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Abstract: This research intend to examined that influence which came from career development, workload and compensation towards turnover intention at PT XYZ's employees. Research population were employees from PT XYZ JATA 2 Area, which amounting to 378 employees, which sample amounted to 195 respondents (by Slovin 5% error tolerance). Data analysis method by multiple linear regression analysis to examined several hypothesis. Those results that earned from this research, such as: 1) There had strongly influence between career development to turnover intention; 2) There has none reaction which occurs from workload towards turnover intention; 3) There had no impact between compensation and turnover intention; 4) There had simultaneously reacted between career development, workload, and compensation against turnover intention.
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INTRODUCTION

One of human resources problem which related to labor in company regarding employee turnover intention. Turnover intention is desires from employees to quit their jobs and has an impact to company that should need faced with decreasing employee in row and high costs which incurred to recruit and train new employees.

PT. XYZ is a subsidiary under ASTRA International which engaged to consumer finance business that located in South Jakarta. This company has similiar problem about employee turnover intentions every month. It could be seen that turnover in these companies has increased from year to year. In 2016 these average turnover at PT. XYZ around 1.18%, then rose by 0.31% to 1.49% in 2017, and in 2018 it has increased again by 0.03% from prior year to 1.52%.
Figure 1. PT XYZ Turnover Percentage Graph during period of 2016-2018

An increase over employee turnover has become concern to company. Therefore interviews were conducted to find out those matters which has an affect to employees so they would leave company through exit interview system. According to exit interview result, it could be seen that 55.74% felt that there was an work overload which assigned to them, 34.99% felt that compensation received was inadequate and 75.18% from outstanding employees that decide to opted out which indicated that company's lack of care towards career development and its employees.

Table 1. PT XYZ Exit Interview Data

| Factors That Cause Turnover                  | 2016     | 2017     | 2018     | Average
|---------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------
| Career Development                          |          |          |          |          
| Outstanding employees that exit             | 74.07%   | 74.46%   | 77.01%   | 75.18%   
| Non-performance employees who leave         | 25.93%   | 25.54%   | 22.99%   | 24.82%   
| Workload                                    |          |          |          |          
| Overload                                    | 43.54%   | 44.39%   | 44.84%   | 44.28%   
| Not overload                                | 56.46%   | 55.61%   | 55.16%   | 55.74%   
| Relationship with Colleagues                |          |          |          |          
| Good and smooth                             | 84.08%   | 85.81%   | 86.70%   | 85.53%   
| Not good and there has obstacles            | 15.92%   | 14.19%   | 13.30%   | 14.47%   
| Relationship with Colleagues                |          |          |          |          
| Good and smooth                             | 71.88%   | 75.19%   | 77.64%   | 74.90%   
| Not good and there has obstacles            | 28.12%   | 24.81%   | 22.36%   | 25.10%   
| Communication                               |          |          |          |          
| Good and smooth                             | 80.47%   | 84.03%   | 85.25%   | 83.25%   
| Not good and one way                        | 19.53%   | 15.97%   | 14.75%   | 16.75%   
| Facilities and Welfare                      |          |          |          |          
| Satisfying                                  | 82.71%   | 80.58%   | 82.42%   | 81.91%   
| Less satisfactory                           | 17.29%   | 19.42%   | 17.58%   | 18.09%   
| Work Support Equipment                      |          |          |          |          
| Adequate                                    | 88.29%   | 88.65%   | 88.27%   | 88.40%   
| Inadequate                                  | 11.71%   | 11.35%   | 11.73%   | 11.60%   
| Workspace                                   |          |          |          |          
| Qualify                                     | 80.03%   | 81.56%   | 80.93%   | 80.84%   
| Not qualify                                 | 19.97%   | 18.44%   | 19.07%   | 19.16%   
| Compensation                                |          |          |          |          
| Adequate                                    | 66.19%   | 63.68%   | 65.16%   | 65.01%   
| Inadequate                                  | 33.81%   | 36.32%   | 34.84%   | 34.99%   

Similar to prior exit interview data, pre-survey result from 25 employees showed that 72% that they had not been promoted for more than 3 years, though as many as 60% said
that they had exceed performance at company. Those also could be seen from the pre-survey result to employees about workloads, whereas 84% feel burdened by their work. Likewise occur to pre-survey result that related to compensation which given to employees showed that as many as 64% were not satisfied with compensation which provided.

According to those phenomenon of pre-survey result that accomplished at PT. XYZ and also PT. XYZ’s turnover data during these period 2016 to 2018, Researchers was eager to evaluated these matter regarding employee turnover intentions at PT. XYZ with title "Reaction over Employees Turnover intention which influenced by Career Development, Work load and Compensation at PT XYZ”.

