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Abstract

Background: Neonatal hypothermia is associated with an increased mortality risk for 28 days. There are few community-based data on specific risk factors for neonatal hypothermia. Estimates of association between neonatal hypothermia in the community and risk factors are needed to guide the design of interventions to reduce exposure.

Methods: A cohort of 23,240 babies in rural southern Nepal was visited at home by field workers who measured axillary temperatures for 28 days (213,316 temperature measurements). The cumulative incidence of hypothermia (defined as < 35.0°C based on an analysis of the hypothermia-mortality risk relationship) was examined for any association with infant characteristics, care practices and parental, household, socioeconomic and demographic factors. Estimates were adjusted for age and ambient temperature.

Results: Ten percent of the babies (n = 2342) were observed with temperatures of < 35.0°C. Adjusted prevalence ratios (Adj PR) were increased among those who weighed < 2000 g [Adj PR = 4.32 (3.73, 5.00)] or < 1500 g [Adj PR = 11.63 (8.10, 16.70)] compared to those of normal weight (> 2500 g). Risk varied inversely along the entire weight spectrum: for every 100 g decrement hypothermia risk increased by 7.4%, 13.5% and 31.3% for babies between 3000 g and 2500 g, 2500 g and 2000 g and < 2000 g, respectively. Preterm babies (< 34 weeks), females, those who had been first breastfed after 24 h and those with hypothermic mothers were at an increased risk. In the hot season the risk disparity between smaller and larger babies increased. Hypothermia was not associated with delayed bathing, hat wearing, room warming or skin-to-skin contact: they may have been practiced reactively and thereby obscured any potential benefit.

Conclusions: In addition to season in which the babies were born, weight is an important risk factor for hypothermia. Smaller babies are at higher relative risk of hypothermia during the warm period and do not receive the protective seasonal benefit apparent among larger babies. The need for year-round thermal care, early breastfeeding and maternal thermal care should be emphasized. Further work is needed to quantify the benefits of other simple neonatal thermal care practices.

Background

Neonatal hypothermia is widely recognized as an important contributing factor to neonatal morbidity, especially in low and middle income countries [1,2] and has been associated with mortality risk in newborns [3] and young infants aged 0-2 months [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has included thermal care (including the prevention of neonatal hypothermia) as a component of essential care in newborn as a component of neonatal care in newborns as a package of basic interventions recommended universally for all babies [5]. However, in low-resource settings, adequate thermal care of newborns is difficult to achieve and hospital-based studies in South Asia [6-9] and Sub-Saharan Africa [10-13] have demonstrated a high incidence of primary hypothermia, especially in the first 24 h after birth.

Few population-based estimates are available from settings where the majority of babies are born at home, where the risk for hypothermia is presumably higher than in hospital [9,14-16]. In southern Nepal, measurements of less than the WHO cutoff for any degree of hypothermia (36.5°C) were observed in > 90% of babies during the first 28 days of life [14]. Even less data are available on specific factors associated with an increased risk of hypothermia. The possible risks described have been ecolog-
cal in nature or limited to hospital-born infants but they do indicate that preterm birth, low birth weight and seasonality (being born during the cold season) are important risk factors for neonatal hypothermia [9,10,12,16-18]. However, one comprehensive review [1] of neonatal hypothermia in low-resources settings noted a need for systematic examinations of the quantitative association between hypothermia and individual, family and community-level risk factors in large population-based cohorts.

Context-specific information on the risk factors for neonatal hypothermia is necessary in order to ensure that community-based neonatal health promotion packages include thermal care interventions for the newborn. Community-based trials of chlorhexidine cleansing interventions in southern Nepal [19,20] included repeated in-home visits by project workers to collect 213,616 axillary temperatures from more than 23,240 infants, half of whom had moderate to severe hypothermia (< 36.0°C) as defined by WHO [2,14]. In this manuscript we quantify associations between neonatal hypothermia and the specific characteristics of infants and their carers, newborn care practices and socio-demographic factors.

