Multi-protective role of *Echinacea purpurea* L. water extract in *Allium cepa* L. against mercury(II) chloride
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**Abstract**
Mercury (Hg) is a persistent and dangerous heavy metal with genotoxic properties. *Echinacea purpurea* L. is a well-known therapeutic plant with anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anti-tumor properties. In this study, multi-protective role of *Echinacea purpurea* L. extract against toxicity caused by mercury(II) chloride (HgCl2) on *Allium cepa* L. investigated in a multifaceted way. As a consequence of 100 mgL⁻¹ HgCl2 administration, root elongation, weight increase, germination rate, and mitotic index were reduced, whereas micronucleus frequency, chromosomal abnormalities frequency, meristematic cell injuries severity, malondialdehyde level, catalase, and superoxide dismutase activity were increased. On the other hand, co-administration of increasing doses of *E. purpurea* extract (265 mgL⁻¹ and 530 mgL⁻¹) and HgCl2 gradually alleviated all observed toxic effects of HgCl2. Protective role of *E. purpurea* extract against HgCl2-toxicity on *A. cepa* were clearly demonstrated in this study. The results of this study will lead to future researches investigating use of *E. purpurea* extract against genotoxic contaminants.
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**Introduction**
Heavy metal exposure and contamination can cause serious adverse effects on humans, biota, and environment (Bernhoft 2012). Mercury (Hg) is a widely distributed toxic heavy metal in which people are increasingly exposed to (Clarkson and Magos 2006). Eating fish contaminated with MeHg, inhaling HgO gas, using dental amalgams containing Hg, and vaccines containing thimerosal (an ethylmercury compound) are the most common ways to be exposed to Hg. Exposure to high doses of Hg causes many adverse health effects in humans, including neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, teratogenicity, and immunotoxicity (Branco et al. 2017). It can cause carcinogenic effects by changing the genetic structure in humans (Crespo-López et al. 2009). It is known that the ability to bind with sulphhydryl groups and selenium is especially important part of Hg toxicity (Spiller 2018). Although it is a well-known toxic substance, all the molecular mechanisms underlying the damage caused by Hg are still unclear (Andreoli and Sprovieri 2017). Plants have been used as an important tool for disease prevention in traditional ethnomedical practices for a long time (Nadaf et al. 2019). Today, herbal products account for about 30% of the pharmaceutical market and 11% of essential drugs (Sousa et al. 2018). *Echinacea purpurea* L. (*Asteraceae*), a perennial herb native to Eastern North America, has been used to prevent or treat colds, cough, bronchitis, and mouth and pharynx inflammation (Hohmann et al. 2011). It also has positive effects in healing wounds, relieving migraine and anxiety, and strengthening immunity (Sharif et al. 2021). Therefore, the demand for pills, ointments, and teas containing *E. purpurea* has increased. Products and extracts prepared from *Echinacea* spp. are one of the most widely used herbal health products in both North America and Europe (Barrett 2003). The anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anti-tumor properties of *E. purpurea* are also well known (Cheng et al. 2020). *Echinacea* preparations are also considered to be effective in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 with their
virucidal properties (Signer et al. 2020). Major phytochemicals responsible for the biological properties of *E. purpurea* properties are alkamides, betaine, lipoproteins, polysaccharides, polyacetylene, saponins, sesquiterpenes, and phenolic compounds (echinacoside and other caffèic acid derivatives and chioracic acid) (Coelho et al. 2020). Sharif et al. (2021) mentioned that *E. purpurea* may be used in treatment of human cervix adenocarcinoma due to its high antiproliferative activity and chioracic acid content. In addition, *Echinacea* preparations can be used safely at recommended doses, as it is safe and non-toxic (Xu et al. 2021).

