Interactive Effects of [CO₂] and Temperature on Plant Chemistry of Transgenic Bt Rice and Population Dynamics of a Non-Target Planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) under Different Levels of Soil Nitrogen
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**Abstract:** Gaining a better understanding of the interactive effect of projected atmospheric CO₂ level increase and the Earth’s rising temperature on plant chemistry (nutritional and defensive characteristics) of transgenic crops is essential when attempting to forecast the responses of target and non-target insects to climate change. In this study, effects of carbon dioxide (CO₂; elevated versus ambient), temperature (T; high versus low), and their interactions on leaf nitrogen content (N%) and C:N ratio of transgenic Bt rice and its non-Bt isolate grown under low- and high-N fertilizer were systematically analyzed together with the resulting insect population dynamics of a non-target planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) in open-top-chamber experiments. The results indicated that under low-N treatment, elevated CO₂ at low T (i.e., eCO₂) (compared to ambient CO₂ at low T, i.e., CK) significantly decreased N% and Bt-toxin content and significantly increased C:N ratio in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice, especially during the tillering stage, whereas inverse effects of high T were shown on the plant chemistry of Bt rice, especially during heading stage. The combination of elevated CO₂ and high T (i.e., Combined) (in contrast to CK) significantly increased N% and Bt-toxin content and significantly decreased C:N ratio in leaf sheath of Bt rice during the heading stage under low-N fertilizer, while significantly decreased N% and increased C:N ratio in leaf of Bt rice during the tillering stage, regardless of fertilizer-N level, and significantly increased Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath and leaf during the tillering stage under both low- and high-N. Moreover, no discernable relationships between Bt-toxin content and N% or leaf C:N ratio were observed at any CO₂ or N levels evaluated. Furthermore, transgenic treatment, temperature and fertilizer-N level interactions, and CO₂ and fertilizer-N level interactions all significantly affected the population dynamics of *N. lugens*. Specifically, high-N significantly enhanced the population dynamics of *N. lugens* fed on non-Bt rice grown under eTemp and Bt cultivar significantly reduced the population dynamics of *N. lugens* under eCO₂ regardless of N fertilizer levels. The study demonstrates that the planting of transgenic Bt rice would not increase the risk of increased *N. lugens* severity under the combined condition of elevated CO₂ and increased temperature, particularly under moderate level of N fertility.
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**Key Contribution:** Impacts of increased carbon dioxide (CO₂), temperature (T), and their interactions on plant chemistry (nutritional and defensive characteristics) of transgenic Bt rice grown under low- and high-N fertilizer and resulting population dynamic of a non-target planthopper; *N. lugens* were
studied. The results demonstrated that planting of \textit{Bt} rice under a moderate level of N fertilizer would likely not increase the risk of increased \textit{N. lugens} severity under the condition of elevated CO\textsubscript{2} and rising temperature anticipated to be manifested by climate change.

1. Introduction

To date, many transgenic \textit{Bt} crops (ab., \textit{Bt} crops; e.g., soybeans and cotton) have been planted worldwide and shown to offer resistance to specific target pests, mainly chewing insects [1,2]. \textit{Bt} crops have thus far been used to manage a wide spectrum of insect pests, including \textit{Helicoverpa armigera} Hübner, \textit{Helicoverpa zea}, \textit{Chilo suppressalis} (Walker), \textit{Heliothis virescens}, \textit{Empoasca fabae} Harris, and \textit{Aphis gossypii} Glover [3–6]. On November 27, 2009, China’s Ministry of Agriculture (MOA) issued biosafety certificates for transgenic \textit{Bt} rice (ab., \textit{Bt} rice) expressing fused \textit{Cry1Ab}/\textit{Ac} genes (cv. Huahui-1 and \textit{Bt} Shanyou-63). These two \textit{Bt} rice lines were issued biosafety certificates for the laboratory and field evaluation in 2015. Laboratory and field tests have shown that these two lines of \textit{Bt} rice exhibited high resistance to the target lepidoptera pests [7,8].

The brown planthopper \textit{N. lugens} mainly feeds on rice plants and sucks phloem saps, and outbreaks of it are frequent. It shows no sensitivity to \textit{Bt} toxin produced by \textit{Bt} rice and may even thrive on \textit{Bt} rice under elevated CO\textsubscript{2} and rising temperature conditions [9]. Compared with that fed on non-\textit{Bt} rice, \textit{N. lugens} showed no difference in nymphal development or total development time from egg to adult, or in their survival rate when fed on \textit{Bt} rice, nor did they show any preference for one or the other rice cultivar [10,11]. However, Chen et al. found that \textit{Bt} rice (cv., KMD expressing \textit{Cry1Ab}) delayed nymphal development, decreased female fecundity, and reduced population density of \textit{N. lugens} [11]. Its close relative, the white-backed planthopper, \textit{Sogatella furcifera} Horváth, on the other hand, thrived on \textit{Bt} rice under elevated CO\textsubscript{2} [12]. To what extent \textit{Bt} rice can control rice planthoppers is largely unclear. Hence, the planthopper is an ideal pest insect for investigation into the potential effects of rising temperature in conjunction with elevated CO\textsubscript{2} on insect or host–pest interaction in the coming decades.

Previous studies have examined the effect of CO\textsubscript{2} and rising ambient temperature singly or in combination with two variables on plant growth [13–16], insect pests [17–19], and plant–herbivore interactions [20]. While overabundant CO\textsubscript{2} tends to promote plant photosynthesis [20] and increase biomass [21], it also causes a reduction in foliar nitrogen content (N\%) and an increase in C:N ratio in most plants, especially C\textsubscript{3} plants [22,23], resulting in an increased consumption rate in insects to overcome the detrimental lack of nutrition. While a higher temperature generally shortens the growth period of plants and increases above-ground biomass and nitrogen content [24], it is also directly responsible for faster development and earlier maturity of insect herbivores by increasing their metabolic rates [25]. Globally rising temperatures also make it possible for overwintering insects to extend their winter range and establish year-round populations [25]. Hu et al. reported the \textit{N. lugens} has developed into a major pest during the past ten years because of rising temperatures [26]. Insect pests may be affected not only by the nutrient balance of their host plants, such as decreased nitrogen and increased C-based secondary metabolites under global climate change [27,28], but also by changes in surface waxes, trichomes, toughness, and leaf microstructure of plants [29]. These plant physical parameters may also need to be taken into consideration as we examine the quantities of foliar nitrogen, C:N ratios, \textit{Bt} toxin content, and insect pests’ abundances.

Therefore, our research questions are (a) whether or not the planting of \textit{Bt} rice is likely to cause a catastrophic explosion of brown planthopper populations as global temperatures and CO\textsubscript{2} levels are bound to rise, (b) whether the production of \textit{Bt} toxin will drain the plants’ energy away from the accumulation of nitrogen and thus diminish the nutritional quality of the rice crop, and (c) whether an ever greater overabundance of CO\textsubscript{2} will lead to a higher nitrogen demand and slow down \textit{Bt}
toxin production to the point where the resistance of Bt rice plants to non-target insect pests could be seriously impaired.

