The Effect of Shoe Outsole Containing Nanoclay Particles on Knee Joint Power during the Stance Phase of Running
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ABSTRACT

The popularity of running and consequently of running injuries has increased tends to develop shoe sole constructions aiming at preventing different injuries. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of shoe outsole containing nanoclay particles on knee joint power during stance phase of running. Fourteen healthy male shod runners run 3 times at 3.5 m.s⁻¹ under three shoe conditions manufactured by polyurethane (PU), 1% Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites (NPU1) and 2% Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites (NPU2). The joint power (Pj) was calculated by multiplying the joint moment (Mj) and the joint angular velocity (ωj). Knee joint peak power in the three dimensional planes were compared between the shod conditions during the stance phase of running using repeated measurement ANOVA (P< 0.05). The results showed that the negative peak of knee joint power in sagittal plane was significantly different between the PU and NPU2 conditions. No significant differences were noted in other positive and negative peaks. It is concluded that using Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites can change shock absorbing ability of knee joint.
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INTRODUCTION
The popularity of running is raising dramatically, because it is an efficient way to achieve fitness and promote long-term exercise (1, 2). In the wake of increasing number of recreational runners and, consequently, running injuries in the last decades, there has been increasing trends to study the effects of shoe sole constructions on the development of running injuries (3). Since it has been reported, wearing a well-designed footwear can alter the relevant running parameters to prevent running injuries (4).

For over forty years, biomechanics researchers and athletic footwear companies developed technical concepts in order to prevent different injuries (5). For example, Stacoff et al. (2000) tested shoe sole modification with alternation in sole geometry on tibiocalcaneal rotations (3). Furthermore, Stefanyshyn and Nigg (2000) inserted Carbon fiber plates into shoe midsoles and investigate its effects on energy generation and absorption of knee (6). But both of these studies reported no significant result.

Shoe outsole material is an effective factor that can alter the kinematics and kinetics of movements (7). Nowadays, several materials like Carbon rubber, Styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR), Microcellular rubber, Ethyl vinyl acetate (EVA), Polyurethane (PU), Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and Hytrel are used in running shoe soles (8). Among them, Ethyl vinyl acetate (EVA) and Polyurethane (PU), get more attention.

Polyurethanes (PUs) are unique polymer materials with a wide range of physical and chemical properties that used in shoes outsole production (9, 10). PUs can be appropriate to meet manifold demands of various applications such as coatings, adhesives, fibers, thermoplastic elastomers, and foams. However, PUs have some disadvantages, such as low thermal stability, mechanical strength, resistance to abrasion and break resistance, etc. (9). Moreover, the efficiency of these Polymers in providing optimal cushioning and their capabilities to return energy is still under debate (7, 11). In recent years, a great deal of effort has been devoted to the development of nanostructured PUs composites such as Pus-nano Clay composites to overcome these disadvantages (9).

Nanoclay particles are a kind of aluminosilicate nanoparticles that can increase tensile strength, tensile modulus, resistance to thermal failure and impact resistance of polymeric composites (12, 13). Its makeup is such that can transform polymers into new materials possessing the advantages like lightweight, flexibility, good moldability, high strength, heat stability, and chemical resistance (14). Whit respect to these properties, we hypotheses using Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites in shoe outsole affect the biomechanical variables that related to running such as joint power.

Joint power is a kinetics variable that computes from inverse dynamics by multiplying the joint moment and the joint angular velocity (15-18). It is used to establish the capability of muscle groups to generate or restrain movement (19) and seems to be a valid indicator of a person’s ability to control their limbs (20, 21) and efficiency of sport activities (22). Knee joint power has been proposed as the primary shock absorber during the stance phase of running (23) and the power generation of knee joint in the second half of stance phase is necessary for pushing the body forward (11). Therefore, knee joint power can be assessed as a related-injury variable. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the effect of using Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites in shoe outsole on knee joint power during stance phase of running.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The experiments were approved by the Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences of Mazandaran University.
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Participants. Eighteen healthy men averagely aged 24.15 (SD 3.62 years), weighted 72.26 (SD 6.83 kg), with height of 178.0 (SD 4.0 cm), with foot size 43 and free of lower extremity injuries and disorders volunteered. They were informed of the procedures and signed an informed consent.

Tools. Data were collected in the Motion Analysis Laboratory of Mazandaran University. Kinetics data were collected with a force plate (Kistler, Winterthur, Switzerland, 60 cm * 40 cm; 1000 Hz). Kinematics data were captured using five cameras (JVC-9X00; 200 Hz). These cameras were arranged along an arc on the right side of the force plate that was placed in the middle of 10 m runway. Video data were collected using the SIMI motion software.

