Molecular characterization of flaviviruses from field-collected mosquitoes in northwestern Italy, 2011–2012
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Abstract

Background: The genus Flavivirus comprises several mosquito-borne species, including the zoonotic pathogens West Nile and Usutu virus, circulating in animals and humans in Italy since 1998. Due to its ecological and geographical features, Piedmont is considered a risk area for flavivirus transmission. Here we report the results of a flavivirus survey (detection and genetic characterization) of mosquitoes collected in Piedmont in 2012 and the genetic characterization of three strains detected in 2011.

Methods: Pools of 1–203 mosquitoes, upon RNA extraction with TRIzol, were screened by a PCR assay for a 263 bp fragment of the Flavivirus NS5 gene. All positive samples were tested with a specific PCR for the E protein gene of Usutu virus and a generic Flavivirus RT-nested-PCR for a larger tract of the NS5 gene before sequencing. Phylogenetic trees were built with both NS5 fragments of representative Flavivirus species. DNA extracts of part of the positive pools were tested to detect sequences integrated in the host genome.

Results: Thirty-four mosquito pools resulted positive for flaviviruses, and twenty-five flavivirus sequences underwent phylogenetic analysis for the short NS5 fragment. Among the 19 sequences correlating with the insect-specific flavivirus group, ten samples, retrieved from Aedes albopictus, clustered within Aedes flavivirus, while the other nine aggregated in a separate clade composed of strains from various mosquito species (mainly Aedes vexans) from Piedmont and the Czech Republic. Six out of these nine also presented a DNA form of the sequence. The remaining sequences belonged to the mosquito-borne group: four, all from Culex pipiens, correlated to Italian Usutu virus strains, whereas two, from Ochlerotatus caspius, were highly similar to Marisma mosquito virus (MMV).

Conclusions: Our findings confirm the circulation of Usutu virus and of the potentially zoonotic Marisma mosquito virus in Piedmont. This is the first detection of Aedes flavivirus in Piedmont. Finally, further evidence for the integration of Flavivirus nucleic acid into the host genome has been shown. These results underline the importance of continuing intense mosquito-based surveillance in Piedmont, supported by a mosquito control program in areas at high risk for human exposure.
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Background
The genus Flavivirus, within the family Flaviviridae, comprises enveloped, single-stranded RNA viruses [1], most of which are arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses); it is considered a significant cause of emerging diseases in humans and animals worldwide [2]. Transmitted mainly by mosquitoes and ticks, flaviviruses are able to infect a wide range of hosts, including mammals and birds. The genus includes several major zoonotic pathogens responsible for viral haemorrhagic fevers (i.e., Yellow fever virus and Dengue virus), arboviral encephalitis such as the Tick-borne encephalitis virus, and the Japanese encephalitis group, including West Nile virus (WNV), Usutu virus (USUV), and St. Louis encephalitis virus [3].

Supported by phylogenetic analysis of genome sequences [4,5], flavivirus species have been grouped according to their antigenic properties and vector associations [6] into mosquito-borne (MBV), tick-borne (TBV), and no-known vector viruses (NKV), as described by Kuno and colleagues [4]. Isolation of novel unclassified flaviviruses from different mosquito species revealed the existence of a novel group diverging from the other known flaviviruses, named insect-specific flavivirus (ISF) [7]. The first described was the Cell fusing agent virus isolated on a mosquito cell culture [8]. To date, the ISF group includes several members, including the Kamiti River virus isolated in Kenya in 1999 [9,10], Culex flavivirus first isolated from Culex mosquitoes in Japan and Indonesia in 2003–2004 [11], and Aedes flavivirus (AeFV) found in Aedes albopictus in Japan in 2003–2004 [12]. In Europe, the first ISF isolation was reported in Spain from Cx. spp. and Ochlerotatus caspius collected in 2006 [13], although genomic sequences had already been detected in Oc. caspius, Aedes vexans, and Ae. albopictus in Italy [14,15], Portugal [16], and Spain [17,18]. Flavivirus-like sequences integrated in the genome of mosquitoes have been identified in different species of field-collected mosquitoes [14,19]. The integration of nucleic acid from non-retroviral RNA viruses by eukaryotic cells is a potential evolutionary mechanism that has not been unravelled so far [16,19].

