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Abstract
Polymorphisms in TMEM106B, a gene on chromosome 7p21.3 involved in lysosomal trafficking, correlates to worse neuropathological, and clinical outcomes in frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) with TDP-43 inclusions. In a small cohort of C9orf72 expansion carriers, we previously found an atypical, neuroglial tauopathy in cases harboring a TMEM106B rs1990622 A/A genotype. To test whether TMEM106B genotype affects the risk of developing atypical tauopathy under a recessive genotype model (presence versus absence of two major alleles: A/A vs. A/G and G/G).
We characterized the atypical tauopathy neuropathologically and determined its frequency by $TMEM106B$ rs1990622 genotypes in 90 postmortem cases with a primary diagnosis of FTLD/ALS-TDP [mean age at death 65.5 years (±8.1), 40% female]. We investigated the effect of this new atypical tauopathy on demographics and clinical and neuropsychological metrics. We also genotyped $TMEM106B$ in an independent series with phenotypically similar cases. Sixteen cases (16/90, 17.7%) showed the temporal-predominant neuro-astroglial tauopathy, and 93.7% of them carried an A/A genotype (vs. ~35% in a population cohort). The odds ratio of FTLD/ALS-TDP individuals with the A/A genotype showing neuro-astroglial tauopathy was 13.9. Individuals with this tauopathy were older at onset ($p = 0.01$). The validation cohort had a similarly high proportion of rs1990622 A/A genotype. TDP-43 and tau changes co-occur in a subset of neurons. Our data add to the growing body of evidence that $TMEM106B$ polymorphisms may modulate neurodegeneration. A distinctive medial temporal predominant, 4-repeat, neuro-astroglial tauopathy strongly correlates to $TMEM106B$ A/A genotype in FTLD/ALS-TDP cases.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

TAR DNA binding protein 43 (TDP-43) is the major pathological protein in frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (1–3). FTLD/ALS-TDP can be sporadic or hereditary, the latter most commonly due to C9orf72 expansion and TARDBP or progranulin (GRN) mutations.

As a group, FTLD-TDP encompasses at least four distinct neuropathological subtypes (A-D) featuring distinct patterns of cell vulnerability, topographical distribution, and inclusion types. For instance, type C is frequently associated with prominent degeneration in anterior temporal regions and often manifests as a semantic variant primary progressive aphasia. In contrast, type B encompasses most cases developing motor neuron disease. Notably, age at clinical onset and clinical phenotypes vary within the same FTLD-TDP subtype. The clinical heterogeneity is striking even in kindreds carrying the same autosomal dominant mutations. Affected individuals within the same C9orf72 kindred may manifest behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), primary progressive aphasia (PPA), and even parkinsonism or a psychiatric syndrome (4).

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and mechanistic studies have suggested that the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs1020004, rs6966915, and rs1990622 in *transmembrane protein 106B* ($TMEM106B$), a gene located on chromosome 7p21.3, may modify the clinical phenotype and pathological burden of FTLD/ALS-TDP cases (5–10). For instance, GRN mutation carriers and, to a lesser extent, C9orf72 expansion carriers harboring $TMEM106B$ rs1990622 risk genotype (A/A) are more likely to develop worse clinical symptoms and manifest the disease at an earlier age (11–13). Also, rs1990622 A/A genotype is associated with a higher risk of developing limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy (LATE) (14) and associated with hippocampal sclerosis of aging (15) in individuals lacking FTLD pathology (13,16). Finally, FTLD patients with a CHMP2B mutation and $TMEM106B$ rs1990622 A/A genotype tend to present a more severe clinical presentation (17,18). Although the mechanisms underlying the interaction between $TMEM106B$ polymorphisms and TDP-43 proteinopathy remain elusive, some studies suggest that overexpression of $TMEM106B$ mRNA lead to a failure of the lysosomal system (17,19) and, in turn, impacts lysosomal-dependent mechanisms (20,21) that are essential to degrade and clear abnormal proteins involved in neurodegeneration.

In a previous clinicopathological study of 17 individuals with C9orf72 expansions (4), we incidentally found an atypical pattern of phosphorylated tau (p-tau Ser 202) deposits featuring granular neuronal cytoplasmic, diffuse granular immunopositivity in astrocytic processes, grains and patchy accumulation of thin threads, more prominent in limbic and paralimbic areas in two of the
cases. Although this tauopathy partially resembled argyrophilic grain disease (AGD) (22), or aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG) (23), because of its granular aspect or presence of fuzzy astrocytes, respectively, the distribution and overall morphological features were atypical for AGD and ARTAG. A literature search detected that the atypical tauopathy we observed most closely resembled the atypical tauopathy described by Kovacs and colleagues in 2011, a series of seven cases (24). A TMEM106B rs1990622 A/A genotype emerged as the only difference we could identify between the two cases with the atypical tauopathy and the other 15 cases in our C9orf72 series.

Here, to test the hypothesis that this distinctive tauopathy is associated with TMEM106B rs1990622 A/A genotype, we analyzed an extended cohort of 90 cases with a primary diagnosis of FTLD-TDP or ALS-TDP, regardless of the C9orf72 status from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Memory and Aging Center (MAC) Neurodegenerative Disease Brain Bank (NDBB). Next, we aimed to validate the results in the independent cohort described by Kovacs and colleagues (24). Finally, we sought to identify the targeted atypical tauopathy correlates by performing an in-depth clinical, neuropsychological, and genetic analysis of the NDBB/UCSF cohort.

