Immunolocalization of endocan during the endothelial-mesenchymal transition process
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Abstract

Endocan is a dermatan sulfate proteoglycan (DSPG) that has been observed in the cytoplasm of endothelial cells of small and large vessels in lung, kidney, liver, colon, ovary and brain tumors. This DSPG has been implicated in the regulation of cellular activities such as adhesion, migration, and proliferation. Given the important roles played by endocan in such processes, we sought to determine whether this DSPG is present in the chicken embryo aortic wall in embryonic days 12 and 14, when intimal thickening and endothelial transformation are notorious. Immunolabeling of serial paraffin cross-sections revealed endocan immunoreactivity at the endothelium and some mesenchymal cells constituting the intimal thickening but not in the cells arranged in lamellar layers. We also investigated whether endocan was present in monolayers of primary embryonic aortic endothelial cells attached to fibronectin when they were deprived of serum and stimulated with epidermal growth factor. Immunofluorescence determined that in the small leucine-rich PGs (SLRPG) family and non-SLRPGs, cytoskeleton reorganization, disassembly of the adherence junctions or loss of endothelial cell-cell contacts, change in cell shape and polarity, proteases secretion, extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling, cell separation or detachment from the substratum, and cell migration and differentiation.

In addition to permanent interaction with other cells, endothelial cells also need to interact with the ECM. It is now well established that most ECM molecules participate in tissue remodeling by promoting cell adhesion and promoting cytoskeletal organization, and regulating cell spreading, polarization, detachment, migration and differentiation in response to mechanical stimuli or signaling through receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs).11 Some particularly significant studies on the development of chick aorta show that large PGs containing EGF-like domains such as versican and aggrecan have different spatial and temporal expression patterns, suggesting a possible role for these molecules during intimal thickening formation and EndoMT.¹²

Endocan, also called endothelial cell-specific molecule-1, is a small dermatan sulfate proteoglycan (DSPG) that does not seem to belong to the small leucine-rich PGs (SLRPG) family and is mainly expressed by endothelial cells and regulated in vivo by inflammatory cytokines such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α).¹³⁻¹⁶ This DSPG consists of a core protein that contains an N-terminal rich in cysteine residues, a putative EGF-like domain, whose functional significance is not yet fully understood, and a single chain of dermatan sulfate (DS), which is covalently linked to the core protein.¹⁵ Interestingly, a marked expression of endocan has been observed in breast, brain, lung, liver, kidney, ovary tumors, thus suggesting an important role of endocan in angiogenesis and in the development and progression of cancer.¹⁶ Nevertheless, the factors regulating the synthesis and secretion as well as their functions, have not yet been fully elucidated.

In view of the above observations, the aim of this study was to investigate immunohistochemically whether endocan is present in the chicken embryo aortic wall in embryonic days 12 and 14 (days E12 and E14; stages 38 and 40), when intimal thickening and endothelial transformation are notorious. We also investigated the presence of endocan in monolayers of primary embryonic aortic endothelial cells attached to fibronectin (FN) and stimulated with epidermal growth factor (EGF).

Materials and Methods

Tissue extraction

Fertilized chicken eggs (White leghorn) were obtained from a local hatchery (Granja Avícola Agropolito C.A., Paracotos, Estado Miranda, Venezuela) and incubated at 37°C and 60% humidity for 12 and 14 days (stages 38 and 40). Embryos were staged according to Hamburger and Hamilton.¹⁷ The aortae were...
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Dissected were placed in Hank’s balanced salt solution without Ca++ and Mg++ (HBSS) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and fixed for 15 min at room temperature with 4% formaldehyde prepared from paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The aortae were dehydrated in graded ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections (5 µm thick) were mounted on silanized slides (Dako, Inc., Carpinteria, Ca, USA). A total of two aortae for each stage obtained from three different lots of fertilized chicken eggs were processed.

Indirect immunofluorescence

Serial deparaffinized cross sections were air dried and equilibrated in PBS for 10 min. Non-specific antibody staining was blocked by incubating sections in PBS containing 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 min at room temperature. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C in a humid chamber with monoclonal anti-human endocan/ESM-1 antibody (clone MEP08) (Lunginnov, Lille, France) or mouse monoclonal antibody anti-α-SM actin (clone 1A4) (Sigma-Aldrich). After the sections were washed several times in PBS, anti-mouse FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Dako) was applied for 30 min. The sections were again washed in PBS, and coverslipped with mounting medium (IMMU-mount; Shandon, Pittsburg, PA, USA). Negative controls were produced by the use of purified normal serum or PBS in place of primary antibody. A IX81 Olympus inverted microscope with the Fluoview confocal laser scanning configuration (CLSM) (Olympus America, Inc., Melville, NY, USA) was used to examine the sections. Fluorescence intensity was measured by using a processing software program (FV10. AW version 02.01.01.04, Olympus Corporation).

