Immune response of hibernating European bats to a fungal challenge
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ABSTRACT

Immunological responses of hibernating mammals are suppressed at low body temperatures, a possible explanation for the devastating effect of the white-nose syndrome on hibernating North American bats. However, European bats seem to cope well with the fungal causative agent of the disease. To better understand the immune response of hibernating bats, especially against fungal pathogens, we challenged European greater mouse-eared bats (Myotis myotis) by inoculating the fungal antigen zymosan. We monitored torpor patterns, immune gene expressions, different aspects of the acute phase response and plasma oxidative status markers, and compared them with sham-injected control animals at 30 min, 48 h and 96 h after inoculation. Torpor patterns, body temperatures, body masses, white blood cell counts, expression of immune genes, reactive oxygen metabolites and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity did not differ between groups during the experiment. However, zymosan injected bats had significantly higher levels of haptoglobin than the control animals. Our results indicate that hibernating greater mouse-eared bats mount an inflammatory response to a fungal challenge, with only mild to negligible consequences for the energy budget of hibernation. Our study gives a first hint that hibernating European bats may have evolved a hibernation-adjusted immune response in order to balance the trade-off between competent pathogen elimination and a prudent energy-saving regime.
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INTRODUCTION

Hibernation is a prolonged state of reduced metabolic activity and lowered body temperature in mammals and has evolved as a strategy to overcome winter periods with adverse weather conditions and low resource availability (Boyer and Barnes, 1999). During hibernation, all major physiological functions are reduced to save energy, which is resource availability (Boyer and Barnes, 1999). During hibernation, body temperature drops down to levels slightly above ambient temperatures for periods of 4–30 days, a stage called torpor (Bouma et al., 2010a). These torpor bouts are periodically interrupted by short arousal events during which animals increase their core body temperature and during which most of their physiological functions are restored (Hut et al., 2002).

In general, immune responses are energetically costly as they involve significant increases in the metabolic rate, which may ultimately deplete fat deposits during hibernation (Canale and Henry, 2011; Demas et al., 1997; Martin et al., 2003). Consequently, immune functions were found to be downregulated in hibernating mammals (Bouma et al., 2010a). Besides the general energy saving strategy associated with impaired immunity in hibernators, there is no need for an active immune system during torpor bouts because activities of most pathogens are also decreased at low temperatures. An exception is psychrophilic pathogens, especially some bacteria and fungi, which grow at low temperatures (Bižanov and Dobrokhотова, 2007; Brunet et al., 2018; Dempster et al., 1966). How hibernators cope with these potential pathogens when physiological functions are constrained is not yet fully understood. This question is even more important since the emergence of white-nose syndrome, a disease affecting hibernating North American bats after having contracted the psychrophilic fungus Pseudogymnoascus destructans (Frick et al., 2016; Wibbelt, 2018).

Pathogens that enter organisms are first recognized by the innate immune receptors, of which Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are highly relevant (Doan et al., 2013). Fungi are typically recognized by TLR2 and TLR4 on macrophages, as well as dectin-1, C-type lectins, and NLRP3 inflammasome (Calich et al., 2008; Salazar and Brown, 2018). Positive signals from these receptors expressed on the surface of sentinel immune cells such as macrophages, stimulate cells to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines [e.g. interleukin 1β (IL-1β), interferon gamma (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α)], and anti-inflammatory [e.g. interleukin 10 (IL-10)] as well as inflammatory mediators like nitric oxide (NO) (Calich et al., 2008; Young et al., 2001). Under the influence of cytokines, the brain responds via modified behaviors (e.g. sickness behavior including anorexia, lethargy and sleepiness) and development of fever. During this acute phase, the bone marrow will increase white blood cell (WBC) production and the liver will initiate the synthesis and secretion of defense proteins, e.g. acute phase proteins such as haptoglobin, serum amyloid A or C-reactive protein (Tizard, 2008). All these modifications constitute the acute phase response, which is part of the innate immune defense known to be energetically costly (Lee, 2006). As a consequence of the acute phase response, immune cells release cytotoxic chemicals with pro-oxidant activity, which damage pathogens (Costantini, 2014; Schneeburger et al., 2013a; Sorci and Faivre, 2009). To avoid cell damage of the host, excess pro-oxidants need to be balanced by anti-oxidants. An imbalance between pro-oxidants and anti-oxidants leading to increased oxidative damage...
may occur as a consequence of an immune response (Costantini and Möller, 2009; Schneeberger et al., 2013a).

Compared with adaptive immunity, relatively little is known about innate immunity in hibernating mammals, specifically when challenged by an antigen or an infectious agent. In vitro studies on hibernating rodents report a decrease in pro-inflammatory cytokine production and phagocytosis in innate immune cells (Kanderfer-Szörszen, 1988; Novoselova et al., 2000). Moreover, golden-mantled ground squirrels (Spermophilus lateralis) challenged with bacterial lipopolysaccharide during a torpid phase developed fever only during the subsequent arousals, indicating that arousal events evolved, at least partly, in order to clear the pathogens accumulated during hibernation (Prendergast et al., 2002).

To better understand how hibernating European bats generally cope with fungal challenges, we followed aspects of the acute phase response over a 4 day period after challenging greater mouse-eared bats (Myotis myotis) with Zymosan, a homoglucan of n glucose molecules in β-1,3-glycosidic linkage. Zymosan is a fungal antigen that activates macrophages via TLR2 and thus is regularly used in experimental studies to induce immune responses (Bastos-Pereira et al., 2018; Underhill, 2003; Volman et al., 2005). Besides the general interest to better characterize the acute phase response of hibernators against fungal pathogens, studies on European hibernating bats are important because of their apparent resistance against the white-nose syndrome causing agent P. destructans (Puechmaille et al., 2011; Wibbelt et al., 2013). Considering the energetic aspects of hibernation and the acute phase response and also the apparent resistance of this bat species against local fungal infections, we hypothesized that zymosan-challenged hibernating M. myotis will show an immunological response but with hibernation-related adjustments in the composition of the response in order to avoid activating processes that are energetically costly. Therefore, we predicted that zymosan-treated bats will not increase arousal frequencies and durations but will show a response dominated by acute-phase proteins. However, experimental bats may develop a fever response during arousals, and immune gene expressions in response to the zymosan challenge (Young et al., 2001). We expected the acute phase response in zymosan-treated bats to be characterized by an increase in haptoglobin and oxidative damage or antioxidant levels, but not in WBCs in order to save energy. If zymosan-treated bats do not bear higher energetic costs than their untreated conspecifics, then their loss in body mass during hibernation will not be higher than in bats of the control group.

