Self-induced Scattering of Strahl Electrons in the Solar Wind
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Abstract

We investigate the scattering of strahl electrons by microinstabilities as a mechanism for creating the electron halo in the solar wind. We develop a mathematical framework for the description of electron-driven microinstabilities and discuss the associated physical mechanisms. We find that an instability of the oblique fast-magnetosonic/whistler (FM/W) mode is the best candidate for a microinstability that scatters strahl electrons into the halo. We derive approximate analytic expressions for the FM/W instability threshold in two different \( \beta_c \) regimes, where \( \beta_c \) is the ratio of the core electrons’ thermal pressure to the magnetic pressure, and confirm the accuracy of these thresholds through comparison with numerical solutions to the hot-plasma dispersion relation. We find that the strahl-driven oblique FM/W instability creates copious FM/W waves under low-\( \beta_c \) conditions when \( U_{\parallel 0} \gtrsim 3w_c \), where \( U_{\parallel 0} \) is the strahl speed and \( w_c \) is the thermal speed of the core electrons. These waves have a frequency of about half the local electron gyrofrequency. We also derive an analytic expression for the oblique FM/W instability for \( \beta_c \sim 1 \). The comparison of our theoretical results with data from the Wind spacecraft confirms the relevance of the oblique FM/W instability for the solar wind. The whistler heat-flux, ion- acoustic heat-flux, kinetic-Alfvén-wave heat-flux, and electrostatic electron-beam instabilities cannot fulfill the requirements for self-induced scattering of strahl electrons into the halo. We make predictions for the electron strahl close to the Sun, which will be tested by measurements from Parker Solar Probe and Solar Orbiter.
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1. Introduction

The solar wind is a plasma consisting of electrons, protons, and other ion species. Since the mass of an electron \( m_e \) is by about a factor of 1836 smaller than the mass of a proton \( m_p \), the electron contribution to the momentum flux in the solar wind is negligible. However, electrons are important to ensure quasi-neutrality, and their pressure gradient generates a substantial electrostatic field. Furthermore, the skewness provided by superthermal features in their distribution function supplies the solar wind with a significant heat flux (Gary et al. 1999; Scime et al. 1999; Pagel et al. 2005; Marsch 2006; Verscharen et al. 2019).

Observations show that typical solar-wind electron distribution functions consist of three main populations: a thermal core, a superthermal halo, and a field-aligned beam, which is usually called the strahl (German for beam; Feldman et al. 1975; Rosenbauer et al. 1977; Pilipp et al. 1987a, 1987b; Hammond et al. 1996; Fitzneriter et al. 1998; Lin 1998; Maksimovic et al. 2000; Gosling et al. 2001; Salem et al. 2003a; Wilson et al. 2018). The thermal core typically exhibits temperatures comparable to the proton temperature and contains about 95% of the electrons. The halo is a tail in the distribution function extending to large velocities, which can be well modeled by a \( \kappa \)-distribution (Maksimovic et al. 1997, 2005). The halo is present in all directions with respect to the field; however, relative drifts and temperature anisotropies of both the halo and the core have been observed (Šverák et al. 2008; Bale et al. 2013). The electron strahl forms a “shoulder” in the distribution function. Its bulk velocity is shifted with respect to the electron core either parallel or antiparallel to the magnetic field, and its radial velocity component is almost always greater than the core’s radial velocity component. The nonthermal features of the distribution function are more distinctive in the fast solar wind. This observation is attributed to the typically weaker collisionality of the fast solar wind (Scudder & Olbert 1979a, 1979b; Phillips & Gosling 1990; Lie-Svendsen et al. 1997; Landi & Panteelli 2003; Salem et al. 2003b; Gurgiolo & Goldstein 2017).

When binary collisions among electrons are sufficiently rare, instabilities can reduce the skewness of the distribution function and thereby limit the heat flux (Hollweg 1974; Gary et al. 1975a, 1975b; Feldman et al. 1976; Lakhina 1977; Ramani & Laval 1978; Lazar et al. 2011). Candidates for such instabilities include the electromagnetic whistler heat-flux instability (Gary & Feldman 1977; Gary et al. 1994; Gary & Li 2000; Lazar et al. 2013), fan instabilities of the lower-hybrid mode (Lakhina 1979; Omelchenko et al. 1994; Krafft et al. 2005; Kraft & Volokitin 2006; Shevchenko & Galinsky 2010), the kinetic-Alfvén-wave (KAW) heat-flux instability (Gary et al. 1975a), and a family of electrostatic instabilities (Gary 1978; Gary & Saito 2007; Pavan et al. 2013) including the ion-acoustic heat-flux instability (Gary 1979; Detering et al. 2005).

In strahl-driven instabilities, the instability thresholds for bulk speed of the strahl component, \( U_{\parallel 0} \), in the reference frame in which the protons are at rest scale approximately as the electron Alfvén speed \( v_{AE} \equiv B_0/\sqrt{4\pi n_{0e} m_e} \), where \( n_{0e} \) is the equilibrium electron number density and \( B_0 \) is the background magnetic field. Since \( v_{AE} \) decreases with heliocentric distance in the inner heliosphere, the thresholds also decrease. This
situation, therefore, leads to a quasi-continuous excitation of unstable wave modes during the solar wind’s passage through the inner heliosphere beyond the point at which $U_{0s}$ reaches the instability threshold for the first time. The exact location of this point depends on the properties of the innermost heliosphere and is thus still unknown. The unstable wave modes cause pitch-angle scattering of electrons, which reduces the strahl heat flux while transferring strahl electrons into the halo.

All electron-driven instabilities generate waves with wavelengths between the electron and the ion inertial length scales and inject these unstable waves into the fluctuation spectrum between these scales. Therefore, the understanding of electron microinstabilities is important for the understanding of the nature of fluctuations in the ion-to-electron spectral range, which is still under debate (Alexandrova et al. 2009, 2012; Sahraoui et al. 2010, 2012; Chen et al. 2012; He et al. 2012; Salem et al. 2012).

In Section 2, we present the scenario we have in mind for how the electron distribution function evolves when the electrons stream away from the Sun. Section 3 introduces the mathematical framework of the quasilinear theory of wave–particle interactions and a conceptual discussion of why oblique fast-magnetosonic/whistler (FM/W) waves are a promising candidate for scattering strahl electrons into the halo. In Section 4, we present analytic expressions for the instability threshold of oblique FM/W waves in two different $\beta_c$ regimes. Section 5 compares our theoretical results with in situ electron observations in the solar wind. In Section 6, we discuss other electron-heat-flux instabilities and relate them to our predictions. Section 7 summarizes and concludes our treatment.

