Cardiovascular consequence of reclining vs. sitting beach-chair body position for induction of anesthesia
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INTRODUCTION

During endoscopic shoulder surgery the patient is preferably placed in the sitting beach-chair position (Skyhar et al., 1988; Pohl and Cullen, 2005). This position facilitates surgical access (Papadonikolakis et al., 2008; Tange et al., 2010) and limits the blood loss since the shoulder is above heart level (Papadonikolakis et al., 2008).

On the other hand, the sitting position is associated with pooling of blood in the legs. The resulting postural reduction in stroke volume (SV) and cardiac output (CO) impacts the circulation (Dalrymple et al., 1979; Porter et al., 1999; Buhre et al., 2000; Truijen et al., 2012). In healthy awake humans, baroreceptor reflex-mediated sympathetic activation with an increase in heart rate (HR) and vascular tone maintains mean arterial pressure (MAP), but induction of anesthesia with propofol attenuates this adaptive response. The circulatory challenge of being positioned in a sitting position during induction of anesthesia may jeopardize maintenance of MAP leading to bradycardic hypotensive events (Kinsella and Tuckey, 2001; Jeong et al., 2012). Against this background we questioned whether under these circumstances the hemodynamic challenge of anesthesia in the sitting beach-chair position compromises cerebral perfusion and oxygenation (McCulloch et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011; Moerman et al., 2012).

In a survey among 26 anesthesiology departments in Denmark, ~40% preferred induction of anesthesia for shoulder surgery with the patient positioned in the sitting beach-chair position to reduce the risk of nerve injury by repositioning an anesthetized patient, and to minimize the setup time. Nerve injury is extremely rare in the beach-chair position (Peruto et al., 2009). However, transient or permanent loss of neural conductivity, so-called neurapraxia, may occur due to nerve fiber compression or inadvertent stretch especially when repositioning the head (Rains et al., 2011).

While several studies focused on the perioperative hemodynamic challenge of the beach-chair position (Dalrymple et al., 1979; Porter et al., 1999; Buhre et al., 2000; Jeong et al., 2012; Moerman et al., 2012), no attention has been paid to the position of the patient during induction of anesthesia. It remains unsettled whether induction of anesthesia in the reclining vs. sitting beach-chair position secures cerebrovascular hemodynamics. To that purpose we investigated the effects of induction of anesthesia in the reclining beach-chair position with subsequent stepwise rise to the sitting beach-chair position vs. induction of anesthesia in the sitting beach-chair position on MAP and cerebral oxygenation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PATIENTS

This quality control study was performed to assess a change in clinical practice after the departments’ advisory board had...
discouraged induction of anesthesia in the sitting beach-chair position, and it was approved by the Ethical Committee of Copenhagen (H-3-2013-FSP15). Data were recorded in 15 consecutive patients in whom anesthesia was induced in the reclining beach-chair position and results compared to those from a historical control group of 15 patients induced in the sitting beach-chair position. These patients had participated in a trial on the effect of a sequential leg compression device on hemodynamic stability during anesthesia in the sitting beach-chair position (ethical approval: H-1-2009-070; registered in Clinical Trials NCT01680393). Apart from the position of the patient during induction of anesthesia, inclusion procedures, the setup, and the investigators were the same for both groups. All patients signed written informed consent prior to the investigation.

Patients undergoing elective shoulder arthroscopy in general anesthesia were eligible for inclusion when >18 years and in ASA physical status I–II. All patients received an interscalene block (ropivacaine 7.5 mg/ml, 10–20 ml) and wore TED compression stockings throughout the surgical procedure. On the day of surgery, patients were allowed to take clear fluids freely until 2 h before the induction of anesthesia. Hemodynamic variables (MAP, HR, SV, and CO), frontal cerebral oxygenation (ScO2), and lower leg oxygenation (SmO2) were recorded continuously. Primary endpoint was the decrease in MAP, whereas the amount of epidural administered, ScO2, SmO2, HR, SV, and CO were secondary endpoints.

**STUDY PROTOCOL**

**Baseline**

For both groups signal recording started with the patients sitting comfortably in the sitting beach-chair. After ~5 min rest, baseline values were registered as 1 min averages.

**Reclining beach-chair position**

After baseline monitoring patients in the reclining beach-chair group were tilted backwards so that the toes were at the same height as the forehead. Following pre-oxygenation anesthesia was induced. When the hemodynamic condition was judged stable (approximately 5–10 min after induction), the patients were tilted ~30° to halfway sitting position prior to sterile draping and remained in that position for approximately 5 min. Prior to the surgical procedure the patients were tilted further up (~60° sitting beach-chair position), and remained in that position throughout the surgical procedure.

