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1. Introduction

Nowadays, energy security and sustainable development are two major challenges encountered by the world. Renewable energy should be studied extensively to explore new technologies and in order to maintain secure energy sources for sustainable development, considering the fact that the energy demand is increasing, depleting fossil fuel reserves, with increasing populations and economic development.

Biomass is one of the most important renewable energy sources and is considered an alternative to fossil fuels. Biomass thermo chemical conversion processes including pyrolysis, combustion, gasification and liquefaction are employed for power generation and production of liquid biofuels, chemicals and charcoal, which can be used as activated carbon. Biomass is mainly composed of carbon; recently this property has been very attractive for the purpose of producing functional carbon materials, which have relevant economic and environmental implications.

Biomass resources include wood from plantation forests, residues from agricultural or forest production, and organic waste by-products from industry, domesticated animals, and human activities. The chemical energy contained in the biomass is derived from solar energy using the process of photosynthesis. This is the process by which plants take in carbon dioxide and water, using energy from sunlight, convert them into sugars, starches, cellulose, lignin etc., and finally oxygen is produced and released.

Pyrolysis of biomass is a promising method for simultaneous production of activated carbon, bio-oil and gaseous fuels and other valuable chemicals, while the almost simultaneous pyrolysis and gasification of the fuel result in formation of solid product with high surface area and well-developed porous structure (Nickolov & Mehandjiev, 1995; Mehandjiev et al., 1997). Pyrolysis is the thermal destruction of organic macromolecules in the absence of oxygen in small molecules. The destructed portion comprises a high energy
content and significant organic content, which leads to the possibility of energy extraction as well as the production of activated carbon and chemicals from biomass (Prakash & Karunanithi, 2008).

As stated in previous chapters, activated carbons are carbonaceous materials with a high surface area and porous structure, sometimes described as solid sponges (Abdel-Nasser & El-Hendawy, 2005). The large surface area results in a high capacity for adsorbing chemicals from gases or liquids. Activated carbons are versatile adsorbents with a wide range of applications such as adsorbents for treatment and purification of water, air as well as various chemical and natural products (Abdel-Nasser & El-Hendawy, 2005; Budinova et al., 2006). The increasing use of activated carbon is due to the necessity of environmentally friendly processes and also for material recovery purposes.

Chapter 1 shows that different types of biomass materials and waste products have been studied for activated carbon production. These precursors include wood (Ahmad et al., 2006), coal (Lozano-Castello et al., 2005), nut shells (Lua et al., 2004), husks (Baquero et al., 2003), and agricultural by-products (Abdel-Nasser & El-Hendawy, 2005; Durán-Valle et al., 2005; Budinova et al., 2006). In addition to the use as an adsorbent, high porosity carbons have been recently applied in the manufacture of high-performance layer capacitors. Because of the introduction of rigorous environmental regulations and the development of new applications, the demand for porous carbons is expected to increase progressively (Sircar et al., 1996). Applications of pyrolysis products have some disadvantages due to the high degree of heterogeneity in their form and composition. Characteristics of these products depend on the operating conditions and the type of biomass used, so it requires more knowledge of the conversion process.

Pyrolysis is discussed here to improve the valorisation of two Mexican typical agricultural wastes for energy and carbon activated production. The product characteristics, their relative proportions in the gas/liquid/solid phases and the process energy requirements depend upon the input material and the process conditions. Therefore, the goal of this chapter is to describe the conversion of waste biomass into activated carbon. Waste biomass like orange peel and pecan nut shell is converted thermally in one step. First, the biomass undergoes a pyrolysis process at 600 °C in nitrogen atmosphere. The gaseous and liquid pyrolysis products were collected as bio-oil, and then they can be used as fuel either for heating the facilities or for electricity production.