2. Results

2.1. N Content (N%) and C:N Ratio of Bt and Non-Bt Rice Cultivars Influenced by CO₂, T, and Nitrogen Fertility Levels

Elevated CO₂ (compared to ambient CO₂) and Bt cultivar (in contrast to non-Bt rice) both decreased N% and increased C:N ratio in leaf sheath and leaf during both the tillering and heading stages (Figures 1–4), while inverse effects of high T (compared to low T) and high-N fertilizer (compared to low-N fertilizer) and neutral effects of elevated CO₂ and high T (i.e., combination effects) were observed compared with CK treatment (Figures 1–4).

2.1.1. N% and C:N Ratio in Leaf Sheath

At low T, CO₂, fertilizer-N level, and transgenic treatment all significantly affected N% and C:N ratio in leaf sheath during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001 or 0.01), except for the effect of transgenic treatment on N% during the tillering stage (Tables 1 and 2). A significant interaction was observed between CO₂ and fertilizer-N levels on N% during the tillering stage (p = 0.036 < 0.05; Table 1), between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level (p = 0.002 < 0.01) and between transgenic treatment and CO₂ level (p = 0.005 < 0.01) on leaf sheath N% during heading stage (Table 1). Significant interactions among transgenic treatment, CO₂, and fertilizer-N levels on leaf sheath N% (p = 0.037 < 0.05; Table 1) and C:N ratio (p = 0.004 < 0.01; Table 2) were also found during heading stage (Tables 1 and 2). Compared with CK treatment, eCO₂ significantly decreased N% (−22.92%) and increased C:N ratio (+33.86%) in leaf sheath of non-Bt rice during the tillering stage under high-N fertilizer, and those (N%: −11.32%; C:N ratio: +13.53%) of Bt rice during the tillering stage under low-N fertilizer (p < 0.05; Figures 1 and 2). The eCO₂ also significantly increased C:N ratio of Bt rice during heading stage under low-N fertilizer (+12.72%) and that of non-Bt rice during the tillering stage under low-N fertilizer (+36.62%; p < 0.05; Figure 2).

Under eTemp, T, fertilizer-N level, and transgenic treatment all significantly affected N% and C:N ratio in leaf sheath during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001 or 0.01), and there were significant interactions on N% between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N during both tillering (p < 0.001) and heading (p = 0.047 < 0.05) stages, between transgenic treatment and T level during the tillering stage (p = 0.002 < 0.05), and among transgenic treatment, T and fertilizer-N level during the tillering (p = 0.014 < 0.05) and heading (p = 0.002 < 0.01) stages (Table 1). Moreover, there were significant interactions on C:N ratio between fertilizer-N and T level during the tillering stage (p = 0.027 < 0.05), and between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level during heading stage (p = 0.012 < 0.05; Table 2). Compared with CK treatment, eTemp significantly increased N% of non-Bt rice during the tillering (Low-N: +25.46%; High-N: +28.13%) and heading (Low-N: +22.08%; High-N: +8.70%) stages (p < 0.05; Figure 1), and significantly decreased C:N ratio of non-Bt rice during the tillering stage under both low-N (−22.35%) and high-N (−19.88%) fertilizer levels (p < 0.05; Figure 2); and eTemp significantly increased N% (Tillering: +18.87%; Heading: +16.33%) and decreased C:N ratio (Tillering: −13.71%; Heading: −14.84%) of Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages under low-N fertilizer (p < 0.05) and significantly decreased C:N ratio of Bt rice during heading stage under high-N fertilizer (−20.91%; p < 0.05; Figures 1 and 2).

The Combined treatment only significantly affected N% (p = 0.011 < 0.05) and C:N ratio (p = 0.004 < 0.01) in leaf sheath during heading stage (Tables 1 and 2) Transgenic treatment and fertilizer N significantly affected N% and C:N ratio in leaf sheath during the tillering and heading stages (P < 0.001 or p < 0.05; Tables 1 and 2), and there were significant interactions between the combination of CO₂ and T levels and fertilizer N on N% (p = 0.038 < 0.05) and C:N ratio (p < 0.001) during heading stage (Tables 1 and 2). Compared with CK treatment, the Combined treatment significantly increased N%
(Bt rice: +20.41%; non-Bt rice: +7.79%) and decreased C:N ratio (Bt rice: −16.39%; non-Bt rice: −7.32%) of Bt rice and non-Bt rice during heading stage under low-N fertilizer (p < 0.05; Figures 1 and 2).

**Figure 1.** The nitrogen content (N%) in leaf sheath of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1; A, C) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63; B, D) during the tillering (A, B) and heading (C, D) stages, grown with low- and high-N fertilizer under various CO$_2$ and temperature (T) combination in open-top chambers. (Note: HH1—Bt rice expressing cry1Ab/Ac genes; MH63—the parental isolate of HH1; CK—ambient CO$_2$ and low-T; eTemp—ambient CO$_2$ and high-T; eCO$_2$—elevated CO$_2$ and low-T; Combined—elevated CO$_2$ and high-T; *, the lowercase (a, b) and uppercase letters (A, B) indicated significant difference in N% of Bt rice or non-Bt rice under eTemp, eCO$_2$ or Combined treatment compared with CK at same fertilizer-N level, and between low-N and high-N for Bt rice or non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO$_2$ and T, and between Bt rice and non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO$_2$, T and N fertilizer by the Turkey-test at p < 0.05, respectively.

**Figure 2.** The C:N ratio in leaf sheath of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1; A, C) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63; B, D) during the tillering (A, B) and heading (C, D) stages, grown with low- and high-N fertilizer under two levels of CO$_2$ and temperature (T) in open-top chambers. (Note: *, the lowercase (a, b) and uppercase letters (A, B) indicated significant difference in N% of Bt rice or non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO$_2$ and T, and between Bt rice and non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO$_2$, T and N fertilizer by the Turkey-test at p < 0.05, respectively).
Table 1. df, *F*-values and *p*-values derived from the three-way ANOVAs on nitrogen content (N%) in leaf sheath of transgenic *Bt* rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isolate of non-*Bt* rice (cv. MH63) during the tillering and heading stages grown under various CO$_2$ and temperature (T) in combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers (*n* = 3).

