An In Silico Study of Electrophysiological Parameters That Affect the Spiral-Wave Frequency in Mathematical Models for Cardiac Tissue
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Spiral waves of excitation in cardiac tissue are associated with life-threatening cardiac arrhythmias. It is, therefore, important to study the electrophysiological factors that affect the dynamics of these spiral waves. By using an electrophysiologically detailed mathematical model of a myocyte (cardiac cell), we study the effects of cellular parameters, such as membrane-ion-channel conductances, on the properties of the action-potential (AP) of a myocyte. We then investigate how changes in these properties, specifically the upstroke velocity and the AP duration (APD), affect the frequency ω of a spiral wave in the mathematical model that we use for human-ventricular tissue. We find that an increase (decrease) in this upstroke-velocity or a decrease (increase) in the AP duration increases (decreases) ω. We also study how other intercellular factors, such as the fibroblast-myocyte coupling, diffusive coupling strength, and the effective number of neighboring myocytes and fibroblasts, modulate ω. Finally, we demonstrate how a spiral wave can drift to a region with a high density of fibroblasts. Our results provide a natural explanation for the anchoring of spiral waves in highly fibrotic regions in fibrotic hearts.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear waves in the form of rotating spirals are ubiquitous spatiotemporal patterns that occur in a variety of biological or physical systems; these include chemical-reaction waves in the Belousov-Zhabotansky system [1–5], oxidation waves of carbon monoxide on the surface of platinum [6–8], calcium-signalling waves in Xenopus oocytes [9], cyclic-AMP signalling waves in the aggregation process of Dictyostelium discoideum [10, 11], and, notably, action-potential (AP) waves that mediate muscle contraction in cardiac tissue. The organization of these AP waves in the form of spirals or scrolls in cardiac tissue is associated with abnormal and life-threatening heart rhythms known as arrhythmias. In particular, ventricular arrhythmias can lead to sudden cardiac death; therefore, it is important to understand the dynamics of such waves.

The rhythm of a normal heart is maintained by the trains of waves that are generated by its pacemaker, the sino-atrial node (SAN). This normal rhythm in a heart can be disturbed by the
formation of a spiral wave, which can override the function of the SAN as the primary source of waves and entrain the heart to follow the spiral-rotation frequency. There are multiple mechanisms through which spiral waves can occur in cardiac tissue [12–18].

*Ex vivo* and *in vitro* studies [19–27] show that VT is associated with functional reentry that leads to the formation of a spiral wave. A multiple-spiral state is linked to ventricular fibrillation (VF) that results in a chaotic heart rate [13–15, 23, 28–30], and a quivering of the left ventricle, which renders it incapable of pumping oxygenated blood to the body; and, in the absence of medical intervention, this leads to death in a few minutes. It is crucial, therefore, to develop a detailed understanding of how spiral waves in cardiac tissue can get destabilized and form multiple spiral waves. Some studies have shown that heterogeneity-induced spatial gradients in the frequency $\omega$ of a spiral wave can lead to such an instability [31, 32] or to the drifting of this spiral wave [28, 33–35]. We build on the results of these studies to investigate which physiological factors affect $\omega$ and how they modulate it. In mammalian hearts, cardiac tissue is heterogeneous: there can be cellular heterogeneity, e.g., cardiac fibroblasts in addition to myocytes, or a spatial variation of electrophysiological properties, e.g., along the apico-basal direction in a heart, or between intermural layers [36] of the heart, or because of conduction inhomogeneities [37].

We investigate the effects of various intracellular (ion-channel conductances) and intercellular (gap-junctional factors) parameters on the spiral-wave frequency. At the single-cell level, we show how changes in ion-channel conductances modulate action-potential (AP) properties, such as its upstroke velocity $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ and duration (APD). We then examine how these changes in AP properties affect the spiral-wave frequency $\omega$ at the tissue level. We find that an increase (decrease) in $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ (APD) increases (decreases) $\omega$. We then investigate the effects of intercellular coupling strength on $\omega$ by changing the coupling strength in the following two ways: a) by modifying the diffusion constant $D$ of the medium; b) by interspersing inexcitable point obstacles in the medium, thereby reducing the effective number of neighboring myocytes. We find that $\omega$ is unaffected by a change in $D$, but, with point obstacles, $\omega$ decreases with an increase in the density of these obstacles. We examine two models for fibrosis, which occurs in diseased hearts and is usually accompanied by a proliferation of fibroblasts [38–42]. These models allow us to study how various fibroblast parameters, e.g., the fibroblast-myocyte coupling and the AP of the coupled myocyte, affect $\omega$ and spiral-wave dynamics; the fibroblast parameters include its resting potential and the number of fibroblasts coupled to a myocyte. Moreover, we show that a spiral in a medium with a heterogeneous distribution of fibroblasts, drifts towards the region with a high density of fibroblasts.

