The latitudinal temperature gradient and its climate dependence as inferred from foraminiferal $\delta^{18}O$ over the past 95 million years
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The latitudinal temperature gradient is a fundamental state parameter of the climate system tied to the dynamics of heat transport and radiative transfer. Thus, it is a primary target for temperature proxy reconstructions and global climate models. However, reconstructing the latitudinal temperature gradient in past climates remains challenging due to the scarcity of appropriate proxy records and large proxy–model disagreements. Here, we develop methods leveraging an extensive compilation of planktonic foraminifera $\delta^{18}O$ to reconstruct a continuous record of the latitudinal sea-surface temperature (SST) gradient over the last 95 million years (My). We find that latitudinal SST gradients ranged from 26.5 to 15.3°C over a mean global SST range of 15.3 to 32.5°C, with the highest gradients during the coldest intervals of time. From this relationship, we calculate a polar amplification factor (PAF; the ratio of change in $>60°$ S SST to change in global mean SST) of 1.44 ± 0.15. Our results are closer to model predictions than previous proxy-based estimates, primarily because $\delta^{18}O$-based high-latitude SST estimates more closely track benthic temperatures, yielding higher gradients. The consistent covariance of $\delta^{18}O$ values in low- and high-latitude planktonic foraminifera and in benthic foraminifera, across numerous climate states, suggests a fundamental constraint on multiple aspects of the climate system, linking deep-sea temperatures, the latitudinal SST gradient, and global mean SSTs across large changes in atmospheric CO$_2$, continental configuration, oceanic gateways, and the extent of continental ice sheets. This implies an important underlying, internally driven predictability of the climate system in vastly different background states.

The global climate system acts as a giant heat engine, working to redistribute the disproportionately large amount of incoming solar radiation per unit area at low latitudes to the high latitudes, where incident radiation is less. The latitudinal temperature gradient (LTG; here defined as the difference in sea-surface temperature [SST] between low [$<30°$] and high [$>60°$] latitudes) is one measure of this process and helps determine the strength of atmospheric circulation (1). The LTG is thus a key indicator for the behavior of the climate system in different background states and can serve as a test of how well climate models reproduce empirical records through time.

While global climate models have long predicted polar amplification—i.e., that high latitudes should experience greater warming than low latitudes in response to an increase in mean global temperature—the magnitude of this amplification has historically been much less than seen in most paleoclimate proxy records (2–7). Part of this discrepancy has arisen due to the challenges and limitations of surface-temperature proxies. For decades, proxy estimates of tropical SSTs in warm-climate states were similar to or lower than modern temperatures, predicting a greatly reduced LTG (8–11). It is now clear that this was due to pervasive recrystallization of foraminiferal $\delta^{18}O$, which biased the original SST signal and rendered most prior $\delta^{18}O$-based SST estimates unreliable (12, 13). Recent compilations indicate higher tropical SSTs from warm intervals [$>30$ to $35°C$ (5, 6, 14, 15)], using a mix of organic (TEX$_{86}$) and inorganic temperature proxies ($\delta^{18}$O, Mg/Ca, and $\Delta$e7) from exceptionally well-preserved samples. However, quantitative proxy estimates of LTGs in warm-climate states like the Eocene (4, 6, 7, 14, 16–18) and Cretaceous (5, 7, 19–25) remain relatively flat due, in part, to surprisingly warm high-latitude SSTs. While more recent climate models are better able to replicate polar amplification than previous-generation models (e.g., refs. 26–28), and some discrepancies relate to identifiable regional phenomena (29),
temperature gradients predicted by models in extreme-climate states can remain up to ~10°C higher than those derived from these empirical compilations (5, 26, 27, 30–34).

Here, we revisit planktonic foraminifera δ¹⁸O records to take advantage of their spatial and temporal coverage relative to other proxies and apply a number of approaches to overcome acknowledged limitations of the proxy. Using a global compilation of δ¹⁸O measurements from surface-dwelling planktonic foraminifera, we generate a continuous, high-resolution record of low- and high-latitude SSTs, and the corresponding LTG, over the last 95 million years (My). We explore the sensitivity of LTG to changing boundary conditions, providing an emergent constraint for global climate models used to predict future climate states.

**Approach**

We infer low- and high-latitude SSTs for the last 95 My and provide a continuous record of LTGs and polar amplification during the Cenozoic and late Mesozoic using SSTs derived from planktonic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O (Methods). To do so, we objectively screened a large compilation of planktonic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O data (Fig. 1; 30,646 measurements, of which 4,238 were ultimately used to infer SSTs) and updated some of the methods used to infer SSTs.