2. Radial Evolution of the Strahl and Halo

Measurements of the core, halo, and strahl densities ($n_c$, $n_h$, and $n_e$, respectively) at different heliocentric distances show that $n_c/n_e$ decreases with distance, while $n_h/n_e$ increases, where $n_e = n_c + n_h + n_s$ (Maksimovic et al. 2005; Graham et al. 2017). The quantity $(n_h + n_s)/n_e$, however, remains almost constant between 0.3 au and 2 au (Štverák et al. 2009). This observation is striking evidence for the notion that the halo and strahl populations are closely related to each other, and that the electron halo is the result of a scattering of strahl electrons into the halo. These observations have given rise to the following paradigm for the radial evolution of superthermal electrons in the solar wind:

1. electrons are heated to superthermal energies in (or very close to) the solar corona (Vocks & Mann 2003; Owens et al. 2008);
2. magnetic-moment conservation in the expanding magnetic field focuses the superthermal particles into the strahl;
3. microinstabilities, which constrain the strahl to stable regions in parameter space, regulate the strahl and the associated heat flux and generate waves that scatter the strahl into the halo during the passage of the plasma through the heliosphere, restricting the strahl to stable regions of parameter space.

In this article, we address point 3 of this scenario.

3. Resonant Wave–Particle Interactions

We consider a plasma consisting of protons (index $p$), core electrons (index $c$), and strahl electrons (index $s$) with a background magnetic field of the form $B_0 = (0, 0, B_0)$. We neglect the halo for the sake of simplicity. We perform all calculations in the reference frame that moves with the proton bulk velocity. The plasma fulfills the quasi-neutrality condition,

$$n_{0p} = n_{0c} + n_{0s},$$

and carries no field-parallel currents on average:

$$n_{0p}U_{0c} + n_{0s}U_{0s} = 0,$$

where $n_{0j}$ and $U_{0j}$ are the equilibrium number density and the equilibrium bulk velocity of species $j$, respectively.

3.1. Quasilinear Theory of Resonant Wave–Particle Interactions

Quasilinear theory describes the evolution of a plasma under the effects of resonant wave–particle interactions. Prerequisites for the application of this description are small amplitudes and small growth or damping rates (i.e., $|\gamma_{jk}| \ll |\omega_{jk}|$) of the resonant waves, where $\omega_{jk}$ is the real part of the frequency $\omega_k$ at wavevector $k$, and $\gamma_k$ is the imaginary part. These assumptions imply that the background distribution function and the wave amplitudes change on a timescale that is much longer than the wave periods. We use a cylindrical coordinate system for the velocity with the components $v_\perp$ and $v_\parallel$ perpendicular and parallel to $B_0$. In the same coordinate system, the wavevector components are given by $k_\perp$ and $k_\parallel$. We denote the azimuthal angle of the wavevector as $\phi$.

Resonant particles of species $j$ diffuse in velocity space according to the equation (Stix 1992)

$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial t} = \lim_{V \to -\infty} \sum_{\nu \to -\infty} \frac{q_j^2}{8\pi^2 m_j} \int \frac{1}{V_{\perp j}} \hat{G}_{\nu j} \times \delta (\omega_{kj} - k_\parallel v_\perp - n\Omega_j) \psi_{k,j}^\nu \hat{G}_{\nu j} d^3k,$$

where $f_j$ is the distribution function of species $j$,

$$\hat{G} \equiv \left( 1 - \frac{k_\parallel v_\perp}{\omega_{kj}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\perp} + \frac{k_\parallel v_\parallel}{\omega_{kj}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\parallel} \right),$$

and

$$\psi_{k,j}^\nu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ E_{k,j} e^{i\nu J_{n+1}(x_j)} + E_{k,j} e^{-i\nu J_{n-1}(x_j)} \right] + \frac{v_\parallel}{v_{\perp j}} E_{k,j} J_n(x_j).$$

The argument of the $n$th-order Bessel function $J_n(x_j)$ is given by $x_j \equiv k_\perp v_\perp / \Omega_j$, and $\Omega_j \equiv q_B/m_j c$ is the (signed) cyclotron frequency of species $j$, where $q_j$ and $m_j$ are the particle charge and mass. The quantities

$$E_{k,j}(t, x) \equiv \int_V E(x, t) e^{-i k \cdot x} d^3x$$

and

$$B_{k,j}(t, x) \equiv \int_V B(x, t) e^{-i k \cdot x} d^3x$$

are the Fourier transforms of the electric and magnetic fields $E(x, t)$ and $B(x, t)$, after these fields have been multiplied by a
under typical solar-wind conditions, the circle shows a contour of constant kinetic energy in velocity space.

This Fourier transform convention is described in greater detail by Stix (1992), although his definitions of \( E_k \) and \( B_k \) differ from ours by a factor of \( (2\pi)^{-1/2} \).

\[ j \text{ to } \gamma_k \text{ is given by} \]
\[ \gamma_k^j = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \gamma_k^n, \]

where

\[ \gamma_k^n = \frac{\pi}{8n\Omega_j} \left| \frac{\omega_{kj}}{k||} \right| \left( \frac{\omega_{kj}}{\omega_{kr}} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty dv_{\parallel}\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2} \right|_{\omega = \omega_{kr}}, \]

\[ W_k \equiv \frac{1}{16\pi} \left[ B_k^0 \cdot B_k + E_k^0 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}(\omega^2)E_k \right] \mid_{\omega = \omega_{kr}}, \]

\( \varepsilon_h \) denotes the Hermitian part of the dielectric tensor, and \( f_{ij} \) is the background distribution function of species \( j \). The plasma frequency of species \( j \) is defined by \( \omega_{pj} = \sqrt{4\pi n_{0j} q_j^2/m_j} \). We assume that the background distribution functions \( f_{ij} \) are represented by drifting Maxwellians,

\[ f_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij}}{\pi^{3/2} w_j} \exp \left( -\frac{v_{\parallel}^2 + (v_{\parallel} - U_{0j})^2}{w_j^2} \right), \]

where \( w_j = \sqrt{2k_b T_0/m_j} \) is the thermal speed of species \( j \), \( k_b \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( T_0 \) is the equilibrium temperature of species \( j \). The set of Equations (10) and (3) couple the evolution of the waves and particles in the presence of resonant wave–particle interactions and fulfill energy conservation (Kennel & Wong 1967; Chandran et al. 2010).

