Structural Evidence That the 32-Kilodalton Lipoprotein (Tp32) of Treponema pallidum Is an \(\text{L}-\text{Methionine-binding Protein}\)^*-
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A structure-to-function approach was undertaken to gain insights into the potential function of the 32-kDa membrane lipoprotein (Tp32) of Treponema pallidum, the syphilis bacterium. The crystal structure of rTp32 (determined at a resolution of 1.85 \(\text{Å}\)) shows that the organization of rTp32 is similar to other periplasmic ligand-binding proteins (PLBPs), in that it consists of two \(\alpha/\beta\) domains, linked by two crossovers, with a binding pocket between them. In the pocket, a molecule of \(\text{L}-\text{methionine}\) was detected in the electron density map. Residues from both domains interact with the ligand. One of the crossover regions is comprised of a \(\beta_{10}\)-helix, a feature not typical in other ligand-binding proteins. Sequence comparison shows strong similarity to other hypothetical methionine-binding proteins. Together, the data support the notion that rTp32 is a component of a periplasmic methionine uptake transporter system in \(T.\) pallidum.

Although syphilis is one of the oldest recognized sexually transmitted diseases, it remains among the most poorly understood. This primarily is a consequence of the fact that the etiological agent, \(T.\) pallidum, cannot be cultivated continuously in vitro (1). Hence, classical approaches for discerning \(T.\) pallidum membrane biology, which could reveal key aspects of its enigmatic parasitic strategy, are largely constrained (2, 3).

It is now generally accepted that lipoproteins are important integral membrane proteins of \(T.\) pallidum (4, 5); in fact, lipoproteins represent about 3% of the total coding capacity of the treponemal genome (6). In other bacteria, lipoproteins have importance as virulence factors, modular components of ATP-binding cassette (ABC)^1 transporters, receptors, protective immune targets, and pro-inflammatory agonists that contribute significantly to innate immune responses (5, 7–13). However, with one exception (9, 14), existing gene sequences and/or BLAST search information have not been fruitful for predicting the functions of treponemal lipoproteins. As an alternative approach to understanding the peculiar membrane biology of \(T.\) pallidum (2, 3, 15), we recently embarked on a structural biology initiative that seeks to garner structural insights into putative functions for a number of the \(T.\) pallidum membrane lipoproteins.

To this end, x-ray crystallographic studies were performed on Tp32 (gene number Tp0821). In the course of these studies, \(\text{L}-\text{methionine}\) was detected within a putative ligand-binding protein-like cleft. This has led us to conclude that Tp32 likely serves as a periplasmic receptor for \(\text{L}-\text{methionine}\), probably lipid-linked to the outer leaflet of the \(T.\) pallidum cytoplasmic membrane. These findings add to our knowledge of the functions of treponemal membrane lipoproteins. The importance of Tp32 as a receptor for \(\text{L}-\text{methionine}\), as well as its unique features, is discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Construction of His\(_6\)-tagged rTp32—To create a non-lipidated version of recombinant Tp32 (rTp32) in Escherichia coli, a fragment encoding amino acids 2–245 (residue 1 is the post-translationally modified N-terminal cysteine) was amplified by PCR using a genomic DNA template isolated from \(T.\) pallidum. The PCR primers were 5′-\textit{aagcG-GATCCACTTCGACGTAAGGTAAAGTCTAGG}3′ and 3′-\textit{GAACTTACAAAGCCGACCCACCTCC-3′}. The forward primer contained both an \(\text{Ncol}\) overhang and a BamHI site (bold); the reverse primer contained an \(\text{NcoI}\) overhang and a HindIII site (bold). PCR was performed using \(\text{Taq}\) DNA polymerase with an annealing temperature of 55 °C. The amplification product was directionally cloned into the \(p\)ProEX HTb vector (Invitrogen) that adds an N-terminal His\(_4\) tag followed by a Tobacco Etch Virus protease cleavage site. Ligations were transformed into \(E.\) coli XLI-Blue competent cells (Stratagene), and colonies that tested positive by restriction digest were sequenced for verification.

