Hepatocyte-specific TAK1 deficiency drives RIPK1 kinase-dependent inflammation to promote liver fibrosis and hepatocellular carcinoma
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Significance

TAK1 deficiency has been shown to promote liver cancers; however, the mechanism is unclear. Here we show that TAK1 deficiency promotes RIPK1-mediated inflammation to promote cancer development. Our study highlights the role of RIPK1 as an important driver of inflammatory cytokine production in livers, which includes CCL2 and CCR2 macrophage infiltration that are prominent in human pathology from liver pathology, and implications for additional human diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease and Alzheimer’s disease.
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alcoholic liver injury and steatosis, independent of the NF-κB pathway or its known receptor CCR2 (21). Pharmacological inhibition of CCL2 is being considered for blocking liver macrophage infiltration and steatohepatitis (22). However, the mechanism that regulates the transcription expression of CCL2 is still unclear.

In this study, we investigated the mechanism by which RIPK1 kinase mediated liver injury and HCC development using Tak1ΔHEP mice as a model. Our results demonstrate that while genetic inhibition of RIPK1 kinase using D138N knockin mutation has minimum effect on the death of hepatocytes or compensatory proliferation induced by Tak1 deficiency, inhibition of RIPK1 strongly reduces the development of tumor biomarkers and the incidence of HCC development in Tak1ΔHEP mice. We find that RIPK1 kinase primarily promotes the transcription of key inflammatory cytokines/chemokines, such as CCL2 (MCP-1), in Tak1-deficient hepatocytes and macrophage infiltration to modulate the tumor microenvironment, which in turn accelerates liver fibrosis and HCC development. Our study highlights an important but previously unappreciated role and mechanism of RIPK1-mediated inflammation in promoting the development of HCC in both a cell-autonomous and noncell-autonomous manner.

Results

RIPK1 Kinase-Dependent and -Independent Cell Death and Compensatory Proliferation in Tak1ΔHEP Mice. To investigate the mechanism by which RIPK1 mediates hepatocellular damage, compensatory proliferation, fibrosis, and HCC development, we generated Tak1ΔHEP Alb-cre/+; Ripk1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice by crossing Ripk1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice with Tak1ΔHEP Alb-cre/+ (Tak1ΔHEP) mice. As reported previously, Alb-cre drives the loss of Tak1 specifically in hepatocytes of Tak1ΔHEP Alb-cre/+ (8). We found that Tak1 protein levels in hepatocytes of Tak1ΔHEP mice were markedly decreased, which was not affected by RIPK1 D138N mutation in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (SI Appendix, Fig. SI4).

We next characterized the time course of apoptosis using immunostaining of cleaved caspase-3 (CC3) as a biomarker in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice. Low levels of CC3 became detectable in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice at 4 wk of age, peaked at 6 wk, and remained elevated at 12 wk of age. The apoptotic CC3 levels were reduced and maintained at low levels in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice at these ages (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 B and C). The result was further confirmed by immunohistochemistry of CC3 in the mice of 6 wk age (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). Strong CC3+ signals were detected around the necrosis areas and weak CC3+ signals were detected in hepatocytes in the liver of Tak1ΔHEP mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). These results suggest that Tak1 deficiency promotes hepatocytes to undergo RDA.

Hepatocytic damage can be directly measured by alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels in serum (23, 24). ALT and AST levels in the serum of Tak1ΔHEP mice were elevated compared with that of Tak1ΔHEP mice (WT) at 4, 6, and 12 wk. No difference in the levels of ALT and AST was detected between Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice and Tak1ΔHEP mice at 4 wk of age or that of ALT alone at 6 wk of age (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). Inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice reduced the serum levels of ALT at 12 wk of age and that of AST at 6 and 12 wk of age (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). H&E staining also confirmed that liver necrotic damage in Tak1ΔHEP mice peaked at 6 and 12 wk of age, which was reduced upon inhibition of RIPK1 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 F and G). Thus, inhibition of RIPK1 can reduce necrotic liver damage.

Increased serum bilirubin level is an indication for bile duct damage (7). We detected increased serum bilirubin levels in Tak1ΔHEP mice at 12 wk of age (undetectable before 12 wk). Inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice significantly reduced the serum levels of bilirubin (SI Appendix, Fig. S1H). Since Tak1 expression is maintained in cholangiocytes in Tak1ΔHEP mice (8), this result suggests that RIPK1 is involved noncell-autonomously in promoting the bile duct damage as a secondary response to chronic hepatocyte damage. Taken together, these results suggest that activation of RIPK1 can mediate apoptosis of TAK1-deficient hepatocytes cell-autonomously as well as noncell-autonomously to promote bile duct damage (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 B, C, and H); however, an additional RIPK1-independent mechanism was also activated to mediate hepatic injury in Tak1ΔHEP mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E).

