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Abstract. We obtain explicitly all solutions of the SU(∞) Toda field equation
with the property that the associated Einstein-Weyl space admits a 2-sphere
of divergence-free shear-free geodesic congruences. The solutions depend on an
arbitrary holomorphic function and give rise to new hyperKähler and selfdual
Einstein metrics with one dimensional isometry group. These metrics each admit
a compatible hypercomplex structure with respect to which the symmetries are
triholomorphic.

1. Introduction

LeBrun’s \( \mathcal{H} \)-space construction [8] gives a method for constructing locally, at
least in principle, a selfdual Einstein manifold \( M \) of negative scalar curvature with
a prescribed conformal infinity given by an arbitrary real analytic conformal 3-
manifold \( B \). Furthermore, the geometry of \( M \) is uniquely determined by \( B \). In
practice, however, this “filling in” construction is difficult to carry out directly,
except in the case that \( B \) is conformally flat, when the Einstein metric is the
hyperbolic metric. A more general situation in which progress can be made is the
case that \( B \) admits a compatible Einstein-Weyl structure. Hitchin [6] has shown
that in this situation, the twistor space \( Z \) of \( M \) is the projectivized cotangent
bundle of the minitwistor space \( S \) of \( B \), and consequently that there is a conformal
retraction of \( M \) onto \( B \), i.e., a conformal submersion \( M \to B \) inducing the identity
map of \( B \) at infinity. If one has enough information about \( B \) or its minitwistor
space, then one can hope to find \( M \) using this observation.

The first non-trivial examples of this construction were the Pedersen metrics on
the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) [11], where the conformal infinity is a Berger sphere with its
standard Einstein-Weyl structure [6]. However, even in these examples, \( M \) is con-
structed indirectly and then shown to be the desired 4-metric using the uniqueness
clause of the LeBrun construction. In fact, Pedersen observes that the principal
symmetry of the Berger sphere induces a Killing field on \( M \) and applies the Jones
and Tod construction [5] to show that the Einstein metric on \( M \) is given locally by
the total space of an abelian monopole over the round metric on \( S^3 \). It is then not
hard to guess which monopole does the job.

The following diagram summarizes the construction.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi & \xrightarrow{M^4} & K \\
BS^3 & \downarrow & S^3 \\
\end{array}
\]
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Here $K$ is a Killing field defining a Riemannian submersion, $\xi$ is a unit vector field generating a conformal submersion, $S^3$ is the round 3-sphere and $BS^3$ is a Berger 3-sphere. Note that we cannot take $M^4$ to be the entire 4-ball here, as $\xi$ is not globally defined on $B^4$ and $K$ vanishes at the origin. The conformal submersion $\xi$ induces an Einstein-Weyl structure on $BS^3$. A Weyl structure may be specified by giving a choice of representative $g$ for the conformal metric and a 1-form $\omega$ which defines a connection on the line bundle $L^1$ of scalars of weight one (see below). On the Berger spheres, $g$ and $\omega$ are explicitly

$$g = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + a^2(d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi)^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + a^2 \sigma_3^2$$

$$\omega = b(d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi) = b\sigma_3.$$ 

where $a, b$ are constants with $b^2 = a^2(1 - a^2)$ and the $\sigma_i$ are the usual invariant 1-forms on SU(2). The Killing field $K$ on $M^4$ is induced by the principal symmetry $\partial/\partial \psi$ on $BS^3$ and the Einstein metric on $M^4$ is given by

$$g_M = \frac{1}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{m^2 \rho^2}{1 + m^2 \rho^4} d\rho^2 + \frac{1}{4} \rho^2 \left( (1 + m^2 \rho^2)(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \frac{1 + m^2 \rho^4}{1 + m^2 \rho^2} \sigma_3^2 \right) \right].$$

This metric is complete on the unit ball, and the conformal structure extends to the conformal infinity at $\rho = 1$, which is the Berger sphere with $a^2 = 1/(1 + m^2)$.

