Methods of instrument testing of smoke detectors performance
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Abstract. This article is devoted to the currently relevant task - determining the real operability of the smoke detector and developing a method for the rapid diagnosis of fire detectors included in the fire alarm loop. In the process, the sensitivity of the smoke optoelectronic fire detector was checked.

1 Introduction

The analysis of statistics shows that in the event of a real fire, the systems of fire automatics do not work or work inadequately and do not fulfill their function. [26] This is due to the fact that, often, when designing, installing or during maintenance, errors and violations are made that cause system’s malfunction. One of the main problems is that during visual inspection, focusing on the control panel and evaluating the correctness of the placement of fire detectors, it is impossible to assess the real operation of the fire alarm system. According to the established practice, fire alarm elements are selectively checked under laboratory conditions on expensive stationary equipment and in fact only when the product is put into production or every few years during the manufacture of the product by the manufacturer. The quality of products sold is not actually controlled. Identifying the causes of inadequate fire alarm operation and their elimination will increase the effective-ness of fire protection. The result of improving the efficiency of fire automatics is to ensure its timely malfunction detection and reduce possible damage. [17,33]

Successful operation requires not only the technical ability of the fire detector to detect smoke, the stability of its electrical characteristics, but also the ability of the system perceive the incoming electrical signal adequately. At present, there are no requirements in national standards for the stability and unification of the electrical parameters of fire detectors in the standby mode and when it is triggered. At the same time, there is no rigid rationing to the electrical characteristics of the fire alarm loop. Ways of signal processing by the control panel are not fully protected from the formation of false signals, which does not guarantee an adequate response to the operation of the fire detector.

The performance of each element of fire automatics affects the operation of the entire system. Thus, to achieve the basic requirement for a fire alarm system - the identification of fire hazard factors is possible only with the coordinated work of the entire system. This
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interaction is not always provided in practice. In connection with the active development of business in our country, more than 150 types of smoke detectors and several dozens of receiving and control devices are in mass-production. Their joint work should ensure timely detection of the fire. However, due to the fact that detectors are produced by some companies and the receiving and control devices by other companies, it is quite problematic to combine their electrical characteristics. Moreover, a number of products do not meet the technical parameters described in the technical passport, not to mention the national standards. Adjustor is responsible for combining various characteristics in order to put the fire protection system into operation, but sometimes fails to complete the task competently and responsibly. The customer has practically no means of instrumental assessment of the quality and effectiveness of the installed fire alarm system. [2]

For normal operation of the detector, it is extremely important to control the sensitivity of the detector and its compliance with the technical passport data. Measuring the sensitivity of smoke detectors at the facility requires expensive equipment that is almost unavailable in Russia. Some manufacturers of detectors, even at the production stage, deliberately change the sensitivity, decreasing it up to 2 times to eliminate false alarms, thereby “compensating” for the negative effect of the defect [10].

Current situation allowed us to formulate the following research topic. Namely, to develop approaches to verify the operability of smoke detectors in the fire alarm loop, for this, at the initial stage, it was necessary to carry out a standard check of the sensitivity of smoke detectors. We have developed a stand for this purpose (Figure 1).

2 Methods

The first stage was a standard test of the sensitivity of smoke threshold detectors in accordance with the requirements of GOST R 53325-2012 “Technical means of fire automatics. General requirements and test methods” [10]. For this purpose, the detector connected to the laboratory power supply unit with a maximum consumption current limiter was placed in the “smoke channel stand” installation in accordance with Appendix D of GOST 53325-2012.

The stand consisted of: 1 - fan forcing and mixing smoke; 2 - hinged cover for installation of the test sensor with a glass viewing window; 3-platform with a rotating device on which the detector is installed; 4-tested optoelectronic point smoke fire detector(OPSFD); 5- temperature sensors and air flow rate; 6-direction of air flow; 7 linearizer; 8- device for measuring the specific optical density; 9-heater; 10- air flow rate regulator; 11- hole for removing soot and cleaning.
Then, in the air flow of a given value in the range from 0.2 m / s to 0.8 m / s, the smoke source (cotton wick) was set on fire in the smoldering mode and as the chamber filled with smoke the change in the optical density of the smoke was monitored by an optical laser meter of smoke density. The experiment was carried out until the moment when the fire detector was triggered or the change in optical density exceeded 2 dB / m. Further, the same detector, after purging with fresh air and cleaning the chamber from smoke, was again placed in the chamber and the experiment was repeated at a different air flow rate. Thus, each test detector was tested 3 times. The results of inspections are reflected in the Table 1.

### 3 Results

In the course of the work, we selected several types of detectors: FD 212-5M f. No. 1998, FD 212-5P f. No. 1999, FD 212-3CM f. No. 349717, FD 212-3SU f. No. 1972140, FD 212-3SU f. No. 6884, FD 212 44CB f. No. 1725, and FD 212-44CB-D f. No. 2407, APPOLO No. 0299-01476, APPOLO No. 0299-01477.