For anatomical trail in order to accomplish three-dimensional calculations of knee joint power eighteen reflective skin markers (25 mm) were placed on the anatomical landmarks of the right leg. These landmarks consist of: greater trochanter, anterior superior iliac spine, medial and lateral tibial condyles, medial and lateral malleolus, center of calcaneus, fifth metatarsal distal head, second metatarsal distal head, second toe. Also, two rigid thermoplastics with 4 cluster markers firmly secured for tracking three-dimensional movements attached bilaterally to the posterio-lateral aspect of the thigh and shank. Tracking markers were mounted on anterior superior iliac spine, two rigid thermoplastics with 4 cluster markers posterio-lateral aspect of the thigh and shank, center of the heel, lateral aspects and top of the shoe (Fig. 1).

Three pairs of shoes were used in this study. Except their outsole materials, they were totally similar in their weight, size, shape, surface material, quarter panels, vamp and sole design. The materials used in outsoles were Polyurethanes composites (PU), Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites containing 1% nanoclay particle (NPU1) and Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites containing 2% nanoclay particle (NPU2) with hardness of 40, 48 and 56 Shore A, Respectively. A barefoot standing trial was recorded to define the coordinate systems of the
foot and shank for 5s with straight ankle and knee in neutral position and feet aligned parallel to the force platform representing the laboratory coordinate system. Then subjects were tested while running in three shoe conditions at 3.5 m.s\(^{-1}\) with heel strike pattern. Each runner was given enough time to warm up and become familiar with the specific condition and velocity. Three successful running trials were performed in the shod conditions in a block random order that was defined as which the subject’s right foot landed with heel strike pattern on the force plate at speed of about 3.5 m.s\(^{-1}\) during running. Three-dimensional joint movements were calculated according to Cardan sequences (24). The segment inertial parameters were obtained from the adjustments to Zatsiorsky-Seluyanov’s parameters as outlined by de Leva (1996) and (25) Newton–Euler inverse dynamics approach was applied to calculate knee joint power. The knee joint power was normalized with respect to the participant’s body mass and then time-normalized to 100 data points for each trial, the mean of these trials assumed as final data for each subject. Positive and negative peak values of knee joint power were calculated according to the definition provided by Eng and Winter (1995) in three-dimensional planes (26). Positive power was considered as power generation and negative power was thought as power absorption (16).

**Statistical Analysis.** All parameters were calculated using a set of programs written in MATLAB\textsuperscript{2010} and Microsoft Excel\textsuperscript{2013} from the three-dimensional coordinates previously filtered at 12 Hz with a low-pass fourth order Butterworth filter (27). One-way repeated measures of ANOVA were used to determine the main effects of different foot-ware on the knee joint power in three-dimensional planes during running by SPSS\textsuperscript{20} software. The level of significance was set at \(p<0.05\).

**RESULTS**

Fig.2 shows the average curves of knee joint power in three-dimensional planes of motion. In the sagittal plane, almost similar power pattern can be seen in all three shoes in power absorption phase. But in the generation phase, there is a downfall in NPU2 curve that occurred in 30% to 40% stance phase and before achieving to the peak of power generation that isn’t seen in the power curves of PU and NPU1.

In the frontal plane, the patterns of three shoes are totally different in initial 10% of stance phase. Then, they are almost identical.
until 40% of stance phase. Again, different patterns can be observed for three shoes in generation phase. The power curve of NPU2 in this plane is more variable than others.

In the transverse plane, the pattern are almost identical in three conditions, while a slight delay can be seen in occurring the peak power generation of NPU2. Also, there is a power generation in late 10% of stance phase in PU that isn’t seen in others, but its magnitude is very small.

There were significant differences between negative peaks of running in PU and NPU2, in the sagittal plane (P<0.05). Running in NPU2 increased the power absorption of knee joint as compared to PU. However, there were no significant differences between positive peaks in this plane. Also, no significant differences were observed in positive and negative peaks of frontal and transverse plane between three shoe conditions. (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of shoe outsole containing nanoclay particles on knee joint power during stance phase of running. Our results showed that running with NPU2 increased the negative peak of knee joint power as compared to PU. The knee joint is considered as primary power absorbers during the stance phase of running (28) and the quadriceps muscles are responsible for power absorption by eccentric contraction during first stance of running (11). Therefore, greater power absorption by NPU2 indicates better work of these muscles in controlling knee flexion and increasing shock absorbing ability of knee joint. Maybe, it is the result of a greater tensile strength of NPU2 that caused by nanoclay particles.

Our finding showed that the differences between positive peak of knee joint power in the sagittal plane and negative and positive peaks of frontal and transverse plane during stance phase of running weren’t significant. These findings are consistence with (6). The lack of statistical significance may be largely because of the limited sample size. One trend was present for the knee joint, which absorbed less energy with the PU as compared to NPU shoes in most part of stance phase of running in three-dimensional planes.

