QTL Mapping by Whole Genome Re-sequencing and Analysis of Candidate Genes for Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Rice
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Nitrogen is a major nutritional element in rice production. However, excessive application of nitrogen fertilizer has caused severe environmental pollution. Therefore, development of rice varieties with improved nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) is urgent for sustainable agriculture. In this study, bulked segregant analysis (BSA) combined with whole genome re-sequencing (WGS) technology was applied to finely map quantitative trait loci (QTL) for NUE. A key QTL, designated as qNUE6, was identified on chromosome 6 and further validated by Insertion/Deletion (InDel) marker-based substitutional mapping in recombinants from F2 population (NIL-13B4 × GH998). Forty-four genes were identified in this 266.5-kb region. According to detection and annotation analysis of variation sites, 39 genes with large-effect single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and large-effect InDels were selected as candidates and their expression levels were analyzed by qRT-PCR. Significant differences in the expression levels of LOC_Os06g15370 (peptide transporter PTR2) and LOC_Os06g15420 (asparagine synthetase) were observed between two parents (Y11 and GH998). Phylogenetic analysis in Arabidopsis thaliana identified two closely related homologs, AT1G68570 (AtNPF3.1) and AT5G65010 (ASN2), which share 72.3 and 87.5% amino acid similarity with LOC_Os06g15370 and LOC_Os06g15420, respectively. Taken together, our results suggested that qNUE6 is a possible candidate gene for NUE in rice. The fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of qNUE6 provide the basis of molecular breeding for genetic improvement of rice varieties with high NUE, and lay the foundation for further cloning and functional analysis.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen, one of the most demanding mineral elements in plants, is also the most common limiting factor for plant growth in nature. Nitrogen deficiency can cause yellow leaves, dwarf plants, fewer tillers and lower grain yields during the process of rice growth and development. In agricultural production practices, substantial increases in nitrogen fertilizer application has been one of the important ways to increase rice yield (Mulvaney et al., 2009), and it results in agroecological
environmental pollution and climate change etc. (Matson et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2014; Wang Y. et al., 2016). Therefore, there is an urgent need to understand the genetic mechanisms underlying NUE and improve rice varieties NUE via genotype selection (Zeigler and Mohanty, 2010; Mcallister et al., 2012).

The previous studies suggested that NUE is a quantitative trait, being controlled by major genes and minor effects QTLs. The cloning and functional analysis of some genes or QTLs has provided an important basis for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying rice NUE. Nitrate is the main inorganic nitrogen for its acquisition, transport, assimilation in plants. Members of nitrate/peptide (NTR/ PTR) transporter family are the low-affinity nitrate transporters. NRT1.1, also known as CHL1, was initially identified in Arabidopsis thaliana (Tsay et al., 1993). In rice, Lin et al. (2000) cloned OsNRT1 gene and found that it was homologous to Arabidopsis thaliana AtNRT1 gene and encodes a low affinity nitrate transporter. Hu et al. (2015) showed that NRT1.1B had a nitrate-transporting activity at low and high nitrate ion concentrations. Another nitrate transporter, NRT2, is a high-affinity nitrate transporter but can’t transfer NO$_3^-$ independently. OsNAR2.1, a partner protein for the high-affinity nitrate transporter, is able to interact with OsNRT2.1, OsNRT2.2, and OsNRT2.3, and can enhance nitrate uptake by rice roots at different nitrate supply levels (Yan et al., 2011). Chen et al. (2017) indicated that rice NO$_3^-$ uptake, yield and NUE were improved by increased OsNAR2.1 expression via its native promoter. Fan et al. (2016) found that OsNRT2.3b was able to increase the pH-buffering capacity of the plant, increasing the uptake of N, Fe, and P, improving NUE and grain yield.

