Reaction of Stabilized Criegee Intermediates from Ozonolysis of Limonene with Water: Ab Initio and DFT Study
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Abstract: The mechanism of the chemical reaction of H₂O with three stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabCI-OO, stabCI-CH₃-OO and stabCIₓ-OO) produced via the limonene ozonolysis reaction has been investigated using ab initio and DFT (Density Functional Theory) methods. It has been shown that the formation of the hydrogen-bonded complexes is followed by two different reaction pathways, leading to the formation of either OH radicals via water-catalyzed H migration or of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide. Both pathways were found to be essential sources of atmospheric OH radical and H₂O₂ making a significant contribution to the formation of secondary aerosols in the Earth’s atmosphere. The activation energies at the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF level of theory were found to be in the range of 14.70–21.98 kcal mol⁻¹. The formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide for the reaction of stabCIₓ-OO and H₂O with the activation energy of 14.70 kcal mol⁻¹ is identified as the most favorable pathway.
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1. Introduction

The stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabilized carbonyl oxides) from the ozonolysis of alkenes can react with various atmospheric compounds [1–5], particularly with the formaldehyde, H₂O, NOₓ, SO₂, H₂SO₄ and CO and many others. One of the most important reactions in the atmospheric chemistry is the reaction of stabilized Criegee intermediates with water, the dominant constituent of condensable vapors in the Earth’s atmosphere. This reaction is considered to be one of the major degradation reactions in the Earth’s atmosphere [6,7]. It is also known that this reaction leads to the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxides (HOCH₂OOH or HMHP), organic acids, ketones, aldehydes, OH radicals, and H₂O₂ [6,8–19]. These species have been detected in both the ambient air and precipitation, in both forested and urban areas under polluted conditions [15,20–25]. HMHP may act as an enzymatic inhibitor of peroxidases, while H₂O₂ is a very important oxidant [26–28]. The H₂O₂ contributes to acid precipitation via the conversion of SO₂ into H₂SO₄ [29], which is the key atmospheric nucleation precursor. The reaction of stabilized Criegee intermediate with water can be an additional essential source of OH radicals [30]. The oxidation of H₂O by stabilized Criegee intermediates in ozonolysis of alkenes can also contribute to the formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) [31,32]. Jonsson et al. [31] corroborated the aforementioned conclusion for the ozonolysis of limonene, Δ³-carene, and α-pinene at low concentrations of the aforementioned species and noticed that the particle number and mass concentration increases with increasing relative humidity. The reaction of stabilized Criegee intermediate with water is also important for the water purification and waste water processes, where ozonolysis is widely used [33].

Previous experimental and theoretical studies on the reaction of H₂O with CH₂OO and CH₃HCOO, (CH₃)₂COO and the stabilized Criegee intermediate from ozonolysis of limonene indicate that this reaction is the three-step mechanism [2,6,34–38]. At the first step, a hydrogen-bond complex is formed (a). At the second step, two decomposition pathways for the hydrogen-bond complex are possible: water-catalyzed hydrogen (H) migration would lead to the formation of OH radical via OO bond breaking (b). At the final stage, the α-hydroxy hydroperoxide is formed by the addition of the water molecule to the stabilized Criegee intermediate. Sauer et al. [14] found that α-hydroxy hydroperoxides RR'C(OH)OOH formed in the reaction of the stabilized Criegee intermediate and water may have different fates depending on the chemical nature of the R and R' substitutes (see Scheme 1).

Being produced by more than 300 species, limonene or 4-isopropenyl-1-methyl-cyclohexene, is the most abundant monoterpen e in the Earth’s troposphere [39,40]. The reaction of ozone with limonene, which has both endocyclic and exocyclic double bonds, is one of the most important oxidation processes in the Earth’s troposphere. Ozonolysis of limonene is an essential source of OH radicals, H₂O₂ and plays a significant role in the formation of atmospheric aerosols via the oxidation of H₂O by stabilized Criegee intermediate [31]. There are four stabilized Criegee intermediate formed from cleavage of the O₃-Limonene primary ozonide: stabCI-OO (See stabCI-OO structure in Figure 1) and stabCI-CH₃-OO (See stabCI-CH₃-OO structure in Figure 2) formed from the endocyclic primary ozonide decomposition and stabClₓ-OO (See stabClₓ–OO structure in Figure 3) and stabCH₂OO formed from the exocyclic primary ozonide decomposition [41].
Scheme 1. Different fates of RR'C (OH)OOH formed in the reaction of the stabilized Criegee intermediate and water depending on the chemical nature of the R and R' substitutes.

The mechanistic diagrams for the reactions of H₂O with three stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabCl-OO, stabCl-CH₃-OO and stabClₓ-OO) from ozonolysis of limonene are shown in Schemes 2–4, respectively. The structures of the intermediate adduct and transition states are denoted as M and TS, respectively. The H₁_S, H₂_S and H₃_S are used to distinguish the reactions of H₂O with stabCl-OO, stabCl-CH₃-OO and stabClₓ-OO. The reactions of H₂O with all the three stabilized Criegee intermediates are three-step reactions. For each reaction, a hydrogen-bond complex is initially formed. The further evolution of the hydrogen-bond complex formed occurs via two different reaction pathways:

1. Formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration. The water molecule assists the H migration toward the terminal oxygen of the COO moiety, which could lead to the formation of OH radicals.

2. Formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide. Cycloaddition of H₂O to stabilized Criegee intermediate, where the oxygen of water is linked to the carbon atom of the stabilized Criegee intermediate while a hydrogen atom of water is transferred to the terminal oxygen of the COO unit.

The reaction pathway (2) have different fates depending on the nature of the R and R' substitutes in subsequent reactions. For reaction between stabCl-OO and H₂O, the M₁H₁_S (hydrogen-bond complex) is getting transformed via the two main reaction pathways (pathway (1) and pathway (2)) through the corresponding transition states (TSM₁₁H₁_S and TSM₁₂H₁_S), producing M₂H₁_S and M₃H₁_S, respectively. In pathway (1), M₂H₁_S evolves via losing water molecule and the transition state (TSM₄H₁_S), to produce OH and R₁H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐH₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)). M₃H₁_S in pathway (2) may have different degradation paths. M₃H₁_S can be transformed via the transition state (TSM₃₁H₁_S) into OH and R₂H₁_S radicals (pathway (ₐ₁H₁_S)).
**Scheme 2.** Mechanistic diagram for the reaction between H₂O and stabCl-OO arising from the limonene ozonolysis.

**Scheme 3.** Mechanistic diagram for the reaction between H₂O and stabCl-CH₃-OO arising from the limonene ozonolysis.
Scheme 4. Mechanistic diagram for the reaction between H2O and stabCIx-OO arising from the limonene ozonolysis.

In the reaction between stabCI-CH3-OO and H2O, the hydrogen-bonded complex M1H2_S evolves via their corresponding transition states (TSM11H2_S and TSM12H2_S), leading to the formation of M2H2_S and M3H2_S, respectively. In pathway (1), M2H2_S evolves via the detachment of the water molecule and the transition state (TSM4H2_S), to produce OH and R1H2_S radicals (pathway (aH2_S)). M3H2_S may follow three different reaction pathways in pathway (2). M3H2_S can be transformed into OH and R2H2_S radicals (pathway (b1H2_S)) or via the transition states (TSM31H2_S and TSM32H2_S), into H2O, R3H2_S, R4H2_S, limononaldehyde and H2O2. The reaction between stabCI-CH3-OO and H2O follows the same pathways as those for the reaction of stabCI-CH3-OO and H2O. The M1H3_S can evolve via their corresponding transition states (TSM11H3_S and TSM12H3_S) to formation of OH radical, R1H3_S radical, R2H3_S radical, R3H3_S, R4H3_S, H2O, Keto-limonene and H2O2.

The formations of limononic acid, limononaldehyde and keto-limonene are consistent with the experimental results for the reaction between three stabilized Criegee intermediates and H2O in Limonene-ozone reaction in UNC smog chamber [32,43].

Although the important role of the reaction between Criegee intermediates from ozonolysis of limonene and H2O for the formation of SOA and organic acids affecting both the global climate changes and public health is well established, the mechanism of these reactions remains poorly understood. Since the stability of the Criegee intermediates is moderately weak, the computational quantum chemistry is the most efficient for determining the geometric and electronic structures of these chemically activated complexes. Since reaction between the simple stabCH2OO and H2O has been investigated in some detail [6,11,44–51] in the past, the mechanism of this reaction is left out of the scope of the present paper.
In the present paper, the reaction of H\textsubscript{2}O with three more complex stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabCI-OO, stabCI-CH\textsubscript{3}-OO and stabClx-OO) from ozonolysis of limonene has been investigated in order to gain new insights of the oxidation mechanisms under atmospheric conditions. Ab initio and DFT methods have been employed to obtain geometries and energies of the transition states and subsequent degradation products. Reaction and activation energies for the reaction between the three stabilized Criegee intermediates and H\textsubscript{2}O have been computed at different levels of theory, including the higher level CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF. A thorough thermochemical analysis has been carried out and its results and implications for the ozonolysis of limonene have been discussed.

2. Computational Details

The computations were performed using GAUSSIAN 03 suite of programs [52] on the SGI ALTIX 4700 supercomputer. The geometry optimization of all reactants, stabilized Criegee intermediates, transition states, and products was executed using Becke’s three-parameter hybrid method employing the LYP correction function (B3LYP) with the split valence polarized basis set 6-31G(d,p) [10,53]. The stationary points were classified as minima in the case, when no imaginary frequencies were found, and as a transition state in the case, when only one imaginary frequency was obtained. In order to verify the transition states connecting reactants and products, the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) analysis [54] at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory has also been applied to each transition state of every reaction. We just freely optimized the original three CI structure to get the minima conformer, then keeping the conformer for the succedent reactions. (see Figures 1–3). There exists difference between our optimized conformation and those in other studies. For example, in our study, we have chosen opposite orientation on stabCI-OO and stabClx-OO from what Baptista et al. did [55]. In general, we think our method of computational process is applicable for explanation of unanimous reaction between the same conformer of CI with water. The DFT structures were then used in the single-point energy calculations using frozen core second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) and coupled-cluster theory with single and double excitations including perturbative corrections for the triple excitations (CCSD(T)) [56] with various basis sets. The basis set effects on calculated energies for the reactions of stabilized Criegee intermediates with H\textsubscript{2}O were corrected at MP2 level according to the developed method, which has been successfully applied for studying the complex reaction mechanisms and pathways of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the atmosphere [57]. A correction factor (CF) has been determined from the energy difference between the MP2/6-31G(d) and MP2/6-311++G(d,p) levels. Energies calculated at the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) level of theory have been corrected using the aforementioned MP2 level corrections. The application of the CF has been validated in several studies of isoprene and limonene reactions initiated by NO\textsubscript{3}, OH and O\textsubscript{3} [57–61]. For example, new important results have been obtained using the same method for the reaction of stabilized Criegee intermediates from the ozonolysis of limonene with sulfur dioxide in the recent paper [41]. These considerations lead us to conclude that the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF selected as a primary method and calculation scheme are appropriate for studying the reactions of VOCs with ozone or OH radical and description of the Criegee intermediates in the ozonolysis of VOCs reaction [35,37,47,62–66].
Figure 1. Geometries of the stationary points in the stabCI-OO + H₂O reaction obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Bond lengths and intermolecular distances are given in Å.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Reaction Mechanism