The paper is organized as follows. The Materials and Methods Section 2 contains (a) the details of the myocyte and tissue models that we use in our simulations and (b) the numerical techniques we use to solve the governing equations. We then provide the findings of our study in Section 3 on Results. Finally, in the Discussion, Section 4, we discuss our results in the light of other past studies and mention some of the limitations in our study.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Model

For myocytes we use the TP06 human-ventricular-cell model [43], in which the transmembrane potential $V_m$ of an isolated myocyte is governed by the following ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$
\frac{dV_m}{dt} = -\frac{I_{\text{ion}}}{C_m} = \sum_i I_i;
$$

(1)

$I_{\text{ion}}$ is the sum of all the ion-channel currents with $I_i$ the $i$th ion-channel current, and $C_m$ the normalized transmembrane capacitance. In Table 1 we list the currents in the TP06 model; their dependence on $V_m$ is given, e.g., in Ref. 43.

The spatiotemporal evolution of $V_m$ in mathematical models for cardiac tissue is governed by the following reaction-diffusion partial differential equation (PDE):

$$
\frac{\partial V_m}{\partial t} = D \nabla^2 V_m - \frac{I_{\text{ion}}}{C_m}.
$$

(2)

$D$ is the diffusion coefficient; we restrict ourselves to a scalar $D$ for simplicity; the TP06 case is described in detail in Ref. 43. It is convenient to use the following non-dimensionalised ion-channel conductances and diffusion coefficients:

$$
S_G = \frac{G}{G_c}; S_D = \frac{D}{D_0},
$$

(3)

where $G$ stands for a typical conductance, $G_c$ is the control value of the conductance, and the control diffusion constant $D_0 = 0.001$ $54$ cm$^2$/ms; for the conductances we consider, $G_c = 14.838, 0.000$ 039 8, 0.153 nS/pF for $G_{Na}$, $G_{CaL}$, and $G_{Ks}$, respectively.

| Symbol | Ion-channel or ion pump current |
|--------|--------------------------------|
| $I_{Na}$ | fast inward Na$^+$ current |
| $I_{Ca}$ | L-type inward Ca$^{2+}$ current |
| $I_o$ | Transient outward current |
| $I_K$ | Slow delayed rectifier outward K$^+$ current |
| $I_{Na}$/Ca | Rapid delayed rectifier outward K$^+$ current |
| $I_{Kr}$ | Inward rectifier outward K$^+$ current |
| $I_{Na}$/Ca | Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$ exchanger current |
| $I_{Na}$/K | Na$^+$/K$^+$ pump current |
| $I_{Ca}$ | plateau Ca$^{2+}$ current |
| $I_K$ | plateau K$^+$ current |
| $I_{Na}$/ | background inward Na$^+$ current |
| $I_{Ca}$/ | background inward Ca$^{2+}$ current |

*Table 1* | The various ionic currents in the TP06 model [43]. The details of the ion-channel and ion-pump equations for the currents and the parameter values that we use for the TP06 model are given in the Supplementary Material.
We use the following two models for the fibroblast cells:

- **Model-I**: We model the fibroblast cells as inexcitable obstacles and we replace the myocytes at random with these inexcitable obstacles throughout our simulation domain such that the percentage of sites with obstacles is \( p_o \). The gap-junctional current between the myocyte and inexcitable obstacles in this model is zero (see Refs. 37, 44).

