Mono and dual agonists of the amylin, calcitonin, and CGRP receptors and their potential in metabolic diseases
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ABSTRACT

Background: Therapies for metabolic diseases are numerous, yet improving insulin sensitivity beyond that induced by weight loss remains challenging. Therefore, search continues for novel treatment candidates that can stimulate insulin sensitivity and increase weight loss efficacy in combination with current treatment options. Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and amylin belong to the same peptide family and have been explored as treatments for metabolic diseases. However, their full potential remains controversial.

Scope of review: In this article, we introduce this rather complex peptide family and its corresponding receptors. We discuss the physiology of the peptides with a focus on metabolism and insulin sensitivity. We also thoroughly review the pharmacological potential of amylin, calcitonin, CGRP, and peptide derivatives as treatments for metabolic diseases, emphasizing their ability to increase insulin sensitivity based on preclinical and clinical studies.

Major conclusions: Amylin receptor agonists and dual amylin and calcitonin receptor agonists are relevant treatment candidates, especially because they increase insulin sensitivity while also assisting weight loss, and their unique mode of action complements incretin-based therapies. However, CGRP and its derivatives seem to have only modest if any metabolic effects and are no longer of interest as therapies for metabolic diseases.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Amylin, calcitonin, and CGRP are members of the calcitonin family of peptides and receptors. This family is unique and has complex pharmacology and diverse physiological roles, so their treatment potential covers a relatively broad range of diseases [1,2]. Although the peptide family is quite well understood, several questions remain unanswered. The family consists of calcitonin, amylin, adrenomedullin, intermedin (adrenomedullin 2), α- and β-CGRP, and the calcitonin receptor-stimulating peptides (1–3). The receptors of the family consist of one of the two 7TM GPCR domains, called calcitonin receptors (CTRs) and calcitonin receptor-like receptors (CRLRs); however, only the CTRs are active as stand-alone receptors. The 7TM GPCR domains can interact with one of three different receptor activity-modifying proteins (RAMPs), resulting in a total of 7 different functional receptors as well as the non-functional CRLRs (see Section 2.2) [1–3].

The complexity of the receptor-ligand system in this family has complicated studies of the physiological role of these receptors and ligands, although many reports have shed some light on their physiology and identified several relevant pharmacological targets. This review provides a thorough description of the metabolic roles and therapeutic applications of amylin and CGRP, underscoring the unique potential of these peptide hormones.
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product of a second gene revealing no calcitonin-encoding DNA, just a
calcitonin pseudogene. It is very similar to acGn, as it differs by only
three amino acids [7]. Structurally, CGRPs are identical to amylin, with
an N-terminal disulfide bridge and C-terminal amidation. CGRPs are
expressed throughout the peripheral and central nervous system and
are involved in pain transmission [9]. Inhibition of CGRP functionality
has been extensively studied, and antagonism of CGRP-CGRP-receptor
(CGRP-R) interactions has proven efficacious in migraine [9,10].
Importantly, studies have also indicated a role of CGRPs in the regu-
lation of food intake [11].
Calcitonin is a 32 amino acid peptide that was discovered in 1961.
Later it was shown to be secreted by the thyroid gland, and its role in
calcium homeostasis was established [12–14]. Calcitonin is gener-
ated through the maturation of preprocalcitonin, a 141-amino-acid
peptide. Preprocalcitonin is processed into active peptide by removing
the signal- and propeptides as well as a C-terminal peptide called
katacalcin [15]. Whether katacalcin has a function remains unknown
[15]. Mature calcitonin is a single-chain peptide with 32 amino acid
residues and a molecular weight of approximately 3.4 kDa, depending
on the species. Similar to amylin, it has an N-terminal disulfide bridge
connecting the cysteines at positions 1 and 7, an α-helical domain,
and natural C-terminal amidation [2]. To date, a host of calcitonins
from different species has been identified, although most have not
been fully assessed. In addition to human calcitonin, porcine, eel,
salmon, and chicken calcitonin have been studied thoroughly due to
their potencies, which far exceed those of mammalian calcitonins in
human calcitonin. Overall, the calcitonin sequences fall into three
categories: 1) human-type, 2) porcine-type, and 3) salmon-type. There
are very few differences in terms of potency of these peptides on the
target receptor, with the salmon-type exceeding the other groups [2].
Salmon-type calcitonins, salmon and eel calcitonin, are the best
studied and were identified in 1967 and 1975, respectively [16,17].
Interestingly, salmon calcitonin and possibly also eel and chicken
calcitonin has the same ability as calcitonin and amylin. They are
considered dual amylin and calcitonin receptor agonists (DACRAs) and
have been studied as amylin receptor agonists in addition to research
in which they were used as calcitonin receptor agonists [18,19].
Three additional members of the family are known. Adrenomedullin
(AM) is the largest member of the family with 52 amino acids. It was
isolated in 1993 from human pheochromocytoma as a cAMP elevating
peptide in platelets. The encoding cDNA was elucidated shortly
thereafter [20–22]. Intermedin (adrenomedullin 2) was discovered in
2004 and is a 53 amino acid peptide shown to have some overlap in
tissue expression with CGRP or AM [23–25]. The final member of the
family is the calcitonin receptor-stimulating peptide (CRSP) of which
three porcine but no human isoforms have been identified. CRSP, a 38
amino acid peptide, was identified in 2003 and shown to have a
sequence similar to CGRPs. However, from a functionality perspective,
it appears more related to calcitonin, although only little is known [26].
As the functionalities of these three family members reside outside the
metabolic field, they will only be mentioned briefly herein. Adreno-
medullin (AM) is a widely expressed peptide hormone that was orig-
inally identified as a vasodilator and mediates a series of physiologic
effects in the cardiovascular system [22,27]. Intermedin/adrenomedullin 2
is also widely expressed, although it appears to be more mode
restricted than AM [28]. Similar to AM, it appears to be functional in the
vasculature and heart, where it increases blow flow and contractility,
respectively, and the effects are mediated both centrally and periph-
erally [28].