In addition to nitrate, ammonium nitrogen is another main nitrogen source for plant growth. A large number of ammonium transporter (AMT) genes have been identified in rice. Among them, OsAMT1;1, OsAMT1;2, and OsAMT1;3 are the major ammonium transporters that absorb NH$_4^+$ (Sonoda et al., 2003; Ferreira et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). Asparagine synthetase is the major enzyme that assimilates NH$_4^+$ in rice. OsAS1 gene is responsible for expressing AS when ammonium is supplied to roots, being involved in primary assimilation of NH$_4^+$ in roots (Ohashi et al., 2015). Furthermore, Sawaki et al. found that NIGT1 was a nitrate-induced but self-inhibited transcriptional repressor that played a pivotal role in the response of rice to nitrogen (Sawaki et al., 2013). Sun et al. (2014) mapped and cloned a major QTL for NUE in rice, qNGR9, which changed the response of rice to nitrogen by regulating the activity of a G protein. Zhang et al. (2015) identified a major QTL on chromosome 12, TOND1, and found that its over-expression enhanced the tolerance of rice to nitrogen deficiency.

In nature, a majority of agronomically important crop traits are quantitative (Paterson et al., 1988). QTL mapping is a highly effective approach for genetic dissection of quantitative traits and provides a starting point for map-based cloning of related genes and marker-assisted selection (Xu et al., 2015). However, the traditional QTL analysis is labor-consuming and costly (Salvi and Tuberosa, 2005). BSA has been applied to rapidly identify the molecular markers closely linked with QTLs or genes by genotyping only two bulked DNA samples from two populations with 20–50 individuals from each, showing extreme opposite trait values for a given phenotype in a segregating progeny (Michelmore et al., 1991). With the development of the next-generation sequencing technology, WGS has been widely applied in genotyping. By combining both BSA and WGS, QTLs for important agronomic traits of crops have been rapidly identified (Takagi et al., 2013). At the present, many genes and QTLs of plants have been mapped through QTL-seq (Takagi et al., 2013, 2015; Liang et al., 2016; Wang Y. et al., 2016; Wang Y. et al., 2016; Pandey et al., 2017; Song et al., 2017).

In this study, pools of low and high bulk samples ($n = 30$, each group) were constructed from 280 F$_2$ individuals derived from the cross between GH998 (high NUE) and NIL-13B4 (low NUE and derived from “GH998 × Y11”) plants, and then used to detect the regions in the rice genome harboring major QTLs for NUE by BSA, WGS, and single nucleotide polymorphism index (SNP-index) methods. The results were further confirmed by substitution mapping and qRT-PCR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Phenotypic Identification

In this study, the wild rice Y11 (six generations of single plant bagging selfing) was selected as the donor and the elite rice variety GH998 was selected as the recipient. From the fall 2007, the methods of crossing, back-crossing and marker-assisted selection were adopted. A set of near isogenic lines (BC$_4$F$_2$) was bred. Then we selected the low NUE near-isogenic lines NIL-13B4 (8.9% genomes were derived from Y11; Supplementary Figure S1) to cross with GH998. In 2014, the F$_2$ population was grown at the experimental station (Nanning, 22.85°N, 108.26°E) at Rice Research Institute of Guangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, was self-pollinated to generate F$_2$ lines that were subsequently used as mapping populations. A total of 280 F$_2$ individuals were selected and self-pollinated to generate 280 F$_2$;$_3$ families (Zhang and Xu, 2004). The soil in the experimental station is of weak acidity with pH = 6.48, containing 0.12% total phosphorus, 0.11% total phosphorus, 1.78% total potassium, 90.50 mg kg$^{-1}$ available nitrogen, 34.00 mg kg$^{-1}$ available phosphorus and 198.50 mg kg$^{-1}$ available potassium. The field was assigned into groupI (urea, 0 kg hm$^{-2}$) and groupII (urea, 326.1 kg hm$^{-2}$). In addition, the potassium chloride at 166.70 kg hm$^{-2}$ and phosphorus pentoxide at 833.3 kg hm$^{-2}$ were applied in both group I and group II. Plants were transplanted in the plots of 20 m$^2$ with the line spacing of 23.1 cm and plant spacing of 13.2 cm with three replicates.

