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Abstract
E-learning is a novel perception that includes all educational activities of an individual or group working online, synchronously or asynchronously, connecting via various devices through the internet. The e-learning system has faced some concerns related to security, availability and reliability. To overcome these challenges, an important security approach is required to preserve the data of the e-learning system. A novel Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru Cryptographic Blockchain and Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network (MPSCB-MLCDCQN) is introduced with three different processes namely data collection, access control and data analysis. In the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN, Internet of Things (IoT) devices are employed to sense and collect student activities during the e-learning process. Secondly, secure data access is performed through the Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru hashes decentralized blockchain technology for avoiding unauthorized access. Finally, the Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network (MLCDCQN) is applied to analyze the student data collected from the IoT devices to make optimal action. The student data are analyzed using the Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression function by learning the features of input data and predicting the student performance behavior with higher accuracy. A comprehensive experiment of the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN is conducted using e-learning activities' dataset in a CloudSim simulator with certain performance metrics such as confidentiality rate, data integrity rate, processing time and prediction accuracy with respect to a number of student data. The results discussed show that the MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique provides improved performance in terms of achieving higher security and data analysis than the existing methods.
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1. Introduction
Currently, several universities and organizations make a profit from the information technologies to extend their educational policy and attract more learners. Therefore, distance e-learning technologies are implemented by universities to provide a more flexible education system. In the e-learning process, a number of learners sign up for online courses. This development is mainly provided by Cloud Computing. In the cloud-based educational context, the security factor in distribution of the educational content is significant and creates several security challenges, such as access control and security preservation of content learning.

A fog computing e-learning scheme was introduced in [1] to enhance the efficiency of learning data analysis and minimizes the encryption problem in terms of computation cost on user's devices. However, the higher performance of integrity level was not achieved. An IoT-applicable access control model was developed in [2] under double-layer blockchain architecture for secret sharing. But the designed model failed to provide an architecture decentralized for efficient IoT access control.

A blockchain-based group key management approach was introduced in [3] for securing keys and establishing secure data transmission in fog-based IoT systems. A Model of Digital Identity (MDI) was developed in [4] for e-learning systems to increase the performance of information security. However, it failed to highlight the development of a new security tool in e-learning environments for enhancing information security.

Integration of Blockchain and Federated Learning (BCFL) framework was introduced in [5] for secure data distribution. But the performance failed in analyzing the data confidently and with integrity during the data distribution. A Novel Online Teaching and Assessment (NOTA) method was designed in [6] using Blockchain to provide distant access. But the efficient hash function was not introduced to Blockchain technology to enhance the integrity of data access.

Machine learning models were developed in [7] to predict the student’s performance as low or high. But it failed to compare the designed model with considered more sophisticated machine learning algorithms for accurate classification. A Behaviour Classification based E-learning Performance (BCEP) prediction approach was introduced in [8] for online student performance behavior classification. But it was not accurate to ensure the quality of online learners’ learning.

A Blockchain-based framework was developed in [9] for secure storing, and exchanging the networking sensitive data. But it failed to explain blockchain technology with a reasonable cost in more detail. In [10], a fundamental investigation of security and confidentiality risks for online education environments was presented.

1.1 Contributions of MPSCB-MLCDCQN
The followings are the major contributions of MPSCB-MLCDCQN:
- Proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN to enhance the data integrity and confidentiality in e-learning systems using Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru hashes Decentralized blockchain technology. Contrary to the conventional blockchain, the proposed technique uses the Snefru cryptographic function to
generate the hash value with help of the Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function. The hashed data are accessed by the authorized user to preserve the integrity of data during data sharing.

- To improve student performance prediction accuracy and minimize time consumption, MPSCB-MLCDCQN develops the Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. The proposed Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression is applied to a state-space of a Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network for analyzing the student data and the mean of the particular class. The target classification results are observed at the action state of the Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network.

- Finally, a series of experimental evaluations are carried out with the different metrics to find that the performance of MPSCB-MLCDCQN outperforms than the other related approaches.

