Imbalance between the accumulation and removal of nitric oxide and its derivatives is a challenge faced by all plants at the cellular level, and is especially important under stress conditions. Exposure of plants to various biotic and abiotic stresses causes rapid changes in cellular redox tone potentiated by the rise in reactive nitrogen species that serve as signaling molecules in mediating defensive responses. To understand mechanisms mediated by these signaling molecules, we performed a large-scale analysis of the Arabidopsis transcriptome induced by nitrosative stress. We generated an average of 84 and 91 million reads from three replicates each of control and 1 mM S-nitrosocysteine (CysNO)-infiltrated Arabidopsis leaf samples, respectively. After alignment, more than 95% of all reads successfully mapped to the reference and 32,535 genes and 55,682 transcripts were obtained. CysNO infiltration caused differential expression of 6436 genes (3448 up-regulated and 2988 down-regulated) and 6214 transcripts (3335 up-regulated and 2879 down-regulated) 6 h post-infiltration. These differentially expressed genes were found to be involved in key physiological processes, including plant defense against various biotic and abiotic stresses, hormone signaling, and other developmental processes. After quantile normalization of the FPKM values followed by student’s T-test ($P < 0.05$) we identified 1165 DEGs (463 up-regulated and 702 down-regulated) with at least 2-folds change in expression after CysNO treatment. Expression patterns of selected genes involved in various biological pathways were verified using quantitative real-time PCR. This study provides comprehensive information about plant responses to nitrosative stress at transcript level and would prove helpful in understanding and incorporating mechanisms associated with nitrosative stress responses in plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitric oxide (NO), a highly reactive free radical, is an essential cellular regulatory molecule involved in a plethora of physiological processes in both animal and plant cells. As such, NO has been the center of attention in many fields of research. The discovery of its function as a cardiovascular signal led to the 1998 Nobel Prize for Physiology and Medicine, and was named the “Molecule of the Year” in 1992 by Science (Culotta and Koshland, 1992). Since its discovery in mammalian systems in 1987 (Moncada and Palmer, 1993), substantial research regarding NO has been conducted and published in leading research journals, such as Nature (Delledonne et al., 1998; Barouch et al., 2002; Nott et al., 2008; Yun et al., 2011) and Science (Guo et al., 2003; Matsumoto et al., 2003; He et al., 2004; Tada et al., 2008; Gusarov et al., 2009).

In plants, NO has a well-established role in key physiological processes relating to plant development and immunity (Feechan et al., 2005; Kwon et al., 2012). NO, being highly reactive and therefore toxic to plants, needs to be converted into a non-toxic, mobile, and easily available form. S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) is an abundant and bio-available source of NO in the cell. NO can covalently bind to proteins at their solvent-exposed Cys residues to form S-nitrosothiols (SNOs), a phenomenon called S-nitrosylation. Various NO-derivatives, collectively termed reactive nitrogen species (RNS), and especially GSNO and other SNOs, serve as NO donors or carriers in cells and release NO when and where it is required. The global SNO level in plants is controlled by GSNO reductase through the process of de-nitrosylation (Malik et al., 2011).

NO was first found to regulate plant defenses against bacterial pathogens (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998). Subsequent research involving NO has been shown to mediate many different physiological functions including seed germination, cell expansion, root and flower development, stomatal movement, resistance against biotic, and abiotic stresses (Wilson et al., 2008), and many other functions. While new roles for NO in plants are being elucidated, the exact details of its involvement in complex pathways are still unknown. Though the importance of NO in plant biology is now fully recognized, its main source of production remains largely elusive and the hunt continues for a standard plant nitric oxide synthase (NOS). The only NOS from the plant kingdom to be identified was from the single-cell alga Ostreococcus tauri. This enzyme has properties similar to those of mammalian NOS, including the $K_m$ of NADPH oxidation and the conversion of Arginine (Arg) to citrulline, and exhibits NOS activity in vitro (Foressi et al., 2010).

It has also been shown that NO is generated in plants through a chemical reaction that is similar to that used in animal systems, i.e., the conversion of Arg to citrulline and NO. This oxidative mechanism has also been shown to be significantly reduced in the presence of mammalian NOS inhibitors (Delledonne et al., 2001; Corpas et al., 2006), thus suggesting the presence of NOS-like activity in plants. However, a standard NOS has not yet been characterized in higher plants. The reduction of nitrate by nitrate reductase (NR) is also an important mechanism in the production of NO in plants (Hoff et al., 1992; Yamasaki, 2000; Okada et al., 2004; Ferrarini et al., 2008).

The process of S-nitrosylation is perhaps the most studied regulatory function mediated by NO in plants. Gene products involved in key physiological processes that have been shown to be S-nitrosylated include the Arabidopsis Salicylic Acid Binding Protein-3 (Wang et al., 2009), Non-Expressor of Pathogenesis Related Genes-1 (Tada et al., 2008), and the auxin receptor Transport Inhibitor Response-1 (Terrile et al., 2012). A more recent study identified the largest collection of endogenously S-nitrosylated proteins in any organism to date (Hu et al., 2015) by using a site-specific identification technique in the Arabidopsis gsnor1-3 knockout line, which accumulates substantial levels of SNOs, and has a disrupted plant defense system (Feechan et al., 2005). The list of S-nitrosylated proteins identified includes 1195 peptides and 926 proteins involved in a large array of physiological processes.

Regulation of protein function by NO through post-translational modifications such as S-nitrosylation and tyrosine nitration has been well-established. However, there is a substantial lack of information about the “inductive or repressive” effects of NO on gene expression (Grün et al., 2006). Biotic and abiotic stresses result in the rise of cellular RNS causing rapid changes in the transcription of various genes. In a study involving a whole-genome based approach, as many as 71 genes exhibited differential expression in response to sodium nitroprusside (SNP; an NO donor) infiltration (Polverari et al., 2003). These genes were found to be involved in plant defense, signal transduction, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and turnover, photosynthesis, and cell transport. However, the expression of most of these genes is also affected by other biotic and abiotic stresses. Parani et al. (2004), using microarray analysis of Arabidopsis roots treated with 0.1 and 1 mM SNP, reported differential expression of 422 genes (342 up-regulated and 80 down-regulated) involved in plant defense, oxidative stress tolerance, signal transduction, and transcription factors involved in ethylene and abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent pathways. Similarly, many other studies have described transcriptional regulation of various genes by NO (Bolwell, 1999; Kawakita et al., 2004, 2010; Wang et al., 2006). Bioinformatics tools have now made it possible to identify NO-responsive elements in genes and gene promoters.

