Lactobacillus plantarum CCFM1143 Alleviates Chronic Diarrhea via Inflammation Regulation and Gut Microbiota Modulation: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study
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Irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea and functional diarrhea are both functional bowel disorders that cause chronic diarrhea. Chronic diarrhea is closely related to daily life and the psychological condition of diarrhea in patients, and probiotics can play a significant role in alleviating chronic diarrhea in some research. Lactobacillus plantarum CCFM1143 can relieve diarrhea in mice caused by enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC); however, its clinical effects remain unclear. This study aimed to assess the effects of CCFM1143 as a therapy for chronic diarrhea patients. Fifty-five patients with chronic diarrhea were randomly assigned into the probiotic group (n = 28) and the placebo group (n = 27), receiving the routine regimen with or without probiotics for 4 weeks, respectively. CCFM1143 can mitigate the apparent clinical symptoms and improve the health status and quality of life of patients. In addition, it could inhibit the increase in interleukin 6 (IL-6) and the decrease in motilin; modulate the short-chain fatty acids, especially acetic and propionic acids; and regulate the gut microbiota, particularly reducing the abundance of Bacteroides and Eggerthella and enriching the abundance of Akkermansia, Anaerostipes, and Terrisporobacter. In addition, treatment with probiotics showed clinical effectiveness in managing chronic diarrhea when compared with the placebo group. The findings could help to develop and further the application of probiotics for chronic diarrhea.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic diarrhea is a complex and common problem faced by primary care clinicians. Its prevalence worldwide is estimated to be 3%–20% (1). It also has a significant negative impact on the health-related quality of life, causes high healthcare utilization, and increases the economic burden (2). Generally, chronic diarrhea lasts longer than 28 days, and in addition to the duration of symptoms, it tends to occur without a clear onset (1). Stool frequency (more than two bowel movements per day) and/or stool consistency (loose or watery stools) are the common criteria to define diarrhea (3). Recent literature has recommended using abnormal stool consistency rather than stool frequency, as it correlates best with the objective measures of whole gut transit time (4).

Irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea (IBS-D) and functional diarrhea (FD) are the most common causes of chronic diarrhea in western populations (5) and are attributed to functional bowel disorder. Previous studies have combined the incidences of IBS-D and functional diarrhea to report the prevalence of chronic diarrhea in the general population (6), but the underlying pathophysiology remains poorly understood. According to Roman standards, the main difference in the symptoms between FD and IBS-D is the presence of abdominal pain (4). It is particularly challenging when diarrhea becomes chronic and therefore less likely to resolve spontaneously.

IBS-D and FD are common diseases accompanied by disturbances in the intestinal function. Some studies have reported that probiotics could improve IBS symptoms in IBS-D patients, which include Lactobacillus brevis KB290 and β-carotene (7) and a combination of Lactobacillus acidophilus CL1285, Lactobacillus casei LBC80R, and Lactobacillus rhamnosus CLR2 (8). In addition, Bifidobacterium infantis Y1 and Bifidobacterium breve Y8 ameliorated the clinical symptoms and changed the diversity and composition of the gut microbiota in chronic diarrhea patients (9). Probiotics, including Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, were favored over placebo for their effects on the overall symptoms of IBS (10, 11). However, some studies have reported contrasting effects, which may be related to strain-specific properties and a change in the host itself (12). Since the prevalence and clinical picture of IBS show great variations between race and ethnicity, differences in the gut microbiota between individuals might also exert a role in IBS (13). Although imbalance in the gut microbiota is a possible mechanism driving the development of IBS, a lot of previous research focused on the clinical outcomes. Thus, extensive clinical studies are still required to elucidate the role the gut microbiota play in the development of chronic diarrhea and to design specialized therapy for patients. Previous studies have shown that Lactobacillus plantarum CCFM1143 can relieve diarrhea caused by enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) (14). In this study, chronic diarrhea patients were assigned into a probiotic group and a placebo group to evaluate the efficacy of L. plantarum CCFM1143 in relieving chronic diarrhea, which will provide not only new evidence for probiotics as an alternative therapy to alleviate chronic diarrhea but also a foundation of knowledge for exploring the mechanism of probiotic treatment in alleviating chronic diarrhea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Ninety patients were randomly assigned to the probiotic and placebo groups (Figure 1). The inclusion criteria for patients with diarrhea were as follows: 1) males and females 18–65 years old; 2) Rome IV standard was used as the diagnostic criterion. The frequency of defecation is greater than or equal to three times/day. According to the Bristol Stool Scale, normal stool of types 3 and 4 are used as a reference. Types 5, 6, and 7 can be regarded as diarrhea, as shown in Table 1. 3) Not using any drugs that can affect gastrointestinal motility in the past weeks; 4) the subjects or their guardians have signed an informed consent and have good compliance; and 5) routine blood, urine, and stool tests before treatment and liver and kidney function tests are all within the normal range. The exclusion criteria were pregnancy, drug abuse, alcohol addiction, and any immunodeficiency or organic disease. Participants who had taken medications within 4 weeks prior to this trial were excluded.

