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Objective. To investigate the characteristics of early catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI) in severe burn injury patients induced by a massive aluminum dust explosion.

Methods. Sixty-eight severe burn injury patients experienced a massive dust explosion in Kunshan were included in this study. Patients received central venous catheter placement, arterial catheterization to monitor blood pressure and PiCCO cardiac monitoring, tracheostomy, mechanical ventilation, analgesics and sedation treatment, and fluid resuscitation. Clinical data including age, gender, burn surface area, fluid intake and output, urine temperature, and APACHE II score information were collected from each patient. Ultrasound screening was performed to exclude heart failure, which may lead to the change of NT-proBNP. When CRBSI was suspected, 10 ml central venous blood and peripheral arterial blood were sent for testing. For patients with suspected CRBSI, the level of PCT and NT-proBNP were monitored every day until the infection was controlled.

Results. Among the 68 patients, 29 showed CRBSI. The most common pathogenic bacteria of CRBSI were A. baumannii (39.8%), P. aeruginosa (26.4%), and K. pneumoniae (13.7%). Procalcitonin (PCT) (2.98 ng/ml) and NT-proBNP (355 pg/ml) were significantly associated with CRBSI results. The sensitivity of PCT, NT-proBNP, WBC, and CRP was 94.2%, 89.7%, 88.3%, and 90.5%, respectively ($P < 0.05$). The area under curve (AUC) of PCT combined with NT-proBNP for prediction of CRBSI was 0.981, and the sensitivity and specificity was 0.812 and 0.857, respectively.

Conclusion. PCT and NT-proBNP combination improves the diagnosis of CRBSI. PCT and NT-proBNP may be alternative candidates for potential prediction of CRBSI in patients with severe injury.

1. Backgrounds

Catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI), one of the most frequent and lethal nosocomial infections, is an important cause for hospital-acquired infection with a high morbidity and mortality. Individuals with extensive skin injury and rupture of anatomic barrier are reported to show high risks of CRBSI. Generally, the symptoms of CRBSI are usually vague as they are covered by systemic signs and sustained inflammatory reaction resulting from severe thermal injury. In clinical setting, delayed diagnosis is still the major challenge due to the lack of diagnostic markers with high sensitivity and specificity [1–4]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify potential biomarkers of CRBSI in a population with severe burn injury.

Procalcitonin (PCT) has been considered as a serum marker for assessing infection and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) [5]. Recently, it has been used to evaluate infection in patients with burn injury [6, 7]. However, in patients with severe blast injury, little is known about the accuracy of PCT in CRBSI diagnosis [8–10]. Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), two important markers of heart failure recently reported to be associated with infection, are also important predictors of infection-related mortality in nonburn sepsis patients [11]. However, rare studies have been carried out to investigate the roles of these markers in predicting CRBSI [12].

In this study, serum PCT and NT-proBNP in patients with severe burn were detected in a dynamical manner.
We aim to evaluate the potential roles of serum PCT and NT-proBNP in predicting CRBSI in patients with severe explosion injury.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Patients. This is an observational study of a cohort of patients with burn injury in August 2014 in Kunshan. Patients admitted to the ICUs in the Second Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou Municipal Hospital, and the First People’s Hospital of Kunshan were included in this study. The end point of this study was mortality or transfer of patients on day 90 after ICU hospitalization. Severe burn was formulated in accordance with the Chinese consensus of severe burns in 1970 in which total burn is categorized as a surface area with more than 50% burn [13]. Patients with a total burn area of less than 50% were not included in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the relatives of the patients. The study protocols were approved by the local ethics committee.

2.2. Clinical Treatment. Upon ICU admission, all patients received general management including central venous catheter placement (two-lumen central venous catheterization, Arrow), Foley catheter placement, fentanyl and midazolam for analgesia and sedation, tracheal cannula or tracheostomy, mechanical ventilation, invasive arterial catheter placement to monitor blood pressure, continuous cardiac output monitoring, fluid resuscitation, and target temperature management (target temperature: 36-37°C). Besides, wound care including partially exposed or exposed care was given to these patients. For circumferential burns (degree three) or tension scar, escharotomy was performed to prevent ischemia and necrosis of the distal or deep tissue or to correct restrictive respiratory or circulatory function. Broad-spectrum antibiotics (Carbapenems) were used to prevent infection. After the acute phase, all patients continuously received mechanical ventilation, analgesics and sedation, nutritional support, debridement, and skin grafting.

