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Background. Nosocomial infections pose significant risk to patients and hygienic stethoscope had stethoscope hygiene, but they may not accurately repre- sent cleaning practice. This study aimed to accurately assess cleaning practice through observation of stethoscope cleaning and hand hygiene among medical providers in an emergency department setting.

Methods. Four hundred twenty-six provider-patient encounters were observed in the emergency department of the VA San Diego Healthcare System. The frequency and methods of stethoscope and hand hygiene practices were anonymously observed and recorded. Stethoscope hygiene was recorded during and after each encounter if cleaning took place for at least 15 seconds. Hand hygiene data were also gathered before and after each encounter. Data analysis was performed to determine the frequency of these practices.

Results. Of 426 encounters, 115 involved the use of a personal stethoscope. In 15 of 115 encounters (13.0%), the provider placed a glove over the stethoscope before patient contact. Following patient interaction, 13 of 115 encounters (11.3%) involved stethoscope hygiene with an alcohol swab. Stethoscope hygiene with water and hand towel before patient interaction was observed in 5 of 115 encounters (4.3%). Hand sanitizer use or hand washing was observed in 213 of 426 encounters (50.0%) before patient interaction. Gloves were also used prior to patient interaction in 206 of 426 (48.4%) encounters, some overlapping with those who used hand sanitizer or washed their hands. Hand sanitizer or hand washing was used in 332 of 426 encounters (77.9%) after patient interaction.

Conclusion. Only 11.3% of encounters involving the use of a personal stethoscope had stethoscope hygiene with an alcohol swab. In 43.0% post-encounters, there was an attempt to clean stethoscopes with water and towel. Rates of appropriate hand hygiene were also lower than expected. Stethoscope and hand hygiene standards need to be raised to reduce infection risk and further studies need to be conducted to set guidelines for adequate stethoscope sanitation.
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Background. Scabies reemerged globally as the ninth of most highly prevalent skin diseases from 1990 to 2010. Existing topical agents, like permethrin and lindane are highly effective showing 95% or more therapeutic response. Patient isolation is only recommended for one day after treating with topical agents. However, there is little evidence-based recommendation on optimal isolation period after treatment in healthcare settings to prevent secondary infection.

Methods. All patients who were diagnosed from 2008 to 2017 with scabies at a referral university hospital in Seoul, Republic of Korea were analyzed. We investigated the time interval between symptom onset and diagnosis of scabies. The period from the application of topical agents and clinical resolution was also analyzed.

Results. A total of 23 patients were diagnosed with scabies. There was no crushed scabies such as Norwegian scabies. Seventy percent of these patients were referred from long-term care facilities. Median number of treatment was three times per patient (Interquartile range [IQR], 2–3). Time interval between the onset of symptoms and the diagnosis of scabies was median 4 days (IQR, 2–14). Median isolation period was 13 days (IQR, 8–15). Dermatologist confirmed successful treatment of 16 patients, the median time until confirmation was 15 days (IQR, 8–17). Three patients discontinued isolation before dermatologist’s confirmation. After re-evaluation by a dermatologist, one of the three was re-isolated due to persistent scabies infestation.

Conclusion. Scabicides are highly efficacious, but repeated microscopic examination for confirming elimination is strongly recommended. Optimal isolation period should be individualized based on the repeated dermatologic examination.
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Background. The approximately 850,000 police officers nationwide are at risk of bloodborne diseases through needlestick injuries and other exposure incidents because of the nature of their work. In response to a request for a health hazard evalu- ation, we determined the incidence and circumstances of needlestick injuries and other potential exposures to bloodborne pathogens among police officers at a city depart- ment from 2011 to 2016.

Methods. We analyzed data extracted from the city’s centralized human resource database on all needlestick injuries and other potential exposure incidents from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2016 and characterized their circumstances. We ran a Poisson regression model to determine the trend in the annual incidence over time using SAS 9.4.

Results. We identified 13 needlestick injuries and 37 additional potential exposure incidents. Needlestick injuries most commonly occurred during pat-down searches of a suspect and during search of a suspect’s property or vehicle. Nine of 11 source persons with documented test results after a needlestick injury were found to have hepatitis C infection. The annual incidence of needlestick injuries ranged from 0 to 5.07 per 1,000 police officers and from 0 to 2.45 per 10,000 reactive calls for service and testing. The annual incidence of hepatitis C infection was 0 to 0.01 per 1,000 police officers and from 0 to 0.01 per 10,000 reactive calls for service and testing. The annual incidence of hepatitis B infection was 0 to 0.01 per 1,000 police officers and from 0 to 0.01 per 10,000 reactive calls for service and testing. The annual incidence of HIV infection was 0 to 0.01 per 1,000 police officers and from 0 to 0.01 per 10,000 reactive calls for service and testing.

Conclusion. Police officers in this department are at risk for needlestick injuries and other exposures to bloodborne pathogens. We recommended improvements in engineering, administrative, and personal protective equipment controls, including training on safe searching techniques and sharps evidence collection and provision of nitrile gloves.
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Background. Influenza vaccination is recommended for one day after treating with topical agents. However, there is only recommended for one day after treating with topical agents. However, there is little evidence-based recommendation on optimal isolation period after treatment in healthcare settings to prevent secondary infection.

Methods. All patients who were diagnosed from 2008 to 2017 with scabies at a referral university hospital in Seoul, Republic of Korea were analyzed. We investigated the time interval between symptom onset and diagnosis of scabies. The period from the application of topical agents and clinical resolution was also analyzed.

Results. A total of 23 patients were diagnosed with scabies. There was no crushed scabies such as Norwegian scabies. Seventy percent of these patients were referred from long-term care facilities. Median number of treatment was three times per patient (Interquartile range [IQR], 2–3). Time interval between the onset of symptoms and the diagnosis of scabies was median 4 days (IQR, 2–14). Median isolation period was 13 days (IQR, 8–15). Dermatologist confirmed successful treatment of 16 patients, the median time until confirmation was 15 days (IQR, 8–17). Three patients discontinued isolation before dermatologist’s confirmation. After re-evaluation by a dermatologist, one of the three was re-isolated due to persistent scabies infestation.

Conclusion. Scabicides are highly efficacious, but repeated microscopic examination for confirming elimination is strongly recommended. Optimal isolation period should be individualized based on the repeated dermatologic examination.
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