Engineering *Escherichia coli* for efficient aerobic conversion of glucose to fumaric acid
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**A B S T R A C T**

*Escherichia coli* was engineered for efficient aerobic conversion of glucose to fumaric acid. A novel design for biosynthesis of the target product through the modified TCA cycle rather than via glyoxylate shunt, implying oxaloacetate formation from pyruvate and artificial channelling of 2-ketoglutarate towards succinic acid via succinate semialdehyde formation, was implemented. The main fumarases were inactivated in the core strain *M*GL1.0 (*ΔaakA-pox, ΔoxaB, ΔldhA, ΔadhE, ΔespG, Ppyk, Psec-gldP*) by the deletion of the *fumA, fumB, and fumC* genes. The *Bacillus subtilis pykA* gene was expressed in the strain to ensure pyruvate to oxaloacetate conversion. The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis kgd* gene was expressed to enable succinate semialdehyde formation. The resulting strain was able to convert glucose to fumaric acid with a yield of 0.86 mol/mol, amounting to 86% of the theoretical maximum. The results demonstrated the high potential of the implemented strategy for development of efficient strains for bio-based fumaric acid production.

1. Introduction

Fumaric acid is a versatile building block chemical that can serve as a precursor of numerous valuable products, including plasticisers, alkyd and polyester resins, pharmaceuticals, food and beverage additives [1, 2]. Currently, fumaric acid is produced via cis-trans isomerisation of maleic acid, which, in turn, is obtained from petroleum-derived maleic anhydride [3]. Nevertheless, as an intermediate of the central metabolism of a variety of living organisms, fumaric acid can be produced biosynthetically from renewable carbon sources, e.g., plant biomass-derived sugars, in particular glucose, which is the most abundant. Filamentous fungi of the genus *Rhizopus*, such as *R. nigricans*, *R. arrhizus*, and *R. oryzae,* are naturally capable of producing fumaric acid under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions [4]. Moreover, certain progress has also been made towards improvement of the biosynthesis of the target product from carbohydrate feedstocks by corresponding species through rational metabolic and genetic engineering approaches [5, 6]. However, the morphological characteristics of *Rhizopus* represent a challenging problem for successful industrialisation of the bio-based fumaric acid production process with these fungi. The cells of *Rhizopus* species tend to form aggregates, clumps or mycelium during growth, leading to oxygen transfer limitations during large-scale fermentation [4, 7].

It is interesting to note that despite the anaerobic synthesis of fumaric acid from glucose via the reductive branch of the TCA cycle is rather attractive because of the high theoretical yield value (2 mol/mol) there are no reports on successful engineering of corresponding producing strains using microorganisms industrially more relevant than *Rhizopus* species. This is apparently caused by the fact that constructing such efficient producers would require substantial modification in the host strains of the functionality of electron transport chain, which correct operation is vital not only for aerobic, but also for facultative anaerobic microorganisms. At the same time, in recent years, such industrially relevant microorganisms, as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [8, 9] and *E. coli* [10–12], have been successfully engineered to produce fumaric acid from glucose under aerobic conditions. The most efficient conversion of carbohydrate substrate to the target product has been achieved with an engineered *E. coli* strain, which was capable of synthesising fumaric acid from glucose aerobically with a yield of 0.78 mol/mol [11]. However, the maximum theoretical yield value for aerobic conversion of glucose to fumaric acid is 1 mol/mol. Thus, the development of efficient *E. coli* fumaric acid-producing strains remains an important task.

In *E. coli*, fumaric acid is aerobically formed by succinate dehydrogenase from succinic acid, which is synthesised via the oxidative TCA cycle and/or in the reactions of the glyoxylate shunt (GS). The design of
known E. coli strains engineered for the aerobic production of fumaric acid presumes the prevalent participation of GS in the synthesis of the target product. The main reason for this is that the expression of 2-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase in E. coli is rather low during aerobic growth in rich and glucose-containing media [13]. As a result, the limited carbon flux through subsequent reactions of the oxidative branch of the TCA cycle prevents efficient formation of succinic acid, the direct precursor of fumaric acid. The branching of the oxidative TCA cycle and GS occurs at the isocitrate level, and thus, the decreased activity of 2-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase does not affect the efficiency of succinic acid synthesis through GS reactions. The activation of the glyoxylate shunt in E. coli is generally achieved via inactivation of the transcriptional regulator IclR, which represses the expression of aceBAK operon genes encoding GS enzymes, while the deletion of fumarase isozyme fumB and fumAC genes prevents fumaric to malic acid conversion [10–12, 14].

The approaches aimed at further optimisation of fumaric acid production by the engineered strains include the following: 1) the inactivation of certain pathways of mixed-acid fermentation competitively consuming pyruvate and acetyl-CoA, the key precursor in target product biosynthesis, by the deletion of ldhA and ackA genes [10, 11, 14]; and 2) the increase in intracellular availability of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) for carboxylation through the modification of the glucose transport and phosphorylation system with the concomitant intensification of oxaloacetate (OAA) formation upon the overexpression of PEP-carboxylating phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, Ppc [10–12].

In the present study, we implemented a novel design for engineering an E. coli strain devoid of all mixed-acid fermentation pathways and possessing a modified system of glucose transport and phosphorylation for aerobic production of fumaric acid from glucose through the oxidative TCA cycle. The design implied the ensured formation of OAA from pyruvate instead of PEP and the artificial channelling of 2-ketoglutarate towards succinic acid via intermediate succinate-semialdehyde (SSA) formation, thus bypassing the 2-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase-catalysed reaction in a manner similar to that of the variant TCA cycle found in myxobacteria and cyanobacteria [16].

