The Effects of Local Food-Based Enteral Nutrition to Improve Nutritional Status of Post-Stroke Patients
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Abstract

Objective We used local-based enteral formula for post-stroke patients to see its effects on the nutritional status.

Materials and Methods This is an experimental research with a pre- and post-test study design in post-stroke patients. Participants underwent clinical and laboratory examinations to assess their nutritional status before and after the enteral nutrition supplementation. The enteral formula preparation, containing arrowroot powder, cork fish, tempeh (fermented soybeans), nondairy creamer, and pumpkin, was performed in a nationally standardized Food Processing Technology Laboratory in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. The enteral formula was given twice a day for 3 consecutive weeks in addition to the patients’ daily meal.

Statistical Analysis Nutritional indices before and after enteral nutrition supplementation were analyzed using t-test. All statistical analyses were at 5% significance level.

Results Of the 22 post-stroke patients, we used the cutoff point of National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale ≥3 and Barthel Index <90 to represent dependency. Our findings showed significantly reduced mid-upper arm circumference and increased body mass index in independent post-stroke patients after the enteral nutrition supplementation. The tendency of increasing total cholesterol level should be carefully watched in dependent post-stroke patients.

Conclusion Local food-based enteral nutrition supplementation for 3 consecutive weeks in post-stroke patients may improve their nutritional status.
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Introduction

Malnutrition is determined as a condition that leads to poor clinical outcome in many patients.¹ Acute stroke and post-stroke patients are prone to malnutrition.² ³ Malnutrition status during hospital stay of acute stroke treatment is considered as an independent risk factor for developing complications, mortality, prolonged hospital length of stay, and medical costs after 6 months of post-stroke.⁴ ⁵ In addition, more than 50% stroke patients were discharged with malnourished status that is further complicated with dysphagia,
During hospital stay of acute stroke treatment, nutritional treatment becomes one of the holistic approach to support the patient’s care. The food products should be maintained for easy consumption and digestion as well as managed to fulfill the daily nutritional needs. Nutritional supplementation is given individually as necessary, for instance, dietary supplements with high protein, high essential fatty acids, high vitamin and mineral, or high-fiber content. A proper food and supplement’s composition in the diet support a quick recovery as well as prevent the risk of developing disease’s complications.6

However, malnutrition may still develop during adult patients’ hospitalizations, regardless their nutritional status on the day of admission.7 Furthermore, the nutritional treatment for the post-stroke patient may be neglected after hospital discharge due to depression, poor family care, delayed rehabilitation, and history of malignancy or severe alcoholism.8,9 Therefore, simple nutritional preparation for post-stroke patients that is convenience for the caregivers should be considered.

Stroke, particularly acute ischemic stroke, is known to cause high catabolic signaling that leads to muscle wasting.10 Therefore, post-stroke patients need high energy, protein, and antioxidants, as well as other micronutrients in their daily dietary nutrition. Nowadays, special liquid food mixture containing macro- and micronutrients has been widely developed to include the available local food ingredients with reasonable price.11 We previously developed food-based enteral nutrition from potential local food that widely grows in Indonesia.12 The use of this enteral formula is not only limited in the hospital but also at home.

In this study, we used the local-based enteral formula for nutritional supplementation of post-stroke patients to see its effects on the nutritional status based on the nutritional indices and laboratory parameters.

Materials and Methods

This is an experimental research with a pre- and post-test study design in a group of post-stroke patients without any control.

Subjects of the Study

Post-stroke patients who were the members of Indonesian Stroke Society (Yayasan Stroke Indonesia) in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, participated in this study. All post-stroke patients who participated in this study were confirmed eligible by their attending physician and met the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria for this study are post-stroke patients who were discharged from the hospital for at least 6 months and signed the informed consents. The exclusion criteria for this study are patients who were suffered from diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure and allergic to any fish product. This study was approved by The Ethical Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Public Health, and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia (No. KE/FK/0691/EC/2017).

Enteral Nutrition Preparation

We selected the following local foods for the main ingredients of the enteral nutrition: the arrowroot powder as energy source, cork fish (Channa striata), tempeh (locally-made fermented soybeans), and nondairy creamer as protein source, yellow pumpkin as fiber, vitamin, and mineral source, and low-glycemic index sugar. These ingredients were all collected from several local places, for instance, the arrowroot powder and tempeh were acquired from the local certified distributor in Yogyakarta, the fresh cork fish and yellow pumpkins were freshly obtained from the local farmer in Yogyakarta and Central Java, and other ingredients were purchased from the traditional market or supermarket in Yogyakarta.

