Diabetic neuropathy and the sensory neuron: New aspects of pathogenesis and their treatment implications
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ABSTRACT
Diabetic polyneuropathy (DPN) continues to be generally considered as a “microvascular” complication of diabetes mellitus alongside nephropathy and retinopathy. The microvascular hypothesis, however, might be tempered by the concept that diabetes directly targets dorsal root ganglion sensory neurons. This neuron-specific concept, supported by accumulating evidence, might account for important features of DPN, such as its early sensory neuron degeneration. Diabetic sensory neurons develop neuronal atrophy alongside a series of messenger ribonucleic acid (RNA) changes related to declines in structural proteins, increases in heat shock protein, increases in the receptor for advanced glycation end-products, declines in growth factor signaling and other changes. Insulin is recognized as a potent neurotrophic factor, and insulin ligation enhances neurite outgrowth through activation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase–protein kinase B pathway within sensory neurons and attenuates phenotypic features of experimental DPN. Several interventions, including glucagon-like peptide-1 agonism, and phosphatase and tensin homolog inhibition to activate growth signals in sensory neurons, or heat shock protein overexpression, prevent or reverse neuropathic abnormalities in experimental DPN. Diabetic sensory neurons show a unique pattern of microRNA alterations, a key element of messenger RNA silencing. For example, let-7i is widely expressed in sensory neurons, supports their growth and is depleted in experimental DPN; its replenishment improves features of DPN models. Finally, impairment of pre-messenger RNA splicing in diabetic sensory neurons including abnormal nuclear RNA metabolism and structure with loss of survival motor neuron protein, a neuron survival molecule, and overexpression of CWC22, a splicing factor, offer further novel insights. The present review addresses these new aspects of DPN sensory neurodegeneration.

INTRODUCTION
Diabetes mellitus is a serious chronic disease characterized by hyperglycemia that results from insulin deficiency as a result of autoimmune-mediated destruction of β-cells of the pancreas, type 1 diabetes mellitus, or resistance to the actions of insulin, type 2 diabetes mellitus1–2. The World Health Organization estimated that 422 million people worldwide were living with diabetes in 20143. The complications of diabetes mellitus include retinopathy, nephropathy, atherosclerosis and neuropathy. Diabetic neuropathies are amongst the most common chronic complications, targeting approximately 50% of persons with diabetes4. Diabetic neuropathies develop diverse clinical manifestations, such as sensory loss and pain, and put patients at high risk for foot ulcers, and amputation, an irreversible complication5–7. One-third of patients with neuropathy experience positive symptoms, including spontaneous pain, paresthesia and allodynia, and this is often called painful diabetic neuropathy (PDN)8. Despite the global prevalence and severe complications of diabetes mellitus, the pathophysiological mechanisms of diabetic neuropathies have not been elucidated. Beyond strict control of glucose levels, therapy that can unequivocally arrest...
or reverse progressive neuropathy is still not available, although there are important symptomatic options in pain management (see other reviews of PDN).

Diabetic neuropathies manifest in several different forms, including sensory, motor, focal/multifocal and autonomic neuropathies. The most common type is diabetic distal symmetric polyneuropathy (DPN), accounting for approximately 75% of diabetic neuropathies. Patients with DPN develop gradual and insidious damage to the distal terminals of sensory neurons first, with symptoms of tingling, pain or loss of sensation in their toes. If their diabetes is poorly controlled, DPN advances with sensory loss involving more proximal extremities and even the central chest, the terminal portions of the intercostal nerves. There is generally involvement of motor nerves later. Epidermal biopsies of diabetes patients have confirmed that loss of sensory axon terminals in the skin of the distal extremity is greater than that observed at more proximal sites. This pattern of disease onset might suggest that sensory neuronal cell bodies, or perikarya, in dorsal root ganglia (DRG) are targeted early by several forms of diabetic impairment and then undergo a "dying back" process of neurodegeneration (Figure 1). Investigations into DRG pathology in human diabetes are lacking, because human DRG biopsies are not ethical to carry out and because of their rapid degradation during the post-mortem interval at autopsy. Nevertheless, several animal models show neuronal atrophy, not necessarily associated with neuron dropout despite the loss of foot pad epidermal sensory axons. Atrophic neurons in DRG also develop a series of gene expression changes related to structure, neuronal stress and protection. Taken together, we have emphasized the hypothesis that direct targeting of DRG by diabetes can account for the prominent and often early sensory neuron degeneration that patients with DPN develop.

