A FOOTNOTE ON EXPANDING MAPS

CARLANGELO LIVERANI

Abstract. I introduce Banach spaces on which it is possible to precisely characterize the spectrum of the transfer operator associated to a piecewise expanding map with Hölder weight.

1. Introduction

Lately there is some renewed interest in different norms which allow one to analyze transfer operators associated to expanding maps. Such an interest has several motivations, one of the most relevant being the study of semi flows arising from Lorenz like models (e.g., see [1]). At the same time, the extension of transfer operator methods to the hyperbolic setting [9, 15, 16, 7, 8, 4, 5, 13, 22, 26, 6], just to mention a few, has revitalized the subject. Particular attention has been devoted to the case in which the map is piecewise smooth and its derivative or the weight have low regularity (Hölder instead of $C^1$). Several possibilities have been and are currently being explored trying to improve on the classical BV scheme [24, 12, 21] or its relevant variants [18, 23]. Two recent interesting contributions are [25, 10].

The purpose of this note is to comment on an old proposal of mine, put forward in footnote 12 of [20], page 193, that has gone mostly unnoticed and/or not understood. Here I show that it can be easily applied to many relevant situations yielding the strongest results so far. I present the approach in the expanding one dimensional case but I see no obstacles in extending it to higher dimensions (following [21]) or, with some more work, to the hyperbolic setting.

In the next section I will detail the proposed Banach space which is a weakening of BV in the spirit of fractional order Sobolev spaces but avoiding completely definitions based on Fourier transforms. In the final section I will detail some settings where the above strategy can be applied and I will give the main result of the paper.

2. The Banach space

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Banach space of complex valued Borel measures on $[0, 1]$ equipped with the total variation norm. For each $\varphi \in C^1([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, let us define, for
each \( \alpha \in [0,1] \),
\[
|\varphi|_\alpha = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |\varphi(x)| + \sup_{x,y \in [0,1]} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}}
\]
\[
\|\mu\|_\alpha = \sup_{\{\varphi \in C^1 : |\varphi| \leq 1\}} |\mu(\varphi')|.
\]
We can then define \( \mathcal{B}_\alpha = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M} : \|\mu\|_\alpha < \infty\} \). Note that \( \mathcal{B}_0 \) is the space of absolutely continuous measures with density in \( BV \) while \( \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{M} \).

**Remark 2.1.** In the following we give, for the reader’s convenience, a self-contained proof of the relevant properties of the spaces \( \mathcal{B}_\alpha \). Note however that some results are known in larger generality than needed here \([17, 27]\). Also there is a connection between our spaces and the theory of \( BV \) functions on snowflake spaces \([27]\).

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( \alpha \in [0,1) \) and \( \mu \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha \), then \( \mu \) is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue and, calling \( h \) its density,
\[
|h|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq 2\|\mu\|_\alpha.
\]
In addition \( \mathcal{B}_\alpha \) is a Banach space.

