Purification of IFNγ-secreting, effector T lymphocytes that induce apoptosis in cancer cells
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Abstract

Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) that are present in cancer microenvironment secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-2 (IL-2) and interferon-gamma (INFγ). On the other hand, tumor cells develop various immune evasion mechanisms, including the down-regulation of anti-tumor immune responses. In this study, we used MCF7 cells (a human breast cancer cell line) as target cells to stimulate specific populations of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in vitro. We evaluated effector T cell responses in the context of cytokine secretion, in the presence or absence of IL-2. Our data show that MCF7 cells almost completely (99%) inhibited IFNγ secretion, suggesting a regulatory activity of MCF7 cells. IL-2 completely reversed this MCF7 inhibition, and induced IFNγ secretion 4 folds higher. Interestingly, results with Cytokine Secretion Assay (CSA) confirmed the above results and showed that the percentages of IFNγ-secreting T cells were 11 times lower in cultures containing a mixture of PBMCs and MCF7 cells, compared with PBMC control. Consistently, IL-2 completely reversed this MCF7 inhibition, where the percentage of IFNγ-secreting cells increased up to 14 times higher compared to PBMC control. Additionally, purification of MCF7-sepcific, CD45+, IFNγ-secreting effector cells led to a significant increase from 1.54% up to 92% purity. Purified effector IFNγ-secreting cells induced 58% apoptosis in MCF7 target cells, compared with 18% in non-target cells. Collectively, this study presents data that demonstrate the possibility of generating and purifying cancer-specific, IFNγ-secreting, effector T cells in vitro that were able to induce apoptosis in target cancer cells in a cell specific manner.

Introduction

According to the world health organization, Breast cancer is the most frequent neoplasm among women [1,2]. Failure of immune surveillance against cancer cells is a major contributing factor [3]. In healthy individuals, the immune system is very effective in detecting and eliminating cancer cells at very early stages of cancer development [4]. However, tumor cells may develop immune evasion strategies that interfere with the anti-tumor immunity of the immune system by down-regulating differentiation, activation and proliferation of effector lymphocytes and macrophages [5,6].

Breast cancers have been shown to be infiltrated with different populations of immune cells including tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) [7], Natural killer (NK) cells and macrophages [7]. They enrich tumor microenvironment with cytokines that may up-regulate the anti-cancer immune response [8,9]. On the other hand, cancer cells stimulate regulatory T cells (Treg) to secrete immunosuppressive tumor-derived soluble factors (TDSFs) [10]. These factors have been shown to play roles in immune suppression, cancer cell growth, and angiogenesis [11]. Immune suppression is mediated by vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [12,13], interleukin-10 (IL-10) [14], and transforming growth factor Beta (TGFβ) [15]. These TDSFs exert profound effects on T cells by shifting the T helper I (Th1): T helper 2 (Th2) ratio towards Th2 that inhibit cell-mediated immunity [16], and inhibit T cell activation by down-regulating IL-2 receptor on T cells and interfering with IL-2 secretion [17,18].

In anti-tumor immunity, T cell activation and IL-2 production are triggered by peptide: MHC complex recognition by T cell receptor, and co-stimulatory signals triggered by engagement of CD80 on antigen presenting cells (APCs) with CD28 on T cells [19]. However, tumor cells interfere with cell-mediated immune response by inhibiting antigen presentation on MHC class II, down regulating co-stimulatory signals, and down regulating MHC class I [20], thereby preventing tumor recognition by T cells. Treg cells play a critical role in anti-tumor immune suppression, by expressing CTLA-4 that binds CD86 on APCs with a higher affinity than CD80 on T cells, thereby inhibiting IL-2 secretion by T cells [11,21,22].

Anti-tumor cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), interferon Gamma (IFNγ) and interleukin-2 (IL-2), are secreted by immune cells that infiltrate the tumor [23]. These anti-tumor cytokines induce lymphocyte differentiation and proliferation, inhibit proliferation, and induce apoptosis in tumor cells [8]. IL-2 has been approved by FDA for cancer treatment [24]; however, it is toxic in vivo with poor drug half-life in circulation [25]. On the other hand, researchers have shown that adoptive immunotherapy was effective
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in enhancing the body’s immune response to fight cancer cells by expanding patient’s own TILs in vitro in cultures containing IL-2, followed by re-injection of the in vitro-stimulated TILs into tumor site [26]. Their results showed increased TIL numbers combined with an increase in TNF and IFNγ concentrations. Paradoxically, IL-2 has been shown to indirectly boost Treg-mediated immune suppression and this Treg boost reversed and Tregs were suppressed when significant amount of IL-2 were added to culture. Together, these results have shed light on the role of IL-2 in regulating tumor development and show the undisputed anti-cancer effects of IL-2 [27], and present a possible utilization for this important cytokine in fighting cancers [27]. In a recent study, researchers have shown that immune therapy of CD19+ B cell leukemia was successful by infusing the patient’s autologous T cells that express chimeric antigen receptor with specificity for the B-cell antigen CD19 [28,29], coupled with CD137 co-stimulatory receptor in T cells and CD3-zeta signal-transduction component of the T-cell antigen receptor signaling domains [29]. Their results showed that the infused T cells expanded 1000 times in vivo even though they were infused at very low doses (1.5X10^5/kg of patient). Their results further demonstrated the infused cells persisted in the blood and bone marrows of patients for more than six months, while still carrying the antigen specificity to CD19. This therapy was used in patients with refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and led to a specific immune response that was detected in the bone marrow, accompanied by loss of normal B cells and leukemia cells that express CD19 [29].

