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Backfilling disposal based on cement solidification is one of the ways to solve the environmental and safe problems of uranium tailing surface stacking. Alkali-activated slag, especially sodium silicate activated geopolymer, has become the preferred cementing material for the uranium tailing backfilling system because of its advantages of corrosion resistance and high strength. In this paper, uranium tailings and slag are taken as research objects, and the unconfined compressive strength (UCS) is taken as the main quality index. The preparation method of the cemented uranium tailing backfill based on alkali-activated slag was studied, hereinafter referred to as CUTB. The effects of additive amount, activator amount and activator modulus on the strength of CUTB were investigated. The results show that alkali-activated slag is an effective cementing material for the backfilling system of uranium tailing aggregate. The maximum UCS of 28 d age in the test groups is 16.45 MPa. Quicklime is an important additive for preparing CUTB. When the amount of quicklime is 0%, the early and late strengths of the filling body cannot be measured or at a very low level. At the age of 7 d, the order of each factor is additive amount > activator modulus > activator amount, but at the age of 28 d, the order of each factor is additive amount > activator amount > activator modulus. The test results can provide a basis for choosing cementitious materials for backfilling disposal of uranium tailings.

1. Introduction

Surface stacking is one of the most important disposal methods of uranium tailings, in which radioactive and nonradioactive materials migrate and disperse under the action of weathering leaching, causing pollution to soil, water, and air. However, as a kind of long-lived, large-volume and low (or extremely low) level radioactive solid waste, uranium tailings cannot be completely treated in the way of high (or medium) level radioactive solid waste disposal [1–5]. In the field of filling mining, paste filling has the characteristics of “no precipitation, no bleeding, and no segregation” and can effectively control the diffusion of harmful components in filling materials in groundwater, which is consistent with the principle of cement solidification method in radioactive solid waste [6–9]. Therefore, relevant experts put forward a method of backfilling disposal of uranium tailings based on cement solidification, which can effectively avoid the environmental and safe problems of surface stacking of uranium tailings. In order to avoid the secondary pollution of groundwater caused by uranium tailings filling underground, it requires that the filling body has better mechanical strength, chemical stability, and leaching resistance [10–13].

For the filling body formed by uranium tailings aggregate and cement cementitious material, the leaching resistance is closely related to the structural stability of the filling body, and the mechanical strength is the macroscopic performance of the stability. The structural stability of filling body is also related to the hydration and hardening products of cementitious materials. The hydration process of cementitious materials is affected by many factors, such as the physical and chemical properties of filling materials. Uranium tailings are generally characterized by containing radionuclides, sulfuric acid and heavy metals, multiple types of tailings formation and wide distribution of particle size, etc., which inevitably affect the hydration reaction and strength formation of cementing materials, thus affecting the
mechanical strength, chemical stability and leaching resistance of filling body.

Slag-based cementitious materials generally have the advantages of corrosion resistance and high post-strength and can also solve the problems of expansion, strength reduction, and even disintegration of high-sulfur tailing aggregate filling body [14–21]. Geopolymer cementitious materials have a “crystal-like” structure consisting of circular molecular chains, which can segment metal ions and other toxic substances into cavities and which is one of the effective methods for toxic and nuclear waste treatment [22–26]. Based on this, alkali-activated slag or slag polymer, as a substitute for traditional cementitious materials, can theoretically improve the structural stability of filling body and then improve its leaching resistance. However, there are few studies on the strength formation mechanism of cemented uranium tailing backfill based on alkali-activated slag in China and throughout the world, so it is necessary to carry out experimental research on this.

In this paper, uranium tailings were used as filling aggregate and alkali activated water-quenched slag powder as cementitious material. The corresponding filling slurry was prepared according to a certain cement-sand ratio and water-solid ratio. The rheological properties of the filling slurry were measured by the yield stress and the average viscosity. The mechanical strength of filling body was measured by UCS. The mechanical properties of the CUTB system and the influence of activator parameters on it were explored.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Test Materials. The test materials mainly include uranium tailings, water-quenched slag powder, quicklime powder, liquid sodium silicate, sodium hydroxide, and water (as shown in Figure 1).

Uranium tailings are taken from a uranium tailings reservoir in China, with water content of 10.91%, density of 2.55 t/m³, and volume density of 1.375 t/m³. The main chemical composition of experimental materials is shown in Table 1 (by XRF of PANalytical Axios), and the pH of uranium tailings was 5.29 by referring to “Soil quality-determination of pH” [27].

