Conodonts with preserved soft tissue from a new Ordovician Konservat-Lagerstätte
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ABSTRACT
A newly-discovered Konservat-Lagerstätte in the Upper Ordovician of South Africa has yielded giant conodont apparatuses, some of which are associated with preserved soft tissues of the conodont animals. Lobate structures located to the anterior of the conodont apparatus in several specimens are interpreted as sclerotic cartilages surrounding the eyes, comparing closely with those of the Silurian agnathan Jarnoytius. One specimen also displays a possible trunk trace. J. Micropalaeontol., 12 (1): 113-117, August 1993.

INTRODUCTION
Conodonts remain a controversial group despite the discovery of more-or-less complete fossil specimens in the Carboniferous Granton shrimp bed of Edinburgh, Scotland (Briggs et al., 1983; Aldridge et al., 1986, in press). Features of the soft tissues are consistent with an agnathan affinity (Aldridge et al., 1986, in press; Conway Morris, 1989; Briggs, 1992), and new work on the histology of the apatitic conodont elements has revealed several vertebrate characteristics, including the presence of cellular bone tissue (Sansom et al., 1992). Although some specialists in early vertebrates have recently affirmed their uncertainties regarding conodont affinities (Blieck & Janvier, 1991; Elliott et al., 1991; Blieck, 1992), and it has been argued that conodonts should be retained in a separate phylum (Sweet, 1988), the evidence that conodonts were vertebrates is now compelling. The interpretation of several features displayed by the Scottish soft-bodied animals is, however, still equivocal (Aldridge et al., in press), and a single specimen with poorly preserved soft tissue recovered from the Silurian of Wisconsin (Smith et al., 1987) has an apparently different body plan, adding to the uncertainties. This report documents the discovery of a third locality with preserved conodont soft tissue, in the Upper Ordovician Soom Shale of South Africa. The specimens display lobate soft-tissue features that are directly comparable with those from the Scottish Carboniferous, even though the conodont apparatuses themselves are significantly different.

STRATIGRAPHICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING
The Soom Shale Member of the Cedarberg Formation forms a conspicuous marker horizon throughout the southwestern Cape Province amidst the predominantly arenitic units of the Table Mountain Group (Theron & Thamm, 1990). It overlies the glaciogenic Pakhuis Formation, with a 50 cm layer of partly-bedded reworked glacial sediment constituting the contact at the best exposure, on the farm Keurbos, near Clanwilliam (see Theron et al., 1990). The Cedarberg Formation represents the outwash silt and mud from ice sheets retreating during the late Ordovician climatic amelioration, and the finely laminated sediments of the Soom Shale were deposited in a shallow, still-water marine environment, perhaps an embayment protected by partial ice-damming. The shale is, therefore, possibly unique among deposits preserving non-mineralized tissue in being situated in a high-latitude, glacially influenced environment.

THE CONODONTS
Exceptionally large conodont elements and complete giant (up to 20 mm) apparatuses of the prioniodontid species Promissum pulchrum Kovács-Endrődy have been described from the basal Soom Shale Member at a single locality on Keurbos (Theron et al., 1990). The initial recognition of three complete conodont apparatuses has led to an intensive search for additional specimens at the same locality, where approximately 30 apparatuses, several partial apparatuses and isolated elements, and a number of other well-preserved fossils have now been recovered. All the apparatuses belong to Promissum pulchrum and no other conodont species have been found in the Soom Shale either on bedding surfaces or by laboratory disaggregation of bulk rock samples.

Traces of conodont soft tissue are associated with at least five of the newly-discovered apparatuses. Most frequently preserved is a pair of lobate structures, represented by dark
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**Fig. 1.** *Promissum pulchrum* Kovács-Endrődy, specimen C279a, x8, anterior to top. Eyes apparent as two faint overlapping lobes at top of figure; length of lobes approximately 2.8mm. Apparatus in oblique lateral compaction (standard orientation) to the posterior of the eyes.

**Fig. 2.** *Promissum pulchrum* Kovács-Endrődy, specimen C351a, x9, anterior to top. Eyes apparent as two strongly-preserved overlapping rings at top of figure; longer lobe 3.1mm. Apparatus in oblique lateral compaction (standard orientation) to the posterior of the eyes.

**Fig. 3.** *Promissum pulchrum* Kovács-Endrődy, specimen C358a, x6.5, anterior to top. Eyes apparent as two distinct trapezium-shaped structures at top right of figure; left-hand one 2.1mm in length. Apparatus in dorso-ventral compaction.

