Evaluation of Bosniak category IIF complex renal cysts
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Abstract

Purpose To evaluate moderately complex renal cysts of Bosniak category IIF.

Materials and methods The regional ethics committee approved the study. In the period 2003–2009, radiological CT reports of 8,402 CT examinations of the kidneys were analysed retrospectively by one radiologist. All complex cystic lesions in the kidney (n=550 in the same number of patients) were reclassified according to the Bosniak classification by two radiologists in consensus. If a patient had more than one lesion, only the highest Bosniak category was recorded. All Bosniak IIF lesions with ≥2-year follow-up were included in the study.

Results Thirty-two Bosniak IIF lesions were found. Five lesions (16 %) were upgraded during follow-up and the patients were offered surgery. Pathology of three lesions showed papillary carcinoma, clear cell renal carcinoma and chronic inflammation, respectively. Due to comorbidity the remaining two patients were followed with CT without intervention. Ten lesions (31 %) with follow-up periods >5 years had no further radiological changes and clinical follow-up was stopped.

Conclusion The use of Bosniak category IIF is clinically applicable, resulting in an overall surgical nephron-sparing approach to complex renal cysts.

Teaching Points

- The Bosniak classification is used to categorise complex renal cystic masses
- BIIF cysts behave mostly as benign lesions
- Radiological progression in complexity occurs in only 16 % of cases
- BIIF category seems promising for clinical application, potentially avoiding unnecessary surgery
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Abbreviations

HU Hounsfield units
PACS Picture-archiving communication system
DLP Dose length product
mSv Millisievert
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Introduction

Many lesions in the kidney are discovered by coincidence because of increased use of modern imaging modalities such as ultrasonography (US), computerised tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [1–3]. Renal cysts are rare in children (0.1 %–0.45 %) and common in adults, with approximately 50 % of individuals over 50 years of age having renal cysts [2, 4]. Renal cysts are most often simple and
asymptomatic. Distinguishing simple renal cysts from solid tumours in the kidney does not represent a diagnostic challenge. Complex renal cysts, on the other hand, are still a clinical problem [2, 5, 6]. The most important element in the diagnostic categorisation of complex renal cysts is to be able to differentiate between benign and possibly malignant lesions—in other words to identify (1) which lesions require surgical intervention, (2) which can be safely followed by imaging and (3) which are unequivocally benign and need no surgical intervention or imaging follow-up.

The Bosniak classification is a diagnostic system for the differentiation of cystic renal lesions in the kidney using CT. It was introduced in 1986 and has been updated several times, most recently in 2005 [5]. The classification system is based on several morphological changes in renal cysts: septa formation, wall thickening including nodal components, calcification in the wall and/or septa, hyperdense cystic content and contrast enhancement after intravenous contrast injection. The cystic lesions in the kidney are divided into four categories using CT. Bosniak category I and II lesions are predicted to be benign, category III potential malignant and IV predominantly malignant. Category IIF (BIIF) has subsequently been introduced for those cysts that cannot be clearly categorised as category II or III and therefore need follow-up imaging. Since 1993, when M.A. Bosniak added the category IIF, few studies have been published regarding this subject and only three series with more than ten BIIF lesions [6–13].

The aim of this study was to investigate the usefulness of Bosniak category IIF and evaluate the clinical outcome of moderately complex renal cysts compared to published data in the literature.

### Materials and methods

The regional ethics committee approved the study, project ID: S-20090137. In a 7-year period (January 2003-December 2009) radiological reports from 8,402 CT examinations of the kidney were analysed retrospectively by one radiologist with 5 years’ experience. All patients with complex renal cysts and normal renal function (estimated creatinine clearance >60 ml/min/1.74 m²) diagnosed on multiphase contrast-enhanced CT and with ≥2-year follow-up were included in the study (n=550). The lesions on the CT examinations were reclassified according to the Bosniak classification by two experienced uroradiologists with 5 years and 20 years of experience, respectively. The image analysis was performed in consensus. All patients were recruited from one institution and were examined with the same follow-up protocol and with the same CT scanner and CT protocol. The complexity of each lesion was assessed according to the Bosniak classification on the basis of the number and appearance of the septa, wall thickness, hyperdense cystic content, interface with the kidney parenchyma, presence and amount of calcification, and contrast enhancement characteristics. Lesion size was measured in two dimensions on the axial image. Follow-up examinations were evaluated for any interval change. Estimated radiation exposure for each CT scan, represented in mSv, was calculated with the conversion factor, 0.017 mSv/mGy × cm × the dose length product (DLP).

