An experimental study of the inclusion behavior during maraging steel processing
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Abstract. Two maraging steel rods with different sulfur content, deliberately seeded with alumina (Al2O3) inclusions, were remelted in an electron beam furnace. The aim was on one hand to highlight the emergence of non-metallic inclusions at the liquid metal surface and on the other hand to study the effect of the presence of a surface active element (sulfur) on their behavior. The in situ real time observation of Al2O3 clusters was possible using both high-speed video and infrared thermography. The inclusions emerge and tend to collide and quickly agglomerate into rafts. A semi-quantitative analysis confirmed that almost 100% of inclusions are captured at the free surface. The electron beam creates large thermal gradients in the impingement zones; this induces thermocapillary convection (Marangoni effect). The flow direction depends on the sulfur content. This tendency was well-observed by following the trajectories of inclusion rafts.
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Nomenclature

D_i Inclusion equivalent diameter [μm]
N_Ai Inclusion area density in the metal base [1/mm²]
N_Vi Inclusion volume density in the metal base [1/mm³]
ξ_B Inclusion area fraction in the metal base [-]
ξ_LF Inclusion area fraction at the liquid metal film surface [-]
ξ_LD Inclusion area fraction at the liquid metal drop surface [-]
φ_B Inclusion volume fraction in the metal base [-]
φ_L Inclusion volume fraction in the liquid metal [-]
A_i Area occupied by the inclusion rafts [mm²]
d Droplet diameter [mm]
A_d Droplet area [mm²]
V_d Droplet volume [mm³]

1 Introduction

The inclusion cleanliness of alloys has been for decades a major concern for the metallurgists, in particular the producers of special steels, so that much industrial effort was directed towards enhancing the inclusion removal. Numerous refining processes have appeared in order to improve the mechanical properties of steel. As examples, the VAR (vacuum arc remelting), ESR (electron slag remelting) and EBM (electron beam melting) processes enable one to obtain ingots with a high chemical homogeneity and inclusion cleanliness. The non-metallic inclusions have an adverse influence on the fatigue resistance of steels. Their presence promotes a discontinuity in the physicochemical properties of the metallic matrix. This results in important stress concentration which could lead to the rupture of alloy during fatigue cycles. This is the reason why the inclusion cleanliness remains crucial. Many studies have been conducted dealing with the inclusion behavior in the molten steel. The emersion phenomena as well as collision and agglomeration mechanisms of non-metallic inclusions have been extensively studied experimentally [1–8]. These mechanisms were widely explored in many industrial processes during steelmaking, for instance, gas bubbling, nozzle clogging, deoxidation, reoxidation... However, a detailed in-situ observation of the emersion of inclusions in liquid metal treatment has never been performed during the transfer of metal caused by the fall of liquid drops, as we can find in remelting processes for the production of maraging steels. The present study aims to achieve such observation.

The agglomeration mechanism is attributed to the long-range attraction capillary forces. The emersion phenomena depends on the wettability of the particles...
by the steel, which is related to the composition and structure of inclusions [9].

The non-metallic inclusions which are not wettable are CaO, Al₂O₃, MgO, ZrO₂ and Ce₂O₃. Their contact angle is higher than 90°, thus they are captured by the steel melt at the free surface and tend to aggregate. However, other inclusions are wettable and therefore do not aggregate. This is particularly the case of silica and silicates, TiO₂ and Cr₂O₃ for example [10].

In the present paper, the in situ observation of inclusion behavior in a liquid steel melt with either a low or high sulfur content was performed during electron beam melting sequences.

To achieve this goal, electron beam remelting of steel samples with high Al₂O₃ concentration and different sulfur content was performed in an EB furnace in the drip-melting configuration (section 2.3).

The visualization of the inclusion behavior was carried out using high-speed video and infrared thermography thanks to strooscopic viewports. Moreover, the effect of the sulfur content on thermocapillary convection (i.e., Marangoni convection) was clearly observable during the experiments. Several publications deal with the effect of surface-active agents on the surface tension driven flow [11]. Some researchers have turned their attention to this phenomenon in weld pool for example [12,13].

2 Experimental

2.1 Composition of the samples

The very low volume fraction of inclusions in industrial ingots (lower than 1 ppm) and their small size (a few μm) make the observation difficult during melting. In order to easily visualize inclusions during EB remelting, it was found necessary to artificially increase the inclusion content of the alloy. The amount of sulfur was also suspected to play a role. Therefore, two specific maraging steel samples (cylindrical rods) with different sulfur content were processed for this study by Aperam Alloys Imphy with a laboratory VIM furnace. FeO was added in the liquid metal before the deoxidation step. After dissolution, the molten metal held about 200 ppm of dissolved oxygen. The alloy was then completely deoxidized by aluminum, forming a large amount of aluminum oxides. Both ingots were forged successfully into several rods of diameter 45 mm and length 500 mm. The chemical composition of the two maraging steel samples is outlined in Table 1. We point out that the sulfur content in the second sample is ten times higher than in the first one.