Expression and Purification of rTp32—\(E.\) coli XLI-Blue containing the cloned \(tp32\) gene fusion was grown at 37 °C in LB medium containing 100 \(\mu\)g/ml of ampicillin until the cell density reached an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.6. The culture was then shifted to 30 °C for 5 h with 600 \(\mu\)M imiprost-1-thio-\(\delta\)-galactopyranoside. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed at room temperature for 30 min using 50 mM\(_6\)C buffer of C-B-\(\text{PER}\) II (Pierce). The resulting suspension was spun at 27,000 \(\times\) \(g\) for 15 min to pellet the cell debris. rTp32 was isolated from the supernatant by immobilization on a Ni\(_{10}\)-affinity column and was eluted using 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 200 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole. Protein buffer was exchanged with 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 15 mM \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM octyl-\(\beta\)-d-glucoside (buffer A) by ultrafiltration using an Amicon device with a 10,000 molecular weight cutoff. Protein; QBP, glutamine-binding protein; MUT, methionine uptake transporter; PLBPs, periplasmic ligand-binding proteins; r.m.s., root mean square.
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TABLE I

Data collection, phasing, and refinement statistics.

| Structure | Native | SeMet |
|-----------|--------|-------|
| Space group | P2₁2₁2₁ | P2₁2₁2₁ |
| a | 96.248 | 95.893 |
| b | 46.404 | 46.348 |
| c | 53.217 | 53.154 |
| Resolution, Å | 35.5–1.85 (1.88–1.85) | 50–1.65 (1.69–1.65) |
| No. reflections | 87,097 (2474) | 37,993 (11744) |
| Unique | 38,697 (907) | 56,743 (2717) |
| Reflections (F > 0) | completeness, % | 98.1 (87.7) | 99.2 (94.1) |
| | avg. redundancy | 4.0 (2.7) | 6.8 (4.5) |
| | I/σ(I) | 17.0 (1.9) | 40.8 (4.1) |
| R_{work}^{b} | 0.070 (0.553) | 0.054 (0.349) |

Preparation of Apoprotein and NMR Spectroscopy—For the production of ligand-free rTp32, purified protein was denatured at room temperature in 8 M guanidine-HCl, followed by incubation with 2 M thiourea. Unbound ligand was removed by ultrafiltration in an Amicon-stirred cell using an 10,000 MW size exclusion filter and exhaustive washing with 500 ml of buffer A at a rate of 0.1 ml/min. After renaturation, residual insoluble protein was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min. The renatured protein was further purified by gel filtration chromatography (as described above) and concentrated for NMR spectroscopy. All NMR experiments were performed on a Varian INOVA500 MHz spectrometer, using NMRPipe (17) for data processing and NMRView (18) for analysis.

Protein Crystallization and Structure Determination—Wild-type rTp32 was crystallized by hanging-drop vapor diffusion (24) using 24-well sitting plates (Hampton Research, Hampton Research kithampton Research) to screen preliminary conditions. 62 of 96 droplets yielded crystals. The best crystals of rTp32 were obtained with drops containing 5 μl of protein at a concentration of 35 mg/ml in buffer A containing 8 M guanidine-HCl. Unbound ligand was removed by ultrafiltration in an Amicon-stirred cell using a 10,000 MW size exclusion filter and exhaustive washing with 500 ml of the above buffer. Protein was renatured by dialysis into 1 liter of buffer A at a rate of 0.1 ml/min. After renaturation, residual insoluble protein was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min. The renatured protein was further purified by gel filtration chromatography (as described above) and concentrated for NMR spectroscopy. All NMR experiments were performed on a Varian INOVA500 MHz spectrometer, using NMRPipe (17) for data processing and NMRView (18) for analysis.

