Research Article

An Approach to the Geometric-Arithmetic Index for Graphs under Transformations’ Fact over Pendent Paths

Muhammad Asif,1 Hamad Almohamedh,2 Muhammad Hussain,1 Khalid M Alhamed,1,3 Abdulrazaq A. Almutairi,4 and Sultan Almotairi5

1Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Lahore 54000, Pakistan
2King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (KACST) Riyadh, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
3IT Programs Center, Faculty of IT Department, Institute of Public Administration, Riyadh 11141, Saudi Arabia
4Information and Computer Center, The Public Authority for Applied Education and Training, The Ministry of Education, Kuwait, Kuwait
5Department of Natural and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Community College, Majmaah University, Majmaah 11952, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Hamad Almohamedh; halmohamedh@kacst.edu.sa and Sultan Almotairi; almotairi@mu.edu.sa

Received 10 May 2021; Accepted 12 June 2021; Published 24 June 2021

Academic Editor: Muhammad Javaid

Copyright © 2021 Muhammad Asif et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Graph theory is a dynamic tool for designing and modeling of an interconnection system by a graph. The vertices of such graph are processor nodes and edges are the connections between these processors nodes. The topology of a system decides its best use. Geometric-arithmetic index is one of the most studied graph invariant to characterize the topological aspects of underlying interconnection networks or graphs. Transformation over graph is also an important tool to define new network of their own choice in computer science. In this work, we discuss transformed family of graphs. Let $\Gamma_{k,l}^{n}$ be the connected graph comprises by $k$ number of pendent path attached with fully connected vertices of the $n$-vertex connected graph $\Gamma$. Let $A_{\alpha}(\Gamma_{k,l}^{n})$ and $A_{\beta}(\Gamma_{k,l}^{n})$ be the transformed graphs under the fact of transformations $A_{\alpha}$ and $A_{\beta}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq l$, $0 \leq \beta \leq k - 1$, respectively. In this work, we obtained new inequalities for the graph family $\Gamma_{k,l}^{n}$ and transformed graphs $A_{\alpha}(\Gamma_{k,l}^{n})$ and $A_{\beta}(\Gamma_{k,l}^{n})$ which involve GA($\Gamma$). The presence of GA($\Gamma$) makes the inequalities more general than all those which were previously defined for the GA index. Furthermore, we characterize extremal graphs which make the inequalities tight.

1. Introduction

The advancement in technology mainly networking, computer, biological, and electrical networks made practicable the accurate data transfer within very small duration. The Internet, social media, biological, ecological, and neural networks are few examples of such networks. Telecommunication is based on interconnection networks which used to share data files. Similarly, data exchange using computing devices is also based on computer network through data linkage, optical fiber cable (OFC), and wireless media such as Wi-Fi. Different algorithms are used for directing, arranging/determining numerical calculations, and image processing. Multiprocessor interconnection networks (MINs) are used to design powerful microprocessors and memory chips [1, 2].

Graph theory provides a fundamental tool for designing and analyzing such networks. Naturally, the interconnection system is modeled by the graph with processor nodes as vertices and links between these nodes as edges of such graph. Graph theory and interconnection networks provide a thorough understanding of these interrelated topics through their topology. The topology of a graph provides information about the manner in which vertices joined in a graph. The topological indices are graph invariants used to study the topology of graphs. Other than computer
networks, graph theory is considered as a powerful tool in different areas of research, such as in coding theory, database management system, circuit design, secret sharing schemes, and theoretical chemistry [3]. The topological descriptors of several interconnection networks are already been computed in [4–6]. Along with interconnection networks, these invariants are equally important in chemical graph theory which deals with problems in chemistry using associated graph of chemical compounds [7].

The study of underlying structure using their graph with the help of graph invariants plays an important role in cheminformatics, pharmaceutical sciences, materials science, engineering, and so forth [8, 9]. Among theoretical molecular descriptors, topological indices have an impact in chemistry due to the prediction of physio-chemical properties of the underlying substance. Its role in the QSPR/ QSAR analysis to model physical and chemical properties of molecules is also remarkable [10–12]. Actually, topological indices are designed on the ground of transformation which characterizes its topology [13]. The first topological index, named Winner index, was proposed in 1947 by Winner [14]. It characterizes its topology [13]. The first topological index, named Winner index, was proposed in 1947 by Winner [14]. It

2 Complexity

Throughout this work, let graph $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ comprise with $n$-vertex simple connected graph $\Gamma$ along with $k$ pendent paths of length $l \geq 2$ attached with $v \in \Gamma$, having degree $d_v \geq 2$. The order of $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ is $n + kl$, size is $m + kl$, and $\deg_1 \leq \deg_2 \leq \deg_3 \leq \cdots \leq \Delta_{\Gamma} + 1$ is its degree sequence.

