Universal Class of Type-IIB Flux Vacua with Analytic Mass Spectrum
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We report on a new class of flux vacua generically present in Calabi-Yau compactifications of type-IIB string theory. At these vacua, the mass spectrum of the complete axio-dilaton/complex structure sector is given, to leading order in \( \alpha' \) and \( g_s \), by a simple analytic formula independent of the choice of Calabi-Yau. We provide a method to find these vacua, and construct an ensemble of 17,054 solutions for the Calabi-Yau hypersurface \( \mathbb{P}^{1,1,1,6,9} \), where the masses of the axio-dilaton and the 272 complex structure fields can be explicitly computed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the phenomenological implications of string theory demands the construction of low-energy Effective Field Theories (EFTs) describing its compactification to four dimensions. However, deriving these EFTs is remarkably challenging and involves, in particular, integrating out a large number of scalar fields (typically hundreds) describing the geometry of the compactified dimensions, i.e., the moduli. Actually, finding a mechanism to generate the moduli masses is a crucial step in the construction of de Sitter vacua, where the moduli are stabilised near special points of the moduli space \([18–20]\). Furthermore, recent analyses indicate an existing tension between the \( D3 \)-tadpole cancellation condition and the need to stabilise all the complex structure moduli \([21–24]\), what could have important implications for the consistency of KKLT and LVS proposals. While significant progress has been made in crucial aspects of moduli stabilisation in the last couple of years \([14, 20, 22, 25]\), a precise characterisation of the mass spectrum in the axio-dilaton/complex structure sector has remained elusive, which calls for further studies in this direction.

Advances on this matter were recently made in \([26]\) (following \([17, 27, 28]\), for compactifications on the orientifold of Calabi-Yau manifolds which allow the consistent truncation of all the complex structure fields except one. The analysis of \([23]\) assumed a Calabi-Yau geometry admitting a large discrete isometry group which, provided the flux configuration is also invariant under these symmetries, allows the effective reduction of the complex structure sector. In this setting it was shown that the complete mass spectrum of the axio-dilaton and complex structure sector (including the truncated fields) can be explicitly computed in the Large Complex Structure (LCS)/weak string coupling regime. More specifically, for the class of vacua which can be found parametrically close to the LCS point \([29, 30]\) and up to exponentially small corrections, the scalar moduli masses are given by the simple analytic formula

\[
\frac{\hat{m}_3^2}{m_3^{3/2}} = \begin{cases} 
1 + \sqrt{(1 - 2\lambda)} \frac{\hat{m}(\xi)}{\sqrt{3}} \xi^2 & \lambda = 0, \\
1 + \sqrt{(1 - 2\lambda)} & \lambda = 1, \\
1 & \lambda = 2, \ldots, h_2^2. 
\end{cases}
\]
Here, the quantity $\xi$ parametrizes the complex structure moduli space, ranging in $\xi \in [0, 1/2)$ for $h_+^{2,1} > h_-^{1,1}$ or in $\xi \in (-1, 0]$ for $h_+^{2,1} < h_-^{1,1}$, with the LCS point located at $\xi = 0$, and with $h_+^{2,1}$ and $h_-^{1,1}$ denoting the number of complex structure and Kähler moduli fields of the Calabi-Yau orientifold, respectively. The quantity $m_{3/2}$ is the gravitino mass, and

$$m(\xi) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 2 + \kappa(\xi)^2 - \kappa(\xi) \sqrt{4 + \kappa(\xi)^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

(2)

with $\kappa(\xi) = (2 + 1/2)^2/\sqrt{3(1 - 2\xi)^3}$.

In the present paper we prove that, in the LCS regime and provided the fluxes are conveniently constrained, the EFT of \textit{generic Calabi-Yau compactifications} always admits a consistent truncation of all complex structure fields but one. Here, in contrast with \cite{29}, we do not require the presence of a discrete isometry group, and our result relies instead on the existence of monodromy transformations around the LCS point. That is, we will require only the invariance of the EFT under discrete shifts of the complex structure fields $\z^i$

$$\z^i \rightarrow \z^i + v^i, \quad v^i \in \mathbb{Z}^{h^{2,1}}$$

(3)

combined with an appropriate transformation of the fluxes of the form fields. This invariance is a common feature of all Calabi-Yau compactifications in the LCS regime. Moreover, the choice of field surviving the truncation is highly non-unique, with each possibility associated to a different monodromy direction $v^i$.

This simple, and yet powerful, observation allows us to extend the results of \cite{29} to \textit{generic Calabi-Yau compactifications} and, as a consequence, opens the door to generating a large landscape of vacua with an unprecedented analytic control over the mass spectrum of the axio-dilaton and complex structure moduli. It is important to note that solutions discussed here are not the dominant class in the landscape. In fact, they only constitute a small fraction of the total number of vacua for large values of $h_+^{2,1} \gg 1$. However, as we shall see below, our approach allows us to search for these solutions in a very efficient way, which makes it particularly attractive for explicit constructions of de Sitter vacua.

\section{II. \textbf{Consistent Truncation of the EFT}}

We begin by introducing the effective supergravity theory describing the low-energy regime of type-IIB string theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau orientifold $\mathcal{M}_3$. The couplings of the theory are conveniently expressed by specifying an integral and symplectic homology basis $\{A^I, B_I\}$ of $H_3(X_3, \mathbb{Z})$, satisfying $A^I \cap B_J = \delta^I_J$ and $A^I \cap A^J = B_I \cap B_J = 0$, with $I = 0, \ldots, h_+^{2,1}$. In particular, the components in this basis of the Calabi-Yau $\{3, 0\}$ form $\Omega(\z^i)$ can be encoded in the \textit{period vector}

$$\Pi^T \equiv (\mathcal{F}_I, X^I) = (\int_{B_I} \Omega, \int_{A^I} \Omega).$$

(4)

