Bacterial Ammonia Causes Significant Plant Growth Inhibition
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Abstract

Many and complex plant-bacteria inter-relationships are found in the rhizosphere, since plants release a variety of photosynthetic exudates from their roots and rhizobacteria produce multifaceted specialized compounds including rich mixtures of volatiles, e.g., the bouquet of Serratia odorifera 4Rx13 is composed of up to 100 volatile organic and inorganic compounds. Here we show that when growing on peptone-rich nutrient medium S. odorifera 4Rx13 and six other rhizobacteria emit high levels of ammonia, which during co-cultivation in compartmented Petri dishes caused alkalization of the neighboring plant medium and subsequently reduced the growth of A. thaliana. It is argued that in nature high-protein resource degradations (carcasses, whey, manure and compost) are also accompanied by bacterial ammonia emission which alters the pH of the rhizosphere and thereby influences organismal diversity and plant-microbe interactions. Consequently, bacterial ammonia emission may be more relevant for plant colonization and growth development than previously thought.

Introduction

The rhizosphere defines the area of soil directly bordering the plant roots. This is a preferred habitat for many microorganisms, which has been known to contain up to 10^{11} microbial cells per gram root [1]. A complex exchange of organic and inorganic molecules is a prerequisite for such strong microbial growth. Plants, for example, secrete 20-50% of photosynthetically assimilated carbon as root exudates in the form of sugars, flavonoids, aliphatic acids, amino acids, organic acids and proteins [2,3,4,5]. Microorganisms metabolize these rhizosphere deposits and release products themselves that influence organisms of this habitat in many different ways [6,7,8]. In the last decade, several reports established that rhizobacteria also emit complex mixtures of volatiles; which in turn influence the development of plants, fungi and other organisms positively or negatively [9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19]. Subsequent analysis of the headspace volatiles of the bacteria revealed spectra of different composition and complexity [8]. Although in many cases the bioactive components have yet to be determined, four bacterial volatiles - 2,3-butanediol, acetoin, 2-pentylfuran and CO₂ - were demonstrated to act as plant-growth-promoting compounds [9,20,21]. In contrast, dimethyl disulfide, 2-phenylethanol and hydrogen cyanide were reliably shown to act as phytotoxic volatiles [17,19,22,23]. Hydrogen cyanide is released from many Pseudomonas spp. and Chromobacterium spp. [19,24], and dimethyl disulfide and 2-phenylethanol were found in the volatile blends of many bacterial species [8].

A survey showed that particularly rich volatile mixtures were released from species of the genera Chromobacter, Streptomyces and Serratia [8]. The emission spectrum of Serratia odorifera 4Rx13, which is isolated from the rhizosphere of Brassica napus [25], comprises approximately one hundred volatiles [22]. Although many compounds could be detected, only a few were unequivocally identified, such as sodorifen, 2-phenylethanol, dimethyl disulfide, dimethyl trisulfide, methanethiol, methanol, ethanol and CO₂. Among these, dimethyl disulfide and 2-phenylethanol were shown to reduce plant growth [17,22]. However, respective growth reductions were only visible in Petri dish experiments when high doses of both compounds (e.g. IC₅₀: 20 μg) were applied. Such DMDS and 2-phenylethanol levels, however, were not reached by bacteria growing on NB medium in the Petri dish. Therefore it was hypothesized that additional inhibiting volatiles were released by S. odorifera 4Rx13 and preliminary investigations suggested ammonia to play a key role [22]. Since the bacterial emission of ammonia was not intensively studied, yet, we surveyed nine bacterial species and delved into a possible contribution of ammonia influencing the growth of A. thaliana.

Materials and Methods

Organisms and the Co-cultivation of Bacteria and Plants

Bacteria which originated from the rhizosphere of potato or oilseed rape were selected: Serratia odorifera 4Rx13, S. plymuthica HRO-C48, S. plymuthica 3Re4-18, Pseudomonas fluorescens L13-6-12, P. fluorescens 3Re2-7, Bacillus subtilis B2g, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia R3089, S. rhizophila P69, Staphylococcus epidermidis 2P3-18a [11].