Unless otherwise noted, data were collected on 500 μm samples in buffer A at 25 °C. Protein Crystallization and Structure Determination—Wild-type rTp32 was crystallized by hanging-drop vapor diffusion (24) using 24-well sitting plates (Hampton Research, Hampton Research kithampton Research) to screen preliminary conditions. 62 of 96 droplets yielded crystals. The best crystals of rTp32 were obtained with drops containing 5 μl of protein at a concentration of 35 mg/ml in buffer A containing 8 M guanidine-HCl. Unbound ligand was removed by ultrafiltration in an Amicon-stirred cell using a 10,000 MW size exclusion filter and exhaustive washing with 500 ml of the above buffer. Protein was renatured by dialysis into 1 liter of buffer A at a rate of 0.1 ml/min. After renaturation, residual insoluble protein was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min. The renatured protein was further purified by gel filtration chromatography (as described above) and concentrated for NMR spectroscopy. All NMR experiments were performed on a Varian INOVA500 MHz spectrometer, using NMRPipe (17) for data processing and NMRView (18) for analysis.

Unless otherwise noted, data were collected on 500 μm samples in buffer A at 25 °C. Protein Crystallization and Structure Determination—Wild-type rTp32 was crystallized by hanging-drop vapor diffusion (24) using 24-well sitting plates (Hampton Research, Hampton Research kithampton Research) to screen preliminary conditions. 62 of 96 droplets yielded crystals. The best crystals of rTp32 were obtained with drops containing 5 μl of protein at a concentration of 35 mg/ml in buffer A containing 8 M guanidine-HCl. Unbound ligand was removed by ultrafiltration in an Amicon-stirred cell using a 10,000 MW size exclusion filter and exhaustive washing with 500 ml of the above buffer. Protein was renatured by dialysis into 1 liter of buffer A at a rate of 0.1 ml/min. After renaturation, residual insoluble protein was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min. The renatured protein was further purified by gel filtration chromatography (as described above) and concentrated for NMR spectroscopy. All NMR experiments were performed on a Varian INOVA500 MHz spectrometer, using NMRPipe (17) for data processing and NMRView (18) for analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biophysical Characteristics of Purified rTp32—Recombinant Tp32 was created as a non-acylated fusion protein with an N-terminal His₆ tag and spacer residues totaling 28 amino acids. The expression of this fusion protein in E. coli resulted in the production of soluble protein. Typical yields for purifications were 20–25 mg per liter of culture (>95% pure protein). Upon storage overnight at 4 °C in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 15 mM β-mercaptoethanol in buffer A, recombinant rTp32 precipitated. The precipitate was solubilized by the addition of 2 mM octyl-β-glucoside, followed by incubation for 12 h at room temperature. Size-exclusion chromatography revealed that rTp32 was conformationally homogeneous; re-solubilized rTp32 eluted from the column as a single peak with a calculated molecular mass of about 26 kDa. This is in close agreement with the mass determined by ESI-MS (27,464 Da), indicating that the recombinant protein behaved as a monomer in solution. However, the expected mass, based on the sequence of our construct, of rTp32 (30,155 Da) is 2,691 Da higher than

modeled, and their occupancies were refined. The model was adjusted using O and XtalView (25). Solvent molecules were added after the protein part was complete and where chemically reasonable. The final model includes residues 5–244 of rTp32 and a single, non-covalently bound molecule of L-methionine. Table I shows the refinement statistics. Automated searches for structurally related proteins were carried out using the DALI server (26). The strongest similarity (Z = 18.5, where Z > 2 is considered to be similar) was between rTp32 and the hypothetical protein pg110 fragment. When an individual domain of the triplasmic protein binding-like superfamily, as defined by the Structural Classification of Proteins, were apparent (27). For example, domain II of rTp32 (see below) had a Z score of 12.2 when compared with one of the domains of the lysine-, arginine-, and ornithine-binding protein.
the experimentally derived mass of the purified protein. N-terminal sequencing confirmed that the first 21 of 28 vector-derived amino acid residues of rTp32 had been proteolyzed. The 1H-15N heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum of rTp32 exhibited good peak dispersion and uniform intensity (see Fig. 3), indicating that rTp32 was well folded and suitable for crystallization.