2.3. Diagnosis of CRBSI. The diagnostic criteria of CRBSI were in accordance with the guidelines of the Infectious Disease Society of America [14]. Upon suspicious symptoms of CRBSI including fever, chills, hypotension, and SIRS, 10 ml central venous blood and peripheral arterial blood were sent for aerobic or anaerobic culture using BACTEC-9120, VITEK-32 automatic microbial analysis system and supporting culture bottles, bacterial identification card, and anaerobic culture kits and medium (bioMérieux, France). The culture temperature was set at 35.5°C. Negative report timeline was usually set as 5 days after incubation. When positive results were reported, the cultures were implanted to the corresponding medium and the pathogenic strains were isolated by aerobic and/or anaerobic culture at 36°C. All the isolated strains obtained from the anaerobic culture were subject to oxygen tolerance test.

2.4. Data Measurement. For each patient, the circumstances of insertion and the parameters related to indwelling catheters were recorded including fluid infusion quantity, antibiotic utilization, indwelling days, maintenance frequency and material, fever, and blood culture. Sampling of each removed catheter was conducted to monitor catheter-related infections. Strict aseptic procedures were carried out to avoid contaminating the wound near the insertion site. Ultrasound screening was performed, and the cardiac parameter (LA diameter, LA diameter, LV systolic dimension, ventricular septal thickness, and ventricular septal thickness) were recorded every 3 days. For patients with suspected infection, the level of PCT and NT-proBNP (Roche Diagnostics) were monitored every day until infection was controlled.
2.5. Statistical Analysis. Data with normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). These data were analyzed with SPSS 19 software. The specificity and sensitivity of PCT, NT-proBNP, WBC, and CRP for CRBSI were evaluated, and ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves were plotted. *P* < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Patients’ Characteristics.

A total of 68 patients (male: 41; female: 27; mean age: 38.3 ± 7.3 years) were finally included in this study (Table 1). The burn area ranged from 75% to 99%. The mean duration of ICU stay was 71.2 ± 17.8 days. APACHE II score at admission was 22.3 ± 7.6. About 90 days after admission, 21 patients died, 25 patients received further treatment in the ICU for unhealed large wound or respiratory support, and 22 patients were transferred to the regular wards.

#### 3.2. Bacterial Spectrum of CRBSI Catheters.

The most common pathogenic bacteria were *Acinetobacter baumannii* (39.8%), followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (26.4%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (13.7%), and *Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA, 9.2%).

#### 3.3. Body Temperature, WBC Count, CRP, PCT, and Fluid Balance during the First Week in the ICU.

On the first day after burn, the average body temperature decreased. Thereafter, the average temperature increased steadily from day 2 to day 7 (Table 2). The average WBC count was significantly elevated on day 1 and then declined back to normal by day 4. CRP had a slight increase but no obvious trend in changing. PCT was significantly elevated on day 1.

| Data                      | D1  | D2  | D3  | D4  | D5  | D6  | D7  |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Temperature (°C)          | 35.19 ± 1.02 | 36.22 ± 1.55 | 36.44 ± 0.92 | 36.52 ± 0.90 | 36.74 ± 1.40 | 36.96 ± 0.94 | 37.39 ± 1.04 |
| WBC (10^9/l)              | 36.29 ± 13.91 | 20.16 ± 5.20 | 10.80 ± 3.52 | 8.03 ± 2.41 | 7.49 ± 3.17 | 8.93 ± 4.27 | 8.41 ± 2.77 |
| CRP (mg/l)                | 37.26 ± 24.29 | 72.77 ± 34.97 | 70.53 ± 38.85 | 67.42 ± 44.82 | 62.79 ± 31.35 | 90.01 ± 40.63 | 96.53 ± 49.91 |
| PCT (ng/ml)               | 11.83 ± 8.11 | 7.78 ± 5.20 | 4.89 ± 3.92 | 2.72 ± 1.88 | 1.62 ± 1.22 | 1.57 ± 0.94 | 1.34 ± 0.90 |
| NT-proBNP (pg/ml)        | 100 ± 22 | 160 ± 30 | 200 ± 11 | 250 ± 41 | 180 ± 33 | 120 ± 29 | 310 ± 51 |
| Fluid balance (ml)       | 4791 ± 324 | 1782 ± 418 | 2928 ± 2356 | 2297 ± 504 | 4289 ± 482 | 3290 ± 120 | 3324 ± 107 |

D1–D7: day 1 to day 7.

