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Abstract

Background: Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) can prevent death and disease among young children. The proportion of EBF is low in Niger. This study aimed to identify the prevalence and correlates of exclusive breastfeeding.

Methods: We conducted a community-based cross-sectional study in urban and rural areas of Niger among mothers of infants under 7 months old. We used a structured questionnaire to investigate breastfeeding practices, sociodemographic factors, and health service use. We used multivariate analysis to explore the correlates of EBF since birth.

Results: The study involved 234 urban and 283 rural mothers. Colostrum was almost universally given to newborns (98.7% [231/234] urban and 97.9% [277/283] rural) and many mothers started breastfeeding within an hour of giving birth (69.2% [162/234] and 90.5% [256/283]). The proportion of EBF since birth in urban and rural areas was 15.8% (37/234) and 54.4% (154/283), respectively. Among mothers who had ceased EBF, proportion of prelacteal feeding was 85.3% (168/197) in urban areas and 62.0% (80/129) in rural areas, while 93.4% (183/196) and 72.7% (88/121) had stopped EBF within 1 week after birth respectively. The median duration of EBF was 1 week in urban and 2 months in rural areas. In urban areas, EBF was more likely in mothers with infants 3 months old or younger (Adjusted Odds Ratio [AOR] 2.78; 95% Confidence Interval 95% [CI] 1.07, 7.21) and problems with delivery including Caesarean section (AOR 3.60; 95% CI 1.17, 11.01). In rural areas, lower socioeconomic status (AOR 1.89; 95% CI 1.12, 3.18), early initiation of breastfeeding (AOR 4.04; 95% CI 1.50, 10.83) and delivery assisted by a traditional birth attendant (AOR 3.49; 95% CI 1.37, 8.89) were correlated with exclusive breastfeeding.

Conclusions: Exclusive breastfeeding was uncommon. Most mothers ceased EBF within 1 week after birth. Adequate information about EBF by health professionals around delivery seems to encourage its use. To encourage EBF in Niger, it is important to educate health professionals, including traditional birth attendants, and enable them to discuss the practice with mothers through individual counselling or group education.
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Background

Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) for 6 months after birth has been predicted to prevent 823,000 deaths in children under 5 years of age annually, by decreasing the risks of infectious disease and malnutrition [1]. Yet, the prevalence of EBF for 6 months remains low around the world, only 40% according to UNICEF figures [2]. Many studies on factors encouraging EBF have been carried out. Factors affecting breastfeeding practice are generally categorized into cultural, sociodemographic (e.g. urban or rural residence, level of education, parity), socioeconomic, healthcare related (e.g. the number of antenatal care visits, birth place), or related to physical condition of mothers and infants (e.g. birthweight, maternal and infant anthropometric status) and mother’s psychological condition (e.g. mother’s self-efficacy) [3–16]. It is therefore important to understand breastfeeding practice in the local context because these factors will vary.
The estimated population of Niger in 2012 was 16,274,738, and more than 80% lived in rural areas, where the lifestyle is quite different from urban areas [17]. Niger is one of the 15 countries with high child mortality, with its rate being 85/1,000 live births, and pneumonia and diarrhea occupy about one fourth of all causes of deaths [18, 19]. Furthermore, over 40% of infants were suffering from stunting [2]. More than half of the population had no access to clean water [2]. Exclusive breastfeeding is therefore an effective way to save children’s lives in Niger, and a number of national and international programs have already been implemented [20, 21]. Policies, strategies and action plans have been developed at the national level around three key breastfeeding practices: introduction of breastfeeding in the first hour after birth, EBF until 6 months after birth and continued breastfeeding with complementary foods until at least 24 months of age [22]. In addition, Niger has been implementing many of the provisions of the International Code for the Marketing of Breast Milk Substitutes and interventions like Baby-Friendly Hospital of UNICEF in collaboration with the United Nations and non-governmental organizations (NGO) [21]. Prenatal and postnatal care is conducted at the health center (Centre de Santé Intégré) and health post (Cases de Santé) to support breastfeeding practices. However, the prevalence of EBF until 6 months of age in Niger was reported to be 23.3% with its median duration being 1.1 months in 2012 [23]. This prevalence was much lower than the national goal of 50% and that in some neighboring countries like Mali, Burkina Faso and Liberia (37.3, 50.1 and 54.6% respectively). It is therefore important to revise strategies to fit local contexts and use targeted promotion activities.