Clinical Trial Design

During the study period, volunteers need to record the consumption of probiotic preparations and emergency medicines, as well as information related to defecation, and record the frequency of diarrhea, stool consistency (Bristol Stool Form Scale, BSFS), pain, and abdominal distension. Patients with diarrhea were divided into two large groups, namely, the L. plantarum CCFM1143 intervention group [3.52 × 10⁹ colony forming units (CFU)/day] and the placebo (maltodextrin) group. Probiotics can be taken directly, mixed with warm water (not exceeding 37°C) or mixed with fresh milk (yogurt or lactic acid bacteria products are not recommended), and taken 30 min after meals, once a day, for a period of 30 days. Stool collection was carried out in the fifth week and related indicators were tested. The experimental preparation period was 1 week and the experimental process was 4 weeks, with a total duration of 5 weeks. The patients were recruited through the Yancheng Tinghu District People’s Hospital (Yancheng, Jiangsu Province, China). The trial was approved by the Ethnic Committee of the hospital (no. ET2020088).

Assessment of Clinical Outcomes

Patients with diarrhea underwent routine physical examinations at the first visit and in the fourth week of follow-up visits. The characteristics examined included height, weight, blood pressure, blood glucose, blood lipids, four blood routines, urine routine, stool routine, and liver function. The defecation frequency and stool status were also recorded to evaluate the relieving effect. At the same time, abdominal symptoms [we refer to the Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System (IBS-SSS) for the evaluation of IBS severity] and daily life points [we refer to the diarrhea section of the Irritable Bowel Syndrome Quality of Life
(IBS-QOL) table) were scored (15, 16). Stool satisfaction, the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) diarrhea mood score, and the SF-36 overall health score were calculated (17). A lower score means an improvement in the quality of life of patients with diarrhea.

**Neurobiological Factors, Cytokines, and MTL Evaluation**

Serum was provided by The Tinghu People’s Hospital, Yancheng, and the commercialized kits purchased from Wuhan Elabscience Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Wuhan, China) were used to determine
tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), motilin (MTL), and vasoactive intestine peptide (VIP) and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Determination of SCFAs**

Briefly, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) were extracted using a previous method, with some modifications (18). A known mass of feces (50–100 mg) was weighed directly into sterile tubes, followed by soaking in 500 μl of saturated NaCl solution for 30 min. The soaked matter was homogenized and acidified with 40 μl of 10% sulfuric acid. One milliliter of ether was added to the acidified homogenates and vortexed before centrifugation at 18,000 × g at 4°C for 15 min. Of the supernatants, 500 μl was carefully filtered through a 0.22-μm pore filter and transferred into a gas phase vial for gas chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis.

**High-Throughput Sequencing of the Gut Microbiota**

Metagenomic DNA from the fecal samples was obtained using a FastDNA Spin Kit for Feces (cat. no. 6570200, MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was PCR amplified from microbial genomic DNA (forward primer, 5′-AYT GGG YDT AAA GNG-3′; reverse primer, 5′-TAC NVG GGT ATC TAA TTC-3′) as described previously (19). The sequencing data obtained from the Illumina MiSeq PE300 platform was processed using QIIME 2 (20). Then, Marker Data Profiling (https://www.microbiomeanalyst.ca/) was used for alpha diversity (Chao1 and Shannon indexes) and beta diversity analyses, in which analysis of the beta diversity was based on non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis. Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) was performed online (http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were processed with GraphPad Prism and SPSS and displayed as the mean ± SEM of each group. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to analyze statistical significance. A p < 0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference.

