Sleep Disturbance and Strain Among Caregivers of Persons Living With Dementia
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Objective: The study objective was to examine predictors of sleep disturbance and strain among caregivers of persons living with dementia (PLWD).

Methods: This cross-sectional study utilized a sample of community-dwelling older adults and their family caregivers drawn from the 2017 National Health and Aging Trends Study and National Study of Caregiving. Multivariable logistic regression was used to assess the association between caregiver and PLWD characteristics and a composite measure of caregiving strain. High caregiving strain was defined as a total score of ≥ 5 on the 6 caregiving strain items (e.g., emotional difficulty, no time for self). We used multivariable proportional odds models to examine predictors of caregiver sleep-related outcomes (trouble falling back to sleep and interrupted sleep), after adjusting for other caregiver and PLWD factors.

Results: Of the 1,142 family caregivers, 65.2% were female, 15% were Black, and 14% were Hispanic. Average age was 60 years old. Female caregivers were more likely to report high level of strain compared to male caregivers (OR: 2.61, 95% CI = 1.56, 4.39). Compared to non-Hispanic Whites, non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic caregivers had reduced odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep [OR = 0.55, CI (0.36, 0.82) and OR = 0.56, CI (0.34, 0.91), respectively]. The odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep was significantly greater among caregivers with high blood pressure vs. caregivers without high blood pressure [OR = 1.62, CI (1.12, 2.33)].

Conclusion: In this cross-sectional study, caregivers with greater sleep difficulty (trouble falling back asleep) were more likely to report having high blood pressure. We found no racial/ethnic differences in interrupted sleep among caregivers to PLWD. These results suggest that interventions to improve sleep among caregivers to PLWD may decrease poor cardiovascular outcomes in this group.
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INTRODUCTION

In the United States, 70% of persons living with dementia (PLWD) live in the community (Hebert et al., 2013; Alzheimer’s Association, 2019) and rely on family and unpaid caregivers (family caregivers) to avoid or delay nursing home placement (Kasper et al., 2015; Black et al., 2019). About 16.3 million caregivers, provide 18.6 billion unpaid hours of dementia caregiving (Gehrman et al., 2018). These caregivers provide the majority of long-term care and support the complex care need of PLWD aging in their own homes (Freedman and Spillman, 2014; Roth et al., 2015; Wolff et al., 2016). Family caregivers assist with personal care, and health related care needs, in addition to providing social support (Wolff et al., 2016; Burgdorff et al., 2020). Caregiving to PLWD is particularly demanding associated with high caregiver burden (Brodaty et al., 2014) and can place a toll on caregivers’ own mental and physical health (Schulz and Martire, 2004; Brodaty et al., 2014; Roth et al., 2018). Increase in the frequency of behavioral and psychiatric symptoms problems (e.g., agitation, restlessness, wandering) in PLWD or the provision of ongoing assistance with health care needs increase caregiver strain (Jennings et al., 2015; Vick et al., 2019). These caregiving demands are complex and are known to impact the sleep quality of caregivers negatively (Leggett et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2020).

Caregivers for PLWD also report a range of sleep problems in their care recipients, including difficulty falling asleep and nighttime awakenings (McCurry et al., 1999; Tractenberg et al., 2005; Rose et al., 2011). Sleep disturbances in the PLWD that they care for can result in the caregivers themselves experiencing symptoms of sleep disturbance (Ornstein and Gaugler, 2012; Gehrman et al., 2018; Liang et al., 2020). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 35 studies found that caregivers for PLWD report shorter and poorer sleep quality compared to non-caregiving adults (Gao et al., 2019). Previous investigators indicate that sleep disturbances among caregivers to PLWD also negatively impacts caregivers, and affect health (Mills et al., 2009) and quality of life (QOL) (Liang et al., 2020; Mather et al., 2020). Indeed, sleep problems are among the most challenging concerns reported by caregivers of PLWD, and are related to increased caregiver burden and likelihood of nursing home placement of the care recipient (Donaldson et al., 1998).

