The L1 stalk is required for efficient export of nascent large ribosomal subunits in yeast
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ABSTRACT

The ribosomal protein Rpl1 (uL1 in universal nomenclature) is essential in yeast and constitutes part of the L1 stalk which interacts with E site ligands on the ribosome. Structural studies of nascent pre-60S complexes in yeast have shown that a domain of the Crm1-dependent nuclear export adapter Nmd3, binds in the E site and interacts with Rpl1, inducing closure of the L1 stalk. Based on this observation, we decided to reinvestigate the role of the L1 stalk in nuclear export of pre-60S subunits despite previous work showing that Rpl1-deficient ribosomes are exported from the nucleus and engage in translation. Large cargoes, such as ribosomal subunits, require multiple export factors to facilitate their transport through the nuclear pore complex. Here, we show that pre-60S subunits lacking Rpl1 or truncated for the RNA of the L1 stalk are exported inefficiently. Surprisingly, this is not due to a measurable defect in the recruitment of Nmd3 but appears to result from inefficient recruitment of the Mex67–Mtr2 heterodimer.

Keywords: L1 stalk; Mex67–Mtr2; export; ribosome

INTRODUCTION

Ribosomes are universally composed of one large and one small subunit, that function together to synthesize all proteins in a cell. Production of balanced levels of ribosomal subunits is critical for maintaining homeostasis in cells. In yeast four rRNA molecules and about 80 ribosomal proteins interact with more than 200 trans-acting assembly factors to achieve the complex task of ribosome synthesis (Woolford and Baserga 2013). Synthesis of new ribosomes by cells is a challenging and energy-consuming task and requires the coordinated expression of all ribosomal proteins and rRNAs. Failure to establish balanced expression levels of ribosomal proteins has been reported to cause cellular stress (Boulon et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2019). Haploinsufficiency and mutations in ribosomal proteins in drosophila and zebrafish cause defects and delays in development (Amsterdam et al. 2004). In humans, mutations in genes coding for ribosomal proteins and biogenesis factors, give rise to a special class of diseases called ribosomopathies (De Keersmaecker et al. 2013; Mills and Green 2017; Warren 2018).

In eukaryotic cells, ribosome assembly starts in the subnuclear compartment called the nucleolus; it continues in the nucleoplasm followed by nuclear export; and concludes in the cytoplasm rendering fully matured subunits (for reviews, see Woolford and Baserga 2013; Kressler et al. 2017; Peña et al. 2017). Although the precursor rRNA for both subunits is a single transcript, RNA processing in the nucleolus separates precursors for the two subunits before nuclear export. Much of ribosomal subunit assembly is completed in the nucleus before the subunits are exported to the cytoplasm. Therefore, the nuclear import machinery has to undertake a critical task of escorting the highly hydrophilic and bulky preribosomal subunits through a hydrophobic environment of the nuclear pore complex (NPC). It was previously shown that large cargoes require multiple receptor molecules for effecting the transient and reversible collapse of the hydrophobic permeability barrier in NPC for rapid translocation (Ribbeck and Görlich 2002). Consistent with this model, several export factors are required for the export of nascent 60S subunits. Export is facilitated by the export adaptor protein Nmd3 that utilizes its nuclear export sequence (NES) to recruit the export-receptor Crm1 (Ho et al. 2000; Gadal et al. 2001). Nuclear export is also assisted by other noncanonical export factors including Arx1 (Bradatsch et al. 2007; Hung et al. 2008; Greber et al. 2012, 2016) and Bud20 (Altvater et al. 2012; Bassler et al. 2012) and the mRNA
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export factor Mex67–Mtr2 heterodimer (Yao et al. 2007) in yeast but only Nmd3 appears to be conserved throughout eukaryotes as a dedicated 60S export factor (Thomas and Kutay 2003). However, unlike its essential role in 60S biogenesis, Nmd3 interaction with Crm1 is dispensable if other export receptors are fused directly to Nmd3 (Lo and Johnson 2009) indicating a general requirement for interaction with the NPC but not a specific requirement for a particular export receptor.

