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**Abstract**—this study aims to determine the learning outcomes of science subjects of the life cycle of animals in class IV odd semester students of Adhiwarno State Elementary School Selomerto, Wonosobo, in the 2017/2018 academic year using video and without using video. Comparatively, this study is intended to find out the differences in interactive videos on learning outcomes of science subject matter in the life cycle of animals at fourth-grade students of the odd semester in Adhiwarno State Elementary School, Selomerto, Wonosobo in the 2017/2018 academic year. This study is a quasi-experimental study with the Nonequivalent Control Group Design. Data collection techniques are documentation (to obtain students' initial abilities) and test techniques (to obtain data on science learning outcomes). For data analysis techniques, there are t-test after the requirements test, distribution normality test, and variance homogeneity test. The results of the study descriptively showed that the tendency of learning outcomes of science is the subject of the life cycle of animals, whose learning uses video is in the high category, and those whose learning does not use video is in the medium category. In the t-test, it is obtained t-count = 3.485 and p = 0.001, because p <0.01, then comparatively from the results of the t-test, it was concluded that the proposed hypothesis was accepted. There were very significant differences in science learning outcomes between students whose learning use video and learning without use video.
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**I. INTRODUCTION**

Science learning is a learning based on principles and processes that can foster students’ scientific attitudes toward science concepts. Therefore, learning science in elementary schools is done with a simple investigation without memorizing the collection of science concepts. Perhaps, applying investigative activities such as observation, discussion, and simple inquiry activities can lead students to be more active in learning process. Through this activity, students are expected to gain direct experience of the material learned. Such learning can foster students’ scientific attitudes that are indicated by formulating problems, drawing conclusions, so that they can think critically through learning science [1]. [2] added that science subjects are lessons that emphasize act and direct experience to find out in order to explore and to understand the natural environment scientifically.

The results of the observations at Adhiwarno Public Elementary School in Wonosobo reveal that the teachers have not yet fully implemented active and creative learning in involving students and have not used various approaches/strategies or learning media. In science learning activities, teachers still use the lecture method, and students only get the source of learning material from books or information only from the teacher, which leads to the low visualization of students about the material studied. According to Piaget's theory in [3], the characteristics of child development in elementary school or at the age of 7 to 12 years are in the concrete operational phase. Children at this age stage still think based on their real experiences. They have not been able to think of something abstract, for example, imagine how the process of turning an egg into a butterfly in an animal's life cycle would require media to visualize the process. Without having experience of the visual process as media deserved, it is difficult for the students to get the idea of the material explained. Besides, the learning causes boredom for students in learning science. According to [4] student learning becomes an inseparable part of teacher education. Teachers as parents and friends during school need to create a learning process which supports students’ achievement. Good learning outcomes are expected to lead students achieve their goals.

[5] states that learning outcomes can be improved by using software in learning. The software used can be realized in the form of technology-based learning media. As stated by [6] that computer based learning media can make learning more interesting and impactful so the knowledge taught can be inherent longer on memory. Video can be a new alternative in obtaining information for each learning activity. Information presented through video is in the form of a live document which can be viewed on the monitor screen, or when it is projected onto the screen where the sound can be heard and seen in motion (video or animation). Video aims to present information in a fun and interesting, easy to understand, clear, and more tangible/concrete. Information will be easy to understand because of many senses as possible, especially the ears and eyes that are used to get the information [7].

According to Vernom in [8], we learn, 10% from what is read, 20% from what is heard, 30% from what is seen, 50% from what is seen and heard, 70% from what is said, and 90% from what is done. Based on this concept, it can be concluded that learning using video technology can improve learning ability by 50%, rather than not using it. Interactive
video learning has the advantage of having longer retention compared to using other learning media. For this reason, learning using video is significant, especially for the students' development in the process of improving student learning outcomes.

Video provides vibrant and lively resources for multimedia applications. Video is a moving image. If the object in the animation is artificial, the object in the video is real. This is in accordance with research [9] which states that for understand an object, do not need to present a real object but can be replaced with objects that can represent the object's role.

Interactive video learning can be interpreted as a learning model that can be used to channel messages, stimulate students' thoughts, feelings, concerns, and desires so that they can encourage the learning process to be more interesting [10]. There are any advantages of the use of video in learning, one of them as stated by [11] that the use of video can improve students' language skills. From the mastery of language that is increased will certainly make it easier for students to understand the material presented. The advantages of interactive videos in learning according to [8] [12] [13] are: 1) The learning system is more innovative and interactive; 2) Educators will always be required to be creative, innovative in finding learning breakthroughs; 3) Able to combine text, images, sound, and animation in a single unit that supports each other; 4) Adding student motivation during the teaching and learning process; 5) Able to visualize material that is difficult to explain only with conventional explanations or teaching aids; 6) Train students to be more independent in gaining knowledge.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

This study was a quasi-experimental study with the Nonequivalent Control Group Design research design [14]. The same as pure experimental research, the difference is only the controlling variables. In quasi-experimental control, the research carries out one variable only that is considered the most dominant. In this study, there are two classes, the first class is called the control group, and the second class is called the experimental group [15].