In this study only Bosniak category IIF cysts were included. From the total number of BIIF lesions we selected patients with ≥2 years of follow-up. This group was divided into (1) stable BIIF lesions with follow-up of 2–5 years, (2) stable BIIF lesions with follow-up of ≥5 years and (3) those BIIF lesions that progressed in complexity and therefore

---

**Fig. 1** Flowchart

- **8402 CT scans of the kidney**
  - **1984 patients with renal cysts**
    - Bosniak I excluded
  - **550 complex renal cysts**
    - Bosniak II, III and IV excluded
  - **44 patients with Bosniak IIF**
    - No follow up (n=11)
  - **38 patients with Bosniak IIF**
    - ≤2 years follow up excluded
  - **32 patients with Bosniak IIF ≥2 years follow up**

- **10 lesions with follow-up ≥5 years**
  - clear cell renal carcinoma (n=1)
  - papillary renal carcinoma (n=1)
  - chronic inflammation (n=1)
  - continued watchful waiting (n=2)

- **Five lesions progressed radiologically during follow up**
were upgraded to BIII. None of the patients had more than one BIIF cyst.

A literature search was performed with Entrez PubMed for the period 1986–2012 (key words: Bosniak classification, renal cysts, renal cell carcinoma, renal cancer, RCC, computed tomography, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, MRI, US, contrast-enhanced ultrasonography, CEUS). Only English-language publications were included.

**Imaging**

The patient was assessed while supine using a CT scanner (SOMATOM Sensation 4, Siemens Medical Solutions, Germany). Patients were orally administered 500 ml water 30 min prior to the examination. The scan range field of view (FoV), including only the kidneys and adrenal glands, was at the skin level. Before contrast injection an unenhanced CT was performed. A bolus of 90 ml iodine contrast (Visipaque® 320 mg/ml, Iodixanol, GE Healthcare) was injected intravenously followed by a 20 ml saline solution (0.9 % NaCl) flush with an injection rate of 4 ml/s. To obtain correct and reproducible contrast phases, the arterial contrast concentration was monitored with the region of interest (ROI) in the abdominal aorta at diaphragm level with a trigger level at 100 Hounsfield units (HU). Corticomedullary and nephrographic phase images were performed 10 and 65 s after the trigger. Scanning parameters were kept the same: 2.5 mm collimation×4; table speed 12.5 mm/s (pitch, 1.25 mm); 165 mAs; 120 kV; 512×512 matrix. All images were reconstructed with a soft algorithm and WW/WL at 400/40 in transversal, coronal and sagittal orientation in 3-mm slice thickness with an overlap of 2 mm.

**Results**

The flowchart (Fig. 1) shows that of 550 complex renal cysts ≥ Bosniak category II, 44 patients had moderately complex renal cystic lesion showing minimal thickening and calcification of the wall without enhancement (arrow), interpreted as BIIF. After 2-year follow-up the lesion progressed in complexity with a more irregular wall and was up-classified to BIII. Pathologic diagnosis was papillary renal cell carcinoma, primarily located focally in the septum.

Fig. 2 Transversal baseline a corticomedullary phase CT image of a 61-year-old male with a moderately complex renal cystic lesion showing minimal thickening of the wall and septa without enhancement (arrow), interpreted as BIIF. Transversal b corticomedullary phase and c nephrographic phase CT images after 4-year follow-up: The lesion progressed in complexity with enlargement of the intrarenal component leading to up-classification to BIII. Pathological diagnosis was clear cell renal carcinoma, primarily located focally in the septum.

