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Abstract: Calculations of the impact of friction and wear on energy consumption, economic expenditure, and CO2 emissions are presented on a global scale. This impact study covers the four main energy consuming sectors: transportation, manufacturing, power generation, and residential. Previously published four case studies on passenger cars, trucks and buses, paper machines and the mining industry were included in our detailed calculations as reference data in our current analyses. The following can be concluded:

– In total, ~23% (119 EJ) of the world’s total energy consumption originates from tribological contacts. Of that 20% (103 EJ) is used to overcome friction and 3% (16 EJ) is used to remanufacture worn parts and spare equipment due to wear and wear-related failures.

– By taking advantage of the new surface, materials, and lubrication technologies for friction reduction and wear protection in vehicles, machinery and other equipment worldwide, energy losses due to friction and wear could potentially be reduced by 40% in the long term (15 years) and by 18% in the short term (8 years). On global scale, these savings would amount to 1.4% of the GDP annually and 8.7% of the total energy consumption in the long term.

– The largest short term energy savings are envisioned in transportation (25%) and in the power generation (20%) while the potential savings in the manufacturing and residential sectors are estimated to be ~10%. In the longer terms, the savings would be 55%, 40%, 25%, and 20%, respectively.

– Implementing advanced tribological technologies can also reduce the CO2 emissions globally by as much as 1,460 MtCO2 and result in 450,000 million Euros cost savings in the short term. In the longer term, the reduction can be 3,140 MtCO2 and the cost savings 970,000 million Euros.

Fifty years ago, wear and wear-related failures were a major concern for UK industry and their mitigation was considered to be the major contributor to potential economic savings by as much as 95% in ten years by the development and deployment of new tribological solutions. The corresponding estimated savings are today still of the same orders but the calculated contribution to cost reduction is about 74% by friction reduction and to 26% from better wear protection. Overall, wear appears to be more critical than friction as it may result in catastrophic failures and operational breakdowns that can adversely impact productivity and hence cost.
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1 Introduction

Transportation, power generation, and manufacturing are vital industrial activities in a highly developed modern society. They involve moving both people and all kinds of materials in many different forms by all types of machines and mechanical systems that have numerous moving parts and hence interacting surfaces.

* Corresponding author: Kenneth HOLMBERG, E-mail: kenneth.holmberg@vtt.fi
Smooth, reliable, and long-lasting operations of such machines are closely dependent on how well the friction and wear are controlled on their numerous interacting surfaces. The science and technology for understanding and controlling friction, wear, and lubrication of such interacting surfaces in relative motion have been named as tribology since 1966 [1].

The key motivation for establishing the new discipline of tribology was the great economic impact that wear failures had in the British industry and on the British economy in the middle of last century. At the same time, a great number of new technological solutions had been developed that could be used to reduce friction and wear but they had not yet been implemented largely. The Jost report summarised that by large scale implementation of newer and more advanced tribological technologies, 515 million UK pound could be saved annually and this would correspond to 1.36% of GNP at that time. Most importantly, this report stipulated that such savings could be achieved in a period of ten years. The British government invested 1.25 million UK pound for further development and implementation of tribology in education, research and industry, and ten years later, the savings were estimated to be 200 million UK pound annually [1–4].

The Jost report was followed by other similar studies that reported potential savings of the similar orders of magnitude in Japan (2.6% of the GNP in 1970), in Germany (0.5%, 1976), in the USA (0.79%–0.84%, 1977, 1981) and in China (2%–7%, 1986). The estimated savings show large differences probably due to differences in the level of industrialization and industrial infrastructure of each country, the year when the calculations were carried out and the method of calculations used [4–9].

There has been tremendous progress in understanding of the fundamental mechanisms of tribological phenomena and developing a myriad of new materials, surface technologies, lubricants and other types of technical solutions, such as improved design, for markedly reducing friction and improving wear protection since the time of the Jost report. Furthermore, the greater knowledge gained from worldwide tribological studies mentioned above have been implemented in higher education and industry. However, today we face new challenges in the society due to increased world population, growing demands for energy, and limitation of fossil fuel use due to environmental concerns. In fact, at these days, it has become a great importance for the sustainability of global society to curb the use of fossil fuels and hence reduce the greenhouse gas emissions [10–12].

Figure 1 shows the International Energy Agency (IEA) estimation of key technologies for reduction of CO₂ emissions in order to limit the global warming to 2 °C above pre-industrial levels by 2050 [13]. The largest impact (38%) is expected to come from end-use energy efficiency and in this area, tribology could contribute considerably with new technical solutions such as new materials and coatings, surface engineering (including surface treatments, modifications, and texturing), new lubricants and additives (including nanomaterials and solid lubricants); new component design with microsensors; new methodologies like biomimetics, nanotechnology and integrated computational material design [12, 14, 15]. These are all related to the concept of “green tribology” which has recently been introduced and defined as the tribological aspects of ecological balance and of environmental and biological impacts [16].

Both the world and the levels of technological achievements have changed much from the years of those earlier tribology impact reports. Still more recent studies on this topic are quite few, so there is a need for an update. Very recently Lee and Carpick [12] prepared a comprehensive report for the Department of Energy in the USA on tribological opportunities for
enhancing America's energy efficiency. They identified 20 EJ (2.1% of the GNP) of energy that could be saved annually through new technologies that can be realized by targeted research initiatives in tribology. However, there is still not to our knowledge any study summarising the impact of tribology on a global level.

We have earlier calculated the impact of friction on global energy consumption in passenger cars, trucks and buses, and paper machines, and the impact of both friction and wear in the mining industry [15, 17–19]. Our intention with this work is to assess the influence of friction and wear in energy consumption, economic losses, and CO₂ emissions worldwide in the four main energy consuming sectors: transportation, industry, energy industry, and residential, and then to estimate potential savings that can be gained by putting into use of new tribological solutions that came about during the last decade or so. This is done based on the data from the four previous case studies and from other publications that we could find in the open literature.

2 Methodology

The global calculations used in this paper were carried out according to a methodology that was developed by Holmberg et al. [17] for the calculation of the impact of friction on passenger cars and in an industrial case study, i.e., paper machines mentioned earlier. The same methodology was later extended also to include the impact of friction and wear in mining [15]. The methodology is based on the combination of the analyses of several physical phenomena resulting in the consumption of energy in mechanical equipment. It includes the following analyses and calculations:

1. An estimation of the global energy consumption in targeted economic sectors.
2. Calculation of friction, wear, and energy losses in components and machinery used in such sectors.
3. Estimation of their operational effects.
4. Estimation of tribocontact-related friction and wear losses today and in the future.
5. Calculation of the global energy consumption today due to friction and wear and potential savings in the short and long runs.

