Halogenation Generates Effective Modulators of Amyloid-Beta Aggregation and Neurotoxicity
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Abstract

Halogenation of organic compounds plays diverse roles in biochemistry, including selective chemical modification of proteins and improved oral absorption/blood-brain barrier permeability of drug candidates. Moreover, halogenation of aromatic molecules greatly affects aromatic interaction-mediated self-assembly processes, including amyloid fibril formation. Perturbation of the aromatic interaction caused by halogenation of peptide building blocks is known to affect the morphology and other physical properties of the fibrillar structure. Consequently, in this article, we investigated the ability of halogenated ligands to modulate the self-assembly of amyloidogenic peptide/protein. As a model system, we chose amyloid-beta peptide (Aβ), which is implicated in Alzheimer’s disease, and a novel modulator of Aβ aggregation, erythrosine B (ERB). Considering that four halogen atoms are attached to the xanthene benzoate group in ERB, we hypothesized that halogenation of the xanthene benzoate plays a critical role in modulating Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity. Therefore, we evaluated the modulating capacities of four ERB analogs containing different types and numbers of halogen atoms as well as fluorescein as a negative control. We found that fluorescein is not an effective modulator of Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity. However, halogenation of either the xanthenes or benzoate ring of fluorescein substantially enhanced the inhibitory capacity on Aβ aggregation. Such Aβ aggregation inhibition by ERB analogs except rose bengal correlated well to the inhibition of Aβ cytotoxicity. To our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating that halogenation of aromatic rings substantially enhance inhibitory capacities of small molecules on Aβ-associated neurotoxicity via Aβ aggregation modulation.

Introduction

Halogenation has been widely used to provide organic compounds including biomolecules with new properties. Introduction of aryl halides into proteins allows chemical modification via versatile palladium catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with terminal alkene or alkyne reaction partners [1,2], and facilitates monitoring structural changes of protein [3,4]. Halogen groups are often inserted during hit-to-lead or lead-to-drug conversions for several reasons, including enhanced antagonistic/agonistic effects due to improved oral absorption/blood-brain barrier permeability [5]. Furthermore, it was reported that halogenation of aromatic molecules greatly affects aromatic interaction-mediated self-assembly processes [6]. Aromatic interaction plays an important role in a broad spectrum of molecular self-assemblies [3,7,8,9]. In particular, aromatic interaction is considered one of critical contributors to forming cross-stacked β-sheet structure, so-called, amyloid fibrillar structure [10,11]. Planar aromatic interaction stabilizes the fibrillar structure and determines the direction and orientation of amyloid fibrils [12,13]. Therefore, perturbation of the aromatic interaction caused by halogenation of aromatic building block affects the morphology and physical properties of the fibrillar structure [3].

Herein, we have investigated whether halogenation of ligands can also affect self-assembly of amyloid-beta peptide (Aβ), which is implicated in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). A pathological hallmark of AD is the accumulation of insoluble protein aggregates, composed primarily of fibrillar Aβ aggregates. According to the revised amyloid-cascade hypothesis, certain types of soluble Aβ oligomers and prototribilis are more toxic than Aβ fibrils and correlate well with dementia [14,15,16,17]. Therefore, modulation of Aβ aggregation using small molecules is considered a promising way to eliminate Aβ associated toxicity [3,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32]. We recently reported that red food dye erythrosine B (ERB) is a novel modulator of Aβ-aggregation in vitro and Aβ neurotoxicity [33]. The good biocompatibility and possibility of systemic administration make ERB an attractive inhibitor of Aβ neurotoxicity [34,35]. Considering that ERB has multiple aromatic rings attached to four electronegative halogen atoms (Figure 1), we hypothesize that the modulatory capacity of ERB on Aβ aggregation is attributed to halogen atoms. In order to validate our hypothesis that halogen atoms are key chemical structures for
Aβ aggregation modulation, we evaluated the modulating capacities of four ERB congeners containing different type and number of halogen atoms, eosin Y (EOY), eosin B (EOB), rose bengal (ROB), and phloxine B (PHB) (Figure 1). As a negative control, we also evaluated fluorescein (FLN), which has the same xanthene benzoate backbone as ERB but lacks a halogen atom. If halogenation of aromatic rings is indeed effective in modulating Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity, it will enhance our understanding of molecular mechanism of amyloid formation and facilitate discovery and design of a new series of halogenated small molecule modulators of amyloidogenic peptides/proteins.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Aβ40 was purchased from AnaSpec Inc. (Fremont, CA) and Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX). Human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA). Polyclonal A11 anti-oligomer and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). 4G8 antibody was obtained from Covance (Dedham, MA). Polyclonal OC antibody and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) was obtained from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Nitrocellulose membranes and ECL advance chemiluminescence detection kit were obtained from GE Healthcare Life Sciences (Waukesha, WI). Eosin Y was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise noted.