Transcriptome analysis of Arabidopsis leaves and roots treated with 1 mM GSNO for 3 h revealed differential expression of 3263 genes involved in many physiological processes, including biotic and abiotic stress tolerance (Begara-Morales et al., 2014). Similarly, other Arabidopsis transcriptome analyses have been performed to measure responses to various stimuli such as oxidative stress (Desikan et al., 2001), nitrogen limitation (Peng et al., 2007), phosphate starvation (Woo et al., 2012), nanoparticles (García-Sánchez et al., 2015), cold stress (Zou and Yu, 2010), salt stress and ABA treatment (Matsui et al., 2008), auxin (Paponov et al., 2008), insect attack (Kempema et al., 2007; Ehlting et al., 2008), metal toxicity (Weber et al., 2006), and fumigation with sulfur (Zhao and Yi, 2014). Similar transcriptome analyses in Arabidopsis have also been performed at a more basal level to understand physiological functions such as plant defense (Eulgem, 2005; Ditt et al., 2006; Postnikova and Nemchinov, 2012; Weeda et al., 2014), development (Gandotra et al., 2013), and embryogenesis (Li et al., 2007), whereas other
studies have investigated transcriptomics in relation to various specific tissues or organs, including pollen (Grennan, 2007; Zou and Yu, 2010), anthers (Feng et al., 2012), floral parts (Zhang et al., 2015), root hairs (Jones et al., 2006), guard cells (Wang et al., 2011), and leaves (Gandotra et al., 2013).

Increasing or decreasing cellular NO levels can affect many important groups of genes including metal-containing enzymes such as peroxidases and catalases (Clarke et al., 2000), various protein kinases (Kumar and Klessig, 2000), receptors (Terrile et al., 2012), and transcription factors such as MYB, HY5, and Trx (Palmieri et al., 2008; Tavares et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2014). These studies have shown that NO regulates several physiological pathways through intricate translational and transcriptional controls. Therefore, the identification of genes regulated by NO may prove beneficial in incorporating tolerance to multiple stresses in crop plants. In this study, we identified genes that were transcriptionally regulated by NO using a high-throughput RNA-Seq-mediated transcriptomic approach after infiltration of 1 mM S-nitrosocysteine (CysNO) directly into the leaves.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and CysNO Treatment

Arabidopsis thaliana accession Col-0 seeds were grown under 16 h of light and 8 h of dark at 18°C. Rosette stage plants (about 4 weeks old) were infiltrated with 1 mM CysNO (mixing equimolar HCL-dissolved l-Cysteine and a sodium nitrite solution) at the abaxial side of the leaf and samples were collected 6 h later into liquid nitrogen. Control plants were infiltrated with buffer (1 mM HCl) only.

RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

RNA was extracted from leaf samples (in triplicate) from treated and control plants using RNAasy® Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s standard protocol. RNA quality was analyzed using an Agilent® 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Samples (2 µg total RNA) with good RNA Integrity and a 28:12S ratio of 2:1 were then treated with DNase 1. For sequencing, mRNA was then synthesized from 2 µg total RNA using oligo-dT primers. RNA libraries were generated using a TruSeq™ RNA library prep kit (Illumina). Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized through fragmentation and hexamer priming of mRNA to generate double-stranded cDNA libraries. cDNA libraries were quantified using the KAPA library quantification kit (Illumina) and sequenced using a HiSeq-2500 sequencer (Illumina).

Data Analysis

Raw sequencing reads included low-quality reads and adaptors, and so were further processed to obtain high-quality reads. Reads with >10% ambiguous bases or with Q20 < 40% were removed (Patel and Jain, 2012). Further, quality control processing was done according to analysis program developed by Theragen ETEX (Korea). High-quality reads were compared to the A. thaliana genome using Ensembl (Flice et al., 2014). Alignment was performed using TopHat (Trapnell et al., 2009) with the default values.

Measurement of Expression Levels and Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)

Expression levels of various genes were calculated by Cufflinks v2.2.1 (Trapnell et al., 2010) and compared to reference data available in Ensembl. Data were subjected to multi-read-correction and frag-bias-corrections to increase accuracy. Differences in gene expression levels were calculated using Cuffdiff v2.2.1 (Trapnell et al., 2010) to identify DEGs. Genes with value Q < 0.05 were selected.

Annotation and Gene Ontology Analysis

Identified DEGs were further analyzed for annotation and GO terms. For this purpose, annotation and GO search was conducted using NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and the Gene Ontology Consortium database (http://www.geneontology.org/). GO enrichment analysis was performed using GO-slim molecular function, biological process, and cellular location. PANTHER overrepresentation test was performed at P < 0.05 with p-values determined by binomial statistics (Cho and Campbell, 2000).

MapMan Analysis

Substantial data comprising thousands of genes and transcripts often challenges efficient data analysis. Classical GO enrichment analyses based on a database search, though helpful for functional categorization of given gene sets, is less useful if detailed analysis is required at the level of studying genes involved in specific pathways and/or physiological functions. For this purpose, different tools have been developed and used with variable success, e.g., the TM4 suit by Saeed et al. (2003), and GoMiner™ by Zeeberg et al. (2003).

The MapMan-omics data analyses software (Thimm et al., 2004; Usadel et al., 2005; Urbanczyk-Wochniak et al., 2006; http://mapman.gabipd.org) allows visualization of -omics data at the process or pathway level. The software is designed and optimized to map transcriptomic data on currently available databases for many plant species, including A. lyrata, A. thaliana (Affymetrix, Agilent, TAIR 6, TAIR 7, TAIR 8, TAIR 9, and TAIR 10), Brassica napus, B. rapa, Carica papaya, Citrus, Eucalyptus grandis, Glycine max, Gossypium raimondii, Oryza sativa, Populus trichocarpa, Zea mays, and many other plant species. MapMan utilizes a hierarchical “BIN”-based ontology system. Specific bins are allocated to biological functions and sub-bins are allocated to individual steps or nodes in that particular biological function in a hierarchical order. For example, BIN number 20 is for stress, BIN number 20.1 is for biotic stress, and 20.2 is for abiotic stress. Similarly, sub-bins for abiotic stress include 20.2.1 (heat stress), 20.2.2 (cold stress), 20.2.3 (drought stress), 20.2.4 (wounding), and 20.2.5 (light). The bin and sub-bin approach minimizes the redundancy usually found in GO enrichment analyses. In addition, the software also utilizes gene expression values and displays the analyzed data as a diagram which enhances comprehension and is of a quality appropriate for presentation. Genes with increased or decreased expression levels are shown as color-coded squares in blocks. This tool has been widely used and
constantly evolves to accommodate more plant species and data sets.

