Prevalence and distribution of infectious and parasitic agents in roe deer from Spain and their possible role as reservoirs
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Abstract

In order to provide up-to-date information about the prevalence of infectious and parasitic agents in Spanish roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), samples from 93 animals hunted from January 2013 to April 2015 were collected and analysed by parasitological, serological and molecular techniques. Sampled animals came from four roe deer populations corresponding to Oceanic, Continental, Mediterranean and Mountainous ecosystems of Spain. Data regarding sex, age and year were also considered. A high percentage of roe deer (95.7%) resulted positive for at least one agent. *Sarcocystis* spp. was the most frequently diagnosed genus (88.8%), followed by gastrointestinal nematodes (62.9%) and Schmallenberg virus (53.5%). *Varestrongylus capreoli* (38%), *Anaplasma phagocytophylum* (34.2%), *Eimeria* spp. (29.2%), *Toxoplasma gondii* (25%) and *Cephenemyia stimulator* (23.8%) displayed medium prevalences and, finally low percentages were registered for *Moniezia* spp. (6.7%), *Dictyocaulus noerneri* (2.4%) and *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (1.5%). No infections by *Neospora caninum*, Bovine herpesvirus, pestivirus or *Coxiella burnetii* were found. Climate was significantly associated with the prevalence of *T. gondii*, *C. stimulator* and *A. phagocytophylum*, with higher prevalences in animals from Oceanic and Mediterranean areas. Our results suggest that infections affecting Spanish roe deer, especially those of parasitic aetiology, represent one of the causes of the descent in the abundance of this ungulate in the last years. Moreover, the high prevalence of zoonotic agents such as *T. gondii* and *A. phagocytophylum* could also have a great relevance in the environmental and/or Public Health.

Introduction

The roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*, L.) is one of the most abundant wild ungulates in Spain, with a population around 600,000 animals (Carranza 2010). Spanish roe deer population is genetically heterogeneous; recent studies in Spain have revealed high molecular differentiation among Northwestern and Central-Southern roe deer (Royo et al. 2007).

Over the past few decades, wild ungulates have experienced an expansion throughout Europe both in the number and distribution. An increment in roe deer densities was also observed between 2000 and 2010 in the Iberian Peninsula (Fandos & Burón 2013; Valente et al. 2014). Forest regeneration due to rural exodus and hunting restrictions were considered as the main drivers of the expansion experienced by this ungulate. However, in the last 5 years, it has been recorded a decrease in the abundance, especially in Northwestern Spain (Galicia & Asturias). Different causes have been postulated, including diseases, predation and starvation by severe weather conditions.

Parasites and infectious agents are an integral part of any natural ecosystem and communities. From an epidemiological point of view, wild animals can act as reservoirs and permanent source from which domestic animals and humans may be infected and invaded (Corner 2006). Recent studies carried out in the northwest of Spain have revealed a high prevalence of *Sarcocystis* spp., especially in the cardiac muscle (Pérez-Creo et al. 2013), along with a high occurrence of respiratory parasites such as *Dictyocaulus noerleri* (= *D. eckerti*) and *Varestrongylus capreoli* (Panadero et al. 2001; Morrondo et al. 2010) and the throat botfly *Cephenemyia stimulator* (Arias et al. 2014). The high...
incidence of cardio respiratory parasites could make roe deer an easy prey for predators, contributing to the dissemination of the parasites.

Alternative hypothesis to the lately decrease of roe deer populations include lower roe deer reproduction. *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* are responsible for important reproductive failure in livestock (Dubey 1994, 1999). In this way, wild ruminants are suggested to act as intermediate hosts for both agents but the information currently available is still insufficient. Similarly, Schmallenberg virus (SBV) infection is commonly subclinical in ruminants; although its specific effects are still unknown, it may cause reproductive losses in pregnant animals (van den Brom et al. 2012).