Aβ Aggregation

Aβ40 stock was prepared as described previously [33,36] except the pretreatment using hexafluoropropanol (HFIP). It has been reported that HFIP increases the α-helix content of a protein and is a strong disaggregating solvent of Aβ [37,38]. Lyophilized Aβ40 was dissolved in 100% HFIP (1 mM) and incubated at room temperature for 2 hours. HFIP was evaporated under a constant stream of nitrogen, and the peptide was reconstituted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution (10 mM NaH2PO4 and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) to a concentration of 50 μM. If needed, the HFIP treated peptide was dissolved in 100 mM NaOH (2 mM Aβ) prior to dilution in PBS. Erythrosine B, eosin Y, eosin B, rose bengal, phloxine B, and fluorescein were dissolved in PBS. Concentrated dye stock solutions were added to the peptide solutions. The Aβ40 peptide samples were incubated at 37°C in the absence or in the presence of the dye.

Thioflavin T (ThT) Assay

5 μL of Aβ sample (30 μM) was dissolved in 250 μL of ThT (10 μM). Fluorescence was measured in 96-well microtiter plates (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) using a Synergy 4 UV-Vis/fluorescence multi-mode microplate reader (Biotek, VT) with an excitation and emission wavelength of 430 nm and 485 nm, respectively.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

TEM was performed as reported previously [33,36]. Aβ samples (10 μL of 50 μM Aβ) were placed on 200 mesh formvar coated/copper grids, absorbed for 1 minute, and blotted dry with filter paper. Grids were then negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate solution, blotted dry, and then inspected with a JEOL 1010 Transmission Electron Microscope operated at 60 kV.

Dot Blotting

Dot blotting was performed as reported previously [33,36]. 2 μL Aβ samples were spotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and were dried at room temperature. A solution of 0.1% Tween 20 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS-T) solution (0.1% Tween 20, 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) was prepared. Each nitrocellulose membrane was blocked at room temperature for 1 hour (5% milk TBS-T) and washed with TBS-T. Each membrane was then incubated with antibody (HRP-conjugated 4G8, A11, or OC antibody) in 0.5% milk TBS-T for 1 hour at room temperature and washed with TBS-T. After immuno-staining with HRP-conjugated 4G8, the membranes were coated with ECL advance chemiluminescence detection agent (based on manufacturer specifications) and visualized. Alternatively, all other membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated IgG in 0.5% milk TBS-T for 1 hour and washed with TBS-T. Signal detection was performed as aforementioned using the ECL Advance Detection kit and was visualized using a Biospectrum imaging system (UVP, Upland, CA). HRP-conjugated 4G8 and OC were applied at a 1:25000 dilution while A11 and HRP-conjugated IgG were applied at a 1:10000 dilution.

MTT Reduction Assay

MTT reduction assay was performed as reported previously [33,36]. SH-SY5Y cells were cultured in a humidified 5% CO2/air incubator at 37°C in DMEM/F12:1:1 containing 10% fetal.
bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. 20000 to 25000 cells were seeded into each well of a 96-well microtiter plate (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and allowed to acclimate for 3 days. 10 µL of Aβ sample was added to each well and incubated for 2 days. The cells were washed by replacing the culture media with fresh media and incubating for 1 hour. The wash media was replaced with fresh media. 10 µL of MTT was added to each well and incubated in the dark for 6 hours at 37 °C. After incubation, reduced MTT was dissolved with 200 µL of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). After reduced MTT dissolution, the absorbance was measured at 560 nm using a Synergy 4 UV-Vis/fluorescence multi-mode microplate reader (Biotek, VT).

Circular Dichroism (CD)

CD analysis of Aβ samples was performed as described previously [39,40]. Aβ sample was diluted 1:10 using double distilled water. Samples were measured using a Jasco J710 spectropolarimeter with a 1 mm path length. The reported spectrum for each sample was the average of at least 5 measurements and the background was subtracted using appropriate controls. In case of samples containing any dye, the background spectra were obtained using controls containing only dye at the same concentration.

