Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals the Crosstalk Propensity Between the Tumor Intermediate State and the CD8+ T Exhausted State to be Associated with Clinical Benefits in Melanoma
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Heterogeneous crosstalk between tumor cells and CD8+ T cells leads to substantial variation in clinical benefits from immunotherapy in melanoma. Due to spatial distribution and functional state heterogeneity, it is still unknown whether there is a crosstalk propensity between tumor cells and CD8+ T cells in melanoma, and how this crosstalk propensity affects the clinical outcome of patients. Using public single-cell transcriptome data, extensive heterogeneous functional states and ligand–receptor interactions of tumor cells and CD8+ T cells were revealed in melanoma. Furthermore, based on the association between cell–cell communication intensity and cell state activity in a single cell, we identified a crosstalk propensity between the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state. This crosstalk propensity was further verified by pseudo-spatial proximity, spatial co-location, and the intra/intercellular signal transduction network. At the sample level, the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state synergistically indicated better prognosis and both reduced in immunotherapy-resistant samples. The risk groups defined based on these two cell states could comprehensively reflect tumor genomic mutations and anti-tumor immunity information. The low-risk group had a higher BRAF mutation fraction as well as stronger antitumor immune response. Our findings highlighted the crosstalk propensity between the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state, which may serve as a reference to guide the development of diagnostic biomarkers for risk stratification and therapeutic targets for new therapeutic strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) is the most lethal malignancy of the skin and is characterized by high inter-tumor and intra-tumor heterogeneity due to its high mutational load and increased cellular plasticity (1–3). Recently, numerous researchers have demonstrated the existence of phenotypic and transcriptional subpopulations of tumor cells (3, 4) and CD8+ T cells (4–6) in melanoma, often referred to as cell states, both within and between patients. The MITF (melanocyte-inducing transcription factor)-rheostat model incorporates the six different phenotypic states found in melanoma to date. These cell states are ranked from high to low according to MITF activity into the hyperdifferentiated state, the melanocytic state, the intermediate state, the starvation state, the neural crest stem-like cell state, and the mesenchymal-like state (7). Intratumor CD8+ T-cell population showed strong similarity in three independent melanoma cohorts, with greater heterogeneity in subsets of CD8+ T cells, including transitional and exhausted states, compared with naive/memory and cytotoxic CD8+ T cells (4, 5, 8). The analysis of the heterogeneity of cell states makes it crucial to explore the mechanisms generating the different cell states and how individual cell states influence melanoma biological processes, such as metastasis (3) and therapy response (5, 9–13). The single-cell analysis of CD8+ T cells revealed that TCF7+ memory-like state frequency in tumor tissue predicts immunotherapy response and better survival (5).

Technological advances have allowed single-cell analysis to reveal that cell–cell communication (CCC) plays a crucial role in numerous biological processes by a dynamic communicating network formed through communication and cooperation between cells, such as tissue homeostasis (14, 15), cell development (16–18), disease pathogenesis and progression (4, 19), and therapy resistance (20). CCC is ubiquitous in melanoma ecosystems composed of multiple cell types and is often mediated by ligand–receptor interactions (4, 19). Moreover, accumulated evidence demonstrated that CCC between tumor cells and CD8+ T cells can influence cellular functions. For instance, PD-L1 (programmed cell death 1 ligand 1)–PDCD1 (programmed cell death 1) (21, 22), CD38 molecule–adenosine receptor (23), and loss of HLA related ligand–receptor interactions (24) can suppress the effector function of CD8+ T cells. Among CCC between tumor cells and CD8+ T cells, some ligands or receptors are cell state-specific; for example, PD-L1 is a classical marker of the CD8+ T exhausted state (25). In addition to ligand–receptor interactions, the distance between immune cells and tumor cells might directly reflect the lethality of immune cells toward tumors or, vice versa, the interference of tumor cells with immune cells (26). The spatial distribution analysis demonstrated that PD-L1+ cells within proximity to tumor cells and intra-tumoral CD8+ density predict response to anti-PDCD1 therapy in melanoma (27).

