Cognitive function in toddlers with congenital heart disease: The impact of a stimulating home environment
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Abstract
Infants born with congenital heart disease (CHD) are at increased risk of neurodevelopmental difficulties in childhood. The extent to which perioperative factors, cardiac physiology, brain injury severity, socioeconomic status, and home environment influence early neurodevelopment is not clear. Sixty-nine newborns with CHD were recruited from St Thomas’ Hospital. Infants underwent presurgical magnetic resonance imaging on a 3-Tesla scanner situated on the neonatal unit. At 22 months, children completed the Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development-3rd edition and parents completed the cognitively stimulating parenting scale to assess cognitive stimulation at home. Level of maternal education and total annual household income were also collected. Hospital records were reviewed to calculate days on the intensive care unit post-surgery, time on bypass during surgery, and days to corrective or definitive palliative surgical intervention. In the final analysis of 56 infants, higher scores on the cognitively stimulating parenting scale were associated with higher cognitive scores at...
INTRODUCTION

Congenital heart disease (CHD) is the most common congenital abnormality, affecting approximately 1% of neonates (EUROCAT, 2015; Hoffman & Kaplan, 2002). Advances in clinical care mean increasing numbers of infants with CHD are surviving into adulthood (Wren & Sullivan, 2001), yet survivors are at increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders (Latal, 2016). Up to 50% of children with CHD show developmental impairments including mild intellectual difficulties, poor motor skills, attention and executive function impairments, and speech and language disorders (Gaynor et al., 2015; Gerstle et al., 2016; Mebius et al., 2017; Sarrechia et al., 2016; Wray, 2006). As survival has increased, the focus of research has shifted to understanding factors associated with improved cognitive and behavioral development in this population (Marino et al., 2012).

Previous research has investigated the impact of disease-related and perioperative clinical factors on outcome. Early term birth (<39 weeks) (Costello et al., 2010; Gunn et al., 2016), increased time to surgical repair of heart defect (Anderson et al., 2015), presence of brain injury (Claessens et al., 2018; Mebius et al., 2017), cyanotic heart disease (Wray & Sensky, 2001), and length of hospital stay post-surgery (Gaynor et al., 2014, 2016; Gunn et al., 2016; Hansen et al., 2016) have been implicated in adverse clinical and cognitive outcomes in children with CHD; however, results are inconsistent between studies.

In addition to clinical variables, the impact of environmental factors on development is of interest in this population (Miguel et al., 2019). Family environment and socioeconomic factors such as deprivation and maternal education impact cognitive and executive function development in healthy children (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Shah et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2007) and pediatric clinical populations (Bradley et al., 1994; Coscia et al., 2001; Downes et al., 2019; Linver et al., 2002; Treuyaud et al., 2012). Lower maternal education has been associated with poorer cognitive outcome in infants with CHD (Gaynor et al., 2015). To our knowledge, there are no studies assessing the relationship between home environment and cognitive development in children with CHD.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether perioperative clinical factors, severity of brain injury, type of CHD, stimulating home environment, and socioeconomic status predict cognitive, language, and motor abilities at 22 months in children with CHD.
2 METHODS

A prospective cohort of 69 infants with critical or serious CHD were recruited after birth from the Neonatal Unit at St Thomas’ Hospital, London between 2014 and 2017. Critical CHD was defined as hypoplastic left heart syndrome, transposition of the great arteries, pulmonary atresia with intact ventricular septum, interruption of the aortic arch, and all infants requiring surgery within the first 28 days of life with the following conditions: coarctation of the aorta; aortic valve stenosis; pulmonary valve stenosis; tetralogy of Fallot; pulmonary atresia with ventricular septal defect; and total anomalous pulmonary venous connection. Serious CHD was defined as any cardiac lesion not defined as critical, which requires cardiac catheterization or surgery before age one (Ewer et al., 2011; Kelly et al., 2019). Exclusion criteria included suspected or confirmed chromosomal abnormality or congenital syndrome, previous neonatal surgery before recruitment (excluding cardiac catheterization procedures) or suspected congenital infection (Kelly et al., 2019).

