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1. Introduction

Microbes like bacteria can coordinate gene expression as a community through the secretion and detection of signalling molecules so that its members can simultaneously express specific behaviors. This mechanism of regulation, called quorum sensing (QS), seems to be a key trait for adaptation to specific environments and has been shown to regulate a variety of important phenotypes in the production of virulence factors like biofilm and proteolytic enzymes, crucial factors that enable colonization and dissemination of the infection in the host. Persistent and chronic bacterial infections have been directly linked to the presence of microbial biofilms. Microbial biofilms are sessile communities of one or more microorganisms that reside within a self-produced extracellular matrix. These cellular aggregates can form on living and nonliving surfaces, soluble and insoluble materials, are fairly ubiquitous in natural ecosystems, and have serious implications to human health. The inherent characteristic of microbial biofilms is a remarkable tolerance to treatment with antibiotics traditionally effective against planktonic (free floating) bacteria [1–4].

Biofilm infections, such as pneumonia in cystic fibrosis patients, chronic wounds, chronic otitis media, and implant and catheter associated infections, affect millions of people in the developed world each year and many deaths occur as a consequence [5].
There is evidence that bacterial QS process is involved in cross-kingdom signalling with eukaryotic organisms, mainly plants, that are capable of producing compounds that can interfere with QS systems in bacteria by inhibiting QS signal biosynthesis, which leads to attenuation of virulence factor expression [6]. Our investigations take inspiration from nature’s models and attempts to design solutions to the problem of microbial biofilms [7–11].

Medicinal plants have become part of complementary medicine worldwide, because of their potential health benefits. Various plant extract has great potential against infectious agents and can be used for therapeutic purposes [12].

Convolvulaceae is a large family comprising about 58 genera and 1650 species of herbs, climbers, or shrubs distributed in tropical and subtropical regions [13]. The greatest species diversity occurs in the Americas and Africa. The family can be recognized by their funnel-shaped radially symmetrical corollas. The leaves and t杯orous roots of some species are used as foodstuffs, and the seeds are exploited for their medicinal value as purgatives. Some species contain ergoline alkaloids that are likely responsible for the use of these species as aperients and can be used for therapeutic purposes [12].

Several antibacterial activity studies of Convolvulaceae species and only a few about antibiofilms effects were previously published [17–22]. Therefore, this investigation presents a bioguided study of Ipomoea cairica, I. nil, I. purpurea, and Merremia dissecta, that led to the discovery of plant volatiles that interfere with the Pseudomonas aeruginosa QS system, by declining of the QS signal production which attenuates virulence factor expression like biofilms and elastase B activity. In vitro antibiofilm effects against Staphylococcus aureus are also reported for the first time in this paper.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection and Identification of Plant Materials. Leaves and flowers of Ipomoea cairica (L.) Sweet, I. nil (L.) Roth, I. purpurea (L.) Roth, and Merremia dissecta (Jacq.) Hallier f. (Convolvulaceae) were collected during the flowering stage in March, road to Villa Nougués (26°51'28.08"S, 65°22'32.16"W), Tucumán province, Argentina. Their identities were confirmed by Dr. Nora Muruaga and voucher specimens (LIL 610.873, 610.871, 610.870, and 610.872, resp.) were deposited at the Herbarium of Fundación Miguel Lillo, Tucumán, Argentina.

2.2. Preparation of Plant Extracts. The fresh plant material (leaves or flowers, 25 g) was extracted at room temperature for 3 days with small volume of diethyl ether (twice). The extracts from flowers and leaves were dried in rotary evaporator (Büchi R-3000) under vacuum at 30°C to give the following extracts: diethyl ether extracts from flowers (DEF) and leaves (DEL) and methanol extracts from flowers (MEF) and leaves (MEL).

2.3. Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) Analysis. UV-Vis spectra were measured in ethanol using a Shimadzu UV-Vis 160 A spectrophotometer in the range 200–800 nm to detect chromophoric systems with pharmacological potential.

