MARVEL Analysis of the Measured High-resolution Rovibronic Spectra of the Calcium Monohydroxide Radical (CaOH)
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Abstract

The calcium monohydroxide radical (CaOH) is an important astrophysical molecule relevant to cool stars and rocky exoplanets, among other astronomical environments. Here, we present a consistent set of highly accurate rovibronic (rotation-vibration-electronic) energy levels for the five lowest electronic states ($\tilde{X} 3\Sigma^+$, $\tilde{A} 3\Pi$, $\tilde{B} 3\Sigma^+$, $\tilde{C} 1\Delta$, and $\tilde{D} 3\Sigma^+$) of CaOH. A comprehensive analysis of the published spectroscopic literature on this system has allowed 1955 energy levels to be determined from 3204 rovibronic experimental transitions, all with unique quantum number labeling and measurement uncertainties. The data set covers rotational excitation up to $J = 62.5$ for molecular states below 29,000 cm$^{-1}$. The analysis was performed using the Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels algorithm, which is a robust procedure based on the theory of spectroscopic networks. The data set provided will significantly aid future interstellar, circumstellar, and atmospheric detections of CaOH, as well as assist in the design of efficient laser cooling schemes in ultracold molecule research and precision tests of fundamental physics.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Molecular spectroscopy (2095); Exoplanet atmospheres (487); Astronomy databases (83)
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1. Introduction

The calcium monohydroxide radical ($^{40}$Ca$^{16}$O$^1$H) is a linear triatomic molecule of increasing astronomical interest due to its expected presence in the atmospheres of hot rocky super-Earth exoplanets (Bernath 2009; Tennyson & Yurchenko 2017). This class of exoplanets is very close to their host star and tidally locked, with their dayside exposed to extremely high temperatures, e.g., 2000–4000 K. The material present on the surface of the planet, including rock-forming elements such as silicon, magnesium, iron, calcium, and so on, will vaporize to some extent and produce an atmosphere strongly dependant on planetary composition (Schaefer et al. 2012; Fegley et al. 2016). Investigating the spectroscopy of hot rocky super-Earths requires accurate spectroscopic data on simple molecules composed of rock-forming elements, like CaOH. However, data for CaOH is not necessarily available or easily accessible. For example, a recent systematic study modeling M-dwarf photospheres by Rajpurohit et al. (2013) noted missing opacity from the benchmark BT-Settl model due to three molecules: NaH, AlH, and CaOH. The ExoMol project has since computed line lists for NaH (Rivlin et al. 2015) and AlH (Yurchenko et al. 2018), meaning that CaOH, notably its band around 18,000 cm$^{-1}$, remains as the only identified missing source of opacity in these objects.

Given the high cosmic abundance of calcium with respect to molecular hydrogen, it is reasonable to expect calcium-bearing molecules such as CaOH in other interstellar and circumstellar environments. For example, a possible formation mechanism in the interstellar medium is through the reaction of Ca$^+$ ions with small oxide interstellar grains to release gas-phase CaOH (Duley & Millar 1978). Tsuji (1973) predicted that CaOH would be the most abundant calcium-bearing molecule in oxygen-rich late-type stars at temperatures of $T = 1000–2000$ K, while the $\tilde{B} 3\Sigma^+-\tilde{X} 3\Sigma^+$ electronic band of CaOH was tentatively assigned in the spectra of late-type M-dwarf stars (Pesch 1972).

A large number of experimental studies have measured the rovibronic (rotation-vibration-electronic) spectrum of CaOH; however, there is no centralized source containing this information, aside from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS) database (Müller et al. 2001, 2005; Endres et al. 2016) but this only covers the microwave region (0–34 cm$^{-1}$). In this work, we present a data set of highly accurate molecular rovibronic transitions and energy levels for the CaOH molecule, obtained by evaluating all available spectroscopic data on CaOH from the published literature using the Measured Active Rotational-Vibrational Energy Levels (MARVEL) algorithm (Császár et al. 2007; Furtenbacher et al. 2007; Furtenbacher & Császár 2012; Tóbiás et al. 2019). This procedure takes a set of assigned transition frequencies with measurement uncertainties and converts them into a consistent set of empirical energy levels, each with their own measurement uncertainty and unique quantum numbers and state labels.