The reactions of H$_2$O and limonene stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabCl-OO, stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and stabClx-OO) occur via the six main reaction pathways. Each transition state has only one imaginary harmonic vibrational frequency and can be classified as the first-order saddle point. The values of imaginary frequencies for TSM11H$_1$ _S, TSM12H$_1$ _S, TSM11H$_2$ _S, TSM12H$_2$ _S, TSM11H$_3$ _S and TSM12H$_3$ _S transition states are $1516.76i$, $434.95i$, $1584.16i$, $590.54i$, $1586.31i$ and $593.62i$, respectively.

Figure 1 presents the optimized geometries of the stationary points for the reaction of stabCl-OO and H$_2$O obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory and the most important corresponding geometrical properties such as bond lengths and bond angles. Scheme 2 and Figure 1 show that the reactions of stabCl-OO with H$_2$O initially lead to the formation of the hydrogen-bond complex M1H$_1$ _S. Then the M1H$_1$ _S evolves via the transition state TSM11H$_1$ _S (formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path or reaction (1)), to the hydrogen-bond complex M2H$_1$ _S, which can evolve into hydroperoxide M4H$_1$ _S and water. Finally, the cleavage of the O-OH bond in M4H$_1$ _S may lead to OH and R1H$_1$ _S radicals via the transition state TSM4H$_1$ _S along the reaction path (aH$_1$ _s).

As seen from Figure 1, there are some major changes in main bonds. In particular, the hydrogen-bond M1H$_1$ _S complex includes a seven-membered ring. The distance between O4 and H9 connecting the terminal oxygen atom (O4) of the C1O3O4 group belonging to stabCl-OO to the hydrogen atom (H9), is 1.837 Å, while the length of the O8–H9 bond is 0.982 Å. The length of H5–O8 bond, which connects the oxygen (O8) of original water to the hydrogen atom (H5), is 2.363 Å. The corresponding transition (TSM11H$_1$ _S) shows that the transfer of the hydrogen atom (H5) linked to the carbon atom (C2) to the water molecule can take place, and the transfer of one hydrogen atom (H9) from water to the terminal oxygen of the C1O3O4 group can also occur at the same time. This indicates that the water molecule acts as a catalyst of the hydrogen migration. A comparison of the corresponding transition (TSM11H$_1$ _S) structure with M1H$_1$ _S shows that the C2-H5 bond length increases by 0.232 Å to 1.331 Å, while the H5–O8 distance decreases by 1.031 Å to 1.332 Å. The O8–H9 bond length increases by 0.166 Å to 1.148 Å, while the O4-H9 bond length decreases by 0.536 Å to 1.301 Å. C2–H5 and O8–H9 distances in TSM11H$_1$ _S continue to increase, leading to both C2–H5 and O8–H9 bonds broken and the formation of O8–H5 and O4–H9 bonds. At the same time, the hydrogen-bond complex M2H$_1$ _S is formed. The lengths of C2–H5, H5–O8, O8–H9 and O4–H9 bonds in M2H$_1$ _S are 2.567 Å, 0.971 Å, 1.804 Å, 0.990 Å and 1.446 Å, respectively. A hydroperoxide M4H$_1$ _S and water are formed from the M2H$_1$ _S. The O3–O4 and O4–H9 bonds in M4H$_1$ _S experiencing minor changes during this process are of 1.448Å and 0.973 Å, respectively. Finally, the O–OH bond in M4H$_1$ _S is broken to form of O4H9 and R1H$_1$ _S radicals via the TSM4H$_1$ _S transition state. The O3–O4 bond in the peroxide (2.049 Å) in TSM4H$_1$ _S complex gets elongated by 0.601 Å compared to that in the M4H$_1$ _S. The reaction (1) may be considered as a possible significant source of atmospheric OH radicals. However, this pathway is a poor OH source. Because the vinyl
hydroperoxides (M4H1_S) formed in this pathway is easily to collisionally stabilized under atmospheric condition and thus impeded OH formation [67,68].

