Death following traumatic brain injury in Drosophila is associated with intestinal barrier dysfunction
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Abstract

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major cause of death and disability worldwide. Unfavorable TBI outcomes result from primary mechanical injuries to the brain and ensuing secondary non-mechanical injuries that are not limited to the brain. Our genome-wide association study of Drosophila melanogaster revealed that the probability of death following TBI is associated with single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes involved in tissue barrier function and glucose homeostasis. We found that TBI causes intestinal and blood–brain barrier dysfunction and that intestinal barrier dysfunction is highly correlated with the probability of death. Furthermore, we found that ingestion of glucose after a primary injury increases the probability of death through a secondary injury mechanism that exacerbates intestinal barrier dysfunction. Our results indicate that natural variation in the probability of death following TBI is due in part to genetic differences that affect intestinal barrier dysfunction.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.001

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is the leading cause of death for people under the age of 44 in the United States (Harrison-Felix et al., 2009; Coronado et al., 2011). Death following TBI is not only due to primary injuries, that is, mechanical injuries that occur at the moment of impact to the brain, but also to secondary injuries, that is, non-mechanical injuries that evolve over time in response to primary injuries (Masel and DeWitt, 2010; Blennow et al., 2012; Prins et al., 2013). Because secondary injuries are non-mechanical and are delayed relative to primary injuries they may be sensitive to therapeutic interventions. For example, secondary injuries to the intestine can rapidly follow primary injuries to the brain, and interventions that block intestinal injuries may prevent bacterial translocation and subsequent sepsis (Hang et al., 2003; Feighery et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2008; Bansal et al., 2009, 2010). However, present understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms that underlie secondary injuries is not yet sufficient to develop therapeutic interventions (Menon, 2009; Xiong et al., 2013).

We have used a Drosophila melanogaster model to investigate the mechanisms underlying secondary injuries that cause death following traumatic injury. Our fly model uses the high-impact trauma (HIT) device, consisting of a metal spring with a stationary end attached to a board and a free end positioned over a polyurethane pad, to inflict traumatic injury (Katzenberger et al., 2013). A plastic vial containing unanesthetized flies is connected to the free end. When the spring is
deflected and released, the vial rapidly strikes the pad, and a mechanical force is delivered to the flies as they impact the vial wall. A high-speed movie shows that a strike from the HIT device causes flies to hit the vial wall multiple times with their head and body, probably inflicting traumatic injury to multiple organs, including the brain (Balsiger et al., 2014). Closed-head TBI may result from impacts to the head or body that cause the fly brain to ricochet and deform against the head capsule, similar to what happens to humans in falls and car crashes (Davceva et al., 2012). Accordingly, flies treated with the HIT device display phenotypes consistent with brain injury, including temporary incapacitation followed by ataxia, gradual recovery of mobility, neurodegeneration over time, and death within 24 hr (Katzenberger et al., 2013). However, despite decades of study, the exact nature of these secondary injuries and their origin are poorly understood. A better understanding of secondary injuries should help to develop novel therapies to improve TBI outcomes in affected individuals.

To obtain this information, in 2013 researchers devised a method to inflict TBI in the common fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, an organism that is readily amenable to detailed genetic and molecular studies. This investigation demonstrated that flies subjected to TBI display many of the same symptoms observed in humans after a brain injury, including temporary loss of mobility and damage to the brain that becomes worse over time. In addition, many of the flies die within 24 hr after brain injury.

Now Katzenberger et al. use this experimental system to investigate the secondary injuries responsible for these deaths. First, genetic variants were identified that confer increased or decreased susceptibility to death after brain injury. Several of the identified genes affect the structural integrity of the intestinal barrier that isolates the contents of the gut—including nutrients and bacteria—from the circulatory system. Katzenberger et al. subsequently found that the breakdown of this barrier after brain injury permits bacteria and glucose to leak out of the intestine. Treating flies with antibiotics did not increase survival, whereas reducing glucose levels in the circulatory system after brain injury did. Thus, Katzenberger et al. conclude that high levels of glucose in the circulatory system, a condition known as hyperglycemia, is a key culprit in death following TBI.

Notably, these results parallel findings in humans, where hyperglycemia is highly predictive of death following TBI. Similarly, individuals with diabetes have a significantly increased risk of death after TBI. These results suggest that the secondary injuries leading to death are the same in flies and humans and that further studies in flies are likely to provide additional new information that will help us understand the complex consequences of TBI. Important challenges remain, including understanding precisely how the brain and intestine communicate, how injury to the brain leads to disruption of the intestinal barrier, and why elevated glucose levels increase mortality after brain injury. Answers to these questions could help pave the way to new therapies for TBI.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.002
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eLife digest Traumatic brain injury (TBI) caused by a violent blow to the head or body and the resultant collision of the brain against the skull is a major cause of disability and death in humans. Primary injury to the brain triggers secondary injuries that further damage the brain and other organs, generating many of the detrimental consequences of TBI. However, despite decades of study, the exact nature of these secondary injuries and their origin are poorly understood. A better understanding of secondary injuries should help to develop novel therapies to improve TBI outcomes in affected individuals.

To obtain this information, in 2013 researchers devised a method to inflict TBI in the common fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, an organism that is readily amenable to detailed genetic and molecular studies. This investigation demonstrated that flies subjected to TBI display many of the same symptoms observed in humans after a brain injury, including temporary loss of mobility and damage to the brain that becomes worse over time. In addition, many of the flies die within 24 hr after brain injury.

Now Katzenberger et al. use this experimental system to investigate the secondary injuries responsible for these deaths. First, genetic variants were identified that confer increased or decreased susceptibility to death after brain injury. Several of the identified genes affect the structural integrity of the intestinal barrier that isolates the contents of the gut—including nutrients and bacteria—from the circulatory system. Katzenberger et al. subsequently found that the breakdown of this barrier after brain injury permits bacteria and glucose to leak out of the intestine. Treating flies with antibiotics did not increase survival, whereas reducing glucose levels in the circulatory system after brain injury did. Thus, Katzenberger et al. conclude that high levels of glucose in the circulatory system, a condition known as hyperglycemia, is a key culprit in death following TBI.

Notably, these results parallel findings in humans, where hyperglycemia is highly predictive of death following TBI. Similarly, individuals with diabetes have a significantly increased risk of death after TBI. These results suggest that the secondary injuries leading to death are the same in flies and humans and that further studies in flies are likely to provide additional new information that will help us understand the complex consequences of TBI. Important challenges remain, including understanding precisely how the brain and intestine communicate, how injury to the brain leads to disruption of the intestinal barrier, and why elevated glucose levels increase mortality after brain injury. Answers to these questions could help pave the way to new therapies for TBI.
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We quantify death following traumatic injury by determining the percentage of flies that die within 24 hr of the primary injury, which we define as the mortality index at 24 hr (MI24). Previously, we found that genotype and age at the time of traumatic injury affect the MI24 (Katzenberger et al., 2013). Younger flies have a lower MI24 than older flies, suggesting that aging-related processes promote death following traumatic injury. In addition, genotype can affect the MI24 many fold, indicating the existence of genes that suppress or enhance the secondary injury mechanisms that cause death following traumatic injury. We also found that the innate immune response is activated shortly after primary injuries. In flies, the Toll and Immune deficiency (Imd) innate immune response pathways are responsible for defense against pathogens such as bacteria (Lemaitre and Hoffmann, 2007).
Both pathways upregulate the transcription of antimicrobial peptide (AMP) genes, which encode small, secreted peptides that contribute to the elimination of pathogens. The innate immune response pathways are also activated in response to various types of stress, including oxidative stress and tissue damage. Here, we further investigate the roles of aging, genotype, and the innate immune response in mortality following traumatic injury.

We performed a genome-wide association (GWA) study that implicates specific genes in affecting the probability of death following traumatic injury in young flies. Several of the genes have functions related to septate junctions, which are similar to tight junctions in vertebrates (Furuse and Tsukita, 2006). Septate junctions and tight junctions serve as barriers in the intestine, brain, and other tissues that prevent pathogen invasion and restrict the paracellular transport of materials. These junctions are constructed of transmembrane proteins such as Claudins, which interact between neighboring cells, and intracellular proteins such as PDZ (PSD-95, Discs-large, ZO-1) domain proteins, which interact with the cytoplasmic tail of transmembrane proteins. Mutation of the septate junction-associated, PDZ domain-containing protein Big Bang (BBG) permits bacteria from the intestinal lumen to cross the intestinal epithelial barrier and activate the innate immune response (Bonnay et al., 2013). In addition, aging-related death in flies is highly correlated with intestinal barrier dysfunction and activation of the innate immune response (Rera et al., 2012). In light of these links among tissue barrier dysfunction, the innate immune response, and aging-related death, we investigated the role of tissue barrier dysfunction in death following traumatic injury. Our findings indicate that traumatic injury to the brain is a major cause of death in our model and that mortality from brain injury is dependent on genetic and environmental effects on intestinal barrier permeability.

Results

The probability of death following traumatic injury is a quantitative trait

To investigate the role of genotype in determining the MI24, we analyzed the D. melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP), a collection of wild-type, fully sequenced, isogenic fly lines (called RAL lines) (Mackay et al., 2012). Figure 1A shows the MI24 data for 179 RAL lines that were treated with the standard injury protocol. 60 young flies (0–7 day old) were placed in a vial and subjected to four strikes from the HIT device with 5 min between strikes. Following a 10 min recovery period after the last strike, flies were transferred to a new vial containing molasses food and were incubated at 25˚C. The number of dead flies was counted after 24 hr. To control for death not due to injuries during this time, a vial of flies not subjected to injury was equivalently processed. Every experiment consisted of at least three independent trials, and the MI24 represents the average percent death for flies with injuries minus the average percent death for flies without injuries. We found that the MI24 had a continuous distribution among the RAL lines, over a wide range from 6.7 ± 0.8 to 57.5 ± 1.7 (Figure 1A and Supplementary file 1). Similarly, we found that the MI24 had a continuous distribution among a collection of 53 wild-type African lines, from 24.8 ± 9.8 to 68.0 ± 6.4 (Figure 1—figure supplement 1 and Supplementary file 2). These data indicate that the MI24 is influenced by genotype and that genetic variants affecting this parameter occur among natural populations of Drosophila.