The project was approved by the National Research Ethics Service West London committee (07/H0707/105), and informed written parental consent was obtained during the neonatal period and at follow-up in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki.

Hospital records were reviewed to calculate days on the intensive care unit (ICU) post-surgery, time on bypass during surgery, and days to corrective or final palliative surgery. In children who underwent more than one surgery, days on ICU and time on bypass were summed across procedures.

2.1 Magnetic resonance imaging

Infants underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) during the neonatal period before cardiac surgery. Neuroimaging was performed on a 3-Tesla Philips Achieva MRI system situated on the Neonatal Unit at St Thomas’ Hospital with a 32-channel head coil. T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (repetition time (TR): 17 ms, echo time (TE): 4.6 ms, inversion time (TI): 1465 ms, flip angle: 13°, resolution: 0.8 × 0.8 × 0.5 mm³ or TR: 11 ms, TE: 4.6 ms, TI: 714 ms, flip angle: 9°, resolution: 0.76 × 0.76 × 0.8 mm³), T2-weighted fast spin echo (TR: 14,473 ms, TE: 160 ms, resolution: 0.86 × 0.86 × 1 mm³, or TR: 12,000 ms, TE: 156 ms, resolution: 0.8 × 0.8 × 0.8 mm³), susceptibility-weighted MRI (TR: 32 ms, TE: 25 ms, flip angle: 12°, resolution: 0.4 × 0.4 × 1.8 mm³), and diffusion-weighted MRI (TR: 7536 ms, TE: 49 ms, resolution: 1.75 × 1.75 × 2 mm³, 32 non-collinear directions, b-value: 750 s/mm² or TR: 3800 ms, TE: 90 ms, resolution: 1.75 × 1.75 × 1.5 mm³, 300 non-collinear directions, b-values: 400, 1000, 2600 s/mm²) were collected. MRI was performed during natural sleep without sedation, and pulse oximetry, respiration, temperature, and electrocardiography were monitored throughout by a nurse and pediatrician experienced in neonatal MRI procedures.

Images were reported by two neonatal neuroradiologists. All images were subsequently rereviewed to ensure consistency, and lesions classified as focal arterial ischemic stroke, white matter injury (WMI), cerebellar hemorrhage, or intraventricular hemorrhage as we have reported previously (Kelly et al., 2019). The location and properties of lesions on T1-weighted and T2-weighted imaging, susceptibility-weighted imaging and apparent diffusion coefficient maps were recorded. WMI was classified into normal (no WMI), mild (≤3 foci and all ≤2 mm), moderate (>3 and ≤10 foci or any >2 mm), or severe (>10 foci) (Beca et al., 2013).

Overall each baby was categorized into one of four brain injury groups: normal, mild (intraventricular hemorrhage, and/or cerebellar hemorrhage ≤2 mm, and/or mild WMI), moderate (cerebellar
hemorrhage >2 mm and/or moderate WMI), and severe brain injury (focal arterial ischemic stroke and/or severe WMI) (see Figure 1 for examples of injuries) (Kelly et al., 2019).

2.2 Follow-up assessment

Sixty-four infants (five died before follow-up) were invited to attend a follow-up assessment at 22 months either at St Thomas’ Hospital or as a home visit. Sixty-two infants (two refused follow-up) were assessed at 22 months by a developmental pediatrician (AC) blinded to cardiac diagnosis and clinical factors. The Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development-3rd edition (Bayley-III) was administered to obtain standardized cognitive composite scores, language composite scores, and motor composite scores (Bayley, 2006).