Crystal Structure of rTp32—To glean possible insights into the function of rTp32, we determined its crystal structure at a resolution of 1.85 Å. rTp32 contains two domains exhibiting a mixed α/β structure, with both domains being of similar fold (Fig. 1). The 55 Ca atoms from analogous secondary structural elements in the two domains can be superposed with a root-mean-square (r.m.s.) deviation of 1.5 Å. The structure of each domain is comprised of a central core, which consists of a five-stranded β-sheet flanked by α-helices on both sides. We term this the "central fold." In each β-sheet, one strand is anti-parallel. Domain I (residues 5–85 and 202–244) is slightly larger than Domain II (residues 89–194). The central fold of Domain I encompasses five β-strands and three α-helices. In addition to this, Domain I contains two α-helices proximal to the C terminus of the protein. Domain II has a small, two-stranded β-sheet and two small 310-helices outside of its central fold. For the purposes of this report, helix α5 is considered as one α-helix, but a notable feature of this helix is that it is tightly wound at both ends, exhibiting 310-helical hydrogen-bonding patterns. The protein contains two linkers between the domains, connector region I (CRI, residues 86–88), and connector region II (CRII, residues 195–201). CRI is devoid of regular secondary structure, but CRII features a small 310-helix. The total buried surface area at the interface between the two domains is 1,850 Å².

L-Methionine Binding Site—A molecule of l-methionine was unexpectedly observed within the electron density map, bound non-covalently within a pocket between the two domains (Fig. 2A). The identity of this molecule was ascertained using two methods: 1) the size and shape of the electron density was consistent with l-methionine; 2) the selenomethionine variant of rTp32 displays density in an anomalous difference Fourier map at the expected position of a selenium atom in selenomethionine (Fig. 2A). Because no amino acids were included in the crystallization medium, we assume the protein-acquired methionine from the cytoplasm of the expression organism (E. coli). The binding of l-methionine to rTp32 appears to be specific, because the electron density in the binding pocket displays no evidence of binding by other small molecules, even though all twenty amino acids and a multitude of other small metabolites are present in the E. coli cytoplasm. Both domains participate in the binding of the l-methionine (Fig. 2B). The
The amino acid-binding pocket of rTp32 appears to be well suited to specifically bind methionine. The hydrophobic residues of the pocket bury the L-methionine. The chemical character of these parts is well suited to binding an L-methionine, which, at physiological pH, has charged amino and carboxylate moieties and a mostly hydrophobic side chain. The main chain binding portion of the pocket is lined with polar and charged residues; these side chains engage in hydrogen bonds to the amino and carboxylate moieties of the bound L-methionine (Fig. 2B). Compensation for the charges on the bound methionine is provided by the charged residues Glu-87 and Arg-119. The side-chain binding side of the pocket harbors a mixture of hydrophobic and polar residues. The hydrophobic residues (Phe-61 and Tyr-44) make van der Waals contacts with the methyl group. Most of the polar residues on this side of the pocket do not make hydrogen bonds to the methionine side chain. Rather, they provide further van der Waals contacts to the methionyl moiety (Fig. 2B). One polar residue, Asn-116, makes a hydrogen bond via the amide nitrogen atom of its side chain to the δ-sulfur in the methionine side chain.

The ability of rTp32 to bind L-methionine and the high degree of similarity between YaeC and Tp32 prompts us to conclude that the function of Tp32 likely is to serve as the methionine receptor (of a MUT ABC transporter) at the cytoplasmic membrane of T. pallidum. The high degree of sequence similarity observed between Tp32 and YaeC extends to all members of the MUT family (Fig. 4). Again, almost all the residues that contact the bound L-methionine are well conserved in this family. The least-conserved methionine-contacting residue is Glu-87. This is due to the fact that at least some members of this family bind methionine-binding peptides (see below). It is unknown whether both stereoisomers of methionine can bind to Tp32. Because T. pallidum apparently lacks the methionine racemase for conversion of D to L forms, the ability of this organism to utilize D-methionine is uncertain.

