ABSTRACT

In some situations, endodontic infections do not respond to therapeutic protocol. In these cases, it is suggested the administration of an alternative intracanal medication that presents a wide spectrum of action and has an in-depth effect on the root canal system. The purpose of this study was to assess the antimicrobial action of ciprofloxacin, metronidazole and polyethylene glycol and natrosol vehicles with different associations and concentrations. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by using the agar dilution method. The culture media (Müller-Hinton agar) were prepared containing antimicrobial agents at multiple two-fold dilutions of 0.25 to 16 µg/mL, and with the vehicles at the concentrations of 50, 45, 40, 35, 30 and 25%. Twenty-three microbial strains were selected for the study. Metronidazole was not capable of eliminating any of the tested microorganisms. The association of ciprofloxacin with metronidazole resulted in a reduction of the MIC. The vehicle polyethylene glycol inhibited the growth of 100% of the tested strains, while natrosol inhibited 18% of the strains. Ciprofloxacin formulations with polyethylene glycol presented better effects than those of formulations to which metronidazole was added. It was possible to conclude that ciprofloxacin presented antimicrobial action against all tested bacterial strains, and its association with metronidazole was synergic. The vehicle polyethylene glycol showed antimicrobial effect and the ciprofloxacin/polyethylene glycol association was the most effective combination for reducing the tested bacteria and yeasts.
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INTRODUCTION

Microbial control in the endodontic system represents a challenge to the solution of refractory infections when chemical and surgical procedures do not provide the desired response for control of infection.

The chemomechanical preparation used during endodontic therapy is the main factor responsible for eliminating the microorganisms lodged into the root canal system. In most clinical situations, this procedure is sufficient to obtain success and guarantee periapical tissue repair.

However, some cases demand the application of intracanal medication as an adjuvant therapy to resolve the infection. This occurs because microorganisms may colonize the endodontic system, demanding deeper and more guaranteed therapeutic action. In these cases, intracanal medication not only plays the role of inflammatory reaction moderator, but is also imperative to combat the remaining infection.

In most cases, facultative anaerobic microorganisms are more resistant to endodontic procedures than the anaerobic ones. This resistance is related to microbial capacity to remain in a latent phase, at a low metabolic rate for a long period of time. However, environmental changes, such as coronal leakage, may lead to activation of these microorganisms and their consequent proliferation.

Associations of antimicrobial agents have been proposed with the goal of increasing the spectrum of action and combating the persistent infection. The choice of vehicle associated with intracanal medication is also of paramount importance, as they are responsible for transporting the drug to the interior of the root canal systems and for the speed of its diffusion.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the antimicrobial action of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride and...
metronidazole, and the vehicles polyethylene glycol and natrosol, either alone or associated, supported by the fact that intracanal medication is an important adjuvant of the endodontic treatment protocol.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Twenty-three microbial strains were selected from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and the University of Taubaté Culture Collection (CCUT) (Table 1). The susceptibility of the microorganisms to the antimicrobial agents metronidazole (Henrifarma, China) and ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (Pharmanostra, USA) and to the vehicles polyethylene glycol (PM 1000) and natrosol, was assessed, either alone and in the following associations: a) ciprofloxacin and metronidazole; b) ciprofloxacin and natrosol; c) ciprofloxacin and polyethylene glycol; d) metronidazole and natrosol; e) metronidazole and polyethylene glycol; f) ciprofloxacin, metronidazole and natrosol; and, g) ciprofloxacin, metronidazole and polyethylene glycol. The antimicrobial agents were submitted to filtration (0.22 µm, Millipore membrane) and the vehicles were sterilized by gamma radiation (60CO, GAMMACELL, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, Canada).

The Müller-Hinton agar (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) dilution method was used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)15. Culture media were prepared, adding antimicrobial agents in two-fold serial dilutions, obtaining final concentrations of 16 to 0.25 µg/mL of medium. For the vehicles, culture media containing 50, 45, 40, 35, 30 and 25% were used. For the associations, the substances were added to the culture media at the same concentrations and percentages used for the substances tested separately, in a total of 292 combinations. For each test, Petri plates containing only Müller-Hinton agar were used as control.

