Factors determining family planning services usage among women of reproductive age
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Abstract

Family planning services allows individuals to achieve desired birth spacing and family size, and contributes to improved health outcomes for infants, children, women, and families. Family planning is one of the 10 great public health achievements of the 20th century. A number of contraceptive methods are available in family planning clinics to prevent unwanted pregnancy each with particular advantages and disadvantages. This study was conducted to determine factors influencing the uptake of contraceptives among women of reproductive age attending a maternal care health and family planning clinic. Their social demographic characteristics, family planning method used and the association between demographic factors and contraceptive methods were analyzed. There were significant positive correlations between age, education and preferred methods of contraception.
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1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) describes family planning services as the ability of individuals and couples to anticipate and attain their desired number of children and the spacing and timing of their births. It is achieved through use of contraceptive methods and the treatment of involuntary infertility [2]. Family planning which has been cited as one of the 10 great public health achievements of the 20th century [3] is sometimes used as a synonym for access to and the use of contraception; however, it often involves methods and practices in addition to contraception[11].Modern methods of contraception prevent unintended pregnancies, reduce the number of abortions, and lower the incidence of death and disability related to complications of pregnancy and childbirth [21].

Family planning programs are now considered a key part of a comprehensive development strategy and the commitments of the program are specific to each country; the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals reflects this international consensus [1]. The proportion of the need for family planning satisfied by modern methods, Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) indicator 3.7.1, was 75.7% globally in 2019 [16]. Worldwide in 2019, among the 1.9 billion women of reproductive age group (15-49 years), 1.1 billion have a need for family planning; of these, 842 million are using contraceptive methods, and 270 million have an unmet need for contraception [16],[22].

A recommendation by the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) encourages men and women to formulate a reproductive life plan, to help them in avoiding unintended pregnancies and to improve the health of women and reduce adverse pregnancy outcomes[4].Raising a child requires significant amounts of resources: time [5],social, financial [12],and environmental [13]. Planning can help assure that resources are available. The purpose of family planning is
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to make sure that any couple, man, or woman who has a child has the resources that are needed [6]. Both early and late motherhood have increased risks. Young teenagers face a higher risk of complications and death as a result of pregnancy [22]. Waiting until the mother is at least 18 years old before trying to have children improves maternal and child health [7]. Also, if additional children are desired after a child is born it is healthier for the mother to wait at least 2 years after the previous birth before attempting to conceive (but not more than 5 years [7]).

1.1. Methods of contraception

A number of contraceptive methods are available to prevent unwanted pregnancy. Methods of contraception include oral contraceptive pills, implants, injectables, patches, vaginal rings, intra-uterine devices, condoms, male and female sterilization, lactational amenorrhea methods, withdrawal and fertility awareness based methods. These methods have different mechanisms of action and effectiveness in preventing unintended pregnancy. Effectiveness of methods is measured by the number of pregnancies per 100 women using the method per year. Methods are classified by their effectiveness as commonly used into: very effective (0–0.9 pregnancies per 100 women); effective (1-9 pregnancies per 100 women); moderately effective (10-19 pregnancies per 100 women); less effective (20 or more pregnancies per 100 women; [22]. Only one contraceptive method, condoms, can prevent both a pregnancy and the transmission of sexually transmitted infections, including HIV [22].

Ensuring access for all people to their preferred contraceptive methods advances several human rights including the right to life and liberty, freedom of opinion and expression and the right to work and education, as well as bringing significant health and other benefits. Use of contraception prevents pregnancy-related health risks for women, especially for adolescent girls, and when births are separated by less than two years, the infant mortality rate is 45% higher than it is when births are 2-3 years and 60% higher than it is when births are four or more years apart [16]. It offers a range of potential non-health benefits that encompass expanded education opportunities and empowerment for women, and sustainable population growth and economic development for countries.

1.2. Obstacles to accessing family planning

There are many reasons as to why women do not use contraceptives. These reasons include logistical problems, scientific and religious concerns, and limited access to transportation in order to access health clinics, lack of education and knowledge and opposition by partners, families or communities plus the fact that no one is able to control their fertility beyond basic behavior involving conception. The United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) says that efforts to increase access must be sensitive to cultural and national contexts, and must also consider economic, geographic and age disparities within countries [21].

