Association between intelligence quotient and the 5HTTLPR polymorphism of human serotonin transporter coding gene
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Abstract
Association between common intelligence quotient (IQ) and S and L alleles of functional 5HTTLPR polymorphism causing respectively low and high expression of human serotonin transporter coding gene was studied in young (19-35 years, 50 men and 76 women) and older (>55 years, 39 men and 43 women) Caucasian subjects. They were students, scientists, technicians and administrative staff of Novosibirsk State Universities and State Research Institutes of Russian Academy of Sciences. Significant effects of genotype and age, but not gender on IQ were revealed. Higher IQ scores were observed in the S/S genotype carriers compared with the L/S (p<0.002) or L/L (p<0.003) genotype carriers. No effect of the genotype x gender or genotype x age interaction was found. Based on this result, we hypothesized an increased frequency of the S allele in highly educated university group compared with control population. To test this hypothesis the distribution of the alleles and genotypes in the above mentioned academic sample and control population of Caucasian subjects from Dovolnoe village, Novosibirsk region (n=83) was compared. The frequencies of the S allele (43.5%) and the S/S genotype (20.5%) in the academic sample were significantly higher than in the controls (28.2%, p=0.023 for the S allele and 10.1%, p=0.011 for the S/S genotype). The results indicate a significant association between IQ scores and the 5HTTLPR polymorphism, the involvement of serotonergic neuromodulation in cognitive functioning and provide further support for the classification of the S allele and the S/S genotype as associated with cognition.
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subserve complex processing [23] and in mediating individual differences in cognitive characteristics [25]. It was reported that serotonin transporter genotype is associated with storage capacity of working memory [2], immediate memory, attention [48], performance in Wisconsin Card Sorting test [9]. Recently, the association between 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and originality of divergent thinking was revealed: S/S genotype demonstrated higher figural creativity scores in comparison with the carries of L/L [53].

The relationship of divergent thinking with intelligence has been intensively researched over the years [22, 44, 50]. A meta-analysis of 21 studies revealed the average correlation between DT and IQ to be 0.17 [33]. It was concluded that intelligence and divergent thinking are different but related constructs [12].

Since 5-HTTLPR polymorphism is associated with divergent thinking, it may also contribute to IQ. We hypothesized that subjects with S/S genotype would have higher IQ scores in comparison with L/L carriers, and S/S genotype to be more represented among academic population, selected according to the intellectual characteristics, than in non-selected rural community with lower level of education.

However, the relationship between IQ and 5-HTTLPR can be moderated by several factors such as gender or age. Gender difference has been demonstrated to moderate the association between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and various phenotypes [13, 38, 42, 51]. Serotonin plays an important role in neural development [18] and plasticity [17]. Lesch and Gutknecht [36] proposed that this mechanism contributes for impaired synaptic plasticity in adulthood, and sets the base for later brain dysfunction. Thus, 5-HTTLPR variation may be significant in ageing processes [32].

The present research was focused on the association between 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and IQ. We also intended to study the effect of gender and age on the association between this polymorphism and IQ. We also supposed that frequency of S allele would be higher in highly educated academy sample than in rural community with lower level of education.

Materials and methods
Two samples of adult unrelated Caucasian subjects were studied. The academic sample included graduate and postgraduate students, scientists, technicians and administrative staff (n=208) of Novosibirsk State Universities and Novosibirsk State Research Institutes of Russian Academy of Sciences which participated in the IQ and 5HTTLPR association study. Most participants in the university sample underwent a rigorous selection for intellectual work. All non-students were employed full time. The participants were grouped by age as young (19-35 years, 50 men and 76 women) and older adults (>55 years, 39 men and 43 women). The sample of 83 age and sex matched adult Caucasian volunteers from Dovolnoe village, Novosibirsk region with previously genotyped 5-HTTLPR was chosen as the control to the academic sample. The control sample included healthy volunteers of different occupation (school teachers, students and lecturers of Dovolnoe's State Agricultural College, farmers, et al.), which were undergoing preventive medical examination. In Dovolnoe sample IQ was not determined. Their data were used to compare genotype and allele frequencies with above mentioned academic populations. All participants of both samples gave written informed consent and were interviewed for their age, education level and nationality. Exclusion criteria were current and lifetime organic brain syndromes and major medical illnesses. The study was approved by the local Institutional Ethics Committee and conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Sex, age and years of education (education score) and 5HTTLPR genotypes were registered in all participants in both samples. The years of education were evaluated as the sum of years spent in school (usually 10), university (usually 5) or post-graduate training (usually 3).

