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ABSTRACT

With the advancement of the tourism industry, the importance of research on visitor satisfaction has been increasing as of recent years. The prime objective of current study is to explore the factors influencing visitor satisfaction from the perspective of archaeological sites, in Bangladesh. A thorough and well-constructed research design is administered to analyze 200 data collected from the visitors having experience in visiting Paharpur Buddha Vihara. From the data, we conducted an extensive analysis to find out the main factors which influence visitor satisfaction. It is recognized that there are some essential factors; destination attractions, safety and security, favorable environment, food attraction and cost at said destination. These factors significantly influence visitor satisfaction. It is expected that the outcome of the study will support the tourism practitioners in planning and development for successful business operations. The paper concludes with some implications and future research directions.

Keywords: Visitor satisfaction, Archaeological tourism, Empirical study, and Destination sustainability.

INTRODUCTION:

Tourism is the largest industry in the world in terms of revenue and employment generation. In spite of global economic uncertainty from the recent past, the tourism industry remains stable and is positively growing in recent years (Vetitnev et al., 2013). According to the World Travel and Tourism Council (WTTC) in 2018, Travel & Tourism contributed total US$ 2750.7 billion to world GDP, representing 3.2% of global GDP. The sector supported 1 in 11 jobs in the earth. The industry grew by 10.4% in 2018 and the most mentionable part is that this positive growth is continuing in the 6th consecutive year. Again it was forecasted that travel & tourism will grow by 3.6% in 2019 and up to January 2020 the global international tourists are grown by 3.8%. This is a positive scenario of growth according to the forecasting of 2019. Tourism in Bangladesh has been emerged as a small but rapidly growing sector in the economy since the 1990s (Roy & Roy, 2015). In the recent years, the contribution of tourism industry to the national economy has been increased significantly. According to the country annual report of WTTC (2019), the total contribution of Travel & Tourism to GDP was 4.4% of total GDP in 2018 and this sector supported by 3.9% of total employment. Moreover in 2018 Travel & Tourism visitor exports generated 0.8% of total exports. In 17 April 2018 Deputy Inspector General (DIG) of Tourist Police Sohrab Hossain published statistical data collected from around 800 tourist spots in Bangladesh that the number of domestic tourists stood at around 90,000 which has increased to 3.5 crore in 2017 while the number of foreign tourists has increased from 16,000 to 106,000. The data expressed the potentiality of booming up the tourism and hospitality industry.

Bangladesh is called beauty queen for her beauty and attraction. She have the world longest sea beach
When visiting the destination, visitors interact with different components of the destination, which as a whole, offers a package of diverse attributes which is not only limited to the stunning sceneries or historical reference and value of those who previously resided there, but also includes facilities and services which cater to the everyday needs of the visitor. The interacted components or factors affect visitor satisfaction. The purpose of this paper is to identify factors affecting visitors’ satisfaction and to determine the level of visitors’ satisfaction in respect of an archeological site Paharpur Buddha Vihara.

**Significance of the Study**

Satisfied visitors help tourism marketers to increase visitor providing the message from self-interest. There are also the underlying factors for building sustainable tourism destination. But it is the first task to know the factors which satisfied visitors. This study has significance contribution to identify the influencing factors that affect visitor satisfaction. This research will also helpful on tourism decision making process considering the influential factors for visitor satisfaction. The result of the study may substantially contribute to managerial understanding of visitor satisfaction. At the theory level, this study is able to produce greater understanding of the variables/factors that appear to be most responsible in structuring visitor satisfaction of a destination. In reality the result of this study will provide managers with greater insight concerning the potential benefits associated with visitor satisfaction. Outcomes of the study might have used as an index by the concern tourism marketers as well as stakeholders for improvement of their service quality and formulating marketing strategies for future directions. In addition, the outcome of the study will contribute a lot in the development of archeological tourism of Bangladesh by identifying important attributes that satisfy the visitors who visit archeological destinations. The current study will also help tourism planners in developing strategies and friendly tourism policy. Furthermore, the authors are expecting the study will contribute in tourists’ satisfaction research.