| Name          | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  | 19  | 20  | 21  | 22  | 23  | 24  | 25  | 26  | 27  | 28  | 29  | 30  |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| acGn          | A   | C   | C   | T   | C   | V   | T   | H   | I   | A   | G   | I   | L   | S   | S   | G   | G   | V   | V   | K   | E   | V   | N   | G   | S   | K   | A   | F   | MN  |
| Human amylin  | H   | C   | M   | T   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | MN  |
| Rat amylin    | H   | C   | M   | T   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | Ccy |
| Proratcalcine | H   | C   | M   | T   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | AMY2 |
| Boar calcitonin| H   | C   | M   | T   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | A   | T   | C   | AMY2 |
| SCD3          | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |
| Nonyan               | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |
| Calcitonin Enriched | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |
| Eel Enriched       | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |
| MSP-682          | A   | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |
| MSP-688          | A   | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |
| MSP-691          | A   | C   | N   | M   | N   | S   | T   | C   | V   | L   | G   | K   | L   | S   | Q   | E   | L   | R   | K   | Q   | T   | P   | N   | G   | S   | N   | T   | V   | MN  |

Figure 1: Schematic structure of the peptide ligands of the calcitonin receptor family. Colored circles indicate amino acids, but not a specific type of amino acid.

Figure 2: Names, sequences of the peptides described in this article, and their target receptors. Gray highlights underscore the amino acid changes in pramlintide inspired by rat amylin.
2.2. Receptors
For a long time after ligand identification, the family’s receptors were elusive; however, in 1991, the porcine calcitonin receptor (CTR) was identified [29]. Shortly thereafter, the human homologues of this receptor were cloned [30]. These were shown to be 7-transmembrane (7-TM) G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). Studies have demonstrated that two subtypes of human and rat CTR exist, namely CTRa and CTRb, where the CTRa variant has broad tissue expression, while the CTRb variant is more restricted, with expression in the placenta and ovaries, but not in fetal brain and uterus [31]. The calcitonin-receptor like receptor (CRLR) was identified as a 7-TM GPCR; however, it was considered an orphan receptor at its discovery in 1995 [32]. It was not until seminal work by McLatchie et al., in 1998 that the full receptor picture became clear. They described the receptor activity-modifying proteins (RAMPs), of which three were identified. These are critical receptor components for the functionality of this receptor [33]. The amylin receptor was ultimately shown to consist of a CTR and a RAMP [34]. The discovery of the RAMPs and their interactions with the CTRs and CRLRs laid the foundation for the segregation of the receptors into the presently known seven functionally different receptors. The receptors are illustrated in Figure 3 showing the CTR alone or in the presence of one of the three RAMPs, while the CRLR alone is non-functional and therefore not shown. The CRLR becomes functional when combined with one of the RAMPs as illustrated in Figure 3 [3].

2.3. Receptor pharmacology
The receptors for the calcitonin family are class B GPCRs, that is, 7-TM GPCRs. As previously mentioned, the receptors for CGRP, AM, and amylin require interactions between the GPCR and one of the three RAMPs [2]. To form the amylin receptor, one of the three RAMPs interacts with the CTR. For CGRP and AM, the related CRLR interacts with RAMP1 to form the CGRP receptor and RAMP2 or 3 to form the AM1 and AM2 receptors [10,35,36]. Additionally, there are two CTR isoforms a and b that respond with slightly different profiles to stimulation with calcitonin, although overall the functional difference in vivo between these is unclear [10,35,36].

A full review of the downstream signal transduction of these receptors is beyond the scope of this article. However, the classical Gs-induced cascade with cAMP as a critical mediator of receptor activation is common to these receptors and has shed light on the ranking of ligand potencies using engineered reporter cell systems [2]. Recent studies have emerged investigating the potential therapeutic power of biased ligands, that is, ligands that selectively activate parts of the signaling cascades. Andreassen et al. [37] described how optimizing biased ligands or prolonging receptor activation may be critical for evoking better in vivo activities. These studies are early and further research is necessary to determine whether they will result in improved and more selective therapies [38—41]. Together, this warrants the need to understand differences in physiologically and pharmacologically relevant signaling in target tissues and cells.

Figure 3: Modified from [2]. Ligands are indicated by spheres with sizes showing their relative potency at each receptor (bigger sphere = higher potency). Abbreviations: AM1-2, adrenomedullin receptor 1—2. AMY1-3, amylin receptor 1—3. AM, adrenomedullin. AM2, adrenomedullin 2 (intermedin). CGRP, calcitonin gene-related peptide. CTR, calcitonin receptor. HCT, human calcitonin. SCT, salmon calcitonin.
Careful receptor profiling using radio-ligand binding and cAMP induction studies in engineered cells has helped elucidate the individual peptides' rank order on the receptors (Table 1). Validating these studies in vivo, or even in isolated primary cell systems, in many cases still remains to be published. The most important finding is that each human ligand is relatively selective for its target receptor, although with some overlap between amylin and CGRP, which activate the opposing receptor, but with reduced potency [3]. The amylin receptor subtypes are pharmacologically distinct and AMY<sub>1</sub> and AMY<sub>2</sub> receptors bind amylin with high affinity, while AMY<sub>1</sub> receptors, but not AMY<sub>2</sub> receptors bind CGRP with high affinity [2]. A key finding is that salmon calcitonin and other teleost and avian forms of calcitonin such as eel and chicken are not just calcitonin receptor agonists, but also by far the most potent amylin receptor agonists [18] and as such are called dual amylin and calcitonin receptor agonists (DACRAs). Salmon calcitonin has also been shown to bind and activate the receptors for a prolonged period compared to human and rat versions of calcitonin and amylin [37,42]. This prolonged activation is due to a significantly reduced dissociation of the DACRAs from the receptor as well as an ability to maintain the actively signaling receptor following internalization compared to endogenous ligands [37,43].

