JUNCTION POTENTIALS IN RESPONSE TO ORTHO- AND ANTI-DROMIC STIMULATION OF HYPOGASTRIC NERVE IN MOUSE VAS DEFERENS
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Abstract—The interaction of the junction potentials in response to ortho- and anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation in mouse vas deferens was studied, using an extracellular recording method. Ortho-dromic repetitive hypogastric nerve stimulation (10 Hz, 5 min) simultaneously depressed the amplitude of the junction potentials in response to both ortho- and anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation (post-tetanic depression). No time-lag in recovery from the post-tetanic depression was observed between the junction potentials recorded from two separate electrodes, indicating that the proximodistal axonal flow of available transmitter was not involved in the recovery process. Double shocks, with intervals from 10 msec to 1 sec, were applied to the hypogastric nerve. The junction potentials in response to ortho- and ortho-dromic or anti- and anti-dromic double shock were markedly facilitated. On the contrary, the junction potentials in response to ortho- and anti-dromic double shocks were not facilitated. The findings indicate that facilitation of the junction potentials is produced by the impulses propagated in the same direction along the terminal axon and also that the origin of the facilitation may be at a pre-junctional site.

Burnstock and Holman (1) first recorded junction potentials in response to hypogastric nerve stimulation in guinea-pig vas deferens. The junction potentials showed a marked facilitation. The origin of the facilitation may be presynaptic, and not due to an increase in the sensitivity of the smooth muscle membrane to a constant amount of transmitter (2). On the other hand, adrenergic nerve fibers innervating the musculature of the vas deferens make many varicosities along the length of terminal axons (3). Furness (4) confirmed that chemical transmitter was released from the varicosities, from the result that anti-dromic propagation of action potentials along the terminal nerve fibers produced junction potentials in mouse vas deferens. The present study, therefore, dealt with the interaction of the junction potentials in response to ortho- and anti-dromic stimulation of hypogastric nerve fibers innervating the muscular layer of mouse vas deferens. A preliminary account of the investigation has already appeared (5).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Male mice, weighing 20 to 25 g, were stunned by a blow on the head, and bled from bilateral common carotid arteries. One side of vas deferens with the associated hypo-
gastric nerve was removed and immersed in Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate solution, which was aerated with a mixture of 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂, and kept at about 37°C. The composition of the solution was as follows: NaCl, 119 mM; CaCl₂·2H₂O, 2.5 mM; KH₂PO₄, 1.2 mM; KCl, 4.7 mM; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1.2 mM; NaHCO₃, 25 mM; glucose, 11 mM. In order to record the electrical activity of the vas deferens extracellularly, a glass capillary was used. The top of this was about 10 μ in diameter and it was filled with 4 M NaCl. The mass electrical response was recorded using DC amplifiers (Nihon Koden MZ-4 and AVH-2). The indifferential electrode, which consisted of silver wire, was placed in the medium. The electrical activities were displayed and photographed using an oscilloscope (Nihon Koden VC-7) and long recording camera (Nihon Koden PC-2B). Extraneous and intra-mural nerve fibers existing near the prostatic and testicular ends of the vas deferens were stimulated with square wave pulses (0.1 to 1.0 msec in duration and 3 to 6 V in intensity) through a pair of silver electrodes. For stimulation of preganglionic fibers, the stimulating electrode was placed on the central side from the prostatic ends of seminal vesicle and vas deferens.

RESULTS

1) Junction potentials in response to ortho-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation

The hypogastric nerve was stimulated on the central side and the peripheral side from the angular part of seminal vesicle and vas deferens. Junction potentials with marked facilitation in response to stimulation of the central side were depressed by treatment with pentolinium (2 × 10⁻⁵ g/ml), a ganglionic blocking agent, while the response to stimulation of the peripheral side was unaffected (Fig. 1). This finding indicates that mouse hypogastric nerve has a ganglionic relay near the prostatic end of the vas deferens. There is much evidence for such a ganglion in the running process of the hypogastric nerve in mammals (6-8). When double shocks were given to the post-ganglionic nerve, the junction potential in response to the second shock was augmented. When the interval of the double shock was shorter than 150 msec, a spike potential associated with the twitch of
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![Fig. 1. Effect of pentolinium (2×10⁻⁵ g/ml) on junction potentials markedly facilitated in response to preganglionic (upper figures) and post-ganglionic (lower figures) repetitive hypogastric nerve stimulation at a frequency of 2 Hz, in mouse vas deferens. Time calibration: 1 sec, Voltage calibration: 100 μV.](image-url)
the muscle was sometimes elicited by the second shock (Fig. 2). The facilitation of the junction potentials in response to the repetitive hypogastric nerve stimulation with a frequency of more than 5 Hz was generally followed by depression (Wedenski's depression).