The preparation of the enteral nutrition was performed as reported in our previous study.13 In brief, all ingredients were powdered, mashed using blender, and then filtered using pharmaceutical strainer. Nutrition content was analyzed in each powdered ingredient. The powder form of the ingredients was then calculated to contain 160.55 kcal of energy, 8 g of protein, 4.6 g of fat, 22 g of carbohydrate, 3.8 g of total fiber, 12.8 ppm of antioxidant activity, 0.03 QE/gram flavonoid, and 0.08 mg of phenol per serving. Each enteral nutrition serving was then packaged individually. The enteral nutrition preparation from fresh ingredients until individual packaging was performed in a nationally standardized Food Processing Technology Laboratory in Yogyakarta, Indonesia (Laboratorium Teknologi Proses Pangan Lokal LIPI, Yogyakarta, Indonesia).

Enteral Nutrition Supplementation

All study participants underwent clinical and laboratory examinations before and after the enteral nutrition supplementation. The following clinical parameters were measured: body mass index (BMI), mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), and body fat percentage. The following laboratory parameters were examined: blood albumin level, blood urea level, blood creatinine level, blood hemoglobin level, fasting blood glucose level, total cholesterol, sodium, and potassium.

The enteral nutrition was supplemented twice a day for 3 consecutive weeks in addition to the patients’ daily meal. A routine follow-up was performed weekly to ensure the patients’ compliance, assess any allergic reactions, monitor the patients’ nutritional indices, and perform counselling as needed. We considered the subjects as drop out if the enteral nutrition consumption was less than 75% in total for the indicated time period of 3 weeks.

Statistical Analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, unless specified otherwise. The data homogeneity was analyzed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test. Nutritional indices of the subjects before and after enteral nutrition supplementation were analyzed using t-test. The analyses were performed using SPSS version 14.0. All statistical analyses were at 5% significance level.
Results

Of the 24 post-stroke patients who initially consented to follow the study, there were 22 patients who completed the study. Two post-stroke patients were excluded due to less than 75% consumption of the enteral nutrition supplementation and loss to follow-up. The data of these 22 post-stroke patients were included in further study analyses. Baseline characteristics of the subjects before they received enteral nutrition treatment were presented in Table 1.

We performed further data analysis according to the post-stroke patients’ functional and severity scale to describe whether the post-stroke patients’ dependency may give contrasting effect. We used the cut-off point of National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) ≥ 3 and Barthel index (BI) < 90 to represent the dependent post-stroke patients.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of post-stroke patients before enteral nutrition supplementation

| Parameters                                      | Mean ± SD (n = 22) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Age (y)                                         | 64.5909 ± 7.8173    |
| Gender                                          |                     |
| Male, n = 20 (90.9%)                            |                     |
| Female, n = 2 (9.1%)                            |                     |
| Nutritional and clinical parameters             |                     |
| Height (cm)                                     | 165.3182 ± 5.49793  |
| Body weight (kg)                                | 67.7955 ± 10.93437  |
| Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²)                   | 24.7879 ± 3.67662   |
| Mid-Upper arm circumference (MUAC) (cm)         | 30.1273 ± 3.63438   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)                 | 141.2857 ± 16.38030 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)                | 89.9048 ± 10.75130  |
| Body fat percentage (%)                         | 26.4227 ± 5.0129    |
| Stroke severity and functional score            |                     |
| National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) | 2.1905 ± 2.4823     |
| NIHSS ≥ 3 (n = 8, 36.36%)                       |                     |
| Barthel index                                   | 92.381 ± 16.0949    |
| Barthel Index < 90 (n = 4, 18.18%)              |                     |
| Laboratory parameters                           |                     |
| Blood albumin level (g/dL)                      | 4.6409 ± 0.2971     |
| Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)                   | 93.2857 ± 23.00683  |
| Blood urea level (mg/dL)                        | 32.2857 ± 9.91031   |
| Blood creatinine level (mg/dL)                  | 1.2305 ± 0.38011    |
| Blood uric acid level (mg/dL)                   | 6.6476 ± 1.36184    |
| Total cholesterol level (mg/dL)                 | 189.5714 ± 29.16431 |
| Blood sodium level (mmol/L)                     | 144.2381 ± 1.48003  |
| Blood potassium level (mmol/L)                  | 4.2048 ± 0.33982    |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Discussion

In this study, we found that dependent post-stroke patients showed almost no change in nutritional indices both from clinical and laboratory parameters after 3 weeks enteral nutrition supplementation, except for a slight change in the increased total cholesterol level. In contrast, more independent post-stroke patients showed increase in body weight, BMI, and blood creatinine level; as well as decrease in MUAC and blood sodium level.

