Addressing the stability issue of perovskite solar cells for commercial applications
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When translating photovoltaic technology from laboratory to commercial products, low cost, high power conversion efficiency, and high stability (long lifetime) are the three key metrics to consider in addition to other factors, such as low toxicity, low energy payback time, etc. As one of the most promising photovoltaic materials with high efficiency, today organic–inorganic metal halide perovskites draw tremendous attention from fundamental research, but their practical relevance still remains unclear owing to the notorious short device operation time. In this comment, we discuss the stability issue of perovskite photovoltaics and call for standardized protocols for device characterizations that could possibly match the silicon industrial standards.

The golden triangle
Organic–inorganic metal halide perovskite solar cells (PSCs), usually represented by methylammonium lead triiodide (MAPbI3), have witnessed great achievement since the first demonstration of PSC in 2009. The certified power conversion efficiency (PCE) has risen from 14.1 to 23.3% within a few years, which is the fastest growing photovoltaic (PV) technology in history1.

Besides the efficiency, lifetime (or stability) and cost, i.e., the golden triangle, are considered to gauge the technical feasibility for commercialization of PV technologies (Fig. 1). More than 90% of the current market share of the commercialized PVs is taken by silicon PV because it delivers a package of decent module efficiency of 21%, long lifetime of more than 25 years and low cost of 0.3 $ W−1 that is reaching the grid parity. In comparison, perovskite single cells hold promise because of their efficiency reaching 23% and above and low manufacturing cost, which has been estimated to be able to reach the half of that of crystalline Si2. However, the stability of perovskite solar cells is quite problematic. So far, the longest lifetime reported for PSCs is about one year3, which is much shorter than 25 years as expected from commercialized PV technologies. It is thus clear that the short lifetime is the main obstacle hindering the commercialization of PSC PV4.

Addressing the stability issue
The lifetime of PSCs is affected by many factors, which can be classified into two categories: extrinsic (environmental) and intrinsic factors. Environmental factors such as moisture and...
oxygen can be settled by encapsulation and the most critical issues are due to the intrinsic instability of the bulk perovskite material and the interface between the perovskite and the charge transport layers.

There are three main intrinsic factors leading to perovskite instability: hygroscopicity, thermal instability, and ion migration. The hygroscopicity is related to the environmental factors and can also be solved by encapsulation. The thermal instability can be addressed by composition tuning to increase the decomposition energy or barrier, e.g., with FA cations. Lastly, the issue of ion migration is currently treated by A site alkali doping and replacement, multiple dimensional perovskites engineering (MDPs) and organic molecular additives. In fact, the ion migration is almost unavoidable in all halide perovskites due to the high external field applied across the thin films during the J-V scan and the high ionic mobility, and the situation is worse at the defective sites, grain boundaries, and the interfaces. Nevertheless, we believe the ion migration could be impeded or even prevented by passivating the grain boundary, higher sample quality (reducing the grain boundary), and most promisingly, increasing the ion migration barrier by engineering the packing density of the crystal lattice via ion substitution.

Charge transport layers are in direct contact with the photoactive perovskite layer and should protect it from environmental factors such as moisture, heavy metal ions in the electrodes besides their charge transporting functions. Currently, the most commonly used hole transport layer Spiro-OMeTAD must be replaced due to its high hygroscopicity, tendency to crystallize, and vulnerability to both moisture and heat. So far, robust metal oxide, carbon and other inorganic materials have been shown as efficient methods to increase the device stability, but in the meantime, the PCE in these devices remains to be optimized.

As a quick comparison, the resulted device efficiency and stability of above strategies are shown in Fig. 2a. The best lifetime obtained for perovskite solar cells is 10,000 h (around 1 year), but the PCE is only 12%. If we set an efficiency threshold of 20%, the best light-soaking stability is only 1000 h. Our target can be set at efficiency of around 20% and a lifetime of 15 years to benchmark to Si PV (see the last section). The “real” cost of PSCs. Currently the device efficiency and stability are not simultaneously optimized, but there is no principle of physics prohibiting the achievement of both high efficiency and high stability in PSCs. We believe it is a matter of time to catch up with silicon given the tremendous momentum and the continuous input in the field of perovskite solar cells. The field has entered the phase where incremental and technical improvements should be appreciated. These incremental improvements will be accumulated to push the performance metrics to the limits and thus we believe all types of strategies are welcome.

