Efficacy of live attenuated and inactivated bivalent vaccine against canine distemper and canine parvo viruses in dogs
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ABSTRACT

Through the present work we succeeded to prepare live attenuated and inactivated canine distemper (CD) using Snyder Hill strain and canine parvo (CP) vaccines using CPV type 2a strain either in mono or bivalent formulae aiming to establish the most safe and potent vaccine formula that enable puppies to withstand both virus infections. It was found that monovalent and bivalent attenuated vaccines induced higher and longer duration of immunity, showed protective CD antibody titers (64-128 by SNT and 2.4 log10 by ELISA) up to 12 months post vaccination while inactivated ones induced lower and shorter protective immune levels (32 by SNT and 1.8 log10 by ELISA) for 10 months post vaccination. Also, CP antibody levels remained with high levels (64-128 by SNT and 2.5 by ELISA) up to 12 months as induced by attenuated mono and bivalent vaccine and with lower protective values (32-64 by SNT and 1.5-1.9 log10 by ELISA) by inactivated vaccines up to 11 months. Anyhow, it could be said that the bivalent CD and CP attenuated or inactivated vaccines can provide vaccinated puppies with specific protective antibodies against the two viruses.

1. INTRODUCTION

Canine distemper (CD) is a highly contagious acute or subacute disease caused by a single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the genus *Morbillivirus* of *Paramyxoviridae family* (American Veterinary Medical Association, 2016). CD can cause respiratory, gastroenteritis, and nervous symptoms in different ages of dogs, but young puppies are especially vulnerable. Control of canine distemper virus infection is based on adequate diagnosis, quarantine, sanitation, and vaccination. The virus is very fragile, and susceptible to standard disinfectants. Thorough disinfection of premises, however, can be very challenging. Successful immunization of pups with attenuated canine distemper virus vaccines depends on the absence of interfering maternal antibody. The age at which pups can be immunized can be predicted from a monograph if the serum antibody titer of the mother is known; this service is available in some diagnostic laboratories. Alternatively, pups can be vaccinated with modified live-virus vaccine at 6 weeks of age and then at 2- to 4-week intervals until 16 weeks of age, which is often now the standard practice (MacLachlan et al, 2011). Puppies should start receiving vaccinations at 6-8 weeks of age followed by booster shot every 2-4 weeks until they are 16 weeks old (MAR VISTA Vet, 2012).

Canine parvovirus (CP2) causes severe enteric infection with bloody diarrhea, immune suppression and also high fatality rates. The continuous incidence of enteritis is due to the ability of the virus to mutate, which gives rise to new, more resistant and virulent subspecies (Goddard, 2010). Attenuated CPV vaccines provided superior protection and immunity for a longer period of time (Spibey et al., 2008).

There have been concerns expressed over the efficacy of canine parvovirus vaccines which are based on the original type-2 strain. It has previously been demonstrated that a type-2 vaccine is able to provide protection against type 2a and 2b field isolates (Martella et al, 2005). Monovalent CPV-2 vaccines are also available, some of them containing very high titer virus (107 TCID50) and widely recommended for initial vaccination of pups (Truyen, 2006)

Many effective live and inactivated vaccines have been developed to protect dogs from CD and CP virus infections, either in single or bivalent forms (Ackerman et al, 1983; Churchill, 1987 and Bass et al, 1982). In Egypt, single live attenuated CD and CP vaccines as well as bivalent live attenuated CD and CP vaccines were successfully prepared (Koteb, 1994 and Khodeir et al, 1998). In addition, single inactivated CP and CD vaccines were prepared by (Koteb et al, 1998 and Abdalla, 2001) respectively. Furthermore, inactivated trivalent CD; CP and rabies vaccines were prepared and found to be highly potent by (Saleh et al, 2002 and Salama et al, 2003). It was concluded that the inactivated vaccines are more stable and easier to handle under field conditions (Lavender and Bewsey, 1973).

The present work aimed to evaluate the efficacy of locally prepared attenuated and/or inactivated bivalent CD and CP vaccines could induce the highest and longest antibody response in vaccinated dogs against CDV and CPV.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Ethical approval
Care and use of the animals were approved by the Medical and Veterinary Research Ethics Committee at the National Research Centre in Egypt (No, 20/053).

2.2. Virus strains and cell culture

Vero cell culture adapted canine distemper virus Snyder Hill strain (Guirguis, 1991) and MDCK cell culture adapted canine parvovirus type 2a (El-Gendy, 2018) were supplied by Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute (VSVRI) Al assayia Cairo and used in vaccine preparations and SNT and ELISA. African green monkey kidney line (Vero) and Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell lines were supplied by VSVRI and used for propagation of CD and CP viruses respectively for vaccine preparations and serum neutralization test.

