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ABSTRACT

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic led to unprecedented effect over the nursing education. First time exposure of the nursing students with the digital learning has raised a question regarding their self-efficacy in navigating the online courses effectively. This study aimed to assess the self-efficacy of online learning among nursing students during Covid-19 pandemic.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional web-based survey was conducted between May to June 2021 to assess the self-efficacy of online learning among nursing students in India. Data collection was done through Google forms that was sent to all the potential respondents through email, messenger and whatsapp. Online learning self-efficacy scale (OLSES) was used for assessing the efficacy of online learning.

Results: Online survey was completed by 332 nursing students from various nursing colleges of Uttar Pradesh and New Delhi, India. Majority (81.6%) of the participants were female. Nearly half (50.9%) of them were satisfied with the online classes. Maximum (77.4%) had poor self-efficacy scores in learning domain. Only 77 (23.2%) of students had overall good online learning self-efficacy.

Conclusions: The study revealed that majority of the nursing students had poor overall as well as domain wise online learning self-efficacy scores, which highlights the need for urgent identification of possible predictors. Appropriate skill-based trainings should be designed and provided to the students before exposing them to the online learning. This will aid them in gaining confidence and mastery in the skill of navigating the online courses.
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INTRODUCTION

The Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (2019-nCoV) was originally started as an outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, and was declared a global pandemic by World Health Organization (WHO) on 11th March, 2020.¹² Rapidly escalating pandemic crisis has affected every aspect of human life, with potentially devastating social, economic and political implications (United Nations Development Programme 2020).

In order to mitigate the effect of pandemic, Indian government has adopted various strategies which included social distancing measures, suspension of mass gatherings, suspension of public transports and the provisional closure of schools and educational institutions.³,⁴ It led to the transposition in the entire dimensions of societal activities predominantly recreational and educational activities.⁵ The unsolicited closure of educational institutions modified the complete prospect of education. As a consequence, the introduction of online system of education became a...
METHODS

A web-based cross-sectional survey was conducted among nursing students of various schools and colleges of nursing in Uttar Pradesh and New Delhi India. The study was conducted between May to June 2021 with the purpose of assessing the self-efficacy of online learning among nursing students. Data collection was done by investigators with the help of questionnaire created through Google forms that was send to all the potential respondents through email, messenger and WhatsApp. By aid of snowball sampling, they were requested to forward the survey link to their batch mates, juniors and seniors so as to obtain maximum participation. The questionnaire clearly explained the objectives of the study and participants were provided the option to withdraw voluntarily if appropriate. Inclusion criteria of the study were: nursing students who gave their consent for participation, having smart phones and access to internet, and currently taking scheduled and regular online classes conducted by the institutes. Students were excluded if they were not taking prescribed online classes on regular basis.

Sample size

Sample size was calculated by taking 99% confidence level, 10% margin of error and 50% population proportion, which came out to be 167; we managed to get 332 responses in our study which is our final sample size. Data was collected unanimously; investigators refrained from collecting any information which can reveal the identity of respondents.

Questionnaire

Various information was collected pertaining to the study such as age, gender, academic year of study, course, platform used for online learning etc. with the help of self-developed tool. The efficacy of online learning was assessed using standardized online learning self-efficacy scale (OLSES) originally developed by Zimmerman et al. Permission was obtained for using and modifying the tool. OLSES consists of three subscales, these are: learning in the online environment (ɑ=0.890), time management (ɑ=0.855) and technology use (ɑ=0.843). Subscales were further divided into twenty-two tasks which were rated by the participants on a six-point scale. A rating of one signified very poor level of task performance by the participants; while rating of six indicated that participants can perform the task with excellency. Maximum score of the scale was calculated to be 132. Score higher than 80% (i.e., 105) was considered as overall good self-efficacy in online learning.

Statistical analysis

The collected data were coded and summarized in master data sheet using Microsoft excel spreadsheet. Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) 20.0 version was used for the data analysis; both descriptive and inferential statistics were analysed. Descriptive statistics included frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation and range. Chi-square test was used to find out the association between selected variables and overall online learning self-efficacy scores. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

The present online survey was completed by 332 nursing students. Mean age of participants was 21.45±2.9 years with majority (81.6%) being female. Participants predominantly (51.2%) belonged to a joint family, and (60.8%) of them resided in rural area. Majority (62.7%) of the participants were pursuing B.Sc. (N) course. (Table 1).
Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants (n=332).

