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ABSTRACT

The genome of SARS-CoV-2, the coronavirus responsible for the Covid-19 pandemic, encodes a number of accessory genes. The longest accessory gene, Orf3a, plays important roles in the virus lifecycle indicated by experimental findings, known polymorphisms, its evolutionary trajectory and a distinct three-dimensional fold. Here we show that supervised, sensitive database searches with Orf3a detect weak, yet significant and highly specific similarities to the M proteins of coronaviruses. The similarity profiles can be used to derive low-resolution three-dimensional models for M proteins based on Orf3a as a structural template. The models also explain the emergence of Orf3a from M proteins and suggest a recent origin across the coronavirus lineage, enunciated by its restricted phylogenetic distribution. This study provides evidence for the common origin of M and Orf3a families and proposes for the first time a working model for the structure of the universally distributed M proteins in coronaviruses, consistent with the properties of both protein families.

© 2020 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
attenuating interferon response [17]. Orf3a interacts with structural proteins S, E and M with ion channel activity [18], performing a ‘viroporin’ cellular role [19], and classified by topological – not sequence-based – criteria as a Type IIA viroporin [10].

Comparison of SARS-CoV-1 Orf3a to its homolog in SARS-CoV-2 returns a 72% sequence identity, in contrast to M (membrane) protein or N (nucleoprotein) homolog pairs, which exhibit > 90% identity, indicating a faster change for Orf3a [20], despite likely different origins [21]. Here, we explore the trajectory of Orf3a to show that it has originated recently from M proteins with implications for structure prediction for the latter, leading towards a deeper understanding of their structural and functional relationships.

2. Results

2.1. Sequence comparisons reveal homology between Orf3a and M protein families

Supervised sensitive sequence database searches using Orf3a from SARS-CoV-2 as a query detect a set of > 3000 homologs, that include Orf3a (aliases: Orf3, X1, U274) and weak similarities to the accessory genes, e.g. Orf8 [14]. By extending the similarity of Orf3a to its M protein homologs, the variation is indeed unraveled, as fewer positions remain conserved across the now-expanded superfamily. The implications of the detected similarity are profound, as the Orf3a structure allows the low-resolution modeling of M protein for the first time. We have selected a number of targets, including the native structure as a control and without the gap-positioned regions that are excluded (Fig. S3), with Orf3a as the model template (PDB identifier: 6xdc) (Fig. 2).

2.2. Structural modeling suggests a topology for M proteins of coronaviruses

The structure of SARS-CoV-2 Orf3a has been determined by cryo-EM recently [10], providing insights for its coronavirus homologs. The Orf3a family per se appears as being relatively conserved and does not exhibit a wider variation seen for other accessory genes, e.g. Orf8 [14]. Using the similarity of Orf3a to its M protein homologs, the variation is indeed unraveled, as fewer positions remain conserved across the now-expanded superfamily. The implications of the detected similarity are profound, as the Orf3a structure allows the low-resolution modeling of M protein for the first time. We have selected a number of targets, including the native structure as a control and without the gap-positioned regions that are excluded (Fig. S3), with Orf3a as the model template (PDB identifier: 6xdc) (Fig. 2). We have not attempted to model likely multimeric forms, as the poor quality of the monomer interface would lead to possible misinterpretations. We opted for representative members with significant, but not too high, divergence, starting from Orf3a. These were selected on the basis of a phylogenetic tree, three of which being Orf3a sequences and the other six M proteins from the beta CoV group only (Fig. 2a). Apart from the native sequence as a target, two Orf3a entries correspond to the HibeCoV Zaria bat and Bat Hp CoV ‘Orf3’ homologs; selected M proteins are two from SARS-CoV-2, one from pangolin and three from bat viruses, two of which being of the same origin as the Orf3a entries, above (Table S2). The template-to-target (source) alignment (Fig. 2b) demonstrates that positions defined as conserved (Fig. 1) are assigned to all types of structure elements of Orf3a (i.e. helices, strands or turns), with conservation evenly distributed through the aligned positions (Fig. 2c).

