NEW HAIRY BLACK HOLES WITH NEGATIVE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT
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Abstract

Black hole solutions with nonspherical event horizon topology are shown to exist in an Einstein-Yang-Mills theory with negative cosmological constant. The main characteristics of the solutions are presented and differences with respect to the spherically symmetric case are studied. The stability of these configurations is also addressed.
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Although anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetime does not seem to correspond to the world in which we live, its importance has been noticed in many occasions. As shown by Hawking and Page, the presence of a negative cosmological constant Λ makes it possible for a black hole to reach stable thermal equilibrium with a heat bath.

The black holes discussed by Hawking and Page have a spherically symmetric event horizon. The topological structure of the event horizon of a black hole is an intriguing subject in black hole physics. When asymptotic flatness and the energy conditions are given up, there are no fundamental reasons to forbid the existence of black holes with nontrivial topologies. In particular, for a negative cosmological constant, black holes for which the topology of the horizon is an arbitrary genus Riemann surface have been considered by many authors (see e.g. [3]-[18]). The thermodynamics of these solutions has been discussed in [9]-[13] while higher dimensional generalizations were obtained in [14]-[17]. The theorems about spherical horizon topology do not apply here because the negative cosmological constant can be interpreted as a negative vacuum energy density. They generalize the known solutions replacing the round two-sphere by a two-dimensional space Σ of negative or vanishing curvature.

These black holes embedded in 'locally AdS' background spacetimes (background locally isometric to spacetimes of constant negative curvature) have been seminal to recent developments in black hole physics.

All these investigations are mainly based on Einstein(-Maxwell) theory (note however the inclusion of a dilaton field in [18]). It may be of interest to generalize these solutions for a nonabelian matter content. Here we restrict attention to SU(2) Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) theory and investigate black hole solutions with locally flat or hyperbolic horizons, which asymptotically approach a locally AdS spacetime.

The case of a spherically symmetric horizon has been discussed in [19, 20] with some surprising results. For example, there are finite energy solutions for several intervals of the shooting parameter (the value of gauge function at event horizon), rather than discrete values. Solutions exist for all values of Λ < 0. There are also stable solutions in which the gauge field has no zeros, and the asymptotic value of the gauge potential is not fixed.
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This behavior is drastically different from those observed for EYM black holes in asymptotically flat \cite{21} or de Sitter spacetime \cite{22}. Their regular counterparts are discussed in \cite{20, 23} and present also interesting properties.

In this letter we show numerically that, in the EYM system, the topology of the event horizon is not restricted to the spherically symmetric case. The family of black hole solutions considered in \cite{19, 20} is extended to geometries with locally flat and hyperbolic horizons.

We consider spacetimes whose metric can be written locally in the form

\[
d s^2 = \frac{d r^2}{H(r)} + r^2 d \Omega_k^2 - \frac{H(r)}{p^2(r)} dt^2
\]

where

\[
H(r) = k - \frac{2m(r)}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}.
\]

Here \(d \Omega_k^2 = d \theta^2 + f^2(\theta) d \phi^2\) is the metric on a two-dimensional surface \(\Sigma\) of constant curvature \(2k\). \(r\) is the radial coordinate for which \(r \to \infty\) defines the asymptotic region. The discrete parameter \(k\) takes the values 1, 0 and \(-1\) and implies the form of the function \(f(\theta)\)

\[
f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 
\sin \theta, & \text{for } k = 1 \\
\theta, & \text{for } k = 0 \\
\sinh \theta, & \text{for } k = -1.
\end{cases}
\]

In case of vanishing \(\Lambda\), these metrics describe black holes only for spherically symmetric solutions. The topology of spacetime is \(R^2 \times H_k^2\), where \(H_k^2\) is the topology of the surface \(\Sigma\). When \(k = +1\), the universe takes on the familiar spherically symmetric form, and the \((\theta, \varphi)\) sector has constant positive curvature. The cosmological constant may assume either sign. When \(k = 0\), the \(\Sigma\) is a flat surface, with \(\Lambda\) less than zero. When \(k = -1\), \(\Lambda < 0\) and the \((\theta, \varphi)\) sector is a space with constant negative curvature, also known as a hyperbolic plane. A discussion of these cases is available in \cite{19}.

