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ABSTRACT
This paper partitions the arm current of MMC into uncontrollable current and controllable current. The former is determined by the load that can’t be controlled by taking any control strategy. The later caused by the unbalanced total inserted voltage of three arms can be controlled by some improved algorithms. The conclusion based on the researching the essence of circulating current is reached that change the number of the inserted sub-modules in each phase can suppress the circulating current. Combined with the improved ladder wave modulation, a novel circulating current suppression strategy particularly for the inverter station is developed. The improved strategy can adapt to load changes and reduce the circulating current and output voltage THD of MMC ac terminals greatly without increasing any peripheral circuits. Finally, the simulation model of 100 submodules in each phase is constructed in MATLAB and the simulation results verify the correctness and effectiveness of the modified control algorithm.
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1. Introduction

As a novel topological structure of high voltage direct current transmission (HVDC), modular multilevel converter (MMC) has been developed for ten years due to the advantages in the high power application [1-4]. The first MMC project called “trans bay cable” between Pittsburgh and San Francisco has been established by Siemens in 2010. Two hundred submodules per phase and ±200 KV rated dc voltage and 400MW rated active power are the basic parameters of the project [5,6]. Spain and France have planned another powerful direct current transmission project, based on the MMC topology with the rated apparent power 1059MVA and dc voltage ±320 KV, to operate in 2013 [7].

The advantages of MMC-HVDC are more superior compared to two levels VSC-HVDC, but the shortcomings must draw a big attention [8-11]. One of them is the double frequency negative circulating current in three phases, which decreases the service life of the converter and also increases power losses of the converter resulted in the decline operation efficiency [12]. Although new control strategy is constantly emerging, most of them can be partitioned into two categories: carrier phase shifted pulse width modulation (CPS-PWM) and capacitor voltage sorting algorithm [4,5,13]. All the control strategies proposed in the past ten years are based on the viewpoint that the total inserted submodules in each phase should not be changed. But in recent years, some papers have reported that the total inserted submodules is unnecessary to be constant, which gives an idea to improve the control effects of MMC [13].

In this study, a circulating current suppression control strategy called module changing selection algorithm (MCSA) is proposed after modifying the traditional ladder wave method [6] based on the traditional sorting algorithm from the aspect of engineering applying. The merits of the improved method are the ability to decrease the circulating current and the THD of output voltage of MMC obviously. While, the sampling period and processing speed is demanded to be faster than the traditional control strategy. Stiffness dc voltage is needed to reflect the advantages of MCSA, which is particularly suitable for the passive network such as islet and offshore platform. Finally, a simulation model contained 100 submodules is constructed in MALTAB/SIMULINK, and the results show the correctness and effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

2. Principle of MMC

Figure 1 shows one phase arm of MMC. Each single arm is composed of N submodules, equivalent loss resistance
The capacitor will be charged and discharged along with the direction of the arm current as the capacitance of the capacitor can’t be infinite in actual system, which makes the voltage of capacitor deviated from the ideal value of formula (3). Therefore, the unbalanced total inserted voltage of three phases makes the arm current $i_{j,\text{arm}}$ no longer an unchanged dc current, in which superimposes a double frequency current called circulating current [12]. Although the circulating current has no directly influence on outer circuits, it magnifies the ripple of capacitor voltage and the system losses, and reduces the service life of the converter. Ignoring higher harmonics, the double circulating current of three phases fulfill

$$i_{a,\text{cir}} + i_{b,\text{cir}} + i_{c,\text{cir}} = 0 \quad (4)$$

Equation (2) can be modified as

$$\begin{align*}
    i_{j,\text{arm}} &= i_j + \frac{i_j}{2} \\
    i_{j,\text{cir}} &= i_j - \frac{i_j}{2}
\end{align*} \quad (5)$$

where, $I_{d0}$ is the average value of $i_{d0}$. The voltage drop of the upper and lower arm between equivalent resistance $R_s$ and inductance $L_s$ are

$$\begin{align*}
    u_{j,\text{arm}} &= R_s i_{j,\text{arm}} + L_s \frac{di_{j,\text{arm}}}{dt} \\
    u_{j,\text{cir}} &= R_s i_{j,\text{cir}} + L_s \frac{di_{j,\text{cir}}}{dt}
\end{align*} \quad (6)$$

