The Effect of Adding Zeolite to Soils in Order to Improve the N-K Nutrition of Olive Trees. Preliminary Results
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Abstract: This paper describes an experiment performed in order to study the possibility of using zeolite in organic olive groves. Soil and leaf analysis was performed and an increase in soil N levels was observed. Furthermore, higher levels of K in the soil and the trees point to the improved nutritional potential of these soils in terms of K, as a result of adding zeolite. The results obtained under experimental conditions can be extrapolated for real plantations in the area and will also lead to significant water savings, greater efficiency, decreased use of fertilizers and less contamination of underground water supplies, which can then be used elsewhere.
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INTRODUCTION

Zeolites are a group of minerals, highly crystalline hydrated aluminosilicates that, when dehydrated, develop a porous structure with minimum pore diameters of between 3 to 10 Å. The structural frame is made up of Si-O (SiO$_4^{4-}$) and Al-O (AlO$_4^{5-}$) tetrahedrons, which bond together sharing vertices and forming square and hexagonal structures.

All zeolites are considered molecular sieves, materials that can selectively absorb molecules based on their size. Zeolites are one of the greatest cationic interchangers and their (E.C) cationic interchange capacity is two to three times greater than other types of minerals found in soils[1].

The application of zeolites to soils increases their E.C, and as a result, it increases nutrient retention capacity. Furthermore, the addition of zeolites usually increases pH levels[1].

HUANG and PETROVIC[2] examined the possible advantages of applying zeolites to soils; they proposed the application of these minerals in order to reduce the leaching of nitrates in golf courses located on sandy soils. RODRIGUEZ et al[3] confirmed that zeolite mixed with manure increases the effectiveness of organic fertilizers on meadowland soils.

Subsequently, it was demonstrated that zeolite is an important resource in agriculture, owing to its water and ammonium retention capacity[4] and because it helps to reduce nitrogen loss[5]. Furthermore, it has been verified that, when mixed with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium compounds, zeolite enhances the action of such compounds as slow release fertilizers, both in horticultural and extensive crops[6,7]. Hence, zeolite acts as a slow release fertilizer, giving the plant access to water and nutrients for longer, which results in a significant saving in water resources and reducing the amount of fertilizer to be applied[8], thus helping to decrease the amount of water used per crop and the contamination of aquifers resulting from the overuse of fertilizers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was performed on an area of land located in the district of Antequera (Malaga, Spain). This area of land is populated with adult trees (olive, hojiblanca variety) with 2 or 3 feet between them and farmed in accordance with organic methods. The zeolite used (zeomin) was mainly made up of philipsite, with analcime, magnesium calcite and calcium albite (X-ray diffraction), with a water retention capacity of 35% (p/p) (determined using a tensiometer) and a cationic interchange capacity of approximately 80 cmol$_{+}$/kg[9].

The experiment was carried out on a “calcareous cambisol” soil[10].

The zeolite was applied to randomly selected 12 x 12 m plots of land. It was applied in triplicate at the beginning of the experiment in doses of 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 and 4 kg/m$^2$. Hence, zeolite acts as a slow release fertilizer, giving the plant access to water and nutrients for longer, which results in a significant saving in water resources and reducing the amount of fertilizer to be applied[8], thus helping to decrease the amount of water used per crop and the contamination of aquifers resulting from the overuse of fertilizers.

Soil samples were collected from all the plots of land in February and July 2003 and January 2004. Kjeldahl nitrogen[11] was determined in triplicate, and potassium was extracted using 1N ammonium-acetate[12].
Leaf samples were collected on the same dates as the soil samples; nitrogen levels were determined in triplicate in fresh matter, and following the mineralization of the sample, the potassium levels were determined using atomic emission.

Furthermore, oil yield and acidity analyses were performed on the olives during the campaign.

Finally, an analysis of variance was carried out following Tukey’s comparison procedure (p<0.05), considering the different parameters studied as variables and the sampling dates and doses of fertilizers used as sources of variation.

The year prior to the experiment (October 2003), cow manure was applied. Under no circumstances were conventional fertilizers added and the phytosanitary treatments used were permitted in accordance with legislation governing organic crops.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the significant increase observed in the nitrogen levels of soils where higher doses of zeomin had been applied (Fig. 1.a). From doses of 3 kg/m$^2$ upwards, significant differences in the levels of N in the soil were observed. In relation to the sampling date, significantly higher values were observed for soils in the winter period.

In relation to leaf levels of N (Fig. 1.b), although the application of zeolite does not give rise to significant differences, the sampling dates revealed significantly higher values in summer following the trees' period of increased biological activity.

As regards levels of potassium in the soil (Fig. 2.a), a significant increase was observed with higher doses of zeomin. The highest levels of K in the soil were observed for doses of 3 and 4 kg/m$^2$. Furthermore, the sampling date also indicated a significant increase in the levels of potassium in the soil.

Leaf levels of K (Fig. 2.b) were clearly affected by the addition of zeolite, although there were no significant differences according to the dose used. The sampling date also significantly affected leaf levels of K.
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Fig. 2: Evolution of the contents of K in soil (2.a) and leaf (d.m) (2.b) *Different letters indicate significant differences at 95% C.I. (p<0.05)

Table 1 shows the different harvest parameters: tree weight, oil yield and acidity level of the oil. There were no significant differences in these parameters in this first campaign studied.

Table 1: Analysis of the yield and acidity of the obtained olive

| Dose zeolite (kg/m²) | Weight fruits/tree (kg) | Greasy yield (%) | Acidity (º) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 0                    | 28a                     | 24.2ab          | 0.4a        |
| 0.5                  | 34.9a                   | 25.5b           | 0.5a        |
| 1                    | 47.4a                   | 23.9ab          | 0.4a        |
| 2                    | 42.5a                   | 24.6ab          | 0.5a        |
| 3                    | 27.5a                   | 22.5a           | 0.4a        |
| 4                    | 35.2a                   | 24.1ab          | 0.4a        |

Different letters in superscript in a row indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

Adding zeolites to soils has a much more patent effect on levels of residual N, where doses of 3 and 4 kg/m² led to significant increases. This result is due to the absorption of NH₄⁺ on zeolites and the reduced losses of NO₃⁻ through leaching. This increase in N represents enrichment of between 3.0 and 8.5 kg/ha in this first year for doses of 3 and 4 kg/m² respectively, which should affect subsequent years.

Furthermore, when there are higher leaf levels of N, there are lower levels of residual N, owing to the absorption of this nutrient by the plants in spring and early summer.

In relation to K, the effect of adding zeolites is even more manifest than for N, both in terms of leaf and residual levels. The greatest increases of K in the soil occur at doses of 3 and 4 kg/m², representing an increase of between 12 and 16 kg of K₂O/ha in the soil. This result concurs with the findings of KIRK and OTHMER[15]. As with N, these increases of K will have a positive effect on subsequent years.

During this first campaign, it should be pointed out that significant differences were not observed in the harvest parameters specified, although in tree crops, especially olive trees, the influence of fertilizers does not become apparent until the third or fourth year of application.
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