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi \in C^0 \) and \( \mu \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha \), and define \( \phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi \). Then
\[
\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\phi).
\]
Since \( |\phi(x)| \leq |\varphi|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \) and
\[
|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| = \left| \int_x^y \varphi \right| \leq |\varphi|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} |x - y|^{\alpha},
\]
it follows that \( |\phi|_\alpha \leq 2|\varphi|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \) hence
\[
|\mu(\varphi)| \leq 2\|\mu\|_\alpha |\varphi|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}.
\]
Thus \( \mu \) belongs to the dual of \( L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \), i.e. \( L^\frac{1}{\alpha} \), hence \( \mu \) is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue, let \( h \) be the density. Then
\[
|h|_{L^\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \sup_{|\phi|_{L^\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq 1} \mu(\phi) \leq 2\|\mu\|_\alpha.
\]
To verify that \( \mathcal{B}_\alpha \) is a Banach space it suffices to see that it is complete. Let \( \{\mu_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\alpha \) be a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{B}_\alpha \) and \( \{h_n\} \) be the respective densities. Then \( \{h_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( L^\frac{1}{\alpha} \), let \( h \) be its limit. Setting \( \mu(\varphi) := \int_0^1 h \varphi \), for each \( \varphi \in C^1 \),
\[
|\mu(\varphi')| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\mu_n(\varphi')| \leq C_\# |\varphi|_\alpha.
\]
Thus, \( \mu \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha \). On the other hand, for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \|\mu_n - \mu_m\|_\alpha \leq \varepsilon \) for all \( m \geq n \). Then, for each \( \varphi \in C^1 \) and \( m > n \),
\[
|\mu_n(\varphi') - \mu(\varphi')| \leq \varepsilon |\varphi|_\alpha + |\mu_m(\varphi') - \mu(\varphi')|.
\]
Taking the limit for \( m \to \infty \) it follows that \( \mu \) is the limit of \( \{\mu_n\} \) in \( \mathcal{B}_\alpha \). \( \square \)

---

1. In this note the \( L^p \) spaces are all w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.
2. In this note I use \( C_\# \) to designate a generic constant.
Given the above Lemma we can as well consider the space of densities equipped with the norm
\[ \|h\|_\alpha = \sup_{|\varphi|_\alpha \leq 1} \left| \int_0^1 h\varphi' \right|. \]
By a little abuse of notations we will call such a Banach space \( B_\alpha \) as well. Since \( B_\alpha \subset L^1([0,1]) \) it is then convenient to use \( L^1 \) rather than \( M \) as a weak space.\(^3\)

**Lemma 2.3.** For each \( \alpha \in (0,1) \) the unit ball of \( B_\alpha \) is relatively compact in \( L^1([0,1]) \).

**Proof.** To start, we need a little preliminary result. Since the functions in the set \( \{ \int_0^x \varphi \}_{|\varphi|_\infty \leq 1} \) are uniformly Lipschitz they are, by Ascoli-Arzelà, relatively compact in the \( \alpha \)-Hölder topology. Thus, for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a set \( S_\varepsilon := \{ \phi_i \}_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} \), \( |\phi_i|_C \leq \infty \) and \( \sup_i |\phi_i|_\alpha \leq 1 \), such that, for each \( \varphi \in L^\infty \), \( |\varphi|_\infty \leq 1 \), setting \( \Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi \), we have
\[ \inf_{i \in \{1,\ldots,n_\varepsilon\}} |\Phi - \phi_i|_\alpha \leq \varepsilon. \]
Accordingly, for each \( h \in B_\alpha \),
\[ \int h\varphi = \int h\Phi' \leq \varepsilon \|h\|_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} \left| \int h\phi_i' \right|. \]
Taking the sup on \( \varphi \) we have then
\[ |h|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon \|h\|_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} \left| \int h\phi_i' \right|. \]
We are now ready to conclude the proof. Since we deal with metric spaces it suffices to check sequential compactness. Let \( \{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{ h \in B_\alpha : \|h\|_\alpha \leq 1 \} \). Define \( \overline{S} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_{2^{-j}} \). Note that
\[ \sup_{\phi \in \overline{S}} \left| \int h_n\phi' \right| \leq 1. \]
Hence, by Tychonoff Theorem, we can extract a subsequence \( \{n_j\} \) such that \( \int h_{n_j}\phi' \) is convergent, when \( j \to \infty \), for all \( \phi \in \overline{S} \). It follows that \( \{h_{n_j}\} \) is Cauchy in \( L^1 \) since, for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[ |h_{n_j} - h_{n_k}|_{L^1} \leq 2\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \left| \int [h_{n_j} - h_{n_k}]\phi_i' \right| < 3\varepsilon, \]
where we have chosen \( j, k \) large enough. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.4.** For each \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), \( h \in B_\alpha \) and \( \varphi \) Lipschitz we have\(^4\)
\[ \int_0^1 h\varphi' \leq |\varphi|_\alpha \|h\|_\alpha. \]

\(^3\) Note that the norm is exactly the same.