Combined Equation (5) with (6), the output voltage of MMC ac terminal nodal point is

$$u_j = \frac{u_{j,\text{arm}} - u_{j,\text{cir}}}{2} - \frac{R_s}{2} i_j - L_s \frac{di_j}{dt} \quad (7)$$

Ignoring $R_s$ because of $R_s << \omega L_s$, substituting equation (5) into (6) to obtain the nodal points equation between $U_2$ and $L_2$

$$u_{j,\text{cir}} + u_{j,\text{cir}} + u_{j,\text{cir}} = 2L_s \frac{di_{j,\text{cir}}}{dt} \quad (8)$$

Substituting Equation (8) into the first formula of Equation (1), yields

$$2L_s \frac{di_{j,\text{cir}}}{dt} = U_{dc} - (u_{j,\text{arm}} + u_{j,\text{cir}}) \quad (9)$$

From Equation (9), it can be obviously seen that $i_{j,\text{cir}} = 0$ at ideal assumption according to formula (3). Supposed that the dc voltage $U_{dc}$ is sufficient stiffness in actual system, then $U_{dc} \neq u_{j,\text{arm}} + u_{j,\text{cir}}$ and $L_s \cdot \frac{di_{j,\text{cir}}}{dt} \neq 0$ if keep the total inserted submodules $N$ unchanged. So
$i_{j, uv}$ is a controllable current according to Equation (9). The value of circulating current could get effectively controlled if the sum of the upper inserted voltage and lower inserted voltage could control nearly to the dc voltage $U_{dc}$. For example, it does not have any big influence on the output voltage of MMC showed in formula (7) if the upper and lower arm increases or decreases the same submodule at the same time as the voltage of capacitors in the upper and lower arm are controlled nearly identity. In doing so, it not only keeps the output voltage unchanged but also restrains the circulating current greatly. From the above analyses, it can reach the conclusion that the total inserted submodule of all the methods to restrain the circulating current by using control algorithms always fluctuating around $N$. Defining voltage modulation and current modulation [14], respectively

$$m = \frac{U_o}{U_{dc}/2}$$

$$k = \frac{I_o}{I_{dc}/3}$$

where $U_o$ and $I_o$ are the amplitude of phase voltage and phase current of MMC, respectively. Based on the formulas (1) and (7) and keep $N$ unchanged, provides that the inserted modules of the upper and lower arm of phase A are

$$n_u = \frac{N}{2} - \text{round}\left(\frac{mN}{2} \sin \omega t\right)$$

$$n_l = \frac{N}{2} + \text{round}\left(\frac{mN}{2} \sin \omega t\right)$$

Where $\text{round}(x)$ is the function to get the nearest integral number [6]. The formula of phase A of MMC ac terminal can be expressed as

$$u_a = \text{round}\left(\frac{mN}{2} \sin \omega t\right) \cdot U_{ca}$$

3. Improved Modulation Method

The total inserted submodule may be odd number, which gives an idea to decrease the output voltage THD of MMC ac terminals. By using this proper character, the traditional ladder wave for decreasing the THD of output voltage is modified this paper. Figure 2 shows the waveform of traditional and improved ladder waveform when $N = 10$ and $m = 1$. Blue line is the pure sinusoidal wave, red line is the traditional ladder waveform and green line is the improved ladder waveform. Without loss of generality, sinusoidal curve is $f_1(t)$, traditional ladder waveform is $f_2(t) = \text{round}[f_1(t)]$, improved ladder waveform is $f_3(t) = \text{round}[2f_1(t)]/2$. The Fourier analyses of the traditional ladder waveform and improved ladder waveform generated by $f_1(t) = mN\sin(\omega t)/2$ in one cycle under ideal condition are