Recent high resolution structures of ribosome assembly intermediates have revealed the binding sites of numerous biogenesis factors including all known export factors with the exception of Mex67–Mtr2 (Matsuo et al. 2014; Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016; Greber et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2017; Malyutin et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2019). Arx1 binds on the solvent-exposed surface of the subunit near the peptide exit tunnel (PET) whereas Nmd3 binds on the subunit interface spanning the E, P, and A-sites of the subunit. However, the carboxy-terminal region of Nmd3 which contains the NES that recruits Crm1 is not resolved on any of the structures. Therefore, the position of Crm1 relative to the subunit during export is still unknown. Bud20 also binds on the subunit interface where it interacts with Tif6 and Rlp24, although the mechanism by which Bud20 promotes export is disputed (Altvater et al. 2012; Bassler et al. 2012). Densities for Mex67–Mtr2 heterodimer were not detected in any structures of pre-60S particles to date. However, UV-induced protein–RNA crosslinking studies in vivo identified crosslinks to many regions of the 25S rRNA, but strongly enriched in the 3′ terminal end of 5.8S rRNA (Tuck and Tollervey 2013). In addition, a recent study attempting to reconstitute Mex67–Mtr2 binding to affinity-purified pre-60S particles in vitro identified crosslinks to 5.8S and P-stalk rRNA (Sarkar et al. 2016). However, binding to the P-stalk was only observed for Yvh1-containing pre-60S particles while our recent structural studies and work from others show that Yvh1 joins the subunit only in the cytoplasm (Kemmler et al. 2009; Lo et al. 2009; Nerurkar et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2019) and hence, cannot be responsible for promoting Mex67–Mtr2 binding to pre-60S in the nucleus.

Ribosomal Protein L1 (Rpl1) is a universally conserved protein which interacts with a single loop of the 25S rRNA to form the L1 stalk. Rpl1 is essential in yeast and is encoded by two paralogous genes RPL1A and RPL1B. It was previously reported that 60S subunits lacking Rpl1 are exported to the cytoplasm and even detected in the polysomes (McIntosh et al. 2011), suggesting that 60S assembly and export can proceed in the absence of Rpl1. However, the essential export adapter Nmd3 binds Rpl1 and facilitates closure of the L1 stalk (Ma et al. 2017; Malyutin et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2019). Because of the interaction between Rpl1 and Nmd3, we suspected that ribosomes lacking Rpl1 would be affected in their ability to bind Nmd3 or to release it.

Here, we show that Rpl1 protein is needed for efficient nuclear export of nascent large subunits precursors. The repression of the RPL1 or truncation of the L1 stalk rRNA reduced the efficiency of export but did not completely block export from the nucleus. Nascent subunits lacking Rpl1 maintained binding to the export factors Nmd3, Arx1, and Bud20 but only inefficiently recruited the Mex67–Mtr2 heterodimer. Cooverexpression of MEX67 and MTR2 in RPL1 repressed cells overcame the 60S export defect caused by loss of Rpl1 suggesting that delayed export of Rpl1-deficient subunits is due to a failure in Mex67–Mtr2 recruitment.

RESULTS

Repression of Rpl1 leads to a 60S subunit export defect

Recent cryo-EM structures of the nuclear export adapter Nmd3 on the 60S subunit revealed a large interface between the eIF5A-like domain of Nmd3 and ribosomal protein Rpl1 on the L1 stalk (Ma et al. 2017; Malyutin et al. 2017; Kargas et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2019). The interaction between Nmd3 and Rpl1 holds the L1 stalk in a closed conformation in which the L1 stalk is bent toward the E site. Conceivably, the interaction between Rpl1 and Nmd3 may be important for the recruitment of Nmd3 to the pre-60S subunit in the nucleus. Alternatively, this compact structure could facilitate the export of the nascent 60S subunit through the NPC or facilitate the release of Nmd3 from the pre-60S subunit after export to the cytoplasm. Although previous work reported that Rpl1 was not needed for 60S export (McIntosh et al. 2011), we decided to revisit this question. We first asked if the nuclear export of 60S subunits was affected by the loss of Rpl1. In yeast, Rpl1 is expressed from two paralogous genes, RPL1A and RPL1B, each encoding identical proteins. Because deletion of both genes is lethal, we used a conditional mutant in which RPL1B was deleted and RPL1A was under control of the galactose inducible/glucose repressible GAL1 promoter. 60S subunit localization was monitored with a GFP fusion to Rpl25. On shifting cells from galactose to glucose to repress Rpl1A expression, we observed a strong change in Rpl25 localization from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (Fig. 1A), indicating impaired 60S export. Sik1-mRFP, expressed from a plasmid, was used as a nucleolar marker and it did not change its localization on repression of the RPL1 gene. Similar results were obtained using Rpl8-GFP as a reporter (data not shown). The accumulation was most evident within 60–90 min after returning saturated cultures to active growth. At longer time points, Rpl25 signal became increasingly cytoplasmic, indicating that export continued, albeit at a lower efficiency than when Rpl1A was expressed (data not shown). Nuclear accumulation of Rpl25–GFP was also observed in an
Δ strain in which Rpl1 was constitutively expressed at reduced levels compared with wild-type cells due to deletion of \(\textit{RPL1B}\) (see above). Pre-60S subunits are accompanied to the cytoplasm with a host of assembly factors including Nmd3, Mrt4, Tif6, and Arx1 (Barrio-Garcia et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2019). Although each of these factors continually shuttles in and out of the nucleus, they show different steady-state localizations: Mrt4 and Tif6 are predominantly nucleolar whereas Arx1 is nucleoplasmic and Nmd3 is cytoplasmic (Lo et al. 2010). On glucose repression of \(\textit{RPL1}\) expression, Mrt4 and Tif6 relocalized from the nucleolus to the nucleoplasm whereas the nucleoplasmic localization of Arx1 was largely unchanged (Fig. 1B). Strikingly, Nmd3 was relocalized from the cytoplasm to the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1B). On glucose repression of \(\textit{RPL1}\) expression, Mrt4 and Tif6 relocalized from the nucleolus to the nucleoplasm whereas Arx1 was nucleoplasmic and Nmd3 was cytoplasmic (Lo et al. 2010). On glucose repression of \(\textit{RPL1}\) expression, Mrt4 and Tif6 relocalized from the nucleolus to the nucleoplasm whereas the nucleoplasmic localization of Arx1 was largely unchanged (Fig. 1B). Strikingly, Nmd3 was relocalized from the cytoplasm to the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1B). These results imply that in the absence of Rpl1 expression, pre-60S particles containing Tif6, Mrt4, and probably Arx1 and Nmd3 accumulate at a late assembly step in the nucleoplasm, prior to export. We conclude that Rpl1 is necessary for efficient export of 60S subunits from the nucleus. 

