FXR/TGR5 mediates inflammasome activation and host resistance to bacterial infection
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ABSTRACT

Bacterial infections are a major cause of chronic infections and mortality. Innate immune control is crucial for protection against bacterial pathogens. Bile acids facilitate intestinal absorption of lipid-soluble nutrients and modulate various metabolic pathways through the farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and Takeda G-protein-coupled receptor 5 (TGR5). Here, we identified a new role of FXR and TGR5 in promoting inflammasome activation during bacterial infection. Caspase-1/11 activation and release of cleaved interleukin (IL)-1β in FXR- and TGR5-deficient mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages upon Listeria monocytogenes or Escherichia coli infection was significantly reduced. In contrast, FXR- or TGR5-deficiency did not affect the transcription of caspase-1/11 and IL-1β. Inflammasome activation is critical for host immune defense against bacterial infections. Consistent with this, the deletion of FXR or TGR5 impaired effective clearance of L. monocytogenes or E. coli in vitro and in vivo, which was associated with greater mortality and bacterial burden than that of wild-type mice. Pretreatment with an FXR agonist decreased bacterial burden in vitro and increased survival in vivo. Thus, FXR and TGR5 promote inflammasome-mediated antimicrobial responses and may represent novel antibacterial therapeutic targets.

1. Introduction

Bacterial infections are a major cause of chronic infections and mortality that continues to threaten public health worldwide. The innate immune system is responsible for the first-line of defense against bacterial infections [1]. It detects the presence of bacteria and activates mechanisms to eliminate potential pathogens. The innate immune system utilizes various pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), including Toll-like receptors, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NOD-like receptors, NLRs), and retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG)-like receptors (RLRs) to identify pathogens [2]. These are essential for the detection of various pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), and their activation triggers the formation of multiprotein complexes called inflammasomes [3].

The inflammasome is critical for host immune defenses against bacterial infections [4-6]. Both extracellular and intracellular bacterial infections trigger different types of inflammasome activation via distinct inflammasome sensors [7]. Inflammasome activation requires two signals. First, innate immune cells are primed by recognizing PAMPs expressed on the pathogen through PRRs, resulting in the expression of critical components of the inflammasome. These include the NOD-, LRR-and pyrin-containing domain 3 (NLRP3) and pro-interleukin-1 (pro-IL-1). Second, the inflammasome is assembled in PAMP-primed cells upon further stimulation by damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), such as ATP, which recruits apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing CARD (ASC) adaptor protein. Pro-caspase-1 is activated by the inflammasome to produce active caspase-1, which further converts pro-IL-1 into the mature IL-1 [8]. In addition to this canonical inflammasome activation, a noncanonical activation targets caspase-11 [9]. In contrast to gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria can induce the expression of pro-caspase-11, and activated caspase-11 directly mediates the activation of caspase-1 to induce pro-IL-1 maturation.

Bile acids (BAs) are generated by hepatocytes and are essential for dietary lipid absorption and cholesterol homeostasis [10]. BAs may serve as signaling molecules in the regulation of systemic endocrine functions [11]. BAs specifically bind with different affinities to nuclear and membrane receptors, including the farnesoid X receptor (FXR, gene symbol NR1H4) [12] and G protein-coupled BA receptor 1 (TGR5, gene symbol GPBAR1) [13], respectively. FXR is a member of the nuclear
receptor superfamily of transcription factors that regulate multiple biological processes [14]. Upon ligand-induced activation, FXR binds to FXR response elements [15] as a monomer or heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) [16, 17] and modulates BA, lipid, and glucose metabolism, energy metabolism, tumor formation, and immune homeostasis [11, 18].

TGR5 (also known as GPAR1, M-BAR, or BG37) is a surface receptor in the G protein-coupled receptor family [19–22]. TGR5 is known to

---

Fig. 1. Macrophages lacking FXR display decreased levels of inflammasomes and IL-1β. Primary BMDMs were derived from wild-type (WT) or FXR−/− mice and were infected with L. monocytogenes (A, C, E and G) for 5 h, or E. coli for 16 h (B, D, F and H) at MOI 50. (A, B) Immunoblotting of cell lysates (Lys) and supernatants (Sup). (C, D) Graphs of the densitometry for immunoblots. (E, F) IL-1β level in the supernatant of BMDMs. (G, H) TNF-α level in the supernatant of BMDMs. Data represent three independent experiments (n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 significantly different from WT.
activate cyclic adenosine monophosphate/protein kinase A (cAMP/PKA) signaling to stimulate phosphorylation of cAMP response element-binding protein, resulting in the transcriptional activation of multiple pathways [23]. TGR5 is considered a metabolic regulator involved in BA synthesis, glucose metabolism, and energy homeostasis [24]. TGR5 reportedly participates in cancer, liver regeneration, and inflammatory responses [25].