We next investigated the effect of RIPK1 kinase on the liver compensatory proliferation. Compensatory proliferation after liver damage has been suggested to be involved in promoting hepatocarcinogenesis (8, 25, 26). Immunohistochemistry of Ki67 was used to measure the rate of compensatory proliferation in livers. The numbers of Ki67+ cells were at the high levels at age of 4 wk when the liver damage was first detected; and inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice had no effect on the numbers of Ki67+ cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A and B). The compensatory proliferative response in Tak1ΔHEP mice was highest at 4 wk of age; inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice did not have a significant reducing effect on the numbers of Ki67+ cells in liver at any age analyzed, and even increased it modestly at 6 wk of age (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A and B). The cellular morphology of Ki67+ cells in livers analyzed suggested that they were hepatocytes (SI Appendix, Fig. S24). To validate this conclusion, we used a BrdU assay to label the proliferative cells and coinmunostain them with a hepatocyte marker HNF4α. The result demonstrated that BrdU+ cells were indeed hepatocytes that could be coinmunostained with HNF4α and furthermore, inhibition of RIPK1 kinase had no effect on the numbers of Ki67+ hepatocytes (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 C and D).

Compensatory liver regeneration may involve not only hepatocytes but also other cell types in livers (27). We found that the numbers of liver cells positive for biliary cytokeratin-19 (CK19+) [a marker for cholangiocytes], were increased in the Tak1ΔHEP mice at liver at 4 to 12 wk of age. Inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice at 4 wk, but not at 6 or 12 wk of age, reduced the number of CK19+ cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 E and F), suggesting the function of RIPK1 in the cholangiocyte regeneration at early stage (4 wk) but not later stages.

Taken together, these results suggest that although RIPK1 kinase is partially involved in hepatocellular damage and subsequent secondary bile duct injury induced by hepatocytic-specific Tak1 deficiency, inhibition of RIPK1 does not have a strong overall effect on the compensatory proliferation of hepatocytes in Tak1ΔHEP mice.

RIPK1 Kinase Promotes Tumor Development in Tak1ΔHEP Mice. Next, we examined the hepatic phenotypes in the mice at 4, 6, and 12 wk of age. Small but macroscopically visible nodules (diameter smaller than 1 mm) were found in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice at age of 4 wk, which were increased in number and size at the age of 6 wk and developed into small visible tumour at 12 wk. Inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice reduced the development of such nodules and tumors (Fig. 1 A and B), suggesting that RIPK1 D138N mutation can suppress the early-stage hepatocarcinogenesis caused by hepatocytic Tak1 deficiency. Consistently, we also observed the increased liver-to-body weight (LW/BW) ratio in Tak1ΔHEP mice from 6 wk to 12 wk, which is known to occur with liver hepatocarcinogenesis (28–31). RIPK1 D138N mutation also restored the LW/BW ratio to the normal level (Fig. 1 A and C).

Tak1ΔHEP mice began to develop liver tumors as early as at 3-mo-old (Fig. 1 B) and most Tak1ΔHEP mice displayed obvious liver tumors at 9 to 10 mo of age; in comparison, the tumor burden in the liver of Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice was considerably lower, while Tak1ΔHEP and Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice developed no tumor (Fig. 1 D and E). The liver tumor burden in Tak1ΔHEP mice was also demonstrated by the increased ratio of LW/BW, which was restored to normal levels by RIPK1 D138N mutation (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). The serum ALT and AST levels in 10-mo-old Tak1ΔHEP mice remained elevated compared to that of Tak1ΔHEP mice but lower compared to the Tak1ΔHEP mice at 1 to 3 mo of ages, and were also reduced upon inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice at 10 mo of age (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). At the histological level, the liver tumors in Tak1ΔHEP mice exhibited severe dysplasia with an increased nuclear-to-cytoplasmic index, enlarged and hyperchromatic nuclei, and loss of normal liver architecture (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C). The tumors in Tak1ΔHEP mice are highly Ki67+ (SI Appendix, Fig. S3D). RIPK1 activation was confirmed by p-RIPK1 (S166) immunohistochemistry in the liver of Tak1ΔHEP mice. The activation of RIPK1 was inhibited by RIPK1 D138N mutation (Fig. 1 F and G).
These results suggest that inhibition of RIPK1 kinase using RIPK1 D138N mutation is able to mitigate the morphologic abnormality, early-stage tumor nodule formation and tumor development in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP; Ripk1D138N/D138N mice.

