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Corynebacterium ulcerans may cause diphtheria in humans and caseous lymphadenitis in animals. We isolated nontoxigenic tox-bearing C. ulcerans from 13 game animals in Germany. Our results indicate a role for game animals as reservoirs for zoonotic C. ulcerans.

The Corynebacterium species C. diphtheriae, C. ulcerans, and C. pseudotuberculosis form the C. diphtheriae group, as shown by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (1). Strains of this group carrying lysogenic β-corynephages might produce the tox-encoded diphtheria toxin (DT) (2). Moreover, C. ulcerans and C. pseudotuberculosis may produce phospholipase D, the major virulence factor involved in caseous lymphadenitis, which is a disease that mainly affects sheep, goats, and horses (3).

From a public health perspective, diphtheria is the most critical human disease attributed to coryneform bacteria (3). In recent years, cases of diphtheria caused by C. ulcerans have outnumbered those caused by C. diphtheriae (4). C. diphtheriae carriage is nearly exclusively restricted to humans; C. ulcerans is a zoonotic pathogen and has been found in various animal species that have contact with humans (5). C. ulcerans is most closely related to C. pseudotuberculosis, and distinction between these species is often difficult when using standard bacteriological methods (5). The aim of this study was to comprehensively characterize 13 C. ulcerans strains isolated from game animals in Germany.

The Study

Strains of C. ulcerans were isolated during routine bacteriological investigations in conjunction with necropsies of wild animals that were found dead or that had suspicious lesions during 1997–2013. Isolates of coryneform bacteria were subjected to conventional biochemical tests (3), and were evaluated after prolonged incubation at 37°C for as long as 14 days. For further characterization, commercial tests API Coryne and VITEK2-compact with cards for coryneform bacteria and corynebacteria and anaerobes (bioMérieux, Nütingen, Germany) were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

We conducted the reverse CAMP test by using Staphylococcus aureus American Type Culture Collection (ATCC [Manassas, VA, USA]) 25923 and the CAMP test by using Rhodococcus equi ATCC 33701 according to standard procedures on Columbia sheep blood agar (Oxoid, Wesel, Germany) (3). We determined DT production using a modified Elek test (6); we used C. diphtheriae NCTC 10648 and C. diphtheriae NCTC 10356 as positive and negative

Figure 1. Cluster analysis of respective spectra obtained by Fourier-transform infrared-spectroscopy by using OPUS Software version 4.2 (BrukerOptics, Ettlingen, Germany). In each case, 2 infrared spectra of isolates from game animals and a selection of several Corynebacterium ulcerans and C. pseudotuberculosis strains were used for calculation by using the Ward algorithm. The dendrogram depicts the arrangement of isolates in groups according to their spectral differences.
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controls, respectively; and performed a cytotoxicity assay using Vero cells (7). The rpoB and tox genes were partially amplified by using primer pairs C2700F/C3130R and DT1/MIT2, respectively, as described (5).

PCR products were purified for sequence analysis by using the Double Pure Combi Kit (Bio&Sell, Nürnberg, Germany). Both strands of the rpoB and tox PCR products were sequenced by Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland) by using the amplification primers. Sequence analysis was performed by using the BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) sequence analysis tool. Additionally, coryneform isolates in which C. ulcerans was suspected were analyzed by using Matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and by using Biotyper version 3.3.1.0 (BrukerBiotyper; BrukerDaltonics, Bremen, Germany). The database used (DB 4613) comprised spectra from 71 Corynebacterium species including C. diptheriae, C. ulcerans, and C. pseudotuberculosis. For Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, bacterial isolates were harvested and prepared as described (5). IR spectra were recorded by using an FT-IR spectrometer (Tensor 27 with High Throughput Screening eXTension HTS-XT module) and OPUS software version 4.2 (Bruker Optics, Ettlingen, Germany). IR spectra of isolates from field strains were compared by cluster analysis by using the second derivation of vector normalized spectra (8). The dendrogram obtained depicts the arrangement of isolates in groups according to their spectral differences (Figure 1).

In total, 13 strains of C. ulcerans were isolated from 12 wild boars and 1 roe deer in 4 states of Germany (Table 1). The bacteria grew from >1 delimited pseudotuberculosis-like caseous abscess, arranged in concentric layers and ranging from 0.1 to 10 cm in diameter (Figure 2). All strains had positive reactions by using a traditional CAMP test inoculated with R. equi and a reverse CAMP test inoculated with S. aureus, indicating phospholipase D activity (3).

Conventional biochemical tests showed congruent results for catalase activity, urea hydrolysis, and glucose acidification (positive) and for esculin hydrolysis and nitrate reduction (negative). Additional reactions and API and VITEK test results are shown in Table 2. All isolates

### Table 1. Origin of nontoxigenic tox-bearing Corynebacterium ulcerans field strains among game animals and gross pathology results from necropsies, Germany

