Clinical and molecular characterization of HER2 amplified-pancreatic cancer
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Abstract

Background: Pancreatic cancer is one of the most lethal and molecularly diverse malignancies. Repurposing of therapeutics that target specific molecular mechanisms in different disease types offers potential for rapid improvements in outcome. Although HER2 amplification occurs in pancreatic cancer, it is inadequately characterized to exploit the potential of anti-HER2 therapies.

Methods: HER2 amplification was detected and further analyzed using multiple genomic sequencing approaches. Standardized reference laboratory assays defined HER2 amplification in a large cohort of patients (n = 469) with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC).

Results: An amplified inversion event (1 MB) was identified at the HER2 locus in a patient with PDAC. Using standardized laboratory assays, we established diagnostic criteria for HER2 amplification in PDAC, and observed a prevalence of 2%. Clinically, HER2-amplified PDAC was characterized by a lack of liver metastases, and a preponderance of lung and brain metastases. Excluding breast and gastric cancer, the incidence of HER2-amplified cancers in the USA is >22,000 per annum.

Conclusions: HER2 amplification occurs in 2% of PDAC, and has distinct features with implications for clinical practice. The molecular heterogeneity of PDAC implies that even an incidence of 2% represents an attractive target for anti-HER2 therapies, as options for PDAC are limited. Recruiting patients based on HER2 amplification, rather than organ of origin, could make trials of anti-HER2 therapies feasible in less common cancer types.

Background

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in western societies, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 5% [1]. Systemic therapies are only modestly effective; however, there is emerging evidence that small groups of patients may respond well to specific treatments [2,3]. Current therapeutic development is focused on targeting molecular mechanisms, and this has resulted in significant improvements in outcome for several cancer types (for example, crizotinib for EML4-ALK fusion-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)). This approach is shifting the traditional organ-based classification of cancer towards a new molecular taxonomy, and creating opportunities to apply therapeutics for the treatment of cancers originating in other organs that harbour similar molecular anomalies. Such indications for extension of existing therapeutics is attractive; however, specific molecular phenotypes and diagnostic characteristics are complex and usually inadequately defined [4,5]. The target population for cancers of organs, apart from where the therapeutic strategy was initially developed, often occur at low frequency, further adding to the challenge.
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Emerging data from cancer sequencing initiatives such as the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) [6] and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [7] are unveiling a vast heterogeneity of molecular aberrations in cancer. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), the predominant form of pancreatic cancer, is particularly heterogeneous, and apart from a few notable exceptions, which have not been successfully targeted, most genetic aberrations have a frequency of 2% or less [8-10].

Trastuzumab, a monoclonal antibody that targets the HER2 receptor, is an effective therapy for HER2-amplified breast cancer, and was recently extended to the treatment of HER2-amplified gastric cancer [11]. In addition, borderline signals have been seen in clinical trials of semi-selected patients with NSCLC [4], and case reports describe exceptional responses to trastuzumab in other cancers with HER2 amplification, such as cholangiocarcinoma [12]. Defining HER2 amplification as a biomarker of trastuzumab responsiveness is integral to targeting appropriate populations for therapy. Although HER2 overexpression and amplification has been assessed in PDAC (see Additional file 1), standardized diagnostic assays have on the whole not been applied, and the roles of emerging diagnostic approaches such as genomic sequencing are yet to be explored. As a consequence, the diagnostic criteria and prevalence of HER2 amplification in PDAC remain unclear. Although preclinical studies support potential efficacy of trastuzumab in PDAC [13,14], clinical trials have been hampered by non-standardized assays and a consequent lack of focus on appropriate subgroups [15,16]. With the current poor survival, low therapeutic responsiveness, and vast molecular heterogeneity of PDAC, even if a relatively low proportion were found to be HER2-amplified, targeting by HER2 amplification represents an attractive potential therapy.

In this study, we identified HER2 amplification in diagnostic specimens of PDAC using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays and whole genome sequencing. We defined the characteristics and prevalence (2.1%) of HER2 amplification in a large cohort of patients with resected PDAC using standardized reference laboratory assays. We found that HER2-amplified PDAC has an atypical pattern of metastatic spread with a predilection for lung metastasis and local recurrence, rather than liver metastases. Assessment of HER2 amplification across 16 cancer types suggested a prevalence of at least 22,000 cases per annum in the USA (excluding breast and gastric cancer), suggesting that a molecular recruitment strategy may make it feasible to test anti-HER2 therapies in less common cancer types.

