Prediction of preeclampsia by a combination of maternal spot urinary protein-creatinine ratio and uterine artery doppler velocimetry

Rupali Modak¹, Amitrajit Pal²*, Amitava Pal³, Mrinal K. Ghosh⁴

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, R.G. Kar Medical College, Kolkata, West Bengal, India
²Department of Pharmacology, Grant Medical College, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India
³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Burdwan Medical College, Purba Barddhaman, West Bengal, India
⁴Department of Radio Diagnosis, Burdwan Medical College, Purba Barddhaman, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT

Background: Preeclampsia (PE) is heterogeneous disorder. The aim of the study was to observe the role of a spot urinary protein-creatinine ratio (UPCR) and uterine artery doppler velocimetry measured between 20-24 weeks of gestation in prediction of preeclampsia.

Methods: Prospective observational study conducted on 120 pregnant mothers with singleton pregnancy between 20-24 weeks of gestational age in two tertiary teaching hospitals in eastern India. A spot urinary protein-creatinine ratio (UPCR) was determined in a mid-stream urine sample and estimation of protein was done by immunoturbidimetric micro albumin method and creatinine by modified Jaffe’s method. Doppler velocimetry was also determined at 20-24 weeks of gestation. A notch in uterine artery, unilateral or bilateral; or RI > 0.7 and PI of > 1.45 were considered to have an abnormal result. Women were followed-up and relationship between variables was assessed by Chi-square test.

Results: Women who subsequently developed preeclampsia had significantly higher UPCR (median 44.8 mg/mmol) when compared with women of unaffected groups (median 26.6 mg/mmol). The optimum spot urinary UPCR to predict preeclampsia was 35.5 mg/mmol and the cut-off value > 35.5 mg/mmol had a test sensitivity (80%), specificity (94.06%), PPV (66.76%) and NPV (96.94%). The area under curve (AUC) of spot UPCR in ROC curve was 0.949 (95% CI, 0.891 - 1.000). For predicting preeclampsia, the mean uterine artery RI had to be > 0.7 having sensitivity (60%), specificity (97.03%), PPV (75%) and NPV (94.23%). The area under curve (AUC) was 0.856 (95% CI, 0.742 - 0.971).

Conclusions: Second trimester UA doppler is a useful screening test for prediction of preeclampsia. This test works best when combined with a spot UPCR and accuracy of both the methods for prediction of preeclampsia was 92.24%.
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INTRODUCTION

Preeclampsia (PE) is heterogeneous disorder. Preeclampsia complicates 5-10% of all pregnancies world-wide and are associated with significant maternal morbidity and mortality.²,³ Preeclampsia is diagnosed when the blood pressure is at or above 140/90 mmHg occurring on two occasions at least 6 hours apart, associated with proteinuria greater than 300 mg/24 hours after 20 weeks of gestation.³ The pathophysiological events resulting preeclampsia begin early in pregnancy and precede the onset of clinical features.⁴ One of the
early pathophysiological hall marks is the endothelial
damage.\textsuperscript{5,6} Microalbuminuria is a marker of endothelial
dysfunction. The established gold standard for the
diagnosis of proteinuria is the 24 hours urine collection.
However, the urine protein creatinine ratio (UPCR) is a
single sample test, wherein the result is available within 2
hours and is eight times less expensive than the 24-hour
urine protein test.\textsuperscript{7}

The fundamental cause of preeclampsia is thought to be
the abnormal uteroplacental circulation resulting from
failure of second wave of trophoblastic invasion into
spiral arterioles. This will result in increased resistance to
flow within the uterine arteries and decreased placental
perfusion. This concept has lead to the idea of using
Doppler assessment of uterine artery flow velocity wave
form as a screening test for predicting preeclampsia. UA
Doppler sonography done during second trimester can predict
preeclampsia.\textsuperscript{6} Doppler indices like resistance
Index (RI) and pulsatility index (PI) are considered as indirect measurement of utero-placental circulation.
Abnormal uterine artery doppler flow velocimetry is
defined as a mean PI more than 1.45 or/and the presence
of bilateral diastolic notches.\textsuperscript{9}

So, the aim of the study is to evaluate the efficacy of
UPCR and uterine artery doppler measured in early mid
 trimester of pregnancy for prediction of subsequent
development of preeclampsia and to compare the
accuracy of both these methods.

