Potent neutralization of clinical isolates of SARS-CoV-2 D614 and G614 variants by a monomeric, sub-nanomolar affinity nanobody
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Despite unprecedented global efforts to rapidly develop SARS-CoV-2 treatments, in order to reduce the burden placed on health systems, the situation remains critical. Effective diagnosis, treatment, and prophylactic measures are urgently required to meet global demand: recombinant antibodies fulfill these requirements and have marked clinical potential. Here, we describe the fast-tracked development of an alpaca Nanobody specific for the receptor-binding-domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein with potential therapeutic applicability. We present a rapid method for nanobody isolation that includes an optimized immunization regimen coupled with VHH library E. coli surface display, which allows single-step selection of Nanobodies using a simple density gradient centrifugation of the bacterial library. The selected single and monomeric Nanobody, W25, binds to the SARS-CoV-2 S RBD with sub-nanomolar affinity and efficiently competes with ACE-2 receptor binding. Furthermore, W25 potently neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 wild type and the D614G variant with IC50 values in the nanomolar range, demonstrating its potential as antiviral agent.

Severe clinical courses of pandemic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the illness caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection, involve pneumonia and multiple organ dysfunction, and constitute an unprecedented threat to health and economy worldwide1–4. Currently, there are no drugs to effectively contain the pandemic. In order to avoid the collapse of healthcare systems, non-pharmaceutical public health measures such as social distancing, border
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closures, and lockdowns have been enforced globally. Genetic studies determined that the pathogen responsible for this outbreak belongs to the Coronaviridae family, genus Beta-coronavirus, sub-genus sarbecovirus. It has high sequence homology with the bat coronavirus RaTG13, indicating that the novel virus may have originated in bats and subsequently jumped to humans, probably via a yet unidentified intermediate animal host.

The positive sense SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome contains 29,903 nucleotides, including 12 open reading frames (ORFs) coding for the replicase ORF1ab polyproteins, Spike, Envelope, Membrane and Nucleocapsid structural proteins, and several accessory proteins. The Spike protein on the virion surface is responsible for attachment to, and invasion of host cells. Spike is a highly glycosylated trimeric class I fusion protein and contains two subunits, S1 and S2. Similar to SARS-CoV, Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) appears to be the molecular entryway to the host, since SARS-CoV-2 S binds to this receptor. Moreover, the presence of the ACE2 receptor has been confirmed in a variety of human tissues that are related to clinical manifestations of COVID-19.

Cryo-EM studies showed that SARS-CoV-2 Spike exhibits a metastable pre-fusion conformation, where the RBD within S1 performs hinge-like movements between “down”- and “up”-positions relative to the remainder of the S protein. Only in the “up”-position, RBD residues responsible for binding to the ACE2 receptor on the host cell surface are exposed. After attachment, proteolytic processing and S1 shedding, the S2 subunit undergoes substantial conformational re-arrangements to a stable post-fusion conformation, concomitant with membrane fusion and invasion of the host cell.

The central role of the Spike glycoprotein in the virus lifecycle highlights the importance of this protein as a target for the development of therapies such as neutralizing antibodies and vaccines. In this sense, isolation of specific Spike protein antibodies can be instrumental in the development of effective diagnostic and therapeutic tools.

Researchers form remote areas in the world experience several access limitations for equipment and reagents. We implemented a procedure for the selection of Nanobodies to overcome this limitation and to allow other researchers around the world to implement Nanobody screening using bacterial display and a simple centrifugation strategy. To fast-track Nanobody selection from alpacas and use the approach to obtain a Nanobody against the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, we used Spike protein produced in a baculovirus expression system as antigen. Prior to immunization, antigen integrity was tested by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (Fig. 1a). Then, an alpaca (Fig. 1b) was immunized twice over 14 days with 100 µg of the full Spike protein and adjuvant. The animal’s health was monitored throughout the study period by clinical examination, haematology analysis, and serum biochemistry.

The immune response of the alpaca’s serum before immunization revealed a fortunate basal cross-reaction against the Spike protein, possibly as a consequence of a previous infection with a coronavirus described in South America. After the second immunization, we observed a significant increase of antigen specific IgG antibodies in the alpaca’s serum. This increment of IgG antibodies against Spike protein in the post-immunization serum by ELISA (Fig. 1d and Supplemental Fig. 1). Our method for Nanobody isolation is based on the bacterial display system, a strategy that

Results

Immunoassay and density gradient method for nanobody selection. Researchers form remote areas in the world experience several access limitations for equipment and reagents. We implemented a procedure for the selection of Nanobodies to overcome this limitation and to allow other researchers around the world to implement Nanobody screening using bacterial display and a simple centrifugation strategy. To fast-track Nanobody selection from alpacas and use the approach to obtain a Nanobody against the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, we used Spike protein produced in a baculovirus expression system as antigen. Prior to immunization, antigen integrity was tested by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (Fig. 1a). Then, an alpaca (Fig. 1b) was immunized twice over 14 days with 100 µg of the full Spike protein and adjuvant. The animal’s health was monitored throughout the study period by clinical examination, haematology analysis, and serum biochemistry. The immune response of the alpaca’s serum before immunization revealed a fortunate basal cross-reaction against the Spike protein, possibly as a consequence of a previous infection with a coronavirus described in South America. After the second immunization, we observed a significant increase of antigen specific IgG antibodies in the alpaca’s serum. This analysis was done in a rapid qualitative manner by Dot blot analysis, immobilizing the antigen to a nitrocellulose membrane and using alpaca serum as a source of primary antibodies (Fig. 1c). We also detected an ~5-fold increment of IgG antibodies against Spike protein in the post-immunization serum by ELISA (Fig. 1d and Supplemental Fig. 1).
takes advantage of the high transformation efficiency of *E. coli* and avoids the need for bacteriophage infections or shuttling into yeast cells for surface display of the Nanobodies. Importantly, this Nanobody display system can drive the specific adhesion of *E. coli* bacteria to abiotic and cellular surfaces with the cognate antigen. Thus, we constructed a bacterial display library with a complexity of $2.3 \times 10^6$ independent clones by electroporation of *E. coli* DH10B-T1R strain (see “Materials and methods”).

