A New Energetic Complex: Synthesis, Structure, and Combustion Catalysis Performance
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The potential of tetrazole-based ligands for forming energetic complexes applied in pyrotechnics and propellants is demonstrated with the use of 5,5’-(1,3-phenylene)bis(1H-tetrazole) (H2BTB) to generate an energetic complex with high energy. A new energetic complex of PbII-tetrazolate, [Pb2(BTB)2(H2O)8]·5H2O (1), was synthesized and structurally characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Structural analysis reveals that the central PbII ions present two distinct coordination environments in the asymmetric unit, including distorted pentagonal bipyramid and distorted tetragonal pyramid geometries, respectively. To identify potential combustion catalyst as additives in propellants, we employed differential scanning calorimetry technique to evaluate the influence of the complex on thermal decomposition of ammonium perchlorate (AP) and cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine (RDX). The kinetic parameters of an exothermic process for the catalytic system of RDX+1 were investigated by the Kissinger’s and Ozawa-Doyle’s methods. For both AP and RDX, the decomposition temperature decreased obviously in the presence of 1, and the released heat could effectively compensate the propellants. Our observations demonstrate that 1 shows good catalytic activity toward the thermal decomposition of AP and RDX.
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Introduction

The design and preparation of energetic materials that combine high performance and low sensitivity have been widely studied for military and civilian applications over the last decades.1,2 Nitrogen-rich heterocycles, featuring high nitrogen content and heats of formation, can generally derive energy from ring or cage strain and the oxidation of their carbon backbone to mainly yield environmentally friendly N2 molecules as end-product of propulsion or explosion.3-6 Given the generation of gasses, these derivatives show potential applications as promising materials in explosives, solid propellants, and other thermal decomposition and combustible systems.7-10 5,5’-(1,3-Phenylene)bis(1H-tetrazole) (H2BTB), not only exhibits a high nitrogen content of 52.3% but also contains rich electron pairs, which can react with some Lewis acids to generate stable salts or coordinate with metal ions to form energetic complexes.11,12 Herein, a new energetic complex, [Pb2(BTB)2(H2O)8]·5H2O (1), is prepared by a hydrothermal approach, serving as solid combustion catalyst in the thermal decomposition of cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine (RDX) and ammonium perchlorate (AP). At the same time, either AP or RDX is the representative ingredient of powerful explosives or solid propellants and commonly used as oxidizer in rocket propellants.13,14 We anticipate that the PbII-based complex 1 as additive and catalyst would not only provide active ingredient Pb or PbO at the molecular level,15-17 but also endow the catalyst system with greater heat of formation. As a result, the catalytic performances of 1 on the thermal decomposition of AP and RDX are investigated, which show that 1 can reduce the exothermic decomposition temperature and improve decomposition efficiency of AP/RDX at lower burning temperature.
Experimental

Caution

H$_2$BTB in its dehydrated form shows increasing friction and impacts sensitivity; Pb$^{II}$-based H$_2$BTB complex is energetic material. Appropriate safety precautions should be taken.

Materials and methods

All reagents were purchased commercially and used without further purification. Lead nitrate, ammonia (10%, m/m), ammonium perchlorate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Xi’an, China). Cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine was obtained from Xi’an Modern Chemistry Research Institute (Xi’an, China). H$_2$BTB was synthesized according to literature. Elemental analyses were carried out with an Elementar Vario EL III analyzer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded with pressed KBr pellets on a Tensor 27 spectrometer (Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany). Potassium bromide (> 99.5%, m/m) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Xi’an, China). Thermogravimetric (TG) measurements were performed on a Netzsch STA449C apparatus under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ from 30 to 800 °C. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) tests were measured with a thermal analyzer of PerkinElmer Pyris 6 DSC (calibrated by standard pure indium and zinc). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were measured on a Rigaku D/max-III A X-ray diffractometer with graphite monochromatized Cu Kα ($\lambda$ = 1.54056 Å).

