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Regulation of peripheral blood flow in Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: clinical implication for symptomatic relief and pain management
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Abstract

Background: During the chronic stage of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS), impaired microcirculation is related to increased vasoconstriction, tissue hypoxia, and metabolic tissue acidosis in the affected limb. Several mechanisms may be responsible for the ischemia and pain in chronic cold CRPS.

Discussion: The diminished blood flow may be caused by either sympathetic dysfunction, hypersensitivity to circulating catecholamines, or endothelial dysfunction. The pain may be of neuropathic, inflammatory, nociceptive, or functional nature, or of mixed origin.

Summary: The origin of the pain should be the basis of the symptomatic therapy. Since the difference in temperature between both hands fluctuates over time in cold CRPS, when in doubt, the clinician should prioritize the patient’s report of a persistent cold extremity over clinical tests that show no difference. Future research should focus on developing easily applied methods for clinical use to differentiate between central and peripheral blood flow regulation disorders in individual patients.

Background

Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) is a painful disorder that usually develops as a disproportionate consequence of trauma. The disorder most commonly occurs in the limbs, and is characterized by spontaneous pain, allodynia and mechanical hyperalgesia, abnormal regulation of blood flow and sweating, oedema of skin and subcutaneous tissues, movement disorders, and trophic changes of skin, organs of the skin, and subcutaneous tissues [1,2].

Growing evidence indicates that CRPS is accompanied by various abnormalities of the microvascular system, including an increase in the number of capillaries [3,4], endothelial swelling, and changes in the vessel luminal...
wall [5]. These impressive capillary changes range from severely thickened basal membrane with intimal vacuolization, perivascular edema, and debris from pericytes between the basal membrane layers, to necrosis [6,7]. Greatly thickened multi-laminated walls are also observed, which considerably reduce the inner diameter of the vessel [4,8]. Endothelial cells exhibit a shrunken appearance and capillaries with only endothelial cell debris in the lumina have been observed, while other capillaries could be traced by the thickened basal membrane only, lacking the presence of other cellular remnants [7].

In an autopsy study of the affected limbs of two patients, we found an increased number of migrated endothelial cells, as well as an increase of eNOS activity in distal dermis specimens, indicating that endothelial dysfunction may play a role in chronic CRPS [9].

In the CRPS diagnostic criteria [10], a clear distinction is made between two subtypes to reflect the absence or presence of evidence of peripheral nerve injury. However, growing evidence of minor nerve lesions in CRPS [8,11] indicates that this distinction may be artificial.

Although the debate regarding the pathophysiology is still ongoing, the role of excessive regional inflammation, peripheral sensitization of primary somatosensory afferents, and central sensitization of spinal neurons is becoming clear [1,2,12,13]. Recently, evidence was found for the presence of oxidative stress in CRPS patients since they exhibited increases in salivary and/or serum lipid peroxidation products and antioxidants [14,15]. Also recently, Eberle et al. were able to demonstrate differences between warm and cold CRPS including differences in Quantitative Sensory Testing: more prominent sensory loss in cold CRPS and more mechanical hyperalgesia in warm CRPS [16].

The signs and symptoms are related to these mechanisms. Relating the clinical picture to the underlying pathophysiology might help determine the pharmacotherapeutic approach for an individual patient [17].

The clinical picture of CRPS, especially the signs of autonomic dysfunction, and the discovery by Leriche that surgical sympathectomy dramatically improved pain in CRPS supports the important role of the sympathetic nervous system in CRPS etiology [18]. The sympathetic vascular regulatory system in CRPS was extensively examined by Baron et al., who measured differences in blood flow and skin temperature in patients with CRPS after a cold and warm acclimatization period, respectively. The results indicated that differences in skin temperature and blood flow are not static descriptors, but dynamic values mostly dependent on environmental temperature and likely emotional stress [19]. After sympathectomy, in three out of four patients with cold CRPS, the affected limb was considerably warmer and blood flow was considerably higher compared to the healthy side. After a few weeks, however, skin temperature and perfusion slowly diminished, and the affected hand became cold again. Denervation supersensitivity due to complete sympathectomy was thought to be the underlying mechanism of these alterations [19,20]. Wasner et al. measured hand temperature in CRPS patients and healthy control groups while changing whole-body thermal stress using a thermal suit [21]. Whole-body cooling appears to be the most effective way to induce massive tonic activation of cutaneous vasoconstrictor neurons [22]. Three distinct vascular regulation patterns were identified, related to the duration of the disorder. Temperature and blood flow differences between the two sides were dynamic and most prominent at a high to medium level of vasoconstrictor activity [21]. As a result, impairments of thermoregulatory responses should be considered only for diagnostic reasons [23,24].

