Microbiome of a Reef-Building Coral Displays Signs of Acclimation to a Stressful Shallow Hydrothermal Vent Habitat
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Most tropical reef corals live at temperatures near 27°C and pH values near 8. Conditions outside of these can stress corals and lead to bleaching, disease, and death. However, some corals can survive in marginal or extreme habitats outside of these ranges. To date there is a paucity of knowledge about the role that associated microbes may play in the acclimation of corals to such extreme habitats. Here, we explore differences in the compositional and functional profile of the microbiomes of the scleractinian coral Porites panamensis living both on and off potentially stressful shallow-water hydrothermal vents. The environment near the vents is extreme, with temperatures exceeding 80°C and pH values below 6. Coral microbiomes under stress often exhibit increased diversity, increased abundance of pathogenic bacteria, and functional profiles that shift toward pathways associated with pathogenic taxa. Samples from along a transect that crossed an arc of hydrothermal vents were sequenced for the 16S rRNA gene (V4 region). On-vent coral microbiomes were distinct from those of off-vent corals, but did not have increased alpha or beta diversity. On-vent samples had a higher relative abundance of the beneficial endosymbiont, Endozoicomonas. On- and off-vent microbiomes did not differ in overall abundance of the endolithic green alga Ostreobium, however, a single ASV, close to O. quekettii was more abundant in on-vent corals. Ostreobium can provide many of the same benefits to corals as zooxanthellae and their symbiosis is better maintained under thermal stress. Surprisingly, on-vent coral microbiomes had fewer microbial taxa that are known to be pathogenic or associated with stress than did off-vent corals. The predicted functional profiles of on-vent microbiomes revealed enrichment of pathways related to aerobic respiration, fermentation and amino acid biosynthesis, but not of virulence-related pathways. Our results suggest that P. panamensis microbiomes have acclimated to the extreme environment of the hydrothermal vent habitat rather than showing signs of stress. These results exemplify the need to focus efforts on examining the mechanisms of resilience, including symbioses with microbiota, in corals living in extreme environments in an effort to design better management strategies for reef-building corals under thermal and pH stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Reef-building corals are declining globally in response to ocean acidification and thermal stresses associated with rapidly changing seas (Gardner et al., 2003; Carpenter et al., 2008; Toth et al., 2019). Temperature, pH, nutrient levels and light availability all vary over the broad ranges of many coral species, suggesting that historically these species have been able to adapt to differences in their abiotic environments. A diverse community of corals has been uncovered thriving in waters with a naturally low pH (~7.85–7.95) approaching that predicted for ocean waters in 2100 (Shamberger et al., 2014). Several acroporoids have acclimated to warm tidepools (max. temp. ~35°C) and show potential for long-term adaptation to even more extreme heat stress (Palumbi et al., 2014; Matz et al., 2018). Corals from more challenging and variable habitats generally have higher phenotypic plasticity and can withstand more stress than others (Oliver and Palumbi, 2011; Barshis et al., 2013; Putnam et al., 2016). However, it is not always clear how much of these adaptive responses are owed to the coral host and how much falls to the many coral-associated symbionts (Bourne et al., 2016; van Oppen et al., 2018).

Corals live in a close association with a diverse community of bacteria, archaea, fungi and algae that together with their host constitute the coral holobiont. These microbial symbionts are thought to benefit their host by cycling nutrients (Rohwer et al., 2002) and providing energy (Brown, 1997; Grottoli et al., 2006) and protection from pathogens (Ritchie, 2006). These roles have been best studied for symbiotic microalgae (zooxanthellae). Corals that host heat-tolerant strains of zooxanthellae are better able to survive thermal stress (Palumbi et al., 2014; Matz et al., 2018). Some reef-building corals can shuffle less heat-tolerate zooxanthellae types for those that are more heat-tolerant, increasing their ability to survive high temperatures (Baker, 2003; Berkelmans and Van Oppen, 2006).

The role of the prokaryotic members (the microbiome) of the holobiont are not as well known, but corals exposed to experimental stressors experience shifts in the composition of their microbiomes (Thurber et al., 2009; Webster et al., 2016; Grottoli et al., 2018). Coral microbiomes living in thermally variable habitats show signs of microbiome acclimation to high temperatures, with shifts in the microbiome occurring in as little as 20 h after exposure to thermal stress (Ziegler et al., 2017). However, there is still a paucity of knowledge about the composition and functional role of the microbiomes of corals that are surviving in marginal or extreme habitats.

Shallow hydrothermal vents offer an opportunity to examine the role of microbial symbionts in host acclimation to extreme and natural stressful conditions. Environmental conditions around these vents resemble those predicted for ocean waters due to climate change, including high temperatures, low pH (due to high CO₂ and CH₄), and high concentrations of toxic compounds and nutrients (Bianchi et al., 2012; Dahms et al., 2018; Oprandi et al., 2019). The microbial communities associated with sediments from shallow hydrothermal vents are generally dominated by chemoautotrophic bacteria, especially archaea, and are enriched for sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (Kalanetra et al., 2004; Maugeri et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015). Composition patterns for the microbial symbionts near- and off-vents are not so clear, although variation in sponge microbiomes appears to be tied to the chemistry of seeps (Coelho et al., 2018). Results for coral microbiomes have been mixed. Meron et al. (2015) found distinct microbiomes from corals near vents largely attributed to a decrease in the endosymbiont Endozoicomonas coupled with an increase in Chromohalobacter salexigens, a symbiont capable of hydrogen sulfide production and anaerobic growth in the presence of nitrate. Members of Ostreobium, an endolithic microalgae, are heat-tolerant and their presence in the coral skeletal microbiome may ease acclimation of corals to thermal stress by replacing some functions usually provided by the less heat-tolerant zooxanthellae (Fine and Loya, 2002; Del Campo et al., 2017). Overall, the available data for microbiomes of vent-associated corals remains limited despite the insights it could provide about the resilience of corals under climate change conditions.

