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**Abstract:** In this paper, we studied some biological aspects of big eye scad (*Selar crumenophthalmus*) from Maldives. The fish sample was collected from the local fish market at Bangaa Faru, Male, Maldives. The length of the samples were ranged from 7.7 cm to 24.5 cm (mean value = 16.85 ± 2.82 cm) in fork length. Body weight ranged between 8 g to 255.6 g (mean value = 87.76 ± 40.41 g). The exponent values (b slope) of lengthweight relationship of *S. crumenophthalmus* are 2.9838 for females and 2.7687 for males; indicating negative allometric growth pattern for both sexes. Synchronous reproductive behaviour was observed in both sexes and a pronounced peak of Gonadosomatic index was observed in females in January 2013. It is estimated that length at first maturity (L50) for females is at
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19.39 cm FL and for males at 21.76 cm FL. Our result also suggest that big eye scad have a reduced swimming capability, resulting the species to be easily caught. Careful planning and management should be implemented to prevent the big eye scad from being overfished.

**Keywords:** *Selar crumenophthalmus*, Gonado-somatic Index, Reproductive Biology, Male’ Maldives, Size at Maturity

**INTRODUCTION**

Bigeye scad (*Selar crumenophthalmus*) of the family Carangidae is a small coastal pelagic fish that is abundantly found in the coastal waters of the Maldives. It is quite a popular fish. Other than being consumed as a traditional delicacy, the fish are also used as bait to catch tuna. Therefore, bigeye scad is considered both socially and economically important for the Maldives.

Maldives is a small island nation comprising of 1200 coral islands grouped into 19 widely dispersed atolls covering an area of nearly 90 000 km² in the Indian Ocean (Adam 2006) (Fig. 1). Although the country’s Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) covers an area of 1 million km² the main capital, Male is only 2 km in length and 1 km in width with a population of 109,494 (HIES 2012). As a nation surrounded by water, fishing is the most dominant activity and the second largest contributor to the country’s GDP after tourism industry (Latheefa 2004; Solah 2007; Charles 2005; Latheefa *et al.* 2011).

**Figure 1:** Location of Bangaa Faru sampling site.
To maintain an efficient and sustainable fishing industry, one of the main aspects that needs to be monitored is the understanding of the fish reproductive biology. Information about the reproductive biology could be conveyed to the related authorities to monitor the fish productivity and prevent over-exploitation of the fish population (Morgan 2008). Such information also suggests the suitability of fish as biological indicators of environmental stability (John et al. 2009).

Sex ratio provides the basic information needed for the assessment of the potential of reproduction and stock size estimation (Vicentini & Araujo 2003). Another important is the correct estimations of size of first maturity or length at which 50% of the fish are mature are essential in fish stock management (Nelson et al. 2009). The study of length weight relationship in fishes is used in various applications (Beverton & Holt 1957; Ricker 1958) such as the estimation of stock size and exploitation (Dulčič & Kraljević 1996). The general expectation for length weight relationship is that, the variation of weight does not follow the cube law of length-weight relationship (Rounsefell & Everhart 1953), since fishes normally do not retain the same physiology throughout their lifespan (Le Cren 1951).

With the exception of Hafiz (1990), there is no detailed analysis of the biological analysis of *S. crumenophthalmus* from the Maldivian waters. There are other studies from all over the world, Kawamoto (1973) studied a detailed biology of the fish, followed by Clarke and Privitera (1995) in Hawaii. A growth length frequency analysis was conducted in Indonesia by Sadhamoto and Atmadja (1985). A more modern approach using ELEFAN in FISAT software was conducted by Mansor et al. (1996) for bigeye scad in Malaysia. According to Adeeb et al. (2014), based on the exploitation rate, the fish is dangerously overexploited in Bangaa Faru, in Male’ atoll Maldives. Alarmingly Panda et al. (2015) also shows a similar trend of overexploitation in Mumbai, north-west coast of India.

The objectives of this study are to discover sex ratio, classify the gonad stages, calculate the gonadosomatic index and to estimate the length at first maturity and length weight relationship for bigeye scad *S. crumenophthalmus* in Bangaa Faru, Maldives.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Site and Sampling Procedure**

A total of 1648 bigeye scads (356 males, 143 females and 1149 immature individuals) was randomly sampled from the commercial catch in the local fish market in Male, from September 2012 to February 2013. The samples were caught from Bangaa Faru area, a popular bigeye scad fishing spot located near Male. The samples were fresh captured fish. Measurements and dissections were quickly performed to prevent measurement bias and sample decay. The fishes were sampled from various vendors to reduce selective preference bias.
Length and Weight Measurement

Each individual fish was measured for the fork length (FL) to the nearest centimetre using a tape measure and body weight (BW) was recorded for nearest 0.1 g using a portable digital scale (HD005 electronic scale).

