A COMPACTNESS THEOREM FOR LOCALLY HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

FRANCESCO PEDICONI

Abstract. We prove the existence and uniqueness of geometric models of local isometry classes of locally homogeneous spaces with sectional curvature $|\sec| \leq 1$. Moreover, we show that the set of geometric models is compact in the pointed $C^{1,\alpha}$-topology.

1. Introduction

A locally homogeneous Riemannian space is a (possibly incomplete) $C^\infty$-Riemannian manifold $(M,g)$ on which the pseudogroup of local isometries acts transitively, i.e. for any $x, y \in M$ there exists a local isometry of $(M,g)$ mapping $x$ to $y$.

Locally homogeneous spaces occur naturally as limits of globally homogeneous spaces. We recall that, by the Cheeger-Gromov Compactness Theorem, a non-collapsing sequence of globally homogeneous spaces with bounded geometry subconverges in the pointed $C^\infty$-topology to a globally homogeneous limit space (see e.g. [13] Thm 2.3). Here, a sequence of globally homogeneous spaces $(M^{(n)}, g^{(n)})$ is said to have bounded geometry if for any integer $k \geq 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\text{Rm}(g^{(n)})|_{g^{(n)}} + |\nabla g^{(n)} \text{Rm}(g^{(n)})|_{g^{(n)}} + \ldots + |(\nabla g^{(n)})^k \text{Rm}(g^{(n)})|_{g^{(n)}} \leq C$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

However, this framework excludes the study of collapsing sequences with bounded curvature (see [9, 10]). In order to overcome this issue, Glickenstein [12] and Lott [27] extended the Cheeger-Gromov compactness Theorem to those sequences of manifolds with no positive lower bound on the injectivity radii. Their key tool is to replace the Riemannian manifolds with the larger category of $\alpha$-topology. W e mention e.g. the quotient $(SU(2) \times SU(2))/\Delta S^1$, where the circle $S^1$ is irrationally diagonally immersed in $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. For such spaces, the existence of geometric models predicted in Theorem A is definitely non trivial.

The second main result of this paper is the following

Theorem B (Compactness). The space of geometric models is compact in the pointed $C^{1,\alpha}$-topology.
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We would like to point out that our Theorem [B] was already known in a very special case. More precisely, Böhm, Lafuente and Simon proved the following in [4] Thm 1.6: a sequence of geometric models \((B^{(n)}, \hat{g}^{(n)})\) with \(\text{Ric}(\hat{g}^{(n)}) \to 0\) converges, up to a subsequence, to a smooth flat Riemannian manifold \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology. Indeed, both theorems rely on a Cheeger-Gromov-type precompactness theorem for incomplete Riemannian manifolds and in both proofs there is the need of showing that the limit space, which is a priori just a \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-Riemannian manifold, is indeed smooth. In [4] Thm 1.6 such required regularity is achieved by means of the additional assumption on the Ricci tensor, while in our result we exploit a Lie-theoretical argument based on a local version of the Myers-Steenrod Theorem provided in [32]. In view of this, we expect any locally homogeneous \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-Riemannian manifolds to be indeed \(C^{\infty}\)-smooth. While this is true for globally homogeneous \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-Riemannian manifolds (see e.g. [32 Thm 4.4]), at the moment we are not able to prove this statement in the general case.

Notice also that Theorem [A] is not true anymore if one replaces the \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology with the \(C^{\infty}\)-topology. In fact, there exist sequences of geometric models \((B^{(n)}, \hat{g}^{(n)})\) which converges to some limit geometric model \((\tilde{B}^{(\infty)}, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology but for which the corresponding sequence of covariant derivatives \(\nabla_{\hat{g}^{(n)}} \text{Rm}(\hat{g}^{(n)})\) blows up. This phenomenon is somehow unexpected to us and it is related to the existence of diverging sequences of invariant metrics with bounded curvature in the sense of [31]. We will discuss it in detail in [33].

Together with Theorem [A] this provides the compactness of the moduli space of locally homogeneous spaces with \(|\sec| \leq 1\) up to local isometry. Therefore, Theorem [A] and Theorem [B] show that the geometric models provide the right theoretical framework to study convergence of globally and locally homogeneous spaces from the geometric viewpoint. This is the topic of a forthcoming work (see [33]).

In order to use the compactness result of Theorem [B] in the proof of Theorem [A] one needs to take care of some special issues on the convergence of locally homogeneous spaces. In particular, we use the fact that the homogeneous spaces are dense in the class of locally homogeneous spaces with respect to the topology of algebraic convergence. Here, with “algebraic convergence” we mean the convergence of the corresponding full Lie algebra of Killing vector fields at some distinguished points. This is a key feature on equivariant convergence of globally and locally homogeneous spaces: see [24, 25, 26] and [4]. As a by-product of our analysis, we obtain the following

**Proposition C.** Let \((B^{(n)}, \hat{g}^{(n)})\) be a sequence of geometric models converging algebraically to a locally homogeneous limit space \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\). Then, \(|\sec(g^{(\infty)})| \leq 1\) and \((B^{(n)}, \hat{g}^{(n)})\) converges to the geometric model \((\tilde{B}^{(\infty)}, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) of \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{\infty}\)-topology.

Here, the role of the geometric models is crucial. In fact, Laurent exhibited an explicit sequence of Aloff–Wallach spaces \((W_{n,n+1}, g^{(n)})\) converging algebraically to a limit Aloff–Wallach space \((W_{1,1}, g^{(\infty)})\) (see [24 Ex 6.6]). Since \(W_{1,1}\) is compact and \(W_{n,n+1}\) are pairwise non-homeomorphic, it follows that there is no subsequence of \((W_{n,n+1}, g^{(n)})\) converging to \((W_{1,1}, g^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{\infty}\)-topology. Notice that this implies, in particular, that the injectivity radii along the sequence must tend to zero, otherwise one would have sub-convergence by the Cheeger-Gromov Compactness Theorem. However, up to a rescaling we can assume that \(|\sec(g^{(n)})| \leq 1\) and, by Proposition [C] the geometric models of \((W_{n,n+1}, g^{(n)})\) converge to the geometric model of \((W_{1,1}, g^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{\infty}\)-topology.

We also stress that the converse of Proposition [C] is wrong, i.e. one can build sequences of geometric models converging in the pointed \(C^{\infty}\)-topology which do not admit any algebraically convergent subsequence. This phenomenon is called algebraic collapse and it happens, for example, by considering sequences of left-invariant Ricci flow blow-downs on the universal cover of \(SL(2, \mathbb{R})\) (see [\underline{8}] Ex 9.1]).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminaries on locally homogeneous spaces, on local groups of isometries and on convergence of Riemannian manifolds. In Section 3 we prove Theorem [B]. In Section 4 we briefly introduce the notion of algebraic convergence and we prove Theorem [A] and Proposition [C].
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2. Preliminaries and notation

2.1. Notation.

We indicate with \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\text{st} \) the standard Euclidean metric on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) and with \( | \cdot |_\text{st} \) the induced norm. Given a ball \( B \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^m \) and a pair \((k, \alpha) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times [0, 1])\), we consider the Banach spaces \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\bar{B}) \) and \( \mathcal{C}^{k,0}(\bar{B}) := \mathcal{C}^k(\bar{B}) \) defined following [15] p. 52 with the usual norm \( ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\bar{B})} \). A function \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) if \( f|_B \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\bar{B}) \) for any \( 1 \leq i \leq q \) and for any ball \( B \subset \subset U \). In what follows, \textit{smooth} will always be a synonym for \textit{of class} \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \). A sequence \( f^{(n)} : U^{(n)} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) of functions of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) converges in the \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-topology to a function \( f^{(\infty)} : U^{(\infty)} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) if \( \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} U^{(n)} = U^{(\infty)} \) and for any ball \( B \subset \subset U^{(\infty)} \) it holds

\[
\|f^{(n)} - f^{(\infty)}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(B)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty .
\]

If in addition \( f^{(n)}, f^{(\infty)} \) are of class \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \) and (2.1) holds for any \((k, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}\), we say that \( f^{(n)} \) converges in the \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \)-topology to \( f^{(\infty)} \).

