Land suitability assessment for *Lansium domesticum* cultivation on agroforestry land using matching method and geographic information system
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**Abstract.** Rahmawaty, Frastika S, Rauf A, Batubara R, Harahap FS. 2020. Land suitability assessment for *Lansium domesticum* cultivation on agroforestry land using matching method and geographic information system. Biodiversitas 21: 3683-3690. *Lansium domesticum* is one of the multipurpose tree species (MPTS) and is commonly found on agroforestry lands in Sumatra. This study aimed to evaluate the actual land suitability classes for *L. domesticum* and to map the potential land suitability for the species using matching method and geographic information system (GIS). The study was conducted in Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat District, North Sumatra, Indonesia. A survey was conducted to collect soil samples based on land units. Land unit information was obtained by overlaying soil map, land-use map, and slope map. Land suitability was evaluated based on the matching method and GIS was used to map the distribution of land suitability. The results showed that both the actual and potential land suitability classes based on matching approach for *L. domesticum* were moderately suitable (S2) which accounted for 88.95% of total land and marginally suitable (S3) which accounted for 11.05%. Availability of water (ww), erosion hazard (eh), root-zone medium (rc), oxygen availability (oa), and nutrient retention (nr) were the dominant limiting factors in this area. The most difficult constraints to manage were root-zone medium and water availability. The results of this study suggest that the development of *L. domesticum* in Sei Bingai is possible although it requires some land improvements to deal with the limiting factors.

**Keywords:** Agroforestry, GIS, land suitability, *Lansium domesticum*, multipurpose

**INTRODUCTION**

Land is a physical environment that comprises soil, climate, relief, hydrology, and vegetation, where these factors affect its potential uses. Land has characteristic, which is defined as environmental factors that can be measured or estimated in particular units such as slopes, rainfall, soil texture, and available water. There can be more than one effect of land characteristic on land quality, for example, soil texture could affect water availability, whether or not land is easily cultivated and erosion sensitivity (Ritung et al. 2011).  

Land evaluation is a process of assessing the potential of land quality based on the measurement of land characteristics for particular use, mainly for agricultural and cultivation purposes. The basic framework of the evaluation of land suitability is by comparing land characteristics required for particular land use (e.g. planting a crop) with the existing characteristics or quality of the land in question. The main purpose of land evaluation is to select an optimal land use for each specific land unit by considering physical, social and economic factors as well as the conservation of environmental resources for sustainable use (Arisyad 2010; Li et al. 2011; Bagdanavičiūtė and Valiūnas 2012; Budiharta et al. 2016; Ennaji et al. 2018).

Land use suitability is used as a basis for rational land use planning and decision-making. In doing this, Geographical Information System (GIS) has been commonly used to analyze the suitability of land in several studies (Rahmawaty et al. 2011, 2019a; Khormi and Kumar 2013; Satriawan et al. 2015; Wali et al. 2016; Nuarsa et al. 2018). One of the key advantages of using GIS is the process of evaluation and the presentation of results can be conducted in spatially explicit manner in the form of maps to show the spatial distribution of geographic features (Nie et al. 2016; Barakat et al. 2017). GIS is used for data collection, data storage, data analysis, and data manipulation of geographic references (Rahmawaty et al. 2015).

While there are various studies of the assessment of land suitability on popular agriculture, plantation, and forestry crop species, limited works are available for lesser-known species commonly cultivated on agroforestry land, including *Lansium domesticum* Corrêa, locally known as *duku* or *langsat*. *L. domesticum* is native to Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand and commonly found in South-East Asia. It has many varieties and grows particularly well in...
tropical climates with high humidity and shady environment. The fruit of *L. domesticum* is rich in vitamin B and phosphorus, carbohydrates, and protein (Munir et al. 2018). There are many studies on *L. domesticum* focused on various aspects, including agroecological aspect (Apuy et al. 2017; Hanum et al. 2013; Isfaeni et al. 2012; Mayanti et al. 2011), genetic variations (Yulita 2011), chemical contents and efficacity of the parts of the plant (Marfori et al. 2015; Yapp and Yap 2003; Wahyuni et al. 2014; Tilaar et al. 2008; Song et al. 2000; Saewan et al. 2006; Klungsupsya et al. 2015; Matsumoto et al. 2018) and morphological characterization (Salim et al. 2016).