The cognitively stimulating parenting scale (Wolke et al., 2013) was completed by parents during the follow-up assessment. This is a 21-item questionnaire adaptation of the Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (HOME) Inventory (Bradley & Caldwell, 1984) designed to assess the level of cognitive stimulation available in the home (acceptable internal consistency: Cronbach α = 0.77). Sixteen items are yes/no response questions investigating the child's access to stimuli (six questions; in your home, does your child have access to: e.g., toys that teach colors and shapes?); parental interactions (seven questions; in your home, do you teach your child: e.g., animals’ names?), and parental behaviors (three questions: e.g., do parents read in their free time?). Five items are scales investigating frequency of reading/telling stories between parents and infants, number of books in the home, frequency of family excursions, frequency of family holidays, and frequency of museum visits. The original yes/no response questions were adapted to reflect current technological advances: one question was changed from does your child have access to a “cassette player” to “cassette/CD/DVD player,” and three questions were added asking the following: does your child have access to “YouTube,” “computers/iPads/iPhone,” and “learning apps such as Peak-a-boo, Peppa Pig or Fish

FIGURE 1 Examples of mild, moderate, and severe brain injury identified in the cohort
School.” Four additional questions were added to the yes/no scale: does your child have access to gross motor toys such as trains, cars, bikes to sit on and push along?; does your child have access to a child-size table?; does your child have access to toys that teach household tasks such as sweeping, ironing, washing or other daily activities? and do parents follow current affairs? For the 23 yes/no items, “yes” was scored as “1” and no was scored as “0.” Responses were totaled across the yes/no items and scale items to give a cognitively stimulating parenting scale score (minimum 0, maximum 46).

Parents were also asked to report total annual household income (eight categories: £20,000–29,999, £30,000–39,999, £40,000–59,999, £60,000–79,999, £80,000–99,999, £100,000–149,999, >£149,999) as a measure of socioeconomic status and maternal education level (four categories: GCSE/O-levels, A-levels, vocational/college education, higher education) at time of follow-up assessment.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Histograms and skewness and kurtosis values were used to assess normality. Bayley-III scores were compared to the standardized test mean (100) using one-sample t tests. Associations between categorical variables and Bayley-III scores were tested using Kruskal–Wallis H and Mann–Whitney U tests. Associations between continuous variables and Bayley-III scores were tested using Spearman’s rank correlations. Significant variables were entered into a multiple linear regression to predict Bayley-III scores co-varying for sex, gestational age at birth and maternal education level (GCSEs/O-levels as the baseline level of education). Missing data were excluded from analyses in a pair-wise manner. Holm correction was used to control the family-wise error rate for multiple comparisons (corrected p-values reported as pFWE). Groups with three or fewer participants were combined with adjacent groups for statistical analyses. When comparing household income levels, responses were combined into four categories (<£30,000, £30,000–59,999, £60,000–99,999, >£100,000) to ensure adequate statistical power. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 24 and Python version 3.7.

3 RESULTS

The final analysis sample included 56 infants (six with syndromic conditions diagnosed after recruitment were excluded; Figure 2) with heterogeneous diagnoses of CHD who underwent follow-up assessment at a median of 22.23 months (Table 1).

Mean cognitive composite [mean (SD) = 91.5 (13.3); t(55) = −4.76, pFWE < 0.0001], language composite [mean (SD) = 89.8 (16.6); t(52) = −4.45, pFWE < 0.0001], and motor composite [mean (SD) = 93.4 (12.8); t(54) = −3.84, pFWE = 0.000329] scores were significantly below the Bayley-III standardized test mean [mean (SD) = 100 (15)]. For cognitive composite scores, three children scored below two standard deviations (<70) and 10 scored 1–2 standard deviations (70–84) below the standardized test mean. For language composite scores, four children scored below two standard deviations and 18 scored 1–2 standard deviations below the test mean. For motor composite scores, four scored below two standard deviations and three scored 1–2 standard deviations below the test mean.

