The Dynamics of PKC-Induced Phosphorylation Triggered by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} Oscillations in Mouse Eggs
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Fertilization of mammalian eggs is characterized by a series of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations triggered by a phospholipase C activity. These Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increases and the parallel generation of diacylglycerol (DAG) stimulate protein kinase C (PKC). However, the dynamics of PKC activity have not been directly measured in living eggs. Here, we have monitored the dynamics of PKC\textsubscript{zeta}-induced phosphorylation in mouse eggs, alongside Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations, using fluorescent C-kinase activity reporter (CKAR) probes. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations triggered either by sperm, phospholipase C \textsubscript{zeta} (PLC\textsubscript{zeta}) or Sr\textsuperscript{2+} all caused repetitive increases in PKC\textsubscript{zeta}-induced phosphorylation, as detected by CKAR in the cytoplasm or plasma membrane. The CKAR responses lasted for several minutes in both the cytoplasm and plasma membrane then returned to baseline values before subsequent Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients. High frequency oscillations caused by PLC\textsubscript{zeta} led to an integration of PKC\textsubscript{zeta}-induced phosphorylation. The conventional PKC inhibitor, Go6976, could inhibit CKAR increases in response to thapsigargin or jomycin, but not the repetitive responses seen at fertilization. Repetitive increases in PKC activity were also detected during Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations using an isoform-specific \delta CKAR. However, PKC\textsubscript{zeta} may already be mostly active in unfertilized eggs, since phorbol esters were effective at stimulating \delta CKAR only after fertilization, and the PKC\textsubscript{zeta}-specific inhibitor, rottlerin, decreased the CKAR signals in unfertilized eggs. These data show that PKC\textsubscript{zeta}-induced phosphorylation outlasts each Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increase in mouse eggs but that signal integration only occurs at a non-physiological, high Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillation frequency. The results also suggest that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-induced DAG formation on intracellular membranes may stimulate PKC activity oscillations at fertilization.
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significant role since addition of the PKC activator, PMA (phorbol myristate acetate), to mouse eggs can cause activation, and the presence of pseudo-substrate inhibitors have been reported to interfere with activation at fertilization (Gallicano et al., 1993, 1997; Moses and Kline, 1995). PKC could also play an important role in causing Ca\(^{2+}\) influx at fertilization, which is important for maintaining Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations (Halet et al., 2004). There are 10 mammalian PKC isoforms, classified into three major subfamilies (Mellor and Parker, 1998; Newton, 2003): the conventional PKCs (cPKCs) α, β, and γ are regulated by DAG but are Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent. Atypical PKCs (aPKCs) ζ and η are neither regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) nor by DAG. Isoforms from all three subfamilies have been found to be expressed in mammalian eggs (Jones, 1998; Luria et al., 2000; Pauken and Capco, 2000; Halet, 2004; Baluch and Capco, 2008). A specific role for PKC may have a particular relevance for eggs because PKC can act as a decoder of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations (Oancea and Meyer, 1998; Cullen, 2003; Violin et al., 2003). This decoding phenomenon can involve the sequential binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) and DAG to the C2 and C1 domains of cPKCs, respectively, turning the kinase into its activated state with translocation to the plasma membrane (Oancea and Meyer, 1998; Violin et al., 2003). The cPKCs, PKCα, and PKCβ translocate to the plasma membrane during fertilization in mouse eggs (Luria et al., 2000). Significantly, GFP-tagged versions of PKCα or γ were found to translocate in response to individual Ca\(^{2+}\) transients, and following decline of Ca\(^{2+}\) to basal levels, the GFP-PKCs return to the cytosol (Halet et al., 2004). Hence, PKC activation/translocation does not appear to outlast the Ca\(^{2+}\) transients, although phosphorylation events specifically induced by the activated PKC might last for longer than the Ca\(^{2+}\) transients. However, in vitro PKC kinase assays performed on egg lysates are not able to accurately monitor phosphorylation occurring in a single egg with sufficient time resolution (Gallicano et al., 1997; Tatone et al., 2003). Consequently, it remains unknown whether each cycle of PKC activity-induced phosphorylation is able to significantly outlast the duration of each Ca\(^{2+}\) transient at fertilization.