| Frequency (%) |
|---------------|
| **Age (years)** | 21.45±2.9 (17-48) |
| **Gender**       |               |
| Male            | 61 (18.4)     |
| Female          | 271 (81.6)    |
| **Area of residence** |         |
| Rural           | 202 (60.8)    |
| Urban           | 130 (39.2)    |
| **Marital status** |              |
| Married         | 37 (11.1)     |
| Unmarried       | 295 (88.9)    |
| **Type of family** |             |
| Nuclear         | 162 (48.8)    |
| Joint           | 170 (51.2)    |
| **Course**      |               |
| GNM             | 88 (26.5)     |
| P. B. B. Sc (N) | 16 (4.8)      |
| B. Sc (N)       | 208 (62.7)    |
| M. Sc (N)       | 20 (6.0)      |
| **Academic year** |            |
| 1st Year        | 116 (34.9)    |
| 2nd year        | 105 (31.6)    |
| 3rd year        | 81 (24.4)     |
| 4th year        | 30 (9.0)      |
| **Father’s education** |         |
| Illiterate      | 13 (3.9)      |
| Basic (upto 8th class) | 35 (10.5)  |
| Secondary level (9-12th class) | 112 (33.7) |
| Bachelor level  | 114 (34.3)    |
| Mothers and above | 58 (17.5) |
| **Masters education** |         |
| Illiterate      | 35 (10.5)     |
| Basic (upto 8th class) | 101 (30.4) |
| Secondary level (9-12th class) | 111 (33.4) |
| Bachelor level  | 54 (16.3)     |
| Masters and above | 31 (9.3)  |
| **Family income (per month)** |        |
| Rs. <10,000     | 133 (40.1)    |
| Rs. 10,000-40,000 | 134(40.4)   |
| Rs. 40,000-120000 | 46 (13.9)   |
| Above Rs 120000 | 19 (5.7)      |

Approximately half (52.4%) of participants had no exposure to online classes before the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic. Participants predominantly were using mobile phones with cellular data for attending online classes.

The study also found that, zoom was the commonly used platform among many others for accessing the classes. Majority (85.2%) of the participants believed that online learning should be continued during Covid-19 pandemic which may be attributed to safety concerns of the students (Table 2). Surprisingly, it was found that most (42.8%) of students were satisfied with the online classes (Figure 1).

Online learning self-efficacy of participants as measured by OLSES is explained in Table 3.

Table 2: Online class related characteristics of participants (n=332).

| Frequency (%) |
|---------------|
| **Ever received online class before COVID-19** |               |
| Yes           | 158 (47.6)    |
| No            | 174 (52.4)    |
| **Type of gadget used for attending online class** |          |
| Mobile        | 278 (83.7)    |
| Computer/laptop | 3 (0.9)   |
| Both          | 51 (15.4)     |
| **Type of internet connection** |            |
| Cellular/Mobile | 237 (71.4) |
| Wifi          | 10 (3.0)      |
| Cellular /wifi/ both | 85 (25.6) |
| **Access to internet facility at own home** |          |
| Yes           | 269 (81.0)    |
| No            | 63 (19.0)     |
| **Should online learning be continued during this pandemic?** |          |
| Yes           | 283 (85.2)    |
| No            | 49 (14.8)     |
| **Mode of online class** |         |
| Zoom          | 203 (61.1)    |
| Google meet   | 91 (27.4)     |
| Skype         | 1 (0.3)       |
| Whatsapp chat/ video call | 23 (6.9) |
| Webex meet    | 6 (1.8)       |
| Jitsy         | 7             |
| Youtube       | 1             |

Figure 1: Perceived level of satisfaction from online classes.
Table 3: Online learning self-efficacy of participants (n=332).

| Online Learning Self-efficacy                                      | Frequency (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| **(n=332)**                                                        |               |
| **Excellent**                                                      | **Very good** |
| Navigate online course material efficiently                       |               |
| Find the course syllabus online                                   |               |
| Communicate effectively with my teacher/instructor via e-mail     |               |
| Communicate effectively with technical support via e-mail, telephone or live online chat |               |
| Submit assignment online through mail or whatsapp                 |               |
| Overcome technical difficulties with my own                       |               |
| Accessing marks of the assignments and tests                      |               |
| Manage time effectively                                           |               |
| Complete all assignments on time                                  |               |
| Learn to use a new type of technology efficiently                 |               |
| Learn without being in the same room as the instructor            |               |
| Learn without being in the same room as other student             |               |
| Search the internet to find the answer to a course related question|               |
| Search the online course materials                                |               |
| Communicate using online platform (such as discussion boards, email etc) |               |
| Meet deadlines of assignments using very few reminders             |               |
| Complete a group project entirely on time                         |               |
| Use of online platform to communicate with others (such as zoom, google meet or whatsapp) |               |
| Focus on college work when faced with distractions                |               |
| Develop and follow a plan for completing all required work on time |               |
| Use library’s online resources efficiently                        |               |
| When a problem arises, promptly asking query through online platform (such as e-mail, whatsapp, zoom/google meet etc) |               |