In the acute phase of CRPS, the affected limb is usually warmer than the contralateral limb due to cutaneous vasodilation, and a functional inhibition of sympathetic vasoconstrictor activity has been shown [21,25]. In this phase, the thermoregulatory blood flow is increased, but the nutritive skin blood flow is unaltered; these differences may be due to differences in regulatory mechanisms. The smooth muscles in the arterioles of the nutritive capillaries are controlled by local factors, whereas the arterioles in the subpapillary plexus are predominantly sympathetically controlled [26,27].

After a so-called intermediate phase in which skin blood flow and temperature differences appear to alternate between warm and cold, a large number of patients show a permanent decrease in blood flow and temperature [28] despite the return of sympathetic vasoconstrictor activity with the duration of the disease [25]. This decrease has been attributed to an increased sensitivity to circulating catecholamines, probably due to upregulation of adrenoceptors following the initial period of reduced sympathetic input [21,26,29-31]. The decrease in blood flow in the intermediate and cold phase occurs in both the thermoregulatory and the nutritive microcirculation [26].

As demonstrated by simultaneous testing of skin blood flow and sweat in one CRPS patient, vascular abnormalities may not solely be the result of a disturbance of the autonomic nervous system. Although sudomotor activity was greater on the affected side, implying higher sympathetic nerve activity, basal blood flow was also greater on the same side. These results suggest that one or more factors increase basal blood flow despite high sympathetic nerve tone on the affected side [32]. Other mechanisms
may also be involved in the pathophysiology of the vascular abnormalities. The demonstrated increase in vasoconstriction, tissue hypoxia, metabolic acidosis [33,34] and vascular permeability for macromolecules [35] may also indicate endothelial dysfunction.

Several recent studies [36-40] have demonstrated alterations in the central sensorimotor processing in CRPS patients. Changes in the central nervous system [41] play a very important role in the current conception of CRPS pathophysiology as well as its treatment. This paper, however, concentrates on the central and peripheral mechanisms responsible for vascular abnormalities and pain in CRPS; furthermore, we suggest potential treatment approaches for CRPS.

**Discussion**

**Mediators of changes in blood flow**

The endothelium, the largest organ of the body, releases agents that regulate vasomotor function, trigger inflammatory processes and affect hemostasis in response to shear stress and hormonal stimuli, such as vasoactive substances [42]. Blood pressure and blood flow is regulated by the release of the vasodilators nitric oxide (NO) and prostacyclin (PGI2), and the vasoconstrictor endothelin (ET) [43].

NO is generated from the amino acid L-arginine by three major isoforms of NO synthase, namely neuronal (nNOS), inducible (iNOS), and endothelial (eNOS) enzymes [44]. nNOS and eNOS are constitutively expressed and activated by calcium entry into the cells; iNOS is calcium independent, and its synthesis is induced in inflammatory and other cell types by stimuli such as endotoxin and proinflammatory cytokines [44]. NO diffuses through the artery wall to the vascular smooth muscle cells in the media, where it increases the activity of guanylate cyclase and the concentration of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP), thus relaxing the vascular smooth muscle and leading to vasodilation [45]. PGI2, which only plays a limited role as a vasodilator in most vascular beds, is produced from arachidonic acid by cyclooxygenase in response to shear stress and a number of factors that also increase NO production. PGI2 activates adenylate cyclase to increase cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), also leading to vasodilation [46,47].

The peptide ET, one of the most potent known vasoconstrictors, is generated by cleavage of a large polypeptide within the endothelium. Of the three types of ET, ET-1 is the most important in vascular tissue, and it acts on endothelin-A receptors on the vascular smooth muscle [48]. Evidence suggests a feedback mechanism between ET and NO, as ET inhibits the production of NO, while NO inhibits ET production [44,47,49,50]. The major biological effects of these vasoactive substances depend on their rapid synthesis. The maximal NO stimulation can be reached within seconds, but the maximal vasoconstrictive response of endothelium *in vivo* takes up to one hour [51]. Vasomotor tone is regulated by ET-1 and NO, depending on the health of the endothelium [51,52].

**Endothelial dysfunction**

Endothelial dysfunction (EtD) was first described by Panza as an impairment of the regulation of endothelium on blood vessels [53]. As a proinflammatory and prothrombotic state, EtD has been described in the pathophysiology of different forms of cardiovascular disease, including hypertension, coronary artery disease, chronic heart failure, peripheral artery disease, diabetes, and chronic renal failure [42]. Under these conditions, the levels of pro-oxidant reactive oxygen species in the vessel wall are elevated. NO is rapidly degraded by oxidant stress and the vasoinactive and toxic peroxynitrite is produced. In both animal and human studies, this process could be prevented by administration of high concentrations of the antioxidant vitamin C [51,54,55].