**Research Gaps and Objectives**

Past studies about archaeological tourism and visitor satisfaction have focused on identifying the characteristics, development, and management of archaeological tourism, as well as on investigating...
demographic and travel behaviour characteristics of tourists who visit archaeological destinations. Williams & Uysal (2010); Pearce & Balcar (1996) analysed destination characteristics influence visitor satisfaction, development, management, and patterns of demand through an element-by-element comparison of eight heritage sites on the West Coast of New Zealand. Silberberg (1995) provided a common pattern of visitor satisfaction of archaeological tourists by analysing age, gender, income, and educational level. In recent years several studies conducted on visitor satisfaction. Valle et al. (2006) conducted a study for exploring the relationship between travel satisfaction and destination loyalty intention. The study assessed several attributes as the pull factors towards satisfaction. Ahmed et al. (2010) conducted an empirical study which looked at different preferences of the tourist and examine the tour intention in the selection of different tourist destination. But few studies have been conducted only for measuring tourist satisfaction towards a specific destination in Bangladesh (Khuong & Quyen, 2016). In addition to the mentioned factors mentioned in literature review section, there have some others significant factors and variables which affect tourist satisfaction (Table 1(a) & 2(b) in appendix). Thus to fill up the gaps this study has carried out and drawn following three objectives-

1. To identify the factors and variables that affect tourist/visitors satisfaction of Paharpur Buddha Vihara.
2. To measure visitors’ satisfaction based on explored factors.
3. To provide some recommendations to destination operators for their business policy making.

**Literature Review**

Tourism attracts a wide range of academicians, researchers and practitioners attention for its potential contribution to the economic development of any country. Tourism consumer (visitor) opinion about the influencing factors/attributes of the tourism for satisfaction, destination selection, planning, decision making process have not been thoroughly explored and have become a challenging research issue. Having different tourism potentials and advantages, it is quite unfortunate that the country has not yet managed to build a profitable tourism industry. Till now tourism marketers or government are not aware about the needs of the visitors. Retaining darkness about visitor needs, it is not possible to ensure visitor satisfaction. However in recent years, some research works have been done by some researchers regarding visitor satisfaction which ensure tourism development of a country. Satisfaction is the most discussed issue over the 30 years in terms of definition (Truong & Foster, 2006). Kotler (2012) define satisfaction as a link between perception and expectation. If perception fully match with expectation (perception>expectation), the mental state of this situation is called satisfaction and vice versa situation is called dissatisfaction. Again when the perception will exceeds the expectation (perception>expectation) then delighted mental state is created. Some researchers defined satisfaction simply as the result of customers’ assessment of perceived quality (Chi & Qu, 2008). However, in some papers, quality and satisfaction are modelled as separate constructs (Hui et al., 2007), because the underlying processing mechanisms for evaluating quality and satisfaction are distinct (Zabrak et al., 2010).

In the tourism literature two major approaches are employed to measure visitor satisfaction (Ozedemir et al., 2012). These are disconfirmation theory and performance-only approach (Fallon & Schofield, 2004). Disconfirmation theory is based on the post-purchase concept, which is a comparison between pre-travel expectations with actual travel experience. Visitor satisfaction is defined as “a collection of visitors’ attitudes about specific domains in the vacationing experience (Pizam et al., 1978). Therefore, visitor satisfaction is the overall experience visitors have with the given destination. The performance-only approach considered the visitor satisfaction construct as the visitors’ evaluation of destination attributes (Truong & Foster, 2006; Kozak & Rimmington, 2000; and Kozak, 2003). The visitors’ satisfaction with individual component or attribute of the destination leads to their satisfaction with the overall destination. For this study we considered performance only approach to identify influencing factors of visitor satisfaction. Many different factors may affect the visitor satisfaction: destination attractions, quality of services, safety, previous experience and expectations, tourist activities, destination image, cost, favorable environment and others (McDowall, 2010; Petrick, 2004; Kozak, 2001; Prayag, 2009; Al-
Ababneh, 2013; Valle et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2010; Suanmali, 2014) Vetitnev et al. (2013) run a study for examining the factors affecting tourist satisfaction level. The findings of the study indicated that domestic tourists were not completely satisfied with the visit to the destinations. The lowest levels of satisfaction were observed over attributes such as health services, city transport and shops. It was also found that some factors, such as purpose of travel, source of payment for travel choice of accommodation, holiday organization mode and tourists’ spending affected tourist satisfaction. This study developed a model which examines the relationships between customer satisfaction, affecting factors and destination loyalty of tourists. The findings showed that there were strong relationships between those indicators. It was also found that tourists who were satisfied with destinations’ attributes are willing to revisit a resort destination.