The interpretation of foraminiferal δ¹⁸O is complicated by changes in the δ¹⁸O of seawater, as well as by biological vital effects and by diagenesis (35, 36). We applied methodological innovations to account for several previously underconstrained...
aspects of this system. To correct for local geographic variation in the δ18O of seawater (δ18Osw)—a major control on foraminiferal δ18O that is usually unaccounted for or approximated using modern data (9, 37)—we used isotope-enabled runs of the Community Earth System Model (CESM) (38), aggregated into 10° × 10° patches around each site to account for shifting current boundaries and interpolated across climate states to account for the climate dependence of δ18Osw gradients (Methods and SI Appendix). This method, which is similar in some respects to the method demonstrated by ref. 37, provides a spatially resolved and climate-sensitive update to the “classical” correction (9) and can be readily updated as new isotopically enabled general circulation model (GCM) runs become available. We additionally corrected for the vital effect of seawater [CO3²⁻] on foraminiferal δ18O (39–41). This effect is rarely considered when converting planktonic δ18O to SST, despite longstanding evidence for its importance in both biological and inorganic calcification (e.g., refs. 39, 42, and 43). Finally, to work around the relative sparsity of exceptionally preserved planktonic foraminifera, we demonstrate and exploit the strong correlations between benthic and planktonic δ18O (Fig. 1) to generate continuous estimates of SST from the comparatively data-dense record of benthic δ18O (Fig. 2). These relationships are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

Results

Our data confirm that low-latitude (±0° to 30° paleolatitude) planktonic foraminifera are most prone to diagenetic alteration (as in ref. 12), with the best-preserved specimens consistently recording the lowest δ18O values relative to benthic δ18O for the same time intervals and climate states (Fig. 1A). In contrast, at high latitudes (>60° S paleolatitude), planktonic δ18O values closely track benthic δ18O values, regardless of preservation status (Fig. 1A; R² = 0.98), likely due to the similarity between surface and bottom-water temperatures (BWTs) in the high latitudes. Our results for low latitudes are therefore based only on foraminifera with “Excellent” (glassy) preservation, while our results for the Southern Ocean use all preservation types.

After subsetting the data by preservation and correcting for other controls on foraminiferal δ18O, we found that low- and high-latitude SSTs covary with BWT with ordinary least-squares linear regression slopes of 0.53 ± 0.11 and 1.07 ± 0.13, respectively (Fig. 1E; R² = 0.88 and 0.93, respectively; slopes are unitless). By applying these regression relationships to the benthic δ18O record, we inferred a continuous record of SSTs at low and high latitudes (Fig. 2). Regression-based high-latitude SSTs for the Southern Ocean are statistically indistinguishable from BWTs (multivariate distance of coefficients D² = 1.52, P = 0.22; Fig. 2). Predicted mean annual tropical

Fig. 2. δ18O-based SSTs and LTGs over the last 95 My. (Upper) Points are individual δ18O measurements converted to SST as in Fig. 1E. Bold lines are SSTs predicted from the benthic temperature curve using the regressions in Fig. 1E. For all symbols, yellow shades are tropical and blue shades are high-latitude, with dark and light bands indicating 50% and 95% Monte Carlo CIs, respectively. The benthic temperature curve is shown in black, partially covered by predicted high-latitude SST. Modern-day mean annual SSTs (large circles) and clumped-isotope SSTs (diamonds) are shown for comparison. (Lower) LTGs (gray line) obtained from the inferred continuous SSTs in Upper, with dark and light bands indicating 50% and 95% Monte Carlo CIs, respectively.
SSTs for the Early Eocene (56 to 47.8 millions of years ago [Ma]) range from 30.7 to 37.6°C within 95% CIs. Maximum mean annual tropical SSTs of 33.8 to 38.6°C occur at the start of our compilation in the Late Cretaceous (95% CI range at 91.8 Ma). Regression-based SST trends are consistent within error with individual SST measurements for 98% of tropical \( \delta^{18}O \) data, 95% of high-latitude \( \delta^{18}O \) data, 88% of tropical clumped-isotope data shown, 76% of high-latitude clumped-isotope data shown, and the modern mean values (two-sample \( t \) tests of points vs. prediction, \( \alpha = 0.05 \); Fig. 2). However, these regression-based trends predict colder high-latitude temperatures than clumped isotopes for the Eocene (mean residual = 2.5°C) and slightly warmer high-latitude temperatures than clumped isotopes for the Late Cretaceous (mean residual = –3.6°C). Predicted mean global SSTs for the Early Eocene Climatic Optimum (49.1 to 53.4 Ma) and latest Paleocene (57 Ma) are within error of estimates from ref. 44.