Initially, the fumB and fumAC genes were sequentially deleted in the previously engineered E. coli strain MSG1.0 (MG1655 ΔackA-pta, ΔpoxB, ΔldhA, ΔadhE, ΔptsG, P1-Δgk, P1-ΔgalD) [17] to ensure the formation of fumaric acid as a secreted end-product of aerobic utilisation of glucose. Then, the carboxylation of pyruvate to OAA was enabled, resulting from expression of the Bacillus subtilis pycA gene, encoding pyruvate carboxylase. Finally, the Mycobacterium tuberculosis kdg gene coding for 2-ketoglutarate decarboxylase classified as EC 4.1.1.71, which is absent in E. coli, was expressed in the strain to allow the formation of SSA followed by its further oxidation to succinic acid by native succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenases.

As a result, the engineered E. coli strain was able to convert glucose to fumaric acid aerobically with a yield value of 0.86 mol/mol, exceeding those reported previously for various aerobic processes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains, plasmids and media

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. E. coli K-12 strain MG1655 was used as the parent for construction of all mutants described in the study.

Chromosomal modifications were performed using the lambda Red recombineering technique [18]. The primers that were used are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Linear DNA fragments for inactivation of the fumB, fumAC, aceBAK, and gldB genes were obtained by PCR using the pMW118-(λantl-Cm-λantlR) plasmid [19] and primer pairs P1, P2; P5, P6; P9, P10, and P13, P14. The PCR products were integrated separately into the chromosome of the E. coli MG1655 strain carrying the pKD46 [18] helper plasmid. The deletions of the target genes in the chromosomes of the obtained strains were verified by PCR using the locus-specific primers P3, P4; P7, P8; P11, P12, and P15, P16.

The B. subtilis pycA gene encoding pyruvate carboxylase was integrated into the chromosome of the MG1655-derived E. coli strain instead of the poxB gene under the control of the strong constitutive promoter P1 of phage lambda. The construction of a DNA fragment for the replacement of the coding region of the poxB gene by the artificial genetic element, which contains the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (cat) gene flanked by λantR and λantl, the P1 promoter of the lambda phage, and the ribosome binding site and ORF of the B. subtilis pycA gene, was performed in several stages. Initially, the DNA fragment containing the BglII recognition site, the P1 promoter, and XhoI recognition site was obtained by PCR using primers P17 and P18 and the genomic DNA of lambda phage as a template. In parallel, the DNA fragment containing the BglII recognition site, the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (cat) gene flanked by λantR and λantl, and 36 nucleotides homologous to the S′-terminus of the coding region of the E. coli poxB gene was obtained by PCR using primers P19 and P20 and plasmid pMW118-(λantl-Cm-λantlR) [19] as a template. Additionally, a DNA fragment containing the XhoI recognition site, the native ribosome binding site and the ORF of the B. subtilis pycA gene, as well as 36 nucleotides complementary to the S′-terminus of the coding region of the E. coli poxB gene, was obtained. PCR amplification was performed using primers P21 and P22 and genomic DNA of B. subtilis strain 168 as a template. The obtained DNA fragments were treated with restriction endonucleases BglII and XhoI and ligated with T4 DNA ligase. The ligated product was amplified using primers P20 and P22. The resulting DNA fragment was integrated into the chromosome of the E. coli MG Δpox [20] strain harbouring the pKD46 plasmid. The target clones were selected on LB medium containing 30 μg/mL chloramphenicol. The presence of the desired chromosomal modification in the obtained Com integrants was verified by PCR using the locus-specific primers P23 and P24. The clones with the proven integration of the B. subtilis pycA gene were grown on agar M9 minimal medium containing 0.2% glucose as a sole carbon source. Individual clones capable of growing on this medium harboured the pycA gene, coding for functionally active pyruvate carboxylase. Then, the correspondence between the original sequence of the B. subtilis pycA gene and its copy integrated into the chromosome of the E. coli MG Δpox was confirmed by sequencing.

The modifications were first obtained individually, and then combined in the chromosomes of the target strains by P1-mediated transformations. Excision of the antibiotic resistance marker from the

Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strain / plasmid          | Genotype               | Reference  |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| E. coli strains           |                        |            |
| MG1655                    | Wild type              |            |
| Δpox                      |                        |            |
| MSG1.0 B                  | MG1655 ΔackA-pta, ΔpoxB, ΔldhA, ΔadhE, ΔptsG, P1-Δgk, P1-ΔgalD | [17] |
| ΔBAC                     | This study             |            |
| ΔBACPyc                  | MG1655 ΔackA-pta, ΔpoxB, ΔldhA, ΔadhE, ΔptsG, P1-Δgk, P1-ΔgalD, ΔfumB, ΔfumAC | This study |
| ΔacsBΔF                  | MG1655 ΔackA-pta, ΔldhA, ΔadhE, ΔptsG, P1-Δgk, P1-ΔgalD, ΔfumB, ΔfumAC, poxB-Pylacα, ΔacsBΔF | This study |
| ΔacsBΔFΔgldB             | MG1655 ΔackA-pta, ΔldhA, ΔadhE, ΔptsG, P1-Δgk, P1-ΔgalD, ΔfumB, ΔrafB, ΔfumAC, poxB-Pylacα, ΔacsBΔFΔgldB | This study |
| Plasmids                 |                        |            |
| pMW118-(λantl-Cm-λantlR) | pscC101, Bsa, cat, λantl-λantlR cassette | [19] |
| pKD46                    | pINT-ss, Bsa, FokI-λantl-λantlR | [18] |
| pMWIns-Int/Xis           | pC101-ss, Bsa, P1-λantl-λantlR, cbl857 | [21] |
| pMW-kdg                  | pMW119 with cloned M. tuberculosis 2-ketoglutarate decarboxylase gene (kdg) | [22] |
chromosomes of the strains was performed using the pMWts-Int/Xis plasmid, as described previously [21]. The transformation of the strains with the pMW-kgd plasmid carrying the Mycobacterium tuberculosis kgd gene encoding 2-ketogluutarate decarboxylase [22] was performed according to a standard procedure.

