PATHOLOGICAL FRACTURES DUE TO BONE METASTASES FROM LUNG CANCER: RISK FACTORS AND SURVIVAL

INTRODUCTION

Introduction: Pathological fractures are frequent skeletal-related events among lung cancer patients, which result in high morbidity and decreased overall survival and make operative treatment decisions challenging. Objectives: To identify risk factors associated with the occurrence of pathological fractures in patients with lung cancer and to determine survival. Methods: We conducted a retrospective cohort study with 407 lung carcinoma patients diagnosed between 2006 and 2015. The prevalence of bone metastases and pathological fractures was calculated. Statistical analysis was conducted using a chi-squared test, and the odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were calculated. Overall survival was determined using the Kaplan-Meier method and differences were compared using the log-rank test. Results: The prevalence of bone metastases and pathological fractures was 28.2% (n = 115) and 19.1% (n = 22), respectively. Pathological fractures were more frequent among patients with bone metastases at the time of diagnosis of lung cancer (24.7% [n = 20] vs. 5.9% [n = 2]; p < 0.05). The median overall survival following the diagnosis of lung cancer, bone metastases, and pathological fracture was 6, 4, and 2 months, respectively. Conclusions: Pathological fracture was associated with synchronous bone metastases and overall survival times were considerably reduced. Level of Evidence IV, Case Series.
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RESUMO

Introdução: Fratura patológica é um evento esquelético frequente em pacientes com câncer de pulmão, resultando em alta morbidade e sobrevida global reduzida que torna a decisão de tratamento cirúrgico desafiadora. Objetivos: Identificar fatores de risco associados à ocorrência de fraturas patológicas em pacientes com câncer de pulmão e determinar a sobrevida. Métodos: Conduzimos um estudo retrospectivo de coorte com 407 pacientes diagnosticados com carcinoma pulmonar entre 2006 e 2015. A prevalência de metástase óssea e fratura patológica foi calculada. Análise estatística foi conduzida usando o teste X2, e razão de chances e intervalo de confiança de 95% foi calculado. A sobrevida global foi determinada usando o método de Kaplan-Meier e as diferenças foram comparadas usando o teste do log-rank. Resultados: A prevalência de metástases ósseas e fraturas patológicas foi de 28,2% (n = 115) e 19,1% (n = 22), respectivamente. Fraturas patológicas foram mais frequentes em pacientes com metástases ósseas ao diagnóstico do câncer de pulmão (24,7% [n = 20] vs. 5,9% [n = 2]; p < 0.05). A sobrevida global média após o diagnóstico do câncer de pulmão, da metástase óssea e da fratura patológica foram 6, 4 e 2 meses, respectivamente. Conclusão: Fratura patológica foi associada à metástase óssea sincrônica e a sobrevida global consideravelmente reduzida. Nível de Evidência IV. Série de Casos.
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INTRODUCTION

The bone represents one of the most frequent sites of metastases from lung cancer, occurring in approximately 15–40% of patients.1 Skeletal-related events (SREs) are prevalent among these patients, resulting in high morbidity and a reduced quality of life.2,3 Despite the negative impact of bone metastases (BMs) on lung cancer prognosis, the unpredictability of survival makes an indication of palliative surgery challenging. Therefore, the elucidation of factors that can determine which patients will survive for a longer or shorter time is fundamental in guiding optimal treatment decisions. In previous studies conducted by Oliveira et al., adenocarcinoma was associated with the higher risk of developing BMs.4,5 Therefore, we postulated that lung cancer histological subtype could also be correlated with the occurrence of PFs. In this study, we aimed to identify risk factors associated with the occurrence of PFs in patients with BMs from lung cancer and to assess the impact of this SRE
on survival. This analysis can support the decision to surgically treat these patients, or not, and identify in which patient palliative surgery would be better indicated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The medical records of 413 patients who had been diagnosed with malignant primary lung tumors at our institution between 2006 and 2015 were retrospectively evaluated. Inclusion criteria included a pathological confirmation of lung carcinoma and complete tumor staging data. Lung carcinomas were stratified based on the World Health Organization’s classification system: adenocarcinoma (ADC), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), large-cell carcinoma (LCC), NSCLC not otherwise specified (NOS), and SCLC. Events of interest included BMs and PF occurrence, and death. BMs were diagnosed by histopathological examination or using Technetium-99m bone scintigraphy with two additional imaging modalities (plain films and computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging). BMs and PFs were classified, according to the time of diagnosis of the primary tumor, as either synchronous or metachronous. Collected variables included age, sex, smoking status, histological subtype, metastatic sites, a PF, the time of diagnosis of BMs and PFs in relation to the time of diagnosis of the primary tumor, and survival. Patients diagnosed with a second malignant primary tumor who had an unknown date of death were excluded from survival analysis. The minimum follow-up period following a diagnosis of BMs was 24 months, excluding patients with a survival of < 24 months. The proportions of the variables among patients who developed or did not develop a PF were compared using a chi-squared test. The relationship between the variables and the occurrence of a PF was also evaluated using odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Overall survival (OS) and associations with the variables were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method. Differences between patients who developed or did not develop a PF, in addition to a synchronous or metachronous PF, were evaluated using the log-rank test. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows, software version 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study protocol was approved by the appropriate Institutional Review Board committee (protocol number 113/11). All study participants provided written informed consent and research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