$$f_2(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} 4\left(\sum_{\theta_2=1}^{M/3} \cos n\theta_2 \right) \cdot \sin n\omega t$$

$$f_3(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{2N/3} 2\left(\sum_{\theta_3=1}^{M/3} \cos n\theta_3 \right) \cdot \sin n\omega t$$

where $n$ is odd number. Might as well hypothesis $N$ is even number, and $M = \text{round}(mN/2)$ is the angle of level 2-1 jumping to level 2 in the first quarter cycle, and the same as $\theta_3, \theta_2$ and $\theta_1$ are $\theta_2 = \arcsin[(2 i2-1)/mN]$, $i2 = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $\theta_1 = \arcsin[(3-1)/mN]$, $i3 = 1, 2, \ldots, 2M$, respectively. Table 1 shows the relationship between THD% and of the traditional ladder waveform and the improved ladder waveform when $m = 1$.

Rewriting the formulas (11) and (12) into the following equations after adapting the improved ladder waveform modulation method

$$n_u = \frac{N}{2} - \text{round}\left(\frac{mN \sin \omega t}{2}\right)$$

$$n_l = \frac{N}{2} + \text{round}\left(\frac{mN \sin \omega t}{2}\right)$$

$$u_a = \text{round}\left(\frac{mN \sin \omega t}{2}\right) \cdot U_{ca}$$

where, $n_u$ and $n_l$ denote the inserted submodule of the upper and lower arm, respectively. It appears alternatively between integer and non-integer at the same time of formula (14), which is different to the situation that the inserted submodule in the arm must be integer. The way to deal with the integer and non-integer will be discussed in detail in the following sub-section.
4. Optimized Algorithm of Circulating Current Suppression

Hundreds submodules of the commutation arms of MMC are needed to cascade for aiming at high power transmission areas. Although the circulating current can achieve perfectly suppression when adopting CPS-PWM used in reference [5], it increases the hardware cost and the complexities of the control system since the number of the carrier is proportional to the number of the submodules. As mentioned before, all the control strategies that can restrain the circulating current in essence are to control the total inserted voltage nearly to the dc voltage.

The MCSA proposed in this paper can suppress the circulating current obviously and decrease the THD of arm current and the ripple of capacitors without increasing any peripheral hardware circuits. It has little influences on the output voltage but a huge impact on the circulating current when the upper and lower arm increase or decrease the same number submodules at the same time. It’s feasible to restrain the circulating current without influence on the output voltage by choosing appropriate inserted submodules. Triggered at the reference ladder voltage stepping time, MCSA is a dynamic selection algorithm. It can achieve well suppression of circulating current when the upper and lower arm increase or decrease at maximum possible. In doing so, it can maintain the total inserted voltage nearly to the dc voltage, and this selection algorithm also can adapt to the load change automatically.

Figure 3 is the flow chart of MCSA. The basic principle is as follows. Taking phase A as an example, supposing the desired output voltage of MMC is \( u_{\text{desire}} = mN U_{d0} \sin(\omega t)/2 \), so the actual output ladder is round \( mN \pi_s \sin(\omega t)/2 \). The method to dispose the output of formula (15) changed between integer and non-integer is as follows. Calculating \( (U_{d0} - U_{\alpha} - U_{\beta})/U_{d0} \) to the nearest even number based on formulas (7) and (9) when formula (15) is integer; otherwise, calculating \((U_{d0} - U_{\alpha} - U_{\beta})/U_{d0} \) to the nearest odd number based on formulas (7) and (9) when formula (15) is non-integer. \( U_{\alpha} \) and \( U_{\beta} \) are the total inserted voltage of the upper and the lower arm based on traditional sorting algorithm, respectively. In doing so, the number of the inserted submodules in the upper and lower arm when optimized sorting algorithm adopted can be modified as

\[
\begin{align*}
N_y &= \frac{N}{2} \times \left\lfloor \frac{mN \sin(\omega t)}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{\Delta N}{2} \quad (16) \\
N_l &= \frac{N}{2} + \frac{\Delta N}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

where, \( \Delta N \) is the nearest even number or the odd number as mentioned in the previous analyses. It has to adopt the traditional sorting algorithm to provide pulses for each submodule when the number of the inserted submodules is out of the actual boundary without redundant submodules.

