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I. INTRODUCTION

Food safety is a particularly worrying environmental health problem in universities. Sharif et al. (2013) stated that improper food handling activity and lack of knowledge are contributing factors for the transmission of food borne outbreaks.

Furthermore, Yan (2012) explained that the magnitude of the potential danger has yet to be adequately understood, as demonstrated by the lack of a detailed account of the broader implications of food safety concerns and expectations of food risk.

Osimani et al. (2014) concluded that the implementation of punitive steps against bad hygiene, such as re-cleaning undesirable surfaces or replacing/regenerating damaged work surfaces.

Nee and Sani (2011) reported that the level of expertise of food handlers in this sample can be rated as fair with an average score of 57.8%. Thus, Zain and Naing (2002) recommended that Training, preparation, and the production of food safety certification exams are key components in ensuring that food handlers are qualified in and educated about the values of food safety and sanitation.

In light of the foregoing insights, the researcher’s piqued its interest in the assessment of the food standards, in terms of safety and sanitation, if it was being complied by the food handles among the universities in Nueva Ecija.

II. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

According to Ababio and Adi (2012), the realistic dimension was not fully understood by the food handlers and they did not have any technical understanding of the procedures that could be performed to guarantee food safety.

Lee et al. (2017) reported that food handlers had a reasonable level of knowledge of food safety with a good attitude, and self-reported habits, the poor performance in hand hygiene appraisal showed an inability to regularly practice safe handling of food in their job.

Evidenced in the study of Meleko (2013), Poor accessibility and cleanliness of latrine service in some canteens and food handlers’ general hygiene routine portrays that most of them had poor practice of food handling.

III. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

This paper described the food handlers and operations of canteen in their compliance to food safety standards and food sanitation standards.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The study used descriptive method as it describes the present condition. As the main objective of this method is to describe practices that prevail; and beliefs and processes that are going on; effects that being felt or trends that are developing. (Cohen et al., 2002)

A total of 172 respondents from different universities were surveyed. The researcher used likert-scale type questionnaire
(Vagias, 2006) and analyzed it through statistical data treatment such as mean and weighted mean.

**V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Table 1. Food Safety Standards**

| Standards                                      | WM  | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|
| Tied hair while preparing foods.              | 3.28| Always                |
| Wearing clean protective clothing.            | 0.54| Never                 |
| Washing hands thoroughly with warm soapy water.| 3.60| Always                |
| Cold foods are kept 5°C or below.             | 3.88| Always                |
| Hot foods are kept 60°C or below.             | 3.68| Always                |
| Foods are 60°C or above                       | 3.48| Always                |
| Covering or removing jewelry that may contaminate food. | 3.68| Always                |
| Ready to eat foods are covered and stored above veg. And meat in a fridge. | 3.52| Always                |
| Raw meat are kept in sealed containers in the bottom or the fridge. | 3.52| Always                |
| Use tongs, spoons, bags, or paper to serve unpacked foods. | 3.52| Always                |
| **Average Weighted Mean**                     | 3.27| Always                |

Table 1 presents the food practices of the respondents according to food safety standards. The statement “Cold foods are kept 5°C or below” had the highest weighted mean of “3.88” with an interpretation of “Always”. On the other hand, the statement “Wearing clean protective clothing” had the lowest weighted mean of “0.54” and an interpretation, “Never.”

**Table 2. Food Sanitation Standards**

| Standards                                      | WM  | Verbal Interpretation |
|------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|
| Tables and chairs are clean permanently installed. | 3.44| Always                |
| Having good personal hygiene (shorts fingernails without painting) during handling food. | 3.64| Always                |
| Cooked foods are displayed in cabinet that can facilitate consumer | 3.56| Always                |
| **Average Weighted Mean**                     | 3.57| Always                |

Table 2 presents the practices of respondents according to food sanitation standards. The statement “Changing chopping board and utensils every time you prepare new type of food”, “Non-smoking while preparing food”, and “Disposing of garbage regularly” had the highest weighted mean of “3.68%” and were interpreted as “Always”. On contrary, gaining the lowest weighted mean of “3.44%” with an interpretation of “Always” was the statement, “Tables and chairs are clean permanently installed.”

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Findings in this study reported that adherence to school canteen rules and government regulations play important roles in influencing food handlers’ attitude towards safe food handling. It was found that perceived barrier generally do not hinder safe food handling. However, small working space, inconvenient location for kitchen equipment and unavailability of food handling guideline toward achieving safe food handling were individual items in the questionnaire that scored high. In addition, not only school canteens food handlers but also all of the food handlers should be given more awareness on food safety and hygiene practices and personal hygiene to prevent outbreak and spread of food-borne diseases to customers especially for students and teachers.
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