In this study, we present data that demonstrate the possibility of raising cancer-specific, IFNγ secreting effector T cells that might be employed in anti-tumor immune responses. We show that IFNγ secretion by T cells in response to co-culture with MCF7 cells was inhibited by MCF7 cells, and this inhibition was reversed to much higher levels by the addition of IL-2. These results suggest that IFNγ secretion plays an important role in anti-cancer immunity, and therefore is targeted for inhibition by cancer cells. Interestingly, our results show that purified, IFNγ-secreting cells induced marked apoptosis in MCF7 target cells in a specific manner. Together, the results presented in this report show that antigen-specific T cells may be utilized in anti-cancer therapeutic approaches by inducing apoptosis in target cells in a specific manner.

Materials and methods

The protocol used in this study, and which involved samples obtained from human subjects has been approved by the Stem Cells of Arabia (SCA)/Amman-Jordan IRB committee for the utilization of human biological samples in research purposes in 2009, in conformation to the terms of the Declaration of Helsinki and Belmont report. The protocol has also been endorsed by the Ethical Committee of Jordan University of Science and Technology (JUST).

Isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)

We used 12-14 ml of peripheral blood (PB) from 32 volunteers. The blood was kept at room temperature (RT), diluted 1:2 with phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Isolation of PBMCs was achieved by density gradient centrifugation (DGC) with Ficoll-Paque (1.077) according to previously published procedures [30]. PBMCs were then counted and re-suspended at 10^7 cells/ml in culture media (RPMI-1640 media; Gibco Life Technologies) supplemented with Glutamate, Penicillin, streptomycin, and 10% Fetal Cali Serum.

T-Cell stimulation cultures in vitro

MCF7 Breast cancer cell line was used in our system as target cells. PBMCs were co-cultured with MCF7 cells at 5:1 ratio of E:T in a 12-well tissue culture plate. As controls, PBMCs and MCF7 cells were cultured each in a separate well, and were treated the same way as other culture variables. IL-2 was added to duplicate wells at a concentration of 1 µl/ml. All the above cultures were incubated in 37°C incubators with 5-7% CO₂ for 4-5 days. After stimulation, both cells and culture media were collected separately for the different downstream assays. Cells were centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C, and were further treated for Cytokine Secretion Assay (CSA) as described below. Supernatants were collected separately and were treated according to specific protocols for Cytometric Bead Array (CBA), as described below.

Cytometric bead array (CBA)

To measure the levels of secreted cytokines in the above cultures, we used the Th1/Th2 Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) (BD Biosciences, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocols. First, the Cytokine Standards were prepared by reconstituting Human Th1/Th2 Cytokine Standards in 0.2 ml of Assay Diluent to prepare a 10x bulk standards. Nine tubes were labeled in the order: Top Standards, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32, 1/64, 1/128 and 1/256. Human Th1/Th2 Cytokine Standards were then diluted by serial dilutions using the assay diluents. Then, each Human Cytokine Capture Bead suspension was mixed and used at 10 µl/test, and 50 µl of mixed beads were transferred to each assay tube. Then, PE Detection Reagent was added at 50 µl/test, and Standard Dilutions were added to the appropriate sample tubes at 50 µl/test. All tubes were incubated at room temperature for 3 hours in the dark, and were then washed with 1ml wash buffer then centrifuged at 200 × g for 5min. Finally, 300 µl of wash buffer were added to each assay tube to allow for flow cytometry analyses.

Cytometer Setup Bead tubes were prepared by labeling three tubes: A, B and C. 50 µl/tube of Cytometer Setup Beads were added to all three tubes. 50 µl of FITC positive control were added to tube B, and 50 µl of PE positive control were added to tube C. All tubes were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes in the dark. Then, 400 µl of wash buffer were added to tubes B and C, and 450 µl to tube A, B, and C setup tubes were used for flow cytometer setup before running the samples in Flow Cytometry analysis.

Cytokine secretion assay (CSA)

