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A B S T R A C T

Since time immemorial custard apple is intimately associated with humans. It is popularly known for their delicious fleshy fruit and lesser for antioxidant and antidiabetic activities. Because of its unique nutraceutical properties custard apple is widely cultivated throughout the globe. In view of this the present investigation was undertaken to study the morphological and fruit quality traits to define the available wide variability within the global custard apple germplasms. Accordance to this, the experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) with 30 selected genotypes as the treatments with three replications for each defined traits. The results showed that the wide variability was present among custard apple genotypes for quantitative as well as qualitative traits. The fruit shape ranged from round to cordate, fruit weight varied from 98.8 to 170.1 gm, fruit length varied from 5.47 to 7.0 cm, fruit width varied from 5.57 to 7.13 cm, fruit volume varied from 77 to 178 cm³ and pulp to seed ratio ranged from 3.69 to 32.87. Likewise, qualitative traits such as total soluble solids, total carbohydrate, free amino acids, dietary fiber, Ascorbic acid and total carotenoids were recorded and it also varied largely between the genotypes. Thus, results from the present study indicate that there is abundant natural genetic variability available within the custard apple genotypes which might offer suitable genetic material for future nutri-agricultural research.
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Introduction

Custard apple (Annona squamosa L.) is one of the commercially grown fruit crop in India. It belongs to Annonaceae family and is a native of tropical region of West Indies (Porwal et al., 2011). Custard apple is popularly called with numerous vernacular names such as sugar apple, sitaphal, sweet sop and Sharifa in the Indian subcontinents (Ghawade et al., 2018). It is a crop of high nutritional value which harbours many important nutritional traits such as high amount of essential minerals (calcium, potassium and...
phosphorous), calories, vitamin C, and carbohydrate contents (Bharad et al., 2009). Because of its unique nutritional properties it is widely cultivated throughout the dry arid, semi-arid and tropical regions of the world. In India it is commercially grown on degraded lands having marginal soils mainly by subsistence farmers (Ghawade et al., 2018). Custard apple is basically hardy in nature and being a cross pollinated fruit crop it is a home of large inter- and intra-specific variability in fruit qualities. As a result of this, it exhibits wide variability in form, colour, size, quality and fruit bearing tendency (Bharad et al., 2009; Kad et al., 2016). Morphological and fruit quality being a complex and polygenic trait and are highly influenced by several environmental factors or vary with various developmental stages of plants. Thus, because of abundant natural variability available within the custard apple global germplasm, it could be exploited to identify superior genotypes which might offer suitable genetic material for future nutri-agricultural research.

In view of the above background the present study was conceptualized to study the available morphological and fruit quality trait variation in custard apple (Annona squamosa) genotypes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental materials and evaluation sites**

The material comprises 30 diverse Custard apple accessions representing world collections were collected from Horticulture Research Station, Junagadh Agriculture University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India (Table: 01). The present study was conducted at research farm Madri Bag and Department of Biochemistry, Junagadh Agriculture University, Junagadh. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) with 30 selected genotypes as the treatments with three replications. Ripen fruits were randomly taken from healthy trees, washed in running water and were stored for further use (Figure: 1a and 1b). Similarly, five randomly selected fruits were used for pulp extraction and Fruit pulp after extraction in methanol kept in storage vial in -20°C for further use.

**Fruit morphological characterization in custard apple genotype**

Standard morphological characters from 30 custard apple genotypes were recorded.

Fruit shape, fruit weight and fruit volume: Randomly 5 fruits were selected from each landraces and their shape were noticed as round or oblong, along with weight in kg, were recorded and fruit volume were measured by water displacement (Bhatnagar et al., 2012).

Fruit length: Randomly 5 fruits were selected from each landraces and length was measured by using vernier calliper and expressed in centimetres (Varasteh et al., 2009).

Fruit width and pulp to seed ratio: Randomly 5 fruits were selected from each landraces and width was measured by using Vernier calliper and expressed in centimetres and pulp to seed ratio was calculated by method as followed by Akbarpour et al., (2009) with minor modifications.

**Fruit quality characterization in custard apple genotype**

Total soluble solids (TSS): Total soluble solids in percentage brix of fruit were recorded by using an Erma refractometer (Ranganna, 1986).

Total carbohydrates: Total carbohydrate from pulp was estimated by phenol sulphuric acid method (Dubois et al., 1956).
Free amino acid: Total free amino acid was estimated from fruit pulp as described by Lee and Takahasi (1996) using ninhydrin reagent.

Total dietary fiber: Total dietary fiber was estimated from fruit pulp as described by Prosky et al., (1988).

Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C): Ascorbic acid content in fruit pulp was determined by the colorimeter method as described by Bhatnagar et al., (2012).