3.2. Conceptual Predictions of Quasilinear Diffusion

Figure 1 shows quasilinear diffusion paths for resonant strahl particles in velocity space. The relative alignment of the gradients of \( f_{ij} \) in velocity space and the semicircles given by Equation (8) determines if the resonant particles lose or gain kinetic energy during the quasilinear diffusion process. In case (a), \( 0 < U_{0s} < v_{ph} \), the resonant particles gain kinetic energy as they diffuse (i.e., their distance, \( v_{\parallel}^2 + v_{\perp}^2 \), from the origin increases). They remove this energy from the resonant wave, which consequently decreases in amplitude. Therefore, case (a) represents a configuration that leads to wave damping. In case (b), \( 0 < v_{ph} < U_{0s} \), the resonant particles lose kinetic energy as they diffuse and transfer this energy to the resonant wave, which consequently grows in amplitude. Therefore, case (b) represents a configuration that leads to wave instability. Case (c), in which \( U_{0s} > 0 \) and \( v_{ph} < 0 \), represents an additional configuration that leads to wave damping since the resonant particles gain kinetic energy during quasilinear diffusion.

We, therefore, conclude that

\[ 0 < v_{ph} < U_{0s} \]

\( \gamma_k \), in quasilinear theory and found that the contribution of species...
is a necessary condition in order for strahl electrons to lose kinetic energy through a wave–particle resonance. At this point, we limit ourselves to \( \omega_k > 0 \) and \( k_\parallel > 0 \) without loss of generality.\(^7\)

The frequency \( \omega_k \) is associated with the wavevector through the linear dispersion relation. Figure 2 shows two representative plots for the FM/W-wave dispersion relation (for details, see Section 4) with \( \omega_k > 0 \) and \( k_\parallel > 0 \). In addition, we plot the resonance condition in Equation (9) for \( n = -1 \) and \( n = +1 \). Resonant interactions only occur for waves and particles for which the line corresponding to the resonance condition intersects the plot of the dispersion relation. Figure 2 illustrates that strahl electrons with \( v_\parallel > 0 \) only fulfill the resonance condition with FM/W waves with \( \omega_k > 0 \) and \( k_\parallel > 0 \) through resonance with \( n \geq 0 \). They cannot fulfill the resonance condition with these waves through resonances with \( n \leq -1 \) since \( \omega_k \ll |\Omega_\parallel| \) for all \( k \) and \( \Omega_\parallel < 0 \). We do not consider the \( n = 0 \) strahl resonance since this resonance only excites instabilities when there is a bump-on-tail distribution (i.e., \( \theta \frac{f_j}{\partial v_\parallel} > 0 \) at the resonance speed), which is not observed (see also Section 6.4). Moreover, instabilities driven via the \( n = 0 \) strahl resonance are unable to account for the halo formation since they cause particles to diffuse only in \( v_\parallel \) and not in \( v_\perp \).

Although we will allow for oblique modes, we still limit ourselves to \( k_\perp \rho_c \ll 1 \) to avoid cyclotron damping by the core, where \( \rho_c \equiv \omega_c/|\Omega_\parallel| \), a point that we discuss further in Section 4.1 below.

When \( k_\perp \rho_c \ll 1 \) and \( n > 0 \), the only non-negligible term in Equation (5) is \( E_{k,1} e^{-i\nu} J_{n-1}(\nu) \) which, moreover, is nonzero only when \( n = +1 \), because \( J_n(\nu) \to 0 \) for \( \nu \to 0 \) for all \( \nu \neq 0 \). Therefore, in order for electrons to undergo an \( n > 0 \) resonance with FM/W waves with \( k_\perp \rho_c \ll 1 \), the FM/W waves must have a left-circularly polarized component (i.e., \( E_{k,1} = 0 \)). This requirement rules out the parallel-propagating

\footnote{Our arguments for instability also apply to a configuration in which \( U_{0\parallel} < v_{ph} < 0 \) (see Verscharen & Chandran 2013; Verscharen et al. 2013a). If the strahl streams away from the Sun, the configuration \( U_{0\parallel} < v_{ph} < 0 \) corresponds to the case in which \( B_0 \cdot \hat{e}_r < 0 \), where \( \hat{e}_r \) is the radial unit vector in the solar rest frame.}

Figure 1(b) shows a case that satisfies all of these requirements for the instability of the oblique FM/W wave. The diffusing particles increase in \( v_\parallel \) and (slightly) decrease in \( v_\perp \). These particles are the seed for the halo population. However, scattering by the initially excited FM/W waves does not fully describe the formation of the observed halo since it is restricted to particles in a certain range in \( v_\parallel \) that fulfill the resonance condition. The scattered seed population, however, represents a strong deformation of the electron distribution function, which may eventually relax through secondary instabilities into a more symmetric halo about the electron core. A detailed study of the nonlinear evolution of the electron system is, however, beyond the scope of this work.

4. Instability of the Oblique Fast-magnetosonic/Whistler Mode

In this section, we derive approximate analytical expressions for the instability thresholds of the oblique FM/W mode in a plasma containing an electron strahl. Gary et al. (1975a, 1975b) refer to this instability as the “magnetosonic instability.” An instability of the oblique FM/W mode has recently been discussed in the context of the solar wind (Horaites et al. 2018; Vasko et al. 2019). This instability is also a candidate to explain heat-flux regulation in other astrophysical plasmas such as the intracluster medium (Roberg-Clark et al. 2016, 2018) and in solar flares (Roberg-Clark et al. 2019).

4.1. Instability Mechanism and Dispersion Relations

We assume that the instability drive by strahl electrons is most efficient at the center of the strahl distribution function, i.e., by particles with \( v_\perp = U_{0\parallel} \) and \( v_\parallel = 0 \). Through the \( n = +1 \) resonance, the oblique FM/W instability only occurs at frequencies of about

\[ \omega = k_\parallel U_{0\parallel} - |\Omega_\parallel| \]

according to Equation (9).