Cells in different culture conditions were assayed to determine the percentages of IFNγ secreting cells using the Cytokine Secretion Assay (CSA) (Miltenyi Biotec, GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) according to manufacturer’s protocols. Cells were kept at 4°C at all times during this assay. All the numbers below are for samples containing up to 10° cells per sample. Briefly, cells were counted, washed by adding 10 ml of cold PBS buffer and centrifuged at 300 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were removed, and cell pellets were resuspended in 80 ul of cold RPMI medium per 10° cells. Then, 20 µl of anti-IFNγ catch reagent was added, and the cells were incubated on ice for 5 minutes. The catch reagent is made up of two antibodies bound to each other at the Fc regions; the first is anti-CD45 antibody that allows the catch reagent to bind to all CD45+ white blood cells (PBMCs), while the other antibody is anti-IFNγ antibody that binds to the IFNγ secreted by the same cell. To allow secretion of cytokine by activated cells, 10 ml of warm media were added, and the cells were allowed to secrete cytokines by incubating them for 45 minutes at 37°C in CO₂ incubator, with slow and gentle shaking to prevent cross-catching
of cytokines by other non-secreting cells. At the end of the secretion period, cells were immediately removed from the incubator and put on ice. The tubes were filled with cold buffer up to 15 ml, and were washed as described above. Cells were then resuspended in 80 ul of buffer, and 20 ul of anti-IFNγ-PE detection antibody were added to each tube, and cells were incubated for 10 minutes at 4°C to allow the binding of anti-IFNγ antibody to IFNγ molecules on the cell reagent, on the surface of CD45+ cells. To allow for magnetic purification of IFNγ-secreting cells, we used the MACS® magnetic purification strategy (Miltenyi Biotec). Cells were washed as described above and were resuspended in 80 ul; then 20 ul of anti-PE Microbeads were added to the cells and were incubated at 4-8°C for 15 minutes. Then, cells were washed as described above and resuspended in 500 µl of buffer. 25 µl of cells from each sample were taken for flow cytometric analyses. These are the unpurified original (ORI) samples. To purify IFNγ-secreting cells, we used two MS columns (Miltenyi Biotec) to obtain higher purities. The columns were first prepared by washing with 500 ul of buffer, and effluents were discarded. Washed MS columns were placed onto the MiniMACS® separator magnet, while a 15 ml conical tube was placed under the magnet to collect the effluents. Cells were passed through a 70 µ filter to remove cell clumps, and were then loaded onto the prepared columns. Magnetic labeled cells (IFNγ-secreting cells) were trapped on the column, whereas non secreting cells flew through and were collected in the conical tube beneath the column. The column was washed three times, each with 500 ul of buffer. Then, the column was removed from the magnet and the cells were flushed into a new column setting onto the magnet to repeat the purification process in order to increase the purity of the target cell populations. The new column was washed as described above. The IFNγ-secreting cells were finally eluted from the second column into a fresh conical tube and were washed as described above. Samples of the IFNγ-secreting cells (positive fraction (POS)) and the non IFNγ-secreting cells (negative fraction (NEG)) were taken for flow cytometry. Cells from both IFNγ-secreting and non-secreting populations were finally resuspended in appropriate volumes of culture media for further culturing with target cells.

Flow cytometry

Cells from unpurified (ORI), IFNγ-secreting (POS) and non-secreting (NEG) fractions obtained from the above experiment were finally washed as described above, and were then resuspended in 500 µl of PBS buffer for flow cytometry analyses. To allow for multiple analyses, cells in all fractions were co-stained with anti-CD3-FITC and anti-CD8-PerCP antibodies to allow multiple gating and analyses of pan T cells and CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes, in addition to the IFNγ-PE that is conjugated onto CD45+ WBCs. Flow cytometry analyses were performed according to pre-set parameters specifically designed for this assay.

Co-culture of IFNγ-secreting and non-secreting cells with MCF7 cells

Unpurified, IFNγ-secreting, and non-IFNγ-secreting PBMCs from all of the above initial culture conditions were separately co-cultured alone, or with fresh populations of MCF7 cells, in the presence or absence of IL-2. As additional controls, we used HeLa cells as another target cell type, and fresh PBMCs were also co-cultured with MCF7 cells. Cells from these different mixtures were cultured for 3 days under the same culture conditions above, at 5:1 (E:T) ratio.

Apoptotic assays

To evaluate the effects of unpurified, IFNγ-secreting, or IFNγ-non secreting cells from the different cultures above on killing target MCF7 cells in vitro, cells from the above co-cultures were either incubated with 1 ug/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma) for 10 min and evaluated for chromatin condensation in situ using fluorescence microscopy (Nikon, Japan), stained with Trypan Blue and evaluated for cell viability, or washed to remove PBMCs and incubated the remaining adherent MCF7 cells with Annexin V-FITC (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and evaluated for apoptosis using flow cytometry using BD FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences).

Results

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were collected from 32 volunteers. On average, 13.1 ml of blood were collected from each volunteer. The average PBMC yield was 54.2 × 10^6 PBMCs, which contained 5.8% granulocytes and 0.054 × 10^6 RBCs/ml. These PBMCs were utilized in subsequent cultures, either alone or together with MCF7 breast cancer cells, with or without interleukin-2 (IL-2) as a T cell stimulating agent.

In vitro co-cultures of MCF7 cells with PBMCs for five days showed differential cellular behaviors, depending on the specific culture condition (Figure 1). MCF7 cells cultured alone formed a single layer of adherent cells (Figure 1B and 1E). In contrast, PBMCs cultured alone were in suspension (Figure 1A and 1D). When PBMCs were co-cultured with MCF7 cells, no change in morphological behavior was noticed (Figure 1C). Addition of IL-2 to MCF7 cells did not induce any morphological modification (Figure 1E). In contrast, addition of IL-2 to PBMCs did induce the formation of small and numerous cellular aggregates (Figure 1D). Interestingly, co-culture of MCF7 cells with PBMCs with the addition of IL-2 caused the formation of larger but less abundant cellular aggregates (Figure 1F).