A newer group of synthetically produced DACRAs, also called KBPs, has been developed. These have been studied with respect to both receptor activation profiles and in vivo activities in a series of metabolic models (see Section 4.1). These molecules were developed to identify a peptide with increased potency on both the CTR and AMY-R compared not only to the selective ligands, but also to the natural DACRAs, SCT, and ECT. In in vitro receptor activation tests, they showed 2—4 fold higher potency on both the CTR and AMY<sub>2</sub>-R, with no activation of the CGRP-R, consistent with originating from SCT [42,44,45]. Second, they were studied with respect to their ability to bind and elicit a prolonged receptor activation, and as described by Gydesen et al. [42], DACRAs activate the receptors for up to 72 h depending on the dose. Importantly, this translates into prolonged effects in vivo and is likely a key part of the explanation of their ability to elicit weight loss and glucose control when dosed as single daily injections in vivo, where selective agonists such as rat amylin require delivery via infusion pumps to be efficacious [46] (see Figure 4). A particular case is seen with davalintide, which functionally is a DACRA, although it activates the CGRP-R at very high concentrations [47,48]. Interestingly, it binds irreversibly to the AMY-R and yet fails to induce weight loss without being delivered via infusion pumps, potentially explaining the lack of improved clinical efficacy compared to pramlintide [48,49].

An important comment to these studies is that the overall ranking of the potencies of these ligands is not based on head-to-head comparisons of all the ligands, but on a series of separate studies comparing a few in one or two selected over-expression systems.

### Table 1 — Ranking of ligand potencies on the receptors of interest, as described by [37,50].

| Receptor | Calcitonin Receptor | Amylin Receptors | CGRP Receptor |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Composition | CTR | AMY<sub>1</sub>-R: CTR + RAMP1 | CGRP-R: CRLR + RAMP1 |
| Rank order of potency | SCT > human CT > AMY, CGRP > AM, AM2/IMD | AMY<sub>1</sub>-R: SCT > AMY > CGRP > AM2/IMD > human CT > AM | CGRP > AM > AM2/IMD > AMY > SCT |
| Selective agonists | Human CT | AMY | αCGRP |
| Prolonged activation | SCT | SCT/Davalintide | |
[54]. Therefore, salmon calcitonin has been extensively researched due to its high in vivo and in vitro potency; however, many studies were conducted before separate amylin and calcitonin receptors were discovered [33,34]. While antagonists of the receptors exist in the form of N-terminally truncated peptides, these are limited in terms of both selectivity and potency, although AC187 is considered selective for the amylin receptor and has been widely applied in studies of the physiology of amylin [55].

3. PHYSIOLOGICAL ROLE(S) OF AMYLIN, CALCITONIN, AND CGRP

3.1. Amylin

Physiologically, amylin is as a satiation hormone that acts as a meal-ending signal [56]. The concentration of amylin increases 5–6 fold in response to meal size [57–59]. Amylin is rapidly cleared from the circulation via the kidneys [60,61] and has a half-life of approximately 13 min [62]. The pancreas is the primary peripheral tissue secreting amylin although mRNA has been detected in the lungs and gastrointestinal tract as well as the hypothalamus and dorsal root ganglia [63–66]. However, the relevance of amylin derived from non-pancreatic tissues remains unclear.

A few studies have used amylin knockout mice to investigate amylin’s physiological role. These studies were consistent with a role of amylin as a regulator of appetite, energy homeostasis, and glucose control, at least partially, through a downregulation of insulin and potentially an increase in insulin sensitivity [67,68]. The satiation properties of amylin have also been investigated using the amylin receptor antagonist AC187 [39]. Both central and intravenous infusion of the antagonist AC187 result in dose-dependent increases in food intake in rats without effects on overall body weight [69–71]. Instead, amylin seems to serve as an adiposity controller given that AC187-infused rats increase body fat relative to lean mass [69]. This was supported by a study in which the amylin receptor core (the CTR) was specifically depleted in the ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei (VMH) [72]. Receptor-depleted rats showed no alterations in food intake, while they gained approximately 30% more weight, predominantly fat mass, consistent with amylin signaling playing a central regulatory role in body weight independent of appetite regulation. Along with higher adiposity, rats infused with AC187 exhibited increased plasma insulin and plasma glucose compared to control rats, data that indicate a physiological role in glucose control and potentially insulin sensitivity [69] and contrast findings in isolated skeletal muscles from rats showing deterioration of glucose control following amylin stimulation [73].

In addition to the previously mentioned insulin secretion, amylin’s glucose regulation qualities include a broad range of different downstream effects including reductions in glucagon secretion, gastric emptying, and gastric acid secretion. Endogenous amylin slowed the rate of gastric emptying, delaying the transfer of glucose and other nutrients into the circulation, which helps control blood glucose [74,75].

In addition to reducing the gastric emptying rate, amylin also exerts a direct inhibitory effect on glucagon secretion. When rats were infused with the amylin antagonist AC187, glucagon levels increased compared to vehicle under euglycemic conditions [75]. This was to a large extent supported by the finding that near-physiological (47 pM) but not physiological (13 pM) amylin infusion causes significant reductions in l-arginine-stimulated glucagon increase [76]. The effect seems to be extrinsic to the pancreas, as endogenous amylin does not affect glucagon levels in l-arginine-stimulated perfused rat pancreas or isolated islets [77].

