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ABSTRACT: The dominating catalytic approach to aromatic hydrocarbons from renewables, deoxygenation of phenol-rich depolymerized lignin bio-oils, is hard to achieve: hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of phenols typically leads to the loss of aromaticity and to non-negligible fractions of cyclohexanones and cyclohexanols. Here, we report a catalyst, niobia-supported iridium nanoparticles (Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$)$_x$, which combines full conversion in the HDO of lignin-derived phenols with appreciable and tunable selectivity for aromatics (25−95%) under mild conditions (200−300 °C, 2.5−10 bar of H$_2$). A simple approach to the removal of Bronsted-acidic sites via Hünig’s base prevents coking and allows reaction conditions (T > 225 °C, 2.5 bar of H$_2$), promoting high yields of aromatic hydrocarbons.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lignin is a phenolic polymer, which makes up a considerable fraction of wood and plant matter, and is a significant waste product of the pulp and paper industry. Its breakdown into discrete molecules therefore makes it a uniquely abundant source of renewable hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons, in particular. Whereas multiple lignin depolymerization techniques exist, the resulting bio-oils are still rich in oxygen due to the remaining alcohol, phenol, and methoxyphenyl moieties. These remaining functionalities increase the viscosity and lower the stability and heating values of the oils. Removing the unwanted functionalities via catalytic hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) is challenging due to the strong C−O bonds, in particular, in phenols. Selective hydrogenolysis of the Ar−O bond to form aromatic hydrocarbons is further challenged by the competing hydrogenation of the aromatic rings, resulting in H$_2$ overconsumption and complete reduction to cycloalkanes.

To overcome these problems and to help valorize lignin, numerous catalysts have been developed and tested for the HDO of lignin and lignin model compounds. Whereas transition-metal phosphides and sulfides tend to be susceptible to deactivation, carbides, oxides, and supported first-row transition metals require high temperatures and H$_2$ pressures to achieve appreciable activity. Higher activity is typically obtained for oxide-supported catalysts based on Re$^{12−14}$ and noble metals such as Ru,$^{15,16}$ Rh,$^{17}$ Pd,$^{18}$ and Pt.$^{19}$ Nevertheless, these catalysts also require high H$_2$ pressures, and their selectivity for aromatic hydrocarbons is often low. Importantly, the activity and selectivity of such supported catalysts depend strongly on the synergistic effects arising from the combination of the transition-metal catalyst and the Lewis acidic support, as found, for example, for Pd@ZrO$_2$,$^{20}$ Ru@TiO$_2$,$^{21,22}$ and Ru@Nb$_2$O$_5$,$^{15}$ catalysts, resulting in selective Ar−OH hydrogenolysis in phenols adsorbed on the acidic support.

High selectivity for aromatics has also been achieved in the HDO of lignin-derived phenol model compounds using molecular iridium-based catalysts, although without the added benefit of recyclability. Despite the desirable selectivity that these results suggest for iridium, surprisingly few heterogeneous Ir-based HDO catalysts have been reported. HDO activity has been observed under a high H$_2$ pressure (30 bar) for iridium supported on ZrO$_2$ or ZSM-5, albeit with no selectivity for aromatic compounds. Carbon also does not appear to be a suitable support for iridium in HDO, as only negligible conversion of guaiacol has been obtained. Here, we combine a proven, selectivity-enhancing support (Nb$_2$O$_5$)$_x$ and a promising transition metal (Ir) that is underexplored in hetrogenously catalyzed HDO.$^{6,28−30}$ Synergistic effects of this combination were first explored in the HDO of monoalkylated phenol compounds, which constitute the major fraction in most of the bio-oils of...
the so-called lignin-to-liquid (Ltl) process.\textsuperscript{2} Subsequently, alkylated catechol, anisole, and guaiacol, key components of bio-oils derived from lignin pyrolysis, were also tested as substrates for the Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$ combination. Catalyst optimization by varying the H$_2$ pressure and reaction temperature was performed using 4-cyclohexylphenol (4-CyPhOH) as a model phenol substrate for the Ltl bio-oils and to ease the analysis of the products.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Nb$_2$O$_5$-supported iridium catalyst 1 (Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$) was synthesized by impregnating Nb$_2$O$_5$ with a solution of IrCl$_3$·xH$_2$O in 40% aqueous methanol at 80 °C for 3 h, followed by reduction under H$_2$ at 250 °C. A 0.62 wt % iridium loading was achieved, as determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). Catalyst 1 exhibits type IV adsorption−desorption isotherm and H$^+$-type hysteresis, with a specific area of 170 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and textural properties similar to the pristine Nb$_2$O$_5$ material (cf. Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). The powder X-ray diffraction pattern of catalyst 1 contains no characteristic Bragg diffraction, confirming the amorphous nature of the niobium oxide\textsuperscript{31} and the absence of large iridium particles (Figure S3). Corroborating this observation, the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$ reveal iridium nanoparticles with an average diameter of 1.3 ± 0.3 nm (Figure S4).

Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) of catalyst 1 (Figure S5) shows the appearance following IrCl$_3$ impregnation and reduction of new O−H stretching frequencies at 3709 and 3662 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, attributed to $\mu_1$-OH and $\mu_2$-OH groups\textsuperscript{35} along with a stretching frequency band at 2337 cm$^{-1}$ suggesting the presence of Ir−H species\textsuperscript{35}.

First, the scope of Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$ in HDO reactions was examined using a series of representative phenolic monomers (alkylated phenols, naphthol, catechol, and anisole). To our delight, in these initial tests, performed under mild conditions ($T = 200 ^\circ C$; $P_{H_2} = 10$ bar; cf. Scheme S1 for the experimental setup), mono-oxygenated phenols such as 4-cyclohexylphenol (4-CyPhOH, entry 1 in Table 1), 4-phenylphenol (4-PhPhOH, entry 2), and naphthol (entry 3) were completely converted to deoxygenated hydrocarbons in less than 10 h (see Table S1 for details), suggesting that the Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$ combination indeed offers HDO-boosting synergy effects compared to both molecular Ir-based species alone\textsuperscript{31} and other niobia-supported metals\textsuperscript{15,27}.

Turning now to the selectivity in these early tests at $P_{H_2} = 10$ bar, fully hydrogenated products dominated (Table 1, entries 1−5), except for tetrahydronaphthalene being obtained from naphthol in an 85% yield (entry 3). The alkyated catechol and anisole substrates gave oxygenated products (Sel$_{C_5}$ = 26 and 22% at $P_{H_2} = 10$ bar, respectively; see Table 1, entries 4 and 5) derived from either hydrogenolysis of only one Ar−OH bond or the ArO−CH$_3$ bond, respectively (Scheme 1). This indicates that longer reaction times are required for a second hydrogenolysis of the remaining Ar−OH bond, as shown on the other alkylated phenols (Table 1, entries 1−3). Interestingly, unlike previous reports on Pt- and Re-based catalysts,\textsuperscript{32,35} no methyl transfer from the methoxy group to the aromatic ring was observed for anisole.

For the alkylated guaiacol substrate (entry 6), a methyl transfer isomerization product, 2-methoxy-5-propylphenol, is obtained as the major product (Sel = 67%), indicating that HDO reactions leading to n-propyl cyclohexane (Sel$_{C_5}$ = 26%) proceed very slowly due to a competing isomerization side reaction (Figure S6). However, after an extended reaction time (40 h), high conversion is achieved (85%; see Figure S6), and the selectivity in n-propyl cyclohexane reaches 64% and is concomitant to a slow decrease of 2-methoxy-5-propylphenol selectivity, confirming a slow deoxygenation process presumably involving catechol and phenol intermediates (not detected). Such isomerization reactions, observed as intramolecular Me-transfer mechanisms in enzymatic systems,\textsuperscript{38} seem favored over the productive HDO pathways (cleavage of ArO−CH$_3$, followed by the Ar−OH cleavage via a catechol intermediate; Scheme 2). Likewise, a minor side product, 1,2-dimethoxy-4-propylbenzene, suggests that methylation of the phenol group also takes place via an intramolecular Me-transfer mechanism akin to that described for cobalamin enzyme systems,\textsuperscript{39} which yields a catechol intermediate (Scheme 2).

In the present case, the latter intermediate (not observed) appears to undergo rapid hydrogenation to the corresponding cycloalkane (with Sel$_{C_5}$ = 26%). The aforementioned observations of HDO of anisole, where a small amount of phenol was formed (as shown in Table 1, entry 5), suggest that the HDO of methoxide groups (including guaiacol) proceeds via the initial rupture of the CH$_3$−OAr bond (demethylation).