To test directly if Nmd3 binds to subunits lacking Rpl1, we immunoprecipitated subunits associated with Nmd3 from cells in which Rpl1 was expressed or repressed. We used Arx1 as a control for a pre-60S associated protein whose binding is not expected to be dependent on Rpl1. Similar levels of 60S subunits, indicated by Rpl8, coimmunoprecipitated with Nmd3 and Arx1 regardless of Rpl1 expression (Fig. 2C). However, the ratio of Rpl1 to Rpl8 in the immunoprecipitated samples was significantly reduced by when Rpl1 was repressed (Fig. 2D). These results show that Nmd3 can bind subunits lacking Rpl1, despite the loss of a large interaction surface between these two proteins.

Truncation of the L1 stalk leads to a 60S export defect

As a complementary means of assessing the importance of the L1 stalk for 60S export, we truncated the RNA of the L1 stalk. We replaced nucleotides 2451–2495 with the GNRA tetraloop GAGA (Correll et al. 1999; Ben-Shem et al.
suggests that ribosomes without a functional L1 stalk arrest at or shortly after translation initiation. Together, these results indicate that ribosomes with a truncated L1 stalk are exported less efficiently and engage with 40S subunits but accumulate in light polysomes, possibly because they are defective for elongation.

Pre-60S subunits without Rpl1 fail to recruit Mex67–Mtr2 heterodimer efficiently

The accumulation of Rpl25 and various shuttling biogenesis factors in the nucleus suggested that nascent 60S subunits lacking Rpl1 were defective in nuclear export. Possibly, nascent subunits lacking Rpl1 were unable to recruit factors involved in 60S export because of structural differences caused by loss of Rpl1. To identify such factors, we affinity-purified nascent subunits and performed mass spectrometric proteomic analysis on them. After observing that Nmd3 can bind to large subunit particles lacking Rpl1 (Fig. 2A–C), we decided to use carboxy-terminal TAP-tagged Nmd3 as a bait for affinity purifying Pre-60S particles in RPL1-repressed cells. As shown above, loss of Rpl1 from the pre-60S particle affected their nuclear export and accumulated particles in the nucleoplasm. For comparison, we affinity-purified Nmd3-TAP particles from cells treated with LMB in an LMB-sensitive CRM1-T539C background (Grosshans et al. 2001), to mimic the nuclear accumulation of Rpl1-containing particles.

Spectral counts obtained from the mass spectrometric analysis of the eluted samples were used to generate relative spectral abundance factor (RSAF) values as described previously (Sardana et al. 2015). We then generated ratios for RSAF values for each protein in the sample to that of Tif6 protein in the same sample and normalized values to the L1-expressed + LMB samples. Figure 4A summarizes results from two independent experiments, comparing the relative RSAF values for the 60S export factors Arx1, Bud20, and Mex67. While depletion of Rpl1 had no effect on the association of Arx1 or Bud20 with Nmd3-bound pre-60S particles, Mex67 was not detectable on these particles (Fig. 4A). The loss of Mex67 from these particles was not due to reduced expression of Mex67 in the Rpl1-repressed cells (Fig. 4E).