We discuss the properties of the FM/W mode at different angles of propagation in the \( \omega_-k_\parallel \) plane in Figure 3, where we show four solutions from the full dispersion relation of a hot electron–proton plasma. We use the linear Vlasov–Maxwell solver NHDS (for details on the numerics, see Verscharen et al. 2013b; Verscharen & Chandran 2018).

In addition, we show Equation (15). The intersection between this line and a plot of the dispersion relation indicates a wavenumber and frequency at which the resonance condition between the wave and an electron with \( v_\parallel = U_{0\parallel} \) is fulfilled. Since highly oblique modes cease to exist in plasmas with Maxwellian background distributions at large \( \beta_p \equiv 8\pi n_0 T_p / B_0^2 \) due to increasing Landau damping, we apply lower \( \beta_p \) for the highly oblique cases in Figure 3.\(^11\) We note that \( k_\parallel \) in the case with \( \theta = 89^\circ \) is by a factor \( \tan \theta \approx 57 \) greater than \( k_0 \). The FM/W wave, which is purely right-circularly polarized (i.e., \( E_{k,1} = 0 \)), forcing us to consider obliquely propagating FM/W waves.\(^10\)

\footnote{The only left-circularly polarized parallel-propagating normal mode is the Alfvén/ion-cyclotron mode. This mode, however, has low frequencies \( \ll |\Omega_\parallel| \) compared to \( |\Omega_\parallel| \). At these frequencies and under typical solar-wind conditions, thermal protons fulfill the resonance condition in Equation (9), so that the mode is prone to strong proton-cyclotron damping.}

\footnote{We note that the lower \( \beta_p \) value used for the highly oblique solutions in Figure 3 is not representative for the solar wind at 1 au, but represents coronal conditions instead.}
mode exists in two regimes in the wavenumber range in which Equation (15) can be fulfilled—i.e., where the line of the strahl resonance intersects with the corresponding plot of the dispersion relation. Regime 1 is the *whistler regime* in which the angle of propagation fulfills $0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 70^\circ$, and

$$\omega_{\ell r} \approx \frac{k k_{||} v_{\text{es}}}{2 |\Omega_{e}| (1 + k d_e^2)},$$

(16)

where $d_e \equiv v_{\text{es}} / |\Omega_{e}|$ is the electron inertial length. Equation (16) follows from the cold-plasma dispersion relation in a plasma with a single electron species under the assumption that $kd_p \gg 1$, where $d_p$ is the proton inertial length, and is approximately valid for small $\beta_p$ and $\beta_e$. The dispersion relation asymptotes toward $\sim |\Omega_{e}| \cos \theta$ for large $k_{||}$ provided that $\cos^2 \theta \gtrsim m_e / m_p$. In the highly oblique limit (regime 2; i.e., $\cos^2 \theta \lesssim m_e / m_p$), the wave propagates in the *lower-hybrid regime*. Its frequency asymptotes toward a frequency of order the lower-hybrid frequency,

$$\omega_{\ell \text{LH}} \equiv \frac{\omega_{\text{LH}}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega_e^2}{\omega_{\ell \text{LH}}} / \Omega_{e}}},$$

(17)

as long as thermal corrections are small (Verdon et al. 2009).

4.2. Analytical Instability Thresholds

To determine whether the oblique FM/W wave is unstable, we must consider not only the instability drive provided by the strahl, but also the possibility of damping by the core electrons. According to Equations (9) and (13), cyclotron damping by the core with $n = -1$ occurs at wavenumbers and frequencies that fulfill

$$-k_{||} \omega_e + |\Omega_{e}| \lesssim \omega_e \lesssim k_{||} \omega_e + |\Omega_{e}|.$$  

(18)

Landau damping by the core with $n = 0$ occurs at wavenumbers and frequencies that fulfill

$$-k_{||} \omega_e \lesssim \omega_e \lesssim k_{||} \omega_e.$$  

(19)

Strahl driving with $n = +1$ occurs at wavenumbers and frequencies that fulfill Equation (15).

Figure 4 shows the plot of the dispersion relation from Equation (16), the strahl-resonance line from Equation (15), and the parameter space in which core Landau damping and core cyclotron damping can occur. Combining Equations (15) and (19), we can determine the minimum $U_{\text{th}}$ for which strahl driving can occur in a wavenumber range in which neither core cyclotron damping nor core Landau damping acts. In order for the dispersion relation to intersect this resonance line within the white triangle, two conditions must be met: (1) $\beta_e$ must be small (otherwise the dispersion relation will lie within the Landau-damped regime—see Figure 4), and (2) $\theta$ must be $\approx 60^\circ$. When these conditions are satisfied, the frequency of the resonant waves is given by

$$\omega_{\ell r} \approx \frac{1}{2} |\Omega_{e}|.$$  

(20)

Furthermore, it follows from Equations (18) and (19) that the wavenumber of the resonant waves satisfies

$$k_{||} = k_{\text{crit}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\Omega_{e}|}{\omega_e},$$  

(21)

where $k_{\text{crit}}$ is the minimum wavenumber at which the green and blue regions in Figure 4 overlap; i.e., the minimum wavenumber at which both core Landau damping and core cyclotron damping can occur. Combining Equations (15), (20), and (21) leads to the instability criterion

$$U_{\text{th}} \gtrsim 3 \omega_e.$$  

(22)

For $\beta_e \sim 1$, the dispersion relation lies below the Landau-damping threshold from $k_{\text{th}} = 0$ to $k_{\text{th}} = k_{\text{crit}}$. This situation is illustrated in Figure 5. We now determine the instability threshold in this regime by balancing the destabilizing effects of the strahl against the stabilizing effects of core Landau damping. Under these assumptions, the FM/W mode is
We evaluate the threshold at the\[ w = W - \sqrt{\alpha w^2}, \]
for \( \cos 1 2 \theta \approx 0 \). Using Equation (11), we derive the instability criterion in Appendix. We find that the FM/W mode is unstable if
\[ U_{0h} \geq \frac{n_0}{n_{0h}} \left[ \frac{T_{0h}}{T_{0c}} \frac{v_{As}^2}{w_{d}^2} \frac{(1 + \cos \theta)}{(1 - \cos \theta) \cos \theta} \right]^{1/4} \]
for the \( \beta_c \sim 1 \) case. The minimum of the right-hand side of Equation (24) suggests that the lowest threshold occurs for \( \cos \theta = -1 + \sqrt{2} \), i.e., for \( \theta \approx 65^\circ.6 \). The transition between the low-\( \beta_c \) case and the \( \beta_c \sim 1 \) case occurs when \( w_c \) is large enough that the inequality in Equation (19) encompasses the entire plot of the dispersion relation. By combining Equation (16) for \( \theta = 60^\circ \) and Equation (19), we find that this transition occurs when
\[ w_c \geq \frac{v_{As}}{2} \].

