Role of random biopsies in surveillance of dysplasia in ulcerative colitis patients with high risk of colorectal cancer
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Background/Aims: Recent data suggest that the incidence of ulcerative colitis (UC) related colorectal cancer (CRC) in India is similar to that of West. The optimum method for surveillance is still a debate. Surveillance with random biopsies has been the standard of care, but is a tedious process. We therefore undertook this study to assess the yield of random biopsy in dysplasia surveillance. Methods: Between March 2014 and July 2015, patients of UC attending the Inflammatory Bowel Disease clinic at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences with high risk factors for CRC like duration of disease >15 years and pancolitis, family history of CRC, primary sclerosing cholangitis underwent surveillance colonoscopy for dysplasia. Four quadrant random biopsies at 10 cm intervals were taken (33 biopsies). Two pathologists examined specimens for dysplasia, and the yield of dysplasia was calculated. Results: Twenty-eight patients were included. Twenty-six of these had pancolitis with a duration of disease greater than 15 years, and two patients had associated primary sclerosing cholangitis. No patient had a family history of CRC. The mean age at onset of disease was 28.89±8.73 years and the duration of disease was 19.00±8.78 years. Eighteen patients (64.28%) were males. A total of 924 biopsies were taken. None of the biopsies revealed any evidence of dysplasia, and 7/924 (0.7%) were indefinite for dysplasia. Conclusions: Random biopsy for surveillance in longstanding extensive colitis has a low yield for dysplasia and does not suffice for screening. Newer techniques such as chromoendoscopy-guided biopsies need greater adoption. (Intest Res 2016;14:264-269)
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INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) and the burden of IBD are increasing in India, similar to other countries in the Asia-Pacific region.¹² With the advent of effective therapies such as biologics and thiopurines, more patients are now able to maintain remission and have a longer colectomy-free survival. With increasing disease prevalence, the long-term complications of IBD are increasingly seen by physicians treating these diseases. The risk of developing colorectal cancer (CRC) is increased in patients with UC, which is one of the gravest long-term complications. In a meta-analysis by Eaden et al,³ the overall prevalence of CRC in UC was estimated as 3.7%, with an overall annual incidence of 0.3%. The cumulative risk of CRC was 8.3% at 20 years and 18.4% at 30 years. The risk of developing CRC in Indian patients is similar to that in patients in the West, as indicated by recent studies by Desai...
et al. Thus, even though the risk of sporadic CRC is low in India, the risk of CRC in our patients with UC is high and similar to that in patients in the West.

CRC is preceded by dysplasia, and surveillance strategies have been recommended to detect these pre-neoplastic lesions. The goal of endoscopic surveillance is to reduce the morbidity and mortality of colitis-associated carcinoma by either detecting and resecting dysplasia or detecting CRC at earlier, potentially curable stages. A cohort study of 149 patients with IBD-associated CRC found a 100% five-year survival of patients who were enrolled in a surveillance program compared with 74% in the non-surveillance group (P=0.042). Of 30 patients with CRC-related deaths, only one patient died in the surveillance group compared with 29 in the non-surveillance group during the study period.

Thus, various guidelines endorse regular surveillance colonoscopies in high risk patients with UC. However, the ideal method of surveillance is still a matter of debate. Random biopsy sampling from different parts of the colon has been the traditional method for surveillance of dysplasia. It has been estimated that at least 33 biopsies per patient are needed to achieve a 90% confidence to detect dysplasia, if it is present. However, this method of surveillance is cumbersome to both the endoscopist and the pathologist, and is not cost-effective. More recently, chromoendoscopy using various dyes has been recommended, followed by targeted biopsies from the area of mucosal abnormality. Chromoendoscopy-guided targeted biopsies have been shown to have a higher dysplasia detection rate than random biopsies in various studies. Thus, it is now recommended that when possible, chromoendoscopy should be used for dysplasia screening.

Chromoendoscopy is a recent technique and has a considerable learning curve. Not many gastroenterologists are well versed with the technique, and therefore the detection of mucosal irregularities may be difficult for a novice. The various guidelines recognize these limitations and add that when chromoendoscopy is not available, the traditional way (random biopsy sampling) should be used. In India, chromoendoscopy is only recently gaining popularity among practitioners and is not available everywhere. We therefore undertook this study to explore the yield of dysplasia in high-risk patients and whether random biopsies would suffice for screening.

