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Abstract Resonant absorption of fast magnetoacoustic (FMA) waves in an inhomogeneous, weakly dissipative, one-dimensional planar, strongly anisotropic and dispersive plasma is investigated. The magnetic configuration consists of an inhomogeneous magnetic slab sandwiched between two regions of semi-infinite homogeneous magnetic plasmas. Laterally driven FMA waves penetrate the inhomogeneous slab interacting with the localised slow or Alfvén waves present in the inhomogeneous layer and are partly reflected, dissipated and transmitted by this region. The presented research aims to find the coefficient of wave energy absorption under solar chromospheric and coronal conditions. Numerical results are analyzed to find the coefficient of wave energy absorption at both the slow and Alfvén resonance positions. The mathematical derivations are based on the two simplifying assumptions that (i) nonlinearity is weak, and (ii) the thickness of the inhomogeneous layer is small in comparison to the wavelength of the wave, i.e. we employ the so-called long wavelength approximation. Slow resonance is found to be described by the nonlinear theory, while the dynamics at the Alfvén resonance can be described within the linear framework. We introduce a new concept of coupled resonances, which occurs when two different resonances are in close proximity to each other, causing the incoming wave to act as though it has been influenced by the two resonances simultaneously. Our results show that the wave energy absorption is heavily dependent on the angle of the incident wave in combination with the inclination angle of the equilibrium magnetic field. In addition, it is found that FMA waves are very efficiently absorbed at the Alfvén resonance under coronal conditions. Under chromospheric conditions the FMA waves are far less efficiently absorbed, despite an increase in efficiency due to the coupled resonances.
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1. Introduction

The complicated interaction of the motion of plasma with the magnetic fields is one of the most interesting processes in the solar atmosphere. A highly non-uniform and dynamical system, such as the solar atmosphere, is a perfect medium for magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves. These waves are able to transport momentum and energy along and across the magnetic field which can be dissipated, through a series of mechanisms, one of the most efficient being resonant absorption. The existence of resonant absorption is due to the coupling of global oscillations to local waves in a non-ideal plasma.

At resonance interacting dynamical systems can transfer energy to each other. In this context, resonant absorption has been suggested as a mechanism to create supplementary heating of fusion plasmas, but was, however, later rejected due to technical difficulties (see, e.g. Tataronis and Grossmann, 1973; Grossmann and Tataronis, 1973; Chen and Hasegawa, 1974; Hasegawa and Chen, 1976; Jonson, 1978). It was suggested, for the first time, that resonant MHD waves may be a means to heat magnetic loops in the solar corona. Since then, resonant absorption of MHD waves has become a popular and successful mechanism for providing some of the heating of the solar corona (see, e.g. Poedts et al., 1989; Poedts et al., 1990a; Poedts et al., 1990b; Poedts et al., 1990c; Ofman and Davila, 1995; Ofman and Davila, 1995; Belien et al., 1999; Belien et al., 1999). More recently resonant absorption has acquired a new applicability when the observed damping of waves and oscillations in coronal loops has been attributed to resonant absorption. Hence, resonant absorption has become a fundamental constituent block of one of the newest branches of solar physics, called coronal seismology (which was first suggested by Uchida et al., 1973; Uchida et al., 1973; see also Roberts et al., 1984). It has since been applied to explain the rapid damping of kink oscillations in coronal loops by numerous authors (see, e.g. Nakariakov et al., 1999; Nakariakov et al., 1999; Aschwanden et al., 1999; Nakariakov and Ofman, 2001; Nakariakov and Ofman, 2001; Ruderman and Roberts, 2002; Ruderman and Roberts, 2002; Goossens et al., 2002; Goossens et al., 2002; Terradas et al., 2008; Terradas et al., 2008; Terradas et al., 2010; Terradas et al., 2010). In addition, resonant absorption has been proposed as a mechanism to explain the observed loss of energy of acoustic oscillations in the vicinity of sunspots (see, e.g. Hollweg, 1988; Hollweg, 1988; Lou, 1990; Lou, 1990).

The effect of resonant absorption has mostly been studied in linear MHD, mainly because the linear approach is easier to handle mathematically. However, linear theory shows that in the vicinity of the resonant position, the amplitude of oscillations can get large even when dissipative effects are taken into account resulting in a breakdown of the linear approach. The seminal study by Ruderman et al., 1997d showed that this is exactly what happens at the slow resonance in isotropic plasmas. The amplitude of oscillations far away from the resonance are small, but close to the resonant position nonlinearity must be taken into account. The study was then extended by Ballai et al., 1998b) to anisotropic plasmas characteristic for the solar corona. More recently, Clack and Ballai, 2008 expanded the theory to take into account dispersion.
due to Hall currents. All analytical studies on nonlinear resonant absorption focussed on resonant slow waves despite Alfvén waves being considered more important in the process of heating. Recently, (Clack et al., 2009) showed that the Alfvén resonance can be described within linear MHD framework as the effects of largest nonlinear and dispersive terms identically cancel.

Observations have shown that the solar atmosphere is not only an inhomogeneous and structured medium, but is also highly dynamic. Solar magnetic structures, such as coronal loops, sunspots and prominences, exhibit large-scale motions (flows). Modern high resolution satellites, however, are still not accurate enough to derive the detailed diagnostics of these flows (present day observations are limited to a resolution of approximately 500 km, however, to fully resolve the processes creating these flows we could need a resolution of, conservatively, 1 km). On the other hand, theoretical studies have shown that these motions can considerably change the efficiency of MHD wave dissipation (see, e.g. Erdélyi and Goossens, 1996; Erdélyi and Goossens, 1996). The effect of steady (shear) flows on the resonant behaviour of nonlinear slow waves was first discussed by (Ballai and Erdélyi, 1998).

