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Abstract

A synthetic protocol for the construction of new meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphyrrins is described starting from 5-(4-amino-3-nitrophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin. The reaction of this porphyrin with 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran, followed by the reduction of the nitro group in the presence of NiCl₂/NaBH₄ afforded 5-(3-amino-4-(pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin. This triphenylporphyrin underwent a Pictet–Spengler cyclization after the reaction with various aromatic aldehydes followed by in situ KMnO₄ oxidation to form target porphyrin analogues in good yields. The structures of all synthesized products were established on the basis of spectral data and elemental analyses.

Introduction

Many natural porphyrins are known to play essential roles in a number of biological processes including oxygen transport [1], solar energy conservation [2-4] and photosynthesis [5]. Owing to the expanded π-conjugation system as well as good thermal stabilities, various artificial porphyrins have been prepared as promising materials for organic photonic and electronic applications [6-9]. In addition, porphyrins fused with external aromatic systems exhibit a broad range of applications in diverse areas such as molecular devices [10-13], organic light emitting diodes [14,15], near infrared dyes [16-18], hybrid solar cells [19-22], and biosensors [23-25] due to their intense optical absorptions and photoluminescence characteristics. On the other hand, compounds containing a pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxaline subunit display a wide spectrum of biological profiles as antagonists [26,27], PARP-1 inhibitors [28], anticancer agents [29,30], anti-HIV agents [31], and antimalarial agents [32,33]. These molecules are also important intermediates for the construction of 5-HT₃ receptor agonists [34,35] and are useful as fluorescent materials for various applications [36,37].

In recent years, numerous covalent or non-covalent supra-porphyrin arrays, based on donor–acceptor architectures have been constructed for mimicking the natural photosynthetic light harvesting systems [38-40]. Additionally, a variety of bio-
logically important functional groups were also introduced on the periphery of meso-substituted porphyrins to develop efficient photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy applications [41-43]. However, the porphyrins with a pyrrolo[1,2-α]quinoline moiety at the meso-positions have not been synthesized and their photophysical properties have not been evaluated yet. By considering the biological and fluorescent properties of these two classes of heterocycles, we envisaged to combine both porphyrin and pyrrolo[1,2-α]quinoline units in a single molecular framework to generate novel meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-α]quinoxalinoporphyrin analogues. Such hybrid molecules may prove useful for various biological studies and in the development of new photodynamic agents. Therefore, in continuation of our efforts to develop simple and efficient methods [44-48] for the synthesis of diverse porphyrin derivatives from meso-tetraarylporphyrins, we wish to report herein the first synthesis and spectroscopic properties of a novel series of meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-α]quinoxalinoporphyrins.

### Results and Discussion

The synthetic strategy for targeted meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-α]quinoxalinoporphyrins (4a–h) is depicted in Scheme 1. At first, 5-(4-amino-3-nitrophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (1) was synthesized from 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP) after a series of reactions [46,49] in five steps. The Clauson–Kaas reaction of porphyrin (1) with 2,5-

![Scheme 1: Synthesis of pyrrolo[1,2-α]quinoxalinoporphyrins (4a–h).]
dimethoxytetrahydrofuran in toluene/acetic acid mixture afforded novel 5-(3-nitro-4-(pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (2) in 89% yield. The reduction of nitroporphyrin 2 was initially carried out by using Sn/HCl, SnCl₂·2H₂O/HCl, and Pd/C–NaBH₄ as reducing agents but the reaction was found to be sluggish and provided an inseparable mixture of products. Instead, nitroporphyrin 2 was successfully reduced to 5-(3-amino-4-(pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (3) in the presence of nickel boride, generated in situ by the reaction of NiCl₂ and NaBH₄ in a CH₂Cl₂/MeOH mixture at 25 °C. Finally, the synthesis of novel meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphy~rins (4a–h) began via the Pictet–Spengler cyclization reaction [50,51] of 5-(3-amino-4-(pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (3) with various aromatic aldehydes by using 2% TFA in dichloromethane as an acidic catalyst at 0 °C for 5 minutes, followed by aromatization in the presence of KMnO₄ at room temperature (Scheme 1).

