Associations of workplace violence and psychological capital with depressive symptoms and burn-out among doctors in Liaoning, China: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives The purpose of this study was to (1) Identify the prevalence of workplace violence among doctors in Liaoning, China. (2) Examine the relationships between workplace violence and psychological capital with depressive symptoms and burn-out in Chinese doctors.

Design A quantitative, cross-sectional study.

Setting Eight hospitals in Liaoning Province were surveyed using a self-reported questionnaire.

Participants The study population comprised 1800 doctors. Ultimately 1392 doctors completed valid questionnaires with a response rate of 77.3%.

Results The prevalence of workplace violence was 77.5%. Compared with other types of workplace violence, the prevalence of psychological aggression was the highest (72.7%). Workplace violence (depressive symptoms: β[95% CI]=0.11 [0.06, 0.16]; emotional exhaustion: β[95% CI]=0.18 [0.13, 0.23]; depersonalisation: β[95% CI]=0.17 [0.12, 0.22]) and psychological capital (depressive symptoms: β[95% CI]=−0.32 [−0.37, −0.27]; emotional exhaustion: β[95% CI]=−0.23 [−0.28, −0.18]; depersonalisation: β[95% CI]=−0.23 [−0.28, −0.18]) were associated with depressive symptoms and burn-out. Workplace violence increased the level of depressive symptoms and burn-out by damaging psychological capital (depressive symptoms: a*b=1.61, bias-corrected and accelerated [BCa] 95% CI 1.08 to 2.25; emotional exhaustion: a*b=1.29, BCa 95% CI 0.86 to 1.83; depersonalisation: a*b=0.70, BCa 95% CI 0.45 to 1.00).

Conclusions In China, most doctors will be exposed to workplace violence, especially psychological aggression. A safer work environment and psychological capital development may be considered in prevention and treatment strategies for improving mental health.

BACKGROUND

Workplace violence was defined as ’incidents where staff were abused, threatened or assaulted in circumstances related to their work, including commuting to and from work, involving an explicit or implicit threat to their safety, well-being or health’.1 International Labour Office, International Council of Nurses, WHO and Public Services International have reported that approximately a quarter of the total number of violent incidents in all workplaces occurs in a hospital.2 The Occupational Safety and Health Administration reported that from 2011 to 2013, the number of workplace violence incidents averaged nearly 24,000 annually, with approximately 75% occurring in the healthcare setting.3 In Turkey, 78.1% of doctors suffered workplace violence.4 In Iran, almost 90% of residents experienced violence.5 Hospital violence has become an increasingly serious public health problem worldwide and is also common in China, which has attracted considerable attention.

According to the China Statistical Yearbook, the number of doctors is 2.31 per 1000 persons.6 A study reported that human resources in Chinese hospitals were insufficient, which may cause strain on doctors.7
With the development of medicine, most doctors are busy with technical challenges and thus ignore patients’ inner feelings in clinical settings. In addition, in China patients often complain about extensive medical costs. Because the price of medical services is under governmental control, Chinese doctors receive low benefits from medical services. As a result, to make more profits, some doctors may provide unnecessary treatment to patients. Although the government has taken some measures to address this issue, it still causes adverse effects. All of the above factors may lead to an unharmonious doctor-patient relationship, which may put Chinese doctors at high risk of workplace violence. Sun et al found that 83.4% of doctors experienced workplace violence from 30 provinces of China. Yao et al reported that 63.2% of doctors suffered workplace violence in Henan Province, China. The results of previous studies varied considerably. Inconsistency in the existing data may make interpretation of the results quite difficult. A meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of workplace violence targeting doctors was 61.1% (95% CI 56.1% to 66.1%). This study further estimated the prevalence of workplace violence among doctors in China.

Workplace violence was found to be a risk factor for depressive symptoms and burn-out. Depressive symptoms and burn-out affected doctors’ quality of life, and threatened patients’ safety and healthcare quality. Thus, improving the mental health of doctors is important for both the doctors themselves and their patients. Although the impacts of hospital violence on depressive symptoms and burn-out have been explored, most studies involved medical staff or nurses. More studies targeting doctors may be needed. In addition, the fear of violence was reported to mediate the effects of workplace violence on mental health and emotional well-being. Workplace violence had both direct and indirect effects on mental health. In-depth research on both the direct and indirect effects of workplace violence on depressive symptoms and burn-out should be conducted to establish more effective measures for improving mental health.