RESULTS

Among 413 lung cancer patients, 407 (98.5 %) who met the inclusion criteria for histological subtype were analyzed. The patients’ clinicopathological characteristics are summarized in Table 1, and a cohort diagram is presented in Figure 1. ADC was the most prevalent histological subtype (46.7%; n = 190), followed by SCC (25.1%; n = 102). The prevalence of BMs was 28.3% (n = 115). Synchronous BMs occurred significantly more frequently than metachronous BMs (19.9% [n = 81] vs. 8.4% [n = 34]; p < 0.01). In total, 79 patients experienced 122 SREs, with an overall prevalence of 68.7% among patients with BMs. Radiotherapy was the most frequent SRE (60.7%; n = 74), followed by PF (19.1%; n = 22), spinal cord compression (9.0%; n = 11), surgical treatment for BMs (6.6%; n = 8), and hypercalcemia (5.7%; n = 7) (Figure 1). PF fracture was more common among ADC patients (23.9%; n = 16). The Figure 2 shows the prevalence of PFs according to lung cancer histology. Among 115 patients with BMs, 305 BMs and 22 PFs occurred. The most frequent site of BMs and PFs included the spine (32.1%; n = 98) and humerus (36.4%; n = 8) (Figure 3).

To evaluate the relationship between the patients’ clinicopathological characteristics and the occurrence of PFs, we compared the prevalence of histological subtype, smoking, synchronous or metachronous BMs, and the location of BMs in patient groups with or without PFs (Table 3). Among patients who developed a PF, the prevalence of ADC, SCC, LCC, NSCLC, and SCLC was 72.8% (n = 16), 4.5% (n = 1), 4.5% (n = 1), 9.1% (n = 2), and 9.1% (n = 2), respectively. The ORs and 95% CIs are displayed in Table 3. Smoking was significantly associated with the occurrence of a PF. The prevalence of smoking in patients with a PF was substantially higher than the prevalence of smoking in patients without a PF (95.5% [n = 21] vs. 74.2% [n = 69]; p < 0.05).

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of different histological subtypes of lung cancer in patients with or without bone metastases and pathological fractures.

| Characteristic | Lung cancer patients | Lung cancer patients | Lung cancer patients | Lung cancer patients | Lung cancer patients |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                | cohort overall       | without bone metastasis | with bone metastasis | without pathological fracture | with pathological fracture |
| N = 407        | N = 292              | N = 115              | N = 93               | N = 22               |
| Mean age (range) | 63.4 years (32-87) | 65.7 years (42-84) | 62.6 years (32-87) | 63.6 years (32-83) | 64.3 years (49-87) |
| Gender         |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Male           | 250                  | 61.4                 | 175                  | 59.3                 | 75                   | 65.2                 | 61                   | 65.6                 | 14                   | 63.6                 |
| Female         | 157                  | 38.6                 | 117                  | 40.7                 | 40                   | 34.8                 | 32                   | 34.4                 | 8                    | 36.4                 |
| Smoking        |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Never smoked   | 55                   | 13.5                 | 30                   | 10.3                 | 25                   | 21.7                 | 24                   | 25.8                 | 1                    | 4.5                  |
| < 40 packs/year| 96                   | 23.6                 | 63                   | 21.6                 | 33                   | 28.7                 | 24                   | 25.8                 | 9                    | 41.0                 |
| ≥ 40 packs/year| 256                  | 62.9                 | 199                  | 68.1                 | 57                   | 49.6                 | 45                   | 48.4                 | 12                   | 54.5                 |
| Histology      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| ADC            | 190                  | 46.7                 | 123                  | 42.1                 | 67                   | 58.3                 | 51                   | 54.8                 | 16                   | 72.8                 |
| SCC            | 102                  | 25.1                 | 87                   | 29.8                 | 15                   | 13.0                 | 14                   | 15.1                 | 1                    | 4.5                  |
| LCC            | 11                   | 2.7                  | 9                    | 3.1                  | 2                    | 1.8                  | 1                    | 1.1                  | 1                    | 4.5                  |
| NOS/NSCLC      | 56                   | 13.7                 | 40                   | 13.7                 | 16                   | 13.9                 | 14                   | 15                   | 2                    | 9.1                  |
| SCLC           | 48                   | 11.8                 | 33                   | 11.3                 | 15                   | 13.0                 | 13                   | 14                   | 2                    | 9.1                  |

