Mucinous cystadenomas of urachus: A case report and literature review
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Abstract

Urachal epithelial neoplasms are rare tumors that arise from the vestiges of the urachus. Mucinous cystadenomas are considered as a benign glandular tumors of the urachus. Cystadenomas are commonly found in the ovary, appendix, and pancreas. Mucinous cystadenomas of the urachus are extremely rare in the urachus, and only nine cases reported so far. We reported the 10th case of Mucinous cystadenomas of the urachus detected incidentally at the time of diagnostic laparoscopy for investigation of genitourinary tuberculosis.

Keywords: Cystic neoplasm, mucinous cystadenomas, urachus

INTRODUCTION

Mucinous cystadenomas are benign cystic neoplasms filled with mucin and lined by well-differentiated columnar mucinous epithelium. References

Urachus usually obliterates to a fibrous band, known as the median umbilical ligament before birth. However, incomplete closure may lead to various pathologies such as cysts, diverticulum, fistula, infections, and neoplasms. References
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- malignant cytologic features with microinvasion or frank invasion.\(^{[9]}\) We attempt to share a case of mucinous cystadenoma from the aspects of clinical presentation, operative findings, and histopathology because of its rare entity, aggressive behavior to develop into pseudomyxoma peritonei, and the possibility of malignant transformation.

**CASE DETAILS**

A 27-year-old female presented to the surgical outpatient department with chief complaints of lower abdominal pain and vomiting for 7–8 days. She also reported a history of diarrhea a few days back. She had malaise, weakness, evening rise of temperature, and weight loss. On physical examination, the abdomen was distended but soft and not tender. Her vitals were stable. Her respiratory and cardiovascular system examinations were unremarkable. Her menstrual cycles were regular. Ultrasonography of the abdomen and contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan were suggestive of abdominal tuberculosis predominantly genitourinary tuberculosis. Laboratory examination showed hemoglobin of 8 g/dl, a white blood cell count of 12,370/µL, platelet count of 455,000/µL of blood, and normal renal and liver profile. She was not diabetic and hypertensive. Her viral serology for the human immunodeficiency virus was nonreactive.

A diagnostic laparoscopy revealed a frozen pelvis and incidentally found a well-defined rounded swelling in the suprapubic region in the line of median umbilical ligament [Figure 1]. An excisional biopsy demonstrated a well-circumscribed grayish multilocular cystic lesion filled with gelatinous material measuring 2.5 cm × 2.5 cm × 2 cm [Figure 2a]. Histologically, sections revealed a cystic nodule lined by mucin-secreting columnar epithelium with basal nuclei, abundant apical mucin, and focal pseudostratification, but no nuclear atypia was seen [Figure 2b]. The cystic wall was composed of fibrocollagenous, muscular wall, and adipose tissue, and the lumen contained proteinaceous and myxoid materials with calcification. A diagnosis of urachus mucinous cystadenoma was confirmed. The postoperative course was unpretentious, and the patient was discharged on the 4th postoperative day. The patient is in follow-up without any complaints.

**DISCUSSION**

Urachal masses are relatively uncommon in clinical practice and remain undiagnosed for an extended period because of their obscured location. These lesions are often identified as an incidental finding or at an advanced stage of malignancy. The differential diagnosis includes both neoplastic and nonneoplastic conditions. Mucinous cystadenomas represent a small percentage of urachal mucinous cystic neoplasms with a possibility of malignant transformation.\(^{[5,9]}\) Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics, clinical presentations, and histopathologic features of mucinous cystadenomas in order to differentiate them from a MCTLMP and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma.

Urachal remnants may persist in approximately 32% of adults.\(^{[16]}\) Although the origin and pathophysiology of urachal tumors are not fully understood; it is believed that the malignant transformation of the columnar or glandular metaplastic epithelium of the urachus results in urachal carcinoma.\(^{[17]}\) Prophylactic excision of benign urachal lesions has been recommended because the presence of urachal remnant predisposes to malignancy.\(^{[7]}\)

Urachal mucinous cystadenoma is a rarely reported neoplasm and can be presented as lower abdominal mass,\(^{[7,9]}\) lower abdominal pain,\(^{[9,10]}\) urinary frequency,\(^{[9]}\) and sometimes hematuria.\(^{[9]}\) They have the potential to rupture and develop into pseudomyxoma peritonei.\(^{[9]}\) Histology described in this case report confirms the diagnosis of mucinous cystadenoma that lacked the evidence of nuclear atypia and invasion. To our knowledge, this is the 10th reported case of urachal mucinous cystadenoma in the English literature.

**CONCLUSION**

Although rare, urachal mucinous cystadenomas should be included in the differential diagnosis of suprapubic lower abdominal mass. The patient should be followed clinically after excision for the evidence of local recurrence.
### Table 1: Clinicopathologic characteristics of urachal mucinous cystadenoma

| References | Age | Sex | Presentation | Gross | Microscopic | Pathology | Diagnosis |
|------------|-----|-----|--------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Hull and Warfel | 32 | Male | Incidental finding | 14 cm, multilocular | Columnar cells with focal cellular and nuclear stratification; nuclei had pleomorphic contours | Urachal cystadenoma |
| Schell et al. | 70 | Female | Lower abdominal mass | 15.5 cm, multilocular | Glandular epithelium that lacked pseudostratification and villous areas; no foci of dysplasia | Mucinous cystadenoma of uncertain malignant potential |
| Saha et al. | 60 | Female | Increased frequency of urinary symptoms | 3 cm, unilocular | Glandular epithelium that lacked pseudostratification and villous area; no foci of dysplasia | Mucinous cystadenoma |
| Amin et al. | 59 | Male | Abdominal pain | 7 cm, NA | Single layer of mucinous columnar epithelium with no atypia | Mucinous cystadenoma |
| Amin et al. | 33 | Male | Cyst rupture and abdominal pain | 13 cm, NA | Single layer of mucinous columnar epithelium with no atypia | Mucinous cystadenoma |
| Amin et al. | 24 | Female | Microhematuria | 1.5 cm, NA | Single layer of mucinous columnar epithelium with no atypia | Mucinous cystadenoma |
| Amin et al. | 42 | Female | NA | 5 cm, NA | Single layer of mucinous columnar epithelium with no atypia | Mucinous cystadenoma |
| Gupta et al. | 15 | Female | Lower abdominal pain | 4.5 cm, unilocular | Mucinous epithelium lining a cyst; no invasion | Low-grade mucinous neoplasm with uncertain malignant potential |
| Wang et al. | 56 | Male | Incidental finding | 7.5 cm, multilocular | Single layer of flat epithelium or tall columnar cells with abundant apical mucin and focal pseudostratification; no nuclear atypia | Mucinous cystadenoma |
| Present case | 27 | Female | Incidental finding | 2.5 cm, multilocular | Pseudostratified mucin-secreting columnar epithelium with no significant nuclear atypia | Mucinous cystadenoma |

NA: Not available

### Figure 2: (a) Well-circumscribed grayish multilocular cystic lesion filled with gelatinous material. (b) Mucin-secreting columnar epithelium with basal nuclei, abundant apical mucin, and pseudostratification
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