2.4. Preliminary Screening to Select a Promising Bioactive Extract

2.4.1. Effects of Plant Extracts on Bacterial Growth. Overnight cultures of P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538 P were diluted to reach 2.5 × 10⁶ CFU/mL in Luria-Bertani (LB) and Mueller Hinton medium, respectively. The diluted culture (190 μL) was placed in each of the 96 wells of a microtitre polystyrene plate. Solutions containing 0.1 mg/mL of extracts in DMSO–H₂O (50:50) were prepared separately and 10 μL of each was pipetted to the plastic microtitre plate wells individually (8 replicates). Control wells (8 replicates) contained the diluted culture (190 μL) and 10 μL of a solution of DMSO–H₂O (50:50) in which the final concentration of DMSO was 2.5%. Medium control was prepared using sterile LB (or MH). Bacteria were cultured in LB (or MH) medium at 37°C and growth was detected as turbidity (600 nm or 560 nm) using a microtitre plate reader (Power Wave XS2, Biotek, VT, USA) and by direct counting of CFU/mL determined by plating 0.1 mL of the inoculation onto LB agar (pH 6.0). The maximum level of DMSO to which the cells were exposed was 2.5%.

2.4.2. Antibiofilm Effects. For biofilm quantification, a micro-method based on a protocol previously reported was employed [23]. Biofilms formed after 24 h incubation of bacterial cultures prepared as described in the previous paragraph were stained with 20 μL of an aqueous solution of crystal violet (0.1%, w/v) for 20 min. After washing with water, the liquid was discarded from the wells and the material that remained fixed to the polystyrene (containing biofilm) was washed with PBS (trice). Crystal violet bound to biofilm was removed from each well employing 200 μL absolute ethanol during 30 min at 37°C with shaking. Absorbance (540 nm) of ethanol solutions of crystal violet was determined using a microtitre plate reader (Power Wave XS2, Biotek, VT, USA). Azithromycin (5 μg/mL), a known quorum sensing inhibitor, was incorporated in the bioassay as a control in the same experimental conditions employed to evaluate the compounds [24].

2.5. GC-EIMS and Isolation of Main Compound from a Bioactive Extract. On the basis of antibacterial and antibiofilm properties an extract among sixteen was selected and analyzed by gas chromatography techniques. GC-EIMS was carried out using a Thermo Electron Trace™ Ultra coupled with split-split less injector and Polaris Q instrument (Thermo Scientific, TX, USA) ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a DB-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 μm). The initial temperature of the column was 60°C during 0 min. A temperature programming was applied from 60°C to 246°C at a rate flow of 3°C/min and finally 280°C for 15 min. Carrier gas was helium (flow
1 mL/min). Split injection mode is 1/10. The identification of volatile components was based on computer matching with the NIST08 GC/MS library and by comparison of the mass spectra, retention times (RT), and Kovats retention indexes (RI) with those reported in the literature [25].

2.6. Chromatography Techniques. Diethyl ether extract from leaves of *M. dissecta* (DEL, 1 g) were fractionated on silica gel (70–230 mesh, 1:50 w/w) column chromatography employing petroleum ether and increasing amounts of EtOAc (0–100%) and finally MeOH as mobile phase. The fourteen fractions were monitored by TLC on aluminium-precoated silica gel plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F254). The spots on the plates were visualised under UV light, and the plates were then sprayed with Godin reagent.

Fraction 4 isolated from DEL with a yield of 718% (according to Section 3) was submitted to GC-EIMS analysis and the main volatile compounds were determined. Then, this fraction was rechromatographed with more silica gel ratio (1:100, w/w) to give a known sesquiterpene whose NMR and EIMS spectra were measured.

2.7. Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Activities of *M. dissecta* Fractions. The bacterium screened was a biofilm phenotypic variant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, and the medium and techniques employed were previously described.

2.8. Antielastase β Activity of *M. dissecta* Fractions. Elastolytic activity was determined using a modification of the method previously described [26]. The substrate of the enzyme β-elastase, elastin Congo red (100 μL) (Sigma), was dissolved in Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) at a concentration of 5 mg/mL and then it was mixed with 100 μL of cell-free culture supernatant obtained from *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 grown during 24 h, in LB media containing 5 μg/mL of fractions, respectively. The reaction mixture (200 μL) was incubated at 37°C for 24 h and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. The absorbance (495 nm) of the supernatant is a measure of the enzyme activity.