Many studies of resonant absorption consider only the sound (or slow) and Alfvén waves as excellent candidates for chromospheric and coronal heating (see, e.g. Ruderman et al., 1997c; Ruderman et al., 1997c; Ballai et al., 1998a; Ballai et al., 1998a; Ruderman, 2000; Ruderman, 2000; Vasquez, 2005; Vasquez, 2005). However, Alfvén waves can only carry energy along the magnetic field lines and slow waves are only capable of carrying $1-2\%$ of their energy under coronal (low plasma-$\beta$) conditions. In contrast, fast magnetoacoustic (FMA) waves may have an important role in explaining the upper chromospheric and coronal temperatures as has been shown by, e.g. (Čadež et al., 1997); (Csík et al., 1998) and (Erdélyi and Ballai, 2001). FMA waves are magnetic waves which can propagate across the magnetic field lines. They are compressional so they are subject to dissipation by, e.g., viscosity, heat conduction, Landau and transit-time damping, in the high-frequency limit where the Coulomb collisions are ineffective.

It is well known that in order to have acceptable heating by FMA waves coming from lower regions (e.g. generated by convective motions below the photosphere) the waves should not be reflected by the steep rise of the Alfvén and/or slow wave speed with height, nor should they become evanescent. However, this is difficult to prevent. On the other hand, to interact resonantly with the slow waves, FMA waves would only have to propagate to the upper chromosphere, since the ratio between characteristic speeds (slow and Alfvén) is much smaller than in the solar corona. Only the high-frequency waves of the full FMA spectrum can reach the corona (with periods of a few tens of seconds). The energy flux density of fast waves at the bottom of the corona required for significant heating is consistent with the upper limit on acoustic waves (Athay and White, 1978; Athay and White, 1978).

There is an alternative to the FMA waves propagating from the photosphere towards the solar corona. FMA waves (as well as slow and Alfvén waves) may be generated locally in the chromosphere and corona by, e.g. phenomena involving magnetic reconnection. It was suggested by (Parker, 1988) that shuffling the magnetic field in the solar atmosphere (by convective motion in lower regions) builds up magnetic stresses which can be released through, e.g. reconnection providing the energy to maintain the high temperature of the solar upper atmosphere. It has been shown that reconnection events can produce MHD waves (see, e.g. Roussev et al., 2001b; Roussev et al., 2001c).
through the process of nanoflares. The required amount of nanoflares has not yet been observed due to their localised nature. Even extending the theory to larger observable scales (explosive events, micro-flares, blinkers, etc.) still does not prove this theory (Aschwanden, 1999). Even though the energy released via reconnection may not be adequate to provide the heating required, it is still assumed that the amount of magnetic energy built up by the shuffling can provide enough energy to heat the upper atmosphere. The necessary supplementary heating may come from reconnection-driven, locally generated MHD waves.

The present paper has three major aims. First, is to study the nonlinear resonant interaction of FMA waves coupled into the slow continua in strongly anisotropic and dispersive plasmas (conditions typical of the solar upper chromosphere). Secondly, to numerically investigate the resonant absorption of FMA waves at the Alfvén resonance for conditions typically found in the solar corona. Finally, we numerically analyse the resonant absorption of FMA waves at a coupled (slow and Alfvén) resonance in the solar chromosphere. The paper takes advantage of the analytical study by (Clack and Ballai, 2009b) where they derived the coefficient of wave energy absorption for FMA, but did not discuss the implications of their findings in full based on concrete solar physics applications.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the equilibrium model and any mathematical and/or physical assumptions made. In Section 3 we give the definition of the coefficient of wave energy absorption at the slow and Alfvén resonant positions. Section 4 displays our numerical results and our interpretation of the derived coefficients. Finally, in Sect. 5 we summarize, draw our conclusions and discuss the applicability of the study.

2. The Equilibrium and Assumptions

The magnetic field and density topology of the solar atmosphere is highly complex and, therefore, there are many degrees of freedom. However, to make our investigation tractable mathematically, we study the interaction of incident FMA waves in a one-dimensional plasma. The dynamics and absorption of waves will be studied in a Cartesian coordinate system. The configuration consists of an inhomogeneous and dissipative magnetised plasma $0 < x < x_0$ (Region II) sandwiched between two semi-infinite regions with homogeneous and ideal magnetised plasmas for $x < 0$ and $x > x_0$ (Regions I and III, respectively). The equilibrium state is shown in Figure 1 which has been reproduced from (Clack and Ballai, 2009b). The equilibrium density and pressure are denoted by $\rho$ and $p$. The equilibrium magnetic field, $B$, is unidirectional and lies in the $yz$-plane. In what follows the subscripts “$e$”, “$0$” and “$i$” denote the equilibrium quantities in the three regions (Regions I, II, III, respectively). It is convenient to introduce the inclination angle, $\alpha$, between the $z$-axis and the direction of the equilibrium magnetic field, so that the components of the equilibrium magnetic field are

$$B_x = B \sin \alpha, \quad B_z = B \cos \alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

All equilibrium quantities are continuous at the boundaries of Region II, and they
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Figure 1. Schematic representation of the equilibrium state. Regions I ($x < 0$) and III ($x > 0$) contain a homogeneous magnetised plasma and Region II ($0 < x < x_0$) an inhomogeneous magnetised plasma. The shaded strip shows the dissipative layer embracing the ideal resonant position $x_c(a)$. Image reproduced from Clack and Ballai (2009b).

satisfy the equation of total pressure balance. It follows from the equation of total pressure balance that the density ratio between Regions I and III satisfy the relation

$$\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_e} = \frac{2 c^2_{S_{\text{Si}}} + \gamma v^2_{A_i}}{2 c^2_{S_{\text{Se}}} + \gamma v^2_{A_S}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the Alfvén and sound speeds are

$$v_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_S = \sqrt{\frac{p}{\rho}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with $\gamma$ being the adiabatic exponent and $\mu_0$ is the permeability of free space.