M1H1_S can also evolve via the transition state TSM12H1_S (formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide or reaction (2)), into a α-hydroxy hydroperoxide M3H1_S. In this case, the formation of transition state TSM12H1_S is associated with the evolution of five-membered ring from the M1H1_S, where O8–H10 group and H9 atom belonging to the water move towards the C1 atom and the terminal oxygen atom (O4) of the C1O3O4 group belonging to stabCl-OO, respectively. There are also significant changes in the length of the main bonds. In particular, the O4–H9 bond length (1.408 Å) in the corresponding transition (TSM12H1_S) complex decreases by 0.429 Å and O8–H9 bond (1.103 Å) increases by 0.121 Å compared with those in M1H1_S. The distance between C1 and O8 in the TSM12H1_S is 2.037 Å. Then, the α-hydroxy hydroperoxide M1H1_S can be formed according to the following scheme: both C1–O8 and O4–H9 distances in the TSM12H1_S continue to decrease, leading to O8–H9 bonds broken and the formation of both C1-O8 (1.402 Å) and O4–H9 (0.971 Å) bonds in the M3H1_S.

The M3H1_S has six different reaction pathways (b1H1_S-b6H1_S). In the reaction pathway b1H1_S, M3H1_s initially evolves via the transition state TSM31H1_S. Then, the O3–O4 bond (2.452 Å) of TSM31H1_S is broken and the formation of R2H1_S and O4H9 radicals occurs. The b1H1_S of reaction (2) may be considered as another possible source of atmospheric OH radicals.

In the reaction pathway b2H1_S/b3H1_S, M3H1_S evolves via the transition state TSM32H1_S/TSM33H1_S, yielding M5H1_S/M6H1_S. This process occurs according to the following scheme: the H5/H6 connected to C2 moves towards O4–H9 group, while H7 linked to C1 migrates to C2 instead of H5/H6. After both O4-H5/O4-H6 (0.967 Å/0.965 Å) and C2-H7 (1.093 Å/1.906 Å) bonds are formed, the formation of M5H1_S/M6H1_S is completed. The O3–O4 bond increases to 2.274 Å/2.268 Å in TSM32H1_S/TSM33H1_S, and elongates to 3.148 Å/2.923 Å in M5H1_S/M6H1_S. Finally, the O3–O4 bond in the M5H1_S/M6H1_S is broken, leading to the formation of limononic acid A/limononic acid B and water. The reaction pathway b4H1_S is similar to b2H1_S. H7 connected to C1 migrates to the O4–H9 group, forming O4–H7 bond. The M3H1_S transforms via the transition state TSM34H1_S, into M7H1_S. Finally, the limononic acid C and water are formed from M7H1_S. The reaction pathway b5H1_S is quite similar to b2H1_S. However, in contrast to the b2H1_S, H7 connected to C1 moves towards O3, while H10 bonded to O8 migrates to O4–H9 group. After O8–H10 bond is broken and both O3–H7 (0.989 Å) and O4-H10 (0.975 Å) bonds are formed, the formation M8H1_S is completed. Then, the O3-O4 bond in the M8H1_S gets broken, leading to the formation of limononic acid D and water. In the reaction pathway b6H1_S, M3H1_S evolves via the transition state TSM36H1_S, into M9H1_S. This process occurs according to the following scheme: H10 connected to O8 moves towards O3 and the distance of O8-H10 increases by 0.720 Å reaching 1.687 Å, while the C1–O3 bond is elongated by 1.980 Å reaching 3.411 Å in TSM36H1_S. TSM36H1_S then transforms into M9H1_S as the H10 connected to O8 continue to move towards O3 and the distance of O8-H10 gets increased to 1.839 Å, while the C1–O3 distance increases to 3.477 Å. After the O3–H10 bond (0.983 Å) is formed, the formation of M9H1_S is completed. Finally, the limonoaldehyde and H2O2 are formed from M9H1_S. The b6H1_S can also be considered as a possible source of atmospheric H2O2.
Scheme 3 and Figure 2 show that the reaction of stabCI-CH$_3$-OO with H$_2$O exhibits the same behavior as stabCI-OO + H$_2$O reaction. At the first step, the reacting stabCI-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O evolve into a hydrogen-bonded M1H$_2$ S complex of seven-membered ring. The M1H$_2$ S finally transforms via the transition state TSM11H$_2$ S (reaction (1)) into OH and R1H$_2$ S radicals (pathway (aH$_2$ S)) and via the TSM12H$_2$ S (reaction (2)) into OH radical, R2H$_2$ S radical, H$_2$O, R3H$_2$ S, R4H$_2$ S, limononaldehyde and H$_2$O$_2$ (pathway (b1H$_2$ S–b3H$_2$ S)).

Figure 2. Geometries of the stationary points in the stabCI-CH$_3$-OO + H$_2$O reaction obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Bond lengths and intermolecular distances are given in Å.

