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Abstract

Memory CD8+ T cells are comprised of CD122hi IL-15-dependent and CD122lo IL-15-independent subsets. Induction and retention of IL-15-independent memory CD8+ T cells was assessed in IL-15 -/- mice immunized with recombinant vaccinia virus (rVV) or Sindbis virus (rSIN) vectors expressing the identical foreign epitope. Both vectors induced epitope-specific CD8+ T cell expansion and function, independent of IL-15. Similar kinetics of rVV clearance confirmed effective CD8+ T cell function in IL-15 -/- mice. CD44hi CD122hi CD8+ T cells, mainly of the CD62Llo phenotype, increased more dramatically and declined more rapidly in IL-15 -/- mice, independent of the vector. Rapid IL-15-independent memory CD8+ T cell expansion following challenge of immune mice compensated for the limited memory CD8+ populations in IL-15 -/- mice. However, despite expansion and expression of potent effector function, viral clearance was delayed in the absence of IL-15, coinciding with a rapid loss in cytolytic function.

Introduction

Induction of memory CD8+ T cells constitutes an important parameter of vaccine-induced protective immunity against microbial infection. CD8+ T cell memory is influenced by the magnitude of the acute response (Ahmed and Gray, 1996; Flynn et al., 1998; Hou et al., 1994; Murali-Krishna et al., 1998), the presence of survival factors during the contraction and memory phases (Grayson et al., 2000; Jameson, 2002; Lanzavecchia and Sallusto, 2002), and by subsequent homologous or heterologous infections (Welsh and Selin, 2002). The majority of antigen primed memory cells appear to be replenished by proliferation, independent of class I interactions (Jameson, 2002; Murali-Krishna et al., 1999; Prlic et al., 2002). Prominent factors regulating CD8+ memory T cell homeostasis are IL-15 and IL-7, but their roles are not necessarily overlapping (Tan et al., 2002). A major role for IL-15 in regulating distinct lymphocyte subsets was revealed by the absence of NK cells and diminished memory CD8+ T cells in IL-15 -/- mice (Kennedy et al., 2000). The inability of IL-15 -/- mice to control infection with the virulent WR strain of vaccinia virus, a lytic infection controlled by CD8+ T cells (Kennedy et al., 2000), implied additional roles for IL-15 during the CD8+ T cell expansion, effector, or contraction phases. However, initial expansion of virus-specific responses is only marginally affected during vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection (Schluns et al., 2002), and no defects were noted following lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection (Becker et al., 2002). Both VSV and LCMV infections were controlled and the initial virus-specific memory pool was not severely compromised. More recently, a redundant role for IL-15 during CD8+ T cell expansion, effector, or contraction phases. However, initial expansion of virus-specific responses is only marginally affected during vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection (Schluns et al., 2002), and no defects were noted following lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection (Becker et al., 2002). Both VSV and LCMV infections were controlled and the initial virus-specific memory pool was not severely compromised. More recently, a redundant role for IL-15 during CD8+ T cell expansion, effector, or contraction phases. However, initial expansion of virus-specific responses is only marginally affected during vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection (Schluns et al., 2002), and no defects were noted following lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection (Becker et al., 2002). Both VSV and LCMV infections were controlled and the initial virus-specific memory pool was not severely compromised. More recently, a redundant role for IL-15 during CD8+ T cell expansion, effector, or contraction phases. However, initial expansion of virus-specific responses is only marginally affected during vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection (Schluns et al., 2002), and no defects were noted following lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection (Becker et al., 2002). Both VSV and LCMV infections were controlled and the initial virus-specific memory pool was not severely compromised. More recently, a redundant role for IL-15 during CD8+ T cell expansion, effector, or contraction phases.
et al., 2002; Schluns et al., 2002). The slowly dividing residual memory CD8+ T cells were nevertheless capable of recall cytokine responses and control of secondary viral challenge (Becker et al., 2002). By contrast, IL-15 was not required for homeostatic maintenance of virus-specific memory CD8+ T cells following latent MHV-68 infection (Obar et al., 2004). IL-15 overexpression confirmed a positive regulatory role, specifically in memory CD8+ T cell homeostasis (Yajima et al., 2002). However, if given during a secondary response, IL-15 may have detrimental effects on preexisting, nonboosted memory T cells (Chapdelaine et al., 2003), questioning the adjuvant effect of IL-15 for vaccination purposes.

