Development of a Radiotracer for PET Imaging of the SNAP Tag
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ABSTRACT: Cell therapies have progressed to cures for hematopoietic disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, and cancer. However, only some patients can benefit from cell therapies even with prior screening. Due to the limited clinical methods to monitor the in vivo therapeutic functions of these transferred cells over time, the uncertain prognosis is hard to attenuate. Positron emission tomography (PET) cell tracking can provide comprehensive dynamic and spatial information on the proliferation status and whole-body distribution of the therapeutic cell. In this work, we designed and synthesized the first SNAP-tagged PET radiotracer. SNAP tag is an O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase that can form an irreversible bond with 18F-BG-surface for in vivo imaging. 18F-BG-surface was obtained by the F-Al radiolabeling method in 32 ± 7% radiochemical yield and showed a high in vitro stability in mouse serum. SNAP-tagged cells could be selectively targeted by 18F-BG-surface both in vitro (4.81 ± 0.08%AD/10⁶ cell vs 2.26 ± 0.10%AD/10⁶ cell) and in vivo (1.90 ± 0.05 vs 0.55 ± 0.02% ID/g, p < 0.01).

INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, cell therapies have provided a promising way to treat various diseases.1–3 Stem cell therapies and T-cell transfer therapies have progressed to the market or clinical trials for hematopoietic disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, and cancer.4–6 However, only some patients can benefit from cell therapies even with prior screening. Moreover, toxicities, especially cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS), of T-cell transfer therapies are difficult to predict.7–11 This uncertainty in prognosis is hard to attenuate because of the limited clinical methods to monitor the in vivo therapeutic functions of these transferred cells over time. Positron emission tomography (PET) cell tracking can provide comprehensive dynamic and spatial information about the therapeutic cell proliferation status and whole-body distribution that blood samples or biopsies cannot and therefore can serve as a potent method for evaluation of cell therapy and response prediction.12,13

Depending on the labeling method, cell tracking with PET can be divided into indirect and direct labeling methodologies.14–17 Indirect labeling takes advantage of genetically modified therapeutic cells. Cells transfected with a vector that contains a reporter gene express the reporter tag, which can be targeted by PET imaging probes. Reporter genes do not decrease or disappear with cell division, allowing continuous longitudinal imaging of cell tracking.18,19 At present, reporters including herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-tk), hmTK2, hSSTR2, D2R, NIS, and hNET are used in PET cell imaging.18,20–22 HSV1-tk and its mutant HSV1-sr39tk have been used to track cells in many clinical studies.23,24 However, HSV1-tk and HSV1-sr39tk are both viral-derived, and the immunogenicity can be detrimental to the transferred cells. Although naturally expressed reporter genes such as human sodium iodide symporter, deoxycytidine kinase-based reporter gene, and human norepinephrine transporter (hNET) can avoid immunogenicity, their physiological expression hinders the success of clinical translation.21,22 Human-derived reporter genes with little influence on endogenous expression have yet to be discovered.

The SNAP tag is an engineered mutant of the human repair protein O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase (hAGT), which can specifically form a stable covalent thioether bond with O6-modified benzylguanine (BG) derivatives, thereby mediating the covalent labeling of the protein of interest (Figure 1). The human-derived SNAP tag is only 20 kDa, has little effect on the function of the target protein, and has been applied to the in vivo study of protein functions and the in vivo optical imaging of live cell tracking.25,26
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Recently, a SNAP-tagged PET radiotracer, \[^{18}F\]FTBG, has been reported. \[^{18}F\]FTBG enabled in vivo targeting imaging of SNAP-tag\(^+\) with the ratio of SNAP-tag\(^+\) tumor/SNAP-tag\(^-\) tumor being 2.5.\(^{27}\) Herein, we report a new SNAP-tagged PET probe, \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface. \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface featured a PEG linkage and a NOTA ligand. \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface showed good in vivo specific targeting toward the HCCLM3-SNAP cell in PET mice imaging with HCCLM3-SNAP/HCCLM3-Vector = 3.4.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the BG scaffold, we first designed a SNAP tag substrate precursor (BG-surface-PEG4-SCN-Bn-NOTA) (Figure S1) eligible for \(^{18}F\)-Al labeling. We used \(^{18}F\) as a radioactive probe because of its appropriate half-life and easy availability. The most applied \(^{18}F\) labeling method is through the nucleophilic attack of anhydrous \(^{18}F\) to labeling precursors under heated conditions. However, nucleophilic \(^{18}F\) labeling methods in dry solvents with a phase-transfer catalyst were tried, but no product was obtained. We suspect that BG derivatives were not stable in these labeling conditions. The alternative \(^{18}F\)-Al radiolabeling method takes advantage of strong F–Al bonds and metal complexation reactions under acidic conditions to avoid deterioration of the precursor during labeling.\(^{28-30}\) Our radiolabeled BG precursor featuring a chelating group of 1,4,7-triazacyclononanetiacetic acid (NOTA) linked with the BG core by a PEG linker was obtained by a three-step formal synthesis. BG-surface-PEG4-p-SCN-Bn-NOTA–Al\(^{18}F\) (known as \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface in short) was obtained by labeling the precursor with \(^{18}F\) ions in the presence of Al\(^{3+}\) (Figure 2). The radiochemical yield of \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface was approximately 32 \(\pm\) 7\% (non-decay-corrected, \(n = 4\)). The radiochemical purity of \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface was \(>95\%\). The specific activity was 512 MBq/\(\mu\)mol, calculated on the starting amount of the SNAP tag substrate precursor (BG-surface). \(^{18}F\)-BG-Surface was dried in vacuo and then reformulated in a saline solution at the end of the synthesis.