In dealing with differentiable manifolds, by [17] Thm. 2.9 it is sufficient to consider only those whose local coordinates overlap smoothly, i.e. smooth manifolds. One can easily work with local coordinates to define functions of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) between smooth manifolds as well as tensor fields of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) on smooth manifolds. A \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) is the datum of a smooth manifold \(M\) together with a Riemannian metric \( g \) on \( M \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \). We recall that any \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) is in particular a separable, locally compact length space by means of the Riemannian distance \( d_g \) induced by \( g \) on \( M \) (see e.g. [6]). We will denote by \( B_g(x, r) \) the metric ball centered at \( x \in M \) of radius \( r > 0 \) inside \((M, d_g)\). If \( k + \alpha > 0 \), then a map \( f : M \rightarrow M \) is an isometry of the metric space \((M, d_g)\) if and only if it is a \( \mathcal{C}^{k+1,\alpha} \)-diffeomorphism which preserves the metric tensor \( g \) (see [8], [38], [39]).

Given a sufficiently regular \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\), we denote by \( \nabla^g \) its Levi-Civita covariant derivative and by \( \text{Rm}(g)(X \wedge Y) := \nabla^g_X \nabla^g_Y - \nabla^g_Y \nabla^g_X \) its Riemannian curvature operator. Moreover, we denote the sectional curvature by \( \text{sec}(g) \), the Riemannian exponential by \( \text{Exp}(g) \), the injectivity radius at a distinguished point \( x \in M \) by \( \text{inj}_x(M, g) \).

2.2. Pointed convergence.

In this section, we give the notion of pointed convergence for pointed Riemannian manifolds. This definition is usually stated for complete Riemannian manifolds (see e.g. [11] Ch 3]), but here we present a version which holds also in the non-complete setting.

Let \( M \) be a smooth manifold. We recall that a sequence \( (T^{(n)}) \) of tensor fields on \( M \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) converges in the \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-topology to a tensor field \( T \) on \( M \) of class \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \) of the same type if for any local chart \((U, \xi)\) on \( M \), the components of \( (\xi^{-1})^*T^{(n)} \) converge to the components of \( (\xi^{-1})^*T \) in the \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-topology.

Let now \((M, g)\) be a \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-Riemannian manifold. The \textit{distance of a point} \( p \in M \) \textit{from the boundary of} \((M, g)\) is defined as the supremum

\[
dist(p, \partial(M, g)) := \sup \{ r \geq 0 : B_g(p, r) \subset \subset M \} .
\]

Notice that by the Hopf-Rinow-Cohn-Vossen Theorem the manifold \((M, g)\) is complete if and only if \( \text{dist}(x, \partial(M, g)) = +\infty \) for some, and hence for any, \( x \in M \) (see e.g. [5] Thm. 2.5.28]).

\textbf{Definition 2.1.} Let \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)}, p^{(n)})\) be a sequence of pointed \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-Riemannian \( m \)-manifolds, let \( \delta^{(n)} := \text{dist}(p^{(n)}, \partial(M^{(n)}, g^{(n)})) \) and assume that \( \delta^{(n)} \rightarrow \delta^{(\infty)} \in (0, +\infty) \). The sequence \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)}, p^{(n)})\) is said to converge in the pointed \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-topology to a pointed \( \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} \)-Riemannian manifold \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, p^{(\infty)})\) if there exist:

i) an exhaustion \((U^{(n)})\) of \( M^{(\infty)} \) by relatively compact open sets centered at \( p^{(\infty)} \),

ii) a sequence \( (\delta^{(n)}) \subset \mathbb{R} \) such that 0 < \( \delta^{(n)} \leq \delta^{(n)} \) and \( \delta^{(n)} \rightarrow \delta^{(\infty)} \),

iii) a sequence of \( \mathcal{C}^{k+1,1} \)-embeddings \( \phi^{(n)} : U^{(n)} \rightarrow M^{(n)} \) such that \( \phi^{(n)}(p^{(\infty)}) = p^{(n)} \);

in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied:
\[ \mathcal{B}_{g(\infty)}(p^{(n)}, \delta^{(n)}) \subset \phi^{(n)}(U^{(n)}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{g(\infty)}(p^{(n)}, \delta^{(n)}); \]

• \( \phi^{(n)}g^{(n)} \) converges to \( g^{(\infty)} \) in the \( C^{k,a} \)-topology.

Notice that this type of convergence implies that for any \( 0 < r < \delta^{(\infty)} \) there exists a positive integer \( n = n(r) \in \mathbb{N} \) such that the Riemannian distance ball \( \mathcal{B}_{g(\infty)}(p^{(n)}, r) \) is compactly contained in \( M^{(n)} \) for all \( n \geq n \) and the sequence of compact balls \( \left( \mathcal{B}_{g(\infty)}(p^{(n)}, r), d_{g(\infty)} \right)_{n \geq n} \) converges in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology to the compact ball \( \left( \mathcal{B}_{g(\infty)}(p^{(\infty)}, r), d_{g(\infty)} \right) \) (see [35, p. 415] and [4 Ex 8.1.3]). Moreover:

• if \( \delta^{(\infty)} \) is finite, then the limit space \( (M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}(\mathcal{B}(\infty)) \) is an incomplete Riemannian distance ball of radius \( \delta^{(\infty)} \) centered at \( p^{(\infty)} \) and also \( \text{dist}(p^{(\infty)}, \partial(M^{(\infty)})) = \delta^{(\infty)}; \)

• if \( \delta^{(\infty)} = +\infty \), then the limit space \( (M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}(\mathcal{B}(\infty)) \) is complete.

Notice also that if \( \delta^{(n)} = +\infty \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then we get back to the usual definition of pointed convergence for complete Riemannian manifolds (see e.g. [35, p. 415]).

We mention here that the Cheeger-Gromov Precompactness Theorem states that given two constants \( D_0, v_0 > 0 \), the space of smooth compact Riemannian \( m \)-manifolds with bounded curvature, diameter at most \( D_0 \) and volume at least \( v_0 \) is precompact in the pointed \( C^{1,\alpha} \)-topology. Various versions of this classical results are known, e.g. for complete non-compact but pointed Riemannian manifolds or for bounded domains in possibly incomplete pointed Riemannian manifolds. We refer to the survey paper [13] and references therein for more details.

Finally, we recall that a central role in convergence theory of Riemannian manifolds is played by the notion of harmonic radius, that is

**Definition 2.2** ([11, Sec 2]). Let \((M^m, g)\) be a smooth Riemannian manifold, \( p \in M \). The \( C^{k,a} \)-harmonic radius of \((M^m, g)\) at \( p \), which we denote by \( \text{hr}^{k,a}(M, g) \), is the largest \( r \geq 0 \) such that there exists a local chart \( \xi = (\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^m) : \mathcal{B}_g(p, \sqrt{2}r) \to \mathbb{R}^m \) such that

i) \( \xi(p) = 0 \) and \( (\xi^{-1})^*g_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij} \) for any \( 1 \leq i, j \leq m; \)

ii) \( \Delta_g \xi^i = 0 \) for any \( 1 \leq i \leq m; \)

iii) \( \frac{1}{2} |v_{\alpha}^i|_{g}^2 \leq (\xi^{-1})^*g_{ij}(x)v_{\alpha}^i v_{\alpha}^j \leq 2 |v_{\alpha}^i|_{g}^2 \) for any \( v \in \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( x \in \xi(\mathcal{B}_g(p, \sqrt{2}r)) \);

iv) \( r^{k+\alpha}\cdot |(\xi^{-1})^*g_{ij}|_{C^{k,a}((B_{\text{rat}}(0,r)))} \leq 1 \) for any \( 1 \leq i, j \leq m \).

In the definition above, \( \Delta_g \) is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of \((M, g)\). Notice that by (i) and (iii) it comes that \( B_{\text{rat}}(0, r) \subset \xi(\mathcal{B}_g(p, \sqrt{2}r)) \subset B_{\text{rat}}(0, 2r) \). Moreover, by (ii), (iv) and the classical Schauder interior estimates (see [13 Thm 6.2 and (4.17)]), there exists a constant \( C = C(m, k, \alpha, r) > 0 \) such that

\[ \|f \circ \xi^{-1}\|_{C^{k+1,a}((B_{\text{rat}}(0,r)))} \leq C \sup_{\xi^{-1}(B_{\text{rat}}(0,r))} |f| \]

for any \( \Delta_g \)-harmonic function \( f : \xi^{-1}(B_{\text{rat}}(0,r)) \subset M \to \mathbb{R} \) (see also [20, See 1]).