Diverse pathogenic etiologies, including microvascular-induced ischemia, the formation of extracellular advanced glycation end-products (AGEs), inflammatory cytokines, increased aldose reductase activity and oxidative stress, are considered in the development of DPN. These might target neuronal perikarya, axons, Schwann cells and nerve or ganglia microvessels. The present review begins with a discussion of the microvascular hypothesis, then addresses selective aspects of sensory neurodegeneration associated with the intracellular insulin signaling pathway. In addition, we provide new evidence that sensory neuron degeneration is linked not only to aberrant intracellular signaling with messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) expression changes, but also with dysregulated mRNA processing mediated by microRNA (miRNA) post-transcriptional alterations. Understanding sensory neuron degeneration in the context of aberrant RNA processing might give rise to new therapeutic strategies.

**MICROVASCULAR HYPOTHESIS**

DPN has long been described to be a microvascular complication of diabetes alongside nephropathy and retinopathy. Aberrant changes in endoneurial capillary morphology and vascular reactivity under diabetic conditions might contribute to the development of diabetic neuropathy through endoneurial ischemia. Pathological investigations of sural nerve biopsies from diabetes patients showed capillary basement membrane thickening, capillary pericyte degeneration and endothelial hyperplasia in endoneurial microvessels. The presence of endoneurial microangiopathy appeared to precede the development of peripheral neuropathy. In addition, imaging of exposed sural nerves in patients with DPN has suggested the presence of microvascular abnormalities in the epineurial vessels. A number of experimental DPN models have reported that both nerve blood flow (NBF) and endoneurial oxygen tension are reduced in the sciatic nerve, and their conduction velocities are reduced in proportion to the changes of NBF.

Endothelial cell dysfunction is commonly considered to be a mainstay in the pathogenesis of diabetic microvascular disease. For example, endothelium-dependent vasodilation is impaired in the vasculature of experimental diabetic animals and humans with diabetes. Diabetes-induced endothelial dysfunction is attributed to oxidative stress, impaired metabolic signal transduction pathways, impaired release of vasoactive molecules and decreased smooth muscle sensitivity. The molecular mechanisms of microvascular damage in DPN might be mediated by intracellular signal transduction pathways in endothelial cells involving the polyol pathway, protein kinase C, AGEs, angiotensin II and abnormal mitochondrial activity. Therapeutic approaches to improve vascular dysfunction by targeting these molecules have identified recovery of measures of DPN in diabetic animal models.

