Overexpression of a PIP1 Gene from Salicornia bigelovii in Tobacco Plants Improves Their Drought Tolerance
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ABSTRACT. Aquaporin (AQP) proteins can transport water and other small molecules through cellular membranes and are one of the first targets of stress-induced signaling in plants. A number of AQP genes have been identified from glycophytes, and their functions have been studied. However, the reports on AQP s from halophytes and their precise role in abiotic stress response are still rare. In this study, we have identified a PIP1 subgroup AQP gene, designated SbPIP1, from the ehalophyte Salicornia bigelovii and characterized it by overexpressing in tobacco plants. SbPIP1 transcript was induced by cold, but suppressed by NaCl and polyethylene glycol (PEG). Transient expression of GFP (green fluorescent protein)-SbPIP fusion protein indicated its localization in the plasma membrane. Overexpression of SbPIP1 in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants increased their drought tolerance. Leaf protoplasts from transgenic tobacco plants absorbed water more quickly than those from wild type (WT) plants when they were put into hypotonic solution. In additional, the transgenic tobacco plants possessed higher relative water content (RWC) and proline content but lower levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) and less ion leakage (IL) when compared with WT under the treatment of the different concentrations of PEG. Taken together, our results demonstrate that heterologous expression of SbPIP1 in tobacco plants confers them drought stress tolerance by reducing membrane injury and increasing the ability to retain water.
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Plant survival, growth, and development depend on water absorption from the soil and transport from the roots to other plant parts (Aharon et al., 2003). In plants, water movement is controlled by both apoplastic and symplastic pathways (Alexandersson et al., 2010; Luu and Maurel, 2005). The latter is more efficient in regulating water transport across membranes when plants are experiencing abiotic stresses (Lian et al., 2004; Vera-Estrella et al., 2004). The symplastic pathway is regulated mainly by the members of AQP proteins (Amodeo et al., 1999). AQPs belong to a highly conserved group of the major intrinsic protein (MIP) family and are localized in the plasma membrane, the tonoplast, or in other cell membranes (Kaldenhoff and Fischer, 2006). They facilitate the transport of not only water but also other small neutral molecules and gases through biological membranes (Chaumont and Tyerman, 2014; Kaldenhoff and Fischer, 2006; Sade et al., 2010). Since the first AQP gene was isolated from Arabidopsis thaliana (Maurel et al., 1993), a number of AQP genes have been identified from glycophytes, including 35 from A. thaliana (Johanson et al., 2001), 36 from maize Zea mays (Chaumont et al., 2001), 33 from rice Oryza sativa (Sakurai et al., 2005), 65 from Populus trichocarpa (Gupta and Sankaramakrishnan, 2009), and 71 from Gossypium hirsutum (Park et al., 2010). However, there have been few reports about AQP s in halophytes up to now (Chang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2015). These AQP genes encode various AQP isoforms and can be classified into five different subfamilies named plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs), tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs), NOD 26-like intrinsic proteins (NIPs), small basic intrinsic proteins (SIPs), and uncharacterized intrinsic proteins (XIPIs) according to subcellular localization and sequence similarity (Johanson et al., 2001; Lopez et al., 2012; Sade et al., 2009). These various AQPs are involved in diverse physiological processes of plants, including seed germination, cell elongation, stomata movement, phloem loading and unloading, reproductive growth, and stress responses (Eisenbarth and Weig, 2005; Forrest and Bhave, 2007; Gao et al., 2010; Lian et al., 2004). Previous studies have shown that environmental stresses such as cold, drought, and salt can lead to water loss of plants (Parent et al., 2009; Wang, 2010). AQPs are closely related to stress tolerance and are one of the first targets of the stress-induced signaling in plants (Rae et al., 2011; Santos and Mazzafera, 2013). As an important constituent of several stress response pathways, the role of AQPs to confer plants tolerance to abiotic stresses has been demonstrated by transgenic approaches (Cui et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2012). Therefore, the AQPs that are able to endow improved tolerance under abiotic stresses are valuable gene resources in genetic engineering of crops for stress tolerance.

Salicornia bigelovii is a succulent marine halophyte belonging to the Chenopodiaceae. It has been consumed not only as a popular kind of vegetable but also as a medicinal plant to treat hypertension, cephalalgia, scurvy, and cancer (Feng and Weng, 2009; Guan et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2012). As a typical ehalophyte, S. bigelovii not only tolerates high concentration of Na⁺ but also requires 300 μmol·L⁻¹ NaCl for optimal growth (Ayala and O’leary, 1995; Wang and Zhao, 2004). In contrast to some other halophytic plants, S. bigelovii does not exclude Na⁺ or sequester it within specialized structures such as salt glands and so on, and not significantly accumulated organic osmolytes in response to salt (Wang and
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Zhao, 2004; Zhao et al., 2013). Therefore, *S. bigelovii* has an excellent gene pool for studying tolerance mechanism of plants to salt and osmotic stresses. Though a great deal is known about AQPs in a wide variety of plant genera and species, very little has been learned about the molecular basis of water transport in *S. bigelovii*, and no AQPs have been identified from *S. bigelovii* up to now. Cloning and studying of AQPs from *S. bigelovii* will not only contribute to the understanding of moisture balance mechanism in cells and tissues of *S. bigelovii* under osmotic stress caused by high salt but also can provide gene resources for the improvement of drought and salt tolerance in glyco-phytic crop species.