The study of Al-Ababneh (2013) examined the impact of service quality on tourist satisfaction. This study found that service quality directly impact on tourist satisfaction and service quality plays key role in tourism by increasing level of satisfaction. In this study service quality comprised of three factors-destination facilities, destination accessibility and destination attraction which positively and negatively affect tourist satisfaction. In the findings the contributor identified that destination facilities (restaurant, souvenir and tour guide) and destination accessibility (maps, parking, toilet) are significant predictors of tourist satisfaction and there have a positive relationship among destination facilities, destination accessibility and satisfaction whereas destination attractions (museum) are moderately positively related to tourist satisfaction. Thiumsak and Ruangkanjanases (2016) implemented a study for identifying the key factors which influence international visitors to revisit. The study discovered that visitors’ perceived satisfaction is the main influencing factor to revisit and satisfaction factors are accommodation, shopping, and attitude of local people. The study also found that the above mentioned factors are positively related with revisit intention.

The aim of the study of Khuong & Quyen (2016) was to measure the key factors affecting international tourists perceived service quality and their return intention towards a specific destination. The study discovered that recreation and entertainment are the strong influential factors whereas some other factors like perceived service quality, culture, history and art, safety and security, local cuisine, negative attributes, perceived price, natural environment, and destination image also had positive effects on international tourist return intention as the tourist are satisfied with the service attribute of the factors. They also mentioned that only one factor-infrastructure negatively effect on tourist return intention. Shahrivar (2012) conducted an extensive literature review based study for investigating the factors that influence tourist satisfaction. The study identified eight attribute factors named natural factors, cultural features, recreation and shopping facilities, accessibility, infrastructure, reception, services, cost and price which affect tourist satisfaction. The study also identified thirty sub attributes. The result of the study showed that the tourist are satisfied with the multiple attributes and dissatisfied with eight attribute whereas in seven rest attributes the tourist are in indifferent position.

Rajes (2013) administered a study for developing a destination loyalty theoretical model by using tourist perception, destination image and tourist satisfaction. In the findings of the study the contributor develop four construct. Tourist satisfaction construct is one of them which influenced by factors entertainments, destination attractions and atmosphere, accommodation, food, transportation services and shopping. This study also reveals that tourist perception, destination image and tourist satisfaction directly influence destination loyalty. Suanmali (2014) administered a study for identifying the major factors affecting tourist satisfaction. The major finding reveals that cost of staying act as the major influencing factors. The other significant factors are environment, hospitality, infrastructure, attraction and accessibility.

**METHODOLOGY:**

The purpose of this study is to identify the factors which affect visitors’ satisfaction in Paharpur Buddha Vihara. In order to make the research more accurate and to reach its objectives, the quantitative research approach was applied in this study. The study data was collected by using convenient sampling method of 200 respondents. The data obtained from the visitors who visited the Vihara at least for a single time. Total 28 variables are...
comprised the questionnaire. The questionnaires were developed from the major concepts of related literature and from the field study (Table 1(a) in appendix). All questions were based on seven point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 7, in which 1 is “very strongly disagree” and 7 is “very strongly agree”. Principle component factor analysis with varimax rotation (Table 4(d) in appendix) was performed on the survey data. Before that it was found that Kaiser Normalization Sample adequacy 0.730 was acceptable level. Principle components analysis (PCA) is the commonly used method for grouping the variables under few unrelated factors. Variables with a factor loading of higher than 0.6 are grouped under a factor. But only one factor loading 0.592 was considered for its importance with this study. A factor loading is the correlation between the original variable with the specific factor and the key to understand the nature of that particular factor (Dabasish, 2004). After deducting the loading below 0.6 in 6 iterations 5 factors and 15 attributes are finally found out of 28 variables.

