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Abstract. Marked by the highlights of native generation of \textsc{epub} E-books and \TikZ support for creating \textsc{svg} images, we present an annual report of \LaTeXml development in 2013. \LaTeXml provides a reimplementation of the \TeX parser, geared towards preserving macro semantics; it supports an array of output formats, notably \textsc{html5}, \textsc{epub}, \textsc{xhtml} and its own \LaTeXml-near XML. Other highlights include enhancing performance when used inside high-throughput build-systems, via incorporating a native \textsc{zip} archive workflow, as well as a simplified installation procedure that now allows to deploy \LaTeXml as a cloud service. To this end, we also introduce an official plugin-based scheme for publishing new features that go beyond the core scope of \LaTeXml, such as web services or unconventional post-processors. The software suite has now migrated to GitHub and we welcome forks and patches from the wider FLOSS community.

1 Introduction

Another busy year of \LaTeXml\textsuperscript{4} development has gone by; while we’ve not completely accomplished all the tasks we’d hoped for (c.f. \cite{1}), we’ve finished others including some we hadn’t originally planned. While it was originally developed for NIST’s Digital Library of Mathematical Functions\textsuperscript{5}, where it continues to serve, we continue to find additional applications. One, carried out this year, was the natural extension of the system to generate \textsc{epub} documents; the first converter, to our knowledge, natively generating \textsc{epub} from \TeX. Including MathML, along with Daisy\textsuperscript{6} support of audio rendering of math, \textsc{epub} is a major step forward for accessibility. Two planned milestones were also completed, namely: supporting the \TikZ, a large, elaborate graphics package in which one draws complex diagrams, plots and other 2D and 3D graphics using \TeX markup; as well as completing a community-facing project reorganization. Together, these features are hoped to extend the reach of MKM technologies.

Before we delve into details, a little background about \LaTeXml may be in order. Two main approaches are currently used to generate \textsc{html} from \TeX. The first, exemplified by \texttt{tex4ht}, uses the actual \TeX engine to process the source while redefining certain commands to drop \texttt{\textbackslash{special}} data into the normal \texttt{dvi}\footnote{see \url{http://dlmf.nist.gov/LaTeXML/}}\footnote{see \url{http://dlmf.nist.gov}}\footnote{see \url{http://www.daisy.org/}}
output. An alternative \texttt{dvips} then deciphers that augmented \texttt{dvi} to infer and construct the appropriate \texttt{HTML}. In the second approach, used by \texttt{LaTeXML}, the program emulates \TeX for the most part but interprets some macros specially, producing \texttt{XML} directly.

The first approach has the advantage of (usually) allowing the processing of arbitrary \TeX and \LaTeX packages, although the resulting \texttt{HTML} may not reflect the intended structure nor semantics. The challenges are in the \TeX programming necessary to insert the \texttt{\specials}, generating valid, indeed even well-formed, \texttt{HTML}, and in recovering sufficient semantic structure from the \texttt{dvi}.

The second approach gives more direct control of the generated output. It is easier to extend to new \texttt{XML} structures, and being fundamentally \texttt{XML} aware, it produces valid \texttt{XML}. \texttt{LaTeXML} uses an intermediate \texttt{XML} format preserving the semantic structure. A feature of \texttt{LaTeXML} ‘bindings’ (\texttt{LaTeXML}’s re-implementation of \LaTeX packages) is control sequences defined to be “Constructors”, directly constructing the \texttt{XML} representation of their content. The challenge lies in emulating \TeX sufficiently well to process complex packages, or alternatively, to develop \texttt{LaTeXML}-specific bindings for them.

In either approach, \LaTeX packages that define macros with semantic intent must be dealt with individually or else the semantics will be lost.

## 2 Reorganization

We have reorganized both our code development and our code base. In the first sense, we have moved our repository to GitHub\footnote{see \url{https://github.com/brucemiller/LaTeXML}} where you can more conveniently browse our code, or obtain the latest version. We have also ported our Trac tickets to GitHub’s Issues, preserving all bug and feature requests.

Along with the move to GitHub came opportunities to share code and development calling for clearer coding standards. We committed to code quality and formatting by adopting \texttt{perltidy} and \texttt{perlcritic} policies, which were adapted to the polyglot of \TeX, Perl, XML, \texttt{XSLT}, automatically enforced by \texttt{git}.

In the second sense, we have reorganized the code itself to more clearly separate the modules related to the separate phases of processing. At the same time, we enable “conversion as an API”, offering a connection and code sharing between those phases when more complex processing is called for, such as carrying a single \TeX source file through the full processing to \texttt{HTML}, or even \texttt{EPUB} (see §3). In particular, it provides better support for daemonized processing, foundational to batch conversions and web service deployments.