Scheme 4 and Figure 3 also show that the reaction between stabCIx-OO and H$_2$O exhibits the same pattern and occurs according to the same scheme as those for the reaction between stabCI-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O. The reaction between stabCIx-OO and H$_2$O leads to the formation of a hydrogen-bonded M1H$_3$ S complex with seven-membered ring. Finally, the M1H$_3$ S finally evolves via the transition states TSM11H$_3$ S (reaction (1)) and TSM12H$_3$ S (reaction (2)) into OH radical, R1H$_3$ S radical, R2H$_3$ S radical, R3H$_3$ S, R4H$_3$ S, H$_2$O, Keto-limonene and H$_2$O$_2$. 
3.2. Thermochemical Analysis

Although the higher level CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF method has been chosen as a principal computational method for the present study, in order to ensure the quality of the obtained results and to validate the obtained conclusions, reaction and activation energies for the reaction between stabCl-OO and H₂O with the Zero-Point Correction (ZPE) included were also calculated using several other methods used to study the similar systems in the past. The results of the present study are given in Table 1. As it may be seen from Table 1, the reaction and activation energies calculated at different levels are in qualitative agreement in most of the cases studied (not exceed 10 kcal mol⁻¹). Only in a few cases, such as the energy of TSM31H1_S relative to M3H1_S, the values predicted B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-31G(d), MP2/6-311++G(d,p) and CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) are quite different from those given by the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF with the differences of 23.75 kcal mol⁻¹, 21.22 kcal mol⁻¹, 27.14 kcal mol⁻¹ and 2.53 kcal mol⁻¹ respectively, presumably most accurate method used in the present study.
### Table 1. Reaction and activation energies ($E$) with Zero-Point Correction (ZPE) included (kcal mol$^{-1}$) for the reaction between stabCl-OO and H$_2$O at different levels of theory.

| Compound            | Relative to               | $E$(B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) | $E$(MP2/6-31G(d)) | $E$(MP2/6-311++G(d,p)) | $E$(CCSD(T)/6-31G(d)) | $E$(CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| stabCl-OO + H$_2$O  | stabCl-OO + H$_2$O        | 0.00                   | 0.00              | 0.00                   | 0.00                   | 0.00                        |
| M1H1_S              | M1H1_S                    | -8.65                  | -7.27             | -7.54                  | -7.54                  | -6.16                       |
| TSM11H1_S           | M1H1_S                    | 13.27                  | 11.44             | 8.52                   | 18.51                  | 16.68                       |
| M2H1_S              | TSM11H1_S                 | -34.17                 | -34.57            | -26.94                 | -35.09                 | -35.50                       |
| M4H1_S + H$_2$O     | M2H1_S                    | 8.80                   | 5.64              | 8.50                   | 7.91                   | 4.74                         |
| TSM4H1_S            | M4H1_S                    | 24.29                  | 18.38             | 29.60                  | 20.51                  | 14.60                       |
| R1H1_S + OH         | TSM4H1_S                  | -10.64                 | -8.29             | -17.17                 | -9.39                  | -7.04                       |
| TSM12H1_S           | M1H1_S                    | 14.96                  | 17.01             | 13.62                  | 16.78                  | 18.83                       |
| M3H1_S              | TSM12H1_S                 | -50.15                 | -50.35            | -41.65                 | -47.92                 | -48.12                       |
| TSM31H1_S           | M3H1_S                    | 56.79                  | 54.26             | 60.18                  | 35.57                  | 33.04                       |
| R2H1_S + OH         | TSM31H1_S                 | -12.35                 | -12.64            | -22.88                 | 2.06                   | 1.78                         |
| TSM32H1_S           | M3H1_S                    | 40.23                  | 32.82             | 46.83                  | 49.85                  | 42.44                       |
| M5H1_S              | TSM32H1_S                 | -121.60                | -120.87           | -124.12                | -126.25                | -125.52                      |
| Limononic acid A + H$_2$O | M5H1_S                 | 7.41                   | 5.01              | 6.31                   | 7.12                   | 4.71                         |
| TSM33H1_S           | M3H1_S                    | 44.84                  | 35.62             | 51.29                  | 54.09                  | 44.87                       |
| M6H1_S              | TSM33H1_S                 | -121.88                | -120.57           | -126.09                | -126.76                | -125.44                      |
| Limononic acid B + H$_2$O | M6H1_S                 | 5.84                   | 3.56              | 5.60                   | 5.53                   | 3.24                         |
| TSM34H1_S           | M3H1_S                    | 53.34                  | 48.18             | 51.67                  | 52.07                  | 46.91                       |
| M7H1_S              | TSM34H1_S                 | -130.73                | -131.72           | -126.97                | -124.94                | -125.93                      |
| Limononic acid C + H$_2$O | M7H1_S                 | 8.06                   | 4.72              | 7.79                   | 7.56                   | 4.22                         |
| TSM35H1_S           | M3H1_S                    | 44.65                  | 42.72             | 34.16                  | 43.70                  | 41.78                       |
| M8H1_S              | TSM35H1_S                 | -125.34                | -129.75           | -111.65                | -119.40                | -123.81                      |
| Limononic acid D + H$_2$O | M8H1_S                 | 8.44                   | 6.46              | 7.78                   | 8.03                   | 6.05                         |
| TSM36H1_S           | M3H1_S                    | 54.94                  | 55.16             | 49.52                  | 52.33                  | 52.55                       |
| M9H1_S              | TSM36H1_S                 | -51.97                 | -51.66            | -47.33                 | -49.38                 | -49.07                       |
| Limononaldehyde $+$ H$_2$O$_2$ | M9H1_S                 | 8.58                   | 6.79              | 8.12                   | 8.26                   | 6.47                         |

