Diversity, structure and convergent evolution of the global sponge microbiome
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Sponges (phylum Porifera) are early-diverging metazoa renowned for establishing complex microbial symbioses. Here we present a global Porifera microbiome survey, set out to establish the ecological and evolutionary drivers of these host–microbe interactions. We show that sponges are a reservoir of exceptional microbial diversity and major contributors to the total microbial diversity of the world’s oceans. Little commonality in species composition or structure is evident across the phylum, although symbiont communities are characterized by specialists and generalists rather than opportunists. Core sponge microbiomes are stable and characterized by generalist symbionts exhibiting amensal and/or commensal interactions. Symbionts that are phylogenetically unique to sponges do not disproportionately contribute to the core microbiome, and host phylogeny impacts complexity rather than composition of the symbiont community. Our findings support a model of independent assembly and evolution in symbiont communities across the entire host phylum, with convergent forces resulting in analogous community organization and interactions.
Microbial symbionts are essential for the function and survival of multicellular eukaryotes, ranging from humans to invertebrates to plants. Most symbioses involve complex communities of microorganisms, often comprising a large phylogenetic breadth of microbial diversity associated with a single host organism. Many factors, including host-derived nutrients, chemico-physical characteristics (for example, pH) and host properties (for example, immune response), determine the composition and structure of symbiotic communities over time and space. However, the evolutionary and ecological drivers of symbiont composition in animals and plants remain largely unknown.

Sponges are among the most ancient living Metazoa and generally form symbiotic relationships with complex communities of microorganisms. Sponges can maintain highly diverse, yet specific symbiont communities, despite the constant influx of seawater microorganisms resulting from their filter-feeding activities. These symbioses are known to be at least partially underpinned by metabolic exchange between symbiont and host, including nitrogen cycling, CO₂ fixation, secondary metabolite production, and uptake and conversion of dissolved organic matter. In this respect, sponge symbionts perform analogous functions to the symbionts found in mammalian guts and plants. Therefore, sponge-microbe symbioses represent an ecologically relevant example of host-microbe interactions in an early-diverging metazoan clade.

While the diversity of sponge symbionts has been extensively addressed using molecular tools, comparative work has been hindered due to methodological differences in sampling, sample processing and data analyses. Large-scale efforts, such as the Human Microbiome Project and the Earth Microbiome Project, have standardized these technical aspects to reliably and consistently describe patterns of microbial diversity and composition. These efforts have generated a large knowledge base for host-associated microbiomes of vertebrates, and especially humans, but equivalent data sets for invertebrates are missing.

To gain critical insights into the evolution and complexity of symbiotic interactions, we require a greater understanding of the properties and origins of microbial symbioses in early-divergent Metazoa. Furthermore, microbiome research has primarily focused on within-species comparisons, in particular humans, or the comparative analysis of microbiomes of very disparate host organisms (for example, plants versus mammalian guts). However, to define important aspects for the evolution of microbial symbiosis, a deeper understanding of symbiont communities in closely related host species within defined phylogenetic clades (for example, a single phylum) is required.

Here we provide a comprehensive analysis of microbial symbiont communities associated with 81 species from the phylum Porifera. Through a community effort, a total of 804 sponge samples were collected from the waters of 20 countries bordering the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans as well as the Mediterranean and Red Seas, primarily from shallow water habitats. For environmental comparison, we simultaneously collected 133 seawater and 36 sediment samples as potential sources or sinks of microorganisms associated with sponges.

Microbial community composition for each sample was determined using standardized DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene-sequencing protocols established by the Earth Microbiome Project. With this extensive data set, we aimed to define the diversity, variability, specificity and similarity of symbiont communities across the phylum Porifera and determine the interaction patterns and evolutionary forces that shape their complexity and composition.

