Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is the most common cause of chronic liver disease in South Korea and is one of the major risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) worldwide. Although various radiologic techniques for early detection and medical or surgical methods have successfully controlled the primary tumor, HCC still shows unsatisfactory long-term prognosis after surgical resection probably due to high recurrence rate. Most studies reported greater than 70% recurrence rate within a 5-year period. This recurrence, rather than the underlying cirrhosis, is the major cause of death. Hence, many investigations have studied risk factors for HCC recurrence after curative resection. Clinicopathologic characteristics of HCC such as micrometastasis, vascular invasion, and positive resection margin are well-known risk factors for tumor recurrence. Recently, peritumoral non-neoplastic liver parenchyma (PNLP) has been suggested to be an important predictive marker of HCC recurrence after surgical resection.

PNLP can be defined as hepatic parenchyma without histologic evidence of tumors. However, in patients with chronic liver disease, PNLP has two different levels; the first is adjacent parenchyma that is affected by tumors and the second one is remote parenchyma that is not affected by primary tumors. Adjacent PNLP is claimed to be influenced by mass effect of tumor proper and several tumor-producing factors via paracrine or autocrine manners, indirectly depicting the trait of tumors. Meanwhile, remote PNLP may express the patient’s underlying chronic.
nic liver disease and indirectly the trait of tumorigenesis, implying new occurrence of HCCs. It is therefore important to determine the exact distance of adjacent PNLP that is directly influenced by the tumor, which differs from remote PNLP, the background liver parenchyma. However, published reports lack consistency on the precise distance that defines adjacent PNLP. Some authors used the farthest non-cancerous liver parenchyma in the entire surgical specimen. However, there were some other studies that have limited specimens to a distance of 10 mm from the tumor, while others have simply made use of the peritumoral liver tissue that was available at the time of the study.

In this study, we sought the pathologic characteristics of PNLP of HCCs in chronic HBV patients in order to suggest a reasonable cut-off point between adjacent and remote PNLP from the histologic viewpoint.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient characteristics**

For this study, we selected 20 patients at Seoul National University Hospital in South Korea who had undergone hepatic resection due to HCC from July to September, 2010. These patients have clinical evidence of chronic hepatitis B, such as serologic evidence of virus, more than 6 months of abnormal liver function, or radiologic evidence of hepatic fibrosis. There were 2 female and 18 male patients with ages ranging from 48-73 years (with a median age of 58). All patients had curative surgical resection; 3 cases required liver transplantation and 17 needed partial resection. None of them underwent preoperative local treatment such as transarterial embolization, radiofrequency ablation and percutaneous ethanol injection therapy, nor preoperative systemic chemotherapy. There was one hepatitis C virus coinfection and one concomitant alcohol-related steatohepatitis with chronic hepatitis B.

**Sampling of nonneoplastic liver tissue**

Peritumoral tissues from each fresh liver specimen were sampled from the tumor border to a distance of 40 mm from the tumor. They were fixed with 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin en bloc. Routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Masson’s trichrome (M-T) stained slides were made as previously described. The adjacent PNLP was divided into eight 5 mm-sized columns, starting from the tumor border to a 40 mm distance from the tumor (Fig. 1A). The 5-mm column represents adjacent PNLP located between the tumor border and 5 mm distance from the tumor. The 10-, 15-, 20-, 25-, 30-, 35-, and 40-mm columns represent adjacent PNLP between 5 and 10 mm, 10 and 15 mm, 15 and 20 mm, 20 and 25 mm, 25 and 30 mm, 30 and 35 mm, and 35 and 40 mm from the tumor, respectively. The mean magnitude of each column was 15 mm (width) × 5 mm (length), and median number of portal tracts per column was 14 (range, 3 to 17). For comparison, background liver or remote PNLP was also taken farthermost from the tumor (or at least > 40 mm from the tumor) and treated similarly as the adjacent PNLP.

**Grading and staging for histopathologic parameters**

Parameters such as necroinflammatory activity of the hepatic parenchyma, fibrosis, bile ductular reaction, peliosis, perivenular inflammation, and steatosis were assessed by two pathologists (K.B. Lee and H.Y. Jung) after consensus meeting. A modified histologic activity index (HAI) was applied as a grading system for necroinflammatory activity. Spotty necrosis, perportal/periseporal interface hepatitis (piecemeal necrosis), and portal inflammation were scored from 0 to 4 and confluent necrosis from 0 to 6. The total modified HAI score is the sum of all of these scores (expected maximum score, 18). In addition, the number of foci of spotty necrosis per 10× objective was counted at the most severely affected area.