Here $X^I$ are projective coordinates in the complex structure moduli space, and the corresponding moduli fields can be defined to be $\z^i = X^i/X^0$, $i = 1, \ldots, h_+^{2,1}$. Then, to leading order in $\alpha'$ and the string coupling $g_s$, the Kähler potential of the corresponding 4-dimensional effective supergravity theory reads \cite{31, 32}

$$K = -2 \log \mathcal{V} - \log(-i(\tau - \bar{\tau})) - \log(-i \Pi^T \cdot \Sigma \cdot \Pi),$$

(5)

where $\mathcal{V}$ denotes the Kähler moduli-dependent volume of $M_3$ in units of $2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ and measured in Einstein frame, and $\Sigma = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -\mathbb{I} & 0 \end{smallmatrix})$ is the symplectic matrix. The quantities $\mathcal{F}_I$ can be expressed as the derivatives of a holomorphic function of the $X^I$, the \textit{prepotential} $\mathcal{F}(X^I)$, which in the LCS regime admits the expansion

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \kappa_{ijk} z^i z^j z^k - \frac{1}{\pi} \kappa_{ijk} z^i z^j + \kappa_i z^i + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0 + \ldots,$$

(6)

where we have chosen the gauge $X^0 = 1$. The terms $\kappa_{ijk}$, $\kappa_{ij}$ and $\kappa_i$ are numerical constants which can be computed from the topological data of the mirror manifold to $M_3$ (see \cite{28}). In particular, the quantities $\kappa_{ijk}$ are integers, the coefficients $\kappa_{ij}$ and $\kappa_i$ are rational, and the constant $\kappa_0 = \zeta(3) (\chi(M_3)/(2\pi i)^3$ is determined by the Euler number $\chi(M_3)$ of the Calabi-Yau. The prepotential also receives contributions from world-sheet instantons, which are subleading in the LCS regime, and thus they will be neglected in the following calculations. The presence of the RR and NS-NS three-form fluxes, resp. $F(3)$ and $H(3)$, induces the Gukov-Vafa-Witten superpotential $W$ for the dilaton and complex structure moduli \cite{34}

$$\sqrt{\pi/2} W = N^T \cdot \Sigma \cdot \Pi,$$

(7)

where we have introduced the flux vector

$$N \equiv f - \tau h, \quad f = (\int_{A^I} F(3)), \quad h = (\int_{B^I} H(3)),$$

(8)

with $\{f_A^I, f_B^I, h_A^I, h_B^I\} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the configurations of the axio-dilaton and complex structure fields $\{\tau, \z^i\}$ which minimize the scalar potential while preserving supersymmetry are those satisfying the $F$-flatness conditions

$$\left. \left( \partial_\tau + \partial_{\z^i} K \right) W \right|_{\tau = \tau^*, \z^i = \z^i} = 0.$$

(9)

The present description of the EFT has an inherent redundancy associated to the choice of homology basis. More specifically, a change of basis induces a transformation of the period and flux vectors,

$$\Pi \rightarrow S \cdot \Pi, \quad N \rightarrow S \cdot N,$$

(10)
with \( S \in \text{Sp}(2h,\mathbb{Z}) \), leading to different descriptions of the same theory. Finally, the requirement that the period vector transforms by symplectic transformations under the monodromies \([3]\) follows to the leading condition on the couplings \([35, 36]\)

\[
\kappa_{ij}v^j + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{ijk}v^jv^k = 0 \quad \text{mod } \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(11)

We will now prove the main result of this paper:

Let us consider a \( h^{2,1} \)-dimensional vector \( v^i \) of coprime integers, which lies in the Kähler cone of the mirror Calabi-Yau. Then, the ansatz \( z^i = \hat{z}v^i \) with \( \hat{z} \in \mathbb{C} \) defines a consistent supersymmetric truncation of the EFT with the vectors \( f^i \) of the value of \( \hat{z} \) and \( \hat{z} \) prove that the ansatz \( \hat{z} \) is orthogonal to the reduced field space defined by the truncation ansatz, i.e., orthogonal to \( v^i \), regardless of the value of \( \hat{z} \) and \( \tau \) \([17, 37–39]\). Substituting the flux configuration \([12]\) into \([7]\) we find that the \( F \)-flatness condition reads

\[
\kappa_{ijk}v^i v^j v^k [\hat{z} + \frac{1}{2}] N_0 - i q N_B + w^i[\partial_z, K W]_{\hat{z}v^i} = 0.
\]

(13)

Actually the two terms in this expression vanish independently, as they are both proportional to \( \kappa_{ijk} w^i \text{Im}(z^j) \text{Im}(z^k) \) = 0, which is zero in the LCS regime. Indeed, this quantity vanishes at any configuration \( z^i \) where the holomorphic vector \( w^i \) is orthogonal to \( \text{Im}(z^i) \) \([26]\) (see also appendix \([A]\) and \([28, 40, 41]\)).

The previous result guarantees that the ansatz \( z^i = \hat{z}v^i \) can be consistently substituted into the action, obtaining a reduced theory with an effectively 1-dimensional complex structure moduli space parametrised by \( \hat{z} \). The couplings of the reduced action are still characterised by \([5]\) and \([7]\), but with an effective prepotential given by

\[
\tilde{F} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \kappa_{euv} \hat{z}^3 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \kappa_{euv} \hat{z}^2 + \kappa_e \hat{z} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0
\]

(14)

and an effective 4-dimensional flux vector

\[
\tilde{N} = (N_0^B, q \kappa_{euv} \tilde{N}, 0, \tilde{N}_A)^T,
\]

(15)

where we introduced the shorthand \( \kappa_{euv} \equiv \kappa_{ijk} v^i v^j v^k \) and \( \kappa_e \equiv \kappa_i v^i \). Any solution of this reduced theory is also a solution of the full action in the LCS regime and to leading order in \( \alpha' \) and \( g_s \). Furthermore, if the fields surviving the truncation satisfy the \( F \)-flatness conditions \([9]\), the axio-dilaton/complex structure sector of the complete theory will satisfy them as well \([17, 42]\).