**Sitting beach-chair position**

The body position of the sitting group was maintained during baseline signal recording, induction of anesthesia and throughout the surgical procedure.

**Positioning of beach-chair (sitting)**

The surgical table was set into the sitting beach-chair position with the upper body section raised to ~60°, the mid-section in ~10° Trendelenburg position, and the leg section flexed ~20° at the level of the knees. The head was stabilized in a head rest to prevent head rotation which interferes with cerebral blood flow and cerebrovenous drainage (Højlund et al., 2012). The shoulder panel on the operated side was removed, and an arm support was placed on both sides. Straps were fastened around the torso and the legs to fasten the patient.

**Anesthesia**

After the patient was placed, pre-oxygenation started through a loose fitting facial mask and propofol (~0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and remifentanil (~0.5 µg kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) infusions were initiated. Propofol (2.0–2.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.v. in a bolus injection) as inductive agent was administered when the patient felt first signs of anesthesia, and a laryngeal mask was placed after loss of eyelid reflexes. Anesthesia was maintained by continued infusion of propofol and remifentanil. Hypotension (MAP < 60 mmHg) was treated with ephedrine 5–10 mg. Ventilation was maintained by a respirator with a tidal volume ~8 ml kg\(^{-1}\), an inspiratory oxygen fraction of 0.4, and a respiratory frequency ~12 min\(^{-1}\). Isotonic saline was administered at ~500 ml h\(^{-1}\). Hemodynamic stability was assessed by (1) the maximal decline in MAP, SV, and ScO2 during the first 4 min following induction of anesthesia and position change; (2) the total dosage of ephedrine administered during anesthesia; and (3) the average change in MAP, HR, SV, and CO under steady state (during surgery, 15 and 30 min following induction of anesthesia) compared with baseline.

**MEASUREMENTS**

**Oxygenation**

ScO2 and SmO2 were recorded by near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS, INVOS® System technology, model 5100C, Somanetics Corporation, Troy, MI) (Moritz et al., 2007; Smith and Elwell, 2009). One probe was placed high on the lateral forehead ipsilateral to the arm being operated. A second probe was placed over the left gastrocnemius muscle.

**Circulatory measurements and data analysis**

SV was obtained from continuously measured arterial pressure by the pulse contour method (BMEYE Nexfin® monitor, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) (Martina et al., 2012). A cuff was applied to the midphalanx of the middle finger of the arm not being operated. A “heart reference system” with a transducer at both the finger and the heart level corrected for the hydrostatic difference between the finger cuff and the heart. Compared with Doppler-measured changes in CO, pulse contour analysis provides reliable estimates, especially in regard to changes (Bogert et al., 2010; Van Geldorp et al., 2011; Van der Spoel et al., 2012).

Data from the near-infrared and photoplethysmographic devices were not disclosed to the anesthesiologist who relied on standard intra-operative monitors, including sphygmomanometric blood pressure as measured on the arm opposite to the operated shoulder every second minute following induction and later every fifth minute.

Post-hoc analysis included visual judgment of blood pressure tracings for obvious artifacts that were removed using MATLAB 7.12 analysis software (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). Signals of ScO2, MAP, HR, SV, CO, and SmO2 were resampled at 1 Hz and expressed as averages of 15-s intervals.
Blood pressure tracings were inspected for instances of 15-s intervals with hypotension (MAP < 60 mmHg), as were NIRS-tracings for cerebral deoxygenation defined as a 20% decrease in ScO2 compared to baseline (Moritz et al., 2007).

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data are expressed as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. A sample size of 14 patients in each group gave 80% power to detect a 15% difference in MAP at a significance level of 0.05. Comparison between groups was analyzed using Student’s unpaired t-test when data were normally distributed; otherwise Mann-Whitney Rank sum test was used. One-Way repeated measurements analysis (ANOVA) was used to test for changes in hemodynamics after shift in body position. Paired Student’s t-test was used to compare values before and after induction. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant and all statistical procedures were performed using the SigmaPlot version 11.0.

**RESULTS**

In two patients (one in each group) photoplethysmographic measurements were of insufficient quality, leaving data from 28 patients for analysis. Patient characteristics were comparable between the two groups (Table 1).

**PRIOR TO INDUCTION**

Baseline values were similar among the groups (Table 2). In the reclining beach-chair position pre-oxygenation increased ScO2 more than in the sitting beach-chair group.

