Chronic disease and malnutrition biomarkers among unemployed immigrants and Canadian born adults
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Immigration status and unemployment may intersect on the health outcomes of men and women. This study aimed to identify intersections between unemployment and immigration in inflammatory, metabolic and nutritional blood markers and assess gender differences.

**Methods:** We used Canadian Health Measures Survey data on 2493 participants aged 18 to 65. Outcomes were chronic inflammation (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and fibrinogen), nutritional (albumin and hemoglobin), and metabolic blood markers (glycosylated hemoglobin, blood glucose, total and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol). Multivariate linear regressions were used to assess the associations between each biomarker, unemployment and immigrant status, controlling for age, education, province, smoking, physical inactivity and body mass index and testing for multiplicative interactions between unemployment, immigrant status and gender.

**Results:** Unemployment was associated with higher inflammation (hsCRP and fibrinogen) in Canadian born men; Canadian born employed women showed higher hsCRP values compared with corresponding employed men. Unemployed immigrant women presented the highest values of hsCRP while employed immigrant women had the lowest hsCRP. Unemployment was associated with higher glucose; immigrant status was associated with higher glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin. Unemployed immigrants had significantly lower levels of hemoglobin and albumin than employed immigrants, and Canadian-born citizens regardless of their employment status. Some of these associations were attenuated after adjustment by body mass index, physical inactivity and smoking.

**Conclusion:** Blood biomarkers unveil intersections among unemployment, immigration and gender. This study provides evidence on biological pathways of unemployment on the likelihood of common chronic diseases, inflammation and potential malnutrition with some increased vulnerabilities in unemployed immigrants, and particularly in unemployed immigrant women.
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Background

Employment is a social determinant of health [1]. The effect of unemployment on health extends over long periods [2, 3]. Smoking, being overweight [4], and health conditions such as hypertension and coronary heart disease, mood disorders such as anxiety and depression, and suicide [5, 6] are among known behavioural and health adverse outcomes associated with unemployment. Most research has analyzed the links between unemployment and self-reported indicators of health [7] yet few studies have focused on the relationship between unemployment and health biomarkers. Most studies were limited to inflammatory markers showing that unemployment contributed to elevated inflammation markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen and interleukin-6 [8, 9].

Being an immigrant may lead to an increased risk of chronic diseases [10–13]. In Canada, it has been reported that the health of immigrants upon their arrival is better than that of Canadian-born individuals of similar age and gender, and deteriorates over time to match that of the Canadian-born [14]. This phenomenon, known as the ‘healthy immigrant effect’, has been repeatedly reported in Canadian literature [15, 16]. What is less clear is what happens to some women and men as they gradually settle in Canada and which risk conditions are leading to this deterioration in health. Some have argued that new social environments (i.e. financial, education systems), an unstable income or economy and lack of social support contribute to a loss of physical and mental health advantages among immigrants [17–19]. A larger proportion of immigrants compared to Canadian-born individuals is unemployed and have lower wages and lower quality of employment. In 2012, the duration of unemployment among immigrants in Quebec was 26.4% longer than that of natives; a larger gap than in British Columbia (15.0%) and Ontario (10.4%) [20–22]. Low socioeconomic status of immigrants, low job satisfaction and unemployment have all been associated with the deterioration of health in the immigrant population [22, 23].

Social determinants of health and socioeconomic factors have been extensively analyzed, including the influential 2008 World Health Organization (WHO) report [24]. Most of this body of research has focused on immigration as a determinant of population health [25, 26] or on unemployment and health [27–29] and a rather large literature body has been related to gender-related risk factors for health [30–32] since gender is related to social context. Gender is not independent of other social determinants of health such as unemployment or immigrants status. We hypothesize that the intersection of unemployment and immigration status interact with gender in producing health outcomes since the social structures that characterize relationships between men and women influence the joint effects of immigration and employment on health. Moreover, unemployment has a stronger effect on men’s health compared to women’s [33, 34]. Gender differences in the impact of unemployment on health have been related to gender division of family responsibilities [33], which are more common in immigrant populations [35]. Finally, some evidence has shown gender differences in the association between stress and inflammation [36], and that immigration has different impacts on the health of men and women [37].

