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Abstract

This study was performed to examine the comorbidity risks between psychological disorders, such as depression, and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) using nationally representative data from a National Sample Cohort of the National Health Insurance Service in Korea.

The National Health Insurance Service—National Sample Cohort (NHIS—NSC) database from 2010 to 2012 was used in this study. GERD patients were defined as those diagnosed with specific tests, with screened medication, and without any other gastrointestinal diseases. Propensity score matching for age, sex, and economic status was applied to form a control cohort. Incidence rate, relative risks, Cox proportional-hazards modeling, and Kaplan–Meier analysis were applied to examine the differences between the GERD and control cohorts with regard to the risk of subsequent psychological disorders.

The results showed that patients in the GERD cohort (n = 9503) had significantly higher risks of psychological disorders than those without GERD (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.25, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.07–1.47, P = .006). Specifically, the risk of depressive disorder was significantly higher for patients in the GERD cohort than in the control cohort (adjusted HR 1.41, 95% CI 1.04–1.91, P = .027). Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that the estimated probability of psychological disorders was significantly higher in the GERD cohort compared with the control cohort (log-rank test, P = .007).

This study suggested that GERD may be a risk factor for subsequent psychological disorders, specifically, depressive disorder. The results of this study in GERD patients compared with non-GERD patients in Korea suggested that psychological disorders and GERD may be inter-related.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, GERD = gastroesophageal reflux disease, GI = gastrointestinal, HRs = hazard ratios, IL = interleukin, KCD-6 = Korean Classification of Diseases, Sixth revision, NHIS = National Health Insurance Service, NHIS–NSC = National Health Insurance Service–National Sample Cohort, PSM = propensity score matching, RR = risk ratio.
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1. Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a chronic condition caused by the reflux of stomach contents, involving heartburn, occasional regurgitation, or retrosternal pain.[1,2] It is one of the most common gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, with prevalence rates of 8.1% to 27.8% in North America, 2.5% to 7.8% in East Asia, and 3.5% to 8.5% in Korea.[3,4] The chronic symptoms of GERD have been shown to negatively influence patients’...
disorders. Its primary symptom, heartburn, and its negative impact on well-being were reflected in impairment of validated health-related quality of life measures. In addition, healthcare costs related to GERD have been increasing in Asian countries over the past several years.

Chronic medical conditions, including cardiovascular diseases, which include chest pain as their main symptom, have been shown to be related to psychological disorders. Studies regarding chronic GI disorders, such as GERD, have also focused increasingly on its inter-relations with psychological disorders. Heartburn, the major symptom of GERD, was shown to be associated with psychological factors; these factors have been investigated as predictors of treatment response in GERD patients. Studies on GERD have reported associations of GERD with psychological disorders, such as bipolar disorder, sleep disorders, anxiety disorder, and depressive disorders. Other studies suggested the common symptomatic presentation of GERD among patients with psychological disorders.

Although there have been a number of studies regarding the prevalence and treatment outcomes of GERD patients, there have been no studies from a nationwide or longitudinal perspective using a nationally representative cohort in Korea. This study was performed to examine the relationship between GERD and psychological disorders through a nationwide, retrospective, 3-year cohort study on the risk of psychological disorders among patients with GERD.

2. Methods

2.1. Data source

The National Health Insurance Service–National Sample Cohort (NHIS–NSC) is a population-based cohort from 2002 to 2012, established by the National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) in Korea. The NHIS data contain principal and additional diagnoses, hospitalization and outpatient treatment, dates of examinations, medical fees, details of medical services, prescribed medications, hospital codes, and patients’ sex and age, and are categorized based on the examination documented in the claims from medical institutions. The NHIS–NSC data provide personal information, demographics, and medical treatment data based on the NHIS claims from medical institutions from inpatient and outpatient clinic visits for each individual patient. The Korean Classification of Diseases, Sixth revision (KCD-6), was used for coding the diagnoses in the NHIS–NSC database, which is based on the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification. To ensure confidentiality, and for ethical considerations, the identification numbers of the individuals in the cohort were encrypted and transformed with a random numeric string. NHIS–NSC 2010, which includes data for 1 million patients, was used for data analysis in this study. Written consent was not obtained from the study participants due to the retrospective nature of the data with encrypted identification of the individuals. A formal waiver of the need for consent was provided for this study by the Institutional Review Board of Kyung Hee University due to its observational methodology using a secondary database.