In order to get more meaningful information, we analyzed 6436 DEGs through MapMan version 3.6.0RC1 to visualize various genes involved in various pathways and biological functions and their expression patterns. For this purpose, all the data for the genes with significantly differential expression ($P \leq 0.05$) were arranged in Microsoft Excel with their standard unique locus identifiers and their final expression value [Log2 (FPKM treated/FPKM untreated)] and saved in a tab-delimited format. These files were then mapped against the Arabidopsis “Ath_AGI_LOCUS_TAIR10_Aug2012.m02” database in MapMan. After analyzing the data, selected pathways were combined by adding their respective bins and sub-bins to custom-made images uploaded to MapMan.

Real-Time PCR Analysis

In order to validate transcriptomic results and gene transcript levels, real-time PCR was performed for 10 randomly selected genes. For this purpose, leaf samples were collected from control and 1 mM CysNO-infiltrated plants as previously mentioned. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Ambion, Life Technologies). cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg total RNA using a DiaStar® RT Kit (SolGent, Korea). A two-step real-time PCR reaction was performed using an Eco™ real-time PCR system (Illumina) using 2x Quantispeed SYBR Kit (PhileKorea) with 1 00 ng template DNA and 10 nM each primer in a final volume of 20 µl according to the following protocol: polymerase activation at 95°C for 2 min followed by denaturation at 95°C for 5 s and concurrent annealing and extension at 65°C for 30 s. The Arabidopsis actin (ACT 2) gene and a no template reaction were used as controls. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S8.

RESULTS

In order to analyze global variations in gene expression during nitrosative stress, leaves of soil-grown Arabidopsis plants were infiltrated with 1 mM CysNO. Similar preliminary experiments were conducted using various concentrations of CysNO and plants grown on both solid Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium and hydroponically. However, we noticed that the artificial growth medium had slight effects on gene expression patterns that were distinct from the effects of CysNO treatment. We also used CysNO concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 2 mM that resulted in mild to extreme effects on plant physiology and gene expression. The 1 mM dose has been used for various NO donors in other experiments, for example, SNP by Polverari et al. (2003) and GSNO by Begara-Morales et al. (2014) and Keeshaw et al. (2014). Similarly, treatment with 1 mM CysNO has been used in various experiments (Martínez-Ruiz and Lamas, 2004; Lam et al., 2010). Therefore, we decided to use 1 mM CysNO for our experiments and directly infiltrate the abaxial side of leaves for a robust and strong response, and to collect leaf samples 6 h after infiltration to capture rapid changes in the global gene expression profile (The list of 10 most up-regulated and most down-regulated genes has been provided in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively and discussed below in detail).

### Table 1 | List of top 10 up-regulated genes in the RNA-Seq mediated leaf transcriptome of Arabidopsis infiltrated with 1 mM S-nitrocysteine (CysNO).

| Serial no. | Accession number | FPKM (Val_1) control | FPKM (Val_2) 1 mM CysNO | Log2 (Val_2/Val_1) | Annotation |
|------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1          | AT5G05220        | 0.02000              | 29.19000                | 10.86180           | Encodes an unknown protein |
| 2          | AT1G71520        | 0.02000              | 38.18000                | 10.83590           | Encodes a member of the DREB subfamily A-5 of ERF/AP2 transcription factor family. The protein contains one AP2 domain |
| 3          | AT4G10290        | 0.02000              | 27.65000                | 10.70240           | Rm1c-like cupins superfamily protein |
| 4          | AT1G51780        | 0.03000              | 43.94000                | 10.41580           | Encodes a member of the six Arabidopsis IAA-amino acid conjugate hydrolase subfamily |
| 5          | AT2G44810        | 0.04000              | 45.12000                | 10.24670           | The DAD1 protein is a chloroplast targeted phospholipase A1 that catalyzes the initial step of jasmonic acid biosynthesis. Mutant has defects in anther dehiscence, pollen maturation, and flower opening |
| 6          | AT2G22760        | 0.04000              | 44.05000                | 10.18870           | Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA-binding superfamily protein |
| 7          | AT2G39518        | 0.06000              | 61.96000                | 10.09650           | Encodes an unknown protein |
| 8          | AT1G22810        | 0.15000              | 153.56000               | 9.96553            | Encodes a member of the DREB subfamily A-5 of ERF/AP2 transcription factor family. The protein contains one AP2 domain |
| 9          | AT3G11480        | 0.27000              | 232.47000               | 9.76860            | Encodes SABATH methyltransferase. It methylates both salicylic acid and benzoic acid. It is highly expressed in flowers, induced by biotic and abiotic stress and thought to be involved in direct defense mechanism |
| 10         | AT5G12340        | 0.10000              | 90.08000                | 9.76308            | Encodes an unknown protein |
**Table 2 | List of 10 most down-regulated genes in the RNA-Seq mediated leaf transcriptome of Arabidopsis infiltrated with 1 mM S-nitrocysteine (CysNO).**

| Serial no. | Accession number | FPKM (Val_1) Control | FPKM (Val_2) 1 mM CysNO | Log2 (Val_2/Val_1) | Annotation |
|------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1          | AT4G14819        | 2.77000              | 0.02000                  | −6.71390           | Encodes an unknown protein |
| 2          | AT2G40610        | 99.40000             | 1.58000                  | −5.97661           | Member of Alpha-Expansin Gene Family, involved in the formation of nematode-induced syncytia in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana |
| 3          | AT2G04460        | 1.77000              | 0.02000                  | −5.94716           | Transposable element gene |
| 4          | AT1G49200        | 9.57000              | 0.17000                  | −5.84095           | Encodes a RING/U-box superfamily protein |
| 5          | AT1G23965        | 1.06000              | 0.02000                  | −5.63821           | Encodes an unknown protein |
| 6          | AT1G77870        | 5.19000              | 0.10000                  | −5.63312           | Encodes a membrane-anchored ubiquitin-fold protein 5 precursor (MUBS) |
| 7          | AT5G05840        | 0.48000              | 0.01000                  | −5.43983           | Encodes an unknown protein |
| 8          | AT4G38860        | 129.68               | 3.01000                  | −5.43081           | Encodes SAUR-like auxin-responsive family protein |
| 9          | AT1G60590        | 9.60000              | 0.24000                  | −5.34142           | Encodes an enzyme of the pectin lyase-like superfamily protein |
| 10         | AT5G20630        | 2.94000              | 0.08000                  | −5.22176           | Encodes a germin-like protein |

**Transcriptome Analysis**

An overall representation of the gene expression profile (Figure 1) indicated highly significant changes in expression at a cutoff Q-value of 0.05. An average of 91.4 million reads were generated from three biological replicates treated with 1 mM CysNO compared to 84.9 million reads from the control samples (Figure 1). Up to 95% of these reads successfully mapped to the Arabidopsis reference genome (Supplementary Table S1). A total of 32,535 genes (including 24,284 known and 447 novel genes) and 55,753 transcripts (including 41,621 known and 14,132 novel transcripts) were identified (Figure 1).

