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Abstract

Background: Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) is one of the most important oil crops; however, a lack of useful molecular markers hinders current genetic research. We performed transcriptome sequencing of samples from different sesame growth and developmental stages, and mining of genic-SSR markers to identify valuable markers for sesame molecular genetics research.

Results: In this study, 75 bp and 100 bp paired-end RNA-seq was used to sequence 24 cDNA libraries, and 42,566 uni-transcripts were assembled from more than 260 million filtered reads. The total length of uni-transcript sequences was 47.99 Mb, and 7,324 SSRs (SSRs ≥ 15 bp) and 4,440 SSRs (SSRs ≥ 18 bp) were identified. On average, there was one genic-SSR per 6.55 kb (SSRs ≥ 15 bp) or 10.81 kb (SSRs ≥ 18 bp). Among perfect SSRs (≥ 18 bp), di-nucleotide motifs (48.01%) were the most abundant, followed by tri- (20.96%), hexa- (25.37%), penta- (2.97%), tetra- (2.12%), and mono-nucleotides (0.57%). The top four motif repeats were (AG/CT)n [1,268 (34.51%)], (CA/TG)n [281 (7.65%)], (AT/AT)n [215 (5.85%)], and (GAA/TTC)n [131 (3.57%)]. A total of 2,164 SSR primer pairs were identified in the 4,440 SSR-containing sequences (≥ 18 bp), and 300 SSR primer pairs were randomly chosen for validation. These SSR markers were amplified and validated in 25 sesame accessions (24 cultivated accessions, one wild species). 276 (92.0%) primer pairs yielded PCR amplification products in 24 cultivars. Thirty two primer pairs (11.59%) exhibited polymorphisms. Moreover, 203 primer pairs (67.67%) yielded PCR amplicons in the wild accession and 167 (60.51%) were polymorphic between species. A UPGMA dendrogram based on genetic similarity coefficients showed that the correlation between genotype and geographical source was low and that the genetic basis of sesame in China is narrow, as previously reported. The 32 polymorphic primer pairs were validated using an F2 mapping population; 18 primer pairs exhibited polymorphisms between the parents, and 14 genic-SSRs could be integrated into 9 main linkage groups.

Conclusions: 2,164 genic-SSR markers have been developed in sesame using transcriptome sequencing. 276 of 300 validated primer pairs successfully yielded PCR amplicons in 24 cultivated sesame accessions. These markers increase current SSR marker resources and will greatly benefit genetic diversity, qualitative and quantitative trait mapping and marker-assisted selection studies in sesame.

Background

Sesame (Sesamum indicum L., 2n = 26), belonging to the Pedaliaceae genus, is an ancient oilseed crop, considered important for its high quality seed oil [1]. Sesame is cultivated mainly in the tropical and subtropical regions of Asia and Africa, with a total area of 7.7 million hectares worldwide and an annual production of 3.98 million tons (2009, FAO data, http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/DesktopDefault.aspx?PageID=567). In China, one of the main long-term hindrances in sesame production is the lack of varieties with high disease resistance and waterlogging tolerance. Genetic diversity among cultivars is relatively low since all varieties are derived from the one cultivated sesame species, Sesamum indicum L. The low level of polymorphism in sesame has been demonstrated using universal markers such as random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) [2,3], inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR) [4], amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) [5] and sequence-related amplified polymorphisms (SRAP) [6], and species-specific markers such as simple sequence repeats (SSR) [7] and expressed
sequence tags-SSR (EST-SSR) [8]. Inadequate information on sesame resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, and sesame growth and developmental processes has created a breeding bottleneck which is unlikely to be solved in the near future.

Since massive-scale cloning and sequencing of DNA or EST libraries has been relatively high-cost, low throughput and time-consuming, the development of SSR markers has been slow, making it more difficult to construct a detailed genetic linkage map that can be used in sesame genetics breeding programs. Presently, including a recently published set of 40 sesame SSR markers derived from a transcriptome study [7-9], less than 80 polymorphic SSR and EST-SSR markers are available. At present, only eight EST-SSR markers are anchored in the first and only sesame genetic map [10].