Therefore, given an EFT for some Calabi-Yau compactification, we can immediately generate large families of flux vacua in the LCS regime (one family for each choice of \( v^i \)) where we can compute the mass spectrum of the complete axio-dilaton/complex structure sector. Indeed, we just need solve the \( F \)-flatness conditions \([9]\) for the reduced model defined by \([14]\) and \([15]\). Then, the mass spectrum at the resulting vacua can be obtained using the results in \([26]\), which apply whenever the complex structure sector can be consistently truncated to a single field. More specifically, the formula \([1]\) gives the squared masses of all the \( 2h^{2,1} + 2 \) scalar modes in the axio-dilaton/complex structure sector, including the truncated ones, in terms of a single parameter \( \xi \equiv \kappa_{euv} \text{Im}(\hat{z})^2 \), and normalised by the gravitino mass,

\[
m_{3/2}^2 = e^K |W|^2 = 3Q_{D3}/(\pi(2 - \xi)V^2),
\]

(16)

where \( Q_{D3} \equiv f^T \Sigma h \geq 1 \) is the flux induced \( D3 \)-charge. In equation \([1]\), the masses with \( \lambda = 0, 1 \) are those associated to the fields surviving the truncation, \( \{ \tau, \hat{z} \} \), while those with \( \lambda = 2, \ldots, h^{2,1} \) are the masses of the remaining fields in the truncated sector. It is also worth mentioning that solutions to \([9]\) with \( N_0^A = 0 \) are of particular interest, as they are the only ones that can be found parametrically close to the LCS point \([20, 30]\), which is where we have the best perturbative control of the EFT.

To end this section, let us briefly comment on the \( D3 \)-tadpole constraint. In a given compactification, the number of solutions at LCS compatible with the spectrum \([1]\), \( \mathcal{N} \), can be estimated using the continuous flux approximation of \([13]\). We find

\[
\mathcal{N}(Q_{D3} \leq Q_{D3}^*, g_5 \leq g_5^*) \propto \sum_{v^i \in \mathbb{C}K} \frac{g_5^\lambda |\text{Im}(\kappa_0)| (Q_{D3}^*)^3}{q^2 \kappa_{euv}^2},
\]

(17)

where \( Q_{D3}^* \) is the available \( D3 \)-charge in the compactification, \( g_5 = (\text{Im} \tau)^{-1} \) is the string coupling, and the proportionality constant is of order one (see appendix \([C]\)). The sum in the previous formula extends over all vectors \( v^i \) in the Kähler cone (CK) of the mirror dual to \( M_3 \). Although the actual number of vacua depends on the choice of compactification, this result shows that \( \mathcal{N} \) only represents a very small fraction of the total number of flux vacua \( \mathcal{N} \ll \mathcal{N}_{\text{total}} \propto (Q_{D3}^*)^{2(h^{2,1} + 1)} \) when \( h^{2,1} \gg 1 \) \([43]\). Nevertheless, the method described above

1 The freedom \([17]\) allows to shift \( \kappa_{ij} v^i v^j \) by an arbitrary integer, what we use to set \( \kappa_{ij} v^i v^j = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ijk} v^i v^j v^k \) in \([14]\) \([55]\).
III. EXAMPLE: THE HYPERSURFACE $\mathbb{F}^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$

We will now illustrate our results by constructing an ensemble of the class of vacua presented above. For this purpose we will consider the compactification of type-IIB string theory in an orientifold of the Calabi-Yau hypersurface $\mathbb{F}^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$, which has $h^{1,1}_+ = 2$ Kähler moduli and and $h^{2,1}_- = 272$ complex structure fields. For geometries admitting a $\mathcal{G} = Z_{18} \times Z_6$ isometry group, and provided only $\mathcal{G}$-invariant fluxes are turned on, the complex structure sector can be consistently truncated, leaving only two surviving complex structure fields which also transform trivially under $\mathcal{G}$. In the LCS regime, the couplings for the two $\mathcal{G}$-invariant complex structure fields are determined by a prepotential with coefficients \[44\]

\[\kappa_{111} = 9, \quad \kappa_{112} = 3, \quad \kappa_{122} = 1, \quad \kappa_{11} = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad \kappa_{22} = 0, \quad \kappa_{12} = -\frac{3}{2}. \tag{18}\]

$\kappa_i = (\frac{\lambda_i}{2}, \frac{\lambda_i}{2})$ and $\kappa_0 = -\frac{540z(3)}{(2\pi i)^3}$. Recall that the presence of the group $\mathcal{G}$ is not necessary for our results to apply, however such isometries are often required to make the computation of the EFT couplings tractable (see \[45\]). We will also assume the same configuration of orientifold planes and D7-branes as in \[20\], which allows for flux vacua with $D3$-charge satisfying $Q_{D3} \leq 138$.

The procedure described above allows us to further reduce the complex structure sector to a single field. Consider for definiteness the truncation ansatz defined by the monodromy direction $v = (1, 1)$. The resulting effective prepotential \[14\] is given by the couplings $\kappa_{v v v} = 21$ and $\kappa_v = \frac{\lambda}{2}$. In order to construct the vacua ensemble, we first generated the collection of all flux tuples \{(\hat{f}_0^B, h_0^B, \hat{f}_{A,B}, \hat{f}_{A,B})\} with entries in the interval $[-15, 15]$ satisfying the tadpole constraint ($\sim 2 \cdot 10^7$ in total). For each of these, we numerically solved the $F$-flatness conditions \[9\] of the reduced model given by \[14\] and \[15\], employing the software Paramotopy \[40\] \[45\]. The resulting set of 17,054 solutions is displayed in figure \[1\] (blue dots), which shows the distribution of flux vacua on a fundamental domain of $\{\tau, \hat{z}\}$. This ensemble includes only solutions at the weak string coupling/LCS regime, i.e., where $g_s < 1$ and with small instanton corrections to the prepotential (using the similar criteria to \[20\]). It is interesting to note that for this particular branch of vacua, the continuous flux approximation \[17\] predicts $N_{\mathcal{V}=1.1} \sim 10^5$, which represents a very small fraction of the total number of vacua, $N_{\text{total}} \sim 10^{13}$. This result shows the efficiency of our method, which led us to find a significant fraction of this branch of solutions, regardless of them arising with low frequency in the landscape.