- **Model-II**: We model the fibroblasts in our study as an electrically passive cells, as in Ref. 45. Each myocyte is coupled to \( N_f \) fibroblasts; and the myocyte and fibroblast transmembrane potentials \( V_m \) and \( V_f \), respectively, obey the following coupled ODEs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dV_m}{dt} &= -\left( \frac{I_{\text{ion}}}{C_m} + N_f \times \frac{I_{\text{gap}}}{C_m} \right); \\
\frac{dV_f}{dt} &= \frac{(I_{\text{gap}} - I_f)}{C_f}; \\
I_f &= G_f \left( V_f - E_f \right); \\
I_{\text{gap}} &= G_{\text{gap}} \left( V_m - V_f \right).
\end{align*}
\]

\( C_p, E_f, \) and \( G_{\text{gap}} \) are the membrane capacitance of a fibroblast, the fibroblast resting potential, and the fibroblast-myocyte gap-junctional coupling, respectively. We use a bilayer model for fibroblast-myocyte couplings: fibroblasts, in the top layer, are coupled to myocytes in the bottom layer, as in Ref. 16, which contains a schematic diagram of this bilayer and the PDEs that describe the spatiotemporal evolution of waves of activation in this model; we do not include fibroblast-fibroblast couplings. Moreover, when we consider a heterogeneous distribution of fibroblasts in Section 3.4, we first consider a homogeneous region in which each myocyte is coupled to \( N_f \) fibroblasts, which are in a layer above the myocyte cell layer as described in detail in Ref. 16. Now we introduce heterogeneity, which results in a gradient in the density of fibroblasts, we removing all the \( N_f \) fibroblasts that are coupled to a myocyte at a site, so that the percentage of myocyte cells, at which we retain the myocyte-fibroblast coupling, is \( p_f \). To study gradients in the density of fibroblasts, we use a space-dependent density that varies linearly as we move away from chosen central site:

\[
p_f(r) = p_f(r_0) \frac{r - r_0}{r_{\text{max}} - r_0} \times r_0,
\]

where \( r \) is the distance from the centre, \( r_0 \) is the position of the centre, and \( r_{\text{max}} \) is maximum radial distance from the centre.

2.2 Numerical Methods

We update the ODEs via the forward-Euler method for Eqs 1, 4. For our two-dimensional (2D) tissue simulations as in Eq. 2 we use a square domain with \( N \times N \) grid points with \( N = 512 \), the forward-Euler scheme for time marching, and a central-difference scheme with a five-point stencil for the Laplacian, with the time and space steps \( \Delta t = 0.02 \text{ ms} \) and \( \Delta x = 0.025 \text{ cm} \), respectively. The control value of the diffusion coefficient \( D = D_0 \), with \( D_0 = 0.00154 \text{ cm}^2/\text{ms} \), which gives us a conduction velocity \( CV \approx 70 \text{ cm/s} \), as has been reported for human-ventricular-tissue models [43, 46].

2.3 Data Aquisition

- We generate a spiral wave by the cross-field protocol; we pass a traveling plane wave (S1) from one end of the domain; and when the wave back of S1 reaches the middle of the domain, we pass another plane wave (S2) perpendicular to S1; when the wavefront of S2 meets the wave back of S1, a phase singularity is created at the junction; this creates a spiral wave (see Supplementary Figure S1).

- We calculate the frequency \( \omega \) by recording the time-series of the transmembrane potential \( V_m \) at four representative positions in the simulation domain. From the principal peak in the Fourier transforms of these time series, we obtain \( \omega \) (we take the average of the values at the four representative positions). [We show in Supplementary Table S1] that this frequency is within error bars of the frequency \( \omega_{\text{tip}} \) of rotation of the tip of the spiral wave.

- For the radius of the tip trajectory of rigidly rotating spiral waves, which is, on average, circular, we fit the average trajectory to a circle with radius \( r \) and center \((x_c, y_c)\), by using a nonlinear regression model, to obtain the mean radius and the mean values of the coordinates of the center of the circle; we also calculate the standard deviation of the fluctuations in \( r \) by using the mean position of the center \((x_c, y_c)\) and the coordinates \((x, y)\) of the points that lie on the unaveraged tip trajectory that we compute.

- We calculate \( CV \) by pacing the simulation domain at one end with a pacing cycle length of 1 Hz; we use 20 pulses. We record the time series of \( V_m \) at two designated grid points \( A \) and \( B \), which are separated by a distance \( l_{\text{AB}} \). These grid points are chosen such that the line between the two grid points is normal to the wavefront. We obtain the times \( t_A \) and \( t_B \) at which the wavefront hits the grid points \( A \) and \( B \), respectively; the difference \( t_B - t_A \) gives the time taken by the wavefront to propagate \( A \) to \( B \); therefore, \( CV = \frac{\omega}{l_{\text{AB}}} \). In the disordered case, with inexcitable obstacles distributed at random in the simulation domain, we record the time series of \( V_m \) at multiple points and repeat the above procedure; we then take the mean of the CVs obtained from these points; we also compute the standard deviation of the CVs (see Supplementary Table S2).

