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ABSTRACT: The phenomenon of granular magnetoresistance offers the promise of rapid functional materials discovery and high-sensitivity, low-cost sensing technology. Since its discovery over 25 years ago, a major challenge has been the preparation of solids composed of well-characterized, uniform, nanoscale magnetic domains. Rapid advances in colloidal nanochemistry now facilitate the study of more complex and finely controlled materials, enabling the rigorous exploration of the fundamental nature and maximal capabilities of this intriguing class of spintronic materials. We present the first study of size-dependence in granular magnetoresistance using colloidal nanoparticles. These data demonstrate a strongly nonlinear size-dependent magnetoresistance with smaller particles having strong $\Delta R/R \sim 18\%$ at 300 K and larger particles showing a 3-fold decline. Importantly, this indicates that CoFe$_2$O$_4$ can act as an effective room temperature granular magnetoresistor and that neither a high superparamagnetic blocking temperature nor a low overall resistance are determining factors in viable magnetoresistance values for sensing applications. These results demonstrate the promise of wider exploration of nontraditional granular structures composed of nanomaterials, molecule-based magnets, and metal-organic frameworks.

Controlling the flow of electrons by switching magnetization was one of the most impactful advancements of the digital revolution. In particular, the discovery of giant magnetoresistance (GMR) led to the first commercial applications of spintronic technology nearly 40 years ago. From its initial use in hard drive read-heads, a continuous stream of advances in giant (GMR), tunnelling (TMR), and anisotropic (AMR), and other forms of MR have led to an array of nontraditional granular structures composed of nanomaterials, molecule-based magnets, and metal-organic frameworks.

With simple device preparation, minimal materials cost, and low equipment investment, such an architecture could rapidly expand the scope and viability of MR sensing devices. Although early formulations suffered from poor grain boundaries and size distributions, advances in colloidal nanoparticle synthesis over the past few decades now allow many types of nanoparticles to be chemically synthesized as free-standing particles with tight control over size, morphology, and surface chemistry. This developing synthetic control has led to a re-examining of the viability of granular magnetoresistance via a bottom-up nanochemistry approach.

Overwhelmingly, the most studied material to date is the ferrimagnetic inverse spinel Fe$_3$O$_4$ (magnetite). Ease of synthesis, stability, strong magnetization, and predicted half-metallicity with full spin polarization have all contributed to the prevalence of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle composites as research MR materials. Recent work on granular MR in Fe$_3$O$_4$ has demonstrated its promise by increasing differential magnetoresistance

$$\Delta R = R_H - R_L \times 100\%$$

from 1.2$\%$ ($\Delta H = 450$ mT) to values exceeding 20$\%$ at equivalent $\Delta H$. It should be noted that by this definition a perfect magnetoresistor will have $\Delta R/R = 100\%$ instead of...
approaching infinity, as in definitions that divide by the lower value of the resistance (Figure S1). This empirical evidence suggests that nanostructuring is capable of transforming granular MR from a curiosity into a viable technology; however, from a synthetic chemistry perspective, only the barest surface of the materials parameter space has been explored.17−28

Magnetic properties such as coercivity, saturation magnetization, and remanent magnetization are strongly size-dependent in nanomaterials of $d = 1–20$ nm, yet a study on the MR of well-defined, colloidal prepared materials is lacking from the literature. In this work we perform the first such study using nanoparticles of CoFe$_2$O$_4$. The greater anisotropy of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ compared to Fe$_3$O$_4$ has been used to enhance the MR properties of Fe$_3$O$_4$ through doping and exchange coupling,29,30 but the MR of stoichiometric CoFe$_2$O$_4$ alone has not been studied. Intriguingly, we find that single-domain ferrimagnetism or even blocked superparamagnetism is unnecessary to observe viable MR at 300 K; the most important factor is nanoparticle size.

