The RNA-induced silencing complex, comprising Argonaute and guide RNA, mediates RNA interference. Here we report the 3.2 Å crystal structure of Kluyveromyces polysporus Argonaute (KpAGO) fortuitously complexed with guide RNA originating from small-RNA duplexes autonomously loaded and processed by recombinant KpAGO. Despite their diverse sequences, guide–RNA nucleotides 1–8 are positioned similarly, with sequence-independent contacts to bases, phosphates and 2′-hydroxyl groups pre-organizing the backbone of nucleotides 2–8 in a near-A-form conformation. Compared with prokaryotic Argonautes, KpAGO has numerous surface-exposed insertion segments, with a cluster of conserved insertions repositioning the N domain to enable full propagation of guide–target pairing. Compared with Argonautes in inactive conformations, KpAGO has a hydrogen-bond network that stabilizes an expanded and repositioned loop, which inserts an invariant glutamate into the catalytic pocket. Mutation analyses and analogies to ribonuclease H indicate that insertion of this glutamate finger completes a universally conserved catalytic tetrad, thereby activating Argonaute for RNA cleavage.

The RNA-induced silencing complex, comprising Argonaute and guide RNA, mediates RNA interference. Here we report the 3.2 Å crystal structure of Kluyveromyces polysporus Argonaute (KpAGO) fortuitously complexed with guide RNA originating from small-RNA duplexes autonomously loaded and processed by recombinant KpAGO. Despite their diverse sequences, guide–RNA nucleotides 1–8 are positioned similarly, with sequence-independent contacts to bases, phosphates and 2′-hydroxyl groups pre-organizing the backbone of nucleotides 2–8 in a near-A-form conformation. Compared with prokaryotic Argonautes, KpAGO has numerous surface-exposed insertion segments, with a cluster of conserved insertions repositioning the N domain to enable full propagation of guide–target pairing. Compared with Argonautes in inactive conformations, KpAGO has a hydrogen-bond network that stabilizes an expanded and repositioned loop, which inserts an invariant glutamate into the catalytic pocket. Mutation analyses and analogies to ribonuclease H indicate that insertion of this glutamate finger completes a universally conserved catalytic tetrad, thereby activating Argonaute for RNA cleavage.

Cleavage activity of budding-yeast AGO

K. polysporus AGO (AgO1) has the four conserved domains (N, PAZ, MID, PIWI) and two linker regions (L1, L2) found in other AGOs (Fig. 1a). It also has an amino-terminal extension, predicted to be disordered, which we removed to facilitate crystallization. The resulting protein, KpAGO, can substitute for the full-length protein when reconstituting RNAi in S. cerevisiae (Fig. 1b).

KpAGO and other budding-yeast AGOs have acidic side chains at the three positions corresponding to active-site residues in slicing-competent AGOs and mRNAs that might also cleave target RNAs. Indeed, after incubation with a single-stranded guide RNA, recombinant KpAGO cleaved a matched target RNA at the expected position (Fig. 1c). To examine whether slicing occurs in vivo, we performed degradome sequencing from a related RNAi-containing yeast, Saccharomyces castellii. This procedure identifies polyadenylated RNAs containing 5′-monophosphates, including products of AGO-catalysed slicing. Many AGO-dependent degradome tags mapped to Y′-element transcripts (major targets of S. castellii RNAi), and these tended to pair to endogenous siRNAs in the register that implicated cleavage across from positions 10–11 of the guide RNA, which was diagnostic of slicing (Supplementary Fig. 2). These results indicate that budding-yeast AGO functions as a slicer during endogenous RNAi, and with the in vitro results establish KpAGO as a eukaryotic slicer suitable for structure–function analyses.

Structural architecture of eukaryotic AGO

We crystallized recombinant KpAGO purified from Escherichia coli. Extensive screening identified several crystals that were free of twinning, one of which diffracted to 3.2 Å resolution. A crystal of selenomethionine-substituted KpAGO yielded reflections suitable for structure determination.
The overall structure of KpAGO resembles the bilobal architecture of its prokaryotic counterparts, but with expansions throughout the protein (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 4). Of the 19 insertion segments not found in prokaryotic AGOs\(^{12,13,17}\), 11 were conserved segments (cS) found in all eukaryotic AGOs, albeit with some differences in secondary structure and length, whereas the remaining eight were variable segments (vS) found in only some eukaryotic AGOs (Supplementary Fig. 1). All insertion segments are external, generating new surfaces for potential interactions with AGO-binding proteins.

**Autonomously loaded guide RNA**

After modelling the KpAGO protein, the \( F_o - F_c \) map revealed continuous residual electron density lying along the nucleic-acid-binding channel (Fig. 2b). This unanticipated density resembled that of an oligonucleotide and could be fit well with an RNA octamer (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 5a). Analysis of end-labelled polynucleotides extracted from soluble and crystalline KpAGO confirmed the presence of small RNAs (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 5b), the high-throughput sequencing of which identified a diverse population with a bimodal length distribution centring at 12 and 17 nucleotides (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5c, d).