Cells and supernatants of the above cultures were collected on day 5. Supernatants were used to assess the collective profiles of secreted cytokines (Figure 2A). This was achieved by the utilization of the Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) from which the results are shown in Figure 2. Of interest to this study was the secretion of Interferon Gamma (IFN). Our results showed that when PBMCs were cultured with MCF7 cells, no change in morphological behavior was noticed (Figure 1C). Addition of IL-2 to MCF7 cells did not induce any morphological modification (Figure 1E). In contrast, addition of IL-2 to PBMCs did induce the formation of small and numerous cellular aggregates (Figure 1D). Interestingly, co-culture of MCF7 cells with PBMCs with the addition of IL-2 caused the formation of larger but less abundant cellular aggregates (Figure 1F).

Figure 1. Initial cultures and co-cultures of MCF7 breast cancer cells with PBMCs. PBMCs, MCF7 cells were cultured alone or co-cultured together, with or without IL-2, as described in materials and methods. The cell culture dishes were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 5 days. The above pictures were taken on day 5. Shown in the pictures are cellular aggregates that were most evident in the co-culture of PBMCs+MCF7 with IL-2 that indicate T cell activation.
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Figure 2. Profiles of Secreted Cytokines: Results of Cytometric Bead Array (CBA). PBMCs, MCF7 cells were cultured alone or co-cultured together as described in materials and methods. The cell culture dishes were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 5 days. Supernatant were collected on day 5 and were used in CBA assay as described in materials and methods. Figure (A) show peaks related to concentrations of IFNγ, TNFa, IL-10, IL-5, IL-4, and IL-2 cytokines indicated on top of the Figures. Bars in Figure (B) indicate the exact concentration of each cytokine in each sample.

PBMC+MCF7 co-cultures. When IL-2 was added to PBMC+MCF7, the levels of secreted IFNγ increased to 3394 pg/ml (Figure 2B).

Cells from the above cultures were also collected on day 5 to analyze the cells that secrete IFNγ using the Cytokine Secretion Assay (CSA) (Figure 3). As shown in Figure 3A, our results demonstrated that 0.11% of CD45+/CD3+ T cells secreted IFNγ in cultures containing PBMCs alone. Upon addition of IL-2, the percentage of IFNγ-secreting cells increased to 1.54% (Figure 3A). No MCF7 cells were shown to secrete IFNγ, with or without IL-2. Interestingly, there was a clear inhibition of IFNγ secretion in PBMC+MCF7 co-cultures down to 0.01% (Figure 3A). However, this inhibition was completely reversible to much higher levels (0.51%) when IL-2 was added to PBMC+MCF7 culture (Figure 3A). These IFNγ-secreting cells from PBMC+MCF7 cultures were then purified using the enrichment part of the CSA (Figure 3B). Our results show clear populations of CD45+/CD3+ T lymphocytes that secrete IFNγ in the purified cellular fractions (Figure 3B). Purification of IFNγ-secreting cells from PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures (1.54% IFNγ-secreting cells) using MACS® magnetic columns yielded 92.85% pure secreting cells (Figure 3B). The IFNγ-secreting T lymphocytes were both CD8+ and CD8-. These cells were further used in subsequent co-cultures with fresh populations of MCF7 or HeLa cells (Figure 4).

The effects of unpurified PBMCs and Purified IFNγ secreting and IFNγ non-secreting T cells from the above cultures were separately co-cultured either with a fresh batch of MCF7 cells or with HeLa cells. As shown in Figure 4, cellular morphologies in these cultures differed from the initial cultures shown in Figure 1. There were larger, more evident and obvious cellular aggregates in MCF7+ IFNγ secreting PBMC cultures (Figure 4G) compared with MCF7+ IFNγ secreting PBMCs from the initial cultures (Figure 1F). Purified IFNγ secreting and IFNγ non-secreting T cells from PBMC+IL2 cultures were used as MCF7 non-specific effector cells, whereas HeLa cells were used to assess specificity of MCF7-stimulated, IFNγ secreting PBMCs.

We then analyzed the effects of IFNγ secreting and non secreting cells on inducing apoptosis on MCF7 target cells. Apoptosis of MCF7 target cells was assessed and confirmed by using different methods. PBMCs were first removed, and the adherent MCF7 cells were washed and then evaluated. We first assessed cell membrane permeability using Trypan Blue stain (7%, Figure 5). Our results showed that in the MCF7 alone cell culture, 7% of MCF7 cells were positive for Trypan Blue.
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Figure 5. MCF7 Cell Death in Response to Co-Culture With Different Populations of PBMCs. Assessed by Trypan Blue Staining. MCF7 or HeLa target cells were each cultured alone, or co-cultured separately for 3 days with different PBMC populations (effector cells) as described in materials and methods. PBMC populations were either IFNγ secreting or IFNγ non-secreting, that were either MCF7-specific, or MCF7 non-specific, IL-2 responding PBMCs. PBMCs were removed and the remaining adherent MCF7 cells were washed and then collected and stained with Trypan Blue. Each bar represents the number of Trypan Blue stained cells in each culture condition described. At least 200 cells were counted in each sample. Legend: M, MCF7 cells alone; M+Fr.P, MCF7 cells co-cultured with fresh batch of PBMCs; M+Un.P, MCF7 cells co-cultured with unpurified PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 cultures; M+IFN-P, MCF7 cells co-cultured with purified IFNγ secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 cultures; M+IFN-P, MCF7 cells co-cultured with purified IFNγ non-secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 cultures; M+IFN+IL-P, MCF7 cells co-cultured with IFNγ secreting PBMCs from PBMC+IL2 cultures; M+IFN-IL-P, MCF7 cells co-cultured with IFNγ non-secreting PBMCs from PBMC+IL2 cultures; H+IFN-P, HeLa cells co-cultured with IFNγ secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 cultures; H+IFN-P, HeLa cells co-cultured with IFNγ non-secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 cultures.

