Pre-pubertal stress and brain development in rodents
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Exposure to adversity early in life is associated with the development of a range of psychiatric disorders in adulthood. Accumulating evidence suggests that pre-puberty is a time of enhanced vulnerability to environmental insults, and that pre-pubertal stress may alter normal brain maturation. In this review, I consider the long-term consequences of pre-pubertal stress on brain and behaviour in rodent models. Recent studies support the notion that pre-puberty is a time of enhanced vulnerability to stress, with particular consequences for the limbic system. Alterations in epigenetic mechanisms are likely to be responsible for the maintenance of enduring modifications in brain and behaviour after experience of pre-pubertal stress.
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Introduction
Early life adversity
Exposure to adverse events early in life is associated with an increased risk of developing neuropsychiatric disorders in adulthood [1–3]. Several reviews address the consequences of stress experienced in perinatal [4–6] and adolescent [7–9] phases, however, until recently, comparatively less was known about the effects of stress experienced in the childhood or pre-pubertal phase [10]. The pre-pubertal brain displays several functional and structural differences to the perinatal, adolescent and adult brain and is predicted to be extremely sensitive to environmental perturbations as it undergoes significant developmental changes [11–13]. The clinical importance of pre-pubertal stress (PPS) is borne out in epidemiological studies: childhood adversity is associated with the development of disorders including anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, psychosis and depression in adulthood [1–3,14].

Underlying mechanisms linking PPS with increased risk for psychiatric disorders are not well understood. It has been hypothesised that stress during early life alters brain development, enhancing vulnerability for disorders later in life [7]. Here I review recent studies in rodent models on the enduring effects of PPS on brain and behaviour, which provide support for this hypothesis. I discuss the mechanisms through which PPS may programme behaviour, before exploring associations between PPS and alterations in the limbic system and prefrontal cortex.

Pre-puberty — a vulnerable phase?
Rodents are often utilised to model the effects of early life stress on brain and behaviour. These basic models allow us to investigate underlying mechanisms with appropriate experimental control, in a manner that is not ethically possible with human participants. Numerous attempts have been made to equate developmental time-points between humans and rodents [15], and based on several considerations (including neuroanatomy, gross morphology, developmental milestones and behaviour phenotypes) the comparison seen in Figure 1 is commonly used.

As the brain develops throughout early life, plasticity and maturation rates differ across brain regions [1]. Therefore different regions and processes may be more or less sensitive to environmental insults at any given time. During the pre-pubertal phase and continuing into adolescence, the limbic system (notably the hippocampus and amygdala) and cortical regions undergo structural and functional maturation [13,15]. These structures also play a central role in stress reactivity: they contain high densities of corticosteroid receptors, which detect glucocorticoid stress hormones and regulate the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis [16] (Figure 2). As the HPA axis displays heightened reactivity to physical and psychological stressors in the pre-pubertal phase [17], it may be predicted that developing limbic and cortical regions are especially vulnerable to stress during this time.

Pre-pubertal stress — mechanisms of action
Stress system
Over the last decade, PPS has been modelled in rodents using a variety of acute and chronic stress protocols. Stressors are either physical or social in nature. Social stressors are often applied over pre-pubertal and adolescent phases and have been considered in a recent review [18]. This review will focus on physical stressors specifically in the pre-pubertal phase. Typically, physical stressors including forced swim, restraint, footshock and elevated platform exposure are administered to animals between PND21 and 35 in a variable manner over a number of days [10,19]. Circulating levels of stress hormones have been measured in adults exposed to PPS,
Stress and the limbic system. Physical and psychological events (or ‘stressors’) can disturb homeostasis, resulting in adaptive physiological and behavioural responses. Stressors may be negative or positive in nature. A major effector of the stress response is the hypothalamic–pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis. Perception of stress causes release of corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) and arginine vasopressin (AVP) from the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus. This results in release of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) from the anterior pituitary into the blood system, which promotes synthesis and secretion of glucocorticoid stress hormones (mainly cortisol in humans, corticosterone in rats) from the adrenal cortex. These circulating hormones cross the blood brain barrier and are detected throughout the limbic system by mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid corticosteroid receptors. The corticosteroid receptors in the limbic system contribute to a number of cognitive and affective behaviours. In a healthy system, responses are effectively terminated once the stressor is removed. Excessive or prolonged activation of stress responses early in life may interfere with normal limbic system development, leaving individuals vulnerable to psychiatric disorders [2,16,23,73].