Table 4 explains domain-wise and overall self-efficacy of online learning among participants. Mean scores of learning, technical, time management sub-domains and overall online learning self-efficacy was found to be 38.58±11.32, 27.68±7.47, 20.10±6.02, and 86.36±24.06 respectively. It was seen that, in all of the sub-domains of
online learning, majority of participants had poor self-efficacy. Only 23.2% of the participants had overall good online learning self-efficacy.

Table 5 shows that there is a statistically significant association between overall online learning self-efficacy scores with the type of course (p=0.045), views regarding continuation of online classes during the pandemic (p=0.001) and level of satisfaction from online classes (p=0.001).

Table 4: Domain-wise and overall self-efficacy of online learning among participants (n=332).

| Online learning self-efficacy | Mean±SD (Range) | Good | Poor |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------|------|
| Learning (>80% score)         | 38.58±11.32 (10-60) | 75 (22.6) | 257 (77.4) |
| Technical (>80% score)       | 27.68±7.47 (7-42) | 79 (23.8) | 253 (76.2) |
| Time (>80% score)            | 20.10±6.02 (5-30) | 99 (29.8) | 233 (70.2) |
| Overall Online learning self-efficacy (>80% score) | 86.36±24.06 (22-132) | 77 (23.2) | 255 (76.8) |

Table 5: association between selected variables and overall online learning self-efficacy.

| Gender | Overall online learning self-efficacy | Chi-square value | P value |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Male   | Good 15, Poor 46 | 0.082 | 0.775 |
| Female | Good 62, Poor 209 | 0.082 | |
| Type of Residence | | | |
| Rural | Good 43, Poor 159 | 1.052 | 0.305 |
| Urban | Good 34, Poor 96 | | |
| Marital status | | | |
| Married | Good 9, Poor 28 | 0.030 | 0.863 |
| Unmarried | Good 68, Poor 227 | | |
| Type of family | | | |
| Joint | Good 40, Poor 130 | 0.022 | 0.882 |
| Nuclear | Good 37, Poor 125 | | |
| Course | | | |
| GNM | Good 18, Poor 70 | 8.034 | 0.045* |
| P. B. B.Sc (N) | Good 6, Poor 10 | | |
| B. Sc (N) | Good 44, Poor 164 | | |
| M. Sc (N) | Good 9, Poor 11 | | |
| Family income | | | |
| Rs. <10,000 | Good 27, Poor 106 | 2.821 | 0.420 |
| Rs. 10,000-40,000 | Good 33, Poor 101 | | |
| Rs. 40,000-1,20,000 | Good 10, Poor 36 | | |
| Above Rs. 1,20,200 | Good 7, Poor 12 | | |
| Ever received online class before COVID-19 | | | |
| Yes | Good 43, Poor 115 | 2.738 | 0.098 |
| No | Good 34, Poor 140 | | |
| Type of gadget used for attending online class | | | |
| Mobile | Good 63, Poor 215 | 0.368 | 0.832 |
| Computer/ laptop | Good 1, Poor 2 | | |
| Both | Good 13, Poor 38 | | |

Continued.
The present study was conducted with the purpose of finding out the self-efficacy of nursing students with regard to online learning. The COVID-19 pandemic has hit the country, and educational system even worse. Due to the containment measures being taken by the Indian Government, substitution of traditional face to face education with the online classes was the only option left for all the nursing Institutes for ensuring the continuity of nursing education. In our study, it was found that more than half of the participants had no exposure to online education before the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic. This raises significant apprehensions about their skills to successfully accomplish the tasks associated with digital learning. Therefore, the study was formulated to assess the self-efficacy of online learning among nursing students.