E. coli cells were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB), SOB, SOC, and M9 media. Ampicillin (100 μg/mL) and/or chloramphenicol (30 μg/mL) was added as needed.

2.2. Culturing of the engineered strains for aerobic fumaric acid production

For fumaric acid production, the engineered strains were initially grown overnight in M9 medium containing 2 g/L glucose at 37 °C. Five millilitres of the overnight culture was diluted ten times with 45 mL of M9 medium containing 9 g/L (50 mM) glucose and 10 g/L yeast extract, and 2.5 g/L NaHCO₃. The resulting cultures were incubated aerobically in 750 mL flasks with vented plugs at 37 °C on a rotary shaker at 250 rpm for 7 or 19 h. After 2.5 h of incubation, isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactoside (IPTG) was added to the medium at a final concentration of 1 mM to induce the expression of the kgd gene.

Dual-phase fermentation was performed as follows. For the initial biomass accumulation, cells were grown overnight in M9 medium containing 2 g/L glucose at 37 °C. Five millilitres of the overnight culture was diluted ten times with 45 mL of M9 medium containing 9 g/L (50 mM) glucose and 10 g/L yeast extract. The resulting cultures were incubated aerobically in 750 mL flasks with vented plugs at 37 °C on a rotary shaker at 250 rpm for 5 h, and the expression of the kgd gene was induced by the addition of IPTG to the medium at a final concentration of 1 mM after 2.5 h of incubation. The cell suspensions were centrifuged for 15 min at ~2000 × g and 4 °C. The cell pellets were resuspended in 50 mL of M9 medium containing 9 g/L glucose and 5 g/L NaHCO₃ to an OD₆₀₀ of ~11.5 (DCW ~4.6). The cultures were further incubated in 750 mL flasks with vented plugs at 37 °C on a rotary shaker at 250 rpm for 19 h.

All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the media were supplemented with 100 mg/L ampicillin to maintain plasmid stability when necessary.

2.3. Analytical techniques

Fermentation samples were freed from biomass by centrifugation for 10 min at 15,000×g, and the supernatants were used for further analysis.

The concentrations of organic acids and glucose in the culture media were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography as described previously [23]. A Waters HPLC system (Waters, USA) equipped with UV- or refractive index detector, and a Rezex ROA-Organic Acid H₂O (250 × 8 mm, 8 μm, Phenomenex, USA) or a Spherisorb-NH₂ reversed phase column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Waters, USA) were used. The enantiomeric composition of lactic acid was determined by ligand-exchange HPLC using a Nucleosil Chiral-1 column (250 × 4.0 mm, 5 μm, Machery-Nagel, Germany) with detection at 240 nm. An aqueous solution of copper sulphate (0.5 mM) was used as the eluent at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The temperature of the column was maintained at 60 °C. Calibration of the analytical system, quality control, and enantiomer identification were performed using solutions of L-lactic acid and D,L-lactic acid racemic mixture. Data acquisition and processing were conducted with Waters Empower 3 software.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Construction and evaluation of the strains for the aerobic production of fumaric acid from glucose

The E. coli strain MSG1.0 [17] (Table 1) was selected as a chassis to construct the strains for fumaric acid production from glucose under aerobic conditions. This strain was previously engineered to serve as a platform for the development of efficient producers of chemicals derived from the intermediates of the “PEP-OAA-pyruvate-acetyl-CoA” metabolic node. The competing pathways for acetyl-CoA and pyruvate utilisation leading to acetic acid, lactic acid, and ethanol formation were inactivated in the strain through the deletion of the ackA, pta, poxB, ldhA, and adhE genes, encoding key enzymes catalysing the respective reactions. The intracellular availability of PEP for OAA biosynthesis was increased in the strain due to PEP-independent glucose transport and phosphorylation resulting from inactivation of the ptsG gene, encoding the main E. coli glucose permease, upon enhanced expression of the gapP and gki genes, which code for the H+–symporter of galactose and ATP-dependent glucokinase (Fig. 1a).

Upon the aerobic utilisation of glucose during growth in a complex nutrient medium, the core strain MSG1.0 converted the carbohydrate substrate to fumaric acid with a molar yield of only approximately 1% (Table 2), accumulating pyruvic and acetic acids as the main secreted metabolites. This indicated that the activity of the oxidative TCA cycle in the strain was rather low, resulting in glucose overflow metabolism [24, 25] upon consumption of the amino acid components of a rich medium as the main nutritional factors for cell growth. The notable secretion of acetic acid by the strain lacking phosphotransacetylase, acetate kinase and pyruvate oxidase could have resulted, in this case, from the background action of E. coli acetyl-CoA thioesterases YciA and TesB, hydrolysing excessive acetyl-CoA that could not be completely channelled towards the TCA cycle. The carbon recovery value of 64%, which was calculated based on the amounts of detected carboxylic acids secreted by the strain, as well as the presence of succinic, fumaric and malic acids amongst the products of glucose utilisation, suggested that the entire oxidative TCA cycle was nevertheless functional in MSG1.0. Thus, to ensure the efficient formation of fumaric acid as the terminal product of aerobic utilisation of glucose by the strain MSG1.0, the TCA cycle should be interrupted at the stage of the conversion of the respective intermediate to malic acid, which is catalysed by fumarase isozymes.