The objectives of this work were to isolate the cDNA full length of a putative AQP gene, *SbPIP1*, from *S. bigelovii*, detect its expression changes in *S. bigelovii* under abiotic stresses, and examine the cellular localization of the *SbPIP1* protein in onion epidermal cells. Because the physiological responses of *SbPIP1*-overexpressing wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) with salt stress have been reported by Yu et al. (2015), we also investigated the drought tolerance and physiological responses of *SbPIP1*-overexpressing tobacco to further understand the physiological functions mediated by *SbPIP1* in plants.

### Materials and Methods

**Plant materials and treatments.** *Salicornia bigelovii* seeds were soaked in tap water for 3 h and then grown in plastic pots (1.2 L) containing sand and watered daily with 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution according to Wan and Zhao (2004). After the seeds germinated, all plants were cultured in a climatic cabinet under a light intensity of 600 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ during a 15/9 h light/dark cycle at 20 °C/30 °C. For stress treatments, 60-d-old seedlings with uniform growth were removed from sand and carefully and transferred in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution containing 20% PEG 6000 (dehydration stress) and 600 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl (salinity stress), respectively, for various periods of time, including time points 0 (normal growth conditions without stress), 0.5, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h. For low-temperature treatment, potted seedlings were put in a growth chamber at 4 °C for 0 (normal growth conditions without stress), 0.5, 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h, respectively. Excised roots and succulent stems from treated or control plants were subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for extraction of total RNA and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay.

**Cloning and sequence analysis of *SbPIP1*.** A suppression-subtract-hybridization cDNA library of salt stress from *S. bigelovii* was constructed by our laboratory, and a putative AQP gene fragment (GeneBank accession no. DY530090) was cloned, which contained an incomplete open reading frame (ORF) lacking the nucleotide sequence of 3’ terminal. Employing rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), the 3’ end of the gene was amplified with the GeneRacer Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using two gene-specific nested primers *SbPF*: 5’-GGTGACGGTCTCGGTGTCGA-3’ and *SbPF1*: 5’-GTGTTCTGCTACACCGTCTTC-3’ as primers and cDNA obtained from succulent stems of *S. bigelovii* seedlings as templates. The amplified products of the 3’ cDNA ends were purified and inserted into the pMD18-T vector (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and sequenced (Sangon, Shanghai, China). The full-length cDNA sequence was obtained by combining the known gene fragment and the 3’ end sequence with an overlap fragment using DNAMAN 5.0 (Lynnon Corp., Vaudreuil, QC, Canada) and was amplified by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) with the primers *SbPIP1F*: 5’-CCTACAAAAAACACCTCCTCTC-3’ and *SbPIP1R*: 5’-CATTTCCACACCAGTGCAAGACC-3’. The amplified sequence was subcloned into the pMD18-T vector, sequenced, and submitted to GenBank.

The ORF of *SbPIP1* and the properties of protein encoded by it were predicted by DNAStar software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI). Subcellular localization of *SbPIP1* was predicted using the online tool WoLF PSORT (Horton et al., 2007). The signal peptide sequence and the transmembrane region of the *SbPIP1* protein can be detected using SignalP 3.0 Server (Bendtsen et al., 2004) and TMpred Server (Hofmann, 1993), respectively. Homologous sequences and conserved domain can be searched through the NCBI BLAST (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD). Multiple sequence alignment was performed using Clustalx 2.1 (Larkin et al., 2007) and GeneDoc (Nicholas et al., 1997). The maximum likelihood (ML) tree from the aligned *SbPIP1* and other plant MIPs was constructed using Clustalx 2.1 and MEGA 5.1 (Tamura et al., 2011) to explore their evolutionary relationships. Structural homology modeling of *SbPIP1* was generated in SWISS-MODEL (Biasini et al., 2014), and typical tetrameric quaternary structures were modeled with *SbPIP1* based on the molecular model of SoPIP2;1 (PDB ID: 1z98.1.A).

**Gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR.** Expression of *SbPIP1* in roots and succulent stems of *S. bigelovii* after different treatments was evaluated by qRT-PCR using the fluorescent intercalating dye SYBR Green Supermix (TaKaRa) on a Roche LightCycler 2.0 instrument (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Total RNA was extracted from harvested samples using plant RNA extraction kits (Promega, Madison, WI), and the contaminant DNA has been removed with DNAse I in the RNA extraction process. Each 2 μg of total RNA was converted into cDNA using PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa). The *SbPIP1* primers used in the qRT-PCR analysis (forward 5’-TGATGAGATGATAAAATTATATG-3’, reverse 5’-AACAAACCCCTCAGGATCTTCTC-3’) were designed using Oligo 7.0 program (MBI, Cascade, CO), which were located in 3’ untranslated region and excluded the highly conserved protein domain. The primers had high efficiency and specificity based on agarose gel electrophoresis and melting curve analysis. To confirm the specificity of primer pairs, PCR products were subsequently subjected to sequence analysis. A series of template and primer dilutions were tested to determine the optimal template and primer concentration for maximum amplification of the target during the experiments before proceeding with the actual experiments. In all experiments, appropriate negative controls containing no template were subjected to the same procedure to exclude or detect any possible contamination. The *S. bigelovii Actin* gene was used as an internal control gene for data normalization and calculating the relative mRNA levels, and the primers used in qRT-PCR were 5’-TTTGAGCGAGAATCAGAAACCGC-3’ and 5’-AGGACCTCCTGGGCAACCGGAATCTC-3’. The mRNA fold difference was relative to that of untreated samples used as calibrator. The relative expression level of genes was calculated using the 2^(-DDCt) formula (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

**Subcellular localization of *SbPIP1* protein.** The coding sequence of *SbPIP1* was amplified by PCR using primers
SbOF1: 5′-CCCAATCCAATGGAAGGAAAGGAGGAA GATGTA-3′ and SbOF2: 5′-TCACTTGGATTTAATGG GATTGC-3′ and was combined with green fluorescent protein of pX-DG-vector (Chen et al., 2009) to yield a fusion protein GFP-SbPIP1. Next, the fusion expression vector pX-DG-SbPIP1 was introduced into onion epidermal cells using a gene gun (PDS-1000; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) for transient expression, as previously described by Chen et al. (2002). The plasmid pX-DG-vector containing the GFP ORF under the control of constitutive Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter was used as the negative control. After the bombarded epidermal peels of onion were incubated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium at 25 °C for 16–24 h in the dark, GFP fluorescence in the onion epidermal cells was visualized under a laser confocal scanning microscope (LSM 510; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) at a wavelength of 488 nm.

**PLASMID CONSTRUCTION, PLANT TRANSFORMATION, AND IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS.** The coding sequence of SbPIP1 containing the BamHI/SacI restriction site, respectively, was amplified by using primers (SbOF3: 5′-GCCGGATCCATGGAAGGAAAGGAGGAAGATG-3′ and SbOF4: 5′-GCCGAGCTCTCACTTGGATTTAATGG GATTGC-3′). The PCR products were subcloned into BamHI/SacI sites of pCAMBIA2301 expression vector under control of the CaMV 35S promoter, and overexpression construct pCAMBIA2301-SbPIP1 was obtained. The recombinant plasmid was introduced into the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105. The transgenic tobacco was generated using A. tumefaciens-mediated transformation method (Horsch et al., 1985). Callus induction, shoot differentiation, and regeneration were selected on MS medium containing 200 mg·L⁻¹ kanamycin (Km). The T₀ Km-resistant seedlings were further screened by PCR amplification with the combination of the CaMV 35S promoter forward primer 35SF: 5′-CCTTTGATTTAATGG GATTGC-3′ and SbPIP1-specific reverse primer SbOF4.

The seeds from T₀ transgenic plants were selected on MS medium containing 200 mg·L⁻¹ Km. The T₁ Km-resistant seedlings were confirmed by RT-PCR amplification using the primers SbOF3 and SbOF4. Two independent transgenic T₂ line seedlings (Y6 and Y8) that almost all survived on MS medium containing 200 mg·L⁻¹ Km were used in the following experiments. The expression of SbPIP1 in the two independent T₂ lines was investigated by using semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis with SbOF3 and SbOF4 as primers and Actin as an internal control gene.

