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Abstract
Background: Osteoporosis is characterized by a low bone mass of bone tissue. If osteoporosis is not treated properly, it will increase the high risk of fracture. The common causes of fracture on osteoporosis condition due to falls. This study aims to find the correlation between the risk of osteoporosis with fall risk (ONTARIO) based on osteoporosis fracture risk (FRAX).

Methods: This study is an analytic study with a cross-sectional method. We collected the sample using random cluster sampling in the six primary health care in Malang on different times service since August–September 2021. Total patient 139, however only 132 patients were included in this study. After collecting data is complete, we analyze using Chi-square tests.

Results: The mean age of participants was 63.9 ± 7.14. with the age group was dominated by the range of 60–64. It was found that the result of the FRAX SCORE had a low-risk category for major fracture osteoporosis and risk hip fracture. In contrast, from the OSTA score in this study, more than 68 participants (50.8%) were found medium and high-risk scores. Then, in ONTARIO score of the risk fall assessment, and high score in 57 participants (43.2%). If compared between OSTA and ONTARIO, there was a significant relationship between OSTA score and ONTARIO score (p < 0.000) with high-risk OSTA have a significant relationship with a high risk of falling and vice versa.

Conclusion: In this study, there was a relationship between the risk of high osteoporosis and the risk of falling.
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Introduction
Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disease that is characterized by low bone mass and microarchitecture degeneration of bone tissue, leading to an increase in bone fragility and fracture risk.1 When osteoporosis is not treated properly, it will increase the high risk of fracture.2 Many causes of fractures in osteoporosis occur among the elderly, one of which is fall.3 It was contributed to serious problems in nearly 90% of hip fractures.4 A study was reported by US General Surgeon that an estimated 10 million people over 50 years old have osteoporosis, subsequently 1.5 million people leading to fracture each year.5 Therefore, osteoporosis will become a serious socio-economic problem in the future.6

The World Health Organization (WHO) definition osteoporosis by Bone Mineral Density (BMD)7 that is the gold...
standard predictor for risk of fracture osteoporosis and also to determine the initiate ideally for treatment.8,9 Therefore, BMD measurements are not widely available in some primary health care, and also the high cost of BMD may limit its broad adoption in some of the populations.10 More recently, system scoring was recommended for detecting clinical risk factors of fracture osteoporotic such as FRAX SCORE (FS) without BMD2,11 FS without BMD not only good predicted for evaluating risk of osteoporotic fracture, but also for identifying post menopause fracture.12 On the other hand, there was OSTA SCORE (OS) system, that more simple and inexpensive screening tools for elderly needs to find risk of osteoporosis that cause majority fracture in elderly Asia.9,11

Previous studies mention that common causes of fracture on osteoporosis condition due to falls.4,13 Past fall or risk of falling is important to notice, in the FS is neither included as an input variable in the FRAX formula14 Nor OSTA Formula. In addition, Limited studies that elaborate the epidemiological description between the risk of falling on elderly based on the scoring system risk of osteoporotic fractures such as FRAX and OSTA scores. Therefore, this study aims to find the correlation between osteoporosis risk (with OSTA score) with fall risk (using Ontario score) based on osteoporosis fracture risk (using FRAX score without BMD). The authors hope that this research could determine recommendations on policies and standards for primary health care facilities.

Materials and methods

Participants

This study was approved by ethical committee of medical research RSUD Dr. Saiful Anwar with number 400/214/K.3/302/2021 and all of this study were carried out according to the relevant guidelines and regulations. This study is an analytic study with a cross-sectional method. Research variables were observed without any intervention. The subject of this study was all elderly who visited the primary health care when the annual community service is held in August–September 2021. Male and female who came to primary health care, following informed consent, completed a short self-reported questionnaire. The sample was collected by random cluster sampling. We collected sample by using randomly selecting random cluster sampling in the six primary health care in Malang on different times. This method intends to minimize bias and secure samples that can implement the subject of study. We use the Lemeshow formula to get the minimum sampling, and we are obtained for a minimum sample of 96 participants.

Criteria inclusion

The main inclusion criteria in this study included patients over 50 years old, both male or female, with no history of malignancy, or who routinely consume steroid drugs. However, the exclusion criteria were patients with a history of fracture 6 months prior study and refuse to give consent for this study. Total patient 139, however only 132 patients were included in this study (Figure 1).

