THE FRONTIER FIELDS: SURVEY DESIGN
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ABSTRACT

How deep can we go? What are the faintest and most distant galaxies we can see with the Hubble Space Telescope now, before the launch of the James Webb Space Telescope? This is the challenge taken up by the Frontier Fields, a director’s discretionary time campaign with HST and the Spitzer Space Telescope to see deeper into the universe than ever before. The Frontier Fields combines the power of HST and Spitzer with the natural gravitational telescopes of massive high-magnification clusters of galaxies to produce the deepest observations of clusters and their lensed galaxies ever obtained. Six clusters – Abell 2744, MACSJ0416.1-2403, MACSJ0717.5+3745, MACSJ1149.5+2223, Abell S1063, and Abell 370 – were selected based on their lensing strength, sky darkness, Galactic extinction, parallel field suitability, accessibility to ground-based facilities, HST, Spitzer and JWST observability, and pre-existing ancillary data. These clusters have been targeted by the HST ACS/WFC and WFC3/IR cameras with coordinated parallels of adjacent blank fields for over 840 HST orbits in Cycles 22, 23, and 24. The Spitzer Space Telescope has dedicated > 1000 hours of director’s discretionary time to obtain IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 micron imaging to ~ 26.5, 26.0 ABmag 5σ point-source depths in the six cluster and six parallel Frontier Fields. The Frontier Field parallel fields are the second-deepest observations thus far by HST with ~ 29th ABmag 5σ point source depths in seven optical – near-infrared bandpasses. Galaxies behind the Frontier Field cluster lenses experience typical magnification factors of a few, with small regions near the critical curves magnified by factors 10 – 100. Therefore, the Frontier Field cluster HST images achieve intrinsic depths of ~ 30 – 33 magnitudes over very small volumes. Early studies of the Frontier Fields have probed galaxies fainter than any seen before during the epoch of reionization 6 < z < 10, mapped out the cluster dark matter to unprecedented resolution, and followed lensed transient events. The Frontier Fields DD data and public lensing models are non-proprietary and available at the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes and the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Exceptionally deep observations of the distant universe with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) have consistently pushed the frontiers of human knowledge. A succession of observing programs with each generation of HST detectors, in concert with the other NASA Great Observatories (Spitzer Space Telescope and Chandra X-ray Observatory), have probed the star-formation and assembly histories of galaxies through > 95% of the universe’s lifetime. These observations have been made publicly available to the greater astronomy community, enabling a wide range of science and ancillary observing programs. The study of HST deep fields has established a number of techniques now standard in extra-galactic astronomy, including the Lyman break selection of distant star-forming galaxies; photometric redshift determinations; stellar population fitting to multi-band photometry; quantitative morphological analysis; and the detection of high-redshift transient phenomena. Here we present the new Frontier Fields, an HST and Spitzer director’s discretionary time campaign to observe six massive strong-lensing clusters and six parallel fields, designed to simultaneously detect the faintest galaxies ever observed and provide a statistical picture of galaxy evolution at early times.
lizing the Lyman break technique (Songalia, Cowie, & Lilly 1990; Guhathakurta, Tyson, & Majewski 1990), the HDF and subsequent HDF-South (HDF-S; Castertano et al. 2000; Williams et al. 2000; Ferguson, Dickinson, & Williams 2000) detected significant numbers of distant star-forming galaxies visible in optical out to redshifts $z \sim 5$ (e.g. Madau et al. 1996). HST’s deep and high spatial resolution images showed that many of these distant galaxies were smaller with higher surface-brightnesses and more irregular structures than local galaxy populations (e.g. Abraham et al. 1996).

Follow-up observations of the HDF and HDF-S in the infrared with HST’s NICMOS camera (Dickinson 1999; Thompson et al. 1999; Franx 2003) enabled studies of the stellar mass of the $z < 5$ populations (e.g. Papovich et al. 2001; Dickinson et al. 2003) and intrinsically redder populations (Labbé et al. 2003; Fernández-Soto, Lanzetta, & Yahil 1999, Stiavelli et al. 1999). Combined with the spectroscopic confirmation of many of these faint galaxies (e.g. Lowenthal et al. 1997; Steidel et al. 1996), it became possible to track the cosmic star-formation (Madau et al. 1996; Lanzetta et al. 2002; Bouwens et al. 2003) and assembly history of stellar mass (Dickinson et al. 2003) over the majority of the universe’s lifetime. HST NICMOS observations of the HDF in 1997 discovered the highest redshift Type Ia supernova known at that time ($z = 1.7$), confirming the acceleration of the universe (Riess et al. 2001).

After the original HDFs, synergistic multi-wavelength deep observations with Great Observatories and new capabilities on Hubble further expanded the boundaries of our understanding. The installation of the Advanced Camera for Surveys Wide Field Camera (ACS/WFC; Ford et al. 1998) on HST in 2002 greatly improved the depth and area of optical imaging possible within a fixed exposure time. The fields for the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS; Giavalisco et al. 2004) were chosen to overlap with existing X-ray deep fields from Chandra (HDF/Chandra Deep Field North and the new Chandra Deep Field South; Hornschemeier et al. 2000; Giacconi et al. 2001). New HST and Spitzer imaging produced high-quality and deep multi-wavelength photometry, revealed new distant galaxy populations, measured photometric redshifts, improved stellar mass estimates, and could be matched to faint X-ray sources in the Chandra Deep Fields (e.g. Pope et al. 2006; Mosbrasher et al. 2004; Grazian et al. 2006; Fontana et al. 2006; Treister et al. 2004; Barger et al. 2005). The cadence of the HST GOODS observations were designed to perform a systematic search for high-redshift supernovae (Riess et al. 2004 a, b). The HST Ultra Deep Field (HUDF; Beckwith et al. 2006) location within GOODS-S/CDFS was chosen to leverage this existing data with an additional 400 orbits (268 hours) to reach optical depths fainter than original HDF WFC2 limits. The resulting “wedding cake” survey of the combined GOODS and HUDF observations proved to be an important strategy for spanning the depth and area needed to constrain both the bright and faint ends of the luminosity function of galaxies approaching the epoch of reionization (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2007).

With the success of the HST SM4 in 2009 and the installation of the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3; MacKenty et al. 2008) with its IR channel, HST greatly improved the efficiency of its high-spatial resolution near-infrared imaging. The WFC3 Early Release Science near-infrared observations of GOODS-S (Windhorst et al. 2011) and deep imaging in HUDF and parallels revealed new populations of galaxies at $z \sim 8$ (Illingworth & Bouwens 2010; Bouwens et al. 2010). Additional WFC3 observations of the HUDF (Ellis et al. 2013) added the F140W filter and deeper observations in F105W and F160W filters to increase the detection efficiency of highest redshift candidates ($8.5 < z < 12$). (See also Illingworth et al. 2013 for a separate reduction of all HUDF data). Wider field near-infrared imaging with the HST Multi-Cycle Treasury Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extra-galactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2012; Koekemoer et al. 2012) built upon the previous HST ACS/WFC and Spitzer observations of the GOODS, GEMS (Rix et al. 2004), COSMOS (Savaglio et al. 2007), EGS (Davis et al. 2007), and UDS (Lawrence et al. 2007) extragalactic legacy fields. Thanks to WFC3, detections of $z \sim 8$ candidates are now relatively commonplace (e.g. Labbé et al. 2010; Finkelstein et al. 2010; Yan et al. 2011; McClure et al. 2011, Bradley et al. 2012). The current measurement of the cosmic star-formation history extends to less than 500 Myr after the Big Bang (e.g. Ellis et al. 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2015; Oesch et al. 2013; Oesch et al. 2016; but see Pirzkal et al. 2013, Brammer et al. 2013), albeit with very small numbers of candidates at $z > 9$. HST’s observations of high redshift galaxies have placed important constraints on cosmological measures of reionization (e.g. Robertson et al. 2015, Finkelstein et al. 2015).

With the launch of the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) still several years away, and no new servicing missions to HST planned, significant progress on understanding the first billion years of the universe with the remaining HST years poses a major challenge. The HST and Spitzer projects proposed supporting a new joint Deep Fields program supported with directors discretionary time in their 2012 NASA Senior Review proposals. The Hubble Deep Fields Initiative science working group (HDFI SWG) was convened by STScI Director M. Mountain in 2012. They recommended a new strategy to “go deep”: use massive clusters of galaxies as cosmic telescopes, combined with very deep HST and Spitzer observations. Very massive clusters of galaxies are the most massive structures in the universe, bending spacetime to create efficient gravitational lenses (e.g. Kneib & Natarajan 2011). The light from galaxies behind these natural telescopes experience magnification factors of a few within a few arc-minutes of the cluster cores, and magnifications $\sim 10$ or greater within smaller windows along the critical curves. Therefore, HST observations of these strongly-lensed fields can probe galaxies as intrinsically faint or fainter than those detected in the HUDF in a much shorter exposure time – provided those galaxies fall within the high magnification windows.

