Proteomic analysis of xylem vessel cell differentiation in VND7-inducible tobacco BY-2 cells by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis

Masahiro Noguchi1, Masayuki Fujiwara1,a, Ryosuke Sano1, Yoshimi Nakano1,b, Yoichiro Fukao2, Misato Ohtani1,3,* Taku Demura1,3, **

1Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan; 2College of Life Sciences, Department of Bioinformatics, Ritsumeikan University, Shiga 525-8577, Japan; 3RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan
*a E-mail: misato@bs.naist.jp Tel: +81-743-72-5460 Fax: +81-743-72-5469
**E-mail: demura@bs.naist.jp Tel: +81-743-72-5460 Fax: +81-743-72-5469

Received December 22, 2017; accepted January 29, 2018 (Edited by K. Suzuki)

Abstract The xylem vessel is an essential structure for water conduction in vascular plants. Xylem vessel cells deposit thick secondary cell walls and undergo programmed cell death, to function as water-conducting elements. Since the discovery of the plant-specific NAC domain-type VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN (VND) transcription factors, which function as master switches of xylem vessel cell differentiation in Arabidopsis, much has been learned about the transcriptional regulatory network of xylem vessel cell differentiation. However, little is known about proteome dynamics during xylem vessel cell differentiation. Here, we performed two-dimensional electrophoresis-based proteomic analysis of xylem vessel cell differentiation using a transgenic tobacco BY-2 cell line carrying the VND7-inducible system (BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR), in which synchronous trans-differentiation into xylem vessel cells can be induced by the application of a glucocorticoid. Of the 47 spots revealed by gel electrophoresis, we successfully identified 40 proteins. Seventeen proteins, including several well-characterized proteins such as a cysteine protease and serine carboxypeptidase (involved in programmed cell death), were upregulated after 24h of induction. However, previous transcriptomic analysis showed that only eight of these proteins are upregulated at the transcriptional level during xylem vessel cell differentiation in BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR cells. These findings suggest that post-transcriptional regulation strongly affects proteomic dynamics during xylem vessel cell differentiation.
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Introduction

The xylem vessel is an essential tissue that transports water and minerals from roots to the aerial parts of vascular plants. Xylem vessel cells (tracheary elements in angiosperms) are distinctive cells characterized by lignified secondary cell walls (SCWs) with unique patterns. Xylem vessel cells undergo dynamic changes during differentiation, including SCW deposition, proteolysis, and programmed cell death (PCD) by tonoplast rupture. This differentiation process ends with the perforation of one side of the cell wall, allowing the cells to connect to each other to form a tubular vessel (Turner et al. 2007).

The molecular mechanism regulating xylem vessel cell differentiation has been investigated using various cell culture systems that can be induced to form xylem vessel cells in vitro (Fukuda 1997; Kubo et al. 2005; Oda et al. 2005; Yamaguchi et al. 2008). One such system involves Zinnia elegans mesophyll cells, which can be induced to form tracheary elements (Fukuda and Komamine 1980). Using this in vitro inducible system, many molecular aspects of xylem vessel cell differentiation have been revealed, such as the effects of phytohormones, dynamic cytological changes, and a novel signaling system involving peptide ligands that
functions in this process (Demura et al. 2002; Fukuda 1997, 2010; Fukuda and Kobayashi 1989; Groover et al. 1997; Ito et al. 2006; Oda et al. 2005; Tokunaga et al. 2006; Turner et al. 2007; Yamamoto et al. 1997). High-resolution analysis of transcriptomic changes associated with different stages of xylem vessel cell differentiation revealed novel factors involved in this process (Demura et al. 2002). In vitro inducible systems involving Arabidopsis thaliana suspension cells have become an increasingly powerful tool when combined with molecular genetics. This approach has led to the discovery of VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN (VND) proteins; these plant-specific NAC domain transcription factor are key regulators of xylem vessel cell differentiation (Kubo et al. 2005; Yamaguchi et al. 2008; Yamaguchi and Demura 2010). Overexpression analysis of VND genes revealed that VND7 and VND6 initiate the differentiation of protoxylem-type and metaxylem-type vessel cells, respectively (Kubo et al. 2005; Yamaguchi et al. 2010). Transcriptomic analyses of Arabidopsis VND6 and VND7 overexpressors have uncovered a set of direct target genes of VND6 and VND7, including genes required for deposition of the SCW and progression of PCD (Ohashi-Ito et al. 2010; Yamaguchi et al. 2010, 2011; Zhong et al. 2010). Based on the results of transcriptomic analysis, the evolutionarily conserved VND-based transcriptional network is currently thought to form the basis of xylem vessel cell differentiation (Endo et al. 2015; Kubo et al. 2005; Nakano et al. 2015; Yamaguchi and Demura 2010; Yamaguchi et al. 2010; Zhong et al. 2010).

