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Comparative Intradermal Tuberculin Testing of Free-Ranging African Buffaloes (*Syncerus caffer*) Captured for Ex Situ Conservation in the Kafue Basin Ecosystem in Zambia
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Bovine tuberculosis (BTB) is endemic in African buffaloes (*Syncerus caffer*) in some National Parks in Southern Africa, whilst no studies have been conducted on BTB on buffaloes in Zambia. The increased demand for ecotourism and conservation of the African buffalo on private owned game ranches has prompted the Zambian Wildlife Authority (ZAWA) and private sector in Zambia to generate a herd of “BTB-free buffaloes” for ex situ conservation. In the present study, 86 African buffaloes from four different herds comprising a total of 530 animals were investigated for the presence of BTB for the purpose of generating “BTB free” buffalo for ex-situ conservation. Using the comparative intradermal tuberculin test (CIDT) the BTB status at both individual animal and herd level was estimated to be 0.0% by the CIDT technique. Compared to Avian reactors only, a prevalence of 5.8% was determined whilst for Bovine-only reactors a prevalence of 0.0% was determined. These results suggest the likelihood of buffalo herds in the Kafue National Park being free of BTB.

1. Introduction

Bovine tuberculosis (BTB) has emerged to be a significant threat to wildlife conservation in sub-Saharan Africa as it affects several wildlife species especially African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and Kafue lechwe (*Kobus leche Kafuensis*) [1–5]. Demographical factors such as group composition of the herd augmented with gregarious social behavioral pattern under favorable ecological disposition have been identified as major deterministic factors of disease maintenance within particular wildlife species [6–8]. Highly gregarious species that live in large herds such as the African buffalo and the Kafue lechwe (have been shown to have a high intraspecies transmission capacity [4]. Interspecies transmission between prey species and predators has been reported in the Kruger National Park while close contact between wild ungulates and livestock is reported to be the cause of interspecies transmission at the interface areas [5]. In certain endemic wildlife populations, the disease has been associated with high mortalities that have been linked to population reductions [4, 5]. Given that the eradication of BTB in infected populations is not easy, the disease poses a significant challenge to wildlife conservation. Hence, it is imperative to reduce the risk of introducing BTB to naïve wildlife populations.

The expansion of ex situ conservation in Zambia has been affected by the general absence of “Africa’s big five,” namely, the lion (*Panthera leo*), leopard (*Panthera pardus*), elephant (*Loxodonta africana*), rhino (*Diceros bicornis*), and African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) on game ranches. The prohibitive...
legislature leading to the absence of wild cats such as the lion, leopard and cheetah on most game ranches is for obvious reasons that in the absence of adequate protective measures wild cats are a serious threat to the general public as most game ranches are located in areas close to human habitation. Besides, most game ranches do not stock enough prey species for the survival of superpredators like lions, leopards, and cheetahs. Elephants are not kept on game ranches since most game ranches are too small to support large herds. This leaves the African buffalo as the only species of the “big five” that can be reared on game ranches. Apart from increasing revenues from ecotourism and safari hunting, rearing of buffalo on game ranches has several ecological benefits that would contribute to the conservation of other species [9]. The other main benefit of buffaloes on a game ranch is that they easily open up thickets and other inaccessible dense vegetation by antelope species through their mixed feeding as bulk grazers and browsers. Besides, rearing of buffaloes on game ranches is strongly supported as a positive ex situ conservation strategy that would help increase the declining buffalo populations due to poaching and uncontrolled predation by humans observed in the state owned national parks (NPs). The introduction of buffaloes captured in state owned national parks makes it necessary to screen the animals for infectious diseases like foot and mouth disease (FMD) and BTB to ensure that they are healthy. In the present study, buffaloes captured in the Kafue flats game management area (GMA) were subjected to intradermal tuberculin skin test and were only translocated to game ranches after they were declared free of BTB. We anticipate that these animals will serve as breeding stocks of “BTB-free aloes” for stocking other game ranches in Zambia.

The main aim of this paper is to highlight the tuberculosis situation as it occurs in other animal species within the Kafue basin besides the lechwe antelope species. Further we want to document our current findings to what we may obtain in future given the rising interaction patterns between wild and domestic animals within the Kafue basin.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area. The study was carried out in an area called Nanzhila which is located in the game management area of the Kafue National Park (Figure 1). The area is a wetland that supports a transhumance grazing system which allows for the coexistence of wildlife and livestock. Nanzhila is located on the western end of the basin approximately 40 km away from the Lochinvar and Blue lagoon Game Management area which are endemic with BTB in the Kafue lechwe.

2.2. Capture of the Buffaloes. Seven buffalo herds were captured in the Kafue basin using a helicopter to drive the animals into holding facilities (Capture bomas). Herd size was estimated by taking a mean of three counts of the entire herd brought in the Capture boma. Only animals between one and two years old were captured and included in the study for purpose of generating a breeding stock to be used as a source of buffaloes for other game ranches in future. The selected animals were then driven into quarantine facilities.