The MBV group includes several human pathogens (Dengue, Yellow fever, WNV, USUV) able to replicate in vertebrate cells. Recently, novel species like Nounané and Tai forest virus in Cote d’Ivoire [20], Lammi virus in Finland [1], and Marisma mosquito virus (MMV) in Spain [13], detected in field mosquitoes and isolated only on insect cells, were included in the MBV group upon their genetic characterization. As reported in the literature, MMV successfully grew on C6/36 cell lines, whereas its growth on vertebrate lines (VERO and BHK-21) was supported only for the first passage, thus suggesting that MMV is only able to infect insect cells [13].

During the last decades, several arboviral outbreaks in both animals and humans in Europe have mainly been caused by emerging pathogens [21-25], resulting in the establishment of WNV and USUV through a local transmission cycle sustained by vectors and resident bird host populations. Italy has been the scene of several mosquito-borne epidemics: WNV, first detected in 1998 in horses [26], more recently caused neuroinvasive disease in humans in 2008–2013 [27-29]; Chikungunya virus (an Alphavirus of the family Togaviridae) was identified in Ae. albopictus mosquitoes and in humans in 2007 [30,31]; Usutu virus was associated with the first case of human infection with neurological involvement in 2009 [32,33].

A recent retrospective analysis detected USUV RNA in tissue samples archived in 1996 during an episode of unusual wild bird mortality in Tuscany (Italy). Partial sequencing confirmed identity with the 2001 Vienna USUV strain and all its descendants, providing evidence for a much earlier introduction of the virus into Europe than previously assumed [34].

The risk factors for flavivirus transmission in northwestern Italy (Piedmont region) include: an abundance of migratory/resident avifauna and mosquito species (e.g., Aedes spp. and Culex spp.), vectors competent for arbovirus transmission [35], and geographical features (Alps, rivers, lakes, extensive rice fields). Preliminary evidence for USUV and flavivirus infection in mosquitoes in Piedmont and bordering regions [15,36] prompted the establishment of a monitoring program in 2011 based on the integrated serological and virological surveillance of reservoir birds, horses and mosquitoes. During the first year of monitoring, WNV and USUV antibodies were detected in horses [37], and flavivirus RNA (including USUV) was found at a very low prevalence in mosquitoes, but no further molecular characterization was performed [38].

Here, we present the results of virological surveillance, in terms of flavivirus presence and prevalence, carried out on mosquitoes in Piedmont during the summer of 2012. In order to gain better insight into the evolutionary relationship of circulating mosquito flavivirus species, molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis were performed on the strains detected in 2011 and 2012.

Methods
Survey area and mosquito collection
Piedmont, in northwestern Italy, is divided into eight provinces (total area, 25,401.56 km²; population, 4,457,335 inhabitants). It is located at the western end of the Po Plain and is surrounded by the Alps on three sides (Figure 1). It is crossed by the Po river and bounded to the east by the Ticino river; it borders two other regions, Lombardy and Emilia-Romagna, with established and documented flavivirus circulation. Based on previous studies, which reported flavivirus circulation in mosquitoes in late summer [15,36,38], the virological surveillance
Figure 1 (See legend on next page.)
started on August 1st and sampling was performed every two weeks till October 12th, 2012. The number of CO2-baited traps selected in 2012 for flavivirus surveillance was increased to 32 (i.e., 17 more than in the previous year) in order to extend coverage of the region. The traps were placed in locations at high risk for the establishment of the vector-host flavivirus transmission cycle, according to risk-based factors such as proximity to wet areas (rice fields, Po and Ticino rivers), airports, farms with previous WNV seropositivity in horses, and an abundance of migratory and resident avifauna.

Species identification was based on morphological characteristics by means of standard classification keys [39,40]. Mosquitoes were maintained under cold chain conditions to preserve virus viability in the samples, pooled in a maximum of 203 specimens according to date, trap location and species, and stored at −80°C. Each pool was ground in 2 mL of PBS plus two 4.5 mm copper beads in a TissueLyser (Qiagen); the homogenates were clarified by centrifugation, and 200 μL of each supernatant were collected and stored in TRIzol® (Sigma-Aldrich) at −80°C until processing.