\(^4\) Note that, by Rademacher’s Theorem, \( \varphi \) is almost surely differentiable with bounded derivative, hence the integral is meaningful.
Proof. It is convenient to extend $h$ to be zero and $\varphi$ to be continuous and constant outside $[0, 1]$, so we can regard all the integral as integral on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $j_\varepsilon$ be a smooth mollifier, then
\[
\int_0^1 h \varphi' = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (j_\varepsilon * h) \varphi' = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h (j_\varepsilon * \varphi)' \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|h\|_\alpha |j_\varepsilon * \varphi|_\alpha \leq \|h\|_\alpha |\varphi|_\alpha.
\]

\[\Box\]

3. Piecewise differentiable maps and Hölder continuous weights

Let $f$ be a (almost everywhere defined) map of the interval $[0, 1]$ in itself and $\mathcal{P}$ a (possibly infinite) collection of open subintervals of $[0, 1]$. We assume that $\cup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p$ has full Lebesgue measure in $[0, 1]$. Also, assume that $f \in C^1(p, \mathbb{R})$ and $f, f' \in C^0(\bar{p}, \mathbb{R})$ for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Moreover, we assume the map to be expansive
\[
\inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \inf_{x \in p} |f'(x)| > 1.
\]

Let $\xi : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function that we will call the weight. We assume that there exists $\beta \in (0, 1]$ such that, for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $\xi \in C^\beta(\bar{p}, \mathbb{R})$, with uniform $\beta$-Hölder constant. In addition, we require that $|f'|^r \in L^1$, for some $r \geq 0$, $\xi \cdot f' \in L^\infty$ and that there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that
\[
(3.1) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{z \in p} |\xi(z) f'(z)|^\gamma \frac{1}{1-r} < \infty.
\]

Next, let $L_\xi$ be the transfer operator (see [2] for the relevance of such operators) defined by
\[
L_\xi h(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} \xi(y) h(y).
\]

The main result of this note is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** In the above setting, if $\beta > \frac{1}{1+r}$, then, for each
\[
1 > \alpha > \max\left\{ \gamma, 1 - \beta, \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta(1-r)} \right\},
\]
the spectral radius of $L_\xi$, when acting on $B_\alpha$, is bounded from above by $|\xi f'|_\infty$, while the essential spectral radius is bounded by $\min\{|\xi f'|_\infty, 8|\xi(f')^\alpha|_\infty\}$.

Before discussing the proof of the above result let us indulge in several remarks.

**Remark 3.2.** The proof will not use anywhere the condition $|f'| > 1$. Yet, notice that if such a condition is not satisfied, then the theorem is easily empty since the bound for the essential spectral radius might equals the bound for the spectral radius. Yet, a small generalization is possible, we leave it to the interested reader.

**Remark 3.3.** Note that, as stated, for $\gamma = 0, \beta = 1$ the Theorem does not cover the case $\alpha = 0$. This is the usual BV case and it is well known already. Also, in the case $\beta = 0$ there is no reason to expect good spectral properties for $L_\xi$.

---

5 As usual $j \ast h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(x-y) h(y) dy$.

6 Here and in the following $x^t, x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, is meant as a complex number and $|\cdot|$ is used both for the absolute value and the complex modulus.
Remark 3.4. As usual, by applying Theorem 3.1 to a large power of \(L_\xi\), much sharper estimates of the spectral and essential spectral radius can be obtained (in particular the 8 in the Theorem is superficial, this is why I did not strive to improve it). I leave this exercise to the reader (see [14] for relevant results).

