An Abiotic Glass-Bead Collector Exhibiting Active Transport
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Animals relocate objects as needed by active motion. Active transport is ubiquitous in living organisms but has been difficult to realize in abiotic systems. Here we show that a self-propelled droplet can gather scattered beads toward one place on a floor and sweep it clean. This is a biomimetic active transport with loadings and unloadings, because the transport was performed by a carrier and the motion of the carrier was maintained by the energy of the chemical reaction. The oil droplet produced fluctuation of the local number density of the beads on the floor, followed by its autocatalytic growth. This mechanism may inspire the technologies based on active transport wherein chemical and physical substances migrate as in living organisms.

Results

We attempted to produce an active transport system with loading and unloading using a simple oil/water system. An oil droplet containing iodine and iodide anion(s) spontaneously moves on a glass surface in water containing a cationic surfactant, trimethyloctadecylammonium chloride (C\textsubscript{18}TACl)	extsuperscript{20–22}. When the glass beads and the oil droplet were placed on the glass surface, the droplet propelled itself and subsumed the beads. This uptake was a stochastic process. Once a bead was taken up, the droplet retained the bead for some time. After a certain period, the droplet released the bead in a sporadic
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The uptake and release of a glass bead repeated many times (see Supplementary Video 1). We produced an annular course (a ring) as shown in Fig. 1 by applying a gel to define inner and outer boundaries of the course. When an oil droplet was placed in the ring, it moved clockwise or counterclockwise. When numerous glass beads had been put in the ring, they were carried via the uptake and release by the moving droplet.

When the inner and the outer sidewalls of the ring were concentric, the droplet gathered the beads at random sites (see Supplementary Video 2). However, when the ring was not concentric, the droplet gathered all beads at the widest place in the course (see Supplementary Video 3). Figure 1a–j show snapshots of the beads' transport for $w_r = 2.0$, where $w_r$ is the ratio between the widest and narrowest widths in the ring. We repeated the experiments seven times so that a number distribution of glass beads was obtained. The final distribution at 300 s when the droplet motion stopped, is shown in Fig. 2 (open circle). The droplet gathered all beads at the widest places (positions 6, 7, and 8 inset) with extremely high probability. The distribution corresponding to the uniform surface density is also shown (dashed curves of Fig. 2: Red and blue curves correspond to the two types of the evaluation methods of the surface area. See Supplementary Note 1). This is the maximum-entropy distribution. The peak of $w_r = 2.0$ was much greater than the distribution maximum that the maximum-entropy principle predicts.

If beads are gathered at a narrow site in the course, the moving droplet collides with the beads. On the other hand, a droplet can pass through without collisions when the beads are in wider places. This may be associated with the distribution maximum at $w_r = 2.0$. However, this geometrical effect is not essential to reaching the final distribution. After the beads had been gathered at the widest place, we moved the inner gel region in such a way that the place occupied with the beads became the narrowest in the course (the plus/minus of $\delta$ value of Fig. 1k was reversed). Despite contact between the beads and a droplet, almost all of the beads were present in the narrower places (Supplementary Video 4). If the droplet continued to move, the beads might be gathered to the widest place. In this experiment, however, the aggregation that was already completed at the initial state appeared to retard the active transport process. This suggests that aggregate growth process is required for the active transport within the lifetime of a moving droplet and that the active transport shown in Fig 1 could not be explained only by the simple geometrical effect. These considerations demonstrate that the formation of the distribution peak at $w_r = 2.0$ is governed not by the stability of final positions but by the dynamics required to reach the
state: neither entropy of the bead distribution nor interaction between the beads and the glass surface can explain the final distribution at $w_r = 2.0$.

Figure 3a shows the time evolution of the number of beads at each location in the ring. The ring is divided into twelve sections shown in the inset of Fig. 2. The number of beads at corresponding positions divided by the number of beads on the ring course, which excludes the number of beads contained in the oil droplet. The plus symbol denotes the result of the calculation. See Eqs. (s3.1) and (s3.2) and Fig. S3. The dashed curves are calculated by the maximum entropy principle, eq.s1.1 (red) and eq.s1.2 (blue). (see Supplementary Note 1). The droplet diameter used for eq.s1.2 was 0.9 cm.