One study using mice found that PPS re-programmes corticosteroid receptor expression in the hippocampus, further suggesting dysregulation of stress responses [27]. Animals exposed to PPS over PND25–27 showed decreased expression of mineralocorticoid receptors (MR), and altered balance of glucocorticoid receptor (GR) to MR ratios. In agreement with these findings, male suicide victims with a history of childhood abuse display altered glucocorticoid receptor expression [28,29]. Here, decreased levels of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) NR3C1 and corresponding increases in cytokine methylation of an NR3C1 promoter were observed in the hippocampus [28,29]. This suggests that sustained epigenetic modifications controlling gene expression may be responsible for maintaining alterations in the HPA axis induced by early life stress. It will be important to more fully characterise the stress system after PPS in rodent models, in particular, responses to the dexamethasone suppression/CRH challenge should be investigated.

Developmental milestones in humans and rodents [72].

with equivocal results [20]. Animals exposed to forced swim, elevated platform and restraint stress between PND27 and 29 displayed increased basal corticosterone levels as adults [21], whereas those exposed to foot-shock, cat odour and forced swim over PND23–28 did not [20]. However, these animals did show a flattening of circadian rhythm of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) [20]. In humans, results are equally unclear, with some studies finding increased/decreased cortisol levels following childhood maltreatment, others finding no difference [22,23]. However, comorbidity with psychiatric disorders such as depression or anxiety or exposure to stress challenges result in altered ACTH and cortisol levels in these populations [24]. This is particularly apparent after administration of the dexamethasone/corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) challenge test (Figure 3) [22–24]. This test is widely accepted as the most sensitive measure of HPA axis dysregulation in humans, and can be applied to animals [25,26], but has yet to be utilised in rodent models of PPS.
Epigenetics

Stressful experiences are likely to programme lasting changes in brain and behaviour through epigenetic mechanisms [30]. Epigenetic modifications are mitotically heritable alterations in gene expression which occur without changes in the underlying DNA sequence, and result in increased or decreased gene expression [31]. Variation in maternal care early in life results in persistent alterations in hippocampal GR expression, and these alterations are mediated through epigenetic mechanisms [32,33]. In particular, modifications in DNA methylation in promoter regions and histone acetylation accompany alterations in gene expression in these models [32,33]. Epigenetic alterations are also found in humans exposed to childhood adversity, including repeated demonstrations of methylation changes in the GR promoter and corresponding alterations of GR expression [28,29,34]. Delineating epigenetic alterations after stress is desirable as they may provide novel targets for therapeutic intervention. To date, the epigenetic consequences of physical PPS have not been explored in animal models, and should be a target of future research.

Pre-pubertal stress — vulnerable brain regions

Hippocampus

The hippocampus plays a crucial role in learning and memory processes and emotional behaviour [35]. In adulthood, acute stress (seconds to minutes) facilitates hippocampal dependent processes (improving learning and memory mechanisms), whereas more chronic exposure negatively impacts hippocampal structure and function [36]. Childhood maltreatment associates with decreased hippocampal volume in adulthood [37] (but see [23]), and there is some evidence of impaired hippocampal function [38]. Exposing rats to a 4-week variable physical and social stress protocol over the pre-pubertal and pubertal phase inhibited growth in CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus areas of the hippocampal formation [39]. However, the consequences of physical stressors applied solely in the pre-pubertal period on hippocampal volume are currently unknown. Regarding hippocampal function, PPS impaired performance on one type of hippocampal-dependent task (contextual fear conditioning), but had no impact on another (spatial reference memory in a standard Morris Water Maze task) in male rats [40]. Conversely, in stressed females, contextual fear responses...
remained intact, but these animals showed superior reference memory in the Morris Water Maze task [40]. The hippocampal formation is structurally complex and functionally dissociable, with dorsal regions showing enhanced connectivity to cortical areas, and ventral regions to subcortical structures like the amygdala. Consequently, dorsal lesions impair performance in a range of more cognitively demanding spatial tasks (including the Morris Water Maze), whereas ventral lesions alter performance on tasks with a higher affective or emotional component, including contextual fear and elevated plus maze [35]. Dorsal and ventral regions of the hippocampus display divergent developmental trajectories and development is not identical for males and females [41]. This suggests that PPS may have specific consequences for the development of dorsal and ventral hippocampal regions, and this may differ between the sexes. A series of elegant experiments by Grigoryan et al. [21**] provide support for this hypothesis. Here, in males, PPS impaired and facilitated long-term potentiation (LTP) in the dorsal and ventral hippocampus respectively, through regionally altered noradrenergic mechanisms [21**]. PPS also alters GABAergic modulation of granule cells in the ventral dentate gyrus specifically through serotonergic mechanisms [42*]. Due to the intimate associations between stress, noradrenaline and GABAergic mechanisms [43,44], long-term modifications in these systems may partly underlie the altered responses to emotional challenges in adult animals exposed to PPS.