2. Experimental method for biomass pyrolysis

Bench scale experiments were carried out in a pyrolysis system with controlled temperature and a semi-batch stainless steel reactor. The schematic diagram of the process is illustrated in Figure 1. The reactor has a volume of three litters, and is externally heated by an electrical furnace. Pyrolysis experiments are normally performed with approximately 400 g of feedstock. The sample was placed inside the reactor and heated at 600 °C for one hour. The gases and vapours generated during pyrolysis pass through a condensation train, which consists of four Pyrex traps. The remaining non-condensable gases are collected and stored in a plastic sampling bag with a valve for future chromatography analysis. Pyrolysis product yields are
determined by weighing the char and bio-oil. Non-condensable gases yield is calculated by the mass difference. Pyrolysis end temperatures were fixed at 600, 700 and 750 °C. All experiments were performed under nitrogen atmosphere using a flow of 60 ml/min.

Figure 1. The schematic diagram of the pyrolysis batch system. T—Thermocouple and P—Pressure transducer.

2.1 Raw material
Two types of biomass were used in the present study. Specifically, pecan nut shell was used as feedstock, obtained from a trading industry of nut located in the city of Torreon, Coahuila in the northeast of México. The orange peel sample was obtained from a juice processing factory in Monterrey, N.L., México. For easy storage and management, the samples were cut into small pieces of an average area of 2 cm² and dried in an air-tunnel at room temperature for the orange peel and in a stove for the case of nut shell.

The elemental analysis of the major components was carried out in a Perkin-Elmer 2400. Moisture was determined by the weight loss at 105 °C for 12 h and is expressed as a weight percentage of the dry matter. The calorific value was obtained in a calorimetric bomb from Parr (model 1341) and it can be expressed in two forms: the gross or higher heating value (HHV) and the net calorific value or lower heating value (LHV). HHV was determined using the calorimeter bomb, through the determination of the temperature difference before
and after the occurrence of the sample combustion. LHV is obtained by subtracting the latent heat of vaporization of the water vapor formed by the combustion from the gross or higher heating value.

Ash residue was obtained by thermogravimetry (ASTM D5630 method). Proximate analysis was performed using a thermogravimetric analyzer. The sample was heated under an inert atmosphere at 850 °C and the weight loss during this step is the volatile matter (VM). The gas atmosphere is then switched to air to burn off fixed carbon (FC), while the temperature is reduced to 800 °C. Finally, any residue left after the system is cooled to room temperature and is considered ash.

2.2 Product Characterization
All the collected liquid fractions were characterized by GC/MS using an Agilent Technologies 6890 GC coupled to a 5973 MS. The capillary column was a HP-1, 30 m large, 0.025 mm ID, and helium UHP as the carrier gas. A NIST library in the GC–MS chemstation is used as reference to identify the components of the bio-oil. The last solid residue from the different pyrolysis runs was analysed by elemental analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the schematic diagram of the process, as well as the places where the temperature and pressure were measured and recorded every 30 seconds by means of a data acquisition system.

3. Results of biomass pyrolysis

3.1 Biomass Properties
Biomass is a complex solid material constructed from oxygen-containing organic polymers produced by natural process. The major structural chemical components with high molar masses are carbohydrate polymers and oligomers (65-75%) and lignin (18-35%). The major constituents consist of cellulose (a polymer glucosan), hemicelluloses (which are also called polyose), lignin, organic extractives and inorganic minerals.

The weight percent of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin varies in different biomass species. Other biomass compounds are lipids, proteins, simple sugars and water. The pyrolytic chemistry differs sharply between plant carbohydrate polymers from fossil feeds due to the presence of large amounts of oxygen (Mohan et al., 2006). The elemental analysis information of the sweet orange dry peel and pecan nut shell in comparison with other results reported in literature are given in Table 1. The contents of C, H, O and N vary significantly for different types of biomass. The sulphur content in the orange peel is lower than the corresponding to fossil fuels like bituminous coal (4.7 %, wt.) and could be considered as a renewable fuel with lower emission of SOx, which causes pollution and climate change (Sudiro & Bertucco, 2007). Biomass has higher contents of O and H and a lower C content than those reported for fossil fuels.
Biomass Properties

Table 1. Elemental Analysis of different type of biomass wastes reported in literature.

