A study to evaluate and compare the anti-inflammatory activity of ethanolic and aqueous extract of *Holoptelea integrifolia* leaves on acute inflammatory models
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ABSTRACT

Background: Inflammation is a local response of living mammalian tissues to injury. It is a body defence reaction in order to eliminate or to limit the spread of injurious agent. This study was intended to evaluate the anti-inflammatory activity of aqueous and ethanolic extract of *Holoptelea integrifolia* leaves.

Methods: The anti-inflammatory activity study was carried out by using Carrageenan induced rat paw oedema animal model and turpentine induced arthritis animal model. Wistar rats were divided into six groups of six animals each. Two different doses (250 mg/kg and 500 mg/kg) of aqueous and ethanolic extract of *Holoptelea integrifolia* leaves were given to the four different test group animals and compared with the standard drug indomethacin (10mg/kg).

Results: In the present study both the extract exhibited significant Anti-inflammatory activity. But, ethanolic extract has showed considerably better values than aqueous extract which supports the traditional medicinal utilization of the plant.

Conclusions: Both the aqueous and ethanolic extract of *Holoptelea Integrifolia* leaves exhibited significant anti-inflammatory activity. But, Ethanolic extract had showed better results. Further studies involving the purification of the chemical constituents of the plant and the investigations in the biochemical pathways may result in the development of a potent anti-inflammatory agent with low toxicity and better therapeutic index.
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INTRODUCTION

Inflammation is a local response of living mammalian tissues to injury. It is a body defence reaction in order to eliminate or to limit the spread of injurious agent. There are various components to an inflammatory reaction that can contribute to the associated symptoms and tissue injury. Oedema formation, leukocyte infiltration and granuloma formation represent such components of inflammation. 1 Although several agents are known to treat inflammatory disorders, their prolonged use often leads to gastric intolerance, bone marrow depression, water and salt retention. 2 Hence the search for a new anti-inflammatory drug of plant origin was made with low side effects. *Holoptelea integrifolia* belongs to the family Ulmaceae, having 15 genera and about 200 species, distributed over tropical and temperate regions of Northern hemisphere including Indian peninsula to Indo-China and Srilanka. 3 The common vernacular names of the plant in India are Chirabilva, Putigandha (Sanskrit), Kanju, Papri, Banchilla, Chilbil, Dhamna, Begana (Hindi), Thavasai, Rambah, Kaladi, Nilavahi (Kannada). 4 It is commonly known as Indian Elm Tree. *Holoptelea integrifolia* is a large deciduous tree distributed...
throughout the greater part of India up to an altitude of 2,000 feet. It is an important pollen allergen plant of India.\textsuperscript{5} The plant Holoptelea integrifolia is used traditionally for the treatment of inflammation, gastritis, dyspepsia, colic, intestinal worms, vomiting, wound healing, leprosy, diabetes, hemorrhoids, dysmenorrhoea and rheumatism.\textsuperscript{6} Bark and leaves are used as bitter, astringent, thermogenic, anti-inflammatory, digestive, carminative, laxative, anthelmintic, depurative, repulsive, urinary astringent and in rheumatism.\textsuperscript{7}

**METHODS**

**Collection of plant**

The plant material (Leaves of Holoptelea integrifolia) was collected from ODP campus, Bannimantap, Mysore, Karnataka. India and was authenticated by Dr. K. Mruthunjaya, Associate Professor, Dept of Pharmacognosy, JSSCP, Mysore. The Leaves of plant were cleaned to remove impurities and shade dried. The coarsely powdered leaves were weighed and stored in air tight containers.

**Preparation of ethanolic extract**

The coarsely powdered shade dried leaves of the plant Holoptelea integrifolia (200g) was extracted with ethanol by soxhlet extraction method for 25 hour. After completion of extraction the extract was filtered, concentrated using flash rotator evaporator and dried under vaccum.