FAR and TGR5 may contribute to immune functions [26–30]. However, the roles of FXR and TGR5 in controlling inflammamomes during bacterial infection are unknown. The present study addressed this issue using FXR 

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

Antibody to caspase-1 was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Antibody against apoptosis-associated speck-like protein (ASC) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Beverly, MA, USA). Antibodies against IL-1β and NLR family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3) were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). β-Actin antibody and all other chemicals including FXR agonists were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Animals

FXR

2.3. Cells and culture systems

Mouse primary bone marrow cells were obtained from the femur of 12-week-old male mice. Cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics along with 10 ng/ml macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37 °C overnight. Non-adherent cells were harvested and cultured for 6 d. Adherent bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were used as required in various experiments.

2.4. In vivo infection

Eight to ten-week-old male mice were administered intravenously (i. v.) with 1 × 10^5 colony forming units (CFU) of Listeria monocytogenes or 2 × 10^6 CFU of Escherichia coli. After 2 d (for L. monocytogenes) or 6 h (for E. coli), blood was collected by retro-orbital venous plexus puncture. After sacrifice, liver and spleen were obtained and homogenized in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Serially diluted homogenates were plated in triplicate on brain heart infusion (BHI) broth for L. monocytogenes or Luria broth (E. coli). For hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, mouse livers were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and analyzed by GENOSS Co., Ltd. (Yeongtong-gu, Suwon) for routine hematoxylin and eosin staining. Then, they were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The band intensity was quantified using the ImageJ program (NIH Image, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.7. Western blot analysis

Whole cell lysates were separated using 8%–12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Resolved proteins were transferred onto Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST) and incubated with antibody to anti-IL-1β, anti-NLRP3, anti-ASC, anti-caspase-1, or anti-α-actin (1:4000) followed by secondary horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody (1:5000). The membranes were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK). The band intensity was quantified using the ImageJ program (NIH Image, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.8. Statistical analyses

Survival data between wild-type (WT) and knockout (KO) mice were analyzed by Kaplan-Meier analysis with log-rank test using GraphPad Prism 7 software program. Data represent the mean±SD from three independent experiments. The Student’s t-test was used to compare two means and ANOVA for multiple comparisons. *p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Lack of FXR impairs inflammasome activation

Macrophages are crucial in innate immune responses against bacteria [31]. Studies have demonstrated the role of the inflammasome and the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β in the protection against bacterial pathogens [4–6]. Thus, we determined whether BA receptors are associated with inflammasome activation in mouse BMDMs upon infection with representative gram-negative (E. coli) and gram-positive (L. monocytogenes) bacteria. Bacterial infection induced robust pro-caspase-1, pro-caspase-11, and pro-IL-1β expression in both WT and FXR-deficient BMDMs (Fig. 1A and B). NLRP3 expression levels were also similar between WT and FXR-deficient BMDMs. However, FXR-deficient BMDMs showed reduced activation of pro-caspase-1 (p20) and pro-caspase-11 (p30) compared to WT cells. Bacterial infection-induced IL-1β secretion (IL-1β p17) was consistently decreased in FXR 

2.5. In vitro infection

BMDMs were infected with L. monocytogenes or E. coli at a multiplicity of infection of 50. Cells were harvested and cultured using BHI or LB agar plates at 37 °C. Bacterial colonies were counted after 16 h.
Fig. 2. FXR deficiency results in an enhanced bacterial burden associated with increased mortality. (A, B) BMDMs were derived from WT or FXR−/− mice and infected with *L. monocytogenes* (A) for 5 h or *E. coli* (B) for 16 h at MOI 50. Quantification of bacterial burdens in BMDMs at indicated times post-infection (*n* = 3). (C, D) IL-1β serum levels in WT and FXR−/− mice infected with 1 × 10^5 CFU *L. monocytogenes* (C) or 2 × 10^9 CFU *E. coli* (D) at day 2 (*L. monocytogenes*) or 24 h (*E. coli*) post-infection (*n* = 3). (E, F) Bacterial burden in the liver or spleen at day 2 (*L. monocytogenes*) or 6 h (*E. coli*) post-infection. (G) Stained WT and FXR−/− livers 2 days after *L. monocytogenes* infection. Infiltration of inflammatory cells are indicated by arrowheads. H&E stain; ×100. (H, I) Percentage survival of WT and FXR−/− mice infected with 5 × 10^5 CFU *L. monocytogenes* (*n* = 16–22, H) or 5 × 10^9 CFU *E. coli* (*n* = 10–12, I). **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 significantly different from WT. Scale bar = 100 μm; veh: vehicle; LM: *L. monocytogenes*.
3.2. FXR is a positive regulator of bactericidal activity