A MARVEL data set for CaOH will considerably aid future astronomical detection of this molecule, particularly because of its large wavelength and rotational excitation coverage. Furthermore, it will benefit the calculation of a molecular line list for CaOH, which is currently being undertaken by the ExoMol project (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2012; Tennyson et al. 2016). MARVEL data sets of empirical energy levels can greatly improve the accuracy of computed molecular opacities, as was done for the recent MARVEL titanium oxide data set (McKemmish et al. 2017) and TiO line list (McKemmish et al. 2019), whose detection in exoplanet atmospheres had been hampered by the inaccuracy of line positions in the available line lists (Hoeijmakers et al. 2015).

We mention that the alkaline earth monohydroxide radicals, including CaOH (Augustovičová & Bohn 2019;
Kozyryev et al. 2019), are relevant in studies of ultracold molecules and precision tests of fundamental physics due to their favorable energy level structure. A list of highly accurate energy levels across multiple electronic states can be useful in this field, especially for the design of efficient laser cooling schemes which requires knowledge of molecular rovibronic structure to a high degree of accuracy.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1. The MARVEL Approach

The MARVEL procedure (Császár et al. 2007; Furtenbacher et al. 2007; Furtenbacher & Császár 2012; Tóbiás et al. 2019) is based on the theory of spectroscopic networks (Császár & Furtenbacher 2011; Furtenbacher & Császár 2013; Furtenbacher et al. 2014; Árendás et al. 2016) and offers an elegant way to construct and represent complex networks such as those contained in a molecule’s spectroscopy. Energy levels are represented as nodes with the allowed transitions linking them and the corresponding transition intensities acting as weights. Provided with a data set of assigned transitions with measurement uncertainties, MARVEL will produce a consistent set of uniquely labeled empirical-quality energy levels, with the uncertainties propagated from the input transitions to the output energies.

From a user perspective, transitions included in the MARVEL data set must have a measurement uncertainty and every energy level has to be uniquely labeled, typically by a set of quantum numbers as discussed below. The chosen set of quantum numbers must be consistent across the whole data set but need not be physically meaningful. However, a sensible choice will benefit comparisons with other data and allow the final data set to be readily utilized in future studies. MARVEL is publicly available through a user-friendly web interface at http://kkrk.chem.elte.hu/marvelonline and numerous MARVEL studies have been performed on astronomically important diatomic and small polyatomic molecules: NH3 (Al Derzi et al. 2015), C2 (Furtenbacher et al. 2016), TiO (McKemmish et al. 2017), C3H2 (Chubb et al. 2018a), H2S (Chubb et al. 2018b), ZrO (McKemmish et al. 2018), NH (Darby-Lewis et al. 2019), SO2 (Tóbiás et al. 2018), H2+ (Furtenbacher et al. 2013b) and its isotopologues (Furtenbacher et al. 2013a), and H216O and its isotopologues (Tennyson et al. 2009, 2010, 2013, 2014a, 2014b; Tóbiás et al. 2019).

2.2. Electronic Structure and Spectroscopy of CaOH

The calcium monohydroxide radical is an open-shell system with a relatively complex electronic structure. To date, only the lowest-lying eight electronic states up to the $G^2\Pi$ state (Hailey et al. 1997) at approximately 32,633 cm$^{-1}$ are known. In this work, we consider the lowest five electronic states ($X^2\Sigma^+$, $A^2\Pi$, $B^2\Sigma^+$, $C^2\Delta$, and $D^2\Sigma^+$) and the transitions linking them, as shown in Figure 1. This choice was governed by the availability of laboratory rovibronic transition data in the literature. The wavenumber regions considered for each state were: $0-2599$ cm$^{-1}$ ($X^2\Sigma^+$); $15,966-17,677$ cm$^{-1}$ ($A^2\Pi$); $18,023-18,849$ cm$^{-1}$ ($B^2\Sigma^+$); $22,197-23,457$ cm$^{-1}$ ($C^2\Delta$); and $28,157-28,898$ cm$^{-1}$ ($D^2\Sigma^+$).

Interestingly, the spectrum of CaOH is affected by the Renner–Teller effect (see e.g., Jungen 2019 for a recent review), which is caused by the interaction of electronic orbital and vibrational angular momenta in linear molecules. This effect manifests itself when the molecule bends by lifting the degeneracy of the electronic states—for example, the first excited $A^2\Pi$ state of CaOH is split into two components, $A'$ and $A''$, at bent configurations. The Renner–Teller effect complicates the spectral analysis due to the increased energy level congestion in band systems. But its treatment is necessary for a correct description and several experimental studies have considered Renner splittings in CaOH (Hilborn et al. 1983; Coxon et al. 1991; Jarman & Bernath 1992; Li & Coxon 1992, 1995).