**Results**

**Symbiont complexity varies greatly across the Porifera.** Richness of microbial symbiont communities varies widely across different host species within the phylum Porifera (Fig. 1; Supplementary Data 1). Complexity (as assessed by number of OTUs) ranges from 50 to 3,820 genetically distinct symbionts per host. Seawater operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were removed from sponge samples as they were considered likely to represent ‘environmental contaminants’ obtained during filter feeding and sampling (see Methods for details). The large richness estimates are unlikely to be inflated by sequencing errors as approximately one-third of samples reached complete saturation (Fig. 1). Variation of richness across the sponge samples contrasted with the more consistent richness estimates found within seawater and sediment samples (Fig. 1, Supplementary Data 1). The most diverse sponge samples approach the microbial richness found in seawater or sediment, however, most sponge species appear to have somewhat less complex communities than the other two habitats.

For symbiont communities of the phylum Porifera we observed a continuum of intraspecific dissimilarities across all species investigated (Fig. 2). Variability of symbiont communities between individuals of the same host species is indicative of the nature and strength of host–symbiont interactions, ranging from obligate to facultative. Low variability would indicate that only specific symbionts can interact with the host (high specificity), while a relaxed pressure on the interaction would result in higher variability of symbionts among specimens of the same sponge species. Compared with planktonic communities, most sponges maintain low variability within communities (Fig. 2). Variability was also found to be independent of symbiont diversity or richness (Supplementary Fig. 1). This indicates a generally restrictive or selective habitat or interactions at the host species level, for both diverse and more depauperate symbiont communities.

The human microbiome is dominated by four phyla (Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria) and this phylum-level trend has also been observed in other mammals. In contrast, only the phylum Proteobacteria (especially classes Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria) was...
Figure 2 | Variability of symbiont communities. Intraspecific community dissimilarity measured as distance of samples to group centroids for 16S rRNA gene composition of different sponge species (orange) and habitats (blue: seawater; brown: sediment). Vertical bar represent the median, the box represent the first to third quartiles and whiskers show the lowest or highest datum within 1.5 times the interquartile range of the lowest and upper quartile, respectively. Names in brackets represent the abbreviations used in all subsequent figures. The number behind the brackets refers to the number of individual samples analysed per sponge taxon, seawater or sediment.
dominant in most sponge species analysed here, with Chloroflexi, Cyanobacteria and Crenarchaeota occasionally reaching high relative abundances (~10%). Nevertheless, sponges host a high diversity of phyla (albeit at low relative abundances), with over 32 phyla and candidate phyla regularly reported to associate with sponges\textsuperscript{20} and a further 6 phyla and 14 candidate phyla recently reported using Illumina amplicon sequencing of pyrosequencing analysis of the V6 region of the 16S rRNA gene. However, the estimated planktonic richness in this and our study is lower than the 29,457 OTUs (at 97% sequence identity) found in surface waters of the coastal and open ocean, respectively, as part of the International Census of Marine Microbes (ICoMM)\textsuperscript{22}, which was based on a deep Illumina sequencing approach\textsuperscript{21}. In the current study, we detected 41 phyla (including candidate phyla) with all sponge species with more than 20 replicate samples as well as seawater microbial communities in sponges and seawater. Rarefaction curves for microbial communities in seawater, sediments and sponges. Rarefaction analysis of 16S rRNA gene diversity of microbial communities in sponges and seawater. (a) Rarefaction analysis of sponge species with more than 20 replicate samples as well as seawater from all sampled geographic regions. OTU diversity is at 97% sequence identity cutoff. (b) Rarefaction analysis of all sponge species with three randomly selected samples per sponge species. Sponge species abbreviations are outlined in Fig. 2.