**Fig. 4.** *Promissum pulchrum* Kovács-Endrődy, specimen C288a, x4, anterior to top. Eyes apparent as two coalesced lobes at top of figure, 2.3mm in length. The pale grey, linear feature behind the eyes is a possible trunk trace. S elements of apparatus directed perpendicular to this trace in opposing half-apparatuses, posterior to the eyes and to their right. Two rows of P elements apparent immediately to the right of the anterior ramiform elements and midway between the eyes and the top right-hand corner of the figure.
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films and situated to the anterior of the feeding apparatus. On specimens with the apparatus in standard orientation (sensu Aldridge et al., 1987), indicating approximately lateral compaction, the two lobes partially overlap. The lobes on two specimens (C279, C351: Pl. 1, figs 1, 2; Fig. 1) show prominently preserved margins and an apparently hollow or unpreserved central area. One specimen (C358: Pl. 1, fig. 3; Fig. 2) is compacted in close to dorso-ventral orientation, with the opposing halves of the conodont apparatus facing each other across the axis of bilateral symmetry. The two lobes on this specimen are discrete; each has a straight outer margin and is trapezium-shaped with gently curved anterior, posterior and, possibly, inner margins. The axis between the pair of lobes is offset from that of the ramiform S elements of the apparatus, suggesting that the compaction was a little oblique to dorso-ventral and that the lobes were situated above or below the line of the ramiforms in life. The axis between the rows of P elements is nearly confluent with that between the lobes, suggesting that each row was situated in line behind the respective lobe.

The lobate structures on Promissum compare closely with those recognised on the two most completely preserved ozarkodinid conodont animals from the Carboniferous Granton Shrimp Bed, Edinburgh. In the first Granton specimen (Briggs et al., 1983, fig. 3), the shape and orientation of the lobes are very similar to those of the dorso-ventrally compacted specimen of Promissum (C358: Fig. 2; Pl. 1, fig. 3). In specimen 5 of Aldridge et al., (in press) from Granton, the lobes overlap in the same way as on other Promissum specimens and show the same strong preservation of the marginal zone. The lobes on the Granton specimens are smaller (1.3 mm in length as against 2.1-3.1 mm for the Soom Shale specimens), but clearly represent the same structures. They are unlikely to have formed a hood protecting the conodont apparatus, as the S elements on the South African specimens are more than 2.5x longer than the lobes.

The interpretation of the lobes on the first Granton specimen was left open, except for a statement that they possibly flanked a lumen leading to a mouth (Briggs et al., 1983). The South African specimens indicate that they represent paired structures of relatively high preservation potential. Possibilities include a cavity enclosing the semicircular canals, otic (auditory) capsules, or eyes. Extant myxinoids possess a single pair of semicircular canals, which are doughnut-shaped. However, these are not scleritized and are situated posterior of the feeding apparatus (McVean, 1991). The large size and the shape of the structures in the conodont animals suggest that they are not otic capsules, but might be consistent with eyes. Dark stains representing eyes are common in fossil fish, and structures comparable with those found in the conodonts have been interpreted as representing the eyes in the Silurian anaspid Jamoytius. In Jamoytius, these annular features may be the remains of sclerotic cartilages surrounding the actual eyeball (Ritchie, 1968), and this would seem to be a reasonable interpretation of the conodont features. Their preservation as solid trapezium-shaped blocks in dorso-ventral compaction and as hollow subcircular structures in lateral compaction suggests that in three dimensions they were originally shaped as broad rings, expanding outwards.

On a single specimen from the Soom Shale, a linear grey trace extends behind the conodont apparatus (C288; Pl. 1, fig. 4). This may represent the trunk of the animal, although no other features can be confidently discerned within it or associated with it. If projected forwards, the line of this trace intersects the anterior lobes with the apparatus offset to one side. The position of the trace, if it does indeed represent the trunk, is counter-intuitive, as it would suggest that the P elements were further from the axis than the S elements, with their denticulate surfaces directed away from the midline of the animal. More specimens are necessary to resolve this paradox.

The exposure of the Soom Shale at Keurbos is deeply weathered, and despite mechanical excavation fresh rock has yet to be reached. There is good potential for finding more complete soft-tissue preservation of conodonts and of other fossils. Already, a eurypterid with preserved appendages and muscle fibres has been recovered. Other fossils include inarticulate brachiopods, naraoid trilobites, an orthocone cephalopod, a scolecodont apparatus, enigmatic spines and possible soft-bodied animals. Konserlat-Lagerstätten are rare in the Ordovician (Allison & Briggs, 1991), and, as well as promising new evidence of conodont anatomy, the Soom Shale will be important in filling a gap in the record of preserved soft-bodied organisms.
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