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1 SESS Demo

The shared code of this paper includes a demo of the proposed method SESS. Please run “demo.ipynb” for the demo. This demonstration offers a comparison between SESS and base saliency methods including Grad-CAM [2], Guided Backpropagation [4], Group-CAM [6] and Score-CAM [5].

2 More Qualitative Results

This section provided qualitative results related to the step size and weighted average.

**Weighed average** In the fusion step, a weighted average is applied to ignore zero saliency values introduced by the calibration step. As Fig. 1 shows, without the weighted average, some parts of the target object will be under activated. For example, near the tale of the snake and cat. The saliency values of those under activated regions are increased with a weighted average.

**Step-size** In the default implementation of SESS, the step-size is set to 224 for efficiency. However, a smaller step size is beneficial for the generation of an accurate saliency map. As shown in Fig. 2 with a smaller step-size, the boundary of the target object is more accurate.

3 Applications

SESS is also useful for analysing the DNN models and saliency visualisation methods. This can be done through visualising all extracted saliency maps in $L'$ as shown in Fig. 3. This visualisation shows: ResNet50 [11] is more robust to scale and occlusion when compared to VGG-16 [3], and ScoreCAM is more robust to scale variance when compared to Grad-CAM.
Fig. 1: Impact of weighted average: The weighted average increases the saliency values of under activated regions.

Fig. 2: Impact of step-size: A larger step size reduces the over activated regions near the boundary of the object.
Fig. 3: Analysing DNN models and saliency visualisation methods with SESS. In this example the number of scales of SESS is set to 5. The red bounding box denotes the region from which the saliency is extracted. The target class id is 243 (Bull Mastiff).
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