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Signaling Crosstalk between PPARγ and BMP2 in Mesenchymal Stem Cells
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Recent studies have revealed that PPARγ’s transactivation function is regulated by extracellular signals. In particular, cytokines and Wnt family proteins suppress the ligand-inducible transactivation function of PPARγ and attenuate adipogenesis/osteoblastogenesis switching in mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). For example, Wnt5a suppresses PPARγ transcriptional activity through the NLK/SETDB1/CHD7 pathway. Among these factors, BMP2 strongly induces bone formation, but the effect of BMP2 on PPARγ function remains unclear. We examined the effect of BMP2 and PPARγ in ST2 cells and found that PPARγ activation affected BMP2’s signaling pathway through epigenetic regulation. Although BMP2 did not interfere with PPARγ-mediated adipogenesis, BMP2 increased mRNA expression levels of PPARγ target genes (such as Fabp4 and Nr1h3) when cells were first treated with troglitazone (TRO). Moreover, PPARγ activation affected BMP2 through enhancement of histone activation markers (acetylated histone H3 and trimethylated Lys4 of histone H3) on the Runx2 promoter. After TRO treatment for three hours, BMP2 enhanced the levels of active histone marks on the promoter of a PPARγ target gene. These results suggest that the order of treatment with BMP2 and a PPARγ ligand is critical for adipogenesis and osteoblastogenesis switching in MSCs.

1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are useful tools for regeneration therapy because of the ease of their isolation from patients and straightforward handling in culture. MSCs are derived from various adult tissues (such as adipose tissue and bone marrow) and have the potential to differentiate into a variety of lineages, including osteoblasts, chondrocytes, adipocytes, and myocytes [1, 2]. Recent studies have identified differentiation regulators in MSCs. Among these factors, PPARγ is commonly accepted as the master adipogenic factor since activation of PPARγ in precursors of nonadipogenic lineage cells triggers their transdifferentiation into adipocytes [3, 4]. Endogenous and synthetic PPARγ agonists (15-deoxy-D12,14-prostaglandin J2 and thiazolidinediones) promote adipogenesis and inhibit osteoblastogenesis in primary bone marrow MSC culture [5]. Moreover, treatment of mice with rosiglitazone (a thiazolidinedione) increases bone marrow adiposity and decreases bone mineral density (BMD, or bone mass) apparently through suppression of pro-osteoblastic transcription factors Runx2, Osterix, and Dlx5 [6, 7]. Haploinsufficiency of PPARγ in mice results in enhanced osteoblastogenesis and decreased bone marrow adipogenesis with increased trabecular bone volume [8]. Similarly, PPARγhyp/hyp mice, which carry hypomorphic mutations in the PPARγ loci and show reduced expression of both PPARγ isoforms in white adipose, show abnormally increased bone formation leading to insufficient space in the marrow cavity to maintain normal hematopoiesis [9]. Collectively, these in vivo and in vitro studies suggest that in the bone marrow, PPARγ functions as a differentiation switch between osteoblastogenesis and adipogenesis, acting as an inhibitor of osteoblastogenesis. However, the molecular basis of such inhibitory action of PPARγ in osteoblastogenesis is poorly understood. Specifically, it is important to understand the transactivation function of PPARγ, as it is critical for regulating the switching between adipogenesis and osteoblastogenesis.
In addition to ligand-dependent activation, various signaling pathways can modulate the transactivation function of PPARs in MSCs. For example, several cytokines (TGFβ1 and BMP2) inhibit adipocyte differentiation from bone marrow MSCs through suppression of PPARγ transactivation function [10, 11]. Furthermore, Wnt ligands regulate differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts and adipocytes [12]. Canonical Wnt signals (Wnt1, Wnt3a, Wnt5b, Wnt7a, Wnt10b, etc.) promote bone formation, as initially illustrated in clinical studies of the Wnt receptor subunit gene, LRP5, and gain-of-function mutations [11, 13–17]. Another group of Wnt ligands (Wnt4, Wnt5a, and Wnt11, etc.) activates non-canonical Wnt signaling through cell membrane heterodimers of Ezh and Ror1 and Ror2 [18] and increases bone formation. We previously found that Wnt5a appeared to switch MSC differentiation fate from adipogenesis to osteoblastogenesis via suppression of PPARγ function through the NLK/SETDB1/CHD7 complex [19]. Interestingly, Setdb1 mRNA was suppressed by PPARγ during adipogenesis [20] and Wnt5a regulated osteoclast differentiation via control of Tnfsf11 mRNA expression levels in osteoblasts [21].

