Ring-opening polymerization and plasticization of poly(L-lactic)acid by adding of glycerol-dioleate
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Abstract
Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) has been produced by ring-opening polymerization method. During the polymerization processes different temperatures and process times have been applied to reach an optimum setup that was used to produce sample. The sample was measured by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) methods to identify the structure, molar mass and define the glass transition temperatures of product and obtain general information about the physical and chemical properties of material. Based on the results of measures, the material’s crystallinity was also investigated. The plasticization of PLLA has been also investigated. During the experiments, previously produced material (PLLA synthesized by polycondensation) was plasticised by adding of glycerol-dioleate. The plasticized material was also measured by FTIR, GPC and DSC methods to check the basic physical and chemical parameters of the material. According to the results of the measurements that were found, by this approach, the glass transition temperature of PLLA was reduced by 7 °C that indicated the glycerol-dioleate might act as a plasticizer for PLLA material without any deviation in the chemical structure of material.
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Introduction
The polylactic acid (PLA) is widely used aliphatic polyester. Natural sourced and biodegradable, therefore, it has many fields of applications like medical (drug carrier, porous scaffolds for cellular applications, bone fixation devices, plates, pins, screws, and wires, coatings on metal impacts), food industrial field (packaging films, trays, blisters) or for other general purposes (commodity containers, mobile phone housing, floor mats) as it has been investigated by Babu et al. [1]. The new field of application is composites. Although the matrix material is PLA but the filler material can be organic or inorganic therefore this group can be divided for two main categories. In case of organic composites, especially bio-composites, the filler materials are organic/plant-based such as cassava and pineapple flours that was studied by Becker et al. [2]. Regarding the inorganic composites category inorganic materials are used as filler like calcium carbonate, barium–sulphate, mica, talc, zeolite or different formulations of basalt for biomedical composites which field has been widely studied [3, 4]. Special PLA types also developed and investigated such as flame resistance alternatives. To achieve the fire resistance and avoid the deterioration of mechanical properties of PLA special additives need to be used such as DOPO-NH2 that was tested and investigated by Wang et al. [5]. Among others, Bouapao et al. investigated the relationship between the lactic acid monomers and polymer alternatives. Found that, as the lactic acid is a chiral molecule and has two stereoisomers (Fig. 1), three different PLA types can be formed as poly(L-lactic)acid (PLLA) and poly(D-lactic)acid and poly(DL-lactic)acid (PDLLA). The isotactic and optically active PLLA and PDLA are mostly crystalline which highly depends on crystallization time and optimal crystallization temperature, and the properties and effects of filler material in case of composites [6–9]. The PDLLA is relatively atactic and optically inactive and its structure is amorphous [10].

To produce polylactic acid, two main polymerization types are used. With application of standard polycondensation, PLA with low molar mass can be synthesized. The problem of this polymerization type is the relative high
demand of process time. The other, more efficient polymerization is the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) (Fig. 2). Although this method is the most effective polymerization type for PLA but this process is much more complicated compared to the standard polycondensation as this process divided to three main steps that was also concluded by Lee and Bee [12].

The ROP method is a propagation process of cyclic monomers. The process can be initiated by ions, and therefore, anionic, cationic and radical ROPs mechanisms are classified [14]. In case of PLA, the cyclic monomer is the lactide that is the cyclic dimer of lactic acid. The controlled ROP induces specific and desirable physical and chemical properties for polymers that was widely discussed in work of Stridsberg et al. [15].

By the ROP process—through polymerization of lactides—polymer with wider range of molecular mass could be produced by controlling the purity of lactide and synthesis conditions without adding chain coupling agent. Due to these facts, the ROP method is applied by some leader PLA producers like Cargill Dow (Minnetonka, MN, USA) and Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) [16].

To achieve controlled molecular mass during the ring-opening polymerization of the lactide, catalyst needs to be used. The catalyst type depends on the monomer and conditions of reactions. Different types of metal catalysts such as tin(II)2-ethylhexanoate or stannous octoate (Sn(Oct)2) have been widely used as with application of these catalysts high reaction rates and high molecular mass can be realized. The types of possible catalysts have been studied by many occasions [17, 18].

Over the catalyst, the other key factor is the applied thermal condition. As the total polymerization process can be divided for main steps like dehydration, polymerization, degradation (lactide production) and ROP polymerization—each step has optimal temperature ranges that can guarantee the most effective result. However, the thermal circumstances depend on many factors like stereochemical purity of monomers, ratio of L, D isomers or water content and chemical ingredients of raw material. From the other hands, the requirements against the polymer, as well the expected efficiency of production can also impact on the process and its circumstances. The effect and importance of thermal condition of polymerization processes have been widely studied [19–22].