As we detailed before, the truncation ansatz $\hat{z} = v' \hat{z}$ together with \[12\] allows us to lift each of these solutions to a vacuum of the complete $\mathbb{F}^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$ model. After the lift, we computed the scalar mass spectrum at each vacuum for the axio-dilaton and the $\mathcal{G}$-invariant $z^i$ modes ($\lambda = 0, 1, 2$) by direct diagonalisation of the Hessian of the flux potential of the $\mathbb{F}^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$ model. The result perfectly matched the formula \[1\] in all cases. It is important to emphasise that, at each of the obtained solutions, equation \[1\] also gives the masses of the 270 truncated complex fields which transform non-trivially under $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., the modes with $\lambda = 3, \ldots, 272$. This is a remarkable result, given that we only used the EFT couplings for the $\mathcal{G}$-invariant moduli computed in \[44\]. A statistical analysis of the flux vacua obtained by this procedure can be found in appendix \[13\].

IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we presented a method to construct ensembles of flux vacua for generic Calabi-Yau compactifications at LCS, where the masses of the axio-dilaton and complex structure moduli are given by the universal formula \[1\]. This result provides full analytic control, to leading order in $\alpha'$ and $g_s$, over the masses of those fields, and therefore the vacua we consider are an excellent stepping-stone towards the complete stabilisation of the compactification, i.e., including the Kähler moduli.
Interestingly, up to an overall scale, the masses given in \( \mu^2 \xi \rightarrow 0 = 0 \) are completely determined by the vacuum values of the complex structure fields. As a consequence, knowing the magnitude of the \( \alpha' \) and nonperturbative corrections which generate the Kähler moduli potential, it is possible to guarantee the stability of the axio-dilaton and all the complex structure fields by restricting the search of vacua to appropriate regions of moduli space. In particular, the spectrum \( \xi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \xi \) involves a single asymptotically massless mode in the neighbourhood of the LCS point, with the remaining masses being at least of the order of the gravitino mass \( m_{3/2} \). In other words, in the LCS limit there is only one potentially dangerous mode which might threaten the stability of the compactification. On the contrary, away from the LCS point the mass of the lightest mode in \( \xi \) becomes of the order of \( m_{3/2} \), and thus as long as the perturbative and nonperturbative contributions to the EFT are under control, the final vacuum with the Kähler moduli fixed will not develop an instability. Nevertheless, it is expected that such contributions will induce small corrections in the spectrum \( \xi \) which, in particular, will lift the degeneracy of the modes \( \lambda = 2, \ldots, h_{3,1} \).

As a final remark, note that the class of vacua we discussed is only appropriate for the construction of LVS solutions, but not for the KKLT scenario. For the solutions presented here, the flux superpotential satisfies \( W_0 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \) (see eq. (\ref{eq:W0})), while the KKLT vacua require \( W_0 \) to be exponentially small. Therefore, a logical future direction would be to consider the stabilisation of the Kähler moduli at the class of vacua presented here within the LVS framework. Another interesting continuation of this work, would be to study other truncation schemes compatible with the more general vacua discussed in \( \xi \), where the spectrum can also be explicitly computed, and \( W_0 \) could be arbitrarily small.
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Appendix A: \( F \)-flatness condition for the truncated moduli

The proof of equation (\ref{eq:Kij}) relies on the following property satisfied by the couplings of the prepotential (\ref{eq:Kij}) in the LCS regime (neglecting instanton corrections)

\[ \kappa_{ijk} u^i \Im(z^j) \Im(z^k) = 0, \tag{A1} \]

where \( u^i \) is any holomorphic vector orthogonal to \( \Im(z^i) \) with respect to the moduli space metric. This result was derived in \( \xi \) (see eqs. (4.2) and (4.5) there), however as the conventions used here are slightly different to those of \( \xi \), for completeness we will briefly outline the proof in this appendix. We will assume the Euler number of the Calabi-Yau to be non-vanishing, but it is straightforward to extend the argument to the case \( \chi(M_3) = 0 \), where \( \xi = \Im \kappa_0 = 0 \).

The Kähler potential for the complex structure sector derived from (\ref{eq:Kij}) and (\ref{eq:Kij}) reads

\[ K_{cs} = -\log \left( \frac{4}{7} \kappa_{ijk} \Im(z^i) \Im(z^j) \Im(z^k) - 2 \Im(\kappa_0) \right), \tag{A2} \]

and the corresponding moduli space metric on this sector

\[ K_{ij} = -2 e^{2K_{cs}} \kappa_{ijk} \Im(z^k) + 4 e^{2K_{cs}} \kappa_{ijklm} \Im(z^l) \Im(z^m) \Im(z^n) \Im(z^p). \tag{A3} \]

For the instanton contributions to the prepotential (\ref{eq:Kij}) to be suppressed, i.e., in the LCS regime, the field configuration must be such that \( \Im(z^i) \) lies in the Kähler cone of the mirror Calabi-Yau (see (43)), what in particular implies that \( \kappa_{ijk} \Im(z^i) \Im(z^j) \Im(z^k) \) must be non-vanishing and positive.

Let us consider now the product \( K_{ij} u^i \Im(z^j) \) where \( u^i \) is a generic holomorphic vector not necessarily orthogonal to \( \Im(z^i) \). Using that the tensor \( \kappa_{ijk} \) is totally symmetric, and the definition \( \xi = \frac{-2 e^{2K_{cs}} \Im(\kappa_0)}{1 + 2 e^{2K_{cs}} \Im(\kappa_0)} \) this product can be expressed as

\[ K_{ij} u^i \Im(z^j) = \frac{-\xi(1 - 2\xi)}{(1 + \xi) \Im(\kappa_0)} \kappa_{ijk} u^i \Im(z^j) \Im(z^k). \tag{A4} \]

Setting \( u^i = \Im(z^i) \) in the previous equation we obtain

\[ K_{ij} \Im(z^j) \Im(z^i) = \frac{3(1 - 2\xi)}{4(1 + \xi)^2}, \tag{A5} \]

implying that at field configurations where \( \xi = 1/2 \) the moduli space metric becomes degenerate and thus the EFT is not well defined. Moreover, the case \( \xi \rightarrow \infty \) corresponds to configurations outside the LCS regime where \( \kappa_{ijk} \Im(z^i) \Im(z^j) \Im(z^k) = 0 \), and thus the EFT defined by the polynomial prepotential (\ref{eq:Kij}) cannot be trusted.