As indicated by the difference in slopes, the Southern Ocean is significantly more sensitive to changes in global temperatures than low latitudes (two-sample \( t \) test of slope distributions, \( P < 0.01 \)), allowing us to estimate polar amplification through time (Fig. 3). Because the relationships between SSTs and BWTs that is also linear, i.e.,

\[
\text{LTG} = -0.481(\pm 0.133) \times \text{BWT} + 25.25(\pm 1.68),
\]

where LTG (in °C) is the difference in regression-predicted SST between low (±30°) and high (>60°S) latitudes and BWT is the BWT in °C after the method of ref. 45. Errors are 95% Monte Carlo CIs based on all input uncertainties. Expressed as a function of mean global SST (Fig. 3), this relationship is

\[
\text{LTG} = -0.658(\pm 0.213) \times \text{GMSST} + 36.53(\pm 5.14),
\]

where GMSST is mean global SST (in °C). Predicted LTG across the last 95 Ma spans 16.5 to 26.5°C (Fig. 3), while predicted mean global SST spans 15.3 to 32.5°C, over a benthic temperature range of –2.4 to 20.9°C (45). Expressed as a polar amplification factor (PAF), this is

\[
\frac{\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{SI}}}{\Delta\text{SST}_{\text{mean}}} = 1.44(\pm 0.15).
\]

Table 1 compares our results to prior proxy- and model-based estimates. Error terms are 95% Monte Carlo CIs from the error on all calibration steps.

We find that omitting the carbonate-ion effect correction results in SSTs that are 1.4 °C colder at 100 Ma, 1.1 °C colder at 40 Ma, and 0.6 °C colder at 10 Ma compared to the corrected values, with the difference decreasing over time as seawater [\( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \)] increases toward modern values. The true error may be slightly larger, as the [\( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \)] record appears to overestimate past seawater pH (ref. 46, figure 6) and consequently underestimate biases due to the carbonate-ion effect (41).

Table 1. Estimates of the LTG to mean global SST relationship and equilibrium PAF, converted using the assumptions in this paper

| Slope       | Intercept | PAF       | Reference |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| This paper  | -0.66 ± 0.21 | 36.53 ± 5.14 | 1.44 ± 0.15 | This study |
| Proxy-based estimates | | | |
| Sijp compilation | -2.85 | 96.09 | 2.94 | (4) |
| Cramwinckel compilation | -0.86 | 43.41 | 1.62 ± 0.16 | (14) |
| Zhang compilation | -1.60 | 52.03 | 1.55 | (7) |
| Model-based estimates (Pliocene) | | | |
| CESM2 | -0.21 | 29.9 | 1.08 | (70, 71) |
| EC-Earth3 | -0.28 | 29.6 | 1.14 | (72, 73) |
| GISS-E2 | -0.26 | 31.4 | 1.01 | (74, 75) |
| HadGEM3 | -0.03 | 25.5 | 0.98 | (76, 77) |
| NorESM | 0.07 | 24.4 | 0.76 | (78, 79) |
| Model-based estimates (Eocene) | | | |
| Model mean | -0.39 | 33.17 | 1.27 ± 0.06 | (27) |
| CESMv1.2 | -0.37 | 31.07 | 1.25 | (27) |
| COSMOS5 | 0.11 | 22.70 | 0.92 | (27) |
| GFDL | -0.30 | 30.00 | 1.20 | (27) |
| HadCM3 | -0.25 | 30.58 | 1.17 | (27) |
| IPSL | -0.24 | 30.25 | 1.16 | (27) |
| NorESM | -0.75 | 41.5 | 1.51 | (27) |
| Model-based estimates (Cretaceous/General) | | | |
| 100-My HadCM3 | -0.21 | 29.4 | 1.05 | (56) |
| Maastrichtian CCM4 | -0.31 | 32.0 | 1.18 | (80) |

