Novel hematological biomarkers predict survival in renal cell carcinoma patients treated with nephrectomy
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Abstract

Introduction: The association between novel blood-based inflammatory indices and patient survival has been reported with reference to various cancers. The aim of this study was to investigate the prognostic value of preoperative platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), derived neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (dNLR) and lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR) in patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC) treated with nephrectomy.

Material and methods: From 2003 to 2012, 455 patients who underwent partial or radical nephrectomy for RCC were enrolled in the study. The study endpoints were overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS).

Results: The median follow-up was 70 months. Groups of patients with high levels of PLR, NLR and dNLR and a low level of LMR more often underwent radical nephrectomy, had a higher cancer stage in the TNM classification, and were more frequently diagnosed with tumor necrosis in histopathological examination. Both cancer-specific mortality and overall mortality were significantly higher in patients with high PLR, NLR and dNLR and low LMR.

Multivariate analysis of CSS, adjusted for standard clinicopathological factors, identified only dNLR ($p = 0.006$) as an independent prognostic factor. PLR ($p = 0.0002$), dNLR ($p = 0.0003$) and NLR ($p = 0.002$), but not LMR ($p = 0.1$), achieved prognostic significance in multivariable analysis regarding OS.

Conclusions: Only dNLR was an independent prognostic factor for CSS and OS. Nevertheless, our study indicates that all examined complete blood count-based biomarkers may be useful tools in managing RCC patients treated with a surgical approach.
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Introduction

It is estimated that kidney cancer will be the 6th most frequently diagnosed malignancy amongst men and the 10th amongst women in 2017 in the United States [1]. Renal cell carcinoma (RCC), as the most common kidney cancer, comprises approximately 90% of all renal neoplasms [2]. In recent years the world incidence of RCC has increased, which might be...
associated with rising prevalence of well-known and verified risk factors such as tobacco smoking, obesity and hypertension [3, 4]. Despite the unquestionable progress that has been made in diagnosis and treatment of RCC, the 5-year survival still remains unsatisfactory [5]. The surgical approach regarding partial (PN) and radical (RN) nephrectomy should be a first-choice treatment in RCC patients [5].

Nowadays, rapidly growing evidence confirms that inflammation plays a vital role at every stage of tumor development: from initiation to metastasis [6]. Renal cell cancer, being one of the well-documented inflammation-related neoplasms, modulates the host immune system for its growth and metastasis [7, 8]. Considering the number and the heterogeneity of RCC prognostic factors and the interactions between renal cell carcinoma and immune cells, searching for widely available and reliable inflammatory biomarkers seems essential [7, 9]. Up to now, numerous studies have reported that some non-specific indicators derived from complete blood count (CBC) give added prognostic value in the assessment of various diseases, including renal cell carcinoma [10–16]. Amongst the most promising indices that are based on absolute values of circulating inflammatory cells, platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), derived neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (dNLR) and lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR) are being particularly deeply investigated. To date, only a few studies assessing the prognostic value of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in patients diagnosed with RCC and treated with radical (RN) or partial (PN) nephrectomy have been published [17–20].

Therefore, the aim of our study was to establish the prognostic value of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in a relatively large cohort of unselected surgically treated RCC subjects.

Material and methods

We retrospectively investigated data from 571 patients treated with radical or partial nephrectomy between 2003 and 2012 in a single tertiary academic centre in Poland. Only patients with histopathologically confirmed renal cell carcinoma were enrolled in this research. Study subjects with non-RCC kidney neoplasm (n = 69), other solid tumors (n = 7), hematological diseases (n = 8), severe inflammatory conditions (n = 31) and those who died due to perioperative complications (n = 1) were excluded. The final cohort consisted of 455 patients. Data regarding sex, age, date of surgery, comorbidities, operative method (partial vs. radical) and cancer characteristics were obtained from medical records. PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR were obtained from preoperative CBCs, which were performed at a median of 3 (min.: 1; max.: 13) days prior to nephrectomy. Following the study of Proctor et al. dNLR was calculated as neutrophil count to (white cell count minus neutrophil count) [21].

Due to the change in tumor staging system during the observational period, TNM classification was assessed in compliance with the American Joint Committee on Cancer, 7th edition (2010) [22]. Tumor grading was performed according to the Fuhrman system [23]. The primary study end-point was cancer-specific survival (CSS), defined as time (months) from surgical intervention to cancer-related death or the date of the end of follow-up, which was May 15, 2016. The secondary study end-point was overall survival (OS), regarded as time from surgery to death or the date of the end of follow-up. We obtained mortality data from the Centre for Document Personalization of the Polish Ministry of Interior and Administration, which stores, among other data, the exact date of death of every Polish citizen since the mid-1980s. Cause of death was obtained from the Polish National Cancer Registry and the National Health Fund by meticulous analysis of received patients’ data, which included the last hospitalization records, main diagnosis of the underlying disease, concomitant illnesses, and direct and indirect cause of death. Furthermore, we made an attempt to contact the relatives of dead patients with a 61% success rate and complete congruence with data received from the aforementioned institutions. Overall, complete follow-up data were available for 443 (97.36%) patients finally included in this study. The local Ethics Committee approved the study in 2016 under the number KNW/0022/KB/91/16.