The location of the copurifying RNAs suggested that they might represent functional guide RNAs. Supporting this interpretation, they had two features of budding-yeast guide RNAs: 5’ uridine enrichment (Fig. 2e) and the presence of 5’-monophosphate, indicated by both electron density (Fig. 2c) and a phosphatase-sensitive block of 5’-end labelling (Supplementary Fig. 5e)\(^2\). Moreover, the KpAGO preparation sliced an RNA containing a site complementary to a copurifying 17-nucleotide RNA comprising approximately 0.1% of our sequencing reads (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Fig. 5c). Slicing was at the anticipated linkage and sensitive to mismatches to guide nucleotides 10–11. Reactions showed initial burst kinetics, as observed previously for phasing by single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (Supplementary Table 1; representative electron density is shown in Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Figure 1 | Cleavage activity of budding-yeast AGO.** a, Domain architectures of AGO proteins from *T. thermophilus*, *Homo sapiens*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *K. polysporus*. b, RNAi reconstituted in *S. cerevisiae* using K. polysporus genes. Median green fluorescent protein (GFP) intensity is plotted as a fraction of GFP-only control. Error bars, quartiles, dashed line, background fluorescence. c, Cleavage activity of KpAGO RNAs labelled at a cap phosphate (red) and matching the guide (either perfectly or with mismatches to positions 10–11) were incubated with/without (+/−) KpAGO that had been pre-incubated in the presence/absence (+/−) of synthetic guide RNA. Product was resolved on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel alongside cap-labelled synthetic product (left) and RNA standards (migration shown on right; nt, nucleotide).

**Figure 2 | KpAGO architecture and copurifying RNA.** a, KpAGO protein structure, with N (cyan), linker L1 (yellow), PAZ (violet), linker L2 (grey), MID (orange), and PIWI (green) domains in ribbon representation. Constant (cS) and variable (vS) insertion segments, blue and slate, respectively; disordered regions, dotted lines. b, \( F_o - F_c \) map (blue) contoured at 2.8σ around final RNA model (red). d, Nuclease sensitivity of copurifying nucleic acid. End-labelled polynucleotides extracted from the indicated KpAGO samples were either untreated (−) or incubated with RNase (R) or DNase (D) before analysis on a denaturing gel. e, Nucleotide composition and origin of copurifying RNA. Sequences were analysed for enriched or depleted nucleotides (positive or negative bits, respectively) at each of the first eight positions (top). Numbers of sequencing reads mapping along each strand of the KpAGO expression plasmid are indicated (bottom, log scale). f, Cleavage activity guided by copurifying RNA. As in Fig. 1c, except labelled RNAs were designed to match the indicated copurifying RNA. g, Autonomous duplex loading and passenger-strand cleavage. Labelled single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) or ssRNA duplex was incubated with or without KpAGO. h, Autonomous duplex loading and target cleavage. As in Fig. 1c, except KpAGO and RNA concentrations were reduced by 90% and 99.95%, respectively.
for metazoan AGOs31,35–38, although addition of Triton enabled sustained product formation (Supplementary Fig. 5f), perhaps by facilitating a conformational change that promotes product release.

Most copurifying RNAs mapped to the KpAGO expression plasmid (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 6) in a manner suggesting origins from siRNA-like duplexes loaded into KpAGO with subsequent passenger-strand cleavage (Supplementary Fig. 7). For this to occur, KpAGO must be able to load siRNA duplexes in the absence of RISC-loading factors. Indeed, purified KpAGO incubated with an siRNA duplex generated products diagnostic of passenger-strand cleavage (Fig. 2g) and formed active RISC able to slice cognate target RNA (Fig. 2h). Loading was more efficient with duplex than with single-stranded guide and occurred asymmetrically in a manner consistent with preference for 5′ uridine on the guide strand (Fig. 2h and Supplementary Fig. 8a–c).

We conclude that KpAGO can autonomously load an siRNA duplex, lose the passenger strand and then slice targets. This conclusion counters the prevailing view that loading of siRNA duplexes to form functional RISC requires RISC-loading factors11. We suspect that other AGOs might also autonomously load siRNA duplexes and that reports to the contrary resulted from assaying target-RNA slicing under conditions in which AGO retained inhibitory passenger-strand fragments. Autonomous loading explains how KpAGO RISC fortuitously formed in the absence of other RNAi proteins. In contrast to previous preparations of AGO complexes used for structural studies, the formation of KpAGO RISC through loading of a duplex resembles the physiological RISC-assembly pathway. From this perspective, the KpAGO structure reflects the natural state of eukaryotic RISC.

**Figure 3 | Organization of the guide RNA.** a, b, The 5′-nucleotide-binding pockets of KpAGO (a) and TtAGO32 (b). Colours, as in Fig. 2a; protein, ribbon representation; highlighted residues and RNA, stick representation; O2′, O4′ and phosphate, white, cyan and yellow, respectively; hydrogen bonds, dotted lines. c, d, Interactions involving bases and either phosphates (c) or 2′-OH groups (d) of the seed region. Intermolecular (black) and intramolecular (blue) hydrogen bonds, dotted lines; hydrophobic interactions, van der Waals radii. e, Effects of guide-strand modifications on duplex loading and passenger-strand cleavage. KpAGO was incubated with siRNA duplexes with the indicated guide strands: p, 5′ monophosphate; upper case, 2′-OH; lower case bold, 2′-deoxy. The fraction of labelled passenger strand cleaved is plotted (average of three independent replicates; error bars, standard deviations; points connected by smooth curves). f, Superposition of guide-RNA nucleotides 2–8 (red) on A-form RNA (cyan and blue). Dihedral angles (θ) between guide-RNA bases and those of A-form RNA are in parentheses. g, Solvent-exposed seed nucleotides (red). KpAGO surface is rendered, domains coloured as in Fig. 2a.

