Polycystic ovarian morphology is associated with primary dysmenorrhea in young Korean women
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Objective
This study was aimed at identifying a correlation between polycystic ovarian morphology (PCOM) and the severity of primary dysmenorrhea in young Korean women.

Methods
A total of 592 patients who visited a tertiary hospital from March 2008 to March 2015 for dysmenorrhea were examined. After excluding those with secondary causes of menstrual pain (for example, myoma, adenomyosis, endometriosis, and pelvic inflammatory disease), 361 women were recruited and retrospectively analyzed. Severe dysmenorrhea was defined as a visual analog scale (VAS) score ≥6.

Results
The mean patient age was 23.0±4.0 years, the average menstrual cycle length was 34.4±23.7 days, and the average pain intensity was VAS 6.7±0.1 at baseline. PCOM was assessed by ultrasound in 54 women (15%). Patients with severe menstrual pain were more likely to have irregular menstrual cycles (P=0.03) and heavy menstrual flow (P=0.01) than those with mild menstrual pain. After adjusting for weight, height, menstrual cycle interval, and menstrual flow in the logistic regression analysis, PCOM (odds ratio [OR], 2.26; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.05–4.97; P=0.04) and heavy menstrual flow (OR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.05–3.28; P=0.04) were found to be significant independent factors influencing pain.

Conclusion
Our study shows that PCOM may have a correlation with the severity of primary dysmenorrhea. Since PCOM may play a role in the development of menstrual pain, patients with PCOM should be under active surveillance with resources for prompt pain management readily available. It may also be necessary to further investigate the molecular mechanisms of pain development in primary dysmenorrhea.
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Introduction
Primary dysmenorrhea is defined as menstrual pain associated with ovulatory cycles and resulting from myometrial contraction in the absence of a pelvic disease that may cause abdominal pain. Traditionally, the activity of prostaglandin, a vasoconstrictor that causes ischemic pain in the uterus, is regarded as the cause of primary dysmenorrhea [1]. Although primary dysmenorrhea is common, it is frequently under-diagnosed and under-treated [2]. Identification of the risk factors of primary dysmenorrhea may be useful for classifying...
women into groups that need active surveillance or prompt pain management.

Polycystic ovarian morphology (PCOM), one of the diagnostic criteria for polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), is defined as the presence of >12 antral follicles 2–9 mm in diameter or an ovarian volume >10 mL [3]. The inclusion of PCOM in the diagnostic criteria for PCOS is controversial because the same morphology is found in 16–25% of asymptomatic women [4]. In a small study, the prevalence of PCOM was reported to be 35–50% in healthy adolescent girls who were neither diagnosed with nor treated for PCOS 2–4 years after menarche [5-7]. The inclusion of PCOM to the PCOS spectrum is a topic of debate because ovulatory women with isolated PCOM frequently do not show clinical or biochemical evidence of androgen excess [8]. The clinical significance of PCOM is unclear. To date, PCOM is considered a pre-PCOS state, a normal morphological variant in adolescence, and a possible complication in high responders to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation [9].

The purpose of our study was to identify factors that may have a correlation with the severity of primary dysmenorrhea, and to investigate the relationship between PCOM and primary dysmenorrhea.

Materials and methods

1. Study population

A comparative study was conducted using a retrospective chart review of a total of 592 young, postmenarcheal Korean women aged <30 years old, who visited Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, between March 2008 and March 2015 with dysmenorrhea as their chief complaint. After initial evaluation, 231 women were excluded for other possible causes of dysmenorrhea (Fig. 1). The initial evaluation included history taking, pelvic examination, and imaging studies with either pelvic sonography (Accuvix V20 Prestige, Medison Co., Seoul, Korea) or abdominopelvic computed tomography. On their initial visit and using questionnaires, the patients provided information on their menstrual history which included cycle regularity and duration, quantity of menstruation, pain onset, any predictable patterns such as pain development timing and duration, any accompanying symptoms such as back pain, vomiting, diarrhea, or intermenstrual bleeding. Patients diagnosed with pelvic masses such as myoma and adnexal cyst, endometrial pathologies like polyp or submucosal myoma, endometriosis, and adenomyosis on imaging and patients with suspected symptoms of pelvic pathology were excluded from the analysis. Patients who, in the 3 months prior to their hospital visit, had taken hormonal agents such as oral contraceptives or progestin were also excluded. Finally, a total of 361 women with primary dysmenorrhea were recruited for the study [10].