Fig. 3 Transversal baseline a corticomedullary phase CT image of a 61-year-old male with a moderately complex renal cystic lesion showing minimal thickening and calcification of the wall without enhancement (arrow), interpreted as BIIF. After 2-year follow-up the lesion progressed in complexity with a more irregular wall and was up-classified to BIII. Transversal b corticomedullary phase and c nephrographic phase images showing slightly thickened, partially calcified wall without measurable contrast enhancement (arrowhead) after the lesion had been up-classified. Pathologic diagnosis was papillary renal cell carcinoma.
complex renal cysts categorised as Bosniak IIF. For those BIIF lesions with a follow-up period $\geq 2$ years ($n=32$), the mean follow-up was 1,393 days (735–4,046 days). Sixteen per cent (5/32) were upgraded to category BIII within a mean follow-up period of 3 years (735–1,539 days). Six patients had no follow-up CT and were excluded: two patients died from other disease, two patients had severe comorbidity, one patient did not wish to participate in the follow-up programme, and one patient, 14 years of age, was lost to follow-up. Mean radiation dose was 12.8 mSv (7–30 mSv).

In the five patients who were upgraded, three underwent surgery, and the pathology of these three lesions showed papillary carcinoma, clear cell renal carcinoma (Figs. 2 and 3) and chronic inflammation with no malignancy, respectively. Due to comorbidity, the other two patients were not treated surgically; however at CT follow-up they did not show any further progression. Thirty-one per cent (10/32) of the lesions with mean follow-up periods $>5$ years (mean 1923 days, range 1229–4046 days) were stable during follow-up, suggesting benignity (Fig. 4). Table 1 presents a detailed summary of the cohort. Figures 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 show four different BIIF lesions from the cohort and examples of BII and BIII cysts.

**Table 1** Summary of the cohort

|                         | Total | BIIF Follow-up $\geq 2$ years | BIIF Follow-up 2–5 years | BIIF Follow-up $>5$ years | BIIF BIII upgraded during follow-up |
|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Number of lesions with follow-up $\geq 2$ years | 44    | 32                            | 17                        | 10                        | 5                                  |
| Sex (male/female)       | 26/18 | 17/15                         | 8/9                       | 5/5                       | 4/1                                |
| Mean age in years       | 66.64 | 65.31                         | 64.59                     | 66.10                     | 66.20                              |
| (Range)                 | (14–87) | (40–87)                      | (40–87)                   | (46–83)                   | (61–80)                            |
| Mean follow-up time in days | 962  | 1,393                         | 1,164                     | 1,923                     | 1,113                              |
| (Range)                 | (0–4,046) | (735–4,046)                | (760–1,956)               | (1,229–4,046)             | (735–1,539)                        |
| Mean lesion size in mm $A \times B$* | 37 $\times$ 30 | 37 $\times$ 30               | 39 $\times$ 33            | 34 $\times$ 27            | 36 $\times$ 28                      |
| (Range)                 | (6–81)   | (8–73)                        | (13–77)                   | (13–77)                   | (17–75) $\times$ (11–60)            |
| Lesion changes in size  | 36/8/0 | 24/8/0                        | 12/5/0                    | 9/1/0                     | 3/2/0                              |
| Stable/increase/decrease|       |                               |                           |                           |                                    |
| Number of septa (0/<5/5–9/>9) | 30/9/2/3 | 19/8/2/3                     | 10/5/0/2                  | 7/1/2/0                   | 2/2/0/1                            |
| Septal appearance (none/thin/thickened) | 30/5/9 | 19/5/8                        | 10/2/5                    | 7/2/1                     | 2/1/2                              |
| Calcification (None/minimal/mild/moderate/massive) | 21/4/10/9/0 | 12/4/8/8/0                     | 6/1/6/4/0                | 3/3/1/3/0                  | 3/0/1/1/0                          |
| Lesion interface with kidney parenchyma (sharp/indistinct) | 42/2 | 30/2                         | 17/0                      | 10/0                      | 3/2                                |
| Contrast enhancement (none/enhancement/maybe) | 36/0/8 | 24/0/8                        | 15/0/2                    | 8/0/2                     | 1/0/4                              |

