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Abstract

Folic acid is an essential vitamin for fish farming, and its shortage or extra-administration leads to physiological dysfunctions and then, to decrease the production efficiency rate. This research studied the effects of two different doses of folic acid (6 and 10 mg/kg dried food) on different biometric, hematologic and immunological parameters of fingerling rainbow trout fishes (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Totally, we showed that both doses could improve different biometric factors (except for condition index). Furthermore, despite the detrimental effects of these treatments on red blood cells, they could improve immunological functions of the fishes. Since no differences have been observed between the effects of these two folic acid doses, we concluded that treatment of this fish with 6 mg folic acid per kg dried food was as efficient as treatment with 10 mg folic acid.
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Introduction

Nowadays, malnutrition is a serious situation, afflicting too many people worldwide due to population growth, high demands for food products and natural disasters. The improvement of different agricultural and livestock farming, such as increase in dense fish production efficiency per liter are the possible ways to meet the demands. In turn, since feeding is a critical factor for production of healthy and high-qualified fishes, dense fishery operation is closely depended to the fish nutrition [1,2].

Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (genus: Oncorhynchus; family: Salmonidae) was originally found in the North America. The fish has been adapted in many fresh water farms worldwide due to its high compatibility to different environmental conditions, high growth rate and its ability to consume different types of food sources. A safe, cost-effective and high-qualified food, containing all required vitamins and minerals, is essential for fish production. The fish is carnivorous and is able to grow under nourishing with commercial foods containing adequate amounts of protein, fat, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals [3-6]. Since vitamins are necessary for all physiological activities of fishes, including their growth, survive and reproduction, and because natural foods cannot provide the required vitamins, especially in dense farms, extra-administrations is compulsory [7].

Although nutrition is one of main fish farming expenses (40 to 50%), the qualification and quantification of many of the food components, including vitamins, has not yet precisely determined for many farmed fish species [8]. This study was designed to determine the optimum intake of folic acid in fingerling rainbow trout and to assay the effect(s) of this vitamin on the fish growth parameters and also on its blood and immune factors.

Material and Methods

Pond preparation

Totally, 9 sterile fiberglass tanks (100L) (3 tanks for treatments and 3 tanks for repeats) were filled with well water and were aerated continuously.

Measurement of chemical parameters of water

Water quality parameters were continuously measured until the end of experiments in order to optimize the living conditions for rainbow trout. Water temperature and dissolved oxygen were assayed 3 times daily while water pH and salinity were measured once a week; since the water was prepared from a same source through the test, the parameters were constant (7.5 ppm oxygen, 10-12°C, salinity 0 and pH 7.8).

Rainbow trout

In this test, first healthy fingerling rainbow trout fishes (obtained from a local aquarium in the north of Iran) were adapted to the water conditions for a week. After cutting their food and anesthesia with 200 ppm gillyflower juice, the biomass parameters of each fingerling was determined and the finger rainbow trout fishes with 7-6 g were entered to the main containers (33 fishes per container). The gillyflower contains Tricaine methan sulfunate (MS222) that cause anesthesia in fish [9].

Food preparation and treatment scheme

After calculation of the average daily food intake based on the water temperature (10-12°C) and fish weight [10], their feeding with commercial foods (Cheane Company, Iran) was performed 5 times a day for 2 months (2.5 g food per each fish). Folic acid treatment was performed with two different doses (6 and 10 mg/kg taken dried food). All the treatment and controls were tested three times. The controls were not taken any folic acid and riboflavin.

Copyright: © 2013 Firouz A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Biometric and determination of fish growth

The biometric measurements (weight and length) took place over fortnight and fish body weight gain, body weight percentage, daily growth percentage and survival percentage for each treatment were calculated based on existing formula [11,12].

Blood and blood parameters

Totally 5 fish from each tanker were accidentally chosen for blood tests. After preparing blood samples from fish artery vein, the heparinized blood samples were analyzed for different blood factors, including red blood cell count (RBC) [13], white blood cell count (WBC) [14], differential white blood cell count [15], hematocrit (PCV) [16], hemoglobin concentration (Hb) [14], MCV [17], MCH [17] and MCHC [17].

Immunological parameters

The heparinized blood samples were centrifuged and the prepared sera were stored at -20°C [18]. The total protein was measured based on the procedure introduced by Tietz [19]. The concentration of IgM was analyzed by Nephelometry method [20] in which the Antigen-anti-IgM polyclonal antibody complex was irradiated with 400-840 nm waves.

In order to calculate the serum lysozyme activity, the prepared sera samples were immediately stored at -70°C to keep the enzymes away of activation. The lysozyme activity of sera was performed based on lysis of Micrococcus lysodeikticus suspension (Sigma, St. Louis, USA) by the enzyme. The bacterial lysis activity was measured by optimal density (OD) in 450 nm waves.