#### 3.4. Cardiac parameter followed by echocardiogram.

| Cardiac parameter                           | Minimum | Maximum | Mean ± SD  |
|---------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| LA diameter (mm)                            | 30.7    | 46.6    | 37.9 ± 4.4 |
| LV diastolic dimension (mm)                 | 41.0    | 54.2    | 49.6 ± 3.4 |
| LV systolic dimension (mm)                  | 26.5    | 39.8    | 31.7 ± 3.9 |
| Ventricular septal thickness (mm)           | 8.1     | 12.0    | 9.4 ± 3.1  |
| LV ejection fraction (%)                    | 58.0    | 74.0    | 66.1 ± 5.2 |

#### 3.5. ROC curve analysis of PCT, NT-proBNP, WBC, and CRP during the first week.

| Parameter       | Area under the curve | Best cutoff value | Sensitivity | Specificity | Youden index | 95% CI         | *P* value |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|------------|
| PCT (ng/ml)     | 0.856                | 2.98              | 0.909       | 0.583       | 0.492        | 0.743–0.970    | 0.000     |
| NT-proBNP (pg/ml) | 0.963               | 355               | 1.000       | 0.625       | 0.625        | 0.918–1.00     | 0.000     |
| WBC             | 0.514                | 12                | 0.682       | 0.583       | 0.265        | 0.342–0.687    | 0.088     |
| CRP (mg/ml)     | 0.190                | 55                | 0.545       | 0.833       | 0.378        | 0.062–0.319    | 0.065     |

#### 3.6. ROC curve analysis of PCT, NT-proBNP, WBC, and CRP during the second week.

| Parameter       | Area under the curve | Best cutoff value | Sensitivity | Specificity | Youden index | 95% CI    | *P* value |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| PCT             | 0.793                | 3.67              | 0.942       | 0.523       | 0.502        | 0.861–0.967 | 0.000     |
| NT-proBNP       | 0.849                | 285               | 0.897       | 0.661       | 0.629        | 0.907–0.994 | 0.003     |
| WBC             | 0.722                | 19                | 0.883       | 0.514       | 0.556        | 0.782–0.938 | 0.014     |
| CRP             | 0.698                | 72                | 0.905       | 0.679       | 0.611        | 0.707–0.929 | 0.009     |
| PCT + NT-proBNP | 0.981                | 0.812             | 0.857       | 0.753       | 0.853–0.908  | 0.000     |
after burn and then decreased each subsequent day. On
day 7, PCT was still higher than normal. No significant
 correlation was noticed between NT-proBNP and fluid
balance (P > 0.05).

3.4. Echocardiogram of Patients Every 3 Days during
Hospitalization. Table 3 shows the echocardiogram of
the patients performed every 3 days during hospital stay. Our
data showed that there were no obvious abnormalities in
cardiac function among these patients (Table 3).

3.5. ROC Curve Analysis. ROC curves were depicted to
evaluate the specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy of PCT,
NT-proBNP, WBC, and CRP in CRBSI within 2 weeks. The
sensitivity of PCT and NT-proBNP were 90.9% and
100% during the first week, respectively (Table 4). This
indicated that PCT and NT-proBNP were statistically
significant to predict CRBSI during the first week.
However, CRP and WBC were not found to be sensitive
during this period (P > 0.05). Additionally, during the
second week, the sensitivity of PCT, NT-proBNP, WBC,
and CRP was 94.2%, 89.7%, 88.3%, and 90.5%, respectively
(P < 0.05, Table 5). This implied that PCT, NT-proBNP,
WBC, and CRP were markedly sensitive for predicting
CRBSI (P < 0.05). The area under curve (AUC) of PCT
combined with NT-proBNP for prediction of CRBSI was
0.981, and the sensitivity and specificity were 0.812 and
0.857, respectively.