2.3. Virus propagation in tissue culture

Confluent Vero and MDCK cell lines in roller flasks were inoculated with CD and CP viruses respectively with MOI 2:1 and when complete CPE of each virus was obtained, such flasks were subjected to two cycles of freezing and thawing and the harvest was aseptically centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm in cooling centrifuge. Harvested viruses were tested for sterility and titration.

2.4. Virus titration

Tenfold serial dilutions of the virus to be titrated were prepared in Hanks balanced salt solution (10-1 to 10-10 dilutions) inoculated in the same cell culture used for each propagation. The virus titer was expressed as log10 TCID50/ml of the original inoculum using the formula of (Reed and Meunch, 1938).

2.5. Animals

Twenty-one native breed puppies of 3 to 4 months of age free from canine distemper and canine parvovirus antibodies, as screened by serum neutralization test were used in the present study divided into 7 groups (3 puppies in each group) for potency test of the prepared monovalent and bivalent CD and CP vaccines. In addition, another 12 puppies were used in the safety testing of the prepared vaccine formulae (two puppies for each formula).

Seventy-two weaned Swiss Albino mice (4 weeks old of about 25 gm bodyweights) were supplied by VSVRI and used for testing the safety of the prepared vaccine formulae.

2.6. Preparation of inactivated vaccines

Equal volumes of inactivated CD and CP virus fluids containing protective amounts of each virus protein were mixed with 20% alhydra gel as adjuvant to make a bivalent inactivated CD and CP vaccine (Salama et al., 2003). Virus inactivation was performed on CD and CP viruses with Binary Ethylenimine (BEI) working solution 0.01M prepared according to (Girard et al., 1977). For canine distemper virus inactivation, 5% of the stock BEI solution was used at 37°C for 7 hours and for canine parvovirus inactivation, 5 hours were used (Saleh et al, 2002). 2% Aluminum hydroxide gel was supplied by Superfos Biosector a/s. Frydenlunds Denmark and used as an adjuvant for the prepared inactivated vaccines at the ratio of 20%.

2.7. Preparation of attenuated vaccines

Preparation of monovalent CD and CP attenuated vaccines

To prepare monovalent live attenuated vaccines (either CD or CP), stabilizer composed of 5% lactalbumine hydrolysate and 2.5% sucrose was added to the titrated and sterility tested virus suspension in the ratio of 1:1 then dispensed in neutral sterile vials (2.5ml/vial) and subjected to freeze dry in (lyophilization) process according to (Guirguis, 1991 and koto, 1994).

Preparation of bivalent live CD and CP vaccine

This step was performed through mixing of CD and CP virus suspensions in equal volumes where each 1ml contains not less than 3log10 TCID50 of each virus then the stabilizer was added as above, dispensed as 2.5ml/vial and subjected to freeze drying process according to (Khodier et al., 1998). The lyophilizing technique was carried out on Teflon lyophilize apparatus (Wang and Zhang, 2007).

2.8. Sterility test:

Using thioglycolate, soyabeen casein digest, Sabouraud, and mycoplasma solid and liquid media, sterility testing of the prepared vaccines was performed according to standard procedures (FAO, 1994).

2.9. Safety test:

In mice: Five vials of each vaccine were pooled and 0.03ml of each vaccine was inoculated intra peritoneal in each of eight weaned Swiss Albino mice, according to WHO, (1973). For ten days, inoculated mice were kept under observation alongside eight non-inoculated mice.

In puppies: it was carried out according to the protocol, two puppies were inoculated S/C with ten doses of each vaccine and kept under daily clinical observation for ten days (Saleh et al., 2002).

2.10. Vaccination protocol:

The potency of live attenuated vaccines was tested through vaccination of puppy’s groups 1, 2 and 3 with single CD; single CP and bivalent CD and CP vaccines respectively. Each puppy received one dose inoculated S/C including 3log10 TCID50 of each virus according to Khodier et al., (1998). On the other hand, the potency of monovalent CD, CP and bivalent CD and CP inactivated vaccines was tested in puppy groups 4, 5 and 6 respectively. Each puppy was inoculated S/C with 1ml of the used vaccine according to Saleh et al., (2002). Puppy group-7 was kept without vaccination as negative control. Serum samples were obtained from all puppies on week intervals up to 4 weeks post vaccination then on monthly intervals up to 12 months later for monitoring of induced antibodies using serum neutralization test.

