Perfluorohexane-encapsulated fullerene nanospheres for dual-modality US/CT imaging and synergistic high-intensity focused ultrasound ablation
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Purpose: The objective of this study was to develop a multifunctional contrast agent for bioimaging and synergistic high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) therapy to achieve theranostic.

Materials and methods: A novel type of perfluorohexane-encapsulated fullerene (PFH-C₆₀) nanosphere was successfully developed via a vacuum ultrasonic emulsification and centrifugation method and subsequently used in ultrasound/computed tomography (CT) dual-modality and HIFU ablation of dissected bovine livers. In addition, transmission electron microscopic examination was employed to detect structural changes in the target tissue for HIFU ablation.

Results: The use of composite nanospheres effectively enhanced ultrasound and CT imaging. Moreover, the HIFU ablation of dissected bovine livers was also significantly enhanced.

Conclusion: Composite nanospheres demonstrate potential theranostic application as a multifunctional contrast agent for dual-modality biological imaging and highly efficient synergistic imaging-guided HIFU ablation.
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Introduction

Within recent years, local thermal ablation of tumors has become an important treatment method, in comparison with methods that employ radiation high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) is a novel noninvasive, local tumor ablation technique that has undergone rapid development over the past few years.¹⁻⁶ Lynn et al originally proposed HIFU in the 1940s while performing neurologic surgery.⁷ The HIFU technique utilizes high-energy-focusing devices, which focus external ultrasound energy on tumor tissues in vivo and generate high temperatures at the focal point, and thereby exert thermal effects, cavitation effects, and mechanical action, resulting in protein denaturation and irreversible coagulation necrosis of tumor tissues, which then become gradually absorbed or form scars.⁵,⁷ The HIFU technique has been successfully applied in the treatment of a variety of benign and malignant solid tumors.⁸ Compared to other treatment modalities (radiation therapy, chemotherapy, etc), HIFU permits noninvasive, real-time monitoring before, during, and after the therapeutic process.⁵ However, ultrasonic waves tend to undergo energy decay when propagated in tissues, particularly deep tissues, thereby affecting the therapeutic effects of HIFU.⁹⁻¹¹ High ultrasound power is absolutely necessary to achieve favorable therapeutic outcomes. Such high
power ultrasound energy could also lead to the destruction of normal tissue in the path of ultrasound transmission, leading to serious side effects such as nerve damage, skin burns, and transient pain.\textsuperscript{12–14} Thus, particularly for HIFU therapy, high therapeutic efficiency with low energy is required.

Microbubbles have been widely recognized for their strong enhancement effects, and they can improve the efficacy of HIFU via enhanced thermal and cavitation effects.\textsuperscript{15–17} However, microbubbles have relatively large diameters. As a result, the occurrence and scope of cavitation are both uncontrollable and may result in displacement of the treatment area, thus damaging normal tissue. Therefore, the clinical application of microbubbles as HIFU enhancement agents is limited.\textsuperscript{18} In light of this, we proposed a nanoscale substance that would be directly introduced in the vicinity of target cells and produce bubbles under the effect of HIFU. This substance could exert enhancement effects similar to those of microbubbles. Several studies have reported that the introduction of HIFU enhancement agents, such as the perfluorohexane (PFH)-encapsulated nanocapsules, can increase energy deposition in target tissues and thus significantly enhance the therapeutic efficiency of HIFU.\textsuperscript{5,19} As a member of the large liquid fluorocarbon family of compounds, PFH has a boiling point of 58°C–60°C.\textsuperscript{20} Liquid fluorocarbons can transition from the liquid state to the gaseous state after being triggered by ultrasound stimulation or heating. In addition, ultrasound stimulation is considered the most effective means to promote acoustic droplet vaporization (ADV).\textsuperscript{18,21}