4. Discussion

Indwelling central venous catheterization is necessary for the
management of critically ill patients. This increases the risk of
CRBSI. Infection is the main cause of death in well-managed
patients with severe burn injury [15]. Therefore, prediction of
CRBSI is more important in clinical implications. In the
present study, patients with severe burn injury in Kunshan
were almost at a young age with a healthy condition before
injury. We found that the leading three bacterial species
were A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, and K. pneumonia. Our
data showed that PCT and NT-proBNP combination
improves the diagnosis of CRBSI. PCT and NT-proBNP
could serve as candidates for predicting CRBSI.

In a previous study, gram-negative bacteria are the major
pathogenic bacteria in burn patients [15], which differ from
the pathogenic bacteria of CRBSI in nonburn ICU patients
[16]. The elevation of WBC, NT-proBNP, and CRP caused
by excessive inflammatory reactions in burn patients may
affect their efficiency for prediction of infection [17–19].
WBC and N-proBNP could be easily influenced by many
factors, such as severe stress, trauma, bleeding, and certain
medications (e.g., steroids). CRP is an acute phase reaction
protein synthesized by the liver and mediated by
interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) [20].
Prior studies indicated that CRP could not be used as a
marker of infection in burn patients [4, 21]. In this study,
WBC and CRP could not be utilized to predict CRBSI in
the first week, but they were markedly associated with
CRBSI during the second week.

PCT, a precursor of calcitonin, is produced by the liver,
fat cells, lung, and muscle cells [22]. Lipopolysaccharide
(LPS) and sepsis-related inflammatory factors such as
TNF-α and IL-6 can regulate the secretion of PCT [23].
Severe inflammatory factors, including IL-6 and TNF-α that
exist in severe burn patients, contribute to the secretion of
PCT [22]. Indeed, it may be a mechanism in which PCT
showed initial increase. PCT has been considered as a
marker of infection [5], but there are substantial disputes on its ability in predicting infection in patients with burn
injury [1, 6, 7, 24, 25]. Partly, such uncertainty may result
from a wide range of infection including wound, pulmonary,
urinary tract, and blood, which may elicit differing PCT
responses. We are aware that there is no specific study on
PCT for the prediction of CRBSI in burn patients [8–10].
Our study indicated that PCT might serve as a candidate
biomarker for predicting CRBSI in burn patients.

BNP and NT-proBNP are neurohormones secreted from
cardiac ventricles in response to left ventricular strain or
fluid overload [26]. At present, BNP is mainly used as a
biomarker for heart failure [27]. Among these young and
adult cases, cardiomyopathy indicated no obvious changes
in the cardiac function. Therefore, no correlation was
noticed between NT-proBNP elevation and cardiac
function. Recently, several studies have reported that BNP
and NT-proBNP are also elevated in the setting of
infection [28–31]. In a previous study, the severity of the
infection was positively associated with the elevation of
BNP [32]. Our data indicated that NT-proBNP was highly
sensitive and reasonably specific for predicting CRBSI in
burn patients, which was superior to PCT. Under normal
conditions, NT-proBNP is a nonactive precursor of BNP
cleared primarily by the kidney [33]. In case of infection,
the clearance of BNP is impaired [34], which may trigger an
elevation of NT-proBNP in CRBSI. Besides, further studies
are required to investigate the potential mechanisms. The
combination of PCT and NT-proBNP contributed to the
diagnosis accuracy of CRBSI, which was in line with the
previous study [35].

Indeed, there are some limitations in this study. The
patients included in this study were those who suffered from
burn injury due to sudden onset of explosion that was
uncontrolled. Besides, this study was not a randomized
control study.

In summary, PCT and NT-proBNP combination
improves the diagnosis of CRBSI. PCT and NT-proBNP
may be alternative candidates for the potential prediction
of CRBSI in patients with severe injury.