**Organization of the guide RNA**

Electron density corresponding to the base of nucleotide 1 was smaller than that corresponding to most other positions (Supplementary Fig. 9), which agreed with our sequencing results showing that KpAGO-bound RNAs were diverse but enriched for a 5′ uridine (Fig. 2e). Therefore, we modelled the first nucleotide as uridine and the next seven as adenine (the generic nucleotide used to minimize bias during refinement39) and refined the final structure as a KpAGO–pUAAAAAAAp binary complex (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 10).

The guide-strand nucleotides 2–8 run along the nucleic-acid-binding channel, from the MID domain to the L2 domain. These nucleotides, including their bases, have electron-density quality resembling that of the KpAGO protein, even though this density represents a composite of thousands of different RNAs. Thus, for this segment of the guide RNA, known as the seed region, diverse RNA sequences are all presented in essentially the same orientation. The electron density disappeared after the ninth nucleotide (Fig. 2b, c), even though most copurifying RNAs were longer than nine nucleotides (Supplementary Fig. 5d). This density loss indicates that guide-RNA 3′ halves are either disordered or adopt diverse sequence-specific conformations. In addition, the PAZ domain is not well ordered, as observed in TtAGO complexes in which the PAZ domain has released 5′ ends of the guide39, consistent with the idea that KpAGO holds the guide RNA without assistance from the PAZ domain.

Like prokaryotic AGOs31,35–19,40, KpAGO recognizes the 5′ phosphate of the guide, the notable difference being that KpAGO uses the ammonium group of Lys 939 rather than a divalent cation (despite Mn2+ in the crystallization buffer) to neutralize the negative charge.
resulting from the close juxtaposition of the C-terminal carboxylate and phosphates 1 and 3 (Fig. 3a, b). The inserted carboxy terminus is anchored by Lys 939 and Lys 943 (Fig. 3a), with mutation of either residue impeding guide-RNA binding in Drosophila AGO1 (ref. 28). Another distinct facet involves Arg 1183, which hydrogen bonds with the C-terminal carboxylate and phosphate 4 (Fig. 3c). In the free Neurospora crassa QDE-2 (NQDE-2) MID-PIWI structure the analogous arginine is in a disordered loop, suggesting that guide RNA recruits Arg 1183 to the 5′-phosphate–binding pocket. Notably, conservation of Lys 939 and Arg 1183 is restricted to eukaryotic AGOs (Supplementary Fig. 1).

The Asn 897 main-chain amide interacts with the O2 carboxyl of the uridine at position 1 (Fig. 3a). Because analogous interactions with O2 of cytidine and N3 of purines would be isosteric, this hydrogen bond cannot explain the preference for a 5′ uridine. The preference might instead be attributed to the relatively weak stacking and pairing of uridine, which would facilitate the requisite flipping out of nucleotide 1 during siRNA loading.

KpAGO interacts with phosphates of the seed region primarily using contacts homologous to those observed in prokaryotic AGO complexes (Fig. 3c). Structures of prokaryotic complexes, however, have not revealed intermolecular contacts to the guide-RNA 2′-OH groups. We find that KpAGO forms hydrogen bonds with most 2′-OH groups of the seed, using main-chain atoms at positions 2, 5 and 6 and hydroxyl groups of Thr 1186 and Tyr 681 at positions 4 and 7, respectively (Fig. 3d). We also observe an intra-RNA hydrogen bond between the 2′-OH group at position 3 and O4′ at position 4, a type of interaction proposed to facilitate base-pairing of uridine, which would facilitate the requisite flipping out of nucleotide 1 during siRNA loading.

To examine the contributions of guide-strand 5′ phosphate and 2′-OH groups, we monitored autonomous loading and passenger-strand cleavage of modified siRNA duplexes. Removing the monophosphate or substituting all guide-strand 2′-OH groups with 2′-H (deoxy) greatly impaired activity (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Fig. 11), consistent with observations in transfected human cells. A second intra-RNA hydrogen bond involves the 2′-OH group at position 1 and a non-bridging oxygen of phosphate 2, as previously observed in Archaeoglobus fulgidus PIWI–siRNA complex structures.

To learn more about the 2′-OH groups contributing to this effect, we compared guide RNAs with deoxy substitutions at positions 1, 2–8, 9–14, 15–21 and 22–23. Substitution of the 2′-OH group at position 1 enhanced activity (perhaps by facilitating flipping out of nucleotide 1), whereas substitutions in all other regions impaired activity (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Fig. 11). Deoxy substitution at positions 2–8 impaired activity to a similar degree as at positions 22–23, which are presumably recognized by the PAZ domain. Thus, the 2′-OH groups within the seed region contribute to duplex loading or passenger-strand cleavage. Nonetheless, greater effects were observed at positions 9–14 and 15–21, the understanding of which will require structural studies of additional states along the eukaryotic RISC-assembly pathway.