2) Junction potentials in response to anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation

From the finding that the latency increases linearly with the distance between stimulating and recording electrodes, independent of the direction of the propagation, Furness (4) concluded that junction potentials elicited centrally from the stimulating site are caused by transmitter released with anti-dromic propagation of action potentials along the terminal nerve fibers. Zieher and Jaim-Etcheverry (9) found that the prostatic side of rat vas deferens is more densely innervated by adrenergic fibers than the testicular side by measuring the noradrenaline content of the tissue. The amplitude of the junction potentials in response to stimulation of the hypogastric nerve on the prostatic side of the recording electrode was almost unaffected by shifting the positions of the stimulating electrodes. On the contrary, the amplitude of the junction potentials in response to stimulation of hypogastric nerve on the testicular side of the recording electrode was altered according to the distance between recording and stimulating electrodes. The closer the stimulating electrode was to recording position, the larger was the amplitude of the junction potentials (Fig. 3). The current spread of junction potentials near stimulating electrode may not be involved in the increase of the amplitude, because the space constant of guinea-pig vas deferens is 2.1 mm (10). It may be that the number of fibers to be stimulated does increase as the stimulating electrode approaches the recording position on the testicular side of the vas deferens. The finding also indicates that the junction potentials in response to stimulation of hypogastric nerve at the peripheral side of the recording position may be due to release of transmitter from the varicosities according to the excitation of terminal fibers induced by anti-dromic stimulation. The junction potentials in response to anti-
dromic repetitive stimulation (0.1 to 10 Hz) of the hypogastric nerve also showed marked facilitation.

FIG. 3. Junction potentials in response to ortho-dromic (A1 and A2) and anti-dromic (B1, B2 and B3) hypogastric nerve stimulation (1 Hz) in mouse vas deferens. The amplitude of junction potentials in response to stimulation at the prostatic side of the recording electrode was almost unchanged by shifting the position of stimulation (A1 and A2); while the amplitude of junction potentials in response to stimulation at the testicular side of the recording electrode was increased by shifting the stimulating electrode from B1 to B2 and B3 (5 mm apart from recording electrode). The increase in the amplitude of junction potentials may be explained by an increase in the number of excited nerve fibers. Time calibration: 1 sec, Voltage calibration: 100 μV.

FIG. 4. Effect of ortho-dromic repetitive hypogastric nerve stimulation (10 Hz, 1 msec, 5 min) on the amplitude of junction potentials in response to ortho-dromic and anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation. Left: junction potential in response to ortho-dromic stimulation. Right: junction potential in response to anti-dromic stimulation. A and A': Control, B and B': 5 min after, C and C': 30 min after, D and D': 1 hr after ortho-dromic tetanic stimulation, respectively. Time calibration: 30 msec, Voltage calibration: 100 μV.
3) Interaction between junction potentials in response to ortho- and anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation

Ortho-dromic tetanic stimulation (10 Hz, 1 msec, 5 min) of the hypogastric nerve depressed the amplitude of the junction potentials in response to both ortho- and anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation (Fig. 4). The finding would indicate that post-tetanic depression of the junction potentials occurs locally, at the nerve terminals or post-junctional membrane. This finding also showed the existence of definite interaction between synapses excited by ortho- and anti-dromic nerve stimulation. Recovery of the amplitude of the junction potentials from post-tetanic depression produced by ortho-dromic stimulation, was observed simultaneously in ortho- and anti-dromic stimulation. Simultaneous recovery of the amplitude of the junction potentials from post-tetanic depression was observed when recorded by two electrodes 1 cm apart; viz. there was no time-lag in the recovery of the amplitude of junction potentials recorded at the two electrodes. This finding may indicate that proximo-distal axonal flow of chemical transmitter is not involved in the recovery of the amplitude of junction potentials from post-tetanic depression. As previously described, the junction potentials in response to both ortho- and anti-dromic repetitive stimulation (0.1 to 10 Hz) of hypogastric nerve showed marked facilitation. However, the junction potentials in response to alternating ortho- and anti-dromic stimulation of the hypogastric nerve, using the double shock method, were not facilitated (Fig. 5). When the interval between two shocks was reduced to less than 50 msec, the amplitude of junction potentials in response to the second shock was slightly reduced. This desensitization phenomenon indicates that the present mass recording of electrical activity can detect the interaction between synapses excited by ortho- and anti-dromic nerve stimulation.
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**Fig. 5.** Junction potentials in response to ortho- and anti-dromic double shock in mouse vas deferens. A: junction potential elicited by a single ortho-dromic shock. B: junction potential elicited by a single anti-dromic shock. Ortho-dromic shock followed by anti-dromic shock: the interval of double shock was 10 msec (C), 30 msec (D) and 50 msec (E) and 100 msec (F), respectively. The junction potential elicited by the second shock (anti-dromic) was not augmented as a result of the preceding ortho-dromic shock. The amplitude of the junction potentials elicited by the second shock was slightly depressed when the interval of the double shock was shorter than 50 msec. Time calibration: 50 msec, Voltage calibration: 100 μV.
stimulation. Sometimes, an action potential was generated, the second junction potential being superimposed on the first junction potential. When facilitation of junction potentials in response of ortho-dromic repetitive stimulation with low frequency (1 Hz) reached a maximum, the junction potentials in response to added anti-dromic repetitive stimulation (1 Hz) caused marked facilitation (Fig. 6). This finding indicates that the facilitation of junction potentials is produced only by impulses propagated in the same direction along the terminal nerve fibers, and also that the origin of facilitation of junction potentials is at a pre-junctional site.

**DISCUSSION**

Falck (3) has demonstrated that adrenergic neurons form terminals (varicosities) along the length of terminal axons. Furness (4) has concluded that chemical transmitter is released from these varicosities, from the result that anti-dromic hypogastric nerve stimulation gives rise to junction potentials in mouse vas deferens. In the present experiments, it was found that when the stimulating electrode was shifted further away from recording electrode in the testicular direction (anti-dromic stimulation), the amplitude of the junction potential became smaller; while when the stimulating electrode was shifted from the recording electrode in the prostatic direction, the amplitude of junction potentials was almost unaltered. The finding may be explained by making the assumption that the amplitude of junction potentials is dependent on the number of nerve fibers excited by ortho- and anti-dromic stimulation, since Zieher and Jaim-Etcheverry (9) have demonstrated that innervation is denser on the prostatic side of the vas deferens than on the testicular side. Thus Furness's observation (4) that anti-dromic stimulation produces junction potential was also confirmed by another method.
Ortho-dromic tetanic stimulation depressed the amplitude of junction potential in response to ortho- and anti-dromic stimulation of hypogastric nerve (post-tetanic depression). In the present study, it could not be determined whether the origin of the post-tetanic depression is at a pre- or post-junctional site. It may be that the axonal flow of chemical transmitter is not involved in the recovery process from post-tetanic depression, since no time-lag in the recovery was seen between two separated recording electrodes. The direction of the axonal flow is always proximodistal (11).

Ortho- or anti-dromic repetitive stimulation of the hypogastric nerve produced junction potentials with marked facilitation. However, alternate ortho- and anti-dromic stimulation, using the double shock method, of the hypogastric nerve did not produce facilitation of the junction potentials. Therefore, it is concluded that the facilitation of junction potentials is induced by the action potentials propagating in the same direction in the terminal axons. The finding may also indicate that the origin of the facilitation of the junction potentials is at a presynaptic site. Holman (2) also demonstrated that the facilitation of junction potentials was not due to a progressive increase in sensitivity of the smooth muscle membrane for a constant amount of transmitter; viz. while there was no obvious change in the amplitude of spontaneous miniature potentials, junction potentials in response to nerve stimulation were facilitated.
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