The hydrothermal vents of Bahía Concepción, Baja California Sur, Mexico, offer an accessible habitat in which to examine changes to microbial communities associated with corals in a natural extreme habitat. These submarine hydrothermal vents occur in shallow waters, some <10 m deep. The water discharged from the vents is acidic (pH = 5.95–6.02) and surrounding sediments rise to 89°C (Prol-Ledesma et al., 2004). Gas released by the vents is >40% CO₂ (Prol-Ledesma et al., 2004; Forrest et al., 2005; Dávila-Ramos et al., 2015), over 40 times that of most sea water (Wingenter et al., 2007). Elevated pCO₂ hinders calcification and can ultimately lead to reef systems devoid of scleractinians (Hall- Spencer et al., 2008; Milazzo et al., 2015; Camp et al., 2020).

Despite the extreme conditions surrounding the thermal vents in Bahía Concepción, colonies of the reef-building coral Porites panamensis live within 1 m of the vents, where they experience the combined effects of thermal and pH stress (Oporto-Guerrero et al., 2018). P. panamensis produces brooding larvae with limited dispersal potential (Glynn et al., 1994), resulting in modest subdivision of populations within the Gulf of California (Paz- García et al., 2012; Saavedra-Sotelo et al., 2013). The microbiomes of a congener, P. lobata, showed mixed responses to bleaching and temperature stress depending on location (Hadaidi et al., 2017; Rachmawati, 2018; McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2019). A better understanding of the composition and function of the microbial communities in P. panamensis colonies living near the vents...
Supplementary Table 1 (see Figure 1) water and sediment samples collected, the substrate temperature located 1 m or less from the transect line. For all coral, $\theta_r$ ranged from 27 to 66 ◦. Sampled coral colonies were collected along the transect via SCUBA (Figure 2ñ5 m. The sediment at each sampled vent ranged from 27 to 66°C, while the average temperature of surrounding sediments was 20°C. Sampled coral colonies were located 1 m or less from the transect line. For all coral, water and sediment samples collected, the substrate temperature was taken at the corresponding location along the transect (see Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Because only a limited number of coral colonies occurred within a meter of the transect, we divided our samples into two groups that, based on substrate temperature, should represent the extremes in vent water influence: samples collected where measured substrate temperatures on the transect were >25°C ("on-vent") and those collected where substrate temperatures were ≤25°C ("off-vent"). The 25°C line is of no absolute importance – all colonies experience temperatures >30°C in the summer – only as an indicator of relative influence of vent water, which is not only warmer, but more acidic and CO$_2$-rich than off-vent waters.

On- and off-vent coral samples ($n = 8$ and $n = 6$, respectively) were collected along the transect via SCUBA (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Samples were taken from $P$. panamensis colonies (~15–20 cm diameter) using a hammer and chisel and placed into a labeled bag. Upon return to the surface, each sample was placed in a sterile tube filled with 95% EtOH and stored at −20°C. Sediment samples were collected using a small shovel and placed in a labeled bag at depth. Upon return to the surface all excess water was drained off, the sample was put in a sterile Whirl-PaK® bag and stored on ice. Water samples were collected in a bleach-rinsed, 100% EtOH sterilized 1-L Nalgene® bottle at depth. At the surface, the water was filtered through a 2 μm glass microfiber prefilter to remove large particles, and then through a 0.22 μm Sterivex filter to collect microbes. Both filters were placed in sterile Whirl-PaK® bags and stored on ice. All sediment and water samples were placed in −80°C for long-term storage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample Collection**

Samples were collected from the shallow rocky reefs of La Ventila, Bahía Concepción, Baja California Sur, Mexico (26°41’14.00”N, 111°51’35.30”W) in February 2018, when ambient water temperatures are near their seasonal low. A 50-meter transect that crossed an arc of shallow hydrothermal vents was deployed at a depth of ~4–5 m. The sediment at each sampled vent ranged from 27 to 66°C, while the average temperature of surrounding sediments was 20°C. Sampled coral colonies were located 1 m or less from the transect line. For all coral, water and sediment samples collected, the substrate temperature was taken at the corresponding location along the transect (see Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Because only a limited number of coral colonies occurred within a meter of the transect, we divided our samples into two groups that, based on substrate temperature, should represent the extremes in vent water influence: samples collected where measured substrate temperatures on the transect were >25°C ("on-vent") and those collected where substrate temperatures were ≤25°C ("off-vent"). The 25°C line is of no absolute importance – all colonies experience temperatures >30°C in the summer – only as an indicator of relative influence of vent water, which is not only warmer, but more acidic and CO$_2$-rich than off-vent waters.

