Small ncRNA transcriptome analysis from *Aspergillus fumigatus* suggests a novel mechanism for regulation of protein synthesis
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ABSTRACT

Small non-protein-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) have systematically been studied in various model organisms from *Escherichia coli* to *Homo sapiens*. Here, we analyse the small ncRNA transcriptome from the pathogenic filamentous fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. To that aim, we experimentally screened for ncRNAs, expressed under various growth conditions or during specific developmental stages, by generating a specialized cDNA library from size-selected small RNA species. Our screen revealed 30 novel ncRNA candidates from known ncRNA classes such as small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) and C/D box-type small nucleolar RNAs (C/D box snoRNAs). Additionally, several candidates for H/ACA box snoRNAs could be predicted by a bioinformatical screen. We also identified 15 candidates for ncRNAs, which could not be assigned to any known ncRNA class. Some of these ncRNA species are developmentally regulated implying a possible novel function in *A. fumigatus* development. Surprisingly, in addition to full-length tRNAs, we also identified 5’- or 3’-halves of tRNAs, only, which are likely generated by tRNA cleavage within the anti-codon loop. We show that conidiation induces tRNA cleavage resulting in tRNA depletion within conidia. Since conidia represent the resting state of *A. fumigatus* we propose that conidial tRNA depletion might be a novel mechanism to down-regulate protein synthesis in a filamentous fungus.

INTRODUCTION

Cells from all organisms, studied to date, contain two different kinds of RNA species, the protein-encoding messenger RNAs (mRNAs) as well as non-protein-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). In contrast to mRNAs, ncRNAs are not translated into proteins, but have important cellular functions, either on their own or in complex with proteins (1–6). Functions of ncRNAs range from RNA processing, modification, transcriptional regulation, mRNA stability and translation up to protein secretion (2). Reported sizes of many known ncRNAs are generally well below sizes of mRNAs and range from 21–22-nt long microRNAs (7,8) to about 500 nt [e.g. telomerase RNA (9)]. In addition, also very large ncRNAs, including the 17-kb long human Xist RNA (10,11) or the 108-kb long mouse Air RNA (12) have been observed.

Recently, whole genome screens in eukaryal organisms have revealed a large number of ncRNAs which have been shown to regulate gene expression by novel mechanisms such as RNA interference, gene co-suppression, gene silencing, imprinting and DNA methylation (8,13–15). Evidence for the involvement of ncRNAs exerting critical functions during vegetative growth, development or cell differentiation as well as in diseases, such as carcinogenesis, is becoming increasingly clear (16,17).
Several single-cellular eukaryal organisms have been studied in the past, revealing a plethora of novel ncRNAs (18–20). A bioinformatical analysis of the fungal genomes from seven different yeast species provided a significant number of evolutionarily conserved, structured ncRNAs, suggesting their roles in post-transcriptional regulation (21). In contrast, identification and functions of ncRNAs in filamentous fungi, such as *Aspergillus* species, have not been studied.

Most filamentous fungi are saprophytes playing important roles in carbon and nitrogen recycling. Moreover, several members of this fungal group are well known for production of biotechnological important secondary metabolites, as producers of toxins, or as facultative pathogens for plants and animals. Infections with filamentous fungi have emerged as an increasing risk for immunosuppressed patients. *Aspergillus fumigatus* accounts for most of these infections, termed invasive aspergillosis, and can be regarded as the most common airborne fungal pathogen. Specific diagnostics as well as therapeutic possibilities are limited (22–24). Hence, the mortality rate of invasive aspergillosis ranges between 30 and 90%, depending on the immune status of the host (22,23).

Its global ubiquity as well as the infectious cycle of this pathogen is perpetuated by prolific production of asexual spores (termed conidia) from specialized aeral hyphae (termed conidiophores). Conidial germination, e.g. in the human lung, following spore inhalation represents the initiating event of pulmonary disease. Three important steps can be distinguished during spore germination: activation of the resting spore to appropriate environmental conditions, isotropic growth that involves water uptake and wall growth (termed swelling) and polarized growth that results in the formation of a germ tube from which the new mycelium originates (25). Conidia are dormant, metabolically inactive cells, which can be stored for extended periods. The combined presence of air, water and a carbon source induces germination with the first measurable activities being trehalose breakdown and translation (26).

*Aspergillus fumigatus* cells contain a haploid nuclear genome of 28.9 Mb in size, distributed into eight chromosomes (27) and a circular mitochondrial genome exhibiting a size of 32 kb. Apart from ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and transfer RNAs (tRNAs), no other ncRNAs have yet been annotated and characterized within the *A. fumigatus* genome (27). However, knowledge on the number and functions of ncRNAs is vital for understanding cell functions in *A. fumigatus* and could potentially open up new avenues for the development of novel anti-fungal drugs. Thus, for the experimental identification of novel ncRNA species in *A. fumigatus* we generated a specialized cDNA library comprising small ncRNA species sized from 20 to –500 nt (28,29).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Strain and growth conditions**

*Aspergillus fumigatus* wild-type ATCC46645 (30) was maintained on solid *Aspergillus* minimal media (AMM) according to Pontecorvo *et al.* (31) containing 1% (wt/vol) glucose as carbon source and 20 mM glutamine as a nitrogen source. For liquid growth *A. fumigatus* was cultured at 37°C up to the indicated time point either in AMM or in *Aspergillus* complete media (ACM) comprising 2% (wt/vol) glucose, 0.2% tryptone (wt/vol), 0.1% yeast extract (wt/vol) and 0.1% casamino acids (wt/vol). Media contained 10 μM FeSO₄ and respectively for iron-depleted conditions, iron was omitted. For nitrogen starvation, 18-h AMM cultures were harvested and shifted for another 6 h into AMM lacking glutamine.