Aβ Binding Assay

The binding of ERB, EOY, ROB, PHB, and FLN to Aβ40 was assessed using modified assays based on emission fluorescence quenching techniques described in the literature [41,42,43,44]. The concentration of each of the dyes was fixed at 20 µM. In order to evaluate fluorescence quenching of the dye upon binding to Aβ40, Aβ40 was mixed with the dye in a final concentration of 0 to 25 µM in citrate buffer at pH 4.5. The excitation wavelengths used are as follows: ERB – 317 nm, EOY – 480 nm, ROB – 510 nm, PHB – 500 nm, and FLN – 432 nm. The emission wavelengths where the data were collected are as follows: ERB – 548 nm, EOY – 536 nm, ROB – 565 nm, PHB – 555 nm, and FLN – 512 nm. With FLN, fluorescence quenching was also investigated due to binding to bovine serum albumin (BSA - New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) by mixing with FLN in a final concentration of 0 to 25 µM BSA in citrate buffer at pH 4.5. Where appropriate, the dissociation constant, Kd, was determined using the non-linear regression curve fitting to Eq. 1 shown below. In Eq. 1, n is the number of binding sites, and [D] is the molar concentration of free dye.

\[
\bar{n} = \frac{n [D]}{K_d + [D]} \quad \text{(Eq.1)}
\]

Where, \(\bar{n}\) is the average number of dye molecules bound to protein molecule and thus is calculated as shown in Eq. 2.

\[
\bar{n} = \frac{X [D_i]}{[P]} \quad \text{(Eq.2)}
\]

And, \([D_i]\) and \([P]\) are the total molar dye (set at 20 µM) and Aβ40 concentrations, respectively, and \(X\) is the fraction of dye bound to Aβ40 at each Aβ40 concentration, calculated as shown in Eq. 3. In Eq. 3, \(F_{free}\), \(F_{obs}\), and \(F_0\) correspond to the free 20 µM dye fluorescence, fluorescence observed at a certain Aβ40 concentration, and the fully quenched fluorescence values, respectively.

\[
X = \frac{F_{free} - F_{obs}}{F_{free} - F_0} \quad \text{(Eq.3)}
\]

We assessed the binding of EOB to Aβ40 and BSA, using an absorbance technique described in the literature based on the observation that upon protein binding [45], the absorbance maximum of EOB shifts from 514 to 530±5 nm. The concentration of EOB was fixed at 20 µM. Aβ40 and BSA concentrations were varied from 0 to 60 µM and 0 to 25 µM, respectively, and the absorbance was measured at 330 nm. Citrate buffer at pH 4.5 was also used for the EOB binding assay.

Results and Discussion

ERB, EOY, and PHB Substantially Inhibit Aβ-Associated Cytotoxicity

In order to evaluate the modulation capability of ERB and its analogs (EOY, EOB, PHB, and ROB), we employed the widely-used MTT reduction assay [16,29,33,36,46,47]. Aβ aggregates were prepared by incubating Aβ monomers with or without 3x EOB analog. In the absence of any ERB analog, Aβ aggregation was monitored by ThT fluorescence assay. The ThT fluorescence of Aβ aggregates started to increase at day 4 and reached the plateau at day 6 (Figure 2A), indicating that Aβ protofibrils and fibrils were primarily formed from day 4. In order to evaluate cytotoxicity of Aβ aggregates containing Aβ intermediates, we chose Aβ samples incubated for 5 days in the absence or presence of 3x ERB analog. The preformed Aβ aggregates were then administered to neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, and cell viability was determined by MTT reduction (Figure 2B). We determined whether Aβ monomer or ERB analog is cytotoxic to neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, and the results are shown in Figure 2B. Aβ monomers (5 µM) caused a mild reduction (11%) in the cell viability. All ERB analogs (15 µM) except ROB also caused only mild reduction in the cell viability ranging from 0 to 8%. However, 3x ROB substantially reduced the cell viability (34%). ROB has been tested to ablate certain types of cancer cells including melanoma [48,49], and so it is not surprising that ROB is cytotoxic to SH-SY5Y cells.