Research that focuses on immigration and unemployment separately and does not take gender into account is insufficient because these circumstances operate together and may have differential impact on the health outcomes of men and women. Few research efforts have simultaneously examined the social intersecting conditions of being unemployed and being an immigrant, and their combined effect on health [6] in men and in women. The current paper encompasses these three social determinants of health and examines how they interact to increase vulnerability to chronic diseases as assessed by blood biomarkers of nutritional, metabolic and inflammatory markers.

The objectives of this work were two fold 1) to assess whether unemployment has a stronger association with inflammatory, metabolic and nutritional markers in immigrants than in Canadian-born individuals; and 2) to examine how these biomarkers are related to employment and immigrant status in men and women by taking into account behavioral and socioeconomic factors [38].

Methods

Data

We used data from cycle 1 of the Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS) collected between 2007 and 2009 [39]. The CHMS was designed to cover a nationally representative sample of the Canadian population. Approximately 96% of Canadians aged 6 to 79 years and living in private households were included in its sampling frame.

Data collection was performed in two steps: first a Statistics Canada interviewer visited the respondents’ home and administered the health questionnaire; at the end of the visit, the interviewer informed the respondent that he/she had been randomly assigned to a visit at the mobile examination centre (MEC) for measurement of fasting glucose, blood lipids and insulin levels, among other tests. To make sure that respondents were in the fasting state, a morning appointment required that respondents fast overnight, and shorter eating restrictions were imposed on those with afternoon appointments [40]. Approximately 85% of respondents who completed the household interview agreed to go to the MEC [41].
Upon agreement, the blood (80 ml) was collected by a phlebotomist using a standardized venipuncture technique. The complete blood count was analyzed for all respondents from whom a sample was collected. This allowed measuring biomarkers such as glycosylated hemoglobin and hemoglobin.

Blood aliquots were packed and sent to Health Canada in Ottawa for chronic disease, general health and nutritional lab results [41]. A total of 15 collection sites in five Canadian provinces were selected. Based on 2006 census data, dwellings with known household composition were randomly selected. Survey participants were then selected among a list of current household members of each selected dwelling [42]. Plasma was used to assess high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), fibrinogen, blood glucose, total cholesterol and high density lipoprotein (HDL). The measurements of all biomarkers are those chosen by Statistics Canada and validated for the population survey [40].

As we are focusing on the relationship between unemployment and immigration with health biomarkers, we considered respondents aged 18 to 65 years with a total of 2493 respondents.

**Measures**

In this study, we chose to examine the continuous distributions of eight blood biomarkers of common chronic conditions as outcomes and to focus on the public health importance of shifts of these population distributions. Similar to what Rose stated for the importance of shifting the cholesterol distribution to prevent deaths from coronary heart disease, we propose that a small decrease in the population average of these eight biomarkers’ concentrations could achieve much more than a screening policy and treating those with pathologically high levels of these biomarkers [43]. Based on the literature, we grouped the outcome variables into three categories: inflammatory biomarkers [44, 45]; metabolic biomarkers [46, 47] and nutritional biomarkers [48] although there is some overlap. These dependent variables are summarized in Table 1.

**Main independent variables**

A person was considered to be unemployed if he/she had not worked throughout the preceding year but was available for work; or had worked in a family business without pay. However, people who were in school during the same period were excluded from this group. Immigrant status was assigned to each person born outside of Canada based on their reported place of birth.

**Adjustment for covariates**

The following variables were selected according to the literature as potential confounders of the relationship between unemployment and health biomarkers. They include socio-demographic variables (age, education, and place of residence of residence), body mass index (BMI), smoking, physical activity, and place of residence.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive analyses were done in two steps. First, the Chi² test was used to compare the proportion of unemployment by categories of each potential confounder of the association between unemployment and poor blood biomarkers. Second, hsCRP, blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin were transformed to the logarithm scale to avoid skewness.