Total carotenoids: The total carotenoid in fresh fruit pulp of each genotype was estimated as described by Jensen, (1978).

**Statistical analysis of custard apple genotypes**

The data collected on individual characters/traits were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis by using completely randomized design (CRD) with 30 selected genotypes as the treatments with three replications. OPStat Excel Version software package was used for statistical analysis like coefficient variation, mean, standard deviation and range of individual characters/traits (Sheoran et al., 1998) and Excel software of Microsoft was used for graphical representation.

**Results and Discussion**

**Fruit morphological characterization in custard apple genotype**

**Fruit shape**

Among thirty custard apple genotypes, 17 (K-1, K-2, H-1, Aml-1, Aml-2, Aml-3, Aml-4, Aml-5, Aml-6, Aml-7, Aml-9, Aml-10, Aml-11, Aml-12, DS-1, M-2 and Sindhan) were found round in shape while 13 genotypes (N-1, Lok-1, Lok-2, Aml-8, B-1, B-2, B-3, D-1, KT-1, S-1, S-2, S-3, M-1) were cordate in shape (Table: 02, Figure: 1b). Thakur and Singh (1967) reported that the shape of custard apple fruit may be irregular to spherical, cordate to conical depending upon the cultivar. *A. squamosa* fruit is rounded, heart shaped, ovate or conical, while *A. cherimoya* fruit is normally heart shaped, conical or oval in shape. *A. reticulata* fruits are commonly heart shaped, but may be conical, ovate or irregular in form (Anon, 2007). Mathakar (2005) grouped 24 hybrids on the basis of fruit shape into irregular, round and oval shape. Similar findings were reported by Jalikop, (2010) and Ghawade et al., (2018). They reported that shape of *Annona squamosa* to be nearly round. Mathakar (2005) reported the shape of areole as round, square, mammal and hexagonal in *Annona* hybrids. Girwani et al., (2011) observed that the shape of areole in *Annona* hybrids as round, flat, mammal, pointed, square and spiny which indicates presence of sufficient variability for fruit shape in custard apple genotypes.

**Fruit weight**

Among thirty genotypes of custard apple, fruit weight was varied in the range of 98.8 to 187.5 gm. The significantly highest fruit weight was obtained in Aml-11 (187.5 gm) while lowest fruit weight was observed in N-1 (98.8 gm) (Table: 02, Figure: 02). The fruit weight is a genetically controlled character and varied significantly in different landraces (Bhatnagar et al., 2012). The fruit weight ranged from minimal 89.5 gm in germplasm collected from Chittorgarh to maximum 149.8 gm in those collected from Baran. P-36 recorded highest average fruit weight (515.0 gm) and pulp (82.03%). The fruits were round shaped and very attractive. Jalikop and Kumar (2007) reported that Arka Sahan, interspecific hybrid has fruit which are small and roundish in shape and the number of seeds was 9/100 gm fruit weight. Balanagar exhibited the
highest fruit weight (330 gm) (Abdualrahman et al., 2016). Mathakar (2005) also evaluated that in 24 hybrids of Annona spp. the average fruit weight ranged from 187.7 to 672.5 gm. The average fruit weight of Annona Hy.2, at emoya, Balanagar and Island Gem was 888.33 gm, 353.33 gm, 236.0 gm and 625.0 gm, respectively (Anon., 2007). Similarly, Dikshit et al., (2008) revealed average fruit weight in custard apple ranged 90.8 to 375 gm. Recently, Ghawade et al., (2018) evaluated 29 custard apple genotypes and recorded fruit weight ranged from 167.33 to 428 gm which indicates presence of sufficient variability for fruit weight in custard apple genotypes.

Fruit volume

Fruit volume was significantly varied among thirty custard apple genotypes. The fruit volume was found in the ranges of 77 (Sindhan) to 178 cm$^3$ (Aml-7) among different genotypes (Table: 02, Figure: 02). Popular cultivar sindhan examined with lowest fruit volume. Jalikop, (2010) evaluated Annona hybrids and revealed great variability for morphological and biochemical characters. He reported significant variation in fruit length (5.53-10.15 cm), fruit breadth (6.30-9.73 cm) and pulp percentage (46.48- 58.96 %). Similar result was also reported by Kad et al., (2016) and Ghawade et al., (2018). They recorded fruit width ranged from 5.90 to 17.61 cm which indicates presence of sufficient variability for fruit volume in custard apple genotypes.