PPS also has consequences for the expression of genes implicated in risk for psychiatric disorder. Brydges et al. [45] found increased mRNA expression of disrupted-in-schizophrenia-1 (DISC1) and decreased expression of glycogen synthase kinase beta (GSK3β) and neuregulin 1 (NRG1) (specific to the type III isoform) in the hippocampus of stressed males and females in adulthood [45]. These genes have independently been implicated in risk for mental disorder [46,47]. Interestingly, changes in DISC1 and NRG1 were observed in adolescence, 7 days after the administration of stress, whereas alterations in GSK3β were not apparent until adulthood [45]. This suggests that PPS alters expression of some genes in an acute yet sustained manner, whereas others develop over time.

**Amygdala**

The amygdala facilitates the encoding of emotional memories by working in concert with other brain areas, particularly hippocampal and cortical regions [48]. In connection with hypothalamic regions, the amygdala is especially important for fearful and threat-related behaviours [49,50]. Enhanced amygdala and hypothalamic activity is observed in PPS male rats during retrieval of a cued fear memory [51*], suggesting that PPS intensifies cued fear responses. PPS also results in mild increases in aggression, although this effect is greatly enhanced in animals exposed to a stress protocol extending through the pre-pubertal and pubertal periods (PND28–42) [52–54]. Increased aggression was associated with alterations in expression of molecular markers of excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission (including the NR1 subunit of the N-methyl D-aspartate receptor and vesicular glutamate transporter 1, glutamic acid decarboxylase 67 and vesicular GABA transporter) in the central nucleus of the amygdala in extendedly stressed animals only, with no changes observed in PPS animals [52]. PPS also affects other domains of social behaviour: stressed adult males displayed decreased social exploration of unfamiliar adults [55] and juveniles [56] (but see [52]).

The amygdala plays a central role in anxiety-like behaviour on the elevated plus maze, with inactivation producing anxiolytic effects [57]. PPS animals exhibit increased anxiety-like behaviour on the elevated plus maze [27*45,55,58,59*,60*]. These results mirror responses in human populations, where childhood adversity is strongly associated with the development of anxiety disorders in adulthood [61]. In humans, the effects of childhood adversity on amygdala structure are currently unclear [62]. However, altered function is observed, with increased amygdala responses to threatening stimuli [63]. These populations also demonstrate increased anxiety and aggression, further suggesting abnormal amygdala function [64,65].

**Prefrontal cortex**

Through connections with other cortical and subcortical regions, the prefrontal cortex subserves executive control, decision-making and emotion regulation [66]. Childhood adversity is associated with alterations in the PFC, including cortical thinning and increased grey matter [14,64,67]. Deficits in PFC activation and executive functioning are also observed in these populations [67,68]. Attentional set shifting tasks (ASST) can be used to investigate cortical function in rodents. Animals are trained to discriminate between stimuli in one domain (e.g. two distinct odours), before learning a new discrimination between either (i) stimuli in the same domain (intra-dimensional shift) or (ii) stimuli in another sensory domain (e.g. tactile, extra-dimensional shift). Using an ASST, Luo et al. [59*] found no evidence that PPS impaired ability on either intra-dimensional or extra-dimensional set shifting, and correspondingly, found no alterations in the PFC monoaminergic system (specifically, noradrenaline and 5-HT, which are involved in set shifting behaviour). However, PPS increased dopamine in the prefrontal cortex, which correlated with increased anxiety behaviour in an open field task [59*]. Limited data thus far suggests that PPS alters prefrontal function but this is restricted to emotional regulation. Indeed, PPS involving early weaning and 12 days of variable stress produced anxiety-like behaviours, decreased neuronal activity in the medial PFC, increased activity in the
amygdala, and produced longer excitatory latencies in mPFC neurons after amygdala stimulation [60]. As the prefrontal cortex exerts an inhibitory influence on the amygdala, dysfunction in this circuitry after PPS is consistent with the enhanced anxiety phenotype observed in PPS models. Further studies are needed to confirm this.