The 95% CIs on regressions are provided where possible. CCSM4, Community Climate System Model Version 4; COSMOS, Community Earth System Models; EC-Earth3, European Community Earth System Model 3; GFDL, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory model; GISS-E2, Goddard Institute for Space Studies Model E2; HadGEM3, Hadley Centre Global Environment Model Version 3; IPSL, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace model.
Covariance of LTGs with Global Climate: Evidence and Limitations. The observed correlation between planktonic and benthic $\delta^{18}O$ suggests a fundamental consistency in the dynamics of latitudinal heat transport and polar amplification across vastly different background states of continental configuration, ocean circulation, and ice volume. Our reconstruction treats the relationship between SSTs and BWTs as linear, an assumption that appears to hold across the majority of the past 95 My. However, examination of the regression residuals through time (Fig. 4) highlights several intervals between the Late Cretaceous and the Late Eocene where SSTs may have diverged from this expectation by $5^\circ$C or more. This is primarily the case in the high southern latitudes, where $\delta^{18}O$-derived SSTs from the Southern Ocean exhibit a less consistent relationship with BWTs and clumped isotope-derived temperatures than $\delta^{18}O$-derived SSTs from the tropics (Fig. 4; SD of residuals in the tropics before 30 Ma = 2.0°C and at high latitudes = 3.6°C). These residuals are evidently large enough to overcome the effects of diagenetic overprinting, which would otherwise tend to pull high-latitude SSTs toward BWTs.

It is not presently known whether these intervals represent genuine deviations from linearity or simply systematic biases affecting the individual SSTs, but several lines of evidence argue for the latter option. One potential source of bias is local variation in seawater $\delta^{18}O$ in the Southern Ocean, where—prior to the opening of the Drake Passage—models predict 1.3 to 3.4 times greater variability in $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ than in the tropical Pacific (data from ref. 47). There is similarly a strong likelihood of spatial bias due to sites recording hotter or colder local conditions than the zonal mean. Evidence for this can be found in our model results, where spatial SST biases predicted by CESM (i.e., the difference between modeled SSTs at each site and the corresponding modeled zonal mean SST for the same age) can explain 49.4% of the variability in the high-latitude residuals shown in Fig. 4 and 12.9% of the variability in the low-latitude residuals (R$^2$ of ordinary least-squares linear regressions; SI Appendix, section 1.12). $\delta^{18}O$-based SSTs from planktonic foraminifera may also be biased by shifting seasonality and depth habitats, either to best exploit their environment or to remain within their preferred thermal niche (48). Our SST calibration implicitly accounts for these factors under modern conditions (49), and our analysis spans multiple complete faunal turnovers, so small-scale changes in depth habitat are unlikely to significantly affect our estimates of PAF, although they may be observable on shorter (<10 My) timescales. The question of seasonality is more complex. In the tropics, foraminifera fluxes most frequently peak in late autumn (50), when temperatures are close to (or slightly above) mean annual SST (e.g., ref. 51), with seasonality decreasing as mean temperature increases (50). In the high latitudes, seasonality in planktonic communities is largely driven by fundamental geographic limitations on light and nutrient availability (52), yielding one or two peaks in foraminifera flux in the spring and fall (50). While it is possible for changing climate conditions to alter the seasonal timing of foraminifera fluxes, niche-tracking tends to dampen, rather than amplify, the effects of seasonality on proxies (48), and fundamental constraints on plankton growth (such as the lack of light during high-latitude winters) decrease the likelihood that peak foraminifera production could have shifted to occur during seasonal extremes. It is therefore unlikely that either our high- or low-latitude data are strongly biased by changes in the seasonality of foraminifera production relative to the modern. However, other species-specific trends may explain some of the most striking divergences seen in Fig. 4. In particular, the lowest SSTs for the Late Paleocene and Early Eocene (60 to 48 Ma) are associated with just one species, *Subbotina triangularis*, while other species from the same sites yield SSTs in better agreement with...
our curve (Fig. 4). Ecological assessments differ on whether *S. triangularis* actually lived within the mixed layer (53) or occupied a deeper niche than co-occurring species (54). Similarly, the data from the late Campanian and Maastrichtian (74 to 66 Ma) yielding higher SSTs than our curve represent only one species, *Archaeoglobigerina australis*, at one site, Ocean Drilling Program 690 (Fig. 4). The foregoing examples suggest that the deviations from linearity observed in Fig. 4 may be the result of systematic biases in the temperature reconstructions, rather than genuine nonlinearities in the climate system.

While there is a strong need for more data from well-preserved foraminifera across several time intervals, particularly the Neogene and the Late Cretaceous (Fig. 2), it is important to note that our method does not rely on data coverage across time, but rather across climate states (Fig. 1). Additional data for the Neogene and Late Cretaceous would, however, help to test the validity of our hypotheses.