Schmallenberg disease and Anaplasmosis are arthropod-borne illnesses, transmitted by *Culicoides* midges and ticks, respectively, which can affect both wild and domestic ruminants. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* is responsible for tick-borne fever or Pasteurella fever in ruminants; it also causes haemorrhage, renal failure and neurological problems in infected humans (Stuen et al. 2013). Thus, its zoonotic role should also be considered, especially in immune compromised patients.

Ruminant Pestiviruses and Herpevirus are worldwide distributed infections in domestic ruminants that cause severe reproductive disorders such as abortion and infertility problems. The presence of Pestiviruses and Herpesviruses has been also reported in wild ungulates, including roe deer (Vilcek & Nettleton 2006; Boadella et al. 2010); nevertheless, information about the epidemiology and risk factors of these viruses in roe deer is still scarce.

This study was designed to determine the prevalence of different agents affecting the cardio-respiratory (**Sarcocystis, C. stimulator**; lungworms and herpesvirus); digestive (**Eimeria** spp, **Moniezia** spp, gastrointestinal (GI) nematodes, **Mycobacterium avium** subspecies **paratuberculosis** (MAP) and pestivirus) and reproductive organs (**T. gondii, N. caninum** and SBV), as well as other with a systemic distribution (**A. phagocytophilum**). Annual variations and the effect of the sex and age of the animals and their role as reservoirs were also considered.

**Materials and methods**

**Study area and animals**

This study was performed in different areas of Spain, located at the southwestern edge of the roe deer range (Corbet 1978). In this country, roe deer was restricted to mountain areas, although during the last decades it was colonised to woodlands and peripheral agricultural areas (Tellera & Virgós 1997) increasing the probability of contact with domestic ruminants. Roe deer densities in colonised forests are lower than that in mountains (Tellera & Virgós 1997), with values ranging between 1 roe deer/km² in bordering areas to 15–20 roe deer/km² in some hunting farms (Junta de Andalucía 2007).

To perform this study, faecal, blood, myocardium and spleen samples were collected from 93 roe deer hunted from January 2013 to April 2015 in different climatic areas of Spain: Oceanic (n = 44), Mediterranean (n = 13), Continental (n = 17) and Mountainous (n = 18) determining four different ecosystems (Figure 1), whose characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

For each animal, sex (43 females and 48 males) and age were registered according to their teeth features (Høye 2006). According to their teeth features, the animals were divided in two age-groups, young (<2 years; n = 25) and adult (>2 years; n = 67).

**Sample collection and laboratorial procedures**

All samples were taken during field necropsies, kept under refrigeration and transported to the laboratory as soon as possible. Blood was drawn from the heart or the thoracic cavity; serum was obtained after centrifugation and stored at −20 °C. Faeces were taken from the rectum and analysed within 24 h; heart and spleen were stored at −20 °C until processed.

Serum samples were analysed using different ELISA tests: Anti-*T. gondii* antibodies were tested with the indirect ELISA LSIVet Ruminant serum *Toxoplasmosis* (LSI, Lissieu, France). The presence of antibodies against *N. caninum* was analysed using the indirect ELISA, ID Screen *N. caninum* Indirect ELISA (IDVET, Montpellier, France). For Schmallenberg detection, a competitive ELISA (Ingezim Schmallenberg, Ingenasa, Madrid, Spain) was performed. It was also performed for the detection of Pestivirus (LSIVet TM Ruminant BVD/BD p80–Semen) and Herpesvirus (LSIVet TM Bovine IBR gB–Semen). All the commercial tests were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions; absorbance values were measured using a spectrophotometer (680XR; Bio-Rad laboratories). Anti-*C. stimulator* antibodies were detected by an in-house indirect ELISA with Excretory/Secretory (ES) antigens described by Arias et al. (2014).

