Analysis of Anesthesia Administration in the Endoscopy Unit in Terms of Patient Profile and Complications: Retrospective Study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to analyze patients undergoing endoscopic interventions in terms of comorbid diseases, preoperative anesthesia management predictions, intraoperative-postoperative complications and requirements for intensive care.

Method: Records of patients who underwent procedures under anesthesia in the endoscopy unit were retrospectively screened. The patients’ preoperative anesthesia evaluation, intraoperative anesthesia, and postoperative recovery data and intensive care monitoring requirements were recorded. The data obtained were analyzed statistically.

Results: It was identified that the preoperative risk prediction of patients was statistically significant for intraoperative and postoperative monitoring. Comorbid disease and high age were determined to increase the requirements for intensive care after the procedure. Increased need for qualified admission was determined in patients with desaturation and hypotension.

Conclusion: A prerequisite for reliable administration of anesthesia is having detailed information about the patient and being prepared for possible complications. When examined from this aspect, analysis of the patient profile undergoing procedures in the endoscopy unit is important. In our study, we revealed the presence of elderly patients and comorbid disease(s) in the patient group who underwent procedures in this unit. The need for detailed preliminary evaluation of the patients undergoing these types of procedure and requirements for intensive care after the procedure, if necessary, should definitely be considered.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Bu çalışmada endoskopik girişim yapılan hastaların; ek hastalık varlığı, preoperatif anestezi yönetimi öngörüsü, intraoperatif-postoperatif komplikasyon ve yoğun bakım gereklilikleri açısından analizi amaçlanmıştır.

Yöntem: Endoskopî ünitesinde anestezi altında işlem uygulanan hastaların kayıtları geriye yönelik tarihten. Hastaların preoperatif anestezi açısından değerlendirildiler, intraoperatif anestezi verileri, postoperatif derlemeler ve yoğun bakım takibi gerekliliği kaydedildi. Ete edilen veriler istatistiksel analize tabi tutuldu.

Bulgular: Hastaların preoperatif risk öngörüsünün intraoperatif ve postoperatif takıAbort istatistiksel anlama sahip olduğu olduğu tespit edildi. Ek hastalık ve yüksek yaş mevcudiyetinin işlem sonrası yoğun bakım gerekliliğini artırdığı tespit edildi. Desatürasyon ve hipotansiyon gözlenen hastalarda nitelikli yatış gerekliliğini artırıldığı tespit edildi.

Sonuç: Güvenli anestezi uygulamanın ön koşulu; hasta hakkında detaylı bilgi sahibi olmaktan ve olası komplikasyonları hazırlıklı olmaktan geçmektedir. Bu açından bakıldığında endoskopi ünitesinde işlem uygulanan hastaların profilinin analizi önem arz etmektedir. Çalışmamızda bu ünitede işlem uygulanan hastaların yaş grubunun yaşlı ve ek hastalık mevcudiyetini tespit ettik. Bu tür işlem uygulanacak hastalarda öncelikle ayrıntılı bir değerlendirme ve gerekli olduğunda işlem sonrası yoğun bakım takibi gerekliliğini hakkınızda bulundurulmalıdır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Endoskopik girişimler, ameliyathane dışı anestezi, perioperatif bakım
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INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic interventions, though initially used only as a diagnostic tool, have begun to be frequently used for therapeutic aims in recent periods. With this aim, drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts, treatment of cystic lesions in the pancreas, cholangiopancreatography accompanied by endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS), treatment of gastric varices, localized treatment for pancreas tumors and treatment of subepithelial lesions are being performed more and more frequently using endoscopic methods.

The ideal anesthesia method for endoscopic procedures is still controversial. This debate is due to the lack of standardization of significant numbers of procedures in terms of method, duration and degree of invasiveness, and the high comorbidity and age in the patient profile. The quality of anesthesia administered may be different depending on the patient’s comorbid disease status and possible complications.

This retrospective study analyzed the presence of comorbid disease(s), preoperative predictions about anesthesia management, intraoperative-postoperative complications and intensive care requirements of patients undergoing endoscopic interventions.

MATERIAL and METHODS

This study was approved by the Noninvasive Ethics Committee for Clinical Trials of Bezmialem Vakif University (22 July 2019, 16/312).