Fruit length

Fruit length was examined among thirty custard apple genotypes. The fruit length varied non-significantly ranged from 5.77 to 7.00 cm among different genotypes (Table: 02, Figure: 03). Balanagar exhibited the fruit length of Custard apple are (8.3 cm) (Abdualrahman et al., 2016). Jalikop, (2010) evaluated Annona hybrids exhibited various shape, colour, length and width. He reported significant variation in fruit length (5.53-10.15 cm). Similar result was also reported by Kad et al., (2016) and Ghawade et al., (2018). They recorded fruit length ranged from 6.56 to 21.11 cm which indicates presence of sufficient variability for Fruit length in custard apple genotypes.

Fruit width

The fruit width was also varied non-significantly ranged from 5.77 to 7.13 cm among different custard apple genotypes (Table: 02, Figure: 03). Ghosh et al., (2001) found that Balanagar exhibited the highest fruit diameter (9.0 cm). Likewise, Jalikop, (2010) evaluated Annona hybrids leaves having various shape, colour, length, width and also reported significant variation in fruit breadth (6.30-9.73 cm). Similar result was also reported by Kad et al., (2016) and Ghawade et al., (2018). They recorded fruit width ranged from 5.90 to 17.61 cm which indicates presence of sufficient variability for fruit width in custard apple genotypes.

Pulp to seed ratio

The custard apple genotypes were subjected to fruit pulp to seed ratio and highly significant variation were observed among genotypes. The genotypes H-1 produced significantly highest (32.87gm) pulp to seed ratio with maximum yield of pulp and minimum of seeds in their fruit followed by N-1 (25.89 gm) with average pulp to seed ratio 10.26 gm observed among genotypes (Table: 02, Figure: 03). The minimum ratio was attained by K-2 (3.69 gm) which was no significant at 5%. Salvador et al., (2006) carried out a trial to determine the relationships between fruit size and other fruit quality parameters in order to assess whether small size is correlated with poor fruit quality
in apples. Dikshit et al., (2008) revealed fruit to pulp ratio (37-54.2) in custard apple. Later on, Bhatnagar et al., (2012) studied the variations in shape (round and cordate), fruit colour (yellowish green, light green and pale green), pulp colour (pale white and creamy white) and areole shape (round, fused and mummiform) of custard apple fruits and observed maximum pulp weight of 47.0 gm in landrace collected from Baran site. Similarly, Aydin et al., (2012) studied genetic diversity within Loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) and highest flesh ratio was obtained from ‘Baffico’ (90%) whereas ‘Seedling 1’ had the lowest flesh ratio (75%). Recently, pulp to seed ratio (8.18) was observed significantly in custard apple (Kad et al., 2016). These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for fruit to pulp ratio in custard apple genotypes.

**Fruit quality characterization in custard apple genotype**

Fruit pulp of thirty custard apple genotypes were analyzed for quality parameters and it was observed significant variability among custard apple genotypes for fruit quality parameters like total soluble solids, total carbohydrates, free amino acids, total dietary fibre, ascorbic acid and total carotenoids.

**Total soluble solids (TSS)**

The significantly highest TSS was observed in Aml-2 (25.74 brixº) which was at par with B-2 (25.73º Brix), Aml-1 (25.48º Brix), B3 (25.37º Brix), Lok-1 (25.37º Brix) whereas it was found lowest in Aml-12 (23.93º Brix) with average TSS was observed 24.72º Brix amongst genotypes (Table: 03, Figure: 04). Earlier, Dhumal et al., (1997) reported the range of TSS of custard apple from 20.4 to 22.0º Brix. The total soluble solids ranged from 16.47 to 27.97º Brix in seventeen accessions of custard apple germplasm in Tamilnadu (Anon., 2007). Dikshit et al., (2008) studied diversity in custard apple and the fruits revealed total soluble sugar (19-26º Brix). Girwani et al., (2011) evaluated custard apple hybrids for quality attributing character and reported TSS ranged from 20 to 28.2º Brix. The TSS was highest in Hybrid-2 (28.2º Brix) followed by Hybrid-4 (25º Brix). Jalikop, (2010) reported a large variation in the total soluble solids of custard apple ranging from 19.3º to 28.0º Brix. Jalikop and Kumar (2007) observed that in Arka Sahan an interspecific hybrid had TSS more than 30º Brix. Shete et al., (2009) screened 137 seedlings of custard apple for physicochemical character and observed that TSS varied from 17.75 to 27.30º Brix. These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for Total soluble solids (TSS) in custard apple genotypes.

**Total carbohydrates**

Total carbohydrates were measured from fruit pulp of custard apple genotypes by colorimetric methods. The significantly highest carbohydrates (4.41 %) were observed in Lok-1, Lok-2, Aml-4, Aml-7, Aml-9, Aml-10 followed by Aml-3 (4.39 %) and DS-1 (4.31%). The Total carbohydrates content was found lowest (2.06 %) in B-1 genotypes. Average total carbohydrates content was measured amongst the genotypes are 3.39 % (Table: 03, Figure: 05). Similar result was also reported by Kad et al., (2016) and Ghawade et al., (2018). These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for carbohydrates content in custard apple genotypes.