For *A. phagocytophilum* and *C. burnetii* detection, total DNA was extracted from spleen samples using a DNA purification kit for tissues (Nucleospin Tissue DNA
extraction kit, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), while for MAP detection total DNA was purified directly from faeces using a specific stool DNA extraction kit (DNAExtract-VK, Vacunek, Derio, Spain). Subsequently, three commercial qPCR kits were performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions, based on the targets msp4 (A. phagocytophilum Advanced Kit, Genesig, Southampton, UK), IS1111 (TaqVet Coxiella burnetii, LSI, Lissieu, France) and F57 (ParaTB Kuanti-VK, Vacunek, Derio, Spain) for A. phagocytophilum, C. burnetii and MAP, respectively. All qPCR assays were analysed in a thermocycler Applied Biosystems® 7500 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

Digestive parasites (Eimeria, Moniezia and GI nematodes) were detected in faeces by saline flotation (MAFF 1986). Lungworms (D. noerleri and V. capreoli) were detected by the Baermann technique (Baermann 1917; Wetzel 1930). Finally, Sarcocystis was detected in the myocardium by the observation of microcysts by the compression method described by Pérez-Creo et al. (2013).

Table 1. Characteristics of the climatic areas of origin of roe deer.

| Climate         | Sampled animals | Mean altitude, m | Annual mean temperature, °C | Annual mean precipitations, mm | Vegetation                                                                 |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oceanic         | 44              | 630              | 12 – 14                     | >1000                         | Deciduous atlantic forests with Fagus sylvatica, Quercus robur and Castanea sativa |
| Continental     | 17              | 900 – 1100       | 10 – 11                     | 500-550                       | Not forming forest trees, Quercus ilex, Pinus and Quercus faginea          |
| Mediterranean   | 13              | 185              | 17                          | 800                           | Evergreen trees, Quercus ilex and Quercus suber                            |
| Mountainous     | 18              | >1200            | 5                           | >1000                         | Scarce vegetation, Pinus and Quercus                                      |

Figure 1. Map of Spain representing the different climatic areas.

Statistical analysis

In order to analyse the influence of some factors on infections, variables were grouped and categorised for statistical analysis as Age: 1 (≤2 years), 2 (>2years); Climatic area: 1 (Oceanic), 2 (Mountainous), 3 (Mediterranean) and 4 (Continental); Sex: 0 (female), 1 (male); Sampling year: 2013, 2014 and 2015.

The risk of being infected by every pathogen was evaluated by a logistic regression analysis using the glm () function in R statistical package. The dependent variable was a positive (0–1) response to the pathogen. Factors indicated previously and all of the other infections were introduced in a backward conditional method and removed from the model one by one by the step () function on the basis of the lowest AIC.
Table 2. Prevalence of infectious and parasitic agents in roe deer from Spain.

| Agent (location) | Prevalence, % | 95% confidence interval |
|------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Cardi-respiratory |               |                        |
| Sarcocystis spp. | 88.8 (79/89)  | 79.9 – 94.2            |
| V. capreoli      | 38.0 (35/92)  | 28.1 – 48.7            |
| D. noermeri      | 2.4 (6/92)    | 2.4 – 13.6             |
| C. stimulator    | 23.8 (20/84)  | 15.2 – 34.3            |
| Digestive        |               |                        |
| Gastrointestinal nematodes | 62.9 (56/89) | 52.0 – 72.9 |
| Eimeria spp.     | 29.2 (26/89)  | 20.0 – 39.8            |
| Moniezia spp.    | 6.7 (6/89)    | 2.5 – 14.0             |
| M. avium subs. paratuberculosis | 1.5 (1/66) | 0.04 – 8.1 |
| Pestivirus       | 0.0 (0/84)    | 0.0 – 0.06             |
| Bovine Herpesvirus | 0.0 (0/84) | 0.0 – 0.06 |
| Reproductive     |               |                        |
| Toxoplasma       | 25 (21/84)    | 16.2 – 35.6            |
| SBV              | 53.6 (45/84)  | 42.3 – 64.5            |
| N. caninum       | 0.0 (0/84)    | 0.0 – 0.06             |
| Systemic         |               |                        |
| A. phagocytophilum | 34.2 (26/76) | 23.7 – 46.0 |

value until the best model was built. Odds ratio was computed by raising e to the power of the logistic coefficient over the first category of the factor. All statistical analyses were done in the R statistical package (R v.3.1.1; R Development Core Team (R Core Team R 2015)).