RIPK1 Kinase Inhibition Reduces the Development of Tumor Biomarkers in Tak1ΔHEP Mice. We next investigated the expression of liver tumor biomarkers in these mice. α-Fetoprotein (AFP) is a clinical serum marker for diagnosing HCC in humans (32–34). The levels of AFP were increased and at peak in the liver of Tak1ΔHEP mice at 4 wk of age, but reduced at 6 and 12 wk of age (Fig. 2 A and B). IGF2, another biomarker of liver cancer (35, 36), showed the same pattern (Fig. 2 C). Interestingly, inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP; Ripk1D138N/D138N mice strongly suppressed the increases in levels of both AFP and IGF2 to that comparable with WT at same ages (Fig. 2 A–C).

The protein SRY [sex determining region Y-box 9 (SOX9)] is a transcription factor that plays a critical role in self-renewal and tumor propagation of liver cancer stem cells with elevated expression levels in solid tumors, such as HCC (37, 38). Interestingly, we found that the levels of SOX9 were up-regulated in the whole liver or hepatocytes of Tak1ΔHEP mice compared to that of Tak1f/f and Tak1f/f; Ripk1D138N/D138N mice, but strongly suppressed by genetic inhibition of RIPK1 kinase in 4-, 6-, and 12-wk-old Tak1ΔHEP; Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (Fig. 2 D–G). Furthermore, the tumors in Tak1ΔHEP mice showed elevated levels of AFP and IGF2 and are highly SOX9+ (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 E–H).

Taken together, these results suggest that the activation of RIPK1 in the hepatocytic TAK1 condition promotes hepatocarcinogenesis as indicated by the elevated expression of multiple liver tumor biomarkers (37, 39, 40), these results also suggest that RIPK1 kinase is also involved in promoting the proliferation of cancer stem/progenitor cells in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice.

RIPK1 Kinase Promotes Liver Fibrosis in Tak1ΔHEP Mice. Hepatocytic damage is known to activate hepatic stellate cells (HSC), which then release extracellular matrix proteins, including collagen, to promote the formation of fibrotic scars (41, 42). We noted that in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice at 10 mo of age, as well as earlier at 4 and 12 wk of age, the fibrillar collagen deposition displayed chaotic patterns; in
comparison, the extent of fibrosis was reduced and became ordered, often forming a “bridge” between the two blood vessels in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP; Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (Fig. 3A–C). We next used an hydroxyproline assay (total collagen) to further evaluate fibrillar collagen deposition in younger mice. This analysis showed that RIPK1 D138N reduced liver fibrosis of Tak1ΔHEP mice at 4 wk and 12 wk but not for 6-wk-old mice (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that inhibition of RIPK1 was able to reduce liver fibrosis in Tak1ΔHEP mice.

To explore how RIPK1 kinase is involved in promoting Tak1ΔHEP-induced liver fibrosis, we next analyzed the expression of Desmin, the marker of HSC, in the livers of mice. As shown in Fig. 3E and F, the numbers of HSC were increased in Tak1ΔHEP mice at the age of 4, 6, and 12 wk compared with that of Tak1+/- mice, which was reduced by RIPK1 D138N mutation at 4 and 12 wk but not at 6 wk. In addition, the mRNA levels of Col1a1, Tgfb1, and Timp1, which are biomarkers for liver fibrosis, were increased in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice at 4, 6, and 12 wk, and were reduced by RIPK1 D138N mutation at 6 and 12 wk (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). These results indicate that HSC activation induced by liver injury in Tak1ΔHEP mice was partially regulated by RIPK1 kinase activity.

**Evaluating the Impact of TAK1 Hepatocyte Deficiency Using Single-Cell RNA Sequencing.** Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) provides a quantitative method to determine changes in the cell types in different genetic backgrounds (43). We developed a strategy to isolate individual liver cells from mice of four different genotypes (6-wk-old) for transcriptome analysis using droplet microfluidics (10X Genomics) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). Overall, on average 90% of reads aligned to exons, 63% mapped to introns, and 5.5% mapped to intergenic regions. We finally retained 34,420 cells.
for further analysis (12,118 cells for Tak1ΔHEP mice, 11,933 cells for Tak1ΔHEP Ripk1D138N/D138N mice, 6,377 cells for Tak1ΔHEP, and 3,992 cells for Tak1ΔHEP Ripk1D138N;D138N mice).

The 34,420 filtered cells were clustered using Seurat 3.0 (44) into 13 distinct clusters (Fig. 4A). We used principal component analysis (PCA) dimension reduction followed by graph-based clustering, which was visualized by uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP). Each cluster contained cells from all samples, indicating overall reproducible transcriptional identities among different genotypes. We performed differential expression analysis to identify marker genes that were significantly enriched in particular clusters. We subsequently defined cell clusters to known cell types based on ouptput signature genes identified in the clusters (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). The enriched biological processes for each cluster are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. SSC.