\textbf{METHODS}

A prospective observational study conducted between
January 2018 to June 2019 in the department of obstetrics
and gynecology, Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan
and R G Kar Medical College, Kolkata, West Bengal,
India.

With regard to the prevalence of preeclampsia in the area
\((p = 0.08)\) and according to the formula \(n = \frac{r^2\times p \times (1-p)}{m^2} \) \([n = \text{required sample size}, r = \text{confidence level at}
95\% \text{ (standard deviation of 1.96), m = margins of error at}
5\% \text{ (std. value of 0.05)}]\); so \(n = (1.96) \times 2 \times 0.08 \times (1-0.08)/
(0.05)^2 \); \(n = 113\).

A total of 120 pregnant women after assessment of
exclusion and inclusion criteria were selected at random
by random number generation table at 20-24 weeks of
gestation attending antenatal clinics of the hospitals and
followed up till delivery. Inclusion criteria included
singleton pregnancy, second trimester (20-24 weeks) of
pregnancy and age between 18 and 40 years. Multiple
pregnancies, chronic hypertension and other chronic
diseases like CKD, hypothyroidism, diabetes, fetal
anomalies and fetal death were excluded from the study.
Out of 120 patients only 4 cases did not turn-up and
finally 116 pregnant mothers were selected in the study.

All selected candidates after informed consent and ethical
clearance were screened for a spot urinary protein
creatinine ratio and uterine artery doppler at the time of
enrolment and were followed up till delivery by history,
clinical examination and by measuring blood pressure in
sitting posture to detect preeclampsia. Comparison was
made between unaffected group (Group 1) and
preeclampsia (Group 2) by spot PCR and uterine artery
doppler.

Spot midstream urine was collected for estimation of
protein by immunoturbidimetric micro albumin method
and creatinine by modified Jaffe’s method in ERBA
semiautomatic biochemistry analyzer with commercially
available reagent. Data was expressed as urine protein
\((\text{mg/dl})/ \text{urine creatinine (g/dl)} = \text{UPCR in mg/g or}
\text{mg/mmol multiplying by 0.113. The cut-off value of PCR}
was taken as 35.5 mg/mmol in the present study. Those
with UPCR ratio equal to or more than 35.5 mg/mmol
were considered as test positive and the ratio less than
35.5 mg/mmol was considered as test negative.

Transabdominal uterine artery (UA) doppler was done by
using curvilinear probe (3.5MHz) in Philips HD6
ultrasound machine by localizing uterine artery at uterocervical junction where it appears to cross the external
iliac artery with an insonation angle of < 30-degree,
velocity > 60 cm/sec the variables analyzed were:

- Resistance index (RI) was calculated by using formula:
\(\text{RI = peak systolic velocity - peak diastolic velocity} / \text{peak systolic velocity. The cut-off value of RI was } > 0.7 \text{ in the}
\text{present study}

- Pulsatility index (PI) will be calculated using formula:
\(\text{PI = peak systolic velocity \text{ End diastolic velocity} / \text{Mean velocity. The cut-off value of PI was } > 1.45 \text{ in the}
\text{present study}

An early diastolic notch was defined as a V-shaped
deflection towards the base line in early diastole.
Abnormal uterine artery doppler flow velocimetry is
defined as a mean PI more than 1.45 or/and the presence
of unilateral or bilateral diastolic notches or /and elevated
resistance index (RI) > 0.7.