Our aim in this paper is to generalize these metrics and the diagram (1.0) by replacing $S^3$ and $BS^3$ with other Einstein-Weyl spaces. To do this, we want to explain how the geometry of $M^4$ restricts the possible geometries of $M^4/K$. First of all, by the general theory of [13], since $M^4$ is an Einstein manifold with Killing field $K$, the Einstein metric is conformal to a scalar-flat Kähler metric. Now an Einstein-Weyl space arising as the quotient of a scalar-flat Kähler metric by a Killing field is not arbitrary [1]: it admits a shear-free twist-free geodesic congruence [12]. Let us pause to define some of these terms.

**Definition 1.1.** A Weyl space is a conformal manifold $(B^n, c)$ equipped with a torsion free connection $D$ with $Dc = 0$. (Here we view the conformal structure $c$ as a metric on $TB$ with values in the real line bundle $L^2$, where $L^{-n} = |\Lambda^n T^* B|$.) It is said to be Einstein-Weyl iff the symmetric tracefree part of the Ricci tensor of this connection vanishes.

A congruence on an oriented three-dimensional Weyl space $B^3$ is generated by a weightless unit vector field $\chi \in C^\infty(B^3, L^{-1} \otimes TB)$, i.e., $\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = 1$, where the angle brackets denote the conformal metric. The congruence is shear-free and geodesic iff

$$D\chi = \tau(id - \chi \otimes \chi) + \kappa \chi$$

and $\tau, \kappa$ are called the divergence and twist of $\chi$. They are sections of $L^{-1}$.

**Definition 1.2.** We shall say an Einstein-Weyl 3-manifold is Toda iff it admits a shear-free geodesic congruence with vanishing twist, and that it is hyperCR iff it admits a shear-free geodesic congruence with vanishing divergence.

The reason for this terminology is that if $B^3$ is Toda, there is a distinguished metric, which we call the LeBrun-Ward gauge, such that the Weyl structure may be written

$$g = e^n(dx^2 + dy^2) + dz^2$$

$$\omega = -u_z dz,$$

(1.1)
where \( u \) is a solution of the Toda field equation
\[ u_{xx} + u_{yy} + (e^u)_{zz} = 0 \]
(see [14]).

As before, \( \omega \) is the connection 1-form of the covariant derivative on \( L^1 \) given by the Weyl connection, relative to the trivialization of \( L^1 \) given by the choice of representative metric. Hence \( Dg = -2\omega \otimes g \).

On the other hand if \( B^3 \) is hyperCR, then \( \chi \) is not alone: in fact \( D^B - \kappa \ast 1 \) is a flat connection on \( L^{-1} \otimes TB \) such that the parallel weightless unit vector fields give a 2-sphere of divergence-free shear-free geodesic congruences. Each of these congruences defines a CR structure on \( B^3 \), hence the term hyperCR is introduced by analogy with hypercomplex or hyperKähler. These Einstein-Weyl spaces were called special in [3] and [4]. It was shown there that monopoles over hyperCR Einstein-Weyl spaces define hypercomplex 4-manifolds by the construction of [7].

The round metric on \( S^3 \) is Einstein, and therefore Einstein-Weyl. As such, it admits a Toda congruence on the complement of any pair of antipodal points, and also two families of hyperCR congruences. The Toda congruence is given by the geodesics joining the antipodal points, while the two hyperCR structures are given by the left and right invariant congruences respectively.

Returning now to the Pedersen metric over \( S^3 \), we see that not only is it conformally scalar-flat Kähler, but also, since \( S^3 \) is hyperCR, it admits two compatible hypercomplex structures [4,10]. We shall see later that these hypercomplex structures are also induced by a hyperCR structure on the Berger 3-sphere at infinity.

Therefore, in order to generalize the Pedersen metrics and diagram (1.0), one approach is to look for Einstein-Weyl spaces, generalizing \( S^3 \), which are both Toda and hyperCR. Our first result is that all such spaces can be found:

**Theorem 1.3.** For any holomorphic function \( h \) on an open subset of \( S^2 \), the Einstein-Weyl space given by
\[
\begin{align*}
g &= (z + h)(\bar{z} + \bar{h})g_{S^2} + dz^2 \\
\omega &= -\frac{2z + h + \bar{h}}{(z + h)(\bar{z} + \bar{h})}dz,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( g_{S^2} \) is the spherical metric, is both Toda and hyperCR. Furthermore any Toda Einstein-Weyl space admitting a hyperCR structure arises in this way, with the exception of the Toda solutions given by a parallel congruence on flat space.