The target products were purified by column chromatography over neutral alumina and obtained in 60–76% isolated yields. Furthermore, the π electron-rich free-base porphyrin dyads (4g and 4h) were converted to the corresponding zinc(II) porphyrins (5 and 6) in 84 and 87% yields, respectively, after the treatment with Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O in CHCl₃/MeOH mixture for 30 minutes at room temperature (Scheme 2).

All synthesized porphyrins (2, 3, 4a–h, 5 and 6) were characterized on the basis of NMR, IR, UV–vis and mass spectral data in addition to elemental analysis. The proton NMR of newly prepared free-base meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphy~rins (4a–h) showed a characteristic singlet around δ ~2.7 ppm for two NH protons of the porphyrin core. The β-pyrrolic protons of the porphyrin ring appeared in the downfield region between δ 8.85–9.01 ppm. A characteristic doublet at δ 8.9 and a doublet doublet at δ 8.3 ppm were assigned to the C-2 and C-6 protons of the meso-phenyl ring fused with the pyrroloquinoxaline moiety. The C-5 proton was found to be merged with nine other meso-phenyl protons and appeared as a multiplet between δ 7.75–7.77 ppm. The remaining six meso-phenyl protons appeared as a multiplet between δ 8.20–8.25 ppm along with a pyrrolic C-1’ proton. In the case of porphyrins (4a–f), the two pyrrolic C-2’ and C-3’ protons of the pyrroloquinoxaline ring appeared as a double doublet at around δ 7.06 ppm and a doublet at around δ 7.19 ppm, respectively. The 1H NMR spectrum of porphyrin 4g displayed these pyrrolic C-2’ and C-3’ protons as a double doublet at δ 7.01 ppm and a doublet at around δ 6.74 ppm, whereas these pyrrolic protons appeared as multiplets between δ 7.09–7.24 ppm in the case of porphyrin 4h. In addition, porphyrin 4h and 6 showed a characteristic singlet for the CH₂ protons of the fluorenyl moiety at δ 4.0 and 3.9 ppm, respectively. The IR spectra of all the free-base pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphy~rins showed a peak between 3317–3318 cm⁻¹ due to the NH bond stretching. The structures of porphyrins (2, 3, 4a–h, 5 and 6) were further supported by mass spectral analysis, which revealed the molecular ion peak to be [M + H]⁺. The electronic absorption and emission data of all the synthesized compounds are presented in Table 1.

The UV–vis spectra of newly prepared meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphy~rins (4a–h) in chloroform exhibited a typical intense Soret band at ~422 nm and four weaker Q bands at ~517, 552, 596 and 647 nm. In contrast, the zinc(II) pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphy~rins analogues 5 and 6 showed an intense Soret band at ~422 nm and two weaker Q bands at ~553 and 594 nm. In comparison to the TPP and Zn–TPP, the UV–vis spectra of free-base porphyrins 4a–h and zinc porphyrins (5 and 6) were found to be red-shifted by 3 to 4 nm. The electronic absorption spectra of selected free-base
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Table 1: Electronic absorption and emission data of porphyrins (2, 3, 4a–h, 5 and 6).

