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The *Escherichia coli* chromosomal replicase, DNA polymerase III holoenzyme, is highly processive during DNA synthesis. Underlying high processivity is a ring-shaped protein, the β clamp, that encircles DNA and slides along it, thereby tethering the enzyme to the template. The β clamp is assembled onto DNA by the multi-protein γ complex clamp loader that opens and closes the β ring around DNA in an ATP-dependent manner. This study examines the DNA structure required for clamp loading action. We found that the γ complex assembles β onto supercoiled DNA (replicative form I), but only at very low ionic strength, where regions of unwound DNA may exist in the duplex. Consistent with this, the γ complex does not assemble β onto relaxed closed circular DNA even at low ionic strength. Hence, a 3'-end is not required for clamp loading, but a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA)/double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) junction can be utilized as a substrate, a result confirmed using synthetic oligonucleotides that form forked ssDNA/dsDNA junctions on M13 ssDNA. On a flush primed template, the γ complex exhibits polarity; it acts specifically at the 3'-ssDNA/dsDNA junction to assemble β onto the DNA. The γ complex can assemble β onto a primed site as short as 10 nucleotides, corresponding to the width of the β ring. However, a protein block placed closer than 14 base pairs (bp) upstream from the prim 3' terminus prevents the clamp loading reaction, indicating that the γ complex and its associated β clamp interact with ~14–16 bp at a ssDNA/dsDNA junction during the clamp loading operation. A protein block positioned closer than 20–22 bp from the 3' terminus prevents use of the clamp by the polymerase in chain elongation, indicating that the polymerase has an even greater spatial requirement than the γ complex on the duplex portion of the primed site for function with β. Interestingly, DNA secondary structure elements placed near the 3' terminus impose similar steric limits on the γ complex and polymerase action with β. The possible biological significance of these structural constraints is discussed.
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*The γ complex is a highly processive multisubunit replicase (1). Processivity is conferred to the polymerase by the β subunit (2,3). The β subunit is a ring-shaped protein that completely encircles DNA and slides along the duplex (4,5). The β ring endows the polymerase with high processivity by binding directly to it, continuously tethering it to the template during synthesis (4). The β ring does not assemble onto DNA by itself; for this, it requires the clamp loading action of the γ complex. The γ complex is composed of five different proteins (γ, δ, δ', χ, and ψ) (1). Upon binding ATP, the γ complex opens the β ring and positions it around the primed template (7,8). Hydrolysis of two molecules of ATP results in closing the ring around DNA and dissociation of the γ complex from the clamp (7,49).

Following the release of the clamp loader from the clamp, the catalytic core polymerase subassembly (pol III core) within pol III holoenzyme couples with the β clamp at the 3' terminus to form a highly processive polymerase (9,10). The pol III core is composed of three subunits: α, ε, and θ (11). The α subunit is the DNA polymerase (6), and the ε subunit is the proofreading 3'-5' exonuclease (12). Chromosome replication is performed by the large pol III holoenzyme assembly, which contains two catalytic cores, one clamp loader, and two β clamps (1,13). At the center of the holoenzyme structure is a dimer of τ. The τ dimer organizes the structure, as it holds together two molecules of pol III core and associates with one molecule of the γ complex. This assembly is referred to as pol III* and has the composition (α1,ε1,θ1,τ2,δ1,δ'1,χ1,ψ1) (13). The τ and γ subunits are related, as they are encoded by the same gene, dnaX (14). A translational frameshift at amino acid 430 results in one unique C-terminal residue before termination, thus forming the 47-kDa γ subunit; translation of the entire dnaX gene results in the 71-kDa τ subunit (15–17).

The γ subunit is the only protein in the γ complex that binds and hydrolyzes ATP; and therefore, γ is the motor of the clamp loader machine (15,18). Multiple copies of the γ subunit are present in the isolated γ complex; estimates range from two to four (19–21). The remaining subunits of the γ complex (δ, δ', χ, and ψ) are each present as a single copy (19–21). By itself, the δ subunit binds the β clamp and opens the ring without ATP (7,22), but δ cannot assemble β onto DNA. Hence, other subunits and ATP are needed for the organizational task of positioning DNA inside the open ring and reclosing the β clamp around DNA. In the γ complex, the δ subunit is sequestered from interaction with β (22). Upon binding ATP, the γ complex undergoes a conformational change that exposes δ for interaction with β, whereupon the clamp opens, and the ATP-γ complex-β composite binds DNA (8,22). Hydrolysis of ATP is required for the clamp to close around DNA and to effect the dissociation of the γ complex from β (7,8). Two molecules of ATP are hydrolyzed in a sequential fashion during the clamp loading process (49).

This study examines the γ complex for ability to assemble β
onto different DNA structures. Overall, the γ complex can act on a surprisingly wide array of DNA templates. We found that on a flush primed site, the γ complex exhibits polarity, utilizing the primer/template junction at the 3′-end of the primer during the clamp assembly process. However, the 3′ terminus of the primed site does not need to be annealed to the template strand. Indeed, no ends are required for the γ complex to assemble onto DNA; a ssDNA/dsDNA junction appears to be a sufficient substrate upon which the γ complex may load β. Although the γ complex can assemble onto a 10-mer duplex, it has spatial requirements that extend beyond this small site. A protein positioned 12 bp from the 3′ terminus of a primed site blocks clamp loading action; at least 14 bp 3′ to the bound protein are required for assembly of γ onto DNA. Likewise, a large DNA secondary structure positioned within 16 nucleotides of the 3′ terminus blocks γ complex action. Use of β by pol III in chain elongation requires even more space on the primed site; a protein or DNA secondary structure placed closer than 20–22 bp from the 3′ terminus prevents chain extension. The biological significance of these structural constraints for DNA polymerase III holoenzyme action is discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Unlabeled ribonucleoside and deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates were obtained from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. Radioactive nucleoside triphosphates were from NEN Life Science Products. Bio-Gel A-15m agarose was from Bio-Rad. Restriction enzymes were from New England Biolabs Inc. DNA oligonucleotides were synthesized by Oligos etc. and Life Technologies, Inc. All oligonucleotides of >30 nucleotides were purified by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins were purified as described: α, ε, γ, and τ (23); β (5); δ and δ′ (24); χ and ϕ (25); ε (26) and εβ′ (27); pol III* and the γ complex were reconstituted from pure subunits and purified as described (13, 20). Replacements of Asp12 and Glu14 with Ala eliminated the 3′–5′ exonuclease activity of ε (28). ε was targeted to other DNA sequences (eDNA) was constructed by polymerase chain reaction. The gene was placed into pET11, and eDNA was prepared from induced cells described (12). eDNA was used with the other subunits of pol III* to reconstitute pol III* lacking exonuclease activity (13).