As a higher model plant, *Allium cepa* L. has been used since the 1940s to evaluate DNA damage caused by various mutagens. The *A. cepa* test correlates well with other test systems as well as being an inexpensive, easy, and fast test to identify mutagens (Leme and Marin-Morales 2009). The *A. cepa* test has been successfully used by researchers to determine the genotoxic effects of heavy metals (Seth et al. 2008; Yildiz et al. 2009; Barbosa et al. 2010; Gupta et al. 2018) and the protective effects of plant extract against heavy metal-induced genotoxicity (Glińska et al. 2007; Basu et al. 2019; Macar et al. 2020; Kalefetoglu Macar et al. 2021).

The objective of the work is to determine the toxicity caused by mercury(II) chloride on *A. cepa* and whether *E. purpurea* extract (EPE) had a multi-protective effect against it. For this purpose, root elongation, weight increase, and germination rate were investigated as growth parameters, while mitotic index (MI), micronucleus (MN), and chromosomal abnormalities (CAs) were evaluated as genotoxicity parameters. In addition, biochemical parameters [malondialdehyde (MDA) level, catalase (CAT), and superoxide dismutase MDA activity] and meristematic cell injuries in *A. cepa* roots were also investigated.

Materials and methods

**Materials**

*A. cepa* bulbs were purchased from local market. Mercury(II) chloride (HgCl$_2$) (Merck, CAS Number 7487-94-7) was used to prepare Hg solutions. *E. purpurea* extract (EPE) with 4% phenol content (Sepe Natural Izmir / Turkey) is obtained commercially.

**Experimental design**

Bulbs with similar weight were sterilized using 2.5% NaCLO after their outermost scales were peeled off. Six treatment group were formed using sterilized bulbs: treatment I (control: tap water), treatment II (265 mgL$^{-1}$ EPE), treatment III (530 mgL$^{-1}$ EPE), treatment IV (100 mgL$^{-1}$ HgCl$_2$), treatment V (265 mgL$^{-1}$ EPE + 100 mgL$^{-1}$ HgCl$_2$), and treatment VI (530 mgL$^{-1}$ EPE + 100 mgL$^{-1}$ HgCl$_2$). Concentrations of aqueous solutions of EPE were chosen based on the daily dose (530 mg) recommended by manufacturer and half of this dose (265 mg). Experimental dose of HgCl$_2$ was obtained from Çavuşoğlu et al. (2018).

**Determination of growth parameters**

Bulbs in each group were germinated in the mentioned solutions for 72 h at room temperature (23 °C) in the dark. At the end of the germination period, germination rates were determined over 50 onions as percentages. On the other hands, mean root lengths and mean weight gains of each group determined over 10 bulbs.

**Determination of genotoxicity parameters**

Tips of the freshly germinated roots of *A. cepa* were used to determine genotoxicity. At the end of 3-day experimental period, 1–1.5 mm parts of the root tips of *A. cepa* were cut with a razor blade. Root tips were pretreated using para-dichlorobenzene (saturated) for 4 h before they were fixed with a mixture of ethanol and acetic acid (3: 1) at 23 °C for 1 day. Root tips were hydrolyzed in HCl (1N) for 16 min at 60 °C. Hydrolyzed root tips were stained with Feulgen solution for 2 h, and then they were crushed between lamella and coverslip using one drop of acetic acid (%45) to obtain squash slides (Sharma and Gupta 1982). The level of MI, MN frequency, and CAs frequency were screened using a research microscope (Olympus CX41 with Olympus C-5060 camera) at 500× magnification. MI is considered to be the ratio between the number of dividing cells and the total number of cells observed. To calculate MI; ten slides from each group analyzed from each group and 1,000 cells were observed from each slide (total 10,000 cells for each group) and expressed as a percentage. MI formation was determined according to the rules proposed by Fenech et al. (2003). According to these rules, (a) the diameter of the MN is 1/3 of the cell nucleus or smaller, (b) the shape of the MN is round or oval, and (c) MN does not contacted to the nucleus. To calculate frequencies of CAs and MN, ten slides were analyzed from each group analyzed from each group and 100 cells were observed from each slide (total 1,000 cells for each group).

