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ABSTRACT

The behavioral and psychological differences between women and men have puzzled social scientists for many years. Differences between men and women are often overestimated and their behavioral, cognitive and emotional differences are often attributed to innate biological factors. Stereotyped beliefs about men and women often have serious social and clinical implications. Research in gender psychology has shown that men and women are more similar than different. Besides biological models, psychologists have given many models which helps describe and explain the various psychosocial theories of gender development. This paper will attempt to distinguish between two often confusing terms sex and gender and highlights various psychosocial models of gender development leading to possible gender differences in terms of cognitions, emotions and behaviors.
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Sex refers to the biological categories of male and female, categories distinguished by genes, chromosomes, and hormones. Culture has no influence on one’s sex. Sex is a relatively stable category that is not easily changed, although recent technology has allowed people to change their biological sex. Gender, by contrast, is a much more fluid category of male and female. These categories are distinguished from one another by a set of psychological features and role attributes that society has assigned to the biological category of sex.

Unger (1990) defines gender as “the cognitive and perceptual mechanisms by which biological differentiation is translated into social differentiation”. A feature of the male sex category includes the Y chromosome; regardless of whether a male wears a baseball cap or barrettes, is competitive or empathetic, he is of the male sex because he possesses the Y chromosome. Personality and appearance are related to the gender category. The first period focused on the differences between men and women and was marked by the publication of a book by Ellis (1894) titled Man and Woman which called for a scientific approach to the study of the similarities and differences between men and women.
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In the past two decades, research on sex and gender has proliferated. There have been two recent trends. The first has been to view gender as a multifaceted or multidimensional construct, meaning that the two dimensional view of masculinity and femininity is not sufficient to capture. The development of the unmitigated agency and unmitigated communion scales was a first step in this direction. The second research direction has been to emphasize the social context in which gender occurs. The research on genderdiagnosticity addresses this issue. Emphasis on the social context led to research on gender-role constraints, the difficulties people face due to the limits a society places on gender-role appropriate behavior.

Biological theories of sex differences identify genes and hormones, as well as the structure and function of the brain, as the causes of observed differences in physical appearance, cognition, behavior, and even gender roles.

**PSYCHOLOGICAL MODELS OF GENDER DEVELOPMENT**

It is important to understand the various psychological theories of gender development. These theories help us understand the origin of possible gender differences. The implication of these theories lies in knowing the possible gender differences in various aspects of behaviors in men and women.

**Social Learning Model**

According to social learning model, learning is produced by observation rather than by directly experiencing reinforcement or punishment (Mischel. 1993). Observation provides many opportunities for learning, including the learning of gender-related behaviors among children. The social environment provides children with examples of male and female models who perform different behaviors, including gender-related ones. The models who influence children include mothers and fathers, but also many others, both real people and media images of boys, girls, men, women, and cartoon characters. In observing these many male and female models, children have abundant opportunities to learn. However, not all models have the same influence for all children, and not all behaviors are equally likely to be imitated.

The differential influence of models relates to their power or prestige as well as to the observer's attention and perception of the similarity between model and observer. Children tend to be more influenced by powerful models than by models with less power (Bussey& Bandura, 1984), but children are also more influenced by models who are similar to them. This similarity extends to gender, with children more likely to imitate same-sex models than other-sex models. Another important factor in performing a learned behavior is observing the consequences of that behavior. If people observe a behavior being rewarded, then they are more likely to perform that behavior than if they see the same behavior punished or unrewarded. Social learning theorists believe that reinforcement and punishment are not essential for learning, which occurs through observation. Instead, reinforcement and punishment are more important to performance, affecting the likelihood that a learned behavior will be performed in circumstances similar to those observed.
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Children develop in an atmosphere in which they are exposed to models of gender-stereotypic behaviors "in the home, in schools, on playgrounds, in readers and storybooks, and in representations of society on the television screens of every household" (Bandura, 1986). These presentations do two things. First, all children are exposed to both female and male models, so all children learn the gender-related behaviors associated with both genders. Second, children learn which behaviors are gender-appropriate for them. Children learn that certain behaviors are rewarded for girls but not for boys; for other behaviors, the rewards come to boys and not to girls.