Next, we determined the cytotoxicity of Aβ monomers incubated with or without ERB analog for 5 days, and the results are shown in Figure 2B. 5 µM of Aβ aggregates without any ERB analog (Aβ control) substantially reduced the cell viability to 63%. Co-incubation of Aβ monomers in the presence of 3x EOB (15 µM) resulted in an SH-SY5Y cell viability of 65%, which is not significantly different from that of the Aβ control. However, co-incubation of Aβ monomer with 3x ERB, EOY, or PHB significantly increased the cell viability (around 21%). In the presence of 3x ROB, cell viability was 70%, which is only 7% higher than that of the Aβ control. The MTT reduction assay results clearly indicate that 3x ERB, EOY, and PHB can substantially inhibit Aβ-associated cytotoxicity but 3x EOB cannot. The Aβ monomers incubated with 3x ROB (15 µM) led to a substantial reduction in the cell viability (30%). However, since 3x ROB alone (no Aβ) was intrinsically toxic and led to a similar reduction in cell viability (34%), it is difficult to gauge the effect 3x ROB co-incubation had on Aβ-induced cytotoxicity. In order to clarify this, we repeated the MTT cell viability assay, this time comparing the results obtained using 2.5 µM and 5 µM Aβ, both with corresponding concentrations of 3x ROB (7.5 µM and 15 µM, respectively – Figure S1; Panels A and B). Since the ThT fluorescence of the Aβ aggregates reach a plateau at day 6, the Aβ...
aggregates in day 3 were used as Aβ intermediate controls. When 5 μM Aβ and 15 μM ROB was used, we again observed a substantial reduction in cell viability upon the addition of 3x ROB alone (P<0.05) and Aβ intermediate controls compared to Aβ monomer and PBS samples (Figure S1; Panel A). However, when concentrations of 2.5 μM Aβ and 7.5 μM ROB were applied to the cells, the intrinsic cytotoxicity of ROB alone (no Aβ) was greatly reduced to approximately the level of the Aβ monomer control (Figure S1; Panel B). These results allowed us to interpret the true effect ROB had on Aβ-induced toxicity. Similar to EOB, co-incubation of Aβ monomers with 3x ROB for 3 days did not significantly alleviate the Aβ-associated cytotoxicity displayed by the Aβ intermediate control (P>0.05). Next, in order to investigate the effect that dye binding to Aβ had on Aβ-associated toxicity, Aβ intermediates from day 3 of aggregation were mixed with 3x ROB and immediately added to the SH-SY5Y cells. As with the ROB co-incubation, the results showed that ROB binding to Aβ did not alleviate the associated toxicity (P>0.05) (Figure S1). In addition, since the Aβ intermediates mixed with 3x ROB immediately prior to addition to the cells showed similar cell viability to the Aβ intermediate control, we concluded that the intrinsic toxicity of ROB and Aβ are not additive.

It should be noted that careful execution of the MTT reduction assay and interpretation of the results is required due to several factors. The first potential issue is that of Aβ-induced expedited exocytosis of the reduced MTT. Several reports showed that Aβ aggregates can export the reduced MTT and so promote the crystalline form of the reduced MTT deposit on the cell surface leading to a reduced MTT uptake [50,51,52]. In our previous studies, there was a good correlation between a MTT reduction and other viability assay based on Alamar blue reduction [36]. Therefore, we considered the MTT reduction assay is a valid viability assay on the cell line and Aβ preparation method used in this study. The second issue relates to potential interference effects that the dyes investigated in this study might have on the final results obtained from the cell viability MTT assay (itself a color-based test). In order to minimize this potential interference by removing the dyes prior to reading the MTT signal, all viability assays incorporated thorough washing steps, as detailed in the Methods section. To validate the washing steps conducted, the fraction of each original dye amount remaining in the culture plate wells after thoroughly washing the cells using the MTT protocol was quantified. The results showed that less than 3% of the original dye amounts remained in the wells after washing (Table S1). Next, we quantified the interference effect these residuals might have on the final MTT absorbance. Our results showed that the interference was less than 5% for all dyes (Table S1), which is consistent with the intrinsic uncertainty of the MTT assay (4 to 6%) in Figure 2B and Figure S1, indicating that the dyes do not cause significant spectral interference in the MTT assays.

By correlating the chemical structures of ERB analogs and their inhibitory capacities on Aβ cytotoxicity, we deduced the following. First, EOB, which contains four bromine atoms in the same locations as the four iodine atoms in ERB, exhibited similar inhibitory capacities on Aβ cytotoxicity as ERB. However, EOB, which contains two nitro groups in the place of the two bromine atoms in the xanthene group of EOY, did not show any significant inhibitory capacity on Aβ cytotoxicity. Therefore, these findings clearly indicate that either bromine or iodine atoms in the two positions of xanthene group are critical for Aβ inhibitory capacity. Second, PHB, which contains four extra chlorine atoms in the benzoate ring and differs from PHB in that the bromine atoms on the xanthene group are replaced with iodine. The ROB results clearly indicate that either bromine or iodine atoms in the two positions of xanthene group are critical for Aβ inhibitory capacity (similar to EOB). The third conclusion we made was in regards to ROB, which did not eliminate Aβ-associated cytotoxicity. ROB differs from EOB in that it is outfitted with four extra chlorine atoms in the benzoate ring and differs from PHB in that the bromine atoms on the xanthene group are replaced with iodine. The ROB results clearly indicate that not only the presence, but also the specific position of the halogenation, are important in determining the potency in inhibiting Aβ-cytotoxicity.
Aβ Monomers Aggregate to Form Prefibrillar and Fibrillar Aggregates