We prove this theorem in section 2. Then, in sections 3 and 4, we consider monopoles over these hyperCR Toda spaces. In particular, over each such space, we find an Einstein metric with symmetry and with a conformal infinity given by another Einstein-Weyl space from a class generalizing the Berger spheres. This will give the desired generalization of diagram (1.0).
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2. **The Toda Solutions**

In order to prove Theorem 1.3 we must find all solutions of the Toda field equation admitting a hyperCR structure. As very few solutions of the Toda equation are
The general solution of this Liouville equation may be written in a gauge as $d\kappa - \omega\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}d\omega$. In the LeBrun-Ward gauge, this becomes
\[
\kappa_x dx + \kappa_y dy + (\kappa_z + u_z\kappa)dz = -\frac{1}{2}uyzdx + \frac{1}{2}uxzdy.
\]
From this we deduce the equations $\kappa_x = -\frac{1}{2}uyz$ and $\kappa_y = \frac{1}{2}uxz$ which have an integrability condition:
\[
u_{xxx} = u_{xxy} = 2\kappa'_{yz} = 2\kappa_{xy} = -uyyz = -uyyz.
\]
Therefore $0 = (u_{xx} + u_{yy})z = -(e^u)_{zzz}$ and so we may write
\[
e^u = e^{f(x,y)}(az^2 + b(x,y)z + c(x,y)).
\]
The Toda field equation with this Ansatz can be solved explicitly as follows. We compute:
\[
u_{xx} + \nu_{yy} = \frac{(b_{xx} + b_{yy})z + c_{xx} + c_{yy})(az^2 + b(z + c) - (b_xz + c_x)^2 - (b_yz + c_y)^2}{(az^2 + b(z + c)^2}
\]
\[
+ f_{xx} + f_{yy}
\]
\[
e^{u}_{zz} = 2ae^{f},
\]
which must sum to zero. We multiply through by $(az^2 + b(z + c)^2)$ and equate coefficients of the resulting quartic in $z$. The leading term is a Liouville equation:
\[
f_{xx} + f_{yy} + 2ae^{f} = 0.
\]
The general solution of this Liouville equation may be written
\[
e^{f(x,y)} = \frac{4|F'(x + iy)|^2}{(1 + a|F(x + iy)|^2)^2}
\]
in terms of an arbitrary nonconstant $F$, holomorphic in $x + iy$. The other coefficients now give the following equations:
\[
a(b_{xx} + b_{yy}) = 0
\]
\[
a(c_{xx} + c_{yy}) + b(b_{xx} + b_{yy}) = b_x^2 + b_y^2
\]
\[
b(c_{xx} + c_{yy}) + c(b_{xx} + b_{yy}) = 2(b_xc_x + b_yc_y)
\]
\[
c(c_{xx} + c_{yy}) = c_x^2 + c_y^2.
\]
If $a = 0$ then equations (2.4) and (2.6) are solved by letting $b = B|e^\phi|^2$, $c = C|e^\psi|^2$ with $B, C$ constant and $\phi, \psi$ holomorphic. Equation (2.3) now gives $B = 0$, $C = 0$ or $|\phi' - \psi'| = 0$ and so the functional dependence of $b$ and $c$ can be absorbed into $f$ and we have a separable solution for $e^u$.
If $a$ is not zero, then equations (2.3) and (2.6) give $b = a(h + \bar{h})$, $c = C|e^\psi|$, with $C$ constant and $h, \psi$ holomorphic. Equation (2.4) now gives $aC|\psi'|^2|e^\psi|^2 = a^2|h'|^2$.
and so $C/a$ is nonnegative. If $C = 0$ then $h$ is constant and we have a separable solution; otherwise, without loss of generality, we may take $C = a$ and $h = e^\psi + \mu$ where $\mu$ is a real constant. Finally, equation (2.5) reduces to $\mu|\psi'|^2 = 0$ and so either $\mu = 0$ or $\psi$ is constant, the latter case again giving a separable solution.