βPK is β containing a 6-residue C-terminal kinase recognition site (22). EBNA1PK is the 200-residue C-terminal DNA-binding domain of EBNA1 to which a 6-residue kinase recognition site has been attached; it was isolated and purified as described (29). βPK and EBNA1PK were labeled with [γ-32P]ATP using the recombinant catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase produced in E. coli (a generous gift of Drs. Stewart Shuman and JoAnn Sekiguchi) to 20 μCi of pSB01EP3ori+ DNA (a generous gift of Dr. Jerard Hurwitz) (32) in 400 μl of buffer containing 40 mM Tris 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 10 mM MgCl₂ at 37 °C for 30 min. Relaxation was judged to be complete within 30 min as indicated by analysis of time points on a neutral agarose gel (ethidium bromide added after electrophoresis).

Buffers

Buffer A contained 10 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, and 30 mM sodium citrate (final pH 8.0). Buffer B contained 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 4% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 mM EDTA, 40 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM ATP, and 8 mM MgCl₂. Buffer C contained 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 8 mM MgCl₂, 4% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 100 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, 2 mM dithiothreitol, and 100 mM NaCl. Buffer D contained 90 mM Tris base and 90 mM boric acid.

DNA Templates

Supercoiled plBluescript SK+—Supercoiled plBluescript SK+ (2.96 kilobases; Stratagene) was propagated in the DH5α strain of E. coli, and plasmid was extracted by alkaline lysis and then purified through QIAgen columns and further purified by ultrafiltration in cesium chloride as described (31).

Relaxed Closed Circular DNA—Relaxed closed circular DNA was prepared by adding 1.2 μg of vaccinia topoisomerase (a generous gift of Ors. Stewart Shuman and JurAn Sekiguchi) to 20 μCi of pSB01EP3ori+ DNA (a generous gift of Dr. Jerard Hurwitz) (32) in 400 μl of buffer containing 40 mM Tris 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 10 mM MgCl₂ at 37 °C for 30 min. Relaxation was judged to be complete within 30 min as indicated by analysis of time points on a neutral agarose gel (ethidium bromide added after electrophoresis).

M13mp18 and M13EBNA1 Circular ssDNA—M13mp18 phase was prepared by two consecutive bandings in cesium chloride as described (33). M13EBNA1 ssDNA was prepared as described (27).

Tail-Primed Templates—The oligonucleotides used in the series of experiments of Fig. 3 contain 41 nucleotides complementary to M13EBNA1 ssDNA (map positions 6236–6276). The 3′-tail 56-mer oligonucleotide has 41 nucleotides complementary to M13EBNA1 ssDNA at the 5′-end, followed by a stretch of 15 T residues at the 3′-end. The 5′-tail 56-mer oligonucleotide has 15 T residues at the 5′-end, followed by 41 nucleotides complementary to M13EBNA1 ssDNA. The 3′- and 5′-tail 71-mer oligonucleotide has 41 nucleotides complementary to M13EBNA1 flanked by 15 T residues on both the 3′- and 5′-ends. The control flush 41-mer oligonucleotide was annealed to M13EBNA1 ssDNA.

EBNA1-blocked Primed Templates—Two types of oligonucleotide primers were implemented to determine the polarity of γ complex clamp loading and to define the minimal length of DNA γ complex required for clamp loading. For the polarity experiments, two 34-mer oligonucleotides were synthesized having an 18-nucleotide EBNA1-binding sequence at either the 3′ or 5′ terminus. For the length determination, a set of nine primers was synthesized that had the EBNA1-binding sequence located at the 5′ end. In this latter set of 5′ EBNA1-block primers, the distance between the 3′ end and the EBNA1 block ranged from 10 to 45 nucleotides (i.e. counting the 18 nucleotide EBNA1 site and one 5′ nucleotide beyond it, these primers ranged in size from 29 to 45 nucleotides). To verify that each template contained a primer with an EBNA1-binding site, [32P]EBNA1PK (dimer) of known activities was added to a defined molar amount of M13EBNA1 ssDNA hybridized with each EBNA1 block oligonucleotide. Results showed approximately one molecule of [32P]EBNA1PK bound to each EBNA1-blocked template as expected (for 5′ EBNA1 block, 420 fmol of EBNA/417 fmol of template; and for 3′ EBNA1 block, 391 fmol of EBNA/417 fmol of template).

10- and 15-mer Primed Templates—A 10-mer DNA oligonucleotide with the sequence 5′-GGGGCGCGACG-3′ was annealed to M13EBNA1 ssDNA (map positions 40–49) in a 200:1 primer/template ratio. Another singly primed template containing a 15-mer oligonucleotide with the sequence 5′-ATTGGGGCGCGACG-3′ (map positions 40–54 on M13EBNA1 ssDNA) was also constructed and annealed in an identical fashion.

Bubble Primed Template—Eight oligonucleotides ranging in length from 56 to 70 nucleotides (5′-map position 6239) were designed such that each contains a central stretch of 20 residues identical to the template (map positions 6195–6214), thereby forming a 20-mer single-stranded DNA bubble when hybridized to M13EBNA1 ssDNA. The “bubble” has equal amounts of ssDNA on each strand. The bubble is flanked by 24 bp on the 5′-side and by 12–26 bp on the 3′-side of the primer.

