MusE GAs FLOw and Wind (MEGAFLOW) VII: A NOEMA pilot program to probe molecular gas in galaxies with measured circumgalactic gas flows
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ABSTRACT

We present a pilot program using IRAM’s NOorthern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA) to probe the molecular gas reservoirs of six \( z = 0.6 \) - 1.1 star-forming galaxies whose circumgalactic medium has been observed in absorption along quasar lines-of-sight as part of the MusE GAs FLOw and Wind (MEGAFLOW) survey and for which we have estimates of either the accretion or the outflow rate. This program is motivated by testing the quasi equilibrium model and the compaction scenario describing the evolution of galaxies along the main sequence of star formation, which imply tight relations between the gas content, the star formation activity, and the amount of gas flowing in and out. We report individual carbon monoxide CO(4-3), CO(3-2) and dust continuum upper limits, as well as stacked CO detections over the whole sample and the three galaxies identified with outflows. The resulting molecular gas fractions and depletion times are compatible with published scaling relations established within a mass-selected sample, indicating that galaxies selected through their absorption follow similar relations on average. We further detect the dust continuum of three of the quasars and a strong emission line in one of them, which we identify as CO(4-3). Extending the sample to more galaxies and deeper observations will enable to quantify how the molecular gas fraction and depletion time depend on the inflow and outflow rates.
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1 INTRODUCTION

One of the main open questions in galaxy evolution is related to the processes responsible for the star formation regulation in galaxies. Observations have shown that, since at least \( z \sim 4 \), star-forming galaxies (SFG) follow a relatively tight and almost linear relation between their stellar mass \( (M_\star) \) and star formation rate (SFR), the so-called star formation “main-sequence” (MS; Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007, 2011; Daddi et al. 2007; Schiminovich et al. 2007; Rodighiero et al. 2010; Sargent et al. 2012; Whitaker et al. 2012, 2014; Speagle et al. 2014; Renzini & Peng 2015; Boogaard et al. 2018). This redshift-dependent and robust relation promotes an overall smooth and continuous mode for star formation in galaxies, which could be sustained by a continuous supply of gas from the cosmic web and minor mergers (Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Kereš et al. 2005, 2009; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Ocvirk et al. 2008; Dekel et al. 2009; Genel et al. 2010) that provides large reservoirs of molecular gas to fuel star formation (Erb et al. 2006; Daddi et al. 2010; Tacconi et al. 2010, 2013, 2018; Sargent et al. 2014; Freundlich et al. 2019; Genzel et al. 2015). The rotating disc morphology of most galaxies that constitute this sequence (Förster Schreiber et al. 2006; Genzel et al. 2006, 2008; Stark et al. 2008;
Daddi et al. 2010; Wuyts et al. 2011a) and the long star formation cycles inferred from the number of SFGs observed at $z = 1 - 2$ (Daddi et al. 2005, 2007; Caputi et al. 2006) further argue in favour of such a smooth mode of star formation. Typical SFGs are thought to evolve along the MS in a slowly evolving gas-regulated quasi equilibrium between inflows, outflows, and star formation (Bouché et al. 2010; Davé et al. 2011, 2012; Feldmann 2013; Lilly et al. 2013; Dekel et al. 2013; Dekel & Maiolino 2014; Dekel & Burkert 2014), until their star formation is quenched when they enter a denser environment or reach a typical stellar mass of $\sim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ (Conroy & Wechsler 2009; Peng et al. 2010). SFGs then rapidly cease their star formation and drop below the MS to populate the “red sequence”. Before this final quenching, SFGs may oscillate within the scatter of the MS through episodes of gas compaction, enhanced star formation above the MS line, gas depletion due to star formation, limited quenching below the MS line, and replenishment by external accretion as suggested by cosmological simulations (Dekel & Burkert 2014; Zolotov et al. 2015; Tacchella et al. 2016a,b; Dekel et al. 2019, 2020b,a). Both the quasi equilibrium (or “bathtub”) model and these oscillations around the MS line imply tight relations between the gas content of SFGs, the amount of gas flowing in and out, and the star formation activity. Under the quasi equilibrium model, mass conservation notably implies a relation between gas fraction and stellar mass, and one can in principle put indirect constraints on outflow rates from molecular gas observations as in Seko et al. (2016).

