevant animal model of VILI using healthy and septic animals.

Materials and methods

All experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Use and Care Committees at Hospital Universitario Dr. Negrín (Las Palmas, Spain) and Hospital Universitario NS de Candelaria (Tenerife, Spain) in accordance with the European Commission Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experimentation.

Animal preparation and experimental protocol

We studied 36 male healthy and septic Sprague–Dawley rats weighing 300 to 350 g included in two prospective, randomized, controlled studies that survived after a four-hour ventilation period using two well-established models of VILI. Briefly, in the first animal model of VILI, anesthetized (intraperitoneal injection of 80 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride and 8 mg/kg xylazine) healthy animals were randomized into three groups (n = 6 in each group): (1) spontaneous breathing; (2) MV with low-VT (6 ml/kg) plus 2 cmH2O of PEEP; and (3) MV high-VT (20 ml/kg) plus 2 cmH2O of PEEP. In healthy and septic animals assigned to MV, we performed a tracheotomy using a 14-G Teflon catheter. Thereafter, animals were paralyzed with 1 mg/kg pancuronium bromide and connected to a time-cycled, volume-limited rodent ventilator (Ugo Basile, Varese, Italy). During MV, healthy animals were on fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) = 0.4 and septic animals on FiO2 = 0.6. Respiratory rate was set to maintain constant minute ventilation in all ventilated groups.

The experimental settings were maintained for four hours, while animals were anesthetized and paralyzed by bolus administration of intraperitoneal ketamine/xylazine and pancuronium bromide every 45 minutes. Animals were monitored non-invasively to minimize the possibility of triggering an inflammatory response, after establishing a protocol which provided hemodynamic stability and comparable blood gases in invasively monitored animals during the four-hour experimental period. Peak airway pressures were continuously monitored. Oxygen saturation (SpO2) was continuously measured using a pulse oxymeter applied to the rat’s tongue. SpO2 remained ≥90% in all animals during animal instrumentation. Animals were maintained supine on a restraining board inclined 20° from the horizontal.

Gas exchange and histological examination

At the end of the four-hour observation and ventilation period, a midline thoracotomy/laparotomy was performed and, after sampling arterial blood for gases and serum analysis by abdominal aorta puncture, animals were sacrificed by supplemental pentobarbital (10 mg/kg) and exsanguination after sectioning the abdominal vessels. The heart and lungs were removed ’en bloc’. The lungs were isolated from the heart, the trachea was cannulated, and the right lung was fixed by intratracheal instillation of 3 ml 10% formalin. After fixation, lungs were floated in 10% formalin for a week. Then, lungs were sampled in multiple areas and sliced from apex to base and embedded in paraffin, then cut (3 μm thickness sections), stained with hematoxylin eosin and examined by two pathologists (FV, MLH) who were blinded to group identity. Slides were viewed using a Nikon Optiphot light microscope (Tokyo, Japan) and photographed with a Nikon Digital DS-5 M camera (Tokyo, Japan) at × 200 magnification. Three random sections of the right lung from each animal were examined with particular reference to alveolar and interstitial damage defined as cellular inflammatory infiltrates, pulmonary edema, disorganization of lung parenchyma, alveolar rupture and hemorrhage. By scoring from 0 to 4 (none, mild, moderate, severe, very severe) for each parameter, a total
histological injury score [15] was obtained by adding the individual scores in every animal and averaging the total values in each animal group.

Protein extraction and PECAM1 immunoblotting
Left lungs from each experimental condition were excised, washed with saline, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for subsequent protein extraction and blotting. Lungs were sampled in multiple areas, homogenized, and proteins were extracted by centrifugation (14,000 rpm) for five minutes at 4°C. Protein content in the supernatant of the extract was measured with Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay (Hercules, CA, USA). Western blotting was performed using a rabbit polyclonal anti-PECAM1 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) was used as loading control after stripping the membrane using Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer. In all cases, bands were detected by chemiluminescence and measured by Scion Image software package (Scion Corp., Frederick, MD, USA).

sPECAM1 serum levels
At the end of every experiment, blood collected in each animal was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3,000 rpm. Sera were divided into aliquot portions and frozen at -80°C. sPECAM1 concentrations in serum were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in dilutions that allowed interpolation from a simultaneously run standard curve. Levels of sPECAM1 were measured with a commercially available ELISA kit specific for rats (Cusabio, Wuhan Huamei Biotech, Wuhan, Hubei, China) following specifications of the manufacturer. Samples were analyzed using a fully automated ELISA analyzer (Triturus, Grifols, Spain). This assay has high sensitivity and excellent specificity for detection of rat sPECAM1 and eliminates interference by soluble receptors, binding proteins, and other factors present in biological samples. sPECAM1 concentrations are expressed as ng/ml. The detection range is 3.1 to 200 ng/ml.

