Introduction

Acute upper gastrointestinal (UGI) bleeding is common and can be life-threatening, particularly in older individuals [1–3]. Despite progress with endoscopic hemostatic techniques, rebleeding still occurs in 13% to 20% of patients after primary endoscopy [1,4]. Thus, high-risk patients have to be hospitalized for close monitoring and repeat endoscopy is inevitable if massive rebleeding occurs.

Current ways of detecting rebleeding mainly depend on monitoring hemodynamic instabilities and the occurrence of hematemesis, hematochezia, or persistent melena [5,6]. However, at least a 15% to 30% loss of blood volume is required to significantly influence blood pressure and heart rate [6,7]. Furthermore, despite the persistent melena is an important symptom of UGI rebleeding [7,8], it is often difficult to determine whether melena is caused by rebleeding or by old blood stasis in the bowel. Therefore, assessing the need for or timing of repeated endoscopy is challenging. Moreover, such uncertainty would cast doubt and delay on diagnosing rebleeding, cause anxiety, and add care burden.

In this study, we developed a UGI monitoring system for continuous tracking of UGI rebleeding and aimed to test its feasibility of continuous tracking of UGI bleeding.

ABSTRACT

Background and study aims Early detection of upper gastrointestinal (UGI) rebleeding is not easy by observing clinical symptoms. We developed a novel UGI monitoring system and aimed to test its feasibility of continuous tracking of UGI bleeding.

Patients and methods A prospective study was conducted on patients with moderate to high risk of rebleeding. The UGI monitoring system was installed to monitor their gastric contents. It would alarm if rebleeding was suspected and the physician could review the images to make a further decision. The patient’s comfort level was also evaluated.

Results Sixteen patients were enrolled. Rebleeding occurred in one patient and was detected by this system more than 5 hours earlier than with clinical symptoms. The interobserver reliability for reviewing the images to define the blood clearance in the stomach was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficient 0.79–0.96). The comfort level assessed by patients was 1.90 ± 1.39 (on the scale of 0–5).

Conclusions This pilot study demonstrated the potential of this UGI monitoring system for early detection of rebleeding.
Patients and methods

We performed a pilot study of the UGI monitoring system. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at National Cheng Kung University Hospital and the Taiwan Food and Drug Administration. Patients were enrolled for the study from October 12, 2018 to October 28, 2019.

Design of UGI monitoring system

The UGI monitoring system consists of a camera probe, a wearable transmitter, and a mobile device with an application for analyzing suspicious bleeding indicators (SBI). A schematic plot and a picture of the system are shown in Fig. 1. Technical specifications of the camera are 640 × 480 resolution, 90° field of view, and 15-mm focus distance. The light sources are four light-emitting diodes embedded around the camera. Besides regularly taking pictures in the stomach, the device can be switched to a real-time video mode. Usually, the real-time mode is used during the camera probe insertion and the physician can use real-time imaging during insertion from the nose to the stomach. The real-time mode also can be used to reconfirm rebleeding when the system sets off an alarm. Furthermore, Fig. 2 shows a comparison of the diameters of the camera probe, nasogastric tube, esophagus pH meter, and a UGI endoscope. The black cable diameter is 2.3 mm and the front tubular sensor housing is 5 mm in diameter and 15 mm long.

The SBI application was used to set a bleeding threshold using the ratio of red/green color intensities. The red/green color intensities were obtained by totaling all pixel values of red or green color in the acquired images. The red/green ratio was calculated by dividing the red color intensity with the green one. In this study, the bleeding threshold was set at 2.0. If the calculated ratio was higher than 2.0, the mobile device would alarm.

Patient enrollment and study design

Patients older than 20 years were eligible for this study. All the enrolled patients were assessed as moderate to high risk of rebleeding (Rockall score ≥ 3) after undergoing therapeutic UGI endoscopy. Exclusion criteria included having an NG tube placement in the patient and inability to pass the camera probe through the nostrils.

The UGI monitoring system was installed at the bedside and left in until the attending physicians decided to stop or a patient was unwilling to continue (a maximum of 3 days). The camera probe was inserted under direct vision in the real-time mode and was placed in the stomach about 10 to 20 cm below the esophagogastric junction. In this study, clinical management, such as timing of second-look endoscopy or oral intake, was held to the standard as much as possible. However, attending physicians could use the UGI monitoring system as a tool to help with clinical management. The enrolled patients were asked not to ingest any red liquid so as to avoid confusion with blood.
Outcome measures

The primary outcome was time to detection of rebleeding determined by our system, compared with clinical rebleeding. The UGI monitoring system triggered an alarm for suspicion of rebleeding and physicians could review images from it for decision-making. Clinical rebleeding was defined as vomiting of fresh blood or coffee grounds, hematochezia or melena after a normal stool, a drop in hemoglobin level of more than 2 g/dL, or blood in the stomach on second-look endoscopy [9, 10].