Results

The results obtained in this study for the different agents are summarised in Table 2. At least one pathogen was found in 95.7% of roe deer and a high percentage (91.3%) harboured more than two pathogens. Sarcocystis was the most frequently diagnosed agent, followed by GI nematodes and SBV. Varestrongylus capreoli, A. phagocytophilum, Eimeria, T. gondii and C. stimulator displayed medium prevalences and low percentages were registered for Moniezia, D. noermeri and MAP. No infections by N. caninum, Bovine herpesvirus, pestivirus or C. burnetii were found (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the results obtained in the logistic regression model. Climate was significantly associated with the prevalence of T. gondii, A. phagocytophilum and C. stimulator. Roe deer from Oceanic ecosystems have a higher risk to be infected by T. gondii than those from continental areas. Similarly, they are 7.8 and 42.7 times more likely to be infected by A. phagocytophilum in comparison with those from Continental and Mountainous areas, respectively, and finally, they have also 13.3 times more probabilities of being infected by C. stimulator than those from Mediterranean areas.

Varestrongylus capreoli was absent in the Mediterranean area and D. noermeri in Mediterranean and Continental populations. MAP DNA was detected only in one of the four populations of roe deer analysed (Oceanic) and Moniezia was detected in Oceanic and Mountainous areas (Data not shown).

Moreover, C. stimulator and A. phagocytophilum displayed annual variations with higher prevalences in 2013 (p < .05). Only sex was related to the prevalence of SBV; females have 2.94 times more risk to be infected by SBV than males. Finally, age was not classified as a risk factor for any of the analysed agents.

Discussion

This study represents the first large-scale survey including infectious and parasitic agents affecting Spanish roe deer, giving an overview on the integral sanitary status of this ungulate. A very high percentage of the animals included in this study resulted positive for at least one pathogen, and most of them were in contact with more than two agents, indicating widespread pathogen circulation in roe deer populations from the Iberian Peninsula. Those results are in contrast to the results of Boadella et al. (2010) who hypothesised that roe deer would not be an important species for wildlife disease surveillance as its non-gregarious behaviour would lead to fewer intra-specific contacts and its selective feeding behaviour could lead to less inter-specific contacts.

Expansion of roe deer over the last decades may have had contradictory effects on ecosystems (Valente et al. 2014), including the promotion of disease transmission through both increased intra- and inter-specific contact rates, with an influence in the epidemiology of several contagious diseases potentially shared with other native wild and domestic ungulates, and even human beings (Gortazar et al. 2007).

Our results showed that Sarcocystis, followed by GI nematodes and SBVs are the most prevalent agents in roe deer from Spain. Those agents are very prevalent and widespread by the whole territory, without significant differences between the four climatic areas and without variations overtime. The high level of infection by Sarcocystis reveals the efficacy of this prey–predator life-cycle in Spain that could be the consequence of high ungulate densities and the presence of large carnivores–predators that quickly eliminate animals weakened by the disease (Kusak et al. 2012; Pérez-Creo et al. 2013). In our opinion, the predation effect due to
a parallel increase of large predators as wolves that have increased their populations (natural re-colonization or introduction into systems where they have been absent for a long period) could have had a detrimental impact on roe deer populations, as revealed in the last 5 years in northwestern Spain. However, it should be considered that predation could represent the ultimate cause of death in ungulates with other factors, such as diseases or starvation, which are the original cause of the death (Andrén & Liberg 2015).

