Prevalence of Induced Abortion and its Associated Factors among Female Students of Health Science in South West Ethiopia
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Abstract:

Background:
Globally, approximately 180-200 million pregnancies occur each year, about 75 million are unwanted pregnancies. The majority of unwanted pregnancies end in induced abortions each year. Combating abortion, abortion-related morbidity and mortality by preventing unwanted pregnancy has a great role in decreasing maternal mortality. Induced abortions, specifically unsafe abortion, are an important public health concern in developing countries. Nursing professionals encounter cases in their everyday activities; thus, findings from this study have paramount importance for nurses to be aware of the magnitude problem, which in turn help them to make an informed decision in their activities.

Objective:
To assess prevalence induced abortion and its associated factors among Health Science Students in South West Ethiopia.

Methods:
A facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted on female students. A systematic random sampling method was used to select the study participants. Data were collected using a structured self-administered questionnaire. Data were entered into Epidata manager version 3.1, and analyzed using SPSS version 21 statistical software for windows for analysis. Logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with induced abortion.

Results:
A total of 420 randomly selected female students were involved in the study. The prevalence of induced abortion was 18.8%. The factors associated with induced abortion among college students were urban residents (AOR = 3.91, 95%CI: 1.85-8.27), having poor knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases (AOR = 3.21, 95%CI: 1.62-6.38), and having a father with no formal education (AOR = 4.20, 95%CI: 1.87-9.42).

Conclusion:
The prevalence of induced abortion among the College of health science female students was found remarkable and we can conclude that induced abortion is one of public health importance among this population. Therefore, Mizan-Tepi University, College of health science, and Town health offices have to collaborate to decrease unwanted pregnancy to prevent induced abortion. Health education regarding contraceptive use, the consequence of induced abortion, and youth friendly services have to be delivered for students.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Every woman has a recognized human right to decide freely and responsibly without coercion and violence, the number, spacing, and timing of their children and to have the information and means to do so, and the right to attain the highest standard of sexual and reproductive health [1]. Access to modern family planning is essential for the realization of these rights. Almost 25% of all pregnancies end in induced abortion, which is a configuration of safe and unsafe abortion.
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2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1. Study Design

A facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted among health science college female students.

2.2. Populations

The source population was all female students of the college during the study period. While the study population was randomly selected, female students. All regular female students who were attending Health Science College were included. Students who were ill and unable to respond were excluded.

2.3. Sample Size Determination and Sampling Techniques

The sample size was determined using a single population proportion formula. With the input of p expected proportion induced abortion among these populations (50%) to get the maximum sample size, precision level (5%), 95% confidence interval, and 10% for non-response compensation. The computed sample size was 423. i.e. \( n=\left(\frac{z_{\alpha/2}^2p(1-p)}{d^2}\right) \). A total of 423 regular female students from 6 departments of college were selected. The total sample size was proportionately allocated to each department. A simple random sampling technique was used to select study participants based on their registration.

2.4. Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected using a structured self-administered questionnaire. It was adapted from previously conducted studies [11 – 13]. The English questionnaire was adapted and translated into the local language (Amharic) and retranslated back into English for checking its consistency by an independent translator. To increase the quality of the data, the questionnaire was pre-tested before the actual data collection.

2.5. Data Processing and Analysis

Data collected were entered into Epi Data version 3.1, cleaned, and analyzed using SPSS version 21 software for windows. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to look for the association between outcome and independent variables (age, place of origin, father education, mother education) and dependent variables (induced abortion). Multivariable logistic regression analysis was done to control for potential confounding factors and to identify the most important determinate variables. Independent variables with a p-value of less than 0.25 in the bivariate logistic regression were included in multivariable logistic regression. Odds ratio (OR) and the respective 95% confidence intervals were used to assess the statistical significance of associations among the variables. Multi-collinearity between the exposure variables was checked. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was performed to check model fitness.

2.6. Study Variables

2.6.1. Dependent Variable

Prevalence/magnitude of induced abortion.