Majority of the students in our study were from rural area and it was found that more than 80% of them had access to internet facility at their home and were using smart mobile phones for attending online classes through zoom platform, indicating that smart mobile phones are popular mode of attending online classes. Similar findings were reported by several other studies.9,10

This study revealed that nearly half (50.9%) of the participants were satisfied with the online classes which is line with the findings of study conducted by Dipti Koirala et al in which two third of the respondents (63.2%) were satisfied with the conduction of online classes.10 Sharma et al also highlighted that (53.5%) students were satisfied.11 And, only 16.8% (n=73) were dissatisfied with the online classes. Present study suggests that majority (85.2%) of the students supports conduction of online classes during this pandemic situation, which is similar to the findings of a study conducted by Dost et al who reported that students find online learning more advantageous as it helps them in studying at their own pace, reduces anxiety and allows preparation for clinical placements.12 Panda et al in his study reported that internet speed and technical issues were major barriers, whereas flexibility, availability of content for revision and fewer distractions were the positive features of online learning.13

The current study highlighted that more than three-fourth (76.8%) of the nursing students had poor online learning self-efficacy scores in all the domains. Additionally, scores were also poor for majority of the participants in learning, technical and time management aspects.

Findings of our study are intriguing for the entire nursing fraternity including all those who are involved in framing and delivering the educational course to the students. It goes without saying that students should possess good self-efficacy for traversing the tasks associated with online learning, and it is a pre-requisite for the successful conduction of digital classes. Our study discovered significant lack in the level of self-efficacy of nursing students pertaining to online education; indicating the need for introducing specific training modules and demonstration classes. This will enable the students to achieve mastery in the tasks associated with e-learning such as submitting the assignments through email, asking queries, connecting to the online platforms etc. Furthermore, studies should be conducted to evaluate various barriers and determinants associated with online learning self-efficacy among nursing students and the effect of poor online learning self-efficacy over the academic performance of students. Some of the strengths of our study include use of standardized questionnaire and adequate sample size, also this is the first study that evaluates the level of self-efficacy of nursing students related to online education.

**Limitations**

Cross-sectional design and self-reported tool limits the generalizability of findings.
CONCLUSION

The study revealed higher level of satisfaction of participants with online classes, though they were satisfied, but majority demonstrated poor self-efficacy, perhaps due to first time exposure with this novel method of teaching and learning. Therefore, specific training modules should be designed by the faculty and teaching institutions to train the students in navigating the online courses effectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all the participants in this study.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. H L, Cw S, Yw T. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China: The mystery and the miracle. J Med Virol. 2020;92(4).
2. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. Acta Bio-Medica Atenei Parm. 2020;91(1):157-60.
3. Agu CF, Stewart J, McFarlane-Stewart N, Rae T. Int Nurs Rev. 2021;68(2):153-8.
4. Preysi C, K SN, G S, Heena D. COVID-19 in India: Impact and mitigation strategies. Biomed Pharmacol J. 2021;14(2).
5. Rice WL, Mateer TJ, Reigner N, Newman P, Lawhon B, Taff BD. Changes in recreational behaviors of outdoor enthusiasts during the COVID-19 pandemic: analysis across urban and rural communities. J Urban Ecol. 2020;6(1).
6. Barriers and facilitators to online medical and nursing education during the COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives from international students from low- and middle-income countries and their teaching staff | Human Resources for Health. 2020. https://human-resources-health.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12960-021-00609-9. Accessed on 23rd June, 2021.
7. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33728660/. Accessed on 23rd June, 2021.
8. What is Self-Efficacy Theory in Psychology? - PositivePsychology.com. https://positivepsychology.com/self-efficacy/. Accessed on 23rd June, 2021.
9. Assessing the knowledge, skills and effectiveness of e-learning among medical graduates amongst the COVID-19 pandemic, Singamsetty. International Journal Of Community Medicine And Public Health. 2020;7(12):4833-8.
10. Perception towards Online Classes during COVID-19 among Nursing Students of a Medical College of Kashi District, Nepal. https://www.jelsciences.com/articles/jbres1151.php. Accessed on 23rd June, 2021.
11. Sharma K, Deo G, Timalsina S, Joshi A, Shrestha N, Neupane HC. Online Learning in the Face of COVID-19 Pandemic: Assessment of Students’ Satisfaction at Chitwan Medical College of Nepal. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2020;18(70):40-7.
12. Dost S, Hossain A, Shehab M, Abdelwahed A, Al-Nusair L. Perceptions of medical students towards online teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic: a national cross-sectional survey of 2721 UK medical students. BMJ Open. 2020;10(11):e042378.
13. Panda DS, Gamal M, Zafar A, Parambi DGT, Senapati AK, Patro SK, et al. RESEARCH ARTICLE: A study on the effectiveness of online teaching in pharmacy education from teacher and student perspectives during the COVID-19 pandemic. Pharm Educ. 2020;297-301.

Cite this article as: Tiwari SK, Srivastava SP. Self-efficacy of online learning among nursing students during COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Community Med Public Health 2021;8:4439-45.