Data analysis
Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparisons involving all experimental groups were performed with one-way analysis of variance. We used a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Values derived from Western blot densitometry were expressed as group mean, normalized to β-actin and expressed as fold-changes of ventilated lungs versus control (non-ventilated) lungs, and tested with the same statistical analyses. Data analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). A two-sided P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Respiratory parameters and pathological evaluation
In healthy ventilated animals, mean peak inspiratory pressures were 14 ± 1 and 24 ± 2 cmH2O for the low-VT and high-VT groups, respectively (P <0.0001). In septic ventilated animals, mean peak inspiratory pressures were 20 ± 1 and 28 ± 2 cmH2O for the low-VT and high-VT groups, respectively (P <0.0001). Mean partial pressure of arterial CO2 (PaCO2) did not differ among subgroups in each category (42 ± 2 versus 40 ± 2 mmHg for low-VT versus high-VT healthy groups; 42 ± 2 versus 39.5 ± 3 mmHg for low-VT versus high-VT septic groups).

Healthy animals ventilated with high-VT and septic animals on spontaneous breathing or high-VT MV had histological and gas exchange evidence of lung injury (Figure 1A, B). Mean ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO2/FiO2) in the low-VT control group was over 400 mmHg; in contrast, mean PaO2/FiO2 in healthy high-VT animals (250 ± 20 mmHg), and in septic animals ventilated with low-VT plus PEEP (265 ± 38 mmHg) or with high-VT (211 ± 28 mmHg) met oxygenation criteria for acute lung injury [5] (Figure 1B).

After four hours of high-VT MV, the lungs showed acute inflammatory infiltrates and perivascular edema. Septic animals ventilated with high-VT had the highest histological injury scores (12.2 ± 2.3) whereas healthy animals ventilated with low-VT had the lowest score (1.1 ± 0.3) (Figure 1C). Septic animals ventilated with low-VT plus PEEP had a lower histological score than septic, spontaneous breathing animals (3.3 ± 0.5 versus 5.4 ± 0.7) (P = 0.001). These results confirmed that high-VT MV and sepsis alone caused acute lung injury, and high-VT MV further enhanced sepsis-induced lung injury.

Lung PECAM1 protein content
MV and sepsis decreased PECAM1 protein content in the lungs depending on the ventilatory strategy (Figure 2). Healthy animals from the non-ventilated and low-VT groups had similar levels of PECAM1. However, high-VT MV was accompanied by a marked reduction of PECAM1 lung levels (P <0.001). Overall, these data suggest an early reduction of cell-cell adhesions of endothelial cells in healthy and septic lungs after four hours of injurious MV.

sPECAM1 serum levels
Animals on high-VT MV had a four- to six-fold increase of mean sPECAM1 serum levels than their unventilated counterparts (35.4 ± 10.4 versus 5.6 ± 1.7 ng/ml in healthy rats; 156.8 ± 47.6 versus 35.6 ± 12.6 ng/ml in septic rats) (P <0.0001 for both comparisons) (Figure 3). However, low-VT MV prevented such drastic changes when compared to the unventilated counterpart. Increases in the levels of sPECAM1 in healthy animals on high-VT MV
paralleled the increased levels of sPECAM1 of septic animals on spontaneous breathing and on low-\(V_T\) MV.