2.3. Vibronic Coupling, Symmetry, and Quantum Numbers

MARVEL requires that each transition is between energy levels with unique state labels and quantum numbers that are consistent across the entire data set. For CaOH, different authors have used different combinations of quantum numbers, as listed in Table 1. In this work, we have selected eight quantum numbers, shown in Table 2, that form a consistent set and allow each state to be uniquely labeled. The electronic state and the vibronic state are labeled along with the rotational angular momentum quantum number, $J$; the rotationless parity, and...
2.4. Symmetry Considerations

When coupling different angular momenta of CaOH, it is common to first couple the electronic and vibrational angular momentum toward the vibrational momentum with projections \( \Lambda + l \) (also assigned \( 2 \Sigma^+ \), \( \Pi, \Delta, \Phi, \ldots \)) and then with the unpaired spin \( (S = 1/2) \) toward the total angular momentum with the projection \( \Omega = \Lambda + l + S \), where \( \Omega \) is the projection of the electron spin angular momentum on the \( z \)-axis.

For CaOH, it is common to use the \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) quantum labels to distinguish the two spin components with \( |\Lambda + l| \pm 1/2 \). For example, in the \( 2 \Sigma^+ \) states, the rotational angular momentum \( N \) is coupled to spin as \( J = N + S \) and thus their projections on the \( z \)-axis (at linear configurations) are related by \( J = N \pm 1/2 \), where \( N \) is the rotational angular momentum. Each rotational state of \( 2 \Sigma^+ \) consists of two spin subcomponents, assigned \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \). \( \Omega \) is sometimes used as an alternative to \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) to identify vibronic subcomponents, e.g., as \( 2 \Pi_{1/2} \) and \( 2 \Pi_{3/2} \), \( 2 \Delta_{1/2} \) and \( 2 \Delta_{3/2} \), etc. Most of the sources presented in this work, however, use the \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) notation.

The symmetry of the rovibrionic state is a product of the symmetries of the electronic, vibrational, spin, and rotational parts and can also be associated with the corresponding angular momenta \( G, L, S, \) and \( N \). However, the final rovibrionic state can only be \( \Sigma^+ \) (parity is +) or \( \Sigma^- \) (parity is −) of the projections of the electronic angular momentum on the \( z \)-axis \( \Lambda = 0 (x, \overline{B}, \text{and } D) \), \( \pm 1 (\overline{A}) \), and \( \pm 2 (\overline{C}) \). The symmetry of the vibrational motion is controlled by the vibrational angular momentum quantum number, \( l \), in the same way that the symmetry of the electronic state is defined by \( \Lambda: \Sigma, \Pi, \Delta, \ldots \), for \( l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \), respectively. The electronic angular momentum can be coupled to the vibrational angular momentum giving the vibronic angular momentum with projections \( \Lambda + l \), which are also described using the notation \( \Sigma^+, \Pi, \Delta, \Phi, \ldots \). These vibronic symmetries are then used to label the final vibronic states while the electronic symmetries are omitted. Consider, for instance, the vibrational state \((0, 1, 0)\) in the first excited electronic state \( \overline{A} 2 \Pi \). Coupling its vibrational angular momentum with \( l = \pm 1 \) (\( \Pi \)) and the electronic angular momentum with \( \Lambda = \pm 1 \) leads to the three vibronic components \( |\Lambda + l| = 0, 2, \) i.e., \( \Sigma^+, \Sigma^− \), and \( \Delta \), with a doubly degenerate state, \( \Delta \). These vibronic states are then assigned \( \Lambda(0, 1, 0) 2 \Sigma^\pm \) and \( \Lambda(0, 1, 0) 2 \Delta \).