E. coli possesses three major and two minor fumarases. These are well-known FumA, FumB, and FumC [26] and FumD and FumE, which have been identified just recently [27]. Fumarases A and C are enzymes that function primarily under aerobic conditions, while fumarase B is the dominant isoenzyme during anaerobiosis. Although the expression of the individual genes is regulated differently, the expression of fumAC and fumB-fumB operons is induced by CRP-cAMP and can be upregulated in PTS-negative strains [28]. Therefore, inactivation of all three major fumarase isozymes appears to be an essential requirement to enable aerobic production of fumaric acid by the engineered E. coli strains.

In previous works, the fumA and fumC genes were initially deleted to prevent fumaric acid conversion to malic acid [10, 29]. However, these modifications did not lead to the considerable secretion of fumaric acid by the engineered strains during aerobic utilisation of glucose, and the accumulation of notable amounts of the target product was achieved only after the subsequent deletion of the fumB gene. Thus, an exact impact of individual deletion of fumB gene on biosynthetic characteristics of engineered strains remained unclear. In the current study, the fumB gene was deleted at first in MSG1.0 instead of fumAC operon in order to evaluate the effect of primary inactivation of fumarase B on the production of fumaric acid from glucose via the oxidative TCA cycle by the engineered E. coli strain.

The profile of metabolites produced by the resulting strain MSG1.0 B during aerobic utilisation of glucose was similar to that of the core strain MSG1.0 (Table 2, Fig. 2a). The yields of pyruvic and acetic acids secreted...
by the strains MSG1.0 B and MSG1.0 were comparable, while the yield of succinic acid formed by the strain MSG1.0 B notably decreased compared to the parent strain (Table 2). This could indicate the relative decrease in the carbon flux through the terminal reactions of the oxidative TCA cycle that led to diminished OAA replenishment, limiting the intensity of the turnover of the cycle. The yield of fumaric acid synthesised by the strain MSG1.0 B increased only insignificantly (Table 2). Given the value of carbon recovery of 58% (Table 2), this indicated that the individual deletion of the fumB gene was not only insufficient to block the operation of the entire TCA cycle but also had almost no effect on the efficiency of fumaric acid oxidation via the fumarase-catalysed reaction. Therefore, the fumAC genes were then inactivated in strain MSG1.0 B, leading to strain MSG1.0 BAC.

The strain MSG1.0 BAC, devoid of all three major fumarase isozymes, synthesised fumaric acid from glucose aerobically with a yield that increased to 17.6% (Table 2). Concomitantly, the yield of acetic acid slightly increased and the yield of succinic acid decreased, while the yield of pyruvic acid increased 1.5-fold up to 126.5%. The value of carbon recovery reached 90%, and biomass accumulation by the strain dropped 1.7-fold. The notably increased secretion of pyruvic acid by the

Fig. 1. Central carbon metabolism in engineered E. coli strains under aerobic conditions with glucose as a carbon source. (a) The core strain MSG1.0; (b) The best-performing fumaric acid-producing strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd]. The enzymes are indicated by their gene names. Heterologous genes and enzymes are as follows: *kgd*, *M. tuberculosis* 2-ketoglutarate decarboxylase; *pycA*, *B. subtilis* pyruvate carboxylase. Abbreviations of key metabolites in the pathways are Ac-CoA, acetyl-CoA; G-6-P, glucose-6-phosphate; OAA, oxaloacetate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; Pyr, pyruvate; SSA, succinate semialdehyde. The deleted genes are marked with crossed circles. Dotted arrows indicate reactions with decreased intensity. The reactions, as well as the genes of the respective enzymes, substrates, products, and cofactors, that are absent or inactive in the constructed strains are shown in grey. The reactions of the artificial pathway of fumaric acid biosynthesis, starting from pyruvate and including shunting of 2-ketoglutarate to succinic acid via intermediate SSA formation, which was engineered in the current study, are highlighted by wide grey arrows.

Table 2

| Strain          | Pyruvate | Lactate | Acetate | Succinate | Malate | Fumarate | % C-recovery | DCW (g/L) |
|-----------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| MSG1.0          | 87.6 ± 1.5 | n.d.    | 22.5 ± 1.0 | 15.6 ± 0.6 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 64           | 3.96 ± 0.12 |
| MSG1.0 B        | 83.7 ± 1.2 | n.d.    | 20.8 ± 0.8 | 10.9 ± 0.5 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 1.7 ± 0.2 | 58           | 3.93 ± 0.10 |
| MSG1.0 BAC      | 126.5 ± 1.6 | n.d.    | 27.6 ± 0.6 | 6.9 ± 0.3 | 2.3 ± 0.2 | 17.6 ± 0.4 | 90           | 2.30 ± 0.04 |
| MSG1.0 BACPyc   | 34.5 ± 0.2  | n.d.    | 26.5 ± 0.7 | 14.9 ± 0.4 | 3.6 ± 0.1 | 42.5 ± 0.6 | 67           | 3.34 ± 0.07 |

Data are the means ± standard deviations of three replicates. Molar yields of the secreted metabolites are given in % per mole of consumed glucose (mol/mol, %). n.d. – not detected.

