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Abstract: The calculation of electrical loads is the first and most significant stage in the design of the power supply system. It is essential to make the right choice when choosing the power electrical equipment: transformers, power lines, and switching devices. Underestimation or overestimation of the calculated values can lead to large losses and an increase in capital costs. Therefore, the reliability of the results plays a key role. The use of energy-saving technologies and energy-efficient electrical equipment leads to a change in the nature and level of power consumption, which must be taken into account when determining the electrical loads. The existing methods leave out dynamic characteristics of electrical load curves, so the calculated values are overestimated by up to 40%. This study shows a load calculation method with the normalized correlation functions and its parameters at the level of the individual and group electricity consumers. As a result, the difference between the calculated and experimental values does not exceed 5%.
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1. Introduction

The existing high energy utilization rates in the energy sector mean that the required improvements must be made in terms of returns on capital investments and material costs. Currently, the consumption of electricity by industrial enterprises in certain regions of Russia can reach about 44% (in the Nenets Autonomous Area). For example, the Ural Federal District is one of the richest mineral regions in Russia, with a share of electricity consumption by industrial enterprises constituting about 30% and with the likelihood of such consumption increasing due to the active development of this region and the construction of new industrial enterprises [1]. The construction and further operation of any industrial enterprise is preceded by the design stage, involving the definition of the key characteristics of each system and the design of the power supply system (PSS). The efficiency of the PSS is determined by the reliable evaluation of the characteristics of electrical loads, with the calculated results then shown in graphs [2]. This is due to the fact that the calculated characteristics electrical loads provide information to inform the solutions for most of the technical and economic issues in the design stage.

It should also be noted that the issues regarding energy efficiency and energy savings are very acute, and the measures taken to achieve certain goals are widely implemented in all production cycles, meaning that it is important to take these changes into consideration when calculating electrical loads by using probabilistic calculation methods [3].

Reference [4] reviewed how the need for efficient electrical energy consumption has greatly expanded in the process industries. The article dealt with industrial enterprises for
the recognition of electricity consumption in a two-echelon supply model with a stochastic lead-time demand and imperfect production, while considering the distribution-free approach. Reference [5] discussed the impact of electric energy on production cost recovery, transport discounts and process quality improvement in two algorithms are developed using an analytical approach to obtain the optimal solution. With the increase in the varieties products and the increasing uncertainty about product demand, the production preparation time is a significant factor in addressing these issues. The basic calculation methods applied today, such as the method of ordered diagrams (MOD) [6] and the modified statistical method (MSM) [7], lead to significant errors in estimating the design loads, as shown in References [7,8]. This is due to the fact that the applied methods are static modeling methods that involve uninformative mathematical model, while the indicated design characteristics can solve only a very limited range of technical and economic issues; as a consequence, the efficiency of the PSS is reduced. As such, increasing the energy efficiency of mineral resource enterprises by improving the methods for calculating electrical loads is an urgent task, as these initial datasets are used in design stage.

The methods for calculating electrical loads used currently in our country and abroad refer to probabilistic methods based on a mathematical model of the “random variable” [9,10]. It should be noted that we are talking about methods of raising mining enterprises and not about load forecasting based on an analysis of the current situation, and, for example, by using neural networks to predict both long-term and short-term load electrical curves [11]. The basis for all further research in the field of calculating electrical loads and, in fact, the first method based on the principles of the probability of a random process, is the statistical method; there is no need to dwell separately on the shortcomings, because they have already been repeatedly described in Reference [8].

The statistical method was replaced by the MOD [6], which made it possible to reduce errors in the estimation of electrical loads by creating a database of initial data of individual load schedules, but still remained insufficiently reliable; this is due to several shortcomings, especially the following: discrepancy between the design technological modes and the actual operating modes [12].

Today, all mining enterprises are designed by using an MSM [7]. Developed more than 25 years ago, these methods are the basis of approved methods for calculation of electrical loads [13]. Such a long break in research can be explained by the sufficient reliability of the MSM, combined with the simplicity of performing engineering calculations, but the last few years have been practically associated with a revolution in the mining and processing industry, increasing the automation of technological processes through the use of modern energy-efficient equipment [14,15]. Moreover, the effect of such changes must be taken into account, including in the calculation methods [16].

Separately, it is worth mentioning the alternative calculation methods considered in the works of Stepanova [17]; the main advantage of the method under consideration is the consideration of correlation dependencies both at the level of individual and group loads, as well as additional calculation of dynamic characteristics [18]. At the same time, the disadvantages include a small base of initial data on consumers, which, in turn, does not allow a reliable analysis of this method applied to consumers at mining enterprises, as well as the lack of accounting from the introduction of modern means to improve energy efficiency [19].