Sex differences
Although previous research in animal models of PPS has included females as well as males [10,19], the majority of studies reviewed here have focussed on male animals. When explored, sex differences are often found in response to PPS, including divergent responses in hippocampal-dependent behaviour and perseveration [10,19,40,71]. Sex differences exist in the age of onset, prevalence and symptomatology of many neuropsychiatric disorders [69,70]. This is perhaps not surprising when we consider that several brain regions display sex differences in development [70]. Future studies should address this issue, and strive to include females whenever possible.

Conclusion
Hippocampal, amygdaloidal and cortical regions work together to integrate information and produce appropriate behavioural responses. Due to their central role in stress reactivity and developmental progression throughout childhood, they are predicted to be extremely vulnerable PPS. This is especially true when coupled with the fact that pre-puberty is a time of enhanced reactivity to stress. Building on a body of research over the last decade [10], recent work in animal models of PPS provides further support for this hypothesis, and demonstrates that PPS induces alterations throughout the limbic system. Whether these alterations constitute adaptation, with early-life stressors programming resilience to adversity later in life, or simply dysfunction and increased risk for neuropsychiatric disorder, remains to be unravelled. Future studies should focus on elucidating the precise neurobiological mechanisms responsible for behavioural and molecular alterations after PPS, and special attention should be given to potential epigenetic mechanisms. Increasing our understanding of the biological mechanisms linking early-life stress with increased risk for psychiatric disorders will enable the development of targeted interventions in clinical populations with a history of childhood adversity.

Conflict of interest statement
Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements
This research was supported by a Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute Fellowship from Cardiff University. I wish to acknowledge Professor Jeremy Hall and Dr Kerrie Thomas (Cardiff University) for proof reading and advice on the manuscript.

References
1. Heim C, Binder EB: Current research trends in early life stress and depression: review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene–environment interactions, and epigenetics. Exp Neurol 2012, 233:102-111.
2. Bale TL, Baram TZ, Brown AS, Goldstein JM, Insel TR, McCarthy MM, Nemeroff CB, Reyes TM, Simerly RB, Susser ES et al.: Early life programming and neurodevelopmental disorders. Biol Psychiatry 2010, 68:314-319.
3. Patchev AV, Rodrigues AJ, Susa N, Spengler D, Almeida OFX: The future is now: early life events preset adult behaviour. Acta Physiol 2014, 210:46-57.
4. Glover V: Prenatal stress and the origins of psychopathology: an evolutionary perspective. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2011, 52:356-367.
5. Luoni A, Richetto J, Racagni G, Molteni R: The long-term impact of early adversities on psychiatric disorders: focus on neuronal plasticity. Curr Pharma Design 2015, 21:1388-1395.
6. Nishi M, Hori-Hayashi N, Sasagawa T: Effects of early life adverse experiences on the brain: implications from maternal separation models in rodents. Front Neurosci 2014, 8:6.
7. Eiland L, Romeo RD: Stress and the developing adolescent brain. Neuroscience 2013, 249:162-171.
8. Burke AR, Miczek KA: Stress in adolescence and drugs of abuse in rodent models: role of dopamine GRF, and HPA axis. Psychopharmacology 2014, 231:1557-1580.
9. Hollis F, Isgor C, Kabbar M: The consequences of adolescent chronic unpredictable stress exposure on brain and behavior. Neuroscience 2013, 249:232-241.
10. Horovitz O, Toory MM, Hall J, Jacobson-Pick S, Richter-Levin G: Post-weaning to pre-pubertal (‘juvenile’) stress: a model of induced predisposition to stress-related disorders. Neuroendocrinology 2012, 95:55-64.
11. Hedman AM, van Haren NEM, Schnack HG, Kahn RS, Pol HEH: Human brain changes across the life span: a review of 56 longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging studies. Hum Brain Mapp 2012, 33:1987-2002.
12. Uematsu A, Matsui M, Tanaka C, Takahashi T, Noguchi K, Suzuki M, Nishijo H: Developmental trajectories of amygdala and hippocampus from infancy to early adulthood in healthy individuals. Plos One 2012:7.
13. Toga AW, Thompson PM, Sowell ER: Mapping brain maturation. Trends Neurosci 2006, 29:148-159.
14. McCrory E, De Brito SA, Viding E E.: The link between child abuse and psychopathology: a review of neuropsychological and genetic research. J R Soc Med 2012:105.
15. Semple BD, Blomgren K, Gimlin K, Ferriero DM, Noble-Haeusslein LJ: Brain development in rodents and humans: identifying benchmarks of maturation and vulnerability to injury across species. Prog Neurobiol 2013, 106:1-16.
16. Herman JP, Cullinan WE: Neurocircuitry of stress: central control of the hypothalamo-pituitary–adrenocortical axis. Trends Neurosci 1997, 20:78-84.
17. Klein ZA, Romeo RD: Changes in hypothalamic-pituitary–adrenal stress responsiveness before and after puberty in rats. Hormones Behavior 2013, 64:357-363.
18. McCormick CM, Green MR: From the stressed adolescent to the anxious and depressed adult: investigations in rodent models. Neuroscience 2013, 249:242-257.
19. Horovitz O, Toory MM, Yovel Y, Richter-Levin G: A rat model of pre-puberty (juvenile) stress-induced predisposition to stress-related disorders: sex similarities and sex differences in effects and symptoms. World J Biol Psychiatry 2014, 15:36-48.
20. Fuentes S, Carrasco J, Armario A, Nadal R: Behavioral and neuroendocrine consequences of juvenile stress combined with adult immobilization in male rats. Hormones Behav 2014, 66:475-486.
Juvenile stress alters LTP in ventral hippocampal slices: involvement of noradrenergic mechanisms. Behav Brain Res 2015, 278:559-562.