Fibrosis or cirrhosis was evaluated in 2 different ways. The first was scoring both H&E- and M-T-stained sections (by light microscopy) from 0 to 6 according to the Ishak fibrosis staging system. In addition, collagen deposition shown by M-T staining was converted into a pixel count for an objective evaluation of fibrosis/cirrhosis. Images of each column were taken using an Olympus optical microscope (BX50, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with 4× objective and converted into JPEG format for analysis. We divided each column as in Fig. 1F to include the majority of the samples so that we could exclude any selection bias. All images (having mean image size of 15.98 mm²) were analyzed by image J software (positive color: R, 0.8748878; G, 0.45782565; B, 0.15829495; threshold: 0 to 290). The ratio of collagen-positive pixel count to total pixel count was expressed in percentage terms.

We defined peliosis-like lesions as blood-filled spaces, with or without sinusoidal lining, recognized with the 4× objective. The number of lesions per 4× objective field was counted for each column. The inflammatory activity around the central vein or the so-called hepatic venulitis was scored from 0 to 4 (0, absent; 1, inflammatory cells accumulation in the lumen of hepatic venule; 2, focal inflammatory cells infiltration through the vascular wall; 3, continuous infiltration of inflammatory cells...
Fig. 1. Necroinflammatory activity and fibrosis of adjacent peritumoral non-neoplastic liver parenchyma (PNLP) showing (A) division of PNLP with distance from tumor border from the left to right side (scanning view), (B-E) representative pictures of spotty necrosis in each 5-mm column (B, 5-mm; C, 10-mm; D, 15-mm; E, 20-mm), (F) fibrosis of PNLP and segmentation blocks for image analysis of collagen deposition (Masson’s trichrome [M-T] stain, scanning view).

around the vascular wall; and 4, fibrinoid necrosis of the vascular wall). Bile ductular proliferation was scored from 0 to 3 (0, absent; 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe; or graded as <33, 33-66%, and >66% of the hepatic lobule by bile ductular proliferation, respectively). Steatosis was graded 0 to 3 based on the percentage of hepatocytes in the biopsy (0, none; 1, up to 33%; 2, 33-66%; and 3, >66%), which is adopted from the nonalcoholic steatohepatitis clinical research network scoring system.\textsuperscript{16,17}
Statistical analysis
The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare pathologic characteristics of each column with those of adjacent columns, as well as remote parenchyma. Tests having p-value less than 0.05 was considered as results with significant difference.

RESULTS
Necroinflammatory activity in adjacent peritumoral hepatic parenchyma
Dense inflammatory cell infiltration in the portal tracts adjacent to the tumor, which also lessened with distance, were observed as shown in Fig. 1A. Likewise, spotty necrosis was frequently observed near the tumor as in Fig. 1B-D. The lobular activities in the 5-mm (Fig. 1B) and 10-mm (Fig. 1C) columns were notably more severe than those in the 15-mm (Fig. 1D) and 20-mm (Fig. 1E) columns. The severity of portal, periporal, and lobular activity scores in adjacent PNLP were illustrated for all cases in concentric graphs by color gradation and in bar graphs by mean of scores (Fig. 2A, C, E, G). The dark-colored centers of circular graphs indicate severe necroinflammatory activity near the tumor. The plotted mean value of the necroinflammatory activity as bar graph demonstrates a pattern of decreasing inflammatory cell infiltration in adjacent PNLP (Fig. 2B, D, F, H). The inflammation adjacent to the tumor was notably severe, but decreased with distance. However, at certain distances, the inflammation became stable and no longer differed from that of remote PNLP.

Moreover, the necroinflammatory activity in each column was compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank test (Table 1). Portal inflammation was markedly severe in the 5-mm column (mean score, 2.79), and decreased with distance until the 25-mm column (mean score, 1.84) (p = 0.011 between 5-mm and 10-mm column; p = 0.034 between 10-mm and 15-mm column; p = 0.046 between 20-mm and 25-mm column). There was no discernible difference of portal inflammation from the 25-mm column. Therefore, in comparison to adjacent PNLP, the considerable cut-off point for portal inflammation could be 20 mm distance from the tumor.

Likewise, the foci of spotty necrosis were observed most frequently in the 5-mm column (mean score, 2.65; mean count, 6.0) and decreased with distance until 25-mm column (mean score, 1.45; mean count, 2.05). Both the score and the frequency of spotty necrosis did not change significantly beyond the 20 mm distance from the tumor.