The bending motion of the molecule \((v_2 > 0)\) lifts the electronic degeneracy of \( \Lambda = 0 \) states \((\overline{A} 2 \Pi \text{ and } \overline{C} 2 \Delta)\) via the Renner–Teller interaction \((\Lambda \text{ doubling; see, e.g., Pople 1960; Hougen 1962a, 1962b, 1962c})\). There are two alternative notations for these components used in the literature. Experimental studies of CaOH tend to use \( \mu \) and \( \kappa \) for the two vibronic sub-bands associated with the Renner–Teller splitting, while a more general consideration denotes the two Renner–Teller components \( A^\prime \) and \( A^\prime\prime \) of the \( C_s \) symmetry group to classify the molecular states, as well as the different degrees of freedom of CaOH. According to this convention, the \( \kappa \) levels are always higher in energy than the \( \mu \) levels of the same \( J \) (Hougen 1962c). In the above example of \( (0, 1, 0) \) in the excited electronic state, \( \overline{A} 2 \Pi \), the final vibronic notations inherit the symmetry of a linear molecule and are given by \( \Lambda(0, 1, 0) \mu/\kappa \Sigma^\pm \) and \( \Lambda(0, 1, 0) 2 \Delta \).

\(^3\) Here, we use the linear triatomic version of the bending quantum number, \( v_2 \), which is related to the bent molecule case as \( v_2 \equiv v_2^{\text{linear}} = 2v_2^{\text{bent}} + l \), where \( L \) is constrained to \( L = K_\omega \pm 1 \) (Bunker & Jensen 1998), and \( K_\omega \) is the rotational quantum number associated with the projection of the total rotational angular momentum on the \( x \)-axis (or \( z \)-axis in our case).

\(^4\) Note that this label \( \Sigma \) is different from the label \( \Sigma \) used to assign the \( \Lambda = 0 \) state.
molecular symmetry group $C_{2v}(M)$ (Watson 1970). The parity of $2\Sigma^+$ or $2\Sigma^-$ states are $(-1)^N$ and $(-1)^{N+1}$, respectively. The state parity always changes for the electric dipole transitions $+ \rightarrow -$, which can be used to reconstruct the parity of the upper/lower state if only one of them is known. The rotationless $e/f$ parity is related to the $+/-$ parity as follows: levels with parity $+(−1)^{J−0.5}$ are $e$ levels, and levels with parity $−(−1)^{J−0.5}$ are $f$ levels (Brown et al. 1975). Some authors use the Mulliken’ labels $e$ and $f$ (Mulliken 1931) to distinguish states of different parities—for example, in the case of $v_2 = 3$ (Ziurys et al. 1996) with $(0, 3^{1c}, 0), (0, 3^{1d}, 0), (0, 3^{3c}, 0)$, and $(0, 3^{3d}, 0)$.

The electric dipole selection rules are

$$J' = J'' \pm 1,$$
$$e \leftrightarrow e \quad \text{and} \quad f \leftrightarrow f \quad \Delta J = \pm 1,$$
$$e \leftrightarrow f \quad \Delta J = 0,$$
$$\Sigma^+ \leftrightarrow \Sigma^- \quad \text{(total symmetry)}.$$

2.5. Examples of Coupling Vibration, Spin, and Electronic Angular Momenta

For non-$\Sigma$ states, the interaction with the electron spin can involve the vibrational and electronic angular momentum. For example, the vibrational excitation $(0, 1', 0)$ of the electronic state $^3\Pi$ gives rise to the total vibronic angular momentum of the symmetry $^3\Pi$ ($l = \pm 1$), referenced to as $^3\bar{\Pi}(0, 1', 0)^3\Pi$. The resulting rovibronic energy pattern forms a quartet, which can be designated using the four combinations of $e$ and $f$, and $F_1$ and $F_2$.

Including the electronic angular momentum complicates this picture even more. For example, in order to couple all angular momenta in the vibronic state $^3\bar{\Pi}(0, 1', 0)^3\Pi$, in this work, we first couple the electronic and vibrational momenta with projections $l = \pm 1$ and $\Lambda = \pm 1$, respectively, toward the vibronic angular momentum with $l + \Lambda = 0^{+/-}-, \pm 2$. The zero vibronic components $0^{+/-}$ have two symmetries, $^3\Sigma^+$ and $^3\Sigma^-$, with $[\Lambda] = 1/2$. In this case, $F_1$ and $F_2$ are redundant and can be chosen to match $e$ and $f$ states. The state with $l + \Lambda = \pm 2$ has the symmetry $^7\Delta$ with $[\Lambda]$ taking values $3/2$ and $5/2$ and therefore can also be designated $(0, 1', 0)^3\Delta_{5/2}(F_1)$ and $(0, 1', 0)^3\Delta_{3/2}(F_2)$, respectively, where the labels $F_1$ and $F_2$ are assigned to the $[\Lambda] = 1/2$ and $3/2$ states based on the order of the corresponding energy values.

