Deterioration of heart rate recovery index in patients with erectile dysfunction
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Heart rate recovery (HHR) after exercise is a function of vagal reactivation. This study aimed to evaluate HHR index in patients with erectile dysfunction.

Methods: Men over the age of 18 years who were diagnosed with erectile dysfunction were included in the study. Ninety patients with erectile dysfunction (mean age=56.1±8.3 years) and 50 healthy subjects as controls (mean age=53.1±10.4 years) were compared. The erectile status of patients was evaluated using the sexual health inventory for men questionnaire. Basal electrocardiography, echocardiography, and treadmill exercise testing were performed in all patients and controls. The HHR index was defined as the reduction in heart rate from the rate at peak exercise to the rate at the first minute (HRR1), second minute (HRR2), third minute (HRR3), and fifth minute (HRR5) after terminating exercise stress testing. An independent sample t-test, Pearson correlation coefficient test, linear multivariate regression analysis, and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis were used for statistical assessment.

Results: All HHR indices were found to be significantly decreased in patients with erectile dysfunction (p<0.001). Effort capacity was markedly lower (9.1±2.3 vs. 10.4±2.3 METs, p=0.002) among patients with erectile dysfunction. HRR1 and HRR3 were found to be an independent risk factor for erectile dysfunction (Beta=0.462, p<0.001; Beta=0.403, p<0.001; respectively) in linear regression analysis.

Conclusion: Decreased HHR index may be considered as one of the independent predictors of impaired autonomic function in patients with erectile dysfunction. (Anatol J Cardiol 2016; 16: 264-9)

Keywords: erectile dysfunction, heart rate recovery index, exercise test, autonomic nervous system

Introduction

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is the loss of ability to provide and maintain a satisfactory erection (1), and the frequency of ED increases in the elderly (2). ED has many organic and psychological contributors. Hormonal disorders, such as hypogonadism, cause ED (3), and there is also an evident association between ED and cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking, and metabolic syndrome, particularly with increasing age (4). Moreover, ED can be caused by psychological disorders and conditions, such as depression (5). The relationship between autonomic nervous system (ANS) dysfunction and ED has been investigated, and reports suggest that dysfunction of both the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems could lead to ED (6, 7).

The autonomic nervous system plays a key role in the regulation of the cardiac and vascular systems; therefore, deterioration of ANS function plays a vital role in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (8, 9). The heart rate recovery (HRR) index is an important parameter for evaluating ANS cardiac effects and is a direct indicator of parasympathetic system activity (10, 11). HRR is defined as a decrease in peak heart rate that is observed during a cool-down period after a stress test (12). Arrhythmias, such as atrial fibrillation, are commonly observed in patients with ED, and previous reports have suggested that ANS dysfunction is a common pathophysiological mechanism for both disorders (1, 13). The deterioration of the HRR index may be a predictor of the arrhythmia–ED interaction.

Patients with ED have been reported to have ANS dysfunction by multiple techniques (13, 14). Doğru et al. (15) aimed to investigate the relationship between heart rate recovery (HRR) revealed that patients with ED exhibit HRR deterioration; however, HRR indices have not been separately evaluated. This study aimed to evaluate HRR indices and exercise test parameters in patients with ED.
Methods

Study design

Ninety patients with ED (mean age=56.1±8.3 years) and 50 healthy control individuals without ED (mean age=53.1±10.4 years) were included in our cross sectional observational study. After the necessary cardiac examinations, patients admitted to the urology clinic of the Mevlana University Hospital between June 2013 and February 2014 who had been diagnosed with ED and who met all the criteria for inclusion were enrolled in the study. The erectile status of patients was evaluated using the sexual health inventory for men (SHIM) questionnaire. The SHIM questionnaire is also known as the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF)-5. The SHIM questionnaire contains five items and is the shortened version of the 15-item IIEF questionnaire. Each item is scored from 0 or 1 to 5, yielding a global sexual function score of between 1 and 25. A SHIM score of <21 was defined as ED (16). Patient demographic data (age and gender), clinical features, and hemato logical and biochemical parameters were recorded at the time of admission. All patients were evaluated by a 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG). Transthoracic echocardiography was performed in all patients to exclude heart failure and significant valvular heart disease. The left ventricle ejection fraction was determined if the heart rate reached 85% of the target rate. Patients walked for a 2-min cool-down period at a 1.5-mph speed and 2.5% grade (18). The decrease in heart rate from the peak rate (HRR indices) was measured during the cool-down period at the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 5th minutes after cessation of the stress test and are denoted as HRR1, HRR2, HRR3, and HRR5 respectively. EKG results were recorded within 5 min after the stress test. Hemodynamic parameters (heart rate and rhythm, blood pressure), symptoms, and estimated functional capacity in metabolic equivalents (METs; where 1 MET=3.5 mL/kg/min oxygen consumption) were also recorded by trained exercise technicians.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical futures of patients and the controls (mean±SD)