After the rice grains had ripened, 10 representative plants for each replicate were cut along the ground and their stems, leaves and seeds were collected and incubated for heating at 105°C for 30 min followed by incubation for s at 75°C 4 day to constant weight. After being weighted using an electronic balance with resolution of 0.001 g, the total nitrogen content of plant was determined using semi-micro Kjeldahl method (Yoshida et al., 1976a). The NUE was calculated using the following equation (Wei et al., 2012):

$$\text{NUE(%) = (TN}_F - \text{TN}_0)/\text{N} \times 100$$
The purity and integrity of each DNA sample were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA samples of 30 plants with extremely low NUE were mixed with equal amounts and used as L-pool (30 F2 progeny NUE range from 3.6 to 9.8%) and DNA samples of 30 plants with extremely high NUE were mixed with equal amounts and used as H-pool (30 F2 progeny NUE range from 26.8 to 34.4%). DNA samples isolated from Y11 and GH998 plant leaves, and the two DNA pools, were randomly fragmented using a covaris crusher to 350 bp, and subjected to terminal repair, polyA tailing, sequencing adaptor ligation, purification and PCR amplification. The sequencing libraries were constructed, which were sequenced on the IlluminaHiSeq2500 platform with sequencing depth of 20× for parental plants and 30× for each pool.

The calculation of SNP-index is a statistical method for SNP in the pool. The principle is that the sequencing reads are used to statistically count the number of reads at certain each base locus with same or different bases to one of its parent or reference genome and the percentages of reads with different bases are calculated, that is, the SNP-index at this base locus. For projects with two sub-pool data, the loci with SNP-index < 0.3 in both pools were filtered out. The average of the filtered SNP-index for 5 kb bases at a window of 50 kb is considered as the SNP-index of the window. The SNP-index of the two pools is calculated according to the above method, and their difference is calculated as the Δ(SNP-index). The difference in SNP-index between the two pools is calculated as Δ(SNP-index) = SNP-index (extreme trait B) - SNP-index (extreme trait A). A thousand replacement tests are performed and the 95% confidence level is selected as the threshold for screening. At the 95% confidence level, a window larger than the threshold is selected as the candidate interval (Takagi et al., 2013).

**QTL Analysis with SSR and InDel Marker**

A total of 24 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers covering the chromosome 6 were used for mapping genotypes in the 60 F2 individuals and gained nine recombinants between the two markers RM539 and RM136 (Supplementary Table S1). These recombinants were used for substitutional mapping with InDel markers (Maeda et al., 2014; Oikawa et al., 2015). Additional InDel markers were designed by us. In detail, the genome sequence of *Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica* cv. Nipponbare (ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-30/fasta/oryza_sativa/Dna/) was used as a reference sequence, and the WGS sequences of Y11 and GH998 were compared using software BWA to find out the InDel difference between the two parents (Y11 and CH998). In addition, the 500 bp sequence framing around the loci were extracted using the self-made pearl language script and the InDel markers with good polymorphism and strong specificity between the two varieties and the two pools were designed using software Primer 5.

**Candidate Genes and Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment Analysis**

Gene prediction and annotation within the 8,647,275–8,913,783 bp on the QTL region of chromosome 6 were performed using MSU-RGAP (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/). Based on the WGS data of Y11 and GH998, ANNOVAR was used to detect and annotate SNPs or InDels (Wang et al., 2010). Genes with SNPs causing stop gain or loss, non-synonymous and splicing (the introns are close to exons or intron boundary 2 bp), with InDels causing stop gain or loss, frameshift mutation in their corresponding alleles were selected as the candidate genes. Meanwhile, genes with SNPs or InDels in the promoter region (≤1 kb) from the start codon ATG in their corresponding alleles were also selected as the candidate genes. All candidate genes were analyzed by GO enrichment analysis (http://www.geneontology.org/) based on a Fisher’s exact test and a Yekutieli multitest adjustment, using a 5% false positive detection threshold.

**Analysis of Expression Levels of Candidate Genes by qRT-PCR**

The seeds of GH998, Y11 and NIL-13B4 with the same germination status were selected. They were cultured first in double distilled water adjusted to pH 5.5 with MMES-NaOH at 28°C in a light incubator (13 h light/11 h dark) to three-leaf stage, and then in normal nutrient solution (+N,1 mM NH4NO3) (Yoshida et al., 1976b). Samples of leaves and stem were collected at after 48 h. Total RNA was extracted with Trizol method (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Reverse transcription was performed by One-Step gDNA removal and cDNA synthesis superMix (TransGen, Beijing, China). The Actin3 was used as normalization of expression levels of candidate genes with primers F: CCACTATGTTCCCTGGCAT and R: GTACTCA GCCTTGGCAATCC (Sun et al., 2014). The qRT-PCR reaction system was consisted of 2 × TransStart SYBR Green Master Mix 10 µl, the forward primer 1 µl, the reverse prime1 µl, template cDNA 1 µl, and double distilled water was added to 20 µl. Reaction program was set as follows: pre-denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C. The qRT-PCR analysis was performed on AnalytikJena qTOWERE2.2 (AnalytikJena, Germany).

