Analysis Optimization of Results Distribution Function in Sprint Swimming Among Women

Gonsales S.E.
Physical upbringing and sport department
Russian University of Peoples' Friendship (RUPF)
Moscow, Russia
vniifk@yandex.ru

Abstract—The article analyzes the function of sports results distribution at sprint swimming distances among women in competitions of two World Championships. The article defines the expediency of linearity and non-linearity ranges revelation of results distribution function according to ranks method. This method helped to differentiate groups of athletes, who had differences according to quantitative (composition of general group in each specialization of swimming) and qualitative (the level of special physical readiness) characteristics.

Results distribution function estimation was realized according to the values of angular and linear coefficients. These values characterized the density of competitions results distribution in the definite ranges (linearity/non-linearity) of distribution and in the definite degree, are associated with the level of special physical readiness. Linear coefficient corresponded with close-in prediction of sports result. We revealed clear differences of both coefficients values in the groups of each specialization of swimming. The received results underlined possible association of these parameters with the quality of competitiveness in competitions. Thus, a complex approach to results distribution function study in female sprint swimming provides an optimal quality of results analysis in speed swimming, forms relatively precise close-in prediction of sports result.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary world sport development in general is characterized by the increasing scale and variety of competitive activity. Sports swimming is not an exception. At the international competitions there are not many participants in sprint swimming, which is connected with maximum tension of athletes’ muscular activity [1,2].

Within recent 2-3 years the approaches to scientific way of solutions revelation constantly change. These solutions are connected with the aspects of methodology of training athletes for important competitions [3,4,5]. Especially demanded are biomechanical study of speed swimming reserves [6,7] and also the questions of results analysis in professional sport [8,9,10, 11,12].

The urgency of this research work is conditioned by increased interest of specialists and athletes in progressive tendencies and technologies of sports development.

The aim of the research is a complex provision of the approach to results analysis optimization among the strongest women-athletes in sports swimming.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Results distribution in swimming during the competitions at two World Championships (Kazan, Russia, 2015; Budapest, Hungary, 2017) were studied on the basis of the average speed of distance overcoming criterion during professional competitions.

The first objective solution is analysis optimization of results distribution function among female athletes. This objective provided criteria systematization and system format of the initial data determination. The female athletes’ results presentation in accordance with the taken places defined the format of the average speed values rank distribution at the distance (Vav) for each way of swimming.

In individual average speed values distribution in general groups of female athletes, regardless of the way of swimming, there was participants alternation of linear and non-linear results distribution. For the participants of linear distribution it was typical to have minimal results differences, which can prove high level of competitiveness (special readiness) of the leading female athletes.

Enumerated variants and peculiarities of distribution of sports results can be presented in a format of linear function, however, more difficult in the formats of exponential polynomials (for example, the 2nd or the 3rd degrees). Methods of non-linear dependencies approximation were widely used earlier in the research works of the same type. At the same time, the leading role in results analysis optimization criteria formation belongs to the key parameters of a linear distribution – quantity (n) and the peculiarities of the studied samplings, angular coefficient (a), linear coefficient (b) and determination coefficient (R2).

In our research work we use linear variant of sports results distribution analysis. In order to increase the effectiveness of
results regulation function analysis among sprint specialization female athletes, it is reasonable to present from the positions of variety general sampling of athletes in each discipline of swimming.

The second objective solution – the composition of female athletes optimization in each general group according to the way of sprint swimming – provided differentiated approach use to quantitative athletes ratio determination in different categories, from the position of the most trained female athletes status (“leaders” group), their closest competitors (“main” group) and the athletes, who finish the lists of protocols (“outsiders” group).

During the groups identification their typical characteristics were taken into consideration. Firstly, non-linear character of values distribution of the average speed at the distance among the female athletes from “leaders” group, as a rule, is conditioned by distinct advantage of small amount of the most trained female athletes. Secondly, the specificity of “main” group, regardless of the way of swimming, was considered in the context of constant competition for “leaders” group. The number of athletes, who took part in the competitions in “leaders” and “main” groups, totally was no less than 50-60% from general number of participants in different ways of swimming. That is why “main” group division into two subgroups (A and B) in general case is not obligatory, but is reasonable in great samplings. Thirdly, considerable decrease of the average speed at the distance, regardless of the way of swimming, objectively showed insufficient level of special readiness of weaker female athletes (“outsiders” group).

Thus, the research defines two main methods of results analysis optimization in female specialization of speed swimming. Firstly, the method of characteristics revelation of sports results distribution (\(V_{av}\)) in general and specific groups of athletes for each way of swimming. Secondly, the differentiated method of quantitative compound determination of general samplings among female athletes according to each specialization of speed swimming.

III. RESULTS

Table 1 presents the main parameters of women’s results distribution at sprint distance of 50 meters in different ways of swimming. There is one regularity in this case- in all ways of speed swimming the representatives of the main A group had relatively best average values of the angular coefficient \(a\). This coefficient value varied within the following range: from -0.0023 till -0.0027, in breaststroke and in free style. The range of angular coefficient \(a\) variation in this group within the limits of the lower bound was from -0.0031 till -0.0046, in swimming on the back and breaststroke swimming.