Synthesis of [Pb$_2$(BTB)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_8$]·5H$_2$O (1)

A mixture of Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$ (0.1936 g, 0.5 mmol), H$_2$BTB (0.1071 g, 0.5 mmol), NH$_3$·H$_2$O (10%, 0.2 mL) was suspended in 5 mL H$_2$O, and heated in a 10-mL Teflon-lined stainless reactor at 130 °C for three days. After cooling to room temperature at a rate of 10 °C h$^{-1}$, colorless crystals were collected, washed with water and dried in air. Yield: 61% (based on Pb$^{II}$); IR (KBr) $\nu$ / cm$^{-1}$ 3511, 3454, 1635, 1450, 1364, 1270, 1207, 1151, 1119, 1107, 1029, 1007, 860, 751, 737, 688, 597. Anal. calcd. for C$_{16}$H$_{34}$N$_{16}$O$_{13}$Pb$_2$ (relative molecular mass (M$_r$) = 1072.97): C 17.91, N 20.89, H 3.19; found: C 18.05, N 20.78, H 3.22.

X-ray crystal structure determination

The collection of single crystal X-ray data of 1 was performed on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatized Mo Kα radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å) using θ and φ scan modes. The crystal structure of complex was solved by direct methods and refined with full-matrix least-squares refinements based on F$^2$ using SHELXTL$^{19}$ and SHELXL-97$^{20}$ programs. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms of the ligands were placed in geometrically calculated positions. Crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1, selected bond lengths are shown in Table 2, and hydrogen bonding interactions are shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement summary for 1

| Property | Value |
|----------|-------|
| Empirical formula | C$_{16}$H$_{34}$N$_{16}$O$_{13}$Pb$_2$ |
| Formula weight | 1072.97 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2$_1$/c |
| a / Å | 13.516(3) |
| b / Å | 9.901(2) |
| c / Å | 25.699(4) |
| α / degree | 90 |
| β / degree | 110.138(9) |
| γ / degree | 90 |
| V / Å$^3$ | 3228.8(11) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho$calc / (g cm$^{-3}$) | 2.207 |
| $\mu$ / mm$^{-1}$ | 10.498 |
| F(000) | 2040 |
| Reflections collected/unique | 15318/5698 |
| R(int) | 0.0650 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5698/216/424 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F$^2$ | 3.220 |
| Final R indices [I > 2σ(I)] | R$_1$ = 0.1106, wR$_2$ = 0.2127 |
| R indices (all data) | R$_1$ = 0.1452, wR$_2$ = 0.2181 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole / (e nm$^{-3}$) | 11692 and -4166 |

V: volume; $\rho$calc: calculated density; $\mu$: absorption coefficient; F(000): structure factor in the zeroth-order case; F$^2$: squared structure factor; wR$^2$: R-value for F$^2$.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths in 1

| Bond length / Å | Value |
|----------------|-------|
| Pb(1)−O(4) | 2.471(12) |
| Pb(1)−O(5) | 2.535(13) |
| Pb(1)−O(6) | 2.385(15) |
| Pb(1)−O(7) | 2.763(6) |
| Pb(1)−O(8) | 2.801(4) |
| Pb(1)−N(10) | 2.704(18) |
| Pb(2)−O(1) | 2.631(13) |
| Pb(2)−O(2) | 2.437(16) |
| Pb(2)−O(3) | 2.593(14) |
| Pb(2)−N(2) | 2.63(2) |
Table 3. Hydrogen bonding interactions in 1

| D–H–A          | D–H / Å | H–A / Å | D–A / Å | < DHA / degree |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| O(13)–H(13B)–N(15)#1 | 0.83    | 2.68    | 3.41(3) | 147.0         |
| O(13)–H(13B)–N(14)#1 | 0.83    | 1.94    | 2.76(2) | 176.2         |
| O(12)–H(12B)–O(11)#2 | 0.85    | 1.89    | 2.69(2) | 156.4         |
| O(1)–H(1B)–N(7)#3  | 0.85    | 2.08    | 2.88(3) | 156.9         |
| O(2)–H(2A)–O(3)   | 0.85    | 2.45    | 3.23(2) | 151.9         |
| O(7)–H(7B)–N(11)#4 | 0.85    | 2.70    | 3.55(3) | 176.8         |
| O(12)–H(12A)–N(5) | 0.85    | 1.98    | 2.73(2) | 146.2         |
| O(8)–H(8B)–O(3)#5 | 0.85    | 2.51    | 3.30(2) | 154.9         |
| O(12)–H(12A)–N(6) | 0.85    | 2.70    | 3.43(2) | 146.2         |
| O(6)–H(6A)–O(12)#6 | 0.85    | 1.85    | 2.70(2) | 174.9         |
| O(1)–H(1A)–N(13)#7 | 0.85    | 1.94    | 2.73(2) | 154.7         |

D: donor of hydrogen bonds; H: hydrogen atom; A: acceptor of hydrogen bonds; #1: −x + 1, −y, −z + 2; #2: x, −y + 3/2, z − 1/2; #3: −x, −y + 1, −z + 1; #4: −x + 1, y + 1/2, −z + 3/2; #5: x, −y + 1/2, z + 1/2; #6: x, y − 1, z; #7: x − 1, y, z − 1.