Bacterial strains were cultivated either on nutrient broth (NBII) [11] or on synthetic medium (DMG) [26]. Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 was sterilized and cultivated on Murashige-Skoog (MS) medium as
described [12,17,22,27]. In one experimental set up the plant medium was adjusted to pH 5, 6, 7 or 8 using NaOH (Fig. S2). Ten strains of Arabidopsis thaliana (S. odorifera 4Rx13 (10<sup>7</sup> cell ml<sup>-1</sup>) were co-cultivated in bipartite Petri dishes as described by Wenke and colleagues [17] (Fig. 1 and S1). To evaluate the influence of nutrients, NBII was supplemented with 10 mM, 50 mM and 100 mM glucose (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany; Fig. S1). For root analysis, bipartite Petri dishes were positioned vertically in the growth chamber to allow plant roots to grow without restriction. Plant growth was determined according to i) the primary root length after 5 days and ii) the fresh weight of shoots after 10 days of co-cultivation. The results were compared to control plants that were grown without the co-cultivation of bacteria.

**Determination of pH Values in the Agar and NH<sub>3</sub> Emission of Different Bacteria**

The pH values of the media were determined by placing pH paper on the agar (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) at different time points during cultivation (Fig. 2a, b). The ammonia emission was determined using Quantofix<sup>®</sup> ammonium test sticks (Macherey & Nagel, Düren, Germany) as described [22].

**Results**

It was previously documented that growth of Arabidopsis thaliana is inhibited by bacterial volatiles [12,17,23]. Chlorosis as well as the suppressed leaf and root development of A. thaliana were observed when the plants were co-cultivated with S. odorifera 4Rx13 on complex medium (NB II) (Fig. 1b and f, respectively), but no growth reduction was detected when the bacteria were cultivated on minimal medium supplemented with glucose (DMG) (Fig. 1d and h). This ‘glucose effect’ was corroborated by adding 10 to 100 mM glucose to NB II medium (Fig. S1); whereas strong inhibitory effects were obvious at low glucose concentrations, as glucose concentrations increased, growth retardation became less severe. It was concluded that S. odorifera 4Rx13 produced either different quantities of volatiles or other volatiles while growing on different media. In fact, the headspace volatiles of NBII were more complex and the profile was dominated by sodorifen [29], while only sparse amounts of sodorifen were present in the headspace of DMG (data not shown).

Routine determination of the pH of the plant medium gave the first hint of the identification of such a compound. Surprisingly, the pH of the plant medium increased from 6.3 to 8.1 upon volatile-mediated co-cultivation with S. odorifera 4Rx13 (Fig. 1g, lower panel). Furthermore, a correlation between plant growth inhibition and the alkalization of the plant medium was noticed. The plants grew well on media with pH of 5 and 6, while chlorotic phenotypes appeared when the plants were grown on media with elevated pH (Fig. S2). Consequently, it was hypothesized that bacterial volatiles may indirectly inhibit plant growth via the alkalization of the plant medium.

Ammonia was suspected to be responsible for this alkalization. In a time course experiment, bacterial ammonia and amine emissions were determined using Nessler’s reaction (Fig. 2a). A strong positive correlation between ammonia emission and pH alteration of the plant medium was observed between day 1 and day 5, while between day 5 and 10, ammoria emission slightly decreased and the pH of the medium remained at elevated levels. This decrease of ammoria emission is most likely due to growth cessation due to nutrient limitations. To further verify that the ammonia emanation of S. odorifera 4Rx13 was responsible for a pH shift of the plant medium, ammonia was scavenged by phosphoric acid to form ammonium phosphate salts [28]. Indeed, when A. thaliana was co-cultured with S. odorifera 4Rx13, and phosphoric acid was applied into the third compartment, the growth of the plant was restored (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the application of 1 µmol or 100 mM glucose to NB II medium (Fig. S1); whereas strong inhibitory effects were obvious at low glucose concentrations, as glucose concentrations increased, growth retardation became less severe. It was concluded that S. odorifera 4Rx13 produced either different quantities of volatiles or other volatiles while growing on different media. In fact, the headspace volatiles of NBII were more complex and the profile was dominated by sodorifen [29], while only sparse amounts of sodorifen were present in the headspace of DMG (data not shown).

