Papillary muscle approximation reduces systolic tethering forces and improves mitral valve closure in the repair of functional mitral regurgitation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Undersizing mitral annuloplasty (UMA) to repair functional mitral regurgitation (FMR) lacks durability, as it forces leaflet coaptation without relieving the subleaflet tethering forces. In this biomechanical study, we demonstrate that papillary muscle approximation (PMA) before UMA can drastically relieve tethering forces and improve valve function, without the need for significant annular downsizing.

Methods: An ex vivo model of FMR was used, in which pig mitral valves were geometrically perturbed to induce FMR, and the repairs were performed. Nine pig mitral valves were studied in the following sequence: normal (baseline), FMR, true-sized annuloplasty to 30 mm (true-sized ring [TSR]), and undersized annuloplasty to 26 mm (down-sized ring [DSR]), along with concomitant PMA at both ring sizes. Mitral regurgitation, valve kinematics, and chordal forces were measured and compared among the groups.

Results: FMR geometry induced a mean regurgitant fraction of 16.31% compared with 0% at baseline. TSR reduced the regurgitant fraction to 6.05 ± 5.63%, whereas DSR reduced it to 5.06 ± 6.76%. The addition of PMA before the use of these rings reduced the mean regurgitant fraction to 3.87 ± 6.79% with the TSR (TSR + PMA) and 3.71 ± 6.25% with the DSR (DSR + PMA). Mean peak anterior and posterior marginal chordal forces were elevated to 0.09 ± 0.1 N and 0.12 ± 0.1 N, respectively, with FMR and were not reduced by annuloplasty of either sizes. The addition of PMA significantly reduced these forces to 0.23 ± 0.02 N and 0.51 ± 0.04 N.

Conclusions: This biomechanical study demonstrates that PMA relieves tethering forces, and concomitantly with annuloplasty it mobilizes the leaflets to achieve physiological valve closure. Such a result could be achieved without the need for extensive annular downsizing. (JTCVS Open 2021;7:91-104)
Surgical repair of functional mitral regurgitation (FMR) is challenging, as current techniques lack durability.1–4 Undersizing mitral annuloplasty (UMA),5 which is the widely used repair option, reduces the mitral annular dimensions to draw the leaflets into the orifice for systolic overlap. Though coaptation is restored, annuloplasty does not relieve leaflet tethering and sometimes exacerbates it, resulting in a coaptation geometry that is unphysiological.6 The peak coaptation point after annuloplasty is still below the mitral annular plane, the posterior leaflet is immobilized, and the anterior leaflet hyperextends into coaptation.7–14 Such a configuration lacks durability, with persistent FMR shortly after surgery in 30% of the patients, and recurrence of moderate or greater FMR in 56% of the patients within 2 years, as shown in the CTSN trial.15 This high failure rate led to the recommendation that mitral valve replacement should be preferred over repair, even though the trial data demonstrated significantly better survival and left ventricular reverse remodeling in patients with a durable repair compared with those with mitral valve replacement.15 Subannular repairs have been hypothesized to relieve leaflet tethering and restore better coaptation, and a variety of techniques have been proposed and used clinically. Strut chordal cutting has been tried in sheep16 and in humans,17 but was found to abnormally redistribute the forces and increased risk of chordal rupture and ventricular dysfunction.18 Leaflet augmentation was used to increase leaflet area,19 but the augmenting patch billowed, dehisced, or calcified.20 Papillary muscle hoisting toward the annulus was proposed,21 but its effect was focal and carried the risk of the muscle torsion. Papillary muscle approximation (PMA) was developed as a simpler approach,22 in which the muscles are laterally drawn together and fixed. This approach builds on the evidence that our group reported in human patients that lateral separation of the papillary muscles is a strong driver of FMR in heart failure.3–5 Despite some early successful attempts at adopting this technique into mainstream surgical practice,22,23 mechanistic data to support its further adoption remain lacking.

In this biomechanical study, we sought to measure the interactive effects of mitral annuloplasty when combined with PMA and papillary muscle approximation on mitral valve mechanics in FMR. We tested the hypothesis that PMA reduces tethering forces on the mitral valve in systole, enables better coaptation, and can achieve an overall better outcome without the need for extensive annular downsizing.

**METHODS**

**Informed Consent**

This research did not include any human subjects. Use of porcine tissues purchased from the slaughterhouse was approved by the environmental health and safety office of Emory University.

**Experimental Design**

The study design is depicted in Figure 1. Nine pig mitral valves were instrumented with miniature chordal force transducers, and studied in a sequence of experimental steps in a pulsatile mitral valve simulator. Valves were tested under the following conditions: (1) baseline mitral valve configuration, (2) disease/FMR configuration with annular dilatation and papillary muscle displacement, (3) repair with annuloplasty with a size 30 ring (true-sized ring [TSR]) and a size 26 ring (down-sized ring [DSR]), and (4) concomitant PMA with both annular ring sizes (TSR + PMA and DSR + PMA). Mitral valve function, geometry, and chordal forces were measured in each condition.