**Free amino acid**

In general fruit pulp are not considered good sources of protein. Protein contents (1.80 gm/100gm) found in the A. crassiflora pulp studied by Rao and Subramanyam (2010) were higher than in this study.
**Table 1.** List of custard apple genotypes used in present study

| Sr. No. | Genotypes  | Sr. No. | Genotypes  |
|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| 1       | K-1        | 16      | Aml-10     |
| 2       | K-2        | 17      | Aml-11     |
| 3       | N-1        | 18      | Aml-12     |
| 4       | Lok-1      | 19      | DS-1       |
| 5       | Lok-2      | 20      | B-1        |
| 6       | H-1        | 21      | B-2        |
| 7       | Aml-1      | 22      | B-3        |
| 8       | Aml-2      | 23      | D-1        |
| 9       | Aml-3      | 24      | KT-1       |
| 10      | Aml-4      | 25      | S-1        |
| 11      | Aml-5      | 26      | S-2        |
| 12      | Aml-6      | 27      | KH-1       |
| 13      | Aml-7      | 28      | M-1        |
| 14      | Aml-8      | 29      | M-2        |
| 15      | Aml-9      | 30      | Sindhan    |

**Table 2.** Fruit morphological characterizations of custard apple genotypes

| Sr. No. | Genotypes  | Fruit shape | Fruit weight (g) | Fruit volume (cm³) | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit width (cm) | Pulp to seed ratio |
|---------|------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1       | K-1        | Round       | 142.8            | 114.5              | 5.87              | 5.70            | 3.71              |
| 2       | K-2        | Round       | 170.1            | 133.5              | 6.45              | 5.93            | 3.69              |
| 3       | N-1        | Cordate     | 98.8             | 91.0               | 5.55              | 6.27            | 25.89             |
| 4       | Lok-1      | Cordate     | 140.8            | 91.0               | 5.77              | 5.77            | 13.65             |
| 5       | Lok-2      | Cordate     | 138.9            | 86.75              | 5.47              | 6.23            | 15.75             |
| 6       | H-1        | Round       | 106.7            | 110.5              | 6.07              | 6.43            | 32.87             |
| 7       | Aml-1      | Round       | 150.1            | 149.5              | 6.57              | 6.27            | 8.74              |
| 8       | Aml-2      | Round       | 132.9            | 121.0              | 6.27              | 6.33            | 16.64             |
| 9       | Aml-3      | Round       | 135.4            | 121.5              | 6.10              | 6.37            | 15.58             |
| 10      | Aml-4      | Round       | 155.8            | 110.0              | 5.67              | 6.73            | 3.92              |
| 11      | Aml-5      | Round       | 109.0            | 111.5              | 5.62              | 6.73            | 6.20              |
| 12      | Aml-6      | Round       | 104.0            | 106.5              | 5.77              | 6.67            | 8.52              |
| 13      | Aml-7      | Round       | 163.8            | 178.0              | 7.00              | 6.47            | 6.38              |
| 14      | Aml-8      | Cordate     | 126.2            | 156.2              | 6.65              | 7.13            | 8.31              |
| 15      | Aml-9      | Round       | 156.2            | 128.5              | 5.95              | 7.07            | 9.09              |
| 16      | Aml-10     | Round       | 133.3            | 122.5              | 6.50              | 6.67            | 4.90              |
| 17      | Aml-11     | Round       | 187.5            | 142.5              | 6.70              | 6.80            | 11.27             |
| 18      | Aml-12     | Round       | 142.2            | 138.0              | 6.47              | 7.13            | 14.10             |
| 19      | DS-1       | Round       | 125.3            | 127.7              | 6.45              | 6.73            | 8.59              |
| 20      | B-1        | Cordate     | 160.0            | 148.7              | 6.52              | 6.90            | 4.87              |
| 21      | B-2        | Cordate     | 152.6            | 120.0              | 6.52              | 6.93            | 8.32              |
| 22      | B-3        | Cordate     | 131.1            | 115.5              | 6.15              | 6.47            | 7.32              |
| 23      | D-1        | Cordate     | 106.4            | 96.20              | 6.05              | 7.13            | 7.34              |
| 24      | KT-1       | Cordate     | 142.6            | 103.7              | 6.42              | 6.43            | 5.17              |
| 25      | S-1        | Cordate     | 119.7            | 160.5              | 5.87              | 6.37            | 13.37             |
| 26      | S-2        | Cordate     | 122.8            | 104.0              | 5.80              | 6.13            | 5.95              |
| 27      | S-3        | Cordate     | 110.7            | 133.5              | 6.27              | 5.67            | 7.69              |
| 28      | M-1        | Cordate     | 104.4            | 95.50              | 6.30              | 6.57            | 17.77             |
| 29      | M-2        | Round       | 121.3            | 98.50              | 6.10              | 6.80            | 5.36              |
| 30      | Sindhan    | Round       | 99.7            | 77.0               | 6.27              | 5.57            | 6.79              |