Over the span of 33 years, fullerenes (C\textsubscript{60}) have attracted the attention of scientists because of their unique chemical and physical properties. Functionalized fullerenes have been investigated for biomedical applications, such as radiation protection, drug delivery, magnetic resonance imaging contrast agents, photodynamic therapy, and gene therapy. Under specific conditions, fullerenes have the unique ability to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and killer cells. Depending on their functionalization, fullerenes can effectively inactivate malignant cancer cells and pathogenic microbial cells.\textsuperscript{22,23} In light of these findings, in this study, we synthesized a new material composed of nanospheres as a HIFU enhancement agent based on a liquid perfluorocarbon (ie, PFH) and fullerene. We also conducted a preliminary investigation of its use with computed tomography (CT) and ultrasound imaging technologies and examined its HIFU enhancement effects via in vitro experiments. We integrated CT and ultrasonography for two main reasons. First, CT and ultrasonography are both diagnostic modalities that are generally applied in clinical and various experimental settings. Second, ultrasonography is widely used as a real-time imaging measure to guide HIFU therapy; however, its images can be affected by several factors, such as air in the lung, bones, and intestinal gas. On the other hand, CT is not adversely affected by these factors. The integration of CT and ultrasonography should provide more comprehensive information to facilitate accurate imaging guidance and diagnosis.

Materials and methods

Preparation of liquid PFH nanospheres

The materials used hydroxy fullerene powder (polyhydroxy-
C\textsubscript{60}; Suzhou Dade Carbon Nanotechnology Co., Ltd, People’s Republic of China), 25% ethanol, and liquid PFH (formula: F\textsubscript{14}C\textsubscript{60}, with a boiling point of 58°C–60°C). The main equipment used an electronic balance (FA1004N; Shanghai, People’s Republic of China), ultrasonic wave processor (VCY-500C; Shanghai, People’s Republic of China), and a high-speed centrifuge (TG18; Pingfan Instrument and Meter Co., Ltd, Changsha, People’s Republic of China).

Vacuum ultrasonic emulsification and centrifugation methods were used. Hydroxy-modified fullerene (50 µg) was dissolved in 10 mL of 25% ethanol and placed into a sealed tube. After vacuuming, 4 mL of liquid PFH was injected to the solution, following which ultrasonication was applied at 50 W for 40 seconds to obtain an aggregation-free suspension. The suspension was sealed and stored under refrigeration for 12–24 hours. The suspension was then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes and subjected to three cycles of washing with double-distilled water, followed by centrifugation and collection. Subsequently, black-brown solid nanospheres were obtained, and the lower layer of the nanospheres was collected and placed in a refrigerator at 4°C until further use.

Detection of particle size and potential of PFH-C\textsubscript{60}

The size distribution and morphological characterization of PFH-C\textsubscript{60} nanospheres were estimated by a scanning electron microscope (SEM, S-3400N; Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). A Laser Particle Size Analyzer System (Zetasizer Nano ZS90; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) was used to acquire the mean size of PFH-C\textsubscript{60} nanospheres.

Double modal ultrasound imaging and CT of PFH-C\textsubscript{60} in vitro

A series of the same concentrations of PFH-C\textsubscript{60} solutions and C\textsubscript{60} solutions (using normal saline as the solvent) were placed in transparent Eppendorf tubes, and normal saline...
(NS) served as the blank control. Using the JC200 HIFU system (HIFU Technology Co.Ltd., Chongqing, People’s Republic of China), we adjusted the focus and placed the Eppendorf tubes at the focal position of the HIFU probe. Different levels of acoustic power (200 and 400 W) were applied to one pulse of irradiation for 3, 6, or 9 seconds. Ultrasound images, both before and after HIFU irradiation were acquired. A series of different concentrations of PFH-C₆₀ solutions and a C₆₀ solution (using NS as the solvent) were placed in transparent Eppendorf tubes, and NS served as the blank control. The echo intensity of the region of interest was determined by using US imaging analysis software (Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, People’s Republic of China). A GE16 slice CT scanner (Lightspeed, GE Medical System, Waukesha, WI, USA) was used to obtain images. The Eppendorf tubes were arranged in order and fixed in a holder, and the scanning parameters were adjusted to the position of the layer of interest to collect CT images. Six experimental groups were analyzed: C₆₀ (4.0 mg/mL) solution; pure PFH; and PFH-C₆₀ groups (with the following C₆₀ concentrations: 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0 mg/mL).

