**Arabidopsis** Calmodulin-binding Protein IQ67-Domain 1 Localizes to Microtubules and Interacts with Kinesin Light Chain-related Protein-1*\(^\text{S}\)
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**Background:** Plant-specific IQD genes encode putative CaM targets of unknown functions.

**Results:** IQD1 interacts with KLCR1, binds to *Arabidopsis* CaM/CMLs, and localizes to microtubules.

**Conclusion:** IQD1 may act as a scaffold protein recruiting cargo to kinesin motors for directional transport along microtubules.

**Significance:** This work provides novel insight into IQD function and a framework to study plant kinesin regulation.

---

Calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) is a key second messenger in eukaryotes and regulates diverse cellular processes, most notably via calmodulin (CaM). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, IQD1 (IQ67 domain 1) is the founding member of the IQD family of putative CaM targets. The 33 predicted IQD proteins share a conserved domain of 67 amino acids that is characterized by a unique arrangement of multiple CaM recruitment motifs, including so-called IQ motifs. Whereas IQD1 has been implicated in the regulation of defense metabolism, the biochemical functions of IQD proteins remain to be elucidated. In this study we show that IQD1 binds to multiple *Arabidopsis* CaM and CaM-like (CML) proteins in vitro and in yeast two-hybrid interaction assays. CaM overlay assays revealed moderate affinity of IQD1 to CaM2 (K\(_d\) \sim 0.6 \mu M). Deletion mapping of IQD1 demonstrated the importance of the IQ67 domain for CaM2 binding in vitro, which is corroborated by interaction of the shortest IQD member, IQD20, with *Arabidopsis* CaM/CMLs in yeast. A genetic screen of a cDNA library identified *Arabidopsis* kinesin light chain-related protein-1 (KLCR1) as an IQD1 interactor. The subcellular localization of GFP-tagged IQD1 proteins to microtubules and the cell nucleus in transiently and stably transformed plant tissues (tobacco leaves and *Arabidopsis* seedlings) suggests direct interaction of IQD1 and KLCR1 in planta that is supported by GFP~IQD1-dependent recruitment of RFP~KLCR1 and RFP~CaM2 to microtubules. Collectively, the prospect arises that IQD1 and related proteins provide Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM-regulated scaffolds for facilitating cellular transport of specific cargo along microtubular tracks via kinesin motor proteins.
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CaM binding domains contain these specific motifs: the IQ motif mediating Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent CaM retention and two related motifs, termed 1-5-10 and 1-8-14, facilitating Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent CaM interaction (13–15).

We previously identified a novel class of putative CaM target proteins in A. thaliana that we called the IQD family (16, 17). The common feature of its 33 members is the presence of a plan-specific, central domain of 67 conserved amino acid residues (referred to as the IQ67 domain), which is defined by a unique and repetitive arrangement of the three consensus CaM recruitment motifs (1–3 copies each). IQD proteins are structurally diverse with respect to computed molecular mass (12–87 kDa) but are relatively uniform at the physicochemical level, sharing some properties reminiscent of RNA binding proteins, such as a basic isoelectric point (pI ~ 10.3) and a high content of Arg/Lys (~17%) and Ser (~12%) residues (17). Another hallmark of the Arabidopsis IQD family is a high fraction of homeologous gene pairs (~45% of the paralogous gene set), which is characteristic of protein families with conserved functions in regulatory multiprotein complexes (17). However, given the large size of the extant plant IQD gene families, which originated during the early evolution of land plants and their likely conserved functions (17), surprisingly little is known about the biological roles of IQD proteins.

The first described IQD gene was functionally identified in a screen for Arabidopsis mutants with altered production of glucosinolates (16), a class of secondary metabolites in crucifers whose degradation products possess profound biological activities ranging from plant defense to cancer prevention in humans (18, 19). IQD1 binds to vertebrate CaM in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent fashion, which is also true for IQD20, the smallest member of the Arabidopsis IQD family, consisting only of the IQ67 domain at its C terminus and a short N-terminal extension (16, 17). An IQD1–GFP fusion protein localizes to the cell nucleus, and histochemical analysis of IQD1

