Translation Initiation Requires Cell Division Cycle 123 (Cdc123) to Facilitate Biogenesis of the Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2 (eIF2)\(^\text{[5]}\)

**Background:** The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2) is a heterotrimeric G-protein.

**Results:** Cdc123, a conserved cell proliferation protein, binds the unassembled eIF2γ subunit to promote eIF2 complex formation.

**Conclusion:** Cdc123 is a specific eIF2 assembly factor indispensable for the onset of protein synthesis.

**Significance:** This study describes a novel step in the eukaryotic translation initiation pathway.

The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2) is central to the onset of protein synthesis and its modulation in response to physiological demands. eIF2, a heterotrimeric G-protein, is activated by guanine nucleotide exchange to deliver the initiator methionyl-tRNA to the ribosome. Here we report that assembly of the eIF2 complex in vivo depends on Cdc123, a cell proliferation protein conserved among eukaryotes. Mutations of CDC123 in budding yeast reduced the association of eIF2 subunits, diminished polysome levels, and increased GCN4 expression indicating that Cdc123 is critical for eIF2 activity. Cdc123 bound the unassembled eIF2γ subunit, but not the eIF2 complex, and the C-terminal domain III region of eIF2γ was both necessary and sufficient for Cdc123 binding. Alterations of the binding site revealed a strict correlation between Cdc123 binding, the biological function of eIF2γ, and its ability to assemble with eIF2α and eIF2β. Interestingly, high levels of Cdc123 neutralized the assembly defect and restored the biological function of an eIF2γ mutant. Moreover, the combined overexpression of eIF2 subunits rescued an otherwise inviable cdc123 deletion mutant. Thus, Cdc123 is a specific eIF2 assembly factor indispensable for the onset of protein synthesis. Human Cdc123 is encoded by a disease risk locus, and, therefore, eIF2 biogenesis control by Cdc123 may prove relevant for normal cell physiology and human health. This work identifies a novel step in the eukaryotic translation initiation pathway and assigns a biochemical function to a protein that is essential for growth and viability of eukaryotic cells.

\(^{[5]}\) This article contains supplemental Tables S1–S3 and Fig. S1.
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and co-workers suggested that Cdc123 serves to stabilize eIF2γ in budding yeast by antagonizing the putative ubiquitin ligases Chf1 and Chf2 (20). Since Cdc123 remained essential for cell viability in the absence of Chf1 and Chf2 (20), the proposed role of Cdc123 in stabilizing eIF2γ did not explain the vital necessity of Cdc123. Here we report that Cdc123 makes an essential contribution to the onset of mRNA translation by assembling the eIF2 complex from its three protein subunits.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

_Yeast Methods—_Standard protocols (21) were followed for growth, transformation, mating, sporulation and tetrads dissection of yeast strains. Cells used in this study are isogenic derivatives of either S288C or W303 and are listed in supplemental Table S1. Deletion strains were purchased from EUROSCARF, Frankfurt. Cells were grown in YEP complex medium containing adenine (100 mg/liter), tryptophan (200 mg/liter), and KH₂PO₄ (10 mM) supplemented with 2% glucose (XYD) or 2% raffinose and 2% galactose (XYRG). Strains carrying episomal plasmids were grown in synthetic complete medium. For growth assays, yeast cells were harvested from exponentially growing cultures, resuspended in H₂O at OD₆₀₀ 1.0, and spotted in 10-fold dilution series on XD solid media. Plates were incubated for 2–3 days at 25 °C, 30 °C, or 37 °C as indicated.

_DNA Constructs and Genetic Manipulations—_Genes were amplified from yeast genomic DNA (BY4741) by PCR with primers containing restriction sites for subsequent cloning. The human CDC123 homolog hCDC123 was amplified from the cDNA clone pEGFP-C3-D123 (22). All PCR-amplified constructs were verified by DNA sequencing (Seqlab). Yeast plasmid constructs were derived from pRS vectors (23). Y2H vectors were pEG202 and pJG4–5 (21), and _Escherichia coli_ expression plasmids were derived from pJOE2955 (24) and pJOE4056.2 (25). For coexpression of mbp- and his6-fusions, we constructed a bicistronic expression plasmid based on pJOE2955. This plasmid contains the rhamnose-inducible promoter (prha) followed by the coding sequence of a maltose-binding protein (mbp)-GC1DI1 fusion, a ribosome binding site (RBS) and a his6-CDC123 fusion sequence.