We consider an equilibrium such that the plasma in Region III is both hotter and more rarefied than in Region I and assume a simple monotonic linear profile for all variables in Region II. This choice provides a single unique resonant surface for both the slow and Alfvén resonances. The objective of the present paper is to study the resonant absorption of FMA waves at slow and Alfvén dissipative layers. There are two cases which we wish to investigate. First, we study the absorption of fast waves at Alfvén resonance as a possible scenario of the interaction of global fast waves (modelling EIT waves) and coronal loops. Secondly, we analyse the absorption of fast waves at the slow resonance as a possible scenario for heating in the upper chromosphere. When a fast wave interacts with a slow resonance, an Alfvén resonance is also present. We consider this scenario in our analysis and study the total (i.e. coupled) absorption in the inhomogeneous layer.

In an attempt to remove other effects from the analysis we consider the incoming fast wave to be entirely in the $xz$-plane, i.e. $k_y = 0$. The resonant positions are located.
where the global fast wave speed coincides with that of the local slow/Alfvén speed. The dispersion relation for the impinging propagating fastwaves takes the form

\[
\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( v_A^2 + c_S^2 \right) + \left\[ \left( v_A^2 + c_S^2 \right)^2 - 4 v_A^2 c_S^2 \cos^2 \phi \right\]^{1/2} \right\}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \phi \) is the angle between the direction of propagation and the background magnetic field within the \( xz \)-plane and \( k = (k_x^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2} \). For the sake of simplicity, the ratio \( k_x/k_z \) is denoted \( \kappa_e \) so that the dispersion relation (4) becomes

\[
\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( v_A^2 + c_S^2 \right) + \left[ \left( v_A^2 + c_S^2 \right)^2 - 4 v_A^2 c_S^2 \frac{1}{1 + \kappa_e^2} \right]^{1/2} \right\}, \tag{5}
\]

where \( 1 + \kappa_e^2 = 1/\cos^2 \phi \).

We assume the plasma is strongly magnetized in the three regions, such that the conditions \( \omega_i(e) \tau_i(e) \gg 1 \) are satisfied, where \( \omega_i(e) \) is the ion (electron) gyrofrequency and \( \tau_i(e) \) is the ion (electron) collision time. Due to the strong magnetic field, transport processes are derived from Braginskii’s stress tensor (see, e.g., Braginskii, 1965; Erdélyi and Goossens, 1995; Ruderman et al., 1997a, Ruderman et al., 1997b; Mocanu et al., 2008; Mocanu et al., 2008). As we deal with two separate waves (slow and Alfvén), we will need to choose the particular dissipative process which is most efficient for these waves. For slow waves, it is a good approximation to retain only the first term of Braginskii’s expression for viscosity, namely compressional viscosity (Hollweg, 1985). In addition, in the solar upper atmosphere slow waves are sensitive to thermal conduction. In a strongly magnetized plasma, the thermal conductivity parallel to the magnetic field lines dwarfs the perpendicular component, hence the heat flux can be approximated by the parallel component only (Priest, 1984). On the other hand, since Alfvén waves are transversal and incompressible they are affected by the second and third components of Braginskii’s stress tensor, called shear viscosity (Clack et al., 2009). Finally, Alfvén waves are efficiently damped by finite electrical conductivity, which becomes anisotropic under coronal conditions. The parallel and perpendicular components, however, only differ by a factor of 2, so we will only consider one of them without loss of generality. All other transport mechanisms can be neglected.

The dynamics of nonlinear resonant MHD waves in anisotropic and dispersive plasmas was studied by Clack and Ballai, 2008 and Clack et al., 2009. They derived the governing equations and connection formulae necessary to study resonant absorption in slow/Alfvén dissipative layers. A further paper by Clack and Ballai, 2009b studied the interaction of fast waves with the slow and Alfvén resonances and derived the coefficients of wave energy absorption. They intentionally overlooked the complication of an Alfvén resonance being present at the same time as the slow resonance. In the present paper, however, we include both resonances. These conditions would be typical of the upper chromosphere where the plasma-\( \beta \) goes from being larger than unity to becoming smaller than unity, retaining the monotonic dependence of characteristic speeds in the inhomogeneous layer.
The key assumptions we use in the present paper in order to facilitate analytical progress are connected to the strength of nonlinearity and the wavelength of the incoming wave. First, from the very beginning we assume that nonlinearity, at the slow resonance, is weak. This simplification allows us to study the nonlinear governing equation derived by (Clack and Ballai, 2008) analytically. (Ruderman, 2000) investigated the absorption of sound waves at the isotropic slow dissipative layer in the limit of strong nonlinearity. In their analysis nonlinearity dominated dissipation in the resonant layer which embraces the dissipative layer. They concluded that nonlinearity decreases absorption in the long wavelength approximation, but increases it at intermediate values of \( kx_0 \), however, the increase is never more than 20%. Secondly, we also assume that the thickness of the inhomogeneous region (Region II) is thin in comparison to the wavelength of the impinging fast wave, i.e. \( kx_0 \ll 1 \), which has two implications. The first is to enable us to neglect terms of the order of \( k^2 x_0^2 \) (and above) in the calculations. The second consequence is more subtle. To have a coupled resonance, the incoming FMA wave must resonantly interact with an Alfvén resonance and be partially transmitted and then resonantly interact with a slow resonance. On one hand, if the Alfvén and slow resonances are more than one wavelength, \( k^{-1} \), apart the transmitted wave will have decayed by the time it reaches the slow resonance. On the other hand, if the Alfvén and slow resonance are within one wavelength of each other then the transmitted wave will be able to interact with the slow resonance. So, if an environment is set up such that both an Alfvén and a slow resonance occur within the inhomogeneous region the distance between the resonances, \( x_1 \), must satisfy the condition \( x_1 < x_0 \). Hence, we have \( kx_0 \ll 1 \Rightarrow x_0 \ll k^{-1} \Rightarrow x_1 \ll k^{-1} \).