The study included patients who had undergone endoscopic procedures under anesthesia from 01.03.2019 to 30.06.2019 in the endoscopy unit by investigating patient files in the central database and written patient files. The files of these patients were retrospectively investigated. Patient’s age, sex, preoperative comorbid diseases, type of comorbid disease(s), if any, type of gastrointestinal intervention to be performed, anesthesia method administered and complications occurring during administration, if any, were recorded. The duration of the procedure, total duration of stay in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU), and unexpected situations in the recovery unit, if any, were recorded. The preoperative anesthesia evaluation forms of the patients were screened and the number of patients with postoperative intensive care unit (ICU) requirements predicted before the procedure, and the numbers and types of these patients entering the ICU were noted. Additionally, patient discharge status after the procedure was investigated and noted.

For statistical analysis of the data obtained, SPSS 20.0 for Windows statistical program was used. Numerical data are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD). For comparisons Student-t test and the Mann-Whitney U tests were used. Chi-square and Fisher exact test were used for nonnumerical data. p<0.05 was accepted as the level of statistical significance.

RESULTS

The retrospective evaluation included 276 patients who had undergone procedures in the endoscopy unit under anesthesia during a 4-month period. Of cases, 129 were male (46.7%) and 147 were female (53.3%). Mean age of the cases was 58±14 (19-87) years. The distribution of age, sex, body mass index (BMI), duration of procedure and PACU stay is shown in Table 1. Among comorbid diseases, hypertension (HT) was most commonly

| Demographic data for patients (n=276). |
|---------------------------------------|
| Age (min) | BMI | Procedure duration (min) | PACU duration (min) |
|-----------|-----|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Mean 59.4 | 27.8| 28.4                    | 27.2                |
| Std. Deviation 14.6 | 5.2 | 15.2                    | 13.2                |
| Median 60.0 | 27.2| 24.0                    | 26.0                |
| Minimum 19.0 | 18.2| 10.0                    | 5.0                 |
| Maximum 88.0 | 48.2| 133.0                   | 57.0                |

BMI: body mass index; PACU: postoperative recovery duration
observed in 45.3% (n=125) of the patients. The proportional distribution of comorbid diseases is shown in Figure 1.

Patients had (64.2%) or had not (35.8%) any chronic disease. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiography (ERCP was performed in 29.4%, 50.4%
EUS in 50.4%, and EUS + ERCP in 20.2% of the patients. Complications and their intraoperative and postoperative frequencies are shown in Figure 2.

For 6.9% of cases (n=19), the anesthesia clinic predicted that ICU would be required after the procedure. Before the procedure 30.8% of the patients were admitted to ICU, and 69.2% of these cases were outpatients. After the procedure, 38.4% of cases (n=106) were discharged home, 56.2% (n=155) of them were admitted to the ward and 5.4% (n=15) of them to ICU (Table 2).

| Procedure type | n   | Percentiles |
|----------------|-----|-------------|
| ERCP           | 137 | 49.6        |
| EUS            | 195 | 70.7        |
| EUS+ERCP       | 56  | 20.2        |
| Indicated for preoperative ICU | 19 | 6.9        |
| Preoperative hospitalization | 85 | 30.8        |
| Postoperative discharge | 106 | 38.4        |
| Postoperative hospitalization | 155 | 56.2        |
| ERCP           | 15  | 5.4         |

ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiography, EUS: endoscopic ultrasonography, ICU: intensive care unit.

Statistically significant correlations existed between ICU requirements predicted preoperatively and ICU requirements after the procedure (p<0.001). Eleven of 19 patients with ICU admission requirements predicted during preoperative evaluation of anesthesia were admitted to the ICU postoperatively. Four of 257 cases not considered to require ICU, had unpredicted ICU requirements.

There was a statistically significant relationship between intraoperative development of hypotension, desaturation, bradycardia and tachycardia with postoperative ICU requirements (p<0.001).

There was no statistically significant relationship between smoking and alcohol use with ICU requirements.

There was a very weak statistically significant (r:0.185) correlation between age and duration of the procedure (p=0.002). There was a positive correlation between duration of procedure and PACU stay (p<0.001).

Post procedure ICU requirements were identified to be 34 times increased for CRD cases and 10 times increased for CAD cases.

