Effect of replacing the main reinforcement by steel fibers on flexural behavior of one-way concrete slabs
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Abstract. The main objective of the research is to study the preparation of one way slabs of ordinary concrete, and then to prepare concrete slabs by replacing the main reinforcing steel with two kinds of steel fibers (ordinary steel fibers and recycled steel fibers) by fraction volumes of 0.125, 0.250, and 0.375%. Also, study the mechanical properties of the mixtures as a compressive strength, indirect tensile strength, and flexural strength. Concrete slabs of these mixtures have been prepared with specific geometrical dimensions: 700 * 300 * 70 mm, exposed to line load, to study the bending moment and maximum failure load of these slabs. A concrete mixture was produced after proportionment based on the ACI and casting six cubes tested at the ages of 7 and 28 days where the strength requirements for design were achieved. The main mixtures of research were produced, three cubes for compressive strength testing, twenty one cylinders for indirect tensile strength testing, and twenty one prisms for modulus of rupture testing. Also sixteen concrete slabs were prepared, two of them were reference slabs without reinforcing steel, the other two with main reinforcing steel only, six of them replaced by the main reinforcing steel with ordinary steel fibers, and the last six replacing the main reinforcing steel with recycled steel fibers. The results showed that both the failure load and the resultant deflection in concrete slabs decreased by (20.09%, 51.91%) for maximum load and by (35.18%, 81.48%) compared to the reference slabs when replacing the main reinforcing steel with steel fiber, also by (25.72%, 38.29%) to failure load and (38.88%, 79.63%) for the deflection compared to the reference slabs when replacing the main reinforcing steel with recycled steel fibers. The best value for maximum load and deflection could be obtained from this study was at 0.125% replacement ratio of the main reinforcing steel with recycled steel fibers, the highest value of ductility was 3.77 at the replacement ratio of 0.250% of the main reinforcing steel with the ordinary steel fibers, also the highest hardness value was 11.67 kN / mm with the replacement ratio of 0.125% of the main reinforcing steel with recycled steel fibers and increased by 61.85% than the hardness of the reference slabs.

1 Introduction

Due to the increase in waste that adversely affects the environment, the researchers found many ways to get rid of these wastes and reduce their impact on the environment. It is known that reinforced concrete is used to build structures on a large scale all over the world. The reinforced concrete slabs are the main parts of these facilities. There are many analytical and experimental studies on reinforced concrete slabs. It has taken time and effort for many researchers and for many years. Concrete slabs are used as floors not only in industrial and residential buildings but also as floors in bridges. Portland cement concrete is also known as brittle when it is subjected to tensile stresses, unreinforced concrete cracks under these stresses. Since the middle of the 18th century steel reinforcement has been used to solve this problem. Using it in the concrete as a composite material, reinforcing steel supports all tensile stresses [1]. Concrete containing cement, water, fine and coarse aggregates, non-continuous fibers called as Fibrous Reinforced Concrete (FRC), has been widely used in the construction of roofs, paving, machinery foundations, and Seismic buildings [2]. Fibers are separate intermittent parts made of many materials such as steel, plastic, glass, and other natural materials of different shapes and sizes and the best numerical variable to define is the aspect ratio which is equal to the length of the fiber divided by the length of the equivalent diameter. The value of this ratio ranges (30-150) [3]. Different labels for different types of fiber, as fiber can be divided into two groups according to the elasticity coefficient. The first fiber has a lower elasticity coefficient than the concrete elasticity coefficient, such as cellulose fibers, nylon and polypropylene fibers, and the second has a higher elasticity coefficient than the elasticity of concrete, such as asbestos fibers, fiberglass, iron fiber and carbon fiber [4]. It works to bridge cracks and increases the strength and durability of concrete. The effectiveness of this fiber depends on the pull-out resistance of the concrete mixture. When reinforced concrete is used in reinforced concrete beams then due to tensile strength the high tension of this type of concrete causes high tensile deformities in the longitudinal reinforcement bars. As a result, the reinforced concrete beams are acquired by the longitudinal reinforcing steel.
and the steel fibers are highly ductile [5]. As for recycled steel fibers, they are a residue of vehicle tires or steel residues and their management remains a concern for those interested in the environment around the world. Therefore, researchers in Europe have sought to use tire waste as additives to concrete and to study their effect on concrete mixtures [6]. Materials are removed from damaged tires either mechanically or by burning. Mechanical methods produce rubber and steel. They start with the recycling of tire waste, turning tire residues into small pieces of rubber and then separating the raw rubber by freezing the parts to separate the tires. Rubber fracture will be frosted and thus separating fiber after breaking rubber surrounded by and saved, and the method of burning produces steel including, coal, liquid and gases. It can be used as rubber and iron in concrete reinforcement as it works to increase the strength of concrete. Portability roads have hardness. It also can use the steel in it (with any type of steel fiber) as possible to reduce the amount of processed fiber used to increase construction speed, this can be used as waste tires to improve the energy and materials and reduce the buried material under the ground with the other uses, as the waste management FRAMEWORKS impact on the economy and national factors yield a practical example of this case. The frame of recycled recycling, where the demand is less than a new tire but it contributes to the reduction of prices depending on the level of use.