**RESULTS**

**Body condition**

We observed changes in body mass (log e transformed) in individuals across sampling days (SS=0.007, F=8.33, P=0.001) (Fig. 1). However, we neither detected significant effects in body mass changes between treatment group (SS<0.001, F=0.76, P=0.399), nor in the interaction between sampling day and treatment group (SS<0.001, F=0.34, P=0.713). Forearm length was also not a significant covariate (SS<0.001, F=0.41, P=0.536).

**Body temperature monitoring**

Following the treatment-specific injections, all bats entered torpor, resulting in an average torpid skin temperature (T sk) of 7.6±0.5°C. There was no difference between treatment groups in the time required for aroused bats to decrease T sk from euthermic state (T sk=36.0°C) to the torpor state (T sk<10.5°C) (Ω 598 min, SS=1506, F=0.12, P=0.738, ANOVA). We then excluded all T sk data from artificial arousal events from further analysis, i.e. we considered only T sk data from the intervals between first and second blood sampling and between second and third blood sampling (Fig. 2) for further evaluating natural arousals. Data collected by temperature loggers amounted to about 1000 T sk measurements per individual for analysis. The frequency of natural arousals did not vary between treatment groups (χ 2=1.65, DF=3, P=0.649), i.e. in total, we observed five arousals in bats of the zymosan-treated group and four in the control group. The maximal T sk during arousal periods did not differ between treatment groups (SS=1.190, F=0.07, P=0.806) suggesting no fever response after zymosan inoculation. We also did not find differences in the mean T sk during arousal (SS=0.009, F=0.002, P=0.965) and mean T sk during torpor (SS=0.005, F=0.11, P=0.748, Fig. 3) between treatment groups. The durations of arousals neither differed between treatment groups (SS=1650, F=0.15, P=0.712) nor between artificially induced and natural arousals (SS=0.072, F=0.01, P=0.928). On average, arousal events lasted for 190 min (Table 2) Also, the time required to increase body temperature by +10°C during rewarming was not different between treatment groups (SS=1.540, F=0.181, P=0.674). On average, bats required about 21 min to return to the euthermic state (see Table S1).

**Inflammatory gene expressions**

We found significant increases in the gene expression levels of IL-1β across the sampling days in both treatment groups (SS=0.052, F=5.70, P=0.009). However, neither the treatment (SS<0.001, F=0.19, P=0.666) nor the interaction between treatment and sampling day (SS=0.004, F=0.43, P=0.655), nor the interaction between body mass and forearm lengths (SS=0.002, F=0.34, P=0.564) differed between treatment groups (Fig. 4). For iNOS2 expression, we also found significant increases across the sampling days in both groups (SS=0.11, F=3.87, P=0.031). Further, we detected a significant influence of the body condition on iNOS2 expression: mass (SS=0.067, F=4.91, P=0.034), forearm length (SS=0.066, F=4.85, P=0.034) and the interaction between body mass and forearm lengths (SS=0.064, F=4.70, P=0.037). However, there was no significant difference in iNOS2 expression between treatment groups (SS=0.001, F=0.037, P=0.848). TNFα expression significantly increased across the sampling days (SS=0.059, F=5.016, P=0.012). However, neither treatment group affiliation (SS=0.006, F=0.967, P=0.332), nor the interaction between treatment and day (SS=0.012, F=1.043, P=0.362) nor the body
condition of animals (interaction mass and forearm: SS=0.011, \( F=1.915, P=0.175 \)) had a significant effect on TNF\( \alpha \) expression. IL-10 primers failed to amplify any fragment in a PCR and thus its expression could not be assessed in a qPCR. The mean of the RIN values of the RNA samples was 3.9 (SD=0.89). A full table with RIN values of all measured gene expressions is available in the supplementary material (Table S2).

**Haptoglobin**

We detected significant differences in haptoglobin levels (square root transformed) for the relationship of treatment group and sampling day (SS=0.531, \( F=3.84, P=0.033 \)). Zymosan-treated bats had higher levels at 96 h post-injection compared with bats of the control group on the same day (SE=0.192, \( t=-3.154, P=0.046 \), Tukey adjusted) (Fig. 5). Forearm and body mass (interaction) had no influence on the haptoglobin levels (SS=0.023, \( F=0.34, P=0.568 \)).

**Reactive oxygen metabolites (ROMs) and plasma non-enzymatic antioxidant barrier (OXY)**

We observed higher levels of reactive oxygen metabolites (ROMs) in zymosan-treated bats compared with bats in the control group (SS=1.138, \( F=7.74, P=0.017 \)), but no difference among sampling days (SS=0.190, \( F=0.65, P=0.531 \)). Also, the interaction between treatment group and sampling days was not significant for ROMs.

**Table 1. Primer sequences (Kacprzyk et al., 2017) and optimized annealing temperatures (Ta) for selected immune genes of M. myotis**

| Primer    | Sequence (5′→3′) | Ta   |
|-----------|------------------|------|
| ACTB F:   | AAATGCTTCTTAGGGGAATG  | 63°C |
| R: AGCCATGCAATCTCATCTC |                   |      |
| IL1b F:   | GAATCCATCGACTGCATGTG | 63°C |
| R: GACAGCACCAGGGATTTTG |                   |      |
| IL10 F:   | TTCAAGGGTTACCTGGGTTG | Not amplified |
| R: TCAGGCCTTCAGGTTCTCC |                   |      |
| TNFα F:   | ATCAACCTCCTCTCTGCCATC | 61°C |
| R: ACTGAGCCGATCACCCTTC |                   |      |
| iNOS2 F:  | CTGTGAGGCGTTCGATGTC | 56°C |
| R: GTCCAGGTTCACATTGTTG |                  |      |

---

Fig. 2. Representative examples of a Tsk profile (°C) of a zymosan-treated bat (A) and a control bat (B). The starting point (at 0 min) represents the arousal during the first injection and the first blood sampling on day 1; the second sampling took place 48 h (2880 min) after inoculation and the third sampling 96 h after the injection (5760 min). In the example shown here, the zymosan-treated bat (A) had one natural arousal (nat. ar.) in interval 1 (between the start of the experiment and the second sampling), but remained torpid in interval 2 (between the second and third sampling). The control bat (B) had two natural arousals, one in the first interval and one in the second.

Fig. 3. Boxplot of the mean skin temperatures (mean Tsk) during torpor (°C) of bats of the control (grey) and of the zymosan-treated group (white). Upper and lower borders of boxes indicate first and third quantiles, respectively, whiskers represent maximum and minimum, solid horizontal line within boxes shows the median. Raw data are shown as solid circles.
DISCUSSION

Using zymosan, a non-infectious fungal antigen, we challenged hibernating bats to induce an immune response and then followed several components of the acute phase response over a period of 4 days. We did not observe changes in torpor patterns, arousal frequencies or body temperatures between the two experimental groups. However, we noted an increase in gene expression of IL-1β, iNOS2 and TNFα for both zymosan-treated and control bats. In comparison to control bats, we only detected increasing haptoglobin levels in zymosan-treated bats, indicating an acute phase response. There was no difference in the levels of plasma oxidative status markers or WBC between groups. Also, individuals of both groups lost body mass to a similar extent. Interestingly, the functional response of the innate immune system seemed to be unlinked to torpor behavior or to the regulation of body temperature. Based on all these observations, we conclude that European hibernating bats such as M. myotis may have evolved a hibernation-adjusted immunological response to balance the trade-off between tolerance and resistance, and thus between mounting an immune reaction and its energetic costs.