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are cytokines that induce the differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells to osteogenic cells and have the potential to act as autologous bone graft substitutes [22]. In particular, BMP2 is highly osteoinductive, inducing bone formation by stimulating the differentiation of mesenchymal cells into chondroblasts and osteoblasts. Recent clinical trials have shown that therapy using recombinant human BMP2 osteoinductive, inducing bone formation by stimulating the differentiation of mesenchymal cells into chondroblasts and osteoblasts by staining for alkaline phosphatase (ALPL) analysis was performed using a ChIP assay kit (Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Soluble chromatin prepared from 1 × 10^6 cells was immunoprecipitated with antibodies against the indicated proteins. For amplification of the F4b4 promoter by qPCR, we used the primer pairs, 5′-TGCCCTCTCAGGTTCATTTCT-3′ and 5′-AGTTGTGGTGGTGTTATGG-3′, for the F4b4 gene promoter region at the PPRE. In addition, 5′-GCTCAGACCACACACTC-3′ and 5′-TCTACCCCT-CCTCCCCCTCC-3′ were used for the Runx2 gene promoter region. For PCR, we used the primer pairs, 5′-AGTTC ACTAGTGGAAAGTCACAGC-3′ and 5′-CTGAAAAACAGACCTGGAACCACCTC-3′, for the F4b4 gene promoter region at PPRE. PCR conditions for semi-quantitative measurement were 27 cycles of 30 sec at 96°C, 45 s at 56°C, and one min at 72°C. PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose-Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) gels.

3. Results

3.1. BMP2 Did Not Interfere with PPARγ-Dependent Adipogenesis. To elucidate the molecular link between BMP2 and PPARγ, we first examined the effect of BMP2 on adipocyte/osteoblast differentiation by analyzing the response of ST2 cells. These cells are derived from mouse bone marrow stromal cells and can differentiate into adipocytes or osteoblasts [25]. We treated ST2 cells with one μM troglitazone (TRO) as a PPARγ ligand and/or 50 ng/mL BMP2 to induce adipocytes or osteoblasts. We confirmed adipocyte differentiation by Oil red O staining and osteoblasts by staining for alkaline phosphatase (ALPL) activity. As previously reported, TRO induced adipogenesis but not osteoblastogenesis. However, ST2 cells treated with
3.2. Epigenetic Crosstalk between BMP2 Signaling and PPARγ

Histone modifications play pivotal roles in transcription by tightening or enlarging nucleosomes. The nucleosome is the fundamental unit of chromatin structure, and it consists of two copies of each of the core histones, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4, with DNA wrapped around the octameric core. The H3 and H4 histones possess N-terminal tails and are particularly susceptible to posttranslational modifications by specific enzymes. Modifications of chromatin via histone acetylation, methylation, and phosphorylation constitute important mechanisms of epigenetic regulation. Combinations of these modifications alter local chromatin structure or physical properties of histones. Thus, these changes can facilitate or block the transcriptional machinery and its ability to bind to the promoter and modulate activity. In fact, a number of the enzymes involved in histone modification can also alter non-histone proteins to influence transcription. Epigenetic enzymes that play pivotal transcriptional roles include histone methyltransferases (HMTs), histone demethylases (HDMs), histone acetyltransferases (HATs), histone deacetylases (HDACs), DNA methyltransferases, and DNA demethylases.

In general, trimethylation of lysine 4 of H3 (H3K4me3) and acetylation of lysine H3 (H3KAc) are considered transcriptionally active chromatin marks, whereas di- and trimethylation of lysine 9 or 27 of H3 (designated H3K9me2, H3K9me3, H3K27me2, or H3K27me3) are marks of transcriptionally repressive chromatin. Epigenetic regulators underlie many activities of the nuclear receptor superfamily including PPARγ. Therefore, studying epigenetic control by PPARγ is key to understanding ligand-mediated transcriptional regulation of metabolic pathways.