2.2. Study samples

For this research, data from NHIS–NSC 2010 were used to form a retrospective cohort of patients with the principal diagnosis of GERD in their claims data (n = 9053), in which the GERD patient cohort was defined based on previous studies. In the process of building the cohort, different measures were applied to ensure diagnostic validity. The inclusion criteria included patients above the age of 19 with newly diagnosed GERD according to KCD-6 codes K21.0 (gastroesophageal reflux disease with esophagitis) and K21.9 (gastroesophageal reflux disease without esophagitis), and only the patients with records of endoscopy or 24-hour pH monitoring in 2010 were included to support the diagnoses.

The exclusion criteria included previous medical histories of GERD from 2002 to 2009, histories of any psychological disorder from 2002 to 2009, and before the diagnosis of GERD in 2010, and histories of digestive diseases other than GERD, including inflammatory or ulcerative diseases in the stomach and duodenum, Crohn’s disease, inflammatory bowel syndrome, and diseases in the liver or gallbladder. Furthermore, patients who had been prescribed antiplatelet medications, including aspirin, along with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), were excluded, as many antiplatelet and antithrombotic therapies involve combination prescription of PPIs to reduce adverse effects, and the Korean NHIS restricts treatment with oral or inavenous PPIs in patients without a diagnosis of GERD in the claims data.

The control cohort was selected from the NHIS–NSC cohort among patients without GERD and any psychological disorder in 2010, and with no histories of GERD and psychological disorders from 2002 to 2009. For each patient with GERD included in the GERD cohort, age, sex, and economic status were matched to randomly select control patients using the propensity score matching (PSM) method, which is used to balance the confounders and reduce selection bias. Each member of the GERD cohort and the matched pair in the control cohort were followed from 2010, and all participants were observed until they were diagnosed with the following psychological diseases: bipolar disorders (KCD-6 codes: F31.0-F31.9), depressive disorders (KCD-6 codes: F32.0-F32.9, and F33.0-F33.9), mood disorders (KCD-6 codes: F34.0-F34.9, F38.0, F38.1, F38.8, and F39), anxiety disorders (KCD-6 codes: F40.0-F40.9, F41.0-F41.9), obsessive-compulsive disorders (KCD-6 codes: F42.0-F42.9), stress disorders (KCD-6 codes: F43.0-F43.9), somatoform disorders (KCD-6 codes: F45.0-F45.9), and sleep disorders (KCD-6 codes: F51.0-F51.9); or death, until December, 2012.

2.3. Comorbidities

The primary clinical outcomes were psychiatrist-diagnosed depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and sleep disorder. Furthermore, the comorbidities at the date of diagnosis were identified in both GERD and control cohorts. Identified risk factors of GERD include old age, female, lower education and economic status, increase in body mass index (BMI), and tobacco smoking. Although BMI and smoking status were unavailable in the dataset used in this study, we included age, sex, and economic status in the data analysis. In addition, the baseline comorbidities were determined for the patient based on previous studies on comorbid diseases of GERD. Baseline comorbidities included in this study included thyroid diseases (KCD-6 codes: E00-E07), diabetes mellitus (KCD-6 codes: E10-E14), obesity (KCD-6 codes: E65-E66), dyslipidemia (KCD-6 codes: E78), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (KCD-6 codes: J44), asthma (KCD-6 codes: J45), and interstitial pulmonary diseases (KCD-6 codes: J84).
Table 1
Baseline characteristics of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and control cohorts.