**Statistics**

Arithmetic means were calculated based on three repeated experiments, each performed with two to ten replicates. Significances were evaluated using Student’s t-test. P-values are indicated in the figure captions.
Pseudomonas fluorescens L13-6-12, *P. trivialis* 3Re2-7, *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* P69 and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* R3089, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 2P3-18a and *Bacillus subtilis* B2g). All tested bacterial strains except *B. subtilis* and *S. epidermidis* emitted ammonia at substantial levels; the emission by *S. rhizophila* P69 was especially pronounced (Fig. 2b). The high

Figure 1. Growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 co-cultivated with *Serratia odorifera* 4Rx13. (a–d) Determination of shoot fresh weight of *A. thaliana* co-cultivated with *S. odorifera* 4Rx13. *A. thaliana* seedlings were placed on MS medium and *S. odorifera* 4Rx13 was applied near the plastic barrier on NB II (b) or DMG (d). (e–h) Determination of root fresh weight of *A. thaliana* co-cultivated with *S. odorifera* 4Rx13. Petri dishes were incubated vertically to allow better exploration of root growth. (a, e) and (c, g) were inoculated without bacteria. (i) Quantitative determination of the growth of *A. thaliana* after 10 days of co-cultivation. Relative increase/decrease of fresh weights and root lengths was calculated in comparison to plants that were not co-cultivated with bacteria (a, c, e, g = controls). Lower panel indicates the pH of the medium at the end of the experiment. Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated based on three experiments with five replicates. Significance (*) was calculated using Students t-test (p<0.01). NB II: nutrient broth II; DMG: Davis-Mingioli+glucose = minimal medium with 55 mM glucose; MS: half strength of Murashige-Skoog plant medium.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063538.g001

*P. maltophilia* 3Re-18, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* L13-6-12, *P. trivialis* 3Re-2-7, *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* P69 and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* R3089, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 2P3-18a and *Bacillus subtilis* B2g). All tested bacterial strains except *B. subtilis* and *S. epidermidis* emitted ammonia at substantial levels; the emission by *S. rhizophila* P69 was especially pronounced (Fig. 2b). The high
ammonia release generated a pH shift in the plant medium, which correlated with the negative growth effects of A. thaliana, while the low ammonia emission of B. subtilis B2g and S. epidermidis 2P3-18a resulted in small or no pH shifts and had no effect on plant growth. These results substantiated the observation that bacteria growing on peptone-rich media released ammonia in concentrations that were sufficient to alkalize the MS medium which in turn retarded plant growth.

**Discussion**

This paper demonstrates i) the potential of ammonia emission by rhizobacteria and ii) its consequences for the growth and development of Arabidopsis thaliana in volatile-mediated co-cultivations with bacteria.

Ammonia can be produced by nitrite ammonification [30], by the degradation of various amino acids utilized from proteins of food or of complex media [31], by the decarboxylation of amino acids to produce biogenic amines as well as ammonia [32], by deamination, and by the urease-mediated hydrolytic degradation of urea [33].

**Figure 2. Emission of NH$_3$ by Serratia odorifera 4Rx13 and pH shift of plant MS medium.** (a) S. odorifera 4Rx13 was applied on NB II medium along the plastic barrier of the bipartite Petri dish. At indicated time points, ammonia (gray, dashed line) was quantified in the headspace of the second compartment using Quantofix test paper. The color changes were documented and compared with calibrated standard curve of 0.5 μmol, 1 μmol, 2.5 μmol, 5 μmol, 10 μmol and 50 μmol ammonia solutions [22]. The pH value of the plant MS medium in the second compartment was also determined (black, dashed line). NH$_3$ emissions and pH values were determined during a time course of 10 days. Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated based on three experiments each with two replicates. NB II: nutrient broth II; MS: half strength of Murashige-Skoog plant medium. (b) NH$_3$ emissions (gray square) and pH values in the MS medium (black square) were determined after 72 hours of growth of the following rhizobacteria: B2g - Bacillus subtilis, L13-6-12 - Pseudomonas fluorescens, 3Re2-7 - Pseudomonas trivialis, 4Rx13 - Serratia odorifera, HRO-C48 - Serratia plymuthica, 3Re4-18 - Serratia plymuthica, P69 - Stenotrophomonas rhizophila, R3089 - Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, 2P3-18a - Staphylococcus epidermidis. Lower panel indicates A. thaliana inhibition (i) or growth (g) during co-cultivation with respective bacterial isolates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063538.g002
of urea [33]. The genome of *S. odorifera* 4Rx13 encodes more than 55 putative ammonia-producing enzymes, including ammonia lyases, amino acid and nucleotide deaminases, nitrases, nitrite reductases, pyridoxamine phosphate oxidases, and amino acid deaminases, which strongly support the process of ammonia synthesis. Similar enzyme activities are also expected to be present in the other eight bacterial species investigated here.