**FINDINGS AND RESULTS:**

**Visitors Socio-Demographic Characteristics:** The socio-demographic characteristics and traveled behavior of the sample visitors are presented in Table 5(e) in appendix. The table shows that more than half (60%) of the respondents are aged between 21-30 Years and second one (20%) is less than 20 years. In terms of occupation, nearly half of the respondents are enrolling as student (43.5%), about quarter of the respondents are enrolling as Government employee (23.5%) and private organization employee (27%). The Table 5(e) also shows that majority of the respondents (61.5%) are in graduation level in terms of education and 17% have been completed this level that means they are in post-graduation level whereas 15% are in HSC level. In terms of respondents own or their parents’ income level, the respondents are so scattered such as 26% respondents income level are 20,001-25,000 tk., 20% are 10,001-20,000 tk., 11.5% are less than tk 10,000 and the 10.5% respondents income level is 30,001-35,000 tk.. As shown in the table majority respondents are male (57.5%) and unmarried (59%).

The respondents are come from different divisions- Rangpur (28%), Dhaka (24.5%), Rajshahi (18%), Barisal (12.5%), Khulna and Sylhet (7.5%) for visiting this archeological site. In case of the question, how many times visited in this place, maximum respondents (69%) said that they have visited 2-5 times and 31% visited more than 5 times. In the last question of the questionnaire about visiting partner, half (51%) of the respondents said that they enjoy to visit with friends and 36.5% with family. We can conclude in this point that people enjoy to visit in FnF (Friends and Family) way. In the analysis of respondents’ socio demographic profile, it is found that young generation has deep passion on visiting different tour destination and they want to visit in the HSC to Graduation level. In addition visitors are said that they visited Paharpur Buddha Vihara repeatedly. Soit may said that the visitors are satisfied as they are visited the destination repeatedly. It is rational that any person who is not satisfied about any destination, he/she will not visit the destination repeatedly.

**Influential factors for Visitor Satisfaction (Exploratory Factors Analysis)**

The study result show exploratory factors which affect visitors’ satisfaction of Paharpur Buddha Vihara. The variables which are highly related within the group are considered within the factor. The study with 15 variables and 5 factors has been used until reaching the study objectives. The factor analysis result show that five factors influence on visitor satisfaction. The factors are: Destination Attraction, Safety- Security, Favorable Environment, Food Attraction and Cost (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Factors that influence on visitor satisfaction

| Factor No. | Factors Name           | Eigen Value | Variance (%) | Cumulative Variance (%) |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| 1          | Destination Attraction | 3.036       | 20.240       | 20.240                  |
| 2          | Safety- Security       | 2.761       | 18.410       | 38.650                  |
| 3          | Favorable Environment  | 1.216       | 8.108        | 46.758                  |
| 4          | Food Attraction        | 1.171       | 7.804        | 54.561                  |
| 5          | Cost                   | 1.088       | 7.250        | 61.812                  |
The above influencing factors explain 61.81% variance of collected data set. The most important factor that influence on visitor satisfaction is destination attraction. The Eigen Value of this factor is 3.036 with 20.24% of variance. The second most important factor is safety-security at the destination, which has the Eigen value 2.761 with 18.41% of variance. The third important factor that plays important role to influence on visitor satisfaction is favorable environment bearing Eigen value 1.216 with variance 8.108% followed by food attraction and cost with 1.171 and 1.088 Eigen value and variance 7.804% and 7.250%.

From the output of rotated component matrix (Table 4(d) in appendix) it is found that factor one Destination Attraction leads the variables Attractive archaeological symbol with factor loading 0.774 which indicates higher level of correlation of the variables with factors.