We compare our analytical thresholds from Equations (22) and (24) with numerical solutions of the hot-plasma dispersion relation from our NHDS code in Figure 6.

Our analytical instability thresholds agree well with our numerical solutions. The transition between the low-\( \beta_c \) case and the \( \beta_c \sim 1 \) case occurs at \( w_c \approx 0.2v_{As} \), which is slightly below our analytical finding in Equation (25). We attribute this difference to inaccuracies based on our assumption of a discrete onset of Landau damping as soon as \( \omega_{Le} \approx k_{||}w_{c} \).

5. Comparison with Observations

We use data from the 3DP instrument on board the Wind spacecraft (Lin et al. 1995), obtained between 1995 and 1998. With an automated routine (Pulupa et al. 2014), we fit the distribution function as a combination of a bi-Maxwellian distribution (core) and a bi-\( \kappa \)-distribution (halo):
\[ f_{0c} = f_{0c} + f_{0h} \]

Figure 5. Dispersion relation and condition for the FM/W mode with \( \theta = 60^\circ \) in the \( \beta_c \sim 1 \) case. The black line shows Equation (16). The blue and green areas show Equations (18) and (19), respectively, and the red line shows Equation (15) with \( U_{0h} = 4w_{c} \). We use \( w_c = v_{As} \).

Figure 6. Comparison of Equations (22) and (24) with numerical solutions of the hot-plasma dispersion relation from our NHDS code. The blue and orange lines show Equations (22) and (24), except that the \( \geq \) signs have been replaced with equal signs. We use \( n_0 = 2n_{0h} \) and \( T_{0c} = T_{0h} \). For the numerical solutions, we show isocontours of constant maximum growth. The analytical solutions use \( \theta = 65.5^\circ \), while the numerical solutions are evaluated at the angle for which the lowest \( U_{0h} \) leads to a maximum growth rate of \( \gamma_m = 10^{-3}[\Omega_p] \).

with
\[ f_{0c} = \frac{n_{0c}}{\pi^{3/2}w_{||}^2w_{\perp}^2} \exp \left( \frac{-(v_{\perp} - U_{0,\perp})^2}{w_{||}^2} - \frac{(v_{\|} - U_{0,\|})^2}{w_{\perp}^2} \right) \]

and
\[ f_{0h} = \frac{n_{0h}}{w_{\perp}^2w_{\|}^2} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi(2\kappa - 3)} \right]^{1/2} \Gamma(\kappa + 1) \Gamma(\kappa - 1/2) \times \left( 1 + \frac{2}{2\kappa - 3} \frac{(v_{\perp} - U_{0,\perp})^2}{w_{\perp}^2} + \frac{(v_{\|} - U_{0,\|})^2}{w_{\|}^2} \right)^{(\kappa - 1)} \],

where \( \Gamma(\kappa) \) is the \( \Gamma \)-function, and the fit parameters are \( n_{0c}, w_{||}, w_{\perp}, U_{0,\perp}, n_{0h}, w_{\|h}, w_{\perp}, U_{0,\perp}, U_{0,\|}, \) and \( \kappa \). We determine the strahl bulk parameters as the result from subtracting the observed total electron distribution from the fit result and calculating the numerical moments of the remaining strahl distribution. In this way, we obtain the densities, relative drift speeds, temperatures, and temperature anisotropies of all electron species as well as the \( \kappa \)-index of the halo distribution. We bin the data distribution in the \( n_{0h}/n_{0c} \) versus \( U_{0c}/v_{As} \) plane and count the number of data points in each bin. We show the result in Figure 7. We limit ourselves to cases in which \( n_{0c}/n_{0h} \geq 0.005 \) since our automated method for the determination of the observed strahl bulk parameters suffers a loss of accuracy for smaller relative strahl densities.

We overplot numerical results for the instability threshold of the oblique FM/W instability for a maximum growth rate of \( \gamma_m = 10^{-3}[\Omega_p] \) from NHDS. We evaluate the threshold at the angle of propagation that leads to the maximum growth rate \( \gamma_m \).

This angle varies between 51° and 67° in the shown range. We use the following free parameters: \( \beta_p = 1, T_{0h} = T_{0p}, T_{0c} = T_{0p}, \) and \( \nu_{AP}/c = 10^{-3} \). All species are isotropic. These
The red dashed line shows Equation (24) for \( \theta = 65.5^\circ \), and \( w_c = v_{Ae} = w_s \). The black line shows the isocontour of maximum growth rate \( \gamma_n = 10^{-3}|\Omega| \) for the oblique FM/W instability from our NHDS solutions. The red dashed line shows Equation (24) for \( \theta = 65.5^\circ \), and \( w_c = v_{Ae} = w_s \).

**Figure 7.** Data distribution of the analyzed solar-wind interval in the \( n_0/n_{0c} \) versus \( U_{0c}/v_{Ae} \) plane. The color-coding shows the probability density (i.e., the number of counts per bin, normalized by the corresponding bin area to account for the logarithmic scaling of both plots axes) in arbitrary units. We determine the observed values for \( n_{0c} \) and \( U_{0c} \) by taking moments of the strahl distribution. The black line shows the isocontour of maximum growth rate \( \gamma_n = 10^{-3}|\Omega| \) for the oblique FM/W instability from our NHDS solutions. The red dashed line shows Equation (24) for \( \theta = 65.5^\circ \), and \( w_c = v_{Ae} = w_s \).