Thirty-five (63.6%) participants had no visible brain injury on presurgical MRI (Table 1). Eleven infants were classified as having a mild brain injury (10 mild WMI, one small cerebellar hemorrhage). Six infants had a moderate brain injury (four moderate WMI, one moderate WMI with cerebellar hemorrhage, one moderate cerebellar hemorrhage). Three children had a severe brain injury (two arterial ischemic infarcts and one severe WMI).
Cognitively stimulating parenting scale scores were significantly correlated with cognition and language abilities (Table 2). There were no other significant associations between clinical and environmental factors and Bayley-III scores.

Cognitively stimulating parenting scale scores significantly predicted cognitive composite score (Figure 3) when co-varying for gestational age at birth, sex, and maternal level of education ($F(6, 40) = 3.04, p = 0.015, R^2 = 0.313, \text{adjusted } R^2 = 0.210$; Table 3). The full model explained 30% of the variance in cognitive composite scores. Cognitively stimulating parenting scale scores were not a significant predictor of language composite score when co-varying for gestational age at birth, sex, and maternal level of education ($F(6, 38) = 2.21, p = 0.064, R^2 = 0.258, \text{adjusted } R^2 = 0.141$; Table 3). A post hoc regression revealed adding brain injury severity rating and categorization of cardiac defect did not alter the relationship between stimulating parenting and cognitive scores (Table 4).
| Measure                                                                 | N = 56 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Demographics                                                          |        |
| Age at birth median (IQR)                                              | 38.43 (0.57) |
| Corrected age at follow-up in months median (IQR)                      | 22.23 (0.722) |
| Male number (%)                                                        | 31 (55.4) |
| Cardiac physiology                                                     |        |
| Cyanotic heart disease number (%)                                      | 44 (78.6) |
| Heart defect causing abnormal mixing of blood                          |        |
| Transposition of the great arteries number (%)                         | 26 (46.4) |
| Truncus arteriosus number (%)                                          | 2 (3.6) |
| Left-sided abnormalities of the heart                                  |        |
| Coarctation of the aorta number (%)                                    | 9 (16.1) |
| Hypoplastic left heart syndrome number (%)                             | 2 (3.6) |
| Right-sided abnormalities of the heart                                 |        |
| Tetralogy of Fallot number (%)                                         | 9 (16.1) |
| Pulmonary stenosis number (%)                                          | 3 (5.4) |
| Pulmonary atresia number (%)                                           | 3 (5.4) |
| Tricuspid atresia number (%)                                           | 2 (3.6) |
| Clinical characteristics                                               |        |
| Brain injury seen on presurgical MRI number (%)                        |        |
| None                                                                  | 35 (63.6) |
| Mild                                                                   | 11 (20) |
| Moderate                                                               | 6 (10.9) |
| Severe                                                                 | 3 (5.5) |
| Cumulative number of days on ICU post-surgery median (IQR)             | 4.5 (4.75) |
| Days to corrective or palliative surgery median (IQR)                  | 16.5 (140.5) |
| Cumulative minutes on bypass during surgery median (IQR)               | 149 (113.75) |
| Follow-up assessment scores                                            |        |
| Bayley-III cognitive composite score mean (SD)                         | 91.5 (13.3) |
| Bayley-III language composite score mean (SD)                         | 89.8 (16.6) |
| Bayley-III motor composite score mean (SD)                            | 93.4 (12.8) |
| Cognitively stimulating parenting scale mean (SD)                      | 31.1 (6.73) |
| Socioeconomic factors                                                  |        |
| Highest maternal level of education at follow-up assessment number (%) |        |
| GCSE/O-levels                                                         | 6 (12.5) |
| A-levels                                                               | 4 (8.3) |
| Vocational/college education                                           | 13 (27.1) |
| Higher education                                                       | 25 (52.1) |
| Annual household income at follow-up assessment number (%)             |        |

(Continues)
Mean cognitive composite scores in infants with highly stimulating parenting were 20 points higher than in infants with the lowest cognitively stimulating parenting scale scores (Appendix S1).