1.2 Outlines
The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the related work. Section 3 describes our proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN with IoT automated secured data accessing in detail. Section 4 presents the implementation detail and dataset description. Section 5 discusses the performance analysis in detail with the aid of tables and graphs. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. Related Works
A blockchain-based access control method was designed in [11] for the data generated by IoT devices. However, the performance of data confidentiality and integrity was not considered by using the access control method. A lightweight and decentralized secure access control model was developed in [12] for IoT based on a multi-agent system and a blockchain to improve the secure communication between the devices. But it was not efficient to achieve a high level of security.

A fabric-IoT called Hyperledger Fabric blockchain framework was developed in [13] for the access control system. But it failed to enhance the scalability of the framework and support more IoT application integration. A new model was introduced in [14] based on zero-knowledge proof and smart contract technology using blockchain for enhancing the security of the IoT. However, the performance of integrity of secured data access was not achieved. A Dynamic-IoTrust decentralized access control method was introduced in [15]. But it failed to reduce the complexity and cost as well as processing time of the system.

Privacy Protected Blockchain-based Architecture was introduced in [16] for secure distribution of the Students’ records. But it failed to deploy the architecture over a permission blockchain platform. An integration of Hyperledger Fabric blockchain and IoT devices were developed in [17] to increase the access control and trust for IoT devices. The system failed to evaluate different security performance matrices.

Blockchain-based federated learning methodologies were developed in [18] for high potential privacy and security. An access control method was developed in [19] based on blockchain for IoT endpoints. A novel blockchain-based access control protocol was designed in [20] for secure communication.
3. **Methodology**

A novel MPSCB-MLCDCQN is developed in this section with three different processes namely data collection, access control and data analysis. Security analysis of MPSCB-MLCDCQN demonstrates reliability towards achieving data confidentiality, access control and deploying the access control procedures on the blockchain. However, the various transaction data on the public blockchain face an additional Security issue for IoT devices. In the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN, Internet of Things (IoT) devices are employed to sense and collect the data and perform secure data access by avoiding unauthorized access. The collected data are analyzed for prediction.

Figure 1 depicts the overall architecture of the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique that includes three stages. First, IoT devices are used for collecting the data in terms of student activities after the data collection. The data access control is performed using the Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru cryptographic hash blockchain. After accessing the data, the performance of student activities is predicted by using the Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. An elaborate description of the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique is presented in the forthcoming sections.
3.1 Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru Cryptographic Hash Blockchain for Secure Data Access

The development of information technology and the Internet of Things (IoT) enables the educational institutions which generate more and more of big data which needs to be stored, processed efficiently, and securely shared among the authorized entities. Many different schemes were introduced for sharing sensitive data but it still faces some challenges to achieve data privacy. Furthermore, most data-sharing schemes have no integrity verification. To solve the above mentioned conditions, a novel, efficient and a secure data sharing scheme is required. One of such kind is Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru cryptographic hash block chain, which provides improved data confidentiality and integrity. The scheme guarantees security and authorized access to shared sensitive student data.

The proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique uses the decentralized blockchain which involves with multiple users, i.e. group of users. The decentralized technology did not have a central authority.

Data sharing on the decentralized blockchain are conducted directly between the entities without any intermediary organization, among the members, eliminating the need for a middleman.
Figure 2 given above illustrates the process of decentralized data sharing among the group users in the educational institution. During the data sharing, security and data confidentiality are achieved through the Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru cryptographic blockchain.

Figure 3 illustrates the block diagram of the Snefru cryptographic hash decentralized Blockchain model. The network model includes a block header, timestamp ($t_s$), Hash Block ($b_i$), and a previous hash block ($h_p$). Each block has a unique transaction for transmitting the student data collected from the IoT devices. The hash block is used to generate the cryptographic hashes data of arbitrary length. The proposed blockchain uses the Snefru cryptographic hash to generate the hash for each student's data during the transaction.

The Snefru cryptographic hash generates the hashes for each input of arbitrary length into 128-bit values. Snefru cryptographic hash uses a padding method that includes an additional padding block together with the length of the input message (i.e. data). By applying a Snefru cryptographic hash, the input message is divided into a number of blocks as shown in Figure 3.
Figure 4 illustrates the Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru cryptographic hash function to generate the output hash. As shown in Figure 3, \( m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \) indicates that the message block, \( F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 \) denotes a Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function, the last block is padded by ‘0’s (i.e. \( \text{Pad} = 0 \)). The proposed Snefru cryptographic hash uses the Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function to generate the hash for each message block.

