Osteogenic Differentiation of Dental Follicle Stem Cells
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Abstract

Background: Stem cells are defined as clonogenic cells capable of self-renewal and multi-lineage differentiation. A population of these cells has been identified in human Dental Follicle (DF).

Dental Follicle Stem Cells (DFSCs) were found in pediatric unerupted wisdom teeth and have been shown to differentiate, under particular conditions, into various cell types of the mesenchymal tissues.

Aim: The aim of this study was to investigate if cells isolated from DF show stem features, differentiate toward osteoblastic phenotype and express osteoblastic markers.

Methods: We studied the immunophenotype of DFSCs by flow cytometric analysis, the osteoblastic markers of differentiated DFSCs were assayed by histochemical methods and real-time PCR.

Results: We demonstrated that DFSCs expressed a heterogeneous assortment of makers associated with stemness. Moreover DFSCs differentiated into osteoblast-like cells, producing mineralized matrix nodules and expressed the typical osteoblastic markers, Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) and Collagen I (Coll I).

Conclusion: This study suggests that DFSCs may provide a cell source for tissue engineering of bone.

Key words: dental follicle, stem cells, osteogenic markers, bone tissue engineering, human postnatal dental tissue.

INTRODUCTION

The DF is a loose connective tissue sac that surrounds the unerupted tooth and plays different roles in the tooth development. It is an ectomesenchymal tissue surrounding the enamel organ and the dental papilla of the developing tooth germ prior of the eruption. Its presence is required for eruption [1,2], indeed it appears to regulate the bone remodelling, influencing osteoblast and osteoclast activity during eruption [3]. As the tooth pierces the gingiva, the DF differentiates into the Periodontal Ligament (PDL) that anchors the tooth to the surrounding alveolar bone in its socket. It has been postulated that DFSCs
as well as differentiate in PDL fibroblasts, may differen-
tiate in tooth cementoblasts, and in alveolar bone 
osteoblasts [4]: this observation is consistent with the 
hypothesis of the presence of Mesenchymal Stem 
Cells (MSCs) with osteoblastic potential in DF.

Dental pulp (DP) has been identified as a prom-
ising source of MSCs for tissue engineering [5-8]. 
MSCs are self-renewable and can differentiate into all 
cell lineages that form mesenchymal and connective 
tissues [5]. DFSCs are either more undifferentiated 
than Dental Pulp Stem Cells (DPSCs), thus dental bud 
is a more productive tissue of MSCs than mature DP 
and would have alternative applications in bone and 
periodontal tissue engineering.

Therefore, the interest for tissue engineering 
research has been increasing rapidly among dental re-
searchers and institutes. One reason for this is that 
dentists are familiar with tissue regeneration tech-
niques, that are now common in dental hospitals 
[9,10]. Dentists are obviously the surgeons more 
inolved and motivated in collecting the dental buds 
from which the biotechnologists can isolate DFSCs.

Furthermore, the development of techniques to 
generate whole teeth and bone is ongoing [11,12].

A key factor that can improve this technology 
within the context of modern tissue engineering is the 
use of adult stem cells. After birth, mesenchymal cells 
are called ‘mesenchymal stem cells’.

In the adult, MSCs maintain the physiological 
tissue turnover and, upon injury or disease, they dif-
ferentiate to allow tissue regeneration. MSCs have 
been differentiated, in vitro, into many specialized 
connective tissues [13].

The ability to generate human tissues and organs 
from cells grown in vitro is a big task of regenerative 
medicine, reproducing organism structures from stem 
cells was an insurmountable effort until several un-
related disciplines converged into the field of tissue 
engineering [12]. To engineer a functional biological 
structure, cells must be induced to differentiate and to 
synthesize the appropriate extracellular matrix mo-
nules in the overall shape and dimensions of the 
diseased or missing tissues/organisms.

Bone marrow has been studied since many years 
as a valid source of stem cells both of the hematopo-
etic and mesenchymal lineage. However many other 
MSCs sources, that could be also more convenient, 
have been identified.

To date, in human postnatal dental tissues, five 
different sources of MSCs have been already identi-
fied: dental pulp [5-8], periodontal ligament [5], exfo-
liated deciduous teeth [14], dental follicle [15] and 
root apical papilla [16].

Harvesting DFSCs from teeth is mostly nonin-
vasive, although tooth extraction itself is an invasive 
technique.

Naturally, to obtain DFSCs, the extraction of a 
-tooth before its eruption is required; but the early (age 
7-12) removal of the third molar gives excellent stem 
cells without losing a useful tooth. Thus patients se-
lected for the extraction are usually subjects that will 
undergo dental overcrowding which is worsened by 
wisdom teeth eruption.