However, the selective involvement of sensory axons, or even nerve trunks more generally, is difficult to attribute to this hypothesis. Nerve trunks have an overlapping blood supply from end arteries that form multiple connections, or anastomoses, and only relatively severe ischemia provokes axonal degeneration. This is dramatically different from ischemia-prone tissue, such as the brain and spinal cord. Furthermore, DPN can develop in children at early ages (e.g. 3 months) after the development of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus without the vascular complications of long-term diabetes. The Zochodne laboratory has also failed to identify convincing evidence for an initial microvascular trigger for polyneuropathy using a variety of diabetic experimental models in the hands of differing investigators. Morphological studies of the vasa nervorum in DPN models have not identified loss of vessels or decreased vessel calibers, but instead increased luminal caliber or angiogenesis. Although some laboratories have identified reductions in NBF as mentioned, reductions in NBF are not observed in all models of diabetes mellitus, and some long-term models in rats show normal NBF (several technical factors could contribute to these discrepant findings, summarized previously). Sural nerve serial blood flow measures in patients with mild diabetic polyneuropathy, studied by laser Doppler flowmetry, did not decline over a 1-year time-period.
despite a mild ongoing reduction in nerve fiber density, whereas patients with more severe loss of nerve fibers tended to have higher rates of blood flow. To resolve these contradictions, some investigators recently proposed an idea that disturbances in capillary flow patterns associated with microvascular changes, instead of a global reduction of blood flow in the whole nerve, can reduce the amount of oxygen and glucose to be extracted by the nerve. In this hypothesis, there are consequent abnormalities of nerve function and perhaps frank axon damage. Individual capillary blood flows in the tissue might be variable, and capillary transit times across a vascular bed have a certain distribution with the standard deviation referred to as capillary transit time heterogeneity (CTH). Given this concept, the development of microangiopathy in DPN has been suggested to correspond to increases in CTH. Mild increases in CTH, which represents endothelial damage without loss of function, lead to the reduction of oxygen extraction accompanied by a compensatory increase of tissue blood flow. If CTH
further increases with progression of microangiopathy, the compensatory flow response might be lost because of more advanced endothelial dysfunction, and the low tissue oxygen tension could contribute to nerve dysfunction or damage. Although there remain difficulties in linking microvascular changes with an initial trigger for DPN, it is likely that microangiopathy develops in parallel with early functional and structural changes of the nerve, and both are prominent later in the development of DPN. Direct targeting of DRG by diabetes could account for the apparent selective sensory abnormalities that patients with early DPN develop, discussed next.

**DIRECT NEURONAL INVOLVEMENT OF DIABETES: INSULIN, GLUCAGON-LIKE PEPTIDE-1, RECEPTOR FOR AGE AND HEAT SHOCK PROTEIN 27**

DRG contain the cell bodies of primary sensory neurons responsible for conveying sensory information from the periphery to the spinal cord (Figure 1). Sensory neurons in the DRG have an attenuated protective neurovascular barrier compared with the blood–brain or blood–nerve barrier, making them vulnerable to toxic circulating agents, unlike peripheral nerve trunks, the brain and spinal cord.

Blood capillaries are abundant within the DRG, and are more permeable to low and high molecular weight molecules than the brain or endoneurium. Blood capillaries are also more permeable than the peripheral nerve trunk, which is also more permeable than the brain or endoneurium.

In addition, DRGs have higher blood flow with features of partial autoregulation, unlike the endoneurium, as well as lower oxygen tensions. These physiological features suggest that DRGs might be susceptible to microangiopathy in diabetes, leading to sensory neuron damage. In our laboratory, we identified that DRG blood flow had selective reductions in a rat model of diabetes mellitus, whereas endoneurial blood flow in the nerve trunk was preserved. Despite these physiological differences, whether primary reductions in DRG blood flow render ischemic neuronal damage or are simply secondary reductions is unclear.

Diabetic sensory neurons have functional and structural alterations both at the level of perikarya in DRGs and of axons in the distal terminals of the epidermis (Figure 1). Neuronal and distal axon atrophy accompany functional deficits, such as conduction slowing and loss of sensation in long-term experimental diabetes. However, neuronal atrophy does not always evolve into overt neuron loss, but is associated with a series of mRNA changes related to declines in structural proteins, such as neurofilament and βIII tubulin, increases in heat shock protein 27 (HSP27) and the receptor for AGE (RAGE), and declines in growth proteins, such as growth-associated protein 43. Some of these changes depend on the DPN model and species. However, given these findings, we have examined the alteration of gene expression related to cell survival and growth in DRG sensory neurons, focusing on long-term animal models of experimental DPN that might inform us about chronic human disease.

Insulin receptors (IRs), which display a critical role of glucose homeostasis, are expressed in sensory neurons, and for several decades insulin itself has been recognized as a potent growth or trophic factor for neurons. Most DRG sensory neurons appear to express IRs. IR signaling, in turn, utilizes well established growth-related downstream transduction cascades, such as the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)–protein kinase B (Akt) signaling pathway, including a gain in plasma membrane levels of glucose uptake transporters. The PI3K–Akt pathway is a central pathway involved in cell survival, growth and proliferation, and its activation leads to increased axon growth.