We will use the same governing equations, absorption coefficients and results as obtained by (Clack and Ballai, 2009b). We will only derive new results necessary to investigate the processes at the coupled resonance.

3. Coefficient of Wave Energy Absorption

The basic premise of our model is that one single monochromatic wave (the FMA wave) interacts with the inhomogeneous layer and one (or more) wave leaves it. The difference of the energy flux entering and leaving the resonance quantifies the energy resonantly absorbed inside the inhomogeneous region. This energy can then be converted into heat by dissipation. The coefficient of wave energy absorption is just the percentage of the energy entering the inhomogeneous region that is resonantly absorbed.

(Clack and Ballai, 2009b) derived the coefficient of wave energy absorption of fast magnetoacoustic waves at both the Alfvén and slow resonances, but the derivation requires a long and cumbersome mathematical treatment so we do not repeat their working, we just recall their main results. It should be noted that when they derived the coefficients of wave energy absorption they relied on connection formulae, which are assumed to be accurate (Spruit and Bogdan, 1992, Spruit and Bogdan, 1992). At the Alfvén resonance the wave dynamics are described by linear theory, as proved by (Clack et al., 2009). At resonance, the incoming monochromatic FMA wave is partly reflected, transmitted and dissipated. The reflected wave is monochromatic and the transmitted wave carries no energy because it is evanescent in Region III. The coefficient of wave energy absorption can be written as (see, e.g. Ruderman et al., 1997c)
\[ \Gamma = \frac{\Pi_{\text{in}} - \Pi_{\text{out}}}{\Pi_{\text{in}}} = 1 - \frac{1}{p_{e}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n|^2, \]  

(6)

where \( \Pi_{\text{in}} \) and \( \Pi_{\text{out}} \) are the normal components of the energy fluxes, averaged over a period, of the incoming and outgoing waves, respectively. Here \( p_{e} \) is the plasma pressure in Region I and \( A_n \) denotes the total amplitude of the reflected wave at that order of approximation. Thus, the coefficient of wave energy absorption of FMA waves at the Alfvén resonance is given by

\[ \Gamma_{a} = \frac{4\tau_{a}\mu}{(\tau_{a} + \mu)^2 + \nu^2} + O(k^2 \chi_0^2), \]  

(7)

where \( \tau_{a}, \mu \) and \( \nu \) represent

\[ \tau_{a} = \frac{\pi kV \sin^2 \alpha}{\rho_{0}|A_{a}|}, \quad \mu = \frac{k_e V}{\rho_{e} \left( V^2 - v_{Ae} \cos^2 \alpha \right)}, \]  

(8)

\[ \nu = \frac{k_i V}{\rho_i \left( V^2 - v^2_{Ai} \cos^2 \alpha \right)} - kV \mathcal{P} \int_{0}^{\chi_0} F^{-1}(x) \ dx. \]  

(9)

Here the subscript ‘a’ means an equilibrium quantity that has been calculated at the Alfvén resonant surface, \( V \) is the phase velocity of the incoming FMA wave, given by Equation (4), \( \kappa = \pm \tan \phi, \Delta_a = -(dv^2_{A}/dx), \cos^2 \alpha \) and \( \k_i \) is defined as

\[ \k_i = \sqrt{-\frac{V^4 - V^2 \left( c^2_{Si} + v^2_{A} \right) + c^2_{Si} v^2_{Ae} \cos^2 \alpha}{\left( c^2_{Si} + v^2_{Ae} \right) \left( V^2 - c^2_{Ti} \cos^2 \alpha \right)}}. \]  

(10)

The Cauchy principal part, \( \mathcal{P} \), is used in the definition of \( \nu \) because the integral is divergent. The function \( F(x) \) is the coefficient function of the highest order derivative in the Hain–Lust equation defining the continuous parts in the linear spectrum. It takes the form

\[ F(x) = \rho_{0} \left( v^2_{Ae} + c^2_{Si} \right) \left( V^2 - v^2_{Ae} \cos^2 \alpha \right) \left( V^2 - c^2_{Ti} \cos^2 \alpha \right) \]  

\[ \frac{V^4 - V^2 \left( c^2_{Si} + v^2_{A} \right) + c^2_{Si} v^2_{Ae} \cos^2 \alpha}{\left( c^2_{Si} + v^2_{Ae} \right) \left( V^2 - c^2_{Ti} \cos^2 \alpha \right)} \]  

(11)

with \( c_T = c_{Si} v_{A} (c^2_{Si} + v^2_{A})^{-1/2} \) being the cusp (tube) speed.