4 | DISCUSSION

This study investigated the influence of environmental, perioperative clinical risk, and disease-related factors on cognitive, language, and motor abilities at 22 months in children with CHD. A cognitively stimulating home environment was associated with better cognitive abilities, and this relationship was not explained by level of maternal education. Post hoc analyses also revealed type of cardiac defect, and preoperative brain injury severity did not account for the relationship between home environment and cognition. Cardiac physiology, preoperative brain injury severity, and perioperative clinical factors were not associated with outcome scores.

To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the relationship between a stimulating home environment and cognitive abilities in toddlers with CHD. Extensive research has characterized the relationship between stimulating home environment and improved cognition in children without congenital heart disease, including in low- and middle-income countries, even when controlling for socioeconomic factors (Aboud et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2018; Obradović et al., 2016; Pitchik et al., 2018). There is some evidence that home environment can enable children to overcome the effects of socioeconomic status and ill health on early cognitive development (Nampijja et al., 2018; Ronfani et al., 2015). In children born prematurely or with low birthweight, better home environment has been associated with improved cognitive outcome (Bradley et al., 1994; Lynch & Gibbs, 2017; Treyvaud et al., 2012; Wolke et al., 2013) and home environment mediates the relationship between socioeconomic status and cognitive development (Linver et al., 2002). Early intervention programs have also been shown to improve cognitive outcomes throughout early childhood in children born prematurely (Spittle et al., 2015). Providing a stimulating home environment may be a potential interventional strategy to promote cognitive development in children with CHD.

In rodent models of neurological disorders, environmental enrichment paradigms combining exercise, social interaction, as well as novel, complex and stimulating experiences improve performance on cognitive tasks with research pointing to a general mechanism of experience-dependent neural plasticity (Nithianatharajah & Hannan, 2006). To our knowledge, there have been no studies examining the effect of environmental enrichment in a rodent model of CHD; however, rodents exposed...
to neonatal hypoxia-ischemia, an event which deprives the brain of glucose and oxygen, show better motor and behavioral development when exposed to an enriched environment with opportunities for investigation, peer interaction, and exercise compared to a standard laboratory environment (Galeano et al., 2015; Kiss et al., 2013; Rojas et al., 2015). These behavioral improvements may be accompanied by attenuated brain volume loss and reduced histological tissue damage (Durán-Carabali et al., 2018, 2019; Schuch et al., 2016). In a mouse model of preterm brain injury, prolonged early environmental enrichment was associated with improved oligodendrogenesis, myelination, and functional outcomes (Forbes et al., 2020). Interestingly, the authors report that environmental enrichment did not promote myelination in healthy tissue, suggesting at-risk populations may uniquely benefit from environmental interventions. Further animal research is needed to determine whether an enriched environment might modify the detrimental effects of CHD on brain and cognitive development; however, we hypothesize that this effect may underlie the relationship between cognition and stimulating parenting reported in this study.

We also reported that cognitive, motor, and language scores were significantly lower than the standardized population mean at 22 months in children with CHD. This is in line with previous literature which report a small (<1SD) but significant decrease in cognitive, motor, and language scores on the Bayley Scales of Infant Development in young children with CHD without syndromic diagnoses (Gaynor et al., 2015; Gunn et al., 2016; Latal, 2016; Mebius et al., 2017; Wray, 2006). It confirms that this group of infants with adverse outcomes are susceptible to environmental influences.