The nine models are of moderate quality at such low sequence similarity levels, yet they have been generated to support the interpretation of the multiple sequence alignment and the evolutionary history of the superfamily, guiding further research efforts into the structural analysis of M protein for SARS-CoV-2. Remarkably, Orf3a and M protein of both Zaria {b,i} and Hp bat {c,h} –respectively– (HibeCoV) CoVs exhibit low similarity to their counterparts, as these strains are distantly related to other beta (SarbecoVirus) CoVs (also seen in the phylogeny, Fig. 2a). This distance is also reflected by the low QMEAN scores for these models (Table S2).

The sequence similarity is ~20% for the Orf3a homologs and ranges between 6 and 16% for M protein homologs, with regard to the template (Table S2). The solvation measure is lowest for the Orf3a homologs, suggesting that M protein models are more compatible with regard to solvent exposure of their hydrophobic side chain elements, thus supporting the cross-family match as realistic (Table S2). It should be noted that some quality control metrics of the native structure as template have values comparable to those of the targets, corroborating the validity of the models even at this level of similarity (Table S2).

All constructed models are derived from the alignment version that excludes low-occupancy gaps, displayed at the primary-secondary structure levels (Fig. S3) and clearly seen in model [a], the native (trimmed) target versus the template structure. The Orf3a as well as the M protein targets are all shorter than the template sequence, which presents no gaps (Table S2). The alpha helical region is predicted in all models, with the exception of model
where α3 cannot be formed, due to the shorter length of the region (Fig. 2b, Fig. S3). There is greater variation in the cytosolic domain (CD) formed by the two beta sheets, which is discussed below in conjunction with the topology of the models, with Orf3a as template. Tertiary structure diagrams with the conserved positions highlighted enhance the credibility of the sequence-based structure prediction by homology (Fig. S4). As noted in the original report [10], the topology of Orf3a is unique, as DALI [22] does not detect similar arrangements other than alpha-helical segments (not shown).

As reported for Orf3a, the CD in the monomeric state forms a eight stranded beta sandwich, with the outer beta sheet built by β2/β1/β6/β7 (N-terminal) and the inner beta sheet by β5/β4/β3/β8 (β7-C-terminal). The topology diagrams for the nine predicted models reveal a likely variation of beta strand potential, based on the source alignment (Fig. S5). With the exception of the native structure (model {a}), the representative targets for Orf3a and M protein of coronaviruses exhibit consistent strand variation. Both non-native Orf3a targets miss β3 (with gaps, Fig. 2b), and in one case β2 (model {c}), yet maintaining the β4/β5 pair. In contrast, M protein targets all lack the β4/β5 pair (again due to gaps) with one exception (model {i}), and also β2 with two exceptions (models {h,i}) (Fig. S5). This suggests that the outer sheet might primarily be maintained by β1/β6/β7 and the inner sheet by β3/β7/β8, as β1/β6/β7/β8, and in the case of M proteins also β3, are predicted as shared and conserved across all target models. The loop between β1/β2 is involved in dimer-dimer contacts to form multimers [10] and the β8 in dimer formation [10]. The absence of β3 in Orf3a homologous templates might be offset by the β4/β5 pair, in terms of maintaining the beta sheet, despite its central position in comparison with the strand pair. An alternative explanation may also be a local error in the source alignment (Fig. 2b). Models are also provided in superposition mode (Fig. S6).

2.3. The role of conserved residues in a structural context

Based on the overall conservation in the reference alignment, 29 positions are defined as conserved (Fig. 1a), five of which are not available in the reported structure [10]. The remaining 24 positions are mapped onto the template-to-target alignment (Fig. 2b) and further classified into highly and moderately conserved residues, only for the interpretation of their likely roles in a structural context (Table 1, Fig. 3).