**Fig. 1.** Comparison of the deduced amino acid sequences of Salicornia bigelovii SbPIP1 with homologs from six other plants, including BvPIP1.1 (ACT22629.1) and BvPIP3 (AA667867.1) from Beta vulgaris, SoPIP1.2 (AAZ23268.1) from Spinacia oleracea, QpPIP1.2 (AFH36340.1) from Quercus petraea, mipA (AAB09747.1) from Mesembryanthemum crystallinum, and DcPIP (BA194500.1) from Dianthus Caryophyllus. The sequences were aligned using Clustalx 2.1 (Larkin et al., 2007) and GeneDoc (Nicholas et al., 1997) software. Amino acid numbers are shown on the right. The six putative transmembrane domains (TM1–TM6) are denoted by lines above. The two conserved amino acid sequence present in major intrinsic protein and two typical signal consensus sequences of plasma membrane were boxed. The NPA motifs were indicated by asterisks. Triangles indicate the Ar/R selectivity filter and inverted triangles Froger’s position, respectively.
**Drought tolerance assays of the WT and the transgenic plants.** For drought stress tolerance assay, tobacco seedlings of four-leaf stage from WT, Y6, and Y8 lines were removed from soil and transplanted in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution under a 16/8 h light/dark cycle at 25 °C for 10 d, and then the seedlings with consistent growth state were transferred into 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution containing 20% PEG 6000. After 3 d drought stress–simulating treatment, photographs of the seedlings were taken. In addition, the untreated four-leaf stage tobacco seedlings from WT and Y8 line were transplanted in containers filled with a mixture of soil and sand (3:1) where they were regularly watered with 400 mL tap water per 2 d for 1 month, then watering was withheld to test their drought stress tolerance. The degree of wilting was observed over a period of 10 d and photographs were taken.

**Preparation of tobacco leaf protoplasts and stability assay in hypotonic solution.** Protoplasts from leaves of transgenic and nontransgenic tobacco plants were isolated as described by Maliga et al. (1995) and observed under a light microscope. Then, the tobacco leaf protoplasts were resuspended with W5 solution (154 mm NaCl, 125 mm CaCl2, 5 mm KCl, 5 mm Glucose, pH 5.8) and stored at 4 °C for assay (Yu et al., 2005). Hypotonic solution was prepared by diluting one volume of W5 solution with two volumes of distilled water. 150 μL hypotonic solution and 15 μL protoplasts were taken and mixed on a glass slide. The process of protoplast burst at lower osmotic pressure was observed under a light microscope, and photographs were taken by a digital camera.

**Measurement of RWC, IL, MDA, and proline content.** Tobacco seedlings of four-leaf stage from WT and Y8 line were transplanted in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution under a 16/8 h light/dark cycle at 25 °C for 10 d, then the seedlings with consistent growth state were transferred into 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution containing 0, 4%, 8%, 12%, 16%, and 20% PEG 6000, respectively, to simulate dehydration stress with different degrees. After treatment for 36 h, the plants were collected to measure RWC, IL, MDA, and proline contents. For the RWC assay, tobacco leaves from WT and Y8 line were sampled and weighed immediately [fresh weight (FW)], and then the leaves were soaked in distilled water for 24 h at 4 °C in the dark, and the turgid weight (TW) was recorded. After drying for 48 h at 80 °C, total dry weight (DW) was recorded. RWC was calculated as follows: RWC (percent) = [(FW – DW)/(TW – DW)] × 100 (Barrs and Weatherley, 1962). IL was detected according to the method described by Jiang and Zhang (2001). The collected tobacco leaves were cut into strips and incubated in 10 mL of distilled water at 25 °C for 10 h, and the initial conductivity (C1) was measured with a conductivity meter (DDBJ-350; INESA Scientific instrument Co., Shanghai, China). The leaves were then boiled for 10 min to yield complete IL. After cooling down, the electrolyte conductivity (C2) was measured. IL was calculated as follows: IL (%) = C1/C2 × 100. MDA content was determined by the thiobarbituric acid–based colorimetric method as described by Heath and Packer (1968). Proline content was determined by the ninhydrin-based colorimetric method as described by Irigoyen et al. (1992).

**Statistical analysis.** All the experiments were conducted separately using a completely randomized design with three replicates per treatment. Levels of gene expression were analyzed with analysis of variance using a repeated measurements model. Mean fold change of transcript levels was transformed to a logarithmic scale (log2) for statistical analysis. Drought physiological data were analyzed using t tests. Significant differences among means were determined by least significant difference at P < 0.05 or P < 0.01. All Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 13.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

**Results and Discussion**

**SbPIP1 encodes a PIP1 subgroup of AQP in S. Bigelovii.** The full-length cDNA sequence of a putative AQF gene, designated as SbPIP1 (GenBank accession no. DQ451602), was cloned by RACE. SbPIP1 cDNA is 1107 bp and contains an 858-bp ORF that encodes 285 amino acid residues. The deduced SbPIP1 protein possesses a theoretical molecular mass of 30.5 kDa and an isoelectric point of 8.66. Blastp analysis revealed that SbPIP1 shared high similarity with known PIP protein and contained six putative transmembrane α-helices and two highly conserved Asn-Pro-Ala (NPA) motifs (Fig. 1). SbPIP1 contained the conserved sequences ‘HINPAVTLG’ and (R/K)DYX(E/D)PP(R/P)X3–4(E/D)XXELXXWSF(Y/W)R, which are present in all PIP members, and two typical signal consensus sequences of plasma membrane ‘GGGANXXXXGY’ and ‘TGI/TNPARSL/FGTAI/VU/VF/YN’ (Fig. 1). Based on the sequence homology of isoforms, the PIP subfamily can be divided into two groups: PIP1 and PIP2 (Schaffner, 1998), and AQP proteins from each PIP subgroup can act individually in a different manner (Zelazny et al., 2009), or may interact together as a heterodimer to facilitate subcellular trafficking toward the plasma membrane (Fetter et al., 2004; Zelazny et al., 2007). SbPIP1 bears a longer amino-terminal extension compared with the PIP2 proteins, which is in line with the description for PIP1 proteins by Chaumont et al. (2000). The Ar/R selectivity
filter (H2, H5, LE1, and LE2) and Froger’s position (P1- P5) were also conserved in SbPIP1 (Fig. 1). Typical tetrameric quaternary structures were modeled with SbPIP1 based on the molecular model of SoPIP2;1 (PDB ID: 1z98.1.A) (Fig. 2).