On- and off-vent coral samples ($n = 8$ and $n = 6$, respectively) were collected along the transect via SCUBA (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Samples were taken from $P$. panamensis colonies (~15–20 cm diameter) using a hammer and chisel and placed into a labeled bag. Upon return to the surface, each sample was placed in a sterile tube filled with 95% EtOH and stored at −20°C. Sediment samples were collected using a small shovel and placed in a labeled bag at depth. Upon return to the surface all excess water was drained off, the sample was put in a sterile Whirl-PaK® bag and stored on ice. Water samples were collected in a bleach-rinsed, 100% EtOH sterilized 1-L Nalgene® bottle at depth. At the surface, the water was filtered through a 2 μm glass microfiber prefilter to remove large particles, and then through a 0.22 μm Sterivex filter to collect microbes. Both filters were placed in sterile Whirl-PaK® bags and stored on ice. All sediment and water samples were placed in −80°C for long-term storage.

**DNA Extraction**

Microbial DNA was extracted from all coral and abiotic samples using the Qiagen DNAEasy PowerSoil kit. Sediment, water, coral and blank samples were extracted following manufacturer protocols. Prior to extraction, coral samples were drained of excess ethanol and rinsed 3× with sterile H$_2$O. Each rinsed coral sample was ground up using a sterilized polypropylene pellet pestle. The resulting coral sample was extracted following the protocol of Sunagawa et al. (2010) with two modifications: the initial heated lysis step was extended from 60 min to ~2–4 h, and an additional centrifugation step was added post-lysis to concentrate coral skeletal fragments for removal. DNA concentrations were quantified using a Qubit2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States) and stored at −20°C.

**Amplicon Library Preparation and Sequencing**

DNA samples were sent to the Environmental Sample Preparation and Sequencing Facility (ESPSF) at Argonne National Laboratory for amplicon library preparation and sequencing. Briefly, amplicon libraries were generated using a barcoded primer set adapted for the Illumina HiSeq2000 that targeted the 16S rRNA V4 region and modified to reduce sequencing bias of archaeal taxa and SAR11 bacteria (515F-806R; Caporaso et al., 2012; Apprill et al., 2015; Parada et al., 2016). The primers can also amplify coral DNA decreasing bacterial amplicon counts, so to mitigate this issue we utilized the peptide nucleic acid (PNA) clamps and PCR protocols developed and tested in Reigel et al. (2020).

After PCR, the resulting amplicons were quantified, and products were pooled to ensure amplicons were represented in equimolar amounts. The pool was cleaned using AMPure XP Beads (Beckman Coulter) and quantified using a Qubit. The pool was then diluted to 2 nM, denatured and diluted again to 6.75 pM with a 10% PhiX spike for sequencing. Sequence data was generated using a 2 × 251 Illumina HiSeq2000. FASTQ files for $P$. panamensis are accessioned at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject # PRJNA761929.

**Sequence Processing and Inference of Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs)**

Demultiplexed FASTQ files for all coral and abiotic samples were imported to QIIME2 for processing using a modified version of the "Atacama soil microbiome tutorial" bioinformatics pipeline at qime2.org (Bolyen et al., 2019). Quality control (QC) and ASV clustering were both completed using the QIIME2 wrapper of the "DADA2" package (Callahan et al., 2016, 2017; Glassman and Martiny, 2018; Joos et al., 2020) “denoise-paired” method, which removes low quality (expected error rate >2.0) and chimeric reads (method: “consensus”) and truncates reads. The number of base pairs to truncate from the 3’ end of each read was determined by assessing the interactive quality plot (output by QIIME2) for the point at which read quality consistently drops below 20 (as suggested by QIIME2 developers). Forward reads were truncated to 240 bp and reverse reads to 215 bp. Reads were joined and
FIGURE 1 | Temperature (red = warmer, blue = cooler) of the reef substrate along the 50 m collection transect. Circles indicate the sediment temperature nearest a sampled on-vent (red) or off-vent (blue) *Porites panamensis* colony (see Supplementary Table 1).

Despite our stringent filtering, ASVs belonging to a genus of green algae, *Ostreobium*, were not removed. This was a result of an incorrect taxonomic classification of *Ostreobium* as a cyanobacterium in the Silva database. The identity of all 47 ASVs in our dataset that were designated as *Ostreobium* by the Silva database were subsequently confirmed by checking their associated sequence reads against the NCBI nucleotide database. All 47 ASVs had matches (>96% identity) to at least one of three NCBI database entries: *Ostreobium* sp. HV05042 (NCBI ID: KY509341.1), uncultured *Ostreobium* spp. (NCBI ID: MG011659.1) or *Ostreobium quekettii* (LT593849.1).

While designed to target bacterial and archaeal DNA, 16S “microbial” primer sets can inadvertently amplify homologs from the mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA of eukaryotes (Hanshew et al., 2013; Reigel et al., 2020). Critically, Marcelino and Verbruggen (2016) found comparable relative abundances of *Ostreobium* in coral skeletal samples amplified with 16S rRNA
primers (one of them the same set as used here) and the tufA gene, a marker commonly used for green algae, suggesting that 16S markers are reliable for amplifying and obtaining accurate relative abundance data for Ostreobium spp. Their 16S rRNA primers did not capture as much Ostreobium diversity as the tufA primers, so our data may underestimate the Ostreobium diversity in P. panamensis microbiomes. However, because there are multiple chloroplasts per Ostreobium cell, the Ostreobium abundance in our dataset are likely inflated compared to that of bacterial and archaeal taxa (hereafter referred to as microbial taxa). Therefore, we chose to perform all diversity analyses for Ostreobium reads independently of those for bacteria and archaea.