Stem-Loop Primed Template—Eight oligonucleotides ranging in length from 65 to 79 nucleotides (5′-map position 6269) were synthesized such that each contains a 29-nucleotide central region composed of two inverted sequences (12 nucleotides long) separated by 5 T residues that form a 12-bp stem-loop (this stem-loop sequence is 5′-GGAGGCC-CTTGGGTTTTCCAAGGCCCTCC-3′). Upon hybridization of the oligonucleotides to M13EBNA1 ssDNA, the stem-loop is flanked by 24 bp on the 3′-side and by 24 bp on the 5′-side.

Hybridization of Oligonucleotides to ssDNA

Annealing of oligonucleotides to ssDNA templates was performed in reactions containing 12.5 pmol of ssDNA template and 250 pmol of primer(s) in 20 μl of buffer A in a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube. The reactions were then heated in a 13 × 100-mm test tube containing ~100 °C water and then allowed to slowly cool to room temperature (~22 °C, ~30 min). For templates hybridized with short primers (<15 nucleotides), 2500 pmol of primer were added to 12.4 pmol of ssDNA circles.

Analysis of β Clamps Assembled onto DNA by Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

Reactions were performed in buffer B in a volume of 100 μl. Exact amounts of DNA and proteins and the concentration of NaCl are indicated in the figure legends. Mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C and then analyzed (upon adding 20 μl of glycerol) by electrophoresis on a 1.5% native agarose gel prepared with buffer D (containing 1 μg/ml ethidium bromide) at 100 V for 150 min at 4 °C. A photograph of the UV-induced ethidium bromide fluorescence was obtained, and the gel was dried and exposed to PhosphorImager screen.
Isolation of [\(^{32}\)P]β Clamps on DNA by Gel Filtration

Reactions were performed in 100 \(\mu\)l of buffer B. Exact amounts of [\(^{32}\)P]β or [\(^{3}H\)]β, the γ complex or pol III*, and the primed template used in each experiment are detailed in the figure legends. Reactions were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C and applied to 5-ml columns of Bio-Gel A-15m equilibrated in buffer C at 4 °C. Fractions of 180 \(\mu\)l were collected. Aliquots of 150 \(\mu\)l were analyzed for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. The molar amount of [\(^{32}\)P]β in each fraction was calculated from the known specific activity of radioactive β. The seven peak-excluded fractions (typically fractions 10–16) were summed to obtain the total amount of β on DNA. All values presented are normalized for the full 180-\(\mu\)l volume of each fraction. Recovery of total radioactive protein was typically 80–95%. Results were not corrected for recoveries.

**Replication of EBNA1-blocked Primed Templates**

Templates primed using 5'-EBNA1 block oligonucleotides (242 fmol as circles) were added to 308 fmol of β, 3.6 pmol of EBNA1 (as dimer), and 55 pmol of SSB (as tetramer) in 22 \(\mu\)l of buffer B containing 0.5 mm ATP. In a parallel control experiment, EBNA1 was omitted and replaced with storage buffer containing 20 mm Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 mm EDTA, 5 mm dithiothreitol, and 300 mm NaCl. Reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 1 min to allow time for EBNA1 binding. Next, 100 fmol of pol III* were added to each reaction and incubated for 1.5 min at 30 °C. Replication was initiated upon adding 2 \(\mu\)l of 0.75 mm each dATP, dCTP, and dGTP, and 0.25 mm [\(\alpha\r{32}\)P]dTTP and then allowed to proceed for 30 s at 30 °C before being quenched with 25 \(\mu\)l of stop solution (1% SDS and 40 mm EDTA). Reactions were spotted onto DE81 paper, and the filters were washed and counted as described (34).

**Replication of 20-mer Bubble- or 12-bp Stem-Loop-blocked Primed Templates**

Templates primed with either the 20-mer bubble oligonucleotides or the 12-bp stem-loop oligonucleotides were replicated with pol III* using the same protocol as with the 5'-EBNA1-blocked templates, except that EBNA1 protein was not included.

**RESULTS**

The γ Complex Assembles β onto a Supercoiled Template—Previous studies showed that the γ complex assembles β onto the DNA in a supercoiled plasmid DNA preparation (i.e. by gel filtration analysis); however, it was not determined whether β assembled onto the supercoiled plasmid or onto the small amount of nicked plasmid that contaminates supercoiled plasmid preparations (4). In the experiment of Fig. 1, we more thoroughly examined the ability of the γ complex to assemble β onto a supercoiled plasmid. To detect the presence of β on DNA, a modified form of β was used, in which a 6-residue kinase recognition motif was placed on the C terminus, allowing it to be radiolabeled with [\(\gamma\r{32}\)P]ATP using cAMP-dependent protein kinase (referred to herein as [\(^{32}\)P]β). [\(^{32}\)P]β was incubated with a supercoiled plasmid, the γ complex, and ATP, and then the reaction was divided. One half was treated with BamHI to linearize the DNA, and the other half was treated with BamHI storage buffer. The reactions were then analyzed on a 1.5% native agarose gel, followed by autoradiography to detect the presence of [\(^{32}\)P]β on the DNA. In Fig. 1A, the UV-induced ethidium bromide fluorescence shows a small amount of nicked DNA in the supercoiled DNA preparation (lane 1), and lane 2 shows that linearization of the supercoiled DNA was complete. The corresponding autoradiogram (right panel) shows [\(^{32}\)P]β was present on both the nicked plasmid and the supercoiled plasmid (lane 1), whereas no detectable [\(^{32}\)P]β was observed on the DNA after linearization (lane 2). Therefore, the γ complex can assemble β onto supercoiled DNA. The fact that [\(^{32}\)P]β dissociates from the DNA upon linearization demonstrates that the β clamp is topologically bound to these circular DNAs.