2.2 Inclusion characterization

The characterization of inclusions was performed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) on some polished cross-section specimen of the rods. Such analyses provide quantitative information about the size and chemical nature of the inclusions.

| Table 1. Chemical composition of the two maraging steel samples. |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| Element | Content | Sample 1 | Sample 2 |
| Fe | Bal. | Bal. |
| Ni | 18% | 18% |
| Co | 16.5% | 16.5% |
| Mo | 5% | 5% |
| Al | 0.04% | 0.04% |
| C | 0.005% | 0.005% |
| S | < 5 ppm | 50 ppm |
| O | 200 ppm | 200 ppm |

| Table 2. Chemical composition of the inclusions obtained by EDX. |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| Element | Content (at %) |
| O | 57.5 |
| Al | 38.8 |
| S | 2.6 |
| C | 0.9 |

Fig. 1. Alumina inclusions observed with SEM.

The results of the quantitative EDS analysis are reported in Table 2. The atomic concentration of each element present in the inclusion was determined. As it can been seen, the inclusions are mainly constituted of aluminum and oxygen and their proportion (2/5 Al, 3/5 O) corresponds to the Al₂O₃ phase.

Furthermore, these alumina inclusions are easily recognizable by their shape (Fig. 1).

The size distribution of the inclusions was determined by automatically measuring the size of collected inclusions in a pre-defined area on a cross-section specimen of the samples (Fig. 2).
Typical inclusion size is 2 \( \mu m \), ranging between 1 and 5 \( \mu m \). The distribution will help us to quantify the fraction of inclusions which are trapped at the steel melt free surface (section 3.2).

Using the measured size distribution of inclusions (Fig. 2), it is possible to calculate the total area fraction of the inclusions in a cross-sectional plane of a rod. From the area density and the equivalent diameter, the total area fraction of the inclusion population is defined by:

\[
\xi_B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\pi}{4} D_i^2 N_A_i.
\]

The values obtained are reported in Table 3.

Fullman established, in 1953, the mathematical formula enabling to estimate the volume density \( N_{V_i} \) of aggregated spherical particles from their area density \( N_A \) and their mean diameter \( D_i \) [14].

\[
N_{V_i} = \frac{N_A}{D_i}.
\]

Then, we deduce the volume fraction \( \Phi_B \) of inclusions.

\[
\Phi_B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\pi}{6} D_i^3 N_A_i.
\]

The values obtained for the two samples are reported in the Table 4.

The quantitative difference in inclusion volume fraction between the two samples is not caused by their different S contents, as the inclusion chemistry is similar. It is probably related to a variation in the deoxidation procedure while processing the samples.

**Table 3.** Total inclusion area fraction for the two samples.

| Sample    | \( \xi_B \)       |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Sample 1   | 3.40 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| Sample 2   | 2.03 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |

**Table 4.** Total inclusion volume fraction for the two samples.

| Sample    | \( \Phi_B \)       |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Sample 1   | 2.27 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| Sample 2   | 1.35 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |

**2.3 Electron beam melting experiment**

The drip-melting configuration is schematically presented in Fig. 3. The apparatus includes a vacuum chamber (with a pressure lower than 10\(^{-3}\) mbar), an electron beam gun with an accelerating voltage ranged between 25 and 40 kV and a horizontal feeder.

This furnace uses the high-energy electron beam as a heat source with the aim of melting the sample which is horizontally positioned. The generated droplets fall in a water-cooled copper crucible. Finally, the uniform distribution of energy is ensured by magnetic lenses which force the beam to describe well-defined patterns (lines, circles, ellipses...).

The operating parameters used in the present drip-melting test are 40 kV voltage and 0.5 A current. The resulting melting rate is approximately 1.5 kg/h. The films are recorded by a high definition video camera (Sony FX1000E) as well as an infrared camera (ThermaCAM SC300-FLIR).

**3 Results**

**3.1 Experimental observation**

By analyzing the recorded video frame by frame, the inclusion behavior in the molten steel can be observed. A snapshot of a typical visualization through the viewport of the furnace is given in Fig. 4.

After the start of melting, a thin steel liquid film forms and supplies the protuberance generated at the bottom of the rod. The pendant drop evolves into a spherical shape and remains attached by a trailing filament before breaking.
up into satellite drops. Then, the drop falls into the liquid pool.

Many alumina particles emerge from the molten steel and float on the surface. The inclusions collide and coalesce instantly into millimeter-sized rafts dragged by the fluid flow (Fig. 5).

The effect of the sulfur content on the behavior of inclusion is observable thanks to the rafts playing the role of tracers for the thermocapillary fluid flow convection.