We applied a novel procedure for the selection of Nanobodies based on a simple Ficoll density gradient, an inexpensive reagent available all around the world used for blood fragmentation. We were inspired by the main observation that red blood cells accumulate at the bottom of the Ficoll density gradient, while PBMCs stay in the upper fraction. Using conventional NHS-activated sepharose beads in a Ficoll gradient, we found that the density of the beads was sufficient to precipitate to the bottom of a 15 mL tube. Furthermore, the same assay...
Figure 2. Dual biochemical and microscopy-based selection of nanobodies. (a) Dot blot immunodetection of full-length SARS-CoV-2 Spike using direct total protein extracts of clones W25 and W23 as the primary antibody. Mouse anti-Myc (1:3000) followed by anti-mouse-HRP were used for detection. Protein extract from E. coli (BL21 strain) was used as a negative control. Line contrast and grey scale were applied to the image, original dot blot scan is shown in the supplemental Fig. 3a. (b) Immunodetection of Spike-GFP transiently transfected in HeLa cells using total protein extract selected clones as the primary antibody, followed by mouse anti-Myc (1:3000) and anti-mouse-Alexa 647. The image shows two positive clones (W25 and W23), and an example of a negative Nanobody the screening assay was performed once, scale bar indicates 20 µm. (c) Sequence alignment of aminoacidic sequence of W25 and W23. CDR sequences are marked with a black line. (d) Purification from periplasm of bacteria, elution fraction of a single liter of bacterial culture n = 5. (e) Immunodetection as in (b), using purified protein n = 3, scale bar indicates 20 µm. (f) ELISA assay of full-length Spike of SARS-CoV-2 using conjugated W25-HRP nanobody n = 3. (g) ELISA assay of RBD of Spike using W25-HRP conjugate Nanobody n = 3. Statistic t-test, *** P ≤ 0.001; ** P ≤ 0.005; * P ≤ 0.01 to -W25 control. Illustrations (f,g) by Felipe G. Serrano BSc., MSc Scientific illustrator.

was performed with free bacteria, and as expected, the bacteria remained on top of the gradient. In the bacterial display system, a single Nanobody clone is expressed by each bacterium of the library50. E. coli expresses intimin-Nanobody protein fusions that anchor in the outer membrane upon IPTG-induction and expose the functional Nanobody to the extracellular milieu for antigen recognition. These intimin-Nanobody fusions also contain a common myc-tag at the C-terminus for immunodetection56. Therefore, the bacteria expressing specific Nanobodies on their surface would bind NHS-beads coated with the antigen of interest (i.e. Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2) and migrate all the way through to the bottom of the Ficoll density gradient, leaving unbound bacteria in the upper fraction (Fig. 1e). As an internal negative control of bacterial aggregation, we mixed the library with bacteria expressing a different antibiotic resistance which under our condition remain in the upper part of the gradient. Indeed, we demonstrated that specific Nanobodies from a bacterial display library are rapidly selected with our protocol, using common, inexpensive reagents and a conventional centrifuge. A full description is provided in the “Materials and methods” section.

Nanobody isolation and detection of spike of SARS-CoV2 by IF and ELISA assays. We optimized conditions to extract the intimin-Nanobody fusions from the bacterial outer membrane and used these protein extracts directly for assaying the binding to the Spike protein applying two different methods, dot blot analysis and high-content microscopy. After Nanobody selection using our simple Ficoll-based density gradient protocol, we obtained ~ 1000 colonies on LB-agar plates from the sepharose-antigen coated fraction. 100 colonies were analyzed of which 30 bind to Spike protein. The bacteria were inoculated in liquid LB media and the expression of intimin-Nanobodies of the single clones was induced. Bacterial pellets were lysed under optimized conditions and the extract was used as a source of Nanobodies for the second binding screening. For dot blot analysis, a negative control of an unrelated protein was applied adjacent to the same amount of full-length Spike protein on the nitrocellulose strips. Single Dot blot strip tests were incubated with the bacterial extracts containing Nanobodies. Sequential incubation with mouse anti-myc antibody and an anti-mouse HRP-conjugate unveiled Nanobodies binding to Spike. Although it was not applied in this screening, we suggest normalizing the amount of intimin-fused Nanobodies previous to Dot blot assays using a quantitative method such an ELISA. Of the 30 selected clones, we focused on two Nanobodies that displayed a strong signal for full-length Spike in the Dot blot analysis (W23 and W25, Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. 3a). Additionally, we used high content microscopy as a second confirmation method. For this purpose, a single 10 cm-plate was transfected with a Spike-GFP vector for 24 h and further the cells were seeded onto 96 well-plates. After 24 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and individual extracts of our 100 selected bacterial display clones were added as a source of Nanobodies. A mouse anti-myc antibody and an anti-mouse Alexa647 secondary antibody was used for immunofluorescence detection. HeLa cells had a transfection efficiency of ~ 20%. In this case, a low transfection rate is desired, so we also observed co-localization of the Spike-GFP and W25 to membrane protrusions in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e). Thus, our experiment suggests the W25 Nanobody is applicable as a measure for the direct diagnosis of infected cells by immunofluorescence. Furthermore, we covalently labelled W25 to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) and performed direct ELISA assays using immobilized full-length Spike protein (Fig. 2f) or RBD only (Fig. 2g). In both cases, W25 was able to recognize in an efficiently and sensitive manner.

