INTRODUCTION

Racism has long been recognized as a principal determinant of health inequity, but recent events have galvanized local and state leaders across Ohio to declare racism a public health emergency. In addition to the renewed call to racial justice, sustained progress will require ongoing measurement to determine which anti-racist efforts are working, and why. In this review, we present existing measures categorized by 3 dimensions of racism that interact and build off of one another: (1) systemic racism, considering the health effects of policies in housing, voting, criminal legal system, economic opportunity, and health care; (2) interpersonal racism, and measures of provider bias and cultural competency; (3) internalized racism, measured as allostatic stress and heightened vigilance in distinct contexts. After identifying knowledge gaps, we developed a racism measurement framework that more comprehensively depicts the disparities caused by racism within Ohio and can be used to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of anti-racist efforts implemented across the state. As such, this framework provides not only a call for action against racism in Ohio, but an opportunity for organizations to measure the extent to which efforts have intervened on supposedly entrenched pathways to health inequities and disparities caused by racism.
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search do not challenge the underlying white framing (“the overarching worldview that encompasses important racial ideas, terms, images, emotion and interpretation, and lens by which white supremacy is perpetuated”) of racial disparities in health outcomes, and call upon researchers to develop research questions and methodologies that “take apart and critically analyze health inequities within the context of the white racial frame.”

Our aim in this paper is to propose a measurement framework with a series of metrics that captures the multidimensionality of racism and its effects on health. The framework is intended to provide a comprehensive list of indicators to inform monitoring and evaluation of anti-racist strategies that tackle social and health deficits attributed to racism.

**METHODODOLOGY**

Racism is “a system of structuring opportunity and assigning value based on the social interpretation of how one looks, that unfairly disadvantages some individuals and communities, unfairly advantages other individuals and communities, and saps the strength of the whole society through the waste of human resources.” It is important to note that multiple definitions of racism exist; however, most consider racism to be multidimensional and functioning on multiple levels. Figure 1 illustrates our conceptual model of racism that draws heavily from Jones’ theoretic framework and reflects dimensions of systemic racism, interpersonal racism, and internalized racism—and their points of intersection. We began our narrative review by reflecting on seminal articles of “Race and Health” as well as articles that emerged using the simple search term of “racism measurement.”

This conceptual model is informed by a review of the literature and reflects the established multidimensionality of racism, often organized into 3 distinct, yet overlapping, domains: systemic, interpersonal, and internalized. The measurement framework is developed to characterize the levels of racism, the impacts of racism, and the hypothesized pathways between racism and health outcomes. The framework can be used to develop a call for action to prioritize strategic planning goals and interventions that tackle racism at multiple levels and to monitor and evaluate whether those efforts are creating sustainable changes towards health equity.
Gaps in measurement were identified from the literature as either a dimension where no metric was found or a metric with substantial quality concerns. Lastly, we present recommendations for how this framework can be applied in the public health context in Ohio to understand health disparities and assess the effectiveness of anti-racism activities across multiple dimensions of racism.

MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK FOR RACISM

Systemic Racism

Systemic racism refers to the control of and access to labor, material, and symbolic resources within a society along racial lines. It is comprised of (1) structural racism, which involves the cumulative and compounding effects of an array of societal factors, including the history, culture, ideology, and interactions of institutions and policies that systematically privilege White people and disadvantage people of color; and (2) institutional racism, which refers to the unfair policies and discriminatory practices of particular institutions, such as schools and workplaces, that routinely produce racially inequitable outcomes for people of color and advantages for White people. It represents “the ways in which societies foster discrimination through mutually reinforcing inequitable systems...creating interconnected systems that embed inequities” in practices, laws, and policies. As such, systemic racism can be measured as the effects of policies on health (access and outcomes). In this section, we provide a brief overview of examples of racist policies and measures that track their impact on health outcomes. There are a number of well-established metrics for systemic racism in the policy sectors of housing, voting, criminal legal, economic opportunity, and health care, and Table 1 demonstrates how these metrics are linked to the SDoH and health outcomes.