To corroborate the results from the mass spectrometric, we also analyzed both the Rpl1-repressed, and the Rpl1-containing and LMB-treated samples by SDS-PAGE and western blotting for Rpl8, Rpl1, and Mex67. For additional

FIGURE 2. Nmd3 binds to subunits lacking Rpl1. (A), (B) Polysome profiles and western blots for monitoring sedimentation of Nmd3, Rpl1, and Rpl8 from extracts of WT (KBM13) and GAL::RPL1 (KBM20) cells, respectively, grown in galactose media followed by 2 h growth after addition of glucose. (C) Western blots for affinity purification of Nmd3-TAP (AJY4009, lanes 1,2) and Arx1-TAP (AJY4013, lanes 3,4) from GAL::RPL1 cells either grown in galactose medium continuously (lanes 1,3) or for 2 h after addition of glucose (lanes 2,4). (D) Ratios of Rpl1 to Rpl8 signal from western blot in C were calculated and normalized to the Rpl1 to Rpl8 ratios for cells grown continuously in galactose medium.

2011), deleting the entire Rpl1 binding site (Fig. 3A). We made the truncation in a construct that ectopically expressed 25S rRNA with a unique oligo tag to be able to monitor the mutant ribosomal RNA in the presence of wild-type 60S. The oligo tag was inserted in ES8 and had no discernible effect on function (Fig. 3B). As anticipated, truncation of the L1 stalk was lethal, shown by its inability to complement deletion of the genomic rDNA locus (Fig. 3B). Nevertheless, we were able to monitor localization and incorporation of the mutant rRNA into subunits using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and northern blotting, respectively. The RNA of the L1 stalk truncation mutant accumulated in the nucleus but could also be detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3C), indicating that subunits with a truncated L1 stalk, and hence lacking Rpl1, can be exported to the cytoplasm, albeit less efficiently than wild-type. Surprisingly, this RNA sedimented in 60S, 80S, and in polysomes, indicating that despite lacking a functional L1 stalk, the mutant RNA was incorporated into actively translating ribosomes. This was consistent with a previous report that Rpl1-deficient ribosomes can engage in translation (McIntosh et al. 2011). However, comparison of the ratio 25S rRNA from the L1 stalk mutant to endogenously expressed 25S rRNA revealed differences in sedimentation of the mutant RNA compared with WT. Notably, the mutant strongly accumulated in free 60S subunits (Fig. 3D, right panel, lanes 6,7) and was somewhat enriched over wild-type in 80S and light polysomes (fractions 10–15) but was relatively depleted from deep polysomes (fractions 16–19). A shift toward lighter polysomes suggested that ribosomes without a functional L1 stalk arrest at or shortly after translation initiation. Together, these results indicate that ribosomes with a truncated L1 stalk are exported less efficiently and engage with 40S subunits but accumulate in light polysomes, possibly because they are defective for elongation.

To corroborate the results from the mass spectrometric, we also analyzed both the Rpl1-repressed, and the Rpl1-containing and LMB-treated samples by SDS-PAGE and western blotting for Rpl8, Rpl1, and Mex67. For additional
controls, we carried out mock TAP purification from untagged cells as well as Nmd3-TAP purification from wild-type and Rpl10-repressed cells, to trap particles after export and at a very late step in cytoplasmic maturation at which Mex67 would be expected to have already been released. Finally, as an additional control experiment, particles were purified using Arx1-TAP from WT or RPL10-repressed cells. Similar to the mass spectrometric analysis, the amount of Mex67 coprecipitating with Nmd3-bound particles sharply decreased in RPL10-repressed cells compared with LMB-treated cells (Fig. 4B, lanes 2,3), suggesting that pre-60S particles devoid of Rpl1 inefficiently recruited Mex67. In samples from WT cells, without any LMB treatment (lane 4), relative Mex67 levels were comparable to those in the LMB-treated sample. The RPL10-repressed sample also exhibited a sharp decrease in Mex67 levels (Fig. 4B, lane 5), as expected for a late-cytoplasmic particle. In Arx1-TAP samples too, less Mex67 was coprecipitated from RPL1-repressed cells compared with WT cells (Fig. 4B, lanes 6,7). However, the decrease was subtle compared with Nmd3-TAP particles perhaps because Arx1 binds pre-60S earlier than Nmd3, significantly and before Mex67 and hence a smaller population of Arx1 particles is bound to Mex67-containing particles.