| Case no. | Isolate ID | Year of isolation | State/district of origin | Host species | Circumstances of death; gross pathology results | Source |
|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1        | Bu125/97   | 1997              | North Rhine-Westphalia/Siegen-Wittgenstein Baden Wuerttemberg/Enz | Wild boar   | Meat-inspection; lamellar lymph node abscesses | This study |
| 2        | CVUAS 4292 | 2009              | Baden Wuerttemberg/Main-Tauber Baden Wuerttemberg/Aalen | Wild boar   | Found dead; multiple lamellar lymph node abscesses; multiple hypertrophic lymphangitis | (5) |
| 3        | CVUAS 5160 | 2009              | Baden Wuerttemberg/Main-Tauber Baden Wuerttemberg/Aalen | Wild boar   | Shot; superficial cervical lymph nodes greatly enlarged; abscess of L. mandibularis | (5) |
| 4        | CVUAS 6455 | 2010              | Roe deer | Moribund; grapefruit-sized abscess of or near left L. cervicis superficialis | (8) |
| 5        | 10–7-D-00025 | 2010      | Hesse/Lahn-Dill | Wild boar   | Shot; female; lamellar thoracic plum-sized lymph node abscess | This study |
| 6        | 11USF28    | 2011              | Brandenburg/Havelland Brandenburg/Havelland | Wild boar   | Found dead; male, ≤2 y old; subcutaneous abscess | This study |
| 7        | 11USF53    | 2011              | Brandenburg/Havelland Brandenburg/Havelland | Wild boar   | Shot; female, ≥3 y old; lung abscess | This study |
| 8        | 11USF78    | 2011              | Brandenburg/Havelland Brandenburg/Havelland | Wild boar   | Shot; female, ≥1 y old; subcutaneous abscess | This study |
| 9        | 11USF79    | 2011              | Brandenburg/Havelland Brandenburg/Havelland | Wild boar   | Shot; male, ≥1 y old; subcutaneous abscess | This study |
| 10       | 121017479  | 2012              | Hesse/Marburg | Wild boar   | Shot; some milium- to pea-sized solid grayish abscesses with dystrophic central calcification in diaphragmatic peritoneum | This study |
| 11       | S1627/5/12 | 2012              | North Rhine-Westphalia/Siegen-Wittgenstein Hesse/Bad Hersfeld | Wild boar   | Shot; 1 y old; multiple lamellar abscesses in cervical and pulmonal lymph nodes | This study |
| 12       | S28/3/13   | 2013              | Hesse/Odenwald | Wild boar   | Shot; 2 y old; isolate from teat abscess; multiple lamellar abscesses in cervical lymph nodes | This study |
| 13       | 131000349  | 2013              | Hesse/Odenwald | Wild boar   | Found dead; female, ≥1 y old; some cherry-sized subcutaneous lymph node abscesses | This study |
were nontoxigenic tox-bearing (NTTB) strains as shown by positive tox-PCR, and negative Elek test and Vero cell cytotoxicity results. Partial rpoB and partial tox sequences for all 13 isolates were identical to those submitted to GenBank for C. ulcerans strain CVUAS 4292 (accession nos. GU818735 and GU818742, respectively [5]).

By using MALDI-TOF MS, all isolates were identified to the species level as C. ulcerans because they had score levels of 2.0–2.2. The comparison of the IR spectra of the 13 strains from game animals with a collection of reference strains showed a clear separation in 2 main branches for the 2 species C. pseudotuberculosis and C. ulcerans (Figure 1). Inside the C. ulcerans branch, all isolates from game animals clustered compactly together and were closely adjacent to a group of spectra formed by reference strains from humans.

Conclusions
With respect to its zoonotic potential, C. ulcerans is one of the most notable members of the genus and was referred to as an emerging pathogen in 2011 (9). Numerous reports state there is zoonotic potential for contact with companion or farm animals, but proven transmission of tox-positive C. ulcerans strains is documented for only 4 cases, involving 2 dogs, 1 cat, and 1 pig (8).

Limited information is available concerning C. ulcerans infection in wild animals. To our knowledge, 3 reports regarding tox-positive C. ulcerans infection in wildlife have been published: 1 involved 2 European otters from 2 widely separated regions within the United Kingdom (10), and the other 2 reports described NTTB strains in 2 wild boars in 1 report and 1 roe deer in the other report; these 3 cases were in the same area of Germany (5,8). An additional report on C. ulcerans with unknown toxigenicity in wildlife pertains to an outbreak among 350 squirrels from Canada, 63 of which had clinical disease (11).

Here, we provide comprehensive data on 13 NTTB C. ulcerans strains from game animals in Germany. The finding of infected game in the center of Middle Europe suggests an even wider occurrence and distribution in other European countries. Misdiagnoses of C. ulcerans isolates as C. pseudotuberculosis in the past because of similar pathology and similar phenotype cannot be excluded. Our finding of C. ulcerans in a wild boar specimen from 1997 could indicate that this pathogen has not only recently infected wildlife.

As also shown in this study, biochemical differentiation between C. ulcerans and C. pseudotuberculosis might be problematic, and basic conventional tests may not properly discriminate between the 2 species (3). By using the standardized systems API Coryne and VITEK2-compact for coryneform bacteria, erroneous identification was made of most isolates (10 and 11 cases, respectively) from game animals as C. pseudotuberculosis. For correct understanding of epidemiology and host range and for unequivocal determination of the involved pathogen to species level, additional methods such as FT-IR and MALDI-TOF MS or DNA sequencing should be used. Because partial rpoB sequencing is more discriminatory than 16S rDNA sequencing, a cutoff value of ≤95% similarity proved suitable for species identification within Corynebacterium (12) and also clearly enabled species identification in this study. Furthermore, partial tox- and rpoB-gene sequencing demonstrated a very close relationship between the 13 strains because no variations in these sequences were found (8).

Concerning the zoonotic potential for C. ulcerans strains from wildlife, there is no information available. With respect to wild boars infected with C. ulcerans, however, it is noteworthy that 3 diphtheria cases occurred in humans who had occupational contact with pigs (13,14).
Lack of DT expression in tox-positive strains has been described (7). Nevertheless, it can be expected that DT-producing \textit{C. ulcerans} strains might occur in game animals, providing a reservoir for this microorganism. Because the \textit{C. diphtheriae} and \textit{C. ulcerans} DT sequences are quite similar, it might be reasonable to offer diphtheria toxoid vaccination to persons who have direct contact with game animals to prevent diphtheria-like illness caused by tox-positive \textit{C. ulcerans} (4).
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