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Abstract. We use one of the simplest forms of the K-essence theory and we apply it to the classical anisotropic Bianchi type I cosmological model, with a barotropic perfect fluid \(p = \gamma \rho\) modeling the usual matter content and include the particular form of potential \(V(\phi) = \text{constant} = 2\Lambda\). The classical solutions for any \(\gamma \neq 1\) and \(\Lambda = 0\) are found in closed form, using a time transformation. We also present the solution when \(\Lambda \neq 0\) including particular values in the barotropic parameter. We present the possible isotropization of the cosmological model Bianchi I using the ratio between the anisotropic parameters and the volume of the universe and show that this tend to a constant or to zero for different cases.
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1. Introduction
In recent times, some attempts to unify the description of dark matter, dark energy and inflation, by means of a scalar field with non standard kinetic term have been conducted \cite{1, 2, 3, 4}. The K-essence theory is based on the idea of a dynamical attractor solution which causes it to act as a cosmological constant only at the onset of matter domination. Consequently, K-essence overtakes the matter density and induces cosmic acceleration at about the present epoch. Usually K-essence models are restricted to the lagrangian density of the form \cite{2, 5, 6, 7}

\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ f(\phi) \mathcal{G}(X) - V(\phi) \right],
\]

where the canonical kinetic energy is given by \(\mathcal{G}(X) = X = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \phi\). K-essence was originally proposed as a model for inflation, and then as a model for dark energy, along with explorations of unifying dark energy and dark matter \cite{5, 8, 9}. Another motivations to consider this type of lagrangian originates from string theory \cite{10}.

In this framework, gravitational and matter variables have been reduced to a finite number of degrees of freedom. For homogenous cosmological models the metric depends only on time and gives a model with a finite dimensional configuration space, called minisuperspace. In this work, we use this formulation to obtain classical solutions to the anisotropic Bianchi type I cosmological model with a perfect fluid. This class of models were considered initially in this
formalism by Chimento and Forte [11]. The first step is to write the theory for the Bianchi type I model in the usual manner, that is, we calculate the corresponding energy-momentum tensor to the scalar field and give the equivalent Lagrangian density. Next, by means of a Legendre transformation, we proceed to obtain the canonical Lagrangian \( L_{\text{can}} \), from which the classical Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \) can be found.

This work is arranged as follows. In section 2 we obtain the corresponding K-essence field equations and in simple way we applied to Cosmological Bianchi Class A models. In section 3 we construct the lagrangian and hamiltonian densities for the anisotropic Bianchi type I cosmological model. In section 4 we present some ideas in as the anisotropic cosmological model can obtain its isotropization via the mean volume function and next we obtain the classical exact solution for all values in the gamma parameter. Finally, section 5 is devoted to some final remarks.

2. K-essence field equation

One of the simplest K-essence models, without self interaction has the following lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{geo}} = R + f(\phi)\mathcal{G}(X),
\]

where \( R \) is the scalar curvature, and \( f(\phi) \) is an arbitrary function of the scalar field. From the Lagrangian (2) we can build the complete action

\[
I = \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{-g}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{geo}} + \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{mat}})d^4x,
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{mat}} \) is the matter Lagrangian, \( \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda} = 2\Lambda \) is the cosmological constant lagrangian, and \( g \) is the determinant of the metric tensor. The field equations for this theory are

\[
G_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + f(\phi)\left[\mathcal{G}_{\phi,\alpha\phi,\beta} + \mathcal{G}g_{\alpha\beta}\right] = -T_{\alpha\beta},
\]

\[
f(\phi)\left[\mathcal{G}_{\phi,\phi,\beta} + \mathcal{G}_{XX\phi,\beta}\phi^{\beta}\right] + \frac{df}{d\phi}\left[\mathcal{G} - 2X\mathcal{G}_X\right] = 0,
\]

where we work in units with \( 8\pi G = 1 \) and, as usual, the semicolon means a covariant derivative and a subscripted \( X \) denotes differentiation with respect to \( X \).

