Clustering in nuclear environment
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Abstract. The properties of few-body clusters (mass number $A \leq 4$) are modified if they are immersed in a nuclear medium. In particular, Pauli blocking that reflects the antisymmetrization of the many-body wave function is responsible for the medium modification of light clusters and the dissolution with increasing density. A more consistent description is given with takes also the contribution of correlations in the continuum into account. The relation between cluster formation in warm dense matter and in nuclear structure is discussed.

1. In-medium few-nucleon Schrödinger equation

We consider the propagation of $A$ nucleons $((A-Z)\text{ neutrons (}n\text{)}$ and $Z\text{ protons (}p\text{)}])$ in a nuclear medium described by the particle densities $n_n$ and $n_p$ as well as the temperature $T$. Using a thermodynamic Green function approach, the following in-medium wave equation can be derived (see [1])

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left[E_1^{qu}(1) + \ldots + E_1^{qu}(A) - E_{A\nu}^{qu}(P)\right]\psi_{A\nu P}(1\ldots A) \\
&+ \sum_{1'\ldots A'} \sum_{i<j} (1 - f(i) - f(j)) V(ij, i'j') \prod_{k \neq i,j} \delta_{kk'} \psi_{A\nu P}(1'\ldots A') = 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

This equation contains the effects of the medium in the quasiparticle shift $E_1^{qu}(1)$ as well as in the Pauli blocking terms $[1 - f(i) - f(j)]$. The single-nucleon state $\{\vec{p}_1, \sigma_1, \tau_1\}$ is abbreviated by $i = 1$ etc. The single-nucleon quasiparticle shift $\Delta E^{SE}(1) = E_1^{qu}(1) - \hbar^2 \vec{p}_1^2 / 2m$ is obtained from the single-nucleon self-energy which can be taken, for instance, in mean-field approximation. Standard expressions give a rigid shift in the energy and, if the momentum dependence is taken into account, the replacement of the nucleon mass $m$ by an effective mass. The treatment of the single-nucleon shift in solving Eq. (1) is trivial [1] and will not be investigated here. In particular, the rigid energy shifts can be absorbed by a shift $\Delta E_{A\nu}(P) = \Delta E^{SE}(1) + \ldots + \Delta E^{SE}(A)$ of the cluster quasiparticle energy

$$
E_{A\nu}^{qu}(P) = \hbar^2 \vec{P}^2 / 2Am + \Delta E_{A\nu}^{SE}(P) + \Delta E_{A\nu}^{Pauli}(P) + \Delta E_{A\nu}^{Coul}(P)
$$

(2)

and will not be considered here any more, the same also with the shift of the Coulomb energy. Note that the in-medium few-nucleon Schrödinger equation (1) describes the medium modification not only of possible bound states that may be considered as quasi-particles, but also the modification of the scattering states.

For exploratory calculations we use a simple separable interaction [2] with Gaussian form factor.

$$
V(P_1, P_2; P'_1, P'_2) = \lambda e^{-(P_1-P_2)^2 / 4\gamma^2} e^{-(P'_1-P'_2)^2 / 4\gamma^2} \delta(P_1 + P_2 - P'_1 - P'_2).
$$

(3)
We first consider the (deuteron like) case $A = 2$. In-medium phase shifts for investigated elsewhere \cite{1}. In particular we investigate the phase shifts and the consequences for of the wave function or the scattering phase shifts. The properties of bound states have been Pauli blocking term leads to a shift in the energy $\Delta E_{\text{TD}}$ expression \cite{1}, where the chemical potential coincides with the Fermi energy of zero temperature ($\mu_f$ is described by the Fermi distribution function $f(\tau)$ with potential parameters $\lambda = \frac{\tau}{2m}$). In the case of the deuteron ($A = 2$) expression \cite{2}, the Tamm-Dancoff (TD) expression $\delta_{\text{TD}}(E) = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dp \frac{p^2}{\hbar^2 r^2/m - E} e^{-2p^2/\gamma^2} [1 - f(p)]^2$ we can now calculate the scattering phase-shift depending on the energy $E$ of relative motion (zero angular momentum):

$$\tan [\delta_0(E)] = \frac{\text{Im} T(12, 1'2', E)}{\text{Re} T(12, 1'2', E)} = \frac{-\text{Im} I(E)}{1 + \text{Re} I(E)} \quad (5)$$

Note that for negative values of $E$, the solution of $1 + \text{Re} I(-E_d) = 0$ gives the in-medium binding energy, for instance, of the deuteron (isospin singlet channel).

Obviously the bound state wave functions and energy eigenvalues $E_d(P, T, n_n, n_p)$ as well as the scattering phase shifts become dependent on temperature and density because of the Fermi distribution functions in the Pauli blocking term. We focus on the scattering phase shifts $\delta_0(E; P, T, n_n, n_p)$. For $P = 0$ and $n_n = n_p = n/2$, some results are shown in Fig. \[1\].

