The expression profile of RNA sensors in colorectal cancer and its correlation with cancer stages
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Background: RNA sensors represent the most important pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) to defend against RNA pathogens, such as RNA viruses. Recent studies revealed their critical roles in inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Furthermore, more recent evidences indicated that RNA sensors mediate the development of colitis or colorectal cancer (CRC). However, a systematic understanding of RNA sensors in CRC is still lacking, especially the expression patterns in CRC.

Methods: Here, we analyzed RNA sensor expression, clinical significance, and possible mechanisms in CRC by combining bioinformatic analysis and the analysis on pre-cancerous animal model and clinical tissue samples.

Results: We found that most of the members of RNA sensors, including RNA-sensing Toll-like receptors (TLR3, TLR7, and TLR8) and RIG-I-like receptors (MDA5 and RIG-I), were down-regulated in CRC, while the expression of DDX21 were up-regulated in human CRC. In addition, we also analyzed the correlation between gene expression and cancer stages. We found that the expression of RNA-sensing TLRs, RIG-I, and DDX21 in CRC were associated with cancer stages. Finally, in order to explore the possible mechanisms, the correlation between RNA sensors and the main downstream signaling molecules were analyzed. A positive correlation was observed in TLR7/8 and MyD88, RIG-I/MDA5 and LGP2, while a negative correlation was observed in RIG-I/MDA5 and MAVS.

Conclusions: This study reveals the potential values of RNA-sensing genes including TLRs, RIG-I and DDX21 as biomarkers of CRC formation, progression and therapy.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC), one of the most common gastrointestinal malignancy with high morbidity and mortality, poses a serious threat to human health, especially for people in developing or underdeveloped countries (1). Most of patients have already in the advanced stages when they are diagnosed as CRC, and therefore resection and postoperative chemotherapy are often required. As a result, the living quality of these patients is seriously affected. A better understanding on the underlying mechanisms and the identification of molecular candidates more suitable for CRC diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment are needed. The pathogenesis of CRC is complicated, and the interactions among gut microbiota, immune system,
diets, and environment may all be involved (2). Among them, inflammation is a well-known risk factor for CRC tumorigenesis, and is often initiated by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs)-induced cascade response in immune cells (3).

Nucleic acids (DNA and RNA) represent important members of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) derived from pathogens, and the innate immune system employ a range of nucleic acid sensors (including DNA sensors and RNA sensors, subsets of PRRs) to detect various DNA and/or RNA components from these pathogens, thus restricting their replication (4,5). Previous studies have shown that nucleic acid sensors also respond to self-derived nucleic acids, known as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). The activation of DNA sensors is involved in inflammatory responses and tumorigenesis, and these sensors have prognosis and therapeutic values in some cancers. Furthermore, many DNA sensors were dysregulated in CRC and might be associated with cancer stages (4).

Similar to DNA sensors, RNA sensors are also important to restrict viral infection especially for viruses with rapid replication capability and high mutation rates (6). The members of RNA sensors mainly include endosomal receptors such as Toll-like receptor 3/7/8 (TLR3/7/8), cytosolic receptors such as RIG-I like receptor (RLRs) (including Retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation-associated gene I (MDA5), and DExH-box helicase 38 (DHX58, also named as LGP2), and helicases such as DDX1 and DDX21 (5). Upon activation by corresponding RNA components, RNA sensors signal via different adaptors (TRIF for TLR3, MyD88 for TLR7/8, and MAVS for RLRs) and eventually lead to the production of type I interferons (type I IFNs, IFN-α/β), other inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, which are important for the activation of innate and adaptive immune responses (5). Similar to DNA sensors, aberrant activation of RNA sensors are involved in the development of chronic inflammatory diseases such as autoimmunity diseases and tumorigenesis (3,6,7). In addition, deregulation of RNA sensors is involved in the development of CRC or inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs).

The RNA-sensing TLRs are the most studied RNA sensors in intestinal diseases. They are one of the major drivers for NF-κB activation, yet their roles in CRC are still unclear (8-10). RIG-I and MDA5 are the two main members of RLRs with similar structures (11), but they respond to dsRNA with different lengths (12). A previous study showed that Rig-I<sup>−/−</sup> mice were more susceptible to azoxymethane (AOM)/dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced CRC, which may be partially associated with a disturbance of gut microbiota (13,14). MDA5 was reported to protect mice from the development of various cancers (4,14). Different from RIG-I and MDA5, LGP2, as another member of RLRs, lacks the N-term cascade domain and mainly acts as a positive or negative regulator for MDA5 and RIG-I (15). A study showed that LGP2 was important for the survival of CRC cell line receiving ionizing radiation (16). While the other two members of RNA sensors, DDX1 and DDX21, were reported to interact with DHX36 and mediate the production of type I IFNs via TRIF (17), the roles of DDX1 and DDX21 in CRC development have yet to be studied.