Housing

Residential housing patterns are the most well-studied metric of systemic racism. Residential segregation affects socioeconomic status (SES) and social mobility, as residence determines access to education and employment opportunities. America’s history of systematic and institutionalized racism led to “redlining” whereby neighborhoods were color-coded on maps, with communities of color designated as undesirable and color-coded red, making it more difficult and expensive for Black Americans to obtain loans and purchase homes. In 1937, the Home Owners’ Loan Corporation redlining maps codified racial residential segregation into federal policy and practice from the 1940s through the 1960s, where redlining remains at the heart of modern residential segregation metrics. For instance, contemporary Census tracts have been classified by the redlining maps to measure the Black-White disparity in odds of mortgage loan denial.

Metrics. Two established measures include (1) index of dissimilarity, which is the proportion of Blacks or Whites who would have to move out of their neighborhood to achieve an even distribution, or complete integration; and (2) isolation, which is the degree to which Blacks have potential contact with non-Blacks.

Voting

Measures of systemic racism in voting policy are outlined below. It is necessary to consider the effects of voter suppression laws, which are known to disproportionately affect people of color. As an example, 70% of voters in Georgia that were purged from the voter registration rolls were Black, and across the country, 1 in 13 Black Americans cannot vote because of restrictive measures that have disproportionate effects.

Metrics. Established measures in voting policy include proportions of Blacks to Whites who (1) were registered to vote, (2) actually voted, and (3) were elected to the state legislature.

Criminal Legal

Racial disparities in arrests and incarceration contribute to disparities in health outcomes caused by racism, with a recent study indicating that a greater number of criminal legal contacts among Black individuals contributes to more depressive symptoms, compared to White individuals. We also must understand how the outcomes of the criminal legal system vary based on the color of the victim. Studies of execution outcomes point to inequity of Black and White lives, as defendants convicted of killing White victims were executed at a rate 17 times greater than those convicted of killing Black victims.

Metrics. Measures of racism in the criminal legal system include proportions of Blacks to Whites who were (1) incarcerated, (2) disenfranchised felons, and (3) on death row.

Economic Opportunity

There is a strong correlation between the racial opportunity gap and the racial mortality gap, reflecting how differences in health outcomes are tied to differences in income, poverty, unemployment, and education. When considering the effects of racism on economic opportunity, it is necessary to consider the generational accumulation of wealth and privilege. Wealth disparities stem from policies that perpetuated racial divides in the labor market, namely the New Deal’s Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 that largely excluded workers of color from its labor protections. While this policy has been expanded, agricultural and domestic workers are still exempt from many protections, where racial and ethnic minorities comprise 58-70% of the workforce.

Metrics. Established measures of economic opportunity include (1) earnings rank gap, which is the sex-specific difference between a Black individual’s percentile in the Black earnings distribution and the position in the White earnings distribution; (2) racial opportunity gap, which is the sex-specific difference in income percentile ranking in adulthood between Black and White children born to families at the same income level in the same county; and (3) proportions of Blacks to Whites who were (a) employed, (b) in the labor force, (c) in executive or managerial positions, (d) in professional specialties, and (e) college-educated.
Health care

To assess systemic racism in health care policy, we consider racial biases in coverage and services received by both public and private insurers. In terms of coverage, the proportion of physicians participating in Medicaid is declining, influencing what health services exist in a given locale.46,47 Restricted health access for Medicaid patients disproportionately affects racial and ethnic minorities, who are 2.5 times more likely to have Medicaid coverage than non-Hispanic Whites.46,47 To add to the disparity, we see that White patients receive better quality of care than Black patients.48,49

Furthermore, health systems that rely on commercial prediction algorithms can exhibit significant racial bias, with Black patients having significantly greater illness burden than Whites at the same level of algorithm-predicted risk.50 Similarly, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act allocated funds to hospitals as a function of their past revenue, which disproportionately affected Black counties, who received the same level of relief funding as counties with lower COVID-19 burden and financial need.51 Such racial biases arise because existing algorithms predict health care costs rather than illness, but health care costs are a poor proxy for health care needs because health-related expenditures are impacted by barriers to health care access. Notably, barriers to health care access may be further worsened in the transition to telehealth with the additional barriers of the digital divide and telehealth literacy, resulting in reduced use of health services among Black patients.52

Metrics. Measures include (1) proportions of physicians participating in Medicaid by county racial demographics or neighborhood segregation indices, (2) illness burden at same level of algorithm-predicted risk47,50 and (3) digital divide associated with telehealth.52