In order to determine whether Aβ cytotoxicity inhibition by ERB analogs is associated with Aβ aggregation modulation, we characterized the Aβ aggregates formed in the absence or presence of each ERB analog using CD, TEM, and dot-blot assays. CD analysis has been widely used to monitor secondary structure changes of proteins [35,34,55,56]. The CD spectrum of Aβ monomer did not exhibit any spectral feature of α-helix and β-sheet, but showed typical features of dominantly disordered structure (Figure 3A). The CD spectrum of Aβ aggregates at day 5 exhibited the typical signatures of β-sheet structure, including a minimum at 217 nm (Figure 3A), which indicate that disordered Aβ monomers aggregated into β-sheet rich fibrillar aggregates. The TEM image of Aβ monomers incubated for 5 days also clearly show the existence of the Aβ aggregates consisting of protofibrils and short fibrils (Figure 4; Panel Aβ only). Recently, dot-blotting with Aβ-specific antibodies was widely used to detect the spectrum of Aβ aggregates with different conformations [16,27,37,58,59,60]. OC is a polyclonal antibody that reacts with neurotoxic fibrillar oligomers, protofibrils and fibrils [16,38]. It was shown that Aβ-associated toxicity could be eliminated by reducing the OC-reactive species [16]. Dot-blot assay using the OC antibody confirmed the existence of fibrillar structure at day 5 (Figure 3; Panel OC). 4G8 is an Aβ-sequence-specific monoclonal antibody [61,62,63,64] of which epitope is known to be residues 17 to 24 of Aβ. During transition from monomers to fibrils, β-sheet stacking buries the 4G8 epitope and ultimately limits 4G8 antibody access to the epitope leading to a significant reduction in the 4G8 reactivity [33,36,65]. Therefore, the reduction in 4G8 reactivity of Aβ aggregates at days 5 and 6 can be attributed to the formation of fibrils and the lateral fibril stacking (Figure 5; Panel 4G8). A11 is a polyclonal antibody that reacts with disordered prefibrillar aggregates [16]. The weak A11-reactivity of the Aβ aggregates at day 5 indicate that content of disordered prefibrillar Aβ aggregates was low (Figure S2). Therefore, the CD, TEM, and dot-blot results using Aβ-specific antibodies clearly show that the Aβ aggregates at day 5 mainly consist of fibrillar aggregates including protofibrils and short fibrils.

EOB Does Not Modulate Aβ Aggregation, but PHB Substantially Inhibits Aβ Aggregation

Next, we characterized the Aβ aggregates formed in the presence of 3x or 10x EOB. The CD spectrum of Aβ aggregates formed with EOB exhibits dominant β-sheet structure, possibly fibrillar structures, similar to that of Aβ control (Figure 3A). The TEM images also show that the EOB-induced Aβ aggregates have protofibrils and short fibrils similar to the Aβ control (Figure 4; Panels EOB and Aβ only). Furthermore, the EOB-induced Aβ aggregates exhibit immuno-reactivity against OC-, 4G8-, and A11-antibodies similar to those of the Aβ control from days 0 to 6 (Figure 5; Figure S2). The CD, TEM, and dot-blot assay results clearly indicate that the co-incubation of EOB with Aβ monomer does not substantially affect Aβ aggregation process, which is consistent with the MTT reduction results showing that the cytotoxicity of the EOB-induced Aβ aggregates was comparable to that of Aβ control (Figure 2B). These findings indicate that addition of two nitro groups and two bromine atoms to xanthenene benzoate does not enhance modulatory capacity on Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity. However, considering the possibility of negative effects of two nitro groups on the modulatory capacity of halogenated xanthenene benzoates, we also tested the other xanthenene benzoate derivatives which contain only halogen atoms.
co-incubated with either 3x or 10x PHB exhibit neither OC- nor A11-reactivity, indicating that the PHB-induced Aβ species were neither fibrillar nor disordered prefibrillar Aβ oligomers (Figure 5 Panel OC; Figure S2). Therefore, the TEM, CD, and dot-blot assay results strongly support the idea that co-incubation of PHB significantly inhibits formation of any Aβ oligomers/higher molecular weight aggregates, but allows maintaining Aβ monomer-like structural features. Considering that Aβ monomer is known to be a non-toxic species [16,33,36], the substantial reduction of Aβ-associated cytotoxicity by co-incubating Aβ monomer with PHB can be attributed to the Aβ monomer-like structure of the PHB-induced Aβ species.

**EOY, ERB, and ROB Substantially Inhibit Fibrillar Structure Formation**

We then characterized the Aβ aggregates formed in the presence of 3x or 10x EOY, ERB, or ROB. The three CD spectra of the Aβ aggregates formed with one of the three ERB congeners (10x EOY, ERB, and ROB) were almost overlapped (Figure 3B), indicating that the secondary structure contents of the Aβ aggregates are similar. The negative ellipticity value over all ranges of wavelength and the strong negative ellipticity values below 200 nm indicate the typical features of denatured proteins [66] or disordered Aβ aggregates induced by small molecules [16,21]. Therefore, the CD analysis results support the idea that the three Aβ aggregates formed with EOY, ERB, and ROB have an increased disordered structure content but a decreased β-sheet structure (possibly fibrillar structure) compared to Aβ control. However, the overlapped CD spectra of the Aβ samples with the three 10x dyes make it difficult to determine relative Aβ-aggregation modulating capacities of the three dyes. Therefore, the CD spectrum of the Aβ aggregates formed with a lower concentration (3x) of EOY, ERB, or ROB was also obtained.