All these women were followed up periodically till
delivery and during each follow up visit blood pressure,
weight measurement, urinary protein analysis was
performed to evaluate the development of any signs and
symptoms of severe preeclampsia like, nausea, vomiting,
epigastric pain, visual disturbances, decreased urine
output. In those patients who developed pre-eclampsia,
severity and gestational age of development of the
disease were noted.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

All the relevant data were analyzed by appropriate
statistical tests using statistical package for social science
(SPSS) version 20.0. Continuous variables were expressed as mean, median standard deviation (SD) and compared across the group using Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as number of patients and percentage and compared across the group using Fisher exact test. The performance of the screening tests were evaluated by sensitivity, specificity, positive predictor value (PPV), negative predictor value (NPV) and ROC curve. An alpha level of 5% had been taken, if p-value was less than 0.05, it was considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

Women who subsequently developed preeclampsia had higher spot UPCR (mean±SD, 44.12±9.43 mg/mmol) in comparison to unaffected group (mean±SD, 26.84±4.69 mg/ mmol) and the difference was statistically significant (p = 0.001). Women who developed preeclampsia had significantly higher mean uterine artery doppler resistance index (RI) and pulsatility index (PI) of 0.71±0.2 and 1.1±0.24 respectively (p = 0.001) (Table 1).

### Table 1: Distribution of urinary protein creatinine ratio (UPCR) and different uterine artery doppler indices.

| Parameters          | Unaffected (Group 1) (n = 101) | Preeclampsia (Group 2) (n = 15) | p-value  |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
|                     | Mean (median) | SD    | 95% CI of mean | Mean (median) | SD    | 95% CI of mean |       |
| Spot UPCR (mg/mmol) | 26.84 (26.6) | 4.69  | 25.91-27.77    | 44.12 (44.8) | 9.43  | 38.71-48.53    | 0.001  |
| UA doppler RI       | 0.46 (0.46)     | 0.1   | 0.44-0.48      | 0.71 (0.76)    | 0.2   | 0.59-0.83      | 0.001  |
| PI                  | 0.88 (0.84)     | 0.19  | 0.82-0.92      | 1.1 (1.02)     | 0.34  | 0.91-1.30      | 0.001  |

### Table 2: Association of RI, PI and diastolic notch of UA with preeclampsia.

| UA doppler components | Unaffected (Group 1) (n = 101) | Preeclampsia (Group 2) (n = 15) | Total (n = 116) | p-value  |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| RI                   | Unaffected                    | Preeclampsia                   | Total           | < 0.001  |
| ≤ 0.7                | 98 (84.48)                    | 6 (5.17)                       | 104 (89.65)     |          |
| > 0.7                | 3 (2.59)                      | 9 (7.76)                       | 12 (10.35)      |          |
| PI                   | Unaffected                    | Preeclampsia                   | Total           | 0.015    |
| ≤ 1.4                | 99 (85.34)                    | 12 (10.35)                     | 111 (95.69)     |          |
| > 1.4                | 2 (1.73)                      | 3 (2.58)                       | 5 (4.31)        |          |
| Diastolic notch       | Unaffected                    | Preeclampsia                   | Total           | 0.129    |
| Absent               | 101 (87.07)                   | 14 (12.07)                     | 115 (99.14)     |          |
| Present              | 0 (0)                         | 1 (0.86)                       | 1 (0.86)        |          |

RI: resistance index, PI: Pulsatility index, n (%).

