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ABSTRACT

We report the optical discovery of the companion to the 2 $M_{\odot}$ millisecond pulsar PSR J1614–2230. The optical colors show that the 0.5 $M_{\odot}$ companion is a 2.2 Gyr old He–CO white dwarf. We infer that $M$ during the accretion phase is $<10^{-2} M_{\odot}$. We show that the pulsar was born with a spin close to its current value, well below the rebirth line. The spin-down parameters, the mass of the pulsar, and the age of the system challenge the simple recycling model for the formation of millisecond pulsars.
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1. PSR J1614–2230

PSR J1614–2230, a 3.15 ms pulsar, was discovered in a radio survey of unidentified EGRET gamma-ray sources using the Parkes Radio Telescope (Hessels et al. 2005). Subsequently, X-ray emission from XMM-Newton (Roberts et al. 2007) and $\gamma$-ray emission from Fermi Gamma-Ray Large Area Space Telescope (Abdo et al. 2010) detected. Like most millisecond pulsars (MSPs), PSR J1614–2230 is in a binary system. The circular orbit is consistent with the pulsar having undergone mass transfer and spun up. The mass function derived from pulsar timing indicated a companion with mass $M_2 > 0.4 M_{\odot}$ (Hessels et al. 2005).

The system recently came into prominence when Demorest et al. (2010) reported the mass of the pulsar to be $1.97 \pm 0.04 M_{\odot}$. The detection of such a massive neutron star (NS) places very strong constraints on the equation of state of matter at extreme nuclear densities (see, for example, Lattimer & Prakash 2004, 2005). The rather exquisite precision of this mass measurement was possible due to the orbit being almost perpendicular to the plane of the sky. As a result, the Shapiro delay caused by the companion is very large, resulting in a precise estimate of the mass of the companion, $M_2 = 0.500 \pm 0.006 M_{\odot}$. The 8.7 day orbital period is significantly shorter than $\sim 120$ days expected for a low-mass X-ray binary with such a massive secondary (Rappaport et al. 1995)—suggesting a peculiar evolutionary history for this binary.

Given the importance of the result of Demorest et al. (2010) additional verification or consistency checks of physical parameters of PSR J1614–2230 can be expected to be of some value. A 0.5 $M_{\odot}$ white dwarf (WD) at the inferred distance of PSR J1614–2230 ($d \sim 1.2$ kpc), even if a few Gyr old, is within the reach of present-day optical telescopes. It is this search for the WD that constitutes the principal focus of this Letter.

2. OBSERVATIONS AT THE W. M. KECK OBSERVATORY

We observed PSR J1614–2230 (Figure 1) in $g$ and $R$ bands using the imaging mode of the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrograph (LRIS) on the 10 m Keck-I telescope (Oke et al. 1995), with upgraded red1 and blue cameras (McCarthy et al. 1998; Steidel et al. 2004). Several images were acquired at each target location, dithering the telescope by small amounts between each exposure. The observing conditions on UT 2010 May 15 were poor (seeing $1''$-$4''$), so only data acquired on UT 2010 July 8 ($R$ band seeing 0.85 FWHM) were used in this analysis. The total exposure on this night was 960 s in the $R$ band and 1010 s in the $g$ band. The plate scale is 0.135 pixel$^{-1}$ for both cameras.

The images were processed using IRAF. After bias correction and flat fielding, cosmic rays were rejected using L.A.Cosmic (van Dokkum 2001). The images were then aligned with xregister and averaged to produce the final image for each band (see Figure 1). A World Coordinate System was calculated using USNO-B1.0 stars in the field, with the imcoords package. Using 33 stars in the field, for the final $R$-band image we obtained an rms error of 0.14 in right ascension (R.A.) and 0.20 in declination, adding up to a radial error of 0.24. For the final $g$-band image, the residuals were 0.13 and 0.18 for R.A. and declination, respectively, giving a total error of 0.22.

