INTRODUCTION

In recent years, endoscopic spine surgeries have advanced dramatically. In addition to advancements of endoscopic spine approaches, advancements of spinal endoscopy equipment and surgical instruments have also been made. Endoscopic spine surgery was mainly used for lumbar disc herniation surgery and low back pain treatment in the past. It has now advanced to a state where laminectomy, foraminotomy, and spinal fusion are possible. Endoscopic spine surgeries are expanding to not only the lumbar spine, but also thoracic and cervical spines. Indications for endoscopic spine surgery have also been greatly expanded. Indications for endoscopic spine surgery are almost similar to those for a conventional microscopic surgery.

1. New Systems of Endoscopic Spine Surgery

In the past, uniportal spinal endoscopy mainly used a lumbar transforaminal approach. Spinal endoscopic surgery using a lumbar transforaminal approach was mainly performed for lumbar disc removal. Recently, interlaminar endoscopes equipped with large diameter working channel have been developed by various companies. Moreover, various spinal endoscopic surgical instruments that can pass through large diameter working channel have been developed (Figure 1A). Various spinal endoscopic dissectors, water-proof drills, endoscopic Kerrison punch, and radio frequency probes can be used through the large diameter working channel of modified interlaminar uniportal endoscopic systems (Figure 1A), thus facilitating bone-work such as laminotomy, laminctomy, and facetectomy. Even with a uniportal full endoscopic approach, it is possible to proceed to discectomy, decompression, and fusion through a posterior approach rather than a transforaminal approach.

Biportal endoscopic spine surgery (unilateral biportal endoscopy, UBE) introduced in 1996 was first used for lumbar discectomy. Compared to a uniportal endoscopic spine surgery, the surgical method of biportal endoscopy was not widely used. However, in recent years, the biportal endoscopic approach has been re-developed, mainly in South Korea. Biportal endoscopic surgery system, surgical instruments, and radiofrequency notomy, and interbody fusion using biportal endoscopy have been tried. Now they are popularized. With the development of biportal and large working channel uniporal endoscopy systems, endoscopic spine surgeries have advanced dramatically. Endoscopic spine surgeries are expanding to not only the lumbar spine, but also thoracic and cervical spines. Indications for spinal endoscopic surgery have also been expanded. In recent years, endoscopic spine surgeries have advanced dramatically. Endoscopic spine surgeries are expanding to not only the lumbar spine, but also thoracic and cervical spines. Indications for spinal endoscopic surgery have also been expanded. In surgical indications of endoscopy. Finally, endoscopic lumbar interbody fusion surgeries have been attempted.
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tems, endoscopic spine surgeries have become more advanced (Figure 1B).

2. Paradigm shifting of Endoscopic Spine Approaches

The development process of spinal endoscopic surgery can be largely divided into four stages (Table 1 and Figure 2). The first generation is the lumbar transforaminal approach, which has been implemented since the early days of spinal endoscopic surgery. Transforaminal endoscopic approach has been in use since its inception. The second generation is an interlaminar approach. A posterior interlaminar approach has been attempted to treat lumbosacral disc herniation, which is difficult to access with a transforaminal approach. With the third generation, surgical indication and site have developed dramatically6). With the first generation and second generation, the indication for spinal endoscopic surgery was mainly lumbar disc herniation (Figure 2 and Table 1). However, with the development of the third generation, central stenosis, lateral recess stenosis, and foraminal stenosis were included in surgical indications of endoscopy. In addition, endoscopic treatment was possible not only in the lumbar spine, but also in the cervical thoracic spine (Figure 2 and Table 1). The biggest change is shown in the fourth generation, with which endoscopic lumbar interbody fusion can be attempted. Using a posterolateral approach and a trans-kambin approach, endoscopic transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion can be performed6).

3. Future of Endoscopic Spine Surgery

Endoscopic spine surgeries including biportal and uniportal endoscopic systems are expected to continue to progress. Although endoscopic spine surgery will develop on its own, it can be combined with hybrid operation, three-dimensional surgery, navigation, and merged reality in conjunction with various other techniques. Additionally, endoscopic spine surgery might be incorporated into robotics. Endoscopic spine surgeries are expected to continue to develop with the advancement of optical techno-

---

Table 1. Generation and development of endoscopic spine surgeries

|            | 1st generation | 2nd generation | 3rd generation | 4th generation |
|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Endoscopy systems | Uniportal | Uniportal | Uniportal/Biportal | Uniportal/Biportal |
| Indication   | Disc herniation | Disc herniation | Disc herniation | Disc herniation |
| Lesion       | Lumbar         | Lumbar         | Lumbar          | Lumbar         |
| Approach     | Transforaminal | Interlaminar   | Posterior       | Trans-Kambin   |
|              |                |                | Interlaminar   | Posterolateral |
|              |                |                | Transforaminal |               |
|              |                |                |                 |                 |

---

Figure 2. Generation and paradigm shifting of endoscopic spine surgeries.
logy and surgical instruments, it is believed that it will replace many parts of the conventional spine surgery.
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