Figure 3. Spatiotemporal plot of the number of the beads. (a) Experimental results are shown for a total bead number of ten. The abscissa is the position number shown inset in Fig. 2. The color indicates the number of beads at corresponding positions and times. The slender column at the left of the spatiotemporal plot shows the time evolution of the number of beads contained in the oil droplet. The ordinate is the elapsed time. (b,c) show results of the calculations. (b) shows the result with the width-dependent $P_{in}$ and $P_{out}$. (c) shows the result with $P_{in}(n)$ and $P_{out}(n)$.
Discussion

We performed a simple calculation for this bead transport behavior. Consider a point (droplet) moving on a circle. This circle was divided based on its azimuth into twelve sections by $\Delta \theta = 2\pi/12$, and ten beads were distributed randomly in the twelve sections. The droplet moved from one section to the next. When the droplet entered a new section occupied by a bead, the droplet took it up with a probability $P_{\text{in}}$. When two or more beads were in the section, each bead was taken up independently. On the other hand, when the droplet contained a bead, the bead was released at a probability $P_{\text{out}}$. When the droplet had two or more beads, each bead was released independently. The calculation with a constant $P_{\text{in}}$ and a constant $P_{\text{out}}$, as expected, provided fluctuations of the number of beads everywhere in the ring (Supplementary Fig. S2a).

Figure 3a demonstrates that the fluctuation is larger at the wider positions. This suggests that $P_{\text{in}}$ and $P_{\text{out}}$ depend on the course width. Thus, experiments to measure of these probabilities were carried out. We placed an oil droplet in the concentric ring and changed the course width. Five glass beads were distributed randomly on the course. We counted the events where the droplet passed through a section occupied by the bead(s). The number of events is $N_{\text{total}}$. In some cases, the droplet took up the bead(s). The number of uptake events was denoted as $N_{\text{uptake}}$. Figure 4a shows the $P_{\text{in}}$ ($= N_{\text{uptake}}/N_{\text{total}}$) as

Figure 4. The probability of uptake and release. (a) Depicts $P_{\text{in}}$ (red key) and $P_{\text{out}}$ (blue key) as functions of the course width. In (b) $T$ (plus) and $\tau_{\text{out}}$ (black dot) are shown. The open circle represents the arithmetic mean of $\tau_{\text{out}}$ which is approximately 34.2 s irrespective of the width. For the course shown in Fig. 1k, the width varies with the azimuth. The azimuth is shown in the upper abscissa at the position corresponding to its width. The solid curves are the correlations. (Supplementary Note 3) (c) $T$ is shown against the position number in the inset of Fig. 2. The summation of all $T$ values is equal to the average lap time for circular motion of the droplet.

$P_{\text{in}}$ and $P_{\text{out}}$ depend on the course width. Thus, experiments to measure of these probabilities were carried out. We placed an oil droplet in the concentric ring and changed the course width. Five glass beads were distributed randomly on the course. We counted the events where the droplet passed through a section occupied by the bead(s). The number of events is $N_{\text{total}}$. In some cases, the droplet took up the bead(s). The number of uptake events was denoted as $N_{\text{uptake}}$. Figure 4a shows the $P_{\text{in}}$ ($= N_{\text{uptake}}/N_{\text{total}}$) as
a function of the course width. $P_{in}$ increases with a decrease in the width because the collision probability between the droplet and the bead(s) is larger in a narrower course.

$P_{out}$ at a section with the residence time $T$ is given by $1 - \exp(-T/\tau_{out})$ (see Supplementary Note 2). $P_{out}$ is the release probability at each section and not defined by a unit time. For an evaluation of $\tau_{out}$, we used the same experimental setup with the concentric ring. After the droplet had taken up the bead, we measured the period for the droplet to retain the bead without releasing it ($\tau_{out}$). A longer $\tau_{out}$ value leads to smaller $P_{out}$. Figure 4b shows these retention values against the course width. The data are scattered, and their average does not depend on course width. $P_{out}$ also depends on the residence time $T$ of the moving droplet in each section: in wider positions, a droplet can move along the radial direction in addition to the circumferential one. This degree of freedom in the droplet’s motion increases residence time in the wider sections. Using the course with $w_r = 2.0$, the residence time when the droplet resided transiently in each section was measured. The average residence time $T$ is shown in Fig. 4c with respect to the position number and in Fig. 4b with respect to the course width, indicating that the residence time is longer at wider positions. The resultant $P_{out}$ was shown in Fig. 4a. $P_{out}$ increases with an increase in the width. This characteristic results from the width-dependency of $T$, while $\tau_{out}$ is irrespective of the width.

Calculations were performed with the correlation curves for $P_{in}$ and $P_{out}$ shown in Fig. 4a (Supplementary Note 3). The result is shown in Fig. 3b, demonstrating that the fluctuation in the number of beads appears to be concentrated near the widest place (other examples of the results are shown in Fig. S2b). However, growth of the fluctuation never occurred. This is because the uptake and the release in the calculation are reversible.