Table 1 presents the contributions to this paper.
Table 1. Contributions of authors.

| Author(s)                  | Probabilistic Method | Large Accumulated Database Containing the Source Data | Simplicity of Performing Engineering Calculations | Correlation between Ordinates | Account the Modern Means to Improve Energy Efficiency |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Sinchuk, O.N.              | √                    | √                                                    | √                                            | √                             | √                                                   |
| Guzov, E.S. [6]            | √                    | √                                                    | √                                            |                               |                                                     |
| Zhokhov, B.D. [7]          | √                    | √                                                    | √                                            |                               |                                                     |
| Ali Hassan [9]             | √                    | √                                                    | √                                            |                               |                                                     |
| Stepanov, V.P [17]         | √                    | √                                                    | √                                            |                               |                                                     |
| This paper                 | √ √                  | √ √                                                  | √ √                                          | √ √                           | √ √                                                 |

2. Problem Definition, Notation, and Assumptions

2.1. Problem Definition

An analysis of the MSM and MOD showed differences in the reference and actual values of the utilization factors in determining the calculated electrical loads of a conveyor unit with and without the use of a variable-frequency drive (VFD) (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2. Average values of consumed active power ($P_{av}$), in kW, for the test period.

| Consumer Type                  | Without a VFD | With a VFD |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Conveyor                       | 174.8         | 138.9      |
| Hydrocyclone feed pump          | 44.3          | 30.3       |
| Blower                          | 217.5         | 159.5      |
| Overhead crane                  | 14.0          | 9.0        |

Table 3. Utilization factors.

| Consumer Type                  | $k_u$, Reference Value | $k_u$ Actual Value without VFD | $k_u$ Actual Value with VFD |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Conveyor                       | 0.75                   | 0.70                           | 0.5                          |
| Hydrocyclone feed pump          | 0.80                   | 0.78                           | 0.55                         |
| Blower                          | 0.75                   | 0.72                           | 0.56                         |
| Overhead crane                  | 0.45                   | 0.40                           | 0.25                         |

The discrepancy between the reference values and the actual value of the utilization factor ($k_u$) is about 35%, which goes beyond the range of permissible values. It should be noted that the existing database of coefficients does not take into account the usage of equipment with VFD [6,20].

Studies on the methods used for calculating electrical loads abroad have shown their similarities with the method of ordered diagrams and the statistical method. First of all, this is shown by the utilization factor, $k_u$; the demand factor, $k_d$; and the peak coincidence factor, $k_M$ [8,21]. Unfortunately, this approach to determining the key parameters of a power supply system (PSS) has proven its inefficiency due to overestimating the calculated values [22]. When examining the indicators of power consumption of operating enterprises, the obtained calculated maximum loads, according to foreign methods, exceed the actual values by 40–60%. The same values were obtained by using the method of ordered diagrams. The situation is better with the use of the modified statistical method, the description of which is presented at the beginning of this section, whereby the obtained calculated values exceed the actual values by 20–40% [15].

The overestimation of the calculated values is associated with disadvantages that are inherent in all of the methods under study. The detailed analysis carried out revealed the main disadvantages, which are shown in Table 4.
Table 4. Advantages and disadvantages of existing load calculation methods used for calculating electrical loads.

| Load Calculation Method                  | Disadvantages                                                                 | Advantages                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Method of ordered diagrams;           | - The use of approximate formulae according to which the thirty-minute maximum load is recalculated; | - Large accumulated database containing the source data;                   |
| 2. Statistical method                    | - Correlation and inter-correlation between ordinates are not taken into account, both at the level of individual and group electrical load curves of an electric drive; | - Simplicity of performing engineering calculations.                        |
| 3. Modified statistical method.          | - The average load is assessed based on the upper limits of the probabilistic values;       |                                                                             |
|                                          | - Overestimation of the average value of the load factors to assess the calculated heating load; |                                                                             |
|                                          | - Discrepancy between the design technological modes and the actual operating modes. |                                                                             |

2.2. Notation

Therefore, just a revision of the estimated coefficients of existing methods is not enough to increase the reliability of the calculated values [6]. This is due to the fact that the applied methods are static modeling methods that involve uninformative mathematical model, while the indicated design characteristics can solve only a very limited range of technical issues. Moreover, an increase in the number and accuracy of the calculated characteristics is possible through the additional calculation of dynamic characteristics [16].

It should be noted that forecasting of electrical loads based on any type of probabilistic forecasting, for example, the use of neural networks, is best suited for the operation of the electrical complex of an enterprise; however, it is completely unsuitable for design [23].

2.3. Assumptions

The purpose of this paper is to increase the reliability of the calculated values through the applied method, which differs from classical methods. Such a calculation will help to make a right choice of power and switching electrical equipment for distribution and protection, which will reduce material inputs.

In summary, the significant contributions of this paper are as follows:

1. Determination of dynamic characteristics for predicting electrical load curves of mining enterprises and expanding the database containing the source data, as well as determining the difference in the characteristics of the same type of curves, using means to improve energy efficiency and without (Section 3).
2. Development of a methodology for the transition from individual load schedules to group ones and presentation of a calculation algorithm, taking into account the consideration of two laws of probability distribution (Section 4).
3. Carrying out a comparative analysis of existing classical calculation methods and the presenting a new method that makes it possible to take into account equipment, using means to improve energy efficiency (Section 5).