Available data suggest that disparities in the caregiving burden of PLWD exist. Blacks and Hispanics have a higher prevalence of disability in later life, suggesting the possibility of a disproportionate burden on caregivers of PLWD (Skolarus et al., 2017; Cook and Cohen, 2018). Prior work also points to disparities in sleep problems, with Black and Hispanic individuals experiencing more sleep disturbance than non-Hispanic whites (Ownby et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2016). These disparities may contribute to greater burdens for family and unpaid caregivers of PLWD in minority communities. Indeed, prior studies have found that relative to white caregivers, Black and Hispanic caregivers report heavier caregiving demands and assist older adults with greater functional impairment (Cook and Cohen, 2018; Badana et al., 2019; Reinhard et al., 2019; Fabius et al., 2020).

Given the possible disproportionate burden in Black and Hispanic populations, we used linked, nationally representative surveys of Medicare beneficiaries and their family caregivers to explore racial/ethnic differences in sleep and strain among caregivers to PLWD. Findings are relevant to ongoing efforts by researchers and clinicians to improve the health of family caregivers to PLWD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and Study Design

Data were obtained from the 2017 National Health and Aging Trends Study (NHATS) and the linked National Survey of Caregiving (NSOC). Conducted since 2011, NHATS is an ongoing nationally representative survey of Medicare beneficiaries 65 years of age and older in the United States, over sampling older ages and Black beneficiaries (DeMatteis et al., 2016). The NHATS survey is conducted annually in-person with participants and/or proxy respondents covering topics that include functional status, medical care, and cognition (Montaquila et al., 2012). The NSOC is a telephone survey of caregivers who assist NHATS participants. To be eligible for NSOC, a family or unpaid non-family caregiver must have provided the NHATS participant who resides in the community help with self-care, mobility or household activities (Kasper et al., 2013).

This study focused on NHATS participants with dementia living in the community and their caregivers who responded to NSOC. We excluded persons living in nursing homes due to our focus on PLWD aging at home. We applied NHATS criteria to identify dementia status (Galvin et al., 2005; Kasper et al., 2013). NHATS has a validated algorithm to determine whether a participants has probable dementia based on any of the following indicators: (1) self- or proxy report of being told by a doctor that the participant has dementia or Alzheimer’s disease, (2) a score indicating probable dementia on the validated AD8 Dementia Screening Interview administered to proxy respondents, and (3) cognitive test results (Kasper et al., 2013). NHATS participants were classified as having probable dementia if they met criteria for impairment in at least two cognitive domains and as having possible dementia if they had impairment in one cognitive domain (Kasper et al., 2013). Similar to prior research, NHATS participants who did not meet criteria for possible or probable dementia were classified as having no dementia (Amjad et al., 2016).

Measures

The outcome variables of interest were caregiver-reported strain and sleep-related disturbances derived from caregiver-reported measures.

Caregiver Strain

Caregiver strain was measured with a composite variable (range: 0–9), constructed from six items, consistent with prior approaches (Wolff et al., 2016, 2018; Vick et al., 2019). Similar to prior work using NSOC data, high caregiving strain was defined
based on a total score pf 5 or greater on the six caregiving strain items (Wolff et al., 2016; Vick et al., 2019). The 6-item caregiver strain measure was computed by summing three items that capture emotional, physical, financial difficulty of caregiving, and three items reflective of the negative aspects of caregiving (having no time for oneself, being overwhelmed, and being exhausted). For questions related to physical, emotional and/or financial difficulty, caregivers were asked “Is helping difficult?” If yes, respondents were asked to rate the level of difficulty of helping in each domain on a scale from 1 (“a little difficult”) to 5 (“very difficult”). Following the approach of Vick et al. (2019), difficulty helping was categorized as follows: 0 = no difficulty; 1 = some difficulty (rating of 1, 2 or 3), and 2 = substantial difficulty (4 or 5). During the NSOC, caregivers were also asked to report on the negative aspects of caregiving on a 3-point scale (from 0 = not so much to 2 = very much). The items included: (a) exhaustion when the caregiver went to bed at night, (b) having more things to do than they could handle, (c) insufficient free time for themselves, or (d) inability to maintain a routine due to caring for their loved one. We coded positive responses as 1, and negative responses as 0 (Vick et al., 2019).