Cell cultures

Aortae from days E11-E12 (stages 37 and 38) were dissected in HBSS (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C. Segments, approximately 8 mm² in surface area, were isolated (distal to the aortic arches) and opened along longitudinal axis. Explants were rinsed in HBSS (Sigma-Aldrich) and left in medium 199 at 37°C with 5% CO2. At the end of this time, the medium of the monolayers was switched to SFM supplemented with recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF) (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) (100 ng/mL) and 0.1% chicken serum (ChS) (GIBCO) and incubated for an additional 10-12 h period.

Indirect immunofluorescence

Fixed and permeabilized cells were processed for immunostaining as described above using the following antibodies: a mouse monoclonal anti-human endocan/ESM-1 (clone MEP08) (Lunginnov), a rabbit polyclonal anti-human von Willebrand’s factor (vWF) (Dako), a mouse monoclonal antibody raised against native chick brain microtubules (clone DM1A) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA), a mouse monoclonal antibody anti-human β3-integrin (GPIIIa, CD61) (clone 25E11) (Millipore Chemicon Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA), and a rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-FAK (Tyr-397) (Sigma-Aldrich). Negative controls were performed by omitting the primary antibody incubation step or by using non-immune serum instead of primary antibody. A confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) (Eclipse TE-300 Nikon inverted microscope) (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY, USA) equipped with a Nikon objective Plan-Apo BC x60, 1.2 wi coupled to a C1-LU2 unit Argon cooled air (488 nm) laser was used to examine the cultures. The laser unit was controlled by a D-eclipse CI interface.

Figure 1. Overlay of transmission and red or green fluorescence images of serial paraffin cross sections of chicken embryo aorta at day E12 of development immunolabeled with either anti-α-SM actin or anti-endocan antibodies. In this stage, it is possible to distinguish mesenchymal cells (mc) adjacent to the endothelium (e) forming an intimal thickening (IT). Strong α-SM actin immunoreactivity is detected in few mesenchymal cells (mc) and in the cells arranged in lamellar layers (lc) but not in interlamellar cell layers (ilc). Strong endocan immunoreactivity is detected in the endothelium (e) as well as in some adjacent mesenchymal cells (mc). Histograms represent the percentage of fluorescence intensity for α-SM actin (red) and endocan (green) after setting the ROI on the superimposed images.
Results

In vivo immunolocalization of endocan

In order to investigate the expression and localization of endocan in the aortic wall at days E12 and E14 (stages 38 and 40) of development when intimal thickening is distinguishable and EndoMT occurs, serial paraffin sections were examined by confocal microscopy. A specific monoclonal antibody that recognizes epitopes on the N-terminus of endocan was used.

At 12-14 days of development, the aortic wall is composed by the endothelium, which limits the vessel lumen, and radially oriented mesenchymal cells originating from the endothelium and that constitute the intimal thickening. At these stages it is also possible to distinguish cells organized into circular lamellar and interlamellar layers that form the media. Occasionally, some mesenchymal cells and lamellar cell layers are observed displaying immunoreactivity for α-smooth muscle actin (α-SM actin) (Figure 1). At these stages, a strong immunoreactivity for endocan was found at the endothelium and some mesenchymal cells constituting the intimal thickening but not in the cells arranged in lamellar layers (Figure 1).

No immunoreactivity was detected when the primary antibody was omitted or replaced by non-immune serum in control sections (not shown).

In vitro immunolocalization of endocan

Based on our findings in vivo, we also investigated whether endocan was present in monolayers of embryonic endothelial cells attached to FN that had been transiently mechanically altered during the explant removal, when they were deprived of serum for 2 h and switched to medium supplemented with EGF and ChS and maintained for an additional 10 or 12 h period.

Immunofluorescence analyzed by confocal microscopy determined that in the EGF condition in which separating, detaching, and migrating endothelial cells are observed and the vWF expression is notorious (Figure 2 a,b), all of the cells displayed strong immunoreactivity for endocan (Figure 2 c,d). Specifically, this DSPP in addition to being located delineating the margins of some endothelial cells, was also located in punctate and linear arrays typical of focal complexes at the leading edge as well as in the area surrounding the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) and aligned with microtubules (MTs) of separating, detaching, and migrating cells (compare panels c and e in Figure 2). Focal adhesion complexes are considered not only as structural and dynamic links between the ECM and the cytoskeleton controlling cell shape, spreading and motility, but also as sites for signal transduction through integrins and adaptor proteins such as focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and paxillin that are present in these sites. We therefore studied the presence, organization and distribution of these proteins by confocal microscopy in monolayers of embryonic endothelial cells attached to FN and stimulated with EGF for 10-12 h. In such condition, immunofluorescence staining with anti-integrin-β3 and anti-p-FAK revealed that many cells displayed immunoreactivity with a location and distribution resembling to that observed for endocan. Specifically, integrin-β3 and p-FAK appeared delineating the margins of some cells and organized into linear streaks and a punctate pattern at the leading edge of the cells that were separating, detaching, and migrating (Figure 3 a,b). In the SFM condition in which the endothelial cells displayed a cobblestone appearance and neither separating, detaching nor migrating cells were observed, endocan, integrin-β3, and p-FAK

