Microwave control of trapped-ion motion assisted by a running optical lattice
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We experimentally demonstrate microwave control of the motional state of a trapped ion placed in a state-dependent potential generated by a running optical lattice. Both the optical lattice depth and the running lattice frequency provide tunability of the spin-motion coupling strength. The spin-motional coupling is exploited to demonstrate sideband cooling of a 171Yb+ ion to the ground state of motion.
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Control of atomic and molecular motion in the quantum regime is crucial for quantum information processing, quantum simulation and metrology 1–2. The ability to coherently couple the internal state of trapped ions and their motion, for example, paved the way for the demonstration of quantum logic gates 3 and precision metrology based on quantum logic spectroscopy 4, 5. Traditionally, coupling between internal and motional states is achieved by illuminating ions with laser light 6, 7. The lasers in this case perform two functions. They resonantly couple quantum states of the ions, for example, via two-photon stimulated Raman transitions. They also produce state-dependent potentials that change on a scale comparable to the laser wavelength, giving rise to a spin-dependent force. As a result, a change of the internal state of an ion is accompanied by a change of its motional state.

Alternative to optical lasers, microwave radiation can be used to drive transitions between hyperfine states and shift the ions’ energy levels. However, due to its long wavelength, the microwave state-dependent potential changes over a significantly larger distance and the spin-dependent force is therefore usually weak. The gradient of the microwave field can however be significantly enhanced in the near-field regime, where microwaves are applied directly to the electrodes of a microfabricated ion trap, leading to spin-motion coupling 8, 9.

The shift of the energy levels and the transition between them can also come from two separate physical processes, and these combinations already provide benefits for several applications. For example, a state-dependent potential can come from the gradient of a static magnetic field, whereas transitions can be driven by on-resonant microwave radiation, offering a new approach for quantum information processing with trapped ions 10, 11. Spin-motion coupling was also demonstrated for neutral atoms trapped in a spin-dependent optical lattice potential and irradiated by a spatially uniform microwave field, leading to a simple scheme for the sideband cooling of atoms to the ground state of motion 11, 12. An extension of this scheme has also been proposed for performing quantum logic spectroscopy with molecular ions 13. However, in the case of trapped ions, interferometric stability between the optical lattice and the ion position is required. Achieving this is a technically challenging task, which usually requires active stabilization of the beam path 14 or integrating the ion trap with an optical cavity 15–18.

In this Letter, we experimentally demonstrate microwave coupling between the internal and motional states of a trapped 171Yb+ ion placed in a running spin-dependent optical lattice. We use this coupling to achieve resolved sideband cooling of a single trapped ion to the ground state of motion. Use of the running optical lattice eliminates the requirement for interferometric stability during the entire experiment (several hours). Instead, the relative phase between two optical beams should be stable for the duration of a single experimental cycle only (less than 1 ms), a requirement that is much easier to satisfy in the laboratory. In addition, driving internal transitions separated by 12.6 GHz directly with microwaves as opposed to Raman lasers removes the need for high frequency optical modulators.

A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. The single 171Yb+ ion is confined in a fourrod linear rf-Paul trap with the secular trapping frequencies $\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z = 2\pi \times (0.91, 0.97, 0.79)$ MHz. For Doppler cooling, the ion is illuminated by a 369.53 nm laser of intensity 3 W/cm$^2$ and red-detuned from the $^2S_{1/2}, F = 1 \rightarrow ^2P_{1/2}, F = 0$ transition. A 935.19 nm laser of intensity 25 W/cm$^2$ is employed to repump the ion from the long-lived $^2D_{3/2}$ state. A magnetic field of 5.5 G is applied at 45° angle with respect to the optical lattice axis ($\hat{z}$) to destabilize the dark states in the $^2S_{1/2}$ manifold. The standard optical pumping and resonance fluorescence state detection techniques as described in 19 are used in the experiment to initialize and detect the ion’s quantum state.

To produce the optical lattice beams, the output of a 1.6 W mode-locked Ti:Sapphire laser (pulse duration 3 ps, repetition rate 76 MHz) is frequency-doubled by a Lithium triborate crystal, generating about 200 mW of 377.2 nm light. The resulting beam is then split into two, sent through two separate acousto-optical modula-
tors (AOMs), and focused to a beam waist of 15 μm at the ion position from two orthogonal directions that form 45° and 135° angles respectively with the ẑ-axis. The path lengths of the two beams are matched to a precision much better than the picosecond pulse length. The beams interfere at the ion position to generate an optical lattice superimposed on the harmonic ion trap potential. The polarizations of the two beams are linear and mutually orthogonal. In this configuration, the polarization in the optical lattice changes from linear to circular to orthogonal. In this configuration, the polarization in the superimposed on the harmonic ion trap potential. The interferes at the ion position to generate an optical lattice.