_C-terminal epitope fusions of endogenous genes were constructed by PCR-based epitope tagging (26). This strategy was also used for the _C-terminal truncation of CDC123 (cdc123Δ327) following the codon for the indicated amino acid (see supplemental Fig. S1)._ Temperature-sensitive alleles of _CDC123_ were created by PCR-mediated random mutagenesis of the coding region, transplacement to the _CDC123_ locus, and screening of strains for failure to grow at 37 °C. Mutations were determined by plasmid rescue and DNA sequencing. The _cdc123-1_ allele contains a single point mutation (G847A) resulting in the substitution of a highly conserved amino acid (E283K, see supplemental Fig. S1). For regulated expression, the _cdc123-1_ allele was placed under the control of the repressible _GALL_ promoter (27).

_Yeast-Two-Hybrid Assay—_The reporter strain W276 was co-transformed with plasmids derived from pEG202 and pJG4–5 (listed in supplemental Table S2). Transfomers were grown in synthetic complete medium with 2% raffinose lacking histidine and tryptophan at 25 °C. Expression of activation domain (AD)-fusions was induced by addition of 2% galactose for 4 h. _β-Galactosidase assays were performed as described (21)._ GCN4-lacZ Assay—Yeast strains used for GCN4-lacZ assays are haploid progenies of heterozygous diploid strains transformed with reporter plasmids. Strains W10897-W10902 carrying p180 (28) were grown in synthetic complete medium with 2% glucose lacking uracil at 25 °C. Strains W10903-W10906 carrying a _LEU2_-derivative of p180 (pWS3396) were grown in synthetic complete medium with 2% glucose without leucine at 25 °C and shifted to 30 °C for 4 h before measurement. _β-Galactosidase assays (21) were performed in triplicates._

_Polysome Profiles—_Polysome analysis was done essentially as described (29). 150 ml of yeast culture were harvested in mid-exponential growth phase and washed once in ice cold lysis buffer A (100 mM NaCl, 30 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) containing 100 µg/ml cycloheximide. After resuspension in 1 ml of ice cold lysis buffer A containing 100 µg/ml cycloheximide crude cell extracts were prepared. Glass beads and cell debris were removed by centrifugation for 5 min at 2000 × g followed by another 5 min at 7500 × g at 4 °C. 800 µl of supernatant were layered onto a 10–50% sucrose gradient prepared in lysis buffer A without cycloheximide. The gradient was centrifuged at 34,000 rpm for 170 min at 4 °C in a Sorvall TH-641 rotor and subsequently drawn through a spectrophotometer to monitor the A₂₅₄ nm profile.

_Metabolic Labeling—_Total protein synthesis was analyzed by metabolic labeling essentially as described (30). Yeast strains grown at 25 °C in synthetic complete glucose (2%) medium lacking cysteine and methionine (SD-CM) were shifted to 30 °C for 4 h. Cultures were diluted to OD₆₀₀ 0.5 in SD-CM, and 1 µCi/ml ³⁵S-label containing L-³⁵S)methionine and L-³⁵S)cysteine (EasyTag™ EXPRESS³⁵S Protein Labeling Mix, PerkinElmer, NEG772002MC) was added together with unlabeled cysteine and methionine (50 µM each). Cultures were incubated at 30 °C, and aliquots were taken at 15-min intervals. Trichloroacetic acid-precipitated ³⁵S-label was quantified by scintillation counting.

_Yeast Cell Extracts and Immunoprecipitation—_Whole cell extracts were made as described (31). For immunoprecipitations, extracts containing equal amounts of total protein were incubated with specific antibodies for 2 h at 4 °C. After addition of 40 µl of protein A-agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), the samples were incubated for another 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were collected by centrifugation, washed three times with lysis buffer, resuspended in Lämmli sample buffer, and boiled for 5–10 min. The following antibodies were used for immunoprecipitations (see also supplemental Table S3): mouse monoclonal antibody 9E10 for α-myc and rabbit antisera for Sui2 (32) and Sui3 (33). For α-flag immunoprecipitations, extracts were incubated with agarose-conjugated mouse monoclonal α-flag antibody M2 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2–3 h at 4 °C.