When MCF7 cells were co-cultured with the unpurified PBMCs from the previous cultures, 17% of MCF7 cells were positive for Trypan Blue, compared with 9% in MCF7+ fresh PBMC co-cultures. Interestingly, in MCF7+ IFNγ secreting PBMC co-cultures, 64% of MCF7 cells were positive for Trypan Blue, compared with only 13% in MCF7+ IFNγ non secreting PBMCs. Additionally, 16% of HeLa cells stained positive when they were co-cultured with IFNγ-secreting PBMC, compared with 3% when HeLa cells were co-cultured with IFNγ non-secreting PBMC (Figure 5).

We then analyzed chromatin condensation in MCF7 cells using Hoechst Stain to determine percentages of cells undergoing apoptosis (Figure 6). Our results showed that in the MCF7 cells alone cultures, 4% of MCF7 cells had condensed chromatin (Figure 6A). In MCF7+unpurified PBMCs, 14% of MCF7 cells were seen with condensed chromatin (Figure 6D) compared with only 4% in MCF7+fresh PBMC cultures (Figure 6G). Interestingly, in MCF7+ IFNγ secreting PBMC cultures, 58% of MCF7 cells had condensed chromatin (Figure 6E), compared with only 10% in MCF7+ non secreting PBMC cultures (Figure 6F). As a control, we used HeLa cells as target cells for killing by PBMCs. Expectedly, 12% of HeLa cells had condensed chromatin when they were co-cultured with IFNγ-secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture (Figure 6H), compared with 3% when HeLa cells were co-cultured with IFNγ non-secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture (Figure 6I).

Finally, we analyzed the effects of different PBMC populations on inducing apoptosis in MCF7 target cells using Annexin V that binds specifically to apoptotic cells (Figure 7). Consistent with previous results, our data show that in MCF7 cells alone culture, 2.1% of MCF7 underwent apoptosis (Figure 7A), compared with 8.9% and 6.4% in MCF7+ IFNγ secreting PBMCs from PBMC+IL2 cultures and MCF7+ IFNγ non-secreting PBMCs from PBMC+IL2 cultures (Figure 7B and 7C), respectively. Comparatively, in MCF7+unpurified PBMC cultures, 13.9% of MCF7 cells were apoptotic (Figure 7D), compared with 2.7% in MCF7+ fresh PBMC cultures (Figure 6G). Interestingly, and consistent with the above results, 51.4% of MCF7 cells were apoptotic in MCF7+ IFNγ secreting cell cultures (Figure 7E), compared with only 7.4% in MCF7+ IFNγ non secreting cells (Figure 7F). When HeLa cells were used, 1.3% of HeLa cells were apoptotic in HeLa+ IFNγ secreting cell cultures (Figure 7H), compared with 0.5% in HeLa+ IFNγ non secreting cell culture (Figure 7I).

Discussion

In this study, we aimed at inducing antigen-specific T cell responses against MCF7 breast cancer cells in vitro. Our results demonstrate that MCF7 cells inhibited type I immune response by down-regulating IFNγ-secretion by T lymphocytes. In contrast, IL-2 reversed MCF7-mediated inhibition of T cell activation, and led to up-regulation of cell mediated immune response manifested by significant increase in the number of IFNγ secreting CD3+ T cells in response to MCF7 cells. Interestingly, our data show that purified, viable, MCF7-stimulated,
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Figure 7. Apoptosis of MCF7 Cells Evaluated by Annexin V Assay. Effects of effector PBMCs to induce apoptosis in MCF7 cells were analyzed using the binding of Annexin V antibody to Phosphatidylserine (PS) on the surface of apoptotic MCF7 cells. MCF7 cells were cultured alone, or with other cell populations as indicated for 3 days. PBMCs were removed and the remaining adherent MCF7 cells were analyzed for binding of Annexin V to PS to determine apoptotic cell populations. Propidium Iodide (PI) was used to exclude necrotic cells. The above histograms were gated on PI negative populations. Histograms show Annexin V negative populations (live cells, left peaks) and Annexin V positive cells (apoptotic cells, right peaks). Numbers indicate percentages of Annexin V positive cells within the gated cell populations. A, MCF7 alone; B, MCF7 cells + IFNg secreting PBMCs from PBMC+IL2 cultures; C, MCF7 cells + IFNg non-secreting PBMCs from PBMC+IL2 culture; D, MCF7 cells + Unpurified PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture; E, MCF7 cells + IFNg secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture; F, MCF7 cells + IFNg non-secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture; G, MCF7 cells + fresh batch of PBMCs; H, HeLa cells + IFNg secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture; I, HeLa cells + IFNg non-secreting PBMCs from MCF7+PBMC+IL2 culture.