In the present study, we utilized the public single-cell transcriptome data to explore the crosstalk propensity between tumor cells and CD8+ T cells based on the association between CCC and cell state in melanoma. The crosstalk propensity between the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state was identified and verified, where those two cell states were both associated with better prognosis and reduced in immunotherapy-resistant samples. Our goal was to explore the underlying mechanisms associated with tumor progression and immunotherapy response from the crosstalk propensity perspective.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection of Melanoma Samples

The processed scRNA-seq dataset was downloaded from the GEO database under the accession code GSE115978, where tumor cells and CD8+ T cells were extracted according to the cell labels defined in the original studies. Raw read counts were counts per million (CPM)-normalized and genes that were expressed in less than 10% of the CD8+ T and tumor cells were filtered out using Seurat4.0 R package, respectively (28). The gene expression data, mutation data, clinical data, and immune feature profiles of the TCGA-SKCM cohort were available in the article (29). Bulk expression profiles, namely, GSE22153 and GSE91061, were also obtained for survival analysis and immunotherapy resistance analysis, respectively. The bulk datasets for primary and metastatic analyses were gathered from the GEO database under the accession codes GSE8401, GSE46517, and GSE9455. The mRNA profiles of 48 melanoma cell lines before and after 6 hours of treatment with interferon-gamma were downloaded under the accession code GSE154996. The RNA-seq data of CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes from wild-type and Prdm1 conditional knockout (cKO) mice bearing B16F10 melanoma were obtained by GSE113221. Moreover, spatial transcriptome data and H&E-stained annotation information of a melanoma sample were obtained from previous research (30). The RNA-seq data of 222 histologically distinct micro-regions (~5–20 cells per region) extracted from a melanoma patient were downloaded under the accession code GSE171888.

Inference of Tumor Cell States

The defined transcriptional factor (TF) motif-based regulons related to tumor cell states were collected from the published research (3) (Table S1). Among those regulons, we applied the AUCell method to calculate activities of regulons with normalized count profile using the AUCell R package (31) and scaled activities by the maximum difference normalization method. Consensus unsupervised clustering result was obtained based on 1,000 k-means clustering of scaled regulons activities using the ComplexHeatmap R package (32). The clustering results with K set to 4 best matched the TF regulons’ pattern of tumor cell states reported in previous research (3). In addition, we used the gene signatures obtained from the literature (3) and CancerSEA (33) to characterize and validate the functional features of identified tumor clusters (Table S1).
Inference of CD8+ T-Cell States
The top 2,000 variable genes were identified from the normalized and scaled data, and principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the expression matrix of the variable genes. Clusters were detected through the FindClusters function at resolution 0.5 and visualized by uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP). Then, CD8+ T clusters were annotated using canonical cell state markers (25) (Table S1) and cluster-specific markers identified by the FindAllMarkers function (log fold change threshold of 0.25 and FDR threshold of 0.05). Meanwhile, the top 2,000 variable genes were also used as input to the Monocle 2 algorithm (34) to construct the development trajectory of diverse CD8+ T-cell subpopulations.

Identifying Significant Ligand–Receptor Interactions
We integrated known ligand–receptor pairs from five public resources and screened 3,218 pairs supported by at least two resources for subsequent analysis (35–39) (Figure S1A, Table S2). We then defined a ligand or receptor as an “expressed” gene in a certain cell cluster if more than 20% of cells had its expression level by cutoff 0, and set the expression value of unexpressed ligand/receptor to zero. The interaction score of a given ligand–receptor pair between cell cluster A and cell cluster B was the product of average ligand expression across all cells in cluster A and the average receptor expression across all cells in cluster B. Statistical significance was then assessed by randomly shuffling the cell state labels of all tumor cells and CD8+ T cells respectively and repeating the above steps, which generated a null distribution of interaction score for each ligand–receptor pair in each pairwise comparison between tumor clusters and CD8+ T clusters. After running 1,000 times permutations, p-values were calculated as the fraction of permuted ligand–receptor interaction scores larger than real interaction scores.

The ligand–receptor interaction network was visualized by Cytoscape, and functional enrichment analysis and gene mode analysis in the protein–protein interaction network were performed by Metascape at http://metascape.org/gp/index.html#/main/step1 (40).

Identifying the Crosstalk Propensity Between Tumor Cells and CD8+ T Cells
To better correlate CCC with cell state at the single-cell level, we applied NicheNet to predict ligand activities in each signal receiver cell by the predict_ligand_activities function in default parameters (41). The differential gene signature was identified as cell state-specific signatures through the FindAllMarkers function in Seurat (log fold change threshold of 0.25 and FDR threshold of 0.05).