**Methods**

**Ethics approval**

Ethics approval for acquisition of data and biological material was obtained from the human research ethics committee at each participating institution, conducted in accordance with the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research 2007 and the Declaration of Helsinki. Consent was obtained from prospectively recruited patients for genomic sequencing through the Australian Pancreatic Cancer Genome Initiative (APGI) as part of the ICGC. Consent was waived by Human Research Ethics Committees for retrospectively acquired data and material under an approved protocol (see Additional file 2).

**Genomic sequencing, copy number, and mRNA expression analysis**

Patients were prospectively recruited to the APGI [17] for genomic sequencing as part of the ICGC, and details of sample acquisition and processing have been described previously [9]. Briefly, samples focused on primary operable non-pretreated PDAC. Tissue was prepared by either full face frozen sectioning or the ends being excised and processed in formalin, then representative sections were reviewed by at least one pathologist to verify presence of carcinoma in the sample to be sequenced, and to estimate the percentage of malignant epithelial nuclei in the sample relative to stromal nuclei. Nucleic acids were extracted from fresh frozen tumour and normal tissue pairs, and whole genome and exome sequencing was performed using a combination of long mate pair and paired-end approaches. DNA copy number was assessed using specific microarrays (HumanOmni1-Quad BeadChip, Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Primary tumour mRNA expression was assayed using human microarrays (HT-12 V4; Illumina) (GEO accession GSE36924). Gene expression profiles were classified into intrinsic breast cancer subtypes using the PAM50 classifier [18].

**Defining prevalence and diagnostic criteria for HER2 amplification**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin wax-embedded diagnostic material from a cohort of 469 patients who had undergone operative resection for PDAC was accrued from 12 institutions associated with the APGI between 1990 and 2012. Subsets of this cohort have previously been published [19,20], and detailed characteristics are presented (see Additional file 3: Table S2).

HER2 immunohistochemistry (IHC) and in situ hybridization (ISH) was performed in a national reference HER2 diagnostic testing laboratory. Scoring for HER2 protein expression and amplification was based on criteria recommended for breast and gastric carcinoma (Table 1) [21].

*The criteria used for scoring HER2 by immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization in PDAC were as follows. Her2 IHC score criteria (modified from Hofmann et al [21]): 0, no staining of any pattern or intensity; 1+, weak discernable membrane staining; 2+, mild to
Table 1 IHC and FISH scoring for the detection of HER2 amplification

| HER2 IHC score | IHC scores (n = 469) | HER2 FISH |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|
| 0             | 369 (79.0%)          | 376 (80.2%) |
| 1+            | 59 (12.6%)           | 0         |
| 2+            | 27 (5.8%)            | 3         |
| 3+            | 7 (1.5%)             | 7         |

Abbreviations: FISH fluorescent in situ hybridization; IHC immunohistochemistry; PDAC pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

moderate complete or basolateral membrane staining; 3+, strong, complete, or basolateral membrane staining. HER2 ISH criteria: non-amplified, Her2 count <4 and Her2:cep17 ratio <2; amplified, Her2 count ≥4 and Her2:cep17 ratio ≥2.

Results

In the initial set of 50 patients recruited to the APGI, SNP arrays detected a case of HER2 amplification (Figure 1A), with associated high HER2 mRNA expression (see Additional file 4). HER2 amplification was verified using standardized laboratory assays currently used for breast and gastric cancer at an HER2 diagnostic reference laboratory. This case was analyzed further using whole genome sequencing, copy number analysis, and mRNA expression profiling.

Genomic characteristics of HER2-amplified pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Whole genome and exome sequencing identified several classes of mutation including single nucleotide variants, small insertions and deletions (indels), and chromosomal rearrangements (see Additional file 5). Chromosomal rearrangements included a region of loss on chromosome 18 containing the MAPK4, DCC, SMAD4, and ELAC1 genes, and three complex amplification regions: an inverted amplification of a region of chromosome 17, spanning HER2 and truncating MED1 and TOP2A at either end (chr17:37,565,271-38,554,848) (Figure 1B), and two overlapping fold back inversion events on chromosome 9: a 1.5 Mb event within a 1.9 Mb event, disrupting UBAP2. Exome sequencing identified 23 somatic mutations affecting 21 genes, including KRAS^{p.G12V} and TP53^{p.Q317}, and 2 mutations in both TOP2A and RYR2 (Figure 1C).