Study of mapping land suitability of *L. domesticum* as an agroforestry plant has not been conducted, especially in Sumatra, a region where agroforestry is common land use. One area potential to be cultivated with *L. domesticum* is Langkat District, North Sumatra, Indonesia. This study aimed to evaluate the actual land suitability classes for *L. domesticum* and to map the potential land suitability for the species using matching method and geographic information system. We expected the results of this study can be used as reference when developing the cultivation of *L. domesticum* in the region.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study period and area**

This study was conducted from October 2018 to May 2019 in Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat District, North Sumatra Province. The Sub-district has an area of 333.17 km² and serves as a buffer zone of Gunung Leuser National Park. Geographically, Sei Bingai Sub-district is located between N 03°19′10″ to N 03°34′30″ and E 98°21′14″ to E 98°31′30″ at an altitude of 106 meters above sea level. Sei Bingai Sub-district consists of 16 villages and the research location was conducted in four villages: Telaga (53.38 km²), Gunung Ambat (19.07 km²), Simpang Kuta Buluh (5.79 km²) and Rumah Galuh (36.15 km²) (Figure 1).

**Data collection**

A survey was conducted to collect soil samples using a global positioning system in the field based on land unit. Land units were obtained by overlaying land use maps, soil maps, and slope map of this location. There were 10 land units in the studied area, each having its own characteristics in terms of temperature (*tc*), water availability (annual rainfall) (*wr*), oxygen availability (drainage) (*oa*), root-zone medium (texture, soil depth) (*rc*), nutrient retention (cation-exchange capacity, base saturation, pH, C-organic) (*nr*), slope and soil erosion (*eh*) and flood hazard (*fh*). Data for these variables were collected and evaluated according to the Land Suitability for Agricultural Plants published by the Centre for Soil and Agro-Climate Research, Bogor, Indonesia (Ritung et al. 2011). The highly suitable (S1) criteria for *L. domesticum* are as follows: *tc* is 25-28 °C, *wr* is 2,000-3,000 mm, *oa* (drainage) is well-drained, slope is less than 8% and erosion hazard is very low (Table 1).

**Data analysis**

The matching method was used to evaluate land suitability classification for *L. domesticum*. In principle, land suitability assessment is carried out by matching the existing characteristics of the land with the requirements of the plant. This study was used Leibig’s low law to determine the limiting factor affecting the land suitability class and subclass (Ritung et al. 2011). This method matches the data that have been obtained both from the field and the laboratory with land use requirements. The assessment and presentation of land suitability class results were classified as highly suitable (S1), moderately suitable (S2), marginally suitable (S3), and not suitable (N) (FAO 1976; Arsyad 2010; Rahmawaty et al. 2011). The analytical method used to explain the distribution of land suitability classes in this region was spatial analysis with the results of the accumulation of values for all parameters that will be overlaid with an administrative map. ArcGIS software was used to map land suitability classes (Rahmawaty et al. 2011).

![Map of research location and sampling points in Telaga, Gunung Ambat, Simpang Kuta Buluh and Rumah Galuh Villages in Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat District, North Sumatra Province, Indonesia](image-url)
Thus, information about suitable locations for *L. domesticum* was obtained. GIS was used to map the distribution of land suitability classes and to show the spatial distribution of land suitability classes. The ArcGIS software by ESRI was used in this study. Geoprocessing was used when doing the analysis of land suitability assessment. Geoprocessing is one of the ArcGIS extensions that has several functions in spatial analysis such as: dissolve, merge, clip, union, intersect, and spatial joint. In addition, in ArcGIS software, there were also facilities to layout and display the land suitability maps.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of actual land suitability of *L. domesticum* in the area by matching data of land characteristics collected from the field and laboratory and the criteria/requirements of *L. domesticum* as stated by Ritung et al. (2011) are presented in Table 2.