**Preparation of aqueous extract**

The coarsely powdered shade dried leaves of the plant Holoptelea integrifolia (200 g) was macerated with chloroform: water (5:95) by cold maceration process for 3 days. After completion of extraction the marc was filtered through muslin cloth followed by filter paper and concentrated and dried on water bath to obtain aqueous extract of Holoptelea integrifolia and the extract was preserved in a refrigerator.

**Animals**

The experiments were carried out on adult Wistar albino rats weighing around 175±25 gm. Animals used in the study were procured from a registered breeder. The animal care and handling was carried out in accordance to guidelines issued by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, JSS Medical College, Mysore, and Karnataka. Animals were acclimatized to the experimental room for one week prior to the experiment. Animals were maintained under controlled conditions of temperature (23±30°C) and humidity (50±5 %) and were caged in sterile polypropylene cages containing sterile paddy husk as bedding material with maximum of four animals in each cage. The mice were fed on standard food pellets and water ad-libitum. The studies conducted were approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee, JSS Medical College, Mysore, Karnataka.

**Inclusion criteria:** Rats of either sex weighing 175±25gm.

**Exclusion criteria:** Pregnant and Diseased animals are not included in this study.

**Chemicals used:** Indomethacin (10 mg/kg) of body weight, Carrageenan (1%), Turpentine (1%), Ether and ethanol.

**Instruments required:** Mercury plethysmograph, screw-gauge, feeding tube, tuberculin syringe, mouth gag.

**Models of experiment**

The animals were randomly divided into 6 groups of 6 each. Group-I served as Control and received 2% gum acacia suspension orally (without drug). Group-II served as standard, received indomethacin 10 mg/kg of body weight, per oral. Group III and IV served as test, received Aqueous extract (HIAQ) at doses of 250 and 500 mg/kg of body weight, (per oral), respectively. Group V and VI served as test, received ethanolic extract (HIAL) at doses of 250 and 500 mg/kg of body weight, (per oral), respectively. Each rat was fed with respective drug one hour prior to the administration of phlogestic agent.

**Carrageenan induced rat paw oedema animal model\textsuperscript{8}**

0.1 ml of 1% carrageenan was injected into the subplantar surface of right hind paw of each group. Paw volume was measured by mercury plethysmograph at ‘0’hour and at the end of ‘4’ hours. The difference between the zero and 4 hours gave the actual oedema. From the mean paw oedema volume the percentage inhibition of oedema was calculated between the test, standard and the control group.

**Turpentine induced arthritis animal model\textsuperscript{9}**

0.1 ml of turpentine oil was injected into the right knee joint of each rat. Then the lateral diameter was measured by screw gauge at ‘0’ hour and at the end of ‘4’ hours. Change in lateral diameter was noted. From the mean difference in lateral diameter and the percentage of inhibition of arthritis was calculated between the test, standard and the control group.

**RESULTS**

**Statistical methods applied**

The effect of aqueous and ethanolic extract of Holoptelea-integrifolia leaves was presented by calculating Mean and SD of the outcome parameters. One way ANOVA and Post hoc test was applied to see the differences between any two groups at a time. Test of
The aqueous and ethanolic extract of *Holoptelea integrifolia* leaves have been investigated in this study for their anti-inflammatory potential and compared with the standard reference drug Indomethacin. In the present study the acute experimental inflammatory models studied includes, Carrageenan induced rat paw oedema and Turpentine induced arthritis model.

In both the experimental inflammatory models, Indomethacin was used as Standard drug and Aqueous and ethanolic extract of *Holoptelea integrifolia* leaves was used as test drug.