Psychological capital refers to an individual’s positive psychological state of development. It consists of self-efficacy, hope, optimism and resilience. Based on Bandura’s Social Cognitive Theory, self-efficacy means confidence in the ability to finish challenging tasks; hope involves willingness to achieve a goal and the capacity for tackling challenges; according to Seligman, optimism is the way in which an individual understands past experiences; resilience reflects adaptability to positive or negative environment. Based on the above theory, individuals with high levels of psychological capital are adaptable to changing demands and demonstrate emotional stability when faced with adversity. Most studies have found that psychological capital may help individuals cope with depressive symptoms and burn-out. Psychological capital is state-like and can be changed. Liu et al found that psychological capital mediated the relationship between effort-reward imbalance and depressive symptoms. Wang et al reported that work-family conflict increased the level of burn-out by damaging psychological capital. Thus psychological capital may mediate the relationships of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out in doctors.

The aim of the present study was to (1) Identify the prevalence of workplace violence among doctors in Liaoning, China. (2) Examine the relationships between workplace violence, psychological capital and depressive symptoms, and burn-out in Chinese doctors.

METHOD
Study population
Based on previous studies, the prevalence of depressive symptoms was 30%–60%; for burn-out, the SDs of emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and personal accomplishment were 11.76, 4.76 and 10.96, respectively. Tolerance errors of emotional exhaustion and personal accomplishment were set to 1; the tolerance error of personal accomplishment was set to 0.50, and the tolerance error of depressive symptoms was set to 0.03. Finally according to the prevalence and tolerance error of depressive symptoms (P=0.03, d=0.05, Zα=1.96), the sample size was 897. If the design effect was set to 1.50 and the effective response rate was set to 75%, 1793 individuals needed to be included. Therefore, 1800 doctors were surveyed.

During June-July 2015, a cross-sectional survey was conducted in Liaoning Province. According to the geographical division of Liaoning Province, the entire province was divided into five regions. One city in each region was randomly selected (Dandong, Fuxin, Anshan, Fushun and Shenyang). Based on the number of ‘grade two and above general hospitals (>100 beds) and population size, one ‘grade two and above general hospital was randomly selected in Dandong, Fuxin, Anshan and Fushun, respectively, and four ‘grade two and above general hospitals were randomly selected in Shenyang (a total of eight hospitals). Based on the estimated sample size, 225 (1800/8) individuals who worked for 12 months or longer were selected in every hospital. Self-administered questionnaires were directly distributed to 1800 doctors after obtaining written informed consent. The questionnaire in which missing values exceed 20% was regarded as invalid. We received an effective response from 1392 doctors with an effective response rate of 77.3%.

Questionnaires
Depressive symptoms (outcome variable)
The Center for Epidemiology Studies Depression Scale (CES-D, 20 items) is used for epidemiological research and can be responded to on a 4-point Likert Scale, with response categories ranging from ‘rarely or none of the time’ (0 points) to ‘most or all of the times’ (3 points). It is a self-report questionnaire that is used to measure...
current depressive symptoms in the general population. The CES-D is an international common scale and has been translated, back translated and revised to make its items culturally and linguistically applicable in China. The Chinese version of the CES-D has shown good reliability, and validity and has been widely used in Chinese populations.28 Higher scores indicate higher levels of depressive symptoms. In this study, Cronbach’s α for CES-D was 0.92.

Burn-out (outcome variable)
The Maslach Burnout Inventory Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS) is a 22-item scale. Responses are recorded on a 7-point scale, with response categories ranging from ‘never’ (0 point) to ‘every day’ (6 points).36 MBI-HSS includes three dimensions: emotional exhaustion (nine items), depersonalisation (five items) and personal accomplishment (eight items). Higher scores for emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and personal accomplishment indicate higher levels of burn-out. The MBI-HSS is an international common scale, and the Chinese version of the MBI-HSS has good reliability and validity, and has been widely used in Chinese populations.37 In this study, Cronbach’s α for emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and personal accomplishment were 0.89, 0.80 and 0.88, respectively.