There were six quarantine facilities, three on each side of the capture Boma. The facilities were adjacent to the capture Boma where captured animals were diverted for separation as well as holding them for longer periods. The quarantine facilities were fenced with hessian black cloth inside and game fence wire on the outside. They had watering points and feed was provided during the whole capture period. The animals in the quarantine facilities were anaesthetized by darting using M99 (etorphine hydrochloride/Immobilon), a super potent opioid analgesic and were reversed using a very strong opioid antagonist: M5050 (diprenorphin/Revivon,) at standard dosages as recommended by the manufacturer (Novartis, Johannesburg, South Africa). Ages were estimated by tooth development and wear [10] as well as horn development [11]. Each animal was ear-tagged with a unique number. Biological data indicating sex, age, body condition at capture, and herd size were entered in Microsoft excel sheets. The body conditions were subjectively assessed using the degree of exposure of the pelvic bony prominences around the pelvic area with those having a well-padded pelvic area scoring 1, with less or fair scoring 2 whilst those with high prominences or poor scored 3. Here in the quarantine facilities, the animals were kept for as long as three months.

2.3. Comparative Intradermal Tuberculin Skin Test. Animals were cast down using chemical restraint as explained above. Two sites of approximately 8 cm in diameter in the mid cervical area and approximately 10 to 12 cm apart, cranial-caudal, were shaved using a Gillette razor blade. The initial skin thicknesses at the two sites were then measured using vernier callipers. A comparative intradermal tuberculin test (CIDT) consisting of avian and bovine purified protein derivative (PPD) antigens (from The Central Veterinary Institute, Lelystad: The Netherlands) was used. The inoculation was carried out using the McIntlock Tuberculin Testing equipment which precisely injects 0.1 ml of avian (containing 2,500 international Units) tuberculin (Lelystad) intradermal onto the cranial site and 0.1 ml of mammalian-bovine (containing 5,000 Tuberculin units) tuberculin (Lelystad) intradermal on the caudal site. After inoculation, a small nodule formed on both sites.

After seventy-two hours after inoculation, each animal was chemically restrained by darting using M99 (etorphine hydrochloride/Immobilon) and the same person who took the previous readings took a second measurement of the skin thickness. The effect of the M99 was reversed using a very strong opioid antagonist: M5050 (diprenorphin/Revivon,) at standard dosages as recommended by the manufacturer (Novartis, Johannesburg, South Africa). The skin thickness at the two sites of inoculation was measured and entered on a record sheet. Reactors were classified according to the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) standards of result interpretation [12]. Further, we arbitrarily classified avian only reactors as being negative when an increase in skin thickness of less than 2 mm PPD is recorded. Inconclusive reactors were indicated by skin thickness increases of between 2 mm and 4 mm.

A positive reaction for the intradermal comparative test was indicated by an increase in skin thickness at the bovine
site of injection of more than 4 mm greater than the reaction shown at the site of the avian injection. A negative reactor on CIDT was identified when there was a negative reaction to bovine tuberculin, or a positive or inconclusive reaction to bovine tuberculin that was equal to, or less than a positive or inconclusive reaction in avian test and also when negative to both [12]. Only Buffaloes that were negative CIDT were allowed to be translocated to game ranches. Inconclusive reactors were not translocated.

3. Results

A total of 86 African buffaloes were captured from 4 apparently different and independent herds (herd no. 1; \( n = 21 \) animals), herd no 2; \( n = 18 \) animals), herd no 3; \( n = 11 \) animals); herd no 4; \( n = 36 \) animals). All animals (100%) were negative for BTB on comparative intradermal tuberculin test (Table 1). With Avian reactors only, a prevalence of 5.8% was determined (Table 1). Across all herds captured, 2.3% of the buffaloes showed an inconclusive BTB response.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first study on BTB intradermal tuberculin test in buffaloes in Zambia and precisely in the Kafue basin area (Figure 1) [4, 13]. Using the CIDT, no BTB positive reactors were found. However, the results need to be interpreted with caution given the age range of the animals. Further, the animals used in the present study were obtained from an area located on the western end of the Kafue basin unlike the Lochinvar area located further east, which has been shown to be endemic to BTB in Kafue lechwe antelopes (Figure 1). However, there has been no intradermal-tuberculin screen tests carried out on buffaloes in the Lochinvar NP as routine meat inspections have not indicated presence of the disease in this species of animals. However, it is evident that the disease is endemic in cattle in
the area and these are likely to serve as a source of infection for other species apart from the lechwe antelopes, given that the prevalence of BTB in cattle through CIDT was found to be close to 50% [14]. Hence, it would be interesting to carry out detailed investigations on a larger scale to elucidate factors that have kept the disease in cattle and Kafue lechwe with little or no transmission to other I species. On the other hand, these findings are in line with earlier observations made by Munyeme and coworkers [4] that BTB interspecies transmission seems to be restricted in certain regions within the Kafue basin, for example, interface area where cattle and lechwe share grazing pastures. Moreover, if also animals over two years of age would have been included in the testing, more positive reactors might have been found.