Observationally testing such relations is challenging, notably as signatures of gas flows are difficult to observe directly and as their location is highly uncertain when probed via standard galaxy emission or absorption lines. For example, outflow rates measured traditionally using blue-shifted absorption lines have order-of-magnitude uncertainties because the location of the absorbing gas is unknown (it can be located at 0.1, 1, or even 10 kpc away from the host galaxy). Absorption along serendipitous lines of sight of background quasars enables to significantly reduce these uncertainties by probing the circumgalactic medium (CGM) of SFGs around a given impact parameter (Bouché et al. 2012, 2013, 2016; Kacprzak et al. 2014; Muzahid et al. 2015; Schroetter et al. 2015). Despite the scarcity of galaxy-quasar pairs, the unprecedented field-of-view and sensitivity of the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2006, 2010) instrument together with the high-resolution Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph (UVES; Dekker et al. 2000) on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) have enabled to isolate a sample of about 100 SFGs at $z = 0.5 - 1.4$ with a background quasar within 100 kpc as part of the MuséE GAS FLow and Wind (MEGAFLOW) survey (Schroetter et al. 2016, 2019; Zabl et al. 2019, 2020). These galaxies were selected through their Mg $\text{II}$ absorption on the lines-of-sight of 22 quasars from the Zhu & Ménard (2013) catalog and constitute the largest sample of Mg $\text{II}$ absorber-galaxy pairs with spectroscopic and kinematic information to date. The azimuthal angle between the quasar location and the galaxy major axis follows a clear bimodality, indicating that strong Mg $\text{II}$ absorption lies predominantly in outflow cones and extended disk-like structures (cf. also Bordoloi et al. 2011; Bouché et al. 2012; Kacprzak et al. 2012). This is further corroborated by cosmological simulations, which predict more substantial inflow (outflow) detections along the galaxy major (minor) axis (e.g., Péroux et al. 2020), and by the observed correlation between metallicity and azimuthal angle, gas located along the galaxy minor axis being on average more enriched than that located along the major axis (Wendt et al. 2020). Pairs with azimuthal angle compatible with outflows were studied in Schroetter et al. (2016), Schroetter et al. (2019) and Zabl et al. (2020) while pairs compatible with accretion around the disc plane were studied in Zabl et al. (2019). There has been no attempt so far to unveil the molecular gas content, i.e., the fuel reservoir for future star formation, in the SFGs caught in the act with outflowing or accreting gas by the MEGAFLOW survey.

In this paper, we present the NOEMA MEGAFLOW pilot program, a first attempt at probing molecular gas reservoirs in galaxies with gas flows drawn from the MEGAFLOW survey with IRAM’s NOrthern Extended Millimeter Array (NOEMA; Schuster 2014). The primary objectives of this pilot program is to characterize the molecular gas content in a small but well-defined sample of galaxies with clear detections of either infalling or outflowing gas, for which we have estimates of the gas accretion or outflow rates, and to compare the resulting molecular gas fraction and depletion time with existing molecular gas measurements and scaling relations such as those of the PHIBSS and PHIBSS2 IRAM programs (Tacconi et al. 2010, 2013, 2018; Genzel et al. 2013, 2015; Freundlich et al. 2013, 2019). These programs provide an ideal comparison sample, as their targets were selected to uniformly sample the MS and its scatter in the $M_{\text{H}_2}$-SFR plane above given $M_{\odot}$ and SFR thresholds, and include about 120 molecular gas measurements in the MEGAFLOW redshift range ($z = 0.5 - 1.4$). In particular, since a major outflow can lead to a gas depletion situation, comparing the gas fraction and depletion time of the MEGAFLOW outflow sample with those of the reference sample can validate (or not) the gas cycling event: if the gas properties of the outflow sample follow the Tacconi et al. (2018) scaling relations, it would mean that outflow events do not have a strong impact on the galaxy gas content, contrarily to the prediction from simulations; if the gas properties have smaller gas content or depend on the location on the MS, it would validate the models. From an initial proposed sample of 11 galaxies, the NOEMA MEGAFLOW program eventually includes only 6 targets with no clear individual detections but whose stack can be compared to the Tacconi et al. (2018) molecular gas scaling relations. This pilot program enables to lay the ground for more ambitious programs that would test the quasi equilibrium and compaction models with simultaneous individual measurements of gas flows and gas content at intermediate redshifts. Section 2 presents the sample, the observations, and the methodology of the program; Section 3 the resulting upper limits, the stacking analysis, and the comparison with the scaling relations; Section 4 concludes and discusses the results. We further present in Appendix B the additional quasar continuum detections we obtained. Throughout this paper, we assume a flat $\Lambda$CDM universe with $\Omega_{m} = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, and $H_{0} = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2 SAMPLE SELECTION AND OBSERVATIONS

2.1 The MEGAFLOW survey

The MEGAFLOW strategy consists in selecting quasar lines-of-sight with at least three Mg $\text{II}$, $\lambda \lambda 3727, 3729$ absorbers from the Zhu & Ménard (2013) catalog based on the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Ross et al. 2012; Alam et al. 2015). These Mg $\text{II}$ absorbers are further selected to have redshifts in the range 0.4 – 1.45, such that the $|\Delta z| \lambda \lambda 3727, 3729$ galaxy emission lines fall within the MUSE wavelength range (4800 – 9300 Å), and rest-frame equivalent widths larger than $> 0.5 - 0.8$ Å in order to have impact parameters $b < 100$ kpc given the antecorrelation between the Mg $\text{II}$ equivalent width and the impact parameter (Lanzetta & Bowen 1990;
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2015, 2016, 2019; Zabl et al. 2019).
MUSE [O\textsc{ii}] fluxes are measured using the 3D morpho-
kinematics fitting tool Galpak3D (Bouché et al. 2015) on
the MUSE data; stellar masses are estimated as in Schröter et al.
(2019) from the tight correlation between the stellar mass and the
dynamical estimator \(M = 0.5 \times V_{\text{max}}^2 + \sigma^2\), which combines the
rotation velocity \(V_{\text{max}}\) and the galaxy velocity dispersion \(\sigma\) de-
gerived by Galpak3D, using the relation from Alcorn et al. (2018);
SFRs are determined from the MUSE [O\textsc{ii}] fluxes using the Kew-
ley et al. (2004) relation corrected for extinction using the Calzetti
et al. (2000) law with the strength of the extinction estimated from the
\(M_z - E(B-V)\) relation of Garn & Best (2010) and assuming a Chabrier
(2003) initial mass function (IMF). More details can be found in Zabl et al. (2019). Typical uncertainties on the stellar mass and the SFR are about 0.2 dex (e.g. Wuys et al. 2011b; Whitaker et al. 2014; Roediger & Courteau 2015). Mass accretion and out-
flowing rates as well as mass loading factors can be determined
from the \(\text{Mg}\text{\textsc{ii}}\) equivalent widths, the impact parameters, and the
\(\text{Mg}\text{\textsc{ii}}\) kinematics (cf. Bouché et al. 2012, 2013; Schröter et al.
2015, 2016, 2019; Zabl et al. 2019).

