Magnitude and associated factors of aggressive behaviour among patients with bipolar disorder at Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, outpatient department, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Background: Aggressive behavior is a challenging behavior among bipolar patients that causes poor social interaction and hospitalization. But, there is no information regards of the magnitude and contributing factors for aggressive behaviour among bipolar patients in Ethiopia. Therefore, this study was designed to assess the prevalence and associated factors of aggressive behaviour among patients with bipolar disorder.

Method: An institutional based cross sectional study was conducted at Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital from May 1 to June 1, 2015 among 411 participants who were selected by systematic random sampling technique. Data was collected by interview technique by using Modified Overt Aggression Scale, entered and analyzed by using Epi Data 3.1 and Statistical Package for Social Science version 20, respectively. Adjusted Odd Ratio (AOR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) were used to show the odd and P-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results: A total of 411 bipolar patients were included in the study and the prevalence of aggressive behaviour was 29.4%. Significant associated factors for aggression were, having two or more episode [AOR = 2.35 95% CI (1.18, 4.69)], previous history of aggression, [AOR = 3.72, 95% CI (1.54, 8.98)], depressive symptoms [AOR = 3.63, 95% CI (1.89, 6.96)], psychotic symptoms [AOR = 5.41,95% CI (2.88, 10.1)], manic symptoms [AOR = 3.85,95% CI (2.06, 7.19)], poor medication adherence [AOR = 3.73 95% CI (1.71, 8.13)], poor social support [AOR = 2.99 95% CI (1.30, 6.91)] and current use of substance[AOR = 2.17 95% CI (1.16, 4.06)].

Conclusion: Prevalence of aggression is high among bipolar patients and associated with many factors. So it needs public health attention to decrease aggression among bipolar patients.
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**Background**

Bipolar disorder is a severe and chronic psychiatric illness in which patients swing between episodes of depression and mania/hypomania that affects individual's cognitive, emotion, behavior and social abilities [1]. Bipolar disorder has a 2.4% burden (years lived with disability) of world's population that puts on the 6th leading cause of disability-adjusted life years in aged 15–44 years. From 14–59% of bipolar patients has suicide ideation and 25–56% has at least one suicide attempt during lifetime, but 15–19% die from suicide. Even causes of suicidal behavior in bipolar disorder are multiple and complex, strong predictors link with impulsivity, aggression and hostility [2–4].

Aggression is a complex and heterogeneous behavior linked to violence, impulsivity, irritability, and hostility. Violence means physical attacks on other people, self, or objects while impulsivity is acting without control or premeditation. In other side, agitation means hostile or violent behavior or attitudes; readiness to attack or confront and hostility means unfriendly or threatening behavior or feelings [5].

Aggressive behavior is common among bipolar disorder patients that cause hospitalization especially during manic, mixed episodes, or psychotic states of bipolar disorders. Rate of violent crime is higher than the general population among bipolar patients and face to severe disruptions of occupational, societal, familial and other social functions [6].

Study from Czech Republic show that statistically significant increment for risk of violence among patients with bipolar disorder compare with general population. Even risk of violence was greater among patients with bipolar disorder than schizophrenic patients [7]. A number of studies were conducted regarding aggression among bipolar patients around the world; a systematic review of articles from 11 western countries revealed that the prevalence of aggression was 32.4% [8]. Another Meta analysis of 375 studies from Czech Republic and Slovakia indicates that the prevalence was 31.2% [9]. In Swedish national cohort study the prevalence of aggression was 22.2% [10]. Australian 18 month follow up study indicates that 28.6% show aggressive behaviour [11]. Cross sectional study in Nigeria showed that 24.5% of bipolar patient were aggressive [12]. Not only being bipolar patient but also, being male [13], having current psychotic symptoms [14], co-morbid substance abuse and personality disorders [15, 16] show significant association with aggressive behaviour. Trait aggression was significantly associated with diagnoses of childhood emotional abuse and neglect, childhood physical abuse, post-traumatic stress disorder, antisocial personality disorder [17].

There is a significance association between stress and psychiatric disorders that are predominantly known by aggression manifestation. In Italy, the absence of seclusion or physical restraint was associated with low rate of violence. By using modified version of the Morrison's scale among Italian psychiatric in-patients, which was only 3.0% of the total 2395 admitted cases were violence in which physical restrain was absent [18, 19].

Systematic Review and Meta-Regression Analysis of 110 Studies in UK show that among 45,533 severe mental ill patients 8,439 (18.5%) were violent and significantly associated with non-adherence for psychological therapies and medication [20].