Nanoparticles in this work were synthesized according to literature heat-up processes involving the thermal decomposition of Fe(III) and Co(II) acetylacetonate salts in the presence of oleic acid and oleylamine in high-boiling-point solvents.32,33 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) statistics were used to verify consistent size and shape for five separate synthetic preparations of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 5.3$, 8.4, 12.7, 12.9, 20.7 nm) (Figure 1b−f) as well as an Fe$_3$O$_4$ sample ($d = 8.7$ nm) for comparison. Smaller nanoparticles ($d = 5–9$ nm) were roughly spherical in shape, and larger nanoparticles exhibited some faceting due to growth along preferential crystalline faces. The $d = 12.7$ nm and $d = 12.9$ nm samples showed polyhedral shapes, while convex cubes are observed for the $d = 20.7$ nm sample. Of particular note are $d = 12.9$ nm CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles (Figure 1e), which took truncated octahedral forms allowing them to self-assemble into semi-regular lattices. Powder-averaged X-ray diffraction (pXRD) confirmed the inverse spinel crystal structure of AB$_2$O$_4$ ferrites for all samples (Figure S2).

As-synthesized nanoparticles form stable colloidal suspensions in nonpolar solvents due to the presence of oleic acid and oleylamine. Ligand exchange of the native long-chain ligands to the small inorganic BF$_4^-$ ion was performed according to a literature procedure34 in order to

Figure 1. (a) Scheme of the evolution of MR from single-junction thin-film devices to multijunction granular materials to multijunction nanoparticulate materials with exquisite control over grain properties. Transmission electron micrographs and size distribution histograms of (b) Fe$_3$O$_4$ and (c−g) CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles used in this study. The diameter $d$ in parts b−f was calculated from the projected area $A$ ($d = \sqrt{4A/\pi}$) while the side length $a$ in part g was calculated as $a = \sqrt{A}$.16
improve the conductivity of the final nanoparticle pellets. Although significant work has been done to improve conductivity and even control spin-transport through ligand design,\textsuperscript{19,20,28} in this work we focus on high-temperature properties, and simply decreasing interparticle distance was sufficient to achieve viable conductivity. The removal of the hydrophobic ligands was evident from the ability to disperse ligand-exchanged nanoparticles in polar solvents such as dimethylformamide. TEM also demonstrates a reduced interparticle spacing in self-assembled layers cast from the BF$_4$-exchanged nanoparticles, compared to the TEM of the nanoparticles with their original ligands (Figure S3).

In the nanoregime, magnetic properties become strongly size-dependent as the particle transitions from multidomain to single-domain to superparamagnetic behavior. To characterize the properties of each particle sample, the temperature-dependence of the magnetic moment was examined. Initially, samples were cooled to $T = 5$ K in the absence of a magnetic field and subsequently subjected to a small field of $H = 100$ Oe. For samples of all particle diameters, these zero-field-cooled (ZFC) samples are unable to magnetize due to the large thermal barrier to reorienting their magnetic moments. As temperature is raised, the magnetic moment becomes able to freely respond to the external field at its blocking temperature ($T_B$), reaching a magnetic moment equivalent to that of a sample that was cooled under field-cooled (FC) conditions. As expected, $T_B$ is a function of $d$, with only CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 5.3$ nm) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ ($d = 8.7$ nm) becoming unblocked below $T = 300$ K (Figure 2, Figure S3). To determine the saturation magnetization ($M_s$) and coercive field ($H_c$) of each sample, moment vs field scans were collected from $-7$ to $7$ T at $300$ K. Again, the expected size-dependence is observed, with larger particles displaying stronger $H_c$ and higher $M_s$. These results confirm that all samples are within the superparamagnetic regime (Table S1).