In addition to Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent cPKC, unconventional PKCs also contribute to PKC activity at fertilization. In particular, PKCδ is implicated as being the isoform responsible for a significant proportion of the biochemically measurable PKC increase occurring at fertilization (Tatone et al., 2003). PKCδ is known to be phosphorylated during oocyte maturation and then becomes dephosphorylated during the early stages of egg activation (Viveiros et al., 2001, 2003). The phosphorylation event is essential for PKCδ activation and, since PKCδ is required for oocyte maturation, it was suggested that the PKCδ phosphorylation reflects its activation state. However, up to now there have been no studies that have measured PKCδ-specific activity in eggs in real time.

PKC-induced phosphorylation has been monitored dynamically in cells using a CKAR, a probe that undergoes changes in fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) in response to phosphorylation. CKAR consists of a pseudo-substrate that is specific to PKC fused to a FHA2 domain that binds phosphothreonine. This fusion protein is in turn flanked by a cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) and yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) at either end. A change in FRET between the CFP and YFP is caused by changes in CKAR conformation when the PKC-specific substrate is phosphorylated and bound by the FHA2-binding domain (Violin et al., 2003). CKAR has been shown to be subject to phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in cells (Violin et al., 2003; Gallegos et al., 2006). Myristoylated CKAR, which is targeted specifically to the plasma membrane, has been shown to undergo oscillations in FRET signal in response to Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in cell lines. The FRET response in the plasma membrane of cells was delayed with respect to Ca\(^{2+}\) transients by 10–15 sec. In contrast, the cytoplasmic CKAR did not show any oscillations in FRET signal during Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations (Violin et al., 2003). In mouse eggs, it is unknown whether PKC activity might show a similar Ca\(^{2+}\) response pattern to that exhibited in somatic cells. Hence, in the present study, we have monitored the dynamics of PKC-induced phosphorylation during Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in mouse eggs using both the cytoplasmically located CKAR, and its membrane-targeted form, MyrPalm-CKAR. In addition, we monitored phosphorylation of δCKAR, which specifically responds to PKCδ activation (Kajimoto et al., 2010). Our data show that there are distinct oscillation patterns in PKC activity within the cytoplasm and the plasma membrane that occur in response to physiological Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in mouse eggs. The stimulation of PKC activity outlasts each Ca\(^{2+}\) transient by several minutes and appears to involve both cPKCs and PKCδ. Our data suggest that in mouse fertilization, the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal-induced DAG formation may play a precise role in generating oscillations in PKC activation-mediated phosphorylation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Phorbol esters (PMA), PKC inhibitors (Gö6976 and rottlerin), ionophores (thapsigargin and ionomycin), and other chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Dorset, UK). CKAR and MyrPalm-CKAR were obtained from Addgene (www.addgene.org), and δCKAR was a kind gift from Alexandra Newton.

**Gamete collection and handling**

MF1 female mice were super-ovulated by intraperitoneal injection of 7.5 i.u. of PMSG (pregnant mare’s serum gonadotrophin; Folligon) followed by 48 h later by 10 i.u. of hCG (human chorionic gonadotropin; Folligon; Saunders et al., 2002). Eggs (13–16 h post-hCG) were released from the oviduct into warmed M2 medium (Sigma, Dorset, UK). Oocytes were held in drops of M2 medium under paraffin oil in Falcon tissue culture dishes. Cumulus cells were removed by a brief exposure to hyaluronidase and the zona pellucida removed by exposure to acid Tyrode’s solution (Sigma). For all fluorescence recordings, the eggs were placed in drops of HEPES-buffered KSOM (hKSOM) media (Saunders et al., 2002). For media with Sr\(^{2+}\), hKSOM media was used where the Ca\(^{2+}\) was omitted and replaced with 10 mM SrCl\(_2\). Spermatozoa were expelled from the cauda epididymis of male CBA/C57 mice into 1 ml of T6 medium containing 16 mg/ml BSA, and incubated under oil for 2–3 h at 37 °C and 5% CO\(_2\) to capacitate. For in vitro fertilization (IVF) experiments, approximately 10 μl of sperm suspension was added to the dish containing the eggs.

