TRIGGER MECHANISM OF SOLAR SUBFLARES IN A BRAIDED CORONAL MAGNETIC STRUCTURE
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ABSTRACT

Fine-scale braiding of coronal magnetic loops by continuous footpoint motions may power coronal heating via nanoflares, which are spontaneous fine-scale bursts of internal reconnection. An initial nanoflare may trigger an avalanche of reconnection of the braids, making a microflare or larger subflare. In contrast to this internal triggering of subflares, we observe external triggering of subflares in a braided coronal magnetic field observed by the High-resolution Coronal Imager (Hi-C). We track the development of these subflares using 12 s cadence images acquired by SDO/AIA in 1600, 193, 94 Å, and registered magnetograms of SDO/HMI, over four hours centered on the Hi-C observing time. These data show numerous recurring small-scale brightenings in transition-region emission happening on polarity inversion lines where flux cancellation is occurring. We present in detail an example of an apparent burst of reconnection of two loops in the transition region under the braided coronal field which is appropriate for releasing a short reconnected loop downward and a longer reconnected loop upward. The short loop presumably submerges into the photosphere, participating in observed flux cancellation. A subflare in the overlying braided magnetic field is apparently triggered by the disturbance of the braided field by the reconnection-released upward loop. At least 10 subflares observed in this braided structure appear to be triggered this way. How common this external trigger mechanism for coronal subflares is in other active regions, and how important it is for coronal heating in general, remain to be seen.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The corona, the outer solar atmosphere, is somehow heated to a million Kelvin or more, hundreds of times hotter than the photosphere, the solar surface. Active-region (AR) coronae can be hotter than quiet-Sun (QS) and coronal-hole (CH) coronae by a factor of 4–10 (Zirker 1993). Although several mechanisms have been proposed to account for coronal heating, e.g., wave heating, fine-scale magnetic flare heating, heating by chromospheric and coronal jets and spicules, etc., how the corona is heated remains undetermined (see, e.g., Walsh & Ireland 2003; Aschwanden 2004; Klimchuk 2006; De Pontieu et al. 2007; van Ballegooijen et al. 2011; McIntosh et al. 2011; Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2012; Reale 2014). Some of the more recent observations support a wave heating mechanism for the QS and CH coronae (De Pontieu et al. 2007; McIntosh et al. 2011; Hahn et al. 2012; Hahn & Savin 2013). However, heating due to fine-scale magnetic flares may be a predominant mechanism for AR coronae (see, e.g., the observations of Cirtain et al. 2013; Winebarger et al. 2013; Testa et al. 2013; Brosius et al. 2014).

Nanoflare reconnection in coronal braided magnetic fields is one of the most popularly argued heating mechanisms to account for the observed active-region coronal brightness (Parker 1972, 1983a, 1983b, 1988; Priest et al. 2002; Gudiksen & Nordlund 2005; Klimchuk 2006; Rappazzo et al. 2008; Reep et al. 2013). Continuous random footpoint motion builds up magnetic energy in the coronal field by stressing the field via braiding. Some of the stress energy is released as heat from current dissipation via nanoflare bursts of magnetic reconnection, each releasing \( \lesssim 10^{27} \) erg of magnetic energy. The accumulation of energy in braided loops may also lead to larger internally triggered reconnection events, e.g., microflares or subflares, with free energy release of \( \sim 10^{27} \text{–} 10^{28} \) erg (Svestka 1976; Parker 1988). The events studied in this Letter are subflares with energies of this order, that is, of the order of the energy of a GOES A-class flare (see, e.g., Appendix C of Tiwari et al. 2009).

In this Letter, we examine the triggering of subflares observed in a braided coronal-loop structure. Instead of finding the above mentioned anticipated internal triggering, we present clear evidence of external triggering of many subflares observed in a coronal braided magnetic structure. The first direct observational evidence of braided loops in an AR corona was provided by Cirtain et al. (2013) using high-quality data obtained with the High-resolution Coronal Imager (Hi-C). Launched on a rocket on 2012 July 11, Hi-C provided images of an AR at a spatial resolution of about 150 km and temporal cadence of \( \sim 5 \) s in a narrow wavelength range centered at 193 Å. The braided coronal structure studied in this paper has been corroborated by non-linear force-free field (NLFFF) modeling by Thalmann et al. (2014) using photospheric vector magnetograms.