The observation that the γ complex assembles β onto supercoiled DNA is somewhat surprising, as this is not a template with a 3' terminus for DNA synthesis. Perhaps superhelical tension produces unwound regions (i.e. bubbles), thereby providing ssDNA/dsDNA junctions upon which the γ complex may assemble β. This predicts that the reaction will be highly sensitive to ionic strength, as salt should have the effect of stabilizing the duplex, thus replacing regions of unwound DNA in the supercoiled plasmid with a concomitant increase in the writh (the number of times the helix axis crosses over itself). This prediction was tested by repeating the experiment in the presence of low amounts of NaCl, 0, 10, 20, or 30 mm (Fig. 1B). The result demonstrates that assembly of [\(^{32}\)P]β onto supercoiled DNA is highly salt sensitive; at just 30 mm NaCl, practically no [\(^{32}\)P]β was observed on supercoiled DNA, whereas the inverse held for assembly of β onto the nicked circular DNA present in the plasmid preparation. Therefore, γ complex action on supercoiled DNA is significantly more sensitive to ionic strength than activity on nicked DNA, with the result that the
\[\gamma\text{ complex directs its clamp loading activity to the nicked plasmid as the ionic strength is raised. The }\gamma\text{ complex activity was not significantly affected over this narrow range of NaCl concentration, as the total amount of }\beta\text{ clamps assembled onto DNA remained constant. The oligomeric stability of the }\beta\text{ clamp is not affected by this difference in salt concentration (}\beta\text{ remains a dimer even in 2 M NaCl).}\]

The sensitivity to ionic strength of the }\gamma\text{ complex action on supercoiled DNA is consistent with the hypothesis that a supercoiled plasmid has regions of unwind DNA that act as a substrate for the }\gamma\text{ complex. Alternatively, the }\gamma\text{ complex may utilize fully duplex DNA as a substrate for }\beta\text{ at very low ionic strength, but not at slightly higher ionic strength. A test to distinguish between these possibilities is provided in the next experiment.}

**The }\gamma\text{ Complex Does Not Assemble }\beta\text{ onto a Closed Relaxed Plasmid—Supercoiled plasmid DNA has inherent torsional strain that may unwind DNA (i.e. forming bubbles), providing a substrate for the }\gamma\text{ complex. This torsional strain may be released upon treatment with topoisomerase to remove the supercoils. If the }\gamma\text{ complex can assemble }\beta\text{ onto fully duplex DNA at low ionic strength, then the }\gamma\text{ complex should assemble }[^{32}\text{P}]\beta\text{ onto a relaxed closed plasmid (form IV DNA) at low ionic strength. However, if the }\gamma\text{ complex requires the plasmid to have torsional stress, possibly providing regions of unwind DNA, then little or no }[^{32}\text{P}]\beta\text{ should be assembled onto a relaxed plasmid even at low ionic strength. In Fig. 2, we examined whether the }\gamma\text{ complex assembled }\beta\text{ onto plasmid DNA that had been relaxed by treatment with a topoisomerase. A control reaction containing a mixture of nicked and supercoiled DNAs was also performed. Reactions were analyzed by electrophoresis on a native agarose gel in the absence of ethidium bromide, allowing the DNA topoisomers to be resolved. Later, the gel was stained with ethidium bromide to visualize DNA (Fig. 2A). The autoradiogram in Fig. 2B shows that }\beta\text{ was assembled onto both supercoiled and nicked DNAs in the control (lane 2), but not onto the relaxed circular DNA (lane 3; individual topoisomers migrate between nicked and supercoiled DNAs). This result suggests that the }\gamma\text{ complex does not assemble }\beta\text{ onto duplex DNA and that assembly of }\beta\text{ onto supercoiled plasmid is mediated by a structure produced by superhelical tension, possibly a region of unwind DNA.}

The }\gamma\text{ Complex Can Assemble }\beta\text{ at a ssDNA/dsDNA Junction—The preceding experiments demonstrated that the }\gamma\text{ complex can assemble }\beta\text{ onto DNA lacking an end, possibly at ssDNA bubbles within the supercoiled plasmid. The }\gamma\text{ complex does not utilize ssDNA as template for assembly of }\beta\text{ onto DNA (Ref. 4 and see the control in the next experiment). Thus, it seems likely that the structural detail that the }\gamma\text{ complex recognizes in a partially unwind plasmid is the forked ssDNA/dsDNA junction of the bubble. This structure is similar to a primed site, the major difference being the lack of an annealed end (indeed, a bubble in a closed circular duplex has no ends at all). In the next set of experiments, synthetic forked ssDNA/dsDNA junctions were constructed to test whether the }\gamma\text{ complex can function on sites lacking an annealed terminus. Four different templates were prepared by annealing DNA oligonucleotides to the circular ssDNA genome of an M13 derivative. The first template was a control in which a 41-mer DNA oligonucleotide was fully annealed to the circular ssDNA (Fig. 3A). The second template was prepared by annealing a 56-mer oligonucleotide, containing a non-complementary stretch of 15 dT residues at the 5’-end, to the circular ssDNA, forming a 41-bp primed site with a 15-residue 5’-tail (Fig. 3B). The third template was prepared by annealing a 56-mer oligonucleotide, containing a non-complementary stretch of 15 dT residues at the 3’-end, to the circular ssDNA, forming a 41-bp primed site with a 15-residue 3’-dT tail (Fig. 3C). The fourth template had tails at both ends, prepared using a 71-mer oligonucleotide with 15-dT residue extensions flanking a 41-mer sequence complementary to the circular ssDNA (Fig. 3D). The experiment was also performed using an unprimed M13EBNA1 ssDNA circle to test whether the }\gamma\text{ complex can assemble }\beta\text{ onto ssDNA (Fig. 3E). Experiments were repeated at different concentrations of NaCl (0, 17.5, 50, and 76 mM) to assess whether the efficiency of clamp loading on a forked ssDNA/dsDNA junction is sensitive to ionic strength. Results using these different concentrations of NaCl did not differ significantly; and therefore, only results using 17.5 mM added NaCl are shown in Fig. 3. In the first experiment, the primed template containing a fully annealed oligonucleotide (Fig. 3A) was coated with SSB, and then }[^{32}\text{P}]\beta\text{ was assembled onto it using the }\gamma\text{ complex and

\[^{2}\text{Z. Kelman and M. O’Donnell, unpublished data.}

\[^{3}\text{The plasmid preparation used to make relaxed closed circular DNA contained very little nicked circular DNA, although a slight amount was present.} \]
ATP in the presence of 17.5 mM NaCl. After 5 min at 37 °C, the reaction was analyzed by gel filtration through a large-pore Bio-Gel A-15m column to quantitate [32P] on DNA. Large DNA molecules and [32P] clamps bound to them eluted in the void volume (fractions 10–16) and were resolved from the relatively small unbound [32P] that eluted later in the included fractions of this large pore resin (fractions 18–30). The amount of [32P] in each fraction was quantitated by liquid scintillation, and the specific activity of [32P] was determined. The result shows that most of the β is assembled onto the template containing a fully annealed primer (974 fmol of β was loaded onto 846 fmol of DNA).