Next, we identified cell state-related ligands during signal transduction. For sender cells, we identified cell state-related ligands whose expression levels were significantly correlated with the average expression of cell state-specific signature (Pearson correlation test, FDR < 0.05). For receiver cells, we identified state-related ligands whose predicted activities were significantly correlated with the average expression of cell state-specific signature (Pearson correlation test, FDR < 0.05). The above analyses were performed in parallel with the two signal transduction directions (from CD8+ T to tumor and from tumor to CD8+ T). During signal transduction, the ligands both significantly related to a tumor state and a CD8+ T state were considered as the shared ligands between them. The hypergeometric test analysis was performed to explore whether the number of shared ligands has a significant over-occurrence, which could indicate a significant association between CCC and cell states.

In addition, we repeated the above analysis when CD8+ T cells act as senders, replacing the cell state-specific signatures of tumor cells and CD8+ T cells with tumor cell state-related TF sets and the canonical cell function markers of the CD8+ T cell, respectively.

Pseudo-Space Construction
Using cell state labels and TPM expression profile of tumor cells and CD8+ T cells as input, three-dimensional pseudo space analysis was carried out by CSOmap (39) at https://doi.org/10.24433/CO.8641073.v1.

The Inter/Intra-Cellular Signal Network Construction
First, we applied scMLnet (42) to obtain intercellular ligand–receptor interactions and intracellular signal transduction networks in signal receiver cells and constructed ligand–receptor–TF links. Then, transcriptional regulons were identified using SCENIC (31) to obtain candidate TF–ligand links. Finally, we integrated the above results by connecting TF–ligand links and ligand–receptor–TF links with the intersection of ligands as the intermediary to construct the TF–ligand–receptor–TF network.

Calculation of Cell State Abundance Score
For spatial transcriptome data and bulk transcriptome data TCGA-SKCM, GSE22153, GSE91061, GSE8401, GSE46517, GSE59455, GSE154996, GSE171888, and GSE113221, single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) (43) was applied to calculate activity scores for the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state based on cell state-specific signatures. The ssGSEA algorithm was implemented in the GSVA package.

Survival Analysis
Hazard ratios (HRs) and p-values were derived using a Cox proportional hazards model to evaluate the prognostic effect of ssGSEA score of cell state-specific signature in both TCGA-SKCM and GSE22153 cohorts. Patients in cohorts were classified into two groups according to the median value of the ssGSEA scores. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were used to visualize survival differences between the two groups, and log-rank test was utilized to assess the significance.
Risk Group Analysis
According to the median values of ssGSEA scores of the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state, the patients in the TCGA-SKCM cohort were classified into high- and low-risk groups. Patients in the low-risk group not only had higher ssGSEA scores of the tumor intermediate state but also had higher ssGSEA scores of the CD8+ T exhausted state. The other patients were in the high-risk group. Fisher’s test was used to explore the association between risk groups and other features of patients, including immune subtypes, mutant subtypes, and gene mutations.

Statistical Analysis
Kaplan–Meier curves and forest plot were visualized using the survminer package. The HRs and p-value were calculated with survival package. The significance of differences between the two groups was determined by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The chi-squared test and Fisher’s test were used to determine the significance of the overlap between two categorical variables. Pearson correlation test was used to explore the correlation between two continuous variables. All analyses were performed in R version 4.0.5. “ns” denoted non-significant, * denoted $p < 0.05$, ** denoted $p < 0.01$, *** denoted $p < 0.001$, and **** denoted $p < 0.0001$.

RESULTS
Inference Cell States of Tumor Cells and CD8+ T Cells
According to transcriptional regulons related to tumor cell states in previous research (3), four cell states were identified by unsupervised clustering analysis on the regulon activity profile of 2,018 tumor cells (Figure 1A; gene names are listed in Table S1). Tumor cell states could coexist in melanoma patients rather than just one tumor cell state (Figure 1B); this phenomenon has been reported in multiple studies (4, 13). The melanocytic state exhibited elevated regulon activities of lineage-specific transcription factors (e.g., SOX10 and MITF) and significantly higher pigmentation and proliferation potential (Figures 1A,C,D). Compared to the melanocytic state, the mesenchymal-like state lost regulon activities of melanocytic transcription factors and had significantly higher stemness and invasion potential (Figures 1A,E,F). The majority of the tumor cells were in the intermediate state, which was governed by the EGR3, NFATC2, and SOX6 (Figure 1A). The intermediate state was also characterized by intermediate MITF regulon activity and increased regulon activities related to mesenchymal-like (e.g., JUN and SOX9) and immune modulators (e.g., IRF3 and STAT1), which was a transitional state from a melanocytic state to a mesenchymal-like state as previous research reported (3) (Figure 1A). A few tumor cells resided in a neural
crest-like state, as identified by co-localized SOX11 and TFAP2B regulon activities, and exhibited a low level of melanocytic regulon activity compared to intermediate, except for SOX10 (Figure 1A).