2 | SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Consent for brain donation was obtained from all subjects or their surrogates following the Declaration of Helsinki, and the UCSF Committee on Human Research approved the donations. This study had an exploratory and a replication stage. For the exploratory stage, postmortem human brain tissue was obtained from the NDBB. For the replication stage, postmortem human brain tissue was sourced by Dr. Gabor Kovacs (24). All participants or their surrogates provided written consent to participate in research.

2.1 | UCSF participants (exploratory stage)

Cases were selected based on neuropathological diagnoses and the availability of genetic analysis (Figure 1). The NDBB collected 502 cases between 2007 and 2018, of which 461 had TMEM106B rs1990622 genotyping available. Inclusion criteria were: at least one research visit at the UCSF MAC, complete neuropathological assessment, availability of archival postmortem brain tissue for further analysis, genetic screening (see below), and TMEM106B rs1990622 genotyping.

![Figure 1: Case ascertainment by the neuropathological group. All cases were sourced by the UCSF Neurodegenerative Disease Brain Bank. AD, Alzheimer's disease; AGD, argyrophilic grain disease; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; FTLD, frontotemporal lobar degeneration; MND, motor neuron disease; FUS, Fused in Sarcoma protein; TAT, temporal-predominant neuro-astroglial tauopathy; TDP, TAR DNA binding protein.](wileyonlinelibrary.com)
2.2 | Clinical assessment at the UCSF MAC

Clinical assessments of all patients at the UCSF MAC included a complete clinical history, physical examination, neuropsychological evaluation, and structural MRI brain imaging. Data collected during visits were analyzed for clinical diagnosis and include demographic features, the age of symptom onset, first disease symptom, cognitive, behavioral, and motor symptoms developed throughout the disease, and neurological examination findings. Clinical narratives were supplemented by standardized, prospectively applied research instruments (25). The clinical diagnosis for each patient was rendered at a multidisciplinary consensus meeting individually based on the reviewing clinical history, physical examination, neuropsychological evaluation, and structural MRI brain imaging (26,27). As the working diagnosis for a participant sometimes changed in the face of new information over subsequent visits, we use the last diagnosis rendered before death in the present study. Upon death, all participants underwent an extensive dementia-oriented postmortem assessment. Clinical diagnoses of bvFTD were made according to the prevailing international consensus criteria at the time of clinical evaluation (2,3). Clinical diagnosis of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) was made according to El Escorial criteria (28). The presence of motor neuron disease (MND) in patients with bvFTD was assessed by a neurologist based on the neurological examination, usually supported by electrodiagnostic testing.

2.3 | Genetics

DNA was extracted from blood using standard protocols. Screening for (likely) pathogenic variants in the most common causative genes for Mendelian forms of FTD and Alzheimer's disease (MAPT, C9orf72, GRN, TARDBP, FUS, PSEN1, PSEN2, and APP) was performed as previously described (29). Genotypes for TMEM106B rs1990622 were obtained using a commercially available Taqman SNP assay (C__11171598_20).

2.4 | General neuropathological assessment at the UCSF/MAC

Twenty-six standard tissue blocks covering dementia-related regions of interest were dissected from the fixed slabs, and basic and immunohistochemical stains were applied following standard diagnostic procedures developed for patients with dementia as previously described. Immunohistochemistry was performed using antibodies against TDP-43 (rabbit, 1:2000, Proteintech Group), hyperphosphorylated tau (CP-13, S202, mouse, 1:250, courtesy of P. Davies), β-amyloid (1-16, mouse, clone DE2, 1:500, Millipore), alpha-synuclein (LB509, mouse, 1:5000, courtesy of J. Trojanowski and V. Lee), FUS (HPA008784, rabbit, 1:1500, Millipore). Moreover, subjects with a primary tauopathy also underwent immunohistochemistry against 3-repeat and 4-repeat tau species (RD3 and RD4, mouse, 1:250, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) to determine AD-related Braak and Braak staging (30) or phenotyping non-conforming/atypical tauopathies. All immunohistochemical runs included positive control sections to exclude technical factors as a cause of absent immunoreactivity. Neuropathological diagnosis followed currently accepted guidelines (31,32).

The primary neuropathological diagnosis classified participants into FTLD major molecular classes (FTLD-tau, FTLD-TDP-43, or FTLD-FUS) and subtypes (33,34), ALS (28), Alzheimer's disease (32), Lewy body disease (35), chronic traumatic encephalopathy (36), argyrophilic grain disease (22), or healthy controls (Figure 1).

FTLD-TDP cases were subtyped into five categories. FTLD-TDP type A features neuronal cytoplasmic inclusions (NCIs) and threads concentrated in the external cortical layers (Figure S1A). In contrast, type B shows more granular NCIs distributed throughout all cortical layers (Figure S1B). Type C displays long dystrophic neurites in the most affected areas with NCIs restricted to the ventral striatum (Figure S1C). Finally, type D features neuronal nuclear inclusions and manifest in patients with valosin-containing protein (VCP) mutation (23,33). When the FTLD-TDP findings did not fit into a defined (A–D) subtype, we adopted the term "unclassifiable," usually in cases associated with a C9orf72 expansion or in cases with too few inclusions (FTLD-TDP-U). Furthermore, we used the term “unspecified FTLD-tau” in cases of non-familial FTLD-tau failing to fit a defined subtype (31).

Co-pathologies were noted, including Alzheimer's disease neuropathological changes (ADNC) (32), cerebrovascular disease, Lewy body disease (35), cerebral amyloid angiopathy, hippocampal sclerosis, argyrophilic grain disease (AGD) (22), Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy (LATE-NC) (14).