### 2.3. Local groups of isometries

We collect here some definitions concerning local transformation groups on manifolds. We refer to [32] for more details.

A **local topological group** is a tuple \( (G, e, \mathcal{D}(G), f, \nu) \) formed by a Hausdorff topological space \( G \) with a distinguished element \( e \in G \) called unit, an open subset \( \mathcal{D}(G) \subset G \times G \) which contains both \( G \times \{e\}, \{e\} \times G \) and two continuous maps \( f : G \to G, \nu : \mathcal{D}(G) \to G \) such that, setting \( a_1 \cdot a_2 := \nu(a_1, a_2) \) and \( a^{-1} := f(a) \), the following conditions hold:

- \( e \cdot e = e \cdot a = a, \)
- \( a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot a_2) = (a_1 \cdot a) \cdot a_2 \) provided that both side of the equation are well defined,
- \( (a, a^{-1}), (a^{-1}, a) \in \mathcal{D}(G) \) and \( a \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a = e. \)

Let now \((M, g, p)\) be a pointed \( C^{k,a} \)-Riemannian manifold, with \( k + \alpha > 0 \). A **local topological group of isometries on** \((M, g, p)\) is a tuple \((G, \mathcal{U}_G, \mathcal{W}_p, \mathcal{W}, \Theta)\) formed by

i) a local topological group \( G \) and a neighborhood \( \mathcal{U}_G \subset G \) of the unit \( e \in G; \)

ii) a neighborhood \( \Omega_p \subset M \) of \( p; \)

iii) an open set \( W \subset \mathcal{U}_G \times \mathcal{W}_p \) such that \( \mathcal{U}_G \times \{p\}, \{e\} \times \mathcal{W}_p \subset W \) and a continuous application \( \Theta : W \to \Omega_p; \)

such that the following hold:
Hence, always assumed to be of the form 
\[ (a \text{ point } p) \text{ Geometric models. Riemannian distance ball } \]
where Nomizu algebra of and it is called the \( f(\cdot) \) is denoted by \( M \) to denote locally homogeneous Riemannian manifolds which a re smooth, \( (a \text{ local Lie group of isometries which acts transitively on } \)
\[ \text{Locally homogeneous Riemannian spaces.} \]
\[ 2.4. \text{ Locally homogeneous Riemannian spaces.} \]
A \( C^{\infty, \alpha} \text{-Riemannian manifold } (M, g) \text{ is locally homogeneous if the pseudogroup of local isometries of } (M, g) \text{ acts transitively on } M, \text{ i.e. if for any } x, y \in M \text{ there exist two open sets } U_x, U_y \subseteq M \text{ and a local isometry } f : U_x \to U_y \text{ such that } x \in U_x, y \in U_y \text{ and } f(x) = y. \text{ From now on, we use the nomenclature locally homogeneous Riemannian space to denote locally homogeneous Riemannian manifolds which are smooth, and hence real analytic (see e.g. [11 Thm 2.2] or [1] Lemma 1.1]). \]
Given a locally homogeneous space \( (M, g) \) and a distinguished point \( p \in M \), it is known that there exists a local Lie group of isometries which acts transitively on \( (M, g, p) \) according to the definitions in Section 2.4. Such local Lie group is constructed as follows. Consider the Killing generators at \( p \), that is the pairs \( (v, A) \in T_p M \oplus \mathfrak{s}(T_p M, g_p) \) such that \[ A \cdot g_p = 0, \quad v \cdot ((\nabla^g)^{k+1} \mathrm{Rm}(g)_p) + A \cdot ((\nabla^g)^k \mathrm{Rm}(g)_p) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (2.2) \]
where \( \mathfrak{s}(T_p M, g_p) \) acts on the tensor algebra of \( T_p M \) as a derivation. The space of Killing generators at \( p \) is denoted by \( \mathfrak{t}(g)^0 \). It is a Lie algebra with the Lie brackets \[ [(v, A), (w, B)] := (A(v) - B(w), [A, B] + \mathrm{Rm}(g)(v \wedge w)) \quad (2.3) \]
and it is called the Nomizu algebra of \( (M, g, p) \). Since \( (M, g) \) is real analytic, by [26 Thm 2] there exists a neighborhood \( \Omega_p \subseteq M \) of \( p \) such that for any \( (v, A) \in \mathfrak{t}(g)^0 \) there exists a Killing vector field \( X \) on \( \Omega_p \) with \( X_p = v \) and \( -\nabla^g X_p = A \). By [30 Thm XI] (see also [3 Thm A.4]) there exists a local Lie group of isometries whose infinitesimal generators are all and only the Killing vector fields in \( \mathfrak{t}(g)^0 \).
This fact admits a converse, namely

**Theorem 2.3** ([22], Thm B). Let \( (M, g) \) be a locally homogeneous \( C^1 \)-Riemannian manifold. If there exist a point \( p \in M \) and a locally compact, effective local topological group of isometries which acts transitively on \( (M, g, p) \), then \( (M, g) \) is real analytic.

### 3. The space of geometric models

#### 3.1. Geometric models.

We recall that a geometric model is by definition (see the Introduction) a \( C^\infty \)-smooth locally homogeneous Riemannian distance ball \( (B, g) = (B_g(o, \pi), \hat{g}) \) of radius \( \pi \) satisfying \( |\sec(\hat{g})| \leq 1 \) and \( \text{inj}_o(B, \hat{g}) = \pi \). From now on, up to pulling back the metric via the Riemannian exponential map, any geometric model will be always assumed to be of the form \( (B^m, \hat{g}) \), where \( B^m := B_{st}(0, \pi) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \) is the \( m \)-dimensional Euclidean ball of radius \( \pi \), and the standard coordinates of \( B^m \) will be always assumed to be normal for \( \hat{g} \) at 0. Therefore the geodesics starting from \( 0 \in B^m \) are all and only the straight lines and \( d_{B_{st}}(0, x) = |x|_{st} \) for any \( x \in B^m \). Hence, \( B_g(0, r) = B_{st}(0, r) \) for any \( 0 < r \leq \pi \). Moreover, by [1 Lemma 1.3] it comes that \[ \text{inj}_{st}(B^m, \hat{g}) = \pi - |x|_{st} \quad \text{for any } x \in B^m. \quad (3.1) \]
Since $(B^m, \hat{g})$ is real analytic, any local isometry can be extended uniformly, i.e.

**Lemma 3.1.** ([1], Lemma 1.4). For any $x \in B^m$ and for any isometry $f : B_{\delta}(0, \varepsilon) \to B_{\delta}(x, \varepsilon)$ such that $f(0) = x$, there exists an isometry $\hat{f} : B_{\delta}(0, \pi - |x|_{\text{st}}) \to B_{\delta}(x, \pi - |x|_{\text{st}})$ such that $\hat{f}|_{B_{\delta}(0, \varepsilon)} = f$.

and, by repeating the same argument, one can also prove the following

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $(B^m, \hat{g}_1)$ and $(B^m, \hat{g}_2)$ be two geometric models. Then, any isometry

$$f : (B_{\delta}(0, \varepsilon), \hat{g}_1) \to (B_{\delta}(0, \varepsilon), \hat{g}_2) \quad \text{with } 0 < \varepsilon < \pi$$

can be uniquely extended to a global isometry $\tilde{f} : (B^m, \hat{g}_1) \to (B^m, \hat{g}_2)$.

**Remark 3.3.** As pointed out in [1] (see 1], it is easy to observe that any $m$-dimensional globally homogeneous space $(M, g)$ verifying $|\sec(g)| \leq 1$ is locally isometric to a geometric model $(B^m, \hat{g})$, which is unique up to isometry by Lemma 3.2. In fact, $(M, g)$ is complete (see [21] Ch IV, Thm 4.5]) and, fixed a point $p \in M$, by the Rauch Comparison Theorem (see e.g. [19] Sec 6.5]), the differential of the Riemannian exponential $\text{Exp}(g)_p : T_pM \to M$ of $(M, g)$ at $p$ is injective at every point of $B_{\delta}(0, \pi) \subset T_pM$. By choosing an orthonormal frame $u : \mathbb{R}^m \to T_pM$, one can consider the pulled-back metric $\hat{g} := (\text{Exp}(g)_p \circ u)^*g$ on $B^m = B_N(0, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, it is easy to check that $(B^m, \hat{g})$ is a geometric model and that it is locally isometric to $(M, g)$.