In Fig. 3B, γ complex action was examined using the 5’-tailed template. The results demonstrate that the γ complex assembles β onto the 5’-tailed primed template as efficiently as onto the control template with a fully annealed primer (1062 fmol of β was assembled onto 846 fmol of template). The results using the 3’-tailed template are shown in Fig. 3C. Approximately 76% of the amount of β was assembled onto this template relative to the control template with a fully annealed oligonucleotide (742 fmol of β was loaded onto 846 fmol of template). Similar results were obtained at different concentrations of NaCl. The results using the template with both 3’- and 5’-tails show a comparable amount of β clamp assembled onto this template as with the 3’-tailed primed template (574 fmol of β were loaded onto 846 fmol template). The somewhat diminished efficiency of γ complex action on 3’-tailed primed templates is probably explained by the polarity of the γ complex in assembly of β onto DNA (see experiment below in Fig. 4).

In summary, these experiments indicate that the γ complex can assemble β clamps onto a forked ssDNA/dsDNA junction and that annealed DNA ends are not essential to the clamp loading reaction. However, the γ complex cannot assemble β onto DNA that is completely duplex (i.e. relaxed circular duplex in Fig. 2), nor can it assemble β onto unprimed circular ssDNA (Fig. 3E).

Polarity in γ Complex Assembly of β onto Primed DNA—The head-to-tail arrangement of β protomers in the β dimer generates a ring with sidedness; the structure of one face is distinct

---

**Fig. 3. Assembly of β onto ssDNA/dsDNA junctions.** Radiolabeled β was assembled onto four different templates to which oligonucleotides were annealed to circular M13EBNA1 ssDNA with flush ends (A), a 5’-tail (B), a 3’-tail (C), or a 5’-tail and a 3’-tail (D). E is circular M13EBNA1 ssDNA lacking an annealed oligonucleotide. Reactions contained 2300 fmol of [32P]β, 850 fmol of primed M13EBNA1 ssDNA, 210 pmol of SSB, and 700 fmol of γ complex in 100 μl of buffer B containing 17.5 mM NaCl. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 5 min before analysis by gel filtration as described under “Materials and Methods.”

**Fig. 4. Polarity of the γ complex in assembly of β onto a primed template.** β clamps were assembled onto either of two primed templates that contained an EBNA1-binding site at either the 5’-terminal (A) or 3’-terminal (B) 18 nucleotides. 18 pmol of EBNA1 dimer, when present (depicted as gray ovals), were preincubated with 850 fmol of primed template and with 210 pmol of SSB in 100 μl of buffer B. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 5 min, and then 1500 fmol of [3H]β and 500 fmol of γ complex were added. After a further 5 min at 37 °C, reactions were analyzed by gel filtration as described under “Materials and Methods.” ○ reactions containing EBNA1; □ reactions lacking EBNA1.
from that of the other (e.g. as illustrated in the diagram in Fig. 4). A previous study has shown that the \( \gamma \) complex and pol III core both interact with the same face of the \( \beta \) ring (10). Therefore, it seems likely that the clamp loader functions on the ssDNA/dsDNA junction at the 3'-end of the primer so that the clamp would be oriented properly for interaction with the polymerase (see diagram in Fig. 4). In this experiment, we asked whether the \( \gamma \) complex assembles \( \beta \) at the 3' terminus of a primed template or whether it is perhaps capable of using the 5'-end, or either end, to assemble \( \beta \) onto DNA. To address this issue of directionality, we designed a primed template that could be blocked at either the 3' or 5' terminus of the oligonucleotide primer with a protein, EBNA1. EBNA1 is a viral protein that binds and activates the latent replication origin (oriP) of the Epstein-Barr virus. To use this protein as a block, we constructed an M13mp18 ssDNA template containing one 18-bp EBNA1-binding site. Then we hybridized either of two 35-mer oligonucleotide primers to this M13EBNA1 ssDNA circle such that the EBNA1 site was present at either the extreme 3'- or 5'-end. Addition of EBNA1 to these constructs resulted in approximately one dimer bound to the DNA. If the \( \gamma \) complex acts upon one ssDNA/dsDNA junction at a primed site, EBNA1 should block clamp loading action on only one of these DNA constructs.

In Fig. 4A, EBNA1 was added to the primed template with the EBNA1 site at the 5'-end, followed by addition of \([^{32}P] \beta\), the \( \gamma \) complex, and ATP. After 5 min at 37 °C, the reaction was analyzed by gel filtration to quantitate \([^{32}P] \beta\) that assembled onto DNA. The results show that the \( \gamma \) complex is capable of loading \( \beta \) onto this 5'-EBNA1-blocked primed template (1066 fmol of \( \beta \) loaded per 833 fmol of 5'-blocked template). As a control, the experiment was repeated in the absence of EBNA1. The result shows that ~50% more \( \beta \) assembled onto the DNA in the absence of EBNA1 (1632 fmol of \( \beta \) loaded per 833 fmol of 5'-unblocked template). A plausible explanation for this increased level of \( \beta \) is the extra 18 bp available in the absence of EBNA1, which may accommodate another \( \beta \) clamp, consistent with previous studies showing that multiple \( \beta \) clamps accumulate on duplex DNA molecules (4, 35). In summary, the result suggests that the \( \gamma \) complex does not require interaction with the ssDNA/dsDNA junction at the 5'-end of the primer to assemble \( \beta \) onto DNA.

In Fig. 4B, the experiment was repeated using the primed template with the EBNA1 site at the 3'-terminus. The results show that the \( \gamma \) complex is incapable of assembling \( \beta \) onto the primed site in the presence of EBNA1; <3% of the amount of \( \beta \) was loaded onto the template relative to the unblocked control (54 and 1708 fmol of \( \beta \) assembled, respectively, onto 833 fmol of template). This result suggests that the \( \gamma \) complex requires interaction with the ssDNA/dsDNA junction at the 3'-end of a primed template for clamp loader function.