Overall, there is little doubt that most amylin signaling is centrally mediated via the area postrema, which subsequently propagates the signal. Brain nuclei such as the ventral tegmental area and nucleus accumbens are also key players in mediating central amylin signaling. A detailed description of the brain nuclei involved in amylin signaling is outside the scope of this review but was reviewed in detail in [41,78].

3.2. Calcitonin

Calcitonin is secreted by the C cells of the thyroid gland [79]. Attempts at deciphering the physiological function of calcitonin are numerous. However, these have proven complex due to the previously described genetic overlap between calcitonin and zCGRP and the receptor overlap between CTR and AMY-R [2,34]. However, the overlap in receptors is the main reason for the inclusion of calcitonin in this review. Most calcitonin studies focused on bone turnover and calcium homeostasis, where the interaction between calcitonin and the receptor plays a modest role as documented by calcitonin receptor knockout in the osteoclasts, that is, one of the few cells considered to exclusively express CTRs [53,80]. More importantly and relevant for the metabolic focus of this paper, CTR knockout mice have impaired glucose tolerance [81]. However, it remains unclear whether this is due to deficiency in the CTR or AMY-R [34,54,82]. Furthermore, the same group showed that deleting the calcitonin gene led to improved glucose tolerance and protection from diet-induced obesity in male mice, an effect that was less pronounced when only deleting the zCGRP gene exclusively [81]. Moreover, a recent study showed the prevention of obesity and hyperglycemia in aged obese male mice [83] when deleting the calcitonin gene while maintaining the zCGRP gene intact. Thus, data exist to support a role of the calcitonin-CTR interaction in metabolism, although further data are needed. In contrast to these studies, pharmacological administration of the CTR-selective ligand, rat calcitonin, showed an improvement in glucose control in ZDF rats [84]. This improvement further complicates the picture but fits well with the potent glucose regulatory capacities of the DACRA molecules and is in line with CTR knockout mice which indicate a potential role of CTR in glucose homeostasis [51,85,86].

3.3. CGRP

The central nervous system (CNS) has proven critical in regulating energy homeostasis. Studies have demonstrated innervation of the white adipose tissue (WAT) and thereby a cellular source of CGRP release in this highly relevant metabolic tissue. WAT innervation and CGRP release derived from the neurons may play an important role in adipocyte lipid metabolism and thereby whole-body metabolism [87].
CGRP is synthesized by neurons in the central and peripheral nervous system and released by trigeminal and dorsal root ganglia [88,89], although peripheral cells have also been reported to produce CGRP [90]. The main function appears to be vasodilatory effects as a function of different stimuli, including pain, which is well-described [90,91]. However, for a detailed examination of the physiology and pathophysiology of CGRP besides the metabolic functions, we refer to the following excellent reviews [10,90].

While several CGRP knockout mice have been developed for studies of the physiological role, most of these studies focused on vasodilatory effects and some were confounded by simultaneously knocking out the calcitonin gene [90]. Walker et al. demonstrated that αCGRP deficiency was associated with increased β oxidation and energy expenditure and thereby protection against diet-induced obesity, although one model also demonstrated deficient calcitonin [92]. Bartelt et al. and Liu et al. studied specific αCGRP knockout in mice exposed to metabolic disturbance by a high-fat diet [81,93]. In this model, they found a modest weight reduction and small improvement in glucose control and plasma insulin, indicating that αCGRP has a moderately negative effect on these metabolic parameters [81,93]. This was supported by a study showing that antagonism of CGRP signaling improved metabolic parameters and potentially inhibited metabolic decline in aged mice [94]. Hence, it appears that αCGRP is a negative regulator of metabolic health. An interesting observation was that pharmacological dosing with CGRP showed beneficial effects on the metabolism, including a mixture of pro- and anti-diabetic/metabolic effects [90]. However, as pharmacology and physiology in many cases provide different responses, this will be discussed later. Overall, the physiological relevance of CGRP with respect to metabolic functions is somewhat limited.

4. IN VIVO PHARMACOLOGY OF AMYLIN RECEPTOR AGONISTS

4.1. Preclinical

Several agonists with different receptor activation potencies have been used to investigate the pharmacological effects of amylin receptor activation. The most interesting and commonly used will briefly be presented before a description of amylin receptor agonist pharmacology.

4.1.1. Introducing the amylin agonists

In contrast to most species-specific amylin, human amylin has the unfortunate property of forming toxic aggregates in the pancreas [95], which makes the human sequence of amylin unsuited for pharmacological purposes. Therefore, the most frequently used amylin is rat amylin, which does not aggregate due to sequence differences (see Table 1). Pramlintide is a designed amylin analogue in which the non-aggregatory properties of rat amylin are fused with the human amylin sequence for clinical treatment [96]. The amylin analogue, davalintide, was developed for the same purpose, although davalintide also activates the calcitonin receptor and has very low potency on the CGRP-R. However, due to the low potency on the CRR, it is unclear whether this manifests in vivo [47,49]. As previously described, salmon calcitonin is used due to its potent amylin receptor agonism; however, it should be kept in mind that SCT is a potent calcitonin receptor agonist. Peptide derivatives were developed based on the potent nature of teleost-avian calcitons. As previously mentioned, these were carefully developed to exceed the potency of SCT in terms of both amylin and calcitonin receptor activation in vitro and in vivo. They are called KeyBioscience peptides (KBPs) [18,44] and have demonstrated metabolic effects exceeding those of selective amylin receptor agonists (see Figure 5).