Data Availability

All the data were available upon appropriate request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest
regarding the publication of this paper.
**Acknowledgments**

The study was supported by a project of Health Department of Government of Jiangsu Province (H201466), a project of Suzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (Lczx201415), and the Suzhou Key Medicine Project Fund of China (no. Szxk201504). This study is also funded by a project of Suzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau, and the Suzhou Key Medicine Project Fund of the Suzhou Government of Jiangsu Province (H201466), a project of Suzhou Key Medicine Project Fund of China (no. Szxk201504). This study is also funded by a project of the Emergency Medicine Branch of the Jiangsu Medical Association (2015) ZKY04.

**References**

[1] L. Seoane, S. Pertega, R. Galeiras, I. Astola, and T. Bouza, “Procalcitonin in the burn unit and the diagnosis of infection,” *Burns*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 223–229, 2014.

[2] E. A. Mann, M. M. Baun, J. C. Meininger, and C. E. Wade, “Comparison of mortality associated with sepsis in the burn, trauma, and general intensive care unit patient: a systematic review of the literature,” *Shock*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 4–16, 2012.

[3] L. C. D’Avignon, B. K. Hogan, C. K. Murray et al., “Contribution of bacterial and viral infections to attributable mortality in patients with severe burns: an autopsy series,” *Burns*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 773–779, 2010.

[4] M. Barati, F. Alinejad, M. A. Bahar et al., “Comparison of WBC, ESR, CRP and PCT serum levels in septic and non-septic burn cases,” *Burns*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 770–774, 2008.

[5] B. M. Tang, G. D. Eslick, J. C. Craig, and A. S. McLean, “Accuracy of procalcitonin for sepsis diagnosis in critically ill patients: systematic review and meta-analysis,” *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 210–217, 2007.

[6] J. D. Paratz, J. Lipman, R. J. Boots, M. J. Muller, and D. L. Paterson, “A new marker of sepsis post burn injury?,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 2029–2036, 2014.

[7] A. Lavrentieva, S. Papadopoulo, J. Kiounis, E. Kaimakamis, and M. Bitzani, “PCT as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in burn patients. Whether time course has a role in monitoring sepsis treatment,” *Burns*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 356–363, 2012.

[8] J. Chen, Y. Wang, Z. Shen, Z. Zhu, Y. Song, and R. Han, “Early diagnostic value of plasma PCT and BG assay for CRBSI after OLT,” *Transplantation Proceedings*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 1777–1779, 2011.

[9] P. Schuetz, B. Mueller, and A. Trampuz, “Serum procalcitonin for discrimination of blood contamination from bloodstream infection due to coagulase-negative staphylococci,” *Infection*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 352–355, 2007.

[10] V. P. Theodorou, V. E. Papaoannou, G. A. Tripianis et al., “Procalcitonin and procalcitonin kinetics for diagnosis and prognosis of intravascular catheter-related bloodstream infections in selected critically ill patients: a prospective observational study,” *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 247, 2012.

[11] F. Wang, Y. Wu, L. Tang et al., “Brain natriuretic peptide for prediction of mortality in patients with sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Critical Care*, vol. 16, no. 3, article R74, 2012.

[12] N. Li, Y. Zhang, S. Fan, J. Xing, and H. Liu, “BNP and NT-proBNP levels in patients with sepsis,” *Frontiers in Bioscience*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1237–1243, 2013.

[13] Third Military Medical University burn prevention research collaboration group, *Burn Therapy Beijing People’s Medical Publishing House*, pp. 164–165, Burn Prevention and Cure Research Collaboration Group of Third Military Medical University, 1977.

[14] L. A. Mermel, M. Allon, E. Bouza et al., “Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America,” *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 1–45, 2009.

[15] F. N. Williams, D. N. Herndon, H. K. Hawkins et al., “The leading causes of death after burn injury in a single pediatric burn center,” *Critical Care*, vol. 13, no. 6, article R183, 2009.

[16] R. E. Gilbert and M. Harden, “Effectiveness of impregnated central venous catheters for catheter related blood stream infection: a systematic review,” *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 235–245, 2008.

[17] D. M. Vandjick, N. Brusselaers, and S. I. Blot, “Inflammatory markers in patients with severe burn injury: what is the best indicator of sepsis?,” *Burns*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 939–940, 2007.