We also tested the association of Mex67 with subunits lacking Rpl1 by sucrose gradient sedimentation. Extracts were prepared from RPL1-expressing or RPL1-repressed cells, separated on sucrose gradients and the sedimentation of Mex67 and Rpl8 was monitored by western blotting (Fig. 4C). In both conditions, Mex67 cosedimented at the 60S peak in addition to the top of the gradient. Although more Mex67 cosedimented with free 60S in RPL1-repressed cells compared with nonrepressed cells, there was also a significant accumulation of free 60S...
subunits in these cells. In fact, Mex67 was underloaded on these subunits, evidenced by the low Mex67 to Rpl8 ratio (Fig. 4D). Because pre-60S accumulates in the nucleus when Rpl1 is repressed, these results indicate that Mex67 is underloaded on free 60S subunit that accumulates in the nucleus when Rpl1 is repressed.

DISCUSSION

Here, we have shown that the L1 stalk is needed for efficient export of pre-60S subunits from the nucleus. Although it was previously demonstrated that ribosomes lacking Rpl1 can engage in translation and, therefore,
must be exported (McIntosh et al. 2011; Shi et al. 2017; Segev and Gerst 2018), those studies did not explore a role for Rpl1 in nuclear export. Considering that Rpl1 is essential in yeast and provides part of the binding site for the nuclear export adapter Nmd3, it is somewhat surprising that loss of Rpl1 does not have a greater impact on 60S export. Nmd3 is conserved from archaea to higher eukaryotes, indicating that it has a more fundamental role in ribosome maturation that predates the evolution of the nuclear envelope. Whereas the euryarchaeal proteins are similar to eukaryotic Nmd3 and contain an eIF5A-like domain which interacts with Rpl1, the lower archaeal Nmd3 proteins lack this domain. Thus, the conserved function of Nmd3, which is likely to promote the loading of Rpl10 (uL16) (Zhou et al. 2019), is independent of Rpl1 binding. The interaction with Rpl1 appears to be a more recent evolutionary development and may not be essential for Nmd3 function.

Quality control and the L1 stalk

Despite the essential nature of the L1 stalk and the expectation that quality control mechanisms monitor the nascent subunit for correct assembly, our work demonstrates that there is not a strict quality control pathway that assesses assembly of the L1 stalk. A similar observation was recently made for the RNA of internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2), which connects 5.8S and 25S RNA. Another study had previously reported that premature 60S particles containing 7S pre-rRNA can be exported to the cytoplasm and can even engage in translation (Rodríguez-Galán et al. 2015). The 232 nt of ITS2 are normally removed by RNA processing in the nucleolus, prior to export. However, in mutants that are blocked for ITS2 processing, pre-60S subunits retaining ITS2 RNA are exported to the cytoplasm (Sarkar et al. 2017). These ITS2-containing subunits also engage with 40S subunits in translating ribosomes. However, they appear to induce translational stress and are recognized by cytoplasmic quality control pathways involving 3′-RNA decay machinery and the RQC complex. In both cases, whether the defective ribosomes themselves are targeted for degradation and/or induce degradation of associated mRNAs remains to be resolved.

What is the role of the L1 stalk in large subunit export?

The L1 stalk could impact export by one of a couple different but not mutually exclusive mechanisms. Because the L1 stalk is a highly flexible hydrophilic appendage, it might be unfavorable for passage through the NPC. Closing the L1 stalk by binding to Nmd3 would present a more compact structure to facilitate export. Similarly, expansion segment 27 (ES27) forms a long dynamic helix in the vicinity of the exit tunnel and is captured by the export factor Arx1, restraining its movement (Greber et al. 2016). Conceivably, tethering both the L1 stalk and ES27 could be mechanisms to facilitate export. In an attempt to ask if reducing the length of the L1 stalk could enhance export by eliminating a “floppy” RNA element, we made further truncations of the L1 stalk. However, we did not observe enhanced export of these larger L1 stalk truncations (data not shown).

