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Abstract

The experience in the field of islet transplantation shows that it is possible to replace β cells in a patient with type 1 diabetes (T1D), but this cell therapy is limited by the scarcity of organ donors and by the danger associated to the immunosuppressive drugs. Stem cell therapy is becoming a concrete opportunity to treat various diseases. In particular, for a disease like T1D, caused by the loss of a single specific cell type that does not need to be transplanted back in its originating site to perform its function, a stem cell-based cell replacement therapy seems to be the ideal cure. New and infinite sources of β cells are strongly required. In this review, we make an overview of the most promising and advanced β cell production strategies. Particular hope is placed in pluripotent stem cells (PSC), both embryonic (ESC) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC). The first phase 1/2 clinical trials with ESC-derived pancreatic progenitor cells are ongoing in the United States and Canada, but a successful strategy for the use of PSC in patients with diabetes has still to overcome several important hurdles. Another promising strategy of generation of new β cells is the transdifferentiation of adult cells, both intra-pancreatic, such as alpha, exocrine and ductal cells or extra-pancreatic, in particular liver cells. Finally, new advances in gene editing technologies have given impetus to research on the production of human organs in chimeric animals and on in situ reprogramming of adult cells through in vivo target gene activation.

Introduction

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is an autoimmune disorder characterized by insulin-producing β cell death caused by autoreactive T cells (1). T1D contributes to 10% of the total 422 million diabetes cases worldwide. Although T1D is no more considered to be restricted to children and adolescents, it is one of the most common chronic diseases of childhood, and its incidence is constantly increasing; if incidence rates continue to increase on their existing path, global incidence could double over the next decade (for review see (2)).

Since 1922, exogenous insulin administration has been the most important lifesaving intervention for all T1D patients; however, chronic insulin treatment is a double-edged sword since it fails to prevent long-term complications like ketoacidosis, kidney failure, cardiovascular diseases, neuropathy and retinopathy (3). The only possible definitive cure for this disease consists in providing a new β cell source capable of assessing blood sugar levels and secrete insulin in a glucose-dependent manner.

In the last 20 years, allogenic islets transplantation has been considered, in combination with a glucocorticoid-free immunosuppression, an unbeaten cell therapy approach for T1D patients reporting an excellent glucose control, improvement of chronic complications and quality of life (4). However, despite these promising
results, islets transplantation remains a limited therapy approach, due to the shortage of cadaveric donors, the variability of isolated human islets and the need for lifelong immunosuppression. Different approaches using stem cells to support islet survival and function are being explored in preclinical and clinical settings (5). Now, the most advanced strategies to solve these limitations are focused on embryonic/induced PSCs differentiation, reprogramming of adult somatic cells, and, more recently, generation of human organs with a chimera’s approach and gene therapy to induce in vivo target gene activation (Fig. 1). This review describes the most important β cell replacement approaches that have been developed over the last decades focusing on their progresses, challenges and limits.

Unlimited pancreatic β cell source requirement fostered studies on differentiation of pluripotent stem cell (PSC) into functional insulin-secreting cells. Embryonic stem cells (ESC) and induced PSCs (iPSC) have been eligible as new potential candidates to reach this goal due to their differentiation potential and their unlimited proliferation capacity maintaining an undifferentiated state (self-renewal) (6).

In 2006, Novocell (currently ViaCyte, Inc.) developed for the first time an efficient in vitro protocol to differentiate ESC into insulin-producing cells mimicking in vivo pancreatic organogenesis. Novocell’s ESC-derived β cells yielded up to 7% insulin content but cells were not able to respond to glucose stimulation, essential properties of bona fide β cells, due to their functional immature state (7). Two years later, they reported a novel differentiation approach transplanting ESC-derived pancreatic endoderm cells into immunodeficient mice obtaining, after a 3-month period of spontaneous in vivo differentiation and maturation, glucose-responsive endocrine cells. In fact 3 months after implant, the levels of human insulin in the sera of mice were sufficient to fully protect mice against streptozotocin (STZ)-induced
hyperglycemia (8). These findings laid the groundwork for the first clinical trial in phase I/II started in 2014 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02239354) by ViaCyte. Shortly, human ESC-derived pancreatic progenitors (named PEC-01) were encapsulated into an immune-protecting medical device (named En капtra drug delivery system) and transplanted in a small cohort of T1D patients. This trial aims to evaluate safety, long-term tolerability and efficacy of this system and the results are expected to be published soon.