3 RESULTS

We present the results of our in-silico studies as follows: In Section 3.1 we examine the dependence of the AP and of \( \omega \) on various ion-channel conductances. Section 3.2 is devoted to the effects of the gap-junctional coupling on \( \omega \). In Section 3.3 we investigate the effects of the fibroblast-myocyte coupling on the myocyte AP and \( \omega \). We elucidate the drift of spiral waves in domains with an inhomogeneous distribution of fibroblasts in Section 3.4.
FIGURE 1 | (A) Action-potential plots for the control parameter set (magenta) and the cases when the conductances $G_{Na}$ (black), $G_{CaL}$ (blue), and $G_{Kr}$ (red) are increased by a factor of three relative to their control values. (B,C): Plots of the APD and $dV_{max}$, respectively, versus $S_G$, the non-dimensionalized conductance (Eq. 3).

FIGURE 2 | (A) Traces of the tip trajectories of a spiral for different values of conductances of three ion channels: $G_{CaL}$ (blue), $G_{Na}$ (black), and $G_{Kr}$ (red); the columns indicate $S_{G_i}$ (Eq. 3), which multiplies only the conductance that labels a row (all other conductances are held at their control values as we move along a row). (B–D): Plots versus $S_{G_i}$ of, respectively, $r$, CV, and $\omega$ (see text), for all these three conductances; one-standard-deviation error bars are shown for $r$. 
3.1 Effects of Conductances on the AP and the Spiral-Wave Frequency $\omega$

The cell membrane of a myocyte is embedded with various ion channels, which we list in Table 1; $V_m$ depends on the currents through these ion channels (Eq. 1), so, if we vary the conductances of these channels, we can modulate the AP of the myocyte. To study the effects of these ion channels on the AP, we choose three representative major ionic currents for our study: $I_{Na}$, $I_{CaL}$, and $I_{Kr}$. Figure 1A shows the APs of a myocyte for control values (magenta) and for the cases where the conductances $G_{Na}$ (black), $G_{CaL}$ (blue), and $G_{Kr}$ (red) are increased three-fold. We find that increasing $G_{CaL}$ ($G_{Kr}$) increases (decreases) the APD, whereas $G_{Na}$ has no significant effect on the APD (Figure 1B). This is because the inward current $I_{CaL}$ augments depolarization, and $I_{Kr}$, being an outward current, enhances repolarization; although $I_{Na}$ is an inward current, it is active only during the early upstroke phase of the AP, therefore, it cannot affect the AP significantly. Furthermore, we find that increasing $G_{Na}$ increases the upstroke velocity $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ but $G_{CaL}$ and $G_{Kr}$ do not affect $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ (Figure 1). We have also checked the effects of other ion-channel conductances and ion-pump parameters on the AP. The results are consistent with our findings above, namely, increasing (decreasing) the conductances of inward (outward) currents increases (increases) the APD of the myocyte; and $I_{Na}$ is the only current that can change the value of $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$. We give details in Supplementary Figure S1.

We now study how these changes in $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ and the APD affect the dynamics of a spiral wave. In Figure 2A we show spiral-tip trajectories and how the radius $r$, of the averaged circular trajectory, varies with the three conductances $G_{CaL}$ (blue), $G_{Na}$ (black), and $G_{Kr}$ (red); the columns are labelled by the values of $S_\omega$ (Eq. 3), which multiply only the conductance that labels a row (all other conductances are held at their control values as we move along a row in Figure 2A). In Figures 2B–D we plot CV versus $S_\omega$, for $r$, $Cv$, and $\omega$, for all these three conductances. In particular, we find that $\omega$ increases as we increase $G_{Na}$ and $G_{Kr}$, by contrast, $\omega$ decreases as we increase $G_{CaL}$. This is consistent with the variation of $r$ and of CV with $S_\omega$ (Figures 2B,C), for $\omega$ is related to $r$ and CV as in Eq. 6.