When two or more beads were contained in a droplet, they tended to form an aggregate owing to the presence of oil. Once the aggregate had been released, the droplet rarely took up the aggregated beads (Supplementary Video 5). This means that $P_{in}$ should be a decreasing function of the aggregate size $n$. Thus, the probability should be denoted as $P_{in}(n)$, where $P_{in}(1)$ is equal to the $P_{in}$ shown in Fig. 4a. Moreover, the bead(s) being carried by a droplet tended to be trapped by the aggregate in the ring (Supplementary Video 6). After mechanical trapping by the large aggregate, the bead(s) adhered to the aggregate owing to oil staining. This indicates that $P_{out}$ should be an increasing function of the aggregate size. Here, the probability should be denoted as $P_{out}(n)$, where $P_{out}(0)$ is equal to the $P_{out}$ shown in Fig. 4a. In the present calculation, a linear approximation that satisfies the above conditions was used for simplicity (see Supplementary Note 4).

Figure 3c shows the result calculated with $P_{in}(n)$ and $P_{out}(n)$; other examples are shown in Fig. S2c. The calculation was performed over 50 laps that approximately corresponds to 250 s of the experiments, because the average period required for the droplet to complete one lap was approximately 5 s. The fluctuation and its growth are similar to the experimental results. The average distribution of the beads was calculated from 10000 simulations and is shown in Fig. 2. The simulation reproduces the experimental results well. The functional form of the $n$-dependency in $P_{in}(n)$ and $P_{out}(n)$ does affect the quantitative aspect of the results. However, most important is that both $P_{in}(n)$ and $P_{out}(n)$ provide autocatalytic growth of the fluctuation of the number of beads: the growth of the cluster is accelerated with an increase in cluster size. We found an abiotic active transport system with loading and unloading. The glass beads are transported to the widest place by a self-moving droplet that carries the beads. This phenomenon can be explained by autocatalytic accumulation seeded by random release. This scenario may be developed to design the transport systems with a semblance of life and their applications to future technologies, such as active transport in microfluidic devices.

Methods

Materials. Trimethylolpropanetrimethanolmonochloride (purity >98.0%) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. Nitrobenzene (purity >98.0%), potassium iodide (purity >99.9%), iodine (purity >99.8%), and agar of reagent grade were provided by Wako Chemicals Inc. All chemicals were used without further purification. Glass beads of 2 mm were provided by AS ONE Corporation. All glass beads and Petri dishes were treated by vacuum plasma so as to remove surface contamination (FEMTO Science CUTE-MP(MP/R)). After treatment, the glass surfaces were wetted with KOH aqueous solution (1 M) and rinsed with deionized water.

Procedures. An aqueous solution containing 4% agar was poured into a Petri dish 9 cm in diameter. The initial temperature of the solution was approximately 80°C and it was allowed to cool to room temperature. Special care was taken not to introduce bubbles to the gel. After gelation, a cylindrical gel mass of diameter $D$ (<9 cm) was cut out using a cookie cutter. Next, a ring-shaped gel was obtained, with inner and outer diameter equal to $D$ (cm) and 9 cm, respectively. A cylindrical gel of diameter $D$ was also obtained. From the cylindrical gel, another cylindrical gel with diameter $d$ (<$D$) was cut out by a cookie cutter. Next, two pieces of gel, the ring-shaped gel and the cylindrical gel with the diameter $d$, were obtained. These gels were soaked in an aqueous solution of C18TAC (3 mM). The aqueous solution was refrigerated for 24 hrs. After treatment, the gels were removed from the refrigerator and washed in deionized water. These gels were put in a Petri dish of 9-cm diameter so as to form the desired layout.

The central gel shown in Fig. 1k was weighted to prevent its movement due to droplet motion. In the typical experiment, the inner ($d$) and the outer ($D$) diameters were 3.4 cm and 6.4 cm, respectively. Thus, the widest width $L_{max}$ was related to $w_r$ by $L_{max} = \{(D-d) w_r\} / (1 + w_r)$. The $L_{max}$ of $w_r = 2.0$ was 2.0 cm;
this was approximately two times larger than the average diameter of an oil droplet (approximately 0.8–1 cm). The aqueous solution containing trimethylotadecylammonium chloride (3 mM C18TAC) was poured into the ring-shaped course so that the depth of the C18TAC-containing aqueous solution was around 20 mm: that is, a large enough depth compared to the droplet's diameter. The glass beads of 2-mm diameter were placed randomly in the ring course filled with the aqueous solution. The number of beads was usually ten. This was nearly the maximum number of the glass beads, because too many beads restricted the free motion of the oil droplet. After setting, a nitrobenzene droplet that contained 50 mM I2 and saturated KI was placed in the ring. The droplet volume was 400 μL, and its diameter was approximately 8–10 mm. The experimental result was recorded by a CCD camera (Keyence Corporation VW-6000/5000), and the movie was analyzed by the software MovieRuler (Photron limited).
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