While prior analyses have often assumed that δ18O-derived SSTs were more reliable at high latitudes than in the tropics due to cooler temperatures and the close relationship between high-latitude SSTs and BWT (e.g., refs. 12 and 14), our results suggest the opposite. The sensitivity of foraminiferal δ18O to local δ18Osw also highlights the utility of the measurement-regression residuals (Fig. 4) as a tool for understanding Southern Ocean hydrography.

**Internal Consistency of Climate Models.** Because our method of reconstructing surface δ18Osw relies on GCM outputs, our proxy-inferred LTG estimates are not fully independent of the GCMs to which we compare them in Fig. 3. Therefore, our results can be more appropriately thought of as a test of the internal consistency of the model physics and of the consistency of the model physics with the available data, rather than as a wholly independent validation dataset. The strengths and limitations of this approach can be seen through a qualitative examination of alternate scenarios. If the δ18Osw gradient were significantly more climate-sensitive than predicted by the model, inferred high-latitude SSTs would fall out of agreement with BWTs, and the discrepancy between the δ18O-predicted LTGs and the GMC-predicted LTGs would increase. Conversely, if the δ18Osw gradient were significantly less climate-sensitive than predicted by the model, inferred mean annual Southern Ocean SSTs would become colder than BWTs under the warmest-climate states, which is physically improbable. The consistency between the δ18O temperatures and the GMC-simulated temperatures supports the accuracy of the simulation as a whole. This caveat also applies primarily to only one model family (CESM), and other isotope-enabled simulations (e.g., the Hadley Centre Coupled Model, version 3 (HadCM3) for the Eocene (55)) yield similar predicted δ18Osw trends (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), despite large differences in modeled LTGs. Our finding that high-latitude SST closely tracks BWTs is consistent with the behavior of HadCM3 over the Phanerzoic (ref. 56, figure 6).

Even without correcting for the climate-state dependence of δ18Osw, we would still infer lower LTGs in warmer-climate states because the underlying data show a steeper slope in the planktic-benthic δ18O relationship at high latitudes than at low latitudes (slope 1.32 vs. 0.57; Fig. 1A).

**Conclusions**

Here, we identify a consistent covariance between benthic and planktonic foraminifera δ18O across different latitudinal bands and exploit this relationship to infer a high-resolution SST...
record at high and low latitudes for the last 95 My. To do so, we have developed estimates of site-specific δ^{18}O_{sw} by interpolating across isotope-enabled global climate models. Our approach fills in sparse data coverage and allows us to examine the evolution of LTGs over a wide range of climate states. In these records, the lowest LTGs occur during the intervals with the highest global SSTs (LTG = 26.5°C for a mean global SST of 15.3°C, and LTG = 15.3°C for a mean global SST of 32.5°C), with an apparently consistent relationship between sea-surface LTGs and global temperature, regardless of changing boundary conditions like continental configuration or global ice volume. Our estimates are in closer agreement with some numerical climate models than previous proxy-based estimates, providing confirmation that these models can simulate climate states different from the modern and supporting their use in forecasting future climate.

Methods

We compiled planktonic foraminifera δ^{18}O measurements from published sources (Dataset S1 and references in SI Appendix, section 1.1). We assessed paleo-latitude and paleo-longitude using GPlates (57), assigned sites to 30° latitudinal bands, and qualitatively assigned each measurement to one of five preservation categories (Excellent, Very Good, Good, Moderate, or Poor) used in published work, with Excellent generally indicating glaciaby preservation (i.e., minimal diagenetic alteration, suitable for estimation of absolute temperature; ref. 58). Only species and genera identified as mixed-layer dwelling in the literature were included in our primary analysis. High-latitude data were restricted to the Southern Hemisphere due to the greater heterogeneity of seawater δ^{18}O at high northern latitudes, which greatly increases the uncertainty of SST conversions (SI Appendix, Figs. S3 and S10). Midlatitudes were likewise excluded due to their comparative lack of high-quality data (Fig. 1), see also SI Appendix, Fig. S20). For benthic δ^{18}O and BWIs, we used the records and temperature estimates of ref. 45, smoothed to 250 kilo-annum, and extended into the Late Cretaceous with additional sources from the literature (references in SI Appendix, section 1.1).