| Factors          | $\text{CO}_2$ |          | $\text{Temp}$ |          | Combined |          |          |          |
|------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                  | Tillering     | Heading  | Tillering     | Heading  |          |          |          |          |
| $Bt$             | 1, 1.75, 0.205| 1, 196.40, <0.001 ***| 1, 43.09, <0.001 ***| 1, 292.83, <0.001 ***| 1, 7.00, 0.018 *| 1, 383.71, <0.001 ***|
| $N$              | 1, 156.22, 0.001 ***| 1, 85.56, <0.001 ***| 1, 401.14, <0.001 ***| 1, 72.15, <0.001 ***| 1, 389.96, <0.001 ***| 1, 43.39, <0.001 ***|
| $\text{eTemp}$   | -             | -        | -             | -        | -        | -        | -        | -        |
| $\text{CO}_2$    | 1, 13.84, 0.002 **| 1, 23.02, <0.001 ***| -             | -        | -        | -        | -        | -        |
| Combined          | 1, 1.59, 0.695| 1, 13.82, 0.002 **| 1, 19.98, 0.001 ***| 1, 4.64, 0.047* | 1, 4.43, 0.051 | 1, 2.78, 0.115 |
| $Bt \times N$    | 1, 0.75, 0.399| 1, 10.65, 0.005 **| -             | -        | -        | -        | -        | -        |
| $N \times \text{eTemp}$ | -             | -        | -             | -        | 1, 0.47, 0.503 | 1, 0.75, 0.399 | -        | -        |
| $Bt \times \text{eCO}_2$ | 1, 5.25, 0.036 *| 1, 3.83, 0.068 | -             | -        | -        | -        | -        | -        |
| $N \times \text{Combined}$ | -             | -        | -             | -        | 1, 0.06, 0.803 | 1, 1.90, 0.187 | -        | -        |
| $Bt \times \text{Combined}$ | -             | -        | -             | -        | 1, 0.02, 0.884 | 1, 5.13, 0.038 *| -        | -        |
| $Bt \times N \times \text{eTemp}$ | -             | -        | -             | 1, 13.40, 0.002 **| -        | -        | -        | -        |
| $Bt \times N \times \text{eCO}_2$ | 1, 2.95, 0.105| 1, 5.19, 0.037 *| -             | -        | 1, 0.13, 0.725 | 1, 0.01, 0.937 | -        | -        |

**Note:** *eCO$_2$*—CO$_2$ levels (elevated versus ambient) at low T; *eTemp*—T levels (high versus low) under ambient CO$_2$; Combined—combinations of CO$_2$ and T (elevated CO$_2$ and high-T versus ambient CO$_2$ and low T). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$. Same explanation of variables for Tables 2–7.
Table 2. df, F-values and p-values derived from the three-way ANOVAs on C:N ratio in leaf sheath of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63) during the tillering and heading stages grown under various CO$_2$ and temperature (T) in combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers ($n = 3$).

| Factors | $\text{CO}_2$ | $\text{Temp}$ | Combined |
|---------|---------------|---------------|----------|
|         | Tillering     | Heading       | Tillering | Heading | Tillering | Heading |
| $Bt$    | 1, 2.67, 0.122 | 1, 146.60, <0.001 *** | 1, 13.56, 0.002 ** | 1, 155.67, <0.001 *** | 1, 3.17, 0.049 * | 1, 366.80, <0.001 *** |
| $N$     | 1, 61.90, <0.001 *** | 1, 64.44, <0.001 *** | 1, 136.86, <0.001 *** | 1, 36.78, <0.001 *** | 1, 105.37, <0.001 *** | 1, 49.27, <0.001 *** |
| $\text{Temp}$ | - | - | 1, 13.99, 0.002 ** | 1, 20.21, <0.001 *** | - | - |
| $\text{CO}_2$ | 1, 8.76, 0.009 ** | 23.02/0.001 *** | - | - | 1, 0.01, 0.907 | 1, 11.35, 0.004 ** |
| Combined | - | - | 1, 1.29, 0.273 | 1, 1.44, 0.247 | - | - |
| $Bt \times N$ | 1, 0.01, 0.9200 | 13.82/0.002 ** | 1, 2.54, 0.130 | 1, 8.43, 0.012 * | 1, 1.29, 0.273 | 1, 1.44, 0.247 |
| $N \times \text{Temp}$ | - | - | 1, 3.99, 0.063 | 1, 0.89, 0.359 | - | - |
| $Bt \times \text{CO}_2$ | 1, 0.32, 0.582 | 10.65/0.005 ** | - | - | - | - |
| $N \times \text{CO}_2$ | 1, 1.25, 0.280 | 3.83/0.068 | - | - | 1, 0.20, 0.660 | 1, 4.46, 0.051 |
| $Bt \times \text{Combined}$ | - | - | - | 1, 0.81, 0.380 | 1, 19.47, <0.001 *** | - |
| $Bt \times N \times \text{Temp}$ | - | - | 1, 0.24, 0.631 * | 1, 0.40, 0.536 | - | - |
| $Bt \times N \times \text{CO}_2$ | 1, 0.36, 0.556 | 1, 11.40, 0.004 ** | - | - | 1, 0.07, 0.796 | 1, 5.75, 0.290 |

Note: $\text{CO}_2$—CO$_2$ levels (elevated versus ambient) at low T; $\text{Temp}$—T levels (high versus low) under ambient CO$_2$; Combined—combinations of CO$_2$ and T (elevated CO$_2$ and high-T versus ambient CO$_2$ and low T). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. 
2.1.2. N% and C:N Ratio of Rice Leaf

At eCO₂ treatment, CO₂, fertilizer-N levels, and transgenic treatment all significantly affected N% and C:N ratio in leaf of Bt and non-Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001 or 0.01), except for the effect of T on C:N ratio during the tillering stage (Tables 3 and 4). There were significant interactions on leaf N% between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level, and among CO₂, transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N levels during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001 or p < 0.05), between transgenic treatment and CO₂ level during the tillering stage, and between fertilizer-N and CO₂ levels during heading stage (p < 0.001; Table 3). Significant interactions on C:N ratio in leaf were also found between transgenic treatment and CO₂ level, between fertilizer-N and CO₂ levels, and among CO₂, fertilizer-N levels, and transgenic treatment during heading stage (p < 0.01 or 0.05; Table 4). Compared with CK, eCO₂ significantly reduced N% and increased C:N ratio in leaf of Bt and non-Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages regardless of the N level (p < 0.05; Figures 3 and 4).

Under eTemp, T, fertilizer-N level, and transgenic treatment all significantly affected N% and C:N ratio during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001), except for the effect of T on C:N ratio during the tillering stage (p = 0.36 > 0.05; Tables 3 and 4). There were significant interactions on N% between transgenic treatment and T level, between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level, and between T and fertilizer-N levels during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001 or 0.05), except for the interaction between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level during the heading stage (Table 3). And significant interactions on C:N ratio in leaf were also found between transgenic treatment and T level during the tillering stage (p = 0.011 < 0.05), and between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level (p < 0.001), and among T, fertilizer-N level, and transgenic treatment during heading stage (p = 0.021 < 0.05; Table 4). Compared with CK, eTemp significantly increased N% of non-Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages under low- and high-N fertilizer (from +8.60% to 15.63%; p < 0.05), and significantly reduced C:N ratio of non-Bt rice during heading stage under high-N fertilizer (−7.16%; p < 0.05; Table 4); while eTemp only significantly increased N% (+8.86%) and decreased C:N ratio (−8.04%) of Bt rice during heading stage under low-N fertilizer (p < 0.05; Figures 3 and 4).