Sponges harbour an exceptional microbial diversity. High sample replication (n > 20) employed in this study facilitated estimation of total microbial richness for specific sponge species and seawater. Analysis of the 133 surface seawater samples (collected here from disparate geographic areas, including Spain, Florida, Puerto Rico, Sweden, Mexico, Bahamas and Australia) showed that the combined planktonic richness in these regions approaches 15,000 OTUs (at 97% sequence identity) (Fig. 4). This estimate lies between the ~20,000 and ~9,000 predicted OTUs (at 97% sequence identity) found in surface waters of the coastal and open ocean, respectively, as part of the International Census of Marine Microbes (ICoMM)\textsuperscript{22}, which was based on pyrosequencing analysis of the V6 region of the 16S rRNA gene. However, the estimated planktonic richness in this and our study is lower than the 29,457 OTUs (at 97% sequence identity) recently reported using Illumina amplicon sequencing of seawater\textsuperscript{21} or the 37,470 OTUs estimated from metagenomic sequencing of the global Tara Oceans samples\textsuperscript{23}, with the higher richness in the latter two studies likely explained by the inclusion of deep-water samples. Remarkably though, richness estimates show that a single sponge species can harbour as many different
OTUs as might be expected from the surrounding seawater. For example, *Carteriospongia foliacea* and *Ircinia variabilis* \((n \geq 50\) individuals across their sampled biogeographic distribution) contain more than 12,000 OTUs (Fig. 4). Similar richness projections were observed for the species *Cliona delitrix*, *Ircinia strobilina*, *Ircinia oros*, *Mycale laxissima*, *Plakortis halichondrioides*, *Sarcotragus fasciculatus*, *Xestospongia sp.* and *Xestospongia muta*, which were each sampled between 20 and 50 times (Fig. 4).

Limited overlap in microbiome structure was observed between different sponge species or between sponges and the seawater and sediment samples (Fig. 5). Thus, considering all OTUs discovered across the 804 sponge samples that included 81 different species, richness estimates approach a value of 40,000 OTUs (Fig. 4b). The 81 sponge species analysed here represent only a tiny fraction of the 8,553 described sponge species (and likely a higher number across many sponge species). Importantly, the degree distribution for the subset of OTUs belonging to previously defined sponge-specific sequence clusters follows the same distribution as the whole (see below).

**Symbiont communities consist of generalists and specialists.** To better understand the distribution of symbionts across the Porifera, we constructed a global bipartite network using the associations between OTUs and individual sponge species. The structure of this network differs greatly from what would be expected if connections between sponges and OTUs were randomly assigned (Fig. 6). This suggests that assembly mechanisms (such as ecological and evolutionary processes) are behind the structure of this network of interactions, as has been suggested for other types of networks of ecological interactions\(^\text{25}\).

The cumulative probability of finding an OTU in the network with \(k\) or less-associated hosts revealed a skewed degree distribution following a truncated power-law with an exponential cutoff at 7.44, almost half the number of host species an OTU is expected to interact with (the average number of hosts a given OTU is found in is 12.13) (Fig. 6). This shows that the majority of symbiont OTUs have a small number of connections and only a few OTUs are very well connected. The majority of OTUs are thus specialists (that is, found in only one or a few sponge species), while only a few are truly cosmopolitan (that is, found across many sponge species). Importantly, the degree distribution for the subset of OTUs belonging to previously defined sponge-specific sequence clusters follows the same distribution as the whole (see below).
The cumulative probability of finding a sponge host with \( k \) or less-associated OTUs also follows a skewed degree distribution with exponential decay. A large fraction (> 50%) of species harbour a symbiont diversity between \( \sim 60 \) and \( \sim 1,800 \) distinct taxa, while a small fraction of sponge species can harbour up to \( \sim 7,000 \) OTUs (see also above). Skewed degree distributions have been identified in several types of ecological networks, and are linked to important properties of ecological communities, such as their robustness to species loss and their stability over time.

Our results suggest that ecological communities formed between microbial symbions and their sponge hosts display similar patterns, which may be linked to their ability to maintain important functions at both the host and ecosystem levels.

To further investigate the specialization of OTUs in our interaction network, we analysed how consistently they are found across individual replicates of any given host species. Both highly specialized (defined here as those found in less than five different host species) and generalist OTUs (defined here as those found in more than 50 different host species) are present in a large fraction of the biological replicates of their respective host species (Fig. 7; Supplementary Fig. 2). In contrast, a large proportion of OTUs with an intermediate degree of host association (between 5 and 50 host species) can be considered as opportunistic taxa, associated with only a few biological replicates of multiple host species. Thus, symbiont communities within the phylum Porifera are characterized by a combination of highly generalist and truly specialist community members. Our analysis showed that generalists are cosmopolitan not only qualitatively (that is, present in a large number of species), but also quantitatively (that is, consistently present in a large fraction of individuals of those host species). To our knowledge, such patterns have not previously been observed for ecological networks, as it has traditionally been difficult to undertake repeated measures of many individuals across multiple host species.

