Vortices in Polar and $\beta$ Phases of $^3$He
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Recently, a new topological phase of superfluid $^3$He called the $\beta$ phase has been obtained by strong polarization of the nematic polar phase. We consider half-quantum vortices, which are formed in rotating cryostat, and discuss the evolution of the vortex lattice in the process of the transition from the polar phase to the $\beta$ phase via the spin-polarized polar phase.
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1. INTRODUCTION

At the moment the most interesting topics in the condensed matter physics are related to topological materials: topological insulators, topological superconductors, Dirac and Weyl topological semimetals, etc. Superfluid phases of liquid $^3$He [1–3] are the best representatives of the topological matter. Each phase has its unique topological property.

Recently, the new phases have been discovered, which are also unique: the polar phase [4, 5] and the $\beta$ phase [6]. These superfluid phases were obtained by confinement of liquid $^3$He in nematic aerogels with nearly parallel strands. The polar phase has Dirac nodal line in the fermionic spectrum in bulk liquid and the flat band (drumhead states) on the surface [7, 8], which is similar to that in the semimetals with nodal lines [9, 10].

In the $\beta$ phase the superfluid pairing takes place only for single spin projection. Thus the spin degeneracy of the flat band is lifted, and the surface contains the non-degenerate Majorana fermions. Also, similar to the polar phase the $\beta$ phase in aerogel is robust to disorder owing to the extension of the Anderson theorem to superconductors with columnar defects [7, 11, 12].

Here we consider the vortex states, which appear in the rotating polar and $\beta$ phases, and their evolution in the process of transformation of the polar phase to the $\beta$ phase.

2. SINGLE-QUANTUM VORTEX AND HALF-QUANTUM VORTICES

The spin-triplet $p$-wave order parameter, $A_{\alpha\beta} \equiv A_i$, in the polar phase, in the spin-polarized polar phase, and in the $\beta$ phase has the form

$$A_i = \hat{z}_i \left( \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) e^{\phi_{\uparrow\uparrow}} + \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) e^{\phi_{\downarrow\downarrow}} \right),$$

where $\Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow} = \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}$ in the polar phase, $\Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow} < \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ in the spin-polarized polar phase in the magnetic field along $z$-axis, and $\Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 0$ in the $\beta$ phase.

In rotating vessel there is the competition between the energy of two half-quantum vortices (HQVs) and the energy of a single-quantum vortex (SQV) [13, 14]. The SQV is the phase vortex with $\Phi_\uparrow = \Phi_\downarrow = \phi$, where $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle in cylindrical coordinates:

$$A_i = e^{i\phi} \hat{z}_i (\Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) + \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y})).$$

In the HQVs either $\Phi_\uparrow = 0$ and $\Phi_\downarrow = \phi$, or $\Phi_\uparrow = \phi$ and $\Phi_\downarrow = 0$:

$$A_i = \hat{z}_i \left( \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) e^{\phi} + \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) e^{-\phi} \right),$$  

$$A_i = \hat{z}_i \left( \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) e^{\phi} + \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) e^{-\phi} \right).$$

Equation (3) describes the half-quantum vortex, which represents the single-quantum vortex in the spin-up component, and Eq. (4) describes another half-quantum vortex, which represents the single-quantum vortex in the spin-down component, see also [15]. When two half-quantum vortices are combined, they form the single-quantum vortex with both spin components in Eq. (2).
The energy of superflow in the cryostat rotating with angular velocity \( \Omega \parallel \hat{z} \) is:

\[
F = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{s\uparrow}(v_{s\uparrow} - \Omega \times r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{s\downarrow}(v_{s\downarrow} - \Omega \times r)^2 \\
+ \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow}(v_{s\uparrow} - \Omega \times r)(v_{s\downarrow} - \Omega \times r),
\]

where \( v_{s\uparrow} = (h/2m)\nabla \Phi \uparrow \) and \( v_{s\downarrow} = (h/2m)\nabla \Phi \downarrow \). Here Eq. (6) represents the Andreev–Bashkin term [16] which mixes spin components and stabilizes the HQVs [17]. In the London limit, the energy of two separated HQVs is proportional to \( \rho_{s\uparrow} + \rho_{s\downarrow} \), while the energy of SQV is proportional to \( \rho_{s\uparrow} + \rho_{s\downarrow} + 2\rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} \), and for \( \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} > 0 \) the SQV splits into two HQVs.