The Combined treatment significantly affected N% (p < 0.001) and C:N ratio (p = 0.004 < 0.01) in leaf during heading stage (Tables 3 and 4), and N% during the tillering stage (p = 0.029 < 0.05; Table 3), while transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level significantly affected N% and C:N ratio in leaf during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001; Tables 3 and 4). Furthermore, significant interactions on N% in leaf were also found during the tillering and heading stages between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level, between transgenic treatment and the combination of CO₂ and T levels, and among transgenic treatment, fertilizer-N level and the combination of CO₂ and T levels (p < 0.001 or 0.05; Table 3). Additionally, there were significant interactions on leaf C:N ratio between transgenic treatment and the combination of CO₂ and T levels during the tillering stage (p = 0.006 < 0.01), between transgenic treatment and fertilizer-N level (p = 0.008 < 0.01), between transgenic treatment and the combination of CO₂ and T levels (p = 0.043 < 0.05), and among transgenic treatment, fertilizer-N level, and the combination of CO₂ and T levels during heading stage (p = 0.009 < 0.01; Table 4). Compared with CK, the Combined treatment significantly increased N% in leaf of non-Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages under low- and high-N fertilizer (from +6.25% to +10.17%; p < 0.05), but only significantly increased C:N ratio in leaf of non-Bt rice during heading stage under low-N fertilizer (7.84%; p < 0.05; Figures 3 and 4); the Combined treatment only significantly decreased N% (−5.12% and −5.90%) and increased C:N ratio (+4.72% and +5.71%) in leaf of Bt rice during the tillering stage under low- and high-N fertilizer (p < 0.05; Figures 3 and 4).
Figure 3. The nitrogen content (N\%) in leaf of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1; A, C) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63; B, D) during the tillering (A, B) and heading (C, D) stages, grown with low- and high-N fertilizer under various CO\textsubscript{2} and temperature (T) combination in open-top chambers. (Note: *, the lowercase (a, b) and uppercase letters (A, B) indicated significant difference in N\% of Bt rice or non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO\textsubscript{2} and T, and between Bt rice and non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO\textsubscript{2}, T and N fertilizer by the Turkey-test at \(p < 0.05\), respectively).

Figure 4. The C:N ratio in leaf of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1; A, C) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63; B, D) during the tillering (A, B) and heading (C, D) stages, grown with low- and high-N fertilizer under various CO\textsubscript{2} and temperature (T) combination in open-top chambers. (Note: *, the lowercase (a, b) and uppercase letters (A, B) indicated significant difference in N\% of Bt rice or non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO\textsubscript{2}, T and N fertilizer by the Turkey-test at \(p < 0.05\), respectively).
Table 3. df, $F$-values and $P$-values derived from the three-way ANOVAs on nitrogen content (N\%) in leaf of transgenic $Bt$ rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isolate of non-$Bt$ rice (cv. MH63) during the tillering and heading stages grown under various $CO_2$ and temperature (T) in combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers ($n = 3$).

| Factors                | $CO_2$ Tillering | $CO_2$ Heading | $T$ Tillering | $T$ Heading | Combined Tillering | Combined Heading |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|
| $Bt$                   | 1, 69.76, <0.001 *** | 1, 0.59, 0.455 | 1, 385.98, <0.001 *** | 1, 42.36, <0.001 *** | 1, 204.30, <0.001 *** | 1, 60.14, <0.001 *** |
| $N$                    | 1, 1734.54, <0.001 *** | 1, 337.02, <0.001 *** | 1, 3868.60, <0.001 *** | 1, 145.59, <0.001 *** | 1, 1568.68, <0.001 *** | 1, 345.92, 0.001 *** |
| $eTemp$                | -                | 1, 145.00, <0.001 *** | 1, 138.62, 0.001 *** | -           | -                  | -                |
| $CO_2$                 | 1, 151.35, <0.001 *** | 1, 184.83, <0.001 *** | -             | -           | -                  | -                |
| Combined               | -                | -              | -             | -           | -                  | -                |
| $Bt \times N$          | 1, 25.80, <0.001 *** | 1, 39.63, <0.001 *** | 1, 26.63, <0.001 *** | 1, 0.05, 0.829 | 1, 20.01, <0.001 *** | 1, 8.34, 0.011 * |
| $Bt \times eTemp$      | -                | -              | 1, 217.78, <0.001 *** | 1, 46.25, <0.001 *** | -                  | -                |
| $N \times eTemp$       | -                | -              | 1, 5.98, 0.026 * | 1, 24.01, <0.001 *** | -                  | -                |
| $Bt \times CO_2$       | 1, 28.14, <0.001 *** | 1, 0.28, 0.606 | -             | -           | -                  | -                |
| $N \times CO_2$        | 1.83/0.194       | 1, 20.03, <0.001 *** | -             | -           | -                  | -                |
| $Bt \times Combined$   | -                | -              | -             | -           | -                  | -                |
| $N \times Combined$    | -                | -              | -             | -           | 1, 128.76, <0.001 *** | 1, 65.46, <0.001 *** |
| $Bt \times N \times eTemp$ | -              | -              | -             | -           | 1, 20.01, <0.001 *** | 1, 0.43, 0.522 |
| $Bt \times N \times CO_2$ | 1, 7.34, 0.015 * | 1, 63.53, <0.001 *** | -             | -           | -                  | -                |
| $Bt \times N \times Combined$ | -          | -              | -             | -           | 1, 4.76, 0.044 * | 1, 27.43, <0.001 *** |

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. 