3. Dynamic Characteristics of Electrical Load Curves

As such, when determining the calculated loads, it is also necessary to take into account dynamic characteristics, such as peaks and valleys, surges and load dips, and load fluctuations, which will contribute to forecasting calculations of electrical loads with a higher confidence probability. It becomes possible by using a dynamic modeling method, such as the hierarchical–structural method (HSM) [24].

The method is realized for load curves of general-purpose industrial and special industrial consumers, and the base of the method is an extended database containing the
source data with additional characteristics (Table 5), such as the normalized correlation function (NCF) and its parameters presented in Table 5. The necessity of using additional characteristics is connected to a fundamental change of the initial information about the individual load curves of the consumers. Physically, the NCF describes the probabilistic causation for the sequence of ordinates of the random process of changing the load, and due to the fact that the technological processes in mining enterprises have a steady-state mode of operation, the load curves are stationary [25].

Table 5. Database with additional characteristics.

| Effective number of power consumers | n         |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Rated power                        | $p_{nom}$ |
| Utilization factor                 | $k_u$     |
| Power factor                       | $tg\phi$  |
| Normalized correlation function    | $K(\tau)$ |
| Parameter characterizes the attenuation of correlations between the ordinates of the initial ELC | $\alpha$ |
| The natural frequency of oscillations of the CF, due to the repeatability of technological operations | $\omega_0$ |

Our analysis of the literary sources [8,16,26] showed that the correlation functions (CF) for all general-purpose industrial and special industrial consumers can be approximated by using the following analytical expressions:

$$ K(\tau) = D_{p_{exp}}(-\alpha|\tau|); \quad (1) $$

$$ K(\tau) = D_{p_{exp}}(-\alpha|\tau|)\cos\omega_0\tau; \quad (2) $$

$$ K(\tau) = D_{p_{exp}}(-\alpha|\tau|)(\cos\omega_0\tau - \frac{\alpha}{\omega_0} \sin\omega_0|\tau|); \quad (3) $$

$$ K(\tau) = D_{p_{exp}}(-\alpha|\tau|)(\cos\omega_0\tau + \frac{\alpha}{\omega_0} \sin\omega_0|\tau|); \quad (4) $$

where $D_{p_{exp}}$ is the variance of an individual electrical load curve (ELC), the parameter $\alpha$ characterizes the attenuation of correlations between the ordinates of the initial ELC, and $\omega_0$ is the natural frequency of oscillations of the CF, due to the repeatability of technological operations. The main idea of this study is to identify differences in the NCF and its parameters for the same consumers, both with and without using means to improve energy efficiency.

Experimental studies aimed at determining the NCF and its parameters of the electrical load curves were carried out in operating mining enterprises, the main consumers of which are general-purpose industrial consumers. The load curves were compared with and without using energy-efficiency means—in this case, with and without the use of a variable-frequency drive. The initial curves and step-wise determination of the type and parameters of the NCF for a conveyor unit with power motor of $p_{nom} = 250$ kW are given by way of example. Earlier, in Reference [24], the authors described a detailed algorithm for determining the NCF, so this article presents only the main points.

1. Determination of the average load value (Table 6).
2. The given time values, namely the correlation time ($T_C$), the sampling interval ($\Delta t$), and the recording time ($T_r$) (Table 8), were determined according to the initial load curve (Figures 3 and 4). Then, using the MATLAB software package, the experimental NCF was calculated.
3. Using the least-squares method, we determined the parameters of a theoretical NCF, as shown in Table 7, and identified its type (Figures 1 and 2).
Table 6. Approximate average power values.

| Without a VFD | With a VFD |
|---------------|------------|
| $P_{av} = 175 \text{ kW}$ | $P_{av} = 139 \text{ kW}$ |

Table 7. Theoretical NCFs.

| Theoretical NCF without a VFD | Theoretical NCF with a VFD |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| $K(\tau) = \exp(-1.723|\tau|)\cos(2.26\tau)$ | $K(\tau) = \exp(-3.37|\tau|)\cos(10.23\tau)$ |

**Figure 1.** Theoretical NCF curve without a VFD.

**Figure 2.** Theoretical NCF curve with a VFD.

Table 8. The time values.

| Correlation Time, $T_c$ | Sampling Interval, $\Delta t$ | Recording Time, $T_r$ |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Without a VFD | With a VFD | Without a VFD | With a VFD | Without a VFD | With a VFD |
| 69.5 s | 14.5 s | 0.695 s | 0.145 s | 5560 s | 1160 s |
1. Determination of the average load value (Table 6).

Table 6. Approximate average power values.

|          | Without a VFD | With a VFD |
|----------|---------------|------------|
| $P_{\text{avg}}$ | 175 kW        | 139 kW     |

2. The given time values, namely the correlation time ($t_\text{corr}$), the sampling interval ($\Delta t$), and the recording time ($t_\text{rec}$) (Table 7), were determined according to the initial load curve (Figures 1 and 2). Then, using the MATLAB software package, the experimental NCF was calculated.