We investigate the magnetic setting of our coronal subflares by using line-of-sight (LOS) magnetograms obtained by the Helioseismic Magnetic Imager (HMI; Schou et al. 2012). Falconer et al. (1997) and Moore et al. (1999) observed that enhanced coronal heating in ARs involves frequent microflaring, is concentrated in the magnetic field rooted close to polarity inversion lines (PILs) in the photosphere, increases with increasing shear in the field at the PIL, and is modulated by some unknown additional factors. They suggested that a major factor was the rate of flux cancellation at the PIL, reconnection and submergence of short magnetic loops, driven by convective flows (Zwaan 1987), and/or by magnetic tension dominating over magnetic buoyancy (Rabin et al. 1984). However, further investigation of this suggestion has remained elusive due to a lack of high spatial and temporal resolution cospatial photospheric and coronal data. Although flux cancellation on the photosphere has been...
studied separately (see, e.g., Park et al. 2009, for rates of flux cancellation in ARs), this Letter presents new high-resolution observations showing in unprecedented detail the direct correspondence of coronal subflares to underlying small-scale flux cancellation.

2. DATA SETS USED

We used four hours (17:00–21:00 UT) of movies from the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012), in the 1600, 193, and 94 Å channels, and LOS magnetograms from HMI, both on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) spacecraft. This time period covers two hours before and two hours after the ~5 minutes of Hi-C 193 Å observations. The pixel sizes of AIA and HMI are 0.6 and 0.5 arcsec, respectively.

The temporal cadence of each AIA channel is 12 s and that of HMI is 45 s.

The 1600 Å passband on AIA is primarily lower-chromospheric continuum emission, but also covers the two C IV lines near 1550 Å formed at $T \approx 10^5$ K in the lower transition region (TR). The short-term brightenings in the 1600 Å band have been found to be due to these C IV lines, and hence are from the lower TR (Lemen et al. 2012). Thus, the brightening events seen in this band occur low in the magnetic field below the coronal braided structure, and close above the field’s evolving feet observed in the HMI magnetograms.

The AIA 193 Å and 94 Å bands are both predominantly coronal (Lemen et al. 2012). The 193 Å band mostly detects Fe XIX at about 1.5 MK, but also corresponds to TR emission from $2-3 \times 10^5$ K plasma (Del Zanna 2013; Winebarger...
Figure 2. Triggering of a typical coronal subflare in the braided structure, displayed in frames selected from the animation in the online journal. The subflare started at 18:58:18 UT (see bottom right panel) and lasted about 48 s. Figure 1(b) is a later frame during this subflare. The images in each row are at the same time. The two columns on the left are images of AIA 1600 Å. The two columns on the right are HMI BLOS and AIA 193 Å images, respectively. The contours in each row are of the intensity enhancements in 1600 Å, which are not shown in the first column to keep the 1600 Å images clear. The red, green, and maroon colored arcs are to guide the eye and represent short, longer (not as bright), and the resulting even longer upward loop after magnetic reconnection, respectively. The arrows in the bottom row indicate the reconnected loop’s inferred upward motion that triggers the subflare that is starting in the AIA 193 Å bottom right image. A schematic presentation of this triggering event is shown in Figure 4.

et al. (2013). The 94 Å channel is centered on an Fe xvii line (6–8 × 10^6 K), but also detects some line emission from Fe ions formed at ~1 × 10^6 K (Warren et al. 2012; Del Zanna 2013); see also Testa & Reale (2012). There is no known TR contamination in the 94 Å channel. In this Letter, we use AIA 193 and 94 Å movies to show the correspondence of brightening events (coronal subflares) in the braided coronal structure with nearly simultaneous events in the photosphere and lower TR.

In Figure 1(a), we display a Hi-C 193 Å image from Cirtain et al. (2013) that shows the braided coronal structure. We examined the evolution and events in the photosphere, TR, and corona in this field of view for ±2 hr from the Hi-C flight time. We co-registered the images and magnetograms in Figure 1 and in the movie using SolarSoft routines.

3. TRIGGER MECHANISM OF SUBFLARES IN THE BRAIDED CORONAL MAGNETIC STRUCTURE

The braided coronal loop (Figure 1(a)) displayed 17 subflares, identified visually by examining nearly simultaneous intensity enhancements in 193 and 94 Å, in the four hours of our observation period. The triggering of 10 of these coronal subflares was clearer than in the other 7. The 7 other subflares overlapped these 10 either in space and/or time, or were not as clearly triggered as the 10 were. In Figure 1(b), an AIA 193 Å image displays a typical ongoing subflare event (indicated by an arrow). This is the subflare that we will present in detail. An LOS magnetogram near the time of the subflare is shown in Figure 1(c). The magnetic field’s complexity, displayed by the multiple bipolar structures and PILs under the coronal braided structure, is worth noting.