Figure 2. Representative CLSM fluorescence images of vWF, endocan, and tubulin in monolayers of endothelial cells attached to FN after 10 h in culture in the presence of EGF. a) Strong immunoreactivity for vWF in a punctate and granular pattern typical of endothelial cells is observed in separating, detaching, and migrating cells. b) High magnification of vWF clustering around the nucleus of separating cells and disperse in the leading edge of migrating cells. c) Strong immunoreactivity for endocan is observed at the plasma membrane as well as in the leading edge and in the area surrounding the MTOC and aligned with MTs. d) Shows at high magnification the punctate and linear arrays of endocan. e) Tubulin immunofluorescence is observed surrounding the MTOC and in the MTs radially distributed along the cytoplasm. a), c), e) scale bars = 25 µm; b) and d) scale bars = 10 µm.
Discussion

The current study reveals the existence of both endocan-immunoreactive endothelial and mesenchymal cells in the intimal thickenings observed in chicken embryo aortae on days E12 and E14. This study also provides evidence that allow us to suggest a possible contribution of this DSPG in the EndoMT process.

Endocan is a DSPG expressed specifically by endothelial cells and has been implicated in several pathophysiological processes as well as in the regulation of cellular activities such as adhesion, migration and proliferation. By immunohistochemistry, endocan has been observed in the cytoplasm of endothelial cells of small and large vessels in lung, kidney, liver, colon, ovary and brain tumors. Thus, it is of interest that a strong endocan staining was detected in the endothelium and some migrating mesenchymal cells that conform the spontaneous intimal thickening but not in those cells organized in lamellar layers. This implies that endocan would be participating in the EndoMT and therefore contributing to the aortic wall remodeling. Importantly, spontaneous aortic and pulmonary intimal thickenings have been demonstrated during chicken embryo development and related to blood pressure alterations that occur rapid and exponentially from day E3 to day E10 of development accompanied by the local production and activation of different growth factors such as FGF-2, TGFβ and IGFII. It might thus be speculated that the presence of endocan in the embryonic aortic wall would occur in response to hemodynamic changes and production of certain growth factors, considering that endocan expression, synthesis or secretion is highly regulated by VEGF and FGF-2, and that TGFβ stimulates the synthesis and secretion of the core protein of some PGs. Also, this presence may be interpreted as a sign of endothelial activation.

In this study, we also found that the spatial distribution and organization of endocan observed in SFM condition was altered when the monolayers were stimulated with EGF. Specifically, endocan appeared delineating the margins of cells in arrays typical of focal complexes in the leading edges as well as surrounding the MTOC and aligned with MTs of the cells that were separating, detaching and migrating toward cell-free areas. We believe that this peculiar localization of endocan appeared mainly distributed delineating the margins of many cells (Figure 4 a,b,c).

No immunolabeling was observed when a non-immune serum was used as negative control (not shown).

Figure 3. Representative CLSM fluorescence images of integrin-β3 and p-FAK in monolayers of endothelial cells attached to FN after 10 hr in culture in the presence of EGF. a) Integrin-β3 is seen delineating the margin of some cells and organized into linear streaks and a punctate pattern at the leading edge of the migrating cells. b) p-FAK is seen at the leading edge in arrays typical of focal complexes. a) Scale bar = 25 µm. b) scale bar = 10 µm.

Figure 4. Representative CLSM fluorescence images of endocan, integrin-β3 and p-FAK in monolayers attached to FN after 10 h in culture in SFM. a) and b) Endocan and integrin-β3 are seen delineating the margin of many cells that displayed a cobblestone appearance. c) p-FAK is seen delineating the margin of cells and organized into linear streaks, typical of focal adhesions. a), b) scale bars = 25 µm; c) scale bar = 50 µm.
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would be linked with a participation in the assembly, disassembly, and signaling of focal adhesions, in which integrin-β3 clustering induced by FN and activation of adaptor proteins, including focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and paxillin that interact with cytoskeletal proteins such as microtubules and actin microfilaments, are required. Moreover, considering that inmunoreactivities observed for integrin-β3 and p-FAK in separating, detaching and migrating cells, resembled to that observed for endocan.

As suggested, the function of DS-chain of endocan is to bind some growth factors and cytokines as well as ECM molecules including FN and collagen, but the functional significance of its EGF-like is not yet fully understood. It is likely that endocan, through its EGF-like domain, directly binds to integrin-β3, a cell surface receptor that is present on the apical side of endothelial cells, and that this binding occurs in the presence of divalent cations, considering that endocan interacts physically and functionally with the integrin lymphocyte function associated-antigen-1 (LFA-1), present in leukocytre and Jurkat cells, through its polypeptide moiety and that the binding of growth factors containing EGF-like motifs to integrin αvβ3, occurs via its EGF-like motif. Also, it is possible that endocan through its DS-chain binds to FN, facilitating the endothelial cell adhesion. Based on these asseverations and our findings, we hypothesized that endocan might be cooperating with integrins to promote focal complexes assembly and disassembly and hence influencing cell separation, detachment and migration, important steps for the EndoMT.
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