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A Neutral Heteroatomic Zintl Cluster for the Catalytic Hydrogenation of Cyclic Alkenes

Oliver P. E. Townrow, Cheuk Chung, Stuart A. Macgregor, Andrew S. Weller,* and Jose M. Goicoechea*

ABSTRACT: We report on the synthesis of an alkane-soluble Zintl cluster, \([\eta^4\text{Ge}_8\text{(Hyp)}_3]\)Rh(COD), that can catalytically hydrogenate cyclic alkenes such as 1,5-cyclooctadiene and cis-cyclooctene. This is the first example of a well-defined Zintl-cluster-based homogeneous catalyst.

Liquid metal alloys have found applications in catalysis, flexible electronics, and the synthesis of new materials.\(^1\) As catalysts they have proved to be active in processes such as alkane dehydrogenation\(^2\)–\(^3\) and the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide.\(^4\) Typically, such catalysts employ transition metals in conjunction with low-melting-point main-group elements (e.g., gallium, indium, tin, lead, or bismuth), although it is worth noting that in the catalytic dehydrogenation of methane, for example, the main-group-element “solvents” have been shown to be moderately active in the absence of transition metals.\(^2\) Despite these applications, the nature of the catalytically active species present in such melts remains elusive, and in situ monitoring represents a significant challenge.

With this in mind, we set out to develop well-defined molecular models of transition-metal/main-group-element alloys (TMMGAs) in an effort to model structure and probe reaction mechanisms that occur for molten alloys. For this purpose, we targeted late transition metals supported on main-group-element clusters, given that cluster-like moieties are postulated to exist in liquid metal alloys.\(^1\) As a support, we chose \([\text{Ge}_8\text{(Hyp)}_3]\)^\(^\ast\) \((\text{Hyp} = \text{Si(SiMe}_3)_2)\)^\(^\ast\) This cluster, and related species with heteroleptic exo substituents,\(^5\) have been employed as ligands in the coordination chemistry of transition metals.\(^6\) Herein we demonstrate that \([\text{Ge}_8\text{(Hyp)}_3]\) can act as a suitable platform for the synthesis of hydrocarbon-soluble TMMGAs and that these species are catalysts for the hydrogenation of 1,5-cyclooctadiene (COD) (and cis-cyclooctene (COE)). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first instance of the use of Zintl clusters in homogeneous catalysis.\(^7\)–\(^9\)
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**Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1**
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Dark-red crystals of 1 suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained in 88% yield from an n-hexane solution stored at –80 °C (Figure 1). The asymmetric unit contains two crystallographically inequivalent clusters with similar bond metrics (see the Supporting Information). Only one of these is shown. The cluster adopts a \(C_{2v}\)-symmetric bicapped square-antiprismatic geometry in which the Rh(COD) fragment occupies one of the capping positions. From an electron-counting perspective, this is a close-deltahedral species with 22 electrons available for cluster bonding. The hypersilyl resonances in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum at 0.47 and 0.53 ppm and resonances corresponding to a coordinated COD ligand at 2.06, 2.41, and 4.31 ppm. As the compositional purity of 1 was determined by combustion analysis and dissolution of crystals of this compound (which showed the presence of only one isomer by single-crystal X-ray diffraction) gave rise to the same ratio of species at room temperature, we suggest that this minor component corresponds to an isomer of unknown connectivity, possibly \([\eta^4\text{Ge}_8\text{(Hyp)}_3]\)Rh(COD) (cf. compound 2 below). There is no exchange between the two isomers on the NMR time scale, as probed by variable-temperature NMR studies (193–333 K), but it does appear that the minor isomer is fluxional in this temperature range (see Figures S8 and S9).
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[Ge₉(Hyp)₉]⁻ cluster coordinates to the rhodium(I) atom in an \( \eta^3 \) mode. While this binding mode has not been previously observed for such silylated clusters, it is well-documented for substituent-free clusters such as [E₂M(CO)₅]⁻ (E = Sn, Pb; M = Cr, Mo, W) and [E₂Ir(COD)]⁻ (E = Sn, Pb). The Rh–Ge bond distances in 1 range from 2.496(2) to 2.581(2) Å, while the distances to the coordinated COD ligand vary between 2.168(11) and 2.218(12) Å. These latter values are similar to those in related complexes featuring a Rh(COD) moiety (mean Rh–C distance 2.16(5) Å), such as [(Mes)-Rh(COD)][SbF₆] (Mes = 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene; mean Rh–C distance 2.148 Å).