Figure 7. Data distribution of the analyzed solar-wind interval in the \( n_0/n_{0c} \) versus \( U_{0c}/v_{Ae} \) plane. The color-coding shows the probability density (i.e., the number of counts per bin, normalized by the corresponding bin area to account for the logarithmic scaling of both plots axes) in arbitrary units. We determine the observed values for \( n_{0c} \) and \( U_{0c} \) by taking moments of the strahl distribution. The black line shows the isocontour of maximum growth rate \( \gamma_n = 10^{-3}|\Omega| \) for the oblique FM/W instability from our NHDS solutions. The red dashed line shows Equation (24) for \( \theta = 65.5^\circ \), and \( w_c = v_{Ae} = w_s \).

choices represent typical values for these parameters at 1 au consistent with our data set. In addition, we overplot Equation (24) for \( \theta = 65.5^\circ \), and \( w_c = v_{Ae} = w_s \). We consider the parameter space to the lower left of the plotted instability threshold as the stable parameter space, while we consider the parameter space to the upper right of this curve as the unstable parameter space. The instability threshold restricts the data in this parameter space to stable values, while only an insignificant number of data points populate the unstable parameter space. This finding is broadly consistent with our argument that the oblique FM/W instability sets the upper limit to \( U_{0c} \) in the solar wind. We also note that the numerical solution and our analytical solution agree reasonably well, especially at small \( n_0/n_{0c} \), the regime in which the strahl effect on the dispersion relation is negligible as assumed in our derivation. We note that some of the parameter combinations shown in Figure 7 exhibit a bump-on-tail configuration when the model Maxwellian core and strahl distributions are summed, which can be unstable to other instabilities (see Section 6.4). However, we neglect bump-on-tail instabilities in this paper, because, in a more realistic model, the total electron distribution function would be a monotonically decreasing function of \( |v_s| \). Under realistic solar-wind conditions, the number of halo electrons that are in resonance with the unstable FM/W waves is small. Therefore, we conjecture that our simple core/strahl model of the electron distribution provides a reasonable approximation of the oblique FM/W instability threshold. However, future investigations based on more realistic core/halo/strahl electron distributions will be needed in order to test this conjecture.

6. Relation to Other Electron-driven Instabilities

In this section, we discuss the relevance of other electron-driven instabilities to the evolution of the electron strahl in the solar wind. We specifically address the consistency of these alternative instabilities with the strahl-scattering scenario described in Section 2. Our reasoning relies on the considerations presented in Section 3 of the diffusion paths with respect to the phase speed as well as a careful analysis with NHDS.

6.1. Whistler Heat-flux Instability

The parallel-propagating FM/W wave is purely right-handed in polarization (i.e., \( E_L = E_R = 0 \)). Equation (5) for \( k_\perp = 0 \), therefore, requires that the only contributing resonant interaction is the cyclotron resonance with \( n = -1 \). The resonant particles driving this instability must move in the opposite direction along the magnetic field as the wave (i.e., \( v_\parallel < 0 \) in our convention) in order to fulfill the resonance condition, Equation (9). This property characterizes the halo rather than the strahl. If unstable, this mode corresponds to the whistler heat-flux instability (Gary & Feldman 1977; Gary et al. 1999; Wilson et al. 2009, 2013; Shaaban et al. 2018a). The diffusion paths for this instability are shown in Figure 8 (see also Shaaban et al. 2019).

The resonant particles diffuse toward smaller values of \( v_\perp \) and form a tail-like structure in the distribution. This behavior does not agree with the scenario that strahl scattering forms the halo distribution function as discussed in Section 2. For these reasons, we exclude the parallel whistler heat-flux instability as a candidate for a plasma instability that scatters the strahl into the halo. This instability can, however, be relevant for the regulation of the halo heat flux in the solar wind. Its threshold then also depends on the halo anisotropy (Shaaban et al. 2018b). We note that a reduction of \( U_{0c} \) can lead to an indirect reduction of \( U_{0c} \) by the fulfillment of quasi-neutrality according to Equation (2). This indirect effect is also relevant for the ion-acoustic heat-flux instability and for the KAW heat-flux instability. Evidence for the whistler heat-flux instability was found in measurements of solar-wind core and halo electrons (Tong et al. 2019).

6.2. Lower-hybrid Fan Instability

In the limit \( \cos^2 \theta < m_e/m_p \), the FM/W-mode branch corresponds to the lower-hybrid mode as shown in Section 4.1. The fan instability of the lower-hybrid mode is driven by the \( n = +1 \) resonance of strahl electrons like the oblique FM/W instability (Omelenchuk et al. 1994; Shevchenko & Galinsky 2010). It scatters particles about the parallel phase speed of the lower-hybrid wave, \( v_{ph} = \omega_{LH}/k_\parallel \), and is, thus, capable of...
scattering strahl electrons into the halo. The highly oblique lower-hybrid mode has a strong electrostatic component and relatively low frequencies compared to the moderate-θ FM/W mode. Therefore, it is prone to strong core Landau damping if \( \omega_c \) is large enough that the core provides a significant number of electrons at \( v_{||} \approx v_{ph} \). In this case, the resonance speed for Landau-resonant core electrons lies deep within the core distribution function. With increasing \( \omega_c \), the phase speed of the lower-hybrid wave increases slightly due to thermal corrections to its dispersion relation. This effect can overcompensate the increasing number of Landau-resonant core electrons at the strahl resonance speed. However, the growth rate of the highly oblique lower-hybrid mode is still less than the growth rate of the oblique FM/W instability by about two orders of magnitude under typical solar-wind conditions. As \( U_{0s} \) increases, \( |U_{0c}| \) must increase so that the parallel current vanishes as per Equation (2). In cases with very low \( \beta_c \), the lower-hybrid fan instability is a good candidate for self-induced strahl scattering. This situation is illustrated in Figure 9.

The instability is related to the oblique FM/W instability since it is driven by the same resonance effect and transitions into the oblique FM/W mode for smaller \( \theta \). We note that some authors use a broader definition of the term fan instabilities for all instabilities driven by an \( n = +1 \) resonance (e.g., Kraftt & Volokitin 2003).

### 6.3. Ion-acoustic Heat-flux Instability and Kinetic-Alfvén-wave Heat-flux Instability

The ion-acoustic heat-flux instability (Gary 1978) has a comparable instability threshold to the threshold of the oblique FM/W instability under certain conditions. However, this instability acts on particles with \( v_{||} \approx v_{ph} \). The parallel phase speed of the ion-acoustic mode is much less than both \( v_{ph} \) and the typical strahl speed in the solar wind. Therefore, it is more likely that this instability is driven by the core drift, which is directed in the opposite direction of the strahl speed according to Equation (2). For this case, we illustrate the quasilinear diffusion of particles under the action of the ion-acoustic instability in Figure 10. The ion-acoustic heat-flux instability is driven by the Landau resonance of the core electrons, which leads to a diffusion of core electrons at \( v_{||} = v_{ph} \) toward smaller \( |v_{||}| \). This instability is not a candidate to explain strahl scattering into the halo since it does not increase \( v_{\perp} \) of strahl electrons. We also note that large \( T_{0c} \gg T_{0p} \) are required in order to increase \( v_{ph} \) of the ion-acoustic mode and to avoid proton Landau damping, which would otherwise efficiently suppress this instability. The maximum growth rate of the ion-acoustic heat-flux instability occurs in parallel propagation.

