Mathematical Analysis of Magnetized Rotating Nanofluid Flow Over nonlinear shrinking surface: Duality and Stability
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Abstract:

In this study, the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) effect on the boundary layer rotating flow of a nanofluid is investigated for the multiple branches case. The main focus of current research is to examine flow characteristics on a nonlinear permeable shrinking sheet. Moreover, the governing partial differential equations (PDEs) of the problem considered are reduced into coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) with the appropriate similarity transformation. Numerical results based on the plotted graphs are gotten by solving ODEs with help of the three-stage Labatto IIIA method in bvp4c solver in MATLAB. To confirm numerical outcomes, current results have been compared with previously available outcomes and found in good agreement. Skin friction coefficients, Nusselt and Sherwood numbers, velocity profiles, temperature profiles, and concentration profiles are examined. The results show that dual (no) branches exist in certain ranges of the suction parameter i.e., \( S \geq S_c \) \( (S < S_c) \). Further, profiles of velocity decrease for rising values of Hartmann number in the upper branch, while a reverse trend has been noticed in the lower branch. Profiles of temperature and concentration enhance for the increasing values of thermophoresis in both branches. Stability analysis of the branches is also done and noticed that the upper branch is a stable branch from both branches. Finally, it is noted that the stable branch has symmetrical behavior with regard to the parameter of rotation.
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1. Introduction

Recently, scholars are implicated in the analysis of rotational flows within stretching and shrinking boundary layer problems because of their widespread use in the system of rotor-stator, food processing, spinning devices, the architecture of gas turbines, disk cleaners, and many others. Wang [1] examined the flow of rotating fluid through the stretching sheet where momentum boundary layer thickness was observed to decrease as the parameter of the rotational impact increased. Takhar et al. [2] considered a rotating fluid flow on the stretching surface with the characteristics of a magnetic number. Shafique et al. [3] investigated the rotating effect in the Maxwell fluid by considering binary chemical reactions and energy activation characteristics. They have found that the hydrodynamic boundary layer thins when rotation parameter \( \lambda \) is incremented. Oscillatory behavior in both \( x \)- and \( y \)-components of velocity is observed when rotation parameter \( \lambda \) is sufficiently large. Rashad [4] used mathematical modeling to check the effect of the non-steady MHD flow of rotating fluid. Recently, Ullah et al. [5] found that
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temperature and concentration were increasing functions of the porosity and the Forchheimer parameters during the examination of the rotating flow of the nanofluid. In addition, Hayat et al. [6] extended the rotational flow model to examine the characteristics of homogeneous-heterogeneous nanofluid reactions. Lund et al., [7] reviewed the 3D flow of rotating nano-fluid on an exponential plane and discovered that the solution was not unique when the value of the rotating parameter was less than 0.1. Some important effects of the various physical parameter on the rotating flow can be found in [7-12].

The influence of MHD has attracted a lot of attention from researchers because of its extensive range of uses in various fields of science. It was introduced by Hannes Alfvén (1908-1995) who was a famous Swedish physicist. In 1970, he received the Physics Nobel prize for his pioneering work in MHD and major applications in numerous portions of plasma physics. In general, the presence of the magnetic field and the electrically conductive flow of the fluid give rise to the induced electrical current. More interest in MHD flow began in 1930 when Hartmann invented an electromagnetic pump. After that, many researchers considered MHD in their studies such as William [13-14], Eastman et al. [15], and Hossain [16] due to its extensive uses. Moreover, magnetic fields have an impact on numerous artificial and natural flows, which are essential elements used in some industries like pumping, heating, and levitating metals in the core of the earth. For example, solar flares and sunspots are generated by the solar magnetic field [17-18]. For medical and other applications, the ideal properties of the finished product are determined by drawing these strips or filaments into an electrically conductive liquid under the influence of a magnetic field. Hsiao [19] investigated numerical solutions for MHD two-dimension steady flow of boundary layer in a micropolar nanofluid. The author considered Buongiorno’s model [20] with a viscous dissipation effect on a linear non-permeable stretching surface. The linear non-permeable stretching surface was used because only one solution was considered. Further, it was discovered that an upsurge in the magnetic field decreases in the magnitudes of Nusselt number and velocities. Recently, Dero et al. [21] used the MHD effect on nano-fluid of micropolar where the effect of the thermal radiation had been studied. The shooting method was then adopted to solve the resultant ODEs and triple solutions were obtained. Some important effects of the MHD on fluid flow can be seen in these articles [22-28].