To investigate whether the treatment order of TRO and BMP2 caused epigenetic changes, we performed qPCR ChIP analysis of the promoters of Fabp4 or Runx2. As shown in (Figure 3(a)), in the Runx2 promoter region, higher levels of the transcriptionally active marks (histones H3Kac and H3K4me3) were induced when first treated with TRO and then with BMP2 than with BMP2 alone or TRO alone. Moreover, BMP2 pretreatment suppressed TRO-dependent induction of H3K4me3 on the Fabp4 promoter. Interestingly, three hours of BMP2 treatment or three hr of TRO treatment...
Figure 2: Adipocyte and osteoblast marker gene mRNA levels were altered by changing the order of BMP2 and TRO treatment. (a) Scheme of experiments used in Figures 2 and 3. We examined five conditions. (-) Untreated ST2 cells were cultured in αMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin. (TRO) ST2 cells were incubated with one μM TRO for three hr. (BMP) ST2 cells were treated with 50 ng/mL BMP2 for three hr. (TRO-BMP) ST2 cells were treated with TRO for three hr, the medium was changed, and the cells were then incubated with BMP2 for three hr. (BMP-TRO) ST2 cells were treated with BMP2 for three hr and the medium was changed; the cells were then incubated with TRO for three hr. (b) RT-qPCR analyses of adipocyte or osteoblast differentiation marker genes. After ST2 cells were cultured under the conditions described above, cells were collected and RNAs were extracted. Then RT-qPCR experiments were performed and normalized to Gapdh mRNA. Each experiment was performed at least three times. Student’s t-test was performed. * P < 0.05.
Figure 3: ChIP analysis of ST2 cells treated with/without BMP2 and/or TRO. (a) After ST2 cells were cultured under the conditions described in Figure 2, cells were lysed and ChIP analysis was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Millipore) using the indicated antibodies. H3KAc, anti-acetylated Lys histone H3 (Millipore); H3K4me3, anti-trimethylated Lys4 histone H3 (Millipore). Samples were examined by qPCR using CFX96 (Bio-Rad). Primers were used as previously reported [19]. Each experiment was performed at least three times. Student’s t-tests were performed. * P < 0.05. (b) ChIP analysis of ST2 cells using anti-H3AcK, -H3K4me3, and -PPARγ. ChIP assays were performed under the same conditions as (a). PCR was performed as described and visualized on 2% agarose TAE gels.
highly suppressed H3K4me3 levels on the Runx2 promoter compared to untreated cells. However, the recruitment of PPARγ was not enhanced on the Fabp4 promoter when treated with TRO (three hr)-BMP2 (three hr) (Figure 3(b)). These results showed that the order of BMP2 or TRO treatment attenuated transcription-related histone marks on adipocyte- or osteoblast-related gene promoters in MSCs.

4. Discussion

In this study, we found that the order of TRO or BMP2 treatment attenuated mRNA expression of adipogenesis/osteoblastogenesis-related genes in MSCs. In particular, treatment with TRO (three hr)-BMP2 (three hr) more induced mRNA levels of both adipogenesis (Fabp4 and Gpd1) and osteoblastogenesis (Runx2 and Alpl) related genes than TRO, BMP, or BMP (three hr)-TRO (three hr). These results may show that the activation of PPARγ affects on BMP2-induced osteoblastogenesis. Moreover, on the Fabp4 and Runx2 promoters, transcriptionally active histone marks are more induced by TRO (three hr)-BMP2 (three hr) than TRO, BMP, or BMP (three hr)-TRO (three hr). These results coincide with each mRNA level (Figure 2(b)), and show that signaling crosstalk between PPARγ and BMP2 are mediated by epigenetic regulation.