The same instability mechanism is responsible for the kinetic-Alfvén-wave (KAW) heat-flux instability (Gary et al. 1975a). In the parameter range explored by Gary et al. (1975a), the KAW heat-flux instability requires low \( \beta_k \lesssim 10^{-3} \) to have the lowest threshold of all electron-drift driven instabilities. It propagates at very large angles \( \theta \) with respect to \( B_0 \). The nonzero \( E_{dc} \) of the KAW allows for the electron core to drive the mode unstable through the Landau resonance as in the case of the ion-acoustic heat-flux instability. Likewise, this instability does not scatter strahl particles into the halo.

### 6.4. Electrostatic Electron-beam Instability

The electrostatic electron-beam instability (Gary 1978) is a low-\( \beta_k \) instability that propagates into the direction of the strahl and has maximum growth parallel to \( B_0 \). Its typical phase speed is \( v_{ph} \lesssim U_{0s} \). For \( \beta_k \lesssim 0.1 \), it has lower thresholds than the other instabilities, as long as the strahl forms a bump-on-tail configuration rather than a shoulder of the distribution while all other parameters are kept at representative solar-wind values. It is driven by the Landau resonance of the strahl electrons. We illustrate this instability in Figure 11.

The Landau-resonant diffusion of strahl electrons leads to an excitation of this instability only if the diffusion paths down the gradients of the strahl distribution function are directed to
lower kinetic energies. For this reason, $v_{0s}$ has to be slightly greater than $v_{ph}$ as illustrated in Figure 11. This instability only reduces $v_{\parallel}$ and does not increase $v_{\perp}$ of the resonant strahl electrons and is thus not a candidate mechanism to scatter strahl electrons into the halo. We also note that, if this instability were to operate in the solar wind, it would lead to a quasilinear flattening of the electron distribution function in at least some narrow range of parallel velocities near the strahl velocity. However, the measured electron distribution functions are strongly decreasing functions of $v_{\parallel}$ near the strahl velocity (Pilipp et al. 1987a; Marsch 2006).

7. Conclusions

Electron distribution functions in the solar wind consist of three components: a core, halo, and strahl. The relative drifts among these populations carry a significant heat flux from the solar corona into the heliosphere. It is, therefore, of great importance for global solar-wind models to understand the regulation of the relative drifts between these electron components. Observations indicate that strahl electrons are continuously transferred into the halo. We propose a mechanism that explains this scattering as the consequence of a self-induced excitation of the oblique FM/W instability. In this scenario, we assume that electrons are accelerated to high energies in the solar corona. We furthermore assume that the conservation of the magnetic moment in the widening magnetic-field structure of a coronal hole focuses these energetic electrons into the antisunward direction, forming the electron strahl. Based on these assumptions, we find that the strahl itself then quasi-continuously excites an instability of the oblique FM/W wave that scatters strahl electrons into the halo and generates plasma waves with wavelengths between the ion and electron kinetic scales. This instability reduces the strahl density, increases the halo density, and limits the strahl heat flux.

In Section 4, we derive analytical expressions for the thresholds of the oblique FM/W instability in both the low-$\beta_c$ regime and the $\beta_c \sim 1$ regime. In the low-$\beta_c$ regime, the strahl excites FM/W waves when $u_{0s}$ is large enough that the strahl can resonate with the waves at wavenumbers and frequencies at which core Landau damping and core cyclotron damping are negligible. At $\beta_c \sim 1$, on the other hand, core Landau damping of FM/W waves cannot be avoided, and cyclotron driving by the strahl must overcome this core Landau damping in order to make the FM/W waves unstable.

In Section 5, we compare the instability thresholds of the oblique FM/W instability with direct in situ measurements from the Wind spacecraft. We find that the instability limits the data distribution to the stable regime. This finding corroborates our hypothesis that the oblique FM/W instability indeed limits the strahl speed and heat flux in the solar wind. In the future, we will study the instability of observed electron distributions with our ALPS code (Verscharen et al. 2018) without relying on the assumption of a Maxwellian shape of the electron components’ distribution in Equation (13), which is also made in our NHDS solutions.

Other electron-driven instabilities (whistler heat-flux, lower-hybrid fan, ion-acoustic heat-flux, kinetic-Alfvén-wave heat-flux, and electrostatic electron-beam instabilities) are either not capable of scattering strahl electrons into the halo or (in the case of the lower-hybrid fan instability) have growth rates much smaller than the oblique FM/W instability.

Fully kinetic simulations, such as Vlasov or particle-in-cell simulations, and simulations of the quasi-linear diffusion equations (e.g., using the methods presented by Pongkitiwachnukul & Chandran 2014) will allow us to model the nonlinear evolution of the strahl–halo system in a future analysis. Parker Solar Probe (PSP) measures electron distribution functions and waves in the close vicinity of the Sun. We predict that PSP will encounter the low-$\beta_c$ regime of the oblique FM/W instability in which its threshold is given by Equation (22). In this case, we predict the presence of copious FM/W waves with $\theta \approx 60^\circ$ and $\omega_\ell \approx 0.5[\omega_s]$. PSP may also encounter the point at which the strahl speed crosses the threshold of the FM/W instability for the first time. This discovery will help us understand the range of distances where the oblique FM/W instability is relevant. The Solar Orbiter spacecraft will link the observed high-cadence and high-resolution in situ electron properties with the associated source regions in the corona in order to improve our understanding of the global evolution of electrons in the solar wind.
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Appendix

Analytical Instability Criterion for $\beta_c \sim 1$

Our calculation of the instability threshold is based on Equation (10) for the case in which strahl driving balances with the stabilizing effects of core Landau damping. We first calculate expressions for $|\psi^{c,n=0}_{k_x}|^2/W_k$ and $|\psi^{a,n=1}_{k_x}|^2/W_k$. We then use these expressions to derive $\omega_{k_x}^2$ and $\gamma_{k_x}^2$. In order to simplify Equation (10), we approximate $\omega_{k_x}$ using the cold-plasma dispersion relation for an electron–proton plasma (Stix 1992), recognizing that this will introduce some error into our results.

