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ABSTRACT

Tracing the cosmic evolution of the baryonic acoustic oscillation (BAO) scale with galaxy two-point correlation functions is currently the most promising approach to detect dark energy at early times. A number of ongoing and future experiments will measure the BAO peak with unprecedented accuracy. We show based on a set of \textit{N}-body simulations that the matter distribution is anisotropic out to \(\sim 150 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\), far beyond the BAO scale of \(\sim 100 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\), and discuss implications for the measurement of the BAO. To that purpose we use alignment correlation functions, i.e., cross-correlation functions between high density peaks and the overall matter distribution measured along the orientation of the peaks and perpendicular to it. The correlation function measured along (perpendicular to) the orientation of high density peaks is enhanced (reduced) by a factor of two compared to the conventional correlation function and the location of the BAO peak shifts toward smaller (larger) scales if measured along (perpendicular to) the orientation of the high density peaks. Similar effects are expected to shape observed galaxy correlation functions at BAO scales.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The baryonic acoustic oscillations (BAOs) constitute a characteristic feature within the large-scale structure of the universe and can serve as standard ruler for constraining the properties of dark energy (e.g., Blake & Glazebrook 2003; Linder 2003; Seo & Eisenstein 2003, 2007; Wang 2006; McDonald & Eisenstein 2007; Seo et al. 2008, 2010; Kazin et al. 2012). The BAOs in the baryon–photon fluid of the pre-recombination era imprint the sound horizon distance at decoupling as a typical scale in the matter correlation function or power spectrum (Peebles & Yu 1970; Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1970; Eisenstein & Hu 1999; Bashinsky & Bertschinger 2002). These oscillations were detected in the cosmic microwave background (e.g., PAGE et al. 2003) and in the spatial distribution of galaxies (Eisenstein et al. 2005; Cole et al. 2005) and have been confirmed by a number of subsequent studies (e.g., Percival et al. 2007, 2010; Cabrè & Gaztañaga 2009; Sánchez et al. 2009; Reid et al. 2010; Kazin et al. 2010).

The next generation of large galaxy surveys, such as the Panoramic Survey Telescope & Rapid Response System (Kaiser et al. 2002), the Dark Energy Survey (The Dark Energy Survey Collaboration 2005), the Baryonic Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS; Schlegel et al. 2009), BigBOSS (Schlegel et al. 2011), the Hobby Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (Hill et al. 2004), and the space-based Euclid mission (Cimatti et al. 2009), will cover volumes much larger than current data sets, allowing for much more accurate determinations of the BAO.

In the two-point correlation function, the BAOs are visible as a unique broad and quasi Gaussian peak (Matsubara 2004). However, the determination of the shape and location of the peak may be affected by sample variance (Cabrè & Gaztañaga 2009, 2011; Martínez et al. 2009; Kazin et al. 2010), nonlinear effects, and the bias of the tracer galaxy population (Smith et al. 2003; Crocce & Scoccimarro 2006, 2008; Angulo et al. 2008; Seo et al. 2008). These difficulties lead Prada et al. (2011) to suggest the use of the zero-crossing of the two-point correlation located at \(\sim 130 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\) as standard ruler instead of the peak location. Yet, several observations do not show the theoretically predicted zero-crossing at all (Martínez et al. 2009; Kazin et al. 2012). At this stage it is unclear whether this discrepancy is caused by systematic effects or cosmic variance or whether it represents a challenge for the concordance \(\Lambda CDM\) model (Syles Labini et al. 2009; Sánchez et al. 2009; Kazin et al. 2010).

One basic assumption for interpretation of the BAO measurements is that the matter distribution is isotropic at the relevant scales (\(\sim 100 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\)). In this work, we use alignment correlation functions (Paz et al. 2008; Faltenbacher et al. 2009) to show that the amplitudes of the two-point correlation function measured along the orientations of the high density peaks are larger than those derived from spherically averaged (conventional) clustering analysis out to scales of \(\gtrsim 150 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\) and discuss possible effects on measurements of the BAO.

2. METHODOLOGY

We use a set of large-scale dark matter simulations which follow the evolution of the cosmic density field including its collapse into high density peaks. Based on their orientations we compute the alignment cross-correlation function with the over all mass distribution out to \(\sim 200 \, h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\). In this section we briefly discuss the simulations, the computation of density peak orientations, and the definition of the alignment correlation function.

2.1. Simulations

We used GADGET-2 (Springel 2005) to carry out fifty \(1 \, h^{-1} \text{Gpc}\) simulations based on the same concordant \(\Lambda CDM\) cosmology but different realizations of the initial density field.
We employ the alignment correlation functions, more exactly, the average of the alignment correlation function within given angular ranges, to quantify the large-scale alignment between the matter distribution in the universe and the orientation of high density peaks \((M_{\text{ref}} \geq 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot)\). The reference samples are generated from 10% random subsets of the overall particle distributions. If real-space correlations are considered, we use the three-dimensional orientation and separation vector to determine \(\theta\). Alternatively, if observational consequences are discussed we use projected orientations but still three-dimensional distances since the effects of redshift-space distortions are small.

3. RESULTS

In this section, we present our findings for the alignment correlation functions in real- and redshift-space and for redshifts \(z = 0\) and 0.6.