By applying Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function, the output of ciphertext is then XORed with the message block and then also XORed with the previous hash value to produce the next hash value. The previous hash value is fed as the key to the block cipher. In the first round, when there is no previous hash value it uses a pre-specified initial value (\( h_0 = 0 \)).

The output of the Miyaguchi–Preneel function ‘\( h (F) \)’ is generated as given below,

\[
h (F) = [K_{D_{h_{i-1}}}(m_i) \oplus h_{i-1} \oplus m_i]
\]

(1)

Where message block ‘\( m_i \)’ and the previous hash value (\( h_{i-1} \)) is initially preset to ‘0’. ‘\( K \)’ denotes a block cipher, \( D \) indicates a key to a block cipher XORed with the previous hash value ‘\( h_{i-1} \)’ and the message block (\( m_i \)). The output hash is taken from the final compression function and added with the padding \( \text{Pad} \) value as given below:

\[
h = (h (F) || \text{Pad})
\]

(2)

Where \( h \) indicates a final hash of the message, \( h (F) \) indicates an output of compression function, \( \text{Pad} \) denotes padding, ‘||’ denotes a concatenation operator. The proposed technique allows the authorized user to access the data and avoids unauthorized access. This process improves the data integrity and confidentiality during the transaction.
Algorithm 1: Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru Cryptographic Hash Blockchain for Secure Data Access

**Input:** E-learning dataset, Number of IoT devices $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m$, Students' Data $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n$

**Output:** Improve the secure data access

Begin

1. Collect the student's data $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n$ from dataset
2. For each transaction 't'
   - Construct blockchain
   - For each data $D_i$
     - Divides into message blocks $m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n$
     - For each block 'm'
       - Apply compression function on the generate the hash $h(F)$
       - Add padding with $h(F)$
       - Obtain final hash 'h'

End for

End for

End

Algorithm 1 given above illustrates the step-by-step process of secure data access of student e-learning activities. The IoT generated a large volume of data to ensure accurate and timely data analytics. First, the blockchain is constructed based on two concepts such as Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function applied to a Snefru cryptographic hash. The input message is divided into the number of blocks. Then the Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function generates the hash value of the original data. Finally, the output of the compression function is combined with the padding value to get the final hash value. This hash value is distributed to the authorized users for achieving higher data confidentiality and integrity.

3.2 Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network for Performance Prediction

After transmitting the student data to the authorized user, the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique executes the performance prediction in the educational entities and institutes. Due to the large volume of data in educational databases, predicting the performance of students has become more complex. Therefore, the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique uses the Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network (MLCDCQN) for accurate prediction with minimum time consumption.
Figure 5: Block Diagram of Academic Performance Prediction

Figure 5 given above illustrates the block diagram of Academic Performance Prediction using Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. In a deep Convolutional Q-Learning network, a convolutional neural network is to approximate the Q-value function. The deep Convolutional Q-Learning network consists of two major states such as state and action. The state space is given as the input and the Q-value of all possible actions is generated as the output in the active state. The integration of Q-learning and Convolutional Neural Networks is illustrated below:

Figure 6: Schematic Construction of Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network

Figure 6 represents the schematic construction of a deep Convolutional Q-Learning network for accurate prediction. A deep Convolutional Q-Learning network uses the input layer to receive the input data. The input is transformed into the first hidden layer where the data analysis is performed using Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression.

Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression is a machine learning technique to estimate the relationships between dependent variables, i.e. prediction outcomes and one or more independent
variables. The proposed regression function analyzes the data using Maximum Likelihood estimation and provides the outcomes in terms of grade-level poor, average, and high.

\[ R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{D_i - \mu}{\nu} \right)^2 \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ Y = \arg \max R \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where \( R \) denotes a likelihood function output, \( \nu \) denotes a deviation, \( D_i \) indicates features, \( \mu \) denotes a mean, \( Y \) indicates a regression output, \( \arg \max \) denotes an argument of maximum function. The data closer to the mean value is categorized into a particular class. Based on the regression analysis, the student performance grade level is obtained at action state. The state is calculating Q-Value in the state.

\[ Q_n (\alpha_s, \beta_s) = Q (\alpha_s, \beta_s) + \rho \cdot [ Z_t + \delta \arg \max R - Q (\alpha_s, \beta_s) ] \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where, \( Q_n (\alpha_s, \beta_s) \) denotes an updated Q-Value, \( \arg \max \) denotes an argument of maximum function, \( R \) indicates a regression output, \( Q (\alpha_s, \beta_s) \) denotes a current Q-value, \( \rho \) denotes a learning rate \( 0 < \rho < 1 \), \( Z_t \) denotes a reward, \( \delta \) indicates a discount factor only slightly lesser than 1, \( \alpha_s, \beta_s \) denotes an action and state space respectively. Based on the above estimated results, performance grade level is accurately predicted. The algorithmic process of proposed technique Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network (MLCDCQN) is described as given below:

**Algorithm 2: Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network based Performance Prediction**

**Input:** Student data \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \)

**Output:** Increase performance level prediction accuracy

**Begin**

Collect the data \( D_1, D_2, D_3, \ldots, D_n \) in input layer

Initialize the classes and mean \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \) / hidden layer 1

For each mean \( \mu_i \)

For each data \( D_i \)

Measure the likelihood \( R \)

End for

End for

Given the regression output into a action state

Obtain the Q-value \( Q_n (\alpha_s, \beta_s) \)

**End**
Algorithm 2 given above illustrates the step by step process of student performance level prediction using Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. Initially, the input student data are collected from the dataset at the input layer. The input is sent to the hidden layer where the Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression is applied for analyzing the data and mean values. Then the estimated output is given to the action state to obtain the Q-value. As a result, student performance grade level is correctly predicted with minimum time.

4. Experimental Setup
Experimental evaluation of the proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique and two existing methods namely fog computing e-learning scheme [1], IoT-applicable access control model [2] are implemented using Java language and CloudSim simulator. An Educational Process Mining (EPM): A Learning Analytics Data Set is taken from UCI Machine Learning Repository [21]. The dataset consists of 2,30,318 instances and 13 attributes. The dataset is constructed from the recordings of 115 student’s activities through e-learning using IoT devices during six different sessions. There are 6 folders consists of student’s activities generated per session. The associated task performed by the dataset is classification, regression, and clustering. The attribute description is in the Table 1.

| Sr. No. | Attributes    | Description                                                                 |
|--------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1      | session      | The number of laboratory session from 1 to 6.                                |
| 2      | student_id   | It shows the id of student from 1 to 115                                    |
| 3      | exercise     | It shows the id of the exercise the student is working on.                  |
| 4      | activity     | The activities are labeled based on the title of web pages that are on focus / in the view of the student. To read about the details of activity labels, see 'activities_info.txt'. |
| 5      | start_time   | It shows the start date and time of a specific activity with the format: dd.mm.yyyy hh:mm:ss |
| 6      | end_time     | It shows the end date and time of a specific activity with the format: dd.mm.yyyy hh:mm:ss |
| 7      | idle_time    | It shows the duration of idle time between the start and end time of an activity in milliseconds. |
| 8      | mouse_wheel  | It shows the amount of mouse wheel during an activity.                      |
| 9      | mouse_wheel_click | It shows the number of mouse wheel clicks during an activity.               |
| 10     | mouse_click_left | It shows the number of mouse left clicks during an activity.               |
| 11     | mouse_click_right | It shows the number of mouse right clicks during an activity.             |
| 12     | mouse_movement | It shows the distance covered by the mouse movements during an activity. |
5. Performance Results and Discussion

In this section, performance results and discussion of the MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique and fog computing e-learning scheme [1], IoT-applicable access control model [2] are described. The performance results are compared with the various metrics such as confidentiality rate, integrity rate, prediction accuracy and prediction time. The tabulation and graphical results indicates the performance of proposed and existing methods.