This study aimed to identify the prevalence and correlates of EBF and find out about feeding practice for infants under 7 months old in Niger.

Methods
This study was conducted in one urban area (Niamey) and in one rural area (BirniN’Konni district) between July and October 2012. The study sites were selected from wards in urban areas and villages in rural areas. They are registered administratively as the smallest local units. Niamey, the capital of Niger, had an estimated population of 1,388,682 in 2012 with its estimated population being 520,622 as of 2012 [17]. This region has a high child mortality rate; 140 per 1,000 live births [23]. Two of 17 health centers in this district were chosen considering accessibility to health services. Among 63 villages covered by those two centers, two of 15 villages with health posts and two of 48 without health posts were chosen randomly.

To be eligible and impartial for variables for breastfeeding, the participants of this community-based cross-sectional study were the biological mothers of infants under 7 months old living in the study sites. Mothers who had any breastfeeding difficulty because of medical conditions were excluded from this study. We recruited participants who lived along streets selected randomly in study site and were at home during our household visits. The participants were informed about our study and their rights, in the local language. Written informed consent was obtained prior to data collection. The child’s month of age was confirmed by mother and child health card or recall using the local event calendars, which had been made for this study.

We used a structured questionnaire with closed-ended questions to collect data about breastfeeding practices for infants, sociodemographic conditions and use of health services (Appendix 1). The trained local data collectors made interview with questionnaires translated into the Zarma or Hausa language. The dietary history in infants was assessed by mother’s recall regarding items which were selected from indicators recommended by WHO to assess feeding practices and local specific liquids and semi-solids [24]. In this study, breastfeeding practice was classified by the following criteria according to WHO and UNICEF; a) early initiation of breastfeeding, meaning initiation of breastfeeding within first hour after birth; b) exclusive breastfeeding (EBF), meaning the infant has only received breast milk, oral rehydration solution (ORS), vitamins, minerals and medicines since birth; c) predominant breastfeeding, meaning the infant who has received breast milk and certain liquids like water and fruit juice; d) complementary feeding, meaning the infant has received breast milk and solid or semi-solid foods and non-human milk and formula. The median duration of EBF was calculated based on infant’s month of age at the time of interview for EBF respondents and cessation for non-EBF respondents. The feeding practice in the 24 h prior to the interview was also asked but was not used for analysis of EBF.

We also asked about the type of house, well and primary water source and family assets to give a total score for socioeconomic status, categorized into higher or lower. The cut-off point depended on the median for each location, because there were significant differences confirmed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. The score of nine or more was used for a higher socioeconomic status in the urban areas, and four or more in the rural
areas. Interviews were conducted by trained data collectors who could communicate in the local language.

We used Epi-Info version 3.5.3 for data entry. The statistical analysis used Stata version 12. The dependent variable in this study was breastfeeding status, categorized into EBF and non-EBF since birth. The chi-square test or Cochran-Armitage test for categorical variables and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables were used to examine the associations between EBF status and other factors. A logistic regression model was used to analyze simultaneous effects of factors on EBF status. Independent variables were entered into logistic regression models if the \( p \) -values associated with the chi-square test, Cochran-Armitage test and Mann-Whitney U test were less than 0.2. The odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated to assess the adjusted risk of independent variables. Separate analyses were done for the urban and rural areas separately, and a \( p \) -value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

A total of 523 mothers were recruited into this study. Five were excluded from the analysis because they did not complete the interviews, and one was excluded because her age was missing. This left 517 cases, 234 urban and 283 rural cases for analysis.

Table 1 shows characteristics of the participating mothers and infants by EBF since birth and residence. In both urban and rural areas, almost half of the infants were male (53.0% in urban and 48.4% in rural). The urban and rural infant’s age distribution indicated that about two-fifth and one-fourth were 0–3 months of age, respectively. Regarding the mother’s age, 67.1% of urban and 76.3% of rural mothers aged < 30 years. Of urban mothers, 50.9% had had any education, whereas 12.0% of rural mothers had some education. For fathers, the percentages were 51.6% for urban and 23.2% for rural. The majority of mothers in both areas were housewives (71.8 and 85.1%). In addition, 93.6% of rural fathers were farmers and 63.7% of urban fathers were self-employed and 26.9% were employees.