Methods
Data collection
Data for this analysis were collected during large, community-based, placebo-controlled, randomized trials of the efficacy of chlorhexidine interventions (newborn skin and umbilical cord cleansing) on neonatal mortality; the details of those trials have been previously published [19,20]. Between August 2002 and January 2006, 23,662 live-born infants in Sarlahi District, Nepal, were eligible to participate in a comparative or post-trial scale-up phase of the study. In both phases, pregnancies were identified at mid-pregnancy and oral consent was obtained. All the women received a basic set of interventions (iron/folate supplements, deworming, weekly vitamin A supplementation, clean delivery kits and basic counselling on essential newborn care). A standardized schedule of home visits (days 1-4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 21, 28) was followed by the project workers. At each visit, the axillary temperature of the newborn was recorded using a low-reading digital thermometer accurate to 0.1°C (Mark of Fitness, Japan Precision Instrument Co, Tokyo, Japan).

Analytic dataset
Babies with one or more measures of axillary temperature recorded during the home visit schedule (maximum 11 visits) were included in the analysis. Data available for risk factor analysis included socio-economic variables such as caste, ethnic group (Mahdeshi, originating from the plains or Pahadi, originating from the hills), material and/or livestock ownership and parental characteristics - such as maternal birth history, parity, parental literacy, education and occupation - collected either during a population census at baseline or during the single antenatal enrollment visit. In addition, on two follow-up visits (days 1 and 14) field workers collected information on various potential determinants of hypothermia related to neonatal care practices during labour and delivery, immediately after birth and during the postnatal period. These included: birth location; type of birth assistant (family member, traditional or trained birth attendant); timing of placental delivery; sex and weight of the infant (measured to +/- 2 g with a digital scale; SECA Digital Scale Model 727); gestational age (estimated as time since last menstrual period based on maternal report at enrollment); breastfeeding initiation time [21] and thermal and skin care practices, such as washing, bathing, drying and oil massage before and after delivery of the placenta, and reported skin-to-skin contact, warming of the room and hat wearing of the infant.

Outcome variable
We have previously reported details of the burden of neonatal hypothermia in this setting as the total proportion of all axillary measures (multiple measures per child) that meet the WHO cutoffs for mild (< 36.5°C), moderate (< 36.0°C) and severe (< 32.0°C) hypothermia cutoffs throughout the neonatal period [14]. In this analysis we examine the risk factors associated with hypothermia using the same method of describing the outcome. However, the focus here is on identifying the specific risk factors associated with hypothermia and, specifically, with a degree of hypothermia clearly associated with increased mortality risk. If either of the WHO cutoffs for mild (< 36.5°C) or moderate (< 36.0°C) are used, the non-specificity of these cutoffs tends to bias estimates toward zero, not only reducing the magnitude of the association, but also obscuring potential risk relationships. Furthermore, whilst we have shown that in this setting the mortality risk is elevated after adjustments across the entire range of observed low temperature measurements, the greatest risk occurs among those with temperature of < 35.0°C [3]. Therefore, in order to improve specificity and focus on those at highest risk of subsequent mortality, we have defined the outcome for the main analyses as axillary measures of < 35.0°C.
**Analysis**

All potential risk factors were separately evaluated, estimating the ratio of prevalent days across all levels of the risk factor. The large sample size enabled us to detect even small differences in the risk of hypothermia across all levels. Therefore, we required both a statistical association ($P < 0.10$) and an absolute value of the risk difference ($\pm$ 10% risk) for the purposes of multivariate Poisson regression modelling. Final risk factor models were constructed in a three-step sequence starting with proximal infant-level factors (Model 1) and progressively adding neonatal care practices, labour and delivery characteristics (Model 2) and more distal socio-economic and parental factors (Model 3). Estimates of association in both individual factor and multivariate risk factor models were adjusted for multiple measures within infants using the Huber-White sandwich estimator [22,23]. Both individual and multivariate risk factor analyses were adjusted for ambient temperature and age at measurement as these had been pre-identified as strongly related to axillary temperature [14] and our primary interest was to identify risk factors that were potentially modifiable.