METHODS

In this prospective study, we included patients with UC who were registered at the Inflammatory Bowel Disease clinic at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi. Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the institution’s ethics committee.

1. Surveillance ileocolonoscopy

Ileocolonoscopy was performed when disease activity was mild or in remission. Disease activity was assessed using the Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index (SCCAI). Remission was defined as a SCCAI score of <4 and mild disease activity as a SCCAI score of <7. A polyethylene glycol electrolyte lavage solution was used in all patients for bowel preparation before ileocolonoscopy. We performed surveillance for dysplasia in those who had a high risk for development of malignancy. High risk factors considered for this were disease duration greater than 15 years, pancolitis, family history of colitis carcinoma detected at age <50 years, or co-existing primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC). Ileocolonoscopy was performed using high-definition colonoscopy (Olympus 180, Tokyo, Japan). Although we did not use objective scoring for assessment of preparation, colonoscopy was only considered to be complete when mucosal visualization was considered complete in each segment after washing. Patients with inadequate preparation were rescheduled for colonoscopy. For dysplasia surveillance, we undertook four-quadrant random biopsies from each 10 cm interval during colonoscopy, including five random biopsies from the rectum. A minimum of 33 biopsies were therefore taken from each patient. Each biopsy was placed in separate vials and sent for histopathological analysis.

2. Histopathological Assessment of Biopsy Specimens

Two pathologists examined all the specimens for evidence of dysplasia separately in a blinded manner. The Vienna classification was used for grading of dysplasia, which grades the histology findings as: no dysplasia, indefinite for dysplasia, low-grade dysplasia, and high-grade dysplasia. The yield of dysplasia was then calculated.

3. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, i.e., the mean, SD, and frequency distribution were calculated for each variable in the study. Data were presented as a mean±SD. The yield of dysplasia was then calculated by taking the total number of biopsies as the denominator. Statistical analysis was done using STATA for Windows version 12.1.
RESULTS

Between 2004 and December 2014, 1,693 patients with UC were registered at the IBD clinic at All India Institute of Medical Sciences. Diagnosis of UC was based on clinical history and associated endoscopic and histopathological findings. Among these patients, 136 underwent screening colonoscopy for CRC during the study period, which extended from March 2014 to July 2015. All of these patients had a duration of disease of more than 10 years and either left-sided colitis or pancolitis. Patients with a very high risk for developing dysplasia were included in this study and underwent random biopsies to detect dysplasia (Fig. 1).

Twenty-eight patients were included in this study. Twenty-six of these patients had pancolitis and a duration of disease greater than 15 years, and two patients had PSC. None of the patients had a family history of CRC. The mean age of onset of disease in the study population was 28.89±8.73 years, with a mean duration of disease of 19.00±8.78 years. Eighteen patients (64.28%) were males. The mean age of the study population at time of surveillance was 47.89±11.13 years (Table 1). All patients were in clinical remission at the time of colonoscopy and had an SCCAI score of <4. All colonoscopies were performed by the same endoscopist, thus maintaining homogeneity across the study population.

At the time of colonoscopy, four patients had pseudo-polyps. No other suspicious lesions were found during the procedure. A total of 924 random biopsies were taken, with at least 33 biopsies per patient. None of the biopsies had any evidence of definite dysplasia. Seven biopsies had features suggestive of “indefinite for dysplasia” (Fig. 2). The biopsies in which colonic crypts showed nuclear stratification but maintained their elongated shape, with hyperchromasia and conspicuous nucleoli, in an area of neutrophilic cell infiltration or presence of inflammatory granulation tissue, were considered “indefinite for dysplasia.” (Fig. 2)

DISCUSSION

Patients with UC have an increased risk of developing CRC. Screening for CRC and its precursor, dysplastic lesions, has universally been recommended in long-standing, extensive colitis. There is an increased risk of malignancy and dysplasia with increased duration of disease and increasing extent of disease. The other high-risk factors that predispose patients to developing malignancy include a family history of CRC and primary cholangitis. As our aim was to look at the effectiveness of random mucosal sampling for dysplasia, we included only patients with an expectedly high probability of dysplasia. Therefore, our study group excluded patients with a disease duration of greater than 15 years and pancolitis, or a family history of CRC, or associated PSC (Fig. 1). The mean duration of disease was 19.00±8.78 years. All of our patients had pancolitis, and two patients had PSC.