Table 2: Destination attraction

| Variables Name               | Factors Loading |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Attractive archaeological symbol | 0.774          |
| Wonderful diversity in historical structure (church, castle, temple) | 0.766          |
| Attractive Buddhist stupa in the center | 0.712          |
| Great historical importance of the destination | 0.697          |
| Attractive architectural beauty of the destination | 0.592          |

The second highest correlation of the variable with factor is Wonderful diversity in historical structure with loading 0.766 which indicates that structural beauty of historical architecture influence on visitor satisfaction. More or less for this reason, we notice lots of picture or selfi in front of Paharpur Buddha Vihara, Tazmohal and other attractive architectural sites. Like these it is observed that variables: Attractive Buddhist stupa in the center with loading 0.712, Great historical importance of the destination 0.697, and Attractive architectural beauty of the destination factor loading 0.592 have the good correlation with the factor that indicate visitor satisfaction is influenced by these attributes. Visitors are influenced by this factor for their curiosity mind.

By nature, people are little bit weak to know the history and culture. Archaeological tourist destinations provide the opportunity to know about the glorious history and culture and visitors visit the destination for this attraction. This outcome is supported by many previous researchers (Al-Ababneh, 2013; Valle et al., 2006; Khuong & Quyen, 2016; Shahrivar, 2012; Rajes, 2013; and Suanmali, 2014).

The second most important factor which influence on visitor satisfaction is safety security of the destination which lead the variables neat and clean destination with loading 0.754, safety food served at the destination with loading 0.737, non-smoking place loading 0.711 and up to mark security of the destination with loading 0.680 (Table 3).

Table 3: Safety-security

| Variables Name               | Factors Loading |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Neat and clean destination   | 0.754           |
| Safety food at the destination | 0.737          |
| Non-smoking place            | 0.711           |
| Up to mark security of the destination | 0.680         |

Actually visitors do not want to visit unsecure and unsafe place. If we observe about visitors arrival in our country after the 01 July 2016 (unwanted terrorist attack at Holly Artizen Restaurant), we notice that the tourist arrival are dramatically decreased. In 2014, UNESCO declared world heritage site Palmyra in Iraqis now out of tourist which attacked by Islamic State (IS) militant group in 2013. The outcome is also supported by many previous researchers (Vetitnev et al., 2013; Al-Ababneh, 2013; Valle et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2010; Khuong & Quyen, 2016; and Rajes, 2013).

Table 4: Favorable environment

| Variables Name               | Factors Loading |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Sound, noiseless & quiet place | 0.772           |
| Spacious and chaos free roads facilities | 0.731          |

The factor favorable environment is highly correlated with the variables. This factor plays important role to visitor satisfaction for taking travel decision. Most of the visitors come to visit the
destination with family, friends and relatives and they want relax and comfortable environment. Favorable environment ensures visitor satisfaction through calm and chaos free atmosphere. This factor consist of two variables name sound, noiseless and quite place loading 0.772 and spacious and chaos free roads facilities loading 0.731 (Table 4). The outcome is also supported by many previous researchers like Valle et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2010; Rajes, 2013; and Suanmali, 2014.

Table 5: Food attraction

| Variables Name                  | Factors Loading |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Available local foods at the restaurant | 0.744           |
| Available Preferable food       | 0.632           |

It is found that food attraction is correlated with the variables available local foods at the restaurant loading 0.744 and available preferable foods loading 0.632. Every area of any country is enriched with famous local foods. In Bangladesh, Natore district is famous for Kaca Golla, Bografor Yogurt, Netrokona district for Pillow Sweet. Alike Rajshahi region is famous for KalaiRuti.

Visitors who visited in a specific destination or area taste local foods of that area. Availability of local and preferable foods can ensure visitor satisfaction. This factor is supported by different previous studies (Valle et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2010; Rajes, 2013; Shahrivar, 2012; and Huh, 2002).

