Case report

Multiple organ infarction caused by aortic thrombus in a lung cancer patient with the \textit{BRAF} mutation
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\begin{abstract}
A 72-year-old male patient with advanced lung adenocarcinoma harboring a \textit{BRAF} mutation had received treatment with a \textit{BRAF} inhibitor and a MEK inhibitor. Treatment was ceased after 40 days because of disease progression. Twenty-four days after treatment cessation, the man was referred to our hospital with worsening abdominal and back pain over 2 weeks. Computed tomography revealed a massive thrombus in the descending aorta, bilateral kidney infarction, splenic infarction, and intestinal enlargement due to ileus. He was diagnosed with multiple organ infarction caused by arterial thromboembolism. Tumors harboring \textit{BRAF} mutations and \textit{BRAF}/MEK inhibitor therapy both have the potential to increase thrombosis risk, and were therefore thought to be associated with the occurrence of aortic thrombosis.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Aortic thrombosis is a rare disease that often presents with fatal thromboembolism such as cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, and acute limb ischemia \cite{1,2,3}. Pathogenic mechanisms of aortic thrombosis include hypercoagulability, endothelial injury, or morphological abnormalities of the aorta \cite{1,4}. Generally, aortic thrombosis occurs in association with systemic diseases such as cancer, infection, coagulation disorders, or vasculitis. Other known risk factors for aortic thrombosis include chest trauma, smoking, hypertension, atherosclerosis and drugs that induce endothelial injury and/or enhance platelet activation \cite{4,5,6}.

Cancer is a well-known risk factor for arterial thrombosis. In a large cohort study of 279,719 patients with various cancers, the 6-month cumulative incidence of arterial thrombosis was reported to be 4.7%, but was 2.2% in matched control patients \cite{7}. Patients with lung cancer in particular had the highest rates of arterial thrombosis (8.3%). Furthermore, oncogenic driver genes are reported to be associated with thrombosis \cite{8}. The V-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (\textit{BRAF}) mutation acts as an oncogenic driver gene in solid cancers including lung cancer \cite{9,10,11}. \textit{BRAF}-mutated tumors have the potential to increase thrombosis risk, compared with non-\textit{BRAF}-mutated tumors \cite{12,13}.

Meanwhile, thrombosis is also known as an adverse event of cancer therapy. Combination therapy with a \textit{BRAF} inhibitor and a
mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK) inhibitor is the standard treatment for solid cancers harboring \textit{BRAF} mutations \cite{9–11}. Combination therapy with BRAF/MEK inhibitors have the potential to be associated with vasospasm, platelet activation, and hypercoagulation \cite{14,15}. Thrombosis is a rare adverse event. However, the association between BRAF/MEK inhibitor therapy and an increased risk of thromboembolism has been reported \cite{14,16}.

We herein present a case of multiple organ infarction caused by massive thrombus in descending aorta in a patient who received a BRAF inhibitor (dabrafenib) and a MEK inhibitor (trametinib) for the treatment of advanced lung adenocarcinoma harboring the \textit{BRAF} V600E mutation.

2. Case presentation

A 72-year-old male was referred to our hospital with right cervical lymph node swelling. His medical history included hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. He had a 45-pack-year history of smoking before the diagnosis of lung cancer. Transbronchial biopsy from the primary tumor of the left lower lobe of the lungs revealed lung adenocarcinoma. He was diagnosed as having advanced lung adenocarcinoma, with adrenal, bone, and cervical lymph node metastases (cT2aN3M1c, stage IV, 

![Computed tomography images of lung cancer. Computed tomography revealed the primary tumor in the left lower lobe of the lungs (arrows) and right cervical lymph node metastasis (arrowheads) at diagnosis (A, B). Following treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib, the primary tumor shrank, whereas the cervical lymph node metastasis was enlarged (C, D). At admission because of multiple organ infarction, the primary tumor remained controlled, but the cervical lymph node metastasis had progressed (E, F). Despite second-line treatment with pembrolizumab, the disease further progressed (G, H).](image-url)
Next-generation sequencing using the transbronchial biopsy tissue revealed a BRAF V600E mutation without any other concomitant oncopgenes (Oncomine Dx Target Test®, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The tumor proportion score of programmed death ligand-1 was 10% (Dako 22C3 pharmDx, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). He had received dabrafenib and trametinib as first-line treatment. From 12 days after the start of the treatment, fever of unknown origin occurred and persisted despite 10 days of antibiotic treatment with levofloxacin.

Following treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib, the primary tumor in the left lower lobe of the lungs shrank, but the cervical lymph node metastasis was enlarged (Fig. 1 C and D). Therefore, dabrafenib and trametinib were ceased 40 days after the start of treatment. Fever of unknown origin continued even after the cessation of dabrafenib and trametinib. Twenty-four days after the cessation of the treatment, he was admitted to our hospital with gradually worsening abdominal pain and back pain over 2 weeks.