IL-15-dependent and -independent CD44hi CD8+ memory populations are not only evident following viral infection, but also in naive wt mice (Judge et al., 2002). These populations vary prominently in expression of the IL-15Rβ chain, CD122, which together with the common IL-2R IL-15Rγc chain is shared by the IL-2R (Nelson and Willerford, 1998). In wt mice, IL-15-dependent CD122hi memory CD8+ T cells constitute the most prominent subset, whereas the minor IL-15-independent subset is CD122lo (Judge et al., 2002). VSV- and LCMV-specific memory CD8+ T cells in IL-15−/− mice are also severely impaired in the CD122hi subset (Becker et al., 2002; Schluns et al., 2002). Importantly, IL-15 is not required to convert naïve antigen-specific CD122hi CD8+ T cells into CD122hi cells during antigen-driven expansion/activation (Judge et al., 2002). However, a rapid decline of the CD122hi population in the absence of IL-15 is consistent with a role of IL-15 in promoting survival by up-regulating the anti-apoptotic protein, Bcl-2 (Wu et al., 2002). CD122 depletion only decreased turnover by ~50%, suggesting an IL-15- and IL-2-independent pathway for sustaining CD8+ T cell memory (Ku et al., 2000). Indeed IL-2Rβ-deficient mice, incapable of either IL-2- or IL-15-mediated signaling, mount primary and secondary CD8+ T cell responses, albeit with limited effector function (Yu et al., 2003). IL-15-independent survival of memory CD8+ T cells may be partially attributed to IL-7 (Goldrath et al., 2002; Jameson, 2002; Prlic et al., 2002; Tan et al., 2002). However, while a clear role for IL-7 in homeostasis was not confirmed in IL-7-deficient mice, deprivation of both IL-15 and IL-7 completely inhibited homeostatic proliferation (Goldrath et al., 2002; Ku et al., 2000; Tan et al., 2002).

Distinct infections evoke unique cytokine profiles; therefore, IL-15-independent generation and survival of memory CD8+ T cell subsets was analyzed using recombinant vaccinia (rVV) and Sindbis (rSIN) viruses. These prototype DNA and RNA vectors were chosen based on their differential susceptibilities to IFNα/β and CD8+ T cell immunity. IFNα/β, a strong inducer of IL-15 severely, limits SIN replication and spread (Ryan et al., 2000), whereas CD8+ T cells control rVV infection (Binder and Kündig, 1991). Although primed by alphaviruses, CD8+ T cells do not appear to play a prominent role in providing in vivo protection (Linn et al., 1998). Nevertheless, despite the paucity of anti Sindbis virus CD8+ T cell responses (Mullbacher and Blanden, 1978), rSIN vectors efficiently prime protective CD8+ T cells to foreign microbial epitopes (Tsuji et al., 1998; Villacres et al., 2000). IL-15−/− and wt mice were infected with the respective recombinant viruses expressing the Dβ restricted S510 epitope derived from the murine coronavirus spike protein as an index epitope (Bergmann et al., 1996). Primary epitope-specific CD8+ T cell responses in IL-15−/− mice were similar to wt mice independent of the vector, confirming that IL-15 does not affect primary CD8+ T cell effector function (Becker et al., 2002). A dramatic increase in CD122hi CD44hi CD8+ T cells further supported the redundancy for IL-15 in expanding this phenotype. Although the rapid emergence of the CD122hi CD44hi CD8+ T cell subset was transient in the absence of IL-15, the frequency of antigen-specific memory CD8+ T cells remained similar to wt mice, independent of the type of infection. Maintenance of virus-specific memory CD8+ T cells thus appears to be independent of virus-induced factors and governed by constraints similar to those that regulate endogenous memory CD8+ T cells. The data demonstrate that rapid expansion of IL-15-independent CD8+ T cells into potent effector CD8+ T cells upon primary or secondary antigen challenge compensates for impaired IL-15-driven homeostatic maintenance of antigen-specific memory CD8+ T cells.