The same set of equations (4,5) is obtained if we consider the scalar field \( X(\phi) \) as part of the matter content, i.e. say \( \mathcal{L}_{X,\phi} = f(\phi)\mathcal{G}(X) \) with the corresponding energy-momentum tensor

\[
T_{\alpha\beta} = f(\phi)\left[\mathcal{G}_{\phi,\phi,\alpha,\beta} + \mathcal{G}(X)g_{\alpha\beta}\right].
\]

Considering the energy-momentum tensor of a barotropic perfect fluid, \( T_{\alpha\beta} = (\rho + P)u_\alpha u_\beta + Pg_{\alpha\beta} \), with \( u_\alpha \) the four-velocity, which satisfy the relation \( u_\mu u^\mu = -1 \), \( \rho \) the energy density and \( P \) the pressure of the fluid. For simplicity we consider a comoving perfect fluid. The pressure, the energy density and the four-velocity corresponding to the energy-momentum tensor of the field \( X \), become

\[
\rho(X) = f(\phi)\mathcal{G}, \quad P(X) = f(\phi)\left[2X\mathcal{G}_X - \mathcal{G}\right], \quad u_\mu = \frac{\nabla u_\phi}{\sqrt{2X}},
\]

thus, the barotropic parameter is

\[
\omega_X = \frac{\mathcal{G}}{2X\mathcal{G}_X - \mathcal{G}},
\]

and we notice that the case of a constant barotropic index \( \omega_X \), (with the exception \( \omega_X = 0 \) ) can be obtained by the \( \mathcal{G} \) function

\[
\mathcal{G} = X^{1+\omega_X}.
\]
We have the following states in the evolution of our universe in this formalism,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{stiff matter: } & \quad \omega_X = 1, \quad \rightarrow G(X) = X. \\
\text{Radiation: } & \quad \omega_X = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \rightarrow G(X) = X^2. \\
\text{inflation like: } & \quad \omega_X = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad \rightarrow G(X) = \frac{1}{X}. \\
& \quad \omega_X = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad \rightarrow G(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}. 
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

In reference [4], the authors present the analysis to radiation era using dynamical systems obtaining bouncing solutions.

2.1. Anisotropic cosmological Bianchi Class A models, \( f(\phi) = \text{constant} \)

Considering the cosmological anisotropic Bianchi Class A models with metric (20), the equation (5) in term of \( X \), becomes (here and all where appear the \( \prime \) means, \( \prime = \frac{d}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{dN} dt \), with \( t \) the usual cosmic time)

\[
[\mathcal{G}_X + 2X\mathcal{G}_{XX}]X' + 6\Omega'X\mathcal{G}_X = 0,
\]

(11)

where the scalar function \( \Omega \) in some sense parametrize the mean scale factor of a isotropic universe, with its corresponding solution

\[
X\mathcal{G}_X^2 = \eta e^{-6\Omega}.
\]

(12)

with \( \eta \) a constant.

Note that equation (12) give us the possible solutions \( X(A) \), as a function of the scale factor and therefore the behavior of all physical properties of the k-essence (like \( \rho, P \)) , are completely determined by the function \( X \) and do not depend on the evolution of the other types of energy density.

2.2. quintessence like case: \( \mathcal{G} = X \) and \( f(\phi) \neq \text{constant} \)

The field equations for this particular case are

\[
\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + f(\phi) \left( \phi_{,\alpha} \phi_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \phi_{,\gamma} \phi_{,\gamma} \right) = -T_{\alpha\beta},
\]

(13)

\[
2f(\phi)\phi_{,\alpha} + \frac{df}{d\phi} \phi_{,\gamma} \phi_{,\gamma} = 0,
\]

(14)

and the energy-momentum tensor (6) has the following form,

\[
T_{\alpha\beta} = f(\phi) \left( \phi_{,\alpha} \phi_{,\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \phi_{,\gamma} \phi_{,\gamma} \right).
\]

(15)