[18] C. K. Murray, R. M. Hoffmaster, D. R. Schmit et al., “Evaluation of white blood cell count, neutrophil percentage, and elevated temperature as predictors of bloodstream infection in burn patients,” *Archives of Surgery*, vol. 142, no. 7, pp. 639–642, 2007.

[19] A. Keen, L. Knoblock, L. Edelman, and J. Saffle, “Effective limitation of blood culture use in the burn unit,” *The Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 183–189, 2002.

[20] C. Gabay and I. Kushner, “Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 340, no. 6, pp. 448–454, 1999.

[21] M. G. Jeschke, C. C. Finnerty, G. A. Kulp, R. Kraft, and D. N. Herndon, “Can we use C-reactive protein levels to predict severe infection or sepsis in severely burned patients?,” *International Journal of Burns and Trauma*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 137–143, 2013.

[22] S. Delerme, C. Chenevier-Gobeaux, B. Doumenc, and P. Ray, “Usefulness of B natriuretic peptides and procalcitonin in emergency medicine,” *Biomarker Insights*, vol. 3, pp. 203–217, 2008.

[23] H. D. Spaan, S. Hachimi-Idrissi, L. Corne, and L. P. Huyghens, “Diagnostic markers of sepsis in the emergency department,” *Acta Clinica Belgica*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 138–142, 2006.

[24] D. von Heimburg, W. Stieghorst, R. Khorraram-Sefat, and N. Pallua, “Procalcitonin—a sepsis parameter in severe burn injuries,” *Burns*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 745–750, 1998.

[25] C. Sachse, H. G. Machens, G. Felmerer, A. Berger, and E. Henkel, “Procalcitonin as a marker for the early diagnosis of severe infection after thermal injury,” *The Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 354–360, 1999.

[26] E. P. Rivers, J. McCord, R. Otero, G. Jacobsen, and M. Loomba, “Clinical utility of B-type natriuretic peptide in early severe sepsis and septic shock,” *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 363–373, 2007.

[27] M. G. Nicholls, J. G. Lainchbury, A. M. Richards, R. W. Troughton, and T. G. Yandle, “Brain natriuretic peptide-guided therapy for heart failure,” *Annals of Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 422–427, 2001.
[28] M. Hur, H. Kim, S. Lee et al., “Diagnostic and prognostic utilities of multimarkers approach using procalcitonin, B-type natriuretic peptide, and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in critically ill patients with suspected sepsis,” BMC Infectious Diseases, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 224, 2014.

[29] A. S. Omar, M. Ur Rahman, G. S. Dhatt, G. O. Salami, and S. Abuhasna, “Dynamics of brain natriuretic peptide in critically ill patients with severe sepsis and septic shock,” Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 270–276, 2013.

[30] A. Rudiger, S. Gasser, M. Fischler, T. Hornemann, E. A. Von, and M. Maggiorini, “Comparable increase of b-type natriuretic peptide and amino-terminal pro-b-type natriuretic peptide levels in patients with severe sepsis, septic shock, and acute heart failure,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 2140–2144, 2006.

[31] A. S. McLean, S. J. Huang, S. Hyams et al., “Prognostic values of B-type natriuretic peptide in severe sepsis and septic shock,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1019–1026, 2007.

[32] J. Papanikolaou, D. Makris, M. Mpaka, E. Palli, P. Zygoulis, and E. Zakynthinos, “New insights into the mechanisms involved in B-type natriuretic peptide elevation and its prognostic value in septic patients,” Critical Care, vol. 18, no. 3, article R94, 2014.

[33] A. S. McLean and S. J. Huang, “Brain not processing: is finding a role for BNP in sepsis like fitting a square peg into a round hole?,” Critical Care, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 161, 2014.

[34] R. Pirracchio, N. Deye, A. C. Lukaszewicz et al., “Impaired plasma B-type natriuretic peptide clearance in human septic shock,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 2542–2546, 2008.

[35] S. Angeletti, S. Spoto, M. Fogolari et al., “Diagnostic and prognostic role of procalcitonin (PCT) and MR-pro-adrenomedullin (MR-proADM) in bacterial infections,” APMIS, vol. 123, no. 9, pp. 740–748, 2015.