These previous studies suggested that RNA sensors might be involved in the development of CRC. However, the expression profile of RNA sensors in human CRC and their clinical significance as well as the underlying mechanisms are still largely unknown. Here, we systematically investigate the expression profile of RNA sensors in human CRC tissues and mouse colon tissues at early stage of AOM/DSS-induced CRC. Furthermore, we take advantage of Oncomine bioinformatics platform to analyze the expression patterns of RNA sensors in a large scale and explore the possible functional mechanisms. The present study may have a significant value for the diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy of CRC.

**Methods**

**Clinical sample collection**

In this study, 34 CRC tissues and matched peri-carcinomatous tissues (distance 3 cm from the tumor sites) were obtained between July 2015 and April 2017 from Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery of the First Affiliated Hospital of Gannan Medical University (Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China). Written informed consents were obtained from all participants. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Gannan Medical University. Patients who were newly diagnosed with CRC based on the clinicopathological criteria were included. Patients who had received preoperative chemo-, radio- or immunotherapy were excluded. After the excision of CRC, the tissues were collected and preserved in liquid nitrogen. All information of the patients includes gender, age, tumor size, the stages and grades of the tumor were recorded (Table S1).
**AOM/DSS treatment of mice**

AOM/DSS-induced colitis-associated CRC model is often used to analyze the relationship between innate immune receptors and CRC (18). AOM is a pro-carcinogen to induce gene mutation, and DSS is an inflammatory insult to induce inflammation. Briefly, 6–8 weeks old C57BL/6 mice (n=5, Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University, Nanjing, China) were intraperitoneally injected with AOM (Sigma, USA, 10 μg/g body weight) followed by regular drinking water for 5 days, then the mice were fed up with drinking water containing 3% DSS (MP Biologicals, USA) for 6 days. After that, the mice gained full access to regular drinking water for 3 days (14 days). In contrast, the control group mice (n=7) were intraperitoneally injected with PBS and fed up with regular drinking water throughout the experiment. Mice were sacrificed when they lost more than 25% of body weight for humane endpoints. In this study, we scarified the mice using CO₂ at the day 14 after AOM injection, a time point that is frequently used to study the pre-cancerous changes and analyze the CRC pathogenesis (19-21). In order to test the TLR expression levels after the development of colitis, C57BL/6 mice were fed up with drinking water containing 3% DSS for 6 days, and then the mice got full access to regular drinking water for 3 days (day 9). After that, mice were sacrificed for colon collection.

**qRT-PCR**

The total RNA was isolated from human and mouse colon tissues by Trizol reagents according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, USA). After that, the cDNA was synthesized by using M-MLV First Strand Kit (Invitrogen, USA). The real-time quantitative PCR was performed by using SYBER Green Master Mix (Invitrogen, USA) in Bio-Rad Real-Time PCR System. The primer sequences of RNA sensors and β-actin were listed (Tables S2,S3).

**Data collection from Oncomine® Bioinformatics platform**

Oncomine (http://www.oncomine.org) is a unique resource platform that delivers unified cancer microarray data from various databases (22). In the present study, the mRNA levels of RNA sensors in human CRC were analyzed in TCGA database. We found that the expression of all these genes significantly decreased in the samples of three different groups in comparison with controls (Figure 1).

**Results**

**RNA-sensing TLRs were dysregulated in CRC tissues and associated with cancer stages**

RNA-sensing TLRs (TLR3/7/8) are the most studied RNA sensors in intestinal diseases although their roles in CRC are still controversial. An overall understanding on their expression profiles in the CRC tissues is beneficial for clinical application. We initially analyzed the expression profiles of TLR3/7/8 in clinical CRC samples, colon tissues from AOM/DSS treated mice, and TCGA database. We found that the expression of all these genes significantly decreased in the samples of three different groups in comparison with controls (Figure 1).