Flow mediated dilation (FMD) is an important tool for assessment of endothelial function both in the upper [56] and the lower [57] limb. *In vivo*, endothelial function may be assessed invasively on resistance arteries by measuring blood flow using strain-gauge plethysmography by studying the effects of acetylcholine or metacholine administration through an intra-arterial catheter [58]. Acetylcholine has a direct vasoconstrictive effect on vascular smooth muscles. However, NO from activated healthy endothelium overwhelms the direct effect of acetylcholine, which results in vasodilation. This vasodilation is blocked by inhibitors of NO synthesis, such as monomethylarginine. In endothelial dysfunction, less NO is generated, which may ultimately lead to a vasoconstrictive response to acetylcholine [51,59,60]. Shear stress, which stimulates the endothelium to release NO, may be used to non-invasively induce reactive hyperaemia, and the subsequent changes in blood flow can be measured by ultrasound. This has been shown in the brachial artery using FMD [61]. Other non-invasive *in vivo* techniques include fingertip pulse wave amplitude with peripheral arterial tonometry [62], measurement of intima-media thickness [63], and flow waveform patterns [64]. *In vitro*, the endothelial dysfunction of isolated resistance arteries dissected from biopsies of gluteal subcutaneous tissue has been studied on a wire or pressurized myograph. A good correlation was found between the endothelial function of small arteries *in vitro* and FMD of the brachial artery *in vivo* [42,65].

Several studies have assessed the microvascular endothelial function in CRPS patients with controversial results.
Gorodkin et al. used iontophoresis to test endothelial dependent vasodilation with acetylcholine and endothelial independent vasodilation with sodium nitroprusside in 17 CRPS patients and 16 healthy controls. Among the CRPS patients (IASP criteria) 4 were qualified as warm and 13 as cold; the median duration of the symptoms was 5 years (range 3 months to 20 years). No significant differences were observed between both groups or between affected and unaffected limbs [66].

However, these results could not be reproduced by Schattenschneider et al., who compared 14 patients with cold CRPS (disease duration 17.6 ± 2.1 months) with 10 healthy controls. In this study, acetylcholine induced vasodilation was significantly reduced on the affected side compared to the contralateral extremity and controls, whereas no differences were observed after application of sodium nitroprusside [67].

Similar results were found in a study by Duman et al., who used the FMD technique to examine 21 patients in a more acute stage of CRPS (IASP criteria, disease duration 5.9 ± 2.5 months), and compared the results with 15 healthy controls. Upon evaluation of the brachial artery with Doppler ultrasound, significant differences were observed in the waveforms obtained in the affected compared to contralateral limbs; although not significant, there was a trend of larger dilating responses in the affected limbs [64].

In a small study with 9 patients with CRPS in one upper limb and 9 patients with CRPS in a lower limb, Dayan et al. examined FMD and local vascular reflexes [68]. To measure the venoarteriolar reflex (VAR), a cuff is inflated to a steady pressure of 40 mm Hg for 4 minutes to produce venous congestion, which causes a reflexive regional arteriolar vasoconstriction. To measure the microvascular myogenic reflex (VMR), the subject is placed in supine position to avoid systemic baroreflex changes and the leg or forearm is measured during 40 cm dependency of the leg or forearm below cardiac level for 4 minutes. This activates the myogenic response by increasing the arterial wall pressure, and the venoarteriolar response by gravitationally increasing the venous pressure. The result is local vasoconstriction and, consequently, a reduced blood flow. The duration of the disease was 40 and 46 months for upper and lower limb CRPS, respectively, which was diagnosed using the IASP criteria. In comparing the affected limb to the contralateral side, the resistance artery FMD was impaired on the CRPS side along with exaggerated arteriolar vasoconstriction following activation of the VAR, while the VMR remained unchanged. These changes in vascular reflexes were only significant in the lower limbs. The VAR depends mostly upon intact local autonomic nervous functions, but the VMR is an inherent arteriolar muscle constriction reflex in response to dilation, which appears to be independent of neural transmission. Based on these observations, the authors concluded that the impaired VAR and intact VMR might reflect the adrenergic hypersensitivity in the lower limbs in patients with CRPS [68].

The results from these four studies suggest that changes in vascular response are found locally only at the side affected by CRPS, while there is no difference between the contralateral side and healthy controls. Whether there is a relation between stage of the disease (warm, intermediate or cold) or the duration of the disease, and endothelial dysfunction is not yet clear. In discussing the first three trials, Duman [64] suggested that symptoms of vascular changes, such as hyperemia and edema, may lose their prominence in chronic CRPS, whereas they may be more evident in earlier stages. On the other hand, the study by Dayan in a group of patients with longer disease duration showed clear differences. No significant differences in blood flow were found between CRPS side, unaffected side or healthy controls at baseline. This is another indication that the regulatory mechanisms in particular are affected in vascular alterations in CRPS. The same conclusion was previously made by Wasner [21], who studied the sympathetic regulation mechanism in the hands of CRPS patients by inducing temperature changes to the entire body with a thermal suit; they concluded that the differences in blood flow and temperature were not static. No significant differences were detectable during low or absent sympathetic vasoconstrictor activity, and these differences were most pronounced during periods of intermediate to high sympathetic activity [21].