Table 7. The time values.

|          | Without a VFD | With a VFD |
|----------|---------------|------------|
| $t_\text{corr}$ | 69.5 s        | 14.5 s     |
| $\Delta t$ | 0.695 s       | 0.145 s    |
| $t_\text{rec}$ | 5560 s       | 1160 s     |

Figure 1. Fragment of the curve of active power consumption without a VFD.

Figure 2. Fragment of the curve of active power consumption with a VFD.

3. Using the least-squares method, we determined the parameters of a theoretical NCF, as shown in Table 8, and identified its type (Figures 3 and 4).

Table 8. Theoretical NCFs.

| Theoretical NCF without a VFD | Theoretical NCF with a VFD |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| $P_t(\omega) = \alpha \sin(\omega t - 1.723) \cos(2.26 t)$ | $P_t(\omega) = \alpha \sin(-3.37 \omega) \cos(10.23 t)$ |

Figure 3. Fragment of the curve of active power consumption without a VFD.

Figure 4. Fragment of the curve of active power consumption with a VFD.
The conducted studies showed that general-purpose industrial electric drives belong to the same type of NCF with and without the use of a variable-frequency drive, although the difference in the NCF parameters determines the calculated values of the entire power supply system. As a result, there is a need to supplement the new information database.

An additional series of loads were also studied, and the NCF and parameters were determined (Figures 5–8 and Table 9).

**Figure 5.** Fragment of the curve of active power consumption hydrocyclone feed pump with a VFD and his NCF.

**Figure 6.** Fragment of the curve of active power consumption crushers with a VFD and his NCF.

**Figure 7.** Fragment of the curve of active power consumption overhead crane with a VFD and his NCF.
4. Group Load Curve Forecasting Using a Probabilistic Calculation Method

The above operations are also valid for group curves; however, due to the variations in PSS by structure and functionality, it is necessary to perform electrical load curve forecasting by summing individual curves in order to obtain equivalent parameters of the NCF [13]. To do this, at the level of the group curves, it is necessary to use the following analytical expression:

\[ K(\tau) = DP \exp(-\alpha_e |\tau|); \]  

where DP is the variance of the active load curve, and \( \alpha_e \) is the equivalent factor characterizing the attenuation of the correlation between the ordinates of the summed ELCs [27].

The group load curve \( P(t) \), which is formed according to the individual curves, \( p(t) \), gives the following definitions for \( \alpha_e \):

\[ \alpha_e = \frac{DP}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{DP_i}{\alpha_{i1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{DP_i \alpha_{i2}}{\alpha_{i1} + \omega_{0j}} + \sum_{r=1}^{r} \frac{2DP_i \alpha_{i2}^2}{\alpha_{i1}^2 + \omega_{0j}^2}}; \]  

where \( m, l, \) and \( r \) are the numbers of curves with NCF types 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

In the formula, the numbers of curves with NCF types 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, are taken for \( m, l, \) and \( r \).

Taking into account the differences in the type and parameters of the NCF for individual consumers, in Formula (6), we determine the variance of \( DP_\theta \) \( \theta \) peaks and \( \theta \) valleys of the ELCs in the averaging interval \( \theta \):

\[ DP_\theta = \frac{2DP}{(\alpha_e \theta)^2} \left[ \exp(-\alpha_e \theta) + \alpha_e \theta - 1 \right]; \]
Methodology for Calculating Load Estimation Parameters

The characteristics of electrical load curves, such as \( \theta \) peaks and \( \theta \) valleys, make it possible to determine the following main parameters:
- Determination of the conductor section according to the condition of heating and economic density;
- Determination of minimum and maximum power losses;
- Determination of voltage deviation.

The expression for determining the definition of \( \theta \) peaks is as follows:

\[
P_{p\theta} = P_{av} + \beta_1 \sqrt{DP_\theta}; \tag{8}
\]

and for \( \theta \) valleys, it is as follows:

\[
P_{v\theta} = P_{av} + \beta_2 \sqrt{DP_\theta}; \tag{9}
\]

Similar to other methods of calculation of electrical loads, the HS method has its own clear sequence of actions that must be performed to obtain a result, so the task is to simplify as much as possible and create an algorithm that would not require using other sources to search information. It makes the database for the individual consumers important. Such an algorithm was developed and is presented in Figure 9.

Figure 9. Algorithm for calculating the parameters of electrical load curves.