W25 was subsequently cloned into the pHen6 vector for periplasmic bacterial expression, and large amounts of recombinant W25 Nanobody were obtained (Fig. 2d). The purified W25 Nanobody was used for immunofluorescence of HeLa cells transiently transfected with an S-GFP vector and specifically recognized the Spike-GFP in a sensitive manner (Fig. 2e), given that we observed co-localization of Spike-GFP and W25 to membrane protrusions in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e). Thus, our experiment suggests the W25 Nanobody is applicable as a measure for the direct diagnosis of infected cells by immunofluorescence. Furthermore, we covalently labelled W25 to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) and performed direct ELISA assays using immobilized full-length Spike protein (Fig. 2f) or RBD only (Fig. 2g). In both cases, W25 was able to recognize in an efficiently and sensitive manner.

Biochemical methods such as dot blot analysis, or high content microscopy immunofluorescence-based assays. Of the 30 selected clones, we focused on two Nanobodies that displayed a strong signal for full-length Spike in the Dot blot analysis (W23 and W25, Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. 3a). Additionally, we used high content microscopy as a second confirmation method. For this purpose, a single 10 cm-plate was transfected with a Spike-GFP vector for 24 h and further the cells were seeded onto 96 well-plates. After 24 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and individual extracts of our 100 selected bacterial display clones were added as a source of Nanobodies. A mouse anti-myc antibody and an anti-mouse Alexa647 secondary antibody was used for immunofluorescence detection. HeLa cells had a transfection efficiency of ~ 20%. In this case, a low transfection rate is desired, so we also observed co-localization of the Spike-GFP and W25 to membrane protrusions in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e). Thus, our experiment suggests the W25 Nanobody is applicable as a measure for the direct diagnosis of infected cells by immunofluorescence. Furthermore, we covalently labelled W25 to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) and performed direct ELISA assays using immobilized full-length Spike protein (Fig. 2f) or RBD only (Fig. 2g). In both cases, W25 was able to recognize in an efficiently and sensitive manner.

Biochemical methods such as dot blot analysis, or high content microscopy immunofluorescence-based assays. Of the 30 selected clones, we focused on two Nanobodies that displayed a strong signal for full-length Spike in the Dot blot analysis (W23 and W25, Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. 3a). Additionally, we used high content microscopy as a second confirmation method. For this purpose, a single 10 cm-plate was transfected with a Spike-GFP vector for 24 h and further the cells were seeded onto 96 well-plates. After 24 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and individual extracts of our 100 selected bacterial display clones were added as a source of Nanobodies. A mouse anti-myc antibody and an anti-mouse Alexa647 secondary antibody was used for immunofluorescence detection. HeLa cells had a transfection efficiency of ~ 20%. In this case, a low transfection rate is desired, so we also observed co-localization of the Spike-GFP and W25 to membrane protrusions in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e). Thus, our experiment suggests the W25 Nanobody is applicable as a measure for the direct diagnosis of infected cells by immunofluorescence. Furthermore, we covalently labelled W25 to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) and performed direct ELISA assays using immobilized full-length Spike protein (Fig. 2f) or RBD only (Fig. 2g). In both cases, W25 was able to recognize in an efficiently and sensitive manner.

Biochemical methods such as dot blot analysis, or high content microscopy immunofluorescence-based assays. Of the 30 selected clones, we focused on two Nanobodies that displayed a strong signal for full-length Spike in the Dot blot analysis (W23 and W25, Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. 3a). Additionally, we used high content microscopy as a second confirmation method. For this purpose, a single 10 cm-plate was transfected with a Spike-GFP vector for 24 h and further the cells were seeded onto 96 well-plates. After 24 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and individual extracts of our 100 selected bacterial display clones were added as a source of Nanobodies. A mouse anti-myc antibody and an anti-mouse Alexa647 secondary antibody was used for immunofluorescence detection. HeLa cells had a transfection efficiency of ~ 20%. In this case, a low transfection rate is desired, so we also observed co-localization of the Spike-GFP and W25 to membrane protrusions in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e). Thus, our experiment suggests the W25 Nanobody is applicable as a measure for the direct diagnosis of infected cells by immunofluorescence. Furthermore, we covalently labelled W25 to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) and performed direct ELISA assays using immobilized full-length Spike protein (Fig. 2f) or RBD only (Fig. 2g). In both cases, W25 was able to recognize in an efficiently and sensitive manner.

Biochemical methods such as dot blot analysis, or high content microscopy immunofluorescence-based assays. Of the 30 selected clones, we focused on two Nanobodies that displayed a strong signal for full-length Spike in the Dot blot analysis (W23 and W25, Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. 3a). Additionally, we used high content microscopy as a second confirmation method. For this purpose, a single 10 cm-plate was transfected with a Spike-GFP vector for 24 h and further the cells were seeded onto 96 well-plates. After 24 h, the cells were fixed, permeabilized, and individual extracts of our 100 selected bacterial display clones were added as a source of Nanobodies. A mouse anti-myc antibody and an anti-mouse Alexa647 secondary antibody was used for immunofluorescence detection. HeLa cells had a transfection efficiency of ~ 20%. In this case, a low transfection rate is desired, so we also observed co-localization of the Spike-GFP and W25 to membrane protrusions in HeLa cells (Fig. 2e). Thus, our experiment suggests the W25 Nanobody is applicable as a measure for the direct diagnosis of infected cells by immunofluorescence. Furthermore, we covalently labelled W25 to Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP) and performed direct ELISA assays using immobilized full-length Spike protein (Fig. 2f) or RBD only (Fig. 2g). In both cases, W25 was able to recognize in an efficiently and sensitive manner.
the viral proteins immobilized on the ELISA plate. Due to the high levels of expression and effective conjugation to HRP, the Nanobody W25 will be a convenient tool for the development of diagnostic approaches based on direct antigen detection.