In this study, the population was grade IV students of Adhiwarno Selomerto Wonosobo State Elementary School in the odd semester of the 2017/2018 academic year consisting of two classes totaling 58 students. Furthermore, all members of the population become the research sample, namely class IVA as the experimental class and class IVB as the control class. Research design used was the pretest–posttest non-equivalent control group design, as shown in table 1.

Table 1

| Research design | Group      | Pre-test | Treatment | Post-test |
|-----------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|                 | Experiment | $X_{E1}$ | $X_1$     | $Y_{E1}$  |
|                 | Control    | $X_{E2}$ | $X_2$     | $Y_{E2}$  |

Note:

$X_{E1}$ : Pre-test of experiment group

$X_{E2}$ : Pre-test of control group

$X_1$ : Learning using video

$X_2$ : Learning without video

$Y_{E1}$ : Post-test of experiment group

$Y_{E2}$ : Post-test of control group

Before giving the treatment to find out whether the experimental group with the control group departed from the same initial ability or not, then both groups were tested using t-test. The calculation of T-test is using SPSS 2000 software. T-test calculation results obtained value of $t$-early = 0.114 and $p$ = 0.906. Because of $p$ > 0.05, the two groups did not have differences or have the same initial ability. Data collection techniques use documentation techniques to obtain students' initial abilities and test techniques to obtain data on science learning outcomes on the subject of the animal life cycle.

To find out the validity of items used correlation formula. The correlation formula used is the Pearson Product Moment correlation formula [16]. From the results of the analysis of 30 items, it states that valid questions are 29 items, and 1 item is missing. Moreover, to find out the amount of reliability in this research, Kuder and Richardson formula known as the KR-20 formula is the instrument used [17]. The results of the KR-20 reliability test analysis are $r_p = 0.872$ and $P = 0.000$. Because $P$ = 0.000, the instrument is reliable with reliable status.

III. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Testing the analysis requirements in this study includes the homogeneity test of variance and test for normality of distribution. The homogeneity variance test is used to determine whether the sample used in the study comes from a homogeneous population or not [15]. The calculation of homogeneity test was using SPSS-2000 software. Based on data analysis obtained data $F = 1.248$ with $p = 0.280$. Because $p$ > 0.05, the variants of both groups are homogeneous.

This normality test aims to determine whether the data in this study are normally distributed or not. The calculation of normality test was using SPSS=2000 software. Based on the results of the distribution normality test, the results obtained for the learning group using video are $\chi^2 = 10.090$ and $P = 0.343$ while for groups whose learning without using video are $\chi^2 = 12.826$ and $P = 0.171$. Because $P$ > 0.05, the data distribution is normally distributed.

Table 2

| Group     | SD      | $\chi^2$ | $P$  | Spread |
|-----------|---------|----------|------|--------|
| Experiment| 22,103  | 10.090   | 0.343| Normal |
| Control   | 16,793  | 12.826   | 0.171| Normal |

After analyzing the items, 29 items are valid so the provisions for the maximum score ideally should be 29. The minimum ideal score is 0. According to [16], the criteria for the normal curve are as follows in table 2.

On the science learning outcomes test for fourth-grade students of Adhiwarno Selomerto Wonosobo State Elementary School in the odd semester of the 2017/2018 academic year, the average group of students who learned using video obtained the highest score is 29, the lowest score is 12, the average score is 22,103, and the standard
deviation is 5,473. Based on the score, it lies in a normal curve at intervals of 20.42 < ≤ 26.25 and includes in the high category. While the average group of students whose learning without using video obtained the highest score of 27, the lowest score of 6, an average score of 16,793, and a standard deviation of 6,114, based on the score, it lies at the normal curve at intervals of 14.58 < ≤ 20.42 and included in the medium category.

Table 3

| Normal curve criteria: |               |
|------------------------|---------------|
| 26.25 ≤ ≤ 29.00        | Very high     |
| 20.42 ≤ <26.25         | High          |
| 14.58 ≤ <20.42         | Moderate      |
| 8.75 ≤<14.58           | Low           |
| 0.0 ≤ <8.75            | Very Low      |

The results of this study show that the tendency of science learning outcomes on the subject of the animal life cycle, fourth-grade students of SD Negeri Adhiwarno Selomerto Wonosobo in the odd semester of the 2017/2018 school year, is more effective and produces better learning outcomes if the learning uses interactive video.

The teacher is expected to design a creative learning using interactive videos to engage students and to improve students’ learning outcomes in science optimally. Moreover, students are expected to develop their activity and creativity in learning process in order to achieve the target outcomes for science learning. For this reason, schools should provide adequate facilities for all students specifically in the field of technology and information, and provide training and guidance on information technology for the teachers.

Based on the conclusions regarding the using of video media in learning science, it is recommended for teachers to use video products as an alternative medium for learning, specifically to increase students’ motivation and cognitive learning outcomes.
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