Among these, 3448 genes were up-regulated whereas 2987 were down-regulated by CysNO treatment. Similarly, 3335 and 2879 transcripts were up- and down-regulated, respectively, as a result of CysNO treatment (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S2). After quantile normalization of the FPKM values followed by student’s T-test at $P = 0.05$ and selection of DEGs with at least 2-folds change in their expression in response to CysNO treatment, we identified 1165 DEGs (463 up-regulated and 702 down-regulated) with highly significant expression patterns before and after the treatment (Supplementary Figure S1). Gene expression and raw sequence data have been submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and Short Read Archive (SRA) at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) with accession numbers GSE81361 and SRP074890, respectively.

**Functional Classification of Genes: GO-Enrichment Analysis**

In order to determine whether CysNO-induced genes belong to particular gene classes, gene ontology (GO) classification according to molecular function, biological process, and cellular response was performed. GO enrichment included a broad range of molecular functions, among which catalytic activity (48%), binding activity (22%), and transporter activity (11%) were the dominant categories. Further, detailed analysis within the catalytic activity GO indicated transferase activity (34%), hydrolase activity (25%), and oxidoreductase activity (18%; Figure 2). This finding is consistent with previously published transcriptomic studies demonstrating that binding and catalytic activities operate predominantly at transcriptome level (Lu et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2013). GO terms involving translation regulator and nucleic acid binding activity were also identified in our data set, highlighting the importance of translational activity in transcriptomic responses to nitrosative stress. GO terms for cellular location included membrane-localized genes (40%, including 13% in the plasma membrane and 27% in other membranes) followed by genes related to various cellular organelles (33%), the most abundant of which were related to chloroplast (42%), mitochondrial (12%), and vacuolar proteins (10%; Figure 2). These findings are similar to those of other transcriptomic studies that reported induction of membrane-localized, chloroplast, and vacuole-related genes after stress treatment (Desikan et al., 2001; Begara-Morales et al., 2014).

GO terms related to biological processes predominantly included metabolic processes (45%) followed by cellular processes (20%). GO terms involved in biological regulation (8%), stimulus response (7%), and developmental processes (2%) were also abundant (Figure 2).

**DEGs Associated with Biotic and Abiotic Stress**

All differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were further subjected to functional analysis using MapMan 3.6.0. A total of 787 DEGs were found to be involved in biotic and abiotic stress responses. Of these, 319 were down-regulated while 468 were up-regulated in response to CysNO treatment. Further, functional categorization revealed the presence of membrane-bound receptors [such as AT1G72930, a toll-interleukin receptor-like gene, and AT3G50470, a homolog of RPW8, which is involved in the plant basal defense system (Xiao et al., 2003)], pathogenesis-related proteins (including toll-interleukin receptor-like, coiled-coil-nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich proteins).
FIGURE 1 | Arabidopsis leaf transcriptome in response to 1 mM CysNO treatment. (A) Heat map showing signal intensities of differentially expressed genes in three replicates each of control and CysNO-treated Arabidopsis samples. (B) An average of 91,419,167 reads were obtained from samples treated with 1 mM CysNO compared to 84,964,537 reads from control samples. (C) More than 95% of all reads were successfully mapped to the Arabidopsis genome, obtaining 32,535 genes (including 24,284 known and 447 novel genes) and 55,753 transcripts (including 41,621 known and 14,132 novel transcripts). (D) At a Q-value of 0.05, 3444 up-regulated and 2987 down-regulated genes were identified.
FIGURE 2 | Functional classification of CysNO-responsive genes in Arabidopsis leaf. Genes were classified into categories for (A) molecular function, (B) cellular location, and (C) biological process. GO enrichment analysis was performed according to the Gene Ontology Consortium (www.geneontology.org). PANTHER over-representation test was performed through PANTHER (version 10.0) at \( P < 0.05 \) for PANTHER GO-slim molecular function, cellular location and biological process. The \( p \)-values shown in brackets are determined by binomial statistics (Cho and Campbell, 2000).
repeat, and protease inhibitor classes), and members of secondary metabolite production pathways (including those responsible for the production of carotenoids, terpenoids, alkaloids, waxes, and phenylpropanoids). Biotic and abiotic stresses are often accompanied by a concurrent change in the cellular redox tone (Foyer and Noctor, 2005). We found several defense-related redox-sensitive DEGs in our transcriptomic data. For example, expression of ascorbate peroxidase 1 (APX1-AT1G07890)—which has been shown to be involved in responses to drought (Bhatt et al., 2013), metal toxicity (Fourtroy et al., 2004), and heat (Storozhenko et al., 1998)—doubled, whereas that of thioredoxin 5 (TRX5-AT1G45145) increased by more than 30-times. Among other DEGs from the thioredoxin family, glutathione synthetase 2 (GSH2-AT5G27380) was up-regulated 4-times whereas the expression of catalase 2 (CAT2-AT4G35090) and catalase 3 (CAT3-AT1G20620) was reduced by more than 2-times. Differential expression patterns of several other members of the oxidoreductase and glutaredoxin families of proteins were also recorded (Supplementary Figure S2; Supplementary Table S3). Published research on Arabidopsis and other plants shows the involvement of catalase genes in biotic and abiotic stresses (Du et al., 2008; Mhamdi et al., 2010; Li et al., 2015). Similarly, NO-responsive genes involved in other abiotic stress responses were also found in our transcriptomic data, e.g., heat shock proteins, low temperature-responsive proteins, and drought-responsive proteins, especially members of the Early Response to Dehydration (ERD) class of proteins.

**DEGs Involved in Hormone Metabolism**

The role of NO in hormone signaling is well-known, including in relation to post-translational modifications of hormone-responsive transcription factors (Hill, 2015) and auxin receptor TIR1 (Terrile et al., 2012). Indeed, substantial cross-talk exists between NO and phytohormone signaling (Sanz et al., 2013). Functional annotation of our transcriptomic data identified GO terms involved in hormonal activity. A total of 199 DEGs involved in hormone metabolism were either up- or down-regulated as a result of CysNO treatment. These DEGs were involved in biosynthesis, degradation, and signaling of phytohormones, such as abscisic acid (ABA), auxin (IAA), cytokinin, gibberellic acid (GA), and the defense hormones jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA). Detailed analysis indicated that critical enzymes in the ABA pathway, such as KAO1 (AT1G05160; a member of the cytochrome P450-88A3 family that encodes an ent-kaurenoic acid hydroxylase), NCED4 (AT4G19170; encoding epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase 4), and ABA-Deficient 2 (AB2A-AT1G52340; encoding xanthoxin dehydrogenase) are down-regulated. Conversely, NCED3 (AT3G14440) and AAO3 (AT2G27150; encoding abscisic acid aldehyde oxidase 3) were up-regulated by 13 and 3-folds, respectively.