Nevertheless, it was found that FD 212-3CM modification gave stable performance in all test modes. This allowed later, based on the design of this detector, to create a device that generates a signal in analog form. Repeated additional tests showed a clear correlation of this signal with the optical density of smoke, measured by a laser optical smoke meter in the range up to 2 dB / m to the requirements of GOST 53325 [10].

### Table 1. Sensitivity of threshold opto-electronic smoke detectors

| №  | No. number and type of detector | air speed m / s | U0 | U1 | Db\(\text{m}\) | air speed m / s | U0 | U1 | Db\(\text{m}\) | air speed m / s | U0 | U1 | Db\(\text{m}\) |
|----|-------------------------------|----------------|----|----|-------------|----------------|----|----|-------------|----------------|----|----|-------------|
| 1  | FD 212-5M f. № 1998           | 0,2            | 3,54 | 3,42 | 0,30       | 0,4            | 3,53 | 3,47 | 0,15       | 0,8            | 3,52 | 3,44 | 0,20       |
| 2  | FD 212-5P f. № 1999           | 0,2            | 3,5  | 3,37 | 0,33       | 0,4            | 3,51 | 3,45 | 0,15       | 0,8            | 3,49 | 3,43 | 0,15       |
|   | FD 212-3CM f. № 349717 | 0,2 | 3,5 | 3,46 | 0,10 | 0,4 | 3,61 | 3,57 | 0,10 | 0,8 | 3,49 | 3,45 | 0,10 |
|---|------------------------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| 4 | FD 212-3CY f. № 1972140 | 0,2 | 3,52 | 3,46 | 0,15 | 0,4 | 3,64 | 3,58 | 0,14 | 0,8 | 3,47 | 3,41 | 0,15 |
| 4 | FD 212-3SU f. № 6884 | 0,2 | 3,47 | 3,38 | 0,23 | 0,4 | 3,66 | 3,61 | 0,12 | 0,8 | 3,58 | 3,53 | 0,12 |
| 5 | FD 212-44CB f. № 1725 | 0,2 | 3,43 | 3,41 | 0,05 | 0,4 | 3,67 | 3,65 | 0,05 | 0,8 | 3,48 | 3,44 | 0,10 |
| 6 | FD 212-44CB-D f. № 2407 | 0,2 | 3,44 | 3,4 | 0,10 | 0,4 | 3,67 | 3,64 | 0,07 | 0,8 | 3,44 | 3,42 | 0,05 |
| 7 | APPOLO №0299-01476 | 0,2 | 3,98 | 3,93 | 0,11 | 0,4 | 3,96 | 3,92 | 0,09 | 0,8 | 3,95 | 3,89 | 0,13 |
| 8 | APPOLO №0299-01477 | 0,2 | 3,96 | 3,88 | 0,18 | 0,4 | 3,97 | 3,91 | 0,13 | 0,8 | 3,95 | 3,89 | 0,13 |

The tests (table 1) showed that a number of detectors do not correspond to the parameters specified by manufacturers. This is due to the fact that the sensors were either poorly adjusted at the factory or structurally flawed and, therefore, their sensitivity did not correspond to GOST 53325 at various air flow rates.

![Fig. 2. The sensitivity of threshold smoke detectors at different air flow rates.](image)

### 4 Conclusions

The tests have shown that a number of detectors do not correspond to the parameters specified by manufacturers. This is due to the fact that the sensors were either poorly adjusted at the factory, or structurally flawed, due to that their sensitivity did not correspond to GOST 53325
at various air flow rates. Nevertheless, it was found that the modification FD 212-3CM gave stable performance in all test modes. This allowed later, based on the design of this detector, to create a device that generates a signal in analog form. Repeated additional tests showed a clear correlation of this signal with the optical density of smoke, measured by laser optical smoke meter in the range up to 2 dB / m to the requirements of GOST 53325 [10].

Repeated testing confirmed good repeatability of the results, which allows, after testing in an accredited center of the FD 212-3CM modification device, to assign it the status of a signal quality optoelectronic point smoke fire detector (SQOPSF D).

This device is autonomous, compact. There is a possibility of prompt installation of the SQOPSF D in close proximity to the detector being checked.

When conducting fire tests at the facilities of the SQOPSF D, it can be used to assess the smoke sensitivity of fire detectors included in the fire alarm loop.

As a result of the work carried out, we identified the necessity of rationing and checking during certification tests, as well as at the input control, not only of the average current consumption of the detector in standby mode, but also in pulsed mode.

A method is proposed for stabilizing the work of an alarm loop, including a two-threshold one, by normalizing the perception of the signal "Fire" from the activated detector by replacing Rtob with an ES (5.5mA) created at Gefest LLC.
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