Remark 3.5. To compare the above result with the literature remark that [18], at least in the published version, applies only to the case \(\xi = \frac{1}{p}\) and when the partition \(\mathcal{P}\) is finite. The results in [25] apply only to the case \(f' \in L^\infty\). Finally, in [10] it is assumed (3.1) with \(\gamma = 0\). Note that

\[
\sum_p |\xi(f')^\gamma|_{L_\infty(p)} \leq |\xi f'|_{L^\infty} \sum_p |\xi|_{L_\infty(p)} \leq C \sum_p |\xi|_{L_\infty(p)}
\]

thus the present condition is weaker. Also in [10] it appears the condition \(f' \in L^r\), \(r \geq 1\) with \(\beta > \frac{1}{2}\) that here is replaced by \(r \geq 0\), \(\beta > \frac{1}{1+r}\). In particular, if \(\beta > \frac{1}{2}\), one can treat the case \(r = 1\), which is the natural condition when the partition is finite. In the case of infinite partitions \(r = 1\) is not natural anymore (think of the Gauss map), yet a \(r < 1\) may suffice. Note however that, most likely, the above cited results can be improved with some extra work. In particular, the norms in [18] could provide a bound in which no condition on \(f'\) is required [11] while [25] could probably be improved by using a partition of unity in the spirit of [3, 7]. Even so, the present norms seem to be an interesting candidate for extensions to the hyperbolic setting.

Remark 3.6. The reader should be advised that the goal of this note is not to treat the most general case but to show that the \(B_\alpha\) spaces can be conveniently used to investigate a vast class of problems. The optimal conditions under which Theorem 3.1 holds depend heavily on the situation. The present treatment is specially adapted to the case of finite partitions with weights that can also be zero. In the case of infinite partitions it could be more natural to consider weight of the form \(\xi = e^{\phi}\), where \(\phi\) is called the potential, and impose the Hölder condition on the potential, see Theorem 3.8.\(^7\)

Theorem 3.1 follows in a standard way (see [2]) from Lemma 2.3 and the next Lasota-Yorke inequality.

Lemma 3.7. If \(\beta > \frac{1-\gamma}{1+r}\), then for each \(1 > \alpha > \max\{\gamma, 1 - \beta, \frac{1-\beta}{1-2(1-r)}\}\), there exists \(B \geq 0\) such that, for all \(h \in B_\alpha\),

\[
|\mathcal{L} h|_{L^1} \leq |\xi f'|_{L^\infty} |h|_{L^1} \\
\|\mathcal{L} h\|_{\alpha} \leq 8|\xi \cdot (f')^\alpha|_{L^\infty} \|h\|_{\alpha} + B |h|_{L^1}.
\]

Proof. For each \(h \in L^1, \varphi \in L^\infty\) we have, by a change of variable on each \(p \in \mathcal{P}\),

\[
\int_{\mathcal{L} \xi h \cdot \varphi = \int h \cdot \xi \cdot f' \cdot \varphi \circ f,
\]

from which the first inequality of the Lemma readily follows. Let \(h \in B_\alpha\). For each \(\varphi \in C^1\) such that \(|\varphi|_{\alpha} \leq 1\), we have

\[
\int \mathcal{L} \xi h \cdot \varphi' = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \int_{\mathcal{L} \xi \circ h} h \xi \cdot (\varphi \circ f)'.
\]

\(^7\) If \(\xi\) vanishes somewhere one can still use such a setting by introducing countably many artificial partition elements, in the spirit of billiards homogeneity strips.
First of all, we want to take care of the fact that $f'$ may blow up at the boundaries of $p \in P$ so that $\varphi \circ f$ may fail to be Lipschitz on $\bar{p}$ (preventing us from using Lemma 2.4). At the same time we would like to approximate $\xi$ by more regular functions since during the computation we will need to take the derivative of the weigh and $\xi$ is only Hölder. A nice possibility is to use piecewise constant functions $\xi_k$, so that the problem of taking derivatives can be handled just by a refining of the partition $P$. This procedure must be done with some care since in the following it is essential to retain the property $\xi_k : f' \in L^\infty$.