Notice that this argument cannot be used to prove that any $m$-dimensional, possibly incomplete locally homogeneous space $(M, g)$ verifying $|\sec(g)| \leq 1$ is locally isometric to a geometric model $(B^m, \hat{g})$. Nonetheless, this claim is true and we will prove it in Section 4.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})$ be a sequence of geometric models. The main purpose of this section is to prove the following

**Theorem 3.4.** The sequence $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})$ subconverges to a limit geometric model $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(\infty)})$ in the pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-topology for any $0 < \alpha < 1$.

which in turn implies Theorem 4.1. We begin with the following

**Proposition 3.5.** The sequence $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})$ subconverges to an incomplete pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-Riemannian manifold $(M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, o)$ in the pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-topology.

**Proof.** Fix a sequence $(\varepsilon_k) \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \varepsilon_k << 1$ and $\varepsilon_k \to 0$. We use local mollifications in the sense of Hochard [18]. More concretely, by [18] Lemma 6.2] (see also [19] Lemma 4.3] and 3.1) there exists a uniform constant $c = c(m) \geq 1$ and, for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist open sets

$$B_{\delta}(0, \pi - 2\varepsilon_k) \subset M^{(n)}_k \subset B_{\delta}(0, \pi - \varepsilon_k)$$

and smooth Riemannian metrics $\hat{g}^{(n)}_k$ on $M^{(n)}_k$ such that:

i) $(M^{(n)}_k, \hat{g}^{(n)}_k)$ are complete smooth Riemannian manifolds,

ii) $\hat{g}^{(n)}_k = \hat{g}^{(n)}$ on $B_{\delta}(0, \pi - 3\varepsilon_k)$,

iii) $\sup \{ |\text{Rm}(\hat{g}^{(n)}_k)|_{\hat{g}^{(n)}_k} : x \in M^{(n)}_k \} < c\varepsilon_k^{-2}$.

From (ii) it follows that $\inf_0(M^{(n)}_k, \hat{g}^{(n)}_k) \geq \pi - 3\varepsilon_k$. Therefore by (i), (iii) and the Cheeger-Gromov precompactness Theorem (see e.g. [15] Thm 15]) we can extract a subsequence in such a way that $(M^{(n)}_1, \hat{g}^{(n)}_1, 0)$ converges in the pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-topology to a pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-Riemannian manifold $(M^{(\infty)}_1, \hat{g}^{(\infty)}_1, o_1)$ as $n \to +\infty$. Iterating this construction for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and using a Cantor diagonal procedure, we can extract a subsequence in such a way that $(M^{(n)}_k, \hat{g}^{(n)}_k, 0)$ converges in the pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-topology to a pointed $C^{1, \alpha}$-Riemannian manifold $(M^{(\infty)}_k, \hat{g}^{(\infty)}_k, o_k)$ as $n \to +\infty$ for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a sequence of $C^{2, \alpha}$-embeddings

$$\psi^{(n)}_k : B_{\hat{g}^{(\infty)}_k}(o_k, \pi - 4\varepsilon_k) \subset M^{(\infty)}_k \to M^{(n)}_k$$

for any $\varepsilon_k$.
such that \(\psi_k^{(n)}(o_k) = 0\) and \((\psi_k^{(n)})^* g_k^{(n)}\) converges in the \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology to \(g_k^{(\infty)}\) in \(B_g^{(\infty)}(o_k, \pi - 4\varepsilon_k)\) as \(n \to +\infty\). This implies that \(\psi_k^{(n)}(B_g(0, \pi - 4\varepsilon_k)) \subset B_g(0, \pi - 3\varepsilon_k)\) for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) sufficiently large and therefore by (ii) it comes that \((\psi_k^{(n)})^* g^{(n)}\) converges in the \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology to \(g_k^{(\infty)}\) in \(B_g^{(\infty)}(o_k, \pi - 4\varepsilon_k)\) as \(n \to +\infty\). Hence, there exist pointed isometric embeddings

\[
\varphi_k : (B_g^{(\infty)}(o_k, \pi - 4\varepsilon_k), g_k^{(\infty)}, o_k) \to (B_g^{(\infty)}(o_{k+1}, \pi - 4\varepsilon_{k+1}), g_k^{(\infty)}, o_{k+1})
\]

for any \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Therefore, we may consider the direct limit

\[
\left( \left( M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, o \right) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} \left\{ (B_g^{(\infty)}(o_k, \pi - 4\varepsilon_k), g_k^{(\infty)}, o_k) \right\}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right).
\]

The triple \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, o)\) is a pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-Riemannian manifold (see e.g. [III Ch 4, Sec 2.3]). Moreover, by construction we get the thesis. \(\square\)

We pass to a subsequence of \((B_\infty, g^{(n)})\) such that there exist an exhaustion \((U^{(n)})\) of \(M^{(\infty)}\) by relatively compact open sets centered at \(o\) and a sequence of \(C^{2,\alpha}\)-diffeomorphisms \(\phi^{(n)} : U^{(n)} \to B_\infty(0, \pi - \frac{1}{2n})\) such that \(\phi^{(n)}(o) = 0\) and \(g^{(n)} := \phi^{(n)} \circ g^{(n)}\) converges in the \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology to \(g^{(\infty)}\).

Let us define the subsets

\[
U^{(n)} := \bigcup_{p \in U^{(n)}} \{ p \} \times B_{g^{(n)}}(0, \pi - \frac{1}{2n} - |\phi^{(n)}(p)|), \quad T M^{(\infty)},
\]

and the subsets

\[
V^{(n)} := \bigcup_{p \in U^{(n)}} \{ p \} \times B_{g^{(n)}}(0, \pi - \frac{1}{2n} - |\phi^{(n)}(p)|) \subset M^{(\infty)} \times M^{(\infty)},
\]

Notice that the metrics \(g^{(n)}\) on \(U^{(n)}\) are merely of class \(C^{1,\alpha}\). Nonetheless, we can consider

\[
E^{(n)} : U^{(n)} \to U^{(n)}, \quad E^{(n)}(p, v) := (\phi^{(n)})^{-1} \left( \exp(g^{(n)}(p, (d\phi^{(n)})(v)) \right).
\]

By construction, the maps

\[
E^{(n)} : U^{(n)} \to V^{(n)}, \quad E^{(n)}(p, v) = (p, E^{(n)}(p, v))
\]

are \(C^{2,\alpha}\)-diffeomorphisms and we indicate their inverses by \(L^{(n)} : V^{(n)} \to U^{(n)}\). Notice that the applications \(L^{(n)}\) are necessarily of the form \(L^{(n)}(p, q) = (p, L^{(n)}(p, q))\), with \(E^{(n)}(p, L^{(n)}(p, q)) = q\) for any \((p, q) \in V^{(n)}\) and \(L^{(n)}(p, E^{(n)}(p, v)) = v\) for any \((p, v) \in U^{(n)}\).

**Proposition 3.6.** The sequence \((E^{(n)})\) subconverge uniformly on compact sets to a \(C^{0,1}\)-homeomorphism

\[
E^{(\infty)} : U^{(\infty)} \to V^{(\infty)}, \quad E^{(\infty)}(p, v) = (p, E^{(\infty)}(p, v)).
\]

**Proof.** Let us compute the differential of \(E^{(n)}\) at a point \((p, v) \in U^{(n)}\). For this purpose, pick two vectors \(w_1 \in T_p M^{(\infty)}\) and \(w_2 \in T_v T_p M^{(\infty)} = T_p M^{(\infty)}\), consider the parallel transports of the push-forwards \((d\phi^{(n)})(p, v), (d\phi^{(n)})(w_2) \in T_{g^{(n)}(p)} B^{(n)}\) along the \(g^{(n)}\)-geodesic \(s \mapsto \exp(g^{(n)})(\phi^{(n)}(p), s(d\phi^{(n)})(p, w_1))\) and pull them back by using \(\phi^{(n)}\). We indicate such paths with \(v^{(n)}(w_1, s)\) and \(w_2^{(n)}(w_2, s)\), respectively. Moreover, consider the \(\hat{g}^{(n)}\)-Jacobi field along the \(\hat{g}^{(n)}\)-geodesic \(t \mapsto \exp(\hat{g}^{(n)})(\phi^{(n)}(p), t(d\phi^{(n)})(p, w_2))\) with initial conditions \((d\phi^{(n)})(p, w_1), (d\phi^{(n)})(p, w_2) \in T_{\phi^{(n)}(p)} B^{(n)}\) and pull it back by using \(\phi^{(n)}\). We indicate it with \(J_{w_1, w_2}^{(n)}(v, t)\). Then, it is straightforward to check that the differential

\[
(dE^{(n)})(p, v) : T_p M^{(\infty)} \oplus T_v M^{(\infty)} \to T_{E^{(n)}(p, v)} M^{(\infty)}
\]
is given by

\[ (dE^{(n)})_{(p,v)}(w_1, w_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} E^{(n)}(E^{(n)}(p, sw_1), t(v^{(n)}(w_1; s) + s w_2^{(n)}(w_1; s))) \bigg|_{s=0 \atop t=1} \]

\[ = J^{(n)}_{w_1, w_2}(v; 1). \]

