Building a hybrid virtual cardiac rehabilitation program to promote health equity: Lessons learned

Trent Johnson, MD,*† Nino Isakazde, MD, MHS,*,†† Lena Mathews, MD,*† Yumin Gao, ScM,*† Zane MacFarlane, BA,*†† Erin M. Spaulding, PhD, RN,*‡ Seth S. Martin, MD, MHS,*†‡ Francoise A. Marvel, MD*†

From the *Ciccarone Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease, Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, †Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, and ‡The Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland.

Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) is a Class 1A recommendation by the American Heart Association (AHA) and American College of Cardiology1 as a secondary prevention program for patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) that includes exercise, risk factor modification, education, psychosocial counseling, and reinforcement of adherence to guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT). CR participation improves functionality and quality of life and reduces hospital readmissions, secondary events, and mortality in patients with CVD.2

Despite the overwhelming evidence in support of CR, it has historically been underutilized. Vulnerable populations, including underserved minorities, women, veterans, and lower-socioeconomic-status individuals, have the lowest participation rates.3 An innovative approach is needed to increase access to CR in an equitable and cost-effective manner.

Accordingly, the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation (AACVPR) recommended a home-based CR option for low-to-moderate-risk patients to expand access opportunities.4 Building on AACVPR recommendations, we created a combination of center- and home-based sessions called “hybrid CR.” Herein, we present lessons learned in developing and implementing our hybrid CR program at Johns Hopkins (Figure 1).

1. Assemble a multidisciplinary team and technology platform
A diverse team with insightful perspectives was key to the design of our hybrid CR program. Our team included the CR medical director, program director, exercise physiologists, nurses, researchers, preventive cardiologists, engineers, compliance/legal teams, and frontline clinicians.

Next, we identified the technology to deliver the virtual component of the CR program. We selected the Corrie Health digital platform (Corrie),5 because it is a comprehensive, evidence-based, and health equity-focused6 platform for patients with CVD.7 Corrie is composed of a smartphone application (app) paired with a wireless blood pressure monitor and a smartwatch connected to a clinician dashboard that supports GDMT for CVD secondary prevention.1,5

Smartphone application
The app highlights 3 main pillars: (1) education on CVD risk factors, pathophysiology, and lifestyle modifications; (2) medication support with reminders and adherence tracking of GDMT; and (3) exercise and physical activity guidance designed to achieve individualized treatment plans. To promote app engagement, we provide motivational, weekly coaching check-ins where questions about educational content are addressed along with progress toward achieving healthy lifestyle goals. We also promote app engagement through an education feature where patients have the option to mark modules as completed once they have viewed the resources. Once all items are complete, patients are awarded a golden heart badge, acting as a gamification model for motivation.

Clinician dashboard
We developed a clinical dashboard that provides intuitive data visualization including heart rate, blood pressure, steps, medication adherence, education completion, and exercise duration with pre- and post-vitals. Patients are also able to view and share these data within the app.

2. Establish an equitable onboarding process
Patients are introduced to the app at the bedside, while inpatient, by a trained patient navigator. During an approximately
30-minute session, the patient and navigator download the app and complete basic setup together. Patients are asked to perform teach-back to ensure understanding. Navigators also assist with pairing devices and the patient’s first vital signs measurement.

Barriers to a hybrid CR model include socioeconomic status and technology and/or health literacy. We took steps to ensure equitable access through creating an iShare program, which provides, at no cost, loaner devices to patients who do not own them. Health literacy was addressed with all educational materials being created at a sixth- or seventh-grade reading level. It was also supported at the start of the program and at in-center sessions, and reinforced virtually during weekly health coach check-ins. Patients were given access to technology tutorial videos, tailored to varying levels of digital literacy, that they could view at their own pace for supplementary support. Throughout the 12-week program, starting from discharge, patients were offered additional technical support via weekly coaching calls or by e-mail.

This flexible and dynamic approach (in-person instruction, instructional videos, and coaching check-ins) was designed to help patients get started quickly regardless of their technology or health literacy status. We learned this was crucial for increasing motivation and engagement.

3. Gather feedback

To optimize user experiences, we engaged a diverse group of CR-eligible patients, caregivers, and clinicians using purposeful sampling for recruitment in human-centered design (HCD) sessions. Our patient sample was 27% African American, 9% Asian, 18% Hispanic or Latino, 55% female, with a median (interquartile range) age of 63 (56–66) years. The cohort of patients met 3 times over the course of 6 weeks for a total of 270 minutes. Session 1 focused on defining challenges patients and their caregivers faced after experiencing cardiac events, including barriers to CR participation. Session 2 featured brainstorming solutions to these challenges. In session 3, participants designed prototypes of top solutions. Between sessions 2 and 3, participants were asked to test the Corrie app and provide feedback using a written survey following the Systems Usability Scale.

To obtain clinician insights, we gathered 10 clinicians (nurse practitioners, cardiologists, exercise physiologists, and pharmacists) for a 90-minute roundtable discussion via Zoom on challenges encountered with engagement in CR. They addressed concerns about access, financial barriers, and limited patient education on the benefits of CR.

4. Implement feedback

HCD sessions provided structured feedback from patients that we implemented into our quality improvement (QI) program. We were successful in promoting equitable access within our QI program on hybrid CR, as demonstrated by the fact that the patients had a mean age of 59.2 (standard deviation: 10.4) years, 40% were female, 39% were of minority race/ethnicity, 58% were insured by Medicare/Medicaid, and 76% owned an Android. From these sessions many improvements were made, including creating a CR introductory video and digital instructional how-to videos as well as implementing weekly coaching check-ins. We are creating a patient-centered
5. Evaluate clinical efficacy
With support from the AHA Strategically Focused Research Network, we will be conducting a randomized clinical trial (Impact of a mobile Technology Enabled Corrie Cardiac Rehabilitation Program on Cardiovascular Outcomes mTECH REHAB) to test the efficacy of the Corrie Health digital platform to deliver a hybrid CR model. We will enroll 300 CR-eligible patients with CVD, and evaluate the achievement of guideline-directed goals. Our primary outcome is to assess change in participants’ functional capacity from discharge to 12 weeks postdischarge using the 6-minute walk test. At the completion of the program, both patients and clinicians will complete a survey to evaluate their satisfaction and perceived burden of the intervention.

Conclusion
We are addressing CR underutilization by combining guideline-directed cardiovascular care and innovative technology to enable equitable access to CR. Learning from HCD and QI, we have optimized onboarding, app usability, and delivery of coaching sessions to improve CR patient engagement. Adapting the program to scale requires a multi-disciplinary team and easy-to-use/adaptable technology that delivers equitable and high-value care. These are key take-aways that have been important in creating a dynamic, patient-centered, and equitable hybrid CR program.
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