Finally, the total hemolytic complement (CH50) was determined by DiaMetra kit (Made in Italy) [21].

Statistic analysis

The data were analyzed with SPSS 20. In order to determine the normal distribution of the data, we used OneWay anova. The abnormal distribution data were analyzed with Kruskal Wallis. For all statistical tests P value<0.05 was considered.

Results

Following treatment of the fingerling trout fishes with two doses of folic acid (6 and 10 mg/kg food) for two months, the fishes were analyzed in terms of their length and biomass. The analyzed data, based on Oneway anova, showed that the fishes treated with both concentrations of folic acid were meaningfully taller than the controls (P<0.05) (Table 1). A same improvement pattern has been observed when the weight of the fishes was measured (Table 1).

Based on oneWay anova and Kruskal Wallis analysis, the treatment also could improve the body weight gain Percentage (%BWI). The index increased with both doses of folic acid, peaking at 10 mg. Although treatment of the fishes with 6 mg folic acid could significantly increase the condition factor (K), the index decreased suddenly with 10 mg folic acid and no significant difference in K was seen between this dose and the control (Table 1).

Based on OneWay anova and Kruskal Wallis tests, the specific growth rate (SGR) of the treated fishes was in parallel to the folic acid dose (Table 1). Also, calculations showed that daily growth rates (DGR) of the treated fishes with folic acid was significantly higher than the control (Table 1). Based on these tests, the treatments with folic acid decreased the food conversional rate (FCR), and the decreases in both concentrations were statistically significant (Table 1). According to Kruskal Wallis test, no meaningful differences were seen in the survival rate of the control and treated fishes (Table 1).

Even though the data showed significant increases in the total white blood cell count after treatment with folic acid (P<0.05), different results were achieved when the cells were analyzed separately with OneWay anova and Kruskal Wallis tests (decrease in the neutrophils and increase in the lymphocytes and eosinophils). Monocytes showed a significant increase in 6 mg folic acid but the level of this cell returned back to the control level when treated with 10 mg folic acid (Table 1).

Finally, based on OneWay anova and Kruskal Wallis tests, the treatment could significantly increase total immunoglobulins and mean IgM production in the fishes, and the concentration of immunoglobulin reached to highest point when the fishes were treated with 10 mg folic acid (Table 1). Although a same improvement pattern was seen in the lysozyme activity, higher concentrations of folic acid had no extra beneficary (Table 1). Furthermore, the folic acid treatment increased CH50 index in the examined fishes, and although both concentrations showed significant increases, the index felled slightly at 10 mg/L folic acid (Table 1).

| Parameters                  | Control  | 6 mg     | 10 mg    |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Weight                      | 20.3 ± 3.38 | 23.36 ± 4.18 | 24.22 ± 4.05 |
| Body weight gain (%BWI)     | 216.52 ± 9.52 | 258.34 ± 16.55 a | 271.48 ± 17.64 a |
| Specific growth rate (SGR)  | 1.92 ± 0.05 | 2.13 ± 0.08 a | 2.19 ± 0.08 a |
| Daily growth rate (DGR)     | 3.61 ± 0.16 | 4.31 ± 0.28 | 4.52 ± 0.29 a |
| Food conversion rate (FCR)  | 1.53 ± 0.09 | 1.3 ± 0.2 a | 1.24 ± 0.1 a |
| Survival Rate               | 1.07 ± 0.02 | 1.09 ± 0.01 | 1.07 ± 0.01 |
| Condition Factor (K)        | 100 ± 0   | 100x ± 0 ac | 100 ± 0 |
| Erythrocyte cell count (106) | 0.81 ± 0.08 | 0.70 ± 0.2 a | 0.70 ± 0.03 a |
| Hb (g/100ml)                | 7.23 ± 0.72 | 6.23 ± 0.18 a | 6.26 ± 0.27 a |
| Hematocrit                  | 35.33 ± 5.5 | 30 ± 1 a | 30.33 ± 2.3 a |
| MCV                         | 420.66 ± 7.24 | 428 ± 6.88 a | 432 ± 3.52 ab |
| MCH                         | 98 ± 0.57 | 88.66 ± 0.33 ac | 89.33 ± 0.33 |
| MCHC                        | 20.66 ± 0.33 | 20.33 ± 0.33 a | 20.66 ± 0.33 |
| WBC (10⁴)                   | 0.35 ± 0.01 | 0.52 ± 0.07 a | 0.66 ± 0.02 a |
| Neutrophil                  | 38 ± 0.88 | 28 ± 0.55 a | 27 ± 1.73 a |
| Lymphocyte                  | 24 ± 1.73 | 25 ± 1.0 a | 25 ± 3.51 a |
| Monocyte                    | 2.33 ± 0.33 | 2.66 ± 0.33 a | 2.33 ± 0.33 |
| Eosinophil                  | 1.0 ± 0.57 | 1.33 ± 0.33 a | 2.0 ± 0.57 ab |
| Total Immunoglobulin        | 14.47 ± 0.49 | 14.3 ± 1.8 a | 16.53 ± 0.32 ab |
| IgM                         | 16.66 ± 0.88 | 23.33 ± 2.6 a | 27.33 ± 3.71 ab |
| Lysozyme                    | 26 ± 2.64 | 51 ± 7.02 a | 48.66 ± 10.13 a |
| CH50                        | 31.66 ± 3.66 | 50.33 ± 10.68 a | 37.33 ± 7.42 a |