* Optimized geometries, vibrational frequencies and ZPE obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

As seen from Table 1 and Figure 4, the most accurate and higher level CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF method show that the initial hydrogen-bond complex M1H1_S is 6.16 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the separate stabCl-OO and H$_2$O. For the reaction of stabCl-OO with H$_2$O, the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) (−35.45 kcal mol$^{-1}$) is more favorable than the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)) (−24.98 kcal mol$^{-1}$) (see Figure 4). The energies for the reaction pathways aH1_S and b1H1_S associated with the formation of OH radical are 12.67 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and 0.63 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Among the seven reaction pathways.
(aH1_S-b6H1_S), the pathways (aH1_S and b1H1_S) for the formation of OH radical are by 12.67 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and 0.63 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the separate stabCI-OO and H$_2$O, the pathway (b6H1_S) for formation of limonoaldehyde and H$_2$O$_2$ is by 25.49 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the separate stabCI-OO and H$_2$O, and the four pathways (b2H1_S-b5H1_S) for formation of limononic acid and water are by 110.25-113.82 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the separate stabCI-OO and H$_2$O. The differences in the reaction energies are close and agree within 3.57 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for all the four pathways (b2H1_S-b5H1_S).

Figure 4. stabCI-OO + H$_2$O reaction coordinates: relative energies of the stationary points located on the separate stabCI-OO and H$_2$O ground-state potential energy surface. The energy values are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$ and were calculated using CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

With respect to the corresponding M1H1_S, the activation energy for the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)) (16.69 kcal mol$^{-1}$) is smaller than that for the formation of $\alpha$-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) (18.83 kcal mol$^{-1}$), indicating that the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)) is slightly more favorable than the formation of $\alpha$-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)). Thus, with the reaction between our optimized stabCI-OO (syn stabCI-OO) and water, this conclusion is opposite to that obtained in the earlier theoretical study of the reaction between isoprene stabilized Criegee intermediates and H$_2$O by Anglada [37]. Since in Anglada’s study [37], the energies for the reaction of water with all the eight isoprene stabilized Criegee intermediates have been calculated using G2(G2M-RCC5) method [38] with all stationary points optimized using the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) and neither comprehensive benchmarks for aforementioned method [38] nor a comparison between the prediction of the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method and G2M-RCC5//B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) methods are available at the present time, the activation energies between reaction (1) and reaction (2)
for stabCl-OO and H2O obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-31G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-311++G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CCSD(T)/6-31G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory in the present study were used to validate our conclusion. The comparison, which indicates that the reaction (1) is more favorable than reaction (2) for stabCl-OO and H2O in all the cases studied (expect for the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) method) largely confirms our conclusion.

The activation energies of the six subsequent reaction pathways for the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) are in the range of 33.04–52.55 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with respect to corresponding M3H1_S, with the b1H1_S as the most favorable pathway of the activation energy of 33.04 kcal mol$^{-1}$. The activation energies for the four pathways (b2H1_S-b5H1_S) are in the range of 41.78–46.91 kcal mol$^{-1}$, and the differences between them are quite small (<3.578 kcal mol$^{-1}$). Figure 4 illustrates the relative energies of the stationary points located on the singlet ground-state the separate stabCl-OO and H2O potential energy surface at the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF level of theory.

Reaction and activation energies for the reaction between stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O with the Zero-Point Correction (ZPE) included were calculated at different levels of theory. The results of the calculations are presented in Table 2. As it may be seen from Table 2 and Figure 5, the higher level CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF predicts that the initial hydrogen-bond complex M1H2_S is 6.35 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the separate stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O. For the reaction of stabCl-CH$_3$-OO with H$_2$O, the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) (−32.17 kcal mol$^{-1}$) is more stable than the formation of OH radicals with the water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)) (−16.70 kcal mol$^{-1}$). (See Figure 5) In the case of the four following reaction pathways (aH2_S-b3H2_S), the reaction energies with the respect to corresponding to the separated stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O, are −3.03 kcal mol$^{-1}$, −3.46 kcal mol$^{-1}$, −10.25 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and −21.30 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The activation energies for the formation of OH radicals from M1H2_S via water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)) (21.98 kcal mol$^{-1}$) is larger than those for the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) (15.53 kcal mol$^{-1}$). This indicates that the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) is more favorable than the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)). The differences in the activation energies between reaction (1) and reaction (2) for stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-31G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-311++G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CCSD(T)/6-31G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory also indicate that the reaction (2) is more favorable than reaction (1) for stabCl-OO and H$_2$O. This conclusion is in agreement with the previous theoretical study of the reaction between isoprene stabilized Criegee intermediates and H$_2$O by Anglada [37].