We recall that, since \(|\sec(g^{(n)})| \leq 1\) by assumption, from the Rauch comparison Theorem (see \cite[Thm 6.5.1, Thm 6.5.2]{19}) it follows that for any \(t \in [0, 1]\)

\[ |J^{(n)}_{w_1, 0}(v; t)| \leq \cosh(t|v|g^{(n)})|w_1|g^{(n)}, \]

\[ \frac{\sin(t|v|g^{(n)})}{|v|g^{(n)}}|w_2|g^{(n)} \leq |J^{(n)}_{0, w_2}(v; t)|g^{(n)} \leq \frac{\sinh(t|v|g^{(n)})}{|v|g^{(n)}}|w_2|g^{(n)}. \]

By \((3.3)\), the differential

\[ (d\tilde{E}^{(n)})_{(p,v)} : T_pM^{(\infty)} \oplus T_pM^{(\infty)} \to T_pM^{(\infty)} \oplus T_{E^{(n)}(p,v)}M^{(\infty)} \]

of the map \(\tilde{E}^{(n)}\) is given by

\[ (d\tilde{E}^{(n)})_{(p,v)}(w_1, w_2) = (w_1, J^{(n)}_{w_1, w_2}(v; 1)). \]

By \((3.3)\) it comes that

\[ |(d\tilde{E}^{(n)})_{(p,v)}(w_1, w_2)|g^{(n)} \leq |w_1|g^{(n)} + |J^{(n)}_{w_1, w_2}(v; 1)|g^{(n)} \]

\[ \leq |w_1|g^{(n)} + |J^{(n)}_{w_1, 0}(v; 1)|g^{(n)} + |J^{(n)}_{0, w_2}(v; 1)|g^{(n)} \]

\[ \leq \left(1 + \cosh(|v|g^{(n)}) + \frac{\sin(|v|g^{(n)})}{|v|g^{(n)}}\right)(|w_1|g^{(n)} + |w_2|g^{(n)}) \]

and also

\[ \sqrt{2}|(d\tilde{E}^{(n)})_{(p,v)}(w_1, w_2)|g^{(n)} \geq |w_1|g^{(n)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |J^{(n)}_{w_1, w_2}(v; 1)|g^{(n)} \]

\[ \geq |w_1|g^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |J^{(n)}_{w_1, 0}(v; 1)|g^{(n)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |J^{(n)}_{0, w_2}(v; 1)|g^{(n)}. \]

From \((3.3), (3.5),\) and \((3.6),\) since \(g^{(n)}\) converges in the \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology to \(g^{(\infty)},\) for any compact set \(K \subset M\) there exists \(\delta = \delta(K) > 0\) such that, for any fixed \(0 < \delta', \delta < \delta,\) there exist \(n = n(K, \delta) \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(C = C(K, \delta, \varepsilon) = c = c(K, \delta) > 0\) such that for any \(p \in K\) and \(n \geq n\)

\[ 0 \neq B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0_p, \pi - \delta - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, p)) \subset B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0_p, \pi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - |\phi^{(n)}(p)|_g) \]

and for any \(v \in T_pM^{(\infty)}\) with \(|v|g^{(\infty)} \leq \pi - \delta - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, p)\) it holds

\[ d_{g^{(\infty)}}(E^{(n)}(p, v), (o, o)) \leq C < \sqrt{2} \pi, \]

\[ e^{-\varepsilon(|w_1|g^{(\infty)} + |w_2|g^{(\infty)})} \leq |(d\tilde{E}^{(n)})_{(p,v)}(w_1, w_2)|g^{(\infty)} < e^\varepsilon(|w_1|g^{(\infty)} + |w_2|g^{(\infty)}) \]

Here, we considered on the product \(M^{(\infty)} \times M^{(\infty)}\) the distance

\[ d_{g^{(\infty)}}((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2)) := \sqrt{d_{g^{(\infty)}}(p_1, p_2)^2 + d_{g^{(\infty)}}(q_1, q_2)^2}. \]

Therefore, \((3.7)\) implies that the sequence of diffeomorphisms \((\tilde{E}^{(n)})\) is uniformly locally bi-Lipschitz (see e.g. \cite[Lemma 2.10]{24}). Let us fix a compact set \(K \subset M,\) let \(\delta = \delta(K)\) be as above and consider a sequence \((\delta_i) \subset \mathbb{R}\) such that \(0 < \delta_{i+1} < \delta_i < \delta\) and \(\delta_i \to 0.\) By combing the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem with a Cantor diagonal procedure, from \((3.7)\) it comes that the restriction \(\tilde{E}^{(n)}|_{U^{(n)}_K}\) subconverges uniformly on compact sets of \(U^{(n)}_K,\) where we have set \(U^{(n)}_K := U^{(n)} \cap TM^{(\infty)}|_K\) and \(U^{(\infty)}_K := U^{(\infty)} \cap TM^{(\infty)}|_K.\) By considering
now an exhaustion of $M^{(\infty)}$ by compact sets $K_j$ and by applying again a Cantor diagonal procedure, we get that $(\hat{E}^{(n)})$ subconverges uniformly on compact sets to a $C^{0,1}$-homeomorphisms

$$\hat{E}^{(\infty)} : \mathcal{U}^{(\infty)} \to \mathcal{V}^{(\infty)} , \quad \hat{E}^{(\infty)}(p, v) = (p, E^{(\infty)}(p, v))$$

and this completes the proof. 

We pass to a subsequence of $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})$ such that the maps $\hat{E}^{(n)}$ converge uniformly on compact sets to a $C^{0,1}$-homeomorphism $\hat{E}^{(\infty)}$, which is necessarily of the form \( \Box \). We denote its inverse by

$$\hat{L}^{(\infty)} : \mathcal{V}^{(\infty)} \to \mathcal{U}^{(\infty)} , \quad \hat{L}^{(\infty)}(p, q) := (p, L^{(\infty)}(p, q)) .$$

Up to pass to a further subsequence, we can assume that $(\hat{L}^{(n)})$ converges uniformly on compact sets to $\hat{L}^{(\infty)}$. For the sake of shortness, we set

$$E_p^{(n)} := E^{(n)}(p, .) , \quad E^{(\infty)}_p := E^{(\infty)}(p, .) , \quad L_p^{(n)} := L^{(n)}(p, .) , \quad L^{(\infty)}_p := L^{(\infty)}(p, .) .$$

Clearly it holds that $L_p^{(n)} = (E_p^{(n)})^{-1}$ and $L^{(\infty)}_p = (E^{(\infty)}_p)^{-1}$.

In the next theorem we will construct explicitly a local topological group of isometries acting on the limit manifold $(M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, \sigma)$. This will allow us to apply Theorem 3.3 afterwards. Firstly, we denote by $O_{g^{(\infty)}}(M^{(\infty)}) \to M^{(\infty)}$ the orthonormal frame bundle of $(M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})$. Secondly, letting $u_{st}$ be the standard orthonormal frame of $(\tilde{T}_0B^m, \tilde{g}^{(n)}) = (\mathbb{R}^m, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{st})$, we can assume up to pass to a subsequence that $u_{st}^{(n)} := ((d\phi^{(n)})_0)^{-1}(u_{st})$ converges to an orthonormal frame $u_{st}^{(\infty)}$ for $(\tilde{T}_0M^{(\infty)}, \tilde{g}^{(0, \infty)})$. This yields an identification

$$O_{g^{(\infty)}}(M^{(\infty)}) = \{ (p, a) : p \in M^{(\infty)}, a : (T_pM^{(\infty)}, g_p^{(\infty)}) \to (T_pM^{(\infty)}, g_p^{(\infty)}) \text{ linear isometry} \}$$

**Theorem 3.7.** There exists a locally compact and effective local topological group of isometries acting transitively on the pointed $G^{(\infty)}$-Riemannian manifold $(M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, \sigma)$.