Recent advances in large-scale RNA-seq provide a fast, cost-effective, and reliable approach for the generation of large expression datasets in non-model species [11-13], and also offer an opportunity to identify and develop SSRs using data mining with bioinformatic tools. Compared with genomic SSR markers, these new genic-SSR markers may help to identify candidate functional genes and increase the efficiency of marker-assisted selection [14]. We therefore performed sesame RNA-seq to further our understanding of the sesame transcriptome and to develop large numbers of novel and efficient genic-SSR molecular markers. Here, we analyze the frequency and distribution of genic-SSRs in the sesame RNA-seq transcriptome and validate 300 of our 2,164 SSRs using data mining with bioinformatic tools. Compared with genomic SSR markers, these new genic-SSR markers may help to identify candidate functional genes and increase the efficiency of marker-assisted selection [14]. We therefore performed sesame RNA-seq to further our understanding of the sesame transcriptome and to develop large numbers of novel and efficient genic-SSR molecular markers. Here, we analyze the frequency and distribution of genic-SSRs in the sesame RNA-seq transcriptome and validate 300 of our 2,164 SSR markers in 24 cultivated accessions, one wild species and one F2 mapping population. Our set of SSR markers will provide a useful tool for sesame genetic research and comparative genome analysis.

Results

Uni-transcript sequences obtained with Illumina sequencing
We obtained more than 260 million 75 bp or 100 bp paired-end filtered reads from 24 sesame samples using high-throughput paired-end RNA-seq. The total length of the reads was over 45.85 Gbp. Reads were subsequently de novo assembled into 342,776 contigs with a length of over 100 bp, and then further assembled into 42,566 uni-scaffolds with a mean size of 1,127 bp using paired-end joining and TGI Clustering tools (Table 1).

Mining of genic-SSRs
The 42,566 uni-transcript sequences covered 47,987 kbp of the sesame genome, and a total of 7,324 (15 bp) and 4,440 (18 bp) SSRs, present in 17.21% and 10.43% of the uni-transcripts respectively, were identified in the data.

Types and frequencies of genic-SSRs
We divided the SSRs into three groups according to the repeat motif classification criteria proposed by Weber [15], i.e., perfect, imperfect and compound types (Table 2). Most repeats (SSRs ≥15 bp: 6,485, 88.54%; SSRs ≥18 bp: 3,674, 82.75%) were perfect repeats. Of these, di-nucleotide repeats were the most abundant motif type.

In the imperfect and compound SSR categories, only mono-, di- and tri-nucleotide SSR units were present. All repeat motifs in mono-nucleotide SSR units were of the A/T type. AG/CT, CA/TG and AT/AT repeat motif types were present in di-nucleotide SSR units, while only GAA/TTC repeat motifs were found in tri-nucleotide SSR units. Of the six types of SSR units, mono-mono, di-di-, tri-tri-, mono-di-, mono-tri- and di-tri-nucleotide types were found in both perfect and imperfect compound SSR categories. The di-di-nucleotide type was the most abundant, representing more than 80% of all SSRs.

Distribution of repeat motif types
We noted that the proportion of six different SSR unit sizes was not evenly distributed among perfect SSR groups. Different repeat units occurred at frequencies of: 1.99% and 0.57% (mono-nucleotides) 39.97% and 48.01% (di-nucleotides), 28.45% and 20.96% (tri-nucleotides), 5.17% and 2.12% (tetra-nucleotides), 10.05% and 2.97% (penta-nucleotides), and 14.37% and 25.37% (hexa-nucleotides), for SSRs ≥15 bp and ≥18 bp, respectively (Figure 1).

A total of 687 and 557 types of repeat motifs were identified among the 6,485 (SSRs ≥15 bp) and 3,674 (SSRs ≥18 bp) perfect SSRs (Table 3). The (A/T)n mono-nucleotide repeat motif was the most abundant in both datasets. The five other main unit types were the (AG/CT)n di-nucleotide, (GAA/TTC)n tri-nucleotide, (ATAC/GTAT)n tetra-nucleotide, (AAAAAG/CTTTT)n penta-nucleotide and (GAAAAA/TTTTT)n hexa-nucleotide repeat motifs, and occurred at frequencies of 98.45% and 100%, 66.86% and 71.88%, 15.12% and 17.01%, 10.15% and 17.95%, 8.59% and 3.67%, and 2.36% and 2.36%, in SSRs ≥15 bp and SSRs ≥18 bp, respectively. Furthermore, it was observed that the G/C repeat motif type was only present in mono-nucleotide SSR units in SSRs ≥15 bp; and the GC/GC repeat motif type was not observed in di-nucleotide SSR units in either SSRs ≥15 bp or SSRs ≥18 bp.