We used an analytical strategy taking into account interactions with unemployment, immigration and gender to quantify their joint influences on health. Since the distributions of these biomarkers or their log-transformed variables were unimodal, we fitted multiple linear regression equations to assess the relationship between each biomarker, unemployment, immigrant status and gender. To test for differences in their means by unemployment status, immigrant status and gender, we estimated the means for the reference group (Canadian-born employed men) as intercepts and the deviations from these means as coefficients of the indicators of unemployment, immigrant status and gender and their interactions.

Multiple linear regressions were fitted in three steps: first, including indicators of unemployment, immigration and gender whose interactions constitute our main hypothesis; second, adding terms to the equations resulting of the first step to control for age, sex and education and third, further adding terms to control for body mass index, smoking and physical activity. Thus, “model a” included unemployment, immigrant status, gender and the multiplicative interaction terms for the first order interactions between unemployment and immigration, unemployment and gender, immigration and gender; and the second order interaction terms between unemployment, immigration and gender; each interaction was included if significant at a p-value < 0.05; (2) in “model b”, age, education and province of residence, were added to model “a”; and (3) in “model c” BMI and behavioural variables were added to “model b”.

Regression analyses were based on unweighted data because sampling was a function of independent variables included in the model, producing unbiased coefficients and standard errors [49]. All analyses were done using Stata 12 [50].

**Results**

**Sample characteristics**

The proportion of unemployed adults was higher among immigrants (24.4%) and respondents over 55 years
The unemployment was similar among immigrant and Canadian born men whereas marked difference were reported between immigrant and Canadian born women (19.8% of 1016 Canadian born versus 30% of 213 immigrants were unemployed ($p = 0.001$)). Unemployment was more frequent among those older than 55, smokers and obese respondents, and decreased with increasing levels of education (Table 2).

### Blood biomarker associations with unemployment and immigrant status: multivariate regression analyses

To ease interpretation of coefficients in models including tests of first order (unemployment*immigration, unemployment*gender, immigration*gender) and second order multiplicative interactions (unemployment*immigration*gender), we present in Table 3 the estimated deviations from the biomarkers mean of the Canadian born employed men (the reference group) resulting from the fit of a multivariate regression model including the three main exposure variables under study: unemployment, immigrant status and gender. To calculate the means presented in Table 3 for hsCRP, blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin whose values had been log transformed, we converted the mean differences back to the natural scale. Converting the differences back to the natural scale produces the real biological values, which allow for a better interpretation of their biological meaning. For example, the calculations for glycosylated hemoglobin were done as follows: a) the intercept of log transformed glycosylated hemoglobin regression equation was (−2.9), indicating that the mean value for Canadian employed men was $\exp(-2.9) = 0.1$; b) The coefficient of unemployed immigrant men and women in that equation was 0.26, then the mean for those groups would be $\exp(-2.9 + 0.26) = 7.13$ and the difference between groups would be $7.13 - 5.5 = 1.63$, as shown in Table 3.

The mean deviation of hsCRP for Canadian born unemployed men was 0.25 mg/L compared with Canadian born employed men; Canadian born employed women had a hsCRP mean 0.25 mg/L higher than that of Canadian born employed men. Unemployment had opposite effects in hsCRP among immigrant women: hsCRP was highest among unemployed immigrant women (+1.0 mg/L) and lowest among those employed (−0.32 mg/L), compared with the reference group, Canadian born employed men. For fibrinogen, Canadian born unemployed men had higher values than corresponding employed men, with a difference of 0.1 g/L.

Concerning glucose metabolism, fasting glucose was significantly higher (+1.023 mmol/L) in employed immigrant men and women compared with employed Canadian men. Glucose was also significantly higher in unemployed men and women (both Canadian born and immigrant) compared with those employed. Thus, unemployed immigrants’ glycemia was 1.045 mmol/L higher and that of unemployed Canadians 1.022 mmol/L higher than the mean

