Invasive and Subcutaneous Infections Caused by Filamentous Fungi: Report from a Portuguese Multicentric Surveillance Program
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Abstract: Invasive fungal infections (IFI) have significantly increased over the past years due to advances in medical care for the at-risk immunocompromised population. IFI are often difficult to diagnose and manage, and can be associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. This study aims to contribute to understanding the etiology of invasive and subcutaneous fungal infections, their associated risk factors, and to perceive the outcome of patients who developed invasive disease, raising awareness of these infections at a local level but also in a global context. A laboratory surveillance approach was conducted over a seven-year period and included: (i) cases of invasive and subcutaneous fungal infections caused by filamentous/dimorphic fungi, confirmed by either microscopy or positive culture from sterile samples, (ii) cases diagnosed as probable IFI according to the criteria established by EORTC/MSG when duly substantiated. Fourteen Portuguese laboratories were enrolled. Cases included in this study were classified according to the new consensus definitions of invasive fungal diseases (IFD) published in 2020 as follows: proven IFI (N = 31), subcutaneous fungal infection (N = 23). Those proven deep fungal infections (N = 54) totaled 71.1% of the total cases, whereas 28.9% were classified as probable IFI (N = 22). It was possible to identify the etiological fungal agent in 73 cases (96%). Aspergillus was the most frequent genera detected, but endemic dimorphic fungi represented 14.47% (N = 11) of the total cases. Despite the small number of cases, a high diversity of species were involved in deep fungal infections. This fact has implications for clinical and laboratory diagnosis, and on the therapeutic management of these infections, since different species, even within the same genus, can present diverse patterns of susceptibility to antifungals.
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1. Introduction

Invasive fungal infections (IFI) have significantly increased over the past years due to advances in medical care to the at-risk immunocompromised population [1,2]. The number and heterogeneity of patients at risk have increased, especially due to the wider use of intensive myelosuppressive and/or immunosuppressive agents in the treatment of haematological cancers (in particular in those with acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes), the growing number of patients undergoing allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) and the increasing aged population [3,4]. In Europe, the number of stem cell transplantations almost doubled between 2000 and 2016 and at the same time, new at-risk populations were identified, as patients with severe influenza or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [5].

The IFI incidence is approximately 6 cases per 100,000 persons per year [5]. Rates of IFI-related mortality in Europe depend on the pathogen, geographical location and underlying characteristics of the patients, with rates ranging from 38 to 80% for invasive aspergillosis [6].

The most frequent filamentous fungi (moulds) isolated from IFI are Aspergillus spp. [3,7,8], but Fusarium spp., Scedosporium spp. and fungi belonging to Mucorales order are increasingly seen [8,9]. Invasive fungal infections caused by these fungi are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with haematological malignancies [10].

IFI are often difficult to detect and treat [11,12], and can be associated with substantial morbidity and mortality [13,14]. Early diagnosis can improve treatment outcomes and potentially reduce IFI-associated hospital costs [15–17]. National surveillance programs on IFI raise awareness of these infections, enabling the improvement of their diagnosis and treatment. Until a few decades ago, the prevalence of fungal infections was low or unknown in Portugal, which may be explained by the lack of regular national surveillance and also by the lack of an obligatory reporting system for the occurrence of these infections. In 2017, the burden of serious fungal disease in Portugal was estimated using deterministic scenario modelling, and the incidence and prevalence were calculated [18]. However, a multicentric Portuguese survey involving cases of proven and probable mould diseases was still lacking and no national study on this issue has been published so far, representing a major need at epidemiological level. Therefore, the present study, based on a laboratory surveillance approach, has been conducted over a seven-year period. This study aims to enhance the knowledge about the etiology of invasive and subcutaneous fungal infections among the participating hospital units, to understand the main risk factors associated with those infections and to perceive the outcome of patients who developed invasive disease.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cases’ Registry

Microbiology laboratories from hospitals belonging to the national health system were invited to participate in a multicentric surveillance program on fungal infections. Each hospital unit designated a focal-point element responsible for collecting the fungal isolate (whenever possible) and for gathering clinical, laboratory and epidemiological information. These data were registered, filling out a survey that included questions related to the patients’ demographic features, clinical and mycological criteria and also host factors for case inclusion. The survey also included questions linked to patients’ risk factors for invasive fungal infection and their outcome after 30 days of therapy. Risk factors included in the questionnaire were divided into three groups: (a) immunosuppression factors (chemotherapy, hematopoietic bone marrow transplantation, allogeneic bone marrow transplantation, solid organ transplantation, HIV/AIDS infection, another disorder requiring immunosuppression; (b) trauma/intervention (hospitalization in the ICU, invasive surgery, burn, penetrating trauma) and (c) chronic diseases/behavioral factors (alcoholism, COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney/liver disease, travel to endemic fungal infections, premature birth). All the included cases were anonymized before being added to the network.
This laboratory network enrolled fourteen microbiology laboratories from hospitals located predominantly in the central and northern regions of Portugal. Nine of these fourteen centers participated actively in the present study.