**Generalist symbionts comprise the core sponge microbiome.** Considering the existence of generalist (that is, cosmopolitan) sponge OTUs, we queried their relative contribution to the core microbiome of any individual species. Here we define a core membership as any OTU that is present in at least 85% of the replicates for any single host species. To effectively model population dynamics of these OTUs, we identified host species with a sufficiently large number of replicates (here \( \geq 47 \)) across the entire data set. We identified five host species (Carteriospongia foliacea, Cliona delitrix, Ircinia oros, Ircinia variabilis and Sarcotragus fasciculatus) that fit this requirement and observed cores ranging in size from 7 to 20 OTUs. The proportion of OTUs with a certain degree (number of connections to different sponge species) or higher, and the frequency distribution of degrees were compared for all OTUs present in the global bipartite network and the aggregated subset of OTUs present in all five core microbiomes (Fig. 8). The core OTUs aggregated from all five sponge species showed an uneven distribution of degree frequencies. Core OTUs are primarily generalist and cosmopolitan (high degree) OTUs, while specialist (low degree) and intermediate degree OTUs are under-represented. This shows that highly connected OTUs in the global bipartite network also tend to comprise a larger fraction of the core for each of the host species investigated here.

**Strong density dependence and weak, unidirectional interactions.** Of particular interest is whether these core OTUs and their local interactions are important for the overall dynamics of the symbiont populations within each host species. For instance, density dependence (that is, the growth rate of a population is controlled by its density) has a strong effect on community dynamics, with stabilizing effects on population fluctuations. To disentangle the complex nature of microbe–microbe interactions within our five sponge hosts described above, we applied a statistical framework that models population dynamics of the Lotka–Volterra type and allows us to decouple the variation in relative abundance of populations into contributions of (i) inter-specific interactions, (ii) density dependence and (iii) environmental stochasticity. Population dynamics are sensitive to both non-modelled environmental stochasticity and modelled fluctuating environmental conditions. However, in this study, the environment is considered as fixed due to replicates being sampled from similar environments during the same time period (see Supplementary Data 2 and also (ref. 28) for temporal stability of symbions in Ircinia oros, Ircinia variabilis and Sarcotragus fasciculatus), hence population dynamics are considered to be influenced solely by environmental stochastic processes and species interactions.

Density-dependent processes were found to explain the majority of variation in the relative abundance of core OTUs across biological replicates, followed by stochastic mechanisms (Supplementary Table 1). Only a small proportion of variance (3–8% across hosts) is explained by inter-specific interactions (Supplementary Table 1). It should, however, be noted that the contribution of inter-specific interactions may be larger because we are missing those interactions excluded from the cores (that is, interactions with more opportunistic OTUs).

Although inter-specific interactions contribute little to the dynamics of the core microbiomes, it is still important to investigate the nature and strength of these interactions as, for example, antagonism (that is, competition) and mutualism are known to differ in how they affect population and community

---

**Figure 7 | Prevalence of symbionts across different degrees of host-specificity.** Number of host species (degree) containing a given bacterial OTU in the bipartite sponge versus symbiont OTU network plotted against the fraction of individual samples where each OTU has been found among all the samples from their known host species. Each point represents an OTU and the red line is a smoothing spline fit to the data (see Methods). Blue dots represent OTUs that belong to sponge-specific sequence clusters (see Results, section ‘Sponge-associated diversity is enriched in specific sequence clusters’).
Both empirical and theoretical studies in community ecology demonstrate that distributions skewed towards many weak and a few strong interactions enhance both population and community stability, and may arise during the assembly of persistent communities. Similarly, mutualism or skewed interactions only affecting one interacting partner (that is, amensalism and commensalism) have been shown to promote diversity and lead to community stability.

