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Single-Disulfide Conopeptide Czon1107, an Allosteric Antagonist of the Human α3β4 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor
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Abstract: Conopeptides are peptides in the venom of marine cone snails that are used for capturing prey or as a defense against predators. A new cysteine-poor conopeptide, Czon1107, has exhibited non-competitive inhibition with an undefined allosteric mechanism in the human (h) α3β4 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). In this study, the binding mode of Czon1107 to hα3β4 nAChR was investigated using molecular dynamics simulations coupled with mutagenesis studies of the peptide and electrophysiology studies on heterologous hα3β4 nAChRs. Overall, this study clarifies the structure–activity relationship of Czon1107 and hα3β4 nAChR and provides an important experimental and theoretical basis for the development of new peptide drugs.
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1. Introduction

Acetylcholine acts on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) [1], which are responsible for regulating synaptic transmission in the central and peripheral nervous systems [2–5], anti-inflammation in the cholinergic system [6], and the growth of cancer cells [7]. The nAChRs are ligand-gated ion channels in a pentameric arrangement of seventeen different subunits (α1–α10, β1–β4, δ, γ, and ε) with a central pore [8–10], an intracellular domain (ICD), a transmembrane domain (TMD), and an extracellular domain (ECD). The ECD of two adjacent subunits constitutes the ligand-binding site, which consists of loops A, B, and C of one subunit and the β-sheet of the complementary subunit.

Neuronal nAChRs are associated with cognitive activity, pain perception, learning and memory formation, and neuronal development in humans, thus therapeutics that act on nicotinic synaptic transmission may be useful for the treatment of pain, memory disorders, Parkinson’s disease, schizophrenia, and nicotine addiction [5,10].

The α3β4 nAChR (Figure 1) is the predominant subtype in the autonomic ganglia, adrenal medulla, and subsets of nerve cells in the medial habenula, nucleus interpeduncularis, dorsal medulla, pineal gland, and retina [11]. Furthermore, they are associated with nicotine addiction, drug abuse, and the development of lung cancer [12].
Conopeptides that include disulfide-rich conotoxins (Ctx) and non-disulfide-rich peptides are small peptides from the venom of marine Conus snails [13], which are used for capturing prey or as a defense against predators [13], and act on ion channels, transporters, G protein-coupled receptors, and enzymes [14–18]. They are categorized into superfamilies based on sequence and framework homology and pharmacological families based on their targets [19]. Several superfamilies have been characterized, including A-, O-, T-, P-, I-, S-, and M- that possess two to five disulfide cross-links [20–24].

Conopeptides have attracted attention as potential therapeutics and several have entered clinical trials such as the non-addictive analgesic ω-Ctx MVIIA [12]. In addition, α-Ctxs Vc1.1 and RgIA have been reported to exhibit analgesic properties in rat behavioral models of neuropathic pain [24,25]. Owing to their structural stability, relatively small size, and target specificity, conopeptides are regarded as ideal molecular probes for target validation and peptide drug discovery.

Currently, most of the reported conopeptides targeting nAChRs are the widely studied A-superfamily α-Ctxs, which contain 12 to 18 amino acids including two pairs of disulfide bonds. The disulfide bridges are critical in constraining the conopeptides into a bioactive conformation and variations in cysteine connectivity also affect the pharmacological properties of conopeptides [26,27]. However, cysteine-poor conopeptide antagonists of the nAChRs are rarely studied. Therefore, this is a new field of study on the activity of conopeptides with one disulfide bond acting on nAChRs.

The C. zonatus Czon1107 only has a single disulfide bond and in fluorescence bioassays on heterologous ha3β4 nAChRs expressed in SH-SY5Y cells, Czon1107 non-competitively inhibits nicotine-evoked currents with a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}) of 15.7 μM [28]. However, the allosteric mechanism of Czon1107 inhibition has not been investigated. Thus, in this study, the binding mode of Czon1107 to ha3β4 nAChR was predicted by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and analogues of conopeptide Czon1107 were synthesized and tested on ha3β4 nAChRs heterologously expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes using the two-electrode voltage clamp technique. Overall, the inhibitory mechanism of Czon1107 in ha3β4 nAChR putatively involves hydrophobic interactions between Czon1107 residue F2 and α3 residues P264 and P271, and hydrogen bonds between Czon1107 residue R3 and residues S225 and T280 of the α3 subunit.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of α-conotoxin Czon1107 and Analogues

The peptides (Table 1) were synthesized by solid-phase Fmoc chemistry, as described previously [29]. As Czon1107 and its analogues contain only one disulfide bond, they can be formed directly under I\textsubscript{2} oxidation. The fully oxidized product was separated and...
purified by reversed-phased high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). The molecular weight and purity were confirmed by electrospray ionization–mass spectrometry (ESI–MS) and analytical HPLC (Figures S1–S28).