Table 6: Cost

| Variables Name                  | Factors Loading |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Reasonable food price           | 0.699           |
| Affordable transportation cost  | 0.697           |

This factor consists of two attributes which are highly correlated with the factor namely reasonable food price at the destination loading 0.699 and affordable transportation cost loading 0.697 (Table 6). In tourism most of the visitors spend their pocket money for mental satisfaction and they do not want to spend in unfruitful or useless means (way). For this, visitors consciously evaluate price and cost of any particular destination. This factor is supported by different tourism related studies (Vetitnev et al., 2013; Thiumsak and Ruangkanjanases, 2016; Ahmed et al., 2010; Shahrivar, 2012; and Suanmali, 2014).

**DISCUSSION:**

This Paragraph is briefly addressed the main findings of the study. The study has been identified 5 influencing factors of visitor satisfaction. In this study, contributors have also considered several leading variables which influence visitor satisfaction. Out of twenty eight variables fifteen variables like- Attractive archaeological symbol, Wonderful diversity in historical structure (church, castle, temple), Attractive Buddhist stupa in the center, Great historical importance of the destination, Attractive architectural beauty of the destination, Neat and clean destination, Safety food at the destination, Non-smoking place, Up to mark security of the destination, Sound, noiseless &quite place, Spacious and chaos free roads facilities, Available local foods at the restaurant, Available Preferable food, Reasonable food price and Affordable transportation cost were considered as leading variables. It is also found that archaeological attraction is the prime factor that correlated with variables. The other factors are safety-security, favorable environment, food attraction and cost. It was observed from the tourism and satisfaction related literature if visitors get the expected services after visiting any destination, they become highly satisfied and have made revisit intention.

**Implications of the Research Findings**

This study has been focused on identification of different factors and variables influencing visitor satisfaction. Accordingly, the managerial implications of this study are more focused on a discussion of this finding, rather than focusing on a discussion of the influence of the perceived tourism development. The research findings may help tourism planners, developers and policy makers to understand what the key tourism players (visitors) prefer to develop in tourism attractions/resources and to plan and implement successful competitive strategies. The results are likely to help tourism stakeholders and marketers to collect information and plan appropriate competitive strategies based on the mentioned five factors, which they prefer to develop. The Archeological attraction, safety-security, favorable environment, local food attraction and cost of services might be recommended as
specific marketing plans for destination competitive strategies. These marketing strategies may enable tourism destinations to achieve a maximum correlation with visitors’ demand to meet their wants and needs. However the archaeological tourism is little bit different from general tourism, the managers will get proper idea from this study about the way to satisfy visitors of archaeological tourism. More specific implication, this study may be helpful to the management authority of Paharpur Buddha Vihara to take decision according to the identifying factors which affect visitor satisfaction. This study will also helpful to rethink them to formulate future plan for the archaeological sites. Tourism industry will get some idea relevant to visitor satisfaction towards archaeological tourism and it will be helpful for them to conduct tourism business. Again, students may get idea from the study about systematic quantitative analysis and decision making.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:
The main objective of this study was to identify factors influencing visitor satisfaction. In order to achieve the study objective, the study explores and adopts 5 exploratory factors. These are Archeological attraction, safety-security, favorable environment, Local food attraction and cost of services. The factors are highly related with some influential variables like Attractive archaeological symbol, Neat and clean destination, Up to mark security of the destination, Sound ,noiseless &quite place, Available local foods at the restaurant, and Reasonable food price. Intensive literature review and field study help to explore the factors and variables. The factor archaeological attraction is considered as the most influential factor by the visitors of Paharpur Buddha Vihara. The most attractive factors of any archaeological sites are its history, sophisticated design and architectural beauty. The Vihara consists all of these. So the authority should preserve different archaeological sites for attracting visitor. Besides safety security must be considered as the most influential factor. Law enforces agencies should present and run campaign after some interval which will increase visitor’s confidence level. Cost of services should make reasonable for the visitors. As maximum visitors of this destination are the students, different discount or incentives may motivate them to visit such type of destinations. Favorable environment makes favorability to tourism. As earlier mentioned, visitors spend for mental peace. Thus they like peaceful, noiseless, calm environment. So destination authority should be concern making environment favorable to the visitors. The local transportation facilities and cost should be developed and make safe. For developing the tourism industry in Bangladesh, the authority should increase the number of offers and widen the extent of services factors for the visitors. Tour operators both in private and public sectors should offer alternative packages for tour programs. The tourism development related people should arrange some tourism components based on nature, traditions and culture. Above all, the specific factors that explored through literature review and field study must be managed by the destination operators for highest visitor satisfaction.