Gonad Extraction and Measurements

Each fish was dissected in the abdomen region using scissors and knife. The gonads were usually located below the intestine and near the backbone base. Gonad weight was taken to the nearest 0.1 g using an electronic balance (model HD005) and length of the gonads were measured on a measuring board using a ruler to the nearest centimetre.

Sex Ratio

The sex of each specimen was identified by physical examination of the gonads. The proportion of the two sexes relative to one another was used to calculate the sex ratio.

Gonad Stages Identification

Macroscopic identification of gonads was done based on Five – point Maturity scales for partial spawners (Holden & Raitt, 1974). The gonad developmental stages are categorised as immature (Ovaries and testis about 1/3 length of the body cavity), maturing (Ovary and testis about ½ length of the body cavity and ovary are pinkish without visible ova to the naked eye and whitish testis), ripening (Ovary and testis takes about 2/3 length of the body cavity and ovary with granular appearance and whitish to creamy testis), ripe (Ovary and testis from 2/3 to the full length of the body cavity. Ovary with conspicuous superficial blood vessels and testis is whitish to creamy and soft) and last stage as spent (Ovary and testis shrunken to about half-length of the body cavity and loose walls).

Gonadosomatic Index (GSI)

The gonadosomatic index was calculated as a percentage of body mass. It is represented by the formula: GSI = [Gonad Weight / Total Tissue Weight (weight of fish)] x 100. This was calculated for each individual and a monthly average for each sex was established. The GSI calculation were pooled based on the sex of fish regardless of the gonad maturity stage.
Length at First Maturity ($L_{50}$)

Length at first maturity or size in which 50% of the individuals are mature was calculated using the following equation:

The $L_{50}$ was estimated using the following equation (Silberberg et al. 2001):

$$P = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-a(FL-b)}}$$

where $P$ = proportion of mature fish at a specific length class (measured as total length); $a$ and $b$ are model parameters to be estimated; FL = fork length.

Length Weight Relationship

The relationship between the fork length and weight of the fish were estimated by:

$$W = a L^b$$

$W$ is weight (g), $L$ is fork length (cm), $a$ is constant of proportionality and $b$ is the length of exponent or slope. The values of the exponent $b$ provide information on the growth of the fish. When $b$ is equal to three ($b = 3$), increase in weight is isometric. When the value of $b$ is other than 3, weight increase is allometric, (positive allometric if $b > 3$, negative allometric if $b < 3$). Model parameters for $L_{50}$ and length-weight relationship were obtained using calculation on SPSS 21 and Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

Sex Ratio

Out of 1648 bigeye scads collected, 69.7% of the specimens were immature, while 21.6% were males and 8.7% were females (Table 1). Hence the male female sex ratio is 1:0.39. The male to female ratio was low in September (1:0.30) and highest in December (1:0.46). Except during September 2012, the number of indeterminate or immature individuals was high during the study period. The number gradually increased until December 2013 then the numbers decreased in February 2013 (January 2013 = 365 immature individuals to February 2013 = 64 immature individuals). Figure 2 shows the percentage of males, females and immature individuals.
Table 1: The estimated sex ratio values for *Selar crumenophthalmus* in Bangaa Faru.

| Sex ratio     | Male | Female | Immature | M | F  |
|---------------|------|--------|----------|---|----|
| September 2012| 67   | 20     | 22       | 1 | 0.30 |
| October 2012  | 32   | 13     | 92       | 1 | 0.41 |
| November 2012 | 71   | 28     | 222      | 1 | 0.39 |
| December 2012 | 125  | 58     | 383      | 1 | 0.46 |
| January 2013  | 51   | 20     | 365      | 1 | 0.39 |
| February 2013 | 11   | 4      | 64       | 1 | 0.36 |

Gonad maturity stages identification: The ovaries were orange in colour, thin and ova were not visible to the naked eye during gonad stage one. Colour, size and ova visibility gradually increased as the ovaries matured. Ovaries of gonad stage four appeared bright orange in colour with conspicuous superficial blood vessels and ripe ova were visible to the naked eye and occupied almost the entire body cavity (Fig. 3a). The immature testes were flatter and pale white. As the testes matured, it became more whitish in colour and broader and occupied about three quarters of the body cavity (Fig. 3b). The weight of the gonads in males and females increased as they matured. Sexual dichromatism was observed in the mature fish. The soft portion of the anal fin appeared to be black in males and white in females.