Let graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be with the degree of vertex $u \in \Gamma$ and $\delta_1 \leq \deg_u \leq \Delta_{\Gamma}$ and $\delta_k \leq \deg_v \leq \Delta_{\Gamma} + 1$ be the degrees of $v \in \Gamma$. For validity of our proved results, we defined the following list of useful graphs.

Type I: let $\delta_k \leq \deg_u \leq \Delta_{\Gamma}$, where $u \in V (\Gamma)$. $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ of type I is obtained by attaching pendent paths of length $l$ with vertices of degree $\deg_u \geq 2$ in such a way that the vertices with pendent path are adjacent to the vertices without pendent paths.

The graph of type I is shown in Figure 1(a).

Proposition 1. Let $x \geq 2$; then,

$$\frac{2\sqrt{x(x - 1)}}{2x - 1} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x(x + 1)}}{2x + 1}.$$  (3)

Proof. Let $x \geq 2$:

$$\frac{2\sqrt{x(x + 1)}}{2x + 1} - \frac{2\sqrt{x(x - 1)}}{2x - 1} = \frac{2\sqrt{x(x + 1)}(2x - 1) - 2\sqrt{x(x - 1)}(2x + 1)}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{x}[x(x + 1) + (x - 1)(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) - x\sqrt{x - 1} - (x + 1)\sqrt{x - 1}]}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)} \left[ x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} - \sqrt{x - 1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)} \left[ \sqrt{x + 1} - \sqrt{x - 1} \right] \geq 0.$$  (4)

GA has correlation coefficient of 0.972 with heat of formation of benzene hydrocarbons. Also, in case of “standard enthalpy of vaporization,” its accuracy is 9% more than the Randić index. Due to this reason, GA was studied more than all other indices in the last decade. The bonds and extremal characterization of graphs regarding the GA index were studied at some extent in [17–24]. It encouraged us to study the GA index for $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ and transformed graphs $A_\alpha (\Gamma_n^{kl})$ and $A_\delta (\Gamma_n^{kl})$ under the fact of transformations $A_\alpha$ and $A_\delta$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq l$ $0 \leq \beta \leq k - 1$, respectively. We characterize extremal graphs for all of these families of graphs.

2. Results and Discussion

Throughout this work, let graph $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ comprise with $n$-vertex simple connected graph $\Gamma$ along with $k$ pendent paths of length $l \geq 2$ attached with $v \in \Gamma$, having degree $d_v \geq 2$. The order of $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ is $n + kl$, size is $m + kl$, and $\deg_1 \leq \deg_2 \leq \deg_3 \leq \cdots \leq \Delta_{\Gamma} + 1$ is its degree sequence.

Let graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be with the degree of vertex $u \in \Gamma$ and $\delta_1 \leq \deg_u \leq \Delta_{\Gamma}$ and $\delta_k \leq \deg_v \leq \Delta_{\Gamma} + 1$ be the degrees of $v \in \Gamma$. For validity of our proved results, we defined the following list of useful graphs.

Type I: let $\delta_k \leq \deg_u \leq \Delta_{\Gamma}$, where $u \in V (\Gamma)$. $\Gamma_n^{kl}$ of type I is obtained by attaching pendent paths of length $l$ with vertices of degree $\deg_u \geq 2$ in such a way that the vertices with pendent path are adjacent to the vertices without pendent paths.

The graph of type I is shown in Figure 1(a).