We measured fluxes with aperture photometry using the IDL APHOT package. For each night, we measured the seeing (FWHM) and set the aperture to 1 seeing radius. We extracted the sky from an annulus in the science field (Figure 1). We do not detect a proposed X-ray counterpart are summarized in Table 2.

3. DETECTION OF AN OPTICAL COUNTERPART

In the vicinity of the nominal pulsar position, we find a faint source (labeled “P”) in the $R$ band (Figure 1). We do not detect anything within 1″ of the target in the $g$ band. The optical coordinates of this source, the timing position of the pulsar, and a proposed X-ray counterpart are summarized in Table 2. To compare this with the source location, we first have to correct for...
the 33 mas yr$^{-1}$ proper motion of the source. The LRIS source P is about 0\arcsec.50 south of the pulsar position (extrapolated for the epoch of LRIS observations). Given the 0\arcsec.24 (1$\sigma$) astrometric uncertainty of the optical images, this position is consistent with the location of the pulsar.

The density of objects brighter than star P in this field is 0.02 arcsec$^{-2}$. Using the 0\arcsec.85 seeing FWHM as the mean diameter of stars, we calculate a false identification probability of 1%. The excellent astrometric coincidence and the low probability of chance coincidence embolden us to suggest that star P is the optical counterpart of PSR J1614$-$2230.

Counterpart P is located only 4\arcsec.2 from the 16.3 mag star USNO-B1.0 0674-0429635 and is contaminated by the flux in the wings of its point-spread function. The proximity to this bright source will bias both the photometry and the astrometry of the counterpart. To calculate the bias, we injected fake
Gaussian sources with FWHM matched to the seeing and brightness comparable to the faint object. We then measured the coordinates and magnitude of the injected source using the same procedure as for the faint object. We find that for separations \( \approx 4\)′′, the recovered position is systematically pulled toward the bright star by \( 0.1-0.2\)′′. This is small enough that we do not apply this correction. The same exercise led us to derive the photometric bias. The de-biased \( R\)-band magnitude of \( P\) is \( m_R = 24.3 \pm 0.1\).

To infer properties of the WD, we need to calculate its absolute magnitude. To calculate the optical extinction, we assume that the ratio \( N_H/N_e\) is constant along the line of sight in the direction of PSR J1614–2230. The dispersion measure (DM) for PSR J1614–2230 is 34.5 pc cm\(^{-3}\) (Demorest et al. 2010), and the total DM in this direction is 104 pc cm\(^{-3}\) (Cordes & Lazio 2002)—about a factor of three higher than the pulsar DM. This implies that the pulsar is behind approximately one-third of the galactic-absorbing column. We can then scale the total \( R\)-band extinction in this direction (\( A_R,\text{total} = 0.65\)); Schlegel et al. (1998) to get \( A_R = 0.22\). We assume \( \lambda_{\text{eff}} = 0.47 \mu\text{m}\) for the \( g\) band,\(^4\) and use the standard reddening law with \( R_V = 3.1\) to get \( A_V = 0.34\) (Cox 2000).

Demorest et al. (2010) use the DM to estimate that PSR J1614–2230 is at a distance \( d = 1.2\) kpc. For this distance, the extinction-corrected \( R\)-band absolute magnitude is \( M_R = 13.7 \pm 0.1\). Furthermore, they place a lower limit of 900 pc on the distance, using pulsar parallax from timing measurements. The corresponding absolute magnitude is \( M_R = 14.3 \pm 0.1\).

### 4. PULSAR AGE AND BIRTH SPIN PERIOD

The measured mass (0.5 \( M_{\odot}\)) and the inferred absolute magnitude (\( M_R \approx 13.7\)) when applied to WD cooling models (Chabrier et al. 2000) lead to an age of \( t_{\text{WD}} \sim 2.2\) Gyr. For such a WD, the expected absolute magnitude in other bands is \( M_B = 14.6\) and \( M_V = 14.1\). This gives \( m_B \approx 25.0\), consistent with our non detection.