Consider another example of the $^3\bar{\Pi}(0, 2, 0)^3\Pi$ state ($\Lambda = \pm 2$ and $l = 0$, $\pm 2$) with the vibronic angular momenta $\Lambda + l = \pm 1$ and $\pm 3$, which represent the vibronic states $^3\Pi$ and $^3\Phi$, respectively. The $\Lambda = \pm 2$ degeneracies are lifted due to the interaction with the bending mode (Renner–Teller) leading to the $\mu$ and $\kappa$ subcomponents (or $A'$ and $A''$). The interaction with spin introduces the spin splitting with the final values of the projections of the angular momenta $1/2$ and $3/2$ ($^3\Pi$) or $5/2$ and $7/2$ ($^3\Phi$), i.e., to $^3\Pi_{1/2}, ^3\Pi_{3/2}, ^3\Phi_{5/2}$, and $^3\Phi_{7/2}$. However, if $l = 0$ but $\Lambda = 0$, such as, for the $(0, 0, 0)^3\Pi$ state, the final projections of the total angular momentum $\Omega = \Lambda + \Sigma$ are $\pm 1/2$ and $\pm 3/2$, and these states can be assigned $^3\Pi_{1/2}$ and $^3\Pi_{3/2}$, respectively.

3. Experimental Data Sources

Spectroscopic data was extracted from 13 published sources (Hilborn et al. 1983; Bernath & Kinsey-Nielsen 1984; Bernath & Brazier 1985; Coxon et al. 1991, 1992, 1994; Jarman & Bernath 1992; Li & Coxon 1992, 1995; Ziurys et al. 1992, 1996; Scurlock et al. 1993; Dick et al. 2006). These data are summarized in Table 3. Only data from Dick et al. (2006) was provided in digital format while all other literature sources had to be processed using digitization software. A unique reference label was assigned to each extracted transition, which is a requirement for the MARVEL input file. The reference indicates the data source, table (or page) and line number that the transition originates from. The data source tag (for example, 06DiShWa) is based on the notation employed by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) task group on water (Tennyson et al. 2010, 2014).

Aside from the numerous experimental measurements of CaOH spectra, there have been several studies investigating the electronic structure of CaOH using quantum chemical methods (Bauschlicher & Partridge 1984; Bauschlicher et al. 1986, 1990; Ortiz 1990; Kong & Boyd 1996; Koput & Peterson 2002; Theodorakopoulos et al. 2002; Taylor et al. 2005) but these have largely focused on molecular structures and properties rather than rovibrionic spectroscopy.

3.1. Comments on Literature Sources

83HiQiHa: Hilborn et al. (1983) contains transitions from the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Pi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ band. Most of these data are included in the more recent study by Dick et al. (2006) but some of the line positions show deviations up to 0.3 cm$^{-1}$. For example, in the transition $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Pi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Delta$, $J = 36.5 \quad F = 2$, $e \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Pi$, and $J = 36.5 \quad F = 2$, $f$ appears in Hilborn et al. (1983) as 16,029.986 cm$^{-1}$ and in Dick et al. (2006) as 16,030.285 cm$^{-1}$. We believe that the recent data (Dick et al. 2006) is more reliable. In these instances, we have removed the lines by Hilborn et al. (1983) from our MARVEL analysis by making the corresponding frequency value negative (MARVEL convention).

84BeKi: Bernath & Kinsey-Nielsen (1984) contains transitions from the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+ \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ and $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^- \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ bands.

85BeBr: Bernath & Brazier (1985) contains transitions from the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Pi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ band.

91CoLiPr: Coxon et al. (1991) contains transitions from the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Pi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ band.

92LiCo: Li & Coxon (1992) reported transitions from the $^3\Pi(0, 2^0, 0)^3\Pi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ band system.

92CoLiPr: Coxon et al. (1992) studied the $^3\Pi \rightarrow ^3\Sigma^+$ system, covering the $^3\Pi(1, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+ \rightarrow ^3\Pi(1, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$, $^3\Pi(1, 0, 0)^3\Delta \rightarrow ^3\Pi(3, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$, $^3\Pi(1, 0, 0)^3\Phi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(4, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$, $^3\Pi(1, 0, 0)^3\Delta \rightarrow ^3\Pi(2, 0, 0)^3\Delta$, and $^3\Pi(1, 0, 0)^3\Phi \rightarrow ^3\Pi(2, 0, 0)^3\Phi$ vibronic bands.