Since these important transcriptomic analyses, other types of omics analyses have been performed using inducible in vitro xylem vessel cell differentiation systems, including proteome analysis targeting microtubule-interacting proteins in an inducible Arabidopsis suspension cell culture system (Derbyshire et al. 2015) and metabolome analysis using post-translationally activated VND7 (35S::VND7-VP16-GR, Yamaguchi et al. 2010) in Arabidopsis and tobacco BY-2 cells (Li et al. 2016; Ohtani et al. 2016). These studies suggested that a multilayered regulatory system orchestrates these cell differentiation processes. However, our understanding of proteomic dynamics remains limited. Fukuda and Komamine (1983) performed two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE) analysis of differentially expressed proteins in tracheary elements induced from Zinnia elegans mesophyll cells, but they detected only two polypeptides that were newly synthesized preceding morphological changes in the cells. Also, when performing proteome analysis, Derbyshire et al. (2015) only investigated the dynamics of microtubule-associated proteins during xylem vessel differentiation.

To gain novel insight into the contribution of proteomic dynamics to xylem vessel cell differentiation, we performed comparative 2DE-based proteomic analysis of xylem vessel cell differentiation. We used transgenic tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 culture cells carrying the VND7 inducible system (BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR) (Goué et al. 2013; Ishii et al. 2017; Ohtani et al. 2016; Yamaguchi et al. 2010). In this inducible system, the differentiation of BY-2 cells into xylem vessel cells can be induced with ca. 80% efficiency by applying a glucocorticoid analog of dexamethasone (DEX). We selected 47 spots from the electrophoretograms and identified 40 proteins: the expression of 17, 8, and 15 proteins increased, decreased, and remained stable, respectively, after 24 h of induction. The list of upregulated proteins includes PCD-related proteins (cysteine protease and serine carboxypeptidase) and cell wall-related proteins (pectate lyase-like proteins), whereas the downregulated proteins were heat shock proteins. Interestingly, previous transcriptomic analysis indicated that only half of these upregulated or downregulated proteins are up- or downregulated at the transcriptional level during xylem vessel cell differentiation. Our proteomic analysis also revealed spots of identical proteins whose positions shifted after the induction of xylem vessel cell differentiation, suggesting that post-translational modification of specific proteins occurs during xylem vessel cell differentiation. These findings suggest that post-transcriptional regulation strongly contributes to proteome dynamics during xylem vessel cell differentiation.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

A Nicotiana tabacum L. cv. BY-2 suspension culture cell line carrying the VND7-VP16-GR system (BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR) (Goué et al. 2013; Ohtani et al. 2016; Yamaguchi et al. 2010) was used in this study. The BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR suspension culture cells were grown in 100 ml liquid medium (Murashige and Skoog salt mixture [Wako], 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ myoinositol, 1 mg 1⁻¹ thiamine-HCL, 0.2 mg 1⁻¹ 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, and 30 g l⁻¹ sucrose [pH 5.8]) containing 100 mg l⁻¹ kanamycin in a 300-ml conical flask in the dark at 27°C at 130 rpm rotation and subcultured weekly at 95-fold dilution.

Dexamethasone (DEX) treatment to induce xylem vessel cell differentiation

To induce xylem vessel cell differentiation, 1 mM dexamethasone (DEX) (final concentration) was applied to BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR cells at 4 day after subculture. After DEX treatment, the cells were collected at 0 and 24 h after subculture by vacuum filtration and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Protein extraction for 2DE analysis

The methods and buffer conditions used for protein extraction
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were described by O’Farrell (1975), Molloy et al. (1998), and Zhu et al. (2006). Briefly, 1 mg of cells was ground with a pestle and mortar in liquid nitrogen, and 10 ml of trichloroacetic acid (TCA)/acetone (1:9) was added to the cell powder. The samples were incubated for 1 h at −20°C to precipitate proteins and remove lipids and ribonucleic acids. The samples were washed three times with 100% acetone, and the pellets were resuspended in extraction buffer (5 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 2% SB3-10, 65 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], 0.01% [w/v] IPG buffer pH 4–7 [GE Healthcare Bioscience]). The protein samples were stored at −80°C until subsequent analysis.