2.9. Autoinducer (AHL) Quantification. The interruption of bacterial cell-to-cell communication was deduced by autoinducer (AHL) quantification, using β-galactosidase activity assay. *P. aeruginosa* qsc I19 (reporter strain) is a mutant donated by Dr. Greenberg that cannot produce its own AHL (QS signal molecules). The reporter strain responds, by producing β-galactosidase, to exogenous active signal molecules generated by wild-type *P. aeruginosa* strains. *P. aeruginosa* qsc I19 was constructed using a chromosomal promoter under the control of AHLs linked to lacZ. In consequence, β-galactosidase activity is under QS-control and in direct relationship with the AHL activity [27].

An overnight culture of the reporter strain grown at 37°C in LB was diluted ten times in the same medium, reaching values of absorbance of 0.26 at OD560 nm. A 100 μL portion of this suspension was mixed, in each microplate well, with 100 μL cell-free culture supernatant obtained from *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 cultured in LB media containing 100 μg/mL of fractions, during 24 h. Azithromycin, known to interfere with the QS process, was used at 5 μg/mL, concentration unable to affect the bacterial growth, as QS positive control under the same conditions as fractions [23]. Control wells (8 replicates) contained cell-free culture supernatant (100 μL) obtained from *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 cultured in LB media (190 μL) plus 10 μL of DMSO–H2O (50:50). β-galactosidase activity was measured spectrophotometrically by Miller test [28].

2.10. Statistical Analysis. Differences between means were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Tukey test was used for all pair-wise multiple comparisons of groups. In all statistical analysis *P* values > 0.05 were not considered significant. Statistix 10 data analysis software for researches (2013) was used.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. UV Absorptions and Yields of *Convolvulaceae* Extracts. All extracts showed a strong UV absorption (298–380 nm), a typical feature of the occurrence of aromatic compounds. These results are in agreement with the *Convolvulaceae* chemistry as it has already mentioned, since these metabolites are well known for their biological and pharmacological potential. It is important to note that the MeOH extracts (ME) exhibited the highest extraction yields (1.43–3.11%) reaching 3.11% in the ME of *I. nil* leaves (Table 1).

3.2. Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Activities of *Convolvulaceae* Extracts. The diethyl ether extracts (DE) were more active than methanol ones against *P. aeruginosa* growth as shown in Table 1. The antibacterial activity could be due to the presence of lipophilic compounds with lower polarity than cholesterol (according to TLC profile). This fact suggests that their principal targets are cell membranes and their toxicity would be caused by loss of chemiosmotic control as previously reported [29]. In relation to cell growth of *S. aureus*, the in vitro results demonstrated that both extracts are slightly active (Table 1).

Nevertheless, the addition of small amounts of MeOH extracts into *P. aeruginosa* cultures produced a lower biofilm-biomass than those of EE, except for *M. dissecta* DEL (diethyl ether extract from leaves) which only allowed a 20% biofilm formation (Table 1). In addition, this effect was correlated with an important inhibition of bacterial growth. *S. aureus* biofilm-biomass was also strongly reduced by all extracts (71–100%), and these effects were not growth dependent. *M. dissecta* MEF (MeOH extract from flowers) gave the best biofilm inhibition (100%).