To further assess the effect of genotype on the MI24, we crossed the RAL line that had the highest MI24 (RAL892) to other RAL lines and determined the MI24 of 0–7 day old progeny. We found that progeny from crosses between RAL892 and RAL lines with a low MI24 (RAL352, RAL907, and RAL774) had an intermediate MI24 (Figure 1C). In contrast, progeny from crosses between RAL892 and RAL lines with a high MI24 (RAL707, RAL73, RAL799, and RAL161) maintained a high MI24. Variation in the MI24 could be due to many genes or environmental factors. However, the fly lines were cultured under the same conditions (temperature, humidity, diet, light/dark cycle, and density), which limited the contribution of environmental factors. Thus, the continuous distribution over a wide range of the MI24 among wild-type fly lines and the intermediate MI24 of progeny from crosses between fly lines with significantly different MI24s suggest that the probability of death following traumatic injury is a quantitative trait affected by many genes (Falconer and Mackay, 1996).

GWA analysis identifies genes associated with the probability of death following traumatic injury

To identify genes that affect the MI24, we carried out GWA analysis using the MI24 data shown in Figure 1A and ~2.5 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) among the RAL lines.
This analysis revealed that 216 unique SNPs located in or near 98 genes were associated with the MI24 at a discovery significance threshold of $p < 10^{-5}$ (Supplementary file 3). However, despite the small $p$-values, some of the associations may be false positives because the minor allele frequency cut-off of the DGRP Freeze 1 algorithm was 4 lines, allowing the $p$-value to be driven by a few extreme lines. Reanalysis using the DGRP Freeze 2 algorithm that has a minor allele frequency cut-off of 10 lines revealed significant associations of SNPs in only 10 of the 98 genes (Huang et al., 2014). The discrepancy between the Freeze 1 and 2 analyses is illustrated by SNPs in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** The MI24 greatly varies among 0–7 day old RAL flies and is associated with SNPs in grh. (A) Average and standard deviation of the MI24 for 179 RAL lines. Supplementary file 1 lists MI24 values for each of the RAL lines. Colored dots represent SNPs in grh associated with the MI24 and are used to indicate the RAL lines that contain the SNPs. (B) Schematic diagram of the intron-exon structure of grh with the location of the four SNPs associated with the MI24 (St Pierre et al., 2014). Numbered boxes indicate exons and lines indicate introns. Note that spacing of the colored dots is not drawn to scale. (C) SNPs affect the MI24. Average and standard error of the mean (SEM) of the MI24 for RAL lines (light gray bars) and progeny from crosses between RAL lines and RAL892 (dark gray bars). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, and ***$p < 0.001$, one-tailed $t$ test comparison.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

**Figure supplement 1.** The MI24 greatly varies among 0–7 day old wild-type African lines.
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(Mackay et al., 2012). This analysis revealed that 216 unique SNPs located in or near 98 genes were associated with the MI24 at a discovery significance threshold of $p < 10^{-5}$ (Supplementary file 3). However, despite the small $p$-values, some of the associations may be false positives because the minor allele frequency cut-off of the DGRP Freeze 1 algorithm was 4 lines, allowing the $p$-value to be driven by a few extreme lines. Reanalysis using the DGRP Freeze 2 algorithm that has a minor allele frequency cut-off of 10 lines revealed significant associations of SNPs in only 10 of the 98 genes (Huang et al., 2014). The discrepancy between the Freeze 1 and 2 analyses is illustrated by SNPs in
grainyhead (grh), which were significantly associated with the MI24 in the Freeze 1 analysis but were not identified in the Freeze 2 analysis because they occurred in fewer than 10 lines (Figure 1A and Supplementary file 1). At the time that we obtained the MI24 data for the RAL lines, the Freeze 2 algorithm had not been developed, so we moved forward based on the Freeze 1 data, initially focusing on grh because it contained the SNP that was most significantly associated with the MI24 (p = 1.15 × 10−10) as well as three other significant SNPs. The four SNPs in grh were located in a 523 bp region of intron 10 suggesting that they have similar effects on the regulation of grh expression (Figure 1B) (St Pierre et al., 2014). Alternatively, since three of the four SNPs (red, blue, and yellow dots in Figure 1A) are shared by three lines (RAL73, RAL161, and RAL892), linkage disequilibrium may account for their significant association with the MI24. These data indicate that flies carrying particular grh alleles are more likely to die within 24 hr of a traumatic injury than flies lacking these alleles.

Grh encodes a transcription factor crucial for many aspects of development, including epithelial barrier formation (Nüsslein-Volhard et al., 1984; Paré et al., 2012). In humans, one of the three grh orthologs, Grainyhead-like 2 (Grhl2) activates the expression of claudin genes, and in mice, Grhl3 knockout reduces the expression of claudin genes (Yu et al., 2008; Werth et al., 2010; Senga et al., 2012). In flies, misexpression of grh in a tissue that normally lacks septate junctions is sufficient to induce expression of septate junction proteins (Narasimha et al., 2008). Thus, we hypothesized that the four SNPs in grh affect the function of septate junctions by altering the expression of genes encoding septate junction proteins. In support of this hypothesis, SNPs in bbg and scribbled (scrib), which encode PDZ domain-containing, septate junction-associated proteins, were also associated with the MI24 (Supplementary files 3, 4) (Bilder and Perrimon, 2000; Bonnay et al., 2013). bbg remained significantly associated with the probability of death (p = 2.36 × 10−4) when the data from Figure 1A were reanalyzed using the DGRP Freeze 2 algorithm (Huang et al., 2014). Additional support for the hypothesis comes from the finding that direct mechanical damage to the brain in rodent TBI models causes disruption of the intestinal barrier and a decrease in expression of tight junction proteins (Hang et al., 2003; Feighery et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2008; Bansal et al., 2009, 2010). Lastly, gastrointestinal dysfunction is a common complication in TBI patients, and disruption of intestinal tight junction barriers can trigger systemic diseases (Krakau et al., 2006; Suzuki, 2013). Thus, we tested this hypothesis by examining the permeability of tissue barriers following traumatic injury.

### Traumatic injury causes intestinal barrier dysfunction

Functionality of intestinal barrier can be ascertained in flies using a dye permeability assay in which flies are fed a nonabsorbable blue dye (Rera et al., 2011, 2012). If the intestinal barrier is functional, the dye remains in the digestive tract (Figure 2A). In contrast, if the intestinal barrier is disrupted, the dye crosses the barrier into the hemolymph and disperses throughout the body, a process referred to as ‘Smurfing’. Hemolymph is extracellular fluid in the open circulatory system of insects that contacts all internal organs and carries substances such as nutrients and metabolic waste to and away from cells, respectively (Handke et al., 2013). We found that treatment of 0–7 day old w118 flies (a common laboratory strain) with the standard injury protocol caused 23.3 ± 2.1% of the flies to Smurf within 24 hr of the primary injury (Figure 2C), whereas only 0.5 ± 0.2% of untreated flies Smurfed in the same time period. These data indicate that traumatic injury increases the permeability of the intestinal barrier in flies.

To determine whether direct injury to the brain affects intestinal permeability, we inflicted closed-head injuries and monitored Smurfing as a reporter of intestinal permeability. We found that brain injury caused by compressing the head of 0–7 day old w118 flies from eye-to-eye using forceps was sufficient to cause Smurfing within 24 hr (Figure 2B). Of the 540 treated flies, 15.4% Smurfed and died within 24 hr of the primary injury, whereas only 0.5% Smurfed but did not die and 4.6% died but did not Smurf. In contrast, of the 540 untreated flies, 0.7% Smurfed and died within 24 hr, none Smurfed but did not die, and 0.4% died but did not Smurf. These data are consistent with the observed link between brain injury and intestinal barrier dysfunction in rodents, and they support the conclusion that increased intestinal permeability of flies subjected to the HIT device is due to brain injury (Hang et al., 2003; Feighery et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2008; Bansal et al., 2009, 2010). In addition, these data suggest a causal link between increased intestinal permeability and death following TBI.
Traumatic injury causes intestinal barrier dysfunction. (A) Flies that were fed molasses food with blue dye. In flies without traumatic injury (left), the dye was confined to the gut (arrow). In some flies with traumatic injury (right), the dye leaked out of the intestine into the hemolymph and dispersed throughout the body, producing a ‘Smurf’ phenotype (Rera et al., 2011; Rera et al., 2012). (B) A fly that was fed molasses food with blue dye and received brain injury from head compression. (C) Average and SEM of the MI24 (light gray bars) and SI24 (dark gray bars) for the indicated fly lines. The MI24 and SI24 were not significantly different for any of the fly lines (p > 0.32, one-tailed t test). The correlation coefficient (r) between the MI24 and SI24 was 1.0.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.005

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Incapacitated flies had a significantly higher MI24 than non-incapacitated flies (p = 0.007, one-tailed t test).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.006

Traumatic injury causes blood-eye barrier (BEB)/blood–brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction

In mammals, TBI not only disrupts the intestinal barrier but also the BBB (Alves, 2014). Therefore, we used a fluorescence assay to examine the effect of traumatic injury on integrity of the BEB as a reporter of the BBB (DeSalvo et al., 2011; Pinsonneault et al., 2011). Septate junctions are essential for creating the BEB, which restricts the transport of molecules between the retina and the hemolymph (Banerjee et al., 2008). We used intra-thoracic injection to introduce tetramethylrhodamine-conjugated dextran molecules (MW = 10,000) into the hemolymph of the fly. If the BEB is intact, the molecules accumulate along the border of the eye forming a hemolymph exclusion line (Figure 3A) (Pinsonneault et al., 2011). In contrast, if the BEB is disrupted, the molecules cross the barrier and disperse throughout eye (Figure 3B). We subjected 1–4 day old w1118 flies to the standard injury protocol, injected them in the thorax with fluorescent molecules, waited 2 hr, and examined the pattern of fluorescence in the eyes. We found that relative to untreated flies, a significantly greater percentage of HIT device-treated flies had fluorescence throughout the eye, indicating that the BEB is disrupted following traumatic injury (Figure 3C). Furthermore, because
Figure 3. Traumatic injury causes BEB disruption. (A) A w^{1118} fly without traumatic injury that was injected with tetramethylrhodamine-dextran molecules. Note the accumulation of fluorescence at the border of the eye (arrow), which reflects an intact BEB. (B) A w^{1118} fly with traumatic injury that was injected with tetramethylrhodamine-dextran molecules. Note the fluorescence throughout the eye, which reflects BEB permeability. (C) Average and SEM of the percent of HIT device-treated (+) and untreated (−) w^{1118} flies with a permeable BEB. Traumatic injury (TI) significantly increased the percent of flies with a permeable BEB (p = 0.0017, one-tailed t test). (D) The dye penetration scale from − to ++++ for RAL flies. Arrows indicate the fluorescent pseudopupil. (E) The percent of flies with (+) or without (−) traumatic injury in each scale category for the indicated RAL lines. At least 85 flies were examined for each condition.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.007
permeability of the BEB is a reporter of permeability of the BBB, these data suggest that traumatic injury also causes BBB disruption in flies (DeSalvo et al., 2011).