Prior to beta diversity analyses for both microbial taxa and Ostreobium, all samples were rarefied in QIIME2 by removing samples below the desired read count and then resampling the remaining reads without replacement. The final ASV non-rarefied and rarefied datasets and accompanying taxonomic information were exported from QIIME2 for analysis of community composition.

**Community Analyses**

The microbial and Ostreobium ASV abundance tables and taxonomic information were manually formatted for community diversity analyses in the R package “Phyloseq” (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). Alpha diversity (Chao1, Simpson’s Diversity Index, Shannon’s Index) was calculated using the Phyloseq command `estimaterichness`. Beta diversity of the microbiomes were examined with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and ordinated using NMDS. NMDS plots were generated using “ggplot2” (Wickham, 2016). Differences ($p < 0.001$) between microbiomes of various sampling groups ($0.25 < R < 1$ suggests dissimilarity between groups) were calculated using the anosim function from the R package “vegan” (Oksanen et al., 2013). Variance in microbial beta diversity within site types was assessed using a homogeneity of dispersion test (permdist) with the QIIME2 command `diversity beta-group-significance` with 1000 permutations (Anderson, 2001). The Phyloseq function `plot_bar` was used to plot relative abundance bars for microbial taxa within sample groups. The functions `amp_venn`, `amp_box`, and `amp_rankabundance` from the R package “ampvis2” (Andersen et al., 2018) were used to identify the core microbial ASVs, to calculate and plot the read counts of the most abundant ASVs, and to plot rank abundance curves, respectively. The Phyloseq-formatted ASV and taxonomy was imported into the R package “DESeq2” (Love et al., 2014) and the protocol documented on the Phyloseq website1 was used to identify differentially abundant ASVs between on- and off-vent coral samples (Wald Test; FDR adjusted $p$-value = 0.05).

**Functional Profile Analysis**

The QIIME2 wrapper of PICRUSt2 (nsti cutoff = 2 [default], hidden-state prediction (hsp) method) was used to obtain metagenomic functional predictions for the rarefied P. panamensis microbial communities following the protocol recommended by the developers (Langille et al., 20132). PICRUSt2 predicts the functional composition of a microbiome by comparing marker gene data to a reference genome database. The program takes representative 16S rRNA sequences for all ASVs and uses an ancestral-state recognition algorithm to predict the presence of gene families. The resulting gene families are combined to get an estimate of the metagenomic functional capabilities within the data. The QIIME2 wrapper of PICRUSt2 provides an abundance table of KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) orthologs present in each sample. The orthologs are then collapsed into functional categories at two hierarchical levels based on their class-level ontology in the MetaCyc Metabolic Pathway Database (Caspi et al., 2018). The resulting table was imported to R and differentially abundant pathways between on- and off-vent corals were uncovered using “DESeq2” (Wald Test; FDR adjusted $p$-value = 0.1). The `amp_box` command from the “ampvis2” package was used to identify and plot the most abundant pathways.

**RESULTS**

**Sequencing Results**

Sequencing returned a total of 22,516,603 reads. After standard quality control (QC) measures, 15,161,615 reads remained across all samples. Post-QC, P. panamensis samples retained 3,644,484 microbial reads that clustered into 11,422 unique ASVs and 1,167,759 Ostreobium reads (Supplementary Table 1) in 47 ASVs. The abiotic samples (sediment and water) retained 10,217,235 reads after QC that were clustered into 20,978 unique ASVs (Supplementary Table 1). The two blank samples retained ~6,600 reads following quality control measures (Supplementary Table 1). The blank sample reads clustered into 27 ASVs (all <924 reads each) and no ASVs with >56 reads were found in the 100 most abundant ASVs of coral, water and sediment. Blank samples were removed from further microbial community analyses.

**Microbial Communities of Biotic Versus Abiotic Samples**

Post-QC sediment samples retained 2.5× more microbial reads, and water samples 4.3×, than P. panamensis samples (Supplementary Table 1). Due to the disparity in microbial sequence read numbers between P. panamensis and abiotic samples the data were rarefied. While this is atypical for examining alpha diversity, the large difference in reads can impact the results of diversity measures (Weiss et al., 2017). Samples were rarefied to 116,035 reads, which maintained the diversity for coral samples (Supplementary Figure 1) but reduced the diversity for the abiotic samples slightly (Supplementary Figure 2). One off-vent P. panamensis sample (P_551) and one off-vent water sample (WCTRL3) did not meet the minimum read count and were removed from further analyses.

---

1. http://joeys711.github.io/phyloseq-extensions/DESeq2.html

2. https://github.com/picrust/picrust2/
The three types of samples (coral, sediment and water) had distinct microbial assemblages (ANOSIM R: 0.937, p < 0.001; Figure 2A). Microbial composition varied more within sediment and coral samples than within water samples, which clustered tightly (Figure 2A). Sediment microbial microbiomes were more diverse than those of *P. panamensis* (Chao1; Kruskal-Wallis: chi-squared = 4.808, p = 0.029; Figure 2B). Microbial communities in water samples showed no difference in alpha diversity (Chao1) from either sediment or coral communities (Kruskal-Wallis: p = 0.082 and p = 0.924, respectively; Figure 2B). Off-vent sediments had higher overall microbial richness than on-vent sediments (average Chao1 = 7,298 and 1,654, respectively; note bimodal distribution of sediment points in Figure 2B), while the microbial richness between off- and on-vent water was similar (average Chao1 = 836 and 904, respectively). There was no difference in evenness between the three samples types (Inverse Simpson's Index: p > 0.05, Shannon's Diversity: p > 0.05; Supplementary Figure 3).