Having the scattering phase shifts to our disposal, we can calculate the second virial coefficient according to the generalized Beth-Uhlenbeck formula \cite{4}. In addition to the bound state contribution $b_{S_1}^{\text{bound}}(0, T, n_n, n_p) = 3[\exp(E_d(0, T, n_n, n_p)/T) - 1]$ (dependence of the in-medium binding energy neglected) we have the contribution of scattering states,

$$b_{S_1}^{\text{sc}}(P, T, n_n, n_p) = \frac{3}{\pi T} \int_{0}^{\infty} dE e^{-E/T} \left[ \delta_0(E; P, T, n_n, n_p) - \frac{1}{2} \sin [2\delta_0(E; P, T, n_n, n_p)] \right] \quad (6)$$

If there exist a bound state (below the critical density $n_{\text{cr}}(T)$ where, e.g., $n_{\text{cr}}(0.1 \text{MeV}) = 0.0016167 \text{fm}^{-3}$, $n_{\text{cr}}(5 \text{MeV}) = 0.005472 \text{fm}^{-3}$), it is possible to combine the bound state and the scattering state contribution to the second virial coefficient extending the scattering phase shift to the bound state energy, see Fig. \[1\]. There is a smooth behavior near the Mott point where the bound state is dissolved because of Pauli blocking. We give also a simple interpolation formula that can be used to estimate the contribution of the continuum correlations to the second virial coefficient and, furthermore, to the density fraction of correlated states:

$$b_{S_1}^{\text{sc}} \approx \frac{3[0.008709 \exp(-0.15403T)0.3007 + 0.6662 \exp(-0.10147T)] + n^2}{0.008709 \exp(-0.15403T) + n^2/[-0.1012 + 0.08611 \exp(0.09432T)] \exp[(26.3 + 483.8/T)n]} \quad (7)$$
for $T > 1$ MeV. At lower temperatures, $b_{\tilde{s}_1}^{sc} \propto \exp[-(\hbar^2/mT)(3\pi^2 n/2)^{2/3}]$.

An important feature is that at very low temperatures the phase shifts are constant, $\delta = \pi$, not only up to the edge of the continuum of scattering states but up to the Fermi energy (at $T = 0$, energy conserving nucleon-nucleon scattering for energies below $E_{\text{Fermi}}$ is not possible because of Pauli blocking). Thus, the bound states merge with the continuum of scattering states at zero temperature only if the bound state energy per nucleon becomes larger than the Fermi energy.

At higher temperatures these sharp structures in the phase shifts shown in Fig. 1 are washed out, see Fig. 2. The bound state will be dissolved already when it merges with the continuum of scattering states ($E = 0$ when the self-energy rigid shifts are absorbed by the chemical potential).
3. Particle-particle RPA and pairing for $A = 2$

Coming back to the so-called particle-particle Random-Phase Approximation (ppRPA) [3], the Pauli blocking term reads $[1 - f(i) - f(j)]$ which takes the hole-hole contributions into account. The in-medium phase shifts are shown in Fig. 3 for moderate temperatures of warm dense matter. At very low temperatures, sharp structures arise similar to the Tamm-Dancoff case, see Fig. 4. As in the Tamm-Dancoff case, we obtain a jump of the phase shifts at energies that are near to the energies of bound state formation, but there is also a general negative shift what resembles the effect of repulsion.

We will not discuss here the consequences due to the use of different expressions for the Pauli blocking term (the critical densities are now $n_{cr}(0.1 \text{ MeV}) = 0.000235476 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, $n_{cr}(5 \text{ MeV}) = 0.0045349 \text{ fm}^{-3}$). The difference is the term $f(i)f(j) \propto n^2$ that is of higher order in the density, and one may argue that there are also further terms missing if we consider this order of density. However, there is a more fundamental difference between both expressions for the Pauli blocking. The Tamm-Dancoff expression is used, for instance, in the Brueckner theory to calculate the quasiparticle energy shifts of the nucleons. On the other hand, pairing may occur in nuclear matter at low temperatures. The corresponding Gorkov equation follows only if the ppRPA expression for the Pauli blocking is used. A detailed discussion is given in Ref. [4].

4. $\alpha$-particle case ($A = 4$)

Because of the relatively high binding energy (28.3 MeV), the $\alpha$-particle is of special interest in describing correlations and cluster formation in warm dense matter. The wave function of the free $\alpha$-particle can be approximated solving the four-particle Schrödinger equation with a pair potential [3], where the potential parameters $\lambda = -1449.6 \text{ MeV} \text{ fm}^3$ and $\gamma = 1.152 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ are determined by the binding energy and the rms radius of the $\alpha$-particle.

The medium modifications of the bound state energy and of the continuum states are of interest. Within a variational approach, results are obtained as described elsewhere [5]. As example, we give here the shift of the binding energy of the $\alpha$-particle, see Fig. 5.

Using a variational approach, we considered the shift of the $\alpha$-particle in zero temperature matter [5]. Whereas at finite temperatures the bound state becomes dissolved already when the binding energy becomes zero, at zero temperature the bound state can disintegrate only when the free particle states are not Pauli blocked. Above the Fermi energy the decay is possible. This
Figure 5. Internal four-nucleon energy (no c.o.m. motion) in a medium with nucleon density $n = n_n + n_p$. The continuum edge of free single-particle states is given by $4E_{\text{Fermi}}$. At zero baryon density, the four-nucleon energy is given by the binding energy of the $\alpha$ particle, $B_0^{\alpha} = 28.3$ MeV. With increasing density, the binding energy $B_0^{\alpha}$ is decreasing due to the Pauli blocking. The four-nucleon bound state disappears at $n_B \approx 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$. A fit to the calculated values is given in Ref. [5].

way we can understand why at finite temperatures the Mott point is given by the zero binding energy condition, whereas at zero temperature the Fermi energy is of relevance. We conclude that at zero temperature the $\alpha$-like bound state is not dissolved at $n \approx 0.01$ fm$^{-3}$ where it merges in the continuum of unbound scattering states but at $n \approx 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ where it can decay into unbound, free states above the Fermi energy because they are not occupied. This value $n \approx 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ is in agreement with other approaches, in particular the fully antisymmetrized THSR formalism, see [6].

It should be mentioned that we may calculate the virial coefficient for the four-nucleon case by rescaling the two-nucleon result. Another important issue is the treatment of correlations in the medium. Already the ppRPA expression for the Pauli blocking leads to the formation of Cooper pairs in the medium. The THSR ansatz allows to treat four-nucleon correlations also in the medium, taking into account full antisymmetrization.
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