Of the perfect motif types, the (AG/CT)n di-nucleotides were the most abundant (SSRs ≥15 bp, 1,733 (26.72%); SSRs ≥18 bp, 1,268 (34.51%)), followed by (CA/TG)n di-nucleotides (469 (7.23%) and 281 (7.65%)), (AT/AT)n di-nucleotides (390 (6.01%) and 215 (5.85%)), and (GAA/TTC)n tri-nucleotides (279 (4.3%) and 131 (3.57%)).
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Further analysis indicated that the copy number of different repeat motifs in perfect SSRs sequences was distributed unevenly (Table 4). The copy number of different repeat motifs varied from 3 to 26, with the (AG/CT)n di-nucleotide repeats having the highest copy number. The four most frequent copy numbers for SSRs ≥15 bp were 3 (19.81%), 5 (18.13%), 8 (14.09%) and 9 (9.16%), while 3 (20.20%), 9 (16.17%), 6 (12.82%) and 10 (10.13%) were the most frequent copy numbers for SSRs ≥18 bp. The longest SSR length in each unit type (from mono- to hexa- nucleotide repeats) was 25 bp (A/T), 52 bp (AG/CT), 51 bp (GAA/TTC and TGA/TCA), 32 bp (TATG/CATA and TACA/TGTA), 55 bp (ATTCC/GGAAT) and 48 bp (TGATGG/CCATCA).

PCR amplification and polymorphism of genic-SSRs
Using Primer3, 2,164 SSR primer pairs were detected in the 4,440 SSR-containing sequences (SSR ≥18 bp) and 300 SSR primer pairs were randomly selected and synthesized to validate their level of polymorphism. The four most frequent copy numbers for SSRs ≥15 bp were 3 (19.81%), 5 (18.13%), 8 (14.09%) and 9 (9.16%), while 3 (20.20%), 9 (16.17%), 6 (12.82%) and 10 (10.13%) were the most frequent copy numbers for SSRs ≥18 bp. The longest SSR length in each unit type (from mono- to hexa- nucleotide repeats) was 25 bp (A/T), 52 bp (AG/CT), 51 bp (GAA/TTC and TGA/TCA), 32 bp (TATG/CATA and TACA/TGTA), 55 bp (ATTCC/GGAAT) and 48 bp (TGATGG/CCATCA).

Table 1 Transcriptome statistics

| Contig length | Number | Percentage (%) | Uni-scaffold length | Number | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|--------|----------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|
| 100 ~ 200 bp  | 205,735| 60.02          | 100 ~ 200 bp       | 4,613  | 10.84          |
| 201 ~ 300 bp  | 70,767 | 20.65          | 201 ~ 300 bp       | 5,727  | 13.45          |
| 301 ~ 400 bp  | 26,685 | 7.79           | 301 ~ 400 bp       | 3,786  | 8.89           |
| 401 ~ 500 bp  | 14,143 | 4.13           | 401 ~ 500 bp       | 2,709  | 6.36           |
| 501 ~ 600 bp  | 8,174  | 2.38           | 501 ~ 600 bp       | 2,053  | 4.82           |
| 601 ~ 700 bp  | 5,052  | 1.47           | 601 ~ 700 bp       | 1,756  | 4.13           |
| 701 ~ 800 bp  | 3,336  | 0.97           | 701 ~ 800 bp       | 1,534  | 3.60           |
| 801 ~ 900 bp  | 2,332  | 0.68           | 801 ~ 900 bp       | 1,415  | 3.32           |
| 901 ~ 1000 bp | 1,514  | 0.44           | 901 ~ 1000 bp      | 1,343  | 3.16           |
| 1001 ~ 2000 bp| 4,567  | 1.33           | 1001 ~ 2000 bp     | 10,256 | 24.09          |
| 2001 ~ 3000 bp| 422    | 0.12           | 2001 ~ 3000 bp     | 4,616  | 10.84          |
| 3001 ~ 10 kbp | 49     | 0.01           | 3001 ~ 10 kbp      | 2,734  | 6.42           |
| >10 kbp       | 0      | 0.00           | >10 kbp            | 24     | 0.06           |
| Total Contigs | 342,776| 100.00         | Total Uni-scaffolds| 42,566 | 100.00         |
| Total Length (bp) | 82,262,551 |  | Total Length (bp) | 47,986,977 |
| N50 Length (bp) | 263   |  | N50 Length (bp) | 1,901  |
| Mean Length (bp) | 239   |  | Mean Length (bp) | 1,127  |