Since the absence of FXR significantly impaired inflammasome activation in BMDMs, we hypothesized that FXR may mediate the elimination of bacteria in macrophages. To assess this, we examined macrophage killing abilities in vitro using BMDMs of WT and FXR−/− mice. Cultured FXR-deficient BMDMs displayed reduced ability to kill *L. monocytogenes* and *E. coli* compared to WT cells (Fig. 2A and B). We further investigated the role of FXR in the antimicrobial response in vivo. First, we confirmed that FXR deficiency resulted in a reduction in IL-1β serum levels in bacteria-infected WT and FXR KO mice, consistent with the in vitro data (Fig. 2C and D). Examination of the bacterial load...
Fig. 4. TGR5 deficiency is associated with decreased levels of inflammasomes and enhanced bacterial burden. (A, B) BMDMs were derived from WT or TGR5\(^{-/-}\) mice and infected with *L. monocytogenes* (A) for 5 h or *E. coli* (B) for 16 h at MOI 50. Immunoblotting of cell lysates (Lys) and supernatants (Sup). (C, D) Graphs of the densitometry for immunoblots (n = 3). (E, F) The level of IL-1\(\beta\) in the supernatant of BMDMs (n = 3). (G, H) Serum levels of IL-1\(\beta\) in WT and TGR5\(^{-/-}\) mice infected with 1 × 10\(^{5}\) CFU *L. monocytogenes* (G) or 2 × 10\(^{9}\) CFU *E. coli* (H) infection at day 2 (*L. monocytogenes*) or 24 h (*E. coli*) (n = 3). (I, J) Quantification of bacterial burden. The bacterial burden of the liver or spleen was enumerated on day 2 (*L. monocytogenes*, I) or 6 h (*E. coli*, J) post-infection. (K, L) Percentage survival of WT and TGR5\(^{-/-}\) mice infected with 5 × 10\(^{5}\) CFU *L. monocytogenes* (n = 15–19, K) or 5 × 10\(^{6}\) CFU *E. coli* (n = 12–16, L). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 significantly different from WT.
in the spleen and liver of WT and FXR KO mice infected with *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* revealed high titers in the liver and spleen in FXR KO mice, with significantly lower titers in WT mice (Fig. 2E and F). The increased tissue bacterial loads were accompanied by exacerbated tissue damage, as evidenced by the histopathological analysis of livers from WT and FXR KO mice with and without *L. monocytogenes* infection. Massive necrosis was evident in both WT and FXR-deficient mice, while FXR KO mice presented more pronounced and extensive hepatic lesions compared to WT mice (Fig. 2G). These results suggested that *L. monocytogenes* infection of FXR KO mice exacerbated tissue injury in the liver due to the higher number of bacteria in the tissue.

To verify whether higher titers of bacteria in FXR KO mice were associated with mortality, we infected WT and FXR KO mice with a lethal dose of either *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* (Fig. 2H and I). Survival of FXR KO mice was less at all time points compared to WT mice. The majority of FXR KO mice succumbed within 3 days (for *L. monocytogenes*) or 24 h (for *E. coli*), whereas the majority of the WT mice survived at these times.

### 3.3. FXR agonists enhance mouse survival by improving bacterial clearance

We further explored the functional relevance of FXR in bacterial infection. CDCA is a physiological ligand for FXR. Fexaramine and 6-ECDCA (INT-747, obeticholic acid) are synthetic FXR agonists. When we assessed the effect of FXR activation on bacterial infection, pre-treatment with fexaramine, CDCA, or 6-ECDCA decreased bacterial burdens in *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infected BMDMs (Fig. 3A and B). However, we found that the deficiency of NLRP3 did not abolish the bacterial clearance induced by 6-ECDCA (Fig. 3C). Increased survival was observed when mice were i.p. injected with 6-ECDCA (5 mg/kg body weight) before *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infection (Fig. 3D and E). Consistent with this, the bacterial burden in the liver and spleen was significantly reduced in the 6-ECDCA group compared to the vehicle group (Fig. 3F and G). These results indicated that FXR agonist potentiated the bacterial clearance in *vivo* in mice.