Here, we expand our studies on Arabidopsis IQD1 and describe its direct interaction with a select set of Arabidopsis CaM/CMLs. Using a genetic yeast two-hybrid interaction screen, we identified kinesin light chain–related-1 (KLCR1) as an IQD1 binding protein in Arabidopsis, which prompted us to reinvestigate the subcellular localization of IQD1 at high resolution. Interestingly, our data demonstrate that IQD1 localizes not only to the cell nucleus but also associates with the microtubular network to which IQD1 recruits KCLR1 as well as Kcm2. For the first time our work provides insight into the biochemical function of IQD1 and related proteins as putative Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM-regulated scaffold proteins, which may facilitate cellular transport of cargo complexes via microtubule-associated kinesin motor proteins.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Production of Recombinant Proteins*—The bacterial vector for expression of recombinant IQD1 fused to an N-terminal T7 epitope tag was previously described (16). Similarly, full-length cDNA fragments encoding the predicted Arabidopsis IQD20 and IQD33 proteins were generated by RT-PCR using genespecific primers and mobilized into the pET21a(+) vector (BamHI/EcoRI), which provides the N-terminal T7 tag (Novagen, Madison, WI). To allow for production of recombinant proteins with two epitope tags (N-terminal T7 tag, C-terminal His tag), full-length IQD1 and partial cDNAs encoding truncated IQD1 polypeptides were generated by PCR and mobilized into the pET21a(+) vector (EcoRI/HindIII). Production of...
recombinant *Arabidopsis* CaM1 (identical with CaM4), CaM2 (identical with CaM3 and CaM5), CML8, and CML9 fused to the C-terminal Strep-tag II was supported by pASK-IBA3 bacterial vectors (Genosys Biotechnologies, Inc., The Woodlands, TX), which were obtained from C. Köhler (23). The authenticity of all cloned cDNA fragments was verified by DNA sequencing. Plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21a(pLyS5) DE3 cells (Novagen) for protein expression and purification using standard protocols. Expression of recombinant proteins was induced by 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for at least 4 h at 37 °C. Bacterial cells were lysed by sonication in buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100) for most experiments or in buffer B (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% Triton X-100) for nucleic acid binding experiments. Both buffers contained 1 tablet of complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science) per 50 ml volume. Bacterial lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were used for SDS-PAGE, protein purification, immunoblot analysis, and *in vitro* interaction assays.

**Calmodulin Pulldown Assays**—Cell extracts of bacteria expressing C-terminal Strep-tag II fusions of *Arabidopsis* CaM1, CaM2, CML8, or CML9 were incubated with Strep-Tactin beads according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Genosys Biotechnologies) to allow for binding of recombinant CaM/CML proteins. Aliquots of Strep-Tactin beads with bound CaM/CMLs (60 μl) were washed with buffer A containing 1 mM CaCl₂ or 5 mM EGTA. Bacterial lysates expressing T7-tagged IQD1 (400 μl) were added to the prewashed beads in the presence of 1 mM CaCl₂ or 5 mM EGTA. The suspensions were rotated at room temperature for 30 min. After incubation, the beads were washed 4 times with 1 ml of buffer A containing 1 mM CaCl₂ or 5 mM EGTA. The bound proteins were eluted by boiling the bead pellets for 2 min in 50 μl of SDS sample buffer. Proteins were resolved on 10% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gels (24) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Pierce). Co-sedimentation of T7-tagged IQD1 was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence using a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated T7-Tag monoclonal antibody (Novagen) as previously described (16).

**Calmodulin Overlay Assays**—The coding region of *Arabidopsis* CaM2 was cloned into vector pENTR1A (Invitrogen) using BamHI and Xhol restriction sites. The resulting entry vector was used to mobilize the CaM2 cDNA insert by LR recombination (according to the manufacturer’s protocol) into vector pEXP1-DEST (Invitrogen) to facilitate *in vitro* synthesis of CaM2 containing a His₆-tag, the Xpress epitope tag, and the enterokinase recognition site. The cell-free protein synthesis system Expressway Cell-Free *E. coli* Expression System (Invitrogen) and [³⁵S]methionine (Met) (Amersham Biosciences) were used for the production of recombinant [³⁵S]Met-labeled CaM2 according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The radiolabeled CaM2 was purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) under native conditions and digested *in situ* with enterokinase EKMax™ (Invitrogen) overnight at 37 °C. The released CaM2 was collected in the flow-through fraction. The concentration of the purified protein was determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm using extinction coefficient values calculated by the ExPASy ProtParam tool (13,980 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for the CaM2 fusion after enterokinase cleavage). Purified CaM2 was used as a probe after determination of its concentration and specific radioactivity (about 0.5 × 10⁶ cpm/μg). Expressed T7- and His₆-tagged full-length IQD proteins and truncated IQD1 polypeptides were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography under native conditions (according to the manufacturer’s protocol). The proteins (0.5 μg) were separated by SDS-PAGE (12% gels) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked overnight with 5% BSA in TBST buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) and subsequently overlaid with 2 μM [³⁵S]Met-labeled CaM2 in the same buffer containing 1 mM CaCl₂ or 5 mM EGTA. The membranes were washed 4 times for 15 min in the respective buffers without probe. Bound CaM2 was detected by phosphorimaging analysis (GE Healthcare).

For determining saturation curves of CaM2 binding, full-length T7-IQD1-His₆ recombinant protein was purified by Ni-NTA chromatography under native conditions and spotted on nitrocellulose membrane discs (100 pmol) that were sequentially incubated in blocking solution (see above) for 1 h at room temperature followed by incubation in blocking solution containing different concentrations of [³⁵S]Met-labeled CaM2 in the presence of 1 mM CaCl₂ or 5 mM EGTA (2 h at room temperature). After washing in TBST buffer without radiolabeled CaM2, the amount of bound CaM2 was measured by liquid scintillation counting. Not more than 0.1% of added [³⁵S]Met-labeled CaM2 remained at the membrane at any condition. Specific CaM2 binding was calculated as the difference between total binding and nonspecific binding (filter discs without recombinant IQD1 protein). The Kₘ value was determined by Scatchard plot analysis.