The result of matching (Table 2) shows that Land Unit I has the actual land suitability of moderately suitable (S2) with the limiting factors being water availability, nutrient retention, and erosion hazard (Table 1). Since nutrient retention and erosion hazard can be improved, the potential land suitability for Land Unit I could become S2 *wa*. As stated by Rahmawaty et al. (2020a), improvement efforts cannot be made for rainfall (*wa*). Land Unit II has the actual land suitability of marginally suitable (S3) with the limiting factor being root-zone medium (soil depth). This cannot be improved because it is a natural factor, so the potential land suitability for Land Unit II is still the same as actual land suitability (S3). This condition is similar to the research conducted by Rahmawaty et al. (2011) for oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) land suitability in the Besitang Watershed. Land Unit III has the actual land suitability of marginally suitable (S3) with the limiting factors being root-zone medium (soil depth) and erosion hazard. The root-zone medium cannot be improved because it is a natural factor, but the erosion hazard can be improved. Therefore, the potential land suitability for Land Unit III is still S3 with a limiting factor being the root-zone medium. This aligns with previous findings (Harahap et al. 2019) for oil palm (*E. guineensis*) in Pakpak Bharat District, North Sumatera Province. Land Unit IV has the actual land suitability of moderately suitable (S2) with the limiting factors being water availability, root-zone medium, nutrient retention, and erosion hazard.

Table 1. Land characteristics and land suitability criteria for *Lansium domesticum*

| Land characteristics | S1 | S2 | S3 | N |
|---------------------|----|----|----|---|
| Temperature (*tc*) (°C) | 25-28 | 28-32 | 32-35 | > 35 |
| Water availability (*wa*) | 2,000-3,000 | 1,750-2,000 | 1,250-1,750 | < 1,250 |
| Oxygen availability (*oa*) | Well drained, medium | Moderately poorly drained | Poorly drained, moderately excessively drained | Very poorly drained, excessively drained |
| Root zone medium (*rc*) Texture | Fine, moderately fine | Medium | Moderately coarse | Coarse |
| Soil depth (cm) | > 100 | 75-100 | 50-75 | < 50 |
| Nutrient retention (*nr*) CEC (me 100g⁻¹) | > 16 | 5-16 | < 5 | - |
| pH H₂O | 5.0-6.0 | 4.5-5.0 | < 4.5 | - |
| C-organik (%) | > 1.2 | 0.8-1.2 | < 0.8 | - |
| Erosion hazard (*eh*) Slope (%) | < 8 | 8-15 | > 15-40 | > 40 |
| Erosion hazard | Very low | Low-medium | High | Very high |
| Flood hazard (*fh*) High (cm) | - | 25 | 25-50 | > 50 |
| Duration of waterlogged (day) | - | < 7 | 7-14 | > 14 |

Note: S1: highly suitable, S2: moderately suitable, S3: marginally suitable, N: not suitable (Ritung et al. 2011)
Table 2. Actual land suitability evaluation using matching approach between the criteria of land characteristics required by *Lansium domesticum* and field/laboratory data in Land Unit I to X.