Caregiver Sleep-Related Disturbances
Caregiver sleep-related disturbances were assessed using two separate items. Participants reported how often their sleep was interrupted in the last month because of caregiving using a 5-point scale item (1 = every night, 2 = most nights, 3 = some nights, 4 = rarely, 5 = never) asking, “In the last month, how often did helping the care recipient cause your sleep to be interrupted?” With response options “never”; “rarely”; “some days”; “most days”; “ever.” Using similar scale, participants were asked about nighttime awakenings: “In the last month, on nights when you woke up before you wanted to, how often did you have trouble falling back asleep?” Possible responses to both questions were: “every night (7 nights/week),” “most nights (5–6 nights/week),” “some nights (2–4 nights/week),” “rarely (<1 nights/week),” and “never.” We reclassified these responses as “rarely/never”; “some days”; and “most days/every.”

Caregiver Characteristics
We included several caregiver characteristics in our analyses. Our primary predictor of interest was self-identified Black, Hispanic or white race. Other independent variables included age, gender (male or female), relationship to caregiver (spouse / child (son, daughter, stepdaughter, stepson) / child-in-law (daughter in law, son-in-law or stepson) / other]. We examined assistance with specific task in domains of medical caregiving, disability-related assistance, and personal care. The nursing/medical caregiving domain consisted of eight tasks: keeping track of medications, giving shots or injections, managing medical tasks (e.g., ostomy care, intravenous, helping with exercises, helping with a special diet, caring for skin wounds/sores, caring for teeth/dentures, and caring for feet (1 = yes, 0 = no, score range = 0–4). The mobility domain consisted of four tasks: helping the care recipient get around the house, lifting the care recipient from a seated position, letting the care recipient lean on the caregiver for support, and holding the care recipient when they walked or stood (range 0–4). We also examined whether the caregiver provided assistance with personal care every day, most days, some days, rarely, and never (range 0 to4). Responses to each question (0 = no, 1 = yes) were summed to form a count of the number of tasks for which the caregiver provided assistance within each domain.

To assess intensity of help received by PLWD in our sample, we calculated total hours of care received from all caregivers per day. Caregivers reported whether they had been diagnosed with eight health conditions: heart attack, high blood pressure, heart disease, arthritis, diabetes, lung disease, osteoporosis, and stroke (1 = yes, 0 = no). We included an indicator variable for the presence of heart attack, high blood pressure, heart disease, arthritis, osteoporosis and diabetes. We controlled for these variables based on review of prior literature related to caregiver strain or sleep disturbances (Ornstein and Gaugler, 2012; Wolff et al., 2016; Polenick et al., 2017; Stahl et al., 2020).

Persons Living With Dementia Characteristics
Persons living with dementia difficulties with sleep initiation and sleep maintenance were assessed with two separate items from the NHATS. These questions were: “In the last month, (1) how often did it take you more than 30 min to fall asleep?” and (2) “on nights when you woke up before you wanted to get up, how often did you have trouble falling back to sleep?” Possible responses to both questions were: “every night (7 nights/week),” “most nights (5–6 nights/week),” “some nights (2–4 nights/week),” “rarely (<1 nights/week),” and “never.” We reclassified these responses as “rarely/never”; “some days”; and “most days/every.”