92ZiBaAn: Ziurys et al. (1992) investigated 11 pure rotational transitions in the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ ground state. The total angular momentum quantum number, $F$, used to account for hyperfine structure was not included in our data set. Instead, the uncertainty of these transitions was increased to match the hyperfine splittings. The data set was converted to cm$^{-1}$ from the original units of MHz.

92JaBe: Jarman & Bernath (1992) studied the $^3\Delta \rightarrow ^3\Sigma^+$ system covering the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ and $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ band.

93ScFiSf: Scurlock et al. (1993) investigated the hyperfine structure of the three lowest pure rotational transitions of the $^3\Pi(0, 0, 0)^3\Sigma^+$ state. The total angular momentum quantum number, $F$, used to account for hyperfine structure was not included in our data set. Instead, the uncertainties for these
transitions were increased to 0.0001 cm\(^{-1}\) to account for the fact that we have neglected hyperfine effects.

94CoLiPr: Coxon et al. (1994) measured transitions in the \(\tilde{A} \, ^2 \Pi - \tilde{X} \, ^2 \Sigma^+\) band system: \(\tilde{A}(0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)^\pi \Sigma^+ - \tilde{X}(0, 1^2 \Pi, 0)^\Pi\) and \(\tilde{A}(0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)^\pi \Sigma^+ - \tilde{X}(0, 0^2 \Pi, 0)^\Pi\). The upper doubling states are assigned \(\Sigma^+\) or \(\Sigma^+\).  

95LiCo: Li & Coxon (1995) studied the \(v_2 = 1\) bending vibrational levels of the \(\tilde{A} \, ^2 \Pi\) and \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Sigma^+\) states, covering transitions of the following bands: \(\tilde{A}(0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)^\Pi \Sigma^-, 2\Delta, \mu \Sigma^+ - \tilde{X}(0, 1^2 \Pi, 0)^\Pi\), and \(0, 0^2 \Sigma^+\). It should be noted that \(\tilde{A}(0, 1^1 \Pi, 0) - \tilde{X}(0, 0, 0)\) is electric dipole forbidden. Even though the \(\tilde{A}(0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)^\Pi \Sigma^+\) and \(\tilde{A}(0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)^\Pi \Sigma^+\) states can be correlated to \(A'\) and \(A''\), we retained the experimental labels \(\mu\) and \(\kappa\). The \(e/f\) parity of all states was reconstructed from the \(+/-\) parities, which in turn were obtained from the parity \(p''\) of the lower vibronic state \(\Sigma^+\) as \((-1)^N\). For non-\(\Sigma\) lower vibronic states \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Pi\), we used the upper state parity instead if the vibronic symmetries were either \(\Sigma^+ (\mu)\) or \(\Sigma^- (\kappa)\); the parities \(p''\) are \((-1)^K\) or \((-1)^N\), respectively.

96ZiFiAn: Ziurys et al. (1996) used pure rotational and rovibrational transitions in the \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Sigma^+\) ground electronic state: \(0, 0, 0\), \(0, 0, 1^1 \Pi\), \(0, 0, 1^2 \Pi\), \(0, 0, 2^2 \Pi\), \(0, 0, 2^2 \Sigma^+\), and \(0, 0, 2^2 \Delta\) where the superscript \(c/d\) represents \(l\)-type doubling effects. The \(0, 1^1 \Pi\) and \(0, 2^2 \Sigma^+\) vibronic states of \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Sigma^+\) have the vibrational symmetries of \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Pi\) and \(2\Delta\), respectively. The correlation between \(c/d\) and \(e/f\) does not appear to follow the rules given by Brown et al. (1975). In order to reconstruct this correlation, we used the \((0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)\) spectroscopic constants from Ziurys et al. (1996) in the program PGOPHER (Western 2017), assuming the vibronic symmetry \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Pi\), and computed the corresponding transitions allowing us to correlate the \(e/f\) and \(c/d\) parities as follows: for \(c, F_1 = e\) and \(F_2 = f\), for \(d, F_1 = f\) and \(F_2 = e\), but only for the \((0, 0, 0), (0, 1^1 \Pi, 0), (0, 1^2 \Pi, 0),\) and \((0, 0, 2^2 \Pi, 0)\) states. The \(\tilde{A}\) Oh spectroscopic constants of \(\tilde{X}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Delta\) by Ziurys et al. (1996) only work with PGOPHER if assuming the symmetry \(\tilde{X} \, ^2 \Pi\) instead of \(2\Delta\), which, in this case, worked with the same conversion rules as for the \((0, 1^1 \Pi, 0)\) transitions. Apparently, this is due to the effective rotational Hamiltonian model used in the fit in the original work. The data set was converted to cm\(^{-1}\) from the original units of MHz.