**Growth conditions for conidiation of *A. fumigatus* ATCC46645**

For synchronized asexual developmental *A. fumigatus* was grown in liquid ACM for 18 h (32). Then, mycelia were harvested by filtering and transferred to solid ACM, were conidiation is induced (32). Samples for RNA isolation were collected after 6, 12, 24, 48 and 72 h of growth on solid ACM.

**Generation of an *A. fumigatus* cDNA library**

*Aspergillus fumigatus* was cultured under various conditions to ensure expression of also growth-regulated ncRNAs. Total RNA was extracted from harvested mycelia of *A. fumigatus* by the TRI-zol method (Gibco BRL) (33). Subsequently, equal amounts of total RNAs were pooled and size-fractionation by denaturing 8% PAGE (7 M urea, 1× TBE buffer). RNAs in the size range between 15 and 500 nt were excised from the gel, passively eluted and ethanol-precipitated. RNAs were poly(C)-tailed employing poly(A) polymerase from yeast (USB). C-tailed RNAs were ligated to a 19-nt long 5′ linker by T4 RNA ligase, as described previously (29). RNAs from the library were subsequently converted into cDNAs by RT–PCR as described, employing complementary primers to 5′ linkers and the poly(C) tail (29), and cloned into pGEM-T vector (Promega).

**Dot-blot hybridization**

*Aspergillus fumigatus* library-derived cDNA clones were PCR-amplified using the primers M13 and M13 reverse. Two micro litres of diluted (1:20) and denatured (91°C, 2 min) PCR products were spotted onto a nylon membrane (Hybond N⁺, Amersham), cross linked employing the STRATAGENE UV cross linker (120 mJ/cm²) and pre-hybridized for 2 h in 1× sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.2) with 7% SDS. Oligonucleotides, complementary to known and most abundant ncRNAs were [γ-32P]ATP end-labelled by T4 polynucleotide kinase. All six oligonucleotide probes were added to the hybridization tube and hybridization was carried out at 52°C in hybridization buffer (178 mM Na₂HPO₄, NaH₂PO₄, pH 6.2, 7% SDS) for 12 h. Blots were washed twice: at room temperature in 2× SSC buffer, 0.1% SDS for 10 min and subsequently at hybridization temperature in 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS for 10 min. Afterwards blots were rinsed in desalted water. Following stringency washes membranes were exposed to Kodak MS-1 film from 30 min to 2 days.
Sequence analysis of cDNA library

cDNA clones were sequenced using the M13 reverse primer and the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing reaction kit (PE Applied Biosystems). Sequencing reactions were run on an ABI Prism 3100 (Perkin Elmer) capillary sequencer. Subsequently, sequences were analysed with the LASERGENE sequence analysis program package (DNASTAR). In this analysis, cDNA sequences were compared to each other using the Lasergene Seqman II program package to identify identical sequences (DNASTAR). Following a BLASTN search against the GenBank database (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) was performed using standard parameters (i.e. match/mismatch score: 1,-2 and linear gap costs) which were adjusted automatically for short input sequences. All RNA sequences, which were not annotated in the database and showed a perfect match within the A. fumigatus genome (34), were treated as potential candidates for novel ncRNAs.

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was either size-separated on 1.2% agarose–2.2 M formaldehyde gels and blotted onto Hybond N membranes (Amersham) as described earlier (35), or size-fractionated on denaturing polyacrylamide gels (PAGE). For PAGE, 3–40 μg of total RNA isolated from different growth conditions (see above) was denatured for 1 min at 95°C, separated on a 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gel (7 M urea, 1x TBE buffer) and transferred onto a nylon membrane (Hybond N+, Amersham) using the Bio-Rad semi-dry blotting apparatus (Trans-blot SD; Bio-Rad).

After immobilizing of RNAs using the STRATAGENE UV crosslinker (120mJ/cm2), nylon membranes were pre-incubated for 2 h in 1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.2) with 7% SDS. Oligonucleotides from 18 to 35 nt in size, complementary to potentially novel RNA species, were end-labelled with [γ-32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. Depending on the Tm of the respective oligonucleotides, hybridization was carried out from 42 to 58°C in hybridization buffer (178 mM Na2HPO4, NaH2PO4, pH 6.2, 7% SDS) for 12 h. Blots were washed twice, once at room temperature in 2x SSC buffer, 0.1% SDS for 10 min and subsequently at the respective hybridization temperature in 0.1x SSC, 0.1% SDS for 1–10 min. Membranes were exposed to Kodak MS-1 film from 15 min to 5 days.

Bioinformatical methods

To obtain secondary structure predictions and conservations of A. fumigatus ncRNAs, sequences were mapped to the genomes of related species via BLAST (36) search (E-value: 10^-10). The homologous sequences were then aligned using a dynamic programming alignment algorithm as implemented in CLUSTAL W (37) and out of the multiple sequence alignment the secondary structure was predicted using the folding routines from the Vienna RNA package (38,39). Genomes of Aspergillus oryzae, Aspergillus nidulans and Aspergillus niger were downloaded from the NCBI database. Employing a BLASTN search in the JGI genome browser of A. niger (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Aspn1/Aspn1.home.html) sequence conservation of snRNAs and novel ncRNA candidates was analysed in the genomes of A. niger, A. oryzae, A. fumigatus and A. nidulans (Supplementary Data, Figures 1 and 4). Since U3 snoRNA could not be found by means of a BLASTN search, a computationally more expensive strategy was employed. The semi-local variant of Gotoh’s dynamic programming algorithm (40) was implemented in a memory-efficient scanning version in the C programming language (parameters: match +3, mismatch 1, gap opening 8 and gap extension 2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

cDNA library construction and analysis

Aspergillus fumigatus was grown under seven different culture conditions (see Materials and methods section). Liquid complete medium (ACM) is the optimal growth condition resulting in maximal vegetative proliferation. In comparison, minimal medium demands expression of an increased number of anabolic pathways. Starvation for iron (AMM-Fe) or nitrogen (AMM-N) was found to induce virulence traits (41,42). In contrast to liquid cultures (vegetative growth), plate cultures induce, i.e. the formation of infectious propagules (43). These different growth conditions are reported to induce different mRNA transcriptomes and thus, in addition, are likely to also induce the expression of differentially expressed ncRNA species. Total RNA from each culture condition was isolated separately and converted into cDNA as previously described (29).