Table 2 shows feeding practices by residence. In both areas, colostrum was almost universally given to newborns (98.7% in urban and 97.9% in rural) and many mothers also started breastfeeding within an hour of giving birth (69.2 and 90.5%). The dietary history since birth indicated that few urban mothers practiced EBF (15.8%), while predominant breastfeeding and complementary feeding were popular (40.2 and 44.0%). The proportion of mothers who fed only breast milk for 24 h preceding this survey was less than half (40.6%). By contrast, more than half of the rural mothers practiced EBF (54.4%), and few were using complementary feeding (13.1%). Three-quarters of rural mothers gave only breast milk in the 24 h prior to the interview (75.6%). The median duration of EBF was 1 week in urban areas and 2 months in rural areas. Among mothers who were not exclusively breastfeeding at the time of this survey, 85.3% in urban and 62.0% in rural areas used prelacteal feeds and 93.4 and 72.7% had stopped EBF within 1 week of giving birth.

According to the univariate analysis, problems with delivery including Caesarean section and other temporary episodes of mothers during childbirth, such as non-severe asthmatic attack were significantly associated with practice of EBF in urban areas. On the other hand, in the rural areas, lower educational level for parents, older mother’s age, higher parity, experience of child death, lower socioeconomic status, the assistance of a traditional birth attendant (TBA) and early initiation of breastfeeding were significantly associated with a higher proportion of EBF practice (Table 1).

### Multivariate analysis

In urban areas, mothers of infant 3 months old or younger were significantly more likely to practice EBF than those with infants older than 4 months (AOR 2.78; 95% CI 1.07, 7.21). Problems with delivery including Caesarean section were also related to EBF positively (AOR 3.60; 95% CI 1.17, 11.01) (Table 3). In rural areas, mothers who initiated breastfeeding within 1 h after delivery were more likely to breastfeed their children exclusively (AOR 4.04; 95% CI 1.50, 10.83), as were those whose delivery had been assisted by TBA rather than a health professional (AOR 3.49; 95% CI 1.37, 8.89). Mothers with lower socioeconomic status of the household were more likely to breastfeed exclusively (AOR 1.89; 95% CI 1.12, 3.18) (Table 3).

### Discussion

This study found that EBF was uncommon in both urban and rural areas in Niger though early initiation of breastfeeding and colostrum feeding were consistent with global trends [25, 26]. The proportion of EBF was far below the 90% level required to reduce mortality among children under 5 years of age, though rural mothers were more likely to breastfeed exclusively than the average for the least developed countries (49%) and the West and Central African region (29%) [2, 23]. This may be thanks to presence of TBA as discussed later.
Table 1: Correlates of exclusive breastfeeding practices in rural and urban Niger: a community-based cross-sectional study