_Western Blot Analysis—_SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis were done essentially as described (31). Primary antibodies used in this study are listed in supplemental Table S3, and antisera are described below. The Western blots shown in Figs. 2, A, D, E, and 5D were detected with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (31). For detection of the Western blots shown in Figs. 1E, 2C, 3, 4, and 5A IRDye secondary antibodies and an
Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences) were used.

Antisera to Cdc123 and eIF2 Subunits—Rabbit antisera to Sui2 (32), Sui3 (33), and Gcd11 (34) have been described. Additionally, we obtained new rabbit antisera to Cdc123 and each of the eIF2 subunits. His6-fusions of Cdc123, Sui2, and Gcd11 were expressed in E. coli BL21 codon/H11001 (Stratagene) and purified with a HIS-Select™ Cartridge (Sigma-Aldrich). Sui3 was expressed as mbp-fusion and purified using amylose resin (New England Biolabs). The purified proteins were sent to Davids Biotechnology (Regensburg) for production of rabbit antisera. For enhanced specificity, antisera to Cdc123 and Gcd11 were subjected to affinity chromatography. mbp-fusions of Cdc123 and Gcd11 were expressed in E. coli, purified with amylose resin (New England Biolabs), and coupled to AminoLink Resin (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Anti-Cdc123 and anti-Gcd11 antisera were loaded onto their corresponding affinity column. After washing with PBS (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 100 mM NaCl), bound antibodies were eluted with 160 mM glycine/HCl (pH 2.8) and pH was immediately adjusted to 7 by addition of sodium phosphate buffer (1 M, pH 8.6). Antibodies were concentrated and transferred to synthetic medium lacking amino acids (-AA) for 30 min. Total eIF2α levels (Sui2) were analyzed for comparison.
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**FIGURE 1. Mutations in CDC123 affect translation initiation and eIF2 function.**

A, growth of cdc123 mutants. Cells were spotted in serial 10-fold dilutions on agar plates and incubated at the indicated temperatures. See supplemental Fig. S1 for cdc123 mutant alleles. B, polypeptide profiles of cdc123 mutants. Wild type cells (wt) and a strain expressing low levels of eIF4E (cdc33) were included for comparison. Strains were grown at 25 °C and shifted to 30 °C for 4 h prior to preparation of cell extracts. Monosomes (m) and polysomes (p) were separated in sucrose (10–50%) gradients, and their ratio (p/m) was quantified. RNA was measured at 254 nm (A254). C, global protein synthesis in cdc123 mutants. Wild type cells (wt) and cdc123 mutants were grown at 25 °C and shifted to 30 °C for 4 h prior to addition of [35S]methionine and [35S]cysteine. Incorporation of radiolabeled amino acids into proteins was monitored over time. Shown are mean values and S.D. (n = 3). D, GCN4 expression in cdc123 mutants. GCN4-lacZ reporter levels were measured in strains of the indicated genotype. β-Galactosidase activity is shown as mean and S.D. (n = 3). E, eIF2α-ser52 phosphorylation in cdc123 mutants. An antibody to eIF2α-phospho-ser52 (Invitrogen, 44728G) was used to determine eIF2α phosphorylation (Sui2-P) by Western analysis. As a control, eIF2α-ser52 phosphorylation (Sui2-P) was induced by shifting cells to synthetic medium lacking amino acids (-AA) for 30 min. Total eIF2α levels (Sui2) were analyzed for comparison.