Gastrointestinal nematodes were also very frequent in roe deer from Spain. The logistic regression model showed that roe deer infected with GI nematodes have significantly higher risk (OR 4.69) to be infected with Eimeria; both parasites have a direct life cycle determined by the survival of the infecting stages in the environment. Boadella et al. (2010) suggested that seroprevalences in roe deer are largely determined by environmental factors, potentially modulating vector populations or pathogen survival in the environment. Previous studies in NW Spain have revealed that roe deer may act as a potential reservoir for domestic ruminants for some species of gastrointestinal nematodes (Pato et al. 2013) but not for Eimeria due to the lack of cross infections (Díaz et al. 2010).

Viral and bacterial agents displayed very low prevalences in this study, but for SBV and A. phagocytophilum, both transmitted by vectors. Those results are close to that found in previous studies in Spain by Boadella et al. (2010) who explained these low percentages by the characteristic behaviour of this ungulate that would lead to fewer intra- and inter-specific contacts. However, this explanation is in contradiction with the high prevalence registered in this study for some direct life-cycle parasites, such as GI nematodes and Eimeria. The absence of herpesvirus and pestivirus in this study coincides with the low prevalence detected by Boadella et al. (2010) in previous studies.

Table 3. Last step of a logistic regression.

| Factor                      | Estimate | S.E.  | p     | OR    | Lowera | Uppera |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| T. gondii infection         |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| Intercept                   | -0.4238  | 0.4800| 0.377 | 0.65  | 0.255  | 1.677  |
| Climate 1                   | -         |       |       |       |        |        |
| Climate 2                   | -0.4983  | 0.8016| 0.534 | 0.61  | 0.126  | 2.923  |
| Climate 3                   | -0.1303  | 0.7233| 0.857 | 0.88  | 0.213  | 3.623  |
| Climate 4                   | -2.1952  | 1.1336| 0.053 | 0.11  | 0.012  | 1.027  |
| C. stimulator infection     |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| Intercept                   | 2.0027   | 0.8408| 0.017*| 7.41  | 1.426  | 38.500 |
| 2013                        | -         |       |       |       |        |        |
| 2014                        | -3.0070  | 0.8150| 0.001***| 0.05 | 0.010  | 0.244  |
| 2015                        | -2.6839  | 1.1073| 0.015*| 0.07  | 0.008  | 0.598  |
| Climate 1                   | -         |       |       |       |        |        |
| Climate 2                   | -1.3479  | 1.1246| 0.231 | 0.26  | 0.029  | 2.354  |
| Climate 3                   | -2.5902  | 1.1356| 0.023*| 0.07  | 0.008  | 0.695  |
| Climate 4                   | -1.3299  | 0.9274| 0.152 | 0.26  | 0.043  | 1.629  |
| Varestrongylus              | -1.7342  | 0.7718| 0.025*| 0.18  | 0.039  | 0.801  |
| Schmallenberg infection     |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| Intercept                   | 0.7732   | 0.3490| 0.0267*| 2.16 | 1.093  | 4.294  |
| Sex                         | -1.0868  | 0.4614| 0.0185*| 0.34 | 0.136  | 0.833  |
| A. phagocytophilum infection|          |       |       |       |        |        |
| Intercept                   | 1.7010   | 0.7267| 0.019*| 5.48  | 1.319  | 22.769 |
| 2013                        | -         |       |       |       |        |        |
| 2014                        | -1.7145  | 0.6939| 0.014*| 0.18  | 0.046  | 0.702  |
| 2015                        | -18.2671 | 1385.3780| 0.990 | 1.17 10-01 | 0.000 | Inf    |
| Climate 1                   | -         |       |       |       |        |        |
| Climate 2                   | -2.0583  | 0.8089| 0.011*| 0.13  | 0.026154646 | 0.623 |
| Climate 3                   | -1.0837  | 0.7891| 0.170 | 0.34  | 0.072062190 | 1.589 |
| Climate 4                   | -3.7335  | 1.2130| 0.002**| 0.02 | 0.002  | 0.253  |
| Gastrointestinal nematode infection |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| Intercept                   | 0.1591   | 0.2528| 0.529 | 1.17  | 0.714  | 1.924  |
| Eimeria                      | 1.5457   | 0.5994| 0.010** | 4.69 | 1.449  | 15.189 |
| Gi nematodes                | -1.9810  | 0.5334| 0.001***| 0.14 | 0.048  | 0.392  |
| Schmallenberg               | 1.5457   | 0.5995| 0.010**| 4.69 | 1.449  | 15.190 |
| V. capreoli infection       |          |       |       |       |        |        |
| Intercept                   | -1.0756  | 0.4416| 0.015*| 0.34  | 0.143  | 0.810  |
| Schmallenberg               | 1.5402   | 0.5746| 0.007***| 4.66 | 1.513  | 14.389 |

