Editorial: facts, figures and the future
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Since the name-change to its current title over 2 decades ago [1], the Journal has seen remarkable development and progress. After hard work, the Journal was accepted and indexed in PubMed in 2008 as an open access journal [2], a factor that is perceived to be important to the journal prosperity [3]. Being accepted by Thomson Scientific and the Web of Science indexing system, the Journal got the inaugural impact factor (IF) in 2010. Landing a first IF at a staggering 2.18 was remarkable indeed and placed the journal among the top 3 world leading journals in the category "emergency medicine" that year. The slight decrease in IF over the two proceeding years was in many ways anticipated – the number of papers accepted and published has gradually increased, levelling off at about 80–90 papers per year. Notably, increasing the denominator negatively influences the IF in relation to number of citations. However, we are confident that this will again turn to the advantage of the journal as we now see a sound inflow of manuscripts at the same time as the number of citations is steadily increasing year on year. It is thus comforting to see a turn on the IF at 1.93 this year. While not quite back at the IF above 2-level (Figure 1), we aim at achieving this goal in the near future.

With a slight decline in accepted manuscript for 2014, largely due to a more restrictive policy on case reports, we anticipate the firm inflow of good papers to contribute to this achievement. Acceptance rate is still close to 40%, with some 12% of accepted papers being systematic reviews and meta-analyses [4-9], and the gross volume of original research papers covering a wide range of research methodology. The Journal continues to support consensus reports [10-12], and remains the vehicle for abstracts from national and international meetings [13-15]. Randomized design and multicentre studies are increasingly accepted [16-19], as well as the protocols for future planned and ongoing studies [16,20,21]. Indeed, SJTREM has also published animal studies [22-24] and papers including biomarkers and genomic technology [25,26], which points to the diversity with which we are exposed.

Importantly, IF is not the only metric that may reflect a journal's value. Readers may be interested to know that the journal H-index is 17, meaning that 17 papers are cited 17 times and more, which is rather good considering the ‘young age’ of the journal. At the time of writing, almost 2000 accumulated citations to SJTREM papers have occurred, with the majority in the latter period (Figure 1). The top 3 cited papers of all time are still cited 17 times and more, which is rather good demonstrating longevity in the value of these papers. Also, all of the top 10 cited papers continue to have average citations per year in the range of 4 to 17. The new publishing platform on SpringerOpen [30] indicates short of 800 manuscript units published in SJTREM since 2008, of which short of 500 are now indexed in Thomson Scientific (not counting in the lag-time from accept, to publishing, to PubMed and WoS indexing). This points to a sound and steady inflow of manuscripts.

The relatedness to the content in SJTREM is also reflected in the number of citations received by other journals in the field. The journals that most frequently cited material published in SJTREM was (in ranking order; counting only journals with >10 citations) Resuscitation, Emergency Medicine Journal, Journal of Trauma Acute Care Surgery, Injury, Transfusion, Critical Care, American journal of Emergency Medicine and Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. To the editors this is a testimony that material published in SJTREM is of interest to readership and investigators in the immediately related fields and, most importantly, receives attention outside Scandinavia and is cited both by European and North American based journals. We anticipate the attention and interest to increase with the growth and international perusal of journal content.

Moreover, we need to recognize that the world-wide readership also value other papers differently in terms of views and downloads (see Figure 2), which does not necessarily overlap with the same papers that are most
highly cited. However, most of these papers are recent releases, and it is expected that they will accumulate citations over time. Indeed, the top-viewed paper by Haddad et al. [31] is among the most cited papers over the past 2 recent years in the journal. The difference in the lists of ‘most cited’ and ‘most viewed’ only reflects the diverse role of publishing and the spread between clinical information, educational material and development of research instruments. Not incorporated into this equation is the role of social media – we currently have no direct overview of tweets and blogs that spread the information published in SJTREM, but expect this to be considerable with the current distribution and use of smartphones and tablets worldwide.