The right panel of Fig. 1 shows the location of the MEGAFLOW galaxies with respect to the MS in terms of their
SFR offset from the MS,
\[
\delta M = \frac{\text{SFR(SFR(MS,z,M) - \text{SFR})}}{\text{SFR(MS,z,M)}}
\]
where SFR(MS,z,M) is the analytical prescription for the cen-
ter of the MS as a function of redshift and stellar mass proposed in the compilation by Speagle et al. (2014). All MEGAFLOW
galaxies lie within the scatter of the MS, although galaxies above
\(\log(M_{\text{stellar}}/M_\odot) \sim 10\), which are dominated by wind cases, tend to be
slightly below the Speagle et al. (2014) MS line. This may suggest
that outflows above this mass may induce a star formation decrease.
This potential relation between \(\delta M\) and gas flows will be investi-
gated further with the full survey (Bouché et al., in prep.).

While the average \(\log(M_{\text{stellar}}/M_\odot)\) and \(\delta M\) of the Tacconi
et al. (2018) sample are respectively 10.7 and 0.39, those of the
MEGAFLOW sample are 9.8 and −0.16 and those of the sample
observed with NOEMA 10.3 and −0.07: the MEGAFLOW sample
and the NOEMA subsample have lower stellar masses and offsets
from the MS than the Tacconi et al. (2018) sample on average.

2.2 The pilot program sample
For the NOEMA MEGAFLOW pilot program presented here, we
selected a subset of SFGs from the MEGAFLOW sample with
measured accretion and outflow events, mass flux estimates, stel-
lar masses, and SFRs. The sources were selected to optimize the
NOEMA CO(4-3) or CO(3-2) observing times given their SFR, stellar masses, and redshifts, assuming the Tacconi et al. (2018)
scaling relations to assess their expected line fluxes. As such, the
sample is notably biased towards higher SFRs. As shown in Fig. 1,
the current sub-sample comprises 6 SFGs equally divided between
accretion and wind cases. Table 1 summarizes some of the proper-
ties of the targets, including their R.A., DEC. coordinates, redshifts,
stellar masses, SFRs, [O\textsc{ii}] line widths, and Mg\textsc{ii} rest-frame equiv-
alent width in quasar sightline. Each full galaxy ID includes the
quasar identifier, the absorber redshift, the impact parameter in arc-
sec, and the position angle between quasar and galaxy in degrees.
In the following, we use the absorber redshift as short ID. The po-
positions and redshifts of the quasars are indicated in Table B1.

2.3 Observations and data reduction
To probe the molecular gas content of the sample galaxies, we ob-
serve either the CO(3-2) or the CO(4-3) carbon monoxide emis-
sion lines (\(v_{\text{rest}} = 345.8\) and 461.0 GHz, respectively), which fall
in NOEMA’s 1.3mm band given the redshift range of the targets and are both located near the peak of the CO line SED for SFGs
(e.g., Daddi et al. 2015). The six galaxies were observed at the
NOEMA interferometer at the Plateau de Bure in France between
March and June 2019, as part of the observational program W18CS
(P.I.: N. Bouché, T. Contini). The CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) emission
lines from the targets were observed at frequencies between 203
and 266 GHz, falling in the 3mm band, in the extended D config-
uration with 10 antennas until beginning April 2019 and 9 af-
terwards. The beam size, the eventual on-source observing time
for each target as well as the resulting RMS noise are indicated in Table 2. The weather conditions varied from good to mediocre,
with system temperatures ranging between 100 and 500 K depend-
ing on atmospheric conditions, wind speeds between 1 and 13m/s,
and water vapor between 0.5 and 5mm. The absolute flux scale
was derived from secondary flux calibrators (LkHα101, 0735+178,
0923+392, MWC349, 0829+046, and 3C454.3), whose fluxes are
regularly measured using Jupiter satellites or planets. The data were
calibrated using the c1lc software of the IRAM gildas package,
and further analysed and mapped using the gildas mapping and
class sofware.
trace similar star-forming regions and velocity fields. Observational studies have also shown that [O\textsubscript{iii}] and CO line widths were comparable (e.g., Freundlich et al. 2013, Poglisi et al. 2020, Nature Astronomy in press). The RMS noise indicated in Table 2 were obtained using gilads mapping go noise tool over velocity channels of width ∼ 50 km/s, rescaled to the [O\textsubscript{iii}] FWTM. None of the galaxies is detected, although there may be hints of detection at low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for galaxies 0702 and 0731. For these two galaxies, the velocity integrated line fluxes within the FWTM are respectively 0.280 Jy km/s (SNR = 1.9) and 0.567 Jy km/s (SNR = 2.4). Given the low SNR, we prefer to treat these measurements as non-detections. We nevertheless highlight that these fluxes and SNR estimates are obtained without any free parameters, since the positions and widths of the expected lines are set. We define 3σ integrated line flux upper limits as $F_{\text{upper}} = 3 \times \sigma_{\text{FWTM}}$, which correspond to the fluxes that should have been detected at SNR > 3 with a 50% probability (e.g., Masci 2011).