Figure 2 shows the calculation methodology as used for passenger cars. First step is the estimation of annual fuel consumption found in reliable statistics divided by the number of cars worldwide which gives the energy used in one global average car. Based on statistics, a technical specification for a global average passenger car as well as global average operational conditions are defined. The components of this global average passenger car are then considered and the friction part is estimated and further broken down into microscale lubrication and contact mechanisms. The level of typical coefficients of friction in the global average car components is defined based on data from published literature. Similar levels of coefficients of friction for new cars, the lowest levels measured in laboratories so far, and estimated levels in future 2025 are estimated. This data is upscaled to global level and the global energy consumption due to friction as well as potential fuel, cost and CO₂ savings are then calculated as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The calculations were carried out on the basis of...
scientific publications and reports, publicly available statistical data, unpublished data received directly from some operators and the authors’ own experience.

3 Energy consumption worldwide

The total amount of energy generated from various energy sources like coal, oil, gas, nuclear, wind, etc., is called the total primary energy supply (TPES). The TPES for a region is the energy generated in that region + imports – exports. The global TPES was 575 EJ (13,700 Mtoe) in year 2014 and of that 167 EJ was used by the energy or power generation industry to produce electricity and heat, 12 EJ was the amount of energy lost during transfer and other losses, and the rest forms the total energy consumption, called total final consumption (TFC), as shown in Fig. 3 [20, 21].

The total consumption of energy worldwide was 396 EJ (9,425 Mtoe) in the year 2014 and it was distributed as follows [20]:
- 29% for industrial activity;
- 28% for transportation;
- 34% for domestic, including residential, services, agriculture, forestry, etc.;
- 9% for non-energy use, typically as raw materials.

4 Impact of friction and wear on energy consumption, economic losses, and emissions

From our previous case studies, we have as reference data in our calculations providing detailed information on the impact of friction from road vehicles, which account for 75% of the energy consumption in transportation sector. In the industrial sector, we have as reference data from one study focusing on how friction impacts a very advanced automated high-tech manufacturing industry, i.e., the paper production; and in another study focusing on how friction and wear impact a well-established heavy-duty but low-tech industry; the mining sector, see Fig. 3.

With regard to wear, there is detailed data only from one of the sectors we examined in the past; the mining industry, in which wear is very significant and far more important compared to other industrial sectors which do not suffer the same levels of wear. The mining study showed that of the total maintenance costs in mining, about half is due to the manufacturing of wear replacement parts and the other half originates from maintenance, down time, and labour costs [15].

In our calculations, we assume that the maintenance costs are in direct relation to the costs of wear parts and we use the data on maintenance costs in different sectors, see Fig. 4, for estimating the costs due to wear in these economic sectors [22].

In the following sections, we will estimate the energy losses due to friction and wear in the four large energy consuming sectors: namely, transportation, industry, energy industry, and residential. The industry sector represents the production and manufacturing industry while the energy industry represents the power plants for power generation and for thermal heating.

Fig. 3 Global energy supply and consumption in main economic sectors 2014. Mtoe = million tonnes of oil equivalent.
4.1 Industry

The biggest industrial energy users are the chemical and petrochemical industry (30%) and the iron and steel industry (19%) that together consume half of the total energy used by all industrial sectors. Other large industrial energy users are the non-metallic minerals industry (9%, mainly cement), paper and pulp industry (6%), food and tobacco industry (5%), machinery industry (4%), and non-ferrous metals industry (4%, mainly aluminium), see Fig. 5 [13, 23].

Our previous study on paper machines showed that 32% of the electrical power used goes to overcome friction. However, the electricity used for mechanical energy is only 30% of the total energy use as 70% of the energy consumption is due to thermal energy for process heating. The energy consumed in a paper mill to overcome friction is in the range of 15%–25% [18]. In mining, on the other hand, where heavy rock materials are extracted, crashed, and transported, 40% of the energy consumption goes to overcome friction [15]. Based on this, we estimate that in industry in average, about 20% of the energy goes to overcome friction in total.

The share of energy losses due to wear in industry is calculated based on the data from mining industry [15]. In mining, the energy losses due to wear is 43% of that of friction. The wear-related energy losses include energy used for producing new parts for wear part replacement and downtime spare equipment. The cost for wear parts in mining is about the same order as the maintenance costs. We assume that also in other sectors, the cost for wear parts is proportional to the maintenance costs and we use proportion of maintenance costs of total costs for each sector presented in Fig. 4 [22]. This indicates that the cost of wear parts in industry in general is about one third of that in mining. In the case of machinery and metal industry, it is one tenth of that in mining. Thus we use for industry in total that the energy used for wear losses is 14% of that used to overcome friction.

The costs related to wear include not only the costs for wear part replacement and downtime, spare equipment, but also the costs for maintenance work which is not energy related. The costs due to wear in mining industry are 106% of the costs due to friction. We estimate the same relation between costs in the four sectors as it was for the energy losses. Thus the cost for wear in industry is 35% of the cost for friction, see Table 1.

![Fig. 4](image)

**Fig. 4** Maintenance costs in industrial sectors in Finland in 1997.

![Fig. 5](image)

**Fig. 5** Production machines in paper, car, food, mining and steel industry.

---

| Industry                      | %    |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Mining                       | 15.2 |
| Energy production            | 9.5  |
| Iron and steel industry      | 5.9  |
| Paper and pulp industry      | 5.3  |
| Wood product industry        | 4.8  |
| Chemical industry            | 3.6  |
| Non-metallic minerals industry| 3.5  |
| Food industry                | 2.6  |
| Textile industry             | 2.3  |
| Printing industry            | 2.3  |
| Machinery and metal industry | 1.6  |
4.2 Transportation

At present, the transportation sector includes about 1,600 million vehicles [24] used for transportation of people and freight in sea, land, and air (see Fig. 6). Road vehicles use 83 EJ energy annually, which is 75% of the total energy use in transportation. The ships are the biggest energy users as calculated by the total energy use per vehicle. The average annual energy use per ship is 120,000 GJ. A road vehicle uses in average 80 GJ annually, a train 33,000 GJ, and an air plane 33,000 GJ. However, ships are the largest carriers of world freight and people by weight (73%) followed by road vehicles (15%), train (12%) and aviation (0.6%) [25, 26]. The energy intensity, defined here as the energy used to transport one tonne freight or people one kilometre, is for ships 0.3 MJ/tkm, for railway 0.6 MJ/tkm, for road vehicles 10 MJ/tkm and in aviation 35 MJ/tkm, see Table 2 [13, 25–31].