**cRNA synthesis and microinjection**

Complementary RNA (1 μg/μl) encoding CKAR, MyrPalm-CKAR (Violin et al., 2003), δCKAR (Kajimoto et al., 2010), and mouse PLCζ (Saunders et al., 2002) were synthesized and polyadenylated using mScript™ mRNA Production System (Epicentre, Calbiochem, Nottingham, UK) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Microinjection of cRNA into mature mouse eggs was performed as previously described (Saunders et al., 2002), followed by a 3 h incubation at 37 °C to allow the cRNA to be transcribed at detectable levels of expression.

**Measurement of CKAR and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\)**

Zona-free MII eggs were kept in hKSOM under mineral oil at 37 °C on the heated stage chamber of an inverted microscope (Nikon UK, Kingston upon Thames, UK). For Ca\(^{2+}\) measurements, Rhod-815 was co-injected with CKAR or PLCζ cRNAs. One of the issues when measuring FRET together with Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration changes is that the fluorescence spectra from YFP and CFP overlap.
with some fluorescent Ca\textsuperscript{2+} indicators (e.g., Fura2, Fluo3, and FuraRed). This potential for fluorescence signal “spill-over” can distort FRET ratios. In contrast, Rhod-dextran is a long-wavelength Ca\textsuperscript{2+} indicator with a fluorescence excitation and emission maxima of 330 and 576 nm, respectively, and it is the dextran-coupled version of Rhod2 that is retained in the cytoplasm. Fluorescence was captured using a 20 × 0.75 NA objective at 10-s intervals with a cooled CCD camera (CoolSNAP HQ2, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) and In Vivo software. The excitation light source was a white LED lamp (OptoLEDLite, Cairn Research Ltd., Faversham, UK). Filters (10 nm bandwidth) were controlled using filter wheels (Lambda 10-3; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). FRET signals were measured by taking the ratio of emission at 470 and 535 nm with excitation at 430 nm. Rhod-dextran was excited with 550 nm light and emission collected at 600 nm. A multi-band filter (XF2054, from GlenSpectra, Stanmore, UK, part of HORIBA Scientific) was placed in the dichroic filter block housing to allow excitation and emission at the selected wavelengths. Image J and SigmaPlot software (Systat Software, Inc., Hounslow, UK) were used for data analysis. Data are plotted as the ratio of cyan fluorescence to yellow emission and values normalized to the percentage change from the start of the experiment. Specific PKC inhibitors Go\textsuperscript{6976} and rottlerin, were used to act upon conventional PKCu and PKC\textbeta, and the novel PKC\delta isoforms, respectively.

Confocal imaging

CKAR and MyrPalm-CKAR cRNA were expressed in eggs as described above, and \textdelta CKAR was co-injected with PLC\textgamma and incubated for 2–8 h. Single snapshots were taken of the subcellular distribution corresponding to CKAR/MyrPalm-CKAR/\textdelta CKAR FRET probes using a confocal microscope (TCS SP5; Leica, Milton Keynes, UK), under a 20 × (0.75 NA) lens, and an argon laser. FRET signal was determined using the same ratiometric settings as described above and data were analyzed using Image J.

Statistical analysis

The % CKAR FRET changes of individual signal increases were calculated based on the mean of three random spikes taken from each oscillating egg trace and divided by the total number of eggs. The “n” refers to the total number of eggs examined for each experiment type.

Results

Monitoring PKC-induced phosphorylation in eggs

We found that CKAR was effectively expressed in mature mouse eggs, following microinjection of its cRNA, as indicated by fluorescence detected in the CFP and YFP channels. PKC activity, as reflected by the phosphorylation of CKAR, was monitored by measuring the ratio of the CFP to YFP signal intensity and plotted as the percentage change over the starting ratio versus time. These data are presented as the inverse of FRET efficiency, since there is an increase in the ratio with increased phosphorylation (Violin et al., 2003). Confocal images of CKAR show that it is distributed widely throughout the cytosol, with the possible exception of some exclusion by organelles (Fig. 1a). In contrast, MyrPalm-CKAR was detected specifically in the plasma membrane (Fig. 1a). This distinct localization is consistent with the fact that MyrPalm-CKAR contains seven residues of the Lyn kinase fused to the N-terminus that targets the probe to the membrane via myristoylation and palmitoylation post-translational modifications (Zacharias et al., 2002). Figure 1b shows that the addition of the potent PKC activator, PMA (200 nM), caused a CFP/YFP signal increase which reached saturation after addition of the phosphatase inhibitor, calyculin A (16.9 ± 0.25%, n = 4). Similar results were seen with MyrPalm-CKAR (data not shown). These data suggest that CKARs can be successfully expressed in mouse eggs and respond to stimuli that specifically activate PKC, and that endogenous phosphatases are continuously active in reducing the level of PKC-induced phosphorylation (Violin et al., 2003). It also reveals that the full dynamic range of the CKAR expressed in mouse eggs involves changes of <10% of the resting signal.