2. Variables and measurements

Baseline physical examinations included measurements of height (cm), weight (kg), and body mass index (BMI, kg/m²). The pain intensity of dysmenorrhea was quantified using a visual analog scale (VAS) with scores from 0 to 10. Severe dysmenorrhea was defined as a VAS score ≥6. Irregular menstruation was defined in traditional terms as a cycle interval >35 days or <21 days. Menstrual flow was classified as scanty, moderate, or heavy and PCOM was defined according to the Rotterdam criteria [3]. Sonography was performed according to menstrual cycle; in the early follicular phase for patients with regular periods or with a dominant follicle or corpus luteum on ultrasound scan, and regardless of the ovulation cycle for patients with irregular periods of over 90 days or amenorrhea. In patients with sonographic evidence of ovarian cyst, the criteria for PCOM was applied using the 2–9 mm antral follicle count only. Smoking status was regarded as positive for current smokers only. The medications administered for menstrual pain relief after consulta-
tion were classified as none (no medication use), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and combined oral contraceptives, and information on the use of pain relief medications of different groups was considered.

3. Statistical analyses
Data are presented as mean±standard deviation for continuous variables and as numbers and percentages for categorical variables. The baseline characteristics between the mild and severe dysmenorrhea groups were compared using a 2-sample t-test for continuous variables and the χ² test for categorical variables. Logistic regression analyses were performed to identify factors that are associated with severe primary dysmenorrhea. Logistic models were built based on the model’s goodness-of-fit and the multicollinearity of the factors. Previously known factors for primary dysmenorrhea with a P-value <0.1 in the univariate analysis were included in the logistic model. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS ver. 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
A total of 361 patients with primary dysmenorrhea were included in the analyses. Their baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 23.0±4.0 years, the mean menstrual cycle duration was 34.4±23.7 days, and the mean pain intensity measured on the VAS scale was 6.7±0.1. The mean BMI was 20.7±3.0 kg/cm², which was within the normal range. Of the 361 patients, 155 (42.9%) reported having mild dysmenorrhea (VAS ≤5) and 206 (57.1%) reported having severe dysmenorrhea (VAS ≥6). Pelvic ultrasound examination of a total of 54 women (15%) showed PCOM. Baseline characteristics such as BMI and menstrual cycle duration were similar between women with mild dysmenorrhea and those with severe dysmenorrhea (Table 2). However, the proportion of women who had irregular menstrual cycles was significantly higher in the severe dysmenorrhea group (P=0.03). Furthermore, women with severe pain reported heavier menstrual flow than those with mild pain (P=0.02). The proportion of women with PCOM was also significantly higher in the severe dysmenorrhea group than in the mild dysmenorrhea group (P=0.03). A statistically significant difference in medication use for pain relief was observed between the 2 groups, with a higher frequency of combined oral contraceptive use in the severe dysmenorrhea group than in the mild dysmenorrhea group (P=0.04). Table 3 shows the adjustment model for women with severe primary dysmenorrhea. After adjusting for weight, height, menstrual cycle duration, and menstrual flow, PCOM showed a significantly increased odds ratio (OR) for severe dysmenorrhea (VAS score ≥6; OR, 2.26; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.05–4.97; P=0.04). Heavy menstrual flow was also associated with severe dysmenorrhea (OR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.05–3.28; P=0.04).

Discussion
In this study, we investigated the factors associated with severe pain in patients with primary dysmenorrhea. Of fore-
most importance was our aim to ascertain the clinical significance of PCOM beyond its potential status as a pre-PCOS state. The results revealed that PCOM and heavy menstrual flow may be associated with severe primary dysmenorrhea in young Korean women.

Our study, like a previous one, showed that the factors associated with primary dysmenorrhea include a history of heavy menstrual flow and irregular menstrual cycles [11]. However, our study is the first to reveal a strong correlation between PCOM and primary dysmenorrhea. The clinical significance of PCOM remains unclear, especially in young women. Previous studies posited that PCOM is a pre-PCOS state [12] or a prognostic factor in endometrial cancer for patients treated with progestin [13]. Another study described the correlation between PCOM and endometriosis [14]; however, only a few other similar studies have been conducted.

It is thought that the pain of primary dysmenorrhea may be due to increased prostaglandin levels, which causes increased myometrial and uterine blood vessel contractions, thereby producing a relative ischemic uterine state [15].