The underlying mechanisms guiding the lineage-specific differentiation of MSC are only partially understood. Improved understanding of these mechanisms could significantly enhance regeneration and transplantation therapy. In this manuscript, we showed that PPARγ functions as a regulatory factor for BMP2 in MSCs. Moreover, it was recently shown that human MSC treated with PPARγ inhibitor GW9662 and human MSC-derived extracellular matrix can repair bone with high efficiency [31]. Taken together, these studies show that, besides controlling the cells’ environment, regulation of transcription and epigenetic modulation is required for appropriate bone regeneration. Epigenetic histone modification is particularly essential for suppression or activation of transcription. Although we found the epigenetic regulation mediated signaling crosstalk between PPARγ and BMP2, mechanism of PPARγ-dependent enhancement of BMP2 activation remains unclear. It is possible that ligand-bound PPARγ defines genome-wide positions of active transcriptional machinery during differentiation. Alternatively, direct interaction of ligand-bound PPARγ and Smads could contribute to regulation of gene expression. Recent studies have shown that Smad2/3 determines cellular specific responses by altering interacting transcriptional factors [32]. PPARγ also may interact with Smads and attenuate mRNA expression levels of osteoblast marker genes. Further epigenetic studies and genome-wide analyses will be required to fully understand signaling crosstalk in MSCs.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professors K. Matsuo and A. Yoshimura for their instructions in writing the paper. They also thank all members for helpful discussions and supporting experiments. This work is supported in part by a Grant-In-Aid for Basic Research on Priority Areas (“Dynamics of Extracellular Environments” and “Immunological Self”), Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (23791622), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Japan, The Cell Science Research Foundation, and Leave a Nest Grant.

References

[1] H. Hamada, M. Kobune, K. Nakamura et al., “Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) as therapeutic cytoreagents for gene therapy,” Cancer Science, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 149–156, 2005.
[2] I. Takada, A. P. Kouzmenko, and S. Kato, “Wnt and PPAR signaling in osteoblastogenesis and adipogenesis,” Nature Reviews. Rheumatology, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 442–447, 2009.
[3] E. Hu, P. Tontonoz, and B. M. Spiegelman, “Transdifferentiation of myoblasts by the adipogenic transcription factors PPARγ and C/EBPa,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 92, no. 21, pp. 9856–9860, 1995.
[4] P. Tontonoz, E. Hu, R. A. Graves, A. I. Budavari, and B. M. Spiegelman, “mPPARγ2: tissue-specific regulator of an adipocyte enhances,” Genes and Development, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1224–1234, 1994.
[5] B. Lecka-Czernik, E. I. Moeran, D. F. Grant, J. M. Lehmann, S. C. Manolagas, and R. L. Jilka, “Divergent effects of selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ ligands on adipocytes versus osteoblast differentiation,” Endocrinology, vol. 143, no. 6, pp. 2376–2384, 2002.
[6] A. A. Ali, R. S. Weinstein, S. A. Stewart, A. M. Parfitt, S. C. Manolagas, and R. L. Jilka, “Rosiglitazone causes bone loss in mice by suppressing osteoblast differentiation and bone formation,” Endocrinology, vol. 146, no. 3, pp. 1226–1235, 2005.
[7] S. O. Rzonca, L. J. Suva, D. Gaddy, D. C. Montague, and B. Lecka-Czernik, “Bone is a target for the antidiabetic compound rosiglitazone,” Endocrinology, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 401–406, 2004.
[8] T. Akune, S. Ohba, S. Kamekura et al., “PPARγ insufficiency enhances osteogenesis through osteoblast formation from bone marrow progenitors,” Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 846–855, 2004.
[9] T. A. Cock, J. Back, F. Elefteriou et al., “Enhanced bone formation in lipodystrophic PPARγ hyp/hyp mice relocates haematopoiesis to the spleen,” EMBO Reports, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 1007–1012, 2004.
[10] J. H. Hong, E. S. Hwang, M. T. McManus et al., “TAZ, a transcriptional modulator of mesenchymal stem cell differentiation,” Science, vol. 309, no. 5737, pp. 1074–1078, 2005.
[11] E. Ng, S. Boucher, S. Koh et al., “PDGF, tgf-2. And FGF signaling is important for differentiation and growth of mesenchymal stem cells (mcs): transcriptional profiling can identify markers and signaling pathways important in differentiation of MSCs into adipogenic, chondrogenic, and osteogenic lineages,” Blood, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 295–307, 2008.
[12] S. E. Ross, N. Hemati, K. A. Longo et al., “Inhibition of adipogenesis by Wnt signaling,” Science, vol. 289, no. 5481, pp. 950–953, 2000.
[13] R. Baron, G. Rawadi, and S. Roman-Roman, “Wnt signaling: a key regulator of bone mass,” Current Topics in Developmental Biology, vol. 76, pp. 103–127, 2006.
[14] D. A. Glass, P. Bialek, J. D. Ahn et al., “Canonical Wnt signaling in differentiated osteoblasts controls osteoclast differentiation,” Developmental Cell, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 751–764, 2005.