**Yeast Two-hybrid Assays**—For yeast two-hybrid interaction assays, the coding sequences of IQD1 and IQD20 were amplified by PCR and cloned into the bait pGBT9 vector (Spel/EcoRI) to create plasmids encoding IQD protein fusions with the Gal4 DNA binding domain (23). Plasmids encoding fusions of *Arabidopsis* CaMs and CMLs with the Gal4 activation domain were obtained either from C. Köhler (23) (pGAD-CaM1, pGAD-CaM2, pGAD-CML8, pGAD-CML9) or were constructed by PCR and insert mobilization into the prey pGAD24 vector for CaM6 (Xhol/EcoRI), CML5 (Xhol/EcoRI), CML11 (BamHI/Xhol), CML13 (Xhol/EcoRI), CML17 (BamHI/EcoRI), CML19 (Xhol/EcoRI), CML27 (Xhol/NotI), CML36 (Xhol/EcoRI), and CML49 (Xhol/EcoRI). Plasmid templates for *Arabidopsis* CaMs and CMLs cDNAs were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Columbus, OH). Full-length cDNAs of IQD1 and IQD20 were also inserted into pGAD24 (BamHI/EcoRI) to test for *in vivo* homodimerization. All plasmid constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Plasmid pGAD-CNGC2 served as a positive control for CaM interaction and was provided by C. Köhler (23). The bait and prey vectors to be tested for interaction of the fusion proteins were co-transformed into yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) strain HF7c using the standard lithium acetate method (25). Protein-protein interactions were scored and quantified by the ability to activate two reporter genes under control of the GAL4 promoter: HIS₃ and lacZ. The HIS3
reporter activity was monitored by testing transformants on synthetic dropout (SD) medium lacking histidine (His). Yeast colonies selected for the presence of the bait and prey plasmids were grown in liquid SD medium (–Leu-Trp) to an optical density of 1 $A_{600}$. Serial 10-fold dilutions (10 μL) were spotted on growth permissive (–Leu-Trp) and growth restrictive (–Leu-Trp–His) SD agar plates. LacZ reporter gene expression ($\beta$-galactosidase activity) was determined using O-nitrophenyl-$\beta$-d-galactopyranoside as a substrate (25), and the galactosidase activity was calculated as Miller units: $A_{420} \times 1000/A_{600} \times$ assay time (min) × assay volume (ml).

**cDNA Library Construction**—An *A. thaliana* (ecotype Columbia) flower cDNA library was constructed using the BD-Matchmaker Library Construction and Screening Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). Poly(A)$^+$ RNA (100 ng) prepared from flower tissues was used for cDNA synthesis according to the manufacturer’s instruction. First strand cDNA was amplified by long distance PCR using the BD Advantage 2 PCR kit (Clontech). The purified cDNA was then fused to the GAL4 activation domain of the bait pGBKT7-Rec (Clontech) expression vector by recombination in AH109 yeast strain, which yielded more than four million original transformants.

**Yeast Two-hybrid Screening**—The yeast two-hybrid cDNA library screening was performed using the BD Matchmaker Two-hybrid System (BD Biosciences). Full-length cDNA of IQD1 was amplified by PCR and cloned into the GAL4 DNA binding domain of pGBKKT7 (BD Biosciences), generating bait plasmid pGBKKT7-IQD1, which was sequenced to ensure fidelity of the construct. The bait construct was introduced into yeast strain Y187 using the lithium acetate transformation method (BD Biosciences Yeast Protocols Handbook). Screens were carried out by mating according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Clontech). Briefly, an overnight culture of strain Y187 expressing pGBKKT7-IQD1 was mixed with strain AH109, harboring the flower cDNA library, for 24 h in rich medium at 30 °C in a shaker at low rotation (30–50 rpm). The mixed yeast culture (diploid cells) was then plated on restrictive SD medium lacking Trp, Leu, His, and adenine (Ade). Positive colonies appearing within 5 days of plating (presumably caused by activation of the HIS3 and ADE2 reporter genes) were subsequently streaked on restrictive SD medium and tested for lacZ expression by a liquid ONPG $\beta$-galactosidase assay (BD Biosciences). Screens were selected for the presence of the bait and prey plasmids (pGBKKT7-IQD1). A positive expression (at least 10 units per milliliter) was regarded as a candidate. After the confirmation of the growth phenotype and lacZ expression, plasmid DNA was isolated from yeast cells and transformed into *E. coli* strain DH5α by electroporation. Because the isolated DNA is a mixture of both plasmids (the bait and prey library clone), the transformed *E. coli* were plated on LB medium containing ampicillin to select for the AD-cDNA library plasmid only. The cDNA inserts were amplified using the BD Matchmaker AD LD-Insert Screening Amplimer Set (Clontech) and the BD Advantage 2 PCR kit (Clontech) to analyze the number of AD library plasmids they contain. Each positive clone generated only one PCR product (one type of AD-cDNA library plasmid). The plasmid was then sequenced, and the cDNA insert was subjected to BLAST analysis of the NCBI database. To independently confirm interaction in the yeast two-hybrid system, the yeast strains Y187 and AH109 were transformed with the respective constructs, mated, and tested for growth on restrictive (–Trp–Leu–His–Ade) SD medium in the presence of 20 μg/ml of the chromogenic $\beta$-galactosidase substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-indolyl-$\beta$-d-galactopyranoside (X-Gal).