Table 2. Comparison of the number and duration of natural arousals, and of maximum and mean skin temperature (max $T_{sk}$, mean $T_{sk}$) during arousal between animals of the control ($n=8$) and the zymosan-treated group ($n=8$)

| Individual | Group   | Number of arousals (n) | Arousal duration interval 1 (min) | Arousal duration interval 2 (min) | Max $T_{sk}$ during arousal ($°C$) | Mean $T_{sk}$ during arousal ($°C$) |
|------------|---------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mmyo_3     | CONTROL | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_4     | CONTROL | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_11    | CONTROL | 1                      | 95                               | -                                | 19                               | 14.9                             |
| Mmyo_12    | CONTROL | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_13    | CONTROL | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_14    | CONTROL | 1                      | 105                              | -                                | 24                               | 19.3                             |
| Mmyo_15    | CONTROL | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_16    | CONTROL | 2                      | 430                              | 195                              | 31                               | 20.9                             |
| Mean       |         | 0.5                    | 210                              | 195                              | 24.7                             | 18.4                             |
| Mmyo_1     | ZYMOSAN | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_2     | ZYMOSAN | 1                      | 205                              | -                                | 24.5                             | 18.3                             |
| Mmyo_5     | ZYMOSAN | 2                      | 135                              | 145                              | 29                               | 18                                |
| Mmyo_6     | ZYMOSAN | 1                      | 210                              | -                                | 24                               | 19.3                             |
| Mmyo_7     | ZYMOSAN | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_8     | ZYMOSAN | 1                      | 200                              | -                                | 24.5                             | 18.1                             |
| Mmyo_9     | ZYMOSAN | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mmyo_10    | ZYMOSAN | 0                      | -                                | -                                | -                                | -                                |
| Mean       |         | 0.625                  | 181.67                           | 175                              | 25.5                             | 18.4                             |

Circulating WBC

In both treatment groups we detected a significant increase in total WBC (SS=1.17E+13, $F=3.06$, $P=0.047$), lymphocytes (SS=2.37E+12, $F=3.08$, $P=0.046$) and monocytes (SS=1.18E+11, $F=4.82$, $P=0.008$) between sampling days. However, neither treatment group affiliation nor body condition of animals had a significant effect on WBC (Table 3, Fig. S1).

Torpor behavior, body temperatures and fever

During hibernation, immune functions of hypothermic bats are downregulated (reviewed by Bouna et al., 2010a). Our results suggest that European bats overcome this constraint by mounting only selected immune parameters such as haptoglobin during their regular arousals from torpor. Long-term data on body temperatures of hibernating European bats with infections are still lacking and thus it remains unclear if the thermoregulation of bats remains unaffected following a pathogen infection. However, over the short-term period of our experiment, we did not observe a strong effect of zymosan challenge on the torpor behavior of bats. In hibernating golden-mantled ground squirrels (Spermophilus lateralis) that were challenged with lipopolysaccharides (LPS), animals responded with fever during the arousal periods (Prendergast et al., 2002). Our zymosan-challenged M. myotis bats did not show any signs of a fever response, suggesting that bats seem to be prudent in the use of energy even when dealing with a fungal challenge in the torpid state. Interestingly, Pd-infected American bats exhibited fever bursts during arousals from hibernation (Mayberry et al., 2018) and bats surviving the Pd-epidemic in North America did not exhibit the ‘frequent arousal behavior’ of those that had died (Lilley et al., 2016). Thus, their behavioral pattern was more similar to that of European bat species. However, fever responses depend on the type of antigen and the level of infection or dosage (Bastos-Pereira et al., 2018); e.g. zymosan-challenged rats reacted with a fever response, but that response was dose-dependent with the dose being at least 3 mg/kg (Bastos-Pereira et al., 2018). Hence, our zymosan dose (0.7 mg/kg) was likely high enough to induce an inflammatory response but might have been too low to induce a fever response in bats. Additionally, randomly appearing arousals of our bats might have been caused by ultrasonic emissions of the iButtons (Willis et al., 2009). We found slight decreases in body mass in both treatment groups (Fig. 1). However, the cost of mounting an immune response may be incurred at the level of torpid metabolic rate, which was not measured (McGuire et al., 2017).
Inflammatory gene expressions

During the acute phase responses, inflammatory genes are usually upregulated and the inflammatory cells are activated together with the vascular system (Gruys et al., 2005). We found increases in the expression of all three genes during the course of the experiment (IL-1β, iNOS2 and TNFα), but they were not associated with the zymosan treatment. Thus, these increases might be rather due to the...
general reactivation of the immune system during the arousals and do not reflect a response to inflammation. Gene expression levels may change within hours (Breen et al., 2000; Fan et al., 1998; Jiménez-Ortega et al., 2009) and hence it could be that we were not able to detect differences between groups due to the time points of blood sampling (Jilma-Stohlawetz et al., 2017). Additionally, the interpretation of the gene expression results is hampered by the fact that we are lacking data on baseline levels. Additionally, measured RIN values suggest some decay of the RNA resulting from a 1-year storage of the RNA extracts. However, because all samples had been collected and extracted simultaneously and RNA extracts were stored at −80°C, a linear and uniform degradation rate of the isolated RNA can be assumed for all samples (Gallego Romero et al., 2014). Although these results have to be viewed cautiously, they fit into the picture of an adjusted, moderate immune response with genes becoming active, but not strongly expressed during arousal. Additionally, our observation confirms a recent study comparing P. destructans infected Myotis lucifugus and M. myotis showing an absence of immune gene expression in infected tissue of M. myotis (Lilley et al., 2019).