Despite this higher prevalence, there is no published data in Ethiopia regarding to aggression among bipolar patients. Therefore, this study was intended to assess the prevalence of aggression and associated factors among bipolar patients who attending Amanual mental specialized hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

**Methods**

**Study setting**

Institution based cross sectional study was conducted among bipolar patients who were attending at Amanual Mental Specialized Hospital outpatient clinic. Amanual Mental Specialized Hospital is the only mental hospital in the country and has 15 outpatient departments, among these 8 outpatient departments serves an average of 11,760 bipolar follow-up patients per year and other mentally ill patients. Amanual Mental Specialized Hospital has 300 beds that used to inpatient service for psychiatric patients including bipolar cases.

**Participants**

All patients who were clinically diagnosis (with Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IVth edition), by psychiatrists and mental health professional specialists (masters holder on mental health) as bipolar disorder (any type of bipolar disorder) at Amanual Mental Specialized Hospital were the source population. Study population was patients who were attending at outpatient departments during data collection period and those included in the sample. Patients with bipolar disorder and whose age 18 years and above were included in the study and those who were seriously ill and unable to communicate were not included in the study.

The number of sample required for the study was estimated by taking 50% of the prevalence of aggression among bipolar patients in a single population proportion for unknown prevalence, with 5% of marginal error and 10% non response rate. Systematic random sampling technique used for a total 411 participants with every two cases to selected representative sample. Data was collected by degree holder psychiatric nurses with
interviewing clients by using a semi-structured questionnaire, from May 1 to June 1, 2015.

**Instrument**
The presence of aggressive behavior among bipolar patients in the last 7 days was assessed by using the Modified Overt Aggression Scale (MOAS) [21]. MOAS has a total of 16 items with four categories that assesses aggression, namely verbal aggression (with 4 items), aggression against property (with 4 items), auto-aggression (with 4 items), and physical aggression (with 4 items). The severity of aggressive behaviour ranges from 0 (no aggression) to 4 points (maximum violence) for each category. MOAS is validated in Africa, Nigeria [22] among psychiatric patients and has internal consistency 0.84.

Drug adherence was assessed by using Morsiky Medication Adherence Scale 8 item tool [23] and social support was assessed by using Oslo-3 Social support Scale [24]. Perceived stress was assessed by using of Perceived Stress Scale 10 items tool [25] that focus patients experienced stressful life events in the last one month and current substance uses was assessed by adopted alcohol smoking and substance involvement screening test [26].

**Analysis**
The data was entered and clean up with Epi Data 3.1 and analyzed by using Statistical Package for Social Science version 20. Descriptive statistic was used to show clear picture of the problem. Multivariate and binary logistic regression analysis was implemented to identify factors associated with aggressive behaviour. The strength of the association was presented by odds ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI). P value less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant in this study.

**Ethical clearance**
Ethical approval was obtained from Ethical Review Board of University of Gondar and Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital. Formal permission letters was taken from administrative of the hospital and written consent taken from the participants for their voluntary participation. Confidentiality is maintained by omitting personal identification.

**Results**
A total of 411 bipolar patients were included in the study, 175 (42.6%) were male and 236 (57.4%) were females. The median age of participants was 32 years with inter quartile range 14 and most of the participants 294 (71.5%) were from urban (Table 1).

**Clinical factors**
Regarding to clinical factors of the participants 332 (80.8%) of the samples had history of aggression while 292 (61%) of the respondents had history of more than one episode of bipolar disorder (Table 2).

In term of other psychiatric or neurological comorbidity 65 (15.8%) of the sample had co-morbid psychiatric or neurological disorders and 52.8% of the respondents had five or more years of morbidity.

**Magnitude of aggressive behaviour**
Among the total 411 participants, 121 (29.4%) had aggressive behaviour. In terms of domain, verbal aggression was the highest which was account 84 (20.4%) of the total samples while auto aggression was the lowest that was 60 (14.6%) of the total sample. aggression against property was 71 (17.5%) and physical aggression was 78 (19.0%). As a combination, 30 (7.3%) of the sample had all domain of aggression.

Concerning to their clinical variables, of the total aggressive patients 90.9% of them had previous history of aggression, 66.1% had history of physical restrain, 51.1% had high perceived stress, 52.9% were on treatment more than five years, 78.5% of were on mood stabilizer, 58.7% of were on antipsychotic medication but, 57% of them had low adherence to their medication. In terms on their current symptom, among aggressive patients, 65.3% had guilty feeling, 61.2% depressed mood, 50.2% grandiosity, 54.5% suspicious and 40.0% had hallucination symptoms.