**Virus identification**

RNA extraction was performed with 600 μL of TRIzol® (Sigma-Aldrich) with a final elution in 50 μL of DNase-RNase-free water. A two-step amplification was set up with the reverse transcription (RT) step performed using the High-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

In order to detect both generic flavivirus and specific West Nile virus infection, cDNA was simultaneously amplified with an end-point PCR assay for the *Flavivirus* genus, targeting a 263 bp fragment of the NS5 protein gene [41] and with a real-time PCR assay, targeting a conserved 92 bp fragment of the 3’ noncoding region of WNV [42]. The latter was modified from the original one-step protocol by performing the PCR step after cDNA synthesis. Positive and doubtful samples to the *Flavivirus* PCR were further processed for USUV RNA search using an end-point PCR assay targeting a fragment of 425 bp of the E protein gene [43]. To better characterize these strains, all the positive pools underwent a further generic NS5 RT-nested PCR, targeting a 980 bp region of the NS5 protein gene, according to [13], but reducing the annealing time to 1’ in both the first and second reactions. PCR products were visualized by GelGreen Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium) staining after electrophoresis on 1.5% high resolution agarose gel w/v, and bands of the expected size were excised from the gel and processed for sequencing.

**DNA extraction and amplification**

RNA/DNA extraction was performed on 15 pools resulting as ISF after sequencing of the short NS5 amplicon. The NucleoSpin® RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel) allows parallel purification of genomic DNA in a separate tube with the NucleoSpin® RNA/DNA Buffer Set, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A volume of 50 μL of each DNA extract was then digested with RNase A (Qiagen), incubated at 56°C for 10’ and purified on silica columns (Qiagen), washed twice with AW1 and AW2 buffers, and eluted in a final volume of 50 μL of RNase-DNase-free water. Each RNase-treated DNA extract was directly amplified with the end-point PCR assay for the *Flavivirus* genus [41] and amplicons were visualized on 1.5% high resolution agarose gel w/v.

**Calculation of infection rates**

Minimum infection rate (MIR) and maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) values were calculated for each positive species using Excel add-in PooledInfRate v3.0 [44] and expressed as the number of infected mosquitoes per 1,000 tested.

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis**

Three sequences (two MMV from *Oc. caspius* and one USUV from *Cx. pipiens*), obtained during the 2011 surveillance program, were included in the phylogenetic analysis. In order to characterize the detected virus, PCR products were sequenced on an automated fluorescence-based ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). The obtained sequences were hand-edited using Bioedit software v7.0.5; contigs were then generated with Seqman II 5.00 software (DNASTAR). The sequence identity with available GenBank sequences was computed using the R statistical environment v2.15.2 and the APE package v3.0-8 [45,46] and expressed as proportional nucleotide identity.

Among all the short NS5 obtained sequences, only high-quality sequences of at least 200 bp were considered for phylogenetic reconstruction and submitted to GenBank. Multiple sequence alignment was composed
of 90 sequences (25 from the present study and 65 reference sequences available on GenBank) for a total of 214 sites and was performed using MUSCLE software v3.8.31 [47] supported by Seaview v4.4.0 [48].

A multiple alignment on 937 sites of the long NS5 fragment, including 20 new sequences and 34 reference sequences retrieved from GenBank, was built using Clustal Omega v1.1.0 [49].

To improve the reliability of phylogeny reconstruction in both cases, the best molecular substitution model was selected by jModelTest2 [50,51] from among the three substitution schemes, considering unequal nucleotide frequency (F), gamma distribution of variation across sites with four categories (G), and proportion of invariant sites (I) for a total of 24 tested models, and the best of NNI and SPR for tree search. The best model was selected by the Akaike information criterion (AIC).

The phylogenetic trees were built under the Bayesian framework implemented in MrBayes v3.2.1, using the jModelTest2 output to set the prior nucleotide substitution model [52,53]. The other parameters were estimated under default priors, with two runs of four chains each running for 50,000,000 generations. To evaluate the run quality, the convergence of the two runs was verified based on the summary of parameter values, discarding the first 20% of samples as burn-in (sump command implemented in MrBayes), and on Tracer analysis of MCMC traces. The estimated sample size of the likelihood parameter for both tree reconstructions was well over 200, the threshold for significance. MrBayes analyses were performed on the Cipres Science Gateway server [54].

**Results**

**Mosquito collection**

From August 1st to October 12th, 8533 specimens, belonging to nine mosquito species, were collected from the 32 CO2-baited traps selected for analysis in the survey area (Figure 1), as summarized in Table 1. Nineteen traps collected positive mosquitoes, with ten traps collecting positive individuals in more than one session (Table 2).