This study highlights the differential impact of pre-pubertal stress on dorsal versus ventral hippocampus.

Hulme PA: Childhood sexual abuse, HPA axis regulation, and mental health: an integrative review. Western J Nursing Res 2011, 33:1069-1097.

Frodl T, O’Keane V: How does the brain deal with cumulative stress? A review with focus on developmental stress, HPA axis function and hippocampal structure in humans. Neurobiol Dis 2013, 52:24-37.

Baes CV, Tofolli SMD, Martins CMS, Jurunua MF: Assessment of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity: glucocorticoid receptor and mineralocorticoid receptor function in depression with early life stress — a systematic review. Acta Neuropsychol 2012, 24:4-15.

Hatzinger M, Reul J, Landgraf R, Holsober F, Neumann I: Combined dexamethasone/CRH test in rats: hypothalamo-pituitary-adenocortical system alterations in aging. Neuroendocrinology 1996, 64:349-356.

Heuser I, Yassouridis A, Holsober F: The combined dexamethasone CRH test — a refined laboratory test for psychiatric disorders. J Psychiatric Res 1994, 28:341-356.

Brydges NM, Jin R, Seckl J, Holmes MC, Drake AJ, Hall J: Juvenile stress enhances anxiety and alters corticosteroid receptor expression in adulthood. Brain Behav 2014, 4:4-13.

Using a mouse model, the authors demonstrate dysregulation in the corticosteroid receptor system of the hippocampus after pre-pubertal stress.

McGowan PO, Sasaki A, D’Alessio AC, Dymov S, Labonte B, Szfy M, Turecki G, Meaney MJ: Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. Nat Neurosci 2009, 12:342-348.

Labonte B, Yerko V, Gross J, Mechawar N, Meaney MJ, Szfy M, Turecki G: Differential glucocorticoid receptor exon 1(B1C) and 1(H) expression and methylation in suicide completers with a history of childhood abuse. Biol Psychiatry 2012, 72:41-48.

This study demonstrates how childhood adversity correlates with altered corticosteroid receptor expression in the human hippocampus, and highlights the role that epigenetic mechanisms may play.

Griffiths BB, Hunter RG: Neuroepigenetics of stress. Neuroscience 2014, 275:420-435.

Dallman MF: Early life stress: nature and nurture. Endocrinology 2014, 155:1569-1572.

Maccari S, Krugers HJ, Morley-Fletcher S, Szfy M, Bruton JT: The consequences of early-life adversity: neurobiological, behavioural and epigenetic adaptations. J Neuroendocrinology 2012, 26:707-723.

Turecki G, Meaney MJ: Effects of the social environment and stress on glucocorticoid receptor gene methylation: a systematic review. Biol Psychiatry 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bijspsych.2014.11.022.