|                | Non-GERD (control) | GERD | %   | P    |
|----------------|--------------------|------|-----|------|
| Age, y         |                    |      |     |      |
| 20–29          | 1974               | 1974 | 20.77| 1.00 |
| 30–39          | 1732               | 1732 | 18.23|      |
| 40–49          | 2631               | 2631 | 27.69|      |
| 50–59          | 1848               | 1848 | 19.45|      |
| 60–69          | 908                | 908  | 9.55 |      |
| 70–79          | 337                | 337  | 3.55 |      |
| 80+            | 73                 | 73   | 0.77 |      |
| Sex            |                    |      |     |      |
| Male           | 4257               | 4257 | 44.80| 1.00 |
| Female         | 5246               | 5246 | 55.20|      |
| Economic       |                    |      |     |      |
| Very Low       | 1351               | 1351 | 14.22| 1.00 |
| Low            | 1489               | 1489 | 15.67|      |
| Moderate       | 1758               | 1758 | 18.50|      |
| High           | 2156               | 2156 | 22.69|      |
| Very High      | 2749               | 2749 | 28.93|      |
| Comorbidities  |                    |      |     |      |
| Thyroid disease| 649                | 521  | 6.83 | <.001|
| Diabetes mellitus| 815              | 422  | 8.58 | <.001|
| Obesity        | 10                 | 8    | 0.11 | .637 |
| Dyslipidemia   | 1                  | 4    | 0.04 | <.001|
| Asthma         | 802                | 937  | 8.44 | <.001|
| COPD           | 84                 | 95   | 0.88 | .368 |
| Intestinal pulmonary diseases | 5 | 9 | 0.05 | .405 |

2.4. Statistical analysis

Demographic characteristics, including age, sex, economic status, and comorbidities of the GERD cohort were compared with the control cohort by chi-square test. The Kaplan–Meier method was applied for cumulative hazard analysis to estimate the probability of the occurrence of psychological disease in the GERD and control cohorts. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional-hazards models were applied to measure the relative risk of psychological diseases in the study cohort compared with the control cohort to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The multivariate Cox models included control variables, such as age, sex, and economic status, and common comorbidities, such as thyroid disease, diabetes mellitus, obesity, dyslipidemia, asthma, COPD, and interstitial pulmonary diseases. All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 3.2.3, “Wooden Christmas-Tree”) and R Studio (Version 0.99.892). The package “MatchIt” was used for the PSM method, and the packages “epitools” and “survival” were used for survival analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and control cohorts

The NHIS–NSC database contained data on 9503 patients with newly diagnosed GERD in 2010. After PSM, 9503 patients were selected as the control cohort, and there were no differences in age, sex, or economic status between the GERD and control cohorts. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of GERD and control cohorts. Baseline differences in comorbidities revealed a higher prevalence of asthma among patients in the GERD cohort. Comorbidities of thyroid diseases, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia were higher among patients in the control cohort, and comorbidities of obesity, COPD, and interstitial pulmonary diseases showed no significant differences in prevalence between GERD and the control cohorts (Table 1).

3.2. Incidence of psychological disorders in GERD and control patients

Up to the end of 2012, 367 (3.86%) patients were followed up until the occurrence of psychological disorders in the GERD cohort, and 9105 (95.81%) patients were followed up until the end of the study. In the control cohort, 239 (2.51%) patients were followed up until the occurrence of psychological disorders, and 9235 (97.18%) patients were followed up until the end of the study (P < .001). The most common subsequent psychological disorders during the follow-up period were depressive disorder (GERD: 114 patients; control: 68 patients), anxiety disorder (GERD: 102 patients; control: 68 patients), bipolar disorder (GERD: 11 patients; control: 2 patients), and sleep disorder (GERD: 50 patients; control: 34 patients) in both GERD and control cohorts. The incidence rates were significantly higher in the GERD cohort compared with the control cohort for depressive disorder (22.38 vs 17.69 per 1000 person-years, respectively) and anxiety disorder (20.03 vs 17.69 per 1000 person-years, respectively) (depressive disorder: risk ratio [RR] 1.68, P < .001; anxiety disorder: RR 1.50, P = .009). The table below shows the incidence rates of psychological disorders in GERD and control patients:

Table 2
Incidence rates of psychological disorders and their specific categories (bipolar, depressive, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive, stress, somatoform, and sleep disorders) in GERD and control cohorts.

|                | Non-GERD (control) | GERD | | |
|----------------|--------------------|------|Per 1000 | |
| Number of psychological disorders | 239 | 62.16 | | |
| Bipolar disorders | 2 | 0.52 | | |
| Depressive disorders | 68 | 17.69 | | |
| Mood disorders | 13 | 3.38 | | |
| Anxiety disorders | 68 | 17.69 | | |
| Obsessive-compulsive disorders | 2 | 0.52 | | |
| Stress disorders | 26 | 6.76 | | |
| Somatoform disorders | 26 | 6.76 | | |
| Sleep disorders | 34 | 8.84 | | |
| Number of psychological disorders | 367 | 72.06 | | |
| Bipolar disorders | 11 | 2.16 | | |
| Depressive disorders | 114 | 22.38 | | |
| Mood disorders | 20 | 3.93 | | |
| Anxiety disorders | 102 | 20.03 | | |
| Obsessive-compulsive disorders | 3 | 0.59 | | |
| Stress disorders | 33 | 6.48 | | |
| Somatoform disorders | 34 | 6.68 | | |
| Sleep disorders | 50 | 9.82 | | |

Risk ratio (95% CI) P

| All psychological disorders | 1.54 (1.31–1.80) | <.001 |
| Bipolar disorders | 5.50 (1.22–24.81) | .013 |
| Depressive disorders | 1.68 (1.24–2.26) | <.001 |
| Mood disorders | 1.54 (0.77–3.09) | .223 |
| Anxiety disorders | 1.50 (1.11–2.04) | .009 |
| Obsessive-compulsive disorders | 1.50 (0.25–8.97) | .655 |
| Stress disorders | 1.23 (0.80–1.72) | .361 |
| Somatoform disorders | 1.31 (0.79–2.18) | .301 |
| Sleep disorders | 1.47 (0.85–2.57) | .080 |

Note: CI = confidence interval; GERD = gastroesophageal reflux disease.

Statistical significance (P < .05).
incidence rate of bipolar disorder was significantly higher in the GERD cohort compared with the control cohort (2.16 vs 0.52 per 1000 person-years, respectively; RR 4.74, 95% CI 1.05–21.43, P = .013). In the case of sleep disorder, the incidence rate was marginally higher in the GERD cohort compared with the control cohort, but the difference was not statistically significant (9.82 vs 8.84 per 1000 person-years, respectively; RR 1.08, 95% CI 0.90–1.67) (Table 2).

3.3. Hazard ratios of psychological disorders in GERD and control patients

To analyze the HRs of the newly diagnosed psychological disorders for patients in the GERD cohort and control cohort, Cox proportional-hazard regression analysis was conducted for the common psychological disorders (Table 3). The results indicated that, compared with the patients in the control cohort, those in the GERD cohort exhibited a significantly higher risk of subsequent psychological disorders (adjusted HR 1.25, 95% CI 1.04–1.47, P = .006). In the Cox hazard ratio model for specific psychological disorders, the HR of depressive disorder (adjusted HR 1.41, 95% CI 1.04–1.91, P = .027) in the GERD cohort was significantly higher than that in the control cohort. Figure 1 shows the Kaplan–Meier curves of cumulative hazards of psychological disorders between GERD and control cohorts between 2010 and 2012. Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that the estimated probability of psychological disorders was significantly higher in patients in the GERD cohort compared to those in the control cohort (log rank test, P = .007).