### Haptoglobin & oxidative stress

Contrasting the findings from a zymosan-challenge experiment on house sparrows (Coon et al., 2011), we measured higher levels of haptoglobin in zymosan-treated bats compared with control bats during hibernation. Haptoglobin is a hemoglobin-binding protein whose concentration is rapidly increased during acute phase responses in systemic infections (Cray et al., 2009). A study in bears has shown that haptoglobin levels are generally elevated in the late phase of hibernation (Mominoki et al., 2005), supporting our results for its important immunological function in hibernating mammals. The differential regulation of immunity-related proteins such as haptoglobin may be one adaptation during hibernation that allows mammals to remain in their hypometabolic and hypothermic state, while aiding in the maintenance of immune competence and resistance against infections and diseases (Chow et al., 2013). Acute phase proteins are part of the innate immune system and represent an early defense, which is immediately activated by inflammation (Cray et al., 2009) and effective for pathogen elimination (Bertaggia et al., 2014; Eaton et al., 1982; Langlois and Delanghe, 1996). Thus, the increased haptoglobin concentration in zymosan-treated bats reflects an inflammatory response to the fungal antigen. However, we could not directly show the link between haptoglobin increases and gene expression. Since haptoglobin can be mediated by different immune genes such as interleukin-6-type cytokines and is

| Response variable | Factor | SS      | F-value | P-value |
|-------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total number of WBCs | Group | 6.63E+12 | 3.47 | 0.088 |
|                   | Day   | 1.17E+13 | 3.06 | 0.047 |
|                   | Group:day | 1.17E+13 | 0.56 | 0.571 |
|                   | Mass:FA | 3.81E+12 | 1.99 | 0.174 |
| Lymphocytes       | Group | 1.8E+12  | 3.07 | 0.104 |
|                   | Day   | 2.37E+12 | 3.08 | 0.046 |
|                   | Group:day | 4.15E+11 | 0.54 | 0.582 |
|                   | Mass:FA | 9.50E+11 | 2.47 | 0.121 |
| Neutrophils (log) | Group | 0.070    | 0.13 | 0.721 |
|                   | Day   | 3.193    | 3.03 | 0.063 |
|                   | Group:day | 0.061   | 0.06 | 0.944 |
|                   | Mass:FA | 0.000    | 0.01 | 0.977 |
| Eosinophils (sqrt) | Group | 4.213    | 0.39 | 0.545 |
|                   | Day   | 5.200    | 0.01 | 0.997 |
|                   | Group:day | 5.740   | 2.78 | 0.079 |
|                   | Mass:FA | 6.793    | 0.65 | 0.431 |
| Basophils (log10) | Group | 0.001    | 2.49 | 0.147 |
|                   | Day   | 0.002    | 2.28 | 0.146 |
|                   | Group:day | 0.000   | 0.73 | 0.503 |
|                   | Mass:FA | 0.000    | 0.23 | 0.641 |
| Monocytes         | Group | 2.59E+10 | 2.13 | 0.171 |
|                   | Day   | 1.18E+11 | 4.82 | 0.008 |
|                   | Group:day | 3.99E+10 | 1.64 | 0.195 |
|                   | Mass:FA | 4.58E+10 | 3.76 | 0.072 |
synergistically enhanced by glucocorticoids (Wang et al., 2001), we might have missed the detection due to our restriction on using only published primers (Kacprzyk et al., 2017).

Besides its function during an acute phase response, haptoglobin also prevents oxidative stress (Bertaggia et al., 2014; Gutteridge, 1987; Schauer et al., 2013; Tseng et al., 2004). We measured higher ROM levels in zymosan-treated bats, but these levels were already higher right from the first sampling and did not show further increases during the following days, which renders interpretation difficult. It might be that the concentration of ROMs is linked to haptoglobin and haptoglobin inhibited the increases due to its anti-oxidant effect (Sauerwein et al., 2005; van de Crommenacker et al., 2010; Corsetti et al., 2018). It is therefore conceivable that an increase in ROMs took place during the first arousal early in the experiment as a response against the antigen. During the following days any further increase may have been inhibited by haptoglobin. Consistent with this scenario, we found a significant correlation between ROMs and haptoglobin in zymosan-treated bats. However, the strength of the interaction between the inflammatory and some oxidative pathways is generally weak (Costantini et al. 2015; Sebastiano et al. 2018) and we are unsure if ROM concentrations can really increase during arousal events within a short period of 30 min (first measurement after pathogen challenge in our study in bats).

Circulating WBCs

Neutrophils and macrophages are fundamentally important antifungal effector cells (Shoham and Levitz, 2005). During hibernation, when the body temperature is drastically lowered, the concentration of circulating WBCs is reduced by up to 90% (Bouma et al., 2010a). However, as shown in ground squirrels, leucocyte levels can be restored during an arousal within 1.5 h (Bouma et al., 2010b). In our experiment, the first blood sample was taken 30 min after the arousal had been induced. Hence, it is possible that the time point of measurement after arousal initiation was too early to detect the full increase in the number of leucocytes. However, we measured increases in numbers of certain leukocyte types during the course of the experiment, suggesting an accumulation of leucocytes with increasing numbers of arousals. Nevertheless, we did not find differences between zymosan-treated and control bats, neither in the total number of WBCs, nor in the numbers of specific WBC types. Therefore, we suggest that these increases are a regular response to the arousals (and thus reflect a general boost of ‘defense status’) but are not related to the immune challenge. We argue that during later arousals or even after hibernation, macrophages and neutrophils may even be further elevated in infected bats and that our results may not have covered the full immune response during the 5 days of the experimental period. Overall, an increase in WBCs is generally delayed during an infection compared with acute phase proteins such as haptoglobin (Cray et al., 2009).

CONCLUSIONS

Our study suggests the presence of an adjusted immune function during hibernation as a strategy to retain immune competence while at a state of low metabolic activity. Both zymosan-treated and control bats lost approximately the same amount of body mass and did not differ in torpor patterns over the course of the experiment. However, zymosan bats mounted an acute phase response represented by haptoglobin increases but without increasing arousal frequencies and durations or maximal $T_{ak}$ during arousal. This contrasts with previous studies suggesting that hibernating mammals have to increase their arousal frequency in order to spend a sufficient amount of time in euthermic conditions, or even show fever during arousals to mount an immune response (Bouma et al., 2010a; Carey et al., 2003; Luis and Hudson, 2006; Prendergast et al., 2002; Warnecke et al., 2012). Arousals, fever and general cellular immune responses are energetically costly as they act systemically. In comparison, the release of haptoglobin and pro-oxidants is much less costly yet still very effective against pathogens as they can be released by immune cells in different tissues. Hence, the adjustment of the immune response during hibernation could be characterized by investing only into those components which are both effective and fast. The efficacy of this immediate response could keep pathogens at bay until they can be fully cleared. The latter may then happen under euthermic conditions during later arousals or after hibernation in spring. From an energy saving point of view, a specialized first line defense based on the innate immune response, including an inflammatory response, is advantageous over a full immune response as long as the pathogen can be confined. In contrast, the activation of immune compounds such as WBCs and components of the adaptive immune system as shown in North American bats with white-noise syndrome may require the accelerated consumption of limited energy reserves without the subsequent benefits of pathogen clearance (Moore et al., 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bat capture and housing

We captured sub-adult yearlings (14 males, two females) of greater mouse-eared bats (Myotis myotis) during autumn at a swarming site in Northern Bavaria (Germany) using two 10-m-long mist nets (Solida, Steinbach). Bats were transported to the field station of the Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research Berlin, where all individuals hibernated in individual boxes in a climate chamber, mimicking conditions in natural hibernacula [temperature 7–10°C and relative humidity 90–100% (Kulzer, 2008)]. Animal experiments were approved of by the Animal Experiments Committee of Brandenburg (permit no. 2347-43-2015) and the authority for conservation of protected species of Franconia, Germany (permit no. 55.1-64-042.01-11/15).