**Virus identification**

A total of 315 pools underwent molecular investigations; 34 pools, representing five mosquito species, tested positive on PCR screening for the Flavivirus genus, targeting the short NS5 fragment: 14 from *Ae. albopictus*, 12 from *Ae. vexans*, 4 from *Cx. pikiens*, 3 from *Oc. caspius*, and 1 from *Oc. geniculatus*. The prevalence of the detected viruses in each positive mosquito species was evaluated according to the minimum infection rate (MIR) and maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), as summarized in Table 1. The 34 deduced NS5 gene sequences, following a Blast search, identified 28 insect-specific flaviviruses (belonging to different species), 4 USUV, and 2 MMV strains (Table 2). No positivity for WNV was found.

| Mosquito species | N° individuals | N° pools | N° positive pools | MIR (95% CI) | MLE (95% CI) |
|------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| *Ae. albopictus*  | 232            | 53       | 14                | 60.345       | 65.831       |
|                  |                |          |                   | (29.703-90.986) | (39.92-102.947) |
| *Ae. vexans*     | 164            | 31       | 12                | 73.171       | 80.464       |
|                  |                |          |                   | (33.315-113.027) | (50.157-126.454) |
| *An. maculipennis* | 405           | 32       | 0                 |              |              |
| *An. plumbeus*   | 1              | 1        | 0                 |              |              |
| *Cx. modestus*   | 252            | 9        | 0                 |              |              |
| *Cx. pikiens*    | 5,610          | 131      | 4<sup>a</sup>     | 0.713        | 0.739        |
|                  |                |          |                   | (0.15-1.412) | (0.241-1.782) |
| *Cs. subochrea*  | 1              | 1        | 0                 |              |              |
| *Oc. caspius*    | 1,854          | 53       | 2<sup>b</sup>     | 1.079        | 1.132        |
|                  |                |          |                   | (0.203-3.801) | (0.032-2.698) |
| *Oc. geniculatus*| 14             | 4        | 1                 | 71.429       | 83.124       |
|                  |                |          |                   | (0-206.333)  | (4.89-502.144) |
| **TOT**          | **8,533**      | **315**  | **34**            |              |              |

<sup>a</sup>*Cx. pikiens* infected by USUV.

<sup>b</sup>*Oc. caspius* infected by MMV.

<sup>c</sup>*Oc. caspius* infected by ISF.
Seventeen out of 34 pools resulted positive also to the long NS5 RT-nested PCR, whereas 15 pools were negative. Two samples had insufficient RNA to perform the analysis.

### DNA extraction and amplification

Twelve out of 15 ISF pools, the same ones that resulted negative to the long NS5 assay, underwent DNA extraction with the RNase treatment and subsequent amplification.
Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

The 28 insect-specific flavivirus short sequences were retrieved from mosquito pools belonging to four species (Ae. vexans, Oc. geniculatus, Oc. caspius, and Ae. albopictus). Thirteen sequences were similar to Aedes flavivirus, while 15 showed a high similarity to uncharacterized flavivirus sequences (Blastn search, data not shown). After manual checking to ensure high quality, 19 sequences were selected for phylogeny reconstruction of the Flavivirus genus based on the short NS5 sequence. In this phylogenetic tree, the clade of Aedes flavivirus, within which 10 samples from Ae. albopictus clustered, was fully supported by the posterior probability of the common ancestral node. The other nine sequences, all retrieved from Ae. vexans, aggregated in a significantly separate clade composed of ISF sequences from different mosquito species collected in Piedmont and the Czech Republic (GenBank: JN802279, JN802281-83). Notably, in five out of nine sequences, the repeat of a 4 bp motif (TTAT) at nucleotide position 9178 (referred to Yellow fever reference strain NC_002031) generated a +1 frameshift, leading to a stop codon correspondent to amino acid at position 66 in the sequences. The same stop codon was present in the sequence AeVe 79 (GenBank: JN802283) from the Czech Republic. All these sequences clustered very closely on the phylogenetic tree.