4.1.2. Meal size, taste aversion, nausea, and pica behavior

Pharmacological amylin doses reduce overall food intake in rats by altering meal patterns. The reduction is evident by a reduction in meal size, although meal frequency may also be affected [56,97–100]. Salmon calcitonin dosed centrally also decreases meal size in rats [101] and non-human primates across a dose range while only the highest dose decreased meal frequency [102]. In many cases, amylin is administered by continuous infusion, for example, by subcutaneously implanted osmotic minipumps, ensuring continuous drug availability and compensating for the short half-life. Using this delivery method, amylin reduced food intake for 3–4 days after which food intake returned to control levels [46,103]. When delivering amylin through subcutaneous injections, food intake is also reduced, resulting in weight loss; however, the effects only last 1–2 h [56,97–100]. The mechanism behind reduced food intake does not include conditioned taste aversions [99,104–106] or ingestion of non-nutritive substances such as kaolin, indicating that the molecules, independent of their potency, do not induce toxic effects at pharmacologically relevant doses [47,97,101,107,108].

4.1.3. Food preference

Activation of the amylin receptor leads to a transient reduction in food intake, which normalizes to control levels. The literature supports that the reduction is primarily mediated by a decrease in meal size. In addition, data also point to improved food preference. Rats offered a high-fat diet and chow significantly reduced the percentage of calories obtained from a high-fat diet relative to chow when treated with amylin (300 µg/kg/day) or davalintide (10 µg/kg/day) [47]. The data were corroborated by another amylin study [97] and research using KBPs. In these studies, control rats favored chocolate ingestion, while treated rats switched to regular chow [83]. This improvement in food preference was also observed in long-term studies (5–6 weeks) in both lean and obese rats offered a high-fat diet and chow [108]. Collectively, these studies suggest that amylin agonism leads to an increase in low-energy dense foods at the expense of high-energy dense foods and is not dependent on the lean/obese state.

4.1.4. Weight loss and comparison of agonists

The weight-reducing effect of amylin has received considerable attention in light of the worldwide increase in obesity and comorbidities...
comorbidities. Treating obese rats using infusion pumps with amylin led to a dose-dependent weight loss that reached a plateau at 8—10% of vehicle-corrected weight loss [46,103], although up to 14% has been reported [97]. Dosing with 1000 μg/kg/day amylin failed to produce a greater weight loss [46], supporting that the maximal weight loss was reached with amylin monotherapy at ~300 μg/kg/day in rats. Dual agonists such as salmon calcitonin, KBPs, or davalintide induce higher weight loss at much lower doses than amylin. Importantly, the increased efficacy of the DACRAs is unrelated to the exposure profile, which is very similar to that of other members of this family of peptides, namely fast elimination (t1/2 < 1 h) [109]. Consistent with their increased in vitro potency and ability to induce prolonged receptor activation, they were superior to known agonists in terms of body weight and glucose improvements when dosed at equimolar concentrations [44,49]. In a study focusing on eliminating differences in potency using the maximum efficacious dose of amylin delivered via continuous infusion pumps, DACRA showed a larger effect on body weight and glycemic control [46,84]. Studies of the dual and/or triple agonists demonstrate an order of potency regarding weight loss in the following order: KBPs > SCT > davalintide > amylin [44,46,49], although it is important to remember that not all of these studies were conducted head to head with all compounds, but in most cases compared only two of the molecules, and future studies comparing all in the same study using the same output are relevant.

4.1.5. Energy expenditure, hyperthermia, and locomotor activity

The weight loss obtained with amylin agonism can be ascribed to the pronounced food suppressive effect observed during the initial phase of the treatment period. However, rats pair-fed to treated rats did not achieve the same weight loss and/or reduction in adipose tissues [103,107,110], and pair-fed to treated rats [85] must receive significantly less food compared to treated rats to obtain the same weight loss. To understand this, energy expenditure in treated, pair-fed, and vehicle rats was investigated. These studies showed that amylin and salmon calcitonin as a minimum prevented the typical compensatory reduction in energy expenditure induced by food restriction [47,97,103,111—113]. In papers reporting increased energy expenditure [97,111], this may be attributable to a relative increase in lean mass [103]. There was no indication of locomotor activity changes that could theoretically contribute to increased energy expenditure regardless of the type of amylin receptor agonist used [47,97,111]. Whether increased energy expenditure leads to increased body temperature remains unclear, but appears to depend on the administration route [111,112,114].

4.1.6. Glucose control, insulin and glucagon secretion, and gastric emptying

Amylin agonism improves glucose metabolism by affecting different parameters: the gastric emptying rate and insulin and glucagon secretion. Rats dosed continuously with amylin or KBP demonstrated reduced gastric emptying rates during an oral glucose challenge [46]. Similarly, davalintide reduced the gastric emptying rate [48]. The gastric emptying effect of amylin agonism helped control glucose excursions during a glucose challenge and reduced the insulin required for glucose control [107]. Importantly, insulin secretion regulation is independent of the gastric emptying response, as it was also present during an intravenous glucose challenge [85,107].

Another property of amylin agonism is the suppression of glucagon secretion, which is often inappropriately elevated in individuals with insulin resistance [115]. Salmon calcitonin suppressed glucagon secretion during an acute oral glucose tolerance test [116], and davalintide attenuated the rise in glucagon secretion following an l-arginine bolus using a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp [49]. In Zucker diabetic fatty (ZDF) fa/fo rats, amylin and DACRAs showed the ability to reduce fasting blood glucose independent of weight loss [19,46,64]. These data strongly support improvements in insulin action, as was observed in studies of a KBP using the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp method [107]. Of note, amylin, even at high and continuously delivered doses, was unable to match the KBP in terms of efficacy on glucose regulation, demonstrating that the combination of AMY-R and CTR agonism by DACRAs was essential for the improved blood glucose regulation in rat models [46,84].