2.5 | Project-specific neuropathological analysis

Initially, we anticipated including all 461 NBDD cases with TMEM106B genotyping in the full neuropathological study. However, it proved challenging to identify our targeted atypical tauopathy in the context of late stages of AD or classical primary tauopathies as there is no specific antibody differentiating tau inclusions from different tauopathies. Also, we excluded FTLD-FUS and Lewy body disease from the full analysis because of small sample sizes. Therefore, we screened the following groups for the atypical tauopathy: FTLD-TDP or ALS-TDP (n = 90) and widespread AGD (n = 7). We included
an AGD group to test how precisely we could distinguish our targeted atypical tauopathy from AGD based on immunohistochemistry for p-tau. Although showing a different topographical distribution (the atypical tauopathy is more prominent in the inferior temporal gyrus, relatively sparing the hippocampus, especially CA2), both display a prominent p-tau-positive granular background.

We adopted the description by Kovacs and colleagues to identify our targeted atypical tauopathy, which includes neuronal and astroglial tau cytoplasmic inclusions and a tau-positive granular background (24). Most neuronal cytoplasmic inclusions should be diffuse with a perinuclear accentuation, and some resemble neurofibrillary tangles. The astrocytic processes should show a diffuse granular immunopositivity and patchy accumulation of thin threads not overlapping with amyloid-β deposition, reminiscent but less extensive than those seen in corticobasal degeneration, and variable perinuclear accentuation reminiscent also of granular/fuzzy astrocytes in gray matter ARTAG. Oligodendroglial coiled bodies should be scarce (Figure 2). Two experienced observers (LTG and EJK) blinded to the clinical and neuropathological diagnosis determined the presence of the atypical tauopathy in consensus. For cases screened as positive for the atypical tauopathy (n = 16, Table S1), additional slides of the same three blocks were immunostained for RD3 (specific for 3-repeat tau, mouse, 1:250, Millipore), RD4 (specific for 4-repeat tau, mouse, 1:250, Millipore), hyperphosphorylated tau (AT100, Thr212, Ser214, MN1060, mouse, 1:800 Thermo Fisher), hyperphosphorylated tau (T231, Thr231, rabbit, ab151559, 1:800, Abcam), T18 (specific for tau oligomers, rabbit, 1:1000, courtesy of R. Kayed), Tau C3 (specific for truncated tau at D421, mouse, 1:500, Invitrogen), and acetylated tau (K274, acetyl-Lys274-tau, 1:500, rabbit, gift from L. Gan (37)). AGD lacks acetyl-Lys274-tau inclusions (37). We also reviewed additional histological sections (anterior cingulate cortex, middle frontal gyrus, angular gyrus, precentral gyrus, and striate cortex) immunostained for CP-13 to determine the topographical extent of the atypical tauopathy. Before staining, all sections were coded for blinded rating. Positive (AD cases) and negative controls (replacing primary antibody for PBS) were run for all immunoreactions.

To determine whether TDP-43 (rabbit, 1:2000, Proteintech Group, Chicago, IL, USA) and hyperphosphorylated tau (CP-13, S202, mouse, 1:250, courtesy of P. Davies) inclusions co-occur in the same neurons, we immunostained cases representative of FTLD-TDP type B, ALS-TDP, and FTLD-TDP type C using double-labeled immunofluorescence protocols in a Discovery Ventana Ultra autostainer. Our antibody choice labels both physiological and pathological TDP-43, thus enabling visualizing if neurons with p-tau changes show TDP-43 nuclear exclusion despite lacking TDP-43 cytoplasmic inclusions.

Finally, we immunostained inferior temporal gyrus sections against phospho-TDP (TAR-5P, rat, 1:500, courtesy of M. Neumann) to interrogate if phosphorylation pattern varied between cases positive or negative for comorbid neuro-astroglial tauopathy.

### 2.6 | Statistical analysis

Although only 97 (AGD = 7, FTLD/ALS-TDP = 90) out of 461 cases (21%) underwent screening for the targeted atypical tauopathy, all cases were used for correlation analyses.

First, using the whole sample (n = 461), we assessed if an association between the targeted atypical tauopathy and TMEM106B rs1990622 genotypes could be false due to a possible skewed distribution of samples genotyped for TMEM106B in the UCSF-NBDD cohort or subgroups. We compared the distribution of the rs1990622 genotypes between a population-based sample (38) and the NDBB cohort with available genetic information, and then between this same UCSF-NBDD cohort and neuropathological subgroups (Table 1).

For the subsequent analyses, we only included the 90 FTLD/ALS-TDP cases. Our working hypothesis was that rs1990622 genotypes would affect the risk of developing the target atypical tauopathy under a recessive genotype model (presence versus absence of two major alleles: A/A vs. A/G and G/G) in FTLD/ALS-TDP cases. We performed univariate analyses on all variables, means, and standard deviations to describe continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Data analysis included demographic features: age of symptom onset, clinical diagnosis, and age at death. The characteristics of the sample were compared by TMEM106B genotype using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and Chi-squared or Fisher's exact test for categorical data. Non-parametric analyses (Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal Wallis) were used when the sample size did not satisfy the requirements for parametric analyses. We then investigated the odds ratio of showing the targeted atypical tauopathy by TMEM106B genotype, using binary logistic regression models, adjusted for age of disease onset, sex, and disease duration. The model predicted the targeted atypical tauopathy by TMEM106B genotype alone and after adding important covariates.

Next, to probe a possible effect of the targeted atypical tauopathy on clinical and neuropsychological outcomes, we compared demographics, clinical and neuropathological characteristics, and neuropsychological performance (as described below) between cases positive and negative for the targeted atypical tauopathy.