|                          | Patients with ED (n=90) | Healthy controls (n=50) | P  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|
| Age, years               | 56.1±8.3                | 53.1±10.4               | 0.08|
| Body mass index, kg/m²   | 27.7±3.4                | 27.9±2.9                | 0.80|
| Heart rate, beats/min    | 85.1±11.9               | 84.9±13.4               | 0.91|
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 131.5±12.3             | 131.9±19.1              | 0.92|
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 80.5±14.9              | 81.4±14.6               | 0.73|
| Fasting glucose, mg/dL   | 104.4±24.2              | 102.5±12.5              | 0.76|
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL | 196.9±42.5              | 195.6±35.3              | 0.81|
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL   | 40.9±8.8                | 42.2±7.9                | 0.37|
| LDL cholesterol, mg/dL   | 116.7±32.2              | 120.4±30.7              | 0.55|
| Plasma triglyceride, mg/dL| 193.3±139.1            | 175.4±129.2             | 0.43|

Independent samples t-test (mean±SD) ED- erectile dysfunction; HDL- high density lipoprotein; LDL- low density lipoprotein

Statistical analysis

All statistical calculations were performed using the SPSS (version 20.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) program. Normal distribution of the data was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables are given as means±SD; categorical variables are defined as percentages. An independent sample t-test was used to compare the study variables between patients with ED and healthy controls. Correlation analyses were performed using the Pearson correlation coefficient test. A linear multivariate regression analysis and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis were done. A probability value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The demographic and clinical features of were similar between the ED and control groups (Table 1). Both groups had blood pressures in the normal range, and there were no statistically significant differences between systolic or diastolic blood pressures. All subjects had a normal sinus rhythm, and there were no pathological alterations detected by ECG. All patients met the qualification criteria for the exercise tests. Arrhythmia,
ischemia, hemodynamic instability, syncope, and other pathological conditions were not observed during exercise.

Transthoracic echocardiography and exercise test parameters were analyzed in the ED and control groups. Transthoracic echocardiography data were similar between the two groups (all p values >0.05) (Table 2).

Blood pressure, heart rate changes, maximal MET values, and HRR indices were compared between the groups. Exercise duration and peak systolic and diastolic blood pressures were similar between the groups (Table 2). The maximum heart rate was lower in patients with ED than healthy controls (158.2±18.7 vs. 167.2±16 beats/min; p=0.004). Similarly, exercise capacity, which was assessed in METs, was found to be statistically lower in the ED group than in the control group (9.1±2.3 vs. 10.4±2.3; p=0.002).

HRR indices, an indicator of ANS function, were analyzed in both the groups. After the 1st and 2nd minutes, HRR indices were significantly lower in the ED group than in the control group (34.8±11.2 vs. 41.7±15.5; p<0.001 and 42.8±14.9 vs. 53.9±12.6; p<0.001, respectively). Similarly, HRR indices after the 3rd and 5th minutes of the recovery phase were significantly lower in individuals with ED than in control individuals (48.8±14.4 vs. 64.5±15.5, p<0.001 and 58.9±20.1 vs. 75.1±15.8, p<0.001, respectively) (Table 2).

In linear multivariate regression analysis (including age, sex, smoking, body mass index, hyperlipidemia, maximal exercise heart rate, resting heart rate, maximal exercise systolic blood pressure, maximal exercise diastolic blood pressure, resting systolic blood pressure, resting diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, HRR1, HRR2, HRR3, and HRR5 as dependent parameters), only HRR1 and HRR3 were found to be independent risk factors for ED (Beta=0.462, p<0.001; Beta=0.403, p<0.001; respectively).

In ROC curve analysis, the optimal cut-off value of HRR1 to predict ED was 35.5, with 68.8% sensitivity and 60.0% specificity (area under the curve 0.662, 95% confidence interval 0.567–0.756, p=0.02, Fig. 1).

**Discussion**

In this study, all HRR indices were found to be decreased in the ED group than in the control group. Furthermore, HRR1 and HRR3 were found to be independent risk factors for ED. The effort capacity was also significantly lower in patients with ED than in healthy controls.