### Porites panamensis Samples: On-Vent vs. Off-Vent Microbial Community Analysis

Neither microbial richness (Chao1) nor evenness (Inverse Simpson's, and Shannon's Diversity) differed between on- and off-vent *P. panamensis* colonies (Kruskal-Wallis: all p > 0.05; Supplementary Figure 4). Beta diversity within off- and on-vent coral microbiomes was similar (permdist: F-value = 0.895, p = 0.683). Initial examinations of the rarefied coral samples showed strong distinctions between off- and on-vent samples (Bray-Curtis; ANOSIM R: 0.228, p < 0.0001; Supplementary Figure 5). A single on-vent sample (P_561) appeared to be an outlier (Supplementary Figure 5) but removing it did not alter overall clustering of site types (ANOSIM R: 0.270, p < 0.0001; Supplementary Figure 5).

The coral microbiomes contained many low abundance ASVs (Supplementary Figure 6), most of which were found in just one colony. When the data were pared to consider just 355 high abundance ASVs (>500 reads), differences between on- and off-vent corals were more defined (ANOSIM R: 0.282, p < 0.0001; Figure 3). Both overall compositional differences (compare Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 5) and major taxonomic patterns (Supplementary Table 2; compare Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 7) between site types were retained when the dataset was reduced to only high abundance ASVs, therefore, all further compositional analyses were completed using only high abundance ASVs.

Seventeen phyla were represented across the off- and on-vent samples (Figure 4), but two (Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes) were by far the most abundant (~80% of all reads in high abundance ASVs). On-vent samples were composed almost entirely of two phyla: Proteobacteria (69.6%) and Bacteroidetes (11.5%). Off-vent microbiomes were similar in composition with Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria being the most abundant taxa (69.8, 8.4, and 8%, respectively). For both off- and on-vent, 219 of the 355 highest abundance ASVs were identified as Proteobacteria but composition within that phylum differed between the two habitat types at the family level (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 8).

The microbial families with the highest relative abundance off-vent were *Enterobacteriaceae* (5.0%; Proteobacteria), *Corynebacteriaceae* (4.2%; Actinobacteria; Supplementary Table 2). *Endozoicomonadaceae* was the most abundant family in on-vent corals (11.9%; Proteobacteria), followed by *Kiloniellaceae* (6.2%; Proteobacteria) and *Amoebophilaceae* (4.9%; Proteobacteria; Supplementary Table 2). At the family level, 26 of 129 taxonomic families were differentially abundant between off- and on-vent corals (p < 0.05; data not shown), but only two increased in abundance in on-vent samples: *Endozoicomonadaceae* and an unknown Gammaproteobacteria associated with UBA10353.
marine group. Notable decreases in on-vent samples included the members of the sulfate-reducing family Desulfovibrionaceae and an unknown microbe identified only as a member of the phylum Bacteroidetes subgroup BD2-2.

Of the 15 most abundant ASVs in coral microbiomes, two differed in abundance between on- and off-vent corals (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 9): a Candidatus Amoebophilus spp. (the fifth most abundant ASV overall)
Reigel et al. Coral Microbiome Displays Signs of Acclimation

**FIGURE 5** Relative abundance (in descending order) for the ten most abundant high abundance ASVs (>500 reads) in on- and off-vent *Porites panamensis* samples. Size of the circle indicates the relative abundance of the taxa within each group. Asterisks denote ASVs that were differentially abundant between off- and on-vent colonies.

was more common in on-vent samples and *Candidatus* Blochmannia (12th most abundant) was more common in off-vent colonies \((p < 0.05\) for both; **Figure 5** and **Supplementary Figure 9**). At finer taxonomic resolution, 83 of the 355 high abundance ASVs (>500 reads) were differentially abundant between the off- and on-vent coral microbiomes (**Supplementary Figure 10**).

The core microbial microbiome for all *P. panamensis* samples was composed of only two ASVs, which accounted for only 1.5% of the reads/sample (**Table 1**). All on-vent corals contained 4 additional core ASVs, including two distinct archaeal taxa, both identified to the genus level as *Candidatus* Nitrosopumilus, while off-vent samples only had one additional core ASV, a *Helicobacteraceae* spp. (**Table 1**). The most abundant ASV overall was an *Endozoicomonas*, but it was not part of the 100% core microbiome for on- or off-vent corals (**Table 1**, **Figure 5**, and **Supplementary Figure 8**).

**Microbial Community Functional Analysis**

The functional metagenomic analysis of the full ASV dataset for the rarefied microbiome of *P. panamensis* identified 7,438 KEGG orthologs. The KEGG orthologs were collapsed into 427 MetaCyc Class level pathways, 20 of which were differentially abundant between on- and off-vent samples
(Supplementary Figure 9), the majority (17) of which were less abundant in on-vent microbiomes. Of the 20 Class level pathways, 16 of these were related to biosynthesis and degradation of small molecules, and four were involved in glycolysis and fermentation (Supplementary Figure 11). All ten of the most abundant subclass level pathways (most specific pathway designation) were related to biosynthesis of small molecules and energy production including aerobic respiration and fermentation (Figure 6). Of these ten subclass pathways, four were differentially abundant between on- and off-vent *P. panamensis* microbiomes and all four were more abundant in on-vent samples (Figure 6).