The selected microorganisms were plated in Müller Hinton agar and incubated at 37ºC for 24 hours. After growth and morphologic confirmation in smears stained by Gram method, suspensions were prepared with isolated colonies and sterile saline in accordance with tube 0.5 (approximately 1.5x10⁴ cells/ml) of McFarland scale, for the bacteria, and tube 2 (approximately 10⁶ cells/ml) for yeasts (recommendation of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards12,13). Next, aliquots of the suspensions were placed into the wells of the Steers replicator, and then plated in the culture media. The plates were incubated at 37ºC for 24 hours and the occurrence of microbial growth was evaluated. The MIC was defined at the lowest concentration of the drug that inhibited microbial growth. The experimental data were collected for descriptive statistics of the MIC of each strain.

RESULTS

Minimum inhibitory concentration results for the antimicrobial agents ciprofloxacin and metronidazole, and for the vehicles (natrosol and polyethylene glycol) are shown in Table 2. The bacteria were susceptible to ciprofloxacin while the fungi were resistant to it. All tested microorganisms were resistant to metronidazole. Considering the vehicles, polyethylene glycol showed the most effective microbial inhibition.

Table 3 shows the results of the association of ciprofloxacin with the vehicles. Ciprofloxacin/polyethylene glycol association increased the antimicrobial effects of both products. Ciprofloxacin/natrosol association partially increased the effects of the products, also presenting effects against Candida species.

Table 4 shows the results of the association of metronidazole with the tested vehicles. Metronidazole/ polyethylene glycol association inhibited all microbial strains, using low concentrations of the antimicrobial agent, as well as low percentages of polyethylene glycol. Most strains remained resistant to the action of the association of metronidazole with natrosol.

The MIC values of ciprofloxacin/metronidazole association and these with the vehicles are expressed in

| Microorganism                  | Strains                                      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Staphylococcus aureus (n=3)   | CCTU 101.001; CCTU 101.002; CCTU 101.006     |
| Streptococcus mutans (n=4)    | CCTU 111.004; CCTU 111.006;                  |
|                               | CCTU 111.017; CCTU 111.007                  |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae (n=3)   | CCTU 11.014; CCTU 11.013; ATCC 18.833       |
| Enterobacter cloaceae (n= 2)  | CCTU 01.002; CCTU 01.003                    |
| Escherichia coli (n=2)        | ATCC 25922; CCTU 71.001                     |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n=4)  | CCTU 51.003; CCTU 51.007; ATCC 27.853; CCTU 01.002 |
| Enterococcus faecalis (n=1)   | ATCC 29212                                   |
| Candida tropicalis (n=2)      | CCTU 93.002; CCTU 93.001                    |
| Candida albicans (n=2)        | ATCC 18.804; CCTU 91.001                    |
Table 5. The association of ciprofloxacin with metronidazole presented lower MIC for the bacteria than ciprofloxacin alone, except for Escherichia coli. Polyethylene glycol added to the formulation eliminated all bacteria, at the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agents and vehicles. The performance of ciprofloxacin/metronidazole/polyethylene glycol association was equivalent or lowest to the association ciprofloxacin/polyethylene glycol.

In all plates of culture media in which the antimicrobial agents and vehicles were not added (controls), there was growth of all microbial strains.

DISCUSSION

Some clinical cases do not respond to the traditional protocol used in endodontic therapy. These difficulties are generally related to the anatomic characteristics of the root canal system, resistance to antimicrobial agents and the presence of apical biofilm. For cases of resistance to antimicrobial agents, the use of alternative medications, or the combination of antimicrobial agents is suggested to increase the spectrum of action and act particularly on the facultative anaerobic microorganisms.

In endodontics, antimicrobial agents are used topically, due to the absence of blood irrigation in the root canal.