Proposition 1. Let $x \geq 2$; then,

$$\frac{2\sqrt{x(x - 1)}}{2x - 1} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x(x + 1)}}{2x + 1}.$$  (3)

Proof. Let $x \geq 2$:

$$\frac{2\sqrt{x(x + 1)}}{2x + 1} - \frac{2\sqrt{x(x - 1)}}{2x - 1} = \frac{2\sqrt{x(x + 1)}(2x - 1) - 2\sqrt{x(x - 1)}(2x + 1)}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{x}[x(x + 1) + (x - 1)(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) - x\sqrt{x - 1} - (x + 1)\sqrt{x - 1}]}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)} \left[ x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} - \sqrt{x - 1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{(2x + 1)(2x - 1)} \left[ \sqrt{x + 1} - \sqrt{x - 1} \right] \geq 0.$$  (4)
The above calculations implies
\[
\frac{2\sqrt{x(x-1)}}{2x-1} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{x(x+1)}}{2x+1}.
\] (5)

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Theorem 1.} & \quad \text{Let graph } \Gamma_n^{k,l} \text{ comprise of } n \text{-vertex simple connected graph } \Gamma \text{ along with } k \text{ pendent paths of length } l \geq 2 \\
& \quad \text{attached with } v \in \Gamma \text{ of degree } d_v \geq 2, \text{ maximum degree } \Delta_{\Gamma} + 1, \\
& \quad \text{and minimum } \delta_{\Gamma}. \text{ Then,}
\end{align*}\]

\[
\text{Equality holds for graphs of type II. And,}
\]

\[\begin{align*}
\text{GA}(\Gamma_n^{k,l}) & \leq k \left[ \frac{2\sqrt{2} + 3l - 6}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}(\delta_{\Gamma} + 1)}{\delta_{\Gamma} + 3} + 2k\Delta_{\Gamma}^{3/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\Delta_{\Gamma} + 1} - \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{\Gamma}}}{\delta_{\Gamma} + \Delta_{\Gamma}} \right) \right] + \text{GA}(\Gamma).
\end{align*}\]

Equality holds for graph of type II.

Proof. Let a simple graph \( \Gamma \) be of order \( n \), size \( m \), maximum degree \( \Delta_{\Gamma} \), and minimum \( \delta_{\Gamma} \). \( \Gamma_n^{k,l} \) be the graph formed by \( k \) number of paths having length \( l \) pendent at distinct vertices \( u \in \Gamma \) such that \( 2 \leq \deg_u \leq \delta_{\Gamma} \). The geometric-arithmetic index of any graph \( \Gamma \) is

\[
\text{GA}(\Gamma) = \sum_{st \in E(\Gamma)} \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_u \deg_v}}{\deg_u + \deg_v}.
\]

The construction of \( \Gamma_n^{k,l}, l \geq 2 \) implies \( |E(\Gamma_n^{k,l})| = m + kl \) and for \( st \in E(\Gamma_n^{k,l}) \) (\( \deg_u + \deg_v \)) \in \( \{3, 4, \deg_u + 2, \deg_u + \deg_v, \deg_u + \deg_v + 1\} \).
\[ \text{GA}(f_n^{k,l}) = \sum_{\text{st are edges of } \Gamma} \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_v \deg_u}}{\deg_v + \deg_u} + \sum_{\text{st are edges of } \Gamma} \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_v \deg_u}}{\deg_v + \deg_u} \]

After simplification, we obtain

\[ \text{GA}(f_n^{k,l}) = \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\deg_v + 1)}{2 + (\deg_v + 1)} = \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\deg_v + 1)}{2 + (\deg_v + 1)} \in \mathbb{R} \]

The construction of \( \text{GA}(f_n^{k,l}) \) implies that the cardinality of \( A_j \) is \( k \), i.e., \( |A_j| = k \), \( |A_j| = k \), \( |A_{\deg_v + 1}| = k \), \( |A_{\deg_v + \deg_u + 1}| \leq k \Delta_t \), and \( |A_{\deg_v + \deg_u + 1}| \leq k \Delta_t \). The function \( f(x) = 2\sqrt{a^2 + x} \) is decreasing, where \( a \leq x \) is a constant. So, for \( \delta_t \), minimum degree of vertices of \( \Gamma \) and maximum degree \( \Delta_t \), we have

\[ \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\deg_v + 1)}{2 + (\deg_v + 1)} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\Delta_t + 1)}{2 + (\Delta_t + 1)} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\Delta_t + 1}}{2 + (\Delta_t + 1)} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\Delta_t + 1}}{2 + (\Delta_t + 1)} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\Delta_t + 1}}{2 + (\Delta_t + 1)} \geq \text{GA}(\Gamma) - \Delta_t k. \]