In a “normal” steel (low sulfur content), under the influence of the thermal power provided by the electron beam, the cluster of inclusions is fractured. The high temperature gradient creates a gradient of surface tension. The inclusions are trapped by the surface tension driven flow (Marangoni convection); the fluid moves from the hot zones to the cold ones dragging the particles which conducts to the dispersion and breakup of the raft marked in Figure 6 with a red circle.

On the contrary, with a higher sulfur content, Marangoni convection is reversed. The inclusions are concentrated in the EB impingement zone leading to a fast and uneven growth of rafts as it can be observed on Figure 7.

As we can see, under the impingement of the beam, the raft fragmentation in the low sulfur content sample (Fig. 6) is quicker than the continuous aggregation of alumina particles in the high sulfur content sample (Fig. 7).

This tendency is also easily observable at the liquid pool free surface, where an important fraction of inclusions is floating and form rafts. The scanning patterns of the electron beam are represented by red dashed lines (Fig. 8).

In this zone of sample 1, the inclusions are almost inextinct; the particles are maintained out of reach of the beam due to the Marangoni convection flow.

However, when the sulfur content is higher, the inclusions remain agglomerated in the center of the bath. The large circle scanned by the electron beam concentrates the inclusions into a raft at the center of the top surface (Fig. 9).

3.2 Semi-quantitative analysis

The purpose of the semi-quantitative analysis is to assess the important emersion of inclusions.

3.2.1 Inclusion area fraction at the free surfaces

We shall first estimate the area fraction of the inclusions rafts ($\xi_{LF}$) in the liquid film. This estimation was easier with the high sulfur content sample (sample 2) because the rafts remain agglomerated as we can see in Figure 10 (shiny areas).

The area occupied by the rafts was estimated on snapshots and divided by the cross-sectioned area of the rod whose diameter is 45 mm. The order of magnitude of the area fraction $\xi_{LF}$ found is around 1%, which represents roughly 50 times the value calculated in the metal base $\xi_B$ (Table 3). This first comparison already shows that an important fraction of inclusions emerged and is captured at the free surface.

Thanks to IR thermal imaging, the aggregates are more easily visible at the surface of drops. Alumina inclusions are refractory particles, with a higher emissivity than the liquid metal, which makes them directly perceptible. The
surface temperature map of the liquid metal obtained by infrared thermography is shown in Figure 11. A raft of inclusions is clearly apparent at the surface of the drop (dark orange colored).

The inclusion area fraction on the surface of the drops can be estimated.

\[ \xi_{LD} = \frac{4A_f}{\pi d_f^2} = 2.7 \times 10^{-2}. \quad (4) \]

The same order of magnitude was found than at the liquid metal film surface (\( \xi_{LF} \sim 1.0 \times 10^{-2} \)).

3.2.2 Inclusion volume fraction and raft thickness

Here, we assume that the volume fraction in the droplet is equal to the base metal one. From Table 4,

\[ \Phi_L = \Phi_B = 1.35 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (5) \]

Now, let us suppose that all inclusions are captured at the free surface of the liquid film and drops. We are then able to estimate the average raft thickness, based on the volume fraction of inclusion in drops. Assuming that \( p \) represents that thickness, \( V_d \) and \( A_d \) respectively the volume and area of a spherical droplet having a diameter \( d \) of 10 mm, as well as \( \xi_{LD} \) the area fraction estimated previously from the observation of the frames, we get:

hence,

\[ \Phi_L V_d = \xi_{LD} A_d p, \quad (6) \]

\[ p = \frac{\Phi_L V_d}{\xi_{LD} A_d} = \frac{\Phi_L d}{6\xi_{LD}}. \quad (7) \]

This formula gives an estimation of the raft thickness which equals 8 \( \mu \)m. Since such a thickness corresponds to only a few individual inclusions, the assumption of the total dewetting of inclusions is plausible.

4 Conclusion

The inclusion behavior in a seeded maraging steel was in-situ observed during electron beam remelting experiments in the drip-melting configuration. The alumina inclusions exhibited the well-known emersion and aggregate
clustering behavior in molten steel melts. The inclusions formed rafts, whose size reaches some millimeters, trapped by the fluid flow.

A semi-quantitative analysis suggests that all inclusions were captured at the free surface. This behavior is attributed to the low wettability of alumina inclusions by molten steel as well as to the long-range attraction between each other. These mechanisms can be exploited in industrial processes in order to optimize the inclusion removal.

Local surface temperature gradients in the impingement zones of the electron beam lead to surface tension gradients. These gradients induced thermocapillary convection (Marangoni convention) which is reversed in presence of sulfur. In a low sulfur content sample, the liquid steel moves from the hot zones to the cold ones, which conduct the alumina aggregates to fracture and disperse. In contrast, in the case of a high sulfur content, the fluid flow is reversed leading to a fluid motion from the cold zones to the hot ones.
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