A.1. Polarization of Oblique FM/W Waves

In the cold-plasma dispersion relation (Stix 1992),

$$\frac{iE_{kx}}{E_{ky}} = \frac{n^2 - S}{D},$$

and

$$\frac{iE_{kx}}{E_{ky}} = \frac{n^4 \cos^2 \theta - n^2 S(1 + \cos^2 \theta) + S^2 - D^2}{Dn^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta},$$
where \( n \equiv kc/\omega_k \) is the refractive index and, in the whistler-wave regime,

\[
S \simeq \frac{\omega_p^2}{\Omega_e^2 - \omega_k^2}
\]

(31)

and

\[
D \simeq -\frac{\Omega_e^2}{\omega_k}
\]

(32)

Using Equation (16), Equations (29) and (30) simplify to

\[
\frac{i E_{kx}}{E_{ky}} \simeq \frac{1 + k^2 d_e^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\cos \theta}
\]

(33)

and

\[
\frac{i E_{kz}}{E_{ky}} \simeq k^2 d_e^2 \sin \theta.
\]

(34)

We choose the coordinate system in which \( \phi = 0 \) so that \( k_1 = k_x \) and \( k_3 = 0 \). With the use of Equations (5), (16), (33), and (34), we find

\[
\frac{|\psi_{k}^{c,n-1}|^2}{W_k} \simeq \left[ \frac{v_l}{v_r} k^2 d_e^2 \sin \theta \ J_0(x_c) + J_1(x_c) \right]^2 \frac{|E_{ky}|^2}{W_k}.
\]

(35)

Since \( i E_{kx}/E_{ky} \gg i E_{kz}/E_{ky} \) and \( k_1 d_e \ll 1 \), we retain only the term proportional to \( \psi_{k}^{c,n-1} \) in \( \psi_{k}^{c,n-1} \). With the use of Equations (5), (16), (33), and (34), we then obtain

\[
\frac{|\psi_{k}^{c,n-1}|^2}{W_k} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \frac{(1 - \cos \theta + k^2 d_e^2 \sin^2 \theta)^2}{\cos^2 \theta} \ J_0^2(x_c) \frac{|E_{ky}|^2}{W_k}.
\]

(36)

A.3. Evaluation of Growth and Damping Rates

We simplify the integrals in Equation (11) by exploiting the \( \delta \)-function and the Bessel-function identities\(^{12}\)

\[
\int_0^\infty dv_x \ v_x J_2^2 \left( \frac{k v_x}{\Omega_j} \right) e^{-v_x^2/w_x^2} = \frac{w_x^2}{2} e^{-\lambda_j L_j(\lambda_j)},
\]

(41)

\[
\int_0^\infty dv_x \ v_x^3 J_2^2 \left( \frac{k v_x}{\Omega_j} \right) e^{-v_x^2/w_x^2} = \frac{w_x^4}{2} e^{-\lambda_j} \times \{ L_j(\lambda_j) - \lambda_j L_j(\lambda_j) - L'_j(\lambda_j) \},
\]

(42)

and

\[
\int_0^\infty dv_x \ v_x^7 J_2 \left( \frac{k v_x}{\Omega_j} \right) e^{-v_x^2/w_x^2} = \frac{k w_x^6}{4\Omega_j} e^{-\lambda_j} \times \{ L_j(\lambda_j) - L_0(\lambda_j) \},
\]

(43)

where \( L_n \) is the modified Bessel function of order \( n \) and \( \lambda_j \equiv k^2 w_x^2/2\Omega_j^2 \). Equations (41)–(43) follow from the identity (Watson 1922)

\[
\int_0^\infty dt \ t J_n(at) J_n(bt) e^{-t^2} = \frac{1}{2p^2} \exp \left( -a^2 + b^2 \right) \ B \left( \frac{ab}{2p^2} \right)
\]

(44)

in the way presented by Stix (1992), which is valid for Re(\( \nu \)) > -1 and |Arg \( p \)| < \( \pi/4 \). Given Equations (38) and (39), \( \lambda_e = 0.5 \beta_e \ e^2 \tan^2 \theta + ... \). We take \( \tan \theta \) and \( \beta_e \) to be of order unity, and thus \( \lambda_e \ll 1 \). We take \( w_{c,s} \sim w_c \), and thus \( \lambda_e \) is also \ll 1. With the use of Equations (15), (39)–(43), and our assumptions that \( \omega_{kr}, e > 0, k_1 > 0 \), we find that to leading order in \( e \),

\[
\gamma_{k,n=0} = \gamma_{k,n=1} \simeq \left( \frac{\omega_{kr}}{\omega_{kr}}, \frac{\omega_{pc}}{\omega_{pc}} \right)^2 \frac{|E_{ky}|^2}{W_k} \times \frac{\omega_{kr}}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \lambda_e.
\]

(45)

and

\[
\gamma_{k,n=1} = \gamma_{k,n=0} \simeq \left( \frac{\omega_{kr}}{\omega_{kr}}, \frac{\omega_{pc}}{\omega_{pc}} \right)^2 \frac{|E_{ky}|^2}{W_k} \times \frac{\omega_{kr}}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \lambda_e.
\]

(46)

Using these closed expressions for \( \gamma_{k,n=0} \) and \( \gamma_{k,n=1} \), the instability condition in Equation (23) then translates to

\[
n_0 k_1 \sqrt{T_{0e} U_{0s}^2 \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right)^2 \cos \theta} \geq \frac{w_e^2}{2U_{0s}^4} \tan^2 \theta,
\]

(47)

which furthermore simplifies to

\[
\frac{U_{0s}^4}{v_{pe}^2} \geq 2n_0 k_1 \sqrt{T_{0e} U_{0s}^2 \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right) \cos \theta} \times \frac{w_e^2}{2U_{0s}^4} \tan^2 \theta.
\]

(48)

This criterion can be rewritten as Equation (24).

\(^{12}\) Our result in Equations (45) and (46) can also be obtained by first approximating the \( J_n(\lambda_j) \) term using their small-\( \lambda_j \) expansions, which avoids the use of the Bessel-function identities in Equations (41) through (43).