Qualitative evaluation of the sonodynamic effects produced by PFH-C₆₀
Different concentrations of PFH-C₆₀ solution (with C₆₀ concentrations of 4.0 and 8.0 mg/mL) and a solution of C₆₀ (with a concentration of 8.0 mg/mL) were placed in transparent Eppendorf tubes, each of which contained phenol solution. An unirradiated solution of C₆₀ served as the blank control. Using the JC200 HIFU system, we adjusted the focus and placed the Eppendorf tubes at the focal position of the HIFU probe. Different levels of acoustic power (100, 200, and 300 W) were applied to one pulse of irradiation for 3, 6, and 9 seconds. Images of the Eppendorf tubes were acquired both before and after HIFU irradiation.

In vitro HIFU synergistic ablation efficiency
Fresh dissected bovine livers (commercially purchased from the Chongqing Jiangbei cattle and sheep Muslim Market, Chongqing, People’s Republic of China) were placed in 0.9% NS at 37°C for 30 minutes to keep the samples warm and remove air bubbles. Samples of the experimental bovine livers (12×8×6 cm) were placed in acoustically transparent methyl methacrylate containers. The entire liver bodies were covered in degassed water, and 1 mL of PFH-C₆₀ (with a C₆₀ concentration of 8.0 mg/mL) was injected directly into the degassed bovine livers. Using the JC200 focused ultrasound tumor therapy system, the injection sites were monitored in real time by HIFU ultrasound imaging, and the tissues were subjected to HIFU irradiation immediately after injection. The control livers were injected in the same manner with either NS or C₆₀ and subjected to similar irradiation conditions (200 W for 9 seconds). After irradiation, changes in the video intensity of sonogram were evaluated, and the zones with altered intensities were manually traced by an experienced technician. The instrument automatically calculated the sizes of areas with gray scale changes, and the corresponding values of those gray scale changes. The conditions associated with gray scale changes were compared both before and after irradiation in each group. The bovine livers were then removed and sectioned until the level containing the maximum amount of damage was reached. The maximum dimension (length) of damage was determined from the z-axis (L), and the width was measured along the vertical x-axis (W). Continuous lesions were produced in the same plane (x, y) by moving the HIFU generator. The ablation volumes (V [mm³]) were calculated using the following formula:\( V = \pi \times L \times W^2/6 \).

Ultrastructural detection of ablation zone via transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
Bovine tissue samples of the NS group, C₆₀ control group, and PFH-C₆₀ groups were treated with irradiation, and bovine liver tissues (~1 mm³ in size (4–5 sections from each group) were quickly dissected, fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde, re-fixed in 2% osmic acid, dehydrated in serial alcohol gradients, and embedded to generate sections for electron microscopy. After uranyl acetate and lead citrate double staining, the samples were observed under a HITACHI-600 TEM (Hitachi).

Data analysis and statistical methods
We used SPSS17.0 statistical software program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for statistical analysis of the data. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± SD. Comparisons among multiple groups of means were made using single factor ANOVA, and a P-value (error probability) <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
A schematic diagram of the synthesis of PFH-C₆₀ and its mechanism of action in HIFU applications is shown in Scheme 1. First, the fullerenes (C₆₀) were prepared and
temperature sensitive PFH was then carried into and between C₆₀ shells by an ultrasonic emulsion process, and combined by van der Waals forces to form PFH-C₆₀ nanospheres. After exposure to HIFU under previously specified conditions, the liquid PFH was vaporized into a large number of small bubbles, which accumulated and swelled into larger bubbles after accumulating in the targeted tissues. This phenomenon was expected to trigger a series of multiple effects, such as cavitation, mechanical oscillation, free-radical release, and cell membrane opening, thereby synergistically enhancing HIFU ablation.