**Proof.** Since $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})$ are locally homogeneous and smooth, we can pick for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an effective local Lie group of isometries $G^{(n)}$ acting transitively on $(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)}, 0)$. By Lemma 3.1 any local isometry $f^{(n)} \in G^{(n)}$ admits a unique analytic extension

$$f^{(n)} : B_{st}(0, \pi - |f^{(n)}(0)|_{st}) \to B_{\hat{g}^{(n)}}(f^{(n)}(0), \pi - |f^{(n)}(0)|_{st}) .$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $f^{(n)} \in G^{(n)}$ such that $|f^{(n)}(0)|_{st} < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we define

$$\tilde{f}^{(n)} : \text{Dom}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) \to \text{Im}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) , \quad \tilde{f}^{(n)} := \phi^{(n)} \circ f^{(n)} \circ (\phi^{(n)})^{-1}_{\text{Dom}(\tilde{f}^{(n)})} ,$$

where $\text{Dom}(\tilde{f}^{(n)})$, $\text{Im}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) \subset U^{(n)}$ are the open subsets given by

$$\text{Dom}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) := \left( f^{(n)} \circ \phi^{(n)} \right)^{-1} \left( B_{st}(0, \pi - \frac{\pi}{2}) \cap B_{\hat{g}^{(n)}}(f^{(n)}(0), \pi - |f^{(n)}(0)|_{st}) \right) ,$$

$$\text{Im}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) := \left( \phi^{(n)} \right)^{-1} \left( B_{st}(0, \pi - \frac{\pi}{2}) \cap B_{\hat{g}^{(n)}}(f^{(n)}(0), \pi - |f^{(n)}(0)|_{st}) \right) .$$

Hence $\tilde{G}^{(n)} := \{ f^{(n)} : f^{(n)} \in G^{(n)}, |f^{(n)}(0)|_{st} < \frac{\pi}{2} \}$ is a transitive, effective local Lie group of isometries on $(M^{(\infty)}, g^{(n)}, \sigma)$. We are going to explicit below the structure of local group of $\tilde{G}^{(n)}$.

The multiplication

$$\tilde{\nu}^{(n)} : \tilde{D}(\tilde{G}^{(n)}) \to \tilde{G}^{(n)}$$

is defined in the following way. First, we set

$$\tilde{D}(\tilde{G}^{(n)}) := \{ (\tilde{f}_1^{(n)}, \tilde{f}_2^{(n)}) \in \tilde{G}^{(n)} \times \tilde{G}^{(n)} : |f^{(n)}_1(\tilde{f}_2^{(n)}(0))|_{st} < \frac{\pi}{2} \} .$$

Then, given $(\tilde{f}_1^{(n)}, \tilde{f}_2^{(n)}) \in \tilde{D}(\tilde{G}^{(n)})$, we consider a neighborhood $V$ of $0 \in B^m$ such that

$$V \subset B_{st}(0, \pi - |\tilde{f}_2^{(n)}(0)|_{st}) , \quad \tilde{f}_2(V) \subset B_{st}(0, \pi - |\tilde{f}_1^{(n)}(0)|_{st})$$

and we set $f^{(n)}_3 := f^{(n)}_1 \circ \tilde{f}_2^{(n)}|_V$. Then, we consider the analytic extension of $f^{(n)}_3$ as above and we set

$$\tilde{\nu}^{(n)}(\tilde{f}_1^{(n)}, \tilde{f}_2^{(n)}) := \tilde{f}_3^{(n)} .$$

In the same fashion, the inversion map

$$\tilde{\nu}^{(n)} : \tilde{G}^{(n)} \to \tilde{G}^{(n)}$$

(3.9)
is defined by choosing, for any given \( f^{(n)} \in \tilde{G}^{(n)} \), a neighborhood \( V \) of \( 0 \in B^{m} \) such that
\[ V \subset B_{\delta}(0, \pi - |f^{(n)}(0)|_{\omega}) , \quad 0 \in f^{(n)}(V) \]
and defining \( f'^{(n)} := (f^{(n)}|_{V})^{-1} \). Then, we consider the analytic extension of \( f'^{(n)} \) and we set \( \tilde{f}^{(n)}(f'^{(n)}) := \tilde{f}^{(n)} \).

We stress the fact that any \( \tilde{f}^{(n)} \in \tilde{G}^{(n)} \) verifies
\[
\tilde{f}^{(n)}(x) = (E^{(n)}_{f^{(n)}}(p) \circ (d(f^{(n)})|_{p}) \circ L^{(n)}_{p})(x) , \quad x \in \text{Dom}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) \cap B_{g^{(n)}}(p, p - \frac{1}{2\pi} - |\phi^{(n)}(p)|) \quad (3.10)
\]
for any \( p \in B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \) in \( M^{(\infty)} \).

Let us consider now a dense and countable subset \( S \subset B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \). By combing the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem with a Cantor diagonal procedure (see Step 1 of the proof of \cite{10} Thm 6.6), up to pass to a subsequence the following claim holds true: for any \( p \in S \), there exists a sequence \( f^{(n)} \in \tilde{G}^{(n)} \) which converges in the \( C^{1} \)-topology to a \( g^{(\infty)} \)-isometry \( f^{(\infty)} : B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0, \pi - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(a, p)) \to B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0, \pi - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(a, p)) \), with \( f^{(\infty)}(a) = p \). For the sake of brevity, we just write \( f^{(\infty)} = \lim \tilde{f}^{(n)} \) and
\[
\text{Dom}(f^{(\infty)}) := B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0, \pi - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(a, f^{(\infty)}(a))) . \quad (3.11)
\]
Let us set
\[
\tilde{G}^{(\infty)} := \{ \tilde{f}^{(n)} : \text{there exists a sequence } \tilde{f}^{(n)} \in \tilde{G}^{(n)} \text{ s.t. } \tilde{f}^{(\infty)} = \lim \tilde{f}^{(n)} \} .
\]
Then, \( \tilde{G}^{(\infty)} \) can be endowed with a structure of local group in the following way.

Firstly we define the subset \( D(\tilde{G}^{(\infty)}) \subset G^{(\infty)} \times \tilde{G}^{(\infty)} \) of those pairs \( (\tilde{f}^{(1)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(1)}_{2}) \) for which there exist \( \tilde{f}^{(1)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(1)}_{2} \in \tilde{G}^{(n)} \) such that \( (\tilde{f}^{(1)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(1)}_{2}) \in D(\tilde{G}^{(n)}) \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough and \( \tilde{f}^{(\infty)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{f}^{(n)} \). Notice that the definition of \( D(\tilde{G}^{(\infty)}) \) does not depend on the choice of the sequences \( \tilde{f}^{(n)}_{1}, i = 1, 2 \). Then, we set
\[
\tilde{\rho}^{(\infty)} : D(\tilde{G}^{(\infty)}) \to \tilde{G}^{(\infty)} , \quad \tilde{\rho}^{(n)}(\tilde{f}^{(1)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(1)}_{2}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}^{(n)}(\tilde{f}^{(1)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(1)}_{2}) ,
\]
where \( \tilde{\rho}^{(n)} \) was defined in \cite{3, 9}. Analogously, we set
\[
\tilde{\gamma}^{(n)} : G^{(\infty)} \to \tilde{G}^{(\infty)} , \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{(\infty)}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\gamma}^{(n)}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) , \quad (3.13)
\]
where \( \tilde{\gamma}^{(n)} \) was defined in \cite{3, 9}. One can directly check that both \cite{3, 12} and \cite{3, 13} are well defined.

From Proposition \cite{3, 14} and \cite{3, 11} it comes that any \( \tilde{f}^{(\infty)} \in \tilde{G}^{(\infty)} \) verifies
\[
\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}(x) = (E^{(\infty)}_{f^{(\infty)}}(p) \circ (d(f^{(\infty)}|_{p}) \circ L^{(\infty)}_{p})(x) , \quad x \in \text{Dom}(\tilde{f}^{(n)}) \cap B_{g^{(\infty)}}(p, p - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(a, p)) \quad (3.14)
\]
for any \( p \in B_{g^{(\infty)}}(0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \in M^{(\infty)} \).