In view of the development of new technologies over the last few decades, the use of convective fluids for heat transfer, such as oil, ethylene glycol, and water minerals, has increased significantly. These kinds of fluids are an essential part of numerous industrial sectors including air-conditioning, transportation, and power generation [29]. It seems that these convection fluids could not meet the requirements of the rate of heat transfer and cooling. In this regard, different fluid upgrade procedures have been applied as there is a necessity to make novel kinds of fluid that are extra viable in relation to heat transfer act to meet the increasing demands of modern technology and innovation in miniaturization and process intensification of equipment [30]. Keeping in mind the final goal to attain such, it has recently been anticipated to mix insignificant amounts of nanometers from 10 nm to 50 nm of nanoparticles in convectional fluids, subsequent in nanofluids [31-33]. Studies have shown that the fraction of particle volume, that is the concentration of volumetric of the nanoparticle in nanofluid is related to the nanofluid thermal conductivity [34-35]. As associated with convectional fluid, the results of experiments on nanofluid noted that the expressively of thermal conductivity expanded with little changes in nanoparticle volume fractions. The nanofluids’ thermal conductivity with the base fluid of water containing nanoparticles TiO₂ (27 nm), SiO₂ (12 nm), and Al₂O₃ (13 nm) have been measured by Masuda et al. [36], Abarashi et al. [37] and Das et al. [38] suggested that there is a significant rise in temperature with an increase in thermal conductivity. CuO (28.6 nm)/water and Al₂O₃ (38.4 nm)/water nanofluids at different temperatures fluctuating from 21°C to 51°C were studied by
Das et al. [39]. Theoretically, due to an increase in Brownian motion along with nanoparticles and nanofluid's bulk temperature $T$, it is expected that more energy can be exchanged from one region to the next as time increased.

The flow of boundary layer idea on an incessant stretched sheet along constant velocity was initially presented by Sakiadis [40-41]. Ever since, frequent research on boundary layer flow through a stretched sheet had been carried out because of its extensive applications in industries such as the production of glass fiber, hot rolling, paper production, and polymer sheets extrusion [42]. Crane [43] was the first who consider a fluid flow problem on a stretched surface and published, there is no such study in which suction parameters.

Based on the literature review conducted and published, there is no such study in which a rotational nanofluid model with Brownian motion and thermophoresis effect on the stretching surface has been considered for multiple branches with their stability analysis. Due to this research gap, this study is conducted numerically as the nanofluid rotational flow has important applications in industry, engineering, and so on. In light of this fact, the Buongiorno model was considered to be preparing a nanofluid model in the hope that our findings will provide valuable help and reduce the cost of experiments.

2. Mathematical Formulation

The steady three-dimensional flow of nanofluid along with heat transfer has been considered over the stretching/shrinking surface as presented in Figure 1. Sheet at $z = 0$ in the direction of $x$-axis i.e. $u_w(x) = -cx^n$ and velocity of mass flux is $w_w(x) = S\sqrt{c\Omega x^{(n-1)/2}}$. Moreover, the temperature at the wall (ambient) is $T_w (T_\infty)$. The sheet is supposed to rotate with velocity $\Omega_0$ about the $z$-axis that is vertical to the sheet. A uniform field of external magnetic $B_0 = B_0$ has been used to act with the $z$-axis. Taking into account the momentum, temperature, and concentration boundary layers, the flow of nanofluid can be presented in the form of PDEs as follows [45]:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - (\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}) = 0$$

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - 2\Omega_0 v = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\sigma B^2 u}{\rho} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}$$

$$v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + 2\Omega_0 u = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\sigma B^2 v}{\rho} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} = -v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} - w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \tau \left[ D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} (\frac{\partial T}{\partial z})^2 \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}$$