Workplace violence (predictor variable)
Two dimensions of the workplace violence scale (verbal sexual harassment and sexual assault) adapted by Peixi Wang and the workplace violence scale designed by Schat et al were adopted.15 38 For the workplace violence scale adapted by Peixi Wang, verbal sexual harassment and sexual assault each contain one item, respectively. The workplace violence scale designed by Schat et al includes three dimensions: physical violence at work (eight items), psychological aggression at work (three items) and vicarious violence at work (five items). Each item can be responded to on a 4-point scale from 0 for no experience, one for experiencing once, 2 for two to three experiences, and 3 for four or more experiences. Based on the definition of workplace violence in our study (incidents where staff are abused, threatened or assaulted in circumstances related to their work, including commuting to and from work, involving an explicit or implicit threat to their safety, well-being or health),1 we deleted two items from ‘physical violence at work’ and all items from ‘vicarious violence at work’. Finally the workplace violence scale in our study included 11 items. The original scale included four dimensions: physical violence at work, psychological aggression, verbal sexual harassment and sexual assault. Some scholars divided ‘physical violence at work’ into ‘physical assault’ and ‘threat’, and thus, the workplace violence scale included five factors.38 Based on the four-factor and the five-factor models, we performed confirmatory factor analysis. We found that goodness-of-fit indexes of the uncorrected four-factor structure were better than those of the uncorrected four-factor structure (uncorrected four-factor structure: Goodness of Fit Index (GFI)=0.88, Compare Fix Index (CFI)=0.93, Incremental Fit Index (IFI)=0.93, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)=0.13, Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)=0.91, Standard Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR)=0.05; uncorrected five-factor structure: GFI=0.91, CFI=0.95, IFI=0.95, RMSEA=0.12, TLI=0.92, SRMR=0.05). Finally, goodness-of-fit indexes of the corrected five-factor structure were relatively good (GFI=0.94, CFI=0.97, IFI=0.97, RMSEA=0.09 TLI=0.95, SRMR=0.04). Cronbach’s α was 0.90.

Psychological capital (mediating variable)
Psychological capital was measured with a 24-item Psychological Capital Questionnaire (PCQ-24), which was developed by Luthans et al.26 PCQ-24 includes four dimensions: self-efficacy (six items), hope (six items), resilience (six items) and optimism (six items). Each of the items can be responded to on a 6-point Likert scale, with response categories ranging from ‘strongly disagree’ (1 point) to ‘strongly agree’ (6 points). Higher scores indicate higher levels of psychological capital. PCQ-24 is an international common scale, and the Chinese version of PCQ-24 has good reliability and validity and has been widely used in Chinese populations.39 In this study, Cronbach’s α was 0.88.

Demographic characteristics (control variables)
Demographic factors included the following: age, gender (male, female), marriage (single, married/cohabitation, widow/divorced/separated), education (junior college or lower, college, graduate or higher), only child (yes, no), smoking (no, ever, yes), drinking (yes, no), rank (resident, attending doctor, associate chief doctor, chief doctor), division (internal medicine, surgical department, department of obstetrics and gynaecology, ancillary department, other department), weekly work time (≤40 hours/week, >40 hours/week), income (≤¥3000, ¥3001–4000, ¥4001–5000, >¥5000), shift work (yes, no) and night shift (yes, no).

Statistical analyses
For the categorical variables, groups for which the response rate was <5% were merged. In our study, only 37 (2.7%) participants belonged to the ‘widow/divorced/separated’ group, and thus this group was combined with the ‘single’ group. Univariate analysis of depressive symptoms and burn-out in relation to categorical variables was examined by t-tests and one-way analyses of variance (ANOVs). Univariate analysis of depressive symptoms and burn-out in relation to continuous variables was tested by Pearson’s correlation analysis.

We performed hierarchical linear regression analyses to examine the effects of workplace violence and psychological capital on depressive symptoms and burn-out. Except for gender and age, variables related to depressive symptoms and burn-out (p<0.05) in t-tests or one-way ANOVAs were entered in the model.
Based on the results of the hierarchical linear regression analyses, we further used the asymptotic and resampling strategies developed by Preacher and Hayes to examine the mediating role of psychological capital in the relationships between workplace violence and depressive symptoms and burn-out. All of the above analyses were conducted using SPSS V.13.0. A two-tailed probability value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Missing values were addressed with mean substitution.40

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients or public were not involved in this study.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of workplace violence among doctors**

The characteristics of workplace violence among doctors are shown in table 1. The prevalence of workplace violence was 77.5%. Approximately 72.7% of participants encountered psychological aggression. The prevalence of workplace violence from highest to lowest was physical violence (33.7%), threat (31.1%), verbal sexual harassment (19.5%) and sexual assault (12.6%). Additionally, 34.7% of participants experienced one type of violence, 14.5% of participants encountered two types of violence and 14.5% of participants suffered three types of violence. The prevalence of workplace violence was highest (83.3%) in the surgical department and lowest (69.5%) in the ancillary department.