Since DFSCs are capable to differentiate into 
different cell types other than PDL fibroblasts, we can 
assume that cells with stem features are present 
within the DF.

Given the above background, the objectives of 
this study were to investigate if cells isolated from DF 
show stem features, differentiate toward osteoblastic 
phenotype and express osteoblastic markers.

PATIENTS, MATERIALS and METHODS

Patients and Cell cultures

Human DFSCs were isolated and cultivated as 
previously reported in our previous experience with 
DPSCs [5-8].

Normal human third molars follicles were col-
lected from tooth buds of healthy pediatrics patients, 
aged 8-12 years, that underwent to extractions for 
orthodontics reasons, after obtaining informed con-
 sent from each patient parents.

DFs were digested for 1 hour at 37° C in agitation 
in a solution of 3 mg/ml type I collagenase plus 4 
mg/ml dispase (Gibco Ltd., Uxbridge, UK). Sin-
gle-cell suspensions were obtained by passing the 
cells through a 70µm BD Falcon strainer (Falcon) 
(Becton & Dickinson, Sunnyvale, CA).

After filtration, single cell suspensions were 
seeded in a 25 cm² culture flask and cultured with 
a-MEM supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum 
(FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin-G, 100 µg/ml streptomycin 
and 0.25 µg/ml fungizone (Gibco Limited, Uxbridge, 
United Kingdom).

Flasks were incubated at 37° C and 5% CO2 
and the medium was changed every 3 days.

In order to study DFSCs proliferation rate, 2 x 10⁵ 
cells were plated in a six well plate, detached and 
counted after 3, 5 and 7 days of culture. These values 
were compared to those obtained culturing human 
Bone Marrow Stromal Cells (hBMSCs) obtained as 
previously described [17].

For the induction of osteoblastic differentiation, 
cells were grown in an osteogenic medium consisting 
of α-MEM supplemented with 2% FBS, 10⁻⁸ M dexam-
ethasone and 50 µg/ml ascorbic acid (Sigma Al-
drich, Milan, Italy).
For the evaluation of DFSCs’ ability to form mineralized nodules in vitro, we cultured them for 30 days in the osteogenic medium supplemented with 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma Aldrich, Milan, Italy).

**Immunophenotypic Analysis.**

The following fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated or phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated mAbs were used for immunofluorescent staining of DFSCs: PE-CD73, PE-CD90, PE-CD146, isotype control (all BD-Pharmingen, Milano, Italy), and FITC-CD44, FITC-CD105, FITC-HLA-I and FITC-CD45 (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Milano, Italy). Cells were washed in FACS buffer (phosphate-buffered saline pH 7.2, 0.2% bovine serum albumin, and 0.02% sodium azide) containing 3% human serum, incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated mAbs for 15 minutes and then washed with the same buffer before flow cytometric analysis. Data were acquired using a FC500 (Beckmann Coulter, Inc.) flow cytometer and analyzed using Kaluza software (Beckmann Coulter, Inc.). The area of positivity was determined using an isotype-matched mAb.

**Von Kossa Staining**

The capacity of DFSCs to form mineralized nodules in vitro, a feature normally attributed to osteoblasts, was assessed by performing a Von Kossa staining on cells cultivated for thirty days in the appropriate medium. Thus cells were washed two times with distilled water and then fixed with a 3% formaldehyde, 2% sucrose solution for 10’.

After being rinsed in distilled water, cells were treated with a 5% silver nitrate solution in dark for 30’ and then exposed to bright light (a 100W lamp) for 1 hour, laying them over a white background.

**Alkaline Phosphatase**

Expression of ALP, a typical osteoblast marker, was assessed in DFSCs, grown in osteogenic medium, with Leukocyte Alkaline Phosphatase Kit, a commercial kit based on naphthol AS-BI and fast red violet LB (Sigma Aldrich).

Cells were fixed with a citrate-acetone-formaldehyde fixative for 30’ at room temperature.

After being gently rinsed with distilled water, cells were incubated for 15’ in dark with alkaline-dye mixture (NaNO₂, FRV-Alkaline Solution, Naphthol AS-BI Alkaline Solution) and finally washed with water.

**RNA Isolation and Real-Time PCR**

To examine the genic expression of specific osteoblastic markers such as ALP, Coll I and RUNX2, DFSCs were subjected to real-time PCR: all the results were normalized versus actin.