When \(\Sigma\) is closed, we denote its area by \(V\).

The EYM coupled system is described by the action

\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{16\pi G} (R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right\},
\]

The most general expression for the appropriate \(SU(2)\) connection is obtained by using the standard rule for calculating the gauge potentials for any spacetime group \cite{24}. Taking into account the symmetries of the line element \cite{19} we find

\[
A = \frac{1}{2e} \{ u(r, t) r_3 dt + \nu(r, t) r_3 dr + (\omega(r, t) r_1 + \tilde{\omega}(r, t) r_2) d\theta + \left( \frac{d \ln f}{d\theta} r_3 + \omega(r, t) r_2 - \tilde{\omega}(r, t) r_1 \right) f d\varphi \},
\]

where \(r_\alpha\) are the Pauli spin matrices. To simplify the general picture we set \(u = 0\) i.e. no dyons. However, nontrivial solutions exist also for a nonvanishing electric part (see \cite{21} for a discussion of black hole dyon solutions in the \(k = 1\) case). The existence of dyon solutions without a Higgs field is a new feature for AdS spacetime; if \(\Lambda \geq 0\) the electric part of the gauge fields is forbidden \cite{20, 23}.

For static configurations, it is convenient to take the \(\nu = 0\) gauge and eliminate \(\tilde{\omega}\) by using a residual gauge freedom. The remaining function \(\omega\) depends only on the coordinate \(r\).

As a result, we obtain a simplified YM curvature \(F = dA - ieA \wedge A\)

\[
F = \frac{1}{2e} \left( \omega' r_1 dr \wedge d\theta + f \omega' r_2 dr \wedge d\varphi + (w^2 - k) f r_3 d\theta \wedge d\varphi \right)
\]

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to \(r\). For this purely magnetic YM ansatz, we obtain the equations

\[
m' = \omega'^2 H + \frac{(\omega^2 - k)^2}{2r^2},
\]

\[
\omega'' = \frac{\omega'}{H} \left( \frac{2\Lambda r^3}{3} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{(\omega^2 - k)^2}{r^4} \right) + \frac{\omega(\omega^2 - k)}{r^2 H},
\]

\[
\omega'' = \frac{\omega'}{H} \left( \frac{2\Lambda r^3}{3} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{(\omega^2 - k)^2}{r^4} \right) + \frac{\omega(\omega^2 - k)}{r^2 H},
\]

\[
\omega'' = \frac{\omega'}{H} \left( \frac{2\Lambda r^3}{3} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{(\omega^2 - k)^2}{r^4} \right) + \frac{\omega(\omega^2 - k)}{r^2 H},
\]

\[
\omega'' = \frac{\omega'}{H} \left( \frac{2\Lambda r^3}{3} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{(\omega^2 - k)^2}{r^4} \right) + \frac{\omega(\omega^2 - k)}{r^2 H},
\]
where we have used dimensionless variables rescaled as \( r \to (\sqrt{4\pi G/e})r \), \( \Lambda \to (e^2/4\pi G)\Lambda \) and \( m \to (eG/\sqrt{4\pi G})m \).