Reaction of 1 with 1 equiv of 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe) results in displacement of the coordinated COD ligand to afford [\( \eta^3 \)-Ge₉(Hyp)₉]Rh(dppe) (2) (Scheme 2). In contrast to 1, the \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}[^1\text{H}]\) NMR spectra of this compound in \( \text{C}_6\text{D}_6 \) reveal the presence of a single resonance corresponding to the hypersilyl groups (\(^1\text{H}\), 0.46 ppm; \(^{13}\text{C}[^1\text{H}]\), 3.96 ppm) in addition to resonances corresponding to the dppe ligand. This observation suggests a change in the coordination mode of the cluster with the rhodium metal center, which was confirmed by the presence of only two cross-peaks in the \(^1\text{H}[^29\text{Si}]\) HMBC NMR spectrum at −84.4 and −9.8 ppm. A single doublet resonance was observed in the \(^{31}\text{P}[^1\text{H}]\) NMR spectrum at 43.9 ppm [\(^{31}\text{P} \text{Rh}[/Rh] = 160 \text{ Hz} \) ]. This is comparable to those of other closo clusters such as the metallacarborane 2-Ph-closo-1,2,3,4-Rh(dppe)C₆B₁H₈ (\( \delta^{31}\text{P} \) 57.5 ppm, \( \text{J} \text{Rh}[/Rh] = 165 \text{ Hz} \) ).

Crystals of 2 were grown from concentrated n-pentane solutions at −40 °C. The single-crystal X-ray structure (Figure 2) confirms that there is a change in the binding mode of the cluster. This presents inequivalent phosphine and hypersilyl environments, in contrast to the solution NMR data. This points to a dynamic process on the NMR time scale in solution that provides time-averaged \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_6 \) symmetry (see Figure S15). An alternative dissociative process that generates a charge-separated ion pair, [Rh(dppe)(\( \eta^2\text{-C}_6\text{D}_6 \))] [Ge₉(Hyp)₉], is discounted because no evidence for [Rh(dppe)(\( \eta^2\text{-C}_6\text{D}_6 \))]⁺ was observed in the NMR spectra. Variable-temperature NMR experiments (in \( \text{C}_6\text{D}_6 \) at 193 K; Figure S14) did not result in any significant change. Related [\( \eta^3\)-Ge₉(Hyp)₉]-functionalized clusters are also fluxional and exhibit a single resonance for the hypersilyl substituents in their \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra.

While electronically similar to 1, the Rh(dppe) fragment in 2 now occupies one of the five-connected vertices of the bicapped square-antiprismatic structure. The Rh–Ge distances are in the range of 2.561(1) and 2.837(1) Å, which are longer than comparable distances in 1 (cf. 2.496(2)–2.581(2) Å). This may be a result of the significant steric clash between the hypersilyl and phenyl groups. The Rh–P distances (2.286(1) and 2.356(1) Å) are as expected for related compounds featuring a Rh(dppe) fragment (mean Rh–P distance 2.27(6) Å).\(^{21}\) such the 2-Ph-closo-1,2,3,4-Rh(dppe)C₆B₁H₈ cluster, which has Rh–P distances of 2.232(1) and 2.285(1) Å.\(^{21}\)

Compound 1 has a surface of accessible lone pairs from the unsubstituted germanium vertices. Reaction with 2 equiv of Ni(COD)$_2$ yields a species that exhibits two singlet resonances in its \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum, suggesting two inequivalent hypsilyl groups, that are accompanied by two sets of resonances for coordinated COD ligands in a 2:1 ratio (Scheme 3). Crystallization of this compound from n-pentane and a resulting structural analysis revealed a cluster with two Ni(COD) fragments that associate with the triangular faces of the [\( \eta^1\)-Ge₉(Hyp)₉]Rh(COD) core (see Figure S21). Conversion of 1 to 3 is quantitative, and both of the isomeric forms...
of 1 observed in solution cleanly convert to a single species. This is also the case with the synthesis of 2.

Compound 1 was evaluated as a catalyst for the hydrogenation of COD or COE to give cyclooctane (COA) (eq 1):

\[
\text{COD or COE} + 2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{COA}
\]

While this is a well-known reaction for homogeneous Rh precatalysts,\textsuperscript{23,24} as far as we are aware, this is the first example in which a Zintl cluster acts as a homogeneous catalyst.\textsuperscript{23,24}