**Results**

**IL-15 deficiency does not compromise antigen-specific CD8+ T cell expansion**

Distinct viral infections of IL-15−/− mice revealed different outcomes in expansion of virus-specific CD8+ T cells as well as control of virus replication. Unlike vaccinia virus (Kennedy et al., 2000), acute VSV, LCMV, and MHV-68 infections were controlled, despite reduced expansion of VSV-specific CD8+ T cells in the absence of IL-15 (Becker et al., 2002; Obar et al., 2004; Schluns et al., 2002). To assess whether IL-15-independent CD8+ T cell responses are influenced by the type of viral infection, rVV or rSIN expressing the heterologous S510 indicator epitope were tested as immunogens in IL-15−/− and wt mice. No significant differences in the relative percentages of S510-specific cells within splenic CD8+ T cells from IL-15−/− and wt mice were evident during the acute response to either vJS510 or SINJS510 (Figs. 1A and B). Frequencies of tetramer+ cells within the CD8+ T cell population remained similar throughout days 6–8 during the response to vJS510. While the absolute numbers of S510-specific CD8+ T cells were also similar in IL-15−/− compared to wt mice following vJS510 infection, they were consistently reduced following SINJS510 infection (Fig. 1C). These differential effects, and the overall higher numbers of S510-specific T
cells following rVV infection, likely reside in the more robust host CD8+ T cell response to rVV compared to rSIN (Villacres et al., 2000).

To confirm efficient induction of functional CD8+ T cells, the frequency of S510-specific IFN-γ producing CD8+ T cells was determined (Fig. 2A). Similar to tetramer analysis, no significant differences in percentages of CD8+ T cells secreting IFN-γ in IL-15−/− and wt mice were detected following either vJS510 or SINJS510 priming, respectively. Neither vector induced detectable ex vivo S510-specific cytolytic activity during acute infection (data not shown). However, the cytolytic potency of splenocytes from rVV-infected mice was identical in IL-15−/− and wt mice following 4 days in vitro activation (Fig. 2B) and was only slightly reduced in cultures derived from IL-15−/− mice at day 6. Thus, there was no evidence for impaired epitope-specific CD8+ T cell expansion or function following infection of IL-15−/− mice with these two distinct recombinant vectors. However, IL-15 is pivotal in regulating NK cell and NK T cell activities (Kennedy et al., 2000). In addition, IL-15 secretion by activated macrophages, dendritic cells, and stromal cells may affect other early events during viral infection (Fawaz et al., 1999; Fehniger and Caligiuri, 2000; Mattei et al., 2001; Nguyen et al., 2002). Nevertheless, rVV was cleared from ovaries of infected IL-15−/− mice with the same kinetics as in wt mice (Fig. 3). Therefore, the absence of IL-15 did not impair the primary antiviral response governed by CD8+ T cell immunity, as exemplified by rVV infection.

Enhanced, but transient, expansion of activated CD122hi CD44hi CD62Llo/− CD8+ T cells in the absence of IL-15