**RESULTS**

**L. plantarum CCFM1143 Improved the Apparent Symptoms in Chronic Diarrhea Patients**

The basic characteristics of patients with chronic diarrhea are shown in Table 2. The body mass index (BMI) values of the two groups were mostly concentrated in the range of normal (18.5–22.9 kg/m²) and overweight (23.0–27.9 kg/m²), which were roughly the same.

There was no significant difference in the blood pressure, blood routine, serum biochemistry, and urine routine (baseline) of the enrolled diarrhea patients in the placebo and L. plantarum CCFM1143 groups (before intervention, Placebo-B, CCFM1143-B; after intervention, placebo-A, CCFM1143-A). The routine occult blood test of stool was negative and the microscopic examination was normal, indicating that there was no serious inflammatory disease. After the 4-week intervention, there was no significant difference between the placebo and L. plantarum CCFM1143 groups in those indicators. L. plantarum CCFM1143 showed no effects on the blood pressure, blood routine, serum biochemistry, and urine routine of patients with diarrhea (Table 3).

Analysis of the visual indicators in patients with diarrhea showed that there was no significant difference between the placebo group and the L. plantarum CCFM1143 group at baseline (0 week) in bowel frequency and stool consistency (Bristol score) (Table 4). After the 4-week intervention, the placebo group had no improvement in defecation frequency and stool consistency, while the defecation frequency and Bristol score of patients in the L. plantarum CCFM1143 group have been significantly reduced, indicating that the chronic diarrhea symptoms had been partially relieved. The abdominal symptom score, daily life score, SF-36 diarrhea mood score, and SF-36 diarrhea overall health score of the placebo group and the CCFM1143-treated group showed no significant difference before and after intervention. Moreover, reductions of the abdominal symptom score, daily life score, and SF-36 diarrhea overall health score were higher in the L. plantarum CCFM1143 group than those of the placebo group, but were not significant.

**Effect of L. plantarum CCFM1143 on Neurobiological Factors, Cytokines, and MTL in Chronic Diarrhea Patients**

To further explore the effect of L. plantarum CCFM1143 on the immune response, intestinal motility, and nerve-related indicators of patients with diarrhea, human serum TNF-α, IL-6, MTL, 5-HT, and VIP were measured. The results showed that, at baseline (before intervention), there was no significant difference in TNF-α, IL-6, MTL, 5-HT, and VIP between the placebo and L. plantarum CCFM1143 groups (Figure 2). After 4 weeks of intervention, in the placebo group, IL-6 was significantly increased (p < 0.05), the MTL level was

| Group              | Male-to-female ratio | Age (years) | Height (cm) | Weight (kg) | BMI (kg/m²) |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                    |                      |             |             |             | Normal | Overweight | Obese |
| Placebo            | 10:17                | 47.37 ± 12.77 | 165.15 ± 6.53 | 64.48 ± 9.86 | 12 | 14 | 1 |
| L. plantarum CCFM1143 | 8:20                | 52.68 ± 9.1 | 163.58 ± 7.4 | 64.23 ± 10.8 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
significantly decreased, VIP had a rising trend but was not significant, and TNF-α and 5-HT were not modified. However, after the intervention with *L. plantarum* CCFM1143, TNF-α, IL-6, MTL, and 5-HT all showed a tendency to decrease and had a certain inhibitory effect, although there was no significant difference, and VIP was affected at a certain extent before and after the intervention (Figure 2). Although *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 had no significant effect on MTL regulation, placebo treatment significantly reduced the MTL level, which also reflected the effectiveness of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on MTL regulation (Figure 2).

### Effect of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on Fecal SCFAs in Chronic Diarrhea Patients
In order to explore the effect of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on SCFAs in chronic diarrhea patients, GC-MS analysis was performed on human stool samples. After 4 weeks of intervention, there were no significant changes in acetic acid, propionic acid, isobutyric acid, and butyric acid in the placebo group. It is worth noting that *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 had a significant increase in the contents of acetic acid and propionic acid, but had no significant effect on isobutyric acid and butyric acid (Figure 3).

