Sexual dimorphism of the pelvic architecture:
A struggling response to destructive and parsimonious forces by natural & mate selection
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INTRODUCTION
Sexual dimorphism of the human pelvis is linked intimately with its adaptive functions. The peculiarly shaped hominid pelvis represents the total response to the diverse forces that have moulded its structure. These diverse forces are requirements for efficient bipedalism and parturition. In some respects, the structural demands of these unrelated functions have been in conflict. The morphological response to the dominant requirement, bipedalism, is clearly discernible, while the changes serving the needs of parturition are seen as compensatory modifications as reflected with greater emphasis for pelvic sexual dimorphism in the female. In addition, sexual selection has made sexual dimorphism even more pronounced. The female buttocks have undergone sexual elaboration through mate choice by males. Thus, total pelvic architecture is a mosaic constituted of the aggregate of differential responses to different functional goals.

There are complications during parturition that have been repeatedly prevented or interceded by medical technology, close monitoring, surgical practices such as caesarian sections, and other strategies. With these complications, one could hypothesize that these natural operators, which have exerted their influence since the beginning of mankind, might be becoming increasingly destabilized, attenuated or stochastic.

The Male and Female Pelvic Blueprint and Anatomic Variations
In general, the structure of the male pelvis is significantly heavier and thicker than that of the female. The male pelvic bones are also adapted to fit a more massive and sturdy body architecture. For example, the male acetabulum has been designed to fit a bigger femur. Though a large amount of the sexual dimorphism of the pelvis is accounted for by size differences, sex-linked shape variation is also very conspicuous and cannot be considered an allometric consequence of differences in body size between the sexes (1). These variations in shape are demonstrated by the more rounded frame of the female pelvis. The sciatic notches are broader, the greater pelvis is shallower, the lesser pelvis is wider and the pelvic inlet and outlet are larger (longer pubic bones and a greater degree of curvature of the pectineal line). The female hipbones are also different in traits associated in the position of the sacroiliac joint in the iliac bone (2). As a result of this 'flattened' appearance, the female obturator foramen is more elliptical. The pubic arch is formed by the conjoined rami of the pubis and ischium of the two sides. These rami meet at the pubic symphysis to form the subpubic angle. The subpubic angle is nearly a right angle in females and is considerably less in males; approximately 30° narrower (3).

Superficial to the skeleton and musculature of the pelvis, sexual dimorphism in pelvic morphology is most apparent in body fat distribution as measured by waist hip ratio (WHR). The WHR has been shown to be independent of overall body weight and an accurate predictor of risk for various diseases, premature mortality, degree of estrogenicity and fecundity of women (4). Undoubtedly, healthy women have a greater propensity to possess rounder hips and a lower WHR compared to most men (4).

Nonetheless, the human pelvis is not always
Temporal Development of Sexual Dimorphism of the Pelvis

Sexual dimorphism begins as early as the fetal stage of development. Indeed, the appearance of morphological differences, such as the greater inter-sciatic distance in fetal females after the 26-27th week of gestation, could indicate the presence of pathological fetal development (7). Evidently, sexual dimorphism does become increasingly pronounced and divergent as a child grows into an adult. While there are significant sex differences in breadth of the ischium and acetabular regions by 8 years of age, most of the sexual dimorphism in the pelvis develops during the adolescent growth spurt, during which both male and female pelves undergo growth remodeling of the pelvic cavity. Over the same time period, males show significantly greater growth in the acetabulum, and females show greater growth in the pelvic cavity (8).

By age 18, the pelvis demonstrates a posterior-to-anterior gradient of increasing dimorphism within the inlet of the pelvic birth canal. Canalization of growth of the transverse diameters of the sacrum, inlet, anterior inferior iliac spines, and breadths of the ilium and ischium during puberty can be attributed to the effects of stabilizing selection operating on both males and females. On the other hand, over time, there is evidence of increased variation and discordant change within each sex and differential growth between the sexes for the interacetabular diameter, breadths of the anterior superior and posterior inferior iliac spines, public length, and ilium height. These are patterns indicating effects of disruptive selection on the pelvis (8). Growth studies have indicated that the linea terminalis may be unique by continuing to grow in early adulthood in females but not in males. This growth occurs at the medial border of the pubis. The selective advantage of a later age at maturation of the pubis in females than males is that the period of growth is prolonged, thereby contributing to sexual dimorphism in pubic length, linea terminalis length and pelvic inlet circumference (9).