In this new line of reasoning, the action (3) can be rewritten as a geometrical part and matter content (usual matter plus a term that corresponds to the exotic scalar field component of the K-essence theory). The equation of motion for the field \( \phi \) (14) has the following property, using the metric of the Bianchi type I model (however, this is satisfied by all cosmological Bianchi Class A models),

\[
3\Omega'\phi' + \phi'' + \frac{1}{2} \frac{df}{d\phi} \phi'^2 = 0,
\]

(16)

which can be integrated at once with the following result,

\[
\frac{1}{2} f(\phi) \phi'^2 = \eta e^{-6\Omega}, \quad \rightarrow \quad \int \sqrt{f(\phi)} d\phi = \sqrt{2\eta} \int e^{-3\Omega(\tau)} d\tau.
\]

(17)
here \( \eta \) is an integration constant and has the same sign as \( f(\phi) \). Considering the particular form of \( f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m \) or \( f(\phi) = \omega e^{m\phi} \) with \( m \) and \( \omega \) constants, the classical solutions for the field \( \phi \) in quadrature are

\[
\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
(m + 2)\sqrt{\frac{2\eta}{m}} \int e^{-3\Omega(t)} \, d\tau \frac{2}{m+2}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m, \quad m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2\eta}{m}} \int e^{-3\Omega(t)} \, d\tau \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, \quad m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left[ m \sqrt{\frac{2\eta}{m}} \int e^{-3\Omega(t)} \, d\tau \right], & f(\phi) = \omega e^{m\phi}, \quad m \neq 0 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left[ \sqrt{2\eta} \int e^{-3\Omega(t)} \, d\tau \right], & f(\phi) = \omega, \quad m = 0
\end{cases}
\] (18)

In our particular case, it is evident that the contribution of the scalar field is equivalent to a stiff fluid with a barotropic equation of state \( \gamma = 1 \). This is an instance of the results of the analysis of the energy momentum tensor of a scalar field (15) by Madsen [12] for General Relativity with scalar matter and by Pimentel [13] for the general scalar tensor theory. In both works a free scalar field is equivalent to a stiff matter fluid. In this way, we write the action (3) in the usual form

\[ I = \int \sqrt{-g} (R + G_{\text{mat}} + L_{\phi}) \, d^4x, \] (19)

and consequently, the classical equivalence between the two theories. We can infer that this correspondence is also satisfied in the quantum regime, so we can use this structure for the quantization program, where the ADM formalism is well known for different classes of matter [14].

3. Hamiltonian for the Bianchi type I cosmological model

Let us recall here the canonical formulation in the ADM formalism of the diagonal Bianchi Class A models. The metric has the form

\[ ds^2 = -(Ndt)^2 + e^{2\Omega(t)} (e^{2\beta(t)})_{ij} \omega^i \omega^j = -d\tau^2 + e^{2\Omega(t)} (e^{2\beta(t)})_{ij} \omega^i \omega^j, \] (20)

where \( \Omega(t) \) is a scalar, \( N \) the lapse function and \( \beta_{ij}(t) \) a 3x3 diagonal matrix, \( \beta_{ij} = \text{diag}(\beta_+ + \sqrt{3}\beta_-, \beta_+ - \sqrt{3}\beta_-, -2\beta_+) \), \( \omega^i \) are one-forms that characterize each cosmological Bianchi type model and obey \( dw^i = \frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk} \omega^j \wedge \omega^k \), \( C^i_{jk} \) the structure constants of the corresponding invariance group. For the Bianchi type I model we have

\[ \omega^1 = dx^1, \quad \omega^2 = dx^2, \quad \omega^3 = dx^3 \]

The total Lagrangian density then for this metric becomes

\[ L_1 = e^{3\Omega} \left[ \frac{6}{N} \dot{\beta}_+^2 - \frac{6}{N} \dot{\beta}_-^2 - 6 \frac{\beta_+^2 - \beta_-^2}{N^2} + \frac{f(\phi)}{2N} \dot{\phi}^2 + 2N \rho + 2N \Lambda \right], \] (21)

and the corresponding Hamiltonian density is

\[ H_\perp = \frac{e^{-3\Omega}}{24} \left( -\Pi_1^2 + \frac{12}{f(\phi)} \Pi_0^2 + \Pi_0^2 + \Pi_+^2 + b_\gamma e^{-3(\gamma-1)\Omega} + 48 \Lambda e^{6\Omega} \right), \] (22)

with \( b_\gamma = 48 \mu_\Lambda \), where we have used energy-momentum conservation the law for a perfect fluid, \( \Pi^\mu_{\nu} = 0 \), \( \rightarrow \rho = \mu_\gamma e^{-3(\gamma-1)\Omega} \), we assumed an equation of state \( p = \gamma \rho \),
3.1. Classical equations