**Mitral Valve Selection and Preparation**

Pig hearts were purchased from Lampire Biological Products (Pipersville, Pa), and the valves were sized using the technique described by Bothe and colleagues.11 Valves whose anterior leaflet matched a size 28 or 30 annuloplasty ring were selected (corresponding to an SL diameter of 23–25 mm). Mitral valves were extracted from these hearts with their annulus, leaflets, chordal apparatus and papillary muscles intact. Between 2 and 3 mm of left atrial tissue was left intact, and 20–30 mm of papillary muscle was left intact and wrapped in fabric to mount the valves into the simulator.

**Mitral Valve Simulator**

The left heart simulator is shown in Figure 2, A. The papillary muscles were mounted onto rods that could be spatially positioned within the ventricular chamber (Figure 2, B). Displacement was possible in the apical, posterior, and lateral directions with each papillary muscle (Figure 2, C 1–3). Left atrial tissue extending from the mitral annulus was sutured onto a D-shaped frame, and an adjustable annuloplasty ring was sutured onto the valve annulus (Figure 3, A–C). The ring can be adjusted using a handle from outside the simulator. The similarities between the designed annular ring and the commercial Edwards annuloplasty ring sizes (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, Calif) were confirmed (Figure E1). The valve was then mounted into the simulator consisting of an acrylic left atrium and left ventricle, connected in a closed circuit. A linear actuating pump with a viscoelastic impedance (Superpump; Vivitro Labs, London, Ontario, Canada) was connected to the left ventricle and programmed to generate physiological left ventricular pressures. Saline (0.9%) served as the working medium in this flow loop. The simulator was instrumented with pressure transducers (Utah Medical, Salt Lake City, Utah) to measure left atrium, left ventricle, and aortic pressures and with 2 electromagnetic flow probes (Carolina Medical Electronics, East Bend, NC) to measure left heart inflow and outflow. Data were streamed to an acquisition system.
HYPOTHESIS: Does addition of papillary muscle approximation to annuloplasty improve mitral valve biomechanics and closure dynamics?

METHODS

Ex vivo model of functional mitral regurgitation

Baseline

Functional mitral regurgitation

30mm annuloplasty

FMR Configuration

To induce FMR, mitral valve geometry was perturbed by dilating the mitral annulus and displacing the papillary muscles. The annulus was dilated by expanding the adjustable annular ring until the dimensions matched that of a 36-mm annuloplasty sizer (corresponding to an SL diameter of 30 mm). The papillary muscles were then displaced by 10 mm each in the apical, lateral, and posterior directions. These geometric perturbations tethered the mitral valve and caused FMR.

Baseline Valve Configuration

The baseline/healthy configuration was defined as an annular size equivalent to a size 28 or 30 annuloplasty ring. Physiological papillary muscle location was determined in 2 steps. First, looking from the left atrium through the mitral orifice, the papillary muscles were positioned with their tips aligned with the commissures of the valve in the left-right axis, and were positioned such that they are midway between the anterior and posterior annulus in the top-bottom axis. Then, looking sideways at the valve, the papillary muscles were moved basally or apically until the commissural chordae were slightly taut.

RESULTS

Concomitant papillary muscle approximation reduces regurgitation

Concomitant papillary muscle approximation reduces tethering forces

Concomitant papillary muscle approximation reduces leaflet tenting area

IMPLICATIONS: Addition of papillary muscle approximation relieves tethering forces on the mitral valve leaflets in systole and enables better coaptation in an ex vivo model of functional mitral regurgitation.

FIGURE 1. Papillary muscle approximation reduces the ventricular tethering forces and restores physiological leaflet mobility and systolic coaptation when used concomitantly with undersizing mitral annuloplasty in the repair of functional mitral regurgitation. FMR, Functional mitral regurgitation; UMA, undersizing mitral annuloplasty; PMA, papillary muscle approximation.

EXPERIMENTAL MATERIAL

IX408 (iWorx, Dover, NH), visualized and stored with Labscribe (iWorx), and analyzed with a custom MATLAB code.
Annuloplasty

TSR and DSR mitral annuloplasty were performed by adjusting the ring size to either match the native annular size or reduce it beyond the native size. True-sizing was performed such that the dimensions of the annulus matched a size 30 annuloplasty ring. Undersizing was performed such that the dimensions of the annulus matched a size 26 annuloplasty ring.