A 10-Base Pair Primed Site Is Sufficient for \( \beta \)—RNA primers in vivo are ~10–12 nucleotides long in E. coli (36). This length is consistent with the crystal structure of \( \beta \), which shows it to have a depth of 34 Å, suggesting that it is capable of encircling 10 bp of DNA (5). The ability of the \( \gamma \) complex to function on short primed sites was tested in the experiment of Fig. 5 using circular ssDNA templates to which short DNA oligonucleotides (either 10 or 15 nucleotides long) were hybridized. The results demonstrate that the \( \gamma \) complex assembles \( \beta \) onto 10-mer and 15-mer oligonucleotide–primed templates. Assembly of \( \beta \) onto these templates was inefficient, probably due to the underlying inefficiency of hybridization of these short primers to DNA (396 and 494 fmol of \( \beta \)/833 fmol of 10-mer and 15-mer primed DNAs, respectively). The concentration of primer was elevated in these experiments to increase the priming efficiency of the template (200-fold molar excess of primer to template). However, the increased concentration of free primer was found to be inhibitory to the \( \gamma \) complex in assembly of \( \beta \) onto DNA (the concentration of primer used in the experiment of Fig. 4 inhibited the \( \gamma \) complex by ~50% (data not shown)).

**Spatial Requirements for Clamp Loader Function**—The preceding experiment demonstrated that the \( \gamma \) complex can assemble \( \beta \) onto a primed site as small as 10 bp. Primers shorter than this length could not be tested due to instability of these short hybrids. To test even smaller lengths of duplex for \( \beta \) loading, we hybridized oligonucleotides over the binding site for EBNA1 such that the 3' terminus extended out 10 bp or more from the EBNA1 site. The crystal structure of the EBNA1 DNA-binding domain indicates that it does not protrude beyond either side of this 18-bp sequence (37). Thus, a 28-mer with an EBNA1 site at the 5'-end is sufficiently long to hybridize stably to the ssDNA circle at low concentration. Upon addition of EBNA1, the 5'-18 bp will be bound to protein, but the 3' 10 bp should be available for the \( \gamma \) complex to assemble \( \beta \) onto DNA.

This experimental strategy was employed in the experiment of Fig. 6 using primers of different length (see diagrams). After annealing oligonucleotides of 28–36 nucleotides, primed templates were coated with SSB, followed by addition of EBNA1 (binding was confirmed as described under "Materials and Methods"), and then \([^{32}P] \beta\), the \( \gamma \) complex, and ATP were added. The reaction was analyzed by gel filtration to quantitate the amount of \([^{32}P] \beta\) assembled onto the DNA (Fig. 6, left panels). Use of the two shortest oligonucleotides showed essentially no \( \beta \) on the DNA in the presence of EBNA1 (Fig. 6, A and
with β by occupying more DNA than expected or by altering the DNA structure. Experiments that address this concern, by using DNA structures as blocks instead of EBNA1, are presented below.

To further delineate the spatial requirement of γ complex action on a primed site, the experiment using EBNA1 was repeated using longer primers that provided 3’-extensions of 14, 16, or 18 bp beyond the EBNA1 site (Fig. 6, C–E, respectively). The results demonstrate that a 14-bp extension is sufficient for assembly of β onto DNA in the presence of EBNA1. These results are summarized in the bar graphs of Fig. 6F, which show the ratio of β assembled onto each 5’-EBNA1-blocked template compared with the corresponding unblocked control.

Fig. 6 (right panels) also shows the results of these β assembly experiments using pol III* as the clamp loader. To prevent the 3’-5’ exonuclease activity of e from digesting the 3’ terminus of these primed templates, a mutant of e that inactivates the 3’-5’ exonuclease activity was constructed and used in the reconstitution of pol III* (pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut}). The results showed that the spatial requirement for the clamp loading activity within pol III* was the same as that for the γ complex alone.

Spatial Requirements for DNA Synthesis by pol III Holoenzyme—The experiments of Fig. 6 show that the γ complex can assemble β onto duplex regions of 14 bp or more. Next we examined the spatial requirement for the replication activity of pol III holoenzyme. To address this, the series of EBNA1-block primed templates of Fig. 6 were tested in replication assays using β, pol III*, and 32P-labeled deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (Fig. 7). pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut} was used in these experiments to ensure that the 3’ terminus of the primed DNA was not modified (i.e., by exonuclease action) during assembly of the enzyme on DNA. We expected that the capacity of pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut} and β to replicate these DNA templates would mirror the results of pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut} in assembly of β onto DNA. Surprisingly, the two results differed substantially. Whereas pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut} was capable of assembling β onto sites having only 14 bp 3’ to the EBNA1 site, pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut} required at least 22 bp 3’ to bound EBNA1 for chain extension (Fig. 7).

Spatial Requirements for Clamp Loading and Synthesis on Primed Sites with Secondary Structure—In the next experiment, the γ complex was examined for its ability to assemble β onto primed sites with a bulky DNA secondary structure element instead of a protein positioned upstream from the primer 3’ terminus. In addition, pol III* was tested for its ability to function with β in DNA synthesis on these primed templates. Primed sites with two different secondary structures were studied: a 20-nucleotide ssDNA bubble and a 12-bp stem connected by a 5-nucleotide loop. The results using the stem-loop positioned at various distances from the 3’ terminus are shown in Fig. 8. The results were qualitatively similar to those in Figs. 6 and 7 using EBNA1 at various positions relative to the 3’ terminus. For example, the length of duplex between the stem-loop and the 3’ terminus needed for the γ complex to assemble β onto DNA was less than the length needed for pol III* to function with β in chain elongation. Assembly of β was inefficient on sites with 3’-extensions of 16 bp or less from the stem-loop. Therefore, the stem-loop appears to be a slightly more formidable barrier to the use of γ complex action compared with EBNA1 (where β loading occurs at 14 bp). On the other hand, pol III* is active in synthesis with β on templates in which the stem-loop is separated from the 3’ terminus by

---

\* The pol III* e\textsuperscript{mut} is as active with β in DNA synthesis as pol III* is with β; the speed and processivity of the two resulting holoenzymes are indistinguishable (N. Yao, F. P. Leu, J. Anjelkovic, J. Turner, and Mike O'Donnell, unpublished data).
only 20 bp, whereas use of EBNA1 requires a 22-bp extension from the EBNA1 protein.