The corresponding Einstein field equations (13) and (14) for the anisotropic cosmological model Bianchi type I are the following (remember that the prime \( \prime \) is the derivative over the time \( d\tau = N dt \)),

\[
3\Omega'^2 - 3\beta_+'^2 - 3\beta_-'^2 - \frac{f}{4} \phi'^2 - \rho - \Lambda = 0
\]

\[
2\beta_-''' + 3\Omega'^2 - 3\Omega'\beta_+' - 3\sqrt{3}\Omega'\beta_-'' - \beta_+''' + 3\beta_+'^2 - 3\beta_-'' + 3\beta_+'^2 + \frac{f}{4} \phi'^2 + p - \Lambda = 0,
\]

\[
2\beta_-''' + 3\Omega'^2 - 3\Omega'\beta_+' + 3\sqrt{3}\Omega'\beta_-'' - \beta_+''' + 3\beta_+'^2 + 3\beta_-'' + 3\beta_+'^2 + \frac{f}{4} \phi'^2 + p - \Lambda = 0,
\]

\[
2\beta_-''' + 3\Omega'^2 + 6\Omega'\beta_+' + 2\beta_-''' + 3\beta_+'^2 + 3\beta_-'' + \frac{f}{4} \phi'^2 + p - \Lambda = 0
\]

the solution of this last equation was putted in (17),

\[
\frac{1}{2} f(\phi) \phi'^2 = \eta e^{-6\Omega}, \quad \rightarrow \quad \int \sqrt{f(\phi)} d\phi = \sqrt{2\eta} \int e^{-3\Omega(\tau)} d\tau.
\]

The combination between the second and third equations us give the solution for the anisotropic function \( \beta_- \), also the sum of third and fourth equations, putting the \( \beta_+ \) solution, give us the form of the \( \beta_- \) function,

\[
\beta_\pm(\tau) = a_\pm \int e^{-3\Omega(\tau)} d\tau,
\]

where \( a_\pm \) are integration constants. So, the (23) are rewritten as

\[
3\Omega'^2 = 3c_1 e^{-6\Omega} + \mu e^{-3(\gamma+1)\Omega} + \Lambda, \quad c_1 = a_+^2 + a_-^2 + \frac{\eta}{6},
\]

\[
2\beta_-''' + 3\Omega'^2 + 3\beta_+'^2 + 3\beta_-'' + \frac{f}{4} \phi'^2 + p - \Lambda = 0
\]

4. Isotropization

The current observations of the cosmic background radiation set a very stringent limit to the anisotropy of the universe (\([15]\)), therefore it is important to consider the anisotropy of the solutions. Recalling the Friedmann equation (constraint equation),

\[
3\Omega'^2 - 3\beta_+'^2 - 3\beta_-'^2 - \frac{f}{4} \phi'^2 - \rho - \Lambda = 0,
\]

we can see that isotropization is achieved when the terms with \( \beta_-'^2 \) go to zero or are negligible with respect to the other terms in the differential equation. We find in the literature the criteria for isotropization, among others, \((\beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2)/H^2 \rightarrow 0\), \((\beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2)/\rho \rightarrow 0\), that are consistent with our above remark. In the present case the comparison with the density should include the contribution of the scalar field. We define an anisotropic density \( \rho_a \), that is proportional to the shear scalar,

\[
\rho_a = \beta_+'^2 + \beta_-'^2,
\]

and will compare it with \( \rho, \rho_\phi \), and \( \Omega^2 \). From the Hamilton Jacobi analysis we now that