From any velocity integrated CO($J \rightarrow J - 1$) transition line flux $F_{\text{CO}(J \rightarrow J - 1)}$, one can derive the intrinsic CO luminosity

$$L_{\text{CO}(J \rightarrow J - 1)} = \frac{3.25 \times 10^{17}}{1 + z} \left( \frac{F_{\text{CO}(J \rightarrow J - 1)}}{\text{Jy km s}^{-1}} \right)^{\frac{\nu_{\text{rest}}}{2}} \left( \frac{D_{L}}{\text{Mpc}} \right)^{2},$$

where $\nu_{\text{rest}}$ is the rest-frame frequency (345.8 GHz for CO(3-2), 461.041.8 GHz for CO(4-3)), and $D_{L}$ the luminosity distance (Solomon et al. 1997). Even if the CO molecule only represents a small fraction of the total molecular gas mass and if its lower rotational lines are almost always optically thick, this quantity can be used as a quantitative tracer of the molecular gas mass, estimated as

$$M_{\text{gas}} = \beta \alpha_{\text{CO}} L_{\text{CO}(J \rightarrow J - 1)} / \nu_{\text{rest}},$$
where \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) is the CO(1-0) luminosity-to-molecular-gas-mass conversion factor and \( r_{\text{f1}} = L_{\text{CO}(1-0)}^{COLDGASS} / L_{\text{CO}(1-0)}^{\text{MS}} \) the corresponding line ratio. Since CO emission in the \( z = 0.6-1.1 \) galaxies studied in this paper is likely to originate from virialized giant molecular clouds with mean densities of the same order of magnitude as their lower-redshift counterparts and similar dust temperatures, the conversion factor should be relatively close to the Galactic conversion factor \( \alpha_{\text{G}} = 4.36 \pm 0.9 \, M_\odot / (K \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2) \). To estimate molecular gas mass upper limits from the CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) upper limits, we follow the PHIBSS2 methodology (Genzel et al. 2015; Tacconi et al. 2018; Freundlich et al. 2019). Namely, we include a metallicity dependence of the conversion factor, taken as the geometric mean of the recipes by Bolatto et al. (2013) and Genzel et al. (2012).

\[
\alpha_{\text{CO}} = \alpha_{\text{G}} \left( 0.67 \times \exp(0.36 \times 10^{0.67-\log Z}) \times 10^{-1.27(\log Z-8.67)} \right),
\]

where \( \log Z = 12 + \log(O/H) \) is the metallicity on the Pettini & Pagel (2004) scale estimated from the mass–metallicity relation

\[
\log Z = 8.74 - 0.087 \times (\log(M_\odot) - b)^2,
\]

with \( b = 10.4 + 4.46 \times \log(1+z) - 1.78 \times (\log(1+z))^2 \) (Genzel et al. 2015, and references therein). This metallicity correction leads to a mean \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4.4 \pm 0.3 \, M_\odot / (K \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ pc}^2) \) within the sample. Still following the PHIBSS2 methodology, we assume \( r_{\text{f1}} = 0.56 \) and \( r_{\text{f1}} = 0.42 \) as suggested by observations in low- and high-redshift SFGs (e.g., Weiss et al. 2007; Dannerbauer et al. 2009; Bothwell et al. 2013; Bolatto et al. 2015; Daddi et al. 2015). From the molecular gas mass upper limits, we derive upper limits for the molecular-to-stellar mass ratio \( \mu_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{gas}}/M_\star \) and depletion time \( t_{\text{depl}} = M_{\text{gas}} / \text{sSFR} \). Table 3 indicates the quantities derived from the CO molecular gas measurements.