| Variable          | Urban (n = 37) | Rural (n = 154) | p-value | Urban (n = 197) | Rural (n = 129) | p-value |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                  | EBF (n) (%)    | Non-EBF (n) (%)|         | EBF (n) (%)    | Non-EBF (n) (%)|         |
| Sex of infant     |                |                |         |                |                |         |
| Male              | 25 (67.6)      | 99 (50.3)      | 0.053   | 79 (51.3)      | 58 (45.0)      | 0.288   |
| Female            | 12 (32.4)      | 98 (49.7)      |         | 75 (48.7)      | 71 (55.0)      |         |
| Infant’s age      |                |                |         |                |                |         |
| 0-1 m             | 6 (16.2)       | 23 (11.7)      |         | 6 (39)         | 3 (2.3)        |         |
| 1-2 m             | 9 (24.3)       | 26 (13.2)      |         | 19 (12.3)      | 11 (8.5)       |         |
| 2-3 m             | 4 (10.8)       | 30 (15.2)      |         | 19 (12.3)      | 16 (12.4)      |         |
| 3-4 m             | 11 (29.7)      | 31 (15.7)      |         | 26 (16.9)      | 27 (20.9)      |         |
| 4-5 m             | 3 (8.1)        | 26 (13.2)      | 0.160   | 40 (26.0)      | 36 (27.9)      |         |
| 5-6 m             | 4 (10.8)       | 31 (15.7)      |         | 25 (16.2)      | 14 (10.9)      |         |
| 6-7 m             | 0 (0.0)        | 30 (15.2)      | 0.160   | 19 (12.3)      | 22 (17.1)      | 0.484   |
| Mother’s age      |                |                |         |                |                |         |
| < 30              | 26 (70.3)      | 131 (66.5)     |         | 110 (71.4)     | 106 (82.2)     |         |
| ≥ 30              | 11 (29.7)      | 66 (33.5)      | 0.654   | 44 (28.6)      | 23 (17.8)      | 0.034   |
| Mother’s education|                |                |         |                |                |         |
| No education      | 20 (54.1)      | 90 (45.7)      |         | 141 (91.6)     | 108 (83.7)     |         |
| Any education     | 14 (37.8)      | 100 (50.8)     |         | 13 (8.4)       | 21 (16.3)      |         |
| Unknown           | 3 (8.1)        | 7 (3.6)        | 0.219   | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)        | 0.043   |
| Father’s education|                |                |         |                |                |         |
| No education      | 19 (51.4)      | 85 (43.1)      |         | 126 (81.8)     | 89 (69.0)      |         |
| Any education     | 15 (40.5)      | 96 (48.7)      |         | 26 (16.9)      | 39 (30.2)      |         |
| Unknown           | 3 (8.1)        | 16 (8.1)       | 0.340   | 2 (1.3)        | 1 (0.8)        | 0.008   |
| Mother’s job      |                |                |         |                |                |         |
| Housewife         | 24 (64.9)      | 144 (73.1)     |         | 130 (84.4)     | 110 (85.3)     |         |
| Agriculture/Self-employed | 7 (18.9)  | 31 (15.7)     |         | 20 (13.0)      | 19 (14.7)      |         |
| Employee          | 4 (10.8)       | 13 (6.6)       |         | 1 (0.6)        | 0 (0.0)        |         |
| Others            | 2 (5.4)        | 9 (4.6)        |         | 2 (1.3)        | 0 (0.0)        |         |
| Unknown           | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)        | 0.730   | 1 (0.6)        | 0 (0.0)        | 0.446   |
| Father’s job      |                |                |         |                |                |         |
| Farmer            | 1 (2.7)        | 3 (1.5)        |         | 147 (95.5)     | 117 (90.7)     |         |
| Self-employed     | 26 (70.3)      | 123 (62.4)     |         | 3 (19)         | 9 (7.0)        |         |
| Employee          | 6 (16.2)       | 57 (28.9)      |         | 2 (1.3)        | 1 (0.8)        |         |
| Others            | 4 (10.8)       | 14 (7.1)       |         | 1 (0.6)        | 2 (1.6)        |         |
| Unknown           | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)        | 0.395   | 1 (0.6)        | 0 (0.0)        | 0.167   |
| Wealth index      |                |                |         |                |                |         |
| Lower             | 16 (43.2)      | 107 (54.3)     |         | 91 (59.1)      | 49 (38.0)      |         |
| Higher            | 21 (56.8)      | 90 (45.7)      | 0.216   | 63 (40.9)      | 80 (62.0)      | < 0.001 |
| Variable                   | Urban       | Rural       | ρ - value | Urban       | Rural       | ρ - value |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|                           | EBF (n = 37) | Non-EBF (n = 197) | ρ - value | EBF (n = 154) | Non-EBF (n = 129) | ρ - value |
| Parity                     |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| 1                          | 8 (21.6)    | 47 (23.9)   | 13 (8.4)  | 26 (20.2)   |             |           |
| 2–3                        | 19 (51.4)   | 75 (38.1)   | 43 (27.9) | 38 (29.5)   |             |           |
| 4–6                        | 9 (24.3)    | 56 (28.4)   | 52 (33.8) | 40 (31.0)   |             |           |
| -7                         | 1 (2.7)     | 19 (9.6)    | 0.329b   | 46 (29.9)   | 25 (19.4)   | 0.004b   |
| Child death                |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Yes                        | 2 (5.4)     | 35 (17.8)   | 67 (43.5) | 36 (27.9)   |             |           |
| No                         | 35 (94.6)   | 162 (82.2)  | 0.059     | 87 (56.5)   | 93 (72.1)   | 0.007    |
| Antenatal care             |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Yes                        | 37 (100.0)  | 196 (99.5)  | 138 (89.6) | 116 (89.9)  |             |           |
| No                         | 0 (0.0)     | 1 (0.5)     | 15 (9.7)  | 13 (10.1)   |             |           |
| Unknown                    | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0.664     | 1 (0.6)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0.939    |
| Place of delivery          |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Health facilities          | 34 (91.9)   | 184 (93.4)  | 103 (66.9) | 103 (79.8)  |             |           |
| Home                       | 3 (8.1)     | 13 (6.6)    | 48 (31.2) | 25 (19.4)   |             |           |
| Others                     | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (1.3)   | 1 (0.8)     |             |           |
| Unknown                    | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0.739     | 1 (0.6)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0.061    |
| Type of birth attendant    |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Professional               | 34 (91.9)   | 184 (93.4)  | 108 (70.1) | 105 (81.4)  |             |           |
| TBA                        | 0 (0.0)     | 4 (2.0)     | 27 (17.5) | 8 (6.2)     |             |           |
| No professional            | 3 (8.1)     | 9 (4.6)     | 17 (11.0) | 15 (11.6)   |             |           |
| Unknown                    | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0.468     | 2 (1.3)     | 1 (0.8)     | 0.014    |
| Problems with delivery     |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Normal                     | 31 (83.8)   | 187 (94.9)  | 151 (98.1) | 124 (96.1)  |             |           |
| Cesarean and others        | 6 (16.2)    | 10 (5.1)    | 0.014     | 3 (1.9)     | 5 (3.9)     | 0.330    |
| Postnatal carec             |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Yes                        | 18 (81.8)   | 133 (89.9)  | 111 (86.0) | 98 (85.2)   |             |           |
| No                         | 1 (4.5)     | 9 (6.1)     | 17 (13.2) | 16 (13.9)   |             |           |
| Unknown                    | 3 (13.6)    | 6 (4.1)     | 0.855     | 1 (0.8)     | 1 (0.9)     | 0.865    |
| Colostrum                  |             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Yes                        | 37 (100.0)  | 194 (98.5)  | 152 (98.7) | 125 (96.9)  |             |           |
| No                         | 0 (0.0)     | 3 (1.5)     | 0.450     | 2 (1.3)     | 4 (3.1)     | 0.295    |
| Initiation of Breastfeeding|             |             |           |             |             |           |
| Within 1 h                 | 25 (67.6)   | 137 (69.5)  | 147 (95.5) | 109 (84.5)  |             |           |
| After 1 h                  | 12 (32.4)   | 60 (30.5)   | 7 (4.5)   | 19 (14.7)   |             |           |
| Unknown                    | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0.011     | 0 (0.0)     | 1 (0.8)     | 0.003    |