In summary, IRs undergo autophosphorylation by ligand binding, after which they develop tyrosine kinase activity, and finally activate through insulin receptor substrate proteins (IRS-1 and IRS-2). IRS proteins activate the PI3K–Akt pathway by recruiting and activating PI3K, leading to the generation of second messenger phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-triphosphate (PIP3). Membrane-bound PIP3 recruits and activates 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1, which phosphorylates and activates Akt. However, the conversion of PIP3 to PIP2 by phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) reverses this growth pathway and thus antagonizes Akt signaling. Activated Akt phosphorylates a large number of downstream targets related to cellular proliferation, growth and survival, potentially including the mammalian target of rapamycin signal pathway, the CDK inhibitors p21 and p27, glycogen synthase kinase 3, transcription factors Forkhead box O3, and proapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins BCL2-associated X protein and Bcl-2-associated death promoter.

Dissociated adult rat sensory neurons treated with insulin in vitro have enhanced dose-dependent neurite outgrowth. In the peripheral nervous system in vivo, nerve crush injury induces the upregulated expression of IRs in regenerating axons and cell bodies of DRG, and systemic or intrathecal insulin administration accelerates maturation of regenerating axons distal to a nerve crush injury.

Given these growth supportive roles of insulin in peripheral neurons, we have hypothesized that deficiency of insulin–PI3K–Akt trophic support during insulinoicopenic diabetes mellitus influences the development of DPN. For example, direct neuronal or axonal insulin administration, even if insufficient to alter blood glucose levels, reverses diabetic neuropathic changes in type 1 diabetes mellitus models that are characterized by the absence of the insulin ligand.

However, in type 2 diabetes mellitus models, even high-dose insulin might fail to prevent or reverse DPN. For example, type 2 diabetes mellitus might be characterized by normal or elevated levels of circulating insulin associated with “insulin resistance” involving muscle, liver or adipose tissue. Several laboratories, including our own, have advanced the concept that neurons might also be susceptible to “insulin resistance” at the level of neurotrophic support. Grote et al. showed that the DRG and sciatic nerve of ob/ob mice with type 2 diabetes mellitus had blunted Akt activation with insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1, including decreased DRG insulin receptor expression and...
upregulation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase activity, a mediator of insulin resistance in other tissues. Additional work has noted that insulin resistance in neurons might be linked to IRS-2 serine phosphorylation. Our laboratory showed that high-dose insulin or repeated chronic low-dose insulin blunted subsequent challenges of insulin to support growth. Blunted signaling in sensory neurons involved downregulation of the insulin receptor β-subunit, upregulated glycogen synthase kinase 3β and downregulated phosphorylated Akt. Thus, mechanisms of neuronal insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes mellitus include declines in IR expression, changes in IRS phosphorylation status and increases in glycogen synthase kinase 3β mRNA levels, all associated with impaired PI3K–phosphorylated Akt activation. Thus, taken together, impaired neurotrophic support might indeed contribute to the development of DPN.
The direct neurotrophic action of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) could offer further options for DPN treatment (Figure 2). GLP-1 is an incretin peptide, secreted by the intestine in response to meal ingestion\textsuperscript{101}. The GLP-1 receptors are highly expressed on islet β-cells, and their actions include enhancing insulin secretion. The GLP-1 receptors are also widely expressed in non-islet cells including those of the nervous system\textsuperscript{102}. A GLP-1 agonist, exendin-4, like insulin, enhanced neurite outgrowth of sensory neurons and attenuated features of experimental DPN models of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus\textsuperscript{103-105}.

Diabetes mellitus is associated with the production of AGEs resulting from non-enzymatic glycation and oxidation of proteins and lipids. AGEs permanently accumulate in a variety of tissues and bind to specific receptors including RAGE. RAGE ligation in turn has been linked to the development of diabetic complications\textsuperscript{106,107}. AGEs and other ligands, including S100/calgranulin family of pro-inflammatory molecules and high-mobility group box 1 protein, trigger several signal transduction pathways (Figure 2). For example, binding of these ligands to RAGE results in the persistent activation of the transcription factor nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB)\textsuperscript{108}. In sural nerve biopsies from patients with DPN, activated NF-κB was colocalized with interleukin-6 and RAGE within the vasa nervorum\textsuperscript{109}. Diabetes-induced activation of NF-κB was blunted in sciatic nerves of RAGE-null mice, and loss of pain perception in DPN was prevented in RAGE-null mice\textsuperscript{109}. In addition, diabetic RAGE-null mice had improved peripheral nerve regeneration, linked to altered macrophage responses\textsuperscript{110}. Macrophages play an essential clearance role in the facilitation of regeneration in nerve.