**T-tests or one-way ANOVAs of depressive symptoms and burn-out in relation to categorical variables**

The average age was (38.90±8.81) years. Educational level, weekly work time, shift work, night shift, division, income and workplace violence were significantly associated with depressive symptoms (p<0.05). Marital, educational level, weekly work time, shift work, night shift, division and workplace violence were significantly associated with emotional exhaustion (p<0.05). Educational level, weekly work time, shift work, night shift, division and workplace violence were significantly associated with depersonalisation (p<0.05). Gender, marital, educational level, weekly work time, rank, division, income and workplace violence were significantly associated with personal accomplishment (p<0.05). The above results are shown in table 2.

**Pearson’s correlation analysis of depressive symptoms and burn-out in relation to continuous variables**

The correlation analysis showed that age was significantly correlated with personal accomplishment (r=0.07, p<0.05). Psychological capital was significantly associated with depressive symptoms (r=−0.35, p<0.05) and burn-out (emotional exhaustion: r=−0.28, p<0.05; depersonalisation: r=−0.27, p<0.05; personal accomplishment: r=0.26, p<0.05).

**Hierarchical linear regression analysis results, with depressive symptoms and job burn-out as the criterion variables**

In step 1 of the hierarchical linear regression analysis, demographic characteristics were used as predictors. In step 2, workplace violence was added and in step 3, psychological capital was added. Workplace violence was positively associated with depressive symptoms (β[95% CI]=0.11 [0.06, 0.16], p<0.05) and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion: β[95% CI]=0.18 [0.13, 0.23], p<0.05; depersonalisation: β[95% CI]=0.17 [0.12, 0.22], p<0.05). Psychological capital was negatively associated with depressive symptoms (β[95% CI]=−0.32 [-0.37, -0.27], p<0.05) and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion: β[95% CI]=−0.23 [-0.28, -0.18], p<0.05; depersonalisation: β[95% CI]=−0.23 [-0.28, -0.18], p<0.05). The above results showed that workplace violence and psychological capital were associated with depressive symptoms and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation) (table 3).

**Regression analysis results, with depressive symptoms and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation) as outcomes and psychological capital as a mediator**

In the regression equation, workplace violence was used as a predictor, and depressive symptoms and burn-out were used as outcomes (figure 1). The first step in the analysis was to explore the associations of workplace

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of workplace violence among doctors

| Variables                      | N (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| **Violence styles**            |       |
| Physical violence              | 469 (33.7) |
| Psychological aggression       | 1012 (72.7) |
| Threat                         | 433 (31.1) |
| Verbal sexual harassment       | 272 (19.5) |
| Sexual assault                 | 175 (12.6) |
| **Accumulated violence**      |       |
| Zero type                      | 315 (22.6) |
| One type                       | 483 (34.7) |
| Two types                      | 202 (14.5) |
| Three types                    | 202 (14.5) |
| Four types                     | 82 (5.9) |
| Five types                     | 108 (7.8) |
| **Division**                   | Workplace violence (N %) |
| Internal medicine              | 378 (80.8) |
| Surgical department            | 245 (83.3) |
| Department of obstetrics and  | 66 (76.7) |
| gynaecology                    |       |
| Ancillary department           | 139 (69.5) |
| Other department               | 251 (73.0) |
### Table 2  Univariate analysis of depressive symptoms and burn-out in relation to categorical variables