**Subcellular Localization Studies**—The coding sequences of IQD1, KLCR1, and CaM2 were amplified in combination with a high fidelity DNA polymerase and subsequently inserted into pENTR/D-TOPO plasmid via directional TOPO cloning (Invitrogen) to generate IQD1-, KLCR1-, and CaM2-pENTR/D-TOPO vectors. A derivative plasmid lacking the IQD1 stop codon, IQD1*–pENTR/D-TOPO, was generated via site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The fidelity of the inserts was verified by DNA sequencing. To study the subcellular localization of GFP- or RFP-tagged fusion proteins, IQD1-, KLCR1-, or CaM2-pENTR/D-TOPO and the IQD1*–pENTR/D-TOPO entry plasmids were recombined in LR clonase reactions with the Gateway-compatible binary destination vectors pB7WG2, pB7WG2, or pB7FWG2 (26) to generate CamV 35S$\text{pro}$$::$IQD1, 35S$\text{pro}$$::$GFP–IQD1, 35S$\text{pro}$$::$GFP–KLCR1, and 35S$\text{pro}$$::$IQD1*–GFP plasmid constructs. For generation of 35S$\text{pro}$$::$mRFP–CaM2 and 35S$\text{pro}$$::$mRFP–KLCR1 constructs, the pGWB455 destination vector was used (27).

**Agrobacterium-mediated transient transformation of tobacco leaves** (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) was conducted as described (28). After infiltration (1–3 days), samples were collected, and GFP fluorescence was visualized on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser scanning microscope. All images were obtained using a 40× water-immersion objective. The excitation wavelength was 488 nm; emission was detected between 493 and 580 nm. For treatments, a 50 μM aqueous oryzalin solution (Sigma) was prepared from a 200 mM stock dissolved in DMSO, and tobacco leaves were infiltrated 1.5 h before microscopic analysis. For co-localization studies, tobacco leaves were co-infiltrated with the indicated plasmids, and fluorescence was visualized on a Zeiss LSM 700. All images were obtained using the sequential mode to prevent false positive signals. A 488-nm (GFP) and a 555-nm (RFP) diode laser were used for excitation; emission was detected using a short pass filter (492–555 nm) and a long pass filter (582–700 nm) for GFP and RFP, respectively. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants were generated by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. T$_2$ lines showing a segregation ratio of 3:1 for resistance to Basta$^\text{®}$ were selected for subsequent microscopic analysis (29). Oryzalin treatments (5 μM) on *Arabidopsis* plants were done for 60 min.

Whole-mount immunolocalization experiments were performed as previously described (30) using a rat anti-α-tubulin antibody (MCA78G) (AbD Serotec, Düsseldorf, Germany). After successive washings, samples were incubated with a red fluorescent rabbit anti-rat (SAB4600122) and a monoclonal green fluorescent mouse anti-GFP antibody (SAB460051) (Sigma). Microscopy was conducted as described for co-localization studies on transiently transformed tobacco leaves.
RESULTS

IQD1 and Arabidopsis CaM/CMLs Interact in Vitro—We previously demonstrated Ca$^{2+}$-dependent interaction of T7 epitope-tagged Arabidopsis IQD1 with bovine CaM (16). Here we extended these studies to include several Arabidopsis CaMs and CML proteins (Fig. 1). In Arabidopsis, 7 and 50 genes code for canonical CaMs and CML proteins, respectively. The 7 distinct CaM loci encode 4 CaM isoforms (CaM1/4, CaM2/3/5, CaM6, CaM7), which differ by 1–4 residues and share at least 89% identity to conserved vertebrate CaMs (10). We performed in vitro pulldown assays with T7-IQD1 and two Arabidopsis CaM isoforms, CaM1 and CaM2, as well as with two CML proteins, CML8 and CML9. We included CML8 and CML9 because both proteins differ considerably from conventional CaMs (<75% sequence identity). The Streptagged CaMs and CMLs were expressed in E. coli and purified by affinity chromatography on Strept-Tactin-Sepharose beads. After co-incubation of Streptagged CaMs or CMLs (bead-immobilized) and T7-epitope tagged IQD1 (bacterial extracts) in the presence of 1 mM CaCl$_2$ or 5 mM EGTA, the Strept-Tactin-Sepharose beads were repeatedly washed. Bound proteins were eluted by boiling in SDS sample loading buffer. Proteins of all fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, renatured, and probed with radiolabeled CaM2, which was prepared by in vitro transcription/translation in the presence of $[^{35}$S]Met followed by affinity chromatography purification on Ni-NTA and proteolytic removal of the His$_6$ epitope tag. As a positive control, we used bacterial lysates expressing Arabidopsis CNGC1 (23), which did bind to $[^{35}$S]Met-labeled CaM2 in the presence of calcium (1 mM CaCl$_2$) but not in its absence (5 mM EGTA) (supplemental Fig. S1). We also observed an interaction of the CaM2 probe with IQD1 and IQD20, but not with IQD33. In contrast to the pulldown assays (Fig. 1), CaM binding was only detected in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ (supplemental Fig. S1), which is not an uncommon observation for IQ motif-containing proteins (31, 32). In conclusion, our data point to the importance of the IQ67 domain for mediating CaM/CML interaction.