### TABLE 3 | Information on the routine physical examination for patients with diarrhea.

| Item                        | Placebo-B   | Placebo-A   | CCFM1143-B | CCFM1143-A |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Blood pressure              |             |             |            |            |
| Systolic                    | 118.75 ± 12.03 | 115.48 ± 10.57 | 120.46 ± 11.89 | 120.2 ± 8.82 |
| Diastolic                   | 75.74 ± 9.69  | 75.35 ± 9.17  | 76.75 ± 8.71  | 76.2 ± 7.89  |
| Blood routine               |             |             |            |            |
| Red blood cell              | 4.68 ± 0.5   | 4.52 ± 0.47  | 4.51 ± 0.35  | 4.52 ± 0.29  |
| Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration | 136.93 ± 23.89 | 135.31 ± 15.79 | 137.96 ± 11.88 | 139.54 ± 12.17 |
| Platelet                    | 190.48 ± 47.32 | 202.04 ± 40.89 | 236.29 ± 51.48 | 224.48 ± 99.28 |
| White blood cell            |             |             |            |            |
| Serum biochemistry          |             |             |            |            |
| Alkaline phosphate (Aphi)   | 67.38 ± 19.88 | 68.42 ± 21.55 | 62.54 ± 13.48 | 71.82 ± 14.95 |
| Alanine aminotransferase (At) | 32.62 ± 20.62 | 23.54 ± 12.9 | 22.64 ± 9.73  | 29.88 ± 17.86 |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (As) | 24.52 ± 6.42  | 22.38 ± 6.12  | 25.15 ± 14.29 | 25.79 ± 13.14 |
| Total bilirubin (Bili)      | 17.31 ± 6.68  | 14.47 ± 4.52  | 15.84 ± 5.32  | 15.83 ± 5.83  |
| Urea (Bun)                  | 5.05 ± 1.37   | 4.86 ± 1.01   | 5.75 ± 1.18   | 5.38 ± 1.23   |
| Creatinine (Cr)             | 68.59 ± 12.72 | 68.79 ± 16.38 | 60.05 ± 11.64 | 59.28 ± 12.4  |
| Uric acid (Ua)              | 352.78 ± 108.91 | 321.04 ± 79.59 | 299.42 ± 60.55 | 291.57 ± 65.11 |
| Glucose (Glu)               | 5.82 ± 1.55   | 5.84 ± 1.59   | 5.28 ± 1     | 5.54 ± 1.62   |
| Total cholesterol (Cho)     | 4.43 ± 0.73   | 4.54 ± 1.09   | 5.2 ± 0.99   | 5.2 ± 1.09   |
| Triglyceride (Tg)           | 1.7 ± 0.89    | 1.89 ± 1.19   | 1.33 ± 0.45  | 1.63 ± 0.73   |
| High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (hdl) | 1.16 ± 0.24  | 1.13 ± 0.19  | 1.44 ± 0.19  | 1.42 ± 0.29   |
| Low-density lipoprotein (ldl) | 2.59 ± 0.71   | 2.72 ± 0.79   | 3.15 ± 0.85  | 3.03 ± 0.71   |
| High-sensitivity C-reactive protein | 3.47 ± 2.93  | 3.91 ± 2.71  | 2.8 ± 1.87   | 3.33 ± 3.69   |
| Urine routine               |             |             |            |            |
| Urine sugar                 | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Urine bilirubin (bili)      | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Ketones (ket)               | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Proportion (sg)             | 1.02 ± 0.003  | 1.02 ± 0.002  | 1.02 ± 0.01  | 1.02 ± 0.01  |
| pH value (pH)               | 6.08 ± 0.41  | 6.1 ± 0.57   | 5.76 ± 0.89  | 6.06 ± 0.91  |
| Urine protein (pro)         | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Urobilinogen (ubg)          | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Nitrite (nit)               | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Leukocyte esterase (leu)    | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Urine color                 | Yellow       | Yellow       | Light yellow | Yellow      |
| Clarity                     | Transparent  | Transparent  | Transparent | Transparent |
| Stool routine               |             |             |            |            |
| Occult blood                | Negative     | Negative     | Negative    | Negative    |
| Microscopic examination of white blood cells | No abnormality | No abnormality | No abnormality | No abnormality |
| Microscopic examination of red blood cells | No abnormality | No abnormality | No abnormality | No abnormality |