5. Simulation Study

A simulation model to verify the correctness of the improved ladder wave modulation and MCSA is established in MATLAB/Simulink with the parameters Table 2 showed.

The comparison of traditional algorithm and the optimized MCSA based on the improved ladder wave modulation is showed in Figure 4, in which traditional sorting algorithm is adopted before 0.4s and the MCSA is activated after 0.4s. Figure 4(a) is the voltage waveform of three phases of MMC ac terminals whose THD is 2.4% when traditional sorting algorithm adopted and 0.98% when improved MCSA activated. The reason why the values are difference to the 1.59% and 0.81% in Table 1 is that the simulation results are calculated in real situation while the values in Table 1 are calculated in the ideal situation. Figure 4(b) is the three phases current. Figure 4(c) is the total inserted submodules of phase A. Fifty submodules are inserted constantly before 0.4 s,

Table 2: Basic parameters of simulation system.

| Parameters | Values |
|------------|--------|
| \( U_{d0} \)/KV | 37.5 |
| \( U_a \)/KV | 60 |
| \( P/MW \) | 60 |
| \( PF \) | 0.95 |
| \( U_{\alpha} \)/KV | 1.2 |
| \( L_s/mH \) | 10 |
| \( C/mF \) | 12.5 |
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Figure 4. Waves of traditional and improved methods: (a) output voltage of MMC, (b) output current of MMC, (c) total inserted submodules of phase A, (d) circulating current of phase A, (e) the current of upper and lower arm of phase A, (f) the voltage of capacitors of phase A.

while total inserted submodules fluctuated around fifty when MCSA is active. Figure 4(d) is the circulating current of phase A, in which the amplitude of circulating current is decreased greatly. The waveform of the upper and the lower arm current of phase A are showed in Figure 4(e). After MSCA is adopted, the arm current does not contain a double frequency negative current. The capacitor’s voltage in the upper arm and the lower arm of phase A are showed in Figure 4(f), in which the voltage fluctuation in the capacitors greatly decreased after MSCA is activated and the control effect is obviously achieved.

Figure 5 is the main indexes simulation waveforms of MMC when a 10MW and 20Mvar inductive load inserted at 0.3s. Figure 5(a), (b) are the voltage and current waveforms of MMC ac terminals, respectively. It can be easily seen from the waveforms that the voltage doesn’t change at steady, but the current accelerate to balance the power flow. Figure 5(c) is the waveforms of active power and reactive power. Figure 5(d) is the total inserted submodules of phase A, in which the fluctuation range is relatively low because of under loading before 0.3s. With the load inserted, the fluctuation of capacitor voltage increased caused by the increased load current and the fluctuation range of total inserted submodules also increased. Figure 5(e) is the circulating current flowed through phase A. From the waveform, it can be known that circulating current achieves good suppression especially in heavy load situation. Figure 5(f) is the voltage waveforms of capacitors in the upper and the lower arm of phase A, from which no matter the load inserted or not the voltage of capacitors balance well.

5. Conclusions

Suppressing the circulating current needs to change the total inserted submodules in each phase is the conclusion achieved in this study according to the derived mathematical formulas. In order to decrease the output voltage’s THD of MMC, the traditional ladder wave modulation method is modified and the THD of the improved method is smaller than the traditional method in ideal
condition. Combined with the improved ladder wave modulation, a novel control strategy, particularly suits for the inverter station for power applying of islet and offshore platform, is proposed to suppress the circulating current flowing within the six arms and decrease the output voltage’s THD of MMC.
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