Analysis
We summarized the variables of interest using frequencies (weighted percent). The outcomes of interest were level of caregiving strain (high strain = score of 5 or above), interrupted sleep (rarely/never, some nights, most/every night), and trouble falling back asleep (rarely/never, some nights, most/every night). Predictors of interest obtained from NSOC were caregivers’ age, gender, relationship to PLWD (spouse, adult child, other), presence of each of the following cardiovascular conditions (Heart attack, high blood pressure, heart disease, and diabetes), nature and intensity of care (hours per day), and types of activities for which help is provided across domains of disability-related activities, medical caregiving, and personal care. We also included the following PLWD predictors in the model, age, sleep initiation problem, and sleep maintenance problem obtained from NHATS. We used multivariable logistic regression to analyze the caregiver strain variable. After testing for proportional odds assumption, we used a multivariable proportional odds model to analyze the sleep-related outcomes (trouble falling back to sleep and interrupted sleep).

We analyzed the data using NSOC analytic weight and statistical procedures to account for the complex survey nature of the data. We weighted the analyses to represent the national estimates for non-institutionalized United States caregivers of PLWD. We used Taylor Series linearization for appropriate variance estimates. Our threshold for statistical significance is
TABLE 1 | Caregiver and PLWD characteristics (n = 1142).

| Caregiver characteristics | N (%) / Mean (SE) |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Age (mean: SE)            | 60.5 (0.63)      |
| Gender                    |                  |
| Male                      | 355 (34.79)      |
| Female                    | 780 (65.21)      |
| Race/Ethnicity            |                  |
| Non-Hispanic White        | 605 (64.61)      |
| Non-Hispanic Black        | 370 (14.81)      |
| Hispanic                  | 98 (13.93)       |
| Other                     | 24 (2.47)        |
| Health-related conditions |                  |
| High blood pressure       | 551 (48.3)       |
| Other heart conditions    | 72 (5.40)        |
| Diabetes                  | 205 (16.74)      |
| Characteristics of PLWD   |                  |
| Age                       |                  |
| 65–74                     | 81 (14.62)       |
| 75–84                     | 364 (37.45)      |
| ≥85                       | 690 (47.93)      |
| Gender                    |                  |
| Male                      | 334 (32.76)      |
| Female                    | 801 (67.24)      |
| Race/ethnicity            |                  |
| Non-Hispanic White        | 620 (66.3)       |
| Non-Hispanic Black        | 389 (15.36)      |
| Hispanic                  | 78 (10.71)       |
| Other                     | 20 (3.16)        |
| Marital status            |                  |
| Single/divorced/widowed   | 813 (65.09)      |
| Married/with partner      | 322 (34.91)      |
| Sleep initiation          |                  |
| Every night               | 138 (13.25)      |
| Most nights               | 174 (14.78)      |
| Some nights               | 275 (21.47)      |
| Rarely                    | 212 (19.74)      |
| Never                     | 314 (29.03)      |
| Sleep maintenance         |                  |
| Every night               | 65 (6.77)        |
| Most nights               | 114 (9.59)       |
| Some nights               | 335 (27.56)      |
| Rarely                    | 239 (21.17)      |
| Never                     | 323 (28.8)       |
| Care intensity            |                  |
| Hours of help per week (mean: SE) | 4.5 (0.18) |
| Help getting around       |                  |
| Every day                 | 168 (12.5)       |
| Most days                 | 162 (12.75)      |
| Some days                 | 363 (32.38)      |
| Rarely/never              | 441 (42.36)      |
| Help with personal care   |                  |
| Every day                 | 187 (12.6)       |
| Most days                 | 105 (7.94)       |
| Some days                 | 268 (23.99)      |
| Rarely/never              | 574 (55.48)      |