2 Aim of study

The purpose of this research is to study the structural and mechanical properties of reinforced concrete panels with steel fibers when used in wide beams (like one-way slab), and the possibility to take advantage of reinforcing fibers as a substitute for ordinary reinforcement, according to the study parameters and determinants process laboratory, and the possibility to take advantage of the fibers available or re-use of recycled steel fibers for concrete reinforcement with low cost, use of steel fibers from worn tire manufacturer as a substitute for fiber reinforced concrete as an alternative of synthetic steel fibers, it has proposed structural units with high strength and low cost used as concrete roofing.

3 Experimental Program

3.1 Material preparation

3.1.1 Cement

An ordinary Portland Cement Type I was used in all concrete mixtures. It conforms to the Iraqi Standard specification (IQS No.5 / 1984) [7]. Tables 1 and 2 show the chemical and physical properties of the cement used.

3.1.2 Fine Aggregate

The fine aggregate (sand) used was river sand from the short of river Dijla in Samarra area - Salah al-Din Governorate. It conforms to the Iraqi Standard Specification/ zone III IQS NO.45 / 1984. Table 3 shows the grading of fine aggregate, and Table 4 shows the chemical and physical properties.

Table 1. Chemical properties of cement.

| Oxides Composition | Content % | Limit of Iraqi Specification No. 5/1984 |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|
| CaO                | 60.45     |                                         |
| Al_2O_3            | 4.65      | 8% Max                                  |
| SiO_2              | 20.11     | 21% Max                                 |
| Fe_2O_3            | 3.62      | 5% Max                                  |
| MgO                | 4.1       | 5 % Max                                 |
| SO_3               | 2.33      | 2.5 %Max                                |
| Loss on Ignition, (L.O.I) | 2.72   | 4 %Max                                  |
| Insoluble material | 1.33      | 1.5 %Max                                |
| Lime Saturation Factor (L.S.F) | 0.89 | (0.66-1.02)                             |

Table 2. Physical properties of cement.

| Physical properties | Test Results | Limit of Iraqi Specification No. 5/1984 |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Specific surface area (Blaine method), (m²/kg) [9] | 308 | (230 m²/kg) lower limit |
| Setting time (Vacate Apparatus) [10] | 2hrs:15min 4hrs:10mn | Not less than 45min Not more than 10 hrs |
| Compressive strength (MPa) [11] For 3-day | 28.7 | Not less than 15 MPa |

Table 3. Grading of fine aggregate.

| Sieve Size | Cumulative Retained % | Cumulative Passing % | Limit of IQS No. 45/1984 for Zone No. (3) [12] |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 4.75-mm (No.4) | 5.61 | 94.40 | 90-100 |
| 2.36-mm (No.8) | 8.62 | 91.35 | 85-100 |
| 1.18-mm (No.16) | 15.62 | 84.30 | 75-100 |
| 600-µm (No.30) | 23.68 | 76.32 | 60-79 |
| 300-µm (No.50) | 65.69 | 34.25 | 12-40 |
| 150-µm (No.100) | 90.55 | 9.45 | 0-10 |

3.1.3 Coarse Aggregate

Well rounded river coarse aggregate (gravel), available at natural quarries on the Dijla River, from Samarra area was used with maximum size of aggregate of 14 mm, Table 5 shows the grading of coarse aggregate and
confirms to (I.Q.S no.45/1984) [12], and table 6 shows the chemical and physical properties.