2DE analysis
For the first dimension of isoelectric focusing (IEF), an 18-cm long IPG ReadyStrip gel (strip gel) with a pH range of 4–7 was used (GE Healthcare Bioscience). The dried strip gel was imbibed in imbibition buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% [v/v] Triton X-100, 0.2% [w/v] DTT, 0.01% [w/v] IPG buffer pH 4–7, 2.5 mM acetic acid, 0.0025% [w/v] Orange G) with mild agitation for more than 10 h in a gel-swelling tube. The imbibed strip gel was placed in an IEF electrophoresis vessel filled with silicon oil (KF-96L-5CS; Shinites Chemical). Filter paper containing 15 µl of protein sample was placed on the side of the negative end of the strip gel, and IEF electrophoresis was carried out using the following steps: 500 V for 2 h, 700 V for 1 h, 1,000 V for 1 h, 1,500 V for 1 h, 2,000 V for 1 h, 2,500 V for 1 h, 3,000 V for 1 h, and 3,500 V for 10 h. The strip gel with protein samples was treated with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) treatment buffer (6 M urea, 0.5% [w/v] DTT, 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 2% [w/v] SDS, 30% [v/v] glycerol, 0.0025% [w/v] bromophenol blue [BBP]) for 30 min with agitation. Subsequently, the strip gel was treated with alkylation buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 2% [w/v] SDS, 30% [v/v] glycerol, 0.0025% [w/v] BBP, 4.5% [w/v] iodoacetamide [IAA]) for 20 min. The SDS-treated gel was then placed on a 16-cm precast 10% slab gel. After electrophoresis for 30 min at 10 mA, the strip gel was removed from the gel cassette, and electrophoresis was resumed at 40 mA until the electrophoresis marker reached the end of the gel (6–7 h). Fluorescence staining of the gels was performed with Flamingo reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Fixation and staining were conducted according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To detect the fluorescent signals, an LAS-3000NS imager (Fujifilm) was used, and the obtained signal intensities of each spot were compared manually between 0-h and 24-h samples. This analysis was repeated three times independently, and the spots detected repeatedly were subjected to a subsequent in-gel digestion procedure.

In-gel digestion of proteins
The target spots were sliced out of the gels. Each gel piece was collected in a 1.5-ml tube, immersed in 200 µl of 60% (v/v) acetonitrile (ACN)/50 mM NH₄HCO₃ solution, and agitated for 10 min at room temperature, followed by removal of the solution. After repeating this procedure twice, 200 µl of 10 mM DTT/50 mM NH₄HCO₃ solution was added to the sample, followed by incubation for 45 min at 56°C. After removing the DTT solution, 200 µl of 55 mM iodoacetamide (IAA)/50 mM NH₄HCO₃ was added to the sample, which was subsequently incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. After removing the IAA solution, 300 µl of 60% (v/v) ACN/50 mM NH₄HCO₃ was added to the sample, followed by agitation for 10 min at room temperature. After repeating this procedure twice, 20 µl of trypsin solution (Promega; Trypsin Gold, Mass Spectrometry Grade; V5280) was added to the sample, followed by incubation for 5 min to allow it to be absorbed into the gel. Subsequently, 20 µl of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ was added, and the sample was incubated at 37°C overnight with agitation. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and 20 µl of 50% (v/v) ACN/0.2% (v/v) formic acid (FA) was added to the remaining gel piece, which was then agitated for 10 min. The FA solution was collected and combined with the digestion solution to produce the peptide solution. The peptide solution was dried in a Refrigerated Centrifuge Vacuum Concentrator (LABCONCO). The dried, concentrated peptides were redissolved in 20 µl of liquid chromatography (LC)/mass spectrometry (MS) buffer (5% ACN/0.1% FA) and filtered through a 0.45-µm filter (Millipore; Ultrafree-MC). The filtered sample was subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