Table 3. Quantities derived from the CO molecular gas measurements: intrinsic CO(1-0) luminosity, molecular gas mass, gas-to-stellar mass ratio, and depletion time. The table includes both the individual upper limits and the stacked values for all galaxies, for the accretion cases (A), and for the wind cases (W). Galaxies are referred to with their short ID (cf. Table 1).

| ID   | \( L_{\text{CO}(1-0)}^{\text{gas}} \) [K km s\(^{-1}\) pc\(^2\)] | \( M_{\text{gas}} \) [\( M_\odot \)] | \( \mu_{\text{gas}} \) | \( t_{\text{depl}} \) [Gyr] |
|------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 0608  | <1.3 \times 10^9                                 | <7.5 \times 10^9                | <1.80          | <1.5             |
| 0912  | <3.4 \times 10^9                                 | <1.50 \times 10^10              | <0.49          | <1.2             |
| 0949  | <4.1 \times 10^9                                 | <2.19 \times 10^10              | <1.82          | <2.5             |
| 1099  | <4.9 \times 10^9                                 | <2.58 \times 10^10              | <1.55          | <1.9             |
| 0702  | <2.3 \times 10^9                                 | <9.96 \times 10^9                | <0.35          | <0.7             |
| 0731  | <3.1 \times 10^9                                 | <1.24 \times 10^10              | <0.27          | <3.6             |
| stack | 1.4 \times 10^9                                  | 6.96 \times 10^9                | 0.39           | 0.7              |
| A stack | <1.6 \times 10^9                                | <8.24 \times 10^9                | <0.71          | <0.9             |
| W stack | 2.1 \times 10^9                                | 9.42 \times 10^9                | 0.34           | 0.9              |

3.2 Comparison to existing molecular gas scaling relations

Figs. 3 and 4 compare the molecular gas-to-stellar mass ratio \( \mu_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{gas}}/M_\star \) and depletion time \( t_{\text{depl}} = M_{\text{gas}}/\text{sSFR} \) upper limits for the six galaxies of the sample to the Tacconi et al. (2018) sample and scaling relations. These scaling relations express \( \mu_{\text{gas}} \) and \( t_{\text{depl}} \) as a function of redshift \( z \), stellar mass \( M_\star \), and the specific star formation rate (sSFR = SFR/\( M_\star \)) offset from the MS, \( \delta_{\text{MS}} = \text{sSFR}/\text{sSFR}(\text{MS}; \zeta, M_\star), \) where \( \text{sSFR}(\text{MS}; \zeta, M_\star) \) is the mean sSFR on the MS given \( \zeta \) and \( M_\star \). We use here the Speagle et al. (2014) parametrisation of \( \text{sSFR}(\text{MS}; \zeta, M_\star) \). These relations were established on a very large sample of about 1400 CO and dust molecular gas measurements in the range \( z = 0-4.5 \), owing notably to the COLDGASS (Saintonge et al. 2011a,b), PHIBSS (Tacconi et al. 2010, 2013), and PHIBSS2 (Freundlich et al. 2019) programs. They notably quantify how the molecular gas fraction decreases steeply with time while the depletion time increases slightly such that the cosmic evolution of the SFR is mainly driven by the available molecular gas reservoirs. They also show how the gas fraction increases above the MS and decreases below, while the depletion time follows the opposite trend.

Fig. 3 shows the redshift evolution of \( \mu_{\text{gas}} \) and \( t_{\text{depl}} \) from the Tacconi et al. (2018) sample\(^1\) together with the upper limits we obtain in the redshift range \( z = 0.6 - 1.1 \). Fig. 4 further shows \( \mu_{\text{gas}}/\mu_{\text{gas}}(\text{MS}) \) and \( t_{\text{depl}}/t_{\text{depl}}(\text{MS}) \) as a function of \( \delta_{\text{MS}} \), where \( \mu_{\text{gas}}(\text{MS}) \) and \( t_{\text{depl}}(\text{MS}) \) are the molecular gas-to-stellar mass ratio and depletion time on the MS line (\( \delta_{\text{MS}} = 1 \)) according to the scaling relations given the redshift and stellar mass of the sources. The expected dependence as a function of \( \delta_{\text{MS}} \) and its scatter is shown as the plain and dashed black lines. The upper limits are compatible with the scaling relations, and there is no clear difference between

\(^1\) We highlight that the scaling relation lines shown in Fig. 3 were scaled to the average stellar mass of the sample and hence are slightly offset from the Tacconi et al. (2018) data.
the accretion and outflow subsamples (respectively in blue and red on the figure). More precisely, if we assume a Gaussian scatter in the Tacconi et al. (2018) scaling relations, we can assess the probability for six data points following the corresponding distribution to be simultaneously below the upper limits we obtain, and we find a probability of about 60%.