Natural Adaptive Forces in Shaping Sexual Dimorphism of the Pelvis: Bipedalism versus Parturition

An evolutionary pattern towards bipedalism, taking into account selective pressures of reproduction, has been demonstrated by the increase of critical dimensions of the pelvis as the maternal skeleton becomes larger. One such dimension, the distance between the ischial spines, defines the pelvic midplane and is an important consideration in hominid reproduction. It was found that a correlation exists between skeletal frame size and the distance between the ischial spines in females. In females, but not in males, weight and femoral head diameter are excellent predictors of the distance between ischial spines. However, the femoral head diameter, in females, does not predict weight as well as it does in males (10).

These adaptations to ease parturition as reflected in the sexual dimorphism in the human pelvis and femur are disadvantageous to women in terms of mechanics of locomotion. The mechanical variables that primarily contribute to dimorphism are the moment arm of the gluteus medius and the torque produced by the abductors at the hip. These mechanical aspects of hip function produce greater pressure on the femoral head in females (11).

The divergent selective forces shaping the pelvis remain destabilized primarily because of the rare and capricious growth of the human brain. The pelvis has to
Mate Selection in Sculpting the Human Body: How do the Waist-Hip-Ratio and Body Mass Index Signify Healthiness?

While the natural forces discussed above are quintessential operators in hominid evolution, the influence of mate selection on sexual dimorphism in the modern-day human are apparent and cannot be discounted. Body traits classically considered to be attractive, such as the lower Waist-Hip-Ratio (WHR) and Body Mass Index (BMI) of women, could be explained by mate selective operators.

While mate choice takes much time and energy, sometimes to the point of impairing survival or genetic perpetuation, it is very evolutionarily sound. A number of us have probably contemplated delaying procreation, and running the risk of never being able to propagate, simply because the ideal mate has not crossed our paths. Why are we willing to suffer such risks? One possible response to this existential question is that if we were to find an ideal mate with good genes, our offspring would likely have higher survivability, and propagate its genes well. According to the “selfish gene” hypothesis, animals with genes to select mates with good genes would hence produce more viable offspring carrying those selective genes, and thus the genes for selectivity would spread throughout the gene pool.

Evolutionary biology theories, such as the good genes model, have suggested that the most fundamental form of mate choice is selection for indicators of viability and fertility, which may manifest in any easily perceivable bodily or behavioural trait to reveal age, health, nutritional status, strength, dominance, social status and disease resistance. These honest indicators would demonstrate the chances that a potential mate has desirable genetic traits that would be passed onto offspring and enhance their survival, or is capable of helping to provide for and protect offspring. Some of these indicators that serve as major targets for selective mate choice by males include facial neoteny, averageness and symmetry. Another functional model of mate selection, the good provider model, pertains to the more fluid and complex social patterns of hominid civilization. Thus, indicators of social success and cognition would also come into play as survival depends not only on individual strengths but also on the ability to cooperate with and outmaneuver others.

These mate selection theories could explain the reason behind the morphological amplification of the
female breasts and buttocks. In order for females to solicit male attention and investment, accentuated bodily features signaling youthfulness, healthiness and fertility would have to be judged as ‘attractive’ to the opposite sex. Indeed, this is a fundamental assumption of adaptive explanations of female attractiveness. In particular, sexual dimorphism in body fat distribution has also been assumed to be vital in mate selection. WHR is an accurate predictor of nutritional status, reproductive age and degree of estrogenicity and parity of women, independent of overall body weight. Finally, cross-cultural and historical data have suggested that the relationship between WHR and female attractiveness is not culture-specific and not inculcated by what modern Western fashion dictates or media (15).

The other putative cue to female physical attractiveness is BMI. It has been shown that both males and females assigned higher ranking for attractiveness, youthfulness, healthiness, reproductive capability and intelligence to normal weight figures with low WHRs. Overweight figures were assigned low rankings for all these qualities except reproductive capability. Underweight figures, regardless of WHR, were assigned low ranking for reproductive capability and those underweight figures that had high WHRs were assigned low ranking for healthiness (16,17). Female and male subjects, judged heavier female target figures with low WHRs as more attractive and healthier than thinner figures with higher WHRs. Female subjects perceived heavier female target figures with low WHR to represent ideal female figures. It is proposed that female attractiveness and ideal female shape may be more influenced by WHR than overall body size (4).

More recently, it was revealed in another study that WHR was less important than BMI as a predictor of attractiveness ratings for bodies. Viewers’ judgments were influenced more by BMI than WHR (18). BMI was the primary predictor of attractiveness in both front and profile, and the putative visual cues to BMI showed a higher degree of view-invariance than shape cues (19). Interestingly, a study in 2004 developed the volume height index (VHI), which is the body volume divided by the square of the height. This was heralded as the most important and direct visual determinant of female physical attractiveness. VHI is also a key indicator of health and fertility owing to its strong linear relation to BMI (20).