**Sub-nanomolar affinity recognition of RBD and efficient competition for ACE2 by the W25 nanobody.** We further complemented the ELISA results of the RBD and W25 interaction with a pulldown assay, employing recombinant RBD protein or BSA as a control. Proteins were covalently immobilized on NHS-Sepharose beads, and the binding of W25 to RBD and control beads was tested. We showed that the selected W25 Nanobody binds to RBD (Fig. 3a and Supplemental Figs. 2b, 3a) confirming previous ELISA results. To further study the interaction of W25 with S RBD, we first used thermal shift assays. We demonstrated the stability of the individual RBD and Nanobody preparations using a label-free Tycho measurement, yielding inflection temperatures of protein unfolding (Tᵢ) of 52.4 °C and 57.9 °C. Mixing the RBD domain with the nanobody, followed by the same measurement, led to a shift to a higher unfolding inflection temperature of ~ 14 °C, strongly indicative of tight interaction between the RBD and Nanobody (Fig. 3b and Supplemental Fig. 3c). To quantify the interaction affinity between the RBD domain and W25, Microscale Thermophoresis (MST) was employed. Fitting of the experimental MST fluorescence traces resulted in a binding affinity of W25 to the Spike RBD of 295 ± 84 pM (Fig. 3c). The RBD is the region of Spike used for recognition of the cellular receptor ACE2. To test whether W25 and ACE2 compete for the binding to Spike RBD, we labelled W25 with a fluorescent dye and generated the complex between RBD and W25 by mixing 1 nM of W25 with 2 nM of RBD. Under these conditions, almost all of the W25 is complexed with RBD, which was confirmed by the change in the MST response. Next, we added increasing amounts of ACE2 to allow for competition for binding with RBD which should eventually lead to the dissociation of W25 from RBD. Indeed, at the highest ACE2 concentrations, the MST signal almost completely returned to the value of unbound W25 (Fig. 3d). The competition experiment yielded an EC₅₀ value of 33 nM, which indicates that the affinity of the Nanobody W25 for RBD is considerably stronger than that of RBD for ACE2, suggesting that the W25 nanobody has viral neutralization capabilities (Fig. 3d). A diagram of the competition assay is shown in the Fig. 3e.

**W25 as single domain strongly neutralizes SARS-CoV2 viruses.** Next, we investigated the neutralizing ability of W25 against two clinical isolates of SARS-CoV-2 infections, Spike protein variants D614 and G614, in Vero E6 cells. W25 demonstrated strong neutralizing activity with IC₅₀ values of 9.82 ± 1.92 nM and 5.09 ± 1.90 nM for the D614 and G614 SARS-CoV-2 variant, respectively. For intravenous administration, the fusion of Nanobodies with the Fc fragment of IgG can prolong its circulation in the body; therefore, we generated two fusion combinations: W25 as a monomeric Fc fusion (W25FcM), and W25 as a dimeric Fc fusion (W25Fc). We compared the neutralization capabilities to two previously reported Nanobodies (VHH-72 against SARS-CoV-2 and VHH-55 against MERS⁵⁸), both produced as monomeric Fc fusions. The W25FcM IC₅₀ for the D614 variant was 27.40 ± 8.38 nM and 12.36 ± 2.84 nM for the G614. Dimeric W25Fc fusion had a better neutralizing performance with an IC₅₀ for the variant D614 of 7.39 ± 2.39 nM and 3.69 ± 0.96 nM for the G614. In our assay with live virus the Nanobody VHH-72 exhibited a moderate degree of neutralization with an IC₅₀ of 1287.75 ± 311.91 nM and 1233.90 ± 557.21 nM for Spike protein D614 and G614 variants, respectively. As expected, no inhibition was observed for the MERS-specific VHH-55. Thus, the Nanobody W25 is potent Nanobody against SARS-CoV-2, with improved neutralizing activity relative to other described Nanobodies under similar conditions (Figs. 3f-h, 4 and supplemental Fig. 3d).

**Discussion**

Currently, selection of Nanobodies from bacterial display is performed by affinity purification based on magnetic beads binding the labelled antigen, by FACS Sorter, or by selection on cells transfected with the antigen⁶⁷. Here, we describe a novel simple method for the selection of Nanobodies from *E. coli* bacterial display libraries. Our method requires only conventional laboratory instruments and an inexpensive Ficoll gradient for the selection of Nanobodies from a highly complex and diverse bacterial library. The success rate depends on the immune response of the alpaca to the antigen and by prior undetermined exposure to cross-reactive camelid coronavirus subtypes. Nevertheless, here we have shown a successful example based on an immunization program of two weeks duration and 100 analyzed clones resulting in the selection of 30 Nanobodies binding to the antigen; thus, our method can accelerate the identification of Nanobodies, enabling, for example, the generation of diagnostic and potentially therapeutic measures against COVID-19 and other infectious and emergent viruses.

The medical, social, and economic consequences of COVID-19 influence the lives of everyone on the planet and while vaccines are just emerging and effective therapies not available, sensitive diagnostic tools are urgently needed. Currently, convalescent plasma transfusion has been applied as an emergency treatment for COVID-19, aiming to enhance the immune response of the patients⁶¹. In the late phase of disease progression, an inflammatory cytokine storm has been reported. Antibody administration at this stage by convalescent plasma transfusion is under discussion, especially for critically ill patients⁶³. Also, additional efforts have been done for the generation and clinical implementation of hyperimmune equine serum therapy approaches⁶⁴. Nanobodies might become an alternative for immunotherapy to replace or complement convalescent plasma transfusion and equine hyperimmune serum. Nanobodies can be rapidly and cost-effectively produced in an active form in prokaryotic systems. Also, the lack of an Fc domain might reduce the possibility of undesired immunostimulatory activity from the host such as ADE (antibody-dependent enhancement), a reaction that might trigger fatal consequences in critical patients.