Total RNA was extracted from DFSCs cultured in osteoblastic medium for ten and seventeen days and undifferentiated cells using spin columns (RNeasy, QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RNA was reverse-transcribed using the SuperScript First-stand Synthesis System kit for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA): an RT mixture containing 1µg total RNA, dNTPs (deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates), Oligo(dT), RT buffer, MgCl₂, DTT (dithiothreitol), RNaseOUT, SuperScript II RT, DEPC (diethyl pyrocarbonate)-treated water to final volume 100µL was prepared, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was amplified with the iTaq SYBR Green supermix with ROX kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) and the PCR amplification was performed using the Chromo4 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

The following primer pairs were used for the real Time PCR amplification: sense ALP 5’-CGCACGGAAACTCCGTGACC-3’; antisense ALP 5’-GCCACCACACCACATCTCG-3’; sense Coll I 5’-CGTGCGAGTGATGAAAGTG-3’; antisense Coll I 5’-AGCAGGACACCGTTACC-3’; sense RUNX2 5’-GGAATGCCTCTGCTGTTATG-3’; antisense RUNX2 5’-TTCTGTCTGTCCTTCTG-3’; sense Actin 5’-AATCTGTCCGTGACATTAAG-3’; antisense Actin 5’-GAAGGAAGGCGTGGAGAG-3’.

The running conditions were as follows: incubation at 95°C for 3’, and 40 cycles of incubation at 95°C for 15’ and 60°C for 30’.

After the last cycle, the melting curve analysis was performed into 55-95°C interval by incrementing the temperature of 0.5°C. The fold change values were calculated by Pfaffl’s method [18].

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed by Student’s t-test with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS/x/pc) software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The results were considered statistically significant for p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Immunophenotype of DFSCs**

Firstly, to ensure that our DFSCs culture met minimal criteria to be called MSC [19], three DFSC cultures (passages 1-2 at the time of testing) were tested in more details. Flow cytometric analy-
ysis of research accepted MSC cell surface markers was performed. Figure 1 shows flow cytometry histograms from one representative DFSC culture. In sum, all DFSC cultures exhibited ≥95% expression of CD73, CD90, CD146, CD44, CD105 and HLA-I, while they were negative for CD45, a common leukocyte antigen.

**Proliferation rate of DFSCs Clonogenic Populations**

It is well documented that osteoprogenitor cells can be isolated from bone marrow aspirates [13-14,17], and from dental pulp tissue [20] due to their ability to adhere to a plastic substrate, and, under appropriate conditions, begin to proliferate.

As compared to bone marrow-derived MSCs, DFSCs were easily isolated from impacted teeth and immediately grown in culture.

Cell proliferation rate of DFSCs was measured at the first passage after the extraction from the original tissue. DFSCs and BMSCs were seeded at the same cell density (2x10^5 cells/well): DFSCs proliferation rate was significantly higher in comparison to BMSCs, indeed after seven days of culture, DFSCs reached the average number of 2x10^6 cells/well compared to 0,9x10^6 cells/well of BMSCs [Figure 2].
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**Figure 1. Phenotypes of DFSCs.** The expression of the indicated mesenchymal stem cell markers on DFSCs was analyzed using flow cytometry. Results from one representative DFSC culture are shown. The grey histograms signify staining with isotype controls, and the white histograms represent staining with the specified surface marker antibody.
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**Figure 2. DFSCs proliferation in vitro.** Proliferation of DFSCs and BMSCs after three, five and seven days of culture.
ALP positivity and mineral matrix nodules deposition in DFSCs

We investigated whether DFSCs properly isolated from three different patients and cultivated in osteogenic medium, were able to differentiate in osteoblast-like cells.

Thus, using a histochemical assay, we evaluated the expression of the osteoblast marker ALP.

We found that DFSCs harvested from all three different dental follicles, and treated with osteogenic medium, expressed high levels of ALP expression [Figure 3]. In addition ALP expression was increased over the time starting from point 0 to 17 days of culture.

Moreover, long-term cultures (thirty days) of the three different DFSCs clones, demonstrated the capacity to form mineralized matrix nodules [Figure 4].

Osteoblast markers expression in DFSCs

To confirm ALP expression and its time-dependent increasing evidenced with the histochemical assay, we evaluated the enzyme RNA expression using Real Time-PCR. We demonstrated that the ALP expression was progressively up-regulated in DFSCs after ten and seventeen days of differentiation obtained with osteogenic medium [Figure 3].

Based on the results obtained on ALP expression and mineral nodules formation in DFSCs, we also evaluated the expression of osteoblast master gene RUNX2. RUNX2 directly regulates the expression of many osteoblastic markers such as Coll I which is the most abundant protein produced by osteoblasts [21,22].

Using real-time PCR, we demonstrated that the expression of either RUNX2 or Coll I, increased by the time after 10 and 17 days of osteoblast differentiation [Figure 5]. In particular RUNX2 expression showed a 6-7 fold increase respectively at 10 and 17 days relative to T0, while Coll I increased even more, showing a strong 100-200 fold increase respectively at 10 and 17 days relative to T0.