ADC: adenocarcinoma; LCC: large cell carcinoma; NOS: not otherwise specified; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC: small cell lung cancer.
The prevalence of a diaphyseal PF (36.0 %) was significantly higher than that of a metaphyseal PF (9.5 %), demonstrating an increased risk of a PF in the diaphyseal region (OR: 5.24, 95 % CI: 1.15–23.8; p < 0.01). PFs were more frequently observed in patients with synchronous BMs (24.7 %) compared to patients with metachronous BMs (5.9 %) (OR: 5.24, 95 % CI: 1.15–23.8; p < 0.05). The primary treatment method for PFs was non-operative, based on immobilization and radiation. Surgical treatment was performed in 8 patients (36.3 %) through internal fixation with an intramedullary nail (22.7 %; n = 5) or megaprosthesis replacement (13.6 %; n = 3). The sample size for analysis of OS was 95. Twenty patients (17.4 %) were excluded due to the diagnosis of a second malignant primary tumor (10.4 %; n = 12) or as a result of an unknown date of death (7.0 %; n = 8). The median OS times following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and PF were 6 months, 4 months, and 2 months, respectively (Table 4). Kaplan-Meier curves with 95 % CIs are presented in Figure 4. Median OS times following a diagnosis of BMs were compared between patient groups who developed or did not develop a PF. The median OS times were 7.4 months and 6.4 months, respectively, with no statistical significance (p > 0.05). The median OS times of patients with synchronous or metachronous PFs were 3.6 months and 10.7 months, respectively, with no statistical significance (p > 0.05).
Table 2. Analysis of histological subtype, synchronous bone metastases, smoking, and bone location as factors associated with pathological fractures in lung cancer patients.

| Pathological Fracture | Yes | No | OR (95%) | p-value |
|-----------------------|-----|----|----------|---------|
| Histology             |     |    |          |         |
| ADC                   | 16  | 51 | 2.19     | 0.125   |
| SCC                   | 1   | 14 | 0.26     | 0.188   |
| LCC                   | 1   | 1  | 4.38     | 0.262   |
| NOS/NSCLC             | 2   | 14 | 0.56     | 0.467   |
| SCLC                  | 2   | 13 | 0.61     | 0.540   |
| Bone Metastasis       |     |    |          |         |
| Synchronous           | 20  | 61 | 5.08     | 0.035   |
| Metachronous          | 2   | 32 | 0.99     | 0.344   |
| Smoking               |     |    |          |         |
| Yes                   | 21  | 69 | 7.7      | 0.029   |
| No                    | 1   | 24 | 0.45     | 0.258   |
| Location              |     |    |          |         |
| Diaphyseal            | 9   | 16 | 2.25     | 0.005   |
| Metaphyseal           | 6   | 55 | 7.75     | 0.005   |

ADC: adenocarcinoma, SCC: squamous cell carcinoma, LCC: large cell carcinoma; NOS: not otherwise specified; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer, SCLC: small cell lung cancer.