Note: Values representing mean of three independent replications and each replication consisted of five fruit observations per one tree.
Table 3 Fruit quality parameters study from fruit pulp of custard apple genotypes

| Sr. No. | Genotypes | Total soluble solids (% Brixº) | Total carbohydrates (%) | Free amino acids (mg %) | Total dietary fiber (%) | Ascorbic acids content (%) | Total carotenoids (mg.g⁻¹) |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1.      | K-1        | 24.61                         | 4.26                    | 0.54                   | 7.14                   | 2.11                      | 0.33                        |
| 2.      | K-2        | 24.45                         | 4.41                    | 0.81                   | 7.48                   | 1.48                      | 0.03                        |
| 3.      | N-1        | 24.17                         | 4.40                    | 0.57                   | 9.86                   | 2.96                      | 0.02                        |
| 4.      | Lok-1      | 25.37                         | 4.41                    | 0.83                   | 9.23                   | 2.39                      | 0.03                        |
| 5.      | Lok-2      | 24.73                         | 4.41                    | 1.72                   | 7.76                   | 2.51                      | 0.01                        |
| 6.      | H-1        | 24.26                         | 3.89                    | 1.29                   | 10.00                  | 1.82                      | 0.02                        |
| 7.      | Aml-1      | 25.48                         | 3.64                    | 0.74                   | 10.24                  | 1.31                      | 0.02                        |
| 8.      | Aml-2      | 25.74                         | 4.23                    | 0.93                   | 4.44                   | 2.91                      | 0.01                        |
| 9.      | Aml-3      | 25.00                         | 4.39                    | 0.74                   | 10.50                  | 2.68                      | 0.01                        |
| 10.     | Aml-4      | 24.77                         | 4.41                    | 0.72                   | 9.51                   | 2.11                      | 0.03                        |
| 11.     | Aml-5      | 24.27                         | 4.35                    | 0.44                   | 6.12                   | 2.22                      | 0.02                        |
| 12.     | Aml-6      | 24.38                         | 4.30                    | 0.64                   | 10.37                  | 2.11                      | 0.03                        |
| 13.     | Aml-7      | 24.96                         | 4.41                    | 0.36                   | 5.84                   | 1.77                      | 0.02                        |
| 14.     | Aml-8      | 25.30                         | 3.36                    | 0.58                   | 7.41                   | 4.39                      | 0.02                        |
| 15.     | Aml-9      | 24.76                         | 4.41                    | 0.88                   | 11.28                  | 2.74                      | 0.02                        |
| 16.     | Aml-10     | 24.89                         | 4.41                    | 1.31                   | 8.66                   | 3.19                      | 0.05                        |
| 17.     | Aml-11     | 24.74                         | 3.53                    | 0.40                   | 11.66                  | 2.39                      | 0.03                        |
| 18.     | Aml-12     | 23.93                         | 4.25                    | 0.38                   | 7.22                   | 2.57                      | 0.27                        |
| 19.     | DS-1       | 24.49                         | 4.31                    | 0.44                   | 10.57                  | 2.05                      | 0.88                        |
| 20.     | B-1        | 25.32                         | 2.06                    | 0.97                   | 13.03                  | 1.65                      | 0.01                        |
| 21.     | B-2        | 25.73                         | 3.27                    | 1.07                   | 7.17                   | 2.39                      | 0.03                        |
| 22.     | B-3        | 25.37                         | 3.62                    | 0.90                   | 6.85                   | 1.48                      | 0.04                        |
| 23.     | D-1        | 24.32                         | 3.91                    | 1.20                   | 5.45                   | 2.39                      | 0.11                        |
| 24.     | KT-1       | 24.22                         | 3.76                    | 1.41                   | 8.54                   | 1.71                      | 0.05                        |
| 25.     | S-1        | 24.15                         | 3.46                    | 1.03                   | 7.75                   | 2.17                      | 0.02                        |
| 26.     | S-2        | 24.12                         | 4.15                    | 0.48                   | 9.76                   | 1.65                      | 0.15                        |
| 27.     | S-3        | 24.90                         | 2.66                    | 0.69                   | 9.74                   | 1.88                      | 0.04                        |
| 28.     | M-1        | 24.33                         | 3.30                    | 0.38                   | 8.78                   | 1.77                      | 0.64                        |
| 29.     | M-2        | 24.16                         | 4.28                    | 0.15                   | 7.55                   | 1.71                      | 0.03                        |
| 30.     | Sindhan    | 24.85                         | 3.51                    | 2.05                   | 11.31                  | 3.19                      | 0.02                        |

| Mean    | 24.74 | 3.93 | 0.82 | 8.71 | 2.26 | 0.10 |
| S.E±m   | 0.029 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| C.D at 5% | 0.085 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.01 |
| C.V. %  | 0.17  | 4.24 | 4.76 | 7.14 | 8.92 | 7.29 |