The equation for \( p \) decouples from the rest. Given a solution to (8), \( p \) is obtained from

\[
p = \exp \left( 2 \int_{r}^{\infty} dr \frac{\omega'^2}{r} \right).
\]

(9)

For black hole solutions having a regular event horizon at \( r = r_h > 0 \),

\[
m(r_h) = \frac{r_h}{2} \left( k - \frac{\Lambda r_h^2}{3} \right).
\]

(10)

We find the following expansion near the event horizon

\[
m(r) = m(r_h) + m'(r_h)(r - r_h),
\]

(11)

\[
\omega(r) = \omega_h + \omega'(r_h)(r - r_h),
\]

(12)

where

\[
m'(r_h) = \frac{(\omega_h^2 - k)^2}{2r_h^2},
\]

(13)

\[
\omega'(r_h) = \frac{r_h \omega_h (\omega_h^2 - k)}{(k - \Lambda r_h^2)(\omega_h^2 - k^2)}. \tag{14}
\]

The condition for a regular event horizon is

\[
\left. \frac{d}{dr} \left( k - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) \right|_{r_h} > 0.
\]

(15)

For given \((r_h, \Lambda)\), this places a bound on \( \omega_h \)

\[
2m'(r_h) = \frac{(\omega_h^2 - k)^2}{r_h^2} < k - \Lambda r_h^2, \tag{16}
\]

and implies the positiveness of the quantity \( \omega'(r_h) \). In the \( k = -1 \) case, (16) implies the existence of a minimal value of \(|\Lambda|\), i.e. for a given \( r_h \)

\[
|\Lambda| > \frac{1}{r_h^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_h^2} \right). \tag{17}
\]

At \( r \to \infty \), the spacetime is locally isometric to AdS spacetime. In this limit, the field variables have the following asymptotic forms

\[
m(r) = M + \frac{M_1}{r} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \tag{18}
\]

\[
\omega(r) = \omega_\infty + \frac{C_1}{r} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \tag{19}
\]

where \( \omega_\infty \), \( M \) and \( C_1 \) are parameters and \( M_1 = \frac{\Lambda e^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2}(\omega_\infty^2 - k^2) \). For \( k = 1 \), \( m(r) \) is the mass contained inside the radius \( r \), while \( M \) is the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass. In the general case, \( M \) is related to mass of the hole \( M_{ADM} \) (appropriately generalized for a negative cosmological constant \[26\]) by \( M_{ADM} = MV/4\pi \). However, for \( k = -1 \) this identification is contentious. At issue is the zero of mass-energy, since even in pure Einstein gravity (with negative \( \Lambda \)) one has a black hole solution with \( M < 0 \). Surprisingly, this negative mass black hole can also be formed by gravitational collapse \[27\]. Vanzo \[10\]
gives explicit mass formulæ both for the solutions in an EM theory and for more general stationary black holes with the same asymptotic behaviour. In Ref. [19], the shifting of the zero of energy is advocated so that the total energy is proportional to $M - M_0$, assuming there is suitable shift $M_0$ that works not only for the special solutions considered by Vanzo, but for all reasonable solutions with the same asymptotic behaviour.

The black hole solutions discussed in this paper contain a YM magnetic charge

$$Q_M = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dS_k \sqrt{-g} F^{kt} = Q_M \frac{V}{4\pi} \frac{\tau_3}{2},$$

(20)

where $Q_M = (k - \omega_2^2)$. We remark that all $k = -1$ black holes possess a nonzero magnetic charge. $M_{ADM}$ and $Q_M$ turn out to be finite if $\Sigma$ is closed.

We numerically solve Eqs. (4) and (8) with boundary conditions (15), (16) using a standard shooting method. As mentioned above, the case $k = 1$ is discussed in Ref. [19, 20]. We shall therefore focus on the cases $k = 0$ and $k = -1$.

Using the initial conditions on the event horizon (11), (12), the equations were integrated for a range of values of $(r_h, \Lambda)$ and varying $\omega_h$. Since the equations (7), (8) are invariant under the transformation $\omega \to -\omega$, only values of $\omega_h > 0$ are considered. In the $k = -1$ case, for $\omega_h = 0$, $\omega'(r_h) = 0$ and we have the corresponding EM solutions discussed in [1]. For sufficiently small $\omega_h$, all field variables remain close to their values for the abelian configuration with the same $r_h$. Significant differences occur for large enough values of $\omega_h$ and the effect of the nonabelian field on the geometry becomes more and more pronounced. A similar behavior is noticed for $M < a$; class of solutions with $\omega \to -\infty$ for asymptotically flat and de Sitter spacetimes.