With graph-based clustering on the expression profile of 1,759 CD8+ T cells, six clusters were detected (Figure 2A). The expression levels of well-known functional state markers (25) and cluster differential genes suggested cell states of CD8+ T cells (Figures 2B,C; gene names are listed in Table S1). Cluster C1 was denoted as exhausted state, which exhibited the elevated expression of exhausted markers (e.g., HAVCR2, PDCD1, and LAG3) and cytotoxicity-related genes (e.g., GZMB, PRF1, GZMA, and NKG7) (8). Cluster C2 was defined as a transitional state as it moderately expressed cytotoxic markers and highly expressed GZMK, which widely featured the intermediate state between naive and exhausted T-cell states (8). Cluster C3 resembled the naive-like CD8+ T state through the enrichment of naive/memory-related genes (e.g., IL7R, CCR7, SELL, and TCF7). The state definition of the above three major cell clusters was consistent with a continuous progression process in trajectory analysis (Figure 2D). In addition, there were three other smaller clusters, in which cluster C4 expressed a higher level of interferon induction gene (e.g., IFI44L, IFIT1, and IFIT3). Compared to C2, C5 expressed a low level of GZMK and additional proliferation gene MKI67. Cluster C6 expressed high-level ENTPD1 and was located at the beginning of the trajectory and close to naive-like cells (Figure 2D). In addition, the above CD8+ T-cell subsets have varying proportions from each patient (Figure 2E).

The Landscape of Tumor–CD8+ T Crosstalk Characterized by Ligand–Receptor Interactions

Here, the scRNA-seq dataset was annotated by integrated human ligand–receptor pairs from five published resources (35–39) (Figure S1A, gene names are listed in Table S2). These annotations were used to infer putative cell state-specific ligand–receptor interactions to construct a tumor–CD8+ T interactome (19, 39) (Figures S1B, C; for details, see Materials and Methods), resulting in a ligand–receptor interaction network (Figure S2A). Genes in this network were involved in cell adhesion, leukocyte migration, extracellular matrix organization, and immune response (Figures S2B-D).

Notably, tumor cells expressed relatively high levels of chemokines and ligands associated with antigen presentation and TGF-beta signaling pathway, while the corresponding receptors were widely expressed in CD8+ T cells, suggesting that these ligands play significant roles in influencing immune cell infiltration in melanoma (Figure 3A). Conversely, ligand IFNG and its related
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**FIGURE 2** | Inferred cell states of CD8+ T cells from SKCM patients. (A) UMAP plot of 1,759 CD8+ T cells (samples n = 31), including 6 clusters annotated by different colors. (B) Heatmap showing expression levels of canonical CD8+ T-cell function-associated markers in each CD8+ T cluster. (C) UMAP feature plot representation of selected cluster-specific differential markers within individual CD8+ T-cell clusters. Each column corresponds to six clusters in order. (D) The trajectory distribution of CD8+ T-cell clusters. (E) The composition of CD8+ T-cell clusters in each sample, where the number of cells contained in the sample is shown in parentheses on each row.
proinflammatory cytokine TNF, and ligands related to tumor necrosis factor family and cytotoxicity were expressed in CD8+ T cells, indicating the killing potential of CD8+ T cells against tumor cells (Figure 3A). Interactions of VIM-CD44 between tumor cells and CD8+ T cells were commonly observed, which was involved in epithelial–mesenchymal transition (44, 45).