* – Carbon recovery was calculated as the ratio of total moles of carbon in the end-products per moles of carbon in total glucose consumed and expressed on a percentage basis.
Fig. 2. Metabolite production and substrate consumption by the strains MSG1.0, MSG1.0 B, MSG1.0 BAC, and MSG1.0 BACPyc (a); MSG1.0 BACPyc ΔaceBAK, MSG1.0 BACPyc ΔaceBAK ΔglcB, and MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] (b); and MSG1.0 BACPyc and MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] (c) during 7 h (a, b) and 19 h (c) of aerobic glucose utilisation. The parameters for the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] functioning in “biocatalyst” mode are marked with asterisks.
strains, as well as decreased formation of succinic acid, along with the increased production of fumaric acid (Table 2, Fig. 2a), indicated the reduction in the intensity of the TCA cycle due to its interruption at the stage of malic acid formation. The decline in the replenishment of OAA via the TCA cycle limited the availability of the corresponding intermediate for both the initiation of new rounds of the cycle and the reactions of transamination and biosynthesis of aspartate-family amino acids. The latter, in turn, led to restrictions in biomass formation by the strain, since during the growth of *E. coli* in rich or complex LB-like media, available amino acids are completely consumed at the early stages of growth [30, 31] and then need to be synthesised *de novo* by the cell to maintain further proliferation. The reason for pronounced excretion of the excessive pyruvic acid was presumably insufficient activity of anaplerotic pathways in the strain for the efficient formation of OAA from the glycolytic PEP and their inability to supply the TCA cycle with a sufficient amount of one of the necessary precursors. The key bacterial anaplerotic enzymes responsible for the formation of OAA from glycolytic precursors include PEP-carboxyphosphorylating phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase as well as pyruvate carboxylase, which uses pyruvic acid as a substrate [32]. Since phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase serves in reversibly carboxylate corresponding substrates to form OAA, while the re-action catalysed by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase is irreversible. *E. coli* cells possess both PEP carboxylating enzymes but lack pyruvate carboxylase activity. Consequently, during the utilisation of glycolytic substrates, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase serves in *E. coli* as the main anaplerotic enzyme [32]. Since phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase competes for the common precursor metabolite PEP, with glycolytic pyruvate kinases PykA and PykF synthesising pyruvic acid, which is required for further acetyl-CoA formation, the presence of heterologous pyruvate carboxylase activity could significantly increase the flexibility and efficiency of anaplerotic processes in the *E. coli* strain engineered for the production of fumaric acid via the oxidative TCA cycle. Although pyruvate carboxylase, in contrast to phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, consumes ATP for the substrate carboxylation, the formation of OAA from the glycolytic precursors by these enzymes is energetically equivalent, since pyruvate kinases generate ATP during PEP to pyruvic acid conversion. In this regard, it should be noted that in PTS-negative strains namely pyruvate kinases are primary responsible for the formation of pyruvic acid from PEP during utilisation of glucose instead of PEP-dependent system of glucose transport and phosphorylation. Taking into account these considerations, and given that phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase is known to be inhibited by fumarate [33], we decided to introduce heterologous pyruvate carboxylase in the engineered strain to ensure efficient formation of OAA instead of the overexpression of the native phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase that was performed in previous studies. Therefore, the *Bacillus subtilis* pycA gene, encoding pyruvate carboxylase, was integrated into the chromosome of the strain MSG1.0 BAC under the control of promoted P1 of the phage lambda.

The resulting strain MSG1.0 BACpyc synthesised fumaric acid as the main product of aerobic utilisation of glucose (Fig. 2a) with a molar yield of 42.5% (Table 2). The yield of pyruvic acid dropped more than 3 times to 34.5% (Table 2). The biomass accumulation by the strain markedly improved and was comparable with that of the strain MSG1.0 B and even the core strain MSG1.0. This was apparently caused by the increased intracellular OAA availability. The enhanced formation of OAA also led to the intensification of the truncated TCA cycle, as indicated by the relatively increased secretion of all four-carbon intermediates of the cycle (Fig. 2a). Nevertheless, although the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc efficiently converted glucose to the target product, and the continued secretion of pyruvic, acetic, succinic and even malic acids, as well as decreased value of carbon recovery, indicated the emergence of the effect of glutamate overflow [30], the results suggested the necessity of further improvement of the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain.

### 3.2. Improvement of the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain

The residual production of pyruvic and acetic acids by the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc was obviously caused by glucose overflow metabolism, as the TCA cycle in the strain still did not keep pace with glycolysis. The production of succinic and malic acids could probably have resulted from not only the action of the truncated TCA cycle but also GS reactions. The key enzymes catalysing reactions of GS, isotiate lyase and malate synthase, are encoded in *E. coli* by the aceA and aceB genes, constituting the aceBAK operon with the aceK gene, which encodes the bifunctional kinase/phosphatase of isocitrate dehydrogenase. The latter enzyme regulates the activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase, redistributing the carbon flux between the oxidative TCA cycle and GS [34]. Upon phosphorylation and thus inactivation of isocitrate dehydrogenase, the enzyme substrate isocitrate is channelled through GS reactions. The dephosphorylated active form of isocitrate dehydrogenase possesses a stronger affinity for isocitrate than isotitate lyase, causing the prevalent involvement of isocitrate in subsequent reactions of the oxidative TCA cycle. The expression of the aceBAK operon is subjected to complex transcriptional regulation involving many factors, such as IclR, FadR, FruR, ArcAB, and CRP-cAMP [35, 36]. The primary regulator, IclR, represses the expression of the aceBAK operon under glucose-abundant conditions, while the global regulator CRP-cAMP activates the expression of the corresponding genes upon glucose limitation [36]. Nevertheless, in the PTS-negative strains, CRP-cAMP may activate transcription irrespective of the presence of glucose in the medium, competing with the repressor IclR. Therefore, GS could potentially contribute to the synthesis of four-carbon dicarboxylates in the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain. To verify this assumption, the aceB genes were deleted in the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc leading to the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc ΔaceB.