Following each block of the algorithm, it is necessary to consider what actions take place in the system:
1. It is necessary to indicate complete information on the design object, as well as information on the designer performing the calculation;
2. The value corresponding to the boundary probability \( (E_x) \), the required intervals of averaging \( (\theta) \), and discretization \( (\Delta t) \);

3. Using the dataset, select the necessary equipment from the list, with the specified characteristics. Next, a group of consumers is formed, and the calculation is carried out according to the group schedule;

4. There is a calculation of the average active and reactive power, according to the following formulas:

\[
P_{av} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{nomi}k_{ui} \quad (10)
\]

\[
Q_{av} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{nomi}k_{ui}l^g \varphi_i \quad (11)
\]

Moreover, the calculation of the standard deviation of the active load curve is as follows:

\[
\sigma_t = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{nomi}^2 (k_{loadi} - k_{ui})} \quad (12)
\]

5. From this block, the transition to the group graph is implemented through an equivalent correlation function, and for this, as described above, it is necessary to determine the parameter \( \alpha_s \) of the active load according to the following formula:

\[
\alpha_s = \frac{DP_k}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{DP_i}{\alpha_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{DP_i}{\alpha_i + \alpha_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{2DP_i}{\alpha_i + \alpha_0}} \quad (13)
\]

Moreover, the variance of the load group graph is calculated as follows:

\[
DP_\theta = \frac{2DP}{(\alpha_s \theta)^2} \left[ \exp(-\alpha_s \theta) + \alpha_s \theta - 1 \right] \quad (14)
\]

6. In block 6, the coefficients of asymmetry (skewness) \( s_k \) and kurtosis \( (k) \) are calculated, and for cases when the values of these coefficients are not equal to zero, it is necessary to use a law other than normal, for example, the Gram–Charlier type A distribution law [16]:

\[
s_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{nomi}^3 (k_{loadi} - k_{ui})(k_{loadi} - 2k_{ui})}{\sigma^3} \quad (15)
\]

\[
k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{nomi}^4 (k_{loadi} - k_{ui}) [(k_{loadi} - k_{ui})(k_{loadi} - 2k_{ui}) + k_{ui}^2]}{\sigma^4} + 6 \sum_{i<j} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_j^2 - 3 \quad (16)
\]

7. From block 7, we see that the algorithm for estimating the characteristics of group curve begins, taking into account the limitations of the limits of its change; thus, it is necessary to calculate additional characteristics for individual consumers, such as the following: the value of the average power during switch-on time \( t_{on} \),

\[
p_{on} = p_{nom}k_{load} \quad (17)
\]

the value of the switch-on factor as the probability of the “on” mode,

\[
k_{on} = \frac{k_u}{k_{load}} \quad (18)
\]

and the value of the switch-off factor as the probability of the “off” mode,

\[
k_{off} = 1 - k_{on} \quad (19)
\]
8. Searching through the combinations of the $i$th modes “on” and the $j$th modes “off”, we see that the possible ordinates for the ELCs are determined, and using the theorem of the product of probabilities, we obtain the probability of a single combination [28,29]:

$$e_{ij} = \prod_{i=0}^{m} k_{oni} \prod_{j=0}^{n-m} k_{offj};$$

(20)

In Formula (11), the value $m$ denotes the consumers operating in the “on” mode and with the corresponding $k_{oni}$, while the value $n-m$ denotes the consumers operating in the “off” mode and with the corresponding $k_{offj}$.

The electrical load values correspond to the probabilities, $e_{ij}$:

$$P_{ij} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{oni};$$

(21)

If the same load arises as a result of different combinations of different options for the work of consumers, there is a general probability of the occurrence of a given load arising in a given complex situation of probabilities [30]. As a result, a statistical series is formed, according to which the step function of the probability distribution of the ordinates ELC $F(P)$ is constructed. To determine the actual lower (valley) $P_v$ and upper (peak) $P_p$ values of the limits of variation of the ordinates of the ELC, the principle of practical confidence of the theory of probabilities is used [17]. According to this principle, practically impossible values of electrical loads are excluded from the obtained possible range of electrical load values from 0 to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{oni}$, which have a lower probability than the boundary probability, $E_x = 0.05$.

9. Furthermore, according to Expressions (8) and (9) above, $\theta$ peaks and $\theta$ valleys are determined.

10. From the theory of electrical loads, it is known that the calculated values of the load curve are limited by the upper limit, which is obtained by summing all individual consumers, as well as the lower limit, which corresponds to the complete absence of load. In real industrial conditions, the upper design limits are always higher than the real maximum values, while for the lower limit, the load is usually greater than zero. Consequently, due to the disagreement between the real and theoretical limits, an error occurs in the calculations of the peaks, $P_p$, and the valleys, $P_v$. For this, in addition to the normal distribution law, it is also necessary to consider the “truncated” normal distribution law, and for this, it is necessary to determine the values of the normalized upper and lower limits:

$$\Pi_{p\theta} = \frac{(P_p - P_{av})}{\sigma_{\theta}};$$

(22)

$$\Pi_{v\theta} = \frac{(P_v - P_{av})}{\sigma_{\theta}};$$

(23)

11. Furthermore, according to the results obtained in block 10, the values are checked according to the normalized limits according to the following conditions:

- For $\Pi_{p\theta} > 3$ and $\Pi_{v\theta} < -3$, the calculation is performed for blocks 12 and 13 by using the normal distribution law;
- For $\Pi_{p\theta} < 3$ and $\Pi_{v\theta} > -3$, the calculation is performed in blocks 14–17, using the “truncated” normal distribution law.