Although MUAC and BMI were two anthropometries used for assessing nutritional status, our findings showed contradictory results that more independent post-stroke patients showed decreased MUAC and increased BMI after enteral nutrition supplementation (Table 2). Although the statistical analysis showed insignificant results, the dependent stroke patients also showed the tendency of decreased MUAC as well as increased BMI (Table 2). However, MUAC and BMI mean values in both groups were still in the overweight range before and after the enteral nutrition supplementation. Despite the positive and significant correlation between MUAC and BMI for assessing the nutritional status, several population studies showed that MUAC may be more accurate as a screening tool and predictive to measure outcome, especially in patients whose body height and weight were difficult to measure. Using MUAC as a more accurate screening tool, our findings may suggest that MUAC may be more readily affected compared with BMI in the improvement of nutritional status. However, longer period of follow-up is necessary for further assessment of the nutritional status in these patients.

From the laboratory parameters, our findings showed that more dependent post-stroke patients showed increased total cholesterol level after the enteral nutrition supplementation. With neurological deficit and functional outcome that may significantly affect the normal daily living activity.

In dependent post-stroke patients with NIHSS ≥ 3, we found that total cholesterol level increased significantly after enteral nutrition supplementation. The mean total cholesterol level after the enteral nutrition supplementation was found to be slightly higher than the upper limit of normal cholesterol range. However, in post-stroke patients with NIHSS < 3, MUAC was found to be significantly declining (Table 2A). In addition, the independent post-stroke patients showed the tendency of increased body weight and BMI.

According to the BI, dependent post-stroke patients whose BI < 90 showed no significant difference in any clinical, nutritional, and laboratory parameters. However, there is a tendency of increased total cholesterol level after the nutritional supplementation. However, in post-stroke patients with BI ≥ 90, we found that their body weight and BMI significantly increased after routine scheduled enteral nutrition supplementation. The tendency of decreased MUAC was also found. In addition, this group showed increased blood creatinine level and decreased blood sodium level after the supplementation (Table 2B).
Table 2  Clinical, nutritional, and laboratory parameters before and after enteral nutrition supplementation according to stroke functional and severity score

