ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Hypoxemia and pulmonary complications are common after upper abdominal surgery (UAS).

OBJECTIVE: To examine whether inclusion of autogenic drainage (AD) in chest physiotherapy after UAS confers additional benefits in improving blood gases and reducing postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs).

DESIGN AND SETTING: Randomized controlled study conducted at Kasr Al-Ainy teaching hospital, Egypt.

METHODS: A randomized controlled trial was conducted on 48 subjects undergoing elective UAS with high risk of developing PPCs. The study group received AD plus routine chest physiotherapy (deep diaphragmatic breathing, localized breathing and splinted coughing) and the control group received routine chest physiotherapy only. The outcomes included arterial blood gases measured at the first and seventh postoperative days, incidence of PPCs within the first seven days and length of hospital stay.

RESULTS: Baseline characteristics were similar between groups. In the AD group, SaO2, PaO2, PaCO2 and HCO3 significantly improved (P < 0.05) while in the physiotherapy group, only SaO2 and PaO2 significantly improved (P < 0.05). Nonetheless, significant differences in post-treatment SaO2 and PaO2 between the groups were observed. The overall incidence of PPCs was 16.66% (12.5% in the AD group and 20.8% in the physiotherapy group) (absolute risk reduction -8.3%; 95% confidence interval, CI, -13.5 to 29.6%), with no significant difference between the groups. The AD group had a significantly shorter hospital stay (P = 0.0001).

CONCLUSION: Adding AD to routine chest physiotherapy after UAS provided a favorable blood gas outcome and reduced the length of hospital stay. It tended to reduce the incidence of PPCs.

TRIAL REGISTRATION: ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04446520.

INTRODUCTION

Surgery and postoperative care have become important in healthcare worldwide, given that nearly 234 million patients undergo operations every year.1 In developed countries, the most common major surgical procedure is upper abdominal surgery (UAS).2 After UAS, postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) contribute to poor patient outcomes, significant lengthening of hospital stay, increased readmissions and elevation of healthcare costs, and may constitute an important and significant cause of morbidity and mortality.3 Eighty-five percent of PPCs occur during the first three days after surgery4 and are partially caused by earlier pathophysiological reductions of lung volumes postoperatively.5 If these conditions persist, they may lead to severe hypoxemia, atelectasis and pneumonia.6

The presence of preoperative risk factors like old age, malnutrition, smoking, obesity and clinical lung diseases increases the incidence of PPCs among subjects undergoing UAS. Other anesthetic and surgical factors like the duration and type of surgery also contribute to PPC development.7

Lunardi et al.8 reported that after UAS, impairment of respiratory capacity occurred. This was correlated with a reduction in chest wall volume of 22% and breathing pattern modification due to a decline in the diaphragmatic contribution by 28% to 40%. Reduced lung volumes after surgery are associated with accumulation of pulmonary secretions, which can favor bacterial colonization and development of postoperative pneumonia.9 Moreover, inability to cough and ineffective coughing by patients after surgery have been found to contribute to the basis of the pathophysiology for PPCs, as this can cause excessive accumulation of expectorations and elevate the risk...
of developing pulmonary infections and obstructive atelectasis. Additionally, the decreases in alveolar ventilation and in clearance of bronchial secretions also reduce the clearance of carbon dioxide (CO₂), thus causing hypercarbia, acidosis and, usually, moderate hypoxemia.¹⁰

Since the beginning of the 20th century, chest physiotherapy has commonly been used for prevention and management of PPCs such as atelectasis, retained secretions, bronchopulmonary infection and pneumonia.² Postoperative chest physiotherapy improves lung volume and ventilation-perfusion matching, facilitates mucociliary clearance and reduces pain.¹¹

Different alternatives for advanced airway clearance techniques have been developed to improve the efficiency of airway clearance and encourage patient autonomy. These have included autogenic drainage (AD),¹² which depends more on breathing pattern control by the individual to facilitate airway clearance.¹³ AD consists of controlled tidal breathing that is practiced at different levels of lung volume. In this, the subject self-adjusts the force or velocity of the expiratory airflow at different levels of inspiration in order to reach the maximum possible airflow generated in the bronchi, without resulting in airway collapses during coughing.¹² AD has the advantage that it is tolerable and can be self-administered and performed from a seated position.¹⁴

Although there is widespread use of different chest physiotherapy methods after UAS, the impact of each technique on blood gases and prevention of PPCs has not been illustrated. Only limited clinical trials using the autogenic drainage technique after surgical procedures have been presented in the literature and there has not been any assessment of this technique in the population undergoing UAS.