Interpersonal Racism

As previously noted, there is a considerable distinction between needing and receiving health care, which provides insight into interpersonal racism in health care. Interpersonal racism, whether intentional or unintentional, refers to discriminatory practices and beliefs directed at persons in the targeted social group by persons in the privileged, or dominant, social group, taking the form of suspicion, devaluation, scapegoating, and dehumanization.29,53 Interpersonal racism and systemic racism often overlap because these interpersonal practices and beliefs become embedded in structural relations.29 This interplay between interpersonal and systemic racism arises from power dynamics and extends across multiple sectors, with studies highlighting the negative effects of subtle indicators of racial identity on employers’ perceptions of qualifications, competence, and “professionalism.”54–56 Health care provider bias has particularly salient effects on health outcomes, as providers’ perceptions regarding patients’ value, self-reliance, competence, and deservingness lead to inequitable medical testing, referrals, treatment, procedures, and pain management.57–59

Providers’ implicit biases are particularly pernicious towards Black women, often reinforcing stereotypes of Black women being uneducated, licentious, problematic drug users, with an overdependence on public assistance.60–62 Biases are present in more subtle ways, including exhibiting fewer positive, rapport-building nonverbal cues with Black patients.63

Interpersonal racism, in conjunction with systemic racism, contributes to the already disparate health outcomes in Ohio. It is important to note that the purpose of measurement is not to merely document disparities but to incite anti-racist action by identifying opportunities for intervention.64,65 Recognizing that these discrepancies in health outcomes are the result of social barriers, with provider bias being among the most frequently cited barrier,66 medical education and health systems have adopted cultural competency training as a standard of practice, evidenced by the national standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) in health care.67 Many studies, however, have deemed cultural competency training inadequate, and others have noted its ability to ignore oppressive structures of power and to compound cultural, ethnic, and racial stereotypes.68–71 As an alternative to cultural competency training, structural competency has been proposed as a framework for responding to social, political, and economic structures that influence the health of patients.70,72

The Structural Vulnerability Assessment Tool was developed for clinicians to take inventory of patient vulnerability and, in this way, can minimize the influence of provider biases on patient care plans.68

Metrics. Health services metrics provide a reliable means of capturing interpersonal racism between patients and providers as well as the interplay between interpersonal and systemic racism. Such metrics include patient satisfaction with health services and perceived quality of care; trust in health system and professionals; frequency of delaying, or simply not getting, health care; and visits and admissions to hospitals and emergency departments.74

Internalized Racism

The demarcation between interpersonal and internalized racism is often nebulous, which demonstrates that even though racism is multidimensional, these different levels interact and build off of one another. Internalized racism is the incorporation of attitudes, beliefs, or ideologies into one’s worldview that maintain or exacerbate the unequal distribution of opportunity across ethnic and/or racial groups.75,76 Such racism takes on one of two forms: internalized oppression or internalized dominance, both of which hinge on the concept of embodiment, in which engagement with the material and social world transforms the body.76,77 As such, internalized racism can contribute to allostatic stress, causing accelerated aging and earlier onset of disease due to biological “weathering,”78 as well as patient disempowerment and fatalism, which negatively affect health care utilization and preventive care.79
A number of survey instruments exist, each tailored by target audience and survey length, including, but not limited to, the Everyday Discrimination Scale, Major Experiences of Discrimination, Chronic Work Discrimination and Harassment, Heightened Vigilance Scale, Index of Race-Related Stress (IRRS), Perceived Ethnic Discrimination Questionnaire (PEDQ), Workplace Prejudice/Discrimination Inventory (WPDI), Experiences of Discrimination (EOD), Racism and Life Experience Scales (RaLES), Multidimensional Inventory of Black Identity (MIBI), Schedule of Racist Events (SRE), and Workplace Racial Bias Measure (WRB).20-80,81

Metrics. Surveys are a common measurement technique to assess internalized racism, such as the Perceived Racism Scale, which assesses behavioral and emotional responses to the experience of racism as well as frequency of exposure.82 Surveys typically ask about distinct contexts (workplace, health care, school, etc) to capture the interplay between internalized, interpersonal, and systemic racism.83 Despite their ubiquity, surveys’ reliance on self-report data presents a unique set of problems, namely response-shift bias and social desirability bias.84,85 The Implicit Association Test (IAT) can be adapted to evaluate whether those most affected by discrimination are willing to recognize and report it as such, thereby minimizing cognitive problems affecting self-report data.86

OPPORTUNITIES FOR USE OF MEASUREMENT

Following the review of the metrics associated with systemic, interpersonal, and internalized racism, we have identified several gaps that can be addressed with a more robust measurement framework. Understanding the interplay between racism and health is not enough, however; as Nancy Krieger notes, “the reason to study how injustice harms health is not to prove that injustice is wrong, since it is, by definition...the reasons are to deepen understanding of how injustice shapes population health...and to generate evidence for accountability.”53 To “generate evidence for accountability,” as Krieger puts it, we have identified gaps in the literature, outlining the following list of opportunities for use of measurement, which is not about new measures, but, instead, using accurate measures consistently and mapping such measures to investments to become more accountable.