**Intestinal barrier dysfunction correlates with the probability of death following traumatic injury**

To investigate a causal link between intestinal barrier dysfunction and death following traumatic injury, we determined the overlap between flies that Smurfed and flies that died within 24 hr of the primary injury. In the case of w^1118 flies, the percentage of flies that Smurfed within 24 hr of the primary injury, which we define as the Smurfing Index at 24 hr (SI_{24}), was statistically similar to the MI_{24} (Figure 2C). Moreover, there was almost complete overlap between flies that Smurfed and flies that died; less than 1% of flies Smurfed but did not die within 24 hr and less than 1% of flies that did not Smurf died within 24 hr.

To determine the generality of these findings, we examined eight RAL lines: three of which had a low MI_{24} (RAL352, RAL907, and RAL774) and five of which had a high MI_{24} (RAL707, RAL73, RAL799, RAL161, and RAL892). In all cases, we found that there was no significant difference between the SI_{24} and MI_{24} for each fly line (Figure 2C). The almost perfect correlation between the SI_{24} and MI_{24} suggests that intestinal barrier dysfunction is closely linked with death following traumatic injury.

As we have previously reported, about 10% of flies subject to a single strike from the HIT device are temporarily incapacitated, lying motionless on their back or side with no evident physical damage before gradually recovering motor activity within 5 min (Katzenberger et al., 2013). This phenotype is similar to the symptoms of a concussion in humans (Giacino et al., 2014). It is also similar to temporary paralysis observed in bang-sensitive Drosophila mutants following mild mechanical shock that disrupts normal electrical activity in the brain (Fergestad et al., 2008; Parker et al., 2011; Burg and Wu, 2012). These observations are consistent with the idea that at least a fraction of the flies subjected to the HIT device suffer a brain injury that temporarily disturbs normal neuronal function resulting in temporary paralysis. These observations also raise the question of whether this presumptive brain injury contributes to the observed mortality of treated flies. To address this question, we determined the correlation between temporary incapacitation and the MI_{24} following traumatic injury. Individual flies were subjected to a single strike from the HIT device and were scored as incapacitated if they were motionless immediately after injury. As previously observed, incapacitated flies did not die immediately (Katzenberger et al., 2013). Of the 600 flies examined, 64 (10.7%) were incapacitated, and all, except one, recovered mobility within 5 min. Nonetheless, incapacitated flies had an ∼fivefold higher MI_{24} than non-incapacitated flies, indicating that injuries that cause incapacitation also contribute significantly to the cause of death within 24 hr (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). Although we cannot rule out other possibilities, these data taken together with data in Figure 2 are consistent with a model in which death following traumatic injury inflicted by the HIT device is dependent on intestinal barrier dysfunction, which is evoked by damage to the brain via an unknown mechanism.

**BEB/BBB dysfunction does not correlate with the probability of death following traumatic injury**

To investigate a causal link between BEB/BBB and death following traumatic injury, we used the RAL lines to examine the correlation between BEB dysfunction and the MI_{24}. Because the RAL lines have red eyes, the BEB disruption phenotype is different from that described earlier for w^1118 flies, necessitating a modification of the BEB protocol (DeSalvo et al., 2011). Flies with an intact BEB had eyes with no fluorescence, whereas flies with a permeable BEB had eyes with a fluorescent pseudopupil that ranged in intensity. Using the scale shown in Figure 3D, we qualitatively scored the intensity of fluorescence in various RAL lines before and after subjecting flies to injury. We found that the percent of flies with a leaky BEB following traumatic injury, that is, those scored + to ++++, was comparable among different RAL lines, as was the distribution of flies among the scale categories, irrespective of whether a particular line had a low MI_{24} (RAL352 and RAL774) or a high MI_{24} (RAL161 and RAL892) (Figure 3E). In addition, the percent of RAL352 and RAL774 flies with BEB dysfunction following injury was substantially higher than their respective MI_{24} values. These data indicate that BEB/BBB dysfunction does not correlate with the probability of death following traumatic injury.
Bacteria and glucose levels increase in the hemolymph shortly after traumatic injury

The correlation between intestinal barrier disruption and the MI24 suggested the hypothesis that death following traumatic injury is triggered by paracellular leakage of factors such as bacteria or food components from the intestinal lumen to the hemolymph. The Drosophila gut commonly contains bacterial species in the Lactobacillus and Acetobacter genera (Buchon et al., 2013). To determine if traumatic injury permits bacteria to leak across the impaired intestinal barrier, we quantified bacterial levels in the hemolymph. We extracted hemolymph from 0–7 day old w1118 flies 1 hr after they were subjected to the standard injury protocol and determined the bacterial count by spreading a given amount of hemolymph on LB plates (Liu et al., 2012). We found that HIT device-treated flies had >400-fold more bacteria in the hemolymph than untreated flies (Figure 4A and Figure 4—figure supplement 1).

To determine if traumatic injury permits glucose to leak across the impaired intestinal barrier, we determined the concentration of glucose in the hemolymph. Hemolymph was extracted from 0–7 day old w1118 flies at various time points after treatment with the standard injury protocol and the glucose concentration was determined using a colorimetric-based enzymatic assay (Tennessen et al., 2014). We found that the hemolymph glucose concentration of injured flies was significantly higher than that of untreated flies between 2 and 8 hr after injury (Figure 4B). Collectively, the bacteria and blood glucose data indicate that traumatic injury disrupts paracellular barriers formed by septate junctions, allowing the escape of factors at least as large as a bacterium from the intestinal lumen into the hemolymph.

To address whether ingested food is the source of increased glucose in the hemolymph after traumatic injury, we determined the glucose concentration of hemolymph from flies fed molasses food or water after treatment with the standard injury protocol. We found that traumatic injury significantly increased the glucose concentration of hemolymph of flies fed molasses food but not flies fed water (Figure 4C) indicating that ingested molasses food is the source of increased glucose in the hemolymph following traumatic injury.

Bacteria do not affect the probability of death following traumatic injury

As leakage of bacteria from the intestine could contribute to death following traumatic injury, we tested this possibility by eliminating endogenous bacteria in the gut and elsewhere by feeding flies a mixture of antibiotics in molasses food. It was previously shown that the mixture of antibiotics does not interfere with Imd pathway activation and is not toxic to flies (Liu et al., 2012). After feeding antibiotics to 0–2 day old flies for 5 days, we treated the resulting 5–7 day old flies with the standard injury protocol. Some of the flies were used to determine the MI24, and others were used to determine the effectiveness of the antibiotic treatment. For w1118 and RAL fly lines, PCR analysis of bacterial 16S rDNA levels using primers that recognize most bacterial species revealed that antibiotic treatment eliminated the endogenous bacteria (Figure 5B) (Weisburg et al., 1991; Liu et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2013). Colony counts of whole fly extracts spread on LB plates yielded the same conclusion (Figure 5—figure supplement 1). Nonetheless, we found that antibiotics did not significantly affect the MI24 (Figure 5A). Thus, bacteria do not significantly contribute to mortality following traumatic injury. Similarly, we found that the SI24 of antibiotic-fed flies was not significantly different from the SI24 of flies without antibiotics (Figure 5C) indicating that bacteria are also not involved in primary or secondary mechanisms that cause intestinal barrier dysfunction.

Activation of the innate immune response predicts but does not contribute to death following traumatic injury

We previously observed that expression of the innate immune response is activated in flies following traumatic injury (Katzenberger et al., 2013). Leakage of bacteria across the intestinal barrier would be one way that traumatic injury could trigger the innate immune response. If so, this response should be dampened in antibiotic-fed flies. We used qRT-PCR to quantify AMP gene expression following traumatic injury in flies treated with antibiotics compared to controls. We found that 2 hr after treatment of 0–7 day old w1118 flies with the standard injury protocol, both antibiotic-fed flies and flies without antibiotics had higher levels of expression of the AMP genes Attacin C (AttC), DiptB (Diptericin B), and Metchnikowin (Mtk) than equivalently treated flies that were not subjected to the
standard injury protocol (Figure 6A and Figure 6—figure supplements 1, 3). Similar results were observed for some of the RAL lines. These data indicate that activation of the innate immune response following traumatic injury is not solely due to a bacteria-dependent mechanism but is triggered by other injury-associated factors as well.

We also found that the antibiotic-fed flies had significantly lower levels of expression of AttC, DiptB, and Mtk than flies without antibiotics (Figure 6B and Figure 6—figure supplements 2, 4). Thus, antibiotics reduce the level of activation of the innate immune response but do not affect the MI 24, indicating that death following traumatic injury is not influenced by activation of the innate immune response. On the other hand, the level of AMP gene expression does correlate with the MI 24. For example, the correlation coefficient (r) between AttC expression and the MI 24 for the eight RAL lines examined was 0.75 (p = 0.03) for antibiotic-fed flies and 0.74 (p = 0.03) for flies without antibiotics. The respective r-values for DiptB were 0.52 (p = 0.19) and 0.51 (p = 0.20) and for Mtk were 0.81 (p = 0.02) and 0.63 (p = 0.09). These data indicate that the level of expression of some AMP genes, for example, AttC and possibly Mtk, is predictive of death following traumatic injury. Possibly, AMP gene expression level may somehow reflect the extent of intestinal barrier permeability following injury and thus be a predictor of subsequent mortality.