Road vehicles use 83 EJ annually and of that is 32% used to overcome friction [24]. There are no previous calculations available on the share of frictional energy consumption in rail, marine, and aviation. However, the share of friction in aviation is estimated to be about 10% while it is 20% in both marine and rail by considering the mechanical structures of those vehicles. Based on this, we can conclude that in transportation, with all sectors included, 30% of the energy use goes to overcome friction, see Table 1.

For the estimation of wear, data from the industrial sector is used as reference data, see section 4.1 above. The share of energy losses due to wear in transportation is estimated to be smaller than that in the industrial sector and about one fifth of that is in mining. It means that the energy loss due to wear is only 10% of the energy used to overcome friction and the cost due to wear is 22% of that due to friction. The low level of

| Table 1 | Comparison of energy and costs due to friction and wear for the four economic sectors. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Energy to overcome friction as part of total energy use | Energy due to wear compared to energy loss due to friction | Costs due to wear compared to costs due to friction |
|         | % | % | % |
| Unit    | 30 | 10 | 22 |
| Transportation | 20 | 14 | 35 |
| Industry | 20 | 22 | 53 |
| Energy industry | 10 | 14 | 35 |
| Residential | 10 | 15 | 37 |
| Total   | 20 | 15 | 37 |

| Table 2 | Key energy and energy efficiency figures in transportation. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
|         | Number of vehicles worldwide | Global energy use | Energy use / vehicle | Part of global transport energy use | Part of global transport by weight and distance | Energy intensity |
|         | EJ/a | GJ/a | % | % | MJ/tkm |
| Unit    | 1,040 × 10^6 | 83 | 80 | 75 | 15 | 10 |
| Road vehicles | 120,000 | 4 | 33,000 | 4 | 12 | 0.6 |
| Trains | 100,000 | 12 | 120,000 | 11 | 73 | 0.3 |
| Ships | 360,000 | 12 | 33,000 | 11 | 0.6 | 35 |
| Airplanes | 1,620 × 10^6 | 110 | 100 | 100 | 2 |
wear loss in transportation is explained by the very advanced materials and highly optimised lubrication technologies implemented in vehicles where part replacement or remanufacture is a much smaller issue than in many other sectors like mining.

4.3 Energy industry

The energy industry includes a large range of electricity power plants, combined heat and power plants, heat/steam plants, blast furnaces, gas works, coke ovens, oil refineries, liquefaction plants, etc. Like in the industrial sector, a great part of the energy use is thermal energy for heating. A lot of moving tribological contacts is found in power generation, such as in steam and gas turbines, hydro turbines, generators, pumps, conveyors, coal mining machines, drilling and production equipment, see Fig. 7(a) [12, 13, 20, 32].

Again detailed data on the energy consumption in mechanical equipment in the energy industry and the role of friction are hard to find in open literature. We estimate that the energy industry has a similar structure as the industrial sector where a considerable amount of the energy used is thermal energy for process heating. Based on this, we estimate that in total about 20% of the energy is used to overcome friction.

The share of energy losses and costs due to wear in energy industry is estimated to be half of that in mining. Thus the energy loss due to wear is estimated to be 22% of that due to friction and the costs due to wear are 53% of that due to friction.

4.4 Residential and services

Residential, service sector, and public buildings use a large range of energy conversion and utilization technologies. They are used in space heating and cooling/ventilation systems, in steam generation, water and heating systems, in lightning, in household appliances, consumer products and in business equipment, see Fig. 7(b) [13]. The buildings have a very long life span, 40–120 years, and so has also a major part of the energy-consuming equipment, 5–20 years. A major part of the energy consumption is for space heating and cooling, and for water heating. The mechanical systems that need energy are ventilation systems, fans, pumps, etc. We estimate that 10% of the total energy use is for overcoming friction in these systems [13].

The share of energy losses due to wear in residential and services equipment is estimated to be one third of that in mining industry, being thus 14% of the energy used to overcome friction. The cost due to wear is ~35% of that due to friction.

4.5 Costs and emissions

The economic impact of friction and wear is calculated based on the costs for energy consumption to overcome friction, the costs of energy needed to manufacture wear related replacement parts and spare equipment, and cost for wear related maintenance work. A global average price of 18 € for 1 GJ energy or 1 TJ = 18 k€ is used [15].

Fig. 7  Power plant gas turbine (a) and typical residential machines (b).
The energy production and consumption is the dominating component in global greenhouse gas emissions and it forms 76% of the total emissions while agriculture, forestry and other land use form 24%, see Fig. 8.

The friction and wear related CO₂ emissions are calculated assuming that they are proportional to the energy consumption. This is a fair approximation on global scale even if there are differences in the level of emissions in the various economic sectors. The energy consumption of 1 PJ results in 0.0683 MtCO₂ (=36,000 MtCO₂/527 EJ) carbon dioxide emissions on average global level.

5 Impact of tribology and friction and wear reduction

5.1 Potential reduction in friction and wear

There has been a huge development in finding new tribological solutions to reduce friction and wear over the last decades [15, 17–19]. This development is illustrated in Fig. 9 where the typical friction coefficients in tribological contacts in trucks and buses in average today, in today’s new commercial vehicles, lowest values measured in research laboratories today, and values predicted for future vehicles in year 2025 are shown according to contact and lubrication mechanisms.

The mechanical devices used in the four economic sectors, the level of new technological solutions implemented and operational conditions are considered. Based on that, the average friction and wear levels of today’s devices are calculated and compared to the relative friction and wear reduction in today’s new commercial devices, lowest levels measured in research laboratories today and levels estimated to be possible to achieve in future up to year 2030, see Table 3. These levels are named in the following as “Average 2017”, “New 2017”, “Laboratory 2017” and “Future 2030”. The new technological solutions to achieve these reductions are presented in Section 7.