Of the 24 positions, 11 are observed in the helical part of the Orf3a monomer, with G49 (position 10 in the template-target alignment – Fig. 2b) being quasi-conserved due to the presence of two opposing (Orf3a L84/V88) hydrophobic residues (Fig. 3a). The rest of the quasi- or conserved residues do not appear to make subunit contacts and are seen as conserved to maintain helical structure, turns and hydrophobic exposure in α3 (e.g. YFlqS in Orf3a) (Fig. 3a), consistent with mutagenesis M protein experiments [23]. Consequently, position S117 is conserved due to steric hindrance with the conserved hydrophobic side chain of F114 (e.g. YFlqS in M protein models {d-e-f}), where low case signifies a non-conserved family-specific residue. This region also contains the previously identified conserved motif of M proteins [24] (positions 135–146 in Fig. 1a, or 72–83 in Fig. 2b), corresponding to the predicted loop between α3 and β1 (Fig. 2b). This peptide starts with the cross-family conserved residue S135 (also S in Orf3a) which, when mutated to alanine in tandem with three consecutive residues downstream, affects virus growth [24] (Fig. 3a). The predominantly negatively charged 'E121' (E142) [24] is substituted...
by D142 in the Orf3a family (Fig. 1a, Fig. S2). Interestingly, in 7 cases of a Wencheng Sm shrew M protein, glutamate is replaced by serine having an aspartate residue two positions upstream as a possible compensating mutation (Fig. 1).

The cytosolic part of the monomer contains the remaining 13 (quasi)-conserved residues of the superfamily that play a role in maintaining the beta sheet structure and turns (see also Fig. 2b). As above, the quasi-conserved N144 (also predominantly N in Orf3a), when mutated to alanine in tandem with three consecutive residues downstream, affects virus growth [24]. At the outer beta sheet, positions L147, V201 and Y212 seem to maintain the integrity of the strands through the typical strand periodicity. Proline P159 (position 96 in Fig. 2b) appears to mark the end of strand b2 (Fig. 3b). This is consistent with the occasional absence of b2 in the predicted M protein models which might not be able to form b2 but would require a sharp turn to form the critical b3 as part of the inner sheet (see above). The glycines G174 and G188 (positions 106 and 120 in Fig. 2b) are not always conserved but they may be required to mark the end of b3/b4 strands, when those are formed (see above). A similar situation occurs with C224, just before strand b8. Finally, strand b8 contains three conserved residues (F230, K235 and D238) with F230 participating in the formation of the hydrophobic core between subunits [10] and K235/D238 exposed to the solvent and potentially important for virus-host protein interactions. In all, the detection of homology between CoV Orf3a and M protein is consistent with previous work on structural properties of M proteins and our own analysis, outlined here.

2.4. The distribution of Orf3a points to recent divergence from M protein

Orf3a is known to be restricted within the beta group of coronaviruses, raising crucial questions regarding its origins and molecular function. Bat CoVs have been found in four of the five subgroups of the beta group, namely Sarbecovirus, Merbecovirus, Nobecovirus and Hibecovirus but not in the Embecovirus group [25]. The two ‘outlier’ Zaria/Bat Hp Orf3a homologs belong to the Hibecovirus group; Orf3a homologs are not detectable in Merbecovirus, thus found only in the other three CoV groups known to exist in bats, as above. In a representative, genome-based phylogeny of Coronoviridae [26], M protein is seen as universally present in all strains, with Orf3a restricted to the Bat/Civet SARS-CoV-1 and Bat/Pangolin SARS-CoV-2 section of the beta group along with the outlier members (Fig. 4). When considered as a single family, Orf3a appears to be conserved and could be seen as the product of a long evolutionary process with strong structural constraints and limited diversity (Fig. S7).
However, given the restricted phylogenetic distribution and the detected homology to the M protein family of coronaviruses, a different interpretation arises. A copy of M protein of a viral strain in bats or other species such as civets or pangolins might have been evolving rapidly as a viral paralog with less selective pressure and to a point beyond recognition, offering additional opportunities to this beta CoV lineage to efficiently infect and propagate within host cells. Thus, an alternative outlook of the superfamily that encompasses M protein and Orf3a from coronaviruses suggests that this phylogenetic trajectory may indeed be a likely scenario for the recent emergence of Orf3a in the lineage (Fig. S7). This claim is further supported by the low similarity of outgroup Orf3a sequences, e.g. Zaria ZBCoV Orf3 with < 25% identity to its SARS-CoV-2 homolog, compared to > 55% identity between the nucleoprotein (protein N) of the respective strains (protein identifiers: IDADY17917.1 for ZBCoV vs. QHD43423.2 for SARS-CoV-2). Thus, the apparent conservation of Orf3a can be attributed to its recent origin within the beta CoV group from a homologous M protein elsewhere, and not to structural or functional constraints that characterize typical non-viral protein evolution.