### TABLE 4 | Relief scores of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143.

| Index                        | Placebo 0 week | Placebo 4 weeks | Effect  | p     | L. plantarum CCFM1143 0 week | L. plantarum CCFM1143 4 weeks | Effect  | p     |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Bowel frequency             | 3.48 ± 0.51   | 3.22 ± 0.51    | −0.26   | 0.073 | 3.25 ± 0.57                | 2.93 ± 0.53                   | −0.32   | 0.044 |
| Stool consistency           | 5.60 ± 0.89   | 5.26 ± 1.19    | −0.34   | 0.758 | 5.68 ± 0.66                | 5.28 ± 0.84                   | −0.40   | 0.041 |
| Abdominal symptom score     | 4.15 ± 1.66   | 4.29 ± 1.59    | 0.14    | 0.953 | 5.18 ± 2.22                | 4.54 ± 2.15                   | −0.64   | 0.350 |
| Daily life score            | 31.93 ± 10.76 | 33.00 ± 12.73  | 1.07    | 0.946 | 37.14 ± 14.97              | 32.93 ± 15.18                 | −4.21   | 0.266 |
| Stool satisfaction          | 2.41 ± 0.79   | 2.29 ± 0.67    | −0.12   | 0.664 | 2.96 ± 0.94                | 2.50 ± 1.02                   | −0.46   | 0.113 |
| SF-36 diarrhea mood score   | 13.70 ± 2.83  | 16.23 ± 4.92   | 2.53    | 0.384 | 14.64 ± 2.78               | 14.04 ± 2.92                  | −0.60   | 0.764 |
| SF-36 diarrhea overall health score | 10.33 ± 2.96 | 10.70 ± 2.45   | 0.37    | 0.927 | 12.14 ± 1.73               | 11.29 ± 2.52                  | −0.85   | 0.861 |

---
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Modulation of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on Gut Microbiota in Chronic Diarrhea Patients

In order to evaluate the influence of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on the diversity of the gut microbiota in chronic diarrhea patients, the Chao1 and Shannon indexes were used to evaluate the alpha diversity and NMDS analysis was used to analyze the beta diversity of the gut microbiota. Chronic diarrhea patients in the placebo and *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 groups did not show a significant change in the diversity of the gut microbiota before and after the intervention (Figure 4A). There was no significant change in the beta diversity of the gut microbiota in the placebo group before and after the intervention, while the beta diversity of the gut microbiota in the *L. plantarum* CCFM1143-treated patients before and after the intervention was changed significantly, indicating that after 4 weeks of continuous intervention, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 changed the diversity of gut microbiota in chronic diarrhea patients (Figures 4B, C).

In order to further analyze the influence of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on the profiles of the gut microbiota in patients with chronic diarrhea, we examined the phylum abundance. The results showed that the four main phyla in the gut microbiota of patients with chronic diarrhea were Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Actinomycota, among which Firmicutes had the highest proportion, while Proteobacteria had a higher relative abundance in chronic diarrhea patients than in healthy subjects (Figure 5A). The placebo group had no significant effect on these four phyla after the intervention, which was consistent with the placebo group having no change in the gut microbiota diversity after the intervention. Moreover, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 treatment significantly reduced the abundance of Bacteroidetes. In addition, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 had no significant effect on Proteobacteria and Actinomycetes. Moreover, the Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio in the CCFM1143 treatment showed an upward trend, while that in the placebo group showed no significant difference (Figure 5B).
In order to further explore the influence of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on the changes of the intestinal genera in patients with chronic diarrhea, LEfSe was used to analyze the abundance of genera in order to determine the statistically significant biomarkers. The results showed that the different genera in the placebo group before intervention were *Fusicatenibacter* and *Lactococcus*, while those of the *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 group were the *Ruminococcus torques* group, *Bacteroides*, *Anaerostipes*, *Lachnoclostridium*, *Lachnospira*, *Lachnospiraceae* UCG-004, and *IntestinibACTER*. After intervention, the different genera of the placebo group were *Coprobacillus*, *Eisenbergiella*, and *Escherichia–Shigella*, while the different genera in the *L. plantarum* CCFM1143-treated group were *Eggerthella*, *Odoribacter*, *Terrisporobacter*, *Akkermansia*, and *Escherichia–Shigella* (Figures 6A, B). Further analysis of the relative abundance of the different genera between the placebo and *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 groups showed that placebo treatment insignificantly decreased *Escherichia–Shigella*, and the adjustment changes to the other genera were small. However, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 administration caused relatively rich variations of the different microbes, in which it significantly reduced the relative abundance of *Bacteroides*, *Eggerthella*, *Lachnoclostridium*, and *Lachnospira* and increased the relative abundance of *Akkermansia*, *Anaerostipes*, *Terrisporobacter*, and *Escherichia–Shigella*. Before and after the intervention, the relative abundance of *Escherichia–Shigella* in the placebo group increased by 7.24%, while that in the *L. plantarum* CCFM1143-treated group increased by 1.47%, which was a significant reduction compared with that in placebo treatment (Figures 6C, D).