RAGE ligation might generate diabetic complications through its impact on microvessels, whereas RAGE is also expressed by sensory neurons. AGE-RAGE appears important for the support and growth of neurons. For example, its activation enhances the outgrowth of adult sensory neurons \textit{in vitro} through the NF-κB, c-Jun N-terminal kinase–signal transducer and activator of transcription–extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathways\textsuperscript{111}. Similarly, blockade of the ligand–RAGE axis suppressed nerve regeneration after crush injury in mice\textsuperscript{112}. In diabetes mellitus, however, its overactivation could contribute to the DPN phenotype. In our laboratory, RAGE null mice showed protection from motor and sensory nerve conduction slowing at 8 weeks after diabetes induction, but the protection was less significant by 16 weeks\textsuperscript{113}. Given these complexities, further investigation is required to clarify at what stage activation of the AGE–RAGE axis is protective or harmful to the peripheral nervous system during diabetes mellitus.

HSPs are molecular chaperones that mediate the repair or degradation of denatured proteins after stress\textsuperscript{114}. Expression of one member of this extensive family, HSP27, is elevated in sensory neurons of experimental DPN models\textsuperscript{26}. HSP27 knockdown or overexpression are respectively associated with attenuated or improved regenerative properties after nerve injury in mice\textsuperscript{115,116}. Overexpression of a human transgene of HSP27 in type 1 diabetes mellitus mice prevented loss of thermal sensation, mechanical allodynia, epidermal axon loss and sensory conduction slowing. RAGE, NF-κB and activated caspase-3 were attenuated by the transgene\textsuperscript{117}. Another finding was that the protective impact by the HSP27 transgene was greater in female mice than in male mice. While we speculated on a possible role of estrogen related to HSP27 in that work\textsuperscript{117}, in more recent work we have identified significant differences in electrophysiological features of DPN between male and female mice after diabetes induction\textsuperscript{113}. Therefore, sex differences might be informative in sorting mechanisms in the pathogenesis of DPN.

**REGENERATION STRATEGIES: SENSORY NEURONS AND TUMOR SUPPRESSORS**

To reverse the neuropathic deficits of DPN, an important strategy might involve activation of intrinsic neurotrophic pathways including PI3K–Akt signaling. The pathogenesis of DPN involves degeneration, but also a deficit in regenerative capacity. The mechanisms of regenerative failure in diabetes might include an unsupportive microenvironment around axons or growth cones resulting from ischemia and microangiopathy of the local injury milieu, impaired macrophage clearance, altered basement membrane regenerative cues, Schwann cell dysfunction and lack of growth factors\textsuperscript{118}. Removal of inhibitory extracellular matrix molecules and the addition of growth factors are potentially important strategies to accomplish regeneration outcomes. However, most growth factors offer selective support for only the neuron subclasses that express relevant receptors, such as TrkA, TrkB, TrkC, gp120, Ret and others. In diabetes, there is also evidence that specific growth factor receptors are downregulated in sensory neurons\textsuperscript{28}. Manipulation of downstream growth signals, therefore, could be essential to enhance axonal plasticity and regeneration in the setting of diabetic abnormalities. From this point of view, our laboratory has focused on manipulating intrinsic “brake” molecules to regulate growth pathways. Such “brakes” include those within the class of “tumor suppressors” that help to inhibit oncogenic growth\textsuperscript{119,120}. PTEN is the first example of this type of therapeutical target studied in diabetes mellitus, a molecule that inhibits the PI3K–Akt signaling pathway (Figure 2). PTEN is mutated in a variety of human tumors\textsuperscript{121-123}. The role of PTEN in the nervous system at both the central and peripheral levels has been recently elucidated, and its deletion has been suggested as a key regenerative strategy\textsuperscript{124-129}. PTEN is expressed in sensory neurons, prominently in IB4 non-peptidergic sensory neurons that show restrained growth properties\textsuperscript{130}. In sensory neurons \textit{in vitro}, PTEN inhibition enhances neurite outgrowth, and, after nerve transection in rats \textit{in vivo}, PTEN inhibition also accelerates the regrowth of axons from the proximal stump\textsuperscript{125}. Furthermore, in mice with DPN, PTEN mRNA and protein expression are upregulated in sensory neurons, a surprising finding that identifies a new mechanism of
regenerative failure in diabetes mellitus. Local DRG PTEN knockdown after focal nerve injuries in diabetic mice using non-viral small interfering RNA delivery improved the recovery of motor compound action potential amplitudes, conduction velocities of motor and sensory axons, numbers and calibers of regenerating myelinated axons, and epidermal axon reinnervation. These findings indicated that diabetes upregulates a regenerative “brake” and its release improves axon growth failure in DPN.