Table 3. Analysis of overall survival following a diagnosis of lung cancer, bone metastases, and pathological fracture.

| Survival in months after | N | Lung cancer | Bone metastases | Pathological fracture |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Minimum                  | 95| 95          | 15              |
| Maximum                  | 46| 38.5        | 24              |
| Median                   | 6 | 4           | 2               |
| Mean                     | 9.4| 6.6         | 4.7             |

did not. The OS time of patients with BMs from lung cancer was low in the present study. The median OS times following a diagnosis of lung cancer (6 months) and BMs (4 months) were shorter than the previous study. The median OS time observed following a diagnosis of lung cancer (6 months) in the present study was similar to the OS time predicted (7.2 months) in a study conducted by Wao et al. The present study also demonstrates similar findings to those reported in a French retrospective study in which the median survival time was estimated to be 5.8 months following a diagnosis of BMs. The median survival times following a diagnosis of lung cancer (6 months) and BMs (4 months) were similar. The high proportion of patients who had BMs at time of diagnosis of lung cancer (70.4%; n = 81) explains this small difference. The impact of PFS on the outcome of lung cancer patients may be even greater than predicted because the prevalence of PFS in lung cancer patients may be limited by reduced OS times. Therefore, with increasing survival times due to advances in treatment methods, this problem may become even more relevant. PFS usually occurs 5 months after a diagnosis of BMs and the median OS time after the first event in lung cancer is 5 months.
OS time following a diagnosis of a PF in the present study was even smaller (2 months), as expected, due to the high proportion of patients with advanced stage disease. This finding suggests that a PF was a terminal event in our cohort. In the present study, there was no statistically significant difference in the OS times following a diagnosis of BMs in lung cancer patients who developed or did not develop a PF, probably owing to the small number of patients (n = 15) who experienced this SRE. Conversely, we exhibited an opposing trend with a longer OS time in patients who experienced a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that eliminates the effect of the OS time prior to the development of BMs and supports the above is the analysis of patients who experienced a synchronous PF. The shortest OS time in the univariate analysis was recorded in this group. The median OS time in this group was 1.5 months, compared to 6.2 months in the patient group who experienced a metachronous PF. Synchronous PFs determine a similar OS time following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that eliminates the effect of the OS time prior to the development of BMs and supports the above is the analysis of patients who experienced a synchronous PF. The shortest OS time in the univariate analysis was recorded in this group. The median OS time in this group was 1.5 months, compared to 6.2 months in the patient group who experienced a metachronous PF. Synchronous PFs determine a similar OS time following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that eliminates the effect of the OS time prior to the development of BMs and supports the above is the analysis of patients who experienced a synchronous PF. The shortest OS time in the univariate analysis was recorded in this group. The median OS time in this group was 1.5 months, compared to 6.2 months in the patient group who experienced a metachronous PF. Synchronous PFs determine a similar OS time following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that eliminates the effect of the OS time prior to the development of BMs and supports the above is the analysis of patients who experienced a synchronous PF. The shortest OS time in the univariate analysis was recorded in this group. The median OS time in this group was 1.5 months, compared to 6.2 months in the patient group who experienced a metachronous PF. Synchronous PFs determine a similar OS time following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that eliminates the effect of the OS time prior to the development of BMs and supports the above is the analysis of patients who experienced a synchronous PF. The shortest OS time in the univariate analysis was recorded in this group. The median OS time in this group was 1.5 months, compared to 6.2 months in the patient group who experienced a metachronous PF. Synchronous PFs determine a similar OS time following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that eliminates the effect of the OS time prior to the development of BMs and supports the above is the analysis of patients who experienced a synchronous PF. The shortest OS time in the univariate analysis was recorded in this group. The median OS time in this group was 1.5 months, compared to 6.2 months in the patient group who experienced a metachronous PF. Synchronous PFs determine a similar OS time following a diagnosis of lung cancer, BMs, and a PF. This result may be absolutely justified by the paradoxical effect of the influence of OS on the patient’s PF risk. The presence of a PF effectively reduces the OS estimated after its occurrence. However, for comparison between the patient groups, the OS time following a diagnosis of BMs was used. Thus, the higher the OS time the longer the patient had to develop a PF and, therefore, the greater the risk of experiencing this SRE. The patient’s OS time before experiencing a PF is correlated with the risk of developing a SRE and is taken into consideration when estimating OS times. According to this method of comparison, when a patient survives with BMs for a longer period before the occurrence of a PF, the effect in reducing the OS time is even more underestimated. In contrast, patients who survive for a short time following a diagnosis of BMs may not have sufficient time to experience a PF. Therefore, the short OS time (2 months) following a diagnosis of a PF should be noted. One interesting observation that elimi-
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