Finally, to prove equation (A1) we substitute \( u^i = w^i \) in (A4), with \( w^i \) orthogonal to \( \Im(z^i) \), leading to

\[ -\frac{\xi(1 - 2\xi)}{(1 - \xi)^2 \Im(\kappa_0)} \kappa_{ijk} w^j \Im(z^j) \Im(z^k) = 0, \tag{A6} \]

which can only be vanishing at physical configurations

\footnote{This definition reduces to the one in the main text when \( z^i = z^i \).
\footnote{Actually, all field configurations with \( \xi < -1 \) or \( \xi > 1/2 \) are unphysical since the field space metric always has at least one negative eigenvalue there.}
away from the LCS point (ξ = 0) provided \( [A1] \) is satisfied.

Appendix B: Vacua statistics for the \( \mathbb{W}/F^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]} \) hypersurface

In this appendix, we will discuss the statistical properties of the class of solutions presented in the main body. In particular, we will analyse the distribution of these vacua on the reduced moduli space \( \{\tau, \hat{z}\} \), and the probability density functions for the scalar masses in \( [1] \). For this purpose, following the method presented before, we numerically constructed an ensemble of flux vacua on an orientifold of the Calabi-Yau hypersurface \( \mathbb{W}/F^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]} \), and extracted the corresponding probability distributions by the direct examination of this set of solutions. As shown below, these numerical results are in good agreement with the analytical probability distributions derived in \([26]\), which describe the statistics of compactifications with an effectively one-dimensional complex structure sector (see also \([43]\)). It is important to emphasize that our analytical description of the probability distributions is independent of the choice of Calabi-Yau and the truncation ansatz. Therefore, the statistical features observed here for the \( \mathbb{W}/F^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]} \) ensemble are expected to be present in generic compactifications as well.

In order to have a sufficiently large sample of vacua for the statistical analysis we considered the effective reduction of the complex structure sector along the monodromy directions \( v^i = \{1, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\} \), and we combined in a single ensemble the solutions to the \( F \)-flatness conditions \( [4] \) found for each of the three cases. Other families could also have been considered; however, the study of any of them is very computationally demanding and, due to the universal features of these vacua, we do not expect to gain any new information from studying a different family.

Furthermore, to relax the tadpole constraint on the fluxes, we considered the setting adopted in \([49]\), where the type-IIB compactification on \( \mathbb{W}/F^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]} \) was regarded as the orientifold limit of \( F \)-theory on an elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau fourfold, \( M_4 \). In the \( F \)-theory framework, the maximum allowed \( D3 \) charge induced by the fluxes is determined by the Euler number of the fourfold, leading in the present case to \( Q_{D3} = \chi(M_4)/24 = 273 \) \([49]\).

For each choice of \( v^i \), we proceeded in a similar way as described in the main body of the paper. First, we generated a collection of 10^7 flux tuples \( \{f^B_0, h^B_0, \tilde{f}_{A,B}, \tilde{h}_{A,B}\} \) drawn from an uniform distribution with support in \([-25, 25]\), and subject to the tadpole constraint \( Q_{D3} \leq 273 \). Then we searched for solutions to the corresponding \( F \)-flatness equations \([4] \) with the aid of the software \textit{Paramotopy}. The resulting ensemble contains 206,479 vacua in the weak string-coupling regime, i.e., with \( (\text{Im} \tau)^{-1} = g_s < 1 \), out of which 95,626 are in the LCS regime. Here we defined the LCS regime by the condition that the leading instanton contributions to the prepotential \([11] \), given by (see \([14]\))

\[
F_{\text{inst}} = -\frac{135}{2\pi^3} e^{i2\pi z^1} - \frac{3}{8\pi^3} e^{i2\pi z^2} + \ldots , \tag{B1}
\]

induce small relative corrections (< 5%) to moduli space geometry (i.e., to the field space metric and the canonically normalised couplings \( \kappa_{ij,k} \)) and to the gravitino mass \( m_{3/2} \) \([26]\). It is important to mention that our definition of the LCS regime is more restrictive than just requiring \( F_{\text{inst}} \) to be small (in absolute value) with respect to the perturbative part of the prepotential \([6] \) (see, e.g., \([55]\)). Indeed, the moduli space metric becomes degenerate far from the LCS point (\( \xi \rightarrow -1 \) for \( \chi(M_4) > 0 \) and \( \xi \rightarrow 1/2 \) for \( \chi(M_4) < 0 \)) and thus, in that regime, the metric eigenvalues are small and very sensitive to the instanton corrections, even for small ratios \( |F_{\text{inst}}|/F \sim 0.01 \).

The method used here for avoiding duplicities in the counting of vacua is essentially the same as the one used in \([26]\) (see also \([56]\)). However, the case at hand requires certain specific considerations related to the truncation of the moduli space so, for completeness, we will briefly summarise this method in the next section.

1. Redundancies and solution duplicates

The description of the EFT presented in the main text has two inherent redundancies, namely those associated to the choice of holonomy basis \([10]\), and the well known \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) modular transformations acting on \( \tau \). Those vacua which can be related to each other by these gauge transformations should be regarded as physically equivalent, and thus when constructing the ensemble one must ensure that each distinct solution is only counted once.

