A bacterial riboswitch class senses xanthine and uric acid to regulate genes associated with purine oxidation
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ABSTRACT

Dozens of candidate orphan riboswitch classes have been discovered previously by using comparative sequence analysis algorithms to search bacterial genomic sequence databases. Each orphan is classified by the presence of distinct conserved nucleotide sequences and secondary structure features, and by its association with particular types of genes. One previously reported orphan riboswitch candidate is the “NMT1 motif,” which forms a hairpin structure with an internal bulge that includes numerous highly conserved nucleotides. This motif associates with genes annotated to encode various dioxygenase enzymes, transporters, or proteins that have roles associated with thiamin or histidine metabolism. Biochemical evaluation of numerous ligand candidates revealed that NMT1 motif RNA constructs most tightly bind 8-azaxanthine, xanthine, and uric acid, whereas most other closely related compounds are strongly rejected. Genetic assays revealed that NMT1 motif RNAs function to turn off gene expression upon ligand binding, likely by regulating translation initiation. These results suggest that NMT1 motif RNAs function as aptamer domains for a riboswitch class that specifically responds to high concentrations of oxidized purines. Members of this “xanthine riboswitch” class appear to regulate genes predominantly related to purine transport and oxidation, thus avoiding the effects of overproduction of these common purine derivatives.
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INTRODUCTION

Riboswitches that respond to metabolites or elemental ions are routinely used by many bacterial species to control the expression of genes coding for proteins that regulate metabolic or elemental ion homeostasis processes, or that make physiological changes in response to signaling molecules (Breaker 2011, 2012, 2018; Serganov and Nudler 2013; Sherwood and Henkin 2016; Lotz and Suess 2018). Over 45 different riboswitch classes have been experimentally validated, each using one or more characteristic aptamer domains to selectively bind a variety of fundamental metabolites or metal ions and control the expression of genes relevant to these ligands (McCown et al. 2017).

In addition, many classes of “orphan” riboswitch candidates (Barrick et al. 2004; Meyer et al. 2011; Weinberg et al. 2017a; Greenlee et al. 2018) have been discovered by using computational strategies to identify unusually well-conserved and structured RNAs located in the non-coding regions of some bacterial genomes. Both the number of orphan riboswitch candidates and the apparent diversity of these RNAs strongly suggest that far more riboswitch classes remain to be experimentally validated (Ames and Breaker 2010; McCown et al. 2017). If true, then the types of ligands sensed by riboswitch aptamers are likely to be far greater than is presently known. The discovery and validation of additional riboswitch classes and their associated regulatory networks will help reveal the functions of proteins whose activities were unknown or previously mischaracterized (e.g., see Baker et al. 2012; Nelson et al. 2017).

The NMT1 motif is an orphan riboswitch candidate that was previously identified through comparative sequence analysis as a structured, noncoding RNA of unknown function (Weinberg et al. 2017a). Representatives of this RNA motif are usually found immediately upstream of the open reading frames (ORFs) of variously annotated genes wherein the precise functions of their protein products remain uncharacterized. One class of genes commonly
associated with the NMT1 motif codes for proteins commonly annotated as NMT1/THI5-like. These annotations imply that the genes share similarity to the NMT1 gene of fungi, which codes for an enzyme involved in synthesis of the pyrimidine moiety of thiamin (McColl et al. 2003; Bale et al. 2010). There are already two validated riboswitch classes related to thiamin metabolism: one that senses the coenzyme form, thiamin pyrophosphate (TPP) (Mironov et al. 2002; Winkler et al. 2002) and another that senses the TPP precursor 4-amino-5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpyrimidine pyrophosphate (HMP-PP) (Atilho et al. 2019). These observations were used to formulate our initial hypothesis that NMT1 motif RNAs function as metabolite-binding riboswitches, perhaps for a ligand related to TPP metabolism.