Statistical analysis

The normality of continuous variable distribution was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Continuous variables were not distributed normally and are presented as median (interquartile range). Dichotomous variables are presented as percentages. Optimal cut-off values of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR for predicting CSS and OS were determined using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Due to the fact that there are no generally established normal ranges of the inflammatory indices’ values, we divided the patients into groups with low and high PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR according to optimal cut-off points. High and low PLR, NLR and dNLR were regarded as higher than or equal to and lower than optimal thresholds, respectively. Low and high LMR was defined as lower than or equal to and higher than optimal cut-offs, respectively. To test the differences between groups, the Mann-Whitney U or the Kruskal-Wallis and post hoc Dunn tests were used for continu-
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Table I. Patients’ baseline characteristics, pathological findings and long-term outcomes

| Parameter                             | Value                  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sex (male/female)                     | 53.4%/46.6%            |
| Age [years]                           | 62.0 (54.0–70.0)       |
| BMI [kg/m²]                           | 27.2 (24.6–30.4)       |
| Nephrectomy (partial/radical)         | 31.9%/68.1%            |
| Histology:                            |                        |
| Clear cell                            | 84.2%                  |
| Chromophobe                           | 8.7%                   |
| Papillary                             | 5.0%                   |
| Cystic                                | 1.8%                   |
| Undifferentiated                      | 0.2%                   |
| Sarcomatoid feature (present/absent)  | 3.4%/96.6%             |
| pT stage:                             |                        |
| pT1                                   | 63.0%                  |
| pT2                                   | 12.0%                  |
| pT3                                   | 24.0%                  |
| pT4                                   | 1.0%                   |
| N stage:                              |                        |
| N0                                    | 93.6%                  |
| N1                                    | 6.4%                   |
| M stage:                              |                        |
| M0                                    | 93.8%                  |
| M1                                    | 6.2%                   |
| Tumor necrosis (present/absent)       | 17.1%/82.9%            |
| Tumor size [mm]                       | 50.0 (35.0–70.0)       |
| Hemoglobin [g/dl]                     | 13.8 (12.7–14.8)       |
| PLR                                   | 126.5 (96.4–170.8)     |
| NLR                                   | 2.1 (1.6–2.9)          |
| dNLR                                  | 1.5 (1.1–2.0)          |
| LMR                                   | 4.3 (3.1–5.7)          |
| Overall mortality                     | 40%                    |
| Cancer-specific mortality             | 21%                    |

Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range). Dichotomous variables are presented as percentages. BMI – body mass index, PLR – platelet-lymphocyte ratio, NLR – neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio, dNLR – derived neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio, LMR – lymphocyte-monocyte ratio.

Cox proportional hazards regression model was applied to perform univariate and multivariate analysis. PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR were included in the Cox proportional hazard regression analysis as continuous variables. Variables that reached a p-value lower than 0.05 in the univariate analysis were entered into the multivariable analysis. A Bonferroni corrected p-value < 0.0125 (0.05/4) was considered as the threshold of statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 12 software with the Medical Bundle (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA).

Results

Baseline demographic and clinical patients characteristics are summarized in Table I. Median follow-up was 70 months. During the follow-up period 179 (40%) patients died from any cause and among them 91 patients (21% of all patients) died from RCC-related causes.

The distribution of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR values in the whole group of patients is presented in Figure 1. Optimal cut-off values of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in predicting CSS were 176.32, 2.45, 1.43, and 3.25, respectively. Regarding OS, optimal cut-off values were 168.46 for PLR, 2.14 for NLR, 1.52 for dNLR, and 3.75 for LMR. The ROC curves for the aforementioned biomarkers in prediction of CSS and OS are depicted in Figures 2 and 3, respectively.

Patients with high values of PLR and low values of LMR were older. There were no significant differences regarding age among patients with high and low values of NLR and dNLR. In addition, groups of patients with high levels of PLR, NLR, dNLR and low level of LMR more often underwent radical nephrectomy, had higher cancer stage in TNM classification and were more frequently diagnosed with tumor necrosis in histopathological examination (Table II). Both cancer-specific mortality and overall mortality were significantly higher in patients with high PLR, NLR, dNLR and low LMR (Table II, Figures 4 and 5). Study subjects who died from cancer had significantly higher values of PLR, NLR and dNLR and lower values of LMR, when compared to patients who survived or died from cancer-unrelated causes (Table III).