The presented results prove higher density of results among female athletes of A group (p<0.001). At the same time, “retarded” values of the angular coefficient in any way of swimming could be conditioned by the influence of not completely favorable start fulfillment.

There was one more regularity in values of linear coefficient \(b\) change in the groups of general sampling of female athletes according to the ways of swimming. The values of this coefficient are close to the prediction- rated average speed at the distance of the nominal leader (\(V_{av}1\)).

| Specialization of swimming | Parameters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|----------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Free style                 | \(a\)     | -0.0051 | 0.0059 | -0.0027 | -0.0058 | -0.0063 |
|                           | \(b\)     | 2.0773 | 2.0959 | 1.9917 | 1.8854 | 2.1537 |
|                           | \(R^2\)   | 0.9851 | 0.8257 | 0.9926 | 0.9760 | 0.8992 |
| Butterfly swimming stroke | \(a\)     | -0.0063 | 0.0045 | -0.0024 | -0.0092 | -0.0078 |
|                           | \(b\)     | 1.9866 | 1.9888 | 1.8878 | 1.8253 | 2.0565 |
|                           | \(R^2\)   | 0.9088 | 0.6813 | 0.9207 | 0.9372 | 0.8898 |
| Back crawl                | \(a\)     | -0.0056 | 0.0043 | -0.0027 | -0.0082 | -0.0081 |
|                           | \(b\)     | 1.8368 | 1.8476 | 1.7642 | 1.7250 | 1.8921 |
|                           | \(R^2\)   | 0.9065 | 0.9669 | 0.9396 | 0.9523 | 0.7662 |
| Breaststroke              | \(a\)     | -0.0050 | 0.0054 | -0.0023 | -0.0121 | -0.0076 |
|                           | \(b\)     | 1.6736 | 1.6869 | 1.6058 | 1.5522 | 1.7581 |
|                           | \(R^2\)   | 0.8812 | 0.8976 | 0.9837 | 0.9693 | 0.7642 |

Notes: * and ** – here and further 2015 and 2017 the years of World Championship.
The received results show that the values of $b$ coefficient decreases in accordance with relatively average level of female athletes’ special physical readiness. In the “quickest” free style of swimming lagging of athletes from the main A group from the group of leaders according to the value of the linear coefficient varied within the range 4.12 – 4.64 %; in “the slowest” breaststroke – within the range 4.05 – 4.68 %. Lagging of female athletes from the main B group from the main A group according to the value of linear coefficient was 4.60 – 5.34 % in free style, and till 5.49 % – in breaststroke.

At 100 meters distance of swimming by different ways the following results were received (table 2).

**TABLE 2. THE MAIN PARAMETERS OF RESULTS DISTRIBUTION AMONG WOMEN AT 100 METERS DISTANCE IN DIFFERENT WAYS OF SWIMMING**

| Specialization of swimming | Parameters of results approximation in the groups of female athletes | Leaders | main A | main B | general |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|------|--------|
| Free style                |                                                                     | n       | 16*** | 63   | ---    |
|                           |                                                                     | a       | -0.0047 | -0.0034 | --- | -0.0041 |
|                           |                                                                     | b       | 1.8995 | 1.8441 | --- | 1.9170 |
|                           |                                                                     | $R^2$   | 0.9226 | 0.9799 | --- | 0.8853 |
|                           |                                                                     |         | 0.9639 | 0.9875 | 0.9039 | 0.8774 |
| Butterfly swimming stroke |                                                                     | n       | 17 | 24 | 22 | 69 |
|                           |                                                                     | a       | -0.0035 | -0.0033 | -0.0072 | -0.0055 |
|                           |                                                                     | b       | 1.7617 | 1.7162 | 1.6235 | 1.8102 |
|                           |                                                                     | $R^2$   | 0.7013 | 0.9375 | 0.9460 | 0.8707 |
|                           |                                                                     |         | 0.9282 | 0.8694 | --- | 0.8296 |
| Back crawl                |                                                                     | n       | 9 | 29 | 21 | 65 |
|                           |                                                                     | a       | -0.0050 | -0.0022 | -0.0065 | -0.0048 |
|                           |                                                                     | b       | 1.7172 | 1.6680 | 1.6027 | 1.7428 |
|                           |                                                                     | $R^2$   | 0.9482 | 0.9913 | 0.9899 | 0.8319 |
| Breaststroke              |                                                                     | n       | 15 | 29 | 19 | 68 |
|                           |                                                                     | a       | -0.0028 | -0.0032 | -0.0093 | -0.0049 |

The received results help to come to the following conclusion.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

1. Analysis optimization of competitions results in swimming is conditioned by the ranges of non-linearity in the rank dynamics of sports results distribution. The format of rank values succession of the average speed of sprint distance overcoming, regardless of the way of swimming, is informative in studying the functions of athletes’ results distribution.

2. The highest density of results in the competition characterizes the corresponding level of physical and technical readiness and is an integral index of high competitiveness in competitions.

3. The necessary part of analysis optimization of female athletes’ results distribution function is the quantitative content of each group estimation (“leaders”, “main A” group and “main B” group) in terms of specialization of swimming.

4. Additional test calculations showed that the results of studying the function of results distribution depend on random or deliberate parameters changes of the studied groups of female athletes according to each specialization. The differences in estimation can be 5-6%, which is considerable difference in sprint swimming specialization.
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