**Molasses food ingestion after traumatic injury causes intestinal barrier dysfunction and death**

As mortality following traumatic injury did not appear to be influenced by leakage of bacteria through a disrupted intestinal barrier, we went on to inquire whether leakage of an ingested food component influenced mortality by reducing the amount of food in the gut before or after traumatic injury. For the ‘before’ treatment, we cultured 0–6 day old w1118 flies in vials with water-soaked filter paper for 24 hr, subjected flies to the standard injury protocol, transferred them to vials containing molasses food, and determined the MI 24. We found that the MI 24 of flies fed molasses food ingestion after traumatic injury did not differ significantly from that of molasses food-fed flies (Figure 7A). For the ‘after’ treatment, flies were cultured on molasses food prior to the standard injury protocol and then transferred to vials containing water-soaked filter paper. We found that feeding the flies water rather than molasses food after traumatic injury significantly reduced the MI 24. Feeding water rather than molasses food after injury also reduced the MI 24 of RAL lines with a low (RAL774) or high (RAL707) MI 24 (Figure 7B). These data indicate that death following traumatic injury is
dependent on a secondary injury mechanism that involves ingestion of molasses food after the primary injury. However, the present data do not rule out the possibility that water provides protection against death following traumatic injury. In either case, since death following traumatic

Figure 5. Endogenous bacteria do not affect the probability of death following traumatic injury. (A) Average and SEM of the MI24 for the indicated fly lines fed for 5 day on molasses food (light gray bars) or on molasses food containing antibiotics (dark gray bars) before being subjected to the standard injury protocol. (B) Levels of bacteria in fly lines shown in panel A, as detected by PCR analysis for bacterial 16S rDNA and fly actin as a loading control. DNA extracted from flies fed (+) or not fed (−) antibiotics was used as a template. Indicated on the left are DNA size markers in basepairs. (C) Average and SEM of the SI24 for w1118 flies with the indicated treatments. Flies fed antibiotics had an SI24 that was not significantly different than flies without antibiotics (p = 0.36, one-tailed t test). Flies fed water after the primary injury had an SI24 that was significantly lower than flies fed molasses food after the primary injury (p < 0.0001, one-tailed t test). Flies fed 1.2 M sucrose after the primary injury had an SI24 that was significantly higher than flies fed water after the primary injury (p = 0.0027, one-tailed t test).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.010
The following figure supplement is available for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Antibiotic treatment of flies eliminates endogenous bacteria.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.011
Figure 6. Analyses of the role that bacteria play in activation of the innate immune response by traumatic injury and the role that the level of activation of the innate immune response plays in causing death following traumatic injury. (A) Average and SEM of AttC expression normalized to actin expression in HIT device-treated flies relative to untreated flies. This analysis was performed with flies fed food without antibiotics (light gray bars) or with antibiotics (dark gray bars). Expression levels were determined 2 hr after treatment with the standard injury protocol. Analogous data are shown for DiptB and Mtk in Figure 6—figure supplements 1, 3, respectively. (B) Average and SEM of AttC expression normalized to actin expression in antibiotic-fed flies (dark gray bars) and flies without antibiotics (light gray bars) 2 hr after treatment with the standard injury protocol. Analogous data are shown for DiptB and Mtk in Figure 6—figure supplements 2, 4, respectively. *p < 0.05, one-tailed t test.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.012

The following figure supplements are available for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Level of DiptB expression normalized to actin expression in HIT device-treated flies relative to untreated flies for flies without antibiotics (light gray bars) and antibiotic-fed flies (dark gray bars).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.013

Figure supplement 2. Level of DiptB expression normalized to actin expression 2 hr after treatment with the standard injury protocol in flies without antibiotics (light gray bars) antibiotic-fed flies (dark gray bars).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.014

Figure supplement 3. Level of Mtk expression normalized to actin expression in HIT device-treated flies relative to untreated flies for flies without antibiotics (light gray bars) and antibiotic-fed flies (dark gray bars).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.015

Figure supplement 4. Level of Mtk expression normalized to actin expression 2 hr after treatment with the standard injury protocol in flies without antibiotics (light gray bars) and antibiotic-fed flies (dark gray bars).

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.016
injury was not completely eliminated by substituting water for molasses food, these data indicate that other independent mechanisms also contribute to mortality after traumatic injury. Consistent with these observations and the correlation between the SI24 and mortality after injury, we found that flies fed water after traumatic injury had a significantly lower SI24 than molasses food-fed flies (Figure 5C). These data indicate that ingestion of molasses food after a primary injury promotes intestinal barrier disruption.

Sugar ingestion after a primary injury promotes intestinal barrier disruption and death

Our finding that traumatic injury causes glucose levels to increase in the hemolymph suggested that molasses, which is predominantly sucrose but also contains significant amounts of glucose and fructose, is the primary component of molasses food that promotes death following traumatic injury (Dionex, 2003). In support of this hypothesis, genes in the hexosamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP), which functions as a sensor and regulator of glucose levels, were associated with the MI24 (Supplementary files 3, 4) (Marshall, 2006). One gene, super sex combs (sxc), encodes an O-linked N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) transferase (OGT) that uses one of the major HBP end products,
UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc), as a substrate for post-translational modification of proteins involved in insulin production and utilization (Copeland et al., 2008; Sinclair et al., 2009). Another gene, polypeptide GalNAc transferase 2 (pgant2), encodes a polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase that uses the other major HBP end product, UDP-N-acetylgalactosamine (UDP-GalNAc), as a substrate for post-translational modification of proteins that remain to be identified (Zhang and Ten Hagen, 2010). In addition, SNPs in microRNA-14 (mir-14), which regulates insulin production in neurosecretory cells, and CG7882, which encodes a protein similar in sequence to human glucose transporters (GLUTs) that facilitate transport of glucose across plasma membranes, were associated with the MI24 (Thorens and Mueckler, 2010; Varghese et al., 2010).

Consequently, we investigated the possibility that sugar levels contribute to mortality following traumatic injury by determining the MI24 of 0–7 day old w1118 flies that were transferred to vials with sugar-soaked filter paper (sucrose, glucose, or fructose) following the standard injury protocol. The concentrations of sugars tested were based on molasses food, which is 10% molasses vol/vol (approximately 0.33 M sugar, that is, 0.13 M sucrose, 0.1 M glucose, and 0.1 M fructose) (Dionex, 2003). We found that flies cultured on any of the sugars at 0.4 M had an MI24 that was not significantly different from the MI24 of flies cultured on water (Figure 7C–E). In contrast, flies cultured on 0.6 M sucrose, 1.0 M glucose, or 0.8 M fructose had an MI24 that was significantly higher than the MI24 of flies cultured on water. The effective concentrations of the individual sugars are higher than they are in molasses suggesting that sugars in combination have a synergistic effect on the MI24 or that another component of molasses food is important. Figures 7C–E also indicate that the effect of sugars on the MI24 is saturable. For example, flies cultured on 0.8, 1.0, or 1.2 M sucrose had statistically similar MI24 values. We also found that flies fed 1.2 M sucrose after a primary injury had a significantly higher S24 than flies fed water after a primary injury (Figure 5C), indicating that sugar ingested after a traumatic injury promotes intestinal barrier disruption. Together, these data indicate that ingestion of sugar beyond a certain threshold after traumatic injury increases the probability of death by exacerbating intestinal barrier dysfunction and that the secondary injury mechanism by which sugar promotes death is saturable.

**Impaired insulin signaling does not correlate with the probability of death following traumatic injury**

The increased concentration of glucose in the hemolymph that results from traumatic injury could be exacerbated by reduced transport of glucose from the hemolymph into cells. Therefore, we investigated the effect of traumatic injury on insulin signaling, a major regulator of glucose transport into cells (Hazelton and Fridell, 2010). To do this, we examined expression of gene targets of the insulin signaling pathway. Insulin signaling through the insulin receptor (InR) leads to phosphorylation and activation of the Akt kinase and inactivation of the FOXO transcription factor (Teleman, 2009). If insulin signaling is impaired, FOXO is activated and expression of FOXO target genes such as InR, Lipase 4 (Lip4), Ecdysone-inducible gene L2 (Impl2), and 4E-BP (Thor), increases (Wang et al., 2005).

We used qRT-PCR to determine expression levels of FOXO target genes in Smurfed and non-Smurfed 0–7 day old w1118 flies 2 hr after treatment with the standard injury protocol and culturing on molasses food. We found that Smurfed flies had a small but significant increase in expression of three of the four FOXO target genes compared with non-Smurfed flies (Figure 8A). In contrast, Smurfed and non-Smurfed RAL flies with low (RAL774) or high (RAL892) MI24 had similar levels of FOXO target gene expression when cultured on molasses food after the primary injury (Figure 8B,C), as did Smurfed and non-Smurfed w1118 flies when cultured on 1.2 M sucrose after injury (Figure 8D). Thus, impaired insulin signaling does not appear to explain the increase in glucose concentration in hemolymph caused by traumatic injury nor the subsequent mortality.

**Discussion**

The HIT device fly model replicates central features of human TBI

TBI in humans can result from a strong mechanical jolt to the body or head that causes the brain to collide against the rigid skull (Davis, 2000; Masel and DeWitt, 2010). Initial or primary damage from impact forces results in brain dysfunction manifested by a variety of symptoms, including loss of consciousness, seizure, and other behavioral and cognitive impairments. Subsequently, additional non-mechanical secondary injuries can arise over time in response to the primary injuries resulting in further pathological consequences, which in the most severe cases includes death. The biological...
pathways linking primary and secondary injuries and the proximate cause of death following TBI are poorly understood at present. We have recently developed a traumatic injury model in *Drosophila* that replicates many of the key features of TBI in humans (Katzenberger et al., 2013). Here, we investigate the link between primary and secondary injuries in this model and determine which pathophysiological manifestations correlated with death 24 hr after injury. Our results suggest that subjecting flies to collision impact by the HIT device results in primary TBI that triggers secondary injuries, including damage to the intestinal barrier. The resulting increased intestinal permeability is highly correlated with mortality within 24 hr after injury and is very likely to be one of the main causative factors of death.