The related boundary conditions (BCs) (1-5) are
Along with BCs

$$f'(0) = -1, \theta(0) = 1, \varphi(0) = 1$$

(6)

$$f(0) = -S \frac{2}{\sqrt{n + 1}}$$

(12)

$$g(\eta) \to 0, f'(\eta) \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty$$

$$\theta(\eta) \to 0, \varphi(\eta) \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty$$

Here $\Omega = \frac{\alpha_0}{c}$ is rotation parameter, $Pr = \frac{f_x}{a_f}$ is Prandtl, $Nb = \frac{t_1 \beta (c_w - c_m)}{\sigma}$ is Brownian motion parameter, $Nt = \frac{t_1 \beta (T_w - T_\infty)}{\sigma T_\infty}$ is thermophoresis parameter, and $S$ is the injection parameter ($S > 0$) and suction parameter ($S < 0$).

The skin friction coefficients, local Nusselt, and Sherwood numbers can be defined as

$$C_{fx} = \frac{\mu}{\rho u_w^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} |_{z = 0}$$

$$C_{fy} = \frac{\mu}{\rho v_w^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} |_{z = 0}$$

(13)

$$Nu_x = -\frac{x}{(T_w - T_\infty)} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} |_{z = 0},$$

$$Sh_x = -\frac{x}{(c_w - c_m)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} |_{z = 0}$$

Putting Equation (7) in Equation (13) gives

$$\sqrt{Re_x C_{fx}} = \frac{n + 1}{2} f''(0)$$

$$\sqrt{Re_y C_{fy}} = \frac{n + 1}{2} g'(0)$$

(14)

$$\frac{1}{Re_x} Nu_x = -\theta'(0),$$

$$\frac{1}{Re_x} Sh_x = -\varphi'(0)$$

where $Re_x = \frac{x u_w}{\tau}$ and $Re_y = \frac{v y_w}{\tau}$ are the local Reynold numbers.
3. Temporal Stability Analysis

In the previous section, dual solutions of Equations (8-11) with BCs (12) are noted; these branches are important as BCs (12) are also fulfilled in the lower branch. It is critical, however, to obtain a solution that can maintain its stability when subjected to minor disturbances. The stability of two solutions is so evaluated in order to identify a branch that is perfectly appropriate to the actual natural situation. The first step for stability is to transform Eqs (2-5) to the unsteady form as per the stability criteria as follows [47]:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2\Omega_0 v = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\sigma B^2 u}{\rho} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \tag{15}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + 2\Omega_0 u = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \tag{16}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} = -v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} - w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \tau 1 \left[ \frac{D_0}{\partial z^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{D_T}{\tau_{\text{os}}} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] \tag{17}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{D_T}{\tau_{\text{os}}} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \tag{18}
\]

where \( t \) indicates the time. As a result, a new variable, \( \tau = cx^{n-1}t \), is established. Equation (7) is articulated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u}{c} = cx^nf'(\eta, \tau), \quad v = cx^ng(\eta, \tau) \\
w = -\sqrt{\frac{c\theta(n + 1)}{2}} x^{(n-1)/2} \\
f + \frac{n-1}{n+1} \eta f', \tau = cx^{n-1}t \\
\eta = \frac{c(n + 1)}{2\theta} x^{(n-1)/2} \\
\phi(\eta, \tau) = \frac{(C - \overline{C})}{(C_w - \overline{C})} \\
\theta(\eta, \tau) = \frac{(T - \overline{T})}{(T_w - \overline{T})},
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting Equation (19) in Equations (15-18) leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{\eta\eta} + f_{\eta\eta} + \frac{2n}{n+1} f_\eta^2 + \frac{4\Omega}{n+1} g - \frac{2n}{n+1} M f_\eta &= 0 \tag{20} \\
g_{\eta\eta} + f g_\eta - \frac{2n}{n+1} f_\eta g - \frac{4\Omega}{n+1} f_\eta &= 0 \tag{21} \\
1 \frac{\partial \theta_{\eta\eta}}{\partial \eta} + f \theta_\eta + Nb \theta_\eta \theta_\eta + N_t \theta_\eta^2 - \theta_\eta &= 0 \tag{22} \\
\theta_\eta &= 0 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
\]