We tested the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependence of the CKAR response by the addition of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pump inhibitor, thapsigargin, and the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ionophore, ionomycin, both of which cause monotonic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increases in mouse eggs. Figure 1c shows that addition of thapsigargin triggered a CKAR signal increase of only 3.30 ± 0.84% (n = 17), whereas the subsequent addition of ionomycin effected a 7.78 ± 1.96% (n = 17) FRET increase. The greater FRET increase produced by ionomycin was correlated with a larger amplitude Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient. The Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-induced CKAR signal increase was diminished, although not abolished, in the presence of cPKC inhibitor, Go\textsuperscript{6976} (10 \muM), with either thapsigargin (1.92 ± 0.79%, n = 8) or ionomycin (4.56 ± 1.20%, n = 8; Fig. 1d). These Go\textsuperscript{6976}-mediated inhibition data suggest that there is a partial contribution of cPKC-mediated phosphorylation to the CKAR response. It should be noted that Go\textsuperscript{6976} is the only commonly used PKC inhibitor that is non-fluorescent and does not interfere with the FRET signal. As reported previously, other broad-spectrum PKC inhibitors (e.g., Go\textsuperscript{6983} or BIM) are fluorescent and cannot be readily used to inhibit CKAR responses without interfering with the CFP or YFP fluorescence signals required for FRET analysis (Gallegos et al., 2006).

PKC-induced phosphorylation at fertilization

PKC-induced phosphorylation was monitored during IVF, using both CKAR and MyrPalm-CKAR, and their FRET signal change measured every 10 sec, alongside the occurrence of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations. Figure 2a shows that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations following IVF of mouse eggs occurred in near synchrony with oscillatory increases in the cytoplasmic CKAR signal. The plasma membrane-localized MyrPalm-CKAR also showed comparable patterns of oscillatory FRET signal changes, similar in form to the cytoplasmic CKAR probe (Fig. 2b). The oscillatory increases in CKAR signal were small and typically displayed less than a 5% ratio change. This change was entirely due to CKAR since control IVF experiments conducted in the absence of Rhod-dextran still showed oscillatory CKAR increases, and measuring Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations in the absence of CKAR showed no discernable oscillations in the CFP/YFP channel (Supplementary Fig. S1). The overall duration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations in fertilizing eggs was not different between eggs with or without CKAR or MyrPalm-CKAR (Table 1). Hence all of the eggs studied, stopped their Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations on schedule. Since the cessation of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal is due to the formation of pronuclei, this suggests that the timing of egg activation events was unaffected by the presence of CKAR or MyrPalm-CKAR. In these IVF experiments, the CKAR response was not blocked by the presence of Go\textsuperscript{6976} in fertilized eggs (Fig. 2c). Moreover, no inhibitory effect was seen following Go\textsuperscript{6976} addition upon the CKAR oscillations induced by either Sr\textsuperscript{2+} or PLC\gamma (data not shown). Thus, it is unclear whether this oscillatory CKAR phosphorylation signal change occurring upon mouse fertilization involves the direct activation of cPKCs by each Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient.

A consistent feature of the CKAR-mediated oscillations at fertilization was that each of the FRET transients showed a different time-course relative to the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients. Figure 3 shows a series of three Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients during IVF at a higher time resolution. The amplitude for the cytosolic CKAR (4.36 ± 0.53%, n = 30) was relatively larger than that for MyrPalm-CKAR (3.42 ± 0.24%, n = 31). However, for both
CKAR and MyrPalm-CKAR, the peak of the FRET transient occurred 10–30 sec after the peak of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> signal. In addition, the CKAR response displayed a slower decline, and did not return to baseline until ~5 min after the Ca<sup>2+</sup> transient had finished. However, it was notable that each CKAR signal increase had returned to near baseline value prior to initiation of the next Ca<sup>2+</sup> spike, and hence there was no sign of progressive accumulation of the CKAR signal in Figure 2 or 3.