In order to investigate whether all TLRs were downregulated in CRC development, the gene expression levels of other TLRs were determined in mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment. It was shown that at least TLR2 and TLR4 expression levels were not significantly
Figure 1 The expression profile of RNA-sensing TLRs in human colorectal cancer (CRC) tissues, mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment, and TCGA database. RNA was extracted from cancer and matched peri-carcinomatous tissues of CRC patients, as well as mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment, and reverse-transcribed into cDNA. Then the gene expression levels of TLRs were determined by quantitative PCR. The data from the same patient were connected by straight lines. The data of the expression of TLRs were retrieved from the TCGA microarray database in Oncomine® platform. Expression of TLR3 (A,B,C), TLR7 (D,E,F), TLR8 (G,H,I) in human CRC tissues, mouse colon tissues, and TCGA database were shown. Control: matched peri-carcinomatous tissues, n=34 for clinical samples. Data were the representative of two independent experiments; n=7 for PBS-treated mice and n=5 for AOM/DSS-treated mice; n=22 for health normal controls and n=215 for CRC group. *, P<0.05, **, P<0.01, ***, P<0.001, ****, P<0.0001. NS, not significantly different; TLRs, Toll-like receptors; AOM, azoxymethane; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate.

different between control and AOM/DSS-treated groups (Figure S1A,B). It indicates that the down-regulation of TLRs in CRC may be specific for RNA-sensing TLRs.

Furthermore, in order to determine whether RNA-sensing TLRs are downregulated in CRC development or in any inflammatory conditions, we measured the gene expression levels of TLR3/7/8 in mouse colon tissue after DSS treatment only. It was shown that TLR3/7/8 were
not significantly down-regulated after DSS only treatment (Figure S1C,D,E). It indicates that the down-regulation of RNA-sensing TLRs is specific for CRC but not for other inflammatory conditions.

Next, we analyzed the correlation between the expression level of the TLRs and cancer stages in TCGA database. Consistently, we found that the expression levels of both TLR3/7/8 (Figure 2A,B,C) and the adaptors TRIF (Figure 2D) in different stages of CRC were significantly different from healthy control group. We also analyzed the possible signaling pathways of RNA-sensing TLRs in CRC development. We found that, although TLR3 showed no correlation with TRIF, there was a positive correlation between TLR7/8 and MyD88 (Figure 2E,F,G). These results showed the correlation of the downregulation of genes encoding RNA-sensing TLRs and their adaptor molecules with the development of CRC.

**RLRs were dysregulated in CRC and associated with cancer stages**

RLRs include MDA5, RIG-I, and LGP2. RIG-I and MDA5 mainly signal via the adaptor MAVS and induce the production of type I IFNs. In our study, we found that RIG-I was down-regulated in human and mouse colon tissues and in TCGA database (Figure 3A,B,C). Similarly, MDA5 was down-regulated in human and mouse colon tissues (Figure 3D,E). However, no significant change of MDA5 was observed in TCGA database (Figure 3F). In addition, MAVS was up-regulated in CRC in TCGA database (Figure 3G). Furthermore, it was found that the expression of RIG-I in CRC was associated with cancer stages (Figure 4A), whereas the expression of MDA5 and MAVS showed no correlation with cancer stages (Figure 4B,C).

Next, we analyzed the expression correlation between RIG-I/MDA5 and the adaptor MAVS. Surprisingly, both the expression of RIG-I/MDA5 in CRC was negatively associated with MAVS (Figure 4D,E). Furthermore, we also determined the expression levels of type I IFNs (IFN-α/β) in CRC tissues and could not find the significant downregulation of both IFN-α and IFN-β in CRC tissues (Figure S2A,B). These results indicate that the role of RLRs in CRC may be independent of classical RLR-MAVS-Type I IFNs signaling pathway, which is consistent with previous studies (14). On the other hand, as a member of RLRs, LGP2 lacks the N-term cascade domain and mainly acts as a positive regulator for MDA5 and a negative for RIG-I.

Here we found that LGP2 was positively correlated with both RIG-I and MDA5 in CRC (Figure 4F,G), although underlying mechanism is unknown. Taken together, our study showed that RLRs were down-regulated in CRC tissues and might be potentially involved in CRC development.