Over the last 10 years, multiple variations have been made to the ViaCyte initial protocol in order to obtain an enrichment of pancreatic endocrine end products from differentiation of PSC in vitro. The most promising protocols were published in 2014 (9, 10) showing improvements in insulin secretion capacity of PSC-derived pancreatic cells. Rezania and colleagues described a seven-stage in vitro differentiation protocol that led to efficient ESC conversion into glucose-responsive insulin-producing cells. Indeed, in a static in vitro glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, these cells showed an insulin secretion pattern close to human islets and reversed diabetes in two months after transplantation in STZ-induced diabetic mice (9). In parallel, Melton’s group developed a different strategy using a three-dimensional cell culture system obtaining mature, mono-hormonal and functional stem cell-derived β cells. After only two weeks from transplantation, production of human insulin ameliorated hyperglycemia in NRG-Akita mice (10).

The main difference between these outstanding works consist indeed in the degree of maturation of the implanted cells and in the consequent timing of reversion of the disease: (i) ESC-derived pancreatic progenitor cells from ViaCyte require a 3-month period of in vivo maturation in mice to restore normoglycemia, (ii) mature pancreatic β cells from Rezania and colleagues are able to secrete insulin only after a 40-day period of further maturation in vivo, while (iii) functional β cells from Melton’s group ameliorated hyperglycemia after only two weeks. Whether it is better to transplant mature β cells or pancreatic progenitor cells capable of high efficiency in vivo differentiation is still under discussion and the results of ongoing clinical trials with pancreatic progenitor cells will provide an important point on this issue. Of course, the choice of cells at different stages of maturation has implications also on the safety issue, since it is assumed that cells at the stage of progenitors have a greater proliferative capacity and a certain degree of residual plasticity, which should drastically decrease in mature differentiated cells, making these last ones safer.

Despite these encouraging study results, several problems remain associated with the use of ESC: mainly the moral sensitivity regarding the use of human embryos for the production of ESC lines and the immune rejection of these cell lines by recipients in case of transplantation. To overcome these problems, in 2007, human pluripotent cells have been generated from somatic cells thanks to the world-renowned Yamanaka’s reprogramming protocol (11). From then onward, in parallel with ESC, several protocols have been published to differentiate human iPSC derived from healthy or diabetic patients into pancreatic β cells with encouraging results (10, 12, 13, 14). This approach could lead to ‘personalized’ therapies preventing allogeneic graft rejection. Autologous PSCs could be obtained by generation of iPSC from somatic cells of subjects with T1D (15) or by transfer of somatic cell nuclei into oocytes that give rise to PSCs that are consistently equivalent to ESC (16, 17). PSCs obtained from both these technologies were shown to be able to efficiently differentiate into insulin-producing cells (12, 18). However, therapeutic approach using in vitro or in vivo-differentiated PSC is still a big question mark due to the possibility of tumor formation, secondary to residual anarchical undifferentiated cells at the last stage of pancreatic β cell differentiation (8, 19) and to the auto/alloimmune reaction against engrafted PSC-derived β cells.

In particular, safety of the final cell product remains a major issue to be considered to achieve a PSC-based transplantation therapy. Possible solutions include generation of safer iPSC lines, purification of the differentiated cells or cell encapsulation into medical devices. The most promising strategies at the moment are:

1. Non-viral and non-integrating gene delivery methods (transposons, protein transduction and RNA/miRNA transfection) for somatic cells reprogramming into PSC that decrease random transgene insertion into the host genome compared to previous retrovirus and lentivirus transduction (for review see (20)). With these strategies, it is possible to obtain iPSC without transgene integrations so as to avoid insertional mutagenesis, and residual expression and reactivation of reprogramming factors.