**Porites panamensis** Samples: On-Vent vs. Off-Vent *Ostreobium* Community Analysis

Amplicon Sequence Variants closest to *Ostreobium quekettii* were more abundant in on-vent samples, while ASVs closest to *Ostreobium HV05042* were more abundant in off-vent samples, but neither significantly so (*p > 0.05*). There was no difference in *Ostreobium* ASV diversity (Chao1) between off- and on-vent samples (Kruskal-Wallis: chi-squared = 1.967, *p = 0.161*; Figure 7A).

To assess beta diversity (Bray-Curtis) *Ostreobium* reads were rarefied to 12,445 reads per coral sample that clustered into 45 ASVs, of which only five were found in high abundance (>500 reads; Figure 7B). Of the 5 most abundant ASVs, only a single ASV, close to *O. quekettii* (ASV #3), was differentially abundant between off- and on-vent samples (Kruskal-Wallis: chi-squared = 4.647, *p = 0.031*; Figure 7). Individual *Ostreobium* ASVs showed high variability in relative abundance among samples within groups (Figure 7B).

**DISCUSSION**

**Differentiation of Biotic and Abiotic Microbial Communities**

Microbiomes were distinct between all three sample types and sediment microbiomes were more diverse than those from coral, while coral and water were similar in overall diversity (Figure 2). Marine eukaryote-associated microbiomes rarely match the composition of the local abiotic microbiomes (Sunagawa et al., 2010; Chiarello et al., 2019, although see Rodriguez-Marconi et al., 2015, for an Antarctic sponge exception), even in extreme conditions (Goffredi, 2010; Moreno-Pino et al., 2020).

**Microbial Diversity Measures for On-Vent Corals Do Not Show “Symptoms” of Stress**

The microbiomes of off- and on-vent colonies of *P. panamensis* exhibited similar diversity measures (richness, evenness, and beta diversity, Supplementary Figure 3). This was surprising given that conditions near the vents (low pH, high CO₂, high temperature) are generally considered stressful to corals and diversity measures of coral microbial communities usually increase under stress (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2019). This oft-observed higher richness may result from the inability of stressed corals to resist invasion by atypical symbionts, thereby increasing taxon counts (Welsh et al., 2017). This appears to be a common symptom of corals exposed to acute stressors, either experimentally (Meron et al., 2011) or via anthropogenic activity (Morrow et al., 2012; Jessen et al., 2013). Increased beta diversity is expected under stress because the microbiome becomes dysbiotic (Zaneveld et al., 2017). Thus, the host may have difficulty regulating their community structure, as indicated by changes in evenness (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2019).

On-vent corals live at higher temperatures and lower pH than their off-vent counterparts and if stressed by these conditions, the on-vent coral microbiomes would be expected to show signs of dysbiosis. Beta diversity increases have been seen for corals exposed to high temperatures, increased salinity, and pollution (Klaus et al., 2007; Röthig et al., 2016; Zaneveld et al., 2016; Camp et al., 2020). However, the microbiomes of diverse marine hosts experiencing long-term or natural exposure do not always display increases in alpha (Morrow et al., 2015; Coelho et al., 2018; Camp et al., 2020) or beta diversity (Webster et al., 2016).

Because the microbial per sample read counts for *P. panamensis* samples were high (>260,000 reads/sample on average; Supplementary Table 1) and the rarefied dataset captured most of the diversity in each sample (Supplementary Figures 1, 2), low power was probably not

| TABLE 1 | The taxonomic classifications and average per sample read abundance of the 100% core high abundance ASVs (>500 reads) for all Porites panamensis corals, off-vent coral only, and on-vent coral only samples. |
| Core ASVs | Taxonomic classification | Average total reads/sample |
| P. panamensis | 2 | Ehidina spp. | 1.5% |
| | | Detraptobacteria spp. | |
| Off-vent P. panamensis Only | 1 | Helcobacteraceae spp. | 0.31% |
| On-vent P. panamensis Only | 4 | Stappiaceae spp. | 1.36% |
| | | Nitrosopumilus spp.-1 | (Archaea) – Candidatus Nitrospumilus spp.-1 |
| | | Nitrosopumilus spp.-2 | (Archaea) – Candidatus Nitrospumilus spp.-2 |

Identical taxonomic classifications for unique ASVs are followed by a number designation to differentiate the ASV identity.
responsible for the lack of differences between off- and on-vent microbiomes. More likely, the on-vent *P. panamensis* colonies were not stressed, or rather their microbiomes are acclimated to the stressors associated with vent conditions. We note that coral colonies were sampled in February, when water temperatures are near their annual cool point. While temperature is not the sole potential source of stress from vent water, it may be that colonies experience greater stress during warmer summer months.

**On-Vent Coral Microbiomes Are Dominated by Beneficial Endosymbionts**

While diversity measures were similar between off- and on-vent *P. panamensis* microbiomes, their taxonomic compositions were distinct (Figure 3). Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes dominated microbial phyla for corals in both settings, but both were more abundant on-vent (Figure 4). In addition, the beneficial endolithic alga, *Ostreobium*, was found in high abundance in both on- and off-vent corals, though a single *Ostreobium* ASV, close to *O. quekettii*, was more abundant in on-vent corals than off-vent colonies (Figure 7B).