Ammonia fulfills several biological roles. In addition to its important metabolic role in many organisms, ammonia’s toxicity is well known. Ammonia seems not to be toxic for non-phototrophic bacteria even at rather high levels (over 100 mM); in contrast cyanobacteria and plants tolerate only low levels [34]. One prerequisite for toxic functionality appears to be its rapid diffusion through the majority of biological membranes [33]. Due to the rather lipophilic character of the uncharged NH₃ molecule, the rapid permeation is biologically significant even at small concentration differences across the membrane. When ammonia accumulates in the plant cells at levels higher than 0.1 mM, plants showed symptoms such as the chlorosis of leaves, a lowered root/shoot ratio, stimulated root branching, declined mycorrhizal associations, and inhibited seed germination and seedling establishment [35].

The mechanisms that underlie these phenotypic aberrations are manifold; among them are biochemical pH-stat systems that account for differences in the internal H⁺ balance and decreasing external pH due to NH₄⁺ acquisition. In contrast to the acidification of the rhizosphere, the co-cultivation experiments presented here demonstrated significant plant damage due to the alkalization of the medium (ca. pH 8) as a consequence of bacterial ammonia emission. It was previously shown that NH₃ entered plant cells very rapidly in the presence of a high external pH; inducing transient elevations of cytoplasmic and vacuolar pH [36,37]. Furthermore, NH₃ treatment at high pH levels stimulated the increase of cytoplasmic calcium concentrations due to Ca²⁺ influx through the plasma membrane or Ca²⁺ release from internal stores; subsequently altering the calcium homeostasis [38]. It seems very likely that due to the continuous production and emission of NH₃ into the headspace, similar events occurred in *A. thaliana* during its volatile-mediated co-cultivation with *S. odorifera* 4Rx13.

---

**Figure 3. Co-cultivation of Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 and Serratia odorifera 4Rx13 in the presence of phosphoric acid.** Growth of *A. thaliana* cultivated on MS medium in tripartite Petri dishes for 10 days: (a) cultivation without bacteria and without phosphoric acid (control 1); (b) co-cultivation with bacteria and without phosphoric acid; (c) co-cultivation with bacteria and with phosphoric acid; (d) cultivation without bacteria and with phosphoric acid (control 2). (e) Quantitative determination of the growth of *A. thaliana*. Relative increase/decrease of fresh weights of shoots was calculated in comparison to shoots cultivated without bacteria and without phosphoric acid (a). Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated based on three experiments each with five replicates. (a) indicates significances of p≤0.05 (Student’s t-test) in comparison to the co-cultivation of plants and bacteria without phosphoric acid. NB II: nutrient broth II; MS: half strength of Murashige-Skoog plant medium.

**DOI:** 10.1371/journal.pone.0063538.g003
The volatile-based bacterial-plant interactions are, however, more complex and cannot only be explained by the emission of ammonia. *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* P69 for example, emits much more ammonia compared to *S. odorifera* 4Rx13 (Fig. 2b), but the reaction of *A. thaliana* to the volatiles of both bacteria were almost the same [12], suggesting that other volatiles of *S. rhizophila* may compensate the effects of ammonia. Another discrepancy was detected: *Bacillus subtilis* B2g emits approximately 1 μmol of ammonia at the third day which shifted the plant medium to pH 7 (Fig. 2b) and the daily application of 1 μmol ammonia in a bipartite Petri dish resulted in 95% plant growth reduction (Fig. S3), while according to Vespermann and colleagues [12] the growth of *A. thaliana* was not affected by the volatiles of *B. subtilis* B2g at day 10. These apparent inconsistencies may partially be explained by the different experimental set ups, e.g. i) time points of examination were different (3 days vs. 10 days), ii) daily ammonia applications are different to continuous production of volatiles by the bacteria and iii) the application of a single volatile ammonia most likely generates different reactions in the plant compared to a complex volatile mixture emitted by bacteria.