2. Identification of surface markers that allow the selection of the desired cell population or the deletion of unwanted cells like residual pluripotent cells. The first effort came from ViaCyte that identified CD142 (Tissue Factor) as a novel surface marker for the selection of pancreatic progenitor cells obtained through the
differentiation of ESC to increase purity; CD142+ cells transplanted in vivo give rise to all the pancreatic lineages, including functional insulin-producing cells (21). Other groups proposed alternative markers in the last years (22, 23), but the most recent and promising pancreatic progenitor cell surface marker identified is the pancreatic secretory granule membrane major glycoprotein 2 (GP2). GP2 is expressed in combination with NKX6.1 and PTF1α, key transcription factors in pancreas development, and it was demonstrated that hPSC-derived GP2+ cells, differentiated in pancreatic progenitors expressing high levels of NKX6.1, generate β-like cells more efficiently compared to the GP2-negative fraction (24, 25). In addition, depletion of pluripotent cells from differentiated PSC cultures using genetic, chemical and antibody-based approaches has been investigated as promising approach to increase safety of PSC differentiated cells. For instance, Lee and coworkers discovered that chemical inhibitors of survivin (like quercetin or YM155) induced selective and complete cell death of undifferentiated hPSC (26), while Ben-David and colleagues using an high-throughput screen of over 52,000 small molecules identified an inhibitor of stearoyl-coA desaturase (SCD1) able to selectively eliminate hPSC (27). Over the latest years, photodynamic approach is getting a foothold. Interestingly, it was demonstrated that CDy1, a PSC-selective fluorescent probe, led to mitochondrial ROS production upon visible light irradiation and consequent selective PSC death, avoiding teratoma formation (28). An additional approach is the introduction of a suicide gene under NANOG promoter control leading to selective removal of undifferentiated PSCs from differentiated cell culture after drug treatment (29). Moreover, also the introduction of an innovative inducible caspase-9 suicide gene in hPSC able to induced 94–99% cell death after chemical inducer of dimerization (CID) treatment has been tested (30).

3. Development of macro-encapsulation devices able to protect cells from immune attack and allow retrieval in case of undesirable tumor. During the past decade, much effort has been directed to the clinical application of therapeutic cells encapsulation in biocompatible, permselective and safe macrodevices (31). Beta-O2 developed an alginate scaffold containing allogenic human islets supplied with oxygen by daily injection, known as βAir device (32). The first T1D patient was implanted in 2012 in the absence of immunosuppression and showed persistent graft function with preservation of islets morphology although only moderate improvements on clinical disease were observed (33). Two years later, Beta-O2 Technologies started a clinical trial (NCT02064309) to evaluate safety and efficacy of human islet encapsulation into βAir device in T1D patients. Moreover, also the ability of βAir technology to sustain hESC differentiation into mature endocrine cells in rodent was demonstrated (34). Recently, Beta-O2 bioartificial pancreas device was used as preclinical model for xenogenic islet transplantation. Authors reported enduring graft function, resulting in regulated insulin secretion, without generation of immune system attack (35). The main limitations of this device consist in the partial function of encapsulated islets and in the procedure of daily exogenous oxygen refilling, which requires a knowledgeable and compliant patient.

As previously mentioned, in 2014, ViaCyte launched the first phase I/II human clinical trial evaluating efficiency of Encaptra Device combined with PEC-01 cells in T1D patients. Additionally, in 2017, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has allowed clinical testing for ViaCyte’s PEC-Direct product, a new open Encaptra Device allowing direct vascularization of PEC-01 cells. At the 5th Annual European Advanced Therapies Investor day (http://eu.arminvestorday.com), ViaCyte presented some data of the ongoing clinical trial reporting good tissue integration and vascularization of the system in two patients. Another device received approval from the FDA for phase I/II clinical study in T1D patients in US: the Cell Pouch System is a medical biocompatible macrodevice designed to be implanted in the subcutaneous site, forming highly vascularized tissue chambers few weeks prior to transplantation of islets (36). Ongoing clinical trials from ViaCyte are summarized in Table 1.

β cells from direct differentiation

As discussed, clinical application of PSCs is not without roadblocks due to shortage of genetic understanding of pancreatic embryogenesis leading to partial β cell differentiation efficiency, risk of tumor formation caused by residual undifferentiated cells and the eventuality of cell death after transplantation. Thus, several research groups focused on direct reprogramming (transdifferentiation) of non-β adult cells, such as pancreatic exocrine cells, liver cells and stomach cells, into insulin-producing cells,
skipping the pluripotent stage (37, 38, 39). Common endodermic origin of these cell types suggests that they could be converted into insulin-producing β cells.

**Alpha-to-β**

Under different diabetic stress conditions, glucagon-producing α cells are important to maintain β cell health and function through GLP-1 secretion (40, 41) suggesting an α-cell-mediated adaptive response to β-cell stress and injury. Collombat and colleagues demonstrated that overexpression of ectopic Paired Box 4 (PAX4) (42) or selective inhibition of Aristaless-Related Homeobox (ARX) (43) are able to determine an α cells conversion into functional β cells and restore normoglycemia in STZ-induced diabetic mice. Moreover, Herrera’s group showed that a diphtheria toxin-mediated β-cell removal (>99%) in mice led to an α cells expansion and a spontaneous reprogramming in β cells (44). Thus, over the latest years, the possibility to induce direct differentiation of glucagon-producing α cells into insulin-producing β cells have captured attention of diabetes researchers as interesting therapeutic approach for restoring β cell function in T1D patients. Recently, Collombat’s group provided in vitro and ex vivo experimental evidences that long-term γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) administration, a food supplement, can induce human α-cell-mediated β-like cell neogenesis opening the way for clinical trials with GABA compounds (45). Additionally, Li and colleagues corroborated GABA data identifying a small antimalarial molecule, named Artemisinins, involved in ARX repression and consequent increased GABA signaling. This mechanism resulted in decreased glucagon secretion by α cells and their differentiation into insulin-producing cells (46). However, in the same