The advantages of this strategy had already been demonstrated by the Cluster Lensing and Supernova Survey (CLASH; Postman et al. 2012), a 524-orbit HST
Multi-Cycle Treasury Program to study the gravitational lensing properties of 25 galaxy clusters. CLASH targeted each cluster with shallow observations in 16 ultra-violet – near-infrared HST bandpasses, in order to obtain precise photometric redshift constraints on background lensed galaxies. Within only a few orbits of HST time in the reddest filters, CLASH discovered several \( z > 9 \) galaxy candidates highly magnified by intervening massive clusters at \( z \sim 0.5 \) (Coe et al. 2013; Zheng et al. 2012; Bouwens et al. 2014).

The Frontier Fields program is an ambitious multi-cycle director’s discretionary time observing campaign with HST and Spitzer Space Telescope to peer deeper into the universe than ever before. The Frontier Fields combine the power of HST with the natural gravitational telescopes of six high-magnification clusters of galaxies to produce the deepest observations of clusters and their lensed galaxies ever obtained. The HST cluster images are obtained in parallel with six parallel ‘blank’ field images; the parallel field images are the second deepest images ever obtained, and triple the blank field area imaged to 29th ABmag depths. The Spitzer Space Telescope is also dedicating \( > 1000 \) hours of Director’s discretionary time to obtain IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 micron imaging to 26.5, 26.0 ABmag depths in the six cluster and six parallel Frontier Fields. In this paper, we describe the primary science goals in §2; the field selection criterion in §3; the Frontier Field clusters and parallel fields in §4; the HST and Spitzer observations in §5; and the public Frontier Fields lensing modeling effort in §6. Further details, the latest HST data releases, and Frontier Fields updates may be found at www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/. Details describing the Spitzer observations will be presented in Capak et al. 2016 (in prep) and more information is available at ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/warmmission/scheduling/approvedprograms/ddt/frontier/

2. SCIENCE GOALS & STRATEGY

The primary science goals of the Frontier Fields are to explore the high-redshift universe accessible only with deep HST observations, and to set the scene for JWST studies of the early universe. High-redshift quasar absorption lines studies have found that the epoch of reionization was completed by \( z \sim 6 \) (Fan et al. 2006), while cosmic microwave background observations place the start of reionization before \( z \sim 10 \) (e.g. Spergel et al. 2003, Hinshaw et al. 2013, Planck Collaboration 2015). Including recent estimates of the optical depth from PLANCK data, the era between \( z \sim 11 \) and \( z \sim 6 \) probed by the deepest and reddest HST observations marks a critical transition in the universe’s history (e.g. Planck Collaboration 2015, Robertson et al. 2015).

The installation of the HST WFC3 camera with the near-infrared channel dramatically increased the number of galaxy candidates detected at \( z > 6 \). However, prior to the start of the Frontier Fields in 2013, astronomers’ understanding of the galaxy populations during the epoch of reionization were based largely on those detected in direct HST WFC3/IR imaging surveys (HUDF, CANDELS, BORG) and handfuls of lensed objects in shallow HST observations from CLASH. The detected unlensed galaxies are the most luminous objects of their era, and thus significantly more massive and rare than the progenitors of today’s Milky Way galaxies (e.g. Behroozi, Conroy, & Wechsler 2013; Boylan-Kolchin, Bullock, & Garrison-Kimmel 2014). High-redshift galaxies are barely resolved by HST (Oesch et al. 2010, Ono et al. 2013), with lensed \( z > 8 \) galaxies yielding intrinsic sizes less than a few hundred pc across (Coe et al. 2013). Because such high-redshift galaxies are often only observable in the reddest HST bandpasses, limited information about their rest-frame ultraviolet slopes, stellar populations, and dust content can be inferred from their observed colors (e.g. Finkelstein et al. 2012). Unseen \( z > 6 \) dwarf galaxies well below HST’s nominal direct detection limit are needed to produce the number of ionizing photons required to disassociate the universe’s reservoir of intergalactic neutral hydrogen (e.g. Finkelstein et al. 2015, Robertson et al. 2015). Very few candidates at \( z \sim 9 \) and above were identified (Ellis et al. 2013; Oesch et al. 2013; Zheng et al. 2012; Coe et al. 2013), resulting in vigorous debate about how quickly the first star-formation proceeded and how many \( z > 9 \) objects future JWST might see (Oesch et al. 2012). (The role of early black holes in terms of their contribution to the reionization budget is presently unknown and this will be revealed by JWST.)

In order to address many of these unknowns, the Frontier Fields program was designed with the following science aims:

1. To reveal populations \( z = 5 – 10 \) galaxies that are \( > 10 \) times fainter than any presently known, the key building blocks of \( \sim L^* \) galaxies in the local universe.
2. To characterize the stellar populations of faint galaxies at high redshift and solidify our understanding of the stellar mass function at the earliest times.
3. To provide, for the first time, a statistical morphological characterization of star forming galaxies at \( z > 5 \).
4. To find \( z > 8 \) galaxies stretched out enough by foreground clusters to measure sizes and internal structure and/or magnified enough for spectroscopic follow up.

The Frontier Fields combines several previous high-redshift galaxy observing strategies to achieve these aims: very deep multiband HST imaging to identify very faint distant galaxy candidates by their color; and strong-gravitational lensing by massive clusters of galaxies to probe galaxies fainter than those accessible with direct ‘blank’ field HST imaging. Deep imaging with the Spitzer IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 micron bands are also required to improve photometric redshifts, measure stellar masses and specific star-formation rates, and rule out low-redshift interlopers (e.g. Labbè et al. 2013; Bradlāc et al. 2014). The clusters and their exact pointings were selected to optimize the number of detectable \( z \sim 10 \) objects within the HST WFC3/IR field of view magnified by factors of \( \sim 1.5 – 10 \), depending on their positions relative to the critical curves of the clusters. The HST exposure times were chosen to probe intrinsic depths \( > 10 \times \) fainter than the HUDF in the highest magnification regions of the lensed fields, but with significantly less time than blank field observations. The volumes probed at the highest magnifications are very small (see Coe, Bradley, & Zitrin 2015), thus the program observes multiple clusters to improve the statistical likelihood of capturing the light from the faintest and most distant galaxies. While color, redshift, and other relative measures such as specific star-formation rates and emission-line equivalent widths are
immune to errors in the magnification estimates, measurements of the intrinsic luminosities and sizes of individual objects depend directly on the inferred lensing magnifications. (Integrated quantities such as galaxy luminosity functions are less susceptible to magnification uncertainties.) In concert with the DD observing campaigns, a unified effort to create high fidelity public maps of the lensing properties of each FF cluster is an integral part of the FF (see §6).

Because each cluster is observed at a fixed HST roll angle for an extended period, we also obtain simultaneous deep parallel field observations at a single pointing centered ~6 arcmins from the cluster core (>1.8 projected co-moving Mpc for $z > 0.3$ lensing cluster). These six new 'blank fields' are comparable in depth to the HUDF parallel fields (Oesch et al. 2007), and triple the area of unlensed fields observed by HST to depths ~29th magnitude ABmag. The background volumes lensed by the clusters are much smaller than those probed by unlensed fields. Thus, while the cluster pointings allow us to see intrinsically fainter objects than the HUDF within small volumes, the parallel fields provide a dramatic improvement in the volume and statistical counting of distant galaxies brighter than 29th magnitude. This is particularly important for understanding the biases associated with cosmic variance - i.e. the fact that every single sightline through the universe is unique (e.g. Robertson et al. 2014).

The Frontier Fields will set the stage for the James Webb Space Telescope to study first light galaxies at $z > 10$ and to understand the assembly of galaxies over cosmic time. JWST is a 6.5m cold telescope sensitive at 0.7–27 microns, to be launched at the end of 2018 with a limited lifetime requirement of 5 years and a goal of 10 years. Because JWST’s lifetime is short relative to HST, it is important for the astronomical community to be prepared for JWST observations early on. The high-redshift galaxy candidates detected by the Frontier Fields are likely to be among the first spectroscopic targets for JWST, and current studies will produce a better understanding of the high-redshift galaxy luminosity functions, spectral-energy distributions, and sizes needed to effectively plan for JWST surveys. The HST Frontier Fields high-resolution optical imaging shortward of 0.7 micron in ACS F435W and F606W reaches depths comparable to those achieved by JWST NIRCam within 1–2 hours, and hence provides an important legacy dataset for future JWST extragalactic work. Finally, direct observations of the faintest first galaxies and the dwarf galaxies and early accreting black holes expected to be responsible for reionization will be challenging even with JWST. Development of cluster lens modeling techniques now will enable future JWST studies of strong-lensing clusters and their lensed galaxies.