Subsequently, we screened about 7200 cDNA clones for identification of novel ncRNA species by dot-blot hybridization employing labelled oligonucleotides directed against the most abundant known ncRNAs, as described previously (44). This approach yielded 3120 cDNA sequences, which were subjected to further bioinformatical analysis (see Materials and methods section). Thereby, 58.2% of cDNA sequences corresponded to nuclear or mitochondrial rRNA fragments. tRNAs or tRNA cleavage fragments (see below) represented about 23.0% of cDNA clones (Figure 1A). About 4.9% of cDNA sequences corresponded to three different snRNAs (U1, U5 and U6 snRNA), which had escaped annotation in the current release of the A. fumigatus genome (27). Candidates for small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) made up 11.3% of all cDNA clones. Due to the lack of conserved sequence or structure motifs the remaining 1.2% of cDNA clones could not be assigned to any class of known ncRNAs and thus might represent entirely novel ncRNAs in A. fumigatus (Figure 1A).

Subsequently, we confirmed expression and sizes of ncRNAs by northern blot analysis. To that aim, total RNA isolated from different growth conditions or developmental stages of A. fumigatus, was employed in northern blot analysis to investigate expression levels of ncRNAs (Figures 2 and 3). In our final analysis, we only listed those novel ncRNAs for which unambiguous northern blot signals were obtained, with the notable exception of some snoRNA species (Table 1, see below),
which were computationally confirmed by the presence of canonical sequence and structure motifs.

snRNA candidates

Four different cDNA clones representing putative snRNA candidates were annotated as U1-1, U1-2, U5 and U6 snRNAs, respectively, by comparison to the RNA family database of alignments and Covariance Models (45). Sequences of U1-1 and U1-2 snRNAs differ by 1 nt, only (A or T at position 128, respectively), and exhibit different genomic locations, implying that they represent two distinct isoforms of U1 snRNA (Table 1). A comparison of the predicted secondary structures of *A. fumigatus* snRNAs U1-1, U1-2, U5 and U6 snRNAs and their homologues with the corresponding *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* homologues shows highly conserved regions in the loops of the hairpins. Compared to the consensus secondary structure as reported by the Rfam database (45) the *Aspergillus* snRNA structures show the same stem–loop distribution and arrangement (Supplementary Data Figure 1A–D).

Except for nuclear encoded 26S, 18S, 5.8S rRNAs and tRNAs, U1-1 snRNA appeared as the most abundant clone in the library (Table 1). Three identical truncated cDNA clones of U6 snRNA, mapping to two different loci within the *A. fumigatus* genome, were also identified in our screen (Table 1). Expression of *A. fumigatus* U1, U5 and U6 snRNAs could be confirmed by northern blot analysis (Figure 2A). Sizes, as estimated by comparison to an internal RNA marker, were determined as 130 nt, 100 nt or 105 nt,
respectively (Figure 2A). The absence of U2 and U4 snRNAs in our screen might be explained by RNA modifications or structural constraints, impeding reverse transcription into cDNAs.

Therefore, we employed, as a bioinformatical approach, a BLASTN search of all known U2 and U4 snRNAs as annotated in the Rfam database, including all yeast snRNA genes. Indeed, we were able to predict U2 and U4 homologues within the *A. fumigatus* genome (Supplementary Data Figure 1B and D). However, *A. fumigatus* U2 and U4 snRNAs appeared to be less conserved on the sequence level than expected and could only be partially aligned. Manually extending the alignment yielded a set of nearly perfect U2 and U4 snRNA sequences in *A. fumigatus* and related species (e.g. *A. niger*). In addition, we were able to compute the interaction structure of the U4–U6 complex (Supplementary Data Figure 1D) using the RNAalifold program (47).

A comparison of the predicted consensus secondary structures to annotated secondary structures in the Rfam database and in (48) shows that these computational candidates match perfectly, although they show high variance in their primary sequence (Supplementary Data, Figure 1A–C). This is consistently observed for the evolution of ncRNAs, since these genes are mainly conserved on the secondary structure level. Table 3 indicates levels of conservation within all identified snRNA candidates in *A. fumigatus*. In addition to their bioinformatical identification, expression of U2 and U4 snRNAs was verified by northern blot analysis (Supplementary Data, Figure 2A). It is noteworthy, however, that sizes of U2 and U4 snRNAs, as estimated by northern blot analysis, differ from their bioinformatical predicted sizes due to the fact that precise 5’- and 3’ termini cannot be obtained by computational analysis.

**snoRNA candidates**

Two classes of small nucleolar ncRNAs (snoRNAs) have been detected in eukaryal as well as in archaeal species (49–52): C/D box snoRNAs, which guide 2’-O-methylation of ribosomal, spliceosomal and tRNAs (the latter in Archaea, only), and H/ACA snoRNAs which guide pseudouridylation in these RNA species (53). In our screen, we identified 27 candidates for C/D box snoRNAs based on conserved sequence or structural motifs by employing the SnoReport program (54).