**Figure 4. TEM images of 50 μM of Aβ incubated for five days at 37 C in the absence of any dye (Aβ only), or in the presence of 3x EOB, EOY, PHB, ERB, or ROB.** Scale bar is 100 nm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057288.g004

**Figure 5. Modulation of Aβ aggregation by ERB and ERB analogs.** 50 μM of Aβ monomer was incubated at 37 C in the absence (Aβ only) or presence of 3x and 10x ERB analogs (EOB, EOY, ERB, ROB, and PHB) for up to 6 days. For each antibody, all samples were spotted onto one nitrocellulose membrane. Each membrane was immuno-stained with the OC or 4G8 antibody. For clearer presentation of the data, the sections of each membrane were cut and re-arranged. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057288.g005
The estimated β-sheet content, possibly fibrillar structure, of the Aβ samples with the three dyes based on the ellipticity value around 217 nm is in descending order of EOY, ERB and ROB. For each antibody, all samples were spotted onto one nitrocellulose membrane. Each membrane was immuno-stained with the OC or 4G8 antibody. For clearer presentation of the data, the sections of each membrane were cut and re-arranged.

Dot-blot assays using the OC and A11 antibodies were employed to estimate the relative amount of fibrillar and prefibrillar aggregates in the Aβ samples. At day 5, the EOY-, ERB-, and ROB-induced Aβ aggregates were in descending order of OC-reactivity (Figure 5; Panel OC), which is quite consistent with the trend found in the CD analysis (Figure S3). In contrast, the ROB-, ERB-, and EOY-induced Aβ aggregates were in the descending order of A11-reactivity (Figure S2). Since the ROB-induced Aβ aggregates exhibit very high A11-reactivity, we investigated whether there was any spectral interference of all ERB analogs with the dot-blot assay using the A11 antibody. The ERB congeners alone as well as the A11-reactive Aβ aggregates were spotted to a nitrocellulose membrane and then the A11-reactivity of the samples was determined. Only ROB exhibits a significant A11-reactivity comparable to those of Aβ samples (Figure S4). Therefore, caution should be taken to interpret A11-reactivity of Aβ samples containing ROB. None of the ERB congeners exhibit a significant immuno-reactivity against the OC and 4G8 antibodies (data not shown).
shown). The decrease in the OC-reactivity of the ERB analogs can be directly interpreted as a decrease in the fibrillar structure content, but the increase in the A11-reactivity of the ROB-induced aggregates is greater than that of the Aβ control, the A11-reactivity is most likely overestimated. It is also interesting to note that even though ROB did not reduce Aβ-associated cytotoxicity, which is consistent with the fact that FLN did not modulate Aβ aggregation.

### Halogenation of Xanthene Benzoate Generates Efficient Binders of Aβ

Having discovered from the CD, TEM, and dot-blotting results that ROB, PHB, ERB, and EOY (but not EOB and FLN) are potent inhibitors of Aβ aggregation, we then investigated possible correlations between these inhibition results and the binding affinity of the dyes to Aβ. Dissociation constant (K\textsubscript{D}) values and the number of binding sites were calculated for ROB, PHB, ERB, and EOY using fluorescence quenching of 20 μM concentrations of the dyes upon binding to Aβ (Table 1). The results showed that EOY most strongly binds Aβ among the dyes used in this study. Intriguingly, the FLN (negative control lacking halogen atoms) quenching results showed that FLN is an exceptionally weak binder of Aβ with less than 3% of the dye bound even in the presence of an excess molar concentration of 25 μM Aβ (Figure S5; Panel A). In order to maintain consistency with the other five small molecules, our first preference was to employ a similar fluorescence quenching technique to assess the binding of 20 μM EOB (analog of EOY with replacement of the two bromine atoms close to benzoate group in EOY with two nitro groups) to Aβ40. Despite varying reports in the literature about the fluorescence of the EOB molecule [41,42,13,44] and trying various solvents and pH conditions (acids, bases, alcohols), in our hands, the EOB fluorescence was too low for use in the quenching assay. Therefore, we employed an assay based on the characteristic shift in the absorbance maximum of EOB upon protein binding. The results showed that like FLN, EOB is a weak binder of Aβ, with less than 3% of the dye bound even in the presence of an excess molar concentration of 25 μM Aβ (Figure S5; Panel B). The number of binding sites on Aβ40 for the four dyes (RRB, EOY, ROB, and PHB) ranges between 1.5 and 2 suggesting that these dyes interact with multiple sites of Aβ40. The multiple binding sites may explain different properties of the Aβ aggregates induced by the dyes.