### 2.7 | Neuropsychological testing

Normative data were calculated using an age-matched sample (mean age: 67.48, SD = 8.25, range: 39-89) of 295 cognitively normal controls (58.64% female) recruited at the
Neuropathological features of TMEM106B-associated neuro-astroglial tauopathy. Case #8, female, 69 years old. Serial histological sections from the inferior temporal gyrus immunostained for several antibodies against pathological tau. (A and A.1) Immunostaining against phospho-tau, Ser 202 (p-tau CP-13). (B and B.1) Immunostaining against phospho-tau, Thr212, Ser214 (p-tau AT100). (C and C.1) Immunostaining against phospho-tau, Thr231 (p-tau T231). (D and D.1) Immunostaining against oligomeric tau (oli-tau T18). Note neuronal and astroglia inclusions in a granular background. (E and E.1) Immunostaining against acetylated-tau (acetyl-Lys274-tau) shows neuronal and glial inclusions. Although less abundant, it shows both neuronal and glial inclusions. (F and F.1) Immunostaining against truncated tau (Tau C3, D421). No inclusion was detected, only unspecific staining. (G and G.1) Immunostaining against 4-repeat tau (RD4). (H and H.1) Immunostaining against 3-repeat tau (RD3). No inclusion was detected. D and D1) High-magnification examples of astroglial inclusions (I, L, N, P, R, T), neuronal inclusions (J, K, M, O, Q), and granular background (J, S) detected by several tau antibodies. Scale bars: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H: 500 µm; A.1, B.1, C.1, D.1, E.1, F.1, G.1, H.1: 20 µm; J–T: 5 µm [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
University of California, San Francisco’s (UCSF) Memory and Aging Center as part of the Hillblom Healthy Aging Study, a deeply phenotyped longitudinal cohort. Visits included neuropsychological and neurologic examination in addition to an MRI scan. The participants’ status as cognitively intact was confirmed via a consensus meeting that included a board-certified neuropsychologist and neurologist. To further ensure that our normative sample comprised clinically intact controls, we included only those with a stable Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR) of 0 (i.e., clinically normal) who also had a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) that was above 26 and that had not declined by more than 1 point across follow-up visits.

2.8 | Cognitive measures

Executive function was assessed using a backward digit span task, modified trail making test(24) Stroop Color-Word Interference (39,40), the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System(D-KEFS) Design Fluency (Filled Dots Condition) (39), and a lexical fluency task (number of words per minute that begin with a specific letter). Visuospatial function was assessed using the Benson figure copy (25). Episodic memory was measured using the 10-minute free recall of the Benson figure (25), and the short delay and long delay recall scores from a nine-item list-learning task, the short-form of the California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT-II) (25). Language tests included a 15-item version of the Boston Naming Test and animal fluency (41).

Composite scores were calculated for the domains of episodic memory, executive function, and language. Consistent with published methodology (42), scores for each test were first transformed to z-scores based on the means and standard deviations of the normative sample. Within each cognitive domain, z-scores were averaged across the subtests. Participants were required to have all episodic memory and language tests to create a composite score. Given the larger number of executive function tests, we required 3 of 5 measures to be present and took the average z-score of those completed tests. A visuospatial composite was not created because only one measure of interest was included.

The statistical software R (www.r-project.org) was used to conduct statistical analyses. An alpha level < 0.05 was considered statistically significant in two-tailed tests.

2.9 | Validation cohort

To investigate if our results would replicate in an independent cohort, we genotyped TMEM106B rs1990622 in a group of seven cases showing our targeted atypical tauopathy published by Kovacs and colleagues (24). Five out of the seven cases feature some degree of TDP-43 proteinopathy. We received DNA samples that underwent the same processing as the UCSF cases.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Neuropathological features of our targeted temporal-predominant neuro-astroglial tauopathy

All 16 cases (Table S1) identified as our targeted atypical tauopathy featured neuronal and astroglial tau cytoplasmic inclusions and a tau-positive granular background (Figure 2). Three antibodies against p-tau...
(CP-13, AT100, t231) labeled neuronal inclusions that were finely granular and diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm and proximal axonal segment. Astroglial inclusions mostly resembled granular or fuzzy astrocytes (GFA). However, immunostaining for p-tau also labeled a minority of other glial forms, either resembling astrocytic plaques or ramified astrocytes. Immunostaining for oligomeric tau also showed strong positivity in glia and neurons and highlighted threads and grains (Figure 2D,D.1,Q,R). Immunostaining for acetylated tau (ac-tau) showed less conspicuous but definitively positive inclusions in neurons, glia, and grains (Figure 2E,E.1,S,T). Finally, Tau C3 antibody against truncated tau D421 showed no pathology (Figure 2F). Figure 2F.1 illustrates unspecific staining around, especially around vessels (the immunoassay was overdeveloped to guarantee that pathological changes would be unveiled, if present). Across cases, the tauopathy burden was variable, but overall, it was higher in the inferior temporal gyrus, followed by the entorhinal cortex. In 2/3 of the cases, a low tauopathy burden was recognized in the hippocampal formation and amygdala. The targeted atypical tauopathy showed positivity for 4-repeat tau specific, but not to 3-repeat tau specific antibodies (Figure 2G,G.1,H,H.1).

### 3.2 | AGD vs. our targeted atypical tauopathy

In most cases, it was straightforward to distinguish AGD from our targeted atypical tauopathy since the former is more prominent in the hippocampal formation, and the latter usually featured a higher burden of lesions in the inferior temporal gyrus than in the hippocampal formation. The distinction between atypical tauopathy and AGD was more difficult in widespread AGD cases. Thus, we included in the screening seven cases with a primary diagnosis of widespread AGD and a low burden of AD neuropathologic changes. Blinded neuropathological analysis based on CP-13 (p-tau Ser 202) immunostaining was enough to differentiate all AGD cases from the FLTD/ALS-TDP plus atypical tauopathy cases. In a second step, we detected a strong positivity for acetyl-Lys274-tau in all atypical tauopathy cases (Figure 2). In contrast, AGD inclusions are negative for acetyl-Lys274-tau (37), reinforcing that despite some morphological and topographical overlapping, our targeted atypical tauopathy and widespread AGD can be differentiated.