In a second approach to map the CaM interaction domain of IQD proteins, we generated a series of overlapping N- and C-terminal deletions of IQD1, each tagged with T7 and His$_6$ epitopes (T7-IQD1-His$_6$) that we tested for CaM binding in overlay assays (Fig. 2A). Full-length IQD1 and truncated IQD1 polypeptides were affinity-purified by Ni-NTA chromatography, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, renatured, and probed with $[^{35}$S]Met-labeled CaM2 as above. Protein loading was assessed by T7 epitope detection (Fig. 2B). As expected, CaM binding was only observed for IQD1 polypeptides comprising the IQ67 domain, which further supports a role of this domain for recruiting CaM (Fig. 2, C and D). Using full-length IQD1 protein, we determined by CaM overlay assays and Scatchard plot analysis the apparent dissociation constant of the interaction between IQD1 and CaM2 (Fig. 3). The calculated value ($K_d \sim 0.6 \mu M$) indicates a relatively low affinity of IQD1 for CaM2 under the in vitro assay conditions used.

IQD1 and IQD20 Interact with CaM/CMLs in Yeast—We previously showed Ca$^{2+}$-dependent interaction of T7-IQD1 and T7-IQD20 with bovine CaM (16, 17). To validate in vivo the
observed in vitro interactions of IQD1 and IQD20 with CaM, we performed yeast two-hybrid interaction assays. Both IQD proteins were fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain (Gal4BD (BD)) or Gal4 activation domain (Gal4AD (AD)). We selected 13 CaM/CML proteins representing the nine groups of the Arabidopsis CaM/CML family (33) for construction of Gal4AD-CaM/CML prey vectors. A CNGC2 bait vector (Gal4DB-CNGC2) was used as a positive control for CaM interaction in yeast (23). The respective bait and prey vectors were co-transformed into the yeast reporter strain HF7c harboring two reporter genes integrated into the chromosome, His3 and LacZ. The His3 reporter activity was assayed by testing the growth of transformants on SD medium lacking His. LacZ reporter gene expression was monitored by a quantitative enzyme assay of β-galactosidase activity. As shown in Fig. 4, none of the negative controls was able to grow on restrictive (−Leu-Trp-His) SD medium (i.e. single transformations with BD-IQD1 and BD-IQD20 or AD-CaM1, AD-CaM2, AD-CaM7, AD-CML11, and AD-CML13), whereas the positive control (co-transformation with AD-CaM1/BD-CNGC2) revealed robust growth and expression of β-galactosidase activity (15.1 Miller units), which was similar to published results (23). Furthermore, there was no evidence for homotypic interaction of IQD1 or IQD20 (co-transformation with BD-IQD1/AD-IQD1 or BD-IQD20/AD-IQD20). When compared with the positive control, yeast cells transformed with plasmids encoding BD-IQD1 and AD-CaMs (CaM1, CaM2, CaM7) showed only weak growth on SD medium lacking His and low expression of β-galactosidase activity (0.3 Miller units). However, co-transformation with BD-IQD1 and each of the 10 different AD-CML constructs did not support yeast growth on His-deficient SC medium, and only background β-galactosidase activity was expressed (0.1 Miller units). On the other hand, co-transformation of yeast with BD-IQD20 and AD-CaM/CMLs revealed preferential yet relatively robust interaction of IQD20 with CaM2 (2.2 Miller units) and CML13 (1.2 Miller units), whereas no interaction was observed for BD-IQD20 and the other 11 AD-CaM/CML fusion proteins (Fig. 4). In summary, although yeast two-hybrid assays may not be the ideal system to study or to identify CaM target proteins (9), our results indicate weak but differential interaction of IQD1 and IQD20 with CaM/CMLs in vivo and thus support our in vitro interaction studies.

IQD1 Interacts with KLCR1—Because IQD1 is highly expressed in flowers and developing siliques of A. thaliana (16),...
we screened a flower cDNA library for IQD1-interacting proteins. The cDNA library was constructed by recombination with pGADT7-Rec vector in yeast strain AH109, resulting in a fusion of each cDNA with the Gal4AD. Full-length cDNA of IQD1 was cloned in-frame with the Gal4DB (pGBKT7-IQD1) bait vector and introduced into yeast strain Y187. Two-hybrid screens were carried out by mating pGBKT7-IQD1 with the pGADT7-cDNA library. The resulting diploid cells were plated on growth restrictive (−H/Trp, −H/Leu, −H/His, −H/Ade) SD medium.

A total of 25 colonies were selected and tested for lacZ expression by measurement of β-galactosidase activity in liquid assays. Only 4 of the 25 yeast strains expressed robust β-galactosidase activity (≥1.0 Miller units), which were used for plasmid recovery and sequencing of the prey cDNA insert. Three strains harbored cDNAs predicted to encode a tetratricopeptide repeat domain (TPR12)-containing protein (At4g10840), and the fourth library clone encoded a glutathione S-transferase (GST) belonging to the tau (U) class of GSTs (GSTU26; At1g17190). Using the predicted At4g10840 protein as a query, we identified two closely related TPR proteins in Arabidopsis (55–60% identity) with similarity to mammalian kinesin light chain (KLC) subunits (20–25% identity) of kinesin motor proteins. The three putative Arabidopsis KLCs, encoded by At4g10840, At3g27960, and At1g27500, are hereafter referred to as KLCR proteins, KLCR1–KLCR3 (supplemental Fig. S2).