**Experimental**

**Materials**

During the experiments pure (general purity > 90%; stereochemical purity of materials >99%) L-lactic acid was used. The raw material was purchased from Musashino Chemical Laboratory Ltd. During the ring-opening-polymerization
process stannous octoate (C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{30}O\textsubscript{4}Sn) as catalyst, for plasticizing, glycerol-dioleate (C\textsubscript{32}H\textsubscript{72}O\textsubscript{5}, 536 g mol\textsuperscript{-1}) as plasticizer was used. Both materials were provided by the University of Miskolc. Regarding the plasticization experiment, previously prepared sample was used that was produced by standard polycondensation method.

**Methods**

During the ring-opening-polymerisation processes, HEIDOLPH Laborota 400 efficient with joined Vacuubrand ME 1C type vacuum pump was used. To achieve higher temperature level (180–220 °C), this complex equipment was combined with 1260 VELP Arex-6 Digital. All tools and equipment were provided by KISANALITIKA Kft.

The ROP process has been divided for the mentioned dehydration, polymerization, depolymerization and lactide polymerization phases. In case of firstly carried-out dehydration, 100 mL pure lactic acid was added to the reactor flask. To remove the water content of polylactic acid solution moderated temperature was chosen to use to minimize the vapourisation loss of monomers.

\[ T_{\text{dehydration}} < T_{\text{boiling point of lactic acid}} \text{ (°C)} \]

The standard polymerization (polycondensation) phase was performed on various temperatures with various process times. The reaction mixture was stirred continuously (Fig. 3).

After an appropriate time, the temperature was gradually increased to higher temperature in order of formation of lactides and oligomers as products of depolymerisation process. The process temperature was chosen and kept under the critical decomposition temperature.

\[ T_{\text{depolymerisation}} < T_{\text{decomposition}} \text{ (°C)} \]

The formatted lactides were continuously removed and collected (Fig. 4). Then, the produced lactides were dried on 40 °C at 2 h.

In the last step, the dried lactides and the proper amount of catalyst were added to a reaction flask then the whole system (with continuous stirring) was heated up to 140 °C for various time (Fig. 5), then the PLLA was dissolved in chloroform and precipitated by methanol then filtrated and dried. All ring-opening polymerization related parameters are shown in Table 1.

![Fig. 3 HEIDOLPH Laborota 400 efficient with Vacuubrand ME 1C type vacuum pump and 1260 VELP Arex-6 Digital stirrer](image1)

![Fig. 4 Dried lactides produced by depolymerisation](image2)

![Fig. 5 Final step: ROP process](image3)
In case of plasticization experiment, previously prepared PLLA (produced by polycondensation method) samples was used 6 g polymer and glycerol-dioleate as plasticizer with dosage of 2 mass% (0.12 g) was added to a 250 mL rounded reaction flask. Under vacuum of 100 mb and with continuous stirring, the mixture was heated up to 160 °C and held on this temperature for 1 h.

During the follow-up measurements, the synthesized polymer samples have been analyzed by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) methods. In case of FTIR analysis, BRUKER Tensor 27 was used with ATR sample holder, and 64 scans were recorded with resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. For DSC analysis, Setaram DSC131 Evo equipment was applied with dT/dt = 10 °C min⁻¹ heating/cooling rates and with 0–190 °C heating range. Continuous nitrogen purge was ensured. The samples were prepared and dosed in 30 µL aluminium pans. All the analytical equipment was provided by the Ceramics and Polymer Engineering Institute at the University of Miskolc.

The Mn and molecular mass distribution (Molecular Weight Distribution - MWD) of the polymers were measured by SEC-GPC in THF at 35 °C, 0.5 mL min⁻¹ with a Waters chromatograph (e2695 Separation Module) equipped with an In-line Degasser, four gel columns (Styragel columns: HR 0.5, HR 1, HR 2, HR 4), and Waters 2414 Differential Refractometer detector. The Mn, Mw/Mn values of the polymers were calculated from their chromatograms on the basis of a polystyrene calibration. The measurements were done by Department of Applied Chemistry at University of Debrecen.

### Results and discussion

#### Structure of materials determination by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy

The basic structure of produced samples was analyzed and identified by FTIR method. The molecular structure of PLLA sample that was produced ROP shown in Fig. 6/1, as the structure of plasticized PLLA shown in Fig. 6/2.

The resulted spectra of the measurements were analyzed and evaluated. According to the experienced equalities of results of measured samples and library standards [23] all analyzed polymers were found as polylactic acid. Both samples have been identified as PLA.