**Unified stability tests and accelerated aging tests**

While the research interest on the stability studies is growing rapidly, the published stability tests have been conducted in a wide range of non-standard conditions, making it impossible to compare the lifetime tests between different labs. Here we’d like to suggest “25 °C, encapsulated or inert gas protected device, maximum power point (MPP) tracing, AM 1.5 light soaking” as the standard test conditions to mimic the real scenario (device temperature could increase after light soaking, while for standard testing, a heat sink is applied to maintain constant temperature). Only a subset of standard test conditions is fulfilled in laboratory tests for perovskite solar cells. For example, stability under certain relative humidity or ambient condition is sometimes reported. We would like to point out that the real solar panels are always encapsulated to protect the module from rainfall, dust and mechanical damage, so the air stability should not be a primary concern. Actually, tests done in the highly humid condition should be part of the accelerated aging tests.

In the next step approaching industrial standards (such as IEC 61215 and 61646 for crystal and thin film solar cells, respectively), damp heat condition like “85 °C and 85% relative humidity for 1000 h operational lifetime” should be implemented, as also suggested by Nazeeruddin et al. This can be done in association with the protocol of accelerated aging tests for perovskite solar cells. Real time tests of 2000 and 20,000 h take three months and two years to complete, respectively. It is infeasible and unacceptable to do a 2-year real time tests before publishing the results so the accelerated aging tests is the way to go.

To avoid the uncertainty caused by the encapsulation process, an easier way of conducting accelerate test is to test at 85 °C on a hot plate or in an oven under the dry nitrogen environment, with or without light soaking. In the meantime, the encapsulation technique is readily available from the OLED industry and enables more stringent and systematic tests. Following the industrial standards, the device should be eventually measured as a function of a series of higher temperatures, light intensity and humidity etc. The current goals are firstly to build the relationship between the accelerated aging lifetime and the real lifetime for PSCs and secondly to achieve 1000 h lifetime (80% retention of its initial efficiency) under 85 °C and 85% relative humidity.
We believe the stability research is of vital importance to bring the perovskite technology to real applications.

The **“real” cost of PSCs**

Levelized cost of energy (LCOE) is a good, but not yet perfect performance index that can reflect the competitiveness and potential attractiveness of the PV technology. LCOE is defined as the net present value of the unit-cost of power (in US cents kWh⁻¹) over the lifetime of a power generating asset, such as a solar plant, wherein the unit-cost of power equals to the total cost divided by total power output. The nice thing about LCOE is that it takes into account all the three key parameters in the PV golden triangle. If the module PCE increases, it leads to proportionally higher total power output that approximately cuts off the unit cost of power, i.e., lowers the LCOE in proportion. Alternatively, LCOE can be cut off by prolonging the lifetime of the PV module. Here we show the dependence of estimated LCOE of perovskite PV on the device lifetime (Fig. 2b) which is also close to “inverse proportional”. Given the similar dependence of LCOE on PCE and lifetime, the community should therefore shift the focus on to the stability study given the fact that the efficiency is nearly saturated. To be competitive with the dominating c-Si PV improvements, which are less relevant to the technical aspect. The discount rate (interest) is assumed to be 5% per year. No incentives, government subsidies, nor financing methods are considered.

In conclusion, perovskite PV has demonstrated good processability and high efficiency compared to conventional PV and the stability issue seems to be the last technological barrier for its commercialization. Rigorous research efforts on material development and device engineering are demanded to achieve both high efficiency and longer lifetime, guided by ongoing studies of the degradation mechanism in parallel. In the meantime, we urge the community to consider adopting standardized protocols to characterize perovskite solar modules and thus making fair comparisons between results, based on which the performance metrics could thus be contextualized towards future commercial applications.
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