Density of the Notch ligand Delta1 determines generation of B and T cell precursors from hematopoietic stem cells
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Notch signaling regulates multiple cell fate decisions by hematopoietic precursors. To address whether different amounts of Notch ligand influence lineage choices, we cultured murine bone marrow lin−Sca-1+c-kit cells with increasing densities of immobilized Delta1ext-IgG consisting of the extracellular domain of Delta1 fused to the Fc domain of human IgG. We found that relatively lower densities of Delta1ext-IgG enhanced the generation of Sca-1+c-kit+ cells, Thy1+CD25+ early T cell precursors, and B220+CD43−/lo cells that, when cocultured with OP9 stroma cells, differentiated into CD19+ early B cell precursors. Higher densities of Delta1ext-IgG also enhanced the generation of Sca-1+c-kit+ precursor cells and promoted the development of Thy1+CD25+ cells, but inhibited the development of B220+CD43−/lo cells. Analyses of further isolated precursor populations suggested that the enhanced generation of T and B cell precursors resulted from the effects on multipotent rather than lymphoid-committed precursors. The results demonstrate the density-dependent effects of Delta1 on fate decisions of hematopoietic precursors at multiple maturational stages and substantiate the previously unrecognized ability of Delta1 to enhance the development of both early B and T precursor cells.

The detection of Notch receptors in hematopoietic precursors suggested a role for Notch signaling in early hematopoietic development, whereas the potential role of Notch in regulating the self-renewal of multipotent precursors and in determining T versus B cell fates has been demonstrated in both gain- and loss-of-function studies (1–5). Studies using exogenous cell-expressed or soluble Notch ligand forms to activate endogenous Notch receptors have also revealed the effects of Notch signaling on the self-renewal of nonmutant hematopoietic precursors (6, 7). Jagged1, Jagged2, Delta1, and Delta4 have thus all been shown to affect the differentiation of hematopoietic precursors, including repopulating cells. In addition, Delta1 and Delta4 have been shown to promote early T cell differentiation (6–12). The expression of the different Notch ligands and their receptors at different times and in a tissue-specific manner raises the possibility of a unique function for each ligand (13, 14). However, the mechanisms by which specific ligands induce different cellular fates remains unclear.