### 3. Discussion

Orf3a is considered to belong to the general class of viroporins and have an ion channel function, effected via its transmembrane domain [7]. It is primarily found in the Golgi complex before its insertion into the plasma membrane where it performs its function in multimeric forms [27]. To date, Orf3a has been seen as a single family [28], with the structure of Orf3a from SARS-CoV-2 recently solved by cryo-EM [10]. Here, we show that Orf3a of SARS-CoV-2 and its homologs share significant similarities with membrane proteins (M protein) from coronaviruses, suggesting a recent origin from a member of the M protein family.

The implication of this observation is that M protein might possess a structure similar to the unique Orf3a alpha–beta fold. Despite its abundance in the CoV particle [29], the function of M protein is not fully understood, only glimpsed from its interacting partners in SARS-CoV-1 [30]. M protein is known to share features with Orf3a, such as the N-terminal transmembrane domain and similar co-localization patterns [31], also forming dimers [29]. Although we have not attempted to model multimers, it is possible that Orf3a has inherited quaternary structure properties from M protein. Our findings are supported by pre-computed listings of profile-profile FFAS comparisons (Fig. S8), where seven positions are found as properly aligned with respect to the reference sequence alignment herein; others, especially upstream, are shifted due to gaps, and low-quality matches [32]. As the reported FFAS-based similarity has been available from previous comparisons with SARS-CoV-1 sequences yet never properly interpreted, it does lend further independent support to our observations, namely that Orf3a represents an aberrant M protein homolog in the beta CoV group lineage that has emerged recently in this group, demonstrated by the evidence provided in this study.

It is not surprising that while the Cys-rich motif is somewhat conserved in Orf3a members, the YxxU/diacidic motifs are not [28]. These motifs are specific adaptations of the Orf3a family and do not play a role in the structural integrity of the fold, as described in the original cryo-EM Orf3a structure report [10]. The deceivingly ‘distant’ relationship of Orf3a with a restricted distribution to the universally present M proteins in CoVs can be explained by two mechanisms only: either there is a long evolutionary history of the two genes with persistent gene loss in the other groups where Orf3a is not found, or Orf3a has emerged recently from CoV M proteins and evolved rapidly, exhibiting low sequence similarities that are now detected. Our study clearly favors the latter explanation, as an expected outcome especially in a fast-evolving virus group.

In analogy with the recently discovered similarity of Orf7a – a ‘conserved’ protein family of known structure [11], with Orf8 [33] (Neches et al., submitted), a hypervariable protein with a...
recently announced structure confirming the sequence similarity [34], SARS-CoV-2 and its relatives seem to maintain a reservoir of genetic material as a constant and vary a ‘paralog’ gene. As in the Orf7a/Orf8 case, M protein is conserved, while Orf3a attained divergence to a point of being unrecognizable as a M protein homolog. The reported findings point to a credible model for the M protein, based on the structure of Orf3a with a unique fold [10].