| Variables                        | $N$ (%) | Depressive symptoms (mean [SD]) | Burn-out (mean [SD]) |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                  |         | EE                              | DP                   | PA                   |
| **Gender**                       |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| Male                             | 609 (43.8) | 19.53 (11.55) | 21.5 (12.55) | 9.81 (7.16) | 31.24 (10.42)* |
| Female                           | 783 (56.3) | 20.25 (10.31) | 21.29 (11.62) | 9.62 (6.18) | 28.75 (10.31) |
| **Marriage**                     |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| Unmarried/divorced/widow/separated | 206 (14.8) | 19.16 (9.54) | 19.78 (11.25) | 8.97 (6.3) | 28.09 (11.57) |
| Married/cohabitation             | 1186 (85.2) | 20.07 (11.09) | 21.66 (12.14)* | 9.83 (6.67) | 30.15 (10.19)* |
| **Only child**                   |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| No                               | 679 (48.8) | 19.55 (10.95) | 21.53 (12.7) | 9.64 (6.84) | 30.21 (9.95) |
| Yes                              | 713 (51.2) | 20.3 (10.8) | 21.24 (11.36) | 9.76 (6.42) | 29.49 (10.86) |
| **Educational level**            |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| Junior college or lower          | 193 (13.9) | 17.79 (9.76) | 16.14 (10.34) | 7.33 (5.64) | 28.1 (11.39) |
| College                         | 999 (71.8) | 20.08 (11.26) | 21.95 (12.23) | 9.93 (6.71) | 30 (10.36) |
| Graduate or higher               | 200 (14.4) | 21.29 (9.62)* | 23.6 (11.21)* | 10.83 (6.58)* | 30.72 (9.62)* |
| **Drinking**                     |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| No                               | 1076 (77.3) | 19.84 (10.72) | 21.35 (11.81) | 9.68 (6.51) | 29.63 (10.52) |
| Yes                              | 316 (22.7) | 20.24 (11.4) | 21.48 (12.79) | 9.76 (6.99) | 30.56 (10.09) |
| **Smoking**                      |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| Yes                              | 170 (12.2) | 20.18 (11.33) | 21.63 (13.11) | 9.72(7) | 30.59 (9.51) |
| Ever                             | 88 (6.3) | 19.93 (12.09) | 19.94 (12.08) | 9.19 (6.85) | 31.21 (10.67) |
| No                               | 1134 (81.5) | 19.9 (10.71) | 21.46 (11.86) | 9.74 (6.55) | 29.62 (10.54) |
| **Weekly work time (hours/week)**|         |                                 |                      |                      |
| ≤40                             | 168 (12.1) | 17.22 (10.07) | 15.79 (10.71) | 8.01 (6.66) | 26.5 (13.29) |
| >40                             | 1224 (87.9) | 20.31 (10.93)* | 22.15 (12)* | 9.93 (6.59)* | 30.3 (9.89)* |
| **Shift work**                   |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| No                               | 385 (27.7) | 18.15 (10.08) | 18.8 (11.17) | 8.4 (6.28) | 30.23 (11.1) |
| Yes                              | 1007 (72.3) | 20.67 (11.11)* | 22.46 (12.22)* | 10.24 (6.69)* | 29.68 (10.13) |
| **Night shift**                  |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| No                               | 385 (27.7) | 17.65 (10.01) | 18.29 (11.1) | 8.24 (6.24) | 29.99 (11.27) |
| Yes                              | 1007 (72.3) | 20.81 (11.07)* | 22.56 (12.17)* | 10.26 (6.68)* | 29.79 (10.09) |
| **Rank**                         |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| Resident                         | 504 (36.2) | 19.86 (10.67) | 21.08 (12.09) | 9.62 (6.92) | 29.01 (11.08) |
| Attending doctor                 | 401 (28.8) | 19.75 (11.02) | 21.08 (12.07) | 9.41 (6.48) | 29.74 (10.46) |
| Associate chief doctor           | 279 (20) | 20.17 (11.55) | 21.56 (12.81) | 9.77 (6.67) | 30.31 (9.73) |
| Chief doctor                     | 208 (14.9) | 20.16 (10.17) | 22.48 (10.66) | 10.37 (6.1) | 31.4 (9.46)* |
| **Division**                     |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| Internal medicine                | 468 (33.6) | 21.33 (10.86)* | 24.27 (11.84)* | 10.79 (6.75)* | 30.4 (9.21) |
| Surgical department             | 294 (21.1) | 19.67 (11.89) | 21.36 (12.44) | 9.42 (7.08) | 31.34 (9.79)* |
| Department of obstetrics and gynaecology | 86 (6.2) | 19.75 (10.16) | 20.21 (10.92) | 9.4 (6.14) | 29.2 (10) |
| Ancillary department             | 200 (14.4) | 16.94 (10.13) | 17.25 (11.41) | 8.17 (6.16) | 26.93 (12.27) |
| Other department                 | 344 (24.7) | 20.05 (10.24) | 20.17 (11.65) | 9.42 (6.21) | 29.64 (11.14) |
| **Income (¥)**                   |         |                                 |                      |                      |
| ≤3000                            | 795 (57.1) | 20.74 (10.78)* | 21.73 (12.28) | 9.78 (6.7) | 29.18 (10.82) |
| 3001–4000                        | 339 (24.4) | 18.46 (11.22) | 20.2 (12.05) | 9.14 (6.63) | 31.34 (9.63)* |
| 4001–5000                        | 132 (9.5) | 19.41 (11.01) | 20.74 (10.08) | 9.59 (5.81) | 28.76 (11.06) |
| >5000                            | 126 (9.1) | 19.37 (9.97) | 23.03 (12.07) | 10.79 (6.8) | 31.05 (8.69) |