| Biomass                  | C   | H   | O   | N   | S   | H/C ratio | O/C ratio | Reference                |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Almond shell            | 47.63 | 5.71 | 44.48 | a | a | 1.44 | 0.700 | Balci et al. (1993) |
| Coconut shell           | 47.97 | 5.88 | 45.57 | 0.30 | a | 1.47 | 0.712 | Fagbemi et al. (2001) |
| Corn cob                | 43.04 | 6.32 | 49.26 | 1.02 | a | 1.76 | 0.858 | Ren et al. (2009) |
| Corn Cob                | 42.90 | 6.40 | 49.22 | 0.60 | a | 1.79 | 0.860 | Yanik et al. (2007) |
| Cottonseed cake         | 49.29 | 5.59 | 38.67 | 1.23 | a | 1.36 | 0.588 | Özbay et al. (2001) |
| Groundnut shell         | 48.27 | 5.70 | 39.40 | 0.80 | a | 1.42 | 0.612 | Raveendran et al. (1996) |
| Hazelnut shell          | 49.94 | 5.65 | 42.81 | 0.27 | a | 1.36 | 0.643 | Balci et al. (1993) |
| Hazelnut shell          | 50.08 | 5.13 | 41.99 | 1.38 | a | 1.23 | 0.629 | Demirbas (2006) |
| Hazelnut shell          | 50.34 | 5.84 | 42.33 | 0.40 | a | 1.39 | 0.631 | Bonelli et al. (2003) |
| Orange peel             | 39.7 | 6.20 | 53.0 | 0.46 | 0.60 | 1.87 | 1.001 | Miranda et al. (2009) |
| Peanut shell            | 46.59 | 6.00 | 43.65 | 2.06 | a | 1.55 | 0.703 | Bonelli et al. (2003) |
| Pecan nutshell          | 47.3 | 6.40 | 45.5 | 0.70 | a | 1.62 | 0.721 | Present work |
| Pine needles            | 45.81 | 5.38 | 46.11 | 0.98 | a | 1.41 | 0.755 | Safi et al. (2004) |
| Rice Straw              | 45.14 | 5.85 | 47.73 | 0.62 | a | 1.56 | 0.793 | Ren et al. (2009) |
| Rice Straw              | 43.68 | 5.70 | 39.72 | 0.97 | a | 1.57 | 0.682 | Xiao et al. (2010) |
| Sunflower shell         | 47.40 | 5.80 | 41.40 | 1.40 | a | 1.47 | 0.655 | Demirbas (2006) |
| Walnut shell            | 50.58 | 6.41 | 41.21 | 0.39 | a | 1.52 | 0.611 | Onay et al. (2004) |
| Wheat Straw             | 48.32 | 2.54 | 48.21 | 0.82 | a | 0.63 | 0.748 | Ren et al. (2009) |
| Xylan from oat spelts   | 43.55 | 5.77 | 46.33 | 4.00 | 0.24 | 1.59 | 0.798 | Miranda et al. (2009) |
| Sigmacell               | 35.45 | 5.54 | 57.87 | 0.82 | 0.32 | 1.88 | 1.224 | Miranda et al. (2009) |
| Kraft lignin            | 41.06 | 6.88 | 50.98 | 0.65 | 0.43 | 2.01 | 0.931 | Miranda et al. (2009) |

*a This data is not available

On the other hand, biomass contains between 36-52 %, wt. carbon while the coal carbon content is about 75-90 %, wt. This means that the heating value of biomass is lower due to the lower energy contained in carbon–oxygen and carbon–hydrogen bonds than those reported for carbon–carbon bonds (Baxter, 1993).