The five USUV-positive pools were composed of Cx. pipiens individuals, all collected in Novara province. In 2012, three pools were sampled on August 22nd (two of which from the same trap in the city of Novara), while in the following session (September 5th) a positive pool was collected in a different location 5 km away from the first ones. The only USUV-positive pool detected in 2011 came from Cameri in Novara province. Positivity was confirmed by USUV-specific PCR assay targeting a portion of the envelope protein (env) gene. The partial env sequences (GenBank: KF801585-88 and KF882515), almost identical to each other, resulted 99% similar to sequences retrieved from Cx. pipiens in Piedmont in 2009 (GenBank: JN257983) and 2010 (GenBank: JN257982), as well as to a sequence retrieved from blackbirds in Vienna in 2001 (GenBank: AY453411). However, the similarity decreased to 96% when compared to the SAAR 1776 strain isolated from mosquitoes in South Africa in 1958 (GenBank: AY453412). In the phylogenetic trees of the Flavivirus genus, the five USUV strains clustered together with Italian strains previously found in Piedmont and bordering regions (Figures 2 and 3).

Discussion

During the 2012 mosquito survey, we detected 34 positives, whose nucleotide sequences belong to the Flavivirus genus, of which 25 short NS5 sequences were submitted to GenBank and used for a first phylogenetic inference. In addition, we performed genetic characterization of one USUV strain and two MMV strains detected during the 2011 surveillance program. Extending the number of traps placed throughout the whole region allowed us to concentrate our efforts within a narrower time span, demonstrating that by increasing the number of sampling stations per province we were able to obtain a higher detection rate for each province, without drastically increasing the number of captures.

Positivity to the same viral strain in more than one sampling session and in the same mosquito species suggests the establishment of species-specific flavivirus transmission in a particular geographic area. Our phylogenetic analyses, based on both short and long multiple alignments, agree with previous characterization studies on arboviruses from field-collected mosquitoes [4,15,36]. These results confirmed the circulation of Aedes flavivirus in Italy, with the first detection in Piedmont. Interestingly, analysis of the other ISF, so far found only in Italy [36] and the Czech Republic, revealed that they are indeed sequences of flavivirus origin integrated into the genomic DNA of at least four mosquito species belonging to two
genera, *Aedes* and *Ochlerotatus*. These samples tested positive when their DNA was amplified. Moreover, the long NS5 PCR unsuccessfully amplified their cDNA, supporting the hypothesis for their integration. Furthermore, a non functional stop codon at the 3' end of the NS5 fragment was observed as the result of repetition of a TTAT motif in five *Ae. vexans* strains from Piedmont and in that from the Czech Republic. This mutation corroborates the integration hypothesis, since the mosquito may not need a functional RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (coded by the NS5 gene); alternatively, it may contribute to immunity to infections by other flavivirus species through the mechanism of RNA interference [55,56].

In the past, the genus *Ochlerotatus* was classified as a subgenus of the composite genus *Aedes* until it was elevated to the generic rank [57]. The finding of an NS5 sequence integrated in the DNA of these two genera suggests that it might be an ancestral virus that integrated before

---

**Figure 2** Phylogenetic tree based on the short NS5 fragment of the Flavivirus genus. A fragment of 214 bp of the NS5 gene was used to infer the tree. The phylogenetic analysis includes 62 reference sequences from GenBank, 3 sequences from the 2011 surveillance program, and 25 from the present study (19 ISF, 4 USUV, 2 MMV). Unpublished sequences are given in bold, Piedmont sequences in italics. The nucleotide substitution model used as a prior for the MCMC was GTR + G, according to the jModelTest2 results. The tree is mid-point rooted; for clarity, only posterior probability of the nodes >0.7 is reported in the figure for statistical support. The scale of branch length is expressed as substitution per site. Taxa are divided into four clusters according to the literature: IS insect-specific; NK no-known vector; MB mosquito-borne; TB tick-borne.
speciation of these mosquitoes. Nevertheless, as reported by Crochu and colleagues [19], the split between some Aedes species occurred much earlier than the estimated origin of the most recent common ancestor of ISF. However, since the two genera are closely related, they may have shared infectious agents in the recent past, and the integration may have occurred in these hosts at different times. Indeed, Cook and colleagues [58] proposed that ISF have experienced multiple introductions with several host-switching events during their evolution. As our sample set was analysed from a surveillance point of view, no further research was performed to investigate this phenomenon.

An interesting result is given by USUV: in both 2011 and 2012 the positive Cx. pipiens pools were collected in a small area (10 km²) in Novara province, and some pools from the same trap were confirmed positive in both years. The area is in very close proximity to the Ticino river natural park, a wet area of 62.5 km² and an important wintering site for wild waterfowl.