To evaluate the in vitro stability of \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface, we incubated \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface in mouse serum at 37 °C. After 30, 60, and 90 min, the radiochemical purity was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). As shown in Figure S3, the fraction corresponding to the intact \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface remained unchanged, and no radio-metabolite was observed, indicating the excellent stability of \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface during this time period and suggesting that this molecule could be used as a radioactive tracer for PET cell tracking.

To evaluate the in vitro binding effectiveness of the radiotracer \(^{18}F\)-BG-surface, we stably transduced human
hepatocarcinoma cells (HCCLM3) with SNAP-GPI, which has been reported to primarily localize to the cellular membrane. We chose transduced HCCLM3 to simulate the cell to track because of the convenience of immortality and the conception that the difference between different cell lines has limited influence on the binding function of the extramembrane anchored SNAP-GPI tag. Flow cytometry showed that the SNAP reporter gene-transduced cells (HCCLM3-SNAP) and the control cells (HCCLM3-Vector) were clearly divided into groups (Figure 3A). Both cell lines were stained with SNAP-cell@647-SiR, a commercially available fluorescent SNAP labeling substrate, and DAPI. Confocal fluorescence microscopy was used to confirm the expression of the SNAP tag. Clear fluorescence was observed on the cellular membrane of HCCLM3-SNAP cells, which was consistent with SNAP-GPI localization.

We next tested the ability of the radioactive tracer 18F-BG-surface to label SNAP-tagged cells in vitro. 18F-BG-surface was added to HCCLM3-SNAP and HCCLM3-Vector cells and coincubated for 0–120 mins before removing the unbonded 18F-BG-surface by PBS washing. The radioactivity uptakes were quantified with a γ counter and calculated as the percentage of total added dose per 10^6 cells (%AD/10^6 cell). HCCLM3-Vector cells showed a significantly quicker radioactivity wash-out than HCCLM3-SNAP cells, which indicated a selective binding of 18F-BG-surface and SNAP tag on the cell membrane. The time-dependent decreasing radioactivity of HCCLM3-SNAP cells may be due to the metabolism of SNAP-tagged GPI-induced irreversible consumption of 18F-BG-surface.31 The uptake ratio was the largest at 60 mins post administration (HCCLM3-Vector vs HCCLM3-Vector = 7.5) with average uptakes of 0.91 ± 0.23 and 0.12 ± 0.01%AD/10^6 cells, respectively.

A blocking study further showed that the uptake of 18F-BG-surface in the SNAP-transduced cells could be blocked with a 1000-fold excess of BG-surface (p < 0.05). These results demonstrated the binding specificity of 18F-BG-surface to the SNAP tag (Figure S4).

To study the pharmacokinetic property of 18F-BG-surface, the distribution of 18F-BG-surface in mice was studied. The results are expressed as the percentage of the injected dose per gram of tissue (% ID/g) in Figure 3B. High uptake (>3% ID/g) was observed in the liver and intestine at 1 h after injection of 18F-BG-surface. The radioactivity levels in most tissues decreased 2 h post injection, while the signals remained high in the liver and intestine, which indicated hepatobiliary extraction as well as a possible intestinal reuptake pathway. This biodistribution of 18F-BG-surface is in line with the previous report.32 The slight increase of 18F bone deposition at 2 h may result from the defluorination of 18F-BG-surface though no apparent 18F signal in the bones was observed with PET scan.33