### 3.3 Stacked CO analysis

We stack the CO spectra at the optical positions of the six observed galaxies, rescaling the CO(4-3) fluxes to CO(3-2) fluxes assuming a factor 1.3 between the two line fluxes and normalising the velocities by the MUSE [O\textsc{ii}] FWHM. We search for a signal within the FWTM, i.e., within FWHM × \(\sqrt{\log(10)/\log(2)}\), where 97% of the line flux should be. The resulting stacked spectra for all the galaxies of the sample and for the outflow cases are shown in Fig. 5. In these two cases, we detect velocity-integrated line fluxes respectively of 0.191 Jy km/s and 0.271 Jy km/s with SNR \(\approx 3.2\) and 3.0, noise being evaluated from the standard deviation of the stacked flux over the FWTM. For the accretion cases, the stacked spectrum does not display any detection (the SNR would be \(\approx 1.5\)) but enables to place a 3\(\sigma\) upper limit of 0.217 Jy km/s. The 3\(\sigma\) detections are obtained almost without any free parameter since both the central velocities and the line widths are constrained by the MUSE [O\textsc{ii}] observations, such that we can be confident in these detections even if the error is significant. We only allow a minor offset in the velocity range where the stacked signal is assessed (the vertical dashed lines in Fig. 5 are not exactly centered on zero). Weighting the different spectra by their RMS noise instead of assuming equal weights lead to stacked integrated CO(3-2) line fluxes of 0.159 Jy km/s.
We include the stacked data points and upper limit in Figs. 2, 3 and 4. The vertical error bars of the stacked data points assume 0.2 dex uncertainties in SFR and $M_*$ in addition to the 0.3 dex uncertainty in molecular gas mass. The horizontal error bars reflect the x-axis spread of the data points. In Fig. 3, we can see that the average molecular gas-to-stellar mass ratio is slightly below its expected MS value given the redshifts and stellar masses of the sample due to the average $−0.23$ dex 6MS offset below the MS, but that the average depletion time is well aligned with the expected MS value. In Fig. 4, we can see that both the stacked values for the whole sample and those for the outflow cases lie on their expected values from the Tacconi et al. (2018) scaling relations. The stacked upper limits for the accretion cases is also compatible with the scaling relations, notably 65% of the Gaussian distribution following the scatter in the scaling relations would fall below this upper limit. This indicates (i) that the molecular gas reservoirs of galaxies selected for their strong Mg ii absorption along quasar line-of-sights do not deviate significantly from those of mass-selected samples on average and (ii) that galaxies identified with accreting gas may not have specifically high molecular gas content. Point (i) notably means that the Tacconi et al. (2018) scaling relations can be used to estimate the expected CO fluxes from the MUSE [O ii] SFRs in future molecular gas follow-up studies of MEGAFLOW sources, as was already indicated in Fig. 2. Point (ii) is potentially at odds with expectations from the quasi equilibrium and compaction models, since accretion should replenish the gas reservoirs and enhance star formation. But the error bars of our stacked measurements are large, and mass-selected samples do include accreting and outflowing galaxies such that their molecular gas properties may already reflect the different configurations. This trend needs to be confirmed by individual detections and larger samples, for example using the Atacama Large Millimeter/Submillimeter Array (ALMA).

### 3.4 Dust continuum

In addition to CO, the full bandwidth of the NOEMA observations enables to assess the dust continuum fluxes, indicated with their RMS errors in Table 4. We report no individual detection, but these estimates enable to place individual 3σ upper limits and can also be combined to provide a stacked result of $0.4 ± 0.2\mu$Jy as well as a 3σ stacked upper limit of 73 $\mu$Jy at an effective frequency of 220 GHz, weighting each value by the RMS error.

Long-wavelength dust emission can be used to probe the interstellar medium mass since the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of this emission is almost always optically thin (e.g., Scoville et al. 2014, 2016) and hence to provide an additional measurement of the gas mass. We estimate upper limits for the individual and stacked molecular gas masses from the dust continuum emission according to the Scoville et al. (2016) calibration, assuming a dust temperature $T_D = 25$ K and an emissivity power-law index $\beta = 1.8$. Namely, the molecular gas mass derived from the dust continuum is expressed as

$$M_{\text{gas, dust}} = \frac{s_{\text{dust}} L_\nu}{\kappa(\nu) 2 k T_D (\nu / c)^2 T_R(T_D, \nu_{\text{obs}}, z)(1 + z)}$$

where $s_{\text{dust}}$ is the observed flux density, $D_L$ the luminosity distance, $\kappa(\nu)$ the dust opacity, with $\kappa(\nu_{\text{850}}} = 4.84 \times 10^{-4}$ $m^2$ kg$^{-1}$, and $T_R(T_D, \nu_{\text{obs}}, z) = h \nu_{\text{obs}}(1 + z) / (\exp(h \nu_{\text{obs}}(1 + z) / k T_D) − 1)$ the correction for departure in the rest-frame of the Planck function from Rayleigh-Jeans. The dust continuum emission can also translate into an infrared (IR) luminosity or equivalently in an IR SFR, SFR$_{IR}$, using the Magdis et al. (2012) templates with the mean redshift of the sample $z =$ (SNR = 2.9) for all sources, $0.219$ Jy km/s (SNR = 2.8) for the outflow cases, and a $0.220$ Jy km/s upper limit for the accretion cases. Given the SNR, the relative uncertainty on the stacked CO line fluxes is $1/\text{SNR} ∼ 30\%$, such that the values with and without weighting agree well with one another. Using the $r_{\text{HI}}$ line ratio and the average redshifts, the stacked CO line fluxes and upper limits correspond respectively to intrinsic CO(1-0) luminosities equal to 2.0, 2.9, and 2.2 $10^8$ K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$. We further derive molecular gas masses, gas-to-stellar mass ratios, and depletion times as in Section 3.1, taking the average $a_{\text{CO}}$ conversion factor to estimate the molecular gas mass and the average SFR and stellar mass to estimate the mass ratio and depletion time. The 30% uncertainty on the CO line fluxes together with the 30% uncertainty on the Galactic conversion factor (Bolatto et al. 2013), the systematic difference up to 20% between the metallicity corrections of Bolatto et al. (2013) and Genzel et al. (2012), reflecting the scatter in the $a_{\text{CO}}$ – metallicity relation, and a 20% uncertainty on the line ratios (e.g., Daddi et al. 2015) leads to a systematic uncertainty of at least 50% (0.3 dex) on the stacked molecular gas masses.
Table 4. Dust continuum measurements: RMS error, effective frequency, ISM mass, and IR SFR. The table includes the individual 3σ upper limits and the stacked values for all galaxies, for the accretion cases (A), and for the wind cases (W). We stress that the ISM mass and the IR SFR are not independent since they are both derived from the continuum flux. Galaxies are referred to with their short ID (cf. Table 1).