Satisfactory erection occurs through an increase in parasympathetic activity and a decrease in sympathetic activity (6). In one study, autonomic nervous system dysfunction was indicated as an etiological factor responsible for ED (19); however, it is not always possible to identify the underlying cause. Other studies have demonstrated that an imbalance between parasympathetic and sympathetic activities, namely an increase in sympathetic activity and a decrease in parasympathetic activity, leads to ED (14, 20, 21).
The autonomic nervous system is essential for normal functioning of the cardiovascular system. Heart rate is increased during exercise and then decreased upon exercise cessation, and this process is controlled by ANS. Previous studies have reported that sympathetic activity is increased during exercise and is responsible for the increase in heart rate; at the same time, parasympathetic activity is suppressed (22). Then, during the resting phase after exercise, when heart rate decreases, parasympathetic activity increases and sympathetic activity decreases (23). Previous studies have demonstrated that HRR indices are a direct indicator of parasympathetic activity (24, 25) and that an increase in parasympathetic activation along with a decrease in sympathetic activation occur during the first minutes of the recovery phase (25) Imai et al. (23) reported that HRR indices at 30 s and 2 min occurred because of the reactivation of the suppressed parasympathetic system. Kannankeril et al. (26) revealed that parasympathetic activity regulated heart rate. Together, these findings indicate that parasympathetic system activity is suppressed during exercise and during the first minute of recovery and then steadily increases until 4 min post-exercise and remains stable until 10 min post-recovery (23, 26). Alterations in HRR indices have been previously investigated in heart failure (27) albeit not fully recognized, in the development of vascular complications in diabetes mellitus (28), ischemic heart disease (18, 22), obstructive sleep apnea (29), pulmonary arterial hypertension (30), systemic lupus erythematosus (31) and its impairment is an independent prognostic indicator for cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. Doğru et al. (15) demonstrated decreased HRR values in patients with ED; however, HRR indices have not been separately evaluated. Patients with coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, neurological diseases, and ischemic changes during the stress test were excluded from our study because of the potential impacts on the HRR index values. Furthermore, the ED and control groups were similar to each other in terms of demographic and clinical features, indicating that the influence of other factors on HRR indices in this study was low. Our results demonstrate that exercise capacity, which was assessed in METs, was reduced in patients with ED than in the healthy control group. This is consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated that the frequency of ED is decreased in patients with good physical fitness (32). Moreover, the results of this study demonstrate that patients with ED have reduced HRR indices and thus, decreased parasympathetic reactivation. In addition, these results suggest that HRR indices that were evaluated by the exercise stress test can be used as measures of parasympathetic and sympathetic activation.

In this study, we found that individuals with good physical fitness had good parasympathetic system activation and thus, larger HRR indices. While this is true in a sense, when we think about the cause and effect relationship, it is obvious that both increased sympathetic activation and decreased parasympathetic activation are reasons for ED in individuals with low physical fitness. Low physical fitness may be the reason for ED, and a decline in HRR indices can be an indication of both low physical fitness and ED. In this study, the results were expected, namely that patients with ED had lower HRR indices and reduced physical fitness than in the control group. Additional studies are required to completely ascertain the contribution of physical fitness to ED, and this could be achieved by performing the same experiments on subjects with the same level of physical fitness with or without ED.

Abnormal HRR has been defined as heart rate decreases of <12 beats/min, 1 min after post-exercise cool down; <18 beats/min, 1 min after immediately stopping exercise by laying in a supine position; and <42 beats/min after 2 min (8, 33). In many studies, HRR index decreases are interpreted as an indicator of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (34). Similarly, in other studies, the extent of the decrease in heart rate during the first minute post-exercise has been suggested to predict the presence of myocardial diffusion defects and total mortality (35, 36). However, HRR is not an accurate diagnostic method for any disease.

Many complex methods exist to evaluate ANS function; however, they are difficult to use in daily practice because of the special training and equipment required. Therefore, HRR indices are a useful and beneficial tool to measure autonomic activation and have been used in many studies. In this study, we demonstrated that patients with ED had lower HRR indices than in the control group. Thus, autonomic dysfunction, which is observed as a decrease in HRR indices in ER patients, can be used to predict the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

**Study limitations**

In this study, the HRR indices of patients with ED were examined and compared with a group of healthy controls. The design of the study is suitable, and the number of cases was sufficient to address the hypothesis. In contrast, the results cannot be applied to the general population because of the broad exclusion criteria. In addition, the effects of ED and decreased HRR indices on cardiovascular outcomes were not investigated. Furthermore, because the physical fitness of the ED group was lower than that of the control group, the prevalence of ED and HRR indices in groups with similar physical fitness is unknown. Furthermore, testosterone analysis could not be performed because of the cost, and the psychosocial aspects (e.g., anxiety, stress) of ED were not evaluated.

**Conclusion**

HRR indices and effort capacity are decreased in patients with ED. Decreased HRR after a stress test can be considered an indicator of impaired autonomic function in patients with ED.
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