To determine if any long-term integration of response could occur, we tested the effects of higher frequency oscillations. Injecting high concentrations of PLCζ cRNA has been shown to cause high-frequency Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillations in mouse eggs (Saunders et al., 2002). In Figure 4, eggs were microinjected with a calibrated amount of PLCζ (0.1 μg/μl pipette concentration) that was specifically chosen to generate high-frequency Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillations. With either CKAR or MyrPalm-CKAR there was an increase in FRET signal that did not fully return to baseline between Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillations, hence the CKAR response appeared to integrate with time. However, even in these cases the FRET signal could decline considerably as the frequency of oscillations decreased (as in Fig. 4b). These data suggest that only high-frequency Ca<sup>2+</sup> oscillations are able to produce a significant integration of PKC-induced phosphorylation in eggs.

**PKCζ activity in eggs**

Previous studies have implicated a role for PKCζ in egg activation at fertilization, so we conducted similar experiments to those described above in mouse eggs, using a newly developed PKCζ isoform-specific probe, δCKAR (Kajimoto et al., 2010). The δCKAR was expressed in mouse eggs throughout the cytoplasm, and persisted for at least 8 h after PLCζ cRNA injection, with some δCKAR signal being present in the pronucleus (Fig. 5a). There was only a very small increase in the δCKAR signal upon PMA addition (Fig. 5b; 2.04 ± 0.29%, n = 6), compared to eggs injected with conventional CKAR (11.22 ± 0.83%, n = 4). Only when we added the phosphatase inhibitor calyculin A, did the δCKAR signal show a significant response (5.13 ± 0.53%) similar to that of CKAR (5.68 ± 0.25%), although the time course was slow. These results suggest that PKCζ cannot be readily activated by PMA in mouse eggs. This could be explained if this isoform of PKC already has some activity in unfertilized mature MII mouse eggs (Viveiros et al., 2003). To test this hypothesis, rottlerin, a known PKCζ-specific inhibitor, was added to mature unfertilized mouse eggs. Figure 5c shows that this inhibitor caused a significant decrease in the δCKAR signal.
increase suggests that whilst PKC at about 2 h after sperm addition. This PMA-induced signal change. These data support the idea that PKC signal, since this was delayed in comparison to the rottlerin-induced FRET change. These data support the idea that PKC:

However, in contrast to the unfertilized egg, PMA caused a slight reduction in the Sr2-
oscillations that were out of phase with Ca2-
in Figure 3. However, the Sr2-
oscillations lead to a drop in the CKAR signal at fertilization before we added PMA (Fig. 5d), which suggests that some PKCζ activity can still be further stimulated in the fertilizing mouse egg.

Since PKCζ can only be stimulated by DAG and not by Ca2-
directly, we examined δCKAR signals during Ca2-
levels in the egg had declined, leading to δCKAR oscillations that were out of phase with Ca2-
oscillations. There is also a slight reduction in the Sr2-
induced signal changes with δCKAR (2.32 ± 0.22%, n = 15) compared to conventional CKAR (3.63 ± 0.59%, n = 23). In contrast, Figure 6c,d shows that PLCζ caused Ca2-
oscillations and similar FRET oscillatory signal increases using either cytosolic CKAR (4.97 ± 0.33%) or δCKAR (4.47 ± 0.46%). These signal changes are comparable in amplitude and pattern to those seen at fertilization. These data suggest that PKCζ responds differently to Sr2-
compared with fertilization by sperm or with PLCζ.

Discussion
Mammalian fertilization is characterized by a sperm-induced series of Ca2-
oscillations in the egg that are critical for the physiological activation of embryo development (Kline and Kline, 1992; Ducibella et al., 2002). Previous studies have shown that PKC activity is increased at fertilization in mouse eggs (Gallicano et al., 1997; Tatone et al., 2003). There is also evidence that PKC plays a role in normal meiotic resumption after fertilization in the mouse (Gallicano et al., 1993, 1997; Moses and Kline, 1995). In this study, we have specifically set

Table 1. Characteristics of Ca2-
oscillation patterns in fertilized eggs expressing various CKAR probes

| Treatment         | Total duration (min) | Total number of spikes | No. of spikes per hour |
|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Control           | 256.47 ± 66.30       | 67.85 ± 33.81*a        | 16.81 ± 10.14          |
| CKAR              | 250.78 ± 62.30       | 87.65 ± 29.75*b        | 22.22 ± 8.01           |
| MyrCKAR           | 241.39 ± 81.24       | 61.27 ± 18.90*a        | 17.52 ± 11.87          |