TABLE 1 | (Continued)

| Caregiver characteristics | N (%) / Mean (SE) |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Number of medical/nursing task (mean: SE) | 1.95 (0.08) |
| Caregiver outcome         |                  |
| Trouble falling back to sleep |                  |
| Every night               | 49 (4.17)        |
| Most nights               | 119 (9.95)       |
| Some nights               | 360 (32.72)      |
| Rarely                    | 331 (27.59)      |
| Never                     | 234 (20.32)      |
| Did not help last month*  | 20 (2.58)        |
| Interrupted sleep         |                  |
| Every night               | 20 (1.48)        |
| Most nights               | 32 (2.17)        |
| Some nights               | 161 (11.75)      |
| Rarely                    | 257 (20.71)      |
| Never                     | 627 (59.03)      |
| Did not help last month*  | 20 (2.58)        |
| High strain (composite score ≥ 5) | 224 (18.39) |

0.05. All analyses were performed using SAS 9.4® (Cary, NC, United States). The Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board approved the NHATS protocol, and all participants provided written informed consent. The Adelphi University Institutional Review Board deemed this study exempt.

Study Results

Table 1 provides weighted descriptive statistics for the sample (n = 1142), representing 8,721,893 caregivers and 4,733,853 PLWD. Of these caregivers, 64.6% were white, 15% Black, 14% Hispanic and other 2.5%. On average, caregivers were 60 years old (SE = 0.63), and 65.2% were female. The caregivers reported having experienced cardiovascular conditions, with 6% having had a heart attack, 48.3% with high blood pressure, 5.4% with other heart conditions, and 16.7% with diabetes. Over half of the caregivers were the child/stepchild/child-in-law of the care recipient (58.8%). Overall, nearly half of the caregivers reported trouble falling back to sleep (46.8%), 15.4% reported interrupted sleep and 18.4% reported high caregiving strain, measured by a score of 5 or higher on caregiver strain index.

About 86% of the PLWD in the sample were 75 years of age and older with 48% being at least 85 years old. They were mostly female (67.2%) and white (66.3%). About 35% of the PLWD were married or living with a partner. Overall, about 45% reported receiving help with personal care and about 57% received some help with getting around. Nearly half of the PLWD reported that they had trouble falling back to sleep on some nights, most nights, or every night in the last month (44%). Approximately 50% of PLWD reported difficulty with sleep initiation (over 30 min to fall asleep) some nights, most nights, or every night in the last month.

Nearly half of the caregivers reported that they had trouble falling back to sleep on some nights, most nights, or every night in the last month (46.8%). 15.4% of caregivers reported interrupted sleep some nights, most nights, or every night in the last month.
Roughly one in five caregivers reported high levels of caregiving strain (18.4%).

**Multivariable Models**

**Factors Associated With Caregiver Sleep-Related Disturbances and Strain**

Results from the multivariable regression models are presented in Table 2. In the model for caregiver strain, female caregivers were 2.61 times as likely to report experiencing high caregiver strain compared to male caregivers [OR = 2.61, CI (1.56, 4.39)]. No other variables in the model were significantly associated with high level of strain.

In the model for interrupted sleep and trouble falling back to sleep, compared to the spouses who are caregivers, caregivers who were of “other” relationships were less likely to report higher levels of sleep interruption [OR = 0.22, CI (0.07, 0.71)]. Each unit increase in the number of daily hours spent in caregiving was associated with a corresponding increase in the odds of sleep interruption in the caregiver [OR = 1.07, CI (1.01, 1.12)]. Caregivers who provided PLWDs with help getting around most days reported greater sleep interruptions than those who rarely/never help getting around [OR = 2.27, 95% CI (1.01, 5.10)]. Higher frequency of assistance with personal care was associated with greater sleep interruption. Caregivers who help PLWD with personal care every day, most days and some days had greater odds of reporting higher level of sleep interruption compared to those who help with personal care rarely or never [OR = 7.71, CI (3.64, 16.34), OR = 2.67, CI (1.13, 6.29), and OR = 2.07, CI (1.14, 3.78), respectively].