Regarding the choice of holonomy basis, the coefficients \( \kappa_{ij}, \kappa_i, \) and \( \kappa_0 \) are only defined modulo integers, with different representatives associated to different choices of this basis. Therefore, by selecting a particular expression for the prepotential the symplectic gauge is partially fixed, with the residual gauge given by the monodromy transformations around the LCS point, i.e., \( z^i \rightarrow z^i + \delta^i_p \) with \( p = 1, \ldots, h^{2,1}_z \). As a result of imposing the truncation ansatz \( z^i \rightarrow \hat{z} v^i \), the gauge freedom is further reduced, leaving as the only source of gauge redundancy the monodromy transformations \( z^i \rightarrow z^i + v^i \), which amounts to the shift

\[
\hat{z} \rightarrow \hat{z} + 1 \tag{B2}
\]
on the field surviving the truncation. The corresponding symplectic transformation \( S(v) \in \text{Sp}(2h^{2,1}_z + 2, \mathbb{Z}) \), acts

\[...\]
on the period vector as (see [35])

\[ \Pi(z^i + v^i) = S_{(v)} \cdot \Pi(z^i), \quad \text{with} \quad S_{(v)} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}. \quad (B3) \]

The matrices \( A \) and \( B \) are given by

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -v^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2\kappa_v + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{vvv} & -\kappa_{jv} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{jvv} \\ -\kappa_{iv} - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{ivv} & -\kappa_{ijv} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (B4) \]

and \( C = (A^T)^{-1} \). Note that the condition (11) is necessary for \( S_{(v)} \) to have integer entries, which also requires the additional constraint \( 2\kappa_v + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{vvv} = 0 \) mod \( \mathbb{Z} \) [30].

Finally, to obtain the action of the residual monodromy transformation (B3) on the fluxes of the reduced theory, we just need to impose the ansatz (12) together with (10). We find the transformation rules

\[ \hat{N}_A = N_A, \quad \hat{N}_B = \hat{N}_B - q^{-1}\hat{N}_A, \quad N_0^B = N_0^B + \kappa_{vvv} (\hat{N}_A - q\hat{N}_B). \quad (B5) \]

The condition \( N_0^B = 0 \) is preserved.

In addition to these transformations, one must also take into account the modular transformations \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \), which act on the axio-dilaton and the fluxes as

\[ \tau \rightarrow a\tau + b \\
ct \rightarrow c\tau + d, \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} f' \\ h' \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} f' \\ h' \end{array} \right), \quad (B6) \]

with \( a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( ad - bc = 1 \).

In order to eliminate equivalent solutions related by the transformations (B3) and (B6), all the vacua in the ensemble were transported to a fundamental domain defined by \( \text{Re} \tau \in [-1/2, 1/2], |\tau| > 1 \), and \( \text{Re} \xi \in [-1/2, 1/2] \) using (B2), (B5) and (B6). Once in the fundamental domain duplicate solutions are easily identified and discarded, as they correspond to those with the same configuration for the fields and the fluxes. The result of this procedure for the ensemble of vacua discussed in the main text was displayed in figure [1]. The corresponding distribution of vacua on the fundamental domain for the ensemble analysed in this appendix shows no significant differences with respect to figure [1], and thus we have not displayed it here.

2. Analytic formulae and numerical results

We now turn to the analysis of the statistical properties of the ensemble. As shown in [29], for compactifications with an effectively one-dimensional complex structure sector and a large \( D3 \)-charge tadpole, \( Q_{D3}|_{\text{max}} \gg 1 \), the statistics of the flux ensemble can be accurately described using the continuous flux approximation of [33].

This approximation consists in neglecting the quantization of the fluxes, which are then treated as continuous random variables with a uniform distribution, only subject to the tadpole constraint \( f^T \cdot \Sigma \cdot h \leq Q_{D3}|_{\text{max}} \). Using this simplification as the starting point, it is possible to derive the following expression for the distribution of vacua in the reduced complex structure space [20]

\[ \rho(\xi) d\xi = N \cdot \frac{1 + \xi}{(2 - \xi)^{2\xi/3}} d\xi, \quad \xi = -\frac{3 \text{Im}(\kappa_0)}{2\kappa_{vvv} \text{Im}(\xi)^3}. \quad (B7) \]

where, for convenience, we have given the distribution of \( \text{Im}(\xi) \) in terms of the parameter \( \xi \). In the previous expression and the following ones, \( N \) represents a normalization constant which should be determined for each particular distribution. It is remarkable that this distribution is independent of the details of the Calabi-Yau orientifold, or the choice of the surviving field in the reduced theory, i.e., of \( v^i \). As a consequence, this expression can be used to describe mixed ensembles containing vacua from different compactifications and/or obtained from different truncation ansätze.

The distribution of values for the parameter \( \xi \) obtained numerically for our ensemble of vacua, combining the cases \( v^i = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3)\} \), is displayed in figure 2. The figure shows a stacked histogram with the 95,626 vacua at the LCS regime indicated in (light and dark) blue, and in orange those solutions with a large contribution from instantons (\( > 5\% \)). Since the formula (B7) was obtained while completely ignoring the contribution from instantons, it is expected to work only in the regime of \( \xi \) space where few vacua, or none, are discarded due to having large corrections (that is, for \( \xi \lesssim 0.12 \)). Furthermore, due to the limitations of our numerical method, the flux integers in the ensemble range only in the interval \([-25, 25]\), leading to an artificial bound to how close the
vacua in the ensemble can be to the LCS point, $\xi \gtrsim 0.001$ \[20\]. As a consequence, the distribution \([B7]\) is expected to describe correctly the statistics of vacua in the range $\xi \in [0.001, 0.12]$, which we have indicated in figure \[2\] in dark blue.

The distribution \([B7]\), normalised in its range of validity, is also indicated in the figure with a dashed line and, as it can be observed, it provides a very good description for the density of flux vacua. It is also interesting to note that, despite the divergence of the distribution \([B7]\) at $\xi = 0$, this function is normalizable in $\xi \in [0, 1/2]$, and thus it predicts a finite number of vacua in any neighbourhood of the LCS point.