Through blastp homology search, a multiple sequence alignment was conducted with SbPIP1 and six homologous PIP proteins from other plants, including BvPIP1.1 (ACT22629.1) and BvPIP3 (AAB67870.1) from Beta vulgaris, SoPIP1.2 (AAR23268.1) from Spinacia oleracea, QpPIP1.2 (AFH36340.1) from Quercus petraea, mipA (AAB09747.1) from Mesembryanthemum crystallinum, and DcPIP (BAI94500.1) from Dianthus caryophyllus (Fig. 1). SbPIP protein shares 92.3%, 92.0%, 92.0%, 87.4%, 85.0%, and 83.1% amino acid sequence identity with SoPIP1.2, BvPIP1.1, BvMIP3, mipA, QpPIP1.2, and DcMIP, respectively. Based on the amino acid sequence alignment, a phylogenetic tree of the AQPs between SbPIP1 and other plant AQPs obtained from GenBank were constructed (Fig. 3). This result showed that on an evolutionary timescale, SbPIP1 was grouped into the big branch of PIP1-type proteins and had a relatively close evolutionary relationship with the PIP1s from Chenopodiaceae plants B. vulgaris and S. oleracea.

**SbPIP1 is downregulated in response to NaCl and PEG treatments but upregulated to cold.** Many studies have

---

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree of SbPIP1 protein from *Salicornia bigelovii* and other plant AQPs. Multiple sequence alignments and the phylogenetic analyses were conducted with Clustalx 2.1 (Larkin et al., 2007) and MEGA 5.1 (Tamura et al., 2011). The scale bar indicates branch lengths. The species and GenBank accession numbers of the sequences are as follows: *S. bigelovii* SbPIP1 (DQ451602); *Beta vulgaris* BvPIP1.1 (ACT22629.1) and BvPIP3 (AAB67870); *Spinacia oleracea* SoPIP1.2 (AAR23268); *Quercus petraea* QpPIP1.2 (AFH36340) and QpPIP1.3 (AFH36341); *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* McmipA (AAB09747), McmipB (AA93521), and McmipC (AAB18227); *Dianthus caryophyllus* DcPIP (BAI94500); *Brassica oleracea* BoPIP1b1 (AA923179), BoPIP1b2 (AA923180), and BoPIP3 (AAG30607); *Zea mays* ZmPIP1-1 (AAO86706), ZmPIP1-2 (AAO01104934, ZmPIP2-1 (AAK26758), and ZmPIP2-3 (AAK26760); and *Vitis vinifera* VvPIP1.1 (AA869744).
confirmed that abiotic stress had a strong influence on AQP gene expression (Gao et al., 2010; Sade et al., 2010; Santos and Maizzafera, 2013; Yue et al., 2014). In this study, we examined the relative mRNA abundance of SbPIP1 after the short-term treatment of S. bigelovii seedlings with NaCl, PEG 6000, and low temperature. As shown in Fig. 4A, the expression of SbPIP1 was suppressed in succulent stems and roots after salinity stress, and the lowest expression level of SbPIP1 in succulent stems or roots was observed when S. bigelovii seedlings were treated with NaCl for 8 h (0.34-fold) or for 12 h (0.26-fold), respectively. To monitor the expression patterns of SbPIP1 under drought stress, 20% PEG 6000 was used to mimic drought treatment. SbPIP1 expression in succulent stems began to decrease after PEG treatment and reached its minimum at 12 h (0.32-fold) (Fig. 4B). Similarly, PEG treatment suppressed the expression of SbPIP1 in roots and at 24 h the transcript level of SbPIP1 reached its minimum (0.36-fold) (Fig. 4B). The expression patterns of SbPIP1 under PEG treatment were similar with NaCl treatment, which is consistent with the reports that regulation of AQP genes in response to drought and cation stresses was similar (Alexandersson et al., 2005; Maathuis et al., 2003). The drastically decreased expression of SbPIP1 in roots and succulent stems of S. bigelovii after salt and drought stresses can be considered a negative effect induced by the stress treatments, contributing to weaken water permeability, reduce water transportation, and protect S. bigelovii from excessive water loss.