Figure 2: Monthly sex distribution of *Selar crumenophthalmus*. 
Gonad stages identification was done for four months, starting from November 2012 to February 2013. Majority of observed male gonads were at stage one and this number declined as the study progressed. Except for December 2013, gonad stage five was not observed at any time (Fig. 4a). In this study fully matured gonads were seldom observed. However, a steady increase in the occurrence of gonad stages II and III was observed.

Figure 3a: Ovary size variation in *Selar crumenophthalmus*. From right to left, as the ovaries matured their size and development increased.

Figure 3b: Testis size variation in *Selar crumenophthalmus*. From right to left, as the testis matured their size and development increased.

In females, observation of gonad maturity stage I gradually decreased from 17 individuals having gonad stage I in November to one individual in February (Fig. 4b). Similar to males, females also had an increase in the occurrence of gonad stages from stage I to stage IV and then the number declined from January 2013 onwards. Unlike male individuals, in females all the gonadal stages were observed more often. However, gonad stage five was not observed in females. The maximum-recorded weight of the gonads was 10.7 g (mean value = 1.83±1.53) for a stage four female gonad and the maximum-recorded length was 6.1 cm (mean value = 2.14±1.89).
Gonadosomatic index: The mean GSI value increased to 2.06 in October 2012 from 1.97 in September 2012 and then the value plunged to 1.07 in November 2012. Afterwards, mean GSI value rose to its peak value of 2.47 in January 2013 and later decreased to 1.43 in February 2013. In males, the mean GSI value gradually decreased from 1.36 in September to 0.93 in November. Subsequently, it steadily increased from 1.00 in December to 1.23 in February 2013. Unlike female bigeye scads, male GSI value does not show a distinctive peak. However, there were considerable differences between the GSI values of males and females. The index value of females was higher than males and the variation of gonad index corroborates the observations of maturity stages (Fig. 5).
Length at first maturity: The smallest female observed was 17.4 cm FL whereas smallest male observed was 15.5 cm FL. The smallest mature male and female observed have a fork length of 17.5 cm and 18.5 cm respectively. It was found that fish lengths smaller than 15.0 cm FL have been always immature and fish lengths above 19.5 cm FL were mature throughout the study sample. It is estimated that *S. crumenophthalmus* from Bangaa Faru attains length at first maturity for females at 19.39 cm FL whereas for males at 21.76 cm FL (Fig. 6a and 6b respectively). The fork length of the combined study sample ranged from 7.7 cm FL to 24.5 cm FL (mean value = 16.85±2.82 cm).

![Figure 5: Monthly GSI of *Selar crumenophthalmus*.](image)

![Figure 6a: Length at first maturity for female *Selar crumenophthalmus*.](image)
Length weight relationship: Overall 1648 specimens were chosen for the study of length weight relationship. There was a significant relationship between the fork length ($t = 248.375$, $df = 355$, $P < 0.0001$) and weight ($t = 78.134$, $df = 355$, $P < 0.0001$) of males and females of *Selar crumenophthalmus* in the Bangaa faru. The $b$ values of *S. crumenophthalmus* are 2.9838 for females (Fig. 7a), 2.7687 for males (Fig. 7b) and 2.8303 for pooled data (Fig. 7c). The results indicate that the fish follow the cube law. The growth is proportionally three-dimensional. Based on the slope values of $b$, all the categories fall into the lighter group ($b < 3$). Hence, *S. crumenophthalmus* in Bangaa faru shows negative allometric growth. The body weight of the sample ranged from 7.7 g to 255.6 g (mean value = 87.76±40.41 g). The mean weight for males is 121.50±29.34g, female is 145.22±33.07 g and indeterminate or immatures have a mean weight of 70±29.50 g). The minimum and maximum fork length for females are 17.4 cm and 24.5 cm (mean value = 20.19±1.55) respectively. For males the minimum and maximum fork length are 14.7 cm and 23.6 cm (mean value = 19.04±1.51) respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

*Selar crumenophthalmus* is widely distributed in the warm coastal waters of the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific oceans (Kazama 1977; Mablouke et al. 2013). The fish is heterosexual and iteroparous and aggregate to spawn (Clarke & Privitera 1995; Weng & Sibert 2000). The species exhibits sexual dimorphism and sexual dichromatism (Shameen & Dutt 1984; Clarke & Privitera 1995;).