**DISCUSSION**

With the increase in accessibility of health services in the modern age, there has been an increase in the number of interventional procedures performed in endoscopy units. Endoscopic procedures have increased in complexity and generally advanced endoscopic procedures have become an alternative to open surgery for patients with significant comorbidities. The importance of patient comfort and satisfaction during these procedures and the desire of endoscopists to perform procedures more reliably and with shared responsibility have increased the demand for administration of anesthesia by professional anesthesiologists in endoscopy units. In 2017, in the United States of America alone over 50 million gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopic procedures were performed and the majority of these were realized under anesthesia. For these types of procedures, professional anesthesiologists are asked to administer sedation or general anesthesia or perform sedated or unsedated monitoring of patients with significant comorbidities. Anesthesia management requires specific information, skills and equipment and good patient evaluation be-
fore and after anesthesia. Knowing the profile and possible complications for patients who will undergo procedures in the endoscopy unit will be beneficial for the development of strategies before unwanted situations occur. Anesthesia for GI endoscopy may be associated with higher complication risks for anesthesia compared to many other procedures. The reasons for this correlation are multifactorial and probably involve the majority of these procedures performed outside the operation room, increasingly complicated procedures, and reality of administration of anesthesia in an outpatient setting. Hypoxemia is the most common complication of anesthesia observed during GI endoscopy. In our study, the most common complication was desaturation observed in 15.6% of the patients.

When we analyzed our study data, the mean age of patients was seen to be 60 (±19) years. Considering this mean age, it can be said that anesthesia procedures in endoscopy units have shifted towards geriatric anesthesia administration. When a routine and uncomplicated gastroenterologic endoscopy procedure normally targeted to outpatients is performed under anesthesia, the patient may require transfer to the postoperative ICU. Because advanced age of the patients and presence of comorbid diseases (hyper-hypotension, heart diseases, DM, obesity, etc.) require for deep sedation or even general anesthesia with close hemodynamic monitoring. When examined from this aspect, the need for more detailed perioperative management is revealed.

Preliminary conditions for administration of anesthesia in outpatient setting are good analysis of the patient’s medical status at first, then knowing the effect of the procedures to be performed in terms of anesthesia, and preparing the field of application equivalent to a surgical operating room. When we analyzed our study data, it was identified that a significant portion of patients undergoing this type of procedure had statistically significant levels of comorbid diseases. The identified comorbidities were determined to be an important factor in postoperative ICU requirements. When examined from this aspect, patients undergoing endoscopic interventions should definitely be examined by an anesthesiologist beforehand and the need for risk analysis was to be investigated. Though, in our study we did not compare anesthesia for GI endoscopic interventions with administration of anesthesia in the operating room in terms of the incidence of complications, the complication rates especially, for upper gastrointestinal system interventions, were reportedly higher in the literature. In our study data, nearly 7% of patients were predicted to require ICU after the procedure, and the majority of these patients were transferred to the ICU after the procedure. Here the most important factors were observed to be comorbid disease and age.

A publication including analysis of malpractice accusations from 1999 to 2009 in the American Society of Anesthesiologists database showed that complications related to airway management were two times more common in administration of anesthesia outside the operating room and the most common specific event was insufficient oxygenation/ventilation. It is difficult to interpret studies about complications of anesthesia for GI endoscopy because most complications are rare and the majority of the literature is retrospective or prospective predictive but not randomized. When unexpected situations before and after the procedure are analyzed in our study, events of newly developing hypertension, hypotension, tachycardia and bradycardia were observed to be associated with patient requirements for more advanced surveillance. When examined from this aspect, we believe that it is necessary to monitor patients developing these types of situations for longer periods.

CONCLUSION

The first prerequisites for reliable administration of anesthesia are knowing the patient well and
administering the most appropriate anesthesia protocol. When examined from this aspect, analysis of the patient profile undergoing procedures in the endoscopy unit is important. In our study, we identified that this patient group was mainly older and had comorbid diseases. We observed that this situation caused vital complications. As a result, we think that the anesthesiologist has medicolegal responsibility to predict possible problems beforehand and should have complete information, skill and equipment preparation so as to reduce these problems. Additionally, we believe that there is a need for prospective randomized studies to predict risks.
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