Mean and standard deviations. Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences, *P < 0.05.
Fig. 3. The time course of CKAR (a) or Myr CKAR (b) phosphorylation during individual Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients during fertilization. The conditions and plots are the same as Figure 2 but on an expanded timescale that shows the changes in CKAR or Myr CKAR signal (black dots joined by a black line) on top of individual Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients. The trace section is taken from the two typical recordings. % CKAR or Myr CKAR changes of individual phosphorylation increases were calculated based on the mean of three spikes taken from each oscillating egg trace and divided by the total number of eggs (n). [Color figure can be seen in the online version of this article, available at http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/jcp]

Fig. 4. CKAR phosphorylation with high frequency Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations. Eggs expressing (a) CKAR (n = 14) or (b) MyrPalm-CKAR (n = 9) were microinjected with a high dose of PLC\textsubscript{I} (0.1 μg/μl pipette concentration) to trigger high frequency Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations. The plots are in the same format as Figure 1 with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} as a red line and CKAR ratios as black lines. [Color figure can be seen in the online version of this article, available at http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/jcp]
out to study the precise relationship between Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations and PKC activity, since this topic has not been previously addressed. This is due to the absence of precise time resolution when using cell extract-based biochemical assays of PKC activity. This study shows for the first time the dynamic changes in PKC-induced phosphorylation events during fertilization in a living mammalian egg. We have achieved this by using FRET-based probes for PKC-induced phosphorylation (CKARs) to measure these dynamic changes alongside Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations. CKAR and its subcellular-targeted derivatives have shown to be specific for monitoring PKC-induced phosphorylation and are subject to dephosphorylation by cellular phosphatases (Violin et al., 2003; Gallegos et al., 2006). The expression of CKAR or MyrPalm-CKAR did not appear to have any inhibitory effect upon egg activation since Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations terminated similar to controls and the cessation of oscillations in mouse eggs is due to pronuclear formation (Marangos et al., 2003). Our data show that PKC-induced phosphorylation events can outlast the duration of individual Ca\textsuperscript{2+} spikes by several minutes. Significantly, this prolongation of phosphorylation relative to the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal can occur in both the cytoplasmic compartment and the plasma membrane. Furthermore, experiments using the PKC\textbeta is form-specific probe, δCKAR (Kajimoto et al., 2010), suggest that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} can stimulate PKCs both through the generation of DAG, as well as via direct Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent binding and activation.

Integration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations by PKC-induced phosphorylation

One of the outstanding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling issues in eggs is how oscillatory Ca\textsuperscript{2+} changes are translated, and possibly integrated, into changes in the activity of relevant target enzymes (Ducibella and Fissore, 2007). Previous studies in somatic cell lines have found that cPKC, such as PKC\textgamma, can act as an integration module for decoding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations that are associated with phosphoinositide turnover, by virtue of its ability to become activated and translocate to the plasma membrane (Oancea and Meyer, 1998). This integration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations relies upon DAG production within the plasma membrane causing prolonged membrane residence of cPKC. Fertilization also stimulates translocation of PKC\textalpha, \beta, and \gamma to the plasma membrane (Raz et al., 1998; Luria et al., 2000; Baluch et al., 2004). At fertilization, however, whilst each Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient leads to plasma membrane translocation of cPKC-GFP in mouse eggs, the cPKC-GFPs return to the cytoplasm within ~10 sec of the cytosolic-free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} returning to resting levels (Halet et al., 2004). This implies only a very limited
integration of PKC activity, which could be due to very limited accumulation of DAG in the plasma membrane during fertilization (Halet et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2008). Nevertheless, a PKC signal in the cell may persist for a longer period because phosphorylated substrates may outlast the PKC translocation process.