During aerobic utilisation of glucose, the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc ΔaceB formed a metabolite profile nearly identical to that of the parent strain MSG1.0 BACpyc (Fig. 2b, Fig. 2a), and the yields of the respective products were almost unchanged (Table 3, Table 2). Moreover, the strain still secreted malic acid. Indeed, in addition to malate synthase A, which is encoded by the aceB gene, *E. coli* possesses malate synthase G, which is encoded by the glcB gene. The expression of the corresponding glc operon is known to be indirectly activated by CRP-cAMP [37] and is upregulated in the presence of acetic acid [38]. As a result of glucose overflow metabolism, the strains MSG1.0 BACpyc ΔaceBAK secreted not only pyruvic but also acetic acid due to the basal activity of thiodehydrogenases, as mentioned above. The presence of acetic acid in the medium could induce the expression of the glc operon, causing the formation of malic acid by malate synthase G. Thus, to preclude potential formation of malic acid via the malate synthase G-catalysed reaction, the glcB gene was deleted in the MSG1.0 BACpyc ΔaceB.

The formation of secreted metabolites by the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc ΔaceBAK ΔglcB during aerobic utilisation of glucose was not affected by the inactivation of all enzymes catalysing GS reactions and was similar to those demonstrated by both parent strains, MSG1.0 BACpyc ΔaceBAK and MSG1.0 BACpyc (Fig. 2b, Fig. 2a; Table 3, Table 2). Consequently, GS had no or little impact on the biosynthesis of four-carbon dicarboxylates by the strain MSG1.0 BACpyc engineered for aerobic production of fumaric acid from glucose, and the residual formation of malic acid by the tested strains could have been caused by the basal activity of the minor fumarases E and D. However, the respective enzymes were shown to play significant roles in the metabolism of the strain [39] and thus, the contribution of the malic enzymes to the formation of malic acid by the MSG1.0-derived strains could also not be excluded. In *E. coli*, malic enzymes catalyse the reversible interconversion of pyruvic and malic acids, and excessive formation of pyruvic acid upon the intensive intracellular generation of CO₂ by pyruvate dehydrogenase and the oxidative branch of the TCA cycle could shift the respective reaction towards reductive pyruvate carboxylation [40]. The exact reason for malic acid secretion by strains lacking the main fumarase isozymes and
limitation of carbon flux through the cycle caused by the insufficiency of as the main byproducts. As mentioned above, this was due to persistent cated enzymatic complex assembled from multiple copies of three tarate dehydrogenase, as well as pyruvate dehydrogenase, is a compli the 2-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase-catalysed reaction. tween glycolysis and the TCA cycle. The key reason for this was the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc, and pyruvic and acetic acids were secreted - GS enzymes needs to be further investigated. Molar yields of metabolites produced by the MSG1.0 BACPyc ΔacecBAK. MSG1.0 BACPyc ΔacecBAK ΔgdcB, and MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] strains during 7 h of aerobic glucose utilisation. from glucose with a yield that increased to ~61% (Table 3). The secretion of pyruvic acid by the strain ceased, and succinic and lactic acids accumulated as the main byproducts of glucose utilisation (Fig. 2b, Table 3). At the same time, the consumption of glucose and the biomass accumulation dropped approximately two times compared to the parent strain. The dramatic decrease in biomass accumulation by strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] expressing 2-ketoglutarate decarboxylase was most likely caused by the decline in the intracellular availability of succinyl-CoA for the biosynthesis of the methionine, lysine and the cell wall components that occurred due to the preferred and efficient diversion of 2-ketoglutarate towards the formation of SSA instead of succinyl-CoA. It was recently shown that bypassing the succinyl-CoA synthetase-cata lysed reaction inhibits the growth of E. coli strains engineered for 2-keto glutarate-dependent hydroxylation of proline coupled with the formation of succinic acid [44, 45]. The retardation in biomass formation by the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain expressing 2-keto glutarate decarboxylase led, in turn, to a decrease in carbohydrate substrate consumption.

On the other hand, the value of carbon recovery was slightly higher than that of the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc (Table 3), and the profile of metabolites secreted by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] shifted towards the preferred formation of four-carbon intermediates of the TCA cycle instead of three- and two-carbon glycolytic and derived end-products, i.e., acetic and pyruvic acids (Fig. 2b). As a result, the proportion of four-carbon dicarboxylates amongst the products of aerobic utilisation of glucose formed by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] was 82% versus 50% in the case of the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc. This indicated the markedly intensified carbon flux through the truncated TCA cycle in the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] upon the diminished contribution of overflow metabolism to the formation of the products of glucose utilisation. Indeed, when accounting for the amounts of CO₂ generated during the synthesis of succinic, fumaric and malic acids through the reactions of the oxidative TCA cycle in the total sum of the products formed by the strains, the value of carbon recovery reached nearly 100% in the case of the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] and only 87% in the case of the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc. Although potential secretion of glutamic acid by the recombinants was not directly evaluated in the current study, the above observations allowed to suppose that the known effect of at least glutamate overflow [30], presumably causing incomplete recovery of the carbon to the detected carboxylic acids secreted by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc, was successfully overcome in the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] due to the efficient channelling of 2-ketoglutarate towards the synthesis of succinic acid followed by the further formation of the target product, fumaric acid, via the reactions of the modified TCA cycle.