PMA

PMA was performed by laterally drawing the muscles together, without moving them in any other plane. This was performed with both the TSR and DSR.

Hemodynamics and Valve Kinematics

Hemodynamic conditions were maintained at a heart rate of 70 bpm and a transmitral pressure of 100 mm Hg throughout the study. Pressure and flow data were averaged over 10 consecutive cardiac cycles. Regurgitant volume was calculated by integrating the negative part of the mitral flow curve; forward stroke volume, by integrating the positive part of the mitral flow curve; and total stroke volume, by monitoring the displacement of the pump head. The regurgitant fraction was calculated as the ratio of the regurgitant volume to the total stroke volume. Two-dimensional echocardiography was performed using a Z6Ms transesophageal echo probe on an Acuson SC2000 prime system (Siemens Healthineers, Malvern, Pa) to assess the mitral valve kinematics and geometry. Using a long-axis view of the mitral valve, leaflet excursion angles, coaptation length, and tenting height were measured. Leaflet excursion angles were measured as the difference in the angle between the mitral annulus and the tip of the mitral leaflets in diastole and systole. In systole, because the leaflet would bend at the strut chordal insertion, a line connecting the annulus to the tip of the leaflet was used as proposed by Bothe and colleagues. Coaptation length was measured as length of overlap between the leaflets in systole. Tenting height was measured as the distance from the annulus to the point of leaflet coaptation in systole.

Chordal Forces

Forces were measured on the marginal and strut chordae tendineae on both mitral leaflets. Miniature custom-built strain gauge force transducers were developed (Figure 3, D1-2) that could be mounted onto each chord to measure the forces in synchrony with hemodynamics. The transducer consists of a C-shaped brass ring, with a 350 Ω strain gauge mounted onto the inside and outside of the C ring and connected to a Wheatstone bridge circuit. Before the experiment, the transducers were calibrated with weights, and the linearity of transducer response was...
confirmed. The transducers were then sutured to the marginals and the strut chords of both leaflets emerging from the posteromedial papillary muscle using 6-0 Prolene. The C-ring wires were externalized from the simulator, and the force data were streamed to a computer and visualized using Strain-Smart 8000 software (Micro-Measurements, Raleigh, NC).

Data Analysis and Statistical Methods
Statistical tests were performed using Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, Calif). Sample size was estimated using pre hoc power analysis to test the hypothesis that the tenting area would be at least 25% smaller after PMA compared with the disease condition, with 85% power and an a value of 0.05. Previous studies in this experimental model that we have reported were used for the standard deviation. All data were tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test. Data following a normal distribution are represented as mean ± standard deviation, and non-normally distributed data are represented as median with interquartile range (IQR). One-way ANOVA was performed, followed by a multiple comparison test with a repeated-measures test with Bonferroni correction or with Friedman’s test. Statistical significance was set at P < .05.

RESULTS
The results are graphically depicted in Figures 4-6, with groupwise comparisons with corresponding P values depicted in Table 1. The results are orally narrated in Video 1.

Mitral Valve Hemodynamics
PMA eliminated the regurgitation, as depicted in Figure 4, A. At baseline, none of the valves had regurgitation. On dilating the annulus and displacing the papillary muscle (FMR), the mean regurgitant fraction was increased to 16.31 ± 7.33% (median, 15.76%; IQR, 12.87%-22.36%; P = .0005). On implanting the annuloplasty ring and downsizing it to 30 mm (UMA-30), the mean regurgitant fraction decreased to 6.05 ± 5.63% (median, 3.84%; IQR, 1.22%-11.28%). Further downsizing to 26 mm (UMA-26) resulted in a mean regurgitant fraction of 5.06 ± 6.76% (median, 3.42%; IQR, 0%-6.59%). Adding PMA to UMA-30 reduced the regurgitant fraction to 3.87 ± 6.79% (median, 0.009%; IQR, 1%-4.94%), and adding PMA to UMA-26 resulted in a regurgitant fraction of 3.71 ± 6.25% (median, 0; IQR, 0%-5.45%).