OBJECTIVE
The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of adding AD to routine chest physiotherapy, with regard to improving blood gases and decreasing the incidence of PPCs and length of hospital stay in the postoperative period, among subjects undergoing UAS.

METHODS
Design and sample
A randomized controlled trial was conducted on 60 obese subjects of both sexes who underwent UAS in Kasr Al-Ainy teaching hospital. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, under the number P.T.REC/012/001740, on October 1, 2017, and it was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. A verbal explanation of the trial was provided to eligible subjects and informed consents were obtained.

Subjects with ages from 50 to 60 years and body mass index (BMI) from 30 to 40 who underwent elective UAS were included in the study. In addition, the following procedure-related inclusion criteria were applied: expected duration of surgery ≥ 120 minutes; abdominal incision more than five centimeters above or extending above the navel; planned postoperative admission to the surgical ward; and anticipated length of hospital stay after surgery of more than six days. The exclusion criteria were situations in which subjects underwent any of the following procedures: laparoscopic surgery, lower abdominal surgery, emergency surgery, esophageal surgery or organ transplantation. In addition, subjects who were unable to follow the physiotherapy instructions, received preoperative physiotherapy or persisted with a requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours postoperatively were also excluded.

According to these inclusion criteria, the subjects were considered to be at high risk of developing PPCs because they had histories of cigarette smoking, long durations of surgery (> 120 minutes) and obesity (BMI > 27 kg/m²).² They were randomly assigned equally to two groups: the study group included 30 subjects who received routine chest physiotherapy (deep diaphragmatic breathing exercises, localized breathing exercises and splinted coughing) plus the AD technique; and the physiotherapy group included 30 subjects who received routine chest physiotherapy only.

Randomization of eligible participants who were present in the waiting list for surgery was done by means of sequentially numbered sealed opaque envelopes that contain allocation cards. These envelopes had been sealed by an independent administrator who did not participate in the trial. From these sealed opaque envelopes, an independent nurse selected the participant for group allocation. The allocation sequence was 1:1, and this was enabled through a web-based computer-generated blocked random number table (https://randomization.com).

Outcome measurements
The primary outcome measurements were changes to arterial blood gases through the following parameters: arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂), partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), pH and bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻). These were measured on the first and seventh days postoperatively, at room air temperature.

The secondary outcome measurements included: (A) the incidence of PPCs (pneumonia, hypoxemia and atelectasis) within the first seven hospital days, which was defined as development of one or more of the following: [1] pneumonia, which was indicated by the presence of new radiographic chest infiltration and two criteria from the following list: dyspnea, cough with purulent sputum, temperature > 38 °C, altered respiratory auscultation, leukocytosis > 14,000/ml or leucopenia < 3000/ml; [2]
hypoxemia, which was defined as peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) ≤ 90%, with a requirement for administering or elevating supplemental oxygen to keep SpO₂ ≥ 90%; and [3] evidence of atelectasis from radiological evaluation, in association with dyspnea. (B) The number of days of the hospital stay, until discharge based on the physician’s decision, was also assessed. The patients and the assessor of the outcome measurements were blinded to the group allocation.

Intervention
The treatment program was administered at a frequency of two sessions daily (in the morning and late afternoon) until the seventh postoperative day, starting from the first day. Early mobilization started from the first day.

AD treatment consists of maximum expiratory airflow with tidal breathing at various lung volumes, to mobilize expectoration while decreasing coughing episodes.15 During the treatment session, the subjects were in a semi-reclining position (at a 45° angle). The treatment sessions consisted of three stages: (1) unsticking the secretions at low volume; (2) collection at medium volume; and (3) evacuation by breathing at high volume. When sufficient mucus had reached the upper airways, the mucus could then be expectorated by means of a cough or a huff.16,17

First, the physiotherapist placed his hands over the subject’s chest to follow the breathing pattern and avoid paradoxical breathing. In the first stage, the subject started with diaphragmatic breathing at low lung volume with the following cycle: (a) inspiration slowly through the nose; (b) pause of three seconds between inspirations and expirations to permit the air to get behind the secretion; and (c) non-forced expiration through nose or mouth in a sighing manner. This cycle was then repeated at the same lung volume until secretions were felt or heard. In the second and third stage, this cycle was repeated at medium and high lung volumes. In the end, when the mucus was felt in the larger central airways, the subjects did 2-3 effective huffs or coughs with splitting of the incision site.15 The cycles were then repeated until reaching a total session duration of 20 minutes.