2.2. Test Scheme. In order to explore the effects of three factors, namely, the addition of quicklime (additive), Na₂O alkali equivalent (activator addition), and activator modulus, on the rheological properties of filling slurry and strength formation of CUTB, the fixed water-solid ratio was 0.25, the cement-sand ratio was 1:4, the mass ratio of quicklime to cementing material was 0%, 4%, 6%, and 8%, and the mass ratio of Na₂O alkali equivalent to cementing material was 0%, 4%, 6%, and 8%, and the activator modulus was 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, and 1.4, as shown in Table 2.

Test methods: as the particle size composition of uranium tailings is similar to that of construction sand, the preparation of filling slurry, the filling and curing of test mold, and the test of compressive strength shall refer to “Standard for test method of performance on building mortar” and the corresponding test method of tailing cemented backfill [31–34]. When the filling slurry preparation was completed, the yield stress and average viscosity of the slurry were obtained by testing the rheological parameters with MCR52 Modular Intelligent Advanced Rheometer. And, the prepared slurry was poured into right-prism molds of dimensions 70.7 mm × 70.7 mm × 70.7 mm. The demoulded filling specimens were stored under laboratory conditions (20 ± 1°C, humidity ≥95%) for curing. The specimens of the 11th group of CUTB after 14 d curing are shown in Figure 3. Three parallel specimens were prepared for each group, and the UCS tests were carried out on RMT-150B tester at 7 d, 28 d, and 56 d, respectively. The test equipments are shown in Figure 4.

3. Results and Discussion

The rheological parameters of filling slurry under different excitation conditions and its UCS at different ages after filling body formation are shown in Table 3.

3.1. Stress-Strain Characteristics of CUTB. In order to study the general variation law of strength and deformation of CUTB during compression, the maximum compressive strength test data of 28 d age in group 9–16 are extracted and the stress-strain curve is plotted in Figure 5. It can be seen from Figure 5 that the CUTB shows a good bearing capacity after being compressed to a certain extent. The stress-strain curve can be divided into four stages: pore-crack compaction stage, elastic deformation to microelastic crack stable development stage, yield stage, and postfracture stage, which are basically similar to the characteristics of concrete.
3.1.1. Pore-Crack Compaction Stage. Because of discontinuous gradation of filling aggregate uranium tailings, uneven vibration during the pouring test, the structure of solid-adsorbed water-air in filling body is unavoidable to be adjusted at the initial stage of loading. Pores, microfissures, and bubbles in the filling body are closing gradually, and the specimen shows significant axial compression and transverse deformation. This is quite different from that of hard and compact rock specimens. When the filling body is compressed to a certain extent, the load-bearing capacity of the specimen is improved and even sudden changes occur.

3.1.2. Elastic Deformation Stage. After the load-bearing capacity of the specimens is obviously increased, the stress increases linearly with the strain as the load increases, and the specimens are in the stage of elastic deformation to stable development of microelastic crack.

3.1.3. Yield Stage. With the further increase of load, the microcracks in filling specimen gradually expand and change from elastic state to plastic state. The stress-strain curves of the specimens are concave and peak strength appears.

3.1.4. Failure Stage. After the specimen reaches its peak strength, the crack develops rapidly to form the
macroscopic fracture surface, resulting in irreversible plastic deformation, and the bearing capacity of the specimen decreases significantly. However, unlike hard and compact rock mass, the stress-strain curve of filling body after fracture is relatively smooth, which indicates that filling body still has better bearing capacity and residual strength.

3.2. Orthogonal Analysis of Strength Characteristics of CUTB. In order to study the influence of quicklime addition, Na$_2$O alkali equivalent, and activator modulus on the strength formation of CUTB at fixed water-solid ratio and cement-sand ratio, the UCS of 16 groups of tests is analyzed by range analysis (as shown in Table 4 and Figure 6). The results show that the sensitivity of the influence on the strength of filling body is quicklime > alkali equivalent > activator modulus, and the optimal combination is A2B4C3. For the cemented backfill of uranium tailing aggregate, the sulfur content and weak acid properties are unfavorable factors for the preparation of CUTB. As the most commonly used neutralizing treatment materials of uranium tailings, quicklime can not only neutralize the acid uranium tailings but also have good coagulation promotion as air-hardening binding material. From the experimental results of 7 d age and 28 d age, it can be seen that quicklime has obvious effect on the strength of filling body. When quicklime is not added, the strength of filling body cannot be formed or it is very low. In this case, the optimal test was group 4 and the strength of 28 d age was only 0.23 MPa. This is similar to the conclusion of papers [17, 19].