Together, contacts to the phosphate and 2′-OH groups maintain the sugar–phosphate backbone of the single-stranded guide-RNA seed in a near-A-form conformation resembling that of the siRNA duplex (Fig. 3f). Maintaining this conformation pre-organizes the seed backbone for pairing to the target, as anticipated from studies of microRNA targeting and supported by structural and biophysical studies. Also as anticipated, the bases of the seed nucleotides are stacked, with Watson–Crick faces (particularly those of nucleotides 2–4) displayed to solvent and accessible to nucleate pairing to target RNA (Fig. 3g).

The surprising feature of the guide-RNA conformation was the tilting of the bases away from the orientation required for helical pairing (Fig. 3f). KpAGO makes hydrophobic contacts with the bases at positions 2, 5 and 6 while anchoring the sugar–phosphate backbone (Fig. 3c, d). Base 2 packs against Tyr 932 (Fig. 3d), which is conserved as Tyr or Thr in eukaryotic AGOs (Supplementary Fig. 1) and thus might represent a conserved hydrophobic interaction that facilitates the flipping out of nucleotide 1 by preventing its stacking on base 2. As observed in structures of prokaryotic AGO complexes, base 2 is recognized at N3 (purines) or O2 (pyrimidines) by the side chain of Asn 935 (Fig. 3d), which is conserved throughout all AGOs. Bases 5 and 6 are surrounded by a hydrophobic pocket comprising Ile 682, Ala 686, Leu 1147 and Lys 1148 (Fig. 3d). Bases 3 and 4 make no contact with KpAGO but are nonetheless tilted because of continuous stacking of the seed bases (Fig. 3c, d). Untilting of the seed stack, which would accompany nucleation of target pairing at positions 2–4, might disfavour contacts to Ile 682 and neighbouring residues, thereby facilitating repositioning of α16, a helix that would otherwise block full seed pairing. Such changes in base tilting and α16 might communicate the presence of target RNA.

**Potentially unobstructed guide–target pairing**

To compare the architectures of eukaryotic and prokaryotic AGOs, we structurally aligned each domain of KpAGO on its TtAGO counterpart. Except for the N domain, each of the domains superimposed well (Supplementary Fig. 12). The structural difference between the N domains is attributed to cS1, cS3 and vS2 (Fig. 4a, b), cS1 and cS3 cluster together with cS7 and cS10 (Supplementary Fig. 13a) such that they bury a space observed in prokaryotic AGO structures and concomitantly lengthen the nucleic-acid–binding channel (Fig. 4c, d). These insertion segments interact with the L2-linker and PIWI domains through a hydrogen-bond network involving residues that are conserved throughout eukaryotic AGOs (Supplementary Figs 1 and 13a), which indicates that an extended nucleic-acid–binding channel is a general feature of eukaryotic AGOs.

In all crystallized conformations of TtAGO, the N domain blocks the channel and prevents propagation of guide–target pairing beyond position 16 (ref 19) (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 13c, d). In addition to lengthening the nucleic-acid-binding channel, the cS1/3/10 cluster positions the KpAGO N domain such that a slight widening of the channel would allow pairing to propagate to the 3′ end of the guide RNA (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 13b). The potential for unobstructed propagation of guide–target pairing is consistent with the prevalence of pairing throughout the 3′ region of plant small RNAs that guide target cleavage and the contribution of such pairing to the stability of guide–target association in vitro.

**Figure 4** | An extended, potentially unobstructed nucleic-acid-binding channel in KpAGO. a, b, Position of N domain (cyan) relative to L1-linker domain (yellow) in TtAGO (a) and KpAGO (b). Domains are oriented based on their N-terminal beta strands (dashed line connects strand termini). Colours, as in Fig. 2a. c, d, Channels of TtAGO ternary complex (c) and KpAGO with modelled A-form duplex (d). Protein surfaces are rendered, highlighting distances between the N and PAZ domains (parallel lines) and the cS1/3/10 cluster (blue), which fills a cavity (dashed circle).
Glu1013 completes a catalytic tetrad

When comparing the structures of KpAGO and the free NcQDE-2 MID-PIWI lobe, we observed notable differences in loops L1 and L2 (Supplementary Table 3). In KpAGO, loop L2 expands by partial unfolding of α25 (Fig. 5a) and packs into a cavity, such that the invariant Glu 1013 side chain inserts into the catalytic pocket, near the three Asp residues of the active site (Fig. 5b). This conformation is enabled by the movement of loop L1, which otherwise blocks access to the catalytic pocket (Fig. 5c). Opening of the loop L1 gate in KpAGO is accompanied by a conformational transition of cS11 and hydrophobic packing between aliphatic side chains on loop L1 and cS11. Notably, deletion of cS11 from Drosophila AGO1 inhibits guide-RNA binding and abolishes silencing activity.