All C/D box snoRNAs from our screen contained *bona fide* sequence motifs of canonical snoRNAs, namely C, D’, C’ and D boxes, respectively (1,55). We noticed, however, that all C/D box snoRNAs from *A. fumigatus* lacked the canonical terminal stem structure, in contrast to mammalian C/D snoRNAs. This is in agreement with previous observations on archael C/D snoRNAs (56) or C/D snoRNAs from the slime mold *Dyctiostelium discoideum*, which are also devoid of a terminal stem (57).

Surprisingly, the abundant U3 C/D snoRNA was missing among these candidates. A standard BLASTN search also failed to identify U3 snoRNA candidates.
Table 1. Candidates for snRNAs or snoRNAs

| Name | Copies | cDNA (nt) | Northern blot (nt) | Location | Putative target | Accession number |
|------|--------|-----------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| U1-1 | 157    | 132       | 130   | Intergenic; Afu1g06980/Afu1g07000 |     |     |       |
| U1-2 | 67     | 132       | 130   | Intergenic; Afu4g12490/Afu4g12500 |     |     |       |
| U5   | 19     | 99        | 100   | Intergenic; Afu6g12670/Afu6g12680 |     |     |       |
| U6   | 3      | 50        | 105   | Intergenic; Afu4g12500/Afu4g12520 |     |     |       |
| Afu-190 | 8   | 107       | 110   | Intergenic; Afu4g11320/Afu4g11330 |     |     |       |
| Afu-198 | 8   | 130       | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu1g02700/Afu1g02680 |     |     |       |
| Afu-264 | 4   | 103       | 80    | Intergenic; Afu1g09750/Afu1g09760 |     |     |       |
| Afu-277 | 4   | 100       | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu1g09740/Afu1g09760 |     |     |       |
| Afu-263 | 3   | 96        | 85    | Intron1 - Exon2 -3’UTR (sense); |     |     |       |
| Afu-188 | 2   | 84        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu6g11310/Afu6g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-200 | 2   | 91        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu1g12390 |     |     |       |
| Afu-304 | 2   | 106       | 95    | Intron 2 (sense); Afu1g09800 |     |     |       |
| Afu-380 | 2   | 87        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu4g06780/Afu4g06770 |     |     |       |
| Afu-513 | 2   | 129       | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-328 | 1   | 75        | 75    | Intron 2 (sense); Afu6g04570 |     |     |       |
| Afu-335 | 1   | 97        | 100   | Intron 2 (sense); Afu1g04840 |     |     |       |
| Afu-453 | 1   | 83        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu2g15150/Afu2g15170 |     |     |       |
| Afu-455 | 1   | 92        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu1g09760/Afu1g09740 |     |     |       |
| Afu-40  | 123   | 90        | 90    | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-514 | 74   | 105       | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu6g03830/Afu6g03840 |     |     |       |
| Afu-515 | 40    | 89        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-199 | 26    | 79        | 80    | Intron 1 (sense); Afu7g02320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-298 | 3    | 93        | 90    | Intergenic; Afu1g03080/Afu1g05100 |     |     |       |
| Afu-300 | 3    | 88        | 90    | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-215 | 2    | 24        | 85    | Intergenic; Afu5g12870/Afu5g12880 |     |     |       |
| Afu-511 | 2    | 164       | 160   | Intergenic; Afu1g03400/Afu1g03410 |     |     |       |
| Afu-210 | 1    | 24        | 75    | Intron 2 (sense); Afu2g03610 |     |     |       |
| Afu-265 | 1    | 23        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu3g02340/Afu3g02370 |     |     |       |

C/D box snoRNAs with predicted target (orphan snoRNAs)

| Name  | Copies | cDNA (nt) | Northern blot (nt) | Location | Putative target | Accession number |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| Afu-40 | 123    | 90        | 90    | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-514 | 74   | 105       | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu6g03830/Afu6g03840 |     |     |       |
| Afu-515 | 40    | 89        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-199 | 26    | 79        | 80    | Intron 1 (sense); Afu7g02320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-298 | 3    | 93        | 90    | Intergenic; Afu1g03080/Afu1g05100 |     |     |       |
| Afu-300 | 3    | 88        | 90    | Intergenic; Afu4g11310/Afu4g11320 |     |     |       |
| Afu-215 | 2    | 24        | 85    | Intergenic; Afu5g12870/Afu5g12880 |     |     |       |
| Afu-511 | 2    | 164       | 160   | Intergenic; Afu1g03400/Afu1g03410 |     |     |       |
| Afu-210 | 1    | 24        | 75    | Intron 2 (sense); Afu2g03610 |     |     |       |
| Afu-265 | 1    | 23        | n.d.  | Intergenic; Afu3g02340/Afu3g02370 |     |     |       |

Copies: number of independent cDNA clones obtained from each ncRNA candidate; cDNA (nt): length of cDNA assessed by sequencing; northern blot (nt): length of a ncRNA candidate estimated by northern blot analysis; Intergenic: some 1 between protein-coding genes Afu1g09740 and Afu1g09760; Accession numbers of genes flanking the ncRNA (intergenic) or accession number of the gene, which the ncRNA is derived from (sense or antisense to exon or intron); Putative target: refers to the predicted modified nucleotides within rRNAs; accession number: accession number of the ncRNA sequence in DDBJ/EMBL/GeneBank databases.