The governing equation at the slow resonance have been derived by (Clack and Ballai, 2008). Similar to the Alfvén resonance the incoming FMA wave is partly reflected, transmitted and dissipated. The transmitted wave is decaying in a similar way as before, however, the reflected wave includes higher harmonics in addition to the fundamental mode due to the nonlinearity at the resonance. Using the approximation of weak nonlinearity, in the first order of approximation, we find the fundamental mode of the reflected wave. In the second order approximation, we see that the higher harmonics are of the order of \( k^2 \chi_0^2 \). In the third order of approximation, we find an additional contribution to the
Reflected fundamental mode due to coupling into the slow and Alfvén continua in the solar atmosphere. For even higher orders of approximation the contributions are no longer monochromatic, therefore, they are neglected (for full details on these estimations see, e.g., Clack and Ballai, 2009b). The coefficient of wave energy absorption of FMA waves at the slow resonance is

$$\Gamma_c = \frac{4\tau_c \mu}{\mu^2 + \nu^2} - \zeta^2 \frac{27p^2_0(4\pi^2 k^2 \chi^2_0 (\mu^2 + \nu^2)^2)}{6\pi^2 \nu V^2 k^2 \chi^2_0} + \mathcal{O}(k^2 \chi^0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\mu$ and $\nu$ take the same form as in Equations (8) and (9), and $\tau_c$ is derived as

$$\tau_c = \frac{\pi k V^5}{\rho_0 V^4 |\Delta_\alpha| \cos^2 \alpha}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Here the subscript ‘c’ means an equilibrium quantity has been calculated at the slow resonant surface and $\Delta_\alpha = -(dc^2/dx)_0 \cos^2 \alpha$. The first term in Equation (12) is the linear coefficient of wave energy absorption, and the second term is the nonlinear correction (both terms are of the order of $k \chi_0$). The physical meaning of the small term $\zeta$ is buried within the nonlinear governing equation, but essentially describes the ratio of nonlinear and dissipative terms (for further details we refer to Clack and Ballai, 2009b). The small quantity $\zeta$ ensures that the contribution of nonlinearity to the net coefficient of absorption is small. In addition, since the nonlinear correction is always positive, it is clear that the effect of nonlinearity and dispersion is to decrease the coefficient of wave energy absorption, a result already obtained earlier by Ballai et al., 1998a. (Clack and Ballai, 2009b) went on to show that when Hall dispersion is also taken into account, the nonlinear term becomes 26 times larger, further reducing the overall coefficient.

As mentioned earlier, the above discussed coefficients of wave energy absorption (taken separately) do not give the complete picture. In principle, every time a FMA wave interacts with a slow resonance an Alfvén resonance is also present (it is easy to show that under coronal conditions, the vise-versa statement is not true). Usually, to avoid this happening we would align the magnetic field with the $z$-axis, and since $\partial/\partial y = 0$ the Alfvén resonance would vanish. However, to include Hall currents (which provides dispersive effects at the slow resonance) we must have an angle between the $z$-axis and the equilibrium magnetic field. In an attempt to tackle this problem, we investigate the interaction of FMA waves with an inhomogeneous region that contains both a slow and an Alfvén resonance. To carry this out analytically, we must assume that the thickness of the inhomogeneous region is much smaller than the wavelength of the incoming wave, i.e. $k \chi_0 \ll 1$. We also assume that there is a single and unique slow and Alfvén resonance (this assumption can be relaxed later).

To find the coefficient of wave energy absorption at a coupled resonance the procedure is identical to that carried out in (Clack and Ballai, 2009b), so we write out our findings rather than presenting all calculations in detail. First, we found that, at a coupled resonance, in the first order approximation (i.e. deriving the fundamental mode form), we cannot decouple the Alfvén and slow reflected waves. This is in agreement with Woodward and McKenzie, 1994a and Woodward and McKenzie, 1994b who state that when Hall currents are present the slow and Alfvén modes cannot always be...
decoupled. Even though these studies were investigating stationary waves, we believe the same applies here with resonant absorption, specifically, if we approximate that the wave interacts with both resonances simultaneously. The amplitude of the reflected wave in the first order of approximation is derived as

$$A_a + A_c = -p_e \frac{\tau - \mu + i\nu}{\tau + \mu + i\nu}, \quad (14)$$

where $\tau = \tau_a + \tau_c$, and $\mu$ and $\nu$ are given by Equations (8) and (9), respectively. In higher order approximations we find that the Alfvén resonance has no contribution to the amplitudes of the reflected waves. In particular, the addition to the fundamental mode due to nonlinearity and dispersion is identical to that found when no Alfvén resonance is present, which is to be expected, since the dynamics at the Alfvén resonance is linear and, therefore, should have no contribution to nonlinear effects.

Using Equation (6), the coefficient of wave energy absorption of FMA waves inside the inhomogeneous region is calculated to be (in the long wavelength approximation)

$$\Gamma = \frac{4\tau \mu}{(\tau + \mu)^2 + \nu^2} - \zeta^2 \frac{27\rho_0^2 \tau^2 \mu^3 \cos^2 \alpha}{6\pi^2 V^2 k^2 x_0^2 (\mu^2 + \nu^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(k^2 x_0^2). \quad (15)$$

In the next section, we will numerically analyse the coefficient of wave energy absorption and, in particular, we investigate what is the significance of the angle of the incident wave and the inclination of the ambient magnetic field on the absorption of wave energy at the coupled resonance.

4. Numerical Results

Before proceeding to the mentioned analysis of the wave energy absorption, it is instructive to consider the contributions to $\tau$ due to the slow and Alfvén resonances. We can easily calculate what percentage of $\tau$ comes from each resonance by studying Equation (15). Immediately it is clear that the nonlinear term comes wholly from the slow resonance, as we have already discussed. The linear term is a combination of the resonant absorption due to the slow and Alfvén resonance. The percentage contributions of each resonance is found by calculating $\tau_c/\tau$ (% of slow contribution) and $\tau_a/\tau$ (% of Alfvén contribution). Using Equations (8), (13) and (15) we obtain

$$\frac{\tau_c}{\tau} = \frac{K_1}{K_1 + K_2}, \quad \frac{\tau_a}{\tau} = \frac{K_2}{K_1 + K_2}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$K_1 = \rho_0 |\Delta_s| V^2, \quad K_2 = \rho_0 v_A^2 |\Delta_s| \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \alpha. \quad (17)$$