In order to further investigate the relationship between the weight of the baby and the likelihood of observing axillary temperatures of < 35.0°C, we estimated the prevalence rate ratio (PR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for every 50-g interval between 1000 and 3450 g, compared to 3500 g. Infants who weighed less than 950 g and above 3550 g were excluded (3.4% of the sample) in order to maintain the stability of the parameter estimates. These ratios, adjusted for age and ambient temperature at measurement and gestational age at birth, were plotted to enable us to visually examine the relationship between decreasing weight and hypothermia. A final Poisson regression model with spline knots at 2000 g, 2500 g and 3000 g allowed for estimation of the increased risk of hypothermia for each incremental (1 g) decrease in weight.

In order to examine the modifying effect of the seasons on the risk factor associations, a binary indicator for birth and follow-up during the cold season (December to February) was created and the full multivariate models were first estimated separately across levels of this indicator. The full model was re-estimated by inserting interaction terms for season and any variable whose ratio of season-specific parameter estimates exceeded 20%.

All analyses were conducted using Stata 10.1 (Stata Corp, TX, USA). The Nepal Health Research Council (Kathmandu, Nepal) and the Committee on Human Research of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health (Baltimore, USA) approved the protocol. The mother or other caretaker of every baby in this study gave their consent and they were informed on all aspects of the study via the use of an oral script. The parent trial is registered at [http://www.Clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.Clinicaltrials.gov) (NCT00109616)

**Results**

Of the 23,662 live-born infants in the study area who were eligible for inclusion in the parent trial, 23,257 (98.2%) were met at one or more home visits during the neonatal period; 17 did not have any axillary measured temperatures; 23,240 contributed 213,636 axillary temperatures measures (mean 9.2 measures/infant) to the analytic dataset. There were 3134 measures of less than 35.0°C - equivalent to 1.47/100 measures (95% CI: 1.42, 1.52) from among 2342 infants, giving a cumulative risk estimate of 10.7% (95% CI: 10.3, 11.1).

All infant-level variables were strongly associated with axillary measures < 35.0°C, especially birth weight (Table 1). Compared to babies ≥ 2500 g, very low birth weight (VLBW; < 1500 g) babies were substantially more likely to be hypothermic [PR = 17.3 (95% CI: 12.6, 23.6)], as were those < 2000 g [PR = 5.34 (95% CI: 4.66, 6.12)]. Females (PR = 1.54), early preterm (< 34 weeks, PR = 4.39) and late preterm births (34-37 weeks, PR = 1.64) were also more likely to be hypothermic. Maternal and paternal education and literacy were associated with a lower prevalence of hypothermia. Hypothermia risk was not associated with either of the chlorhexidine interventions provided in the parent trial.

Babies born outside a clinic or hospital (90.2% of all infants in the sample) were at a higher risk of hypothermia [PR = 1.62 (95% CI: 1.27, 2.06)], as were those born to mothers assisted at birth by non-skilled personnel [PR = 1.47 (95% CI: 1.19, 1.82)] and babies of mothers reporting at a > 30 min interval between the birth and delivery of the placenta. Upper caste, ethnic group and variables indicating improved socioeconomic status provided a greater protection from hypothermia.

Hypothermia was almost 50% more prevalent among babies for whom breastfeeding was delayed beyond 24 h [PR = 1.49 (95% CI: 1.37, 1.62)] and 75% more prevalent among babies for whom the cord was not cut until after the placenta was delivered [PR = 1.74 (95% CI: 1.31, 2.33)]. Risk was marginally higher among babies bathed after birth, an almost universal practice in this setting [24] There was little evidence that the timing of the bath within the first day of life was associated with hypothermia risk, although post-bath oil massage was slightly protective. Babies of mothers who reported warming the room, giving the infant a cap and providing skin-to-skin contact during the first 14 days were at similar risk relative to babies of mothers not reporting these practices.

