Characteristic Signs on T2*-Based Imaging and Their Relationship with Results of Reperfusion Therapy for Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Evidence to Date
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Purpose: Characteristic signs – the susceptibility vessel sign (SVS) and the prominent hypointense vessel sign (PHVS) – on T2*-based magnetic resonance imaging (T2*MRI) can be seen for acute ischemic stroke with large artery occlusion. In this study, we investigated the evidence to support our hypothesis that these findings may help to predict outcomes after reperfusion therapy.

Materials and Methods: We searched for papers describing SVS and PHVS in patients treated with reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke, and their functional/radiologic outcomes were systematically reviewed.

Results: Nine studies on the SVS and six studies on the PHVS were included. The pooled odds ratio (OR) of recanalization after intravenous thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy was not significantly different with the presence of SVS (OR, 0.615; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.335–1.131 and OR, 0.993; 95% CI, 0.629–1.567). The OR of favorable functional outcome after reperfusion therapy in terms of the presence of PHVS varied (0.083 to 1.831) by study.

Conclusion: Our meta-analysis of the published data showed that a SVS was not a predictive factor for recanalization after reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke. Currently, the data available on T2*MRI are too limited to warrant reperfusion therapy in routine practice. More data are needed from studies with randomized treatment allocation to determine the role of T2*MRI.
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INTRODUCTION

Magnetic inhomogeneity from iron and deoxyhemoglobin causes faster T2* relaxation, leading to signal intensity loss on T2*-based magnetic resonance images (MRI). Therefore, T2*-based MRI (T2*MRI), including the T2*-weighted gradient recalled echo imaging, or susceptibility weighted imaging, is highly sensitive for the detection of recent or old cerebral hemorrhage and is commonly used for stroke patients.1,2

Although the detection of hemor-
rhage has been the critical role of T2*MRI, in the past decade, there has been a growing interest in additional supportive findings on T2*MRI that can be seen in patients with ischemic stroke with large artery occlusion. The susceptibility vessel sign (SVS) is defined as a hypointense signal that exceeds the diameter of the contralateral artery located at the site of the thrombus. This sign is highly specific for vessel occlusion, and it also represents a recanalization prediction after intravenous tissue plasminogen activator thrombolysis (IVT). Abnormal hypointensity of cerebral veins is another specific finding after arterial occlusion. This finding, called the prominent hypointense vessel sign (PHVS), is caused by an increase in deoxyhemoglobin and a decrease in oxyhemoglobin within the cerebral veins and tissue capillaries, leading to a signal drop along cerebral veins. This local increase of deoxyhemoglobin might be an expression of the stress on tissue-at-risk. This potential metabolic information on T2*MRI may help to assess prognostic value-related clinical outcomes.

Recently, evidence of the clinical significance of microbleeds on T2*MRI has been well established for acute ischemic stroke. Two meta-analyses on T2*MRI for ischemic stroke revealed that microbleeds are a major risk factor of symptomatic parenchymal hemorrhage after intravenous thrombolysis. In spite of the prognostic role of microbleeds on T2*MRI and its homogenous results in many studies, the clinical role of the other two characteristic signs on T2*MRI, SVS and PHVS, could not be concluded for reperfusion treatment for ischemic stroke.

Despite a considerable body of literature on the prognostic value of these two signs on T2*MRI, there have been conflicting results regarding the difference in outcome related to the two signs. There is a recognized need for multimodal MRI that can evaluate acute stroke with large artery occlusion, which could better select patients most likely to benefit from endovascular methods and exclude those who would fare worse or not benefit, particularly in extended time windows. However, careful verification should be given to whether T2*MRI is essential to multimodal MRI protocol for acute stroke. Therefore, we conducted this systematic review to assess all current evidence on the clinical predictability of SVS and PHVS in patients with acute ischemic stroke who were treated by reperfusion therapy and their outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Searches
Meta-analyses were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for the meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology. We conducted a systematic literature review of PubMed, EMBASE, and the Cochrane library databases from inception through May 30, 2018. The following keywords, and entrée terms analogous with these, were used for searching, in relevant combinations, using the Boolean operators OR and AND: “gradient-echo,” “T2*-weighted,” “susceptibility-weighted,” “ischemic stroke,” “mechanical thrombectomy,” “reperfusion,” “thrombolysis,” and “endovascular procedure” (Supplementary material).