The secondary outcomes were patient comfort level, interobserver agreement in image interpretation, and timing of blood clearance in the stomach. Patient comfort level was evaluated by using a Likert scale to score from 0 for no discomfort to 5 for extreme discomfort. Time of blood clearance in our system was determined by experienced endoscopists when no more blood or coffee grounds were visible in the gastric images. The disappearance of melena or bloody stool was determined by an experienced nurse and compared with that determined by using our system. During system operation, an in-charge endoscopist responded to alarms, checked blood clearance time, and made decisions. After the study, another two independent endoscopists retrospectively reviewed the series of images to determine the rebleeding and blood clearance time. The interrater reliability of determining the time of gastric blood clearance among these three endoscopists was assessed by intraclass correlation coefficient analysis.

Results

Nineteen patients were screened and invited to participate. Two refused to sign the informed consent and one declined to continue on the trial during insertion of the camera probe, thus, data from 16 patients were analyzed. The IR baseline characteristics were summarized in Table 1. After introducing our device, describing procedures for the clinical trial, and obtaining informed consent of patients or their families, the system was set in a mean of 4.5 hours after index endoscopy (range 1–12 hours). Fig. 3 shows images of various gastric contents taken by our UGI monitoring system. Although the images are blurry, the three experienced endoscopists were able to identify the condition in the stomach and determine timing of rebleeding or blood clearance by reviewing the color changes along the elapsed time.

An 81-year-old male patient with Forrest IIa duodenal ulcer had rebleeding. Fig. 4 shows the serial changes in red/green ratios and all events that occurred during monitoring. In this patient, the SBI analysis alarmed more than 5 hours earlier than endoscopy confirmed the clinical diagnosis of rebleeding. The initial red/green ratios were low when the patient’s stomach was empty. The ratios increased when fresh blood appeared in the stomach and fell after the blood was expelled. Three endoscopists reviewed the serial images and estimated the time point of rebleeding at around 2:30 to 2:42 am on Day 1, which was quite consistent with the first time of system alarm, which was 2:33 am. Except for this patient, the rest of the enrolled patients had no rebleeding, but in four patients, the SBI alarm was triggered. In two cases, it was induced by residual fresh blood in the stomach, in one, by drinking grape juice, and in one by hemorrhagic gastritis.

For the secondary outcomes, most patients tolerated the camera probe well, and the mean comfort level was 1.90 ± 1.39. Interobserver reliability could not be determined because only one patient had rebleeding. Instead, the time of gastric blood clearance determined by three endoscopists was used for the analysis of the inter-observer agreement. The interrater reliability was excellent with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.90 (95% confidence interval 0.79–0.96). The mean time of blood clearance determined by our system was 10.6 hours after the index endoscopy. That was much earlier than the mean time of clinical disappearance of melena or bloody

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and endoscopic findings for 16 enrolled patients.

| Clinical characteristics | Number or mean (range) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (years)              | 66.7 (41–84)          |
| Sex (female/male)        | 3/13                  |
| Clinical presentations   |                       |
| ▪ Coffee ground/fresh blood vomitus | 8/3 |
| ▪ Tarry stool/tarry-bloody stool | 9/3 |
| ▪ Abdominal pain         | 4                     |
| Baseline comorbidities   |                       |
| ▪ Chronic kidney disease | 7                     |
| ▪ Coronary arterial disease | 1                |
| ▪ Chronic liver disease  | 3                     |
| ▪ Diabetes               | 2                     |
| ▪ Previous stroke        | 1                     |
| Causes of bleeding       |                       |
| ▪ Gastric ulcer          | 9                     |
| ▪ Duodenal ulcer         | 6                     |
| ▪ Gastric cancer         | 1                     |
| Rockall score            | 6.75 (3–9)            |
| Forrest classification   |                       |
| ▪ Ia/ib                  | 1/4                   |
| ▪ IIa/IIb/IIc            | 8/2/1                 |
| ▪ III                    | 0                     |
| Endoscopic therapy¹      |                       |
| ▪ Diluted epinephrine submucosal injection | 11 |
| ▪ Argon plasma/bipolar coagulation | 1/6 |
| ▪ Hemoclippping/rubber band ligation | 8/1 |

¹ Some patients received more than one therapy.
stool, which was 97.9 hours after index endoscopy (Table 2). No serious adverse events occurred.