2.2. Criteria Used for the Inclusion of Cases in This Study

From all the reported cases (from January 2013 to May 2020), the ones included for further analysis were: (i) cases of proven fungal invasive and subcutaneous infections caused by filamentous/dimorphic fungi, confirmed by microscopy, positive culture obtained from sterile samples (collected by biopsy or puncture) or by panfungal PCR, performed in tissue samples with visible fungal structures and when clinical was compatible with fungal infection; (ii) cases diagnosed as probable IFI according to the criteria established by EORTC/MSG 2020 [19] with mycological evidence of infection (filamentous fungi recovered by culture or microscopic detection of fungal elements in sputum, BAL, bronchial brush, or aspirate, detection of the antigen galactomannan, and Aspergillus PCR) when duly substantiated by the presence of a host factor and clinical feature. Cases of fungal infections caused by Candida spp., Cryptococcus spp. and Pneumocystis jirovecii were not included.

2.3. Identification of the Isolated Fungi

When a positive culture was obtained, the isolated fungi was sent to the Mycology National Reference Laboratory at the National Health Reference Dr. Ricardo Jorge (INSA), IP to confirm the identification to genus/species level. In a first step, isolated fungi were identified based on the observation of their macro and microscopic morphology according to what is described in the identification atlas [20]. To achieve and/or confirm the identification at the species level, extraction of total DNA from fungal colonies was performed, and species level identification was achieved by sequencing the ITS (internal transcribed spacer) region of the ribosomal DNA [21] or, in the case of the Aspergillus spp., by partial sequencing of the coding region for calmodulin [22]. The obtained sequences were then compared with sequences deposited in NCBI Blast and Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute databases. In samples with positive histology for fungal structures and from which no culture was obtained, an in house panfungal PCR or a PCR targeted to Aspergillus or Mucorales (Pathonostics® (Maastricht, The Netherlands)) were performed, whenever possible, to identify the fungal agent [23,24].

3. Results

During the study period (January 2013 to May 2020), 103 cases were submitted to our surveillance program and 76 were validated, the majority of them from Lisbon and the Tagus Valley region.

Included cases were categorized as invasive fungal infections (IFI) (N = 53) and as subcutaneous fungal infections (SFI) (N = 23) (Table 1). Overall, these infections were more frequently reported in males (N = 51) and less frequently in females (N = 25). The median age of patients was 59.5 years (ranging 3–90 years). Table 1 shows these data discriminated by type of infection (IFI and SFI).

Table 1. Overall characterization of the 76 cases included in this study.

| Gender | Age | Positive Microscopy | Positive Culture | Most Common Risk Factors |
|--------|-----|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
|        | Male (N; %) | Median (Range) | (N; %) | (N; %) |
| IFI (N = 53) | 41; 77.3 | 61 (3–84) | 27; 50.9 | 44; 83.0 | Immunosuppression not associated with transplantation or HIV |
| Subcutaneous (N = 23) | 10; 43.5 | 61 (7–90) | 13; 56.5 | 22; 95.6 | Solid organ transplantation and penetrating trauma |

Legend: IFI: invasive fungal infection; SFI: subcutaneous fungal infection.
The validated 76 reports were distributed as follows: 54 cases of proven fungal infections from which 31 were classified as IFI and 23 as SFI, totaling 71.1% (N = 54) of proven fungal infections and 28.9% (N = 22) of probable IFI (Table 2). The obtained results show that 11 IFI cases were caused by endemic fungi. Invasive proven and probable fungal infections represented 69.7% (N = 53) of total cases. Analysis of our data revealed a predominance of localized infections (N = 66). Disseminated infections were observed only in 10 cases. From these latter, nine were classified as proven IFI, four of them were caused by endemic dimorphic fungi and one was classified as a probable IFI.