KAO1 is also an important component of the GA metabolic pathway, in which it converts ent-kaurenoic acid to GA12 aldehyde. Expression of two homologs (AT1G02400 and AT1G30040) that encode GA2 oxidases increased by more than 300 and 10-folds, respectively. The well-known SA pathway-regulating enzymes isochorismate synthase (ICS1-AT1G74710 and ICS2-AT1G18870) and SA-glucosyl transferase (SAGT-AT2G43820) were up-regulated by at least 2-times, whereas the expression of BSMT1 (AT3G11480) increased by more than 800-folds. ICS1 is required for SA synthesis and plant defense against bacterial pathogens (Wildermuth et al., 2001). Similarly, a significant increase in the expression of key jasmonate signaling pathway regulatory enzymes was observed. For example, transcript accumulation of defective anther dehiscence 1 (DAD1-AT2G44810) increased by more than 1000x; accumulation of lipoxygenase 3 (LOX3-AT1G17420), allene oxide cyclase 3 (AOC3-AT3G25780), and oxophytodienoate reductase 3 (OPR3-AT2G06050) transcripts increased by 100x, accumulation of AOC1 (AT3G25760), AOC2 (AT3G25770), and OPR1 (AT1G76680) transcripts increased by 50x; and accumulation of allene oxide synthase (AOS-AT5G42650) and OPR2 (AT1G76690) transcripts increased 10-times (Supplementary Figure S3; Supplementary Table S4).

NO plays an important role in drought tolerance by regulating ABA-mediated stomatal movement (Shi et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015) and also interacts with GA to regulate root growth (Wu et al., 2014), chilling tolerance (Li et al., 2013), and response to metal toxicity during seed germination (Zhu et al., 2012). Expression of ICS1 in response to bacterial pathogens has been shown to increase with a concomitant rise in SA levels especially of SA-glucoside potentiated by SAGT (Ding et al., 2015). Previous reports also suggest that treatment of Arabidopsis plants with SNP strongly induces the expression of AOS and LOX2 (Huang et al., 2004). Studies concerning the interaction of NO with phytohormones relating to defense (SA and JA) was reviewed by Mur et al. (2013), and the interaction of NO with other phytohormones was reviewed by Freschi (2013) and Paris et al. (2013).

**DEGs Associated with Secondary Metabolites**

Plant secondary metabolites are not required for plants to survive but aid in growth, development, and primary metabolism. Accepted roles for various plant secondary metabolites include plant defense against pathogens and herbivores (Maag et al., 2015) and their use as pesticides (Cespedes et al., 2015). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying their roles in plant biology are largely unknown, and the concept that secondary metabolites are not required for plant survival somewhat undermines the perception of their importance. NO is known to regulate elicitor-dependent and elicitor-independent production of pharmaceutically important secondary metabolites [reviewed by Zhang et al. (2012)].

We intended to elucidate the effects of CysNO treatment on Arabidopsis secondary metabolite fluxes through our transcriptomic analysis. Analysis of GO terms relating to secondary metabolism were identified 298 DEGs in response to CysNO treatment. These DEGs were involved in metabolism of a variety of secondary metabolites, including flavonoids (such as chalcones, isoflavonoids, flavonols, dihydroflavonols, and anthocyanins), glucosinolates, phenylpropanoids, various lignins, tocopherol, carotenoids, terpenoids, and various steps of
the shikimate pathway. A detailed list of these genes along with their description and expression values is given in Supplementary Table S5. Briefly, detailed investigation of DEG involvement in various steps of secondary metabolite homeostasis revealed CysNO-induced up-regulation of key enzymes by at least 10-folds e.g., 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase 1 (DHPS-AT4G39980) and 5-enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase (EPSP synthase-AT2G45300), which is involved in the shikimate pathway (Supplementary Figure S4).

Genes involved in the tocopherol biosynthesis pathway, the products of which often exhibit vitamin E activity, were mostly down-regulated. These included Vitamin-E Deficient 1 (VTE1-AT4G32770), which encodes a tocopherol cyclase that is required for α-tocopherol synthesis, and γ-tocopherol methyl transferase (VTE4-AT1G64970), which is required for β-tocopherol synthesis.

Similarly, all DEGs in the carotenoid pathway except carotenoid isomerase (CrtISO-AT1G06820), which was up-regulated by 30-folds, were down-regulated in response to CysNO treatment. These included lycopene β-cyclase (LCYb-AT3G10230), phytoene synthase (PSY-AT5G17230), zeta-carotene desaturase (ZDS-AT3G04870), and two isoforms of violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE-AT1G08550 and AT2G1860).

In the phenylpropanoid and flavonoid pathways, expression of two isoforms of the Arabidopsis phenylalanine ammonia lyase (ATPAL1-AT2G37040 and ATPAL2-AT3G53260), which are responsible for the conversion of phenylalanine to cinnamic acid, more than doubled. Relatedly, five isoforms of 4-coumarate-CoA ligase (4CL) that catalyze multiple steps in the synthesis of various phenylpropanoids were differentially expressed in response to CysNO treatment. Among these, 4CL1 (AT1G51680), 4CL2 (AT3G21240), and 4CL5 (AT3G21230) were up-regulated 5, 10, and 80-folds, respectively. Similarly, two isoforms of the multi-step Arabidopsis cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase, CAD1 (AT1G72680) and CAD5 (AT4G34230), were up-regulated by 5 and 30x, respectively. Finally, ferulate 5-hydroxylase (5FH-AT5G04330) and caffeate O-methyl transferase (COMT-AT5G54160) were each up-regulated 5-times and expression of dihydroflavonol 4-reductase-DFR (AT5G42800) was up-regulated 3-times (Supplementary Figure S4; Supplementary Table S5).

**DEGs with GO Terms Involved in Cellular Functions**

Experiments utilizing various NO donors show modulation of a plethora of cellular functions. Analysis of our transcriptomic data for GO terms related to cellular function revealed differential expression of several genes that play essential roles in cell division and cell cycle, DNA repair, vesicle transport, and protein targeting, RNA processing, cellular redox tone, metal handling, and protein modifications. Transport is perhaps one of the oldest research topics in plant physiology and has been studied in detail at the cellular, organismal, and whole-plant level. A key component of cellular transport is the movement of molecules in and out of the cell across membranes, a process that is mediated by both active and passive transport systems. Changes in transportation schedules is one of the important events following stress induction. Many DEGs that encode cellular transporters were detected (Supplementary Figure S5; Supplementary Table S6).