Tenting Geometry and Coaptation Length
PMA significantly reduced tenting area and height, indicating that it relieved the tethering on the mitral valve as depicted in Figure 4, B and C. At baseline, the mitral valve geometry was tuned such that the mean tenting area was minimal, at 1.56 ± 4.92 mm² (median, 0; IQR, 0-0). On inducing annular and subannular geometric distortions leading to FMR, the mean tenting area increased significantly to 82.64 ± 50.48 mm² (median, 53.43 mm²; IQR, 49.71-98.39 mm²). Undersizing annuloplasty to 30 mm decreased the mean tenting area to 58.53 ± 42.75 mm² (median, 61.89 mm²; IQR, 23.15-97.84 mm²), and further downsizing to 26 mm resulted in a mean tenting area of 52.28 ± 42.41 mm² (median, 42.27 mm²; IQR, 22.10-76.84 mm²). The addition of PMA to the 30-mm
Annuloplasty ring reduced the mean tenting area to 27.31/C643.38 mm² (median, 0; IQR, 0-35.89 mm²), and when used with a 26-mm annuloplasty ring reduced the mean tenting area to 22.44/C631.6 mm² (median, 14.41 mm²; IQR, 0-18.59 mm²). Similar trends were observed with tenting height (Figure 4, C), with the addition of PMA yielding the largest reduction in the tenting height. At baseline, the mean tenting height was 0.49/C61.54 mm (median, 0; IQR, 0-0), which increased with FMR geometry to 10.73 ± 2.96 mm (median, 10.28 mm; IQR, 8.96-10.77 mm). A 30-mm annuloplasty resulted in a mean tenting height of 8.17 ± 3.86 mm (median, 8.45 mm; IQR, 6.15-11.07 mm), and a 26-mm annuloplasty resulted in a mean tenting height of 6.45 ± 3.69 mm (median, 7.42 mm; IQR, 5.25-8.62 mm). Concomitant PMA with a 30-mm annuloplasty ring resulted in a tenting height of 4.05/C64.76 mm (median, 1.87 mm; IQR, 0-7.75 mm), and PMA with a 26-mm annuloplasty ring resulted in a mean tenting height of 3.65/C63.32 mm (median, 4.37 mm; IQR, 0-6.415 mm). The mean systolic coaptation length (Figure 4, D) at baseline was 16 ± 2.88 mm (median, 15.34 mm; IQR, 14.59-18.61 mm), which was reduced under FMR conditions to 12.62 ± 3.31 mm (median, 13.02 mm; IQR, 11.23-14.96 mm). The mean coaptation length was 13.25 ± 2.71 mm (median, 14.4 mm; IQR, 2.88 mm).
12.68-14.9 mm) with a 30-mm annuloplasty and 13.58 ± 4.01 mm (median, 14.16 mm; IQR, 10.2-16.65 mm) with a 26-mm annuloplasty. Adding PMA resulted in a mean coaptation length of 16.26 ± 3.55 mm (median, 16.44 mm; IQR, 15.12-18.48 mm) for a 30-mm ring and 14.45 ± 4.05 mm (median, 15.22 mm; IQR, 11.85-17.17 mm) for a 26-mm ring. Statistical comparisons of these datasets are summarized in Table 1.

Mitral Valve Kinematics

Mitral valve kinematics under each experimental condition are summarized in Figure 5. The anterior leaflet diastolic open angle was 75.21 ± 10.5° (median, 71.78°; IQR, 68.86-85.13°) at baseline, 57 ± 9.93° (median, 53.95°; IQR, 50.16-64.9°) with FMR geometry, 59.45 ± 8.89° (median, 57.98°; IQR: 52.63-67.4°) with a 30-mm annuloplasty ring, and 56.78 ± 8.95° (median, 55.82°; IQR, 49.71-61.38°) with a 26-mm annuloplasty ring. The addition of PMA significantly increased the mean diastolic angle to 71.66 ± 12.85° (median, 77.11°; IQR, 61.14-78.93°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring and 73.84 ± 9.07° (median, 70.96°; IQR, 68.12-83.4°) with the 26-mm ring. The mean anterior leaflet systolic closed angle was 21.19 ± 12.51° (median, 25.83°; IQR, 17.77-27.96°) at baseline, 31.38 ± 7.07° (median, 30.22°; IQR, 26.23-37.01°) with FMR geometry, 27.96 ± 10.12° (median, 27.09°; IQR, 22.3-34.34°) with a 30-mm annuloplasty ring, and 30.13 ± 8.88° (median, 31.22°; IQR, 24.17-35.45°) with a 26-mm annuloplasty ring. The addition of PMA resulted in a mean anterior systolic angle of 24.59 ± 10.67° (median, 22.79°; IQR, 17.43-29.55°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring and 20.87 ± 11.08° (median, 18.59°; IQR, 14.32-21.72°) with the 26-mm ring. The mean anterior excursion angle at baseline was 54.01 ± 15.02° (median, 51.06°; IQR, 43.03-68.61°) at baseline, 25.61 ± 10.63° (median, 22.73°; IQR, 17.21-30.6°) with FMR, 31.45 ± 7.95° (median, 31.83°; IQR, 28.37-34.05°) with a 30-mm annuloplasty ring, and 26.65 ± 10° (median, 27.94°; IQR, 25.55-31.33°) with a 26-mm ring. Adding PMA significantly increased the mean excursion angle to 47.06 ± 18.22° (median, 50.45°; IQR, 36.33-59.19°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring and 52.97 ± 16.79° (median, 52.74°; IQR, 48.69-59.32°) with the 26-mm annuloplasty ring.