Along with BCs

\[
\begin{align*}
f'(0, \tau) = -1, \quad \theta(0, \tau) = 1, \quad \phi(0, \tau) = 1 \\
f(0, \tau) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{n+1}} \tag{24} \\
g(\eta, \tau) &\rightarrow 0, f'(\eta, \tau) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow \infty \\
\theta(\eta, \tau) &\rightarrow 0, \phi(\eta, \tau) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow \infty \\
\end{align*}
\]

Now, obtain the solutions of steady flow from (8-11) as \( f(\eta) = f_0(\eta), g(\eta) = g_0(\eta), \theta(\eta) = \theta_0(\eta), \) and \( \phi(\eta) = \phi_0(\eta) \). It is assumed

\[
\begin{align*}
f(\eta, \tau) &= f_0(\eta) + e^{-\epsilon t}F(\eta, \tau) \\
g(\eta, \tau) &= g_0(\eta) + e^{-\epsilon t}G(\eta, \tau) \\
\theta(\eta, \tau) &= \theta_0(\eta) + e^{-\epsilon t}H(\eta, \tau) \\
\phi(\eta, \tau) &= \phi_0(\eta) + e^{-\epsilon t}J(\eta, \tau) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
\]
where the unidentified eigenvalue is ε where its value needs to fix a stable branch. In addition, $F(\eta, \tau)$, $G(\eta, \tau)$, $H(\eta, \tau)$, and $f(\eta, \tau)$ all their derivatives are assumed small relative to $f_0(\eta)$, $g_0(\eta)$, $\theta_0(\eta)$, and $\phi_0(\eta)$. Now, substituting the correlation (25) in Equations (20-24), we get the following resultant Linearized Eigenvalue Problem (LEVP) system as follows:

$$F''_0 + f_0F''_0 + F_0G' = \frac{4n}{n + 1} f_0' F_0 + \frac{4\Omega}{n + 1} G_0$$

$$G''_0 + g_0 F_0 + G_0 F_0' - \frac{2n}{n + 1} (f_0 G_0 + F_0 g_0) - \frac{4\Omega}{n + 1} G_0' + 2n \varepsilon G_0 = 0$$

$$1 \frac{H''_0}{P_f} + \theta_0 F_0 + H_0' f_0 + N b (\phi_0 H_0 + J_0' \theta_0) + 2n t \theta_0 H_0' + \varepsilon H_0 = 0$$

$$J_0'' + S c \phi_0 F_0 + J_0 f_0 + \frac{N t}{N b} H_0'' + S c e \varepsilon_0 = 0$$

subject to BCs

$$\begin{align*}
F_0(0) &= 0, F_0'(0) = 0, G_0(0) = 0, \\
H_0(0) &= 0, J_0(0) = 0, \\
F_0'(\eta) &\to 0, G_0(\eta) \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty \\
H_0(\eta) &\to 0, J_0(\eta) \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty
\end{align*}$$

All feasible eigenvalues would be acquired (ε) by solving the LEVP system. In Equation (30), a relaxed boundary condition requires being used to achieve the sequence of eigenvalues. The boundary condition $F_0'(\eta) \to 0$ as $\eta \to \infty$ is now restrained to $F_0''(0)$.

### 4. Results and Discussions

Non-linear Equations (8-11) subject to BCs (10) has been numerically solved with bvp4c solver in MATLAB. We have compared the values of $\sqrt{Re}C_{fx}$ and $\sqrt{Re}C_{fy}$ with the results of Zaimi et al. [48] over the stretching surface (i.e. $f'(0) = 1$) in Table 1. From these results, we notice that the numerical outcomes signify good a correlation with the earlier findings. Henceforth, the code of MATLAB can be employed with full conviction to investigate the problem under discussion. The effect of numerous physical parameters such as magnetic number ($0 \leq M < 0.5$), rotation parameter ($0 \leq \Omega \leq 0.04$), positive number ($2 \leq n \leq 3$), Brownian motion parameter ($0.1 \leq Nb \leq 0.5$), thermophoresis parameter ($0.1 \leq Nt \leq 0.5$), and suction parameter ($S \geq 3.5$) are conversed and illustrated in figures.