Antisera to Cdc123 and eIF2 Subunits—Rabbit antisera to Sui2 (32), Sui3 (33), and Gcd11 (34) have been described. Additionally, we obtained new rabbit antisera to Cdc123 and each of the eIF2 subunits. His6-fusions of Cdc123, Sui2, and Gcd11 were expressed in E. coli BL21 (Stratagene) and purified with a HIS-Select™ Cartridge (Sigma-Aldrich). Sui3 was expressed as mbp-fusion and purified using amylose resin (New England Biolabs). The purified proteins were sent to Davids Biotechnology (Regensburg) for production of rabbit antisera. For enhanced specificity, antisera to Cdc123 and Gcd11 were subjected to affinity chromatography. mbp-fusions of Cdc123 and Gcd11 were expressed in E. coli, purified with amylose resin (New England Biolabs), and coupled to AminoLink Resin (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Anti-Cdc123 and anti-Gcd11 antisera were loaded onto their corresponding affinity column. After washing with PBS (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 100 mM NaCl), bound antibodies were eluted with 160 mM glycine/HCl (pH 2.8) and pH was immediately adjusted to 7 by addition of sodium phosphate buffer (1 M, pH 8.6). Antibodies were concentrated and transferred to PBS containing 0.05% sodium azide using a centrifugal filter device (Biomax-30 NMWL Membrane, Millipore). Information about the use of specific antisera in particular experiments is included in supplemental Table S3.

**Protein Expression in E. coli and Affinity Purification**—E. coli strain BL21 (Stratagene) was transformed with the expression plasmids listed in supplemental Table S2. Transformants were inoculated in LB medium with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 50 μg/ml chloramphenicol, and protein expression was induced with 0.2% rhamnose for 16 h at 25 °C. Cells were resus-
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pended in cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and lysed by shaking with glass beads in a mixer mill (Retsch) for 5 min at 4 °C. Samples were centrifuged twice for 10 min at 4 °C to remove glass beads and cell debris. For Western blot analysis, the supernatant was mixed with Lämml sample buffer and boiled for 5 min. For affinity purification of mbp-fusion proteins, equal amounts of total protein were incubated with amylose resin (New England Biolabs) for 3 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation, beads were washed three times with lysis buffer, resuspended in Lämml sample buffer, and boiled for 5–10 min.

Multiple Sequence Alignment—Amino acid sequences of a/eIF2γ or Cdc123 from different species were aligned with ClustalW (35) using default parameters.

RESULTS

Translation Initiation and eIF2 Function Are Compromised in cdc123 Mutants—To address a possible role of Cdc123 in translation initiation, we analyzed the abundance of polysomes in yeast strains impaired in Cdc123 function. Polysomes, i.e. single mRNAs translated by multiple ribosomes, are formed by repeated rounds of translation initiation and therefore serve as a measure of the in vivo initiation frequency. We studied two cdc123 mutant strains (Fig. 1A and supplemental Fig. S1) carrying either the temperature-sensitive cdc123-1 allele or the hypomorphic cdc123Δ327 allele and compared their polysome profiles to a wild type control and a strain with reduced expression of the eIF4E-encoding gene CDC33 (36). The ratio of polysomes to monosomes (p/m) was 3.6 in wild type cells and decreased to 0.6 in the strain with low eIF4E levels (cdc33) (Fig. 1B). The relative amounts of polysomes were reduced (p/m = 2.6) in the hypomorphic cdc123Δ327 strain and very low (p/m = 0.6) in the temperature-sensitive cdc123-1 strain grown at 30 °C for 4 h (Fig. 1B). The observed reduction of polysomes provides biochemical evidence that defects in Cdc123 may impact on the initiation of protein synthesis.

To substantiate this conclusion, we used metabolic labeling to quantify the protein synthesis activity of cdc123 mutants (Fig. 1C). The rate at which the temperature-sensitive cdc123-1 mutant strain incorporated a mixture of [35S]methionine and [35S]cysteine into acid-insoluble material at 30 °C was reduced to about 50% of the wild type control value. A minor reduction of the protein synthesis rate was observed in the hypomorphic cdc123Δ327 strain (Fig. 1C)