12. Calculation of statistical coefficients and calculation of power peaks and valleys.
13. The use of the “truncated” normal distribution law is possible by introducing the truncation coefficient, $C_{tr}$, into probabilistic models and form factor, $K_{f,dθ}$ [16,31]:

$$C_{tr} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+∞} f(P_θ)dP_θ}{\int_{P_{θp}}^{P_{θv}} f_{tr}(P_θ)dP_θ};$$ (24)

After a series of mathematical transformations, the truncation coefficient, $C_{tr}$, expressed through the normalized function, $Φ^*$, of the normal distribution law, is defined by the following formula [32,33]:

$$C_{tr} = [Φ^*(Π_{pθ}) - Φ^*(Π_{vθ})];$$ (25)

$$K_{f,dθ} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{DP_θ}{P_{av}^2}};$$ (26)

14. Furthermore, taking into account the limits on the maximum and minimum values, the value of the average power and the standard deviation are determined as follows:

$$P_{av(θ)} = P_{av} ± σ_θC_{tr} = [Φ_+(Π_{pθ}) - Φ_-(Π_{vθ})];$$ (27)

where $Φ_+(Π_{pθ})$ is the density of the standard normal distribution [34]. The standard deviation of the load is as follows:

$$σ_{θ(θ)} = σ_θ\sqrt{\left\{1 + C_{tr}\left[Π_{vθ}Φ_+(Π_{vθ}) - Π_{pθ}Φ_+(Π_{pθ})\right] - C_{tr}^2[Φ_+(Π_{vθ}) - Φ_+(Π_{pθ})]^2\right\}};$$ (28)

15. In block 16, the statistical coefficients $β_{1(θ)}$ and $β_{2(θ)}$ are as follows:

$$1 - E_x = \frac{C_{tr}}{\sqrt{2πσ_θ}} \int_{P_{θp}}^{P_{θv}} \exp\left(-\frac{(P_θ - P_{av})^2}{2σ_θ^2}\right)dP_θ;$$ (29)

$$E_x = \frac{C_{tr}}{\sqrt{2πσ_θ}} \int_{P_{θp}}^{P_{θv}} \exp\left(-\frac{(P_θ - P_{av})^2}{2σ_θ^2}\right)dP_θ;$$ (30)

16. Next $θ$—peaks $P_{pθ(θ)}$ and $θ$—valleys $P_{vθ(θ)}$ are calculated through the average power and statistical coefficients, taking into account truncation:

$$P_{pθ(θ)} = P_{av(θ)} + σ_{θ(θ)}β_{1(θ)};$$ (31)

$$P_{vθ(θ)} = P_{av(θ)} - σ_{θ(θ)}β_{2(θ)};$$ (32)

5. Discussion

Below are the results of the studies carried out according to the above-described methodology on the example of group curves of the load of conveyor units. A feature of this method is the determination of the actual consumption of active power, both with and without the use of variable-frequency drives. For this purpose, measurements of power consumption were taken at the facility during one of the most loaded shifts, with a duration of $T_r = 8$ h and with a sampling interval $Δt_θ = 1$ min. [17,32] Such parameters allow for sufficient reliability when assessing the range of voltage deviations in electrical networks at the lower stages of the PSS (Table 10).
Table 10. Reference information for an ED.

| Unit Name       | Quantity, pcs. | $P_{\text{nom}}$, kW | $k_u$ | $k_{\text{load}}$ | $k_{\text{on}}$ | Parameters of the NCF | Type | $\alpha$, s$^{-1}$ | $\omega_0$, rad/s |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Main conveyer   | 5              | 250                   | 0.7   | 0.83              | 0.84             |                        | (2)  | 1.723            | 2.26              |
| With frequency control
| Main conveyer   | 5              | 250                   | 0.5   | 0.61              | 0.82             |                        | (2)  | 3                 | 11                |

The parameters of the NCF and the recalculated utilization factor ($k_u$) were defined by other authors earlier, with a detailed overview of the scientific research [4,26].

Furthermore, using the calculation formulae, we determined the probabilistic characteristics of the group ELC (Table 11).

Table 11. Calculation formulae for the probabilistic characteristics of individual and group ELCs.

| Characteristics of Individual ELCs | Characteristics of Group ELCs |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Unit Name                          | $p_{\text{av}}$, kW | $\sigma_i$, kW | $\sigma$, | $P_{\text{av}}$, kW | $\alpha_e$ | $\sigma_{\theta_{\text{av}}}$ |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Main conveyer 5I03                 | 175                   | 171            | 171      | 875                  | 4.69       | 165                         |
| With frequency control             |                        |                |          |                      |            |                             |
| Main conveyer 5I03                 | 125                   | 250            | 131      | 625                  | 8.33       | 125                         |

Furthermore, it is necessary to calculate the switch-on and -off factors according to (9) and (10), respectively, and also to calculate power consumption during the switch-on time according to (8). The data are summarized in Table 12.