As per the standard evolutionary model for MSPs, the NS in PSR J1614–2230 was spun up by accretion from a low- or intermediate-mass companion star. The accretion stopped when the companion decoupled from its Roche lobe and became a WD. At this point, the MSP started spinning down by radiating energy. The spin-down age of the MSP is thus equal to the cooling down age of the WD.

The period of the pulsar at birth \( (P_{\text{init}})\), its spin-down age \( (\tau)\), present-day period \( (P)\), and period derivative \( (\dot{P})\) are related to each other as follows:

\[
\tau = \frac{P}{(n - 1)\dot{P}} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{P_{\text{init}}}{P} \right)^{(n-1)} \right],
\]

where \( n \) is the “braking index” for the pulsar, with \( n = 3\) appropriate for a dipole radiating into vacuum. Thus, the period at birth is given by

\[
P_{\text{init}} = P \left[ 1 - \tau \left( \frac{n - 1}{P} \right)^{1/(n-1)} \right].
\]

The measured period derivative of a pulsar \( (\dot{P}_{\text{obs}})\) is always higher than its true period derivative \( (\dot{P})\) owing to transverse

---

**Table 1**

| ID | R.A. \( \alpha - 16^h14^m \) | Declination \( \delta \) | \( m_R^3 \) | \( m_V \) |
|----|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| A  | 36°59'(8)       | 29°18'78''(11) | 17.94(8)    | 18.73(3)    |
| B  | 36°29'(7)       | 29°31'57''(7)  | 17.71(8)    | 18.38(3)    |
| C  | 35°58'(9)       | 30°18'70''(10) | 19.76(10)   | 20.41(4)    |
| D  | 34°39'(5)       | 30°12'11''(12) | 19.15(8)    | 21.24(4)    |
| E  | 35°66           | 31°04'17''     | 21.38(9)    | 22.04(5)    |
| F  | 34°92(16)       | 30°59'46''(2)  | 20.18(9)    | 21.36(5)    |
| G  | 37°50(0)        | 30°43'69''(3)  | 20.71(8)    | 21.87(4)    |

**Notes.** Stars A–G were used as reference stars for photometry. Right ascension and declination were obtained from USNO-B1.0 unless otherwise specified.

\(^3\) \( R\)-band magnitudes calculated using SDSS magnitudes and Jester et al. (2005) transformation equations (Section 2). The numbers in parenthesis do not include a 0.03 mag uncertainty in absolute calibration due to the transformations.

\(^b\) Coordinates obtained from our final \( R\)-band science image. The World Coordinate System for this image was calculated using a total of 33 USNO-B1.0 stars (Section 2).

---

**Table 2**

| Method | Epoch  | Ecliptic Longitude (\( \lambda \)) | Ecliptic Latitude (\( \beta \)) | R.A. \( \alpha - 16^h14^m \) | Declination \( \delta \) |
|--------|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Timing | J2005.63 | 245.7827556(5)                   | -1.25674(2)                   | 36°50'(51)      | 31°08'(7)       |
| Timing | J2000.00 | 245.78286025(12)                 | -1.25669(7)                   | 36°50'(34)      | 30°90(04)       |
| Timing | J2007.32 | 245.78828015(6)                  | -1.25675(2)                   | 36°50(56)       | 31°13(2)        |
| Chandra\(^a\) | J2007.32 | …                               | …                             | 36°50(15)       | 31°33(20)       |
| Timing | J2010.51 | 245.78828886(11)                 | -1.25675(5)                   | 36°50(57)       | 31°22(3)        |
| LRIS   | J2010.51 | …                               | …                             | 36°50(16)       | 31°72(20)       |

**Notes.** The proper motion of the pulsar as obtained from timing observations is \( \mu_{\alpha} = 9.79(7)\) mas yr\(^{-1}\), \( \mu_{\delta} = -30(3)\) mas yr\(^{-1}\). This proper motion is used to estimate the elliptic coordinates of the pulsar at the epoch of the Chandra and LRIS observations. The right ascension and declination are calculated from elliptic coordinates using the Euler program in IDL. The equinox in all cases is J2000.