We investigated whether quantitative differences in the amount of Notch signaling induced by different doses of the Notch ligand Delta1 might account for these selective effects. This is suggested by studies with Drosophila that demonstrate how different functions of Notch can require different threshold levels of signaling. For example, half the wild-type level of Notch gene dosage is insufficient to properly specify the dorsoventral margin of a wing, giving rise to the eponymous “notched wing” phenotype; however, it does suffice for most functions of Notch in the wild type (15, 16). In vertebrates, a relative reduction in the level of Notch1 in developing T cells can influence their fate to the extent that cells with a single copy of the Notch1 gene are less likely to become αβ T cells than are wild-type cells (17). In developing B cells, a relative reduction in Notch2 results in diminished B1 B cells and a marked reduction in marginal zone B cells (18). The quantitative effects of Notch ligands have also been demonstrated (a) in mice, where the homozygous deletion of Jagged1 led to embryonic lethality though a partial phenotype resulted...
only in eye defects (19); and (b) in humans, where the haplo-insufficiency of Jagged1 appears to be responsible for Alagille syndrome, a pleiotropic disorder involving multiple organ systems (20). We show that the Notch ligand Delta1 can enhance the generation of early T and B cell progenitors from multipotent hematopoietic precursors depending on the density of the ligand. We demonstrate that higher densities of Delta1 mainly promote the adoption of a T cell fate, whereas relatively lower densities enhance the generation of early T- and B-lymphoid precursors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To assess the effect of varying densities of immobilized Delta1_{ext-IgG} on the induction of Notch signaling, we measured the expression of the Notch target gene, *Hes1*, in lin^{-}Sca-1^{+}c-kit^{+} (LSK) marrow cells with a Hoechst 33342 dye efflux profile of a “side population” enriched for stem cells (LSK-SP). After culturing for 3 h on plates coated with increasing concentrations of Delta1_{ext-IgG} in the presence of murine stem cell factor (mSCF), human Flt-3 ligand (Flt3L), IL6, and IL11 (4GF), *Hes1* mRNA expression was assessed using SYBR green quantitative RT-PCR. Compared with control cells cultured on human IgG, cells cultured with the ligand plated at 2.5 μg/ml showed a 1.4 ± 0.1-fold increase in *Hes1* expression, whereas cells cultured with the ligand plated at 10 μg/ml showed a 2.6 ± 0.2-fold increase (mean ± SEM from four separate experiments). This indicated an increased activation of Notch signaling in a Delta1_{ext-IgG} dose-dependent manner.
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Figure 1. Proliferation and differentiation of LSK cells during culture with various Delta1_{ext-IgG} densities. (A) Total number of cells (▼) generated from LSK cells after 14 d of incubation with Delta1_{ext-IgG} plated at increasing concentrations. (B) Number of cells exhibiting an immature (Sca-1^{+}c-Kit^{+}; ○) or myeloid (Gr1^{+} and/or F4/80^{+}; ▲) phenotype. (C) Cells with an early T cell (Thy1^{+}CD25^{+}; ○) or early lymphoid (B220^{+}CD43^{lo}; ■) phenotypes were determined based on cell count and FACS analysis. (D) The data shown represent the mean ± SEM of three experiments. The numbers in the corners of the flow cytometry plots represent the percentage of gated events within that quadrant.
To investigate the effect of varying densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> on hematopoietic cell proliferation and differentiation, we assessed the types and numbers of cells generated after culturing marrow-sorted LSK cells for 14 d with increasing densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>. We found a 10-fold greater generation of cells in the presence of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> plated at concentrations of \(1.25\) \(\mu\)g/ml compared with the number of cells generated with control IgG<sub>1</sub> (Fig. 1 A). Additionally, a phenotypic analysis revealed that the number of Sca-1<sup>+</sup> c-kit<sup>+</sup> cells generated was significantly higher in cultures with densities established by plating at \(2.5\) \(\mu\)g/ml of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> compared with cultures with control IgG<sub>1</sub> or lower densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> (\(\leq 1.25 \mu\)g/ml). In contrast, the number of myeloid cells (Gr1<sup>+</sup> and/or F4/80<sup>+</sup>) generated in cultures with lower densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> (\(\leq 2.5 \mu\)g/ml) was comparable with that in control IgG<sub>1</sub> cultures, but was significantly reduced in cultures with densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> established by plating at \(\geq 5\) \(\mu\)g/ml of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> (Fig. 1, B and D).

In cultures with an increasing density of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>, we found increased numbers of cells expressing Thy1 and CD25, which is suggestive of early T cell differentiation (Fig. 1 C). Compared with control IgG<sub>1</sub>, there was a 4-log increase in the number of Thy1<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> cells in cultures with Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> plated at \(\geq 5\) \(\mu\)g/ml. Furthermore, the presence of T cell precursors was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR that revealed the expression of CD3 and pre-T mRNA at 28 d of culture in cells cultured on Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>, but not in control cultures (Fig. 2, A and B).

Studies by us and others have previously reported that B cell differentiation is inhibited in cultures with Delta1 (7, 11, 21). However, our previous studies tested immobilized Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> plated only at a high concentration (10 \(\mu\)g/ml). To determine whether a culture with lower densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> allowed for the generation of B lymphoid precursors, cultured LSK cells were also analyzed for the expression of the B cell–associated antigens B220, CD43, CD19, and IgM. Cells expressing CD19 or IgM were not generated in any culture conditions (unpublished data). However, the

Figure 2. Induction of pre-Ta and CD3e expression is dependent on the density of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>. (A) CD3e and (B) pre-Ta gene expressions by LSK cells after culture for 28 d with different densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup> determined by quantitative RT-PCR. Data shown represent the ratio of gene expression compared with the housekeeping gene Rpl7.

Figure 3. T and B cell potential of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>–cultured B220<sup>+</sup>CD43<sup>−/lo</sup> or B220<sup>+</sup> populations. After the culture of LSK cells for 21 d with different densities of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>, B220<sup>+</sup>CD43<sup>−/lo</sup> (A) or B220<sup>+</sup> (B) cells were isolated by FACS and transferred to cultures containing OP9 stromal cells or 10 \(\mu\)g/ml of Delta1<sup>ext-IgG</sup>. (C) Early B (B220<sup>+</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup>) or early T (Thy1<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup>) cell phenotypes were determined with FACS analysis. The numbers in the corners of the flow cytometry plots represent the percentage of gated events within that quadrant.
number of B220<sup>+</sup>CD43<sup>−/lo</sup> cells increased substantially in cultures containing lower densities of Delta1<sup>ext-lgG</sup> (0.6–1.25 μg/ml) compared with control IgG, or higher density Delta1<sup>ext-lgG</sup>-containing cultures (≥2.5 μg/ml; Fig. 1, C and D).