Most C/D snoRNAs identified in our screen, with some exceptions (Table 1) are within the size range of a canonical C/D snoRNAs (i.e. from 80 to 100 nt; Table 1). Identification of some larger C/D box snoRNAs in our library is in agreement with previous findings in Oryza sativa (58) or Trypanosoma brucei (59) (Table 1). From the 27 C/D snoRNA candidates, six are intron-derived (Table 1, Figure 1B) as observed for most mammalian and plant snoRNAs (53). One C/D box snoRNA candidate, Afu-263, extends beyond a predicted intron–exon border within the 3’-untranslated region (UTR; Figure 1B) of a hypothetical protein, encoded by the Afu3g14080 gene. However, this might be due to a wrong annotation of Afu3g14080 as a protein-coding gene, consistent with its unusually small transcript length of 96 nt. Comparison of Afu-263 with the Rfam database reveals homology to S. cerevisiae snR60. In addition, Afu-263 is also conserved in the genome of the related fungus A. oryzae.

The remaining 20 candidates map to intergenic regions. Twelve snoRNA candidates from this group are present as singletons, whereas the remaining eight candidates are distributed in two clusters, comprised of three and five snoRNA genes, respectively. A similar clustered gene organization has been previously observed in S. cerevisiae (60–63). The first snoRNA cluster is located on chromosome 1 between protein-coding genes Afu1g09740 and Afu1g09760 and comprises the C/D box snoRNAs.
Interestingly, MBII-52, an abundant orphan snoRNA from mouse brain, was proposed to target the serotonin receptor 2C mRNA, (65). Whether some of these orphan snoRNAs from *A. fumigatus* might fulfill similar functions in mRNA targeting needs to be determined.

Expression analysis of snoRNAs by northern blot analysis revealed that most snoRNAs are equally expressed under most growth conditions with some exceptions, (Figure 2B and C). Down-regulation during iron starvation, as observed for Afu-328, might implicate iron-related functions; iron starvation, for example, down-regulates transcription of genes encoding iron-containing proteins or iron-consuming pathways in *A. nidulans* (66). Likewise, down-regulation of expression during conidio genesis, as observed for Afu-335, Afu-199 or Afu-511, suggests their developmental regulation.

In contrast to C/D box snoRNAs, we were so far unable to experimentally identify any representatives for H/ACA box snoRNAs. Therefore, we applied the snoReport program (54) to chromosome 1 of the *A. fumigatus* genome and were indeed able to bioinformatically identify candidates for H/ACA snoRNAs. The majority of those candidates show a canonical H/ACA secondary structure and both of the sequence motifs H and ACA (for examples see Supplementary Data, Figure 3A–E).

### Candidates for entirely novel ncRNAs

Due to the lack of conserved sequence motifs 1.2% of cDNA clones, amounting to 15 different cDNA sequences, could not be assigned to any class of known ncRNAs and thus might encode entirely novel ncRNAs in *A. fumigatus*. Northern blot analysis verified expression of all 15 ncRNA candidates (Figure 3) and the genomic location could be determined for all 15 candidates (Table 2).

Expression of ncRNA candidates was verified by northern blot analysis, pointing towards a significant abundance within *A. fumigatus*. Interestingly, many of

### Table 2. Candidates for entirely novel ncRNAs

| Name   | Copies | cDNA (nt) | Northern blot (nt) | Location                                      | Accession number |
|--------|--------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Afu-182 | 17     | 219       | 300               | Intergenic; Afu4q07680/Afu4q07690               | AM921946         |
| Afu-202 | 5      | 266       | 270               | Intergenic; Afu1g10420/Afu1g10430               | AM921947         |
| Afu-67  | 2      | 21        | 600/190           | Intergenic; Afu4q01630/Afu4q02610               | AM921948         |
| Afu-254 | 2      | 163       | 90/420            | Intergenic; Afu7q04110/Afu7q04120               | AM921949         |
| Afu-203 | 1      | 111       | 110               | Intron 1 (sense); Afu3g1240                      | AM921950         |
| Afu-222 | 1      | 22        | 535/250           | Intergenic; Afu4g12410/Afu4g12420               | AM921951         |
| Afu-262 | 1      | 25        | 225               | Intergenic; Afu1g10370/Afu1g10380               | AM921952         |
| Afu-309 | 1      | 318       | 320               | Intergenic; Afu4g10430/Afu4g10420               | AM921953         |
| Afu-318 | 1      | 24        | 70                | Intergenic; Afu7q01590/Afu7q01600               | AM921954         |
| Afu-322 | 1      | 46        | 75/35             | Intergenic; Afu2q13250/Afu2q13240               | AM921955         |
| Afu-336 | 1      | 28        | 130               | Intergenic; Afu1g09740/Afu1g09760               | AM921956         |
| Afu-364 | 1      | 18        | 100               | Intergenic; Afu1g13820/Afu1g13830               | AM921957         |
| Afu-448 | 1      | 29        | 315               | Intergenic; Afu3g03090/Afu3g03130; Exon4-Intron4; Afu3g02730; Intergenic; Afu6g11780/Afu6g11790 | AM921958         |
| Afu-465 | 1      | 17        | 270               | Intergenic; Afu1g11550/Afu1g11540               | AM921959         |
| Afu-484 | 1      | 41        | 600               | Intron 2 (sense); Afu4g08930; Exon2 (antisense); Afu3g0170 | AM921960         |

Copies: number of independent cDNA clones, obtained from each ncRNA candidate; cDNA (nt): length of cDNA assessed by sequencing; northern blot (nt): length of a ncRNA candidate estimated by northern blot analysis; location: accession numbers of genes flanking the ncRNA (intergenic) or accession number of the gene, which the ncRNA is derived from (sense or antisense to exon or intron); accession number: accession number of the ncRNA sequence in the DDBJ/EMBL/GeneBank databases.
In particular, Afu-182, Afu-202, Aspergillus species candidates turned out to be highly conserved in related species. Although the sequence identity for U4 and U5 is relatively low, all sequences are able to fold into an appropriate secondary structure. For the consensus structures and conservation curves see Supplementary Data Figure 1 A–D.