The driving frequencies of the two AOMs differ by √2λ/2 = 2π/Δk, giving rise to a strong spatial dependence of the differential Stark shift between the |0⟩ = |S₁/₂, F = 0, mF = 0⟩ and |1⟩ = |S₁/₂, F = 1, mF = −1⟩ states of the trapped ion. Given the repetition rate of √ω₀ of optical lattice changes from linear to circular to orthogonal. In this configuration, the polarization in the superimposed on the harmonic ion trap potential. The interferes at the ion position to generate an optical lattice.

In the presence of a slowly running optical lattice with ωr ≪ Ω, we estimate the differential ac Stark shift to be Δω₀/2π = ±310(10) kHz.

The interaction of a trapped ion with the running optical lattice and microwave field of frequency ω₀ can be described by a Hamiltonian of the form $H = H₀ + V(t)$, where

$$H₀ = \hbar ω_z a†a + \frac{1}{2} \hbar δω₀ σ_z,$$  (1)

$$V(t) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar σ_z δω₀(z, t) + \frac{1}{2} \hbar Ω(σ_+ + σ_-)(e^{-iω_μ t} + e^{iω_μ t}).$$

Here $\hbar ω₀$ is the energy difference between the ion internal states |0⟩ and |1⟩, $σ_0 = |1⟩⟨1| - |0⟩⟨0|$, $σ_+ = |1⟩⟨0|$, $σ_- = |0⟩⟨1|$, $a$ and $a$ are creation and annihilation operators for the ion motional mode, $ω_μ$ is the secular trap frequency, $Ω$ is the microwave transition Rabi frequency, and $δω₀(z, t) = Δω₀ sin(Δkz - ω_r t)$ is the differential Stark shift of the ion energy levels in the presence of the running optical lattice. In the Lamb-Dicke regime, near the ion equilibrium position $z = 0$, we can keep only terms to the first order in $z$ in an expansion of the differential Stark shift expression, i.e.

$$δω₀(z, t) = Δω₀(−sinω_r t + η(a† + a) cosω_r t).$$  (2)

Here $η = Δkz_0$ is the Lamb-Dicke parameter, $z_0 = \sqrt{h/2mω_z}$ is the spread of the ion wave function along the ẑ direction, and $m$ is the ion mass.

After transforming the Hamiltonian to the interaction picture $H_i(t) = e^{iH₀t/\hbar}V(t)e^{-iH₀t/\hbar}$ and using the rotating wave approximation for the microwave interaction, we obtain

$$H_i(t) = \frac{1}{4} \hbar Δω₀ ησ_z a(e^{-i(ω_z + ω_r)t} + e^{-i(ω_z - ω_r)t}),$$  (3)

$$-\frac{i}{4} \hbar Δω₀ σ_0 e^{-iω_r t} + \frac{1}{2} \hbar Ω σ_0 e^{-iδt} + H.c.,$$

where $δ = ω_μ - ω₀$ is the detuning of the microwave field from the |0⟩ ⟺ |1⟩ transition.

Following Ref. [21] and dropping fast oscillating terms, the effective Hamiltonian for the detuning $δ = ±ω_r$ is given by

$$H_{st} = i\hbar Δω₀ Ω(σ_+ - σ_-)/(4ω_r),$$  (4)

which corresponds to a change in the ion internal spin state only. In a similar way, the effective Hamiltonian for the sidebands at detuning $δ = (ω_z ± ω_r)$ can be written as

$$H_{csb} = -\frac{i\hbar Δω₀ ηΩ}{4(ω_z ± ω_r)}(aσ_+ + a†σ_-).$$  (5)

FIG. 1. (Color online.) (a) Schematic of the experimental setup. Two laser beams of wavevectors $k_1$, $k_2$ and frequency difference $ω_r$ are overlapped onto the trapped $^{171}$Yb⁺ ion (pink dot) to form an optical lattice of running wave frequency $ω_r$ along ẑ direction. The red arrow or dot inside a circle represents the polarization of each laser beam. (b) AC Stark shift for the |1⟩ and |0⟩ spin states in the optical lattice at a given instant of time. Spin-motion coupling is effected by the optical lattice imparting a kick (F) onto the ion upon the microwave field flipping the ion spin state.
When tuned to this red sideband \(^2\), the microwave field drives the \(|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle\) transition while destroying a phonon in the ion motional mode. When the condition \(\delta = \omega_z \pm \omega_r\) is satisfied, the effective Hamiltonian given by

\[ H_{\text{bsb}} = \frac{\hbar \Delta \omega \eta \Omega}{4(\omega_z \pm \omega_r)} \left( a \sigma_- + a^\dagger \sigma_+ \right) \]  

(6)

describes blue sidebands, i.e. the \(|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle\) transition is accompanied by the creation of a phonon in the ion motional mode. The spin-motion couplings induced by these terms are analogous to the more familiar red and blue sidebands driven by a pair of Raman lasers \(^2\), but with the Lamb-Dicke parameter replaced by \(\eta_{\text{eff}} = \eta \Delta \omega/(2(\omega_z \pm \omega_r))\).