In a multivariate model adjusting for neonatal-level factors (Model 1, Table 2), the association between birth weight and hypothermia attenuated only slightly, while
### Table 1: Individual-factor associations between potential confounders and axillary temperature measures throughout the newborn period

| Potential risk factor | No. < 35°C Measures | Prevalence rate (per 100 measures) | Adjusted prevalence rate (95% confidence interval)* |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Infant-level variables** |                     |                                   |                                                  |
| Sex                   |                     |                                   |                                                  |
| Male                  | 1271                | 109893                            | 1.16                                             |
| Female                | 1863                | 103743                            | 1.80                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 1.54 (1.42, 1.67)                                |
| Birth weight          |                     |                                   |                                                  |
| ≥ 2500 g              | 1620                | 147663                            | 1.10                                             |
| 2000 - 2499 g         | 879                 | 52970                             | 1.66                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 1.67 (1.53, 1.82)                                |
| 1500 - 2000 g         | 445                 | 8854                              | 5.03                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 5.34 (4.66, 6.12)                                |
| < 1500 g              | 160                 | 973                               | 16.44                                            |
|                       |                     |                                   | 17.3 (12.6, 23.6)                                |
| Gestational age       |                     |                                   |                                                  |
| ≥ 37 wks              | 2281                | 176380                            | 1.29                                             |
| 34 - 37 wks           | 720                 | 34182                             | 2.11                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 1.64 (1.37, 1.83)                                |
| < 34 wks              | 132                 | 2961                              | 4.46                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 4.39 (3.38, 5.73)                                |
| Small for gestational age (SGA) |   |                                   |                                                  |
| Not SGA               | 1041                | 92199                             | 1.13                                             |
| SGA                   | 2062                | 118148                            | 1.75                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 1.65 (1.51, 1.79)                                |
| **Parental level variables** |                 |                                   |                                                  |
| Maternal age (years)  |                     |                                   |                                                  |
| < 15                  | 23                  | 996                               | 2.31                                             |
| 15.0-19.9             | 752                 | 53029                             | 1.42                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 0.66 (0.39, 1.09)                                |
| 20.0-24.9             | 1169                | 84573                             | 1.38                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 0.61 (0.37, 1.02)                                |
| 25.0-29.9             | 686                 | 46819                             | 1.47                                             |
|                       |                     |                                   | 0.64 (0.38, 1.07)                                |
Table 1: Individual-factor associations between potential confounders and axillary temperature measures throughout the newborn period (Continued)

| Temperature (axillary, °C) | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| 30.0-34.4                  | 348   | 19552    | 1.78        |
| 35.0+                      | 156   | 8667     | 1.80        |

Maternal literacy

|          | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|----------|-------|----------|-------------|
| No       | 2553  | 159538   | 1.60        |
| Yes      | 580   | 53983    | 1.07        |

Maternal education (years)

| Education (years) | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|-------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| None              | 2589  | 163391   | 1.58        |
| 1-3               | 57    | 4730     | 1.21        |
| 4-6               | 199   | 15945    | 1.25        |
| 7-9               | 150   | 13440    | 1.12        |
| 10+               | 139   | 16130    | 0.86        |

Paternal literacy

|          | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|----------|-------|----------|-------------|
| No       | 1582  | 93307    | 1.70        |
| Yes      | 1551  | 120120   | 1.29        |

Paternal education (years)

| Education (years) | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|-------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| None              | 1667  | 99134    | 1.68        |
| 1-3               | 132   | 8812     | 1.50        |
| 4-6               | 459   | 32131    | 1.43        |
| 7-9               | 432   | 32422    | 1.33        |
| 10+               | 444   | 41137    | 1.08        |

Paternal occupation

| Occupation                        | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| Farmer/unskilled laborer          | 2398  | 155637   | 1.54        |
| Skilled worker                    | 732   | 57647    | 1.27        |