Caregivers of PLWD 75–84 and 85 and older compared to 65–74 had greater odds of reporting higher level of trouble falling back asleep [OR = 2.98 CI (1.83, 4.84) and OR = 1.90 CI (1.13, 3.21)]. The odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep was significantly greater among female (vs. male) caregivers [OR = 1.63, CI (1.12, 2.36)]. Though the other medical factors were not significant, the odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep was significantly greater among caregivers with high blood pressure vs. caregivers without high blood pressure [OR = 1.62, CI (1.12, 2.33)]. Caregivers who help PLWD with personal care every day had increased odds of reporting higher trouble falling back asleep compared to those who help with personal care rarely or never [OR = 2.37, CI (1.20, 4.68)].

Compared to non-Hispanic Whites, non-Hispanic Blacks and Hispanics caregivers had reduced odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep [OR = 0.55, CI (0.36, 0.82) and OR = 0.56, CI (0.34, 0.91), respectively].

**Association Between Persons Living With Dementia Characteristics and Caregiver Sleep-Related Disturbances**

Persons living with dementia who reported trouble falling back asleep most days/every day resulted in greater odds of caregiver’s high level of interrupted sleep (OR = 2.25, 95% CI = 1.39, 3.67) compared to PLWD who never/rarely have sleep reported trouble falling back asleep.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated predictors of sleep disturbance and caregiver burden among family caregivers of PLWD, using data from a nationally representative sample of Medicare beneficiaries. Our analysis yielded two key findings. First, compared to non-Hispanic Whites, non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic caregivers had reduced odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep. Second, the odds of reporting greater trouble falling back asleep was significantly higher among caregivers with high blood pressure vs. caregivers without high blood pressure. Third, we found no racial/ethnic differences in interrupted sleep or caregiver strain. Our findings extend the caregiver literature by specifically identifying high blood pressure as a significant correlate of inadequate sleep (trouble falling back asleep) among family caregivers of PLWD using nationally representative data. Inadequate sleep is a modifiable lifestyle component essential to ameliorate cardiometabolic risk factors, including blood pressure (St-Onge et al., 2016). Our results add to the accumulating evidence suggesting that caregivers of PLWD commonly experience sleep problems, which are often linked to the caregiver’s own health and risk for subsequent adverse health outcomes (Adelman et al., 2014; Leggett et al., 2018).

The findings of a strong association between greater trouble falling back asleep and high blood pressure are noteworthy given the high prevalence of vascular contributors (e.g., hypertension) to risk of dementia. The direction of the relationship, whether high blood pressure predicts trouble falling back asleep or trouble falling back asleep predicts high blood pressure, was unable to be examined because the current analysis was cross-sectional. Yet, prior research strongly suggest that mid-life high blood pressure increases the risk of late-life cognitive impairment (Eliax et al., 2012). Sleep fragmentation or arousal from sleep is known to increase systemic blood pressure (Morrell et al., 2000), which is worse for Blacks (Williams et al., 2016; Yano et al., 2020).

Interestingly, we observed no significant racial/ethnic differences in trouble falling back asleep or caregiver strain. Our findings of greater association of self-reported sleep disturbances (trouble falling back asleep) among white caregivers compared to Black caregivers is inconsistent with most published longitudinal and cross-sectional studies that have shown that individuals of the Black race are characterized by a greater prevalence of inadequate sleep (Stamatakis et al., 2007; Jean-Louis et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2016). There are several plausible explanations for the association we observed. Other studies have found that, compared to white women, minoritized women, despite reporting less adequate sleep, are less likely to report trouble sleeping, providing evidence of an important health disparity (Amyx et al., 2017). For example, caregiver stress or role overload may drive the association between trouble falling back asleep and high blood pressure (Adelman et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2020). Furthermore, it is possible that different racial/ethnic factors may affect minority caregivers’ self-perceived level of the burden of their caregiving role. For example, prior research has shown that Black caregivers are more likely to report emotional gains and less likely to report emotional difficulty than whites (Beach et al., 2019; Fabius et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). For
example multiple studies have found that United States-born Hispanic/Latina, Chinese, and Japanese immigrants were more likely to report sleep complaints than their first-generation ethnic counterparts, a finding largely explained by language acculturation and unmeasured factors associated with language acculturation (Hale et al., 2014; Jackson et al., 2014; Cunningham et al., 2016).