| Land characteristics | Field/Laboratory data and land suitability class |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                      | LU I        | LU II       | LU III      | LU IV       | LU V        | LU VI       | LU VII      | LU VIII     | LU IX       | LU X        |
| Temperature (tc) (°C)| 25-26 (S1) | 22-24 (S2) | 23-24 (S2) | 25-26 (S1) | 25-26 (S1) | 25-26 (S1) | 25-26 (S1) | 23-24 (S1) | 25-26 (S1) | 22-24 (S2) |
| Water availability (wa) | 2,000 (S2) | 2,010 (S1) | 2,010 (S1) | 2,000 (S2) | 2,000 (S2) | 2,000 (S2) | 2,000 (S2) | 2,010 (S1) | 2,000 (S2) | 2,010 (S1) |
| Drainage             | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) | moderately well-drained (S2) | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) | well-drained (S1) |
| Root-zone medium (rc) | MF (S1) | F (S1) | MC (S3) | MF (S1) | MF (S1) | MF (S1) | MF (S1) | MC (S3) | MF (S1) | MC (S3) |
| Soil depth (cm)       | 113 (S1) | 60 (S3) | 70 (S3) | 98 (S2) | 97 (S2) | 105 (S2) | 91.5 (S2) | 77.5 (S2) | 95.5 (S2) | 58.5 (S3) |
| Nutrient retention (nr) | 9.29 (S2) | 22.25 (S2) | 19.49 (S1) | 16.97 (S1) | 21.22 (S1) | 19.78 (S1) | 19.12 (S1) | 19.49 (S1) | 17.89 (S1) | 16.90 (S1) |
| CEC (me 100g⁻¹)       | 5.80 (S2) | 6.66 (S2) | 6.45 (S2) | 6.51 (S2) | 6.43 (S2) | 6.75 (S2) | 6.86 (S2) | 6.48 (S2) | 6.62 (S2) | 6.68 (S2) |
| pH H₂O                | 1.47 (S1) | 1.47 (S1) | 1.48 (S1) | 2.42 (S1) | 1.51 (S1) | 1.39 (S1) | 2.01 (S1) | 1.82 (S1) | 1.66 (S1) | 1.43 (S1) |
| Organic-C (%)         | 1.68 (S1) | 1.47 (S1) | 1.48 (S1) | 2.42 (S1) | 1.51 (S1) | 1.39 (S1) | 2.01 (S1) | 1.82 (S1) | 1.66 (S1) | 1.43 (S1) |
| Erosion hazard (eh)   | 15 (S2) | 15 (S2) | 30 (S3) | 15 (S2) | 15 (S2) | <8 (S2) | 15 (S1) | 30 (S3) | 15 (S2) | 15 (S2) |
| Slope (%)             | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) | Very low (S1) |
| Erosion hazard        | High (cm) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) | - (S1) |
| Flood hazard (fh)     | Duration of waterlogged (day) | Actual land suitability evaluation |

Note: LU: land unit, S1: highly suitable, S2: moderately suitable, S3: marginally suitable, N: not suitable, MF: moderately fine, F: fine, MC: moderately coarse.
Water availability and root-zone medium cannot be improved, but nutrient retention and erosion hazard can be. Therefore, the potential land suitability for Land Unit IV could become S2 \( wa, rc \) (which is similar to previous works (Rahmawaty et al. 2011; 2019b; Harahap et al. 2019). Improvement efforts cannot be made for \( wa \) as stated by Rahmawaty et al. 2020a. Land Unit V has the actual land suitability of moderately suitable (S2) with the limiting factors being water availability, root-zone medium, nutrient retention, and erosion hazard. While water availability and root-zone medium cannot be improved, nutrient retention and erosion hazard can be. Thus, the potential land suitability for Land Unit V could become S2 \( wa \).

Land Unit VI has the actual land suitability of moderately suitable (S2) with the limiting factors being water availability, nutrient availability, erosion hazard, root-zone medium, and nutrient retention. Several limiting factors can be improved, namely \( wa, nr, rc \), and \( eh \). Therefore, the potential land suitability for Land Unit VII could become S2 \( wa \).

Land Unit VIII has the actual land suitability of marginally suitable (S3) with the limiting factors being the root-zone medium (soil depth) and erosion hazard. Root-zone medium cannot be improved because it is a naturally occurring feature. Thus, the potential land suitability for Land Unit VIII is S3 \( rc \). Land Unit IX has the actual land suitability of moderately suitable (S2) with the limiting factors being water availability, root-zone medium, nutrient retention, and erosion hazard. Water availability and root-zone medium cannot be improved, but nutrient retention and erosion hazard can be. Thus, the potential land suitability for Land Unit IX could become S2 \( wa, rc \). Land Unit X has the actual land suitability of marginally suitable (S3) with the limiting factor being root-zone medium (soil depth). This cannot be improved as it is a naturally occurring factor. Thus, the potential land suitability for Land Unit X is the same as the actual land suitability (S3).