In the animation linked to Figure 2, four panels (three AIA channels 1600, 193, and 94 Å, and an HMI image of nearly same time as the AIA image) are displayed in each frame. The contours in the movie are the same for all panels in each frame, and outline the brightenings in the 1600 Å channel. Note that most of the TR brightenings stand on PILs observed in the HMI images. We identified each coronal subflare by its brightening in the 193 and 94 Å coronal movies. We found 10 coronal subflares that were apparently triggered externally in the manner described later in this section. These 10 subflares are numbered in the light curve plots in Figure 1(d), and the
subflare are given in Table 1. Each subflare’s brightening in the first and last frames in which the subflare is visible (of each row of the Figure 2 outline the intensity enhancements in the coronal region observed by Hi-C and is from the smoothed intensity of the 1600 Å image at 18:58:18 UT. Contour “2” outlines the region where the downward shorter reconnected loop should be submerging in the example subflare. (b) and (c) Plots of the absolute magnetic flux integrated inside these two contours for four hours: the solid trend lines are from 10 minute smoothing; the fluctuations about them are real; their mean deviation is shown by the vertical bar. The arrow in (c) indicates flux cancellation at the time of the example subflare. The three vertical dashed lines are plotted, for reference, at 18:00, 19:00, and 20:00 UT.

Table 1

| Number | Start Time (UT) | End Time (UT) | Duration (minutes) |
|--------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 1      | 17:04:54        | 17:06:06      | 1.2                |
| 2      | 17:29:54        | 17:30:54      | 1.0                |
| 3      | 18:20:06        | 18:20:42      | 0.6                |
| 4      | 18:29:18        | 18:32:42      | 3.4                |
| 5      | 18:58:18        | 18:59:06      | 0.8                |
| 6      | 19:22:30        | 19:24:06      | 1.6                |
| 7      | 19:58:06        | 19:59:54      | 1.8                |
| 8      | 20:16:42        | 20:18:54      | 2.2                |
| 9      | 20:37:06        | 20:38:54      | 1.8                |
| 10     | 20:51:54        | 20:53:18      | 1.4                |

Note. Each subflare’s number (as labeled in the AIA 193 Å movie frame at the peak of each subflare), start time, end time, and duration, all obtained visually from the movie, are listed.

Figure 3. (a) LOS magnetogram with two contours named “1” and “2”. Contour “1” is the same as the dotted contour in Figure 1(b) which roughly outlines the braided coronal structure brightened in the subflare. The footpoint locations of the two 1600 Å loops, presumably causing the onset of the subflare brightening event in the 1600 Å, plausibly made two reconnected loops, one shorter and downward and the other longer and upward. The shorter loop could submerge due to its strong curvature forces, which would be consistent with the flux cancellation seen at that site. The larger loop, released upward, could interact with the overlying braided coronal magnetic structure and thereby trigger the coronal subflare that started there at 18:58:18 UT.

We estimated the available free magnetic energy for this subflare to be $V B^2 / 8 \pi r$, where $V$ is the volume of the part of the coronal braided structure that brightened in the subflare and $B$ is the azimuthal/twist component of the field in the flaring flux rope. Based on the subflare images and the NLFF coronal field computed by Thalmann et al. (2014) using a vector magnetogram of the Hi-C AR, we determine that $V \sim 10^{27} \text{cm}^3$ and $B \sim 100 \text{G}$, which give $\sim 10^{29} \text{erg}$. This amount of free energy is sufficiently ample to produce subflares (Svestka 1976). For the thermal energy, $3 n_k B^2 T V$, of the subflare, using a plasma electron density of $\sim 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3}$ (e.g., Cirtain et al. 2013) and a peak temperature (of 94 Å) of 1–6 MK (e.g., Warren et al. 2012; Testa & Reale 2012; Cirtain et al. 2013), we obtain
∼10^{28} \text{erg}, which is the nominal energy of a large microflare or small subflare (Svestka 1976; Parker 1988).