SENSORY NEURODEGENERATION: NEW EPIGENETIC THERAPEUTIC TARGETS

The term “neurodegeneration” describes a form of gradual neural deterioration in part characterized by progressive neuroaxonal atrophy and dysfunction. Neurodegeneration categorizes disorders of the nervous system including Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, Huntington’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. The molecular pathways of neurodegenerative diseases have been intensively studied, suggesting common mechanisms including oxidative stress, excitotoxicity, mitochondrial dysfunction, protein misfolding and aggregation, ubiquitin-proteasome system dysfunction, and inflammation. Sensory neurodegeneration in diabetes might also share common molecular mechanisms with these diseases. In previous chapters, we described sensory neuronal atrophy, loss of terminal innervation and neuronal dysfunction, linked to aberrant intracellular growth through signaling pathways, such as insulin–PI3K–Akt. These intracellular alterations might involve not only a shift in gene expression at the transcriptional level, but also altered epigenetic mRNA processing at the post-transcriptional level. New evidence, recently explored in our laboratory, suggests that diabetes might promote sensory neuron dysfunction that involves aberrant mRNA splicing and that resembles some forms of motor neuron disease.

To explore the role of epigenetic changes in diabetic sensory neurons, we first analyzed mRNA and miRNA profiles of DRGs in mice with type 1 diabetes mellitus compared with control mice by microarray. The microarray examined 28,869 mRNAs, and identified 261 mRNAs that included 91 upregulated and 170 downregulated for a difference of at least 1.5-fold change in diabetes mellitus samples. Of these, 24 (5 downregulated and 19 upregulated) achieved a statistically significant difference of $P < 0.05$ (Figure 3a). Most of these mRNAs were coded for proteins of unknown function in sensory neurons or diabetes. However, one prominently upregulated molecule, CWC22, was classified as a pre-mRNA splicing factor.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs of 18–23 nucleotides that bind to target sequences in mRNAs, resulting in suppressed gene expression, a key element of post-transcriptional RNA silencing. Precursor miRNAs are exported from the nucleus and processed to form single-stranded small RNA. Components of miRNA machinery, such as RNA-induced silencing complex, a protein complex that cleaves mRNA, localizes in cytoplasmic structures called GW/P bodies, which function as sites of both mRNA degradation and storage of translationally repressed mRNAs. Diabetic sensory neurons had upregulated populations of GW/P bodies (Figure 3b), suggesting overt structural evidence of altered miRNA-mediated mRNA processing by diabetes-induced stress. As in the case of mRNAs, miRNA microarray analysis of chronic experimental diabetic DRGs identified 19 differentially expressed miRNAs (12 downregulated and 7 upregulated) of high-abundance and 123 of low-abundance (56 downregulated and 67 upregulated; Figure 3c,d). We focused on miRNAs with most prominent changes in the high-abundance group, which included a 39% downregulation of mmu-let-7i and a 255% upregulation of mmu-miR-341. Let-7i is an interesting miRNA that is predicted to target >900 conserved sequences in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis and TargetScan analysis, including 46 apoptotic cell death pathway mRNAs, 42 cardiovascular and diabetes-related mRNAs, 84 growth pathway mRNAs, 80 inflammation-related pathway mRNAs, 21 metabolism and diabetes pathway mRNAs, and 59 neurotransmitter and nervous system mRNAs. Using in situ hybridization, we noted that let-7i was preferentially expressed in sensory neurons, rather than DRG satellite cells or vessels (Figure 3e). Administration of exogenous mmu-let-7i mimic enhanced neurite growth and branching in sensory neurons in vitro, and improved electrophysiological, structural and behavioral abnormalities in diabetic mice. In contrast to downregulation of let-7i, a prominently upregulated miRNA was miR-341. miR-341 is also reported to be significantly upregulated in the injured DRG of rats with chronic constriction injury. Although miR-341 is only expressed in rodents, it was also expressed in sensory neurons, and the knock down of miR-341 improved sensory nerve conduction slowing and thermal hyposensitivity of DPN mice. Taken together, it might be that as a single miRNA potentially regulates many target genes, targeting or replenishing a single miRNA might be a more interesting and potentially efficient strategy for gene therapy than targeting a single miRNA.

