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Abstract. In this paper, we present a new viscosity technique of nonexpansive mappings in the framework of CAT(0) spaces. The strong convergence theorems of the proposed technique is proved under certain assumptions imposed on the sequence of parameters. The results presented in this paper extend and improve some recent announced in the current literature.
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1. Introduction

The study of spaces of nonpositive curvature originated with the discovery of hyperbolic spaces, and flourished by pioneering works of J. Hadamard and E. Cartan in the first decades of the twentieth century. The idea of nonpositive curvature geodesic metric spaces could be traced back to the work of H. Busemann and A. D. Alexandrov in the 50’s. Later on M. Gromov restated some features of global Riemannian geometry solely based on the so-called CAT(0) inequality (here the letters C, A and T stand for Cartan, Alexandrov and Toponogov, respectively). For thorough discussion of CAT(0) spaces and of fundamental role they play in geometry, we refer the reader to Bridson and Haefliger [1].

As we know, iterative methods for finding fixed points of nonexpansive mappings have received vast investigations due to its extensive applications in a variety of applied areas of inverse problem, partial differential equations, image recovery, and signal processing; see [2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10] and the references therein. One of the difficulties in carrying out results from Banach space to complete CAT(0) space setting lies in the heavy use of the linear structure of the Banach
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spaces. Berg and Nikolaev [4] introduce the notion of an inner product-like notion (quasilinearization) in complete CAT(0) spaces to resolve these difficulties. Fixed-point theory in CAT(0) spaces was first studied by Kirk [11, 12, 13]. He showed that every nonexpansive (single-valued) mapping defined on a bounded closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space always has a fixed point. Since then, the fixed-point theory for single-valued and multivalued mappings in CAT(0) spaces has been rapidly developed. In 2000, Moudaf’s [14] introduce viscosity approximation methods as following:

**Theorem 1.1.** [14] Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of the real CAT(0) space $X$. Let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself such that $\text{Fix}(T)$ is nonempty. Let $f$ be a contraction of $C$ into itself with coefficient $\theta \in [0, 1)$. Pick any $x_0 \in [0, 1)$, let \{ $x_n$ \} be a sequence generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\gamma_n}{1 + \gamma_n} f(x_n) + \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_n} T(x_n), \quad n \geq 0$$

Where \{ $\gamma_n$ \} is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = 0$,
2. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$,
3. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\frac{1}{\gamma_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{\gamma_n}| = 0$.

Then \{ $x_n$ \} converges strongly to a fixed point $x^*$ of the mapping $T$, which is also the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle x - f(x), x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \text{Fix}(T),$$

in other words, $x^*$ is the unique fixed point of the contraction $P_{\text{Fix}(T)} f$, that is $P_{\text{Fix}(T)} f(x^*) = x^*$.

Shi and Chen [15] studied the convergence theorems of the following Moudaf’s viscosity iterations for a nonexpansive mapping in CAT(0) spaces.

$$x_{n+1} = tf(x_n) \oplus (1 - t)T(x_n) \quad (1)$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)T(x_n) \quad (2)$$

They proved that \{ $x_n$ \} defined by (1) and \{ $x_n$ \} defined by (2) converged strongly to a fixed point of $T$ in the framework of CAT(0) space. In 2017, Zhao et al. [16] applied viscosity approximation methods for the implicit midpoint rule for non-expansive mappings.

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)T \left( \frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2} \right), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$ 

C.Y. Jung et al. [17], proposed two generalized viscosity implicit rules:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)T( s_n x_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_{n+1}), \quad (3)$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n \oplus \beta f(x_n) + \gamma_n T(s_n x_n \oplus (1 - s_n)x_{n+1}). \quad (4)$$
Motivated and inspired by the idea of C.Y. Jung et al. \cite{17}, In this paper, we extend and study the implicit viscosity rules of nonexpansive mappings in the framework of CAT(0) spaces.

\[
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} &= T(y_n), \\
y_n &= \alpha_n(w_n) \oplus \beta_n f(w_n) \oplus \gamma_n T(w_n), \\
w_n &= \frac{x + y + z}{2}.
\end{aligned}
\]