Of note, intermediate and neural-crest-like tumor cells have the highest number of and largely shared ligand–receptor interactions out of all cell states, whether tumor cells act as senders or receivers (Figures 3B,C, S1D). Those results suggested that intermediate and neural-crest-like tumor cells may more frequently crosstalk with CD8+ T cells. Specifically, ligand–receptor interactions related to antigen presentation machinery (e.g., HLA-A-CD3G and B2M-KLRD1) were mainly observed between intermediate tumor cells and almost all CD8+ T clusters (Figure 3A). The above findings implied that intermediate tumor cells are capable of presenting more immunogenicity. CD8+ T clusters C1 and C5 expressed GZMB interacting with the corresponding receptors that were expressed in intermediate and neural-crest-like tumor cells, suggesting the presence of cytotoxic effect in the two tumor cell states (46) (Figure 3A). In particular, PTDSSI-SCARB1 was only observed between CD8+ T cells and melanocytic tumor cells (Figure 3A). The loss of SCARB1 has been demonstrated to downregulate TF MITF related to the melanocytic state in human melanoma (47), suggesting that ligand–receptor interaction may affect the cell state of signal receivers.

Deciphering the Crosstalk Propensity Between Tumor Cells and CD8+ T Cells

To further identify ligand–receptor interactions predicted to be associated with cell states, our analysis firstly applied NicheNet (41) to obtain the ligand activity of each signal receiver cell (Figures 4A–B). Obvious differential activities of some ligands between tumor cell states were observed when tumor cells act as receivers, such as intermediate tumor cells that received the strongest ligand IFNG.
signal compared to other tumor cell states (Figures 4A,C). However, this phenomenon was not obvious when CD8+ T cells act as receivers (Figures 4B, D). Next, we identified cell state-related ligands when cells act as senders (Figures 4E,F, top) and receivers (Figures 4E,F, bottom) (for details, see Materials and Methods; Pearson correlation test, FDR < 0.05). Interestingly, there were very few CD8+ T-cell state-related ligands when they act as senders, it is speculated that CD8+ T cells may use the common ligands to perform some functions together (Figure 4F, top).

We further explore the association between CCC and cell state using cell state-related ligands as mediators (for details, see Materials and Methods). The significant over-occurrence of ligands shared between the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state was observed when tumor cells send signals to CD8+ T cells (hypergeometric test, p < 0.001), which figured out that intermediate tumor cells may affect CD8+ T exhausted state cells by those shared ligands (Figure 4G). However, this phenomenon was not detected in the other case when CD8+ T cells act as sender cells (Figure 4H). To further verify whether the above phenomenon is due to CD8+ T cells functioning through common ligands rather than state-specific ligands (Figure 4F, top), we repeated this process with functionally dependent ligands, such as cytotoxic, exhausted, and naive ones (for details, see Materials and Methods). Indeed,
the cytotoxicity-related ligands in almost all CD8+ T cells
significantly cooccurred with immune-related ligands in
intermediate tumor cells (Figure 4I, left). In addition,
exhaustion-related ligands in CD8+ T exhausted cells
significantly cooccurred with mesenchymal-related ligands in
intermediate tumor cells (Figure 4I, right). In general, the
influence of ligand–receptor interaction between tumor and
CD8+ T cells is more likely to occur between the CD8+ T
exhausted state and the tumor intermediate state, suggesting a
crosstalk propensity between them.

Verifying the Crosstalk Propensity Between the Tumor Intermediate State and the CD8+ T Exhausted State

Considering that cell function is often influenced by neighboring
cells (48), we subsequently verified this crosstalk propensity from
the perspective of space. The three-dimensional pseudo space
was reconstructed by the CSoMap algorithm (39) based on
single-cell expression profiles of tumor cells and CD8+ T cells
(Figure 5A). In pseudo space, CD8+ T exhausted cells and
intermediate tumor cells formed the major part of tightly
linked structures (Figures 5B,C) and were closed to each other
at the boundary between the tumor cells and CD8+ T cells
(Figure 5D). Quantitatively, the tumor intermediate state and
the CD8+ T exhausted state had the highest overall connected
cell pairs (Figure 5E), indicating their pseudo-spatial proximity.
The pseudo-space proximity was mainly contributed by antigen
presentation and chemokine-related ligand–receptor
interactions, such as HLA-B–CANX and CXCL10–CXCRI3
(Figure 5F). Interestingly, in the microregion sequencing data
of a melanoma tumor MEL1-1 (49), the significantly increased
ssGSEA scores of the CD8+ T exhausted state as well as the
tumor intermediate state were found in the invasive melanoma
boundary (IB) region (Figures 5G-I). In addition, in the spatial
transcriptome data of a melanoma sample (30), some spatial
spots were found to enrich the ssGSEA scores of the tumor
intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state simultaneously (Figures 5J,K). Those results suggested their
spatial co-localization.