From equation (10), we have

\[ \text{GA}(f_n^{k,l}) \geq \frac{2k \sqrt{2}}{3} + k (l - 2) + \frac{2k \sqrt{2} (\Delta_t + 1)}{2 + (\Delta_t + 1)} + \frac{2\Delta_t k \sqrt{\delta_t (\Delta_t + 1)}}{\delta_t + \Delta_t + 1} \geq \text{GA}(\Gamma). \]

After simplification, we obtain

\[ \text{GA}(f_n^{k,l}) \geq k \left[ \frac{3(l - \Delta_t - 2)}{3} + 2\sqrt{\Delta_t} + 2\sqrt{\Delta_t + 1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Delta_t + 3} + \frac{\Delta_t \sqrt{\delta_t (\Delta_t + 1)}}{\delta_t + \Delta_t + 1} \right) \right] \geq \text{GA}(\Gamma). \]

Now, again set

\[ \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\deg_v + 1)}{2 + (\deg_v + 1)} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\delta_t + 1)}{2 + (\delta_t + 1)} \sum_{\text{st \in } A_{\deg_v + \deg_u + 1}} \frac{2\deg_v \deg_u}{\deg_v + \deg_u} + \sum_{\text{st \in } A_{\deg_v + \deg_u + 1}} \frac{2\deg_v \deg_u}{\deg_v + \deg_u} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2} (\Delta_t + 1) \Delta_t}{(\Delta_t + 1) + \Delta_t} + \text{GA}(\Gamma) - \frac{2k \Delta_t \sqrt{\delta_t \Delta_t}}{\delta_t + \Delta_t}, \]

which implies from Proposition 1 and the characteristics of \( f(x) = 2\sqrt{a^2 + x} + y \) in equation (10). We get the following inequality:

\[ \text{GA}(f_n^{k,l}) \leq \frac{2k \sqrt{2}}{3} + k (l - 2) + \frac{2k \sqrt{2} (\delta_t + 1)}{2 + (\delta_t + 1)} \frac{2\Delta_t \sqrt{\delta_t (\Delta_t + 1)}}{\delta_t + \Delta_t + 1} + \text{GA}(\Gamma) - \frac{2\Delta_t \sqrt{\delta_t \Delta_t}}{\delta_t + \Delta_t}. \]
After simplification, we obtain

\[
\text{GA}(\Gamma_{n}^{\pm}) \leq k \left[ \frac{2 \sqrt{2} + 3l - 6}{3} + \frac{2 \sqrt{2} (\delta_{r} + 1)}{\delta_{r} + 3} + 2k\Delta_{r}^{3/2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{r} + 1}}{2\Delta_{r} + 1} - \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{r}}}{\delta_{r} + \Delta_{r}} \right) \right] + \text{GA}(\Gamma). \quad (16)
\]

Inequalities (13) and (16) complete the proof.

Corollary 1 shows generalization of the above defined inequalities. One can get more inequalities of their desire by replacing GA(\Gamma) with already defined bonds of the GA index.

\[
\text{Corollary 1. Let graph } \Gamma_{n}^{\pm} \text{ comprise of } n \text{-vertex simple connected graph } \Gamma \text{ along with } k \text{ pendant paths of length } l \geq 2 \text{ attached with } v \in \Gamma \text{ of degree } d_{v} \geq 2, \text{ maximum degree } \Delta_{r} + 1, \text{ and minimum } \delta_{r}. \text{ Then,}
\]

\[
\text{Transformation A: let } w_{j} \in V(\Gamma), \text{deg}_{w_{j}} \geq 2, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n, \text{ and paths pendant at } w_{j} \text{ of the form } \{w_{j}u_{j}^{1}u_{j}^{2}u_{j}^{3}u_{j}^{4} \ldots u_{j}^{l}u_{j}^{l+1}\} \text{ comprise } \Gamma_{n}^{\pm}. \text{ Then,}
\]

\[
A(\Gamma_{n}^{\pm}) = 1_{n}^{k} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\{ u_{j}^{1}u_{j}^{2}u_{j}^{3}u_{j}^{4} \ldots u_{j}^{l-1}u_{j}^{l} \right\}
\]

\[
\text{The transformation A is shown in Figure 2.}
\]

In Theorem 2, we discuss the effect of transformation A over the GA index.