The Frontier Fields data offers the opportunity to do ground-breaking science in a number of fields other than the highest redshift universe. Several complementary HST GO observing programs have been awarded to obtain deep WFC3/UV imaging (GO 13389, 14209: B. Siana), WFC3/IR grism spectroscopy (GO 13459; T. Treu), and target-of-opportunity follow-up of transient events (GO 13386, 13790, 14208: S. Rodney). Hundreds of multiply-imaged background galaxies at all redshifts have permitted the construction of dark matter maps of the clusters at unprecedented resolution to probe cluster substructure (e.g. Jauzac et al. 2014, 2015; Wang et al. 2015, Hoag et al. 2016, Limousin, M. et al. 2016; Mohammed et al. 2016, Natarayan in prep), and will enable new cosmological constraints via angular scaling relations (e.g. Kneib & Natarayan 2011). At the recommendation of the HFF review committee, an exercise comparing the various independent lens modeling methodologies and their fidelity has been on-going and the first results where more than 10 independent research groups participated in pre-partation (Meneghetti et al. 2016). Detailed studies of intermediate redshift galaxies observed both at high magnification and in deep parallel imaging will probe their internal structures, stellar populations, and luminosity functions (e.g. Alavi et al. 2014; Jones et al. 2015; Livermore et al. 2012; Castellano et al. 2016; Pope et al. 2016) These deepest-ever images of massive galaxy clusters have detected intracluster light, ram-pressure stripping and tidal streams at $z > 0.3$ (e.g. Montes & Trujillo 2014; McPartland et al. 2016), probing the dynamic processes impacting galaxy evolution within these unique environments. The new HST Frontier Fields observations have detected a number of transients (e.g. Rodney et al. 2015), including the light-curves from the first multiply-imaged supernova (Kelly et al. 2015; discovered in GLASS).

3. FIELD SELECTION

The six Frontier Field clusters and parallel fields (Table ??) were selected to meet the primary scientific goals outlined in the HDFI SWG recommendations, as well as to optimize the HST and Spitzer observing campaigns. A list of 25 cluster candidates were suggested by the HDFI SWG, and additional candidates were suggested by the community during the selection process. Each cluster was evaluated using the following criteria.

**Lensing properties:** The primary consideration for selecting each of the Frontier Fields was the lensing strength of the cluster. Each cluster’s lensing strength was evaluated by calculating the likelihood of observing a $z = 9.6$ galaxy magnified to $H_{F160W} \leq 27$ ABmag within the HST WFC3/IR field of view, ignoring corrections for incompleteness or sky brightness (Table 2). Preliminary lensing models were provided by two independent modellers, J. Richard and A. Zitrin, and lensing probabilities were calculated assuming a luminosity function with $\phi^* = 4.27 \times 10^{-4}$, $M_{UV}^* = -19.5$, and $\alpha = -1.98$, extrapolated from $z \sim 8$ (Bradley et al. 2012) by assuming $dM^*/dz = 0.46$ (Coe et al. 2015). We excluded several lower-redshift $z < 0.3$ strong-lensing clusters (e.g. Abell 1689) because we could not adequately sample the low-redshift cluster critical curves within a single WFC3/IR pointing. However, although the $z = 0.308$ merging cluster Abell 2744’s critical curves could not be covered by a single WFC3/IR pointing, the probability of observing a $z = 9.6$ galaxy near its core was among the highest of all cluster candidates. Because we based our selection upon the results of the lensing model predictions, our selection was biased towards better studied clusters with existing imaging and spectroscopic data from which lensing models could be constructed. Some otherwise promising clusters (e.g. El Gordo; Menanteau et al. 2012) could not be evaluated as insufficient lensing model constraints were available at the time of selection.
Sky brightness and Galactic extinction: Observations of the very faint extra-galactic universe are limited by the brightness of the sky and by foreground Galactic extinction. Zodiacal light can have a significant impact on the depths obtained by HST and Spitzer imaging within a given exposure time. This background depends upon the angular distance of the target from the Sun and the ecliptic. Targets observed with high zodiacal backgrounds have near-infrared sky brightnesses several magnitudes brighter than the lowest zodiacal backgrounds, resulting in significantly lower signal-to-noise images within a given exposure time. Given the highly constrained roll-angles required to obtain observations of a fixed parallel field with both the WFC3 and ACS cameras, we have a limited ability to mitigate the impact of the zodiacal background by constraining the solar avoidance angle. Therefore, strong preference was given to clusters at high ecliptic latitudes. This selection criteria excluded a number of strong-lensing clusters at low ecliptic latitudes. Additionally, clusters at high Galactic latitude with low extinction were strongly preferred. MACS0717.5+3745 has relatively high Galactic extinction, with $E_B - V = 0.068$ (Schlegel & Finkbeiner 2011). However, this cluster was the second strongest potential lenser on our list of candidates (Table 2). Estimates of the $H_{160}$ zodiacal background at the epoch of observation and Galactic extinction for each cluster are given in Table 1.

Suitability of available parallel fields: The HST observing strategy requires the simultaneous observation of the cluster field and a blank parallel field with WFC3/IR and ACS cameras. As we discuss below, this observing requirement limits the range of available roll angles, and hence locations for the parallel fields. The potential parallel field locations were selected to avoid bright stars and extended cluster structures when possible. The weak lensing signal for each of the parallel fields was also examined where possible (private communication, J. Merten, E. Medezinski, K. Umetsu). The weak lensing signal within the parallel fields has median magnification factors between 1.02 and 1.30 for background galaxies between $1 < z < 9$; see discussion of each cluster for detailed estimates.

Suitability for ground-based follow-up: Follow-up of interesting objects detected in the Frontier Fields requires access to those fields from the major ground-based facilities. ALMA in particular has the potential to spectroscopically confirm the redshift of very high redshift ($z > 6$) galaxy candidates via the [CII] 158 micron and other atomic emission lines (e.g. da Cunha et al. 2013). Additionally, spectroscopic redshifts of multiply imaged galaxies add strong constraints to the lensing models for the clusters. Thus, access to the telescopes on Maunakea, in addition to southern facilities like ALMA and VLT, were a major consideration. Five out of the six selected clusters are visible from ALMA, with MACS0717.5+3745 as the exception (Tables 1, 2). Five out of the six clusters are visible from Maunakea, with Abell S1063 as the exception.

Existing ancillary data: Supporting data was a key consideration recommended by the HDFI SWG. Many of the candidate clusters have been studied previously by space missions, including HST, the Spitzer cryo-mission with MIPS and IRAC (including 5, 8 micron channels); Herschel, XMM, and Chandra (see the discussion of each cluster for details). Additionally, ground-based spectroscopic and wide-field imaging survey data were evaluated from the literature. Four of the chosen clusters were drawn from the CLASH survey (Postman et al. 2012), with supporting multi-band shallow HST imaging, wide-field ground-based imaging (Subaru), spectroscopy (VLT), as well as archival Herschel and Chandra data. Since the announcement of the Frontier Field selection, the community has responded with additional observations with Chandra (PI S. Murray; C. Jones-Forman), XMM (PI J.P. Kneib, Eckert et al. 2015), ALMA (PI F. Bauer), LMT (PI A. Pope), Gemini GeMS/GSAOI $K_s$ imaging (e.g. Schirmer et al. 2014), VLT Hawk-I $K_s$ imaging (PI D. Marchesini & G. Brammer), VLT MUSE spectroscopy (Pis Caputi & Clemet, Bauer, Richard, Grillo, e.g. Karman et al. 2015, Grillo et al. 2016), as well as the release of previously unpublished data on these fields (e.g. Ebeling et al. 2014; Gruen et al. 2014). We continue to maintain clearing-house website for public data links and Frontier Fields-related publications: [www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/FF-Data](http://www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/FF-Data)

In addition to the science-driven considerations given above, we optimized the cluster selection for a number of practical issues.

HST observability: The Frontier Fields are observed with HST at a fixed roll angle and its 180 degree offset in order to obtain deep observations in the cluster field and parallel field with both WFC3/IR and ACS. These observations are 70 orbits at each orientation. Each field was evaluated to determine the ability to hold a fixed roll angle for more than 30 days and the availability of guide stars at these orientations. For optimal stability, HST requires two guide stars with magnitudes brighter than 15th magnitude. Our initial evaluation of MACSJ1149.5+2223 found only one acceptable guide star; however, a second guide star with a magnitude slightly fainter than the nominal limit was available. This new guide star was tested in early observations and found to be suitable.

Spitzer observability: Each cluster and parallel field was evaluated by the Spitzer implementation team. Spitzer observations are sensitive to bright stars in the field, as saturation above $\sim 35,000$ DN can result in “column pull-down” impacting the data quality along the effected column. MACSJ0647.7+7015 (e.g. Coe et al. 2013) in particular was found to have unacceptably bright stars in the vicinity, and was excluded.

Schedulability: Each set of cluster/parallel field observations constitutes a considerable investment of HST time, with 70 orbits at each orient and 140 orbits total per field. The optimal scheduling of these observations is a challenge. We also anticipated that the Frontier Fields would be popular fields for ancillary HST observing programs. Therefore to avoid schedule collisions with the main Frontier Field program, supporting Frontier Field programs, and other popular HST fields (e.g. the UDF/GOODS-S), the Frontier Fields were selected to span a range in right ascension. The order in which the fields are observed was determined primarily by the desire to prevent overlapping epochs of HST observations.

JWST observability: Each of the selected Frontier Fields positions was run through a preliminary JWST
scheduling software and confirmed to have extended *JWST* visibility periods.