An essential parameter to compare biomaterials or products derived from thermal processes is the elemental composition. The significance of the O:C and H:C ratios of a material on the calorific value can be illustrated using a Van Krevelen diagram, see Figure 2 (Van-Krevelen, 1950). The values for H/C and O/C depend on feedstock, operating conditions, any further treatment methods and water content. Figure 2 shows these ratios for various biomasses and products. For example, these parameters may vary significantly for different biomasses and they include: methanol (H/C, O/C) = (4, 1), methane (4, 0), to various biomass sources (1.2-1.7, 0.6-0.8), pyrolysis oils (1.6, 0.35), pyrolytic carbon (0.13, 0.2) (Miranda et al., 2009), anthracite (0.4, 0.01), lignite (1.14, 0.24) and activated carbon (0.30, 0.04). A typical data for diesel/gasoline is oxygen content close to zero and H/C ratio of 1.5 to 2.
Table 2. Proximate analysis of different type of biomass

| Biomass            | Volatile %, wt. | Moisture %, wt. | HHV kJ/kg | FC %, wt. | Ash %, wt. | Reference                  |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|
| Orange peel        | 77.73           | 9.20            | 16829     | 13.07     | 2.94       | Miranda et al. (2009)      |
| Pecan nutshell     | 60.00           | 10.28           | N.D.      | 29.72     | 0.93       | Guevara (2009)             |
| P. yezoensis       | 36.8            | 9.20            | 10600     | 22.10     | 31.30      | Li et al. (2011)           |
| Wheat Straw        | 76.00           | 8.10            | 18910     | 16.40     | 7.60       | Giuntoli et al. (2009)     |
| Rice husk          | 62.43           | 7.16            | 13620     | 14.98     | 15.43      | Pütün et al. (2004)        |
| Sugarcane bagasse  | 84.83           | a               | 20000     | 13.30     | 1.89       | Das et al. (2004)          |
| Lemon peel         | 69.84           | 9.09            | 17734     | 17.22     | 3.85       | Heikkinen et al. (2004)    |
| Rice Straw         | 71.70           | a               | 17100     | 18.58     | 9.72       | Xiao et al. (2010)         |
| Pine Wood          | 78.54           | 6.34            | 18600     | 14.66     | 0.46       | Hassan et al. (2009)       |
| Rice Hull          | 61.00           | 1.90            | a         | 24.00     | 13.00      | Teng et al. (1998)         |
| Oat Straw          | 75.90           | 6.70            | 17000     | 0.10      | 17.30      | Ates et al. (20089)        |
| Beech              | 73.62           | 7.03            | a         | 19.11     | 0.24       | Gómez et al. (2009)        |
| Pine sawdust       | 80.20           | 7.90            | a         | 10.80     | 1.10       | Guoxin et al. (2009)       |
| Corn Cob           | 71.80           | 8.64            | 16190     | 17.50     | 2.41       | Zhang et al. (2009)        |
| White Pine         | 83.00           | 2.40            | a         | 14.30     | 0.30       | Lin et al. (2010)          |
| White Oak          | 85.90           | a               | 8313      | 13.60     | 0.50       | Gaston (2011)              |

* Data is not available

Table 2. Proximate analysis of different type of biomass.
Table 2 shows the proximate analysis and the calorific value of different materials. This set of analysis gives information on volatile matter (VM), ash and fixed carbon (FC) of a solid biofuels. VM and ash were experimentally quantified, while FC is determined by difference excluding the ash and moisture contents. The volatile content of a solid fuel is that portion released as a gas including moisture by thermogravimetry. VM and FC content provide the measure of chemical energy stored in a solid fuel.

Calorific value is a measure of heating power and is dependent on the composition of the biomass. CV refers to the amount of energy released when a known volume of gas is completely combusted under specified conditions. The significance of the calorific value is that the value provides the total energy content released when the fuel is burning in air. Therefore, CV represents the amount of energy potentially recovered from a given biomass.

### 3.2 Yield of pyrolysis products

As stated, pyrolysis is the process of the thermal decomposition of organic components in biomass in the absence of oxygen at various temperatures. Biomass can be converted to biochar and bio-oil (carbon rich solid residue and light gases), which can be used to supply the energy requirement of pyrolysis process operations (Bridgwater, 2004; Garcia-Perez et al., 2008a; 2008b). Biomass pyrolysis products are a complex combination of the products from the individual pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin and extractives; each component has its own kinetic characteristics. In addition, secondary reaction products result from cross-reactions of primary pyrolysis products and reactions between pyrolysis products and the original feedstock molecules (Mohan et al., 2006).