*Lesion size was measured in two dimensions on the axial image

---

**Discussion**

Classification and treatment of complex renal cysts represent a clinical challenge, demanding close cooperation between urologists and uroradiologists. Bosniak revised the original classification system of renal cysts in 1993 to include a subset of category II lesions, category IIF cysts [5, 6, 14]. This category represents more complex cystic lesions, which cannot unequivocally be classified as category II or III. According to Bosniak, these cysts are potentially malignant...
and should be followed with CT examinations, performed to
determine whether the cystic lesions change over time with
regard to morphology and contrast enhancement pattern, sub-
sequently resulting in an up- or downgrading [6, 9, 15, 16].
Category IIF moderately complex renal cysts may contain
increased numbers of thin septa or a slightly thickened but
smooth septum/septa or wall. They may also contain calcifi-
cations that may be thick and nodular, but without contrast-
enhancing elements. Completely intrarenal high-attenuation
cysts ≥3 cm also belong to this group.

Cystic lesions in the kidney may have a variety of differ-
ent aetiologies [2]. Most malignant complex renal cysts are
cystic renal cell carcinomas (CRCC). Like the multilocular
cystic renal cell carcinoma (MCRCC), CRCC has a very
good prognosis. They are slow growing and have a low
metastatic potential [7, 17–20]. Due to benign changes with
solid components like in mixed epithelial and stromal tu-
mours (MEST), there will always be some benign lesions
among the categories BIIF and above. These lesions are
very rare, however [21]. Therefore, nephron-sparing surgery
is proposed when MCRCC is suspected by preoperative
radiologic criteria.

The Bosniak classification consists of a number of differ-
ent entities including septa characteristics and enhancement,
potentially resulting in differences of interpretation among
readers [1–3]. In order to validate the classification system
further, studies regarding intra- and interobserver variation after
the introduction of the updated version of the Bosniak classifi-
cation are warranted. The best results are probably seen when
radiologists are categorising complex renal cysts in plenum, as
in our study [1, 2, 4, 12, 22].

**Bosniak classification and clinical outcome**

Moderately and more complex renal cysts of category BIIF
and above are quite rare, and consequently data with corre-
sponding pathological findings are sparse. When BIIF le-
sions progress in terms of enhancement, changes in internal
architecture by developing irregular, thick enhancing septa,
solid components or multilocular characteristics, they are upgraded, and interventions are recommended. Published series with more than 20 complex renal cysts with pathological findings are presented in Table 2. In the BIIF group, the 12% malignancy rate represents BIIF lesions with radiological progression in complexity over time and subsequent upgrading. Compared to the distribution of malignancy in each Bosniak category in publications before the introduction of BIIF, the accuracy of predicting malignancy in BIII lesions has improved from 51% to 61% after the introduction of BIIF, which means that by introducing this category approximately 10% could be spared surgical intervention. Surprisingly, the malignancy rate in the BII category is still unacceptably high (12%), even in the series published after the introduction of BIIF.

We found 44 BIIF moderately complex renal cysts among 8,402 CT examinations of the kidneys in a 7-year period. During the follow-up 16% (5/32) of the lesions progressed radiologically, which corresponds well with the literature (Table 2). Unfortunately, we do not have histological specimens of all five BIIF lesions that progressed radiologically because of co-morbidity of the patients. Two of three BIIF lesions with proven histology were malignant, indicating that radiological progression is indicative of malignancy. On the other hand, the two patients for whom histology was not available did not progress further radiologically during a mean follow-up of more than 3 years, suggesting that these lesions are either benign or low-grade aggressive.