### Table 4. Physical and Chemical Properties of fine aggregate.

| Properties                  | Specification          | Test Results | Limits of Specification |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Specific gravity            | ASTM C128-01 [13]      | 2.63         |                         |
| Absorption (%)              | ASTM C128-01 [13]      | 2.00         |                         |
| Dry loose unit weight (kg/m³) | ASTM C29/C29M/97 [14] | 1600        |                         |
| Sulfate content (as SO₃) (%) | (I.Q.S.) No. 45/1984 [12] | 0.36         | 0.5 (max. value)       |
| Material finer than 0.075 mm (%) | (I.Q.S.) No. 45/1984 [14] | 3            | 5 (max. value)         |

### Table 5. Grading of coarse aggregate.

| Sieve size | Cumulative Passing % | Limit of IQS No. [45/1984] [10] |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 12.5-mm    | 93.975               | 90-100                           |
| 9.5-mm     | 85.462               | 85-100                           |
| 4.75-mm    | 16.699               | 10-30                            |
| 2.36mm     | 0.164                | 0-10                             |

### Table 6. Physical and Chemical Properties of coarse aggregate.

| Properties                  | Specification          | Test Results | Limits of Specification |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Specific Gravity            | ASTM C127-01 [15]      | 2.62         |                         |
| Absorption (%)              | ASTM C127-01 [15]      | 0.7          |                         |
| Dry Loose Unit Weight kg/m³ | ASTM C29/C29M/97 [14]  | 1596         |                         |
| Sulfate Content (as SO₃) (%) | (I.Q.S.) No. 45-1984 [12] | 0.08         | 0.1 (max. value)       |

### Table 7. Technical properties of plasticizer.

| Properties of Plasticizer | Activity               |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Sika Visco Crete Super E4 | Brown Liquid           |
|                           | Appearance             |
|                           | 1.06 ± 0.02 kg/lt      |
|                           | Density at 20 C°       |
|                           | -6°C                   |
|                           | Freezing               |
|                           | 6-8                    |
|                           | pH                     |
|                           | None                   |
|                           | Chloride               |

**Fig. 1.** Dimensions and shape of steel fibers Sika Fiber SH 60/30.

### 5.2 Silica fume

SIKA-FUME-HR was used, it confirms to ASTM C1240-03 [16], and Table 8 shows the properties of SIKA-FUME-HR.

### Table 8. Chemical analysis and activity index of SIKA-FUME-HR

| Pozzolanic activity | Limit of ASTM C1240-03 | Chemical decomposition | Limit of ASTM C1240-03 [16] |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| L.O.I               | 3.89                    | 6% Max                  |
| SiO₂                | 91.03                   | 85% Min                 |
| Al₂O₃               | 4.02                    | -                       |
| Fe₂O₃               | 0.32                    | -                       |
| SO₃                 | 0.73                    | -                       |

### 6 Steel Fibers

Steel fibers of type Sika Fiber SH 60/30 were used as shown in Fig. (1), which is the most commonly used species and works to bridge cracks and increases ductility and toughness of concrete. Fibers were of 30 mm length and diameter of 0.55 mm, fibers were added with replacement ratios of the main reinforcement steel in fraction volumes of (0.125, 0.125, and 0.375) % of concrete volume, Table 9 shows the specifications and characteristics of typical steel fibers used in concrete mixtures.

#### 6.1 Recycled Steel Fiber

Recycled steel fibers were used from vehicles tires in the local market in Iraq. The fibers were cut manually at uniform length of 30 mm and 1 mm diameter and aspect ratio of 30, Fig. (2) Shows the recycled steel fibers used...
### Table 9. Properties of steel fibers.

| Commercial name | Configuration | Property          | Specifications        |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Sika Fiber SH   | Hooked ends   | Density (kg/m³)   | 7860                  |
| 60/30           |               | Ultimate strength (MPa) | 1345                  |
|                 |               | Modulus of Elasticity (Pa) | 210 x 10³              |
|                 |               | Strain at proportion limit | 6.4 x 10⁻³             |
|                 |               | Poisson's ratio    | 0.28                  |
|                 |               | Average length (Lf) | 30 mm                 |
|                 |               | Nominal diameter (Đf) | 0.55 mm               |
|                 |               | Aspect ratio (Lf/Đf) | 60                    |

### Fig. 2. Recycled steel fiber.

#### 7.1 Steel Reinforcement

Deformed steel reinforcement of 6mm diameter, were used at the bottom of the slabs to resist tension stresses resulting from bending moment. Table 10 shows the Lab. results conducted on this steel bars and confirms to ASTM A615 [17].