Immunological challenge

After 5 months of hibernation, bats were randomly assigned to either the zymosan treatment group ($N=7$ males, one female) or to the control group ($N=7$ males, one female). Bats of the zymosan treatment group received a subcutaneous injection of 0.7 mg/kg zymosan (Merck KGaA, previously Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt) dissolved in 100 µl sterile, isotonic phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS). The dose was derived from previous studies conducted on house sparrows (Passer domesticus) (Coon et al., 2011) and active M. myotis (Seltmann et al., unpublished results). The bats of the control group received 100 µl of PBS. The injections were conducted immediately after individuals had been taken out of hibernation chambers to ensure that body temperature was low in order to challenge bats in the state of hibernation before they aroused.

Body temperature monitoring

To monitor body temperature, torpor and arousal patterns of bats, we used iButton™ temperature loggers (Thermochron iButton®, Maxim, San Jose, USA). Immediately after injection, the loggers (+0.5°C accuracy, factory programmed and calibrated) were attached to the dorsal skin of the bats, right above to the injection area, by using waterproof medical sticking plaster (WUNDmed, Abenberg). We shaved the fur from this area in order to record the superficial skin temperature ($T_{sa}$) as a proxy for the core body temperature (Currie et al., 2015; Warnecke et al., 2012). The metal surface of the iButtons had direct contact to the bat skin, without glue in between to avoid isolation effects and variations in contact with the body surface. Loggers were programmed before attachment (one measurement per 5 min interval) and the data was extracted after the experiment by using the 1-Wire® system (Dallas Semiconductor, Dallas, USA).
Blood sampling
We collected blood samples 30 min after the initial injection, placed all bats back into hibernation conditions and collected additional blood samples after 48 h and 96 h (Fig. 2). For the sampling procedure, we removed bats from the climate chamber and allowed the animals to arouse (30 min). We collected about 50 µl blood from the uropatagial vein of aroused bats using a sterile needle (20Gx1”, WDT, Garbsen) and heparinized micro-hematocrit tubes (BRAND, Wertheim). We used 2.5 µl of each sample to prepare a blood smear and dissolved additional 5 µl in 100 µl Türk’s solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for counting leucocytes. The remaining blood was centrifuged to separate plasma and blood pellet (buffy coat and erythrocytes) and the samples were stored for approximately 1 year at −80°C until further analysis.

Expression of immune genes
RNA was extracted from blood pellets following the manual of the NucleoSpin® RNA Blood extraction kit (Macherey & Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren) with slight modification due to different type of sample used: we added 150 µl H2O to the blood pellet during the lysis step and added a second elution step to increase the RNA yields. The cDNA was synthesized using the ‘RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit’ (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the qPCR we used bat specific primers (Kacprzyk et al., Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the qPCR we used bat specific primers (Kacprzyk et al., Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prior to the analysis, we optimized the primer-specific annealing temperature (Ta, Table 1). Reaction conditions were 3 min at 95°C; 40 cycles: 20 s at 95°C, 20 s at Ta, 30 s at 72°C; melting curve analysis: 10 s at 95°C, 5 s at 60°C to 95°C in increments of 0.5°C. Quantification cycle values (Cq) determined during the quantitative real time PCR were used to express the levels of the immune genes relative to that of ACTB present as the relative expression ratio (rER): immune gene/ACTB. To assess RNA integrity, RIN values of the extracted total RNAs were determined on a 2200 TapeStation (Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Haptoglobin
Haptoglobin was measured following the standard procedure of the commercial ‘PHASE’™ Haptoglobin Assay (Tridelta, Maynooth, Ireland) which was previously used in other bat species (Costantini et al. 2019). As an acute phase protein, haptoglobin reduces oxidative damage by binding hemoglobin released during hemolysis, has immune-modulatory effects and inhibits bacterial growth. Haptoglobin binds to hemoglobin and maintains its peroxidase activity at a low pH. The measured peroxidase activity of hemoglobin is directly proportional to the amount of haptoglobin in the sample. After we diluted the plasma samples (1:4) with PBS, we added hemoglobin. Haptoglobin concentrations (mg/ml) were calculated according to the standard curve on each plate.

Oxidative status
We measured reactive oxygen metabolites (ROMs) and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity (OXy), two markers of oxidative status known to be associated with immune responses (e.g. Costantini and Moller, 2009; Schneeberger et al., 2013a,b). We determined the ROMs using the d-ROMs kit with slight modification due to different type of sample used: we added 150 µl H2O to the blood pellet during the lysis step and added a second elution step to increase the RNA yields. For the qPCR we used bat specific primers (Kacprzyk et al., Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the qPCR we used bat specific primers (Kacprzyk et al., Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prior to the analysis, we optimized the primer-specific annealing temperature (Ta, Table 1). Reaction conditions were 3 min at 95°C; 40 cycles: 20 s at 95°C, 20 s at Ta, 30 s at 72°C; melting curve analysis: 10 s at 95°C, 5 s at 60°C to 95°C in increments of 0.5°C. Quantification cycle values (Cq) determined during the quantitative real time PCR were used to express the levels of the immune genes relative to that of ACTB present as the relative expression ratio (rER): immune gene/ACTB. To assess RNA integrity, RIN values of the extracted total RNAs were determined on a 2200 TapeStation (Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

WBC counts
Total numbers of WBCs were calculated by using the cell counts obtained from diluted blood on a Neubauer chamber using the formula: number of cells in the fields×10,000/number of fields×dilution=WBCs/ml (Bastidas, 2014; Weise et al., 2017). The number of WBCs per different cell type was determined by counting the different WBC types among 100 immune cells on a May-Grünwald-Giemsa stained blood smear under a microscope at 1000× magnification (Blumenreich, 1990; Schneeberger et al., 2013b; Selßmann et al., 2017). The concentrations of the different WBC types (lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, monocytes, basophils) were then determined by calculating the proportion of each WBC type in the total WBC concentration. All WBC counts are reported in number of cells per 1 µl blood.

Calculations and statistical analysis
Torpor and arousal events were recognized by changes of skin temperature (Tsk) recorded at 5 min intervals. Arousals were determined by a Tsk increase of at least 10°C and lasting at least 20 min (Lilley et al., 2016). Torpor was determined by Tsk falling below 11°C for at least 2 h. Artificial arousals due to bat handling (inoculation, blood sampling) and natural arousals were analyzed separately.