| Compound | Absorption$$^a$$ $$\lambda_{max}$$, nm ($$\varepsilon \times 10^{-4}$$, M$$^{-1}$$ cm$$^{-1}$$) | Fluorescence$$^b$$ ($$\lambda_{em}$/nm) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2        | 421 (56.24), 517 (2.82), 551 (1.26), 593 (0.41), 647 (0.54) | 651, 717                        |
| 3        | 421 (45.66), 517 (2.56), 550 (1.28), 597 (0.31), 647 (0.80) | 653, 717                        |
| 4a       | 422 (39.00), 517 (2.93), 550 (1.84), 597 (0.33), 647 (0.97) | 653, 717                        |
| 4b       | 422 (58.51), 517 (3.11), 551 (1.63), 594 (0.37), 647 (0.71) | 652, 717                        |
| 4c       | 422 (51.51), 517 (2.36), 552 (1.16), 594 (0.41), 648 (0.59) | 652, 716                        |
| 4d       | 422 (57.74), 517 (3.49), 552 (1.81), 596 (0.30), 648 (0.76) | 652, 715                        |
| 4e       | 422 (61.39), 517 (3.05), 552 (1.53), 597 (0.19), 648 (0.68) | 652, 716                        |
| 4f       | 423 (63.00), 517 (3.50), 551 (1.91), 597 (0.34), 647 (0.97) | 652, 717                        |
| 4g       | 422 (59.97), 517 (3.32), 552 (1.82), 596 (0.32), 648 (0.87) | 652, 717                        |
| 4h       | 423 (73.28), 517 (3.90), 552 (2.07), 596 (0.38), 648 (0.96) | 652, 717                        |
| 5        | 425 (104.90), 554 (3.80), 594 (1.00) | 605, 652                        |
| 6        | 425 (117.50), 553 (4.20), 594 (1.20) | 606, 654                        |

$$^a$$Absorption and emission data were taken for CHCl$_3$ solutions of porphyrins at 298 K. $$^b$$The excitation wavelength for emission data is 420 nm.

Figure 1: (a) Electronic absorption spectra of free-base porphyrins 4f, 4g, 4h and TPP in CHCl$_3$ (1 × 10$^{-6}$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 298 K. (b) Electronic absorption spectra of zinc porphyrins (5, 6 and Zn–TPP) in CHCl$_3$ (2 × 10$^{-6}$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 298 K. The inset in both (a) and (b) shows the Q bands. (c) Fluorescence spectra of porphyrins 4f, 4g, 4h and TPP in CHCl$_3$ (1 × 10$^{-6}$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 298 K, $$\lambda_{ex}$$ = 420 nm. (d) Fluorescence spectra of zinc porphyrins (5, 6, and Zn–TPP) in CHCl$_3$ (2 × 10$^{-6}$ mol L$^{-1}$) at 298 K, $$\lambda_{ex}$$ = 420 nm.
porphyrins (4f, 4g, 4h and TPP) and zinc(II) porphyrins (5, 6 and Zn–TPP) are shown in Figure 1a.b. Besides the Soret and Q bands in porphyrins 4g, 4h, 5 and 6, an additional absorption peak originates at 280 and 320 nm due to the presence of pyrene and fluorene units, respectively. Thus, the electronic absorption spectra of these compounds demonstrated the features of both porphyrin and pyrene or fluorene subunits and suggest that there is no significant interaction between the attached chromophore and the porphyrin ring in the ground state.

The fluorescence spectra of porphyrins 4f, 4g, 4h, 5 and 6 were recorded in CHCl₃ at the excitation wavelength of 420 nm and are shown in Figure 1c,d. The free-base pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalino[4f, 4g and 4h] displayed an emission band and a weak shoulder at ~652 and ~717 nm. These emission bands are found to be slightly intense in comparison to the TPP (Figure 1c). Similarly, the zinc(II) pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalino[4f, 4g and 4h] showed two fluorescence bands at ~605 and ~652 nm, which are also found to be slightly intense when compared to the emission bands of Zn–TPP (Figure 1d).

Conclusion

In summary, the synthesis of two new porphyrin building blocks, 5-(3-nitro-4-(pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (2) and 5-(3-amino-4-(pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (3), has been accomplished in good yields. The porphyrin 3 was successfully utilized as starting material for the construction of a novel series of meso-substituted pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinoxalinoporphyrins in 60–76% yields via TFA-catalyzed Pictet–Spengler cyclization with aromatic aldehydes followed by in situ oxidation in the presence of KMnO₄. These porphyrin architectures may be useful as potential candidates for various biological evaluations.

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Delhi, India for financial support. DKS is grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India for a junior Research Fellowship.

The JEOL ECX 400P (400 MHz) NMR facility at USIC, University of Delhi and SAIF, CDRI, Lucknow are acknowledged for providing the NMR and mass spectra, respectively.