Factors have been eliminated step by step until the best model.
*a95%CI for OR.
*p < .05; **p < .01; ***p < .001.
in Spain or by Candela et al. (2014) in France and reflects a relative isolation of roe deer from domestic animals.

Only one adult female from the Oceanic area was infected by *M. avium* subsp *paratuberculosis*; those results confirm the low prevalence of this agent, even in areas with high probability of contact with domestic ruminants. Most investigations carried out in wild ruminants in Spain showed low prevalences between 0–2.9% (Aguirre et al. 1999; Gutiérrez 2000). However, in a recent study Boadella et al. (2010) in different populations from Spain detected a MAP seroprevalence of 9.2%, but they tested negative for PCR, suggesting the limited role of this ungulate in the epidemiology of this disease.

The absence of *C. burnetti* in our study contrast with the 15% found by Ruiz-Fons et al. (2008), and indicates that the circulation of these bacteria, transmitted by contaminated aerosols and by ticks, in roe deer populations is low, suggesting that they did not constitute an important reservoir for both domestic animals and humans.

According to our results, except for *C. burnetti*, vector-borne diseases have medium to high seroprevalences in roe deer populations. The prevalence for *A. phagocytophilum* was 34.2%. It is worth noting that the prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* in roe deer from Europe presents high variability depending on the country; while only 5.1% of roe deer were positive in Italy (Carpi et al. 2009), the prevalence in the Bavarian region (Germany), where ticks and roe deer are in close contact, raised to 98.9% (Overzier et al. 2013). In Spain, only two studies have been performed in roe deer up-to-now. Our results are similar to those from Oporto et al. (2003), who reported a prevalence of 38% in the North of the country. In contrast, De la Fuente et al. (2008) established a prevalence of 18% in the South, as well as the presence of other species such as *A. ovis* and *A. marginale* in roe deer. The prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* was higher in the Oceanic than in the Mountainous and Continental areas of the Peninsula. This fact may be associated with climatological conditions, especially the high humidity, which is favourable to the survival of *kordes ricius* ticks, which is the most prevalent species in the roe deer from Oceanic climate (Vázquez et al. 2011). Annual variations were detected in the prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum*, with higher percentages in 2013 in relation to 2014.

The seroprevalence values for SBV, transmitted through culicoides biting midges, were similar to that found (45.9%) in Belgium by Linden et al. (2012). In Spain, only two studies have detected SBV positive roe deer (Fernández-Aguilar et al. 2014; Díaz et al. 2015), and the results indicated rapid spread among roe deer since the emergence of virus. In this regard, our study adds new information, showing that the virus is present in the whole country, with no significant differences between the areas of study. Moreover, differences were detected with respect to the sex of the animals, with females having more seropositivity than males; this fact can have clinical relevance as Schmallenberg infections in cows can cause reproductive disorders.