Note: EBF was defined as breastfeeding only with receiving breast milk, oral rehydration solution (ORS), vitamins, minerals and medicines since birth

* Mann-Whitney U test  b Cochran-Armitage test  c170 among 234 urban mothers and 244 among 283 rural mothers with infant aged more than 2 months were used for chi-square test
Exclusive breastfeeding had stopped most often within 1 week of giving birth in both urban and rural areas. Many mothers ceased EBF because of prelacteal feeding. A high rate of prelacteal feeding has also been reported in other African countries [8, 9, 27]. The mothers may have thought that giving certain liquids and semi-solid (honey) foods would not mean stopping EBF because these foods were given once only, as part of a custom or as medicine. Many Nigerians who are Muslim, have been reported to hold a ceremony giving their new infants water from Mecca or water treated by a religious leader, as part of a prayer for the infant’s health and intelligence. As part of a local cultural tradition in Niger, infants are often given orange juice and a decoction as prelacteal feeds and this is a common practice among African mothers [8]. Mothers in this study also gave orange juice, decoction and honey to treat infant illnesses. Local culture therefore remains one of the biggest obstacles to EBF for 6 months in Niger, where coordination between local cultures and EBF needs to be established.

In this study, sociodemographic factors associated with EBF included younger infants in urban areas and lower socioeconomic status in rural areas. These findings are consistent with other studies in low and middle income countries [15, 16, 28]. As previous studies showed, rural mothers who practiced early initiation of breastfeeding tended to breastfeed exclusively [16, 29]. Urban mothers who had problems with delivery including Caesarean section and rural mothers whose deliveries had been assisted by TBA were more likely to breastfeed exclusively though these positive effects on EBF are not consistent with other studies [7, 30–35]. Both results may reveal a key point to promote EBF, that there be sufficient communication with a professional at the time of delivery. As for problems with delivery including Caesarean section, some studies reported failure of practicing EBF because of discomfort and delay giving of breast milk by mother-infant separation [16, 30, 34–36]. However, mother-infant separation at hospital after Caesarean section did not occur in the urban areas of this study because of congestion with patients in the limited number of the postnatal rooms [17]. In addition, urban mothers having problems with delivery may become more conscious of the importance of EBF and receive additional information on EBF from health professionals during longer hospitalization. A mother’s contact with health services affects EBF positively because of greater exposure to information about breastfeeding from health professionals [7, 37–39]. However, just getting information through antenatal care is not sufficient for behavioral change [33]. Shirima et al. [32] reported that information given before discharge after normal delivery at a hospital was not related to practice of EBF because of the short duration of the hospital stay and maternal fatigue after normal delivery. Several opportunities for mothers to obtain adequate information about breastfeeding in appropriate situations should be provided to promote EBF as shown in other studies [32, 40, 41]. In the rural areas of our study, at least one TBA in each village in this study had been trained to promote optimal breastfeeding. They played a role similar to health professionals in urban areas. Information about optimal breastfeeding from TBAs who are familiar to women may improve practice of EBF though this has not been shown in previous studies [7, 37–39]. In rural areas, a TBA has a considerable influence on pregnancy and delivery, greater than health professionals and the pregnant women’s health and intelligence.