Continued
violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out (the c path). The second step was to explore the mediating role of psychological capital (the a*b products), and c' path coefficient represented the effects of workplace violence on depressive symptoms and burn-out after psychological capital was added in the second step. When the c' path coefficient was smaller than the c path coefficient or became statistically insignificant, a mediating effect may have existed. Based on 5000 bootstrap samples, a bias-corrected and accelerated 95% CI (BCa 95% CI) of each a*b product was explored, and a BCa 95% CI without 0 meant a significant mediating effect.

Workplace violence was significantly associated with psychological capital (the a path, \( p<0.05 \)). Psychological capital was significantly negatively associated with depressive symptoms and two dimensions of burn-out after controlling for workplace violence (the b path, \( p<0.05 \)). The c' path coefficient was still significant in burn-out \( (p<0.05) \), but less than the c path coefficient. The c' path coefficient was not significant in depressive symptoms. Psychological capital mediated the relationships of workplace violence with depressive symptoms \( (a*b=1.61, BCa 95% CI 1.08 to 2.25; p<0.05) \) and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion: \( a*b=1.29, BCa 95% CI 0.86 to 1.83, p<0.05 \); depersonalisation: \( a*b=0.70, BCa 95% CI 0.45 to 1.00, p<0.05 \)). The above results showed that workplace violence increased the level of depressive symptoms and burn-out by damaging psychological capital (table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

This study explored the prevalence of workplace violence among doctors in China, the associations of workplace violence and psychological capital with depressive symptoms and burn-out, and the mediating roles of psychological capital. The sample size (1392 doctors) was large and the effective responsive rate in this study was 77.3%, which was greater than 70%, which was considered acceptable for the questionnaire survey. The workplace violence scale in this study had good reliability and validity. These findings may increase the generalisation of our study conclusion across the entire Liaoning Province. In this study, the most important discovery was the discovery that workplace violence increased the level of depressive symptoms and burn-out by damaging psychological capital.

The prevalence of workplace violence among doctors was 77.5%. Of all participants, most suffered one type of violence. Compared with other types of workplace violence, doctors were more prone to psychological aggression, which was similar to the findings of a previous study. The prevalence of workplace violence in the surgical department was highest. Based on above statements, most doctors will be exposed to workplace violence, especially psychological aggression in Liaoning, China.

The results demonstrated that workplace violence was positively associated with depressive symptoms and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation). Doctors who suffered workplace violence were more likely to experience higher levels of depressive symptoms and burn-out. These results were consistent with the findings of previous studies. For example, Gong et al found that workplace violence was associated with depressive symptoms in nurses, and Chen et al indicated that workplace violence may increase the risk of burn-out in medical staff. Workplace violence as a stressor may pose a threat to the life, safety and esteem of doctors, which may cause emotional distress and thereby deteriorate mental health. These findings should urge hospital administrators to realise the risk of workplace violence. Efforts should be made to develop interventions for decreasing workplace violence in order to decrease depressive symptoms and burn-out in doctors. For example, hospital administrators could offer vocational trainings for doctors on improving technical skills and developing empathy. These may make doctors more adaptable to high tech environments, and contribute to establishing a good relationship between doctors and patients. Additionally, hospitals should take effective measures to ensure hospital environments are safe, such as installing alarm systems and hiring security.