Beyond our findings about racial difference among caregivers of PLWD, other results merit further clarification. Our finding that female caregivers were more likely to report experiencing

### TABLE 2 | Associations between PLWD and caregiver sleep-related disturbances and strain.

| Characteristics of caregivers | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| **Characteristics of caregivers** | | | | | | |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | | | | | | |
| Non-hispanic White | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Non-hispanic Black | 0.54 (2.4, 1.21) | 0.13 | 0.89 (0.42, 1.86) | 0.75 | 0.54 (0.36, 0.82) | 0.01 |
| Hispanic | 0.64 (0.29, 1.39) | 0.22 | 0.79 (0.44, 1.43) | 0.42 | 0.56 (0.34, 0.91) | 0.02 |
| Age | 0.99 (0.97, 1.01) | 0.29 | 1.00 (0.97, 1.03) | 0.84 | 1.00 (0.98, 1.01) | 0.85 |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Female | 2.61 (1.56, 4.39) | 0.001 | 0.88 (0.53, 1.48) | 0.63 | 1.63 (1.12, 2.36) | 0.01 |
| Relationship to patient | | | | | | |
| Spouse | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Child/Step-child | 1.32 (0.57, 3.06) | 0.51 | 0.49 (0.20, 1.18) | 0.11 | 1.29 (0.68, 2.44) | 0.43 |
| Other | 0.35 (0.12, 1.02) | 0.06 | 0.22 (0.07, 0.71) | 0.01 | 1.06 (0.52, 2.14) | 0.87 |
| **Clinical conditions** | | | | | | |
| Heart attack | 1.36 (0.38, 4.85) | 0.63 | 0.60 (0.18, 1.98) | 0.39 | 0.79 (0.40, 1.56) | 0.49 |
| High blood pressure | 1.30 (0.86, 1.96) | 0.21 | 1.24 (0.76, 2.01) | 0.38 | 1.62 (1.12, 2.33) | 0.01 |
| Other heart conditions | 1.13 (0.31, 4.21) | 0.85 | 0.68 (0.11, 4.11) | 0.67 | 0.72 (0.30, 1.71) | 0.45 |
| Diabetes | 1.66 (0.85, 3.27) | 0.14 | 0.65 (0.38, 1.11) | 0.12 | 1.11 (0.70, 1.76) | 0.65 |
| **Characteristics of PLWD** | | | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 65-74 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| 75-84 | 0.70 (0.30, 1.58) | 0.39 | 1.21 (0.58, 2.54) | 0.61 | 2.98 (1.63, 4.64) | <0.001 |
| ≥ 85 | 0.53 (0.30, 1.58) | 0.11 | 1.47 (0.76, 2.82) | 0.24 | 1.90 (1.13, 3.21) | 0.02 |
| Sleep initiation | | | | | | |
| Never/Rarely | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Some days | 1.87 (0.90, 3.90) | 0.09 | 0.95 (0.46, 1.93) | 0.88 | 1.22 (0.79, 1.89) | 0.37 |
| Most Days/Every Day | 1.08 (0.52, 2.23) | 0.83 | 1.30 (0.69, 2.44) | 0.41 | 1.14 (0.73, 1.79) | 0.56 |
| Sleep maintenance | | | | | | |
| Never/Rarely | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Some days | 0.93 (0.45, 1.91) | 0.84 | 1.16 (0.55, 2.42) | 0.70 | 1.21 (0.71, 2.08) | 0.47 |
| Most Days/Every Day | 1.76 (0.75, 4.11) | 0.19 | 2.27 (1.01, 5.10) | 0.05 | 2.25 (1.39, 3.67) | 0.002 |
| Caregiving intensity | | | | | | |
| Hours of help per day | 1.03 (0.99, 1.07) | 0.18 | 1.07 (1.02, 1.12) | 0.01 | 0.98 (0.95, 1.02) | 0.37 |
| Mobility (help getting around) | | | | | | |
| Every day | 1.29 (0.41, 4.04) | 0.66 | 1.43 (0.61, 3.36) | 0.40 | 0.79 (0.43, 1.47) | 0.45 |
| Most days | 2.19 (0.92, 5.22) | 0.07 | 2.17 (0.98, 4.79) | 0.06 | 1.03 (0.60, 1.76) | 0.91 |
| Some days | 1.16 (0.62, 2.18) | 0.63 | 1.37 (0.72, 2.61) | 0.34 | 1.01 (0.68, 1.49) | 0.96 |
| Rarely/Never | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Help with personal care | | | | | | |
| Every day | 2.46 (0.99, 6.10) | 0.05 | 7.71 (3.64, 16.34) | -0.001 | 2.37 (1.20, 4.68) | 0.01 |
| Most days | 1.22 (0.43, 3.46) | 0.71 | 2.67 (1.13, 6.29) | 0.03 | 1.57 (0.75, 3.31) | 0.23 |
| Some days | 1.51 (0.85, 2.69) | 0.16 | 2.07 (1.14, 3.78) | 0.02 | 1.18 (0.77, 1.81) | 0.44 |
| Rarely/Never | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Number of nursing/Medical caregiving tasks | 1.07 (0.99, 1.07) | 0.31 | 1.09 (0.94, 1.26) | 0.25 | 1.05 (0.93, 1.19) | 0.45 |
high caregiver strain and trouble falling back asleep compared to male caregivers is consistent with prior studies (Vick et al., 2019) and reflects the predominance of women as dementia caregivers (Riffin et al., 2017; Vick et al., 2019). Similarly, our finding that higher caregiving intensity (daily hours spent caregiving, help with personal care and mobility every day or most days) was associated with greater odds of interrupted sleep and trouble falling back asleep was unsurprising and in line with prior literature (Polenick et al., 2017; Leggett et al., 2018), and include an association with daily assistance with personal care and mobility.