Let us consider now the map
\[
\sigma : G^{(\infty)} \to O_{g^{(\infty)}}(M^{(\infty)}) , \quad \sigma(\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}) := \left( \tilde{f}^{(\infty)}(a), d(\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}|_{a}) \right) . \quad (3.15)
\]
From \cite{3, 14} it comes directly that \( \sigma \) is injective. Then, we indicate with \( G^{(\infty)} \) the topological closure of \( \sigma(\tilde{G}^{(\infty)}) \) inside \( O_{g^{(\infty)}}(M^{(\infty)}) \). One can define a structure of local topological group of \( G^{(\infty)} \) by defining an open set \( D(G^{(\infty)}) \subset G^{(\infty)} \times G^{(\infty)} \) and two maps \( \nu^{(\infty)} : D(G^{(\infty)}) \to G^{(\infty)} , \quad \nu^{(\infty)} : G^{(\infty)} \to G^{(\infty)} \) by extending \cite{3, 12, 3, 13} in the following way.

First, let \( D(G^{(\infty)}) \) be the subset of those pairs \( (p_{1}, a_{1}), (p_{2}, a_{2}) \in G^{(\infty)} \times G^{(\infty)} \) for which there exist \( \tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{2} \in \tilde{G}^{(\infty)} \) such that \( (\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{1}, \tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{2}) \in D(\tilde{G}^{(\infty)}) \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough and \( (p_{i}, a_{i}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma(\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{i,k}) \). Then, we define
\[
\nu^{(\infty)} : D(G^{(\infty)}) \to G^{(\infty)} , \quad \nu^{(\infty)}((p_{1}, a_{1}), (p_{2}, a_{2})) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma(\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{1,k}, \tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{2,k}) . \quad (3.16)
\]
Notice that, if we set \( f_{1,k} := \tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{1,k}(a) \) and \( f_{2,k} := d(\tilde{f}^{(\infty)}_{2,k}) \), we get
\[
\nu^{(\infty)}(f_{1,k}, f_{2,k})(a) = (E^{(\infty)}_{f_{1,k}} \circ a_{1,k}) \circ L^{(\infty)}_{a_{2,k}}(0) , \quad d(\nu^{(\infty)}(f_{1,k}, f_{2,k}))|_{a} = L^{(\infty)}_{E^{(\infty)}_{f_{1,k}} \circ a_{1,k} \circ L^{(\infty)}_{a_{2,k}}(0)} \circ E^{(\infty)}_{f_{1,k}} \circ a_{1,k} \circ L^{(\infty)}_{a_{2,k}}(0) .
\]
and hence the limit \((3.16)\) exists, it does not depend on the choice of \((\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)}, \tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})\) and the map \(\nu^{(\infty)}\) is continuous. Analogously, we define

\[
j^{(\infty)} : G^{(\infty)} \to G^{(\infty)}, \quad j^{(\infty)}(p, a) := \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sigma(j^{(\infty)}(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty}))),
\]

(3.17)

where \((\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)}) \subset \tilde{G}^{(\infty)}\) is any sequence such that \((p, a) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sigma(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})\). Then, setting \(p_k := \tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)}(o)\) and \(a_k := d(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})\) we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{j}(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})(o) &= (E^{(\infty)}_o \circ a_k^{-1} \circ L_{p_k}^{(\infty)})(o), \\
\tilde{d}(\tilde{j}(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)}))(o) &= L^{(\infty)}_{E^{(\infty)_o \circ a_k^{-1} \circ L_{p_k}^{(\infty)}(o)}} \circ E^{(\infty)}_o \circ a_k^{-1} \circ L^{(\infty)} \circ E^{(\infty)}_o
\end{align*}
\]

and hence the limit \((3.17)\) exists, it does not depend on the choice of \((\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})\) and the map \(j^{(\infty)}\) is continuous. Finally, we define the open set

\[
W^{(\infty)} := \bigcup_{(p, a) \in G^{(\infty)}} \{ (p, a) \times \mathcal{B}_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, \pi - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, p)) \} \subset G^{(\infty)} \times M^{(\infty)}
\]

(3.18)

and the map

\[
\Theta^{(\infty)} : W^{(\infty)} \to M^{(\infty)}, \quad \Theta^{(\infty)}((p, a), x) := \lim_{k \to +\infty} \tilde{j}(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})(x),
\]

(3.19)

where \((\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)}) \subset \tilde{G}^{(\infty)}\) is any sequence such that \((p, a) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \sigma(\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})\). Again, the limit \((3.19)\) exists, it does not depend on \((\tilde{f}_k^{(\infty)})\) and the map \(\Theta^{(\infty)}\) is continuous. From now on, we identify any pair \((p, a) \in G^{(\infty)}\) with the corresponding map \(f^{(\infty)} := \Theta^{(\infty)}((p, a), \cdot)\) and just write \(f^{(\infty)} \in G^{(\infty)}\). Notice that the map \(f^{(\infty)} \in G^{(\infty)}\) corresponding to a pair \((p, a)\) is given by

\[
f^{(\infty)} = E^{(\infty)}_p \circ a \circ L_{a}^{(\infty)}|_{\text{Dom}(f^{(\infty)})}, \quad \text{Dom}(f^{(\infty)} := \mathcal{B}_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, \pi - d_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, p)) \}.
\]

(3.20)

From \((3.19)\) it follows that each map \(f^{(\infty)} \in G^{(\infty)}\) is an isometry, and hence \(G^{(\infty)}\) is a local topological group of isometries on \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}(o))\). Since it is a closed subset of \(O_{g^{(\infty)}}(M^{(\infty)})\), it is locally compact. Moreover, by \((3.20)\) it is effective. Finally, since by construction the orbit \(G^{(\infty)}(o)\) contains the whole ball \(\mathcal{B}_{g^{(\infty)}}(o, \frac{\pi}{2})\), we conclude that \(G^{(\infty)}\) is transitive.

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.4.

**Proof of Theorem 3.4.** Since each \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) is locally homogeneous, the limit space \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) is locally homogeneous \(\text{(see [1] proof of Thm 1.6)}\). Hence, by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.7 it follows that \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) is a locally homogeneous \(C^{\infty}\)-Riemannian manifold. Since convergence in pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology implies convergence in pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology, it holds that \(\text{sec}(g^{(\infty)}) \geq -1\). Moreover, by [9] Thm. 6.4.8 we get a positive uniform lower bound on the convexity radius \(\text{conv}_0(B^m, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) along the sequence and hence by [7] Thm. 5.1 we get \(\text{sec}(g^{(\infty)}) \leq 1\). Furthermore by [9] Lemma 1.5 it necessarily holds that \(E^{(\infty)} = \text{Exp}(g^{(\infty)})\) \(\text{(see also the proof of [11] Thm 4.4)}\). Therefore, fixed a \(g^{(\infty)}\)-orthonormal frame \(u : \mathbb{R}^m \to T_o M\), one can consider the pulled-back metric \(\tilde{g}^{(\infty)} := (\text{Exp}(g^{(\infty)}) \circ u^*g^{(\infty)})\) on \(B^m = B_{a_0}(0, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}^m\). It is easy to realize that \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) is a geometric model and, since it is isometric to \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\), we conclude that \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) converges in the pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology to \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\).