**Discussion**
Our study suggests a new order of complexity in regulating the bridge between MV, sepsis and ARDS. The mechanisms of VILI have been extensively reviewed in the past 20 years [2,16,17]. Repeated overexpansion of the lung increases microvascular permeability and leads to edema formation without actual rupture of the lung. Electron microscopy has demonstrated ‘capillary stress fractures’ when microvascular pressures are markedly elevated [18]. It is this ‘stress failure’ of the alveolar capillary membrane that is responsible for the increased microvascular permeability edema seen with lung overinflation. Because the pulmonary endothelial barrier is damaged during sepsis, ARDS and VILI, lung cytokines released into the circulation can initiate or propagate a systemic inflammatory response and play an active role in the development of multiple system organ dysfunctions [19]. In our study, the application of low-\(V_T\) plus PEEP dramatically reduced lung injury in septic animals, a finding that has been previously reported by our group and by several other investigators [2,15,20,21].

The present study is the first to demonstrate that: (1) PECAM1 expression is modulated in the lungs in response to MV in the presence or absence of sepsis; (2) the expression of PECAM1 is dependent on the MV regime applied; and (3) the circulating levels of sPECAM1 are rapidly and markedly increased during injurious MV. PECAM1 is expressed on the surface of hematopoietic and immune cells and is highly enriched at endothelial cell-junctions [13,14,22]. Since its cloning in 1990 [23], there has been enormous progress in understanding the biology of PECAM1 and there is now clear evidence for its involvement in regulating leukocyte migration and inflammatory and vascular responses in numerous disease processes, including sepsis [12]. There is growing evidence that PECAM1 not only contributes to the maintenance of vascular integrity in resting cells but also to its restoration following barrier disruption [24] because
Figure 2 Western blot and densitometry analysis of PECAM-1 protein in the lungs. Experimental groups: healthy, spontaneous breathing control rats (C); healthy, ventilated with low tidal volume (LVT); healthy, ventilated with high tidal volume (HVT); septic, spontaneous breathing animals (S); septic, ventilated with low tidal volume (SLVT); septic, ventilated with high tidal volume (SHVT). *** \( P < 0.001 \) (HVT versus C and LVT; SHVT versus S and SLVT); ¶ \( P < 0.001 \) for S versus C. PECAM1, platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1.

Figure 3 Serum levels of soluble platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (sPECAM1) in healthy and septic animals. Experimental groups: healthy, spontaneous breathing control rats (C); healthy, ventilated with low tidal volume (LVT); healthy, ventilated with high tidal volume (HVT); septic, spontaneous breathing animals (S); septic, ventilated with low tidal volume (SLVT); septic, ventilated with high tidal volume (SHVT). *** \( P < 0.001 \) (HVT versus C and LVT; SHVT versus S and SLVT).
deficiency in murine endothelial PECAM1 delays reestablishment of the vascular permeability barrier after histamine challenge [25] or in response to physical injury [26]. It has been reported that lung samples of patients who died from ARDS had a heterogeneous expression of PECAM1 in blood vessels [27]. Other studies have shown down-regulation of PECAM1 in neutrophils from patients with major trauma [28] and in the lungs of children who died from bronchopulmonary dysplasia after being mechanically ventilated [29]. Our data strongly suggest that the loss of PECAM1 in the lungs, which occurred in response to lung overstretch, compromises the permeability barrier and leads to edema formation and leukocyte infiltration (as seen by histological examination) and severe hypoxemia (as shown by a marked decrease of the PaO2/FiO2 ratio). Of note, lung PECAM1 content in septic animals and Responsive (and Reproducible) in a Timely fashion to may be a marker of successful response to conventional therapy. By contrast, a high sPECAM1 level may be considered a marker of failure to respond to therapy and may require additional treatments to improve outcome.