5.2 Tribological impact today and in the future

The global friction and wear losses, the economic expenditure and CO₂ emissions for each sector and in total were calculated using the data, criteria and

| Table 3 | Estimated relative friction and wear rate reduction trends based on data presented in Section 7 and literature [15, 17–19]. |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Relative friction and wear reduction | From Average 2017 to New 2017 | From Average 2017 to Lab 2017 | From Average 2017 to Future 2030 |
| Friction reduction | % | % | % |
| – Transport and energy industry | 40 | 70 | 80 |
| – Industry and residential | 40 | 80 | 90 |
| Wear reduction | % | % | % |
| – Transport and energy industry | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| – Industry and residential | 40 | 60 | 70 |
assumptions presented above in Sections 3 and 4, and are shown in Appendix 1. The calculations show that today 103 EJ of energy is used to overcome friction worldwide and in addition, wear causes 16 EJ energy loss. The total costs of friction and wear globally are 250,000 million Euro and the total CO2 emissions due to friction and wear are 8,120 MtCO2. Corresponding cost estimates for friction and wear losses according to New 2017, Laboratory 2017 and Future 2017 are given in Appendix 1.

This is the first time to our knowledge that impact of both friction and wear has been estimated in detail on this level. Figure 10 shows a comparison of the impact of friction and wear on global level. Both with regard to energy losses and CO2 emissions is the impact of friction six times higher than that of wear while with regard to economic impact, the friction impact is three times that of wear impact.

### 6 Potential savings by tribological advances

In the four case studies, the potential savings by implementing new tribological solutions were calculated both on the short term and on long term, as shown in Figs. 9 and 11, see also Appendix 3. The manufacturers of trucks and buses are more advanced and very quick in implementing new technologies in their products and there are big fleets with a limited number of owners so the implementation time is considered quite short. This is not the case in the mining industry with many owners with scepticisms or negative attitudes toward the deployment of new technologies. Paper machines are somewhat more advanced but due to the long lifetime of the machines, the implementation of new technologies does take time due to return on existing investment policies.

The average implementation time in all sectors was in this study estimated to be 8 years representing short term and 15 years representing long term based on considerations of the structure of the four sectors, average product lifetime and typical willingness to implement new technology in products. The savings by implementing new friction and wear solutions was calculated both on short term and on long term, see Appendix 2.

The implementation of new technology largely worldwide would save in the short term 21.5 EJ energy, 455,000 million Euro and 1,460 MtCO2 emissions. In the long run, the savings could easily amount to 46 EJ energy, 973,000 million Euro and 3,140 MtCO2 emissions. The savings would be 1.39% of the GNP and 8.7% of the total global energy consumption for the time scale of 15 years.

![Fig. 9](image1.png) **Fig. 9** Trends in the reduction of the coefficient of friction in trucks and buses for different lubrication mechanisms and for rolling friction [19].

![Fig. 10](image2.png) **Fig. 10** Energy consumption, costs and CO2 emissions due to friction and wear globally.

![Fig. 11](image3.png) **Fig. 11** Calculated potential savings over current state of the art by the introduction of advanced tribology solutions in four case studies and their time scale of implementation.
The biggest potential savings that can be achieved in the timescale of 8 years are in the transportation and energy industry sector, as shown in Fig. 12. The implementation takes longer time in the industry and residential sectors so the short term savings are not that high. The potential savings in some geographic regions are also shown in Table 4.

Fig. 12 Potential annual energy, cost and CO₂ emission savings globally after 8 years of intensive advanced tribology implementation.

### Table 4 Estimated potential energy and cost savings, and CO₂ emission reduction on long term (15 years) by region calculated as related to the total primary energy supply (TPES) of each region.

| Region                        | TPES Share of global TPES | Energy savings (PJ/a) | Cost savings (Million Euro/a) | CO₂ emission reduction (Million tonnes/a) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| World                         | 13,700                     | 46,000                | 973,000                       | 3,140                                    |
| Industrialized countries      | 8,220 60                   | 27,600                | 583,800                       | 1,884                                    |
| Industrially developing countries | 4,800 35              | 16,100                | 340,550                       | 1,099                                    |
| Agricultural countries        | 685 5                      | 2,300                 | 48,650                        | 157                                      |
| China                         | 3,066 22.4                 | 10,304                | 217,952                       | 703                                      |
| USA                           | 2,216 16.2                 | 7,452                 | 157,626                       | 509                                      |
| EU-28                         | 1,606 11.7                 | 5,382                 | 113,841                       | 367                                      |
| India                         | 825 6.0                    | 2,760                 | 58,380                        | 188                                      |
| Russia                        | 710 5.2                    | 2,392                 | 50,596                        | 163                                      |
| Japan                         | 442 3.2                    | 1,472                 | 31,136                        | 100                                      |
| Brazil                        | 303 2.2                    | 1,012                 | 21,406                        | 69                                       |
| Canada                        | 280 2.0                    | 920                   | 19,460                        | 63                                       |
| UK                            | 180 1.3                    | 598                   | 12,649                        | 41                                       |
| Finland                       | 34 0.25                   | 115                   | 2,433                         | 8                                        |
and textured components or new design solutions. This kind of improvements need to be introduced by the machine producers and will come out on the market, when the new products are launched.

New technologies for friction and wear reduction are summarised below based on more detailed descriptions in six previously published reports [12, 15, 17–19, 24] and some additional recent publications.

7.1 Lubricants

Mineral-based oil is the traditional way of lubricating sliding components and still constitutes the largest consumption by volume in the world. Synthetic-based oils are in the rise and increased in usage over the years due to their much attractive thermal and oxidative stability and longer life. Both oils provide good tribological properties as they wet and attach effectively to a steel surface, provide low shear between the sliding surfaces, and have a good load carrying capacity even under highly loaded line or point contacts. By and large, these oils still represent the largest volumes used in transportation and other industrial sectors. The load carrying property has been improved over the years by advances in viscosity index improvers, anti-wear, and anti-friction additives. Tribological research has shown that there are several ways to further improve the performance of traditional mineral oil lubrication such as:

1. **Nanotechnology based anti-friction and anti-wear additives.** The structure, property, and performance characteristics of the lubricant film are especially important in the very thin, elasto-hydrodynamic (EHD), and boundary lubricated (BL) contacts. The protecting fluid and boundary film thickness may be only a few micrometres and in many cases even much below one micrometre. Such contacts may be heavily loaded in certain applications by a nominal contact pressure of up to 3–4 GPa and these oils are still expected to provide low shear and good protection against wear and scuffing. As a result of concerted efforts, very low friction, even as low as a coefficient of friction of 0.005, has been measured in the presence of friction modifier additives like glycerol mono-oleate (GMO) or pure glycerol when lubricating tetragonal amorphous carbon coatings [33–35]. Nanomaterials with very promising tribological properties under investigation are carbon-based additives including nano-diamonds, onion-like carbons, carbon nanotubes, graphene, graphite as well as some inorganic fullerenes of transition metal dichalcogenides, like MoS₂ and WS₂, and copper, polymeric and boron-based nanoparticles [36–43].