Table 2. Characterization of the validated IFI and SFI cases enrolled in the multicentric surveillance program in the period 2013–2020.

| Case No. | Gender | Age | Biological Sample | Microscopy | Culture | Identification of the Etiological Agent | Classification of the Infection | Risk Factors for Fungal Infection | Outcome |
|----------|--------|-----|-------------------|------------|---------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| 1        | M      | 40  | Blood             | Compatible with Histoplasma capsulatum | Positive | Histoplasma capsulatum capsulatum | Proven IFI Disseminated | HIV/AIDS; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 2        | M      | 64  | Jugal mucosa and BAL | Compatible with Paracoccidioides brasiliensis | Positive | Paracoccidioides brasiliensis | Proven IFI Localized | Alcoholism; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Partial response to antifungal treatment |
| 3        | F      | 52  | Tissue and BAL    | Septate hyphae | Positive | Scedosporium apiospermum (complex) | Proven IFI Localized | Invasive surgery, immunosuppression | Partial response to antifungal treatment |
| 4        | M      | 48  | BAL               | Septate hyphae | Positive | A. fumigatus (serosus stricto) | Probable IFI Localized | Solid organ transplant; invasive surgery; alcoholism, chronic liver disease | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 5        | M      | 76  | Skin              | Yeasts      | Positive | Histoplasma capsulatum duboisii | Proven IFI Localized | HIV/AIDS; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Partial response to antifungal treatment |
| 6        | M      | 65  | Skin (both leg/hand) | Septate hyphae | Positive | Alternaria infectioria / Alternaria alternata | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Diabetes mellitus; immunosuppression | Good response to antifungal treatment |
| 7        | M      | 29  | Bone marrow       | Yeasts      | Positive | Histoplasma capsulatum capsulatum | Proven IFI Localized | HIV/AIDS; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 8        | M      | 77  | BAL               | NP          | Positive | Aspergillus fumigatus (serosus stricto) | Probable IFI Localized | Immunosuppression; intensive care hospitalization | NA |
| 9        | M      | 56  | Brain abcess      | NA          | Positive | Cladosporium bantiana | Proven IFI Localized | Alcoholism, drug abuse, chronic liver disease | Improvement |
| 10       | M      | 7   | Skin              | Septate hyphae | Positive | Schizophyllum commune | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Trauma | Partial response to antifungal treatment |
| 11       | M      | 56  | Pleural fluid     | Aseptate hyphae | Positive | Cunninghamella bertholaei | Proven IFI Localized | Trauma, alcoholism | NA |
| 12       | F      | 47  | Skin              | Fungal elements | Positive | Sporothrix schenckii (complex) | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | NA | NA |
| 13       | M      | 64  | Colon             | Yeasts      | Positive | Histoplasma capsulatum duboisii | Proven IFI Localized | Alcoholism, travels to areas with endemic fungi | NA |
| 14       | M      | 3   | Sputum/urine      | NP          | Positive | Mucor velutinosus | Probable IFI Disseminated | Intensive care hospitalization; invasive surgery; early birth | Good response to antifungal treatment |
| 15       | M      | 67  | BAL               | Negative | Positive | Aspergillus fumigatus (serosus stricto) | Probable IFI Localized | COPD | NA |
| 16       | M      | 29  | Skin              | Negative | Positive | Trichophyton verrucosum | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Trauma (cattle breeder) | Good response to antifungal treatment |
| 17       | M      | 68  | Tonsil            | Yeasts      | Positive | Histoplasma capsulatum duboisii | Proven IFI Localized | Alcoholism; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Good response to antifungal treatment |
| 18       | F      | 59  | Skin              | Septate hyphae | Positive | Alternaria infectoria | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Solid organ transplant | NA |
| 19       | M      | 81  | Skin              | Yeasts      | Positive | Trichosporon montevdense | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | NA | NA |
| 20       | F      | 75  | Skin              | NP          | Positive | Scedosporium apiospermum (complex) | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Immunosuppression | Good response to antifungal treatment |
Table 2. Cont.