The plugged-in conformation, in which the Glu 1013 finger is inserted into the catalytic pocket, is stabilized by an extensive hydrogen-bond network, with Glu 1013 bridging His 977 and Arg 1045, and loop L2 main-chain atoms interacting with Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (Fig. 5b). These four residues are conserved throughout eukaryotic AGOs (and even most of the PIWI clade; Supplementary Fig. 1). Glu 1013, Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (Fig. 5b). These four residues are conserved throughout prokaryotic AGOs, prompting a search for a similar hydrogen-bond network, with Glu 1013 bridging His 977 and Arg 1045, and loop L2 main-chain atoms interacting with Arg 1045. When comparing the structures of KpAGO and the free NcQDE-2 MID-PIWI lobe, we observed notable differences in loops L1 and L2, with the plugged-in conformation in the available crystal structures 12,13,17–19,28.

Notably, deletion of cS11 from Drosophila AGO1 inhibits guide-RNA binding and abolishes silencing activity.

The plugged-in conformation, in which the Glu 1013 finger is inserted into the catalytic pocket, is stabilized by an extensive hydrogen-bond network, with Glu 1013 bridging His 977 and Arg 1045, and loop L2 main-chain atoms interacting with Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (Fig. 5b). These four residues are conserved throughout eukaryotic AGOs (and even most of the PIWI clade; Supplementary Fig. 1). Glu 1013, Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (Fig. 5b). These four residues are conserved throughout prokaryotic AGOs, prompting a search for a similar hydrogen-bond network, with Glu 1013 bridging His 977 and Arg 1045, and loop L2 main-chain atoms interacting with Arg 1045. When comparing the structures of KpAGO and the free NcQDE-2 MID-PIWI lobe, we observed notable differences in loops L1 and L2, with the plugged-in conformation in the available crystal structures 12,13,17–19,28.

Notably, deletion of cS11 from Drosophila AGO1 inhibits guide-RNA binding and abolishes silencing activity.

The plugged-in conformation, in which the Glu 1013 finger is inserted into the catalytic pocket, is stabilized by an extensive hydrogen-bond network, with Glu 1013 bridging His 977 and Arg 1045, and loop L2 main-chain atoms interacting with Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (Fig. 5b). These four residues are conserved throughout eukaryotic AGOs (and even most of the PIWI clade; Supplementary Fig. 1). Glu 1013, Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (Fig. 5b). These four residues are conserved throughout prokaryotic AGOs, prompting a search for a similar hydrogen-bond network, with Glu 1013 bridging His 977 and Arg 1045, and loop L2 main-chain atoms interacting with Arg 1045. When comparing the structures of KpAGO and the free NcQDE-2 MID-PIWI lobe, we observed notable differences in loops L1 and L2, with the plugged-in conformation in the available crystal structures 12,13,17–19,28.
activity. On the basis of analogy to RNase H, a fourth catalytic residue had been suspected, but previous searches for this missing component had focused on the residues corresponding to Arg 1045 and Glu 1060 (refs 12, 13, 31, 46 and 47), whose conservation and proximity to the catalytic pocket are now explained instead by their roles in stabilizing the plugged-in conformation (Fig. 5b). In support of the glutamate finger as the missing catalytic residue that helps to coordinate an active-site metal ion (either directly or through outer-sphere contacts), the putative DEDD catalytic tetrad in the plugged-in conformations of both TtAGO and KpAGO are nearly isosetric with the RNase H DEDD tetrad (Fig. 5h). Moreover, when we assayed RNAs, only mutation of Glu 1013 abrogated RNAi to the extent observed for mutation of Asp 1046, a previously identified active-site residue (Fig. 5g). Thus, we propose that the glutamate finger constitutes the second residue of a universally conserved RNase H-like DEDX catalytic tetrad at the active site of slicing AGOs.

Our new insights suggest the following model for AGO loading and catalysis. The apo protein in the unplugged conformation binds the siRNA duplex, in part using contacts between the two-nucleotide overhang of the guide strand and the PAZ domain. As the duplex loads and the 3’ end of the guide strand is released from the PAZ domain, the glutamate finger inserts into the active site, thereby completing the DEDX catalytic tetrad to enable cleavage of the passenger strand. After discarding the passenger-strand fragments, the resulting RISC remains in a plugged-in conformation resembling that of the current structure and is competent to bind and cleave suitably paired target RNAs.

While our manuscript was in review, a structure of human AGO2 (HsAGO2; also known as EIF2C2) with RNA of unknown biochemical origin and function was reported. The authors noted many contacts to the RNA 5’ monophosphate and sugar–phosphate backbone analogous to those of KpAGO. Our inspection of the HsAGO2 structure further revealed that it also has an extended nucleic-acid-binding channel, an N domain positioned to allow unobstructed guide–target pairing, and a plugged-in glutamate finger that completes a DEDD catalytic tetrad (Supplementary Fig. 14). These similarities indicate that the HsAGO2 structure (for which conserved residues were mutated to improve X-ray data) has features of active RISC and that studies of KpAGO will continue to provide insights relevant to metazoan AGOs.