LITERATURE REVIEW
Career Development
Career development is company or organization program in order to provide training and opportunities to improve expertise and also reach higher position in a company or organization. Sunyoto (2012: 166) added that there has two dimensions to illustrated about career development in companies, which is career planning and career management.

Workload
Workload is quantity of work done by a person related to his/ her works within a certain time period based on responsibilities that given from organization or company.

Compensation
According to Milkovich and Newman in Runkat and Aulia (2019) compensation is all forms of financial rewards and measured services and benefits received by employees as part of work relationship.

Turnover Intention
Turnover intention is desire or intention on employee to leave company and get a better job from current job. Dimensions from turnover intention according to Mobley in Mahdi, et., Al. (2012) were consists of thinking of quitting, intent to search, and intent to quit.

Theoretical Framework
According to background from theory research above, these following theoretical framework could be made as its follows:
Hypothesis
These several hypothesis from this research, namely 1) Career development had strong impact towards turnover intention; 2) Workload had significant reaction over turnover intention; 3) Compensation had huge effect to turnover intention; and 4) Career development, workload, and compensation together had remarkable affects on turnover intention.

RESEARCH METHODS
This type of research used quantitative research by causal descriptive approach to describe those connection between variables which studied. Independent variable in this research were consisted from career development, workload and compensation, while dependent variable was turnover intention. Population research were employees of PT. XYZ Area JATA 2 in South Jakarta, which amounted 378 employees. Discovered from sample size to be taken from research used Slovin formula with an error tolerance level of 5% so these sample of 195 respondents was obtained. Determination from respondents was selected by using non-probability sampling techniques with accidental sampling method. Data collection methods used collecting library research and field research. Data analysis method that used in this research was multiple linear regression analysis through validity, reliability, classic assumption and hypothesis examination by SPSS 25 program.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Validity and Reliability Test
According to validity test result, it could be seen that all indicator items on research variables have value of $r_{count} > r_{table}$ (0.138), so it could be said that all items from these research indicator were valid.
Table 2. Validity Test Results

| No. | $r_{\text{count}}$ $X_1$ | $r_{\text{table}}$ | Info | $r_{\text{count}}$ $X_2$ | $r_{\text{table}}$ | Info | $r_{\text{count}}$ $Y$ | $r_{\text{table}}$ | Info |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------|------------------|------------------|------|------------------|------------------|------|
| 1   | 0.711            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.605            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.783            | 0.138            | Valid|
| 2   | 0.231            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.433            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.769            | 0.138            | Valid|
| 3   | 0.807            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.451            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.811            | 0.138            | Valid|
| 4   | 0.494            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.773            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.654            | 0.138            | Valid|
| 5   | 0.728            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.238            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.859            | 0.138            | Valid|
| 6   | 0.712            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.762            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.762            | 0.138            | Valid|
| 7   | 0.836            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.836            | 0.138            | Valid|              |                  |      |
| 8   | 0.800            | 0.138            | Valid| 0.800            | 0.138            | Valid|              |                  |      |

Based on reliability test result, it could be seen that Cronbach's Alpha career development, workload, compensation and turnover intention had greater value than specified conditions (0.6), then it could be concluded that all research variables were declared reliable.

Table 3. Reliability Test Results

| Variable              | Cronbach's Alpha | Terms | Info       |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Career Development    | 0.746            | > 0.6 | Reliable   |
| Workload              | 0.669            | > 0.6 | Reliable   |
| Compensation          | 0.859            | > 0.6 | Reliable   |
| Turnover Intention    | 0.821            | > 0.6 | Reliable   |

Classic Assumption Test

According to normality test result by Kolmogorov-Smirnov One-Sample Test, it could be seen that these Asymp value. Sig (2-tailed) is 0.27 (27%) meaning it was greater than 0.05 (5%) as normally distributed from research data determination.

Table 4. Normality Test Results

| N       | 195 |
|---------|-----|
| Normal Parameters $^a,b$ | Mean | 0.0000000 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | 0.068 |
| Test Statistic | Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.068 |

Based on multicollinearity test results, it could be seen that VIF value for each independent variable has less than 10 with tolerance value is more than 0.1, so it could be stated that this regression model is free from multicollinearity.
Table 5. Multicollinearity Test Results

| Model | Collinearity Statistics | Tolerance | VIF  |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|------|
| 1     | (Constant)              |           |      |
|       | Carrer Development      | 0.707     | 1.414|
|       | Workload                | 0.970     | 1.031|
|       | Compensation            | 0.724     | 1.381|

a. Dependent Variable: TURNOVER INTENTION

According to heteroscedasticity test result by scatterplot test, it could be seen that those points spread with unclear patterns above and below number 0 on Y axis. These results was indicated that there has no heteroscedasticity problem occur on this research model.