Table 3. Conservation level of snRNA candidates in Aspergillus species

| snRNAs | Location in A. fumigatus | Conserved in related species (% sequence identity) |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| U1-1   | chr1:1991385-1991564     | A. nidulans (78), A. oryzae (94), A. niger (90) |
| U1-2   | chr4:3279813-3279991     | A. nidulans (88), A. oryzae (91), A. niger (93) |
| U2-1   | chr3:3242037-3242312     | A. nidulans (76), A. oryzae (85), A. niger (75) |
| U2-2   | chr1:3223904-3224180     | A. nidulans (88), A. oryzae (84), A. niger (74) |
| U4     | chr1:1274827-1275331     | A. nidulans (49), A. oryzae (53), A. niger (53) |
| U5     | chr5:3202420-3202638     | A. nidulans (44), A. oryzae (60), A. niger (64) |
| U6     | chr1:1651441-1651760     | A. nidulans (42), A. oryzae (39), A. niger (43) |
|        | chr5:2598365-2598684     | A. nidulans (50), A. oryzae (54), A. niger (52) |
|        | chr4:3281001-3281320     | A. nidulans (42), A. oryzae (49), A. niger (67) |

This table shows the snRNA candidates, their exact location in the A. fumigatus genome and the sequence identity in percent to the homologous candidates in related species. Although the sequence identity for U4 and U5 is relatively low, all sequences are able to fold into an appropriate secondary structure. For the consensus structures and conservation curves see Supplementary Data Figure 1 A–D.

Table 4. Conservation, annotation and secondary structure prediction of novel ncRNA candidates

| Name   | Location in A. fumigatus | Conservation | Structure conservation | Annotation |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| Afu-182| chr4:1997138-1996922     | A. niger, A. oryzae, A. nidulans | + | 550 nt upstream of rRNA operon |
| Afu-202| chr1:2714678-2714414     | A. niger, A. oryzae, A. nidulans | + | |
| Afu-67 | chr4:444973-444954       | A. niger, A. oryzae, A. nidulans | + | |
| Afu-254| chr4:706179-706160       | A. niger, A. oryzae, A. nidulans | + | |
| Afu-309| chr4:2732230-2732547     | A. niger, A. oryzae | + | |
| Afu-318| chr7:415029-415006       | A. niger, A. oryzae | + | |
| Afu-322| chr4:304938-304989       | A. niger, A. oryzae | + | |
| Afu-336| chr1:2523503-2523530     | A. niger, A. oryzae, A. nidulans | + | |
| Afu-364| chr1:3693096-3693889     | A. niger | + | |
| Afu-448| chr1:3048137-3048109     | A. niger, A. oryzae | + | |
| Afu-484| chr3:1802200-1802240     | A. niger, A. oryzae | + | |

Results of computational approach to annotate the 15 novel ncRNA candidates. Afu-67 exists in two copies in A. fumigatus and both of these copies are located 550 nt upstream of the rRNA operon that also exists in two copies. None of the others could be annotated to a known gene. In contrast Afu-182, Afu-202, Afu-309 and Afu-336 are highly conserved among the Aspergillus species both in sequence and secondary structure over their complete length of around 220–340 nt. For the secondary structure graphs and conservation curves see Supplementary Data Figure 4 A–D.

these candidates, appear differentially expressed under growth conditions tested, which might indicate their involvement in regulatory processes. In particular, expression of Afu-336, Afu-364 and Afu-448 appears to be down-regulated following growth on plates for 48 h, indicating developmental regulation. In several cases, northern blot analysis revealed signals of larger sizes compared to sizes as determined by cDNA cloning. This might be explained by cDNA sequences representing partial sequence fragments of full-length ncRNAs. In addition, for some cDNA clones several bands could be observed, the larger ones potentially reflecting precursor forms of mature ncRNA candidates.

No obvious conserved sequence or structure motifs could be identified among these candidates. However, six candidates turned out to be highly conserved in related Aspergillus species. In particular, Afu-182, Afu-202, Afu-309 and Afu-336 are conserved in A. nidulans, A. oryzae and A. niger (Table 4) over their entire length from 220 to 350 nt. Hence, consensus secondary structures could be predicted employing the JGI genome browser for A. niger (http://genome.jgi-psf.org; Supplementary Data Figure 4A–D). One conserved candidate is located in the vicinity of a known ncRNA gene: Afu-67 is present in two copies within the A. fumigatus genome and is located 550 nt upstream of the rRNA operon. None of the remaining novel ncRNA candidates could be assigned to any known ncRNA gene or function.

A large number of known ncRNAs function as antisense RNAs targeting mRNAs (67). Thus, it would be desirable to identify potential targets for novel ncRNAs in A. fumigatus. However, in the absence of any hints on the location of anti-sense boxes, this is currently a difficult task. As an exception, Afu-484 ncRNA is transcribed in anti-sense orientation to Exon 2 of the PIGC mRNA and thus might regulate the translation or stability of the
mRNA. Interestingly, under conditions where *A. fumigatus* undergoes conidiation (ACM plate cultures at 48 hr) expression of Afu-484 decreases while expression of the corresponding mRNA increases, consistent with a regulation of stability of the mRNA by Afu-484 (Supplementary Data, Figure 4E).

**tRNA cleavage: a novel mechanism to regulate protein synthesis?**

tRNAs corresponded to 23% of all cDNA clones from the expression library (Figure 1A). All nuclear encoded tRNAs except cytoplasmic tRNA\(^{\text{Met}}\), tRNA\(^{\text{Trp}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{Asp}}\) were identified. This suggests a reasonable good coverage of the cDNA library for ncRNA species, all the more so, since tRNAs, because of their highly stable tertiary structure and their base modifications, are generally refractory to reverse transcription and cDNA cloning (44).