At both the nucleotide and the amino acid levels, the Piedmont USUV sequences have shown only minimal substitutions since 2009, but, based on the long fragment phylogeny, it appears that this virus has slowly evolved over time since its first appearance in Europe. According to recent investigations providing evidence that the first USUV pathogenic outbreak in wild birds dates back to 1996 in Tuscany (Italy), a single introduction event occurred not in Austria in 2001, as was generally assumed, but in Italy as the starting point of the

![Figure 3 Phylogenetic tree based on the long NS5 fragment of the Flavivirus genus. A fragment of 937 bp of the NS5 gene was used to infer the tree. The phylogenetic analysis includes 35 reference sequences from GenBank and 20 from this study. Unpublished sequences are given in bold, Piedmont sequences in italics. The nucleotide substitution model used as a prior for the MCMC was GTR + I + G according to the jModelTest2 results. The tree is mid-point rooted, and the scale of branch length is expressed as substitution per site. Taxa are divided into four clusters, according to the literature: IS insect-specific; NK no-known vector; MB mosquito-borne; TB tick-borne.](image-url)
spread of USUV in Europe. This scenario is strengthened by the fact that local herd immunity in Italian wild birds prevented further bird deaths and supported silent spread of the virus in naïve areas. Indeed, large-scale wild bird deaths, as reported for Austria, Switzerland, and Germany [22,25,59], were not observed in Italy, despite widespread viral activity [43,60].

With regard to a previous report [38], detection of MMV was confirmed one year later during the same period (August) and in the same trap, and also detected at a second site 20 km away. Their molecular characterization based on the long NS5 tract, compared to the Spanish strains, showed a genetic distance of less than 10%. On the basis of the molecular classification according to Kuno and colleagues [4], this divergence is low enough to classify the Piedmont strain within the MMV species. Unfortunately, this virus has not yet been well characterized, no further hypotheses can be made, and an appropriate host is lacking.