We performed gene set enrichment analysis using all genes affected by mutation, amplification, copy number alterations (GISTIC2.0 peaks \( P < 0.25 \)) and disruptions caused by structural rearrangements (see Additional file 6). There were three strong biological themes: 1) genes from the HER2-amplicon (13 genes; \( P \leq 1 \times 10^{-7} \)); 2) a broad cancer theme driven by KRAS, TP53, and EPHA5 (13 gene sets; \( P < 0.001 \)); and 3) an immune signature, driven by type I interferons, IFNA2, INFα6, INFα13 (7 gene sets; \( P < 0.001 \)), which are involved in recognition of viral infection and neoplasia (see Additional file 7).

Intrinsic subtype analysis using expression microarray data from the APGI cohort (\( n = 90 \)) [9] classified each PDAC sample using the PAM50 classifier, which captures five breast cancer subtypes: HER2-amplified, luminal A, luminal B, basal, and normal-like [18]. The HER2-amplified patient with PDAC identified above clustered with the HER2-amplified intrinsic subtype, with a confidence of 93%. This was driven by high expression of HER2, GRB7, and FOX1, and low expression of KRT17 and MIA (see Additional file 8).

HER2 amplification in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

In order to define the clinical and histopathological characteristics, diagnostic criteria, and prevalence of HER2 amplification in PDAC, we assessed a cohort of 469 patients with resected PDAC using IHC and in situ hybridization (ISH). IHC for Her2 protein expression showed 3+ staining in 7 cases (1.5%) (Figure 2A), 2+ staining in 27 (5.8%), 1+ in 59 (12.6%) and no staining in 376 (80.2%) (Table 1). All 7 cases that exhibited Her2 3+ staining were found to be HER2-amplified on silver ISH and fluorescence ISH (FISH) with 100% concordance between the two methods (Figure 2B,C). Only 3 of 27 cases that were Her2 2+ on IHC were found to be HER2-amplified (11%). No cases of 1+ or negative Her2 IHC were HER2-amplified, and the overall prevalence of HER2 amplification was 2.1% (10 of 469 cases). All the amplified cases showed a tendency for the HER2 signal to form clusters. One case was found to have intra-tumoral heterogeneity by IHC and ISH, with distinct HER2-positive and HER2-negative areas. As HER2 amplification was only present when Her2 IHC produced 2+ or 3+ staining, IHC screening followed by ISH in cases of 2+ and 3+ IHC staining may be an appropriate and cost-effective approach for the detection of HER2-amplified PDAC (see Additional file 9).

Clinical features of HER2-amplified pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Of the ten patients with HER2 amplified tumours, nine died of PDAC, and one died of respiratory failure as a result of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with no evidence of cancer recurrence. The pattern of metastatic relapse of the HER2-amplified cases was characterized by a lack of liver metastases. None of the eight cases with a documented site of recurrence had liver metastases (one patient had recurrence, and for another patient the site of recurrence was not reported). Of these eight patients, four had lung metastases (one of whom also had brain metastases (Figure 2D-F), and two also had local...
Figure 1 (See legend on next page.)
recurrence, although cerebral imaging was only performed in one patient), while the remaining four patients had peritoneal and local recurrences only, although imaging of the thorax was not performed in two of these patients (Table 2). The higher prevalence of lung metastasis (in the absence of liver metastases) in \( \text{HER2} \)-amplified tumours was significantly different to that of non-\( \text{HER2} \)-amplified tumours (\( P = 0.0022 \); Table 3), as was the rate of recurrence at any site without liver metastases (\( P = 0.0028 \); Table 3). \( \text{HER2} \) amplification was not associated with any other clinicopathological characteristics such as age (\( P = 0.5467 \)), sex (\( P = 0.7520 \)), tumour stage (\( P = 0.4495 \)), grade (\( P = 0.1259 \)), or size (\( P = 0.4695 \)), or disease-specific survival (\( P = 0.2502 \); Table 3). Histopathologically, there were no specific features to distinguish \( \text{HER2} \)-amplified tumours from non-\( \text{HER2} \)-amplified tumours. All \( \text{HER2} \)-amplified cases were moderately differentiated, and most had a diffuse or at least focally macroglandular architecture.