Systemic Racism

(1) Develop a baseline understanding of racial disparities across sectors in Ohio. One starting point would be the development of a “Disparity Report” for the State of Ohio, mirroring the initiative of the New York City Disparity Report, which includes indicators in education, economic security and mobility, health and wellbeing, and personal and community safety, with each metric segmented by race.87 The Ohio Department of Health has put forth reports on disparities in infant mortality and pregnancy-related mortality caused by racism,88,89 but to share disparities in an actionable way, organizations and institutions should embrace the framework described herein to consider the many dimensions that contribute to such outcomes. Table 2 in the Appendix provides an example of a more comprehensive analysis that is possible with existing measures, presenting disparities in health outcomes alongside disparities in the built environment, economy, education, and criminal legal system, as it presents intermediaries between racism and metrics shown in Table 1. More work, however, is needed to incorporate aspects of structural racism in preparing health equity and anti-racism data reports.70,90,91

(2) Understand how existing algorithms contribute to racial bias, which sectors are affected, and other labels on which the algorithm can be trained to mitigate the effects of racial bias. Using the health system’s algorithm for high-risk care management programs as a continued example, alternative label choices include avoidable costs (eg, emergency visits and hospitalizations), number of chronic conditions, and a combined health prediction with cost prediction, which can reduce racial bias by as much as 84%.50 Highlighting the importance of how an algorithm is trained is relevant beyond the health care sector. For instance, credit-scoring algorithms predict outcomes by income, a measure that reflects structural inequalities related to employment and salary.92 Similarly, hiring algorithms exhibit bias with respect to race and sex.93,94 Further research is needed on the data sources, such as claims data, that contribute to the racial bias in algorithms when cost prediction is used.

(3) Explore dimensions of ethnicity. To assess the extent of variation within racial and ethnic groups and identify which subgroups are most affected, researchers should collect more detailed ethnic data (eg, breaking down Hispanic into Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, and Puerto Rican). Further sampling questions regarding citizenship, voting rights, and place of birth of participants, their parents, and their grandparents will not only enable better understanding of ethnic diversity but also provide an opportunity to more precisely study how contemporary immigration policy adversely affects health.28,95,96

(4) Use new tools in policy analysis to quantify impact. Within policy analysis, there are ample opportunities to contribute because there is a lack of empirical evidence to identify which mechanisms of segregation—educational opportunity, labor market, or housing quality—should be tackled first, which would have the largest impact, and which is most likely to trigger ripple effects to other pathways on an individual’s health.95 The development and deployment of a “Disparity Report” could help in this regard. To identify the proximal mechanisms that link segregation to health, data scientists and statisticians must leverage (a) new models that capture dynamic historical processes that influence each other over time at multiple levels of analysis to provide a new way of seeing and understanding persistent problems, and (b) new statistical techniques, such as instrumental variable (IV) analyses, to estimate the causal effects of segregation by reducing or eliminating bias related to selection and unmeasured confounding variables.97,98
Interpersonal Racism

There exist a few opportunities for health systems to assess how individual systemic changes can promote the adoption of anti-racism in care delivery.