Analysis of cases immunostained for TDP-43 and p-tau unveiled that a proportion of neurons harbors p-tau show TDP-43 nuclear exclusion and cytoplasmic inclusions (Figure 3A,B, and Figure S2). However, both neurons with TDP-43 inclusions but lacking p-tau and neurons with p-tau inclusions lacking TDP-43 changes were also found in the FLTD-TDP type B case. We failed to find neurons with TDP-43 nuclear exclusion in the ALS-TDP and FTLD-TDP-type C cases (Figure 3C,D, and Figure S2). We also failed to find obvious differences in the pattern of phospho-TDP staining between FTLD-TDP cases (types A, B, and C) positive and negative for the neuro-astroglial tauopathy (Figure S1).

### 3.3 | **TMEM106B** rs19906220 A/A genotype is enriched in FLTD/ALS-TDP cases with comorbid atypical tauopathy

The distribution of **TMEM106B** rs19906220 genotypes in the population-based cohort from the UK study (n = 5020) (38) (Table 1).

Next, we used the UCSF-NBDD cohort with **TMEM106B** genotyping as a reference to examine if different neuropathological subgroups showed a different distribution of rs19906220 genotypes. We used the following subgroups: #1-FTLD/ALS-TDP plus the targeted atypical tauopathy (n = 16); #2-FTLD/ALS-TDP lacking tauopathy (n = 74), #3-FTLD-tau lacking comorbid TDP-43 proteinopathy (n = 134); #4-FTLD-tau plus contributing/primary FTLD-TDP (43) (n = 10); #5-AD (n = 142) #6-widespread AGD (22,37), lacking any other co-primary or contributing neuropathological diagnosis (n = 7). We grouped ALS and FTLD cases because the number of ALS cases were too low for statistical analysis (Table S2). Compared to the rest of the NBDD cohort, cases with FLTD/ALS-TDP with the targeted atypical tauopathy were highly enriched for rs1990622 A/A genotype (37,38). No significant differences were found for any other group (Table 1).

### 3.4 | Within the FLTD/ALS-TDP group, the presence of the targeted atypical tauopathy was the only significant difference among **TMEM106B** rs19906220 genotypes

Among the 90 FTLD/ALS-TDP cases, 61.1% of cases (n = 55) were sporadic, whereas 25 patients had a 9orf72 repeat expansion, eight a GRN mutation, and two a pathogenic TARDBP variant (44). We examined possible demographic, clinical, and neuropathological differences among **TMEM106B** rs19906220 genotypes (A/A, A/G, G/G) in FLTD/ALS-TDP cases. Groups of cases with different genotypes (A/A, A/G, and G/G) had a similar age of onset, duration, and gender distribution. We also did not detect differences in the frequency of familial vs. sporadic cases, brain weight at death, clinical phenotype, or neuropathological subtype (Table 2). The only significant statistically significant difference was the presence of our targeted atypical tauopathy (p < 0.001). We noticed a trend for a higher frequency of hippocampal sclerosis in the A/A genotype group (Table 2).
Next, we investigated whether the rs1990622 A/A genotype is associated with a higher risk of developing our targeted atypical tauopathy in the FTLD/ALS-TDP sample (Table 3). Using binary logistic regression models predicting our targeted atypical tauopathy by A/A genotype, we found that, in our series, individuals with FTLD/ALS-TDP and an A/A genotype had an odds ratio (OR) of 13.9 (3.7-51.9 95% confidence interval (CI)) to develop the targeted atypical tauopathy. This association was even stronger after controlling for age of disease onset, sex, or specific mutations (C9orf72 or GRN), suggesting that this association is unlikely to reflect a demographic or another genetic factor commonly associated with FTLD/ALS-TDP.

3.5 | Cases positive for temporal-predominant neuro-astroglial tauopathy were older at symptoms onset

Neuro-astroglial tauopathy-positive and neuro-astroglial tauopathy-negative cases (all with FTLD/ALS-TDP) showed similar demographics, clinical phenotype, global clinical measures, and neuropsychological performance adjusted by CDR, except that those with comorbid neuro-astroglial tauopathy had a moderately older \(p = 0.01\) age at onset compared with tauopathy-negative FTLD/ALS-TDP cases (Table 4). There was a trend of a better global executive function performance in neuro-astroglial tauopathy-positive cases, but the analysis lacks the power to draw definitive conclusions \(p = 0.06\).

3.6 | TMEM106B rs1990622 A/A genotype is also enriched in the validation cohort

We genotyped TMEM106B at rs1990622 in the seven cases described by Kovacs and colleagues that featured an atypical tauopathy, with similar features to the ones we used to identify neuro-astroglial tauopathy in our cohort (24). The mean age at death was 83.8 years (Male = 3, Female = 4). Five of the cases had some degree of TDP-43 proteinopathy. Although these cases lacked a comprehensive clinical history, clinical presentations combined dementia with psychiatric symptoms and/or parkinsonism. Six out of the seven cases had DNA
available for genotyping, and, of those, five carried the A/A genotype (Table 5). Of the two cases lacking TDP-43 proteinopathy, one had the rs1990622 A/G genotype, and the other was a carrier of a non-pathogenic MAPT N255N variant.