Children experience many sources of modeling and reinforcement, and these sources influence the development of gender-related behaviors (Beal, 1994). When their children are infants, parents interact differently with their sons and daughters. For example, children accept and show equal enthusiasm for toys typically considered girls' and boys' toys (Idle, Wood, & Desmarais. 1993), but parents use some gender-typical preferences in selecting activities and toys for their children. Studies that observe parental interactions with children have confirmed gender differences in treatment.

Social learning theory hypothesizes that these forces affect gender-related thinking, and children come to develop gender knowledge and gender standards for their own behavior. In children age 2 to 4 years, behavior typical of the same sex was more common than behavior typical of the other sex for all ages of children (Bussey& Bandura, 1984). The younger children in the study reacted to their peers in gender-stereotypical ways but did not regulate their own behavior by these same standards, whereas the older children did both. These results indicate that these 4-year-olds had begun to develop a coherent set of cognitive strategies for controlling their gender-related behaviors.

Sandra Bem (1985) criticized social learning theory, arguing that the theory portrays children as too passive. Bern pointed out that children's behavior shows signs of more active involvement than social learning theory hypothesize. Children do not exhibit a gradual increase in gender-related behaviors, but rather seem to form cognitive categories for gender and then acquire gender-related knowledge around these categories. In addition, research evidence suggests that children may develop stronger gender stereotypes than their parents convey, which implies that children actively organize information about gender. Other social theories of gender development place a stronger emphasis on cognitive organization than doe’s social learning theory.

Cognitive Developmental Model

The cognitive developmental model was propounded by Piaget. He was inspired by the work of Lawrence Kohlberg (1966) on moral development of children. He attempted to understand children’s moral development through children’s cognitions. Cognitive developmental theory views the acquisition of gender-related behaviors as part of children's general cognitive development. This development occurs as children mature and interact with the
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world, forming an increasingly complex and accurate understanding of their bodies and the world.

Cognitive developmental theorists see the development of gender-related behaviors as part of the task of cognitive development. Very young children, lacking a concept of self, can have no concept of their gender. Most 2/2-year-olds are unable to consistently apply the words boy or girl to self or others; thus they fail at gender labeling. Kohlberg (1966) hypothesized that children acquire some preliminary category information about gender during early childhood, but gender constancy, the belief that their genders will remain the same throughout life, is a cognitively more complex concept that may not appear until between ages 4 and 7 years.

Cognitive developmental theory views the acquisition of gender-related behaviors as a by-product of the cognitive development of gender identity. Children begin to adopt and exhibit gender-related behaviors because they adopt a gender identity and strive to be consistent with this identity. On the other hand, social learning theory hypothesizes that children come to have a gender identity because they model gender-related behaviors. Through the performance of these behaviors, children conform to either the masculine or feminine social roles of their culture. In summary, social learning theory sees gender identity as coming from performance of gender-related behaviors, whereas cognitive developmental theory sees gender-related behaviors as coming from the cognitive adoption of a gender identity.

Gender Schema Model

Gender schema model is an extension of the cognitive developmental theory. A schema is "a cognitive structure, a network of associations that organizes and guides an individual's perceptions" Piaget used the term schema (plural, schemata or schemas) to describe how cognitions are internalized around various topics; gender schema theory hypothesizes that children develop gender-related behaviors because they develop schemata that guide them to adopt such behaviors. In this view, gender-related behaviors appear not only as a result of general cognitive development, but also because children develop special schemata related to gender.