Nevertheless, succinic acid synthesised in the strain resulting from the action of artificial 2-ketoglutarate decarboxylase-mediated bypass was incompletely converted to fumaric acid, and the yield of the corresponding precursor of the target product synthesised by the strain

### Table 3

| Strain | Pyruvate | Lactate | Acetate | Succinate | Malate | Fumarate | % C-recovery | DCW(g/L) |
|--------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|-------|----------|-------------|--------|
| MSG1.0 BACPyc ΔacecBAK | 34.4 ± 0.3 | n.d. | 28.1 ± 0.7 | 12.4 ± 0.3 | 3.2 ± 0.1 | 40.8 ± 0.4 | 65 | 3.38 ± 0.07 |
| MSG1.0 BACPyc ΔacecBAK ΔgdcB | 34.8 ± 0.2 | n.d. | 27.0 ± 0.8 | 13.1 ± 0.4 | 3.2 ± 0.1 | 41.9 ± 0.5 | 65 | 3.36 ± 0.08 |
| MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] | n.d. | 17.2 ± 1.2 | 3.0 ± 0.4 | 22.5 ± 0.5 | 8.6 ± 0.3 | 61.2 ± 1.1 | 71 | 1.89 ± 0.03 |

Data are the means ± standard deviations of three replicates. Molar yields of the secreted metabolites are given in % per mole of consumed glucose (mol/mol, %). n.d. – not detected.

a) – Carbon recovery was calculated as the ratio of total moles of carbon in the end-products per moles of carbon in total glucose consumed and expressed on a percentage basis.

b) – Upon induction of kgd gene expression by IPTG. The yields of metabolites formed by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] without induction did not differ from those of the parent strain MSG1.0 BACPyc.
MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] increased compared to the parent strain. This could be explained by either the insufficiency of the catalytic activity of succinate dehydrogenase or the overload of the respiratory electron transfer chain. The notable secretion of lactic acid by the strain supported the latter assumption. Indeed, in the absence of the main fermentative lactate dehydrogenase, LdhA, the synthesis of lactic acid from pyruvic acid was apparently caused in the strain by the action of alternative respiratory α- and γ-lactate dehydrogenases Dld and LldD [46], which are potentially capable to directly oxidise quinol without auxiliary action of terminal oxidases, cytochromes bd and bo [46]. Although these dehydrogenases normally catalyse lactate to pyruvate conversion, an excessive intracellular generation of reducing power could shift the equilibrium of the corresponding redox reactions, according to Le Chatelier’s principle, towards the formation of more reduced product (i.e. lactic acid). Indeed, analysis of the enantiomeric composition of lactic acid synthesised by the strain showed that both α- and γ-stereoisomers of the respective compound were formed in a ratio of 70:30. On the other hand, it cannot be completely excluded that secretion of lactic acid by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] was caused by the action of methylglyoxal bypass. However, this seems unlikely because this pathway is activated in E. coli under phosphate starvation [47], whereas phosphate concentrations of 0.3 mM strongly inhibit methylglyoxal synthase [48], a key enzyme of the corresponding biochemical pathway, and the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] was cultured in the medium containing ~70 mM phosphate. Moreover, although this pathway could be induced under the stress conditions even upon phosphate abundance, it was previously shown that concomitant deletion of ldhA gene and mgsA gene, encoding methylglyoxal synthase, in E. coli strains engineered for malic acid production from glucose did not prevent lactic acid secretion [49]. At the same time, lactic acid accumulation by the corresponding LdhA and MgsA deficient strains was increased upon supplementation with pyruvate and decreased after the deletion of pyruvate kinase genes pykA or pyKF, suggesting that the formation of lactic acid by the recombinants lacking main lactate dehydrogenase was primarily caused by the action of alternative enzymes capable of directly utilising pyruvate as a substrate. Consequently, the aerobic secretion of lactic acid by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] presumably indicated an increased intracellular quinol pool and decreased availability of respective quinones for succinate dehydrogenase, which limited the conversion of succinic acid to fumaric acid.

It should be noted that E. coli can utilise succinic acid as a carbon source under aerobic conditions. Thus, the problem of the byproduction of succinic acid by the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain can be overcome, in particular, by prolonging the fermentation process. In this case, succinic acid that accumulates in the medium will be gradually re-consumed by the strain and converted to the target product via the succinate dehydrogenase-catalysed residual reaction of the truncated oxidative TCA cycle.

3.3. Evaluation of the biosynthetic potential of the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain

As mentioned above, the induction of the expression of heterologous 2-ketogluutarate decarboxylase in the engineered producing strain considerably increased the yield of fumaric acid while negatively affecting cellular growth, presumably due to bypassing the reaction catalysed by succinyl-CoA synthetase. The impaired biomass formation led to a decrease in substrate consumption, limiting the accumulation of the target product. However, the succinyl-CoA synthetase-catalysed reaction was not completely blocked in the strain, since the sucAB genes were kept intact in MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] and the observed biosynthesis of fumaric acids suggested the successful expression of the sdhABCD-sucABCD operon in the cells. Therefore, the growth of the strain could potentially continue, even after the induction of kgd gene expression, and the strain could continue the consumption of carboxydrate substrate. Prolonging the process of aerobic cultivation of the strain in the presence of glucose could favour the accumulation of greater amounts of fumaric acid in the medium. Alternatively, dual-phase fermentation can be considered another approach to increase the titre of fumaric acid synthesised by the engineered strain. This process comprises a growth phase for biomass accumulation followed by a production phase. An increased amount of biomass could be obtained at the first stage, and then, during the second stage, which is uncoupled from growth, the producing strain could perform as a whole-cell biocatalyst converting substrate to the target product with high efficiency. Therefore, the biosynthetic potential of the engineered fumaric acid-producing strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] was evaluated under both modes.