In contrast to RNase H, which forms its active site during initial folding, AGO requires a conformational change to form its active site. What might explain this difference between these two related RNases? The constitutive active site of RNase H is well-suited to its role in nonspecifically cleaving RNA–DNA hybrids, whereas proper AGO function requires high specificity. Coupling siRNA duplex loading (in part through recognition by the PAZ domain) with active-site formation imparts specificity to AGO, thereby preventing it from cleaving any base-paired RNA. After passenger-strand cleavage and removal, activity of the licensed AGO is restricted by its guide RNA. In this way, AGO activity is tightly controlled and spurious endonucleolytic cleavage is prevented. The previous view was that among proteins adopting the RNase H fold, RNase H enzymes were unique in having a catalytic tetrad, whereas the related endonucleases of this protein superfamily (including AGO) were missing the active-site residue corresponding to Glu 1013 (ref. 48). Our findings revising this view imply that some other proteins for which only a catalytic triad has hitherto been identified (for example, bacterial UvrC DNA repair protein) might also use the conditional insertion of a ‘missing’ catalytic residue to impart specificity.

**METHODS SUMMARY**

KpAGO was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* as a His-SUMO-tagged fusion. Native and SeMet-substituted crystals were obtained by sitting-drop vapour diffusion at 20 °C. The phase was determined by the single-wavelength anomalous dispersion method with selenium anomalous signals. Cleavage assays were performed with synthetic or transcribed RNA in 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 130 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 1.1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1.3 mM diethanolthiol (DTT) and 5% glycerol, including 0.1% Triton X-100 where indicated. Yeast manipulations, in vivo assays and high-throughput sequencing were essentially as described previously[26,31]. Details of all procedures are listed in Methods.

Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.
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METHODS

Protein purification. DNA encoding K. polysporus AGO1 (Thr207–Ile1251) was cloned into a modified pRSFΔuet vector (Novagen) containing an aminoterminal Ulp1-cleavable His6–SUMO tag. Protein was overexpressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) Rosetta2 (Novagen). Cell extract was prepared using a French press in buffer A (10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.3, 1.5 M NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride) and cleared by centrifugation. The supernatant was loaded onto a nickel column (GE Healthcare) and then washed with buffer A. The target protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 0.0–2.0 M NaCl, mixed with ammonium sulphate (2 M final concentration) and then centrifuged. The supernatant was loaded onto a phenyl-Sepharose hydrophobic interaction column (GE Healthcare) in buffer B (10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.3, 2 M ammonium sulphate, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol), and the protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 2.0–0.0 M ammonium sulphate. The eluted target was dialysed against buffer C (300 mM sodium dihydrogen phosphate, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and then loaded onto a MonoQ column (GE Healthcare) in buffer E. The protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 0.0–2.0 M NaCl. The eluted sample was concentrated by ultrafiltration and loaded onto a HiLoad 200 16/60 column (GE Healthcare) in buffer F (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTTP). Purified KpAGO was concentrated to approximately 40 mg ml⁻¹ using ultrafiltration and stored at −80°C in protein storage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTTP).

Structure determination and refinement. Initial crystals of recombinant KpAGO diffracted poorly but could be improved by addition of 1,4-dioxane to the crystallization buffer. Native crystals of KpAGO were obtained at 20°C by sitting-drop vapour diffusion in 100 mM MIB buffer pH 5.0 (molar ratio, 2 Na-malonate:3 imidazole:3 boric acid), 3% 1,4-dioxane, 19% PEG3350, 12 mM MnCl2 and 3% ethanol. SeMet-substituted crystals were grown at 20°C by sitting-drop vapour diffusion in 100 mM MIB buffer pH 5.0, 3% 1,4-dioxane, 19% PEG3350, 12 mM MnCl2, 3% ethanol and 9 mM sarcosine. The native and SeMet-substituted crystals of KpAGO were soaked in collection buffer (1.2-fold concentrated reservoir solution) and cryoprotected with 20% glycerol. Both derivative data sets were collected at the Advanced Photon Source NE-CAT beamlines. Data were processed with HKL2000<sup>48</sup>. Data collection and refinement statistics are listed (Supplementary Table 1). A total of 33 selenium sites were found using peak data with HKL2MAP<sup>49</sup> and were used for phase calculation at 4.2 Å resolution with Phaser-EP<sup>50</sup>. The initial phases were improved by solvent flattening, electron density histogram and non-crystallographic symmetry averaging with Parrot<sup>51</sup>. The map was calculated with 30% of the data and used for model building with Coot<sup>52</sup>. The model was refined manually with Coot<sup>52</sup> and was improved by iterative cycles of refinement with Phenix<sup>53</sup>. Molecular replacement was performed with MOLREP<sup>54</sup> using the SeMet structure as a search model. The final model was improved using the native data processed at 3.2 Å. The Ramachandran plot analysis by PROCHECK<sup>55</sup> showed 82.0%, 17.3% and 0.8% of the protein residues in the most favourable, additionally allowed and generously allowed regions, respectively, with no residues in disallowed regions. The simulated-annealing omit map was calculated by CNS<sup>56</sup>. All figures of structures were generated with PyMOL<sup>57</sup>.