### DISCUSSION

We combined clinical, pathological, and genetic evidence to explore the relationship between TMEM106B polymorphisms (rs1990622) and the presence of a

| Demographics | A/A | A/G | G/G | p |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|---|
| n = 38 | n = 39 | n = 13 |
| Age at onset, mean (SD) in years⁷ | 58.9 (7.5) | 54.8 (11.6) | 54.7 (13.3) | 0.92 |
| Disease duration, mean (SD) in years⁷ | 6.9 (3.9) | 10.0 (6.4) | 7.8 (5.2) | 0.11 |
| Female sex, n (%)⁶ | 19 (52.8) | 12 (33.3) | 5 (13.9) | 0.22 |
| Brain weight (g), mean (SD) | 1160 (198) | 1161 (190) | 1116 (122) | 0.27 |

### Genotypes

| C9orf72, n (%)⁶ | 7 (28.0) | 13 (52.0) | 5 (20.0) | 0.22 |
| GRN, n (%)⁷ | 5 (62.5) | 3 (37.5) | – | 0.42 |
| TARDBP, n (%) | 1 (50.0) | 1 (50.0) | – | – |
| % Sporadic, n (%)⁶ | 25 (45.5) | 22 (40.0) | 8 (14.5) | 0.69 |

### Clinical groups⁵ No. (%) | 0.22 |
| AD type dementia | 0 | 0 | 1 (100) | – |
| bvFTD | 12 (52.2) | 9 (39.1) | 2 (8.7) | 0.12 |
| bvFTD-MND or ALS | 14 (41.2) | 16 (47.1) | 4 (11.7) | 0.31 |
| CBS/PSPS | 3 (100) | 0 | 0 | – |
| nfPPA | 2 (50.0) | 1 (25.0) | 1 (25.0) | 0.25 |
| svPPA | 7 (28.0) | 13 (52.0) | 5 (20.0) | 0.32 |

### Neuropathological diagnosis⁵ No. (%) | 0.09 |
| FTLD-TDP; +/- MND (C9orf72) | 6 (26.1) | 12 (52.2) | 5 (21.7) | 0.18 |
| FTLD-TDP, type A, (C9orf72) | 1 (50.0) | 1 (50.0) | – | – |
| FTLD-TDP; +/- MND (TARDBP) | 1 (50.0) | 1 (50.0) | – | – |
| FTLD-TDP, type A (GRN) | 5 (37.5) | 3 (62.5) | – | 0.42 |
| FTLD-TDP, type A, sporadic | 7 (87.5) | – | 1 (12.5) | – |
| FTLD-TDP; +/- MND, type B or ALS-TDP, sporadic | 12 (48.0) | 11 (44.0) | 2 (8.0) | 0.46 |
| FTLD-TDP, type C, sporadic | 6 (27.2) | 11 (50.0) | 5 (22.7) | 0.18 |
| Hippocampal sclerosis as co-pathology⁶ | 11 (64.7) | 4 (23.5) | 2 (11.8) | 0.06 |

Abbreviations: ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; bvFTD, behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; CBS/PSPS, corticobasal syndrome/progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome; C9orf72, chromosome 9 open reading frame 72; GRN, Progranulin; FTLD, frontotemporal lobar degeneration; MND, motor neuron disease (motor neuron-related signs referred to the presence of 1 or more of reduced muscle power, muscle atrophy, and fasciculations); nfPPA, non-fluent Primary Progressive Aphasia; svPPA, semantic variant Primary Progressive Aphasia.

⁵Includes FTLD/ALS-TDP cases with and without TAT; TARDBP, 43-kDa transactive response (TAR)-DNA-binding protein.

⁶One-way ANOVA.

⁷Fisher’s exact test.

⁸Presence of hippocampal sclerosis as co-pathology. Therefore numbers are not mutually exclusive with previous primary pathological diagnostic categories.
Temporal-predominant atypical neuro-astroglial tauopathy in subjects with FLTD/ALS-TDP as the primary diagnosis. We found that homozygosity for rs1990622 major allele A is associated with a high odds for developing this neuro-astroglial tauopathy in individuals with FLTD/ALS-TDP, regardless of clinical phenotype or heritable versus sporadic etiology. Our findings were replicated in an independent sample (24). In the replication series, five out of six cases featuring an atypical tauopathy, which features modeled the criteria we adopted to identify neuro-astroglial tauopathy in our cohort, carried the TMEM106B rs1990622 A/A genotype.

In our FLTD/ALS series, over 90% of neuro-astroglial tauopathy-positive cases carried the TMEM106B rs1990622 A/A genotype. FLTD/ALS-TDP cases with the A/A genotype were 13 times more likely to show neuro-astroglial tauopathy than those with an A/G or G/G genotype. In contrast, the TMEM106B rs1990622 genotype distribution for all the other neuropathological groups (AD, FTLD-tau, or AGD) tested was similar to a normal population distribution (38).

TMEM106B polymorphisms represent a risk factor for FLTD (45,46), and its association with worse pathological and clinical outcomes seems to be more robust in GRN mutation carriers. Some studies suggest that TMEM106B polymorphisms increase the odds of TDP-43 pathology in older individuals without FTLD (13). TMEM106B has been implicated in modulating degenerative changes regardless of the disease pathology (47), and TMEM106B and APOE interact to increase the risk of developing late-onset AD and hippocampal sclerosis (48–50). Also, the TMEM106B rs1990622 minor allele G, deemed to be a protective allele, is associated with a lower burden of p-tau in individuals with CTE (51). However, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have reported an association between TMEM106B polymorphism and increased odds for a specific tauopathy, especially with an odds ratio of 3.13 after multivariate analysis. Actually, except for AD and AGD, a primary FLTD-TDP rarely co-occurs with a primary tauopathy (52,53), and, to date, such overlap has not been linked to a specific polymorphism.