A number of indices were calculated for each core microbiome (Supplementary Table 2). Despite some variability in OTU number and linkages across different hosts, connectance (defined as the fraction of realized links among all possible links) was consistently low, ranging between 4.5 and 7.5%. We find that all cores are characterized by very few strong and many weak interactions (Supplementary Fig. 3). Moreover, cores are distinguished by a mixture of positive and negative interactions with amensalism and commensalism as a signature rather than competition and/or mutualism (Fig. 9 illustrates this using the example of \textit{Ircinia oros}; see Supplementary Figs 4–7 for further details and other sponge species). Across hosts, we observe that the most probable links are generally negative, although as the core size increases, the fraction of positive inter-specific interactions increases. Interestingly, we find that some OTUs, which are highly connected in the global bacteria-sponge (bipartite) network, are also highly connected within the core network. This suggests that OTUs that are present in a large number of different host species tend to be important for population dynamics within each particular host.

The low connectance, weak, and amensal and/or commensal interactions, together with strong density dependence found in most sponge species, suggest that symbiont communities in the phylum Porifera have stable cores. However, whether these stable cores play a role in the dynamics of remaining OTUs within individual microbiomes, and more importantly, whether this stability guarantees the homeoeostasis of host functionality requires further investigation.

\textbf{Sponge microbiomes are enriched in specific sequence clusters.} Many of the microbes inhabiting sponges have previously been found to fall into monophyletic clusters of ‘sponge-specific’ or ‘sponge- and coral-specific’ 16S rRNA gene sequences, with these clusters spanning 14 bacterial and archaeal phyla. The ecological and evolutionary significance of these monophyletic clusters remains unclear, yet it is noteworthy that this phenomenon has not been reported outside the phylum Porifera. Over 43% of all sponge-derived sequences from this global sponge analysis were assigned to previously defined monophyletic sponge-specific clusters. However, using deep sequencing and our extensive sampling, 2.7% of seawater sequences and 8.7% of sediment sequences were also assigned to these clusters, demonstrating some clusters are not strictly ‘sponge-specific’, but better described as ‘sponge-enriched’ (Supplementary Fig. 8). Importantly, these clusters contain generalists, specialists and opportunists (Fig. 7) indicating that...
the sponge-specific/enriched microbial sequence clusters have evolved multiple times, either early (that is, core) or late (that is, specialists and opportunists) in the assembly of symbiont communities.

Host phylogeny and identity structure symbiont communities. Environmental and host factors are known to influence the composition of host-associated communities\textsuperscript{12,36,37}; however, the impact of host evolutionary history on the structure and composition of symbiont communities has only recently been explored\textsuperscript{37}. Considering the phylogenetic breadth of sponge species sampled here, we were able to evaluate the relationship between host phylogeny and microbial diversity. Diversity was assessed using the inverse Simpson's index ($D$), while Blomberg's $K$ was calculated using the phylosignal function in the R package picante\textsuperscript{38} (Fig. 10; see Methods for details). $K$ values of 1

Figure 10 | Phylogenetic signal of the inverse Simpson’s index ($D$). In this multi-gene phylogeny of host sponge species, 100% Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) are indicated by black circles at internal nodes, while grey circles indicate 95–99% PP. Nodes with < 95% PP are not labelled. Black circles at the tips of the phylogeny are sized in proportion to the mean value of $D$ calculated for the symbiotic microbial community associated with each host species. Multiple clades of sponges contain either high (for example, Aplysina) or low (for example, Mycale) values of $D$. 
correspond to a random process, values closer to zero correspond to patterns of convergent or random evolution and values > 1 indicate phylogenetic conservatism. We observed a significant value of $K$ for the inverse Simpson’s index (K = 0.151, P = 0.027), supporting a significant host evolutionary signal. Pagel’s lambda was calculated to further compare the similarity of covariances among species with the covariances expected, given a random process. The lambda value of 0.216 (AICc = 623.3; with $x$ fixed at 0, AICc = 627.0) was significantly larger than what would be expected if there were no phylogenetic signal. Combined, these findings indicate a significant signal of convergent evolution in community structure, whereby sponges hosting more diverse communities are more phylogenetically related than expected by chance.