## 4. THE FRONTIER FIELD CLUSTERS AND PARALLEL FIELDS

In February 2013, the six Frontier Field clusters and their parallel fields locations were finalized and announced prior to the *HST* Cycle 21 proposal deadline. The Frontier Fields clusters are Abell 2744, MACSJ0416.1-2403, MACSJ0717.5+3745, MACSJ1149.5+2223, Abell S1063 (also known as RXCJ2248.7-4431), and Abell 370 (Table 1). These clusters are at redshifts between 0.3 and 0.55, and are among the most massive known clusters at these redshifts (Table 2). All of the clusters had previous (shallow) *HST* imaging, with four clusters previously observed as part of the CLASH *HST* MCT survey (MACSJ0416.1-2403, MACSJ0717.5+3745, MACSJ1149.5+2223, and Abell S1063) and all but Abell 370 were part of the MAssive Clusters Survey (Ebeling, Edge, & Henry 2001).

### 4.1. Abell 2744

Abell 2744 is a massive X-ray luminous merging cluster at $z = 0.308$, (Couch & Newell 1982; Abell, Corwin, & Olowin 1989), also known as AC118 or “Pandora’s Cluster”. It has a total X-ray luminosity of $L_X = 3.1 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ at 2 – 10 keV (Allen 1998), with X-ray emission concentrated on the southern compact core and extending to the northwest (Owers et al. 2011; Eckert et al. 2015). Its viral mass within the central 1.3 Mpc is $\sim 1.8 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ (Merten et al. 2011). The velocity dispersion is $\sigma = 1497 \pm 47$ km s$^{-1}$ (Owers et al. 2011), but shows two distinct structures, with the northern substructure offset in velocity by $-1600$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\sigma \sim 800$ km s$^{-1}$ (Boschin et al. 2006; Braglia et al.2007). Abell 2744’s complicated velocity structure and lensing properties suggest that it is merging system with at least three separate sub-structures (Cypriano et a. 2004; Braglia et al.2007; Merten et al. 2011). Weak lensing analysis by Merten et al. (2011) identified four mass concentrations of core, N, NW, W of $2.2, 0.8, 1.1, 1.1 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ respectively, with the NW structure showing evidence for spatially separated dark matter, gas and galaxies. Abell 2744 is also host to a powerful extended radio halo with $P_{1.4GHz} = 1.5 \times 10^{25}$ W s$^{-1}$ (Giovannini, Tordi, & Feretti 1999).

Despite its obviously complicated geometry, Abell 2744 was one of the strongest Frontier Field cluster candidates based on its lensing strength, sky location, and pre-existing ancillary data. The pre-FF lensing model by Merten et al. (2011; using the Zitrin et al. 2009 Light-Traces-Mass modeling method) found 34 strong-lensed images of 11 galaxies in *HST* F814W imaging of the core of Abell 2744 (HST GO 11689, P.I.: R. Dupke), giving a core mass $\sim 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$. This core region is $\sim 100'' \times 100''$, therefore fits within the *HST* *WFC3/IR* FOV of $2.2' \times 2.1'$. Analysis of preliminary models constructed by Zitrin and Richard separately suggested a very high probability of magnifying a $z \sim 10$ galaxy to $H = 27$ ABmag within *WFC3/IR* field of view. This high lensing probability has been confirmed by subsequent models provided by the lensing map effort and independent teams (e.g. Coe et al. 2015; Atek et al. 2014; Zitrin et al. 2014; Johnson et al. 2014; Lam et al. 2014; Richard et al. 2014; Ishigaki et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015; Jauzac et al. 2015; Table 2).

Abell 2744 has one of the darkest skies and lowest Galactic extinctions $E_{B-V} = 0.012$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) of all the cluster candidates. The typical zodiacal background in $H_{F160W}$ during the cluster
IR epoch (10/2013-12/2013) and the parallel IR epoch (5/2014-7/2014) are ~22.2 and 21.9 ABmag per Δ′′ respectively. At a declination of ~30°, it is easily observable with ALMA and the VLT but also within reach of Maunakea and the Very Large Array. It has been extensively studied by the Chandra X-ray Observatory (e.g. Kempner & David 2004; Owers et al. 2011; Merten et al. 2011). Abell 2744 was also observed during the Spitzer cryo-mission, with MIPS 24 micron and IRAC 3.6 - 8 micron observations (PI G. Rieke). This cluster is part of the Herschel Lensing Survey (Egami et al. 2010), with deep Herschel Space Observatory PACS 100/160 micron and SPIRE 250/350/500 micron imaging.

In this case, HST roll-angles with >30 day observing windows at both orientations placed the observable parallel field either 6′east or west of the Abell 2744 core. However, the eastern parallel field location was undesirable because of the presence of an unavoidable bright star. Therefore the western parallel field location (α = 00:14:53.6, δ =30°22′54.3, J2000) was chosen. The parallel field is ~1 – 2′ west of the NW and W substructures identified in Merten et al. (2011). The weak-lensing magnification boost from the cluster is therefore predicted to significant, with median magnification factors ~1.14 – 1.21 and maximum magnification factors 1.5 – 1.85 for 1 < z < 9 within the WFC3/IR pointing based on the pre-HFF v1.0 Merten model (Table 2).

4.2. MACSJ0416.1-2403

MACSJ0416.1-2403 is a massive elongated X-ray luminous cluster at z = 0.397 (Ebeling et al. 2007; Ebeling et al. 2014). Its bolometric X-ray luminosity is \( L_x = 1.02 \times 10^{45} \, \text{erg} \, \text{s}^{-1} \), with a double-peaked profile suggestive of a merging cluster (Mann & Ebeling 2012). The velocity dispersions for each of these components are \( \sigma = 779 \pm 22 \) and \( 955 \pm 17 \) (Jauzac et al. 2014; Ebeling et al. 2014), and the total mass enclosed within 950 kpc \( \sim 1.2 \times 10^{15} \, M_\odot \) (Jauzac et al. 2014; Grillo et al. 2015). MACSJ0416.1-2403 was selected as one of five strong-lensing clusters for the HST MCT CLASH survey (Postman et al. 2012) based on its large Einstein radius \( (\theta_E > 0.35'' \, \text{at} \, z = 2) \). Prior to the Frontier Fields observations, Zitrin et al. (2013) found a high number of multiple images relative to its critical area in the CLASH HST images, likely due to its highly elongated and irregular structure.

Preliminary evaluation of MACSJ0416.1-2403’s lensing models yielded moderate to high probabilities of detecting a \( z \sim 10 \, H < 27 \) mag galaxy within the WFC3/IR field of view (Table 2). MACSJ0416.1-2403 is at a high ecliptic latitude with a Galactic extinction \( E(B-V) = 0.036 \) (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). The typical zodiacal background in \( H_{F160W} \) during the cluster IR epoch (7/2014-9/2014) and the parallel IR epoch (1/2014-2/2014) are ~22.3 and 22.4 ABmag per Δ′′ respectively. At declination ~24°, this field is easily observable with ALMA, and also available to Maunakea. A significant amount of data was collected on this cluster as part of MACS and CLASH, including shallow multi-band HST data, Chandra imaging, Spitzer warm-mission IRAC (PI Bouwens), and VLT spectroscopy (e.g. Grillo et al. 2015). Additional Chandra imaging has since been obtained by C. Jones-Forman and S. Murray (Ogrean et al. 2015). However, there are no legacy Spitzer cryogenic observations.

MACSJ0416.1-2403 is notable for having a \( J = 10, V = 13 \) magnitude star within 1′ of the cluster core. This star has a high proper motion, with DSS and 2MASS imaging from the mid-1990s showing a position a few arc-seconds north of its current (2014) HST ACS position. This star is included in the Frontier Fields ACS pointing, and lies just off the WFC3/IR pointing, resulting in scattered light and saturated diffraction spikes in the Frontier Field images. However, this star is bright enough to act as an adaptive optics guide star, therefore provides a unique opportunity to obtain AO imaging (e.g. Schrimer et al. 2015, Gemini- GEMS) and spectroscopy of the critical curves surrounding a strong-lensing cluster.

The MACSJ0416.1-2403 parallel field was chosen to lie westward of the cluster pointing in order to avoid the bright eastern stars in the Spitzer Frontier Field observations. This orientation is perpendicular to the elongation of the cluster on the sky, and therefore we expect minimal contamination of the parallel field from the cluster. The parallel field is predicted to have median magnification factors \( 1.09 - 1.16 \) and maximum magnification factors \( 1.2 - 1.4 \) for \( 1 < z < 9 \) within the WFC3/IR pointing based on the pre-HFF v1.0 Merten model (Table 2).