We observed major cellular responses between different genotypes. To determine whether changes in the percentage of cell population were greater than expected by chance, we used a permutation-based analysis, as previously described (45). This analysis confirmed the lack of effect of RIPK1 Δ138N mutation on the reduction in the numbers of hepatocytes in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice, as the numbers of hepatocytes in Tak1ΔHEP mice and Tak1ΔHEP Ripk1D138N/D138N mice were both reduced compared to that of Tak1ΔHEP mice and Tak1ΔF, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (Fig. 4A and B). The scRNA-seq data suggest that the numbers of cholangiocytes and stellate cells were increased in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice compared to that of Tak1ΔHEP mice (Fig. 4A and B). However, the quantitative in situ measurement of cholangiocytes using its marker CK19 and that of stellate cells using marker Desmin, demonstrated reduction or no change in the numbers of cholangiocytes and stellate cells in scRNA-seq data might have occurred during the single-cell isolation process as the inhibition of RIPK1 in the liver recruitment of CD45+ cells might offer resistance to apoptosis, which made it easier to isolate single cells from the populations of cholangiocytes and stellate cells than that from the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice.

Inhibition of RIPK1 Blocks Liver Inflammation Induced by Tak1 Deficiency. scRNA-seq analysis revealed that inhibition of RIPK1 had a strong effect in reducing the numbers of macrophages (Fig. 4). To control for the potential artifact introduced by single-cell isolation, we next conducted total liver RNA-seq to verify this result. Whole livers were isolated from mice of four genotypes (Tak1ΔHEP, Tak1ΔF, Tak1ΔF, Ripk1D138N/D138N, Tak1ΔHEP, and Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N) at 4, 6, and 12 wk of age and analyzed by bulk-RNA sequencing (SI Appendix, Fig. S6a). The PCA and Volcano plots showed that transcriptional patterns of Tak1ΔF and Tak1ΔF, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice were similar, suggesting that RIPK1 Δ138N mutation in normal conditions does not alter the transcription pattern in the liver (SI Appendix, Fig. S6b and c). The gene transcriptional patterns from the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice showed dramatic changes compared to that of Tak1ΔF mice; inhibition of RIPK1 with RIPK1 Δ138N mutation in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice restored the expression of a subset of these genes to normal levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S6b–f). Gene ontology (GO) analysis showed that hepatocytic Tak1 deficiency lead to significant increase in the expression levels of those genes involved in mediating inflammatory and immune response, and indeed many of them were restored to normal levels by RIPK1 Δ138N mutation. In addition, the oxidation-reduction process and the expression of P450 pathway were significantly down-regulated in Tak1ΔHEP mice; RIPK1 Δ138N mutation restored them to that of Tak1ΔF control levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S6d–f). Venn diagraming showed that 51 genes were up-regulated in Tak1ΔHEP, which were rescued by RIPK1 Δ138N mutation at 4, 6, and 12 wk (Fig. S41). GO analysis of these commonly changed gene expressions showed that most enriched pathways were indeed related to inflammatory response (Fig. 5B and C).

We further verified the increased RIPK1-dependent inflammatory response in Tak1ΔHEP livers by quantifying the expression levels of important genes involved in mediating inflammatory and immune response using qRT-PCR. This analysis confirmed that the levels of A20, Casp11, Cd22, Cd14, B-Ip, Il33, Tnfα, Cxcl11, Cxcl10, S100a8, and Sppl were increased in Tak1ΔHEP mice and restored toward to the normal levels in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N and the mice (Fig. S5d). Similar cytokines such as Il33 and Cd2 are highly expressed in human HCC (46, 47), these results suggest that RIPK1-mediated inflammatory response may play an important role for HCC development. Thus, we were able to use unbiased total RNA-seq of whole livers, which does not involve isolation of single cells, to confirm the role of RIPK1 in promoting the inflammatory response at the transcriptional levels in Tak1ΔHEP mice. We next isolated hepatocytes from the livers of Tak1ΔF (WT) mice, Tak1ΔHEP, Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N, Tak1ΔHEP mice, and Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice and examined the expression of inflammatory cytokines in hepatocytes by qRT-PCR. Our results demonstrated a robust increase in the levels of proinflammatory cytokine mRNA in Tak1ΔHEP hepatocytes, such as CCL2, which was suppressed in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N hepatocytes (Fig. 5e). This result suggests that the activation of RIPK1 in Tak1-deficient hepatocytes promotes the transcriptional expression of key proinflammatory cytokines, such as CCL2, in cell-autonomous manner.