PET imaging studies were performed to explore the in vivo cell tracing ability of 18F-BG-surface with cell xenotransplantation mice, which were used in previous works for evaluation of the T-cell tracking probe.18,34 Next, 1 × 10^6 HCCLM3-SNAP and HCCLM3-Vector cells were injected into the bilateral shoulder of the mice before 18F-BG-surface was immediately injected into the mice through the tail vein. PET images were obtained 1 h after injection of the 18F-BG-surface. PET images showed that the tracer accumulated in the subcutaneously injected HCCLM3-SNAP cells but accumulated less in the HCCLM3-Vector cells (1.90 ± 0.05 vs 0.55 ± 0.02%ID/g, n = 5, p < 0.01) (Figure 4). These results showed that PET imaging with 18F-BG-surface was sensitive enough for the detection of SNAP-expressing cells (1 × 10^6), which is similar to the T-cell imaging threshold reported in the literature.18,19 A tumor model was also established to evaluate the tracking ability of 18F-BG-surface. 18F-BG-Surface can still specifically bind to HCCLM3-SNAP after the tagged cell proliferation in vivo (Figure S6).

Conclusions. We have developed a new radiotracer 18F-BG-surface based on the SNAP reporter system. In vitro stability and binding experiments showed that 18F-BG-surface had a high selectivity toward SNAP-GPI-expressing cells and good metabolic stability. The subsequent PET imaging studies demonstrated that 18F-BG-surface exhibited excellent in vivo selectivity. The subsequent PET imaging studies demonstrated that 18F-BG-surface exhibited excellent in vivo selectivity. However, the high uptake in the liver and intestine could hinder the imaging. The pharmacokinetic property will be improved next before further exploring the application of the SNAP reporter gene in PET cell tracking and cell therapy.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Cell and Mice.** Hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HCCLM3 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The cells were cultured in the Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and the cells were

---

**Figure 4.** In vivo PET/CT imaging of HCCLM3 cell injection. (A) PET/CT of BALB/c nude mice injected with HCCLM3-SNAP cells and vector cells in the shoulder (yellow arrow). (B) Quantitative analysis of PET images. Volumes of interest (VOIs) were selected and calculated with PMOD image processing software. Data are %ID/g ± SD. Data are from five independent experiments. The statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test, n = 5, ***p < 0.01.
maintained in an incubator with a humid atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. We constructed a stable HCCLM3 cell line with the overexpression of SNAP. Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. BALB/c nude mice used in the experiment were purchased from Charles River Life River, China (Beijing, China). The human SNAP gene was cloned, the recombinant retrovirus vector containing and not containing the SNAP gene was constructed, and the HCCLM3 transfection cell line expressing SNAP molecules (HCCLM3-SNAP) and their control groups (HCCLM3-Vector) was selected.

**Immunofluorescence Staining.** Approximately 2 × 10⁴ HCCLM3-SNAP and HCCLM3-Vector cells were plated on coverslips separately with 200 μL of DMEM medium of 10% FBS. The cells on coverslips were coincubated with SNAP-cell@647-SiR (5 μg/μL) for 4 h at 37 °C after the cells grow to the logarithmic phase and then washed with PBS three times. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) at room temperature for 1 h without light and then washed three times. The samples were incubated with 1 μg/mL 2-(4-aminophenyl)-6-indolecarbamidine dihydrochloride (DAPI) (Beyotime, P0131, China) for 5 min in the dark and washed three times. The coverslips were mounted in ProLong Gold antifade (Invitrogen P26930). Fluorescence images were visualized and captured by a confocal microscopy confocal laser-scanning system (Olympus FV1000, Japan) at 60× and 120× magnification and analyzed using Fluoview application software FV10-ASW 3.0. All assays were repeated at least three times.

**Flow Cytometry Analysis.** A total of 5 × 10⁵ HCCLM3-SNAP and HCCLM3-Vector cells were added into 200 μL of DMEM medium with 10% FBS separately. The cells were added with 0.25 mM SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (Abcam, ab272190), washed with PBS three times after a 1 h incubation at 37 °C, and resuspended with 500 μL of saline, and then, the expression of SNAP on the cell surface was detected. Flow cytometry acquisition was performed on a BD FacsCalibur (BD Bioscience). Analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Treestar). All assays were repeated at least three times.