Pyrolysis is one of the most thermally efficient processes to obtain liquid. The material balances of the pyrolysis products of different biomasses are given in Table 3.

At around 700 °C, the weight loss of the orange peel pyrolysis was 78 %, wt., of which 20 %, wt. are light liquid hydrocarbons and 33.90 %, wt. is the heavy fraction, with 24.1 %, wt. as final residue and 22 %, wt. as non-condensable gases. Pyrolysis carried out at 750 °C results in the decrement of the char yield when the pyrolysis temperature increases, while the volatile content increases. From these results, it is evident that an appropriate selection of the heating rate, pyrolysis atmosphere and temperature will lead to more desirable end products. There is a good agreement between thermogravimetric weight loss data previously reported (Guevara, 2009; Miranda et al., 2009) and the data from the fixed bed reactor set-up. Therefore, these results will be helpful for designing and operating a pyrolysis plant of biomass. Bio-oil production converts up to 50-90 %, wt. of biomass energy into the liquid (Huber et al., 2006), which is favorable for fuel handling and transport.

Table 3 shows that yield and composition of pyrolysis products may vary depending on feedstock (Chiaramonti et al., 2007), reactor configurations and pyrolysis conditions (Lou et al., 2004; Bridgwater et al., 2007; Garcia-Perez et al., 2007a, 2007b). Low temperature and long volatiles residence time promote the production of biochar. A high temperature and long residence time increase the cracking of volatiles and, hence gas yield, while a moderate temperature and a short volatiles residence time are optimum for producing bio-oil (Bridgwater et al., 2007). On the other hand, biochar is a good alternative solid fuel for
bioenergy production. Higher temperatures lead to lower char yield in all pyrolysis reactions, where the temperature is the main controlling variable of pyrolysis reaction kinetics (Antal & Grenli, 2003).

| Biomass       | Reactor          | Temperature °C | Yield %, wt.  | Reference                  |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Orange peel   | Semi-continuous  | 700            | 22.0, 53.9    | Present work              |
|               |                  | 750            | 20.4, 55.3    |                           |
| Pecan nutshell| Semi-continuous  | 600            | 28.0, 49.2    | Present work              |
|               |                  | 700            | 25.8, 50.4    |                           |
|               |                  | 750            | 20.7, 54.5    |                           |
| Corn cob      | Tubular          | 600            | 24.0, 34.0    | Cao et al. (2004)         |
| Rice husk     | Fluidized bed    | 400            | 33.0, 46.5    | Williams & Nugranad (2000) |
|               |                  | 450            | 32.0, 43.5    |                           |
|               |                  | 500            | 29.0, 37.0    |                           |
|               |                  | 550            | 26.8, 28.5    |                           |
|               |                  | 600            | 25.5, 21.5    |                           |
| Olive pit     | Batch            | 600            | 29.0, 18.0    | Zabaniotou et al. (2000)  |
| Rice straw    | Free-fall        | 800            | 84.3, 1.0     | Zanzi et al. (2002)       |
| Rice husk     | Fluidized bed    | 420            | 35.0, 53.0    | Zheng et al. (2006)       |
|               |                  | 450            | 29.0, 56.0    |                           |
|               |                  | 480            | 24.0, 56.0    |                           |
|               |                  | 510            | 21.0, 33.0    |                           |
|               |                  | 540            | 18.0, 49.0    |                           |
| Almond shell  | Fixed bed        | 300            | 47.3, 41.3    | González et al. (2005)    |
|               |                  | 400            | 30.6, 53.1    |                           |
|               |                  | 500            | 26.0, 49.3    |                           |
|               |                  | 600            | 23.5, 44.3    |                           |
|               |                  | 700            | 21.7, 36.3    |                           |
|               |                  | 800            | 21.5, 31.0    |                           |
| Rice straw    | Fluidized bed    | 400            | 23.0, 57.0    | Lee et al. (2005)         |
|               |                  | 412            | 32.0, 50.0    |                           |
| Pecan nutshell| Not available    | 480            | 17.0, 23.0    | Manurung et al. (2009)    |
|               |                  | 400            | 33.0, 44.0    |                           |
| Physic nutshell| Fixed bed      | 500            | 45.0, 30.0    | Sricharoenchaikul et al. (2008) |
|               |                  | 600            | 42.0, 29.0    |                           |
|               |                  | 700            | 42.0, 27.0    |                           |
|               |                  | 800            | 41.0, 26.0    |                           |