Hepatocytic Tak1 Deficiency Promotes Liver Infiltration of Inflammatory Cells in Ripk1-Dependent Manner. We next analyzed the mechanism by which RIPK1-mediated inflammation might promote HCC in Tak1ΔHEP mice. CD45 is an important marker for infiltrating lymphocytes in the tumor microenvironment (48, 49). We found that Tak1 deficiency in Tak1ΔHEP mice caused the prominent increase in the liver recruitment of CD45+ cells, which was suppressed by RIPK1 Δ138N mutation (Fig. 6A and B). This result was further validated by sorting CD45+ cells using fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) (Fig. 6c). In addition, we analyzed the levels of CD11b+ myeloid cells, the monocyte-derived macrophages in liver, and Ly6Chigh monocyte-derived macrophages (Ly6C high macrophages), which are linked to promoting liver inflammation (50, 51). As shown in Fig. 6d, monocyte-derived macrophages were indeed increased in the liver of Tak1ΔHEP mice, which can be inhibited by Ripk1 Δ138N mutation. Inflammatory Ly6C high macrophages showed the similar pattern (Fig. 6e). We also detected infiltrated monocytes by Gr-1 (Ly6C, Ly6G) immunostaining: Liver-infiltrating Gr-1+ cells in Tak1ΔHEP mice were found at 4 wk of age and increased at 6 wk of age, which was blocked upon inhibition of RIPK1 kinase in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (SI Appendix, Fig. S7a and b). Liver macrophages can be divided into M1 (proinflammatory phenotype) and M2 macrophages (antiinflammatory phenotype) based on their cell surface markers (47, 51, 52). Using FACS we sorted M2 macrophages based on the cell surface marker CD11b+ F4/80+ CD206+ and M1 macrophages based on CD11b+ F4/80+ CD206-. Interestingly, while no significant difference was found among four different genotypes for M2 macrophages, the number of M1 macrophages was increased in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice, which was corrected by RIPK1 Δ138N (Fig. 6f). In addition, p65, a key regulator of NF-κB activation, was up-regulated in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice, which may be due to the recruitment of p65 high immune cells into the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice. Up-regulated p65 was inhibited in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice at 12 wk of age (SI Appendix, Fig. S7c and d).

The CCL2/CCR2 axis has been investigated as a potential target to block the progression of HCC by blocking the recruitment of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes by inflammatory macrophages (47, 53). We next analyzed the expression of CCR2 across different liver cell types from scRNA-seq data. Interestingly, we found that CCR2 was highly expressed in macrophages in Tak1ΔHEP mice compared to that of Tak1ΔF mice, which was blocked upon the inhibition of RIPK1 kinase in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (Fig. 7a). To further validate this result, we measured the mRNA levels of CCR2 in whole liver and also sorted CCR2+ macrophages from hepatic nonparenchymal cells. Both the expression of CCR2 and the number of CCR2+ macrophages were increased in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice and reduced upon inhibition of RIPK1 kinase in Tak1ΔHEP, Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (Fig. 7b and c).

To further explore the role of CCR2+ populations in response to hepatocytic Tak1 deficiency, we analyzed the gene expression of CCR2+...
Fig. 3. RIPK1 kinase promotes liver fibrosis caused by TAK1 deficiency. (A) Sirius red staining evaluates the liver fibrosis at 10-mo-old; the signals only represent the morphology of the fibers (Tak1 ΔHEP n = 23, Tak1 ΔHEP; Ripk1 ΔD138N/D138N n = 13). “N” means no tumor region and “T” means tumor region. (B and C) Sirius red staining of livers from mice with indicated genotypes at 4, 6, and 12 wk (B) and the percentage of Sirius red-positive area is shown in C; each dot represents one mouse. (D) Quantification of the collagen content in liver tissues from mice with indicated genotypes and ages by Hydroxyproline-assay (Tak1 ΔHEP n = 6 to 12, Tak1 ΔHEP; Ripk1 ΔD138N/D138N n = 6 to 13 for each age). (E and F) Immunohistochemistry of HSC marker Desmin in the livers of indicated genotypes and ages (E). The quantification is shown in F; each dot represents one mouse. The results are shown as mean ± SEM, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant; Student’s t test was performed.
populations in scRNA-seq data among four different genotypes. Compared to that of Tak1f/f and Tak1f/fRipk1D138N/D138N mice, Tak1ΔHEP mice showed markedly elevated expression of genes involved in immune response, such as Cd74, Csf1r, Unc93b1, Lyz2, Sirpa, genes involved in positive regulation of phagocytosis (such as Cd68) and proteolysis; and down-regulated translation and ribosomal small subunit assembly pathways. Interestingly, these abnormal gene-expression patterns were corrected by RIPK1 D138N in Tak1ΔHEP; Ripk1D138N/D138N mice (Fig. 7D and E). These results suggest that CCR2+ inflammatory cells contributed to RIPK1 kinase-dependent inflammation activated by hepatocyte-specific TAK1 deficiency.