The separable solutions are all known and the Einstein-Weyl structures are all given by 3-metrics of constant curvature [13]: in our case the curvature must be nonnegative in order to satisfy (2.1), and these solutions, generating the metrics of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $S^3$, are the ones we are trying to generalize. The new solutions of the Toda equation are:

$$e^u = \frac{4a(z + h)(z + \overline{h})|F'|^2}{(1 + a|F|^2)^2},$$

and positivity forces $a > 0$. We readily verify that the remaining equations for $\kappa$ are satisfied with

$$\kappa = \frac{i(h - \overline{h})}{2(z + h)(z + \overline{h})},$$

and so the Einstein-Weyl space is indeed hyperCR. Since $F$ cannot be constant, we may use $\sqrt{a}F$ as a holomorphic coordinate in place of $x + iy$ and Theorem 1.3 easily follows.

3. Scalar-flat Kähler metrics

In this section we study abelian monopoles over the hyperCR Toda spaces. On any Toda space $B$, the monopole equation in the LeBrun-Ward gauge is

$$w_{xx} + w_{yy} + (e^u w)_{zz} = 0.$$

LeBrun [9] shows that each solution of this equation generates a scalar-flat Kähler metric with a Killing field, given explicitly by

$$g_M = w e^u (dx^2 + dy^2) + wdz^2 + w^{-1}(dt + \theta)^2,$$

where $\theta$ is a 1-form on $B$ with $*(dw - \omega w) = d\theta$.

Consequently we can construct a large family of scalar-flat Kähler metrics from the Einstein-Weyl spaces of section 3. Since the Einstein-Weyl spaces are hyperCR, these scalar-flat Kähler metrics admit compatible hypercomplex structures such that the symmetry $\partial/\partial t$ is triholomorphic. For most choices of $h$, the hyperCR Toda spaces have no continuous symmetries, and so these scalar-flat Kähler spaces will generically have only a one-dimensional symmetry group, generated by $\partial/\partial t$.

In order to obtain explicit metrics, we still have a linear differential equation, the monopole equation, to solve. Fortunately, there are some interesting solutions available, given to us for free by the geometry. These solutions may be viewed as arising from LeBrun’s observation [3] that the monopole equation above is the linearized Toda equation, and so monopoles can be found by linearizing a family of solutions of the Toda equation. In particular, the affine change $(x, y, z) \mapsto (ax, ay, az - b)$ induces a symmetry of the Toda equation. Linearizing a family of solutions generated by this gauge transformation shows that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a(1 - \frac{1}{2}zu_z) + \frac{1}{2}bu_z$ defines a monopole on any Toda Einstein-Weyl space [1, 3, 5, 9, 13].

For our explicit solutions, these monopoles may also be obtained by linearizing with respect to affine changes of the holomorphic function $h$. Ian Strachan (private
communication) has pointed out that by linearizing the solutions with respect to arbitrary holomorphic changes of $h$, one sees, more generally, that

$$w = \frac{f}{2(z + h)} + \frac{\overline{f}}{2(z + \overline{h})}$$

is a monopole for any holomorphic function $f$ ($f = ah + b$ being a special case). To compute $\theta$ note that $\ast(dw - \omega w) = d(v dz) + \frac{1}{2}(f + \overline{f}) \text{vol}_{S^2}$ where

$$v = \frac{if}{2(z + h)} - \frac{i\overline{f}}{2(z + \overline{h})},$$

Hence one can write $dt + \theta = \beta + v dz$, where $\beta$ is a 1-form independent of $z$ such that $d\beta = \frac{1}{2}(f + \overline{f}) \text{vol}_{S^2}$, so that the scalar-flat Kähler metric is:

$$(3.1) \quad g_M = w(z + h)(z + \overline{h}) g_{S^2} + wdz^2 + w^{-1}(\beta + v dz)^2.$$

For definiteness, one could take

$$\beta = dt + \frac{i}{1 + \zeta \overline{\zeta}} \left( \frac{f d\zeta}{\zeta} - \frac{\overline{f} d\overline{\zeta}}{\overline{\zeta}} \right)$$

where $\zeta$ is a holomorphic coordinate on $S^2$ with $\text{vol}_{S^2} = 2i d\zeta \wedge d\overline{\zeta}/(1 + \zeta \overline{\zeta})^2$.