| ClinicalTrials.gov identifier | Name | Cell product | Study type | Study location | Conditions | Status |
|-------------------------------|------|--------------|------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| NCT02239354                  | A safety, tolerability and efficacy study of VC-01 combination product in subjects with type 1 diabetes mellitus | VC-01 combination product (PEC-Encap) | Phase 1/2 | University of California at San Diego, United States University of Alberta Hospital, Canada | Type 1 diabetes mellitus, no immunosuppression | Active, not recruiting |
| NCT03162926                  | A safety and tolerability study of VC-02 combination product in subjects with type 1 diabetes mellitus | VC-02 combination product (PEC-Direct) | Phase 1 | University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada | Type 1 diabetes mellitus, with immunosuppression | Active, not recruiting |
| NCT03163511                  | A safety, tolerability and efficacy study of VC-02 combination product in subjects with type 1 diabetes mellitus and hypoglycemia unawareness | VC-02 combination product (PEC-Direct) | Phase 1/2 | University of California, San Diego, United States University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, United States Ohio State University, Columbus, United States University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada | Type 1 diabetes mellitus with hypoglycemia, with immunosuppression | Active, recruiting |
year, another group confirmed the inhibition of ARX after Artemether treatment (an Artemisinins derivative) but no α to β conversion in mouse primary islets (47). These controversial findings generated a state of uncertainty regarding GABA transdifferentiation effects. Very recently, the transcription factors PDX1 and MAFA were delivered in vivo with adeno-associated virus to the mouse pancreas through the pancreatic duct to reprogram α cells into functional β cells. Blood glucose levels normalized in both chemically induced diabetic mice and autoimmune non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice. This gene therapy strategy also induced α to β cell conversion in toxin-treated human islets, which restored blood glucose levels in NOD/SCID mice upon transplantation (48).

**Exocrine-to-β**

Exocrine pancreas, consisting of acinar and ductal cells, makes up approximately 98 percent of the adult organ (49), therefore, much attention was focused on the possibility to generate new β cells from these cell types.

**Acinar cells**

Melton’s group provided evidence about acinar-to-β transdifferentiation inducing in vivo overexpression of three key β-cell transcription factors PDX1, NGN3 and MAFA in combination with GFP in mice. By 72h after viral infection in Rag1−/− non-diabetic animals, new GFP-insulin-positive cells were detected. After 10 days, GFP-positive cells presented key features of bona fide β cells. Furthermore, overexpression of transcription factors in STZ-induced diabetic mice led to a significant decrease of hyperglycemia, although did not reverse diabetes (50). Lately, they confirmed the survival and function of lineage-reprogrammed cells in vivo up to 1 year (51). Similarly, Docherty’s group described a new acinar-to-β reprogramming protocol, starting from in vitro cultured primary human exocrine cells. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) inhibition maintained acinar exocrine phenotype in culture and overexpression of the four pancreatic transcription factors PDX1, NGN3, PAX4 and MAFA, with a combination of several growth factors, generated functional glucose-responding insulin-producing cells, that were able to normalize glycemia in STZ-induced diabetic immunodeficient mice (52). In 2016, the same group confirmed the possibility to generate functional clinically relevant β cells from human exocrine pancreas, adding ARX knockdown to the strategy (53).

In the latest years, a Belgian group demonstrated that forcing expression of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) in human exocrine cells cultured in 3D structures induces NGN3 expression, leading to an acinoinsular functional reprogramming (54). Obviously, these approaches present clinical limitation due to the use of viral vectors. For this reason, several researchers focused on pharmacological induction of transdifferentiation. For instance, epidermal growth factor (EGF), nicotinamide, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) or ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) combination reprogrammed rat acinar cells into insulin-producing β cells in vitro able to restore normoglycemia in diabetic mice. Molecular study reported the involvement of CNTF in STAT3 signaling pathway activation leading to reactivation of NGN3 expression in adult acinar cells forcing differentiation into β cells (55, 56). Recently, a Japanese group showed that inducing ectopic expression of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP1R) combined with gastrin and exendin-4 also, synergistically promoted β cell reprogramming of acinar cells (57).