The retrieved articles were then screened by title and abstract to identify all published observational or interventional studies that reported clinical/radiological outcomes according to T2*MRI for acute stroke managed with reperfusion treatment. Next, two researchers reviewed manuscripts in the screened articles for the inclusion criteria listed as follows: any study 1) in which enrolled patients underwent reperfusion treatment for acute ischemic stroke, such as IVT and/or endovascular mechanical thrombectomy (MT); 2) that performed T2*MRI before treatment for all subjects; 3) that reported clinical outcomes, such as recanalization or post-treatment modified Rankin scale (mRS), and hemorrhagic complications; 4) that had any description of the specific finding of T2*MRI and its relation with clinical outcomes; and 5) that enrolled more than 10 subjects. We excluded studies that reported duplicate data or that were limited in appropriate data. In addition, the reference lists of the included articles and background papers were reviewed for potentially relevant studies. We contacted the authors by e-mail if reported data were missing.

Data extraction
Two investigators independently assessed the eligibility, data abstraction, and methodological quality of studies using the aforementioned search criteria for studies, and all disagreements were resolved by consensus. The following data were abstracted from enrolled studies: report characteristics (first author’s name, year of publication, and name of trial); details of the study design (observational or controlled study, retrospective or prospective, and single or multicenter); characteristics of the cohort (age, sex, occlusion site, initial National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale [NIHSS] score, clinical risk
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factors, and cause of stroke; details of T2*MRI acquisition and interpretation (sequence, strength of magnetic field, repetition time, echo time, flip angle, and finding); details of reperfusion treatment (methods and time from onset to treatment), and outcomes (recanalization status and mRS at three months after treatment). The quality of the included studies was also independently assessed using the most recent quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies (QUADAS-2).

**Statistical analysis**

We summarized the study population demographics and proportions of each characteristic image finding on T2*MRI with the radiological and functional clinical outcomes or risk factors. The outcomes according to findings on T2*MRI were measured in terms of successful recanalization, favorable functional outcome, and the presence of hemorrhagic transformation. We defined successful recanalization as an arterial occlusive lesion scale 2 and 3\(^{11}\) or modified Mori scale 2 and 3\(^{12}\) on any radiological image measured within 24 hours after IVT or TICI 2b to 3 after MT. We defined a favorable functional outcome as mRS ≤2 (or 1) measured at three months after treatment. The prevalence of a stroke of cardioembolic cause was also assessed as the difference between a positive and negative SVS.

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to determine the associations between positive T2*MRI findings, functional outcomes, and any influence of treatment. We aimed at comparing functional and radiological outcomes in patients with characteristic T2*MRI findings and determined whether reperfusion treatment changed the relationship between T2*MRI findings and clinical outcome. The ORs were pooled using a random-effects (Simonian and Laird) model. If there was a possibility that the pooled estimates would be confounded by substantial heterogeneity among the studies, the results were not pooled in order to prevent misinterpretation. The heterogeneity among the studies was assessed using the \( I^2 \) statistic and publication bias was evaluated visually by funnel plots. To evaluate the pooled results’ stability, we conducted sensitivity analyses by removing studies with heterogeneity in the MRI sequence or inclusion criteria.

**RESULTS**

**Study selection**

During the initial search, 1,018 studies were identified, 954 were initially screened by title and abstract, and 65 were assessed via a full-text review for eligibility. A total of 15 studies presented a relationship between T2*MRI findings and clinical/radiological outcomes in ischemic stroke patients after reperfusion therapy.\(^5,13-26\) One study was a randomized controlled trial, while the other enrolled studies were observational studies. No studies were considered to be seriously flawed as per the Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies.