LC-MS/MS analysis and database searching
Liquid chromatography was performed using a Paradigm MS4 HPLC pump (Michrom BioResources) and loaded with an HTC-PAL autosampler (CTC analytics) onto an L-column (100 mm internal diameter, 15 cm; CERI). The peptides were eluted from the L-column by applying a linear gradient from 5 to 45% of solvent B (0.1% [v/v] acetic acid and 90% [v/v] acetonitrile) with solvent A (0.1% [v/v] acetic acid and 2% [v/v] acetonitrile) for 26 min. The eluted peptides were directly introduced into an LTQ-Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer at a flow rate of 500 nL/min and a spray voltage of 2.0 kV. MS scanning and data acquisition were controlled with Xcalibur software version 2.0.7 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The range of the MS scan was m/z 400–1,500, and the top three peaks were subjected to MS/MS analysis. The resulting spectra were compared with an in-house BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR amino acid sequence database (Ohtani et al. 2016) using the MascOT server (version 2.4). The MascOT search parameters were as follows: threshold set at 0.05 in the ion-score cut-off mode, peptide tolerance at 10 ppm, MS/MS tolerance at ±0.8 Da, peptide charge of 2+ or 3+, trypsin as the enzyme, allowing up to one missed cleavage, carbamidomethylation on cysteine as a fixed modification, and oxidation on methionine as a variable modification.

Results and discussion
For our proteomic study, we used transgenic tobacco BY-2 cells carrying the chimeric VND7-VP16-GR construct driven by the Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR), whose cells can
be induced to differentiate into xylem vessel cells by application of DEX (Goué et al. 2013; Ishii et al. 2017; Ohtani et al. 2016; Yamaguchi et al. 2010). In this system, the first visible sign of SCW deposition was observed after 24 h of DEX treatment, and ultimately, up to 90% of cells transdifferentiated into xylem vessel cells with characteristic helical-patterned SCWs after 60 h of induction (Figure 1; Yamaguchi et al. 2010; Ohtani et al. 2016). To identify changes in protein accumulation before and after the induction of xylem vessel cell differentiation, we performed comparative 2DE-based proteomic analysis, which allowed us to detect changes in protein abundance and post-translational modifications (O'Farrell 1975) in BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR cells at 0 and 24 h after induction.

Our 2DE analysis with extracted protein samples successfully detected more than 100 spots derived from protein extracts from BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR cells (Figures 2 and 3). By comparing the two electrophoretograms, we identified 18 and 11 spots as upregulated and downregulated polypeptides, respectively, after induction (Figures 2 and 3). To identify these polypeptides, we excised gel regions containing these spots and subjected them to the mass spectrometric (MS) analysis with an LTQ-Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific). As controls, the 18 spots whose expression was stable in both electrophoretograms were also subjected to MS analysis. We analyzed the MS data using the MASCOT search engine (Matrix Science) with transgenic tobacco BY-2 cell contig information (Ohtani et al. 2016) to identify the protein corresponding to each spot. The IDs of the proteins with the top hits for each spot are listed in Table 1.

Among the 18 upregulated proteins, we successfully identified 17 proteins, including putative serine carboxypeptidase and cysteine peptidase, which are highly similar in sequence to Arabidopsis XYLEM CYSTEINE PEPTIDASE 1 (XCP1) and SERINE CARBOXYPEPTIDASE-LIKE 48 (SCPL48), the direct targets of VND7 in Arabidopsis (Table 1; Yamaguchi et al. 2010, 2011; Zhong et al. 2010); the transcriptome data for BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR cells show that these genes are upregulated after DEX application (Table 1; Ohtani et al. 2016). Therefore, the accumulation of these proteins appears to depend on the transcriptional upregulation of the underlying genes.
Table 1. Spots from 2DE gels selected for LC-MS/MS analysis.