Alternatively, the L1 stalk may be important for efficient recruitment of an export factor. The translocation of large cargo molecules through the NPC requires multiple receptors (Ribbeck and Görlich 2002). Indeed, nuclear export of...
pre-60S subunits in yeast requires the export adapter Nmd3 (which recruits the receptor Ccm1), the mRNA export-receptor Mex67–Mtr2 as well as Arx1 and Bud20 (Altvater et al. 2012; Bassler et al. 2012). Whereas the binding sites for Nmd3, Arx1, and Bud20 are well-established, the binding site for Mex67–Mtr2 has been enigmatic. Although the Mex67–Mtr2 duplex can bind 5S rRNA (Yao et al. 2007), in vitro UV-induced crosslinking of Mex67 reconstituted with pre-60S particles affinity purified with Yvh1, identified binding sites in the P stalk and 5.8S but not 5S rRNA (Sarkar et al. 2016). However, our recent structural analysis of pre-60S maturation (Zhou et al. 2019) shows that Yvh1 is recruited to the pre-60S only after Nog1 is released in the cytoplasm, a conclusion reached by others as well (Nerurkar et al. 2018). Thus, Yvh1 loads onto the pre-60S particle after export from the nucleus, and after the requirement for Mex67 in export. We suggest that in the Yvh1-bound particle, P stalk RNA is exposed due to the absence of either Mrt4 or the stalk protein P0, possibly offering a site for promiscuous binding by Mex67–Mtr2. UV-induced crosslinking of Mex67 to RNAs in vivo identified a wide distribution of crosslinking sites in 25S and 5.8S rRNA (Tuck and Tollervey 2013) with strong hits in 5.8S, overlapping what was found in vitro (Sarkar et al. 2016). In neither of these crosslinking studies was Mex67 crosslinking to the L1 stalk observed. In addition, we did not detect the interaction between Mex67 and the L1 stalk by yeast three hybrid (data not shown). Nevertheless, it is possible that Mex67 recruitment to the particle is enhanced by the closure of the L1 stalk, by making a binding site in the vicinity of the L1 stalk, possibly 5.8S, more accessible.

After export to the cytoplasm, the pre-60S undergoes a series of maturation events culminating in the completion of the peptidyl transferase center and release of Nmd3 and Tif6. We previously observed Nmd3 bound to the L1 stalk in partially closed states (Malyutin et al. 2017) and suggested that the L1 stalk may be required for the release of Nmd3. However, the accumulation of Nmd3 in the nucleus in the absence of L1 expression argues against a requirement for L1 in the removal of Nmd3. Similarly, mutations in Nmd3 that are predicted to disrupt its interaction with L1 have only a very modest impact on growth, contrary to what would be expected if the L1–Nmd3 interaction were necessary for the release of Nmd3 (data not shown).

**L1 stalk mutants in translation**

Rpl1 facilitates translation elongation assisting the release of E-site tRNAs and binding factors including eIF5A (Voorhees et al. 2009; Melnikov et al. 2016). Although the mechanism of translation is highly conserved, L1 is not essential in *Escherichia coli* (Subramaniam and Dabbs 1980). It is essential in yeast but recent studies in both yeast and mammalian cells have detected L1 deficient ribosomes in actively translating pools (McIntosh et al. 2011; Shi et al. 2017). It has been suggested that yeast Rpl1-deficient ribosomes associated with polysomes are strongly discriminated against during translation initiation and a fraction of them is targeted for degradation (McIntosh et al. 2011). It has also been suggested that Rpl1 is required in “specialized ribosomes” for translating a specific subset of transcripts (Shi et al. 2017; Segev and Gerst 2018). Consistent with that, we show that ribosomes with truncated L1 stalk rRNA were able to engage in translation. However, the mutant ribosomes showed a strong bias toward lighter polysomes compared with wild-type ribosomes, possibly reflecting a general defect in elongation. Alternatively, ribosomes without an L1 stalk may support elongation at very low rates and induce more frequent stalling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains plasmids and growth media**

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables 1 and 2. All cells were grown at 30°C in rich media (yeast extract and peptone) or synthetic dropout medium supplemented with 2% glucose or 1% galactose. Strains AJY3848, AJY3849, and AJY3850 were generated by genomic integration of *TIF6-GFP-HIS3MX, ARX1-GFP-HIS3MX, and MRT4-GFP-HIS3MX,* amplified from AJY2909, AJY1948, and AJY3040, respectively, into KBM20. AJY4060 was generated by sporulation of KBM20 after mating with AJY1705. Strains AJY4001, AJY4008, and AJY4009 were generated by genomic integration of *NMD3-TAP-HIS3MX* amplified from AJY1874 into AJY3373, KBM13, and KBM20, respectively. AJY4012 and AJY4013 were generated by genomic integration of *ARX1-TAP-HIS3MX* amplified from AJY2491 into KBM13 and KBM20, respectively.

**Affinity purification of Nmd3-TAP and Arx1-TAP particles**

Cultures of strains AJY1874, AJY4001, AJY4008, AJY4009, AJY4012, and AJY4013 were grown to OD600 of 0.3 in 500 mL of YP media supplemented with 1% galactose. Glucose was added to a final 2% (w/v) concentration and cells were grown for 1 h, harvested and cell pellets were frozen at −80°C. Cell pellets were washed and resuspended in 1.5 volumes of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], 1 µM leupeptin, and 1 µM pepstatin). Extracts were prepared by glass bead lysis and clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 15 min at 18,000g. NP-40 was added to a final concentration of 0.15% (v/v) to the clarified extract which was then incubated with rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) coupled Dynabeads (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 4°C. The Dynabeads were prepared as previously described (Oeffinger et al. 2007). Beads were then washed thrice with lysis buffer containing 0.15% NP-40 at 4°C for 5 min each time. The bound complexes were enzymatically eluted with tobacco etch...
TABLE 1. Strains used in this study