Further analysis indicated that the copy number of different repeat motifs in perfect SSRs sequences was distributed unevenly (Table 4). The copy number of different repeat motifs varied from 3 to 26, with the (AG/CT)n di-nucleotide repeats having the highest copy number. The four most frequent copy numbers for SSRs ≥15 bp were 3 (19.81%), 5 (18.13%), 8 (14.09%) and 9 (9.16%), while 3 (20.20%), 9 (16.17%), 6 (12.82%) and 10 (10.13%) were the most frequent copy numbers for SSRs ≥18 bp. The longest SSR length in each unit type (from mono- to hexa- nucleotide repeats) was 25 bp (A/T), 52 bp (AG/CT), 51 bp (GAA/TTC and TGA/TCA), 32 bp (TATG/CATA and TACA/TGTA), 55 bp (ATTCC/GGAAT) and 48 bp (TGATGG/CCATCA).

Phylogenetic analysis of the 24 cultivated sesame accessions
In order to evaluate their ability to assess molecular diversity and their potential for use in fingerprinting analysis, we calculated the PIC values of the above genic-SSR markers, based on the allelic variation exhibited by 32 polymorphic primer pairs in 24 cultivated accessions. PIC values ranged from 0.08 to 0.67, and had an average value of 0.34 (Additional file 1: Table S1), with primer HS233 giving the maximum PIC value of 0.67. Phylogenetic relationships between the cultivars were assessed by constructing a UPGMA dendrogram using similarity coefficients (Figure 3). At a similarity coefficient ≥0.75, the largest subgroup consisted of 15 accessions, comprising 7 Chinese-released cultivars, 5 Chinese local sesame accessions and 3 exotic sesame accessions. The M5 accession (Gonder-2) had the lowest similarity value of 0.49 and was clustered into a distant subgroup. The next most distant cultivars were M16 and M7, splitting into subgroups at similarity values of 0.66 and 0.64, respectively. Our results indicate that geographic sources of the accessions in this study do not correspond well with the genetic distances between accessions and as a result the genetic relationships among exotic, local germplasm and cultivars are not clear.
Genetic mapping
The analysis above indicated that 18 markers (6.52%) were polymorphic between the parents of our mapping population (M16 and M17). After screening the 96 F2 mapping population, 14 genic-SSR markers were distributed among 9 linkage groups (Figure 4).

Table 2 Repeat motif type distribution in ≥15 bp and ≥18 bp genic-SSRs

| Repeat motif type | SSRs ≥15 bp | SSRs ≥18 bp |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                  | Number      | Frequency (%) | Number | Frequency (%) |
| Perfect Mono-    | 129         | 1.99        | 21     | 0.57         |
|                  | 2,592       | 39.97       | 1,764  | 48.01        |
|                  | 1,845       | 28.45       | 770    | 20.96        |
|                  | 335         | 5.17        | 78     | 2.12         |
|                  | 652         | 10.05       | 109    | 2.97         |
|                  | 932         | 14.37       | 932    | 25.37        |
|                  | 6,485       | 100.00      | 3,674  | 100.00       |
| Imperfect Mono-  | 82          | 37.27       | 77     | 38.31        |
|                  | 137         | 62.27       | 123    | 61.19        |
|                  | 1           | 0.45        | 1      | 0.50         |
|                  | 220         | 100.00      | 201    | 100.00       |
| Compound Perfect |            |             |        |              |
| Mono-Mono-       | 35          | 14.29       | 22     | 9.78         |
|                  | 199         | 81.22       | 193    | 85.78        |
|                  | 4           | 1.63        | 4      | 1.78         |
|                  | 4           | 1.63        | 3      | 1.33         |
|                  | 2           | 0.82        | 2      | 0.89         |
|                  | 1           | 0.41        | 1      | 0.44         |
|                  | 245         | 100.00      | 225    | 100.00       |
| Imperfect Mono-Mono- | 12      | 3.21        | 12     | 3.53         |
|                  | 352         | 94.12       | 318    | 93.53        |
|                  | 6           | 1.60        | 6      | 1.76         |
|                  | 1           | 0.27        | 1      | 0.29         |
|                  | 1           | 0.27        | 1      | 0.29         |
|                  | 2           | 0.53        | 2      | 0.59         |
|                  | 374         | 100.00      | 340    | 100.00       |
| Total            | 7,324       | 4,440       | 4,440  |              |