The relative percentages of total CD8+ T cells are reduced twofold in lymphoid organs of IL-15−/− compared to wt mice, despite similar cellularity (Kennedy et al., 2000). This difference cannot be solely attributed to a defect in memory CD8+ T cells, which only comprise ~6% in IL-15−/− compared to ~20% in wt mice (Kennedy et al., 2000). Efficient CD8+ T cell expansion and induction of S510-specific CD8+ T cells in response to vJS510 or SINJS510 in IL-15−/− mice, despite initially compromised...
naive T cell numbers, thus suggested a compensatory effect during expansion. Both IL-2 and IL-15 promote T cell expansion, although these two cytokines differentially regulate CD8+ T cell differentiation (Manjunath et al., 2001). IL-2 is secreted during both viral infections (Villacres et al., 2000) and may thus exert more activity in the absence of IL-15. Enhanced CD8+ T cell activation and expansion was confirmed by both similar levels of CD44hi CD8+ T cell subsets in infected IL-15−/− compared to wt mice, irrespective of the preexisting memory pool in naive wt mice, and more prominent CD62L down-regulation in CD8+ T cells from infected IL-15−/− mice (Fig. 4B). Finally, infected IL-15−/− mice exhibited a significantly higher proportion of CD43+ CD8+ T cells, associated with expression of CD8+ T cell effector function (Harrington et al., 2000). Analysis of the expression of five markers associated with T cell activation thus revealed enhanced CD8+ T cell responsiveness following infection of IL-15−/− mice. A substantial loss of CD62L expression and increased CD43 expression by CD8+ T cells in IL-15−/− mice was especially pronounced following rSIN infection. Overall, increased frequencies of activated CD8+ T cells following rVV compared to rSIN infection likely reflect the more virulent nature of the infection (Villacres et al., 2000).

The CD122hi populations induced by rSIN in IL-15 mice expressed the classical CD44hi CD62Llo phenotype (Fig. 4C). CD44hi CD122hi CD8+ T cells comprised ~20% of CD8+ T cells, constituting a 10-fold increase compared to naive mice. By contrast, this population only increased slightly (from 17% to 22%) in infected wt mice. Furthermore, while the majority (72%) of CD122hi CD8+ T cells in IL-15−/− mice lacked CD62L expression, only 33% of CD122hi CD8+ T cells in wt mice expressed a CD62Llo− phenotype (Fig. 4C). This population presumably reflects recently activated cells, as CD62L is down-regulated during activation and remains low on a substantial population of memory CD8+ T cells (Tripp et al., 1995; Wherry et al.,
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Fig. 2. Effector functions of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in IL-15−/− mice. Splenocytes were obtained from vJS510 or SINJS510 immunized mice as described in Fig. 1. (A) Cells were stimulated for 5–6 h in the presence of 1 μM S510 peptide and stained for surface CD8 and production of intracellular IFN-γ. Dot plots, gated on CD8+ T cells, are representative of three to four individual mice. IFN-γ CD8+ T cells are confined by a rectangle and their percentages within CD8+ T cells indicated. IFN-γ staining of unstimulated CD8+ T cells was below 0.5%. (B) Splenocytes from vJS510 immunized mice harvested at day 5 pi were cultured in the presence of 1 μM peptide S510 and tested for S510-specific cytolytic activity at day 4 (left panel) and day 6 (right panel) using S510 peptide-coated or untreated EL-4 cells as targets. Lysis of untreated targets was below 5%. Data are representative of two independent experiments.
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Fig. 3. Clearance of rVV is not impaired in IL-15−/− mice. Wt (solid squares) and IL-15−/− mice (open circles) were infected intraperitoneally with 5 × 106 PFU vJS510. Ovaries were obtained at the indicated time points and virus titers measured by plaque assays on BSC-1 cells. Each symbol represents the log-transformed virus titer of an individual mouse. The dashed line represents limit of detection. Data are representative of two experiments.
Reversion of a subset of virus-specific memory cells to the CD62L<sup>hi</sup> phenotype (Wherry et al., 2003) suggests that the CD122<sup>hi</sup> CD62L<sup>hi</sup> subset in wt naive mice (Fig. 4A) represents preestablished memory cells. The more prominent expansion/accumulation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with a classic CD44<sup>hi</sup> CD122<sup>hi</sup> CD62L<sup>lo/−</sup> activation phenotype in IL-15<sup>−/−</sup> compared to wt mice was also observed following rVV infection (data not shown).