With a structurally and magnetically characterized array of particle sizes, each material was then tested for magnetoresistive properties. For these measurements, pressed pellets of each sample were electrically contacted and subjected to a variable magnetic field (Figure 3a). At $300$ K, CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 5.3$ nm) was biased under $H = -7$ T, and its resistance ($R$) was monitored as a function of increasing magnetic field. At large negative fields, the resistance is only weakly dependent on field, yet as $H$ approaches $0$ T, the resistance rapidly increases, reaching a maximum value only after reaching $H_c$. Since CoFe$_2$O$_4$ is an unblocked superparamagnet at $300$ K, $H_c = 0$ T. This behavior is consistent with minimal resistance at maximum spin alignment ($M = M_s$) and maximum resistance at minimal spin alignment ($M = 0$; $H = H_c$, Figure S4). When field is scanned in the reverse direction ($7$ to $-7$ T), the resistance values are mirrored across the $y$-axis. When subjected to the maximum magnetic field, CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 5.3$ nm) exhibits $\Delta R/R = 19.2\%$. These results indicate that the MR mechanism at work here does not necessitate ordered magnetism. In fact, since $T_B = 175$ K for these particles, MR does not even require blocked superparamagnetism. By comparison, Fe$_3$O$_4$ ($d = 8.7$ nm) in an equivalent sample and electrode configuration results in $\Delta R/R = 10\%$, despite significantly higher magnetization values (Figure S5).

Figure 2. (a) Plots of magnetic moment vs temperature under zero-field-cooled (ZFC, solid lines) and field-cooled (FC, dashed lines) conditions with an applied field of $100$ Oe. (b) Field-dependence of the magnetic moment of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles measured at $300$ K.

Figure 3. (a) CoFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetoresistance at $300$ K as a function of magnetic field, $H$, and particle diameter, $d$. The split peaks observed for $d = 20.7$ nm are a result of magnetic hysteresis (Figure S4). (b) Temperature-dependent resistance of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticle pellets without an applied magnetic field. Symbols represent measured data points while colored lines are fits based on eq 2.
To study the effect of increasing particle size on the MR, CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 8.4, 12.7, 20.7$ nm) was tested as well. Each sample displays progressively higher $M_s, H_c$, and $T_B$ values as expected for superparamagnets with more spin centers, yet a contrasting trend was observed in their MR. CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 8.4$ nm) possesses similar MR ($\Delta R/R = 18.4\%$) to CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 5.3$ nm) despite an enhancement in $M_s$ of over 25%. Surprisingly, this seems to indicate an inherent granular MR value that is inert to size-based effects. When size is further increased, however, a precipitous drop in MR is observed with $\Delta R/R = 6.6\%$ and $6.1\%$ for CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 12.7$ nm) and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 20.7$ nm), respectively. One possible explanation for this behavior is that the 5.3 and 8.4 nm nanoparticles have significantly lower $H_c$ than the 12.7 and 20.7 nm nanoparticles. Coercive granular samples have been predicted to show a decreased magnetoresistance due to decreased ability to break alignment with the anisotropy axis and align with the magnetic field. However, magnetoresistance curves taken at 17 K, where all four nanoparticle samples are blocked, show the same trend in $\Delta R/R$ (Figure S6), and it is clear from the $M$ vs $H$ data that the external field is able to magnetize the sample in all cases. Another possibility is that spin polarization increases as the nanoparticle size decreases. This is consistent with a smaller carrier concentration and shorter distances for electrons to travel between grain interfaces.

Further insight into the charge transport mechanism in these samples can be gleaned from the temperature-dependence of the resistance (Figure 3b). The zero-field resistance of each CoFe$_2$O$_4$ sample was measured between 300 K and a lower bound dictated by the instrumentation and sample quality. Within the measured regime, all CoFe$_2$O$_4$ samples displayed a linear relationship between $\ln R$ and $T^{-0.5}$, where $R$ and $T$ are resistance and temperature, respectively. This linear relationship indicates that electrical conductivity occurs via tunnelling of charge carriers between nanoparticles. Although exact resistivity values were only obtained for two samples due to sample fragility, the measured resistance values scale similarly due to roughly similar sample geometry. These values follow the trend of larger particles leading to larger resistance per unit length. Resistivity from intergranular tunnelling can be generally described as

$$\rho \propto (1 + P^2 m^2)^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{2kC}{kT}\right)$$