Physical characteristics of the PFH-C₆₀ nanospheres

After being dissolved in NS, the PFH-C₆₀ solution appeared as a suspension of gray particles (Figure 4A) without aggregation and with obvious stratification. As shown in Figure 1A and B, via SEM observation, the periphery of the PFH-C₆₀ nanospheres had a regular polygonal shape, and the spheres exhibited good dispersibility and a smooth surface, without an obvious aggregation or adhesion. Using the Malvern laser particle size analyzer, we determined the average diameter of the PFH-C₆₀ nanospheres (⌀) to be 278.3±51.0 nm, with a focused distribution of particle sizes as shown in Figure 1C.

Double modal ultrasound and CT imaging effects of PFH-C₆₀ in vitro

The ultrasound results of PFH-C₆₀ in vitro are shown in Figure 2. Before HIFU irradiation, the C₆₀ group, PFH-C₆₀ groups, and the NS group were all hypoechoic in ultrasound images. After HIFU irradiation, the echoes were all enhanced (Figure 2A–C), and the PFH-C₆₀ groups showed more significant enhancement than the C₆₀ and NS groups. Figure 2D shows the gray value of each group after HIFU irradiation, the PFH-C₆₀ group showed the largest gray value. Figure 3A shows the CT images of PFH-C₆₀ in vitro. In comparison with the C₆₀ group, the PFH-C₆₀ group exhibited >20-fold increase in CT value. In addition, the CT value increased with increasing concentrations of C₆₀. As shown in Figure 3B, when the C₆₀ concentration was 4.0 mg/L, the CT value stabilized, indicating that PFH packing had reached saturation. The CT values of PFH-C₆₀ were all above 400 and showed very good effects of CT enhancement.

Qualitative detection of the sonodynamic effects produced by PFH-C₆₀

Before HIFU irradiation, the solutions in the Eppendorf tubes of all groups were gray (Figure 4A). After HIFU irradiation, the color of all three groups showed notable changes. However, the conditions that led to the color change in each group and the degree of color change were not the same. In the two groups with PFH-C₆₀ solutions, the conditions that led to color change consisted of 200 W for 6 and 9 seconds and 300 W for 6 and 9 seconds, whereas the conditions of the C₆₀ solution group consisted of 300 W for 9 seconds. The group with a higher concentration of PFH-C₆₀ showed more pronounced effects than the group with a lower concentration. The PFH-C₆₀ (C₆₀ 8.0 mg/mL) group was irradiated for 200 W/9
seconds, and the solution turned dark brown (Figure 4B). The PFH-C$_{60}$ (C$_{60}$ 4.0 mg/mL) group was irradiated for 200 W/9 seconds, and the solution turned brown (Figure 4C). Whereas the color of the C$_{60}$ (8.0 mg/mL) group under higher intensity irradiation parameters of 300 W/9 seconds showed only a slight change to light brown (Figure 4D).

**In vitro HIFU enhancement effects**

After HIFU ablation, the area affected by coagulation necrosis was grayish-white and showed clear boundaries with the surrounding tissue. Figure 5A depicts the sonograms of each group immediately after HIFU ablation, under similar conditions of duration, probe frequency, and radiation acoustic power and time. Figure 5B shows a diverse scope and extent of bovine liver ablation and the gross appearance of different groups after HIFU irradiation. Figure 5C–E shows the volume of coagulation necrosis, gray areas, and gray value of each group after HIFU irradiation. The results showed that the PFH-C$_{60}$ group was the most effective group.