Discussion

In this study, we developed a UGI monitoring system for tracking patients with UGI bleeding. To our knowledge, it is the first system in the world designed for this purpose. Using continuous and real-time monitoring with the SBI alarm application, the UGI monitoring system could detect rebleeding earlier than the conventional methods. This information may be helpful to make a precise treatment plan, such as to hold oral intake or to do a second-look endoscopy. Thus, it may increase medical efficiency, reduce complications, and save costs.

The correlation was excellent among the three endoscopists regarding determination of time of rebleeding or blood clearance in the stomach. It demonstrates that using eye recognition to differentiate color changes in serial images of our system is easy and accurate. Our strategy to analyze red/green ratios of an image as the threshold of SBI alarm was successful as seen in our rebleeding patient, the red/green ratio rose and the SBI application alarmed when rebleeding occurred. The time of rebleeding either determined by eye recognition or by system automatic analysis was quite close within 12 minutes. By real-time monitoring in the gastric contents, our UGI monitoring system could detect rebleeding earlier than the conventional methods by observation of clinical parameters or stool color changes. Nevertheless, the current guidelines recommend endoscopy within 24 hours for UGI bleeding [11]. Besides, a recent study demonstrates that urgent endoscopy within 6 hours for the patients with Glasgow-Blatchford score of 12 or higher didn’t improve 30 days mortality [12]. Accordingly, finding patients that will be benefited by detecting “early” rebleeding by our system will require further studies to explore.

Moreover, resuming normal stool color is commonly used as an indicator of “bleeding cessation”. However, the melena may persist for days by old blood stasis in the bowel. In this study, we found the system determined gastric blood clearance time was always earlier than that judged by the disappearance of melena or bloody stool. Therefore, our system may relieve unnecessary anxiety for observing patients with persistent black stool and reduce clinical care burden.

Although the outer diameter of the front tubular sensor housing of our camera probe was 5 mm, the black cable was...
only 2.3 mm. Thus, most of the enrolled patients were able to tolerate the discomfort of inserting and wearing the camera probe. Previous studies have discussed the comfort level of wearing devices similar to our camera probes, such as NG tube and esophageal pH monitor [13, 14]. Our study shows that the comfort level of the UGI monitoring system was similar to that in a previous esophageal pH monitoring study (1.90 ± 1.39 vs. 2.11 ± 1.16) [14]. In addition, most of the enrolled patients ate during the monitoring period, and that interfered with the intercamera probe in only a few cases. As technology advances, the size of the tubular sensor and cable could be further decreased to improve patient comfort.

Application of this system also could be extended to the emergency department (ED) for assessing emergent endoscopic hemostasis. Some studies have shown that capsule endoscopy has the potential to be used to triage patients with UGI bleeding who do not require admission, which would reduce hospital burden [15, 16]. Schmidt et al also reported that detection of acute UGI bleeding with a non-imaging sensor capsule is feasible and safe [17]. Thus, it could be worth conducting a study using our system for risk stratification and monitoring in an ED setting before endoscopy.

Because the camera sensor hangs in the stomach, it raises a concern that duodenal ulcer rebleeding may have not result in sufficient blood flow back to the stomach to trigger the alarm. A limited number of cases duodenal ulcer bleeding were included in this study; therefore, further study is needed to verify the sensitivity of the rebleeding alarm in that setting. Nevertheless, in our clinical experiences if the bleeder is located at the bulb, as in the patient in the present study, the duodenal blood can flow back into the stomach. Ogasawara et al. also showed that most bleeding duodenal ulcers are located at the bulb rather than in the second portion (106 vs. 17 patients) [18].

Our study have some limitations. First, the case number was small because this was a first-in-human study for this novel system. Given that only one case of rebleeding occurred in this pilot trial, the patient selection criteria may need to be revised in future trials to include high-risk patients, such as Forrest I or possibly IIa. Second, although patient comfort with our system is similar to that in a previous study of a 24-hour pH meter [14], there were still two patients who could not tolerate and discontinued the study. Thus, the surface material and diameter of the camera probe need to be improved. Third, there were two false alarms caused by drinking grape juice and hemorrhagic gastritis, which would result in additional demand on a care-gi-
ver's time. That problem can be partially solved by instructing patients not to ingest any red liquid, reviewing the serial uploaded images, or checking the real-time video. Moreover, further precise imaging analysis will be developed to avoid false alarm.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this monitoring system is the first device intended to continuously track bleeding in the UGI tract. This small-scale pilot study demonstrated the potential of the system for early detection of UGI rebleeding and determination of bleeding cessation. The interobserver reliability for interpretation of the images was excellent.
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