**Determination of MDA level**

MDA levels in *A. cepa* roots were analyzed to assess the level of lipid peroxidation in tissues. The method proposed by Unyayar et al. (2006) was carried out with some minor modifications to determine MDA levels. One gram of root sample was homogenized using trichloroacetic acid (2 mL of 5%; TCA) solution. Homogenized tissues were centrifuged at 23 °C for 15 min at 12,000 rpm. One milliliter of TCA (20%),
1 mL of thiobarbituric acid (TBA) (0.5%), and 1 mL of supernatant were collected in a tube and then boiled in hot bath for 30 min. After incubation, reaction mixture was transferred to an ice bath to stop reaction. The mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min before its supernatant was observed spectrophotometrically at 532 nm.

**Determination of CAT and SOD enzyme activities**

The same extraction method was used for CAT and SOD activity analysis. Root tissue (1 g) was homogenized using 10 mL pH 7.8-sodium phosphate buffer and then centrifuged at 10,500 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. Supernatants of homogenates were used in SOD and CAT activity analysis.

To determine CAT enzyme activity, a mixture containing 3 mL of pH 7.8-sodium phosphate buffer (200 mM), 0.6 mL of H2O2 (0.1 M), and 2.0 mL of distilled water was prepared (Beers and Sizer 1952). The reaction was started by adding 0.4 mL extract. In reaction mixture, the absorbance was reduced as a result of H2O2 consumption. CAT enzyme activity was expressed as OD240 nm min g−1 FW.

SOD enzyme activity was evaluated using a mixture containing 3 mL pH 7.8-sodium phosphate buffer (0.05 M), 0.56 mL deionized water, 0.6 mL nitroblue tetrazolium chloride, 0.6 mL methionine, 0.6 mL EDTA-Na2, 0.6 mL riboflavin, 0.02 mL insoluble polyvinylpyrrolidone (4%), and 0.02 mL extract (Beauchamp and Fridovich 1971). A powerful lamp (A 215 W) was used to initiate the reaction. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was observed for 10 min at 560 nm using a spectrophotometer. SOD enzyme activity was expressed as Uhg−1 FW. MDA, SOD, and CAT assays were performed in triplicate.

**Determination of meristematic cell injuries**

Possible cell injuries were investigated in root tip meristematic tissue of A. cepa. Cross-sections were taken manually from the newly emerged roots with a razor blade. A drop of methylene blue (1%) was used to stain cross-section slides. Slides were scanned with a research microscope (Olympus CX41 and Olympus C-5060 camera) for possible meristematic cell injuries under 500× magnification (Tütüncü et al. 2019). Meristematic cell injuries were scored according to their intensity (none, slight, moderate, and severe).

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analyzed with the use of analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and Duncan’s test with p value < 0.05. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 23 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results were expressed as mean± SD (standard deviation).

**Results and discussion**

The effects of HgCl2 and EPE on growth parameters of A. cepa on germination period are shown in Table 1. As a result of the application of 265 mgL−1 EPE and 530 mgL−1 EPE on A. cepa, no statistical difference was observed in terms of root elongation, weight gain, and germination rate. EPE did not inhibit the growth of A. cepa as germination occurred. Uninhibited growth parameters with increasing doses of EPE indicated that EPE did not cause toxic effects on A. cepa during germination. On the other hand, administration of 100 mgL−1 HgCl2 induced significant inhibition in root elongation (78%), weight gain (94%), and germination rate (57%) compared to control. Hg is a well-known toxic pollutant for organisms (Spiller 2018). Hg negatively affects permeability of cell membranes, activity of mitochondrial, and substitution of essential cations in plants (Nagajyoti et al. 2010). The toxic effects of Hg are more intense in the embryo with high sulfhydryl group content (Patra and Sharma 2000). Our results indicating inhibitory role HgCl2 in root elongation, weight gain, and germination rate on A. cepa were consistent with previous study of Çavuşoğlu et al. (2018) and Sharma et al. (2012). Remarkably, co-administration of EPE (265 mgL−1 and 530 mgL−1) with 100 mgL−1 HgCl2 significantly reduced the inhibitory effects of Hg on growth parameters in a dose-dependent manner (p <0.05). Both EPE applications along with 100 mgL−1 were insufficient to fully prevent the inhibition on growth parameters resulting from hg toxicity. Application of 530 mgL−1 EPE + 100 mgL−1 HgCl2 showed the best restoration rate on growth parameters; root elongation, weight gain, and germination rate increased to 65%, 57%, and 78% of their control levels, respectively. The presence of EPE reduced the toxicity caused by HgCl2 when considering growth parameters during germination. This study is the first to show the protective effect of EPE against HgCl2 poisoning in a plant. Considering that oxidative stress is a crucial part of the toxicity caused by Hg (Hansen et al. 2001), it can be concluded that high antioxidant capacity of EPE plays an important role in reducing the damage caused by this oxidative stress.