Beta-diversity analysis of symbiont communities (using Bray–Curtis distance) also indicated significant differences among species, with the factor ‘host species’ accounting for ~ 64% of the observed variation among specimens. A partial Mantel test showed that host phylogeny was significantly correlated with Bray–Curtis distance (r = 0.442, R² = 0.195, P = 0.001), as was host identity (r = 0.706, R² = 0.498, P = 0.001). Testing for the effect of host phylogeny given host identity greatly reduced the explanatory power of host phylogeny (r = 0.223, R² = 0.050, P = 0.001), although host phylogeny still had a significant effect.

Overall, the evolutionary history of the host plays a significant role in structuring the diversity of symbiont communities, but only a minor role in structuring community composition (that is, identity of microbial symbionts), where host identity (reflective of species-level forces) is the more important determinant. Thus, the evolutionary history of the host exerts a significant influence on microbial diversity despite strong selective forces for divergent evolutionary history of the host is undoubtedly a driving force in this process, we show here that host phylogeny primarily impacts the complexity rather than the composition of the symbiont community. These findings further support a model of convergent evolution in symbiont communities across the entire host phylum.

Methods

Sampling and sample processing. Samples were taken and processed according to the standard operating procedures to ensure maximum comparability. In brief, at least three specimens of each sponge species were inspected and sampled for sequencing. Symbiont species and identities were confirmed by microscopy examination of morphological characters following established protocols (for example, as reviewed in ref. 43). Specimens were either processed directly or after freezing, depending on logistical constraints of each sampling event. Specimens were cleaned of external growth (for example, barnacles), washed three times with sterile seawater to remove planktonic or loosely associated microorganisms and cut into small pieces from which a random sub-sample of pieces was used for subsequent DNA extraction. Sediment samples were collected under water in close proximity to sponges. Sediments were scooped into sterile containers using sterile spatulas to 0.1 mL in volume. Samples were preserved in 96% ethanol (v/v) on-site and brought back to the laboratory for further analysis.

Calculation of community metrics. Rarefaction curves were generated using the R package vegan 2.2-1 (ref. 53). Inter-sample rarefaction curves were generated by moth (rarefaction.shared). Distances of the samples in a group (spoon species,
Seawater or sediment) and their respective group centroids were calculated based on Bray–Curtis distances by the function betadisper from the vegan package in R (ref. 53). Richness indicators (Chao, Ace, Sobs) were also calculated with vegan. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling was calculated with vegan package based on Hellinger transformed OTU counts. Taxonomic profiles were obtained based on Greengenes, which provided more phylum-level assignments than the SILVA or RDP databases. Briefly, percentage OTU counts averaged by species environment with the package analogue 0.16-0 (ref. 35). Phylogenic percentages were calculated by summing averaged OTU percentages. Bray–Curtis dissimilarities were calculated and heatmap was obtained using the package pheatmap 1.0.7 (ref. 56).

**Sponge-bacteria bipartite network analysis.** A bipartite interaction network was constructed using the presence of specific OTUs within each of the sponge species in the 47 replicates. OTUs were considered part of the network only if they were found in at least 25 distinct samples from the whole data set. In this bipartite host–microbe interaction network, nodes represent sponge species (on one side) and OTUs (on the other); and links among them represent the presence of an OTU in the microbial community of the sponges to which it is linked. The network was constructed using a software script developed in R using the package igraph 0.7.1 (ref. 57) and interrogated using statistical tools to describe its properties.