Table 1. HDO of Lignin-Derived Phenols Catalyzed by Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$\textsuperscript{a,b,c}

| Entry | Substrate | $P_{H_2}$ (bar) | Conv.\textsuperscript{c} (%) | Sel$_{C_5}$\textsuperscript{c} (%) | Sel$_{C_5}$\textsuperscript{c} (%) | Sel$_{C_5}$\textsuperscript{c} (%) |
|-------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1     | Cy−       | 10             | ≥99             | 100             | 100             | 100             |
| 2     | Ph−       | 10             | ≥99             | 20/66           | 14              | 14              |
| 3     | nOct−     | 2.5            | ≥99             | 80/70           | 14              | 14              |
| 4     | nBu−Me    | 10             | ≥99             | 20/66           | 7              | 7               |
| 5     | nPr−OMe   | 2.5            | 34              | 63              | 26              | 26              |
| 6     | nPr−Ph    | 10             | 59              | 26              | 67/71           | 67/71           |
| 7     | nPr−       | 2.5            | 68              | 26              | 67/71           | 67/71           |
| 8     | HO−       | 10             | ≥99             | 19              | 81              | 81              |

\textsuperscript{a}Catalyst synthesis: impregnation of a solution of hydrated IrCl$_3$ in 40% aqueous methanol onto Nb$_2$O$_5$ at 80 °C for 3 h, drying under vacuum, and reduction under H$_2$ at 250 °C for 2 h (Ir loading: 0.62 wt %). \textsuperscript{b}Reaction conditions: 1.1 mol % Ir, 280 pmol of phenol derivative in 4 mL of n-hexadecane at 200 °C for 10 h. \textsuperscript{c}Conversion and selectivity were determined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) in THF using n-dodecane as the internal standard. Not detected. \textsuperscript{d}Phenylbenzene/cyclohexylbenzene. \textsuperscript{e}Naphthalene/tetrahydronaphthalene. \textsuperscript{f}Alkylated phenols.
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followed by the Ar−OH cleavage, rather than a direct Ar−OCH₃ cleavage (demethoxylation).

The relatively low yield of deoxygenated products obtained in the HDO of guaiacol suggests that the above-mentioned methyl transfer isomerization competes with, and impedes, the ArO−CH₃ cleavage when both OH and OCH₃ groups are present. Catalytic hydrolysis of phenols may provide a workaround for this problem.⁴⁰,⁴¹

To further extend the reaction scope, two other substrates, i.e., 4-(4′-hydroxyphenyl)-2-butanone (raspberry ketone) and trans-cinnamyl alcohol, were tested (Table 1, entries 7 and 8, respectively). Both were completely converted, which demonstrates that the catalyst is able to deoxygenate substrates beyond the phenol and methoxyphenyl moieties described above. The ketone and alcohol HDO predominantly give saturated (aliphatic) and aromatic products, respectively.

To further understand the influence of reaction conditions and support on the activity and the selectivity for aromatics, 4-CyPhOH was selected as a model compound, owing to its low volatility and relatively few HDO products. A blank run (200 °C, 10 bar H₂, 10 h) using pure Nb₂O₅ as a catalyst yielded no measurable catalytic activity. Similarly, 0.5% Ir supported on activated carbon showed negligible activity with only trace amounts (not quantifiable by GC-MS) of bicyclohexane being produced, thus confirming the synergy between iridium nanoparticles and the Nb₂O₅ support. For a 10 h reaction time at 200 °C, lowering the H₂ pressure increases the yield of cyclohexylbenzene significantly, from zero (P₂ = 10 bar) to 29% (P₂ = 2.5 bar) (Table 1, entry 1). The selectivity for aromatics, investigated by in situ sampling, remained essentially constant during 4-CyPhOH conversion (Figure 1), and ketones, aliphatic alcohols, or other oxygenates were not observed under any conditions.

On approaching full conversion, the reaction proceeds toward complete hydrogenation to Cy=cyclohexane (bicyclohexane), regardless of pressure. As anticipated, H₂ pressure drastically affected kinetics, with full conversion to oxygen-free hydrocarbons being achieved in 36 and 3 h at 2.5 and 10 bar, respectively (Figure S7). In comparison, the current state-of-the-art catalyst Ru@Nb₂O₅ achieved an 84% conversion of 4-methylphenol in 3 h at 250 °C under 5 bar of H₂ in aqueous solution, with cyclohexanol (10%) and cyclohexanone (2%) as side products.⁴⁵ As for 4-CyPhOH above, reducing the H₂ pressure to 2.5 bar drastically increases the selectivity toward aromatic products also for other alkylated phenols, catechol, and naphthol (Tables 1 and S1). Guaiacol, for which the above-described isomerization is favored at the expense of hydrogenation, is an exception.