DEGs responsible for the transport of nutrients such as nitrate and ammonium included three members of the well-known high-affinity nitrate transporter (NRT2) family (Glass et al., 2002), specifically NRT2.6 (AT3G45060), NRT2.7 (AT5G14570), and NRT1.1 (AT1G12110). A significant increase in their expression was observed, especially that of NRT2.6, which increased 70-folds. In addition, expression of the wound-responsive transmembrane transporter WR3 (AT5G50200) was up-regulated by 10x. DEGs responsible for transport included seven calcium cation exchangers (CAX), four of which (CAX1-AT2G38170, CAX2-AT3G13320, CAX3-AT3G51860, and putative CAX10-AT1G54110) were slightly down-regulated, whereas the remaining three (CAX7-AT5G17860, CAX8-AT5G17850, and CAX9-AT3G14070) were up-regulated 8, 30, and 6-folds, respectively. These cation exchanger antiporters comprise a group of proteins responsible for shuttling various ions across the cell membrane in order to maintain biological equilibrium (Hirschi, 2004).

Plant potassium transporters can be broadly classified into three categories: potassium ion permeases, potassium ion transporters, and cation proton antiporters (Gierth and Mäser, 2007). Potassium transport-related DEGs included hyperpolarization-activated inward-rectifier K+ channels comprising Arabidopsis AKT1 (AT2G26650; up-regulated 7) and AKT2 (AT4G22200; down-regulated 2-folds), which are responsible for controlling potassium influx (Rodriguez-Navarro and Rubio, 2006), and the depolarization-activated outward-rectifier K+ channels comprising Arabidopsis KCO5 (AT4G01840; up-regulated 2-folds) and KCO6 (AT4G18160; up-regulated by 2x), which control potassium efflux (Pei et al., 1998).

Similarly, other active-transport systems remove unwanted or toxic molecules from the cell. Examples of such an efflux system include drug-specific or multidrug and toxin extrusion (MATE) systems and ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters. Hundreds of these transporters have been characterized in prokaryotes (Choi, 2005). Analysis of our transcriptomic data revealed the presence of 42 genes encoding ABC transporter and multidrug resistance proteins. For example, activated disease susceptibility 1 (ADS1-AT4G29140), which encodes a MATE protein, was up-regulated. This gene has been shown to negatively regulate plant disease resistance and the ads1-dominant knockout mutant has been shown to accumulate higher levels of reactive oxygen intermediates, such as H2O2, in an RBOH-dependent manner (Sun et al., 2011). Similarly, the Arabidopsis detoxification efflux carrier-MATE transporter (DTX50-AT5G52050), which was up-regulated by more than 20x in response to 1 mM CysNO treatment, is a membrane-localized ABA efflux transporter (Zhang et al., 2014). Natural compounds secreted by plant roots influence the surrounding soil microbial diversity. One of these, the Arabidopsis ABC transporter pleiotropic drug resistance 2 (PDR2-AT4G15230), was up-regulated by at least 30x. An
Arabidopsis pdr2 loss-of-function mutant exhibited alterations in root exudates and as a result significantly altered fungal and bacterial diversity in the rhizosphere (Badri et al., 2009). Similarly, other DEGs encoding various transporters were abundant in our dataset. A detailed list of all these genes and their expression values is presented in Supplementary Table S6.

**DEGs Associated with Transcriptional Regulation**

We found 604 DEGs (274 down-regulated and 330 up-regulated) encoding known and putative transcription factors (TFs) of various classes. We found 44 AP2/AREBP TFs (7.28%), 8 ARF TFs (1.3%), 14 Aux/IAA TFs (2.317%), 55 BHLH TFs (9.1%), 70 ZNF TFs (11.7%), 19 HB TFs (3.14%), 53 MYB TFs (8.7%), 34 WRKY TFs (5.6%), 25 bZIP TFs (4.31%), 27 putative TFs (4.47%), and 78 (12.91%) unclassified TFs. A list of these transcription factors, their descriptions, and expression values can be found in Supplementary Figure S6; Supplementary Table S7. Here, we describe selected differentially expressed TFs per available information in the literature. Expression of the AP2/ERE BP TFs RAP2.4 (AT1G78080) and RAP2.4B (AT1G22190), both encoding abiotic stress-associated dehydration-responsive element binding factor (DREB) A-6 clade proteins, increased by more than 3x. Both of these proteins localize exclusively in the nucleus and have similar binding characteristics within their dehydration-responsive elements (DREs). Microarray analysis of Arabidopsis double knockout and overexpression plants for these two genes indicated variation in the expression profiles of drought-related gene sets, thus indicating the involvement of these two TFs in drought tolerance (Rae et al., 2011). Expression of the RAP2.6 (AT1G43160), which encodes an ERF/AP2 TF, increased by more than 800x in response to 1 mM CysNO. This gene has been shown to positively regulate the expression of JA-responsive genes in response to infection by *Heterodera schachtii* and Delayed Arabidopsis plants over-expressing this gene deposited increased callose in the cynctia (Ali et al., 2013). Expression of the Dwarf and Delayed Flowering 1 (DDF1-AT1G12610) AP2/ERE BP TF, which encodes a member of the CBF/DREB1 subfamily, increased by more than 70x. Arabidopsis plants overexpressing this TF exhibited enhanced tolerance to freezing temperatures, heat, drought, salinity, and reduced bioactive GA (Magome et al., 2008). TFs like ABA responsive 1 (ABR1-AT5G64750), ABA-inducible BHLH (AIB-AT2G46510), and ABA insensitive 5 (ABIS5-AT2G36270) were up-regulated by 800, 6, and 10-folds, respectively. AB15, a leucine zipper TF, controls ABA-dependent repression of growth after germination (Lopez-Molina et al., 2002). It was recently shown that this AB15-mediated growth regulation is only possible due to the effects of NO, as S-nitrosylation of AB15 at Cys-153 enables its degradation through CULLIN4 and KEEP ON GOING (KOG) E3 ligases and thus promotes seed germination and growth (Albertos et al., 2015).