MI, an indicator of cell proliferation, is a parameter to evaluate the cytotoxicity of materials (Leme and Marin-Morales 2009). Micronucleus test can be used to determine the genotoxicity of materials based on micronucleus formation (Bolognesi et al. 2013). Effects of HgCl2 and EPE on genotoxicity parameters are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1. In accordance with growth parameters, MI level, MN, and CAs frequencies were not affected as a result of increasing doses of EPE (265 mgL−1 and 530 mgL−1) (p <0.05). According to the investigated genotoxicity parameters, EPE application did not induce any genotoxic effect on A. cepa bulbs. On the other hand, in the treatment of 100 mgL−1 HgCl2, MI level decreased...
and the frequency of MN (48.10±4.74) and CA increased compared to the control (p <0.05). The decrease in MI, an indicator of cell proliferation, was consistent with the growth parameters of this study. Decreased MI rate in the results indicated slower mitotic cell division in the meristem. Since both occur by mitotic division, root elongation and weight gain decreased as the MI value decreased. Following MN (Fig. 1a), the most common CAs in 100 mgL−1 HgCl2 application were fragment chromosomes (Fig. 1b), sticky chromosomes (Fig. 1c), and vagrant chromosomes (Fig. 1d), respectively. According to the results of the genotoxicity parameters, HgCl2 induced notable genotoxic effects on A. cepa. In line with our results, Çavuşoğlu et al. (2018) also reported that MN, fragment formation, and sticky chromosomes were the most common CAs in A. cepa as a result of HgCl2 application. The ability of Hg to bind to functional groups containing sulfhydryl, selenium, sulfur, nitrogen, and thiol leads to indirect accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) through inactivation of enzymes (Ynalvez et al. 2016; Spiller 2018). Oxidative stress caused by ROS is one of the most important causes of Hg’s genotoxicity, as well as disruptive effects of Hg on microtubules, DNA, and DNA repair mechanism (Crespo-López et al. 2009).

Co-administration of EPE with HgCl2 significantly prevented genotoxic damage, even though none of the genotoxicity parameters returned the original control levels. The best anti-genotoxic effect of EPE was observed at 530 mgL−1 EPE + 100 mgL−1 HgCl2 administration, in which MI increased to 75% of control and total CAs (including MN) decreased to 45% of 100 mgL−1 HgCl2 administration. In addition to MN, the frequencies of other CAs also decreased with increasing EPE doses. Our results indicating anti-genotoxic effects of EPE are consistent with Tsai et al. (2012) that mentioned antimutagenic properties of EPE with high caffeic acid content on Salmonella typhimurium TA98 and TA100. Similarly, Jokić et al. (2009) reported that EPE had powerful protective properties against radiation on radiation workers. The anti-genotoxic and genoprotective abilities of EPE are related to its antioxidant components such as phe-

### Table 1 Effects of HgCl2 and EPE on growth parameters on germination period

| Treatments | Root elongation (cm) | Weight increase (g) | Germination rate (%) |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Control    | 9.00±1.48a           | 5.75±0.83a          | 99                  |
| 265 mgL−¹ EPE | 9.16±1.50a          | 5.82±0.82a          | 98                  |
| 530 mgL−¹ EPE | 9.24±1.52a          | 5.80±0.82a          | 100                 |
| 100 mgL−¹ HgCl2 | 2.00±0.88d         | 0.35±0.02d          | 42                  |
| 265 mgL−¹ EPE + 100 mgL−¹ HgCl2 | 3.75±1.16c       | 1.50±0.15c          | 57                  |
| 530 mgL−¹ EPE + 100 mgL−¹ HgCl2 | 5.90±1.62b       | 3.27±0.23b          | 77                  |

Means with the different letter within the same column are statistically different.