Among 121 aggressive bipolar patients 50 (41.3%) of them use any of these substances (alcohol, smoking and khat) currently.

**Multivariate analysis**
After multivariate analysis of aggression in relation to all independent variables; number of episode, history of past aggression, psychotic symptoms, depressive symptoms, manic symptoms, low medication adherence, poor social support and current use of substance were found to be statistically significant (Table 3).

**Discussion**
In psychopathology, aggression is the predominant symptom of many psychiatric disorders and aggressive behaviour is responsible for worsening of the morbidity of bipolar patients that cause hospitalization and poor social interaction.

The prevalence is almost similar with the study done in Australia which was 28.6% [11] but slightly higher than study results from Swedish and Nigeria, 22.2% [10], 24.5% [12] respectively. For this discrepancy; factors like methodological differences, clinical and other psycho-
Table 1  distribution of bipolar patients \((n = 411)\) by socio-demographic factors related to aggressive behaviour attending at Amare\-nual Mental Specialized Hospital; May, 2015

| Characteristics        | Aggressive behavior |       |       | Chisq test \((X^2)\) |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|
|                        | Yes                 | No    | Overall |                     |
| Sex                    |                     |       |         |                      |
| Male                   | 49(28%)             | 126(72%) | 175(42.6%) | 0.30                |
| Female                 | 72(30.5%)           | 164(69.5%) | 236(57.4%) |                    |
| Residency              |                     |       |         |                      |
| Urban                  | 91(31%)             | 203(69%) | 294(71.5%) | 1.14                |
| Rural                  | 30(25.6%)           | 87(74.4%) | 117(28.5%) |                    |
| Age                    |                     |       |         |                      |
| 18–26                  | 33(31.4%)           | 72(68.6%) | 105(25.55%) | 2.73                |
| 27–32                  | 29(26.9%)           | 79(73.1%) | 108(26.3%) |                    |
| 33–40                  | 36(34.3%)           | 69(65.7%) | 105(25.55%) |                    |
| 41–75                  | 23(24.7%)           | 70(75.3%) | 93(22.6%)  |                    |
| Education              |                     |       |         |                      |
| uneducated             | 14(20.3%)           | 55(79.7%) | 69(16.8%)  | 3.69                |
| Primary                | 43(31.4%)           | 94(68.6%) | 137(33.33%) |                    |
| Secondary              | 40(30.3)            | 92(31.7%) | 132(32.11%) |                    |
| Diploma                | 14(35%)             | 26(65%)  | 40(9.73%)  |                    |
| Degree and above       | 10(30.3%)           | 23(69.7%) | 33(8.03%)  |                    |
| Religion               |                     |       |         |                      |
| Orthodox               | 80(32%)             | 170(68%)  | 250(60.8%) | 5.08                |
| Muslim                 | 26(20%)             | 74(74%)   | 100(24.3%) |                    |
| Protestant             | 15(24.6%)           | 46(75.4%) | 61(14.9%)  |                    |
| Marital status         |                     |       |         |                      |
| Single                 | 62(32.3%)           | 130(67.7%) | 192(46.7%) | 6.70                |
| Married                | 45(25%)             | 135(75%)  | 180(43.8%) |                    |
| Divorced/separate/widowed | 14(35.9%)    | 25(64.1%) | 39(9.5%)   |                    |
| Ethnicity              |                     |       |         |                      |
| Amahara                | 45(31.7%)           | 97(68.3%) | 142(34.6%) | 3.61                |
| Oromo                  | 36(26.7%)           | 99(73.3%) | 135(32.8)  |                    |
| Gurage                 | 26(31.7%)           | 56(68.3%) | 82(20%)    |                    |
| Tigray                 | 9(37.5%)            | 15(62.5%) | 24(5.8%)   |                    |
| Others a               | 5(17.9%)            | 23(82.1%) | 28(6.8%)   |                    |
| Occupation             |                     |       |         |                      |
| Government employee    | 12(25.5%)           | 35(74.5%) | 47(11.44%) | 5.36                |
| Nongovernmental employee | 10(27.8%)        | 26(72.2%) | 36(8.8%)   |                    |
| Farmer                 | 10(26.3%)           | 28(73.7%) | 38(9.24%)  |                    |
| Merchant               | 20(33.3%)           | 40(66.7%) | 60(14.6%)  |                    |
| Student                | 11(42.3%)           | 15(57.7%) | 26(6.3%)   |                    |
| Housewife              | 15(33.3%)           | 30(66.7%) | 45(11.2%)  |                    |
| Daily worker           | 4(20%)              | 16(80%)  | 20(4.9%)   |                    |
| Jobless                | 39(32.2%)           | 82(67.8%) | 121(29.4%) |                    |
| Living condition       |                     |       |         |                      |
| With family            | 104(29%)            | 255(71%)  | 359(87.3%) | 30                  |
social factors of the study participants might be responsible.