Since, by hypothesis, $|\xi(\varphi \circ f)|_\infty \leq |\xi f'|_\infty \leq C\#$, it follows that $\xi$ is zero where $f'$ blows up. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can then consider the functions $\xi_\varepsilon(z) = \max(|\xi(z)| - \varepsilon, 0)$ and $\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon = \frac{\xi_\varepsilon}{\|\xi_\varepsilon\|} \cdot \xi_\varepsilon$. Clearly $\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon$ is zero in a neighborhood of the points in which $f'$ explodes, also $|\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon| \leq |\xi|$ and they have $\beta$-Hölder constant uniformly (in $\varepsilon$) proportional. By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, for each $h \in B_\alpha, \varphi \in C^1, |\varphi|_\alpha \leq 1$ there exists $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\left| \sum_{p \in P} \int h(\xi - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon)(\varphi \circ f)' \right| \leq |h|_{L^1}.
$$

Next, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_k$ be a refinement of $P$ such that all the elements of $P$ of length larger than $2^{-k+1}$ are partitioned in elements of length between $2^{-k+1}$ and $2^{-k}$ and $P_k^\text{long} = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_k : p \notin \mathcal{P}\}$ (this is the collection of elements that come from the refinement and hence are longer than $2^{-k}$, note that they are a finite number) and $P_k^\text{short} = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_k : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ (these are the shorter element). For each $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ let $x_p \in \bar{p}$ be such that $|\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon(x_p)| = \inf_{z \in \bar{p}} |\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon(z)|$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\xi_k(x) = \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon(x_p)$ for all $x \in p \in \mathcal{P}_k$. By construction, $\xi_k \in L^\infty$ and

$$
|\xi_k - \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon|_\infty \leq C\# 2^{-\beta k}.
$$

It is now convenient to define $\rho_k = \xi_{k+1} - \xi_k$. Note that, for all $k_0 \in \mathbb{N},$

$$
\sum_{k \geq k_0} \rho_k = \tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon - \xi_{k_0},
$$

$$
|\rho_k|_\infty \leq C\# 2^{-\beta k}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{k_0}} \int h(\tilde{\xi}_\varepsilon(\varphi \circ f)') = \sum_{k \geq k_0} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{k_0}} \int h(\varphi \circ f)'\rho_k + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{k_0}} \int h(\varphi \circ f)'\xi_{k_0}
$$

$$
= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{k_0}} \int h(\varphi \circ f \cdot \xi_{k_0}) + \sum_{k \geq k_0} \int_0^1 h \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{k_0}} \mathbb{1}_p(\varphi \circ f)'\rho_k \right],
$$

where the convergence of the series on the first line follows because $f'$ is bounded on the support of $\xi_\varepsilon$, an hence on the support of the $\rho_k$. To continue, let $\hat{\xi}$ be linear

---

8 From now on we will write $(\varphi \circ f)'$ for $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_k} \mathbb{1}_p(\varphi \circ f)'$, i.e. the derivative is meant in the strong sense but only where it is defined. By the way, given a set $A$, the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_A$ is defined by $\mathbb{1}_A(x) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and zero otherwise.
Thus we must compute the norm of the test function: the short pieces we use a by now standard idea: we estimate using the strong norm. Could have been infinite. As is made clear by the above expression, to handle \( \zeta \) we cannot avoid the separation between the short and long pieces: if we for some \( w \in [x, y] \). Thus for each \( x, y \in P_{k_0}^{\text{long}}, \)

\[
|\zeta_k(x) - \zeta_k(y)| \leq 2|\xi(f')^a|_{\infty}|x - y|^a.
\]