**Table 1.** Values of $\sqrt{Re}C_{fx}$ and $\sqrt{Re}C_{fy}$ are compared when $f'(0) = 1 = n$ and $M = S = 0$.

| Ω   | $\sqrt{Re}C_{fx}$ | $\sqrt{Re}C_{fy}$ |
|-----|------------------|------------------|
| 0.5 | 0.5128           | 0.5128           |
| 1   | 1.3250           | 0.8371           |
| 2   | 1.2873           | 1.2873           |
| 3   | 1.6248           | 1.6248           |
| 4   | 1.9054           | 1.9054           |

The existence of multiple solutions enables one to explore those parameters lead to the existence of two branches. The reduced skin friction variants $f'(0), g'(0)$, heat transfer $-\theta'(0)$, and $-\phi'(0)$ are shown in Figures 2-5 for various values of $n$. Moreover, $Sc = -2.40392, -2.6249, -2.8285$ is the
equivalent critic of $n = 2, 2.5, 3$ where $S_c$ is the critical point where all solutions exist at $S = S_c$. Dual branches are noted as $S \geq S_c$ and when $S < S_c$ there is no solution. The estimation of boundary layers beyond such critical values is no longer justified. Reduced skin friction ($f''(0)$) reduces when $n$ is increased in the upper branch. Further, $g'(0)$ decreases when $n$ increases in the lower branch. On the other hand, $g'(0)$ rises when values of $n$ are increased in the upper branch. In addition, the behavior of $g'(0)$ and $S$ are inversely proportional in the lower branch. Nature of reduced heat transfer ($-\theta'(0)$) can be seen in Figure 4 in which $-\theta'(0)$ enhances in both branches when the effect of $S$ reduces, while the opposition movement has been examined in both branches for the rising values of $n$. Similarly, the effects of suction and positive constant were drawn in Figure 5 in order to examine their effects on the nanoparticle fraction of nanofluid. As previously noticed in Figure 4, the same behavior is noted.

![Figure 2. $f''(0)$ for numerous values of $S$ and $n.$](image2)

![Figure 3. $g'(0)$ for numerous values of $S$ and $n.$](image3)

![Figure 4. $-\theta'(0)$ for numerous values of $S$ and $n.$](image4)

![Figure 5. $-\varphi'(0)$ for numerous values of $S$ and $n.$](image5)

Figures 6-9 allude to the impacts of rising magnitudes of $M$ on profiles of velocity $f'(\eta), g(\eta)$, temperature profiles $\theta(\eta)$, and concentration profiles $\Phi(\eta)$. Figures 6 and 9 show that $f'(\eta)$ and $g(\eta)$ decline for the rising magnitudes of $M$ in the upper solution, but the opposite movement is noticed in the lower solution. It is apparent from these estimates that for significant values of $M$, the thickness of momentum boundary layers of $f'(\eta)$ and $g(\eta)$ are decreased in the stable branch. Physically, the decreasing behavior is due to the magnetic field effect on the nanofluid experience of the force induced by the electrical current. This electrically conductive nanofluid interacts with a transverse magnetic field that induces the Lorentz forces. The Lorentz’s force reduces the velocity flow and thus reduces the thickness of layer. The temperature and concentration of nanofluid enhance in both branches when the magnetic effect increases (see Figures 8-9).
Figure 6. $f' (\eta)$ for different values of $M$.

Figure 7. $g(\eta)$ for different values of $M$.
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Figure 8. $\theta(\eta)$ for different values of $M$.

Figure 9. $\phi(\eta)$ for different values of $M$.

Figures 10-11 display the effect of increasing values of $Nt$ on the dimensionless temperature profiles $\theta(\eta)$ and concentration profiles $\phi(\eta)$, respectively. These figures show that $\theta(\eta)$ and $\phi(\eta)$ increase for the increasing values of $Nt$ in both branches. These increments in the thickness of boundary layers are due to the fact that the higher effect of $Nt$ supports molecules of nanoparticles and fluid to transfer heat to the next layer and therefore temperature and concentration increase.
Figure 10. $\theta(\eta)$ for different values of $N_t$.

Figure 11. $\phi(\eta)$ for different values of $N_t$.