On the other hand, periportal inflammation were markedly severe in the 5-mm column (mean score, 3.30) and significantly decreased in the 10-mm (mean score, 2.55; p = 0.001) and 15-mm column (mean score, 2.20; p = 0.008). However, it did not differ beyond 10 mm distance from the tumor.

The modified HAI, which is the sum of scores for portal, periportal inflammation and spotty necrosis, was markedly severe in the 5-mm column (mean score, 8.75) and decreased until 15-mm column (mean score, 6.10), while it did not significantly change beyond 10 mm distance from the tumor.

Peliosis and perivenular inflammation in adjacent peritumoral hepatic parenchyma
Microscopically, we found 9 cases of peliosis in adjacent PNLP. The lesions were irregularly dilated sinusoidal spaces filled with blood and fibrinoid material accompanied by damaged hepatocytes (Fig. 3C). The lesions were more frequent and extensive around the tumor (Fig. 4A, B), especially starting from the tumor border towards the 10-mm column, while nearly no peliosis was found beyond the 10-mm column, denoted by a p-value of 0.018 between 10-mm and 15-mm column (Table 1).

Apart from the usual occurrence of chronic viral hepatitis and neutrophilic infiltration around the central veins, 10 cases of perivenular inflammation was observed in adjacent PNLP. A few cases were accompanied by fibrinoid necrosis of the vascular wall. Perivenular inflammation was markedly severe from the tumor border until 10 mm distance from the tumor (Table 1, Fig. 3D, 4C, D).

Fibrosis, bile ductular reaction and steatosis in adjacent peritumoral hepatic parenchyma
Although all cases in this study resulted from cirrhosis or chronic hepatitis B backgrounds, the degree of fibrosis in PNLP varies with distance from the tumor (Fig. 1F). The Ishak grade of fibrosis for all cases is illustrated in concentric circles (Fig. 5A). The mean value of the pixel fraction of collagen deposition for each column is presented as a bar graph (Fig. 5B).

As shown in Table 1, the Ishak grade of fibrosis was markedly higher in the 5-mm and 10-mm column (p = 0.007 between 5-mm and 10-mm column; p = 0.020 between 10-mm and 15-mm column). To quantify the amount of fibrosis, pixel fraction of collagen deposition was evaluated. This evaluation revealed that collagen deposition was much more severe in the 5-mm column than in more distant areas. Thus, 10 mm could be the considerable cut-off point for the Ishak grade of fibrosis, and 5 mm for pixel fraction of collagen deposition.
Fig. 2. Necroinflammatory activity of adjacent peritumoral non-neoplastic liver parenchymas showing (A, B) portal inflammation, (C, D) periportal inflammation, (E, F) spotty necrosis (all based on semiquantitative four grade system), and (G, H) the modified histologic activity index (HAI) grading. The center of the circle represents the tumor border, and each concentric circle line indicates an increment of 5 mm from the tumor. C1-C20 indicate each case (C1 = case 1). 

*p-value of Wilcoxon signed-rank test between two adjacent columns < 0.05.
Bile ductular proliferation around the tumor was so severe that it was difficult to find remaining hepatocytes immediately adjacent to the tumor (Fig. 3A). However, it decreased with distance (Fig. 3B). As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 5C and D, its pattern was decreasing with distance but became stable beyond the 10 mm distance from the tumor border (p = 0.001 between 5-mm and 10-mm column; p = 0.008 between 10-mm and 15-mm column). However, there were no differences in steatosis between the columns (data not shown).

Comparison with adjacent and remote non-neoplastic hepatic parenchyma

To confirm the gradation of histopathologic features in adjacent PNLP, we evaluated the same factors beyond 40 mm in remote PNLP and then compared with each column of adjacent PNLP within 40 mm (Table 1). Parameters compared included portal inflammation, perportal inflammation, spotty necrosis score, spotty necrosis count, the modified HAI score, peliosis, bile ductular proliferation and the Ishak grade of fibrosis (Table 1). The comparison demonstrated that most of the pathologic features decreased in the adjacent PNLP relative to the next column or remote parenchyma.