The mean posterior leaflet diastolic open angle was 84.51 ± 11.93° (median, 90.37°; IQR, 73.98-96.34°) at baseline, 89.62 ± 9.67° (median, 90°; IQR, 84.01-94.5°) with FMR geometry, 97.27 ± 10.56° (median: 97.3°; IQR, 91.52-102.37°) with a 30-mm annuloplasty ring, and 96.65 ± 5.65° (median, 95.91°; IQR, 92.97-99.76°) with a 26-mm annuloplasty ring. The addition of PMA...
significantly resulted in a diastolic angle of 95.14 ± 12.81° (median, 90.51°; IQR, 88.09-98.15°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring and 99.45 ± 10.87° (median, 96.54°; IQR, 90.86-102.47°) with the 26-mm annuloplasty ring. The mean posterior leaflet systolic closed angle was 24 ± 12.1° (median, 30.67°; IQR, 23.97-31.07°) at baseline, 55.6 ± 13.57° (median, 54.02°; IQR, 44.99-60.76°) with FMR geometry, 60.32 ± 12.85° (median, 60.66°; IQR, 50.55-71.39°) with a 30-mm annuloplasty ring, and 30.13 ± 8.88° (median, 31.22°; IQR, 24.17-35.45°) with a 26-mm annuloplasty ring. The addition of PMA resulted in a posterior systolic angle of 42.56 ± 19.53° (median, 43.71°; IQR, 23.8-60.32°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring and 41.58 ± 17.08° (median, 41°; IQR, 33.79-50.83°) with the 26-mm annuloplasty ring. The posterior leaflet excursion angle was 60.5 ± 16.53° (median, 65.98°; IQR, 44.12-69.55°) at baseline, 34.02 ± 11.11° (median, 33.57°; IQR, 29.11-39.49°) with FMR geometry, 36.95 ± 11.9° (median, 39.32°; IQR, 27.7-45.6°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring, and 38.1 ± 12.47° (median, 34.68°; IQR, 28.7-47.4°) with the 26-mm annuloplasty ring. Adding PMA increased the mean excursion angle to 52.58 ± 23.8° (median, 60.9°; IQR, 34.23-69.93°) with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring and 57.87 ± 18.09° (median, 60.51°, IQR, 44.03-67.84°) with the 26-mm ring.