These above results demonstrated the essential role of RIPK1 in mediating liver infiltration macrophages, such as CCR2+ macrophages, under a hepatocyte-specific TAK1-deficiency condition. Thus, RIPK1 kinase is critically involved in promoting liver fibrosis and HCC development by mediating inflammation in both a cell-autonomous manner from hepatocytes as well as a noncell-autonomous manner in macrophages, which are known to be closely related to liver fibrosis and HCC development in humans (47, 50, 53–55).

Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate that the activation of RIPK1 promotes RIPK1-dependent inflammation cell-autonomously as well as noncell-autonomously to mediate liver fibrosis and HCC development. Liver fibrosis and HCC development is a dynamic and multifactorial process, including cell death, compensatory proliferation of liver stem cells, and inflammation (56). Inhibition of RIPK1 reduces the liver inflammation and development of HCC induced by Tak1 deficiency with minimum effect on the compensatory proliferation and regeneration of hepatocytes, suggesting RIPK1-mediated inflammation plays a key role in HCC development. HCC development is often associated with liver fibrosis (57). Inhibition of RIPK1 also partially reduces liver fibrosis at 4 and 12 wk but not at 6 wk in Tak1ΔHEP mice. The contribution of additional factors is likely, such as the proliferation of cholangiocytes, which become prominent at 6 wk but reduced at 12 wk, since proliferative cholangiocytes play an important role in promoting liver fibrosis progression (58, 59). Inhibition of RIPK1 reduces the proliferation of cholangiocytes at 4 wk, but not at 6 wk or later (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 E and F). Since TAK1 expression in cholangiocytes is largely maintained in Tak1ΔHEP mice, inhibition of RIPK1 is likely to exert a partial effect cell-nonautonomously on cholangiocyte proliferation. In addition, since Ripk1D138N/D138N mice carry a global RIPK1 inactive mutation, inhibition of RIPK1 also likely inhibits inflammation of myeloid compartment (e.g., macrophages). M1 macrophages have been shown to modulate liver fibrosis by promoting HSC apoptosis (60) and Ly6Clow macrophages promote liver fibrosis resolution (50).

scRNA-seq was used in this study to decipher the functional role of RIPK1 in driving liver tumorigenesis. scRNA-seq has become a powerful tool in cell biology. However, our study highlights the importance of validating scRNA-seq data using additional methods to eliminate potential artifacts introduced during the single-cell isolation process. Our study demonstrates the role of RIPK1 in driving the expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as CCL2, and the recruitment of CCR2+ macrophages induced by liver damage. Since the TAK1-deficient hepatocytes undergo cell death in both an RIPK1-dependent manner and -independent manner, inhibition of RIPK1 kinase had minimum effect on the survival of TAK1-deficient hepatocytes. Consistently, inhibition of RIPK1 has minimum effect on the compensatory proliferation and regeneration of hepatocytes, which is directly linked with hepatic cytopenic loss. Inhibition of RIPK1 also has only little effect on the proliferation of cholangiocytes or stellate cells, both of which are known as the hallmarks of regenerating livers after hepatic damage. The most striking result of inhibition of RIPK1 in the livers of Tak1ΔHEP mice is the reduction in the numbers of proinflammatory infiltrating macrophages and the levels of proinflammatory cytokines, such as CCL2. Thus, inhibition
of a proinflammatory mechanism mediated by RIPK1 kinase is able to reduce liver fibrosis and HCC formation in the presence of long-term continuing liver injury and compensatory proliferation induced by hepatic specific TAK1 deficiency. Our results demonstrate the role of RIPK1-mediated inflammatory response in driving HCC development.