### TABLE 2 - Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride, metronidazole, polyethylene glycol and natrosol for the tested microorganisms

| Species          | No. of Strains | Ciprofloxacin MIC (µg/ml) | Metronidazole MIC (µg/ml) | Polyethylene glycol MIC (%) | Natrosol MIC (%) |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| S. aureus        | 3              | 0.5→1                     | R                         | 30                          | 35→R             |
| E. faecalis      | 1              | 4                         | R                         | S                           | R                |
| S. mutans        | 4              | 4                         | R                         | S                           | R                |
| P. aeruginosa    | 4              | S→1                       | R                         | S→30                        | 40→R             |
| E. coli          | 2              | S→1                       | R                         | S                           | 40→R             |
| E. cloacae       | 2              | S→1                       | R                         | S                           | 40→R             |
| K. pneumoniae    | 3              | S→1                       | R                         | S                           | 40→R             |
| C. tropicalis    | 2              | R                         | R                         | 30                          | 45→R             |
| C. albicans      | 2              | R                         | R                         | 30→40                       | 45→R             |

R = resistant at the highest concentration tested (16 µg/ml or 50%); S = sensitive at the lowest concentration tested (0.25 µg/ml or 25%); → interval of concentration of the tested products.

### TABLE 3 - Variation in MIC of the association of ciprofloxacin with metronidazole (0.25 to 16 µg/ml) and with polyethylene glycol (25 to 50%) and ciprofloxacin (0.25 to 16 µg/ml) with natrosol (25 to 50%) for the tested microorganisms

| Species          | No. of Strains | CIM Ciprofloxacin + polyethylene glycol | CIM Ciprofloxacin + natrosol |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S. aureus        | 3              | 3S→4                                    | 25→50                         |
| E. faecalis      | 1              | 3S→4                                    | 25 and 50                     |
| S. mutans        | 4              | 3S→4                                    | 50                            |
| P. aeruginosa    | 4              | 3S→4                                    | 50                            |
| E. coli          | 2              | 3S→4                                    | 25 and 45                     |
| E. cloacae       | 2              | 3S→4                                    | 25 and 45                     |
| K. pneumoniae    | 3              | 3S→4                                    | 25 and 50                     |
| C. tropicalis    | 2              | 3S→4                                    | 50                            |
| C. albicans      | 2              | 3S→4                                    | 50                            |

S = sensitive at the lowest concentration tested (0.25 µg/ml or 25%); → interval of concentration of the tested products.
system at the time of therapy. In most cases, intracanal medication is used in aqueous solution, allowing the system to be well filled. However, the capacity to remain there and to have a prolonged action is limited and it is in contact with the healthy or contaminated periodontal fluid. These fluids rapidly dilute the medication and the canal becomes clinically empty between sessions.

The vehicle associated with the antimicrobial agents has a strict relationship with the time of action of the antimicrobial agent, penetration of the medication in the root canal system, in addition to the dissociation of the principle of the drugs.

The results of the present study demonstrated, although metronidazole had no action against the tested microorganisms, which was expected, the ciprofloxacin/metronidazole association resulted in a positive interaction, that is, there was synergy in this proposed combination. Slots, et al. (1990) found that the ciprofloxacin/metronidazole association was more effective against enterobacteria collected from periodontal pockets than ciprofloxacin used alone.

Greater synergism was observed in the ciprofloxacin/polyethylene glycol association. All MIC values for this association were lower than those of ciprofloxacin used alone or combined with metronidazole. Another advantage of the association ciprofloxacin/polyethylene glycol was

| Species       | No. of Strains | Metronidazole + polyethylene glycol | Metronidazole + natrosol |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|               |                | mg/ml | %   | mg/ml | %   |
| S. aureus     | 3              | 1     | S   | 8→16  | 35→50  |
| E. faecalis   | 1              | 2     | S   | R     | R     |
| S. mutans     | 4              | S     | S   | 4     | 50→R  |
| P. aeruginosa | 4              | S     | S   | 2 and 4 | 35  |
| E. coli       | 2              | S→0.5 | S   | 1→R   | 45→R   |
| E. cloacae    | 2              | S→16  | 30  | R     | R     |
| K. pneumoniae | 3              | 0.5→8 | 30  | R     | R     |