**Imaging**

Several studies report that the chance of malignancy increases to 40–80% when enhancement is observed within a renal cystic lesion [5, 10, 12, 17, 23]. It is therefore of utmost importance that categorisation of complex cystic changes in the kidney is performed with high-quality CT in several phases. To detect enhancement in small areas such as a septum or the wall of a cyst, one cannot rely on split bolus CT examinations that present both corticomedullary and excretory phases in one examination. RCCs are often

---

**Fig 7** Transversal a non-contrast, b corticomedullary phase and c coronal nephrographic phase CT image of a 69-year-old female with a moderately complex renal cystic mass (arrow) showing the wall and some septa containing thick and nodular calcification (arrowhead). The lesion was interpreted as BIIF; no progression was seen after 4 years of follow-up.

**Fig. 8** Baseline transversal a non-contrast, b corticomedullary phase and c nephrographic phase CT image of a 64-year-old female with an indeterminate complex renal cystic mass (arrow) showing multiple septa with calcification and some slightly thickened septa with perceived enhancement (arrowhead). The lesion was interpreted as BIIF and has been stable for the first 3 years with MRI follow-up (not shown).
hypervascular and therefore have a characteristic contrast
eenhancement pattern characterised by washout in the venous
phase [33]. Usually protocols for characterising complex
renal cysts consist of a CT without contrast (non-contrast
CT, NCCT) and a minimum of two CT single-bolus phases
with contrast-enhanced CT and CCT in an arterial and
venous phase, respectively [2, 5]. Most radiologists would
agree that pre- and post-contrast CT examinations are
mandatory, but the number of post-contrast phases is debatable.

In most centres a multidetector CT (MDCT) is available
with the possibility of performing isotropic multiplanar re-
construction in high quality. Technically, there are different
software opportunities for CT—including software
algorithms—to help assess iodine content in a lesion or
dual-energy modes to gain additional/alternative informa-
tion regarding complex renal cystic masses. Preliminary
data are available in the literature regarding these issues
[34, 35] but further studies are needed.

It is estimated that the risk of getting a fatal cancer from the
ionised radiation of CT scans is approximately 1/1,000 for
every 10 mSv received, assuming an effective dose of 10 mSv
per scan and a risk of 5 % per Sievert [36]. One study suggests
that 2 % of all future cancers may be due to radiation exposure
from CT examinations [37]. This statement has been widely
criticised and the numbers are probably lower [38, 39].
Depending on patient size and body habitus, radiation doses
from CT are often quite high—in the range of and even above
20–30 mSv in case of 64-channel CT scanners. In our study
the mean radiation dose was 12.8 mSv (7–30 mSv) on our
four-channel CT. It is very important to perform radiological
imaging according to the ALARA principle. This means that
patients, regardless of age and disease, should be examined
selectively with radiation doses “as low as reasonably
achievably” according to the clinical problem in question.
The Bosniak classification was initially developed solely on
the basis of CT findings [1, 2]. Subsequently, a few studies
have suggested that MR [31, 40] and CEUS [4, 8, 41–46]
may be equally useful for characterisation of complex renal cystic
masses. Results from these studies are promising. However,
both MR [31] and CEUS [8, 45, 46] have a tendency to

![Fig 9](image_url)

Transversal a non-contrast, b corticomedullary phase and c nephrographic phase CT image of a 85-year-old male with a typical benign BII exofytic hyperdense cyst (arrow) measuring 35 HU in all phases

![Fig 10](image_url)

CT images of an 85-year-old male with a large renal cell tumour on the right side (not shown) and a left-sided indeterminate complex renal cyst with thickened enhancing wall, categorised as BIII. The patient underwent right-sided nephrectomy and percutaneous cryoablation on the left side. Transversal corticomedullary phase CT images of (a) baseline and (b) 3 month after right-sided nephrectomy. The BIII lesion (arrow) is almost solid after 3 months, indicating aggressive behaviour. No progression was seen at 6-month follow-up imaging after percutaneous cryoablation (c).
upgrade lesions, probably because of the superior contrast resolution compared to CT. The literature is still unequivocal on whether the higher contrast resolution of MR and CEUS will result in earlier detection of potential malignant lesions or inappropriate higher false-positive rates. Further research regarding the role of MR and CEUS are needed and forthcoming.