These scalar-flat Kähler metrics will not be Einstein or conformally Einstein in general. However, they do have the property that the lift of $\partial/\partial z$ given by $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(f + \overline{f}) \text{vol}_{S^2}$ defines a conformal submersion. To see this, write the conformal structure on $M$ as $c = (\varepsilon_0)^2 + \cdots + (\varepsilon_3)^2$, where $\varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_3$ are the weightless unit 1-forms corresponding to $w dz$ and $\beta + v dz$. Let $\xi$ be the weightless unit 1-form dual to $\partial/\partial z$, so that

$$\xi = \frac{w\varepsilon_0 + v\varepsilon_3}{\sqrt{w^2 + v^2}}.$$

Now $\varepsilon_0^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 - \xi^2 = \eta^2$, where

$$\eta = \frac{v\varepsilon_0 - w\varepsilon_3}{\sqrt{w^2 + v^2}} = \frac{w\beta}{\sqrt{w^2 + v^2}}.$$

Hence $c - \xi^2$ may be represented by the metric

$$(w^2 + v^2)|z + h|^2 g_{S^2} + \beta^2 = |f|^2 g_{S^2} + \beta^2,$$

which is independent of $z$, so that $\xi$ is a conformal submersion over this metric.

The conformal structures $|f|^2 g_{S^2} + \beta^2$, depending on an arbitrary holomorphic function $f$, arise elsewhere, namely in the classification of Einstein-Weyl spaces admitting a continuous symmetry (a conformal vector field preserving the Weyl connection) with geodesic orbits:

**Theorem 3.1.** The three dimensional Einstein-Weyl spaces with geodesic symmetry are either flat with translational symmetry or are given locally by:

$$g = |H|^{-2} g_{S^2} + \beta^2$$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}(H - \overline{H}) \beta$$

$$d\beta = \frac{1}{2}(H + \overline{H}) |H|^{-2} \text{vol}_{S^2}$$

where $H$ is any nonvanishing holomorphic function on an open subset of $S^2$. The geodesic symmetry $K$ is dual to $\beta$ and the monopoles associated to $K/K$ are
\[ \tau = \frac{i}{2}(H - \bar{H}) \mu_g^{-1}, \quad \kappa = \frac{i}{2}(H + \bar{H}) \mu_g^{-1}. \] Furthermore, these spaces are all hyperCR, the flat connection on \( L^{-1} \otimes TB \) being \( D^B + \kappa \ast 1 \).

Replacing \( H \) by \( 1/f \) we see that the scalar flat Kähler metrics of this section fibre over the Einstein-Weyl spaces with geodesic symmetry. In the next section we shall fill in these Einstein-Weyl spaces with Einstein metrics.

4. Selfdual Einstein metrics

In the previous section we noted in passing, that when \( f = ah + b \), the monopoles \( w = \frac{1}{2} (f/(z+h) + \bar{f}/(z+\bar{h})) \) may be identified with the geometrically significant monopoles \( a(1 - \frac{1}{2}zu_z) + \frac{1}{2}bu_z \) which are canonically defined on any Toda Einstein-Weyl space. There is also a special monopole defined on any hyperCR space \[ \[3, 4\], namely \( \kappa \), as one easily sees from equation (2.2). We note that for the hyperCR Toda spaces, this monopole is obtained by setting \( f = i \).

The significance of these monopoles is that they all give rise to Einstein metrics.