**TEM detection of ultrastructures**

Figure 6A shows that the NS group presented cell membranes and nuclear membranes were intact, the mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum were slightly distended. However, in the other two groups, especially in the PFH-C$_{60}$ group, the structure of cells were not clear, and most cell membranes and nuclear membranes were interrupted or undefined, although some were lysed, which further demonstrates the synergistic effect. Moreover, in the group receiving the injection of C$_{60}$ and PFH-C$_{60}$ solution, C$_{60}$ nanoparticles were seen regularly depositing in the cytoplasm around the nuclear membranes in residual liver cells (Figure 6B and C), indicating that the
Figure 2 Ex vivo evaluations of the efficiencies of the same irradiation powers and time durations for ultrasound imaging under different groups [NS (A); C$_{60}$ solutions (B); PFH-C$_{60}$ solutions (C); left images: before irradiation, right images: after irradiation]. (D) Corresponding gray values of the interest region (each column is the average of three data points).

Abbreviations: NS, normal saline; C$_{60}$, fullerene; PFH, perfluorohexane.
The multifunctional PFH-C$_{60}$ nanosphere developed in our study exhibited favorable characteristics for US/CT imaging and synergistic HIFU therapy. The average particle size of PFH-C$_{60}$ is about 287 nm, which is in line with the particle size range of nanoscale materials. In scanning electron microscopy, PFH-C$_{60}$ nanospheres exhibit structural features that are similar to football-like shapes. In ultrasound imaging studies, when sound beams enter the vicinity of interfaces with differences in acoustic impedance, the sound beams will be reflected at the interfaces. After HIFU irradiation, the PFH-C$_{60}$ solution showed a more significantly enhanced echo signal than those of the other groups, may be closely associated with the fact that the HIFU irradiation met or exceeded the threshold of PFH phase changes and caused the PFH-C$_{60}$ nanospheres to be transformed into bubbles. Therefore, the principle of ultrasound imaging of the PFH-C$_{60}$ solution is based mainly on the backscattering of bubbles generated by PFH phase transition, whereas the principle of ultrasound imaging of the C$_{60}$ solution is based on aggregate imaging. From the perspective of the imaging principles, the effects of aggregate imaging are far less than those of bubble backscattering.

In the CT imaging studies, the PFH-C$_{60}$ group showed better results than the other groups. When the concentration of PFH was kept constant, the CT value increased with increasing of C$_{60}$ concentration. When the C$_{60}$ concentrations were 3.0 and 4.0 mg/mL, respectively, the CT value showed no change significant change. This may have been due to saturation of the C$_{60}$ carrying PFH. Regarding the qualitative detection of sonodynamic effects, the color change in the PFH-C$_{60}$ solution groups was attributed to the production of ROS and free radicals, which led to the rapid oxidation of phenol benzene to produce quinine. For the in vitro PFH-C$_{60}$ bimodal ultrasound/CT imaging and qualitative detection of the sonodynamic effects, multiple groups were subjected to different concentrations of C$_{60}$ and different HIFU irradiation conditions; however, the results showed notable images under only under one set of conditions: HIFU irradiation 200 W/9 seconds and C$_{60}$ 8.0 mg/mL. The primary reason was that this part of the experiment, in addition to the imaging enhancement effect, can also achieve selection of a set of optimization parameters in the subsequent in vitro bovine liver HIFU synergistic experiment; then, the setting
of many sub-experiments under different concentrations of C60 and HIFU irradiation parameters inevitably resulted in several images from the applied ultrasound. Attempting to attach all the obtained images would occupy a large amount of space; however, the most critical is to select the optimal parameters from these results for the next experiment. Therefore, the results did not show all the images, but just only showed the ultrasound images under the optimized parameters (200 W/9 seconds), which was the same parameters as the in vitro bovine liver HIFU synergistic experiment.