*Ostreobium* are endolithic green algae that are well-known coral symbionts. They may benefit their hosts via their role in carbonate dissolution (Verbruggen et al., 2017), their ability to provide photosynthates, and protection from light stress (Fork and Larkum, 1989; Del Campo et al., 2017; Pernice et al., 2020). Carbonate dissolution may be particularly important for on-vent corals because waters of the hydrothermal vents in Bahía Conception are enriched for CO$_2$ (Dávila-Ramos et al., 2015), which decreases the carbonate ions available for calcification (Eyre et al., 2014).

Coral microbiomes generally lose beneficial endosymbionts under stressful conditions (Bourne et al., 2016; McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2019), however, total *Ostreobium*
abundance did not differ between on- and off-vent corals and the common coral bacterial symbiont Endozoicomonas spp. was more abundant in on-vent P. panamensis than off-vent colonies (Figure 5). Like Ostreobium, Endozoicomonas provide benefits to their host corals, including nutrient cycling, protection from pathogens, carbohydrate transport and sulfur cycling (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2019), and likely co-evolve with them (Neave et al., 2016). Members of this genus have been consistently found at low abundance in corals stressed by climate change, water pollution, and overfishing (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2019). In contrast to our findings, Acropora millepora from near a volcanic CO$_2$ seep had a 50% decrease in Endozoicomonas abundance relative to off-seep habitat (Morrow et al., 2015). However, P. panamensis is considered to be a resilient coral species, in contrast to most acroporids, often found in marginal or even extreme habitats (Halfar et al., 2005; Anlauf et al., 2011; Oporto-Guerrero et al., 2018). Coral-mediated tolerance (via gene expression, genetic adaptation or some other metabolic/genetic mechanism) to stressful conditions may help Porites colonies maintain the symbioses with important microbes such as Endozoicomonas.

Ostreobium was found in high relative abundance in all corals sampled, however, of the five most abundant Ostreobium ASVs, four were more abundant (one significantly so) in on-vent samples. Unlike shifts in the abundances of Endozoicomonas between treatments or conditions, changes to Ostreobium have not been commonly reported (although see changes with depth in the coral Agaricia undata, Gonzalez-Zapata et al., 2018). Ostreobium inhabit the coral skeleton (Marcelino and Verbruggen, 2016) and while most coral microbiome studies separate coral tissue and mucus from the skeleton before lysing to obtain microbial DNA (e.g., Apprill et al., 2016; Weber et al., 2017), we lysed microbial cells from the entire coral fragment (skeleton, tissue and mucus). This difference in technique may be why Ostreobium is rarely mentioned in comparative microbiome studies from scleractinian corals, though more recently their symbiosis with corals has received more attention (Pernice et al., 2020).

The shift in the abundance of different Ostreobium ASVs in on-vent coral microbiomes may be due to their ability to assume the role of zooxanthellae (Fine and Loya, 2002). Ostreobium can adapt to changes in temperature and their symbiosis with corals does not break down under thermal stress (Fine et al., 2005). In contrast, the symbiosis between corals and zooxanthellae is more tenuous at high temperatures (Brown, 1997). In particular, P. panamensis exposed to high temperatures (28.5–31.1°C) had fewer zooxanthellae in their primary polyps (Anlauf et al., 2011). Although none of the corals sampled in our study displayed any obvious signs of bleaching, we did not measure the abundance of zooxanthellae. If high temperatures depleted zooxanthellae in on-vent corals, this could favor a compensatory rise in Ostreobium abundance to meet the nutritional demands of the coral host. The overall high abundance of Endozoicomonas and Ostreobium, two beneficial coral symbionts, combined with very low differential host and symbiont gene expression between on- and off-vent colonies.
(Balandra et al., 2021) suggest that the on-vent corals are not stressed and that the coral microbiome in these colonies may have shifted to promote local acclimation.

Two other ASVs from the 100% core of on-vent microbiomes belonged to the archaeal genus *Candidatus Nitrosopumilus* (Könneke et al., 2005; Table 1). Each of these ASVs was modestly abundant (<1% reads/sample), but their ammonia-oxidizing capabilities may make their presence important to corals living on vents (Feng et al., 2016). In a previous study, corals enriched with nitrogen were better able to withstand thermal stress (Béraud et al., 2013). Shallow hydrothermal vents in Bahia Concepción are typically low in bioavailable nitrogen (Dávila-Ramos et al., 2015) for use by eukaryotic hosts, which could favor nitrifying microbes. *Candidatus Nitrosopumilus* oxidizes ammonia that is excreted by its host to produce nitrite that can then be transferred to the host (Feng et al., 2016). Thus, these two ammonia-oxidizing ASVs should benefit their on-vent hosts that live in a habitat depleted of available nitrogen and are outside their normal thermal range. These vents have reduced sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$) and methane (CH$_4$) as compared to surrounding waters (Prol-Ledesma et al., 2004) and notable shifts in on-vent microbiomes that correspond with vent water chemistry included decreased abundances of the sulfate-reducing bacterial family, Desulfovibrionaceae, and Bacteroidetes BD-2-2, whose members may interact with methanotrophic archaea and sulfate-reducing bacterial taxa (Trembath-Reichert et al., 2016).