4.1. Scalar-flat Kähler metrics which are conformally hyperKähler.

By \[ \[3, 4\], the hypercomplex structure we obtain from the \( \kappa \) monopole is conformally hyperKähler, and the symmetry \( \partial/\partial t \) is a triholomorphic homothetic vector field of the hyperKähler metric. Hence when \( f = i \), the scalar-flat Kähler metric \[ \[1.1\] is conformally Ricci-flat. The Einstein-Weyl space with geodesic symmetry obtained from the conformal submersion \( \xi \) is \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) (with radial symmetry).

4.2. HyperKähler metrics with compatible hypercomplex structures.

By \[ \[1, 3, 3\], on any Toda space, the scalar-flat Kähler metric corresponding to the monopole \( u_z \) is in fact Ricci-flat and therefore hyperKähler: the symmetry \( \partial/\partial t \) is a Killing field of the hyperKähler metric, but is not triholomorphic unless the Toda space is \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) (with a translational congruence). However, in the case of a hyperCR Toda space, this Ricci-flat metric admits another compatible hypercomplex structure with respect to which the symmetry \textit{is} triholomorphic, and so we have nontrivial examples of selfdual spaces with two compatible hypercomplex structures. In summary, when \( f = 1 \), the scalar-flat Kähler metric \[ \[1.1\] is hyperKähler with a Killing vector and an additional hypercomplex structure. The Einstein-Weyl space with geodesic symmetry obtained from the conformal submersion \( \xi \) is \( S^3 \) (with symmetry given by a Hopf fibration).

4.3. Selfdual Einstein metrics with negative scalar curvature.

These are the most interesting examples for us as they generalize diagram (1.0). The article \[ \[13\] implies that, for any \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \), the scalar-flat Kähler metric generated by the monopole \( a(1 - \frac{1}{2}zu_z) + \frac{1}{2}bu_z \) on any Toda space is conformal to an Einstein metric with scalar curvature \(-3a\), via the conformal factor \( 1/(az - b)^2 \). For \( a = 0 \) these are the hyperKähler metrics discussed in \[ \[1.2\] above, and for \( a \neq 0 \) we can set \( b = 0 \) by translating the \( z \) coordinate. For our explicit solutions, this corresponds to adding a real constant to \( h \). Thus when \( f = h \), i.e.,

\[ w = \frac{1}{2}(h + \bar{h})z + h\bar{h}, \quad \frac{(z + h)(z + \bar{h})}{}, \]
the scalar-flat Kähler metric (3.1) is conformal to an Einstein metric with negative scalar curvature, given explicitly by

\[
\frac{1}{z^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(h + \overline{h}) z + \overline{h} \overline{h} dz^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2}(h + \overline{h}) z + \overline{h} \right) g_{S^2} + \frac{(z + h)(z + \overline{h})}{2(h + \overline{h}) z + \overline{h}} (dt + \theta)^2 \right].
\]

This has a conformal infinity at \(z = 0\) with conformal metric \(|h|^2 g_{S^2} + \beta^2\), where \(dt + \theta = \beta - \kappa z \, dz\) and so \(d\beta = \frac{1}{2} (h + \overline{h}) \text{vol}_{S^2}\).

Hence we see that we have found the selfdual Einstein metrics \(M\) filling in every Einstein-Weyl space admitting a geodesic symmetry.

We recover the Berger spheres by taking \(h\) to be constant. The form of the Einstein metrics we have found is easily related to the Pedersen family by putting \(h = 1 + im\) and setting \(z = (1 - \rho^2)/\rho^2\).

5. Additional remarks

We have shown that applying the LeBrun construction to an Einstein-Weyl space with geodesic symmetry gives an Einstein metric with compatible hypercomplex structure fibering over the general hyperCR Toda space.

The Einstein-Weyl spaces with geodesic symmetry are all hyperCR and this gives an explanation for the hypercomplex structure coming with our Einstein metrics. The twistor point of view gives a particularly quick way to see this: a hyperCR structure on an Einstein-Weyl space \(B\) corresponds to a holomorphic map from its minitwistor space \(S\) to \(\mathbb{C}P^1\). Composing this with the projection from \(PT^*S\) to \(S\), we see that the twistor space \(Z\) of \(M\) has a holomorphic map to \(\mathbb{C}P^1\). Thus applying the LeBrun construction to a hyperCR Einstein-Weyl space always gives a hypercomplex Einstein space.