Discussion
In order to identify useful SSR markers and obtain transcriptomic information on disease resistance and developmental processes, we sequenced the transcriptomes of 24 sesame samples and identified 2,164 genic-SSR primer pairs (SSRs ≥18 bp).
Genic-SSR markers are considered to have strong potential for genetic analysis and linkage map construction in crop species due to their specificity and high degree of conservation [16-21]. Although 120 EST-SSRs have previously been developed from 3,428 EST sequences and utilized in sesame genetic diversity analysis and mapping [8,10,22], polymorphic markers are few, and marker-assisted gene mapping for important sesame traits or biological processes such as disease resistance, sesame growth and development, and seed formation has thus not been widely implemented.

Genic-SSR distribution

Here, to accurately analyze the frequency of SSRs in the transcribed regions of the sesame genome, we compared the numbers and types of SSR motif sequences of SSRs

### Table 3 Number and frequency of six types of perfect SSR repeat motif in sesame

| SSR motif unit | Repeat motif number and frequency | Most abundant type |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Mono-          | SSR ≥15 bp: 2 (0.29%) SSR ≥18 bp: 1 (0.18%) | (A/T)n |
|                | Di-     | SSR ≥15 bp: 3 (0.44%) SSR ≥18 bp: 3 (0.54%) | (AG/CT)n |
| Tri-           | SSR ≥15 bp: 18 (2.62%) SSR ≥18 bp: 18 (3.23%) | (GAA/TTC)n |
| Tetra-         | SSR ≥15 bp: 50 (7.28%) SSR ≥18 bp: 33 (5.92%) | (ATAC/GTAT)n |
| Penta-         | SSR ≥15 bp: 184 (26.78%) SSR ≥18 bp: 72 (12.93%) | (AAAAG/CTTTT)n |
| Hexa-          | SSR ≥15 bp: 430 (62.59%) SSR ≥18 bp: 430 (77.20%) | (GAAAAA/TTTTTC)n |
| Total          | SSR ≥15 bp: 687 (100%) SSR ≥18 bp: 557 (100%) |

### Table 4 Frequency of different repeat motifs in perfect SSRs (≥15 bp and ≥18 bp)

| Number of Motif copies | Mono- | Di- | Tri- | Tetra- | Penta- | Hexa- | Total | Frequency (%) |
|------------------------|-------|-----|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------------|
| 2                      | 0     | 0   | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0     | 0.00 (0.00)  |
| 3                      | 0     | 0   | 0    | 0      | 543    | 742   | 1,285 (742)| 19.81 (20.20)|
| 4                      | 0     | 0   | 0    | 257    | 93     | 150   | 500 (243) | 7.71 (6.61)  |
| 5                      | 0     | 0   | 1,075| 59     | 10     | 32    | 1,176 (101)| 18.13 (2.75)|
| 6                      | 0     | 0   | 452  | 14     | 1      | 4     | 471    | 7.26 (12.82)|
| 7                      | 0     | 0   | 169  | 3      | 1      | 3     | 176    | 2.71 (4.79)  |
| 8                      | 0     | 828 | 81   | 2      | 2      | 1     | 914 (86) | 14.09 (2.34)|
| 9                      | 0     | 549 | 45   | 0      | 0      | 0     | 594    | 9.16 (16.17)|
| 10                     | 0     | 358 | 13   | 0      | 1      | 0     | 372    | 5.74 (10.13)|
| 11                     | 0     | 254 | 4    | 0      | 1      | 0     | 259    | 3.99 (7.05)  |
| 12                     | 0     | 178 | 2    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 180    | 2.78 (4.90) |
| 13                     | 0     | 103 | 1    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 104    | 1.60 (2.83) |
| 14                     | 0     | 70  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 70     | 1.08 (1.91) |
| 15                     | 0     | 51  | 50   | 0      | 0      | 0     | 101 (50)| 1.56 (1.36)|
| 16                     | 0     | 40  | 52   | 1      | 0      | 0     | 93 (53) | 1.43 (1.44)|
| 17                     | 0     | 17  | 28   | 2      | 0      | 0     | 47 (30) | 0.72 (0.82)|
| 18                     | 0     | 11  | 19   | 0      | 0      | 0     | 30     | 0.46 (0.82)|
| 19                     | 0     | 4   | 7    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 11     | 0.17 (0.30)|
| 20                     | 0     | 2   | 16   | 0      | 0      | 0     | 18     | 0.28 (0.49)|
| 21                     | 0     | 10  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 10     | 0.15 (0.27)|
| 22                     | 0     | 1   | 19   | 0      | 0      | 0     | 20     | 0.31 (0.54)|
| 23                     | 0     | 23  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 23     | 0.35 (0.63)|
| 24                     | 0     | 2   | 21   | 0      | 0      | 0     | 23     | 0.35 (0.63)|
| 25                     | 0     | 5   | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 6      | 0.09 (0.16)|
| 26                     | 0     | 2   | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 2      | 0.03 (0.05)|
| Total                  | 129   | 2,592| 1,845| 335    | 652    | 932   | 6,485  | 100.00     |