Table 3. Pyrolysis yields for various biomasses at different conditions.
3.3 Characterization of bio-oils

Bio-oil is clean, cost-effective, CO$_2$-neutral, and easy to transport and has low sulfur content, making biomass a dominant choice for the replacement of fossil fuels (Nader et al., 2009). Pyrolysis oils are composed of differently sized molecules, which are derived primarily from the de-polymerization and de-fragmentation reactions of the components of the original biomass, mainly cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (Mohan et al., 2006; Neves et al., 2011). A chromatogram of the bio-oil orange dry peel pyrolysis is shown in Figure 3, where the main peak is located at a retention time of 16.3 min, which is identified as δ-limonene. Table 4 and 5 show the composition of the liquid fractions obtained from the pyrolysis of orange peel and pecan nut shell by GC/MS (see Figures 3 and 4). Nearly, all the components are aromatic compounds. The molecular chains of complex compounds in the orange peel have been broken, generating compounds with a carbon number range of 6–16, see Table 4.

![Figure 3. GC-MS spectrum of the bio-oil from dry orange peel pyrolysis bio-oil.](image)

| Peak | Retention time, min | Identified Compound               |
|------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1    | 4.00                | Benzene                           |
| 2    | 5.53                | Toluene                           |
| 3    | 9.43                | 2-methyl- 2-Hexanol               |
| 4    | 10.01               | Ethylbenzene                      |
| 5    | 10.25               | $p$-Xylene                        |
| 6    | 11.18               | Styrene                           |
| 7    | 11.74               | 2-Cyclopenten-1-one,2-methyl-     |
| 8    | 12.35               | 1R-Pinene                         |
| 9    | 13.57               | Benzene 1-ethyl-3-methyl-         |
| 10   | 14.68               | Phenol                            |
| 11   | 14.83               | β-Pinene                          |
| 12   | 16.30               | δ-limonene                        |
| 13   | 16.96               | Phenol, 2-methyl-                 |
| 14   | 36.51               | n-Hexadecanoic acid               |

Table 4. Main components of bio-oil from orange peel pyrolysis identified by GC-MS.
Pyrolysis oil from biomass is a red-brown liquid with pH 3 and 4. Table 7 reports the properties of the pyrolitic oil from both biomasses under study. The oil contains the de-frAGMENTED parts of the oxygenated components of the original biomass structure (mainly cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin). The bio-oil contains oxygen in the range of 28-40%, wt. oxygen on a dry basis. The bio-oil (i.e., organic phase) has 32 to 42 heating value (MJ/kg) HHV, which is low heating value with respect to fossil fuels. Similar results are reported by literature (Diebold, 2000; Czernik & Bridgwater, 2004; Oasmaa & Meier, 2005; Oasmaa et al., 2005).

| Peak | Retention Time, min | Identified compound |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | 3.144               | Benzene, 1,3-bis(3-phenoxystyrene)- |
| 2    | 5.124               | Toluene             |
| 3    | 5.953               | 2-Pentanone, 3-methylene- |
| 4    | 9.221               | Benzene, (1-methylethyl)- |
| 5    | 11.487              | Limonene            |
| 6    | 12.677              | Phenol, 2-methoxy-  |
| 7    | 14.422              | Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-methyl- |
| 8    | 15.732              | Phenol, 4-ethyl-2-methoxy- |
| 9    | 16.264              | 2-Methoxynaphthalene |
| 10   | 16.762              | Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy- |
| 11   | 18.095              | Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(1-propenyl)- |
| 12   | 18.799              | Phenol, 2,4-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)- |
| 13   | 21.088              | Phenol, 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)- |