Behind the journal’s success is not only the continued support by the editorial team, but first and foremost the support by reviewers and the increased interest by researchers and readers of the Journal. The editorial policy has been developed along the way [32,33], yet the aims of serving as a multidisciplinary journal for emergency research in Scandinavia, Europe and internationally stands firm. We are happy to have Julian Thompson MD from the London’s Air Ambulance onboard as an associate editor, which strengthens our foothold in the pre-hospital part of the emergency disciplines. We also welcome as associate editors drs. Martin F. Kurz MD, PhD, consultant neurologist, and; Knut Øymar MD PhD, consultant and professor in paediatric medicine, both at the Stavanger University Hospital. We are positive that this will help us grow the spectrum of topics within acute and critical neurological illness as well as acute and critical paediatric disease; two areas where both innovation, research and progress have seen remarkable effects for patients. We are excited to see the development of this in the future Scandinavian and international perspective as well.

Lastly, it is hoped that the journal will receive manuscripts for evaluation from the field of emergency surgery to a greater extent. With about 245 million operations being performed each year globally, of which many if not most are emergent in nature, there is considerable potential in addressing surgical emergency conditions for
human health [34], in both Scandinavia and beyond. Many urgent conditions experience mortality in the range of 20–30% and above – and may in some geographical areas far outweigh the burden of trauma and similar disease [35]. Planning, conducting and reporting research in emergency medicine and surgery may be a challenge indeed, also from an ethical, legal and practical point of view [36-39]. However, it should be stated that care of the acute or critically ill patients should not be seen in isolation, as cross-disciplinary work is needed to strengthen the chain of survival for all emergencies [40].

Abbreviations
IF: Impact factor; SJTREM: Scandinavian Journal of Trauma Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine; MD: Medical doctor; PhD: Philosophiae doctor; H-index: Hirsch-index; WoS: Web of Science.

Competing interests
The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
KS collected material, created figures and searched literature, drafted and revised the paper for content, and accepted the paper for final submission.

Authors’ information
Joint Editor-in-Chief, Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.