#### 7.2 Mold Dimensions and Slab Reinforcement Details

Four steel molds were manufactured with 300 x 700 x 70 mm in dimensions and thick steel sheets were used to ensure fare faces. The slab samples were designed with rectangular section to ensure bending failure before shear failure is reached. Rebars of 6mm Ø were used in both directions as shown in Fig. 3.

#### 7.3 Reference Mix

The concrete mixture used was designed according to the American Concrete Institute code (ACI 211.4R - 08) [18] to obtain a concrete compressive strength of 35 MPa at the age of twenty-eight days. Superplasticizer was used by 1%, and the silica fume by 10% by weight of cement. Tables 11, 12 shows the weight of the material used in preparing the concrete mixture.

#### 7 Mixes, casting, and concrete curing

Steel molds (slabs, cubes, cylinders, and prisms) are prepared, cleaned and oiled with a thin film of oil, then reinforcement is placed in the steel mold, and the mixing process begins with half quantity of coarse aggregate and water, then fibers (steel fibers or recycled steel fiber) were added gradually to avoid congestion. Finally all other mixing ingredients are added to complete the mix. Slump test is conducted on the mix afterward within 75 -125 mm limits. Molds are filled with fresh mix and vibrated at layers of 50mm thickness each [19]. Samples are left for 24 hours, then placed in water for 27 days to have ready for testing of strength. Eight concrete mixes were prepared with two slabs samples from each mix. The eight mixes consist of one mix as a reference mix with no additives and reinforcement. Another mix reinforced but no additives in addition to six mixes with different volumetric ratios of reinforcement as shown in Table XII.

#### 8 Laboratory tests

##### 8.1 Mechanical tests

**compressive strength**

Compressive strength test was conducted according to British specification (BS 1881: Part 116:1989) for standard cubes samples used of (150 x 150 x 150) mm. An electrical testing machine of capacity 2025 kN was loaded axially. The average compressive strength value for three cubes was adopted at the age of 28 days, as shown in Table 13.

### Table 10. Results of steel bars test.

| Bars diameters (mm) | Yield stress (f_y) (MPa) | Ultimate stress (f_u) (MPa) | Elongation % |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 6mm                 | 621.81                  | 720.34                      | 6.4          |

### Table 11. Ingredients of reference concrete mixture.

| Ingredient         | Coarse aggregate | Fine aggregate | Cement | Water | Superplasticizer | Silica Fume |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-------|------------------|-------------|
| Kg/m³              | 898              | 890            | 447    | 137   | 5                | 50          |

### Table 12. Details of mixtures used.

| Symbol | Fibers % From steel | W/C   | SP % |
|--------|---------------------|-------|------|
| R1     | 0                   | 0.392 | 0.6  |
| R2     | 0                   | 0.392 | 0.6  |
| SF1    | 0.125               | 0.392 | 0.8  |
| SF2    | 0.250               | 0.392 | 0.9  |
| SF3    | 0.375               | 0.392 | 1.0  |
| RSF1   | 0.125               | 0.392 | 0.8  |
| RSF2   | 0.250               | 0.392 | 0.9  |
| RSF3   | 0.375               | 0.392 | 1.0  |
Splitting Tensile Strength

A splitting tensile strength test was conducted on the samples according to (ASTM C 496-96) [20]. A U-Test machine was used to test samples of (150 × 300) mm cylinders at 28 days age. Three samples were used for each mix, for each percentage ratio of steel and recycled steel proportion of the percentages used for steel and recycled steel fiber. In the test, a diagonal compressive load was applied which will cause tensile failure instead of compressive since the location of applied load will be in the triaxial compression and enable sample to resist compression higher than when under compressive testing. Table 14 shows detailed results of increase or decrease in tensile strength. Fig. (5) Shows the effect of hooked reinforced fiber on bridging cracks compared to samples with unhooked fiber reinforcement.