To further investigate which molecules might mediate the
crosstalk propensity between the tumor intermediate state and
the CD8+ T exhausted state, we constructed an inter/
intracellular signal transduction network (TF–ligand–receptor–
TF) and found multiple signaling pathways involved in it
(Figures 6A and S3). Importantly, some signal transduction
links could further confirm the association between CCC and cell
state. For instance, PRDM1 could regulate the expression level of
IFNG ligand that was secreted by CD8+ T exhausted cells and
could bind to the IFNGR1/IFNGR2 receptors on the intermediate
tumor cells, and then activate the downstream mesenchymal-
related TFs, such as FOS and NR3CI. The expression levels of
those molecules in the above TF–ligand–receptor–TF link were
almost significantly positively associated with the CD8+ T
exhausted state and the tumor intermediate state (Figures 6B,
S4A,B, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). To validate it, we collected
experimental datasets and found that the expression level and
ssGSEA score of the CD8+ T exhausted specific signature were
reduced in CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes of Prdm1 cKO
mice compared to wild type (Figures S4C,D). A recent study also
demonstrated that PRDM1 is essential for the differentiation of
melanoma and its high expression level indicates better survival
in melanoma (50). In particular, for IFNG ligand, interferon-
gamma treatment could induce increased activity of the tumor
intermediate state in 48 melanoma cell lines (Figure 6C,
Wilcoxon rank-sum test). To some extent, these results
reflected the influence of the TF–ligand–receptor–TF link on
cell state, and these TF–ligand–receptor–TF link molecules may
provide new insight into developing potential therapeutic targets.
Collectively, the crosstalk propensity between the tumor
intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state was verified
by three aspects: pseudo-space proximity, spatial co-localization,
and signal transduction network.

The Tumor Intermediate State and the CD8+ T Exhausted State are Synergistically Associated with Clinical Benefit

Subsequently, we explored whether this crosstalk propensity may
be used to gain predictive insights into relevant biological
phenotypes of interest. Surprisingly, there was no difference in
ssGSEA scores of the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T
exhausted state between primary and metastatic patients in
melanoma (Figures S5A,B). Therefore, the influence of primary
and metastatic factors on the results was not considered in the
following analysis. Cox proportional hazards (COX-PH) models
and survival curve analysis revealed that the high ssGSEA score of
the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state both
significantly predicted favorable overall survival (OS) in the
TCGA-SKCM cohort (intermediate: HR = 0.118, coxph p
= 1.64e-05, log-rank p = 0.00038; exhausted: HR = 0.104, coxph p
= 1.29e-07, log-rank p < 0.0001) (Figures 7A–C), as well as
progression-free interval (PFI) (intermediate: HR = 0.391, p
= 0.0345; exhausted: HR = 0.403, p = 0.00883) (Figure 7A).
Intriguingly, the interaction of the two state score variables was
identified as a better indicator of OS than any of the individual
variables by a multiplicity term in the COX-PH model (HR
= 0.000153, p = 0.00613), but it was not found in PFI (Figure 7A).
Consistently, survival curve analysis also showed that patients with
both higher tumor intermediate state and CD8+ T exhausted state
scores had significantly longer OS compared to the other groups
(log-rank p < 0.0001) (Figure 7D). The above findings were
confirmed by an independent cohort (Figures S5C–E).

Furthermore, we analyzed the role of the tumor intermediate
state and the CD8+ T exhausted state in immunotherapy response.
Positive associations were significant between interferon-gamma
response and ssGSEA scores of the tumor intermediate state and
the CD8+ T exhausted state in the TCGA-SKCM cohort
(intermediate: R = 0.46, p < 2.2e-16; exhausted: R = 0.62, p <
2.2e-16) (Figure 7E). Intermediate tumor cells and exhausted CD8+
T cells were both significantly enriched in immunotherapy
untreated samples compared to immunotherapy-resistant samples in
the scRNA-seq dataset (Figure 7F, chi-squared test,
intermediate $p = 1.41 \times 10^{-64}$, exhausted $p = 0.00334$). At the same time, we observed that the shared ligands between the two cell states were generally expressed at higher levels in immunotherapy untreated samples (Figures 7G). Moreover, the correlation between the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T-exhausted state was significant in the anti-CTLA4 untreated group ($R = 0.48$, $p = 0.016$), and was not found in the treatment-resistant group (Figure 7H). Additionally, responders had significantly higher CD8+ T-exhausted state scores compared to non-responders during anti-PDCD1 treatment (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, $p = 0.012$) (Figure 7I). In summary, the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T-exhausted state were synergistically associated with favorable prognosis and both reduced in immunotherapy-resistant samples.
Genomic Mutations and Immune-Related Characteristics of Risk Groups