Several factors may have contributed to our findings. First, TMEM106B is a type-II glycoprotein localized to late endosomes/lysosomes, and it plays a role in the maintenance of lysosomal storage, morphology, and function. Its overexpression causes enlarged lysosomes, which delays the degradation of endocytic cargo (45), suggesting a pathway to modify FLTD-TDP pathophysiology (54,55). Some studies have shown that TMEM106B may control the dendritic trafficking of lysosomes and functionally interact with microtubule-associated protein 6 (MAP6) (54). Furthermore, TMEM106B has been associated with axonal transport of LAMP1-positive organelles in motoneurons and axonal sorting at the initial segment, providing further insight into how TMEM106B affects lysosomal proteolysis and degradative capacity in neurons (56,57).

Also, TMEM106B polymorphism may function as a risk factor that might enhance neuronal toxicity associated with neurodegeneration with endosomal defects, such as ESCRT (endosomal sorting complexes required for transport) dysfunction-associated neurodegeneration (17). TMEM106B polymorphism may also be implicated in neuronal number variations in normal brains (58). It is still under debate whether TMEM106B polymorphisms produce changes in the level of TMEM106B or its function. However, recent studies (17,18) have shown that SNPs in the coding region of TMEM106B might affect the cellular signaling and protein to protein interactions involved in the endolysosomal pathway. Therefore, Jun and colleagues (17) suggest that TMEM106B might have general effects on neurodegenerative diseases associated with defects in the endolysosomal pathway, as in Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease. Furthermore, recent studies by Nho and colleagues (12) found a strong correlation between the TMEM106B SNP (rs1990622) and Hippocampal Sclerosis of Aging, providing further evidence of the general role of TMEM106B polymorphisms in neurodegeneration. Here, we found a trend for comorbid hippocampal sclerosis in the TMEM106B A/A genotype group.

In any case, further studies are needed to elucidate why this neuro-astroglial tauopathy is predominantly medial temporal, even in cases for which TDP-43 pathology is absent in this region, such as the ALS-TDP cases. Maybe the correlation between this neuro-astroglial tauopathy and rs1990622 is entirely independent of the presence of FLTD/ALS-TDP pathology. In fact, the age-related nature of this neuro-astroglial tauopathy and its occurrence in FLTD/ALS-TDP with broad-spectrum clinical, genetic, and pathological features favors this hypothesis. Although we identified partial overlapping between TDP-43 and p-tau changes in FTLD-TDP type B, the pattern was unclear, and a significant number of neurons either have TDP-43 or p-tau changes alone. It is possible that TDP-43 and p-tau co-occurrence was incidental, mainly because we failed to find TDP-43 changes in inferior temporal gyrus with neuro-astroglial tauopathy from ALS-TDP and FTLD-TDP-type C cases. Noteworthy, previous studies (59) have described an increased propensity for tau in both FTLD-C9ORF72 and sporadic FTLD.

|  | OR  | 95% CI     | p      |
|---|-----|-----------|--------|
| Crude | 13.9 | 3.7–51.9  | <0.0001 |
| Multivariate* | 31.3 | 3.9–256.1 | <0.0001 |

*Adjusted for age at disease onset, gender, and disease duration.
| Demographics | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Age at onset, mean (SD) in years | 55.4 (8.3) | 61.9 (7.9) | 0.01 |
| Female sex, N (%) | 30 (40.5) | 6 (37.5) | 0.82 |
| Disease duration, mean (SD), in years | 8.7 (6.0) | 8.1 (5.1) | 0.68 |

| Global cognitive measures | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| MMSE<sup>a</sup> | 22.6 (5.5) | 24.3 (3.3) | 0.19 |
| CDR<sup>b</sup> | 1.4 (0.8) | 1.1 (0.8) | 0.32 |
| GDS<sup>c</sup> | 8.8 (5.9) | 5.6 (4.6) | 0.11 |

| Clinical diagnosis, No. (%) | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| AD type dementia | 1 (100) | 0 | – |
| bvFTD | 18 (78.3) | 5 (21.7) | 0.45 |
| bvFTD-MND or ALS | 28 (82.4) | 6 (17.6) | 0.71 |
| CBS/PSPS | 1 (33.3) | 2 (66.7) | – |
| nPBA | 4 (100) | 0 | – |
| svPPA | 22 (88.0) | 3 (22.0) | 0.63 |

| Neuropsychological performance (SD)<sup>d</sup> | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Executive function (EF)                         |                  |                             |    |
| Modified Trail Making Test                      | 41.6 (24.8) | 34.6 (18.7) | 0.31 |
| Stroop color naming                             | 55.9 (22.3) | 62.3 (19.9) | 0.42 |
| Stroop inhibition                               | 31.3 (19.2) | 36.2 (12.3) | 0.11 |
| Digit span task forward                         | 5.3 (1.8) | 6.2 (2.1) | 0.26 |
| Digit span task backward                        | 3.7 (1.6) | 4.4 (2.1) | 0.27 |
| Design fluency task                             | 5.2 (3.4) | 7.0 (3.9) | 0.18 |
| D-words, lexical fluency                        | 6.0 (4.9) | 8.3 (6.4) | 0.29 |
| Verbal fluency animal category                  | 9.3 (6.9) | 11.4 (9.6) | 0.47 |
| Total EF composite Z-score                      | −2.0 (1.3) | −1.2 (1.3) | 0.01 |

| Visuospatial | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Benson copy   | 13.9 (2.8) | 14.8 (2.2) | 0.21 |