- Modulus of rupture

Modulus of rupture \( (f_r) \) test was conducted on concrete samples of (100×100×500) mm with prisms specimens according to ASTM C78-01[21]. Third -point loading method was used at loading rate (1MPa / min). Three prisms were used for each type of mix at 28 age. Fig. (6) Shows the mode of failure of samples. While table 15 shows detailed results of using different ratios of fibers. Some results show that reinforcement fibers didn't work well at certain level because level was exposed to tension and compression stresses at the same time. The different mixtures that contained the two types of fiber were adopted for the number of standard prisms and testing. It noted the increase in the ratio of modulus of rupture to increase the ratio of the hooked fibers compared to the reference sample and the increase rates of (35.41%, 58.20%) for fibers proportion of (0.125% (0.2%), while the decrease in fiber fraction (0.375%) to be (26.41%). This difference may be due to the steel fiber ratio exceeding the normal fraction of the \( V_f \). when comparing the same ratios of both types of fiber a difference was noticed in the amount of increase or decrease of resistance, which requires the study of replacement ratios at additional rates to reach the exact limits of the change in rupture resistance.

- Flexural strength test of one way slabs

Slabs of (700 × 300 × 70) mm were tested for flexure at 28 days age. The slabs reinforced identically were simply supported and tested by a flexural testing machine of 200 kN capacity at loading rate (1kN/sec). A uniform load was applied with reading taken from a digital gage machine of one micron (1 µmm) accuracy. Results were based on load-deflection curves at mid-span. Fig. (8 & 9). Table 16 shows many different cases of the effects of changing reinforcement with typical steel fibers and recycled steel fibers.

The replacement of the main reinforcing steel with the steel fibers and the recycled fiber has an effect on the

![Table 13. Compressive strength of reference concrete mixtures.](image)
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![Fig. 6. Mode of failure of prisms.](image)

![Fig. 7. Details and supporting method.](image)
maximum load of the concrete slabs. Table 16 shows the results obtained from the bending test, for different ratios of steel fibers (0.125%, 0.250%, and 0.375%). The maximum load decreases by 20.09%, 51.91% and 48.26% respectively, compared to the maximum load of the reference slabs. As well as for slump values (35.18%, 37.03%, and 81.48%) compared to the slump values of the reference slabs. This is due to the type of fiber used, as well as the nature of its behavior and the place of addition where it was added to the tensile area only. The maximum load is gradually reduced by 25.72%, 36.41%, and the maximum weight is reduced by 25.72% 38.29%, respectively, compared to the maximum load of slabs (38.88%, 70.37%, 79.63%) compared with the slump values of the reference slabs.

Fig. (10) Shows how slabs with main reinforcement replaced with fibers at (0.125%) will have maximum load higher than those slabs with recycled reinforcement of fiber. The same result goes to slump tests and cracks. This is due to the hooks of the reinforcement of normal steel fiber at their ends which spread widely to tension zone and thus give more resistance. Fig. (11 & 12) show the comparison of slabs with recycled steel fibers and normal steel fibers at (0.25%) and (0.375%) respectively. The latter gives less strength for the same steel fiber and recycled steel fiber slabs as shown in Fig. 10.

Ductility

The Ductility Index (μd) is defined as the ratio between the deflection at the maximum load of failure to the deflection at the yield of the steel reinforcement [22] which can be determined from the curve (load - deflection) of the slab when the curve moves from the elasticity stage to the plasticity phase, Table 17 shows the values of ductility that were determined from the rate of two slabs for each replacement ratio between the main reinforcement and the ordinary and recycled steel fibers. Based on these results, the highest value of ductility index was 3.77 for the replacement ratio of 0.250% of main steel reinforcement by steel fibers that increases by (18.92%) from the ductility Index for the reference slabs. This is due to the decrease in the deflection for the first cracking or when the reinforcing steel is replaced by the steel fibers instead of the main reinforcing steel which is widely distributed during the mixture, causing a decrease in the value of the deflection. Also, it has been noted that the ductility index has decreased to a large value for a replacement ratios of (0.125%, 0.375%) compared to the reference tile. When replacing the main steel reinforcement with recycled steel fibers, there is an increase in the value of ductility for a replacement ratio of (0.125%) compared to the reference slab, the increase was (4.1%). Gradual decrease in ductility index has been noted compared to reference slabs for replacement ratios (0.375%, 0.25%) by (16.08% and 30.60%) respectively. This is due to the increase in the amount of fibers inside the concrete mixture with a decrease in the amount of reinforcing steel which reduces the strength of the stresses generated in the tensile areas at the beginning of the loading. It results a decrease in the rate of deflection at yield and deflection at maximum load of failure, which greatly affects the value of ductility Index.