Table 4. df, F-values and \( P \)-values derived from the three-way ANOVAs on C:N ratio in leaf of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63) during the tillering and heading stages grown under various CO\(_2\) and temperature (T) in combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers (\( n = 3 \)).

| Factors          | \( \text{CO}_2 \) Tillering | Heading | \( \text{Temp} \) Tillering | Heading | Combined Tillering | Heading |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| \( Bt \)         | 1.985, 0.006 **               | 1.8090, <0.001 ***             | 1.1978, <0.001 ***          | 1.4878, <0.001 ***             | 1.2003, <0.001 ***          | 1.2360, <0.001 ***          |
| \( N \)          | 1.9764, <0.001 ***            | 1.4425, <0.001 ***             | 1.11164, <0.001 ***          | 1.3286, <0.001 ***             | 1.9319, <0.001 ***          | 1.2047, <0.001 ***          |
| \( \text{Temp} \) | -                             | -                                  | 1.089, 0.359                 | 1.2625, <0.001 ***             |                      |                      |
| \( \text{CO}_2 \) | 1.2213, <0.001 ***            | 1.3214, <0.001 ***              | -                           | -                                  |                      |                      |
| Combined         | -                             | -                                  | -                           | -                                  | 1.20, 0.661               | 1.1106, 0.004 ** |
| \( Bt \times N \) | 1.136, 0.261                 | 1.081, 0.381                    | 1.061, 0.448                 | 1.2592, <0.001 ***             | 1.049, 0.494             | 1.1884, 0.008 ** |
| \( Bt \times \text{Temp} \) | -                           | -                                  | 1.847, 0.011 *              | 1.014, 0.712                  |                      |                      |
| \( N \times \text{Temp} \) | -                           | -                                  | 1.235, 0.145                | 1.05, 0.832                  |                      |                      |
| \( Bt \times \text{CO}_2 \) | 1.380, 0.069                | 1.1577, 0.009 **               | -                           | -                                  | -                   | -                   |
| \( N \times \text{CO}_2 \) | 1.028, 0.605                | 1.834, 0.011 *                  | -                           | -                                  | -                   | -                   |
| \( Bt \times \text{Combined} \) | -                           | -                                  | -                           | -                                  | 1.1003, 0.006 **        | 1.482, 0.043 * |
| \( N \times \text{Combined} \) | -                           | -                                  | -                           | -                                  | 1.041, 0.550           | 1.058, 0.456 |
| \( Bt \times N \times \text{Temp} \) | -                           | -                                  | 1.040, 0.535                | 1.656, 0.021 *                | -                   | -                   |
| \( Bt \times N \times \text{CO}_2 \) | 1.111, 0.308                | 1.1868, 0.008 **               | -                           | -                                  | -                   | -                   |
| \( Bt \times N \times \text{Combined} \) | -                           | -                                  | -                           | -                                  | 1.033, 0.571            | 1.897, 0.009 ** |

* \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \).
2.2. Bt Toxin Content in Leaf and Leaf Sheath of Bt Rice

Under eCO2 treatment, CO2 level significantly influenced Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath during heading stage (p < 0.001; Table 5) and leaf during the tillering (p < 0.001) and heading (p = 0.003 < 0.01) stages (Table 6), whereas fertilizer-N level significantly affected Bt-toxin content in both leaf sheath and leaf during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001 or 0.01; Tables 5 and 6). Moreover, there were significant interactions between CO2 and fertilizer-N levels on Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath during heading stage (p = 0.038 < 0.05; Table 5) and leaf during the tillering stage (p = 0.017 < 0.05; Table 6). Compared with CK, eCO2 significantly decreased Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath during heading stage under low-N and high-N fertilizer levels (−54.65% and −32.38%) and leaf during the tillering stage under high-N fertilizer (−10.36%) and leaf during heading stage under low-N fertilizer (−22.22%; Figures 5 and 6).

Under eTemp treatment, T and fertilizer-N levels significantly affected Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath during the tillering stage (p < 0.001), and there was a significant interaction between these two factors (p = 0.023 < 0.05; Table 5); T and fertilizer-N levels significantly affected Bt-toxin content in leaf during the tillering and heading stages (p < 0.001, 0.01 or 0.05), and there was a significant interaction between these two factors during the tillering stage (p = 0.008 < 0.01; Table 6). Compared with CK, eTemp significantly increased Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath (Low-N: −17.45%; High-N: −37.57%) and leaf (Low-N: −65.48%; High-N: −27.20%) during the tillering stage under low- and high-N fertilizer, and that (−31.75%) in leaf during heading stage under low-N fertilizer (p < 0.05; Figures 5 and 6).

Moreover, the combination of CO2 and T levels and fertilizer-N levels only significantly affected Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath during the tillering stage (Table 5), and these two factors and their interaction all significantly affected Bt-toxin content in leaf during the tillering stage (p < 0.001, 0.01 or 0.05; Table 6), while fertilizer-N level only significantly influenced Bt-toxin content in leaf during heading stage (p = 0.036 < 0.05; Table 6). Compared with CK, the Combined treatment significantly increased Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath (Low-N: +16.51%; High-N: +30.81%) and leaf (Low-N: +44.84%; High-N: +10.36%) during the tillering stage under low- and high-N fertilizer (p < 0.05; Figures 5 and 6).

Furthermore, compared with low-N fertilizer, high-N fertilizer significantly enhanced Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice during the tillering and heading stage grown under eCO2 (p < 0.05), except for those in leaf sheath during the tillering stage under low- and high-N fertilizer (p > 0.05; Figures 5 and 6); high N level also significantly increased Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice during the tillering stage under CK treatment (p < 0.05), and significantly increased those in leaf sheath during the tillering stage under eTemp treatment (p < 0.05; Figure 5).

![Figures 5](image_url) The Bt toxin content (ng/mg) in leaf sheath of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) during the tillering (A) and heading (B) stages, grown with low- and high-N fertilizer under various CO2 and temperature (T) combination in open-top chambers. (Note: *the lowercase (a, b) and uppercase letters (A, B) indicated significant difference in N% of Bt rice or non-Bt rice under eTemp, eCO2 or Combined treatment compared with CK at same fertilizer-N level, and between low-N and high-N for Bt rice or non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO2 and T, and between Bt rice and non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO2, T and N fertilizer by the Turkey-test at p < 0.05, respectively).
Table 5. df, F-values and p-values derived from the two-way ANOVAs on Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) during the tillering and heading stages grown under various CO\(_2\) and temperature (T) combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers (n = 3).

| Factors       | \(\text{CO}_2\) |          | \(\text{CO}_2\) |          | \(\text{Temp}\) |          | \(\text{Temp}\) |          | Combined     |          | Combined     |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
|               | Tillering       | Heading  | Tillering       | Heading  | Tillering       | Heading  | Tillering       | Heading  |             |          |             |
| N             | 1, 19.85, 0.002 ** | 1, 33.44, <0.001 *** | 1, 37.57, <0.001 *** | 1, 5.18, 0.052 | 1, 10.88, 0.011 * | 1, 2.78, 0.134 |
| \(\text{CO}_2\) | -               | -        | 1, 43.20, <0.001 *** | 1, 0.45, 0.523 | -               | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |
| Combined      | 1, 0.23, 0.644 |          | 1, 153.34, <0.001 *** | -        | -               | -          | 1, 11.74, 0.009 ** | 1, 0.02, 0.886 |
| N × \(\text{Temp}\) | -               | -        | -               | 1, 7.80, 0.023 * | 1, 0.01, 0.934 | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |
| N × \(\text{CO}_2\) | 1, 0.01, 0.989 |          | 1, 6.20, 0.038 * | -        | -               | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |
| N × Combined  | -               | -        | -               | -        | -               | -          | 1, 1.60, 0.242 | 1, 0.30, 0.598 |