Under cold stress (4 °C) treatment, the expression level of SbPIP1 in succulent stems increased significantly at 0.5 h and reached the highest expression at 2 h (2.8-fold) and then began to decrease and reach untreated level during 8–24 h (Fig. 4C). On the whole, SbPIP1 expression in roots under cold treatment was similar to that in succulent stems except at 0.5 h (Fig. 4C). The response of SbPIP1 to cold might be driven partly by cellular water movement. Jang et al. (2007) reported that transgenic A. thaliana plants which overexpressed PIP1;4 or PIP2;5 showed increased water flow and so more germination under cold stress. Other research groups have also reported that overexpression of PIPs enhanced the tolerance of plants to low-temperature stress (Li et al., 2008; Matsumoto et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2006).

**Subcellular localization of SbPIP1 protein.** To determine the cellular localization of SbPIP1, the ORF of the SbPIP1 was fused in frame with the C-terminal of GFP of pXDG-vector to create a GFP:SbPIP1 fusion construct pXDG-GFP-SbPIP1. The pXDG-vector was used as a negative control. The transient expression of the two constructs in onion epidermal cells was performed by particle bombardment. We observed that green fluorescence from the control GFP protein was uniformly distributed throughout cells (Fig. 5A–C), whereas GFP fluorescence from GFP::SbPIP1 fusion protein was exclusively confined to the plasma membrane of onion epidermal cells (Fig. 5D–F). The results suggested that SbPIP1 was a plasma membrane protein, which was consistent with our prediction by using SignalP 3.0 Server.

**Generation of transgenic tobacco overexpressing SbPIP1.** To evaluate the role of SbPIP1 in drought stress, transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing SbPIP1 under the control of CaMV 35S promoter were generated, and 10 positive transgenic lines (T1) were selected by resistance to Km and confirmed by PCR using Genomic DNA from leaves as templates (Fig. 6). PCR-positive transgenic plants were used for semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis. As shown in Fig. 7, SbPIP1 mRNA could be detected in all PCR-positive tobacco plants, and Y8 showed the highest expression level. No SbPIP1 mRNA was detected in the WT tobacco plants. Among the T1 lines, two lines (Y6 and Y8) were segregated at a rate of 3:1 for kanamycin resistance. Moreover, the two independent transgenic T2 line seedlings survived on MS medium containing 200 mg L−1 Km. SbPIP1 expression in the two T2 lines was investigated by semiquantitative RT-PCR. The results showed that SbPIP1 mRNA could be detected in the two transgenic lines but not in the WT plants, with Y8 showing a higher expression levels than Y6 (Fig. 8A).

**Overexpression of SbPIP1 enhances tolerance to drought stress in transgenic tobacco plants.** For drought tolerance analysis, tobacco seedlings from WT, Y6, and Y8 lines were treated with short-period and long-period drought

![Fig. 5. Subcellular localization of the SbPIP1 protein. A fusion construct expressing GFP::SbPIP1 or a GFP control plasmid was introduced into onion epidermis cells by particle bombardment. The transfomed cells were cultured on Murishige and Skoog medium at 25 °C for 16–24 h and observed under a confocal microscope. Photographs were taken under dark field for green fluorescence (A and D), in bright light for morphology of the cells (B and E), and in combination (C and F). The scale bar represents 50 μm.](image1)

![Fig. 6. PCR identification of transgenic tobacco plants. Marker = 2-kb marker, CK = pCAMBIA2301-SbPIP1 plasmid DNA as positive control, WT = genomic DNA from nontransgenic plants as templates, 1–13 = genomic DNA from transgenic plants Y1–Y13 as templates (1–13 represent Y1–Y13, respectively).](image2)
Hoagland nutrient solution containing 20% PEG 6000 for 3
stresses. As shown in Fig. 8B, after being cultured in 1/2
Hoagland nutrient solution containing 20% PEG 6000 for 3
d, leaf wilting and yellowing was evident in the WT, and the
leaves of Y6 plantlets also curled, whereas the leaves of Y8
plantlets still remained expanded. During a long-period
drought stress, the WT plants started wilting after 7 d of
water deprivation and became distinctly wilted at the time of
10-d termination of water supply. However, the Y8 tobacco

Fig. 7. Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis of transcript levels of SbPIP1 in
different transgenic tobacco plants. (A) The quantity of RT-PCR products
of the SbPIP1 gene from mRNA of PCR-positive transgenic tobacco
plants (Y1–Y3, Y5–Y8, Y10–Y11, and Y13) and WT tobacco plants as
templates. (B) The quantity of RT-PCR products of Actin gene from
mRNA of PCR-positive transgenic tobacco plants and WT tobacco plants
as templates.