\[
\text{Theorem 2. Let graph } \Gamma_{n}^{\pm} \text{ comprise of } n \text{-vertex simple connected graph } \Gamma \text{ along with } k \text{ pendant paths of length } l \geq 2 \text{ attached with } v \in \Gamma \text{ of degree } d_{v} \geq 2, \text{ maximum degree of } v \in \Gamma^{\pm} \text{ is } \Delta_{r} + 1, \text{ and minimum } \delta_{r}. \text{ Then,}
\]

\[
\text{Equality holds for all graphs of type II:}
\]

\[
\text{GA(\Lambda_{n}(\Gamma_{n}^{\pm}))} \geq 2k\sqrt{\Delta_{r} + \alpha + 1} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\Delta_{r} + \alpha + 2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Delta_{r} + \alpha + 3} + \frac{\Delta_{r} \sqrt{\delta_{r}}}{(\Delta_{r} + 1 + \alpha) + \delta_{r}} \right] + \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + \text{GA}(\Gamma) + kl - k(2 + \alpha + \Delta_{r}). \quad (20)
\]

\[
\text{Equality holds for all graphs of type II:}
\]

\[
\text{GA(\Lambda_{n}(\Gamma_{n}^{\pm}))} \leq 2k\sqrt{\delta_{r} + \alpha + 1} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\delta_{r} + \alpha + 2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\delta_{r} + \alpha + 3} \right] + \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + k(l - 2 - \alpha) + \text{GA}(\Gamma) + 2k\Delta_{r}^{3/2} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{r} + 1}}{2\Delta_{r} + 1} - \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{r}}}{\delta_{r} + \Delta_{r}} \right]. \quad (21)
\]
Equality holds for all graphs of the type II and $\alpha = 0$.

Proof. Let a simple graph $\Gamma$ be of order $n$, size $m$, minimum degree $\delta \Gamma$, and maximum $\Delta \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma^k_l$ be the graph formed by $k$ number of paths of length $l$ pendant at distinct fully connected vertices of $\Gamma$. The geometric-arithmetic index of any graph $\Gamma$ is

$$GA(\Gamma) = \sum_{st \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_s \deg_t}}{\deg_s + \deg_t}$$

(22)

For $\alpha \leq l - 1$, the edge set of transformation $A$ as $A_n$, $\alpha \leq l - 1$, is partitioned as $E(\deg_s + \deg_t)$

$$E(\deg_s + \deg_t) \subseteq E(n, l) \in \{3, 4, \deg_s + \alpha + 2, \deg_s + \alpha + 3, \deg_s + \deg_t, \deg_s + \alpha + 1 + \deg_t\}$$

The construction of $\Gamma^k_l$, $l \geq 2$, implies $|E(\Gamma^k_l)| = m + kl$. After successive applications of transformation $A$ as $A_n$,

$$E(\deg_s + \deg_t) \subseteq E(\Gamma^k_l)$$

(23)

(24)
The cardinality of $A_3$ is $k$, i.e., $|E_3(A_3(\Gamma_{n,k}^{i,j}))|=k$, $|E_4(A_3(\Gamma_{n,k}^{i,j}))|=k(l-\alpha-2)$, where $\alpha$ is a constant. So, for $\delta^*_l$ minimum degree of $\Gamma$ and $\Delta^*_r$ maximum, for any graph,

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2\star(\deg_n+\alpha)}}{2+(\deg_n+\alpha)} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2\star(\Delta_r+\alpha)}}{2+(\Delta_r+\alpha)} \tag{25}$$

$$\sum_{st(A_{\deg_{u},\deg_v})} \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_{u}\cdot \Delta^*_r}}{\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r} \geq GA(\Gamma)-\Delta^*_r k.$$  

Substituting these changes in equation (24), we have the following inequality:

$$GA(A_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_{n,k}^{i,j})) \geq 2k\sqrt{\Delta^*_r+\alpha+1}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_r+\alpha+2} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta^*_r}}{\Delta^*_r+\alpha+3}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + GA(\Gamma) + kl - k(2+\alpha+\Delta^*_r). \tag{27}$$

Now, again from equation (24) and inequalities,

$$\sum_{st(A_{\deg_{u},\deg_v})} \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r}}{\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r} \geq GA(\Gamma)-\Delta^*_r \frac{\Delta^*_r}{\Delta^*_r+\Delta^*_r}.$$  

$$\frac{2\sqrt{\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r}}{1+(\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r)} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r}}{1+(\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r)}.$$  

$$2\Delta^*_r \sqrt{\Delta^*_r+1} \Delta^*_r \Delta^*_r \geq \sum_{st(A_{\deg_{u},\deg_v})} \frac{2\sqrt{(\deg_{u}+\alpha+1)\Delta^*_r}}{\Delta^*_r+\Delta^*_r}.$$  