| Case No. | Gender | Age | Biological Sample | Microscopy | Culture | Identification of the Etiological Agent | Classification of the Infection | Risk Factors for Fungal Infection | Outcome |
|----------|--------|-----|-------------------|------------|---------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 21       | F      | 61  | Skin              | Compatible with Blastomyces | Positive | Alternaria infectoria                  | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | NA                                | NA      |
| 22       | M      | 90  | Skin              | Septate hyphae | Positive | Scedosporium apiospermum (complex)     | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Immunosuppression (lung cancer)  | NA      |
| 23       | M      | 29  | BAL/Thraqueal lesion | Septate hyphae | Positive | Radulidium subulatum                   | Probable IFI Localized          | HIV/AIDS; travels to areas with endemic fungi | NA      |
| 24       | F      | 42  | BAL               | NP          | Positive | Scedosporium apiospermum (complex)     | Probable IFI Localized          | Solid organ transplant, COPD     | NA      |
| 25       | M      | 72  | Skin              | Large yeasts | Negative | H. capsulatum duboisii                | Proven IFI Localized            | Chronic kidney disease; travel to areas with endemic fungi; immunosuppression | NA      |
| 26       | M      | 51  | BAL               | NA          | Positive | Scedosporium aurantiacum              | Proven IFI Localized            | Organ transplant; HIV/AIDS      | NA      |
| 27       | M      | 86  | Sphenoid bone     | NA          | Positive | Scedosporium aurantiacum              | Proven IFI Localized            | NA                                | NA      |
| 28       | F      | 78  | BAL               | NA          | Positive | A. fumigatus (Section)               | Probable IFI Localized          | Invasive surgery                  | Patient’s death due to fungal infection Good response to antifungal treatment |
| 29       | M      | 14  | Nasal tissue      | NP          | Negative | Aspergillus fumigatus                | Probable IFI Localized          | Bone marrow transplant           | NA      |
| 30       | F      | 75  | Skin              | Septate hyphae | Positive | Alternaria alternata                  | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Penetrating trauma               | NA      |
| 31       | F      | 63  | Skin              | NP          | Positive | Alternaria infectoria                 | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Immunossupression                | NA      |
| 32       | M      | 47  | Lip tissue        | Compatible with Paracoccidioides brasiliensis | Negative | Paracoccidioides brasiliensis         | Proven IFI Disseminated         | Alcoholism; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Good response to antifungal treatment |
| 33       | M      | 74  | Skin              | NP          | Positive | Scedosporium apiospermum (complex)    | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Chemotherapy; invasive surgery   | Relapse |
| 34       | M      | 68  | Sphenoid bone     | Aseptate hyphae | Positive | Rhizopus microsporus                 | Proven IFI Disseminated         | Diabetes mellitus                | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 35       | F      | 80  | Skin              | NP          | Positive | Sakazaea vasiformis                  | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Penetrating trauma               | NA      |
| 36       | M      | 61  | Eye tissue        | Aseptate hyphae | NP     | Not identified | Mucorales | Proven IFI Localized | Invasive surgery (eye) | NA |
| 37       | F      | 55  | BAL               | NP          | Positive | Scedosporium boydii                  | Proven IFI Localized            | Solid organ transplant           | NA      |
| 38       | F      | 79  | Skin              | NA          | Positive | Alternaria infectoria                 | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Chemotherapy                     | NA      |
| 39       | M      | 54  | Bone              | NP          | Positive | Trichosporon mucoides                | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | Invasive surgery                 | NA      |
| 40       | F      | 48  | Finger            | NP          | Positive | Fusarium soleni (complex)            | Proven Subcutaneous Localized   | NA                                | NA      |
| 41       | F      | 51  | Blood culture     | NP          | Positive | Fusarium dimerum                     | Proven IFI Disseminated         | NA                                | NA      |
| 42       | M      | 64  | Bronchial aspirate | NP          | Positive | Exophiala spp.                       | Probable IFI Localized          | Imunosupression                  | NA      |
| 43       | M      | 53  | BAL               | NP          | Positive | Aspergillus flavus                   | Probable IFI Localized          | HIV/AIDS                         | NA      |
| 44       | M      | 69  | Periorbital exsudate | NP          | Positive | Not identified | Mucorales | Probable IFI Localized | Diabetes mellitus | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 45       | M      | 65  | Brain abscess     | Septate hyphae | Positive | Aspergillus fumigatus (serus stricto) | Probable IFI Localized | Diabetes mellitus | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 46       | F      | 40  | Nasal exsudate    | NP          | Positive | Fusarium proliferatum                | Probable IFI Localized          | Imunosupression (acute myeloid leukemia) | NA      |
Table 2. Cont.

