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Abstract

Background: Since Bangladesh government issued a ban on the use of highly toxic WHO Class I pesticides, annual consumption of herbicides like Paraquat have been sharply increasing in the markets. Paraquat poisoning is an emerging public health threat and its high mortality rate is responsible for a significant number of deaths. Diagnostic limitations and unavailable sample at presentation have resulted in under-reporting and lack of awareness among the treating physicians, making Paraquat poisoning one of the most neglected toxicological emergencies. Herein, we present a case of Paraquat induced multi-organ failure and emphasis on pitfalls in the management.

Case presentation: An 18-years-old healthy male was admitted in Sylhet M.A.G Osmani Medical College Hospital with history of attempted suicide by Paraquat ingestion. On admission, he had high serum creatinine but otherwise asymptomatic. He was discharged on day 10 when his renal functions returned to normal. But on day 15, he started having respiratory symptoms—unresponsive to any of the local treatments he received, and by day 30, he developed overt lung fibrosis. We present sequential blood picture, radiographs and CT scans demonstrating Paraquat induced kidney and lung injury over the course of 30 days.

Conclusion: Paraquat poisoning can lead to death and fatal long-term consequences. All cases of Paraquat poisoning, regardless of symptoms, must be hospitalized and observed for early detection of complications. Distribution of Paraquat should be restricted and/or banned as 38 other countries have done so, which we believe will greatly reduce poisoning related mortality.
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of about 30 ml of an unknown poison, later revealed to be Paraquat 20 SL. He was initially managed at a local health complex with gastric lavage, intravenous fluids, antiemetic, and H₂ blocker, and referred to this tertiary hospital for further management. On admission, he had vomiting, difficulty in opening his mouth and inability to drink or swallow. He was conscious and oriented and had mucosal erosion of tongue (Fig. 1), palate, and lips with some mucosal bleeding having poisoning severity score (PSS) grade one. His heart rate was 78/min and regular, blood pressure was 100/60 mm Hg, respiratory rate was 20/min and temperature 98°K. Pupils were normal and reacting to light. Oxygen saturation was 98% on room air. Both lung fields were clear on auscultation. Other systemic examinations were normal.

Laboratory investigations revealed high serum creatinine (PSS grade 2). Complete blood count, serum ALT and electrolytes were within normal limits (Table 1). Chest radiograph was also normal (Fig. 2a).

To rule out OP poisoning, atropine challenge test was performed which was negative. He was managed conservatively and was soon able to swallow liquids. He was discharged on day 10 when his renal function settled (Table 1).

On day 15, he developed irregular fever, shortness of breath and non-productive cough and as these symptoms progressed, he consulted with a local physician. Chest radiograph revealed diffuse consolidation (Fig. 2b) and he was prescribed a 14-day course of antibiotics. But his condition deteriorated and he had to get admitted to the hospital on day 30. The lesions on his chest radiograph showed bilateral diffuse alveolar shadowing (Fig. 2c) and a high-resolution CT scan of chest was obtained, which revealed bilateral pulmonary fibrosis (Fig. 3).

He was started on cyclophosphamide and methylprednisolone and his condition was static. There was no further deterioration during his stay. (Additional file 1)

**Discussion and conclusion**

Paraquat (1,1′-Dimethyl-4,4′-bipyridinium dichloride) has the ability to generate highly reactive oxygen and nitrite species which cause cellular damage and apoptosis in many organs [3]. The clinical manifestations depend upon the quantity ingested. Ingestion of large amounts of liquid concentrate (> 50–100 ml of 20% w/v) results in fulminant multi-organ failure and death within several hours to a few days [3]. Ingestion of smaller quantities

| Investigation           | Day 1   | Day 10  | Day 30  |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Haemoglobin             | 13.6    | 11.5    | 13.7    |
| WBC                     | 10,600  | 7200    | 8100    |
| Platelets               | 276,000 | 379,000 | 332,000 |
| Serum creatinine        | 4.32    | 2.1     | 0.84    |
| Bilirubin total         | 2.0     | –       | –       |
| ALT                     | 36      | 13.7    | 48      |
| Na⁺/K⁺                  | 138.9/3.71 | 136.7/4.12 | 132.3/4.71 |
| HCO₃⁻                   | 25.8    | –       | –       |
| SpO₂                    | 98%     | –       | –       |
| Others                  | –       | –       | Sputum for AFB: negative |

Fig. 1 Paraquat tongue, within 24 h of ingestion
usually leads to toxicity to two key target organs, kidneys and lungs, developing over days to weeks [3, 4].

An ingestion of 10–15 ml of 20% w/v Paraquat solution is considered lethal [4]. The estimated amount taken by our patient was 30 ml of 20 SL solution, which was quite high. Following ingestion, the herbicide induces a burning sensation of the mouth and throat, gastrointestinal irritation, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea [3]. In our patient, all the initial symptoms were due to erosion and irritation. Activated charcoal or Fuller’s earth was not used due to unavailability.

Irrespective of its route of administration, it is rapidly distributed in most tissues, with the highest concentration found in the lungs and kidneys [3, 4]. It is actively taken up by the type II pneumocytes against a concentration gradient. Lung damage occurs in two phases, initially from destructive alveolitis over one to three days followed by proliferative phase leading to fibrosis [3, 4].

Fig. 2 Serial chest radiographs after Paraquat poisoning. a Day 1—Normal. b Day 15—Diffuse alveolar shadowing predominantly involving left mid and lower zone. c Day 30—Diffuse alveolar shadowing extending to right apical and mid zone

Fig. 3 High resolution CT scan of chest demonstrating bilateral pulmonary fibrosis 30 days after Paraquat poisoning
Excretion of Paraquat is biphasic, owing to lung accumulation and occurs largely in the urine [4, 5]. In our patient, renal failure was evident by a rise of serum creatinine to 4.32 mg/dl on day 1, which subsequently normalized by day 30 with conservative treatment. Respiratory symptoms appeared at the end of 2nd week and features of lung fibrosis became evident within 1 month, consistent with hallmark lung findings of Paraquat poisoning [6].

This case posed a number of diagnostic challenges to the medical team. Paraquat poisoning is an emerging problem of Bangladesh and never been reported from this region [7]. Therefore, there was considerable confusion regarding the identity of the pesticide ingested. This patient got gastric lavage (which made his condition worse) and test doses of atropine initially and might have looked upon as OP poisoning. Later he was confirmed as a case of Paraquat poisoning after examining the container. Secondly, the initial clinical features were nonspecific. Initial symptoms of vomiting and mucosal ulceration mislead to other corrosive agents. Thirdly, failure to anticipate the complications lead to an early discharge of the patient. Subsequently, he developed overt lung fibrosis within 30 days. Methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide therapy was not given initially (started later), which was a major pitfall in the management of our patient. Early initiation of these therapies might reduce the accumulation into lung [3].

Up to date, 38 countries have issued a ban on Paraquat including the European Union, Sri Lanka, Vietnam and South Korea [8–11]. Bans of W.H.O class I pesticides is proved to significantly lower the suicide rates in Bangladesh [1]. Therefore it is the high time to implement such regulation on Paraquat also.

Paraquat poisoning can lead to death and fatal long-term consequences. Unfortunately, there is no available antidote, which makes it more hazardous. All cases, regardless of symptoms, must be hospitalized and observed for early detection of complications. We recommend the government should look into the problem at large and issue a ban on Paraquat which will effectively lower the poisoning death-rates.
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