The dynamics of phosphorylation events induced by PKCs has been monitored in somatic cell lines using CKAR, and derivatives of CKAR targeted to sub-cellular compartments (Cullen, 2003; Violin et al., 2003). It is not known whether the phosphorylation of CKAR precisely reflects the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of endogenous PKC substrates. However, CKAR has been shown to be a specific substrate for PKC and subject to dephosphorylation by the same type of phosphatases as endogenous PKC substrates. It is important to note that the increase in CKAR signal we see at fertilization is relatively small (≈5%), but this reflects the intrinsic limitation of the probe, rather than the response of the cell, which is likely to involve a much larger change in phosphorylation. In fact FRET probes of the same class as CKAR all show small changes in signal. In a previous study in eggs, for example, a CFP/YFP-based probe for InsP3 showed ≈5% increases in eggs after PLCζ injection, despite the fact that InsP3 probably increases by several fold (Shirakawa et al., 2006).

In the study in somatic cells by Violin et al. (2003), it was found that phosphorylation of plasma membrane CKAR outlasted the cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} spikes by 10–15 sec. Whilst significant in cell lines, this degree of integration would not be sufficient in mammalian fertilized eggs, where each Ca^{2+} transient lasts for approximately 1 min and are typically spaced 10 min apart. We found that the phosphorylation of CKAR in both the cytoplasm and plasma membrane is maintained for about 5 min after each Ca^{2+} transient during repetitive oscillations in mouse eggs, which is significantly longer than the 10–15 sec observed in previous somatic cell studies using CKAR. However, this extended phosphorylation time-course still results in the PKC signal in eggs returning to near-resting levels within the 10 min before the next Ca^{2+} transient begins. This response profile might be sufficient for the PKC-stimulated Ca^{2+} influx that occurs after each Ca^{2+} transient in mouse eggs (McGuinness et al., 1996), but it does not provide the basis for explaining longer-term effects. In contrast, we were able to see a clear accumulation of the CKAR response when we injected high concentrations of PLCζ to deliberately cause high-frequency Ca^{2+} oscillations. This result suggests that the degree of CKAR phosphorylation can be varied in response to the frequency of Ca^{2+} oscillations. However, this cumulative effect is only observed with a Ca^{2+} oscillation frequency well above that observed physiologically at fertilization. Hence, it appears unlikely that the primary phosphorylation events induced by PKC activation are able to integrate the lower frequency Ca^{2+} oscillations occurring during normal fertilization.

Ca^{2+}-induced DAG formation as the stimulus for PKC

In our experiments, there is a distinct increase in the CKAR signal observed in response to each Ca^{2+} transient. The
elevations in free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration could stimulate this PKC activity increase by two potential mechanisms; by direct binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) to the C2 domain or by stimulating PLC-mediated DAG production, which then binds to the PKC C1 domain. Ca\(^{2+}\) -stimulated DAG production is likely to occur in fertilizing mammalian eggs because it has been shown that sperm PLC\(_{\zeta}\) activity is very sensitive to increases in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) levels (Nomikos et al., 2005). In addition, Ca\(^{2+}\) -dependent InsP\(_3\) production has been shown to be part of the mechanism of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations and this implies that oscillatory increases in both InsP\(_3\) and DAG occur during each Ca\(^{2+}\) transient (Swann and Yu, 2008). Our data suggest that PKCs may be stimulated directly by Ca\(^{2+}\), but that Ca\(^{2+}\) -induced DAG formation may also form a significant component of the PKC response. All of the stimuli that cause an elevation of Ca\(^{2+}\) in eggs lead to an increase in the CKAR signal. The CKAR response was not effectively blocked by the cPKC inhibitor, Go6976, with the exception of thapsigargin, which only causes a small increase in Ca\(^{2+}\). Surprisingly, we found no effect of Go6976 on fertilization-induced CKAR increases. Either Go6976 may not be fully effective at inhibiting PKC in mouse eggs, or it could also suggest that the conventional isoforms of PKC are partially involved in stimulating some of the CKAR in response to Ca\(^{2+}\) elevation. This second idea is further supported by the finding, that despite its pre-existing basal activity in unfertilized eggs, iCKAR can be further stimulated by the Ca\(^{2+}\) -transients induced by fertilization, PLC\(_{\zeta}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\)-containing media. The presumed mechanism for Ca\(^{2+}\) to stimulate iCKAR is via DAG production. Sr\(^{2+}\) -media is of particular interest because it is thought to act via stimulating InsP\(_3\) receptors to release Ca\(^{2+}\) (Marshall and Taylor, 1994; Zhang et al., 2005). Unlike the sperm and PLC\(_{\zeta}\), Sr\(^{2+}\) -medium does not lead to any detectable down-regulation of InsP\(_3\) receptors and so is not expected to cause significant PIP\(_2\) hydrolysis (Brind et al., 2000; Jellerette et al., 2000). Our data show that Sr\(^{2+}\) -induced Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations are accompanied by some iCKAR signal, implying that these Ca\(^{2+}\) increases alone can cause some DAG production. This Sr\(^{2+}\) -mediated mechanism could involve Ca\(^{2+}\) stimulation of other egg-derived PLCs such as PLC\(_{\beta}\), which appears to be stimulated to some extent at fertilization in mouse eggs (Igarashi et al., 2007). It was, however, noted that the amplitude and time course of iCKAR stimulation was different between Sr\(^{2+}\) and PLC\(_{\zeta}\). The Sr\(^{2+}\) response was smaller and more delayed with respect to the Ca\(^{2+}\) transient than that with PLC\(_{\zeta}\), which, in turn, could be due to a delay in DAG production. Previous studies have found that Ca\(^{2+}\) ionophores induced DAG accumulation in the plasma membrane with a delay of a few minutes in unfertilized eggs (Hallet et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2008). This implies that the Ca\(^{2+}\) -induced stimulation of PLC\(_{\zeta}\) generates DAG much more rapidly than that provided by Ca\(^{2+}\) stimulation of other egg-derived PLCs.