4.3. MACS0717.5+3745

MACSJ0717.5+3745 is an extremely massive X-ray luminous merging cluster at \( z = 0.545 \) (Edge et al.2003).
The X-ray luminosity between 0.1-2.4 keV is $3.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{45}$ km s$^{-1}$ (Edge et al. 2003). The cluster’s velocity dispersion is $1660^{+120}_{-130}$ km s$^{-1}$ (Ebeling et al. 2007). Its optical and X-ray morphology shows a double peak and lack of center cluster core, with a filament towards extending southeast (Ebeling et al. 2004; Kartaltepe et al. 2008). This cluster also hosts the most powerful known radio source ($P(1.4GHz) \sim 5 \times 10^{25} WH z^{-1}$) with a radio relic significantly offset from the cluster center to the north (van Weeren et al. 2009). MACSJ0717.5+3745 was also chosen as one of the CLASH strong-lensing clusters (Postman et al. 2012). It has the largest known Einstein radius ($\sim 350$ kpc, Zitrin et al. 2009) and an estimated virial mass $\geq 2 - 3 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ (Zitrin et al. 2009; Limousin et al. 2012). Several pointings of HST ACS imaging were obtained previously by Ebeling in Cycle 12 (GO 9722). Weak-lensing analyses of the pre-Frontier Fields HST imaging and ground-based Subaru imaging have confirmed the presence of the south eastern filament, with a projected length $\sim 4.5$ Mpc and true length of $\sim 18$ Mpc (Jauzac et al. 2012; Medenski et al. 2013).

Independent preliminary lensing models from Zitrin and Richard ranked MACS0717.5+3745 as the strongest lenser of all the considered clusters (see Table 2). However, MACS0717.5+3745 has the highest zodiacal background of all the Frontier Fields, as well as a relatively high Galactic extinction $E_{(B-V)} = 0.068$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). It has an ecliptic latitude of 15.4 degrees, with a typical zodiacal background in $H_f$ at $\sim 20$ and 21.8 AB mag per $\Delta$ respectively. It is also our northern-most cluster at declination $> 30$, placing it just out of reach of ALMA and other southern observatories. As a CLASH cluster, significant shallow HST imaging, ancillary wide-field Subaru imaging, and a photometric redshift catalog are available. This cluster was also observed with Spitzer cryogenic mission with both IRAC and MIPS (PI Kocevski) and the Spitzer warm-mission SURFSUP program (PI Bradac; Bradac et al. 2014), as well as with the Herschel Space Observatory (Egami et al. 2010). A spectroscopic redshift catalog was recently published by Ebeling et al. (2014).

The MACS0717.5+3745 parallel field was chosen to lie north-west of the cluster pointing in order to avoid the long cluster filament extending to the south-east. The parallel field is predicted to have median magnification factors $\sim 1.07 - 1.15$ and maximum magnification factors $1.17 - 1.42$ for $1 < z < 9$ within the WFC3/IR pointing based on the pre-HFF v1.0 Merten model (Table 2).

### 4.4. MACS1149.5+2223

MACSJ1149.5+2223 at $z = 0.543$ was discovered as part of the MACS survey as one of the most X-ray luminous clusters known at $z > 0.5$ (Ebeling et al. 2001; Ebeling et al. 2007). Its 0.1-24 keV X-ray luminosity is $L_x = 1.76 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and it has a velocity dispersion $1840^{+220}_{-150}$ km s$^{-1}$ (Ebeling et al. 2007). Its optically selected galaxy population and X-ray morphology is elongated within the cluster core, but does not show evidence of extended filaments (Kartaltepe et al. 2008). Spectroscopic studies and lensing analysis of previous HST ACS imaging (PI Ebeling; GO 9722) suggest four or more large-scale dark matter sub-haloes and a complex merger history (Zitrin & Broadhurst 2009; see also Smith et al. 2009). A CLASH strong-lensing cluster (Postman et al. 2012), it has a large Einstein radius ($\sim 170$ kpc, Zitrin & Broadhurst 2009) and an estimated total mass $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ (Zheng et al. 2012). Based on the CLASH imaging, Zheng et al. (2012) reported a singly imaged $z=9.6$ galaxy candidate with a magnification $\sim 14.5$ and observed $F160W$ magnitude $\sim 26.5$.

Preliminary lensing models from Zitrin and Richard ranked MACSJ1149.5+2223 as a moderate lenser (Table 2). Its Galactic extinction is fairly low $E(B-V) = 0.020$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011), and a zodiacal background $\sim 22 H_f$ AB mag per $\Delta$ during the epochs of observation (cluster IR: 11/2014-1/2015; parallel IR: 4/2015-5/2015). Initially, this cluster was not considered an ideal HST target as only one bright guide star was known at the required orients. However, further investigation reveal a second guide star slightly fainter than the nominal magnitude cut-off, and early observations of MACSJ1149.5+2223 in Cycle 21 confirmed the suitability of this guide star pair. At declination +22, this cluster is barely observable with ALMA but easily observed from Maunakea and other northern observatories like the Very Large Array. This cluster is part of the Herschel Lensing Survey (Egami et al. 2010) and a GT Cycle 1 program (PI D. Lutz), and was targeted by Spitzer warm-mission SURFSUP IRAC imaging program (Bradac et al. 2014). The southern position for the MACS1149.5+2223 parallel field was chosen to avoid a particularly bright star at the northern position. The parallel field is predicted to have median magnification factors $\sim 1.02 - 1.07$ and maximum magnification factors $1.1 - 1.3$ for $1 < z < 9$ within the WFC3/IR pointing based on the pre-HFF v1.0 Merten lensing model (Table 2).

### 4.5. Abell S1063

Abell S1063 (also known as RXC J2248.7-4431 and SPT-CL J2248-4431), is the southern-most Frontier Fields cluster with $z = 0.3461$ (Abell, Corwin, & Olowin 1989; Böhringer et al. 2004; Gómez et al. 2012). Abell S1063 is a massive cluster with a large velocity dispersion $1840^{+220}_{-150}$ km s$^{-1}$. It’s X-ray luminosity between 0.5-2.0 keV is $1.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Williamson et al. 2011), and the cluster has one of the hottest known X-ray temperatures $(> 1.15)$ keV (Gómez et al. 2012). It is also among the strongest Sunyaev-Zel’’dovich (SZ) detected clusters in the South Pole Telescope survey (Williamson et al. 2011), with a SZ-derived mass $M_{500} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$. Like the other Frontier Field clusters, the galaxy density map shows significant substructure, with an X-ray peak offset from the primary galaxy density peak (Gómez et al. 2012). Weak lensing analysis also identified multiple substructures, and gives a mass of the central cluster in agreement with X-ray and SZ calculations (Gruen et al. 2013). Selected as a CLASH cluster, the HST imaging revealed a quintuply lensed $z \sim 6$ galaxy (Monna et al. 2013, Balestra et al. 2013). The Herschel Lensing Survey (Egami et al. 2010) images show an associated 870 micron source, one of the highest redshift lensed sub-mm galaxies known (Boone et al. 2013). Abell S1063 is one of the less powerful lensers (Table 2) and most relaxed of the selected Frontier Fields clusters. However, it is located in one of the darkest regions of the sky, with a Galactic extinction of $E_{(B-V)} = 0.010$. 
(Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). The typical zodiacal background is 20.6 and 22.2 $H_{F160W}$ AB mag per $\text{arc}^2$ during the cluster IR epoch (4/2016-6-2016) and the parallel IR epoch (10/2015-11/2015) respectively. It is inaccessible with Maunakea but easily observed by ALMA and the VLT. As a SPT and CLASH cluster, it had extensive spectroscopic and ancillary data already, including shallow Chandra imaging (PI Romer), Herschel (Egami et al. 2010; also Open Time Cycle 2 program, PI T. Rawle), SZ, Spitzer cryo-mission MIPS and IRAC (PI G. Rieke), and VLT spectroscopy (e.g. Balestra et al. 2013). Recently, Abell S1063 has been targeted by VLT MUSE integral field spectrograph (Karman et al. 2015).

The Abell S1063 parallel field was chosen to the east of the cluster, to avoid scattered light from the western bright stars in the Spitzer and HST observations. We note that Gruen et al. (2013) report a east-north cluster substructure which lies northward of the Abell S1063 parallel field location. The parallel field is predicted to have median magnification factors $\sim 1.02$ and maximum magnification factors $1.27 - 1.43$ for $1 < z < 9$ within the WFC3/IR pointing based on the pre-HFF v1.0 Merten lensing model.

4.6. Abell370

Abell370 (Abell 1958) at $z = 0.375$ (Struble & Rood 1999) is the host of the first known gravitational Einstein ring (Soucail et al. 1987; Paczynski 1987) and thus one of the best studied strong-lensing clusters (e.g. Kneib et al. 1993; Small et al. 1996; Bezecourt 1999a, b; Broadhurst et al. 2008; Richard et al. 2010; Medezinski et al. 2010; Umetsu et al. 2011). Its total velocity dispersion is $\sim 1170$ km s$^{-1}$ (Dressler et al. 1999), with the two main substructures showing internal velocity dispersions $\sim 850$ km s$^{-1}$ (Kneib et al. 1993). Abell 370’s total bolometric X-ray luminosity is $L_X = 1.1 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Morandi, Ettori, & Moscardini 2007). X-ray, SZ, and lensing analyses of Abell 370 consistently yield a virial mass $\sim 1 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ (e.g. Umetsu et al. 2011; Richard et al. 2010; Morandi et al. 2007). With HST ACS images taken shortly after the last HST refurbishment, Richard et al. (2010) found significant offsets between the peak X-ray emission and peaks of the lensing mass distribution, and concluded that Abell 370 is likely the recent merger of two equal mass clusters along the line of sight. Like Abell 2744, Abell 370 was not part of the the CLASH HST MCT survey (Postman et al. 2012).