Conclusions

Our results confirm the circulation and establishment of Usutu virus in eastern Piedmont due to the presence of the virus within the local Cx. pipiens population. The detection of the potentially zoonoctic MMV species in the same trap in two consecutive years highlights its presence in a specific geographic area in Alessandria province, but within a widened area, even though a direct connection between the Spanish and Italian strains cannot be made due to lack of data. Furthermore, the detection of integrated DNA of viral origin in the mosquito genome may allow to hypothesise that other arboviruses may also be present in Italy. This is especially true for the MMV species, for which it is possible to hypothesise a zoonotic potential due to the presence of the potentially zoonotic MMV species in the undetected Italian strains. A multigene analysis of the phylogenetic relationships among mosquito-borne viruses and viruses with no known vector.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Huhtamo E, Putkuri N, Kurkela S, Manni T, Vaheri A, Vapalahti O, Uzcátegui JL: Characterization of a novel flavivirus from mosquitoes in northern Europe that is related to mosquito-borne flaviviruses of the tropics. J Virol 2009, 83:9532–9540.
2. Gubler DJ: The global emergence/resurgence of arboviral diseases as public health problems. Arch Med Res 2002, 33:330–342.
3. Billot F, de Chesse R, Tolou H, de Micco P, Gould EA, de Lamballe X: Phylogeny of the genus Flavivirus using complete coding sequences of arthropod-borne viruses and viruses with known vector. J Gen Virol 2000, 81:781–790.
4. Kuno G, Chang G-JI, Tsuichya KR, Karabatos N, Cropp CB: Phylogeny of the genus Flavivirus. J Virol 1998, 72:73–83.
5. Cook S, Holmes EC: A multigene analysis of the phylogenetic relationships among the flaviviruses (Family: Flaviviridae) and the evolution of vector transmission. Arch Virol 2006, 151:305–324.
6. Calisher CH, Gould EA: Taxonomy of the virus family Flaviviridae. Adv Virus Res 2003, 59:1–19.
7. Cook S, Chung BY-W, Bass D, Moureau G, Tang S, McAlister E, Culverwell CL, Glücksman E, Wang H, Brown TDK, Gould EA, Harbach RE, de Lamballe X, Firth AE: Novel virus discovery and genome reconstruction from field RNA samples reveals highly divergent viruses in dipteran hosts. PLoS One 2013, 8:e80720.
8. Stollar V, Thomas VL: An agent in the Aedes aegypti cell line (Pelegr) which causes fusion of Aedes albopictus cells. Virology 1975, 64:367–377.
9. Sang RC, Ichino H, Gachou J, Dunster MD, Ofila V, Hunt AR, Crabbtree HB, Miller BR: Multigene analysis of the phylogenetic relationships among the flaviviruses (Family: Flaviviridae) in Northern Italy. Arch Virol 2003, 148:1085–1093.
10. Crabtree MB, Sang RC, Stollar V, Dunster LM, Miller BR: Genetic and phenotypic characterization of the newly described insect flavivirus, Kamiti River virus. Arch Virol 2003, 148:1095–1118.
11. Hoshino K, Iwasa H, Tsuda Y, Kobayashi M, Song K, Kondoh T, Kobayashi M, Sawabe K: Genetic characterization of a new insect flavivirus isolated from Culex pipiens mosquito in Japan. Virology 2007, 359:405–414.
12. Hoshino K, Iwasa H, Tsuda Y, Kobayashi M: Isolation and characterization of a new insect flavivirus from Aedes albopictus and Aedes flavopictus mosquitoes in Japan. Virology 2009, 391:119–129.
13. Vázquez A, Sánchez-Seco MP, Palacios G, Molero F, Reyes N, Ruiz S, Aranda C, Marqués E, Escorsa R, Moreno J, Figuerola J, Tenorio A: Novel flaviviruses detected in different species of mosquitoes in Spain. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2012, 10:223–229.
14. Roiz D, Vázquez A, Seco MFS, Tenorio A, Rizzoli A: Detection of novel insect flavivirus sequences in Berlaimontia and Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) in Northern Italy. J Med Entomol 2009, 46:595–603.
15. Calzolari M, Bonilauri P, Bellini R, Caimi M, Defilippo F, Gubri A, Gubri D, Marini R, Pilani R, Gelati A, Angelini P, Parco V, Barbieri A, Lelli D, Lavazza A, Cordioli P, Torbani M: Arboviral Survey of Mosquitoes in Two Northern Italian Regions in 2007 and 2008. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2010, 10:641–647.
16. Parreira R, Cook S, Lopes Â, de Matos AP, de Almeida APG, Piedade J, Esteves A: Genetic characterization of an insect-specific flavivirus isolated from Culex theileri mosquitoes collected in southern Portugal. Virus Res 2012, 167:152–161.
17. Aranda C, Sánchez-Seco MP, Cárdenas F, Escorsa R, Gálvez JC, Masia M, Marqués E, Ruiz S, Alba A, Buquets N, Vázquez A, Castells J, Tenorio A:...
Detection and monitoring of mosquito flaviviruses in Spain between 2001 and 2005. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2009, 9:71–78.

18. Sánchez-Seco M-P, Vázquez A, Collazo X, Hernández L,Aranda C, Ruíz S, Escosa R, Marqués E, Bustillo M-A, Molero F, Tenorio A: Surveillance of Arboviruses in Spanish Wetlands: Detection of New Flavi- and Phleboviruses. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2010, 10:203–206.

19. Crochu S, Cook S, Attoui H, Cheylan BN, De Chesse B, Bélouchou M, Lemasson J-J, de Micco P, de Lamballerie X: Sequences of flavivirus-related RNA viruses persist in DNA form integrated in the genome of Aedes spp. mosquitoes. J Gen Virol 2004, 85:1971–1980.

20. Junglen S, Kopp A, Kurfth A, Pauli G, Ellerbrok H, Leendertz FH: A new flavivirus and a new vector: characterization of a novel flavivirus isolated from uranotaenia mosquitoes from a tropical rain forest. J Virol 2003, 83:4642–4648.

21. Hubalek Z, Halouzka J: West Nile fever: a reemerging mosquito-borne viral disease in Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 1999, 5:643–650.

22. Weissenböck H, Kolodziejek J, Url A, Lussy H, Rebel-Bauder B, Nowotny N: Emergence of Usutu virus, an African mosquito-borne flavivirus of the Japanese encephalitis virus group, central Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 2002, 8:652–656.