Several other structures of periplasmic ligand-binding proteins (PLBPs) bound with their cognate amino acids are known (29, 32, 33). They are HisJ, LAOBP, and the glutamine-binding protein (QBP). The overall fold of these polypeptides is similar to that of rTp32. In comparing them to rTp32, the positions of the 140 analogous Co atoms superpose with r.m.s. deviations of about 1.9 Å in all three cases. An overlay of rTp32 and QBP is shown in Fig. 5. Some significant differences between the two structures, however, exist outside of the central domain folds. In particular, two protrusions from the globular core of QBP are not present in rTp32. Researchers have speculated that these features interact with the membrane-spanning components of the LAOBP, HisJ, and QBP transporters (28, 33). On the opposite faces of the proteins, rTp32 has a protuberance that is absent in QBP (Fig. 5). The protuberance is located between residues 128 and 152, an area of rTp32 that includes the small β-sheet composed of strands β7 and β8, as well as a 3-10 helix (3p-2). This feature is proximal to a patch of negative charge on the protein (Fig. 6) (34). The combination of the protuberance and the charge make this area of the protein a prime candidate for the surface that interacts with the unknown membrane-spanning component of the treponemal methionine-transport system.
Another difference between rTp32 and the other ligand-bound periplasmic binding proteins occurs in the connector regions. In LAOBP, HisJ, and QBP, the respective connector regions either have no regular secondary structure, or they are $\beta$-strands. In rTp32, however, one of the connector regions (CRII) contains a small $\alpha_10$-helix ($\alpha_10$-3, Fig. 1). This connector region conformation is unique among the members of the family of all PLBPs. The connector regions of some other PLBPs comprise $\alpha$-helices (35) or $\beta$-strands (33), but a $\alpha_10$-helix in this region has been observed only in methionine binding components of the MUT family (see below). Comparisons of the liganded and unliganded structures of the other periplasmic amino acid binding proteins show that the two domains undergo large positional changes with respect to one another when substrates bind (28, 33). The presence of helix $\alpha_10$-3 may restrict the conformational changes that are available to rTp32. It would therefore be valuable to determine the structure of the apoprotein in order to evaluate the extent of interdomain motion possible in this fold.

The aforementioned superpositions, which were carried out using only the protein Ca atoms, illuminate differences between the amino acid binding pocket of rTp32 and those of the other amino acid binding proteins. Most significantly, the L-methionine bound to rTp32 is rotated $90^\circ$ relative to the amino acids bound to the other three proteins (Fig. 7). The side chain of the L-methionine adopts the position that the carboxylate moieties occupy in the other structures. The shape of the amino acid binding pocket of rTp32 differs from those of the other amino acid binding proteins, and the positions of charged and hydrophobic moieties within the pocket are also different. These differences result in the contrasting orientation of the bound amino acid.

Structural similarity searches recently revealed that Tp32 has homology with a hypothetical protein pg110 from *Staphylococcus aureus* (Protein Data Bank ID code 1p99). Zhang et al. (31) also have proposed that pg110 (BAB56626) protein is a methionine binding member of the MUT family of ABC transporters. The structural similarity between pg110 and rTp32 is marked: the proteins have 244 comparable Ca atoms that, when superposed, have an r.m.s. deviation of only 1.3 Å. Like rTp32, pg110 harbors a $\alpha_10$-helix in its connector region. The pg110 protein has an extended C terminus compared with
rTp32. This extension forms a loop and an α-helix that pack on the surface of Domain I. In contrast to rTp32, the pg110 protein appears to bind a Gly-Met dipeptide, rather than a sole l-methionine. The orientation and amino acid-to-protein contacts of the methionine complexed within the amino acid binding pocket of pg110 are very similar to those observed in rTp32, and the amino acid binding pockets of the two proteins are nearly identical. Only two areas of the pocket are different between the two proteins. The first area is in the hydrophobic side-chain binding portion of the pocket, where rTp32 residue His-66, is replaced by a phenylalanine (Phe-97) in gp110. The side-chain binding portion of the pocket, where rTp32 residue nearly identical. Only two areas of the pocket are very similar to those observed in rTp32, pg110 with an alanine (Ala-119). In addition, other amino acid differences between the two proteins have the effect of rendering the main-chain binding section of the pocket more capacious in pg110. These changes accommodate the N-terminal residue of the Gly-Met dipeptide. Therefore, it appears that some of the proteins identified by Zhang et al. (31) as methionine-binding proteins may also accommodate small methionine-containing peptides. These results suggest that at least part of the reason that Glu-87 of rTp32 is poorly conserved in the MUT methionine-binding proteins (Fig. 4) is because some members may need a smaller amino acid at this position in order to harbor covalent adducts of methionine in the binding pocket.