2. **Low viscosity oils.** Our analysis has shown that viscous losses and shear in hydrodynamic contacts (HD) result in significant energy losses. If the lubricant viscosity can be further reduced while the low-friction and anti-wear functions are maintained, a very large energy saving in engines could be achieved [44, 45]. One alternative to mineral and synthetic-based hydrocarbon oils is the polyalkylene glycol (PAG)-based lubricants with lower viscosity and better environmental compatibility [46]. The use of organic friction modifiers [47], liquid crystal mesogenic fluids [48] and ionic liquids [49–52] have also provided low friction when used as additives in lubricating oils. Coefficients of friction even below 0.001 has been reported when using in a series of novel additives in such fluids [53]. Under conditions involving the uses of a mild acidic liquid and a metallic and/or ceramic material, friction coefficients of less than 0.01 have been achieved by triggering repulsive double-layer forces through the generation and adsorption of hydrogen, hydroxyl and hydronium ions on the opposing surfaces [54]. It has been suggested that by introducing artificial boundary slippage in HD journal bearings, the load carrying capacity can be considerably increased while the friction is reduced by 60% [55].

3. **Vapour phase lubrication.** In many lubricated tribological contacts, for example in roller bearings, the volume of the lubricant that is effective and needed for the tribological action in the contact is only a small fraction of the lubricant volume that is provided to the system. The non-active volume of the lubricant causes viscous losses. Such losses are very much reduced in vapour phase lubrication where a stream of gas transports the vapourised lubricant to the mechanical system. Vapour phase lubrication is especially beneficial in high temperature environments where liquid oil lubrication cannot operate and in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) where the capillary effect of liquid lubrication is a problem [12, 56–60].
7.2 Materials

The materials used in tribological components have a big influence on both wear and friction. Search for new and more effective material solutions has intensified in recent decades for increased toughness, strength, hardness, all of which impact durability, and light-weightiness which also improves efficiency in vehicles. At the same time, a myriad of novel solid lubricants and their coatings has been developed to reduce wear and friction in both dry and lubricated contacts by even orders of magnitude. In particular, low-dimensional materials (such as Bucky-balls, nanotubes, nanosheets, and nano-onions of carbon- and boron-based solids as well as various transition metal dichalcogenides) have been proven to be very effective in reducing friction and wear of sliding surfaces under dry and lubricated contacts. Besides these, there have been significant strides in surface treatment and engineering fields providing very thick, hard, and slick surfaces for severe tribological applications involving abrasive, erosive, or adhesive wear. All and all, nowadays, there exist several innovative materials technologies that can improve the friction and wear properties of tribological components. Some of these advances are summarized below:

1. **New materials.** The erosive wear can be reduced by changing traditional cast iron to rubber coated surfaces in, e.g., pumps and pipe lines. Change from metallic component to polymers will normally reduce friction while ceramics are tribologically beneficial to use both in oil and water lubricated contacts [61]. Recently, research efforts in high-entropy alloys intensified and mainly because of their very distinct structures and compositions, they were shown to exhibit unusual physical and mechanical properties [62] as well as impressive resistance to wear and corrosion [63, 64]. Further, a new breed of non-ferrous materials (such as aluminium) named covetics consisting as much as 6 wt.% carbon has recently been developed and promises to offer much enhanced mechanical and hence tribological properties in lightweight Al alloys potentially making them suitable for sliding powertrain applications [65]. Although not new, a class of new nickel-titanium alloys, e.g., Nitinol 60 were shown to combine high hardness with superelasticity enabling unusual load-bearing capacity and other desirable tribological attributes [66]. Under boundary lubricated conditions with castor oil, such alloys were shown to exhibit friction coefficients below 0.01 [67].

2. **Material treatment and surface modification.** The increase of surface hardness, toughness and wear-resistance can be achieved by a variety of methods. Case carburizing, nitriding, or boronizing are classical examples that have been in use for many decades to combat friction and wear under abrasive, adhesive, and erosive conditions. More exotic methods such as shot-peening [68, 69] and friction-stir processing [70] were shown to structurally modify top surfaces at micro/nano-scales which in turn provide much improved friction and wear properties under both dry and lubricated conditions [71]. During the past decade, interest in additive manufacturing has grown exponentially for making three dimensional objects initially from polymers, but lately from metal or ceramic powders for all kinds of applications [72–74]. Tools used in additive manufacturing have become very versatile to manufacture 3D objects that can also incorporate super-hard and/or self-lubricating solids for improved friction and wear properties in numerous applications [75, 76]. The incorporation of hard and low-friction materials onto the top surfaces by various techniques including laser surfacing, particle plasma ablation, etc., can substantially increase hardness, stiffness and wear performance of the surfaces [77, 78]. The interest in cold-spray processes for friction and wear control has also intensified in recent years. They have the potential of alleviating thermal distortions and residual stress build-up which are very common with laser cladding and other similar techniques which are also used for enhanced wear resistance. They are particularly attractive for low-melting point Al and Mg alloys [79].

Traditional thermal diffusion processes, such as nitriding, carburizing, vanadizing, and boriding or boronizing are still used extensively to improve corrosion, mechanical and tribological properties of ferrous alloys. In these processes, nitrogen, carbon, or boron diffuse into the near surface regions of the workpieces and react with the metallic constituents, like Fe, and thus form thick and hard reaction layers.
Compared to quenching, such thermal diffusion processes can provide much higher resistance to corrosive, adhesive, abrasive, and erosive wear. All the thermal diffusion processes mentioned are unfortunately very slow. It may take several hours to whole day to achieve desired thicknesses or case depths. They are also energy intensive and environmentally unfriendly as they produce large volumes of CO₂ and hence expensive. Recently, an ultra-fast boriding process was developed which reduced the processing time to minutes, i.e., achieving 50 μm thick boride layer in 15 min instead of 6–8 hours with conventional pack-boriding method. It was demonstrated that such thick and hard boride layers can afford very low wear to sliding surfaces under both dry and lubricated conditions [80].