A limitation of this study is that the detected similarity is predicated on the few weak, yet highly significant similarities of the Orf3a family to feline CoV M proteins that later enrich the iterative search that converges to a specific superfamily profile. The search, however, is reproducible given the specific parameters, well-documented and able to return similar results with other, carefully selected starting points. The reverse search with M proteins as queries does not identify Orf3a family members: this is most likely due to the greater sequence variation of M proteins across CoV strains, their much wider phylogenetic distribution and, critically, their shorter lengths. Conversely, the Orf3a family is narrowly defined both in terms of sequence and phylogeny, thus amplifying the conserved positions that subsequently detect M protein. The facts that the entire process generates profiles specific to CoVs and the conservation pattern is consistent with structure predictions by homology, provide strong evidence for a common origin and a highly divergent Orf3a form, from M proteins. The intriguing discovery of Orf3a/M protein homology can yield further insights into the evolution and structure of M protein and its potential role in SARS-CoV-2 biology, in connection to Orf3a.

It is expected that certain functional properties peculiar to Orf3a are not conserved, despite the common fold. As a matter of fact, the viral strategy is indeed to differentiate the molecular function of its limited gene repertoire. From functional genomics data, there is little evidence for the reported interaction of Orf3a with M proteins. However, the structure of Orf3a provides a strong basis for further functional studies.

Fig. 3. The role of conserved residues for the superfamily. Residues are color-coded in green (conserved) and yellow (quasi-conserved) (Table 1) with side chains shown only for those. Numbering follows the reference alignment: (a): the alpha-helical ectodomain of Orf3a, with the second subunit in blue to depict dimer configuration (orientation differs from Fig. 2b, to optimize labeling of residues); two non-conserved residues discussed in connection with the presence of G49 are colored in red. (b): the beta-sheet endodomain of Orf3a, starting at bottom (with N144) and ending at top (with D238); the outer (left) and inner (right) sheets are seen: strand b7 (starting at T208) participates in both; the a-helical turn following b7 is not marked as a helix (Fig. 2b). In both panels, two residues (R122 and D238) are marked by an asterisk to signify detected steric clash issues. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
caveolin-1 in Golgi [35]. The number of detected interactions of Orf3a are limited (just 8) while those of M protein are far more extensive (namely 27)[36]. Finally, drug or small-molecule interactions differ across the two families, in various recent studies [37,38]. From a structural perspective, the predicted conservation of the fold between M proteins and Orf3a in CoVs dictates shared structural features with diversified functions across the coronavirus lineage. The spillover effects of bat coronaviruses into human pathogens [39] are supported by this mode of viral evolution for SARS-CoV2 Orf3a and a similar phenomenon for Orf8.

4. Methods

4.1. Database searches and documentation

Orf3a (QHD43417.1) was filtered for compositional bias by CAST (threshold 30) [40] and used as a query to search the Virus section (taxid: 10239) of NCBI’s nr collection [41] (posted date 5-Aug-2020, number of entries 3,063,868). Searches were performed by PSI-BLAST [42], with default parameters – except: word size 2, no compositional adjustment, filter none, max hits 20000, and threshold 0.1, a permissive E-value in supervised sequence space walk mode, as described [43]. Convergence was achieved after nine iterations, with a total number of significant hits at 3025 (Fig. S1). No spurious hits were detected, i.e. only members of the two families were admitted, at 100% accuracy (no false positives). Matches to Pfam entries [44] were recorded (PF11289 for Orf3a, PF01635 for M protein, Table S1). The final PSSM profile was further used to query the full nr excluding Coronaviridae, without returning any additional hits, thus considered as highly specific, at this critical quality control step. All runs have been recorded as triplets of hit lists/alignment/PSSM files, available in SI as data supplement (DS01). The raw alignment with 3025 sequences is also available as data supplement (DS02).