This reorganization positions us to develop a plugin architecture allowing modular extensions covering both new \LaTeX styles and bindings, but also enhanced postprocessing for more sophisticated applications such as s\TeX. We have already refactored three flavors of \LaTeXML web servers, an alternative grammar for math parsing, as well as an extension for converting \TeX formulas into queries for the MathWebSearch search engine, all hosted on GitHub as separate repositories. The true power of the new contribution model is revealed when combined
with Perl’s CPAN distribution and dependency management system, which will allow for single command installation of any LaTeXML-based project and its full dependency tree.

3 E-books

The newest version of EPUB, version 3, is primarily a packaging of HTML pages representing chapters or sections into a structured zip archive. The big step forward for the scientific community is that it now calls for the use of MathML to represent mathematics. Since LaTeXML is already generating HTML, with embedded MathML, and allows that output to be split into multiple pages as specified by the user, it seemed an obvious and natural extension to generate EPUB documents. Moreover, the web-service spin-off projects had already called for and drafted the compression of the resulting directory of generated content into a zip archive. Thus, with appropriate rearrangement of the pieces, and the addition of a Manifest of the correct structure, we have all the basic components needed to generate EPUB documents. We have generated a number of EPUB documents and successfully validated them against the official idpf validator.

We subsequently considered to also add support for Amazon’s proprietary mobi E-book format. However, at the time of writing the mobi ecosystem is transitioning to the new Amazon Kindle Format 8 (AKF8), which aims to more fully align with EPUB 3.0. Finally, the lack of an open ecosystem around the format prevented us from repeating the quick and painless design process for the EPUB output, so we did not venture further.

4 Graphics

Given the challenges of developing LaTeXML bindings for complex LaTeX packages, we were skeptical when Michael Kohlhase initially posed the challenge: Was LaTeXML’s engine good enough to implement the TikZ package and generate SVG? The package is so large and complex, not to mention its development so fast-moving, that creating LaTeXML-specific bindings for all its many commands is impractical. However, TikZ is designed to pass all processed graphics through a relatively small driver layer, and in fact already has a tex4ht driver for producing SVG! Providing we can faithfully emulate all the \TeX processing that leads to that driver layer, we may have a chance; presumably any semantics implied by TikZ markup isn’t so critical, but the expected SVG obviously is.

The main tasks, then, were to implement LaTeXML bindings for just that driver, covering universal graphics primitives such as points, lines and angles; then improve LaTeXML’s engine to cope with the sophisticated \TeX macro usage in the higher layers of pgf and TikZ.

Ultimately, we succeeded beyond our expectations. Although the results are not perfect, LaTeXML now successfully processes 3/4 of the first page of TikZ

---

8 see http://validator.idpf.org/
9 see http://www.texample.net/tikz/examples/all/
examples on the \TeX{}ample.net website, generating valid HTML5, with text and MathML combined. In contrast, \texttt{tex4ht} succeeds on slightly more than half the examples, often producing invalid markup, and doesn’t support MathML embedded in the SVG. It must be admitted, however, that \LaTeX{}XML is \textit{very} slow at processing TikZ markup! Converting the ‘signpost’ example from \TeX{}ample.net required almost 2 minutes, whereas \texttt{tex4ht} needed only 2 seconds (albeit with incomplete math). \texttt{pdflatex} converts it to PDF in less than half a second.

In the process, we have further improved the fidelity of the \LaTeX{} emulation, introduced a (currently very rudimentary) mechanism for estimating the size of displayed objects and exercised the integration of both MathML and SVG into HTML. Additionally, \LaTeX{}XML now has its own \LaTeX{} profiler, which offers binding developers per-macro feedback on exclusive runtimes, helping to identify core conversion bottlenecks. These improvements are beneficial even outside the graphics milestone and contribute to an overall better \LaTeX{}XML ecosystem.

Areas needing further work are TikZ′ matrix structure which currently clashes with \LaTeX{}XML’s handling of alignments; inaccuracies of \LaTeX{}XML’s sizing of objects; and, of course, examples involving other exotic packages not yet known to \LaTeX{}XML. We plan to test against the entire suite of examples at \TeX{}ample.net to discover other weaknesses and further improve the module.

Beyond TikZ, we are hoping to leverage this experience and apply it to supporting the \texttt{xy} package, another popular and powerful system. It seems to have a less well-defined driver layer and we are in the early stages of discovering the smallest set of macros that could serve that function. Nevertheless, we have had some preliminary, proof-of-concept, success. We already have minimal support for the \texttt{pstricks} package, but with its Postscript oriented design, it is more time consuming to develop further bindings.

5 Outlook

The initial success with TikZ processing is quite gratifying, but it needs refinement, and we look forward to testing on a larger scale. We also intend to extend our reach to the \texttt{xy} packages. Other E-book formats such as \texttt{AKF8} should be possible with specializations of manifest generation and other fine tuning. Surprisingly, generating Word and OpenOffice formats shares many features with E-books; of course finding the documentation and writing the XSLT transformations from \LaTeX{}XML’s native XML to Word’s will be challenging.

Our move to GitHub, the code reorganization and the plugin contribution model should make it easier for users to use and adapt the system, as well as to contribute back patches and improvements that will help our development.
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