Since the completion of this study, we have begun prospectively screening patients with PDAC for \( \text{HER2} \) amplification using this diagnostic approach, and identified a further two individuals (of eleven) with \( \text{HER2} \) amplification. The first was a primary resected cancer with no evidence of recurrent disease to date at 6 months. Subsequently, whole genome sequence analysis on this patient's tumour confirmed mutation in KRAS \( p.G12V \). The second patient had an atypical pattern of metastatic disease at diagnosis, with a small primary pancreatic tumour on imaging, histologically confirmed peritoneal disease in

![Figure 1 HER2-amplified pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. (A) Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array showing amplification on chromosome 17 containing the \( \text{HER2} \) locus (boxed). (B) Inverted amplification on chromosome 17, spanning \( \text{HER2} \) and truncating \( \text{MED1} \) and \( \text{TOP2A} \) at either end (chr17:37,565,271-38,554,848). (C) Circos plot showing mutations and structural rearrangements of patient 9 (Table 2). Genes with non-silent substitutions are shown on the outer wheel. The SNP array data are shown on the subsequent plots (copy number predictions and B-allele frequency of probes). Structural rearrangements are indicated with lines inside the circle deletions (green), inversions (yellow), intrachromosomal rearrangements (blue), foldback inversions (light orange), and amplified inversions (dark orange). Genes with structural variation are shown in blue if deleted and green if interrupted.](http://genomemedicine.com/content/5/8/78)

![Figure 2 Clinicopathological features of HER2-amplified pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). (A) Her2 immunohistochemistry showing 3+ staining, and corresponding HER2 amplification on (B) silver and (C) fluorescence in situ hybridization. Imaging results of patient 9 (Table 2) showing (D) cerebral and (E) lung metastases, and (F) absence of liver metastases.](http://genomemedicine.com/content/5/8/78)
Table 2 Clinicopathological characteristics of HER2-amplified PDAC

| Patient | Her2 IHC score | HER2 ratio | HER2 count | Overall survival (months) | Cause of death | Pattern of recurrence | Comments |
|---------|----------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|
| 1a      | 3+             | 2.45       | 6          | 13.6                     | PDAC           | - ND - + +          | Laparotomy showed peritoneal and local recurrence |
| 2       | 3+             | 7.5        | 13         | 43.5                     | COPD           | - ND - - -          | CT at 43 months showed no recurrence |
| 3       | 3+             | 4.12       | 10         | 5.0                      | PDAC           | - ND - + +         | Local recurrence |
| 4b      | 2+             | 2.5        | 5.4        | 36.5                     | PDAC           | + ND - + +         | Ascites/pleural effusion, no liver metastases on CT |
| 5       | 3+             | 4.38       | 8.1        | 18.6                     | PDAC           | ND ND ND ND         | Site not documented |
| 6       | 2              | 3.56       | 7          | 10.1                     | PDAC           | ND ND - + ND       | R2 resection |
| 7       | 3+             | 2.7        | 4.5        | 41.6                     | PDAC           | ND ND - + +        | No liver metastases on CT; peritoneal recurrence |
| 8       | 2+             | 5.32       | 9          | 10.9                     | PDAC           | + ND - + +         | No liver metastases on CT |
| 9c,d    | 3+             | 2.95       | 6.2        | 35.0                     | PDAC           | + + - - -          | No liver metastases on CT |
| 10a     | 3+             | 2.86*      | 6.3        | 27.0                     | PDAC           | + ND - - -         | No liver metastases on CT |

Abbreviations: + present; - absent; COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT computed tomography; FISH fluorescence in situ hybridization; IHC immunohistochemistry; ND not determined; PDAC pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.
aReceived adjuvant 5-fluorouracil.
bReceived adjuvant gemcitabine.
cOnly case 9 received palliative chemotherapy (gemcitabine + erlotinib) and also received adjuvant gemcitabine.
dCase that was whole genome sequenced.
eCase 10 showed heterogeneous staining.