Table 12. Probabilistic design characteristics for the group ELC.

| Unit Name                     | $P_{\text{on}}$, kW | $k_{\text{on}}$ | $k_{\text{off}}$ |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Main conveyer                |                      |                 |                  |
| Without frequency control    | 466                  | 0.84            | 0.16             |
| With frequency control       | 432                  | 0.82            | 0.18             |
| Main conveyer 5              |                      |                 |                  |
| Without frequency control    |                      |                 |                  |
| With frequency control       |                      |                 |                  |

Using (11) and (12), we can determine the probabilities, $e_{ij}$, of the occurrence of an electric load ($P_{ij}$), according to which we can build two static series, which are summarized in Tables 13 and 14 and shown in graphical form in Figures 10 and 11 for a group curve, both without the use of and with the use of frequency control, respectively.

Table 13. Static series of the probability distribution of ordinates of the initial group ELC without using frequency control.

| $P_{ij}$, kW | $e_{ij}$, rel.un. | $F(P_{ij})$, rel.un. |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 0            | 0.0001            | 0.0001               |
| 233          | 0.0028            | 0.0029               |
| 466          | 0.0289            | 0.0317               |
| 699          | 0.1517            | 0.1835               |
| 932          | 0.3983            | 0.5818               |
| 1165         | 0.4182            | 1.0000               |
Table 14. Static series of the probability distribution of ordinates of the initial group ELC with the use of frequency control.

| $P_{ij}$, kW | $e_{ij}$, rel.un. | $F(P_{ij})$, rel.un. |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 0            | 0.0002           | 0.0002              |
| 216          | 0.0043           | 0.0045              |
| 432          | 0.0392           | 0.0437              |
| 648          | 0.1786           | 0.2224              |
| 864          | 0.4069           | 0.6293              |
| 1080         | 0.3707           | 1.0000              |

Figure 10. Step function of the probability distribution of the ordinates of the initial group ELC with the use of frequency control.

Figure 11. Step function of the probability distribution of the ordinates of the initial group ELC with the use of frequency control.
Having analyzed the obtained static series of the probability distribution of the group curve without using frequency control, we can now select the lowest \( P_v = 466 \) kW and the highest \( P_p = 1165 \) kW, and then with the use of frequency control, the lowest \( P_v = 432 \) kW and the highest \( P_p = 1080 \) kW.

Furthermore, using the specified averaging interval equal to 1 min, the upper and lower limits of change of \( \theta \)-ordinates are calculated according to (13) and (14). The calculation results for the normalized limits are summarized in Table 15.

### Table 15. Calculated values of the limits of change of the ordinates.

| \( \theta, \text{min} \) | \( P_v, \text{kW} \) | \( P_p, \text{kW} \) | \( P_{av}, \text{kW} \) | \( \sigma_{\theta}, \text{kW} \) | \( \Pi_{v\theta} \) | \( \Pi_{p\theta} \) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Without frequency control | | | | | | |
| 1 | 466 | 1165 | 875 | 165 | −2.48 | 1.76 |
| With frequency control | | | | | | |
| 1 | 432 | 1080 | 625 | 125 | −1.54 | 2.99 |

The results of intermediate studies proved the significant limitations in magnitude; therefore, further assessment of the calculated values of the peaks and valleys of conveyor units in order to compare the two distribution laws described above must be carried out by using these limitations, taking into account the truncation factor, \( C_{tr} \) [32,35]. Using Equations (24)–(32), we obtained the values which are summarized in Table 16.

### Table 16. Calculated values of the limits of change of ordinates, taking into account the truncation by the upper and lower limits.

| \( \theta, \text{min} \) | \( \Pi_{v\theta} \) | \( \Pi_{p\theta} \) | \( C_{tr} \) | \( k_{L,\theta} \) | \( P_{av(tr)} \) kW | \( \sigma_{\theta(tr)} \) kW | \( \beta_{2(tr)} \) | \( \beta_{2(tr)} \) | \( P_{v\theta(tr)} \) kW | \( P_{p\theta(tr)} \) kW |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Without frequency control | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | −2.48 | 1.76 | 1.044 | 1.1 | 863.5 | 146.7 | 1.587 | 1.725 | 610 | 1096 |
| With frequency control | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | −1.54 | 2.99 | 1.073 | 1.02 | 609 | 110.4 | 1.737 | 1.532 | 440 | 801 |

The resulting errors in the assessment of the calculated values of peaks and valleys relative to the two laws of distribution of the normal law and the truncated normal law are calculated by using the following expression [8]:

\[
\delta_{p,v\theta} = \frac{|P_{p,v\theta(tr)} - P_{p,v\theta}|}{P_{p,v\theta(tr)}} \times 100\%; \tag{33}
\]

The error is no more than 6%, which does not go beyond the limits of permissible values of ±10% [36]; however, the use of a variable frequency drive is not considered, where the error is as follows:

\[
\delta_{p,v\theta} = 44\%
\]

\[
\delta_{p,p\theta} = 38\%
\]

This clearly goes beyond the limits of permissible values, causing us to consider a variable frequency drive as a separate load that has its own parameters.