In previous studies, longer times to surgery in infants with hypoplastic left heart syndrome have been associated with increased morbidity, healthcare costs, and postsurgical white matter injury (Anderson et al., 2015; Lynch et al., 2014). Longer hospital stay post-surgery has been associated with lower cognitive scores in samples of children with hypoplastic left heart syndrome and other single ventricle physiologies, transposition of the great arteries, and tetralogy of Fallot (Gaynor et al., 2014, 2016; Hansen et al., 2016). Our study included a wider range of CHD diagnoses than those previously published, which may explain why our findings with respect to increased time to surgery and longer

### Table 2: Associations between Bayley-III scores and clinical and environmental factors

| Parameter                                           | Cognitive composite | Language composite | Motor composite |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Brain injury rating (moderate and severe injury combined) | $H^a = 0.733^e$ | $H = 0.326$ | $H = 0.823$ |
| Cyanotic or acyanotic                                | $U^b = 199$         | $U = 194.5$       | $U = 215$      |
| Cardiac disease category                             | $H = 1.83$          | $H = 1.26$        | $H = 1.04$     |
| Days in ICU                                         | $Rho = 0.002$       | $Rho = 0.049$     | $Rho = 0.052$  |
| Time on bypass                                       | $Rho = 0.221$       | $Rho = 0.198$     | $Rho = 0.023$  |
| Time to surgery                                      | $Rho = 0.071$       | $Rho = 0.073$     | $Rho = 0.051$  |
| Maternal education level                             | $H = 3.93$          | $H = 4.34$        | $H = 1.22$     |
| Total annual household income (four categories)      | $H = 4.95$          | $H = 2.76$        | $H = 3.55$     |
| Cognitively stimulating parenting scale              | $Rho = 0.529^d$     | $Rho = 0.453^e$   | $Rho = 0.299$  |

**Notes:**

- $^aH$ is Kruskal–Wallis statistic.
- $^bU$ is Mann–Whitney statistic.
- $^c$pFWE = 1.00 unless otherwise indicated.
- $^d$pFWE = 0.0017.
- $^e$pFWE = 0.033.
hospital stay differ to previous reports. The size of the study group may also explain these missing associations, but equally suggests that the home environment has a powerful effect on cognitive development. It is also possible that clinical variables differentially influence developmental outcome in different types of CHD; however, larger samples are needed to address this hypothesis.

We found no relationship between preoperative brain injury severity and outcome at 22 months. The literature regarding preoperative brain injury and developmental outcome is inconsistent (Mebius et al., 2017). Claessens and colleagues reported moderate-severe white matter injury perioperatively was associated with poorer cognitive outcome and injury to the descending motor tracts in the posterior limb of the internal capsule was associated with poorer motor outcome (Claessens et al., 2018). However, the incidence of brain injury in our group was low, with almost two-thirds having no evidence of injury and only three having severe injury. In children born prematurely, socioeconomic status may attenuate the effect of brain injury on cognitive development (Benavente-Fernández et al., 2019). Environmental factors such as a stimulating home environment may attenuate the impact of brain injury on cognitive outcome in other at-risk groups; however, this requires further research.

4.1 Limitations and future research

Our study has some limitations. The sample size is relatively small, and future studies with larger samples are required to confirm the relationship between home environment and outcome in CHD. In addition, it is possible that postsurgical brain injury, which we did not assess, has a larger influence on subsequent outcome. The cognitively stimulating parenting scale, while derived from validated tests in a principled fashion, has been used in only a few studies (Wolke et al., 2013) and confirmation of these results is required. Our study considered the effect of cognitively stimulating parenting on outcome, yet parenting behaviors encompass other characteristics known to affect development such as sensitivity (Wolke et al., 2013), responsiveness (Coscia et al., 2001; Lynch & Gibbs, 2017), and family functioning (Downes et al., 2019). Future research could characterize the effect of other aspects of parenting on development in children with CHD. In addition, there is limited evidence
from randomized controlled trials that parenting interventions can improve cognitive outcome in early childhood (Bonnier, 2008; Shah et al., 2016) and therefore randomized trials are required to assess whether interventions to promote a stimulating home environment improves cognitive outcome in children with CHD.