Because TBI in humans is diagnosed based on symptoms rather than by any precise medical test, and because flies subjected to mechanical impact injury by our HIT device do not exclusively contact the vial wall with their head, it is difficult to prove rigorously that we have caused a TBI-like injury in flies. Nonetheless, our results are consistent with the supposition that at least some fraction of the flies subjected to the HIT device have suffered an injury analogous with TBI in humans. They exhibit diagnostic features associated with human TBI, notably temporary loss of motor activity with flies lying incapacitated on their back or side, with no evidence of external mechanical damage (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). Motor activity recovers gradually over a 5-min period, although ataxia persists for a longer period. In addition to these immediate impairments, over a more extended period, the injured flies also manifest a shortened lifespan, behavioral deficits, and onset of neurodegeneration in the central brain (Katzenberger et al., 2013). These phenotypes are all indicative of brain dysfunction, which is the defining characteristic of TBI. Indeed, screens in *Drosophila* for reversible, conditional loss of motor activity, resulted in the isolation of mutants with defects in neuronal excitability and synaptic transmission, which were further enriched for neurodegenerative phenotypes (Siddiqi and Benzer, 1976; Littleton et al., 1998; Palladino et al., 2002, 2003; Fergestad et al., 2006; Gnerer et al., 2006; Babcock et al., 2015). Activation of the innate immune response in the brain following treatment by the HIT device is a further indication of injury to
the brain (Katzenberger et al., 2013). Moreover, because flies become paralyzed immediately after mechanical injury, this must be a primary injury response. The high-speed movie shows that flies subjected to the HIT device could sustain primary injuries to the brain through multiple mechanisms: acceleration-deceleration forces on the brain due to contact of the head with the vial, coup contrecoup forces on the brain due to rebounding of the spring, or sheer forces on the brain due to unrestricted head movements that accompany contact of the body with the vial (Balsiger et al., 2014). Thus, although we cannot rule out other more complicated interpretations, as a working hypothesis, we believe our data indicate that some percentage of flies subjected to mechanical impact by the HIT device suffer a brain injury that shares significant features with TBI in humans.

**Death following TBI in flies is associated with intestinal barrier dysfunction**

In this study, we focused on investigating the underlying causes of mortality within 24 hr after subjecting flies to TBI. Since flies that die within this period do not do so immediately after the primary injury, there must be some secondary effect that amplifies the initial injury to cause death. Unexpectedly, our results point to intestinal barrier dysfunction as a physiological consequence following TBI that is a major factor in subsequent mortality. Four lines of evidence support this conclusion. (1) GWA analysis for variation in the MI24 uncovered genes linked to the function of septate junctions (Figure 1A and Supplementary file 1), including grh, which encodes a transcription factor required for epithelial barrier formation, and bbg and scrib, which encode PDZ domain-containing, septate junction-associated proteins (Bilder and Perrimon, 2000; Narasimha et al., 2008; Bonnay et al., 2013). (2) There was a very high correlation between the MI24 and the onset of Snurfling, a reporter of increased intestinal permeability (Figure 2C). (3) There was a strong correlation between the MI24 and intestinal leakage of glucose that was ingested after a primary injury (Figures 4B,C, 7). (4) There was a significant correlation between activation of the innate immune response by leakage of bacteria from the intestine and the MI24 (Figure 6 and Figure 6—figure supplements 1–4).

Our results also provide evidence that intestinal barrier dysfunction is secondary to brain injury inflicted by the HIT device. In particular, leakage of glucose from the intestine, which is delayed relative to the time of injury by the HIT device (Figure 4B), and the increased probability of intestinal permeability by ingestion of food after injury (Figure 5C) both indicate that intestinal permeability is a secondary response to the initial mechanical injury. Moreover, we found that direct injury to the brain via a crushing injury, is sufficient to trigger intestinal barrier dysfunction (Figure 2B). This conclusion is supported by the observation that flies immediately incapacitated following injury by the HIT device, which are the ones most likely to have suffered a brain injury, had a significantly higher probability of death, which is associated with intestinal barrier dysfunction, than non-incapacitated flies (Figure 2—figure supplement 1).

Physiological events associated with death following traumatic injury in flies are shared with TBI in mammals. Gastrointestinal dysfunction, including increased intestinal permeability, is frequently observed in TBI patients (Krakau et al., 2006). Moreover, increased intestinal permeability occurs in rodent TBI models in which injury is inflicted exclusively to the brain, demonstrating that increased intestinal permeability can result from direct mechanical injury to the brain (Hang et al., 2003; Feighery et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2008; Bansal et al., 2009, 2010). While increased intestinal permeability is linked to death in critically ill patients and correlates with the severity of injury in trauma patients, it has not yet been linked to death in TBI patients (Doig et al., 1998; Faries et al., 1998; Reintam et al., 2009; Tude Melo et al., 2010; Piton et al., 2011). On the other hand, patients with severe TBI have significantly higher blood glucose levels than patients with moderate or mild TBI, and hyperglycemia is highly predictive of death following TBI (Rovlias and Kotsou, 2000; Salim et al., 2009; Tude Melo et al., 2010; Harun Harun et al., 2011; Prisco et al., 2012; Alexiou et al., 2014; Elkot et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2014). In addition, patients with diabetes mellitus, a disease characterized by insulin resistance, have an increased risk of death following TBI (Ley et al., 2011; Lustenberger et al., 2013). However, thus far, modulating blood glucose levels by intensive insulin treatment in humans has had no effect on the probability of death within 6 months of a primary injury (Bilotta et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2009). Thus, additional research is still needed to understand the mechanistic relationship between blood glucose levels and the probability of death following TBI. Because key features appear to be conserved between flies and mammals, further studies using the fly TBI model should help provide important new information.
Taken together, these data support the conclusion that primary TBI triggers secondary intestinal barrier dysfunction (Figure 9). Consequent leakage of sugars across the impaired intestinal barrier causes further impairment of this barrier and ultimately death through an unknown proximal event.

**TBI and aging have similar physiological consequences**

Physiological phenotypes of flies that die from TBI are shared with those of flies that die from old age. Rera et al. (2012) found that, regardless of chronological age, a few days prior to death, w^118^ flies show increased intestinal permeability and increased activation of the innate immune response. They also found that w^118^ flies had reduced insulin signaling, which we observed with w^118^ flies cultured on molasses food, but, paradoxically, not with other fly lines or under other conditions (Figure 8). In addition, we previously found that the probability of death following TBI increases with age in flies, and others have found that the probability of death within one or 6 months of a primary injury increases with age in TBI patients (Susman et al., 2002; Hukkelhoven et al., 2003; Dhandapani et al., 2012; Katzenberger et al., 2013). Taken together, these data suggest that as flies and humans age, events such as breakdown of the intestinal epithelial barrier progressively become more severe until they are sufficient to cause death. Thus, as has been suggested for neuropathologies in human TBI (Smith et al., 2013), TBI may cause death in young flies by triggering secondary injury mechanisms that parallel the defects that otherwise occur as part of the normal aging process. Older flies would then be more susceptible to death following TBI than younger flies because some critical physiological mechanism such as breakdown of the intestinal epithelial barrier would already be compromised to the point where it would require less of a subsequent insult to push the impairment beyond the threshold for lethality.

**The fly TBI model has provided new insights into the mechanisms underlying death following TBI**

Our results demonstrate that the probability of death following TBI is a quantitative trait likely to be affected by many genes. This discovery was possible because we were able to examine many genetically diverse wild-type fly lines under conditions where factors known to affect the probability of death following TBI, that is, the force, number, and timing of primary injuries, age at the time of the primary injury, and environmental conditions, were kept constant (Figure 1A and Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Furthermore, through GWA analysis, we were able to identify 216 SNPs in 98 genes that are significantly associated with the probability of death following TBI (Supplementary file 3). Presumably, these SNPs create a physiological state that alters the severity of primary and/or secondary injuries. For example, SNPs in genes that affect the function of septate junctions (grh, bbg, and scrib) or glucose homeostasis (sxc, pgant2, mir-14, and CG7882) may generate intestinal epithelial barriers that are sensitive to disruption by cellular and molecular mechanisms triggered by TBI. Genotype is likely to play an equally important role in humans since variation in several genes has already been shown to be associated with the probability of death of severe TBI patients (Dardiotis et al., 2010; Garringer et al., 2013; Failla et al., 2015). These data suggest that SNPs in orthologs of genes such as grh will correlate with the probability of death of TBI patients and could be used for genetic susceptibility testing to identify individuals at high risk of death following TBI.

Nevertheless, it remains to be determined whether any of the 98 genes identified by our GWA study directly affect the probability of death
following TBI. We attempted to determine this for grh by examining the MI 24 of existing grh mutant fly lines. We found that the MI 24 ranged from 18.9 ± 2.8 to 31.6 ± 0.9 for 0–7 day old flies that were heterozygous for different grh mutant alleles, two lethal P-element alleles and three lethal EMS alleles. However, determining whether and how these grh mutations affect the MI 24 requires comparison with isogenic flies that are wild-type for grh, which unfortunately do not exist. Moreover, we do not yet know how the identified SNPs affect grh expression or function. For example, if the SNPs increase grh expression or alter only a subset of grh functions then grh loss-of-function mutations would not be expected to have the same effect on the MI 24. Use of the CRISPR technology in future experiments will help resolve these issues (Gratz et al., 2013).