The particular form of Equation (16) depends entirely on the choice of profile for the equilibrium quantities inside the inhomogeneous layer. For our analysis, in Region II (the inhomogeneous region) we have chosen a monotonically increasing linear profile for all equilibrium quantities (including characteristic speeds) of the form $f(x) = f(0) + x/x_0[f(x_0) - f(0)]$. Using the values $v_{As} = 28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $c_{Se} = 34 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $v_{As} =$
Resonant absorption of FMA waves due to coupling into the slow and Alfvén continua in the solar atmosphere

156 km s\(^{-1}\), \(c_{Si} = 65 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) and \(\rho_e = 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ kg m}^{-3}\) for characteristic speeds we can obtain the variations given by Figure 2. The left plot in Figure 2 shows the percentage contribution to the resonant absorption due to the slow resonance, while the right plot shows the same for the Alfvén resonance. It is easily seen that \(\phi\) does not have much effect on the contributions, however, \(\alpha\) has a marked effect. At \(\alpha = 0\), there is no contribution from the Alfvén resonance - which is to be expected since, for this particular inclination, an Alfvén resonance does not exist. As the angle \(\alpha\) increases the contribution due to the Alfvén resonance increases rapidly (and accordingly the contribution from the slow resonance decreases), and by \(\alpha = \pi/4\) the Alfvén resonance contributes 80% of the absorbed energy. At \(\alpha = \pi/2\) the slow resonance disappears, and so its contribution drops to zero.

4.1. Alfvén Resonance: Modelling the Interaction of EIT Waves with Coronal Loops

Fast waves that are generated by the convection motion and propagate upwards are reflected by the strong density gradients in the upper chromosphere, so just a tiny proportion of FMA waves are able to reach the corona. Fast waves, however, can be generated in the corona by, e.g. flaring processes, in particular coronal mass ejections (CMEs). Global disturbances generated by CMEs, known as EIT (Extreme ultraviolet Imaging Telescope) waves, are believed to be FMA waves (Ballai et al., 2005, Ballai et al., 2005) propagating in the quiet Sun. In their propagation, EIT waves interact with active region loops setting them into motion (see, e.g. Ballai et al., 2008, Ballai et al., 2008). The present section is devoted to the study of resonant coupling of FMA waves (modelling EIT waves) with local Alfvén waves in coronal loops. One major problem identified with the model we would like to use is that the EIT waves have very low speeds (300 – 500 km s\(^{-1}\)) compared with the accepted Alfvén speed outside coronal loops (\(\geq 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)). The problem associated with the speed of EIT waves could be resolved by assuming a steady rise in temperature and magnetic field strength as an active region is approached. The rise in temperature increases the sound speed, while the increase in strength of magnetic field will cause the Alfvén speed to grow. Hence, the FMA wave speed will increase as it is a combination of the sound and
Alfvén speeds. As the gradients are not steep enough to cause shocks or reflection, the EIT waves are accelerated to the local speeds as they approach active regions.

Another assumption our model relies on is that $v_{A\ell} > v_{Ac}$, i.e. the Alfvén speed in Region I is less than that in Region III. Since we can only integrate $F(x)$ when we assume monotonic functions inside the inhomogeneous region we have to choose whether these functions will increase or decrease. If the functions decrease in the inhomogeneous region there will be no absorption since the FMA waves will never resonantly interact with the local Alfvén waves. However, if the functions increase, we do achieve resonant interactions of the two modes. A more accurate model of reality at a coronal loop’s edge would be a complicated non-monotonic function that both increases and decreases the local equilibrium parameters which could create resonant interactions. Essentially, there would be several resonant positions inside the inhomogeneous region, however, we cannot analytically solve such a model at present (due to the form that $F(x)$ would take). Therefore, to provide a valuable insight into the efficiency of resonant absorption at coronal loops, we choose an unrealistic but tractable model. Mathematically, the above restriction can be easily represented by the condition that $\max[|F_A(x)|] > v_{Ac}$ where $x \in (0, x_0)$. In addition, for a single unique resonance we need to impose the constraint $dv_A(x)/dx \neq 0, x \in (0, x_0)$. It is also clear, from the outset, that under coronal conditions ($\beta \ll 1$) FMA waves will never resonantly interact with local slow waves.

To simulate conditions typical of the solar corona we consider $v_{Ac} = 1200$ km s$^{-1}$, $c_{Se} = 200$ km s$^{-1}$, $v_{Ai} = 1400$ km s$^{-1}$, $c_{Si} = 250$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\rho_i = 1.33 \times 10^{-12}$ kg m$^{-3}$ [$\rho_i$ being calculated automatically via Equation (2)]. We select $k = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^{-1}$ such that the incoming FMA wave has a period of about 100 s (consistent with the order of magnitude of the period of observed EIT waves in the solar corona). It should be mentioned that the analysis presented in Figure 3 is valid for any $k$ as long as the dimensionless quantity $kx_0$ satisfies the condition $kx_0 \ll 1$.

Figure 3 gives the wave energy absorption coefficient for $kx_0 = 0.01, 0.1, 0.5$ and $1.0$ (the last one not being full consistent with our assumptions, but illustrates the trend). The angle of the incoming FMA wave, $\phi$, takes values between 0 and $\pi/2$, while the angle of the equilibrium magnetic field, $\alpha$, only varies between 0 and $\pi/4$, because beyond this point the integrals calculated are divergent and the numerical analysis cannot resolve the Cauchy principal part. The coefficient of wave energy absorption should take a value between 0 and 1, and can be thought of the percentage of incoming wave energy transferred to local Alfvén waves by resonance. We recognize that the plasma is unstable when the value of the coefficient of wave energy absorption is negative, provided a flow exists. The plasma can also create over-reflection, and we observe this in the coefficient of wave energy absorption when its value becomes greater than unity. Both the phenomena of over-reflection and instabilities occur for $\alpha > \pi/4$.