Regarding the axio-dilaton, it can also be shown that, according to the continuous flux approximation, the string coupling constant $g_s = (\text{Im} \tau)^{-1}$ has a uniform probability distribution in this class of vacua or, equivalently, the probability density function for the imaginary part of $\tau$ is of the form $\rho(\text{Im} \tau) \propto (\text{Im} \tau)^{-2}$. This is also consistent with the distribution which we obtained numerically, as it can be seen in figure \[3\].

In order to write the expression for the mass distributions, it is convenient to define the following functions of the parameter $\xi$:

$$
\tilde{m}_\lambda(\xi) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{1 - 2\xi}{3}} \tilde{m}(\xi) & \lambda = 0, \\
\frac{1 + \sqrt{5\tilde{m}(\xi)}}{3} & \lambda = 2, \ldots, h^{2.1}_-, \\
\sqrt{\frac{1 - 2\xi}{3}} \tilde{m}(\xi) & \lambda = 1,
\end{cases}
$$

(B8)

which give the fermion masses at no-scale vacua, $m_\lambda$, normalised by the gravitino mass $\tilde{m}_\lambda \equiv m_\lambda/m_{3/2}$ \[20\]. Then, combining the previous expressions with \([B7]\) and using that the functions $\tilde{m}_\lambda(\xi)$ are monotonic, it is immediate to obtain $h^{-1}_{2.1} + 1$ separate probability distributions, one for each of the rescaled fermion masses

$$
\rho^{(\lambda)}(\tilde{m}_\lambda) d\tilde{m}_\lambda = N(1 + \xi) \left(\frac{2 - \xi}{2 - \xi^2/3} \frac{m_{3/2}^2}{\tilde{m}_\lambda} \frac{d\tilde{m}_\lambda}{d\xi} \right) |_{\xi(\tilde{m}_\lambda)} d\tilde{m}_\lambda,
$$

(B9)

where $\lambda = 0, \ldots, h^{2.1}_-$. Finally, from the relation

$$
\mu^2_{\pm\lambda} = (m_{3/2}^2 \pm m_\lambda)^2
$$

(B10)

between the scalar and fermion masses \[17\], we can obtain $h^{-1}_{2.1} + 1$ separate probability distributions, one for each pair of normalised scalar masses $\tilde{\mu}^2_{\pm\lambda} \equiv \mu^2_{\pm\lambda}/m_{3/2}^2$

$$
\rho^{(\lambda)}(\tilde{\mu}_\lambda) d\tilde{\mu}_\lambda = N(1 + \tilde{\mu}_\lambda) \left[ \rho^{(\lambda)}(1 + \tilde{\mu}_\lambda) + \rho^{(\lambda)}(1 - \tilde{\mu}_\lambda) \right] d\tilde{\mu}_\lambda
$$

(B11)

In order to generate the numerical mass distributions for our ensemble of vacua, at each solution to \([1]\) we diagonalised the Hessian of the scalar potential induced by the fluxes, i.e., the potential in the theory defined by \([5], \[6], \) and \([7]\) with the couplings \([18]\), which describe the $G$-invariant sector of the moduli space in the $\mathbb{C}P^1$-invariant model. In all cases, the resulting masses for the three $G$-invariant modes (including the axio-dilaton) were in agreement with equation \([1]\) with $\lambda = 0, 1, 2$. The numerical distributions for the scalar $\mu^2_{\pm0}, \mu^2_{\pm1}$ and $\mu^2_{\pm2}$ are displayed in figure \[4\] along with the theoretical distribution \([B11]\) normalised in the range $\xi \in [0.001, 0.12]$. As expected from the analysis of the distribution $\rho(\xi)$ \([B7]\), in figure \[4\] we can see that the theoretical probability densities for the masses are in good agreement with the obtained numerical results. The most significant feature of these plots is that the density distribution for $\mu^2_{\pm1}$ is peaked around zero, indicating that a large fraction of vacua involve a light field in the spectrum. This can be understood recalling that, on the one hand, vacua with $N^0_3 = 0$ (as those discussed here) can be found parametrically close to the LCS point \[28, 39\], and thus a large fraction is expected to be found near $\xi = 0$ (see figure \[2\]). On the other hand, as we mentioned in the main text, from \([1]\) it follows that the spectrum of these vacua contains an asymptotically massless mode in the limit $\xi \to 0$, which explains the peak of $\rho^{(\lambda)}(\mu^2_1)$ at $\mu^2_1 = 0$ observed in figure \[4\] (b). This feature is expected to be generic for the class of vacua discussed here, regardless of the choice of Calabi-Yau compactification or the truncation ansatz, as both the mass spectrum \([1]\) and the probability distributions \([B10]\) and \([B11]\) are completely universal. Note also that half of the masses in the spectrum are smaller than the gravitino mass $m_{3/2}^2$.

The sharp edges of the mass sectors shown in figure \[4\] correspond to the cutoffs we have set on the parameter $\xi \in [0.001, 0.12]$, with the peaks of the probability distributions corresponding to the minimum value of $\xi$. The effect of changing the bounds of $\xi$ can be seen in figure \[5\]. The plot in figure \[5\] (a) represents the combined distribution for the masses of the three $G$-invariant modes, with $\xi \in [0.001, 0.02]$. As is can be seen the distribution
Figure 4. Numerical distributions for the normalised scalar masses $\tilde{\mu}^2_{\pm\lambda} = \frac{\mu^2_{\pm\lambda}}{m_{3/2}^2}$ of the $\mathcal{G}$-invariant modes, $\lambda = \{0, 1, 2\}$ in the ensemble of 95,626 vacua at LCS. In each figure, the dashed line represents the analytic formula (B11) for each value of $\lambda$, normalised in the same range $\xi \in [0.001, 0.1]$. The darker regions represent the solutions for which the continuous flux approximation applies.

Figure 5. Complete distribution of scalar masses $\tilde{\mu}^2_{\lambda}$, $\lambda = \{0, 1, 2\}$, in the ensemble of 95,626 vacua at LCS. In each histogram, solutions with (a) $\xi \in [0.01, 0.02]$ and (b) $\xi \in [0.13, 0.5]$ have been highlighted. The insets in these plots show the mass distribution near $\tilde{\mu}^2 \approx 0$, illustrating the presence of an asymptotically massless mode at vacua near the LCS point (a), and the absence of light modes in the spectrum when solutions near the LCS point are excluded (b).