He had a body temperature of 37.5 °C, blood pressure of 100/66 mmHg, and heart rate of 100 beats/min. Laboratory examinations revealed increased white blood cell counts (25,480/μL; 90.0% neutrophils), and increased C-reactive protein (18.4 mg/dL), lactate dehydrogenase (2705 IU/L), and D-dimer (26.5 μg/mL). (Table 1). Enhanced whole-body computed tomography revealed bilateral abdominal pain and back pain over 2 weeks. laboratory examination revealed increased white blood cell counts (25,480/μL; 90.0% neutrophils), and increased C-reactive protein (18.4 mg/dL), lactate dehydrogenase (2705 IU/L), and D-dimer (26.5 μg/mL). (Table 1). Enhanced whole-body computed tomography revealed bilateral kidney and splenic infarction and intestinal enlargement due to ileus (Fig. 2A–C). There was a massive thrombus in the descending thoracic aorta, which had not been observed at the lung cancer diagnosis (Fig. 3A–D). He was diagnosed with multiple organ infarction caused by arterial thromboembolism. The primary tumor in the left lower lobe remained controlled, but the cervical lymph node metastasis had progressed further (Fig. 1E and F). Lupus anticoagulant, anti-cardiolipin antibody, myeloperoxidase anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA), and proteinase-3 ANCA were not detected. Blood culture was also negative. He had normal echocardiography and no arrhythmias.

Anti-platelet therapy with aspirin and alprostadil (a prostaglandin E1 agent) was started for the aortic thrombosis. Although there was no obvious shrinkage in the aortic thrombus after the start of the anti-platelet therapy (Fig. 3E and F), the D-dimer level gradually decreased, and ileus was improved (Fig. 4). During anti-platelet therapy, the tumor progressed, and he received palliative radiation therapy for the painful cervical lymph node metastasis (a total dose of 30 Gy). His general condition gradually deteriorated to Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 2 due to cancer progression. He was expected to be unable to tolerate cytotoxic chemotherapy and therefore received pembrolizumab as second-line therapy at 24 days after the admission. However, the treatment was unsuccessful (Fig. 1G and H), and he died from the lung cancer progression at 45 days after admission (Fig. 4).

### 3. Discussion

Aortic thrombosis is associated with certain specific causes and is rarely idiopathic. In the current case, three possible factors were attributed to the occurrence of aortic thrombosis.

First, cancer is a risk factor for thrombosis. The underlying mechanisms are thought to be a hypercoagulable state induced by circulating microparticles, secretion of procoagulant factors, and increased platelet activity [17]. Furthermore, BRAF-mutated tumors have the potential to increase thrombosis risk. For example, Chang et al. reported that 52.9% (9/17) of patients with colorectal cancer harboring the BRAF mutation developed thrombosis, which was significantly more than in those without BRAF mutations (22.4%; 86/383) [12]. Ortega et al. reported that 28.6% (6/21) of patients with colorectal cancer harboring BRAF mutations developed thrombosis, compared with 21.9% (23/105) of those without BRAF mutation [13]. Although the underlying mechanisms are unknown, the activation of oncogenes induces the expression of genes associated with tissue factors that control hemostasis [8,12,13,17,18].

Second, BRAF/MEK inhibitor therapy has the potential to increase the risk of thrombosis. In 4659 patients with melanoma, lung cancer, and colorectal cancer who received BRAF/MEK inhibitors, 8.2% developed cardiovascular adverse events, including 1.4% with venous thromboembolism and 1.2% with pulmonary embolism [16]. This was significantly more frequent than the 6.1% of patients with cardiovascular adverse events, including 0.8% with venous thromboembolism and 0.6% with pulmonary embolism, in a cohort of
3053 patients undergoing BRAF inhibitor monotherapy [16]. Furthermore, in 2317 patients with melanoma, combination therapy with BRAF/MEK inhibitors was associated with an increased risk of pulmonary embolism compared with BRAF monotherapy (relative risk, 4.36; 95% confidence interval, 1.23–15.44) [14]. Combination therapy with BRAF/MEK inhibitors interferes with the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade that is associated with the production of prostacyclin (PGI₂) and nitric oxide (NO). Inhibition of PGI₂ and NO leads to vasospasm, platelet activation, and hypercoagulation [14,15]. It is possible that BRAF/MEK inhibitors are associated with thrombosis via inhibition of PGI₂ and NO.

Third, comorbidities are also risk factors for aortic thrombosis [4–6]. The patient in our case had a history of smoking, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia, which might have affected the development of thrombosis. In the current case, it was unclear which of the three factors had the strongest impact on the occurrence of aortic thrombosis. However, the D-dimer level, which was temporarily reduced after anti-platelet therapy, eventually increased again with the progression of the tumor. The clinical course suggests that...

Fig. 2. Enhanced abdominal computed tomography images. Enhanced abdominal computed tomography revealed bilateral kidney infarction (arrows), splenic infarction (arrowheads), and intestinal enlargement due to ileus (double arrows) on admission. Coronal (A) and horizontal (B, C) images.
tumor-induced hypercoagulation may have had the dominant effect on formation of the aortic thrombus in this patient, rather than it being an adverse event of the BRAF/MEK inhibitors.

4. Conclusion

Although aortic thrombosis is rare, patients with lung cancer with the \textit{BRAF} V600E mutation who receive BRAF/MEK inhibitor therapy may be at risk of thrombosis. Therefore, careful attention to the possibility of thrombotic events is warranted.