The routine chest physiotherapy included deep diaphragmatic breathing exercises, localized breathing exercises and splinted coughing. One series of 10 repetitions of each exercise was performed with an inspiratory hold for three seconds and then relaxed expiration. The total session duration was 15 minutes.

Data analysis
Based on the primary outcome measurements, the sample size was calculated taking into account a minimum mean difference in oxygen saturation of about 1.8% and a standard deviation of 2, as used in a previous published study;16 with a power of analysis of 80% and an alpha significance level of 0.05. From this calculation, it was determined that 20 participants would be required for each group. In order to allow for dropouts, a total of 60 subjects were recruited.

Continuous variables that were normally distributed were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Paired and unpaired-sample t tests were used for comparisons within and between the groups. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test for normal distribution of the data. An independent chi-square test was used for comparisons of categorical variables. P-values less than 0.05 were deemed to be significant.

RESULTS
The flow of participants from recruitment to follow-up is shown in Figure 1. A total of 66 subjects were recruited and assessed for eligibility. Among these, 60 subjects were randomized equally between the two groups. Subsequently, a further six subjects were withdrawn from each group for a variety of reasons. The baseline data were comparable between the two groups, with no significant differences between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics and clinical parameters at the pre-intervention assessment (P > 0.05), as demonstrated in Table 1.

Regarding the arterial blood gas parameters, the between-group analysis revealed that there were significant differences in post-treatment values for SaO₂ and PaO₂ (P = 0.0001 and P = 0.03, respectively), while no significant differences were observed with regard to HCO₃⁻, PaCO₂ and PH (P > 0.05). The within-group analysis revealed that there were significant improvements in SaO₂, PaO₂, PaCO₂ and HCO₃⁻, with percentages of 3.12%, 9.05%, 10.1% and 10.6%, respectively, in the AD group. In the physiotherapy group, significant improvements were observed only for SaO₂ and PaO₂, with percentages of 1.46 % and 5.14 %, respectively. These results are demonstrated in Tables 2 and 3.

As shown in Table 4, no significant difference in the incidence of PPCs between the groups was observed (P > 0.05), but lower frequency of PPCs in the AD group was observed. There was a significant difference between groups regarding the length of hospital stay, with a shorter hospital stay among the individuals receiving AD (P = 0.0001).

DISCUSSION
The aims of chest physiotherapy include improvement of ventilation and clearance of secretions from the airways.19 Evaluation of different methods for chest physiotherapy is important in order to enable the possibility of selecting the most suitable method for every patient. It becomes necessary to standardize the practical approaches, in order to assist teams in making the most suitable decision, so as to favor the subjects’ clinical outcomes. In the present study, we aimed to determine the effect of adding the AD technique to routine chest physiotherapy, in terms
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Eligible patients (n = 66)
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  ♦ Declined to participate (n = 6)

Randomized (n = 60)

Allocation

Allocated to AD group n = (30)
  ♦ Received allocated intervention (n = 26)
  ♦ Did not receive allocated intervention (n = 4), either because surgery was cancelled surgery (n = 3) or more than 48 hours of mechanical ventilation was required (n = 1)

Allocated to chest physiotherapy group n = (30)
  ♦ Received allocated intervention (n = 25)
  ♦ Did not receive allocated intervention (n = 5), either because surgery was cancelled or the incision was changed (n = 4) or surgery took less than 120 minutes (n = 1)

Follow-up

Discontinued intervention (n = 2)
  ♦ Discharged early (n = 2)

Discontinued intervention (n = 1)
  ♦ Discharged early (n = 1)

Analysis

Analyzed (n = 24)

Analyzed (n = 24)

Figure 1. Flow of subjects through the study.

of improvement of gas exchange and reduction or prevention of pulmonary complications, in comparison with routine chest physiotherapy alone, among high-risk subjects undergoing UAS.

Several trials have assessed different airway clearance techniques as part of the physiotherapeutic management of ineffective cough in the postoperative period after abdominal surgery. However, only limited studies using the AD technique in the postoperative period are available.