- **Confidentiality Rate:** It is measured as the ratio of the number of data only accessed by authorized users. The formula for calculating the data confidentiality rate is given below:

\[
Rate_{con} = \left[ \frac{n_{aa}}{n} \right] \times 100
\]  

Where, \( Rate_{con} \) indicates the confidentiality rate, \( n \) indicates the number of student data generated from IoT device, \( n_{aa} \) symbolizes the number of data accessed by the authorized users. The confidentiality rate is measured in terms of percentage (%).

- **Data Integrity Rate:** It is the ratio between the number of data that are not altered by any third party to the number of data used for transmission. The data integrity rate is measured as given below:

\[
Rate_{int} = \left[ \frac{n_{aa}}{n} \right] \times 100
\]  

Where, \( Rate_{int} \) symbolizes a data integrity rate, \( n_{aa} \) designates the number of data not altered, \( n \) indicates a total number of data. The data integrity rate is computed in terms of percentage (%).

- **Prediction Accuracy:** It is measured as a ratio of the number of student data that is correctly accessed and the activities are predicted to the total number of student data generated from the IoT devices. The formula for calculating the prediction accuracy is given below:

\[
PA = \left[ \frac{\text{Number of student data correctly predicted}}{n} \right] \times 100
\]

Where, \( PA \) symbolizes a prediction accuracy, \( n \) indicates the number of student data. The prediction accuracy is measured in terms of percentage (%).

- **Processing time:** It is defined as the amount of time consumed by the algorithm to predict the performance grade level. The formula for calculating the prediction time is given below:
\[ PT = n \times Time(ASD) \]  

(9)

Where, ‘\( PT \)’ represents a prediction time, ‘\( n \)’ indicates the number of student data, \( Time(ASD) \) indicates a time for predicting the performance level of single student data. The prediction time is measured in terms of milliseconds (ms).

Table 2: Comparison of the Confidentiality Rate

| Number of Data | Confidentiality Rate (%) | MPHDB-MLCDCQN | Fog Computing E-Learning Scheme | IoT-Applicable Access Control Model |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1000           | 93                       | 87             | 89                            |
| 2000           | 92                       | 84             | 88                            |
| 3000           | 92                       | 85             | 87                            |
| 4000           | 93                       | 84             | 89                            |
| 5000           | 92                       | 85             | 88                            |
| 6000           | 91                       | 83             | 87                            |
| 7000           | 92                       | 85             | 89                            |
| 8000           | 93                       | 88             | 90                            |
| 9000           | 94                       | 87             | 91                            |
| 10000          | 92                       | 86             | 89                            |

The performance results of the data confidentiality rate using the three techniques - MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique, fog computing e-learning scheme [1], and IoT-applicable access control model [2] - are depicted in the Table 2 and Figure 6. The number of student data are taken as input is in the range from 1,000 to 10,000. For each method, ten results are observed with respect to various counts of input. The observed results indicates that the MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique outperforms well in terms of achieving a higher data confidentiality rate than the other two existing methods. The overall performance of MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique indicates that the performance of data confidentiality rate is improved by 8% and 4% when compared to existing methods.
To discover the best performance, the MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique uses Miyaguchi–Preneel hash decentralized blockchain technology for avoiding the unauthorized access during the data transaction. The proposed decentralized blockchain technology uses the Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru cryptographic function to generate the hash value and securely communicating the data among the authorized users. This helps to minimize the unauthorized access hence it improve the confidentiality rate.

