Ensemble Node Embeddings using Tensor Decomposition: A Case-Study on DeepWalk
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Abstract—Node embeddings have been attracting increasing attention during the past years. In this context, we propose a new ensemble node embedding approach, called TENSEME2VEC, by first generating multiple embeddings using the existing techniques and taking them as multiview data input of the state-of-art tensor decomposition model namely PARAFAC2 to learn the shared lower-dimensional representations of the nodes. Contrary to other embedding methods, our TENSEME2VEC takes advantage of the complementary information from different methods or the same method with different hyper-parameters, which bypasses the challenge of choosing models. Extensive tests using real-world data validates the efficiency of the proposed method.

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphs are natural structure information representing the interactions between vertices/nodes, which have been broadly used in real-world scenarios [1]. For example, in protein-protein graph, vertices indicates proteins and an edge represents a biological interconnection between a pair of proteins [2]; citation graph in scientific research takes individual papers as nodes and the citation relationship between two papers as an edge. Recently, learning from graphs has gained increasing attention from the research community. One of the most popular directions is node embedding, which learns latent representations of vertices for a given graph while preserving the neighborhood similarity in the original graph. Effective node embeddings empower a lot of downstream machine learning tasks such as node clustering, node classification, node visualization, and node recommendation, to name a few. Most node embedding techniques are based on deep learning, factorization methods, or random walks. The state-of-the-art node embedding approaches include DeepWalk [3], Node2Vec [4], Graph Factorization [5], HOPE [6], Walklets [7], Structural Deep Network Embedding [8], and so on.

However, finding a ‘good’ vector representations of vertices is inherently challenging due to the difficulty of determining the dimensionality and choosing the distance metrics and properties of the graph that the learnt node vectors should preserve. For example, a proper dimension for DeepWalk ties closely to the performance. Further, which node embedding technique is a better choice remains an open question. To circumvent the challenges of the existing node embedding approaches, we propose an ensemble embedding which consolidates multiple embeddings into a single embedding. This will be realized by computing the PARAFAC2 decomposition [9], [10] of multiple datasets which are obtained from different node embeddings. The reason to choose PARAFAC2 instead of other classical multi-modal data fusion methods such as canonical polyadic (CP) decomposition, a.k.a., PARAFAC or CANDECOMP [11], canonical correlation analysis (CCA) [12], or multiview CCA [13], [14] is fourfold: 1) CP decomposition requires all the datasets to share the number of dimension, which may not be true in many cases; 2) CCA is only capable of handling two datasets; 3) multiview CCA generalizes CCA to deal with more than two views but treats all the latent components the same; and 4) PARAFAC2 overcomes all the limitations of the aforementioned methods.

Our contributions include:
- **Ensemble node embedding:** We develop a new ensemble node embedding scheme to overcome the shortcoming of individual embeddings.
- **Flexibility:** Our approach has no constrains on the number of embedding datasets and the dimensions of embeddings.
- **Experiments:** We evaluate the effectiveness of our algorithm using real-world data.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PROPOSED METHOD

Consider an undirected graph $G := \{V, E\}$ consisting of $N$ nodes depicting the interactions of a network, where $V$ collects all the nodes and $E \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the adjacency matrix capturing the similarities between pairs of nodes satisfying $E = E^T$. In this paper, our goal is to learn the node representations which preserve the network connections given by the graph $G$ while transforming each node’s representation from high-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^N$ to a lower-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \leq N$. This will be realized by applying the existing state-of-the-art node embedding techniques to get different representations and using the PARAFAC2 [9], [10] to learn the shared representations which are our ensemble node embeddings.