It summed up based on limiting factor, the actual suitability classes for \( L. \ domesticum \) become six classes, namely: S2 \( wa \), nr \( eh \) (2,422.70 Ha or 21.51%), S2 \( wa \), rc \( nr \), \( eh \) (5,872.98 Ha or 52.13%), S2 \( wa \), \( oa \), rc \( nr \), \( eh \) (1,152.67 Ha or 10.23%), S2 \( wa \), nr (571.88 Ha or 5.08%), S3 \( rc \), \( eh \) (319.04 Ha or 2.83%), and S3 \( rc \) (925.98 Ha or 8.22%). S2 \( wa \), rc \( nr \), \( eh \) has the largest area of the actual land suitability in this area (52.13% from total area). If summed up based on limiting factor, the potential suitability classes for \( L. \ domesticum \), become three classes, namely: S2 \( wa \) (7,025.65 Ha or 62.37%), S2 \( wa \) (2,994.58 Ha or 26.58%), and S3 \( rc \) (1,245.02 Ha or 11.05%). S2 \( wa \) has the largest area of the potential land suitability in this area (62.37% from total area). If summed up based on the actual suitability classes for \( L. \ domesticum \), total lands in Sei Bingai that moderately suitable (S2) were 10,020.23 Ha (88.95%) and marginally suitable (S3) were 1,245.02 Ha (11.05%). In addition, if summed up based on the potential land suitability classes, the total lands that moderately suitable (S2) were 10,020.23 Ha (88.95%) and marginally suitable (S3) were 1,245.02 (11.05%).

The map of actual land suitability for \( L. \ domesticum \) in the Sei Bingai Sub-district is presented in Figure 2, and the map of potential land suitability is presented in Figure 3. The summaries of both actual and potential land suitability classes for \( L. \ domesticum \) in the Sei Bingai Sub-district based on land unit are presented in Table 3. Based on Figure 3, the development of \( L. \ domesticum \) in Sei Bingai is still possible based on the area of land suitability classified as predominantly moderately suitable (S2), which is 88.95% of the total land area. The distribution of actual and potential land suitability classes based on village is presented in Table 4.

There were only moderately and marginally suitable classes in the four villages studied and no land under the classes of highly suitable and not suitable (Table 4). The moderately suitable land (S2) means that the land has a limiting factor that will affect its productivity and requires additional input. The marginally suitable land (S3) means that the land has several limiting factors that severely affecting plant growth, requiring sustained particular agricultural treatments which often increase the expenditures which are difficult to justify, or otherwise will reduce productivity (FAO 1976).

Table 3. The actual and potential land suitability classes for \( Lansium \ domesticum \) in the Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat, North Sumatra, Indonesia based on land unit

| Land unit | Actual land suitability | Potential land suitability | Area Ha | % |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|---|
| I         | S2, wa, nr, eh          | S2, wa                    | 2,422.70| 21.51 |
| II        | S3, rc                  | S3, rc                    | 836.65  | 7.43  |
| III       | S3, rc, eh              | S3, rc                    | 307.84  | 2.73  |
| IV        | S2, wa, rc, nr, eh      | S2, wa, rc                | 986.62  | 8.76  |
| V         | S2, wa, rc, nr, eh      | S2, wa, rc                | 514.01  | 4.56  |
| VI        | S2, wa, nr              | S2, wa                    | 571.88  | 5.07  |
| VII       | S2, wa, oa, rc, nr, eh  | S2, wa, rc                | 1,152.67| 10.23 |
| VIII      | S3, rc, eh              | S3, rc                    | 11.20   | 0.10  |
| IX        | S2, wa, rc, nr, eh      | S2, wa, rc                | 4,372.35| 38.82 |
| X         | S3, rc                  | S3, rc                    | 89.33   | 0.80  |
| Total     |                         |                           | 11,265.25| 100.00 |

Note: S2: moderately suitable, S3: marginally suitable, wa: water availability, nr: nutrient retention, eh: erosion hazard, rc: root-zone medium, oa: oxygen availability.
Figure 2. Map of actual land suitability for *Lansium domesticum* in four villages in Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat, North Sumatra, Indonesia.