Table 1. The mean values of the pathologic parameters for each column and the p-values for the differences between columns

| Distance from tumor (mm) | 5    | 10   | 15   | 20   | 25   | 30   | 35   | 40   | Remote |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| Portal inflammation     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 2.79 | 2.42 | 2.11 | 2.05 | 1.84 | 1.83 | 1.88 | 1.83 | 1.89   |
| p-value with next column| 0.011* | 0.034* | 0.317 | 0.046* | 1.000 | 0.317 | 0.564 | 0.317 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.001* | 0.002* | 0.046* | 0.083 | 0.564 | 0.317 | 0.564 | 0.317 |
| Periportal inflammation |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 3.30 | 2.55 | 2.20 | 2.15 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 1.88 | 1.85 | 2.05   |
| p-value with next column| 0.001* | 0.008* | 0.317 | 0.157 | 1.000 | 0.317 | 1.000 | 0.317 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.000* | 0.004* | 0.083 | 0.157 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.317 | 0.317 |
| Spotty necrosis (score)  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 2.65 | 2.15 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.55 | 1.45 | 1.47 | 1.38 | 1.71   |
| p-value with next column| 0.004* | 0.053 | 1.000 | 0.035* | 0.059 | 0.102 | 0.792 | 0.317 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.005* | 0.008* | 0.527 | 0.739 | 0.305 | 0.705 | 0.366 | 0.317 |
| Spotty necrosis (count)  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean count              | 6.00 | 4.45 | 2.85 | 2.95 | 2.05 | 2.42 | 2.12 | 2.23 | 2.07   |
| p-value with next column| 0.011* | 0.006* | 0.724 | 0.037* | 0.144 | 0.655 | 0.398 | 0.380 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.002* | 0.005* | 0.174 | 0.629 | 0.569 | 1.000 | 0.982 | 0.380 |
| Modified histologic activity index (HAI) score |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 8.75 | 7.10 | 6.10 | 6.00 | 5.35 | 5.63 | 5.24 | 5.08 | 5.86   |
| p-value with next column| 0.007* | 0.020* | 0.059 | 0.739 | 1.000 | 0.317 | 0.317 | 1.000 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.001* | 0.005* | 0.058 | 0.655 | 0.317 | 1.000 | 0.317 | 1.000 |
| Peliosis                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 3.25 | 3.00 | 0.95 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.39 | 0.13   |
| p-value with next column| 0.656 | 0.018* | 0.104 | 1.000 | 0.180 | 0.157 | 0.157 | 0.285 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.002* | 0.017* | 0.168 | 0.414 | 0.705 | 0.564 | 0.705 | 0.285 |
| Hepatic venulitis        |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.67   |
| p-value with next column| 0.257 | 0.046* | 1.000 | 0.157 | 1.000 | 0.046* | 0.317 | 0.157 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.414 | 0.157 | 0.317 | 0.564 | 0.025* | 0.083 | 0.317 | 0.157 |
| Bile ductular proliferation |    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 2.00 | 1.20 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.60   |
| p-value with next column| 0.001* | 0.008* | 0.063 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.564 | 0.157 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.000* | 0.001* | 0.059 | 0.564 | 0.317 | 0.317 | 0.564 | 0.157 |
| Fibrosis                |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean score              | 4.00 | 3.55 | 3.20 | 2.95 | 2.90 | 2.95 | 2.88 | 2.85 | 2.88   |
| p-value with next column| 0.007* | 0.020* | 0.059 | 0.739 | 1.000 | 0.317 | 0.317 | 1.000 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.001* | 0.005* | 0.058 | 0.655 | 0.317 | 1.000 | 0.317 | 1.000 |
| Collagen deposition     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |
| Mean pixel fraction     | 17.08 | 9.28 | 8.08 | 7.26 | 5.92 | 6.69 | 7.96 | 5.17 | 5.98   |
| p-value with next column| 0.000* | 0.171 | 0.433 | 0.053 | 0.055 | 0.145 | 0.004* | 0.169 |
| p-value with remote     | 0.008* | 0.241 | 0.284 | 0.386 | 0.799 | 0.575 | 0.386 | 0.169 |

*p < 0.05; the grade of this column is higher than the next column or the remote parenchyma; *p < 0.05; the grade of this column is lower than the next column or the remote parenchyma.
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changes were markedly severe in 5-mm and 10-mm columns than those of those in remote parenchyma.

These results were consistent with comparisons between adjacent columns for such parameters as periportal inflammation, the modified HAI score, peliosis, bile ductular proliferation and the Ishak grade of fibrosis, verifying that 10 mm distance from the tumor border could be the considerable cut-off point for those parameters. Dense collagen deposition was observed within 5 mm PNLP, as opposed to remote PNLP. This was consistent with the result from the comparison between adjacent columns, suggesting this distance to be the considerable cut-off point for the collagen deposition.