Islet histology assessments demonstrated that salmon calcitonin treatment preserved β cells and protected against loss of insulin secretion in the ZDF model [19], although it is unknown whether this was due to prevention of β cell loss through improving glucose homeostasis or a direct protective effect on the β cells. In summary, these data demonstrate that activation of the amylin receptor increases insulin action by requiring less insulin to control a given glucose challenge; however, the combination of amylin and calcitonin receptor induced effects appears to be responsible for DACRA-induced increases in insulin action and glucose control.

4.1.7. Are the amylin-mediated effects central, peripheral, or both?

It is well known that amylin primarily mediates its effects through central activation of the receptors present in brain regions such as the area postrema, nucleus of the solitary tract, ventral tegmental area, nucleus accumbens, and other regions of the brain [47,98,117—122]. These mechanisms were recently and carefully reviewed by [41,78] and thus will not be further described.

In addition to the centrally mediated effects, several studies shed light on potential peripheral effects of amylin receptor agonism. Interestingly, effects on adipocytes and skeletal muscle cells have been described; however, these were in many cases dependent on the system studied with marked differences between fasted and fed conditions as well as healthy vs obese vs diabetic conditions and as such will not be described in further detail [123—132].

An interesting finding is that most of these studies indicated that amylin agonism induces insulin resistance in rat muscle tissue, a result that clearly contrasts with its anti-diabetic and weight loss effects in long-term preclinical and clinical studies [85,107,133—135]. One interesting aspect is that acute (first dose) treatment with amylin agonists results in an acute rise in blood glucose in humans [136—138] and rats [49,73,107,139], likely by translocating muscle glycogen to the liver using lactate as a substrate [139], a finding that led to an initial idea that both amylin and salmon calcitonin were diabetogenic. However, acute dosing in type 2 diabetic and obese subjects demonstrated the opposite [136—138], and further chronic studies have clearly shown that this is a finding observed only at the first dose and primarily in healthy subjects [85,107,133—135].

4.1.8. Amylin receptor sensitivity

The balance between efficacy and tolerability can be delicate and must be assessed for any given drug. Amylin is generally well-tolerated and food intake is normalized within a few days upon continuous dosing assuming food intake is a direct reflection of tolerability and hence receptor sensitivity [46,103]. Intriguingly, an acute amylin response can still be induced on top of a high dose of chronic amylin treatment, suggesting that the amylin receptor system is not saturated despite normalized food intake [46]. An alternative dosing regimen such as dosing every other day with KBPs was shown to enhance the already known improvement in weight loss. However, it is unclear what...
extent this will impact the tolerability [45,85,108]. Nevertheless, these observations indicate that amylin receptor sensitivity is complicated and depends on both the activation and dissociation of the ligands from the receptors, and studies shedding light on this are still warranted.

4.1.9. Combination studies
Leptin is an adipose tissue-derived peptide hormone that regulates appetite and loss of leptin function either through deficiency of the molecule itself or the leptin receptor, leading to obesity and type 2 diabetes [140]. However, obesity causes consistently elevated leptin levels and subsequent loss of leptin sensitivity, and as such leptin therapy alone is limited [141,142]. However, co-administration of amylin or a DACRA acutely potentiates a response to leptin therapy in rodent models [109,143]. In concurrence, amylin- and DACRA-induced weight loss is absent is virtually absent in leptin-deficient models such as the ZDF rats [86,144]. The underlying mechanism behind these effects is not clearly understood, but involves a central relay and IL-6 signaling [145].

Incretin-based therapies are another important combination possibility with amylin. Incretin-based molecules such as liraglutide, dulaglutide, and semaglutide are important therapies for both obesity and type 2 diabetes. Incretins at least partially mediate their effects through regulation of appetite reduction, suppression of gastric emptying, and post-prandial glucose stimulation and as such have attractive characteristics for combination with amylin and/or DACRAs [146,147]. A previous study in non-human primates suggested a synergistic reduction in food intake when combining GLP-1 and amylin agonism [148]. In addition, co-treatment with DACRA, KBP-089, and GLP-1 agonist liraglutide was recently shown to lead to combined effects on weight and glucose homeostasis [45]. Overall, the amylin-mediated mechanism of action is highly interesting as a combination partner, and as is described later, this is already being clinically tested.

4.2. Clinical
The amylin analogue, pramlintide, was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2005 and is currently the only amylin analogue on the market. It is approved for patients with type 1 diabetes in combination with mealtime insulin and has since been approved for patients with type 2 diabetes in combination with insulin, metformin, and/or sulfonylurea. Davalintide was thought to be the next generation of amylin receptor agonists; however, development was halted following a phase 2 study failing to demonstrate effects superior to pramlintide [149]. Salmon calcitonin was approved in 1975 to treat osteoporosis in men and post-menopausal women, but the weight-reducing and glucose-regulatory potential has not been the focus of clinical studies and will not be further addressed in this section. Interestingly, a new long-acting amylin analogue is being studied in clinical trials, and although data have only been shared in the form of press releases and hence have not been under the scrutiny of peer review, they underscore the potential of amylin-receptor agonism at least for weight loss [150].