**Phylogenetic Analysis of qNUE6**

MSU-RGAP (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/) contains information about candidate genes for orthologous genes in the other plants. The homologous gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* were downloaded from TAIR (http://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp), while other plant genes were downloaded from Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). Protein sequence alignment was performed using ClustalW with default parameters. Phylogenetic trees were constructed...
by MEGA7.0 using Maximum Likelihood method with 1,000 bootstrap replications.

**RESULTS**

**Statistical Analysis of Phenotypes of NUE**
The NUE was evaluated for each F2;3 families and for two lines (GH998 and NIL-13B4). The average NUE in each individual in F2;3 families represents the NUE in its corresponding F2 individual (Zhang and Xu, 2004). As a result, the NUE of the 280 F2 lines were fluctuated in the range of 3.64–34.39% with the maximum efficiency being 9.32 times of the minimum efficiency, the mean efficiency of 18.05, the standard deviation of 6.97, and the normality test value of 0.9821, indicating that the phenotype of NUE in F2 population is accorded with normal distribution (Figure 1).

**QTL for NUE Identified by QTL-seq**
Genomic DNA samples of two parents (GH998 and Y11) and the two pools (H-pool and L-pool) were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer and 53.68 Gb raw data were generated. After being filtered, 53.0 GB clean data were obtained (Table 1). These data were end paired and those data with adapter, or nitrogen content exceeding 10% of the total length, more than 50% of bases with quality score Q ≤ 5 were removed.

The sequence data were compared with the reference genome of *Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica* cv. Nipponbare. GH998 had 55,403,332 valid reads, covering 97.76% of the whole genome at average read depth of 17.97×. Y11 had 52,786,428 valid reads, covering 96.91% of the whole genome with average read depth of 17.79× (Table 2). Based on genotyping results, a total of 1,054,326 polymorphic markers were screened from the homozygous SNPs of GH998 and Y11. The SNP-index (the frequency of SNP) of the progeny markers between two parents was calculated using the parental GH998 as a reference genome. Among them, the exact same progeny marker had SNP-index of 0 and the totally different progeny marker had SNP-index of 1 (Takagi et al., 2013). To intuitively reflect the distribution of the progeny SNP-index on the chromosome, the distribution of SNP-index on the chromosome is plotted using 50 kb as the window and average SNP-index of every step of 5 kb. By comparing the SNP-index of low and high NUE pools and analyzing the window above the threshold at 95% confidence level, we found an unbalanced SNP between 6,099,043–8,940,631 bp on chromosome 6 (Figure 2). In this region, the SNP-index of the low pool was greater than or equal to 0.7, and the high pool was lower than or equal to 0.3. These results indicated that the low pool individuals contained the same fragment of Y11 were between 6,099,043 and 8,940,631 bp on chromosome 6 of rice. The high pool individual contained the same fragments of GH998 in the same region. Meanwhile, the Δ(SNP-index) of this region was greater than the threshold at 95% confidence level. Therefore, the region from 6099043 to 8940631 bp is likely the locus controlling NUE in rice, which was named qNUE6.

**Validation of NUE QTL by Substitutional Mapping**
In order to validate the QTL for NUE qNUE6, we performed a genome survey using SSR markers covering the chromosome 6. By analysis, the QTL qNUE6 was narrowed between markers RM539 and RM136 (Supplementary Table S2). We also found nine recombinants between the two markers RM539 and RM136. Subsequently, we designed 20 pairs of InDel markers based on the re-sequencing results of Y11 and GH998. Among them, 11 pairs of InDel markers were found to have good polymorphism and strong specificity (Supplementary Table S3). The nine recombinants were used for substitution mapping of qNUE6. The results indicated that qNUE6 was narrowed down to a 266.5-kb region between markers ID10 and ID22 (Figure 3), which had a physical distance from 8,647,275–8,913,783 bp on chromosome 6.