### Table 1 Summary of outcomes: measurement and health risks

| Biomarkers          | Unit of measure | Kit used to measure                        | Normal value       | Health risk                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                     |                 |                                            | Women              | Men                                                                        |
| **Inflammatory markers** |                 |                                            |                    |                                                                            |
| C-reactive protein  | mg/L            | hsCRP Reagent from VITROS Chemistry Products | < 5 mg/L           | < 1.0 mg/L: lowest risk  
|                    |                 |                                            | 1.0–3.0 mg/L: average risk  
|                    |                 |                                            | ≥ 3 mg/L: highest risk    |
| Fibrinogen          | g/L             | Sysmex CA-500 SERIES analyzer              | 2–4 g/L            | Elevated levels increases the risk of cardiovascular disease  
|                    |                 |                                            | Low level can be related to malnutrition or liver disease          |
| **Metabolic markers** |                 |                                            |                    |                                                                            |
| Blood glucose       | mmol/L          | VITROS Chemistry Systems                   | 3.5–6 mmol/L       | 5.6–6 mmol/L: abnormal  
|                    |                 |                                            | ≥ 7 mmol/L: provisional diagnosis of diabetes                      |
| Glycosylated hemoglobin | percentage of hemoglobin A1 | VITROS Chemistry Products | 4.5–6%             | ≥ 6–7%: diabetes  
|                    |                 |                                            | > 8%: poorly controlled diabetes                                  |
| Total Cholesterol   | mmol/L          | VITROS Chemistry Products                   | < 5.18 mmol/L      | 5.0–6.19 mmol/L: upper limit  
|                    |                 |                                            | > 6.20 mmol/L: high value                                         |
| HDL Cholesterol     | mmol/L          | VITROS dHDL slide                          | > 1.30             | Low level increases cardiovascular risk                                  |
| Albumin             | g/L             | VITROS ALB Slides and the VITROS Chemistry Products Calibrator Kit 4 on VITROS Chemistry Systems | 40–50 g/L           | Lower level can be a sign of malnutrition, or severe inflammation  |
| Hemoglobin          | g/L             | −                                          | 120 – 160 g/L      | 130–180 g/L: Lower level is a sign of anemia                            |
value (4.842 mmol/L) for Canadian-born employed men. Similar associations were observed for glycosylated hemoglobin in employed and unemployed immigrants, who had significantly elevated values compared with Canadian-born men and women. The difference in population means reaches 1.63% in the case of unemployed immigrants, which represents an increment of 30% over the reference Canadian employed population (1.63%/5.5%).

Lastly, hemoglobin and albumin were significantly lower by 5.89 g/L and 0.73 g/L, respectively in unemployed immigrant men and women compared with the Canadian-born groups. No differences between the comparison groups were detected in lipid metabolism, as assessed by total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol (data not shown).

Table 3 shows adjusted beta coefficients in three series of models. Model “a” includes only employment, immigrant status and gender and it is the basis for the values shown in Table 3. Model b controls for age, education and province of residence and model c controls for BMI and smoking and physical activity. The interaction between unemployment, immigrant status and gender for hsCRP levels retained statistical significance in the fully adjusted “model c”, but the difference in hsCRP between unemployed and employed Canadian men was attenuated after adjustment by BMI, physical activity and smoking (model c).

Unemployed immigrants had lower level of hemoglobin in the fully adjusted model while the lower levels of albumin in unemployed immigrants lost significance after adjustment by sociodemographic variables.

The mean values of glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin in immigrants compared with Canadian born men and women were still significantly higher in the fully adjusted
Table 4 Biomarker associations with unemployment and immigration: Coefficients from multiple regression analyses in the Canadian Health Measures Survey