CCL2, secreted by hepatocytes, stellate cells, and Kupffer cells in liver pathology, is known to be a key chemokine that activates monocytes and macrophages. Elevated levels of CCL2 have been implicated in mediating various liver pathology, including acute liver injury, cirrhosis, and tumorigenesis (61), as well as in other human inflammatory and degenerative diseases, such as inflammatory bowel

Fig. 5. RIPK1 kinase inhibition blocks liver inflammation induced by TAK1 deficiency. (A) Venn diagram of genes up-regulated in Tak1<sup>ΔHEP</sup> mice and being rescued by RIPK1 D138N mutation at 4, 6, and 12 wk. (B and C) GO analysis (B) and heat map analysis (C) of common genes at 4, 6, and 12 wk in A. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of inflammatory cytokines in the livers of mice with indicated genotypes (Tak1<sup>f/f</sup> n = 4 to 11, Tak1<sup>f/f</sup>; Ripk1<sup>D138N/D138N</sup> n = 4 to 12, Tak1<sup>ΔHEP</sup> n = 4 to 13, Tak1<sup>ΔHEP</sup>; Ripk1<sup>D138N/D138N</sup> n = 4 to 12 for each age). (E) The mRNA levels of inflammatory cytokines in hepatocytes of indicated genotypes at 6 wk were measured by qRT-PCR; each dot represents one mouse. The results are shown as mean ± SEM, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant; Student’s t test was performed.
disease and Alzheimer’s disease. Our study demonstrates the role of RIPK1 in driving the transcriptional expression of CCL2 and suggests that elevated levels of CCL2 may serve as a biomarker for the activation of RIPK1 in human clinical studies. The role of RIPK1 in driving the expression of CCL2 also suggests the possibility of developing RIPK1 inhibitor for the treatment of chronic liver injury and steatosis.

Chronic cycles of liver damage and regeneration have been proposed to promote HCC development by inducing chromosomal instability and accumulating cancer-promoting mutations (7). Inhibition of RIPK1 has been shown to reduce hepatocarcinogenesis in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice. Our results highlight the important role of proinflammatory mechanism mediated by RIPK1 in promoting liver fibrosis and HCC formation, largely independent of cell death and compensatory proliferation. In this regard, it is interesting to note that down-regulation of A20, an important suppressor of RIPK1 and the NF-κB pathway, has been associated with invasiveness of human HCCs and reduced disease-free survival (62). Mutant mice with A20 knockout specifically in liver parenchymal cells spontaneously develop chronic liver inflammation but no fibrosis or HCCs (63). In addition, inhibition of hepatocyte apoptosis induced by liver parenchymal cell-specific TAK1 by FADD knockout can also protect against HCC (17). Thus, chronic inflammation mediated by RIPK1 and continuing cycles of liver damage/regeneration may represent two “hits” that are critical for the development of HCC.

The activation of RIPK1 kinase downstream of TNFR1 upon TNF-α stimulation can promote both apoptosis and necroptosis (11, 64). Since liver injury and fibrosis of Tak1ΔHEP mice can be at least partially rescued upon TNFR1 knockout, the activation of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP mice is most likely mediated by the stimulation of TNF-α with its receptor TNFR1. However, since the predominant effect of inhibiting RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP mice is the reduction of infiltrating macrophages, our study revealed a previously underappreciated role of RIPK1 in promoting liver inflammation. RIPK1-mediated inflammation might be involved in HCC activation by modulating Ly6C+ monocyte-derived macrophages, which have been reported to play important role in liver fibrosis progression (50, 54, 55). Our study also suggests the possibility of inhibiting RIPK1 to reduce the development of M1 macrophages. In addition, we show that RIPK1 plays an important role in modulating CCR2+ macrophages, which has been recognized to be involved in HCC development by remodeling of the tumor microenvironment (47, 53). Inflammation plays a central role in modulating the HCC microenvironment and immunotherapy is emerging as a new frontier for HCC treatment (65–67). Our results suggest that the activation of RIPK1 plays a critical role in modulating inflammation and tumor microenvironment.

Our results demonstrate that inhibition of RIPK1 kinase activity leads to reduction in the levels of multiple liver progenitor/cancer stem cell biomarkers, including AFP, IGF2, and SOX9. These results suggest that inhibition of hepatocarcinogenesis upon inhibition of RIPK1 in Tak1ΔHEP;Ripk1D138N/D138N mice is at least in part mediated by the blockade of key transcriptional production of these cancer promoters. Specifically, SOX9 is a transcriptional factor known to be critical for promoting liver regeneration, HCC initiation, and propagation (68, 69). A high level of SOX9 is negatively correlated with the survival of HCC patients (37, 38). In our study, the loss of TAK1 in hepatocyte promotes the expression of SOX9 in