**Duct cells**

Reprogramming of ductal cells into β cells is one of the most controversial issue in the field. In the last two decades, several papers showed budding of β cells from duct cells speculating that pancreatic duct could be a potential stem cell niche for insulin-producing cells (58). In 2008, Heimberg’s group reported that after pancreatic duct ligation (PDL) in mice, β cell progenitors located in the duct could re-express NGN3 and differentiate into glucose-responsive β cells (59). In the same year, another group corroborated these findings showing, through the forced expression of ductal marker carbonic anhydrase II (CAII), that duct cells acted as β cell progenitors after birth or PDL, leading to the formation of new islets (60). Intriguingly, Corritore, in collaboration with our group, forced purified human ductal cells to undergo an EMT and proliferate. After expansion, it was possible to differentiate, with a protocol mimicking pancreatic development, duct-derived cells into β-cells. These cells expressed β cell markers and were able to secrete insulin, but not in a glucose-dependent manner (61). More recently, Zhang and colleagues provided evidence that long-term administration of gastrin and EGFs in vivo resulted in ductal cell transdifferentiation into new β cells and consequent diabetes reversion (62). However, these findings are still subject of dispute since several studies suggested a non-ductal contribution to endocrine cell
regeneration (63, 64, 65, 66). These conflicting findings generate doubts regarding the importance of ductal cells in β cell regeneration.

Liver-to-β

Pancreas, gastrointestinal tract and liver have the same embryonic origin deriving from primitive foregut endoderm; thus, a transdifferentiation between them is conceivable.

Liver is the largest organ in the body and, unlike β cells, is able to regenerate and proliferate efficiently. In addition, liver shares with pancreas the glucose responsiveness. Thus, hepatic cells represent a good therapeutic potential candidate for β cell generation (67, 68). Ferber and colleagues were the first researchers demonstrating that in vivo adenoviral-mediated ectopic expression of PDX1 in liver generated increase of silent endogenous INS gene expression. Moreover, insulin levels derived from hepatic-PDX1 overexpression ameliorated STZ-induced diabetes (69, 70, 71). From 2000 onwards, several authors have provided evidence of liver-to-β transdifferentiation through hepatic ectopic expression of pancreatic transcription factors, such as PDX1, NGN3, MAFA and NKK6.1, leading to a partial β cell phenotype and reversion of hyperglycemia in chemical-induced diabetic mice, indicating this approach as a promising cell-based replacement therapy for diabetes (72, 73, 74, 75).

Gastrointestinal-to-β

Gastrointestinal tissues, composed by stomach and intestine, harbor NGN3-expressing progenitor cells able to become hormone-producing cells (76). Over the last decade, several evidences have demonstrated that gastrointestinal cells can be reprogrammed into insulin-producing cells through expression or deletion of some transcription factors. Recent studies showed that intestinal cells gave rise to functional β-like cells by endocrine-specific ablation of Forkhead box protein O1 (FOXO1) transcription factor (77, 78). In 2014, Chen and coworkers reported a rapid conversion of intestinal crypt cells into endocrine cells through ectopic expression of PDX1, MAFA and NGN3 factors. They observed formation of ‘neoislets’ below the crypt base that were able to secrete insulin in a glucose-dependent manner and ameliorate hyperglycemia in diabetic mice (79). Recently, Zhou’s group showed that antral enteroendocrine cells also were able to generate functional insulin-positive cells through adenoviral expression of the same transcription factors. This approach resulted in higher transdifferentiation efficiency compared to the previously mentioned intestinal enteroendocrine cell reprogramming. Intriguingly, authors assembled in vitro reprogrammed antral stomach cells into bio-organoids and observed hyperglycemia reversion in diabetic mice. This novel approach shed light to engineered stomach tissue as renewable functional β cell source (37).

Last advances in the field: genome editing

Finally, advances in genome editing technologies have revolutionized the field. In particular, discovery of the bacterial immune system CRISPR/Cas9 has led to the development of tools for rapid and efficient RNA-based, sequence-specific genome editing (80). Furthermore, novel modifications to the CRISPR/Cas9 system have provided opportunities for regulating gene expression and for creating epigenetic alterations, without creating DNA breaks (81). Very recently, a new system for in vivo activation of endogenous target genes through trans-epigenetic remodeling was reported: this system relies on recruitment of Cas9 and transcriptional activation complexes to target loci by modified single guide RNAs, and it was used to transdifferentiate liver cells into insulin-positive cells, acting on PDX1 levels in mice. Besides, when mice, rendered diabetic with streptozotocin (STZ) treatment, were treated with the system for endogenous insulin gene activation, they partially rescued hyperglycemia (82).