In addition to changes in the somatosensory systems, which process noxious, tactile and thermal information, and changes to the sympathetic systems, which innervate skin and somatomotor systems, peripheral changes are detected in CRPS that cannot be explained by the central changes [1]. These peripheral changes (sympathetic afferent coupling, vascular changes, inflammatory changes, edema, and trophic changes) cannot be seen independent of the central changes [1,69]. Furthermore, each symptom can also be generated by more than one mechanism, depending on the patient. Therefore, caution must be taken when grouping patients based on symptoms and administering drugs irrespective of their underlying disease [1]. A cold extremity in chronic CRPS could be caused by several factors, such as increased tone of the sympathetic nervous system, pathologic alterations of the vascular wall, changes in small nerve fibers innervating the blood vessels, or endothelial dysfunction. It is also possible that as symptoms of endothelial injury progress, there may be a shift from a predominant oedema associated with plasma extravasation from damaged post-capillary...
venous ulcers (an early consequence of endothelial injury) to chronic ischemia with the development of arterial vasospasms and capillary no-reflow (later consequences of endothelial injury). Furthermore, sympathetic blocks or the use of vasodilatory agents may overcome ischemia that is dependent on arterial vasospasms, which represents a functional reduction in blood flow; this, however, would not be able to overcome ischemia associated with capillary no-reflow, which represents a physical reduction in blood flow. These observations may explain why the results of trials studying medication to improve blood flow in patients with cold chronic CRPS do not show more convincing results. It may also explain, why an intervention to improve blood flow does not improve pain in all patients alike.

**Pain**

Pain is an important symptom in CRPS. Most patients experience an intense, spontaneous, burning pain in the distal part of the affected limb, which is characteristically disproportionate in intensity to the initial event, and increases in a dependent position [1]. Several types of pain can be distinguished: neuropathic, inflammatory, nociceptive and functional [70]. Evidence supports a neuropathic origin of the pain in CRPS [71]. The spatial distribution of pain and sensory abnormalities such as allodynia to mechanical, cold and heat stimuli, as well as hyperalgesia, indicate that the pathophysiological mechanisms in CRPS involve both the peripheral and central nervous system [1,72,73], but the interaction between the peripheral and central changes is only partially understood [71].

The various mechanisms by which pain is generated in CRPS are outlined below. The section on ischemic pain is of particular relevance to this discussion, as it closely relates to changes in peripheral blood flow.

**Neuropathic pain**

The neuropathic pain in peripheral tissues may be generated and maintained by peripheral sensory nerve fibers. Quantitative sensory testing studies strongly suggests a role of peripheral inflammation in acute CRPS, and a loss of small fibres in acute as well as chronic CRPS. Acute CRPS patients demonstrated heat pain hyperalgesia, while chronic CRPS (>12 months) showed warm as well as cold hypoesthesia [40]. Oaklander et al. analyzed the innervation density of the epidermis, and their results suggest that CRPS is especially associated with persistent minimal distal nerve injury affecting nociceptive small fibers, a type of nerve injury that will remain undetected in most clinical settings [11]. Albrecht studied the innervation of CRPS affected skin tissue, and found impressive changes in innervation of different target tissues as well as changes of the target tissue itself (e.g. blood vessels) [8]. Although the results of these two studies must be interpreted with care, as these observations may be the result of secondary tissue changes that may occur in the course of the disease, both studies indicate that CRPS can be associated with peripheral pathological changes of innervation of the skin, thus CRPS may indeed be a neuropathic pain syndrome [8,11,71]. Neuropathic pain may also be caused by aberrant activity of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), in which case the pain is referred to as sympathetically maintained pain (SMP) [72,74]. In patients with SMP, sympathetic blockade relieves spontaneous pain and mechanical hyperalgesia, but these symptoms may reappear following intracutaneous application of noradrenaline [19,75,76] or by stimulation of the SNS by cooling of the body or forehead, or a startle stimulus [77,78]. SMP is not typically observed in CRPS, only 50% of CRPS patients experience SMP [79-81], and a number of neuropathic pain syndromes might also benefit from sympathetic blocks [30,72]. It should be noted, that the Cochrane analysis states that ‘no conclusion concerning the effectiveness of sympathetic blocks could be drawn’ [82].