## 2. Preliminaries

Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space. A geodesic path joining \(x \in X\) to \(y \in X\) (or, more briefly, a geodesic from \(x\) to \(y\)) is a map \(c\) from a closed interval \([0, l] \subset R\) to \(X\) such that \(c(0) = x, c(l) = y,\) and \(d(c(t), c(t')) = |t - t'|\) for all \(t, t' \in [0, l].\) In particular, \(c\) is an isometry and \(d(x, y) = l.\) The image \(\alpha\) of \(c\) is called a geodesic (or metric) segment joining \(x\) and \(y.\) When it is unique, this geodesic segment is denoted by \([x, y].\) The space \((X, d)\) is said to be a geodesic space if every two points of \(X\) are joined by a geodesic, and \(X\) is said to be uniquely geodesic if there is exactly one geodesic joining \(x\) and \(y\) for each \(x, y \in X.\) A subset \(Y \subset X\) is said to be convex if \(Y\) includes every geodesic segment joining any two of its points. A geodesic triangle \(\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)\) in a geodesic metric space \((X, d)\) consists of three points \(x_1, x_2,\) and \(x_3\) in \(X\) (the vertices of \(\triangle\)) and a geodesic segment between each pair of vertices (the edges of \(\triangle\)). A comparison triangle for the geodesic triangle \(\triangle(x_1, x_2, x_3)\) in \((X, d)\) is a triangle \(\overline{\triangle}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \triangle(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \overline{x}_3)\) in the Euclidean plane \(E^2\) such that \(d_{E^2}(\overline{x}_i, \overline{x}_j) = d(x_i, x_j)\) for \(i, j = 1, 2, 3.\) A geodesic space is said to be a CAT(0) space if all geodesic triangles satisfy the following comparison axiom.

**CAT(0):** Let \(\triangle\) be a geodesic triangle in \(X,\) and let \(\overline{\triangle}\) be a comparison triangle for \(\triangle.\) Then, is said to satisfy the CAT(0) inequality if for all \(x, y \in \triangle\) and all comparison points \(\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \overline{\triangle},\)

\[
d(x, y) = d_{E^2}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \tag{5}
\]

Let \(x, y \in X\) and by the Lemma 2.1(iv) of \cite{18} for each \(t \in [0, 1],\) there exist a unique point \(z \in [x, y]\) such that

\[
d(x, z) = td(x, y), \hspace{1cm} d(y, z) = (1 - t)d(x, y). \tag{6}
\]

From now on, we will use the notation \((1 - t)x \oplus ty\) for the unique fixed point \(z\) satisfying the above equation.

We now collect some elementary facts about CAT(0) spaces which will be used in the proofs of our main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** \cite{18} Let \(X\) be a CAT(0) spaces.

- For any \(x, y, z \in X\) and \(t \in [0, 1],\)

\[
d((1 - t)x \oplus ty, z) \leq (1 - t)d(x, z) + td(y, z) \tag{7}
\]

- For any \(x, y, z \in X\) and \(t \in [0, 1],\)

\[
d^2((1 - t)x \oplus ty, z) \leq (1 - t)^2d(x, z) + td^2(y, z) - t(1 - t)d^2(x, y) \tag{8}
\]
Complete CAT(0) spaces are often called Hadamard spaces (see [1]). If \(x, y_1, y_2\) are points of a CAT(0) space and \(y_0\) is the midpoint of the segment \([y_1, y_2]\), which we will denote by \(\frac{y_1 + y_2}{2}\), then the CAT(0) inequality implies
\[
d^2 \left( x, \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} d^2(x, y_1) + \frac{1}{2} d^2(x, y_2) - \frac{1}{4} d^2(y_1, y_2).
\] (9)
This inequality is the (CN) inequality of Bruhat and Tits [19]. In fact, a geodesic space is a CAT(0) space if and only if it satisfies the (CN) inequality (cf. [1], page 163).

**Definition 2.2.** Let \(X\) be a CAT(0) space and \(T : X \to X\) be a mapping. Then \(T\) is called nonexpensive if
\[
d(T(x), T(y)) \leq d(x, y), \quad x, y \in C
\]

**Definition 2.3.** Let \(X\) be a CAT(0) space and \(T : X \to X\) be a mapping. Then \(T\) is called contraction if
\[
d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \theta d(x, y), \quad x, y \in C, \quad \theta \in [0, 1)
\]