06DiShWa: Dick et al. (2006) covers transitions from the \(\tilde{A}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Pi - \tilde{X}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Sigma^+\) and \(\tilde{D}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Sigma^+ - \tilde{A}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Pi\) bands. In their analysis, Dick et al. (2006) used the \(\tilde{X}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Sigma^+ - \tilde{X}(0, 0, 0)^2 \Sigma^+\) transitions from the work by Ziurys et al. (1992). A number of the \(\tilde{A} - \tilde{X}\) transitions were measured in the work of Hilborn et al. (1983).

### 4. Results and Discussion

Our final MARVEL transition file (the input, see Table 4) consists of 3204 experimental (excluding the 16 transitions from Hilborn et al. 1983 deemed less reliable) and 20 pseudo-
Table 4
Extract from the MARVEL Transition File

| \( \nu \)  | unc., cm\(^{-1}\) | Quantum “Numbers” of Upper States | Quantum “Numbers” of Lower States | Source |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
|          |                 | \( J' \) | \( \epsilon'/f' \) | \( v_1' \) | \( v_2' \) | \( L' \) | \( v_3' \) | \( F_{1}'/F_{2}' \) | \( J'' \) | \( \epsilon''/f'' \) | \( v_1'' \) | \( v_2'' \) | \( L'' \) | \( v_3'' \) | \( F_{1}''/F_{2}'' \) |
| 16026.295 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 3.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 4.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa1 |
| 16025.364 | 0.01            | A2Pi  | 4.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 5.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa2 |
| 16024.464 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 5.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 6.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa3 |
| 16023.571 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 6.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 7.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa4 |
| 16022.696 | 0.01            | A2Pi  | 7.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 8.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa5 |
| 16021.842 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 8.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 9.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa6 |
| 16021.002 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 9.5   | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 10.5 | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa7 |
| 16020.18  | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 10.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 11.5 | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa8 |
| 16019.375 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 11.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 12.5 | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa9 |
| 16018.586 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 12.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 13.5 | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa10 |
| 16017.815 | 0.005           | A2Pi  | 13.5  | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | X2Sigma+ | 14.5 | \( f \) | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | F2   | 06DiShWa11 |

Note. The quantum numbers/labels are described in Table 2. The MARVEL frequency wavenumber, \( \nu \), and uncertainties are in cm\(^{-1}\). (This table is available in its entirety in FITS format.)
experimental (PGOPHER) transitions and has the following structure:

$$\nu \text{, unc, } QN', QN'', \text{source}_i$$

where $\nu$ is the transition wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$), unc is the experimental uncertainty (cm$^{-1}$), $QN'$ and $QN''$ are the quantum numbers of the upper and lower states, respectively, and the “source” is the abbreviation of the literature source concatenated with a counting number $i$ of the data from this source. source$_i$ is a unique ID of the transitions in the MARVEL data set. The pseudo-experimental transition wavenumbers were reconstructed with the PGOPHER program using the spectroscopic constants from Li & Coxon (1995) and Ztury et al. (1996) to support the corresponding data sets compensating for missing lines. Their uncertainties were set to 1 cm$^{-1}$ in order not to interfere with the true experimental values. The QN set comprises eight quantum numbers/labels selected to represent a general rovibronic state of CaOH as in Tables 4 and 5: vibronic state label, $e/f$ parity, $J$, $v_1$, $v_2$, $L$, $v_3$, and $F_1$, $F_2$.

The MARVEL energy file (output) was processed via the online MARVEL application using the Cholesky (analytic) approach with a 0.05 cm$^{-1}$ threshold on the uncertainty of the “very bad” lines, which produced a MARVEL energy file containing 1955 states. The MARVEL data set covers rotational excitation up to $J = 62.5$ for molecular states below 29,000 cm$^{-1}$. The MARVEL output and structure of the MARVEL energy levels is illustrated in Table 5 and is also plotted in Figure 2 as a function of $J$.