OR (95% CI)
**Table 1: Individual-factor associations between potential confounders and axillary temperature measures throughout the newborn period (Continued)**

| Maternal hypothermia at baseline | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| None/mild (≥ 36.0°C) | 2733 | 193117 | 1.42 |
| Moderate/severe (< 36.0°C) | 324 | 11128 | 2.91 | 1.45 (1.27, 1.66) |

| Labour and delivery variables | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Place of birth | | | |
| Facility | 122 | 16405 | |
| Non-facility | 2985 | 189450 | 1.62 (1.27, 2.06) |

| Time to placental delivery (min) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| < 1 | 195 | 14994 | 1.30 |
| 1 - 3 | 882 | 56613 | 1.56 | 1.09 (0.89, 1.32) |
| 3 - 5 | 424 | 28785 | 1.47 | 1.08 (0.88, 1.33) |
| 5 - 10 | 810 | 55650 | 1.46 | 1.02 (0.84, 1.24) |
| 10 - 30 | 438 | 29472 | 1.49 | 1.05 (0.85, 1.30) |
| > = 30 | 342 | 17829 | 1.92 | 1.33 (1.06, 1.67) |

| Birth attendant | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Skilled | 138 | 16297 | 0.85 |
| Non-skilled | 2966 | 189232 | 1.57 | 1.47 (1.19, 1.82) |

| Household and socioeconomic status variables | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| House- | castes | Other | 2822 | 183562 | 1.54 |
| Castes | Upper (Brahmin/Chhetri) | 263 | 26996 | 0.97 | 0.63 (0.54, 0.74) |
| Ethnic group | Hills (Pahadi) | 626 | 59542 | 1.05 |
| | Plains (Madeshi) | 2454 | 150824 | 1.63 | 1.59 (1.44, 1.76) |
### Table 1: Individual-factor associations between potential confounders and axillary temperature measures throughout the newborn period (Continued)

#### Latrine

| Latrine            | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| Other/none         | 2828  | 186190   | 1.52        |
| Brick/cement       | 179   | 17820    | 1.00        |
|                    |       |          | 0.71 (0.59, 0.86) |
| Pit latrine        | 73    | 6004     | 1.22        |
|                    |       |          | 0.82 (0.62, 1.10) |

#### Household has electricity

| Household has electricity | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| No                        | 2429  | 159851   | 1.52        |
| Yes                       | 655   | 50540    | 1.30        |
|                           |       |          | 0.87 (0.78, 0.96) |

#### Household has television

| Household has television | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| No                       | 2644  | 172871   | 1.53        |
| Yes                      | 440   | 37500    | 1.17        |
|                          |       |          | 0.80 (0.71, 0.90) |

#### Newborn care practices

##### Breastfeeding initiation (hours)

| Breastfeeding initiation (hours) | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| < 24                             | 1452  | 120253   | 1.21        |
| >= 24                            | 1595  | 90658    | 1.76        |
|                                  |       |          | 1.49 (1.37, 1.62) |

##### Timing of cord cutting

| Timing of cord cutting          | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| Before placenta                 | 63    | 8219     | 0.77        |
| After placenta delivered        | 3018  | 195031   | 1.55        |
|                                 |       |          | 1.74 (1.31, 2.33) |

##### Baby bathed after birth†

| Baby bathed after birth†        | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| No                              | 332   | 21696    | 1.53        |
| Yes                             | 2768  | 183386   | 1.51        |
|                                 |       |          | 1.18 (1.02, 1.36) |

##### Time to giving baby first bath (hours)‡

| Time to giving baby first bath (hours)‡ | Cases | Controls | OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|
| > 24                                   | 19    | 1797     | 1.06        |
| 12.0 - 23.9                            | 131   | 8148     | 1.61        |
|                                       |       |          | 0.97 (0.56, 1.71) |
| 6.0 - 11.9                             | 224   | 13407    | 1.67        |
|                                       |       |          | 0.98 (0.57, 1.67) |
the magnitude of the comparative risk estimates for early (< 34 weeks) and late (34-37 weeks) preterm categories were reduced on the log-linear scale by about 55%, but remained significant. Female sex remained strongly associated with hypothermia. Among delivery and newborn care practices added to the model, only delayed initiation of breastfeeding and cutting the cord after placental delivery were associated statistically with hypothermia, while all neonatal-level factors remained strongly associated. Incorporating more distal socioeconomic and parental variables (Model 3) did not change the magnitude of the associations among neonatal-level factors: females remained at 1.49 (95% CI: 1.37, 1.63) times higher risk, VLBW infants were at 11.63 (95% CI: 8.10, 16.70) times higher risk, and infants between 1500-2000 g were at a 4.32 (95% CI: 3.73, 5.00) times greater risk compared to infants weighing ≥ 2500 g. None of the socioeconomic factors was an important predictor of risk; only maternal hypothermia at first study contact after delivery was associated with higher prevalence of hypothermic measures (PR = 1.50 [95% CI: 1.32, 1.71]).