FIGURE 2. Cdc123 is important for integrity of the eIF2 complex. A and C–E, integrity of the eIF2 complex in cdc123 mutants was analyzed by immunoprecipitation. Levels of eIF2 subunits proteins in WCE and immunoprecipitates (IP) were determined by Western analysis. A, Gcd11-myc was precipitated from a diploid cdc123Δ327 mutant and control strains. B, synthetic lethal interaction of GCD11-myc and cdc123Δ327 alleles. Strains of the indicated genotypes were crossed and the meiotic progeny was analyzed by tetrad dissection. The allele segregation pattern is symbolized by vertical and horizontal lines. C, flag-Gcd11 was precipitated from a haploid cdc123Δ327 mutant and control strains. D and E, Su2 and Su3 were precipitated, and associated Gcd11 was analyzed in a cdc123Δ327 mutant strain (D) and in a pGALL-cdc123Δ1 strain grown in galactose medium at 25 °C (permissive) or shifted to glucose medium at 37 °C for 4 h (restrictive) (E). The asterisk indicates IgG heavy chain.

However, elevated expression of GCN4 is independent of Gcn2, eIF2α-ser52 phosphorylation, and Gcn3. Using an established reporter plasmid carrying the 5′-regulatory region of GCN4 fused to the lacZ coding sequence (28), we observed that GCN4 expression was elevated in a cdc123Δ327 mutant strain (Fig. 1D). This increase required neither Gcn2 nor Gcn3. The cdc123-1 allele caused an even more pronounced increase of GCN4 expression, which was again independent of Gcn2. To inspect phosphorylation of eIF2α, we used an antiserum specific for eIF2α-phospho-ser52 to probe cell extracts by Western analysis (Fig. 1E). Unlike amino acid starvation of wild type
cells, which caused a prominent, Gcn2-dependent signal, mutations in CDC123 failed to increase eIF2α-ser52 phosphorylation above the low level detected in wild type control cells. Together, these results show that mutations of CDC123 lead to an increase of GCN4 expression that is independent of Gcn2 kinase and eIF2α-ser52 phosphorylation. This phenotype is called general control derepressed (Gcd−) and is a hallmark of defects in either eIF2 subunits or cellular activators of eIF2. The data, therefore, indicate that Cdc123 is needed for the normal function of eIF2.

**eIF2 Integrity Is Compromised in cdc123 Mutants**—To understand how Cdc123 may support eIF2 function, we analyzed the eIF2 complex in cells carrying the hypomorphic cdc123Δ327 allele. In budding yeast, eIF2α, eIF2β, and eIF2γ are named Sui2, Sui3, and Gcd11, respectively. This nomenclature will be used here to better distinguish between individual subunits and the eIF2 complex. To facilitate eIF2 immunoprecipitation, a myc epitope sequence was fused to the chromosomal copy of CDC123, GCD11 (encoding eIF2γ), and SUI2 (encoding eIF2α). Dilution series of whole-cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting. C, direct binding of Cdc123 to Gcd11. A maltose-binding protein (mbp) fusion of Gcd11 and his6-Cdc123 were co-expressed in E. coli, and mbp-Gcd11 was affinity-purified on amylase beads. Levels of mbp-Gcd11 and his6-Cdc123 in E. coli WCE and mbp-affinity precipitates (mbp-AP) were determined by Western analysis.
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FIGURE 3. Cdc123 is sub-stoichiometric to eIF2 subunits and binds unassembled Gcd11. A, binding of Cdc123 to unassembled Gcd11. myc-tagged versions of Gcd11, Cdc123 and the eIF2B-subunit Gcd1 were immunoprecipitated and analyzed for interaction of eIF2 subunits and Cdc123. Protein levels in yeast WCE and anti-myc immunoprecipitates (α-myc IP) were determined by Western analysis. B, cellular abundance of Cdc123 and eIF2 subunits. To compare endogenous protein levels of Cdc123 and eIF2 subunits, ha3 epitope sequences were fused to the chromosomal copy of CDC123, GCD11 (encoding eIF2γ), and SUI2 (encoding eIF2α). Dilution series of whole-cell lysates were analyzed by Western blotting. C, direct binding of Cdc123 to Gcd11. A maltose-binding protein (mbp) fusion of Gcd11 and his6-Cdc123 were co-expressed in E. coli, and mbp-Gcd11 was affinity-purified on amylase beads. Levels of mbp-Gcd11 and his6-Cdc123 in E. coli WCE and mbp-affinity precipitates (mbp-AP) were determined by Western analysis.