Bick J, Naumova O, Hunter S, Barbot B, Lee M, Luthar SS, Rasfeld A, Grigorenko EL: Childhood adversity and DNA methylation of genes involved in the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis and immune system: whole-genome and candidate-gene associations. Dev Psychopathol 2012, 24:1417-1425.

Bannerman DM, Spreengel R, Sanderson DJ, McHugh SB, Ravlins JNP, Monyer H, Seeburg PH: Hippocampal synaptic plasticity, spatial memory and anxiety. Nat Rev Neurosci 2014, 15:181-192.

Chen Y, Andres AL, Frotscher M, Baram TZ: Tuning synaptic transmission in the hippocampus by stress: the CRH system. Front Cell Neurosci 2012:6.

Teicher MH, Anderson CM, Polcari A: Childhood maltreatment is associated with reduced volume in the hippocampal subfields CA3, dentate gyrus, and subiculum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109:E563-E572.

Bremner JD, Vythilingam M, Vermetten E, Southwick SM, McGlashan T, Nazeev A, Khan S, Vaccarino LV, Soufer R, Garg PK et al.: MRI and PET study of deficits in hippocampal structure and function in women with childhood sexual abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 2003, 160:924-932.

Isogor C, Kabbaj M, Akil H, Watson SJ: Delayed effects of chronic variable stress during peripubertal-juvenile period on hippocampal morphology and on cognitive and stress axis functions in rats. Hippocampus 2004, 14:636-648.

Brydges NM, Wood ER, Holmes MC, Hall J: Prepubertal stress and hippocampal function: sex-specific effects. Hippocampus 2014, 24:684-692.

Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Valtius AC, Nugent TF, Herman DH, Clasen LS, Toga AW et al.: Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004, 101:8174-8179.

Gruber D, Gilling KE, Albrecht A, Bartsch JC, Calisikan G, Richter- Levin G, Stork O, Heinemann U, Behr J: 5-HT receptor-mediated modulation of granule cell inhibition after juvenile stress recoveries after a second exposure to adult stress. Neuroscience 2015, 293:67-79.

Here, pre-pubertal stress alters GABAergic modulation of granule cells in the ventral hippocampus through serotonergic mechanisms. Interestingly, these alterations partially recover after a second stressor in adulthood.

Gunn BG, Cunningham L, Mitchell SG, Swinny JD, Lambert JJ, Beeli I: GABA(A) receptor-acting neurosteroids: a role in the development and regulation of the stress response. Front Neuroendocrinol 2015, 36:28-48.

Lipski WJ, Grace AA: Activation and inhibition of neurons in the hippocampal ventral subiculum by norepinephrine and locus coeruleus stimulation. Neurropsychopharmacology 2013, 38:285-292.

Brydges NM, Seckl J, Torrance HS, Holmes MC, Evans KL, Hall J: Juvenile stress produces long-lasting changes in hippocampal DISC1. GSK3 beta and NR1 expression. Mol Psychiatry 2014, 19:854-855.

Gill M, Donohoe G, Corvin A: What have the genomics ever done for the psychoses? Psychol Med 2010, 40:529-540.

Lovestone S, Killik B, Di Forti M, Murray R: Schizophrenia as a GSK-3 disorder. Brain 2010, 132:142-149.

Hermans EJ, Battaglia FP, Atsak P, de Voogd LD, Fernandez G, Rozendaal B: How the amygdala affects emotional memory by altering brain network properties. Neurolib Learn Memory 2014, 112:2-16.

Janak PH, Tye KM: From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. Nature 2015, 517:284-292.

Haller J: The neurobiology of abnormal manifestations of aggression — a review of hypothalamic mechanisms in cats, rodents, and humans. Brain Res Bull 2013, 93:97-109.

Brydges NM, Whalley HC, Jansen MA, Merrifield GD, Wood ER, Lawrie SM, Wynne SM, De Vugt MW, Fleetwood-Walker S, Steele D et al.: Imaging conditioned fear circuitry using awake rodent fMRI. Plos One 2013:8.

Rats exposed to pre-pubertal stress demonstrate enhanced fear responses in the amygdala and hypothalamus on a neural level, as shown through functional magnetic resonance imaging.

Tzanosoulinos S, Riccio O, de Boer MW, Sandi C: Peripubertal stress-induced behavioral changes are associated with altered expression of genes involved in excitation and inhibition in the amygdala. Transl Psychiatry 2014:4.