### Table 2 Effects of HgCl2 and EPE on genotoxicity parameters

| Chromosomal Aberrations | Control 265 mgL−¹ EPE | 530 mgL−¹ EPE | 100 mgL−¹ HgCl2 | 265 mgL−¹ EPE + 100 mgL−¹ HgCl2 | 530 mgL−¹ EPE + 100 mgL−¹ HgCl2 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| MI (%)                  | 8.17±0.28a            | 8.24±0.28a    | 8.31±0.29a      | 4.50±0.18d                      | 5.12±0.20a                      |
| MN                      | 0.18±0.24d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 48.10±4.74d                     | 41.30±3.85b                     |
| FRG                     | 0.00±0.00d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 35.60±3.88a                     | 27.10±3.24b                     |
| SC                      | 0.16±0.22d            | 0.12±0.18d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 30.20±3.11a                     | 24.50±2.78b                     |
| VC                      | 0.00±0.00d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 24.10±2.98a                     | 18.90±2.54b                     |
| B                       | 0.00±0.00d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 20.40±2.12a                     | 14.80±1.91b                     |
| UDC                     | 0.00±0.00d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 15.30±1.77a                     | 9.70±1.36b                      |
| IM                      | 0.00±0.00d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 11.30±1.10a                     | 7.60±0.79b                      |
| ND                      | 0.00±0.00d            | 0.00±0.00d    | 0.00±0.00d      | 6.40±0.94a                      | 3.80±0.57b                      |

Means with the different letter within the same line are statistically different. MI mitotic index, MN micronucleus, FRG fragment, SC sticky chromosome, VC vagrant chromosome, B bridge, UDC unequal distribution of chromatin, IM irregular mitosis, ND nucleus damage.
nols, caftaric acid, caffeic acid, chicoric acid, cyclic acid, and echinocye, which scavenge free radicals and bind metals (Dalby-Brown et al. 2005; Joksić et al. 2009; Tsai et al. 2012; Islam et al. 2021). Caftaric acid, one of the main components of EPE, also has genoprotective, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimutagenic, and anticarcinogenic effects (Koriem 2020). Espinosa-Paredes et al. (2020) reported that *Echinacea* extract containing high dose of echinacoside and caffeic acid arrested breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells in the G1 phase but did not affect normal breast cells. The antiproliferative effects of EPE against cancer cells (Driggins et al. 2017; Karimi et al. 2019; Espinosa-Paredes et al. 2020; Sharif et al. 2021) indicate that EPE not only protects DNA with its antioxidant capacity, but also helps to eliminate cells with chromosomal abnormalities. In addition, Coelho et al. (2020) pointed out that the synergistic effects of compounds in EPE are stronger than the effects of these compounds alone.