Last advances in the field: chimeric organs

The availability of PSCs boosted the possibility of producing human organs in animals. The main strategy to obtain chimeric animals was based on blastocyst complementation: mouse ESC injected into a blastocyst were shown able to contribute to embryo development (83). When blastocyst and ESC had a different genetic background, the outcome was a chimeric mouse with cells of both origins in its organs. Genetically modified PSCs injected in blastocyst are the basis of the production of transgenic mice. More recently, blastocyst complementation was used to generate interspecies chimeric animals. The hypothesis was that if PSC were
injected into blastocysts obtained from mutant mice in which the development of a certain organ was precluded by genetic manipulation, thereby leaving a niche for organ development, the PSC-derived cells would developmentally compensate for the defect and form the missing organ. At first, rat pancreas was generated by injection of PSC into pancreas-deficient PDX1−/− mouse blastocysts, then kidney was generated using SALL1−/− mouse blastocysts. The demonstration that a rat organ can be produced in a mouse suggested that it could be possible to produce xenogeneic organs in various animal species, including production of human organs. This could have a tremendous impact in the field of regenerative medicine, since the shortage of donor organs is a major obstacle to the expansion of whole organ replacement therapies. For production of human organs, human iPSC would be injected into blastocysts obtained from carrier animals genetically modified in order to block the development of a given organ. Thus, only human cells would contribute to the development of that organ. Importantly, also in this case, the use of autologous iPSC could allow the production of autologous human organs and therefore ideally reduce the long-term need for immunosuppressive drugs after transplantation. These chimeric animals would be raised until the human organ reached the required size and then they would be killed for transplantation. Pigs are the most attractive animals to bear human organs for various reasons: (i) the size of their organs is similar, (ii) their metabolism (for instance, diet and temperature) is close to the human one, (iii) there is a significant experience regarding pig cell transplantation in humans within the context of xenotransplantation trials, which facilitates the identification of hazards and barriers, such as infectious and immunological risks, to be overcome for transplantation in humans of human cells or organs that have been grown in a pig.

Some preliminary experiments have already been performed, such as injection of human ESC into a mouse blastocyst, but the results obtained suggest that this process is rather inefficient. At the beginning of 2017, two seminal papers were published regarding the creation of chimeras using pluripotent human stem cells obtained from iPSC and blastocysts of pigs and bovine. In Belmonte’s paper, PDX1 gene, fundamental for pancreas development, was initially suppressed by CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene editing in the mouse zygote creating a blastocyst not able to give life to adult mice with pancreas, then rat stem cells were inserted into the blastocyst and the resulting embryo gave rise to adult mice with pancreas composed exclusively of rat cells. The same type of approach was also successfully applied with other targets such as NKX2.5 (relevant for cardiac development) and PAX6 (relevant for eye development) demonstrating that the approach can be extended in a systematic way.

In conclusion, β cells derived from PSC currently represent the most concrete new source for cell replacement therapy in T1D. The results of ongoing clinical trials will provide valuable information and will tell us if this is the strategy of the future. Looking ahead, the field of cell therapy with iPSC is facing a turning point, where new technologies and synergies can transform a type of approach limited to few patients into a therapeutic option for large groups of patients. This evolution is closely linked to the scale up of cell reprogramming, differentiation and banking, which is necessarily connected to the industrialization of processes. In the meantime, the huge progresses made by

Future perspectives

In conclusion, β cells derived from PSC currently represent the most concrete new source for cell replacement therapy in T1D. The results of ongoing clinical trials will provide valuable information and will tell us if this is the strategy of the future. Looking ahead, the field of cell therapy with iPSC is facing a turning point, where new technologies and synergies can transform a type of approach limited to few patients into a therapeutic option for large groups of patients. This evolution is closely linked to the scale up of cell reprogramming, differentiation and banking, which is necessarily connected to the industrialization of processes. In the meantime, the huge progresses made by
technologies of *ex vivo* and *in vivo* gene editing allowed increasingly efficient transformation of somatic cells into β cells and the creation of new chimeric organs. These approaches have the potential to innovate the field, but issues of safety and ethics will need to be considered.
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