Frequency (%)

- Mono-: 1.99 (0.57)
- Di-: 39.97 (48.01)
- Tri-: 28.45 (20.96)
- Tetra-: 5.17 (2.12)
- Penta-: 10.05 (2.97)
- Hexa-: 14.37 (25.37)

Data for SSRs ≥18 bp is given in brackets.
≥15 bp and ≥18 bp. A total of 7,324 (17.21%) (SSRs ≥15 bp) and 4,440 SSRs (10.43%) (SSRs ≥18 bp) were identified in 42,566 uni-transcript sequences, with an average of one SSR per 6.55 kb and 10.81 kb, respectively. By the parameter of sequence length (Kb) per SSR marker, the distribution frequency of genic SSRs is both lower than that of previous EST-SSRs developed from EST sequences in sesame (8.68% (SSRs ≥18 bp), one EST-SSR per 4.99 kb) [8]. This frequency of occurrence of sesame genic-SSRs (SSRs ≥18 bp) is relatively higher than in other crops, including cotton, barley, wheat, maize, sorghum, rice and peanut [25-27]. However, here, as in previous studies on sesame and some Rosaceae species, the most abundant repeat motif type was the dinucleotide [8,28]. Hexa-nucleotide repeats were the second most abundant (25.37%), followed by tri-nucleotides (20.96%) in SSRs ≥18 bp. Moreover, of the hundreds of types of repeat motifs, the (AG/CT)n di-nucleotide motifs showed the highest frequency, in agreement with recent results in sesame and other species [8,27,29,30]. As in other dicot plants, such as Arabidopsis [29], soybean [23] and peanut [26], but different from some cereal species [27,31,32], the (GAA/TTC)n motif was the most abundant of the tri-nucleotide repeat motifs.

Distribution of repeat motif types
Of the perfect repeat motifs types, tri-nucleotide repeats have generally been observed to have the highest frequency in many crops, including cotton, barley, wheat, maize, sorghum, rice and peanut [25-27]. However, here, as in previous studies on sesame and some Rosaceae species, the most abundant repeat motif type was the di-nucleotide [8,28]. Hexa-nucleotide repeats were the second most abundant (25.37%), followed by tri-nucleotides (20.96%) in SSRs ≥18 bp. Moreover, of the hundreds of types of repeat motifs, the (AG/CT)n di-nucleotide motifs showed the highest frequency, in agreement with recent results in sesame and other species [8,27,29,30]. As in other dicot plants, such as Arabidopsis [29], soybean [23] and peanut [26], but different from some cereal species [27,31,32], the (GAA/TTC)n motif was the most abundant of the tri-nucleotide repeat motifs.

Figure 2 Polymorphism of the primer HS233 in 25 sesame accessions. 6% PAGE of 24 cultivar accessions and one wild species M: DNA marker; Lanes 1 ~ 25: Samples M1 ~ 25 (Additional file 2).