All statistics were done in R (version 3.6.0). Data from all response variables was tested for normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test and Lillie test). Non-normal distributed data was transformed per square root (sqt), natural logarithm (log10) and 10 (log10) into normal distributions (for details see Results). The number of arousals could not be transformed into normal distribution and was analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test. Mean body temperature during torpor, mean body temperature during arousal and maximum temperatures during arousal were obtained from individual Tsk records. Differences between zymosan-treated and control bats were calculated by ANOVA. Body mass data, WBC counts, concentrations of haptoglobin, ROMs, OXY, and rER were analyzed by linear mixed-effects models (lmer) fitted by the Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) method from the R package ‘lmerTest’ (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). As factors we here included treatment groups, sampling days, interaction between treatment group and sampling days, and individual body mass and forearm length. The individual was included as random factor. For the body mass lmer-analysis we set treatment group, sampling days, and interaction between them as factors, forearm length as covariate and individual as random effect. All fitted models were analyzed by ANOVA and stochastic dominances among factors were calculated by t-tests using Satterthwaite’s method (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons by using Tukey’s method in the ‘emmeans’ package (Lenth et al., 2017). Because haptoglobin may correlate with ROM (Corsetti et al., 2018; Sauerwein et al., 2005; van de Crommenacker et al., 2010), the relationship was calculated by using the Pearson’s rank correlation for each treatment group.

Acknowledgements
We thank Katja Pohle and Anke Schmidt for their skilful technical assistance in the laboratory; Ervin Havic, Matthias Hammer, Diogo Ferreira, Rohit Chakravarty and Oliver Lindecke for assistance in bat catching and husbandry. We also thank Heribert Hofer, Sarah Benhaiem, Alexandre Courtiol, Anne Seltmann, Shannon E. Currie, and Nicolas Fasel for their help with statistical analysis and helpful comments on previous versions of the manuscript.

Grosseto, Italy) was used to quantify the non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity of plasma. This test is based on an in vitro reaction of non-enzymatic antioxidants (e.g. both lipophilic and hydrophilic antioxidants, such as vitamins, protein thiols, etc.) that occur in a biological matrix (e.g. plasma, hemolysate, tissue homogenate) with hypochloric acid (HOCl). We carried out our analyses using 200 µl of diluted plasma (2 µl of plasma 1:100 with distilled water). To the diluted plasma we added 200 µl of HOCl followed by incubation at 37°C for 10 min. Analyses were carried out in duplicates on the same plate (CV=4.4%). After incubation, absorbance was also measured at 505 nm. We calculated the antioxidant capacity using a reference standard. Values were expressed as mM of HOCl neutralized.
Competition risks

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.F., G.A.C., C.C.V.; Methodology: M.F., D.W., G.A.C., C.C.V.; Validation: D.C., J.F., G.A.C., C.C.V.; Formal analysis: M.F.; Investigation: M.F., D.W., G.A.C.; Resources: G.A.C., C.C.V.; Data curation: M.F., G.A.C.; Writing - original draft: M.F.; Writing - review & editing: D.C., J.F., D.W., G.A.C., C.C.V.; Funding acquisition: G.A.C., C.C.V.

Funding

This study was supported by funds from the Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Berlin. The publication of this article was funded by the Open Access Fund of the Leibniz Association.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at http://bio.biologists.orglookup DOI: 10.1242/bio.046078.supplemental

References

Bastidas, O. (2013). Cell counting with neubauer chamber, basic hemocytometer usage. Technical Note-Neubauer Chamber Cell Counting.

Bastos-Pereira, A. L., Fragà, D., Ott, D., Simm, B., Mugrott, J., Roth, J. and Zamproni, A. R. (2018). Involvement of brain cytokines in zymosan-induced febrile response. J. Appl. Physiol. 116, 1220-1229. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01278.2013

Bertaggia, E., Scabia, G., Dalise, S., Lo Verso, F., Santini, F., Vitti, P., Chisari, C., Field, K. A. and Reeder, D. M. (2009). Does immune response cause oxidative stress in birds? A meta-analysis. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 153, 333-344. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.03.010

Costantini, D., Goutte, A., Barraud, C., Falvern, T., Dorlot, K. and Chastel, O. (2015). Demographic responses to oxidative stress and inflammation in the wandering albatross (Diomedea exulans). PLoS ONE 10, e0133967. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133967

Costantini, D., Czirják, G. Á., Bastamante, P., Bumunrsing, S. and Voigt, C. (2019). Impacts of land use on an insectivorous tropical bat: the importance of mercury, physio-immunology and trophic position. Science of the Total Environment 671, 1077-1085. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.398

Crep, C., Zaias, J. and Altman, N. H. (2009). Acute phase response in animals: a review. Lab. Anim. Sci. 59, 517-526.

Currie, S. E., Kortner, G. and Geiser, F. (2015). Measuring subcutaneous temperature and differential rates of rewarming from hibernation and daily torpor in two species of bats. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 160, 29-31. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2015.08.007

Demas, G. E., Chefer, V., Talan, M. L. and Nelson, R. J. (1997). Metabolic costs of mounting an antigen-stimulated immune response in adult and aged C57BL/6J mice. Am. J. Physiol. 273, R1631-R1637. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1997.273.5.R1631

Dempster, G., Grodums, E. I. and Spencer, W. A. (1966). Experimental coccack B-3 virus infection in Citellus lateralis. J. Cell. Physiol. 67, 443-453. doi:10.1002/jcp.1040670309

Doan, T., Melvold, R., Viselli, S. and Waltenbaugh, C. (2013). Immunology, 2nd edition (ed. S. Rhiner). Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Eaton, J. W., Brandt, P., Mahoney, J. R. and Lee, J. T. (2002). Haptoglobin: a natural bacteriostat. Science 291, 691-693. doi:10.1126/science.7037634

Fan, J., Nishanian, P., Breen, E. C., McDonald, M. and Faje, L. J. (1998). Cytokine gene expression in normal human lymphocytes in response to stimulation. Clin. Diag. Lab. Immunol. 5, 355-350.

Florian, G. L. (1998). Lipid metabolism in hibernators: the importance of essential fatty acids. Am. Zool. 38, 331-340. doi:10.1002/icsb.38.2.331

Frick, W. F., Puechmaille, S. J. and Willis, C. K. R. (2016). White-nose syndrome in bats. In Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World (ed. C. Voigt and T. Kingston), pp. 245-262. Cham: Springer.