Encouraged by the effect of reduced H₂ pressure, we performed the HDO of 4-CyPhOH at P₂ = 2.5 bar, with the reaction temperature being increased from 200 to 300 °C in 25 °C increments (Figure 2).

As expected, the reaction accelerates with increasing temperature, with full conversion requiring 36 h at 200 °C.
and only 4 h at 300 °C (Figure S8). The selectivity for aromatics is also strongly promoted by higher temperatures, reaching 95% at ≥99% conversion at 300 °C. However, above 225 °C, the product loss increases with temperature, severely limiting the overall yield of aromatics (Figure S9). This loss presumably results from coking, as previously reported for similar systems, and catalyzed by Bronsted-acidic sites, whether originally present on Nb₂O₅ or resulting from the residual HCl formed during IrCl₃ reduction (cf. the Ir@Nb₂O₅ preparation and pH measurement described in the Supporting Information). Even though dehydroxylation is the commonly used method for the partial removal of Bronsted-acidic sites from metal oxide supports, we envisaged that such sites might be neutralized by Hünig’s base (iPr₂NEt = DIPEA). Indeed, their partial removal is confirmed by pyridine adsorption experiments (see DRIFT spectroscopy, Figures S5 and S10). TEM micrographs show a slight increase in support aggregation but no difference in the Ir particle size (Figures S4 and S11). The DIPEA-treated catalyst fully converts 4-CyPhOH at 250 °C without product loss, suggesting successful inhibition of coking, with a slightly decreased selectivity (Selₐ = 71% vs Selₐ = 77% without DIPEA treatment; Figure S12). The maximum aromatic yield is 69% for the treated catalyst, vs 37% without DIPEA treatment, and is reached after 16 h (conv. = 98%; Figure 3). Micrographs collected via TEM on the spent catalyst (Figure S11) show no significant difference in size or aggregation of either Ir or support particles.

The catalyst was recycled and used in four consecutive HDO reactions of 4-CyPhOH at 225 °C and 2.5 bar of H₂ without significant reduction in selectivity (Figure S13). Reduced catalytic activity, ascribed to the loss of material during the recycling process, was observed after the second catalyst recovery.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we describe the first easy-to-prepare and recyclable heterogeneous, Nb₂O₅-supported iridium HDO catalyst. The catalyst efficiently and selectively converts naphthol, and alkylated phenols, catechols, anisoles, and, to a lesser extent, guaiacols, to hydrocarbons under mild conditions (down to 2.5 bar of H₂ and 200 °C). The new iridium-based catalyst compares well to state-of-the-art systems such as Nb₂O₅-supported ruthenium, especially in terms of selectivity for hydrocarbons, as no oxygenated products were obtained in the HDO of mono-phenols. Although the selectivity for aromatics from such substrates is relatively low at moderate H₂ pressure (e.g., 25%), lower pressure and higher reaction temperature improve the selectivity toward aromatics (up to 95%), albeit at the cost of significant product loss (up to 80%), presumably resulting from coking. Using Hünig’s base, coking and product loss could be prevented by a novel method for the selective removal of Bronsted-acidic sites, allowing for high aromatic yields (up to 69%) under these otherwise challenging conditions. Further work will focus on the structure–activity and structure–selectivity relationships, the reaction mechanisms, and screening and optimization of the oxide support, followed by the upgrading of phenol-enriched bio-oils from Ltl processes.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Preparation of Ir@Nb₂O₅. The Ir@Nb₂O₅ catalyst was synthesized by IrCl₃ impregnation followed by reduction under H₂ at 250 °C. Hydrated Nb₂O₅ (7.53 g) was added to a solution of IrCl₃-xH₂O (1.33 mmol, with x ≈ 6) in 40% aqueous methanol. The suspension was briefly sonicated and stirred at 80 °C for 4 h. The solids were then filtered, washed with methanol (3 × 20 mL), and vacuum-dried. Reduction was performed by heating the material thus obtained at 250 °C under 5 bar (absolute) of H₂ for 2 h, followed by vacuum at 250 °C for another 2 h. Elemental analysis found (wt %): Ir: 0.62.