Common IQ was assessed only in subjects of the academic sample. Validated Russian version of Eysenck test was used [15] because of its lower time consumption in comparison with other validated for Russian population Structure of Intelligence Test [1]. The duration of the study was very important factor for engagement of full time employed participants, especially for full time employed aged subjects.

DNA was extracted from buccal cells to avoid a selective exclusion of subjects with blood and infection phobias. The cells were digested overnight at 50°C with protease K (Helicon, Moscow, Russia) and then saturated NaCl solution was added to precipitate proteins. DNA was precipitated with 2 volumes of ethanol, washed with 70% ethanol, dried and dissolved in sterile water and stored at -20°C until use [41]. The S and L alleles were detected in the DNA samples using PCR and 5'-gccgtgccgctgtaagtc-3' and 5'-gagggactgcttgacaccac-3' primers followed by the PCR product separation on 2% agarose gel [35]. The size of amplicon for L allele was 529 bp, while that for S allele was 489 bp.

For diagnosis of LA and LG alleles the products of amplification were digested for three hours with MspI endonuclease (Sybenzyme, Novosibirsk, Russia). The products of digestion were separated on 3% agarose gel. The sizes of products of digestion of LA allele were 340, 127 and 62 bp, while those for LG allele were 174, 166, 127 and 62 bp [34].

The frequency of the LG allele was low. Therefore, in the present study we could not test the effect of the LG allele on common IQ. Taking into account their functional equivalence, the LG and the S alleles were grouped together as S (lower expressing) allele and the LA allele was designated as L as it was done in other studies [48].

IQ data were analyzed with three-way ANOVA in order to examine effects of the factors: Genotype, Gender (men, women), Age (young, older) and their interactions. The post-hoc Scheffer comparison was used where appropriate. The genotype frequencies were tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using χ2-test. The alleles and genotypes frequencies in the university and control samples were compared using χ2-test. Education level
and age were analyzed with the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests.

**Results**

Number of men and women, genotypes, age and years of education for each of two studied samples were presented in Table 1. For each sample age and years of education did not differ between the genders or the genotype subgroups. There was also equal proportion of men and women in the same genotype group. The 5HTTLPR genotypes were distributed according to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in each of two studied samples (Table 1). Education level of the subject in the university sample (14.7±0.14) was significantly higher compared with that in the control sample from Dovolnoe village (11.1±0.35, Z=14.45, p<0.0001). Moreover, the years of education score in the genotypic subgroups of the university sample was significantly higher than in the same genotypic subgroups of the control sample: Z=5.11, p<0.001 for L/L; Z=4.71, p<0.001 for S/L; and Z=3.34, p<0.001 for S/S genotypes.

Significant effects of the main factors such as Genotype and Age, but not Gender on IQ were shown (Table 2). Analysis of respective means indicates that IQ scores were higher in young in comparison with older participants (Table 2). The S/S carriers demonstrated higher IQ scores in comparison with the L/L and S/L carriers (Table 2). No significant interactions between the factors were found (Table 2).

The frequency of S allele in the total sample including both the university and the control (Dovolnoe) samples was 0.39, while in the university and the control samples the frequencies of S allele were 0.43 and 0.28, respectively. The frequency of S/S genotype (20.2%) in the university sample was higher compared with frequency in the control population (8.4%, p=0.016, Figure 1).

**Discussion**

The main result of this study indicates a significant association between common IQ scores and the 5HTTLPR polymorphism in SLC6A4 gene. Higher IQ scores were observed in the S/S genotype carriers in comparison with the S/L or the L/L genotype carriers. Significant effect of Genotype x Gender or Genotype x Age interaction was not found. Therefore, gender and age did not moderate the observed main effect of association between S/S genotype and high IQ score.