| ID     | σ_{cont} [μJy] | ν_{cont} [GHz] | M_{gas,cont} [M⊙] | SFR_{IR} [M⊙ yr⁻¹] |
|--------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0068   | 55             | 209.1         | <1.7×10¹⁰         | <24.3             |
| 0912   | 51             | 234.8         | <1.2×10¹⁰         | <39.5             |
| 0949   | 68             | 230.3         | <1.7×10¹⁰         | <56.6             |
| 1099   | 75             | 213.5         | <2.5×10¹⁰         | <72.0             |
| 0702   | 45             | 209.0         | <1.5×10¹⁰         | <23.8             |
| 0731   | 107            | 260.8         | <1.5×10¹⁰         | <58.8             |
| stack  | 24             | 220.8         | <6.9×10⁸          | <15.2             |
| A stack| 33             | 224.6         | <8.7×10⁸          | <20.7             |
| W stack| 36             | 216.1         | <1.1×10¹⁰         | <22.3             |

0.83), the typical temperature for MS galaxies at this epoch and a Chabrier (2003) IMF. We derive individual and stacked upper limits for these quantities, namely \( M_{gas,cont} < 6.9 \times 10^9 \, M_\odot \) and \( SFR_{IR} < 15.2 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \) for the stack over all galaxies. Table 4 indicates the quantities derived from the dust continuum upper limits. We stress that a given observation can not simultaneously constrain \( M_{gas,cont} \) and \( SFR_{IR} \) since these quantities are not independent from each other here.

The dust continuum molecular gas mass upper limits are consistent with the CO molecular gas upper limits indicated in Table 3 and fall within 0.3 dex of one another, which is the typical uncertainty of such measurements. The [O III] SFR fall within the IR SFR upper limits. In particular, the SFR_{IR} stacked upper limit (<15.2 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}) fits well with the average [O III] SFR (9.6 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}).

4 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present the NOEMA MEGAFLOW pilot program, a first attempt at probing the molecular gas reservoirs of star-forming galaxies (SFGs) whose circum-galactic medium has been observed in absorption along quasar lines-of-sight and which thus have estimates of their mass accretion or outflow rates. The motivation for such a program is to test the quasi equilibrium ('bathtub') and compaction models describing the evolution of star-forming galaxies along the main sequence (MS) of star formation, with simultaneous measurements of gas flows and gas content. We observe the CO(3-2) or CO(4-3) carbon monoxide line and the dust continuum of six galaxies at \( z = 0.6 - 1.1 \) drawn from the MusE GAs FLOW and Wind (MEGAFLOW) survey (Schroetter et al. 2016, 2019; Zabl et al. 2019, 2020) with the IRAM NOEMA interferometer. Three of these galaxies were identified with accretion around the disc plane, three with outflows perpendicular to the disc (Table 1 and Fig. 1). We report CO and dust continuum upper limits for the individual galaxies (Tables 3 and 4), and CO stacked detections over the whole sample and over the outflow cases (Fig. 5). From the CO data, we derive molecular gas masses, gas-to-stellar mass ratios and depletion times. From the dust continuum data, we derive upper limits for another estimate of the molecular gas content and the IR star formation rate. The dust continuum upper limits are compatible with the CO molecular gas mass upper limits and the SFR obtained from MUSE [O III] observations. We further detect the dust continuum in three of the quasars and a strong line in one of them, which we identify with CO(4-3) (Appendix B).

Both the upper limits and the stacked results are compatible with the Tacconi et al. (2018) scaling relations obtained from a large sample of mass-selected SFGs on and around the MS (Figs. 2, 3 and 4). This indicates that the molecular gas reservoirs of galaxies selected through their Mg II absorption as the MEGAFLOW galaxies do not deviate significantly from mass-selected samples, such that the scaling relations can be used to estimate the expected CO fluxes from the MUSE [O III] SFRs in future studies. Galaxies identified with accretion may not have specifically high molecular gas content. This may be in tension with the theoretical expectations of the quasi equilibrium and compaction models, since accretion is associated with gas replenishment, but the low signal-to-noise ratios (SNR = 3.2 and 3.0) of the stacked detections induce relatively large error bars.

This pilot program establishes the feasibility of molecular gas studies in galaxies with gas flows, such as those of the MEGAFLOW sample, and shows that molecular gas properties in absorption-selected samples may not fundamentally differ from those of mass-selected samples on average. This not only calls for additional observations to confirm or infirm the result of the stacked detections, but also provides tools to assess the observing time in future proposals, using the Tacconi et al. (2018) scaling relations. The next step consists in individual molecular gas detections in a statistically meaningful sample of galaxies with gas flows in order to quantify how the molecular gas fraction and depletion time depend on the inflow/outflow rates and test whether the gas content follows the expectation from the quasi equilibrium model and the compaction scenario. The current pilot program shows that while limited samples can be observed with NOEMA, ALMA is necessary to significantly increase the sample size and the sensitivity.
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APPENDIX A: CO SPECTRA

We display in Fig. A1 the CO spectra for the six galaxies of the sample observed with NOEMA.