Marquez C, Poirier GL, Cordero MI, Larsen MH, Groner A, Marquis J, Magistretti PJ, Trono D, Sandi C: Peripubertal stress leads to abnormal aggression, altered amygdala and orbitofrontal reactivity and increased prefrontal MAOA gene expression. Transl Psychiatry 2013:3.

www.sciencedirect.com Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 2016, 7:8–14
54. Cordero MI, Ansermet F, Sandi C: Long-term programming of enhanced aggression by periubertiy stress in female rats. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2013, 38:2758-2769.

55. MacKay JC, James JS, Cayer C, Kent P, Anisman H, Merali Z: Protracted effects of juvenile stressor exposure are mitigated by access to palatable food. PloS One 2014:9.

56. Jacobson-Pick S, Audet M-C, Nathoo N, Anisman H: Stressor experiences during the juvenile period increase stressor responsivity in adulthood: Transmission of stressor experiences. Behav Brain Res 2011, 218:365-374.

57. Adhikari A: Distributed circuits underlying anxiety. Front Behav Neurosci 2014, 8:6.

58. Brydges NM, Hall L, Nicolson R, Holmes MC, Hall J: The effects of juvenile stress on anxiety. Cognitive bias and decision making in adulthood: a rat model. PloS One 2012:7.

59. Luo X-M, Yuan S-N, Guan X-T, Xie X, Shao F, Wang W-W: Juvenile stress affects anxiety-like behavior and limbic monoamines in adult rats. Physiol Behav 2014, 135:7-16. After a week of pre-pubertal stress, adult rats demonstrated no changes in hedonic responses or cognitive function, but displayed increased dopamine, decreased 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (serotonin metabolite) in the prefrontal cortex, and decreased norepinephrine in the amygdala. Alterations in prefrontal dopamine were correlated with anxiety behaviour.

60. Ishikawa J, Nishimura R, Ishikawa A: Early-life stress induces anxiety-like behaviors and activity imbalances in the medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala in adult rats. Eur J Neurosci 2015, 41:442-453. Early weaning plus pre-pubertal stress alters prefrontal cortex — amygdala circuits in adult brains.

61. Green JG, McLaughlin KA, Berglund PA, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC: Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication I associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. Arch General Psychiatry 2010, 67:113-123.

62. Hart H, Rubia K: Neuroimaging of child abuse: a critical review. Front Hum Neurosci 2012, 6:24.

63. Dannlowski U, Stuhrmann A, Beutelmann V, Zwanzger P, Lenzten T, Grotegerd D, Domschke K, Hohoff C, Ohrmann P, Bauer J et al.: Limbic scars: long-term consequences of childhood maltreatment revealed by functional and structural magnetic resonance imaging. Biol Psychiatry 2012, 71:286-293.

64. McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, Lambert HK: Childhood adversity and neural development: deprivation and threat as distinct dimensions of early experience. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2014, 47:578-591.

65. Carver CS, Johnson SL, McCullough ME, Forster DE, Joormann J: Adulthood personality correlates of childhood adversity. Front Psychol 2014:5.

66. Domenach P, Koechlin E: Executive control and decision-making in the prefrontal cortex. Curr Opin Behav Sci 2015, 1:101-106.

67. Pechtel P, Pizzagalli DA: Effects of early life stress on cognitive and affective function: an integrated review of human literature. Psychopharmacology 2011, 214:55-70.

68. Kim P, Evans GW, Angstadt M, Ho SS, Sripada CS, Swain JE, Liberzon I, Phan KL: Effects of childhood poverty and chronic stress on emotion regulatory brain function in adulthood. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110:18442-18447.

69. Bao A-M, Swaab DF: Sex differences in the brain, behavior, and neuropsychiatric disorders. Neuroscientist 2010, 16:550-565.

70. Ruigrok ANV, Salimi-Khorshidi G, Lai M-C, Baron-Cohen S, Lombardo MV, Tait RJ, Suckling J: A meta-analysis of sex differences in human brain structure. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2014, 39:34-50.

71. Brydges NM, Holmes MH, Harris AP, Cardinal RN, Hall J: Early life stress produces compulsive-like but not impulsive behavior in females. Behav Neurosci 2015, 129:300-308.

72. Sengupta PA: Scientific review of age determination for a laboratory rat: how old is it in comparison with human age? Biomed Int 2011, 2:81-89.

73. Ron de Kloet E, Joels M, Holsboer F: Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 2005, 6:463-475.