Stiffness

The stiffness of the concrete slabs was calculated based on the maximum load of failure for the slabs by taking 45% of the maximum load and projecting it on the load-deflection curve and determines the value of the deflection corresponding to the load and dividing the calculated load on the resulting deflection [23]. Table 18 shows the stiffness values of concrete slabs. The results show that the highest stiffness value of slabs at a replacement ratio of (0.125%) of the main reinforcement by steel fibers in the second group (G2) which has increased by (61.85%) than the stiffness value of the reference slabs (R2), and the highest stiffness in the first group (G1) at a replacement ratio of (0.125%) of the main reinforcing steel by the steel fibers, which is (18.58%) higher than the stiffness of the reference slabs (R2). The stiffness values of the second group (G2) slabs with reinforcing steel replacement ratios by recycled steel fibers are higher than the stiffness values of the first group (G1) slabs, and their stiffness is also higher than the stiffness of the reference slabs. The stiffness of this group gradually decreases with an increase of fibers content, due to reasons such as the type of fiber used, their size and their distribution. The addition of these fibers in the tensile area only, which greatly affects the maximum deflection values and the deflection at the first crack, as well as the maximum load, giving high stiffness values compared to reference slabs and that contain steel fibers.
9 Conclusions

It has been concluded that:

1. The max. Failure load in flexure and deflection has decreased by 20.09% to 51.91% of max. Load and by 35.18% to 81.48% in one-way slabs compared with reference slab, upon replacing main steel reinforcement by steel fibers.

2. The max. Failure load in flexure and deflection has decreased by 25.72% to 38.29% of max. Load and by 38.88% to 79.63% in one-way slabs compared with reference slab, upon replacing main steel reinforcement by recycled steel fibers.

3. The best values of max. Load and deflection were at replacement ratio of 0.125% of main steel reinforcement by recycled steel fibers.

4. The highest value of ductility obtained was 3.77 at replacement ratio 0.25% of main steel reinforcement by steel fibers.

5. The highest value of hardness obtained was 11.76 kN/mm at replacement ratio 0.125% of main steel reinforcement by recycled steel fibers, and increased by 61.85% than that of reference slabs.
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Table 14. Results of splitting tensile strength.

| Group No. | Mix No. | W/C | Steel Fibers Percentage (% $f_f$) | Silica Fume % | Splitting Tensile Strength (28) day ($f_t$) MPa | Increase or decrease % |
|-----------|---------|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Ref       | R       | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.6           | 3.91                                          | -                      |
| G1        | SF1     | 0.392 | 0.125                              | 0             | 0.8                                          | +9.71                  |
|           | SF2     | 0.392 | 0.250                              | 0             | 0.8                                          | +38.83                 |
|           | SF3     | 0.392 | 0.375                              | 0             | 1.0                                          | -8.70                  |
| G2        | RSF1    | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.125         | 0.8                                          | +10.41                 |
|           | RSF2    | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.250         | 0.8                                          | -13.04                 |
|           | RSF3    | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.375         | 1.0                                          | -15.09                 |

Table 15. Modulus of rupture for different concrete mixes with steel fiber ratios.