To validate the influence of Cdc123 on the eIF2 complex, we repeated the immunoprecipitation experiment with an N-terminally flag epitope-tagged version of Gcd11, which was compatible with the cdc123Δ327 mutation in a haploid strain (Fig. 2C). In addition, we used antisera specific to Sui2 or Sui3 to precipitate the eIF2 complex from untagged haploid strains (Fig. 2D). Again, interaction of Gcd11 with Sui2 and Sui3 was reduced in the cdc123Δ327 mutant relative to the wild type control, while total levels of these proteins were unaffected by the cdc123Δ327 mutation. We also analyzed the eIF2 complex in a strain expressing the temperature-sensitive cdc123-1 allele from the repressible GALL promoter. When grown under permissive conditions (25 °C, galactose medium), precipitates of Sui2 or Sui3 contained Gcd11 at wild type levels. However, following a shift to restrictive conditions (37 °C, glucose medium), amounts of Gcd11, which coprecipitated with Sui2 or Sui3, became almost undetectable in the mutant, but remained high in the control strain (Fig. 2E). Together, these results show that Cdc123 is required for integrity of the eIF2 complex.

**Cdc123 Binds Unassembled Gcd11**—To gain insight into the mechanism by which Cdc123 acts on the translation initiation factor eIF2, we characterized in more detail the reported physical interaction of Cdc123 with Gcd11 (10–14, 20). In a first step we checked if Cdc123 binds Gcd11 in context of the eIF2 complex. To this end, we immunoprecipitated myc-tagged versions of Gcd11 and Cdc123 along with Gcd1, a subunit of the GEF eIF2B, which associates with the heterotrimeric eIF2 complex (37). The myc epitope sequences were again fused to the chromosomal gene copies to maintain expression at physiological levels. Quantitative Western analysis indicated that cellular amounts of Cdc123 are about 10-fold below those of Gcd11 (Fig. 3, A and B). As expected, precipitates of Gcd11-myc contained Cdc123 as well as Sui2 and Sui3. Likewise, all three eIF2 subunits (Sui2, Sui3, and Gcd11), but not Cdc123, were recovered in precipitates of Gcd1-myc. Precipitates of Cdc123-myc contained Gcd11, however, Sui2 and Sui3 were not detectable. Together these data argue that Cdc123 does not interact with...
the assembled eIF2 complex, but specifically binds to an unassembled form of Gcd11 that lacks stoichiometric amounts of Sui2 and Sui3.

To ask if Cdc123 and Gcd11 can bind to each other directly, we coexpressed a maltose-binding protein (mbp)-fusion of Gcd11 with a his6-fusion of Cdc123 in E. coli. From a cell lysate, mbp-Gcd11 was affinity purified on amylose beads. By Western analysis, his6-Cdc123 was found to copurify with mbp-Gcd11 (Fig. 3C). Thus, Cdc123 and Gcd11 can interact in the absence of other yeast proteins.

Cdc123 Binds to Domain III of Gcd11 to Promote eIF2 Assembly

—In a next step, we set out to define the region of Gcd11 to which Cdc123 binds. By analogy to its archaeal homolog, Gcd11 is thought to consist of three domains: an N-terminal, guanine nucleotide binding domain followed by two smaller, β-barrel domains (4). Domains I and II are the regions through which Gcd11 binds Sui3 and Sui2, respectively. In a yeast two-hybrid screen for interactors of Cdc123, we isolated a clone expressing a small, C-terminal fragment of Gcd11 (amino acids 410–527) containing domain III. Reporter activation by Gcd11-(410–527) even exceeded the signal produced by full-length Gcd11 (Fig. 4A). These results indicate that domain III of Gcd11 is sufficient for binding of Cdc123. To test if domain III was also required for this interaction, we removed 13 amino acids from the C terminus of Gcd11. This truncation is predicted to disrupt the final β-sheet of domain III (Fig. 4B) (38). The C-terminally truncated derivative Gcd11-(1–514) was expressed at wild type levels, but failed to interact with Cdc123 in the two hybrid system (Fig. 4A) indicating that domain III needs to be intact for Gcd11 to interact with Cdc123. Interestingly, this small truncation also abolished the interaction of Gcd11 with Sui2 as well as Sui3 (Fig. 4A). Since neither Sui2 nor
Sui3 have been reported to bind to domain III of Gcd11, their reduced interaction with Gcd11-(1–514) was unexpected. Combined with the reduced association of eIF2 subunits in cdc123 mutants (Fig. 2), these data raised the possibility that binding of Cdc123 to domain III of Gcd11 might be required for association of Gcd11 with Sui2 and Sui3.