After multivariate binary logistic regression analysis, those participants who had two or more episode had increase the risk of aggression by two times than had only one episode [AOR = 2.35 95% CI (1.18, 4.69)], which is in line with a study done in Sweden [10].

Patients who had history of past aggression were more than three times more likely to be aggressive as compared with those hadn’t past aggressive behaviour with odds of [AOR = 3.72, 95% CI (1.54, 8.98)], which coincide with Australian [11] and Sweden study [10]. This may be due to the relation between being aggressive and the expectation by experiences of this behaviour in the society or environment.

Clinical factors like, patients with depressive symptoms had more than three times likely had aggressive behaviour than those patients without depressive symptoms [AOR = 3.63, 95% CI (1.89, 6.96)], patients with psychotic symptoms had five times more likely had aggressive behaviour than patients without psychotic symptoms [AOR = 5.41, 95% CI (2.88, 10.13)]. Patients with mania symptoms had more than three times more likely aggressive behaviour than patients without mania symptoms [AOR = 3.85, 95% CI (2.06, 7.19)]. This finding was in harmonious with American study [14], Nigerian study for psychotic symptoms [12] and Australian [11] study for psychotic and mania symptoms.

Regarding to their medication adherence, patients who had low adherence to their medication had more than 3 times more likely had aggressive behaviour than patients who had high adherence [AOR = 3.73, 95% CI (1.71, 8.13)], which was in line with a study done in UK and Czech Republic that medication non-adherence was significantly associated with violent among severely mental ill patients [20, 27]. The possible explanation for this may be patients had poor adherence may not got good control for their symptoms.

Regarding to their social support those bipolar patients who had poor social support were three times more likely had aggressive behaviour than had strong support supports [AOR = 2.99, 95% CI (1.30, 6.91)] and that may be due to lack of good care provider, attention giver that upset the patient and ending up with aggressiveness for the surroundings.

**Conclusion**

Prevalence of aggressive behaviour is high among bipolar patients who attending at Amanuel Mental specialized hospital outpatient clinic. Number of episode, history of past aggression, and presence of psychotic, depressive or manic symptoms, medication adherence, social support and current use of substance were found to be significantly associated with aggressive behaviour. It is important to pay attention for those significant factors to manage and control aggressive behaviour among bipolar patients.