Hypothermia risk associated with incremental weight differences
Given the strength of the association with infant weight, the risk associated with incremental (50 g) changes in weight was examined (Figure 1). After modelling the risk associated with weight as a continuous measure in a spline Poisson regression model, there was only weak evidence that weight of between 3500-3000 g was associated
Table 2: Multivariate analysis of risk factors associated with axillary temperature measures < 35.0°C among newborns of Sarlahi

| Factor                                           | Model 1 (n = 210,347) | Model 2 (n = 196,045) | Model 3 (n = 190,180) |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| **Neonatal-level**                               |                        |                        |                        |
| Female (versus male)                            | 1.47 (1.36, 1.60)      | 1.48 (1.36, 1.61)      | 1.49 (1.37, 1.63)      |
| < 1500 g (versus ≥ 2500 g)                      | 14.50 (10.60, 19.84)   | 12.60 (8.85, 17.93)    | 11.63 (8.10, 16.70)    |
| 1500 - 1999 g (versus ≥ 2500 g)                 | 4.68 (4.09, 5.35)      | 4.38 (3.80, 5.05)      | 4.32 (3.73, 5.00)      |
| 2000 - 2499 g (versus ≥ 2500 g)                 | 1.57 (1.44, 1.72)      | 1.51 (1.38, 1.65)      | 1.49 (1.36, 1.63)      |
| 34 - 36.9 weeks (versus ≥ 37 weeks)             | 1.24 (1.12, 1.38)      | 1.19 (1.07, 1.32)      | 1.16 (1.04, 1.29)      |
| < 34 weeks (versus ≥ 37 weeks)                  | 1.94 (1.53, 2.46)      | 1.85 (1.42, 2.40)      | 1.87 (1.44, 2.44)      |
| **Delivery/newborn care practices**             |                        |                        |                        |
| Non-facility birth                              | 1.35 (0.93, 1.96)      | 1.28 (0.88, 1.88)      |                        |
| Non-skilled attendant                           | 0.97 (0.69, 1.35)      | 0.97 (0.68, 1.37)      |                        |
| Delayed (> 24 h) breastfeeding                  | 1.25 (1.15, 1.35)      | 1.19 (1.08, 1.30)      |                        |
| Cord cut after placenta delivered               | 1.45 (0.97, 2.18)      | 1.47 (0.98, 2.22)      |                        |
| Baby bathed after birth                         | 1.03 (0.88, 1.20)      | 1.02 (0.87, 1.20)      |                        |
| Room warmed during first 14 days                | 0.97 (0.85, 1.11)      | 0.96 (0.84, 1.11)      |                        |
| Hat worn during first 14 days                   | 1.08 (0.91, 1.28)      | 1.10 (0.92, 1.30)      |                        |
| Skin-to-skin during first 14 days               | 1.11 (0.92, 1.33)      | 1.10 (0.92, 1.31)      |                        |
| **Household/socioeconomic status variables**    |                        |                        |                        |
| Upper caste (versus lower)                      |                        | 0.88 (0.73, 1.06)      |                        |
| Madeshi (versus Pahadi)                         |                        | 1.04 (0.91, 1.19)      |                        |
| Latrine (brick/pit versus no latrine)           |                        | 1.05 (0.92, 1.19)      |                        |
with hypothermia risk ($P = 0.09$). However, each 100 g decrement in weight between 3000 g and 2500 g was associated with a 7.4% (95% CI: 4.4%, 10.5%) increase in risk of hypothermia and the association became substantially stronger and of greater magnitude between 2500 g and 2000 g [13.5% (9.7%, 17.5%)] and < 2000 g [31.3% (26.3%, 36.5%)].