Here, we characterize the affinity properties of novel Nanobodies against the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. We demonstrate that the Nanobody W25 can recognize full-length Spike and RBD protein by ELISA and...
Figure 3. Binding characterization and neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by the nanobody W25. (a) Pulldown of the W25 nanobody. A recombinant Spike RBD domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein or control BSA protein were covalently bound to NHS-sepharose beads. Further, the W25 nanobody was incubated with control and spike RBD beads, washed, and further eluted in LSD lysis buffer (Invitrogen). Original SDS-Page as supplemental Fig. 3b. (b) Unfolding profiles of 2 µM SARS-CoV-2 S1, spike RBD in the absence (black) and presence (red) of 2 µM W25, measured with Tycho NT.6. Binding of W25 to spike RBD leads to strong stabilization and shifts the inflection unfolding temperature (T_i) from 52.1 to 66.3 °C. (c) MST binding curve for the titration of 1 nM fluorescently labeled W25 into a 16-point serial dilution of SARS-CoV-2 S1, Spike RBD (250 nM to 7.6 pM). W25 binds Spike RBD with sub-nanomolar affinity (K_d = 295 ± 84 pM). Error bars show the SD calculated from experiments performed in triplicate. (d) MST competitive curve for 2 nM of fluorescently labeled W25 incubated with 4 nM SARS-CoV-2 RBD, titrated with a 16-point dilution series of hACE2 (1 µM to 30.5 pM). W25 is displaced by hACE2 with nanomolar concentration (EC50 = 33 ± 9 nM). Error bars show the SD calculated from triplicate experiments. (e) Diagram of W25 and ACE2 competition for RBD of spike of SARS-CoV-2. (f) Neutralization assay of SARS-CoV-2 life virus D624 variant with nanobody W25, W25 fused to monomeric Fc (W25FcM) and W25 fused to dimeric Fc (W25Fc) and the previously reported nanobodies VHH-72-Fc (monomeric) and VHH-55-Fc (monomeric). The independent experiments were normalized by percentage of neutralization. (g) Neutralization assay of SARS-CoV-2 life virus D624 under similar condition as in (f). (h) Comparative neutralization values of W25, W25FcM, W25Fc and VHH-72 FcM against SARS-CoV-2 D614 and G614 virus variants. Illustration (e) by Felipe G. Serrano BSc., MSc Scientific Illustrator.
therefore we predict it might be suitable as a diagnostic reagent. Additionally, we also show that W25 can sensitively detect Spike by immunofluorescence in human cells. The yield of production of W25 is high (~60 mg/L in bacterial culture) as shown in Fig. 2d and therefore inexpensive. Using fast thermal denaturation, we show that W25 interacts with the Spike RBD. We further determined by Thermophoresis that W25 has an affinity for the RBD domain of Spike of Kd ~ 0.3 nM. To date, four research groups have reported Nanobodies and synthetic antibodies against Spike RBD: VHH-72 with a Kd value of 39 nM35; Ty1 with a Kd of 8 ± 1.5 nM at normal salt conditions36; a large set of eighteen humanized single-domain antibodies, with the highest affinity clone n3021 demonstrating a Kd of 0.63 ± 0.01 nM37; the H11-H4 with a Kd of 5 nM66; and the recently synthetic monomeric mNb6 with a Kd of 0.56 nM67. The latter also shows enhanced affinity by trimerization as expected by an additive increment of the avidity for the trimeric Spike protein. Thus, to date the neutralizing monomeric W25 Nanobody reported here shows the highest affinity for the RBD Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.

We have also demonstrated efficient neutralization mediated by W25 against clinical isolates of live viruses (Fig. 4). An early isolate, similar to the original virus found in Wuhan, was neutralized by the W25 monomeric nanobody with an IC50 ~ 9 nM. Interestingly, a slightly enhanced neutralization effect was observed against the currently dominant SARS-CoV-2 variant G614, with an IC50 of ~ 5 nM. A similar phenomenon was observed with convalescent sera and RBD-directed antibodies68. The difference observed may be explained by structural impacts of the D614G mutation, which influence the dynamics of exposure of the RBD region68. Along these lines, a recent study has shown that the D614G trimer is constrained in a prefusion state likely to be a superior immunogen for eliciting protective neutralizing antibody responses69. Structure determination of W25 in complex with the RBD and full-length Spike variants will be needed to provide mechanistic insight into the neutralization activity of W25.

Animal testing for in vivo safety and efficacy in animals would be required to ascertain the therapeutic potential of W25. Our aim is to provide a stable, and scalable production of nanobody for the generation of a neutralizing inhaler able to block the viral replication directly in the upper airway in the early stages of the Covid-19 development. Nanobodies have been used previously with the same approach to treat syncytial virus infection (RSV). Successful preclinical and clinical trials have been performed indicating that 6 mg/kg has been a safe and efficient dose for RSV70-74. In this case, a monomeric Nanobody called Nb017 with a Kd of ~17.88 nM was trimerized to a drug called ALX-0171 increasing the binding affinity to RSV to a Kd of ~0.113 nM. Since W25 already shows strong neutralizing activity against two important clinical virus isolates as monomer, such approaches could directly enhance efficacy and delivery. In contrast to full length human and mouse monoclonal antibodies, recombinant Nanobodies against SARS-CoV-2 lack the Fc region. This feature allows high yield production of neutralizing measures from the bacterial periplasm aiming for a therapeutic approach based on nebulization through the airway. Interestingly, a recent study reported that the more prevalent virus variant G614 is associated with higher levels of viral nucleic acid in the upper respiratory tract in human patients, potentially making it even more accessible for inhaler delivery75. Additionally, we also fused W25 to an Fc fragment preserving most neutralization properties and potentially increasing the retention in the circulation for several days when used intravenously75. Further preclinical animal models will be needed to unveil the best administration way for COVID-19 neutralizing Nanobodies.

Finally, we highlight the accelerated growth of SARS-CoV-2 variants around the world, implying the need for generation of conventional antibodies and Nanobodies with the ability to neutralize emerging virus variants resistant to existent neutralizing antibodies76,77.