3. VORTEX LATTICES: FROM POLAR PHASE TO \( \beta \) PHASE

For \( \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} > 0 \), the SQV has higher energy than two HQVs. That is why in the polar phase and in the spin-polarized polar phase the vortex lattice splits in two sublattices of spin-up and spin-down vortices in Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively (see Fig. 1). The densities of spin-up and spin-down vortices in the equilibrium vortex state in the rotating cryostat is:

\[
n_{\uparrow} = n_{\downarrow} = \frac{2m\Omega}{\pi \hbar}. \tag{7}
\]

Figure 1a demonstrates the lattice of half-quantum vortices in the polar phase in zero magnetic field, where \( \Delta_{s\downarrow} = \Delta_{s\uparrow} \) and \( \rho_{s\downarrow} = \rho_{s\uparrow} \). The elementary cell of the lattice contains two vortices: the spin-up and spin-down vortices, which have the same energy. The densities of vortices are in Eq. (7). The spin-up and spin-down vortices have opposite circulations of spin current, and thus there is no global spin current: their spin currents compensate each other.

When the polar phase is spin-polarized by the non-zero magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \hat{z} \), the balance between spin-up and spin-down vortices is violated. The lattice as before contains two sublattices of spin-up and spin-down vortices (see Fig. 1b). But vortices in the spin-down component have smaller amplitude, \( \Delta_{s\downarrow} < \Delta_{s\uparrow} \), smaller superfluid density, \( \rho_{s\downarrow} < \rho_{s\uparrow} \), and thus the smaller energy. Also, the spin currents of spin-up and spin-down vortices are not compensated, and there is the global spin current, which is proportional to \( \Omega \times r \). This is similar to the mechanism of the formation of the global spin currents discussed in [18, 19].

With increasing field the spin-down vortices are gradually evaporated and finally the spin-down lattice fades away at the transition to the \( \beta \) phase in Fig. 1c where \( \Delta_{s\downarrow} = \rho_{s\downarrow} = \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 0 \) and only the vortices in the spin-up component remain:

\[
A_{s} = e^{i\theta} \Delta_{s\uparrow} \hat{z}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}). \tag{8}
\]

Fig. 1. (Color online) Illustration of the vortex lattices in the (a) polar phase, (b) spin-polarized polar phase, and (c) \( \beta \) phase in rotating cryostat. (a) The vortex lattice in zero magnetic field, where \( \Delta_{s\downarrow} = \Delta_{s\uparrow} \) and \( \rho_{s\downarrow} = \rho_{s\uparrow} \). The elementary cell of the lattice contains two types of half-quantum vortices. These are: the HQV, which can be represented as a single-quantum vortex in the spin-up component in Eq. (3) (blue), and the HQV, which can be represented as the single-quantum vortex in the spin-down component in Eq. (4) (red). The densities of vortices are in Eq. (7). (b) The same in the spin-polarized polar phase in nonzero magnetic field \( \mathbf{H} \parallel \hat{z} \), where the amplitude of the down-spin vortices (light red) decreases, and they finally disappear at the transition to the \( \beta \) phase. (c) Vortices in the \( \beta \) phase, where \( \Delta_{s\downarrow} = 0 \) and \( \rho_{s\downarrow} = \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} = 0 \). These are the single-quantum vortices in the spin-up component in Eq. (8). Their density \( n_{\uparrow} \) is the same as in Eq. (7). The area of the elementary cells is the same in all three configurations (if there is no spontaneous period doubling during the process).
The lattice of these single-quantum vortices in the spin-up component has the same vortex density in Eq. (7) as in the polar phase.

4. VORTEX PINNING AND METASTABLE CONFIGURATIONS

In the above consideration we ignored the pinning of vortices by aerogel strands. However, the pinning is rather strong [13, 14]. It leads in particular to the formation of different vortex glasses [20], and to stabilization of different exotic structures [14, 21], including Bogoliubov Fermi surface [7, 8] and analogs of cosmic walls bounded by strings [22], see also review [23].