\(* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.\)

Table 6. df, F-values and p-values derived from the two-way ANOVAs on Bt-toxin content in leaf of transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) during the tillering and heading stages grown under various CO\(_2\) and temperature (T) combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers (n = 3).

| Factors       | \(\text{CO}_2\) |          | \(\text{CO}_2\) |          | \(\text{Temp}\) |          | \(\text{Temp}\) |          | Combined     |          | Combined     |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
|               | Tillering       | Heading  | Tillering       | Heading  | Tillering       | Heading  | Tillering       | Heading  |             |          |             |
| N             | 1, 398.92, <0.001 *** | 1, 31.49, 0.002 ** | 1, 68.34, <0.001 *** | 1, 12.56, 0.008 ** | 1, 31.55, <0.009 ** | 1, 6.35, 0.036 * |
| \(\text{Temp}\) | -               | -        | -               | 1, 334.08, <0.001 *** | 1, 6.20, 0.037 * | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |
| \(\text{CO}_2\) | 1, 31.69, <0.001 *** | 1, 18.48, 0.003 ** | -               | -          | -               | -          | 1, 57.48, <0.001 *** | 1, 3.36, 0.104 |
| Combined      | -               | -        | -               | -          | -               | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |
| N × \(\text{Temp}\) | -               | -        | -               | 1, 24.86, 0.002 ** | 1, 3.67, 0.092 | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |
| N × \(\text{CO}_2\) | 1, 8.99, 0.017 * | 1, 0.53, 0.486 | -               | -          | -               | -          | 1, 15.51, 0.004 ** | 1, 281.90, 0.985 |
| N × Combined  | -               | -        | -               | -          | -               | -          | -               | -        |             |          |             |

\(* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.\)
There was a significantly negative correlation between \( T \) and fertilizer-N levels under ambient CO\(_2\) Bt rice versus non-Bt population abundance of \( N. \) lugens. Enhanced the population abundance of \( N. \) lugens when Bt-positive correlation between \( R^2 = 0.998, p < 0.05 \); Table 7). A significant interaction between Bt-toxin content and C:N ratio in leaf sheath of Bt rice grown with low-N fertilizer under eTemp treatment (Supplementary Table S1).

**2.3. The Correlation Analysis of Foliar N%, C:N Ratios and Bt Toxin Production**

The correlations between Bt-toxin content, C:N ratios in leaf and leaf sheath of Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages under low- and high-N fertilizer were analyzed (Supplementary Table S1). A significantly positive correlation between Bt-toxin content and N\% (\( R^2 = 1.000, p < 0.01 \)) and a significantly negative correlation between Bt-toxin content and C:N ratio (\( R^2 = -0.999, p < 0.05 \)) in leaf sheath of Bt rice grown with low-N fertilizer under eTemp treatment (Supplementary Table S1) were observed. There was a significantly negative correlation between Bt-toxin content and N\% in leaf of Bt rice grown with high-N fertilizer under CK treatment (\( R^2 = -1.000, p < 0.01 \)), and a significantly positive correlation between Bt-toxin content and N\% in leaf of Bt rice grown with low-N fertilizer under CK treatment (\( R^2 = 0.998, p < 0.05 \); Supplementary Table S1).

**2.4. Effects of Various CO\(_2\) and Temperature Level Combinations on \( N. \) lugens Population Dynamics Fed on Bt and Non-Bt Rice Grown Under Low- and High-N Fertilizer**

At eCO\(_2\), transgenic treatment significantly affected the population dynamics of \( N. \) lugens fed on Bt rice versus non-Bt rice under low- and high-N fertilizer (\( p = 0.004 < 0.01 \)), and there was a significant interaction between CO\(_2\) and fertilizer-N levels (\( p = 0.019 < 0.05 \)), a significant interaction between \( T \) and fertilizer-N levels under ambient CO\(_2\) (\( p = 0.008 < 0.01 \); Table 7), and a marked interaction between transgenic treatment and the combination of CO\(_2\) and \( T \) levels (\( p = 0.081 < 0.10 \); Table 7). Compared with CK, eCO\(_2\) significantly enhanced the population dynamics of \( N. \) lugens fed on Bt and non-Bt rice under high-N fertilizer (\( p < 0.05 \); Figure 7C,D). Compared with CK, the Combined treatment significantly decreased the population dynamics of \( N. \) lugens fed on non-Bt rice under low-N fertilizer (\( p < 0.05 \); Figure 7A). Moreover, compared with low-N fertilizer, high-N fertilizer significantly enhanced the population abundance of \( N. \) lugens fed on non-Bt rice grown under eTemp treatment (\( p < 0.05 \); Figure 7A,C). Furthermore, compared with non-Bt rice, Bt rice significantly reduced the population abundance of \( N. \) lugens under eCO\(_2\) regardless of the N level (\( p < 0.05 \); Figure 7C,D).
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3. Discussion

In accordance with many published literature, elevated CO₂ significantly reduced the content of nitrogen and increased the C:N ratios in plants [30–36]. In this study, high temperature (T) had an opposite effect of elevated CO₂ on the leaf nitrogen content (N%). When compared with the CK treatment (i.e., ambient CO₂ and low T), the N% and C:N ratio of Bt rice and non-Bt rice were not

Table 7. df, F-values and p values derived from the three-way ANOVAs on population dynamics of Nilaparvata lugens fed on transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63) grown under various CO₂ and temperature (T) combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers from Sept 10 to Oct 15 in 2016 (n = 3).

| Factors       | eCO₂       | eTemp      | Combined   |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Bt            | 1, 9.36, 0.004** | 1, 2.13, 0.152 | 1, 0.34, 0.577 |
| N             | 1, 0.09, 0.772  | 1, 0.15, 0.705  | 1, 0.44, 0.510  |
| eTemp         | -          | 1, 1.13, 0.292  | -          |
| eCO₂          | 1, 0.26, 0.613  | -          | -          |
| Combined      | -          | -          | 1, 0.01, 0.951  |
| Bt × N        | 1, 0.04, 0.851  | 1, 0.18, 0.68  | 1, 0.23, 0.642  |
| Bt × eTemp    | -          | 1, 2.22, 0.14  | -          |
| N × eTemp     | -          | -          | -          |
| Bt × eCO₂     | -          | -          | -          |
| N × eCO₂      | -          | -          | -          |
| Bt × Combined | -          | -          | 1, 3.21, 0.081  |
| N × Combined  | -          | -          | 1, 1.56, 0.227  |
| Bt × N × eTemp| -          | 1, 0.05, 0.826  | -          |
| Bt × N × eCO₂ | 1, 0.13, 0.723  | -          | -          |
| Bt × N × Combined | -          | -          | 1, 0.11, 0.750  |