However, further bioinformatic analysis of the NMT1/THI5-like annotated genes associated with the RNA motif revealed that these genes were distinct from the NMT1 genes relevant to thiamin metabolism. Moreover, the various other genes associated with NMT1 motifs were similar to those encoding non-heme iron (II) dependent dioxygenases, alpha-ketoglutarate dependent dioxygenases, nucleoside transporters, and adenosine and guanine deaminases. These bioinformatic analyses thus implicated the genes associated with NMT1 motifs in purine degradation, rather than thiamin metabolism.

There are also various known riboswitch classes that sense purines and their biosynthetic intermediates, including guanine (Mandal et al. 2003), adenine (Mandal and Breaker 2004), ZTP (Kim et al. 2015), and phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate (PRPP) (Sherlock et al. 2018a). These riboswitch classes commonly regulate genes related to the production of purine nucleobases or purine transport. In addition, rare riboswitches for 2′-deoxyguanosine-5′-monophosphate (dGMP) regulate ribonucleotide reductase genes to control biosynthesis of purine DNA monomers (Kim et al. 2007; Weinberg et al. 2017b), and a riboswitch class also exists that senses 5′-diphosphorylated adenosine nucleotides ADP and dADP to regulate NUDIX hydrolases (Sherlock et al. 2019). Finally, several riboswitch classes exist that sense signaling molecules derived from purine nucleotides, including c-di-GMP (Sudarsan et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2010), c-di-AMP (Nelson et al. 2013), c-AMP-GMP (Kellenberger et al. 2015; Nelson et al. 2015a), and ppGpp (Sherlock et al. 2018b). All these experimentally validated riboswitch classes provide abundant precedence for aptamers that sense purines and their metabolites, demonstrating that the bacterial domain of life extensively exploits RNA to monitor the concentrations of various purines and their natural derivatives. Previously, however, there were no known riboswitch classes that predominantly regulate enzymes involved in the degradation of purine nucleobases.

In the current study, we demonstrate that NMT1 motif RNAs selectively recognize oxidized purine nucleobases. Structure activity relationship (SAR) data reveal that natural ligands xanthine and uric acid are bound most tightly. In addition, genetic analyses demonstrate that representatives of these RNAs, now renamed xanthine riboswitches, function as genetic “OFF” switches to repress the translation of mRNAs whose gene products would otherwise generate oxidized purines. These findings reveal that some bacterial cells monitor the levels of oxidized purines, presumably to avoid accumulating unnecessary or even toxic levels of these purine degradation waste products.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genes associated with the NMT1 motif share similarity with dioxygenases involved in purine oxidation

The consensus sequence and secondary structure model originally reported for NMT1 motif RNAs (Weinberg et al. 2017a) was updated after the identification of additional unique-sequence representatives. These additional RNAs were uncovered and subsequently examined by using comparative sequence analysis algorithms to search recently released bacterial genomic sequence data (see Materials and Methods for details). A total of 649 representatives have now been identified, which are widely distributed in alpha-, beta- and gamma-Proteobacteria.

The additional NMT1 motif representatives were examined to create an updated consensus model and to expand the available information regarding gene associations. The revised secondary structure model roughly conforms to a two-stem (P1 and P2) junction, wherein stem P2 forms a small internal bulge to divide the stem into two parts (P2a and P2b) (Fig. 1A). Key differences between the new consensus model and the previous model are supported by nucleotide covariation that is consistent with the formation of P2a, and by the absence of covariation evidence that led to the reduction in proposed length for the P1 stem. Most of the highly conserved nucleotides in the updated consensus model are located in the bulged nucleotides forming the junction between P1 and P2a (J1-2a), and likewise in the junctions J2b-2a and J2a-1. We speculated that these highly conserved nucleotides are likely to be critical for the formation of the ligand-binding aptamer structure.