Figure 4. Diagram of W25 neutralization of SARS-CoV-2. Illustration by Felipe G. Serrano BSc., MSc Scientific Illustrator.
Material and methods

Immunization and VHH library construction. The alpaca immunization process followed the guidelines established by the Bioethics Committee of the Austral University of Chile (certifications 338/2019 and 388/2020). One day before immunization, 5 mL of blood was collected for pre-immune serum tests. For immunization (day 1), 100 µg of full-length Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 (SINOBiological) was used. The cold lyophilized protein was resuspended in 2 mL of sterile water and further dissolved in 2 mL of adjuvant (Veterinary Vaccine Adjuvant, GERBU FAMA) and injected subcutaneously into a male alpaca (Vicugna pacos). A total volume of 4 mL was injected into four different locations in the alpaca. A 5 mL blood sample was collected 7 days after the first immunization. On day 14, the alpaca was immunized again with 100 µg Spike, and on day 15 a sample of 120 mL blood was collected from the jugular vein in tubes containing 3.8% sodium citrate as an anti-coagulant. The uncoagulated blood sample was mixed with the same volume of HBSS medium without calcium (Gibco), divided into aliquots of 10 mL and each aliquot was added on top of a 5 mL of Ficoll–Paque Premium (GE Healthcare) in 15 mL sterile Falcon tubes. After centrifugation (1200 rpm, 80 min, RT), the PBMC fraction was recovered from the interphase, washed twice in PBS by centrifugation (1500 rpm, 10 min), resuspended in 4 mL of sterile PBS (Gibco). RNA extraction and cDNA production were performed using the commercial RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) respectively according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Approximately 2 µL of each cDNA synthesis procedure were used as templates in 50 µL PCR reactions with oligonucleotides CALL001 (5´-GGT ACG TGC TGT TGA ACT GTTCC-3´)78 and CALL002 (5´-GGTACGTGCTGTGGAACTGTTC-3´)78. The amplified fragments of ∼ 0.6 kb, corresponding to VH-H2 domains, and ∼ 0.9 kb, corresponding to conventional VH-CH1-CH2 domains, were separated on 1.2% (w/v) low melting agarose gels and the ∼ 0.6 kb band was purified (QIAEX II Gel Extraction kit, Qiagen). This fragment was used as a template in a second PCR reaction with oligonucleotides VHH-5f2 (5´-GTC GTC GCA ACT GCG GCC AGC GCG GC ATG GCT AG CAG GTG CAG CTG GTG GA-3´) and VHH-Not2 (5´-GGA CTA GTG CCG CCG CTG AGG AGA CCG TGA CCT GGG T-3´) to finally obtain the amplified fragments of ∼0.4 kb, corresponding to VHH domains. The amplified VHH fragments were digested with SfiI and NotI restriction enzymes (Thermo Scientific) and ligated into the same sites of the purified vector pNeae2. Ligations were electroporated in E. coli DH10B-T1 R cells obtaining a library size of ∼2.3×10⁶ individual clones, as determined by plating on LB-chloramphenicol agar plates with 2% w/v glucose incubated at 30 ºC, in particular this library covers 60% of the total number of lymphocytes predicted to produce HCAbs in 120 mL of alpaca blood. Less than 0.7% of re-ligated vectors were estimated from a control ligation performed in parallel without the DNA insert. Transformed bacteria were scraped from plates and stored at − 80 ºC in LB broth with 30% glycerol at 2.4×10³ CFU (Colony-forming unit)/mL.

Coupling antigens to beads. 1 mL of NHS-activated sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads were washed with 2 mL of cold 1 mM HCl immediately before use, then washed 5 times with cold sterile PBS. 200 µg of purified protein in PBS 1× was added to the beads and incubated with rotation overnight. Non-reacted groups in the medium were blocked by adding ethanolamine to a final concentration of 0.5 M. Beads were washed 5 times with PBS and stored at 4 ºC.

ELISA. For total IgG detection of alpacas serum, 10 ng of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein diluted in PBS 1× pH 7.4 were bound in each well of the ELISA plate (Serocluster COSTAR), incubated at 37 ºC for 1 h. Followed by a 3×5 min wash with PBS-T (PBS, 0.1% Tween20). Serial dilutions (1:5000 in PBS) of pre and post immunization serum, were incubated at 37 ºC for 1 h. Followed by a 3×5 min wash with PBS-T. Secondary detection when needed was performed with peroxidase-labeled anti-llama IgG1, IgG2 & IgG3 reactive antibody (1:5000 in PBS-T), (Invitrogen, Goat anti-llama IgG (H + L) Secondary Antibody, HRP Catalog # A16060), followed by a 3×5 min wash with PBS-T and visualized using 1-step Ultra TMB-ELISA (ThermoFisher), 5 min at 37 ºC. Signal was measured at 650 nm in a microplate reader after 10 min incubation. For direct detection of Spike and RBD by covalent conjugate W25, 5 mg of W25 nanobody was conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) using Thermo Scientific Pierce Maleimide Activated Horseradish Peroxidase Kit, according manufacturer instructions in order to covalently attach HRP to sulfhydryl groups from nanobody cysteines. 96-well ELISA plates (Nunc MaxiSorp ThermoFisher) were coated with 100 ng of full-length Spike protein or RBD only diluted in PBS 1× pH 7.4, incubated 1 h at 37 ºC, followed by 3×5 min wash with PBS-T and blocking with 2% BSA in PBS-Tween (0.1%) for 1 h. Serial dilutions of and stock W25-HRP [500 ng/µl] were prepare in PBS 1× and further incubated at 37 ºC for 1 h in humid chamber. Followed by a 3×5 min wash with PBS-T and visualized using 100 µL of 1-step Ultra TMB-ELISA (ThermoFisher), was incubated at 37 ºC por 15 min further 5 min at room temperature, directly after 100 µL of STOP Solution (ThermoFisher) were added to every well and signal was measured at 450 nm in a microplate reader.