Figure 3. ALP expression. Histochemical staining for ALP in DFSCs incubated in osteogenic medium for 10 days (samples from three different patients) (A). Real Time-PCR analysis shows ALP expression in DFSCs cultured for 10 and 17 days in osteogenic medium: the expression of the enzyme progressively increased up to 3 thousand of times (B).
DISCUSSION

Our data indicate that DFSCs express a heterogeneous assortment of makers associated with stemness, and have a higher proliferation rate, compared to BMSCs. Moreover, the tooth bud is easy to access and is a considerably larger tissue than mature tooth pulp. We demonstrate the presence in DFSCs, of a highly proliferative clonogenic cell population, which suggests their remarkable capacity of self-renewal and propagation. Each colony originates from a single progenitor cell (colony-forming unit-fibroblast, CFU-F) and displays a wide variation in cell morphology and growth potential [5, 13, 17, 20]. The cells within each colony are characterized by a typical fi-
broblast-like morphology analogous to the progeny of human bone marrow and human dental pulp tissue [16, 17, 20].

Their ability to differentiate into a wide variety of cell types, together with the possibility of obtaining a high number of cells, make them good candidates for the repair and regeneration of a large variety of tissues, [12]. Two of the main characteristics of stem cells are self-renewal or self-propagation and competency for multipotent differentiation.

Differentiation into osteogenic lineage is considered to be a trademark event of BMSCs [13] and DPSCs [5-8, 17, 20]. In this study we demonstrated a functional osteogenic differentiation of DFSCs towards an osteoblastic phenotype.

We showed that DFSCs, cultured in opportune conditions, highly increased the osteoblast main markers, RUNX2, Coll I and ALP, and in vitro formed mineralized matrix nodules.

The osteogenic commitment capacity of DFSCs is comparable to that of BMSCs [13,17] and DPSCs [17, 20].

The use of mesenchymal stem cells derived from dental tissues, which are easy to access for dentists and oral surgeons, with their high proliferation features and capacity to differentiate into osteoblasts, offers a great potential for the future of clinical dentistry [17].

However, these cells would need a good scaffold to facilitate their integration, differentiation, and matrix synthesis and to promote multiple specific interactions between cells [10,12,17].

In this scenario, DFSCs have to be considered stem cells perfect to be used for tissue engineering since they are characterized by a high proliferation rate, multidifferentiation ability, easy accessibility, high viability, opportunity to be safely cryopreserved and expression of mesenchymal markers.

In addition, the stem cells derived from teeth are large spindle-shaped cells with a large central nucleus, abundant cytoplasm, and cytoplasmic extensions in culture. These adherent cells are morphologically identical to the mesenchymal stem cells obtained from bone marrow (BMSCs) [17,20]. Moreover stem cells, derived from different dental tissues and obtained from variable stages of tooth development, can be used to produce partial or total tissue regeneration.

In literature there was demonstrated the possibility of generating a piece of pulp/dentin complex in vitro as a filling material [27-29].

DFSCs share many peculiarities with periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs), although they are easier to isolate. PDLSCs come from the mature tooth compartment. However, as recently reported, PDLSCs have been used in autologous transplantation therapy of periodontium regenerations [30]. Considering that periodontal disease causes alveolar bone resorption and tooth loss, regenerating periodontal tissues would represent the ideal therapeutic aid in dentistry [31-33].

Autologous PDLSCs showed the best regenerative capacity for PDL, alveolar bone and cementum, as well as peripheral nerve and blood vessel, which was evaluated by conventional and immune histology [31]. DFSCs could be a more proliferative and abundant source of stem cells to be easily isolated from wisdom tooth bud of young patients, stored and used in cases of dental tissues regeneration necessity that could occur in the adult life.

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated in our work that DFSCs met criteria to be called MSCs and have a remarkable osteogenic potential.

Indeed the ability of these stem cells to differentiate into osteoblasts is certain: once they are cultured in osteogenic induction medium, several clusters of cells adhere, assume an osteoblast-like morphology and express the typical osteoblastic marker such as ALP and Coll I. Moreover DFSCs formed mineralized matrix nodules showing a mature osteoblast exclusive feature.

DFSCs have a similar, if not identical, potential of BMSCs, but they come from a dental bug that could generate a problematic wisdom tooth and proliferate at a higher rate. Therefore DFSCs could be used for bone regeneration of stomatognathic and other systems, and represent an excellent cell source for tissue engineering therapy. Moreover DFSCs can differentiate in the other cells of the connective tissues, thus, more diversified clinical applications should be investigated.

As a potential stem cell source, dental stem cell banking may be a necessary step and further progresses on establishing individualized induced pluripotent stem cells for dental tissue regeneration should be imminent.
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