Foreign methods, as well as the method of ordered diagrams and the modified statistical method (Table 17), do not allow the effects from using a variable-frequency drive to be assessed, and all attempts to do this by adjusting the utilization factor failed [37,38]. Figure 12 shows the values of the average calculated loads defined by using various methods.
Table 17. Errors in determining the average value of power, $P_{av}$, with various methods using a VFD relative to the hierarchical–structural method according to the truncated normal law.

| Methods for Assessing Calculated Loads | $P_{av}$, kW | $\delta_{P_{av}}$, % |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Experimental values                   | 615          | -                    |
| The modified statistical method       | 966          | 37                   |
| The method of ordered diagrams        | 1181         | 48                   |
| Foreign method                        | 1000         | 39                   |
| Hierarchical–structural method        | 625          | 1.4                  |

Figure 12. Actual values for the electrical load curves and the calculated values for the average load power.

6. Conclusions

In this study, a method was developed for determining the design calculated electrical loads of mining enterprises that also takes into account the dynamic characteristics of load changes, such as peaks and troughs, surges and load dips, and load fluctuations, which will solve the problems of performing electrical load calculations with a higher confidence probability. These load characteristics were not taken into account in previous studies. This has been shown to have a significant impact on the resulting design power. As a result of increasing the reliability of determining the calculated values of electrical loads, it became possible to make the right choice of power and switching electrical equipment for distribution and protection, which will lead to a reduction in material costs.

The experimental and theoretical studies were carried out personally at the mining facilities, while the gathering of initial data took about 2 years. The initial obtained data made it possible to expand the information base for various consumers, thereby increasing interest in using this calculation method, which ultimately will allow us to design high-quality power supply systems.

The technique proposed in this article can be extended in several aspects by including the technologies of neural networks and machine learning in the calculation.
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Nomenclature

\begin{align*}
p(t) & \quad \text{Individual curves} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
P(t) & \quad \text{Group load curve} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
P_{av} & \quad \text{Average power} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
P_h & \quad \text{Heating power} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
T_c & \quad \text{Correlation time} \quad \text{(s)} \\
\Delta t & \quad \text{Sampling interval} \quad \text{(s)} \\
T_r & \quad \text{Recording time} \quad \text{(s)} \\
P_p & \quad \text{Peak of the actual values of the ELC} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
P_v & \quad \text{Valley of the actual values of the ELC} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
p_{on} & \quad \text{Average power during switch-on time} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
p_{nom} & \quad \text{Rated power} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
P_{ij} & \quad \text{Electrical load values corresponding to the probabilities } e_{ij} \quad \text{(kW)} \\
Dp & \quad \text{Variance of an individual electrical load curve} \quad \text{(kW}^2\text{)} \\
DP & \quad \text{Variance of group electrical load curve} \quad \text{(kW}^2\text{)} \\
\Theta & \quad \text{Averaging interval} \quad \text{(min.)} \\
DP_\theta & \quad \text{Variance of group electrical load curve on the averaging interval } \Theta \quad \text{(kW}^2\text{)} \\
\sigma_p & \quad \text{Standard deviation of the load on the averaging interval } \Theta \quad \text{(kW)} \\
\alpha & \quad \text{Parameter characterizes the attenuation of correlations between the ordinates of the initial ELC} \quad \text{(1/s)} \\
\omega_0 & \quad \text{The natural frequency of oscillations of the CF, due to the repeatability of technological operations} \quad \text{(1/s)} \\
\alpha_\theta & \quad \text{Equivalent factor characterizing the attenuation of correlation between the ordinates of the summed ELCs} \quad \text{(1/s)} \\
e_{ij} & \quad \text{Probability of a single combination} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
F(P) & \quad \text{Probability distribution function of the ELC} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
E_x & \quad \text{Boundary probability} \quad \text{(l)} \\
\Pi_p & \quad \text{Normalized upper limit} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
\Pi_v & \quad \text{Normalized lower limit} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
\beta_{1,2} & \quad \text{Statistical coefficient} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
\Phi^* & \quad \text{Normalized function of the normal distribution law} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
\varphi^* & \quad \text{Density of the normal distribution law} \quad \text{(rel.un.)} \\
\delta_{p,v,\theta} & \quad \text{Resulting errors in the assessment of the calculated values of peaks and valleys} \quad \text{(%)} \\
k_u & \quad \text{Utilization factor} \\
k_d & \quad \text{Demand factor} \\
k_M & \quad \text{Peak coincidence factor} \\
K(\tau) & \quad \text{Normalized correlation function} \\
k_{load} & \quad \text{Load factor} \\
k_{on} & \quad \text{Switch-on factor} \\
k_{off} & \quad \text{Switch-off factor} \\
C_{tr} & \quad \text{Truncation coefficient}
\end{align*}


### Abbreviations

| Acronym | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| SM      | Statistical method |
| MOD     | Method of ordered diagrams |
| MSM     | Modified statistical method |
| HSM     | Hierarchical–structural method |
| VFD     | Variable-frequency drive |
| PSS     | Power supply system |
| CF      | Correlation functions |
| NCF     | Normalized correlation function |
| ELC     | Electrical load curve |
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