Our studies have also led to the identification of additional physiological events evoked by TBI, including BBB dysfunction (Figure 3), leakage of bacteria from the intestinal lumen (Figures 4A, 5), and activation of the innate immune response (Figure 6 and Figure 6—figure supplements 1–4). Although occurrence of these events is not correlated with the probability of death following TBI, we hypothesize that they are not benign. One likely possibility is that they are associated with unfavorable long-term TBI outcomes in flies and humans that survive for an extended period after injury. For example, since activation of the innate immune response in the brain causes neurodegeneration in flies and is a common feature of human neurodegenerative diseases, it may be an important factor for neurodegeneration in the fly TBI model and for chronic traumatic encephalopathy, a form of neurodegeneration, in TBI patients (Tan et al., 2008; Arroyo et al., 2011; Chinchore et al., 2012; Petersen et al., 2012, 2013; Cao et al., 2013; Baugh et al., 2014). In support of this idea, the β-lactam antibiotic ceftriaxone has neuroprotective effects in a rat TBI model (Wei et al., 2012; Goodrich et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2014). It will be interesting to determine if reducing the level of activation of the innate immune response by feeding flies antibiotics reduces the severity of neurodegeneration following TBI.

Finally, we found that the amount of sugar ingested immediately after a primary injury greatly affects the probability of death (Figure 7). There is considerable evidence that diet after a primary injury influences the outcomes of TBI patients (Greco and Prins, 2013; Scrimgeour and Condlin, 2014). For example, zinc supplementation reduces the probability of death of severe TBI patients, and the amount of nutrition in the first 5 days after a primary injury affects the probability of death of severe TBI patients (Young et al., 1996; Härtl et al., 2008). Our results in Drosophila, suggest that limiting sugar intake immediately after TBI in humans may be worth investigating as a therapeutic option to reduce the probability of death. Moreover, evolutionary conservation of the intestinal response to TBI between flies and humans suggests that elucidation of the underlying genotype- and age-dependent mechanisms in flies will have clinical relevance.

In summary, these studies have shown that key phenotypic manifestations of TBI and the underlying physiological mechanisms are shared between Drosophila and humans. By exploiting the many experimental advantages offered by a Drosophila TBI model, it should be possible to obtain novel information to gain further insight into the biology of TBI and ultimately derive new therapeutic strategies to limit its deleterious outcomes in humans.

Materials and methods

Fly lines and culturing

Flies were maintained on molasses food at 25°C unless otherwise stated. Molasses food contained 30 g Difco granulated agar (Becton-Dickinson, Sparks, MD), 44 g YSC-1 yeast (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 328 g cornmeal (Lab Scientific, Highlands, NJ), 400 ml unsulphured Grandma’s molasses (Lab Scientific), 3.6 l water, 40 ml propionic acid (Sigma), and tegosept (8 g Methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate in 75 ml of 95% ethanol) (Sigma). Water and sucrose, glucose, and fructose (all from Sigma) vials were prepared immediately before use by placing a circular piece of Whatman filter paper (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) at the bottom of the vial to absorb 200 μl of liquid. Molasses food with antibiotics contained 100 μg/ml ampicillin (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ), 50 μg/ml vancomycin (Sigma), 100 μg/ml neomycin (Sigma), and 100 μg/ml metronidazole (Sigma) in standard molasses food, as described by Liu et al. (2012). The DGRP collection of flies was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center, the African collection was provided by John Pool (UW-Madison), and grh mutants were provided by Melissa Harrison (UW-Madison) (Mackay et al., 2012; Bastide et al., 2014).
Physiological and molecular assays

The MI24 was determined as described in Katzenberger et al. (2013). Fly lines not treated with the HIT device had ≤1.15% death within the 24 hr period examined. SNPs associated with the probability of death following TBI were identified using the DGRP Freeze 1 and 2 web tools (Mackay et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014). Intestinal permeability was determined using the Smurf assay, as described by Rera et al. (2011), (2012). BEB permeability was determined using the fluorescence assay described by Pinsonneault et al. (2011), except that tetramethylrhodamine-conjugated dextran (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) was used as the probe. Hemolymph was extracted from flies by centrifugation, as described by Tennessen et al. (2014), except that flies were decapitated rather than punctured and hemolymph was extracted from heads and bodies. Also, the glass wool was packed tightly to block passage of solid material and the collected hemolymph was thoroughly mixed to resuspend bacterial that may have pelleted during centrifugation. Glucose concentration in hemolymph was performed using the glucose oxidase (GO) assay (Sigma), as described by Tennessen et al. (2014). Bacterial counts in whole flies were performed as described by Liu et al. (2012). Bacterial counts in hemolymph were determined by diluting 1 μl of hemolymph into 50 μl of LB, spreading the whole sample on an LB plate, and counting the number of colonies after 2 day at 25˚C. Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on total RNA extracted from whole flies as described in Petersen et al. (2012). PCR of 16S rDNA was performed using 1 μg of total DNA extracted from flies and primers that amplify 16S rDNA from most eubacteria (Weisburg et al., 1991). PCR primer sequences are listed in Supplementary file 5.

Acknowledgements

We thank Grace Boekhoff-Falk and members of the Wassarman and Ganetzky laboratories for their insights that greatly improved this research; Zac Balsiger, Jon Leudtke, Scott Mawer, and Malachi Willey for producing the movie of the HIT device; John Pool, Melissa Harrison, and the Bloomington Stock Center for providing flies; Bernie Weisblum for help with the glucose assay; and Wen Huang for assistance analyzing and interpreting the DGRP data. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 AG033620 to BG) and by Robert Draper Technology Innovation Funding (to DAW).

Funding

| Funder                      | Grant reference         | Author            |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| National Institutes of Health (NIH) | R01 AG033620           | Barry Ganetzky    |
| University of Wisconsin-Madison | Robert Draper Technology Innovation Funding | David A Wassarman |

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

RJK, SC, SAR, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article; JAF, GK, JMS, LCS, JEZ, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data; BG, DAW, Conception and design, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article

Additional files

- Supplementary file 1. MI24 values for RAL lines (listed by increasing MI24). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.020
- Supplementary file 2. MI24 of African lines (listed by increasing MI24). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.021
- Supplementary file 3. SNPs associated with the MI24 (listed alphabetically based on gene symbol). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.022
• Supplementary file 4. The occurrence of selected SNPs in RAL lines examined in the figures.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.023
• Supplementary file 5. Primers for PCR.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04790.024

References
Alexiou GA, Lianos G, Fotakopoulos G, Michos E, Pachatouridis D, Voulgaris S. 2014. Admission glucose and coagulopathy occurrence in patients with traumatic brain injury. Brain Injury 28:438–441. doi: 10.3109/02699052.2014.888769.
Alves JL. 2014. Blood-brain barrier and traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neuroscience Research 92:141–147. doi: 10.1002/jnr.23300.
Arroyo DS, Sorio JA, Gaviglio EA, Rodriguez-Galen MC, Iribarren P. 2011. Toll-like receptors are key players in neurodegeneration. International Immunopharmacology 11:1415–1421. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2011.05.006.
Babcock DT, Shen W, Ganetzky B. 2015. A neuroprotective function of NSF1 sustains autophagy and lysosomal trafficking in Drosophila. Genetics 199:511–522. doi: 10.1534/genetics.114.172403.
Balsiger Z, Leudkte J, Mawer S, Willey M. 2014. HIT device high speed analysis. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hkmCXwPacBO.
Banerjee S, Bainton RJ, Mayer N, Beckstead R, Bhat MA. 2008. Septate junctions are required for ommatidial integrity and blood-eye barrier function in Drosophila. Developmental Biology 317:585–599. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.03.007.
Bansal V, Constantini T, Kroll L, Peterson C, Loomis W, Elicieri B, Baird A, Wolf P, Coimbra R. 2009. Traumatic brain injury and intestinal dysfunction: uncovering the neuro-enteric axis. Journal of Neurotrauma 26:1353–1359. doi: 10.1089/neu.2008-0858.
Bansal V, Constantini T, Ryu SY, Petersen C, Loomis W, Putnam J, Elicieri B, Baird A, Coimbra R. 2010. Stimulating the central nervous system to prevent intestinal dysfunction after traumatic brain injury. The Journal of Trauma 68:1059–1064. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181d87373.
Bastide H, Yassin A, Johanning EJ, Pool JE. 2014. Pigmentation in Drosophila melanogaster reaches its maximum in Ethiopia and correlates most strongly with ultra-violet radiation in sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Evolutionary Biology 14:149. doi: 10.1186/s12862-014-0179-x.
Baugh CM, Robbins CA, Stern RA, McKee AC. 2014. Current understanding of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. Current Treatment Options in Neurology 16:306. doi: 10.1007/s11940-014-0306-5.
Bilder D, Perrimon N. 2000. Localization of apical epithelial determinants by the basolateral PDZ protein Scribble. Nature 403:676–680. doi: 10.1038/3501108.
Blottia F, Caramia R, Cernak I, Paoloni FP, Doronzio A, Cuozzone V, Santoro A, Rosa G. 2008. Intensive insulin therapy after severe traumatic brain injury: a randomized clinical trial. Neurocritical Care 9:159–166. doi: 10.1007/s12028-008-9084-9.
Blennow K, Hardy J, Zetterberg H. 2012. The neuropathology and neurobiology of traumatic brain injury. Neuron 76:886–899. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.11.021.
Bonmay F, Cohen-Berros E, Hoffmann M, Kim SY, Boullienne GL, Hoffmann JA, Matt N, Reichhart JM. 2013. Big bang gene modulates gut immune tolerance in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 110:2957–2962. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221910110.
Buchon N, Broderick NA, Lemaitre B. 2013. Gut homeostasis in a microbial world: insights from Drosophila melanogaster. Nature Reviews Microbiology 11:615–626. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3074.
Burg MG, Wu CF. 2012. Mechanical and temperature stressor-induced seizure-and-paralysis behaviors in Drosophila bang-sensitive mutants. Journal of Neurogenetics 26:189–197. doi: 10.3109/01677063.2012.690011.
Cao Y, Chtarbanova S, Petersen AJ, Ganetzky B. 2013. Dnr1 mutations cause neurodegeneration in Drosophila by activating the innate immune response in the brain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 110:E1752–E1760. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1306201110.
Chinchore Y, Gerber GF, Dolph PJ. 2012. Alternative pathway of cell death in Drosophila mediated by NF-κB transcription factor Relish. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 109:E605–E612. doi: 10.1073/pnas.110666109.
Copeland RJ, Bullen JW, Hart GW. 2008. Cross-talk between GlcNAcylation and phosphorylation: roles in insulin resistance and glucose toxicity. American Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism 295:E17–E28. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.90281.2008.
Coronado VG, Xu L, Basavaraju SV, Mcguire LC, Wald MM, Faul MD, Guzman BR, Hemphill JD. 2011. Surveillance for traumatic brain injury-related deaths – United States, 1997-2007. MMWR Surveillance Summaries 60:1–32.
Cui C, Cui Y, Gao J, Sun L, Wang Y, Li R, Tian Y, Song S, Cui J. 2014. Neuroprotective effect of ceftriaxone in a rat model of traumatic brain injury. Neurological Sciences 35:695–700. doi: 10.1007/s10072-013-1585-4.
Dardiotis E, Fountas KN, Dardioti M, Xiromerisiou G, Kapsalaki E, Tasiou A, Hadjiigeorgiou GM. 2010. Genetic association studies in patients with traumatic brain injury. Neurosurgical Focus 28:E9. doi: 10.3171/2009.10.FOCUS09215.
Davceva N, Janesva V, Ilievski B, Petrusheska G, Popeska Z. 2012. The occurrence of acute subdural haematoma and diffuse axonal injury as two typical acceleration injuries. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 19:480–484. doi: 10.1016/j.jflm.2012.04.022.
Davis AE. 2000. Mechanisms of traumatic brain injury: biomedical, structural and cellular consideration. Critical Care Nursing Quarterly 23:1–13. doi: 10.1097/00002727-200011000-00002.