Berg and Nikolaev [4] introduce the concept of quasilinearization as follows. Let us denote the pair \((a, b) \in X \times X\) by the \(\overrightarrow{ab}\) and call it a vector. Then, quasilinearization is defined as a map
\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \to \mathbb{R}
\]
defined as
\[
\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (d^2(a, d) + d^2(b, c) - d^2(a, c) - d^2(b, d))
\] (10)
it is easy to see that \(\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{cd}, \overrightarrow{ab} \rangle, \quad \langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = -\langle \overrightarrow{ba}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle\) and \(\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{bc}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle\) for all \(a, b, c, d \in X\). We say that \(X\) satisfies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality if
\[
\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle \leq d(a, b)d(a, c)
\]
for all \(a, b, c, d \in X\). It is well-known [4] that a geodesically connected metric space is a CAT(0) space if and only if it satisfy the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Let \(C\) be a non-empty closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space \(X\). The metric projection \(P_C : X \to C\) is defined by
\[
u = P_C(x) \iff \inf \{d(y, x) : y \in C\}, \quad \forall x \in X
\]

**Definition 2.4.** Let \(P_C : X \to C\) is called the metric projection if for every \(x \in X\) there exist a unique nearest point in \(C\), denoted by \(P_Cx\), such that
\[
d(x, P_Cx) \leq d(x, y), \quad y \in C
\]

The following theorem gives you the conditions for a projection mapping to be non-expensive.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \(C\) be a non-empty closed convex subset of a real CAT(0) space \(X\) and \(P_C : X \to X\) a metric projection. Then
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Lemma 2.7. Assume that \( \{ a_n \} \) is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that 

\[ a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \beta_n)a_n + \delta_n, \forall n \geq 0, \] where \( \{ \beta_n \} \) is a sequence in \((0, 1)\) and \( \{ \delta_n \} \) is a sequence with

1. \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty \).
2. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{\delta_n}{\beta_n} \leq 0 \) or \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty \).

Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \to 0 \).

2.1. The Main Result.

Theorem 2.8. Let $C$ be a non-empty closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space $X$ and $T : C \to C$ be a non-expansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : C \to C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\theta \in [0, 1)$ and for arbitrary initial point $x_0 \in C$. Let \( \{ x_n \} \) be a sequence generated by

\[
\begin{cases}
  x_{n+1} = T(y_n), \\
  y_n = \alpha_n(w_n) + \beta_n f(w_n) + \gamma_n T(w_n), \\
  w_n = \frac{x_n + \beta_n}{2}.
\end{cases}
\]

Where \( \{ \alpha_n \}, \{ \beta_n \} \) and \( \{ \gamma_n \} \) are the sequence in \((0, 1)\) satisfying the following conditions:

1. \( \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1 \),
2. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = 1 \),
3. \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty \),
4. \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty \),
5. \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T(x_n)) = 0 \).

Then \( \{ x_n \} \) converges strongly to a fixed point $x^*$ of the mapping $T$, which is also the unique solution of the variational inequality

\[ \langle f(x), x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \text{Fix}(T), \]

in other words, $x^*$ is the unique fixed point of the contraction $P_{\text{Fix}(T)} f$, that is $P_{\text{Fix}(T)} f(x^*) = x^*$. 

Proof. We divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1: Firstly we show that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded. Indeed take \( p \in \text{Fix}(T) \) arbitrary, we have

\[
d(x_{n+1}, p) = d(T(y_n), p) \\
= d(T(\alpha_n(w_n) + \beta_n(w_n) + \gamma_n(w_n)), p) \\
\leq d(\alpha_n(w_n) + \beta_n(w_n) + \gamma_n T(w_n), p) \\
= d(\alpha_n(w_n) - \alpha_n p + \beta_n(w_n) - \beta_n p + \gamma_n T(w_n) + \alpha_n p + \beta_n p, p) \\
\leq \alpha_n d((w_n), p) + \beta_n d((w_n), p) + \gamma_n d(T(w_n), p) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, p) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d((x_{n+1}), p) \\
+ \beta_n d((w_n), f(p)) + \beta_n d(f(p), p) + \gamma_n d(T(w_n), p) \\
= \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d((x_n), p) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d((x_{n+1}), p) + \theta \beta d((w_n), p) + \beta d(f(p), p) \\
+ \gamma_n \left( \frac{1}{2} d(x_n, p) + \frac{1}{2} d(x_{n+1}, p) \right) \\
= \left( \frac{\alpha_n + \gamma_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_n, p) + \left( \frac{\alpha_n + \gamma_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_{n+1}, p) \\
+ \frac{\gamma_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, p) + \beta_n d(f(p), p) \\
= \left( \frac{1 - \beta_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_n, p) + \left( \frac{1 - \beta_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_{n+1}, p) \\
+ \frac{\gamma_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, p) + \beta_n d(f(p), p). \\
\]