**AFTER INDUCTION**

Induction of anesthesia resulted in the largest drops in ScO2 and MAP in patients induced in the sitting beach-chair position while their SmO2 was higher (Table 2). However, due to the pre-oxygenation induced offset, ScO2 remained higher than baseline in both groups during the first 4 min after induction of anesthesia. CO tended to be lower (Figure 1), but minimum values for CO, SV, and HR were not different between the groups.

**CHANGES FROM BASELINE TO A STEADY-STATE CONDITION (15 AND 30 MIN AFTER INDUCTION)**

In both groups, MAP, HR, SV, and CO were lower 15–30 min after induction of anesthesia without significant differences between the groups (Figure 1).

---

**Table 1 | Clinical characteristics of the study population.**

| Age (years) | Gender (m/f) | Height (cm) | Weight (kg) | BMI (kg/m²) | Operated side (left/right) | Per-operative saline IV (ml) | Propofol infusions (mg/kg/h) | Remifentanil infusions (µg/kg/h) | Induction bolus of propofol (mg/kg) |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age (years) | 40 ± 17     | 42 ± 15     | 175 ± 8     | 76 ± 11     | 25 ± 3                    | 0/8                         | 690 ± 160                     | 0.50 ± 0.11                   | 0.49 ± 0.16                   |
| Gender (m/f) | 9/5         | 9/5         | 9/5         | 9/5         | 9/5                       | 9/5                         | 760 ± 160                     | 0.50 ± 0.11                   | 0.49 ± 0.16                   |
| Height (cm) | 175 ± 8     | 177 ± 8     | 175 ± 8     | 177 ± 8     | 177 ± 8                   | 177 ± 8                     | 660 ± 230                     | 0.50 ± 0.11                   | 0.49 ± 0.16                   |
| Weight (kg) | 76 ± 11     | 83 ± 11     | 76 ± 11     | 83 ± 11     | 83 ± 11                   | 83 ± 11                     | 660 ± 230                     | 0.50 ± 0.11                   | 0.49 ± 0.16                   |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25 ± 3      | 27 ± 4      | 25 ± 3      | 27 ± 4      | 27 ± 4                    | 27 ± 4                      | 660 ± 230                     | 0.50 ± 0.11                   | 0.49 ± 0.16                   |
| Operated side (left/right) | 0/8 | 7/7 | 6/8 | 7/7 | 6/8 | 7/7 | 6/8 | 7/7 | 6/8 |
| Per-operative saline IV (ml) | 690 ± 160 | 660 ± 230 | 690 ± 160 | 660 ± 230 | 690 ± 160 | 660 ± 230 | 690 ± 160 | 660 ± 230 | 690 ± 160 |
| Propofol infusions (mg/kg/h) | 0.50 ± 0.11 | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 0.50 ± 0.11 | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 0.50 ± 0.11 | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 0.50 ± 0.11 | 0.45 ± 0.09 | 0.50 ± 0.11 |
| Remifentanil infusions (µg/kg/h) | 0.49 ± 0.16 | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 0.49 ± 0.16 | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 0.49 ± 0.16 | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 0.49 ± 0.16 | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 0.49 ± 0.16 |
| Induction bolus of propofol (mg/kg) | 2.24 ± 0.39 | 2.12 ± 0.51 | 2.24 ± 0.39 | 2.12 ± 0.51 | 2.24 ± 0.39 | 2.12 ± 0.51 | 2.24 ± 0.39 | 2.12 ± 0.51 | 2.24 ± 0.39 |

---

**Table 2 | Circulatory and oxygenation parameters at baseline, following induction of anesthesia in either the sitting or reclining position, and during subsequent elevation to the sitting position in the patients in whom anesthesia was induced in the reclining position.**