### Table 1: The mean rat paw volume (cms) at 0 hour and 4 hour and difference between the groups.

| Groups   | 0 hour Mean±SD | 4 hour Mean±SD | Mean difference in paw edema(cm) | ANOVA | Mean difference in paw edema of test and STD with respect to control | % of inhibition in paw edema of test and STD with respect to control | Mean difference in paw edema of test with respect to STD | % of inhibition in paw edema of test with respect to STD |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Control  | 1.33±0.14      | 8.49±0.8       | 7.16                             |       |                                                              |                                                                   |                                                        |                                                        |
| Standard | 1.28±0.17      | 3.83±0.63      | 2.545                            | F=40.26 P=0.001 | 4.62                                                       | 64.45%                                                              | -                                                      | -                                                      |
| HIAQ250 | 1.24±0.13      | 6.51±0.64      | 5.262                            |       | 1.9                                                         | 26.5%                                                               | 2.72                                                   | 41.12%                                                  |
| HIAQ500 | 1.28±0.13      | 5.74±0.46      | 4.46                             |       | 2.7                                                         | 37.7%                                                               | 1.92                                                   | 58.44%                                                  |
| HIAL250 | 1.27±0.15      | 5.41±0.81      | 4.134                            |       | 3.03                                                       | 42.26%                                                              | 1.59                                                   | 65.58%                                                  |
| HIAL500 | 1.29±0.10      | 4.29±0.39      | 2.995                            |       | 4.17                                                       | 58.24%                                                              | 0.45                                                   | 90%                                                     |

### Table 2: The mean lateral knee diameter (mm) at 0 hour and 4 hour and difference between the groups.

| Groups   | 0 hour Mean±SD | 4 hour Mean±SD | Mean difference in paw edema(cm) | ANOVA | Mean difference in paw edema of test and STD with respect to control | % of inhibition in paw edema of test and STD with respect to control | Mean difference in paw edema of test with respect to STD | % of inhibition in paw edema of test with respect to STD |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Control  | 3.13±0.25      | 9.46±0.52      | 6.33                             |       |                                                              |                                                                   |                                                        |                                                        |
| Standard | 3.36±0.2       | 4.98±0.35      | 1.62                             | F=96.16 P=0.001 | 4.71                                                       | 74.4%                                                              | -                                                      | -                                                      |
| HIAQ250 | 3.21±0.24      | 7.75±0.31      | 4.54                             |       | 1.79                                                       | 28.27%                                                              | 2.92                                                   | 38%                                                     |
| HIAQ500 | 3.35±0.31      | 6.88±0.33      | 3.53                             |       | 2.4                                                        | 44.23%                                                              | 1.91                                                   | 59.44%                                                  |
| HIAL250 | 3.36±0.25      | 6.65±0.42      | 3.29                             |       | 3.04                                                       | 48.02%                                                              | 1.67                                                   | 64.54%                                                  |
| HIAL500 | 3.36±0.12      | 5.53±0.43      | 2.17                             |       | 4.16                                                       | 65.71%                                                              | 0.55                                                   | 88.32%                                                  |

The percentage inhibition of carrageenan induced rat paw oedema by Indomethacin compared with control was 64.45% while that of HIAQ250, HIAQ500, HIAL250 and HIAL500 was 26.5%, 37.7%, 42.26% and 58.24% respectively. Hence the anti-oedema activity of both the aqueous and ethanolic extract was comparable with that of standard. But, in the present study ethanolic extract has shown more effective results than the aqueous extract. The percentage of inhibition of paw oedema by the HIAQ250, HIAQ500, HIAL250 and HIAL500 was 41.12%, 58.44%, 65.58% and 90%, respectively, considering the percentage of inhibition of paw oedema activity by standard as 100%.

This indicates that ethanolic extract has good anti-inflammatory activity than the aqueous extract comparable with the potent standard drug indomethacin in carrageenan induced rat paw oedema model (Table 1) (Figure 1) (Figure 2).