**Inflammatory Pain**

Sudeck was the first to describe the classic signs and symptoms of inflammation, including rubor, calor, dolor, tumor and function laesa, in acute CRPS [83,84]. Kozin [85] described inflammatory changes in 2 patients with early CRPS, and Oyen [35] found increased vascular permeability for macromolecules, which was thought to be due to the inflammatory response, caused by free oxygen radicals. Increased systemic calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) serum concentrations were found by Birklein [86], suggesting neurogenic inflammation as the physiologic mechanism. In this study, however, pain and hyperalgesia were observed in chronic stages in particular, independent of the increased neuropeptide concentration.

Our research group compared levels of proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) in plasma and fluids of artificially induced blisters on the CRPS side and contralateral side. There was no indication for inflammation in plasma [12], which was confirmed by van de Beek [87]. However, Huygen et al. demonstrated that TNF-α and IL-6 levels were increased in blister fluids in patients with acute CRPS [12]. This shift in the pro-inflammatory cytokine profile in acute CRPS patients was also found by Uceyler [2].

In three studies of patients with an intermediate disease duration of 2.5, 2.8 and 3.5 years, the levels of these cytokines were still increased [88-90]. Remarkably, a large proportion of these patients no longer displayed any of the above-mentioned signs and symptoms of inflamma-
tion. A study of chronic CRPS patients with a disease duration of 6 years showed no differences in TNF-α and IL-6, although some patients still showed signs of inflammation [91]. No relationship between pro-inflammatory cytokines and disease characteristics, such as pain, changes in temperature, volume, mobility and disease duration, was observed in either study [88,91].

Ischemic pain

In rats, during the reperfusion which follows prolonged extremity ischemia, the synthesis of free radicals and pro-inflammatory cytokines leads to inflammatory responses and vasculature injury in the ischemic tissue [92]. This ischemia-reperfusion causes damage to the endothelial cells, resulting in swelling and protrusion of cells in the capillary lumen with impeded passage of red blood cells as consequence, the so-called slow-flow/no-reflow phenomenon. Coderre also showed that ischemia-reperfusion of the rat hind paw induced long-term mechanical and cold hypersensitivity, which was effectively reduced by free radical scavengers [93] as well as classical analgesics, although to a lesser extent [94]. These symptoms are comparable to those described in humans with CRPS. Recently, Coderre and colleagues also showed that mechanical allodynia induced by hind paw ischemia-reperfusion injury is accompanied by increased hind paw muscle malondialdehyde (a product of free radical-induced lipid peroxidation), pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6 and TNFα), nuclear factor κB and lactate; furthermore, mechanical allodynia is reduced by inhibitors of these mediators or an antagonist at acid sensing ion channels (ASICs) [95]. The authors also demonstrated that mechanical allodynia following ischemia-reperfusion injury parallels the development of arterial vasospasms, endothelial cell thickening and capillary slow-flow/no-reflow in hind paw muscle, and is directly correlated with muscle lactate but not with the demonstrated reduction in intraepidermal nerve fibers [95,96]. Furthermore, similar to CRPS patients, rats with hind paw ischemia-reperfusion injuries exhibit enhanced pain and allodynia following exercise, symptoms that depend on increases in muscle lactate [95].

In human patients treatment with free radical scavengers can both reduce the risk of developing CRPS [97-100] and improve the clinical picture [66,101-103]. This observation was supported by recent evidence of increased levels of saliva and serum antioxidants and serum malondialdehyde in CRPS patients [14], which is almost definitive proof for the involvement of free radicals in the pathophysiology.

Regardless of the initial pathogenesis, one hypothesis has proposed a vicious circle of altered blood flow that leads to hypoxia, production of free radicals, endothelial damage and further reductions in blood flow [66]. The slow-flow/no-reflow injury may even affect the microvasculature of peripheral nerves, thus presenting the cause of peripheral neuroinflammation in CRPS [15].

Nociceptive pain

One of the characteristics of CRPS is the often observed disproportionate severity of the symptoms with the severity of the trauma, along with a tendency to generalize in the affected distal limb but not confined to the innervation zone of an individual nerve [30]. Nociceptive pain may be caused by tissue damage as a result of the initiating trauma or by secondary tissue changes that occur in the course of the disease, i.e. oedema, changes in the nutritive blood flow, hypoxia, lactate increase and acidosis [33,34,71,104-106].

Functional pain

Functional pain is defined as hypersensitivity to pain resulting from abnormal central processing of normal input [70]. CRPS patients are known to protect their involved limb to minimize pain associated with movement and touching; this protection has been described as a voluntary action, but lately neglect-like behavior has been proposed to play an important role. Two terms have been introduced in describing this behavior: 'cognitive neglect', which suggests that patients perceive their involved limb as feeling foreign to them, and 'motor neglect', which describes situations in which the patients need to focus mental and visual attention to move their limb [107]. In a survey of 224 CRPS patients, 84% of the respondents confirmed the presence of at least one of these neglect symptoms, and 47% indicated they experienced both [107]. Other studies also found a large proportion of CRPS patients with disturbances of self-perception of hand or foot, indicating an alteration in the processing of the higher central nervous system [108-110].