23. Bakonyi T, Erdélyi K, Ursu K, Ferenczi E, Csörgo T, Lussy H, Chvala S, Chiavacci L, Gaibani P, Longo G, Pierro A, Rossini G, Bonilauri P, Gerundi G, Di Rezza G:

24. Cavrini F, Gaibani P, Longo G, Pierro A, Rossini G, Bonilauri P, Gerundi G, Di Rezza G:

25. Rezza G:

26. Autorino GL, Battisti A, Deubel V, Ferrari G, Forletta R, Giovannini A, Lelli R, Murri S, Scicluna MT:

27. Rizzo C, Salcuni P, Nicoletti L, Ciufolini MG, Russo F, Masala R, Frongia O, Speroni N, Marino S, Cusniello MT, Weissenböck H, Dorrestein G, Nowotny N: Usutu virus in wild birds in northern Italy. Vet Microbiol 2010, 141:161–163.

28. Rizzo et al. Parasites & Vectors 2005, 8:40–41.

29. Paradis E: APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. Bioinformatics 2004, 20:289–290.

30. R Development Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2008.

31. Edgar RC: MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32:1792–1797.

32. Moy G, Guindon S, Gascuel O: SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2005, 31:294–307.

33. Helsen et al. Emerg Dis 2007, 5:754–755.

34. Ronquist F, Varkovits N, Guindon S, Gascuel O: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 2003, 19:57–65.

35. Ronquist F, Varkovits N, Guindon S, Gascuel O: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 2003, 19:57–65.

36. Biggerstaff B: PooledInRate software. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2005, 5:227–228.

37. Severini F, Toma L, Luca M d, Romi R: Molecular characterization of flaviviruses from uranotaenia mosquitoes from a tropical rain forest. J Virol 2005, 83:4642–4648.

38. Biggerstaff B: PooledInRate software. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2005, 5:227–228.

39. Edgar RC: MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32:1792–1797.

40. Moy G, Guindon S, Gascuel O: SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2005, 31:294–307.

41. Helsen et al. Emerg Dis 2007, 5:754–755.

42. Ronquist F, Varkovits N, Guindon S, Gascuel O: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 2003, 19:57–65.

43. Biggerstaff B: PooledInRate software. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2005, 5:227–228.

44. Paradis E: APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. Bioinformatics 2004, 20:289–290.

45. R Development Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2008.

46. Edgar RC: MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 2004, 32:1792–1797.

47. Moy G, Guindon S, Gascuel O: SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2005, 31:294–307.

48. Helsen et al. Emerg Dis 2007, 5:754–755.

49. Ronquist F, Helsen et al. MyBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 2001, 17:754–755.

50. Ronquist F, Helsen et al. MyBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees under mixed models. Bioinformatics 2003, 19:1572.

51. Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T: Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for Inference of Large Phylogenetic Trees. In Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop. 14 November 2010, New Orleans, Washington DC, USA: IEEE, 2010–18.

52. Ding S-W, Voinnet O: Antiviral immunity directed by small RNAs. Cell 2007, 130:413–426.

53. Campbell CL, Keene KM, Brackney DE, Olson KE, Blair CD, Wilusz J, Voy BD: Aedes aegypti uses RNA interference in defense against Sindbis virus infection. BMC Microbiol 2008, 8:274.

54. Reinert JE: New classification for the composite genus Aedes (Diptera: Culicidae: Aedini), elevation of subgenus Ochlerotatus to generic rank, reclassification of the other subgenera, and notes on certain subgenera and species. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2000, 16:175–188.

55. Cook S, Moureau G, Kitchen A, Gould EA, de Lamballerie X, Holmes EC, Hartich RE: Molecular evolution of the insect-specific flaviviruses. J Gen Virol 2010, 91:233–234.

56. Steenmetz HW, Bakonyi T, Weissenböck H, Hatt JM, Eulenberger U, Robert N, Hoop R, Nowotny N: Emergence and establishment of Usutu virus infection in wild and captive avian species in and around Zurich, Switzerland—genomic and pathologic comparison to other central European outbreaks. Vet Microbiol 2011, 148:207–212.

57. Savini G, Monaco F, Terzegno C, Di Gennaro A, Bano L, Pinoni C, De Nardi R, Bonilauri P, Perocari M, Di Galeoneordo L, Bonfanti L, Polis A, Calpini P, Lelli R: Usutu virus in Italy: an emergence or a silent infection? Vet Microbiol 2011, 151:264–274.