Portal inflammation within 15 mm PNLP was markedly severe than that in remote PNLP, but the comparison between adjacent columns demonstrated changes fading from 20 mm. Hepatic venulitis of adjacent PNLP was not different from the remote PNLP.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we investigated that the gradual histologic changes from the tumor edges and tried to find reasonable cut-off points for adjacent and remote peritumoral parenchyma based on various morphologic characteristics that are believed to indicate microenvironmental changes. All histologic parameters were higher proximate to the tumor, and faded with distance. Although the individual histologic parameters revealed several distances from the tumor edges, we found the area within 10 mm and beyond 20 mm distance from the tumor to be relatively constant. PNLP within 10 mm had significantly higher inflammatory cells infiltration around portal tract and hepatic parenchyma, bile ductular reaction, collagen deposition and peliosis than 10 mm distance from the tumor. Moreover, PNLP beyond 20 mm had no distinct pathologic features compared with background liver parenchyma. Hence, the parenchyma within 10 mm from the tumor would be considered the adja-
cent PNLP in which morphologic changes are believed to be directly affected by the tumor, and the parenchyma beyond 20 mm as the remote PNLP without tumor effect.

These findings are very important in understanding tumor biology of HCC. The recurrence of HCC is one of the leading causes of death after curative liver resection, and the prognoses on cases of early and late recurrence are quite different. Late recurrence, which is defined as that occurring more than 2 years after primary resection, has better prognosis, while early recurrence that develops within 2 year after resection carries a poor prognosis. Early recurrence is strongly negatively correlated with patient’s survival. Hence, many predictive or potential risk factors for early recurrence have been investigated, including vascular invasion, biliary tumor thrombi, intrahepatic metastasis, and positive surgical margin. These results imply that early recurrence is associated with local tumor factors rather than the background liver parenchyma. On the contrary, late recurrence of HCCs represents the tumorigenic trait of the remaining liver parenchyma.

Currently, immunologic or inflammatory milieu of the adjacent peritumoral tissue, which is directly affected by the tumor, have been postulated to be important in tumor progression and early recurrence of HCC. Jia et al. demonstrated that the colony-stimulating factor-1 receptor level of adjacent PNLP was associated with intrahepatic metastasis and early recurrence when the adjacent PNLP was defined as within 10 mm, thereby supporting our definition of adjacent PNLP as within 10 mm distance from the tumor.

The remote PNLP, which is not affected by HCC, is the remaining hepatic parenchyma in patients after resection so it is related with multiple occurrence and late recurrence of HCC. It is reported in several studies that molecular signature of non-cancerous liver tissue can predict the risk for late recurrence of HCCs and influence the clinical outcome of HCC patients. In this study, we defined the remote PNLP as beyond 20 mm distance from the tumor, which is consistent with the results of

Fig. 4. Peliosis and hepatic venulitis. (A, B) The number of peliosis-like lesions per 4× objective field. (C, D) Hepatic venulitis. *p-value of Wilcoxon signed-rank test between two adjacent columns < 0.05.
previous studies. Okamoto et al.9 showed that specific gene-expression profiles of PNLP could predict the risk for multiple occurrence of HCC by using peritumoral liver tissue farthest from the tumor.9 Hoshida et al.11 demonstrated that specific gene-expression profiles of PNLP predicted late recurrence of HCC using the peritumoral liver tissue that was available at the time of study.

The morphological changes described here were based on the criteria for viral hepatitis, and might not be sufficient to detect all types of tumor effect on adjacent PNLP. For example, peliosis, hepatic venulitis and bile ductular proliferation could be caused by the elevation of sinusoidal and bile ductal pressure, and end up with hepatocyte damage.25 We revealed positive correlation between tumor size and the farthest distance from the tumor where the peliosis could be observed (data not shown; spearman correlation coefficient = 0.556; p = 0.009). However, there was no such observation with hepatic venulitis and bile ductular proliferation. Furthermore, this study was limited to a small sample size, so statistical analysis for parametric validation cannot be conducted.

However, this study is the first report on comparison between adjacent PNLP and remote PNLP based on several histologic parameters via semiquantitative and quantitative scoring systems. Results of this study can be used as an objective histologic evidence that may help many hepatologists and researchers select proper tissue specimen for research about primary hepatic tumors of patients with chronic liver disease.

In conclusion, adjacent PNLP, especially that within 10 mm of the tumor border, showed severe inflammation, architectural changes, peliosis, and hepatic venulitis. However, remote PNLP beyond 20 mm of tumor border showed no significant histologic changes.
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