References

1. Denisov, I. G.; Makris, T. M.; Sligar, S. G.; Schlichting, I. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2253–2278. doi:10.1021/cr0307143
2. Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Moore, A. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 40–48. doi:10.1021/ar9801301
3. Lindsey, J. S.; Mass, O.; Chen, C.-Y. New J. Chem. 2011, 35, 511–516. doi:10.1039/c0nj00977f
4. Imahori, H. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 6130–6143. doi:10.1021/jp038036b
5. Barber, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 185–196. doi:10.1039/B802262N
6. Wong, W.-Y.; Harvey, P. D. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2010, 31, 671–713. doi:10.1002/marc.200900690
7. Chen, Y.-C.; Hsu, C.-Y.; Lin, R. Y.-Y.; Ho, K.-C.; Lin, J. T. ChemSusChem 2013, 6, 20–35. doi:10.1002/cssc.201200609
8. Ichiki, T.; Matsuo, Y.; Nakamura, E. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 279–281. doi:10.1039/c2cc36988e
9. Humphrey, J. L.; Kuciauskas, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3902–3903. doi:10.1021/ja0588353
10. Linke-Schaetzel, M.; Anson, C. E.; Powell, A. K.; Buth, G.; Palomares, E.; Durrant, J. D.; Balaban, T. S.; Lehn, J.-M. Chem.–Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1931–1940. doi:10.1002/chem.200500602
11. Liu, Z.; Yasser, A. A.; Lindsey, J. S.; Bocian, D. F. Science 2003, 302, 1543–1545. doi:10.1126/science.1090677
12. Martin, R. E.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1350–1377. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19990517)38:10<1350::AID-ANIE1350>3.0.CO;2-6
13. Conklin, D.; Nanayakkara, S.; Park, T.-H.; Lagadec, M. F.; Stecher, J. T.; Chen, X.; Therien, M. J.; Bonnell, D. A. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 4479–4486. doi:10.1021/nn401071d
14. Borek, C.; Hanson, K.; Djurovich, P. I.; Thompson, M. E.; Aznavour, K.; Bau, R.; Sun, Y.; Forrest, S. R.; Brooks, J.; Michalski, L.; Brown, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1109–1112. doi:10.1002/anie.200604240
15. Graham, K. R.; Yang, Y.; Sommer, J. R.; Shelton, A. H.; Schanze, K. S.; Xue, J.; Reynolds, J. R. Chem. Mater. 2011, 23, 5305–5312. doi:10.1021/cm202242x
16. Mori, H.; Tanaka, T.; Osaka, A. J. Mater. Chem. C 2013, 1, 2500–2519. doi:10.1039/C3TC20932G
17. Jiao, C.; Huang, K.-W.; Chi, C.; Wu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 661–664. doi:10.1021/jo1019046
18. Jiao, C.; Zu, N.; Huang, K.-W.; Wang, P.; Wu, J. J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3652–3655. doi:10.1021/ol201303h
19. Li, L.-L.; Diao, E. W.-G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 291–304. doi:10.1039/c2cs23525e
20. Balaban, T. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 612–623. doi:10.1021/ar040211z
21. Abdul Almohain, S.; Cul, J. B. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 9433–9438. doi:10.1021/jp301881s
22. Mozer, A. J.; Griffith, M. J.; Tsekouras, G.; Wagner, P.; Wallace, G. G.; Mori, S.; Sunahara, K.; Miyashita, M.; Earles, J. C.; Gordon, K. C.; Du, L.; Katoh, R.; Furube, A.; Officer, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15621–15623. doi:10.1021/ja0905713
23. Tu, W.; Lei, J.; Wang, P.; Ju, H. Chem.–Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9440–9447. doi:10.1002/chem.201100577

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1
Experimental details and characterization data. [http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-10-76-S1.pdf]

Supporting Information File 2
1H and 13C NMR spectra of newly synthesized compounds. [http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-10-76-S2.pdf]

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Delhi, India for financial support.