In addition to the above mentioned indirect action mode, bacterial ammonia may also operate directly on the roots and/or bacteria (Fig. 1) [17,23], which correlated well with observations of *A. thaliana* was reduced during volatile-mediated co-cultivation with *S. odorifera* 4Rx13 was applied. (h) Quantitative determination of the growth of *A. thaliana* at day 10. These apparent inconsistencies may partially be explained by the different experimental set ups, e.g. i) time points of examination were different (3 days vs. 10 days), ii) daily ammonia applications are different to continuous production of volatiles by the bacteria and iii) the application of a single volatile ammonia most likely generates different reactions in the plant compared to a complex volatile mixture emitted by bacteria.

Organismal diversity and plant-microbe interactions may depend on bacterial ammonia emission. Experiments have to be conducted which monitor bacterial derived ammonia in the rhizosphere to elucidate ammonia depending processes in the subterranean zone of plants.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 volatile-mediated co-cultivated with *Serratia odorifera* 4Rx13 growing on different glucose concentrations. *A. thaliana* was co-cultivated with *S. odorifera* 4Rx13. *A. thaliana* seedlings were placed on MS medium and *S. odorifera* 4Rx13 was applied near the plastic barrier on NB II (b–c) or DMG (f). NB II was supplemented with glucose at indicated concentrations (c–e). Fresh weights of the shoots were determined after 10 days of co-cultivation. (g) Quantitative determination of the growth of *A. thaliana*. Relative increase/decrease of fresh weights of shoots was calculated in comparison to the weight of shoots grown at pH 6 (b = 100%). Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated based on three experiments each with seven replicates. Significances were calculated using Student’s t-test in comparison to b (a: p < 0.0001; b: p=0.005). MS: half strength of Murashige-Skoog plant medium. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 on MS medium of different pH. (a–c) *A. thaliana* was cultivated on MS medium of pH = 5, pH = 6, pH = 7, pH = 8 and pH = 9 for 10 days. (f) Quantitative determination of growth of *A. thaliana*. Relative fresh weights of shoots were calculated in comparison to the weight of plants grown at pH 6 (b = 100%). Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated based on three experiments each with seven replicates. Significances were calculated using Student’s t-test in comparison to b (a: p < 0.0001; b: p=0.005). MS: half strength of Murashige-Skoog plant medium. (TIF)

**Figure S3** Growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 in the presence of NH₃ [a–g] Growth of ten surface-sterilized and stratified *A. thaliana* in the presence of different amounts of ammonia (0 to 50 μmol NH₃ solved in 10 ml water). *A. thaliana* seedlings were placed on MS medium and ammonia was filled into the upper compartment of a bipartite Petri dish. Every 24 h the solution was exchanged and freshly prepared ammonia solution was applied. (b) Quantitative determination of the growth of *A. thaliana* after 10 days of co-cultivation. Relative increase/decrease of fresh weights of shoots was calculated in comparison to the weight of shoots cultivated without ammonia (a). Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated based on three experiments each with five replicates. Significances (*) were calculated using Student’s t-test in comparison to control (a) and 0.5 μmol NH₃ (b), p<0.01. Lower panel: pH values of the MS medium 10 days after cultivation (b–g). pH values were determined by placing pH indicator paper on agar. The pH of the MS medium in the control experiment (a) remained at 6.3 throughout the experiment. MS: half strength of Murashige-Skoog plant medium. (TIF)

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Sarah Härzl for technical assistance, and the University of Rostock and DFG for financial support to BP. We thank Emily Wheeler, Boston, Massachusetts, for improving the English of the manuscript.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: TW MK BP. Performed the experiments: TW. Analyzed the data: TW MK BP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: BP. Wrote the paper: BP.