4.2. Filtering strategy

Different strategies were used for the filtering step, given the original sequence query and the one processed by CAST [40]. The filtered query was:
>QHD43417.1 ORF3a protein [Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2] L-rich region from 83 to 129 corrected with score 32

MDLFWRITFGTVLKGQSEIKDATPSDFVRATATPIQASLFPGWLIVGVALLAVPQSAS KIITLKEKQLALSKGVHFVCNHFMFVTVSVHEKXVAAEXBPXYAXVYFXQSIINF VRII1MXWXCWCR5KNPLLDDAYFVLCWHTNCYDYCIPNSVTSIVTGSQD7TTSP1S EHDYQ1QTYEKEEGQVCYLVHELSDYQVQYQLSTQLSTDGDGHTFIVYVNDTEP EEHHQ1HTIDSGGVVNPWNP1YVDTDDTTTTTSL

where X denotes a masked residue.

Against the nr, the iterative search converges at earlier steps, with or without compositional adjustment and/or compositional bias masking; against the Virus section, false positives with the unmasked query arise, at subsequent steps of the iterative search process (not shown). The only case which yields convergence by detecting M protein homologs is the masked query by CAST against the Virus section, without adjustment.

4.3. Confirmation with an outlier sequence

The M protein homologs can also be detected by Zaria bat virus Orf3a (ADY17912.1) in an equivalent search, with the same outcome (aligned positions), and multiple (over 40) iterations (not shown).

This sequence is also masked by CAST, with threshold 30 – below:

>ADY17912.1 putative ORF3 protein [Zaria bat coronavirus] L-rich region from 50 to 123 corrected with score 35 (replaced by X):

MDYFKWSPFGLVNIIHPDPYVEPVARQSP1PHGTITSPTEHPTMLAGDCXCLTXPLLVYX XSSWLRADSXPAVXLRPALTLXGTPLVLFGQPSLVLVXAXAVTXVAYGCVSLRLA LAXCVSWSLPMADDCCDFVCYQSGYNNCFCYDPNGYVTLTVHNGVTCGSHITYGVS VADRIQVVTTRKNSYILQNTFDTGICIIAPIYADIAVVENHTVGDLPKSCPEYHIYDE PRATINVPL

as above.

4.4. Alignment editing and quality control

The original matches from the profile-driven searches was retained, as various multiple sequence alignment algorithms do not reproduce the detected sequence similarities. From the raw alignment (DS02), entries with sequence length ≤ 215 residues were removed, as a proxy action to exclude partial sequences, along with all sequences with undefined positions (typically marked by the X symbol in FASTA files). Removal of single undefined positions is critical, as we aim at maximum accuracy for model building, and does not affect results, due to sufficient redundancy in the generated dataset. This edit operation results in a version of 715 sequences, with description lines modified to eliminate alternative protein names (DS03, Fig. 1). There are 494 M protein and 221 Orf3a entries in the reference alignment of 715 sequences (see also “Coverage”). For visualization, editing and trimming of multiple sequence alignments, JalView 2 was used [45].

4.5. Multiple alignment processing

In the reference alignment (DS03), 29 positions are defined as ‘conserved’ at 60% identity level: M5*, T9*, I10*, Q38*, G49, L65, annotated in Pfam as Orf3a, of which only 11 are in nr (due to high redundancy). Of the 221 Orf3a entries in the reference alignment, the 11 entries in nr are detected, along with an additional 210 homologs which are not annotated by Pfam (DS05). There are also 7724 entries in the NCBI Protein database annotated in Pfam as M protein, of which 330 are in nr. Of the 494 M protein entries in the reference alignment, the 330 entries in nr are detected, along with an additional 164 homologs which are not annotated by Pfam (DS06). Thus, coverage is also 100%, extended by new hits. In all, we detect 210 and 164 ‘novel’ homologs for Orf3a and M protein, respectively. The reference alignment contains all representative members of the two connected families.