According to the above survival curve analysis, samples were classified into high- and low-risk groups in the TCGA-SKCM cohort, where the low-risk group included the samples with higher tumor intermediate state and CD8+ T exhausted state scores. Then, the association between risk groups and other defined subtypes was investigated. For immune subtypes defined by immune signatures, the C2-interferon-gamma dominant subtype was particularly dominant in the low-risk group, while the high-risk group was enriched in C1-wound healing and C4-lymphocyte depleted subtypes (Figure 8A). The interplay of risk groups with the previously defined mutation-based molecular subtypes was also assessed. It was found that risk groups spanned across molecular subtypes and had no substantial heterogeneity in the distribution of molecular subtypes (Figure 8B). In terms of immune infiltration, the low-risk group had obvious higher infiltration of antitumor immune-associated lymphocytes, such as CD8+ T, CD4+ T, and activated NK cells (Figure 8F).

In conclusion, the low-risk group had a higher BRAF mutation fraction and stronger antitumor immune responses, suggesting that risk groups may comprehensively reflect both tumor genomic information and anti-tumor immune microenvironment.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we deciphered the crosstalk propensity between the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state by public scRNA-seq data. The tumor intermediate state was a transitional state from a melanocytic state to a
mesenchymal-like state as previous research reported (3). The melanocytic state highly expressed pigmentation-associated genes (Figure 1C), which are documented to be recognized by T cells and thus contribute at least in part to the highly immunogenic nature of melanoma (51, 52). The absence of interferon-gamma response mediated by the antigen processing machinery in tumor cells may result in their failure to be recognized by the immune system (53, 54). The intersection of the MITF pathway and the interferon-gamma pathway was observed in the tumor intermediate state (Figures 1A, 3A), which promoted tumor cells to be recognized by CD8+ T cells and might be the cause of the crosstalk propensity. In addition, the melanocytic state and the mesenchymal-like state were associated with resistance to target therapy (9–12), and the transition from a melanocytic state to a mesenchymal-like state was considered as an alternative route for acquiring drug resistance (10). The tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state were both associated with improved survival and both reduced in immunotherapy-resistant samples (Figure 7). Those results implying the transition of other tumor cell states to an intermediate state combined with immunotherapy may be a new therapeutic strategy.

Some shortcomings and prospects of this study should be addressed. A crosstalk propensity analysis on the association between CCC and cell state was performed due to the lack of sequencing data from physically interacting cells, which can improve the reliability (48). Currently, a few methods that rely on spatial transcriptome data are also emerging (55), and
integrating physical contact-dependent and chemical signal-dependent CCCs will be a trend for future methods and algorithms. This study was completely based on public databases, and some of the key genes or results in this study need to be externally validated by further experiments, such as showing the direct proximity of crosstalk by immunohistochemistry.

In particular, there are many interesting details or challenges in crosstalk propensity analysis. We applied the NicheNet method to predict ligand activity for each signal receiver cell. Although the ligands we analyzed were expressed in tumor cells or CD8+ T cells, the effect of the ligands also expressed by other cells in the tumor microenvironment on the signal receiver cells cannot be excluded. However, at present,
how to accurately decompose the ligand signal source of a receiver cell is still a problem to be solved, including the contribution of individual signal sender cells, and the signal transduction ability of individual receptors can interact with that ligand. One of the key questions is whether cell state-related ligands could be explained by the specific expression of receptors in a certain cell state influencing the ligand ligation and/or ligand engagement on cells promoting the state transition. In other words, whether CCC initiates cell state transition or cell state transition influences CCC, or whether these two cellular behaviors complement each other is difficult to answer in this study.

In addition, the effect of the tumor intermediate state and the CD8+ T exhausted state on patients does not directly represent the effect of CCC. However, dissociating CCC between different cell subpopulations from bulk expression profiles is a challenge. A deconvolution algorithm has been performed to obtain the expression profiles of tumor cells and stromal cells, which were further used to calculate the intensity of ligand–receptor interactions (56). This intensity is only the relative intensity of interactions with different modes of interaction (endocrine and exocrine), rather than absolute.
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