Outcome

All participants who came to primary health care when the annual community service is held on August–September, 2021 were invited, fill in informed consent and complete a self-questionnaire. To identify the risk of fall we use ONTARIO score which are commonly used to asses fall risk on elderly.15 Demographic data were collected age and sex, weight, and height. BMI measurement was performed immediately when the participant arrived in primary health care. The weight and height measurement were assessed by a medical doctor. However, FRAX, OSTA, and ONTARIO score were filled by participants. Low and high risk of FRAX scores were determined by the recommendation for initiation of treatment at a FRAX score of more than 3% for hip fractures and 20% for major osteoporotic fractures. If below 3% in hip fractures is defined as low risk, and below 20% in major osteoporotic fractures is interpreted as low. However, the OSTA score was stratified into low risk (OSTA \( \geq -1 \)), medium (\(-1 \geq \text{OSTA} \geq -4 \)), and High risk (\( \text{OSTA} < -4 \)). Lastly, ONTARIO score indicated patients at a high risk of falling have score of nine or more.

Analysis

After the primary data collection is complete, we analyze the result of the FRAX and OSTA score by two independent assessors who were not involved at the time collecting data. In the end, the data was compiled and analyzed using SPSS version 25.0 with used Chi-square tests to assess the frequency differences. The result of the analysis is shown descriptively using tables.
Table 1. Distribution of variable.

| Variable          | Category       | Sex       | Frequency |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Gender            |                | Male (43.4%) | Female (57.2%) | 100 |
| BMI               | Underweight    | 1 (20.0%)    | 4 (80.0%)    | 5 (100)    |
|                   | Normal         | 11 (41.1%)   | 13 (48.8%)   | 24 (100)   |
|                   | Overweight     | 12 (44.0%)   | 13 (56.0%)   | 25 (100)   |
|                   | Obese          | 2 (20.0%)    | 8 (80.0%)    | 10 (100)   |
| OSTA SCORE        | Low            | 27 (40.9%)   | 39 (59.1%)   | 66 (100)   |
|                   | Medium         | 7 (53.8%)    | 6 (46.2%)    | 13 (100)   |
|                   | High           | 20 (37.7%)   | 33 (62.3%)   | 53 (100)   |
| ONTARIO SCORE     | Low            | 34 (45.3%)   | 41 (54.7%)   | 75 (100)   |
|                   | High           | 20 (35.1%)   | 37 (64.9%)   | 57 (100)   |
| FRAX SCORE WITHOUT BMD | Low | 54 (40.9%)   | 78 (59.1%)   | 132 (100) |
|                   | High           | 0 (0.0%)     | 0 (0.0%)     | 0 (0.0%)   |

Table 2. Characteristic between Age and FRAX, OSTA, and ONTARIO.

| Age range | 50–54 | 55–59 | 60–64 | 65–69 | 70–74 | 75–79 | 80–84 | Sig |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Total     | 13 (9.8) | 26 (19.7) | 42 (31.8) | 22 (16.7) | 13 (9.8) | 12 (9.1) | 4 (3.0) | 0.468 |
| Male/Female | 2/11 | 12/14 | 19/23 | 11/11 | 5/8 | 4/8 | 1/3 |
| Scoring System | Category | 50–54 | 55–59 | 60–64 | 65–69 | 70–74 | 75–79 | 80–84 | Sig |
| OSTA SCORE | Low | 10 (15.2) | 17 (25.8) | 24 (36.4) | 10 (15.2) | 3 (4.5) | 1 (1.5) | 1 (10.5) | 0.003 |
|            | Medium | 0 (0) | 1 (7.7) | 7 (53.8) | 3 (23.1) | 0 (0) | 2 (15.4) | 0 (0) |
|            | High | 3 (5.7) | 8 (15.1) | 11 (20.8) | 9 (17.0) | 10 (18.9) | 9 (17.0) | 3 (5.7) |
| ONTARIO SCORE | Low | 8 (10.7) | 19 (25.3) | 28 (37.3) | 12 (16.0) | 6 (8.0) | 2 (2.7) | 0 (0) | 0.005 |
|            | High | 5 (8.8) | 7 (12.3) | 14 (24.6) | 10 (17.5) | 7 (12.3) | 10 (17.5) | 4 (3.0) |
| FRAX SCORE | Category | Risk of Major | Low | 13 (9.8) | 26 (19.7) | 42 (31.8) | 22 (16.7) | 13 (9.8) | 12 (9.1) | 4 (3.0) | - |
|            | Osteoporotic | High | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
|            | Risk of Hip Fracture | Low | 13 (11) | 25 (21.2) | 42 (35.6) | 21 (17.8) | 11 (9.3) | 5 (4.2) | 1 (0.8) | 0.000 |
|            | High | 0 (0) | 1 (7.1) | 0 (0) | 1 (7.1) | 2 (14.3) | 7 (50) | 3 (21.4) |