The activation energies of the three subsequent reaction pathways for the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) are in the range of 35.63–44.04 kcal mol$^{-1}$ with respect to corresponding M3H2_S, with the b1H2_S as the most favorable pathway with the activation energy of 35.63 kcal mol$^{-1}$. Figure 5 illustrates the relative energies of the stationary points located on the singlet ground-state the separate stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O potential energy surface at the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF level of theory.
**Table 2.** Reaction and activation energies ($E$) with Zero-Point Correction (ZPE) included (kcal mol$^{-1}$) for the reaction between stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O at different levels of theory.

| Compound                      | Relative to | $E_{(B3LYP/6-31G(d,p))}$ | $E_{(MP2/6-31G(d))}$ | $E_{(MP2/6-311++G(d,p))}$ | $E_{(CCSD(T)/6-31G(d))}$ | $E_{(CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF)}$ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| stabCl-CH$_3$-OO + H$_2$O    | stabCl-CH$_3$-OO + H$_2$O | 0.00                     | 0.00                | 0.00                      | 0.00                       | 0.00                            |
| M1H$_2$ S                    | M1H$_2$ S   | -11.15                   | -7.94               | -10.45                    | -9.57                      | -6.35                           |
| TSM11H$_2$ S                 | M1H$_2$ S   | 19.60                    | 16.93               | 14.68                     | 24.64                      | 21.98                           |
| M2H$_2$ S                    | TSM11       | -30.19                   | -31.48              | -22.41                    | -31.04                     | -32.32                          |
| M4H$_2$ S + H$_2$O            | M2H$_2$ S   | 8.74                     | 5.66                | 8.71                      | 7.86                       | 4.79                            |
| TSM4H$_2$ S                  | M4H$_2$ S   | 29.25                    | 25.80               | 41.29                     | 20.30                      | 16.86                           |
| R1H$_2$ S + OH               | TSM4H$_2$ S | -17.41                   | -15.58              | -28.27                    | -9.81                      | -7.98                           |
| TSM12H$_2$ S                 | M1H$_2$ S   | 11.72                    | 13.61               | 11.25                     | 13.64                      | 15.53                           |
| M3H$_2$ S                    | TSM12H$_2$ S| -43.36                   | -43.58              | -34.17                    | -41.13                     | -41.35                          |
| R2H$_2$ S + OH               | M3H$_2$ S   | 46.53                    | 43.33               | 38.15                     | 38.84                      | 35.63                           |
| TSM31H$_2$ S                 | M3H$_2$ S   | 45.47                    | 43.40               | 37.90                     | 46.11                      | 44.04                           |
| R3H$_2$ S + R4H$_2$ S + H$_2$O| TSM31H$_2$ S| -20.33                   | -22.99              | -21.49                    | -19.45                     | -22.11                          |
| TSM32H$_2$ S                 | M3H$_2$ S   | 44.87                    | 43.12               | 36.08                     | 44.51                      | 42.76                           |
| M5H$_2$ S                    | TSM32H$_2$ S| -41.41                   | -39.53              | -35.87                    | -41.49                     | -39.62                          |
| Limononaldehyde + H$_2$O$_2$ | M5H$_2$ S   | 9.16                     | 8.17                | 8.14                      | 8.72                       | 7.73                            |

*Optimized geometries, vibrational frequencies and ZPE obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.*

Reaction and activation energies for the reaction between stabCl$_x$-OO and H$_2$O with the Zero-Point Correction (ZPE) included calculated at different levels of theory are shown in Table 3. Table 3 and Figure 6 demonstrate that the values of reaction and activation for the reaction between stabCl$_x$-OO and H$_2$O correlate with those of reaction and activation energies for the reaction of stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O. The formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) is more favorable than the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path or reaction (1). The differences in the activation energies between reaction (1) and reaction (2) for stabCl$_x$-OO and H$_2$O obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-31G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-311++G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) //B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory (4.06 kcal mol$^{-1}$, 0.12 kcal mol$^{-1}$, -0.73 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and 7.16 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively) also indicate (with exception for MP2/6-311++G(d,p)//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method) that the reaction (2) is likely more favorable than reaction (1) for stabCl$_x$-OO and H$_2$O. These findings are consistent with the previous theoretical study of the reaction between isoprene stabilized Criegee intermediates and H$_2$O by Anglada [37]. Figure 6 illustrates the relative energies of the stationary points located on the singlet ground-state the separate stabCl$_x$-OO and H$_2$O potential energy surface at the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF level of theory.
Figure 5. StabCl-CH$_3$-OO + H$_2$O reaction coordinates: relative energies of the stationary points located on the separate stabCl-CH$_3$-OO and H$_2$O ground-state potential energy surface. The energy values are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$ and were calculated using CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

Table 3. Reaction and activation energies ($E$) with Zero-Point Correction (ZPE) included (kcal mol$^{-1}$) for the reaction between stabClx-OO and H$_2$O at different levels of theory.