**Increased decline of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses in the absence of IL-15**

IL-15 has been suggested to provide CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with resistance to activation-induced cell death or apoptosis (Marks-Konczalik et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2002). To determine how the absence of IL-15 influenced the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell contraction phase following viral infection, the relative proportion of CD44<sup>hi</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells was measured throughout the acute response to rVV infection. Total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell percentages in IL-15<sup>−/−</sup> mice increased threefold by day 6 postinfection (pi), reaching levels similar to those found in wt mice (Fig. 5). CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell decline was already clearly evident by day 8 pi and by day 10 pi had decreased to ~50% of those in wt mice. The increase in total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in IL-15<sup>−/−</sup> mice at day 6 pi was largely attributed to activated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Relative to naive mice, the overall increase in activation marker expression was more prominent on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells derived from IL-15<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Whereas
the CD44^hi subset increased 20-fold in IL-15^−/− mice by day 6 pi, it increased only eightfold in wt mice (Fig. 5A). The progressive decline in CD8^+ T cells in IL-15^−/− mice by day 12 pi correlated with an increased loss of CD44^hi CD8^+ T cells in IL-15^−/− versus wt mice. Similar to increased CD44 expression, CD122 expression was maximal at ~70% of CD8^+ T cells by day 6 pi, independent of IL-15 (Fig. 5B). However, the CD122^hi phenotype was lost more rapidly than the CD44^hi phenotype in both groups. The rapid increase and subsequent decrease of CD122^+ CD8^+ T cells in infected IL-15^−/− mice are emphasized by presenting total numbers per spleen (Fig. 5C).

**Rapid reactivation of memory epitope-specific CD8^+ T cells**

Despite the importance of IL-15 as a survival factor for CD8^+ T cell homeostatic memory (Becker et al., 2002), recent studies established a subset of IL-15-independent memory CD8^+ T cells (Judge et al., 2002). The magnitude of S510-specific memory CD8^+ T cell responses, and their recall responses, was thus examined in a secondary challenge model. Following either rVV or rSIN infection, the relative percentages within total CD8^+ T cells were low, but not significantly different between mouse groups (Fig. 6). However, total S510-specific CD8^+ T cells per spleen were ~50% decreased in IL-15^−/− mice compared to wt mice at 6 weeks pi, consistent with the lower frequencies of CD8^+ T cells in naive IL-15^−/− mice. Furthermore, there were no significant differences in the ability of S510-specific CD8^+ T cells from IL-15^−/− mice to produce IFN-γ as assessed by IC staining (data not shown). Analysis of activation markers revealed a similar discrepancy in CD44^hi CD122^hi populations as in naive mice. The relative populations of CD62L^−/lo CD8^+ T cells were slightly higher in IL-15^−/− mice (data not shown).

To assess defects in anti-viral function of memory CD8^+ T cells in the absence of IL-15, rSIN primed mice were challenged with vJS510. IL-15^−/− mice revealed no impairment in memory CD8^+ T cell expansion compared to wt mice (Fig. 7A). Total numbers of tetramer^+ CD8^+ T cells were prominent by day 4 pi, comprising ~20% and ~35% of CD8^+ T cells in wt and IL-15^−/− mice, respectively. Numbers further increased slightly to day 6 pi, when tetramer percentages were maximal within the CD8 population. Total numbers remained elevated through day 10 postchallenge. Similar to the primary response, CD8^+ T cell activation coincided with a more prominent increase of CD122^hi cells in IL-15^−/− mice. Furthermore, frequencies of antigen-specific IFN-γ secreting CD8^+ T cells were similar in challenged IL-15^−/− and wt mice, suggesting no defects in functional responsiveness (Fig. 7B). In contrast to the primary rVV response, secondary CD8^+ T cell responses in rSIN primed mice were associated with ex vivo S510-specific cytolytic activity in both wt and IL-15^−/− mice (Fig. 7C). Consistent with a higher percentage of tetramer^+ CD8^+ T cells in IL-15^−/− mice, cytolysis was also elevated compared to wt mice at day 6 pi. Adjustment of E:T ratios to tetramer^+ CD8^+ T cells further indicated that enhanced cytolytic activity was not solely explicable by higher frequencies, suggesting increased cytolytic capacity at the single cell level. Nevertheless, increased cytolytic activity was transient in the IL-15^−/− mice and decreased to levels below those exhibited by cells from wt mice by day 8 pi. By day 10 pi, ex vivo cytolytic activity had decreased to barely detectable levels in both groups (Fig. 7C). Despite efficient
expansion and expression of effector function by memory cells in IL-15−/− mice, viral clearance was delayed compared to wt mice (Fig. 8). Although replication at all time points was reduced in both groups compared to naive mice (see Fig. 3), residual virus was still detectable in both ovaries of all challenged IL-15−/− mice by day 14 pi. In contrast, the majority of wt mice had cleared virus to levels below detection by day 12 pi. Similar results were obtained when mice were primed with a 10-fold higher dose of SINJS510. Thus, rapid, but transient accumulation of S510-specific CD8+ T cells upon challenge is insufficient to provide optimal viral protection in the absence of IL-15. The reduced frequency of epitope-specific memory CD8+ T cells, in addition to their apparent premature loss of cytolytic function, may contribute to the delayed viral clearance. IL-15-independent memory CD8+ T cells are
Mice primed with 5 (Manjunath et al., 2001). While IL-2 is a growth factor, it T cells stimulated by IL-15 resemble memory cells cell activation and expression of effector markers, whereas activation. However, IL-2 signaling induces high levels of T IL-2 and IL-15 support T cell proliferation after in vitro thus functional but appear to have less sustained responsiveness upon secondary antigen exposure.