From Theorem 3.4 we also get the following

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) be a sequence of geometric models and assume that there exist an integer \(k \geq 0\) and a constant \(C \geq 0\) such that

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k} |(\nabla \tilde{g}^{(n)})^i \text{Rm}(\tilde{g}^{(n)})| \leq C.
\]

Then the sequence \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) subconverges to a limit geometric model \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{k+1,\alpha}\)-topology for any \(0 < \alpha < 1\).
Proof. By means of Theorem 4.3, we can assume that \((B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})\) converges to a geometric model \((B^m, \hat{g}^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology as \(n \to +\infty\). Fix \(0 < \delta < \pi\) and set \(\Omega_\delta := B_\delta(0, \pi - \delta) \subset B^m\). Then (see e.g. [15 Thm 6]) it comes that
\[
\text{har}_x^{k+1,\alpha}(B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)}) \geq r_\alpha > 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in \Omega_\delta
\]
(see Definition 4.2). Following [20] proof of Thm A and [1 Lemma 2.1], it is possible to construct, up to passing to a subsequence, smooth embeddings \(\psi^{(n)} : \Omega_\delta \to \mathbb{R}^N\), for some \(N >> m\), such that \(\psi^{(n)}(0) = 0\) and \(\hat{g}^{(n)} := \psi^{(n)}(\Omega_\delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^N\) are locally represented as graphs of smooth functions over \(B_\delta(0, \frac{\pi}{\delta}) \subset \mathbb{R}^m\) uniformly bounded in \(C^{k+2,\alpha}(B_\delta(0, \frac{\pi}{\delta}))\). Then, up to pass to a subsequence, \(\Omega^{(n)}_\delta\) converges in the \(C^{k+2,\alpha}\)-topology as submanifolds of \(\mathbb{R}^N\) to an embedded \(C^{k+2,\alpha}\)-submanifold \(\Omega^{(\infty)}_\delta\) of \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Set \(g^{(n)} := ((\psi^{(n)})^{-1})^* \hat{g}^{(n)}\). Up to pass to a further subsequence, the projection along the normals of \(\Omega^{(\infty)}_\delta\) induces a sequences of \(C^{k+2,\alpha}\)-diffeomorphisms \(pr^{(n)} : \Omega^{(n)}_\delta \to \Omega^{(\infty)}_\delta\) and the metrics \(((pr^{(n)})^{-1})^* g^{(n)}\) converges in the \(C^{k+1,\alpha}\)-topology to a \(C^{k+1,\alpha}\)-Riemannian metric \(g^{(\infty)}\) on \(\Omega^{(\infty)}_\delta\).

Since we assumed that \((B^m, \hat{g}^{(n)})\) converges to \((B^m, \hat{g}^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-topology, it comes that there exists an isometric embedding \(\varphi : (\Omega^{(\infty)}_\delta, g^{(\infty)}) \to (B^m, \hat{g}^{(\infty)})\) with \(\varphi(0) = 0\). Moreover, given any compact set \(K \subset B^m\), one can take \(\delta\) small enough in such a way that \(K \subset \varphi(\Omega^{(\infty)}_\delta)\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

4. Existence of geometric models

Let \((M^m, g)\) be a locally homogeneous space. By Section 2.1 we can fix a distinguished point \(p \in M\) and consider the Nomizu algebra \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g = \{ \text{Killing generators } (v, A) \text{ at } p \}\) of \((M, g, p)\). Consider the Euclidean scalar product on \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g\) given by
\[
\langle (v, A), (w, B) \rangle_g := g_p(v, w) - \text{Tr}(AB),
\]
set \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g_\theta := \{(0, A) \in \mathfrak{t}nil^g\}\) and let \(m\) be the \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g\)-orthogonal complement of \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g_\theta\) in \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g\). Since \((M, g, p)\) is locally homogeneous, this gives rise to a linear isomorphism \(\mathfrak{m} \cong T_pM\), which allow us to define a scalar product \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g\) on \(\mathfrak{m}\) induced by the metric tensor \(g\). An adapted frame for \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g\) is a basis \(u = (e_1, \ldots, e_q+m) : \mathbb{R}^{q+m} \to \mathfrak{t}nil^g\) such that \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g_\theta = \text{span}(e_1, \ldots, e_q), \mathfrak{m} = \text{span}(e_{q+1}, \ldots, e_{q+m})\) and \((e_{q+i}, e_{q+j})_g = \delta_{ij}\) with \(q := \dim \mathfrak{t}nil^g_\theta\). Given an adapted frame \(u\) for \(\mathfrak{t}nil^g\), we will indicate with \(u|_{\mathbb{R}^m} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathfrak{m} = T_pM\) the induced orthonormal frame for \((M, g)\) at \(p\).

Definition 4.1. A sequence of \(m\)-dimensional locally homogeneous spaces \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)})\) converges algebraically to a locally homogeneous space \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) if \(\dim M^{(\infty)} = m\), \(\dim (\mathfrak{t}nil^{(n)}_\theta) \equiv \dim (\mathfrak{t}nil^{(\infty)}_\theta)\) and there exist distinguished points \(p^{(n)} \in M^{(n)}, p^{(\infty)} \in M^{(\infty)}\) together with adapted frames \(u^{(n)}, u^{(\infty)}\) for the Nomizu algebras \(\mathfrak{t}nil^{(n)}\), \(\mathfrak{t}nil^{(\infty)}\) of \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)}, p^{(n)}), (M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)}, p^{(\infty)})\), respectively, such that the structure constants of \(\mathfrak{t}nil^{(n)}\) with respect to \(u^{(n)}\) converge to those of \(\mathfrak{t}nil^{(\infty)}\) with respect to \(u^{(\infty)}\).

Notice that the notion of algebraic convergence does not depend on the choices either of the base points \(p^{(n)}\) and \(p^{(\infty)}\), or of the adapted frames \(u^{(n)}\) and \(u^{(\infty)}\). Moreover, the following facts hold true.

Fact 4.2. If \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)})\) converges algebraically to \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) and \(p^{(n)}, p^{(\infty)}, u^{(n)}, u^{(\infty)}\) are taken as in Definition 4.1 then
\[
\left(u^{(n)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)^* \left((\nabla g^{(n)})^k \text{Rm}(g^{(n)})|_{p^{(n)}}\right) \quad \to \quad \left(u^{(\infty)}|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)^* \left((\nabla g^{(\infty)})^k \text{Rm}(g^{(\infty)})|_{p^{(\infty)}}\right)
\]
in the standard Euclidean topology of \(\mathfrak{so}^k(\mathbb{R}^m)^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}^0(\mathbb{R}^m)^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(m)\) for any integer \(k \geq 0\).

Fact 4.3. For any locally homogeneous space \((M, g)\), there exists a sequence \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)})\) of globally homogeneous spaces which converges algebraically to \((M, g)\).

Fact 4.2 and Fact 4.3 are known in the literature (see e.g. [12]). However, for the convenience of the reader, we will provide a proof of these two facts on the forthcoming paper [33].
Proof of Theorem A. Let \((M, g)\) be a strictly locally homogeneous space with \(|\sec(g)| \leq 1\). By Fact 3.8 there exists a sequence \((M^{(n)}, g^{(n)})\) of globally homogeneous spaces which converges algebraically to \((M, g)\). By Fact 2.2 it comes that there exists a sequence \((\varepsilon^{(n)})\) in \((0, \pi)\) such that
\[
|\sec(g^{(n)})| \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon^{(n)})^2}, \quad \varepsilon^{(n)} \to 0.
\]
By repeating the same argument as in Remark 3.8 we can pull back the metric \(g^{(n)}\) to the tangent ball \(B_{g^{(n)}}(p^{(n)}, \varepsilon^{(n)}) \subset T_{p^{(n)}}M^{(n)}\) of radius \(\varepsilon^{(n)}\) at some point \(p^{(n)} \in M^{(n)}\) via the Riemannian exponential \(\text{Exp}(g^{(n)})_{p^{(n)}} : T_{p^{(n)}}M^{(n)} \to M^{(n)}\). By Fact 2.2 and Corollary 3.8 we can pass to a subsequence in such a way that \((B_{g^{(n)}}(p^{(n)}, \varepsilon^{(n)}), \text{Exp}(g^{(n)})*g^{(n)})\) converges in the pointed \(C^\infty\)-topology to a geometric model \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\). Finally, since the curvature tensor and all its covariant derivatives at a point \(p\) determines a complete set of invariants for real analytic Riemannian manifolds up to local isometry around \(p\) (see e.g. [2 Cor E.III.8]), it comes that \((B^m, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) is locally isometric to \((M, g)\). \(\square\)

Proof of Proposition C. Let \((B^{(n)}, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) be a sequence of geometric models and assume that it converges algebraically to limit locally homogeneous space \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\). By Fact 1.2 Theorem A and Corollary 3.8 by arguing as in the proof of Theorem A it comes that \(|\sec(g^{(\infty)})| \leq 1\) and that there exists a subsequence of \((B^{(n)}, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) converging to the geometric model \((B^{(\infty)}, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) of \((M^{(\infty)}, g^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^\infty\)-topology. Moreover, again by Fact 2.2 and [2 Cor E.III.8], any convergent subsequence of \((B^{(n)}, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) in the pointed \(C^\infty\)-topology necessarily converges to \((B^{(\infty)}, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\). Therefore, we conclude that the whole sequence \((B^{(n)}, \tilde{g}^{(n)})\) converges to \((B^{(\infty)}, \tilde{g}^{(\infty)})\) in the pointed \(C^\infty\)-topology. \(\square\)
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