**Candidate Genes and Expression Analysis**
There are 44 predictive genes (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/) in the region from 8,647,275 to 8,913,783 bp on chromosome 6 (Supplementary Table S4). Among these different loci which contained the candidate region between Y11 and GH998, 692 large-effect SNPs and 20 large-effect InDels were found in a total of 39 genes (Supplementary Tables S5,S6). These genes were identified by GO enrichment analysis in each of the three maincategories (biological processes, molecular function and cellular components). In the significantly enriched GO terms, these terms are involved in biological process (Figure 4), including asparagine metabolic process (GO: 0006528) and asparagine biosynthetic process (GO: 0006529), and TERM asparagine synthetase (glutamine-hydrolyzing) activity (GO: 0004066).

We examined the expression levels of 39 genes in root and stem-leaf using qRT-PCR. Based on the cDNA sequences, we designed 39 primers pairs for qRT-PCR analysis.

---

**FIGURE 1** | Frequency distribution of nitrogen use efficiency in the F2 population.
TABLE 1 | The quality of sequencing data.

| Sample | Raw base(bp) | Clean base(bp) | Effective rate(%) | Error rate(%) | Q20(%) | Q30(%) | GC content(%) |
|--------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---------------|
| Y11    | 6,867,532,750| 6,808,586,750  | 99.19             | 0.04         | 94.48  | 89.51  | 42.94         |
| GH998  | 6,992,487,000| 6,925,416,500  | 99.14             | 0.04         | 94.69  | 89.94  | 43.38         |
| L-pool | 18,499,867,000| 18,536,966,000| 99.2              | 0.04         | 94.64  | 89.89  | 43.32         |
| H-pool | 21,128,305,000| 20,732,080,750| 98.12             | 0.06         | 92.24  | 86.2   | 45.59         |

TABLE 2 | Statistical analysis of sequencing depth and coverage.

| Sample | Mapped reads | Total reads | Mapping rate(%) | Average depth(×) | Coverage at least 1 × (%) | Coverage at least 4 × (%) |
|--------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Y11    | 52,786,428   | 54,468,694  | 96.91           | 17.79            | 86.61                     | 78.83                     |
| GH998  | 54,162,109   | 55,403,332  | 97.76           | 17.97            | 88.72                     | 83.07                     |
| L-pool | 161,503,688  | 165,856,646 | 97.38           | 50.27            | 93.19                     | 89.46                     |
| H-pool | 144,233,962  | 148,295,728 | 97.26           | 45.2             | 92.38                     | 88.81                     |

FIGURE 2 | SNP-index graphs of L-pool (A), H-pool (B), and Δ(SNP-index) graph (C) from QTL-seq analysis. X-axis represents the position of 12 chromosomes; Y-axis represents the SNP-index. Major QTL is located to chromosome 6.

(Supplementary Table S7). The qRT-PCR results showed that no expression levels of 11 genes were detected in root and stem-leaf of Y11 and GH998. Among the 28 genes of normal expression, the expression levels of LOC_Os06g15370 gene in the root and stem-leaf of Y11 were significantly lower than those in root and stem-leaf of GH998. Similarly, the expression level of LOC_Os06g15420 in root of Y11 was significantly lower than that in GH998, but not obvious expression profile was observed in stem-leaf (Figure 5; Supplementary Figure S2).

Phylogenic Analysis of qNUE6

LOC_Os06g15370 encodes peptide transporter (PTR2) and its conserved domain was analyzed using Batch CD-search (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi). We found that both LOC_Os06g15370 and AT1G68570 in Arabidopsis thaliana shared a protein domain of the PTR2 super family. AT1G68570 was shown to encode a chloroplastic nitrite transporter (Sugiura et al., 2007). AtNPF3.1 (AT1G68570) was found to be a low-affinity transporter for both nitrate and nitrite, displaying the biophysical characteristics of the known NPF transporters.
Phylogenetic analysis in graminaceous crops revealed that both GRMZM2G361652 and Sb10g009530 shared 86.2 and 86.4% similarity in amino acid sequences with LOC_Os06g15370, respectively. The GRMZM2G361652 (PTHR11654:SF178, http://www.pantherdb.org/) in maize putatively encodes nitrite transporter, while Sb10g009530 (PTHR11654:SF178, http://www.pantherdb.org/) in sorghum encodes nitrate transporter, and both nitrite transporter and nitrate transporter have a domain of PTR2 super family proteins (Supplementary Figure S3).