RNAs. A list of RNA oligonucleotide sequences is provided (Supplementary Table 4). To generate cap-labelled target RNAs, RNA was transcribed in vitro with T7 RNA polymerase using DNA oligonucleotide templates. DNase-treated transcripts were purified on a denaturing gel and capped using the ScriptCap m<sub>7</sub>G<sub>3</sub>-Capping System (CellScript) according to the manufacturer’s directions, except that high-specific-activity RNA was prepared by omitting GTP and including 5 μl [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]-ATP (6,000 Ci mmol⁻¹), and low-specific-activity RNA was prepared by using a 1,500:1 molar ratio of GTP:[γ-<sup>32</sup>P]-GTP (6,000 Ci mmol⁻¹). Cap-labelled RNA was gel-purified and quantified by scintillation counting, and 10× stocks were prepared in dsRNA storage buffer supplemented with 1 μM DNA carrier oligonucleotide.

AGO activity assays. For all biochemical assays, KpAGO was diluted and stored at −80°C in protein dilution buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM DTT, 50% glycerol). The concentration of KpAGO was determined by absorbance at 280 nm. For the slicing assay in Fig. 1c, 1.1 μM KpAGO was pre-incubated with 110 nM guide RNA in 1:1× reaction buffer (1:1× reaction buffer: 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 130 mM KCl, 1.1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA) for 1 h at 25°C. To initiate the slicing reaction, 1 μl cap-labelled target RNA (final concentration, 200 nM) was added to 9 μl of the pre-incubated mixture. Reactions were incubated at 30°C, and 5 μl aliquots were removed at the indicated time and quenched by addition to 12 μl formamide loading buffer (95% formamide, 18 mM EDTA, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulphate, 0.025% xylene cyanol, 0.025% bromophenol blue). The slicing assay in Fig. 2h was conducted similarly except pre-incubation was performed with 110 nM KpAGO and 50 PM guide RNA, and target was subsequently added to a final concentration of 100 PM. The slicing assay in Fig. 2f was guided by copurifying RNA and thus did not involve pre-incubation. These reactions contained 1× reaction buffer supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, 100 nM KpAGO (or an equal volume of protein dilution buffer) and 10 PM target RNA. Reactions were incubated at 30°C, and 5 μl aliquots were removed at the indicated time and quenched by addition to 15 μl formamide loading buffer. The passenger-strand cleavage reactions in Fig. 3e contained 1× reaction buffer, 100 μg ml⁻¹ Ultrapure BSA (Ambion), 10 nM KpAGO and 50 PM substrate. All other passenger-strand cleavage reactions contained 1× reaction buffer supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, 100 nM KpAGO (or an equal volume of protein dilution buffer) and 50 PM substrate. Reactions were incubated at 30°C, and 5 μl aliquots were removed at the indicated time and quenched by addition to 10–15 μl formamide loading buffer.

To monitor cleavage, RNAs were resolved on denaturing (7.5 M urea) polyacrylamide gels (15% gel for target cleavage using synthetic guide RNA, 20% for target cleavage using copurifying guide RNA or 22.5% for passenger-strand cleavage), and radiolabelled products were visualized by phosphorimaging (FujiFilm BAS-2500) and quantified using Multi Gauge (FujiFilm). For kinetic analyses, at each time point (t) the fraction product was measured as F<sub>p</sub> = product/(product + substrate). Data in Fig. 3e were fit with a smoothed curve using the cubic spline method implemented in KaleidaGraph.

Analysis of copurifying RNA. To avoid loss of especially small fragments, polynucleotides were extracted without subsequent precipitation. For analysis of pooled crystals, approximately 100 crystals were collected, stored in harvest buffer (1 M MIB buffer pH 5.0, 3% 1,4-dioxane, 250 mM NaCl, 5% ethanol, 6 mM sarcosine, 25% glycerol). The mixture was diluted with an equal volume of water and extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (25:24:1, Sigma) followed by extraction with chloroform. The aqueous phase was retained and diluted 1:20 in water for use in labelling reactions or used undiluted to prepare sequencing libraries.

For analysis of soluble protein, polynucleotides were similarly extracted from 1.5 nmol KpAGO. For analysis of individual crystals, each single crystal was collected, stored in 1 mM EDTA and immediately frozen. After thawing, the mixture was heated at 90°C for 3 min, chilled on ice for 5 min and extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (25:24:1, Sigma) followed by two extractions with chloroform. The aqueous phase was retained and used undiluted in labelling reactions.

Prior to 5′ labelling, polynucleotides were dephosphorylated in a 20 μl reaction containing 2 μl diluted polynucleotides (or water) and 1× PNK buffer (NEB) in the presence or absence of 2 units of thermosensitive alkaline phosphatase (TSAP, Promega) for 30 min at 37°C. To inactivate TSAP, the reaction was quenched with 1 μl 220 mM EDTA and incubated at 74°C for 15 min. 5′ phosphorylation was performed in a 30 μl reaction containing 21 μl heat-inactivated TSAP reaction, 3 units T4 PNK (NEB), 0.04 μl [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]-ATP (8,000 Ci mmol⁻¹), 0.8 μl 10× PNK buffer and 1 μl 240 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> for 1 h at 37°C. Reactions were cooled and phenol/chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (25:24:1, Sigma) followed by two extractions with chloroform. The aqueous phase was retained and used undiluted in labelling reactions.