The first thing to notice, about Figure 3, is that as $kx_0$ increases so does the coefficient of wave energy absorption. In the same way, the area (in the $\alpha, \phi$ plane) over which absorption can take place is also increasing. In general, we can state that the most efficient absorption occurs at angles of inclination of $\phi$ which are larger than $\pi/4$, while the most efficient absorption with respect to $\alpha$ changes depending on $kx_0$. The larger the value of $kx_0$, the less influential $\alpha$ becomes on the most efficient absorption regions. At approximately $\alpha = \pi/5 \approx 0.63$ the absorption drops to zero for all values of $kx_0$ and $\phi$, which could be explained by the inhomogeneous layer becoming transparent to the
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Figure 3. The wave energy absorption coefficient of FMA waves at the Alfvén resonance. Here we have \(v_{AC} = 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}, c_{SE} = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}, v_{AI} = 1400 \text{ km s}^{-1}, c_{SI} = 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) and \(\rho_e = 1.33 \times 10^{-12} \text{ kg m}^{-3}\). The dimensionless quantity, \(k_{IC}\), takes the values (from top left to bottom right) 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0, respectively.

It is also clear from Figure 3 that FMA waves are absorbed efficiently at the Alfvén resonance, which is encouraging when thinking about EIT waves within the solar corona. The EIT waves could be absorbed by Alfvén resonances present in or near coronal loops (arcades). In some cases all of the energy of the incoming wave can be absorbed, and dissipated by, e.g. viscosity. The variation in absorption due to combinations of \(\alpha\) and \(\phi\) may help explain why when an incoming wave impacts a coronal arcade, some loops oscillate more than others and some loops dim while others get brighter. When the frequency of the incoming FMA wave does not fall within the frequencies of the Alfvén continuum the energy of the incident wave is, likely to be, transferred to the coronal loop as kinetic energy, thereby setting the loop into oscillation. These oscillations are studied in the framework of coronal seismology for the purposes of field and plasma diagnostics.

We note here that, if the density is varied (within reasonable parameters), the absorption rate is changed only slightly. If we change the density so it is really high or low (for the solar corona) the absorption starts dramatically changing, eventually leading to a breakdown of the numerical analysis. We do not show any plots of the variation of the absorption coefficient with density because the values at which the coefficient of wave energy absorption is noticeably changed are not consistent with observation of the solar corona (or chromosphere). The last significant variables to discuss are the equilibrium wave speeds. The characteristic wave speeds used here have been selected to be consistent with the environment of the solar corona. However, we know that there
is a plethora of wave speeds available in the inhomogeneous plasma of the corona. We have tested different values of equilibrium speeds, and the overall pattern of absorption is identical to that found in Figure 3, and the absorption coefficient remained relatively similar to those discussed above.

4.2. Coupled Resonance: Modelling Chromospheric Absorption

To model a coupled resonance, we consider a slow and an Alfvén resonance so close together that the local waves can both interact with the incoming FMA wave. This means that we will lower our applicability region to the denser chromosphere. To match conditions typical of the chromosphere we adopt the values $v_{\text{Ae}} = 28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $c_{\text{Se}} = 34 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $v_{\text{Ai}} = 156 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $c_{\text{Si}} = 65 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\rho_e = 3.99 \times 10^{-11} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. The specific values of equilibrium quantities can be changed, however, the overall variation trend of the coefficient of absorption will remain the same as shown here. The nonlinear correction to linear absorption at the coupled resonance comes solely from the slow resonance (as the Alfvén resonance can be described by linear theory) and is very small when compared to the linear absorption coefficient (in line with the weak nonlinear limit imposed in the derivations). In Figure 4 we display the linear coefficient of wave energy absorption for the slow (top left panel), Alfvén (top right panel) and coupled resonance (bottom left panel) for comparison together with the nonlinear correction to the coupled resonance (bottom right panel) for values of $kx_0 = 0.5$. We have chosen to only display one value of $kx_0$ because the plots for other values of $kx_0$ look similar to this one, the only difference is the magnitude of the coefficient of wave energy absorption where, in general, the greater $kx_0$ the higher rate of absorption.

We have truncated the abscissa at $\alpha = 6\pi/25$, because the integrals become divergent and the numerical analysis cannot resolve the Cauchy principal part. In Figure 4 the nonlinear coefficient of wave energy absorption is incredibly small since the ratio of magnetic and plasma pressures (the plasma-$\beta$) has a value smaller than unity. When $\beta \ll 1$ the plasma pressure is low and, by extension, the nonlinear coefficient of wave energy absorption. In addition, the nonlinear coefficient must be multiplied by the very small parameter $\zeta^2$. Therefore, the nonlinear correction of wave energy absorption at coupled resonances in the chromosphere is truly tiny in comparison to the linear wave energy absorption and acts to decrease the total coefficient of wave energy absorption.

In general, we can state that the greatest linear wave energy absorption at the slow resonance occurs at small values of $\phi$ ($0 < \phi < 0.3$) and small to moderate values of $\alpha$ ($0.1 < \alpha < 0.6$), whereas the the greatest linear wave energy absorption at the Alfvén resonance occurs at moderate values of $\alpha$ ($0.6 < \alpha < 0.8$) and a wide range of values of $\phi$ ($0.2 < \phi < 1.2$). For all values of $kx_0$ a larger percentage of energy is absorbed at the Alfvén resonance compared to the slow resonance, which is indicated by the coefficient of wave energy absorption having greater values at the Alfvén resonance. We can also state that increasing $kx_0$ produces more absorption (of course up to the point where the mathematical model becomes invalid).