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2\star(\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r)}}{2+(\deg_{u}+\Delta^*_r)} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2\star(\Delta^*_r+\alpha+1)}}{2+(\Delta^*_r+\alpha+1)}.$$  

$$GA(A_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_{n,k}^{i,j})) \leq \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} - \frac{2ak\sqrt{1\star(\Delta^*_r+\alpha+1)}}{1+(\Delta^*_r+\alpha+1)}$$

$$+ \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + GA(\Gamma) + kl - k(2+\alpha+\Delta^*_r). \tag{29}$$

After simplification, we obtain

$$GA(A_{\Gamma}(\Gamma_{n,k}^{i,j})) \geq 2k\sqrt{\Delta^*_r+\alpha+1}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_r+\alpha+2} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta^*_r}}{\Delta^*_r+\alpha+3}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + GA(\Gamma) + kl - k(2+\alpha+\Delta^*_r). \tag{27}$$

Inequalities (27) and (30) complete the proof.

Transformation $B$: let $\omega_i \in V(\Gamma)$, $\deg_{\omega_i} \geq 2$, for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$, and paths pendent at $\omega_j$ of the form $\{\omega_j, u_1^j, u_2^j, u_3^j, \ldots, u_{l-1}^j, u_l^j\}$ which comprises $\Gamma^{i,j}_n$. Then, for fixed vertex $\omega_1$,

$$B(\Gamma^{i,j}_n) = \Gamma^{i,j}_n - \{u_1^j, u_2^j, u_3^j, \ldots, u_{l-1}^j, u_l^j\}.$$  

$$+ \{\omega_1, u_1^j, u_2^j, u_3^j, \ldots, u_{l-1}^j, u_l^j\}.$$  

The transformation $B$ is shown in Figure 3 and $A^*_{\delta}$ shown in Figure 4.

Transformation $A^*_{\delta}$, let $0 \leq \alpha \leq l-1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq k-1$. The transformation $A^*_{\delta}$ is the composition of successive applications of transformation $A$ and $B$ as $A_\delta$ and $B_\delta$, respectively [27].

In Theorem 3, we discuss the effect of transformation $A^*_{\delta}$ over the GA index.  

**Theorem 3.** Let graph $\Gamma^{i,j}_n$ comprise of $n$-vertex simple connected graph $\Gamma$ along with $k$ pendant paths of length $l \geq 2$ attached with $v \in \Gamma$ of degree $d_v \geq 2$, maximum degree of $v \in \Gamma^{i,j}_n$ is $\Delta^*_r + 1$, and minimum $\delta^*_l$. Then,
\[
\begin{align*}
G_A(\mathcal{A}_n^B(\Gamma_n^{k,l})) & \geq 2(k - \beta - 1)\sqrt{\Delta_T + \alpha + 1}
\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_T + \alpha + 2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Delta_T + \alpha + 3} + \frac{\Delta_T \sqrt{\delta_T}}{\Delta_T + \alpha + 1 + \delta_T}\right) \\
& + 2\sqrt{\Delta_T + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)}
\left(\frac{\alpha (\beta + 1)}{1 + \Delta_T + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} + \frac{\sqrt{2} (\beta + 1)}{2 + \Delta_T + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)}\right) \\
& + \frac{\Delta_T \sqrt{(\Delta_T + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)) \delta_T}}{\delta_T + \Delta_T + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)}
+ k(I - 2 - \alpha) - \Delta_T (k - \beta) + \frac{2k \sqrt{2}}{3} + G_A(\Gamma),
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\text{GA}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) \leq 2(k - \beta - 1)\sqrt{\delta_1 + \alpha + 1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\delta_1 + \alpha + 2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\delta_1 + \alpha + 3} \right) + k(l - 2 - \alpha) + \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} \\
+ 2\sqrt{\delta_1 + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} \left( \frac{\alpha(\beta + 1)}{1 + \delta_1 + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}(\beta + 1)}{2 + \delta_1 + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} \right) \\
+ 2\Delta_1 \sqrt{\Delta_1} (k - \beta) \left( \frac{\Delta_1 + 1}{2\Delta_1 + 1} - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_1}}{\Delta_1 + \delta_1} \right) + \text{GA}(\Gamma).
\]

(32)

Equality holds for graph of the type II with \(a = 0\) and \(\beta = 0\).