**In Vivo Metabolic Study.** ¹⁸F-BG-surface (100 μCi in 150 μL saline) was injected intravenously into mice, and the blood samples were collected after circulating 30 min for 1 and 2 h. The plasma was separated and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

**Synthesis of SNAP Tag Substrate Precursor (BG-Surface-PEG4-p-SCN-Bn-NOTA).** The compound was prepared by Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS). The Fmoc protecting group can ensure only reaction with BG under acidic conditions, and then, the Fmoc protecting group was deleted under alkaline conditions to link the C-terminus of PEG4 with p-SCN-Bn-NOTA (the synthetic route is shown above) (Figure S2). The final product was characterized with ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (H NMR), ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (C NMR), and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS). H NMR were obtained at 600 MHz on Bruker spectrometers. ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained at 151 MHz. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm, and coupling constants are reported in hertz. The multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; quint, quintet; sext, sextet; sept, septet; m, multiplet; br, broad signal; dd, doublet of doublets. For all of the HRMS measurements, the ionization method is ESI and the mass analyzer type is TOF on an AB SCIEX 500R mass spectrometer system. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 2H), 6.28 (s, 2H), 5.45 (s, 2H), 4.25 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.57 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 3.56 – 3.51 (m, 8H), 3.50 (s, 6H), 3.49 – 3.44 (m, 9H), 3.01 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (p, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.38 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.24 (p, 2H), 1.35 (m, 5H), 1.26 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 180.37, 173.56, 172.06, 171.25, 169.80, 169.15, 159.61, 139.63, 137.51, 135.16, 129.14, 128.56, 127.24, 122.75, 69.79, 69.75, 69.67, 69.58, 69.49, 68.52, 66.88, 66.52, 43.47, 41.78, 38.35, 36.13, 35.28, 28.89, 26.13, 25.05. HRMS (ESI): calculated for C₃₀H₂₇N₁₂O₁₅S[M + H] +, 1081.5141; found 1081.5138.

**Radiolabeling of ¹⁸F-BG-Surface.** Freeze-dried powder of the SNAP tag substrate precursor (BG-surface) of weight 50 μg was dissolved with the addition of 60 μL of sodium acetate, 180 μL of ethanol, 10 μL of AlCl₃ (1 mM), and 74 MBq fluoride ion (approximately 2 mCi), and the mixture was boiled for 15 min at 100 °C and cooled down to room temperature. The ¹⁸F-BG-surface product was captured using the C18 plus column (Waters, Sep-Pak), and the free fluoride ions would be filtered. The bound product on the C18 plus column was eluted with 400 μL of 70% ethanol after washing the C18 plus column with normal saline once; then, the solvent was evaporated in a vacuum before 150 μL of saline was added after measuring the radioactivity of ¹⁸F-BG-surface for further usage.

**In Vitro Uptake of Radiolabeled Probes in Cells Transduced with the SNAP Reporter Gene.** A total of 1 × 10⁴ HCCLM3-SNAP and HCCLM3-Vector cells were added into 200 μL of PBS separately. The cells were incubated with ~370 kBq ¹⁸F-BG-surface at 37 °C for 1 h before washed with 1 mL of cold PBS three times. The cells were resuspended with 500 μL of saline and recounted once, and then, the expression of SNAP on the cell surface was detected. The binding rates of two samples and BG were detected using the γ counter. All assays were repeated at least three times.

**Whole-Body Ex Vivo Biodistribution of ¹⁸F-BG-Surface.** A total of 3.7 MBq (100 μCi) of ¹⁸F-BG-surface was intravenously injected into each mouse. Four mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation at each time point (60 min and 120 min). Major organs, heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, brain, intestine, bone, muscle, and blood were quickly collected and weighed. The radioactivity remaining in these organs was measured by a 2480 Wizard autogamma counter (PerkinElmer). The results are expressed as the percentage of injected dose per gram of wet tissue (% ID/g). All radioactivity calculations were decay-corrected based on the half-life of ¹⁸F.

**PET/CT Imaging of Cell Tracking Studies.** A total of 1 × 10⁴ SNAP-transduced and nontransduced cells were injected into the bilateral shoulder and back of mice separately (HCCLM3-SNAP was on the right side). Mice were anesthetized with 2.5% 10 μL/g tribromoethanol (T48402, Sigma, Aldrich, Germany) and injected intravenously with about ~3.7 MBq (~100 μCi) of ¹⁸F-BG-surface. PET/CT scan was performed after ¹⁸F-BG-surface was circulated in vivo for 1 h.
Chemical structure of BG-surface-PEG4-p-SCN-Bn-NOTA; synthesis procedure of BG-surface-PEG4-p-SCN-Bn-NOTA; serum stability assay of $^{18}$F-BG-surface; competitive inhibition of $^{18}$F-BG-surface and SNAP-transduced cells by BG-surface; PET/CT imaging and with $^{18}$F-BG-surface in normal mice; PET/CT imaging of HCCLM3-SNAP tumor-bearing mice with $^{18}$F-BG-surface; HRMS of BG-surface-PEG4-p-SCN-Bn-NOTA; and H NMR and C NMR of BG-surface-PEG4-p-SCN-Bn-NOTA (PDF)
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