Statement confirming consent

Appropriate written informed consent was obtained for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

Declarations of interest

None.
Acknowledgments

We thank Helen Roberton, from Edanz (https://jp.edanz.com/ac), for editing a draft of this manuscript.

References

[1] E.M. Choukroun, L.M. Labrousse, F.P. Madonna, C. Deville, Mobile thrombus of the thoracic aorta: diagnosis and treatment in 9 cases, Ann. Vasc. Surg. 16 (6) (2002) 714–722.

[2] T. Laperche, C. Laurian, R. Roudaut, P.G. Steg, Mobile thromboses of the aortic arch without aortic debris: a transesophageal echocardiographic finding associated with unexplained arterial embolism, Circulation 96 (1997) 288–294.

[3] H.I. Machleder, H. Takiff, J.F. Lois, E. Holburt, Aortic mural thrombus: an occult source of arterial thromboembolism, J. Vasc. Surg. 4 (1986) 473–478.

[4] M. Tuzovic, J. Herrmann, C. Iliescu, K. Marmakgiolos, B. Ziaeian, E.H. Yang, Arterial thrombosis in patients with cancer, Curr. Treat. Options Cardiovasc. Med. 20 (5) (2018) 40.

[5] T. Yagyu, M. Naoto, M. Kumada, T. Nakagawa, Aortic mural thrombus in the non-atherosclerotic aorta of patients with multiple hypercoagulable factors, Intern. Med. 58 (3) (2019) 381–385.

[6] C. Sato, K. Okuda, H. Tamiya, K. Yamamoto, K. Hoshina, O. Narumoto, et al., Acute arterial thrombosis during postoperative adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy for completely resected lung adenocarcinoma, Intern. Med. 57 (4) (2018) 557–561.

[7] B.B. Navi, A.S. Reiner, H. Kamel, C. Iadecola, P.M. Okin, M.S.V. Elkind, et al., Risk of arterial thromboembolism in patients with cancer, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 70 (8) (2017) 926–938.

[8] V.W. Zhu, J.J. Zhao, Y. Gao, N.L. Syn, S.S. Zhang, S.I. Ou, et al., Thromboembolism in ALK+ and ROS1+ NSCLC patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Lung Cancer 157 (2021) 147–155.

[9] D. Planchard, E.F. Smit, H.J.M. Groen, J. Mazieres, B. Besse, Â. Helland, et al., Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with previously untreated BRAFV600E-mutant metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: an open-label, phase 2 trial, Lancet Oncol. 18 (10) (2017) 1307–1316.

[10] C. Robert, B. Karaszewska, J. Schachter, P. Rutkowski, A. Mackiewicz, D. Stroiakovski, et al., Improved overall survival in melanoma with combined dabrafenib and trametinib, N. Engl. J. Med. 372 (1) (2015) 30–39.

[11] S. Kopetz, A. Grothey, R. Yaeger, E. Van Cutsem, J. Desai, T. Yoshino, et al., Encorafenib, Binimetinib, and Cetuximab in BRAF V600E-mutated colorectal cancer, N. Engl. J. Med. 381 (17) (2019) 1632–1643.

[12] X.N. Chang, F.M. Shang, H.Y. Jiang, C. Chen, Z.Y. Zhao, S.H. Deng, et al., Clinicopathological features and prognostic value of KRAS/NRAS/BRAF mutations in colorectal cancer patients of Central China, Curr. Med. Sci. 41 (1) (2021) 118–126.

[13] L. Ortega Moran, P. Garcia Alfonso, I. Aguilar Caballero, B. Moron Garcia, V. Tirado Anula, M. de Toro Carmina, et al., Incidence of venous thromboembolism in patients with colorectal cancer according to oncogenic status, Clin. Transl. Oncol. 22 (11) (2020) 2026–2031.

[14] R.I. Mincu, A.A. Mahabadi, L. Michel, S.M. Mrotzek, D. Schadenhof, T. Rassaf, et al., Cardiovascular adverse events associated with BRAF and MEK inhibitors: a systematic review and meta-analysis, JAMA Netw. Open. 2 (8) (2019), e198890.

[15] A.K. Pandey, E.K. Singh, J.P. Arroyo, T.A. Kizler, E.R. Gould, J. Brown, et al., Mechanisms of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) inhibitor-associated hypertension and vascular disease, Hypertension 71 (2) (2018) e1–e8.

[16] A. Guha, P. Jain, M.G. Fradley, D. Lenihan, J.M. Gutierrez, C. Jain, et al., Cardiovascular adverse events associated with BRAF versus BRAF/MEK inhibitor: cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis using two large national registries, Cancer Med. 10 (12) (2021) 3862–3872.

[17] C. Boccaccio, P.M. Conoglio, Genetic link between cancer and thrombosis, J. Clin. Oncol. 27 (29) (2009) 4827–4833.

[18] M. Gomes, A.A. Khorana, Risk assessment for thrombosis in cancer, Semin. Thromb. Hemost. 40 (2014) 319–324.