In the univariate Cox regression model, all analyzed measurements were significantly associated with CSS and OS (Tables IV and V). After adjusting for clinical and histopathological covariates, only dNLR met the Bonferroni-corrected threshold of significance in predicting both CSS and OS. PLR and NLR achieved independent predictor status only for OS (Tables IV and V).

Discussion

A growing body of evidence supports the theory that new pre-treatment blood-based indica-
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Figure 1. Distribution of PLR (A), NLR (B), dNLR (C) and LMR (D) values in the whole group of patients

Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in predicting CCS

Figure 3. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in predicting OS
Table II. Baseline characteristics, pathological findings and outcomes of patients with high and low values of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR

| Parameter                      | PLR  | NLR  | dNLR | LMR  |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Sex (male)                    | 53.8%| 53.0%| 0.89 | 1.43 |
| Age [years]                   | 61.0 | 65.5 | 0.01 | 0.44 |
| Radical nephrectomy           | 63.6%| 65.2%| 0.01 | 0.3  |
| pT ≥ 3                        | 13.7%| 12.6%| 0.001| 0.001|
| N1                            | 4.4% | 3.16%| 0.001| 0.001|
| M1                            | 2.9% | 1.4% | 0.001| 0.001|
| Sarcomatoid feature           | 1.2% | 1.5% | 0.002| 0.001|
| Tumor necrosis                | 9.8% | 8.5% | 0.001| 0.001|
| Tumor size [mm]               | 50.0 | 48.0 | 0.001| 0.001|
| Cancer-specific mortality     | 13.7%| 10.4%| 0.001| 0.001|
| Overall mortality             | 31.2%| 27.5%| 0.001| 0.001|

*p value < 0.05

Pathophysiology

Since Rudolf Virchow, who in 1863 first argued that there is a link between inflammation and malignancy [6, 27, 28], numerous studies have confirmed his hypothesis. In 1990, his hypothesis was expanded to include the role of specific types of immune cells in tumour initiation, growth and metastasis [29–32]. Lymphocytes, whose absolute count is a common component of CBC-derived biomarkers, are the basic line of defense against tumor cells. Cancer-induced lymphocytopenia may be a result of excessive expression of proapoptotic ligands, such as the Fas ligand, transforming growth factor-β or programmed death-ligand 1 produced by cancer cells [29, 30, 31]. Also, primary reduced lymphocyte number might be responsible for the impaired immune response to developing cancer cells [28, 29, 31]. Thus, a neoplastic process, rather than factors specific to a neoplastic process, may predispose to higher risk of death, which may be associated with increased risk of death, but not with increased risk of mortality. This may indicate that PLR and NLR are biomarkers of a generally impaired immune response, which may predispose to higher risk of death, rather than factors specific to a neoplastic process. Pathophysiology plays a key role in understanding and managing renal cell carcinoma, which is a particularly unpredictable tumor [9, 11]. Thus far, data regarding the prognostic value of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in RCC patients treated with nephrectomy are sparse and inconclusive. Most of the previously conducted studies focused on the prognostic value of one or two indicators. Apart from one study, conducted by Gu et al. who analyzed the association between all four inflammatory blood indicators and OS in 103 sarcomatoid RCC patients, no study to date has evaluated them simultaneously in one cohort of renal cell carcinoma patients [24]. Moreover, the study conducted by Gu et al. was not multicenter, nor was their study powered to detect an independent prognostic factor in our patient population [24]. More recent studies have focused on the prognostic value of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in RCC patients treated with nephrectomy. However, these studies were not powered to detect an independent prognostic factor in our patient population [24].
Figure 4. Cancer-specific survival in patients with low and high values of PLR (A), NLR (B), dNLR (C) and LMR (D) – Kaplan-Meier curves.