$$\omega \propto \frac{CV}{2\pi r} \tag{6}$$

If we raise the values of $G_{CaL}$ and $G_{Kr}$, then we find an increase and decrease the spiral core radius $r$, respectively, whereas $G_{Na}$ has no significant effect on the value of $r$ (Figure 2B). Furthermore, Figure 2C shows that CV increases with $G_{Na}$ whereas $G_{CaL}$ and $G_{Kr}$ do not affect CV; this is because only $G_{Na}$ affects the value of $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ (Figure 1C), which determines how fast a myocyte is excited and, therefore, how rapidly a wave of excitation propagates through our cardiac-tissue model. This result, along with Figure 1B, implies that the change in the APD is associated with the change in the value of $r$; a large (small) value of the APD is associated with a large (small) value of $r$; and conductances such as $G_{Na}$ have no significant effect on the APD because they do not affect $r$ substantially. We have also checked this correlation between the APD and $r$ for other conductances (see Supplementary Figure S2) and have found similar results. In summary, the rise of $\omega$ with the increase of $G_{Na}$ is primarily because of the increase in CV, and the decline (rise) of $\omega$, with the increase of $G_{CaL}$ ($G_{Kr}$), can be attributed principally because to the increase (decrease) in $r$.

3.2 Effect of the Gap-Junctional Coupling on $\omega$

The strength of the gap-junctional coupling between the cells in cardiac tissue can change in diseased conditions, e.g., in the wake of a myocardial infarction [47–49]. It is, therefore, instructive to investigate the role of the diffusive coupling between the cells on spiral-wave dynamics. To study the effect of $D$ on $\omega$, we first plot, in Figure 3A, $r$ (blue curve) and CV (red curve) versus $S_D$, the non-dimensionalised diffusion constant in Eq. 3; this shows that both $r$ and CV increase with $S_D$, because a high diffusive coupling enhances the propagation of waves. The increase in CV is offset by the increase in $r$, so $\omega$ (see Eq. 6) does not depend on $S_D$ significantly, as we show in Figure 3B.

We can also reduce the effective coupling strength between the cells in the medium by interspersing the medium with inexorable point obstacles. These obstacles mimic collagen deposits in fibrotic tissue [38, 50]. The random distribution of these obstacles disrupts the propagation of a wave, as we show by the pseudocolor plots of $V_m$ in Figure 4A; and it reduces the velocity of the wave [37, 44, 47]. In Figure 4B we plot CV versus $p_o$, clearly, CV decreases as the obstacle density $p_o$ increases; and beyond $p_o = 38\%$, we observe conductive block with $CV = 0$. This result is consistent with the earlier study in Ref. 51. This reduction in CV, with the increase of $p_o$, contributes to the decline of $\omega$ with increasing $p_o$, which we depict by the plot in Figure 4C. Furthermore, because of the disorder-induced corrugated wavefront (Figure 4A), it becomes difficult to track the spiral-tip trajectory for $p_o > 10\%$; for $p_o < 10\%$, the value of $r$ remains unaltered (see Supplementary Figure S3). Nonetheless, the simultaneous decrease of $\omega$ and CV, as we increase $p_o$, tells us that the change in CV is responsible principally for the variation of $\omega$.

3.3 Effect of the Fibroblast-Myocyte Coupling on AP Properties and $\omega$

Fibroblast cells, which maintain the structural integrity of a heart, are known to (a) proliferate in diseased conditions [38, 39] and (b) form gap-junctional couplings with myocytes. Such couplings can modulate the electrophysiological properties, e.g., of the AP, of the myocytes [45, 52, 53]. We show in Figures 5A,B, how the fibroblast-myocyte coupling affects the AP morphology, APD, and $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ for different values of fibroblast resting potential $E_p$ and the number $N_f$ of fibroblasts coupled to a myocyte in Model-II. We see that the APD and $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$ increase and decrease, respectively, as we increase $E_p$. For a fixed value of $E_p$ increasing $N_f$ decreases both APD and $\frac{dV}{dt}_{\text{max}}$. This is because fibroblasts act as current sinks when coupled to myocytes. These changes in the properties of the AP, because of the fibroblast-myocyte coupling, affect the dynamics of wave at the tissue level. We show in Figure 5C that the rise in the APD and the decline in
\[ \frac{dV}{dt} \] (Figure 5B) increases and decreases the values of \( r \) and CV, respectively, as we increase \( E_f \). In Figure 5D we show how the combination of these effects on CV and \( r \) affect the variation of \( \omega \) with \( E_f \) and \( N_f \).