**Follow-up imaging for BIIF lesions**

Recommended follow-up protocols for BIIF cystic lesions vary in the literature. To detect potential progression, a multiphase contrast CT is needed. M.A. Bosniak proposed the first control CT to be done after half a year, and if the lesion was unchanged, a control CT should be repeated annually for a minimum of 5 years [6]. Other authors recommend close monitoring in the first year with CT scans after 3, 6 and 12 months. At our institution patients with complex Bosniak IIIF cysts used to be followed according to the latter protocol and in cases without progression annually for 5 years. It should, however, be noted that very narrow follow-up periods may reduce detection rates if the changes in the cysts from examination to examination are very small. As a consequence of these considerations and the results of this study, we have dropped the early 3-month follow-up. However, due to the lack of prospective series, the most appropriate follow-up regimen in these patients is still debatable [9].

**Table 2** Published series with more than 20 complex renal cysts with pathological findings

| References        | Modality | BI | BII | BIIF | BIIIB | BIV | Total |
|-------------------|----------|----|-----|------|-------|-----|-------|
| **Before introduction of BIIF** |          |    |     |      |       |     |       |
| Brown et al. (1989) [23] | CT       | 0/2 | 0/4 | –    | 3/12  | 4/6 | 24    |
| Aronson et al. (1991) [24] | CT       | –  | 0/4 | –    | 5/9   | 7/7 | 20    |
| Wilson et al. (1996) [25]  | CT       | 0/7 | 4/5 | –    | 4/4   | 6/6 | 22    |
| Cloix et al. (1996) [17]   | CT       | 1/2 | 1/7 | –    | 4/13  | 7/10| 32    |
| Siegel et al. (1997) [3]   | CT       | 0/22| 1/8 | –    | 5/11  | 26/29| 70    |
| Bielsa et al. (1999) [26]  | CT       | –  | 1/8 | –    | 7/9   | 3/3 | 20    |
| Koga et al. (2000) [19]    | CT       | 0/11| 1/2 | –    | 10/10 | 12/12| 35    |
| Curry et al. (2000) [27]   | CT       | 0/4 | 0/11| –    | 29/49 | 18/18| 82    |
| Limb et al. (2002) [28]    | CT       | –  | 3/28| –    | 8/29  | –   | 57    |
| **Overall number** |          | 1/48| 11/77| –    | 75/146| 83/91| 362   |
| **Malignant vs. benign** |          |    |     |      |       |     |       |
| Incidence of malignancy (%) |          | 2 % | 14 %| –    | 51 %  | 91 %|       |
| **After introduction of BIIF** |          |    |     |      |       |     |       |
| Isreal et al. (2003) [29]  | CT       | –  | –   | –    | 9/21  | 16/16| 37    |
| Isreal et al. (2003) [6]   | CT       | –  | –   | 7/42 | –     | –   | 42    |
| Harisinghani et al. (2003) [30] | CT   | –  | –   | –    | 17/28 | –   | 28    |
| Isreal et al. (2004) [31]  | CT and MRI| –  | –   | –    | 14/14 | 6/6 | 20    |
| Spaliviero et al. (2005) [7] | CT    | 2/9 | 1/4 | –    | 6/12  | 19/21| 46    |
| Quaia et al. (2008) [8]    | CT and CEUS| –  | 0/3 | 0/6  | 5/13  | 18/18| 40    |
| O’Malley (2009) [9]        | CT      | –  | –   | 5/74 | 27/33 |       |       |
| Gahr et al. (2009) [10]    | CT      | –  | –   | 7/50 | –     | –   | 50    |
| Song et al. (2009) [11]    | CT      | –  | 3/26| 0/3  | 21/38 | 32/37| 104   |
| Peng et al. (2010) [4]     | CT and CEUS| 0/2 | 0/3 | –    | 5/6   | 12/13| 24    |
| Kim et al. (2010) [12]     | CT      | 0/34| 3/22| 3/10 | 19/26 | 28/33| 125   |
| Weibl et al. (2011) [22]   | CT and MRI| 0/2 | 2/3 | –    | 15/27 | 30/39| 71    |
| Pinheiro et al. (2011) [20] | CT  | –  | –   | 5/15 | 19/22 | 37   |       |
| You et al. (2011) [32]     | CT      | –  | –   | 22/39| 31/36 | 75   |       |
| Our study                  | CT      | –  | –   | 2/38 | –     | –   | 38    |
| **Overall number** |          | 0/36| 8/65| 27/230| 165/272| 211/241| 844   |
| **Malignant vs. benign** |          |    |     |      |       |     |       |
| Incidence of malignancy (%) |          | 0 % | 12 %| 12 % | 61 %  | 88 %|       |