| No. | Parameters | NIHSS < 3 (n = 14) | NIHSS ≥ 3 (n = 8) |
|-----|------------|--------------------|------------------|
|     |            | Mean               | Mean             | p-Value | p-Value |
| 1.  | Height (cm) |                     |                  |
|     | Pre        | 166.15             | 163.75           | –       | –       |
|     | Post       | 166.15             | 163.75           | –       | –       |
| 2.  | Body weight (kg) | 68.32 | 68.16           | 0.067   | 0.237   |
|     | Pre        | 69.04              | 71.26            | 0.237   | 0.254   |
|     | Post       | 69.04              | 71.26            | 0.237   | 0.254   |
| 3.  | BMI (kg/m²) |                     |                  |
|     | Pre        | 24.79              | 25.28            | 0.065   | 0.254   |
|     | Post       | 25.04              | 25.70            | 0.065   | 0.254   |
| 4.  | Mid-upper arm circumference (cm) | 30.21 | 30.18           | 0.029*  | 0.785   |
|     | Pre        | 29.36              | 29.36            | 0.029*  | 0.785   |
|     | Post       | 30.18              | 30.00            | 0.029*  | 0.785   |
| 5.  | Body fat percentage (%) | 26.63 | 25.72           | 0.299   | 0.323   |
|     | Pre        | 25.71              | 25.72            | 0.299   | 0.323   |
|     | Post       | 25.71              | 25.95            | 0.299   | 0.323   |
| 6.  | Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 141.69 | 142.71          | 0.818   | 0.520   |
|     | Pre        | 143.23             | 145.00           | 0.818   | 0.520   |
|     | Post       | 143.23             | 145.00           | 0.818   | 0.520   |
| 7.  | Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 90.00   | 90.85           | 0.754   | 0.811   |
|     | Pre        | 89.00              | 90.42            | 0.754   | 0.811   |
|     | Post       | 89.00              | 90.42            | 0.754   | 0.811   |
| 8.  | Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) | 96.25 | 89.75           | 0.664   | 0.405   |
|     | Pre        | 94.67              | 92.87            | 0.664   | 0.405   |
|     | Post       | 94.67              | 92.87            | 0.664   | 0.405   |
| 9.  | Blood urea level (mg/dL) | 35.67 | 26.37           | 0.286   | 0.303   |
|     | Pre        | 33.41              | 29.87            | 0.286   | 0.303   |
|     | Post       | 33.41              | 29.87            | 0.286   | 0.303   |
| 10. | Blood creatinine level (mg/dL) | 1.29 | 1.07            | 0.104   | 0.193   |
|     | Pre        | 1.33               | 1.14             | 0.104   | 0.193   |
|     | Post       | 1.33               | 1.14             | 0.104   | 0.193   |
| 11. | Blood uric acid level (mg/dL) | 6.74   | 6.32            | 0.638   | 0.370   |
|     | Pre        | 6.90               | 6.68             | 0.638   | 0.370   |
|     | Post       | 6.90               | 6.68             | 0.638   | 0.370   |
| 12. | Total cholesterol level (mg/dL) | 189.33 | 195.00         | 0.792   | 0.030*  |
|     | Pre        | 185.64             | 208.75           | 0.792   | 0.030*  |
|     | Post       | 185.64             | 208.75           | 0.792   | 0.030*  |
| 13. | Blood albumin level (g/dL) | 4.64 | 4.61            | 0.305   | 0.365   |
|     | Pre        | 4.70               | 4.77             | 0.305   | 0.365   |
|     | Post       | 4.70               | 4.77             | 0.305   | 0.365   |
| 14. | Blood sodium level (mmol/L) | 144.58 | 143.75         | 0.095   | 0.903   |
|     | Pre        | 143.00             | 143.87           | 0.095   | 0.903   |
|     | Post       | 143.00             | 143.87           | 0.095   | 0.903   |
| 15. | Blood potassium level (mmol/L) | 4.27   | 4.08            | 0.824   | 1.000   |
|     | Pre        | 4.25               | 4.08             | 0.824   | 1.000   |
|     | Post       | 4.25               | 4.08             | 0.824   | 1.000   |

Although statistically insignificant, the independent post-stroke patients may have the tendency of increased total cholesterol level after taking the enteral nutrition supplementation, particularly in patients whose BI were ≥ 90 (Table 2). We speculated that the increase in total cholesterol in dependent post-stroke patients may be affected by the lack of physical activities, particularly in elderly. On the other hand, the increased total cholesterol in independent stroke patients may be due to the uncontrolled diet. However, we did not record the daily dietary consumptions of the patients in this study other than the scheduled routine enteral formula twice a day. The increase cholesterol level as well as body fat accumulation may not be beneficial for post-stroke patients as hypercholesterolemia is a
well-acknowledged stroke risk factor that may increase the occurrence of recurrent acute ischemic stroke. Other laboratory parameters that showed significant difference in this study were increased blood creatinine level and decreased blood sodium level in independent post-stroke patients after the enteral nutrition supplementation. However, their values were still within normal limit.

Although the results of this study may show promising results, there were several limitations that should be addressed: the little number of the subjects, the short period
of follow-up to see the actual changes due to nutritional intake, the unrecorded data of the daily meal intake, the less variations of the subjects’ nutritional status, the undocumented data of the comorbidities of the post-stroke patients, and the unrecorded daily physical activities of the patients to describe energy expenditure. Therefore, further study in the future needs to be conducted in a larger number of subjects as well as a more detailed recording of the patients’ nutritional status, comorbidities, and physical activities.

Conclusion
Our findings showed that local food-based enteral nutrition supplementation for 3 consecutive weeks in post-stroke patients may improve nutritional indices in post-stroke patients. As for the laboratory parameters, the tendency of patients may improve nutritional indices in post-stroke supplementation for 3 consecutive weeks in post-stroke patients. The authors would like to deliver the deepest gratitude to the Indonesian Stroke Society (Yayasan Stroke Indonesia) in Yogyakarta for facilitating the study, Andre Steffanus Panggabean, MD for assisting in the statistical data, and provided statistical expertise. Mawaddah Ar Rochmah drafted the article, analyzed the data, and provided statistical expertise.
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