**The RNA-sensing helicases DDX1 and DDX21 were deregulated in CRC and associated with cancer stages**

Next, we studied the expression patterns of the RNA sensing-helicases (DDX1 and DDX21) in CRC. We found that, although DDX1 expression was down-regulated in mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS, it was not differentially expressed in clinical human CRC tissues and in TCGA database (Figure 5A,B,C). In contrast to DDX1, the expression of DDX21 significantly increased in human CRC tissues and TCGA database, although it was downregulated in mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment in comparison with controls (Figure 5D,E). In addition, DDX21 expression was associated with cancer stages, whereas DDX1 expression was not (Figure 6A,B). It is possible that the expression profile of DDX21 in CRC development is a dynamic process. DDX1 and DDX21 can interact with DHX36 and signal via TRIF to induce the production of type I IFNs (17). However, in our present study, we found that the expression of DDX1/DDX21 in CRC showed no correlation with TRIF (Figure 6C,D).

**Discussion**

Aberrant activation of inflammatory response represents a hallmark of tumorigenesis and the understanding on its underlying molecular mechanisms is of benefit for cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy (23). Recent accumulating evidences showed that nucleic acid sensors (DNA sensors and RNA sensors) are not only the indispensable PRRs for pathogen detection and elimination but also the main initiators of inflammation (6). Moreover, recent studies extended the function of nucleic acid sensors to tumorigenesis in addition to pathogen restriction and inflammation development (4,24). However, the expression profile of these nucleic acid sensors in CRC has not been well characterized.

Interaction between TLRs and tumor cells are complicated and TLRs appear to have tumor-promoting effects in many cancers (25). However, RNA sensing TLRs seems to have conflicting roles in the development of...
Figure 2  Correlation analysis between the expression of RNA-sensing TLRs and CRC stages, and correlation between TLRs and adaptors MyD88/TRIF. The expression data of RNA-sensing TLRs and adaptors MyD88/TRIF, were retrieved from the TCGA microarray database in Oncomine® platform, and were grouped by stages or patient IDs for further analysis. (A,B,C,D) Correlation analysis between the expression of TLR3/7/8, adaptors TRIF and cancer stages were shown; (E,F,G) correlation between the expression of TLR3/7/8 and MyD88/TRIF was shown. n=22 for health normal control, 44 for stage I, 78 for stage II, 52 for stage III, and 23 for stage IV. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM, Log2 median-centered ratio expression. **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. CRC, colorectal cancer; TLRs, Toll-like receptors; ID, identification; SEM, standard error of mean.
Figure 3  The expression profile of RLRs in human and mouse colon tissues and TCGA database. RNA was extracted from cancer and matched peri-carcinomatous tissues of CRC patients, as well as mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment, and reverse-transcribed into cDNA. Then the gene expression levels of RLR signaling pathway-associated molecules were determined by quantitative PCR. The data from the same patient were connected by straight lines. The data of the expression of RLRs were retrieved from the TCGA microarray database in Oncomine® platform. Expression of RIG-I (A,B,C) and MDA5 (D,E,F) in human CRC tissues, mouse colon tissues, and TCGA database were shown. (G) The expression of adaptor MAVS in TCGA database was shown. Control: matched peri-carcinomatous tissues, n=34 for clinical samples for (A,D). Data were the representative of two independent experiments; n=7 for PBS-treated mice and n=5 for AOM/DSS-treated mice; n=22 for health normal controls and n=215 for CRC group. *, P<0.05, **, P<0.01, ***, P<0.001. NS, not significantly different; CRC, colorectal cancer; AOM, azoxymethane; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

cancers. On one hand, TLR3 can promote the invasiveness of metastatic CRC cell lines by enhancing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (26). On the other hand, TLR3 was shown to directly induce apoptosis in human breast cancer cells and breast cancer patients with loss of function allele in TLR3 exhibit reduced survival rates after chemotherapy (27,28). Furthermore, the specific TLR3 ligand induced activation of NK cells and CD8+ T cells and established potent anti-tumor immunity in mouse tumor models (29,30). Here, we found that the expression of TLR3
was reduced in clinical CRC samples, TCGA database, and mouse colon tissues, and associated with cancer stages, indicating the potential anti-tumor effect of TLR3.

A study showed that the expression of TLR7 and TLR8 were higher in tumor tissues and might be associated with a poorer survival (31). However, more recent studies suggest that activation of TLR7 and TLR8 by various ligands induced tumor regress in various tumor models including CRC (32-36). Our present study showed lower expression of TLR7 and TLR8 in CRC tissues in comparison with controls, indicating potential anti-tumor effects.