4.2.1. Weight control
Insulin therapy in patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes is often accompanied by weight gain [151]. Therefore, it is beneficial that pramlintide treatment is associated with a modest placebo-corrected weight loss of up to 3.6 kg in non-insulin-treated obese individuals [133] and insulin-treated type 2 [134] and type 1 diabetes patients [135]. In addition to the studies of pramlintide as a monotherapy, combination studies with sibutramine, phentermine, or metreleptin have also been published. In these studies of weight loss improvement in obese subjects, it was clearly demonstrated that pramlintide could be combined with either of these drugs/drug candidates and result in increased weight loss [152,153]. Importantly, despite these rather encouraging weight loss data, pramlintide has never been approved as a therapy for obesity. Pramlintide has been shown numerous times to help control post-prandial glucose excursions that are often experienced by individuals with type 1 or type 2 diabetes [154,155]. Three different mechanisms at aid in this: modulation of the gastric emptying rate, glucagon secretion, and satiety. Pramlintide reduces gastric emptying, thereby causing a slower transfer of nutrients and glucose into the circulation [156,157]. Interestingly, pramlintide seems to have a “hypoglycemic brake.” In hypoglycemia, the normal pramlintide-induced reduction in gastric emptying is bypassed, thus allowing a more rapid nutrient uptake [158]. Glucagon secretion is often inappropriately elevated in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, which signals the liver to increase glucose production, thereby worsening hyperglycemia [159,160]. In healthy individuals, endogenous insulin is secreted from the pancreas and directly to the hepatic portal vein, which potently suppresses hepatic glucose production. In individuals with impaired or lack of insulin secretion, exogenous insulin does not reach the same high concentration in the portal vein, thus attenuating its suppressive effect. Hence, in terms of glucagon and hepatic glucose production, individuals with diabetes, metabolically, have one foot on the gas pedal and no brake. Pre-prandial pramlintide partially compensates for this, as it suppresses inappropriate glucagon secretion, thereby avoiding glucagon-induced hyperglycemia [155,161,162]. As has also been shown preclinically, pramlintide therapy in humans promotes long-term satiety [133] and reduces caloric intake in single-injection studies [163]. Daily injections of pramlintide in addition to insulin therapy significantly improve glycemic control in patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes as evident by a reduction in HbA1c by ~0.5% (placebo corrected) [134,135,164]. In studies designed with flexible insulin therapy, pramlintide causes a relative reduction in daily insulin use, suggesting an overall improvement in insulin action [134,164,165]. Pramlintide is generally well-tolerated and further improves with dose escalation, although transient nausea is observed but lessens over time [133]. The incidence of hypoglycemic events increased during the first weeks of pramlintide therapy [166], particularly in clinical studies in which adjusting the insulin dose was not encouraged [134,135].

4.2.2. Pramlintide and analogues and their future clinical use
The worldwide prevalence of obesity increases the risk of comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Medical treatments preferably targeting multiple diseases are in demand. Pramlintide improves post-prandial glycemic control while causing modest weight loss and possibly improves markers of cardiovascular disease, although the overall risk of cardiovascular incidence is unaffected [167,168]. Cardiovascular disease and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease are both caused primarily by obesity [169,170]. However, pramlintide is not of great use for cost and efficacy reasons, and thus, a search is being conducted for more potent amylin receptor agonists and longer-acting amylin receptor agonists such as DACRAs and different chemically modified versions of pramlintide [49,171]. Interestingly, amylin receptor agonism has presented as complementary to GLP-1 receptor agonism, highlighting this mechanism’s potential as an add-on therapy to GLP-1R agonists [18,172,173]. A long-acting amylin agonist has already shown potential as a weight-reducing agent both as monotherapy and combination therapy with a GLP-1 agonist [150]. This discovery may revive
the interest in amylin therapy as an approach to treat diabetes, obesity, and associated comorbidities.

4.3. Pharmacology of calcitonin receptor agonists

As mentioned in the section on physiology, there are indications that calcitonin plays a role in metabolism. However, while calcitonin, particularly DACRA salmon calcitonin, has been extensively studied within osteoporosis and osteoarthritis, there are very few studies utilizing a selective calcitonin receptor agonist, that is, human or rat calcitonin, to investigate the effects on metabolic parameters. Salmon calcitonin is widely used as an amylin agonist due to its vastly superior potency on the amylin receptor as previously described [101,102]. However, few studies have considered the possibility that one reason for the potent ability of DACRAs to improve glucose homeostasis beyond amylin-mediated post-prandial glucose regulation could be the activation of the calcitonin receptor. A recent study focused on deconstructing the role of the two target receptors of DACRAs for their ability to regulate blood glucose [84]. In this study, the differences in terms of potency and prolonged receptor activation [37,49] between DACRAs and the natural and receptor-selective ligand rat calcitonin were eliminated by administering rat calcitonin, rat amylin, or the two combined using an infusion pump delivering a daily dose of 300 μg/kg of either molecule alone or in combination and compared to a daily dose of 5 μg/kg of DACRA. Amylin produced the expected anti-diabetic response. Interestingly, rat calcitonin also contributed to glucose-lowering effects, both as a stand-alone therapy and when combined with rat amylin, where the effect matched that of DACRA as schematically illustrated in Figure 6 [84]. These effects were independent of weight loss and specifically observed under diabetic conditions, underscoring the calcitonin receptor’s role in insulin action and glucose homeostasis (see Figure 5). They also demonstrated that activation of both CTR andAMY-R can contribute to glucose control [46,85,107]. However, this remains to be studied further as the molecular mechanism and target tissues must be determined. Furthermore, these were rat data with the lack of efficacy on glycemic control by KBP-042 in a phase 2 trial [174], so it remains to be confirmed in humans.