To confirm and extend these findings, we constructed a series of Gcd11 truncations (Fig. 4B). These constructs were tagged with an N-terminal flag epitope to compare their protein interaction pattern by immunoprecipitation (Fig. 4C). To ask if the truncated versions retained their biological function, we also checked their ability to complement a GCD11 gene deletion (Fig. 4D). Gcd11 tolerated the removal of 4 amino acids from the C terminus, since precipitates of flag-Gcd11-(1–523) contained Sui2, Sui3 as well as Cdc123 at levels comparable to the full length Gcd11 construct. Moreover, flag-Gcd11-(1–523) behaved like full-length Gcd11 in restoring growth of an otherwise inviable gcd11 deletion mutant. Removal of 8 amino acids, however, had a major impact on Gcd11 function. Levels of Sui2, Sui3 as well as Cdc123 that coprecipitated with flag-Gcd11-(1–519) were severely reduced and cells which expressed this construct as sole source of Gcd11 grew very poorly. Finally, removal of 13 amino acids rendered Gcd11 nonfunctional. Flag-Gcd11-(1–514) neither coprecipitated Cdc123 nor Sui2 nor Sui3 (Fig. 4C), consistent with the two-hybrid results (Fig. 4A). In addition, expression of flag-Gcd11-(1–514) failed to restore viability of a gcd11 deletion mutant (Fig. 4D). Thus, the essential biological function of Gcd11 and its association with Sui2 and Sui3 strictly correlate with binding of Cdc123 to Gcd11.

The data support a model in which Cdc123 serves to assemble the heterotrimeric eIF2 complex through binding to domain III of unassembled Gcd11. According to this model, eIF2 assembly defects associated with a domain III truncation of Gcd11 are caused by impaired binding of Cdc123. To verify this view, we asked if elevated levels of Cdc123 can restore the function of a domain III-truncated version of Gcd11. Indeed, flag-Gcd11-(1–519) precipitated wild type levels of Sui2 and Sui3 when Cdc123 was overexpressed, while only minor amounts of Sui2 and Sui3 associated with flag-Gcd11-(1–519) when Cdc123 was expressed at its physiological level (Fig. 5A). In addition, elevated levels of Cdc123 suppressed the severe growth defect of a mutant strain whose GCD11 gene is replaced by the flag-GCD11-(1–519) construct (Fig. 5B). Thus, the elevated abundance of Cdc123 neutralized the assembly defect and restored the biological function of a C-terminally truncated mutant version of Gcd11. These results, therefore, provide evi-

FIGURE 5. eIF2 complex formation is the essential function of Cdc123. A and B, rescue of Gcd11-(1–519) by overexpression of CDC123. Full-length flag-Gcd11-(1–527) or the truncated version flag-Gcd11(1–519) in the absence or presence of overexpressed Cdc123 (pTEF2-CDC123) were immunoprecipitated and analyzed for interaction of Cdc123, Sui2, and Sui3. Protein levels in WCE and anti-flag immunoprecipitates (α-flag IP) were determined by Western analysis (A). A gcd11Δ/gcd11 heterozygous diploid strain carrying the flag-GCD11-(1–519) and pTEF-CDC123 constructs was sporulated and meiotic progeny was analyzed by tetrad dissection. Δ, gcd11Δ; +, flag-GCD11(1–519); C, pTEF-CDC123 (B). C and D, rescue of a cdc123 deletion mutant by overexpression of eIF2 subunits. Cells were spotted in serial dilutions on an agar plate, and growth was monitored at 30 °C (C). Overexpression of eIF2 subunits was confirmed by Western blotting (D). E, model of Cdc123 function. Through binding to domain III of Gcd11, Cdc123 promotes association of Gcd11 with Sui2 and Sui3, i.e. formation of the heterotrimeric eIF2 protein complex.
Cdc123 Assembles the elF2 Complex

dence for the ability of Cdc123 to promote assembly of the elF2 complex.