3.3. Selection of a Promising Extract for Bacterial Biofilm Control. *M. dissecta* DEL, which reduced *P. aeruginosa* biofilm by 80%, was selected among sixteen extracts to continue studies. As it is unusual for natural products to be more active against Gram negative than positive bacteria [30], it is very important to find active compounds against pathogenic *P. aeruginosa*,...
Table 1: Yields, UV absorptions, and antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of Convolvulaceae extracts.

| Plants | Extract | Yield (%) | UV nm (Abs) | Plants Extract Yield (%) | UV nm (Abs) | OD<sub>600</sub> nm | OD<sub>340</sub> nm | Biofilm OD<sub>nm</sub> | Growth OD<sub>nm</sub> | Biofilm OD<sub>nm</sub> | Growth OD<sub>nm</sub> | Biofilm OD<sub>nm</sub> |
|--------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|        |         |           |             | P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853   |             | 0.887 ± 0.08          | 0.390 ± 0.05         | 1.367 ± 0.071          | 90%                  | 0.113 ± 0.05           | 27%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|        |         |           |             | S. aureus ATCC 6538 P      |             | 1.516 ± 0.022         | 0.420 ± 0.08         | 0.042 ± 0.08           | 100%                 | 0.042 ± 0.08           | 100%                 | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|        |         |           |             |                           |             | 100%                 | 0.042 ± 0.08         | 0.042 ± 0.08           | 100%                 | 0.042 ± 0.08           | 100%                 | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
| I. cairica | DEF 0.35 | 298 (0.734) | 0.168 ± 0.082 | 19%                 | 0.246 ± 0.001 | 63%                  | 1.367 ± 0.071 | 90%                  | 0.113 ± 0.05           | 27%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | DEL 0.51 | 325 (1.105) | 0.165 ± 0.003 | 19%                 | 0.246 ± 0.001 | 63%                  | 1.493 ± 0.033 | 98%                  | 0.097 ± 0.001          | 23%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEF 2.55 | 302 (1.697) | 1.078 ± 0.075 | 122%                | 0.144 ± 0.014 | 37%                  | 1.136 ± 0.141 | 75%                  | 0.004 ± 0.001          | 1%                   | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEL 2.88 | 304 (1.200) | 1.212 ± 0.084 | 137%                | 0.101 ± 0.020 | 26%                  | 1.311 ± 0.098 | 87%                  | 0.021 ± 0.025          | 5%                   | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
| I. nil | DEF 0.26 | 304 (1.181) | 0.095 ± 0.045 | 11%                 | 0.374 ± 0.05  | 96%                  | 1.310 ± 0.084 | 86%                  | 0.076 ± 0.021          | 18%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | DEL 0.46 | 322 (0.904) | 0.301 ± 0.053 | 34%                 | 0.400 ± 0.189 | 102%                 | 1.352 ± 0.048 | 89%                  | 0.067 ± 0.042          | 16%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEF 1.43 | 298 (1.005) | 0.864 ± 0.081 | 97%                 | 0.183 ± 0.001 | 47%                  | 1.406 ± 0.131 | 93%                  | 0.021 ± 0.013          | 5%                   | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEL 3.11 | 304 (0.845) | 1.042 ± 0.065 | 118%                | 0.226 ± 0.018 | 58%                  | 1.297 ± 0.099 | 86%                  | 0.063 ± 0.02           | 15%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
| I. purpurea | DEF 0.43 | 300 (1.013) | 0.154 ± 0.007 | 17%                 | 0.261 ± 0.015 | 67%                  | 1.314 ± 0.066 | 86%                  | 0.063 ± 0.01           | 15%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | DEL 1.45 | 263 (0.136) | 0.114 ± 0.053 | 13%                 | 0.420 ± 0.05  | 108%                 | 1.516 ± 0.108 | 100%                 | 0.122 ± 0.05           | 29%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEF 3.05 | 302 (1.853) | 1.123 ± 0.081 | 127%                | 0.148 ± 0.021 | 38%                  | 1.547 ± 0.105 | 102%                 | 0.055 ± 0.01           | 13%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEL 2.13 | 304 (1.450) | 1.115 ± 0.075 | 126%                | 0.214 ± 0.032 | 55%                  | 1.441 ± 0.076 | 95%                  | 0.067 ± 0.02           | 16%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
| M. dissecta | DEF 0.06 | 305 (0.76)  | 0.141 ± 0.017 | 16%                 | 0.296 ± 0.04  | 76%                  | 1.365 ± 0.120 | 90%                  | 0.038 ± 0.01           | 9%                   | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | DEL 0.90 | 322 (1.368) | 0.129 ± 0.033 | 15%                 | 0.078 ± 0.03  | 20%                  | 1.243 ± 0.060 | 82%                  | 0.063 ± 0.03           | 15%                  | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEF 1.57 | 367 (1.517) | 0.297 ± 0.014 | 34%                 | 0.136 ± 0.000 | 35%                  | 1.330 ± 0.123 | 88%                  | 0.000 ± 0.001          | 0%                   | 0.042 ± 0.08           |
|         | MEL 1.94 | 330 (2.447) | 0.793 ± 0.061 | 89%                 | 0.257 ± 0.03  | 66%                  | 1.434 ± 0.095 | 95%                  | 0.034 ± 0.02           | 8%                   | 0.042 ± 0.08           |