Table 3: Comparison of the Data Integrity Rate

| Number of Data | Data Integrity Rate (%) |
|----------------|-------------------------|
|                | MPSCB-MLCDCQN | Fog Computing E-Learning Scheme | IoT-Applicable Access Control Model |
| 1000           | 92            | 85                           | 87                               |
| 2000           | 91            | 83                           | 86                               |
| 3000           | 91            | 83                           | 86                               |
| 4000           | 92            | 85                           | 88                               |
| 5000           | 91            | 85                           | 86                               |
| 6000           | 90            | 83                           | 86                               |
| 7000           | 91            | 85                           | 88                               |
| 8000           | 92            | 87                           | 89                               |
| 9000           | 93            | 86                           | 88                               |
| 10000          | 91            | 85                           | 87                               |
Table 3 and Figure 8 depicts the performance results of integrity rate using three different techniques - MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique, fog computing e-learning scheme [1], and IoT-applicable access control model [2] - along with the number of student data collected from the dataset. For the experimental consideration, the number of student data taken are in the range from 1,000 to 10,000. Compared to existing methods, the MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique provides the superior performance in the integrity rate. The different results are observed for each method. The overall observed results indicate that the MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique increases the integrity rate by 8% compared to [1] and 5% compared to [2]. This is due to the application of Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru cryptographic hash function is applied to a blockchain technology. The input message is divided into the number of blocks. Then the Miyaguchi–Preneel compression function generates the hash value of the original data. Finally, the output of compression function is combined with the padding and to get the final hash value. This process of proposed MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique increases the integrity rate.

Table 4: Comparison of Prediction Accuracy

| Number of Data | MPSCB-MLCDCQN | Fog Computing E-learning Scheme | IoT-Applicable Access Control Model |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1000           | 91             | 85                              | 87                                  |
| 2000           | 90             | 81                              | 85                                  |
| 3000           | 90             | 82                              | 85                                  |
| 4000           | 91             | 83                              | 87                                  |
| 5000           | 90             | 84                              | 85                                  |
| 6000           | 89             | 82                              | 85                                  |
| 7000           | 90             | 84                              | 87                                  |
Figure 9: Performance Results of the Prediction Accuracy

Table 4 and Figure 9 show the prediction accuracy of three methods using number of student data in the range from 1,000 to 10,000. Among three different methods, the performance of prediction accuracy using MPSCB-MLCDCQN is higher compared to the existing methods, because of applying a Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. Initially, the input student data is analyzed in deep convolutional network using Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression for analyzing the data and mean values of the particular class. Then the estimated output is given to the action state to obtain final prediction results. As a result, student performance grade level is correctly predicted with minimum time. The overall accuracy of MPSCB-MLCDCQN technique is improved by 8% and 5% compared to the existing methods [1] [2].

Table 5: Comparison of the Processing Time

| Number of Data | MPSCB-MLCDCQN | Fog Computing E-learning Scheme | IoT-Applicable Access Control Model |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1000           | 42            | 46                              | 44                                 |
| 2000           | 48            | 56                              | 52                                 |
| 3000           | 52            | 60                              | 57                                 |
| 4000           | 60            | 68                              | 64                                 |
| 5000           | 65            | 75                              | 70                                 |
Finally, the processing time for student performance processing is measured with respect to number of data taken as input from 1,000 to 10,000. The processing time for MPSCB-MLCDCQN is lesser compared to the existing methods [1] and [2] on varying number of data acquired from distinct devices. The overall performance of MPSCB-MLCDCQN is compared to the Fog computing e-learning scheme and IoT-Applicable Access Control Model. The performance outcomes indicate that the processing time is found to be minimized by 10% and 6% compared to the existing [1] and [2] because of the application of Maximum Likelihood Consensus Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. The Deep Convolutional Q-Learning technique uses the Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression in the hidden layer to analyze the data and find the target prediction results.

6. Conclusion

In this work, an effective MPSCB-MLCDCQN is developed to enhance the performance of secure data access and student performance level prediction. The MPSCB-MLCDCQN increases the security of devices' data being sent in via a secured channel with higher data integrity and confidentiality rate. First, the student learning data are collected using IoT. Then the Miyaguchi–Preneel Snefru hash decentralized blockchain technology applied for avoiding unauthorized access. Therefore, secured data access is said to take place with high confidentiality and integrity. After accessing the data, the authorized entity predicts the performance level using Maximum Likelihood Consensus regression
applied to Deep Convolutional Q-Learning Network. The performance of MPSCB-MLCDCQN is evaluated based on data confidentiality, data integrity, prediction accuracy and processing time. Comparison is also made with existing methods, and the results indicate that the data confidentiality, data integrity and prediction accuracy are found to be higher compared to the contemporary works. The simulation results demonstrate that the MPSCB-MLCDCQN provides better performance by reducing the processing time.
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