siRNA duplexes were prepared by annealing synthetic siRNAs. Complementary RNAs designed to hybridize to generate 21-base-pair duplexes with two-nucleotide 3′ overhangs, were combined (using at least threefold excess unlabelled RNA) in dsRNA annealing buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) and slow-cooled from 90°C to room temperature over >2 h. Annealed RNAs were separated from ssRNAs on native 20% polyacrylamide gels, and duplexes were eluted from gel slices in 0.3 M NaCl stock solution and subjected to scintillation counting, and 10× stocks were prepared in dsRNA storage buffer supplemented with 1 μM DNA carrier oligonucleotide.
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To monitor preparation of sequencing libraries, trace amounts of synthetic 3‘-pCP[5‘-3‘P]-labelled 7- and 24-nucleotide RNA internal standards were added to 2 μl undiluted polyadenylates isolated from soluble or crystalline KpAGO (or a water-only mock control). Dephosphorylation was performed in a 30 μl reaction containing 3 units TSP (Promega) and 1 X PNK buffer (NEB) supplemented with 2 μl manganese-chelating mix (10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM EDTA) for 30 min at 37°C. To inactivate TSP, the reaction was quenched with 1.5 μl 240 mM EDTA and incubated at 74°C for 15 min. RNA was ligated to preadenylated adaptor DNA in a 50 μl reaction containing 32 μl heat-inactivated TSP reaction, 100 pmol adaptor DNA, 45 units T4 RNA ligase 1 (NEB), 10% PEG8000 (NEB), 2 μl 10X PNK buffer and 1 μl 390 mM MgCl2 for 2.5 h at room temperature. After phenol extraction and precipitation, 28–50-nucleotide ligations were gel-purified and 5‘-phosphorylated in a 50 μl reaction containing 20 units T4 PNK (NEB) and 1X PNK buffer supplemented with 1 μl [γ-32P]-ATP (6,000 Ci mmol−1) for 30 min at 37°C, followed by a chase with 10 μl cold reaction mixture (1X PNK buffer, 28 units T4 PNK, 6 mM ATP) and incubation for an additional 30 min at 37°C. After desalting, phenol extraction and precipitation, RNA was ligated to a 5‘-adapter RNA, gel-purified, converted to complementary DNA, amplified 10 cycles (soluble) or 12 cycles (crystalline) and sequenced using the Illumina SBS platform. The library prepared without input polyadenylates did not yield an observable PCR product, indicating minimal contamination from polyadenylates that might copurify with the enzymes used for library construction.

Sequencing reads were filtered by requiring that they contain a perfect match to the first 12 nucleotides of the 3‘ adapter and that every nucleotide up to the beginning of the 3‘ adapter have a Phred+64 quality score of at least 5/7. After removing the internal-standard reads and trimming away the adapter sequences, reads representing the small RNAs were collapsed to a non-redundant set of 8–24-nucleotide sequences. To examine the origins of the copurifying RNAs, 15–24-nucleotide sequences were mapped sequentially to the KpAGO expression plasmid, the chloramphenicol-resistance gene found on pRARE2, and the BL21 (DE3) genome48, allowing no mismatches and recovering all hits. (The 15-nucleotide lower bound for mapping was chosen because this was the minimum read length that achieved a <1% genome-mapping rate for random or shuffled small-RNA sequences). Because there were fewer fortuitous matches to the KpAGO expression plasmid, analysis of 12-nucleotide sequences was performed on reads that mapped to the plasmid. For mapping-independent analyses, sequences with <10 reads were not considered.

For analysis of nucleotide composition, information content was calculated by determining the relative frequency of each nucleotide at position X compared to the relative frequency at all other positions combined. The selectivity for a given nucleotide n at position X was calculated using the following equation:

$$S_n = \left[\frac{\sum_i A_{i,n} \cdot G_{i,n} \cdot (f_i(X) \cdot f(X))}{(f_i(X) \cdot f(X))} \right]^{-1}$$

where f(X) is the frequency of nucleotide i at position X and f(X) is the frequency of nucleotide i at all other positions.

Information content scores were then calculated using the following equation:

$$I_n = S_n \times \log_2(S_n + 2)$$

For phasing analysis, the frequency of distances separating 5‘-end pairs (i, j) mapping to opposite DNA strands was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Frequency}_{ij} = \sum_{\text{reads}_{ij}} \min(\text{reads}_i, \text{reads}_j)$$

where \(D = \text{(distance between small-RNA 5‘ ends)} + 1\)

**Yeast manipulations.** S. castellii and S. cerevisiae were grown at 25°C and 30°C, respectively, on standard S. cerevisiae plate and liquid media (for example, YPD and SC). Transformations of S. castellii were performed as described previously55. Transformations of S. cerevisiae were performed as described47. For FACS analyses, strains were inoculated in SC, in either non-inducing (2% glucose) or inducing (1% galactose and 1% raffinose) conditions, and grown overnight. Strains were inoculated in SC, in either non-inducing (2% glucose) or inducing (1% galactose and 1% raffinose) conditions, and grown overnight.
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