### Table 2. Comparison of the mild and severe dysmenorrhea groups

| Variables                        | Mild (n=155) | Severe (n=206) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                         | 23.1±4.1    | 22.9±4.0       | 0.55    |
| Weight (kg)                      | 53±7.8      | 54.9±8.8       | 0.05    |
| Height (cm)                      | 160.8±5.2   | 162.4±5.3      | 0.01    |
| Body mass index (kg/cm²)         | 20.5±3.0    | 20.8±3.0       | 0.43    |
| Menstrual cycle duration (day)   | 33.7±28.7   | 35.0±18.7      | 0.61    |
| Irregular period                 | 42 (27.5)   | 76 (38.8)      | 0.03    |
| PCOM                             | 16 (10.3)   | 38 (18.4)      | 0.03    |
| Current smoker                   | 6 (4.8)     | 3 (2)          | 0.18    |
| Pain medication                  | 61 (39.4)   | 64 (31.1)      | 0.04    |
| NSAID                            | 48 (31)     | 54 (26.2)      |         |
| COC                              | 46 (29.7)   | 88 (42.7)      |         |

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation for continuous variables and as number (%) for categorical variables. P-values were obtained using analysis of variance and 2-sample t-test for continuous variables, and the chi-square test for categorical variables.

**PCOM**, polycystic ovarian morphology; **NSAID**, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; **COC**, combined oral contraceptive.

### Table 3. Adjusted odds ratios of variables for severe primary dysmenorrhea

| Variables                        | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| Weight                           | 1.03 (1.0–1.06)        | 0.05    | 1.02 (0.98–1.05)     | 0.38    |
| Height                           | 1.06 (1.01–1.11)       | 0.01    | 1.04 (0.99–1.10)     | 0.11    |
| Menstrual flow                   |                        |         |                      |         |
| Scanty+moderate                  | 1                      |         |                      |         |
| Heavy                            | 1.86 (1.11–3.1)        | 0.02    | 1.85 (1.05–3.28)     | 0.04    |
| PCOM                             | 1.97 (1.05–3.67)       | 0.03    | 2.26 (1.05–4.97)     | 0.04    |
| Menstrual cycle duration (days)  | 1.0 (0.99–1.01)        | 0.62    | 1.0 (0.99–1.01)      | 0.72    |

P-values were obtained using simple and multiple logistic regression analyses. The analyses were adjusted for weight (kg), height (cm), menstrual cycle duration (days), menstrual flow (reference: scanty+moderate), and PCOM (reference: no PCOM).

**OR**, odds ratio; **CI**, confidence interval; **PCOM**, polycystic ovarian morphology.
pain of primary dysmenorrhea is also known to be associated with sensitization of pain fibers. Peripheral factors and central factors, such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor, were suggested as key factors in the central sensitization of pain in women with primary dysmenorrhea [16]. One possible explanation for the correlation between PCOM and primary dysmenorrhea may be uterine endothelial dysfunction. Increased serum levels of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), which is established as a strong marker of endothelial dysfunction in atherosclerosis, has been reported in patients with primary dysmenorrhea [17]. Regarding pain, it has been posited that arginine metabolism is 1 of the key mechanisms of pain development in patients with sickle cell disease, a hemoglobinopathy with pain as a disease hallmark. Arginine is thought to play a key role in multiple metabolic processes, particularly with its contribution to endothelial dysfunction and vessel occlusion in sickle cell disease [18]. The same ADMA study group later demonstrated a positive correlation between serum ADMA and serum anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) in young women with primary dysmenorrhea. They suggested that ADMA, like AMH, may be used as an ovarian reserve marker [19]. However, it is unclear how serum ADMA and AMH are connected in the larger context of PCOM, except that they share the same bone morphogenetic protein pathway for signal transduction. The correlation between PCOM and serum AMH is well known, even though the patients in a few of the studies only had PCOM but not PCOS [20].

The relationship between heavy menstruation and pain as an inflammatory response caused by increased prostaglandin synthesis is well established. The serum levels of prostaglandins, specifically prostaglandin E2 [21] or F2a [22], are higher in women with heavy menstrual bleeding (HMB) as shown by data compiled over 30 years. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) help reduce HMB by inhibiting cyclo-oxygenase, thereby reducing prostaglandin production [21,23]. Increased prostaglandin level is a common finding in dysmenorrhea and HMB. The strong correlation between heavy menstrual flow and severe dysmenorrhea shown by our study may be explained by increased prostaglandin level.

Our study reports the unique finding that PCOM is strongly associated with the severity of pain in women with primary dysmenorrhea; however, its retrospective nature is a limitation. It is possible that pain was caused by undiagnosed secondary causes such as endometriosis since we did not routinely perform diagnostic laparoscopy. Our study is also limited by the fact that primary dysmenorrhea is a clinical diagnosis made on the basis of menstrual symptoms and by excluding pelvic pathologies that may cause pain.

In conclusion, PCOM and heavy menstrual flow may positively correlate with the severity of pain in young women with primary dysmenorrhea. Since PCOM may play a role in the development of menstrual pain, patients with PCOM should be under active surveillance with resources for prompt pain management readily available. It may also be necessary to further investigate the molecular mechanisms of pain development in primary dysmenorrhea.
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