IFNg-secreting, effector T cells induced magnified apoptotic effects on a fresh batch of MCF7 cells, and these effects were MCF7-specific and did not affect another cancer cell type.

In this study, we utilized peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from healthy individuals as effector immune cells. As target cells, we utilized MCF7 breast cancer cells. The quality of PBMC collections was assessed to determine the percentages of contaminating granulocytes and red blood cells (RBCs). On average, PBMC collections contained 87% mononuclear cells, with less than 9% granulocytes, and insignificant numbers of RBCs. The percentages of CD3+ T cells, CD19+ B cells, and CD14+ monocytes within the PBMC populations were 48%, 27% and 13%, respectively.

Initially, PBMCs were cultured alone, or co-cultured with MCF7 cells for 5 days in the presence or absence of IL-2 as a T cell stimulating agent. Co-culturing was for 5 day to allow for uptake of MCF7 antigens by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) within the PBMC population, antigen processing by APCs, antigen presentation to T cells, T cell activation, differentiation into effector cells, and secretion of IFNg.

The effects of PBMCs and MCF7 cells on each other were then analyzed by different methods. We first observed the behaviors of co-cultured cell populations in vitro (Figure 1). These observations provided an initial evaluation of the interaction between PMBCs and MCF7 cells, and provided hints regarding PBMC behaviors, since MCF7 cells grow as adherent cells while PBMCs are in suspension. PBMC cellular aggregates were observed in specific culture conditions compared with other cultures, which presented a first indication on the activation status of PBMC population (Figure 1). Our data showed minimal PBMC aggregates in PBMC alone cultures (Figure 1A), typical of any PBMC cultures. No apparent additional aggregates were observed in PBMC+MCF7 cultures (Figure 1C), which gave a first hint that MCF7 cells did not cause noticeable activation of PBMCs. Expectedly, PBMC+IL-2 cultures displayed large and numerous cellular aggregates (Figure 1D), indicating the logical response of T cell activation upon addition of IL-2. Interestingly, there were less PBMC aggregates with smaller sizes in PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures (Figure 1F) compared with PBMC+IL-2 culture, suggesting that MCF7 cells may have an inhibitory effect on forming cellular aggregates within the PBMC population.

We then evaluated the effects of MCF7 on cytokine secretion by PBMCs. We measured both the levels of secreted cytokines in culture supernatants, and percentages of IFNg-secreting PBMCs in each culture condition. To determine the levels of secreted cytokines, we utilized the Th1/Th2 Cytometric Bead Array (CBA) (BD Biosciences), which enabled the measurement of secreted cytokines in culture media, including IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, TNFa, and IFNg (Figure 2A and 2B). Of interest to this study was IFNg as a major cytokine employed in type I immune response. IFNg is secreted by activated CD4+ Th1 cells and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells. Our data indicate that PBMCs secreted 817 pg/ml when cultured alone (Figure 2B). When IL-2 was added to PBMC cultures, it led to a massive increase of IFNg secretion up to 27,322 pg/ml. Interestingly, when MCF7 cells were co-cultured with PBMCs, they almost completely blocked IFNg secretion by PBMCs (9 pg/ml). These results show that anti-immune activities of MCF7 cancer cells may include blocking secretion of inflammatory molecules such as IFNg as an immune evasion mechanism. In contrast, when IL-2 was added to PBMC+MCF7 cultures, it completely reversed the MCF7 inhibition of IFNg secretion by PBMCs (3394 pg/ml) (Figure 2B). An interesting observation is that MCF7 cells effectively suppressed the IL-2 mediated up-regulation of IFNg secretion by PBMCs, from 27,322 pg/ml down to 3394 pg/ml.

To identify specific populations of effector T cells, we utilized the Cytokine Secretion Assay (CSA) to detect and physically isolate specific populations of cells within PBMCs that secreted IFNg in response to MCF7 cells, IL-2 or a combination of both. The CSA assay utilizes a bi-specific monoclonal antibody reagent (catch reagent) that is made up of anti-CD45 and anti-IFNg antibodies conjugated at the Fc regions. On one side, this catch reagent binds to all CD45+ cells (regardless of activation or IFNg secretion), while the anti-IFNg antibody binds to the secreted IFNg molecule. Since MCF7 cells do not express CD45, only PBMCs will be included and analyzed for IFNg secretion by the CSA. The assay is optimized to prevent cross capture that may result from the binding of the catch reagent bound on the surface of one cell to IFNg secreted by other neighboring cells in the sample. Only IFNg-secreting, CD45+ PBMCs will have IFNg bound on their surface. A second anti-IFNg antibody is then utilized that is conjugated to phycoerythin (PE) and that recognizes another epitope on IFNg molecule to allow for detection of CD45+/IFNg-secreting cells by flow cytometry.