| Compound                  | Relative to                      | $E$(B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) | $E$(MP2/6-31G(d)) | $E$(MP2/6-311++G(d,p)) | $E$(CCSD(T)/6-31G(d)) | $E$(CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| stabClx-OO + H$_2$O       | stabClx-OO + H$_2$O              | 0.00                   | 0.00              | 0.00                    | 0.00                   | 0.00                      |
| M1H3$_3$ S                | stabClx-OO                       | -10.79                 | -7.67             | -10.22                  | -9.32                  | -6.19                     |
| TSM11H3$_3$ S             | M1H3$_3$ S                       | 15.56                  | 12.93             | 11.36                   | 20.55                  | 17.92                     |
| M2H3$_3$ S                | TSM11H3$_3$ S                    | -30.54                 | -31.66            | -22.01                  | -31.27                 | -32.39                    |
| M4H3$_3$ S + H$_2$O       | M2H3$_3$ S                       | 9.31                   | 6.32              | 8.79                    | 8.35                   | 5.36                      |
| TSM4H3$_3$ S              | M4H3$_3$ S                       | 28.12                  | 24.23             | 34.67                   | 24.14                  | 20.24                     |
| R1H$_3$ S + OH            | TSM4H3$_3$ S                     | -12.80                 | -11.39            | -18.84                  | -10.79                 | -9.38                     |
| TSM12H3$_3$ S             | M1H3$_3$ S                       | 11.50                  | 12.81             | 12.09                   | 13.39                  | 14.70                     |
| M3H3$_3$ S                | TSM12H3$_3$ S                    | -42.89                 | -42.86            | -33.40                  | -40.72                 | -40.69                    |
| R2H3$_3$ S + OH           | M3H3$_3$ S                       | 45.32                  | 42.42             | 35.58                   | 37.28                  | 34.38                     |
| TSM31H3$_3$ S             | M3H3$_3$ S                       | 41.90                  | 38.07             | 34.61                   | 43.19                  | 39.36                     |
| R3H3$_3$ S + R4H3$_3$ S + H$_2$O | TSM31H3$_3$ S                 | -19.76                 | -20.40            | -24.55                  | -20.32                 | -20.95                    |
| TSM32H3$_3$ S             | M3H3$_3$ S                       | 42.47                  | 41.24             | 34.04                   | 42.27                  | 41.04                     |
| M5H3$_3$ S                | TSM32H3$_3$ S                    | -40.78                 | -38.49            | -36.13                  | -41.14                 | -38.86                    |
| Keto-limonene + H$_2$O$_2$ | M5H3$_3$ S                       | 8.20                   | 6.41              | 7.99                    | 7.88                   | 6.10                      |

*Optimized geometries, vibrational frequencies and ZPE obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.
As it may be seen from Tables 1–3, we can conclude that the energy of the initial hydrogen-bond complex (M1H1_S, M1H2_S, M1H3_S) are 6.16 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), 6.35 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 6.19 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than the corresponding separate stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabCl-OO, stabCl-CH\(_3\)-OO, stabClx-OO) and H\(_2\)O at the CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF level of theory. For the formation of OH radicals via the water-catalyzed H migration path (reaction (1)), the activation energies with the respect to hydrogen-bond complex (M1H1_S, M1H2_S, M1H3_S) are 16.68 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), 21.98 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), and 17.92 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, with the reaction (1) for the reaction of stabCl-OO and H\(_2\)O as the most favorable pathway (16.68 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). For the formation of \(\alpha\)-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)), the activation energies are in the range of 14.70–18.83 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) with respect to corresponding hydrogen-bond complex (M1H1_S, M1H2_S, M1H3_S), with the reaction (2) for stabClx-OO + H\(_2\)O reaction as the most favorable pathway (14.70 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)).

Figure 6. stabClx-OO + H\(_2\)O reaction coordinates: relative energies of the stationary points located on the separate stabClx-OO and H\(_2\)O ground-state potential energy surface. The energy values are given in kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and are calculated using CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

4. Conclusions

In the present study, several important aspects of the gas-phase reaction of the stabilized Criegee intermediates and H\(_2\)O have been investigated.

The present study leads us to the following conclusions:

(a) The reaction between the stabilized Criegee intermediates (stabCl-OO, stabCl-CH\(_3\)-OO and stabClx-OO) and H\(_2\)O is the three-step reaction. At the first stage, the formation of a hydrogen-bonded complex occurs. At the second stage, the reaction can proceed via the
following two reaction pathways: (1) formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration; and (2) formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide. The formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)), the reaction of stabCl-OO and H₂O occurs via six different degradation pathways, while the reaction of stabCl-CH₃-OO and stabClₓ-OO with H₂O occurs via three different reaction pathways.

(b) The formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path (aH1_S, aH2_S and aH3_S) and formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction pathways b1H1_S, b1H2_S and b1H3_S) may be considered as possible sources of OH radicals in the Earth’s atmosphere. The formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction pathways b6H1_S, b3H2_S and b3H3_S) can be considered as possible sources of atmospheric H₂O₂.

(c) The CCSD(T)/6-31G(d) + CF activation energies are in the range of 14.70–21.98 kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to corresponding hydrogen-bond complexes (M1H1_S, M1H2_S, M1H3_S) between stabilized Criegee intermediates and H₂O. The formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) for the reaction of stabClₓ-OO and H₂O is the most favorable pathway with the activation energy of 14.70 kcal mol⁻¹.

(d) For the reaction of stabCl-OO and H₂O, with the reaction between our optimized stabCl-OO (syn stabCl-OO) and water, the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path or reaction (1) is more favorable than the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide or reaction (2). This conclusion is opposite to that obtained in the previous theoretical study of the reaction between isoprene stabilized Criegee intermediates and H₂O by Anglada. For the reaction of stabCl-CH₃-OO and stabClₓ-OO with H₂O, the formation of α-hydroxy hydroperoxide (reaction (2)) is more favorable than the formation of OH radicals with water-catalyzed H migration path or reaction (1). This conclusion is consistent with results of the previous theoretical study of the reaction between isoprene stabilized Criegee intermediates and H₂O by Anglada.
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