The pinning may produce different routes in the transition from the polar phase to the β phase under rotation. For example, instead of the two HQVs, the elementary cell of the vortex lattice in the spin-polarized phase may contain only singly quantized vortex in Eq. (2). In this case it will continuously transform to the singly quantized vortex in the β phase in Eq. (8).

The more complicated scenario is when the elementary cell contains three objects: two spin-down vortices in Eq. (4) and the spin vortex (SV) (see Fig. 2a). This spin vortex (green) has the following structure of the order parameter:

$$A_i = \tilde{z} \left( \Delta^{+\uparrow}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})e^{i\theta} + \Delta^{-\downarrow}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y})e^{-i\theta} \right).$$  (9)

The total energy of three objects is proportional to $(\rho_{s\uparrow} + 3\rho_{s\downarrow} - 2\rho_{s\downarrow})$.

Approaching the β phase, two spin-down vortices (red) fade away, while the SV continuously transforms to the SQV in the spin-up superfluid β phase in Eq. (8), see Fig. 2b.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We considered topological objects in the polar phase and their transformation in the increasing magnetic field, when the polar phase becomes spin-polarized and then transforms to the β phase. There are several scenarios of the topological evolution in the rotating cryostat, which include transformations of spin vortices, singly quantized vortices and two types of half-quantum vortices with opposite spin polarization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank V.V. Dmitriev for discussions.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement no. 694248).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. D. Vollhardt and P. Wölfle, *The Superfluid Phases of Helium 3* (Taylor and Francis, London, 1990).
2. G. E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet* (Clarendon, Oxford, 2003).
3. T. Mizushima, Ya. Tsutsumi, T. Kawakami, M. Sato, M. Ichioda, and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **85**, 022001 (2016).
4. V. V. Dmitriev, A. A. Senin, A. A. Soldatov, and A. N. Yudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 165304 (2015).
5. W. P. Halperin, J. M. Parpia, and J. A. Sauls, Phys. Today **71**, 30 (2018).
6. V. V. Dmitriev, M. S. Kutuzov, A. A. Soldatov, and A. N. Yudin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 265301 (2021).
7. V. B. Eltsov, T. Kamppinen, J. Rysti, and G. E. Volovik, arXiv: 1908.01645.
8. S. Autti, J.T. Mäkinen, J. Rysti, G. E. Volovik, V. V. Zavjalov, and V. B. Eltsov, Phys. Rev. Res. **2**, 033013 (2020).
9. T. T. Heikkilä, N. B. Kopnin, and G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. **94**, 233 (2011).
VORTICES IN POLAR AND $\beta$ PHASES

10. N. B. Kopnin, T. T. Heikkilä, and G. E. Volovik, Phys. Rev. B 83, 220503 (R) (2011).
11. I. A. Fomin, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 127, 933 (2018).
12. T. Hisamitsu, M. Tange, and R. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. B 101, 100502 (2020).
13. S. Autti, V. V. Dmitriev, J. T. Mäkinen, A. A. Soldatov, G. E. Volovik, A. N. Yudin, V. V. Zavjalov, and V. B. Eltsov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 255301 (2016).
14. J. T. Mäkinen, V. V. Dmitriev, J. Nissinen, J. Rysti, G. E. Volovik, A. N. Yudin, K. Zhang, and V. B. Eltsov, Nat. Commun. 10, 237 (2019).
15. R. C. Regan, J. J. Wiman, and J. A. Sauls, Phys. Rev. B 104, 024513 (2021).
16. A. F. Andreev and E. P. Bashkin, Sov. Phys. JETP 42, 164 (1976).
17. V. Vakaryuk and A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 057003 (2009).
18. T. Brauner and S. Moroz, Phys. Rev. B 99, 214506 (2019).
19. G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 111, 582 (2020).
20. G. E. Volovik, J. Rysti, J. T. Mäkinen, and V. B. Eltsov, J. Low Temp. Phys. 196, 82 (2019).
21. G. E. Volovik and K. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 023263 (2020).
22. T. W. B. Kibble, G. Lazarides, and Q. Shafi, Phys. Rev. D 26, 435 (1982).
23. K. Zhang, arXiv: 2107.01023.