Superimposition of the modeled KLCR1 protein and of the experimentally solved KLC1-TPR or KLC2-TPR domains confirm presence of a structurally similar TPR12-type domain in KLCR1, which suggests functional conservation of human KLC and Arabidopsis KLCR proteins (supplemental Fig. S3).

The original diploids expressing BD-IQD1/AD-KLCR1 and BD-IQD1/AD-GSTU26 produced 13.5 ± 1.6 and 9.5 ± 1.3 Miller units of β-galactosidase activity (n = 5–6), respectively, which is indicative of a relatively robust protein–protein interaction. We confirmed these interactions by independent plasmid co-transformation of yeast with IQD1 and KLCR1 or IQD1 and GSTU26 vectors of either configuration (Gal4DB or Gal4AD) as well as with control plasmids (BD-CNGC2/AD-CAM1). After mating, the resulting diploid yeast cells expressing IQD1 and KLCR1 or IQD1 and GSTU26 showed vigorous growth and lacZ expression on restrictive (−H/Trp, −H/Leu, −H/His, −H/Ade) SD medium, which was independent of the bait or prey configuration (Fig. 5). Taken together, our results demonstrate strong and reproducible interactions between IQD1 and
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IQD1 Localizes to Microtubules and Interacts with KLCR1

KLCR1 as well as between IQD1 and GSTU26, which are comparable with the interaction of the control proteins (CNGC2 and CaM1).

GFP-tagged IQD1 Proteins Localize to Microtubules and the Cell Nucleus—Kinesins are a family of cellular motor proteins that move along polarized microtubule tracks to transport various cargos, which are either directly attached to the KHC or to its associated KLC via the TPR12 domain (34). The putative role of KLCR proteins in microtubular transport and the verified interaction of IQD1 with KLCR1 in yeast prompted us to reinvestigate the subcellular localization of IQD1. We previously reported targeting of an IQD1 protein localized to the cytosol and cell nucleus when expressed under the control of the constitutive CaMV 35S promoter in roots of transgenic Arabidopsis plants (16). Here, we transiently expressed 35Spro:GFP, 35Spro:IQD1-GFP or 35Spro:GFP~IQD1 constructs in tobacco leaves (N. benthamiana) and monitored GFP fluorescence by confocal laser scanning microscopy at high resolution (Fig. 6). As expected for control transformations, the GFP protein localized to the cytosol and cell nucleus (Fig. 6A). For transient expression of C-terminal IQD1~GFP or N-terminal GFP~IQD1 fusion proteins, we confirmed nuclear localization of IQD1 but observed additional GFP fluorescence associated with cytoskeletal structures (Fig. 6, B and C, and supplemental Fig. S4). Because KLCR1 likely interacts with kinesin motor proteins that transport cargo along microtubule tracks, we examined the effect of oryzalin, an herbicide promoting microtubule depolymerization. As shown in Fig. 6D, oryzalin treatment caused collapse of cytoskeletal-associated GFP~IQD1 fluorescence, indicating association of IQD1 with the microtubular network. In addition, we studied oryzalin-dependent GFP~IQD1 localization in hypocotyl tissues of transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings (Fig. 6, E and F). The results in a stable, homologous plant transformation system are consistent with the observation in transiently transformed tobacco leaves (Fig. 6, compare panels E and F, with panels C and D). We independently analyzed the subcellular localization of GFP~IQD1 in transgenic plants by co-immunolabeling of microtubules (anti-a-tubulin) and GFP~IQD1 (anti-GFP), which demonstrated co-localization of both proteins to microtubules (Fig. 6, G–L).

IQD1 Recruits KLCR1 and CaM2 to Microtubules—Because KLCR1 is predicted to assist kinesins in cargo transport along microtubules, we first compared the subcellular localization of GFP~IQD1 with RFP~KLCR1 in tobacco leaves that were transiently transfected with 35Spro:GFP~IQD1 or 35Spro:RFP~KLCR1 plasmids. Although GFP~IQD1 localized to microtubules (Fig. 7, A–C), expressed RFP~KLCR1 displayed diffuse cellular fluorescence, indicative of cytosolic localization (Fig. 7, D–F). Interestingly, when both DNA constructs were co-transfected, RFP~KLCR1 co-localized with the microtubular pattern of GFP~IQD1 fluorescence (Fig. 7, G–I), indicating recruitment of RFP~KLCR1 to the microtubular network by GFP~IQD1, most likely via direct interaction in planta. Similarly, transient expression of RFP~CaM2 alone revealed red fluorescence consistent with cytosolic localization of tagged CaM2 (Fig. 7, J–L). Again, co-expression of GFP~CaM2 and GFP~IQD1 caused microtubular co-localization of both fusion proteins, suggesting IQD1-dependent recruitment of CaM2 to the microtubules (Fig. 7, M–O). Additional co-transfection experiments support a role of IQD1 for recruiting KLCR1 and CaM2 to the microtubular skeleton. Although co-expression of GFP~KLCR1 and RFP~CaM2 did not alter the cytosolic localization of either protein (Fig. 7, P–R), co-expression of both protein fusions together with untagged IQD1 resulted in a microtubular localization of GFP~KLCR1 and RFP~CaM2 (Fig. 7, S–U). These data also support the functionality of the GFP~IQD1 protein fusion (Fig. 7, G–I, M–O, and S–U). Taken together, our results demonstrate co-localization of IQD1, KLCR1, and CaM2 to microtubules and further indicate IQD1-dependent recruitment of KLCR1 and CaM2 to the microtubular network via direct interaction.