We note that the combined density distribution for the scalar masses given in Fig. (5) is quite peaked around specific values. This is in contrast with the general predictions based on the statistical modelling of a landscape where this distribution is found using Random Matrix Theory [16, 17, 57, 58]. The origin of this difference comes from the lack of sufficient complexity in our ensemble of effective field theories. The reason for this is...
that the structure of the couplings in our ensemble of vacua is quite rigid and does not display the sufficient random nature for the scalar potential induced by the fluxes to be described by a multidimensional Gaussian random field.

Finally, the 270 truncated complex structure fields transforming non-trivially under the symmetry group $G$ have the same masses as the $G$-invariant modes with $\lambda = 2$, namely $\mu_{gA}^2 = (1 \pm \frac{i}{2}(1 + \xi))^2$ for all $\lambda = 2, \ldots h_{2,1}$, and thus their probability distributions coincide with the one displayed in the lower plot of figure 4. Let us emphasize again that this is a rather exceptional result, as the EFT couplings for these fields are not determined by [18], and thus they were unknown a priori.

### Appendix C: Estimate of the number of vacua

In this appendix we will outline the proof of equation (17), which is an estimate for the number of vacua in a compactification compatible with the spectrum (1). More specifically, we will compute the number of flux vacua in the branch determined by a vector $v$ in the Kähler cone of the mirror dual to $M_3$, and which are subject to a tadpole constraint of the form $Q_{D3} \leq Q^*_{D3}$, where $Q^*_{D3}$ is the available $D3$ charge, that is,

$$N_v(Q_{D3} \leq Q^*_{D3}, g_s \leq g^*_s, |\xi| \leq \xi^*)$$

(C1)

As described in the main text, these vacua are given by the solutions to the no-scale equations (9) in the EFT determined by the prepotential (17) and the flux ansatz [15]. The number of these solutions can counted using a generalised version of the Kac-Rice formula

$$N_v = \sum_{\{f,h\}} \Theta(Q_{D3} - Q^*_{D3}) \times$$

$$\int d^4u \left| \det D^2W \right| \delta^{(2)}(D_{uA}W) \delta^{(2)}(D_{uA}\bar{W})$$

(C2)

where we used the shorthand $u^A = \{\tau, \bar{z}\}$, and we are summing over the integer flux parameters $\{f,h\} = \{f_B^0, h_B^0, f_{A,B}, h_{A,B}\}$. Following [19] in the following we will make use of the continuous flux approximation, valid when the available $D3$ charge is large $Q_{D3} \gg 1$, and which allows to replace the sums over flux integers by an integral:

$$\sum_{\{f,h\}} \to \int d^4f d^4h \delta(f_0^A) \delta(h_0^A).$$

(C3)

Here the integration is done over the continuous variables $\{f_{A,B}, h_{A,B}, \bar{f}_{A,B}, \bar{h}_{A,B}\}$, and the Dirac delta functions enforce the constraint $f^0_A = h^0_A = 0$. Using the integral representation of the Heaviside theta, and changing from the previous real flux parameters to the complex entries of the flux vector $\hat{N}$ (see eq. (19)), the expression (C2) can be rewritten as

$$N_v = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C} {\mathcal{D}}e^{\alpha Q_{D3}} \mathcal{N}(\alpha).$$

(C4)

Here $C$ runs along the imaginary axis passing the zero to the right, and

$$\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{q^{v_{\text{equiv}}}} \int d^4\hat{N} d^4\bar{\hat{N}} \delta(N_0^0)\delta(\bar{N}_0^0) e^{3K_4 e^{-\alpha Q_{D3}} \times}$$

$$\int d^4u \left| \det D^2W \right| \delta^{(2)}(D_{uA}W) \delta^{(2)}(D_{uA}\bar{W})$$

with $e^{K_4} = 1/(2\text{Im} \tau)$. After trading the complex variables $\hat{N}_{A,B}$ for the quantities

$$F_0 \equiv \sqrt{\alpha} v \nu e^{K/2} D_0 W,$$

$$F_1 \equiv \sqrt{\alpha} v \nu e^{K/2} D_1 W,$$

$$Z_0 \equiv \sqrt{\alpha} v \nu e^{K/2} D_0 W,$$

$$Z_1 \equiv \sqrt{\alpha} \nu e^{K/2} D_0 D_1 W,$$

(C6)

where the derivatives are expressed in a canonically normalised basis $\{e_0^*, e_i^*\}$, the parameter $\alpha$ disappears from (C6), except for an overall factor $\alpha^{-2}$ [26]. This allows us to easily perform the integral (C4), leading to

$$N_v = \frac{(q^{v_{\text{equiv}}})^3}{q^{v_{\text{equiv}}}} \int d^4u |g| e^{-K_{cc}} \times$$

$$\int (dZ_1 d\bar{Z}_1) e^{-|Z_0|^2 - |Z_1|^2} |H|^{1/2},$$

(C7)

with $Z_0 = -i\sqrt{3/(1 - 2\xi)}\bar{Z}_1$. Here we used the notation $e^{-K_{cc}} = -i \Pi^1 \cdot \Sigma \cdot \Pi^1 |g|$ is the determinant of the moduli space metric, and $|H|$ is the determinant of the Hessian of the scalar potential along the $(\tau, \bar{z})$ directions expressed in a canonically normalised basis. The rest of the computation can be done exactly as explained in appendix C.4 of [26]. We obtain the final result

$$N_v(Q_{D3} \leq Q^*_{D3}, g_s \leq g^*_s, |\xi| \leq \xi^*) =$$

$$\frac{3\pi g^*_s \text{Im} \kappa_0 |(Q_{D3})^3| |\xi^*/\xi_0|^{1/3}}{16 q^{v_{\text{equiv}}}} 2(2 - \xi^*)^{-1}.$$
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