### Influence of seasonality on risk factor analysis

The season during which a child was born was examined for interaction terms for birth weight category, delivery location, birth attendance with skilled personnel, hat-wearing during the first 14 days and caste and ethnicity. There was evidence that the season during which babies were born modified the association between hypothermia and the two lowest birth weight categories and hat wearing by the infant (interaction $P$-values: < 0.001, 0.06 and 0.003, respectively). In the warm season, relative to newborns ≥ 2500 g, the adjusted prevalence of hypothermia was 23.46 (95% CI: 16.49, 33.37) and 5.05 (95% CI: 4.14, 6.16) times greater in the lowest (< 1500 g) and second-lowest (2000 g-2499 g) weight categories, respectively. The parallel ratios of adjusted prevalence (Adj PR) during the cold season were less: 4.04 (95% CI: 2.34, 7.00) and 3.80 (95% CI: 3.07, 4.71), respectively. Similarly, wearing a hat was protective only during the cold season [Adj PR = 0.71 (0.52 - 0.96)].

### Discussion

In a low resource setting in southern Nepal, where 90% or more of births occur at home, after adjusting for the age at measurement and ambient temperature (the season), the weight of the infant was the most important independent factor related to neonatal hypothermia. Almost 30% of infants had low birth weight (< 2500 g) and those between 2000 g -2499 g and 1500 g -1999 g were at a 1.49 and 4.32 times greater risk of hypothermia, respectively, after adjusting for a multitude of factors. VLBW babies were 11.63 times more likely than normal weight infants to have axillary temperatures < 35.0°C, although the proportion of these babies was very low (146 of 22,748, 0.6%). When examined as a continuous variable, 100 g decrements in weight were associated with substantial increases in risk of hypothermia along the entire spectrum of underweight babies and continued up to 3000 g. Such associations have been documented previously among hospital-born newborns [12,25,26].

All newborn babies are prone to heat loss, particularly in the first minutes and hours after birth [1,2]. Preterm and underweight babies lose heat faster than normal weight or full term babies, due to larger surface to mass ratios [1] and rapid transepidermal loss of water through the compromised skin barrier [27]. Preterm birth and low weight were independently found to be related to hypothermia in these data but the latter appeared to a more important factor; in both cases these infants are more susceptible to hypothermia due to their reduced brown adipose tissue reserves compared to full terms infants with appropriate weight for gestational age. Given that [1] much underweight at birth is due to intra-uterine growth restriction in this setting, [2] the ‘normalcy’ of small babies in this community relative to early birth (especially very early preterm infants < 34 weeks) and [3] the potential differential capacity to recognize early birth versus small size at birth [28], the intention and timing (and, thus, potential causality) of thermal care practices such

| Variable                        | Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Electricity (versus none)        | 1.07 (0.95, 1.21)           |
| Television (versus none)         | 0.94 (0.82, 1.09)           |

#### Parental variables

| Variable                        | Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Maternal literacy (versus none) | 0.90 (0.79, 1.02)           |
| Paternal literacy (versus none) | 0.99 (0.90, 1.09)           |
| Paternal occupation (skilled versus non-skilled) | 0.97 (0.87, 1.07) |
| Maternal hypothermia (< 36.0°C) | **1.50 (1.32, 1.71)** |

Table 2: Multivariate analysis of risk factors associated with axillary temperature measures < 35.0°C among newborns of Sarlahi (Continued)
Oil massage, warming the room and provision of a hat may differ for these babies. Our data on these specific practices are limited by uncertainty as to whether the practice resulted as a therapeutic (reactive) response to the caretaker’s perception of her baby being cold or as a preventative measure. Differential intent (more therapeutic versus prophylactic) of these and potentially other non-measured practices between preterm and low birth weight babies might contribute to the observed greater importance of weight as a risk factor.