The results of γ complex action and polymerase chain extension on primed sites with a ssDNA bubble upstream from the 3′ terminus are shown in Fig. 9. As with the stem-loop and EBNA1 blocks, the length of duplex between the bubble and 3′-end needed for the γ complex was less than the length required for polymerase function. The actual lengths for optimal function were 16 bp for the γ complex-catalyzed β loading and 20 bp for pol III* function with β, similar to that observed using the stem-loop.

DISCUSSION

Directionality of β Clamp Assembly onto DNA—The β clamp is composed of two crescent-shaped protomers that form a protein ring with two structurally distinct faces (i.e. analogous to the different “head” and “tail” faces of a coin). A ring with distinct front and back faces initially suggested to us that one face is utilized for interaction with the γ complex and that the core polymerase may interact with the other face of β. However, study of these interactions showed that the γ complex and core polymerase associate with the same face of the β clamp (i.e. the face from which the two C termini protrude) (10). In fact, the core and γ complex compete for β (10). This competition is important, as the γ complex can also remove clamps from DNA (10). This competitive arrangement between the core and γ complex for β prevents the γ complex-catalyzed removal of β from DNA while the core polymerase functions with β in processive synthesis (10). When replication is complete, the core polymerase dissociates from the clamp (and DNA), and then the γ complex is free to unload the β clamp that is left behind on the completed DNA (10, 38).

The fact that core polymerase interacts with the same face of β as the γ complex suggests that the γ complex may act at the same 3′-terminal portion of the primer as the polymerase. This report shows that the γ complex does indeed require the 3′-ssDNA/dsDNA junction to assemble β onto DNA; it does not do so when this junction is blocked. A protein block at the 5′-ssDNA/dsDNA junction of a primed template does not affect the clamp loading action of the γ complex (provided the primer is not too small). This polarity of γ complex action is consistent with a cross-linking study demonstrating that the γ subunit of the γ complex covalently reacts with a DNA photoreactive moiety positioned on the primed strand 3 bp upstream from the 3′ terminus (39).

The γ Complex Can Assemble β onto a Forked ssDNA/dsDNA Junction—Previous studies have shown that the γ complex is functional in loading β onto primed templates and onto nicked circular duplex DNA (4). This study expands these findings. This report documents the ability of the γ complex to assemble [32P]β onto each primed template was determined by gel filtration. Results are summarized in the bar graph (inset). B, the ability of pol III* e

FIG. 7. Replication competence of primed templates. Pol III* e
mut and β were used to replicate primed templates used in the experiments of Fig. 6 either in the presence or absence of EBNA1. The bar graph summarizes the results. The x axis shows the distance in base pairs from the 3′ terminus to EBNA1. The y axis shows the ratio of DNA synthesis obtained for each template in the presence or absence of EBNA1.

FIG. 8. Steric constraints on holoenzyme action imposed by a 12-bp stem-loop. The γ complex activity and pol III* function with β were examined on primed templates with a stem-loop positioned at various distances from the 3′ terminus as illustrated in the diagrams. A, the ability of the γ complex to assemble [32P]β onto each primed template was determined by gel filtration. Results are summarized in the bar graph (inset). B, the ability of pol III* e
mut to function with β in DNA synthesis was tested for each primed template.
plasmid, thus forming forked ssDNA/dsDNA junctions (i.e., bubbles). Indeed, this report demonstrates the ability of the \( \gamma \) complex to load \( \beta \) onto a forked ssDNA/dsDNA junction lacking annealed ends. The fact that the \( \gamma \) complex can assemble \( \beta \) onto superhelical plasmid DNA and a forked single-stranded/double-stranded structure lacking an annealed 3' terminus is consistent with the finding that the \( \gamma \) complex does not require the 3'-hydroxyl group of a primed site (i.e., it assembled \( \beta \) onto a primed site that had been terminated with a dideoxynucleotide at the 3' terminus) (40).

Spatial Requirement for the \( \gamma \) Complex in Loading \( \beta \) and for \( \text{pol III}^* \) in Chain Extension with \( \beta \)—In vivo, RNA primers are \(-10\)–\(-12\) nucleotides in length (36). In keeping with this observation, we demonstrate here that the \( \gamma \) complex can assemble \( \beta \) onto a primer as short as 10 nucleotides. \( \text{pol III}^* \) is also able to extend the 10-mer oligonucleotide primer in a \( \beta \)-dependent reaction (data not shown). The \( \beta \) ring has a thickness of 34 Å, sufficient to span approximately one helical turn of DNA (i.e., 10 nucleotides) (5). Therefore, in vivo, primed sites are approximately the same length as \( \beta \) is thick. These short RNA primers are probably held to DNA by primase, which remains bound to the primed site through contact with SSB (41). Primase is displaced by the \( \gamma \) complex during clamp loading via a competition between \( \chi \) (a subunit of the \( \gamma \) complex) and primase for SSB (41, 42).