There are many more hyperCR spaces than the spaces with geodesic symmetry arising here, but it will be much harder to fill them in explicitly, since the Einstein metric may no longer have a symmetry, so that it is harder to find indirectly. It is perhaps easier to ask how other Einstein-Weyl spaces with symmetry fill in. Indeed, it may be that there are other hyperCR spaces with symmetry where the symmetry is not geodesic, in which case we would obtain selfdual Einstein metrics with a hypercomplex structure and a symmetry which is not triholomorphic. However, even in this case, it is not clear how to solve the Toda equation.

In summary, we note that we can generalize and augment diagram (1.0) as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xi \downarrow M^4 \\
B^3 \rightleftarrows K
\end{array}
\]

For the lower part of the diagram, we use the fact that the Killing field \(K\) on \(M^4\) descends to the geodesic symmetry of \(B^3\), and \(\partial/\partial z\) is a conformal submersion on the hyperCR Toda space \(\tilde{B}^3\), since it is shear-free. The surface over which \(B^3\) and \(\tilde{B}^3\) both fibre appears to come with a natural spherical metric and so it would seem that it is the geometry of \(S^2\) which lies behind our constructions.
EINSTEIN METRICS AND THE TODA FIELD EQUATION

REFERENCES

[1] C. P. Boyer and J. D. Finley, *Killing vectors in self-dual Euclidean Einstein spaces*, J. Math. Phys 23 (1982) 1126–1130.

[2] D. M. J. Calderbank and H. Pedersen, *Selfdual spaces with complex structures, Einstein-Weyl geometry and geodesics*, Preprint MS-98-12, University of Edinburgh, 1998.

[3] T. Chave, K. P. Tod and G. Valent, *(4, 0) and (4, 4) sigma models with a triholomorphic Killing vector*, Phys. Lett. B 383 (1996) 262–270.

[4] P. Gauduchon and K. P. Tod, *Hyperhermitian metrics with symmetry*, J. Geom. Phys. 25 (1998) 291–304.

[5] J. D. Gegenberg and A. Das, *Stationary Riemannian space-times with self-dual curvature*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation 16 (1984) 817–829.

[6] N. J. Hitchin, *Complex manifolds and Einstein equations*, in: Twistor Geometry and Non-linear Systems (eds H. D. Doebner and T. D. Palev), Lecture Notes in Math. 970 (Springer, Berlin, 1982) 79–99.

[7] P. E. Jones and K. P. Tod, *Minitwistor spaces and Einstein-Weyl spaces*, Class. Quantum Grav. 2 (1985) 565–577.

[8] C. R. LeBrun, *H-space with a cosmological constant*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 380 (1982) 171–185.

[9] C. R. LeBrun, *Explicit self-dual metrics on \(\mathbb{C}P^2\# \cdots \#\mathbb{C}P^2\)*, J. Diff. Geom. 34 (1991) 223–253.

[10] A. B. Madsen, *Einstein-Weyl structures in the conformal classes of LeBrun metrics*, Class. Quantum Grav. 14 (1997) 2635–2645.

[11] H. Pedersen, *Einstein metrics, spinning top motions and monopoles*, Math. Ann. 274 (1986) 35–39.

[12] K. P. Tod, *Scalar-flat Kähler and hyper-Kähler metrics from Painlevé-III*, Class. Quantum Grav. 12 (1995) 1535–1547.

[13] K. P. Tod, *The SU(∞)-Toda field equation and special four-dimensional metrics*, in: Geometry and Physics (eds J. E. Andersen, J. Dupont, H. Pedersen and A. Swann, Marcel Dekker, New York 1997), 307–312.

[14] R. S. Ward, *Einstein-Weyl spaces and SU(∞) Toda fields*, Class. Quantum Grav. 7 (1990) L95–L98.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Edinburgh, King’s Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, Scotland.

E-mail address: davidmjc@maths.ed.ac.uk

Mathematics Institute, University of Oxford, 24–29 St Giles, Oxford OX1 3LB, England.

E-mail address: tod@maths.ox.ac.uk