Gallo, Romero, I., Pai, A. A., Tung, J. and Gilad, Y. (2014). RNA-seq: impact of RNA degradation on transcript quantification. BMC Biol. 12, 42. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-12-42

Gruys, E., Toussaint, M. J. M., Niewold, T. A. and Koopmans, S. J. (2010). Chiroptera. In Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World (ed. M. S. Fischer, J. Niethammer, H. Schliemann, D. Starck and M. Ko) pp. 47-58. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-18637-jss.v082.i13

Hartung, K., Leibfried, K., Anton, A., Razum, A., Canale, S. E., Kortner, G. and Geiser, F. (2016). White-nose syndrome survivors do not show an effect of melatonin treatment. Redox Rep. 14, 132-138. doi:10.1179/1369739616y.0000000001

Huber, J. M., Franci, C. M., Sturza, C. M., Hume, I. D., Loew, L. M., Lee, K. A. and Martin, S. L. (2011). Acute-phase responses vary with pathogen identity in house sparrows (Passer domesticus). J. Leukoc. Biol. 89, 619-624. doi:10.1189/jlb.0310174

Hut, R. A., Barnes, B. M. and Daan, S. (2002). Body temperature patterns before, during, and after semi-natural hibernation in the European ground squirrel. J. Comp. Physiol. B 172, 47-58. doi:10.1002/jcb.1000226

Jilma, B., Kacprzyk, J., Hughes, G. M., Palsson-McDermott, E. M., Quinn, S. R., Kulzer, E. and Jilma, B. (2019). Package emmeans. URL: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/emmeans.pdf

Jiménez-Ortega, V., Cano, P., Cardinalli, D. P. and Esquifino, A. I. (2009). 24-Hour variation in gene expression of redox pathway enzymes in rain hypothermalus: effect of melatonin treatment. Redox Rep. 14, 132-138. doi:10.1179/1369739616y.0000000001

Kacprzyk, J., Hughes, G. M., Palsson-McDermott, E. M., Quinn, S. R., Puechmaille, S. J., O'Neill, L. A. J. and Teeling, E. C. (2017). A potent anti-inflammatory response in bat macrophages may be linked to extended longevity and viral tolerance. Acta Chiropt. 19, 219-228. doi:10.3161/107515081110001226

Kulzer, E. (2008). Chiroptera. In Mammalia (ed. M. S. Fischer, J. Niethammer, H. Schliemann, D. Starck and M. Ko) pp. 250. Berlin: De Gruyter.

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B. and Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). InterTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. J. Statst. Softw. 82, 16590. doi:10.18637/jss.v082.i13

Langlois, M. R. and Delange, J. R. (1996). Biological and clinical significance of haptoglobin polymorphism in humans. Clin. Chem. 42, 1589-1600.

Lee, K. A. (2006). Linking immune defenses and life history at the levels of the individual and the species. Integr. Comp. Biol. 46, 1000-1015. doi:10.1093/icb/ico104

Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P. and Herve, M. (2019). Package emmeans. URL: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/emmeans.pdf

Lilley, T. M., Johnson, J. S., Ruokolainen, L., Rogers, E. J., Wilson, C. A., Schell, S. M., Field, K. A. and Reeder, D. M. (2016). White-nose syndrome survivors do...
not exhibit frequent arousals associated with Pseudogymnoascus destructans infection. *Front. Zool.* 13, 12. doi:10.1186/s12983-016-0143-3

Lilley, T. M., Prokkaia, J. M., Blomberg, A. S., Paterson, S., Johnson, J. S., Turner, G. G., Bartoníčka, T., Bachorec, E., Reeder, D. M. and Field, K. A. (2019). Resistance is futile: porcine sequencing reveals differing responses to bat fungal pathogen in Nearctic Myotis lucifugus and palearctic Myotis myotis. *Oecologia* 191, 295-309. doi:10.1007/s00442-019-04499-6

Luis, A. D. and Hudson, P. J. (2006). Hibernation patterns in mammals: a role for bacterial growth? *Funct. Ecol.* 20, 471-477. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01119.x

Martin, L. B., Scheuerlein, A. and Wikelski, M. (2003). Immune activity elevates energy expenditure of house sparrows: a link between direct and indirect costs? *Proc. R. Soc. B* 270, 153-158. doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2185

Mayberry, H. W., McGUIRE, L. P. and Willis, C. K. R. (2010). Body temperatures of hibernating little brown bats reveal pronounced behavioral and circannual activity during deep torpor and suggest a fever response during white-nose syndrome. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 188, 333-343. doi:10.1007/s00360-017-1119-0

McGUIRE, L. P., Mayberry, H. W. and Willis, C. K. R. (2017). White-nose syndrome increases torpid metabolic rate and evaporative water loss in hibernating bats. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 313, R680-R686. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00058.2017

Momimoki, K., Morimatsu, M., Karjalainen, M., Hohtola, E., Hissa, H. and Saito, M. (2005). Elevated plasma concentrations of haptoglobin in European brown bears during hibernation. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 142, 472-477. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2005.09.017

Moore, M. S., Reichard, J. D., Murtha, T. D., Naban, M. L., Pian, R. E., Ferreira, J. S. and Kunz, T. H. (2013). Hibernating little brown myotis (Myotis lucifugus) show variable immunological responses to white-nose syndrome. *PLoS ONE* 8, e59976. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059976

Novoselova, E. G., Kolasev, S. G., Maker, V. R. and Agapovna, T. A. (2000). Production of tumor necrosis factor in cells of hibernating ground squirrels Citellus undulatus during annual cycle. *Life Sci.* 67, 1073-1080. doi:10.1016/S0024-3205(00)00698-6

Prenzlau, B. J., Freeman, D. A., Zucker, I., Nelson, R. J., Brian, J., Freeman, D. A. and Nelson, R. J. (2002). Periodic arousal from hibernation is necessary for initiation of immune responses in ground squirrels. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 432010.R1062. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00562.2001

Puechmaille, S. J., Wibbelt, G., Korn, V., Forget, F., Muhlendorf, K., Kurth, A., Spagnolozzi, A., Bosch, T., et al. (2011). Pan-European distribution of white-nose syndrome fungus (Geomyces destructans) not associated with mass mortality. *PLoS ONE* 6, e19167. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019167

Salazar, F. and Brown, G. D. (2018). Antifungal innate immunity: a perspective from the last 10 years. In *Innate Immune Adaptation and Host Defense in bats.* (ed. S. Seyedmousavi, G. de Hoog, J. Guillod and V. P.), pp. 289-307. Cham: Springer.