In the present study, both treatment groups revealed significant improvements in SaO$_2$ and PaO$_2$ but with higher percentages in the AD group. On the other hand, no significant difference in the incidence of PPCs was observed between the two groups, although the AD group had lower frequency of pulmonary complications, and the length of hospital stay was significantly lower in the AD group than in the routine chest physiotherapy group.
Piskin et al.\textsuperscript{22} stated that chest physiotherapy increased oxygen saturation and returned the arterial blood gas values to normal limits. Chest physiotherapy is the main and most important treatment strategies for prevention of PPCs.\textsuperscript{23}

The results from the present study are consistent with those of Duymaz et al.,\textsuperscript{24} who demonstrated that, in subjects undergoing bariatric surgery, postoperative chest physiotherapy regulated their arterial blood gases, improved oxygen saturation and respiratory functions and reduced dyspnea levels. It improved \(\text{SaO}_2\), \(\text{PaO}_2\) and \(\text{pH}\) by 15%, 18% and 7% respectively, compared with the preoperative values.

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. Values are in numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

| Characteristics                      | AD group | Physiotherapy group |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| **Subjects, n = 48**                 |          |                     |
| Age, mean ± SD, years                | 53.79 ± 2.395 | 53.88 ± 2.173 |
| Males, n (%)                         | 15 (62.5) | 14 (58.3)           |
| Body mass index, mean ± SD, kg/m\(^2\) | 32.91 ± 2.12888 | 32.7240 ± 1.78535 |
| **Clinical aspects**                 |          |                     |
| Smoking history, n (%)               |          |                     |
| Non-smoker                           | 6 (25.0) | 9 (37.5)            |
| Current smoker                       | 13 (54.2) | 11 (45.8)          |
| Ex-smoke                             | 5 (20.8)  | 4 (16.7)            |
| Subjects with comorbidities, n (%)   |          |                     |
| Hypertension (n)                     | 10 (41.7) | 9 (37.5)            |
| Diabetes (n)                         | 11 (45.8) | 13 (54.2)           |
| COPD (n)                             | 3 (12.5)  | 2 (8.3)             |
| **Surgical procedure, n (%)**        |          |                     |
| Cholecystectomy                      | 6 (25)    | 7 (29.16)           |
| Hernia repair                        | 3 (12.5)  | 5 (20.38)           |
| Colectomy                            | 2 (8.33)  | 3 (12.5)            |
| Gastrectomy                          | 3 (12.5)  | 2 (8.33)            |
| Hepatectomy                          | 7 (29.16) | 5 (20.38)           |
| Pancreatectomy                       | 3 (12.5)  | 2 (8.33)            |
| Surgical duration, mean ± SD, min    | 240.83 ± 15.012 | 238.33 ± 9.52 |
| Pain level, median (IQR)             | 4 (2-7)   | 4 (2-6)             |

AD = autogenic drainage; SD = standard deviation; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IQR = interquartile range.

Table 2. Comparison of arterial blood gases parameters pre- and post-treatment in the two groups

| Arterial blood gases parameters | AD group | Physiotherapy group | P-value | AD group | Physiotherapy group | P-value |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|---------|
| \(\text{SaO}_2\) (%)            | 94.67 ± 1.27 | 97.62 ± 0.87 | 2.958  | 93.92 ± 1.86 | 95.29 ± 1.33 | 1.375 | 0.0001 |
| \(\text{PaO}_2\) (mmHg)         | 80.08 ± 5.48 | 87.33 ± 4.43 | 7.250  | 80.17 ± 5.87 | 84.29 ± 5.07 | 4.125 | 0.0001 |
| \(\text{PaCO}_2\) (mmHg)        | 42.55 ± 6.25 | 38.25 ± 5.87 | 4.3    | 41.63 ± 7.3 | 40.27 ± 3.03 | 1.362 | 0.348  |
| pH                              | 7.40 ± 0.056 | 7.39 ± 0.043 | 0.00458 | 7.39 ± 0.08 | 7.43 ± 0.072 | 0.037 | 0.21   |
| \(\text{HCO}_3\) (meq/l)        | 25.5 ± 4.818 | 28.2 ± 4.43 | 2.695  | 25.04 ± 2.92 | 26.6 ± 3.75 | 1.562 | 0.083  |

MD = mean difference; AD = autogenic drainage; \(\text{SaO}_2\) = arterial oxygen saturation; \(\text{PaO}_2\) = partial pressure of oxygen; \(\text{PaCO}_2\) = partial pressure of carbon dioxide; \(\text{HCO}_3\) = bicarbonate. Data are presented as means ± standard deviations; \(P < 0.05\) was considered to be significant.