**Step 1: Systematic Exploration of Rich Node Embeddings.** Using solely the adjacency matrix, the first-order and second-order proximities of the node representations are commonly preserved. Using these proximity measures may not be sufficient to deliver satisfying predictive performance in some scenario. To improve the down-streaming task performance,
DeepWalk implicitly preserves the higher-order proximity between the nodes by generating multiple random walks, which is implemented by maximizing the probability of observing the \(2k\) nodes centered at each node in the random walk, where \(k\) is the number of hops [3]. Similarly, Node2Vec minimizes the Euclidean distance between the neighboring node representations while preserving the higher-order proximity [4]. Besides, the growing research graph embedding has led to a deluge of node embedding methods including deep learning based methods [8], [15], random walk based methods [3], [4], and factorization based methods [5], [6], [16]. In this paper, we will focus on DeepWalk only. The representation quality of DeepWalk is influenced by the choice of the length of node vectors which, in general, is not available. To overcome this difficulty, we will pre-define several candidates for the hyperparameter specifying the number of latent components, denoted by \(d\), \(34\) nodes, DeepWalk is run with the embedding dimensions \(d = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 100, 200\), and 1000 to generate 9 embeddings, which form the 9 different views of the 34 nodes and are assigned to \(\{X_m\}_m^{9}\) in (1) for TENSEMBLE2VEC.

The clustering performance of TENSEMBLE2VEC on Karate network data is captured by clustering accuracy and Normalized Mutual Information (NMI) after running K-means of the obtained ensembled node embedding data, where accuracy is the number of correlate clusters divided by the total number of nodes and NMI normalizes mutual information between the correct and predicted labels by the mean of the two entropy from both labels.

First, the influence of the tensor decomposition rank \(R\) to our proposed TENSEMBLE2VEC is investigated. Toward this end, we plot the accuracy and NMI of TENSEMBLE2VEC versus \(R\) in Fig. [1] and [2] respectively, which shows that the TENSEMBLE2VEC achieves the best clustering performance in terms of the highest accuracy (0.9412) and NMI (0.8617) when \(R = 18\). Second, we compare the clustering results of TENSEMBLE2VEC to the DeepWalk (DW) with different embedding dimensions \(d\) in Figs. [3] and [4]. This shows that our method outperforms the existing alternatives and our ensemble node embedding works better than clustering on any single view.

**Step 2: Ensemble Node Representation Learning.** After conducting Step 1, we will obtain multiple embeddings/ views denoted by \(\{X_m \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_m}\}_{m=1}^{M}\), where \(M\) is the number of embeddings from DeepWalk and \(D_m\) depicts the dimension of the \(m\)-th embedding. Next, we will use PARAFAC2, a tensor decomposition technique, to find a shared embedding across all the \(M\) embeddings. Specifically, PARAFAC2 looks for the view-specified projection matrix \(U_m \in \mathbb{R}^{D_m \times R}\) and diagonal latent component importance matrix \(S_m \in \mathbb{R}^{R \times R}\), and shared lower-dimensional representation \(V \in \mathbb{R}^{R \times R}\) where \(R\) is the hyperparameter specifying the number of latent components, so that \(\{X_m \approx U_m S_m V^\top\}_{m=1}^{M}\). The optimization problem is as follows

\[
\min_{\{U_m\},\{S_m\},V} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \|X_m - U_m S_m V^\top\|_F^2 \\
\text{s. to } U_m = Q_m H, Q_m^\top Q_m = I, \forall m \quad (1)
\]

where \(S_m\) is diagonal, which can be solved by Alternating Least Squares approach [10], [17], [18]. The learnt node embedding \(V\) can be used for down-stream machine learning tasks.

**III. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION**

To validate the effectiveness of our proposed method, we will apply our approach to the well-known Karate network data [19]. Given this undirected and binary graph consisting of 34 nodes, DeepWalk is run with the embedding dimensions \(d = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 100, 200\), and 1000 to generate 9 embeddings, which form the 9 different views of the 34 nodes and are assigned to \(\{X_m\}_m^{9}\) in (1) for TENSEMBLE2VEC.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

We propose TENSEMBLE2VEC, a novel approach for learning latent node embeddings from an undirected graph. Using a graph adjacency matrix as input, our TENSEMBLE2VEC...
learns the node representations which preserve the structural information encoded in the adjacency by implementing different node embedding techniques to obtain different views and fusing them using PARAFAC2 to get the ensemble embedding. Promising performance on clustering Karate network data illustrates the effectiveness of our method.

Our future work will focus on using more node embedding techniques to get more views and develop an adaptive node embedding scheme to automatically decide the importance of each view.
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