Sebastiani, M., Eens, M., Messina, S., AbdElGawad, H., Pineau, K., Beemster, G. T. S., Chastel, O. and Costantini, D. (2018). Resveratrol supplementation reduces oxidative stress and modulates the immune response in free-living animals during a viral infection. *Funct Ecol* 32, 2509-2519. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12570

Seltmann, A., Czirják, G. Á., Courtiol, A., Bernard, H., Struebig, M. J. and Voigt, C. C. (2017). Habitat disturbance results in chronic stress and impaired health status in forest-dwelling paleotropical bats. *Conserv. Physiol.* 5, cox020. doi:10.1093/conph/cog020

Shotham, S. and Levitz, S. M. (2005). The immune response to fungal infections. *Br. J. Haematol.* 129, 569-582. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2451.2005.05397.x

Sorci, G. and Faire, B. (2009). Inflammation and oxidative stress in vertebrate host-parasite systems. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 364, 71-83. doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0151

Tizard, I. R. (2008). Veterinary Immunology, 6th edn (ed. J. Gower). College Station, Texas: Saunders/An Imprint Of Elsevier.

Tseng, C. F., Lin, C. C., Huang, H. Y., Liu, H. C. and Mao, S. J. T. (2004). Antioxidant role of human haptoglobin. *Proteomics* 4, 2221-2228. doi:10.1002/ pmc.20030787

Underhill, D. M. (2003). Macrophage recognition of zymosan particles. *J. Endotoxin Res.* 9, 176-180. doi:10.1177/09689504030090030601

van de Crommenacker, J., Horrocks, N. P. C., Versteegh, M. A., Kormeir, J., Tieleman, B. I. and Matson, K. D. (2010). Effects of immune supplementation and immune challenge on oxidative status and physiology in a model bird: implications for ecologists. *J. Exp. Biol.* 213, 3527-3535. doi:10.1242/jeb.045591

Volman, T. J. H., Hendriks, T. and Goris, R. J. A. (2005). Zymosan-induced generalized inflammation: experimental studies mechanisms leading to multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. *Shock* 23, 291-297. doi:10.1097/01.shk.0000161974.103680

Wang, Y., Kinzie, E., Berger, F. G., Lim, S.-K. and Baumann, H. (2001). Haptoglobin, an inflammation-inducible plasma protein. *Redox Rep.* 6, 379-385. doi:10.1175/1351000101536580

Warnecke, L., Turner, J. M., Bollinger, T. K., Lorch, J. M., Misra, V., Cryan, P. M., Whibbelt, G., Siehlert, D. S. and Willis, C. K. R. (2012). Inoculation of bats with European Geomyces destructans supports the novel pathogen hypothesis for the origin of white-nose syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 6999-7003. doi:10.1073/pnas.1200374109

Weise, P., Czirják, G. A., Lindecke, O., Bumrungsri, S. and Voigt, C. C. (2017). Simulated bacterial infection disrupts the circadian fluctuation of immune cells in wrinkled-lipped bats (Chaerophrant pusillus). *PeerJ* 5, e3570. doi:10.7717/peerj.3570

Whibbelt, G. (2018). White-Nose Syndrome in a Hibernating Bat. In *Emerging and Epizootic Fungal Infections in Animals* (ed. S. Seyedmousavi, G. de Hoog, J. Guillod and V. P.), pp. 289-307. Cham: Springer.

Whibbelt, G., Puechmaille, S. J., OIhendorf, B., Mühldorfer, K., Bosch, T., Görföl, T., Passior, K., Kurth, A., Lacremans, D. and Forget, F. (2013). Skin lesions in European hibernating bats associated with Geomyces destructans, the etiologic agent of white-nose syndrome. *PLoS ONE* 8, e74105. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074105

Wills, C. K. R., Jameson, J. W., Faure, P. A., Boyle, J. G., Brack, V. Jr. and Cervone, T. H. (2009). Thermocron iButton and iBBat temperature dataloggers emit ultrasound. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 179, 867-874. doi:10.1007/s00360-009-0366-0

Young, S.-H., Ye, J., Frazer, D. G., Shi, X. and Castranova, V. (2001). Molecular Mechanism of Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Production in 1–3-β-Glucan (Zymosan)-activated Macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 20781-20787. doi:10.1074/jbc.M101111200
Supplementary material

Table S1: Mean time span (duration) from end of torpor state until the temperature had increased by at least 10°C ($\Delta T_{\text{arousal}}$) and until reaching the maximum arousal temperature (max $T_{\text{arousal}}$) per treatment group.

| group             | arousal type | level         | mean duration (min) |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|
| zymosan-treated   | artificial   | $\Delta T_{\text{arousal}} > 10^\circ \text{C}$ | 20.94               |
| control           | artificial   | $\Delta T_{\text{arousal}} > 10^\circ \text{C}$ | 21.56               |
| zymosan-treated   | natural      | $\Delta T_{\text{arousal}} > 10^\circ \text{C}$ | 21.00               |
| control           | natural      | $\Delta T_{\text{arousal}} > 10^\circ \text{C}$ | 21.25               |
| zymosan-treated   | artificial   | max $T_{\text{arousal}}$          | 36.56               |
| control           | artificial   | max $T_{\text{arousal}}$          | 35.33               |
| zymosan-treated   | natural      | max $T_{\text{arousal}}$          | 24.25               |
| control           | natural      | max $T_{\text{arousal}}$          | 24.63               |
Table S2. RIN-values of total RNAs extracted from blood clot samples of 16 *Myotis myotis* (*Mmyo*). The RIN-values (RNA integrity values) were measured in order to assess the state of the extracted RNA. The values were determined using the 2200 TapeStation (Agilent) and the RNA ScreenTape. / - no value could be measured. In comparison, fresh *Mmyo*-tissue had a RIN-Value=8.

| Sample  | 0.5 h after inoculation | 48 h after inoculation | 96 h after inoculation |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Mmyo1   | 4.3                     | Mmyo1                  | 3.3                    |
| Mmyo2   | 4                       | Mmyo2                  | 3.5                    |
| Mmyo3   | /                       | Mmyo3                  | 3.6                    |
| Mmyo4   | 3.3                     | Mmyo4                  | 4.3                    |
| Mmyo5   | 3.5                     | Mmyo5                  | 4.2                    |
| Mmyo6   | 3.9                     | Mmyo6                  | 4.8                    |
| Mmyo7   | 3.7                     | Mmyo7                  | 3.9                    |
| Mmyo8   | 3.9                     | Mmyo8                  | 3.8                    |
| Mmyo9   | 3.6                     | Mmyo9                  | 4.2                    |
| Mmyo10  | 3.8                     | Mmyo10                 | 3.6                    |
| Mmyo11  | 3.8                     | Mmyo11                 | 3.6                    |
| Mmyo12  | 4.8                     | Mmyo12                 | 4.8                    |
| Mmyo13  | 5.1                     | Mmyo13                 | 6.6                    |
| Mmyo14  | 3.8                     | Mmyo14                 | 2.6                    |
| Mmyo15  | 3                       | Mmyo15                 | 3.3                    |
| Mmyo16  | 4.9                     | Mmyo16                 | 3.8                    |
Figure S1. Concentrations (in cells / µl blood) of different white blood cells (WBC) in zymosan-treated (black) and control bats (grey)