Figure 3 offers a visual representation of the CaOH MARVEL network, where the upper state energies are connected with the lower state energies via circles. The size of a circle is $\log(n + 1)$, where $n$ is the number of transitions supporting the corresponding upper state. The vertical bars along the horizontal axis show the lower state energies, while the horizontal bars along the vertical axis give the upper state energies. The value of $n$ ranges from 1 (dark blue) to 38 (red).

5. Conclusions

We have comprehensively evaluated the published spectroscopic literature on CaOH and extracted all meaningful molecular rovibronic transition data. These data were analyzed using the robust MARVEL algorithm, which converts assigned transitions into a consistent set of uniquely labeled empirical energy levels with measurement uncertainties. The data set covers rotational excitation up to $J = 62.5$ for 1955 molecular states below 29,000 cm$^{-1}$. The MARVEL input and output files for CaOH are provided as supplementary material and can be readily updated to include new experimental rovibronic measurements.

While we have analyzed data from five electronic states and a large range of rotational levels, the experimental data has only limited coverage of vibrationally excited states. There is no empirical information on the $v_3$ stretching vibrational mode and only limited information on vibrational excitation of the other modes in electronically excited states. This means that any line list constructed for this molecule will have to rely on ab initio predictions for the missing quantities.

With the renewed interest in CaOH, we expect the new MARVEL data set to help future detection of this molecule in astronomical environments. The most immediate benefit will be in the calculation of a comprehensive CaOH molecular line list as part of the ExoMoL project (Tennyson & Yurchenko 2012; Tennyson et al. 2016). A list of highly accurate empirical

---

**Table 5**

| Vibronic       | Quantum “Numbers” | $\tilde{E}$ cm$^{-1}$ | unc. cm$^{-1}$ | No. of Trans. |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| X2Delta        | $J = 24.5$        | $e = 0$                | $v_1 = 2$      | $v_2 = 2$     | 0             | F1             | 911.045055     | 0.05           | 1              |
| X2Delta        | $J = 24.5$        | $f = 0$                | $v_1 = 2$      | $v_2 = 2$     | 0             | F2             | 927.869794     | 0.05           | 1              |
| X2Delta        | $J = 25.5$        | $f = 0$                | $v_1 = 2$      | $v_2 = 2$     | 0             | F2             | 944.839055     | 0.05           | 1              |
| X2Delta        | $J = 26.5$        | $f = 0$                | $v_1 = 2$      | $v_2 = 2$     | 0             | F2             | 963.000974     | 0.05           | 1              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 0.5$         | $e = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F1             | 16310.22824    | 0.005          | 1              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 0.5$         | $f = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F2             | 16310.70825    | 0.005          | 1              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 1.5$         | $e = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F1             | 16311.01157    | 0.007071       | 2              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 1.5$         | $f = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F2             | 16311.96407    | 0.070711       | 2              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 2.5$         | $e = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F1             | 16312.46245    | 0.003462       | 6              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 2.5$         | $f = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F2             | 16313.9111     | 0.003333       | 3              |
| muA2Sigma     | $J = 3.5$         | $e = 0$                | $v_1 = 1$      | $v_2 = 1$     | 0             | F1             | 16314.6022     | 0.049944       | 2              |

**Note.** The quantum numbers/labels are described in Table 2, which are followed by the MARVEL energy term value (cm$^{-1}$), uncertainty (cm$^{-1}$), and the number of transitions supporting the state in question. (This table is available in its entirety in FITS format.)

---

**Figure 2.** MARVEL energy term values for CaOH shown for different electronic bands.
energy levels is to refine the theoretical spectroscopic model of a molecule to achieve orders-of-magnitude improvements in the accuracy of the predicted line positions. Accurate molecular opacities of CaOH are essential for detecting this molecule in hot rocky super-Earth exoplanets and this work brings us closer to this goal.

As mentioned before, a detailed knowledge of the energy level structure in CaOH will help the design of efficient laser cooling schemes in ultracold molecule research and precision tests of fundamental physics. The alkaline earth monohydr-oxide radicals are attractive molecules in this pursuit due to SrOH, which was the first untrapped polyatomic molecule to be laser-cooled (Kozyryev et al. 2017).
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