On the other hand, if \( x \) and \( y \) belong to different elements of \( p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{long}} \), let \( b_1, b_2 \in [x, y] \) the boundaries of the elements to which \( x \) and \( y \) belong, respectively. Since, by construction, \( \zeta_k = 0 \) at the boundaries of the elements of \( P_{k_0} \), we have

\[
|\zeta_k(x) - \zeta_k(y)| \leq 2|\xi(f')^a|_{\infty}(|x - b_1|^a + |y - b_2|^a) \\
\leq 2^{2-a}|\xi(f')^a|_{\infty}|x - y|^a.
\]

Putting together the above facts we have

\[
|\zeta_k|_{\infty} \leq 2|\xi|_{\infty} \\
|\zeta_k|_a \leq 6|\xi \cdot (f')^a|_{\infty}.
\]

We can then write the first term of the second line of \((3.4)\) as

\[
\sum_{p \in P_{k_0}} \int_P h(\zeta_k) = \int_{0}^{1} h' + \sum_{p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{long}}} \int_{P_k} h' + \sum_{p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{short}}} \int_{P_k} h(\zeta_k)' \\
\leq 6|\xi \cdot (f')^a||h||_a + C_{k_0}|h|_{L^1} + \int_{0}^{1} h \frac{d}{dx} \int_{0}^{x} \sum_{p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{short}}} \mathbb{I}_p(\zeta_k)'.
\]

Note that we cannot avoid the separation between the short and long pieces: if we would have defined \( \ell \) as a linear interpolation on all the intervals, then \( \sup_{p} |\ell|_{C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})} \) could have been infinite. As is made clear by the above expression, to handle the short pieces we use a by now standard idea: we estimate using the strong norm. Thus we must compute the norm of the test function:

\[
\left| \int_{x}^{y} \sum_{p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{short}}} \mathbb{I}_p(\zeta_k) \right| \leq \sum_{p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{long}}} \sup_{z \in P} |\xi(z)f'(z)^a|_{p \cap [x, y]} |^a \\
\leq \left[ \sum_{p \in P_{k_0}^{\text{short}}} \sup_{z \in P} |\xi(z)f'(z)^a|_{p \cap [x, y]} \right]^{1-a} \left| x - y \right|^a \\
\leq C_{\#}|\xi|_{\infty} \left[ \sum_{p \in P : \|p\| \leq 2^{-k_0}} \sup_{z \in P} |\xi(z)f'(z)^a|_{p \cap [x, y]} \right]^{1-a} \left| x - y \right|^a \leq \frac{|\xi \cdot (f')^a|_{\infty}}{2} |x - y|^a.
\]

\(^9\) In the last line we use Hölder inequality: \( \sum_{i} a_i b_i \leq \left[ \sum_{i} a_i \right]^\alpha \left[ \sum_{i} b_i^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right]^{1-\alpha} \).
where we have used the hypothesis $\alpha \geq \gamma$, used condition (3.1) and chosen $k_0$ large enough (so that the tail of the convergent series is as small as needed). Accordingly

$$\sum_{p \in P_{k_0}} \int h(\varphi \circ f \cdot \xi_{k_0})' \leq 7 |\xi| (f')^\alpha |h|_\alpha + C_{k_0} |h|_1.$$  

To estimate the second term in the second line of (3.4) note that, by construction, $\rho_k$ is zero on the elements $p \in P_{k+1}^{\text{long}}$. We can then consider a piecewise linear approximation $\ell_k$ of $\varphi \circ f$ constructed by taking linear pieces on each $p \in P_{k+1}^{\text{short}}$ and such that the two functions are equal on $\partial P_{k+1}^{\text{long}}$. Then, we define $\eta_k(x) = 0$ if $x \in p \in P_{k+1}^{\text{short}}$ and $\eta_k = \rho_k(\varphi \circ f - \ell_k)$ otherwise. Note that $\eta_k \in C^0$ and Lipschitz.

We can write the test functions in the second term in the second line of (3.4) as

$$\psi_k(x) := \int_0^x (\varphi \circ f)' \rho_k = \int_0^x \eta_k + \int_0^x \ell_k \rho_k.$$  

We are left with the task of estimating $|\psi_k|_\alpha$. We will treat the two terms separately.