Table 5. Main components of bio-oil from nut shell pyrolysis identified by GC-MS.
Pyrolysis liquids are formed by rapidly and simultaneously depolymerizing and fragmenting cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin with a rapid increase in temperature followed by a condensation system in order to collect all condensable volatiles. If the residence time at high temperature was extended, many products would further react (degrade, cleave, or condensate with other molecules). Bio-oils contain many reactive species, which contribute to unusual attributes (Mohan et al., 2006). Chemically, bio-oil is a complex mixture of components and is difficult to analyze and characterize. Different classes of chemicals are reported in literature such as: water, guaiacols, catecols, syringols, vanillins, furancarboxaldehydes, isoeugenol, pyrones, acetic acid, formic acid, and other carboxylic acids. Bio-oil also contains other major groups of compounds, including hydroxyaldehydes, hydroxyketones, sugars, carboxylic acids, and phenolics. Oligomeric species in bio-oil are derived mainly from lignin, but also from cellulose (Mohan et al., 2006; Oasmaa et al., 2005).

Due to the presence of large amounts of (potentially) highly reactive components, the bio-oil is unstable and tends to separate and forms solids upon storage. Phase separation is promoted by higher temperatures and appears to be faster when the amount of water in the oil is low. Severe polymerization of the oil will result in the formation of char. Distillation also causes undesirable chemical changes leading to the formation of large amounts of solid material. The non-condensable gas products obtained by biomass under study are a mixture of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, methane and small amounts of other lighter hydrocarbons, similar to those reported in literature (Bridgwater et al., 1999; Bridgwater & Peacocke, 2000).

### 4. Conclusion

Pecan nut shell and orange peel are excellent feedstocks for production of energy and value-added products. Biomass residues store a large amount of energy, which can be converted to several forms of usable energy through a number of commercially available processes. Pyrolysis is believed to be the reasonable choice to convert orange peel and pecan nut shell residues to liquid fuels, biocha, and activated carbons. The biomass solid waste in the form of pecan nut shell and orange peel is successfully converted into liquid, char and gas by fixed bed pyrolysis system. The heating value of the pyrolysis oil is found to be 32.98...
MJ/kg for pecan nut shell and 42.70 MJ/kg for orange peel bio-oil, which is higher than other biomass-derived pyrolysis oils and also significantly higher than that obtained for the original waste. The maximum liquid yield is found to be 55.3 %, wt. and 54.5 wt% of dry biomass feedstock at the temperature range of 700-750°C and 600-750°C for orange peel and pecan nut shell, respectively. The oil from the biomass under study may be considered as an important important potential potential source of alternative fuel. A lot of research and development process will be necessary in this topic. However, this will occur with greater economic incentive and the climate change regulation will promote research activities in this direction.
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The present book discusses the principal lignocellulosic precursors used in the elaboration of activated carbons in different countries such as Asia, America, Europe and Africa; the different methods and experimental conditions employed in the synthesis of activated carbons, including one analysis of the principal stages of the preparation such as carbonization and activation (i.e., chemical or physical activation). Also, the recent and more specialized techniques used in the characterization of activated carbons are discussed in this book. For example, the techniques employed to determine textural parameters (mercury porosimetry and gas adsorption isotherms at 77° K) and different spectroscopies to determine chemical functionality (Raman, FT-IR, etc.) and other X-Ray techniques. Additionally, an overview of the application of activated carbons obtained from lignocellulosic precursors for wastewater treatment. Specifically, the analysis and discussion are focused on the advantages and capabilities of activated carbons for the removal of relevant toxic compounds and pollutants from water such as heavy metals, dyes, phenol, etc. Finally, the use of pyrolysis method for the valorization of two Mexican typical agricultural wastes (orange peel and pecan nut shell) for energy and carbon production is considered in this book.
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