Figure 7. Population dynamics of brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens fed on transgenic Bt rice (cv. HH1) (B, D) and its parental isolate of non-Bt rice (cv. MH63) (A, C) grown under various CO₂ and temperature (T) combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers from 10 Sept to 15 October 2016. (Note: *, the lowercase (a, b) and uppercase letters (A, B) indicated significant difference in N% of Bt rice or non-Bt rice under eTemp, eCO2 or Combined treatment compared with CK at same fertilizer-N level, and between low-N and high-N for Bt rice or non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO₂ and T, and between Bt rice and non-Bt rice grown under same levels of CO₂, T and N fertilizer by the Turkey-test at p < 0.05, respectively).
significantly affected by the combined treatment of elevated CO$_2$ and high T, except for leaf sheath during heading stage. This may be because of high T offsetting the effect of elevated CO$_2$. Under elevated CO$_2$ condition, the reduced N% may be attributed to the following reasons. First, some main carbon substances, such as starch, sugars and total nonstructural carbohydrates increased for plants grown under elevated CO$_2$, which may result in a dilution effect and lower demand of nitrogen for plant growth [27]. Second, elevated CO$_2$ reduced stomatal conductance of plants, which may result in weakened transpiration [37]. The transpiration offers the power for plants to transporting nitrogen from the root to the leaf sheath or the leaf [38], the weakened transpiration causes lower nitrogen content due to the difficulty in transporting nitrogen from root to leaf [39]. In addition, elevated CO$_2$ can also increase the leaf temperature approximately 1–1.5 °C [40,41]. Moreover, Polley et al. reported that high temperature increased evapotranspiration per unit of leaf area under all CO$_2$ levels [42]. On the basis of summarizing a large number of results, Drake et al. found that elevated CO$_2$ enhanced photosynthesis via increased Rubisco specificity for CO$_2$ relative to O$_2$, and the Rubisco specificity reduced as temperature increased, which implied there was an interaction effect among CO$_2$, temperature and Rubisco activity [38]. In this study, the combined effects of elevated CO$_2$ (even though its negative effect on N% and positive effect on C:N ratio) and high T (although its positive effect on N% and negative effect on C:N ratio) on N% and C:N ratio in leaf and leaf sheath of Bt rice and non-Bt rice were not significant regardless of low- and high-N fertilizer.

The ecological risks of elevated CO$_2$ and temperature on Bt plants have received increasing attention since the worldwide adoption of Bt crops. In the current study, Bt rice grown in elevated CO$_2$ produced lower level of Bt toxin than that grown under ambient CO$_2$. The results were consistent with the previous studies that report the expression of exogenous-Bt toxin protein decreased under the elevated CO$_2$ condition [21,43,44]. Bt rice grown at high temperature produces higher levels of Bt toxin than those plants grown at low temperature. The similar result were reported by Wu et al., who considered the expression of cry1Ab greatly influenced by temperature [45]. However, the combined treatment of elevated CO$_2$ and high T only significantly increased the Bt-toxin content of Bt rice during the tillering stage.

General decreases in Bt-toxin and nitrogen contents and marked increases in C:N ratio have been found in Bt plants under elevated CO$_2$ [46]. The N fertilizer was regarded as an attractive strategy to improve the C:N balance and the Bt toxin content in transgenic plants under elevated CO$_2$ [47]. Our study indicated the high-N fertilizer increased the Bt toxin content of Bt rice when compared with low-N. In addition, there was no consistent relationship between the Bt-toxin content and N% or C:N ratio in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice grown under various CO$_2$ and T combination with N-fertilizer supply, except for a significantly positive correlation between Bt-toxin content and N%, and a significantly negative correlation between Bt-toxin content and C:N ratio in leaf sheath of Bt rice grown with low-N fertilizer at high T and under ambient CO$_2$ during the tillering stage.

In the current study, the abundance of $N$. lugens fed on Bt rice was significantly lower than that fed on non-Bt rice. Similarly, surveys of experimental fields have indicated that $N$. lugens migrate from Bt rice fields to adjacent non-Bt rice fields, resulting in low densities in Bt rice [11,48,49]. Compared with ambient CO$_2$ at low T, elevated CO$_2$ significantly enhanced the population dynamics of $N$. lugens fed on Bt rice and non-Bt rice under high-N fertilizer. The results indicated that the rising of the atmosphere CO$_2$ could increase the risk of the $N$. lugens outbreaks. Similarly, Bezemer et al. found that the long-term exposure to elevated CO$_2$ increased the abundance of Myzus persicae [50]. Massad and Dyer also found that elevated CO$_2$ increased herbivory on the basis of a meta-analysis [28]. However, the insects vary in their responses to plants grown in elevated CO$_2$. Hughes and Bazzaz found that the responses of aphid populations to elevated CO$_2$ was species-specific with Myzus persicae increased, Acrystashion pisum decreased, and the other species (Aphis nerii, Aphis oenotherae and Aulacorthum solani) unaffected [51]. At high temperature, the abundance of $N$. lugens fed on non-Bt rice were significantly increased under high-N fertilizer. One possible explanation for the increase is that high temperature improved N% and reduced the C:N ratio, carried out the role of nitrogen, which modified the plant nutrition and reduced
resistance of non-Bt rice against *N. lugens* [52,53]. Additionally, Wan et al. reported that different planthopper species (*N. lugens*, *Laodelphax striatellus* and *Sogatella fucifera*) displayed species-specific responses to elevated CO\(_2\) and temperature [12]. Moreover, the negative effect of elevated CO\(_2\) and the positive effect of high temperature on insect performance and plant quality resulted in the combined interaction of elevated (CO\(_2\)) and high temperature that did not significantly influence the population dynamics of *N. lugens*.

Under future predicted climate changes, it is particularly important to understand the direct and indirect effects of multiple environmental factors on insect herbivores. In the current study, the combined treatment which incorporated the positive effect of high temperature and negative effect of elevated CO\(_2\) together, to some extent, did not influence the N%, C:N ratio of Bt and non-Bt rice or Bt toxin production of Bt rice. Similarly, the abundance of *N. lugens* was not affected by the Combined treatment of elevated CO\(_2\) and high temperature. Our findings show that the plant of Bt rice expressing *cry1Ab/1Ac* would not trigger *N. lugens* outbreak under the higher temperature and higher concentrations of carbon dioxide in the future. Hence, we conclude that the plant of Bt rice will not only control the target lepidopteran pest effectively, but it can also control the abundance of non-target planthopper.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Open-Top Chambers

The experiment was conducted in 12 open-top chambers (ab., OTCs) in Ningjin County, Shandong Province of China (37°38′ 30.7′′ N, 116°51′ 11.0′′ E). The OTC is 2.5 m in height × 3.2 m in diameter. The open top chamber wall is composed of transparent glass, and the top of the chamber is composed of mesh. Two levels of CO\(_2\) concentration, ambient level (i.e., 375 µL/L) and elevated level (i.e., 650 µL/L, representing the predicted level in about 100 years) were continuously applied. In addition, two temperature (ab., T) levels, low temperature (i.e., ambient; ab., Low-T) and high temperature (i.e., ambient + 0.6 °C; ab., High-T) were applied continuously from July 10 to October 30 in 2016. These 12 OTCs were divided into four CO\(_2\) × T treatments, i.e., CK (ambient CO\(_2\) and Low-T), eTemp (ambient CO\(_2\) and High-T), eCO\(_2\) (elevated CO\(_2\) and Low-T) and Combined treatment (elevated CO\(_2\) and High-T). Three OTCs were used for each CO\(_2\) × T treatment.