In this study, we found that psychological capital had negative effects on depressive symptoms and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation), which were consistent with the results of previous studies. In addition, previous studies showed that psychological capital mediated the relationships between work-family conflict and burn-out, effort-reward imbalance and depressive symptoms, and subject well-being and burn-out. This is the first study to examine the mediating role of psychological capital...
### Table 3  Hierarchical linear regression analysis results, with depressive symptoms and job burn-out as the criterion variable, respectively

| Variables | Step 1 ($\beta$[95% CI]) | Step 2 ($\beta$[95% CI]) | Step 3 ($\beta$[95% CI]) |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Depressive symptoms** | | | |
| Age | 0.03 (−0.03 to 0.09) | 0.02 (−0.04 to 0.08) | 0.04 (−0.01 to 0.10) |
| Gender | 0.02 (−0.04 to 0.08) | 0.02 (−0.05 to 0.08) | 0.01 (−0.05 to 0.07) |
| Weekly work time | 0.05 (−0.001 to 0.11) | 0.04 (−0.01 to 0.10) | 0.03 (−0.02 to 0.08) |
| Work arrangement | 0.03 (−0.05 to 0.12) | 0.04 (−0.04 to 0.12) | 0.03 (−0.04 to 0.11) |
| Night shift | 0.07 (−0.01 to 0.15) | 0.06 (−0.03 to 0.14) | 0.05 (−0.03 to 0.13) |
| Educational level | 0.07*(0.01 to 0.13) | 0.07*(0.01 to 0.12) | 0.06*(0.01 to 0.12) |
| Income | −0.08*(−0.14 to −0.02) | −0.07*(−0.13 to −0.02) | −0.06*(−0.11 to −0.02) |
| **Division** | | | |
| Surgical department and IM | −0.06 (−0.12 to 0.01) | −0.06 (−0.13 to 0.01) | −0.05 (−0.11 to 0.02) |
| Department of obstetrics and gynaecology and IM | −0.03 (−0.08 to 0.03) | −0.03 (−0.08 to 0.03) | −0.02 (−0.07 to 0.03) |
| Ancillary department and IM | −0.11*(−0.17 to −0.05) | −0.10*(−0.16 to −0.04) | −0.09*(−0.14 to −0.03) |
| Other department and IM | −0.03 (−0.09 to 0.03) | −0.02 (−0.08 to 0.04) | −0.03 (−0.09 to 0.03) |
| **Psychological capital** | | | |
| Workplace violence | 0.11*(0.06 to 0.16) | 0.05 (−0.003 to 0.10) | 0.05 (−0.003 to 0.10) |
| **Burn-out** | | | |
| **Emotional exhaustion** | | | |
| Gender | −0.03 (−0.09 to 0.03) | −0.04 (−0.10 to 0.02) | −0.05 (−0.10 to 0.01) |
| Age | −0.02 (−0.08 to 0.04) | −0.02 (−0.08 to 0.04) | 0.01 (−0.06 to 0.06) |
| Marriage | 0.04 (−0.02 to 0.10) | 0.03 (−0.03 to 0.09) | 0.03 (−0.03 to 0.09) |
| Educational level | 0.11*(0.06 to 0.17) | 0.11*(0.05 to 0.16) | 0.11*(0.06 to 0.16) |
| Weekly work time | 0.11*(0.06 to 0.17) | 0.09*(0.04 to 0.15) | 0.09*(0.04 to 0.14) |
| Work arrangement | 0.07 (−0.004 to 0.15) | 0.08*(0.004 to 0.16) | 0.08*(0.002 to 0.15) |
| Night shift | 0.04 (−0.04 to 0.12) | 0.02 (−0.06 to 0.10) | 0.02 (−0.06 to 0.09) |
| **Division** | | | |
| Surgical department and IM | −0.12*(−0.19 to −0.06) | −0.13*(−0.19 to −0.07) | −0.12*(−0.18 to −0.06) |
| Department of obstetrics and gynaecology and IM | −0.05 (−0.11 to 0.003) | −0.05 (−0.10 to 0.004) | −0.05 (−0.10 to 0.01) |
| Ancillary departments and IM | −0.14*(−0.20 to −0.09) | −0.14*(−0.19 to −0.08) | −0.13*(−0.18 to −0.07) |
| Other department and IM | −0.11*(−0.17 to −0.05) | −0.10*(−0.16 to −0.04) | −0.10*(−0.16 to −0.05) |
| **Workplace violence** | 0.18*(0.13 to 0.23) | 0.13*(0.08 to 0.18) | 0.13*(0.08 to 0.18) |
| **Psychological capital** | | | |
| **Depersonalisation** | | | |
| Gender | −0.05 (−0.12 to 0.01) | −0.06 (−0.12 to 0.00) | −0.07*(−0.12 to −0.01) |
| Age | 0.01 (−0.06 to 0.05) | −0.01 (−0.07 to 0.04) | 0.01 (−0.04 to 0.06) |
| Educational level | 0.11*(0.06 to 0.17) | 0.11*(0.05 to 0.16) | 0.11*(0.05 to 0.16) |
| Weekly work time | 0.05 (−0.01 to 0.10) | 0.03 (−0.03 to 0.08) | 0.02 (−0.03 to 0.08) |
| Work arrangement | 0.09*(0.01 to 0.17) | 0.09*(0.02 to 0.17) | 0.09*(0.01 to 0.17) |
| Night shift | 0.03 (−0.05 to 0.12) | 0.02 (−0.06 to 0.10) | 0.01 (−0.07 to 0.09) |