\(^a\) Bore-sight corrected coordinates. The target and the reference source “E” are detected in the Chandra image. We extract source coordinates using celldetect. We assume that the Chandra coordinate system and our \( R\)-band coordinate solution are related by a simple offset with no rotation. Using the X-ray and \( R\)-band coordinates of source E, we calculate that the offset is \( \alpha_E - \alpha_R = 0''21\), \( \delta_E - \delta_R = -0''20\) and correct the target position using this offset.
motion (Shklovskii 1970). The corrected period derivative is \( \dot{P} = \dot{P}_{\text{obs}} - \mu \frac{d}{c} \), where \( d \) is the distance and \( \mu \) is the proper motion. Demorest et al. (2010) measure \( \mu = 32(3) \) mas yr\(^{-1}\) for PSR J1614–2230. Using the nominal distance \( d = 1.2 \) kpc, \( \dot{P} = 4.8 \times 10^{-22} \) ss\(^{-1}\).

The DM inferred distance is quite uncertain, so it is useful to consider the dependence of all parameters on distance. Figure 2 shows the range of values for the \( R \)-band absolute magnitude \( (M_R) \), the WD cooling age \( (T_{\text{WD}}) \), the intrinsic period derivative in the pulsar’s frame \( (P) \), and the initial spin period \( (P_{\text{init}}) \). Since there is no energy injection to the pulsar now, it must be currently spinning down. This implies \( \dot{P} \geq 0 \) and allows us to calculate an upper limit on the distance to the pulsar: \( d_{\text{max}} = 1540 \) pc \((1\sigma)\). The last panel in Figure 2 shows \( P_{\text{init}} \geq 2.75 \) ms. We conclude that the pulsar in PSR J1614–2230 must have been born with a period close to the current observed value.

The birth spin period of a NS is governed by an equilibrium between the ram pressure of the accreting material and the magnetic field. NSs spun up by accretion at the Eddington rate \( (M_{\text{edd}}) \) are reborn as MSPs on the “rebirth line” (Arzoumanian et al. 1999). Figure 3 shows this rebirth line on a \( P-P \) diagram, along with current positions of various pulsars from the ATNF database (Manchester et al. 2005). Also shown are rebirth lines for pulsars accreting at \( 10^{-1} M_{\text{edd}} \) and \( 10^{-2} M_{\text{edd}} \). For a pulsar radiating as a dipole, the \( P \dot{P} \) product remains constant through its lifetime. Thus, we can calculate the initial spin-down rate of the pulsar: \( \dot{P}_{\text{init}} = \frac{P \dot{P}}{P_{\text{init}}} \), where \( P_{\text{init}} \) comes from Equation (2). If PSR J1614–2230 is at 900 pc, then it would have been born with \( P_{\text{init}} = 3.1 \times 10^{-21} \) s s\(^{-1}\) and \( P_{\text{init}} = 2.84 \) ms. This value is indicated with a star. The pulsar then evolves toward the lower right to the circle marked “E.” Similarly, the birth parameters for the pulsar assuming \( d = 1.2 \) kpc are shown by the upward triangle—it is nearly coincident with the current parameters of the pulsar for this distance. Other possible birth locations for the pulsar lie along the solid line passing through the star and triangle. The gray region shows all possible positions that PSR J1614–2230 can have occupied in its lifetime. It is clear that the pulsar was born well below the rebirth line, and the mean accretion rate during the spin-up phase (the final major accretion phase) was lower than \( 10^{-2} M_{\text{edd}} \).