### Table 3: Association of uterine artery doppler and spot UPCR with onset of preeclampsia.

| Preeclampsia Parameters | Early (< 34 weeks) (n = 2) | Late (> 34 weeks) (n = 13) | Total (n = 15) | p-value  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------|
| UA doppler              | Abnormal                    | Normal                      |                | 0.524    |
| Abnormal                | 2 (13.33)                   | 0 (0)                       | 11 (73.33)     |          |
| Normal                  | 0 (0)                       | 4 (26.67)                   | 4 (26.67)      | 0.629    |
| Spot UPCR (mg/mmol)     | ≥ 35.5 (normal)             | ≤ 35.5 (abnormal)           |                |          |
| ≥ 35.5 (normal)         | 2 (13.33)                   | 10 (67.67)                  | 12 (80)        |          |
| ≤ 35.5 (abnormal)       | 0 (0)                       | 3 (20.00)                   | 3 (20)         |          |

UA doppler velocimetry showed increased RI (cut-off value > 0.7) in 12 women (10.35%) and among them 9 (7.76%) developed preeclampsia. Six women developed PE subsequently in normal RI subjects. Out of 5 (4.31%) subjects of increased PI (cut-off values > 1.4), 3 individuals developed preeclampsia in later part of pregnancy and 12 subjects (10.35%) developed PE in normal PI values. Out of total 15 preeclamptic mothers only one (0.86) had diastolic notch and remaining 14 (12.07%) showed no notch in diastolic component (Table 2).

Table 3 depicts that in case of abnormal UA doppler, majority (60%) of the mothers developed preeclampsia after 34 weeks of gestation and only 13.33% developed PE before 34 weeks., whereas no mothers developed PE in less than 34 weeks in case of normal doppler velocimetry (p = 0.524) Majority of UPCR positive patients (67.67%) having cut-off value of ≥ 35.5 mg/mmol developed preeclampsia after 34 weeks, whereas 20% developed late PE (≥ 34 weeks) in spot UPCR negative (≤ 35.5 mg/mmol) pregnant women.

Out of total 18 UPCR positive women, 12 women developed preeclampsia; whereas among total UPCR negative 98 women, only three developed the disease, but in whom the test is negative. For preeclampsia screening, spot UPCR (cut off ≥ 35.5 mg/mmol) had sensitivity of...
80%, specificity of 94.06%, PPV of 66.67% and NPV of 96.94%. Out of sixteen abnormal uterine artery doppler (RI > 0.7/PI > 1.4/diastolic notch present) 11 patients developed PE subsequently and five patients had only false positive tests i.e. tests are positive but who do not have the disease; whereas 4 patients developed PE in later months of pregnancy among hundred normal uterine artery doppler. For preeclampsia screening, uterine artery Doppler having more RI, PI and diastolic notch had sensitivity of 73.33%, specificity of 95.05%, PPV of 68.75% and NPV of 96%. Accuracy of both the spot UPCR and uterine artery doppler (including all the parameters of PI, RI and diastolic notch) for prediction of preeclampsia was same and it was 92.24% (Table 4).

Table 4: Performance of UPCR and UA doppler in prediction of preeclampsia.

| Findings                                      | TP  | TN  | FP  | FN  | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Predictive accuracy (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|------------------------|
| Spot UPCR (mg/mol)                            | 12  | 95  | 6   | 3   | 94.06           | 66.67           | 96.94   | 92.24   |                        |
| UA doppler index, RI                         | 9   | 98  | 3   | 6   | 97.00           | 75              | 94.23   | 92.24   |                        |
| UA doppler index, PI                         | 3   | 99  | 2   | 12  | 98.02           | 60              | 89.19   | 87.93   |                        |
| UA doppler diastolic notch                   | 1   | 101 | 0   | 14  | 100             | 100             | 87.83   | 87.93   |                        |
| UA doppler, (RI > 0.7/PI > 1.4/presence of diastolic notch) | 11  | 96  | 5   | 4   | 73.33           | 95.05           | 68.75   | 96      | 92.24                  |

Table 5: AUC of spot PCR and uterine artery doppler indices.

| Test result variable(s)                  | AUC  | p-value | Asymptotic (95% confidence interval) |
|------------------------------------------|------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Spot UPCR (mg/mol)                       | 0.949| < 0.001 | Lower bound 0.891 Upper bound 1.000 |
| Uterine artery doppler index RI          | 0.856| < 0.001 | 0.742 0.971                          |
| Uterine artery doppler index PI          | 0.776| 0.001   | 0.665 0.887                         |

Figure 1 and Table 5 summarize the optimum spot UPCR to predict preeclampsia (cut-off value ≥ 35.5 mg/mmol) had a test sensitivity of 80%, specificity of 94.06%. The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.949 (95% CI 0.891-1.000; p < 0.001). The AUC of uterine artery doppler indices RI and PI having cut-off values of 0.7 and 1.4 were 0.856 (95% CI 0.742-0.971; p < 0.001) and 0.776 (95% CI 0.665-0.887; p = 0.001) respectively.