Continued
in the relationships of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out. We found that psychological capital mediated the relationships of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and two dimensions of burn-out (emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation). Workplace violence can increase the level of depressive symptoms and burn-out of doctors by damaging their psychological capital. Therefore, efforts should be made to develop interventions to improve psychological capital to reduce depressive symptoms and burn-out in doctors. However, in China, advancing medical technology and financial capital have attracted increasing attention, and human resources have attracted little attention. Psychological capital as a positive resource is considered to be state-like and can be changed.  

In 2005, Luthans et al proposed a psychological capital intervention model that improved the level of psychological capital in four aspects: self-efficacy, hope, resilience and optimism. Moreover, empirical studies conducted by Luthans et al showed that strategies can be adopted to improve the level of psychological capital, which, in turn, may improve job satisfaction and work performance. However, to our knowledge, psychological capital training interventions aimed at improving mental health among Chinese doctors have not yet been conducted.

Several limitations must be mentioned. First, due to the cross-sectional design, this survey was not able to determine causality among variables. Therefore, all of these results need to be confirmed in longitudinal studies. Second, workplace violence, psychological capital, depressive symptoms and burn-out were measured by a self-reported questionnaire. Thus, common reporting variance may affect the results of effects of workplace violence and psychological capital on depressive symptoms and burn-out. Third, we only investigated doctors in Liaoning Province, so the results may not be fully representative of the entire situation in China. Future studies should conduct in-depth exploration in other provinces.

### Conclusions

In China, most doctors would be exposed to workplace violence, especially psychological aggression. To our knowledge, this is the first study exploring the mediating role of psychological capital in the relationships of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out. Our findings demonstrated that workplace violence and

| Variables | Step 1 (β [95% CI]) | Step 2 (β [95% CI]) | Step 3 (β [95% CI]) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Division  |                     |                     |                     |
| Surgical department and IM | −0.12 (−0.18 to −0.05) | −0.12 (−0.19 to −0.06) | −0.11 (−0.18 to −0.05) |
| Department of obstetrics and gynaecology and IM | −0.02 (−0.08 to 0.03) | −0.02 (−0.08 to 0.03) | −0.02 (−0.07 to 0.04) |
| Ancillary departments and IM | −0.10 (−0.16 to −0.04) | −0.09 (−0.15 to −0.03) | −0.08 (−0.14 to −0.02) |
| Other departments and IM | −0.06 (−0.12 to 0.001) | −0.05 (−0.11 to 0.01) | −0.05 (−0.11 to 0.004) |
| Workplace violence | 0.17 (0.12 to 0.22) | 0.13 (0.07 to 0.18) |                     |
| Psychological capital |                    | −0.23 (−0.28 to −0.18) |                     |

*P<0.05, F, F test; IM, internal medicine; R², adjusted coefficient of determination; ΔR², the amount of change in adjusted coefficient of determination.

**Figure 1** Theory model of the mediating role of psychological capital on the associations between workplace violence, depressive symptoms and burn-out. a: associations of workplace violence with psychological capital; b: associations of psychological capital with depressive symptoms and burn-out after controlling for workplace violence; c: associations of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out after controlling for workplace violence; c': associations of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out after adding psychological capital as a mediator.
psychological capital were associated with depressive symptoms and burn-out. Psychological capital mediated the relationships of workplace violence with depressive symptoms and burn-out. A safer work environment and psychological capital development may be considered in prevention and treatment strategies for improving mental health.
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