(1) Capture a baseline measure of potential effects of interpersonal racism in health care. Quantitative and qualitative indicators should be considered, such as patient satisfaction with health services and perceived quality of care; trust in health system and professionals; communication and relationship with health service professionals; frequency of delaying, or simply not getting, health care; and visits and admissions to hospitals and emergency departments.74

(2) Evaluate how clinical organizations adhere to anti-racism efforts such as cultural competency standards. Although cultural competency has for many years been proposed to mitigate the effects of interpersonal racism in health care, more research has shown that structural competency in terms of changes at the health system level are needed to affect behavioral change.29 Baseline measures could provide better insight into the benefits of training in structural competency rather than cultural competency (or other existing standards of practice) as well as facilitate routine monitoring of progress in its institutional anti-racism agenda, much like Ohio State University Wexner Medical College has outlined, with its commitment to evaluate efforts and deliver annual anti-racism reports.90 The CLAS Standards require health care organizations to establish culturally and linguistically appropriate goals and policies that are incorporated into the organization’s clinical operations, providing an early roadmap, but a more elaborate measurement framework is needed to evaluate performance against the standards and inform quick course correction if efforts do not result in systemic change. Organizations such as Multiethnic Advocates for Cultural Competence,99 which is a community expert in cultural competency training, would serve as an excellent partner in developing organizational and practice-based measures that align and/or expand on the CLAS standards. Ohio’s COVID-19 Minority Health Strike Force Blueprint has also highlighted several strategies for dismantling racism through cultural and linguistic competency.100

(3) Develop better methods of quantifying discrimination. Additional research is needed to determine optimal approaches for comprehensively measuring discrimination in all its dimensions, including chronicity, recurrence, severity, and duration.95

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR APPLYING RACISM MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK IN PRACTICE

Systemic Racism

(1) Participate in community-based and community-engaged research and evaluation. Among academic centers, there is an even greater need for community partnerships and collaborations, evaluating interventions for (a) the extent to which they may be differentially effective across diverse subsets of the populations and (b) cost-effectiveness, but it is critically important that researchers engage with communities and build on their existing knowledge and insights by incorporating community members in all phases of research including study design, data collection, analysis, and dissemination.14,95,101 In Ohio, there are several organizations invested in addressing the SDoH and racism through effective interventions, as highlighted in Table 2 in the Appendix. These models need to be evaluated, disseminated, and adopted by others attempting to address complex problems. When pursuing community-engaged research, research budgets should take into account the need for translation resources and services as well as compensation for survey respondents.24

Interpersonal Racism

(1) Consider adoption of the Structural Vulnerability Assessment Tool. The Structural Vulnerability Assessment Tool operationalizes the concept of structural competency and provides a way to assess patients’ nonmedical social needs, while minimizing the influences of provider bias.68 Importantly, the Structural Vulnerability Assessment Tool includes questions about discrimination, providing an opportunity for medical centers and health systems to assess discrimination without having to administer another survey or questionnaire to a subset of the patient population.

Internalized Racism

(1) Reconsider race as a variable in research. As a starting point, researchers must reconsider race as a mere “dummy variable,”102 taking into account their a priori assumptions of how race plays into the model as a way of recognizing potential biases, which is a critical component in the Public Health Critical Race Praxis (PHCRP) framework.1,27,34 The PHCRP contributes an ant-racism lexicon based on critical race theory (CRT) that avoids the repeated use of “vulnerable,” a common euphemism embedded in public health literature that is used to soften, if not avoid, the discussion of racism.103,104

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic, the deaths of George Floyd and Breonna Taylor among countless others, coupled with the resurgence of the Black Lives Matter movement, has advanced investments in anti-racism efforts, but it remains to be determined if the implementation of these efforts will result in meaningful change.105 A measurement framework is needed to understand the issue of racism more deeply, challenge the white racial framing by considering racism’s many dimensions,27 and elucidate whether anti-racism efforts are changing the pathways toward more equitable health access and outcomes. In this way, organizations and agencies can apply this framework to their own anti-racism agendas to differentiate what is working from what is not and to identify what can be generalized to other settings. This level of evaluation is needed to translate declarations of “racism as a public health emergency” into actions, advancing the pursuit of health equity in the state of Ohio.
**Table 1. Mapping the Metrics of Systemic Racism onto Social Determinants of Health and Health Impacts**