Early detection of dysplasia and thus precancerous lesions on colonoscopy in UC is challenging and difficult, for various reasons. Colitis-associated dysplasia, compared with sporadic adenoma or carcinoma, seems to have a distinct growth pattern, which can be flat and multifocal. Therefore, it is important that careful inspection of mucosa be undertaken during colonoscopy in high-risk patients for identification of these nonpolypoid areas of dysplasias. Traditionally, flat dysplasia in UC has been detected by random biopsies of

| Variable                  | Random biopsy (n=28) |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Age at onset              | 28.89±8.73           |
| Duration of disease       | 19.00±8.78           |
| Age at time of surveillance| 47.89±11.13         |
| Male gender               | 18 (64.28)           |
| Endoscopic abnormalities  | 0                    |
| Total no. of biopsies     | 924                  |
| Dysplasia                 |                      |
| Indefinite for dysplasia  | 7/924 (0.70%)        |
| Definite dysplasia        | 0                    |

Values are presented as mean±SD or n (%).
mucosa that appeared unremarkable.\textsuperscript{17,18} However one study has shown that most of these flat dysplasias are endoscopically visible.\textsuperscript{19} In our study, though, we found no areas of abnormality or flat dysplasia on examination under white light. Thus, our study is similar to earlier studies where most raised lesions were not found by standard white light colonoscopy.

Chromoendoscopy-guided biopsies have been shown to be superior to random biopsies in detecting dysplasia in UC, with higher dysplasia yield in various large studies.\textsuperscript{9,10} Thus, various recent guidelines on screening for dysplasia recommend chromoendoscopy as the first choice for surveillance.\textsuperscript{8,20} The recent SCENIC (Surveillance for Colorectal Endoscopic Neoplasia Detection and Management in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients: International Consensus Recommendations) guidelines\textsuperscript{20} have suggested that chromoendoscopy should be the standard of care, whereas the British Society of Gastroenterology endorses chromoendoscopy when available, but suggests that random biopsies can be undertaken when the expertise for chromoendoscopy is not available. In India, the training required to perform chromoendoscopy and recognize mucosal abnormalities is currently scarce. We therefore undertook this study to determine whether random biopsy would suffice for dysplasia detection until chromoendoscopy gains widespread availability.

Fig. 2. Colonic biopsy specimens showing “Indefinite for dysplasia”. Low-power photomicrograph shows colonic biopsy in ulcerative colitis with altered crypt architecture and dense mixed inflammation in lamina propria (A, H&E x40). Focally, the crypt epithelial cells show nuclear stratification and hyperchromasia without prominent nucleoli, (B–D, H&E x400) in areas adjacent to neutrophilic infiltration (C, arrow), qualifying as indefinite for dysplasia changes.
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popularity and is adopted by gastroenterologists throughout India. Furthermore, a few recent studies have shown that chromoendoscopy may not be superior to random biopsies. Mooiweer et al. retrospectively reviewed the results of 440 colonoscopies with chromoendoscopy and 1,802 colonoscopies using white light colonoscopy. Dysplasia was detected during 48 surveillance procedures (11%) in the chromoendoscopy group compared with 189 procedures (10%) in the white light endoscopy (WLE) group (P = 0.80). Targeted biopsies yielded 59 dysplastic lesions in the chromoendoscopy group, compared with the 211 dysplastic lesions detected in the WLE group (P = 0.30). Thus, the clinical impact of chromoendoscopy is still largely unknown.

In each patient in our study, we performed a minimum of 33 biopsies, which consisted of four-quadrant biopsies from each 10 cm interval during colonoscopy. A total of 924 biopsies were examined, but none revealed definite dysplasia. Seven of the biopsies (from three patients) were labeled as indefinite for dysplasia. These changes were not interpreted as a true dysplasia, as such changes were not noted away from an area of active inflammation. Furthermore, nuclear rounding and nucleolar prominence were not as great as expected in dysplasia. It is to be highlighted that all the changes described in the “indefinite for dysplasia” cases were nuclear changes restricted to the basal half, and the outer glandular contour was maintained.

Random biopsies may not, therefore, suffice in the surveillance of dysplasia in patients with UC. We therefore conclude that a greater emphasis on training and familiarization of gastroenterologists with the technique of chromoendoscopy is currently needed, and is necessary for effective surveillance in long-standing UC.
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