Moreover, Manzano et al.\textsuperscript{14} showed, in an evaluation on immediate postoperative chest physiotherapy after UAS, that there was an effective improvement in oxygen-hemoglobin saturation without any increase in abdominal pain. Furthermore, in a study evaluating chest physiotherapy and mobilization versus mobilization alone, which were applied among 74 subjects who underwent bariatric surgery, chest physiotherapy improved postoperative respiratory functions, decreased dyspnea levels, increased oxygen saturation, regulated arterial blood gases and improved functional capacity and quality of life.\textsuperscript{24} Additionally, Lunardi et al.\textsuperscript{25} compared the effect of chest physical therapy versus no treatment on the incidence of PPCs in patients who underwent esophagostomy surgery. They found that chest physiotherapy reduced the incidence of PPCs (15% versus 37%; \(P < 0.05\)), shortened the duration of antibiotic treatment and thoracic drainage and reduced the frequency of re-intubation. Also, Rocha et al. found that conventional chest physiotherapy after bariatric surgery led to improvement of tidal volume and decreased the frequency of atelectasis.\textsuperscript{20}

To the best of our knowledge, only limited clinical trials involving use of AD postoperatively are available in the literature. The results from the present study are supported by those of a study by Shingavi et al.,\textsuperscript{26} who compared the active cycles of a breathing technique versus the AD technique after abdominal surgery. They found that both techniques improved chest expansion and peak expiratory flow rate, but that the active cycle of the breathing technique was more effective. Moreover, Spapen et al.\textsuperscript{27} studied the effect of intrapulmonary...
percussive ventilation physiotherapy plus assisted AD among critically ill patients on mechanical ventilation. Their patients received either intrapulmonary percussive ventilation physiotherapy plus assisted AD or conventional physiotherapy (chest wall vibrations, positioning, rib-springing, suction and aerosol therapy) or no physiotherapy. Intrapulmonary percussive ventilation plus AD tended to lower the incidence of Gram-negative infection associated with the ventilator, in comparison with the other groups.

A prospective cohort study evaluating 101 subjects postoperatively after UAS concluded that these individuals presented impaired cough effectiveness. On the first postoperative day, their peak cough flow was only 54% of the preoperative value. On the fifth postoperative day, their peak cough flow remained significantly decreased from the preoperative value (72% of that value). Furthermore, excessive sputum may be present postoperatively, due to the action of anesthetic drugs and narcotic drugs, which reduces mucociliary clearing action, diminishes lung function, increases retained secretions and leads to hypoventilation, which consequently increases the respiratory effort.

In addition, abdominal surgery and obesity cause restrictive breathing patterns. Reductions in lung volume cause secretion accumulations in the airways, which are considered to be a risk factor for developing pneumonia and atelectasis. Reductions in vital capacity can also lead to atelectasis, with reduction of the partial pressure of oxygen and facilitation of alveolar collapse.

Thus, there is a need for management of ineffective cough and administration of airway clearance therapy, which may possibly be provided through the improvement in blood gases and the lower incidence of postoperative complication in the AD group of the present study. AD as an airway clearance technique may be helpful in collateral airway opening through breathing with different volumes and instantaneous holding back, which leads to significant improvement in airway clearance, dyspnea, peak expiratory flow rate and mucus clearance.

Airway clearance treatment generally improves gas exchange, reduces the work of breathing and clears airway secretions. Hence, removal of infected secretions in the airways can increase the ventilatory capacity and decrease direct inflammation of the airway epithelia. Lastly, autogenic drainage as an airway clearance technique could be taught to patients undergoing UAS and could be self-administered to improve these patients’ outcomes.

**Limitations**
The limitations of this study were the absence of a control group that did not receive any intervention and the fact that the length of time that the subjects stayed out of bed was not assessed. Another limitation was that the physiotherapist was not blind to the study groups.

**CONCLUSION**
From the results of this study, it can be concluded that adding the AD technique to routine chest physiotherapy after UAS provides improvement of blood gases, shortens the hospital stay and is accompanied by a lower percentage of pulmonary complications. Moreover, it is well tolerated by patients.
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