\[
\rho_a \sim e^{-6\Omega}, \quad \rho_\phi \sim e^{-6\Omega}, \quad \Omega^2 \sim 48\Lambda + \kappa_\Omega^2 e^{-6\Omega} + \kappa e^{-3(1+\gamma)\Omega}
\]
and the ratios are
\[
\frac{\rho_a}{\rho_\phi} \sim \text{constant}, \quad \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_\gamma} \sim e^{3\Omega(\gamma-1)}, \quad \frac{\rho_a}{\Omega^2} \sim \frac{1}{\kappa_\Omega^2 + 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega} + b_\gamma e^{3(1-\gamma)\Omega}}. \tag{31}
\]

Here we see that for expanding an universe the anisotropic density is dominated by the fluid density (with the exception of the stiff fluid) or by the \(\Omega^2\) term and then at late times the isotropization is obtained if the expansion goes to infinity. Hence it is necessary to determine when we have an ever expanding universe.

In the following we obtain exact solutions in order to gives the volume function \(V\) to each case.

### 4.1. Exact Classical solutions

In order to find the solutions for the remaining minisuperspace variables we employ the Einstein-Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which arises by making the identification \(\frac{\partial S(\Omega, \beta_\pm, \phi)}{\partial q^\mu} = \Pi^\mu\) in the Hamiltonian constraint \(\mathcal{H}_\perp = 0\), which results in
\[
\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \Omega}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_+}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_-}\right)^2 + \frac{12}{f(\phi)} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 - b_\gamma e^{3(1-\gamma)\Omega} - 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega} = 0 \tag{32}
\]

in order to solve the above equation, we assume a solution of the form
\[
S(\Omega, \beta_\pm, \phi) = S_1(\Omega) + S_2(\beta_+) + S_3(\beta_-) + S_4(\phi) \quad \text{which results in the following set of ordinary differential equations}
\]
\[
\left(\frac{dS_1}{d\Omega}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dS_2}{d\beta_+}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{33}
\]
\[
\left(\frac{dS_2}{d\beta_+}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dS_3}{d\beta_-}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{34}
\]
\[
\left(\frac{dS_3}{d\beta_-}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{dS_4}{d\phi}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{35}
\]
\[
\frac{12}{f(\phi)} \left(\frac{dS_4}{d\phi}\right)^2 - \kappa_\phi^2 = 0. \tag{36}
\]

Here the \(\kappa_i\) are separation constants satisfying the relation \(\kappa_\Omega^2 = \kappa_+^2 + \kappa_-^2 + \kappa_\phi^2\), \(\kappa_\pm\) are real, \(\kappa_\phi^2\) should have the same signs as \(f(\phi)\) and for consistency with Eq.(17) we have \(\kappa_\phi^2 = 24\eta\).

Recalling the expressions for the momenta we can obtain solutions for equations (33-36) in quadrature, in particular
\[
\Delta \tau = 12 \int \frac{d\Omega}{\sqrt{48\Lambda + \kappa_\Omega^2 e^{-6\Omega} + b_\gamma e^{-3(1+\gamma)\Omega}}} \tag{37}
\]
\[
\Delta \beta_\pm = \pm \frac{\kappa_\pm}{12} \int e^{-3\Omega(\tau)} d\tau. \tag{38}
\]

We already know the solution for (36). As can be seen from (38), in order to obtain solutions for \(\beta_\pm\) one needs to find a solution for \(\Omega\), which can be obtained from (37), and this one does not have a general solution, however, it is possible find solutions for particular values of the barotropic parameter \(\gamma\) with \(\Lambda \neq 0\).