Disuse has often been mentioned in CRPS. In animal studies of immobilization, an increased sensitivity to sensory stimuli, as well as changes at the spinal level that could account for this increase, have been found [111-113]. In humans, casting produced increased cerebral blood flow in areas associated with sensory processing, motor function, and emotions [112,114]. These studies indicate that immobility alone may produce many signs and symptoms also found in patients with CRPS [112]. Fear of injury has also been suggested as a potential predictor of disability in CRPS [115], and combined with increased sensitivity for pain, fear of injury may lead to excessive guarding and over-protective behaviors [116]. Rommel et al. found that depressive syndromes frequently develop with chronic CRPS, and psychological treatment can be recommended [117]. Nevertheless, despite the often suggested relationship between CRPS...
arginine (ADMA) [120], or the blocking of ETA receptors might be valuable in treating diabetic neuropathy [121], anal fissures [122,123], and epicondylitis [124], we propose that the effective treatment of CRPS by NO substitution, which was shown previously [125], occurs by increasing blood flow. In a pilot study, five female patients with cold type CRPS in one hand were treated with NO donor isosorbide dinitrate (ISDN) ointment 4 times daily for 10 weeks; videothermography was used to monitor changes in blood distribution in both the involved and contralateral extremities. The patients treated with ISDN showed a 4-6°C increase in mean skin temperature of the cold CRPS hands, reaching temperatures similar to that of contralateral extremities within 2-4 weeks, suggesting normalization of blood distribution; normalization was confirmed by an improvement in skin color. In three patients, the VAS pain declined, whereas in the other two patients, the VAS pain remained unchanged. Thus, the topical application of ISDN appeared to be beneficial in improving symptoms for patients with cold type CRPS [126].

Based on these preliminary results, we decided to test the effect of ISDN in a double blind randomized controlled trial. Twenty-four patients with chronic CRPS in one upper extremity received 1% ISDN in Vaseline or a placebo ointment applied to the dorsum of the affected hand 4 times daily for 10 weeks. The patients participated in a physical therapy program to improve activity. The primary outcome measure was blood distribution in the affected extremity, which was determined by measuring the skin temperature using videothermography. We also measured NO and ET-1 concentrations in blister fluid, assessed pain using the VAS, and determined activity limitations using an Upper Limb Activity Monitor (ULAM) and the Disabilities of Arm Shoulder and Hand Questionnaire (DASH).

ISDN failed to produce a significant improvement in temperature asymmetry in chronic cold CRPS patients, and also did not generate the predicted reduction in pain and increase in activity compared to placebo. The results of the active treatment group are shown in Figure 1. Together this suggests that other central or peripheral factors may contribute to the disturbed vasodynamics in cold chronic CRPS that are not influenced by NO substitution [127].

To further evaluate the influence of endothelial factors, patients with chronic cold CRPS in one lower extremity were included in a double blind, randomized, controlled trial that investigated the effect of tadalafil on the microcirculation. Tadalafil, a PDE-5-inhibitor known as an effective treatment for erectile dysfunction [128], functions within the vascular smooth muscle cell to inhibit the hydrolyzation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) to GMP. Through the phosphorylation of specific proteins and ion channels, treatment with tadalafil results in the opening of potassium channels and hyperpolarization of the muscle cell membrane, sequestration of intracellular calcium by the endoplasmic reticulum, and block of calcium influx by the inhibition of calcium channels. The consequence is a drop in cytosolic calcium concentrations and relaxation of the smooth muscle that causes vasodilation [128]. In this trial, twenty-four patients received 20 mg tadalafil or placebo daily for 12 weeks, and participated in a physical therapy program. The primary outcome measure was temperature difference between the CRPS and the contralateral sides, as determined by measuring the skin temperature with videothermography. Secondary outcomes were pain measured on a VAS, muscle force measured with a MicroFet 2 dynamometer, and level of activity measured with an Activity Monitor (AM) and walking tests. At the end of the study period, the temperature asymmetry was not significantly reduced in the tadalafil group compared with the placebo group, but there was a significant and clinically relevant reduction of pain in the tadalafil group. Muscle force improved in both treatment groups, and the AM revealed small, non-significant improvements in time spent standing and walking, as well as the number of short walking periods. The results of the active treatment group are also shown in Figure 1. Thus, tadalafil may be a promising new treatment for patients with chronic cold CRPS. The use of tadalafil and the role of endothelial dysfunction in CRPS warrants further investigation [129].