4.4. Pharmacology of CGRP-R agonists

While genetic data from knockout mice primarily indicate that αCGRP in vivo blunts metabolic responses to a high-fat diet [81,92], pharmacological studies have provided mixed results. Some studies are consistent with the genetic knockout data, while others show improvements in metabolism as a function of treatment [90]. A series of in vivo studies showed that αCGRP administration led to reduced food intake in rodents after peripheral [11] and central administration [176], although it was inferior to amylin in terms of potency [177]. Danaher et al. [178] demonstrated that administering αCGRP induced β oxidation of fatty acids in muscle and as such increased lipid metabolism, all in all indicating the beneficial effects of CGRP administration on the metabolism. Recent studies investigated the potential mode of action that underlies the beneficial effects of αCGRP administration. Nilsson et al. [179] utilized a long-acting αCGRP and observed improvements in food intake, body weight, and glucose control in preclinical models, effects that may involve the upregulation of GLP-1 secretion. Sanford et al. [180] demonstrated reduced food intake in lean animals using αCGRP and a concomitant reduction in energy expenditure. However, this reduction in energy expenditure was due to the substantial reduction in food intake. They also observed reductions in plasma glucagon, but not insulin, data that are consistent with improvements in metabolism, yet appear highly model dependent [180] and as such require further studies. Other studies were consistent with the knockout models’ data and showed detrimental effects on insulin action in vitro and in vivo [181], thereby illustrating the complicated effects of αCGRP on metabolic parameters. Interestingly, while antagonism of αCGRP is approved as a therapy for migraine and numerous antagonists are still in clinical development [182], there are no consistent reports of either detrimental or beneficial effects on the body weight of these drugs [183]. In terms of agonists, these have not yet reached the level of clinical studies, and hence this is unknown.

The discrepancies between pharmacology and physiology are quite intriguing. One important parameter that may explain some of the discrepancies is the overlapping agonism of αCGRP on CGRP-R and AMY-R [3], rendering it difficult to determine the receptor origin of the downstream effects. For ligands such as the long-acting αCGRP analogue, the potency on the CGRP-receptor is unknown [159], which further complicates the picture. Interestingly, this overlapping agonism seems to be unidirectional, as the ability to induce GLP-1 release, a known CGPR effect, was not observed with potent DACRA or salmon calcitonin, rather the opposite [18]. In summary, while both agonism and antagonism of the CGRP receptor have been studied in terms of metabolic responses, the outcome is not promising for using this mechanism as a potential treatment for metabolic diseases. The beneficial effects observed, if any, were relatively modest, and long-acting αCGRP does not appear to be in clinical development. Combined with the lack of reports on the metabolic benefits of antagonists, it does not appear that CGRP modulation will play a major role in the treatment of metabolic diseases.

5. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Molecules that can increase insulin sensitivity while reducing weight are a hot commodity as treatments for type 2 diabetes and/or obesity and more so if they are complementary to existing therapies such as incretin-based molecules. The calcitonin family of peptides is an ancient group of molecules that has been extensively studied in terms of physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacological applications. This review has focused on the potential of amylin, amylin analogues/derivatives, and CGRP as therapies for metabolic disorders such as obesity and type 2 diabetes. We
have emphasized their ability to increase insulin sensitivity and work in combination with existing drugs, particularly incretin-based therapies that demonstrate weight loss and glucose control but would benefit from combination with an insulin-sensitizing entity.

Intriguingly, some peptides demonstrated a disconnect between the physiology and pharmacological effects, as exemplified by zCGRP, wherein knockout mice showed protection against diet-induced obesity but treatment with agonists led to improvements in metabolism [90,179], although not potent enough to warrant clinical testing. However, amylin knockout mice underscored the physiological importance of amylin in appetite regulation [67], consistent with the well-described pharmacology of amylin receptor agonists. Overall, understanding the effects of this family of peptides is clouded by its complicated nature, with the receptors consisting partially of the same subunits, not just for the GPCR domain, but also for RAMPs. This complexity essentially eliminates the utility of classical expression studies and knockouts of the receptors.

Pharmacologically speaking, CGRP receptor modulators have shown only limited metabolic benefits and do not appear to be useful. However, amylin and amylin analogues are very intriguing and have been extensively studied, resulting in the FDA-approved molecule pramlintide. However, pramlintide is somewhat limited in terms of potency and half-life, and there are various approaches to solving these issues. Although not much has been published, Novo Nordisk shared clinical data on their long-acting analogue, and to date, the weight loss observed with once-weekly dosing is promising [150]. This is particularly true considering that the effects appear to be complimentary with GLP-1R agonism, which brings the induced weight loss to a level not previously observed pharmacologically [18,150,172] and as such presenting amylin agonism as an attractive add-on therapy provided the combination is tolerable.

Another promising approach is DACRAs based on the natural dual amylin and calcitonin receptor agonists salmon calcitonin and eel calcitonin but showing unmatched amylin and calcitonin receptor potencies and an ability to prolong activation of the receptor, reducing the dosing frequency to once daily [44,49,85]. DACRAs also appear to possess a greater potential for glucose control than pure amylin receptor agonism and as such may have broader applications [84]. However, clinical data on the DACRA KBP-042 failed to show an improvement in HbA1c in a three-month trial [174], indicating that more clinical data on DACRAs are needed.

An intriguing aspect of amylin-receptor pharmacology is the potential applications in Alzheimer’s disease. Alzheimer’s is still poorly understood but preclinical data indicate a benefit of non-fibrillating amylin-receptor agonists [184] not only through the anticipated effects of metabolic improvement [185]. In conclusion, CGRP receptor agonism appears to not provide useful metabolic benefits, with a potential for vasodilation-induced adverse events. This mechanism seems to be improbable for metabolic complications. In contrast, amylin receptor agonism and particularly dual agonism of amylin and calcitonin receptors remain highly interesting, as the mechanism of action involves improved insulin sensitivity. As they perfectly complement other drugs with metabolic actions, they could help treatment-induced weight and glucose control match bariatric surgery-induced effects [186,187].
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