R – resistant at the highest concentration tested (16 µg/ml or 50%)
S – sensitive at the lowest concentration tested (0.25 µg/ml or 25%)
→ interval of concentration of the tested products

| Species       | No. of Strains | Ciprofloxacin + Metronidazole | Ciprofloxacin + Metronidazole + Polyethylene glycol | Ciprofloxacin + Metronidazole + Natrosol |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|               |                | mg/ml | %   | mg/ml | %   | mg/ml | %   |
| S. aureus     | 3              | 0.25  | S   | S     | S     | 0.5   | S→45  |
| E. faecalis   | 1              | 2     | S   | S     | S     | 4     | S→50  |
| S. mutans     | 4              | 2     | S   | S     | S     | 4     | S→50  |
| P. aeruginosa | 4              | 0.25  | S   | S     | S     | 0.5→1 | S→50  |
| E. coli       | 2              | 0.25  | S   | S     | S     | 0.5→1 | 40→50 |
| E. cloacae    | 2              | 0.25  | S   | S     | S     | 0.5→4 | S     |
| K. pneumoniae | 3              | 0.25  | S   | S     | S     | S→4   | S→50  |
| C. tropicalis | 2              | R     | 1→2 | 35    | R     | S     | S→50  |
| C. albicans   | 2              | R     | S→4 | S→35  | 4     | S     | S→50  |

R = resistant at the highest concentration tested (16 µg/ml or 50%); S = sensitive at the lowest concentration tested (0.25 µg/ml or 25%); → interval of concentration of the tested products.
the effective action on yeasts resistant to the other formulations.

All tested bacteria were sensitive to the association of ciprofloxacin and metronidazole with the vehicle polyethylene glycol, while *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* were eliminated with this vehicle in concentrations equal to or lower than 35% by volume of the solution.

Metronidazole at 16 µg/mL concentration and lower, associated with polyethylene glycol at a percentage of 30 to 50%, was responsible for eliminating all strains, including the yeasts. As the volume of polyethylene glycol in the culture media was reduced, the number of resistant strains increased.

The relative frequency of microbial death in the metronidazole and natrosol combination was 24%. The results obtained were expected, since the action spectrum of metronidazole is selective for anaerobic bacteria. Therefore, the importance of the results is based on the knowledge of the interaction of metronidazole with the other studied substances.

The effectiveness of the association of ciprofloxacin, metronidazole and natrosol shows a trend towards 100% sensitivity, as the concentration of the antimicrobial agents increases. For this combination of substances, the highest MIC was 4 µg/mL and 50% of natrosol. Similarity of the results with the group without the addition of metronidazole was noted. As metronidazole did not present action against the facultative anaerobic bacteria tested in this experiment, further studies are necessary with other species of microorganisms to assess the feasibility of its application.

The vehicle polyethylene glycol was capable of eliminating 100% of the microorganisms tested with MIC 40%. As the volume of polyethylene glycol was diminished (below 30%), some microorganisms were capable of growing, particularly *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis*. Polyethylene glycol was shown to be more effective when compared with natrosol. It is interesting to point out that polyethylene glycol (PM 1000) is the vehicle that allowed greater dentinal penetration of the association calcium hydroxide, ciprofloxacin and metronidazole[24]. This association is frequently proposed in the medical area for intra-abdominal infections[1,4,22].

When studied separately, natrosol prevented the growth of some microorganisms, particularly when administered in higher percentages. However, at no time was it capable of inhibiting the growth of all the microorganisms.

The susceptible of the microorganisms to the vehicle polyethylene glycol was similar to that of the associations used in the present study. This suggests that the antimicrobial action demonstrated was exercised by the action of this vehicle. This may be related to the hydrophilic property of polyethylene glycol. Removing water from the culture media, the vehicle possibly did not allow microbial growth, as a certain amount of water is required to enable them to multiply and develop. It is suggested that further studies be conducted, to find whether this effect occurs inside the root canal system.

The microbial concentration used for the suspension was determined by using the standard 0.5 on the McFarland scale for bacteria and 2 for the yeasts, as the latter present a greater cellular volume[12,13,15,18].

It must be emphasized that almost all clinical situations are solved by performing the simple endodontic technique, which complies with the conditional factors of good preparation of the root canal system. In spite of the usual therapeutic protocol, the medication here proposed can be indicated when the routine alternatives are not able of producing the desired effects.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Analysis of the results obtained by the application of the experimental model allowed the following conclusions:

- Ciprofloxacin showed antimicrobial action against all tested microbial strains, and its association with metronidazole was synergic.
- The vehicle polyethylene glycol showed antimicrobial effect.
- Ciprofloxacin associated with polyethylene glycol was the most effective combination for reducing the tested bacteria and yeasts.
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