In both studies, the results of treatment with study medication was compared to placebo, and, as shown in Figure 1, there were clear responders and non-responders among the patients that received the active medication in both studies.
The results of the static videothermographic measurements should be interpreted with care, due to the dynamic nature of side temperature differences in CRPS [19,21,130,131]. Long-term skin temperature measurements may prove to be a more reliable instrument for determining temperature changes in CRPS [132]. Furthermore, care should be taken when using the clinically unaffected side as a control for studies on thermoregulatory skin blood flow in the CRPS side. One possibility is that the thermoregulatory skin blood flow in both extremities may have resulted from a spinal reflex mechanism initiated by (post-) traumatic excitation of a peripheral nerve on the clinically affected side [133]. Given the pathology of muscle tissue (including lipofuscin deposits, atrophic fibers, and severely thickened capillary basal membranes) observed in the amputated limbs of CRSP patients as described by van der Laan et al. [7], as well as the role of muscle pathology (increased lipid peroxidation products, pro-inflammatory cytokines and lactate) in mechanical allodynia of rats with ischemia-reperfusion injury, alterations in deep tissue blood flow, as well as skin blood flow, may be important in CRPS. Also, since capillary hemoglobin oxygenation (HbO₂) is lowered and skin lactate is increased in CRPS limbs, alterations in nutritive as well as thermoregulatory blood flow may also be important in CRPS.

Recent evidence suggests that both NO and ET also play a role in nociception exhibited in animals with ischemia-reperfusion injuries. The mechanical allodynia that is observed in rats with a hind paw ischemia-reperfusion injury is relieved by systemic administration of the NO donor 3-morpholinylsydnoneimine chloride (SIN-1) [96]. Furthermore, intradermal injections of either norepinephrine or the endothelial NO synthase inhibitor N5-(1-iminoethyl)-L-ornithine dihydrochloride (L-NIO) (both of which should reduce blood flow) induce sustained nociceptive behaviours in rats with hind paw ischemia-reperfusion injuries; the nociceptive behaviours induced by NE are reduced by local or systemic administration of the NO donors sodium nitroprusside and SIN-1, respectively [96]. This observation raises the possibility that sympathetically maintained pain may depend more on NE-induced vasoconstriction than on sympathetic-afferent coupling. This conclusion is supported by the finding that sustained nociceptive behaviours are also induced in rats with hind paw ischemia reperfusion injuries after intradermal injection of the non-adrenergic

Figure 1
Relationships between the improvements in temperature and pain in the ISDN and Tadalafil studies. The improvement in pain is shown on the horizontal axis. The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS, score 0-100) is the average of actual pain scores that were recorded three times each day (0800, 1200 and 2000) during one week before the first and the last hospital visits. The improvement in pain is calculated by subtracting the VAS score at the end of the study from the VAS score at the start of the study; thus, a positive value indicates less pain. The vertical axis shows the changes in temperature calculated by subtracting the temperature difference (CRPS side minus contralateral side) between the dorsal side of both hands at the end of the study from the difference measured at the start of the study. A positive value indicates that the difference in temperature has diminished.
vasoconstrictor vasopressin [96].Coderre and colleagues
recently demonstrated that mice with ischemia-reper-
fusion injury of the hind paw exhibit sustained nocicep-
tive behaviors following intradermal injection of ET-1 or
ET-2. These nociceptive behaviors correlated with
increased ET-A receptor expression in hind paw muscle,
and were reduced by co-administration of an ET-A antag-
onist (T. Coderre, personal communication).

Treatment strategies for endothelial dysfunction in CRPS
patients include the substitution of nitric oxide with
ISDN, inhibition of PDE5, substitution of ADMA, or
blockage of ETA receptors. Of these strategies, only ISDN
and PDE5 inhibition have been tested in randomized pla-
ceo-controlled trials with CRPS patients. Because these
trials included patients with cold chronic CRPS and did
not differentiate between central and peripheral dysfunc-
tion, the results may not be conclusive for the treatment
of endothelial dysfunction.

Calcium antagonists are used in the treatment of hyper-
tension and angina pectoris, as they bind to the L-type cal-
cium channel in the smooth muscles of the vascular wall,
thus reducing the influx of extracellular calcium and
resulting in vasodilation. Nifedipine has been examined
in two descriptive studies with CRPS patients, and this cal-
cium antagonist was most effective in acute CRPS
[134,135]. In two studies performed by our research
group, only a few patients used the calcium antagonist
verapamil and none used nifedipine. In addition to
peripheral vasodilation, verapamil also causes a reduction
of the heart rate and atrioventricular conduction. Since
nifedipine is a more potent peripheral vasodilator with
only minimal cardiac side-effects [136], one of the long-
acting dihydropyridines such as nifedipine might prove
advantageous in cold chronic CRPS [137]. More research
on this subject is warranted.