Discussion

In this study, we found that HER2-amplified PDAC has a prevalence of 2%, is detectable using contemporary genomic approaches, is associated with a clinical phenotype characterized by metastatic spread predominantly to the lungs and peritoneum with local recurrence, and can metastasize to the brain but tends to avoid the liver. It bears molecular similarities to HER2-amplified breast cancer, and is yet to be adequately assessed for potential responsiveness to anti-HER2 therapy. Multiple studies in large cohorts have shown that HER2-amplified breast cancers more commonly metastasize to the lung and the brain [22]. In PDAC, clinical trial data indicate that the first site of distant metastases occurred most commonly in the liver (50%), and occurred in the lung in 9% of the cases [23]. Autopsy studies have reported that 80% of distant metastases are to the liver, which occurred either alone or in combination with peritoneal and or lung metastases, while metastases sparing the liver made up the rest, and occurred in the peritoneum, lung, adrenal glands, and lymph nodes [24]. Cerebral metastases were not found in these studies. In our cohort of 469 patients, the incidence of lung metastases without liver metastases was 8%, comparable to previous studies. We detected only one case with brain metastases (0.2%), although only this single patient was investigated specifically for brain metastases. If we include all 10 HER2-amplified cases with documented metastatic disease, none had evidence of liver metastases ($P = 0.0028$), and the rate of lung metastases was 50% ($P = 0.0022$). These data suggest that HER2-amplified PDAC may have a distinct
Table 3 Clinicopathological characteristics of HER2 amplified and HER2 non-amplified cases

| Clinicopathological characteristics | Total cohort, n = 469 | HER2 amplification | P-value |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                                   | Amplified, n = 10     | Non-amplified, n = 459 |
| Sex, n (%)                         |                       |                    |
| Male                               | 241 (51)              | 6 (60)             | 220 (51) |
| Female                             | 228 (49)              | 4 (30)             | 210 (49) |
| **P-value**                        |                       |                    |
| Age, years                         |                       |                    |
| Mean                               | 66                    | 64                 | 66      |
| Median                             | 68                    | 69.5               | 67.5    |
| Range                              | 28 to 88              | 47 to 73           | 28 to 87|
| AJCC stage, n (%)                  |                       |                    |
| 1a                                 | 17 (3.6)              | 1 (10)             | 16 (3.5)| 0.4495^d|
| 1b                                 | 25 (5.3)              | 1 (10)             | 24 (5.2)|
| 2a                                 | 132 (28.1)            | 3 (30)             | 129 (28)|
| 2b                                 | 279 (59.5)            | 4 (40)             | 275 (60)|
| 4                                  | 16 (3.4)              | 1 (10)             | 15 (3)  |
| T stage, n                         |                       |                    |
| T1                                 | 32                    | 1                  | 31      |
| T2                                 | 64                    | 2                  | 62      |
| T3                                 | 372                   | 7                  | 365     |
| T4                                 | 1                     | 0                  | 1       |
| N stage, n                         |                       |                    |
| N0                                 | 180                   | 6                  | 174     |
| N1                                 | 289                   | 4                  | 185     |
| AJCC grade, n                      |                       |                    |
| 1 (well differentiated)            | 36                    | 0                  | 36      |
| 2 (moderately differentiated)      | 305                   | 10                 | 295     |
| 3 (poorly differentiated)          | 125                   | 0                  | 125     |
| 4 (undifferentiated)               | 3                     | 0                  | 3       |
| Tumour size, mm                    |                       |                    |
| <=20                               | 105                   | 3                  | 102     |
| >20                                | 364                   | 7                  | 357     |
| Vascular invasion, n               |                       |                    |
| Present                            | 222                   | 2                  | 220     |
| Absent                             | 247                   | 8                  | 239     |
| Perineural invasion, n             |                       |                    |
| Present                            | 339                   | 8                  | 331     |
| Absent                             | 130                   | 2                  | 128     |
| Tumour location, n                 |                       |                    |
| Head                               | 381                   | 9                  | 372     |
| Others                             | 88                    | 1                  | 87      |
| Margins                            |                        |                    |
| Clear                              | 301                   | 7                  | 294     |
| Involved                           | 168                   | 3                  | 165     |
clinical phenotype, and that liver metastases are not
determined by physical factors such as portal blood flow,
but by the pathophysiology of disease.

These findings have significant clinical implications.
First, the detection of small lung nodules should not
delay the diagnosis of metastatic disease originating in
the pancreas or at relapse if the liver and other sites are
clear, particularly in known HER2-amplified cases. Second,
if an individual is known to have an HER2-amplified
PDAC, then monitoring for disease progression in non-
traditional sites such as the lung and the brain, with
vigilance for neurological symptoms may be prudent.
Finally, there is potential for anti-HER2 therapies in
this subset of patients.