| Position during induction | Baseline | Prior to induction (15 s) | After induction (minimum 0–4 min) | Inclination 30° (minimum 0–4 min) | Inclination 60° (minimum 0–4 min) | 15–30 min following induction |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ScO2                      | Sitting   | 72 ± 7 (%)                | +7 ± 5*                          | +1 ± 8*                          | +3 ± 15                          | −5 ± 12*                      |
|                           | Reclining | 68 ± 6 (%)                | +12 ± 4                          | +7 ± 6                           | +5 ± 7                           | +5 ± 7                        |
| SmO2                      | Sitting   | 70 ± 12 (%)               | +2 ± 7                           | +11 ± 6* (Max)                   | +5 ± 7                           | +5 ± 7 (Max)                  |
|                           | Reclining | 76 ± 8 (%)                | +1 ± 4                           | +5 ± 5 (Max)                     | +5 ± 7 (Max)                     | +5 ± 7 (Max)                  |
| MAP                       | Sitting   | 104 ± 10 (mmHg)           | +3 ± 8                           | −45 ± 15*                        | +5 ± 15*                        | +5 ± 15*                      |
|                           | Reclining | 102 ± 17 (mmHg)           | −2 ± 13                          | −35 ± 12                         | −35 ± 17                         | −36 ± 12                      |
| HR                        | Sitting   | 73 ± 16 (beats/min)       | +11 ± 20                         | −21 ± 11                         | −27 ± 7*                        | −24 ± 9*                      |
|                           | Reclining | 71 ± 16 (beats/min)       | +6 ± 14                          | −18 ± 11                         | −12 ± 15                         | −12 ± 15                      |
| SV                        | Sitting   | 91 ± 20 (ml)              | 0 ± 6                            | −24 ± 14                         | −10 ± 15                         | −9 ± 30                       |
|                           | Reclining | 101 ± 29 (ml)             | 0 ± 15                           | −20 ± 19                         | −10 ± 27                         | −18 ± 26                      |
| CO                        | Sitting   | 6.5 ± 1.1 l/min           | +12 ± 17                         | −36 ± 16                         | −23 ± 11                         | −28 ± 18                      |
|                           | Reclining | 6.9 ± 1.7 l/min           | +5 ± 16                          | −29 ± 17                         | −33 ± 18                         | −36 ± 16                      |

All values are mean ± SD. For both groups, absolute baseline values represent a 60 s average in the sitting position. Other values are percent changes compared to baseline; averages of the 15 s interval prior to induction, and the 15–30th min after induction. Between 0 and 4 min after the induction and inclination, values represent the minimum (SmO2; maximum) of 15 s averages. *Sitting vs. reclining; p < 0.05. #Different from the minimum value after induction; p < 0.05.
FIGURE 1 | Mean-values for all patients from 5 min prior to induction until 30 min after induction. Baseline was recorded in the sitting beach-chair position approximately 5 min prior to induction. During the 5 min prior to induction the patient was placed in the induction position (either sitting or reclining) with start of propofol and remifentanil infusions and preoxygenation. Instances of individual ephedrine administrations are shown.

Table 3 | Number of 15 s periods per patient with MAP < 60 mmHg, (median and range); and the number of ephedrine (Eph) administrations during three consecutive 10 min intervals.

|                | 0–10 min after induction | 10–20 min after induction | 20–30 min after induction |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sitting        | 13 (0–29); Eph × 11      | 3 (0–37); Eph × 2         | 8 (0–34); Eph × 4        |
| Reclining      | 0 (0–31); Eph × 1        | 0 (0–40); Eph × 2         | 2 (0–40); Eph × 2        |

BLOOD PRESSURE AND EPHEDRINE TREATMENT
The incidence of hypotensive events was not statistically different between the two groups (Table 3). However, during surgery the group induced in the sitting beach-chair position received more ephedrine (mean: 13 vs. 4 mg, \( p = 0.048 \)), especially during the first 10 min after induction \( [10 (0–20 \text{ mg}); \text{median (range)} \text{ vs. } 0 (0–5 \text{ mg}); p < 0.001] \).

CEREBRAL DEOXYGENATION
Two patients induced in the sitting beach-chair position and three patients in the reclining beach-chair position had episodes with cerebral deoxygenation, defined as a 20% decrease compared to baseline. Cerebral deoxygenations were detected following 10–30 min after induction. In these patients deoxygenations coincided with hypotensive events where MAP decreased by 40–70%.

DISCUSSION
The main new finding is that induction of anesthesia in the reclining beach-chair position resulted in higher MAP, fewer requirements for ephedrine, and higher \( \text{ScO}_2 \) as compared to induction in the sitting beach-chair position.

The observed differences between the two modes of induction are small but may become clinically significant for patients with less effective cerebrovascular autoregulatory capacity associated with microvascular disease in whom any reduction in MAP is translated into a fall in cerebral blood flow (Kim et al., 2011). Following induction of anesthesia \( \text{ScO}_2 \) was slightly higher in the reclining compared with the sitting beach-chair group whereas the opposite occurred for \( \text{SmO}_2 \). This might be due to caudal accumulation of blood in the sitting position and suggesting that anesthesia induction in the reclining vs. the sitting beach-chair position secures central hemodynamics more efficiently. NIRS recordings might have been different with use of vasopressors other than ephedrine. With phenylephrine and norepinephrine reductions in NIRS signals have been observed concomitant with elevated MAP and were taken to reflect either cerebral vasoconstriction or reduced cardiac stroke volume (Brassard et al., 2009; Nissen et al., 2009). However, recent studies suggest that such reduction is explained by a major contribution of (reduced) skin perfusion to the NIRS signal rather than actual changes in cerebral blood flow (Sørensen et al., 2012, 2014; Ogoh et al., 2014).