To demonstrate the magnetic flux cancellation taking place under the braided coronal structure, in Figures 3(b) and (c), we show evolution of the absolute flux inside contours “1” and “2” (labeled in Figure 3(a)), respectively. The bigger contour outlines the whole area of the braided structure and the smaller one outlines the site of the submergence of the short, downward reconnected loop. A general trend of decreasing flux, at a typical rate of flux cancellation in ARs (∼10^{18} \text{Mx} h^{-1}; see, e.g., Park et al. 2009, for measurements by MDI), is obvious for four hours for the bigger contour, suggesting that the enhanced brightenings in the overlying TR and corona are caused by the flux cancellation in the photosphere. However, a nearly constant flux was seen within the larger contour at about the time of our example subflare event. To confirm the flux cancellation in the area of expected loop submergence, in the lower right panel, we plot the absolute flux integrated over the area enclosed by contour “2”. The subflare occurred (arrow) near the end of a prolonged downward trend (solid curve) in the flux at the site of the TR brightening in the subflare onset. This fits our idea of submergence of the small, downward reconnected loop.

Figure 3(c) shows that the absolute flux within contour “2” decreased throughout our four hour observing span, except during two 15–20 minute intervals, starting at about 19:00 UT and about 20:30 UT. In the 1600 Å movie, many sporadic brightenings occur at this site of flux cancellation, and often no coronal subflare is triggered. However, each brightening at this site that was part of the triggering event for a coronal subflare occurred during the decreasing flux within contour “2”. This occurred for subflares 5, 7, and 8.

Ten similarly triggered coronal subflares, bridging one or more PILs in the photosphere, were identified in the four hours of the movie (Table 1). The triggering was similar in that the TR brightened at an underlying flux-cancellation site before (1–4 AIA frames) the coronal subflare started. The observations thus imply that each of these subflares was triggered externally by underlying reconnection accompanying flux cancellation, as in the example presented here.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We observed an external trigger mechanism that initiated at least 10 out of the 17 subflares taking place in the braided magnetic structure in the Hi-C AR corona during the four hours of our observation. We presented in detail one coronal subflare that the observations show was triggered externally, and imply that the triggering was caused by an external reconnection event. In the example presented, this reconnection of the field underlying the braided coronal field would result in a shorter downward and longer upward loop. The shorter loop would submerge, whereas the upward loop would erupt and strike the overlying braided magnetic structure. This interaction plausibly could have triggered the coronal subflare, with an estimated energy of ∼10^{28} \text{erg}, in the coronal braided magnetic structure.

Figures 2 and 3(c) together demonstrate crucial pieces of evidence of the inferred external trigger mechanism. In Figure 4, we draw a sketch of the triggering external magnetic-reconnection event inferred from the observations of our example event, see all panels of Figure 2. The red and green loops in the schematic depict the two low-lying magnetic loops in the beginning of the reconnection. A star marks the location of reconnection in the lower TR. The two resultant loops of the reconnection are shown in the right part of the schematic where the small blue loop submerges into the photosphere and the flux cancellation at this site is seen as indicated in Figure 3(c). The larger maroon reconnected loop springs upward and interacts with the overlying braided structure, thereby triggering the observed subflare in the corona.

As described in the Introduction, it is commonly thought that spontaneous internal nanoflare reconnection in braided coronal fields triggers larger internal reconnection events (avalanches of nanoflares) observed as microflares or subflares (Svestka 1976; Parker 1988). Our observations demonstrate that subflares in braided coronal fields can also be triggered externally, as in the example presented.

The presence of PILs and frequent microflaring at sites of enhanced coronal heating in ARs was observed before by Falconer et al. (1997) and Moore et al. (1999). They proposed that the magnetic flux cancellation at these PILs was a process that could trigger/modulate the heating (rate of microflaring). However, this idea was not verified due to a lack of high-cadence and high-resolution LOS magnetograms. Our high-resolution observations reveal that this process is, in fact, at work.

Our interpretation of the triggering of the fine-scale coronal flaring reported here is corroborated by a recent three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation, although in a different magnetic setting from our case; subflares were triggered externally by the reconnection of nearby emerging magnetic loops of the scale of the loops in our events (Archontis & Hansteen 2014). In the future, similar sophisticated MHD simulations might reproduce the external triggering of subflares in an AR corona, such as those observed here.

In summary, we report new high-resolution observations of an external trigger mechanism of subflares in the braided magnetic structure of the AR corona observed by Hi-C. How common this external trigger mechanism for coronal microflares and subflares is in other ARs, and how important it is for coronal heating in general, remain to be explored by future observations by instruments having the resolution of Hi-C or better.
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