Note: Values representing mean of three independent replications and each replication consisted of five fruit observations per one tree.
Fig. 2 Morphological characterizations of custard apple genotypes for fruit weight and fruit volume
Fig. 3 Morphological characterizations of custard apple genotypes for fruit length, fruit width and pulp to seed ratio
Fig. 4 Fruit quality parameters study from fruit pulp of custard apple genotypes for TSS and Dietary fibers.
Fig. 5 Fruit quality parameters study from fruit pulp of custard apple genotypes for total carbohydrate, free amino acid, ascorbic acid and carotenoids.
Fig. 1 a) Custard apple fruits collected from 30 genotypes from field, and b) randomly selected custard apple fruits for fruit morphology and fruit quality study

However, Almeida et al., (2008) found lower values (1.28 gm/100gm). The significantly highest free amino acids (2.05 mg %) were observed in popular cultivars sindhan. The content was found lowest (0.38 gm/100gm) in Aml-12 and M-1 genotypes (Table: 03, Figure: 05). The total yield of free amino acids in pulp of crop Annona species ranged from 5.57 ± 1.06 mg/g dry matter to 25.66 ± 1.75 mg/g dry matter. The A. cherimola presented the highest concentration (25.66 ± 1.75 mg/g dry matter), followed by A. cherimola × A. squamosa cv. Gefner (23.15 ± 2.78 mg/g dry matter). A. cherimola × A. squamosa cv. Pink’ Mammoth presented the lowest quantity of free amino acids (5.57 ± 1.06 mg/g dry matter). Previous data for A. cherimola showed a total free amino acid amount (1.6 mg/g dry matter) much lower than that obtained at this study (Maldonado et al., 2002). Egydio et al., (2013) studied the free amino acid profiles of two cultivars of Aemoya (Annona cherimola M. × Annona squamosa L.) and one of cherimoya (A. cherimola Mill.) were investigated by reverse HPLC-F. The total yield of free amino acids in these three samples ranged from 5.57 mg/g to 25.66 mg/g dry matter. These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for free amino acids in protein content trait in custard apple genotypes.

Total dietary fiber

The content of total dietary fiber in the present study was estimated as reported by Silva et al., (2008). The significantly high dietary fiber (13.03 %) were observed in B-1 genotypes followed by Aml-11 (11.66 %), sindhan (11.31 %), Aml-9 (11.28 %), DS-1 (10.57 %), Aml-1 (10.24 %), H-1 (10.0 %). The content was found lowest (5.84 %) in Aml-7 followed by Aml-5 (6.12 %) genotypes and average total dietary fiber was measured amongst the genotypes are 8.71 % (Table: 03, Figure: 04). However, compared with conventional flours, the ACF showed high values of total dietary fibers (Padovani, 2007). Adam et al., (2001) revealed that custard apple flours with high insoluble fiber contents were effective in lowering LDL-cholesterol in experimental animals. The dietary fibers are important component for regulation of human nutrition assimilation and deposition. These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for total dietary fiber content in custard apple genotypes.
Ascorbic acid

The ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) acts as antioxidant and potential for free radical scavenging activity. The significantly highest ascorbic acids (4.39 %) were observed in Aml-8 genotypes followed by Sindhan and Aml-10 with 3.19 %. The content was found lowest (1.31 %) in Aml-1 followed by K-2 (1.48 %) genotypes. The percent content of ascorbic acid in fruit pulp varies from 1.31 to 4.39 % with an average of 2.26 % across the genotypes (Table: 03, Figure: 05). Recently, Abdualrahman et al., (2016) reported that fruit pulp of the A. squamosa contain 17.65-31.22 mg/100ml of ascorbic acid. They also revealed that Ascorbic acid content was found to be lower in all the products prepared compared to the stored fruit pulp. The reduction in ascorbic acid may be attributed to its destruction by oxidation or heat during processing. It is readily oxidized to dehydro-l-ascorbic acid by the enzyme ascorbic acid oxidase found in fruits (Abdualrahman et al., 2016). Later on, Pareek et al., (2011) reported that the percent content of ascorbic acid in fruit pulp varies from 9.22 to 60 mg/100 g across the indigenous custard apple genotypes. These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for ascorbic acid content in custard apple genotypes.