To investigate the B cell potential of cells generated with the Notch ligand, LSK cells cultured for 21 d with varying densities of Delta1<sup>ext-lgG</sup> were transferred onto an OP9 stromal cell culture containing mSCF, Flt3L, and IL7. These cells were then analyzed by FACS to assess whether they contained the potential to differentiate along the T cell lineage, because of the number of cells possessing higher levels of CD43<sup>lo</sup> and Gr-1<sup>+</sup> and/or F4/80<sup>+</sup> cells, but increased numbers of Thy1<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> cells compared with LSK-SP incubated with lower densities of Delta1<sup>ext-lgG</sup> (Fig. 4 A). These results represented the first evidence that a single Notch ligand can enhance the development of cells that adopt either a B or a T cell fate.

In this study, we have shown that ligand-induced Notch activation in multipotent hematopoietic precursor cells regulates lineage expression in a density-dependent manner. Our data suggest that the enhanced formation of B and T precursors occurs in the presence of higher densities of Delta1<sup>ext-lgG</sup> because of the effects on multipotent precursors. In addition, higher densities of ligand lead mainly to T cell differentiation because of the inhibition of early B cell and myeloid differentiation by multipotent precursors and the promotion of T cell differentiation by lymphoid committed precursors. These results represent the first evidence that a single Notch ligand can enhance the development of cells that adopt either a B or a T cell fate.
tial cell fate choices have been seen in studies comparing Notch signaling induced by Delta versus Jagged (21, 26, 27). The latter differences may result from distinct receptors being induced by the different ligands or they may reflect decreased signal intensity from one or the other ligand. This is supported by studies showing that Notch signaling induced by Jagged may result in decreased signal intensity in the presence of Fringe, where Jagged1 activates Notch2 but not Notch1 (28). Thus, Notch signaling induced by Jagged1 would be expected to be lower than that induced by Delta1 because Delta1 activates both Notch1 and Notch2. The finding that different concentrations of a single Notch ligand leads to differential cell fate outcomes raises the possibility that multiple Notch ligand–receptor combinations simply regulate Notch signaling quantitatively to affect cell fates. However, qualitative differences between Notch1- and Notch2-induced signaling may also play a role.

It remains unclear how quantitative differences in Notch ligand density leads to different cell fate outcomes. It is possible that Notch signaling must exceed specific thresholds in order to instruct particular cell fates. For example, different Hes1 expression levels induced in individual cells might differentially affect downstream genes that have different thresholds for Hes1 regulation. Other Notch target genes, such as Hes5 or Herp2, may also be differentially induced and serve in concert to regulate differentiation programs.

The variable densities of individual Notch ligands in different regions of marrow or thymic stroma, together with the expression of Notch ligands by developing hematopoietic cells that may activate Notch signaling in adjacent cells, suggest the potential importance of ligand density in vivo. In addition to the implications of our findings for growing a variety of stem cell types in vitro for therapeutic purposes, the investigation of physiologic stimuli that might induce variations of Notch ligand expression may provide a novel avenue for manipulating cell development in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Generation of Delta1<sup>lacZ</sup>-IgG<sup>+</sup>. Delta1<sup>lacZ</sup>-IgG<sup>+</sup> were prepared as previously described (29). Reagent grade purified human IgG<sub>1</sub> was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Immolization of varying Delta1<sup>lacZ</sup>-IgG<sup>+</sup> densities. The ligand was immobilized on the plastic surface of the culture vessel as previously described (7). The direct relationship of the plated ligand and the bound ligand concentration was demonstrated by ELISA with an HRP-conjugated Fc-specific anti-human-IgG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) for the detection of Delta1<sup>lacZ</sup>-IgG<sup>+</sup>, which demonstrated a linear relationship between the increasing concentration of plated ligand and the increased amounts of bound ligand (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.73; P = 0.007).