| Strain   | Genotype                          | Source                        |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| AJY1185  | MATa ade2-1 ura3-1 leu2-3 his3-11 can1-100 rDNAα::HIS3 with pAJ724 (35S URA3 2µ) and pAJ719 (SS TRP 2µ) | (Smith et al. 2001)           |
| AJY1548  | MATa CRM1(T539C) his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | (Hedges et al. 2005)          |
| AJY1705  | MATa NMD3-GFP::KanMX CRM1(T539C) his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | (Hedges et al. 2005)          |
| AJY1874  | MATa NMD3-TAP::HIS3MX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0          | [OpenBiosystems]              |
| AJY1948  | MATa ARX1-GFP::HIS3MX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | [OpenBiosystems]              |
| AJY2241  | MATa ARX1-TAP::HIS3MX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0          | [OpenBiosystems]              |
| AJY2629  | MATa arx1Δ::NatMX nmd3Δ1::KanMX his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 with pAJ755(NMD3 URA3 CEN) | This study                    |
| AJY2909  | MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 TIF6-GFP::HIS3MX          | [OpenBiosystems]              |
| AJY3040  | MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 MRT4-GFP::HIS3MX          | [OpenBiosystems]              |
| AJY3247  | MATa KanMX-PGAL1-3XHA-NMD3 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0          | This study                    |
| AJY3373  | MATa KanMX::PGAL1-RPL10 his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0          | (De Keersmaecker et al. 2013) |
| AJY3848  | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A TIF6-GFP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY3849  | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A ARX1-GFP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY3850  | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A MRT4-GFP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY4000  | MATa NMD3-TAP::HIS3MX CRM1(T539C) his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY4009  | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A NMD3-TAP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY4012  | MATa ARX1-TAP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY4013  | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A ARX1-TAP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| AJY4060  | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A NMD3-GFP::HIS3MX can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | This study                    |
| KBM13    | MATa can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | (McIntosh et al. 2011)        |
| KBM20    | MATa rpl1Δ::NatMX KanMX-PGAL1-RPL1A can1Δ::PSTE2-Sp-his5, Lyp1Δ his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0 | (McIntosh et al. 2011)        |
| BY4741(WT)| MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0          | (Kallstrom et al. 2003)       |

Polysome analysis and western blots

Cultures of strains KBM13 and KBM20 were grown to OD₆₀₀ of 0.3 in 150 mL of YP media supplemented with 1% galactose. Glucose was added to a final 2% (w/v) concentration and cells were grown for two more hours. Cycloheximide (CHX) was added to a final concentration of 100 µg/mL, cultures incubated for 10 min at 30°C to arrest translation and preserve polysomes and cells were harvested and frozen at −80°C. Cell pellets were washed and resuspended in 1.5 volumes of polysome lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM KCl, 100 µM CHX, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µM leupeptin, and 1 µM pepstatin). Extracts were prepared by glass bead lysis and clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 15 min at 18,000g. Nine A₂₆₀ units of clarified extract were loaded onto 7–47% sucrose gradients prepared in polysome lysis buffer and

TABLE 2. Plasmids used in this study

| Plasmid | Description | Source                        |
|---------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| pAJ18   | rDNA LEU2 2µ | (White et al. 2008)          |
| pAJ719  | 5S rDNA LEU2 2µ | (Smith et al. 2001)        |
| pAJ724  | rDNA URA3 2µ | (Smith et al. 2001)          |
| pAJ582  | NMD3-GFP LEU2 CEN | (Hedges et al. 2005)  |
| pAJ907  | RPL25-GFP LEU2 CEN | (Kallstrom et al. 2003) |
| pAJ1181 | rDNA LEU2 CEN | This study                    |
| pAJ3605 | ΔL1 stalk-rDNA LEU2 CEN | This study                    |
| pAJ3972 | MEX67 MTR2 URA3 2µ | This study                    |
| pAJ4315 | ARX1 URA3 2µ | This study                    |
| pAJ4316 | BUD20 URA3 2µ | This study                    |
| pAJ4338 | SIK1-mRFP URA3 2µ | This study                    |
| prS415  | LEU2 CEN | This study                    |
| prS416  | URA3 CEN | This study                    |
| prS426  | URA3 2µ | This study                    |
TABLE 3. Oligonucleotides used in this study

| Oligo   | Sequence                                               |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| AJO190  | 5′-GTCTGGACCTGTTGAGTTCCC-3′                          |
| AJO192  | 5′-CCCACCGTTTACCCCGCTGG-3′                           |
| AJO628  | 5′-CTGCAGAAGACGGAGTGCATGCTCTTC-3′                     |
| AJO1247 | 5′-Cy3TCGGCGGCTTGAGAAGACGGAGTGCATGCTCTTACCAGA-3′      |