Figure 1. The estimated probability of the incidence of psychological disorders for patients in GERD and control cohorts. The patients in the GERD cohort showed a significantly higher probability of having psychological disorders than those in the control cohort (log–rank test, P = .007). X-axis: time to diagnosis of GERD in weeks; y-axis: estimated probability of the incidence of psychological disorders. Red line: GERD cohort patients; black line: control patients. GERD = gastroesophageal reflux disease.

4. Discussion

The results of the present study indicated that GERD was significantly associated with increased sequential risk of psychological disorders based on a nationwide representative cohort database. The most common psychological disorders among patients with GERD and those without GERD in Korea were depressive disorder, anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, and sleep disorder. Based on the PSM method, the selection bias between GERD and control cohort was minimized, and it was possible to estimate the effect of GERD on the incidence of psychological disorders. Among these categories of psychological disorders, depressive disorder showed a significantly higher subsequent incidence after the diagnosis of GERD compared to patients without GERD.

This study suggested that GERD may be a risk factor for subsequent psychological disorders, specifically depressive disorder. A number of studies demonstrated such relationships between GERD and psychological disorders, such as bipolar disorder, sleep disorders, anxiety disorder, and depressive disorders.[16–19,22,23] Heartburn, the major symptom of GERD, has been shown to be associated with psychological factors, and these factors have been investigated as predictors of treatment response in GERD patients.[15,20,21] Anxiety and depression develop after GERD, which, in turn, cause increased sensitivity to the reflux symptoms.[40] Conversely, symptomatic presentation of GERD and severity of reflux were shown to be common among patients with psychological disorders.[24,25,40] According to previous studies, this relationship between GERD and psychological symptoms may be attributable to the mechanism of chronic inflammation.[41–44] Inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, IL-1β, tumor necrosis factor-α, platelet activating factor, and reactive oxygen species, are present in the esophageal mucosa of patients with GERD.[41,43] Other studies indicated associations between psychological disorders, such as depressive and anxiety disorders, and chronic and mild inflammation in the peripheral blood and in the brain.[42,44,45]

Our findings were consistent with previous studies in which the prevalence rates of asthma were higher among patients with GERD.[11,9,30,38,41] Previous studies have demonstrated that altered respiratory physiology in asthma patients may predispose them toward GERD, due to negative pleural pressure from the respiratory obstruction that is causing the reflux.[11,38] On the contrary, the comorbidities of other diseases in our study, such as diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and chronic pulmonary diseases, were inconsistent with previous studies showing higher prevalence rates of such diseases in GERD patients.[1,3,2,3,10,11,37–39] This may have been due to the characteristics of the study itself. Our findings must be replicated in future studies. In addition, we
excluded patients receiving antplatelet and antithrombotic therapies to ensure that only GERD patients were included in our study, which may have limited the prevalence of other chronic diseases in the baseline comorbidities. However, the number of cases and the controls, and also the duration of the study, seemed to provide sufficient evidence for this finding, and our results suggest that the characteristics of baseline comorbidities in GERD patients from Korea may be different from those in other countries.

The incidence of psychological disorders in our study was 3.86% from 2010 to 2012, whereas the prevalence rates of psychological disorders, including depressive, anxiety, and sleep disorders, were approximately 20% in previous studies.[19,24] This difference may be explained first through the difference between the incidence and prevalence rates—this study included the incidence rates of psychological disorders, whereas other studies have analyzed the prevalence rates of psychological disorders among GERD patients. Second, while previous studies employed rating scales for evaluation of depressive and anxiety disorders, our study included the outcome as diagnosis made by psychiatrists in the claims data. This difference in definition of the outcome may have led to a decrease in diagnosis numbers in our study. On the contrary, a recent study in Taiwan indicated incidence rates of psychological disorders among GERD patients of 1.18% to 1.99%, which were lower than those in our study.