**References**

1. Egamberdieva D, Kamilova F, Valiieov L, Kucharova Z, Lugtenberg B (2008) High incidence of plant growth stimulating bacteria associated with the rhizosphere of wheat grown on salinized soil in Uzbekistan. Environ Microbial 10: 1–9.
2. Lynch JM, Whipp JM (1990) Substrate flow in the rhizosphere. Plant and Soil 129: 1–10.
3. Uren NC (2003) Types, amounts, and possible functions of compounds released into the rhizosphere by soil-grown plants. In: Pinon R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (eds) The Rhizosphere – Biochemistry and Organic Substances at the Soil–Plant Interface, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1–22.
4. Nguyen C (2003) Rhizodeposition of organic C by plants: Mechanisms and controls. Agronomie 23: 375–396.
Bacterial Ammonia Emission

5. Dennis PG, Miller AJ, Hirsch PR (2010) Are root exudates more important than other sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? FEMS Microbiol Ecol 72: 313–327.

6. Haas D, Delgado G (2003) Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. Nature Reviews Microbiol 3: 307–319.

7. Lutgenberg B, Kamilova F (2009) Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Ann Rev Microbiol 63: 541–56.

8. Effner U, Kalderow J, Warnke R, Piechulla B (2012) Volatile mediated interactions between bacteria and fungi in the soil. J Chem Ecol 38: 665–703.

9. Ruy CM, Farag MA, Hu CH, Reddy MS, Wei HY, et al. (2003) Bacterial volatiles promote growth in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 4927–4932.

10. Fernadez WGD, Ramanathran R, Krishnamoorthy AS, Savchuk SC (2005) Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal volatiles in biocontrol. Soil Biol Biochem 37: 955–964.

11. Kai M, Effner U, Berg G, Piechulla B (2007) Volatiles of bacterial antagonists inhibit mycelial growth of the plant pathogen Phytophthora infestans. Arch Microbiol 187: 351–360.

12. Vespermann A, Kai M, Piechulla B (2007) Rhizobacterial volatiles affect the growth of fungi and Arabidopsis thaliana. Appl Environ Microbiol 73: 5639–5641.

13. Zou CS, Mo MH, Gu YQ, Zhou JP, Zhang KQ (2007) Possible contribution of volatile-producing bacteria in soil fungistasis. Soil Biol Biochem 39: 2371–2379.

14. Kai M, Vespermann A, Piechulla B (2008) The growth of fungi and Arabidopsis thaliana is influenced by bacterial volatiles. Plant Signal Behav 3: 1–3.

15. Kai M, Hasselein M, Molina F, Perri A, Scholz B, et al. (2009) Bacterial volatiles and their action potential. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 81: 1001–1012.

16. Wenke K, Kai M, Piechulla B (2010) Belowgroundvolatilesfacilitateinteractions between plant roots and soil organisms. Planta 231: 499–506.

17. Wenke K, Wanke D, Kilian J, Berendzen K, Hartke K, et al. (2012 b) Volatiles of two growth-inhibiting rhizobacteria commonly enroll AtWRKY1 function. The Plant J 70: 445–459.

18. Zou CS, Li Z, Yu D (2010) Bacillus megaterium strain XTBG34 promotes plant growth by producing 2-pentylfuran. The Journal of Microbiology 48: 460–466.

19. Kai M, Crespo E, Cristescu SM, Harren FJM, Piechulla B (2010) Serratia odorifera: analysis of volatile emission and biological impact of volatile compounds on Arabidopsis thaliana. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 88: 965–976.

20. Wenke K, Weise T, Warnke R, Valverde C, Wanke D, et al. (2012 a) Bacterial Volatiles Mediating Information Between Bacteria and Plants. In: Witzany G (ed) Biocommunication, Signaling and Communication in Plants, Springer Verlag, 4932.

21. Zou CS, Li Z, Yu D (2010) Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal volatiles in biocontrol. Soil Biol Biochem 37: 955–964.