Initially, the effect of prolonged cultivation on aerobic fumaric acid production from glucose by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] was assessed in comparison to its influence on the biosynthetic performance of the parent strain MSG1.0 BACPyc. Upon prolongation of the cultivation period to 19 h, the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc accumulated 1.35 times more biomass, suggesting that the growth of the strain continued after 7 h of incubation in complex medium (Table 4, Table 2). Concomitantly, the strain synthesised fumaric acid from glucose with a yield similar to that demonstrated in 7 h of cultivation (Table 4, Table 2), while the yields of pyruvic and succinic acids slightly decreased and acetic and malic acids were absent amongst the end-products of glucose utilisation formed by the strain (Table 4, Fig. 2c). This observation, along with the decreased carbon recovery, implied that acetic and malic acids were re-assimilated from the medium by the strain after glucose exhaustion in accordance with the well-known effect of the acetate switch [50]. While acetic acids were apparently utilised by acetyl-CoA synthetase Asc to form acetyl-CoA, malic acid was converted to OAA, and both of these metabolites replenished the pool of intermediates required for cellular growth.

The growth of the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] also continued during 19 h of cultivation, despite the induced expression of heterologous 2-ketogluutarate decarboxylase. Moreover, the biomass accumulation by the strain rose 2.3 times and reached a value analogous to that of the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc (Table 4, Table 3). Concomitantly, the consumption of glucose and the production of fumaric acid by the strain significantly improved. In contrast to MSG1.0 BACPyc, the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] synthesised fumaric acid from glucose in 19 h of aerobic cultivation with a yield that increased to ~86.1%, primarily at the expense of the decreased accumulation of succinic acid (Table 4, Table 3; Fig. 2c, Fig. 2b). It should be noted that the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] accumulated less succinic acid during 19 h of cultivation (1.6 mM) than during 7 h (5.1 mM) (Fig. 2c, Fig. 2b). This indicated that the strain gradually re-consumed succinic acid during cultivation and reaffirmed the assumption that the problem with the byproduction of the corresponding dicarboxylate by the fumaric acid-producing strain can be overcome by prolongation of the fermentation process. The yield of lactic acid synthesised by the strain also decreased, suggesting that this reduced product formed at the early growth stages due to insufficient activity of the electron-transport chain could also be further re-assimilated if the culture resired longer. Interestingly, upon prolonged growth, the strain re-assimilated succinic and lactic acids even retaining incomplete glucose consumption. This was caused by the absence of the effect of glucose catabolite repression in PTS-negative E. coli strains.

In biocatalyst mode, the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd], expressing 2-ketogluutarate decarboxylase, consumed all available glucose (50 mM) in 19 h, converting it to fumaric acid with a yield of ~86.3%, analogous to that achieved upon prolonged growth (Table 4). The strain secreted no lactic acid but accumulated slightly more succinic and malic acids compared to the growing culture (Fig. 2c, Table 4). The carbon recovery, which was calculated based on the amounts of products secreted by the strain in the culture medium, constituted 69% (Table 4), while the value, calculated by taking into account the amounts of CO₂ generated during the synthesis of intermediates of the
Table 4
Molar yields of metabolites produced by the MSG1.0 BACPyc and MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] strains during 19 h of aerobic glucose utilisation.

| Strain             | Pyruvate | Lactate | Acetate | Succinate | Malate | Fumarate | % C-recovery | DCW(g/L) |
|--------------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|
| MSG1.0 BACPyc      | 32.2 ± 0.7 | n.d.    | n.d.    | 10.3 ± 0.3 | n.d.   | 40.9 ± 0.9 | 50          | 4.50 ± 0.14 |
| MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] | n.d.    | 9.9 ± 0.8 | n.d.    | 3.9 ± 0.3   | 5.3 ± 0.2 | 86.1 ± 1.5 | 69          | 4.42 ± 0.12 |
| MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] | 3.3 ± 0.4 | n.d.    | n.d.    | 5.3 ± 0.4   | 8.7 ± 0.3 | 86.3 ± 1.8 | 69          | 4.61 ± 0.17 |

Data are the means ± standard deviations of three replicates. Molar yields of the secreted metabolites are given in % per mole of consumed glucose (mol/mol%). n.d. – not detected.

* – Carbon recovery was calculated as the ratio of total moles of carbon in the end-products per moles of carbon in total glucose consumed and expressed on a percentage basis.

p – Upon induction of kgd gene expression by IPTG. The yields of metabolites formed by the strain MSG1.0 BACPyc [pMW-kgd] without induction did not differ from those of the parent strain MSG1.0 BACPyc.

4. Conclusion

We metabolically engineered *E. coli* to efficiently convert glucose to fumaric acid aerobically due to artificial shunting of 2-ketoglutarate to SSA and then to succinic acid with a yield reaching 0.86 mol/mol, amounting to 86% of the theoretical maximum and exceeding the best value, 0.78 mol/mol, reported previously for an engineered *E. coli* strain. While the current work represents a proof-of-concept metabolic engineering study, the implemented strategy enabling efficient conversion of substrate to target product by the directly engineered *E. coli* strain utilising an artificial biochemical pathway analogous to the variant TCA cycle of myco- and cyanobacteria provides an opportunity for the further development of highly efficient strains and processes for the bio-based production of fumaric acid from renewable resources.
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