Hue-Preserving Unsharp-Masking for Color Image Enhancement

Zihan YU†, Student Member and Kiichi URAHAMA(a), Member

SUMMARY We propose an unsharp-masking technique which preserves the hue of colors in images. This method magnifies the contrast of colors and spatially sharpens textures in images. The contrast magnification ratio is adaptively controlled. We show by experiments that this method enhances the color tone of photographs while keeping their perceptual scene depth.
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1. Introduction

The histogram equalization (HE) or tone mapping (TM) techniques are used for enhancing the contrast in images. These techniques do not preserve the hue of colors, and they have been improved to maintain the hue of colors of every pixel [1], [2]. Unsharp masking (UM) is a technique widely used for sharpening textures in photographs. Various methods of UM for image enhancement are reviewed and compared [3]. The halo artifact of UM in artistic effects has been examined [4]. HE and TM are pixel-wise procedures processing each pixel individually, while UM is a spatial processing. These contrast magnifying and spatially sharpening techniques are often used in combination for enhancing images [5]. UM, however, does not preserve the hue of colors as the same as HE and TM.

The hue of colors is desired to be preserved in the color management of photographs. In this letter, we propose a modified UM which preserves the hue of colors. This proposed UM sharpens textures in images and magnifies the contrast of colors in images. We show by experiments that this method exactly preserves the hue of colors and improves color contrasts in images. In this letter, vectors are denoted with capital letters and scalars are represented by lower-case letters.

2. Unsharp Masking

We denote the color of pixel (i, j) in an input image by \(C_{ij} = [r_{ij}, g_{ij}, b_{ij}]\). These stays in the color cube: \(C_{ij} \in [0, 255]^3\). The output of the unsharp masking (UM) is expressed by

\[
D_{ij} = C_{ij} + \delta (C_{ij} - \tilde{C}_{ij})
\]

where \(\delta > 0\) and \(\tilde{C}_{ij}\) is smoothed \(C_{ij}\):

\[
\tilde{C}_{ij} = \sum_{l=-p}^{p} \sum_{m=-p}^{p} w_{ijlm} C_{i+l,j+m} / \sum_{l=-p}^{p} \sum_{m=-p}^{p} w_{ijlm}
\]

where \(w_{ijlm} = e^{-\alpha l^2 + m^2} \) in the Gaussian filter or \(w_{ijlm} = e^{-\alpha l^2 + m^2} - ||C_{ij} - \delta ||^2 c_{ij} \) in the bilateral filter [6].

An example of UM is shown in Fig. 1 where (a) is an input color image and (b) is a result of UM with the Gaussian filter of \(p = 5, \alpha = 0.01\). Fine textures are sharpened, while halos are produced around edges. Scene depth is flattened by strengthened shade.

3. Prerequisite for Hue Preservation

This procedure is desired to keep the tone of colors in photographs. For it, the following condition is known:

[hue-preservation condition [1], [2]]

A necessary and sufficient condition for the hue of \(D_{ij}\) is equal to that of \(C_{ij}\) is that they satisfy the following relation:

\[
D_{ij} = x_{ij} C_{ij} + y_{ij} E
\]

where \(E\) is the unity vector \(E = [1, 1, 1]\), \(x_{ij}\) is positive while \(y_{ij}\) is arbitrary. Note that Eq. (3) is written in a linear form, while coefficients \(x_{ij}\) and \(y_{ij}\) are possibly functions of \(C_{ij}\). Hence Eq. (3) is generally nonlinear with respect to \(C_{ij}\).

The above UM does not satisfy this condition because \(\tilde{C}_{ij}\) in Eq. (1) contains the color of neighboring pixels. The hue of Fig. 1 (a) and that of Fig. 1 (b) are shown in Fig. 1 (c) and (d), respectively.

(a) input image
(b) UM of (a)
(c) hue of (a)
(d) hue of (b)

Fig. 1 Image sharpening by unsharp masking.
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and (d) which is different from (c). In Fig. 1 (c), (d), hue is expressed by color images in HSV model of which saturation is set to its maximum 255, lightness to its half 127.5, and hue is those of Fig. 1 (a), (b).

4. Hue Preserving Unsharp Masking

Equation (3) requires that $\tilde{C}_{ij}$ in Eq. (1) should be achromatic, i.e. monochromatic. Instead of $\tilde{C}_{ij}$, we use $\tilde{L}_{ij} = \tilde{L}_{ij}E$ which is a smoothed image of $L_{ij} = l_{ij}E$ where $l_{ij} = (r_{ij} + g_{ij} + b_{ij})/3$ and $E$ is the unity vector. Our proposed hue-preserving UM (HPUM) is written by

$$D_{ij} = C_{ij} + \delta(C_{ij} - \tilde{L}_{ij})$$

(4)

which is in the form of Eq. (3) with $x_{ij} = 1 + \delta > 0$, $y_{ij} = -\delta l_{ij}$, hence this HPUM preserves the hue of colors. We use the bilateral filter for smoothing $L_{ij}$ in order to prevent halos around edges.