**Basal PKC activity in eggs**

Previous studies have suggested that there might be a basal level of PKC activity present in mouse eggs or muscle cells (Nicolas et al., 1998; Akabane et al., 2007). PKC\(_\beta\) has been shown to be phosphorylated at an activating residue in mature mouse eggs, and hence PKC\(_\beta\) may already be active at the MI stage (Viveiros et al., 2003). Our data are consistent with this idea, since the PKC\(_\beta\)-specific inhibitor, rottlerin, caused a clear decrease in the iCKAR signal in an unfertilized egg. Addition of PMA only caused a minimal increase, although there was a large increase in the iCKAR signal when added over an hour into the activation process. This result is consistent with previous reports showing that PKC\(_\beta\) dephosphorylation occurs after egg activation (Viveiros et al., 2003). Nevertheless, there were still small increases in the iCKAR signal associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) -transients at fertilization, suggesting that PKC\(_\beta\) substrates are not completely phosphorylated in an unfertilized egg.

**The nature of cytoplasmic PKC activity oscillations**

One of the most remarkable results of the current study was that a PKC-induced response is detected with both the plasma membrane-targeted and cytoplasmic CKAR. Previous studies of PKC in live somatic cells have shown that agonists can lead to DAG production, although PKC oscillations only occur in the plasma membrane (Oancea and Meyer, 1998; Violin et al., 2003). To date, the evidence for a PKC-induced phosphorylation response that outlasts oscillating Ca\(^{2+}\) transients (by ~15 sec) is within the plasma membrane (Violin et al., 2003). The previous dynamic PKC imaging in mouse eggs has also entirely concerned short-term translocation to the plasma membrane (Hallet et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2008). Our new data show that longer-lasting phosphorylation increases occur in fertilizing mouse eggs, both in the cytoplasm and the plasma membrane. In fact, the CKAR signal is notably stronger in the cytoplasm than at the plasma membrane. This suggests that the majority of DAG formation and subsequent PKC stimulation occurs at sites within the egg cytoplasm in response to Ca\(^{2+}\) transients. In somatic cells, agonist stimulation can lead to DAG generation in the Golgi membranes as well as the plasma membrane (Gallegos et al., 2006). Internal membrane organelles in mouse eggs could therefore also be a potential source of DAG at fertilization. In accord with this possibility, we have recently found that mouse eggs contain a significant amount of PIP\(_2\) specifically located in internal vesicles (Yu et al., 2012). Moreover, these discrete intracellular vesicles appear to be the precise target of PLC\(_{\beta}\)-induced PIP\(_2\) hydrolysis. Therefore, it is distinctly possible that the sperm-delivered PLC\(_{\beta}\) enables Ca\(^{2+}\) -dependent DAG formation on intracellular PIP\(_2\)-containing vesicles, facilitating repetitive PKC stimulation throughout the egg cytoplasm. Further experiments could address this possibility by the use of DAG-specific probes targeted to intracellular vesicles.
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