Table 1. Czon1107 and its analogues.

| No. | Name       | Sequence       |
|-----|------------|----------------|
| 1   | Czon1107   | GFRSPCPPFC#    |
| 2   | Czon1107-G1A | AFRSPCPPFC#   |
| 3   | Czon1107-F2A | GARSPCPPFC#   |
| 4   | Czon1107-R3A | GFASCPPFC#    |
| 5   | Czon1107-S4A | GFRAPCPPFC#   |
| 6   | Czon1107-P8A | GFRSPCPAFC#   |
| 7   | Czon1107-F9A | GFRSPCPPAC#    |
| 8   | Czon1107-G1R | RFRSPCPPFC#   |
| 9   | Czon1107-P2R | GFRSPCPPFC#   |
| 10  | Czon1107-S4R | GFRRPCPPFC#   |
| 11  | Czon1107-P5R | GFRRPCPPFC#   |
| 12  | Czon1107-P7R | GFRSPCPFRFC#  |
| 13  | Czon1107-P8R | GFRSPCPFRFC#  |
| 14  | Czon1107-F9R | GFRSPCPPRRC#  |

#-indicates C-terminal amidation

2.2. Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulations of ha3β4 nAChR Bound with Czon1107

CoDock is an efficient tool for peptide docking, which integrates the shape singularity, knowledge-based scoring function, and site constraint [30]. Using CoDock, we docked ha3β4 nAChR (PDB code: 6PV7) with Czon1107 (PDB code: 6KN2) several times and compared the convergence of each result. From this, we obtained the initial model (Figure 2), suggesting Czon1107 is mostly distributed in the TMD region of ha3β4 nAChR. Three docking models with the highest reproducibility (Figure 2C–E) were selected for the 200 ns molecular dynamics simulation (MD) (Figure S29).

![Figure 2. Structure of the human (h)α3β4 nAChR (PDB ID: 6PV7) (A). Side view of ha3β4 nAChR docked with Czon1107. (B). A magnified view of ha3β4 nAChR bound with nicotine (magenta). (C–E). Postulated binding sites of Czon1107 at ha3β4 nAChR. The α3 and β4 subunits are colored green and cyan, respectively, and conopeptide Czon1107 is orange. M2, M3, M4, and MX represent the TMD α-helices. (F). Top view of the ha3β4 nAChR TMD docked with Czon1107.](image)

As the three suggested Czon1107 binding sites are located at the lower half of the receptor, only the ha3β4 nAChR TMD and ICD models were simulated. The MD simulations proposed that the interaction site is located at the junction of the α3 subunit’s ECD and TMD (Figure 2C) as it had the most favorable physicochemical environment such as hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions.
2.3. Activity of Czon1107 Analogues at hα3β4 nAChRs

Mutational analysis is widely used to study the relationship between the sequence and structure of peptides [28,31]. In order to identify the residues involved in the interaction between hα3β4 nAChR and conopeptide Czon1107, an alanine (Ala)-scan mutation was carried out on Czon1107. The activity of the synthesized Czon1107 Ala analogues at 10 µM (close to the reported IC50 of Czon1107 at hα3β4 nAChR [28]) was determined on ACh-evoked currents at hα3β4 nAChRs (Figures 3 and S30). All peptides inhibited hα3β4-mediated ACh currents in a reversible manner. Three of the Ala analogues (G1A, P8A, and F9A) exhibited similar activity to Czon1107, whereas each Ala substitution of residues from Phe at position 2 to Ser at position 4 (F2A–S4A), significantly reduced the activity of the peptide (10–20% inhibition, \( p < 0.05 \), \( n = 5–6 \)).

In addition to the hα3β4 nAChRs, Czon1107 inhibited nicotine-evoked currents at hα7 nAChRs (IC50 of 77.2 ± 0.05 µM) [30]. A comparison between hα3β4 and hα7 putatively suggested that Czon1107 residue F2 likely forms a hydrophobic interaction with the hydrophobic P264 residue of hα3β4 nAChR compared to the corresponding hydrophilic and charged D266 residue of hα7 (Figure S3). To some extent, our model also explains the selectivity of the spatial position between hα3β4 and hα7 nAChRs.