| Memory        | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Benson delayed recall | 8.5 (4.2) | 9.2 (3.5) | 0.60 |
| CVLT short delay recall | 4.2 (2.9) | 5.0 (3.1) | 0.40 |
| CVLT delayed recall | 3.3 (3.1) | 3.4 (3.4) | 0.87 |
| Total Memory composite Z-score | −3.0 (2.2) | −2.4 (1.9) | 0.42 |

| Language      | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Repetition    | 4.4 (0.9) | 4.6 (0.7) | 0.34 |
| Syntax comprehension | 3.9 (1.3) | 3.7 (1.4) | 0.62 |
| Boston Naming Test | 9.9 (4.9) | 10.2 (5.1) | 0.98 |
| Total language composite Z-score | −2.8 (2.3) | −2.9 (2.8) | 0.94 |

| Calculations  | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Affect matching | 9.7 (3.5) | 10.5 (4.1) | 0.51 |

| Neuropathological diagnosis, No. (%) | FLTD/ALS-TDP only | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy | p  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| FTLD-TDP; +/- MND (C9orf72)         | 21 (28.4) | 2 (12.5) | 0.34 |
| FTLD-TDP, type A, (C9orf72)         | 1 (1.4) | 1 (6.3) | 0.14 |
| FTLD-TDP; +/- MND (TARDBP)          | 1 (1.4) | 1 (6.3) | 0.14 |
| FTLD-TDP, type A (GRN)              | 6 (8.1) | 2 (12.5) | 0.27 |

(Continues)
compared with FTLD-GRN. These differences were most marked in limbic regions. The extent to which such findings are related to a direct effect of C9ORF72 mutations in protein degradation that favors the accumulation of multiple different proteins or confounded by the presence of TMEM106B A/A is yet to be determined.

Nevertheless, the lack of reports describing pathological changes resembling this neuro-astroglial tauopathy in individuals with minimal neurodegeneration levels, even in cohorts with an older age of death than our cohort, challenges the hypothesis that this neuro-astroglial tauopathy is independent of FTLD/ALS-TDP pathology. Also, TMEM106B rs3173615 minor allele G was less frequent in CBD with comorbid TDP-43 than in CBD alone (61).

This neuro-astroglial tauopathy has some resemblance to ARTAG and AGD and could represent a combination of both, rather than an independent neuropathological entity. Several factors support that this tauopathy is different from ARTAG and/or AGD. First, of all, there is a neuronal component in addition to the glial component. Second, both the neuronal inclusions and granular background are positive for acetylated tau, which would be atypical for AGD (49). Third, the distribution is different than in AGD, with sparing of CA2 and highest pathology burden in inferior temporal gyrus.

Furthermore, other findings support the interpretation that this neuro-astroglial tauopathy is different from ARTAG and/or AGD. First, Kovacs et al. series show a similar tauopathy, also in cases with TDP-43 proteinopathy (53). Second, none of the widespread AGD cases had a TMEM A/A genotype (albeit, this could be by chance because the numbers are small). Third, we failed to find an association between TMEM106B

| TABLE 4 | (Continued) | FLTD/ALS-TDP only (n = 74) | FLTD/ALS-TDP plus tauopathy (n = 16) | p |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| FTLD-TDP, type A, sporadic | 5 (6.7) | 3 (18.7) | 0.06 |
| FTLD-TDP, +/- MND type B or ALS-TDP, sporadic | 20 (27.0) | 5 (31.2) | 0.33 |
| FTLD-TDP, type C, sporadic | 20 (27.0) | 2 (12.5) | 0.28 |
| Hippocampal sclerosis as co-pathology | 11 (64.7) | 6 (35.3) | 0.07 |

Note: All values indicate the means and standard deviation, derived from a general linear model comparing the 2 subgroups. No pairwise comparisons were statistically significant between groups. Neuropsychological test performances were controlled for CDR in this model.

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; bvFTD, behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; CBS/PSPS, corticobasal syndrome/progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test–short form; C9orf72, chromosome 9 open reading frame 72; FTLD, frontotemporal lobar degeneration; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; GRN, Progranulin; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MND, motor neuron disease (motor neuron–related signs referred to the presence of 1 or more of reduced muscle power, muscle atrophy, and fasciculations); nfPPA, non-fluent Primary Progressive Aphasia, svPPA, semantic variant Primary Progressive Aphasia; TARDBP, 43-kDa transactive response (TAR)-DNA-binding protein.

*Scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating better cognition.

*Scores range from 0 to 3, with higher scores indicating more advanced dementia.

*Scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating more significant depression.

*For all neuropsychological performance tests, higher scores indicate better performance.

*Presence of Hippocampal Sclerosis as co-pathology. Therefore numbers are not mutually exclusive with previous primary pathological diagnostic categories.

| TABLE 5 | Replication Cohort. Sociodemographic, neuropathological, and genetic findings in seven cases diagnosed as a complex tauopathy (7)* |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Case number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Age at death | 82 | 83 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 94 | 77 |
| Sex | M | M | F | F | F | F | M |
| TDP-43 proteinopathy | – | + | – | + | + | + | |
| MAPT variant | na | – | N255N| – | – | – | na |
| APOE genotype | na | ε3/3 | ε4/3 | ε3/3 | ε3/2 | ε3/3 | na |
| TMEM106B rs1990622 genotype | A/G | A/A | A/A | A/A | A/A | A/A | na |

Abbreviation: na, data not available.

*Link to original cohort description: (https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00401-011-0819-x).

MAPT N255N is not considered a pathogenic variant (https://www.alzforum.org/mutations/mapt-n255n).
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