### Table 3: Test results of mechanical properties.

| Serial number | UCS (MPa) | Rheological parameter |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|
|               | R7        | R28                   | R56                   | Yield stress (Pa) | Average viscosity (Pa·s) |
| 1             | —         | —                     | —                     | 167.19           | 6.52                    |
| 2             | —         | 0.06                  | —                     | 288.98           | 8.62                    |
| 3             | —         | 0.12                  | —                     | 343.33           | 11.48                   |
| 4             | 0.20      | 0.23                  | —                     | 264.75           | 8.17                    |
| 5             | 1.70      | 5.98                  | 9.22                  | 198.97           | 6.68                    |
| 6             | 4.90      | 8.76                  | 10.66                 | 297.85           | 12.17                   |
| 7             | —         | 12.71                 | 10.05                 | 316.14           | 9.55                    |
| 8             | 0.72      | 16.45                 | 6.05                  | 494.81           | 14.28                   |
| 9             | 0.20      | 5.67                  | 10.34                 | 186.40           | 8.24                    |
| 10            | 3.90      | 6.23                  | 9.86                  | 413.88           | 12.08                   |
| 11            | 6.43      | 9.28                  | 9.25                  | 331.11           | 11.07                   |
| 12            | 7.55      | 11.08                 | 11.47                 | 221.60           | 5.96                    |
| 13            | 2.07      | 6.95                  | 10.32                 | 155.85           | 4.54                    |
| 14            | 5.15      | 8.05                  | 7.87                  | 302.32           | 8.37                    |
| 15            | 6.57      | 10.68                 | 7.51                  | 339.49           | 9.38                    |
| 16            | 5.20      | 9.77                  | 10.27                 | 250.97           | 6.87                    |

![Figure 4: The test equipments. (a) RMT-150B. (b) MCR52.](image)

![Figure 5: Stress-strain curve of CUTB.](image)
When the activator modulus is low, the filling slurry is prone to rapid coagulation. Deb et al. [1] show that the strength of the backfill can reach 70%–80% of the final strength at 4 h. In 16 groups of tests, the strength of 28d age specimens is generally 1.15–3.52 times of that of 7d age specimens, and only the 8th and 9th groups of specimens are more than 22 times, but the 28d age strength of the 9th group of specimens was only 5.67 MPa, which was due to the fact that only quicklime was used as cementitious material, but no cementitious material was added, and the content of quicklime in group 8 was less and the activation modulus was relatively high, which was the reason for the low strength at 7d age.

### 3.3. Orthogonal Analysis of Rheological Properties of Filling Slurry

In order to study the rheological properties of filling slurry under fixed water-solid ratio and cement-sand ratio, the yield stress and average viscosity of 16 groups of tests were analyzed by range analysis (as shown in Table 5 and Figure 7). The results show that the sensitivity to the yield stress of slurry is alkali equivalent > activator modulus > quicklime, and the optimal combination is A4B1C1 with the minimum yield stress. The sensitivity to the average viscosity of slurry is alkali equivalent > quicklime > activator modulus, and the optimum combination is A4B1C2 with the minimum average viscosity index.

Only from the point of view of rheological properties, yield stress and average viscosity increase first and then decrease with the addition of quicklime, Na$_2$O alkali equivalent, and modulus of activator. The results can provide an optimum reference for industrial application of cemented uranium tailings backfill based on the alkali-activated slag system.
4. Conclusions

Taking uranium tailings and slag as research objects, the preparation method of CUTB was studied by using the UCS quality index. The effects of additive amount, activator amount, and activator modulus on the strength of CUTB were investigated. The main conclusions are as follows:

1. Alkali-activated slag is an effective cementing material for the uranium tailing aggregate filling system. The maximum UCS of 28 d age in the test groups is 16.45 MPa.

2. Quicklime is an important additive for the preparation of CUTB. When the content of quicklime is 0%, the early and late strengths of filling body cannot be measured or can be done at a very low level.

3. The activator modulus has a significant effect on the early strength of filling body, while the dosage of the activator has a significant effect on the later strength. At 7 d age, the order of each factor is the dosage of additive > the activator modulus > the dosage of activator. At 28 d age, the order of each factor is the dosage of additive > the dosage of activator > the activator modulus.

The cemented uranium tailing backfill based on alkali-activated slag is a new research content. This paper preliminarily verifies the feasibility of preparation of CUTB from the perspective of mechanical properties, but there are still several problems to be further explored in the future research:

1. Under the influence of complex physical and chemical properties of uranium tailings, the hydration mechanism of alkali-activated slag cementitious material needs to be explored.

2. The important goal of exploring CUTB is to solidify the uranium in uranium tailings, and its solidifying mechanism needs to be further explored.

3. In order to give full play to the structural advantages of the geopolymer cementitious material solidified uranium, it is necessary to further explore the compounding test of the cementitious material admixture based on the concept of geopolymer.
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