RLRs, another subfamily of RNA sensors, include...
Figure 5 The expression profile of RNA-sensing helicases in human and mouse colon tissues and TCGA database. RNA was extracted from cancer and matched peri-carcinomatous tissues of CRC patients, as well as mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment, and reverse-transcribed into cDNA. Then the gene expression levels of RNA-sensing helicases were determined by quantitative PCR. The data from the same patient were connected by straight lines. The data of the expression of helicases were retrieved from the TCGA microarray database in Oncomine® platform. Expression of DDX1 (A,B,C) and DDX21 (D,E,F) in human CRC tissues, mouse colon tissues, and TCGA database were shown. Control: matched peri-carcinomatous tissues, n=34 for clinical samples, data were the representative of two independent experiments; n=7 for PBS-treated mice and n=5 for AOM/DSS-treated mice; n=22 for health normal controls and n=215 for CRC group. **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. NS, not significantly different; AOM, azoxymethane; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate; CRC, colorectal cancer; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PBS, phosphate buffer saline.

RIG-I, MDA5, and LGP2. Multiple previous studies have showed that RLRs were indispensable for RNA virus restriction, and the lack of MDA5, RIG-I and MAVS may increase virus proliferation in intestinal epithelial cells, such as rotavirus (37,38). Consistently, previous animal studies have also shown that RIG-I, MDA5, and MAVS inhibited
Figure 6 Correlation between RNA-sensing helicase expression and cancer stages, and between their expression and TRIF expression. The expression data of RNA-sensing helicases were retrieved from the TCGA microarray database in Oncomine® platform, and were grouped by stages or patient IDs for further analysis. (A,B) Correlation between DDX1/DDX21 and cancer stages was shown; (C,D) expression correlation between DDX1/DDX21 and TRIF was shown. n=22 for health normal control, 44 for stage I, 78 for stage II, 52 for stage III, and 23 for stage IV. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM, Log2 median-centered ratio expression. ***, P<0.001. ID, identification; SEM, standard error of mean.

the development of DSS-induced colitis (4). A recent study also showed that RIG-I suppressed the development of AOM/DSS-induced CRC (13). Encouragingly, activation of RIG-I may promote the integrity of intestinal epithelium suffering irradiation treatment and reduce graft-versus-host disease (39). These indicated that RLRs may be involved in the development of CRC, and the understanding on their expression profile in CRC may not only be of prognosis value but also be of therapy value. Our present study found that RIG-I and MDA5 were significantly down-regulated in CRC. Moreover, the expression of RIG-I in CRC was associated with cancer stages and LGP2 expression positively correlated with the expression of RIG-I and MDA5. These results are in line with previous literature (14). However, further functional investigations of RLRs in CRC development and therapy are still needed.

The helicase family is a large protein family that is expressed in almost all tissues and cells. They mainly hydrolyze RNA double chains in an energy-dependent manner throughout the whole process of life (40). Previously, studies on the function of helicase family members are mainly focused on viral defense, in addition to organ development processes. In recent years, these family members were shown to be functionally implicated in the development of cancers, including CRC (41). Recent studies showed that DDX1 inhibited ovarian cancer
progression through promoting microRNA maturation, yet promoted CRC through transcription activation of LGR5 (42,43). DDX21 was shown to promote the development of breast cancer and gastric cancer and was elevated in CRC (44-46). Here, consistent with previous studies, we showed that the expression of DDX21 were up-regulated in CRC and associated with cancer stages. However, the possible signaling pathway of DDX1 and DDX21 in CRC development remains undefined.

Taken together, our present study facilitates the comprehensive understanding on the expression patterns, clinical significance, and possible roles of RNA sensors in CRC. More importantly, we have identified that, among all these RNA sensors, TLR3/7/8, RIG-I, MDA5, and DDX21, may be potentially important for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy of CRC, and therefore deserve further investigation in the near future.
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Supplementary

**Table S1** The clinicopathologic features of the CRC samples

| Parameters       | Number (%) |
|------------------|------------|
| Gender           |            |
| Male             | 16 (47.1)  |
| Female           | 18 (52.9)  |
| Age (years)      |            |
| <50              | 7 (20.6)   |
| ≥50              | 27 (79.4)  |
| Location         |            |
| Colon            | 18 (52.9)  |
| Rectal           | 16 (47.1)  |
| Clinical stages  |            |
| II               | 16 (47.1)  |
| III              | 13 (38.2)  |
| IV               | 5 (14.7)   |
| Grades           |            |
| 1                | 9 (26.5)   |
| 2                | 20 (58.8)  |
| 3                | 5 (14.7)   |
| Pathologic type  |            |
| Adenocarcinoma   | 28 (82.4)  |
| Mucinous adenocarcinoma | 6 (17.6) |

CRC, colorectal cancer.