Among the differentially expressed mRNAs in diabetic neurons of uncertain significance, CWC22 was chosen as a starting molecule. CWC22 protein was expressed in the nucleus of sensory neurons particularly, in nuclear speckles, a nuclear organelle of sensory neurons, and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction also confirmed its mRNA upregulation of 2.5-fold (Figure 4a). CWC22 is known to be required for pre-mRNA splicing. A spliceosome is a large and complex molecular machine required to catalyze pre-mRNA splicing in nuclear speckles. It consists of small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) that contain RNA components (snRNAs: U1, U2, U4, U5 and U6) and additional splicing factors. Splicing factors bind to the pre-mRNA in a sequential manner to thereby form the spliceosome, which catalyzes two consecutive steps of transesterification to excise the intron. We found new structural evidence of splicing abnormalities in diabetic sensory neurons. For example, snRNPs formed aggregated multiple nuclear foci (Figure 4b) and their associated snRNA
Figure 3 | Evidence for gene expression changes and micro ribonucleic acid (miRNA) regulation in diabetic sensory neurons. (a) mRNA microarray analysis identifies 24 that are significantly different between diabetic and non-diabetic mice at the $P < 0.05$ level with at least a 1.5-fold change. (b) Diabetic dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sensory neurons had upregulation of GW/P bodies. Scale bar: 30 μm in large panels, 10 μm in insets. (c) Selected higher abundance miRNAs are shown indicating fold change and significance level at $P < 0.10$. (d) Heat map results in diabetic mice DRG miRNA microarray compared with non-diabetic mice at $P < 0.01$. (e) In situ hybridization of mouse DRG identifies let-7i expression in DRG sensory neurons. Reproduced from Cheng et al.81 with permission.
expression was reduced. CWC22 is required for exon junction complex assembly, upstream of the exon–exon junction during pre-mRNA splicing to regulate post-transcriptional mRNA fate. Global defects of pre-mRNA splicing and global downregulation of diverse gene expressions have been identified in CWC22 depleted cells. We showed that CWC22 knockdown in sensory neurons in vitro enhanced neurite outgrowth, and CWC22 knockdown in vivo improved features of DPN in diabetes mellitus mice. These findings indicate that aberrant splicing associated with upregulated CWC22 might be included.