Limitations and further Research
This study can be considered as a useful information and guidance for tourism related decisions. However the research has some limitations. Firstly, this study conducted by applying only 15 variables in the exploratory phase. There may have other variables in other context. Secondly, a sample of 200 visitors and only one destination was considered for this study which might not enough for generalization of archaeological tourism. Thirdly, in current tourism markets any destination need to pay more attention to advanced technologies for delivering services to the tourist effectively and efficiently, which is absolutely ignored. Therefore, further studies may address visitor satisfaction variables that include information technology. Fourthly, the influential factors were formed based on authors’ judgment with supports of literature and field study which may not always rational. Finally we have identified five factors influencing visitor satisfaction but cannot say which attribute or variable is significant for visitor satisfaction. Analysis of variance can help to overcome this limitation where Duncans’ Multiple Range test can help for actual prediction, which is ignored here. Therefore future research may be conducted by considering these limitations.
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APPENDIX:

Table 1(a): Review of related literatures

| Factors & Variables                          | Field Study | Literature Support |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Archaeological symbol attracts me           | √           | ×                  |
| Buddhist stupa in the centre attracts       | √           | ×                  |
| Architectural beauty                        | √           |                    |
| Wonderful diversity in historical structure (church, castle, temple) | ×           | Al-Ababneh (2013); Valle et al. (2006); Khuong & Quyen (2016); Shahrivar (2012); Rajes (2013); and Suanmali (2014). |
| Great historical importance                 | ×           |                    |
| The destination is neat and clean           | √           | ×                  |
| It is non-smoking place                     | √           |                    |
| Up to the mark security in destination      | ×           | Vetitnev et al., 2013; Al-Ababneh, 2013; Valle et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2010; Khuong & Quyen, 2016; and Rajes, 2013. |
| Local foods are available at the restaurant | √           |                    |
| Preferable food are available               | ×           |                    |
| Sound, noiseless & quite place              | √           | ×                  |
| Spacious and chaos free roads facilities    | √           |                    |
| Affordable transportation cost              | ×           | Vetitnev et al., 2013; Thiumsak and Vetitnev et al., 2013; Thiumsak and Ruangkanjanuses, 2016; Ahmed et al., 2010; Shahrivar, 2012; and Suanmali, 2014. |
| Reasonable price charges at the destination | √           |                    |

Table 2(b): Factors that influence visitor satisfaction

| Factor No | Factors Name                                      | Eigen Value | Variance (%) | Cumulative Variance (%) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| 1         | Archaeological Attraction                         | 3.036       | 20.240       | 20.240                  |
| 2         | Safety- Security                                  | 2.761       | 18.410       | 38.650                  |
| 3         | Favorable Environment                             | 1.216       | 8.108        | 46.758                  |
| 4         | Local Food Attraction                             | 1.171       | 7.804        | 54.561                  |
| 5         | Cost of the services                              | 1.088       | 7.250        | 61.812                  |

Table 3(c): Reliability co-efficient

| KMO and Bartlett's Test |                             |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Kaiser-Meyer- Olkin     | 0.730                       |
| Bartlett's Test of Sphericity | Approx. Chi-Square 662.793 |
| df                      | 105                         |
| Sig.                    | 0.000                       |