**Limitation**

This study was a cross-sectional study design that cannot show the temporal cause-effect association between factors and aggressive behaviour. Even though, each substance has its own contribution on aggressive behaviour, in this study type of substance were not assess whether it has association or has not with aggressive behaviour. This study also didn’t assess personality disorder among bipolar patients. We use the term bipolar disorder for all bipolar type (bipolar I, II, cyclothymia and others) and aggressive behaviour may different in each sub-type.
| Characteristics                        | Aggressive behavior |     | Overall |     | Chisq test (X²) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|---------|-----|----------------|
|                                        | Yes                 | No  |         |     |                |
| History of aggression                  |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 110(33.1%)          | 222(66.9%) | 332(80.8%) | 11.34 |
| No                                     | 71(13.9%)           | 68(86.1%)  | 79(19.2%)  |     |
| Number of episode                      |                     |     |         |     |                |
| One episode                            | 19(16%)             | 100(84%)    | 119(29%)    | 14.64 |
| More than one episode                  | 102(34.9%)          | 190(65.1%) | 292(71%)    |     |
| Current medication                     |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Antipsychotic                          |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 71(28.7%)           | 176(71.3%)  | 247(60.1%)  | .14 |
| No                                     | 50(30.5%)           | 114(69.5%)  | 164(39.9%)  |     |
| Antidepressant                         |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 15(26.3%)           | 42(73.7%)    | 57(13.9%)    | .311 |
| No                                     | 106(29.9%)          | 248(70.1%)  | 354(86.1%)  |     |
| Mood stabilizer                        |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 95(30.4%)           | 218(69.6%)  | 313(76.5%)  | .52 |
| No                                     | 26(26.5%)           | 72(73.5%)    | 98(23.5%)    |     |
| Antipsychotic & mood stabilizer       |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 4(26.7%)            | 11(73.3%)    | 15(3.6%)     | .06 |
| No                                     | 117(29.5%)          | 279(70.5%)  | 396(96.4%)  |     |
| Adherence                              |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Poor                                   | 69(59%)             | 48(41%)     | 117(28.5%)  | 69.40 |
| Moderate                               | 33(19.6%)           | 135(80.4%)  | 168(40.9%)  |     |
| High                                   | 19(15.1)            | 107(84.9%)  | 126(30.6%)  |     |
| Perceived stress                       |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Low                                    | 31(26.3%)           | 87(73.7%)    | 118(28.7%)  | .98 |
| Average                                | 24(28.9%)           | 59(71.1%)    | 83(20.2%)    |     |
| High                                   | 66(31.4%)           | 144(68.6%)  | 210(51.1%)  |     |
| Social support                         |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Poor                                   | 53(37.3%)           | 89(62.7%)    | 142(34.5%)  | 7.00 |
| Moderate                               | 49(26.6%)           | 135(73.4%)  | 184(44.8%)  |     |
| Strong                                 | 19(22.4%)           | 66(77.6%)    | 85(20.7%)    |     |
| Family related problem                 |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 62(40%)             | 93(60%)     | 155(37.7%)  | 13.36 |
| No                                     | 59(23%)             | 197(77%)    | 256(62.3)   |     |
| Current substance use                  |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 50(42.7%)           | 67(57.3%)    | 117(28.5%)  | 13.92 |
| No                                     | 71(24.1%)           | 223(75.9%)  | 294(71.5%)  |     |
| Current symptoms                       |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Depressive                             |                     |     |         |     |                |
| Yes                                    | 93(47%)             | 105(53%)    | 198(48.2%)  | 56.51 |
| No                                     | 28(13.1%)           | 185(86.9%)  | 213(51.8%)  |     |
| Psychotic                              |                     |     |         |     |                |
Table 2 distribution of bipolar patients by factors related to aggressive behaviour attending at Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital; May, 2015 (Continued)

|        | Yes        | No        | Crude odd ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odd ratio (AOR) 95% CI |
|--------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mania  |            |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 100(53.2%) | 88(46.8%) | 188(45.7%)                | 103.58                           |
| No     | 22(9.9%)   | 201(90.1%)| 223(54.3%)                |                                  |
|        |            |           |                          |                                  |
| Number of episode |          |           |                          |                                  |
| One episode | 19 100    | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| More than one episode | 102 190  | 2.82 (1.63, 4.87)* | 2.35 (1.18, 4.69)** |                                    |
| History of aggression |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 110 222    | 3.06 (1.55, 6.02)* | 3.72 (1.54, 8.98)** |                                  |
| No     | 11 68      | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Co-morbid illness |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 26 39      | 1.76 (1.02, 3.03)* | 1.55 (0.74, 3.23) |                                  |
| No     | 95 251     | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Family related problems |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 62 93      | 2.20 (1.44, 3.43)* | 1.47 (0.82, 2.66) |                                  |
| No     | 59 197     | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Manic symptoms |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 62 41      | 6.38 (3.93, 10.37)* | 3.85 (2.06, 7.19)$ |                                  |
| No     | 59 249     | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Depressive symptoms |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 93 105     | 5.85 (3.60, 9.51)* | 3.63 (1.89, 6.96)$ |                                  |
| No     | 28 185     | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Psychotic symptoms |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 100 88     | 10.93 (6.41, 18.62)* | 5.41 (2.88, 10.1)$ |                                  |
| No     | 21 202     | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Adherence |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Poor adherence | 69 48     | 8.09 (4.39, 14.92)* | 3.73 (1.71, 8.13)** |                                  |
| Moderate adherence | 33 135   | 1.38 (0.74, 2.55)* | 1.45 (0.67, 3.12) |                                  |
| Good adherence | 19 107    | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Current use of substance |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Yes    | 50 67      | 2.34 (1.49, 3.69)* | 2.17 (1.16, 4.06)** |                                  |
| No     | 71 223     | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |
| Social support |          |           |                          |                                  |
| Poor   | 53 87      | 2.07 (1.12, 3.82)* | 2.99 (1.30, 6.91)** |                                  |
| Moderate | 49 135    | 1.26 (0.69, 2.31)* | 1.56 (0.70, 3.47) |                                  |
| Strong | 19 66      | 1.00      |                           | 1.00                             |

*p-value < 0.05
**statistically significant at multivariate logistic regression
$ p-value <0.001
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