**Study characteristics**

Among the 15 studies, nine analyzed the relation between SVS (Fig. 1A) and outcome from 896 subjects.\(^5,13,15-20\) According to the method of reperfusion therapy, four studies enrolled only patients treated with IVT, and five studies enrolled patients treated with MT. Seven studies used gradient echo sequences, and two studies used SWI. Although most enrolled studies were limited to large artery occlusion for the including criteria, one study\(^13\) enrolled medium size artery occlusion in their cohorts (1.6% of total subjects). Only 2.8% of total subjects with stroke were related to occlusion in the posterior circulation.\(^18\) The mean/median value of the initial NIHSS was over 10 in most studies. Most of the studies used the general guideline of the time-window for IVT (3 to 4.5 hours) and MT (6 hours). Mechanical thrombectomy was performed with a stent retriever or an aspiration catheter in all enrolled studies. Of the nine SVS studies, recanalization status was presented in all, and favorable outcomes were measured in five. The incidence of cardioembolic-caused stroke according to the presence of SVS findings was evaluated in six of the eight studies (Table 1).

Although we enrolled six studies by consensus after reviewing the figures and contexts of each, the definition of prominent hypointense vessel signs (Fig. 1B) at the ipsilateral side of stroke and its assessing methods were not consistent in six studies.\(^21-26\) Thus, we did not conduct the pooled analysis for studies of PHVS. PHVS was described differently in each study, such as cortical vessel sign, susceptibility-diffusion mismatch, brush sign, and as prominent medullary veins. Four studies qualitatively assessed the presence of PHVS on the ipsilateral side of stroke, and two studies quantitatively assessed the degree of PHVS. There was also signifi-
**Fig. 1.** (A) Representative image of “susceptibility vessel sign” on T2*-weighted gradient recalled echo scan. In a patient with left MCA occlusion, there is a hypointense blooming artifact (black arrow) beyond parent vessel lumen. (B) Representative image of “prominent hypointense vessel sign” on minimum intensity projection of susceptibility-weighted scan. The image of patient with right MCA occlusion shows multiple hypointense linear and branched vessels (white arrows) in the MCA territory. MCA, middle cerebral artery.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of study design, T2*-based MRI, cohorts, and reperfusion therapy in studies for SVS

| Study            | Region | Type       | Site   | Magnetic field | T2* sequence; TR/TE/FL | Slice thickness | Reperfusion therapy | LAO | No. | ICA | Age (years) | I-NIHSS | Onset-to-Tx (IVT or puncture) |
|------------------|--------|------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Aoki et al.⁵ (2015) | Japan  | Retro      | Single | 1.5T           | GRE; 700/20/NA          | 6 mm           | IVT                 | All | 158| 43 | 78 (27%)   | 16      | 146.9±40.8                   |
| Ritzenthaler et al.¹³ (2016) | France | Pros       | Multi  | NA             | GRE; 800/28/20         | 5 mm           | IVT                 | 36  | 36 | 18 | 70 (36%)   | 14      | 165 (141–198)                |
| Yamamoto et al.¹⁴ (2016) | Japan  | Retro      | Single | 3T             | GRE; NA                | NA             | IVT                 | All | 49 | 6  | 73.7±10.7  | 15.2±6.1 | 140.4±37.8                   |
| Yan et al.¹⁵ (2016)     | China  | Retro      | Single | 3T             | SWI; 58/4.5/20         | 2 mm           | IVT                 | All | 85 | 0  | 66 (43–94) | 14      | 235±82                       |
| Bourcier et al.¹⁶ (2015) | France | Retro      | Single | 1.5T           | GRE; 800/30/20         | 5 mm           | MT (bridge 54.8%)   | All | 73 | 39 | 59 (23%)   | 18      | 247.6                        |
| Kim et al.¹⁷ (2015)     | Korea  | Retro      | Single | 1.5T           | GRE; 750–800/14/20     | 4 mm           | MT (bridge 62.2%)   | All | 37 | NA | 68.7±11.4  | 15      | 245.4±95.3                   |
| Soize et al.¹⁸ (2015)   | France | Retro      | Multi  | 1.5 or 3T      | GRE; NA                | NA             | MT (bridge 54.9%)   | All | 153| 35 | 59±17       | 17.2±6.5 | 289±173                     |
| Kang et al.¹⁹ (2017)    | Korea  | Retro      | Single | 1.5 or 3T      | SWI; 38/23/25, 28/20/15| 4, 3 mm     | MT (bridge 39.3%)   | All | 89 | 18 | 68±13       | 15      | 354.0±19.8                   |
| Bourcier et al.²⁰ (2018) | France | Pros       | Multi  | NA             | GRE; NA                | NA             | MT (bridge 65.3%)   | All | 202| 65 | 67.7±15.3  | 15.5±6.3 | 225.2±79.4                   |