![Figure 3](image-url). The inhibitory activity of Czon1107 and its analogues (10 µM) on ACh-evoked peak current amplitude mediated by hα3β4 nAChRs. (A). Representative superimposed ACh (300 µM)-evoked currents mediated by hα3β4 nAChRs, obtained in the absence (control, black trace) and presence of 10 µM Czon1107 and its analogues (red lines). (B). Bar graphs of relative inhibition by Czon1107 analogues (10 µM) of ACh-evoked current amplitude mediated by hα3β4 nAChRs (mean ± SD, \( n = 5–8 \)) (* \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.0001 \)). The dashed line indicates relative inhibition of wild-type Czon1107.

Based on the results of MD, Czon1107 is putatively embedded in a binding pocket (Figure 4A) on the α3 subunit. In the binding model (Figure 4B,C), residue F2 forms hydrophobic interactions with the α3 subunit residues P264 and P271, whereas the R3 residue is relatively crucial as it forms hydrogen bonds with α3 S225 and T280 (Figure 4E). Thus, it is speculated that Ala substitution at both Czon1107 residues abolishes the interactions as reflected in the significant loss of inhibitory activity on hα3β4 ACh-evoked currents. Additionally, the hydroxyl group of S4 played a role in sustaining the stability of the peptide by forming intramolecular hydrogen bonds with the carbonyl group of F2. Thus, the reduced activity of the S4A analogue could be attributed to peptide stability perturbation. In terms of spatial position, Czon1107 P8 is externally oriented toward the α3 subunit, therefore it lacks a suitable interaction force with the surroundings. Residue G1 had no obvious interactions with the α3 subunit, thus, alanine replacement had no effect on the activity...
of the peptide. F9 and L468 formed a hydrophobic interaction and Van der Waals’ force (VDW), and removal of the aromatic side chain of F9 resulted in a slight potency decrease for the F9A mutant.

**Figure 4.** Binding modes of Czon1107 at hα3β4 nAChR. (A). Czon1107 is embedded in the α3 subunit binding pocket via hydrogen bonds. (B,C). Top and side views of Czon1107 at the α3 subunit, respectively. Various amino acid residues of Czon1107 form interactions with amino acids of hα3β4 nAChR. The α3(+) interface is shown in green, β4(−) in cyan and Czon1107 in orange. (D). The substituted arginine residue of Czon1107[G1R] putatively forms H-bonds (red dashed line) with the carbonyl and hydroxyl groups of hα3β4 threonine (T262). (E). The arginine residue (R3) of Czon1107 forms H-bonds (red dashed line) with hα3β4 threonine (T280) and serine (S225). (F). The substituted arginine residue of Czon1107[P7R] forms H-bonds (red dashed line) possibly with the hydroxyl groups of hα3β4 threonine (T214) and carbonyl groups of proline (P210).

To further investigate the effects of charge on electrophysiological activity and potentially optimize the activity, we performed an arginine (Arg)-scan mutagenesis on Czon1107. The replacement of residues at positions 1 and 7 with the positively charged Arg slightly enhanced the potency of Czon1107 in inhibiting the hα3β4 nAChRs (Figure 3). Both the G1R and P7R analogues inhibited ~50% of the ACh-evoked current amplitude compared to ~30% inhibition by Czon1107 (p < 0.0001, n = 6). The improved activity of Czon1107[G1R] could be explained by the formation of hydrogen bonds with the carbonyl and hydroxyl groups of T262 (Figure 4D). Furthermore, swapping P7 with Arg residue putatively formed hydrogen bonds (Figure 4F) with the hydroxyl groups of T214 and carbonyl groups of P210.

In contrast, Arg substitution of residues F2, S4, and F9 reduced the inhibitory activity of the peptide at hα3β4 nAChRs. In comparison to Czon1107, all three analogues inhibited the ACh-evoked current amplitude by 5–20% (p < 0.05, n = 7–9). The hydrophobic interactions formed between F2 and P264 and P271 were removed by the introduction of arginine at this position, resulting in a significant decrease in activity for Czon1107[F2R]. Similarly, the F9R mutation resulted in a decrease in inhibitory activity due to the removal of the hydrophobic interactions and the VDW between F9 and L468. In addition, as the S4 residue is embedded in the binding pocket, S4R mutation could introduce steric hindrance, which could be responsible for the significant decrease in activity for Czon1107[S4R] in comparison to the wild-type peptide. No significant change in activity at hα3β4 nAChRs was observed for Czon1107[P5R] and Czon1107[P8R].
In addition to the $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChRs, Czon1107 inhibited nicotine-evoked currents at $\alpha_7$ nAChRs (IC$_{50}$ of 77.2 µM) [28]. A comparison between $\alpha_3\beta_4$ and $\alpha_7$ putatively suggested that Czon1107 residue F2 likely forms a hydrophobic interaction with the hydrophobic P264 residue of $\alpha_3\beta_4$ nAChR compared to the corresponding hydrophilic and charged D266 residue of $\alpha_7$ (Figure S31). To some extent, our model also explains the selectivity of the spatial position between $\alpha_3\beta_4$ and $\alpha_7$ nAChRs.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis of $\alpha$-Conotoxin Czon1107 and Its Analogues