[15] Y. Gong, R. B. Slee, N. Fukai et al., “LDL receptor–related protein 5 (LRP5) affects bone accrual and eye development,” Cell, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 513–523, 2001.

[16] V. Krishnan, H. U. Bryant, and O. A. MacDougald, “Regulation of bone mass by Wnt signaling,” Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 116, no. 5, pp. 1202–1209, 2006.

[17] S. C. Manolagas and M. Almeida, “Gone with the Wnts: β-catenin, T-cell factor, forkhead box O, and oxidative stress in age-dependent diseases of bone, lipid, and glucose metabolism,” Molecular Endocrinology, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 2605–2614, 2007.

[18] M. T. Veeman, J. D. Axelrod, and R. T. Moon, “A second canon: functions and mechanisms of β-catenin-independent Wnt signaling,” Developmental Cell, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 367–377, 2003.

[19] I. Takada, M. Mihara, M. Suzawa et al., “A histone lysine methyltransferase activated by non-canonical Wnt signalling suppresses PPAR-γ transactivation,” Nature Cell Biology, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 1273–1285, 2007.

[20] K. I. Wakabayashi, M. Okamura, S. Tsutsumi et al., “The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ retinoid X receptor α heterodimer targets the histone modification enzyme PR-Set7/Setd8 gene and regulates adipogenesis through a positive feedback loop,” Molecular and Cellular Biology, vol. 29, no. 13, pp. 3544–3555, 2009.

[21] K. Maeda, Y. Kobayashi, N. Udagawa et al., “Wnt5a-Ror2 signaling between osteoblast-lineage cells and osteoclast precursors enhances osteoclastogenesis,” Nature Medicine, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 405–412, 2012.

[22] K. Miyazono, S. Maeda, and T. Imamura, “BMP receptor signaling: transcriptional targets, regulation of signals, and signaling cross-talk,” Cytokine and Growth Factor Reviews, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 251–263, 2005.

[23] S. Dohzono, Y. Imai, H. Nakamura, S. Wakitani, and K. Takaoka, “Successful spinal fusion by E. coli-derived BMP-2-adsorbed porous β-TCP granules: a pilot study,” Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, vol. 467, no. 12, pp. 3206–3212, 2009.

[24] V. Rosen, “BMP2 signaling in bone development and repair,” Cytokine and Growth Factor Reviews, vol. 20, no. 5-6, pp. 475–480, 2009.

[25] M. Ogawa, S. Nishikawa, K. Ikuta et al., “B cell ontogeny in murine embryo studied by a culture system with the monolayer of a stromal cell clone, ST2: B cell progenitor develops first in the embryonal body rather than in the yolk sac,” The EMBO Journal, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1337–1343, 1988.

[26] N. Nishii, M. Arai, N. Yanai, A. Togari, and T. Nakabayashi, “Effect of bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) or troglitazone, as an inducer of osteogenic cells or adipocytes, on differentiation of a bone marrow mesenchymal progenitor cell line established from temperature-sensitive (ts) simian virus (SV) 40 T-antigen gene transgenic mice,” Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 10–17, 2009.

[27] E. J. Moerman, K. Teng, D. A. Lipschitz, and B. Lecka-Czernik, “Aging activates adipogenic and suppresses osteogenic programs in mesenchymal marrow stroma/stem cells: the role of PPAR-y2 transcription factor and TGF-β/BMP signaling pathways,” Aging Cell, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 379–389, 2004.

[28] A. Chawla, W. A. Boisvert, C. H. Lee et al., “A PPARy-LXR-ABCA1 pathway in macrophages is involved in cholesterol efflux and atherogenesis,” Molecular Cell, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 161–171, 2001.