(2)

where $P$ is the spin polarization, $m$ is the reduced magnetization, $\kappa$ is a tunnelling constant, $C$ is a charging energy, and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant. The $(1 + P^2 m^2)^{-1}$ factor determines the magnetoresistance, while the $\exp(\sqrt{2kC/kT})$ factor determines the overall tunnelling rate. In our samples, the tunnelling rate should be determined primarily by the charging energy. The tunnelling constant $\kappa$ depends on barrier height and width, as well as intrinsic material properties, which are invariant across the four CoFe$_2$O$_4$ samples. However, the charging energy should decrease significantly as the size of the nanoparticles increases, explaining the decreasing resistivity with nanoparticle size observed.

In the course of our study, one sample of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ ($d = 12.9$ nm) was discovered to display wholly anomalous MR behavior. Despite size, compositional, and magnetic similarity (Figure S7), these particles were synthesized to have an octahedral habit. Temperature-dependence of their resistance lacks the characteristic $ln R \propto T^{-0.5}$ relationship, and the magnitude of the resistance is orders of magnitude lower than that of our other CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$ samples. In fact, the temperature-dependence shown in Figure 4 looks like that of a bulk semiconductor, with an intrinsic region from about 100 to 300 K and an extrinsic region below 100 K. The greatly decreased resistance of the sample supports the idea that it is behaving as a bulk semiconductor. The truncated octahedral form, lack of bulky ligands, and pressure applied during pellet formation could promote enhanced contact between nanoparticles along matching crystal facets. Fusing of faceted nanoparticles upon ligand removal has been observed in the literature. Although discrete particles are still distinguishable by scanning electron microscopy (Figure S8), the interfacing of some crystal planes between nanoparticles could provide increased wave function overlap between particles, forming a conductive pathway and eliminating the TMR effect. Charge carriers are able to conduct through this sample similarly to bulk material, rather than by tunnelling between individual nanoparticles. In other applications requiring high conductivity in nanoparticle solids, this mechanism could provide a new materials processing strategy. The decreased $\Delta R/R$ of the 12.9 nm pellet (maximum $\Delta R/R = 2.2\%$) compared to the other CoFe$_2$O$_4$ samples demonstrates the importance of tunnelling barriers and TMR to strong granular MR.

In this work we have performed the first analysis of the importance of size on the strength of nanoparticle granular MR. Our results demonstrate that the size regime of the particle, more than any specific magnetic parameter, determines the strength of the MR effect. In fact, magnetic ordering or superparamagnetic blocking are not required—thus opening the door to a much wider range of potential MR materials that have remained unexplored. Additionally, it was determined that CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles have comparable or favorable MR values when compared to Fe$_3$O$_4$. Despite the status of Fe$_3$O$_4$ as the material of choice in the field, owing to its high predicted spin polarization, the ($d = 8.4$ nm) CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles showed a higher room temperature maximum $\Delta R/R$ of 18.4%, compared to 10.8% for similarly sized Fe$_3$O$_4$. These data help demonstrate the value of colloidal synthesis to this field, allowing for wide-ranging and inexpensive exploration of materials with well-defined composition and size in a way that is not possible by traditional top-down methods. Although the methods employed here lack the atomic precision of traditional multilayer thin films, the sheer number of junctions drastically enhances the chances of an observable effect. This both allows for simple screening conditions and

![Figure 4. Temperature-dependence of the resistance of octahedrally faceted $d = 12.9$ nm CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Inset: room temperature magnetoresistance behavior of the same nanoparticles.](image_url)
suggested that optimizing of promising materials could result in drastic improvements.
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