Sucrose gradient sedimentation and northern blot analyses

Saturated cultures of BY4741 transformed with pAJ1181 or pAJ3605 were diluted to OD_{600} of 0.1 in SD Leu− and grown to mid-log phase. Cell cultures were treated with CHX at 50 µg/mL for 10 min at 30°C to inhibit translation, and then cells were harvested and stored at −80°C. Cells were washed once and then resuspended in 1.5–2 volumes lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 50 µg/mL CHX, 1 mM PMSF, benzamidine, and 1 µM each of leupeptin and pepstatin). Extracts were prepared by glass bead lysis and centrifugation at 4°C for 15 min at 18,000 g. Nine A260 units of clarified extract were applied to sucrose density gradients and fractionated as described above. Sixty microliters of 20% SDS, 60 µL of 3 M Sodium acetate pH5.2 and 1.3 mL 100% ethanol were added to each sample and nucleic acids were precipitated overnight at −20°C. The precipitate was pelleted by centrifugation for 30 min at 18,000 rpm and 4°C. RNA pellets were washed with 70% ethanol and air dried. Pellets from each fraction were dissolved in 50 µL RNase free water. Total RNA from one A260 unit of clarified lysate was extracted similarly and dissolved in 50 µL RNAse free water. Ten microliters of RNA samples from lysate and from sucrose gradient fractions 1–19 were vacuum dried and dissolved in 10 µL RNA sample loading buffer (Invitrogen AM8552). RNAs were resolved by electrophoresis through 1.2% agarose MOPS 6% formaldehyde gel for 4 h at 50 V. Northern blotting was performed as previously described (Li et al. 2009) using the oligos AJO190, AJO192, and AJO628 (Table 3), and the signal was detected by phosphoimaging on a GE Typhoon FLA9500.

Microscopy

For direct fluorescence experiments, cells were grown in selective medium (Leu− or His−) supplemented with 1% galactose for 48 h, then diluted fourfold in medium containing 2% glucose and grown for 60–90 min to repress the expression of RPL1A. Images were captured using a Nikon E800 microscope fitted with a 100× Plan Apo objective and a Photometrics CoolSNAP ES camera controlled by NIS-Elements software. For FISH experiments, BY4741 cells transformed with pAJ1181 or pAJ3605 were grown to saturation in Leu− medium with 2% glucose, then diluted fivefold in fresh Leu− glucose medium and continued to grow for 60 min. Formaldehyde was added to a final concentration of 4.5% to the cell cultures and cells were fixed by agitating gently at room temperature for 30 min. Fixed cells were pelleted and washed twice with Ksorb buffer (1.2 M sorbitol, 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer 7.0). Cell pellets resuspended in 200 µL Ksorb, were treated with 50 µg/mL Zymolyase T20 for 15 min at 37°C in the presence of 20 mM Vanadyl Ribonucleoside complex (VRC), 28 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 1 mM PMSF. Cells were gently pelleted and washed with ice cold Ksorb buffer thrice and resuspended in 100 µL Ksorb buffer. Thirty-five microliter cell suspension was applied to the wells of Teflon-coated Immunofluorescence slides (Polysciences Inc., No. 18357) precoated with Poly-lysine. Slides were incubated in a moist chamber at room temperature for 10 min, then excess cells were gently aspirated, and the slides were stored in 70% ethanol at −20°C. Cells were rehydrated by washing twice with 2× SSC (300 mM NaCl, 30 mM Sodium Citrate pH 7.0) and then incubated in 40 µL Pre-hybridization solution (10% Dextran sulfate, 50% deionized formamide, 1× Denhardt’s, 2 mM VRC and 4× SSC, 0.2% BSA, 25 µg yeast tRNA and 500 µg/mL ssDNA) for 1 h at 72°C in a moist chamber. Excess solution was removed by aspiration and replaced with 40 µL of Hybridization solution (Pre-hybridization solution containing 1 µM Cy3 labeled oligo AJO1247) in each well. The slide was incubated in a moist chamber at 72°C for 1 h followed by overnight incubation at 37°C. The next day, the wells were washed with 2× SSC and then 1× SSC containing 0.1% NP-40 for 30 min each. Cells were incubated for 2 min with 1 µg/mL DAPI, washed twice with PBS and mounted in Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences, Inc.). Images were captured as described above.
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