Pandey had postulated that cattle on the plains could acquire BTB through contaminated pastures [15]. From this study, buffaloes do not come into direct contact with cattle as do the lechwe antelopes. All the cattle keepers and herdsman in the Kafue basin have the same observation that cattle will never go close to Buffaloes or Buffaloes come close to cattle, yet lechwe antelopes and cattle will be seen grazing together. Another important observation by both wildlife officers and herdsmen is that lechwe antelopes do not graze near Buffaloe herds.

However, the lack of evidence of BTB in Buffaloes that graze in the same cattle grazing grounds without close contact as it obtains in lechwe antelopes questions the possibility of acquiring the infection through the oral route. On the other hand, Munyeme and coworkers (2010) found that the disease in both cattle and lechwe is more respiratory than intestinal, which indicates air born route of transmission and thus close contact between animals [4]. However, despite these findings, area attributable deterministic factors of disease occurrence have been found to play a pivotal role in differentiating transmission dynamics of disease patterns [7, 14]. Other studies have indicated that wildlife reservoirs of *M. bovis* are major sources of infection for grazing cattle in some countries [7]. For instance the Badger (*Meles meles*) of the United Kingdom and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in New Zealand are biological reservoirs for BTB in these countries [16]. However, our current findings demonstrate a unique difference to these other areas as the disease seems to be more likely to be harboured in cattle than Buffaloes.

With increasing demand for buffaloes in *ex situ* conservation, it is imperative that animals captured for the purpose of translocation from state owned NPs to game ranches should be tested for the presence of BTB and only animals free of the disease may be translocated to game ranches. This is particularly important for highly gregarious species captured from the Kafue basin being an interface area known to be endemic of the disease both in cattle and the Kafue lechwe [1, 4, 5]. Thus far, about 700 Kafue lechwe have been translocated from the Kafue basin without testing for BTB [17]. Subsequently, BTB was diagnosed from Kafue lechwe and bushbuck on a game in Chisamba [13]. Although it is not known how the disease was introduced on the game ranch, it is likely that the translocated Kafue lechwe from Lochinvar NP to the game ranch could have carried the infection thereby becoming a source of infection to the bushbucks on the game ranch. Game ranches with BTB-infected animals could become a source of transmission to other game ranchers as it is common practice for game ranchers to sell live animals to other game farmers. In the absence of screening tests, it is likely BTB can be transmitted from one game ranch to the other. The ultimate solution is to generate BTB-free herds whenever animals are captured for *ex situ* conservation from NPs and GMAs.

Detection of positive *Mycobacteria avium* reactors albeit at a relatively low prevalence intimates the likely presence of other *Mycobacteria species*. Atypical *Mycobacteria species* have been reported from Kafue lechwe in the Kafue basin [18]. MacAdams isolated *Mycobacteria avium* from Kafue lechwe around the Lochinvar NP. As pointed out by Rottcher [18], an environment with warm, humid mud fields appears beneficial for the survival of saprophytic mycobacteria and it would appear that buffaloes and lechwe pick these atypical infections from the environment. However, there is need to carry out more detailed investigation on the presence of other *Mycobacteria species* in the Kafue basin and to determine their impact on wildlife and domestic animals in the area. In order to increase the sensitivity of the screening procedure, animals can be tested using the gamma interferon assay along with the intradermal skin test although there would be need to validate the sensitivity of both assays in wildlife. As pointed out by others, intradermal tuberculin test has proved to be useful for the diagnosis of BTB in buffaloes and lions in the Kruger NP [19]. The bovine gamma interferon assay has proved to be an alternative to the tuberculin test although its application in wildlife has not been widely explored [20]. Overall, African buffaloes

### Table 1: Overall results based on Avian, Bovine and Comparative tuberculin test reactor animals.

| Herd No. | Total no. of buffaloes (N) | No. of buffaloes sampled (n) | Proportion positive (%) Avian reactors only | Proportion Inconclusive (%) CIDT | Proportion Positive (%) CIDT |
|----------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1        | 213                       | 21                            | 1 (4.8%)                                  | 0 (0.0%)                        | 0 (0.0%)                      |
| 2        | 72                        | 18                            | 0 (0.0%)                                  | 1 (5.6%)                        | 0 (0.0%)                      |
| 3        | 137                       | 11                            | 1 (9.1%)                                  | 0 (0.0%)                        | 0 (0.0%)                      |
| 4        | 108                       | 36                            | 3 (8.3%)                                  | 1 (2.8%)                        | 0 (0.0%)                      |
| Overall  | 530                       | 86                            | 5 (5.8%)                                  | 2 (2.3%)                        | 0 (0.0%)                      |
and other highly gregarious species captured for ex situ conservation should be screened for the presence of BTB prior to translocation and only noninfected animals should be translocated in order to avoid introducing the disease into new territories.
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