4.6. Coverage analysis

With specificity at 100% (no false positives), assessed by visual inspection and checks of full sequence records, sensitivity was also addressed. There are 3980 entries in the NCBI Protein database annotated in Pfam as Orf3a, of which only 11 are in nr (due to high redundancy). Of the 221 Orf3a entries in the reference alignment, the 11 entries in nr are detected, along with an additional 210 homologs which are not annotated by Pfam (DS05). There are also 7724 entries in the NCBI Protein database annotated in Pfam as M protein, of which 330 are in nr. Of the 494 M protein entries in the reference alignment, the 330 entries in nr are detected, along with an additional 164 homologs which are not annotated by Pfam (DS06). Thus, coverage is also 100%, extended by new hits. In all, we detect 210 and 164 ‘novel’ homologs for Orf3a and M protein, respectively. The reference alignment contains all representative members of the two connected families.

4.7. Phylogenetic tree

When 100% redundancy (i.e. identical sequences) is eliminated, the number of sequences drops to 492 (DS07, Fig. 2). FastTree was used to calculate phylogenetic relationships with LG/gamma options [46] on the NGPhylogeny servers [47], from the trimmed, non-redundant alignment (DS08). Due to the criteria imposed for quality control, no entries from the Delta group of coronaviruses are kept: those are recoverable from the raw alignment (DS02). Trees were visualized and processed by iCyTree [48] and iTOL [49].
4.8. Cross-family similarities

To check whether the original alignment can be consistently generated, it was split into two groups (494 M protein and 221 Orf3a sequence entries) and submitted to profile-profile matching with MAFFT [50], that also identifies the same conserved regions. Cross-family similarities were computed with AlignmentViewer [51] and dimensionality reduction was aided by UMAP [52] as implemented in AlignmentViewer. Color-coding for sequence glyphs was selected according to MView [53], using a residue width and height of 1 pixel. Annotations and the reference alignment processed for input to AlignmentViewer are available (DS09).

4.9. Model generation

Representative members of Orf3a and M protein families were selected as targets for model building on the basis of their similarity to the native structure that was used as a template (Fig. 2a). The nine models (three for Orf3a, including the template with missing gaps as a control, and six M proteins from the beta coronavirus group) are named as {a-i} throughout this study, with their sequence identifiers listed (Table S2). Model building was based on the trimmed, non-redundant alignment and executed with Swiss-model [54]. Structures were visualized with UCSF Chimera [55]. All models are made available in PDB format (DS10).

4.10. Quality control

Protein structure model quality parameters were recorded, including QMEAN [56], a size-independent metric that integrates key model descriptors in a linear combination. Beta-carbon and all-atom interaction potentials, solvation parameters and torsion angle potential metrics are listed for comparison (Table S2). Numbers are all negative, indicating inferior quality of models for detailed structural work; however, as stated elsewhere, models at this level of resolution and quality can be useful to interpret sequence variation. The low average QMEAN reflects all regions of the model, yet local quality of specific residues may be high.

4.11. Conserved residues for models

Of the 29 residues defined as (quasi)-conserved (DS11), 5 are not available in the template structure (N-terminal, as stated; one is missing from the loop between β3/β4). The remaining 24 equivalent positions for Orf3a and M protein homolog models are based on the trimmed alignment (DS07) and sub-divided into quasi- and conserved (Table 1). As model {a} does not contain any gaps, the numbering scheme holds for all models (Fig. 2b), but actual residue coordinates at corresponding positions might differ for models {b-i}. Also, for M protein homologs {d-i}, residue types can be different (Table 1), as models represent select cases not necessarily having the majority features of M proteins elsewhere (e.g. alpha CoV group).

4.12. Model interpretation

Topology diagrams were generated using Pro-Origami [58] and processed / annotated for detailed structure interpretation (Figure S5). In all cases, secondary structure elements were defined by DSSP [59].

4.13. Phylogenetic view

To visualize phylogenetic profiles for the two families, MicroReact was used [60], with a tree generated for 89 representative genomes of coronaviruses [26]. Corresponding input files for MicroReact (csv, nwk) are available (DS12, Fig. 4).

4.14. Functional genomics

For Orf3a and M protein of SARS-CoV-2, protein interaction data was obtained from recent systematic experimental investigations [36]. Additional protein-small molecule associations were extracted from the gene and drug set library [37] and the virus-host-drug interactome [38].
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