| Spot number | Contig ID | Annotations based on Arabidopsis homologs | Estimated pI | Estimated molecular weight (Da) | Fold changes in transcript abundance (log2) |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| **Upregulated** | | | | | |
| 2 | BY2v7iAc38341 | Heat shock protein 91 | 5.03 | 106644.29 | 0.399 (0.041) |
| 3 | BY2v7iAc34984 | Glycosyl transferase, family 35 | 5.35 | 110347.28 | 1.383 (0.837) |
| 7 | BY2v7iAc10721 | 26S protease regulatory subunit 6B | 5.17 | 89574.6 | 1.09 (0.929) |
| 9 | BY2v7iAc28974 | Heat shock protein 90 | 4.85 | 90869.68 | 2.016 (2.330) |
| 11 | BY2v7iAc23908 | Phospholipase D alpha 1 | 5.39 | 92042.17 | -0.561 (-0.914) |
| 13 | BY2v7iAc66918 | Heat shock protein 90 | 4.96 | 80269.19 | -1.089 (-1.354) |
| 16 | BY2v7iAc06039 | Transketolase | 5.18 | 76158.72 | 2.241 (4.683) |
| 18 | BY2v7iAc67606 | Rhamnogalacturonate lyase family protein | 5.24 | 49161.2 | 2.241 (4.683) |
| 23 | BY2v7iAc31882 | Polygalacturonase | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 30 | BY2v7iAc53042 | Protein disulfide isomerase | 4.99 | 57072.76 | 1.335 (1.013) |
| 31 | BY2v7iAc65648 | Heat shock protein 91 | 5.27 | 93262.93 | -0.277 (-0.456) |
| 32 | BY2v7iAc15650 | Mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 33 | BY2v7iAc15649 | Mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 34 | BY2v7iAc12517 | Xylem cysteine peptidase 1 | 5.64 | 39861.12 | 3.455 (4.399) |
| 35 | BY2v7iAc12517 | Xylem cysteine peptidase 1 | 5.64 | 39861.12 | 3.455 (4.399) |
| **Downregulated** | | | | | |
| 19 | BY2v7iAc15650 | Mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 20 | BY2v7iAc15650 | Mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 21 | BY2v7iAc15650 | Mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 22 | BY2v7iAc15649 | Mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 | 5.75 | 73131.94 | -2.981 (-3.680) |
| 26 | BY2v7iAc12576 | Heat shock protein 60 | 5.46 | 61305.53 | -2.241 (-3.037) |
| 27 | BY2v7iAc12576 | Heat shock protein 60 | 5.46 | 61305.53 | -2.241 (-3.037) |
| 34 | BY2v7iAc14610 | ATP synthase alpha/beta family protein | 5.95 | 59695.07 | 0.151 (-0.110) |
| 35 | BY2v7iAc71412 | Peptidase family M16 protein | 5.99 | 54741.21 | 0.180 (-0.110) |
| 36 | BY2v7iAc02630 | Tubulin 6 | 4.86 | 49753.08 | 0.092 (0.194) |
| 44 | BY2v7iAc19747 | Glutamine synthase | 5.5 | 39055.11 | -1.179 (-1.522) |
| 45 | BY2v7iAc12517 | Xylem cysteine peptidase 1 | 5.64 | 39861.12 | 3.455 (4.399) |
| 47 | BY2v7iAc12517 | Xylem cysteine peptidase 1 | 5.64 | 39861.12 | 3.455 (4.399) |
| **Unchanged** | | | | | |
| 1 | BY2v7iAc38341 | Heat shock protein 91 | 5.18 | 94504.51 | 0.399 (0.041) |
| 5 | BY2v7iAc65648 | Heat shock protein 91 | 5.27 | 93262.93 | -0.277 (-0.046) |
| 6 | BY2v7iAc52936 | Peptidase M1 family protein | 5.97 | 110359.8 | 0.871 (0.705) |
| 10 | BY2v7iAc36993 | ATP-dependent CLP protease | 6.1 | 102277.11 | 0.350 (0.244) |
| 12 | BY2v7iAc38930 | ENTH/VHS family protein | 5.31 | 76226.48 | 0.834 (1.260) |
| 14 | BY2v7iAc38930 | ENTH/VHS family protein | 5.31 | 76226.48 | 0.834 (1.260) |
| 15 | BY2v7iAc30172 | Metalloprotease family protein | 6.63 | 91911.05 | -0.166 (-1.123) |
| 17 | BY2v7iAc03320 | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor | 5.51 | 65303.89 | -0.095 (-0.257) |
| 24 | BY2v7iAc24117 | Dihydroxyacetone kinase | 5.3 | 61826.21 | 0.391 (0.160) |
| 29 | BY2v7iAc03935 | Phosphoglucone isomerase 1 | 5.54 | 68640.49 | 0.476 (0.589) |
| 38 | BY2v7iAc64626 | Rad23 UV excision repair protein family | 4.73 | 41645.78 | 0.561 (0.353) |
| 40 | BY2v7iAc13353 | Actin 7 | 5.31 | 41697.75 | 0.853 (0.690) |
| 41 | BY2v7iAc05569 | Actin 7 | 5.3 | 41728.78 | 0.771 (0.929) |
| 42 | BY2v7iAc04791 | Late embryogenesis abundant protein, group 2 | 4.86 | 35810.74 | 1.250 (0.957) |
| 43 | BY2v7iAc19747 | Glutamine synthase | 5.5 | 39055.11 | -1.179 (-1.522) |
| 44 | BY2v7iAc12576 | Heat shock protein 60 | 5.46 | 61305.53 | -2.241 (-3.037) |
| 46 | BY2v7iAc65648 | Heat shock protein 91 | 5.27 | 93262.93 | -0.277 (-0.456) |