| Group No. | Mix No. | W/C | Steel Fibers Percentage (% $f_f$) | Silica Fume % | Modulus of Rupture (28) day ($f_r$) MPa | Increase or decrease % |
|-----------|---------|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Ref       | R       | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.6           | 10                                       | 6.89                   |
| G1        | SF1     | 0.392 | 0.125                              | 0             | 0.8                                       | +35.41                 |
|           | SF2     | 0.392 | 0.250                              | 0             | 0.8                                       | +58.20                 |
|           | SF3     | 0.392 | 0.375                              | 0             | 1.0                                       | +26.41                 |
| G2        | RSF1    | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.125         | 0.8                                       | +47.46                 |
|           | RSF2    | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.250         | 0.8                                       | +37.30                 |
|           | RSF3    | 0.392 | 0                                  | 0.375         | 1.0                                       | +44.55                 |
### Table 16. Results of maximum load and first crack load of one – way concrete slabs.

| Gr. No. | Slabs No. | W/C | Steel Fibers Percentage ($V_f$) | SP% | Silica Fume % | Load at First Crack P_c (kN) | Average Failure Load ($P_{fu}$) (kN) | decrease in ($P_{fu}$) % | decrease in ($\delta_u$) % |
|---------|-----------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ref     | R1        | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 10 | - | - | 18.1 | - |
|         | R2        | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 10 | 11.8 | 1.7 | 18.3 | 5.4 |
| G1      | SF1       | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 125 | 0 | 0.8 | 10 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 20.0 |
|         | SF2       | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 250 | 0 | 0.9 | 10 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 14.1 | 3.5 |
|         | SF3       | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 375 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 14.1 | 37.0 |
| G2      | RSF1      | 0.392 | SF (Recycling) 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 10 | 10.9 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 3.5 |
|         | RSF2      | 0.392 | SF (Recycling) 0.250 | 0 | 0.9 | 10 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 14.1 | 3.5 |
|         | RSF3      | 0.392 | SF (Recycling) 0.375 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 14.1 | 3.5 |

### Table 17. Ductility Index of Reinforcement concrete slabs.

| Group No. | Mix No. | Slabs No. | W/C | Steel Fibers Percentage ($V_f$) | SF (Normal) % | SF (Recycling) % | SP% | Silica Fume % | ($\delta_u$) (mm) | ($\delta_f$) (mm) | Ductility index ($\psi_{d=\delta_u/\delta_f}$) |
|-----------|---------|-----------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Ref       | R2      | 0.392     | SF (Normal) 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 10 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 3.17 |
| G1        | SF1     | 0.392     | SF (Normal) 125 | 0 | 0.8 | 10 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
|           | SF2     | 0.392     | SF (Normal) 250 | 0 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
|           | SF3     | 0.392     | SF (Normal) 375 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| G2        | RSF1    | 0.392     | SF (Recycling) 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 10 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
|           | RSF2    | 0.392     | SF (Recycling) 0.250 | 0 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.66 |
|           | RSF3    | 0.392     | SF (Recycling) 0.375 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 2.2 |

### Table 18. Results of stiffness of one – way concrete slabs.

| Gr. No. | Slabs No. | W/C | Steel Fibers Percentage ($V_f$) | SP% | Silica Fume % | $P_s$ (kN) | (45% $P_s$) (kN) | (45% $P_s$) (pu) | Deflection @45%($P_s$) (mm) | ($S_t$ = 45% $S_u$) | ($S_t$ @ 45% $S_u$) | Increasing % |
|---------|-----------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|---------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Ref     | R1        | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 10 | 8.1 | 3.78 | - | - | - | - |
|         | R2        | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 10 | 21.6 | 9.74 | 1.35 | 7.21 | 18.58 |
| G1      | SF1       | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 125 | 0 | 0.8 | 10 | 17.3 | 7.78 | 0.91 | 8.55 | -13.45 |
|         | SF2       | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 250 | 0 | 0.9 | 10 | 10.4 | 4.68 | 0.75 | 6.24 | -0.13 |
|         | SF3       | 0.392 | SF (Normal) 375 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11.2 | 5.04 | 0.70 | 7.20 | 61.85 |
| G2      | RSF1      | 0.392 | SF (Recycling) 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 10 | 16.0 | 7.24 | 0.62 | 11.67 | 10.31 | 42.99 |
|         | RSF2      | 0.392 | SF (Recycling) 0.250 | 0 | 0.9 | 10 | 13.7 | 6.19 | 0.60 | 10.01 | 38.83 | 42.99 |
|         | RSF3      | 0.392 | SF (Recycling) 0.375 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 13.3 | 6.01 | 0.60 | 10.01 | 38.83 | 42.99 |