During the experiment, the CO\(_2\) was continuously provided with tank CO\(_2\) gas (99% purity) and adjusted by using an infrared CO\(_2\) analyzer (Ventostat 8102; Telaire Company, Goleta, CA, USA), and temperature levels were monitored continuously by using an automatic temperature analysis system (U23-001, HOBO Pro V2 Temp/RH Data Logger; MicroDAQ.com, Ltd, Contoocook, NH, USA). Moreover, each OTC was divided into four similar units by plastic netting (mesh size: 0.15 mm × 0.15 mm) to prevent brown planthoppers escaping and mixing.

4.2. Rice Cultivars

The Bt rice expressing *Cry1Ab/1Ac* genes (cv., Huahui-1; ab., HH1) and its corresponding parental isolate, Minghui 63 (ab., MH63) were used in this experiment. The seeds of the above two rice cultivars were provided by Prof. Yongjun Lin from Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei Province of China. The rice seeds were cultivated in blue plastic bucket (26 cm in diameter × 35 cm in height) filled with moisture soil in 23 June 2016. The soil was then sampled and triturated to analyze its chemical composition (Institute of Soil Science and Chinese Academy of Science). Soil pH was 7.3, organic matter 12.3%, available N 215.2 mg/kg (hydrolyic N, 1 m NaOH hydrolysis), available P 145.8 mg/kg (0.5 m NaHCO\(_3\) extraction) and available K 105.9 mg/kg (1 m CH\(_3\)COONH\(_4\) extraction).

Two units in each OTC were used for Bt rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isolate (cv. MH63) grown with low-N (i.e., 75 kg/hm\(^2\)) and high-N (i.e., 300 kg/hm\(^2\)) fertilizers, respectively. Five buckets of per rice cultivar for each N fertilizer treatment were placed randomly in each OTC. According to the field planting density, five strains of rice were confirmed in each bucket when the seedlings were in the
three-leaf stage. The nitrogen fertilizer was supplied with the addition of carbamide (analytical grade), which was divided into three parts, including of seedling, tillering, and heading fertilizers with the ratio of 4:3:3. These buckets were watered regularly to ensure sufficient moisture and no pesticide was used throughout the experiment. Moreover, the tiller number was recorded on the heading stage. In addition, the rice samples (leaf and leaf sheath) were got during the tillering stage and the heading stage respectively to analyze the foliar contents of nitrogen, carbon, and Bt toxin protein.

4.3. Rice Planthopper

The brown planthopper, *N. lugens* were collected from the Jiangpu paddyfield in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province of China on 1 July 2015, and were continuously reared on the susceptible rice cultivar TN1 (provided by the International Rice Research Institute, Philippines) in greenhouses (Photoperiod: 16L:8D; Temperature: 26.0 ± 1.0 °C; RH: 90 ± 10%) until used for inoculation treatments on 26 August 2016.

4.4. Rice Nitrogen, Carbon, and Bt Toxin Content

The foliar contents of nitrogen and carbon was assayed by using the CNH analyzer (Model: ANCA-nt, Europa Elemental Instruments, Hanau, Germany) of the Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province of China. Moreover, the foliar content of Bt toxin protein were measured in our laboratory by using a commercially-available ELISAs (Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA).

4.5. Insect Inoculation and Population Abundance

On 26 August, ten pairs of newly emerged (<24 h after emergence) adults of macropterous females and males were randomly collected from the rice planthopper stocks for inoculation in each bucket of Bt rice (cv. HH1) and its parental isoline (cv. MH63) in each OTC, respectively. After 15 days of continuous rearing, three buckets of each N-fertilizer treatment for each rice cultivar were randomly selected from each OTC, and the nymphs and adults of *N. lugens* were counted every 7 days from September 10 to October 15. Population abundances were converted to the numbers of *N. lugens* per 100 stems.

4.6. Data Analysis

All data were analyzed by using the statistical software SPSS 19.0 (2015, SPSS Institute, Chicago, IL, USA). In order to make clear the respective effects of CO₂ and T levels, and their combination effects on N% and C:N ratio in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt and non-Bt rice grown with low- and high-N fertilizer during the tillering and heading stages, three-way analysis of variances (ab., ANOVAs) were used to analyze the effects of CO₂ (elevated CO₂ versus ambient CO₂) or T (high T versus low T) or their combination (elevated CO₂ and high T versus ambient CO₂ and low T), fertilizer-N level (High-N versus Low-N), transgenic treatment (Bt rice versus non-Bt rice) and their bi- and tri-interactions on the measured nutrients’ indexes of Bt and non-Bt rice during the sampling period, respectively. Moreover, two-way ANOVAs were also used to analyze the respective effects of CO₂ / T level or their combination, fertilizer-N level, and their interactions on Bt-toxin content in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages, respectively. Furthermore, the Pearson’s correlation analysis was used to analyze the significant difference between the foliar N% and C:N ratio and Bt toxin production in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice grown with low- and high-N fertilizer during the tillering and heading stages. Moreover, in order to make clear the respective effects of CO₂ and T levels, and their combination effects on the population dynamics of *N. lugens* fed on Bt and non-Bt rice grown with low- and high-N fertilizer from 10 Sept to 15 Oct in 2016, three-way repeated-measures ANOVAs (every 7 days sampling dates as the repeated measures) were also used to analyze the effects of CO₂ / T level or their combination, fertilizer-N level, transgenic treatment, and their bi- and tri-interactions on the population abundances of *N. lugens* during the sampling period. Furthermore, the Pearson analysis
was further used to analyze the relationships between Bt-toxin content and N% or C:N ratios in leaf and leaf sheath of Bt rice during the tillering and heading stages under low- and high-N fertilizer, respectively. And means of different treatments were separated by using the Tukey test to examine significant difference at $p < 0.05$. Abundance data were log transformed to normalize prior to analysis.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6651/11/5/261/s1, Table S1: Pearson correlation analysis of Bt-toxin content (ng/mg) with N% and C:N ratio in leaf sheath and leaf of Bt rice (cv. HH1) grown under various CO$_2$ and temperature (T) combinations with low- and high-N fertilizer in open-top chambers ($R^2$ values)
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