DeSalvo MK, Mayer N, Mayer F, Bainton RJ. 2011. Physiologic and anatomical characterization of the brain surface glia barrier of Drosophila. Glia 59:1322–1340. doi: 10.1002/glia.21147.

Dhandapani S, Manju D, Sharma B, Mahapatra A. 2012. Prognostic significance of age in traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neurosurgery: Practice 3:131–135. doi: 10.4103/0976-3147.98208.

Dionex. 2003. The determination of sugars in molasses by high-performance anion exchange with pulsed amperometric detection. http://www.dionex.com/en-us/webdocs/4666-AN92_LPN0565.pdf.

Doig CJ, Sutherland LR, Sandham JD, Fick GH, Verhoef M, Meddings JB. 1998. Increased intestinal permeability is associated with the development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in critically ill ICU patients. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 158:444–451. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.158.2.9710092.

Elkon B, Cambrin JR, Hirshberg E, Bratton SL. 2014. Hyperglycemia: an independent risk factor for poor outcome in children with traumatic brain injury. Pediatric Critical Care Medicine 15:623–631. doi: 10.1097/PCC.000000000000170.

Failla MD, Kumar RG, Peitzman AB, Conley YP, Ferrell RE, Wagner AK. 2015. Variation in the BDNF gene interacts with age to predict mortality in a prospective, longitudinal cohort with severe TBI. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment 29:234–246. doi: 10.1177/1559861015632617.

Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. 1996. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Essex: Pearson Education Limited.

Faries PL, Simon RJ, Martella AT, Lee MJ, Machiedo GW. 1998. Intestinal permeability correlates with severity of injury in trauma patients. The Journal of Trauma 44:1031–1035. doi: 10.1097/00005373-199806000-00016.

Feighery L, Smyth A, Keely S, Baird AW, O’Connor WT, Callanan JJ, Brayden DJ. 2008. Increased intestinal permeability in rats subjected to traumatic frontal lobe percussive brain injury. The Journal of Trauma 64:131–137, discussion 137–138. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181568d9f.

Fergestad T, Ganetzky B, Palladino MJ. 2006. Neuropathology in Drosophila membrane excitability mutants. Genetics 172:1031–1042. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.050625.

Fergestad T, Olsen L, Patel KP, Miller R, Palladino MJ, Ganetzky B. 2008. Neuropathology in Drosophila mutants with increased seizure susceptibility. Genetics 178:947–956. doi: 10.1534/genetics.107.082115.

Furuse M, Tsukita S. 2006. Claudins in occluding junctions of humans and flies. Trends in Cell Biology 16:181–188. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2006.02.006.

Garringer JA, Niyonkuru C, McCullough EH, Loucks T, Dixon CE, Conley YP, Berga S, Wagner AK. 2013. Impact of aromatase genetic variation on hormonal levels and global outcome after severe TBI. Journal of Neurotrauma 30:1415–1425. doi: 10.1089/neu.2012.2565.

Giacinto JT, Pinto LB, Arinze O, Arinze O, Collado V. 2013. Disorders of consciousness after brain injury: the state of the science. Nature Reviews Neurology 10:99–114. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2013.279.

Gnerer JP, Handke B, DeSalvo MK, Elkon B, Davis AE, Gratz SJ, Fins JJ, Laureys S, Schiff ND. 2014. Disorders of consciousness after brain injury: the state of the science. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08830-7_6.

Gratz SJ, Wildonger J, Harrison MM, O’Connor-Giles KM. 2013. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering and the promise of designer flies on demand. Fly 7:249–255. doi: 10.4161/fly.26566.

Greco T, Prins ML. 2013. Traumatic brain injury and diet. Journal of Child Neurology 28:983–988. doi: 10.1177/0883073813487594.

Handbke B, Poemnbacher I, Goetze S, Ahrens CH, Omasits U, Marty F, Simigdala N, Meyer J, Wollscheid B, Brunner E, Hafen E, Lehner CF. 2010. The hemolymph proteome of fed and starved Drosophila melanogaster as a model for the study of glucose homeostasis. Aging 2:523–526.

Hang CH, Shi JX, Li JS, Wu W, Yin HX. 2003. Alternations of intestinal mucosa structure and barrier function following traumatic brain injury in rats. World Journal of Gastroenterology 9:2776–2781.

Harrison-Felix CL, Whitneck GG, Jha A, DeVivo MJ, Hammond FM, Hart DM. 2009. Mortality over four decades after traumatic brain injury rehabilitation: a retrospective cohort study. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 90:1506–1513. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2009.03.015.

Härtl R, Gerber LM, Ni Q, Ghajar J. 2008. Effect of early nutrition on deaths due to severe traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neurosurgery 109:50–56. doi: 10.3171/JNS/2008/109/7/0050.

Harun Haron R, Imran MK, Hasparsi MS. 2011. An observational study of blood glucose levels during admission and 24 hours post-operation in a sample of patients with traumatic injury in a hospital in Kuala Lumpur. The Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences 18:69–77.

Hazelton AT, Fridell YW. 2010. Adult Drosophila melanogaster as a model for the study of glucose homeostasis. Aging 2:523–526.

Huang W, Massouras A, Inoue Y, Pfeiffer J, Rámia M, Tarone AM, Turlapati L, Zichner T, Zhu D, Lyman RF, Magwire MM, Blankenburg K, Carbone MA, Chang K, Ellis LL, Fernandez S, Han Y, Highnam G, Hjelmén CE, Jack JR, Javaid M, Jayaseelan J, Kalra D, Lee S, Lewis L, Muniadas M, Ongeri F, Patel S, Perales L, Perez A, Pu L, Rollmann SM, Ruth R, Saada N, Warner B, Williams A, Wu YQ, Yamamoto A, Zhang Y, Zhu Y, Anholt RR, Korbel JC, Mizutani D, Muñoz DM, Gibbs RA, Barbadilla A, Johnston JS, Stone EA, Richards S, Deplancke B, Mackay TF. 2014. Natural variation in genome architecture among 205 Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel lines. Genome Research 24:1193–1208. doi: 10.1101/gr.171546.113.
Katzenberger RJ, Loewen CA, Wassarman DR, Petersen AJ, Ganetzky B, Wassarman DA. 2013. A Drosophila model of closed head traumatic brain injury. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 110: E4152–E4159. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1316895110.

Krackau K, Omne-Pontén M, Karlsson T, Borg J. 2006. Metabolism and nutrition in patients with moderate and severe traumatic brain injury: a systematic review. Brain Injury 20:345–367. doi: 10.1080/02699050500487571.

Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J. 2007. The host defense of Drosophila melanogaster. Annual Review of Immunology 25: 697–743. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141615.

Levy EJ, Srour MK, Clond MA, Bannajian M, Tillou A, Mirocha J, Salim A. 2011. Diabetic patients with traumatic brain injury: insulin deficiency is associated with increased mortality. The Journal of Trauma 70:1141–1144. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3182146d66.

Littleton JT, Chapman ER, Kreber R, Garment MB, Carlson SD, Ganetzky B. 1998. Temperature-sensitive paralytic mutations demonstrate that synaptic ectochoptosis requires SNARE complex assembly and disassembly. Neuron 21: 401–413. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80549-8.

Liu X, Sano T, Guan Y, Nagata S, Hoffmann JA, Fukuyama H. 2012. Drosophila EYA regulates the immune response through an evolutionarily conserved threonine phosphatase motif. PLOS ONE 7:e42725. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042725.

Lustenberger T, Talving P, Lam L, Inaba K, Bass M, Plurad D, Demetriades D. 2013. Effect of diabetes mellitus on outcome in patients with traumatic brain injury: a national traumatic databank analysis. Brain Injury 27:281–285. doi: 10.3109/02699050.2012.743178.

Mackay TF, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, Zhu D, Casillas S, Han Y, Maguire MM, Cridland JM, Richardson MF, Anholt RR, Barrón M, Bess C, Blankenburg KP, Carbonne MA, Castellano D, Chaboul B, Duncan L, Harris Z, Javaid M, Jayaseelan JC, Jhangiani SN, Jordan KW, Lara F, Lawrence F, Lee SL, Librado P, Lineiro RS, Lyman RF, Mackey AJ, Muzny DM, Nazareth L, Newsham I, Perales L, Pu LL, Qu C, R`amia M, Reid JG, Rollmann SM, Rozas J, Saada N, Turlapati L, Worley KC, Wu YQ, Yamamoto A, Zhu Y, Bergman CM, Thornton KR, Mittelman D, Gibbs RA. 2012. The Drosophila melanogaster genetic reference panel. Nature 482: 173–178. doi: 10.1038/nature10811.