### 3.4 Drift of Spiral Waves in Domains With an Inhomogeneous Distribution of Fibroblasts

Fibrosis is a natural wound-healing process that occurs in the heart after a patient suffers from a condition such as infarction or heart attack [40–42], and such fibrotic tissue can affect the propagation of excitation waves [14, 37, 47, 48, 54, 55], which can promote arrhythmias. We now show how a heterogeneous density of fibroblasts in the medium (Model-II) can affect the dynamics of a spiral wave. Figure 6A shows the heterogeneous distribution of fibroblasts in the medium; here, yellow indicates fibroblast-myocyte composites and blue indicates myocytes. The density of fibroblasts decreases radially outwards from the centre that is marked by a red octagram in Figure 6A (Section 2; Eq. 5). Figure 6B shows the spatial variation of the
APD in the medium because of the heterogeneous fibroblast density. Figures 6C,D show the spatiotemporal evolution of a spiral in this case. It shows that a spiral, initiated at the left side of the domain in the region with a low density of fibroblasts, drifts towards the region with a high density of fibroblasts; and the spiral remains anchored to the central region, where the fibroblast density is maximum. The trajectory of the spiral tip is shown in white in Figure 6D (see also the Supplementary Movie M1). In Figure 6D, we have shown the drift data up until a simulation duration of \( t = 60 \) s. The spiral indeed goes near to the red star (highest fibrotic density region shown in Figure 6A), anchors to it, and becomes stable \( (t = 114 \) s\); and the spiral does not meander after anchoring. This can be observed in the Supplementary Movie M1 provided in the Supplementary Material. This drifting of a spiral towards the region with a high density of fibroblasts is associated with the tendency of the spiral wave to drift towards the region with the highest value of the APD \([33, 34, 56–58]\). Such anchoring of a spiral wave to a region with a high density of fibrosis has also been reported in other studies in contexts other than fibrosis \([33, 34, 56–58]\).

4 DISCUSSION

We have used in silico simulations of detailed mathematical models for cardiac tissue to examine the effects of various electrophysiological parameters of a cardiac cell and cardiac tissue on the AP properties and on electrical-wave dynamics. Our work is of relevance when spiral waves are formed in real hearts where there are gradients in electrophysiological parameters along the transmural \([36, 62, 63]\) and the apico-basal \([64, 65]\) directions. Moreover, heterogeneities can be also be induced in the heart because of diseases \([64, 66–68]\). In this context, we have shown how changes in various ion-channel conductances of a myocyte or the fibroblast-myocyte coupling can modulate the AP of a myocyte. We have then checked how these changes affect the spiral-wave frequency \(\omega\). We find that an increase (decrease) in \(\frac{dV}{d\text{APD}}\) or decrease (increase) in the APD increases (decreases) \(\omega\); large values of \(\frac{dV}{d\text{APD}}\) increase CV; and a low APD is associated with low values of the mean spiral-tip-trajectory radius \(r\); these are related to \(\omega\) through Eq. 6. Our study
has provided a natural understanding of how changes in the AP, at the single-myocyte level, can be related to changes in ω at the cardiac-tissue level. Moreover, we have investigated how changes in the gap-junctional coupling between the cells and S_D affect ω. We have also reduced the effective coupling between the cells by interspersing the medium with inexcitable obstacles; ω changes with the density of the obstacles. It is of interest to investigate such effects on ω, because they provide insights into spiral-wave dynamics in excitable media with heterogeneities [68]. We illustrate this in detail in Figure 6 for a simulation domain with a heterogeneous distribution of fibroblast; here, we demonstrate the drift of a spiral wave towards the region with a high density of fibroblasts; such a drift has been seen in real hearts [23, 59–61].

We have explored the validity of the frequency relation Eq. 6 (Ref. 15) for a wide range of electrophysiological parameters in the models that we use. We show in Supplementary Figure S1 that our measurements of ω and CV are consistent with a linear relation (see the fit that is indicated by a black line); at very low values of CV, e.g., near conduction block in Model-I which accounts for fibrosis-induced disorder, this linear relation breaks down. The randomness in these models introduces errors in the determination of r of the spiral wave, especially for large randomness; e.g., as we increase p_w, we observe, in Supplementary Figure S6 that the tip trajectory of the spiral wave becomes very noisy. Note also that the CV of a plane wave is distinct from CV_{tip} the velocity of the tip of the spiral wave as it goes around its trajectory (on average a circle with radius r); clearly, ω_{tip} = CV_{tip}/(2π) (see Supplementary Table S1).