Since EOB and FLN displayed very poor binding to Aβ and were also poor inhibitors of Aβ aggregation, it clearly demonstrates that halogenation is very effective in generating molecules that tightly bind and consequently modulate the aggregation of Aβ.

### Heavy Halogen Atoms Play a Key Role in Modulating Aβ Aggregation

Taken together, the TEM, CD, dot-blot, dye binding, and MTT reduction assay results indicate that FLN (negative control) without any halogen atom does not bind and modulate the Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity, whereas ERB congeners (ERB, EOY, PHB) containing multiple halogen atoms substantially modulated the Aβ aggregation and effectively reduced the Aβ cytotoxicity. Considering that FLN has a polyphenol-like structure

---

**Table 1.** Binding properties of ERB analogs to Aβ40 monomers.

| Dye (20 μM) | ERB | EOY | PHB | ROB | EOB | EOB | FLN |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Dissociation constant (μM) | 3.35 | 0.14 | 0.89 | 1.36 | Poor binding | Poor binding | Poor binding |
| Number of binding sites | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | Poor binding | Poor binding | Poor binding |

Poor binding: less than 3% of the dye bound to 25 μM Aβ40

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057288.t001
but is a very poor Aβ aggregation modulator, the molecular mechanism underlying the Aβ aggregation modulation by ERB congeners was different from those of polyphenols. The assay results strongly support the idea that halogen atoms in the ERB congeners play an important role in the modulating Aβ aggregation, and in the case of ERB, PHB, and EOB, ultimately Aβ cytotoxicity. Having established this, the next issue becomes determining which specific features of halogen atoms are critical in modulating the Aβ aggregation.

From the CD, TEM, and dot-blot results of FLN and ERB congeners, several trends were found. First, the electronegativity of the halogen atoms/functional groups attached to xanthene group play an important role in Aβ aggregation modulation. Although the results clearly show that EOB (which has four bromine atoms attached to the xanthene group) and ERB (which has four iodine atoms attached to the xanthene group) are both potent inhibitors of Aβ fibril formation, ERB was slightly more effective than EOB at reducing the formation of fibrillar structures in the dot blotting and TEM assays. Furthermore, when the two bromine atoms close to benzoate group in the EOY structure are replaced with the two nitro groups in EOB, the inhibitory capacities of the small molecule on Aβ fibril formation are eliminated. Therefore, the order of Aβ fibril formation inhibition capacity by xanthenes constituent group is I (ERB) >Br (EOY) >NO2 (EOB). Because of this, either the electronegativity or size of the functional group attached to xanthene ring can be attributed to the inhibitory capacity of the ERB congeners. The order of the electronegativity and size of three atoms/groups is NO2 >Br >I or NO2 >I >Br, respectively. Therefore, we concluded that the inhibitory capacities are inversely proportional to the electronegativity of functional group attached to xanthene group rather than size, which is consistent with the recent findings on organofluorine Aβ aggregation inhibitors [67]. Second, PHB and ROB (both of which contain four chlorine atoms on the benzoate group in addition to xanthenes group structures of EOY and ERB, respectively) led to the potent inhibitory capacities on Aβ aggregation compared to the non-halogenated control molecules, EOB and FLN. This indicates that either polarity change or steric hindrance caused by four chlorine atoms added to the benzoate group resulted in the enhanced inhibitory capacities. However, ROB does not reduce Aβ cytotoxicity, suggesting that both the location and type of halogen atoms on the xanthene benzoate affects the extent of Aβ cytotoxicity inhibition.

Despite the two bromine atoms attached to xanthene benzoate group, EOB is not an effective modulator of Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity. Alternatively, we speculate that two nitro groups in EOB offset the positive effects of two bromine atoms on the modulatory capacity. Although more studies are required to clearly understand why EOB is not an effective modulator, other halogenated xanthene benzoates without any nitro group clearly exhibited the enhanced modulatory capacity on Aβ aggregation over the xanthene benzoate without any halogen atom (FLN).