**Proof.** Let a simple graph \(\Gamma\) of order \(n\), size \(m\), minimum degree \(\delta_1\), and maximum \(\Delta_1\). Let \(\Gamma_n^{l,k}\) be the graph formed by \(k\) number of paths of length \(l\) pendant at distinct fully connected vertices of \(\Gamma\). The geometric-arithmetic index of any graph \(\Gamma\) is

\[
E_3(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \deg_s = 1, \deg_t = 2\},
\]

\[
E_4(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \deg_s = 2\},
\]

\[
E_{\deg_s+\alpha+2}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \delta_1 \leq \deg_s = \deg_{bu} + \alpha + 1 \leq \Delta_1 + \alpha + 1, \deg_t = 1, E_{\deg_s+\alpha+3}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))\}
\]

\[
= \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \delta_1 \leq \deg_s = \deg_{bu} + \alpha + 1 \leq \Delta_1 + \alpha + 1, \deg_t = 2, E_{\deg_s+\alpha+3}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))\}
\]

(34)

\[
E_{\deg_s+\alpha+1+\deg_t}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \delta_1 \leq \deg_s = \deg_{bu} + \alpha + 1\},
\]

\[
E_{\deg_s+\alpha+1+\deg_t}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \delta_1 \leq \deg_s = \deg_{bu} + \alpha + 1\}.
\]

\[
E_{\deg_s+\alpha+1+\deg_t}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \delta_1 \leq \deg_s = \deg_{bu} + \alpha + 1\}.
\]

\[
E_{\deg_s+\alpha+1+\deg_t}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \{st \in \Gamma_n^{l,k}: \delta_1 \leq \deg_s = \deg_{bu} + \alpha + 1\}.
\]

\[
\text{GA}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k})) = \sum_{E \in \text{E}(\Gamma_n^{l,k})} \sum_{\deg_s + \deg_t} 2\sqrt{\deg_s \deg_t}.
\]

(35)

The cardinality of \(E_3\) is \(k\), i.e., \(|E_3(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))| = k\), \(|E_4(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))| = k(l - \alpha - 2)\), \(|E_{\deg_s+\alpha+2}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))| = \alpha(k - \beta - 1)\), \(|E_{\deg_s+\alpha+3}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))| = k - \beta - 1\), \(|E_{\deg_s+\alpha+1+\deg_t}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))| = \alpha(\beta + 1)\), and

\[
|E_{\deg_s+\alpha+1+\deg_t}(A^\alpha_n(1_{n}^{l,k}))| = \beta + 1.
\]

The function \(f(x) = 2\sqrt{a}x/a + x\) is decreasing, where \(a \leq x\) is a constant. So, for \(\delta_1\), minimum degree of \(\Gamma\) and \(\Delta_1\) maximum, we have...
Substituting these changes in equation (35), we obtained the following inequality:

\[
\sum_{st \in A_{d_{st} + deg_s}} \frac{2\sqrt{\text{deg}_s} + \text{deg}_t}{\text{deg}_s + \text{deg}_t} \geq \text{GA}(\Gamma') + \frac{2\Delta_{\Gamma}(k - \beta - 1)\sqrt{(\Delta_{\Gamma} + \alpha + 1)\delta_{\Gamma}}}{(\Delta_{\Gamma} + \alpha + 1) + \delta_{\Gamma}} + \frac{2\Delta_{\Gamma}\sqrt{(\Delta_{\Gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha))\delta_{\Gamma}}}{(\Delta_{\Gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)) + \delta_{\Gamma}} - \Delta_{\Gamma}(k - \beta).
\]
After simplification, we get the required result:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{GA}(A^{\beta}(1^{k,j})) & \geq 2(k - \beta - 1)\sqrt{\delta_1 + \alpha + 1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\Delta_1 + \alpha + 2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\Delta_1 + \alpha + 3} + \frac{\Delta_{\gamma} \sqrt{\delta_1}}{\Delta_1 + \alpha + 1 + \delta_{\gamma}}\right) + 2\sqrt{\Delta_{\gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} \\
& \times \left(\frac{\alpha(\beta + 1)}{1 + \Delta_{\gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} + \frac{\sqrt{2}(\beta + 1)}{2 + \Delta_{\gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)}\right) + 2\frac{\Delta_{\gamma} \sqrt{(\Delta_{\gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha))\delta_{\gamma}}}{\delta_{\gamma} + \Delta_{\gamma} + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} \\
& + k(l - 2 - \alpha) - \Delta_{\gamma} (k - \beta) + \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + \text{GA}(\Gamma).
\end{align*}
\]