Bioinformatic comparisons between representatives also yielded evidence for the common use of expression platforms that use sequestration of the ribosome binding site (RBS) of the adjacent open reading frame (ORF) (Supplemental Fig. S1). This type of translation control mechanism is frequently used by members of many riboswitch classes (Barrick and Breaker 2007; Breaker 2018). Almost no examples of intrinsic terminator stems were identified, which is consistent with previous observations (Weinberg et al. 2017a) and with the fact that NMT1 motif
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NMT1 motif RNAs function as selective aptamers for xanthine and uric acid

An RNA construct called 53 tauA (Fig. 2A) carrying 53 nt of the NMT1 motif representative associated with the tauA gene from Serratia plymuthica strain S13 was prepared by in vitro transcription. 5′ 32P-labeled RNAs were then evaluated by using in-line probing, which is a method that provides information on the structural characteristics of RNA, including folding changes brought about by ligand binding (Soukup and Breaker 1999, Regulski and Breaker 2008). Initial examination of a diverse collection of purines revealed that modulation of the 53 tauA RNA structure is triggered by xanthine binding (Fig. 2B), which exhibits an apparent dissociation constant (K_d) of ~3.7 µM (e.g., Fig. 2C) based on two repeat experiments. Similar results were obtained for uric acid (K_d ~ 25 µM), which differs from xanthine by oxidation of the C8 position of the purine ring (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Ligand binding by the aptamer is dependent on the presence of highly conserved nucleotides in the joining regions. This assessment was made by examining mutant versions of an extended aptamer construct carrying 68 nt upstream of the tauA ORF from S. plymuthica S13 called 68 tauA (Fig. 3A). This extended construct was originally prepared and tested concurrently with the 53 tauA construct to reduce the experimental risk of testing only a single construct that might be trimmed too short or that might misfold. Mutations were arbitrarily tested using the longer of the two constructs.

Mutant construct M1 of the 68 tauA construct, which carries a single A to U mutation at position 12 that alters the nucleotide identity at an otherwise strictly conserved site, exhibits a complete loss of xanthine binding (Fig. 3B). Construct M2, which carries a G to C mutation at the invariant nucleotide position 38, likewise loses ligand binding function. Although there appears to be some modulation of the M2 construct in response to xanthine in the final lane (Fig. 3B), there are two reasons why we believe this does not reflect the normal function of the RNA. First, the banding pattern suggests that the structure adopted by the M2 construct is distinct from WT, and therefore the mutant construct is not expected to function normally. Second, the banding pattern changes are different than those for the unmodified construct. Given that this last lane has a very high concentration of xanthine, we
believe the changes are indicative of artifacts in banding patterns typically observed when high ligand concentrations nonspecifically affect in-line probing reactions. Similar losses in ligand binding function were observed for constructs M1 and M2 when exposed to uric acid (Supplemental Fig. S3). Likewise, construct M3 (Fig. 3A), in which the stability of P1 is disrupted, also loses xanthine binding function (Supplemental Fig. S4). These results are consistent with our hypothesis that the highly conserved secondary structure and core of \( \text{NMT1} \) motif RNAs forms a binding pocket for certain oxidized purines such as xanthine and uric acid.

To further examine the ligand binding characteristics of \( \text{NMT1} \) motif RNAs, we conducted an SAR analysis by subjecting either the 53 \( \text{tauA} \) (Fig. 2A) or the 68 \( \text{tauA} \) (Fig. 3A) RNA constructs to in-line probing analyses in the presence of various analogs of xanthine or other nucleobases and their derivatives. Each compound was initially tested at concentrations of 100 µM and 1 mM to screen for ligand binding (e.g. see Supplemental Fig. S5), and additional active compounds (Fig. 4A) were further analyzed to establish \( K_D \) values (Fig. 4B; Supplemental Fig. S6). Most analogs tested, including the common natural pyrimidine nucleobases, are strongly rejected by the aptamers (Supplemental Figs. S5, S7), indicating that the ligand-binding pocket is highly selective for specific oxidized purine compounds.