3. Thin surface coatings. Adding a thin layer (typically some few micrometres thick) of another material on the top surface can radically reduce friction and wear. This is often done in vacuum chambers by physical and chemical vapour deposition techniques (PVD and CVD). Strong materials for reducing wear both on tools and in machine components are ceramic coatings such as TiN, CrN, WC/Co, AlTiN, NiSiC, etc. Amorphous and lattice materials such as diamond like carbon (DLC) and molybdenum disulphide (MoS₂) have been especially efficient in reducing friction even down to a coefficient of friction of 0.01 and below. The thin coatings can be further improved by processing nanostructures and nanolayered coatings [14, 39, 81–84]. As a new concept, researchers have also designed smart catalytically active nano-composite layers with an ability to crack long-chain hydrocarbon molecules of base oils and turn them into diamond-like carbon tribofilms and other forms of carbon nanostructures on rubbing surfaces [41]. The resultant tribofilms were proven to be very slick and highly protective against wear and if and when worn away, they were shown to self-heal by a catalytic reaction with the lubricant.

4. Thick composite surface coatings. Thermal spraying, welded overlays, cladding and electroplating are examples of techniques used to reduce wear in heavily loaded conditions. The coating thickness is typically in the range of 0.1–50 mm. The surface is improved by the new material added which often may have a composite structure. Generally, the wear resistance of the coatings increases with their density and cohesive strength. Composite structures with carbide particles embedded into an often metallic matrix with higher elasticity and toughness provide good wear protection. A porous surface structure can be beneficial in lubricated sliding contacts as the oil pockets in pores can improve the lubrication and avoid starvation. Popular coatings to provide good wear resistance are, e.g., WC/Co, WC/Ni, WC/CoCr, CrC/NiCr, and Co-Cr-Si-Mo alloys [29, 85–89].

7.3 Component design

The design of components and the mechanical system has a great influence on both friction and wear. Tribology is a fairly new and evolving field of technology so it is still common that friction and wear aspects have been poorly considered as design criteria for mechanical systems. With proper tribological design can, e.g., the stresses in loaded contacts be reduced, lubricant access be improved, the contact space and number of contacts be reduced, lubrication mechanisms be optimised and severe wear mechanisms be avoided. Below is given only a few examples of tribological solutions for improved component design:

1. Surface texturing. The roughness and surface topography have a remarkable influence on friction and wear. Properly designed dimples, grooves and protrusions prepared on micro- or nanoscale can have a very beneficial effect. The controlled lubricant flow on microscale improves load carrying capacity and reduces friction. Laser surface texturing of piston rings has reduced fuel consumption of engines by 4% and micro-dimples produced by fine particle shot-peening has reduced friction by up to 50% [90–96].

2. Micro sensors and actuators. The engines in road transportation run in transient conditions with big changes in load and speed conditions over a broad operating range and the properties of the lubricant degrades due to time and operational effects. For this reason, the engines are typically over-designed to meet the worst possible conditions. With implementing modern micro sensors and actuators can the actual operating conditions continuously be recorded and allow for example the bearing system to be adjusted to optimise design characteristics throughout the operating range of the engine as an compensatory
strategy for mechanical wear protection. New design can allow the adjustment of the bearing area to the highest loaded region of a journal bearing. This allows modulation of bearing load capacity and its inherent friction loss [12, 97, 98].

7.4 New methodologies

The design of tribological components for optimal friction and wear performance is a very complex task if all relevant influencing variables and interactions are properly considered over scales from nano- to macro level. Traditional design includes consideration of some of the main parameters but new methodologies make it possible to perform a more accurate and comprehensive optimisation and consider a larger range of interactions and effects. Three important and rapidly evolving new methodologies are:

1. **Integrated computational material engineering (ICME)**. Multiscale integrated material modelling and simulation based on sophisticated computer codes, finite element and other advanced modelling techniques offers a new tool for design of tribological contacts. The interactions of material behaviour, coatings and composite structures and lubricant mechanisms can be modelled over relevant scales and the performance and durability optimised with a comprehensive approach. Tribologically important but complicated features such as surface topography, thin surface films and substrate microstructures have been integrated in a 3D computer model with relevant data from nano to macro scale and used for simulation of tribological performance [99–102].

2. **Nanotechnology**. Tribological components have traditionally been designed on macroscale based on micro and macroscale understanding of the tribological contacts. Nanotechnology with molecular, atomic and even subatomic scale tools for material characterisation, computational modelling and even empirical testing makes it possible to investigate the basic physical and chemical contact mechanisms [103]. This information can then be used for more accurate and rigid tribological design. Besides the enhanced understanding of fundamental friction and wear mechanisms through advanced modelling and simulation approaches, many research efforts have also explored the potential usefulness of all kinds of nanomaterials for controlling friction and wear in powder and colloidal forms. Much of these studies focused on graphene and other 2D materials like h-BN, MoS$_2$, etc. Out of these studies, a large body of knowledge have emerged in recent years. When the cost, reliability and environmental health and safety issues have been addressed, it looks that these materials may provide great opportunities for all kinds of tribological applications [104–106].

3. **Biomimetics**. The nature has solved the task of controlling friction and wear in many genius ways far beyond what modern technology can offer. The hierarchical multiscale organisation and use of composite multiscale structures provides biological systems with the flexibility needed to adapt to the changing environment. The biological materials are grown without final design specifications, but by using the recipes and recursive algorithms contained in their genetic code. The remarkable properties of the biological materials can serve as source of inspiration for new technological solutions. A number of ideas for biomimetic tribological design and materials have been suggested such as the lotus effect for non-adhesive surfaces, the Gecko effect for controlled adhesion, the scorpion effect for reduced erosive wear, the shark-skin effect for suppression of turbulence, the Darkling beetle effect for water capturing, the sand fish lizard effect for moving in loose sand, dynamically tuneable surfaces for controlled liquid vs matter flow, micro-textured surfaces for controlled friction, as well as self-lubricating, self-cleaning, self-healing and de-icing biomimetic surfaces [16].