|                               | Biomarker associations with unemployment and immigration: Coefficients from multiple regression analyses in the Canadian Health Measures Survey |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               | **hsCRP** a                                                                                                                                |
|                               | \( \beta \) (ES)                                                                                                                            |
|                               | Model a \( n = 2219 \)                                                                                                                     |
|                               | Model b \( n = 2219 \)                                                                                                                     |
|                               | Model c \( n = 2219 \)                                                                                                                     |
| Unemployed                    | Yes vs. No \( \begin{array}{l} 0.2084 \quad (0.1008)^* \\ -0.0358 \quad (0.0924) \end{array} \)                                              |
| Immigrant                     | \begin{array}{l} 0.2016 \quad (0.0998)^* \\ -0.0014 \quad (0.0930) \end{array}                                                                 |
| Unemployed and Immigrant      | \begin{array}{l} 0.1033 \quad (0.0939) \\ 0.0134 \quad (0.0874) \end{array}                                                                 |
| Gender                        | \begin{array}{l} 0.2120 \quad (0.0607)^{***} \\ 0.2346 \quad (0.0601)^{***} \end{array}                                              |
| Unemployed and Immigrant      | \begin{array}{l} 0.0129 \quad (0.1388) \end{array}                                                                                         |
| Woman and Immigrant           | \begin{array}{l} 0.2376 \quad (0.0565)^{***} \end{array}                                                                                   |
| Unemployed and Immigrant      | \begin{array}{l} 0.3485 \quad (0.1437)^* \end{array}                                                                                       |
| Woman and Immigrant           | \begin{array}{l} 0.6580 \quad (0.2939)^* \end{array}                                                                                       |
| Albumin                       | \( \beta \) (ES)                                                                                                                            |
| Model a \( n = 2400 \)        |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model b \( n = 2400 \)        |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model c \( n = 2400 \)        |                                                                                                                                          |
| Unemployed                    | Yes vs. No \( \begin{array}{l} -0.1215 \quad (0.1786) \\ 0.0301 \quad (0.1655) \end{array}                                           |
| Immigrant                     | \begin{array}{l} -0.0624 \quad (0.1641) \end{array}                                                                                       |
| Unemployed and Immigrant      | \begin{array}{l} 0.2381 \quad (0.1832) \end{array}                                                                                       |
| Glycosylated hemoglobin a     | \( \beta \) (SE)                                                                                                                            |
| Model a \( n = 2368 \)        |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model b \( n = 2368 \)        |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model c \( n = 2368 \)        |                                                                                                                                          |
| Unemployed                    | Yes vs. No \( \begin{array}{l} -0.7303 \quad (0.3797)^* \\ -0.4155 \quad (0.3505) \end{array}                                           |
| Hemoglobin a                  | \( \beta \) (ES)                                                                                                                            |
| Model a \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model b \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model c \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Total Cholesterol             | \( \beta \) (SE)                                                                                                                            |
| Model a \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model b \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model c \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| HDL Cholesterol               | \( \beta \) (SE)                                                                                                                            |
| Model a \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model b \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
| Model c \( n = 2.401 \)       |                                                                                                                                          |
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model c. The significance of the association between glucose and unemployment was attenuated after adjustment by BMI, smoking and physical activity.

**Discussion**

Summarizing our results, unemployed immigrant women and Canadian men showed the highest levels of inflammation. Unemployment and immigrant status intersected being associated with higher glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin. Unemployment and immigrant status intersected, and were associated with the lowest hemoglobin and albumin levels.

Our results on higher inflammation among Canadian born unemployed men are consistent with prior studies [8, 9]. Chronic stress can stimulate inflammatory reactions [51, 52]. Chronic inflammation has also been shown to lead to increased risk of chronic diseases and poor physical function [53, 54]. By increasing inflammation, unemployment may clinically contribute to faster health decline. These higher levels of inflammation in Canadian unemployed men were attenuated after adjustment by BMI, smoking and physical inactivity, consistent with the literature [55, 56]. In fact, for these health behaviours those who were born in Canada present two to three times higher rates compared to new or long term immigrants [57]. In our study, the difference in mean fibrinogen concentration between employed and unemployed Canadians was 0.1 g/L. This is similar to differences reported in the Caerphilly Prospective Heart Disease study, where fibrinogen concentration was lower by 0.14 g/L in the tertile of men who were most active in leisure activities. In this same study, fibrinogen concentration was between 0.1 g/L and 0.20 g/L lower in the employed than in the unemployed men whatever the level of leisure physical activity, and this difference was correlated with the prevalence of ischemic heart disease [58].

Among immigrant women, the estimated hsCRP difference between unemployed and employed women was 0.32 + 1.00 = 1.32 mg/l, which may be clinically significant since a difference of this magnitude has been related to lower physical function in old age [59]. This association between unemployment and inflammation in immigrant women has not been previously reported but may be related to the triple vulnerability of being unemployed, immigrant and woman. Associations between being employed and inflammation were positive among Canadian born women, an unexpected result that could be explained if Canadian women had higher level of inflammation related to a cumulative burden of work and family responsibilities.