Density gradient separation. 1 mL of glycerol stock from the library was inoculated in a flask containing 20 mL of LB medium with 25 µg/mL of chloramphenicol and 2% glucose. The flask was incubated (pre-inoculum) overnight at 37 ºC with 200 rpm agitation. The same procedure was repeated with control bacteria that were transformed with a kanamycin resistant plasmid (negative control). The pre-inoculum was pelleted and resuspended in LB medium with 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol and then diluted to OD600 = 0.02 in 100 mL of fresh LB medium with 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol without glucose, incubated at 37ºC with 200 rpm agitation until it reached 0.45–0.6 OD600. IPTG was added to a final concentration of 50 µM to induce protein expression for 3 h at 30 ºC and 200 rpm. OD600 absorbance of library and control bacteria cultures was measured. 50 mL of both cultures was washed three times with 10 mL of filtered PBS. Centrifugation was always performed at 3000g for 5 min. Library culture (chloramphenicol resistant) and negative control (kanamycin resistant) were...
resuspended in a final volume of 10 mL PBS. 2 mL of library culture and 2 mL of negative control cultures were mixed (if OD600nm was different, the volume of the control bacteria was adjusted based on OD in order to add an equal amount of bacteria) and incubated with 300 µL of NHS beads coupled to antigen protein on a 15 mL conical tube on a rocking platform for 30 min at room temperature. The mixture was slowly added on the top of 6 mL of Ficoll (Ficoll-Paque PLUS GE Healthcare) in a 15 mL conical tube, centrifuged at 200g for 1 min. The unbound fraction was discarded (upper fractions), leaving a visible pellet of beads that was resuspended in 4 mL PBS and rotated for 5 min at room temperature. This step was repeated six times. Finally, 1 mL of LB medium was added and incubated for 5 min at room temperature, then 50 µL were plated on LB agar plates with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 2% glucose, 50 µL were plated on LB agar plates with 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol and 2% glucose and the rest in at least two LB chloramphenicol/glucose agar plates, incubated at 37 °C overnight (> 20 h recommended). The colony number of the first two plates were counted as a measurement of specific enrichment of Nanobodies expressing bacteria from the library.

Expression, sub-cloning and protein purification. The selected VH/CDNA fragments were digested with SfiI and NotI restriction enzymes (Thermo Scientific) and ligated into the same sites of the purified vector pHen679. For periplasmic expression the E. coli k6k strain was used. The pHen6-W25 vector was transformed and a single clone was selected from the agar plates and inoculated in 20 mL of liquid LB-medium containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 1% glucose. The bacteria were cultured at 37 °C with agitation for 16 h. The bacteria were then diluted into 1L Terrific Broth (TB) medium containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.1% glucose and incubated at 37 °C to an OD600 of 0.6–0.9. The expression of the Nanobodies was induced by adding 1 µM of IPTG (isopropyl-β-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside) for 20 h at 28 °C. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 8 min at 4 °C. The harvested bacteria were resuspended in a 12 mL TES buffer, diluted 4 times and centrifuged at 8000 rpm at 4 °C to pellet down cell debris. The supernatant was loaded on 5 mL of HisPur Ni–NTA agarose resin which was pre-equilibrated with binding buffer (Tris 50 mM, NaCl 500 mM, imidazole 10 mM pH 7.5). The lysed cells containing His- and myc-tagged Nanobodies were added to the column followed by adding the column’s volume in binding buffer for a total of eight times. The column was washed by adding eightfold the column’s volume with wash buffer (Tris 50 mM, NaCl 500 mM, imidazole 30 mM pH 7.5), and eluted with 15 mL of elution buffer (Tris 50 mM, NaCl 150 mM, 150 mM imidazole, 1 mM DTT pH 7.5). Purified Nanobodies were verified by SDS-PAGE Coomassie staining analysis.

Mammalian expression plasmids encoding W5Fc, W25FcM, SARS VHH72 and MERS VHH-55 with a C-terminal a monomeric human Fc tag were transfected into ExpiCHO cells (Thermofisher) using ExpiFectamine as manufacturer’s recommendation. The supernatants were harvested on 7 days, filtered using 0.22 µM, and purified on protein A column (GE healthcare). Proteins were buffer exchanged and concentrated in PBS pH7.4.

In vitro pulldown assay. 100 µL of recombinant W25 (1 µg/µL) was incubated with 100 µL NHS-activated sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads coupled to SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein plus 800 µL of PBS pH 7.4 and bovine serum albumin coupled beads (negative control) for 1 h at room temperature, followed by 3 × 3 h washes with PBS-T (PBS 0.1% Tween20), 3 × 5 min washes with PBS with 500 mM NaCl and 3 × 5 min washes with PBS. The pulled down material was boiled in Laemmli sample buffer, separated by 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gels and stained with coomassie blue. Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) Spike S1 Protein (RBD) from Trenzyme, Germany was used for the assays.

Cell culture. HeLa cells were maintained at 37 °C in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FCS and 100 units/mL of penicillin and streptomycin. Plasmid transfection was performed in 10 cm plates using 25 µg of DNA, 24 h after transfection cells were split into 96 well plate ~ 10,000 cells per well. Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and media were supplemented with Normocin during transfection (Invivogen).