No study has addressed the cardio- and cerebrovascular effects of the postural reduction in central blood volume (Buhre et al., 2000; Tange et al., 2010) associated with the sitting position for
induction of anesthesia. We can only speculate whether patients induced in the sitting position are imposed to a higher risk of adverse neurologic events, but no such events were reported in >5000 patients who were anesthetized in the supine position and subsequently tilted to the sitting beach-chair position (Pin-On et al., 2013).

In our small number of patients no incidents of post-operative neural dysfunction were observed and the risk of neurapraxia is not expected to be higher by reclining the operation table since the conscious patients place themselves comfortable in the beach-chair including the head-rest. Thus, no major repositioning is performed in anesthetized patients. Although not assessed in this study setup time is expected to be slightly higher (~5 min) when inducing the patient in the reclining position since the subsequent tilting to the sitting position is performed slowly.

We adopted a threshold for cerebral ischemia of 20% change from baseline and during surgery in only five patients desaturations were observed. This may reflect that following induction of anesthesia arterial blood pressure was not reduced below the lower limit of the cerebral autoregulation (Joshi et al., 2012). However, the evolving concept of the brain as a index organ is ambiguous, so it is relevant preventing hypotension because other organs, e.g., the kidneys, may suffer from comprised perfusion before the brain becomes affected due to the hierarchy of blood flow (Ono et al., 2013). As even brief hypotensive episodes may predispose patients to postoperative complications (Fischer et al., 2011) prompt reversal of ScO₂ in those patients is crucial to improve clinical outcome (Casati et al., 2005).

During induction in the sitting vs. reclining beach-chair group the hemodynamic challenge is larger by the caudal accumulation of blood and in turn reduced cardiac preload. However, in our small group of patients changes in SV and CO were not consistent albeit there was a tendency for lower values following induction of anesthesia with the upper body elevated. Of interest, HR tended to be higher, which may equally reflect the more frequent use of ephedrine as well as strain on the circulation.

Following induction of anesthesia ScO₂ was higher in the reclining in comparison with the sitting beach-chair group whereas the opposite occurred for SmO₂ indicating caudal accumulation of blood in the sitting position. Such gravitational influence is supported by similar changes in SmO₂ when patients induced in the reclining beach-chair subsequently were tilted to the sitting position. These results are in line with those observed in conscious volunteers during head up tilt, where a rapid increase in the concentration of oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO₂) of the calf reflects an initially rapid arterial inflow into the leg (Truijen et al., 2012). A subsequent postural reduction in HbO₂ may represent reflex vasoconstriction, as a decrease in HbO₂ correlates with leg blood flow and inversely with sympathetic activity (Hachiya et al., 2010). However, following induction of anesthesia more pronounced and opposite changes in both muscle oxygenation and blood pressure in the sitting group suggest that anesthesia attenuates counter-regulatory mechanisms to orthostasis. Of interest, during beach-chair surgery intermittent pneumatic sequential compression of the lower extremities stabilizes hemodynamics (Kwak et al., 2010).

LIMITATIONS
The reclining beach-chair group received less ephedrine although the incidence of significant hypotension was similar in the two groups. Apparently, the anesthetists might have had a lower threshold for the use of ephedrine in the sitting beach-chair group. However, even with less ephedrine treatment, the patients induced in the reclining beach-chair position had higher MAP than the patients induced in the sitting beach-chair position.

Following an interscalene block, local anesthetics may spread to the stellate ganglion (Song and Roh, 2012), and especially a right stellate ganglion block may suppress cardiac sympathetic function (Koyama et al., 2002). Since the side of blockade was evenly distributed within each group we consider this effect unlikely to explain the hemodynamic differences.

CONCLUSIONS
Induction of anesthesia in the reclining compared with the sitting beach-chair position resulted in higher MAP and ScO₂ as well as less frequent use of ephedrine indicating more stable hemodynamics. We propose that for surgery in the beach-chair position, induction of anesthesia is performed in the reclining position with the chair tilted backward.
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