Protective enzymes against oxygen free radicals such as CAT and SOD can be accepted as circumstantial evidence for enhanced production of ROS. Oxidative stress-induced lipid peroxidation damage in the cell can be determined by measuring the MDA level (Huang et al. 1996). The effect of EPE and HgCl₂ applications on MDA level, CAT, and SOD activities was shown in Fig. 2. MDA levels and CAT (Fig. 2a) and SOD (Fig. 2b) activities were not significantly affected by the 265 mgL⁻¹ and 530 mgL⁻¹ EPE administrations compared to the control. Applications of EPE did not cause oxidative stress in the terms of selected biochemical parameters. In contrast, MDA (Fig. 2c) level (2.7 times), CAT activity (2.9 times), and SOD activity (2.1times) excessively elevated as a result of 100 mgL⁻¹ HgCl₂ compared to control. Our results of oxidative stress were in correspondence with previous studies on other plants such as duckweed (Zhang et al. 2017), tomato (Cho and Park 2000), wheat (Sahu et al. 2012), and rice (Chen et al. 2012). In addition, Rodríguez-Sánchez et al. (2012) and Teixeira et al. (2018) also mentioned that HgCl₂ induced oxidative stress in rats. MDA levels and CAT and SOD enzyme activities were gradually reduced in applications of EPE (265 mgL⁻¹ and 530 mgL⁻¹) together with HgCl₂ compared HgCl₂ application. Although EPE greatly reduced oxidative stress, MDA levels and CAT and SOD enzyme activities did not reach the control level. The ability of EPE to reduce oxidant stress in vivo was already reported by Hou et al. (2020) and Karg et al. (2019). Mohamed et al. (2020) also mentioned that EPE has a protective effect against aluminum-induced reproductive toxicity in rats due to its strong radical scavenging activity. The main mechanism of toxicity of metals is based on free radical production and inducing oxidative stress. Plant-based antioxidant molecules facilitate the neutralization of ROS and alleviation oxidative stress in living systems (Singh and Sharma 2020). EPE supported antioxidant defense mechanism of plant with its
powerful antioxidant biomolecules such as phenols, caffeic acid, cyclic acid, and echinacosides. Similar to our study, Sharif et al. (2021) noted that high antioxidants capacity of EPE protected DNA against damage. On the other hand, Espinosa-Paredes et al. (2020) mentioned that components of Echinacea possibly have some special molecular interactions and defense promoting effects.

Roots tend to accumulate Hg more than upper parts of the plants (Cho and Park 2000) as it is the first contact site. Due to higher accumulation of Hg, the most serious damage can be expected to be in the roots. Table 3 shows alleviative effect of EPE against meristematic cell injuries caused by HgCl₂. HgCl₂-induced meristematic cell injuries were presented in Fig. 2. Applications of 265 mgL⁻¹ EPE and 530 mgL⁻¹ EPE did not cause any meristematic cell injuries in A. cepa roots. In contrast, severe epidermis cell damage (Fig. 3d), moderate thickening of the cortex cell wall (Fig. 3e), moderate cortex cell damage (Fig. 3e), and severe flattened cell nucleus (Fig. 3f) were observed as a result of 100 mgL⁻¹ HgCl₂ administration. These results were consistent with growth retardation, genotoxicity, and oxidative stress results of the present study. Our results regarding Hg-induced meristematic cell injuries on A. cepa were in correspondence with findings of Çavuşoğlu et al. (2018); however, indistinct transmission tissue damage did not be clearly observed or photographed in the present study. Considering our MDA level results, oxidative stress which induced damages on cell membranes may be the main cause of structural deformations in meristematic tissue. In addition to membrane injuries caused by lipid peroxidation, flattened cell nucleus may be an indicator of damaged genetic material caused by genotoxicity of HgCl₂. Co-administrations of EPE with HgCl₂ reduced intensities of cell injuries in dose-dependent manner. The best healing effect of EPE was observed on 530 mgL⁻¹ EPE + 100 mgL⁻¹ HgCl₂ treatment. EPE application effectively reduced meristematic cell damage induced by HgCl₂ owing to its high antioxidant capacity.

### Conclusion

The results of this study showed that HgCl₂ treatment had toxic effects on all parameters investigated in A. cepa. On the other hand, application of 265 mgL⁻¹ and 530 mgL⁻¹ of EPE elevated all adverse effects on all parameters in dose-dependent manner. Data from this study showed that potent antioxidant capacity of EPE combats the Hg-induced genotoxic effects by reducing the oxidative stress. In conclusion, protective properties of EPE against toxicity caused by HgCl₂ on A. cepa are revealed with versatile study. This study also contributed to the literature on the toxic effects of Hg. In order to use EPE as a protectant against genotoxic contaminants, more detailed researches are needed on its protective mechanism.
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