Total carotenoids

The Carotenoids are important component of Vitamin A and act as antioxidants to scavenge free radicals associated with many chronic degenerative diseases. In present study, the significant level of carotenoids content was found in DS-1 (0.88 mg.g⁻¹) followed by M-1 (0.64 mg.g⁻¹), K-1 (0.33 mg.g⁻¹) to Aml-12 (0.27 mg.g⁻¹). Thus, Carotenoids content in fruit pulp varies from 0.01 to 0.88 mg.g⁻¹ with an average value of 0.10 mg.g⁻¹ across the genotypes (Table: 03, Figure: 05). The A. crassiflora pulp studied by Almeida et al., (2008) showed 0.84 mg/100g carotenoids. Marquez et al., (2012) studied the chemical composition in the Annona muricata L. and reported that fruit pulp provide essential nutrients, phytochemicals and antioxidants which are vital to human health as well as bioactive compounds such as anthocyanins and carotenoids among others. These observations indicate presence of sufficient variability for Carotenoids content trait in custard apple genotypes.

In essence, the present study is conceptualized for morphological and fruit quality parameter study of custard apple genotypes to identify possible genetic variability among them. Custard apple is a delicious fruit crop. It has many medicinal applications and a good source of nutritional and phytochemical compounds. According to the observations, there is significant variability in custard apple genotypes under the studied traits. The desirable fruit morphological characters viz. weight, volume, length, width and pulp to seed ratio were recorded significant in genotypes Aml-11, Aml-7, Aml-7, Aml-8 and H-1, respectively. Total soluble solids, total carbohydrates, free amino acids, total dietary fiber, ascorbic acid, and total carotenoids measured as fruit quality parameters and found to be better in Aml-2, K-2, Sindham, B-1, Aml-8 and DS-1 genotypes showing good fruit quality among 30 custard apple genotypes. Thus based on these observations, these identified genotypes may be good in future for developing new varieties of custard apple.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. B.A. Golakiya, Professor & Head, Department of Biotechnology, JAU, Junagadh, Gujarat for their valuable support. Authors also thankful to the Department of Biochemistry, JAU, Junagadh for their kind support and providing all the necessary facilities required for completion of research work.

References

Abdualrahman, M.A.Y., Ma, H., Zhou, C., Yagoub, A.E.A., Ali, A.O., et al., 2016. Postharvest physicochemical properties of
the pulp and seed oil from *Annona squamosa* L. (Gishtha) fruit grown in Darfur region, Sudan. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 10: 1878-5352.

Adam, A. *et al.*, 2001. Whole wheat and triticale flours with differing viscosities stimulate cecal fermentations and lower plasma and hepatic lipids in rats. Journal of Nutrition, 131(6): 1770- 1776.

Akbarpour, V.K.H. and Sharifani, M. 2009. Physical and chemical properties of pomegranate fruit in maturation stage. *American Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science*. 6: 411-416.

Almeida, S.P., Agostini, C.T.S. and Silva, J.A. 2008. Frutas nativas do cerrado: caracterizaçao fisico-quimicae fonte potencial de nutrientes. Brasilia: Embrapa Informacao Tecnologica.

Anon. 2007. The useful plants of India. Publications and Information Directorate, CSIR, New Delhi, India.

Aydin, U., Ubeyit, S. and Cengiz, G. 2012. Turkay fruit quality parameters and molecular characterization of some loquat accessions fruit shape. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 44(1): 209-213.

Bharad, S.G., Kulwal, P.L. and Bagal, S.A. 2009. Genetic Diversity Study in Annona squamosa by Morphological, Biochemical and RAPD Markers. *Acta horticulturae*, 839: 615-624.

Bhatnagar, P., Singh, J., Jain, M.C. and Singh, B. 2012. Evaluation of landraces of custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.). *Plant Archives*, 12: 1045-1048.

Dhumal, N.S. 1997. Studies on processing of custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.). M.Sc (Agri.) thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri (MS).

Dikshit, N, Bharad, S.G. and Badge, M.P. 2008. Diversity in Custard Apple Germplasm Collections from Maharashtra, India. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*, 21(1): 95-96.

Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers. P.A. and Sith, F. 1956. Phenol Sulphuric Acid Method for Total Carbohydrate. *Analytical Chemistry*. 26: 350.

Egydio, M.P.A., Catarinab, S.C., Floh, S.I.E. and Santosa, C.A.Y.D. 2013. Free amino acid composition of Annona (Annonaceae) fruit species of economic interest. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 4: 373-376.