### 3.4. Lack of TGR5 impairs inflammasome activation and bactericidal activity

The role of BA receptors in bacterial infection was further examined using TGR5**/−** mice. We first evaluated whether TGR5 is involved in bacteria-induced inflammasome activation (Fig. 4A–F). Upon *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infection, BMDMs derived from TGR5**/−** mice displayed significantly reduced caspase-1 and/or caspase-11 activation and IL-1β secretion compared to BMDMs derived from WT mice. However, both WT and TGR5**/−** BMDMs contained comparable protein levels of NLRP3, ASC, pro-caspase-1, pro-caspase-11, and pro-IL-1β. The effect of TGR5 deficiency on IL-1β secretion was examined in *vivo* (Fig. 4G and H). TGR5 deficiency decreased the level of IL-1β in serum in response to *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infection, consistent with the *in vitro* data. Greater bacterial burden in the liver and spleen, and increased mortality related to *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infection was observed in TGR5**/−** mice than in WT mice, similar to FXR**/−** mice (Fig. 4I-L). These results indicated that both FXR and TGR5 are essential for caspase-1 activation and IL-1β secretion in macrophages, with a critical role in immunity to bacterial infection.

### 4. Discussion

The inability to effectively kill invading bacteria or other pathogens due to immune dysregulation is a major cause of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome and death from bacterial infections [32–35]. Despite the current therapeutic options for bacterial infection, post-mortem studies have revealed infectious foci in the majority of patients [36], indicating a deficit in bacterial clearance.

The inflammasome is an evolutionarily conserved cytosolic sensor that is crucial in host defense against bacteria [4–6]. Both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria can activate inflammasomes. The activation is a response to PAMPs and induces immune responses that restrict pathogen replication [4,7,37,38]. Microbes or their subcellular components negatively regulate the inflammasome to evade host defenses [4,38–40]. Thus, impaired inflammasome activation is associated with increased susceptibility to bacterial infection [41,42].

Presently, the FXR and TGR5 BA receptors had an important protective role during *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infection, with an increased bacterial burden in the absence of FXR or TGR5 in vitro and in vivo, and a reduced burden when FXR was stimulated by its ligand. BMDMs derived from FXR**/−** or TGR5**/−** mice displayed reduced inflammasome activation despite the unchanged expression of inflammasome-related molecules. Thus we speculate that FXR or TGR5 may regulate the activation signals, not the priming signals, in bacteria-activated inflammasomes. Since we could not exclude the possibility that FXR may regulate bacterial clearance independent of NLRP3 inflammasome, it needs further study to verify the exact mechanism. Our findings suggest an important role for FXR and TGR5 in controlling bacterial pathogens, at least by macrophages.

Clinical studies have demonstrated the biological safety of FXR agonists [43,44], implicating FXR as an ideal drug target. Presently, 6-ECDCA, a potent FXR agonist, decreased bacterial burdens and increased survival upon *L. monocytogenes* or *E. coli* infection. However, several studies reported cross-talk, synergistic alterations, and compensatory alterations between FXR and TGR5 signaling [45,46]. Thus, dual targeting of FXR and TGR5 may be more beneficial to treat bacterial infections. This needs further verification.

BMDMs derived from FXR**/−** or TGR5**/−** mice were used to show a positive regulation of bacteria-activated inflammasomes by BA receptors. The functional influence of BAs on the inflammasome may vary depending on different immune cell types and conditions [47]. It has also been reported that BAs are DAMPs that can activate both priming and activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome in inflammatory macrophages. FXR may physically interact with NLRP3 and caspase-1, preventing their assembly [47]. Some BA analogues were shown to activate pyrin inflammasome [48]. In contrast, BAs and their analogues inhibit NLRP3 inflammasome activation via the TGR5-cAMP-protein kinase A (PKA) axis or in a FXR-independent way [49,50]. Thus, BAs and their receptors may have different influences on the inflammasome in different immunological cells and in different states of inflammation. Further studies are needed to verify the exact causal link and to reveal how FXR/TGR5 could be targeted to control inflammasomes in bacterial infection. Understanding the precise mechanism behind the role of BAs and their receptors would be helpful to use them as a therapeutic candidates in infectious diseases such as sepsis.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that FXR/TGR5 mediates inflammasome activation and host resistance to bacterial infection *in vitro* and *in vivo*. We expect that further investigations of FXR or TGR5 will facilitate the development of novel anti-infective agents.
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