2.6.2. Independent Variables

- Sexually active: Having a previous history of vaginal sexual intercourse.
- The practice of induced abortion: Any previous history of induced abortion in the last one year before the study.

2.7. Operational Definitions

- Sexually active: Having a previous history of vaginal sexual intercourse.
- The practice of induced abortion: Any previous history of induced abortion in the last one year before the study.
• **Knowledge of STI**: Knowledge about STI was assessed by asking to mention some STI, methods of transmission, symptoms, and treatment options. Knowledge of STI was categorized as good or poor based on when participants answered more than half of the knowledge above question and less than half, respectively.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics

A total of 420 women were interviewed, making a response rate of 99.3%. The mean age of the respondents was 19.99 (SD±2.87) years. About 203 (48.3%) of the respondents were protestants by religion. About 184 (43.3%) respondents’ mothers did not attend education, while 136 (32.4%) of respondents’ fathers did not attend formal education. The majority of respondents 284 (67.6%) were living with their families. About 295 (70.2%) of students came from urban areas (Table 1).

3.2. Reproductive Characteristics of Respondents

Among respondents, about 347 (82.6%) of them have a history of sexual intercourse. The mean age at initiation of sexual intercourse was 17.74 (SD±1.80) years. About 84 (20.1%) respondents had a history of childbirth and about 103 (24.5) had a history of unwanted pregnancy (Table 2).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of students and parents of college of health science, July 2018.

| Variables                  | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| **Age group**              |           |         |
| Less than 20 years         | 230       | 54.8    |
| Above 20 years             | 190       | 45.2    |
| **Religion**               |           |         |
| Protestant                 | 203       | 48.3    |
| Orthodox                   | 150       | 35.7    |
| Muslim                     | 51        | 12.1    |
| Catholic                   | 16        | 3.9     |
| **Live with whom**         |           |         |
| Family                     | 284       | 67.6    |
| Husband                    | 54        | 12.9    |
| Alone                      | 48        | 11.5    |
| Friend                     | 34        | 8.1     |
| **Place of origin**        |           |         |
| Urban                      | 295       | 70.2    |
| Rural                      | 125       | 29.1    |
| **Father educational status** |     |         |
| No education               | 136       | 32.4    |
| Primary                    | 92        | 21.9    |
| Secondary                  | 76        | 18.1    |
| Secondary and above        | 116       | 27.6    |
| **Mother educational status** |     |         |
| No education               | 182       | 43.3    |
| Primary                    | 124       | 29.5    |
| Secondary                  | 55        | 13.1    |
| Secondary and above        | 59        | 14      |
| **Occupation of mothers**  |           |         |
| Housewife                  | 274       | 65.2    |
| Private                    | 81        | 19.3    |
| Government employee        | 17        | 11.2    |
| Students                   | 18        | 4.3     |
| **Occupation of father**   |           |         |
| Farmer                     | 226       | 53.8    |
| Government employee        | 117       | 27.8    |
| Private                    | 77        | 18.4    |

Table 2. Reproductive characteristics of students of college of health science July 2018.

| Variable                          | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| **Age of first sexual intercourse** |           |         |
| Less than 18                       | 143       | 41.2    |
| Above 18                           | 204       | 58.8    |
| **History unwanted pregnancy**    |           |         |
| Yes                                | 103       | 24.5    |
| No                                 | 317       | 75.5    |
| **History of childbirth**         |           |         |
| Yes                                | 84        | 20      |
| No                                 | 336       | 80      |
3.3. Prevalence of Induced Abortion

About 79 (18.8%) students had a history of induced abortion. The most common place of abortion was a private clinic. The place where these abortions were conducted were Private Clinic 41(51.9), Health Center 22(27.9) Hospital 9(11.4), and traditional practitioners 7(8.8%). The most common reason pregnancy occurrence that resulted in abortion was a contraceptive fail (Fig. 1).