Expression of the transcriptional repressor TGA2 (AT5G06950) was reduced by 2x in response to CysNO treatment. TGA2 and NPR1 are both activators of systemic acquired resistance (SAR). At basal levels, TGA2 represses NPR1 overexpression. After induction of SAR by a pathogen, the BTB/POZ domain of NPR1 interacts with and abolishes the repressive effect of TGA2 by removing TGA2 oligomers from the target DNA (Boyle et al., 2009). Trade-off between the Aux/IAA auxin-responsive transcriptional repressors and ARF transcriptional activators is important for normal auxin-dependent responses in Arabidopsis. The expression of transcriptional repressors Aux/IAA 2-11 (AT5G43700), Aux/IAA 14 (AT4G14550), and Aux/IAA 29 (AT4G32280) was reduced by 9, 11, and 28x, respectively, in response to treatment with 1 mM CysNO. Aux/IAA repressors have been shown to bind ARF transcriptional activators. After ubiquitin-dependent degradation of these repressors, ARF are released to activate auxin responsive genes (Nanao et al., 2014). Interestingly, two ARF-encoding genes, ARF6 (AT1G30330) and ARF5 (AT1G19850), were up-regulated by at least 4x.

Many DEGs that regulate the ubiquitin- and autophagy-dependent degradation machinery were also identified. Of these, 73 DEGs were involved in ubiquitin::E3::SCF::FBOX complexes and two were involved in SKP complexes. Similarly, other TFs involved in disease resistance, ABA, GA, auxin, SA, JA, NO, and H2O2 signaling were abundant in our data set (Supplementary Table S7).

**Confirmation of CysNO-Mediated Transcriptional Changes by Quantitative RT-PCR**

In order to further validate CysNO-induced transcriptional changes, 39 different genes were chosen from different functional categories/pathways (Figure 4) to determine changes in their transcript accumulation 6 h after infiltration with 1 mM CysNO infiltration (Figure 3). These genes were involved in functional pathways such as IAA, ABA, and JA signaling, protein modification, redox homeostasis, oxidative stress perception, plant defense, and development. Relatively higher variation in expression patterns between qRT-PCR and transcriptomic data was found only for those genes that exhibited very low basal level expression, e.g., *ATEXP8* and *AT4G14819* (Figure 3). However, a correlation coefficient of 0.93 shows that the RNA-Seq results were statistically reliable and comparable to transcriptomic data.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the transcriptome analysis are summarized in the form of a model showing the major functional pathways and DEGs activated after CysNO treatment (Figure 4). NO is now recognized as a major signaling molecule in eukaryotes, including plants. NO is generated in plants under non-stressful conditions as a major signaling molecule in eukaryotes, including plants. NO is generated in plants under non-stressful conditions and two were involved in SKP complexes. Similarly, other TFs involved in disease resistance, ABA, GA, auxin, SA, JA, NO, and H2O2 signaling were abundant in our data set (Supplementary Table S7).
Figure 3 | Confirmation of RNA-Seq results by qRT-PCR analysis. Total 39 genes identified as CysNO-responsive through RNA-Seq-based transcriptomic analysis (black bars) in Arabidopsis leaves were selected to validate the fold change in their expression values through qRT-PCR analysis (white bars). These genes belonged to different functional groups involved in the regulation of auxin pathway (A), protein modification (B), plant development (C), plant defense (D), redox related processes (E), oxidative stress (F), ABA pathway and secondary metabolite production (G). As indicated by a correlation coefficient (R) of 0.93, RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR results are significantly comparable to each other, and RNA-Seq results are reliable. Error bars indicate the standard deviation and each point represents the mean of three replicates.
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FIGURE 4 | Model summarizing the different regulatory pathways and their respective DEGs in the Cys-NO responsive transcriptome of Arabidopsis.

GO enrichment analysis and functional classification identified DEGs (up-regulated blue font, down-regulated red font) involved in important physiological activities such as; plant defense and development, redox stress signaling, abiotic stress regulation, hormone signaling, secondary metabolite production, protein modification, cell transport, and many others. Representative genes from each pathway were chosen for validation of their expression pattern through quantitative real time PCR analysis.

strict transcriptional and translational control. This makes it clear that the identification of such transcriptional changes would aid elaboration of the mechanistic control of various functions. However, a global-scale analysis of NO-regulated transcriptional changes has not yet been described.

We therefore performed a large-scale sequence analysis spanning more than 176 million reads to characterize nitrosative stress responses at the transcriptome level, and identified 3448 up-regulated and 2987 down-regulated genes using a Q-value of 0.05. The MDS and PCA plots showing these DEGs are presented in Supplementary Figure S7. Among these, more than 94% of genes showed higher than 2-fold change in expression following CysNO treatment. We performed prior experiments with different doses of CysNO using various application methods and identified and optimized a strategy of direct infiltration with 1 mM CysNO for a robust and rapid transcriptional response, as indicated by the high number of DEGs and the resulting high variation in gene expression profiles when compared to other stress-induced transcriptomic analyses in Arabidopsis. Consequently, smaller transcriptional variations can lead to larger translational consequences. We successfully mapped more than 95% of all the reads to the Arabidopsis genome. The remaining 5% of mismatched data may represent a promising source for discovery of novel genes.

Changes in cellular concentrations of NO and its derivatives affect the redox state of the cell. We found a high number of DEGs responsible for mediating counter-responsive measures in response to increasing nitrosative stresses. We found multiple members of the thioredoxin, heme-binding protein...
families, disulfide isomerases, mono- and di-hydro ascorbate reductases, and glutathione peroxidases. Such a counter-response was also evident from the very high catalytic activity observed (Figure 2), highlighting the post-nitrosative-stress cellular environment with hyperactive physiological processes, especially total oxidoreductase activity. A global equilibrium between RNS and ROS is required for normal physiological functions at the cellular level. Following infiltration of 1 mM CysNO into the Arabidopsis leaf apoplast, expression of the two predominant catalase enzymes, CAT2 and CAT3, decreased after CysNO treatment and which would ultimately lead to increased cellular H$_2$O$_2$ levels in order to achieve a balance between cellular nitrogen and oxygen species. We also found substantial induction of genes involved in oxidative pathways, such as APX1, RBOH-D, and RBOH-F (Supplementary Figure S2), which helped counter the increasing nitrosative stress.