Results and Discussion

Crystal structure of [Pb2(BTB)2(H2O)8]·5H2O (1)

Compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic crystal system with P21/n space group, and the two different coordination environments of Pb2+ ions in 1 are depicted in Figure 1a. Single-crystal X-ray analysis reveals that it contains two unique Pb2+ (Pb1 and Pb2), two fully deprotonated BTB2− anions, eight coordinated water molecules and five lattice water molecules in the asymmetric unit. The Pb1 ion is six-coordinated by a nitrogen atom from one BTB2− ligand and five water molecules, whereas Pb2 is four-coordinated by one nitrogen atom from one tetrazolate ring and three water molecules. The coordination geometries around Pb1 and Pb2 can be described as ψ-PbNO5 distorted.

Figure 1. (a) Asymmetric unit of 1; (b) 3D hydrogen bond framework of 1 viewed along the b-axis.
pentagonal bipyramid and ψ-PbNO₃ distorted tetragonal pyramid, respectively. The average Pb(1)−N distance is 2.704(18) Å, and Pb(1)−O distances range from 2.385(15) to 2.801(4) Å (Table 2). These distances are comparable to those reported in other lead(II) complexes. For Pb2 center, the basal vertices is formed by atoms N(2), O(1), O(2) and O(3), with the bond lengths of Pb(2)−O(1) = 2.631(13) Å, Pb(2)−O(2) = 2.437(16) Å, Pb(2)−O(3) = 2.593(14) Å and Pb(2)−N(2) = 2.63(2) Å.

Thirteen water molecules (eight ligated and five lattices) are included in the asymmetric unit, therefore a variety of O−H···O and O−H···N H-bonding interactions can be found in the structure of 1. Oxygen atoms can act as hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors from water molecules, which extensively participate in stabilizing the network by H-bond interactions, as depicted in Figure 1b. Especially, the O-bond H atom in lattice water molecule is located on the O12 atom, and interacts with the free water molecule (O11) through strong H-bonds (O12···O11 2.69 Å). Other interatomic distances between the hydrogen-bond donor and acceptor range from 2.70 to 3.55 Å. Three-dimensional supramolecular framework are further constructed through H-bonds along the b-axis (Figure 1b).

It should be noted that abundant and strong intermolecular π-π stacking interactions are observed between the aromatic rings in 1. As displayed in Figure 2, the aromatic planes from different BTB²⁻ ligands are parallel to each other. The tetrazole ring C9−N9−N10−N11−N12 stacks with other two different tetrazole rings C16−N13−N16−N15−N14 and C8−N5−N6−N7−N8 to form strong off-set face-to-face π-π interactions with centroid-to-centroid distances of 3.50-3.61 Å (Cg···Cg < 3.7 Å), while relatively weak face-to-face π-π interactions are found between the benzene rings with centroid-to-centroid separation of 3.889 Å. Obviously, these supramolecular interactions benefit the stability of the energetic complex.

**Figure 2.** π-π stacking interactions observed in 1 (H atoms are omitted for clarity).
systems of AP + 1 and AP + PbO have no effects on the crystallographic transition, whereas the obvious changes can be seen in the decomposition phase. After the addition of catalyst, the two exothermic peaks of AP merge to one and the decomposition peak temperature reduces to 355 and 343 °C for the mixture systems of AP + PbO and AP + 1, respectively, indicating a fast decomposition process; the corresponding decomposition heat also changes to 893 and 2524 J g⁻¹, respectively, dramatically higher than that of pure AP. In comparison with PbO, the energetic complex 1 provides much more heat during the process of decomposition, endowing the catalyst system with more energy to promote the combustion rate of solid propellants. It is not difficult to find that large heat release and the following formation of metal and oxide at molecular level on propellant surface after the decomposition of the energetic complex may contribute to the outstanding catalytic effects.24,25