To convert δ^{18}O to SST, we corrected for: 1) the carbonate-ion effect (39) using the seawater [CO_3]^- curve of ref. 46 and the mean carbonate-ion effect of four species of planktonic foraminifera (40); 2) global variations in the δ^{18}O of seawater due to ice cover by subtracting seawater δ^{18}O inferred by ref. 45 (Fig. 1B); and 3) local seawater δ^{18}O by subtracting modern seawater δ^{18}O (Pliocene to modern: median of 10^° × 10° patches (59)) or using modeled seawater δ^{18}O (Cretaceous to Miocene: median of 10^° × 10° patches) from isotope-enabled runs of the CESM (Fig. 1C). To infer local seawater δ^{18}O from the Cretaceous-Eocene, we used published CESM runs with Eocene paleogeography (47); for data from the Oligocene-Miocene, we used our own isotope-enabled CESM runs with Miocene paleogeography, published here (SI Appendix, section 1.9). We accounted for uncertainty in the reconstruction of site location, current boundaries, and evolving oceanography on local seawater δ^{18}O estimates by averaging seawater δ^{18}O in relatively large spatial patches (10^° × 10°) and interpolating these patches between model runs using natural splines and the high-latitude temperature predicted by each model run (SI Appendix, section 1.4). (Local seawater corrections for each site in 5°-My time steps are provided in Dataset S3; a general polynomial approximation is given as SI Appendix, Eq. S9). Corrected δ^{18}O values were then converted to SSTs by using the pooled bayfax Bayesian calibration (49). Our temperature estimates are robust to uncertainties in species calibrations, with calculations based on inorganic precipitates differing from bayfax-based temperature reconstructions by <2°C (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

To select preservation criteria for low and high latitudes and to infer planktonic SSTs over sparsely sampled intervals, we first calculated the relationship between planktonic and benthic δ^{18}O within different preservation states by binning planktonic δ^{18}O values into 0.25°C intervals of the benthic δ^{18}O values corresponding to their ages and fitting ordinary least-squares linear regressions to the bin medians (Fig. 1A). At low latitudes, planktonic foraminifera with Excellent preservation exhibited the lowest δ^{18}O values, indicating the least diagenetic overprinting with benthic values, while they simultaneously showed the strongest covariance with benthic δ^{18}O compared to other preservation states (Fig. 1A). At high latitudes, all planktonic foraminifera exhibited a similar covariance with benthic foraminifera, regardless of preservation (Fig. 1A). Based on these results, we continued our analysis using only SSTs derived from Excellent foraminifera in low latitudes, but all foraminiferal-based SSTs in high latitudes. As before, we calculated the relationship between surface temperatures and BWIs (Fig. 1D and E) by binning calculated SSTs into 1°C intervals of benthic temperature. The resulting linear regressions were then used to infer low- and high-latitude SSTs across our entire interval of study using the benthic record of BWT (Fig. 2).

We performed Monte Carlo error estimation on all calculations by randomizing all parameters within distributions defined by 1) the published estimated error on [CO_3]^- and ice cover (SI Appendix, section 1.7); 2) the SD of δ^{18}O within each 10^° × 10° patch in our CESM runs; 3) the uncertainty distribution of each SST conversion estimated by bayfax (49); 4) the SD of referenced slopes for the carbonate-ion effect; and 5) a temporal error term in Fig. 1 of ±1 bin (0.25°C or 1°C). To account for the effect of systematic error on bin medians (such as the possibility that seawater δ^{18}O could be offset in the same direction for an entire record), random offsets on [CO_3]^- and seawater δ^{18}O were treated on a record-by-record basis within each Monte Carlo run. Initial data exploration also indicated that reconstructions of LTGs were potentially sensitive to the inclusion or exclusion of particular datasets. To account for this data-coverage effect, we also bootstrapped which measurements were included in our regressions and propagated this error through to the calculations of uncertainty on latitudinal gradients and polar amplification.

We tested δ^{18}O-based SST reconstructions with modern SSTs from GLODAPv2 (60, 61) and clumped-isotope SST estimates from the literature (6, 62–66). Our clumped-isotope compilation excludes poorly preserved specimens (e.g., ref. 67) and samples from known thermocline dwellers (e.g., ref. 68). For Fig. 3 and Eq. 3, mean global SST was estimated from low- and high-latitude SSTs by area-weighting on a sphere (SI Appendix, Eq. S5, following ref. 69).

Data Availability. Data tables compiling previously published data with calculated statistics data are included in SI Appendix. Previously published data were used for this work (listed in SI Appendix).
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