Figures 12-13 are prepared to see the variations in temperature profiles $\theta(\eta)$ and concentration profiles $\phi(\eta)$ for various magnitudes of $N_b$, respectively. Figure 12 indicates that $\theta(\eta)$ rises for the increasing values of $N_b$ in both branches. This situation is true because, in the fluid flow process, it is possible to surging in the rate of heat transfer in the presence of the thermophoresis effect. The concentration of boundary layers, on the other hand, decreases in thickness in both branches. The consequence of Brownian motion can be described as the nanoparticles extending in the entire fluid and thus decrease in the concentration profiles.

Figure 12. $\theta(\eta)$ for different values of $N_b$.

Figure 13. $\phi(\eta)$ for different values of $N_b$.

Figure 14 illustrates that $\theta(\eta)$ decreases for increasing values of $Pr$ in both branches. The development of nanofluid, a blend of center fluid and nanoparticles, depends on values of $Pr$. The rising $Pr$ values enhance the base fluid viscosity, resulting in a decline in the thickness of the thermal boundary layer and thus a decrease in heat transfer for the higher $Pr$ values. This is because the extremely viscous nanofluid results in poor conductivities of thermal that influence the phenomenon of
conduction to reduce the thickness of thermal boundary layer. In the extremely viscous nanofluid, the motions of dispersed nanoparticles are often more impacted because of lower nanoparticle forces between one another.

Figure 14. $\theta(\eta)$ for different values of $Pr$.

Figure 15 is plotted for $\emptyset(\eta)$ to analyze the effects of $Sc$. It is observed that $\emptyset(\eta)$ decreases for the increasing values of $Sc$ in both branches. This decrease in $\emptyset(\eta)$ for large values of $Sc$ is justified due to the fact that $Sc$ is directly proportional to the kinematic viscosity of the nanofluid. The increasing values of $Sc$ increase the viscosity of the nanofluid which results in a decrease in $\emptyset(\eta)$. Finally, it is noted that the stable branch has symmetrical behavior with regard to the parameter of rotation (See Figure 16). It can be easily concluded from Figure 16 that the symmetrical branches belong to this fluid model.

Figure 15. $\emptyset(\eta)$ for different values of $Sc$.

Figure 16. $g(\eta)$ for different values of $\Omega$.

Governing Equations (26-29) have been resolved by employing the bvp4c function. The results of the smallest eigenvalues are given in Table 2. The governing system provides an infinite range of eigenvalues. The smallest negative eigenvalues; $\varepsilon < 0$ implies that the flow has an initial disruption development that may disrupt the flow and, ultimately, induce unstable flow. Besides that, the smallest positive eigenvalues; $\varepsilon > 0$ specifies that an initial decay of disturbance occurs in the flow, are showing the stable flow.
Table 2. Values of $\varepsilon$ for $S$ and $n$ where $M = 0.1 = Nt, Nb = 0.3, Sc = Pr = 1, \Omega = 0.04$.

| $n$ | $S$ | $\varepsilon$ |
|-----|-----|---------------|
| 2   | -2.403 | 0.0001 |
|     | -2.6  | 0.1271 |
|     | -3    | 0.5973 |
| 2.5 | -2.625 | 0.0005 |
|     | -2.8  | 0.3857 |
|     | -3    | 0.9643 |
| 3   | -2.83 | 0.0002 |
|     | -3    | 0.4585 |
|     | -3.2  | 1.0962 |

5. Conclusion

In this study, we investigate MHD nanofluid 3D flow through a non-linear shrinking sheet for the heat transfer problem with multiple branches and stability analysis characteristics. The numerical analysis is conducted by applying the three-stage Labatto IIIA method in bvp4c solver to study the multiple branches of the problem with the stability analysis of the branches. Fluid suction/injection is found to have a major effect on the distribution of velocity, temperature, and concentration, which transitively influences the presence of multiple branches within the boundary layer. The main findings of the current study are

1. For $S \geq 0.9$, there are two branches of the nanofluid problem solution, namely a lower and an upper branch. It is noticed that the lower one is not a physically suitable branch.

2. Upper branch is a stable branch from both branches.

3. An increase in the thermophoresis parameter advances nanofluid temperature along with concentration profiles.

4. Brownian motion parameter reduces the nanofluid concentration.

5. Increasing Hartmann number causes the reduction of nanofluid velocity uniformly due to the presence of Lorentz force.
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