**Table S2** The primer sequences of RNA sensors (human)

| Genes | Sequences (5'-3') |
|-------|-------------------|
| DXH36 | (F): GGG TCA TGG AGG TAA CCG AG |
|       | (R): CTG TCC GCT TCC TGG TTC TCC |
| TLR3  | (F): TTG CCT TGT ATC TTT TGG GG |
|       | (R): TCA ACA CTG TTA TGT TGG GT |
| TLR7  | (F): TCC TTG GGG CTA GAT GGT TTC |
|       | (R): TCC ACG ATC ACA TGG TTC TGG G |
| TLR8  | (F): ATG TTC CTT CAG TCG TCA ATG C |
|       | (R): TTG CTG CAC TCT GCA ATA ACT |
| MDA5  | (F): TAG AAT GGG TAT TCC ACA GAC G |
|       | (R): GTG GCG ACG GTC CTC TGA A |
| RIG-I | (F): CTG GAC CCT ACC TAC ATC CTG |
|       | (R): GGC ATC CAA AAA GCC ACG G |
| DDX1  | (F): TCT CGG AGA TGG TAA TGG GT |
|       | (R): ACC TCC TCC TAA GAT CAA TGG G |
| DDX21 | (F): GAG GCC GTT TCC TCC AAA G |
|       | (R): GTA GAA GCA GTG TCG TCT TGA G |
| β-actin | (F): CTC TTG TAC ACG CAC GAT |
|       | (R): CAT GTA CGT TGC TAT CCA GGC |

**Table S3** The Primer sequences of RNA sensors (mouse)

| Genes | Sequences (5'-3') |
|-------|-------------------|
| TLR3  | (F): GTG AGA TAC AAC GTA GCT GAC TG |
|       | (R): TCC TGC ATC CAA GAT AGC AAG T |
| TLR7  | (F): ATG TGG ACA CCG AAG AGA CAA |
|       | (R): GGT AAG GGT AAG ATT GGT GGT G |
| TLR8  | (F): GAA AAC ATG CCC CCT CAG TCA |
|       | (R): CGT CAC AAG GAT AGC TTC TGG AA |
| MDA5  | (F): AGA TCA ACA CCT GTG GTA ACA CC |
|       | (R): CTC TAG GGC CTC CAC GAA CA |
| RIG-I | (F): AAG AGC CAG AGT GTC AGA ATC T |
|       | (R): AGC TCC AGT TGG TAA TTT CTT GG |
| DDX1  | (F): CTC CGA AAT GGG TGG TAT GCC |
|       | (R): GCC ATG AGT ACA TCC CCT CCT |
| DDX21 | (F): GAG GCC GTT TCC TCC AAA G |
|       | (R): GTA GAA GCA GTG TCG TCT TGA G |
| β-actin | (F): CAG CTT TCT TGC AGC TCC TT |
|       | (R): CAC GAT GGA GGG GAA TAC AG |
Figure S1 The expression profile of TLRs after AOM/DSS treatment or after colitis development. RNA was extracted from mouse colon tissues after AOM/DSS treatment or after DSS treatment, and reverse-transcribed into cDNA. Then the gene expression levels were determined by quantitative PCR. Expression of TLR2 (A) and TLR4 (B) were shown. n=7 for PBS-treated mice and n=5 for AOM/DSS-treated mice. Expression of TLR3 (C), TLR7 (D) and TLR8 (E) were shown. n=4 for control mice and n=6 for DSS-treated mice. NS, not significantly different; TLRs, Toll-like receptors; AOM, azoxymethane; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
Figure S2 The expression of type I IFNs in clinical CRC samples. RNA was extracted from cancer and matched peri-carcinomatous tissues of CRC patients and reverse-transcribed into cDNA. Then the gene expression levels of IFN-α (A) and IFN-β (B) were determined by quantitative PCR. n=6. NS, not significantly different; IFNs, interferons; CRC, colorectal cancer; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.