Abell 370 is one of the stronger lensers among the selected Frontier Fields clusters, with current models predicting $P(z = 9.6) \sim 0.9$ (Table 2). The typical zodiacal background is 21.9 and 21.8 $H_{F160W}$ AB mag per $\text{arc}^2$ during the cluster IR epoch (7/2016-9/2016) and the parallel IR epoch (12/2015-2/2016) respectively. It has a Galactic foreground extinction $E(B-V) = 0.028$, and is accessible with both Northern and Southern telescopes. Abell 370 also has a rich legacy of archival data, including Chandra imaging (PI Garmire), Herschel data from the PACS Evolutionary Probe (Lutz et al. 2011) and Herschel Multi-tiered Extragalactic Survey (Oliver et al. 2012), and cryogenic Spitzer data in the four IRAC channels, IRS, and MIPS (Pis Fazio; Rieke; Houck; Lutz; Dowell).

For Abell 370, we choose the south-eastern parallel position in order to avoid multiple bright stars north-west of the cluster and a possible extension of cluster members to the north (Broadhurst et al. 2008). The parallel field is predicted to have the strongest weak-lensing boost, with median magnification factors $\sim 1.2 - 1.32$ and maximum magnification factors $1.35 - 1.63$ for $1 < z < 9$ within the WFC3/IR pointing based on the pre-HFF v1.0 Merten lensing model.

4.7. Other Cluster Candidates

We considered a number of potential Frontier Field clusters, many of which are known to be exceptional lensers. We excluded Abell 1689, Abell 1703, and the Bullet Cluster because of their low redshifts/ large angular sizes of their critical curves relative to the WFC3/IR field of view. Abell 2537, MACSJ1206.2-0747, MACSJ2129.4-0741, MACSJ2214.9-1359, RCS2-2327.4-04, RXJ1347.5-1144 all have low ecliptic latitudes, and therefore have unacceptably high zodiacal backgrounds. MACSJ0329.6-0211, MACSJ451.0+0006, MACSJ0520.7-1328, MACSJ0744.9+3927 have high Galactic extinctions (E(B-V) > 0.05). MACSJ0647.7+7015 and MACSJ744.9+3927 have numerous unavoidable bright stars in the field. MACSJ0647.7+7015, MACSJ744.9+3927, and MACSJ1423.8+2404 are unsuitable for deep ALMA observations. MACSJ0358.8-2995 has a foreground z=0.17 Abell cluster and very limited HST visibility. MACSJ0454.1-0300 is a weaker lenser with a moderate zodiacal background. MACSJ0257-2325 had limited public ancillary data at the time of selection. Additionally, these last three clusters are close in right ascension to each other and the UDF/GOODS-South field and MACSJ0416.1-2403, and therefore would have posed scheduling issues for HST over the course of the next several HST cycles.

5. OBSERVATIONS

Deep optical and near-infrared imaging achieving $\sim 29$th AB magnitude 5σ depths in seven HST bandpasses (ACS/WFC $B_{F435W}, V_{F606W}, I_{F814W}$, WFC3/IR $Y_{F105W}, J_{F125W}, H_{F160W}, W_{F225W}$) from 0.4-1.6 microns are used to identify high-redshift galaxies ($z > 4$) using the Lyman break drop-out technique (Table 3). Deep Spitzer IRAC imaging at 3.6 and 4.5 microns place additional constraints on galaxy redshifts (Table 3). Spectral energy distribution fitting of the multi-wavelength photometry from the combined HST and Spitzer imaging (e.g. Merlin et al. 2016) provide photometric redshifts, and estimates of the galaxy stellar masses and recent star-formation histories (e.g. Castelano et al. 2016).

The Frontier Field cluster observations have the same exposure times as the parallel fields, and similar observed depths. However, the intrinsic depths for background galaxies lensed by the clusters are deeper than the parallel fields (modulo the contribution to the foreground by the ICL and galaxies; see Livermore, Finkelstein, & Lotz 2016; Merlin et al. 2016 for ICL subtraction strategies), with typical magnifications across the cluster pointings $\sim 1.5 - 2$ and small areas magnified by factors as large as $> 10 - 100$.

5.1. HST Observing Strategy
Table 2
Frontier Fields: Cluster Properties and Ancillary Data

| Cluster         | $z$  | $M_{\text{vir}}$ $^a$ | $L_X$ $^a$ | $P(z = 9.6)$ $^b$ | Parallel $\mu$ $^c$ | $H_{\leq 27}$ | Spitzer | Herschel $^d$ | ALMA $^e$ |
|-----------------|------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------|
| Abell 2744      | 0.308| $1.8 \times 10^{15}$  | $3.1 \times 10^{45}$ | 0.69 ± 0.07 | 1.14-1.21 | yes | 100/250/350/500 | yes |
| MACSJ0416.1–2403| 0.396| $1.2 \times 10^{15}$  | $1.0 \times 10^{45}$ | 0.63 ± 0.12 | 1.09-1.16 | no | 100/250/350/500 | yes |
| MACSJ0717.5+3745| 0.545| $2 - 3 \times 10^{15}$| $3.3 \times 10^{45}$ | 0.84 ± 0.05 | 1.07-1.42 | yes | 100/250/350/500 | no |
| MACSJ1149.5+2223| 0.543| $2.5 \times 10^{15}$  | $1.8 \times 10^{45}$ | 0.60 ± 0.10 | 1.02-1.07 | no | 70/100/250/350/500 | yes |
| Abell S1063     | 0.348| $1.4 \times 10^{15}$  | $1.8 \times 10^{45}$ | 0.69 ± 0.08 | 1.02    | yes | 70/100/250/350/500 | yes |
| Abell 370       | 0.375| $\sim 1 \times 10^{15}$| $1.1 \times 10^{45}$ | 0.90 ± 0.08 | 1.2-1.3 | yes | 100/250/350/500 | yes |

$^a$ See text for references for each cluster.

$^b$ Median probability of lensing a $z = 9.6$ background galaxy to apparent $H_{F160W}$ ABmag $\leq 27$ within the WFC3/IR FOV, calculated using the pre-HFF v1.0 lensing models.

$^c$ Median magnification factor $\mu$ in the parallel fields within the WFC3/IR FOV; based on the weak-lensing estimates from pre-HFF v1.0 Merten models. Note that magnification factors may be larger at locations closer to the cluster.

$^d$ See Rawle et al. 2016 for summary of Herschel and Spitzer cryogenic observations. Note that the Herschel SPIRE 250/350/500 mm field of view covers both cluster and parallel fields for all but MACSJ0416.1-2403.

$^e$ Visibility from ALMA

Figure 2. HST full-depth image of Abell 2744, the first Frontier Field strong-lensing cluster. The central 1.5′×1.5′ is shown.
Figure 3.  $HST H_{F160W} + Spitzer IRAC$ 3.6 and 4.6 micron image of Abell 2744 (left) and the $HST$ full-depth image of Abell 2744 parallel field (central $1.5' \times 1.5'$).

Figure 4.  $HST$ full-depth image of MACSJ0416.1-2403 and its parallel field (central $1.5' \times 1.5'$)
Both the Wide Field Camera 3 and Advanced Camera for Surveys are used in concert at fixed HST roll-angles to probe each Frontier Field cluster and a parallel ‘blank’ field pair. Based upon the recommended depths and filter sets from the HDFI SWG report, we obtain 70 orbits per camera at a given roll angle, for a total of 140 orbits per pointing for both the cluster and parallel field. The first four sets of Frontier Fields were awarded DD time in Cycles 21 and 22 for a total of 560 orbits. Two more Frontier Fields were approved for Spitzer DD observations in Spitzer Cycle 11 and were awarded an additional DD 280 orbits in HST Cycle 23 after an external mid-term review of the program.\footnote{www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontierfields/documents/FF_MidTermReview.pdf}

Filter Selection and Depths: The ACS/WFC observations are taken in the $B_{F435W}$, $V_{F606W}$, and $I_{F814W}$

### Table 3

Frontier Fields Observational Depths

| Camera/Filter     | Exposure Time $^a$ | 5 $\sigma$ $^b$ |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| HST ACS/WFC F435W | 45 ks              | 28.8            |
| HST ACS/WFC F606W | 25 ks              | 28.8            |
| HST ACS/WFC F814W | 105 ks             | 29.1            |
| HST WFC3/IR F105W | 60 ks              | 28.9            |
| HST WFC3/IR F128W | 30 ks              | 28.6            |
| HST WFC3/IR F140W | 25 ks              | 28.6            |
| HST WFC3/IR F160W | 60 ks              | 28.7            |
| Spitzer IRAC 3.6\(\mu\)m | 50 ks       | 26.5            |
| Spitzer IRAC 4.5\(\mu\)m | 50 ks       | 26.0            |

$^a$ Assuming 2500s per HST orbit. Spitzer depths include previous archival observations.