It follows that

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{1 - \beta_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_{n+1}, p) = \left( \frac{1 - \beta_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_n, p) \\
+ \beta_n d(f(p), p).
\]

implies that

\[
(1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta))d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq (1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta))d(x_n, p) + 2\beta_n d(f(p), p). \quad (11)
\]

Since \( \beta_n, \theta \in (0, 1), 1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta) \geq 0 \). Moreover, by (11) and \( \alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1 \), we get

\[
d(x_{n+1}, p) = \frac{1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta)}{1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta)} d(x_n, p) + \frac{2\beta_n}{1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta)} d(f(p), p) \\
\leq \left[ 1 - \frac{2\beta_n(1 - \theta)}{1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta)} \right] d(x_n, p) + \left[ \frac{2\beta_n(1 - \theta)}{1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta)} \right] \left( \frac{1}{1 - \theta} d(f(p), p) \right).
\]

Thus we have

\[
d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq \max \left\{ d(x_n, p), \frac{1}{1 - \theta} d(f(p), p) \right\}.
\]
By applying induction, we obtain
\[
d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq \max \left\{ d(x_0, p), \frac{1}{1 - \theta} d(f(p), p) \right\}.
\]
Hence, we conclude that \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded. Consequently, we deduce immediately from it that \( \{f(w_n)\} \) and \( \{T(w_n)\} \) are bounded.

**Step 2:** Now, we prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0 \)

\[
d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(T(y_n), T(y_{n-1})) = d(T(\alpha_n(w_n) + \beta_n(w_n) + \gamma_n(w_n)), T(\alpha_{n-1}(w_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}(w_{n-1}) + \gamma_1 T(w_{n-1}))) \\
\leq d(\alpha_n(w_n) + \beta_n(w_n) + \gamma_n T(w_n), [\alpha_{n-1}(w_{n-1}) + \beta_{n-1}(w_{n-1}) + \gamma_1 T(w_{n-1})]) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| d((x_{n-1} + x_n), 2T(w_{n-1})) \\
+ \beta_n d(f(w_n), f(w_{n-1})) + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| d(f(w_{n-1}), T(w_{n-1})) \\
+ \gamma_n d(T(w_n), T(w_{n-1})) \\
= \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \right) M \\
+ \theta \beta_n d(w_n, w_{n-1}) + \gamma_n (w_n, w_{n-1}) \\
= \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \right) M \\
+ \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \frac{\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
+ \frac{\gamma_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \frac{\gamma_n}{2} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
= \left( \frac{\alpha_n + \gamma_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\
+ \left( \frac{\alpha_n + \gamma_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \right) d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| \right) M
\]

Where \( M > 0 \) is constant such that
\[
M \geq \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq 0} d((x_n + x_{n+1}, 2T(w_{n-1})), \sup_{n \geq 0} d(f(w_{n-1}), T(w_{n-1})) \right\}
\]
Indeed, we take a subsequence \( \{x_i\} \) where
\[
\alpha_n + \theta \beta_n + \gamma_n \quad \text{implies that}
\]
\[
1 - \frac{1 - \beta_n + \theta \beta_n}{2} \quad \text{implies}
\]
\[
(1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta))d(x_{n+1}, x_n)
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta))d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + 2|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|) M.
\]
Thus, we have
\[
d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\beta_n(1 - \theta)}{1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta)}\right) d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \frac{M}{(1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta))} (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + 2|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|).
\]
Since \( \beta_n, \theta \in (0, 1) \), \( 1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta) \geq 1 \) and \( \frac{1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta)}{1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta)} \leq 1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta) \) Thus
\[
d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \left[1 - \beta_n(1 - \theta)\right]d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \frac{M}{(1 + \beta_n(1 - \theta))} (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + 2|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|).
\]
Since \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty \), \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty \), and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty \), by the Lemma \[27\] we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0 \).

**Step 3:** In this step, we claim that
\[
\limsup_{x \to \infty} \langle x^* f(x^*), x^* x_n \rangle \leq 0,
\]
where \( x^* = P_{Fix(T)} f(x^*) \).