| Policy Sector | Relevant Policy | Metrics | Social Determinants of Health | Health Impact |
|---------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Housing       | Redlining$^{32,34}$ Homestead Act$^{33}$ | Index of dissimilarity: proportion of Blacks or Whites who would have to move out of their neighborhood to achieve an even distribution, or complete integration$^{32}$ | Lower quality housing and schools$^{16}$ Food deserts$^{107}$ Environmental racism$^{118}$ | Reduced access to health services$^{109}$ Segregated hospitals with lower quality of care$^{116}$ Primary care shortage$^{118}$ Decreased utilization of services$^{110}$ Shortened life expectancy$^{111}$ Higher risk of COVID-19$^{112}$ |
| Criminal Legal | War on Drugs$^{113}$ Policing$^{113}$ Mass incarceration | Isolation: degree to which Blacks have potential contact with non-Blacks$^{12}$ Proportions of Blacks to Whites who were$^{35,38}$ incarcerated disenfranchised felons on death row | Generational poverty Single-parent households | Black-White gaps in depressive symptoms$^{95}$ Adverse childhood experiences (ACES)$^{114}$ Elevated mortality risk$^{115}$ Higher community-level incidence of HIV$^{116}$ Decreased utilization of health care$^{116}$ |
| Economics     | New Deal’s Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA)$^{44}$ Wagner Act$^{44}$ Right-to-work laws$^{44}$ | Earnings rank gap: sex-specific difference between a Black individual’s percentile in the Black earnings distribution and the position in the White earnings distribution$^{15}$ Racial opportunity gap: sex-specific difference in income percentile ranking in adulthood between Black and White children born to families at the same income level in the same county$^{42}$ | Generational poverty Barriers to higher education Barriers to health care access Higher uninsured rates | Lower self-reported health status (SRHS), a measure correlated with objective measures of health, including mortality and functional decline$^{54}$ Reduced cognitive functioning$^{34}$ Heightened cardiovascular and coronary mortality$^{54}$ Worsened psychological health that is tied to greater exposure to psychosocial job stressors and low job control and support$^{54}$ |
| Voting        | Voter Suppression Laws, including$^{54,37}$ government-issued photo ID requirements citizenship laws elimination of same-day voter registration restrictions of early and absentee voting days restrictions on restoration of voting rights for felons gerrymandering voter purging | Proportions of Blacks to Whites who$^{36,38}$ were registered to vote actually voted were elected to the state legislature | Social isolation$^{117}$ Limited social capital$^{117}$ Lower levels of education attainment$^{117}$ Lower income$^{117}$ | Lower self-rated health$^{118}$ Worsened psychological health tied to increased allostatic load$^{119}$ Reduced life expectancy$^{119}$ |
| Health care   | Medicaid reimbursement rates Employing algorithms that use cost prediction as its metric Hill-Burton Act$^{121}$ GI Bill$^{121}$ Exclusion by American Medical Association$^{121}$ | Proportions of physicians participating in Medicaid by county racial demographics$^{47}$ Illness burden at same level of algorithm-predicted risk$^{47}$ | Restricted health access$^{16,47}$ | Reduced use of health services$^{50,108,110}$ White patients receiving better quality of care than Black patients$^{50}$ Under-enrollment of Black patients in high risk care management programs$^{50}$ |
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APPENDIX  Table 2. Landscape of Disparities Caused by Racism in Ohio and Opportunities for Community-Based Intervention