Discussion

IL-15 and IL-2 share many biological activities but play disparate roles in homeostasis of both activated and memory CD8+ T cells (Ku et al., 2000; Waldman et al., 2001). Both IL-2 and IL-15 support T cell proliferation after in vitro activation. However, IL-2 signaling induces high levels of T cell activation and expression of effector markers, whereas T cells stimulated by IL-15 resemble memory cells (Manjunath et al., 2001). While IL-2 is a growth factor, it also down-regulates highly activated CD8+ T cells by enhancing activation-induced cell death (Cousens et al., 1995; Kundig et al., 1993, Marks-Konczalik et al., 2000; Waldman et al., 2001). By contrast, IL-15 plays a minor role in CD8+ T cell expansion but contributes significantly to proliferative renewal and survival of memory CD8+ T cells (Becker et al., 2002; Schluns et al., 2002). The reduction of primary CD8+ T cell responses to VSV infection (Schluns et al., 2002), but not LCMV or MHV-68 infection (Becker et al., 2002; Obar et al., 2004) in IL-15−/− mice, suggested that the type of viral infection may influence IL-15-dependent CD8+ T cell activation. Indeed, distinct viruses induce different levels of IL-15 in human PMBC (Fawaz et al., 1999), suggesting that the immune system may have adapted to different types of viral infections by distinct IL-15 and NK cell-dependent responses.

To uncover potential IL-15-dependent differences in primary as well as secondary CD8+ T cell responses to distinct viruses, rVV and rSIN expressing the heterologous S510 CD8+ T cell epitope were tested as vaccine vectors. Similar frequencies of S510-specific cells in CD8+ splenocytes from rVV-infected IL-15−/− and wt mice support the notion that the absence of IL-15 does not impede CD8+ T cell responses to a viral infection associated with potent inflammatory responses. Although alphaviruses are strong inducers of type I interferons (Ryman et al., 2000) and thus IL-15 expression (Mattei et al., 2001; Nguyen et al., 2002), the absence of IL-15 also did not impair S510-specific CD8+ T cell induction following rSIN immunization. Nevertheless, reduced absolute numbers of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells per spleen following VSV (Schluns et al., 2002) and rSIN infection of IL-15−/− mice suggest that IL-15 may play a more prominent role in these viral infections compared to rVV and LCMV (Becker et al., 2002).