Our study revealed a relatively lower prevalence of comorbid diseases among GERD patients and relatively higher outcomes of psychological disorders compared with previous studies in other countries.[19,24] One explanation may lie in the characteristics of the psychosomatic disorders among Koreans. A number of studies have reported distinct somatic symptoms of various psychological disorders in East Asia.[46] The presence of painful physical symptoms in depressive disorder was associated with poor outcomes in East Asia, and also in non-Asian populations.[47] Somatization refers to the tendency to emphasize somatic symptoms when experiencing a psychiatric disturbance, and this tendency has been widely reported in patients from Korea and other East Asian countries.[46–48] A previous study in Korea suggested that esophageal symptoms of patients with abnalnormal esophageal motility may be related to the underlying psychological abnormalities, independent of manometric abnormalities, implicating the esophageal symptoms as a subconscious presentation of psychosomatic symptoms.[49] Another study indicated that somatization, the symptoms of which include heartburn or chest pain, found in Korean depression patients, was significantly correlated with resilience during the peak of depression, which involves exhibiting physical conditions while subliminally trying to suppress their psychological disturbances, and this may be related to cultural factors.[50]

While the treatments of GERD include diet cautions by avoiding certain types of food and changing diet patterns which can worsen the symptoms, and medications such as antacids, H2 blockers, and PPIs,[7,29,31,43] our study also implies the role of medications for psychological disorders to treat GERD symptoms. Previous studies have already mentioned the role of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in influencing esophageal perception.[20,31] Furthermore, treatments to relieve psychosomatic symptoms including heartburn[11,20,23] may be of help for those patients who have both GERD and psychological disorders such as depressive disorder.

While the relationships between esophageal symptoms, including heartburn and chest pain, with psychological disorders, were discussed in depth in previous studies, some psychological disorders, including depression and anxiety disorders, indicate chest pain as a somatic symptom of psychological distress.[5,24] Furthermore, the cultural disorder in Korea known as “Hwa-byung,” is a psychological disorder, the main symptoms of which are somatic symptoms of chest pain and heartburn.[52,53] It has been reported in previous studies that while this is recognized as a cultural disorder in Korea, some doctors diagnose and equate Hwa-byung with depression.[53] Previous studies also suggested the possible interpretation that Hwa-byung and the somatic symptoms of heartburn and chest pain may be a culturally patterned way of expression for Koreans experiencing major depression and related conditions.[54–56] Based on these studies, the higher incidence of psychological disorders in the GERD cohort compared with the control cohort, despite similar prevalence rates of baseline comorbidities, may be due to a form of culturally patterned expression of psychological distress, similarly mentioned in both depression and Hwa-byung.

This is the first 3-year longitudinal study in Korea investigating the comorbidity risk of psychological disorders among GERD patients with a nationwide sample database. Our study benefited from the large sample size and diagnoses of GERD through tests, such as endoscopy or 24-hour pH monitoring, and also diagnoses of psychological disorders by psychiatric specialists. Furthermore, our study avoided the possibly inaccurate diagnosis of GERD by analyzing the medical prescriptions, as patients may require supplementary prescription of GERD medications in addition to their main illness. In addition, the study design avoided selection bias in the study population by using a nationally representative cohort, as universal health coverage in Korea ensures that all Koreans are enlisted in the NHS database, and their medical conditions and healthcare utilization are followed up until death of the individual.[56]

Several limitations to our findings must be taken into consideration. First, as we excluded patients receiving antplatelet and antithrombotic therapies to ensure diagnostic validity of GERD, the baseline characteristics of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease prevalence were unavailable. Second, the NHIS–NSC database does not include detailed information on patients, such as smoking status, alcohol consumption, BMI, diet, and family history of psychological disorders. Therefore, we could not control for these factors, which may potentially confound the results. In conclusion, the results of this study suggested that GERD increases the risk of psychological disorders, such as depression. The higher incidence of psychological disorders among GERD patients compared with non-GERD patients in Korea may be due to psychological factors. Further clinical studies on the relationship between GERD and psychological disorders are required.
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