Dot blot analysis screening. Individual colonies obtained from a density gradient separation protocol were inoculated into 2 mL of LB medium and incubated overnight at 37 °C with 200 rpm agitation. 100 µL of pre-inoculum was added to 1.9 mL of fresh LB medium with 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol, incubated at 37 °C with 200 rpm agitation until it reached OD600 of 0.45–0.6. To induce protein expression, IPTG was added at a final concentration of 50 µM for 3 h at 30 °C and 200 rpm. The culture was pelleted and resuspended in 1 mL PBS with 0.2% TritonX100, sonicated for 10 s at 40% on ice, then centrifuged at 14,000g for 30 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was recovered to obtain a total protein extract from each clone. 1 µL of SARS-CoV2 Spike protein (200 ng/µL) and a E. coli total protein extract were spotted within a pre-marked grid onto a 0.2 µm pore-size nitrocellulose membrane (Merk Millipore). The membrane was left to dry to fix the proteins for 30 min at room temperature. Non-specific sites were blocked with blocking solution (PBS containing 0.1% Tween20 with 5% bovine serum albumin) for 30 min at room temperature with agitation. The blocking solution was discarded, and each membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature with agitation at a dilution of 1:10 for the total protein extract of each clone in 5 mL of TBS-T containing 5% BSA, followed by 3 × 5 min washes with PBS-T. Secondary antibody incubation was performed with Mouse Anti-Myc antibody (9B11, Cell Signalling) (1:3000) in PBS-T containing 5% BSA for 1 h at room temperature, followed by 3 × 5 min washes with PBS-T. After this, the membrane was incubated with a Goat anti-mouse IgG antibody coupled to HRP (Invitrogen) (1:5000) in PBS-T containing 5% BSA, for 1 h at room temperature, followed by 3 × 5 min washes with PBS-T and visualized using the ECL reagent (Pierce).
High content microscopy. Spike-GFP transfected HeLa cells were grown on a 96-well optical plate (ThermoFisher), washed with PBS three times and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 30 min. After fixation, cells were washed with PBS and permeabilized in PBS 0.2% TritonX100. After washing the cells three times in PBS they were incubated to either an extract containing Nanobodies or purified Nanobodies during 45 min at 37 °C. After washing another three times with PBS, a mouse anti-myc antibody (Cell Signaling) was used at 1:3000 and incubated during 45 min at 37 °C. Directly afterward the cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated with an anti-mouse Alexa 647 during 35 min at 37 °C. For nuclei staining, cells were washed with PBS and incubated for 10 min at room temperature with 0.1 mg/mL DAPI. After the final wash, cells were maintained in the 96-well optical plates in 100 µL PBS. Images of fixed cells were acquired with a high content automatic microscope, Celldiscoverer 7 (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany).

Structural integrity and functionality tests using Tycho NT.6. The binding of W25 and the structural integrity of Spike RBD was verified using a label-free thermal shift assay with Tycho NT.6 (NanoTemper Technologies) using intrinsic tryptophan and tyrosine fluorescence. 10 µL solutions of Spike RBD (2 µM), W25 (2 µM) and Spike RBD mixed with W25 (2 µM each) were prepared and loaded into Capillaries Tycho NT.6 (TY-C001, NanoTemper Technologies). The Tycho instrument applied a quick thermal ramp from 35 to 95 °C with a heating rate of 30 °C/min and the unfolding of proteins were monitored through changes in the 350 nm/330 nm fluorescence emission ratio. Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) Spike S1 Protein (RBD) from Trenzyme, Germany was used for the assays.

Binding affinity measurements using MST. The dissociation constant (Kd) between the W25 Nanobody and SARS-CoV-2 S1 of Spike RBD was measured by microscale thermophoresis (MST) using a Monolith NT.115PICO instrument (NanoTemper Technologies). Purified W25 was buffer exchanged into a PBS buffer, pH 7.4, and its concentration was adjusted to 10 µM using UV-absorbance. Next, W25 was fluorocently labeled with the Monolith Protein Labeling Kit RED—NHS 2nd Generation (MO-L011, NanoTemper Technologies) following the protocol established in the manual. Labeled W25 was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min to eliminate precipitates. A 16-point serial dilution series of recombinant Spike RBD (250 nM to 7.6 pM) was applied in PBS buffer containing 0.01% Pluronic F-127 and mixed with a final concentration of 1 nM labeled W25. Affinity measurements were conducted in Premium Capillaries Monolith NT.115 (MO-K025, NanoTemper Technologies) and repeated three times. Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) Spike S1 Protein (RBD) from Trenzyme, Germany was used for the assays.

Plaque reduction neutralisation (PRNT) assay. SARS-CoV-2 isolate QLID02/2020—30/11/2020 (GISAID accession EPI_ISL_407896) and QILDID935/2020—25/03/2020 (GISAID accession EPI_ISL_436097), referred as D614 and G614, respectively, was isolated and obtained from Queensland Health, Brisbane, Australia. Viruses were passaged three times in Vero E6 cells and titrated by focus-forming assay on Vero E6 cells. Serially dilutions of purified nanobody or nanobody fused monomeric Fc were mixed with ~ 250 FFU/well of SARS-CoV-2 viruses and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, mixtures were added to previously-plated E6 monolayer cells and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Cells were then overlaid with 1% (w/v) medium viscosity carboxymethyl cellulose in M199 (Gibco) supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Bovogen) supplemented with 1% Penicillin–Streptomycin (Gibco) and incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO2. After 14 h incubation, overlay was removed, and cells fixed with 80% cold-acetone in PBS for 30 min at −20 °C. Plates were then dried, blocked with blocking buffer containing 1xKPL (SeraCare) and 0.1% PBS-Tween 20 for 1 h and then incubated with 1 µg/mL of human CR3022 anti-spike mAb and followed by 0.2 µg/mL IR-Dye800-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Millennium Science) in blocking buffer. Plates were washed 3 times after antibody incubations by submerging in PBS-T 0.1%Tween-20. Plates were then dried prior to visualizing using Odyssey (LI-COR). Immunoplates were manually counted. The neutralizing antibody titers were defined as the amount of antibody (nM) resulting in a 50% reduction relative to the total number of plaques counted without antibody.

Bioethical approval for animal experimentation. All the animal wellbeing and experiments have been approved by the bioethical committee at the Austral University of Chile authorization 338/2019 and 388/2020.
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