Figure 3. Map of potential land suitability for *Lansium domesticum* in four villages in Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat, North Sumatra, Indonesia.
Table 4. The distribution of actual and potential land suitability classes based on village in Sei Bingai Sub-district, Langkat, North Sumatra, Indonesia

| Village         | Actual and potential land suitability class | ALS | PLS | ALS | PLS | ALS | PLS | ALS | PLS |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Rumah Galuh     | S1: moderately suitable, S2: highly suitable, S3: not suitable | No  | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No  |
| Simpang Kuta Buluh | S1: moderately suitable, S2: very suitable, S3: not suitable | No  | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No  |
| Gunung Ambat    | S1: moderately suitable, S2: highly suitable, S3: not suitable | No  | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No  |
| Telaga          | S1: moderately suitable, S2: high suitable, S3: not suitable | No  | No  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No  |

Note: S1: highly suitable, S2: moderately suitable, S3: marginally suitable, N: not suitable, ALS: actual land suitability, PLS: potential land suitability

There were several limiting factors in land suitability evaluation in the area including availability of water (wa), erosion hazard (eh), root-zone medium (rc), oxygen availability (oa) and nutrient retention (nr). Nutrient retention can be improved by fertilization (organic and inorganic), for example, with urea and superphosphate fertilizer, and the erosion hazard (slope) can be improved by terracing steep areas.

Similar to previous studies of land suitability for multipurpose tree species, such as durian (Durio zibethinus) and avocado (Persea americana) (Rahmawaty et al. 2020a,b), the present work shows that root-zone medium (rc) is the limiting factor in North Sumatra Province. These results are also similar to land suitability study by Rahmawaty et al. (2020c) for Hevea brasiliensis and Aquilaria malaccensis in North Sumatra. However, these differ with a study of land suitability for Pinus merkusii which shows that temperature (tc) and erosion hazard (eh) are the limiting factors (Rahmawaty et al. 2019c) and while for oil palm (E. guineensis) the limiting factor was wa (Rahmawaty et al. 2011, 2019b; Harahap et al. 2019). These differences indicate that each plant has different criteria for growth requirements. According to Ritung et al. (2011), land evaluation requires physical environmental characteristics that are broken down into land quality, where each quality can consist of one or more land characteristics.

The development of L. domesticum in this area will provide benefits to rural communities, including economic and health benefits (Mayanti et al. 2011; Marfori et al. 2015; Munir et al. 2018). Some land characteristics generally have relationships with others. Land quality will affect the most suitable land use and the growth of plants and other commodities cultivated in such land. Thus, the results of this land evaluation study fulfill a highly significant need for land use planning for the studied area.

Even though the plants are the same, the results of land suitability evaluation are not necessarily the same between one region to another because of the differences in land characteristics. With technology, land that naturally has a low land suitability class (on actual land suitability) can be improved to higher land suitability class (on potential land suitability). However, not all the quality or characteristics of land can be improved with existing technology, but requires a high level of management to be able to improve it. Based on these results, it appears that L. domesticum can be developed in the studied area.

This research concludes that the actual and potential land suitability classes for L. domesticum in the Sei Bingai Sub-district of Langkat, North Sumatra, Indonesia were moderately suitable (S2) and marginally suitable (S3). The predominant land suitability was moderately suitable (S2), amounting to 88.95 %. The results of this study suggest that the development of L. domesticum in Sei Bingai is possible although it requires some land improvements to deal with the limiting factors.
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