The measured probability of the \(|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle\) transition as a function of the microwave detuning \(\delta\) is shown in Fig. 2 for \(\omega_r/2\pi = 300\) kHz. Three kinds of transitions can be identified in this graph. The main carrier peak (C0) corresponds to the transition between the internal states of the ion without changing its motional state. It is accompanied by a series of smaller peaks Cn separated by \(\omega_r\) that correspond to higher order terms that scale as \((\Delta \omega_0/\omega_r)^n\). These peaks are due to periodic changes of the resonant frequency by the Stark shift and are mathematically similar to the sidebands generated by the ion micromotion \(^2\). The B1 (R1) sidebands at the detunings \(\omega_z \pm \omega_r\) and \(-(\omega_z \pm \omega_r)\) respectively correspond to transitions that change both internal and motional states of the ion, and are described by the effective Hamiltonian \(H_{\text{bsb}} (H_{\text{rbn}})\). As in the case of the carrier, these peaks are accompanied by sidebands of higher order in \(\Delta \omega_0/\omega_r\).

For a given running lattice frequency \(\omega_r\) and microwave detuning \(\delta = \omega_z \pm \omega_r\), the internal-state evolution of the doppler-cooled ion is measured (see Fig. 4 inset). To extract the Rabi frequency \(\eta_{\text{eff}} \Omega\), it is then fit to a weighted average of Rabi oscillations assuming a thermal distribution of phonons \(^2\). The ion temperature corresponds to an average phonon number \(\langle n \rangle = 18(2)\). As plotted in Fig. 3 (blue dots and green triangles), the Rabi frequencies for the two B1 sidebands are generally asymmetric over a wide range of \(\omega_r\). The blue and green solid lines are theory curves, described by \(\Omega_{\text{B1}} = \eta_{\text{eff}} \Omega\) with no free parameters. Also depicted in Fig. 4 are the experimental (red squares) and theoretical (red line) Rabi frequencies for the C1 sideband at \(\delta = \omega_r\), where the former one is extracted by a fit to an exponentially decaying sinusoidal function, while the latter one is given by \(\Omega_{\text{C1}} = \Delta \omega_0/2 \omega_r\). The theoretical prediction uncertainty, which comes from uncertainties in \(\Omega, \eta\) and \(\Delta \omega_0\), is represented by solid lines’ thickness in Fig. 3.

As expected from Eq. (6), the Rabi frequency of the \(\omega_z - \omega_r\) sideband exhibits resonance behavior when \(\omega_r\) approaches \(\omega_z\). Some quantitative discrepancy between the theoretical prediction and experimental values near the resonance are due to the limitations of our theoretical treatment that does not take into account higher order terms in \(\Delta \omega_0/\omega_r\). The strong dependence of the R1, B1 sideband Rabi frequencies on \(\omega_r\) opens up the possibility of speeding up operations that depend on spin-motion coupling, such as sideband cooling.

To demonstrate sideband cooling, we revert to the case of \(\omega_r/2\pi = 300\) kHz and use the red sideband at the detuning \(\delta = \omega_r - \omega_z = -2\pi \times 490\) kHz (R1). After
1 ms of Doppler cooling, 200 microwave pulses at the detuning $\delta = \mp (\omega_z - \omega_r)$ before (red open-circles, microwave pulse duration 80 $\mu$s) and after (blue dots, microwave pulse duration 230 $\mu$s) sideband cooling. Suppression of the red sideband after sideband cooling indicates cooling to near the motional ground state.

In summary, we realize spin-motion coupling for a single trapped ion using a uniform microwave field assisted by a running optical lattice. This technically simple scheme allows us to cool the ion to the ground state of motion. The running lattice-microwave combination offers two tiers of flexibility on the spin-motion coupling strength: one can either vary the optical lattice depth or tune the running lattice frequency relative to the secular trap frequency. The increased flexibility allows for more tunable quantum logic gates and spin-spin couplings mediated by phonon modes, where the latter can be exploited in quantum simulation or studies of transport properties of the ions interacting with the optical lattice. This technique also paves the way for molecular ion quantum logic spectroscopy by which the rich internal structure of molecular ions and their motion can be accessed.
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