Given the growing population of PLWD living in the community, with heavy reliance on family caregivers, our study has important implications. The health consequences associated with poor sleep for caregivers of PLWD underscore the need for structured support systems for this population. Strategies such as standardized caregiver assessment tools for determination of caregiver ability and risk factors for conditions such as high blood pressure, are warranted to support the caregiver’s health, and ultimately improve outcomes of the PLWD (Riffin et al., 2021). This could help identify caregivers in whom interventions aimed at reducing sleep disturbances should be targeted.

Strengths of this study include a nationally representative sample of United States caregivers to PLWD, and the investigation of racial differences associated with caregiver sleep and strain, given the project increase in the minority PLWD. However, this study has limitations. First, sleep disturbances were measured by self-report, rather than objective measurement of sleep captured by polysomnography or actigraphy. Research using validated measures of sleep such as the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (Buysse et al., 1989) are needed to corroborate findings based on subjective data. Additionally, the cross-sectional observational study design precludes making causal inferences between sleep measures and high blood pressure. Future longitudinal studies that include validated measures of sleep quality (Buysse et al., 1989) are warranted to examine these associations that may suggest causal links.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the present research adds to current literature by identifying predictors of sleep disturbance and strain among family caregivers who actively support PLWD in the community. Our study findings suggest there is a cross-sectional association between greater trouble falling back asleep and high blood pressure. Further study is warranted to examine if this relationship persists longitudinally and to examine the direction of the association. Our findings suggest that caregivers to PLWD may be at risk for poor health and caregiving outcomes from care-related sleep disturbances. Clearly, there is a need for effective interventions aimed at caregivers of PLWD, a population already susceptible to poor health outcomes.
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