2.11. Serum neutralization test (SNT):

SNT was carried out in Vero and MDCK cell cultures for CD and CP respectively micro technique method as described by Ferreira, (1976). Twofold dilutions of inactivated sera were mixed with equal volumes of the used virus suspension containing 100 TCID50. Virus and serum mixtures were assayed in cell cultures using 2 wells per dilution. Infected cultures and normal controls were incubated kept at 37°C with daily microscopic examination. The end point of neutralizing antibody titters was expressed as the reciprocal of the final dilution of serum inhibiting the CPE according to Singh et al., (1967).

2.12. Indirect ELISA:

According to the bominated methods of (Hubschle et al., 1981 and Voeller et al., 1976) indirect ELISA was carried out for monitoring immune response for CD and CP induced in vaccinated puppies using anti-dog immunoglobulin [IgG whole molecule] conjugated with
Horse Radish Peroxidase (HRP) obtained from Sigma Chemical Company (USA).

3. RESULTS

The present obtained results revealed that all prepared monovalent and bivalent live and inactivated CD and CP vaccines were found to be free from aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, fungi and mycoplasma. Also, all of these vaccines did not induce any abnormal local or systemic post inoculation reactions neither in mice nor in puppies. Regarding the potency of the prepared vaccines; the results of serum neutralization test and indirect ELISA demonstrated in tables (1; 2; 3 and 4) showed that live monovalent and bivalent CD and CP vaccines induced higher levels of specific CD and CP antibodies in vaccinated puppies than those induced by inactivated monovalent and bivalent vaccines in addition to longer lasting immunity induced by the live vaccines than that induced by inactivated ones.

Table (1): Mean CD serum neutralizing antibody titers in vaccinated 18 puppies with monovalent attenuated and inactivated CD vaccine and bivalent attenuated and inactivated CD and CP vaccine

| Period post vaccination | Mean CD serum neutralizing antibody titer * induced by CD Vaccine | Bivalent CD&C Vaccine | Single inactivated CD Vaccine | Bivalent inactivated CD&C Vaccine | Non vaccinated puppies |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Zero time              | 0                                                             | 0                    | 0                             | 0                                 | 0                      |
| 1WPV**                 | 8                                                             | 4                    | 0                             | 2                                 | 0                      |
| 2WPV                   | 16                                                            | 8                    | 4                             | 0                                 | 0                      |
| 3WPV                   | 32                                                            | 32                   | 4                             | 4                                 | 0                      |
| 4WPV                   | 64                                                            | 64                   | 16                            | 16                                | 0                      |
| 5WPV***                | 128                                                           | 128                  | 32                            | 32                                | 0                      |
| 6WPV                   | 128                                                           | 128                  | 64                            | 64                                | 0                      |
| 7WPV                   | 128                                                           | 128                  | 64                            | 64                                | 0                      |
| 8WPV                   | 128                                                           | 128                  | 64                            | 64                                | 0                      |
| 9WPV                   | 128                                                           | 128                  | 64                            | 64                                | 0                      |
| 10WPV                  | 128                                                           | 128                  | 32                            | 32                                | 0                      |
| 11WPV                  | 128                                                           | 128                  | 16                            | 16                                | 0                      |
| 12WPV                  | 128                                                           | 64                   | 8                             | 4                                 | 0                      |

*CD serum neutralizing antibody titre= the reciprocal of the final serum dilution which neutralized and inhibited the CPE of 100TCID50 of CD virus
**WPV= weeks post vaccination
***MPV= months post vaccination

Table (2): Mean CD ELISA antibody titers in vaccinated 18 puppies monovalent attenuated and inactivated CD vaccine and bivalent attenuated and inactivated CD and CP vaccine

| Period post vaccination | Mean CD ELISA antibody titer(log10) induced by CD Vaccine | Bivalent CD&C Vaccine | Single inactivated CD Vaccine | Bivalent inactivated CD&C Vaccine | Non vaccinated puppies |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Zero time              | 0.03                                                          | 0.02                 | 0.04                          | 0.03                              | 0.02                  |
| 1WPV*                  | 0.03                                                          | 0.02                 | 0.02                          | 0.03                              | 0.03                  |
| 2WPV                   | 0.70                                                          | 0.48                 | 0.35                          | 0.50                              | 0.04                  |
| 3WPV                   | 0.93                                                          | 0.70                 | 0.40                          | 0.61                              | 0.02                  |
| 4WPV                   | 1.01                                                          | 1.70                 | 0.78                          | 2.51                              | 0.02                  |
| 5WPV**                 | 2.00                                                          | 2.43                 | 1.50                          | 2.10                              | 0.04                  |
| 6WPV                   | 2.51                                                          | 2.44                 | 1.78                          | 2.11                              | 0.04                  |
| 7WPV                   | 2.50                                                          | 2.43                 | 1.77                          | 2.10                              | 0.03                  |
| 8WPV                   | 2.51                                                          | 2.33                 | 1.80                          | 1.95                              | 0.03                  |
| 9WPV                   | 2.10                                                          | 2.33                 | 1.82                          | 1.85                              | 0.04                  |
| 10WPV                  | 2.11                                                          | 2.34                 | 1.78                          | 2.00                              | 0.02                  |
| 11WPV                  | 2.10                                                          | 2.40                 | 1.75                          | 1.97                              | 0.04                  |
| 12WPV                  | 1.95                                                          | 2.10                 | 1.73                          | 1.86                              | 0.02                  |