According to gender schema theory, the culture also plays a role in gender development, providing the reference for the formation of gender schemata. Not only are children ready to encode and organize information about gender, but they do so in a social environment that defines maleness and femaleness (Bem, 1985). As children develop, they acquire schemata that guide their cognitions related to gender. These schemata influence information processing and problem solving in memory and also regulate behavior (Martin & Halverson, 1981). Gender schema theorists posit that children use these schemata to develop a concept of self versus others, and each child's gender schema is included in that child's self-schema, or self-concept. In addition, gender schemata can provide a guide for concepts of personal masculinity and femininity, including personal judgments about how people personally fit, or fail to fit, these
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schemata. Thus, gender schema theory provides an explanation for the concepts of masculinity and femininity and how people apply these concepts to themselves.

Bem (1985) emphasized the process rather than the content of gender schemata. The information in the schemata is not as important as the process of forming schemata and acting in ways that are consistent with them. Gender schema theory predicts that cognitive change that accompanies schema formation lead to the ways that children process gender-related information, which changes the ways in which they behave.

Gender schema theory also predicts that developing gender schemata increases accuracy and memory for gender consistent information compared to gender-inconsistent information. A number of studies have demonstrated such memory effects in both children and adults. Gender-typed college students tended to remember words in clusters related to gender. For example, the women’s proper nouns in a cluster and the men’s names in another. This schematicity did not affect the number of words remembered, but the organization of memory differed according to participants’ gender schemata. In addition, participants with strong gender schemata were faster at making gender-related judgments that were consistent with their gender schemata than they were at making judgments inconsistent with their schemata.

In summary, gender schema theory extends the cognitive developmental theory by hypothesizing the existence of gender schemata, cognitive structures that internally represent gender-related information and guide perception and behavior. Children internalize their schemata for masculinity or femininity to form a self-concept, or self-schema, for gender-related behaviors. Research has indicated that gender schemata can affect the processing of gender-related information and can lead to gender stereotyping. Parents can attempt to circumvent gender-related messages by concentrating on the biological rather than the social correlates of gender, but all children come to understand their culture’s messages about gender.

GENDER SCRIPT THEORY

Gender script model is an extension of gender schema theory, proposing that the social knowledge that children acquire concerning gender is organized in sequential form. Schemata are representations of knowledge, whereas scripts depict an organized sequence of events. Applied to gender role acquisition, gender scripts are "temporally organized event sequences. But in addition, gender scripts possess a gender role stereotype component which defines which sex stereotypically performs a given sequence of events" (Levy & Fivush, 1993). For scripts such as eating lunch, the gender of the actor is not important, but the script for cooking lunch is likely to be gender specific.

Therefore, the sequencing component of gender script theory seems to broaden the concept of gender schemata. The research on gender script theory is less complete than the other
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theories of gender role development, but this theory is a promising addition to the other social theories of gender development.

CONCLUSION

The development of gender identity and sex typed behavior is influenced by a complex network of biological, psychological and sociocultural factors. Since none of these model alone will not explain gender differences, various models of gender development have been proposed by a group psychologists to understand this interesting area of behavioral sciences. Gender is a complex issue, so other models of gender development need to be formulated based on inclusive approach.

Table 1: A Comparison of Psychosocial Theories of Gender Development

| Course of Development | Social Learning Theory | Cognitive Development Theory | Gender Schema Theory | Gender Script Theory |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Sources of Gender Differences | Reinforcement & observation of models | General cognitive development especially gender constancy | Development of gender specific schemata | Learning gender scripts |
| Children participation involves..... | Choosing which model to imitate | Organizing information about the physical world | Developing schemata specific to gender | Developing scripts through social interaction |
| Beginning of Gender Development | Usually during infancy | During preschool years | During preschool years | During early preschool years |
| Gender development proceeds...... | Gradually, becoming more like adult knowledge | Through a series of stages | Through development of schemata | Through learning script components |
| Gender development finishes...... | During adulthood if at all | During late childhood or adolescents | During late childhood | When scripts are learned |
| Girls and boys..... | Develop gender knowledge as different gender related behaviors | Develop similar cognitive understanding of gender | Develop different structures and schemata depending on parents and family patterns | Develop different scripts., guided through learning stereotypes |
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