Result

In September, 2021 Total participants was 132 participants, there were 54 (40.9%) male and 23 (59.1%) female enrolled in this study. Subject age groups were according to WHO categorization system. The mean age of participants was 63.9 ± 7.14. with the age group was dominated by the range of 60–64 that are 42 participants (31.8%), Then the lowest age is 50 years old with two participants (1.5%), and highest age was 84 years old with one participant (0.8%) (Table 1). Furthermore, BMI in this study was dominated by a normal range of more than 50% (n = 73 participants), and then overweight with 44 (33.3%) participants, obese 10 participants (7.6%), and the rest were underweighted five (3.8%) participants.

In this study, it was found that the result of the FRAX SCORE, majority of 132 participants (100%) had a low-risk category for major fracture osteoporosis. While for the risk of hip fracture, 118 (89.4%) participants were grouped into the low group, and the remaining 14 were in the high group. Hereafter, in this study more than 68 participant (50.8%) were found medium and high-risk score. Lastly, ONTARIO Score in this study majority low Score with 75 participants (56.8%), and high score in 57 participants (43.2%) (Table 2).
In our study, there is significant correlation between OSTA score and ONTARIO score ($p: 0.000$). Sample participants that include study who have high OSTA score in 38 participant (71.7%) also have high fall risk based on ONTARIO Score and Vice versa.

**Discussion**

This study aims to determine the relationship between the risk of osteoporosis and the risk of falling in elderly age which will lead to an increase in the risk of osteoporotic fractures and also to describe epidemiology to provide an epidemiological description of the risk of osteoporosis in Malang.

Osteoporotic fractures are the primary and most significant consequence of osteoporosis, and the increasing morbidity and death have imposed a huge healthcare burden on people. By the year 2050, it is predicted that fractures caused by osteoporosis would treble and medical costs will spike, while the frequency of osteoporosis in men will also rise. Fracture because of osteoporosis made a great impact, not only for medical field, but also in socioeconomic area. For this reason, early detection of osteoporosis and risk factors for falls is needed to minimize fractures in elderly patients who are at high risk of causing osteoporotic fractures. For long term novel it can reduce fracture rates in Indonesia and also reduce cost cause osteoporotic fracture.

From our study, 132 participants (100%) which dominated in range age 60–64 years old (42 participants or 31.8%) reported have low risk of Major Osteoporotic using FRAX Score, however, 72 participants (61%) reported have low risk of Hip Fracture and 3 participants (21.4%). This finding probably because of the threshold of FRAX Score was set too high in relation to the FRAX score recommendations. Study by Chandran et al., 2020 he said when threshold set up to $>15\%$ for assess major osteoporotic fracture probability (MOFP) has sensitivity score $30\%$, and also when threshold set up $>3\%$ for assess hip fracture probability (HFP) have sensitivity score $45\%$ especially in woman of 65 years old. In addition, he also states that in 50–64-year-old Singapore women, the cut-off level (MOFP $>3.7$ and HFP $>0.6\%$) cannot be utilized as a screening threshold, as it would miss a high proportion of women with BMD in the osteoporosis range. Therefore this cut off cannot be used as a screening methods.

In contrast, from OSTA score in this study more than 68 participant (50.8%) were found medium and high-risk score. This result probably because of character of OSTA Score that have high sensitivity for finding risk of osteoporosis. Study by Koh et al. that using cutoff $-1$ yielded specificity $45\%$ and sensitivity $91\%$, In line with research by Koh et al., study by Muslim et al. 2012 mentioned that sensitivity and specificity of OSTA score was $87.5\%$ and $95.8\%$, respectively, especially in post menopause woman. This result might be consideration for population with high osteoporosis risk often have sign and symptom that make them difficult to walk which makes them prone to fall. Based on this result and due to the morbidity effects of this risk of osteoporosis, it is important to provide prevention of fall in older adults.