Peptides were synthesized using the standard solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) as reported previously [29]. After saturating with a mixed solution of dimethylformamide (DMF): dichloromethane (DCM) (1:1) for 4 h, 20% of piperidine solution was used in rink amide resin (loading amount 0.631 mmol/g) in order to remove the Fmoc protecting group (30 min). Amino acids (4.0 equivalent), (O-1H-6-chlorobenzotriazole-1-y1)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluoro-phosphate (HCTU; 4.0 equivalent) and N, N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA; 8.0 equivalent) were added in DMF for 1 h at room temperature and washed three times with DCM and DMF. After the completion of the chemical reaction, 20% piperidine solution (30 min) was used to remove the last Fmoc protective group and washed three times with DCM and DMF. Addition of 10 mL trifluoroacetic acid (TFA): triisopropylsilane: water (9:0.5:0.5) were reacted at a constant temperature on a shaker for 3 h to remove the peptide from the resin. After washing the resin with DCM three times, the filtrate was combined. After removal of excess TFA, the peptide was then precipitated in cold diethyl ether, washed three times with diethyl ether, and dissolved in an H$_2$O/acetonitrile (1:1) mixture at a peptide concentration of 0.1 mg/L. Then, the reaction was purified by reversed-phased high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) (Tabitha™ WD-C18 column 20 × 250 mm, 10 µm), using a gradient of buffer A (90% H$_2$O, 10% acetonitrile, 0.05% TFA) and B (90% acetonitrile, 10% H$_2$O, 0.05% TFA) of 100% to 40% for 1 h with a flow rate of 6 mL/min. Subsequently, the peptide was oxidized by adding 5 mg/mL iodoacetonitrile under a closed environment at 28 °C for about 2 h. After the reaction was finished, an ascorbic acid buffer was added to quench the reaction. The oxidized product was purified by RP-HPLC, and the separation conditions were the same as above. This was followed by concentration under vacuum and lyophilization of the peptide as a white powdery solid; the product was stored at −20 °C.

3.2. Xenopus laevis Oocyte Preparation and Microinjection

All procedures were approved by the University of Wollongong Animal Ethics Committee (project number AE2003). Female X. laevis were sourced from Nasco (Fort Atkinson, WI, USA) and a maximum of four frogs were kept in a 15 L aquarium at 20–26°C with a 12 h light/dark cycle. Oocytes (Stage V–VI Dumont’s classification; 1200–1300 µm in diameter) were removed from X. laevis by surgical laparotomy and defolliculated with 1.5 mg/mL collagenase Type II (Worthington Biochemical Corp., Lakewood, NJ, USA). Oocytes were obtained from three frogs. Defolliculation was done at room temperature (21–24 °C) for 1–2 h in OR-2 solution containing (in mmol/L) 82.5 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 5 HEPES, pH 7.4. Plasmid pT7TS constructs of $\alpha_3$ and $\beta_4$ were linearized with XbaI (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) for in vitro T7 mMessage mMachine®-cRNA transcription (AMBION, Foster City, CA, USA). Oocytes were injected with 5 ng of $\alpha_3\beta_4$ cRNAs at $\alpha_3$ to $\beta_4$ ratio of 1:1 (concentration confirmed spectrophotometrically and by gel electrophoresis) using glass pipettes as described previously [32]. Oocytes were incubated at 18 °C in sterile ND96 solution composed of (in mM) 96 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, and 5 HEPES at pH 7.4, supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 50 mg/L gentamicin (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY, USA) and 10,000 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin (GIBCO).
3.3. Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp Recording of Oocytes and Data Analysis