We finally addressed the question if elF2 assembly might be the essential cellular function of Cdc123. To test this idea, we asked if viability of a cdc123 deletion mutant can be restored by overexpression of elF2 subunits (Fig. 5, C and D). In fact, elevated expression of either Sui2 or Gcd11 was sufficient to restore viability the cdc123 deletion mutant, but growth of these cells was severely compromised. Overexpression of Sui3 failed to rescue and did not improve growth when combined with Sui2 or Gcd11. However, the combined overexpression of Sui2 and Gcd11 caused a strong synergistic effect and allowed cdc123 deletion cells to grow almost as fast as wild type cells. Thus, Cdc123 is no longer essential for cell viability when Sui2 and Gcd11 are both expressed at high levels suggesting that elF2 assembly is the mechanism behind the vital necessity of Cdc123.

DISCUSSION

This work describes assembly of elF2 from its three protein subunits as a new step of translation initiation and identifies the conserved cell proliferation protein Cdc123 as the requisite assembly factor. We propose that elF2 is formed in an ordered pathway (Fig. 5E) initiated by binding of Cdc123 to domain III of unassembled Gcd11(elF2γ). Possibly by inducing an allosteric transition to make binding sites on domains I and II accessible, Cdc123 enables Gcd11(elF2γ) to associate with Sui2(elF2α) and Sui3(elF2β). Binding of Sui2(elF2α) might precede and allow for the binding of Sui3(elF2β), since high levels of Sui2(elF2α), but not Sui3(elF2β), could compensate for the absence of Cdc123 (Fig. 5C). Stepwise, protein-assisted assembly might enable elF2 to reach an elaborate structure suitable for multiple specific contacts during the initiation cycle (6). Our results are consistent with the view that Cdc123 serves to assemble newly synthesized elF2 subunits. The existence of a dedicated assembly factor, however, raises the question if elF2 might disassemble at some step of the initiation cycle. Disassembly coupled to start codon recognition to support efficient release of the initiator methionyl-tRNA would be an intriguing possibility. Future studies of Cdc123 promise to shed new light on the translation initiation pathway.

Cdc123 and its mammalian orthologs were originally described as cell proliferation proteins required for the G1/S transition of the cell cycle (15, 20). We propose that the observed cell cycle arrest in cdc123 mutants is a consequence of reduced translation initiation rates, because the G1/S transition is especially sensitive to defects in protein synthesis (39). Indeed, several translation initiation factors were initially identified as cell division cycle mutants in yeast, for example the cap-binding protein elF4E encoded by CDC33 (40) or the elF3 subunit Prt1 encoded by CDC63 (41).

The molecular function of Cdc123 is most likely conserved among eukaryotic organisms including humans, since expression of the human Cdc123 ortholog (hD123) complemented a cdc123 gene deletion of budding yeast (20). Moreover, hD123 interacted with Gcd11(elF2γ) in a yeast-two-hybrid system and, consistent with the results for yeast Cdc123, domain III of Gcd11(elF2γ) was necessary and sufficient for this interaction (Fig. 4A).

elF2 and its control by phosphorylation and guanine nucleotide exchange are critical to various cellular processes and dysfunction of elF2 is the cause of human diseases (42, 43). Cdc123-mediated biogenesis of elF2 might provide additional opportunities for regulation. Indeed, Cdc123 is a low abundance protein in yeast (Fig. 3, A and B) and subject to phosphorylation and ubiquitylation in human cells (44, 45). Moreover, human Cdc123 is encoded by a candidate disease risk locus (17–19). Thus, regulation of elF2 biogenesis via Cdc123 may define a new pathway of protein homeostasis control relevant to human health.
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