Cell isolation and immunofluorescence studies. The immunophenotype was analyzed by five-color flow cytometry using an LSR cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences, unless otherwise noted. Cultured cells were prepared as previously described (6) and stained with the following: (a) FITC-conjugated monoclonal antibodies against Thy1, Gr1, and B220; (b) PE-conjugated monoclonal antibodies against CD25, CD43, CD11b, CD127, CD135, and F4/80 (Caltag); and (c) biotinylated antibodies against Sca-1, B220, and IgM secondarily stained with streptavidin–PE-Cy7, and APC monoclonal antibodies against c-kit. LSK cells were cells with a high expression of Sca-1 and c-kit on bone marrow depleted from the following lineages: CD2, CD3, CD8a, CD5, CD11b, B220, Gr-1, and TER-119. LSK cells were obtained using FACs on a Vantage Cell Sorter (Becton Dickinson) as previously described (6). LSK–SP cells were isolated with the addition of Hoechst 33342 dye (Calbiochem) and gating was as described previously (23). LSK–Flk<sup>3</sup> cells were isolated from lineage-depleted bone marrow using FITC-conjugated anti–Sca-1, PE-conjugated anti–CD135 (Flk-2/Flk3), and APC–conjugated anti–c-kit as described previously (24). CLP was sorted using lineage-depleted bone marrow stained with FITC-conjugated anti-Thy1, PE-conjugated anti–CD127, biotinylated anti–Sca-1, and the APC-conjugated anti–c-kit, and gated as described previously (25). For the isolation of B220<sup>+</sup>CD43<sup>+</sup> and B220<sup>+</sup> cells, cultured cells were stained with FITC-conjugated anti–CD43 antibody and PE-conjugated anti–B220 antibody and isolated by FACs. Sorted cells were >95% pure, as determined by a postsort analysis. In all cases, cells were stained with DAPI or propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) to gate for dead cells.

Hematopoietic cell culture. C57BL/6 (Ly5.2) mice (8–10 wk old) obtained from the Jackson Laboratory were maintained and bred at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center. 10<sup>5</sup> LSK cells were cultured with immobilized ligand in IMDM supplemented with 20% FBS and 4GF (100 ng/ml each of mSCF, human Flt3L, and human IL6, and 10 ng/ml human IL11; PeproTech) as previously described (7). To test for B cell differentiation, OP9 stromal cell monolayers were prepared 2 d prior by plating 2.5 × 10<sup>4</sup> OP9 cells/well in a 24-well tissue culture–treated plate (Costar) in αMEM with 20% FBS. Cultured LSK cells were then plated onto an OP9 stromal monolayer in αMEM containing 20% FBS, penicillin, and 10 ng/ml Flt3L, mSCF, and human IL7 (PeproTech). Suplemental media were added every fourth day.

RNA isolation and real-time RT-PCR. The total RNA was extracted with an Absolutely RNA RT-PCR Miniprep Kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Single strand cDNA was synthesized with oligo-dT primer using reagents provided in the ThermoScript RT-PCR System (Invitrogen) for 45 min at 50°C. Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix on an ABI PRISM 7700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems) in the following conditions: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s. Transcript quantification was performed in duplicate for every sample, and each gene expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene Ribosomal protein L7 (Rpl7) expression. Primers were designed from sequences of different exons for each gene to prevent the amplification of genomic DNA as follows: Hes1 forward primer, 5′-GGCGCTCTGAGC-ACAGAAAGT-3′; Hes1 reverse primer, 5′-GTGTTAACGCCTCCTCCAC-ACG-3′; pre-Tα forward primer, 5′-TGGGAGCCAGACTGACAGAG-3′; pre-Tα reverse primer, 5′-CACCATGGTAGGGAGGCT-3′; CD3ε forward primer, 5′-GTCCGCCCATCTTGTTAGAAGA-3′; CD3ε reverse primer, 5′-TTAGGCTGTGTTGTTAGACG-3′; Rpl7 forward primer, 5′-GAAGCTCATCTATGAGAAGGC-3′; and Rpl7 reverse primer, 5′-AAGACCAAGGAGCAGCTGC-3′.

Statistical methods. Statistical significances were determined using analysis of variance with Bonferroni posttest.
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