From mitochondrial tRNAs, only two different cDNA clones were obtained encoding tRNA\(^{\text{Ser}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{Gln}}\). Both tRNA species are encoded by the mitochondrial genome of *A. fumigatus* (34). Interestingly, in contrast to nuclear encoded tRNAs, expression of the two mitochondrial tRNA species was down-regulated by 2.1 and 3.7-fold, respectively, in media lacking iron (Figure 4).

Within mitochondria, several enzymes containing iron-sulphur clusters are present; also, the final step of haeme biosynthesis, the incorporation of iron into protoporphyrinogen IX, takes place (68). Hence, these cell organelles are the primary consumers of cellular iron. It is tempting to speculate that down-regulation of mitochondrial tRNA levels represents a mechanism for decreasing mitochondrial activity during iron starvation due to the strong iron-dependence of metabolism within this cellular compartment.

Interestingly, from cytoplasmic tRNAs, 16 were also represented as partial sequences in the cDNA library by several identical clones, corresponding either to the 5'- or 3'-halves of tRNAs (Figure 5A). The majority of tRNA 5'-halves contained the anti-codon sequence at their 3'-ends, whereas sequences of cDNAs encoding the tRNA 3'-halves started right after the anti-codon (Figure 5A). This strongly suggests an endonucleolytic cleavage of tRNAs within their anti-codon loop, at a position 3' adjacent to the anti-codon. For cytoplasmic tRNA\(^{\text{Gln}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{His}}\) we confirmed these stable cleavage intermediates by northern blot analysis employing oligonucleotides directed against the 5'- and the 3'-halves of the tRNAs (Figure 5A, and data not shown). Thereby, the estimated sizes of the northern blot signals from the 5'- and the 3'-halves of tRNAs are in agreement with the lengths of the corresponding cloned cDNAs. In addition, for cytoplasmic tRNA\(^{\text{Gln}}\), tRNA\(^{\text{Gly}}\) and tRNA\(^{\text{His}}\) we verified cleavage sites 3' adjacent to the anti-codon by primer extension (data not shown).

We next investigated whether cleavage of tRNAs was developmentally regulated in *A. fumigatus*. To synchronize conidiation (43), mycelia from 18-h liquid cultures were transferred to plates and RNA was isolated at different time points (Figure 5A). Indeed, northern blot analysis of tRNA\(^{\text{Gln}}\) revealed cleavage products of the expected sizes (see above) from 6 to 24-h after the transfer from liquid to plate culturing. In comparison to full-length tRNAs, tRNA-halves are expected to undergo rapid degradation by exonucleases. Thus, the high abundance of these tRNA cleavage products, as determined by northern blot analysis, is unexpected and therefore might even reflect a lower estimate of tRNA cleavage at these time points, only. At the 48- and 72-h time points (where conidia production is maximal) tRNA\(^{\text{Gln}}\) levels were significantly decreased and in conidia largely decreased. The absence of 5'- or 3'-halves of tRNA\(^{\text{Gln}}\) at these time points probably reflects an increase in exonuclease activity, thus rapidly degrading the unstable tRNA intermediates during conidiogenesis (Figure 5A).

As a control for conidiation, the expression of the conidiation-specific transcription factor *brlA* was measured (32). Consistently, *brlA* transcripts were detected in plate cultures with a maximum at 48 to 72 h, but not in liquid cultures and in conidia (Figure 5A).

We then analysed whether tRNA cleavage during conidiogenesis is only restricted to tRNAs or also involves other abundant ncRNA species. To that aim, we investigated expression levels of various house keeping ncRNAs during *A. fumigatus* development (Figure 5B). Northern blot analysis and in-gel ethidium bromide staining of total RNA (24) revealed that all large and small ribosomal RNAs (i.e. 26S, 18S, 5.8S and 5S rRNAs, respectively), as well as spliceosomal U1 snRNA showed comparable abundance at all developmental stages. In contrast, levels of all selected tRNAs, either from the nuclear or mitochondrial genome, were strongly reduced in conidia, compared to hyphae, as shown by northern blot analysis (Figure 5B).
Levels of all tRNAs steadily increase during germination (Figure 5A: right lanes 6 and 12 h time points; Figure 5B: 3-, 6- and 9-h time points). Under these conditions, cleavage products are not detectable, consistent with suppression of conidiogenesis in liquid culture. Thereby, the 3-, 6- and 9-h time points resemble conidial swelling, conidial germ tube formation and hyphal growth, respectively (Figure 5B). Maximal tRNA levels are observed during hyphal growth from 9 to 15 h. The significant lower abundance of tRNAs in conidia, compared to other ncRNAs, can readily be detected by in-gel ethidium bromide staining of total RNA (indicated by a red arrow, Figure 5B).

We currently envision two alternative models for a decrease of total tRNA levels during conidiogenesis: (i) a decrease in the de novo synthesis of tRNAs or (i) an increase in tRNA cleavage. At this point, we favour the second model, which is consistent with the observed tRNA halves derived from cleavage within the anti-codon loop of tRNAs (see above). What would be the function of tRNA degradation in fungi during conidiogenesis? Since filamentous fungi usually start their asexual life cycle as metabolically inactive asexual spores, the conidia (69), this requires stalling of protein synthesis (25).