Typical monopole spectra for $k = 1$ are presented in Figure 1. In Figure 2, $M$ is plotted as a function of $Q_M$ for $k = 0$ black holes and several values of $\Lambda$. Typical monopole spectra for $k = -1$ case are presented in Figure 4.

Black hole solutions in asymptotically Minkowski and de Sitter space, which necessarily have at least one node in $w(r)$, are unstable [28, 22]. In contrast, the spherically symmetric monopole black hole solutions in the asymptotically AdS spacetime with no node in $w(r)$ are stable [19, 20, 23].

We shall show that there are also black hole solutions with nonspherical event horizon stable against linear fluctuations (this is likely since we have found only nodeless solutions).

In examining time-dependent fluctuations around black hole solutions it is convenient to work in the $u(r, t) = 0$ gauge. All field variables are written as the sum of the static equilibrium solution whose stability we are investigating and a time dependent perturbation. By following the standard methods well-known from the spherically symmetric case, we derive linearized equations for $\delta w(r, t)$, $\delta \omega(r, t)$, $\delta \nu(r, t)$, $\delta p(r, t)$ and $\delta m(r, t)$. The fluctuations decouple in two groups: $\delta w(r, t)$ and $\delta \nu(r, t)$ form matter (sphaleronic) sector. The linearized equations imply that
\( \delta p(r, t) \) and \( \delta m(r, t) \) are determined by \( \delta \omega(r, t) \), and \( \delta \tilde{\omega}(r, t) \) by \( \delta \nu(r, t) \). For a harmonic time dependence \( e^{i\Omega t} \), the linearized system of the matter sector implies a standard Schrödinger equation

\[
\left\{ -\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + U_\beta(\rho) \right\} \beta = \Omega^2 \beta,
\]

(21)

where \( \beta(r, t) = r^2 p \delta \nu / \omega = e^{-i\Omega t} \beta(r) \) and a new tortoise radial coordinate is introduced \( dp/dr = p/H \).

Here

\[
U_\beta = \frac{H}{r^2 p^2} (\omega^2 + k) + 2 \frac{d\omega}{d\rho} \left( \frac{dp}{d\rho} \right)^2,
\]

(22)

with \( U_\beta(r_h) = 0 \). Since \( \omega \) has no nodes, this potential is regular everywhere. For \( k = 0 \), \( U_\beta > 0 \) and the potential is greater than the lower of its two asymptotic values. Standard results from quantum mechanics \[30, 19\] imply that there are no negative eigenvalues for \( \Omega^2 \) and no unstable modes. The same condition is satisfied by those \( k = -1 \) solutions with \( \omega_\infty > 1 \).

Similarly, the gravitational sector perturbation satisfies the equation

\[
\left\{ -\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + U_\omega(\rho) \right\} \delta \omega = \Omega^2 \delta \omega,
\]

(23)

with the potential

\[
U_\omega = \frac{H}{r^2 p^2} (3\omega^2 - k) + 4 \frac{d}{dp} \left[ \frac{p}{rH} \frac{d\omega}{d\rho} \right]
\]

(24)

or, by using the equations (7)-(8)

\[
U_\omega = \frac{H}{r^2 p^2} \left[ 3\omega^2 - k + \frac{8\omega \omega'}{r} (\omega^2 - k) + 4\Lambda r^2 \omega'^2 - 4k \omega'^2 + \frac{4w^2(w^2 - k)^2}{r^2} \right].
\]

(25)

This potential is not positive definite, but is regular in the entire range \(-\infty < \rho < \infty \). Near the event horizon, \( U_\omega \to 0 \); at infinity \( U_\omega \) takes always a positive value. It follows that eq. (23) will have no bound states if the potential \( U_\omega \) is everywhere greater than the lower of its two asymptotic values i.e. \( U_\omega > 0 \). We have found numerically \( U_\omega > 0 \) for all \( k = 0 \) considered configurations. This condition holds also for all \( k = -1 \) solutions stable in the sphaleronic sectors (with \( \omega_\infty > 1 \)). Thus, these solutions are linearly stable in both sectors of the theory.