| Case No. | Gender | Age | Biological Sample | Microscopy | Culture | Identification of the Etiological Agent | Classification of the Infection | Risk Factors for Fungal Infection | Outcome |
|----------|--------|-----|-------------------|------------|---------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| 47       | M      | 52  | Stump tissue (traumatic amputation) | NP         | Positive | Fusarium neocephalorum | Proven IFI Localized | Traumatic amputation | NA |
| 48       | M      | 64  | BAL (but with multiple site isolates) | NP         | Positive | Aspergillus fumigatus (serosum strictum) | Proven IFI Localized | Intensive care hospitalization | No response to antifungal treatment |
| 49       | M      | 83  | BAL | NP         | Positive | Paecilimycetes formosus | Probable Localized | NA |
| 50       | F      | 61  | Skin | Negative | Positive | Alternaria infectoria | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Solid organ transplant | NA |
| 51       | F      | 26  | Skin | Septate hyphae | Positive | Fusarium solani / Fusarium petrolephum | Proven IFI Disseminated | Imunossupression (acute myeloid leukemia) | NA |
| 52       | M      | 67  | Lung tissue | Compatible with Paracoccidioides brasiliensis | NP         | Paracoccidioides brasiliensis | Proven IFI Localized | Travels to areas of endemic fungi; intensive smoker | NA |
| 53       | M      | 75  | Thyroid aspirate | Septate hyphae | Negative | Negative | Proven IFI Localized | NA | NA |
| 54       | F      | 75  | BAL | NP         | Positive | Scedosporium boydii | Probable IFI Localized | Imunossupression | NA |
| 55       | M      | 76  | Blood culture | NA         | Positive | Trichosporon asahii | Proven IFI Disseminated | Acute myeloid leukemia | NA |
| 56       | F      | 58  | Skin | NA         | Positive | Trichophyton rubrum | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Solid organ transplant | NA |
| 57       | M      | 63  | Brain tissue | Septate hyphae | Positive | A. fumigatus (serosum stricto) | Proven IFI Localized | NA | NA |
| 58       | M      | 56  | Skin | Septate hyphae | Positive | Sporatemin schakki (complex) | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | NA | NA |
| 59       | M      | 65  | Blood | NP         | Positive | Fusarium dimerum | Proven IFI Disseminated | Intensive care hospitalization | NA |
| 60       | M      | 61  | Cornea scraping tissue | NA         | Positive | Purpureocilloium lilacinus | Proven IFI Localized | NA | NA |
| 61       | M      | 55  | BAL | NA         | Positive | Lichtettiom racemosae | Proven IFI Localized | Intensive care hospitalization; mechanic ventilation | Partial response to antifungal treatment |
| 62       | F      | 60  | Skin | Septate hyphae | Positive | Trichophyton rubrum | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Solid organ transplant | NA |
| 63       | F      | 66  | Bone biopsy/aabcess | NP         | Positive | A. fumigatus (section) A. felis/parafelis | Proven IFI Localized | Hemato-oncological patient | NA |
| 64       | M      | 56  | Liver tissue | Aseptate hyphae | Negative | Not identified Museorales | Proven IFI Localized | NA | NA |
| 65       | M      | 58  | Skin | Septate hyphae | Positive | Alternaria infectoria | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Solid organ transplant | NA |
| 66       | M      | 63  | Skin | Fungal elements | Negative | Alternaria infectoria | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | NA | NA |
| 67       | M      | 37  | Pleural fluid | NP         | Positive | Aspergillus fumigatus | Probable IFI Localized | Imunossupression (sarcoidosis) | NA |
| 68       | M      | 67  | Skin | Septate hyphae | Positive | Trichophyton rubrum | Probable IFI Localized | Solid organ transplant | NA |
| 69       | M      | 64  | Nasal sinus tissue | Septate hyphae | Positive | Aspergillus fumigatus | Probable IFI Localized | NA | NA |
| 70       | M      | 52  | Bone marrow | Intracellular yeasts | Negative | Hecitoplasma capsulatum var capsulatum | Proven IFI Disseminated | HIV/AIDS; travels to areas with endemic fungi | Patient’s death due to fungal infection |
| 71       | F      | 33  | Nasal sinus tissue | NP         | Positive | Aspergillus flavus | Probable IFI Localized | Imunossupression (severe aplastic anemia) | NA |
| 72       | F      | 56  | Skin | Septate hyphae | Positive | Nannizziopsis obscura | Proven Subcutaneous Localized | Solid organ transplant (liver); diabetes mellitus | No response to antifungal treatment |
Table 2. Cont.

| Case No. | Gender | Age | Biological Sample | Microscopy | Culture | Identification of the Etiological Agent | Classification of the Infection | Risk Factors for Fungal Infection | Outcome |
|----------|--------|-----|-------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| 73       | M      | 56  | Tissue (source not referred) | Septate hyphae | Positive | Aspergillus nidulans | Proven IFI Localized | HIV/AIDS | NA       |
| 74       | M      | 43  | Lung tissue | Septate hyphae | Negative | Cladosporium sphaerospermum | Proven IFI Localized | NA | NA |
| 75       | F      | 84  | BAL/sputum | Septate hyphae | Positive | Aspergillus niger (complex) | Probable IFI Localized | Immunossupression; diabetes mellitus | NA |
| 76       | F      | 40  | Cervical abscess | Large yeasts | Positive | Histoplasma capsulatum duboisii | Proven IFI Localized | HIV/AIDS; travels to areas with endemic fungi | NA |

Legend: NP: not performed; NA: information not available; COPD: chronic pulmonary obstructive disease; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; AIDS: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; BAL: Bronchoalveolar lavage; IFI: invasive fungal infection; SFI: subcutaneous fungal infection.