(i) \(\Lambda = 0\) and \(\gamma \neq 1\)

The equation (37) can be written as
\[
d\tau = 12 \frac{e^{3\Omega} d\Omega}{\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2 + b_\gamma e^{-3\Omega(\gamma-1)}}}. \tag{39}
\]
when we consider the time transformations \( d\tau = e^{3\Omega}dT \), and the change of variable \( u = \kappa T^2 + b\phi e^{-3(\gamma-1)\Omega} \), this equation has the solution

\[
\Omega(T) = \ln \left[ \theta_T T^2 + \delta_T T \right]^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}},
\]

(40)

where \( \theta_T = \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{\kappa} \right)^2 b_T \) and \( \delta_T = -\sqrt{\kappa T^2} \gamma \). With this, the time transformation becomes

\[
d\tau = \left[ \theta_T T^2 + \delta_T T \right]^{-\frac{\gamma}{3(\gamma-1)}} dT.
\]

and the closed form is [16],

\[
\tau = \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\delta_T} \left[ \theta_T T^2 + \delta_T T \right]^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} 2F_1 \left( 1, -\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}, \frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1} - \frac{T\theta_T}{\delta_T} \right),
\]

(41)

here \( 2F_1 \) is a hypergeometric function. We also have

\[
\int e^{-3\Omega}d\tau = \frac{1}{\delta_T} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_T T + \delta_T} \right].
\]

(42)

The anisotropy functions and the scalar field are given by

\[
\Delta \beta_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\kappa_T}{12\delta_T} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_T T + \delta_T} \right].
\]

(43)

\[
\phi(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(m + 2) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_T}{\delta_T}} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_T T + \delta_T} \right]^{\frac{m}{2}}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m, \ m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\kappa_T}} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_T T + \delta_T} \right] \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, \ m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left[ \frac{m}{2} \frac{1}{\delta_T} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_T T + \delta_T} \right] \right], & f(\phi) = \omega e^{m\phi}, \ m \neq 0 \\
\sqrt{\frac{2m}{\kappa_T}} \frac{1}{\delta_T} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_T T + \delta_T} \right]^{\frac{m}{2}}, & f(\phi) = \omega, \ m = 0
\end{array} \right.
\]

(44)

As a concrete example we consider the particular value \( \gamma = 0 \), then \( \tau = T \) and \( \Omega \) becomes

\[
\Omega(\tau) = \ln \left[ \frac{3}{4} \mu_0 T^2 + \sqrt{\kappa \Omega_T} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad e^{3\Omega} = \frac{3}{4} \mu_0 T^2 + \sqrt{\kappa \Omega_T} T.
\]

(45)

So, the classical solutions for the anisotropic function \( \beta_{\pm} \) and \( \phi \) field are,

\[
\Delta \beta_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\kappa_T}{3\sqrt{\kappa T}} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\sqrt{\kappa T} + \frac{3}{2} \mu_0 T} \right].
\]

(46)

\[
\phi(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(m + 2) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_T}{\delta_T}} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\sqrt{\kappa T} + \frac{3}{2} \mu_0 T} \right]^{\frac{m}{2}}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m, \ m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2m}{\kappa_T}} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\sqrt{\kappa T} + \frac{3}{2} \mu_0 T} \right] \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, \ m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left[ \frac{m}{2} \frac{1}{\delta_T} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\sqrt{\kappa T} + \frac{3}{2} \mu_0 T} \right] \right], & f(\phi) = \omega e^{m\phi}, \ m \neq 0 \\
\sqrt{\frac{2m}{\kappa_T}} \frac{1}{\delta_T} \ln \left[ \frac{T}{\sqrt{\kappa T} + \frac{3}{2} \mu_0 T} \right]^{\frac{m}{2}}, & f(\phi) = \omega, \ m = 0
\end{array} \right.
\]