*BII and BIIF lesions were pooled

*BIIIF cysts that progressed and histologically were verified as malignant
Bosniak IIF lesions vary in appearance: (1) IIF lesions with minimal findings close to category II in complexity and (2) IIF lesions that are closer to category III in complexity. M.A. Bosniak has recently suggested that BIIF cysts with minimal findings only need follow-up for 1–2 years, whereas more complex BIIF cysts should be followed for a longer period (e.g., 3–4 years or longer) [1]. This seems to be a reasonable compromise taking into consideration the high, cumulated radiation dose of repeated examinations. CT is still considered the gold standard when categorising complex renal cystic masses. For BIIF lesions an early switch to MRI/CEUS with a baseline examination immediately following the CT examination is now used at many institutions. Long-term data on such a regime may change clinical management in the future. Caution must be made for lesions ≤2 cm [1]. We have chosen to stop follow-up if no progression is seen after 5 years, suggesting that the BIIF lesion was benign. To our knowledge this has only been debated very sparsely in the literature, and studies on the most appropriate follow-up regimen in these patients are, as mentioned, warranted [1, 2, 5, 47].

Biopsy and minimally invasive intervention

Biopsy of moderate complex cystic masses in the kidney has recently come into focus and the trend seems to be a more aggressive approach [2, 9, 15, 30, 48, 49]. This is presumably to avoid follow-up imaging of those BIIF lesions that are close to BIII and undoubtedly due to the possibility of using local nephron-sparing treatment (ablation with cryo/radiofrequency therapy and/or laparoscopic resection). Whether biopsy and aspiration of the cyst fluid should be used routinely is controversial. It may be problematic to accept a negative biopsy result since the renal cysts usually are very inhomogeneous. In general, it is recommended that Bosniak category III and IV lesions be treated surgically or with minimally invasive ablation measures. The treatment strategy depends on the size and localisation of the lesion and the patient’s general condition. It should be noted, however, that the indication for minimally invasive treatment in cases with suspected malignancy is still regarded as being in the exploratory phase. Routine use of these minimally invasive methods should therefore be protocolised and results published.

Although our numbers are small, the data seem to be consistent in the regard that if progression occurs radiologically, it corresponds to pathological results. The corresponding aggressiveness of the lesions needs further evaluation in prospective studies, however. Our cases were analysed in consensus, so interobserver variability could not be evaluated. Like M.A. Bosniak, we believe that, if the complexity of a category II lesion is in question, the lesion should be placed in category IIF. In cases of disagreement among the radiologists, the lesion should always be placed in the highest category [5, 13, 47, 50]. Furthermore, decisions on the intervention may be easily influenced by clinical parameters, as in our series, in which surgical resection was omitted because of co-morbidity. Other comparable studies have the same limitation with regard to accordance between radiological and pathological progression, since not all category IIF lesions that progressed were resected [6, 9, 10].

Conclusion

By critically evaluating the outcome of complex renal cysts using the Bosniak classification, we found that out of 32 Bosniak category IIF lesions only 5 (16 %) were upgraded during follow-up. Malignant progression did occur in two of these lesions, and follow-up examinations are considered necessary. The introduction of Bosniak category IIF seems promising, potentially resulting in a rational and an overall nephron-sparing approach to complex renal cysts.
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