In our study, it was found that there was a significant relationship between OSTA score ($p < 0.000$) and FRAX score ($p < 0.005$) with a high risk of falling, so it can be concluded that, patients with high OSTA will experience a high risk of falling, and vice versa. For this reason, intervention is needed so that patients with a high risk of falling and having a high risk of osteoporosis do not sustain fractures cause osteoporosis.
The prevention of the disease and related fractures is considered crucial for the elderly population’s health and his quality of life. Therefore, risk fall assessment noteworthy to notice. In this study, there are 53 participants have high risk OSTA score then, 38 participant (71.7%).

shows high risk of osteoporosis and also have high fall risk based on ONTARIO Score. This condition should be a concern for health care providers. Because, if this condition is ignored, then when this patient low-impact falls, the possibility of sustain a fracture will be even greater. A systematic review was conducted by Morrison et al., 2013 that reporting epidemiology of low impact fall which resulting fracture osteoporosis concluded that there are two major factors result an osteoporotic fracture, low bone mass and a fall. His study also found if rate fall higher in women than man in East Asian Population. Therefore, All older person, especially women with osteoporosis should receive fall prevention advice, which should include exercise, particularly strength and balance training, medication reduction or elimination, and environmental adjustments. In this case, we choose ONTARIO scoring system for assess risk of fall in elderly population.

A few studies were found in the literature on the question of correlation between risk fall with scoring system (ONTARIO Score) and risk of fracture system such as FRAX and ONTARIO score. The ONTARIO score osteoporosis strategy is composed of five primary components: health promotion; bone mineral density testing, accessibility, and quality; post fracture care; professional education; and research and evaluation. In ONTARIO osteoporosis strategy, there is relationship between the incidence of hip and wrist fractures has decreased in recent years as a result of increased bone density testing and antiresorptive medication treatment.

There are several interactions causes of fracture according to the Ontario Health Technology Assessment Series. However, in this study, the authors wanted to link the correlation of OSTA scores with osteoporosis. There are intrinsic and extrinsic factors. The intrinsic factor consisted of psychosocial and demographic risks, medical risk factors, activity and dependence medications. Then, for extrinsic factor consist of tripping hazards, balance and slipping hazards, and vision hazards. There are several points that we can apply by himself on a daily activity, but there are also that can be used as input for policies in primary health facilities.

There are methods that can prevent using intervention risk of fall in elderly age also. For intrinsic control, there are exercise treatments, vision assessment, vitamin D and calcium supplements, gait-stabilizing devices, hip protectors, From study meta-analysis by Emery et.al, he state that exercise a statistically significant beneficial effect on the risk of falls. In line with study before, ONTARIO recommendations stated the effects of long-term exercise treatments on risk of fall-related injury found that focused exercise programs moderately reduce the incidence of fall-related accidents.

In the other hand, extrinsic factor also can to take control using to prevent of fall using control Outdoor gait-stabilizing devices for mobile elderly may help prevent falls and fall-related injuries during the winter. Then, psychotropic education withdrawal under medication also may be an effective method of preventing falls. In addition, in elderly women, a combination of vitamin D and calcium supplements can help lower the risk of falling by more than 40%.

There are a lot of limitations to be considered. In this study, we obtain small participants and the short period of study. Hence, it is difficult to make any precise suggestions, and also, we did not perform DXA bone densitometer for confirmation risk of osteoporosis condition. Therefore, a further study with more focus on comparing the sensitivity and specificity of OSTA confirmed by FRAX using DXA bone densitometer (BMD), after that the future study can continue to find the relationship more clearly between risk fall and risk osteoporotic fracture.

Conclusion

Early detection of osteoporosis is very important to reduce the number of osteoporotic fractures due to falls. In this study, there was a relationship between the risk of high osteoporosis and the risk of falling. Thus, it is necessary to be noted especially for the elderly with a high risk of osteoporosis which will cause fractures if they fall.
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