(47)
(ii) \( \Lambda = 0 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \)
In this case equation (37) is
\[
\Delta \tau = \int \frac{12}{\sqrt{b_2 e^{-6\Omega}}} d\Omega \tag{48}
\]
with \( b_2 = \kappa \Omega^2 + 48\mu_1 \) that we assume positive.
The corresponding solutions are
\[
e^{3\Omega} = \sqrt{b_2} \Delta \tau, \quad \Delta \beta_\pm = \frac{\kappa \pm \frac{1}{6} \int e^{-3\Omega} d\tau}{2 \sqrt{b_2}} = \mp \frac{\kappa_\pm 1}{3 \sqrt{b_2}} \ln(\Delta \tau),
\]
\[
\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
(m + 2) \sqrt{\eta/\kappa \Omega^2} \ln(\Delta \tau) \frac{2}{m+2}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m, \ m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{b_2}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_2}} \ln(\Delta \tau) \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, \ m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left| m \sqrt{\frac{2}{b_2}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_2}} \ln(\Delta \tau) \right|, & f(\phi) = \omega e^{m\phi}, \ m \neq 0 \\
\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\eta/\kappa \Omega^2} \ln(\Delta \tau), & f(\phi) = \omega, \ m = 0
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{b_3}{\kappa \Omega^2}} \ln |\Sigma|, & \Sigma = \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{b_3}}{4} \Delta \tau \right) \tag{49}
\end{cases}
\]

(iii) \( \Lambda \neq 0 \) and \( \gamma = -1 \)
(37) has the form
\[
\Delta \tau = \int \frac{12}{\sqrt{\kappa \Omega^2 e^{-6\Omega} + b_3}} d\Omega \tag{50}
\]
where \( b_3 = 48\mu_1 + 48\Lambda \).
\[
\Delta \tau = \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_3}} \text{arccsch} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\kappa \Omega^2}{b_3}} e^{-3\Omega} \right) \tag{51}
\]
solving for \( \Omega \)
\[
\Omega = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left| \sqrt{\frac{\kappa \Omega^2}{b_3}} \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{b_3}}{4} \Delta \tau \right) \right|. \tag{52}
\]
So, the others solutions become
\[
\Delta \beta_\pm(\tau) = \pm \frac{\kappa_\pm 1}{3 \sqrt{\kappa \Omega^2}} \ln |\Sigma|, \quad \Sigma = \tanh \left( \frac{\sqrt{b_3}}{4} \Delta \tau \right) \tag{53}
\]
\[
\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
-\left( m + 2 \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{\kappa \Omega^2}} \ln |\Sigma| \frac{2}{m+2}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m, \ m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ -\sqrt{\frac{2y}{b_2}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_2}} \ln |\Sigma| \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, \ m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left| -m \sqrt{\frac{2}{b_2}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_2}} \ln |\Sigma| \right|, & f(\phi) = \omega e^{m\phi}, \ m \neq 0 \\
-\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\eta/\kappa \Omega^2} \ln |\Sigma|, & f(\phi) = \omega, \ m = 0
\end{cases}
\]
with the condition \( \omega > 0 \).
(iv) $\Lambda \neq 0$ and $\gamma = 0$

For this case, equation (37) is

$$\Delta \tau = \int \frac{12 e^{3\Omega}}{\sqrt{\kappa \Omega^2 + 48\mu_0 e^{3\Omega} + 48\Lambda e^{6\Omega}}} d\Omega \quad (55)$$

with $b_0 = 48\mu_0$, and $\Lambda > 0$.

The function $\Omega$ become

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left[ \frac{12 \left( e^{\sqrt{3\Lambda} \Delta \tau} - \frac{b_0}{48\Lambda} \right)^2}{48\Lambda e^{\sqrt{3\Lambda} \Delta \tau}} \right] \quad (56)$$

and we have for the anisotropic functions $\beta_\pm$ and field $\phi$,

$$\Delta \beta_\pm(\tau) = \pm \frac{2\kappa_\pm}{3\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \arctanh (\xi), \quad \xi = 2 \sqrt{\frac{3\Lambda}{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \left( -\frac{b_0}{48\Lambda} + e^{\sqrt{3\Lambda} \Delta \tau} \right)$$

$$\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
- \frac{2(m+2)}{3\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \arctanh (\xi), & f(\phi) = \omega m^2, m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ - \frac{2\eta}{\omega} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \arctanh (\xi) \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left( - \frac{2\eta}{\omega} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \arctanh (\xi) \right), & f(\phi) = \omega e^{\eta \phi}, m \neq 0 \\
- \sqrt{2\eta} \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_4}} \arctanh (\xi), & f(\phi) = \omega, m = 0
\end{cases} \quad (58)$$

(v) $\Lambda \neq 0$ and $\gamma = 1$

Equation (37) becomes

$$\Delta \tau = \int \frac{12}{\sqrt{b_4 e^{-6\Omega} + 48\Lambda}} d\Omega \quad (59)$$

where $b_4 = \kappa_\Omega^2 + 48\mu_1$. In this case also we have two possible solutions depending on the value of the cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$.