Marshall S. 2006. Role of insulin, adipocyte hormones, and nutrient-sensing pathways in regulating fuel metabolism and energy homeostasis: a nutritional perspective of diabetes, obesity, and cancer. Science’s STKE 2006:re7. doi: 10.1126/stke.346200bre7.

Masel BE, DeWitt DS. 2010. Traumatic brain injury: a disease process, not an event. Journal of Neurotrauma 27: 1529–1540. doi: 10.1089/neu.2010.1358.

Menon DK. 2009. Unique challenges in clinical trials in traumatic brain injury. Critical Care Medicine 37(1 Suppl): S129–S135. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181921225.

Narashima M, Uv A, Krejci A, Brown NH, Bray SJ. 2008. Grainy head promotes expression of septate junction proteins and influences epithelial morphogenesis. Journal of Cell Science 121:747–752. doi: 10.1242/jcs.019422.

Nüsslein-Volhard C, Wieschaus E, Kluding H. 1984. Mutations affecting the pattern of the cuticle in Drosophila melanogaster. I. zygotic loci on the second chromosome. Wilhelm Roux’s Archives of Developmental Biology 193:267–282. doi: 10.1007/BF00848156.

Palladino MJ, Bower JE, Kreber R, Ganetzky B. 2003. Neural dysfunction and neurodegeneration in Drosophila Na+/K+ ATPase alpha subunit mutants. The Journal of Neuroscience 23:1276–1286.

Palladino MJ, Hadley TJ, Ganetzky B. 2002. Temperature-sensitive paralytic mutants are enriched for those causing neurodegeneration in Drosophila. Genetics 161:1197–1208.

Paré A, Kim M, Juarez MT, Brody S, McGinnis W. 2012. The functions of grainy head-like proteins in animals and fungi and the evolution of apical extracellular barriers. PLOS ONE 7:e36254. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036254.

Parker L, Howlett IC, Rusan ZM, Tanouye MA. 2011. Seizure and epilepsy: studies of seizure disorders in Drosophila. International Review of Neurobiology 99:1–21. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387003-2.00001-X.

Petersen AJ, Katzenberger RJ, Wassarman DA. 2013. The innate immune response transcription factor relish is necessary for neurodegeneration in a Drosophila model of ataxia-telangiectasia. Genetics 194:133–142. doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.150854.

Petersen AJ, Rimkus SA, Wassarman DA. 2012. ATM kinase inhibition in gial cells activates the innate immune response and causes neurodegeneration in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 109:E656–E664. doi: 10.1073/pnas.110470109.

Pinsonneault RL, Mayer N, Mayer F, Tegegn N, Bainton RJ. 2011. Novel methods for studying the blood-brain and blood-eye barriers in Drosophila. Methods in Molecular Biology 686:357–369. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60761-938-3_17.

Piton G, Manzon C, Cypriani B, Carbonnel F, Capellier G. 2011. Acute intestinal failure in critically ill patients: is plasma citrulline the right marker? Intensive Care Medicine 37:911–917. doi: 10.1007/s00134-011-2172-x.

Prins M, Greco T, Alexander D, Giza CC. 2013. The pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury at a glance. Disease Models & Mechanisms 6:1307–1315. doi: 10.1242/dmm.011585.

Prisco L, Iscra F, Ganau M, Berlot G. 2012. Early predictive factors on mortality in head injured patients: a retrospective analysis of 112 traumatic brain injured patients. Journal of Neurosurgical Sciences 56:131–136.
Reintam A, Parm P, Kitus R, Kern H, Starkopf J. 2009. Gastrointestinal symptoms in intensive care patients. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica 53:318–324. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-6578.2008.01860.x.

Rera M, Bahadorani S, Cho J, Koehler CL, Ulgherait M, Hur JH, Ansari WS, Lo T Jr, Jones DL, Walker DW. 2011. Modulation of longevity and tissue homeostasis by the Drosophila PGC-1 homolog. Cell Metabolism 14:623–634. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.09.013.

Rera M, Clark RJ, Walker DW. 2012. Intestinal barrier dysfunction links metabolic and inflammatory markers of aging to death in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 109:21528–21533. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215849110.

Rovilas A, Kotsou S. 2000. The influence of hyperglycemia on neurological outcome in patients with severe head injury. Neurosurgery 46:335–342. doi: 10.1093/neuros/46.3.335.

Salim A, Hadjizacharia P, Dubose J, Brown C, Inaba K, Chan LS, Margulies D. 2009. Persistent hyperglycemia in severe traumatic brain injury: an independent predictor of outcome. The American Surgeon 75:25–29.

Scrimgeour AG, Condlin ML. 2014. Nutritional treatment for traumatic brain injury. Journal of Neurotrauma 31:989–999. doi: 10.1089/neu.2013.3234.

Scott SG, Belanger HG, Vanderploeg RD, Massengale J, Scholten J. 2006. Mechanism-of-injury approach to evaluating patients with blast-related polytrauma. The Journal of the American Osteopathic Association 106:265–270.

Senga K, Mostov KE, Mitaka T, Miyajima A, Tanimizu N. 2012. Grainyhead-like 2 regulates epithelial morphogenesis by establishing functional tight junctions through the organization of a molecular network among claudin3, claudin4, and Rab25. Molecular Biology of the Cell 23:2845–2855. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E12-02-0097.

Siddiqi O, Benzer S. 1976. Neurophysiological defects in temperature-sensitive paralytic mutants of Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 73:3253–3257. doi: 10.1073/pnas.73.9.3253.

Sinclair DA, Syrzyczka M, Macauley MS, Rastgardani T, Komijincovic I, Vocadio DJ, Brock HW, Honda BM. 2009. Drosophila O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) is encoded by the Polycomb group (PcG) gene, super sex combs (sxc). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 106:13427–13432. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0904638106.

Smith DH, Johnson VE, Stewart W. 2013. Chronic neuropathologies of single and repetitive TBI: substrates of dementia? Nature reviews Neurology 9:211–221. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2013.29.

St Pierre SE, Ponting L, Stefancik R, McQuilton P, Flybase Consortium. 2014. Flybase 102 – advanced approaches to interrogating Flybase. Nucleic Acids Research 42:D780–D788. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1092.

Susman JR, DiRusso SM, Sullivan T, Risucci D, Nealon P, Cuff S, Haider A, Benzil D. 2002. Traumatic brain injury in the elderly: increased mortality and worse functional outcome in discharge despite lower injury severity. The Journal of Trauma 53:219–223. doi: 10.1097/00005373-200208000-00004.

Suzuki T. 2013. Regulation of intestinal epithelial permeability by tight junctions. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 70:631–659. doi: 10.1007/s00018-012-1070-x.

Tan L, Schedl P, Song HJ, Garza D, Konsolaki M. 2008. The Toll-NF-kappaB signaling pathway mediated the neuropathological effects of the human Alzheimer’s Abeta42 polyepitope in Drosophila. PLOS ONE 3:e3966. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003966.

Telemann AA. 2009. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic regulation by insulin in Drosophila. The Biochemical Journal 425:13–26. doi: 10.1042/BJ20091181.

Tennessen JM, Barry WE, Cox J, Thummel CS. 2014. Methods for studying metabolism in Drosophila. Methods 68:105–115. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.02.034.

Thorens B, Mueckler M. 2010. Glucose transporters in the 21st century. American Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism 298:E141–E145. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00712.2009.

Tude Melo JR, Di Rocco F, Blanot S, Oliveira-Fiho J, Roujeau T, Sainte-Rose C, Duracher C, Vecchione A, Meyer P, Zerah M. 2010. Mortality in children with severe head trauma: predictive factors and proposal for a new predictive scale. Zerah M. 2010. Mortality in children with severe head trauma: predictive factors and proposal for a new predictive scale. Neurosurgery 67:219–223. doi: 10.1093/neuros/67.3.678.

Varghese J, Teleman AA, Susman M, Tude Melo JR, Tennessen JM, Clark RJ, Walker DW. 2012. Modulation of longevity and tissue homeostasis by the Drosophila PGC-1 homolog. Cell Metabolism 14:623–634. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.09.013.

Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. Journal of Bacteriology 173:697–703.

Wong AC, Chaston JM, Douglas AE. 2013. The inconstant gut microbiota of Drosophila species revealed by 16S rRNA gene analysis. The ISME Journal 7:1922–1932. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.86.

Wong AC, Chaston JM, Douglas AE. 2013. The inconstant gut microbiota of Drosophila species revealed by 16S rRNA gene analysis. The ISME Journal 7:1922–1932. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.86.

Wong AC, Chaston JM, Douglas AE. 2013. The inconstant gut microbiota of Drosophila species revealed by 16S rRNA gene analysis. The ISME Journal 7:1922–1932. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.86.

Xiong Y, Mahmood A, Chopp M. 2013. Animal models of traumatic brain injury. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 14:128–142. doi: 10.1038/nrn3407.
Young B, Ott L, Kasarskis E, Rapp R, Moles K, Dempsey RJ, Tibbs PA, Krscio R, McCain C. 1996. Zinc supplementation is associated with improved neurologic recovery rate and visceral protein levels of patients with severe closed head injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* **13**:25–34. doi: 10.1089/neu.1996.13.25.

Yu Z, Bhandari A, Mannik J, Pham T, Xu X, Andersen B. 2008. Grainyhead-like factor Get1/Grhl3 regulates formation of the epidermal leading edge during eyelid closure. *Developmental Biology* **319**:56–67. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.04.001.

Yuan Q, Liu H, Xu Y, Wu X, Sun Y, Hu J. 2014. Continuous measurement of the cumulative amplitude and duration of hyperglycemia best predicts outcome after traumatic brain injury. *Neurocritical Care* **20**:69–76. doi: 10.1007/s12028-012-9730-0.

Zhang L, Ten Hagen KG. 2010. Dissecting the biological role of mucin-type O-glycosylation using RNA interference in *Drosophila* cell culture. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **285**:34477–34484. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.133561.