DISCUSSION

Plant-specific IQD gene families, first annotated in Arabidopsis and rice, encode a major class of putative CaM targets, with about 30 genes expressed in either plant species (17). Although the biological roles for select IQD proteins are beginning to emerge, their mechanisms of action are elusive (16, 20–22). Here we studied the ability of IQD1 to bind to various Arabidopsis CaM/CMLs, mapped its CaM-recruitment domain, and searched for IQD1 interactors to gain first insight into its biochemical function. We used pulldown assays to probe the interaction with two canonical CaMs and two CMLs from Arabidopsis. This study revealed Ca2+-dependent recruitment of all tested CaM/CMLs by IQD1, demonstrating its potential to interact with divergent CaM/CML sensors (Fig. 1). We verified binding of IQD1 to CaM2 by gel overlay assays. In contrast to pulldown assays, we observed interaction in the...
absence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (supplemental Fig. S1, Fig. 2). Using similar overlay assays, CaM interaction in the absence but not the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) was reported for OsCBT, a putative CaM binding transcription factor from rice (31), or for AtBAG6, a novel Arabidopsis CaM-binding protein (32). Deletion analysis of both proteins demonstrated a role of canonical IQ motifs for mediating their interaction with Ca\(^{2+}\)-free CaM (31, 32). Typically, proteins containing complete canonical IQ motifs but no other CaM interaction motifs do not require Ca\(^{2+}\) for CaM binding, such as myosin (35), whereas proteins having incomplete IQ-like motifs tend to bind to Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM with higher affinity than to apoCaM (36–38). Deletion mapping of IQD1 confirmed the importance of the IQ67 domain for CaM interaction (Fig. 2), which contains one complete and one incomplete IQ consensus as well as three interspersed 1-5-10 motifs (16), suggesting complex and differential interaction of IQD1 with both apo- and Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM/CML sensors. It is likely that the physicochemical conditions during pulldown assays (native IQD1 in solution) and gel overlay assays (membrane-immobilized, renatured IQD1) differentially favor the accessibility of Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent or Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent CaM binding motifs. Whereas high affinity binding (\(K_d\) values in the nm range) is typical for Ca\(^{2+}\)-CaM binding proteins (39–41), the relatively low affinity of IQD1 for apoCaM2 (\(K_d\sim 0.6\) \(\mu\)M) in gel overlay assays (Fig. 3) is consistent with Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent CaM interactions reported for proteins binding to apoCaM, e.g. neuromodulin (\(K_d\sim 1\) \(\mu\)M) (13, 42). Our results of two unrelated CaM interaction assays validate the presence of functional Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent CaM recruitment motifs in the IQ67 domain. Its importance for mediating CaM interaction is further supported by experiments with IQD20, which comprises only a short N-terminal extension in addition to the IQ67 domain but binds to bovine CaM (17) and Arabidopsis CaM2 (supplemental Fig. S1) in pulldown and gel overlay assays, respectively.

The capacity of IQD proteins to recruit CaM is further demonstrated by yeast two-hybrid assays (Fig. 4). These reveal weak but differential interaction of IQD1 and IQD20 with only a few of the 13 CaM/CMLs tested, suggesting regulation of IQD function by specific CaM/CML sensors. Interrogation of a recently
published *Arabidopsis* interactome map retrieved evidence for the interaction of IQD1, IQD23, and IQD31 with CaM1 as well as of IQD31 with CML13 in yeast (43). Although the yeast system is a widely used approach to study protein interactions *in vivo*, the yeast system is not necessarily a suitable method for characterizing Ca²⁺-regulated CaM/CML-target interactions due to its difficulties to control Ca²⁺ concentration (9). Nonetheless, we performed yeast two-hybrid screens with IQD1 to select *Arabidopsis* CaM/CMLs and novel interactors. Although we did not recover any of the Ca²⁺ sensors, we repeatedly isolated cDNAs encoding KLCR1 and GSTU26, and we confirmed the interaction with IQD1 (Fig. 5). GSTU26 belongs to the plant-specific tau (U) class of GST enzymes of undefined metabolic functions (44–46); however, GSTUs were shown to selectively retain S-acyl-glutathione adducts, possibly to protect their unstable products upon formation *in vivo* (47). Interestingly, GSTU26 is closely related to GSTU20 (44). The latter was implicated in the conjugation of glutathione with activated aliphatic aldoximes to form structurally analogous S-hydroxy-amyI-glutathione adducts during glucosinolate biosynthesis (48). The expression of GSTU26 differentially responds to challenge with plant pathogens and treatment with defense-related hormones, jasmonic and salicylic acid (49). Thus, GSTU26 and IQD1 may both play a role for the production and regulation of glucosinolate phytoanticipins in plant defense (16).