Figure 3 UPGMA dendrogram of the genetic relationships among 24 cultivated sesame accessions. The dendrogram was generated using the Jaccard similarity coefficient based on 32 polymorphic primer pairs.
Similar to wheat, sorghum and peanut [26,27], the GC/GC repeat was not found in any of the perfect and imperfect SSR categories in sesame.

**Polymorphic nature of the genic-SSR markers**

To determine the level of polymorphism among our set of new genic-SSR markers, we validated 300 primer pairs using 25 sesame accessions. 276 (92.0%) successfully yielded PCR amplicons, in line with previously reported ratios of 60–92.2% amplification [8,23,28,33–36]. 203 (73.55%) of the genic-SSRs that yielded amplifiable products in cultivated sesame also produced PCR amplicons in a wild sesame species. The ratio of polymorphic SSR was similar to that for EST-SSRs in other crops with a range of 40–89% [16,17,31,37,38].

Some reports indicated that the low polymorphism of SSR markers in sesame is likely due to its narrow genetic basis [7,8]. Dixit et al. (2005) found that only ten out of 50 SSR markers developed from a sesame DNA library were polymorphic in 16 sesame accessions [7]. Wei et al. (2008) developed 50 EST-SSR markers from the 3,328 sesame ESTs published in NCBI, and found that only 27 (61.4%) were polymorphic in the 36 sesame accessions tested (34 cultivated sesame accessions and 2 wild sesame accessions) [8]. In this study, a similar level of polymorphism was observed; only 32 (11.59%) genic-SSR markers were polymorphic in 24 cultivars, 18 (6.52%) were polymorphic in one mapping population, and 167 (60.51%) were polymorphic between the 24 accessions and a wild sesame accession. Furthermore, the level of polymorphism in sesame was also similar to other crops [21,26]. In wheat, no more than 6.25% of primers exhibit polymorphisms between the parents of any individual mapping population, although 81.25% of detected EST-SSRs have been reported to exhibit polymorphisms in 18 alien species [21]. In peanut, 26 (10.3%) EST-SSRs exhibited polymorphisms between 22 cultivated peanut accessions and 221 (88%) were polymorphic between 16 wild peanut species [26].

Our results indicate that large numbers of polymorphic SSR markers can be obtained when large volumes of transcript sequences or datasets are used, even though genetic diversity is restricted in sesame cultivars. Compared with other SSR detection methods, the de novo RNA sequencing approach used here is well-suited for mining and developing large numbers of
genic-SSRs in sesame, and can rapidly enrich the numbers of functional markers available to use in marker-assisted gene selection and QTL analysis.

Phylogenetic analysis of 24 cultivated sesame accessions
Our dendrogram, based on genetic similarity results, did not divide our sesame accessions into clear groupings. The distribution of these sesame accessions was not based on their geographical sources, in agreement with some previous reports [2-5]. The average PIC value of genic-SSRs obtained here was 0.34, similar to that obtained in our previous study [8]. Most of the varieties released in China were clustered in the same subgroup in the dendrogram, suggesting the limited genetic diversity and narrow basis of Chinese sesame cultivars. To enlarge the genetic basis, more exotic accessions should be used in future sesame breeding programs. One possibility would be to introduce Gonder-2 (M5, Ethiopia), the outlying accession in our dendrogram, as a parent for sesame breeding or other genetic research.

Utilization of genic-SSR markers in genetic mapping
We anchored 14 of our newly developed genic-SSR markers in the sesame genetic map (Figure 4), nearly twice the number of those anchored in recent sesame genetic map study [10]. Using these newly designed genic-SSRs, the density of SSR markers in the sesame genetic map will greatly increase in the near future. In addition, putative functions of 11 of the 14 anchored genic-SSRs were identified with BLASTX. These genic-SSRs will be very valuable in studies of gene mapping, comparative genome analysis and marker-assisted selection.

Conclusions
2,164 genic-SSR markers were identified from 42,566 uni-scaffolds in a comprehensive transcriptome study. 276 of the 300 primer pairs chosen for validation successfully yielded PCR amplicons in 24 cultivated sesame accessions. This set of genic-SSR markers will be valuable for genetic research in sesame on aspects such as growth and development processes or biotic stress traits, since our transcriptome data was derived from different organs, developmental stages, and stress treatments.