*WPV= weeks post vaccination
**MPV= months post vaccination
*ELISA antibody titer is measured using special formula using Sample/ positive ratio) SP ratio for each sample and this formula differs according to each virus

4. DISCUSSION

CD and CP vaccines are corner stones in the control of CD and CP virus infections which represent the most dangerous viral diseases affecting dog population in dramatic forms resulting in huge economic losses especially among high dog breeds. Production of local vaccines safe high costs of importation and aid to control the diseases in local breeds.

The obtained results showed that all prepared CD and CP vaccines were found to be free from foreign contaminants (aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, fungi and mycoplasma) and safe where there were no serious adverse reactions in any mice and puppies showing no post inoculation abnormal normal or systemic signs as what recommended by WHO, (1973).

All puppies in all groups responded well to the CPV and CDV components of the prepared attenuated and inactivated single and bivalent vaccines as determined by SNT and ELISA.

The immune responses of vaccinated dogs to the CDV fractions of both vaccines were considered satisfactory and
developed VN titers of 32 or above against CDV on the 3rd week of vaccination by the single and bivalent vaccines recorded peak titers (128) by the 2nd month later. ELISA titers were 0.93 and 0.70log10 by the 3rd week in case of dog vaccination with single and bivalent attenuated vaccine reached their peaks (2.50 and 2.43log10) by the 3rd month later. Inactivated single and bivalent vaccines recorded SN antibody titers 32 by the 2nd month with peak titer (64) by the 3rd month post vaccination with ELISA titers of 0.40 and 0.61 log10 and 1.80 and 1.95 log10 on the same periods post vaccination respectively. These titers have been considered to be protective (Olson et al. 1988 and Coyne et al. 2001). Also, these results agree with the findings of earlier studies in which a 100 per cent response was observed at nine weeks of age and 10 weeks of age (Bergman and Stahl, 1997). These levels of antibodies protected animals against challenge with virulent viruses and came in agreement with (CFR 1997) that recommended serum neutralizing titer not less than 1.50 (1.7 log10) for the CD. The obtained results also agreed with (Guirguis, 1991; Miyamoto et al., 1995; and Khodier et al., 1998) who reported that dogs were considered immune to canine distemper if their antibody titer was higher than 30.

Regarding the immune response of vaccinated puppies against CP, the results of SNT and ELISA revealed that single and bivalent vaccines induced protective CP antibody titers by 4th week post vaccination (64&832 by SNT and 1.95 and 1.51log10 by ELISA respectively) reaching their peaks (128 by SNT and 2.5 log10 by ELISA respectively) on the 2nd months and still constant up to 12 months later. Inactivated single and bivalent vaccines showed lower protective CP antibody titers (32 by SNT and 1.95 and 1.51log10 by ELISA respectively) by the second month with peak titers (64 by SNT and 2.60 and 2.50 by ELISA respectively) by the third month then began to decrease recorded protective CP antibody titers (8 by SNT and 1.50 and 1.45log10 by ELISA respectively) on the 11th month. These levels of CP antibodies appear to be higher than the recommended protective levels where titer of 8 is protective against clinical disease and intestinal replication of virulent virus as mentioned by (Ackermann et al., 1983) on the other side. (Fiscus et al., 1985) consider neutralizing titer of 16 is protective. In addition, similar findings and recommendations were obtained by (Khodier et al., 1998; Koteb et al., 1998; Saleh et al., 2002 and Koteb and Douad, 2004 )

As a result of the safety of inactivated vaccines, as well as their ease of administration at any age, they have become the vaccine of choice, according to the findings. (Olson et al., 1988; Cooper et al., 1995 and Miyamoto et al., 1995).

5. CONCLUSION

In accordance with the Animal Welfare Organization and to prevent the spread of virus infections, we did not conduct challenge tests against virulent virus strains during the current study's potency testing of the prepared attenuated and inactivated single and bivalent CD and CP vaccines. Depending on the present obtained results, it is clear that the bivalent CD and CP attenuated or inactivated vaccines are able to provide puppies with protective antibodies.
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