In spite of any significant results in the frontal plane between running with three-shoe conditions, both NPUs shoes increased the knee joint power absorption and generation values as compared to PU in most part of stance phase of running. During most of the stance phase of running the ground reaction force passes from medial side of knee joint (11, 29) and power absorption of knee joint in the frontal plane is due to eccentric contraction of knee abductor to restrain adduction moment of ground reaction force. It means that NPUs required greater frontal plane joint powers at knee to control

---

**Table 1. Mean values (SD) of the peak joint power of knee for sagittal, frontal and transverse planes in PU, NPU1, NPU2 conditions.**

| Joint Power | Plane   | PU     | NPU1   | NPU2   | P-Value (PU,NPU1) | P-Value (PU,NPU2) | P-Value (NPU1,NPU2) |
|-------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|             | Sagittal| 8.25(0.65) | 10.03(0.85) | 10.29(0.95) | 0.068 | **0.025** | 0.824 |
|             | Frontal | 0.47(0.09) | 0.71(0.19) | 0.64(0.17) | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.21 |
|             | Transverse | 0.32(0.04) | 0.33(0.08) | 0.31(0.05) | 0.84 | 0.89 | 0.74 |
|             | Sagittal | 9.28(0.65) | 8.59(0.82) | 9.49(0.83) | 0.18 | 0.75 | 0.13 |
|             | Frontal | 0.39(0.08) | 0.50(0.10) | 0.43(0.06) | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.46 |
|             | Transverse | 0.13(0.02) | 0.11(0.03) | 0.12(0.03) | 0.46 | 0.81 | 0.65 |
external adductor moments during weight acceptance. Moreover, the patterns of three shoes in the second half of stance phase of running in frontal plane are totally different and the pattern of NPU2 has changed, continuously. It has been reported that the increase in power phases of knee joint predispose trauma and injuries to the soft tissues around the knee (30). However, it may be caused by greater stiffness of NPU2.

In the transverse plane, muscles around the knee joint should contract eccentrically in order to control internal rotation of shank in first half of Stance phase of running, and concentrically to rotate shank internally (29, 31). NPU increased power absorption of knee joint, but PU was better in power generation.

In this study, to control the other factors (e.g. shoe weight, sole shape and geometry) that might affect the results, we produced three shoes exactly with the same properties expected from their materials. It seems that using Polyurethanes-clay nano-composites in shoe outsole can alter the shock absorbing ability in knee joint. With respect to unique mechanical properties of these nano-composites and to achieve optimal results in all three-dimensional planes, we suggest footwear companies combine these materials and alternation in outsole geometry to improve shock absorbing ability of knee joint and control movements of frontal and transverse planes.
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اثر زیره کفش حاوی ذرات نانوکلی بر توان مفصل زانو طی مرحله اتکای دویدن

ازهرا بصیری، منصور اسلامی، موسی قائمی، سید اسماعیل حسینی نژاد، محمد ربیعی

چکیده
افزایش محبت دویدن و آسیب‌های ناشی از آن، گرایش به توسعه ساختار کفش ورزشی را با هدف جلوگیری از آسیب‌های مختلف دویدن، افزایش داده است. هدف این مطالعه بررسی اثر استفاده از ذرات نانوکلی در زیره کفش ورزشی بر توان مفصل زانو در مرحله اتکای دویدن بود. 14 آزمودنی مرد سالم با سه کفش ورزشی با جنس زیره پلی‌اورتان (PU)، نانوکامپوزیت پلی‌اورتان–کلی 1% (NPU1) و 2% (NPU2) با سرعت m/s 5/3 به سه بار در مسیر آزمون دویدند. توان مفصل زانو (Pj) با استفاده از روش دینامیک معکوس و با ضرب گشتاور مفصلی (Mj) در سرعت زاویه استایل (0°) محاسبه گردید. جدایگر توان مفصلی زانو در سه صفحه حرکتی و در مرحله اتکای دویدن با سه کفش ورزشی با استفاده از تحلیل واریانس یک بُعدی با اندازه‌های مکر مورد مقایسه قرار گرفت. نتایج حاصل از این پژوهش نشان داد که اوج منفی توان مفصلی زانو در صفحه حرکتی ساجیتال و اوج‌های مثبت و منفی توان مفصلی زانو در صفحات حرکتی فروتنال و هوریزنتال تفاوت معناداری مشاهده نگردید. یافته‌های این پژوهش نشان می‌دهد که استفاده از کامپوزیت پلی‌اورتان–نانوکلی در زیره کفش ورزشی می‌تواند توانایی مفصل زانو را افزایش دهد.

واژگان کلیدی: زیره کفش، ذرات نانوکلی، توان مفصل زانو، دویدن.
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