The combined ligand binding data were then used to create a model depicting the proposed key molecular recognition contacts on xanthine (Fig. 4C). Notably, analogs of xanthine or uric acid that carry a single methyl group on the N1, N3, or N7 positions fail to associate with the aptamer (Supplemental Figs. S7, S8). Binding is also precluded by the presence of a methyl group on N9 of uric acid, or the presence of a ribose moiety at this same position on hypoxanthine (as the compound inosine). These results highlight the importance of these purine ring positions as molecular recognition contact points, although the additional bulk presented by methyl or ribose group appendages could cause a steric clash with the aptamer. Alteration of the carbonyl oxygen atoms on C2 and C6 is also disruptive, suggesting these oxygens might be involved in forming hydrogen bonds, or possibly forming contacts with Mg\(^{2+}\) ions as is common with structured RNAs such as riboswitches (Ferré-D'Amaré and Winkler 2011).

We also examined the ligand function of 8-azaxanthine, which is a small molecule inhibitor of uric acid oxidase, an enzyme that breaks down uric acid to 5-hydroxyisourate (Colloc'h et al. 1997; Ramazzina et al. 2006). This compound is bound with an affinity similar to xanthine and...
uric acid, whereas some compounds with added bulk at position 8 of the purine ring exhibit some loss of binding affinity (Fig. 4A, B). These results suggest that the C8 position alone is of little importance for molecular recognition, although changes at this position might have some effect on the ability of the adjacent atoms to contact the aptamer. Also, there might be a modest steric effect that permits the aptamer to discriminate against ligands with certain chemical moieties attached to C8. Regardless, the current SAR data suggests that the aptamer exploits various contacts with xanthine and closely related analogs to form a highly selective binding pocket for natural purine oxidation products (Fig. 4C).

In the process of validating NMT1 motif RNAs as natural aptamers for xanthine and uric acid, we also tested other compounds chosen based on the genetic context, including those most relevant to the thiamin biosynthesis and histidine degradation pathways. However, we did not observe modulation of the S3 tauA RNA construct by these ligands at concentrations as high as 1 mM (Supplemental Fig. S5). These findings again support our conclusion that NMT1 motif representatives function as high-affinity aptamers for xanthine and uric acid.

Riboswitch-reporter fusion assays demonstrate that NMT1 motif RNAs function as genetic “OFF” switches

To evaluate the possible genetic control functions of NMT1 motif RNAs, we created a construct for gene expression studies in Escherichia coli as a surrogate organism by fusing the NMT1 motif representative from Hydrogenophaga intermedia strain S1 to a β-galactosidase reporter gene (Fig. 5A). This NMT1 motif sequence is naturally associated with four genes that are commonly found with NMT1 motif representatives: two NMT1 genes, pcbC and tadA (Fig. 5A, inset). Specifically, the NMT1 and pcbC genes are relevant to purine oxidation as described above. The associated tadA gene is predicted to function as an adenosine deaminase, whose catalytic activity is expected to yield the oxidized nucleoside inosine. Therefore, the NMT1 motif example chosen for genetic analysis a typical representative of this candidate riboswitch class.

The H. intermedia representative also was chosen in part because of the relatively short distance between the aptamer and the RBS. Specifically, a ribosome binding site (RBS) resides downstream from the conserved portion of the NMT1 motif, suggesting that the expression platform might involve the regulation of ribosome binding to the mRNA. Furthermore, there is no evidence for the presence of an intrinsic terminator stem (strong stem followed by a run of U nucleotides) (Wilson and von Hippel 1995; Yarnell and Roberts 1999) in this or other examples (Supplemental Fig. S1), which suggests that members of this riboswitch class do not directly use a transcription termination mechanism.