These weight measures (precise to +/- 2 g) are more objective than gestational age, which was estimated from the date of the last menstrual period reported by mothers at enrollment at approximately 6 months. Thus, there is more scope for misclassification of gestational age, which could result in estimates of the association with hypothermia that are biased downwards [29]. While weight varies over the newborn period, 89.6% of weight measures were recorded within 72 h of birth and hypothermia risk factor models were adjusted for age at every measurement. Limiting the dataset to only infants measured within 72 hours of birth did not substantially change any risk factor estimates or conclusions.

Adj PRs for the lowest birth weight category were five times higher in the warm season than in the cold. This indicates that, while the overall risk of hypothermia is lower during this time relative to the cold months, [14] low birth weight babies are disproportionately at risk and do not receive the protective benefit of the warmer months. Cold season practices, such as warming the room or providing baby with a hat, did not appear to be protective in this setting possibly due to reverse causality (that is, that these are more likely practiced as reactive measure to the caretaker’s perception that the baby is cold). However, although caretakers are generally more aware of the importance of keeping the baby warm during the cold months, the absence of such attention during warm periods may differentially impact low birth weight infants, resulting in the substantially increased magnitude of comparative risk estimates among these babies during the warm periods.
The strong association between hypothermia and the sex of the newborn is not easily explained. There may be unmeasured practices that differ according to the sex of the newborn which may change the risk profile [30]. Alternatively, if observed care practices (such as skin-to-skin contact and hat wearing which did not differ by sex) are conducted with differing intent between boys and girls (as being preventive for boys but therapeutic for girls), these sex-related associations could arise even after adjustment.

Delayed breastfeeding was associated with hypothermia after adjustment: early breastfeeding may directly reduce risk through this additional direct contact. In addition, early breastfeeding has been associated with reduced neonatal mortality [21,31] potentially through a reduced risk of infection and hypothermia.

While kangaroo-mother-care or skin-to-skin contact is recommended as part of a package of targeted care for low birth weight or preterm infants in facilities [32] debate continues as to the benefit of extending this to all infants in community settings [9,33]. Maternal report of skin-to-skin contact during the first 14 days after birth was not related to the risk of hypothermia. However, this practice is not part of usual care in this community (only 4.4% of infants received this care) and may be a reactive practice undertaken when mothers perceive their baby to be cold. Those receiving this care were more likely to have initiated breastfeeding after 24 h, providing some support for the reactive nature of the practice.

If adequate thermal protection is not begun immediately after birth, the newborn will lose heat rapidly, with the skin temperature losing up to 4°C in the first minutes [34]. Thus, immediate actions, such as wrapping and drying the baby without waiting for the placenta to be delivered, are recommended as part of essential newborn care [2,5]. In our setting, newborns are often left unattended until after the placenta is delivered. Both delayed placental delivery and the fact that the cutting of the cord occurs after placental delivery were associated with an increased risk of hypothermia. However, as delayed cutting of the cord may improve iron status and reduce the risk of anaemia, [35] early cutting should not be encouraged. Rather, further emphasis should be placed on encouraging attendants to rapidly dry and wrap the newborn, to have skin-to-skin contact with the mother and to avoid leaving the baby unattended during the period between birth and delivery of the placenta.

Conclusions

These data provide an in-depth examination of the risk factors associated with neonatal hypothermia as measured by axillary temperatures collected at home from 23,240 infants. The data highlight the importance of thermal care interventions, especially those targeted towards low birth weight infants. Focused behaviour change messages and skill building on the basic components of thermal care, including early breastfeeding initiation, skin-to-skin contact for all infants and delayed bathing have been promoted in Shivgarh, India and have been resulted in a 54% reduction in neonatal mortality [36]. The relevance and specific importance of these interventions for under-weight, preterm and other high risk infants need to be emphasized. Further evaluations of the delivery mechanisms of behavioural change communications which stress the importance of thermal care and skin-to-skin contact within the community is necessary. Estimates of the risk of adverse outcomes such as mortality and morbidity of early exposure to neonatal hypothermia in the community are urgently needed.
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