LOC_Os06g15420 (OsAS2) encodes asparagine synthetase (AS). The results of the study by Ohashi et al. (2015) indicated that OsAS2 mRNA was detectable in the roots, but its expression level was decreased when NH4+ was supplied. In Arabidopsis thaliana, AT5G65010 (ASN2) is correlated to ammonium metabolism in higher plants (Wong et al., 2004; Gaufichon et al., 2013), which shares 87.5% similarity in amino acid sequences with LOC_Os06g15420. OsAS2, ASN2, and ASN3 all have a characteristic domain of the protein members in GlnS super family. Phylogenetic analysis in graminaceous crops, indicated that GRMZM2G074589 and Sb10g009590 shared 94.4 and 95.6% similarity in amino acid sequences with LOC_Os06g15420, respectively (Supplementary Figure S4).

Evaluation of Important Agronomic Traits of Near-Isogenic Lines of QTL qNUE6 for NUE in Rice

In 2016, heading date, culm length, tiller number, grain number per panicle, 1000-grain weight, and individual yield of NIL-13B4, NIL-13B33 (high NUE and contains qNUE6) and GH998 were measured. The results showed that number of tillers was not significantly different among GH998, NIL-13B33, and NIL-13B4, whereas the difference in heading date among them reached a highly significant level and the differences in culm length, 1000-grain weight and single-individual yield among them reached a significant level (Supplementary Table S8).

DISCUSSION

With the rapid development of molecular biology and genomics, more and more breeders have paid their attention to molecular breeding in plants. Molecular plant breeding can realize the direct selection and effective pyramiding of genes, increase breeding efficiency and shorten the breeding cycles (Mumm and Moose, 2008). In plants, gene mapping, cloning and functional analysis are the foundations for molecular plant breeding. Although many NUE genes or QTLs have been mapped or cloned, the regulatory mechanisms underlying NUE are still complicated, and NUE improvement in rice varieties breeding is very limited (Li et al., 2017). Therefore, we also need to identify new valuable NUE-related QTLs or genes for rice NUE breeding.

In this study, the QTL qNUE6 were finely mapped by BSA combined with WGS. By further using substitutional mapping with InDel markers, we delimited this QTL in 8,647,275–8,913,783 bp region on chromosome 6. In previous study, researchers have identified several genes or QTLs for NUE on chromosome 6. Song et al. (1996) isolated and identified an aspartate transaminase gene AspAT3 around 23738029–23738154 bp, which is involved in carbon and nitrogen metabolism in rice. Shan et al. (2005) identified a nitrogen...
utilization QTL $qNUEp-6$ around Waxy gene in the 1.76–2.09 Mb region. Tong et al. (2006) detected QTLs related to above ground dry weight and yield within the 28.13–29.63 Mb region under normal and low nitrogen conditions. Wang et al. (2009) detected a novel QTL of effective panicle and yield in the range of 2.29 (RM587)–2.83 Mb (RM510) using rice chromosome fragment segment substitution lines (CSSLs). Liu et al. (2016) used 157 SSR markers to perform genome-wide association analysis of the nitrogen utilization traits of 184 rice cultivars and identified a novel NUE-related locus at SSR marker RM314.
Hu et al. (2015) In this study, we observed that Phylogenetic analysis implied that Zhao et al. (2010) Léran et al., 2014 Lam et al., 2014 Hiroaki Hayashi, 1990 found that the expression levels of (OsNPF4.1 same locus with the NUE of japonica. qNUE6, the same loci as LOC_Os06g15370 and LOC_Os06g15420 suggested that they might be the candidate genes for NUE in rice. The expression level of LOC_Os06g15370 was identified significantly lower in Y11 than in GH998 by qRT-PCR. It encodes peptide transporter and is highly homologous to the AtNPF3.1 gene in Arabidopsis thaliana, in which, AtNPF3.1 was found to similarly transport both nitrate and nitrite with low affinity (Pike et al., 2014). Zhao et al. (2010) analyzed 84 PTR family members in rice (OsPTR), and found that the orthologous OsPTR and AtPTR genes showed the expression profile similar to those of Os06g15370 and AT1G68570. Phylogenetic analysis in graminaceous crops revealed that both GRMZM2G361652 and Sb10g009530 shared high similarity in amino acid sequences with LOC_Os06g15370, which is a NRT1/PTR FAMILY 3.1 gene (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). In rice, three PTR genes have been functionally verified. LOC_Os03g13274 (OsNRT1) encodes low-affinity nitrate transporter and was found to be expressed in stem, cuticle and root hairs (Lin et al., 2000). The results of a study by Hu et al. (2015) showed that variations in the expression of NRT1.1B (LOC_Os10g40600) largely explained nitrate-use divergence between indica and japonica and that NRT1.1B-indica could potentially improve the NUE of japonica. SPI (LOC_Os11g12740) is located at the same locus with OsNP4.1, which determines the panicle size (Li et al., 2009). Phylogenetic analysis implied that SPI might be a nitrate transporter. However, neither nitrate-transporting activity nor the transporting activities for other compounds could be obtained from it (Lérán et al., 2014).