We used a VT of 20 ml/kg, a value that although higher than those used clinically, produces stretch that is likely comparable to that experienced by some patients with acute lung injury or ARDS in nondependent areas of the lung, even when relatively small VT are used. In experimental models, VILI develops when a lung strain (estimated as the ratio between lung volume change and resting volume) greater than two is achieved, corresponding to VT of approximately 20 ml/kg in healthy animals [39,40]. In our preliminary experiments, we found that 20 ml/kg was the minimal level of overdistension that caused an easily identifiable injury during a short period of MV. Supraphysiological VT has been used since the classical paper by Web and Tierney [20] for investigating lung injury in in vivo ventilated small experimental animals [41]. This approach reflects the compromise between limiting the ventilation period to a few hours (in order to limit the role of confounding factors such as anesthesia, fluid status, infection, nutrition and so on) and the attempt to produce detectable lung injury in a very short time. We used a level of 2 cmH2O of PEEP in animals with previously healthy lungs. This level of PEEP in healthy lungs is sufficient to avoid atelectasis during the four-hour MV period. There is no documented need for using high levels of PEEP during a short-term MV period in animals with healthy lungs. In a previous study by our group [6], we demonstrated that the use of 10 cmH2O to a constant large VT (20 ml/kg) exacerbated VILI in previously healthy animals. However, in sepsis-induced lung injury animals, we used 10 cmH2O of PEEP in the low-VT group since it is within the range of PEEP levels used in the management of patients with...
moderate ARDS. We used a FiO$_2$ of 0.4 in healthy animals because in previous pilot studies, some animals ventilated with high-V$_T$ and breathing room air developed hypoxemia at the end of the four-hour MV period. We used a FiO$_2$ of 0.6 in animals with sepsis-induced lung injury because in previous experiments using 40% oxygen in the CLP model, some septic animals ventilated with high-V$_T$ developed hypoxemia at the end of the four-hour MV period.

The present study has some limitations and strengths. First, we have not explored whether the application of protective MV after four hours of high-V$_T$ MV could decrease the elevated sPECAM1 levels in healthy and septic animals with VILI. This should be determined in future experimental studies. Since PECAM1 is required for restoring endothelial continuity, targeting PECAM1 integrity may open new therapeutic approaches for sepsis and ARDS. In a model of endotoxic shock, Maas et al. [42] were able to transplant bone marrow cells expressing PECAM1 into PECAM1-deficient mice and found that the animals became resistant to endotoxic shock and maintained an intact vascular permeability barrier after endotoxin administration. Second, although both injurious MV and sepsis alone increased circulating sPECAM1 serum levels, our data demonstrated that sPECAM1 levels are more sensitive to MV than to the underlying disease process. This should be confirmed in future experimental and clinical studies. Third, pulmonary vascular stress was not assessed in our study and it may have contributed to the development of VILI [43-45]. However, based on our pilot data, the approaches used to ventilate these animals did not markedly increase intravascular stress.

Searching for biomarkers for sepsis, ARDS and VILI has been an on-going journey in critical care research. Many biomarkers proposed by experimental studies have not been able to be applied in clinical practice. The lack of convincing biomarkers further promotes investigations along this line. Usually, the experimental studies have a very well defined mechanical ventilation setting, inflammatory stimulus and other well-controlled conditions, while clinically we are dealing with patients in complicated situations. Therefore, it is critical to validate biomarkers clinically. It is also important to compare and combine different biomarkers in order to define and differentiate different clinical conditions. It is our hope that the present study will be able to stimulate more interest and discussion of sPECAM1 and other biomarkers for VILI.

**Conclusions**

We have demonstrated that high-V$_T$ MV decreased PECAM1 protein content in the lung and increased serum levels of sPECAM1. This effect was highest in animals with sepsis-induced lung injury. By contrast, protective MV restored PECAM1 protein levels and decreased serum levels of sPECAM1. These results imply that PECAM1 is involved in the pathogenesis of VILI and suggest that the protective mechanism of low-V$_T$ plus PEEP is related to prevention or attenuation of pulmonary endothelial injury. The measurement of sPECAM1 may represent a novel, promising biomarker for monitoring the development and progression of ARDS and for the earliest identification of patients at risk of developing VILI.

**Key messages**

- PECAM1 can be cleaved and shed from the surface of endothelial cells and detected as a soluble, truncated protein (sPECAM1) in the systemic circulation after a short term of injurious mechanical ventilation.
- The detection of elevated sPECAM1 serum levels during high V$_T$ ventilation supports the concept of a primary endothelial cell involvement in the pathogenesis of increased vascular permeability seen in VILI and in ARDS.
- Our study suggests that low levels of sPECAM1 are indicative of normal functioning of PECAM1, whereas higher circulating levels of sPECAM1, as occurs during inflammatory conditions such as sepsis, ARDS and VILI, could serve as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction.
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