**Chordal Force Distribution**

Temporal traces of the marginal and strut chordae of the anterior and posterior mitral valve leaflets under each experimental condition are depicted in Figure 6, and the peak systolic forces are compared in Figure 7. On the anterior leaflet, the FMR geometry significantly elevated the forces in both the anterior strut and anterior marginal chordae. Undersizing the annuloplasty to 30 mm or 26 mm did not relieve these elevated systolic forces in either of the chordae. The addition of PMA significantly reduced the forces in both
| Parameter                        | Baseline     | FMR          | TSR          | DSR          | TS氓 + PMA    | DSR + PMA    | P value vs baseline | P value vs FMR | P value vs TSR | P value vs DSR | P value vs TS氓 | P value vs PMA | P value vs TSR | P value vs DSR | P value vs TS氓 | P value vs PMA | P value vs TSR | P value vs DSR | P value vs TS氓 | P value vs PMA | P value vs TSR | P value vs DSR | P value vs TS氓 | P value vs PMA | P value vs TSR | P value vs DSR | P value vs TS氓 | P value vs PMA | P value vs TSR | P value vs DSR |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| MR fraction, %                  | 0.163 ± 7.33 | 16.31 ± 5.63 | 0.0039       | 5.06 ± 0.0547| 0.0156       | 3.87 ± 6.79  | 0.0625           | 0.0039           | 0.2969           | 0.312            | 0.371 ± 6.25    | 0.125           | 0.0039         | 0.4688               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Tenting area, cm²               | 0.49 ± 0.28  | 1.56 ± 4.92  | 0.002        | 0.17 ± 0.38  | 0.0078       | 52.28 ± 42.41| 0.0039           | 0.1934           | 0.0547           | 0.0039           | 4.05 ± 4.76     | 0.0625           | 0.0137         | 0.0371         | 3.65 ± 3.32               | 0.0312           | 0.0273           | 0.0117               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Tenting height, cm              | 0.049 ± 0.033| 0.73 ± 2.96  | 0.002        | 0.17 ± 0.38  | 0.0078       | 52.28 ± 42.41| 0.0039           | 0.1934           | 0.0547           | 0.0039           | 4.05 ± 4.76     | 0.0625           | 0.0137         | 0.0371         | 3.65 ± 3.32               | 0.0312           | 0.0273           | 0.0117               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Coaptation length, cm           | 1.6 ± 0.33   | 57 ± 9.94    | 0.0035       | 59.43 ± 8.89 | 0.0161       | 56.79 ± 8.95  | 0.003            | 9.998            | 0.003            | 7.166 ± 12.85    | 0.9725           | 0.0247         | 0.0932         | 73.84 ± 9.07               | 0.999            | 0.0085           | 0.0074               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Anterior diastolic angle, °      | 75.21 ± 10.51| 57 ± 9.94    | 0.0035       | 59.43 ± 8.89 | 0.0161       | 56.79 ± 8.95  | 0.003            | 9.998            | 0.003            | 7.166 ± 12.85    | 0.9725           | 0.0247         | 0.0932         | 73.84 ± 9.07               | 0.999            | 0.0085           | 0.0074               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Anterior systolic angle, °       | 21.2 ± 12.52 | 31.39 ± 7.08 | 0.0004       | 31.46 ± 7.95 | 0.0082       | 26.66 ± 10.0  | 0.0007           | 0.999            | 0.003            | 47.07 ± 18.22    | 0.8721           | 0.0104         | 0.1215         | 52.97 ± 16.8                | 0.999            | 0.007            | 0.0013               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Anterior excursion angle, °      | 54.02 ± 15.03| 25.62 ± 10.64| 0.0004       | 31.46 ± 7.95 | 0.0082       | 26.66 ± 10.0  | 0.0007           | 0.999            | 0.003            | 47.07 ± 18.22    | 0.8721           | 0.0104         | 0.1215         | 52.97 ± 16.8                | 0.999            | 0.007            | 0.0013               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Posterior diastolic angle, °     | 84.51 ± 11.93| 89.63 ± 9.67 | 0.8935       | 97.27 ± 10.57| 0.1028       | 96.66 ± 5.67  | .1358           | 0.665            | 0.9515 ± 12.81    | 0.2505           | 0.8449         | 0.9974         | 99.45 ± 10.88                | 0.042            | 0.665            | 0.9918               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Posterior systolic angle, °      | 24.01 ± 12.11| 55.61 ± 13.58| 0.0004       | 60.32 ± 12.85| <0.0001      | 58.55 ± 13.77 | <0.0001           | 0.9979           | 42.57 ± 19.54    | 0.0964           | 0.3924         | 0.1066         | 41.58 ± 17.08                | 0.1505           | 0.9979           | 0.1578               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Posterior excursion angle, °     | 60.51 ± 16.53| 34.02 ± 11.12| 0.0103       | 36.95 ± 11.99| 0.0303       | 38.11 ± 12.47 | 0.0451           | 0.993            | 52.58 ± 23.85    | 0.8943           | 0.1276         | 0.2783         | 57.87 ± 8.09                | 0.9993           | 0.0273           | 0.1041               |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |

Significant P values are in bold type. FMR, Functional mitral regurgitation; TSR, true-sized ring; DSR, down-sized ring; PMA, papillary muscle approximation; MR, mitral regurgitation.
In the posterior leaflet, the FMR geometry did not perturb the forces significantly in the strut or marginal chordae; however, the addition of PMA significantly reduced the forces in both posterior chordae. The mean peak force on the anterior strut chord was 0.45 ± 0.36 N at baseline and increased significantly to 0.92 ± 0.25 N with FMR (P = .011). UMA did not reduce the peak forces, with mean values of 0.99 ± 0.40 N with a 30-mm ring and 0.95 ± 0.33 N with a 26-mm ring. Both of these peak forces were significantly higher than the baseline values (P = .026 and .015, respectively). The addition of PMA reduced the mean peak force to 0.58 ± 0.23 N with the 30-mm annuloplasty ring (P = .039 compared to UMA-30; P = .025 compared to FMR) and 0.67 ± 0.25 N with the 26-mm annuloplasty ring (P = .014 compared to UMA-26; P = .015 compared to FMR). On the posterior strut chord, the mean peak force at baseline was 0.43 ± 0.37 N, and this remained similar at 0.36 ± 0.25 N when FMR was induced. Neither annuloplasty ring altered the chordal forces, and mean peak tethering forces remained at 0.33 ± 0.24 N with the 30-mm ring and 0.32 ± 0.22 N with the 26-mm ring. The addition of PMA reduced these forces to 0.21 ± 0.17 N with the 30-mm ring and 0.22 ± 0.18 N with the 26-mm ring in the anterior marginal chord. The mean peak force was 0.04 ± 0.05 N at baseline and increased to 0.10 ± 0.10 N in the disease state. A 30-mm undersizing ring slightly reduced this peak force to 0.07 ± 0.07 N, and the 26-mm ring reduced it to 0.06 ± 0.065 N. The addition of PMA significantly reduced this force to 0.02 ± 0.015 N with the 30-mm ring and 0.02 ± 0.018 N with the 26-mm ring. On the posterior marginal chord, the mean peak force at baseline was 0.09 ± 0.138 N at baseline and was increased to 0.12 ± 0.13 N with FMR geometry. Annuloplasty with a 30-mm ring did not alter the forces from the disease state, with a peak value of 0.10 ± 0.119 N, and with a 26-mm ring, the measured peak force was 0.07 ± 0.09 N. The addition of PMA reduced these peak forces to 0.043 ± 0.034 N with the 30-mm ring and 0.051 ± 0.035 N with the 26-mm ring.