According to UNFPA, poorer women and those in rural areas are said to often have less access to family planning services. This can lead to higher rates of unintended pregnancy, increased risk of HIV and other STIs, limited choice of contraceptive methods, and higher levels of unmet need for family planning [21]. For national, international, or local health programs involved in family planning, the use of standard indicators [9] is encouraged, to track barriers to effective family planning along with the efficacy, uptake, and provision of family planning services [8].

The world’s largest international source of funding for population and reproductive health programs is the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). The World Health Organization (WHO) and World Bank estimate that $3 per person per year would provide basic family planning, maternal and neonatal health care to women in developing countries. This would include contraception, prenatal, delivery, and post-natal care in addition to postpartum family planning and the promotion of condoms to prevent sexually transmitted infections[18].

1.3. Uptake of family planning services

Despite the huge benefits of family planning services, their uptake still remains low. 214 million women of reproductive age in developing countries who do not want to become pregnant are not using a modern contraceptive method [23]. This could be a result of a limited choice of methods, limited access to contraception, fear of side-effects, cultural or religious opposition, poor quality of available services, user or provider bias, or gender-based barriers. In Africa, 24.2% of women of reproductive age do not have access to modern contraception. Meeting the unmet need for contraception could prevent 104,000 maternal deaths per year, a 29% reduction of women dying from postpartum hemorrhage or unsafe abortions.[19].

According to the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs: Population Division, 64% of the world uses contraceptives while 12% of the world population’s need for contraceptives is unmet. In the least developed countries, 22% of the population does not have access to contraceptives, and 40% use contraceptives [14]. This study aimed at
determining factors influencing the uptake of contraceptives among women of reproductive age attending a maternal care health and family planning clinic.

2. Methodology

A descriptive cross sectional study design was used to sample women of reproductive age of 20-49 years attending the clinic. Data was collected using close- and open-ended questionnaires through which a total of 80 individuals responded.

3. Results

The social demographic characteristics showed that most of the participants were aged between 26-31 (24, 30%) while the majority had secondary level of education (36, 45%). A total of 36 (45%) of respondents were married and most of them (34, 42.5%) were housewives.

Most of the respondents (90%) had prior knowledge of family planning methods and they also knew of several methods that are available in the market. During the study period, 47.5% (38) of respondents preferred implants while 5% (4) preferred intra uterine device (IUD) as their choice of contraceptive method, 35% (28) of respondents preferred injectable and 7.5% (6) preferred oral contraceptives (Figure 1). 5% of respondents preferred other methods that included condom use.

3.1. Association between demographic factors and contraceptive methods

Significant correlations were observed between age and education with the preferred methods of contraceptives. For example, there was significant correlation between the age brackets 32-37, (r=0.393 p<0.05) and preferred method of contraceptive (injectable) and between the age brackets 38-43 (r=0.330, p<0.05) and their preferred method of contraceptives (implants). There was significant association between the level of education and methods of contraceptives. For instance, a significant correlation was observed between secondary level recipients (r=0.392, p<0.05) and the implants, while the association between tertiary level of education recipients and intra uterine device use was significant (r=0.325 p<0.05).

The study found that 80% of the respondents accessed contraceptives from the clinic while the rest obtained from local pharmacies or other private clinics. However, 17.5% of the participants reported that their preferred method of contraceptives were unavailable and so they had to settle for an alternative method while 27.5% of participants cited distance to the clinic as being a barrier to accessing family planning services.
4. Conclusion

There are several determinants of family planning service usage within the communities. Middle aged women of higher levels of education preferred the long-acting reversible contraception methods such as the implants or intra uterine devices. Women with lower education levels and income are less likely to seek family planning services. Among the barriers to women use of family planning services include: unavailability to the contraceptive method of choice, family planning clinic locations, limited access to publicly funded services and the cost of the services.
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