I. Ipomoea. M.: Merremia. DEF: diethyl ether extracts from flowers. DEL: diethyl ether extracts from leaves.
MEF: methanol extracts from flowers. MEL: methanol extracts from leaves.
All experiments showed significant differences with the controls (n = 8, P < 0.05).
which is a major cause of infection in immune-compromised patients. Indeed, these bacteria can cause serious infections in patients who have received massive antibiotic therapy, suffered severe burns, contracted HIV, or have a genetic disease like cystic fibrosis [31].

*M. dissecta* has been employed traditionally as a condiment, medicine, and ornament by an array of cultures. In Argentina, roots of *M. dissecta* var. *edentata* are still used as food by a few indigenous groups [16].

3.4. GC-EIMS Profile of *M. dissecta* Diethyl Ether Extract. GC-EIMS analysis of *M. dissecta* DEL has led to the identification of nine plant volatile compounds (Table 2) such as germacrene D (25.56%), β-caryophyllene (13.47%), spathulenol (6.27%), β-elemene (4.70%), and δ-elemene (2.65%) (sesquiterpenes), while benzyl alcohol (11.17%), benzoic acid (3.36%), and long-chain saturated fatty acids (17.28%) were also determined. Thus, *M. dissecta* is a promising source of sesquiterpenes and aromatic compounds with important bioactivities.

3.5. Fractionation of *M. dissecta* Diethyl Ether Extract and Bioactivities. DEL fractionation yielded fourteen fractions of increasing polarity, and their comparative effects on *P. aeruginosa* growth, biofilm production, and β-elastase activity (%) were investigated here. As shown in Figure 1, fractions 1–4 and 6 inhibit biofilm formation; particularly fraction 4 eluted with petroleum ether-ethyl acetate 95:5 displayed the highest inhibition (55%) of biofilm formation after 24 h of incubation, while benzyl alcohol (11.17%), benzoic acid (3.36%), and long-chain saturated fatty acids (17.28%) were also determined. Thus, *M. dissecta* is a promising source of sesquiterpenes and aromatic compounds with important bioactivities.

It is remarkable that fractions 5 and 7–14 showed a strong increase of biofilm formation after 24 h of incubation, although the *P. aeruginosa* growth was not notably inhibited as most stressors would. This composition-specific behavior is consistent with previous publications that demonstrated that small chemical changes exert opposite effects (inhibition-stimulation) [8, 10]. According to our previous results [7, 32], this important stimulant effect could be exploited to obtain a higher biofilm-biomass of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degrading *Pseudomonas* that would improve strategies for optimizing the carcinogenic substances bioremediation process.

In addition, the elastolytic activity of *P. aeruginosa* was significantly reduced by all fractions compared to control (13%–28%, *P* < 0.05).

3.6. Antiquorum Sensing Mode of Action of *M. dissecta* Fractions. All assayed fractions produced a notable decrease of the *P. aeruginosa* autoinducer biosynthesis (63–75%). Particularly, fraction 4 showed an AHL activity reduction of 72% (Figure 2). The observed effects are correlated with the biofilm formation and elastase activity decrease except for fractions 5 and 7–14 that produced more biofilm than control (Figure 1). This lack of correspondence could be due to another mechanism involved in biofilm formation.