Measurement of pH was performed by stirring and sonicating Ir@Nb₂O₅ (0.25 g) in distilled water (10 mL). The resulting suspension was found to be acidic with a pH of 5.1. Filtration through a syringe filter (0.45 μm PTFE membrane) leads to a clear solution. Addition of AgNO₃ (0.14 g in 0.2 mL H₂O) to 1.3 mL of that solution resulted in very light opalescence, suggesting the precipitation of AgCl.

Pyridine Adsorption on Ir@Nb₂O₅. In a glovebox under an inert atmosphere, the Ir@Nb₂O₅ catalyst sample was placed in a pear-shaped flask, which was then connected to a T-shaped bridge and a Schlenk flask containing 10 mL of pyridine (dried over calcium hydride, degassed by freeze–pump–thaw). The assembled glassware was then taken outside of the glovebox, connected to a Schlenk line, and the catalyst flask was immersed in an oil bath at 150 °C and evacuated. Under a static vacuum, the pyridine flask was opened, allowing the vapors to fill the system and adsorb on the catalyst. After 1 h of adsorption, the pyridine flask was closed, and the catalyst was put under a dynamic vacuum for 2 h, to remove any excess or weakly adsorbed pyridine. The sample was then handled and stored under an inert atmosphere in a glovebox.

Preparation of iPr₂NEt (Hünig’s Base) onto Ir@Nb₂O₅. Selective removal of Bronsted-acidic sites with iPr₂NEt (DIPEA) was performed under argon. Reduced Ir@Nb₂O₅ (1 g) and dried DIPEA (2 mL) were added to a pear-shaped...
flask and stirred for 16 h. The sample was then washed with moisture-free dichloromethane (6 × 10 mL) and dried under vacuum.

**Typical Procedure for HDO Reaction.** Each catalytic run was performed by adding Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$ (100 mg) and 4-CyPhOH (0.28 mmol) to a glass pressure tube (1.1 mol %$_i$), alongside 4 mL of n-hexadecane as a solvent and n-dodecane (12 mg) as an internal reference. The reaction mixture was stirred and sonicated, degassed under vacuum, and flushed with H$_2$ pressure to ensure homogeneity. Catalyst and stock solution were added to the glass pressure tube, and the reaction was conducted at 225°C under 2.5 bar H$_2$ pressure for a duration of 3 h. No visible product losses through evaporation were detected. The upper part of the glass tube was equipped with a metal valve, and the top of the reactor was heated up to 170°C. The in situ sampling was performed at desired intervals by dipping a stainless steel tube in the reaction mixture through a septum and opening a valve, allowing the H$_2$ pressure to push some of the solution through the tube and into a collection flask; then, the sample was cooled down to room temperature and opening a valve, allowing the H$_2$ pressure to push some of the solution through the tube and into a collection flask; then, the sampling tube was flushed with H$_2$. A detailed schematic of the reactor setup used is provided in Scheme S1.

**Recycling Procedure for HDO Reaction.** Catalyst recyclability tests were performed using Ir@Nb$_2$O$_5$ (100 mg) and 4-CyPhOH (0.28 mmol) to a glass pressure tube (1.1 mol %$_i$), alongside 4 mL of n-hexadecane, 3 g L$^{-1}$ of dodecane (12 mg) as an internal reference. The contents were stirred and sonicated, degassed under vacuum, and flushed with H$_2$ pressure to push some of the solution through the tube and into a collection flask; then, the catalyst was then placed back into the pressure tube, and the reaction was conducted at 225°C under 2.5 bar H$_2$ pressure for a duration of 3 h. No visible product losses through evaporation were detected. The upper part of the glass tube was equipped with a metal valve, and the top of the reactor was heated up to 170°C. The in situ sampling was performed at desired intervals by dipping a stainless steel tube in the reaction mixture through a septum and opening a valve, allowing the H$_2$ pressure to push some of the solution through the tube and into a collection flask; then, the sample was cooled down to room temperature and opening a valve, allowing the H$_2$ pressure to push some of the solution through the tube and into a collection flask; then, the sampling tube was flushed with H$_2$. A detailed schematic of the reactor setup used is provided in Scheme S1.
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