Two-electrode voltage clamp recordings were carried out on *X. laevis* oocytes expressing hα3β4 nAChRs. Voltage clamp recordings were performed using a GeneClamp 500B amplifier and pClamp9 software interface (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at a holding potential of −80 mV at room temperature. Oocytes were impaled with two micro-electrodes filled with 3 mol/L KCl. Voltage recording and current injection electrodes were both made of GC150T-7.5 borosilicate cate glass (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA) and had a resistance of 0.3–1 MΩ when filled with 3 mol/L KCl. Oocytes were continuously perfused with ND96 solution (2 mL/min). Three ACh applications were then performed with 300 µM ACh solution (half-maximal effective concentration at hα3β4 nAChR) [32]. After perfusion was stopped, oocytes were incubated with the peptide for 5 mins and then with flowing ND96 solution, ACh was added to peptide co-application. There was a 3 min washout time between perfusions. All peptides were tested at 10 µM, close to the reported IC50 of wild-type Czon1107 at hα3β4 nAChRs [28]. The peptide solutions were prepared in ND96 + 0.1% FBS. Oocytes were incubated with 0.1% FBS to ensure that the pressure of the FBS and perfusion system had no effect on the nAChRs. Peptides were tested on the same oocyte whenever possible. Peak ACh-evoked currents before and after peptide incubation were quantitated using Clampfit 10.7 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and relative current amplitudes (I ACh+peptide / I ACh ) were applied to assess peptide activity at hα3β4 nAChRs. The activity of the peptides was compared using an unpaired Student’s t-test (GraphPad Prism 9 Software, La Jolla, CA, USA), and it was deemed statistically significant with values of p < 0.05.

3.4. Docking and Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulations

The crystal structure of the protein was retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Czon1107-WT was docked to the hα3β4 nAChR in the CoDockPP web server (http://coddockpp.schanglab.org.cn/, accessed on 17 November 2021). The produced top 10 docked conformations of the Czon1107-WT were selected for further analysis and those with the optimum binding modes were used for subsequent MD simulations to further optimize the structure.

MD simulations were carried out in AMBER 20 software using ff19SB force field [33]. The hα3β4 nAChR bound with Czon1107 was inserted into a bilayer containing a 2:2:1 mixture of POPC (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine): POPE(1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine): cholesterol, similar to Grossfield et al. [34], with a dimension of 125 × 125 × 129 Å and the system solvated with OPC water molecules. Then, Na+ was added to the whole system to make it appear electrically neutral with an overall concentration of 0.15 M in CHARMM-GUI (http://www.charmm-gui.org, accessed on 18 January 2022) [35] and energy optimization of the whole system was carried out. The temperature of the system was gradually increased to 310 K and was equilibrated for 500 Ps in NVT and NPT ensembles, respectively, with the protein and lipids restrained with 10 kcal/mol/Å2 force. For the second heating phase, an anisotropic Berendsen weak-coupling barostat was used to equilibrate the pressure in addition to the use of the Langevin thermostat to equilibrate the temperature. Then, the position restraints on the membrane were withdrawn and the system was simulated for 20 ns in NPT. The position restraints on the protein were then gradually withdrawn in 10 steps of 5 ns MD simulations. Afterward, an unrestrained production run was performed. In the production run, the temperature was controlled using the Langevin thermostat and pressure was controlled using the anisotropic Berendsen barostat. The software VMD [36] (http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/, accessed on 26 January 2022) was used to analyze the motion trajectory after MD simulations and calculate the RMSD value of the resulting conformation.

4. Conclusions

Conopeptides such as AuIB [37], RegIIA [38] and their analogues antagonize a3β4 nAChR in a competitive manner. Some conopeptides, including the globular disulfide
isomer of AuIB [26], GeXIVA [39], and ImII [40], which have multiple disulfide bonds and complex synthesis pathways, have been suggested as non-competitive nAChR antagonists. Uniquely, Czon1107, a single disulfide bond conopeptide, also inhibits the α3β4 nAChR in a non-competitive manner.

This study gives insight into the structure–activity relationship of Czon1107 and hα3β4 nAChR. Through molecular docking and MD simulations, the favorable binding site for Czon1107 is putatively located at the α3 subunit. The MD simulations of the interactions also suggest that Czon1107 residue F2 forms hydrophobic interactions with residues P264 and P271 of the α3 subunit. Furthermore, Czon1107 residue R3 interacts with residues S225 and T280 of the receptor via hydrogen bonds. These findings provide an experimental and theoretical basis for the structure optimization and mechanisms of action of Czon1107 and its analogues. Based on the current experimental results, multi-site mutation of Czon1107 and α3 subunit is a promising research direction for elucidating a potent conopeptide at the α3β4 nAChR.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at http://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/md20080497/s1, Figures S1–S28: HPLC and MS spectra of Czon1107 and its analogues; Figure S29: RMSD of Czon1107 bound to hα3β4 nAChR after MD for 200 ns. Figure S30: The activity of the labelled Czon1107 analogues on ACh-evoked peak current amplitude mediated by hα3β4 nAChRs. Figure S31: Residue F2 of Czon1107 interacts with hα3β4 and hα7 nAChRs.
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