The ability of the \( \gamma \) complex to assemble \( \beta \) onto a 10-bp primed site and the fact that the \( \gamma \) complex acts from the 3' terminus of the primed site suggest that all clamp loading operations are confined to 10 bp of duplex DNA and perhaps some template ssDNA at the 3'-dsDNA/ssDNA junction. This predicts that if a physical barrier such as EBNA1 were placed on a primed site 10 bp or more upstream from the 3' terminus, the \( \gamma \) complex would still be capable of assembling \( \beta \) on the available 10 bp of duplex DNA. However, we performed this experiment and found that it could not; 14 bp or more must be provided. This result could be explained by protrusion of EBNA1 beyond its site, but crystal structure analysis indicated that this is not the case. Furthermore, repeating these experiments using primers with upstream secondary structure elements (i.e., a stem-loop or a bubble) showed that a DNA secondary structure placed \(-16\) bp from the 3' terminus also blocks \( \gamma \) complex clamp loading activity. A plausible explanation for these observations is that the \( \gamma \) complex interacts with 4–6 bp at the 3' terminus in addition to the DNA occupied by the \( \beta \) ring. On a very short primer only 10 bp in length, the \( \beta \)-\( \gamma \) complex may occupy some of the ssDNA in back of the 5'-end of the primer in addition to the 10-bp primed site. This 14–16-bp requirement for \( \gamma \) complex action with \( \beta \) would appear to conflict with DNase I footprinting studies of \( \beta \) and the \( \gamma \) complex that showed protection over the length of the entire 51-nucleotide primed site (43). However, this large footprint is likely explained by the ability of the \( \gamma \) complex to load multiple clamps onto DNA (4), thereby covering the duplex portion of the primed template with several \( \beta \) rings. Studies such as this in the T4 system have demonstrated that a 660-bp stretch of duplex DNA can be protected from DNase I via packing the entire region with the T4 clamp, gp45 protein (50).

\( \text{pol III}^* \), which has one molecule of the \( \gamma \) complex, has the same spatial requirement as the \( \gamma \) complex alone for assembly of \( \beta \) onto DNA (i.e., 14–16 bp). However, use of the clamp by \( \text{pol III}^* \) requires 20–22 bp. Hence, the polymerase, coupled to \( \beta \), requires more space on DNA than the \( \beta \)-\( \gamma \) complex. These spatial requirements are summarized in Fig. 10. The spatial requirement of 20–22 bp for \( \text{pol III}^* \) function with \( \beta \) fits nicely with protein/DNA cross-linking studies of \( \text{pol III} \) holoenzyme that indicate that the holoenzyme makes contact with DNA as far as 22 nucleotides upstream from the 3' terminus (39). These results are also in agreement with DNase I footprinting studies of \( \text{pol III} \) holoenzyme on DNA that showed protection of 27–30 bp at a primer terminus (43). DNase I is a rather large cleavage agent and generally overestimates binding sites by \(-3\)–\(-5\) nucleotides (44).

Comparison with T4 and Eukaryotic Replicases—The spatial requirements for assembly of \( E. \ coli \) DNA polymerase III holoenzyme onto a primed template can be compared with results from previous footprinting and cross-linking studies performed with T4 and eukaryotic replicases. Munn and Alberts (45, 46) utilized a hairpin helix with a single-stranded 5'-extension (a "primer/template junction") to footprint the T4 phage clamp (gp45) and clamp loader (gp44/gp62 complex). Analysis of the duplex portion of the primed template using DNase I in the presence of ATP-yS (which freezes the T4 clamp-clamp loader intermediate on DNA) showed that the clamp-clamp loader complex protects 19–20 bp on one strand and 27–28 bp on the other (45). Addition of the T4 DNA polymerase to the accessory proteins yields a somewhat expanded footprint encompassing 25 residues on one strand and 32 residues on the other (46). A DNase I footprinting study using a similar hairpin template performed with the eukaryotic clamp PCNA and the clamp loader replication factor C (in the presence of ATP-S) showed a protected region of 24–25 nucleotides on the double-stranded portion of the primed template; \( \text{pol \delta} \) and PCNA yield a similar-sized footprint of 24–26 nucleotides (47). Taking into consideration the 4–5-nucleotide overestimate using DNase I, the re-
The space needed by pol III holoenzyme may also impose certain boundaries, or constraints, to initiating replication at D-loop recombination intermediates such as in double strand break repair. For example, a D-loop has ssDNA/dsDNA junctions directly in front and in back of the primed site, which may impose spatial requirements similar to the DNA secondary structures examined herein. This study indicates that pol III-mediated extension of a D-loop formed by homologous recombination would require that the invading strand form at least 20 bp of duplex DNA for the γ complex to load a β clamp and for chain extension by the polymerase. Further spatial requirements for polymerase action may be imposed by the displaced ssDNA strand of the loop, as sufficient room must be available between the primed site and the displaced ssDNA to accommodate the radius of the β ring. These spatial constraints may act to prevent recombination at sites of only limited homology.

The coordination of pol III holoenzyme with other proteins in these several DNA metabolic activities may enable the holoenzyme to function in very restricted spaces. How these numerous proteins function together, especially with enzymes as large as pol III holoenzyme, remains an exciting area for future study.
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FIG. 10. Spatial requirements for pol III holoenzyme components at a primed site. A, the γ complex recognizes the 3'–ssDNA/dsDNA junction to assemble the β clamp onto the duplex portion of a primed site. Approximately 14–16 bp of duplex are required in the clamp loading operation. B, once assembled onto DNA, the β ring should occupy ~10 bp, as the width of β is ~34 Å. C, the action of the polymerase with the β clamp requires 20–22 bp, implying that the DNA polymerase occupies 10–12 bp in front of the β clamp.

Implications for Recombination and Repair—pol III holoenzyme is utilized in a variety of recombination and repair processes. For example, pol III holoenzyme is required in replication restart after DNA damage. This process of restarting replication of a damaged chromosome involves participation of numerous proteins such as RecA, RecF, RecO, and RecR, which presumably function with pol III holoenzyme to circumvent lesions on the template. This process may require recombination events to place a new 3' terminus across the damaged site, allowing pol III holoenzyme to restart chain extension past the lesion. The spatial requirements for pol III holoenzyme action outlined here suggest that at least 20 bp of the "new" primer terminus must be cleared of recombination factors for pol III holoenzyme to function.

pol III holoenzyme is also required in mismatch repair to fill in the long ssDNA gaps created by excision of DNA to and beyond the mismatched nucleotide (53, 54). The need for pol III holoenzyme and the inability of other polymerases to substitute in this process indicate that the 3' terminus is masked by mismatch repair proteins. Presumably, pol III holoenzyme not only “locks” the 3' terminus, but clears away blocking proteins that are bound within 20 bp of the 3' terminus to generate sufficient room on the DNA for clamp loading action and polymerase extension.
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