**DISCUSSION**

IBS-D and FD are common chronic diarrhea diseases, and variations in the gut microbiota among individuals have been considered a possible cause (21). Probiotics have shown the potential to alleviate the clinical symptoms of chronic diarrhea (22, 23). The purpose of this study was to explore the relieving effect of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on the symptoms of chronic diarrhea. Thus, a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial was designed and performed, which showed effects of improvement in the quality of life and mental state, regulation of the immune responses, modulation of SCFAs, and alleviation of gut microbiota dysbiosis.

A BMI between 18.5 and 22.9 kg/m² is considered normal, between 23.0 and 27.9 kg/m² is the overweight range, and a BMI ≥ 28 kg/m² denotes obesity (6). Before and after the intervention, the routine physical examination indicators were not changed significantly in chronic diarrhea patients. However, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 treatment significantly decreased the defecation frequency and Bristol stool score. It was shown that the abdominal distension, defecation frequency, and stool abnormality rate of IBS-D patients treated with a multi-strain...
A probiotic formulation were significantly lower than those before treatment (8), which was consistent with our current results. The mixture of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium could improve the quality of life of IBS-D patients (24). Lactobacillus gasseri significantly improved the diarrhea symptoms in patients with IBS-D, such as abdominal pain, bloating, daily routine, and average bowel frequency (25). Meanwhile, B. infantis M-63 effectively improved the symptoms, quality of life, and the SF-36 score in patients with IBS after 3 months of intervention. However, L. plantarum CCFM1143 treatment showed no significant effect on the quality of life and stool satisfaction. L. plantarum CCFM1143 played a certain role in improving the symptoms of diarrhea, and no adverse events occurred during the trial period and after the follow-up; hence, the use of L. plantarum CCFM1143 as a dietary intervention may be a safe and effective alternative strategy to relieve chronic diarrhea.

It is well known that inflammation in patients with diarrhea is high. Intervention with Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus for 4 weeks in patients with atopic dermatitis did not significantly relieve the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the serum (26), and the results were similar to those of the current study, which may be related to the short probiotic intervention time and to uncontrollable factors such as patients. The significant increase in the level of IL-6 in the current placebo group indicated that L. plantarum CCFM1143 intervention had a better regulation on IL-6. A previous report showed that the serum IL-6 and TNF-α levels in patients with IBS-D were significantly lower after taking Bifidobacterium longum ES1 compared with those before treatment (27), but it should be noted that these patients took B. longum ES1 for 8 or 12 weeks. Therefore, the reduction of IL-6 in patients with chronic diarrhea by L. plantarum CCFM1143 treatment was not significant, which may be related to the number of viable Lactobacillus taken and the duration of treatment. MTL is an excitatory gastrointestinal hormone with a main physiological function of affecting gastrointestinal motility (28). In the study, administration of L. plantarum CCFM1143 inhibited the decrease of MTL compared with the placebo group. Bifidobacterium trifecta viable capsules could significantly improve the gastrointestinal hormones in patients with IBS-constipation (IBS-C) and alleviate their clinical symptoms (29). 5-HT is an important neurotransmitter in the brain–gut axis and is one of the key mediators of intestinal motility, secretion, and sensation and can also induce high sensitivity of the visceral afferent nerve and the intestinal
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nervous system, resulting in abdominal pain, abdominal distension, and other symptoms. 5-HT has always been the subject of interest in the evaluation of the pathophysiological mechanism of IBS (30). The level of 5-HT in patients with IBS-C was significantly lower than that before probiotics intervention (29). However, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143-treated patients showed insignificant decreases in the levels of 5-HT. VIP is distributed in the nervous system and the gastrointestinal tract and is involved in the regulation process of neurosecretion and relaxation of the gastrointestinal smooth muscle. In addition, VIP is an inhibitory gastrointestinal hormone that can directly cause lower esophageal sphincter (LES) relaxation, which is the main cause of gastroesophageal reflux disease (31). It has been reported that VIP is associated with watery diarrhea syndrome (32). Although *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 treatment showed no significant effect on MTL regulation, the MTL level in the placebo group was significantly reduced, which also reflected the effectiveness of *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 on MTL regulation. In general, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 can help regulate the immune response and inhibit the increase of IL-6 and the decrease of MTL in patients with diarrhea, which has a certain correlation with the decrease of the diarrhea-related scores.