Figure 4 | Abnormal messenger ribonucleic acid splicing in diabetic sensory neurodegeneration. (a) CWC22 is expressed in the nuclei of DRG sensory neurons, colocalized with a marker protein SC35 of nuclear speckles. Scale bar: 10 μm. CWC22 knockdown enhances DRG neurite outgrowth. Scale bar: 100 μm. (b) Small nuclear ribonucleoproteins form abnormally aggregated multiple foci in the nuclei of DRG sensory neurons. Scale bar: 20 μm, 10 μm in insets. (c) Cajal bodies number is increased in diabetic sensory neurons. Scale bar: 20 μm, 10 μm in magnified panels. (d) Cajal bodies lose their colocalization with survival motor neuron nuclear foci in diabetic sensory neurons. Scale bar: 10 μm. Reproduced from Kobayashi et al. with permission.
**Figure 5** | Schematic drawing of diabetic sensory neurodegeneration associated with splicing abnormalities. mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; SMN, survival motor neuron; snRNA, small nuclear ribonucleoproteins that contain ribonucleic acid components; snRNP, small nuclear ribonucleoproteins.
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as a mediator of sensory neuron dysfunction. It is plausible that CWC22 upregulation reflects heightened forms of inappropriate splicing that ensue from diabetes, although this has not been established. For example, injured and regenerating non-diabetic neurons require altered gene expression to support their growth. In diabetes, CWC22 overexpression appears to be harmful to spliceosome formation; its inhibition might reverse aberrant splicing, potentially normalizing gene expression critical for axon outgrowth.

Furthermore, we identified additional unique alterations in nuclear structure that accompanied the aberrant splicing in diabetic sensory neurons. The key events of mRNA processing, including splicing, occur within the nucleus. In the nucleus, interchromatin structures, such as nucleoli, Cajal bodies (CBs), and nuclear speckles, could offer a cellular microenvironment that facilitates more efficient changes of gene expression. CBs control transcriptional activity in cross-talk with nucleoli on cellular stress, and emerge in proliferative and metabolically active cells, such as cancer cells or neurons. CBs concentrate snRNPs and increase the efficiency of gene expression through its sophisticated supply of snRNPs for the spliceosome. Nuclear speckles, colocalized with CWC22, also accumulate snRNPs and other non-snRNP protein splicing factors, and provide a place to execute splicing. However, the overall role of these nuclear bodies in diabetic sensory neurodegeneration has been otherwise unexplored. In diabetic sensory neurons, we found that CBs were increased in number, but nucleoli and nuclear speckles were not structurally altered (Figure 4c). Another key molecule related to splicing, survival motor neuron (SMN) protein, localized in nuclear foci, functions in the assembly of snRNPs in collaboration with CBs. SMN mutations underlie spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), through defects in CB formation and the assembly of snRNPs in motor neurons. In addition, SMN-deficient sensory neurons in vitro are also abnormal with shorter neurites and small growth cones. We identified that CBs lost their colocalization with SMN and abnormally aggregated snRNPs in DRG sensory neurons in diabetes mellitus mice, suggesting loss of recruitment of SMN proteins to CBs, similar to a key finding in the motor neuron degeneration of SMA (Figure 4d).

Taken together, our findings provide evidence that spliceosome dysregulation might be a key neurodegenerative mechanism of the development of DPN in type 1 diabetes mellitus patients, as summarized in Figure 5. It still remains unclear whether overexpressed CWC22 proteins in diabetic sensory neurons are blocking factors for spliceosome formation including SMN or snRNP recruitment, or independently inhibit the other growth signal pathways as “brakes.” In addition, further investigation is required to determine whether splicing abnormalities are also identifiable in type 2 diabetes mellitus, perhaps associated with “insulin resistance.”

**CONCLUSIONS**

A microvascular hypothesis for the early development of DPN can be challenged, and molecular approaches to sensory neurodegeneration directly targeted by diabetes might offer a series of new therapeutic opportunities. Interventions to activate intrinsic neurotrophic pathways using approaches such as insulin, inactivation of growth suppressing tumor suppressors, GLP-1 agonism and HSP overexpression might be new strategies to prevent or reverse neuropathic damage in DPN. Unfortunately DPN is currently an irreversible complication of diabetes mellitus. The pathogenesis of DPN might involve epigenetic changes mediated by miRNA that regulate gene expression in many biological processes including cell survival and growth. Sensory neurodegeneration in DPN could share common mechanisms with other neurological disorders, such as spliceosomal abnormalities, CB dysregulation and loss of SMN proteins.
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