8 Discussion

8.1 Development over the last 50 years

In the Jost report [1] it was concluded that 515 million UK pounds can be saved annually after ten years of intensive and large scale implementation of new tribological technology in the UK industry. The structure of the savings is shown in Fig. 13. Much of this implementation has been done by different actions both from the government and private sector. The figure also shows the corresponding potential savings in UK today, 50 years later, calculated from
the data generated in this work. It shows that still today it would be possible to achieve about the same level of savings by implementing new technology. The estimated savings in 1966 were 1.36% of GNP and today they would be 1.39% of the GNP. This can sound surprising since much technology has already been implemented over the last 50 years.

The explanation can be found when studying the structure of the savings. Fifty years ago 95% of the savings were related to wear, wear failures, breakdown and lifetime costs. The machines and equipment have over the years developed very much by new technology and they are now much more reliable, failure and breakdown is rare and maintenance costs are low. This can be seen from the figure.

It is interesting to note that fifty years ago it was estimated that 20% of the savings would come from increased lifetime but in our calculations of today that component has been considered negligible. Our understanding is that this is explained by the present situation that wear out of products is not anymore the main reason for buying a new product. Even if a car would last for 100 years, who would like to drive in the same car the whole lifetime? Even if the products still function people want to buy new products because of new design, new colours, new added functions like automation, new IT-communication possibilities and net connections, etc.

Another important observation is that the role of friction in cost savings has grown from 5% to 74%. We understand that there are two explanations for this. One is that especially over the last twenty years there have been new scientific and technological findings resulting in a breakthrough in friction reduction that could by no way have been predicted fifty years ago. In tribology textbooks written some thirty years ago it was commonly stated that the lowest coefficient of friction between two solid surfaces is 0.08 in a UHMWPE (common trademark Teflon) polymeric contact. Today superlubricity is an established field of tribology where coefficients of friction even down to 0.0001 have been reported.

The second explanation is that the role of energy consumption is much more important today. If there was high friction in some device in the old days you just put in more power to overcome the friction. It could well be done with low energy prices. Today we need to be much more cautious with the energy consumption due to limited recourses, higher prices and greenhouse gas emissions.

The recent US report on tribological opportunities for enhancing America's energy efficiency [12] in the future presents new calculations and technologies for energy savings that are in line with this work. They identify 20 EJ (= 2.1% of the GNP) of energy that could be saved annually through technologies enabled by targeted research support in tribology. Areas where breakthroughs in tribology is needed to achieve this are low viscosity and intelligent lubricants, self-healing ultrathin tribofilms, advanced sensors and actuators for lubricant delivery modulation, nanomaterial fillers in tires, high temperature bearing lubricants, reliable wind turbine drive trains, nanoelectromechanical switches, triboelectric nanogenerators and vapour phase lubrication.

8.2 Confidence in the used method, data and sources

In the four previously published case studies on passenger cars, trucks and buses, paper machines and mining industry, we calculated in great detail the friction losses from microscale tribological contacts considering the prevailing friction and lubrication mechanisms. The wear was calculated by correlating energy costs for friction losses to costs for wear and actions due to wear failures. The accuracy of the calculations depends on the accuracy of the data that we could extract from open sources. In many cases
we have found good statistical data to use but there have also been cases of lack of reliable data that were needed. In such cases we have used estimations based on our best expert understanding. A summary of main results from the studies is in Appendix 3.

Especially for the calculations of friction and wear impact in the four economic sectors there has been little data available on the whole sector related to tribological impact. In some areas like in transportation there is very good and detailed statistical data that can be used even if data on global scale is missing. In some other areas, like in, e.g., rock mining or residential there is very little useful data available. In these areas we have studied the structure of the machinery and equipment used and correlated it to the area where we have detailed information in the four case studies.

We have all the way through the calculation process crosschecked our results with data from available sources and made corrections accordingly. Thus we are convinced that the results from the calculations are in the right order of magnitude and show the relevant trends even if the absolute values should not be considered as precise.

9 Conclusions

Calculations of the impact of friction and wear on energy consumption, economic expenditure, and CO₂ emissions are presented on a global scale. This impact study covers the four main energy consuming sectors: transportation, manufacturing, power generation, and residential. Previously published four case studies on passenger cars, trucks and buses, paper machines and the mining industry were included in our detailed calculations as reference data in our current analyses. The following can be concluded:

– In total, ~23% (119 EJ) of the world’s total energy consumption originates from tribological contacts. Of that 20% (103 EJ) is used to overcome friction and 3% (16 EJ) is used to remanufacture worn parts and spare equipment due to wear and wear-related failures.

– By taking advantage of the new surface, materials, and lubrication technologies for friction reduction and wear protection in vehicles, machinery and other equipment worldwide, energy losses due to friction and wear could potentially be reduced by 40% in the long term (15 years) and by 18% in the short term (8 years). On global scale, these savings would amount to 1.4% of the GDP annually and 8.7% of the total energy consumption in the long term.

– The largest short term energy savings are envisioned in transportation (25%) and in the power generation (20%) while the potential savings in the manufacturing and residential sectors are estimated to be ~10%. In the longer terms, the savings would be 55%, 40%, 25% and 20%, respectively.

– Implementing advanced tribological technologies can also reduce the CO₂ emissions globally by as much as 1,460 MtCO₂ and result in 450,000 million Euros cost savings in the short term. In the longer term, the reduction can be 3,140 MtCO₂ and the cost savings 970,000 million Euros.