Finally, in this study we considered the effect of home environment at 22 months on developmental outcome in CHD. Prenatal as well as postnatal environmental enrichment improves outcome in rats with perinatal hypoxia-ischemia (Duran-Carabali et al., 2018; Durán-Carabali et al., 2019), and we

**Table 3** Regression coefficients predicting cognitive composite score and language composite score in children with CHD.

|                                    | Cognitive composite |                                      | Language composite |                                      |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                    | Coefficient         | Coefficient                           | Coefficient        | Coefficient                           |
|                                    | (standard           | 95% confidence intervals              | (standard          | 95% confidence intervals              |
|                                    | error)              |                                       | error)             |                                       |
| p-Value                            |                     |                                       | p-Value            |                                       |
| Gestational age at birth           | 1.10 (0.97)         | −0.870 to 3.07                        | 0.499 (1.25)       | −2.04 to 3.03                         | 0.693 |
| Male Sex                           | −0.972 (3.88)       | −8.81 to 6.87                         | −8.25 (5.05)       | −18.5 to 1.97                         | 0.110 |
| A-levels                           | 3.70 (8.45)         | −13.4 to 20.8                         | 5.00 (10.9)        | −17.0 to 27.0                         | 0.648 |
| Vocational education/college       | 5.76 (5.93)         | −6.22 to 17.7                         | 2.20 (7.61)        | −13.2 to 17.6                         | 0.774 |
| Higher education                   | −4.38 (6.33)        | −17.2 to 8.41                         | 5.16 (8.17)        | −11.4 to 21.7                         | 0.531 |
| Cognitively stimulating parenting  | 1.19 (0.343)        | 0.492 to 1.88                         | 0.791 (0.443)      | −0.105 to 1.686                       | 0.082 |
| scale                              |                     |                                       |                    |                                       |       |

**Table 4** Regression coefficients predicting cognitive composite score composite score including additional co-variates

| Variables                           | Coefficient         | p-Value | Coefficient                           |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
|                                     | (standard error)    |         | 95% confidence intervals              |
| Gestational age at birth            | 1.17 (1.12)         | 0.303   | −1.11 to 3.45                         |
| Male sex                            | 0.266 (4.47)        | 0.953   | −8.82 to 9.36                         |
| A-levels                            | 4.06 (10.1)         | 0.689   | −16.4 to 24.5                         |
| Vocational education/college        | 4.40 (6.92)         | 0.529   | −9.66 to 18.5                         |
| Higher education                    | −6.14 (7.50)        | 0.419   | −21.4 to 9.11                         |
| Left heart abnormalities            | 4.02 (5.35)         | 0.457   | −6.84 to 14.9                         |
| Right heart abnormalities           | 0.448 (4.46)        | 0.921   | −8.61 to 9.51                         |
| Mild brain injury                   | −0.640 (5.12)       | 0.901   | −11.0 to 9.77                         |
| Moderate brain injury               | 3.53 (6.33)         | 0.581   | −9.33 to 16.4                         |
| Severe brain injury                 | −5.30 (8.35)        | 0.529   | −22.2 to 11.6                         |
| Cognitively stimulating parenting   | 1.27 (0.369)        | 0.002   | 0.516 to 2.02                         |
| scale                               |                     |         |                                       |

*F(11, 34) = 1.7, p = 0.115, R² = 0.355 adjusted R² = 0.147.*
have previously observed altered white matter development in infants born prematurely who experienced prenatal stress exposure (Lautarescu et al., 2019). An improved prenatal environment such as increased maternal exercise or reduced maternal stress may improve brain and cognitive development (Miguel et al., 2019). The effect of prenatal maternal well-being on development in infants with CHD requires further study.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

A stimulating home environment is associated with higher cognitive scores at 22 months in infants with CHD. This provides the first evidence of a modifiable environmental factor in children with CHD who are at risk for intellectual impairments and other learning disabilities. Supporting parents to provide a stimulating home environment in early childhood may support early cognitive development in this population.
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