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SVS, susceptibility vessel sign; TR/TE/FL, repetition time/echo time/flip angle; LAO, large artery occlusion; ICA, internal carotid artery; I-NIHSS, initial National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; Tx, treatment; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis; Retro, retrospective design; GRE, gradient-recalled echo T2*-weighted imaging; Pros, prospective design; NA, not applicable; SWI, susceptibility weighted imaging; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; bridge, IVT prior to MT; RCT, randomized controlled trial.
| Study          | Region | Type | Site | Magnetic field | T2* sequence; TR/TE/FL | Slice thickness | Reperfusion therapy | LAO No. | ICA Age (years) | I-NIHSS Onset-to-Tx (IVT or puncture) | Sign and assessing methods | OR (95% CI) for favorable outcome | P-value |
|---------------|--------|------|------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| Baik et al.21 | Korea  | Retro| Single | 1.5 or 3T | SWI; 28/20/15 | 2 mm | MT (2 intraarterial thrombolysis) | All 19 | 8 (42%) | 59 (IQR, 55–71) | 15 (IQR, 12–20) | 150 (IQR, 90–300) | Cortical vessel sign, asymmetry between bilateral side | 0.667 (0.084–5.301) | 0.702 |
| Lou et al.22  | China  | R/Pc | Single | 3T | SWI; 58/5/20 | 2 mm | NT | All 54 | NA | 69±13 | 12 (5–7) | 228.5±63.8 | S-D mismatch, asymmetry index | 1.831 (0.618–5.425) | 0.275 |
| Terasawa et al.23 | Japan  | R/Pc | Single | 3T | GRE; 400/28/25 | 6 mm | NT | All 36 | 0 | 74.7±10.6 | 14±5 | 1420.0±30.6 | Brush sign, the presence | 0.379 (0.085–1.699) | 0.205 |
| Zhang et al.24 | China  | Retro| Single | 3T | SWI; 58/45/20 | 2 mm | NT | 60 | 109 | 68±13 | 79.0±7.0 | 230 (180–283) | Thalamo-striate vein sign, the presence | 0.204 (0.086–0.483) | 0.000 |
| Zhao et al.25  | China  | Retro| Single | 3T | SWI; 27/20/ NA | 1.5 mm | NT | NA 60 | NA | 62.3±12.4 | 12.3±4.1 | 2140±64.2 | Cortical vessel sign, asymmetry between bilateral side | 0.579 (0.173–1.934) | 0.374 |
| Wang et al.26  | China  | Retro| Single | 3T | SWI; 37.9/24.3/ NA | 1.6 mm | IVT (52%) and MT (48%) | All 25 | NA | NA | NA | NA | PHVS, the presence | 0.083 (0.007–0.931) | 0.044 |

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PHVS, prominent hypointense vessel sign; TR/TE/FL, repetition time/echo time/flip angle; LAO, large artery occlusion; ICA, internal carotid artery; I-NIHSS, initial National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; Tx, treatment; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Retro, retrospective design; SWI, susceptibility weighted imaging; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; IQR, interquartile range; R/Pc, retrospective observation of prospective registry; NA, not applicable; S-D mismatch; susceptibility-diffusion mismatch; GRE, gradient-recalled echo T2* weighted imaging.
cant heterogeneity in their inclusion criteria and reperfusion therapy methods of PHVS. Of the six studies of PHVS, functional outcomes were presented in all studies, and recanalization status was presented in only two. When comparing the positive and negative PHVS on T2*MRI, the ORs for favorable outcomes after reperfusion therapy varied from 0.083 to 1.831. More detailed clinical characteristics of each study are presented in Table 2.

**Overall assessment of SVS on the outcomes of reperfusion therapy**

Nine studies revealed a relationship between presence of SVS and recanalization rate after reperfusion therapy. Meta-analysis did not show any significant differences in the recanalization rate after MT in relation to the presence of SVS on T2*MRI (OR, 0.993; 95% CI, 0.629–1.567; I²=8.6%). The pooled OR of recanalization after IVT also did not show a significant difference related to the presence of an SVS (OR, 0.615; 95% CI, 0.335–1.131; I²=36.2%) (Fig. 2). Significant publication bias was not observed on funnel plots (Supplementary Fig. 1). A sensitivity analysis showed that the robustness of the findings to the study centre was preserved regardless of the exclusion of any study.