The most interesting feature of Figure 4 is the coupled resonance. When there is no absorption at both the slow and Alfvén resonance, the absorption at the coupled resonance is also zero and if there is absorption at only one of the slow or Alfvén resonance the coefficient of wave energy absorption at the coupled resonance is identical to the
Resonant absorption of FMA waves due to coupling into the slow and Alfvén continua in the solar atmosphere

Figure 4. Comparison of the linear absorption at the slow (top left), Alfvén (top right) and coupled (bottom left) resonances for \( k_{x0} = 0.5 \). We also show the nonlinear absorption for the coupled (and slow) resonance.

value at the single resonance. However, more interestingly, when there is absorption at both the single Alfvén and slow resonance the absorption at the coupled resonance is always greater than the sum of the two single absorption coefficients. The greater coefficient of wave energy absorption at the coupled resonance compared to the two single resonances implies that there is more energy available for heating the plasma. We shall use Figure 4 to clarify what we have just explained. At the values of, e.g. \( \alpha = 0, \phi = \pi/2 \) in all three plots we can clearly see the coefficient of wave energy absorption is zero. When, e.g. \( \alpha = 0, \phi = 0.4 \) the coefficient of wave energy absorption at the Alfvén resonance is zero, while at the slow and coupled resonance it is 0.11. If we change the angles such that, e.g. \( \alpha = 6\pi/25, \phi = 1.0 \) the coefficient of wave energy absorption at the slow, Alfvén and coupled resonances are 0.067, 0.13 and 0.23, respectively, hence it is clear that 0.23 > 0.13 + 0.067 = 0.197. The same procedure can be carried out at any values of \( \alpha \) and \( \phi \) where there is absorption at both the single Alfvén and slow resonances.

There is also clear evidence in these plots that the efficiency at which FMA waves are absorbed at the Alfvén resonance under chromospheric conditions is far lower that in the coronal counterpart.

5. Conclusions

In the present paper, we have numerically investigated the absorption of fast magnetoacoustic (FMA) waves at individual and coupled slow and Alfvén resonances, using the theory developed by (Clack and Ballai, 2009b) where the coefficients of wave energy
absorption were derived analytically by applying the long wavelength approximation ($k_{\infty} \ll 1$).

We have shown that the absorption of incoming FMA waves depends heavily on the combination of the angle of incidence of the wave ($\phi$) and the angle of inclination of the equilibrium magnetic field ($\alpha$). At some combinations of $\phi$ and $\alpha$, the quantity $\kappa_i^2 < 0$ which reduces absorption to zero, because the incident FMA wave can leak passed the resonances. Normally, the inhomogeneous layer is translucent to waves, but occasionally when the conditions are right, the inhomogeneous layer can become transparent so that they can pass through without undergoing resonant absorption.

We introduced the concept of coupled resonances which could be important for the heating of the solar upper atmosphere, because FMA waves propagate throughout the solar atmosphere. When FMA waves interact with local waves at a slow resonance, an Alfvén resonance is always present as well (as long as there is an angle between the magnetic field and the direction of wave propagation). We believe this is the most likely form of resonant absorption in the upper chromosphere. In the corona, the Alfvén (and hence FMA) waves speeds are much larger then the slow speed, therefore, a laterally driven incoming FMA wave can encounter an Alfvén resonance only. The governing equations for the slow and Alfvén resonances are derived considering nonlinearity, and even though nonlinearity only slightly decreases the resonant absorption, in comparison to linear theory, it provides a device by which the heated plasma can be transported: the mean shear flow, creating turbulence, which can distort the inhomogeneous layer (see, e.g. Ofman and Davila, 1995, Clack and Ballai, 2009a, Ofman and Davila, 1995, Clack and Ballai, 2009a), enhancing absorption and transporting heated plasma away from the resonance.

We assumed, for simplicity, that the equilibrium quantities inside the inhomogeneous layer increased monotonically, which, in reality, is not always the case. If we allow the equilibrium quantities to vary non-monotonically, the situation is changed. If we still use the long wavelength approximation, the calculations are almost identical to the ones produced in the present paper, however, instead of one position for the Alfvén and slow resonances (different for each one) there could be several. Again, we would not be able to separate the individual outgoing waves, but the collective outgoing wave would be defined exactly as Equation (14), where $\tau$ would equal the addition of all the $\tau_s$ and $\tau_c$ associated with the different resonant points. The form of the $\tau_s$ and $\tau_c$ would be identical to Equations (8) and (13), respectively, though the quantities would take different values corresponding to the different resonant positions. In general, this would produce greater absorption of wave energy inside the inhomogeneous layer, creating further heating possibility.

Extreme ultraviolet (EUV) observations of active regions showed that, when coronal arcades oscillate under the influence of an external driver, some of the loops oscillate more than others, some of the loops do not oscillate at all and some become dimmer in the wavelength that they have been observed in. Part of this behaviour can be explained by the varying strength of the magnetic field inside the loops, however, this is not fully satisfactory. We speculate that some of this phenomenon can be explained by resonant absorption. The varying conditions allow for varying degrees of resonant absorption. The loops that oscillate the most do not have the conditions necessary for resonant absorption, so the incident waves just transfer their kinetic energy to the loops. Other
loops have the right conditions for resonant absorption, and some even have the conditions for multiple resonant positions. These loops will oscillate less, because the wave energy is being absorbed. The loops with the conditions for multiple resonances will oscillate the least (possibly not at all) as more and more energy of the wave is deposited. These loops should either get brighter or dimmer, since the loops are only observed in a single wavelength. If a loop brightens, the plasma is emitting more intensely in the filters wavelength, but if a loops dims the loop could be getting either cooler or hotter, as the plasmas emissions move out of the filters range. This explanation covers all the observed properties of some coronal arcade oscillations, and could explain why they are damped so quickly. The speculation must be viewed with caution as the heating due to absorption is likely to take place over a small area, in comparison to the width of the loop. We also add, however, that much more investigation is needed to substantiate this idea. 
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