Initial in situ screening using NMR spectroscopy (2 mol % 1, 1 atm \text{H}_2, [alkene]_0 = 0.31 M, C_D views 298 K) showed that COD was hydrogenated considerably more slowly than COE (8.5 h vs 15 min). Aware of the problems with mass transport effects in NMR-scale reactions,\textsuperscript{25} we collected kinetics data using a system open to flowing \text{H}_2 (1 atm) and stirred, with individual time/concentration points coming from separate quenched experiments as measured using \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. Under these conditions, hydrogenation was much slower (days for COD hydrogenation), suggesting a positive order in \text{H}_2. The temporal profiles for the two substrates were also very different from one another (Scheme 4A). While monitoring of the COD hydrogenation showed steadily decelerating consumption of substrate and production of COA, for COE a more complex sinusoidal profile was observed, with induction, acceleration, and then deceleration phases. The generation of a colloidal catalyst from 1 in COD hydrogenation was discounted, as addition of Hg during productive catalysis did not affect the observed rate.\textsuperscript{26} Complex 1 was observed as the principal resting state using both substrates, but its concentration decreased significantly over time. For COE hydrogenation, an additional minor species that shows Rh(olefin) resonances is observed to grow in and then disappear. Addition of \text{H}_2 to complex 1 in the absence of substrate resulted in the formation of an unidentified precipitate over 8 h, while recharging post-catalysis resulted in no turnover. Both observations suggest a slow \text{H}_2-promoted decomposition. To resolve this rather complex set of observations, we modeled the processes occurring using COPASI.\textsuperscript{27}

Scheme 4A shows the resulting fits to the kinetics data, which arise from a model that operates for either COD or COE starting points and was iterated on the observed concentrations of substrates, intermediates, products, organometallic speciation, and slow decomposition with a constant excess of \text{H}_2. The COE hydrogenation cycle was first modeled, and specific rate constants were fixed, after which the model was used for the COD analysis. The resulting simulation recreates the multiple temporal profiles satisfactorily, giving confidence that it captures the essential elements of the catalytic manifold. Briefly described, slow hydrogenation of 1 results in an intermediate species, \text{Rh(COE)}, which we propose is the species observed in low concentrations during COE hydrogenation. \text{Rh(COE)} then undergoes either further (endergonic) reaction with \text{H}_2 to eventually form INT, or simple substitution by COD to return 1 and give free COE (observed). Under COD hydrogenation conditions, reaction of INT with COD again returns 1. With COE, \text{Rh(COE)} is formed. Both cycles produce COA. While the observed induction period in COE hydrogenation is explained by slow \text{Rh(COE)} buildup, for both substrates compound 1 is the principal resting state. The deceleration at longer reaction times in both COE and COD hydrogenations and the reduction in [1] are captured by the inclusion of a slow decomposition process with \text{H}_2 that reduces [Rh]_{total} as observed experimentally in the absence of COD. Complex 2 is not an active catalyst, consistent with the strongly bound dppe ligand, whereas the reaction with 3 is significantly slower than that with 1, taking 1 week to effect only 50% conversion of COD (NMR tube, 4 atm \text{H}_2). Interestingly, free COE is the major product at this point (COE:COA = 9:1). Binding of the two Ni(COD) fragments to the core of 1 significantly alters the electronics of the cluster (as evidenced by pronounced changes to bond metric data—see Figure S21). We postulate that this has an effect on the strength of the Rh−COD interaction, which in turn alters the kinetics of the reaction.

In order to probe the viability of the proposed mechanism, key reaction pathways were probed using density functional theory calculations (see Scheme 5 and Figures S30 and S31). Because of the 18-electron configuration of 1, the most accessible pathway begins with an \eta^2-\eta^1 dissociation of the COD ligand, with a barrier of +19.6 kcal/mol. The resulting 16-electron Rh(I) intermediate then reversibly adds \text{H}_2 to form a Rh(III) dihydride intermediate at +16.8 kcal/mol relative to 1. Migratory insertion then produces an agostically stabilized alkyl hydride that can rearrange via a non-agostic alkyl hydride intermediate, allowing reductive coupling to form \text{Rh(COE)} at −1.9 kcal/mol. The overall barrier for the formation of \text{Rh(COE)} from 1 is +27.1 kcal/mol with a turnover-limiting step corresponding to the reductive coupling process. Displacement of COE by COD may occur at this point to reform 1, or \text{Rh(COE)} may itself undergo hydrogenation. This second hydrogenation occurs by a similar...
pathway, albeit with a lower overall barrier of +16.5 kcal/mol toward a final intermediate (INT) that is rapidly converted to 1 in the presence of COD. These relative barriers and energies of the intermediates account for the experimental observations of an induction period for COE hydrogenation, the faster hydrogenation of COE compared with COD, and the observed speciation during catalysis.

To conclude, we have shown that metal-functionalized Zintl clusters can be employed as homogeneous catalysts and act as molecular models for more complex catalysts such as TMMGAs that are challenging to study in situ. The well-defined structures of these clusters and the ease with which the cluster composition can be tuned may ultimately allow for the custom synthesis of heteroatomic compounds tailored for bespoke catalytic processes.
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