Efficient primary CD8+ T cell responses to viral infection in the absence of IL-15 coincided with a high percentage of activated CD122hi CD44hi CD62Llo/− CD8+ cells compared to wt mice. Naive CD44hi CD8+ T cells express little CD122 in either uninfected IL-15−/− or wt mice. Therefore, substantially increased numbers of CD122hi CD8+ T cells during both infections relative to naive mice likely reflects a compensatory mechanism. The CD122 IL-2R/IL-15R β chain is uniquely shared by IL-2 and IL-15 (Fehninger and Caligiuri, 2000; Nelson and Willerford, 1998), suggesting the possibility of enhanced IL-2 responsiveness in the absence of competing IL-15. Both rVV and rSIN induce substantial IL-2 secretion by CD4+ T cells (Villacres et al., 2000), likely promoting CD8+ T cell expansion. This notion is supported by increased IL-2Rα chain (CD25) expression on CD8+ T cells derived from rVV in either uninfected IL-15−/− or wt mice (data not shown). A subset of the CD122hi population may constitute CD8+ T cells specific for the vector virus in the case of rVV; however, SIN-induced responses have not been identified in H-2b mice (Müllbacher and Blanden, 1978). The observation that the CD122hi population substantially exceeded the S510-specific population thus implies that CD122 up-regulation not only reflects virus-specific CD8+ T cells, but indicates a bystander effect, potentially enhancing proliferation of heterologous memory CD8+ T cells. Despite an apparent role for CD122 during CD8+ T cell activation, IL-2- and IL-15-independent proliferation and differentiation of CD8+ T cells were recently demonstrated using OT-1 TCR-transgenic T cells (Teague et al., 2004). Furthermore, rVV infection of mice deficient in both IL-2 and IL-15 signaling induced surprisingly effective antigen-specific cell expansion (Yu et al., 2003). Although these data substantiate cytokine redundancy in promoting CD8+ T cell activation, the absence of IL-2Rβ signaling severely impaired CD8+ T cell IFN-γ secretion (Yu et al., 2003). The redundancy of IL-15 in antigen-induced IFN-γ secretion in our results is consistent with a prominent role of IL-2, but not IL-15, in enhancing IFN-γ production during anti-viral responses (Seder et al., 1994; Su et al., 1998).

IL-2 and IL-15 have contrasting effects on antiviral CD8+ T cells during the decline phase. IL-2 induces apoptosis of activated CD8+ T cells, while IL-15 enhances resistance to apoptosis, potentially through Bcl-2 up-regulation (Marks-Konczalik et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2002).
15 amplifies differentiation into memory CD8+ T cells (Waldman et al., 2001; Yajima et al., 2002) is supported by the accelerated decline of activated CD8+ T cells in rVV-infected IL-15−/− compared to wt mice. Whether this is due to increased IL-2-driven apoptosis or the inability of IL-15 to counteract apoptosis is unclear (Wu et al., 2002). However, the disproportionately faster decline of CD122hi compared to CD44hi CD8+ T cells in IL-15−/− mice favors the latter. The presence of S510-specific memory CD8+ T cells established following either rVV or rSIN infection at 6 weeks pi in the absence of IL-15 confirmed IL-15-independent survival of a memory CD8+ T cell subset. The possibility that superior CD4+ T cell helper responses contribute to effective maintenance of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in the absence of IL-15 was excluded based on identical activation of CD4+ T cells in both types of mice throughout infection (data not shown). Thus, it remains unclear if survival of IL-15-independent antigen-specific CD8+ T cells is mediated by IL-7, which has been implicated in maintaining both naïve and memory CD8+ T cells (Goldrath et al., 2002; Kieper et al., 2002; Prlic et al., 2002).

In summary, these results demonstrate that expansion and function of activated antigen-specific CD8+ T cells are IL-15-independent following challenge with two distinct recombinant viral vectors, rVV and rSIN. Furthermore, consistent with VSV and LCMV infections (Becker et al., 2002; Selhuns et al., 2002), IL-15 was not absolutely required to establish a functional antigen-specific memory CD8+ T cell population. Efficient induction and expansion of both primary and recall CD8+ T cell responses was tightly linked with CD122 expression and down-regulation of CD62L, suggesting a transient role for IL-2 in CD8+ T cell activation in the absence of IL-15. Nevertheless, reduced protective immunity to challenge in IL-15−/− mice compared to wt mice was associated with both an accelerated loss of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells and cytolytic potency on a per cell basis. These data suggested a critical role of IL-15 in maintaining effector function in highly activated antigen-specific CD8+ T cells.