**DISCUSSION**

The data from this study provide quantitative evidence that approximating the papillary muscles significantly reduces the tethering forces acting on the anterior and posterior leaflets in systole, thereby enabling better leaflet mobility and thus better systolic valve closure. Valve function and kinematics were significantly better with the addition of PMA to annuloplasty, than with annuloplasty alone. Furthermore, because PMA mobilized the leaflets, a better result could be achieved without severely downsizing the mitral annulus, avoiding the risk of mitral stenosis or left ventricular dysfunction, as we have recently shown to occur. This simple technique of PMA reconfigures the tethered valve mechanics such that valve closure is optimized, which can yield a good outcome without the need to replace the mitral valve.

The physiological basis for PMA as an effective FMR repair stems from our work in humans that demonstrated that lateral separation of the papillary muscles in systole is a strong driver of both leaflet tenting and mitral regurgitation severity. Anatomically, the mitral valve receives chordae from both papillary muscles, the anterolateral and posteromedial, which insert symmetrically to both the mitral leaflets on either side of the axis that divides the valve into 2 symmetric parts. In the healthy human heart, a dynamic lateral motion exists between the papillary muscles, with a large separation in diastole and a smaller separation in systole. This lateral shortening between the muscles enables slack in the strut and marginal chordae at early systole, which allows the leaflets to move basally toward the mitral annulus and to form a large, vertical coaptation shelf. In patients with heart failure with FMR, such lateral separation between the papillary muscles in the cardiac cycle is lost, which reduces the chordal slackening, and causes the leaflets to remain tethered in early systole. Drawing the posterior annulus in the anterior direction and fixing it to a smaller rigid annuloplasty ring can lead to further increases in the tethering forces on the mitral valve chordae. Thus, coaptation is forced by the ring, but ventricular tethering forces persist.

By drawing the 2 papillary muscles together and approximating them, the lateral separation is abolished, and slackness is restored in the chordae at end diastole and early systole, as we hypothesized before and prove in this work. Hypothetically, this may enable better diastolic leaflet opening and provide a larger orifice for transmural flow. As the transmural pressure rises in the ventricle and the mitral valve begins to close, this end-diastolic and early systolic slackness may enable a higher velocity of leaflet motion toward the annulus. Thus, the leaflets may coaptate earlier in systole and may remain coaptated longer and achieve a higher coaptation length. Such a systolic configuration with a large area of coaptation is likely to dissipate chordal forces, as observed here. These mechanistic insights are summarized in Figure 8.
Clinical application of PMA requires careful analysis of the patient’s anatomy. In some patients, the papillary muscles are in different planes, with one muscle retracted from the mitral annular plane more than the other. In some patients, the posteromedial papillary muscle could be scarred and shortened, and separating it from the underlying fibrotic myocardium may be risky. Patients with scarred and shortened papillary muscles are better served with other techniques than PMA. However, when the papillary muscles are in different planes, the ideal approach would be to bring their tips together into the same plane, as the chordae emerging from the muscles are of equal anatomic length. Some clinical studies have reported approximating the bases of the papillary muscles with an encircling PTFE graft, but such an approach does not yield the same result as the tip approximation. This can be attributed to the fact that basal approximation still keeps the papillary muscle tips flayed apart, resulting in persistent tethering forces on the mitral valve, as depicted in Figure E2. A modified approach is to approximate the entire lengths of the 2 papillary muscles together, or just their tips, with pledgeted Prolene sutures. Wakasa and colleagues tried both techniques in patients and reported that approximation of the entire length of the papillary muscle is associated with lower mortality and better durability of the repair. The mortality benefit with complete PMA is intriguing and alludes to a potential intraventricular restraining effect on the remodeling left ventricle. The effect of these techniques on ventricular remodeling warrants further study. Procedurally, accessing the papillary muscles and approximating them together would require adequate exposure, and the best incision to achieve adequate exposure requires investigation. In patients with large ventricles in which the papillary muscles are retracted out of the line...
of sight when viewed through the mitral valve, endoscopy of the ventricular chamber through the mitral valve may be useful. Another potentially useful procedural may be epicardial ultrasound to identify the papillary muscle base and heads and mark these epicardial locations. Once on cardiopulmonary bypass, depressing these regions inwardly into the ventricular cavity could bring the papillary muscle heads into view through the mitral valve. There is scope to develop surgical and percutaneous devices that can simplify this procedure tremendously, and such work is ongoing in our laboratory.