$^b$ Calculated for a point source within a 0.4″ diameter aperture for HST.
filters, and the WFC3/IR observations are obtained in $Y_{F105W}$, $J_{F125W}$, $H_{F140W}$, and $H_{F160W}$ for both the parallel and cluster fields. The HDFI SWG recommended the $J_{H_{F140W}}$ filter only for the cluster pointings. This filter is most needed for discriminating between $z \sim 9$ and higher redshift candidates, and it was felt that these would be unlikely to be detected in the parallel fields. However, subsequent input from the community and discovery of bright $z \geq 9$ candidates resulted in the addition of the $J_{H_{F140W}}$ to the parallel field observations. The number of orbits per filter/camera and estimated depths for 5σ point source measured within a 0.4″ diameter aperture are given in Table 3.

**Observational Cadence:** Given the large number of orbits required for a given field and orbit, we selected clusters for which HST observing windows of at least 30 days at fixed orients with suitable guide stars available at both orients. The HDFI SWG did not recommend dividing the observations of a given field over multiple epochs to search for supernovae or other transient objects. Therefore, the majority of data for each field was obtained in two epochs of ~30-60 days (one for each camera/orient) separated by six months. However, for those fields for which no pre-existing HST data was available, we obtained 1 advance visit with ACS/FL814W, and/or 1 advance visit with WFC3/HF160W to provide a template for transients and preliminary catalogs for ground-based Spitzer ancillary observations.

During each main epoch of observations, the HST WFC3/IR filter complement was initially rotated through $Y_{F105W}$/$J_{F125W}$/$H_{F140W}$/$H_{F160W}$ with a single filter per two-orbit visit to facilitate the detection of high redshift supernovae. Our first set of observations of Abell 2744 were impacted by time-variable background in the WFC3/IR $Y_{F105W}$. This is due to a known HeI emission line at 10830 Å from the Earth’s atmosphere, which is detected by HST when it observes at low limb angles at start or end of an orbit and HST is not in Earth’s shadow. During the course of our observations, it was determined that we could predict the times of highly variable sky based upon the observational ephemeris (Brammer et al. 2014). Therefore, a subset of our visits for MACSJ0416.1-2403 were changed to four-orbit visits, with four half-orbit $Y_{F105W}$ exposures paired with four half-orbit $H_{F160W}$ exposures taken at start (or end) of each orbit when HeI emission was expected to have the largest impact. We found this strategy to work well for mitigating the impact of time-variable sky on the $Y_{F105W}$; remaining signatures of this effect, as well as time-variability in all IR filters when observing close to the bright Earth limb, are removed from our reduced data using a modified IR ramp fitting algorithm (Robberto 2014; Hilbert 2014).

Initially, the ACS/WFC filter complement was rotated through $B_{F435W}$/$V_{F606W}$/$I_{F814W}$ throughout each observing epoch as well. At low sky backgrounds, ACS/WFC images are degraded by charge transfer efficiency (CTE) trails. While CTE trails from sources and hot pixels are now corrected in the standard pipeline, this correction is never perfect and results in residual noise above the ETC estimates. However, in reducing the observations for the first epoch of Abell 2744, we found that the final combined images were greatly enhanced when “self-calibrated” to remove the signature of trails in the darks and other detector-related sources of noise\footnote{Www.stsci.edu/hst/acs/software/Selfcal} (also Ogaz, Avila, & Hilbert 2015). Transient hot pixels in the darks are the major source of this noise. The imperfectly corrected hot pixels end up generating the same pattern of residuals in all the images. With multiple exposures (> 8), it is possible to self-calibrate out this pattern and regain ~20% in $B_{F435W}$ depth. ACS undergoes a monthly annealing process in order to reduce the population of hot pixels. The structure of hot pixels in the darks are reset after the anneal, making the self-calibration software procedure less effective. Therefore, for later epochs of observations, we grouped the ACS/WFC $B_{F435W}$ and $V_{F606W}$ exposures in order to straddle the planned ACS anneals. The total number of $I_{F814W}$ exposures is large enough to be self-calibrated with the number of images taken on either side of the ACS anneals, and so they are interlaced with the $B_{F435W}$ and $V_{F606W}$ observations.

**Dither Pattern:** To maximize the sensitivity of the HST Frontier Fields, especially toward the edges where strong magnification is predicted, each epoch of observations is constrained to a fixed HST roll angle with small dithers between exposures. The fixed roll-angle requirement means that every HST visit within an epoch is fine-guiding on the same pair of stars, and therefore inter-visit dithering is highly effective.

To mitigate self-persistence between visits, we used an inter-visit dither pattern that displaced any given two visits by > 1 WFC3/IR pixel (~ 0.13″) while still retaining overall compactness. This was achieved by generating 35 pseudo-random dither locations from a 2D Sobol sequence covering a 6-pixel square. At the same time, pixel-phase dithering was achieved by modulating this 6 pixel pattern by a secondary 35-element 2D Sobol sequence sampling over pixel phase. Pairings of ACS and WFC3 filters were carefully matched to visit-specific dither locations such that no filter had a pile-up of exposures in either absolute location or in pixel phase.

The HST dithering within the Frontier Fields visits, comprising four half-orbit exposures per filter, used the standard WFC3/IR "IR-DITHER-BLOB". This intra-visit dither pattern had several attractive features, including: good intra-visit subpixel phase sampling for WFC3/IR, stepping across WFC3/IR “blobs” of reduced detector sensitivity; and stepping across the ACS/WFC CCD gap. This intra-visit dither pattern is also sufficient to reject cosmic ray impacts marring the four half-orbit ACS exposures. Because of the compactness of IR-DITHER-BLOB, we do not completely fill in the WFC3/IR "deathstar" - a ~ 6” circular region of bad pixels - nor do we dither over the WFC3/IR “wagon-wheel”, an extended region on the right edge of the detector with low quantum efficiency and color-dependent structure which is not corrected by the existing flat fields.

**WFC3/IR Persistence:** Like other sensitive HST WFC3/IR programs, the Frontier Field observations are scheduled to minimize the impact of IR detector persistence from bright objects previously observed by other HST programs (e.g. “bad-actors”; Long, Baggett & MacKenty 2013). Every Frontier Field exposure is vi-

\footnote{Blogs.stsci.edu/hstff/2013/05/24/calibration-is-in-the-works/}
usually inspected for data quality issues including persistence, and additional checks for persistence are done
Mo. Persistence impact small regions of the detector and decays rapidly enough to affect only a few exposures, and therefore can be effectively masked out in the final stacked WFC3/IR images. However, early WFC3/IR observations of the MACS0416.1-2403 parallel field were severely impacted by scanned WFC3/IR grism observations of a bright star, for which persistence over ∼ 30% of the WFC3/IR detector was visible for > 24 hours after the grism observations (Long et al. 2014). HST schedulers quickly responded to change the following week’s schedule to prevent repeating this sequence of programs. We triggered an HST Observation Problem Report (HOPR) to re-observe 10 orbits, and our input resulted in a change in the HST scheduling systems for the time buffer after such bad actors. Additional HOPR were called in Cycle 23 to repeat persistence-effected observations for Abell S1063 (8 orbits) and Abell 370 (6 orbits).

5.2. HST Data Reduction

We briefly describe here the Frontier Fields HST data pipeline and resulting high-level science products. For more details about the HST Frontier Fields data reduction, please see Koekemoer et al. 2016 (in prep) and the data release readme files associated with each HST dataset.

Every incoming exposure is visually inspected and flagged for artifacts, including satellite trails and asteroids, IR persistence, and IR time-variable sky within a few days of acquisition. Intermediate v0.5 stacked and drizzled images products are produced with standard archival retrievals, at 30 and 60 mas pixel scales, with major artifacts masked. The images are aligned with astrometric solutions based on previous HST and ground-based catalogs, initially compiled during the construction of the public Frontier Fields lensing models in summer 2013. Thus all MAST-hosted Frontier Fields lensing models and HST data products are aligned to the same astrometric grid.

The v1.0 “best effort” image products are released within several weeks of the completion of the observing epoch for each cluster/parallel field pair at a given orient and camera configuration. These best effort image products includes the following improvements above the v0.5 releases:

- reprocessing of all exposures using the most recent ACS and WFC3 calibration files (darks, flats, biases).
- improved astrometric alignment between filters, and cameras
- improved treatment of ACS/WFC bias destriping
- "self-calibration" applied to the ACS/WFC images to remove residual detector noise/artifacts, including correction for CTE in the darks
- masking of any new WFC3/IR “blobs” and additional persistence sources
- correction for WFC3/IR time-variable sky in the ramp-fitting, which most strongly affects the F105W observations due to the HeI emission but also impacts all IR filters when observing close to the bright Earth limb.
- inclusion of HST imaging from other programs in the same filters in the stacked images to achieve maximum depths.