APPENDIX B: QUASAR DETECTIONS

Although our main targets are the galaxies observed in MgII absorption, we clearly detect the dust continuum emission of quasars J0800p1849 and J0937p0656 and tentatively that of J1039p0714. Upper limits can be determined from the RMS noise for the other three quasars. Table B1 summarizes these continuum observations, while Fig. B1 shows the continuum maps associated to the detected quasars. Quasar J0800p1849 is spatially resolved with a FWHM of $1.65 \pm 0.22$ arcsec (14 kpc at the quasar redshift, $z = 1.294$), which may indicate a large host galaxy.

We further extract the spectrum at the optical position of each quasar by fitting the PSF in the uv space with go_uvfit. Although most of these spectra do not display any specific feature, we observe a bright SNR = 7.3 emission line at $\nu_{\text{obs}} = 200.466$ GHz for J0800p1849. The spectrum is shown in Fig. B2, and we fit the line with a Gaussian to assess its integrated flux. This line may be associated with CO(4-3) ($\nu_{\text{rest}} = 461.041$ GHz) at the quasar redshift ($z = 1.294$), albeit with a $\sim 700$ km/s velocity offset which could be due to broad features, wings, or uncertainties in the quasar redshift. This association is reinforced by the presence of a line that could correspond to [O ii] with a comparable offset in the MUSE data. Assuming a Galactic conversion factor and a CO(4-3)/CO(1-0) ratio of 0.42, the integrated line flux yields a molecular gas mass of $2.2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. Alternatively, the line could also correspond to the emission of an otherwise undetected galaxy on the line of sight, for example CO(2-1) ($\nu_{\text{rest}} = 230.538$ GHz) at $z = 0.150$, since none of the detected Mg ii absorbers on the line-of-sight of this quasar is expected to display strong emission lines around $\nu_{\text{obs}} = 200.466$ GHz.
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Figure A1. CO spectra of the six galaxies of the NOEMA MEGAFLOW sample extracted at the optical position, fitting the PSF in $uv$ space. The plain vertical line corresponds to $v = 0$ km/s, the two vertical dashed lines highlight the FWTM derived from the MUSE [OII] FWHM assuming a Gaussian line. We calculate the signal within the FWTM but do not find any detection with SNR $\geq 3$. Galaxies 0702 and 0731 may display hints of detection at low SNR, with integrated line fluxes within the FWTM respectively equal to 0.280 Jy km/s (SNR = 1.9) and 0.567 Jy km/s (SNR = 2.4). Galaxies are referred to with their short ID (cf. Table 1).

Table B1. Quasar continuum observations: ID, coordinates and redshift, continuum effective frequency, RMS noise, detected flux or $3\sigma$ upper limit, and SNR.

| Quasar ID  | R.A.   | DEC.   | $z$  | $v_{\text{cont}}$ [GHz] | $\sigma_{\text{cont}}$ [mJy] | $\nu_{\text{cont}}$ [mJy] | SNR  |
|-----------|--------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| J0800p1849 | 08:00:04.55265 | +18:49:35.0828 | 1.294 | 209.1                  | 0.107                          | 0.485                        | 4.5* |
| J1236p0725 | 12:36:24.3931  | +07:25:51.5496 | 1.606 | 234.9                  | 0.051                          | <0.153                       | -    |
| J0937p0656 | 09:37:49.5866  | +06:56:56.2704 | 1.802 | 208.6                  | 0.053                          | 0.354                        | 6.7  |
| J0015m0751 | 00:15:35.17573 | -07:51:03.07357 | 0.874 | 260.4                  | 0.111                          | <0.334                       | -    |

Notes. We use the optical position for the quasar, except for J0800p1849 and J0937p0656 where the signal is strong enough to release this prior. Typical astrometric corrections are at the level of 0.1-0.2 arcsec. *The SNR associated to J0800p1849 is artificially low compared to the other two because of the increased number of degrees of freedom enabled by its spatial resolution: the source is indeed resolved (cf. Fig. B1), so we fit it with a Gaussian rather than a point source. The FWHM of the Gaussian is found to be 1.65 ± 0.22 arcsec, i.e., about 14 kpc at the quasar redshift.
Figure B1. Continuum maps of the detected quasars J0800p1849, J0937p0656, and J1039p0714. The effective frequencies are 209.1, 208.6, and 230.3 GHz. The central cross highlights the quasar location from the Zhu & Ménard (2013) catalog. Contours correspond to integer $\sigma$ levels (dotted for the negative ones). The white ellipse at the bottom left indicates the beam.

Figure B2. Spectrum of quasar J0800p1849, displaying a bright emission line. We carried out a Gaussian fit to the line using gildas cclass, yielding $v_{\text{obs}} = 200.47 \pm 0.02$ GHz, a line width equal to $326 \pm 48$ km/s, and an integrated line flux $3.7 \pm 0.5$ Jy km/s.