Furthermore, the catalytic effect of the complex 1 on RDX was also investigated by DSC experiments at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ with Al₂O₃ as reference. As shown in Figure 4b, a sharp endothermic peak at about 203 °C is observed in the curves of pure RDX or RDX + PbO, which can be attributed to the sublimation and melting processes of RDX. Meanwhile, a broad exothermal peak centered at 234.5 °C is found in the absence of any catalyst. After mixing 1 or PbO with RDX, the exothermic peak temperature can be shifted from 234.5 to 218.6 and 219.8 °C, respectively, extremely lower than that of pure RDX. Notably, the endothermic peak in the presence of 1 nearly disappears because of the heat compensation in the thermal-decomposition process. Similar to AP, the complex 1 shows distinct energetic performance on the RDX. The great average bond energies of C−N (273 kJ mol⁻¹), N−N (160 kJ mol⁻¹) and N=N (418 kJ mol⁻¹) of the energetic ligand H₂BTB provide larger heat of formation, resulting in an increase of heat release and further enhancement of the catalytic efficiency for AP and RDX.26-28 In addition, the active component of Pb in the complex can be converted into PbO or PbN₃,
which subsequently provides a lot of heat to accelerate the thermal-decomposition of RDX and decrease the thermal-decomposition temperature.17,21

The apparent activation energy ($E_a$) and the pre-exponential factor ($A$) are two crucial factors to study the thermokinetics of the decomposition processes of RDX, which were calculated by Kissinger’s method29 and Ozawa’s method.30,31 The exothermic peak temperatures were demonstrated based on the four DSC curves with the heating rates of 2, 5, 8, and 10 K min$^{-1}$. The apparent activation energies $E_{ak}$ (obtained by Kissinger method) and $E_{ao}$ (obtained by Ozawa method), pre-exponential factor $A$ and linear correlation coefficients $R_k$ (based on Kissinger method) and $R_0$ (based on Ozawa method) are listed in Table 4. The Kissinger (equation 1) and Ozawa-Doyle (equation 2) equations are as follows:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{T_p^2} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{AR}{E_a} \right) - \frac{E_a}{R T_p} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\log \beta + \frac{0.4567E_{ao}}{RT_p} = C \tag{2}
\]

where $T_p$ is the peak temperature; $R$ is the gas constant, 8.314 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$; $\beta$ is the linear heating rate; and $C$ is a constant. By the above calculations, the calculated value of $E_a$ (the average of $E_{ak}$ and $E_{ao}$) of the mixture system of RDX + 1 is 97.78 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Compared with the pure RDX,32 the apparent activation energy of the system decreases by 39 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Accordingly, the $\ln A$ for the system (17.889) is higher than that of the pure RDX ($\ln A = 13.68$),32 which implies a faster thermal decomposition rate. Based on the above calculated results, the Arrhenius equations can be expressed as follows: $\ln k = 17.889 - 97.78 \times 10^3 / (RT)$ for the exothermic process, where $k$ is the thermal decomposition rate constant, which can be used to estimate the rate constant of the initial thermal decomposition process of the mixture system of RDX + 1 and predict the thermal decomposition mechanisms.

Conclusions

In summary, a new Pb$^{II}$-based energetic complex [Pb$_2$(BTB)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_8$]·5H$_2$O (1) was constructed by Pb$^{II}$ ions and nitrogen-rich ligand 5,5’-(1,3-phenylene) bis(1H-tetrazole) (H$_2$BTB). The Pb$^{II}$ ion locates in a $\psi$-PbNO$^5$ distorted pentagonal bipyramid geometry, while the coordination configuration of Pb$^{II}$ can be described as a $\psi$-PbNO$^4$ distorted tetragonal pyramid. DSC results showed that the 1 significantly accelerated the decomposition of AP with the decomposition temperature reducing from 442 to 343 °C and the decomposition heat increasing from 1522 to 2524 J g$^{-1}$, which was vastly superior to PbO catalyst. The outstanding catalytic activity of the energetic complex 1 can also be seen in the catalytic decomposition of RDX. Non-isothermal kinetics analysis reveals that the apparent activation energy of the mixture system of RDX + 1 is 97.78 kJ mol$^{-1}$, which is obviously less than pure RDX by 39 kJ mol$^{-1}$. The results above show that the complex would be exampled as high energy and excellent combustion catalyst in solid propellant field.

Supplementary Information

Checkcif report of the complex 1 is available free of charge at http://jcbs.sbq.org.br as a PDF file.
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