An earlier report showed that *L. casei* increased the contents of SCFAs when alleviating antibiotic-related diarrhea, and the intake of probiotics and dietary fiber would affect the composition of the gut microbiota, thereby promoting the utilization of SCFAs (33). *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 intervention significantly increased the contents of acetic acid and propionic acid. The increase of SCFAs such as propionic acid can contribute to the host immune response (34). SCFAs (particularly acetate, propionate, and butyrate) could alleviate TNF-α- or lipopolysaccharide-induced endothelial activation by inhibiting the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6) (35). In summary, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 can alleviate diarrhea symptoms and modulate inflammation mainly related to the production of SCFAs. This was similar to a previous result showing that *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 increased the contents of acetic acid and propionic acid in ETEC-infected diarrhea mice (14).

Probiotics could change the composition of the gut microbiota in patients with IBS-D or FD clinically. It has been found that changes of the gut microbiota may be related to the improvement of the clinical characteristics (9), which is somewhat similar to the current results. In general, the gut microbiota of healthy people is composed of four main bacterial phyla, namely, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetes, and Proteobacteria, of which Proteobacteria are usually less than 1%. In addition, it has been proven that Bacteroidetes is closely related to the occurrence of human diarrhea (36); the F/B ratio was often related to the occurrence of diseases. With a lower F/B ratio, the risk of disease occurrence was greater (37). In general, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 treatment can significantly reduce the abundance of Bacteroidetes and increase the abundance of Firmicutes to restore the gut microbiota. A previous study that performed phylogenetic analysis showed that 16 butyric acid producers isolated from the cecum of chickens were associated with four different lineages in Firmicutes (38). It is known that exogenous harmful substances activated the NLRP3 inflammasomes through *Escherichia–Shigella*, thereby inducing lung tissue damage in broilers (39). Among them, *Escherichia* was known to increase the risk of pathogenic invasion and has the potential...
to result in severe invasive infections (40). *Bacteroides* has been proven to be the cause of diarrheal diseases (41); however, *Akkermansia* can reduce inflammation (42). For instance, *L. plantarum* CCFM8610 could increase the relative abundance of *Anaerostipes* when alleviating IBS-D, which has a strong butyric acid production capacity (43). *Terrisporobacter* played a probiotic role as a beneficial intestinal bacterium (44). These results suggested that the relief of diarrhea by *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 may be related to its regulation of the gut microbiota composition. The mixed bacterial powder of *L. casei* Zhang, *Bifidobacterium animalis* spp. *lactis* V9, and *L. plantarum* P-8 was reported to reduce the relative abundance of *Bacteroides*, *E. coli*, and *Citrobacter* in the intestine of patients with IBS and to increase the relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and *Butyricicoccus*. These gut microbiota changes had a relation with the improvement of the clinical symptoms of IBS (45). Patients with IBS-D consuming IgA-coated bacteria had a more significant increase in the relative abundance of *Escherichia–Shigella* (46). Therefore, it is worth noting that, similar to previous reports, *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 treatment increased the abundance of *Escherichia–Shigella*, which had a close relation with the complicated diet of patients.

### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Generally, the use of probiotics showed clinical effectiveness compared to placebo in managing chronic diarrhea. *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 can significantly alleviate the bowel frequency and Bristol stool score, inhibit the increase in IL-6 and the decrease in MTL, regulate the gut microbiota by reducing the abundance of harmful bacteria and increasing the abundance of beneficial bacteria, and modulate SCFAs. The current results could help further the development and application of functional probiotic products for chronic diarrhea.

---

**FIGURE 6** | Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* CCFM1143 on the different genera of the gut microbiota in patients with diarrhea. (A) Distribution histogram of the placebo group based on linear discriminant analysis (LDA), with a log LDA score above 2.0. Significant taxa were labeled and annotated with tags in the right panel. (B) Distribution histogram of the *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 group based on LDA, with a log LDA score above 2.0. Significant taxa were labeled and annotated with tags in the right panel. (C) Relative abundance of the different microorganisms in the placebo group. (D) Relative abundance of the different microorganisms in the *L. plantarum* CCFM1143 group.
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