Some earlier studies have investigated the properties of spiral waves in two-variable mathematical models for cardiac [69–75]. However, such studies have been conducted in the weak- or strong-excitability limits; real cardiac tissue exhibits various degrees of excitability depending on different electrophysiological parameters. Our study, which employs electrophysiologically detailed mathematical models for cardiac tissue, has allowed us to study spiral-wave dynamics with greater realism than is possible with two-variable models for cardiac tissue. The drifting of a spiral wave towards regions with a large APD has been reported in contexts other than fibrosis [33, 34, 56–58]. Moreover, anomalous drift of a spiral towards a region with a small APD, which has been observed in generic models [34], is not seen in our study; and it is yet to be reported in any of the electrophysiologically-detailed mathematical models for the cardiac tissue. It is also observed in the two-variable models that the radius of the spiral tip trajectory is very large, in the weakly excitable limit, compared to what is observed in the strongly excitable limit [72, 76].

In our realistic models, if we consider two parameters that control excitability, e.g., G_Na and D, then we observe that r does not increase with a decrease in the value of G_Na (Figure 2); but we observe an increase in r, as we increase the value of D (see Supplementary Figure S5). Hence, our systematic study, which uses a detailed human-ventricular-tissue mathematical model, provides an important point of reference for future in silico and experimental studies of such spiral waves in cardiac tissue.

For the study of spiral-wave dynamics in the fibrosis, we have considered two types of models for fibrosis: (a) Model-I and (b) Model-II (Section 2). With these models we have investigated the following: The change of ω in (i) Model-I and (ii) Model-II as we change fibrosis parameters such as p_w (in Model-I) and N_f and E_f (in Model-II). (iii) In Model-II we show that ω decreases with an
increase in \( N_f \) in homogeneous fibrotic tissue. Furthermore, we consider a heterogeneous model, based on Model-II, in which the fibroblast density \( P_f \) (number density of myocyte cells coupled to \( N_f \) fibroblast cells at a site) is highest at a central site and decreases outwards from this center. We find that a spiral wave, initiated far away from the center where \( P_f \) is low, drifts towards the region with the highest fibroblast density, i.e., the center, which has the lowest \( \omega \). There are earlier studies in this direction such as in Refs. 77, 78 that uses Model-I type fibrosis. The study of Ref. 77, induces spiral waves by a bursting or pacing mechanism and investigates the dependence of \( \omega \) on the fibrosis density (Model of type I). This study shows that \( \omega \) is dependent on the maximal local fibrosis density. The study in Ref. 78, considers a local fibrotic region (Model-I type) and studies the anchoring of a spiral wave initiated far from this region. The mechanism of anchoring here involves the breaking up of the spiral waves around the fibrotic tissue and a consequent alteration of the initial spiral wave; this is eventually driven near to the fibrotic tissue and is then anchored around it. However, our study investigates the drift of the spiral wave in Model-II and shows the experimentally observed drift of spiral waves towards the region of highest fibroblast density. Also, our gradient in the fibroblast density based on Model-II, in contrast to that of Refs. 77, 78, uses a continuous gradient in the fibroblast density and, in addition, with fibroblasts that are treated as passive, but electrically active, cells. We note that, multiple studies have shown that fibroblast cells are electrically active, especially in the context of infarction (see, e.g., Refs. 14, 49, 53, 79, 80). Such fibroblasts are used in our Model-II but not in the studies mentioned in Refs. 77, 78. Thus, our work extends considerably these earlier studies.

4.1 Limitations of Our Study

We end our discussion with some limitations in our study. We have used a monodomain model for cardiac tissue. Bidomain models of cardiac tissue account for the extracellular matrix. However, monodomain models have been proved to be good approximations of cardiac tissue for wave propagation [81] for the types of excitations we consider. Furthermore, our tissue model does not incorporate the effects of mechanical deformations, stretch-activated channels, and stress-dependent diffusion tensors [82–84]. Such deformations can affect the dynamics of spiral waves [85] and the drift of spirals in a heterogeneous medium; we defer an investigation of the interplay between deformation and drift for future work.
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