3.4. Factors Associated with Induced Abortion

Bivariate analysis was done for potentially expected associated factors based on the literature review. As a result, place of origin, age class, age at sexual intercourse, father’s educational status, mother’s educational status, and knowledge about STD were found to have P-values < 0.25. In multivariable logistic regression, 7 variables were entered. Multicollinearity between independent variables in the model was checked, and the variance inflation factor (VIF) was found acceptable (less than 2). The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test indicated (P = 0.457) that the model was good enough to fit the data well. Finally, the predictors of induced abortion among college students were from urban residence [AOR = 3.91, 95%CI: 1.85-8.27], having insufficient knowledge about sexually transmitted diseases (STD) [AOR = 3.21, 95%CI: 1.62-6.38] and having a father with no formal education [AOR = 4.20, 95%CI: 1.87-9.42] (Table 3).

![Fig. (1). Reason for abortion among college students of College of health science July 2018.](image-url)
**4. DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to assess the prevalence and associated factors of induced abortion among Mizan Aman health science college female students. As a result, the magnitude of induced abortion was found to be 18.8%. The magnitude of induced abortion in this study is a little lower than the global figure of induced abortion, which was 25% of abortion end in induced abortion [2]. This might be due to the fact that this study was focused on college students while the global figure of induced abortion includes all age groups. It was higher than 4.8% in Nepal [14], 5.9% of Wachamo university students, Ethiopia [11] Wolayita Sodo University Students [15], and a study conducted in Ethiopia through the demographic health survey which was 28 per 1,000 women [16]. This figure is in line with the prevalence of a study conducted in Northern Ethiopia 14% [17] Cambodia 21.4% [18] and Ghana 21% [19]. But lower than the study conducted in Addis Ababa 39.6% [12], in the Ilu-Ababor Zone 42.9% [13], in the Arsi Zone, Central Ethiopia 42.9% [20] and Gurage zone 51.8% [21].

In this study, students who had poor knowledge about STD were also found to be three times more likely to practice induced abortion than those who had good knowledge about it. This might be due to those students who have poor knowledge about STD may not use condoms to protect themselves from STD and prone students to unwanted pregnancy and induce abortion.

Students who were from urban origin were about four times more likely to practice induced abortion when compared with students from rural areas. This might be because the students from urban areas are exposed to different factors that make them risky to sexual behaviors that will end in unwanted pregnancy and finally induced abortion. This finding was supported by a study in Hohoe, Ghana [19].

Those students whose fathers with no formal education were four times more likely to practice induced abortion compared to those students whose fathers had formal education. This might be because uneducated fathers are less likely to discuss basic sexual and reproductive health issues with their children. This makes them lack sexual knowledge that results in unwanted pregnancy and induced abortion. It is explained by the study that students who have been communicated about sexual and reproductive health with their parents are less likely to participate in risky sexual behaviors that may result in unwanted pregnancy and abortion [22].

The most common reason for induced abortion among students was a contraceptive failure (32.9%), followed by the decision of a partner (26.6%), to continue education (24.1%), and lack of money (16.5%). These reasons have also been raised as a reason for inducing abortion in Nigeria [23] and Wachamo University students [11].

**5. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY**

Since this study is done based on the participants responses, the sensitive nature of the issue can lead to social desirability bias; as a result, it may underestimate the prevalence of induced abortion. Also, the study was conducted at the single center and as a result it is difficult to generalize.

**CONCLUSION**

The prevalence of induced abortion among female students of health Science College was found remarkable, and we can conclude that induced abortion is one of public health importance among these populations. The predictors of induced abortion among college students were from urban population, having low knowledge about STD, and having a father with no formal education. Induced abortions, specifically unsafe abortion, is an important public health in developing countries. Nursing professionals encounter the cases in their everyday activities; thus, findings from this study have paramount importance for the nurses to be aware of the magnitude problem, which in turn help them to make an informed decision in their activities.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the finding of the study Health Science College, local and international NGOs, and health workers as a whole should collaborate to create awareness on how to avoid unwanted pregnancy to prevent induced abortion. Health education regarding contraceptive use, the consequence of induced abortion, and youth friendly services have to be delivered for students.
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