![Figure 3. Heteroscedasticity Test Results](image)

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

According to multiple linear regression analysis, these regression equation model was obtained:

\[ Y = 3.467 - 0.334X1 + 0.142X2 - 0.032X3. \]

1) These results showed that t value from career development variable had t value (2.558) > t table (0.138), with Sig. 0.011 <0.05, so it could be said that career development partially had strong impact over turnover intention.

2) These results showed that t value of workload variable had (1.962)> t table (0.138) with Sig. 0.051> 0.05, so it could be concluded that workload had not influence towards turnover intention.

3) The results showed that t value of workload variable had (0.703)> t table (0.138) with Sig. 0.483> 0.05, so it could be said that compensation had none affections against turnover intention.
Table 6. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

| Model | Coefficients | Coefficients | t | Slg. |
|-------|--------------|--------------|----|-----|
|       | B            | Std. Error   | Beta|     |     |
| 1     | 3.467        | 1.778        |     | 8.274 | 0.000 |
|       | -0.334       | 0.130        | -0.212 | -2.558 | 0.011 |
|       | 0.142        | 0.072        | 0.139 | 1.962 | 0.051 |
|       | -0.032       | 0.045        | -0.058 | -0.703 | 0.483 |

a. Dependent Variable: TURNOVER INTENTION

According to simultaneous test results (F Test) its common that Fcount value (4.73) has greater than Ftable (2.65), with that it could be settled that career development, workload and compensation together had influence on turnover intention.

Table 7. F Test Results

| Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F    | Slg. |
|-------|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| 1     | Regression    | 3  | 62,458      | 4,732 | .003 |
|       | Residual      | 191| 13,199      |      |      |
|       | Total         | 194| 13,199      |      |      |

a. Dependent Variable: TURNOVER INTENTION
b. Predictors: (Constant), COMPENSATION, WORKLOAD, CAREER DEVELOPMENT

Those simultaneous effect from three independent variables to dependent variable is 58%.

Table 8. Determination of Coefficient Test Results

| Model | R        | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|----------|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1     | .721a    | 0.510    | 0.580             | 5.395                     |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_X3, Total_X2, Total_X1
b. Dependent Variable: Total_Y

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

From these estimation results and discussion alongside with hypothesis test results it could be drawn several conclusions like in belows:

1) There had huge impact between career development and employee turnover intention at PT. XYZ Area JATA 2. These results was confirmed by Ghafoor, et., Al. (2017) research which states that there had strong negative impact towards career development on turnover intention.
2) There had no influence between workload and employee turnover intention at PT. XYZ Area JATA 2. These results was confirmed by Aristawati (2019) research which said that there had none reaction between workload to turnover intention.

3) There had none reaction from compensation to employee turnover intention at PT. XYZ Area JATA 2. These results was contrary to research conducted by Kumbara (2018) which argued that compensation had negative influence over turnover intention.

4) There had simultaneous reactions between those variables from Career Development, Workload and Compensation against Turnover Intention at PT. XYZ Area JATA 2.

Suggestions

From several results from these research, authors could provide some of suggestion as in following belows:

1) Author suggested that companies should provide opportunities for career development which open to every employees and periodically review employees who excel by considering length of work at company. Especially for workers with Collection & Recovery Field and UFI Marketing Field positions who has largest portion of employees at PT. XYZ. By Providing opportunities to enhance their career, training, education and job placement according to their interests and expertise that its expected to reduce these turnover intention at PT. XYZ employees.

2) Author advised about work shifts that needs to change the system so employees would feel that system which applied in according to rules and policies at PT. XYZ, especially in Billing Department, which works from morning to night (without work shifts), so employees felt that they working for more than applied policies. Therefore, specifically for Billing Department, those work shifts should be added and implemented at company.

3) Author give suggestion to PT. XYZ Area JATA 2 to recounted these compensation given to employees should according to their workloads and also from their performance which exceed the targets alongside with length of career, they have chance to get better position at this company. Especially for employees who work at Collection & Recovery Field Position and UFI Marketing Field which has largest portion of employees field at this company.

4) For next further researcher it is expected to add other variables who has strong influence to evaluating these turnover intention beside these variables studied which is career development, workload and compensation.
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