In general, positive microscopy was detected in about half of the cases and positive cultures were obtained in 83.0 and 95.6 of IFI and SFI cases, respectively (Table 1). In eight cases (no. 25, 29, 32, 53, 64, 66, 70, 74) no positive culture was obtained and in two cases (no. 36, and 52) cultures were not performed (Table 2). These cases were included as validated cases of IFI based on the positive microscopy of the samples, collected from a sterile site. Septate/aseptate hyphae or characteristic structures of endemic fungi (P. brasiliensis and H. capsulatum duboisii) were observed in those samples. In seven of those cases (no. 29, 32, 36, 52, 66, 70, 74) it was possible to confirm the identification of the etiological agent through panfungal PCR followed by sequencing. In case no. 29, only the application of real time PCR targeted to Aspergillus allowed the identification of the possible etiological agent, since microscopy was not performed, and culture was negative. Case no. 36 presented aseptate hyphae in direct microscopy and Mucorales infection was confirmed by real time PCR targeted to Mucorales, but this test does not allow the identification of the etiological agent. Furthermore, sample no. 64 showed aseptate hyphae, but identification of the etiological agent was not performed because the Mucorales culture was lost. Although positive fungal structures were seen in tissue from sample no. 53, culture and panfungal PCR were both negative. Thus, in 73 out of 76 cases (96%), it was possible to identify the etiological fungal agent (Table 2).

Aspergillus was the most frequent fungal genera detected (N = 15; 19.7%), being more frequently identified in the proven and probable IFI. Section Fumigati was the most frequent section found (N = 11), representing 20.8% of the etiological agents causing proven and probable IFI (N = 53). In this section, the species A. fumigatus sensu stricto was the most frequently detected, but A. felis/parafelis was also identified in one case (case no. 63). The remaining Aspergillus sections identified in this study were Flavi (N = 2), Nigri (N = 1) and Nidulantes (N = 1).

Infections caused by the genera Scedosporium spp. (N = 9), Alternaria spp. (N = 9) and Fusarium (N = 6) represented, respectively, 11.8%, 11.8% and 7.9% of the validated cases. Species from the Scedosporium apiospermum complex and the species Alternaria infectoria were the most frequently detected in subcutaneous infections.

Aseptate fungi belonging to the Mucorales order (N = 7) were the cause of 9.2% of the studied infections, and the genera identified were Mucor, Rhizopus, Cunninghamamella, Lichteimia and Saksenaea. The latter, less frequently identified, was responsible for a subcutaneous infection as a result of a penetrating trauma (case no. 35) (Table 2).

During the study period, it was also observed that invasive fungal infections (proven and probable) were caused by rare fungal agents, namely the dematiaceous fungi Cladophialophora bantiana (brain abscess), several species of Mucorales (Cunninghamamella bertholletiae, Mucor velutinosus, Rhizopus microsporus, Lichteimia racemosu), and several species of hyaline fungi as Fusarium spp. (F. solani, F. dimerum, F. proliferatum, F. neoacospori, Purpureocillium lillacinus (eye infection), Paecilomyces formosus and Radulidium subulatum (respiratory infection) (Table 2).
Infections caused by dimorphic endemic fungi represented 14.5% (N = 11) of the total cases. Those infections were all imported cases from endemic areas and were caused by species belonging to the genera *Histoplasma* (n = 8) and *Paracoccidioides* (N = 3) (Table 2)

In 81.6% (N = 62) of cases, one or more risk factors for fungal infection were reported (Tables 1 and 2). In this study, the main risk factors associated with the development of fungal infections were: immunosuppression (N = 12); invasive surgery (N = 7); alcoholism (N = 6); diabetes mellitus (N = 5); transplantation (N = 13); and acute myeloid leukemia (N = 3). In addition to travelling to endemic regions, HIV/AIDS and alcoholism are also risk factors associated with infections caused by dimorphic endemic fungi.

In 68% of the cases (N = 52), it was not possible to obtain information about the patients’ outcome. Nevertheless, in 33.3% (N = 8) of the 24 cases with reported information on that question, the fungal infection resulted in the patient death (Table 2).

**4. Discussion**

The results obtained with this multicentric surveillance program allowed the perception of the high diversity of species identified as etiological agents of the diagnosed IFI cases. Some of those species are described as being less susceptible to antifungals [25], which may lead to difficulties in the management of those infections. It is also important to highlight that several rare species were identified as etiological agents of the deep fungal infections, as described in similar studies [26]. In immunosuppressed patients, this fact represents a major challenge in the diagnosis and treatment of these infections. Additionally, the number and heterogeneity of patients at risk for invasive fungal infections have been increasing [5], and the epidemiology of IFI and SFI varies according to the geographical location. Thus, the high intercontinental mobility increases the possibility of detecting infections caused by rare fungi, namely by endemic fungi.