Fifty years ago, wear and wear-related failures were a major concern for UK industry and their mitigation was considered to be the major contributor to potential economic savings by as much as 95% in ten years by the development and deployment of new tribological solutions. The corresponding estimated savings are today still of the same orders but the calculated contribution to cost reduction is about 74% by friction reduction and to 26% from better wear protection. Overall, wear appears to be more critical than friction as it may result in catastrophic failures and operational breakdowns that can adversely impact productivity and hence cost.
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### Appendix 1  Friction and wear impact on global energy loss, costs and emissions

| Parameter | Energy Share | Energy Cost | Energy Emission | Wear Share | Wear Cost | Wear Emission | Tribology total | Friction total |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| **Unit**  | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ |
| **Transportation** | 110000 | 30 | 33000 | 594000 | 2225 | 3300 | 130680 | 225 | 36500 | 724680 | 2479 |
| **Industry** | 116000 | 20 | 23200 | 417600 | 1585 | 3248 | 146160 | 222 | 26448 | 563760 | 1806 |
| **Energy industry** | 167000 | 20 | 33400 | 601200 | 2281 | 7348 | 318636 | 502 | 40748 | 919836 | 2783 |
| **Residential etc.** | 135000 | 10 | 13500 | 243000 | 922 | 1890 | 85050 | 129 | 15390 | 328050 | 1051 |
| **Total** | 528000 | 103100 | 1855800 | 7042 | 15786 | 680526 | 1078 | 118886 | 2536326 | 8120 |

### Appendix 2  Savings and reductions by tribology

#### Short term, 8 years

| Parameter | Energy losses | Energy savings | Costs | Costs savings | Emissions | Emission savings |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|---------------|-----------|------------------|
| **Unit**  | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ |
| **Transportation** | 33000 | 3300 | 25 | 8250 | 825 | 9075 | 594000 | 130680 | 25 | 148500 | 32670 | 181170 | 2225 | 25 | 563 | 56 | 620 |
| **Industry** | 23200 | 3248 | 10 | 2320 | 325 | 2645 | 417600 | 146160 | 10 | 41760 | 416160 | 563760 | 1585 | 222 | 10 | 158 | 22 | 181 |
| **Energy industry** | 33400 | 7348 | 20 | 6680 | 1470 | 8150 | 601200 | 318636 | 20 | 120240 | 63727 | 183967 | 2281 | 502 | 20 | 456 | 100 | 557 |
| **Residential etc.** | 13500 | 1890 | 10 | 1350 | 185 | 1539 | 243000 | 85050 | 10 | 24300 | 85050 | 32805 | 922 | 129 | 10 | 92 | 13 | 105 |
| **Total** | 103100 | 15786 | 18 | 18600 | 2808 | 21408 | 1855800 | 680526 | 16 | 334800 | 119518 | 454318 | 7042 | 1078 | 16 | 1270 | 192 | 1462 |

#### Long term, 15 years

| Parameter | Energy losses | Energy savings | Costs | Costs savings | Emissions | Emission savings |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------|---------------|-----------|------------------|
| **Unit**  | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ | PJ % PJ MEuro MtCO₂ |
| **Transportation** | 33000 | 3300 | 55 | 18150 | 18150 | 19965 | 594000 | 130680 | 55 | 326700 | 71874 | 398574 | 2254 | 225 | 55 | 1240 | 124 | 1364 |
| **Industry** | 23200 | 3248 | 25 | 5800 | 812 | 6612 | 417600 | 146160 | 25 | 104400 | 36540 | 140940 | 1585 | 222 | 25 | 396 | 55 | 452 |
| **Energy industry** | 33400 | 7348 | 40 | 13360 | 2939 | 16299 | 601200 | 318636 | 40 | 240480 | 127454 | 367934 | 2281 | 502 | 40 | 912 | 201 | 1113 |
| **Residential etc.** | 13500 | 1890 | 20 | 2700 | 378 | 3078 | 243000 | 85050 | 20 | 48600 | 17010 | 65610 | 922 | 129 | 20 | 184 | 26 | 210 |
| **Total** | 103100 | 15786 | 39 | 40010 | 5944 | 45954 | 1855800 | 680526 | 35 | 720180 | 252878 | 973058 | 7042 | 1078 | 35 | 2733 | 406 | 3139 |
Appendix 3  Summary of friction and wear savings as calculated in five studies [15, 17–19]

| Term                          | Savings | Economic savings | Emission reduction | Energy savings | Part of global energy | Included in calculations |
|-------------------------------|---------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                               | years   | %                | G Euro             | G Euro         | MtCO₂                 | MtCO₂                  | EJ                        | EJ                        | %                        |                         |
| Passenger cars, 2012          | 7.5     | 20               | 18                 | 61             | 174                   | 576                    | 290                      | 960                      | 4                        | 13.5                    | 7.5                      | Friction                 |
| Trucks & buses, 2014          | 6       | 10               | 14                 | 37             | 105                   | 280                    | 200                      | 530                      | 2.6                      | 7.2                      | 4.5                      | Friction                 |
| Paper machines, 2013          | 10      | 22.5             | 11                 | 23.6           | 2                     | 4.2                    | 11                       | 23                       | 0.036                    | 1                        | Friction                 |
| Mining industry, 2017         | 10      | 20               | 15                 | 30             | 31                    | 62                     | 145                      | 290                      | 1                        | 2                        | 2.3                      | Friction & wear           |
| World total 2017              | 8       | 15               | 15                 | 30             | 410                   | 830                    | 2800                     | 5700                     | 19                       | 39                       | 100                      | Friction & wear           |
| World energy consumption, EJ  | 528     |                  |                    |                |                       |                        |                          |                          |                          |                          |                         |                         |
| - Savings, % of world energy  | 4.1     | 8.7              |                    |                |                       |                        |                          |                          |                          |                          |                         |                         |
| World GDP, G Euro            | 70,000  |                  |                    |                |                       |                        |                          |                          |                          |                          |                         |                         |
| - Savings, % of GDP           | 0.65    | 1.39             |                    |                |                       |                        |                          |                          |                          |                          |                         |                         |
| World emission, MtCO₂         | 36,000  |                  |                    |                |                       |                        |                          |                          |                          |                          |                         |                         |
| - Savings, % of all CO₂ emiss.| 4.1     | 8.7              |                    |                |                       |                        |                          |                          |                          |                          |                         |                         |

Appendix 4  Conversion factors

Energy conversions

- 1 kWs = 1 kJ
- 1 kWh = 3.6 MJ
- 1 Mtoe = million tonnes of oil equivalent
- 1 Mtoe = 41,868 TJ
- 1 Btu = 1,055 J
- 1 Quad = 1,055 EJ
- 1 kWh = 0.06 Euro as global average 2014
- 1 hour labour = 6 Euro salary cost as global average 2014
- 1 PJ => result in 0.0683 MtCO₂ emissions
- 1 PJ => has the price of 18 M€

Diesel fuel conversions

- 1 liter = 0.832 kg
- 1 MJ = 0.028 liter
- 1 liter = 35.9 MJ
- 1 kg = 43.1 MJ
- 1 kg => 3.16 kg CO₂ emission
- 1 liter => 2.63 kg CO₂ emission
- 1 liter = 0.7 Euro as global average 2014
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