Two genetic reporter constructs were tested: a wild-type (WT) version and a mutant version (M4) that carries a single nucleotide change at the equivalent location to the S3 tauA M1 construct, which is known to cause a loss of ligand binding activity (Fig. 3A). In liquid media assays, levels of the WT riboswitch reporter gene expression were lowest under both rich media and minimal media growth conditions. In contrast, the M4 reporter construct exhibits higher gene expression under both rich and minimal media growth conditions. In contrast, the M4 reporter construct exhibits higher gene expression under both rich and minimal media growth conditions, suggesting that the riboswitch ligand is present under both conditions, and that the RNA motif turns “OFF” translation of downstream genes (Fig. 5B).

All the genes regulated by the NMT1 motif are predicted to catalyze reactions on compounds that are earlier than xanthine and uric acid in the metabolic pathways for purine degradation. Therefore, the reporter assay results
are consistent with the genetic contexts of NMT1 motif RNAs. An excess of oxidized purine degradation products might need to trigger the repression of genes involved in the transport of nucleosides by nucleoside transporters, the deamination of purines by deaminases and amidohydrolases, and the oxidation of purines by dioxygenases, or the cell risks the toxic effects of these molecules. For example, uric acid is famously disruptive to biological systems due to its insolubility, and can cause joint and kidney damage in humans (Dalbeth et al. 2016).

Concluding remarks

Taken together, our findings support the hypothesis that NMT1 motif RNAs are selective riboswitches for oxidized purines such as xanthine and uric acid, and that they turn off genes whose expression would otherwise lead to the accumulation of potentially toxic levels of these compounds. For example, uric acid is famously disruptive to biological systems due to its insolubility, and can cause joint and kidney damage in humans (Dalbeth et al. 2016).

FIGURE 4. SAR data for ligand binding by an NMT1 motif RNA representative. (A) Chemical structures xanthine and various analogs that are bound by the S3 tauA RNA construct. (B) Plot of the $K_D$ values for various ligands. Compounds that failed to exhibit evidence of binding when tested at 1 mM are depicted in Supplemental Figure S4, Supplemental Figure S6, and Supplemental Figure S7. (C) Proposed molecular recognition contacts based on the affinities observed for various ligand candidates tested.

Given the ligand-binding specificity of these RNAs, we propose renaming NMT1 motif RNAs as xanthine riboswitches. The discovery and experimental validation of xanthine riboswitches highlights some of the challenges encountered by those pursuing riboswitch ligand discovery and validation studies. In particular, vague or inaccurate gene annotations can cause confusion and delays with experimental efforts. In this case, the NMT1/THI5-like gene annotations were most misleading, as the xanthine riboswitch class appears to be unrelated to thiamin metabolism. However, careful comparisons made between the genes associated
with this riboswitch class and other proteins with similar amino acid sequences provided clues that were sufficient to create a more promising collection of ligand candidates that could be tested.

Prior research had uncovered gene clusters in K. pneumoniae and K. oxytoca involved in hypoxanthine assimilation (Riva et al. 2008) and purine utilization (Pope et al. 2009), respectively. Although dioxygenases associated with NMT1 motif representatives share sequence similarity with alpha-ketoglutarate dependent dioxygenases, the precise functions of these proteins have not been established. The finding that NMT1 motif RNAs bind to xanthine and uric acid strongly supports the view that the dioxygenases will eventually be proven to operate on purine substrates. This hypothesis also is consistent with the fact that the dioxygenases associated with this riboswitch class are highly similar in sequence to dioxygenases encoded by hpxD and hpxE genes, which are known to be associated with purine oxidation pathways (Riva et al. 2008).