Among the five studies of MT, we were able to extract data regarding the SVS and favorable functional outcomes only from three. Two of three studies presented a favorable outcome prevalence significant higher for a negative SVS than for a positive SVS (OR, 1.899 and 4.950), and one study showed the opposite result (OR, 0.375). The pooled estimate was not conducted due to significant heterogeneity (Table 3).

![Forest plots showing odds ratio for recanalization after mechanical thrombectomy for acute stroke in comparison of a positive SVS and a negative SVS.](https://doi.org/10.5469/neuroint.2018.01039)

**Fig. 2.** (A) Forest plot showing odds ratio for recanalization after mechanical thrombectomy for acute stroke in comparison of a positive SVS and a negative SVS. The size of the black box corresponding to each study is proportional to the sample size. The horizontal line shows the corresponding 95% CI of the effect size (odds ratio). The combined estimate is based on a randomized-effects model shown by the diamond. The pooled estimate of odds ratios did not favor either of two arms. (B) Forest plot showing odds ratio for recanalization after intravenous thrombolysis for acute stroke in comparison of a positive SVS and a negative SVS. The pooled estimate of odds ratios did not favor either of two arms. SVS, susceptibility vessel sign; CI, confidence interval.
Six studies assessed the relationship between cardioem-
bolic cause and the presence of an SVS on T2*MRI. A pooled
estimate was not conducted because of significant hetero-
geney through the studies. Two of six studies presented
statistical significance in association between a positive SVS
and a cardioembolic cause of stroke, and OR varied from
0.547 to 4.086 (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This review highlighted the need for more data to confirm
and refine the clinical benefits of T2*MRI for reperfusion
therapy for acute ischemic stroke and for standardization
of methods to assess T2*MRI lesions. We pooled the OR for
recanalization according to SVS, and this result suggested
that an SVS is not a predictive factor for recanalization. De-
spite many reports advocating characteristic findings on
T2*MRI for ischemic stroke, there was very limited evidence
that concluded whether the specific findings on T2*MRI
provided predictive information for different outcomes from
those without these findings and what the possible benefits
of T2*MRI before treatment might be. These data were very
fragmented and difficult to manage systematically, and there
was little that was ultimately usable.

SVS

The presence of an SVS in thrombi can be related with the
composition of a clot. Red blood cell (RBC) dominant clots
have dominantly paramagnetic deoxygenated hemoglobin,
which produces a non-uniform magnetic field on T2* sensi-
tive sequences.27 RBC dominant clots have a higher propor-
tion of components (e.g., platelets) that are resistant to fibri-
nolytic agents alone, whereas fibrin-rich clots with a lack of
SVS are responsive to fibrinolytic agents.28 A study assessing
the histologic analysis of retrieved clots found that the com-
position of RBC and fibrin in clots was different according
to the origin of clot, whether cardioembolic or non-cardio-
embolic.29 Therefore, it has been suggested that clot com-
position may affect its lysability and/or mechanical removal.
In spite of this presumption, pooled estimates showed that
endovascular recanalization was not related to SVS. Although
several studies presented an association between clinical
outcome and the histopathology of retrieved clots after me-
chanical thrombectomy for large artery occlusion,30,31 there
is still a debate about this relation.

In spite of our results not showing the predictability of an
SVS, we disagree that the clinical value of an SVS in reperfu-

Table 3. OR for favorable functional outcome in patients with positive SVS compared to negative SVS

| Study                  | Reperfusion Tx | OR (95% CI)          | P-value |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------|
| Aoki et al.2 (2015)    | IVT            | 0.929 (0.388–2.228)  | 0.869   |
| Bourcier et al.16 (2015)| MT            | 4.950 (1.560–15.704) | 0.007   |
| Kim et al.17 (2015)    | MT             | 0.375 (0.159–0.882)  | 0.025   |
| Bourcier et al.20 (2018)| MT           | 1.899 (1.022–3.530)  | 0.043   |

OR, odds ratio; SVS, susceptibility vessel sign; Tx, treatment; CI, confidence interval; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis; MT, mechanical thrombectomy.