Materials and methods

Mice and infections

Homozygote C57BL/6-IL-15−/− (IL-15−/−) mice were provided by Immunex Corporation (Seattle, WA) (Kennedy et al., 2000). C57Bl/6 (B6) mice were purchased from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD) at 5–6 weeks of age. Mice were housed in an accredited animal facility at the University of Southern California and were used between 6 and 8 weeks of age. For each experiment, mice were both sex- and age-matched and groups of three to four individual mice analyzed per time point. For measurement of primary responses, mice were injected intraperitoneally with either 5 × 10^7 PFU of rVV or rSIN expressing the S510 epitope derived from the murine coronavirus spike protein, designated vJS510 or SINJS510, respectively. For secondary responses, mice primed with 5 × 10^7 PFU SINJS510 were challenged with 1 × 10^7 or 5 × 10^7 PFU vJS510 6 weeks post immunization as indicated in figure legends.

Viruses and cell lines

VJS510, a rVV expressing the Dβ restricted S510 CD8+ T cell epitope derived from murine coronavirus, was generated and titrated by plaque assay on BSC-1 cells as described previously (Bergmann et al., 1999). SINJS510 was generated by inserting hybridized oligonucleotides encoding the S510 epitope (5’CTGATGTTCTCTTGGTGAATGGGCC CCCATTTGTGA 3’ and 5’ CTAATGGGG CCACATGCGG CCCATTTCAAGAGAACACAT 3’) into the XbaI site of the parent SIN vector pTE32J (Hahn et al., 1992). SINJS510 virus was generated via transfection of BHK-21 cells with in vitro transcribed mRNA from XhoI-linearized plasmid pSINJS510 and plaque assayed on BHK-21 cells (Hahn et al., 1992). Titers of rVV in ovaries were measured by plaque assay on BSC-1 monolayers as described (Binder and Kündig, 1991).

Flow cytometry

Expression of cell surface markers on splenocytes was determined as described previously (Bergmann et al., 1999; Villacres et al., 2000). Briefly, cells were blocked with purified anti-mouse CD16/CD32 (2.4G2, Pharmingen) for 15 min at 4 °C prior to staining. For three color flow cytometric analysis, cells were stained with FITC-, PE-, or CyC-conjugated mAb at 4 °C for 30 min in PBS containing 0.1% BSA using mAb specific for CD8 (53-6.7), CD4 (GK1.5), CD19 (1D3), NK cells (clone DX5), NK1.1 (PK136), CD44 (IM7), CD62L (MEL-14), and CD122 (TM-β1) (all from BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). S510-specific CD8+ T cells were detected with PE-labeled Dβ-S510 class I tetramer as previously described (Bergmann et al., 1999). Stained cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and analyzed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). Forward and side scatter signals obtained in linear mode were used to establish a gate containing intact lymphocytes. A minimum of 2.5 × 10^5 viable cells were stained and 0.5–1 × 10^5 events per sample analyzed using CellQuestPro software (Becton Dickinson). Data represent the average of three to four individual mice per time point. Error bars indicate SD.

Intracellular (IC) IFN-γ staining and CTL assays

IC IFN-γ staining was performed as described (Murali-Krishna et al., 1998). Briefly, 1 × 10^6 spleen cells were stimulated in 200 μl of RPMI 1640 with 10% FCS, 1 μM S510 peptide, and 1 μl/ml Golgistop (BD Pharmingen) for 5
h. Cells were surface-stained with anti-CD8 mAb, fixed and permeabilized with cytotox/lyse reagents (BD Phar-Mingen) and, finally stained with anti-IFN-γ mAb (XM6.G12) according to instructions provided by the supplier (BD PharMingen). Splenocytes were evaluated for ex vivo cytolytic activity at the indicated time points postchallenge. Briefly, EL-4 (H-2b) target cells were labeled with Na51CrO4, washed, and 1 μM S510 peptide added prior to addition of effector splenocytes at various E:T ratios. Cr51 release was determined in 100 μl of supernatant following 4 h incubation at 37 °C. Specific lysis is defined as 100 × (experimental release − spontaneous release)/detergent release − spontaneous release). Spontaneous release values were <20% of total release in all experiments.
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