Several different mechanisms for stalling of protein synthesis have been identified. For example, bacteria react to nutritional stress, like amino acid deprivation, by the so-called stringent response, which primarily results in inhibition of RNA synthesis (70). The effector of the stringent control is guanosine 3',5'-bisdiphosphate (ppGpp), synthesized by the ribosome-associated RelA protein. Upon amino acid deprivation, uncharged tRNAs bind to the ribosome, which triggers increased ppGpp synthesis by RelA, resulting in changes in gene expression including inhibition of promoters for ribosomal and most tRNA operons and stimulation of promoters for many amino acid biosynthesis operons (71). As an alternative mechanism, in the single-cellular organism Tetrahymena, it has recently been reported that amino acid deprivation triggers endonucleolytic cleavage within several positions in the anti-codon loop of functional tRNAs (72). This suggests that anti-codon loop cleavage reduces the accumulation of uncharged tRNAs as a part of a specific response induced by starvation (72).

Inhibition of protein synthesis by a similar mechanism, i.e. tRNA cleavage, is also found for Escherichia coli cells infected by the phage T4. Here, it was demonstrated that an E. coli-encoded anti-codon-specific endonuclease, termed ACNase, cleaves tRNA^Lys within its anti-codon loop as a ‘suicide-response’ to T4 phage infection. This lesion could deplete the infected cell of functional tRNA^Lys, inhibit translation of late T4 proteins and, 
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Figure 5. (A) Upper: alignment of cDNA sequences from the cDNA library, representing 5' and 3'-halves of cytoplasmic tRNA^Gln. The anti-codon is boxed in red. Northern blot analysis of cytoplasmic tRNA^Gln (bottom) employing oligonucleotide probes directed against 5' and 3'-halves of tRNA^Gln. Northern blot signals correspond to full-length tRNA^Gln (75 nt) or 5' or 3' cleavage products (36 nt or 39 nt), respectively. Lower: total RNAs were isolated from *A. fumigatus* conidia and from mycelia undergoing conidiogenesis (solid ACM, 6h, 12h, 18h, 24h, 48h and 72h), germination (liquid ACM 6h) and vegetative growth (liquid ACM 12h). Conidiogenesis is indicated by expression of the *brlA* gene. The proposed site of endonucleolytic cleavage within the anti-codon loop is indicated by a red triangle; (B) Comparison of expression levels of most abundant ncRNA species by in-gel ethidium bromide staining or by northern blot analysis from germination to hyphal growth; expression levels of the entire tRNA fraction is indicated by a red arrow; total RNA was isolated from *A. fumigatus* conidia, germinating conidia (3 h and 6 h) and hyphae (9–21 h), respectively.
consequently, contain the infection (73). Interestingly, the T4 phage employs an RNA repair mechanism to offset the damage, namely by religation of both tRNA halves through polynucleotide kinase and RNA ligase (73).

It should be noted that the tRNA cleavage mechanism, proposed for \textit{A. fumigatus}, differs from the one described for \textit{E. coli}: here the ACNase cleaves tRNA\textsubscript{Lys}, only, at a position 5' to the wobble base of the anti-codon, while the proposed endonucleolytic cleavage in \textit{A. fumigatus} occurs at all tRNAs investigated but 3' adjacent to the anti-codon. Hence, endonucleases involved in this process might differ significantly from each other between the two species, consistent with the lack of ACN homologues found in \textit{A. fumigatus} employing a BlastP database search (data not shown).

By employing this mechanism, resuming protein synthesis in \textit{A. fumigatus}, would initially require \textit{de novo} transcription of tRNAs, only, followed by rapid protein synthesis which would ensure a fast response to growth stimuli. Accordingly, the initiation of the fungal germination process is reported to be associated with the onset of protein synthesis (25).

CONCLUSION

In this study, we have analysed the small transcriptome of the filamentous fungus \textit{A. fumigatus} by generating a specialized cDNA library from RNAs sized from 20 to 500 nt. We were able to identify 30 ncRNAs from known classes such as snRNAs and snoRNAs and thus complement the current annotation of only protein-coding genes within the \textit{A. fumigatus} genome (27,34). From the class of snRNAs, we experimentally identified U1, U5 and U6 snRNA while U2, U3 and U4 snRNAs were found by bioinformatically \textit{in silico} analysis. From the class of snoRNAs we experimentally detected 27 representatives, all belonging to the class of C/D box snoRNAs. Employing the SnoReport program we bioinformatically identified H/ACA snoRNA candidates in the \textit{A. fumigatus} genome exhibiting canonical H and ACA sequence box motifs as well as a canonical double stem-loop structure; we also found 15 candidates of ncRNAs, which could not be assigned to any known ncRNA class. Some of these ncRNA species are developmentally regulated implying a possible function in \textit{A. fumigatus} development. Four of these novel candidates are conserved on the sequence and structure level in at least two of the other three available \textit{Aspergillus} species.

For other eukaryal organisms, such as fission yeast \textit{Schizosaccharomyces pombe} (74,75) or the unicellular green algae \textit{Chlamydomonas reinhardtii} (18,76), the presence of siRNAs, or miRNAs has been reported. We were unable to detect any small ncRNA species in \textit{A. fumigatus} with signatures of precursors or mature forms of these abundant ncRNAs.

Surprisingly, we also observed ncRNA species corresponding to the 5'- or 3' half of tRNAs, which are likely generated by endonucleolytic cleavage within the anti-codon loop during conidiogenesis. This led to a model, in which the requirement for stalling protein synthesis in conidia is achieved by cleavage and subsequent degradation of tRNAs in \textit{A. fumigatus}. To verify this model, future experiments have to address the identification of the tRNA cleavage activity in \textit{A. fumigatus}. It is tempting to speculate whether similar mechanisms to regulate protein synthesis are also employed in resting states of other, multi-cellular, organisms, such as plants.
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Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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