The degree distribution of sponges and OTUs was analysed to assess the heterogeneity of the network in terms of node connectivity. Degree distributions depict the statistical probability distribution of finding nodes with a certain degree (number of other nodes it is connected to). A variant of the degree distribution was employed: the cumulative degree distribution, which has the same probability distribution, but shows the probability of finding nodes with that degree or less. These probability distributions (one for the OTUs and another for the sponges) were obtained using the `cumsum` function in R (ref. 58). In addition, we fitted truncated power-law functions to the extrapolation of the OTUs and sponges cumulative degree distributions, respectively. This was achieved using the non-linear least squares (nls) function provided by R. This analysis reveals the pattern of connectivity between sponges and OTUs and facilitates determination of the balance between generalist and specialist species. The thresholds for specialization and generalism were chosen arbitrarily, but following basic requirements for this type of network analysis. First, neither of the groups contains the parameter that provides the characteristic scale at which the exponential cutoff occurs in the truncated power-law distribution. In our case, this value is 7.44 (Pc(k) = k−α exp(−k/C0), α > 0), so specialists need to have a number of links below that threshold while generalist species should have a number of links that exceed this number—for this purpose we selected seven times this number. Second, the average number of links in the specialist and generalist groups should be very different from the mean number of links in the network, and the difference in this ratio (mean group/mean network) should be similar for both groups. The mean number of network links is 12.13, the mean number of links for specialists is 2.5 and for generalists is 60, with specialists thus having a mean number of links ~4.47 times smaller than the average, and generalists 4.66 times larger than the mean.

The relationship between the fraction of samples within which a given OTU is found and a particular sponge species versus the total number of sponges in which that OTU was found (degree of the OTU in the network) was also assessed. This was achieved by obtaining the fraction of sponge samples in which a given OTU was found out of all the samples available for the sponge hosts to which that OTU is connected in the host–microbe network. This information was plotted against the degree of the OTU. It is a better visualizer of what happens to OTU (interaction strength), and vice versa. Using all information in zji, we constructed a representative network for each host species as a mean of visualizing the most ‘credible’ network structure. This was done by mapping the posterior average number of links onto zji and in doing so, extracting the links with the highest probability of non-zero interactions. This was done by custom-written R scripts. As a way of validating the structure of each representative network, we compared the connectance of each representative network with the posterior average connectance for zji for each host species. The representative networks was plotted using the igraph package in R.

**Identification of sponge-specific and sponge/coral-specific clusters.** A representative sequence from each OTU was taxonomically assigned using a BLAST search against the ARB-SILVA database containing 178 previously identified sponge-specific clusters (SC) and 32 sponge/coral-specific clusters (SCC)14. For each BLAST search, the 10 best hits were aligned to determine sequence similarities. The most similar OTU sequence to the respective reference sequence within the database was then assigned (or otherwise) to an SC or SCC used for the calculation of 75% similarity threshold (that is, a sequence read was only assigned to a cluster if it was more similar to the members of that cluster than sequences outside the cluster and its similarity to the most similar sequence within that cluster was above 75%). In cases where the assignment of the most similar sequences was inconsistent, a majority rule was applied, and the OTU sequence was only assigned to an SC or SCC if at least 60% of the reference sequences were affiliated with this cluster.

**Phylogenetic analysis of host species and correlation with symbiont communities.** Our phylogenetic analysis considered 61 sponge species for which at least one of three gene sequences (small subunit of nuclear ribosomal RNA [18S], large subunit of nuclear ribosomal RNA [28S] or mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 [cox1]) could be obtained from GenBank. For 39 of the 61 species (64%), sponge gene sequences were also obtained from 178 previously identified sponge-specific clusters (SC) and 32 sponge/coral-specific clusters (SCC)14. For each BLAST search, the 10 best hits were aligned to determine sequence similarities. The most similar OTU sequence to the respective reference sequence within the database was then assigned (or otherwise) to an SC or SCC used for the calculation of 75% similarity threshold (that is, a sequence read was only assigned to a cluster if it was more similar to the members of that cluster than sequences outside the cluster and its similarity to the most similar sequence within that cluster was above 75%). In cases where the assignment of the most similar sequences was inconsistent, a majority rule was applied, and the OTU sequence was only assigned to an SC or SCC if at least 60% of the reference sequences were affiliated with this cluster.

Where log(N0a) and P0 represent offsets to model rates instead of counts. Both correspond to the total abundance in replicate m, but the latter is treated as a random normal variable with a mean of zero and a s.d. of 100. ri is assumed to be ~N(0, 10), while ki ~Exp(1) to limit ki to positive values. The total variance $\sum_{i} V_i$ of individual OTU abundances can be decomposed into additive contributions from inter-specific interactions, density dependence and stochasticity, respectively.

$$V_i = \left( \frac{r_i}{N} \right)^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{ij} v_{ij} + \left( \frac{r_i}{N} \right)^2 v_{ii} + \sigma_i^2$$

where $v_{ij}$ is the stationary variance for $n_i$. From this, the proportion of variance attributed to each source of variability can be calculated (see ref. 27 for details).