To start, note that (by (3.3))

$$\left| \int_x^y \eta_k' \right| = \left| \sum_{p \in P_{k+1}^{\text{long}}} \int_{p \cap [x,y]} \eta_k' \right| \leq C \# 2^{-\beta k}$$

since at most two of the elements of the sum are non zero, given that $\eta_k$ is zero at the boundaries of $P_{k+1}$. On the other hand if $p = [a, b]$ and $p \cap [x, y] = [a', b']$ we have

$$\left| \int_{p \cap [x,y]} \eta_k' \right| \leq |\rho_k(a)| \left\{ |\varphi(f'(b')) - \varphi(f(a'))| + \frac{|\varphi(f(b)) - \varphi(f(b))|}{|p|} |p \cap [x, y]| \right\}$$

$$\leq |\rho_k(a)| 1^{-\alpha} 2^\alpha \left\{ \left| \int_{p \cap [x,y]} \xi f' \right|^\alpha + \left| \int_{p \cap [x,y]} \xi f' \right|^\alpha \right\}$$

$$\leq C \# 2^{-\beta (1 - \alpha) k} |x - y|\alpha$$

where we have used the hypothesis $\xi f' \in L^\infty$ and (3.5). Accordingly,

$$\left| \int_0^x \eta_k' \right|_\alpha \leq C \# 2^{-\beta (1 - \alpha) k}.$$  

Next, we must estimate the second term in (3.8)

$$\left| \int_x^y \ell_k \rho_k \right| \leq \sum_{p \in P_{k+1}^{\text{long}}} |\rho_k|_{L^\infty(p)} \left| \int_p f' \right|^\alpha |p \cap [x, y]| / |p|$$

$$\leq C \# 2^{-\beta (1 - \alpha) k} \sum_{p \in P_{k+1}^{\text{long}}} \left| \int_p \xi f' \right|^\alpha |p \cap [x, y]| / |p|$$

$$\leq C \# 2^{-\beta (1 - \alpha) k} |x - y| \leq C \# 2^{-\epsilon (1 - \alpha) k} |x - y|\alpha,$$

---

10 To obtain the last line note that the second term in the curly bracket of the second line is bounded by $C \# |p|^{\alpha - 1} |p \cap [x, y]|$ and $|p \cap [x, y]| \leq |p|^{1 - \gamma} |x - y|\gamma$. 
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provided
\begin{equation}
|x - y| \leq 2^{-[\epsilon + (1 - \beta)]k}.
\end{equation}
(3.11)

To treat the $x, y$ for which (3.11) fails we estimate differently the first line of (3.10). To do so it is convenient to divide the discussion in two case. If $r \leq 1$, then
\begin{align*}
\left| \int_x^y \ell_k' \rho_k \right| & \leq \sum_{p \in P_k} |\rho_k|_{L^\infty(p)} \left| \int_p |f'| r \right| [p \cap [x, y]]^{1 - \alpha} |p|^{1 - \alpha} \\
& \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{[-\beta (1 - \alpha)(1 - r)k + (1 - \alpha)k]} \sum_{p \in P_k} \left| \int_p |f'| r \right| [p \cap [x, y]]^{1 - \alpha} \\
& \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{[-\beta (1 - \alpha)(1 - r)k + (1 - \alpha)k]} \left| \int_0^1 |f'| r \right| [y - x]^{1 - \alpha}.
\end{align*}
(3.12)

If $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then, for some $\epsilon > 0$,
\begin{equation}
\left| \int_x^y \ell_k' \rho_k \right| \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{-\epsilon k} |y - x|^\alpha.
\end{equation}
(3.13)

provided $\alpha > \frac{1}{2} - \beta 1 - [1 - r]$. If, instead, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ then we can continue the estimate in (3.12) by using (3.11) to yield
\begin{equation}
\left| \int_x^y \ell_k' \rho_k \right| \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{[-\beta (1 - \alpha)(1 - r)k + (1 - \alpha)k]} 2^{[\epsilon(1 - \beta)](2 \alpha - 1)k} |x - y|^\alpha \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{-\epsilon k} |x - y|^\alpha
\end{equation}
provided $\beta > \frac{1}{1 + r}$ and $\epsilon$ has been chose small enough.