An interesting development occurred in the tadalafil
study, when one patient who had not responded to the
active tadalafil treatment with an increase of temperature
and showed persistent high pain scores was treated with a
lumbar sympathectomy. The leg on the CRPS side became
warmer, and the temperature increased until it was more
than 1°C warmer than the contralateral side. This
improvement in temperature (difference) still persists. We
consider this an indication that in cold chronic CRPS,
both endothelial dysfunction and sympathetic dysfunc-
tion may be responsible for ischemia in the cold extrem-
ity. Unfortunately, in this patient, the severe pain was
unaffected by the sympathectomy, indicating that in
CRPS, pain and ischemia may be related in some cases,
but an increase in blood flow will not result in less pain in
other cases.

This observation confirms the result of trials performed by
Baron et al. in analyzing skin blood flow demonstrating
that sympathetic vasoconstrictor reflexes and pain after
surgical sympathectomy show no clear relationship of
vascular changes and the success of sympathectomy
regarding pain relief [19]. Thus, apart from nociceptive
and neuropathic pain, pain in chronic cold CRPS may
also be due to ischemic pain caused by endothelial dys-
function [67,73,93], sympathetic hyperactivity or
increased sensibility to circulating catecholamines [20], or
sympathetically maintained pain [77].

In a study with intermediate CRPS patients (disease dura-
tion 2.8 ± 1.4 years), we found a significant increase in IL-
6, TNF-α and ET-1 levels in blister fluid in the CRPS
extremity versus the contralateral extremity [89]. ET-1
concentrations in the cold chronic patients in the ISDN
study were lower than those in our previous study, but
still higher than levels previously reported by others
[127,138,139]. Apparently, some of these chronic cold
patients still had active inflammatory components, which
may explain the case of one of the outpatients who was
treated with a PDE5-inhibitor for a very cold painful foot
in chronic CRPS. In a few days, the affected foot displayed
full-blown warm CRPS. The classical signs of inflamma-
tion (rubor, calor, dolor, tumor and functio laesa) depend
highly on unimpaired circulation. Similar to the implica-
tions of the ET-1 measurements of the ISDN study, this
case suggests that there may be patients with chronic cold
CRPS with active inflammation who do not show symp-
toms of inflammation because of impaired vasodilation.
Indeed, it has been shown that plasma extravasation does
not occur in the later stages of ischemia-reperfusion injury
after the development of no-reflow. Thus, oedema only
occurs with leakage of plasma from the post-capillary
venules of vessels that are adequately perfused [140]. This
may account for persistent pain and other therapy-resist-
ant symptoms in some patients.

Current research in the field suggests several possible
mechanisms responsible for ischemia and pain in chronic
cold CRPS. Because of the fluctuation of temperature dif-
fERENCE between both hands, even a patient who reports
an extremely cold extremity may present no difference in
temperature in the clinic or even a warmer CRPS side. In
this case, a static video thermographic recording may not
show any difference compared to a manual test. Under cir-
cumstances of doubt, the clinician should let the patient’s
report of a persistent cold extremity prevail over clinical
tests that show no difference.

Several tests have been described to separately investigate
the sympathetic and the endothelial function. Until now,
these tests have only been used for research purposes.
Promising results were found using provocative manoeu-
vres, like the Valsalva manoeuvre, and the cold pressor test [141], or inspiratory gasp and contralateral cooling [19,142,143].

Future research should focus on methods to differentiate between sympathetic and endothelial dysfunction for clinical use. Laser Doppler Flow, video thermographic recordings and ultrasound could be used to measure the results of autonomic provocation tests, and flow mediated dilation, in combination with long-term temperature measurements. Investigators should not only focus on blood flow in the skin but also in deep tissues; more information is needed regarding the separate contributions of thermoregulatory and nutritive blood flow.

To differentiate between sympathetically maintained pain (SMP) and sympathetically independent pain (SIP), a sympathetic block has been advised [76], usually indicating an invasive chemical or a surgical block [144-147]. Bolel et al., however, described a non-invasive stellate ganglion blockade using diadynamic current [148] that could provide a simple and easy to perform method to differentiate between SMP and SIP.

Summary
As a result of an impressive amount of research over the last few years, our understanding of CRPS has improved considerably. Although some studies have suggested that CRPS is primarily a disease of the central nervous system, alterations have also been found in the peripheral small nerve fibers innervating skin, blood vessels and sweat glands, as well as aberrations in the vascular wall, muscle fibers and other deep somatic tissues, likely as a result of damage by the initial inflammation. Although this article focused on disturbed peripheral blood flow in patients with cold, chronic CRPS, treatment goals are not necessarily only local. In this respect, we agree with Harden, who proposes that the treatment of CRPS should be a systematic and coordinated interdisciplinary approach with a primary goal of functional restoration [69].
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