stress. As shown in Fig. 8B, after being cultured in 1/2
Hoagland nutrient solution containing 20% PEG 6000 for 3
d, leaf wilting and yellowing was evident in the WT, and the
leaves of Y6 plantlets also curled, whereas the leaves of Y8
plantlets still remained expanded. During a long-period
drought stress, the WT plants started wilting after 7 d of
water deprivation and became distinctly wilted at the time of
10-d termination of water supply. However, the Y8 tobacco

Fig. 8. Drought resistance assay on transgenic tobacco plants at the whole-plant
level. The wild-type (WT) and transgenic tobacco (Y6 and Y8) plants at four-
leaf stage were cultured in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution under a 16/8 h light/
dark cycle at 25 °C for 10 d, and then some plants were transplanted in fresh
1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution or 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution containing
20% polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG 6000) for 3 d; the other plants were
transplanted to containers filled with a mixture of soil and sand (3:1) where
they were regularly watered for 30 d and then deprived of water for 10 d, and
the photos were taken. (A) The leaves from Y6, Y8, and WT tobacco plants
were used to extract RNA to detect SbPIP1 expression by semiquantitative
RT-PCR with NtActin as an internal control. (B) Four-leaf-stage seedlings of
Y6, Y8, and WT tobacco were in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution containing
20% PEG 6000 for 3 d, and the photos were taken. (C) Y8 and WT tobacco
plants grown in a pot were deprived of water for 10 d and the photos were
taken.

When PEG concentrations were 12%, 16%, and 20%,
yellowing was evident in the Y8 leaves, whereas the leaves of Y6
plantlets still remained expanded. During a long-period
drought stress, the WT plants started wilting after 7 d of
water deprivation and became distinctly wilted at the time of
10-d termination of water supply. However, the Y8 tobacco

stresses. As shown in Fig. 8B, after being cultured in 1/2
Hoagland nutrient solution containing 20% PEG 6000 for 3
d, leaf wilting and yellowing was evident in the WT, and the
leaves of Y6 plantlets also curled, whereas the leaves of Y8
plantlets still remained expanded. During a long-period
drought stress, the WT plants started wilting after 7 d of
water deprivation and became distinctly wilted at the time of
10-d termination of water supply. However, the Y8 tobacco

plants still exhibited normal morphology under the same
treatment and showed higher tolerance to water stress (Fig.
8C), which suggested that the overexpression of SbPIP1 could
improve plants’ tolerance to drought stress. The results are
consistent with previous studies (Cui et al., 2008; Gao et al.,
2010; Sade et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2008). However, some
studies also showed that overexpression of some AQP iso-
forms increased sensitivity of plants to drought stress (Aharon
et al., 2003; Jang et al., 2007). The reason for these
contradictory results is not yet clear. The role of AQPs in
plant water status under water stress is a complex issue, and
specific AQPs might have specific regulation mechanisms
and specific interactions with other molecules. Jang et al. (2007)
reported that the expression of an AQP isoform from A.
thaliana influenced the transcript levels of other PIP-type
AQPs and H+-ATPases of A. thaliana, and the integrated
regulation resulted in altered water status in transgenic plants
under dehydration stress. Different heterologous AQPs had
different interactions with plant endoaquaporins, which might
lead to different results when different plants were used as
experimental materials (Yu et al., 2005).

**OVEREXPRESSION OF SbPIP1 REDUCES THE STABILITY OF
PROTOPLASTS OF TRANSGENIC TOBACCO LEAVES IN HYPOTONIC
SOLUTION.** To determine whether SbPIP1 is a functional AQP,
water channel activity of the protein in the leaf cells of Y8
tobacco plants was assayed. When the protoplasts from WT
tobacco leaves were put into hypotonic solution, they began to
absorb water, but their water absorption rate was slow, and
their volume only increased to 1.5 times of the original size
after 5 min, and until 30 min, 75% of the protoplasts burst
(Fig. 9A–C). The protoplasts from Y8 tobacco leaves absorbed
water more quickly in hypotonic solution than those from WT
tobacco leaves and expanded to about twice the original size in
1 min, and 75% of them burst in 5 min because of excessive
expansion (Fig. 9D–F). The results showed that the protein
encoded by SbPIP1 was a functional AQP protein and
possessed water channel activity. SbPIP1 overexpression in
transgenic tobacco plants increased their ability of water
conductance at the cellular level by increasing the amount
of AQP protein in the plasma membrane. Similar results had
been reported by other groups (Siefrizt et al., 2002; Yu et al.,
2005).