Two groups have run detailed simulations of the evolution of PSR J1614–2230. The Lin et al. (2011) model and the preferred model of Tauris et al. (2011) are qualitatively similar: the system begins as an intermediate-mass X-ray binary consisting of a NS and a \( \sim 4 M_{\odot} \) main-sequence secondary, which evolves to form a CO WD with an He envelope. The secondary accretes mass onto the NS in three phases. The first phase (A1) is a thermal timescale mass transfer at super-Eddington accretion rates, where the NS gains little mass. The next phase (A2) is on a nuclear timescale \((\sim 35 \) Myr\), when the secondary is burning H in the core and envelope. During this phase, the accretion rates are up to about a tenth of the Eddington limit. During the final accretion phase (phase AB), the secondary is burning He in its core and H in an envelope. This causes the radius of the donor

\[ P_{\text{max}} = \frac{P_{\text{obs}}}{1 + \frac{d}{c}} - \mu \frac{d}{c} \]

\[ P_{\text{init}} = \frac{P \dot{P}}{P_{\text{init}}} \]

\[ \dot{P} = \dot{P}_{\text{obs}} - \mu \frac{d}{c} \]
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Figure 3. Pulsar $P$–$\dot{P}$ diagram. Period derivatives are corrected for the Shklovskii effect. Some interesting systems are labeled. Filled symbols are pulsars in globular clusters, whose $\dot{P}$ may be affected by the dense environment. Circles denote NS binaries with mass measurements. The dashed lines are the pulsar rebirth lines $P = (M/M_{\text{edd}}) t^{2/3} \dot{P}^{1/3}$ (Arzoumanian et al. 1999) for $M/M_{\text{edd}} = 1$, $10^{-1}$, and $10^{-2}$. The location of PSR J1614–2230 on this plot depends on the distance (see Section 4). Were the pulsar to be at 900 pc, it would be born at the star symbol and evolve toward its present-day location, the hollow circle marked “E.” If instead it is at 1200 pc, the birth location is shown by the upward triangle, which also coincides with its present-day location. The solid curve passing through the star and the upward triangle denotes all possible birth locations for PSR J1614–2230. The system evolves through the shaded gray area to its present-day location on the vertical line through E and the upward triangle.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

star to expand, triggering accretion at near-Eddington rates for 5–10 Myr. The NS gains the most mass during this phase. For typical NS parameters, accreting $0.1–0.2 M_{\odot}$ is enough to spin them up millisecond periods (Kiziltan & Thorsett 2010). Hence, the near-Eddington accretion in phase AB should spin the pulsar up all the way to the rebirth line. This is inconsistent with our inferred birth position of PSR J1614–2230.

If the stellar evolution models are correct, then there is a problem with the standard formation scenario of MSPs (Radhakrishnan & Srinivasan 1982; Alpar et al. 1982). In essence, the birth period depends on factors other than the magnetic field strength and accretion rates. For instance, Bildsten (1998, 2003) proposes that accretion induces a quadrupole moment $Q$ in the NS. The NS then loses angular momentum by gravitational wave radiation. Since the magnetic fields do not play any significant role in this model, the rebirth line becomes irrelevant, and PSR J1614–2230 may start its life anywhere on the $P$–$\dot{P}$ diagram. In summary, the birth of PSR J1614–2230 away from the rebirth line requires reconsideration of angular momentum loss mechanisms.

Going forward, ongoing radio observations (pulsar timing and very long baseline interferometry) should improve the parallax and thereby decrease the uncertainty in the inferred $P$ and thus better locate the pulsar in the $P$–$\dot{P}$ diagram. The scattered light from light Q, while bothersome to the present observations, provides an opportunity to use adaptive optics to measure the near-IR fluxes of the WD. Grism spectroscopy with the Hubble Space Telescope can potentially reveal the presumed H+He layer posited by stellar evolutionary models of Tauris et al. (2011).
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