Regarding the in vitro HIFU enhancement effects, the parameters of 200 W and 9 seconds were mainly chosen because the HIFU thermal effects at this energy level may reach the PFH boiling point and lead to sonodynamic effects. This choice was also based on the optimization data obtained in the experiment of in vitro PFH-C60 bimodal ultrasound/CT imaging and qualitative detection of the sonodynamic effects. The in vitro bovine liver irradiation experiment compared the PFH-C60 experimental group to the NS and C60 groups and showed that when subjected to the same irradiation duration and acoustic energy, the PFH-C60 experimental group was the first group to form significant triangular areas of coagulation necrosis that were visible to the naked eye. Under an electron microscope, the irrad-
ated areas of the PFH-\(C_{60}\) experimental group showed more significant nuclear fragmentation and karyolysis than the other groups. This confirmed that PFH-\(C_{60}\) can exert significant HIFU enhancement effects, achieved through multiple mechanisms, such as cavitation and sonochemical reactions. In sonodynamic therapy, the activation of sonosensitive agents by ultrasonic acoustic cavitation can be attributed to the generation of ROS. Ultrasound radiation induces cavitation around the surface of tumor cells, which can produce sonochemical reactions, the rupture of living cells, white noise, and emission of light or sonoluminescence. When a sonosensitive agent attached to the surface of a tumor cell is exposed to sonoluminescence, the sensitizer is activated from its ground state to an excited state. When the activated sonosensitive agent returns to its ground state, the released energy can generate free radicals and ROS, which directly mediate cytotoxicity. Thus, PFH-\(C_{60}\) can be used as a potential sonosensitizer for the treatment of tumors in combination with ultrasound. Upon HIFU irradiation of the target, PFH-\(C_{60}\) showed a liquid–gas phase transition; that is, ADV caused the nanospheres to be transformed into microbubbles. The vibration of the microbubbles enhanced thermal energy deposition, thus improving the efficiency of HIFU, reducing the inertial cavitation threshold, and enhancing focused ultrasound cavitation. These processes can achieve HIFU enhancement by changing the acoustic environment of tissues and thereby enhancing energy deposition at target areas. Ultrasonic cavitation is an effective measure by which low-density elastic wave energy can be concentrated into a higher density. Subsequently, the energy becomes focused into a very small volume and generates an intense effect with the bursting and vibration of bubbles; the energy produced can have a disruptive effect in cells and tissue.

The administration of PFH-\(C_{60}\) induced the most significant signal enhancement, which implies that PFH-\(C_{60}\) could produce the highest synergistic effect in in vitro HIFU enhancement studies. The PFH-\(C_{60}\) complex underwent reactions with free radical and had a strong acoustic dynamic effect in target areas. In addition, previous studies have shown that \(C_{60}\) and its derivatives have the potential for a wide range of applications in the pharmaceutical industry and can inhibit or kill cancer cells or abnormal cells in vitro under specific conditions. A novel type of phase changeable nanosphere was developed as a dual-mode bioimaging contrast agent for high-efficiency ultrasound and CT imaging, and synergist for HIFU, through the in situ introduction of a PFH droplet into fullerene (\(C_{60}\)) nanospheres via a vacuum emulsion centrifugation process. The PFH-\(C_{60}\) nanospheres exhibited excellent in vitro contrast-enhanced imaging capabilities for ultrasound and CT bimodal bioimaging. Notably, as demonstrated by the results of the present bovine liver ablation study, the PFH-\(C_{60}\) nanospheres have been recognized as potentiators that enhance the therapeutic efficiency of HIFU in the non-invasive ablation procedures.

**Conclusion**

We developed perfluorohexane-encapsulated fullerene nanospheres as dual-mode bioimaging contrast agents via a vacuum ultrasonic emulsification and centrifugation method, for effective ultrasound and CT imaging, and synergists for HIFU surgery introduced by in-situ. The present results confirm the excellent contrast-enhanced imaging capabilities of the PFH-\(C_{60}\) nanospheres for ultrasound and CT bimodal bioimaging. Notably, the PFH-\(C_{60}\) nanospheres have been recognized as potentiators that enhance the therapeutic efficiency of HIFU in the non-invasive ablation procedures.
Thus, the application of PFH-\textsubscript{C}_{60} composite nanospheres is a potential technique for bimodal bioimaging and enhanced imaging-guided non-invasive HIFU therapy.
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