\textit{In situ} hybridization studies in our reference laboratory
identified HER2 amplification only in PDACs with high
protein expression by IHC (score 2+/3+). Therefore, a rea-
sonable and cost-effective approach to universal HER2
screening is to initially test all cases with IHC and then
perform secondary ISH testing only on cases with 2+/3+
staining, as was initially performed for breast cancer.
Using this approach, 8% of PDAC (2+ and 3+ cancers) will
require HER2 ISH assessment, and of these one-quarter
will be amplified.

In the current study, in-depth genomic analysis, apart
from HER2 amplification, did not reveal any features
that are atypical of PDAC, with mutations of KRAS and
TP53 and loss of SMAD4 found, although the inherent
heterogeneity of PDAC makes it difficult to draw conclu-
sions about the other mutations detected. mRNA expres-
sion profiles clustered with HER2-amplified breast cancer,
suggesting that HER2 may be an important driver of
carcinogenesis in this subgroup of PDAC.

It is interesting to note that all three HER2-amplified
cases with available genomic data harboured the KRASp.
G12V mutation. This mutation is less common than the
p.G12D mutation, and accounts for 32% of KRAS muta-
tions in PDAC versus 40% for p.G12D [9]. Given the
small numbers of HER2-amplified cases, further studies
of larger cohorts will be required before it can be deter-
mined if this association is sufficiently robust to be used
diagnostically or targeted therapeutically.

Two clinical trials have assessed targeted trastuzumab
therapy in PDAC [15,16]. Both are single arm phase II

| Outcome | Follow-up, months | 0.03 to 240 | 5.0 to 43.6 | 0.03 to 240 | 1.0000$^b$
|---------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Median follow-up, months | 16 | 23 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Death: PDAC | 369 | 8 | 361 | 361 | 361 |
| Death: other | 32 | 2 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Death: unknown | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Alive | 49 | 0 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Lost to follow-up | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

| Cancer-specific survival, mean ± SD |
|------------------------------------|
| Length, months | 20 ± 19.43 | 28 ± 19.65 | 20 ± 19.55 | 0.2502$^c$ |

| Recurrence |
|------------|
| Present | 261 | 8 | 253 |
| Absent | 78 | 1 | 77 |
| Unknown | 130 | 1 | 129 |

| Pattern of recurrence, n (% of total n) |
|----------------------------------------|
| Lung without liver metastasis | 22 (84% of 261) | 4 (50% of 8) | 18 (71% of 253) | 0.0022$^b$ |
| Any recurrence without liver metastasis | 127 (49% of 261) | 8 (100% of 8) | 119 (47% of 253) | 0.0028$^b$ |

| Adjuvant therapy, n |
|-------------------|
| Yes | 175 | 3 | 172 |
| No | 289 | 7 | 282 |
| Unknown | 5 | 0 | 5 |

AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

$^a$AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, seventh edition.

$^b$Fisher’s exact test.

$^c$Unpaired t-test.

$^d$χ² test.
trials used in combination with gemcitabine [15] and capcitabine [16]. Although the latter performed HER2 FISH for 2+ expressing cases, the former did not, and neither verified the 3+ IHC cases by FISH. In addition, these were not standardized assays performed in reference laboratories, and resulted in a HER2 positive rate of over 10%. This likely overestimation underpowered the trials by over 80%, making a negative result uninterpretable.

Conclusion
HER2 amplification occurs in 2.1% of PDAC cases, and is associated with an atypical pattern of metastatic disease. A number of cancers of different organs including NSCLC, ovarian cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, and PDAC have well-defined low-prevalence HER2 amplification. Testing anti-HER2 therapies may not be feasible in organ groups because of this low prevalence and the likely heterogeneous response rates. However, these studies could be approached using novel adaptive clinical trials testing personalized therapeutic strategies (for example; BATTLE [25], I-SPY [26], FOCUS 4 [27] and IMPaCT [3]), or using a molecular taxonomy or 'biotype' that recruits HER2-amplified cancers irrespective of the organ in which the tumour arises (often referred to as ‘basket’ trials) [28], with specific attention to diagnostic criteria for patient recruitment, particularly as more effective anti-HER2 therapies emerge.
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Additional file 11: Table S10. Clinical trials of HER2-amplified cancers, excluding breast and stomach (gastric) cancers.
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