In the present study a lower IQ score in older versus young participants was shown. This result was not unexpected since Russian version IQ test was not age adjusted. It is known that older peoples usually show a delayed reaction time and, therefore, complete fewer tasks in the limited time of IQ testing.
Therefore, it was hypothesized that highly creative individuals (evaluated by years of education) compared with the non-selected population from village Dovolnoe. This result agrees with the finding that IQ correlates 0.5 to 0.7 with academic achievement [31]. We have recently described the association between S/S genotype and higher creativity [53]. Present results add new evidence concerning the importance of serotonergic neuromodulation in IQ. In the brain the 5-HT afferents are particularly abundant in the frontal cortex [3,28]. It has been shown that frontal cortex may play an important role in both general intelligence [20] and creativity [10,29]. It was also found that while lower creative persons showed little variance between convergent and divergent processing in the activation of their frontal cortices, highly creative individuals displayed more variability in the frontal areas. Therefore, it was hypothesized that highly creative individuals easily switched between different modes of cognitive processing [29]. It is intriguing to speculate that flexibility of S/S genotype may be associated with increased frontal flexibility.

The S allele and S/S genotype decrease SLC6A4 gene expression and rate of 5-HT reuptake and they are usually considered to be associated with an impairment of 5-HT synapse function [35]. Some authors associate the S allele with such negative characteristics as violence [6,37], alcohol [16,24], drug addiction [19] and suicide [21]. In the present and previous [53] studies, we demonstrated association between the 5HTTLPR polymorphism and such positive mental characteristics as IQ and creativity, respectively. It was also reported that while performing a risk decision-making task, the persons with S/S and L/S genotypes attended to differences in the probability of winning chosen gambles on a greater extent than those with L/L genotype [47]. The S/S genotype carriers outperformed the L/L genotype carriers on a visual planning task, tests for memory and attention [2,46-48]. Carriers of the S allele had a superior performance compared to the L/L carriers in Wisconsin Card Sorting Test [9]. A number of studies have also reported that serotonin transporter antagonists improved such cognitive abilities as attention, visual and verbal memory, working memory, and processing speed in animals and human subjects [30,40,43].

So, it may be hypothesized that the association with superior performance in a number of cognitive tasks is a possible evolutionary advantage to conserve the S allele in human population, which may counterbalance its disadvantage of vulnerability to mood disorders. Nevertheless, S/S genotype was described as a ‘vulnerability to depression’ factor. This hypothesis comes from a disproportionate attention paid to the negative effects of contextual adversity which confirmed the relationship between the 5HTTLPR polymorphism of serotonin transporter gene and environmental adversity, leading to depression [52]. However, S/S form carriers were also found to be more susceptible to environmental events not only for worse but and for better too. A number of reports reveal a beneficial effect of the S/S genotype in low-stress and supportive environments. The S/S genotype is associated with elevated depressive symptoms in high-stress environments, yet in low-stress environments the S/S genotype is associated with reduced depressive symptom levels relative the L/L genotype [14,55]. Attentional biases towards emotionally positive as well as negative images occur in S carriers [4,5]. Finally, a recent report showed that strong attentional biases can be trained in S carriers for both positive and negative stimuli [17]. These findings show the plasticity role of the S/S genotype and support the classification of the double short form of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism as associated with sensitivity to environment rather than predisposition to depression [7,49].

This study has several limitations that should be noted. 5-HTTLPR polymorphism is associated with sensitivity to environment. It has been showed that such socio-economic attribute as education covaries with serotonergic responsivity [39]. As IQ was assessed only in academic population the different effect of 5-HTTLPR polymorphism on IQ across environments cannot be excluded. Further research evaluating the effects of serotonin-transporter gene polymorphisms on IQ should consider environment as potential moderator. There is great controversy regarding the utility of single variant genetic association studies [45]. So, our findings are best treated as preliminary until cross-validated in additional samples. Although 5-HTTLPR polymorphism has been studied widely we did not find data concerning association between this polymorphism and IQ. The significance of our results lies in the demonstration that 5-HTTLPR polymorphism should be approached as associated with cognitive abilities.
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