a Contigs were generated from RNA-seq data from BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR reported in Ohtani et al. (2016). b Fold changes in transcript abundance between 0 and 12 or 24 h of DEX treatment were calculated based on the RNA-seq data for BY-2/35S::VND7-VP16-GR in Ohtani et al. (2016). Statistically significant changes are shown in bold.
by VND7. However, only eight of the 17 upregulated proteins were upregulated at the transcriptional level after induction (Table 1). A similar disconnect between protein and transcript levels was also observed for some downregulated proteins (Table 1). Of the 11 downregulated spots, we identified eight proteins, half of which previously exhibited no change or an increase in transcript level (Table 1). These findings suggest that post-transcriptional regulation greatly affects protein accumulation during xylem vessel cell differentiation.

We also found that some different but closely localized spots, i.e., spots 1 and 2, spots 19–21, spots 26 and 27, and spots 46 and 47, were derived from identical proteins (Figure 1; Table 1) but likely produced multiple spots due to changes in the molecular weight of each polypeptide. Indeed, Arabidopsis XCP1 is 36 kDa when it contains a Pro domain that suppresses its protease activity, and it is processed to the mature 23 kDa form when the Pro domain is removed (Funk et al. 2002). Perhaps the difference between spots 46 (<40 kDa) and 47 (>30 kDa), which correspond to a putative tobacco XCP1 homolog, also reflects this type of processing for maturation.

In addition, our list contains multiple heat shock proteins (HSPs) with several spots derived from the same proteins (Table 1). Notably, such spots sometimes exhibited different patterns of abundance after the induction of cell differentiation; for example, three different spots (spots 19–21) for same mitochondrial HSP70 (mtHSP70) protein were detected among downregulated polypeptides (Figures 2 and 3; Table 1). The degree of reduction in protein levels differed among spots; spots 20 and 21 were downregulated at a higher rate than spot 19 (Figures 2 and 3). Similarly, the expression patterns of spots 1 and 2, which were both derived from the same putative HSP91 protein, differed after the induction of xylem vessel cell differentiation (Table 1), as spot 2 appeared in a new position, whereas spot 1 did not (Figures 2 and 3). Moreover, mtHSP70 functions in the regulation of protein folding and intracellular trafficking of proteins (Mashaghi et al. 2016), and this protein can act as an antagonist of apoptosis in mammalian cells (Ravagnan et al. 2001), as well as heat- and H$_2$O$_2$-induced PCD in plants (Qi et al. 2011). Thus, the reduced intensity of the spot corresponding to mtHSP70 was not unexpected given that PCD is required for the progression of xylem vessel cell differentiation. The phosphorylation states of HSP family proteins are critical for determining the balance between protein folding and degradation (Muller et al. 2013). Therefore, the different behaviors among spots derived from the same HSP protein suggest that post-translational modification of these HSP proteins involved in the progression of xylem vessel cell differentiation might be actively regulated.

Recent comparative studies between proteomic and transcriptomic data revealed low correlations for a certain number of genes (Cheng et al. 2016; Liu and Aebersold 2016; Peng et al. 2015; Vogel and Marcotte 2012). The expression of functional proteins is tightly regulated at the post-transcriptional level, including translational regulation and post-translational modification (Cheng et al. 2016; Liu and Aebersold 2016; Peng et al. 2015; Vogel and Marcotte 2012). Likewise, our comparative 2DE analysis showed that post-transcriptional regulation has a large impact on the expression of functional proteins during xylem vessel cell differentiation. In-depth proteomic analysis, e.g., shotgun proteomics approach, should provide novel insight into the regulatory mechanisms that guide the various steps of the complex process of xylem vessel cell differentiation, including SCW deposition, proteolysis, PCD, and perforation of the cell wall.
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