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Protein-based therapeutics for rheumatoid arthritis have limitations despite improved clinical outcomes. In addition to expense and the need for parenteral administration, a significant percentage of patients do not have robust responses. Intracellular signaling molecules, such as members of the Rho family [1], represent an attractive alternative because the compounds are often orally bioavailable and can block numerous proinflammatory mediators simultaneously.

Targeting signal transduction, however, has been an exercise in frustration until recently. The p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase saga is emblematic of these problems [2]. Despite abundant preclinical data supporting the utility of p38 inhibitors, benefit has been marginal at best [3]. It is important to recognize that success in biologics also did not come with the first attempt. Numerous failures preceded the advent of TNF blockers, including anti-CD4, anti-CD5 and anti-CD52 antibodies, IL-2–diphtheria toxin fusion protein, IFNγ, IL-2, and several others. Clinical efficacy for JAK and Syk inhibitors demonstrated in recent years crossed the Rubicon for signaling-directed therapeutics [4,5]. The question now is not whether some of these agents can be effective; rather, it is whether the toxicity and side effects will be acceptable in a world where biologics have an advantageous therapeutic index.

A distinguishing feature of the encouraging interventions (Syk, JAK, and perhaps c-Kit) compared with p38 inhibitors is that the former targets are proximal in the signaling cascade. Going upstream can be risky, since each enzyme casts a broader penumbra of effects than a downstream target. This increases the potential for both benefit and toxicity. Risk, however, can be managed; lack of efficacy cannot.

This lesson is being exploited by going far upstream using therapeutics that inhibit the Rac proteins. These signaling enzymes, unlike the classical protein kinases that phosphorylate various transcription factors, are GTPases in the Rho family [6]. They regulate a vast array of functions, including cell movement, proliferation, adhesion, and phagocytosis. Many of these functions result from the subsequent activation of downstream protein kinases, such as the mitogen-activated protein kinase family. Blocking Rac proteins, such as Rac1, could potentially suppress many mechanisms implicated in rheumatoid arthritis.

Tak and colleagues approached this problem with a peptide inhibitor in order to explore in vitro and in vivo effects of Rac1 inhibition [1]. The peptide decreased production of key cytokines like IFNγ, TNF, and IL-17 by cultured T cells. They also examined the peptide’s effect in collagen-induced arthritis, a standard mouse model of rheumatoid arthritis [7].

Several aspects of the study warrant comment. The lack of effect on clinical scores is interesting, as this usually
tracks with paw swelling. These two endpoints, however, evaluate somewhat distinct phenomena. The former measures edema or tissue hyperplasia in a single joint (usually the ankle), while the latter determines the sum of the total number of active joints. It is possible to have relatively mild arthritis (and minimal swelling) with a high clinical score. Conversely, severe disease in the ankles but nowhere else could also lead to disparate outcomes. The two indices of disease can thus provide complementary information. In this case, the lack of effect on joint destruction and synovial histology suggests that the Rac1 inhibitory peptide might be acting through vascular leakage and tissue edema rather than immune cell infiltration into the joint.

A second important point is that animal models are an imperfect representation of rheumatoid arthritis. The kinetics of the synovial signaling pathway in mice is compressed compared with human disease, and the specific kinases engaged can vary from model to model [8]. Animal data must therefore be interpreted with some caution. Nevertheless, results for the Rac1 inhibitory peptide offer a signal of efficacy even though they probably underestimate the potential benefit. A therapeutic agent with a longer blood half-life that is also optimized for cell penetration could give substantially better results. The safety of blocking Rac1 cannot be accurately gauged with the peptide for the same reasons.

Overall, the future looks brighter for blocking signal molecules than it did a few years ago. With the plethora of potential targets, such as Rac, and armed with information on the biology of upstream rather than downstream molecules, there is renewed optimism for developing new therapeutics for rheumatoid arthritis.
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