The solution become

$$\Delta \tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\Lambda}} \arcsinh \left( 4 \frac{\sqrt{3\Lambda} e^{3\Omega}}{b_4} \right) \quad (60)$$

so, the function $\Omega$ is

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left( \frac{b_4}{4} \frac{4}{3\Lambda} \sinh \left( \sqrt{3\Lambda} \Delta \tau \right) \right) \quad (61)$$

The others functions become

$$\Delta \beta_\pm = \pm \frac{\kappa_\pm}{3\sqrt{b_4}} \ln |\Xi|, \quad \Xi = \tanh \left( \sqrt{3\Lambda} \Delta \tau \right)$$

$$\phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
- \frac{2(m+2)}{3\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \arctanh (\xi), & f(\phi) = \omega m^2, m \neq -2 \\
\exp \left\{ - \frac{2\eta}{\omega} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \ln |\Xi| \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left( - \frac{2\eta}{\omega} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\kappa_\Omega^2}} \ln |\Xi| \right), & f(\phi) = \omega e^{\eta \phi}, m \neq 0 \\
- \sqrt{2\eta} \frac{4}{\sqrt{b_4}} \ln |\Xi|, & f(\phi) = \omega, m = 0
\end{cases} \quad (62)$$
\( \Lambda < 0 \).

The corresponding solutions are

\[ \Delta \tau = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3|\Lambda|}} \arccos \left( 4\sqrt{\frac{3|\Lambda|}{b_4}} e^{3\Omega} \right) \]  
(63)

the function \( \Omega \)

\[ \Omega = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left| \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{b_4}{3|\Lambda|}} \cos \left( \sqrt{3|\Lambda|} \Delta \tau \right) \right| \]  
(64)

as the volume has an oscillatory behavior, the isotropization do not yield for this case, and for completeness we calculate the anisotropic functions \( \beta_\pm \) and field \( \phi \),

\[ \Delta \beta_\pm = \pm \frac{\kappa_\pm}{3\sqrt{b_4}} \ln |\psi|, \quad \psi = \text{sec} \left( \sqrt{3|\Lambda|} \Delta \tau \right) + \tan \left( \sqrt{3|\Lambda|} \Delta \tau \right), \]

\[ \phi(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{m} \left[ (m + 2) \sqrt{\frac{2}{3|\Lambda|} \ln |\psi|} \right]^{2/3}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^m, \quad m \neq -2 \\
\text{Exp} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3|\Lambda|} \ln |\psi|} \right\}, & f(\phi) = \omega \phi^{-2}, \quad m = -2 \\
\frac{2}{m} \ln \left[ \frac{\sqrt{2\frac{2}{3|\Lambda|} \ln |\psi|}}{b_4} \right], & f(\phi) = \omega e^{\text{me}_\phi}, \quad m \neq 0 \\
\frac{2}{m} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3|\Lambda|} \ln |\psi|}, & f(\phi) = \omega, \quad m = 0 
\end{cases} \]  
(65)

5. Final remarks

In this work we present the study of the classical cosmological anisotropic Bianchi type I in the K-essence formalism. In previous work made by Chimento and co-researcher [11], they present the possible isotropization of this model. Our goal in this work is that we obtain the corresponding classical solutions for a barotropic perfect fluid and cosmological term \( \Lambda \) that mimic the scalar field in equation (1). In the case of \( \Lambda = 0 \) and \( \gamma \neq 1 \) we obtain the solutions in closed form. With these solutions we can validate our qualitative analysis on isotropization of the cosmological model, implying that these become isotropic when the volume is large in the corresponding time evolution. So, only one solutions do not present the large volume, when \( \Lambda < 0 \) in stiff matter era in the ordinary matter content.
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