COMPLICATIONS DURING CHILDBIRTH:
Are Female Hips too Small?

While, the influence of natural forces and mate selection on human anatomy have been well documented for years, few have explored the possibility that rapid advancement of medical practices might currently play an important role in increasing the frequency of more atypical anatomical forms and consequently, re-shape many of our human characteristics, such as the female pelvic anatomy.

It has been previously established that the size and shape of the pelvic inlet are key during labour as it determines the ease in which the fetal head enters the lesser pelvis. Along these lines, the size of the lesser pelvis has always been important in obstetrics because it determines the size of the bony pelvic canal through which the fetus passes during a vaginal delivery (3).

More frequently than one might imagine, some kind of difficulty or injury, across a spectrum of severity and recovery rates, is encountered during human childbirth. Today, a woman with a narrow or misshaped pelvis can, in fact, successfully give birth to a massive baby. Such a phenomenon would probably never have existed before the age of aggressive medical and scientific intervention in assisting the birthing process. In fact, for our ancestors, a grossly mismatched maternal-fetal presentation was often sorrowfully fatal to both mother and child.

Even with modern surgical and medical practices, childbirth, a rite of passage for most women, is dangerous and can potentially lead to lifelong morbidity. During parturition, extensive damage of varying severity can occur to the pelvic floor, which supports the fetal head while the cervix of the uterus is dilating. The fetal head may compress the nerves of the mother’s sacral plexus, producing pain in the lower limbs. Tearing or stretching of the perineal body during childbirth may result in a permanent weakness of the pelvic diaphragm. The perineal body is especially important in women because it is the final support of the pelvic viscera and serves as the attachment for the perineal muscles. As a result, prolapse of the vagina through the vaginal orifice may occur after the support of the inferior part of the posterior wall of the vagina is removed (3).

These ill-effects associated with childbirth are also exacerbated when the mother faces obstructed labor. The major causes of obstructed labor is cephalopelvic disproportion, which may be due to a small pelvis, a large baby, fetal malpresentation, a tight perineum, or abnormalities or tumors of the uterus, ovary, or vagina. When obstructed labor is unrelieved, the presenting fetal part is impacted against the soft tissues of the pelvis and a widespread ischemic vascular injury develops that result in tissue necrosis and subsequent fistula formation. (21). The processes of labour and vaginal delivery, especially the former, can cause pudendal nerve damage. A heavier baby and a longer second stage of labour were both associated with
significant prolongation of pudendal nerve latency. Pudendal nerve damage was also found after forceps delivery and perineal tears (22).

Vaginal delivery has also been regarded as one of the commonest causes of stress urinary incontinence and anal incontinence. This is primarily caused by injury to the levator ani, in particular the pubococcygeus, which encircles and supports the urethra, the vagina and anal canal, and the pelvic fascia, which may be torn during childbirth. Stress urinary incontinence is further provoked by damage to the levator ani and pelvic fascia that hold the position of the neck of the bladder and the urethra in place. Furthermore, delivery causes partial denervation of the pelvic floor, with consequent renervation, in most women having their first baby. For some, it is likely to be the first step along a path leading to prolapse or severe stress urinary incontinence (3,22,23). Anal incontinence has additionally been attributed to traumatic childbirths and multiple deliveries, because of repeated stretching of the pudendal nerves and subsequent nerve damage (24). The risk for anal sphincter injury alone could be used as an argument for elective Cesarean section (25).

In light of the plethora of injuries associated with childbirth, it is easy for one to postulate that in the absence of healthcare, incompatible pelvic-fetal anatomy could result in significant infant and maternal mortality and morbidity. Although concrete scientific evidence does not exist, one has to wonder if medical practice is indirectly and insidiously introducing variability to the human anatomy.

CONCLUSION

The morphology of the human body is susceptible to perpetual modifications. This dynamic nature is characteristic of most biological systems and testimony to traditional theories of evolution. However, classic Darwinism never fully accounted for or addressed the potency of the overbearing forces put forth by mate selection and social survival strategies. Intelligence, which evolved as a natural survival strategy, heralds a new tangential path in evolution. Henceforth, modern concepts of biocultural evolution are ironically replacing Darwinism to address and explain present-day issues. Old strategies of symbiosis, competition for ecological niches, survival of the fittest, phenotypic variability and mutations have lost some of their pertinence in the dawn of human civilization which seems to cherish altruism, cooperation, supra-ordinate goals and social cohesiveness. It is also prudent for us to acknowledge that even as we strive to analyze attractiveness and attempt to find functional patterns or algorithms of beauty, clichés like 'beauty is in the eye of its beholder' and 'beauty is not only skin deep' are timeless and accurate.
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