Certain cellular compartments are required in these counter-responsive measures. Out of the 33% DEGs with GO terms related to different organelles, 42% were found to be related to the chloroplast followed by 12% to the mitochondria and 10% to the vacuole (Figure 2). DEGs related to these organelles have also been identified found by other stress-induced transcriptomic analyses (Desikan et al., 2001; Begara-Morales et al., 2014). Endogenous NO synthesis involves multiple pathways arising in different organelles such as chloroplasts, which are a key proposed site for NO production in plants (Jasid et al., 2006; Galatro et al., 2013; Tewari et al., 2013), mitochondria (Gupta et al., 2009), and peroxisomes (Corpas et al., 2009). Other studies have also established a major role for chloroplasts in endogenous NO production under basal and stressed conditions (Foissner et al., 2000; Gould et al., 2003; Arnaud et al., 2006), as several components of the electron transport chain in the photosystem-II have been identified as potential targets of NO in the chloroplast (Petrouleas and Diner, 1990). From experiments using different NO donors we know that the effect of NO on the chloroplast is mostly dose-dependent. The targets and actions of NO in the chloroplast are reviewed by Misra et al. (2014). This, along with the high number of DEGs expressed in the chloroplast, indicates the importance of this organelle in NO biology, and the chloroplastic DEGs in our dataset may serve as a good source for identification of NOS-like enzyme(s) directly or indirectly involved in NO biosynthesis.

The highly important plant defense-related enzyme benzoic acid/SA carboxyl methyltransferase (BSMT1-AT3G11480) was up-regulated by more than 800x, although basal expression levels in the control samples were very low. BSMT1 is responsible for the production of methyl salicylate (MeSA) from SA, as Arabidopsis bsmt1 knockout plants cannot accumulate MeSA and therefore cannot exhibit SAR (Liu et al., 2010). This means that after exogenous application of NO, a robust increase in expression of BSMT1 would result in increased MeSA levels, thus establishing strong SAR responses; as has been shown that exogenous MeSA application results in increased NO content in plants (Zhang et al., 2011). DEGs involved in biotic and abiotic stresses included genes responsible for the production of secondary metabolites, including various lignans that are required for membrane reinforcement as a protective strategy against stress signals.

We also found 60 DEGs (43 up-regulated and 17 down-regulated) encoding known and putative calcium-binding calmodulin proteins, indicating the involvement of calmodulin proteins in stress tolerance (Supplementary Table S2). Significant crosstalk exists between the signaling pathways of H$_2$O$_2$, calcium-calmodulin, and NO. NO Accumulation decreases in the presence of calmodulin antagonists, whereas SNP application increases Ca$^{2+}$ concentration and calmodulin 1 (CAM1) expression in maize (Sang et al., 2008b).

Most DEGs that increased in expression by 100–1000x after CysNO treatment were found to have very low expression in control samples, and most do not appear to have a direct link to nitrosative stress. However, the role of these DEGs in other types of stresses, such as oxidative, biotic, and abiotic stress, might explain their sensitivity to CysNO (Supplementary Table S2). These genes had a range of potential functions when analyzed for GO enrichment. Several DEGS encoded heat shock proteins. Out of these, six belonged to the heat shock transcription factor family, of which five were up-regulated whereas one was slightly down-regulated. NO has been shown to play important role in heat stress physiology in plants (Lee et al., 2008) and its cross-talk with various ROS establishes thermo-tolerance through the expression of heat shock proteins, as in the case of Arabidopsis seedlings (Wang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015).

Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling regulates the production of NO in Nicotiana benthamiana (Asai et al., 2008). We identified 14 DEGs that encode MAPKs. MKP6 interacts physically with and phosphorylates the nitrate reductase enzyme NIA2 at Ser-627, leading to increased nitrate reductase activity as both NO concentration and nitrate reductase activity dramatically decreased in mpk6 mutants in response to H$_2$O$_2$ (Wang et al., 2010). We also observed increased expression of several MAPK phosphatases. For example, AT2G30030 (encoding AP2C1 protein phosphatase) and AT2G40180 (encoding PPC2-5 phosphatase) were up-regulated by 2 and 50x, respectively, after CysNO treatment. These two genes have shown to negatively regulate MKPs through dephosphorylation (Unbrasaite et al., 2011). The resulting suppression of MPKs could lead to reduced nitrate reductase activity, and this may also be one of the reasons that we did not detect any of the two nitrate reductase enzymes in our transcriptomic data, as it is likely more important to reduce endogenous NO production following exogenous CysNO application.

We also identified 21 DEGs involved in metal handling, such as the heavy metal-associated isoprenylated plant proteins (HIPP). HIP221 (AT5G17450), HIP225 (AT4G35060), and HIP226 (AT4G38580) were up-regulated by more than 2x following CysNO treatment. Expression of the Arabidopsis CUTA gene (AT2G33740), which encodes a chloroplast-related copper binding protein (Burkhead et al., 2003), decreased by more than 2x. The plant-specific HIPP family contains key proteins responsible for cellular metal transport, drought tolerance, and disease resistance (de Abreu-Neto et al., 2013). Arabidopsis phytochelatin synthase (PCS1-AT5G44070) was up-regulated by nearly 10x (Supplementary Table S2). This enzyme
catalyzes the synthesis of phytochelatin from glutathione at basal levels and in the presence of metal ions like Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺. It has been recently used to engineer heavy metal tolerance in plants (Cahoon et al., 2015) and to engineer cadmium biosensors in yeast (Matsuura et al., 2013), and its over-expression in tobacco has been shown to enhance arsenic and cadmium detoxification (Zanella et al., 2015). However, the ubiquitous nature and constitutive expression of phytochelatin synthases suggest a rather more generalized function besides metal detoxification, as PCS1 was later shown to be involved in non-host resistance (Clay et al., 2009). The nearly 10x increase in its expression after CysNO treatment and its interaction with glutathione for the synthesis of phytochelatin indicates the importance of NO in heavy metal detoxification.

A broad analysis of DEGs in our (and other post-stress) transcriptomic data reveals a counter-responsive model by which plants respond to these stresses. Key components of this model adapted for nitrosative stress includes activation of a broad range of enzymatic activities, involving oxidoreductive reactions, with the aim of returning the cellular redox state back to a physiological normal. This is accompanied by rapid mobilization of the transportation machinery, as increased metabolic activity would require the supply and removal of different components. This in turn requires fine-tuning of the entry and exit checkpoints at cellular membranes, as is evident from the very high number of membrane-localized DEGs that were identified. We found a relatively large number of DEGs expressed in the vacuole along with those involved in the E3 ligase-ubiquitin protein degradation machinery, thus indicating that efficient compartmentalization, packing, and processing are also important in reliable stress-responses. We identified 78 DEGs involved in regulation of photosystem I, photosystem II, electron transport, and the Calvin cycle and, interestingly, all were down-regulated. This shows that stress responses, being exhaustive, and energy-costly, may cause a reduction in growth-related processes. In addition, NO-induced responses include transcriptional changes of a large array of gene sets, many of which are shared by other stress-responses, such as oxidative, drought, salt, heat, cold, and biotic stress, such as pest or pathogen attack. Collectively, the transcriptomic data generated in this study will serve as a good platform for further studies investigating NO biology in plants.
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