It is very important to find plant natural products that decrease AHL production, since AHLs are signals utilized by Gram negative pathogenic bacteria to enable host colonization through AHL-mediated inhibition of induced inflammation via innate immune receptor mechanisms [33]. These signalling molecules inhibit lymphocyte proliferation and tumour necrosis factor-α production. They also decrease interleukin-12 production in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated macrophages [34].
| RT<sup>a</sup> (min) | RI<sup>b</sup> | Compounds       | Structure | MW<sup>c</sup> | Main mass fragmentation peaks (m/z) | %     |
|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| 8.79             | 1036        | Benzyl alcohol  | ![Benzyl_alcohol](image) | 108            | 108 (M<sup>+</sup>), 107, 91, 79 (100%), 63 and 51 | 11.17 |
| 14.49            | 1150        | Benzoic acid    | ![Benzoic_acid](image) | 122            | 122 (M<sup>+</sup>), 105 (100%), 77 and 51 | 3.36  |
| 20.80            | 1377        | δ-Elemene       | ![δ-Elemene](image)   | 204            | 204 (M<sup>+</sup>), 189, 175, 161, 148, 136, 121 (100%), 105, 93, 77, 67 and 53 | 2.65  |
| 23.36            | 1398        | β-Elemene       | ![β-Elemene](image)   | 204            | 204 (M<sup>+</sup>), 189, 161, 133, 105 and 93 (100%) | 4.70  |
| 24.45            | 1494        | β-Caryophyllene | ![β-Caryophyllene](image) | 204            | 204 (M<sup>+</sup>), 189, 161, 133, 105 and 93 (100%) | 13.47 |
| 27.6             | 1515        | Germacrene D    | ![Germacrene_D](image) | 204            | 204 (M<sup>+</sup>), 161 (100%), 105, 79 and 55 | 25.56 |
| 31.05            | 1536        | Spathulenol     | ![Spathulenol](image) | 220            | 220 (M<sup>+</sup>), 205, 187, 159, 131, 119, 91 (100%), 79 and 55 | 6.27  |
| 38.9             |             | Not identified  |           |                |                                     | 5.83  |
| 45.6             | 1869        | Pentadecanoic acid | ![Pentadecanoic_acid](image) | 242            | 242 (M<sup>+</sup>), 199, 143, 129, 115, 87, 73 (100%), and 60 | 13.52 |
| 45.65            | 2167        | Stearic acid    | ![Stearic_acid](image) | 284            | 284 (M<sup>+</sup>), 265, 241, 185, 143, 129, 115, 87, 83, 73 (94%) and 57 | 3.76  |

<sup>a</sup>RT: retention time. <sup>b</sup>RI: Kovats retention indexes. <sup>c</sup>MW: molecular weight.
3.7. Chemical Composition of the Bioactive Fraction 4. Spathulenol was found in fraction 4 by GC-EIMS and NMR analysis. Indeed, the NMR data of fraction 4 were identical to those previously reported for (+) spathulenol [35], and its EIMS profiling and the assignments of fragment ions are shown here (Figure 3). Hence, these results lead us to suppose that the antipathogenic effects exerted by fraction 4 can be attributed to the occurrence of (+) spathulenol.

In addition, the antibacterial and antipathogenic properties of stereoisomer (−) ent-spathulenol isolated from Porella species were previously demonstrated by Gilabert et al. [8]. However, it is important to note that (+) spathulenol, isolated from leaves of the vascular plant M. dissecta, showed a better anti-β-galactosidase activity than (−) isomer found in liverworts.

4. Conclusions

The bioguided study of the subtropical Convulvulaceae species assayed provides evidence of the occurrence of antipathogenic natural products active against Gram positive and negative bacteria. Particularly, eukaryotic metabolites such as (+) spathulenol from the ethnomedicinal plant M. dissecta are able to interfere with the Pseudomonas aeruginosa QS system by a strong decrease of the AHL biosynthesis (72%) which attenuates virulence factor expression.

The biofilm matrix is a key therapeutic target, and our findings suggest that the signalling pathway interruption by natural products of M. dissecta could be exploited as a good strategy for the development of new and effective antipathogenic drugs.
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