Figure 5. Overall survival in patients with low and high values of PLR (A), NLR (B), dNLR (C) and LMR (D) – Kaplan-Meier curves.
It has been proven that thrombocytes, whose number is a component of PLR, may be elevated during neoplastic diseases [30]. An increase in absolute platelet number might be linked to tumor cell interleukin-1 or interleukin-6 secretion, which stimulates megakaryocyte proliferation in bone marrow [30]. Thrombocytes’ pro-metastatic role is well established as they secrete numerous factors that facilitate the penetration of cancer cells through blood vessels and induce angiogenesis in metastatic foci [30]. In addition, in kidney cancer patients platelet activation markers, such as P-selectin, are increased, which reflects an enhanced tumor cell-platelet interaction [34]. Circulating monocytes play a pivotal role in host-cancer interplay, as they can differentiate into tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [31]. The TAMs, which reside in the tumor microenvironment, secrete vascular endothelial growth factor, epidermal growth factor, fibroblast...
growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and transforming growth factor β, which stimulate angiogenesis, migration, and infiltration, as well as the phenotypic polarization of different immune cells [31, 35–37]. On top of that, according to Komohara et al., increased concentration of TAMs is associated with worse prognosis in kidney cancer patients [38]. Previously, the role of neutrophils was diminished, mainly due to their short lifespan in peripheral blood [32]. Recent studies indicate that neutrophils may live up to 17 h in the tumor microenvironment, stimulating tumor growth [32]. Furthermore, it is believed that cancer cells – through the interleukin-17-granulocyte colony-stimulating factor axis – induce the release of immature neutrophils, which might have protumorigenic functions [32].

Platelet-lymphocyte ratio

To our knowledge, only a few studies have appraised the value of PLR in RCC patients undergoing kidney resection [11, 24, 39]. Furthermore, the data are contradictory. Lucca et al. considered the association between PLR and disease-free survival (DFS) of clear cell RCC patients treated with nephrectomy [39]. Categorized, but not continuous PLR was an independent prognostic factor of DFS in multivariable analysis. As mentioned, our analyses have shown that continuous PLR is an independent predictor of OS, but not CSS. However, in a study conducted by Chen et al., categorized PLR did not reach the level of statistical significance for either OS or CSS in multivariable analysis [40].

Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio

NLR is one of the best known and first described CBC-derived measurements [12]. The prognostic value of NLR in predicting OS has been confirmed in numerous studies concerning renal cell carcinoma. In a large-scale study of 678 non-metastatic European RCC patients, NLR was independently associated with OS, but not CSS, which is similar to our results [41]. On the other hand, a study carried out by Viers et al. indicated contradictory results by revealing an increased risk of cancer-specific mortality in patients with a higher pretreatment NLR level [17].

Derived neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio

In 2012, Proctor et al. introduced a new inflammatory biomarker – dNLR [21]. Due to greater availability and a similar prognostic value in cancer patients, it has been proposed as an alternative biomarker to the well-known NLR [21]. Our results confirm that – out of all analyzed blood-based measurements – only dNLR reached prognostic significance for RCC-specific survival. This is in line with the results of a study by Dalpiaz et al., who first established the prognostic value of dNLR ≥ 2 in predicting CSS in patients with non-metastatic clear cell RCC [42].

Lymphocyte-monocyte ratio

Compared with other inflammatory measurements, LMR has been shown to be inversely associated with cancer patients’ outcomes [43]. Hutterer et al. examined the influence of low LMR on CSS, OS and metastasis-free survival (MFS) in clear cell RCC patients [43]. Although they reported the association between lower LMR values and CSS, they did not find a similar relationship between OS and MFS. In our study LMR failed to achieve an independent predictor status for CSS and OS in the multivariable model.

Study limitations

Like every study, our research has a few limitations. To begin with, it is a single-center, retrospective study encompassing only Caucasian patients. In spite of the fact that we excluded patients with recorded severe inflammatory comorbidities, we cannot be certain that there were no subjects with undiagnosed conditions. Furthermore, patients were operated on by multiple surgeons throughout a long period of time. Also, we were not able to obtain data concerning postoperative therapy, which may affect patients’ outcomes. Despite these limitations, we present the first study that simultaneously assesses the prognostic value of PLR, NLR, dNLR and LMR in RCC patients treated with nephrectomy. We suggest that further, multi-center and prospective studies are required to confirm our findings.

In conclusion, we believe that our study is the first to simultaneously evaluate preoperative PLR, dNLR, NLR and LMR in prognosis of CSS and OS in RCC patients who were treated with nephrectomy. As far as we are concerned, the presented results deserve particular consideration and special attention, because widely investigated PLR, NLR and LMR did not achieve independent predictor status for CSS in RCC patients. Interestingly, dNLR, the value of which had been barely examined, was the only independent variable in the multivariate analysis of OS and CSS. This is also the first study that confirms the prognostic value of PLR regarding OS in a large cohort of RCC study subjects treated with a surgical approach. Our data reveal that noninvasive and simple inflammatory biomarkers may be an additional tool in managing RCC patients. Routinely performed pretreatment CBC testing, in particular platelet, neutrophil, monocyte, lymphocyte and white blood cell counts, when combined with recently implemented ratios, may have significant
Clinical relevance in preoperative mortality risk stratification of RCC patients undergoing partial or radical nephrectomy.
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