Table 4(d): Rotated component matrix and total variance explained

| Attributes                                      | Component |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
|                                               | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  |
| Archaeological symbol attracts me to visit the destination | 0.774 | -0.040 | -0.032 | 0.049 | 0.062 |
| The destination has wonderful diversity in historical structure (church, castle, temple) | 0.766 | 0.039 | 0.066 | 0.102 | 0.076 |
| Buddhist stupa in the center attracts me to visit the destination | 0.712 | -0.060 | 0.107 | -0.023 | 0.024 |
| This destination has a great historical importance | 0.697 | -0.103 | 0.065 | -0.039 | 0.103 |
| Architectural beauty of the destination attracts me | 0.592 | 0.009 | 0.445 | -0.066 | -0.181 |
| The destination is neat and clean               | -0.105 | 0.754 | -0.073 | -0.304 | 0.013 |
| Safety food are served at the destination       | -0.006 | 0.737 | -0.070 | 0.147 | 0.218 |
It is non-smoking place

Security of the destination is up to the mark for visitor

It is sound, noiseless & quite place

Spacious and chaos free roads facilities

Local foods are available at the restaurant

Varieties of food

Reasonable price charges at the destination for food and other recreation

Transportation cost is affordable to visit the destination

Eigen Value

% of Variance

Cumulative %

Notes: Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. A Rotation converged in 6 iterations. 5 Components extracted

Table 5(e): Demographic profile of respondents

| Demographic Characteristics       | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| **Age of Respondent**            |           |             |
| Less than 20 Yrs.                 | 40        | 20.0        |
| 21–30 Yrs.                       | 120       | 60.0        |
| 31–40 Yrs.                       | 26        | 13.0        |
| 41–50 Yrs.                       | 5         | 2.5         |
| 51 -60 Yrs.                      | 8         | 4.0         |
| 60 Yrs. or more                  | 1         | 0.5         |
| **Profession**                   |           |             |
| Student                           | 87        | 43.5        |
| Govt. Employee                    | 47        | 23.5        |
| Private Organization Employee    | 54        | 27.0        |
| Housewife                         | 8         | 4.0         |
| Business Man                      | 4         | 2.0         |
| **Education**                    |           |             |
| SSC Level                         | 10        | 5.0         |
| HSC Level                         | 30        | 15.0        |
| Graduation Level                 | 123       | 61.5        |
| Post-Graduation Level            | 34        | 17.0        |
| More                              | 3         | 1.5         |
| **Income Level**                 |           |             |
| Less than Tk.10,000               | 23        | 11.5        |
| 10,001 – 20,000                  | 40        | 20.0        |
| 20,001 - 25,000                  | 52        | 26.0        |
| 25,001 - 30,000                  | 18        | 9.0         |
| 30,001 - 35,000                  | 21        | 10.5        |
| 35,001 - 40,000                  | 17        | 8.5         |
| 40,001 – 45000                   | 18        | 9.0         |
| 45001-50000                      | 7         | 3.5         |
| 50,000 or More                   | 4         | 2.0         |
| **Gender**                       |           |             |
| Male                              | 115       | 57.5        |
| Female                           | 85        | 42.5        |
| **Marital Status**               |           |             |
| Unmarried                         | 118       | 59.0        |
| Married                           | 82        | 41.0        |
| **Division**                     |           |             |
| City       | Count | Percentage |
|------------|-------|------------|
| Rajshahi   | 36    | 18.0       |
| Rangpur    | 56    | 28.0       |
| Dhaka      | 49    | 24.5       |
| Khulna     | 14    | 7.0        |
| Chittagong | 5     | 2.5        |
| Sylhet     | 15    | 7.5        |
| Barisal    | 25    | 12.5       |

### How Many Times Visited This Place

| How Many Times | Count | Percentage |
|----------------|-------|------------|
| 2-5 times      | 138   | 69.0       |
| 5 or more times| 62    | 31.0       |

### Visited With

| Visited With | Count | Percentage |
|--------------|-------|------------|
| Friends      | 102   | 51.0       |
| Family       | 73    | 36.5       |
| Colleague    | 18    | 9.0        |
| Others       | 7     | 3.5        |
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