**OVEREXPRESSION OF SbPIP1 IMPROVES THE RWC AND
PROLINE CONTENT AND REDUCES MEMBRANE LIPID
PEROXIDATION UNDER DROUGHT STRESS.** In comparison with the WT, enhanced
drought tolerance in transgenic lines made us investigate
differences in their physiology. As shown in Fig. 10A, the
RWC was similar in transgenic Y8 line and WT plants under
normal conditions. When subjected to water stress caused by
different concentrations of PEG, the RWC of the leaves from
Y8 and WT plants reduced with the increase of PEG
concentrations. Under the treatment of the same concentration
of PEG, loss of water in Y8 leaves was less than that of
WT. When PEG concentrations were 12%, 16%, and 20%,
respectively, the RWC difference between Y8 and WT plants
reached a significant level (Fig. 10A). Maintaining the ability
to retain water is vital for plants to combat drought stress.
Studies have shown that the overexpression of AQP$s could
enhance the tolerance of plants to abiotic stress by improving
hydraulic conductivity, water use efficiency, and retaining
better water status (Cui et al., 2008; Lian et al., 2004; Sade
et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2008). AQP$s function in rapid
transmembrane water flow during growth and development and play important roles in maintaining plant water relations under drought condition. In our study, the contribution of \textit{SbPIP1} to cellular water permeability of transgenic tobacco plants was elucidated by protoplast-swelling assay. At the whole plant level, we also observed that \textit{SbPIP1}-overexpressing plants exhibited a lower rate of water loss compared with WT plants under drought condition, indicating a positive influence of \textit{SbPIP1} on water retention.

Drought stress leads to oxidative injury and disruption of osmotic balance. To investigate the function of \textit{SbPIP1} in these physiological processes, IL, MDA, and proline were quantified in WT and Y8 plants under normal and drought conditions. As shown in Fig. 10B and C, under the treatment of the same concentration of PEG, the IL and MDA contents in the leaves of WT plants were significantly higher than in Y8 leaves, and with the increase of the PEG concentration, the IL and MDA contents in WT plants increased more rapidly than in Y8 plants. Proline measurements displayed a pattern similar to RWC, and compared with WT plants, transgenic plants had higher proline levels under water stress. With the increase of the PEG concentration, the proline content of the leaves of Y8 plants increased faster than that of WT plants and began to reach the level of significant difference when PEG concentration was more than 8% (Fig. 10B).

Drought stress always induces a rapid accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and damages the cell membrane by oxidizing proteins, lipids, and DNA (Mittler et al., 2004; Polle, 2001). IL is an indicator of membrane injury. MDA is also the product of lipid peroxidation caused by ROS and can be used to evaluate ROS-mediated injuries in plants (Moore and Roberts, 1998). Compared with WT, \textit{SbPIP1} overexpression resulted in decreased IL and MDA content, suggesting that the lipid peroxidation caused by ROS damage and membrane injury was relatively alleviated in \textit{SbPIP1}-overexpressing plants under drought stress. Our findings are consistent with recent reports. Zhou et al. (2012) reported that \textit{TaAQP7}-overexpressing tobacco plants showed lower levels of MDA and IL when compared with WT plants under drought stress. Overexpression of \textit{MaPIP1} in banana (\textit{Musa nana}) resulted in decreased IL under drought and salt stresses (Xu et al., 2014). The reduced membrane injury conferred by overexpression of \textit{SbPIP1} may also contribute to improved osmotic adjustment under drought stress. When the plants are exposed to drought stress, they usually accumulate compatible osmolytes to maintain osmotic adjustment. The amino acid proline is one such compatible solute whose accumulation functions to decrease the cellular yS and to enhance cellular protection (Liu and Zhu, 1997). \textit{SbPIP1}-overexpressing transgenic plants maintained higher levels of proline compared with WT plants when subjected to drought treatment, implying that \textit{SbPIP1} may function in maintaining osmotic adjustment under drought stress. Yu et al. (2015) reported that overexpression of \textit{SbPIP1} in wheat could improve its salt tolerance by the enhancement of antioxidant response, the accumulation of proline, and the biosynthesis of soluble sugar in the early period. Our results showed that the physiological responses of \textit{SbPIP1}-overexpressing tobacco under drought stress were similar to that of \textit{SbPIP1}-overexpressing wheat under salt stress.

**Conclusion**

A PIP1 subgroup \textit{AQP} gene from \textit{S. bigelovii}, \textit{SbPIP1}, was isolated and characterized. \textit{SbPIP1} exhibited high homology to the \textit{PIP1}s from Chenopodiaceae plants. The expression of \textit{SbPIP1} was induced by cold stress, but was suppressed by NaCl and PEG. \textit{SbPIP1} was localized in the plasma membrane. The overexpression of \textit{SbPIP1} resulted in enhanced tolerance to drought stress not only by reducing membrane injury but also maintaining osmotic balance and better water status.

These conclusions obtained from heterologous expression of \textit{SbPIP1} in tobacco are valid for such a heterologous system, but
may not be in other plants. Therefore, further studies are required to characterize the function of SbPIP1 in S. bigelovii.
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