Limitations

As with any experiment, there are certain limitations that should be considered when translating the results of this work to clinical practice. First, we used an isolated mitral valve model that closely mimics mitral valve function and dysfunction but lacks a contractile and dynamic left ventricle. Because mitral valve function is impacted by left ventricular wall motion and dynamics, lack of a dynamic ventricle is a shortcoming of this model, which can be overcome in an animal model. Thus, further studies in an animal model that we reported earlier are warranted. In this mitral valve model, we use valves that are freshly acquired from healthy swine, which do not represent the fibrotic and thinned valves seen in heart failure patients with mitral regurgitation. Given that mitral valve material properties and thickness can impact force distribution, the need to repeat these studies in a relevant animal model is important. Another limitation of this work is that the

FIGURE 8. Schematic of the mechanistic basis for improved mitral valve hemodynamics and mechanics with papillary muscle approximation (PMA). A1, A healthy heart with a competent mitral valve, in which the shortening of the inter-papillary muscle distance from diastole to systole enables slackness in the chordae tendineae of the mitral valve, enabling better leaflet mobility and systolic coaptation. A2, A failing and dilated heart in which poor ventricular contractility causes loss of inter-papillary muscle shortening from diastole to systole. The separated papillary muscles impose a constant tethering force on the mitral valve leaflet edges in both diastole and systole. In systole, such tethering leads to loss of coaptation and mitral regurgitation. A3, On implantation of a downsizing annuloplasty ring in this heart with poor inter-papillary muscle shortening, the tethering effect on the leaflets was worsened, although the smaller mitral area forced systolic leaflet coaptation. Such coaptation is not durable and leads to recurrent functional mitral regurgitation. A4, PMA relieves the tethering forces on the valve leaflets by eliminating the inter-papillary muscle separation, resulting in a slackened chorda and thus leaflet free edge. This enables better mobility of the valve leaflet in systole. B, Schematic depictions of the systolic coaptation geometry under each condition. In a healthy valve, the coaption occurs along the rough zone of the leaflet between the marginal and strut chordae. Owing to the differential elongation of the chordae in systole, the rough zone of the leaflet is verticalized and forms a nice coaptation shelf. When the annulus is dilated and the ventricle enlarged, the tethering forces on the leaflets do not allow the formation of this vertical shelf, leading to regurgitation. Annuloplasty does not address these tethering forces and thus restores a coaptation geometry that is not physiological. Adding PMA relieves these tethering forces and adequately restores coaptation of the leaflets. FMR, Functional mitral regurgitation; UMA, undersizing mitral annuloplasty.
proposed outcomes are limited to an acute experiment, and the chronic outcomes and the potential effect of continued left ventricular remodeling after the mitral valve repair were not investigated. Improved leaflet mobility and coaptation in this experiment can be extrapolated to indicate better durability, but such an extrapolation needs to be tested in an animal model and then in humans. We used a semirigid annuloplasty ring that mimics the Physio ring, but other ring types that are either partial or flexible may have different effects on the valve. Finally, we studied approximations of the entire lengths of papillary muscles, and further studies that investigate basal approximation alone and tip approximation are needed. Nonetheless, these limitations do not confound the primary finding of this study, that PMA significantly reduces the tethering forces acting on the mitral valve and enables better leaflet coaptation and mobility.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the data from this study, we conclude that adding papillary muscle approximation to the mitral valve with moderate annular downsizing relieves tethering forces on the valve, improves leaflet mobility, and restores physiological valve function.
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FIGURE E1. A, Equivalence between the custom-made adjustable undersizing mitral annuloplasty and different sizes of the commercial Physio annuloplasty ring (Edwards Lifesciences). B, En face view of the mitral valve at baseline after inducing functional mitral regurgitation with the 30-mm and 26-mm annuloplasty rings and after concomitant papillary muscle approximation. UMA, Undersizing mitral annuloplasty; FMR, functional mitral regurgitation; PMA, papillary muscle approximation.

FIGURE E2. A human cadaver heart in which papillary muscle approximation (PMA) was performed at the base of the muscles and at the tip. When PMA was done at the base, the muscle tips remained flayed apart and thus did not seem to have a beneficial effect on the valve. ALPM, Antero lateral papillary muscle; PMPM, posteromedial papillary muscle.