### Table 2 Infant feeding practice by residence

| Variable                      | Urban (n = 234) | Rural (n = 283) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Initiation of breastfeeding    |                 |                 |
| Within 1 h                    | 162 (69.2)      | 256 (90.5)      |
| After 1 h                     | 72 (30.8)       | 26 (9.2)        |
| Unknown                       | 0 (0.0)         | 1 (0.4)         |
| Colostrum feeding             |                 |                 |
| Yes                           | 231 (98.7)      | 277 (97.9)      |
| No                            | 3 (1.3)         | 6 (2.1)         |
| Prelacteal feeding            |                 |                 |
| Yes                           | 168 (71.8)      | 80 (28.3)       |
| No                            | 65 (27.8)       | 203 (71.3)      |
| Unknown                       | 1 (0.4)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| Feeding status in last 24 h   |                 |                 |
| Only breastfeeding            | 95 (40.6)       | 214 (75.6)      |
| Not only breastfeeding        | 136 (58.1)      | 69 (24.4)       |
| Unknown                       | 3 (1.3)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| Feeding status since birth    |                 |                 |
| EBF                           | 37 (15.8)       | 154 (54.4)      |
| Predominant breastfeeding      | 94 (40.2)       | 92 (32.5)       |
| Complementary feeding         | 103 (44.0)      | 37 (13.1)       |
| Duration of EBF               |                 |                 |
| Within 1 week after birth     | 183 (78.2)      | 88 (31.1)       |
| After 1 week of birth         | 4 (1.7)         | 12 (4.2)        |
| -1 month                      | 2 (0.9)         | 9 (3.2)         |
| 1-2 months                    | 0 (0.0)         | 10 (3.5)        |
| 2-3 months                    | 1 (0.4)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| 3-4 months                    | 1 (0.4)         | 2 (0.7)         |
| 4-5 months                    | 2 (0.9)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| 5-6 months                    | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| After 6 months of birth       | 3 (1.3)         | 0 (0.0)         |
| EBF continue                  | 37 (15.8)       | 154 (54.4)      |
| Unknown                       | 1 (0.4)         | 8 (2.8)         |

Note: EBF was defined as breastfeeding only with receiving breast milk, ORS, vitamins, minerals and medicines since birth.
mothers. On the other hand, the influence of TBA has become more limited in urban areas and they have largely been replaced by health professional [42]. This study confirmed that the practice of EBF increased following enough communication about breastfeeding with professionals, whether health professionals for urban mothers or TBA for rural mothers.

This study has some limitations. The assessment of feeding by retrospective self-report was less valid than by observation of feeding for a considerable period, because information on feeding history depended on only the mother’s recall. Idealized breastfeeding practices could have been reported because of social desirability bias since all information was obtained from face-to-face interviews. It could also be possible that some rural mothers made false reports about feeding history to receive aid during a food crisis in 2012.

Conclusions
In this study, the practice of EBF for infants under 7 months was not common though feeding colostrum and early initiation of breastfeeding were universal. Many mothers stopped EBF early in both urban and rural areas because of prelacteal feeding. Urban mothers with younger infants, had problems with delivery, and rural mothers with low socioeconomic status, early initiation of breastfeeding and delivery assistance by TBA were more likely to practice exclusive breastfeeding. These findings suggest that mothers need adequate information about optimal breastfeeding by professionals, at the time of delivery. Training health professionals including TBA not only on the promoters but also on the barriers of EBF such as prelacteal feeding can be a practical action the country can take forward. Their supportive individual counseling or group education for mothers and their families about breastfeeding, including the reassurance that there is no need to give the baby any other drink or food, could help to improve levels of EBF in Niger.
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