Table 1: Changes in biometric and blood factors of fingerling rainbow trout fishes (Oncorhynchus mykiss) treated with 6 and 10 mg folic acid per kg food: a, b and c (p<0.05).
Discussion

Since folic acid is an essential nutrient for animal's hematopoietic activity, regulation of blood glucose, improvement of cell membrane activity and finally eggs' hatchability in rainbow trout (6-10 mg/kg) [22,23], any deficiency in this factor leads to different physiological dysfunctions, including megaloblastic anemia, increased appetite and weight loss [24,25].

In this study, the effects of two different doses of folic acid (6 mg and 10 mg per each kg food) on growth performance, blood parameters and immune system of fingerling rainbow trout fishes (Oncorhynchus mykiss) were discussed. The doses were recommended by researchers as suitable folic acid concentrations for farming rainbow trout fishes: 6 mg per each kg food by NCR in 1981 [26] and 6-10 mg per kg food by Halver and Hardy [22].

Our result showed that treatments with both folic acid doses (6 and 10 mg/Kg dried food) for two months could improve the fish's biometric parameters in terms of length and weight. In addition to increase of body weight gain index (%BWI) in higher doses, the treatments could improve the specific growth rate (SGR) of the fishes and daily growth rate (DGR). These obvious physical alterations were associated with increase in feeding efficiency and decrease in the food conversional rate (FCR). Although the treatment with 6 mg folic acid dose was associated with fish condition (k) improvement, the treatment with 10 mg was not successful in this term. The decrease of k at 10 mg/L despite improvement in other physical criteria showed that taking higher doses of the folic acid increased the fishes' length more than their weight (taller but thinner fishes).

The hematologic parameters render valuable information for biologists to determine the health status of fishes [27]. In this study, treatments with both folic acid doses led to decrease of RBC counts, hematocrit and hemoglobin concentration. Since the decrease of RBC count was not in parallel with increase of the RBC volume (MCH and MCHC indexes), it appears that the fishes had to increase the cell's volume and the mean concentration of hemoglobin per unit (MVC) in order to overcome on the blood deficiency. Totally, the results indicate that increase in folic acid dose does not improve blood parameters. This phenomenon can be interpreted by the reality that hematopoietic activity of animals, including fishes, is a result of synergy between a different essential factors, including vitamin B12, B6, K, E, D and folic acid as well as some minerals such as iron, zinc, copper and so on [28].

However, our result showed that the treatment with folic acid treatment could successfully improve the fish immune system. Although different white blood cells responded differently to treatment, total white blood cell (WBC) count increased significantly with both folic acid doses (P<0.05). Also, the improvement was supported with increases in total immunoglobulin levels, mean IgM production, lysozyme activity and CH50 index. It must be noted that the treatment with 10 mg folic acid had no obvious positive or negative effect on total immunological factors. A same result was obtained when the effect of folic acid treatment on immunological system of Oncorhynchus tshawytscha was studied [4].

As a conclusion, despite the ineffectiveness of the folic acid treatment on survival rate and also despite the intensive decreases of red blood cell indexes at 10 mg, our results are congruent with the idea that treatments of the fingerling rainbow trout fishes with both folic acid doses (6 and 10 mg per each kg taken dried food) had a similar effect on different physiological conditions of the fish, and extra-administration of this vitamin cannot improve fish growth conditions. It must be noticed that the taken supplementary elements and vitamins are variable based on size and age of fish. Furthermore, since folic acid is not the only taken supplementary factor (macro/microelements and vitamins) for fish farming, the effects of each factor needs to be interpreted with the respect to the synergic or antagonistic effect(s) of other effective elements on fish growth. Such these synergisms have been seen, for instance, between niacin and folic acid [4] and between vitamin C and Folic acid [3].
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