#### Molar mass determination by gel permeation chromatography

The GPC measurement resulted in Mw 6 × 10³ g mol⁻¹ molar mass for reference PLLA (which was used for plasticization experiment) that was previously produced by polycondensation method with molar mass distribution (Molecular Weight Distribution—MWD) of 1.51. Regarding the polymer sample which was produced by ROP technique, the Mw was found 3.8 × 10³ g mol⁻¹ with MWD 1.31. The volume of catalyst was higher than 1 mass% which is adversely affected the molar mass. The ratio of lactide/catalyst is one of key factors of successful ROP process to produce PLA with high molar mass. Kricheldorf and Weidner in a recent work investigated the effect of purity and ratio of lactide/catalyst and found that

| Process phase | Time/h | Temperature/°C | Pressure/mbar |
|---------------|--------|----------------|--------------|
| Dehydration   | 0.5/1  | 80/120         | 100          |
| Polymerisation| 2–6    | 160            | 100          |
| Depolymerisation | 12–18  | 180–210        | 100          |
| ROP           | 4–8    | 140            | 100          |

![Table 1 Various parameters of ROP method](image-url)
the application of neat stannous octoate resulting cyclic polylactides and not linear chains as assumed in previous decades. In addition, that was also observed at lower catalyser ratio higher molar mass could be achieved as well as higher catalyser rate causing lower molar mass [24]. In case of ROP produced PLLA sample this effect dominated that caused the measured low molar mass.

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

Three samples were analyzed by DSC such as ROP produced PLLA, polycondensation produced PLLA and plasticized polycondensation produced PLLA. In case of sample which was produced by ROP method, the Tg was found at 34.6 °C (Fig. 7/1) which is under the 55–63 °C literary Tg range of PLLA [25–27]. Although the Mw of this sample was relatively low but the molecular chains were long enough to be crystallized during heating up of sample. This exothermal process was started at 76.4 °C and ended by onset temperature of decrystallization (melting) at 110.3 °C. The Tm highly depends on molar mass and ratio of crystal content. For example, in case of Mw = 1.27 × 10^5 g mol⁻¹, the Tm was found at 175.5 °C for linear PLLA according to publication of Michalski and Lapienis [28]. Regarding the DSC curves of polycondensation produced reference sample with Tg 48.8 °C (Fig. 7/2) and its plasticized alternative with Tg 41.9 °C (Fig. 7/3), the 7 °C as decrease in Tg is obviously indicating that the plasticizer additive is compatible with the PLLA. The experienced drop of Tg is the direct consequence of plasticizer addition, as the plasticizer—getting into the space between the polymer chains—facilitates segmental motion of the polymer chains at lower temperature.

Although the molar mass of this material is low, but the plasticization of the material could be observed and validated by the measured drop of Tg. In case of both—reference and its plasticized alternative—materials, the absence of crystallization phases was caused by the slow cooling process of production because the thermal circumstances were appropriate for molecular chains to forming polymer crystals.

Based on the data of DSC measurements (Table 2) and the literature data of 100% crystalline PLA (the heat of melting is 93 J g⁻¹) and with following the equation [29] below the crystallinity of samples could be calculated (1) and determined.

\[
K_g = \frac{\Delta H_m}{\Delta H_c} \times 100
\]

(1)

\[
K_i(\%) = \frac{H_m - H_c}{H_{lit}} \times 100
\]

(2)

Initial crystallinity (2) could be calculated only in case of ROP-produced PLLA as this material could be crystallized during the heating. The ratio of initial crystallinity was calculated based on heats of crystallization and melting processes and found value as 6.3%.

**Table 2** Glass transition temperature, heat of crystallization, heat of melting and crystallinity of samples

| Material description     | Glass transition temperature/°C | Heat of crystallization/J g⁻¹ | Heat of melting/J g⁻¹ | Crystallinity/\% |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| PLLA produced by ROP     | 34.6                            | −19.79                       | 25.63                | 27.6              |
| PLLA reference material  | 48.8                            | −                            | 40.38                | 43.4              |
| PLLA plasticized         | 41.9                            | −                            | 34.46                | 31.8              |
The ring-opening polymerization process of poly(L-lactic) acid is a much more time-efficient polymerization method compared to the standard polycondensation. Although the ROP is more difficult process as it based on main steps such as dehydration/polymerization/depolymerization/ring-opening polymerization, this method could be resulted in higher molar mass than in case of polycondensation. During the polymerization type of the applied process temperatures and the type of the catalysts are key factors, defining the rate and efficiency of production. The temperatures of different phases can be optionally defined and adjusted. Over the obvious requirements of raw material and its properties (like melting, boiling and degradation temperatures) the process temperatures are mostly defined by experimental or production sized equipment and its abilities.

In case of lowering the temperature, the total time needs of process are getting higher for all steps. Although the application of higher temperature making the whole process faster, but it is also endangering the entire polymerization. This problem is significant mainly at the lactide production phase, because if the temperature is running over the upper limit it may cause the material’s partial or total degradation. The molar mass of sample was determined by GPC method. The resulted low molar mass is the direct consequence of type and relative high ratio of applied catalysts.

Over the ROP polymerization, in the second part of this study, the plasticization of PLLA was also investigated. Glycerol-dioleate as plasticizer was used plasticizing the previously prepared PLLA material. Based on the DSC analysis and the result as 7 °C of Tg drop, it was evaluated and verified the glycerol-dioleate is a compatible, i.e. primary plasticizer for PLLA.
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