Previous study from Kawamoto (1973) suggests that the bigeye scad reproduction extends over a period of six to seven months between March and September. However, based on our results, the appearances of immatures were higher in October to February suggests a slight shorter recruitment period in
Figure 7a: Length-weight relationship of female *Selar crumenophthalmus*.

Figure 7b: Length-weight relationship of male *Selar crumenophthalmus*.

Figure 7c: Length-weight relationship of pooled data *Selar crumenophthalmus*.
Bangaa faaru. Our result also suggests the peak spawning period for the females is in January based on the highest GSI value. We acknowledge that our data collection did not encompass a full one-year observation due to time and budget limitation. However, we are confident that based on the quantity of samples, our data could provide the necessary information. Clarke and Privitera (1995) reported that bigeye scad in Hawaiian waters spawns every three days during their spawning season from April to October. Mansor et al. (1996) reported the spawning of bigeye scads in the East coast of Peninsular Malaysia using the mean GSI and suggests that spawning is from April–May and November–December in 1993 and February–March and August–October in 1994. Roux and Conand (2000) stated that S. crumenophthalmus have an annual reproductive cycle and spawning occurs mostly from October to December. Iwai et al. (1996) observed that natural spawning of a captive sample from Hawaiian waters occurred during their first year of captivity and the brood stock spawned repetitively throughout the second and third year in culture. Spawning in captive fish occurred during nighttime with a majority of spawning activity during the predawn hours (Iwai et al. 1996) whereas Podosinnikov (1990) reported that mass spawning occurred during nighttime in Gulf Aden.

Roos et al. (2007) noted that, monthly distribution of males and undetermined or immatures occurred in November, gradually attaining adulthood from December to February. A similar trend also was observed in this study as the number of immatures were higher in the study period of six months and the maximum percentage of mature individuals were observed in September and February. Clarke and Privitera (1995), based on their sample from Hawaii; had noted that the smallest mature male was 19.9 cm in standard length for males whereas for females the standard length was more than 21.0 cm. Kawamoto (1973) reported that length at first maturity for Hawaiian bigeye scad as 23.0 cm and in Indian waters bigeye scad size ranges between 10 cm in 6 months old fish and 26.5 cm in 3 years old fish (Joseph & Jayaprakash 2003). However, in this study, the smallest individual observed was 84.0 cm (in FL) and the largest was 245 cm (in FL). In the Philippines the maximum length observed was 23.0 cm FL (Dalzella & Peñaflor 1989) and 22 cm FL was observed on Reunion Island (Roos et al. 2007).

The findings of the growth this study was similarly demonstrated by Gonzales et al. (2000) which also it showed a negative allometry \( (b < 3) \) of 2.78. However, the findings of Roos et al. (2007) and Rumpet et al. (1997) differ from this study, as they showed that S. crumenophthalmus has a positive allometry (3.25). Our result suggests that the fish grows faster in weight than length (Froese 2006; Lleonart et al. 2000). Differences in length weight relationships can occur due to environmental, seasonal changes and population (Froese 2006). Roos et al. (2007) and Rumpet et al. (1997) reported that the specimens were caught by hand line and beach seine for the former study and a high opening otter trawl net for the latter study. For this study, the fishing gear used was pole and line and this could have created a bias in the results (Kipling 1962). In this study, the samples were obtained from the commercial market, which infers that most of the stock are
the most easily and commonly caught among the fishermen. The reduced in length whilst an increase in body weight do suggest that the bigeye scad population in Bangaa Faaru have a reduced swimming capability, hence why it is quite easily fished. While this is beneficial to the local fishermen, such allometric trend could lead to over-exploitation of the bigeye scad population. Such predicament had been reported by Adeeb et al. (2014) and Panda et al. (2015).

This study provides the first description of S. crumenophthalmus reproduction in Maldivian waters. Our overall results provide a preliminary outlook on the reproductive biology of bigeye scad in Maldives, however, further sampling sessions and a longer study period might yield a better result in the future.
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