The IQD1-KLCR1 interaction in yeast (Fig. 5) is supported by querying the *Arabidopsis* interactome database, which points to interactions between IQD and KLCR protein, i.e. IQD2 with At4g10840 (KLCR1) and At3g27960 (KLCR2) and IQD23 with At3g27960 (KLCR2) (43). The *Arabidopsis* KLCRs are similar to human KLCs (supplemental Figs. S2 and S3) and may be functionally equivalent in recruiting various cargos to KHCs. Kinesins are a class of microtubule-associated motor proteins that function in cell division and facilitate directional transport of organelles, vesicles, protein complexes, and mRNA-protein particles to specific destinations, generally toward the cell periphery (34, 50, 51). The cargo is either directly attached to the globular C-terminal tail of the KHC homodimer or indirectly via associated KLCs or via KLC binding adaptors that provide a scaffold for additional protein interactions. The KLC subunit contains an N-terminal heptad repeat region for binding to the coiled-coil stalk of the KHC dimer followed by several TPR motifs and a variable C-terminal domain that typically recognizes the C terminus of their partner scaffold protein. Mounting evidence in animals on kinesin-cargo interactions indicates that KLC-interacting scaffolds regulate cargo recruitment and kinesin activation by relieving autoinhibition. Even entire preassembled signaling modules such as mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades associated with vesicle-bound transmembrane receptors are tethered to KHC-KLC tetramers via scaffold proteins, which trigger and inform transport along microtubules to their final membrane destination. The assembly, loading, and unloading of scaffold-

**FIGURE 7. IQD1 recruits KLCR1 and CaM2 to microtubules.** Tobacco leaves (*N. benthamiana*) were (co)infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* strains harboring plasmids supporting CaMV 35S promoter-driven expression of GFP—IQD1 alone (A–C), mRFP—KLCR1 alone (D–F), GFP—IQD1 and mRFP—KLCR1 (G–I), mRFP—CaM2 alone (J–L), GFP—IQD1 and mRFP—CaM2 (M–O), and GFP—KLCR1 and mRFP—CaM2 (P–R) as well as of untagged IQD1 together with GFP—KLCR1 and mRFP—CaM2 (S–U). Panels of the left column show the GFP signal, panels of the center column the RFP signal, and panels of the right column the merged GFP and RFP signals. Images were obtained on a Zeiss LSM700 confocal laser scanning microscope using sequential mode for clear separation of GFP and RFP signals. Scale bar, 10 μm. Transfection experiments were repeated at least three times with different sets of tobacco plants. Representative images of transfected leaf epidermal cells are shown.
associated cargo complexes are tightly controlled by phosphorylation, GTPase activity, and Ca\(^{2+}\)-signaling (50–52). Thus, scaffold proteins serve as critical nodes that integrate multiple signaling pathways to coordinate diverse cellular activities (53, 54).

Although mammalian KHC and KLC subunits are encoded by 45 and 4 genes, respectively, the Arabidopsis kinesin family comprises 61 predicted members (34, 55, 56). The precise functions and biological roles for about two-thirds of Arabidopsis kinesins are not understood, and nothing is known about their associated light chains (55). Cargo selection, regulation of motor activity, and associated biological processes remain unexplored in plants, with the notable exception of a kinesin-like CaM-binding protein involved in the regulation of cell division and trichome morphogenesis (55, 57). Our study uncovers a novel link between Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling and the regulation of kinesin motor activity via direct interactions of CaM/CML, IQD, and KLCR proteins. This proposition is supported by the subcellular localization of IQD1 to microtubules (Fig. 6), a feature shared by most members of the Arabidopsis IQD family.8 Our co-transfection studies in tobacco further indicate recruitment of KLCR1 as well as CaM2 to the microtubules via IQD1 (Fig. 7). The prospect arises that IQD proteins provide an assortment of KLCR-interacting scaffolds for various kinesin-dependent transport processes (see Fig. 8). Given the affinity of IQD1 for artificial single-stranded nucleic acid substrates (supplemental Fig. S5), IQD-facilitated transport along microtubules may comprise translocation of RNA-protein complexes to distinct cellular compartments, which is an important mechanism for coupling gene expression with efficient protein sorting (58, 59).

We obtained first insight into the biochemical functions of IQD1, likely having implications for other IQD proteins, but are left to speculate on the role of IQD1 in glucosinolate metabolism and plant defense. IQD1 is mainly expressed in the vascular bundles, a pattern characteristic of numerous glucosinolate-related genes, and its overexpression stimulates glucosinolate production and defense responses (16). In Arabidopsis, glucosinolates accumulate to very high concentrations (>130 mm) in the sulfur-rich S-cells, which surround the phloem zone of vascular bundles and expand to a length of >1 mm (60). Because S-cells are thought to sustain glucosinolate synthesis (60), the highly polarized cells likely necessitate active translocation of metabolons or RNA-protein complexes. Likewise, intracellular transport processes such as vesicle trafficking are crucial for the rapid deployment of defensive layers of callose at the site of pathogen contact. Interestingly, pathogen perception activates turnover of specific glucosinolates, which is necessary for triggering biosynthesis of callose and its site-specific deposition (61, 62). In conclusion, our study provides a first molecular framework for dissecting the biochemical functions of IQD1 and related proteins in A. thaliana and other plant species.
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