In the following lines, a more detailed analysis on some of the detected fungal agents and associated infections is discussed.

**4.1. Invasive Fungal Infections**

Taking into account the etiology of the proven and probable IFI (N = 53), the etiological agent predominantly identified in all the analyzed infections is *Aspergillus* spp. (28.3%). This result is in line with the epidemiology described by the majority of the published European studies (when excluded *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp. And *Pneumocystis jiriveci*) [27–29]. A previous study on the burden of fungal infections in Portugal estimated that 65 cases of invasive aspergillosis (IA) occur annually in HSCT and solid organ-transplanted patients [18]; in this work, we also detected cases from other described risk patients, such as the ones hospitalized in intensive care units, with sarcoidosis or chronic pulmonary obstructive disease (COPD), as also reported in other studies [30–32]. In similar studies in the USA, the frequency of IA was 8.9%, whereas dimorphic fungi was 25.2% and 1.1% for Mucorales [33]. Although lower than in the USA, our data revealed a surprisingly high frequency of infections caused by dimorphic fungi (14.5%), since Portugal is not an endemic area of these fungi. The Portuguese fungal burden study [18] described an annual incidence of mucormycosis of 10 cases. In the present study, the infections caused by aseptate fungi have shown a higher frequency (9.2%) than that in the USA studies.

The increased fungal burden and the detected species seem to be related, with the introduction of novel immunosuppressive regimens among patients undergoing bone marrow or solid organ transplants, or treatment for malignancies.

Systemic endemic mycoses are mostly found in the Americas, Africa, and Southeast Asia, where their true burden is poorly defined [34]. During the study period, histoplasmosis and paracoccidioidomycosis were the most frequently detected endemic mycoses. All these cases were imported from endemic areas. These diseases are commonly misdiagnosed as tuberculosis, resulting in a substantial delay in the treatment, being therefore considered as neglected mycoses [34]. In fact, four cases of the reported endemic mycoses resulted in disseminated infection (histoplasmosis) and in three of them, the patient became deceased.
The estimated incidence of histoplasmosis is 20% for individuals who had travelled to Latin America for the first time [35]. Paracoccidioidomycosis is the second most prevalent endemic mycosis in Latin America; it is estimated that 10 million of Latin Americans are infected and that 1–2% will present with some clinical form of the disease some weeks to several decades after exposure [34]. Since Portugal is a non-endemic region, the high number of endemic cases reported in this study may be due to the high number of citizens from Latin America (especially from Brazil and African Portuguese speaking countries) living in Portugal. Moreover, according to recent data, in 2011, the estimated number of travels made from Portugal to Americas and to Africa was 2,458,900 and 1,154,000 respectively [18], which reinforces the great exchange of persons among these continents. These numbers show that endemic mycosis should also be considered in clinical diagnosis performed in Portugal. Therefore, although paracoccidiomycosis and histoplasmosis are considered as rare diseases in Portugal, information associated with endemic areas should be kept in mind for persons (immunosuppressed or not) who were born in or have travelled to endemic regions, even if the return from the disease-endemic area occurred many years before the onset of the infection [36].

4.2. Subcutaneous Fungal Infections

In this study, we have considered subcutaneous fungal infection as a separate group for classifying fungal disease due to their differences in the mode of infection, clinical presentation and epidemiological pattern. These are localized infections of the skin and subcutaneous tissue following a traumatic implantation of the aetiologic agent. On rare occasions, lymphatic and hematogenous spreading of the agent can occur [37]. The causative fungi are all soil saprophytes, and the epidemiology of these infections varies geographically. Sporotrichosis is described as the most prevalent and widespread implantation mycosis in the world [34], but *Alternaria* was the most frequently identified genus collected from subcutaneous infections in our study. In a review on alternariosis reported up to 2007, most of the cases of subcutaneous infections caused by *Alternaria* were from Mediterranean countries [38]. The main risk factors described were penetrating trauma, solid organ transplant, diabetes mellitus and immunosuppression. These infections are usually more frequent in males, which may be explained by the fact that outdoor work is carried out more frequently by males, enhancing the risk of skin trauma [38]. Contrarily to other studies [39], our results showed no differences between males and females.