Figure 1: ROC curve of spot UPCR and uterine artery doppler (PI, RI) for prediction of preeclampsia.

DISCUSSION

Prediction of preeclampsia (PE) can be very helpful in preventing the disorder or in decreasing its severity. It has thus a major focus of research in PE but expected progress could not be achieved due to lack of understanding of the pathophysiology of the disease. Addition of patients’ characteristics like BMI or blood pressure improved the identification of women at risk for preeclampsia. In our study spot urinary UPCR at 20-24 weeks was significantly higher in women who subsequently developed preeclampsia with mean value of 44.12±9.43 mg/mmol than in those who remain unaffected with mean value of 26.84±4.69 mg/mmol. Baweja et al, and Fatema et al found the similar results. The sensitivity of spot UPCR at the cut-off value of ≥ 35.5 mg/mmol as a screening test to predict preeclampsia in our study was found to be 80% which is comparable to the studies done by Baweja et al., (83.3%), Fatema et al., (80%) and Mishra et al., (87.5%). In the present study abnormal uterine artery doppler indices (RI > 0.7/PI > 1.4/ presence of diastolic notch) were associated with increased risk of developing preeclampsia in later part of pregnancy. The cut-off value of RI and PI was similar with the study of Sahoo et al. Raziob DF et al, found that 23 pregnancies (85.2%) with preeclampsia had RI more than 0.7 and only 4 (14.8%) had RI < 0.7 (p = 0.001). Our study showed that out of 15 preeclamptic pregnant mothers, 9 pregnancies (7.6%) had only RI > 0.7 and 6 of them (5.17%) had RI < 0.7 (p < 0.001). The sensitivity, specificity of the present study is more or less similar with different studies. Results of our study show that mid trimester or second trimester uterine artery doppler indices like PI and RI can be useful tools in predicting preeclampsia. When PI index is used alone the sensitivity was less in comparison to RI (20% versus
60%). So, RI in second trimester is more sensitive in predicting preeclampsia than PI alone, but combined RI, PI and notching gives better results. The high NPV (96%) of uterine artery doppler of the present study corroborates well with other studies but Barati et al, found high PPV (88.2%) in contrast to our study (68.75%) owing to large number of sample size.14-16 The relative risk (RR) of developing preeclampsia with abnormal uterine artery doppler study in our groups was very high 17.19 (95% CI, 0.23 to 47.49) when compared to the study of Bhattacharyya et al (RR, 5.427; 95% CI, 2.272-12.958).17

In clinical practice no single marker can effectively predict the risk of preeclampsia. The current trend is to test a combination of biochemical markers in first and second trimester. Improved prediction of preeclampsia has been noticed when serum markers are combined with uterine artery doppler indices.

CONCLUSION

The present study concludes that a single spot UPCR in early mid trimester of pregnancy in asymptomatic pregnant women is a reliable screening test for prediction of development of preeclampsia in later months of gestation. This test works better when combined with uterine artery doppler. The abnormal UA doppler indices (RI, PI and diastolic notch) between 20-24 weeks can accurately identify women at risk of development of preeclampsia in contrast to normal UA doppler velocimetry. The current study also showed that the predictive accuracy of both spot UPCR and uterine artery doppler was same (92.24%). However, the study was done in a small group of women, and so further study in a large cohort is necessary to validate the reliable study outcome.
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