References

1. Søreide K, Lossius HM. The Journal 1994–2007: a maturing teenager. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2007;15:201–2.
2. Lossius HM. The Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine—grown up at last. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2008;16:1.
3. Lossius HM, Soreide K. Open access publishing: a girder in the success of the Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2011;19(1):7.
4. Brabrand M, Folkestad L, Clausen NG, Knudsen T, Halsas J. Risk scoring systems for adults admitted to the emergency department: a systematic review. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2010;18:8.
5. Ryynanen OP, Iirola T, Reitala J, Palve H, Malmivaara A. Is advanced life support better than basic life support in prehospital care? A systematic review. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2010;18:62.
6. Oredsson S, Jonsson H, Lind L, Goransson KE, Ehrenberg A, et al. A systematic review of triage-related interventions to improve patient flow in emergency departments. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2011;19:43.
7. Rehn M, Perel P, Blackhall K, Lossius HM. Prognostic models for the early care of trauma patients: a systematic review. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2011;19:17.
8. Langvad S, Hylmømo PK, Nakstad AR, Vist GE, Sandberg M. Emergency coagrophytomy—a systematic review. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013;2:43.
9. Lidal B, Holte HH, Vist GE. Triage systems for pre-hospital emergency medical services - a systematic review. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013;2.12.
10. Fevang E, Lockey D, Thompson J, Lossius HM. The top five research priorities in physician-provided pre-hospital critical care: a consensus report from a European research collaboration. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2011;19:57.
11. Lossius HM, Kruger AJ, Ringdal KG, Solli S, Lockey DJ. Developing templates for uniform data documentation and reporting in critical care using a modified nominal group technique. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013;2:180.
12. Fattha S, Rehn M, Lockey D, Thompson J, Lossius HM, Wisborg T. A consensus based template for reporting of pre-hospital major incident medical management. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2:25.
13. Abstracts of the London Trauma Conference 2012. London, United Kingdom. December 4–7, 2012. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2013, 21 Suppl 1:A1–10, s11–31
14. Abstracts of the 5th Danish Emergency Medicine Conference, 18–19 April 2013, Aarhus, Denmark. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2013, 21 Suppl 2:A1–51.
15. London trauma conference 2013. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2014, 22 Suppl 1:A1–p20.
16. Gamer AA, Feamside M, Gelski V. The study protocol for the Head Injury Retrieval Trial (HIRT): a single centre randomised controlled trial of physician prehospital management of severe blunt head injury compared with management by paramedics. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013;2:169.
17. Godfried R, Huszt E, Fly D, Nichol G. A randomized trial of video self-instruction in cardiopulmonary resuscitation for lay persons. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013;2:36.
18. Rosland RG, Hagen MU, Haase N, Holst LB, Plambeck M, Madsen KR, et al. Red blood cell transfusion in septic shock: clinical characteristics and outcome of unselected patients in a prospective, multicentre cohort. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2:14.
19. Shin J, Hwang S, Lee H, Park C, Kim Y, Son Y, et al. Comparison of CPR quality and rescuer fatigue between standard 30:2 CPR and chest compression-only CPR: a randomized crossover manikin trial. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2(1):59.
20. Perkins GD, Woolard M, Cooke MW, Deakin C, Horton J, Lall R, et al. Prehospital randomised assessment of a mechanical compression device in cardiac arrest (PaRAMeDIC) trial protocol. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2010;18:58.
21. Stengel D, Ottersbach C, Kahl T, Nikulaka C, Guthoff C, Hartel T, et al. Dose reduction in whole-body computed tomography of multiple injuries (DoRMed) protocol for a prospective cohort study. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2:15.
22. Hagemo JS, Jorgensen JJ, Ostrowski SR, Holtaan A, Gunderson Y, Johansson PI, et al. Changes in fibrinogen availability and utilization in an animal model of traumatic coagulopathy. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2013;2:56.
23. Sillemsen M, Jin G, Johansson PI, Alam HB. Resuscitation speed affects brain injury in a large animal model of traumatic brain injury and shock. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2:46.
24. Yao T, Rong J, Liang M, Sun J, Xuan F, Zhao L, et al. Emergency treatment of splenic injury in a novel mobile minimally invasive interventional shetter following disaster: a feasibility study. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2:44.
25. Ostrowski SR, Sorensen AM, Larsen CF, Johansson PI. Thrombelastography and biomarker profiles in acute coagulopathy of trauma: a prospective study. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2011;19:64.
26. Shaked G, Doudevanov A, Yair S, Zlotnik A, Cziger D. The role of cell-free DNA measured by a fluorescent test in the management of isolated traumatic head injuries. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;2:21.
27. Schochi H, Maegle M, Solomon C, Gorlinger K, Voelckel W. Early and individualized goal-directed therapy for trauma-induced coagulopathy. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2012;2015.
28. Johansson PI, Stissing T, Bochsen L, Ottowski SR. Thrombelastography and tromboelastometry in assessing coagulopathy in trauma. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2009;17:45.
29. Ringdal KG, Coats TJ, Lefering R, Di Bartolomeo S, Steen PA, Roise O, et al. Strategies to improve clinical research in surgery through international collaboration. Lancet. 2013;382(9898):140–51.
30. SJTREM Open access [http://link.springer.com/journal/13049]
35. Stewart B, Khanduri P, McCord C, Ohene-Yeboah M, Uranues S, Vega Rivera F, et al. Global disease burden of conditions requiring emergency surgery. Br J Surg. 2014;101(1):e9–e22.

36. Coats TJ. Ethical and practical issues in trauma care research. Br J Surg. 2012;99 Suppl 1:6–7.

37. Coats TJ. Barriers, regulations and solutions in emergency surgery research. Br J Surg. 2014;101(1):e3–4.

38. Oakley E, Taylor DM, Coats T, Davidson A, Fry A, Babl FE. A primer for clinical researchers in the emergency department: Part IV: Multicentre research. EMA. 2012;24(5):482–91.

39. Coats TJ, Graham CA. The revised Clinical Trials Directive - a threat to emergency care research in Europe? Eur J Emerg Med. 2013;20(3):149–50.

40. Søreide K. Strengthening the trauma chain of survival. Br J Surg. 2012;99 Suppl 1:1–3.