Indeed, we take a subsequence \( \{x_{n_i}\} \) of \( \{x_n\} \) which converges weakly to a fixed point \( p \) of \( T \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( \{x_{n_i}\} \to p \). From \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T(x_n)) = 0 \) and the Lemma \[24\] we have \( p = Tp \). This together, with the property of metric projection implies that
\[
\limsup_{x \to \infty} \langle x^* f(x^*), x^* x_n \rangle = \limsup_{x \to \infty} \langle x^* f(x^*), x^* x_{n_i} \rangle
\]
\[
= \limsup_{x \to \infty} \langle x^* f(x^*), x^* p \rangle
\]
\[
\leq 0.
\]
Step 4: Finally, we show that $x_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$. Now, we prove that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$. Now, we again take $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ is the unique fixed point of the contraction $P_{\text{Fix}(T)}f$. Consider

$$d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d^2(T(y_n), x^*)$$
$$= d^2(T(\alpha_n(w_n) \oplus \beta_n(w_n) + \gamma_n(w_n)), x^*)$$
$$\leq d^2(\alpha_n(w_n) \oplus \beta_n(w_n) + \gamma_n T(w_n), x^*)$$
$$= d^2(\alpha_n(w_n) - \alpha_n x^* + \beta_n(w_n) - \beta_n x^* + \gamma_n T(w_n))$$
$$+ 2\alpha_n \beta_n \langle x^* w_n, x^* f(w_n) \rangle + 2\alpha_n \gamma_n \langle x^* w_n, x^* T(w_n) \rangle$$

$$= \alpha^2_n d^2((w_n), x^*) + \beta^2_n d^2((w_n), x^*) + \gamma^2_n d^2((w_n), x^*)$$
$$+ 2\alpha_n \beta_n \langle x^* w_n, x^* f(w_n) \rangle + 2\alpha_n \gamma_n \langle x^* w_n, x^* T(w_n) \rangle$$

$$\leq (\alpha^2_n + \gamma^2_n) d^2((w_n), x^*) + 2\alpha_n \gamma_n d^2((w_n), x^*)$$
$$+ 2\alpha_n \gamma_n \langle f(w_n), f(x^*) \rangle d^2((w_n, x^*) + K_n$$

$$\leq (1 - \beta^2_n)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n d^2((w_n, x^*) + K_n$$

where

$$K_n = \beta^2_n d^2(f(w_n), x^*) + 2\alpha_n \beta_n \langle x^* w_n, x^* f(w_n) \rangle$$
$$+ 2\beta_n \gamma_n \langle f(w_n), x^* T(w_n) x^* \rangle$$

it become

$$[(1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n] d^2((w_n, x^*) \geq d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) - K_n$$

implies

$$\sqrt{(1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n d^2((w_n, x^*)} \geq \sqrt{d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) - K_n}$$

implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n d((w_n, x^*)) (d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + d(x_n, x^*))} \geq \sqrt{d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) - K_n}$$

implies

$$\frac{1}{4} (1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n ((d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) + d^2(x_n, x^*)) + 2(d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + d(x_n, x^*))$$

$$\geq d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) - K_n$$

implies

$$\frac{1}{4} ((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n) (d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) + d^2(x_n, x^*)) + d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) + (d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) + d^2(x_n, x^*))$$
\[ d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) - K_n \]

implies

\[ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n) \right] d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n) \right] d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) + K_n. \]

Thus, we have

\[ d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) \]

\[ + \frac{K_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} \]

\[ = \left[ 1 - \frac{1 - (1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} \right] K_n \]

\[ = \left[ 1 - \frac{1 - (1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} \right] d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) \]

\[ + \frac{K_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)}. \]

Note that

\[ 0 < 1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n) < 1 \]

implies

\[ \frac{1 - (1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} \geq 1 - ((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n). \]

Thus, we have

\[ d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq 1 - ((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n) d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) \]

\[ + \frac{K_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} \]

\[ = \frac{((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n) d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*)}{K_n} \]

\[ + \frac{K_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)} \]

\[ = (1 - \beta)^2 d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) \]

\[ + \frac{K_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta \beta_n \gamma_n)}. \]
Since $0 < 1 - \beta_n < 1$, this gives $(1 - \beta_n)^2 < (1 - \beta_n)$ and
\[ d^2(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq (1 - \beta)d^2(x_{n+1}, x^*) + \frac{K_n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta\beta_n\gamma_n)} \] (12)
by $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = 1$ we have
\[ \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{K_n}{\beta_n(1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta\beta_n\gamma_n))} = \limsup_{x \to \infty} \frac{\beta_n^2d^2(f(w_n), x^*) + 2\alpha_n\beta_n\langle x^*w_n, x^*f(w_n) \rangle}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\theta\beta_n\gamma_n))} \leq 0. \] (13)
From (12) and (13) and the Lemma (2.6) we have
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x^*) = 0. \]
This implies that $x_n \to x^*$ as $n \to \infty$. This complete the proof. \qed
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