5.3. Spitzer Observations

In Spitzer Cycles 9, 10, and 11, all six Frontier Fields clusters were observed with IRAC channels 1 and 2 (3.6 and 4.5 micron) with Director’s Discretionary time. Combined with archival data, the final images are expected to have nominal 5-sigma point source sensitivities of 26.6 AB mag at 3.6 microns and 26.0 AB mag at 4.5 microns. However, contributions from confusion and the intra-cluster light may mean the observations are less sensitive at the cluster core. Two of the clusters (MACS0717.5+3745 and MACS1149.5+2223) are in a previously approved Spitzer Cycle-9 program SURF-SUP (PI M. Bradac, 90009), and two of the clusters (MACS0416.1-2403 and MACS0717.1.5+3745) were observed by the Cycle-8 program iCLASH (PI R. Bouwens, 80168). Due to conflicting roll angle constraints with HST and Spitzer, the IRAC and HST fields of view could not be matched in position angle. Furthermore, to maximize the depth of these observations the observing windows were constrained to the epochs with the lowest background. As a result there are significant “flanking field” areas covered by IRAC to 25h depth around the main HST fields. For the reduced Spitzer data products, readme files, and additional information, please see Capak et al. (in prep) and irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/Frontier/

6. LENSING MODELS & PREDICTIONS

In order to enable study of background lensed galaxies by a broad cross-section of the extra-galactic community, the HST Frontier Fields team has also supported the development and public release of lensing maps for each selected cluster. The initial lensing models were based on data taken before the Frontier Fields observing campaign to ensure that the community could make use of the Frontier Fields data as soon as possible (Table 4). Five independent teams (Bradac; Clusters As TelescopeS, PI Kneib & Natarajan; Zitrin & Merten; Sharon; Williams), using a diversity of approaches (Bradac et al. 2005; LENSTOOL: Julio & Kneib 2009; Zitrin et al. 2009; Merten et al. 2009; GRALE: Mohammed et al. 2014), coordinated to adopt the same input archival HST and ground-based datasets, the same redshifts, and multiple image identifications. These models were made public on MAST prior to the HST Frontier Fields observations in autumn 2013. The initial pre-FF model predictions for the galaxy numbers and volumes probed at high-redshift are described in (Coe, Bradley, & Zitrin 2015).

However, these first pre-FF models have been rapidly superseded. The deep HST data have resulted in an unprecedented set of strong-lensed arcs and multiple images for constraining the cluster potentials (e.g. Lam et al. 2014; Jauzac et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015; Kawamata et al. 2016; Jauzac et al. 2014; Diego et al. 2015). Subsequent observations with the GLASS HST WFC3/IR grism GO program (Treu et al. 2015; Schmidt et al. 2018).
2014; see [archive.stsci.edu/prepds/glass/](archive.stsci.edu/prepds/glass/) and new ground-based spectroscopic campaigns have greatly increased the number and accuracy of the redshifts for the background lensed FF galaxies (Wang et al. 2015; Hoag et al. 2015; Johnson et al. 2014; Richard et al. 2014; Ebeling et al. 2014; Grillo et al. 2015; Balestra et al. 2015). The detection of a lensed SNIa in MACSJ0416.1-2403 has also provided a strong constraint on its true magnification (Rodney et al. 2015). The discovery of the multiply-imaged SN Refsdal in MACSJI1149.5+2223 (Kelly et al. 2014) sparked an independent coordinated effort to predict the time delays and re-appearance of this supernova in another image of the host galaxy (Treu et al. 2016; Kelly et al. 2016; also Rodney et al. 2016). Additional programs have sought to understand and improve the systematics inherent in the different modeling approach (e.g. Zitrin et al. 2015; Mohammed et al. 2016; Harvey, Kneib, & Jauzac 2016; Meneghetti et al., in prep.).

The Frontier Fields lensing models will continue to be refined, as the HST Frontier Fields observing program proceeds through September 2016, new ancillary spectroscopic and weak-lensing datasets are acquired, and the modeling methods improve. This investment is critical for ensuring the Frontier Fields’ legacy for JWST studies. To continue to provide the best models to the broader community, a renewed effort to update the existing lensing models and incorporate new FF and ancillary data began in May 2015 for Abell 2744 and MACS0416.1-2403 (Table 4). The resulting models were publicly released in autumn 2015. A second round of lensing coordination is set to begin in summer 2016, and will encompass the last four clusters. The delivery of MACSJ1149.5+2223 and MACSJ0717.5+3647 models are due in February 2017, with final delivery of Abell S1063 and Abell 370 models due in February 2018.

7. SUMMARY

We present the motivation and survey design for the Frontier Fields, a Director’s Discretionary time program with HST and Spitzer to see deeper into the distant universe than ever before. Six strong-lensing clusters and six parallel fields are observed, probing galaxies to observe optical/near-infrared magnitudes of ∼29 ABmag and 10 − 100 times fainter in regions of high magnification. We explain the primary scientific goals of the Frontier Fields, the selection criteria for the fields, and the detailed properties of each Frontier Field cluster and parallel. We describe the HST and Spitzer observing programs, and the coordinated Frontier Fields lensing model effort.

The HST Frontier Fields observations of the last cluster (Abell 370) and its parallel field will complete in September 2016, and the coordinated lensing models will be updated in 2017-2018. The full Spitzer Frontier Fields observations are complete and were publicly released in early 2016. The first Frontier Fields observations have already probed galaxies during the epoch of reionization to intrinsic luminosities fainter than any previously seen (e.g. Livermore, Finkelstein, & Lotz 2016; Castellano et al. 2016; Atek et al. 2015; Laporte et al. 2015; Zitrin et al. 2014). The full dataset will place strong statistical constraints on the faint end of the luminosity function during this era (Robertson et al. 2015). At the time of publication of this article, over 70 refereed publications and 3 conferences have been devoted to or based in part on the Frontier Fields. These works include studies of high-redshift galaxies in the cluster and parallel fields; new cluster lensing models and dark matter maps; supernovae/transient studies; intra-cluster light and cluster evolution studies; and ancillary observations probing highly-lensed background sources with major ground-based facilities. These data and associated models will provide a unique legacy for future high-redshift universe studies with the James Webb Space Telescope.
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Based on observations obtained with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, retrieved from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. This work is based in part on observations made with the Spitzer Space Telescope, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. This work utilizes gravitational lensing models produced by PIs Brada, Natarajan & Kneib (CATS), Merten & Zitrin, Sharon, Williams, and the GLAFIC and Diego groups. This lens modeling was partially funded by the HST Frontier Fields program conducted by STScI.
| Team       | Method    | Parallel? | Version | Dataa | Abell 2744 | MACSJ0416.1 | MACSJ0717 | MACSJ1149.5 | Abell S1063 | Abell 370 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| CATS       | LENSTOOL  | no        | 1       | pre-HFF | 10/2013    | 12/2013     | 12/2013   | 12/2013     | 12/2013     | 12/2013   |
|            |           |           | 2       | HFF-   | 10/2014    | –           | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 2.1     | HFF-   | 9/2015     | –           | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 2.2     | HFF-   | 9/2015     | –           | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 3       | HFF+   | 9/2015     | 9/2015      | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 3.1     | HFF+   | 9/2015     | 9/2015      | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| Sharon     | LENSTOOL  | no        | 1       | pre-HFF | 10/2013    | 12/2013     | 12/2013   | 12/2013     | 12/2013     | 12/2013   |
|            |           |           | 2       | pre-HFF | 5/2014     | 5/2014      | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 3       | HFF+   | 9/2015     | 9/2015      | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| Zitrin & Merten | NFW     | no        | 1       | pre-HFF | 9/2013     | 9/2013      | 9/2013   | 9/2013      | 9/2013      | 9/2013   |
|            | LTM-G     | no        | 1       | pre-HFF | 9/2013     | 9/2013      | 9/2013   | 9/2013      | 9/2013      | 9/2013   |
| WL         | yes       | 1         | pre-HFF | 9/2013 | 9/2013     | 9/2013      | 9/2013   | 9/2013      | 9/2013      | 9/2013   |
| NFW        | no        | 3         | HFF+    | 9/2015 | 9/2015     | –          | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| LTM-G      | no        | 3         | HFF+    | 9/2015 | 9/2015     | –          | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| GLAFIC     |           | no        | 1       | HFF-   | 11/2014    | –          | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 3       | HFF+    | 2/2016     | 2/2016      | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| Williams   | GRALE     | no        | 1       | pre-HFF | 9/2013     | 9/2013      | 9/2013   | 9/2013      | 9/2013      | 9/2013   |
|            |           |           | 2       | HFF-   | 10/2014    | –          | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 3       | HFF+    | 11/2015    | 11/2015     | –         | –           | –           | –         |
|            |           |           | 3.1     | HFF+    | 11/2015    | 11/2015     | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| Bradac     | yes       |           | 1       | pre-HFF | 9/2013     | 9/2013      | 9/2013   | 9/2013      | 9/2013      | 9/2013   |
|            |           |           | 2       | HFF-   | 9/2015     | –          | –         | –           | –           | –         |
| Diego      | ?         | 3         | HFF+    | –       | 2/2016     | –          | –         | –           | –           | –         |

---

a See [archive.stsci.edu/prepds/frontier/lensmodels](archive.stsci.edu/prepds/frontier/lensmodels) for models; [www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/Lensing-Models](www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/Lensing-Models) for lensing primer and description of the different methods.

b pre-HFF models were constructed prior to the FF observations with the coordinated input data; HFF- models were constructed with FF observations but without coordination between the teams; HFF+ models were constructed with FF observations with coordinated inputs between teams.