Methods
Plant materials
The 24 samples analyzed in RNA-seq experiments (Additional file 2: Table S2), included four accessions of cultivated sesame (Sesamum indicum L., 2n = 26), one wild species ( Sesamum radiatum Schum. & Thonn., 2n = 64) and their distant hybrid progeny. Samples were grown under normal conditions in a greenhouse at 25°C with 14 h light per day, or in an experimental field at Yuanyang Experimental station, HAAS. To evaluate biotic stress, seedlings were inoculated with a 10⁶/mL conidiospore suspension of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. sesami (No. HSFO 09030) for 0, 6, 24 or 48 h at 25°C in a greenhouse before harvesting. Control plants were inoculated with sterilized water. Plant parts, including the whole seedling, developing seeds (harvested at different days after flowering (DAF)), germinated seeds, and developing flowers (1-8 mm size), were harvested, immersed in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C before RNA extraction.

The 24 cultivated accessions and one wild species used (Additional file 3: Table S3) to validate the polymorphic nature of genic-SSR candidate markers were samples from the sesame germplasm collection at the Henan Sesame Center, HAAS, Zhengzhou, China. The F₂ segregating population used to validate the 300 sesame genic-SSR marker candidates consisted of 96 lines and was the same as that used in the construction of the first sesame genetic map [10].

RNA isolation and library preparation
Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and total mRNA was then purified using oligo (dT) magnetic beads. cDNA libraries were prepared according to Illumina sequencing sample preparation protocols. In total, 24 paired-end cDNA libraries were constructed with an insert size ranging from 280 bp to 320 bp.

Illumina sequencing and de novo transcriptome assembly
cDNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina sequencing platform (GAIU) using a 75 bp or 100 bp paired-end approach. Integrated high-quality paired-end Illumina reads (>Q20) were assembled using the de novo assembler Velvet and Oases [39]. After all adaptor sequences, empty reads and low quality sequences were removed from the raw reads, the resultant contigs were built into uni-scaffolds based on paired-end information using TGI Clustering (TGICL) tools [40].

SSR detection and development of primer pairs
To detect SSR markers, 42,566 uni-transcript sequences containing 2-6 repeat motifs were screened using SSRIT [41], and mono-nucleotide SSRs were identified using its EditPlus function. The SSR motif detection criterion was a minimum length of either 15 or 18 bases. Primers for the ≥18 bp genic-SSRs in microsatellite sequences were designed with Primer3 [42], based on the following core criteria: a G/C content between 40% and 70%, an annealing temperature between 54°C and 63°C, a minimum product length of 100 bp, and a primer length of 18–24 nucleotides. All candidate SSR primer pairs were synthesized by BGI (Shenzhen, China). Functional analysis of
the transcriptome sequences was carried out with blastn and blastx (NCBI).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and electrophoresis
To validate the SSR markers, genomic DNA was extracted from 25 accessions as described by Paterson et al. [43]. DNA amplification was performed in a 10 μL reaction mixture containing 1× Buffer, 2.0 mmol/L MgCl2, 0.1 mmol/L dNTPs, 1 μmol/L of each primer, 0.5 U Taq polymerase, and 80 ng template DNA. SSR-PCR amplification was performed on a PTC-225 machine (MJ Research, MA, USA) using the following profile: 1 cycle of 3 min at 94°C, 31 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 50 s at 56–63°C, 1 min at 72°C and a final cycle of 6 min at 72°C. Amplicon electrophoresis was performed as described by Zhang et al. [44].

SSR genetic similarity analysis and mapping
To estimate the allelic variation of SSRs in the 25 accessions, the polymorphism information content (PIC) of each SSR primer was calculated as following: $PIC = \frac{\sum n}{i=1} P_i^2$, where $P_i$ is the frequency of the $i^{th}$ allele for a given SSR marker, and $n$ is the total number of alleles detected for that SSR marker [45]. Coefficients of genetic similarity for the 24 cultivated accessions used in this study were calculated using the SIMQUAL program of NTSYS-pc Version 2.10 [46]. A neighbor-joining dendrogram was constructed based on the genetic similarity matrix with the SHAN clustering program [33,47] of NTSYS-pc. We used 18 of our new polymorphic markers to screen the 96 F2 segregation population, 14 of which were integrated into the first sesame genetic linkage map using JoinMap ver. 3.0 program [48].
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