Figure 1 shows the conventional and alignment two-point cross-correlation functions (upper panels) and the residuals of the alignment correlation functions about the conventional correlation function (lower panels) employing different lower mass cuts \((1 \times 10^{14}, 5 \times 10^{14}, \text{and} \ 1 \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_\odot)\) for the high density peaks in the main sample. Solid lines show the conventional correlation functions. Dotted and dashed lines represent the correlation functions along and perpendicular to the orientations of the density peaks. The gray regions represent the cosmic variance. Interestingly, the cosmic variance in the lower panels is slightly smaller, this is because the differences between conventional and alignment correlation functions are independent of their absolute values. To check for systematic or numerical errors we have repeated the above analysis with randomly interchanged orientations within each realization. In this case, we do not detect any significant difference between the conventional and alignment correlation functions.

The left panel of Figure 2 displays conventional and alignment correlation functions for density peaks with masses larger than \(5 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot\) at \(z = 0.6\). The right panel of Figure 2 represents the correlation functions based on projected orientations. Here, the angles used to select the pairs along and perpendicular to the orientations of the density peaks are computed between the projected orientations and the projected distance vectors. Distances are computed in redshift space using the distant observer approximation. In this case the differences in the amplitudes between the conventional and the alignment correlation functions are somewhat reduced but still significant beyond the BAO scale.

In conclusion, the alignment correlation function between dark matter density peaks with masses \(\geq 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot\) and the overall matter distribution reveals anisotropies at scales larger than \(150 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\) far beyond the BAO scale. The difference between conventional correlation functions and correlation functions measured along and perpendicular to the orientations of the peaks is most pronounced for the most massive peaks in real-space at \(z = 0\). But it remains visible at earlier time and also if projected orientations are used.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings presented here are based on N-Body simulations; however, qualitative similar effects are expected to shape the observed galaxy correlation functions at scales up to \(150 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}\). Potential observational implications are as follows.

1. Large-scale anisotropy and structure of two-point correlation functions. Our results indicate that matter is
Figure 1. Upper panels: three-dimensional two-point cross-correlation functions between FoF groups of the indicated mass and the overall matter density field represented by a 10% random subset of all simulation particles. Solid lines show the conventional correlation functions. Dotted and dashed lines show the alignment correlation functions along and perpendicular to the orientations of the FoF groups, respectively. Lower panels: difference between conventional and alignment correlation functions above. The shaded areas in the upper and lower panels give the cosmic variance. Poisson errors are negligible and are not shown here. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 2. Left panel: same as middle panel in Figure 1 for a redshift of $z = 0.6$. Right panel: same as middle panel in Figure 1 but using projected orientations and redshift space separations (at $z = 0$). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

distributed anisotropically out to separations far larger than the BAO scale. At any given pair separation the conventional correlation function is the average of the alignment correlation function over the whole range of $\theta$. We find that the alignment correlation function measured along high density peaks does not fall below zero (for separations $\leq 150 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$) which is counterbalanced by negative amplitudes at much smaller separations for measurements perpendicular to it. At the BAO scale galaxy two-point correlation functions can be interpreted as the average of a more highly clustered component along the direction of high density peaks and a less clustered component perpendicular to it.

2. **Shape and location of the BAO peak.** At BAO scales the amplitudes of the correlation functions between high density peaks and the overall matter distribution are significantly higher if measured along the orientation of the peaks. In this case the BAO peak is composed of a hump on top of the declining but still positive correlation function. The signal perpendicular to the orientations is dominated by the BAO hump itself with negligible underlying clustering signal. The location of the BAO peak is found at somewhat smaller (larger) scales if measured parallel (perpendicular) to the orientation of high density peaks. For the measurements along the density peak orientations, the BAO hump is transformed into a plateau-like feature. If the survey volume is dominated by large filamentary structures the shape of the conventional correlation function should be close to that found for the parallel signal shown here. This may relate to a study by Kazin et al.
Zero crossing and large-scale power. Several publications report the non-detection of zero-crossing out to scales of 250 h^-1 Mpc which indicates (unexpected) large-scale power (e.g., Martínez et al. 2009; Kazin et al. 2010; Sylos Labini et al. 2009). We find similar results for the correlation functions measured along the orientations of high density peaks. Furthermore, the alignment cross-correlation function measured along the orientations of high density peaks at z = 0.6 shows zero crossing in contrast to the behavior at z = 0. The WiggleZ redshift-space correlation function at z = 0.6 (Blake et al. 2011) shows a crossover as well. Whether these analogies are coincidental remains to be explored in future work.

Direct measurement of anisotropy. With the advent of enormous cluster catalogs (e.g., Hao et al. 2010; Gilbank et al. 2011) it should in principle be possible to directly measure the large-scale anisotropies with alignment correlation functions if cluster orientations can be determined with sufficient accuracy. An anisotropy signal may even be extracted simply by using orientations of the cluster central luminous red galaxies because the orientations of central galaxies and host systems are correlated (Faltenbacher et al. 2009; Okumura et al. 2009; Schneider et al. 2011).

Improvement of BAO measurements. If the directional effects reported here are observable it would be worthwhile considering to measure the BAO peak perpendicular to the orientations of galaxy clusters (or luminous red galaxies) since in this direction the BAO peak is better confined.
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