Asparagine synthetase plays important roles in nitrogen metabolism and improvement of NUE in plants (Lam et al., 2003; Gaufichon et al., 2010). In this study, we observed that the expression levels of an asparagine synthetase gene, LOC_Os06g15420, were different between Y11 and GH998 in root. It was identified to be related to nitrogen assimilation in the qNUE6 region. Some asparagine synthetase genes have been found to play an important role in the nitrogen metabolism pathway in maize, Arabidopsis thaliana and soybean (Wong et al., 2004; Wan et al., 2006; Cañas et al., 2009; Gaufichon et al., 2013; Han et al., 2015). In phloem sap of rice, glutamine synthetase is the main form of transporter for nitrogen molecules, followed by asparagine synthetase (Hiroaki Hayashi, 1990). Nakano et al. (2000) found that the expression levels of OsAS varied in different tissues and different developmental stages of rice and might be closely related to nitrogen assimilation and translocation. Ohashi et al. (2015) showed that both OsAS1 and OsAS2 encoded asparagine synthetase and that OsAS1 was responsible for the initial assimilation of NH4+ in root system while OsAS2 was mainly expressed in rice leaves and leaf sheaths. However, their biological functions in leaves are not clear yet.

Moreover, we identified the agronomic traits between near isogenic lines NIL-13B4 and NIL-13B33 by using the parent GH998 as the control group. The results showed that QTL qNUE6 might have significant effect on heading date, culm length, 1000-grain weight and individual yield (Supplementary Table S8). Taken together, all of these results lead us to postulate that qNUE6 can be one of the important candidate genes for NUE in rice.

CONCLUSIONS
In this study, a QTL for NUE, qNUE6, was finely mapped through QTL-seq at the region from 8,647,275 to 8,913,783 bp on chromosome 6. LOC_Os06g15370 and LOC_Os06g15420 were identified as the candidate genes using gene annotation information, mutation site functional annotation and qRT-PCR. The qRT-PCR analysis showed that the expression levels of LOC_Os06g15370 and LOC_Os06g15420 were significantly different between two parents. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the amino acid sequences of LOC_Os06g15370 and LOC_Os06g15420 were highly homologous to those of AtNPF3.1 gene and ASN3 gene in Arabidopsis thaliana, respectively. The analysis of important agronomic traits in near isogenic lines showed that qNUE6 might have significant effects on culm length, 1000-grain weight, individual yield, and especially on heading date. However, it is still not clear how qNUE6 affects the agronomic traits of rice and its biological function has not been further elucidated yet. Thus, further study is needed to elucidate its molecular and biological functions by cloning and transgenic approaches. The identification of qNUE6 as one of the important candidates for NUE provides an important genetic basis for the improvement of rice varieties with high NUE.
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