None of the studied animals presented antibodies against *N. caninum*. On the contrary, a high and widespread seroprevalence against *T. gondii* was observed in three of the populations studied. The oocysts of *T. gondii* are excreted in the environment by cats that act as definitive hosts. Those oocysts have high environmental resistance in favourable temperate and moist conditions. In addition, our results confirm the high relevance of environmental conditions in the risk of exposure to *T. gondii*. Neither age nor sex was associated with seropositivity. This study indicates that roe deer is involved in the life-cycle of *T. gondii* and according to Vikoren et al. (2004) and Panadero et al. (2010) who have suggested that among cervids, roe deer is the most susceptible to *T. gondii* and is a potential source of infection for other domestic ruminants and humans. Our results agree with those previously reported (Gauss et al. 2006; Gamarra et al. 2008) in cervids from different areas of Spain (21.8–33.9%). Significant differences between areas were found; animals from the Continental area, with scarce precipitations (Table 1), presented a significant lower seroprevalence than roe deer hunted in oceanic areas. Our results are consistent with previous reports showing a positive correlation between the seropositivity and the mean annual rainfall (Gamarra et al. 2008); factors such as humidity and moderate temperatures may play an important role in the survival and sporulation of *T. gondii* oocysts, and consequently in the probability of acquiring the infection (Smith & Frenkel 1995).

In relation to the absence of *N. caninum*, in roe deer from Spain, seroprevalence by *N. caninum* (6.1%) in previous studies was low (Panadero et al. 2010); nevertheless, the seropositivity rates were higher for other species, including wild ruminants (Almería et al. 2007). These results suggest that the transmission of the disease is not effective considering the living conditions of the roe deer from Spain. Compared to *T. gondii*, definitive hosts are supposed to shed a lower number of *N. caninum* oocysts and the range of intermediate hosts is less wide (Dubey & Schaeres 2011; Candela et al. 2014). Consequently, the horizontal transmission of this protozoan might be more limited.
The participation of wildlife on the *N. caninum* life-cycle remains unknown, but it seems that roe deer do not play an important role, in contrast to other wild ruminant species such as red deer (Almería et al. 2007).

In relation to the lungworms, *V. capreoli* was much more abundant than *D. noerneri*. This observation was similar to that observed by Panadero et al. (2001) in roe deer from northwestern Spain, but the percentages were higher than in our study (62% and 18.2%, respectively). Considering that the species recorded in this study are highly host specific it is unlikely that roe deer represent a significant reservoir of lungworms transmissible to domestic ruminants.

Finally, it should be pointed out the high seroprevalence of throat botfly *C. stimulator* found in all climatic areas, showing that this myiasis since their detection in 2001 by Notario and Castresana (2001) in an animal imported from France, has spread for almost all the Peninsula (Calero-Bernal & Habela 2013; Arias et al. 2014) although the prevalence was significantly higher in areas with oceanic climate in relation to those with Mediterranean climate; moreover, the seroprevalence in 2013 was higher than in the following 2 years. The prevalence of this myiasis seems to be very influenced by weather conditions. Climate directly influences the development of the free stages of the parasite, pupae and adult flies, affecting the chronology and the intensity of infestation (Calero-Bernal & Habela 2013).

Conclusions

In conclusion, the high prevalence registered for some agents, affecting the roe deer cardiorespiratory system, especially *Sarcocystis* and the throat botfly, may have significant consequences for their health status making them an easy prey for large carnivores. Moreover, a high percentage of roe deer were infected by digestive parasites, especially by GI nematodes and *Eimeria*, which could provoke alterations in food digestion and absorption, resulting in debilitation. Furthermore, although not demonstrated in roe deer, reproductive diseases provoked by *T. gondii* and SBVs may lead to a decrease in female fecundity and early juvenile survival. Overall, infections, especially those of parasitic aetiology, affecting Spanish roe deer can represent one of the causes of the descent in the abundance of this ungulate registered in the last years, and the high prevalence of zoonotic agents such as *T. gondii* and *A. phagocytophilum* could also have a great relevance in the environmental and/or Public Health.
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