The value of $D_{ij}$, however, exceeds the range $[0, 255]^3$ in some cases because the UM enlarge the range of pixel colors. Hence, an additional condition is required as:

[gamut-preservation condition]:

$D_{ij}$ should satisfy $D_{ij} \in [0, 255]^3$.

This condition requires normalization of the range of $D_{ij}$ given by Eq. (4) to $[0, 255]^3$. This normalization should satisfy the above hue-preservation condition and maintain the range of $D_{ij}$ given by Eq. (4) as large as possible. These two requirements lead to the normalization:

$$D'_{ij} = s_{ij}D_{ij} + t_{ij}E$$

(5)

where $s_{ij}$ and $t_{ij}$ are

if $u_{ij} - v_{ij} > 255$, $s_{ij} = 255$, $t_{ij} = -255v_{ij}/(u_{ij} - v_{ij})$,
if $u_{ij} - v_{ij} \leq 255$ and $u_{ij} > 255$, $s_{ij} = 1$, $t_{ij} = 255 - u_{ij}$,
if $u_{ij} - v_{ij} \leq 255$ and $v_{ij} < 0$, $s_{ij} = 1$, $t_{ij} = -v_{ij}$,
otherwise $s_{ij} = 1$, $t_{ij} = 0$

where $u_{ij}$ is the maximum of RGB components of $D_{ij}$ and $v_{ij}$ is their minimum.

$D'_{ij}$ is the final output of our HPUM. Equation (5) also preserves the hue of $D_{ij}$, so the hue of colors are preserved throughout the proposed HPUM.

5. Adaptive Control of $\delta$

The coefficient $\delta$ manages the magnification ratio of the range of colors. Hence $\delta$ should be large at pixels where the color range is small in an input image, while small $\delta$ is desirable at pixels of already high contrasts. We vary its value as

$$\delta_{ij} = \max\{f - f(m_{ij} - n_{ij})/e, 0\}$$

(6)

where $m_{ij}$ is the maximum of RGB components of $C_{ij}$ and $n_{ij}$ are their minimum. In the experiments below, we set $e = 100$, $f = 2$.

6. Experiments

A result of our proposed HPUM for Fig. 1 (a) is shown in Fig. 2 (a). The color tone in Fig. 1 (a) is enhanced while its scene depth is maintained. The hue of colors in Fig. 2 (a) is shown in Fig. 2 (b) which is completely unaltered from Fig. 1 (c). Some applications to image enhancement are shown in Fig. 3 where (a)~(d) are examples of exposure compensation, i.e. brightening of under-exposed photographs and darkening of over-exposed ones, (e)~(h)
Fig. 4 Comparison with Son et al.’s method [8].

are examples of dehazing and (i), (j) show transformation of a photograph to artistic color tone. Note that our method cannot create artistic color tone but only boost it potentially existing in the original image. Hence, aesthetic pleasing photographs satisfying composition rules are desirable to be selected as an input photograph for transforming it to artistic images, e.g. by using a photograph ranking system [7].

Finally, comparison with the technique by Son et al. [8] which is a detail enhancing method similar to our HPUM. Example input image in [8] is shown in Fig. 4 (a) of which hue is shown in (b). A result by their method is shown in Fig. 4 (c) of which hue is shown in Fig. 4 (d) which differs from Fig. 4 (b). Difference between Fig. 4 (b) and (d) is shown in Fig. 4 (e). A result by our HPUM is shown in Fig. 4 (f) of which hue is shown in Fig. 4 (g) which is exactly identical with Fig. 4 (b) as is known from Fig. 4 (h). Figure 4(c) seems slightly noisy and the color tone and the scene depth degrades from Fig. 4 (a). Against it, Fig. 4 (f) is more colorful and well keeps 3D depth of buildings.

In our method, colors become too bright in some parts as the bridge in Fig. 3 (b) and the canopy top in Fig. 4 (f). This is due to that $\delta_{ij}$ in Eq. (6) depends only on the range $m_{ij} - n_{ij}$. For preventing too bright parts, $\delta_{ij}$ should be suppressed at pixels of large $m_{ij}$.

7. Conclusion

We have presented a hue-preserving unsharp-masking method for magnifying color contrast and sharpening textures in images. The strength of these magnification and sharpening effects is adjusted by parameters $\epsilon$ and $f$. Adaptive control of these parameters and more elaborated control rule are under study.
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