Conclusions

In this article, our investigation has conclusively established that ERB and two ERB analogs (EOY and PHB) effectively reduce Aβ-associated neurotoxicity by modulating Aβ aggregation. In the case of ROB, while modulating capacities of ROB on Aβ aggregation are prominent, it was not capable of alleviating Aβ-associated neurotoxicity. Comparative studies of ERB and ERB congeners on modulation of Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity revealed that FLN is not an effective modulator, but adding four heavy halogen atoms (either Br or I) to the xanthene group substantially enhanced the modulatory capacities on Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity. Adding four Cl atoms to the benzoate group also significantly enhanced the Aβ aggregation modulation. In particular, co-incubation of PHB that contains four bromine atoms in the xanthene group and four chlorine atoms in the benzoate generates the low-molecular-weight Aβ species with disordered structure similar to Aβ monomer, which makes PHB a unique Aβ aggregation modulator. Considering that halogen atoms play an important role in modulating Aβ aggregation and cytotoxicity, ERB congeners are considered a new type of Aβ modulators, halogenated small molecules. To our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating the heavy halogen atoms added to multiple aromatic rings can confer inhibitory capacities on Aβ-associated cytotoxicity. Our studies can open a door to convert a poor Aβ aggregation modulator into an effective one by adding heavy halogen atoms and serves as guidance to discover or design novel Aβ aggregation modulators. Considering that ERB congeners are effective modulators of α-synuclein implicated in Parkinson’s disease [68] and ERB itself is effective at destabilizing pre-formed Aβ fibrils, [69], halogenation of small molecules might be a general way to obtain effective modulators of other amyloidogenic peptides and proteins at multiple stages of aggregation.

Supporting Information

**Table S1** Spectral interference in the MTT absorbance by the residual dyes in the plate after washing. 1° Row of Table S1 - Determination of the Dye Remaining in the Plate During the MTT Assay. The MTT assay was carried out as described previously in the MTT methods section, but with 10 μL of each dye-only control (3x concentration - no Aβ) being added to each well. The absorbance of each dye was read at the respective absorbance maximum (ERB – 540 nm, PHB – 554 nm, EOB – 520 nm, ROB – 562 nm, EOY – 530 nm, and FLN – 492 nm) both before and after the washing steps described. After subtracting the appropriate background for both readings, the post-washing absorbance was normalized to the pre-wash absorbance in order to determine the fraction of each dye remaining after washing. 2° and 3° Rows of Table S1 - Determination of the Spectral Interference of the Dyes During the MTT Assay. To quantify the interference that varying fractions of residual dye remaining in the cell wells have on the final reduced form of MTT (MTT-formazan) absorbance signal, fresh media was first added to a new cell culture plate without cells. Next, 7 μL of 1 mg/mL MTT-formazan in DMSO was added to each well along with 0.01 and 0.05 fractions of each original dye amount or PBS. The absorbance of the samples was measured at 506 nm. After subtracting the background contribution of the media and DMSO, the absorbance values of the wells containing the varying dye fractions and MTT-formazan mixture were normalized to the wells with PBS/MTT-formazan to obtain the change induced in the MTT signal by the dyes left behind after washing (minimum triplicates tested).

(DOC)

**Figure S1** MTT assay for ROB to Assess Viability of Neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y Cells. Three controls (PBS buffer, ROB, and Aβ 0 d monomer) and two Aβ aggregates formed in the absence ([Aβ 3 d] or presence (ROB Coincub) of 3x ROB at 37°C for 3 days. The Aβ and ROB concentrations used were 5 and 15 μM, respectively (A). The Aβ and ROB concentrations used were 2.5 and 7.5 μM, respectively (B). The ROB Bind sample refers to taking Aβ 3 d aggregates formed in the absence of any dye and mixing them with 3x ROB immediately before addition to the cells. Values represent means ± standard deviation (n=3).
Values are normalized to the viability of cells administered with PBS buffer only. Two-sided Student’s t-tests were applied to the MTT reduction data. (Not significant: P > 0.05).

Figure S2 Dot blot assay results using the A11 antibody. 50 μM of Aβ40 monomer was incubated at 37°C in the absence (Aβ only) or presence of 3x and 10x ERB analogs (EOB, EOY, ERB, ROB, and PHB) for up to 6 days. The samples were taken on the indicated day and the all samples were spotted onto one nitrocelullose membrane. The membrane was immunostained with the A11 antibody. For clearer presentation, the sections of the membrane were cut and re-arranged.

Figure S3 CD spectra of the Aβ aggregates formed in the absence (Aβ aggregates) or presence of 3x EOY, ERB, or ROB for 9 days at 37°C.

Figure S4 Dot blot assay results using the A11 antibody. The A11-reactive Aβ aggregates (Aβ at day 6), PBS buffer, and 10x ERB analogs were spotted onto one nitrocelullose membrane.

Then, the membrane was immunostained with the A11 antibody. The sections from the same membrane were cut and re-arranged.

Figure S5. Assessment of binding of FLN and EOB to Aβ40 monomers and BSA. (A) Fluorescence of FLN with varying concentrations (0 to 25 μM) of BSA and Aβ40 (excitation at 432 nm and emission at 512 nm). (B) Absorbance of EOB with varying concentrations of BSA (0 to 25 μM) and Aβ40 (0 to 60 μM).
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