We used Gibbs variable selection method to constrain the model to only own inter-specific interaction coefficients, $a_{ij}$, for which there are strong support in the data. This is achieved by introducing a binary indicator variable $g_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$, and assuming $g_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(P)$, such that $g_{ij} = 1$ when species $i$ is included in the dynamics of species $j$. $g_{ii} = 0$ otherwise. Where there is low support for the inter-specific interaction in the data, $g_{ij} = 0$, and the interaction is excluded from the model. When $g_{ij} = 1$, $x_{ij}$ is freely estimated from the data. A P of 0.1 was selected as we do not expect more than 10% of all possible inter-specific interactions to be realized.

We use Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation methods in R using the runjags package to sample from the joint posterior distribution of the model parameters. We ran 10 independent chains with dispersed initial values for 5e6 iterations, discarding the first 2e6 samples of each chain as burn-in and thinned the remainder to every 50th sample. We evaluated convergence of model parameters with visually inspecting trace and density plots. In addition, we used data points from $x_{ij}$ we calculated the number of jumps $y_{ij}$ did between its two states (0 and 1).

Finally, to build the representative networks, we analysed the interaction and sign structure of the posterior distribution for the interaction coefficient $a_{ij}$, $x_{ij}$ is a full probability distribution, hence it contains the probability of OTU $i$ having a non-zero per capita capita effect on the growth of OTU $j$ (interaction strength), and vice versa. Using all information in $z_{ij}$, we constructed a representative network for each host species as a mean of visualizing the most ‘credible’ network structure. This was done by mapping the posterior average number of links onto $z_{ij}$ and in doing so, extracting the links with the highest probability of non-zero interactions. This was done by custom-written R scripts. As a way of validating the structure of each representative network, we compared the connectance of each representative network with the posterior average connectance for $z_{ij}$ for each host species. The representative networks was plotted using the igraph package in R.

**Bacteria-bacteria network analysis.** For the bacteria–bacteria network analysis, we focused on host species with more than 47 individual replicates. If more than 47 replicates were available, we randomly subsampled 47 replicates. Cores were created for each host species by extracting OTUs occurring in at least 85% of the 47 replicates and were further filtered by removing OTUs with a relative abundance <0.01. This was done by using filter.shared(minpercentsamples = 85, minpercent = 1, makeare = f) in mothur v.1.31.2. The statistical model developed in ref. 27 for inferring interactions from temporal series data was adapted to substitute for space such that spatial replicates for each host were used rather than temporal samples. If we denote $n_{im}$ as the natural logarithm of $N_{im}$, then on a natural logarithmic scale we have the number of sequences of core OTU $i$ in replicate $m$ within any given host species described by

$$n_{im} = N_{im} = n_{i,m-1} + r_i - \left( \sum_{j \neq i} n_{j,m-1} + r_j \right)$$

where $r_i$ and $k_i$ represent the intrinsic growth rate and the carrying capacity of OTU $i$, respectively, $x_{ij}$ represent the interaction coefficient between OTU $i$ and $j$ and expresses the per capita effect of OTU $j$ on the growth rate of OTU $i$ from replicate $m$. Finally, $r_i$, $k_i$, represent the effect of the environment on the growth rate of OTU $i$, and $g_{ij}$ represents the interaction coefficient between OTU $i$ and $j$. The phylogeny was constructed with MrBayes version 3.2.1 (ref. 64), using the Gblocks Server to eliminate non-conserved regions; the resulting three alignments were then concatenated using the Geneious software (version 6.1.8, Biomatters Limited).
Data availability. Processed sequences can be downloaded from the following portal: http://qiita.microbio.me (Study ID 10346). Sequence data have been deposited in the BioProject database under accession code PRJEB11983 and in the European Nucleotide Archive under accession code ERP013416. The authors declare that all other data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files.
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