*Scedosporium* spp. are increasingly recognized as a cause of resistant life-threatening infections in immunocompromised patients [40]. Infections caused by the *Scedosporium* genus represented 13.0% (N = 3 out of 23) of the subcutaneous infections. *Scedosporium apiospermum* (complex) was the only species of this genus that was detected in the studied subcutaneous infections. This complex of species is underlined as an emerging opportunistic filamentous fungi, with several reports described [41,42]. Treatment of *Scedosporium* infections is especially challenging due to the high levels of antifungal resistance [35,43]. Yet regarding subcutaneous infections, *Trichophyton rubrum* was identified as the etiological agent of three cases of these infections. This species is an anthropophilic dermatophyte fungus, rarely described as causing deep infections. However, several studies have described deep or invasive disease caused by *T. rubrum* in immunosuppressed patients [44,45]. As in our study, all those cases were from patients subjected to solid organ transplantation. In these cases, invasion is limited mainly to the extremities, and there is subcutaneous involvement, but without involvement of other internal organs [45].

*Saksenae vasiformis* and *Nannizziopsis obscura* are fungal species rarely associated with infections. In our study, these species were both isolated from skin biopsies. Organ transplantation and penetrating trauma are frequently referred as risk factors for this type of infection, as occurred in our study. Other risk factors include hematologic malignancy, diabetes, and prolonged corticosteroid use [46–49].

Until very recently, IFI diagnosis was only based on microscopy and culture. Histological stains for fungi and culture are still the gold standard for the diagnosis of invasive fungal
infections [19]. Detection of fungal biomarkers such as the *Aspergillus* galactomannan [50], 1,3 β-D-glucan and antibody detection for some endemic fungi (in few laboratories in Europe) may also provide data that contribute to IFI diagnosis [19]. The combining antibody and antigen testing enhances the sensitivity in detection histoplasmosis [51], for example.

In the last years, an increase in the commercially available PCR methodologies for the detection of the most frequent fungi, like *Aspergillus* and Mucorales has been observed. These molecular methodologies are not available for the detection of other emerging fungi like *Scedosporium* spp, *Fusarium* spp., and *Cladophialophora* spp. Even the PCR targeted to *Mucorales* used in this study, would fail in the detection of *Saksenae vasiformis* (isolated from a subcutaneous sample after a penetrating trauma). The commercial kit for Mucorales DNA detection used in this study allows the detection (but not the identification) of only of the following genera: *Mucor, Cunninghamamella, Rhizopus, Rhizomucor* and *Lichteimia*. *Saksenae vasiformis* was only possible to identify by ITS sequencing of the obtained culture.

Positive cultures were obtained in the majority of the included cases, with the exception of 10. Those cases were included and validated by the observation of fungal structures in samples collected from a usually sterile site. Panfungal PCR followed by sequencing or PCRs targeted to *Aspergillus*/Mucorales were performed whenever possible, and allowed the identification of the etiological agent in two cases. This fact highlights the essential role of molecular techniques for the detection and identification of IFI agents and that polyphasic approach using several methodologies increases the efficiency of the detection and identification of IFI.

In December 2019, a new guideline on invasive fungal infections was published [19], presenting several changes to the previous guideline. In the new definition of invasive fungal disease, a positive PCR amplification followed by sequencing (applied to parafinized tissues with histological evidence of infection by filamentous fungi) prove the invasive fungal infection by mold, when in the presence of clinical criteria compatible with infection. Microscopic observation of typical and unique structures of endemic fungi is now considered as a criterion of proven IFI as well. Hence, the revision of the criteria according to these new recommendations increased the number of proven IFI due to the reclassification of some cases, initially classified (in the beginning of this study) according to the previous guidelines.

This study presents several limitations, namely the lack of data on the antifungal susceptibility of the obtained isolates. The representativeness of this work could also be affected by several factors, especially associated with the guideline followed for the inclusion of cases, which was designed targeted to the group of hematological patients, and may therefore not be suitable for critical patients in intensive care units. It is also important to emphasize that the presented results may not reflect the Portuguese reality on deep fungal infections that are probably underrepresented. A higher number of cases were recorded in large urban areas, such as Lisbon and Oporto, where hospitals with a larger number of transplants and critically ill patients predominate. However, data on fungal infections from some regions of the country are lacking. This may contribute to the small number of analyzed cases. Difficulties on laboratory diagnosis of these infections, as the low sensitivity of conventional methods, and the low number of autopsies performed may have also contributed the missing of several IFI cases.

**5. Conclusions**

This study presents the data on deep fungal infections from a multicentric surveillance program in Portugal, for a seven-year period. Despite the small number of cases, a high diversity of species involved in deep fungal infections was found during the study period. This fact has implications concerning clinical and laboratory diagnosis and the treatment of these infections, since different species/genera of fungi can present different patterns of susceptibility to antifungals, some of which are resistant to more than one class of antifungals. The surveillance of these
infections is therefore essential, along with training in mycology, namely for pathologists, infectious diseases specialists and microbiology laboratory technicians.
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