Expectedly, our CSA results show that IFNg was secreted by CD3+ T cells at varying levels according to specific culture conditions. This specific identification was made possible by performing a specific flow cytometric gating strategy that included drawing a physical gate on lymphocytes based on forward and side scatter properties, gating on
CD3+ T cells, and then using plots of anti-CD8+ antibodies vs. IFNy to differentiate between CD8+ and CD8- (CD4+)+ secreting and non-secreting cells within the CD3+ T cells gate. This way, we could analyze percentages of CD8+ and CD8+ T cells within a specific population of PBMCs that secreted IFNy. Since anti-IFNy-PE binds only to cells with CD45 expression, no further CD45 antibodies are required. Our data show that 0.11% of CD3+ T cells secreted IFNy in PBMC alone cultures, which represented the background IFNy secretion (Figure 3A). IL-2 effectively and significantly enhanced T cell activation and led to a 15-fold increase in the number of IFNy secreting cells up to 1.54% of all CD3+ T cells PBMC+IL-2 cultures. Interestingly, MCF7 cells effectively inhibited IFNy secretion by 90% of T cells (0.01% in PBMC+MCF7 cultures compared with 0.11% in PBMC alone culture).

The MCF7-mediated inhibition of IFNy secretion by T cells was reversed by adding IL-2 to PBMC+MCF7 cultures. However, the inhibitory effect of MCF7 cells on IFNy secretion was effective in reducing the number of IFNy-secreting cells from 1.54% in PBMC+IL-2 cultures down to 0.51% in PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures. In PBMC+IL-2 cultures, the IL-2-mediated increase of IFNy secretion is a non-antigen-specific response, since the levels of IFNy secretion were seen in PBMC cultures without the presence of MCF7 cells. However, in PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures, the increase of IFNy secretion is, at least in part, MCF7-specific, since the activation was in the presence of MCF7 cells.

To test whether the IFNy-secreting T cells seen in PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures were MCF7-antigen-specific T cells, or they were just IL-2-responding, MCF7 antigen independent T cells, we designed the next set of experiments. We aimed at testing the antigen specificity of this IFNy-secreting T cell by physically isolating the IFNy-secreting T cells that were raised in PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures to test their ability to induce apoptosis in target MCF7 cells compared with other cell types. We magnetically labeled PE-positive, IFNy-secreting cells in the CSA by using anti-PE MACS® Microbeads. Cells were then passed through MACS® magnetic column; IFNy-secreting cells were bound onto the column, whereas IFNy-non-secreting cells passed through. The column was then removed from the magnet and IFNy-secreting cells were then collected. We used two magnetic columns for each sample to increase purity of IFNy-secreting cells. Our results showed that we obtained a highly pure (92.85%) population of IFNy-secreting CD3+ T cells that were derived from PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures (Figure 3-B). These IFNy-secreting cells represent a unique population of viable cells that share a common effector mechanism, which is IFNy secretion.

We then tested the ability of both purified populations (IFNy-secreting and IFNy-non-secreting cells) from the above experiment to induce apoptosis by co-culturing them with a fresh batch of MCF7 cells, in addition to other experimental settings as described below. Since it is well known that IL-2 is required for activation but not for killing [31] we did not add IL-2 for these final co-cultures. The co-cultures were for 3 days only, to allow for induction of apoptosis in an antigen-specific manner and not to allow for raising new and complete effector responses by PBMCs.

The specific killing of MCF7 target cells by different populations of PBMCs was analyzed by three different methods: uptake of Trypan Blue by apoptotic and necrotic cells (Figure 5), and chromatin condensation by Hoechst stain (Figure 6) and flow cytometric analysis of Phosphatidylserine expression by Annexin V stain (Figure 7), to specifically analyze apoptotic cells. Together, these three methods allowed the quantitative measurement of cells undergoing apoptosis in the above different culture settings.

Using Trypan blue exclusion, our data showed 7% and 9% death in MCF7 only and MCF7+fresh PBMC cultures, respectively, which represented background death in these types of 5-day old cultures. Co-culturing of MCF7 cells with unpurified (IFNy-secreting cells not removed) PBMCs taken from previous PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures, led to 17% killing of MCF7 cells. The increase in MCF7 cell apoptosis was mainly due to the presence of effector cell populations (IFNy-secreting and probably other effector cell types). Interestingly, co-culturing of MCF7 cells with purified IFNy-secreting PBMCs taken from PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures led to 64% killing of MCF7 cells. In contrast, co-culturing of MCF7 cells with IFNy-non secreting cells from the same PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures led to 13% killing of MCF7 cells. This clear difference between IFNy-secreting and non-secreting PBMCs provides a direct association between IFNy secretion as an effector mechanism of PBMCs and apoptosis of target cells. Additionally, co-culturing of MCF7 cells with either IFNy-secreting or IFNy-non secreting PBMCs from previous PBMC+IL-2 cultures (no exposure to MCF7), led to 12% and 8%, respectively. This showed that IL-2-mediated activation of PBMCs (in the absence of MCF7 target cells) is not sufficient to mount a significant increase in target cell apoptosis. More interestingly, to test whether the above response of IFNy-secreting PBMCs is MCF7-specific or not, we co-cultured IFNy-secreting cells with another cancer cell line, HeLa cells. Our results showed that co-culturing of HeLa cells with MCF7-stimulated, IFNy-secreting and IFNy-non secreting PBMCs from previous PBMC+MCF7+IL-2 cultures led to 16% and 3% respectively, indicating that the significant increase of killing by MCF7-stimulated PBMCs was MCF7-specific and did not cause the same response on other types of target cells.