| Indicator                                      | Non-Hispanic White | Non-Hispanic Black | Rate Ratio (Black v. White) | Examples of Ohio Organizations & Initiatives Addressing Indicator with Racial Equity Lens |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Maternal & Infant Health**                   |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| No Prenatal Care                               | 8.0%               | 19.5%              | 2.4                         | CelebrateOne; First Year Cleveland; Cradle Cincinnati; Birthing Beautiful Communities            |
| Preterm Birth                                 | 9.4%               | 14.4%              | 1.5                         |                                                                                               |
| Low Birthweight                                | 7.3%               | 14.3%              | 2.0                         |                                                                                               |
| Infant Mortality                              | 5.4 deaths         | 13.9 deaths        | 2.6                         |                                                                                               |
| Maternal Mortality (per 100,000 births)        | 11.5 deaths        | 29.5 deaths        | 2.6                         |                                                                                               |
| **Built Environment**                          |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Homelessness                                  | 45.0%              | 49.5%              | 1.1                         | Affordable Housing Alliance of Central Ohio; Homeless Families Foundation; Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio; Bessie’s Angels; YWCA; United Way; Greater Cincinnati Homeless Coalition |
| Housing Instability                           | 43.5%              | 54.9%              | 1.3                         |                                                                                               |
| No Home Ownership                             | 28.1%              | 63.9%              | 2.3                         |                                                                                               |
| **Early Childhood**                            |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Not Kindergarten Ready                        | 53.0%              | 76.1%              | 1.4                         |                                                                                               |
| Not at 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Reading            | 28.0%              | 61.0%              | 2.2                         |                                                                                               |
| 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Suspensions & Expulsions  | 5.1%               | 45.1%              | 8.8                         |                                                                                               |
| Food Insufficiency                             | 5.0%               | 22.0%              | 4.4                         | Family and Children First Council; Action for Children; Columbus Early Learning Centers; Tamir Rice Foundation; United Way |
| Physical Inactivity                            | 82.0%              | 81.3%              | 1.0                         |                                                                                               |
| Incomplete Vaccinations                        | 34.6%              | 39.8%              | 1.2                         |                                                                                               |
| Childhood Poverty                              | 18.2%              | 53.9%              | 3.0                         |                                                                                               |
| Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)           | 40.0%              | 61.0%              | 1.5                         |                                                                                               |
| Childhood Asthma                               | 6.3%               | 17.2%              | 2.7                         |                                                                                               |
| **Mental Health**                              |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Substance Use in Middle School                 | 8.9%               | 17.5%              | 2.0                         | Urban League; Safe Point; Harm Reduction Ohio; Urban Minority Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Outreach Program of Franklin County; Big Brother Big Sister; Peel Dem Layers Back; Ohio Organizing Collaborative |
| Substance Use in High School                   | 8.9%               | 23.5%              | 2.6                         |                                                                                               |
| Overdose Mortality                             | 33.4 deaths per 100,000 residents | 37.6 deaths per 100,000 residents | 1.1 |                                                                                               |
| Depression                                    | 23.3%              | 18.1%              | 0.8                         |                                                                                               |
| Suicide                                       | 16.7 deaths per 100,000 | 9.8 deaths per 100,000 | 0.6 |                                                                                               |
| **Reproductive Health**                        |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Unintended Pregnancy                           | 21.3%              | 40.7%              | 1.9                         | Planned Parenthood; Preterm; New Voices Cleveland                                             |
| Teenage Pregnancy                              | 17.6 per 1,000 women aged 15-19 | 39.5 per 1,000 women aged 15-19 | 2.2 |                                                                                               |
| **Education Outcomes**                         |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| No High School Diploma                         | 12.1%              | 31.9%              | 2.6                         | Ohio Gap Closers; Urban League; United Way; Ohio Organizing Collaborative                      |
| No Bachelor’s Degree                           | 72.4%              | 83.9%              | 1.2                         |                                                                                               |
| **Labor & Economy**                            |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Household Income                               | $56,395            | $30,575            | N/A                         | Ethiopian Tewahedo Social Services; Urban League; 1,000 Ties; United Way; Mortar               |
| Unemployment Rate                              | 4.3%               | 6.9%               | 1.6                         |                                                                                               |
| Poverty Rate                                   | 10.7%              | 28.8%              | 2.7                         |                                                                                               |
| **Health & Disease**                           |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Heart Disease Mortality Rate (per 100,000 people) | 185.6 deaths | 211.8 deaths | 1.1                         | Healthcare Collaborative of Greater Columbus; Northeast Ohio Black Health Coalition; Sisters of Charity Foundation |
| Stroke Mortality Rate                          | 42.2 deaths        | 51.6 deaths        | 1.2                         |                                                                                               |
| Diabetes Mortality Rate                        | 23.6 deaths        | 41.2 deaths        | 1.7                         |                                                                                               |
| Cancer Mortality Rate                          | 176.3 deaths       | 196.5 deaths       | 1.1                         |                                                                                               |
| Kidney Disease Mortality Rate (per 100,000 people) | 13.5 deaths   | 29.6 deaths        | 2.2                         |                                                                                               |
| Life Expectancy                                | 78.1 years         | 73.9 years         | N/A                         |                                                                                               |
| **Criminal Legal System**                      |                    |                    |                             |                                                                                               |
| Youth Incarcerated in Juvenile Correctional Facilities (JCFs) | 33.1% | 55.6% | 1.7 |                                                                                               |
| Imprisonment Rate                              | 289 per 100,000    | 1,625 per 100,000  | 5.6                         | Intercommunity Justice and Peace Center; Ohio Justice and Policy Center; People’s Justice Project; Broken Chains Ministry; Ohio Organizing Collaborative |
| Death Row                                      | 40.4% (57 of 141 inmates on death row) | 56.7% (80 of 141 inmates on death row) | 1.4 |                                                                                               |