On-vent corals also had lower relative abundances of taxa in the order Rickettsiales (phylum: Firmicutes), although these differences were not significant. These intracellular symbionts are widespread among corals and often most abundant in stressed corals (Rosenberg et al., 2007; Chiou et al., 2010; García et al., 2013; Daniels et al., 2015); although see Casas et al., 2004; Godoy-Vitorino et al., 2017). The abundance of Rickettsiales is negatively correlated with coral growth (Shaver et al., 2017) and their genome exhibits pathogenic capabilities (Klinges et al., 2019). Similarly, some *Staphylococcus* species are known to be opportunistic coral pathogens (Divya et al., 2018), though they can often be in high abundance in healthy coral tissue and may only become pathogenic following stress-related microbiome shifts (Pereira de Castro et al., 2010). If the on-vent environment stressed coral colonies then an increase in potentially pathogenic bacterial taxa such as *Staphylococcus* and Rickettsiales would have been expected, however, there is evidence that Rickettsiales may not be able to withstand the high temperatures near the vent (Mikolajczyk et al., 1964).

**Functional Capabilities of On-Vent Coral Microbiomes Match an In hospitable Habitat**

Twenty functional MetaCyc Class-level pathways showed differences between on- and off-vent microbiomes (Supplementary Figure 11) and most (17) of them decreased in abundance in on-vent *P. panamensis* samples (Supplementary Figure 11). As a potential response to the energy demands of increased thermal stress, on-vent coral microbiomes were enriched for three Class-level pathways related to energy production and small molecule degradation (largely as a precursor to energy production; Supplementary Figure 11; Dijkstra et al., 2011; Slavov et al., 2014). Ziegler et al. (2017) also found that thermally tolerant *A. hyacinthus* microbiomes were enriched for metabolic functions. On-vent samples were significantly enriched for the sulfolactate degradation pathway (see Sulfur compound metabolism in Supplementary Figure 9), a metabolic pathway that has been identified in members of the family Rhodobacteraceae (phylum: Proteobacteria; MetaCyc Database; Denger et al., 2009), which were also found in higher abundance in on-vent samples (Figure 5).

While small molecule biosynthesis and sulfur metabolisms were enriched in on-vent coral microbial communities, there was a reduction in genes related to the more traditional energy pathways including glycolysis and fermentation (Supplementary Figure 11). Dombrowski et al. (2018), found that hydrothermal vent sediment microbial communities hosted a more diverse set of metabolisms, including those that utilize methane, hydrogen and sulfate/sulfite, than those of background samples. A similar phenomenon may exist in on-vent coral microbial communities, where the extreme water chemistry (hot, acidic, metallic, low nitrogen, high CO$_2$; Prol-Ledesma et al., 2004) has led to a set of symbionts that are less reliant on traditional energy pathways, but rather can utilize the elements and compounds in vent waters as an energy source.

Of the ten most abundant Subclass-level pathways, all were enriched in on-vent samples, four significantly so (Figure 6). Such a bias for enrichment in the hotter setting may seem unusual, but similar results were found in *Acropora hyacinthus* (Ziegler et al., 2017). Thus, the enrichment of pathways may represent a signature of acclimation to higher temperatures in coral microbiomes. Both off- and on-vent coral microbiomes were dominated by genes associated with the aerobic respiration Subclass pathway, but on-vent corals more so (Figure 6). Elevated temperatures increase respiration rates in both eukaryotes (Coles and Jokiel, 1977; Strand et al., 2017) and microbial communities (Wan et al., 2007; Dijkstra et al., 2011). Further, the significant differential enrichment of four biosynthesis subclass pathways in on-vent coral microbiomes may be tied to increased energy demands of the warmer waters (Vallon and Spalding, 2009).

Enrichment of energy metabolism pathways can be attributed to stressors like increased upright algal cover, temperature, and pH, but such instances should be accompanied by increases in other stress-related pathways and potentially virulent taxa (Thurber et al., 2009; Zaneveld et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). On-vent coral microbiomes were not enriched for typical stress-related pathways such as antimicrobial production, bacterial motility, chemotaxis and invasion (Thurber et al., 2009; Zaneveld et al., 2016) nor did we find any increases in pathogenic taxa like *Vibrio* spp. (Ben-Haim et al., 2003; Thurber et al., 2009). While the high abundance of genes associated with energy production may be the result of stress, the on-vent microbiomes are missing the virulent pathways and presence of known virulent taxa that should accompany these increases if they were stress-related.
The functional data presented here suggest that on-vent *P. panamensis* microbiomes are not stressed, however, these insights are based on metagenomic predictions of closely related microbial taxa that have available genomes. A metagenomic or metatranscriptomic study of the microbiomes of on- and off-vent *P. panamensis* colonies would be needed to confirm these results.

**CONCLUSION**

The microbiomes of the coral *Porites panamensis* living near shallow hydrothermal vents appear well-suited to the high temperature and low pH associated with these extreme conditions and do not show signs of stress. The on-vent coral microbiome is dominated by taxa that are thermally tolerant and capable of providing and cycling nutrients and other benefits to their coral hosts, including the Proteobacteria *Endozoicomonas* and the endolithic alga *Ostreobium*. Pathogenic microbial taxa did not increase near vents, as would be expected if coral microbiomes were stressed. Furthermore, the functional pathways in on-vent *P. panamensis* microbiomes are consistent with the biological requirements for coping at high temperatures, such as increased respiration and energy demand.

Overall, we have seen that vent-associated *P. panamensis* colonies living at extreme thermal and pH conditions are associated with changes in the composition and functional capabilities of their microbial symbionts, perhaps contributing to their acclimation. These findings exemplify the need to examine microbiota of corals living in natural extreme habitats to determine taxonomic and functional signatures of host duress versus host acclimation to less hospitable conditions.
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