Ghawade, P.M., Supe, V.S., Pimpalpalle, L.V. and Tayade, S.A. 2018. Morphological characterization of custard apple genotypes, *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(1): 1029-1032.

Ghosh, S.N., Mathew, B. and Subrata, M. 2001. Studies on physicochemical characteristics of fruits of custard apples grown under rainfed semi-arid region of West Bengal. *Orissa-Journal-of-Horticulture*. 29(1): 66-68.

Girwani, A., Madhavi, A., Kumar, T.S. and Reddy, G.S. 2011. Evaluation of custard apple hybrids for fruit yield and quality attributing characters. *Acta Horticulturae*, 890: 251-254.

Jalikop, S.H. 2010. Tree and fruit traits of progenies from the cross between (*Annona cherimola* Mill. x *A. squamosa* L.) x *A. reticulata* L. and approaches for the introgression of valuable genes from *A. reticulata* L. *Euphytica*, 173: 161-171.

Jalikop, S.H. and Kumar, R. 2007. Pseudo-xenic Effect of Allied Annona spp. Pollen in Hand Pollination of cv. ‘Arka Sahan’ [(*A. cherimola* x *A. squamosa*) x *A. squamosa*]. *Hortscience*, 42(7): 1534-1538.

Kad, V.P., Jadhav, M.S. and Nimbalkar, C.A. 2016. Studies on physical, morphological and rheological properties of custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.). *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture*, 2(4): 140-147.

Lee, Y. and Takahashi, T. 1996. An improved colorimetric determination of amino acids
with use of ninhydrin. *Analytical Biochemistry.* 14: 71-73.
Maldonado, R., Escribano, M.I. and Merodio, C., 2002. Relationship between the levels of ammonia and co-ordination of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and phospho enol pyruvate carboxylase in *Annona cherimola* stored under different conditions. Postharvest Bio Technology. 25: 301-309.
Marquez, A., TetchI, A.F., Gbane, M., Kouassi, N.K. and Amani, G.G. 2012. Physico-chemical characterization of cashew apple juice (*Anacardium occidentale*) From Yamousoukro (cote divoire). Innovative Romanian Food Biotechnology. 11: 124-128.
Mathakar, T.D. 2005. Assessment of custard apple (*Annona squamosa* L.) hybrids. M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri (Maharashtra), India.
Padovani, R.M. 2007. Comparison of proximate, mineral and vitamin composition of common Brazilian and US foods. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 20: 733-73.
Pareek, S., Yahia, E.M., Pareek, O.P. and Kaushik, R.A. 2011. Postharvest physiology and technology of annona fruits. Food res. Int. 44: 1741-1751.
Porwal, M., Sharma, K. and Malik, P. 2011. Anticoagulant Effect of *Annona squamosa* L. Leaves in Mice. Pharmacologyonline 2: 44-52.
Prosky, L., Asp, N.G., Schweitzer, T.F., De Varies, W.J. and Furda, I. 1988. Determination of insoluble, soluble and total dietary fiber in foods and food products. Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 71: 1017-1024.
Ranganna, S. 1986. Manual of Analysis of fruit and vegetable products, Published by Tata Mc Graw - Hill. Publishing co. Ltd., New Delhi, 89-90.
Rao, K.D. and Subramanyam, K. 2010. Growth and yield performance of custard apple germplasm under scarce rainfall zone. Indian J Agric. Res. 45(2): 156-160.
Salvador, D.R.F., Fisiche, M. and Fontanari, M. 2006. Correlations between fruit size and fruit quality in apple trees with high and standard crop load levels. Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research. 14(2): 113-122.
Sheoran, O.P., Tonk, D.S., Kaushik, L.S., Hasija, R.C. and Pannu, R.S (1998). Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Recent Advances in information theory, Statistics & Computer Applications by D.S. Hooda & R.C. Hasija Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar, 139-143.
Shete, M., Bhosale, A. and Supe. S. 2009. Sitafal lagwad. 7th All Maharashtra State Custard Apple Symposium, Souvenir, 1-4.
Thakur, D.R. and Singh, R.N. 1967. Pomological description and classification of some annonas. Indian J. Hort. 24(1-2): 11-19.
Varasteh, F.K., Zamani, Z.A. and Mohseni, A. 2009. Evaluation of the most important characteristics of some commercial pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cultivars grown in Iran. Acta Horticulture. 818: 1031-1038.

How to cite this article:

Yashwant Kumar, Ajay Kumar Chandra, Ashutosh Dubey and Gajera, H.P. 2018. Fruit Morphology and Quality Parameter Studies of Global Custard Apple (*Annona squamosa*) Germplasms. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7(10): 1297-1311.
doi: [https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2018.710.146](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijemas.2018.710.146)