22. Kai M, Crespo E, Cristescu SM, Harren FJM, Piechulla B (2010) Bacterial Ammonia Emission

23. Wenke K, Weise T, Warnke R, Valverde C, Wanke D, et al. (2012 a) Bacterial Volatiles Mediating Information Between Bacteria and Plants. In: Witzany G (ed) Biocommunication, Signaling and Communication in Plants, Springer Verlag, 4932.

24. Knowles CJ (1976) Microorganisms and cyanide. Bacterial Rev 40: 652–680.

25. Berg G, Roskot N, Steidl A, Eberl L, Zock A, et al. (2002) Plant-dependent genotypic and phenotypic diversity of antagonistic rhizobacteria isolated from different Triticum host plants. Appl Environ Microbiol 68: 3320–3330.

26. Davis BD, Mingoel E (1950) Mutants of Escherichia coli requiring methionine or threonine B J Bacteriol 60: 17–28.

27. Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15: 473–497.

28. Ryden JC, Mc Neil JE (1984) Application of the micrometeorological mass balance method to the determination of ammonia loss from a grazed sward. J Sci Food Agr 35: 1297–1310.

29. von Reuß SH, Kai M, Piechulla B, Francke W (2010) Octamethylcyclododecane from the rhizobacterium Serratia odorifera 4Rxl3. Angew Chem Int Ed 49: 2009–2010.

30. Simon J (2002) Eozymology and bioenergetics of respiratory nitrate ammonification. FEBS Microbiol Rev 26: 285–309.

31. Kanapka JA, Kleinberg I (1985) Catabolism of arginine by the mixed bacteria in human saliva. Vitamine B12. J Bacteriol 60: 17–28.

32. O¨ zugul F, O¨ zugul Y (2007) The ability of biogenic amines and ammonia production by single bacterial cultures. Eur Food Res Technol 225: 365–394.

33. Klein K, Traglasser A, Domn S (1998) Does ammonia production by Escherichia contribute to pathogenesis? Bull Inst Pasteur 96: 257–265.

34. Henderson PJ (1971) Ion transport by energy-conserving biological membrane. Ann Rev Microbiol 25: 393–426.

35. Britto DT, Kronzucker HJ (2002) NH4 + toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. J Plant Physiol 159: 567–584.

36. Koegsarten H, Grofli F, W внешне J, Wilson G, Hoffmann B (1997) Differential ammonia-elicted changes of cytoplasmic pH in root hair cells of rice and maize as monitored by 2,7-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5 (and -6)-carboxyfluorescein-fluorescence ratio. Plant Physiol 113: 451–461.

37. Wilson GH, Grofli F, Koegsarten H (1998) Differential pH restoration after ammonia-elicted vacuolar alkalization in raise and maize root hairs as measured by fluorescence ratio. Planta 206: 154–161.

38. Pfehl G, Sagedenachuer B, Knight MR (2000) Ammonium uptake and cellular alkalization in roots of Arabidopsis thaliana. The involvement of cytoplasmic calcium. Physiol Plant 110: 518–523.

39. Loque D, von Wienen N (2004) Regulatory levels for the transport of ammonium in plant roots. J Exp Bot 55: 1293–1305.

40. Yuan L, Loque D, Kojima S, Rauch S, Ishiyama K, et al. (2007) The organization of high affinity ammonium uptake in Arabidopsis roots depends on the spatial arrangement and biochemical properties of AMT1-type transporters. Plant Cell 19: 2636–2652.

41. Yuan L, Graff I, Loque D, Kojima S, Tsunekawa YN, et al. (2009) AtAMT1-4, a pollen-specific high affinity ammonium transporter of the plasma membrane in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol 50: 13–25.

42. Lima JE, Kojima S, Takahashi H, von Wienen N (2010) Ammonium triggers lateral root branching in Arabidopsis in an ammonium transporter 1;3-dependent manner. Plant Cell 22: 3621–3633.

43. Li Q, Li BH, Kronzucker HJ, Shi WM (2010) Root growth inhibition by NH4 + in Arabidopsis is mediated by the root tip and is linked to NH4 + efflux and GMPase activity. Plant Cell Environ 9: 1529–1542.