Now, again substituting the following inequalities in equation (35),

\[
\begin{align*}
2\sqrt{2(\text{deg}_g + 1 + \alpha)} & \leq 2\sqrt{2(\delta_1 + 1 + \alpha)} \\
2\sqrt{2(\text{deg}_g + \beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} & \leq 2\sqrt{2(\delta_1 + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha))} \\
2\sqrt{2\text{deg}_g + \beta + 1)(1 + \alpha)} & \leq 2\sqrt{2(\delta_1 + (\beta + 1)(1 + \alpha))} \\
\sum_{\sigma \in A, \text{deg}_u, \text{deg}_v} \text{deg}_g & \leq \text{GA}(\Gamma) + \frac{2\Delta_{\gamma} (k - \beta - 1)\sqrt{(\Delta_{\gamma} + 1)\Delta_{\gamma}}}{(\Delta_{\gamma} + 1) + \Delta_{\gamma}} \\
& + \frac{2\Delta_{\gamma} \sqrt{(\Delta_{\gamma} + 1)\Delta_{\gamma}}}{(\Delta_{\gamma} + 1) + \Delta_{\gamma}} - \frac{2\Delta_{\gamma} (k - \beta)\sqrt{\Delta_{\gamma}\delta_{\gamma}}}{\Delta_{\gamma} + \delta_{\gamma}}.
\end{align*}
\]
We obtain

$$GA\left(A_{α}^{β}(I_n^{k,l})\right) \leq \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{2\alpha(k - β - 1)\sqrt{1 * (δ_β + α + 1)}}{1 + (δ_β + α + 1)} + \frac{2(κ_1 - β - 1)\sqrt{2(δ_τ + α + 1)}}{2 + (δ_τ + α + 1)}$$

$$+ \frac{2α(δ_β + 1)\sqrt{1 * (δ_β + (β + 1)(1 + α))}}{1 + (δ_β + (β + 1)(1 + α))}$$

$$+ \frac{2(β + 1)\sqrt{2(δ_τ + (β + 1)(1 + α))}}{2 + (δ_τ + (β + 1)(1 + α))} + GA(Γ) + \frac{2Δ_τ(k - β - 1)\sqrt{Δ_τ + 1)}}{Δ_τ + 1}$$

$$+ \frac{2Δ_τ\sqrt{Δ_τ + 1)}}{Δ_τ + 1} + \frac{2Δ_τ(κ_1 - β)\sqrt{Δ_τδ_τ}}{Δ_τ + δ_τ}$$

(42)

After simplification, we get the required result:

$$GA\left(A_{α}^{β}(I_n^{k,l})\right) \leq 2(k - β - 1)\sqrt{δ_τ + α + 1}\left(\frac{α}{δ_τ + α + 2} + \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) + k(l - 2 - α) + \frac{2k\sqrt{2}}{3}$$

$$+ 2\sqrt{Δ_τ + (β + 1)(1 + α)}\left(\frac{α(β + 1)}{1 + δ_τ + (β + 1)(1 + α)} + \frac{2Δ_τ\sqrt{β + 1}}{2 + δ_τ + (β + 1)(1 + α)}\right)$$

$$+ 2Δ_τ\sqrt{Δ_τ(k - β)}\left(\frac{δ_τ + 1}{2Δ_τ + 1} - \frac{δ_τ}{Δ_τ + δ_τ}\right) + GA(Γ).$$

(43)

Inequalities (40) and (43) complete the proof.

3. Conclusion

The study of mathematical aspect regarding topological indices is a partially open problem [20, 28, 29]. For which member family of graphs the certain index has a minimal or maximal value? In this work, we discussed, for this fundamental question, general graphs with pendent paths for the most studied index named geometric-arithmetic index GA and developed tight bounds by characterizing graphs. In Theorems 2 and 3, for the first time, we defined tight bonds for the transformed graphs under the effect of transformations defined in [27].
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