The strong binding affinities of NMT1 motif RNAs to 8-azaxanthine, xanthine, and uric acid support the notion that the RNA binds to oxidized purines likely involved in the purine degradation pathway in these bacteria. However, we cannot be certain at this time whether members of this riboswitch have a specific preference in cells for the ligand that triggers gene control. It is possible that xanthine riboswitches respond to the accumulated pool of oxidized purines, or perhaps the natural ligand simply is the single oxidized purine form that accumulates the most. Regardless, our results will provide useful guidance as researchers perform further experiments to validate the functions of the proteins encoded by the riboswitch-controlled genes, which is warranted given the importance of purine metabolism in living systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioinformatics analyses

Additional NMT1 motif RNAs (Supplemental File S1) were discovered by using the comparative sequence analysis algorithms CMfinder (Yao et al. 2006) and Infernal 1.1 (Navrocki and Eddy 2013) as described previously (Weinberg et al. 2017a). The database examined was comprised of a complete set of genomic DNA sequences (RefSeq version 80) and microbial environmental sequence collections as described previously (Weinberg et al. 2017a). RNA sequence and secondary structure consensus models and covariation data were depicted by using R2R software (Weinberg and Breaker 2011), and manually examined to assess the data and adjust the depictions. Protein sequence homology was determined using NCBI Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (Altschul et al. 1990).

Chemicals, biochemicals, and oligonucleotides

Synthetic oligonucleotides (Supplemental Table S1) and most compounds used to conduct SAR analyses were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Exceptions include 3- and 9-methyluric acid (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), isoxanthopterine and 7-methyluric acid (Cayman Chemical Company), and 8-hydroxyguanine (Carbosynth). $[\gamma-32P]$ ATP (specific activity: 6000 Ci/mmol) was purchased from PerkinElmer. Genetic reporter constructs were designed (Supplemental Table S2) by the authors and subsequently purchased from GenScript VectorArt Gene Synthesis.

RNA oligonucleotide preparation

Synthetic DNA oligonucleotides templates with a T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RNAP) promoter on the 5’ terminus were transcribed, purified by 10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), and extracted, using protocols similar to those previously described (Chen et al. 2019). To generate 5’-32P-labeled RNAs for in-line probing assays, 80 pmols of the RNA transcript was first dephosphorylated using rAPid alkaline phosphatase (Roche Life Sciences) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Subsequently, 20 pmols of the resulting RNA was 32P-radioabeled at the 5’ terminus using T4 polynucleotide kinase in a 20 µL reaction mixture consisting of 25 mM CHES (pH 9.0 at 19°C), 5 mM MgCl2, 3 mM DTT, and 20 µCi $[\gamma-32P]$ATP. The reaction was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The resulting radiolabeled RNA was purified by denaturing 10% PAGE and the desired RNA was extracted as noted above.

In-line probing assays

In-line probing assays were performed as previously described (Soukup and Breaker 1999; Regulski and Breaker 2008) with the following exceptions. Stock solutions of ligand candidates were prepared in 50 mM aqueous sodium hydroxide. Reactions of 10 µL volume containing ~125 nM of 5’-32P-labeled RNA were incubated at 19°C for 36 h with the desired ligand in the presence of 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3 at 23°C), 100 mM KCl, and 20 mM MgCl2. Denaturing 10% PAGE was performed to resolve the reaction products. Binding curves and apparent $K_D$ values were estimated as previously described (Malkowski et al. 2019) using ImageQuant 5.1 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) for quantitation and GraphPad Prism 8 for graphical analysis.

Genetic reporter assays

Genetic reporter constructs were designed with a thiC promoter from E. coli, followed by the NMT1 motif RNA representative from H. intermedia strain S1, and included the first eight codons of the downstream ORF. This construct was inserted into 5’ EcoRI and 3’ BamHI restriction sites of plasmid pRS414 (Simons et al. 1987) upstream and in-frame with a lacZ (β-galactosidase) gene by GenScript VectorArt Gene Synthesis. WT and M4 reporter constructs in plasmid pRS414 were transformed into E. coli strain BW25113 from the Keio Collection (Baba et al. 2006) and grown overnight in Luria Broth (LB) at 37°C with modest shaking. The following day, cultures were diluted to an OD600 of 0.1 and grown in both rich (LB) and minimal (M9) media in the presence of 50 µg mL$^{-1}$ of X-gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside). Changes in culture color were recorded after 11 h incubations.
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