On the other hand, if $r > 1$, we have
\begin{align*}
\left| \int_x^y \ell_k' \rho_k \right| & \leq \sum_{p \in P_k} |\rho_k|_{L^\infty(p)} \left| \int_p |f'| r \right| [p \cap [x, y]]^{1 - \alpha} |p|^{1 - \alpha} \\
& \leq \sum_{p \in P_k} 2^{-\beta k + (1 - \alpha)k} \left| \int_p |f'| r \right| [p \cap [x, y]]^{1 - \alpha} \\
& \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{[-\beta k + (1 - \alpha)k]} |x - y|^{1 - \alpha} \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{-\epsilon k} |x - y|^\alpha,
\end{align*}
provided
\begin{align*}
\frac{r}{1 + r} \geq \alpha > 1 - \beta.
\end{align*}
(3.14)

While if $\alpha > \frac{1}{1 + r}$, then
\begin{equation}
\left| \int_x^y \ell_k' \rho_k \right| \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{[-\beta k + (1 - \alpha)k]} 2^{[\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} - 1] + \epsilon k} |x - y|^\alpha \leq 2^{-\epsilon k} |x - y|^\alpha
\end{equation}
provided $\beta > \frac{1}{1 + r}$ and $\epsilon$ has been chosen small enough. Collecting equations (3.9), (3.13) and (3.14) we have that, for $\beta > \frac{1}{1 + r}$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{1 - \beta (1 - r)}$, 
\begin{equation}
|\psi_k|_\alpha \leq C \#^{\beta} 2^{-\epsilon k}.
\end{equation}
Thus, by choosing $k_0$ large enough, we have
\[
\sum_{k \geq k_0} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \int_0^x \sum_{p \in P_k} \mathbb{1}_p (\varphi \circ f)' \rho_k \right] \leq |\xi \cdot (f')^\alpha|_\infty \|h\|_{\alpha}.
\]
Finally, collecting (3.2), (3.4), (3.7), (3.16), we have
\[
\int_0^1 \eta \xi (\varphi \circ f)' \leq 8 |\xi \cdot (f')^\alpha|_\infty \|h\|_{\alpha} + C \# |h|_{L^1},
\]
from which the Lemma follows. \hfill \Box

We conclude with an alternative result, in order to give a taste of the available possibilities mentioned in Remark 3.6.

**Theorem 3.8.** If the potential is uniformly $\beta$-Hölder on the elements of the partition (see Remark 3.6), then we do not need to impose any condition on the integrability of $f'$ and Theorem 3.1 holds under the single condition $1 > \alpha > \max\{\gamma, 1-\beta\}$.

**Proof.** We just need to prove Lemma 3.7 under the new condition. All the previous arguments are valid, the only difference is that now we do not need to introduce $\tilde{\xi}$ since $\xi$ is bounded away from zero on the elements of the partition and hence the derivative cannot explode. The approximation scheme yields
\[
|\rho_k(x)| \leq C \# 2^{-\beta k} |\xi(x)|
\]
rather than $|\rho_k| \leq C \# 2^{-\beta k}$ as before. Accordingly, we can easily conclude the proof of Lemma 3.7 as follows.
\[
\left| \int_x^y \ell_k \rho_k \right| \leq C \# \sum_{p \in P_{\text{long}}} \int_{p \cap [x,y]} 2^{-\beta k} \left( \frac{f_p |f'x'}{|p|} \right)^\alpha \leq C \# 2^{-(\alpha + \beta - 1)k} |x - y|.
\]
\hfill \Box
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