The percentage inhibition of turpentine induced knee arthritis by standard (Indomethacin) compared with control was 74.4% and that of HIAQ250, HIAQ500, HIAL250 and HIAL500 was 28.27%, 44.23%, 48.02% and 65.71% respectively. Thus both the aqueous and ethanolic extract showed moderately good anti-arithmetic activity as compared to the standard drug. The percentage inhibition of knee arthritis by the HIAQ250, HIAQ500,
HIAL250 and HIAL500 was 38%, 59.44%, 64.54% and 88.32% respectively, considering the percentage inhibition of arthritis by standard as 100%. With this background both the aqueous and ethanolic extract showed moderate anti-inflammatory activity comparable with potent standard drug Indomethacin in Turpentine induced arthritis model. But, ethanolic extract has showed considerably better values than aqueous extract. (Table 2) (Figure 3) (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Inflammation is a protective attempt by the organism to remove the injurious stimuli and to initiate the healing process. Inflammation can be classified as either acute or chronic. Acute inflammation is the initial response of the body to harmful stimuli and is achieved by the increased movement of plasma and leukocytes (especially granulocytes) from the blood into the injured tissues. A cascade of biochemical events propagates and matures the inflammatory response involving the local vascular system, the immune system and various cells within the injured tissue. Prolonged inflammation, known as chronic inflammation, leads to a progressive shift in the type of cells present at the site of inflammation and is characterized by simultaneous destruction and healing of the tissue from the inflammatory process. Anti-inflammatory drugs inhibit different stages of inflammation.

*Holoptelea integrifolia* belongs to family ulmaceae, also known as indian elm tree. The plant is being used by tribal people for their medicinal properties. The bark and leaves of *Holoptelea integrifolia* is bitter, astringent, acrid, thermogenic, anti-inflammatory, digestive, carminative, laxative, anthelmintic, urinary astringent, and are used in inflammation, acid gastritis, dyspepsia, flatulence, intestinal colic, intestinal worms, vomiting, wound healing, skin disease, vitiligo, leprosy, filariasis, diabetes, haemorrhoids and in Rheumatism.

Various studies have shown that aqueous and ethanolic extract of leaves of *Holoptelea integrifolia* planch possess significant anti-inflammatory effects in various animal models. A significant % inhibition of paw edema by the aqueous extract of leaves of *H. integrifolia* Planch and it’s almost nearby same % inhibition with indomethacin suggest its usefulness as an anti-inflammatory agent. The ethanolic extract of the leaves of *Holoptelea integrifolia* planch showed significant anti-inflammatory effects in various animal models. Results revealed that administration of ethanolic extract inhibited the oedema starting from the first hour and during all
phases of inflammation, which is probably inhibition of different aspects and chemical mediators of inflammation.13

The use of friedelin or friedelin-type compounds has been considered for treatment of convulsions, inflammation, topical ulcers, rheumatic inflammation, fever and dysentery.14,15 The leaves of Holoptelea integrifolia ethanolic extract showed the presence of terpenoid, steroids, tannins, saponins, carbohydrates and protein. 1,4-naphthalenedione has been isolated from leaves of Holoptelea integrifolia and is reported to possess antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus, hexacosanol, octacosanol, sitosterol, amyrin are isolated from leaves.16 The leaves contain friedelin or friedelin-type compounds has been considered for treatment of cancer of bladder, convulsions, inflammation, topical ulcers, rheumatic inflammation, fever and dysentery.17

In the present study using carrageenan induced rat paw oedema model and turpentine induced knee arthritis both aqueous and ethanolic extract of leaves of Holoptelea integrifolia has shown significant anti-oedema and anti-arthritic activity as compared to that of standard drug indomethacin. But, ethanolic extract has good anti-inflammatory activity than the aqueous extract. Hence ethanolic extract of leaves of Holoptelea integrifolia can be used to combat inflammation alone or with other conventional anti-inflammatory agents to treat various inflammatory disorders.

CONCLUSION

Thus, in the present study, both the aqueous and ethanolic extract of Holoptelea Integrifolia leaves showed that it possesses potent anti-inflammatory activities. But, Ethanolic extract had showed better results. Further studies involving the purification of the chemical constituents of the plant and the investigations in the biochemical pathways may result in the development of a potent anti-inflammatory agent with low toxicity and better therapeutic index.
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