Summary and Implications—T. pallidum is among the few human bacterial pathogens that yet cannot be cultivated in vitro. This limitation has severely restricted viable avenues of investigation for elucidating key features of the enigmatic traits of the spirochete, including discerning the functions of its membrane proteins. Although genome sequence analysis has characterized Tp32 as a cell envelope lipoprotein (6), structural data presented herein suggest that Tp32 is a periplasmic methionine-binding protein component of an ABC transporter system. Further structural studies on other treponemal proteins thus are likely to continue to yield important insights into their unknown physiological functions.

Periplasmic binding proteins are important components of the bacterial ABC-type transporter superfamily, which is involved in the transport of various ligands (36, 37). In E. coli, genes abc, yaeC, and yaeE comprise a MUT operon (recently renamed as metNQ) that encodes the ATPase, permease, and periplasmic methionine-binding protein, respectively (31, 38, 39). Although MetQ in E. coli likely is free within the periplasm (38), as is common for periplasmic receptors in Gram-negative bacteria (40), Tp32, as a lipoprotein, likely is tethered via its lipid moieties to the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane. This topology would allow the polypeptide to reside within the polar environment of the periplasm, consistent with the observation that rTp32 was highly soluble when its acyl moieties were absent. This proposed membrane architecture in T. pallidum, however, is more akin to how Gram-positive bacteria model their surfaces; Gram-positive bacteria exploit the lipids of their lipoproteins as one of two principal mechanisms for anchoring their receptors to their cell surfaces (thereby compensating for the lack of a periplasm) (41). As one might predict from this, orthologs of the Gram-negative metNQ MUT system exist in Gram-positive bacteria (i.e. metNQP) (42). That T. pallidum, despite its dual membrane system, displays membrane architectural features that more closely parallel those of Gram-positive rather than Gram-negative bacteria speaks further to the peculiar membrane biology of this enigmatic human pathogen (2, 3, 15).

An analysis of genome sequence information suggests that T. pallidum likely encodes 38 transporter proteins, ~60% of which belong to the ABC transporter family (wwwbiology.ucsc.edu/~msaier/transport/). The capability of T. pallidum to synthesize many key metabolites thus appears to have been lost during evolution, culminating in the need to exploit its requisite human host extensively by expressing transport proteins for numerous ligands and metabolites. In this regard, T. pallidum appears to lack the genes that would be involved in de novo biosynthesis of methionine, with the exception perhaps of MetK (S-adenosylmethionine synthetase; TP0794), which converts methionine to S-adenosylmethionine, which is important for many intracellular processes (43).

Subsequent to our determination of the structure of Tp32, Zhang et al. (31) proposed that the T. pallidum genes TP0120, TP0119, TP0821 (Tp32) were orthologs of E. coli MetN, MetI, and MetQ, respectively, and thus likely were members of the MUT family. However, unlike most other ABC-like transport systems, which tend to cluster as operons (31), the three aforementioned treponemal genes are not contiguous, nor are the gene arrangements adjacent to tp32 consistent with tp32 comprising any other type of operon (6). Nonetheless, Tp32 as the methionine-binding protein likely interacts with TP0120 (ATPase) and TP0119 (permease) to deliver methionine to the cytoplasm of T. pallidum. Although these putative protein-protein interactions remain to be established, the negatively charged surface of Tp32 adjacent to a pronounced protein protuberance (Figs. 5 and 6) may be critical for interaction with cognate permease (i.e. TP0119). Further crystallographic studies of the treponemal MUT system in the methionine-trapped state may reveal the interactions and conformational changes that accompany ligand transport, ATP binding, and hydrolysis associated with methionine transport in T. pallidum. Nonetheless, our crystal structure data support the notion that Tp32 is an ortholog of E. coli MetQ, and therefore Tp32 is a bona fide member of the MUT family (31).
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