---

**Fig. 6.** Activation of RIPK1 upon hepatocyte-specific deletion of TAK1 promotes infiltrating inflammatory macrophages. (A and B) Immunostaining of CD45 for infiltrating immune cells in liver of indicated genotypes and ages (A). The quantification of the number of CD45+ cells is shown in B; each dot represents one mouse. (C–F) FACS analysis of infiltrating leukocytes (CD45+) (C), monocyte-derived macrophages (CD11b+ Ly6C+) (D), Ly6C+ Ly6Chi monocyte-derived macrophages (CD11b+ Ly6Chi) (E), M1 macrophages (CD11b+ F4/80− CD206−), and M2 macrophages (CD11b+ F4/80+ CD206+) (F) in the livers of mice with indicated genotypes and ages. Quantifications are shown on the right; each dot represents one mouse. The results are shown as mean ± SEM, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant; Student’s t test was performed.
CCR2+ macrophages are important for hepatocytic TAK1 deficiency induced RIPK1 kinase-dependent inflammation. (A) Expression of CCR2 gene (inflammatory cell marker) across different liver cell types in mice with indicated genotypes as visualized by Violin plot (Upper) and UMAP plot (Lower). (B) qRT-PCR measures the mRNA levels of Ccr2 in whole livers of mice with indicated genotypes (6-wk-old); each dot represents one mouse. (C) FACS analysis of the percentages of CCR2+ microphages in hepatic nonparenchymal cells of 6-wk-old mice with indicated genotypes; each dot represents one mouse. (D) GO Biological Process reveals enriched pathways dys-regulated by hepatocytic Tak1 loss which was rescued by RIPK1 D138N in CCR2+ cells. (E) Heat map analysis of differentially expressed genes in CCR2+ cells. The results are shown as mean ± SEM, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant; Student’s t test was performed.
hepatocytes in Ripk1 kinase-dependent manner. Thus, targeting Ripk1 might suppress the expression of multiple tumor promoters in hepatocytes to block the development of HCC.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** Tak1f/f mice were kindly provided by Shizuo Akira of Osaka University, Japan. AlbCre/+/Ripk1 to direct Cas9 endonuclease to specifically cut the Ripk1 gene, and generated via CRISPR/Cas9 system. Briefly, the mixture of single-guide RNA, donor DNA and Cas9 mRNA was microinjected into the zygotes of C57BL/6 mice, single-guide RNA (S-tygacaaggttgatcaca-3) targeted exon 4 of Ripk1 to direct Cas9 endonuclease to specifically cut the Ripk1 gene, and induced a double-stranded break, then mutated the aspartate (GAC) to asparagine (AAC) at position 138 of Ripk1 through donor DNA-mediated homology-directed repair (SI Appendix, Fig. 5B). The Ripk1f/f;Tak1f/f mice have been backcrossed to C57BL/6 background over 10 generations. All animals were maintained in a specific pathogen-free environment, and animal experiments were conducted according to the protocols approved by the Standing Animal Care Committee at Interdisciplinary Research Center of Biology and Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry.

**RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis, and Quantitative Real-Time PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from liver tissues using RNAiso Plus (Takara, 9109) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The following CDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR were performed as described in SI Appendix, Materials and Methods.

**Single-Cell Isolation from Liver and Sequencing.** Single cells from liver tissues were isolated by a modified two-step collagenase perfusion method (70). Liver was perfused in anesthetized mouse via the portal vein delivery of ethylene glycol-bis(α-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid tetrasodium salt buffer (37 °C) at 5 mL/min for 5 min, followed by collagenase (Sigma, C5138) buffer (37 °C) at 5 mL/min for 6 to 8 min. The liver was removed and dissociated in suspension buffer and filtered with 40-μm nylon cell strainers (Falcon, 35240). After washing two times with ice-cold Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), single cells were resuspended in DPBS (Gibco, 14190-144) with 1% BSA (Genebase, 9048-46-8). Then cells were stained with PI (stained dead cell) and Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, H1399, stained total cell). Cell numbers were counted using cell counting chamber (Germany) and cell viability was assessed by live/dead cell number/total cell number.

Cells were loaded onto 10X Genomics with a targeted cell recovery estimate of 10,000 cells for each sample. A gene-expression library was constructed using Single Cell 3' Reagent Kit V3 (10X Genomics) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. For the analysis of 10x scRNA-seq data, see SI Appendix, Materials and Methods.

**Histology and Immunohistochemistry.** Livers were harvested from mice with different genotypes and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Fixed tissues were embedded with Milestone Cryoembedding Compound (Milestone, 51420, for cryostat sections) or paraformin (for paraffin sections). Fluorescent images were collected with the Leica TSC SP8 confocal microscopy system using a 20× or 40× objective. The signals of immunohistochemistry were detected using SignalStain DAB Substrate Kit (CST, 8059).

The details for immunostaining and immunohistochemistry can be found in SI Appendix, Materials and Methods.

**Data Availability.** The raw data files of sequencing experiments have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus. The accession number is GEO: GSE148859 (71).
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