This fact can also be proven more rigorously. The analytical arguments presented in \[19\] for the existence of linearly stable black hole solutions can be directly generalized for every \( k \). The only negative term in (25) is \( 4\Lambda r^2 \omega'^2 \). Following \[18\] we can show that this term can be made as small as we like by taking \( |\Lambda| \) sufficiently large. Thus we see that a nonspherical topology of the event horizon has a tendency to stabilize the unperturbed solution.

The thermodynamic stability of these black holes will not be considered here and we will restrict ourselves on deriving the Hawking temperature. This can easily be done by using the standard Euclidean method. For the line element (1), if we treat \( t \) as complex, then its imaginary part is a coordinate for a nonsingular Euclidean submanifold iff it is periodic with period

\[
\beta = \frac{4\pi r_h p(r_h)}{k - 2m'(r_h) - \Lambda r_h^2}.
\]

(26)

Then continuous Euclidean Green functions must have this period, so by standard arguments the Hawking temperature is (with \( k_B = \hbar = 1 \))

\[
T_H = \frac{(k - 2m'(r_h) - \Lambda r_h^2)}{4\pi r_h p(r_h)} \leq \frac{k - \Lambda r_h^2}{4\pi r_h}.
\]

(27)
This is a general result, for every matter content satisfying the weak energy condition. Thus the Hawking temperature of such systems appears to be suppressed relative to that of a vacuum black hole of equal horizon area. This relation generalizes for a negative cosmological constant the result found in [31] for a vanishing $\Lambda$. Note also that, for given $k$, $T_H$ is independent of the topology of two-space $\Sigma$.

In Figure 5 we plot the temperature $T_H$ vs. the parameter $M$ for black holes with fixed $(\Lambda, r_h)$ and varying the parameter $\omega_h$. On these curves, the maximal values of $T_H$ correspond to $\omega_h = 0$.

The configurations we have found broaden the family of black holes with nonabelian matter content and manifest some new features. Also, all known hairy EYM black hole solutions have particle-like, regular counterparts. However, there are no regular counterparts of the solutions discussed in this work. This fact may be of some relevance when generalizing the isolated horizon formalism for a negative cosmological constant [22]. We conjecture the existence of nontrivial black hole solutions with nonspherical event horizon for a more general nonabelian matter content e.g. when including a Higgs field.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: The gauge function $\omega$ and the mass $m$ are shown as a function of the radial coordinate $r$ for three different solutions; here $k = 0$, $r_h = 1$.

Figure 2: Black hole monopole spectrum for $k = 0$ black hole solutions with $r_h = 1$ and different values of $\Lambda$.

Figure 3: The gauge function $\omega$ and the mass $m$ are shown as a function of the radial coordinate $r$ for three different solutions; here $k = -1$, $r_h = 5$.

Figure 4: Black hole monopole spectrum for $k = -1$ black hole solutions with $r_h = 5$, and different values of $\Lambda$. The existence of solutions with negative values of $M$ for small values of parameter $\omega_h$ is explicit.

Figure 5: The variation of the Hawking temperature $T_H$ with $M$ for topological black holes as a function of the parameter $\omega_h$. The radius of event horizon radius $r_h$ is fixed and several values of cosmological constant are considered. On these curves, the maximal values of $T_H$ correspond to $\omega_h = 0$. 
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