We then assessed the ability of MCF7-stimulated, IFNy-secreting, PBMCs to induce apoptosis in target MCF7 cells by evaluating chromatin condensation. Our analyses demonstrated that there was a marked increase in MCF7 target cell apoptosis by MCF7-stimulated, IFNy-secreting, CD45+ PBMCs, consistent with the above results from Trypan Blue exclusion. The maximum apoptosis (58%) was seen in MCF7 cells co-cultured with MCF7-stimulated, IFNy-secreting, CD45+, PBMCs compared with all the other experimental variables described above. This clearly shows that MCF7-stimulated, IFNy-secreting, CD45+, PBMCs induced MCF7-specific apoptosis that was a result of previous exposure of MCF7 target cells to effector cells within the PBMC population.

Furthermore, to provide an additional method for assessment of apoptosis in MCF7 target cells, we measured apoptosis by flow cytometry using Annexin V, a phospholipid-binding protein that recognizes phosphatidylserine on the surface of apoptotic cells. To differentiate between apoptotic and necrotic cells, we used plots of FITC-conjugated Annexin V versus Propidium Iodide. Cells that were included in the assessment were Annexin V-FITC-positive and PI-negative.

Consistent with the above results of cell membrane permeability using Trypan Blue, and chromatin condensation using Hoechst stain, our results with Annexin V showed once again that MCF7-stimulated, IFNy-secreting, CD45+, PBMCs induced maximum apoptosis (51% Annexin V-positive) MCF7 cells in an MCF7-specific manner that was not seen in other experimental settings.

Together, the above data related to the induction of apoptosis in MCF7 target cells by the different PBMC populations through assessment of target MCF7 cell killing by cell membrane permeability,
and analyses of specific apoptotic assays by chromatoin condensation and phosphatidylerine depolarization, suggested a significant increase in MCF7 target cell apoptosis, specifically induced by MCF7-stimulated, IFNγ-secreting, CD3+ , PBMCs. Our results showed minimal killing of target MCF7 cells by fresh batch of PBMCs. Fresh PBMCs will not kill MCF7 cells because as we showed in the previous set of experiments they are down regulated by MCF7 cells, and now we are not adding IL-2. Additionally, the killing effects of MCF7 cells exhibited by PBMCs from PBMC+IL-2 cultures represents a generic response by IL-2 activated PBMCs that is not MCF7-specific response, and indicates that previous activation of PBMC with IL-2, in the absence of MCF7 cells, does not necessarily lead to a significant increase of apoptosis in subsequent MCF7 target cells.

Importantly, the significant increase of MCF7 apoptosis by IFNγ-secreting PBMCs, compared with any other population of effector cells in our experimental setup directly correlates killing of MCF7 target cells with IFNγ-secretion. On the other hand, induction of MCF7 apoptosis by IFNγ-non secreting PBMCs was much lower than that seen with IFNγ-secreting cells, but is more than half that number of killing of MCF7 target cells by unpurified PBMCs; this indicates the presence of effector cells that are able to induce apoptosis in MCF7 cells other than IFNγ-secreting cells. The identification and characterization of other effector cell type(s) is currently under investigation at our laboratory.

When MCF7 cells were co-cultured with IFNγ non secreting cells, the percentage of apoptotic MCF7 cells was more than half that was in MCF7+ unpurified PBMCs, indicating that there is another mechanism of inducing apoptosis in MCF7 cells, independent of IFNγ secretion. This could either be due to the presence of another population of effector cells in the IFNγ non secreting cells or that the apoptotic MCF7 cells were destined to die before the purification took place due to an indirect killing effect. However, the first hypothesis is more logical since we changed the media in the new cultures, and that there are multiple mechanisms of immune response against cancer cells, including the utilization of anti-tumor cytokines. Further studies are still required to examine this phenomenon. The identification and characterization of other effector cell type(s) is currently under investigation at our laboratory.

The objective of this study was to test whether we can raise cancer cell-specific effector T-cells that can induce apoptosis in target cells in an exclusive manner. We aimed at isolating MCF7-stimulated, IFNγ-secreting T cells, and determine the specificity of their apoptotic activity against target cells. We focused on IFNγ secretion as an effector mechanism of the cellular immune response against MCF7 target cells.

Finally, in this report we present a unique method for the physical isolation of pure, viable, IFNγ-secreting, effector CD3+ T lymphocytes that were able to induce apoptosis in cancer cells in a cell-specific manner. This unique approach opens the possibilities for developing personalized cancer vaccines by the utilization of autologous, effector, cancer cell-specific, T cells that may specifically target cancer cells in vivo without affecting healthy tissues.
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