Unemployed immigrants were at higher risk of diabetes (higher glucose and higher glycosylated hemoglobin), which could be linked to two immigration-related changes in their lives: first, their original diet shifts toward a diet that is richer in sugar, saturated fat and processed foods, low in fruit, vegetables and fiber [60]; and second, their physically active life becomes a sedentary life [61]. These dietary and behavioral transitions increase the risk of chronic conditions such as obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease [62, 63]. Moreover, their unemployment status may further reduce their chances to afford or access the quantity and quality of foods leading to poor diet as well as to sports and active leisure. Glycosylated hemoglobin differences associated with higher cardiovascular risk are around 0.50% and the difference between unemployed immigrants and employed Canadian-born subjects in this study is three times as high (around 1.63%). Therefore, such a difference between population means it may have clinical significance [64, 65]. Indeed, it has been shown that small shifts in population mean distribution, for instance for high blood pressure, made a clinically significant difference in the numbers at risk [66].

Results on lower hemoglobin and albumin may point to a higher risk of anemia among the unemployed immigrant population. Poverty among immigrants in Canada is higher than among Canadian-born citizens [67] and immigrants generally have lower social support [68], they live in more economically disadvantaged neighbourhoods [69] and may lack food security [70, 71]. In this scenario, lower hemoglobin values could be explained by the lack of money to buy adequate food.

Our approach aimed at examining the shift in the biomarker distributions in whole population groups, that is, a shift detected by a deviation of their means, using the strategy of preventive medicine proposed by Rose G [72] and expanded to vulnerable populations by Frohlich and Potvin [73]. The answer to our question has to do with the determinants of the population means, for what distinguishes the comparison groups “has nothing to do with the characteristics of the individuals, it is rather a mass influence acting on the population as a whole” [72].

There were several limitations to this study. First, if unemployed individuals were underrepresented in CHMS,
the strength of the reported associations may be underestimated. Since only 12 subjects were unable to answer the survey in English or French, we would expect that immigrants who go for blood tests would be more likely to be fluent in English or French, and therefore more integrated in the labor market. A recent comparison between the self-rated health and health behaviors of the CHMS and the 2011 Canadian Community Health Survey show that both surveys over-represent the more privileged immigrants, since immigrant participation in these surveys is low [74]. Second, CHMS does not distinguish between types of immigrants. Our study definition of immigrants includes refugees, who have worse health than other types of immigrants [75]. Refugees represented 16.4% of all immigrants admitted to Canada between 1991 and 2000. Grouping these populations together allows for estimating an overall immigrant effect, but it may mask specific effects in subgroups according to ethnicity, time since immigration and age at immigration [12, 13, 76, 77]. No dietary data was analyzed for the purpose of this study, as nutritional data was unavailable in CHMS. The significant relationships between unemployment, immigration and blood biomarkers may not be causal due to potential reverse causality in a sense that some levels of biomarkers (good or bad health) could determine participant’s employment and/or immigrant status. Finally, as CHMS is a cross-sectional survey, we used one fasting blood glucose measurement to assess risk of diabetes and doing so, could introduce a measurement bias in the results. However, others authors also used a single determination of glucose to assess diabetes using data from CHMS [78] or data from The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) [79].

Conclusion
This is a preliminary study providing evidence for the biological pathways of unemployment on the likelihood of common chronic diseases, inflammation and potential malnutrition with some increased vulnerabilities in unemployed immigrants, and particularly in unemployed immigrant women. The effects of unemployment and/or immigration may generate a complex interplay between upstream living conditions, health behaviours and biological manifestations leading to stress, malnutrition and increased risk of chronic conditions. Health determinants such as access and affordability of good quality foods, in those immigrant populations who are unemployed needs to be further examined. Meanwhile, higher inflammation levels among those born in Canada and their underlying causes could also be examined more extensively. Ultimately, equal opportunities for employment for immigrant populations may reduce the observed differences in blood biomarkers according to employment, immigrant status and gender.
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