Table 4. Cardioembolic cause of stroke and susceptibility vessel sign

| Study                  | Reperfusion Tx | MR sequence | OR (95% CI)          | P-value |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|
| Aoki et al.2 (2015)    | IVT            | GRE         | 1.477 (0.772–2.827)  | 0.239   |
| Ritzenthaler et al.13 (2016)| IVT | GRE     | 0.547 (0.170–1.758)  | 0.312   |
| Yan et al.15 (2016)    | IVT            | SWI         | 1.259 (0.489–3.244)  | 0.633   |
| Kim et al.17 (2015)    | MT             | GRE         | 4.086 (1.673–9.982)  | 0.002   |
| Kang et al.19 (2017)   | MT             | SWI         | 3.675 (1.306–10.343) | 0.014   |
| Bourcier et al.20 (2018)| MT           | GRE         | 0.978 (0.525–1.823)  | 0.945   |

Tx, treatment; MR, magnetic resonance; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IVT, Intravenous thrombolysis; GRE, gradient-recalled echoT2*weighted imaging; SWI, susceptibility weighted imaging; MT, mechanical thrombectomy.
sion therapy for acute stroke should be disregarded. Recent studies about T2*MRI proposed other novel values of an SVS in standard reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke. Several studies found that the clot burden measured by an SVS was associated with successful recanalization rate and favorable clinical outcomes. This suggests that thrombus load is more important than thrombus composition for mechanical recanalization. The result of the ASTER trial that was used in our study showed that, when an SVS was present, successful reperfusion was more often achieved with a stent retriever than with a suction aspiration catheter as a first-line endovascular thrombectomy. It remains a promising attempt and an interesting research question to further specify a strategy for mechanical thrombectomy according to SVS.

Prominent hypointense vessel signs
A possible explanation for the dropped signal marking the prominent vessel on T2*MRI is the increased oxygen extraction fraction and/or higher concentration of deoxyhemoglobin in the critically hypoperfused region compared to normal brain areas. In this context, several studies assessed whether SWI was able to replace perfusion imaging, since both sequences might indicate a penumbra. Despite the presence of a PHVS in acute stroke patients, there has been a contradiction about using a PHVS to predict clinical outcomes. Some studies have shown that the presence of a PHVS predicts severe stroke and poor outcome. On the contrary, some studies suggested even better outcome in subjects with a PHVS based on the assumption that this imaging marker represents collateralization. Similarly, there is a debate whether a PHVS is related with the prognosis of acute ischemic stroke after reperfusion therapy. In the present review, we could not conclude the predictability of a PHVS in the prognosis of acute stroke after reperfusion therapy.

Limitations
The lack of standardization of the acquisition and description of T2*MRI was a major limitation of the evidence so far. Recent guidelines for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke advise the use of perfusion imaging only for selecting patients with an extended time-window. Unlike the hemodynamic parameter of perfusion imaging, a PHVS is difficult to measure quantitatively, so it is unlikely that it will be accepted as the standard work-up for hyperacute ischemic stroke. In spite of the difference in imaging acquisition technique between GRE and SWI, we enrolled the results without separation between these sequences. Although there has been no study that compared the diagnostic accuracy between the two techniques, several studies presented similar values for the sensitivities (70–80%) and specificities (over 90%) of GRE and SWI for detection of intracranial large artery thrombosis. Interestingly, all of the included studies were performed in Far-East Asia (China, Korea, and Japan) or France. This may be related to the system of social health insurance, the accessibility of MRI scans, or the guidelines of treatment for acute ischemic stroke in each country.

CONCLUSION
Our systematic review and meta-analysis of T2*MRI for reperfusion therapy of acute ischemic stroke demonstrated only that there were no definitive associations between the presence of an SVS and successful recanalization rate after MT or IVT for acute ischemic stroke. Clearly, much work remains to be done to understand the association between an SVS and strategies for mechanical thrombectomy. To move forward, common standards and definitions for the T2*MRI protocol, the descriptions of SVS and PHVS, and the quantification of SVS and PHVS are needed.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.5469/neuroint.2018.01039.
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